# GREEK GRAMMAR FOR COLLEGES

## HERBERT WEIR SMYTH

# A GREEK GRAMMAR

## FOR COLLEGES

#### $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

## HERBERT WEIR SMYTH

Ph.D., University of Göttingen

ELIOT PROFESSOR OF GREEK LITERATURE IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

AMERICAN BOOK COMPANY

NEW YORK

CINCINNATI

CHICAGO

BOSTON

ATLANTA

## Copyright, 1920, by HERBERT WEIR SMYTH

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

SMYTH. GREEK GRAMMAR FOR COLLEGES

W. P. 1

#### PREFACE

THE present book, apart from its greater extent and certain differences of statement and arrangement, has, in general, the same plan as the author's Greek Grammar for Schools and Colleges. descriptive, not an historical, nor a comparative, grammar. Though it has adopted many of the assured results of Comparative Linguistics, especially in the field of Analogy, it has excluded much of the more complicated matter that belongs to a purely scientific treatment of the problems of Morphology. It has been my purpose to set forth the essential forms of Attic speech, and of the other dialects. as far as they appear in literature; to devote greater attention to the Formation of Words and to the Particles than is usually given to these subjects except in much more extensive works; and to supplement the statement of the principles of Syntax with information that will prove of service to the student as his knowledge widens and deepens.

As to the extent of all amplification of the bare facts of Morphology and Syntax, probably no two makers of a book of this character, necessarily restricted by considerations of space, will be of the same mind. I can only hope that I have attained such a measure of success as will commend itself to the judgment of those who are engaged in teaching Greek in our colleges and universities. I trust, however, that the extent of the enlarged work may lead no one to the opinion that I advocate the study of formal grammar as an end in itself; though I would have every student come to know, and the sooner the better, that without an exact knowledge of the language there can be no thorough appreciation of the literature of Ancient Greece, or of any other land ancient or modern.

In addition to the authorities mentioned on page 5, I have consulted with profit Delbrück's Syntaktische Forschungen, Gildersleeve's numerous and illuminating papers in the American Journal of Philology and in the Transactions of the American Philological Association, Schanz's Beiträge zur historischen Syntax der griechischen Sprache, Riddell's Digest of Platonic Idioms, La Roche's Grammatische Studien in the Zeitschrift für oesterreichische Gymnasien for 1904, Forman's Selections from Plato, Schulze's Quaestiones

vi PREFACE

Epicae, Hale's Extended and Remote Deliberatives in Greek in the Transactions of the American Philological Association for 1893, Harry's two articles, The Omission of the Article with Substantives after οὖτος, ὁδε, ἐκεῖνος in Prose in the Transactions for 1898, and The Perfect Subjunctive, Optative, and Imperative in Greek in the Classical Review for 1905, Headlam's Greek Prohibitions in the Classical Review for 1905, Marchant's papers on The Agent in the Attic Orators in the same journal for 1889, Miss Meissner's dissertation on γάρ (University of Chicago), Stahl's Kritisch-historische Syntax des griechischen Verbums, and Wright's Comparative Grammar of the Greek Language. I have examined many school grammars of Greek in English, German, and French, among which I would particularize those of Hadley-Allen, Goodwin, Babbitt, Goodell, Sonnenschein, Kaegi, Koch, Croiset et Petitjean. I am much indebted also to Thompson's Greek Syntax.

I would finally express my thanks for helpful criticism from Professor Allen R. Benner of Andover Academy, Professor Haven D. Brackett of Clark College, Professor Hermann Collitz of the Johns Hopkins University, Professor Archibald L. Hodges of the Wadleigh High School, New York, Dr. Maurice W. Mather, formerly Instructor in Harvard University, Professor Hanns Oertel of Yale University, and Professor Frank E. Woodruff of Bowdoin College. Dr. J. W. H. Walden, formerly Instructor in Harvard, has lent me invaluable aid by placing at my service his knowledge and skill in the preparation of the Indices.

HERBERT WEIR SMYTH.

Cambridge, Aug. 1, 1918.

## CONTENTS

## INTRODUCTION

												PAGE
The Greek Lang	guage	e and :	its Dia	lect	s.				•	•		. 1
Advanced Work	s on	Gran	ınar a	nd 1	Dialect	S				•	•	. 5
Abbreviations							•			•		. 6
PART	I:	LETI	ERS,	so	UNDS	s, s	YLL.	ABL	ES, A	ACCE	ENT	
The Alphabet												. 7
Vowels and Dip	$_{ m htho}$	ngs										. 8
												. 9
Consonants and												. 10
Pronunciation												. 12
Vowel Change												. 14
Euphony of Vo										•		. 18
Hiatus .			Ċ									. 18
Contraction			ì									. 19
Synizesis		·	•	•	Ĭ.			•				. 21
Crasis .	•		•	•	·							. 22
Elision .	į		•	•								. 23
Aphaeresis	•		·	•	·							. 24
Euphony of Cor		ants	•	•	·	•	·					24-33
Final Consonan			·	•	•	•	·					. 33
Movable Consor			•	•	•	•	•			·		. 34
Syllables, and t			tit.v	•	•	•	•					34-36
Accent: Genera				•	•	•	•	·				. 37
Accent as a				actio	n Cr	esis.	Elisi	on.				. 40
Change of A										tion	·	. 41
Proclitics a				.51011	, 111110	0010	,	u 00.			·	41, 42
Marks of Punct				•	•	•	•	•	·			. 43
Marks of 1 and	uaoic	л.	• .	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
			PART	וד יו	: IN	FLE	CTI	ON				
Danta of Cusash	C1.	D					-					. 44
Parts of Speech Declension:	, ote	ms, n	oous	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
		~										45, 46
Number, G					. 173 2	·	. e .ar		•	•	•	47, 48
Rules for A				Cas	e End	ings	OI IN	ouns	•	•	•	•
DECLENSION OF	Sui	BSTANI	TIVES						•	•	•	48-72
First Decle	nsior	n (Ste	ms in	ā)							•	48-52
Second Dec	clens	ion (S	stems i	no)						•		53-56
		•		,	vii							

	PAGE
Third Declension (Consonant Stems)	. 56–71
Formation of Cases and Stems, Gender	. 58–60
Labial Stems	. 60
Dental Steins.	61
Liquid Stems	62
Stems in Sigma	64
Stems in os, $\omega(f)$	66
Stems in $\iota$ and $\upsilon$	67
Stems in $\iota$ and $\upsilon$	69
Stems in ou	70
Cases in $-\phi\iota(\nu)$	71
Irregular Declension	71
8	73-86
Declension of Adjectives	73
	77
Third Declension	. 79
	85
Irregular Declension	86
Comparison of Adjectives	. 90-98
Declension of Pronouns	
Personal Pronouns	90
Intensive Pronoun airbs	
Reflexive Pronouns, Possessive Pronouns	93
Reciprocal Pronoun, Definite Article, Demonstrative Pronouns	
Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns	95
άλλος, δείνα, etc., Relative Pronouns	96
Correlative Pronouns	98
Adverbs: Origin, Comparison, Correlative Adverbs	. 99–102
Numerals	. 102-106
Numerals	. 106-224
Voices Moods Verbal Nouns Touses	107
Number, Person, Tense-stems	108
Principal Parts. Verb-stems	. 109
O Inflection, MI Inflection, Thematic Vowel	110
Paradigms	. 112-142
Vowel Verbs · Synopsis and Conjugation of λήω	112
Vowel Verbs : Synopsis and Conjugation of $λόω$ Vowel Verbs Contracted : $τ$ $ι$ μ $dω$ , $π$ $οι$ ε $ω$ , $δ$ $ηλόω$ , etc	120
Consonant Verbs	128
$\mu$ ι-Verbs : $\tau$ ίθημι, ἵστημι, δίδωμι, δείκν $\bar{\nu}$ μι	134
Accent of Verbs	143
Augment	145
Reduplication	147
	150
Tense-suffixes, Thematic Vowel	151
Mood-suffixes  Personal Endings  Formation of Tense-systems	152
Formation of Tense-systems	157-182
Changes in the Verh-stem	157

## CONTENTS

									PAGE
Present and Imperfect .				•		•		163	-170
First Class (Simple Class	ss) .								163
Second Class (Tau Clas	s) .								164
Third Class (Iota Class							•		165
Third Class (Iota Class Fourth Class (Nu Class	) .			•					167
									168
Fifth Class ( $\sigma \kappa$ Class) Sixth Class (Mixed Clas	ss) .								169
Future, Active and Middle									170
First Aorist, Active and Mic	dle .								172
Second Aorist, Active and M	<b>lid</b> dle								174
First Perfect and Pluperfect	, Activ	e.							176
Second Perfect and Pluperfe Perfect, Pluperfect, Future First Passive (First Aorist a	ct, Ac	tive .							177
Perfect, Pluperfect, Future	Perfec	t, Mi	ldle .						178
First Passive (First Aorist a	nd Fir	st Fu	ture)						180
Second Passive (Second Aor	ist and	Seco	ond Fr	iture)				٠	181
Perinbrastic Forms				•					182
First Conjugation or Verbs in $\Omega$								183	-202
Vowel Verbs									184
								185.	186
Liquid Verbs, Stop Verbs Inflection of Ω-Verbs									-202
Present and Imperfect,	Active	and	Middl	e.	•				188
Contract Verbs									190
Future Active and Mide	dle. Fu	ture	Perfe	t.					
Future Passive .									194
First Aprist Active and	bbiM F	le .							194
First and Second Aorist	Passi	ve .							195
Second Aorist, Active a	nd Mie	idle							196
First and Second Perfec	et and	Plupe	erfect.	Active					198
Perfect and Pluperfect,									201
Second Conjugation or Verbs in								202	
Present System : First or Si	mple C	า ปลรร				•			
Fourth Class	inpio C		•	•	•	•	•	•	204
Fourth Class Inflection of MI-Verbs .	•		•	•	•	•		205	-210
Present and Imperfect			•	·	•	•	·		206
Futures First Agrist S	econd	A oris	et.	•		•	•	•	208
Present and Imperfect Futures, First Aorist, S First and Second Perfec	t and	Plune	rfect	Active	Per	fect 1	widd Midd	le .	
			,	1100110					-218
εὶμί, εἶμι, τημι, φημί.			•			•	Ċ		-215
δυαι κάθουαι κείναι	•	•	•			•	•		
ήμαι, κάθημαι, κεῖμαι ήμί, χρή, οἶδα	•	•	•	•	•	Ċ		·	
									-222
Peculiarities in the Use of Voice	-iorms		•	٠.	:	•			
Future Middle with Active l	meanin	g ·	•	•	•	•	•		
Middle Deponents, Passive.	реропе	enus .		•	•	٠	•	•	220
Deponents with Passive Mea Active Verbs with Aorist Pa	unng .		. (1441)	· Songe	•	•	•	•	$\frac{221}{222}$
					•	•	•	•	
Mixture of Transitive and Intra-	isitive	Sense	8						222

PART	III:	FOR	AMS	TION	$\mathbf{OF}$	W(	ORDS				
											AGE
Primary and Secondary St		•		•					•		225
Primitive and Denominativ	re Wo	$\operatorname{ords}$						٠.			226
Suffixes	•									. :	226
Changes in Stems .		•								. !	228
Formation of Substantives										. :	229
Formation of Adjectives											236
List of Noun Suffixes .										238-	244
Denominative Verbs .											245
First Part of a Compound										247-	250
Last Part of a Compound										250-	
Accent of Compounds, Mea	aning	of C	omp	ounds	•						252
•	PA	RT ]	ΙΫ́:	SYNT	ГАХ						
Sentences, Subject, Predict	ate		•							•	255
SYNTA	x o	г тн	E S	IMPLE	SE	NTE	NCE				
Subject a Substantive or an	ı Egr	ivale	nt								256
Predicate Nouns, Attributi											256
Appositive, Copula, Object											257
Expansion of Subject and	Predi	cate	Ĭ.		·		-	·			258
					•	•	Ť	•			258
The Subject		·			•	•	•	•	•	259-	
Its Omission	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		259
Impersonal Verbs, Sul	hiect	of the	hnTa	Anitivo	•	•	•	•	•	•	260
Case of the Subject:					•	•	•	•	•	•	261
The Predicate	one it				•	•	•	٠	•	261-	
Omission of the Verb	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	201-	$\frac{260}{261}$
Concord of Subject an	d Day	. ·	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•		262
			e.	•	.*	٠	•	•	•	•	263
			•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	264
With Two or More Su	ыесь	S.	•	٠.	•		•	•	•	•	
Concord of Predicate Subs		ves	٠	. •	•	•	•	•	•	•	265
Apposition			~ .		٠	•	•	٠	٠		266
Peculiarities in the Use of	Num	per, .	Jeno	ier, Pe	rson	•	•	٠	•	269-	
Adjectives	•		٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	272-	
Attributive Adjectives					•	•	•	•	•	•	272
Predicate Adjectives:					•	•	•	٠	•	•	275
Attraction of Predicat				•	•	•	•			. •	278
Comparison of Adject	ives (	(and .	Adve	erbs)				<i>,</i> •	•	•	278
Adverbs							•		•		<b>2</b> 83
The Article										284-	
$\delta$ , $\dot{\eta}$ , $\tau \delta$ in Homer							•			•	284
ό, ή, τό as a Relative a											<b>28</b> 5
$\dot{\delta}$ , $\dot{\eta}$ , $\tau \dot{\delta}$ as the Article											286
Position of the Article					٠						293
Pronouns										298-	-311

CC	M	ידידי	N	TS
1 /1		1 1	1.0	177

хi

										. :	PAGE
Personal Pronouns					•		•	•			298
Possessive Pronouns					•	•		•			<b>299</b>
The Pronoun αὐτός						•					302
Reflexive Pronouns						•					304
Demonstrative Pronoun	s										307
Interrogative Pronouns Indefinite Pronouns					•	٠.					309
Indefinite Pronouns											310
ἄλλος, ἔτερος, ἀλλήλοιν											311
·		TH.	E CA	SES							
VOCATIVE 1											312
GENITIVE									٠.	313-	-337
Genitive Proper with Nouns					_						313
Genitive of Possession					Ĭ.	-					314
Genitive of the Divided	Who	ile (I	Parti	tive	•	•	•	•	·	•	315
Genitive of Quality Genitive of Explanation		(1	. WI UI	,	•	•	•	•	•	·	317
Genitive of Explanation		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	317
Genitive of Material, M Genitive, Subjective and		٠.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	318
Genitive Subjective and	l (ihi	ootiv		•		•	•	•	•	•	318
Cenitive of Value	ı (70)	ecuv	е	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	319
Genitive of Value . Genitive Proper with Verbs	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	320
Partitive Genitive .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	$\frac{320}{320}$
Genitive of Price and V		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Coniting of China and	arue	1.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	325
Genitive of Crime and A	rccor	ıntab	шцу	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	325
Conition with Connection	1 TT-	. 1	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	326
Genitive of Connection Genitive with Compount	a ve	rbs	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	327
Genitive Proper: Free Uses Ablatival Genitive with Ver		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	328
Abiatival Genitive with Ver	os	•	•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	328
Genitive of Separation Genitive of Distinction,		٠.	•	• *	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	328
Genitive of Distinction,	Com	paris	on	•	•	•		•		•	330
Genitive of Cause .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	330
deniare of Bource				•	•	•	•	•	•		331
Genitive with Adjectives	•	•	•		•	•	•		٠.		332
Genitive with Adverbs. Genitive of Time and Place			•				•				335
Genitive of Time and Place	•										336
Dative										337-	-353
Dative Proper Dative Dependent on a											338
Dative Dependent on a	Sing	le W	ord	Ċ	Ċ	·	·	·	·	•	338
Direct Complement				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	338
Indirect Complement	nt	•	•	•	•	•	:	•	•		340
Direct Complement Indirect Complement Direct or Indirect (	lomn	leme	nt.	•	• '-	•	•	•	•	· •	340
Direct or Indirect ( Dative as a Modifier of  Dative of Interest	the S	ente	nce	•	•	:	•	•			341
Dative of Interest	one o	V11001		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	341
Dative of Interest Dative of Relation	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	344
Dative with Adjectives	·		01.			•	•	•	•		044

## CONTENTS

									PAGE
Instrumental Dative Proper		•	•		•				. 346
Comitative Dative.			•	•					. 349
With Adjectives, Adverbs, S	ubst	antiv	es						. 351
Locative Dative							•		. 351
Dative with Compound Verbs	•					•			. 353
ACCUSATIVE									353-365
Accusative of Internal Object	t (O	bject	Effec	ted)					. 355
Cognate Accusative	. `			. ´					. 355
Accusative of Result									. 357
Accusative of Extent									. 357
Terminal Accusative								<b>'</b> .	. 358
Accusative of External Object	t (Ö	bject	Affe	cted)					. 358
Free Uses of the Accusative.	. `	·		. ′					. 360
Accusative of Respect .									. 360
Adverbial Accusative .									. 361
Two Accusatives with One Verb									. 362
Two Verbs with a Common Objective									. 364
	r: 70.0	nn.	××						
	E PE	REPOS	81110	NS					905
Origin and Development .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 365
Variation	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 369
Repetition and Omission .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 369
Ordinary Uses		•	•	•	•	•	•	• '	. 370
List of Prepositions	•	•	•	• .	•	•	•		371–388
Improper Prepositions	•	•	• .	•	•	•	•	٠	. 388
•	TII	E VE	RB						
THE VOICES									389-398
Active Voice									. 389
Middle Voice									. 390
Passive Voice									. 394
The Moods								•	398-412
The Particle $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 398
The Moods in Simple Sentences	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 400
Indicative without $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$ .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. 400
Indicative with av.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 402
Subjunctive without $\ell \nu$ .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. 403
Subjunctive with $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$ .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. 406
Optative without $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$ .	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	. 406
· ·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 407
Imperative	٠ ,	•	•	•	٠.	•	•	٠	. 409
Infinitive and Participle with	āν	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	. 411
THE TENSES		. '					•		412-437
Kind of Time, Stage of Action									. 413
Tenses outside of the Indicative									. 415
Tenses of the Indicative .									421

				co	NTE	NTS	3					xiii
												PAGE
Present .			•					2				. 421
Present . Imperfect												. 423
Future .												. 427
Aorist .												. 429
Perfect .											•	. 434
Pluperfect												. 435
Future Peri	fect											. 436
Imperfect Future . Aorist . Perfect . Pluperfect Future Peri Periphrastic Ter	ases											436
Periphrastic Ter Free Infinitive Personal and In Infinitive witho As Subject, Not in Indi After V After A Infiniti Absolu Infiniti In Indirect											. 4	37-454
Subject and Pre	dicate	Nou	n wit	h Inf	initiv	e						. 438
Personal and In	perso	nal C	onst	ructio	n							. 440
Infinitive witho	ut the	Arti	cle									. 441
As Subject.	Predi	cate.	and	App	ositive	э.						. 441
Not in Indi	rect D	iscou	rse									. 442
After V	Verbs	of wi	ll or	desir	е.							. 443
After (	ther '	Verbs	3 .									. 445
After A	Adiecti	ves.	Adve	rbs.	and S	ubst	antiv	es.				445
Infiniti	ve of 1	Purn	ose at	nd R	esult				•			. 446
Absolu	te Infi	nitiv	е.									. 447
Infiniti	ve in (	Comr	nand	s. W	ishes.	and	Excl	amati	ons		•	. 448
In Indirect	Disco	urse		~,								. 449
In Indirect Infinitive with t	he Ar	ticle	•	·								. 450
THE PARTICIPL Attributive Circumstan Genitiv Accusa	Е.										. 4	54-479
Attributive	Partic	inle	•	•								. 455
Circumstan	tial Pa	artici	nle	•		Ċ	·					. 456
Genitis	re Ahs	olute	pro	·	·							. 459
Accuse	tive A	hsoli	nte	•	·							. 461
Advari	1100/	1 in (	'Ann	このじょうへょ	תזועטרר	1 ( ) 11 (	nnsi	12.111.12	l rai	THE DIE	8	. 404
Supplemen Not in In Ind	tary P	ortio	inle	,00101	. 11701	. 011.						. 465
Not in	Indire	aruto.	iecon	ree	•	•	•	·				. 466
In Ind	iroet T	Jice O	1000u	150	•	•	•	·	Ċ			. 470
Omission of	11 CCU 1. f X	15000	arse	•	•	•	•	•	Ċ			. 472
An with a F	ı <i>wı</i> Partioir	olo in	Indi	rect	Digan	urse.	•	•	·	·		. 473
Omission of the with a F Verbs taking ei Remarks on So	ar oreig than th	o Po	rtioir	ale or	the l	Infini	tive	•	·	Ĭ		. 474
Domontes on So	mo ITa	ng of	Dort	ioinla	. 0110 1	. 13 1111		•	•	·		477
Temarks on 60	me Us	ES 01	1 0010	Cipie	٠.	•	•	•	•	•		170 490
VERBAL ADJECT	TIVES	IN ~	τέos	• .			•	•	•	•	. 4	19 <del>1</del> 00 190
Personal ar	nd Imp	erso	nal C	onstr	uctio	ns -	•	•	•	•	•	. 400
SUMMARY OF T	не Го	RMS	of S	IMPL	e Sei	NTEN	CES	•	-	•	•	. 481
	SYN	TAX	OF	THE	COM	IPOU	IND	SENT	ENC	E		
Asyndeton .												. 484
Asyndeton . Coördination in	Place	of S	ubor	dinat	ion –	- Par	ataxi	s.				. 485
•	SY	NTA	х оғ	тн	E CO	MPL:	ex s	ENT	ENCI	£		
General View												. 487
Anticipation (c	r Prol	ensie	``	•	•	•	•	•				. 488

							PAGE
Assimilation of Moods.  Three Main Classes of Subordinate Clau.	,	•	•	•	•		489 492
	808	•	•	•	•		
PURPOSE CLAUSES (FINAL CLAUSES).	•	•	•	•	•	. 493	<b>-4</b> 96
Equivalents of a Final Clause, .	•	•	•	•			496
OBJECT CLAUSES						. 496	-503
Connection of Final with Object Cla	uses					. <b>.</b>	497
Object Clauses with Verbs of Effort							497
Object Clauses with Verbs of Cautio	n.						500
Object Clauses with Verbs of Fearin	g .						500
CAUSAL CLAUSES						502	-505
el instead of öre after Verbs of Emot	tion	•	•	•	•	. 000	505
RESULT CLAUSES (CONSECUTIVE CLAUSE		•	•	•	•		-511
ωστε with the Infinitive	נמש	٠.	٠	•	•	. 900	
	٠	•	•	•			507
ιστε with a Finite Verb	•	•	•	•	•		510
Proviso Clauses with $\epsilon \phi' \ \dot{\psi}, \ \dot{\epsilon} \phi' \ \dot{\psi} \tau \epsilon$							512
CONDITIONAL CLAUSES						. 512-	-537
Classification							513
Table of Conditional Forms							516
Present and Past Conditions							516
Simple Present and Past Conditions							516
Present and Past Unreal Conditions							518
Unreal Conditions - Apodosis with							520
Future Conditions							522
More Vivid Future Conditions .			Ī,			•	523
Emotional Future Conditions			•	•	•	•	525
Less Vivid Future Conditions .		·	•	•			526
General Conditions	•	•	•	•	• (	•	527
Present General Conditions	•	•	•	•	• •	•	528
Past General Conditions	•	• .	•	:	•	•	528
Indicative Form of General Condition	ne.	•	•	•	•	•	529
Different Forms of Conditional Sentence		Sam	e Sen	tence	•		529
Variations from the Ordinary Forms and						ences	530
Modifications of the Protasis.		50 01 1	Onc	orona.	. NOLL	011005	530
Modifications of the Apodosis .		•	:	•	•	•	531
Protasis and Apodosis Combined .	•	•	•	•	•. •	•	532
Less Usual Combinations of Complete Pr		nd A	nodos	sis		•	534
el with the Optative, Apodosis a Prin					cativa	ote.	535
Two or More Protases or Apodoses in Or				· Inui	Castive	, 000.	536
<del>-</del>	ic Sciia	CIICC	•	•			
Concessive Clauses	•	٠.	•	•		537-	
Temporal Clauses	•			•		539-	-555
Indicative Temporal Clauses referring to		it or 1	Past T	$\Gamma$ ime			<b>541</b>
Temporal Clauses referring to the Future							543
Temporal Clauses in Generic Sentences							545
Temporal Clauses denoting Purpose .							547

CC	N'	r ie:	NΤ	2.5

Ýν PAGE Summary of the Constructions of \( \xi\_{\omega} \) so long as and until . 548 General Rule for molv before, until . . . . . . 549 ποίν with the Indicative . . . 551 ποίν with the Subjunctive . 552  $\pi \rho l \nu$  with the Optative . . 553  $\pi o i \nu$  with the Infinitive . . . 553 πρότερον ή, πρόσθεν ή, πρὶν ή, πάρος 555 COMPARATIVE CLAUSES . 555-560 Similes and Comparisons . 559 RELATIVE CLAUSES . . 560-580 Relative Propouns 561 562 The Antecedent of Relative Clauses 563 Definite and Indefinite Antecedent 563 Omission of the Antecedent . . . 564 Relative not Repeated . . . 566 567 Case of the Relative with Omitted Antecedent 568 Inverse Attraction of Relative Pronouns 569 Incorporation of the Antecedent . . . 570 Other Peculiarities of Relative Clauses 571 Use of the Moods in Relative Clauses 572 Classes of Relative Clauses . . . 573 Ordinary Relative Clauses . 573 Relative Clauses of Purpose . 574 Relative Clauses of Cause . 574 Relative Clauses of Result . 575 Conditional Relative Clauses 576 Less Usual Forms . . 580 DEPENDENT SUBSTANTIVE CLAUSES . . 580-596 Dependent Statements with ὅτι or ὡς . . . 581 584 General Principles. . 585 Simple Sentences in Indirect Discourse . 587 Complex Sentences in Indirect Discourse . 587 589 Remarks on the Constructions of Indirect Discourse . 590 INTERROGATIVE SENTENCES Direct Questions . . 597 Indirect Questions . 601 EXCLAMATORY SENTENCES

606

607

Direct Exclamatory Sentences .

Indirect Exclamatory Sentences . . . .

## CONTENTS

				PAGE
NEGATIVE SENT	rences			
Difference between $o\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$				. 608
Position of $o\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$				. 609
ov Adherescent				. 610
où after $\epsilon l \ (\hat{\epsilon} \hat{a} \nu)$				. 611
οὐ and μή with Indicative and Optative .				. 612
μή with Subjunctive and Imperative				. 614
Negatives of Indirect Discourse			•	. 615
οὐ and μή with the Infinitive				615-618
Not in Indirect Discourse				. 615
In Indirect Discourse			٠.	. 617
ου and μή with the Participle				. 618
οὐ and μή with Substantives and Adjectives u	ised Substan	tively .		. 619
οὐδείς, μηδείς				. 620
Apparent Exchange of $o\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$				. 620
μή and μη οὐ with the Infinitive depending or	n Verbs of N	egative	Meani	ng. 622
μη οὐ with the Infinitive depending on Negat	ived Verbs			. 624
μη οὐ with the Participle depending on Negat	ived Verbs	. ,		. 625
μή and μη οὐ with the Subjunctive and Indica	ative .			. 626
Redundant où with $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ , etc				. 626
οὐ μή				. 626
Negatives with $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ and the Infinitive				. 627
Accumulation of Negatives				. 628
Some Negative Phrases				. 629
PARTICLE	es			•
General View				. 631
List of Particles				632 - 671
	_			
· FIGURES	5			
List of Grammatical and Rhetorical Figures			•	671–683
'				
	_			
Appendix: List of Verbs				684 - 722
English Index , .				723 - 756
Greek Index				757-784

#### INTRODUCTION

#### THE GREEK LANGUAGE AND ITS DIALECTS

- A. Greek, the language of the inhabitants of Greece, has been constantly spoken from the time of Homer to the present day. The inhabitants of ancient Greece and other Greeks dwelling in the islands and on the coasts of the Mediterranean called themselves (as do the modern Greeks) by the name Hellenes ( $^*$ E $\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\epsilon_3$ ), their country Hellas ( $^*$ E $\lambda\lambda\delta_3$ ), and their language the Hellenic ( $^*$ E $\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\kappa\dot{\gamma}$   $\gamma\lambda\omega\tau\tau a$ ). We call them Greeks from the Latin Graeci, the name given them by the Romans, who applied to the entire people a name properly restricted to the  $\Gamma\rho a\hat{\iota}o$ , the first Hellenes of whom the Romans had knowledge.
- N. 1. Graeci (older Graici) contains a Latin suffix -icus; and the name  $\Gamma_{\rho\alpha\iota\kappaol}$ , which occurs first in Aristotle, is borrowed from Latin. The Roman designation is derived either from the  $\Gamma_{\rho\alpha\iotaol}$ , a Boeotian tribe that took part in the colonization of Cyme in Italy, or from the  $\Gamma_{\rho\alpha\iotaol}$ , a larger tribe of the same stock that lived in Epirus.
- N. 2. No collective name for 'all Greece' appears in Homer, to whom the Hellenes are the inhabitants of Hellas, a district forming part of the kingdom of Peleus (B 683) and situated in the S.E. of the country later called Thessaly. 'Ellas for 'all Greece' occurs first in Hesiod. The Greeks in general are called by Homer' Axaiol, 'Appeloi,  $\Delta avaol$ .
- B. Greek is related to the languages of the Indians (Sanskrit), Persians (Zend), Armenians, Albanians, Slavonians, Lithuanians, Romans, Celts, and Germans. These various languages are all of the same stock, and together constitute the Indo-European family of languages. An important relation of Greek to English, which is a branch of the Germanic tongue, is illustrated by Grimm's law of the 'permutation of consonants':

The above English words are said to be cognate with the Greek words. Derived words, such as geography, theatre, are horrowed directly or indirectly, from the Greek  $(\gamma \epsilon \omega \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \ell \tilde{a}, \theta \ell \tilde{a} \tau \rho o \nu)$ .

C. At the earliest known period of its history the Greek language was divided into dialects. Corresponding to the chief divisions of the Greeks into Aeolians, Dorians, and Ionians (a division unknown to Homer), three groups of dialects are commonly distinguished: Aeolic, Doric, and Ionic, of which Attic is a sister dialect. Aeolic and Doric are more nearly related to each other than is either to Ionic.

Acolic: spoken in Acolis, Lesbos, and kindred with the dialect of Thessaly (except Phthiotis) and of Bocotia (though Bocotian has many Doric ingredients). In this book 'Acolic' means Lesbian Acolic.

11.60110.

N. 1. — Aeolic retains primitive  $\bar{a}$  (30); changes  $\tau$  before  $\iota$  to  $\sigma$  (115); has recessive accent (162 D.), and many other peculiarities.

**Doric:** spoken in Peloponnesus (except Arcadia and Elis), in several of the islands of the Aegean (Crete, Melos, Thera, Rhodes, etc.), in parts of Sicily and in Southern Italy.

- N. 2. Doric retains primitive  $\bar{a}$  (30), keeps  $\tau$  before  $\iota$  (115 D.). Almost all Doric dialects have  $-\mu\varepsilon$  for  $-\mu\varepsilon$  (462 D.), the infinitive in  $-\mu\varepsilon$  for  $-\nu\alpha\iota$  (469 D.), the future in  $-\xi\omega$  from verbs in  $-\zeta\omega$  (516 D.), the future in  $-\sigma\hat{\omega}$ ,  $-\sigma\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$  (540 a).
- N. 3.—The sub-dialects of Laconia, Crete, and Southern Italy, and of their several colonies, are often called Severer (or Old) Doric; the others are called Milder (or New) Doric. Severer Doric has  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  where Milder Doric has  $\epsilon\iota$  and  $\delta\upsilon$  (59 D. 4, 5; 230 D.). There are also differences in verbal forms (654).

Ionic: spoken in Ionia, in most of the islands of the Aegean, in a few towns of Sicily, etc.

- N. 4. Ionic changes primitive  $\bar{a}$  to  $\eta$  (30); changes  $\tau$  before  $\iota$  to  $\sigma$  (115); has lost digamma, which is still found in Aeolic and Doric; often refuses to contract vowels; keeps a mute smooth before the rough breathing (124 D.); has  $\kappa$  for  $\pi$  in pronominal forms (132 D.).
- N. 5. The following dialects do not fall under the above divisions: Arcadian (and the kindred Cyprian, which are often classed with Aeolic), Elean, and the dialects of N.W. Greece (Locris, Phocis, Aetolia, Acarnania, Epirus, etc.). N.W. Greek resembles Doric.
- N. 6.—The dialects that retain  $\bar{a}$  (30) are called  $\bar{A}$  dialects (Aeolic, Doric, etc.); Ionic and Attic are the only H dialects. The Eastern dialects (Aeolic, Ionic) change  $\tau_i$  to  $\sigma_i$  (115).
- N. 7.—The local dialects, with the exception of Tzaconian (a Laconian idiom), died out gradually and ceased to exist by 300 A.D.
- D. The chief dialects that occur in literature are as follows (almost all poetry is composed in a mixture of dialects):

Aeolic: in the Lesbian lyric poets Alcaeus and Sappho (600 B.C.). Numerous Aeolisms appear in epic poetry, and some in tragedy. Theocritus' idylls 28-30 are in Aeolic.

Doric: in many lyric poets, notably in Pindar (born 522 B.c.); in the bucolic (pastoral) poetry of Theocritus (about 310-about 245 B.c.). Both of these poets

adopt some epic and Aeolic forms. The choral parts of Attic tragedy also admit some Doric forms. There is no Doric, as there is no Aeolic, literary prose.

Ionic: (1) Old Ionic or Epic, the chief ingredient of the dialect of Homer and of Hesiod (before 700 B.c.). Almost all subsequent poetry admits epic words and forms. (2) New Ionic (500-400), the dialect of Herodotus (484-425) and of the medical writer Hippocrates (born 460). In the period between Old and New Ionic: Archilochus, the lyric poet (about 700-650 B.c.).

Attic: (kindred to Ionic) was used by the great writers of Athens in the fifth and fourth centuries B.C., the period of her political and literary supremacy. In it are composed the works of the tragic poets Aeschylus (525-456), Sophocles (496-406), Euripides (about 480-406), the comic poet Aristophanes (about 450-385), the historians Thucydides (died before 396) and Xenophon (about 434-about 355), the orators Lysias (born about 450), Isocrates (436-338), Aeschines (389-314), Demosthenes (383-322), and the philosopher Plato (427-347).

E. The Attic dialect was distinguished by its refinement, precision, and beauty; it occupied an intermediate position between the soft Ionic and the rough Doric, and avoided the pronounced extremes of other dialects. By reason of its cultivation at the hands of the greatest writers from 500 B.c. to 300 B.c., it became the standard literary dialect; though Old Ionic was still occasionally employed in later epic, and Doric in pastoral poetry.

N. 1. — The dialect of the tragic poets and Thucydides is often called Old Attic in contrast to New Attic, that used by most other Attic writers. Plato stands on the border-line. The dialect of tragedy contains some Homeric, Doric, and Aeolic forms; these are more frequent in the choral than in the dialogue parts. The choral parts take over forms used in the Aeolic-Doric lyric; the dialogue parts show the influence of the iambic poetry of the Ionians. But the tendency of Attic speech in literature was to free itself from the influence of the dialect used by the tribe originating any literary type; and by the fourth century pure Attic was generally used throughout. The normal language of the people ("Standard Attic") is best seen in Aristophanes and the orators. The native Attic speech as it appears in inscriptions shows no local differences; the speech of Attica was practically uniform. Only the lowest classes, among which were many foreigners, used forms that do not follow the ordinary phonetic laws. The language of the religious cults is sometimes archaic in character.

N. 2. — Old Attic writers use  $\sigma\sigma$  for  $\tau\tau$  (78),  $\rho\sigma$  for  $\rho\rho$  (79),  $\xi\delta\nu$  for  $\sigma\delta\nu$  with,  $\delta$ s for  $\epsilon$ is into,  $\eta$  for  $\epsilon$ i ( $\lambda\delta\eta$  for  $\lambda\delta\epsilon$ i, thou loosest),  $-\hat{\eta}$ s in the plural of substantives in  $-\epsilon\delta$ s ( $\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\hat{\eta}$ s, 277), and occasionally  $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  and  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  in the third plural of the perfect and pluperfect (465 f).

With the Macedonian conquest Athens ceased to produce great writers, but Attic culture and the Attic dialect were diffused far and wide. With this extension of its range, Attic lost its purity; which had indeed begun to decline in Aristotle (384–322 B.C.).

F. Koinè or Common dialect (ἡ καινὴ διάλεκτος). The Koinè took its rise in the Alexandrian period, so called from the preëminence of

Alexandria in Egypt as a centre of learning until the Roman conquest of the East; and lasted to the end of the ancient world (sixth century A.D.). It was the language used by persons speaking Greek from Gaul to Syria, and was marked by numerous varieties. In its spoken form the Koinè consisted of the spoken form of Attic intermingled with a considerable number of Ionic words and some loans from other dialects, but with Attic orthography. The literary form, a compromise between Attic literary usage and the spoken language, was an artificial and almost stationary idiom from which the living speech drew farther and farther apart.

In the Koinè are composed the writings of the historians Polybius (about 205-about 120 B.C.), Diodorus (under Augustus), Plutarch (about 46-about 120 A.D.), Arrian (about 95-175 A.D.), Cassius Dio (about 150-about 235 A.D.), the rhetoricians Dionysius of Halicarnassus (under Augustus), Lucian (about 120-about 180 A.D.), and the geographer Strabo (about 64 B.C.-19 A.D.). Josephus, the Jewish historian (37 A.D.-about 100), also used the Koinè.

- N. 1. The name Atticist is given to those reactionary writers in the Koine dialect (e.g. Lucian) who aimed at reproducing the purity of the earlier Attic. The Atticists flourished chiefly in the second century A.D.
- N. 2.—Some writers distinguish, as a form of the Koine, the Hellenistic, a name restricted by them to the language of the New Testament and of the Septuagint (the partly literal, partly tolerably free, Greek translation of the Old Testament made by Grecized Jews at Alexandria and begun under Ptolemy Philadelphus 285–247 B.C.). The word Hellenistic is derived from Ελληνιστής (from Ελληνιζω speak Greek), a term applied to persons not of Greek birth (especially Jews), who had learned Greek. The New Testament is composed in the popular language of the time, which in that work is more or less influenced by classical models. No accurate distinction can be drawn between the Koine and Hellenistic.
- G. Modern Greek appears in literature certainly as early as the eleventh century, when the literary language, which was still employed by scholars and churchmen, was no longer understood by the common people. During the middle ages and until about the time of the Greek Revolution (1821-1831), the language was called Romaic ('Pωμαϊκή), from the fact that the people claimed the name of Romans (Popaloi), since the capital of the Roman Empire had been transferred to Constantinople. The natural language of the modern Greeks is the outcome of a continual development of the Koine in its spoken form. At the present day the dialect of a Greek peasant is still organically the same as that of the age of Demosthenes; while the written language, and to a less extent the spoken language of cultivated Athenians and of those who have been influenced by the University at Athens, have been largely assimilated to the ancient idiom. Modern Greek, while retaining in general the orthography of the classical period, is very different in respect of pronunciation.

#### ADVANCED WORKS ON GRAMMAR AND DIALECTS

Ahrens: De Graecae linguae dialectis (I. Aeolic 1839, II. Doric 1843). Göttingen. Still serviceable for Doric.

Blass: Pronunciation of Ancient Greek. Translated from the third German edition by Purton. Cambridge, Eng., 1890.

Boisacq: Les Dialectes doriens. Paris-Liége, 1891.

Brugmann: Griechische Grammatik. 4te Aufl. München, 1913. Purely comparative.

CHANDLER: Greek Accentuation. 2d ed. Oxford, 1881.

GILDERSLEEVE AND MILLER: Syntax of Classical Greek from Homer to Demosthenes. Part i. New York, 1900. Part ii, 1911.

Goodwin: Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb. Rewritten and enlarged. Boston, 1890.

Henry: Précis de Grammaire comparée du Grec et du Latin. 5th ed. Paris, 1894. Translation (from the 2d ed.) by Elliott: A Short Comparative Grammar of Greek and Latin. London, 1890.

Hirt: Handbuch der Griechischen Laut- und Formenlehre. Heidelberg, 1902. Comparative.

HOFFMANN: Die griechischen Dialekte. Vol. i. Der süd-achäische Dialekt (Arcadian, Cyprian), Göttingen, 1891. Vol. ii. Der nord-achäische Dialekt (Thessalian, Aeolic, Boeotian), 1893. Vol. iii. Der ionische Dialekt (Quellen und Lautlehre), 1898.

Krüger: Griechische Sprachlehre. Part i, 5te Aufl., 1875. Part ii, 4te Aufl., 1862. Leipzig. Valuable for examples of syntax.

KÜHNER: Ausführliche Grammatik der griechischen Sprache. 3te Aufl. Part i by Blass. Part ii (Syntax) by Gerth. Hannover, 1890-1904. The only modern complete Greek Grammar. The part by Blass contains good collections, but is insufficient on the side of comparative grammar.

Meister: Die griechischen Dialekte. Vol. i. Asiatisch-äolisch, Böotisch, Thessalisch, Göttingen, 1882. Vol. ii. Eleisch, Arkadisch, Kyprisch, 1889.

MESTERHANS: Grammatik der attischen Inschriften. 3te Aufl. Berlin, 1900. MESTER: Griechische Grammatik. 3te Aufl. Leipzig, 1896. Comparative, with

due attention to inscriptional forms. Deals only with sounds and forms.

Monro: A Grammar of the Homeric Dialect. 2d ed. Oxford, 1891. Valuable, especially for its treatment of syntax.

RIEMANN AND GOELZER: Grammaire comparée du Grec et du Latin. Vol. i. Phonétique et Étude des Formes, Paris, 1901. Vol. ii. Syntaxe, 1897.

Smyth: The Sounds and Inflections of the Greek Dialects. Ionic. Oxford, 1894.

Van Leeuwen: Enchiridium dictionis epicae. Lugd. Bat., 1892-94. Contains a full discussion of forms, and aims at reconstructing the primitive text of Homer.

VEITCH: Greek Verbs Irregular and Defective. New ed. Oxford, 1887.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

```
H. F.
A.
          = Aeschylus.
                                         = Hercules furens.
                                                                 Lvs. = Lvsis.
                                 Hipp.
                                         = Hippolytus.
        = Agamemnon.
                                                                 Men. = Meno.
 Ag.
                                 I. A.
                                         = Iphigenia
                                                        Apli-
                                                                 Menex.= Menexenus.
 Ch.
        = Choephori.
                                              densis.
                                                                        = Parmenides.
 Eum.
        = Eumenides.
                                 I. T.
                                         = Iphigenia Taurica.
                                                                 Ph.
                                                                        = Phaedo.
 Pers.
         = Persae.
                                 Med
                                         = Medea.
                                                                 Phae. = Phaedrus
 Pr
         = Prometheus.
                                         = Orestes
                                                                        = Philebus
                                 Or.
                                                                 Phil.
         =Sentem.
 Sept.
                                 Phoen.
                                         = Phoenissae.
                                                                 Pol.
                                                                        = Politicus.
 Supp. = Supplices.
                                 Supp.
                                          = Supplices.
                                                                 Pr.
                                                                        = Protagoras.
          = Aeschines.
Aes.
                                 Tro.
                                          = Troades.
                                                                 R.
                                                                        = Respublica.
And.
          = Andocides.
                                                                 Soph. = Sophistes.
                               Hdt.

    Herodotus.

Ant.
          = Antiphon.
                                                                        = Symposium.
                               Hom.
                                         = Homer.
                                                                 Th.
                                                                        = Theaetetus.
Antiph. = Antiphanes.
                                 The books of the Iliad are
                                                                 Theag. = Theages.
          = Aristophanes.
Ar.
                                   designated by Greek capi-
                                                                 Tim. = Timaeus.
  Ach.
         = A charnenses.
                                   tals (A, B, I, etc.); those
                                                               S.
                                                                      = Sophocles.
  Av.
         = A ves.
                                   of the Odyssey by Greek
                                                                        = A jax.
                                                                 Aj.
  Eccl.
        = Ecclesiazusae.
                                   small letters (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, etc.).
                                                                 Ant.
                                                                        = Antigone.
  Eq.
         = Equites.
                                         = Isocrates.
                                                                 El.
                                                                        = Electra.
 Lys.
         = Lysistrata.
                                                                        = Oedipus Coloneus.
                               I.G.A. = Inscriptiones
                                                                 O. C.
        = Nubes.
  Nub.
                                                                 O. T.
                                                                        = Oedipus Tyrannus.
  Р.
        = Pax.
                                               Graecae an-
                                                                 Ph.
                                                                        = Philoctetes.
  Plut.
        = Plutus.
                                               tiquissimae.
                                                                 Tr.
                                                                        = Trachiniae.
        = Ranae.
                               Is.
                                         = Isaeus.
                                                               Stob. = Stobaeus.
  Thesm .= The smophoriazusae.
                               Lvc.
                                         = Lycurgus.
  Vesp. = Vespae.
                                                                 Flor. = Florilegium.
                               L.
                                         = Lysias.
C.I.A.
          = Corpus
                         in-
                                                                      = Thucydides.
                                Men.
                                         = Menander.
               scriptionum
                                                               X.
                                                                      = Xenophon.
                                         = Sententiae.
               Atticarum.
                                                                        = Anabasis.
                                Philem. = Philemon.
                                                                        = A pologia.
Com. Fr. = Comic Frag-
                                                                 Ap.
                                                                 Ages. = Agesilaus.
                                Pind.
                                         = Pindar.
               ments.
                                                                 C.
                                                                        = Cyropaedia.
                               P. .
                                         = Plato.
D.
          = Demosthenes.
                                                                 Ea.
                                                                        = de re egaestri.
                                 Α.
                                           = A pologia.
Diog.
          = Diogenes
                                                                        = Hellenica.
                                                                 H.
                                 Alc.
                                           = Alcibiades.
                                                                 Hi.
                                                                        = Hiero.
 Laert.
               Laertius.
                                 Charm.
                                           = Charmides.
                                                                 Hipp. = Hipparchicus.
          = Euripides.
                                           = Crito.
                                 Cr.
                                                                 M.
                                                                        = Memorabilia.
  Alc.
         = Alcestis.
                                 Crat.
                                           = Cratylus.
                                                                 0.
                                                                        = Oeconomicus.
  And.
        = Andromache.
                                 Criti.
                                           = Critias.
                                                                 R. A. = Respublica Atheni-
  Bacch. = Bacchae.
                                 En.
                                           = Euthydemus.
                                                                            ensis.
  Cycl. = Cyclops.
                                 Euth.
                                           = Euthyphro.
                                                                 R. L. = Respublica
                                                                                       Lace-
  El.
        = Electra.
                                                                            daemonia.
                                 G.
                                           = Gorgias.
         = Hecuba.
  Hec.
                                                                        = Symposium.
                                 Hipp. M. = Hippias Major.
         = Helena.
                                                                 Vect. = de vectigalibus.
                                 Lach.
                                           = Laches.
  Heracl. = Heraclidae.
                                                                 Ven. = de venatione.
                                           = Leges.
```

The dramatists are cited by Dindorf's lines. But Tragic fragments (Fr. or Frag.) are cited by Nauck's numbers, Comic fragments (except Menander's Sententiae) by Kock's volumes and pages. The Orators are cited by the numbers of the speeches and the sections in the Teubner editions.

Other abbreviations:  $-\kappa.\tau.\lambda$ . =  $\kappa al \tau a \lambda ol \pi a$  (et cetera); scil. = scilicet; i.e. = id est; ib. = ibidem; e.g. = exempli gratia; I.E. = Indo-European; )( = as contrasted with.

## PART I

## LETTERS, SOUNDS, SYLLABLES, ACCENT

#### THE ALPHABET

1. The Greek alphabet has twenty-four letters.

For	m	Name	•	Equivalents	Sound as in
A	α	$a \lambda \phi a$	alpha	a à	i: ahà; ā: father
В	β	$eta \hat{\eta}  au a$	$b\bar{e}ta$	<i>b</i>	beg
$\Gamma$	γ	γάμμα	gamma	g	go
$\Delta$	δ	$\delta \epsilon \lambda  au a$	delta	d	dig
${f E}$	€	$\epsilon \hat{i},  \check{\epsilon}  (\hat{\epsilon}  \psi \bar{\iota} \lambda \acute{o} \nu)$	$\check{e}psar{\imath}lon$	$\check{e}$	$\overline{\mathrm{met}}$
${f z}$	ζ	ζῆτα	$zar{e}tlpha$	z	daze
H	η	$\tilde{\eta}_{Ta}$	ētα	$ar{e}$	Fr. fête
$oldsymbol{\Theta}$	$\theta$ , $\vartheta$	$ heta \hat{\eta}  au a$	$thar{e}ta$	th	an
I	L	$\hat{\iota}\hat{\omega} au a$	$iar{o}ta$	$i$ $\check{e}$ :	meteor; i: police
K	κ	$\kappa \acute{a}\pi\pi a$	kappa	$c,\ k$	kin
Λ	λ	$\lambda \acute{a}\mu eta \delta a$	lambda	l	let
M	$\mu$	$\mu \widehat{v}$	mu	m	$\operatorname{met}$
N	ν	$ u \hat{v}$	nu	n	$_{ m net}$
E	ξ	$\xi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \; (\xi \hat{\iota})$	xi	x	lax
0	o	οὖ, ὄ (ὂ μῖκρόν)	$\check{o}m\bar{\imath}cron$	ŏ	obey
$\Pi$	$\pi$	$\pi\epsilon \widehat{\imath}\;(\pi \widehat{\imath})$	pi	p	$\operatorname{pet}$
P	ρ	ှ်စ်	rho	r	run
Σ	σ, ς	σίγμα	sigma	8	$\operatorname{such}$
${f T}$	τ	$ au a \hat{v}$	tau	t	$\operatorname{tar}$
Y	υ	$oldsymbol{\hat{v}}\left(oldsymbol{\hat{v}}\;\psiar{\iota}\lambda\delta u ight)$	$\ddot{u}psar{\imath}lon$	$(u) y  \check{u}$ :	Fr. tu; $\bar{u}$ : Fr. sûr
Φ	φ	$oldsymbol{\phi} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \; (\phi \hat{\imath})$	phi	ph	graphic
$\mathbf{X}$	χ	$\chi$ $\epsilon$ î $(\chi$ î)	chi	ch	Germ. machen
$\Psi$	$\psi$	$\psi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\;(\psi\hat{\iota})$	psi	ps	$\operatorname{gypsum}$
$\Omega$	ω	ὧ (ὧ μέγα)	<i>ŏmĕga</i>	$ar{o}$ ,	note

a. Sigma (not capital) at the end of a word is written s, elsewhere  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\sigma\epsilon\iota\sigma\mu\delta s$  earthquake.

b. The names in parentheses, from which are derived those in current use, were given at a late period, some as late as the Middle Ages. Thus, epsilon means 'simple e,' upsilon 'simple u,' to distinguish these letters from  $\alpha\iota$ ,  $o\iota$ , which were sounded like  $\epsilon$  and  $\nu$ .

- c. Labda is a better attested ancient name than lambda.
- 2. The Greek alphabet as given above originated in Ionia, and was adopted at Athens in 403 B.C. The letters from A to T are derived from Phoenician and have Semitic names. The signs  $\Upsilon$  to  $\Omega$  were invented by the Greeks. From the Greek alphabet are derived the alphabets of most European countries. The ancients used only the large letters, called majuscules (capitals as E, uncials as E); the small letters (minuscules), which were used as a literary hand in the ninth century, are cursive forms of the uncials.
- a. Before 403 B.c. in the official Attic alphabet E stood for  $\epsilon$ ,  $\eta$ , spurious  $\epsilon \iota$  (6), 0 for  $\epsilon$ ,  $\omega$ , spurious  $\epsilon \iota$  (6), H for the rough breathing, X $\Sigma$  for  $\Xi$ ,  $\Phi\Sigma$  for  $\Psi$ . A was written for  $\gamma$ , and V for  $\lambda$ . Thus:

ΕΔΟΧ≤ΕΝΤΕΙΒΟν ΕΙΚΑΙΤΟΙΔΕΜΟ! ἔδοξεν τῆ βουλῆ καὶ τῷ δήμῳ. Χ≲ΥΛΛΡΑΦΕ≼Χ≼ΥΝΕΛΡΑΦ<ΑΝ ξυγγραφῆς ξυνέγραψαν. ΕΓΙΤΕΔΕΙΟΝΕΝΑΙΑΓΟΤΟΑΡΛΥΡΙΟ ἐπιτήδειον εἶναι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀργυρίου.


3. In the older period there were two other letters: (1) F:  $\rho a\hat{v}$ , vau, called digamma (i.e. double-gamma) from its shape. It stood after  $\epsilon$  and was pronounced like w.  $\rho$  was written in Boeotian as late as 200 B.C. (2)  $\rho$ :  $\kappa \delta \pi \pi a$ ,  $\kappa oppa$ , which stood after  $\pi$ . Another s, called san, is found in the sign  $\rho$ , called san, i.e. san + pi. On these signs as numerals, see 348.

#### **VOWELS AND DIPHTHONGS**

- 4. There are seven vowels:  $a, \epsilon, \eta, \iota, o, v, \omega$ . Of these  $\epsilon$  and o are always short, and take about half the time to pronounce as  $\eta$  and  $\omega$ , which are always long;  $a, \iota, v$  are short in some syllables, long in others. In this Grammar, when  $a, \iota, v$  are not marked as long  $(\bar{a}, \bar{\iota}, \bar{v})$  they are understood to be short. All vowels with the circumflex (149) are long. On length by position, see 144.
  - a. Vowels are said to be open or close according as the mouth is more open
- 3 D. Vau was in use as a genuine sound at the time the Homeric poems were composed, though it is found in no Mss. of Homer. Many apparent irregularities of epic verse (such as hiatus, 47 D.) can be explained only by supposing that ρ was actually sounded. Examples of words containing ρ are: ἄστυ town, ἄναξ lord, ἀνδάνω please, εἶκω give way (cp. weak), εἶκοσι twenty (cp. viginti), ἔκαστος each, ἐκών willing, ἔλπομαι hope (cp. voluptas), ἔοικα am like, ἔο, οῖ, ἔ him, ἔξ six, ἔπος word, εἶπον said, ἔργον, ἔρδω work, ἔννῦμι clothe, fr. ρεσ-νῦμι (cp. vestis), ἐρέω will say (cp. verbum), ἔσπερος evening (cp. vesper), ἴον violet (cp. viola), ἔτος year (cp. vetus), ηδύς sweet (cp. suavis), ἰδεῖν (οἶδα) know (cp. videre, wit), ts strength (cp. vis), ἰτέα willow (cp. vitis, withy), οἶκος house (cp. vicus), οἶνος wine (cp. vinum), δς his (123), δχος carriage (cp. veho, wain). Vau was lost first before o-sounds (ὁράω see, cp. he-ware). ρ occurred also in the middle of words: κλέρος glory, αἰρεί always, δρις sheep (cp. ovis), κληρις key (Dor. κλᾶῖς, cp. clavis), ξένρος stranger, Διρί to Zeus, καλρός beautiful. Cp. 20, 31, 37 D., 122, 123.

or less open in pronouncing them, the tongue and lips assuming different positions in the case of each.

- 5. A diphthong ( $\delta i\phi\theta\sigma\gamma\gamma\sigma$ s having two sounds) combines two vowels in one syllable. The second vowel is  $\iota$  or v. The diphthongs are:  $\alpha\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\alpha\iota$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$ ;  $\alpha v$ ,  $\epsilon v$ , ov,  $\eta v$ , and  $\nu\iota$ . The  $\iota$  of the so-called improper diphthongs,  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$ , is written below the line and is called iota subscript. But with capital letters,  $\iota$  is written on the line (adscript), as THI  $\Omega I\Delta HI = \tau \hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\eta}$  or  $\Omega\iota \delta \hat{\eta}$  to the song. All diphthongs are long.
- a. In  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$  the  $\iota$  ceased to be written about 100 B.C. The custom of writing  $\iota$  under the line is as late as about the eleventh century.
- 6.  $\epsilon \iota$ , or are either genuine or spurious (apparent) diphthongs (25). Genuine  $\epsilon \iota$ , or are a combination of  $\epsilon + \iota$ , o + v, as in  $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega$  I leave (cp.  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega \pi a$  I have left, 35 a),  $\gamma \epsilon' \nu \epsilon \iota$  to a race (49), a koloubos follower (cp.  $\kappa \epsilon' \lambda \epsilon' \nu \theta \sigma s$  way). Spurious  $\epsilon \iota$ , or arise from contraction (50) or compensatory lengthening (37). Thus,  $\epsilon' \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon' \nu \theta \sigma s$  he loved, from  $\epsilon' \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon' \sigma s$  from  $\epsilon' \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon' \sigma s$  from  $\epsilon' \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon' \sigma s$ ,  $\delta' \omega \sigma s$  voyage from  $\delta' \omega \sigma s$ .
- 7. The figure of a triangle represents the relations of the vowels and spurious diphthongs to one another.



From  $\bar{a}$  to  $\iota$  and from  $\check{a}$  to  $o\nu$  the elevation of the tongue gradually increases.  $\omega$ , o,  $o\nu$ ,  $\nu$  are accompanied by rounding of the lips.

**8.** Diaeresis. — A double dot, the mark of diaeresis ( $\delta\iota\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\sigma\iota separation$ ), may be written over  $\iota$  or  $\upsilon$  when these do not form a diphthong with the preceding vowel:  $\pi\rho\sigma t\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$  I set before,  $\nu\eta\dot{\tau}$  to a ship.

#### BREATHINGS

9. Every initial vowel or diphthong has either the rough (') or the smooth (') breathing. The rough breathing (spiritus asper) is pronounced as h, which is sounded before the vowel; the smooth

<sup>5</sup> D. A diphthong we occurs in New Ionic (weets the same from 6 arts 68 D.,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega vro\hat{v}$  of  $myself=\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha vro\hat{v}$  329 D.,  $\theta\omega\hat{v}\mu\alpha=\theta\alpha\hat{v}\mu\alpha$  wonder). Ionic has  $\eta v$  for Attic av in some words (Hom.  $v\eta\hat{v}s$  ship).

**<sup>8</sup> D.** In poetry and in certain dialects vowels are often written apart which later formed diphthongs:  $\pi dis$  (or  $\pi dis$ ) boy or girl,  $\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon t \delta \eta s$  son of Peleus,  $\epsilon \delta$  (or  $\epsilon t$ ) well, ' $\Lambda t \delta \eta s$  (or ' $\Lambda t \delta \eta s$ ) Hades,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon t$  to a race.

<sup>9</sup> D. The Ionic of Asia Minor lost the rough breathing at an early date. So also before  $\rho$  (13). Its occurrence in compounds (124 D.) is a relic of the period when

breathing (spiritus lenis) is not sounded. Thus, opos horos boundary, o os oros mountain.

- 10. Initial v ( $\tilde{v}$  and  $\tilde{v}$ ) always has the rough breathing.
- 11. Diphthongs take the breathing, as the accent (152), over the second vowel:  $ai\rho\epsilon\omega$  hairéo I seize,  $ai\rho\omega$  airo I lift. But  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$  take both the breathing and the accent on the first vowel, even when  $\iota$  is written in the line (5):  $\tilde{q}\delta\omega = {}^{a}A\iota\delta\omega$  I sing,  $\tilde{q}\delta\eta s = {}^{a}A\iota\delta\eta s$  Hades, but  $Ai\nu\epsilon l\bar{a}s$  Aeneas. The writing  $di\delta\eta\lambda\sigma s$  ( $Ai\delta\eta\lambda\sigma s$ ) destroying shows that  $a\iota$  does not here form a diphthong; and hence is sometimes written  $a\bar{\iota}$  (8).
- 12. In compound words (as in  $\pi\rho\omega\rho\hat{a}\nu$  to foresee, from  $\pi\rho\delta + \dot{o}\rho\hat{a}\nu$ ) the rough breathing is not written, though it must often have been pronounced: cp.  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\partial\rho\tilde{a}$  a hall with seats, Lat. exhedra, exedra,  $\pi\omega\omega\sigma\tau\omega\rho$  very learned, Lat. polyhistor. On Attic inscriptions in the old alphabet (2 a) we find ETHOPKON  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\sigma}\rho\kappa\omega\nu$  faithful to one's oath.
- 13. Every initial  $\rho$  has the rough breathing:  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\tau\omega\rho$  orator (Lat. rhetor). Medial  $\rho\rho$  is written  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\rho}$  in some texts: Πυρρίος Pyrrhus.
- 14. The sign for the rough breathing is derived from H, which in the Old Attic alphabet (2 a) was used to denote h. Thus, HO  $\dot{o}$  the. After H was used to denote  $\eta$ , one half (+) was used for h (about 300 B.c.), and, later, the other half (+) for the smooth breathing. From + and + come the forms and .

#### CONSONANTS

- 15. The seventeen consonants are divided into stops (or mutes), spirants, liquids, nasals, and double consonants. They may be arranged according to the degree of tension or slackness of the vocal chords in sounding them, as follows:
- a. Voiced (sonant, *i.e.* sounding) consonants are produced when the vocal chords vibrate. The sounds are represented by the letters  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\gamma$  (stops),  $\lambda$ ,  $\rho$  (liquids),  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\gamma$ -nasal (19 a) (nasals), and  $\zeta$ . (All the vowels are voiced.)  $\rho$  with the rough breathing is voiceless.
- b. Voiceless (surd, i.e. hushed) consonants require no exertion of the vocal chords. These are  $\pi$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$  (stops),  $\sigma$  (spirant or sibilant), and  $\psi$  and  $\xi$ .
- c. Arranged according to the increasing degree of noise, nearest to the vowels are the nasals, in sounding which the air escapes without friction through the mose; next come the semivowels v and v (20 a), the liquids, and the spirant  $\sigma$ , in
- it was still sounded in the simple word. Hom, sometimes has the smooth where Attic has the rough breathing in forms that are not Attic: 'Atons ("Atons), the god Hades, and spring (and and the spring (and and the spring (and the spring)), the spring (the spring), the spring (the spring), the spring (the spring), the spring dawn (the spring), the spring that the spring spring (the spring). But also in the spring that a wagon (Attic and a). In Laconian medial  $\sigma$  became '(h):  $\dot{\epsilon}$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is the spring that the spring spring spring that the spring spring spring spring spring).
- 10 D. In Aeolic, v, like all the other vowels (and the diphthongs), always has the smooth breathing. The epic forms  $\tilde{v}\mu\mu\epsilon$ s you,  $\tilde{v}\mu\mu$ ,  $\tilde{v}\mu\mu\epsilon$  (325 D.) are Aeolic.

sounding which the air escapes with friction through the cavity of the mouth; next come the stops, which are produced by a removal of an obstruction; and finally the double consonants.

16. Stops (or mutes). Stopped consonants are so called because in sounding them the breath passage is for a moment completely closed. The stops are divided into three classes (according to the part of the mouth chiefly active in sounding them) and into three orders (according to the degree of force in the expiratory effort).

Classes						(	Order	5
Labial (lip sounds)	$\pi$	β	$\phi$	1	Smooth	$\pi$	$\tau$	κ
Dental (teeth sounds)	au	δ	θ	1	Middle	β	δ	γ
Palatal (palate sounds)	κ	γ.	χ	}	Rough	φ	θ	χ

- a. The dentals are sometimes called *linguals*. The rough stops are also called *aspirates* (lit. breathed sounds) because they were sounded with a strong emission of breath (26). The smooth stops are thus distinguished from the rough stops by the absence of breathing. '(h) is also an aspirate. The middle stops owe their name to their position in the above grouping, which is that of the Greek grammarians.
  - 17. Spirants. There is one spirant:  $\sigma$  (also called a *sibilant*).
- a. A spirant is heard when the breath passage of the oral cavity is so narrowed that a rubbing noise is produced by an expiration.
- 18. Liquids. There are two liquids:  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$ . Initial  $\rho$  always has the rough breathing (13).
- 19. Nasals. There are three nasals:  $\mu$  (labial),  $\nu$  (dental), and  $\gamma$ -nasal (palatal).
- a. Gamma before  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\xi$  is called  $\gamma$ -nasal. It had the sound of n in think, and was represented by n in Latin. Thus,  $\delta \gamma \kappa \bar{\nu} \rho a$  (Lat. ancora) anchor,  $\delta \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$  (Lat. angelus) messenger,  $\sigma \phi i \gamma \xi$  sphinx.
  - b. The name liquids is often used to include both liquids and nasals.
- **20.** Semivowels.  $-\iota$ ,  $\nu$ , the liquids, nasals, and the spirant  $\sigma$  are often called *semivowels*. ( $\iota$  becoming  $\zeta$ , and  $\Gamma$  are also called spirants.)
- a. When  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$  correspond to y and w (cp. minion, persuade) they are said to be unsyllabic; and, with a following vowel, make one syllable out of two. Semivocalic  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$  are written  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$ . Initial  $\iota$  passed into  $\dot{\iota}$  (h), as in  $\hat{\eta}\pi\alpha\rho$  liver, Lat. jecur; and into  $\dot{\iota}$  in  $\hat{\iota}\nu\gamma\delta\nu$  yoke, Lat. jugum (here it is often called the spirant yod). Initial  $\underline{\nu}$  was written  $\underline{\iota}$  (3). Medial  $\underline{\iota}$ ,  $\underline{\nu}$  before vowels were often lost, as in  $\tau \bar{\iota}\mu\dot{\alpha}-(\underline{\iota})\omega$  I honour,  $\beta o(\underline{\nu})$ - $\delta s$ , gen. of  $\beta o\hat{\nu}$ -s ox, cow (43).
- b. The form of many words is due to the fact that the liquids, nasals, and  $\sigma$  may fulfil the office of a vowel to form syllables (cp. bridle, even, pst). This is expressed by  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\rho$ , g, to be read 'syllable  $\lambda$ ,' etc., or 'sonant  $\lambda$ ' (see 35 b, c).
- 21. Double Consonants.—These are  $\zeta$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\psi$ .  $\zeta$  is a combination of  $\sigma\delta$  (or  $\delta_3$ ) or  $\delta\iota$  (26).  $\xi$  is written for  $\kappa\sigma$ ,  $\gamma\sigma$ ,  $\chi\sigma$ ;  $\psi$  for  $\pi\sigma$ ,  $\beta\sigma$ ,  $\phi\sigma$ .

#### 22. TABLE OF CONSONANT SOUNDS

Divisions	Physiological Differences	Labial	Dental	Palatal	
Nasals	Voiced	μ	ν	γ-nasal (19 a)	
Semivowels	Voiced	й(೬)		<b>t</b> (A)	
Liquids	Voiced		λ ρ	*	
Spirants {	Voiced Voiceless		σ† σ, s		
Stops {	Voiced Voiceless Voiceless Aspirate	$\beta$ (middle) $\pi$ (smooth) $\phi$ (rough)	$\delta$ (middle) $\tau$ (smooth) $\theta$ (rough)	$\gamma$ (middle) $\kappa$ (smooth) $\chi$ (rough)	
Double consonants	Voiced Voiceless	ψ	Š	ξ	

<sup>\*</sup> p is voiceless.

 $\dagger$   $\sigma$  was voiced only when it had the  $\zeta$  sound (26).

#### ANCIENT GREEK PRONUNCIATION

- 23. The pronunciation of Ancient Greek varied much according to time and place, and differed in many important respects from that of the modern language. While in general Greek of the classical period was a phonetic language, i.e. its letters represented the sounds, and no heard sound was unexpressed in writing (but see 108), in course of time many words were retained in their old form though their pronunciation had changed. The tendency of the language was thus to become more and more unphonetic. Our current pronunciation of Ancient Greek is only in part even approximately correct for the period from the death of Pericles (429 B.c.) to that of Demosthenes (322); and in the case of several sounds, e.g.  $\zeta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\theta$ , it is certainly erroneous for that period. But ignorance of the exact pronunciation, as well as long-established usage, must render any reform pedantical, if not impossible. In addition to, and in further qualification of, the list of sound equivalents in 1 we may note the following:
- **24.** Vowels.—Short a,  $\iota$ ,  $\nu$  differed in sound from the corresponding long vowels only in being less prolonged;  $\epsilon$  and o probably differed from  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  also in being less open, a difference that is impossible to parallel in English as our short vowels are more open than the long vowels.  $\check{a}$ : as a in Germ. hat. There is no true  $\check{a}$  in accented syllables in English; the a of idea, aha is a neutral vowel.  $\epsilon$ : as  $\acute{e}$  in bont $\acute{e}$ ; somewhat similar is a in bakery.  $\eta$ : as  $\acute{e}$  in fête, or

**<sup>24</sup>** D. In Lesbos, Boeotia, Laconia, possibly in Ionia, and in some other places, v was still sounded *oo* after it became like Germ.  $\ddot{u}$  in Attic.

nearly as e in where.  $\mathfrak{T}$ : nearly as the first e in meteor, eternal.  $\mathfrak{o}$ : as o in Fr. mot, somewhat like unaccented  $\check{o}$  in obey or phonetic (as often sounded).  $\omega$ : as o in Fr. encore. Eng.  $\check{o}$  is prevailingly diphthongal  $(o^u)$ .  $\mathfrak{v}$  was originally sounded as u in prune, but by the fifth century had become like that of Fr. tu, Germ. thür. It never had in Attic the sound of u in mute. After v had become like Germ.  $\check{u}$ , the only means to represent the sound of the old v (oo in moon) was ov (25). Observe, however, that, in diphthongs, final v retained the old v sound.

#### 25. Diphthongs. — The diphthongs were sounded nearly as follows:

aı as in Cairo	av as $ou$ in $out$	$\eta v$ as $\bar{e}h'$ -oo
$\epsilon \iota$ as in $vein$	$\epsilon v$ as $e$ (met) + $oo$ (moon)	ωυ as öh'-00
oı as in soil	ov as in ourang	υι as in Fr. huit

In  $\mathbf{e}$ ,  $\mathbf{\eta}$ ,  $\mathbf{\varphi}$  the long open vowels had completely overpowered the  $\iota$  by 100 B.C., so that  $\iota$  ceased to be written (5 a). The  $\iota$  is now generally neglected in pronunciation though it may have still been sounded to some extent in the fourth century B.C.—The genuine diphthongs  $\mathbf{e}\iota$  and  $\mathbf{o}\mathbf{v}$  (6) were originally distinct double sounds ( $\check{e}h'$ -i,  $\check{o}h'$ -oo), and as such were written EI, OT in the Old Attic alphabet (2 a):  $\mathsf{E}\mathsf{T}\mathsf{E}\mathsf{I}\Delta\mathsf{E}$   $\check{e}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}$ , TOYTON  $\tau\circ\check{v}\tau\omega\nu$ . The spurious diphthongs  $\epsilon\iota$  and  $\mathsf{o}\mathsf{v}$  (6) are digraphs representing the long sounds of simple  $\epsilon$  (French  $\acute{e}$ ) and original  $\nu$ . By 400 B.C. genuine  $\epsilon\iota$  and  $\mathsf{o}\mathsf{v}$  had become simple single sounds pronounced as  $e\dot{\iota}$  in vein and  $\mathsf{o}\mathsf{u}$  in ourang; and spurious  $\epsilon\iota$  and  $\mathsf{o}\mathsf{v}$ , which had been written E and O (2 a), were now often written EI and OT. After 300 B.C.  $\epsilon\iota$  gradually acquired the sound of  $e\dot{\iota}$  in seize.  $\epsilon\mathbf{v}$  was sounded like eh'-oo,  $\bar{o}h'$ -oo, pronounced rapidly but smoothly.  $\mathbf{v}\iota$  is now commonly sounded as  $u\dot{\iota}$  in  $qui\dot{\iota}$ . It occurred only before vowels, and the loss of the  $\iota$  in  $\dot{v}\dot{o}s$  son (43) shows that the diphthongal sound was disliked.

26. Consonants. — Most of the consonants were sounded as in English (1). Before ι, κ, γ, τ, σ never had a sh (or zh) sound heard in Lycia (Λυκία), Asia ('A $\sigma t \tilde{a}$ ).  $\sigma$  was usually like our sharp s; but before voiced consonants (15 a) it probably was soft, like z; thus we find both  $\kappa \delta \zeta \mu os$  and  $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu os$  on inscriptions. -ζ was probably = zd, whether it arose from an original  $\sigma\delta$  (as in 'Aθήναζε, from  $A\theta\eta\nu\alpha(\nu)s-\delta\epsilon$  Athens-wards), or from dz, developed from du (as in  $\zeta\nu\gamma\delta\nu$ , from  $(d)y_{\nu\gamma}\delta\nu$ , cp. jugum). The z in zd gradually extinguished the d, until in the Hellenistic period (p. 4) c sank to z (as in zeal), which is the sound in Modern Greek. — The aspirates  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$  were voiceless stops (15 b, 16 a) followed by a strong expiration:  $\pi^h$ ,  $\tau^h$ ,  $\kappa^h$  as in upheaval, hothouse, backhand (though here h is in a different syllable from the stop). Thus,  $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$  was  $\pi' \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$ ,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ was  $\tau' \in \lambda \omega$ ,  $\in \chi \omega$  was  $\in \kappa' \omega$ . Cp.  $\in \phi' \in \omega$  for  $\in \pi(l)' \in \omega$ , etc. Probably only one h was heard when two aspirates came together, as in  $\epsilon_{\chi}\theta\rho\delta s$  ( $\epsilon\kappa\tau'\rho\delta s$ ). After 300 A.D. (probably)  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\chi$  became spirants,  $\phi$  being sounded as f (as in  $\Phi i \lambda i \pi \pi \sigma s$ Philip),  $\theta$  as th in theatre,  $\chi$  as ch in German ich or loch. The stage between aspirates and spirants is sometimes represented by the writing  $\pi \phi$  (= pf),  $\tau \theta$ ,  $\kappa \chi$ ,

**<sup>26</sup> D.** Aeolic has  $\sigma\delta$  for  $\zeta$  in  $\delta\sigma\delta\sigma$  ( $\delta\zeta\sigma$  branch). In late Laconian  $\theta$  passed into  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma\eta\rho lov = \theta\eta\rho lov$  wild beast). In Laconian and some other dialects  $\beta$  became a spirant and was written for f.  $\delta$  became a spirant in Attic after Christ.

which are affricata. —The neglect of the h in Latin representations of  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$  possibly shows that these sounds consisted of a stop +h. Thus,  $Pilipus = \Phi i\lambda_1\pi\pi\sigma os$ ,  $tus = \theta ios$ ,  $Aciles = A\chi i\lambda\lambda\epsilon is$ . Modern Greek has the spirantic sounds, and these, though at variance with classical pronunciation, are now usually adopted. See also 108.

#### **VOWEL CHANGE**

27. Quantitative Vowel Gradation. — In the formation and inflection of words a short vowel often interchanges with its corresponding long vowel. Thus

SHORT	a	€		0	υ
Long $\eta$ (a af	ter $\epsilon$ , $\iota$ , $\rho$ , 31)	η	₹.	ω	ับ
τῖμά-ω I honour τῖμή-σω future	ἐά-ω I permit ἐά-σω future	φιλέ-ω I love φιλή-σω future	iκάνω I come ΐκᾶνον imperf.	δηλό-ω I show δηλώ-σω future	φύ-σις nature φῦ-μα growth

- 28. Difference in quantity between Attic and Epic words is due chiefly either to (1) metrical lengthening, or to (2) different phonetic treatment, as καλρός, τινς ω become Epic καλός fair, τίνω Ι pay (37 D. 1), Attic κάλός, τίνω.
- 29. The initial short vowel of a word forming the second part of a compound is often lengthened: στρατηγός general (στρατός army + ἄγειν to lead 887 d).
- 30. Attic  $\eta$ ,  $\bar{a}$ . Attic has  $\eta$  for original  $\bar{a}$  of the earlier period, as  $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$  report (Lat.  $f\bar{a}ma$ ). Ionic also has  $\eta$  for original  $\bar{a}$ . Doric and Aeolic retain original  $\bar{a}$  ( $\phi \dot{a} \mu \bar{a}$ ).
- 28 D. Metrical lengthening. Many words, which would otherwise not fit into the verse, show in the Epic  $\epsilon_i$  for  $\epsilon$ , ou (rarely oi) for o, and  $\bar{a}$ ,  $\bar{i}$ ,  $\bar{v}$  for a, i, v. Thus, εἰνάλιος in the sea for ἐνάλιος, εἰαρινός vernal for ἐαρινός, ὑπεἰροχος eminent for ὑπέροχος, εἰλήλουθα have come for ἐλήλουθα, οὐλόμενος destructive, accursed for ὀλόμενος, οὕρεα mountains from ὅρος, Οὐλύμποιο of Olympus from ⋄ Ὁλνμπος. o before a vowel appears as oi in πνοιή breath. Similarly, ἡγάθεος very holy for ἀγάθεος; but ἡνεμόεις windy (from ἄνεμος) has the  $\eta$  of ὑπήνεμος under the wind (29), and  $\tau$ ιθήμενος placing (for  $\tau$ ιθέμενος) borrows  $\eta$  from  $\tau$ lθημι

A short syllable under the rhythmic accent ('ictus') is lengthened metrically: (1) in words having three or more short syllables: the first of three shorts (οὐλόμενοs), the second of four shorts (ὑπείροχοs), the third of five shorts (ἀπερείσια boundless); (2) in words in which the short ictus syllable is followed by two longs and a short (Οὐλόμποιο). A short syllable not under the rhythmic accent is lengthened when it is preceded and followed by a long; thus, any vowel preceded by F (πνείω breathe = πνε<math>Fω), ε or ν before a vowel (προθυμίησι zeal).

- **30 D.** 1. Doric and Aeolic retain original  $\bar{a}$ , as in μάλον apple (cp. Lat. mālum, Att. μήλον), κάρυξ herald (Att. κήρυξ). But Doric and Aeolic have original  $\eta$  when  $\eta$  interchanges with  $\epsilon$ , as in  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$  I place,  $\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$  we place, μάτηρ μᾶτέρα mother, ποιμήν ποιμένι shepherd.
  - 2. Ionic has  $\eta$  after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , and  $\rho$ . Thus,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta$ .

- a. This is true also of the  $\bar{a}$  which is the result of early compensative lengthening, by which  $-\alpha\nu\sigma_{-}$ ,  $-\alpha\sigma\lambda_{-}$ ,  $-\alpha\sigma\mu_{-}$ , and  $-\alpha\sigma\nu_{-}$  changed to  $-\bar{\alpha}\sigma_{-}$ ,  $-\bar{\alpha}\lambda_{-}$ ,  $-\bar{\alpha}\mu_{-}$ , and -āν-. (See 37 b.) But in a few cases like τάς for τάνς, and in πᾶσα for πάνσα (113) where the combination  $\alpha\nu\sigma$  arose at a later period,  $\bar{\alpha}$  was not changed to n. ύφαναι for ύφηναι to weave follows τετράναι to pierce.
- b. Original  $\bar{a}$  became  $\eta$  after v, as  $\phi v \dot{\eta}$  growth. In some words, however, we find a.
  - In Attic alone this n was changed back to  $\tilde{a}$ : 31.
- 1. When preceded by a  $\rho$ ; as  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\bar{a}\ day$ ,  $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\bar{a}\ country$ . This appears to have taken place even though an o intervened: as ἀκρόαμα a musical niece. άθρόā collected.

Exceptions: (a) But  $\rho \in \eta$  was changed to  $\rho \eta$ : as  $\kappa \delta \rho \eta$  for  $\kappa o \rho \in \eta$  maiden. (b) Likewise  $\rho\eta$ , when the result of contraction of  $\rho\epsilon\alpha$ , remained: as  $\delta\rho\eta$ from δρεα mountains. (c) And ρση was changed to ρρη: as κόρρη for κόρση (79) one of the temples.

2. When preceded by e or i: as yeved generation, oxid shadow.

This change takes place even when the  $\eta$  is the result of the contraction of  $\epsilon a$ : as  $i\gamma i\hat{a}$  healthy,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\hat{a}$  lacking, for  $i\gamma i\hat{\eta}$  from  $i\gamma\iota\epsilon(\sigma)a$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\hat{\eta}$  from  $\ell\nu\delta\epsilon\epsilon(\sigma)a$ ; also, if originally a  $\epsilon$  intervened, as  $\nu\epsilon\bar{a}$  for  $\nu\epsilon\epsilon\bar{a}$  young (Lat. nova).

Exceptions: Some exceptions are due to analogy: ὑγιῆ healthy, εὐφυῆ shapely (292 d) follow σαφη clear.

- **32.** In the choruses of tragedy Doric  $\bar{a}$  is often used for  $\eta$ . μάτηρ mother, ψυχά soul, γα earth, δύστανος wretched, εβαν went.
- 33. The dialects frequently show vowel sounds that do not occur in the corresponding Attic words.
- 34. Transfer of Quantity.  $\eta o$ ,  $\eta a$  often exchange quantities, becoming εω, εā. Thus, ληός (Epic λāός folk) becomes λεώς, as πόληος becomes πόλεως of a city; τεθνηότος τεθνεώτος dead; βασιλήα βασιλέα king.

Often in Ionic : 'Ατρείδεω from earlier 'Ατρείδαο son of Atreus, ἰκέτεω from  $i\kappa\epsilon\tau\bar{a}o$  suppliant. This  $\epsilon\omega$  generally makes a single syllable in poetry (60).

The no intermediate between  $\bar{a}_0$  and  $\epsilon \omega$  is rarely found.

<sup>33</sup> D. a for ε: lapós sacred, "Αρταμις (for "Αρτεμις), τράπω turn Dor.; ε for a: θέρσος courage Aeol., έρσην male, δρέω see, τέσσερες four (= τέτταρες) Ion.; α for ο: διᾶκατίοι (for διᾶκόσιοι) 200 Dor., ἐπά under Aeol.; ο for α: στρότος (στρατός) army, ὄν (ἀνά) up Aeol., τέτορες (τέτταρες) four Dor.; ε for η: ἔσσων inferior  $(\eta \tau \tau \omega \nu)$  Ion.;  $\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon$ : ' $A\pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$  Dor. (also ' $A\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ );  $\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon$ :  $\mu \epsilon \xi \omega \nu$  greater Ion.; ε for ι: κέρναν mix (= κιρνάναι for κεραννύναι) Aeol.; ι for ε: ἰστίη hearth Ion., ἰστία Dor. (for ἐστία), χρύσιος (χρύσεος) golden Aeol., θιός god Boeot., κοσμίω arrange Dor.; υ for α: πίσυρες four (τέτταρες) Hom.; υ for ο: δυυμα name Dor., Aeol., ἀπύ from Aeol.; ω for ου: ὧν accordingly Ion., Dor.

- 35. Qualitative Vowel Gradation. In the same root or suffix we find an interchange among different vowels (and diphthongs) similar to the interchange in sing, sang, sung.
- a. This variation appears in strong grades and in a weak grade (including actual expulsion of a vowel—in diphthongs, of the first vowel). Thus,  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$  I carry,  $\phi \delta \rho \omega \omega$  tribute,  $\phi \omega \rho$  thief,  $\phi \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \rho \bar{\alpha}$  quiver,  $\delta \ell \rho \omega \omega$  chariot (two-carrier),  $\lambda \epsilon \ell \pi \omega$  I leave,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega \pi \alpha$  I have left,  $\lambda \ell \pi \epsilon \ell \nu$  to leave. The interchange is quantitative in  $\phi \delta \rho \omega \omega$   $\phi \omega \rho$  (cp. 27).
- c. A vowel may also take the place of an original liquid or nasal after a consonant; as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda \tilde{\nu}\sigma a$  for  $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda \tilde{\nu}\sigma \mu$ . This  $\rho, \lambda, \mu, \nu$  in b and c is called sonant liquid or sonant nasal.

## 36. TABLE OF THE CHIEF VOWEL GRADES

Strong Grades	Weak Grade	Strong Grades	Weak Grade
1. 2.		1. 2.	
a, ε : ο	or a	d. ā:ω	α
b. ει:οι	ι	e. η:ω	$\epsilon$ or $\alpha$
C. ευ: ου	υ	f. ω	o
	, ,	am home NI-O V-O-U	an I become

- N. 1. Relatively few words show examples of all the above series of grades. Some have five grades, as  $\pi \alpha \tau \acute{\eta} \rho$ ,  $\pi \alpha \tau \acute{e} \rho \alpha$ ,  $\epsilon \mathring{v} \pi \acute{a} \tau \omega \rho$ ,  $\epsilon \mathring{v} \pi \acute{a} \tau \rho \alpha$ ,  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$ .

N. 2. —  $\epsilon$  and  $\iota$  vary in  $\pi$  ετάννῦμι  $\pi$  ίτνημι spread out.

## COMPENSATORY LENGTHENING

37. Compensatory lengthening is the lengthening of a short vowel to make up for the omission of a consonant.

<sup>37</sup> D. 1. Ionic agrees with Attic except where the omitted consonant was f, which in Attic disappeared after a consonant without causing lengthening. Thus, ξείνος for ξένος stranger, είνεκα on account of (also in Dem.) for ἔνεκα, οῦρος boundary for ὅρος, κοῦρος boy for κόρος, μοῦνος alone for μόνος. These forms are also used generally in poetry.

The short vowels	α	<b>€</b>	ı	0	υ
are lengthened to	ā	€L	ī	ου	ับ
Thus the forms become	τάν-s τάs the	έ-μεν-σα ἔμεινα I remained	ἐκλιν-σα ἔκλῖνα I leaned	τόνς τούς the	δεικνυντ-s δεικνόs showing

- a. Thus are formed κτείνω I kill for κτεν-ίω, φθείρω I destroy for φθερ-ίω, δότειρα giver for δοτερ-ία, κλίνω I lean for κλιν-ίω, όλοφύρω I lament for όλοφυρ-ίω.
- b. a becomes  $\eta$  in the  $\sigma$ -arrist of verbs whose stems end in  $\lambda$ ,  $\rho$ , or  $\nu$ , when not preceded by  $\iota$  or  $\rho$ . Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi a\nu \sigma a$  becomes  $\dot{\epsilon}-\phi \eta \nu a$  I showed, but  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\rho a\nu \sigma a$  becomes  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\ddot{a}\nu a$  I finished. So  $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu\eta$  moon for  $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda a\sigma \nu\eta$  ( $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda as$  gleam).
  - c. The diphthongs ει and ου due to this lengthening are spurious (6).
- 38. ā arises from a upon the loss of its ι (43) in åει always (from alei), åετόs eagle (alετόs), κλάει weeps (κλαίει), ἐλάā olive-tree (ἐλαίā, ep. Lat. oliva).
- a. This change took place only when  $\alpha\iota$  was followed by  $f(\alpha l f \epsilon l, \alpha l f \epsilon \tau \delta s)$  from  $\alpha f \iota \epsilon \tau \delta s$ ,  $\alpha \iota f \epsilon \iota \epsilon t$  from  $\alpha f \iota \epsilon \iota t$  from  $\alpha f \iota t$

#### SHORTENING, ADDITION, AND OTHER VOWEL CHANGES

- **39.** Shortening.—A long vowel may be shortened before another long vowel:  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega \nu$  from  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \acute{\eta} \omega \nu$  of kings,  $\nu \epsilon \acute{\omega} \nu$  from  $\nu \eta \acute{\omega} \nu$  of ships,  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \acute{\omega}$ s from  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \acute{\omega} v$  dead.
- **40.** A long vowel before ι, ν, a nasal, or a liquid + a following consonant was regularly shortened: να̃ν̂s from original να̃νς ship, ἐμέγεν from ἐ-μιγη-ντ were mixed. The long vowel was often introduced again, as Ion. νην̂s ship.
- 41. Addition. a,  $\epsilon$ , o are sometimes prefixed before  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\rho$ , f (prothetic vowels). Thus,  $\dot{a}$ - $\lambda \epsilon i \phi \omega$  anoint with oil,  $\lambda i \pi os$  fat;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\rho \nu \theta \rho \dot{o}s$  red (cp. Lat. ruber),  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\epsilon i koo \iota$  from  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -(f)  $\epsilon i koo \iota$ ;  $\dot{o}$ - $\mu \dot{o} \rho \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \iota$  wipe;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\chi \theta \dot{\epsilon}s$  and  $\chi \theta \dot{\epsilon}s$  yesterday,  $\dot{\iota}$ - $\kappa \tau \iota s$  weasel (κτιδέη weasel-skin helmet) are doubtful cases.
- **42.** Development. A medial vowel is sometimes developed from  $\lambda$  or  $\nu$  between two consonants; thus  $\alpha\lambda$ ,  $\lambda\alpha$ ;  $\alpha\rho$ ,  $\rho\alpha$ ;  $\alpha\nu$  (35 b). Also (rarely) in forms like Ion.  $\beta\delta\rho\alpha\gamma\chi$ os = Att.  $\beta\rho\alpha\gamma\chi$ os hoarseness.

<sup>2.</sup> Doric generally lengthens ε and ο to η and ω: ξῆνος, ῶρος, κῶρος, μῶνος. So μῶσα muse from μονσα for μοντία, τώς for τόνς the, ἡμί am for ἐσμι, χηλίοι 1000 for χεσλωι, Ionic χείλωι. (In some Doric dialects ε drops as in Attic (ξένος, ὅρος); and ανς, ονς may become ἄς, ος: δεσπότᾶς lords, τός the.)

<sup>3.</sup> Aeolic has ais, εis (a genuine diphth.), ois from ars, ενε, ors. Thus, παῖσα all (Cretan πάνσα, Att. πᾶσα), λόοισι they loose from λόοντι. Elsewhere Aeol. prefers assimilated forms (ἔμεννα, ἔκλιννα, ἔέννος, ἔννεκα, ὅρρος, ἔμμι, χέλλιοι). But single ν, ρ are also found, as in κόρᾶ, μόνος. Aeolic has φθέρρω, κλίννω, δλοφύρρω; cp. 37 a.

**<sup>39</sup> D.** In the Ionic genitive of  $\hat{A}$  stems (214 D. 8)  $-\epsilon\omega\nu$  is from  $-\eta\omega\nu$  out of  $-\bar{a}\omega\nu$ . So in Ionic  $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}a$  from  $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\eta}a$  king. So even before a short vowel in Hom.  $\eta\rho\omega$ 0,  $\eta\rho\omega$ 1 hero (cp. 148 D. 3).

- 43. Disappearance. The  $\iota$  and v of diphthongs often disappear before a following vowel. Thus, vos from viss son,  $\beta o$ -bs genitive of  $\beta o \hat{v}$ -s ox, cow.  $\iota$  and v here became semivowels ( $\iota$ , v), which are not written. Cp. 148 D. 3.
- 44. a. The disappearance of  $\epsilon$  before a vowel is often called hyphaeresis ( $\dot{\nu}\phi$  alpeas omission). Thus Ionic  $\nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta s$  chick for  $\nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \sigma \delta s$ ,  $\dot{\sigma} \rho \tau \dot{\eta}$  for  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \rho \tau \dot{\eta}$  festival;  $\dot{\sigma} \delta \delta \epsilon \dot{\omega} s$  fearlessly for  $\dot{\sigma} \delta \delta \epsilon \dot{\omega} s$ . Here  $\epsilon$  was sounded nearly like  $\gamma$  and was not written.
- b. The disappearance of a short vowel between consonants is called syncope  $(\sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \sigma \pi \eta \ cutting \ up)$ . Thus  $\pi i \pi \tau \omega \ fall$  for  $\pi \iota \pi \epsilon \tau \omega$ ,  $\pi a \tau \rho \delta s$  father for  $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ . Syncopated forms show the weak grade of vowel gradation (35, 36).
- **45.** Assimilation. A vowel may be assimilated to the vowel standing in the following syllable:  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda lo\nu\ book$  from  $\beta\nu\beta\lambda lo\nu\ (\beta\iota\beta\lambda los\ papyrus)$ .
  - a. On assimilation in distracted verbs (ὁρόω see, etc.), see 643 ff., 652.

#### EUPHONY OF VOWELS

#### CONTACT OF VOWELS AND HIATUS

- 46. Attice more than any other dialect disliked the immediate succession of two vowel sounds in adjoining syllables. To avoid such succession, which often arose in the formation and inflection of words, various means were employed: contraction (48 ff.), when the vowels collided in the middle of a word; or, when the succession occurred between two words (hiatus), by crasis (62 ff.), elision (70 ff.), aphaeresis (76), or by affixing a movable consonant at the end of the former word (134).
- 47. Hiatus is usually avoided in prose writers by elision (70 ff.); but in cases where elision is not possible, hiatus is allowed to remain by different writers in different degrees, commonly after short words, such as  $\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\epsilon l$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ ,  $\kappa \alpha l$ ,  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ , and the forms of the article.
  - 43 D. So in Hdt. κέσται for κείσται lies, βαθέα for βαθεία deep.
  - 44 a. D. Cp. Hom.  $\theta \approx 0$  A 18 (one syllable).  $\iota$  becomes  $\iota$  in Hom.  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota os$  (two syllables)  $\Phi$  567.  $\iota$  rarely disappears:  $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$  for  $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \iota o \nu$  belonging to the people M 213.
    - 47 D. Hiatus is allowed in certain cases.
    - 1. In epic poetry: a. After ι and υ: άξονι άμφίς, σύ έσσι.
  - b. After a long final syllable having the rhythmic accent:  $\mu \omega = \ell \theta \ell \lambda o \nu \sigma \alpha$  ( $\dot{\omega} = \psi = \psi$ ).
  - c. When a long final syllable is shortened before an initial vowel (weak, or improper, hiatus):  $d\kappa\tau\hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon}\phi' \dot{\nu}\psi\eta\lambda\hat{\eta}$  (...
  - d. When the concurrent vowels are separated by the caesura; often after the fourth foot:  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\dot{a}\gamma'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\delta}\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\beta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon_0$ , |  $\dot{\delta}\phi\rho\alpha$   $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\alpha\iota$ ; very often between the short syllables of the third foot (the feminine caesura): as,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\dot{a}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\sigma\alpha$   $\kappa\dot{a}\theta\eta\sigma\sigma$ , |  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\omega}$   $\delta'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon$ 0  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\theta\omega$ ; rarely after the first foot:  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\alpha}\rho$   $\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega$  A 333.
    - e. Where f has been lost.
  - 2. In Attic poetry hiatus is allowable, as in 1 c, and after  $\tau l$  what ?  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  well, interjections,  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  concerning, and in obbè  $(\mu \eta \delta \hat{e})$   $\epsilon l$ s (for obbels,  $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon l$ s no one).

#### CONTRACTION

- 48. Contraction unites in a single long vowel or diphthong two vowels or a vowel and a diphthong standing next each other in successive syllables in the same word.
- a. Occasion for contraction is made especially by the concurrence of vowel sounds which were once separated by  $\sigma$ ,  $\nu$  ( $\rho$ ), and  $\iota$  (17, 20 a).

The following are the chief rules governing contraction:

- **49.** (I) Two vowels which can form a diphthong (5) unite to form that diphthong:  $\gamma$  ένε $\ddot{\iota} = \gamma$  ένε $\iota$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}$  ὶδο $\ddot{\iota} = \dot{\alpha}$  ὶδο $\ddot{\iota}$ ,  $\kappa$ λ $\dot{\eta}$   $\ddot{\iota}$ θρον =  $\kappa$ λ $\dot{\eta}$ θρον.
- **50.** (II) Like Vowels. Like vowels, whether short or long, unite in the common long;  $\epsilon\epsilon$ , σο become  $\epsilon\iota$ , συ (6):  $\gamma\epsilon$ ραα =  $\gamma\epsilon$ ρα,  $\epsilon$ ιλέητε =  $\epsilon$ ιλήτε;  $\epsilon$ φίλεε =  $\epsilon$ φίλει, δηλόσμεν = δηλοῦμεν.
- a.  $\iota$  is rarely contracted with  $\iota$  ( $\delta\phi\iota + \iota\delta\iota\sigma\nu = \delta\phi t\delta\iota\sigma\nu$  small snake) or  $\upsilon$  with  $\upsilon$  ( $\upsilon$ s son in inscriptions, from  $\upsilon(\iota)\dot{\upsilon}s = \upsilon i\delta s$ , 43).
  - 51. (III) Unlike Vowels. Unlike vowels are assimilated, either the second to the first (*progressive* assimilation) or the first to the second (*regressive* assimilation).
  - a. An o sound always prevails over an a or e sound: o or ω before or after a, and before η, forms ω. oε and εο form oυ (a spurious diphthong, 6). Thus,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega} \rho \nu = \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ,  $\alpha \dot{\iota} \delta \dot{\omega} = \alpha \dot{\iota} \delta \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\eta \rho \omega \alpha = \eta \rho \omega$ ,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega} \omega = \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \eta \tau \epsilon = \delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon$ ; but  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} o \mu \varepsilon \nu = \phi \iota \lambda o \hat{\iota} \rho \omega \nu$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \sigma \tau o \nu = \delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\iota} \tau o \nu$ .
  - b. When  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon$  or  $\eta$  come together the vowel sound that precedes prevails, and we have  $\bar{\alpha}$  or  $\eta$ :  $\delta\rho\alpha\epsilon=\delta\rho\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}i\mu\dot{\alpha}\eta\tau\epsilon=\bar{\tau}i\mu\hat{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\delta\rho\epsilon\alpha=\delta\rho\eta$ .
  - c.  $\mathbf{v}$  rarely contracts:  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{i} = \bar{v}$  in  $l\chi\theta\dot{v}\delta\iota\sigma\nu$  from  $l\chi\theta\iota l\delta\iota\sigma\nu$  small fish;  $\mathbf{v} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  strictly never becomes  $\bar{v}$  (273).
  - 52. (IV) Vowels and Diphthongs. A vowel disappears before a diphthong beginning with the same sound:  $\mu\nu\dot{\alpha}\alpha\iota = \mu\nu\alpha\hat{\iota}$ ,  $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\iota = \phi\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\iota = \delta\eta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}$ .
  - 53. A vowel before a diphthong not beginning with the same sound generally contracts with the first vowel of the diphthong; the last vowel, if  $\iota$ , is subscript (5):  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{a} \epsilon \iota = \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{a}$ ,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{a} \iota \iota \mu \epsilon \nu = \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{a} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \pi \epsilon \iota \iota = \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \pi \eta$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta o \acute{\iota} \mu \eta \nu = \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \acute{e} \mu \eta \nu$ .
  - a. But  $\epsilon$  + or becomes or:  $\phi$ iλέοι =  $\phi$ iλοî; o +  $\epsilon$ r, o +  $\eta$  become or:  $\delta \eta$ λόει =  $\delta \eta$ λοῖ,  $\delta \eta$ λό $\eta$  =  $\delta \eta$ λοῖ.
  - **54.** Spurious  $\epsilon$ t and  $\delta$ v are treated like  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$ :  $\tau$ 1μά $\epsilon$ ιν =  $\tau$ 1μα̂ν, δηλό $\epsilon$ ιν = δηλοῦν,  $\tau$ 1μάουσι =  $\tau$ 1μα̂ου (but  $\tau$ 1μα̂ $\epsilon$ ι =  $\tau$ 1μα̂ and δηλό $\epsilon$ ι =  $\delta$ ηλοῖ, since  $\epsilon$ ι is here genuine;  $\delta$ ).

<sup>50</sup> D.  $\iota + \iota = \bar{\iota}$  occurs chiefly in the Ionic, Doric, and Aeolic dative singular of nouns in  $-\iota s$  (268 D.), as in  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \iota = \pi \delta \lambda \bar{\iota}$ ; also in the optative, as in  $\phi \theta \iota \bar{\iota} - \tau o = \phi \theta \hat{\iota} \tau o$ .

- 55. (V) Three Vowels. When three vowels come together, the last two unite first, and the resulting diphthong may be contracted with the first vowel: thus,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{q}$  is from  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu a \eta$  out of  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu a \epsilon(\sigma) a \iota$ ; but  $\Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma s$ .
- 56. Irregularities. A short vowel preceding  $\alpha$  or any long vowel or diphthong, in contracts of the first and second declensions, is apparently absorbed (235, 290):  $\chi\rho\delta\sigma\epsilon\alpha = \chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\hat{\alpha}$  (not  $\chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\hat{\gamma}$ ),  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\delta\alpha = \dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\hat{\alpha}$  (not  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}$ ), by analogy to the  $\alpha$  which marks the neuter plural,  $\chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\alpha\iota s = \chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\alpha\hat{c}s$ . (So  $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\iota s = \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\alpha}s$  to show the  $-\alpha s$  of the accus. pl.) Only in the singular of the first declension does  $\epsilon\bar{\alpha}$  become  $\eta$  (or  $\bar{\alpha}$  after a vowel or  $\rho$ ):  $\chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\bar{\alpha}s = \chi\rho\bar{\nu}\sigma\hat{\gamma}s$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma\nu\rho\dot{\epsilon}a = \dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma\nu\rho\hat{\epsilon}$ . In the third declension  $\epsilon\epsilon\alpha$  becomes  $\epsilon\bar{\alpha}$  (265);  $\iota\epsilon\alpha$  or  $\iota\epsilon\alpha$  becomes  $\iota\bar{\alpha}$  ( $\iota\bar{\alpha}$ ) or  $\iota\eta$  ( $\iota\eta$ ). See 292 d.

Various special cases will be considered under their appropriate sections.

- 57. The contraction of a long vowel with a short vowel sometimes does not occur by reason of analogy. Thus,  $\nu\eta t$  (two syllables) follows  $\nu\eta\delta s$ , the older form of  $\nu\epsilon\delta s$  (275). Sometimes the long vowel was shortened (39) or transfer of quantity took place (34).
- 58. Vowels that were once separated by  $\sigma$  or  $\iota$  (20) are often not contracted in dissyllabic forms, but contracted in polysyllabic forms. Thus,  $\theta \epsilon(\sigma) \delta s$  god, but  $\Theta \sigma \nu \nu \bar{\sigma} \delta \delta \eta s$  Thucydides ( $\theta \epsilon \delta s + \kappa \bar{\nu} \delta \sigma s$  glory).

#### 59. TABLE OF VOWEL CONTRACTIONS

[After et or ov, gen. means genuine, sp. means spurious.]

a + a	$= \bar{a}$	γέραα	$= \gamma \epsilon \rho \bar{a}$	$\epsilon + a\iota$	$= \eta$	λύεαι	$=\lambda \delta \eta$
`ā + α	$= \bar{a}$	λᾶας	$=\lambda \hat{a}s$	· ·		when	
$a + \bar{a}$	$=$ $\tilde{a}$	βεβάᾶσι	$=\beta\epsilon\beta\hat{a}\sigma\iota$				$=\chi \rho \bar{\nu}\sigma \alpha \hat{\iota} s$
$a + a\iota$	= aı	μνάαι	$=\mu\nu\alpha\hat{\imath}$			(56)	7-5.
a + a	$= \tilde{q}$	μνάφ	$=\mu\nu\hat{q}$		$=\epsilon\iota$ (sp.)		$=\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota} au\epsilon$
$a + \epsilon$	= ā	$ au$ $i\mu$ á $\epsilon au\epsilon$	$= \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$	€ + €ι (gen	.) = ει (gen.	) φιλέει	$= \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota}$
$\alpha + \epsilon \iota \text{ (gen.)}$	$=\bar{q}$	$ au$ $\tilde{\iota}$ μά $\epsilon$ ι	$= \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{q}$	$\epsilon + \epsilon \iota$ (sp.	) = ει (sp.)	φιλέειν	$=\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$
α + ει (sp.)	$= \tilde{a}$	τῖμάειν	$= \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{a} \nu$	$\epsilon + \eta$	$=\eta$	$\phi$ ιλέη $ au\epsilon$	$=\phi\iota\lambda\hat{\eta} au\epsilon$
$\alpha + \eta$	$= \bar{a}$	$\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{a} \eta \tau \epsilon$	= τῖμᾶτε	$\epsilon + \eta$	= y	$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \eta$	$=\phi \iota \lambda \hat{y}$
a + y	$= \bar{a}$	τῖμάῃ	$=  au i \mu \hat{a}$	$\epsilon + \iota$	$= \epsilon \iota$ (gen.	) γένεϊ	$= \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$
$a + \iota$	$= a\iota$	κέραϊ	= κέραι	$\epsilon + o$	= ου (sp.)	φιλέομεν	$=\phi\iota\lambda o\hat{v}\mu\epsilon v$
$\bar{a} + \iota$	$= \bar{q}$	β <b>ā</b> ΐτερος	$=\dot{\rho}\acute{q}\tau\epsilon\rho$ os	$\epsilon + o\iota$	= oı	$\phi$ ιλ $\epsilon$ οι $ au\epsilon$	= $φιλοῖτε$
a + o	$=\omega$	τϊμάομεν	= τῖμῶμεν	$\epsilon + ov$ (sp.	) = ου	φιλέουσι	= $φιλοῦσι$
$a + o\iota$	$= \varphi$	$τ$ $\ddot{\iota}$ μάοιμι	$= \tau \iota \mu \hat{\varphi} \mu \iota$	$\epsilon + \nu$	$= \epsilon v$	$ ilde{\epsilon}  ilde{v}$	$=\epsilon \tilde{v}$
$\alpha + ov$ (sp.)	$=\omega$	ἐτῖμάε(σ)	0 (55)	$\epsilon + \omega$	$=\omega$	$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega$	$= \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}$
			$= \epsilon \tau \tilde{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega}$	$\epsilon + \varphi$	$= \omega$	χρῦσέω	$=\chi hoar{v}\sigma\hat{\omega}$
$\alpha + \omega$	$=\omega$	τῖμάω	$= \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega}$	$\eta + a\iota$	= y	λύη(σ)αι	= λύη
$\epsilon + a$	$=\eta$	τείχεα	$= \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$	$\eta + \epsilon$	$=\eta$	τιμήεντος	$= au i \mu \hat{\eta}  u  au$ os
	$= \bar{a}$	δστ <b>έα</b>	$=\delta\sigma\tau\hat{a}(56)$	$\eta + \epsilon \iota (ger$	ı.) = <b>ŋ</b>	ζήει	$= \zeta \hat{y}$
€ +ā	$=\eta$	åπλέä	$=\dot{a}\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}$	$\eta + \epsilon \iota$ (sp.	$=\eta$	τῖμήεις	$= auar\iota\mu\hat\eta$ s

**<sup>55</sup> D.** In Hom.  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i} o s$  of fear from  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon(\sigma)$ -os the first two vowels unite.

#### TABLE OF VOWEL CONTRACTIONS - Concluded

$\eta + \eta$	$=\eta$	φανήητε	$=\phi a \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$	0 + 17	= 01	δηλόη	$=\delta\eta\lambda o\hat{\iota}$
$\eta + \eta$	= y	ζήη	$= \xi \hat{y}$		$= \omega$	δόης	$=\delta\hat{\varphi}s$
$\eta + o\iota$	$= \varphi$	μεμνηοίμη	$\eta \nu =$	0 + 1	$=$ $\circ\iota$	ήχόϊ	$=\dot{\eta}\chi$ oî
			μεμν $φμην$	0+0	= ov (sp.)	$\pi$ $\lambda$ $bos$	$=\pi\lambda o\hat{v}s$
$\eta + \iota$	$= \eta$	κληΐς	$= \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$	0 + 01	= 01	δηλόοιμεν	$=\delta\eta\lambda o\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$
1+1	= ī	Xícos	$= X \hat{\iota} os$	o + ου (sp.)	= ov (sp.)	δηλόουσι	$=\delta\eta\lambda o\hat{v}\sigma\iota$
0 + a	$=\omega$	albba	$=al\delta\hat{\omega}$	$o + \omega$	$=\omega$	δηλόω	$=\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}$
	$= \bar{a}$	$\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta a$	$=\dot{a}\pi\lambda\hat{a}$	$o + \varphi$	$= \varphi$	πλόφ	$=\pi\lambda\hat{\varphi}$
		(56)		$v + \iota$	$=\bar{v}$	<i>i</i> χθυίδιον	$=l\chi heta\delta\iota$ ον
$o + \epsilon$	= ov (sp.)	έδήλοε	$= \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \sigma v$	υ + υ	$=\bar{v}$	ὑύς (for ι	ilos) = us
o + ει (gen.	)= oı	δηλόει	$=\delta\eta\lambda o\hat{\iota}$	$\omega + \alpha$	$=\omega$	ήρωα	$= \eta \rho \omega$
o + ει (sp.)	= <b>o</b> v	δηλόειν	$=\delta\eta\lambda o\hat{v}\nu$	$\omega + \iota$	$= \varphi$	ήρωι	$= \ddot{\eta} \rho \varphi$
$o + \eta$	$=\omega$	$\delta\eta\lambda\delta\eta au\epsilon$	$=\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega} au\epsilon$	$\omega + \omega$	$=\omega$	δώω (Hom.	$=\delta\hat{\omega}$

N. — The forms of  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\delta\omega$  shiver contract from the stem  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\omega$ -(yielding  $\omega$  or  $\omega$ ).

#### SYNIZESIS

- **60**. In poetry two vowels, or a vowel and a diphthong, belonging to successive syllables may unite to form a single syllable in pronunciation, but not in writing. Thus,  $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon}$  missiles,  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon}$  son of Peleus,  $\chi \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon}$  golden. This is called Synizēsis (συνίζησις settling together).
- 61. Synizesis may occur between two words when the first ends in a long vowel or diphthong. This is especially the case with  $\delta \eta$
- 59 D. Attic contracts more, Ionic less, than the other dialects. The laws of contraction often differ in the different dialects.
- 1. Ionic (Old and New) is distinguished by its absence of contraction. Thus, πλόος for πλοῦς voyage, τείχεα for τείχη walls, ὀστέα for δστᾶ bones, ἀοιδή for ἀδή song, ἀεργός for ἀργός idle. The Mss. of Hdt. generally leave εε, εη uncontracted; but this is probably erroneous in most cases. Ionic rarely contracts where Attic does not: ὀγδώκοντα for ὀγδοήκοντα eighty.
- 2.  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\epsilon_0$  generally remain open in all dialects except Attic. In Ionic  $\epsilon_0$  is usually monosyllabic. Ionic (and less often Doric) may contract  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\epsilon_0$  to  $\epsilon_0$ :  $\sigma_0$  from  $\sigma_0$  of thee,  $\phi_0$   $\delta_0$  from  $\phi_0$   $\delta_0$   $\delta_0$  in  $\phi_0$   $\delta_0$   $\delta_0$
- 3. ao, āo, aw, āw contract to  $\bar{a}$  in Doric and Aeolic. Thus, 'Atrelõa from 'Atrelõa, Dor. yelâpti they laugh from yelâpti, xwrâv from xwrâw of countries. In Aeolic oā =  $\bar{a}$  in  $\beta\bar{a}\theta\delta\epsilon\nu\tau\iota$  (Ion.  $\beta\omega\theta\delta\epsilon\nu\tau\iota$ ) = Att.  $\beta$ or $\theta$ o $\hat{v}$ ν $\tau\iota$  aiding (dative).
- 4. Doric contracts as to  $\eta$ ; and to  $\eta$ ; asi, and to  $\eta$ . Thus, vikh from vikas conquer!  $\delta\rho\hat{\eta}$  from  $\delta\rho\delta\epsilon\iota$  and  $\delta\rho\delta\eta$ ; but  $\tilde{a}\epsilon=\tilde{a}$  ( $\tilde{a}\lambda\iota\sigma$ ) from  $\tilde{a}\epsilon\lambda\iota\sigma$ , Hom.  $\tilde{\eta}\epsilon\lambda\iota\sigma$ ).
- 5. The Severer (and earlier) Doric contracts  $\epsilon \epsilon$  to  $\eta$ , and  $o\epsilon$ , so to  $\omega$ . Thus,  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$  from  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{\tau} \omega$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\epsilon}$  from  $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\delta} \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $i \pi \pi \omega$  from  $i \pi \pi o o$  (230 D.); the Milder (and later) Doric and N. W. Greek contract to  $\epsilon \iota$ , and ov. Aeolic agrees with the Severer Doric.

- now,  $\mathring{\eta}$  or,  $\mathring{\eta}$  (interrog.),  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  not,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi \acute{\epsilon}\iota$  since,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\gamma \acute{\omega}$  I,  $\mathring{\omega}$  oh ; as  $\mathring{\eta}$  ov O 18.
- a. The term synizes is often restricted to cases where the first vowel is long. Where the first vowel is short,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$  were sounded nearly like y;  $\iota$  nearly like v. Cp. 44 a. The single syllable produced by synizes is almost always long.

#### CRASIS

- **62.** Crasis  $(\kappa \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota s \ mingling)$  is the contraction of a vowel or diphthong at the end of a word with a vowel or diphthong beginning the following word. Over the syllable resulting from contraction is placed a called  $cor\bar{o}nis$   $(\kappa o \rho \omega \nu \iota s \ hook)$ , as  $\tau \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a$  from  $\tau \tilde{a} \tilde{a} \lambda \lambda a$  the other things, the rest.
- a. The coronis is not written when the rough breathing stands on the first word:  $\dot{o}$   $dv\theta\rho\rho\omega\pi os = \ddot{a}v\theta\rho\omega\pi os$ .
- b. Crasis does not occur when the first vowel may be elided. (Some editors write  $\tau \delta \lambda \lambda a$ , etc.)
- **63.** Crasis occurs in general only between words that belong together; and the first of the two words united by crasis is usually the less important; as the article, relative pronoun  $(\ddot{o}, \ddot{a}), \pi\rho\dot{o}, \kappa\alpha\dot{l}, \delta\dot{\eta}, \dot{\tilde{\omega}}$ . Crasis occurs chiefly in poetry.
- a. It is rare in Hom., common in the dialogue parts of the drama (especially in comedy), and frequent in the orators.
- **64.**  $\pi, \tau, \kappa$  become  $\phi, \theta, \chi$  when the next word begins with the rough breathing (124):  $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho q = \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho q$  the day,  $\kappa \alpha l$  of and the  $= \chi o l$  (68 c).
- **65.** Iota subscript (5) appears in the syllable resulting from crasis only when the first syllable of the second word contains an  $\iota$ :  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  of  $\delta\alpha=\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\psi}\delta\alpha$  I know (but  $\tau\dot{\psi}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ) or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ) or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ) or  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ .
- **66.** The rules for crasis are in general the same as those for contraction (48 ff.). Thus,  $\tau \delta$  δνομα =  $\tau \delta$ νομα the name,  $\delta$   $\delta \nu = \delta \nu$ ,  $\delta$  άνερ =  $\delta \nu$ ερ oh man,  $\pi \rho \delta$   $\delta \chi \omega \nu = \pi \rho \delta \chi \omega \nu$  excelling,  $\tau \delta$  iμάτιον =  $\theta \delta \mu$ άτιον the cloak (64),  $\delta \delta \nu = \delta \gamma \omega$ . But the following exceptions are to be noted (67-69):
- **67.** A diphthong may lose its final vowel: of  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o l = o \dot{\nu}\mu o l$ ,  $\sigma o \iota \dot{\epsilon}\sigma \tau l = \sigma o \dot{\nu}\sigma \tau l$ ,  $\mu o \nu \dot{\epsilon}\sigma \tau l = \mu o \dot{\nu}\sigma \tau l$ . Cp. 43, 68.
- **68.** The final vowel or diphtliong of the article, and of  $\tau ol$ , is dropped, and an initial  $\alpha$  of the next word is lengthened unless it is the first vowel of a diphthong. The same rule applies in part to  $\kappa \alpha t$ .
- a. Article. ὁ ἀνήρ = ἀνήρ, οἱ ἄνδρες = ἄνδρες, αἱ ἀγαθαί = ἀγαθαί, ἡ ἀλήθεια = ἀλήθεια, τοῦ ἀνδρός = τἀνδρός, τῷ ἀνδρί = τἀνδρί, ὁ αὐτός = αὐτός the same, τοῦ αὐτοῦ = ταὐτοῦ of the same.
  - b.  $\tau \circ i = \tau \circ i \stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \rho \alpha = \tau \stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \rho \alpha, \ \mu \not\in \nu \tau \circ i \stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \nu = \mu \in \nu \tau \stackrel{\pi}{\alpha} \nu.$
- c. καί. (1) αι is dropped: καὶ αὐτός = καὐτός, καὶ οὐ = κοὐ, καὶ  $\dot{\eta} = \chi\dot{\eta}$ , καὶ οἱ = χοἱ, καὶ ἰκετεύετε = χἶκετεύετε and ye beseech (64). (2) αι is contracted chiefly before ε and ει: καὶ ἐν = κάν, καὶ ἐγώ = κάγώ, καὶ ἐς = κάς, καὶ εἶτα = κἆτα (note however καὶ εἰ = κεὶ, καὶ εἰς = κεἰς); also before ο in καὶ ὅτε = χὤτε, καὶ ὅπως = χὤπως (64).

- N. The exceptions in 68 a—c to the laws of contraction are due to the desire to let the vowel of the more important word prevail:  $\mathring{a}\nu\eta\rho$ , not  $\mathring{a}\nu\eta\rho$ , because of  $\mathring{a}\nu\eta\rho$ .
- **69.** Most crasis forms of  $\ell\tau\epsilon\rho os$  other are derived from  $\ell\tau\epsilon\rho os$ , the earlier form: thus,  $\delta \ell\tau\epsilon\rho os = \tilde{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho os$ , of  $\ell\tau\epsilon\rho os = \tilde{\alpha}\tau\epsilon\rho os$ ; but  $\tau o\hat{v} \ell\tau\epsilon\rho ov = \theta o \ell\tau\epsilon\rho ov$  (64).

## ELISION

- **70.** Elision is the expulsion of a short vowel at the end of a word before a word beginning with a vowel. An apostrophe (') marks the place where the vowel is elided.
  - άλλ' (à) ἄγε, ἔδωκ' (a) ἐννέα, ἐφ' (= ἐπὶ) ἐαυτοῦ (64), ἔχοιμ' (ι) ἄν, γένοιτ' (ο) ἄν.
- a. Elision is often not expressed to the eye except in poetry. Both inscriptions and the Mss. of prose writers are very inconsistent, but even where the elision is not expressed, it seems to have occurred in speaking; i.e.  $\delta\delta\epsilon$   $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon$  and  $\delta\delta$   $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon$  were spoken alike. The Mss. are of little value in such cases.
- **71.** Elision affects only unimportant words or syllables, such as particles, adverbs, prepositions, and conjunctions of two syllables (except  $\pi\epsilon\rho l$ ,  $d\chi\rho l$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\chi\rho l$ ,  $\delta\tau l$  72 b, c), and the final syllables of nouns, pronouns, and verbs.
  - a. The final vowel of an emphatic personal pronoun is rarely elided.
  - 72. Elision does not occur in
  - a. Monosyllables, except such as end in  $\epsilon$  ( $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ ).
  - b. The conjunction ὅτι that (ὅτ' is ὅτε when).
- c. The prepositions  $\pi\rho\delta$  before,  $\alpha\chi\rho\iota$ ,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota$  until, and  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$  concerning (except before  $\iota$ ).
- d. The dative singular ending  $\iota$  of the third declension, and in  $\sigma\iota$ , the ending of the dative plural.
  - e. Words with final v.
- 73. Except  $\ell \sigma \tau \ell$  is, forms admitting movable  $\nu$  (134 a) do not suffer elision in prose. (But some cases of  $\epsilon$  in the perfect occur in Demosthenes.)
- 74.  $\alpha\iota$  in the personal endings and the infinitive is elided in Aristophanes; scarcely ever, if at all, in tragedy; its elision in prose is doubtful.  $\alpha\iota$  is elided in tragedy in  $\alpha\iota$   $\alpha\iota$   $\alpha\iota$   $\alpha\iota$
- **68** D. Hom. has  $\mathring{\omega}\rho$ ιστος  $=\mathring{o}$   $\mathring{\alpha}\rho$ ιστος,  $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\tau}\mathring{o}\varsigma = \mathring{o}$  αὐτ $\mathring{o}\varsigma$ . Hdt. has οὕτ $\mathring{\epsilon}\rho$ ος  $=\mathring{o}$  ἔτ $\mathring{\epsilon}\rho$ ος,  $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}\mathring{\eta}\rho = \mathring{o}$   $\mathring{\alpha}\mathring{\nu}\mathring{\eta}\rho$ ,  $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}τοl = ol$  αὐτol, τ $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}τοl = τ\mathring{o}$  αὐτol, τ $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}τοl = τ\mathring{o}$  αὐτol, τ $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}τοl = τ\mathring{o}$  αὐτοol, τ $\mathring{\omega}\mathring{\nu}$ τol  $\mathring{e}$ ωolτol0, τol0 αὐτοol0, τol0 αὐτοol0, τol0 αὐτοol0, τol0 αὐτοol0, τol0 αὐτοol0 αὐτο
- 72 D. Absence of elision in Homer often proves the loss of f (3), as in  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \delta \sigma \tau \nu \ X \ 1$ . Epic admits elision in  $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \ th y$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha}$ , in the dat. sing. of the third decl., in  $-\sigma \iota$  and  $-\alpha \iota$  in the personal endings, and in  $-\nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $-\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  of the infinitive, and (rarely) in  $\mu o \iota$ ,  $\sigma o \iota$ ,  $\tau o \iota$ .  $\delta \nu \alpha \ o h \ king$ , and  $\delta \nu \alpha = \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \theta \iota$  rise u p, elide only once,  $\iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ and$  never. Hdt. elides less often than Attic prose; but the Mss. are not a sure guide.  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$  sometimes appears as  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  in Doric and Aeolic before words beginning with other vowels than  $\iota$ .  $\delta \xi \dot{\epsilon} \iota$   $\delta \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota$   $\Lambda$  272. Cp. 148 D. 1.
  - 73 D. In poetry a vowel capable of taking movable  $\nu$  is often cut off.

- **75.** Interior elision takes place in forming compound words. Here the apostrophe is not used. Thus, οὐδείς no one from οὐδὲ εἶς, καθοράω look down upon from κατὰ ὁράω, μεθίημι let go from μετὰ ἔημι (124).
- a.  $\delta\delta t$ , rowt this are derived from the demonstrative pronouns  $\delta\delta \epsilon$ ,  $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o +$  the deictic ending  $\bar{\iota}$  (333 g).
- b. Interior elision does not always occur in the formation of compounds. Thus, σκηπτοῦχος sceptre-bearing from σκηπτο + οχος (i.e. σοχος). Cp. 878.
  - c. On the accent in elision, see 174.

# APHAERESIS (INVERSE ELISION)

76. Aphaeresis (à $\phi$ alpeaus taking away) is the elision of  $\epsilon$  at the beginning of a word after a word ending in a long vowel or diphthong. This occurs only in poetry, and chiefly after  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  not,  $\ddot{\eta}$  or. Thus,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ' $\nu\tau a\hat{\nu}\theta a$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ '  $\mu\dot{t}$ ,  $\pi a\rho\dot{\epsilon}\xi\omega$  ' $\mu a\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}$ '  $\xi\dot{\eta}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ . In some texts editors prefer to adopt crasis (62) or synizesis (60). a is rarely elided thus.

## **EUPHONY OF CONSONANTS**

- 77. Assimilation. A consonant is sometimes assimilated to another consonant in the same word. This assimilation may be either partial, as in  $\hat{\epsilon}$ - $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \mu \phi$ - $\theta \eta \nu$  I was sent for  $\hat{\epsilon}$ - $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi$ - $\theta \eta \nu$  (82), or complete, as in  $\hat{\epsilon} \mu \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \omega$  I abide by for  $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$  (94).
- a. A preceding consonant is generally assimilated to a following consonant. Assimilation to a preceding consonant, as in  $\delta \lambda \lambda \bar{\nu}_{\mu} I$  destroy for  $\delta \lambda \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu}_{-\mu}$ , is rare.

## DOUBLING OF CONSONANTS

- 78. Attic has ττ for σσ of Ionic and most other dialects: πράττω do for πράσσω, θάλαττα sea for θάλασσα, κρείττων stronger for κρείσσων.
  - a. Tragedy and Thucydides adopt  $\sigma\sigma$  as an Ionism. On  $\chi$ aple $\sigma\sigma$ a see 114 a.
- b.  $\tau\tau$  is used for that  $\sigma\sigma$  which is regularly formed by  $\kappa$  or  $\chi$  and  $\iota$  (112), sometimes by  $\tau$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\iota$  (114). On  $\tau\tau$  in A $\tau\tau\iota\kappa\delta\sigma$  see 83 a.
- 75 D. Apocope (ἀποκοπή cutting off) occurs when a final short vowel is cut off before an initial consonant. In literature apocope is confined to poetry, but in the prose inscriptions of the dialects it is frequent. Thus, in Hom., as separate words and in compounds, ἄν, κάτ, πάρ (ἀπ, ὑπ rarely) for ἀνά, κατά, παρά (ἀπό, ὑπό). Final  $\tau$  is assimilated to a following consonant (but κατθανεῦν to die, not καθθανεῦν, cp. 83 a); so final ν by 91–95. Thus, ἀλλέξαι to pick up, ἃμ πόνον into the strife; κάββαλε threw down, κάλλιπε left behind, κακτούτεs lit. lying down, κανάξαιs break in pieces, for καρράξαις = κατ-ράξαις, κὰδ δέ, καδδῦσαι entering into, κὰπ πεδίον through the plain, κὰγ γόνυ on the knee (kag not kang), κὰρ βόον in the stream; ὑββάλλειν interrupt, ἀππέμψει will send away. When three consonants collide, the final consonant of the apocopate word is usually lost, as κάκτανε slew, from κάκκτανε out of κατ(έ)κτανε. Apocope occurs rarely in Attic poetry. πότ for ποτί (= πρόs in meaning) is frequent in Doric and Boeotian.
  - N. The shorter forms may have originated from elision.

- 79. Later Attic has  $\rho\rho$  for  $\rho\sigma$  of older Attic:  $\theta\acute{a}\rho\rho\sigma$  courage =  $\theta\acute{a}\rho\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\tilde{a}\rho\rho\eta\nu$  male =  $\tilde{a}\rho\sigma\eta\nu$ .
- a. But  $\rho\sigma$  does not become  $\rho\rho$  in the dative plural  $(\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\tau\rho\rho-\sigma\iota\ orators)$  and in words containing the suffix  $-\sigma\iota s$  for  $-\tau\iota s$  ( $\check{a}\rho-\sigma\iota s\ raising$ ).
- b. Ionic and most other dialects have  $\rho\sigma$ .  $\rho\sigma$  in Attic tragedy and Thucydides is probably an Ionism. Xenophon has  $\rho\sigma$  and  $\rho\rho$ .
- **30.** An initial  $\rho$  is doubled when a simple vowel is placed before it in inflection or composition. Thus, after the syllabic augment (429),  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $\rho\rho\epsilon$  was flowing from  $\rho\epsilon$ , and in  $\kappa\alpha\lambda$ - $\rho\rho\cos$  fair flowing. After a diphthong  $\rho$  is not doubled:  $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}$ - $\rho\cos$  fair flowing.
- a. This  $\rho\rho$ , due to assimilation of  $\sigma\rho$  ( $\epsilon$ - $\rho\rho\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\lambda l$ - $\rho\rhooos$ ), or  $\rho\rho$  ( $\epsilon\rho\rho\eta\theta\eta$  was spoken), is strictly retained in the interior of a word; but simplified to single  $\rho$  when standing at the beginning, i.e.  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon\omega$  is for  $\rho\rho\epsilon\omega$ . In composition ( $\epsilon\dot{\nu}$ - $\rhooos$ ) single  $\rho$  is due to the influence of the simplified initial sound.
- b. A different  $\rho\rho$  arises from assimilation of  $\rho\sigma$  (79),  $\rho\epsilon$  (sounded like  $\rho y$ , 44, 117), and  $\nu\rho$  (95).
- **81.**  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  are not doubled in Attic (cp. 75 D.). In  $\gamma\gamma$  the first  $\gamma$  is nasal (19 a).  $\phi$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\theta$  are not doubled in Attic; instead, we have  $\pi\phi$ ,  $\kappa\chi$ ,  $\tau\theta$  as in  $\Sigma a\pi\phi\omega$  Sappho, Bá $\kappa\chi$ os Bacchus, 'A $\tau\theta$ ls (Atthis) Attic. Cp. 83 a.

## CONSONANTS WITH CONSONANTS

## STOPS BEFORE STOPS

- 82. A labial or a palatal stop (16) before a dental stop  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$  must be of the same order (16).
- a. βτ, φτ become  $\pi\tau$ :  $(\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\bar{\imath}\beta-\tau a\iota)$  τέτρ $\bar{\imath}\pi\tau a\iota$  has been rubbed from  $\tau\rho\bar{\imath}\beta-\omega$  rub;  $(\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho a\phi-\tau a\iota)$  γέγρα $\pi\tau a\iota$  has been written from  $\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi-\omega$  write.  $\gamma\tau$ ,  $\chi\tau$  become  $\kappa\tau$ :  $(\lambda\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma-\tau a\iota)$  λέλεκται has been said from λέγ- $\omega$  say;  $(\beta\epsilon\beta\rho\epsilon\chi-\tau a\iota)$  βέβρεκται has been moistened from  $\beta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\chi-\omega$  moisten.
- **80 D.** In Hom. and even in prose ρ may remain single after a vowel: ἔ-ρεξε did from ῥέζω, καλλί-ροος. So ἰσδ-ρροπος and ἰσδ-ροπος (by analogy to ῥόπος) equally balanced. ἐκ χειρῶν βέλεὰ ῥέον M 159 represents βέλεα ρρέον. Cp. 146 D.
- 81 D. 1. Hom. has many cases of doubled liquids and nasals: ἔλλαβε took, ἄλληκτος unceasing, ἄμμορος without lot in, φιλομμειδής fond of smiles, ἀγάννιφος very snowy, ἀργεννός white, ἔννεπε relate. These forms are due to the assimilation of  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ , or  $\nu$ . Thus, ἀγά-ννιφος is from ἀγα-σνιφος, cp. sn in snow.
- 2. Doubled stops:  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\tau\iota$  that  $(\sigma_{f}\circ\delta-\tau\iota)$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}\pi\pi\dot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$  as  $(\sigma_{f}\circ\delta-\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon)$ ,  $\xi\dot{\sigma}\delta\dot{\sigma}\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon$  feared  $(\dot{\epsilon}\delta_{f}\epsilon\iota\sigma\epsilon)$ .
- 3.  $\sigma\sigma$  in  $\mu\epsilon\sigma\sigma$  in indiale (for  $\mu\epsilon\theta$  is medius, 114),  $\delta\pi$  is  $\sigma\omega$  backward, in the datives of  $\sigma$ -stems, as  $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$  (250 D. 2), and in verbs with stems in  $\sigma$  ( $\tau\rho\epsilon\sigma\sigma\epsilon$ ).
- 4. One of these doubled consonants may be dropped without lengthening the preceding vowel: 'Οδυσεύς from 'Οδυσεύς, μέσος, δπίσω. So in 'Αχιλεύς from 'Αχιλλεύς. On δδ, ββ, see 75 D. Aeolic has many doubled consonants due to assimilation (37 D. 3).

- b.  $\pi\delta$ ,  $\phi\delta$  become  $\beta\delta$ :  $(\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi-\delta\eta\nu)$   $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\beta\delta\eta\nu$  by stealth from  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi-\tau-\omega$  steal;  $(\gamma\rho\alpha\phi\delta\eta\nu)$   $\gamma\rho\alpha\beta\delta\eta\nu$  scraping from  $\gamma\rho\alpha\phi-\omega$  write (originally scratch, scrape).  $\kappa\delta$  becomes  $\gamma\delta$ :  $(\pi\lambda\epsilon\kappa-\delta\eta\nu)$   $\pi\lambda\epsilon\gamma\delta\eta\nu$  entivined from  $\pi\lambda\epsilon\kappa-\omega$  plait.
- c.  $\pi\theta$ ,  $\beta\theta$  become  $\phi\theta$ :  $(\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\pi-\theta\eta\nu)$   $\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\phi\theta\eta\nu$  I was sent from  $\pi\epsilon\mu\pi-\omega$  send;  $(\epsilon\tau\rho\bar{\imath}\beta-\theta\eta)$   $\epsilon\tau\rho\bar{\imath}\phi\theta\eta$  it was rubbed  $(\tau\rho\bar{\imath}\beta-\omega$  rub).  $\kappa\theta$ ,  $\gamma\theta$  become  $\chi\theta$ :  $(\epsilon\pi\lambda\epsilon\kappa-\theta\eta)$   $\epsilon\pi\lambda\epsilon\chi\theta\eta$  it was plaited  $(\pi\lambda\epsilon\kappa-\omega$  plait);  $(\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\gamma-\theta\eta)$   $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\chi\theta\eta$  it was said  $(\lambda\epsilon\gamma-\omega$  say)
  - N. 1. Cp. ἐπτά seven, ἔβδομος seventh, ἐφθήμερος lasting seven days.
- N. 2.—But  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$  out of remains unchanged:  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}\delta l\delta\omega\mu$  surrender,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  run out (104).
  - 83. A dental stop before another dental stop becomes  $\sigma$ .
- άνυστός practicable for άνυτ-τος from άνύτω complete, ζστε you know for ίδ-τε, οἶσθα thou knowest for οἰδ-θα, πέπεισται has been persuaded for πεπειθ-ται, έπείσθην I was persuaded for ἐπειθ-θην.
- a.  $\tau\tau$ ,  $\tau\theta$  remain unchanged in 'A $\tau\tau\iota\kappa\delta$ s, 'A $\tau\theta$ l's Attic, and in  $\kappa\alpha\tau\theta\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  die (75 D., 81). So  $\tau\tau$  for  $\sigma\sigma$  (78).
- **84.** Any stop standing before a stop other than  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ , or in other combination than  $\pi\phi$ ,  $\kappa\chi$ ,  $\tau\theta$  (81) is dropped, as in  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\delta\mu$ ( $\delta$ )- $\kappa\alpha$  I have brought.  $\gamma$  before  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$ , or  $\chi$  is gamma-nasal (19 a), not a stop.

## STOPS BEFORE M

- **85.** Before  $\mu$ , the labial stops  $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$  become  $\mu$ ; the palatal stops  $\kappa, \chi$  become  $\gamma$ ;  $\gamma$  before  $\mu$  remains unchanged.
- δμμα eye for όπ-μα (cp. δπωπα), λέλειμμαι I have been left for λελειπ-μαι from λείπ-ω leave, τέτριμμαι for τετρίβ-μαι from τρίβ-ω rub, γέγραμμαι for γεγραφμαι from γράφ-ω write, πέπλεγμαι for πεπλεκ-μαι from πλέκ-ω plait, τέτευγμαι for τετευχ-μαι from τεύχ-ω build.
- a.  $\kappa$  and  $\chi$  may remain unchanged before  $\mu$  in a noun-suffix:  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa$ - $\mu\dot{\eta}$  edge,  $\delta\rho\alpha\chi$ - $\mu\dot{\eta}$  drachma.  $\kappa\mu$  remains when brought together by phonetic change (128 a), as in  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\kappa\mu\eta$ - $\kappa\alpha$  am wearied ( $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\mu$ - $\nu\omega$ ).
- b.  $\gamma\gamma\mu$  and  $\mu\mu\mu$  become  $\gamma\mu$  and  $\mu\mu$ . Thus,  $\epsilon\lambda\dot{\eta}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\mu$ aι for  $\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\epsilon\gamma\gamma-\mu$ aι from  $\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chi-\mu$ aι ( $\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\chi-\omega$  convict),  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\mu\mu$ aι for  $\pi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\mu$ - $\mu$ aι from  $\pi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\mu\pi$ - $\mu$ aι ( $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi-\omega$  send).
- **86.** A dental stop  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$  before  $\mu$  often appears to become  $\sigma$ . Thus, ἤννσμαι for ἤνντ-μαι (ἀνύτ-ω complete), πέφρασμαι for πεφραδ-μαι (φράζω declare), πέπεισμαι for πεπειθ-μαι (πείθ-ω persuade).
- 87. On the other hand, since these stops are actually retained in many words, such as  $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\tau\mu\delta\nu$  oar,  $\pi\delta\tau\mu$ os fate,  $\delta\rho\iota\theta\mu\delta$ s number,  $\sigma$  must be explained as due to analogy. Thus,  $\tilde{\eta}\nu\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  have taken on the ending  $-\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  by analogy to  $-\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$  where  $\sigma$  is in place ( $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$  for  $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\alpha\delta\tau\alpha\iota$ ). So  $\delta\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  we know (Hom.  $\delta\partial\mu\epsilon\nu$ ) follows  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$  you know (for  $\delta\delta\tau\epsilon$ ).  $\delta\sigma\mu\dot{\eta}$  odor stands for  $\delta\delta\sigma\mu\eta$ .

## CONSONANTS BEFORE N

- **88.**  $\beta$  regularly and  $\phi$  usually become  $\mu$  before  $\nu$ . Thus,  $\sigma\epsilon\mu\nu\sigma$ s revered for  $\sigma\epsilon\beta$ - $\nu\sigma$ s ( $\sigma\epsilon\beta$ - $\sigma\mu\mu$ ),  $\sigma\tau\nu\mu\nu\sigma$ s firm for  $\sigma\tau\nu\phi$ - $\nu\sigma$ s ( $\sigma\tau\dot{\nu}\phi\omega$  contract).
- 89. γίγνομαι become, γιγνώσκω know become γίνομαι, γῖνώσκω in Attic after 300 B.C., in New Ionic, late Doric, etc.
  - 90. λν becomes λλ in ὅλλῦμι destroy for ὀλ-νῦμι.
  - $\lambda \nu$  is kept in  $\pi i \lambda \nu a \mu a \iota$  approach. On sigma before  $\nu$  see 105.

## N BEFORE CONSONANTS

- 91.  $\nu$  before  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  becomes  $\mu$ :  $\epsilon \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$  fall into for  $\epsilon \nu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$  throw in for  $\epsilon \nu \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \mu \phi a i \nu \omega$  exhibit for  $\epsilon \nu \phi a \iota \nu \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \mu \psi \bar{\nu} \chi o s$  alive for  $\epsilon \nu \psi \bar{\nu} \chi o s$ .
- **92.** ν before κ, γ, χ, ξ becomes γ-nasal (19 a): ἐγκαλέω bring a charge for ἐν-καλεω, ἐγγράφω inscribe for ἐν-γραφω, συγχέω pour together for συν-χεω, συγξύω grind up for συν-ξῦω.
- 93.  $\nu$  before  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$  remains unchanged. Here  $\nu$  may represent  $\mu$ :  $\beta \rho o \nu \tau \dot{\eta}$  thunder  $(\beta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \ roar)$ .
- **94.**  $\nu$  before  $\mu$  becomes  $\mu$ :  $\xi\mu\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma$  moderate for  $\epsilon\nu$ - $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\sigma$ ,  $\epsilon\mu\mu\epsilon\nu\omega$  abide by for  $\epsilon\nu$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu\omega$ .
- a. Verbs in -νω may form the perfect middle in -σμαι (489 h); as in πέφασμαι (from φαίνω show) for πεφαν-μαι (cp. πέφαγ-κα, πέφαν-ται).
- b. Here  $\nu$  does not become  $\sigma$ ; but the ending  $-\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  is borrowed from verbs with stems in a dental (as  $\pi\epsilon\phi\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ , on which see 87).
- 95.  $\nu$  before  $\lambda$ ,  $\rho$  is assimilated  $(\lambda\lambda, \rho\rho)$ : σύλλογος concourse for συν-λογος, συρρέω flow together for συν-ρέω.
- **96.**  $\nu$  before  $\sigma$  is dropped and the preceding vowel is lengthened ( $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon\iota$ , o to  $o\nu$ , 37):  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\bar{a}s$  black for  $\mu\epsilon\lambda a\nu$ -s,  $\epsilon$ is one for  $\epsilon\nu$ -s,  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota$ s placing for  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\nu(\tau)$ -s,  $\tau\circ\nu$ s for  $\tau\circ\nu$ -s.
- a. But in the dative plural ν before -σι appears to be dropped without compensatory lengthening: μέλασι for μέλαν-σι, δαίμοσι for δαιμον-σι divinities, φρεσί for φρεν-σι mind. But see 250 N.

## CONSONANTS BEFORE Σ

**97.** With  $\sigma$  a labial stop forms  $\psi$ , a palatal stop forms  $\xi$ .

λείψω shall leave for λειπ-σω κῆρυξ herald for κηρυκ-s τρίψω shall rub " τρῖβ-σω ἄξω shall lead " ἀγ-σω γράψω shall write " γραφ-σω βήξ cough " βηχ-s

<sup>90</sup> D. Aeolic βόλλα council, Attic βουλή and Doric βωλά (with compensatory lengthening), probably for βολνά.

- a. The only stop that can stand before  $\sigma$  is  $\pi$  or  $\kappa$ , hence  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$  become  $\pi$ , and  $\gamma$ ,  $\chi$  become  $\kappa$ . Thus,  $\gamma \rho a \phi \sigma \omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \sigma \omega$  become  $\gamma \rho a \pi \sigma \omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \sigma \omega$ .
- **98.** A dental stop before  $\sigma$  is assimilated  $(\sigma\sigma)$  and one  $\sigma$  is dropped.
- σώμασι bodies for σωμασσι out of σωματ-σι, ποσί feet for ποσσί out of ποδ-σι, δρνῖσι birds for δρνῖσσι out of δρνῖθ-σι. So πάσχω suffer for πασσχω out of παθ-σκω (ep. παθ-εῖν and 126).
  - a. δ and θ become τ before  $\sigma$ : ποδ- $\sigma\iota$ , δρν $\bar{\iota}\theta$ - $\sigma\iota$  become ποτ- $\sigma\iota$ , δρν $\bar{\iota}\tau$ - $\sigma\iota$ .
  - κ is dropped before σκ in διδα(κ)-σκω teach (διδακ-τόs taught).
     π is dropped before σφ in βλα(π)σ-φημία evil-speaking.
- 100.  $\nu\tau$ ,  $\nu\delta$ ,  $\nu\theta$  before  $\sigma$  form  $\nu\sigma\sigma$  (98), then  $\nu\sigma$ , finally  $\nu$  is dropped and the preceding vowel is lengthened (37).
- πᾶσι all for πανσ-σι out of παντ-σι, τιθείσι placing for τιθενσ-σι out of τιθεντ-σι. So γίγας giant for γιγαντ-ς, λόουσι loosing for λύοντ-σι, σπείσω shall make libation for σπενδ-σω, πείσομαι shall suffer for πενθ-σομαι (πένθος grief).
  - 101. a. ἐν in, σύν with in composition are treated as follows:
- έν before  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ , or  $\zeta$  keeps its  $\nu$ :  $\check{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\rho\nu\theta\mu$ os in rhythm,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\nu\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$  prepare,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\zeta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  yoke in.
- σύν before σ and a vowel becomes συσ-: συσ-σώζω help to save.
  - before  $\sigma$  and a consonant or  $\zeta$ , becomes  $\sigma v-: \sigma v-\sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \zeta \omega$  pack up,  $\sigma v-\zeta v \gamma o s$  yoked together.
- b.  $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ ,  $\pi \hat{a} \lambda \iota \nu$  before  $\sigma$  either keep  $\nu$  or assimilate  $\nu$  to  $\sigma$ :  $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ - $\sigma \circ \phi$  all-wise,  $\pi a \nu$ - $\sigma \in \lambda \eta \nu$  or  $\pi a \sigma \sigma \in \lambda \eta \nu$  os the full moon,  $\pi a \lambda (\nu \sigma \kappa \iota \circ \sigma)$  thick-shaded,  $\pi a \lambda (\sigma \sigma \nu \tau \circ \sigma)$  rushing back.
- 102. On  $\rho\sigma$  see 79 a.  $\lambda\sigma$  is retained in άλσος precinct.  $\rho\sigma$ ,  $\lambda\sigma$  may become  $\rho$ ,  $\lambda$  with lengthening of the preceding vowel: ήγειρα I collected, ήγγειλα I announced for ήγερ- $\sigma$ a, ήγγελ- $\sigma$ a.

## Σ BEFORE CONSONANTS

- 103. Sigma between consonants is dropped:  $\mathring{\eta}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda(\sigma)\theta\epsilon$  you have announced,  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi(\sigma)\theta\alpha$  to have written,  $\check{\epsilon}\kappa(\sigma)\mu\eta\nu$ os of six months ( $\check{\epsilon}\xi$  six,  $\mu\acute{\eta}\nu$  month).
- **104.**  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  out of  $(=\dot{\epsilon}\kappa s)$  drops  $\sigma$  in composition before another consonant, but usually retains its  $\kappa$  unaltered:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\dot{\epsilon}(\nu\omega)$  stretch out,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega\mu$  surrender,
  - 98 D. Hom. often retains σσ: ποσσί, δάσσασθαί for δατ-σασθαί (δατέομαι divide).
- 102 D. Hom. has  $\delta \rho \sigma \epsilon$  incited,  $\kappa \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon$  cut,  $\epsilon \epsilon \delta \sigma \sigma \iota$  to coop up,  $\kappa \epsilon \delta \sigma \sigma \iota$  to put to shore.

έκφέρω carry out, ἐκθύω sacrifice, ἐκσύζω preserve from danger (not ἐξύζω), ἐκμανθάνω learn thoroughly. Cp. 82 n. 2, 136.

- **105.**  $\sigma$  before  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  usually disappears with compensatory lengthening (37) as in  $\epsilon l \mu l$  for  $\epsilon \sigma \mu l$ . But  $\sigma \mu$  stays if  $\mu$  belongs to a suffix and in compounds of  $\delta \nu \sigma i l l$ :  $\delta \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$  hostile.
- a. Assimilation takes place in Πελοπόννησος for Πέλοπος νήσος island of Pelops, ἔννῦμι clothe for ἐσ-νῦμι (Ionic εἴνῦμι), ἔρρει was flowing for ἐ-σρει, 80 a.
- 106. σδ becomes  $\zeta$  in some adverbs denoting motion towards. Thus, 'Αθήναζε for 'Αθήνας-δε Athens-wards (26, 342 a).
- 107. Two sigmas brought together by inflection become σ: βέλεσι for βέλεσ-σι missiles, ἔπεσι for ἔπεσ-σι words (98), τελέσαι for τελέσ-σαι (from τελέω accomplish, stem τελεσ-).
  - a.  $\sigma\sigma$  when =  $\tau\tau$  (78) never becomes  $\sigma$ .
- 108. Many of the rules for the euphony of consonants were not established in the classical period. Inscriptions show a much freer practice, either marking the etymology, as σύνμαχος for σύμμαχος ally (94), ἐνκαλεῖν for ἐγκαλεῖν to bring a charge (92), or showing the actual pronunciation (phonetic spelling), as τὸγ (= τὸν) κακόν (92), τὴμ (= τὴν) βουλήν (91), τὸλ (= τὸν) λόγον, ἔγδοσις for ἔκδοσις surrendering (104), ἐχφέρω, ἐχθύω for ἐκφέρω, ἐκθύω (104).

## CONSONANTS WITH VOWELS

## CONSONANTS BEFORE I AND E

- 109. Numerous changes occur before the semivowel  $\underline{\iota}$  (= y, 20) before a vowel. This y is often indicated by the sign  $\underline{\iota}$ . In 110-117 (except in 115)  $\underline{\iota}$  is = y.
- 110. λι becomes λλ: ἄλλος for άλιος Lat alius, ἄλλομαι for άλιομαι Lat. salio, φύλλον for φυλιον Lat. folium.
- 111. After  $\alpha\nu$ ,  $o\nu$ ,  $\alpha\rho$ ,  $o\rho$ ,  $\iota$  is shifted to the preceding syllable, forming  $\alpha\nu$ ,  $o\nu$ ,  $\alpha\iota\rho$ ,  $o\iota\rho$ . This is called *Epenthesis* (ἐπένθεσις insertion).
- φαίνω show for φαν-ιω, μέλαινα black for μελαν-ια, σπαίρω gasp for σπαρ-ιω, μοίρα fate for μορ-ια. (So κλαίω weep for κλαρ-ιω 38 a.) On ι after εν, ερ, ιν, ιρ, υν, υρ, see 37 a.
- 112. κι, χι become ττ (= σσ 78): φυλάττω guard for φυλακιω (cp. φυλακή guard), ταράττω disturb for ταραχιω (cp. ταραχή disorder).
- 105 D. σ is assimilated in Aeol and Hom. έμμεναι to be for έσ-μεναι (εἶναι), άργεννός white for άργεσ-νος, έρεβεννός dark (έρεβεσ-νος, cp. Ερεβος), άμμε we, ύμμες you (άσμε, ύσμες). Cp. 81 D.
- 106 D. Aeolic has  $\sigma\delta$  for medial  $\xi$  in volos branch ( $\delta\xi \sigma s$ ),  $\mu\epsilon\lambda l\sigma\delta\omega$  make melody ( $\mu\epsilon\lambda l\xi\omega$ ).
  - 107 D. Homer often retains σσ: βέλεσσι, έπεσσι, τελέσσαι.

113. (I)  $\tau_{\ell}$ ,  $\theta_{\ell}$  after long vowels, diphthongs, and consonants become  $\sigma$ ; after short vowels  $\tau_{\ell}$ ,  $\theta_{\ell}$  become  $\sigma\sigma$  (not =  $\tau\tau$  78), which is simplified to  $\sigma$ .

alσa fate from aiτ-ια, πάσα all from παντ-ια, μέσος middle (Hom. μέσσος) from μεθ-ιος (cp. Lat. med-ius), τόσος so great (Hom. τόσσος) from τοτ-ιος (cp. Lat. toti-dem).

- a. In the above cases τι passed into τσ. Thus παντ-ία, παντσα, πανσσα, πάνσα (Cretan, Thessalian), πᾶσα (37 D. 3).
- 114. (II)  $\tau_{i}$ ,  $\theta_{i}$  become  $\tau_{i}$  (=  $\sigma\sigma$  78):  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota\tau_{i}$  a bee from  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota\tau_{i}$  (cp.  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota_{i}$ - $\iota\tau_{0}$ s honey), κορύττω equip from κορυθ- $\iota\omega$  (cp. κόρυς, -υθος helmet).
- a.  $\chi \alpha \rho l \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$  graceful and other feminine adjectives in  $-\epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha$  are poetical, and therefore do not assume the native Attic prose form in  $\tau \tau$ . But see 299 c.
  - b.  $\tau\tau$  from  $\tau_{i}$ ,  $\theta_{i}$  is due to analogy, chiefly of  $\tau\tau$  from  $\kappa_{i}$ .
- 115.  $\tau$  before final  $\iota$  often becomes  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\tau i\theta \eta \sigma \iota$  places for  $\tau i\theta \eta \tau \iota$ ; also in  $\pi \lambda o i\sigma \iota os$  rich for  $\pi \lambda o \nu \tau \iota os$  (cp.  $\pi \lambda o i\tau os$  wealth).
  - a. ντ before final ι becomes νσ, which drops ν: έχουσι they have for έχοντι (37).
- 116.  $\delta_{\ell}$  between vowels and  $\gamma_{\ell}$  after a vowel form  $\zeta$ : thus,  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$  hope for  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta_{-i} \omega$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \zeta \delta_{5}$  on foot for  $\pi \epsilon \delta_{-i} \omega$ s (ep.  $\pi \epsilon \delta_{-i} \omega$ r ground),  $\delta_{p} \pi \delta_{q} \zeta \omega$  seize for  $\delta_{p} \pi \alpha \gamma_{-i} \omega$  (ep.  $\delta_{p} \pi \alpha \delta_{p} \pi \alpha \delta_{p} \omega$ ). After a consonant  $\gamma_{\ell}$  forms  $\delta_{p} \epsilon_{p} \delta_{p} \omega$  work from  $\epsilon_{p} \gamma_{-i} \omega$ .
- 117.  $\pi_{\underline{\iota}}$  becomes  $\pi\tau$ , as in  $\chi a\lambda \ell \pi \tau \omega$  oppress from  $\chi a\lambda \ell \pi \iota \omega$ .  $\rho \underline{\epsilon}$  becomes  $\rho \rho$  in Boppas from Bopeas. Here  $\epsilon$  was sounded nearly like y (44, 61 a).

## DISAPPEARANCE OF E AND F

- 118. The spirant  $\sigma$  with a vowel before or after it is often lost. Its former presence is known by earlier Greek forms or from the cognate languages.
  - 119. Initial  $\sigma$  before a vowel becomes the rough breathing.
- έπτα seven, Lat. septem; ημισυς half, Lat. semi-; ιστημι put for σι-στη-μι, Lat. si-st-ο; είπόμην I followed from ε΄-σεπ-ο-μην, Lat. sequor.
- a. When retained, this  $\sigma$  is due to phonetic change (as  $\sigma \acute{\nu} r$  for  $\xi \acute{\nu} r$ ,  $\sigma \bar{\imath} \gamma \acute{\eta}$  silence for  $\sigma \nu \bar{\imath} \gamma \eta$  Germ. schweigen), or to analogy. On the loss of 'see 125 e.
  - **120**. Between vowels  $\sigma$  is dropped.
- γένους of a race from  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon(\sigma)$ -os, Lat. gener-is, λύει thou loosest from λύη for λῦε- $(\sigma)$ aι, ἐλύου from ἐλῦε- $(\sigma)$ o thou didst loose for thyself, τιθεῖο for τιθεῖοο, εἴην from ἐσ-ιη-ν Old Lat. siem, ἀλήθε-ια truth from ἀληθεσ-ια.
- 115 D. Doric often retains  $\tau$  ( $\tau l\theta \eta \tau \iota$ ,  $\xi \chi o \nu \tau \iota$ ).  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$  is not from (Dor.)  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$  (cp. Lat. te), nor is  $\sigma o \dot{\epsilon}$  from  $\tau o \dot{\epsilon}$ .

- a. Yet  $\sigma$  appears in some  $-\mu$  forms  $(\tau i\theta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\iota, i\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\sigma)$ , and in  $\theta\rho\alpha\sigma\dot{\nu}s = \theta\alpha\rho\sigma\dot{\nu}s$  128.  $\sigma$  between vowels is due to phonetic change (as  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma\sigma$  107,  $\pi\lambda o\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\sigma$  for  $\pi\lambda o\nu\tau\iota\sigma$ s 115) or to analogy (as  $\xi\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma\alpha$  for  $\xi\lambda\bar{\nu}\alpha$ , modelled on  $\xi\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa-\sigma-\alpha$ ), cp. 35 c.
- **121.**  $\sigma$  usually disappears in the agrist of liquid verbs (active and middle) with lengthening of the preceding vowel (37):  $\xi \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a I$  sent for  $\xi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \sigma a$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta \nu a I$  showed for  $\xi \phi a \nu \sigma a$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta \nu a \tau o$  for  $\xi \phi a \nu \sigma a \tau o$ . Cp. 102.
  - 122. Digamma (3) has disappeared in Attic.

The following special cases are to be noted:

- a. In nouns of the third declension with a stem in  $\alpha v$ ,  $\epsilon v$ , or  $\alpha v$  (43). Thus, vaûs ship, gen. veώs from  $v\eta_{f}$ -os,  $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota s$  king, gen.  $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota s$  from  $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}_{f}$ -os (34).
- b. In the augment and reduplication of verbs beginning with ρ: εἰργαζόμην I worked from ε-ρεργαζομην, ἔοικα am like from ρεροικα. Cp. 431, 443.
  - c. In verbs in εω for εςω: ρέω I flow, fut. ρεύ-σομαι.
  - 123. Some words have lost initial  $\sigma_F$   $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{v}s$  sweet (Lat. sua(d)vis), of, of, if him, is his (Lat. suus), \(\tilde{e}\) os custom, \(\deta\) os character (Lat. con-suctus).

## ASPIRATION

124. A smooth stop  $(\pi, \tau, \kappa)$ , brought before the rough breathing by elision, crasis, or in forming compounds, is made rough, becoming an aspirate  $(\phi, \theta, \chi)$ . Cp. 16 a.

 $\dot{a}\phi'$  οδ for  $\dot{a}\pi(\dot{a})$  οδ,  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\chi\theta'$  όλην for  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\kappa\tau(a)$  όλην (82); θάτερον the other (69), θοιμάτιον for τὸ ἡμάτιον the cloak (66); μεθήημι let go for μετ(à) ἤημι, αὐθάδης self-willed from αὐτός self and ἀδεῖν please.

- a. A medial rough breathing, passing over  $\rho$ , roughens a preceding smooth stop:  $\phi \rho oup \delta s$  watchman from  $\pi \rho o \dot{o} \rho os$ ,  $\phi \rho o \hat{v} \delta os$  gone from  $\pi \rho \delta$  and  $\dot{o} \delta \delta s$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \rho \iota \pi \pi \sigma v$  four-horse chariot  $(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho + i \pi \pi \sigma s)$ .
- 125. Two rough stops beginning successive syllables of the same word are avoided in Greek. A rough stop is changed into a smooth stop when the following syllable contains a rough stop.
- a. In reduplication (441) initial  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$  are changed to  $\pi$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\kappa$ . Thus,  $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma a$  for  $\phi \epsilon \phi \epsilon \nu \gamma a$  perfect of  $\phi \epsilon \nu \gamma \omega$  flee,  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$  place for  $\theta \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \chi \eta \nu a$  for  $\chi \epsilon \chi \eta \nu a$  perf. of  $\chi \delta \sigma \kappa \omega$  gape.
- **b.** In the first agrist passive imperative  $-\theta\iota$  becomes  $-\tau\iota$  after  $-\theta\eta$ -, as in  $\lambda \delta -\theta\eta -\tau\iota$  for  $\lambda \upsilon -\theta\eta -\theta\iota$ ; elsewhere  $-\theta\iota$  is retained  $(\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta\iota)$ .
- c. In the agrist passive,  $\theta\epsilon$  and  $\theta\nu$  are changed to  $\tau\epsilon$  and  $\tau\nu$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta\eta\nu$  was placed  $(\tau\dot{\epsilon}\theta\eta\mu\iota)$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta\eta\nu$  was sacrificed  $(\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega)$ .
- d. From the same objection to a succession of rough stops are due  $\dot{a}\mu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$   $\dot{a}\mu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\chi\omega$  clothe for  $\dot{a}\mu\phi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon$ - $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\epsilon}a$  truce for  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon$ - $\chi\epsilon\iota\rho\dot{\epsilon}a$  (from  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$  and  $\chi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ ).

123 D. Hom. εὔαδε pleased stands for έρραδε from ἐσραδε.

124 D. New Ionic generally leaves  $\pi$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\kappa$  before the rough breathing:  $d\pi$  οῦ, μετίημι, τοὕτερον. But in compounds (9 D.)  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$  may appear: μέθοδος method (μετά after + ὁδός way).

- e. The rough breathing, as an aspirate (16 a), often disappeared when either of the two following syllables contains  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ , or  $\chi$ .  $\xi \chi \omega$  have stands for  $\xi \chi \omega = \sigma \epsilon \chi \omega$  (119, cp.  $\xi \sigma \chi \circ \nu$ ), the rough changing to the smooth breathing before a rough stop. The rough breathing reappears in the future  $\xi \xi \omega$ . Cp.  $\xi \sigma \chi \omega$  restrain for  $\xi \sigma \chi \omega$  from  $\sigma \xi \sigma \chi \omega$ ,  $\xi \delta \epsilon \theta \lambda \sigma \nu$  foundation, but  $\xi \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \xi = 0$ . Lat. sedes.
- f. In  $\theta \rho t \xi$  hair, gen. sing.  $\tau \rho \iota \chi$ -bs for  $\theta \rho \iota \chi$ os, dat. pl.  $\theta \rho \iota \xi t$ ;  $\tau \alpha \chi \upsilon s$  swift, comparative  $\tau \alpha \chi t \omega \nu$  (rare) or  $\theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu$  ( $\theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ) from  $\theta \alpha \chi \bar{\iota} \omega \nu$  (112).
- g. In  $\tau \alpha \phi$   $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \phi os \ tomb)$ , pres.  $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi$ - $\tau$ - $\omega \ bury$ , fut.  $\theta \dot{\alpha} \psi \omega$ , perf.  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \alpha \mu$ - $\mu \alpha \iota$  (85);  $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega \ nourish$ , fut.  $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi \omega$ , perf.  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \rho \alpha \mu$ - $\mu \alpha \iota$ ;  $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega \ run$ , fut.  $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \phi \mu \alpha \iota$ ;  $\tau \rho \psi \phi$ - $(\tau \rho \nu \phi \dot{\eta} \ delicacy)$ , pres.  $\theta \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega \ enfeeble$ , fut.  $\theta \rho \dot{\nu} \psi \omega$ ;  $\tau \dot{\nu} \phi \omega \ smoke$ , perf.  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \ddot{\nu} \mu$ - $\mu \alpha \iota$ .
- N. The two rough stops remain unchanged in the aorist passive  $\epsilon\theta\rho\epsilon\phi\theta\eta\nu$  was nourished,  $\epsilon\theta\rho\phi\theta\eta\nu$  was enfeebled,  $\epsilon\phi\Delta\nu\theta\eta\nu$  was shown forth,  $\epsilon\theta\phi\Delta\nu\theta\eta\nu$  was set upright,  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon\lambda\chi\theta\eta\nu$  was charmed,  $\epsilon\kappa\kappa\theta\delta\rho\theta\eta\nu$  was purified; in the perfect inf.  $\pi\epsilon\phi\Delta\nu\theta\alpha$ ,  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\alpha\theta\delta\rho\theta\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon\epsilon\kappa\alpha\theta\delta\rho\theta\alpha$ ; in the imperatives  $\gamma\rho\Delta\phi\eta\theta$  be written,  $\sigma\tau\rho\Delta\phi\eta\theta$  turn about,  $\phi\Delta\theta$  say.
- 126. Transfer of Aspiration. Aspiration may be transferred to a following syllable:  $\pi \acute{a}\sigma \chi \omega$  for  $\pi a\theta$ - $\sigma \kappa \omega$  (cp. 98).
- 127. Some roots show variation between a final smooth and a rough stop;  $\delta \epsilon \chi o \mu a \iota receive$ ,  $\delta \omega \rho o \delta \delta \kappa o b ribe-taker$ ; a  $\delta \epsilon \iota \phi \omega a noint$ ,  $\lambda \iota \pi o s f a t$ ;  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \omega w e a v e$ ,  $\pi \lambda o \chi u b s b r a i d o f h a i r$ ; and in the perfect, as  $\hbar \chi \alpha$  from  $\delta \gamma \omega l e a d$ .

## VARIOUS CONSONANT CHANGES

- **128.** Metathesis (transposition). A vowel and a consonant often exchange places: Πνόξ the Pnyx, gen. Πυκνός, τίκτω bear for τι-τκ-ω (cp. τεκ-είν).
- a. Transposition proper does not occur where we have to do with  $\alpha\rho$ ,  $\rho\alpha=\rho$  (20, 35 b) as in  $\theta$ á $\rho$ os and  $\theta$  $\rho$ á $\sigma$ os courage; or with syncope (44 b) due to early shifting of accent, as in  $\pi$ έ $\tau$ -ο $\mu$ a $\iota$ f $\iota$ y,  $\pi$  $\tau$ ε- $\rho$ 6 $\nu$  wing; or where a long vowel follows the syncopated root, as in  $\tau$ έ $\nu$ - $\nu$  $\omega$   $\tau$ έ- $\tau$  $\mu$  $\eta$ - $\kappa$ a I have cut.

In βέβληκα I have thrown (βάλλω throw), βλη is formed from βέλε found in βέλε-μνον missile.

- 129. Dissimilation.—a.  $\lambda$  sometimes becomes  $\rho$  when  $\lambda$  appears in the same word:  $d\rho\gamma a\lambda \epsilon_0 s$  painful for  $d\lambda\gamma a\lambda \epsilon_0 s$  ( $d\lambda\gamma s$  pain).
- b. A consonant (usually  $\rho$ ) sometimes disappears when it occurs also in the adjoining syllable:  $\delta\rho\nu\phi$ aktos railing for  $\delta\rho\nu$ - $\phi\rho$ aktos (lit. fenced by wood).
- c. Syllabic dissimilation or syncope occurs when the same or two similar syllables containing the same consonant succeed each other: ἀμφορεύς α jar for ἀμφι-φορεύς, θάρσυνος bold for θαρσο-συνος. This is often called haplology.
  - d. See also under 99, 125 a, b.

<sup>126</sup> D. Hdt. has ένθαθτα there (ένταθθα), ένθεθτεν thence (έντεθθεν), κιθών tunic (χιτών).

<sup>127</sup> D. Hom. and Hdt. have  $ab\tau\iota s$  again  $(ab\theta\iota s)$ , obti not  $(ob\chi\iota)$ . All the dialects except Attic have  $\delta\epsilon\kappa o\mu a\iota$ .

<sup>128</sup> D. Hom. κραδίη, καρδίη heart, κάρτιστος best (κράτιστος), βάρδιστος slowest (βραδύς), δρατός and -δαρτος from δέρω flay, ξ-δρακον saw from δέρκομαι see.

- 130. Development.  $\delta$  is developed between  $\nu$  and  $\rho$ , as in arbobs of a man for arpos from  $\delta\nu\eta\rho$  (cp. cinder with Lat. cineris);  $\beta$  is developed between  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  (or  $\lambda$ ), as in  $\mu\epsilon\sigma\eta\mu\beta\rho$ (\text{\tilde{a}} midday, south from  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ - $\eta\mu\rho$ \tilde{a} for  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ - $\eta\mu\epsilon\rho$ \tilde{a} from  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$  middle and  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ \tilde{a} day (cp. chamber with Lat. camera).
- 132. The dialects often show consonants different from Attic in the same or kindred words.

## FINAL CONSONANTS

- 133. No consonant except  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ , or  $\sigma$  (including  $\xi$  and  $\psi$ ) can stand at the end of a Greek word. All other consonants are dropped.
- a. Exceptions are the proclitics (179)  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  out of, derived from  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  (cp. 104, 136), and  $\dot{o}\kappa$  not, of which où is another form (137).
- b. Examples of dropped final consonants: σωμα body for σωματ (gen. σωματ os); παῖ oh boy for παιδ (gen. παιδ-os); γάλα milk for γαλακτ (gen. γάλακτ-os); φέρον bearing for φεροντ (gen. φέροντ-os); κῆρ heart for κηρδ, cp. καρδ- $l\bar{a}$ ; ἄλλο for άλιοδ (110), cp. Lat. aliud; ἔφερε-(τ) was carrying, ἔφερο-ν(τ) were carrying (464 c, e).
- c. An original final m preceded by a vowel becomes  $\nu$ , cp.  $i\pi\pi o\nu$  with Lat. equum. So  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$  one from  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$  (349 a), Lat. sem-el,  $\ddot{a}\mu$ a once.
- 130 D. So in Hom.  $\mu\ell$ - $\mu\beta\lambda\omega$ - $\kappa\alpha$  have gone from  $\mu\lambda\omega$  from  $\mu\lambda$ -in  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ - $\mu\lambda$ -o- $\nu$  (128 a). At the beginning of words this  $\mu$  is dropped; thus,  $\beta\lambda\omega$ - $\kappa\omega$  go,  $\beta\rho\sigma\tau$  mortal for  $\mu\beta\rho\sigma$ - $\tau$ os (root  $\mu\rho\sigma$ -,  $\mu\rho\rho$ -, as in mor-tuus). In composition  $\mu$  remains, as in  $\tilde{\alpha}$ - $\mu\beta\rho\sigma\tau$  immortal; but  $\tilde{\alpha}$ - $\beta\rho\sigma\tau$  immortal is formed from  $\beta\rho\sigma\tau$  os.
  - 132 D. τ for σ: Dorie τύ, τοί, τέ, διᾶκατίοι (διᾶκόσιοι), <sub>Γ</sub>ίκατι (εἴκοσι), Ποτειδάν (Ποσειδών).
    - σ " τ : Doric σάμερον to-day (τήμερον Attic, σήμερον Ionic).
    - $\kappa$  "  $\pi$ : Ionic (not Hom.) κότε when, κότερος which of two? ὅκως, κόσος, κ $\hat{\eta}$ .
    - $\kappa$  " τ : Doric πόκα (πότε), ὅκα (ὅτε).
    - γ " β: Doric γλέφαρον eyelid, γλάχων (Ion. γλήχων) pennyroyal.
    - δ " β: Doric δδελός (δβολός) a spit.
    - **π** " τ: Hom. πίσυρες, Aeol. πέσσυρες four (τέτταρες); Aeol. πήλυι far off (cp. τηλόσε), πέμπε five (πέντε).
    - θ " τ: see 126 D.
    - $\phi$  " θ: Hom.  $\phi \eta \rho$  centaur ( $\theta \eta \rho$  beast).
    - ρ " σ : (rhotacism): late Laconian, Elean τίρ who, Thessal. Θεόρδοτος god-given.
    - $\sigma$  "  $\theta$ : late Laconian σιδs for  $\theta$ εδs god (26 D.).
    - ν " λ: Doric ένθεῖν come.

GREEK GRAM. - 3

## MOVABLE CONSONANTS

134. Movable N may be added at the end of a word when the next word begins with a vowel. Movable  $\nu$  may be annexed to words ending in  $-\sigma\iota$ ; to the third person singular in  $-\epsilon$ ; and to  $\epsilon\sigma\iota$  is.

- a. Except  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l$ , words that add  $\nu$  do not elide their final vowel (73).
- b. Verbs in -εω never (in Attic) add -ν to the 3 sing. of the contracted form: εδ ἐποίει αὐτόν he treated him well. But ἥει went and pluperfects (as ἥδει knew) may add ν.
  - N. Movable  $\nu$  is called  $\nu$  έφελκυστικόν (dragging after).
- 135. Movable  $\nu$  is usually written at the end of clauses, and at the end of a verse in poetry. To make a syllable long by position (144) the poets add  $\nu$  before words beginning with a consonant. Prose inscriptions frequently use  $\nu$  before a consonant.
- 136. Movable  $\Sigma$  appears in  $ov_{\tau w}$  thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  out of, before vowels,  $ov_{\tau w}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$  before consonants. Thus,  $ov_{\tau w}$   $\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi}oi\epsilon$  he acted thus but  $ov_{\tau w}$   $v_{\tau w}$  he acts thus;  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  dyopâs but  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$   $\tau \eta s$  dyopâs out of the market-place.
  - a. εὐθύς means straightway, εὐθύ straight towards.
- 137. οὐκ not is used before the smooth breathing, οὐχ (cp. 124) before the rough breathing: οὐκ ὁλίγοι, οὐχ ἡδύs. Before all consonants οὐ is written: οὐ πολλοί, οὐ ῥάδιοs. Standing alone or at the end of its clause οὐ is written οὕ (rarely οὕκ), as πῶς γὰρ οὕ; for how not? Cp. 180 a.
  - a. A longer form is  $o\dot{v}\chi\dot{\iota}$  (Ion.  $o\dot{v}\kappa\dot{\iota}$ ) used before vowels and consonants.
  - b. μηκέτι no longer derives its κ from the analogy of οὐκέτι no longer.

## SYLLABLES

- 138. There are as many syllables in a Greek word as there are separate vowels or diphthongs: thus,  $\partial \cdot \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega a \text{ truth.}$
- 139. The last syllable is called the *ultima*; the next to the last syllable is called the *penult* (paen-ultima *almost last*); the one before the penult is called the *antepenult* (ante-paen-ultima).
- 134 D. Hom. has  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}(\nu)$  I,  $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\mu\nu(\nu)$  to us,  $\ddot{\nu}\mu\mu\nu(\nu)$  to you,  $\sigma\phi l(\nu)$  to them. The suffixes  $-\phi\iota$  and  $-\theta\epsilon$  vary with  $-\phi\iota\nu$  and  $-\theta\epsilon\nu$ :  $\theta\epsilon\dot{\phi}\mu\nu(\nu)$ ,  $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}\sigma\theta\epsilon(\nu)$ . Also  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}(\nu)$  = Attic  $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\nu\dot{\nu}(\nu)$  now. The Mss. of Hdt. avoid movable  $\nu$ , but it occurs in Ionic inscriptions. Hdt. often has  $-\theta\epsilon$  for  $-\theta\epsilon\nu$  ( $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}\sigma\theta\epsilon$  before,  $\ddot{\delta}\pi\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon$  behind).
- 136 D. Several adverbs often omit s without much regard to the following word: ἀμφί about, ἀμφίs (poet.), μέχρι, ἄχρι until (rarely μέχριs, ἄχριs), ἀτρέμαs and ἀτρέμα quietly, πολλάκιs often (πολλάκι Hom., Hdt.).

- 140. In pronouncing Greek words and in writing (at the end of the line) the rules commonly observed are these:
- a. A single consonant standing between two vowels in one word belongs with the second vowel:  $\tilde{a} \gamma \omega$ ,  $\sigma \circ \omega i i \omega$ .
- b. Any group of consonants that can begin a word, and a group formed by a stop with  $\mu$  or  $\nu$ , and by  $\mu\nu$ , belongs with the second vowel:  $\tau \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\delta \gamma \delta \cos \delta$ ,  $\delta \sigma \tau \rho o \nu$ ,  $\delta \chi \theta o \delta$ ;  $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha$ ,  $\delta \rho \nu o \delta$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta$ .
- c. A group of consonants that cannot begin a word is divided between two syllables:  $\mathring{a}\nu \theta os$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\lambda \pi is$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\rho \gamma \mu a$ . Doubled consonants are divided:  $\theta \acute{a}\lambda a\tau \tau a$ .
- e.  $\sigma$ , when followed by one or more consonants, is either attached to the preceding vowel  $(\mathring{a}-\rho\iota\sigma-\tau\sigma s)$ , or, with the consonant, begins the following syllable  $(\mathring{a}-\rho\iota\sigma-\sigma\tau s)$ . (The ancients were not consistent, and there is evidence for the pronunciation  $\mathring{a}-\rho\iota\sigma-\sigma\tau s$ .)
- f. The ancients divided ἐκ τούτου as ἐ-κ τού-του. This practice is now abandoned.
- 141. A syllable ending in a vowel is said to be open; one ending in a consonant is closed. Thus, in  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ - $\tau\eta\rho$  mother the first syllable is open, the second closed.

## QUANTITY OF SYLLABLES

- 142. A syllable is short when it contains a short vowel followed by a vowel or a single consonant:  $\theta\epsilon$ - $\delta s$  god,  $\epsilon$ - $v\delta$ - $\mu$ - $\sigma a$  I thought.
- 143. A syllable is long by nature when it contains a long vowel or a diphthong:  $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho \tilde{a}$  country,  $\delta \hat{ov} \lambda \hat{ov}$  slave.
- 144. A syllable is long by position when its vowel precedes two consonants or a double consonant:  $i_{\pi\pi\sigma\sigma}$  horse,  $i \notin out of$ .
- a. One or both of the two consonants lengthening a final syllable by position may belong to the next word: ἄλλος πολίτης, ἄλλος κτημα.
- b. Length by position does not affect the natural quantity of a vowel. Thus, both  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} + \xi \omega \ I \ shall \ say$  and  $\lambda \dot{\eta} + \xi \omega \ I \ shall \ cease$  have the first syllable long by position; but the first vowel is short in  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ , long in  $\lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$ .
- 145. A stop with a liquid after a short vowel need not make the preceding syllable long by position. A syllable containing a short vowel before a stop and a liquid is *common* (either short or long). When short, such syllables are said to have weak position.

Thus, in  $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho \nu$ ,  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$ ,  $\delta \pi \lambda \rho \nu$ ,  $\tau \ell \kappa \nu \rho \nu$ ,  $\tau \ell \delta \rho \hat{q}$  the first syllable is either long or short as the verse requires. In Homer the syllable before a stop with a liquid is usually long; in Attic it is usually short.

- a. The stop and the liquid making weak position must stand in the same word or in the same part of a compound. Thus, in  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda v \omega$  I release the first syllable is always long, but in  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda v \omega$  he heard it is common.
- b.  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  before  $\mu$ , or  $\nu$ , and usually before  $\lambda$ , make the preceding syllable long by position. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\delta s$  ( $\underline{\ }$   $\cup$ ) pure,  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda\delta\sigma$  ( $\underline{\ }$   $\cup$   $\cup$ ) book.
- N. 'Common' quantity has been explained as due to a difference in syllabic division. Thus, in  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$ , the first syllable is closed  $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu)$ ; while in  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu$  the first syllable is open  $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu)$ . Cp. 141.
  - 146. The quantity of most syllables is usually apparent. Thus, syllables
    - a. with  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$ , or a diphthong, are long.
    - b. with  $\epsilon$ , o, before a vowel or a single consonant, are short.
    - c. with  $\epsilon$ , o, before two consonants, or a double consonant, are long.
    - d. with a, i, v, before two consonants, or a double consonant, are long.
- N. But syllables with  $\epsilon,$  0, or  $\alpha,$  1,  $\nu$  before a stop and a liquid may be short (145). Cp. also 147 c.
- 147. The quantity of syllables containing  $\alpha$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\upsilon$  before a vowel or a single consonant must be learned by observation, especially in poetry. Note, however, that  $\alpha$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\upsilon$  are always long
  - a. when they have the circumflex accent:  $\pi \hat{a}s$ ,  $\hat{v}\mu\hat{i}\nu$ .
- b. when they arise from contraction (59) or crasis (62): γέρα from γέραα, ἀργόs idle from d-εργοs (but ἀργόs bright), κάγώ from καὶ ἐγώ.
- c. ι and υ are generally short before ξ (except as initial sounds in augmented forms, 435) and α, ι, υ before ζ. Thus, κῆρυξ, ἐκήρυξα, πνίξω, ἀρπάζω, ἐλπίζω.
  - d. as, is, and us are long when  $\nu$  or  $\nu\tau$  has dropped out before s (96, 100).
  - e. The accent often shows the quantity (163, 164, 170).
- 148. A vowel standing before another vowel in a Greek word is not necessarily short (as it usually is in classical Latin).
- 146 D. In Hom. an initial liquid, nasal, and digamma (3) was probably doubled in pronunciation when it followed a short syllable carrying the rhythmic accent. Here a final short vowel appears in a long syllable:  $\ell\nu l$   $\mu\epsilon\gamma\delta\rho\rho\iota\sigma\iota$  ( $\smile...,\smile...,$  cp. 28 D. The lengthening is sometimes due to the former presence of  $\sigma$  or  $\rho$  before the liquid or nasal:  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$   $\dot{\chi}\dot{\eta}\dot{\xi}\epsilon\iota\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\zeta}...$   $\dot{\zeta}$  (cp.  $d\lambda\lambda\eta\kappa\tau\sigmas$  unceasing for d- $\sigma\lambda\eta\kappa\tau\sigmas$ ),  $\tau\epsilon$   $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\dot{\xi}\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\dot{\zeta}$ . (cp.  $d\rho\rho\eta\kappa\tau\sigmas$  unbroken for d- $\rho\eta\kappa\tau\sigmas$ ). (Cp. 80 a, 80 D., 81 D.)
- 147 D. a,  $\iota$ , v in Hom. sometimes show a different quantity than in Attic. Thus, Att.  $\kappa \ddot{a}\lambda \dot{b}s$ ,  $\tau \dot{t}\nu \omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \ddot{a}\nu \omega$ ,  $\lambda \dot{v}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\tau}\eta \mu \iota$ , Hom.  $\kappa \ddot{a}\lambda \dot{b}s$ ,  $\tau \dot{t}\nu \omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \dot{a}\nu \omega$  (28), and  $\lambda \dot{v}\omega$  and  $\ddot{\iota}\eta \mu \iota$  usually.
- 148 D. 1. In Hom., and sometimes in the lyric parts of the drama, a syllable ending in a long vowel or diphthong is shortened before an initial vowel:  $\check{a}\xi\omega$   $\check{\epsilon}\lambda\check{\omega}\nu$   $(\dot{\ }\ \cup\ \cup\ \dot{\ }\ )$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\delta\chi\check{\epsilon}\tau\check{a}\iota$   $\check{\epsilon}\check{l}\nu\check{a}\iota$   $(\dot{\ }\ \cup\ \cup\ \dot{\ }\ )$ ,  $\check{\kappa}\lambda\hat{\upsilon}\theta\iota$   $\check{\mu}\check{\epsilon}\upsilon$   $\check{a}\rho\gamma\upsilon\rho\acute{\sigma}\iota\check{\epsilon}'$   $(\dot{\ }\ \cup\ \cup\ \dot{\ }\ )$ . Here  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$  have become semivowels (20, 43); thus,  $\check{\epsilon}\delta\chi\check{\epsilon}\tau a\mid y\check{\epsilon}\check{l}\nu a\iota$ , cp. 67. -a, -y,  $-\varphi$  were shortened like  $\check{a}$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$ . Thus,  $\check{a}\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\tau\varphi$   $\check{\delta}\mu\beta\rho\varphi$   $(\dot{\ }\ \cup\ \cup\ \dot{\ }\ )$ .
- 2. This shortening does not occur when the rhythmic accent falls upon the final syllable:  $d\nu r \iota \theta \epsilon \psi$  'Oδυσ $\hat{\eta}\iota$  (...  $\cup$   $\cup$   $\cup$   $\cup$   $\cup$   $\cup$ ),  $\hat{\psi}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\iota$  (...  $\cup$   $\cup$ ).

## ACCENT

149. There are three accents in Greek. No Greek accent can stand farther back than the antepenult.

1. Acute ('): over short or long vowels and diphthongs. It may stand on ultima, penult, or antepenult: καλός, δαίμων, ἄνθρωπος.

2. Circumflex ( ): over vowels long by nature and diphthongs. It

may stand on ultima or penult: γη, θεοῦ, δῶρον, τοῦτο.

- Grave (`): over short or long vowels and diphthongs. It stands on the ultima only: τὸν ἄνδρα, τὴν τύχην, οἱ θεοὶ τῆς Ἑλλάδος.
- 150. The acute marks syllables pronounced in a raised tone. The grave is a low-pitched tone as contrasted with the acute. The circumflex combines acute and grave.
- 151. Accented syllables in Ancient Greek had a higher pitch  $(\tau \delta vos)$  than unaccented syllables, and it was the rising and falling of the pitch that made Ancient Greek a musical language. The Greek word for accent is  $\pi \rho o\sigma \varphi \delta i\bar{a}$  (Lat. accentus: from ad-cano), i.e. 'song accompanying words.' Musical accent (elevation and depression of tone) is to be distinguished from quantity (duration of tone), and from rhythmic accent (stress of voice at fixed intervals when there is a regular sequence of long and short syllables).
- N. The accent heard in Modern Greek and English is a stress-accent. Stress is produced by strong and weak expiration, and takes account of accented syllables to the neglect of the quantity of unaccented syllables. Thus, shortly after Christ,  $\delta\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$  was often pronounced like a dactyl,  $\phi\iota\lambda\sigma\sigma$  like a trochee; and  $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\omega\pi\sigma\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}a$ , were even written  $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}a$ .
- **152.** The marks of accent are placed over the vowel of the accented syllable. A diphthong has the accent over its second vowel  $(\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \tau \circ)$ , except in the case of capital  $\varphi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\varphi$  (as "A $i\delta \eta s$ , 5), where the accent stands before the first vowel.
- 153. A breathing is written before the acute and grave (o',  $\eta$ ), but under the circumflex ( $\mathring{\omega}$ , ovros). Accents and breathings are placed before capitals: "Ourpos,  $\Omega \rho \alpha \iota$ . The accent stands over a mark of diaeresis (8):  $\kappa \lambda \eta \hat{\imath} \delta \iota$ .
- 154. The grave is written in place of a final acute on a word that is followed immediately by another word in the sentence. Thus,  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta\nu$  after the battle (for  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta\nu$ ). It is also sometimes placed on  $\tau ls$ ,  $\tau\dot{\iota}$  (334), to distinguish these indefinite pronouns from the interrogatives  $\tau\dot{\iota}s$ ,  $\tau\dot{\iota}$ .
- a. An oxytone (157) changes its acute to the grave when followed by another word, except: (1) when the oxytone is followed by an enclitic (183 a); (2) in  $\tau ls$ ,  $\tau l$  interrogative, as  $\tau ls$  obros; who's this? (3) when an elided syllable follows.

<sup>3.</sup> The shortening rarely occurs in the interior of a word. Thus, Hom.  $\eta\rho\omega$ os (\_\_  $\cup$   $\cup$ ),  $vi\delta\nu$  ( $\cup$   $\cup$ ), in the Attic drama  $a\dot{v}\tau\eta\dot{\tau}$  (\_\_  $\cup$  \_\_),  $\tau o\iota o\hat{v}\tau os$  ( $\cup$  \_\_  $\cup$ ),  $\pi o\iota\hat{\omega}$  ( $\cup$  \_\_), often written  $\pi o\hat{\omega}$  in inscriptions (cp. 43).

the accented syllable: νύχθ' ὅλην (124), not νύχθ' ὅλην (174 a); (4) when a colon or period follows. (Usage varies before a comma.)

- 155. The ancients regarded the grave originally as belonging to every syllable not accented with the acute or circumflex; and some Mss. show this in practice, e.g.  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta}$ s. Later it was restricted to its use as a substitute for a final acute.
- 156. The circumflex is formed from the union of the acute and the grave  $(^{\wedge} = ^{\wedge})$ , never from  $^{\vee}$ . Thus,  $\pi \alpha \hat{i} s = \pi \delta i s$ ,  $\epsilon \hat{v} = \xi \hat{v}$ . Similarly, since every long vowel may be resolved into two short units (morae),  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  may be regarded as  $= \tau \delta \delta \nu$ . The circumflex was thus spoken with a rising tone followed by one of lower pitch.  $\mu \hat{\omega} \hat{v} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{o} v$  are thus  $= \mu \hat{b} \hat{v} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{o} v$ ;  $\mu \hat{\omega} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ,  $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$  (i.e.  $\delta \hat{\omega} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$ ) compared with  $\delta \hat{\omega} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}$  the accent has receded (159) one mora.
- a. The whole vowel receives the acute when the second short unit of a vowel long by nature is accented:  $\Delta i = \Delta i i$ .
  - 157. Words are named according to their accent as follows:

Oxytone (acute on the ultima): θήρ, καλός, λελυκώς.

Paroxytone (acute on the penult): λύω, λείπω, λελυκότος.

Proparoxytone (acute on the antepenult): ἄνθρωπος, παιδεύομεν.

Perispomenon (circumflex on the ultima):  $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ .

Properispomenon (circumflex on the penult): πράξις, μοῦσα.

Barytone (when the ultima is unaccented, 158): μοῦσα, μήτηρ, πόλεμος.

- 158. A word is called barytone (βαρύ-τονος deep-toned, low-toned) when it has no accent on the ultima. All paroxytones, proparoxytones, and properispomena are also barytones.
- 159. An accent is called *recessive* when it moves back as far from the end of the word as the quantity of the ultima permits (166). The quantity of the *penult* is here disregarded  $(\tau \rho \ell \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu)$ . Cp. 178.
- **160.** Oxytone (δξόs, sharp + τόνοs) means 'sharp-toned,' perispomenon (περισπώμενοs) 'turned-around' (circumflectus, 156). Paroxytone and proparoxytone are derived from δξότονος with the prepositions παρά and πρό respectively. Acute corresponds to Lat. acutus (δξεῖα, scil. προσφδίᾶ).
- 161. The invention of the marks of accent is attributed to Aristophanes of Byzantium, librarian at Alexandria about 200 B.C. The use of signs served to fix the correct accentuation, which was becoming uncertain in the third century B.C.; marked the variation of dialect usage; and rendered the acquisition of Greek easier for foreigners. The signs for the accents (and the breathings) were not regularly employed in Mss. till after 600 A.D.
- 162. The position of the accent has to be learned by observation. But the kind of accent is determined by the following rules.

<sup>162</sup> D. 1. Aeolic has recessive (159) accent in all words except prepositions and conjunctions. Thus,  $\sigma b \phi o s$ , Ze $\hat{v} s$ , i.e. Ze $\hat{v} s$ , a $\hat{v} \tau o s$ ,  $\lambda l \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$  (=  $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ),  $\lambda l \pi o \nu \tau o s$  (=  $\lambda \iota \pi b \nu \tau o s$ ),  $\delta \mu \mu \epsilon s$  (=  $\delta \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} s$ ).

- 163. The antepenult, if accented, can have the acute only (ἄνθρωπος, βασίλεια queen, οἰκοφύλακος of a house-guard). If the ultima is long, either by nature or by position (144), the antepenult cannot take an accent: hence ἀνθρώπου (176 a), βασιλείᾶ kingdom, οἰκοφύλαξ.
- **164.** The penult, if accented and long, takes the circumflex when the ultima is short by nature  $(\nu\hat{\eta}\sigma\sigma_{0}, \tau\alpha\hat{v}\tau\alpha)$ . In all other cases it has the acute  $(\phi\delta\beta_{0}, \lambda\epsilon\lambda\nu\kappa\delta\tau_{0}, \tau\sigma\dot{v}\tau\sigma)$ .
  - a. Apparent exceptions are  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\mathring{ov}\tau\iota s$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon$  (properly  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon$ ). See 186.
- b. A final syllable containing a vowel short by nature followed by  $\xi$  or  $\psi$  does not permit the acute to stand on the antepenult  $(oi\kappa o\phi \delta \lambda a \xi)$ ; but the circumflex may stand on the penult  $(\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi)$ .
- **165.** The ultima, if accented and short, has the acute  $(\pi ο \tau a \mu \acute{o}s)$ ; if accented and long, has either the acute  $(\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \acute{\omega}s)$ , or the circumflex  $(\Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta}s)$ .
- 166. When the ultima is long, the acute cannot stand on the antepenult, nor the circumflex on the penult. Thus,  $\tilde{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\nu$  and  $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho\sigma\nu$  are impossible.
  - 167. When the ultima is short, a word, if accented
    - a. on the ultima, has the acute: σοφός.
    - b. on a short penult, has the acute: νόμος.
    - c. on a long penult, has the circumflex: δωρον.
    - **d.** on the antepenult, has the acute:  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma$ os.
  - 168. When the ultima is long, a word, if accented
    - a. on the ultima, has the acute or the circumflex: ἐγώ, σοφῶς.
    - **b.** on the penult, has the acute:  $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ,  $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ .
- 169. Final -αι and -οι are regarded as short: μοῦσαι, βούλομαι, πρόπαλαι, ἄνθρωποι. But in the optative -αι and -οι are long (λύσαι, βουλεύοι), as in contracted syllables. So also in the locative οἴκοι at home (but οἶκοι houses).
- a. The difference in the quantitative treatment of -ai and -oi depends on an original difference of accentuation that may have vanished in Greek. -ai and

<sup>2.</sup> Doric regarded final  $-\omega$  (169) as long  $(d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\omega)$ , and probably  $-\omega$  in nouns  $(\chi\omega\rho\omega)$ ; made paroxytones the 3 pl. act. of the past tenses  $(\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\nu,\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\delta}\sigma\alpha\nu)$  and such words as  $\pi\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\gamma\nu\nu\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon$ ,  $\pi\tau\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha$ ; made perisponena the gen. masc. pl. of pronouns  $(\tau\omega\nu\tau\dot{\omega}\nu,\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\dot{\omega}\nu)$  and the gen. fem. pl. of adj. in  $-\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\phi\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ). The substitution, in the accus. pl., of  $-\dot{\epsilon}$ s and  $-\omega$ s for  $-\dot{\epsilon}$ s and  $-\omega$ s, caused no change in the accent  $(\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}s,\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\delta s)$ .

-ot, when short, were pronounced with a clipped, or simple, tone; when long, with a drawled, or compound, tone.

170. The quantity of  $\alpha$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\upsilon$  (147) may often be learned from the accent. Thus, in  $\theta \delta \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha$ ,  $\eta \mu \sigma \upsilon s$ ,  $\pi \eta \chi \upsilon s$ ,  $\delta \dot{\upsilon} \upsilon a \mu \iota s$ , the vowel of the last syllable must be short; in  $\phi i \lambda o s$  the  $\iota$  must be short (otherwise  $\phi i \lambda o s$ ). Cp. 163.

## ACCENT AS AFFECTED BY CONTRACTION, CRASIS, AND ELISION

- 171. Contraction. If either of the syllables to be contracted had an accent, the contracted syllable has an accent. Thus:
  - a. A contracted antepenult has the acute: φιλεόμενος = φιλούμενος.
- b. A contracted penult has the circumflex when the ultima is short; the acute, when the ultima is long:  $\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\delta\upsilon\sigma\iota = \phi\iota\lambda\circ\delta\sigma\iota$ ,  $\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\delta\upsilon\tau\omega\nu = \phi\iota\lambda\circ\dot\upsilon\tau\omega\nu$ .
- c. A contracted ultima has the acute when the uncontracted form was oxytone:  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\omega}s=\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}s$ ; otherwise, the circumflex:  $\dot{\phi}i\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega=\dot{\phi}i\lambda\dot{\omega}$ .
- N. 1. A contracted syllable has the circumflex only when, in the uncontracted form, an acute was followed by the (unwritten) grave (155, 156). Thus,  $\Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} s = \Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} s$ ,  $\tau \dot{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \dot{\omega} = \tau \dot{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega}$ . In all other cases we have the acute:  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} r \omega \nu = \phi \iota \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu r \omega \nu$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \beta \dot{a} \dot{\omega} s = \beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\omega} s$ .
- N. 2. Exceptions to 171 are often due to the analogy of other forms (236 a, 264 e, 279 a, 290 c, 309 a).
- 172. If neither of the syllables to be contracted had an accent, the contracted syllable has no accent:  $\phi(\lambda\epsilon\epsilon) = \phi(\lambda\epsilon\iota)$ ,  $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon = \gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\rho(\pi\lambda\cos) = \pi\epsilon\rho(\pi\lambda\cos)$ . For exceptions, see 236 b.
- 173. Crasis. In crasis, the first word (as less important) loses its accent:  $\tau \dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a}$  for  $\tau \dot{a}$   $\dot{a}\gamma a\theta \dot{a}$ ,  $\tau \dot{a}\nu$  for  $\tau \dot{a}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\kappa \dot{a}\gamma \dot{\omega}$  for  $\kappa a \dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma \dot{\omega}$ .
- a. If the second word is a dissyllabic paroxytone with short ultima, it is uncertain whether, in crasis, the paroxytone remains or changes to properispomenon. In this book τοδργον, τἆλλα are written for τ∂ ἔργον, τἀ ἄλλα; but many scholars write τοδργον, τἆλλα.
  - 174. Elision.—In elision, oxytone prepositions and conjunctions lose their accent:  $\pi a \rho$  (for  $\pi a \rho \hat{\alpha}$ )  $\hat{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\nu}$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$  (for  $\hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha}$ )  $\hat{\epsilon} \gamma \hat{\omega}$ . In other oxytones the accent is thrown back to the penult:  $\pi \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$  (for  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha}$ )  $\hat{\epsilon} \pi a \theta o \nu$ .
- a. Observe that in  $\pi\delta\lambda\lambda'$   $\check{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\theta\rho\nu$  the acute is not changed to the grave (154 a, 3). A circumflex does not result from the recession of the accent. Thus,  $\phi\dot{\eta}\mu'$  (not  $\phi\ddot{\eta}\mu'$ )  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  for  $\phi\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ .  $\tau\iota\nu\dot{\alpha}$  and  $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ , after a word which cannot receive their accent (183 d), drop their accent:  $\sigma\ddot{\nu}\tau\omega$   $\pi\sigma\tau'$   $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ .

## ANASTROPHE

175. Anastrophe (ἀναστροφή turning-back) occurs in the case of oxytone prepositions of two syllables, which throw the accent back on the first syllable.

- a. When the preposition follows its case:  $\tau \circ \iota \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$  (for  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \circ \iota \tau \omega \nu$ ) about these things. No other preposition than  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$  follows its case in prose.
- N. 1. In poetry anastrophe occurs with the other dissyllabic prepositions (except  $d\nu\tau l$ ,  $d\mu\phi l$ ,  $\delta\iota d$ ). In Homer a preposition following its verb and separated from it by tmesis (1650) also admits anastrophe ( $\lambda o \iota \sigma \eta d\pi o$  for  $d\pi o \lambda o \iota \sigma \eta$ ).
- N. 2. When the final vowel of the preposition is elided, the accent is dropped if no mark of punctuation intervenes: χερσίν ὑφ ἡμετέρησιν Β 374.
  - b. When a preposition stands for a compound formed of the preposition and ἐστί. Thus, πάρα for πάρεστι it is permitted, ἔνι for ἔνεστι it is possible (ἐνί is a poetic form of ἐν).
- N. In poetry,  $\pi d\rho a$  may stand for  $\pi d\rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$  or  $\pi d\rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota$ ; and  $d \iota \sigma a$  arise! up! is used for  $d \iota d \sigma \tau \eta \theta \iota$ . Hom. has  $\xi \iota \iota = \xi \iota \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ .

# CHANGE OF ACCENT IN DECLENSION, INFLECTION, AND COMPOSITION

- 176. When a short ultima of the nominative is lengthened in an oblique case
- a. a proparoxytone becomes paroxytone : θάλαττα θαλάττης, ἄνθρωπος ἀνθρώπου.
  - b. a properispomenon becomes paroxytone: μοῦσα μούσης, δῶρον δώρου.
- c. an oxytone becomes perispomenon in the genitive and dative of the second declension:  $\theta\epsilon\delta s$   $\theta\epsilon\delta \theta$   $\theta\epsilon\delta \theta$   $\theta\epsilon\delta \theta$   $\theta\epsilon\delta \theta$   $\theta\epsilon\delta \theta$ .
- 177. When, for a long ultima, a short ultima is substituted in inflection
- a. a dissyllabic paroxytone (with penult long by nature) becomes proper proper proper proper proper spomenon:  $\lambda \hat{\nu}_{\omega} \lambda \hat{\nu}_{\varepsilon}$ .
- **b.** a polysyllabic paroxytone (with penult either long or short) becomes proparoxytone: παίδεὐω παίδευε, πλέκω πλέκομεν.
- 178. In composition the accent is usually recessive (159) in the case of substantives and adjectives, regularly in the case of verbs:  $\beta \acute{a}\sigma \iota s$ ,  $\delta \acute{a$
- a. Proper names having the form of a substantive, adjective, or participle, usually change the accent: " $E\lambda\pi\iota s$  ( $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\iota s$ ),  $\Gamma\lambda\hat{a}\hat{\nu}\kappa s$  ( $\gamma\lambda\hat{a}\nu\kappa\delta s$ ),  $\Gamma\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\nu$  ( $\gamma\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ ).
  - b. Special cases will be considered under Declension and Inflection.

## **PROCLITICS**

179. Ten monosyllabic words have no accent and are closely connected with the following word. They are called *proclitics* (from προκλίνω lean forward). They are:

The forms of the article beginning with a vowel  $(\delta, \dot{\eta}, oi, ai)$ ; the prepositions  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}is$   $(\dot{\epsilon}s)$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}$   $(\dot{\epsilon}\kappa)$ ; the conjunction  $\dot{\epsilon}i$  if;  $\dot{\omega}s$  as, that (also a preposition to); the negative adverb  $o\dot{v}$   $(o\dot{v}\kappa, o\dot{v}\chi, 137)$ .

- 180. A proclitic sometimes takes an accent, thus:
- a. où at the end of a sentence:  $\phi \eta s$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$  o $\hat{v}$ ; do you say so or not?  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \hat{a} \rho$  o $\hat{v}$ ; for why not? Also o $\hat{v}$  no standing alone.
- b.  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}$  receive an acute in poetry when they follow the word to which they belong and stand at the end of the verse:  $\kappa\alpha\kappa\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\xi\xi$  out of evils  $\Xi$  472.
- c. ως as becomes ως in poetry when it follows its noun: θεὸς ως as a god. ως standing for ουτως is written ως even in prose (οὐδ' ως not even thus).
  - d. When the proclitic precedes an enclitic (183 e): έν τισι.
- N.  $\delta$  used as a relative (for  $\delta s$ , 1105) is written  $\delta$ . On  $\delta$  demonstrative see 1114.

## **ENCLITICS**

- 181. Enclitics (from ἐγκλίνω lean on, upon) are words attaching themselves closely to the preceding word, after which they are pronounced rapidly. Enclitics usually lose their accent. They are:
- a. The personal pronouns  $\mu o \hat{v}$ ,  $\mu o l$ ,  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ ;  $\sigma o \hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma o l$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ;  $o \hat{l}$ ,  $o \hat{l}$ ,  $\ddot{\epsilon}$ , and (in poetry)  $\sigma \phi l \sigma l$ .
- b. The indefinite pronoun  $\tau ls$ ,  $\tau l$  in all cases (including  $\tau o\hat{v}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\psi}$  for  $\tau \iota \nu \delta s$ ,  $\tau \iota \nu l$ , but excluding  $\check{\alpha}\tau\tau \alpha = \tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ ); the indefinite adverbs  $\pi o\dot{v}$  (or  $\pi o\theta l$ ),  $\pi \dot{y}$ ,  $\pi o l$ ,  $\pi o\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\pi \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\pi \dot{\omega} s$ . When used as interrogatives these words are not enclitic  $(\tau ls, \tau l, \pi o\hat{v})$  (or  $\pi \delta \theta \iota$ ),  $\pi \hat{y}$ ,  $\pi o\hat{v}$ ,  $\pi \delta \delta \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\pi \delta \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\pi \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ).
- c. All dissyllable forms of the present indicative of είμι am and φημι say (i.e. all except εἶ and φήs).
  - d. The particles  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau o l$ ,  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ ; the inseparable  $-\delta \epsilon$  in  $\delta \delta \epsilon$ ,  $\tau o \sigma \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon$ , etc.
  - N. Enclitics, when they retain their accent, are called orthotone. See 187.
- 182. The accent of an enclitic, when it is thrown back upon the preceding word, always appears as an acute:  $\theta \acute{\eta} \rho \tau \epsilon$  (not  $\theta \acute{\eta} \rho \tau \epsilon$ ) from  $\theta \acute{\eta} \rho + \tau \acute{\epsilon}$ .
  - 183. The word preceding an enclitic is treated as follows:
- a. An oxytone keeps its accent, and does not change an acute to a grave (154 a):  $\delta \delta s \ \mu o \iota, \ \kappa a \lambda \delta v \ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ .
  - b. A perispomenon keeps its accent: φιλῶ σε, τῖμῶν τινων.
- c. A proparoxytone or properisponenon receives, as an additional accent, the acute on the ultima: ἄνθρωπός τις, ἄνθρωποί τινες, ἤκουσά τινων; σῶσόν με, παῖδές τινες.
- d. A paroxytone receives no additional accent: a monosyllabic enclitic loses its accent ( $\chi \omega \rho \bar{a} \tau \iota s$ ,  $\phi \ell \lambda o s$   $\mu o \nu$ ), a dissyllabic enclitic retains its accent ( $\chi \omega \rho \bar{a} s$   $\tau \iota \nu \dot{o} s$ ) except when its final vowel is elided (174 a).
- 181 D. Also enclitic are the dialectic and poetical forms  $\mu\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\epsilon}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{v}$ ,  $\tau\hat{\epsilon}$ , and  $\tau\hat{v}$  (accus.  $=\sigma\hat{\epsilon}$ ),  $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{v}$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{v}$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{v}$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{v}$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\nu}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{\epsilon}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{\omega}\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{\omega}\hat{v}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{\epsilon}\hat{v}$ , and  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{a}\hat{s}$ ,  $\sigma\hat{\phi}\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}$ ; also the particles  $\nu\hat{v}$  or  $\nu\hat{v}\nu$  (not  $\nu\hat{v}\nu$ ), Epic  $\kappa\hat{\epsilon}$  ( $\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ ),  $\theta\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\hat{\rho}\hat{a}$ ; and Epic  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma l$ , Ion.  $\hat{\epsilon l}\hat{s}$ , thou art.

- N. Like paroxytones are treated properispomena ending in  $\xi$  or  $\psi$  when followed by a dissyllabic enclitic:  $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \ \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ ; and so probably  $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \ \tau \iota s$ .
  - e. A proclitic (179) takes an acute: ἔν τινι, εἴ τινες.
- 184. Since an enclitic, on losing its accent, forms a part of the preceding word, the writing  $\delta \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$   $\tau \iota s$  would violate the rule (149) that no word can be accented on a syllable before the antepenult. A paroxytone receives no additional accent in order that two successive syllables may not have the acute (not  $\phi i \lambda \delta s \ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ).
- 185. When several enclitics occur in succession, each receives an accent from the following, only the last having no accent:  $\epsilon \ell \pi o \omega \tau l s \tau \nu a \ell \delta \omega \epsilon \chi \theta \rho \delta \nu$  if ever any one saw an enemy anywhere T. 4.47.
- **186.** Sometimes an enclitic unites with a preceding word to form a compound (cp. Lat. -que, -ve), which is accented as if the enclitic were still a separate word. Thus,  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\epsilon$  (not  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\epsilon$ ),  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\kappa a\tilde{\iota}\tau o\iota$ ,  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\iota\nu os$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}\tau\iota\nu\iota$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}\nu\tau\iota\nu\omega\nu$ ; usually  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  ( $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ); and the inseparable - $\delta\epsilon$  in  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\tau o\nu \sigma\delta\epsilon$ ,  $o\nu \kappa a\delta\epsilon$ ; and - $\theta\epsilon$  and - $\chi\iota$  in  $\epsilon\ell\theta\epsilon$  (poetic  $a\ell\theta\epsilon$ ),  $\nu a\ell\chi\iota$ .  $o\nu \tau\epsilon$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}\tau\iota\nu\iota$ , etc., are not real exceptions to the rules of accent (163, 164).
- a. οἶος  $\tau \epsilon$  able is sometimes written οἶοστε. οὖκ οὖν is usually written οἵκουν not therefore, and not therefore? in distinction from οὖκοῦν therefore. ἐγώ γε and ἐμοί γε may become ἔγωγε, ἔμοιγε.
  - 187. An enclitic retains its accent (is orthotone, cp. 181 N.):
- a. When it is emphatic, as in contrasts:  $\hat{\eta}$   $\sigma$ ol  $\hat{\eta}$   $\tau\hat{\psi}$   $\pi$ a $\tau$ p $\ell$   $\sigma$ ov either to you or to your father ( $\ell$ µ $\omega$ 0,  $\ell$ µ $\ell$ 0,  $\ell$ µ $\ell$ 0,  $\ell$ 0,  $\ell$ 0,  $\ell$ 0, and at the beginning of a sentence or clause:  $\ell$  $\ell$ 1,  $\ell$ 2 ay in fact.
- b.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l$  is written  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \iota$  at the beginning of a sentence; when it expresses existence or possibility; when it follows  $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\epsilon l$ ,  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\kappa\alpha l$ ,  $d\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  (or  $d\lambda\lambda'$ ),  $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau o$  (or  $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau'$ ); and in  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  o' some,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  o' $\tau\epsilon$  sometimes. Thus,  $\epsilon l$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  o' $\nu\tau\omega s$  if it is so,  $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau o$   $\delta$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$  that which exists.
  - c. In the phrases  $\pi \circ \tau \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \mu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \nu \dots \pi \circ \tau \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \delta \stackrel{.}{\epsilon}, \tau \iota \nu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} s \mu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \nu \dots \tau \iota \nu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} s \delta \stackrel{.}{\epsilon}$ .
  - d. After a word suffering elision:  $\pi$ oddol  $\delta'$   $\epsilon i\sigma l\nu$  (for  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon l\sigma \iota \nu$ ),  $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ .
  - e. When a dissyllabic enclitic follows a paroxytone (183 d).
- N. 1. When they are used as indirect reflexives in Attic prose (1228), the pronouns of the third person of and  $\sigma\phi i\sigma\iota$  are orthotone, of is generally enclitic, while  $\check{\epsilon}$  is generally orthotone.
- N. 2. After oxytone prepositions and  $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha}$  enclitic pronouns (except  $\tau$ is) usually keep their accent  $(\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\ \sigmaol,\ not\ \dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}\ \sigmaol;\ \ddot{\epsilon}_{\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha}\ \sigmaov,\ not\ \ddot{\epsilon}_{\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha}\ \tauov)$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ , and in the drama  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\epsilon}_{\mu\rho}\dot{\nu}$ ).

## MARKS OF PUNCTUATION

188. Greek has four marks of punctuation. The comma and period have the same forms as in English. For the colon and semicolon Greek has only one sign, a point above the line (·): of  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega s \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \theta o \nu \tau o \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\omega}$  and they gladly obeyed; for they trusted him X. A. 1. 2. 2. The mark of interrogation (;) is the same as our semicolon:  $\pi \dot{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ o \dot{\nu}$ ; for why not?

## PART II

## INFLECTION

- 189. Parts of Speech. Greek has the following parts of speech: substantives, adjectives, pronouns, verbs, adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, and particles. In this Grammar *noun* is used to include both the substantive and the adjective.
- 190. Inflection is the change in the form of nouns, pronouns, and verbs which indicates their relation to other words in the sentence. Declension is the inflection of substantives, adjectives (including participles), and pronouns; conjugation is the inflection of verbs.
- 192. The stem often changes in form, but not in meaning, in nouns and verbs. Thus, the stem of λόγο-s word is λογο- or λογε-, of πατήρ father is πατερ-(strong stem) or πατρ- (weak stem); of λείπο-μεν we leave is λείπο-, of έ-λίπο-μεν we left is λιπο-. The verbal stem is also modified to indicate change in time: τῖμή-σο-μεν we shall honour.
- 193. Roots. The fundamental part of a word, which remains after the word has been analyzed into all its component parts, is called a root. When a stem agrees in form with a root (as in  $\pi o\delta$ - $\delta s$ , gen. of  $\pi o\delta s$  foot) it is called a root-stem. A root contains the mere idea of a word in the vaguest and most abstract form possible. Thus, the root  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ , and in another form  $\lambda \circ \gamma$ , contains the idea of saying simply. By the addition of a formative element  $\delta s$  we arrive at the stems  $\delta \epsilon \gamma \circ \sigma$  and  $\delta \circ \gamma \circ \sigma$  in  $\delta \epsilon \gamma \circ \sigma \circ \sigma$ ,  $\delta \circ \gamma \circ \sigma \circ \sigma$  (i.e. what is said).

Words are built by adding to the root certain formative suffixes by which the stem and then the word, ready for use, is constructed. Thus, from the root  $\lambda \nu$  are formed  $\lambda \dot{\nu} - \sigma \iota - s$  loosing,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} - \tau \rho o - \nu$  ransom,  $\lambda \nu - \tau \iota - \kappa \dot{\nu} - s$  able to loose,  $\lambda \nu - \theta \hat{\eta} - \nu \alpha \iota$  to have loosed. The formation of the stem by the addition of suffixes to the root is treated in Part III. The root itself may assume various forms without change of meaning, as  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma$  in  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma - \nu \nu \nu$  say,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma$  in  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma - \nu \nu$  we say,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma$  in  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \gamma - \nu \nu$  word.

N.—Since Greek is connected with the other Indo-European languages, the roots which we establish in Greek by analysis of a word into its simplest form often reappear in the connected languages (p. 1, A). Thus, the root  $\phi\epsilon\rho$  of  $\phi\epsilon\rho$  of  $\phi\epsilon\rho$  of bear is seen in Sanskrit bharami, Lat. fero, Germ. ge-baren. The assumption of roots is merely a grammatical convenience in the analysis of word-forms, and their determination is part of comparative grammar. Roots and suffixes as such never existed as independent words in Greek, or indeed in any known period of the parent language from which Greek and the other Indo-European tongues are derived. The theory that all roots are monosyllables is ill supported. As far back as we can follow the history of the Indo-European languages we find only complete words; hence their analysis into component morphological elements is merely a scientific device for purposes of arrangement and classification.

## DECLENSION

- 194. Declension deals with variations of number, gender, and case.
- 195. Number. There are three numbers: singular, dual, and plural. The dual speaks of two or a pair, as  $\tau \grave{\omega}$   $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \acute{\omega}$  the two eyes; but it is not often used, and the plural (which denotes more than one) is frequently substituted for it (oi  $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o'$  the eyes).
- 196. Gender. There are three genders: masculine, feminine, and neuter.
- a. Gender strictly marks sex-distinction. But in Greek, as in German and French, many inanimate objects are regarded as masculine or feminine. Such words are said to have 'grammatical' gender, which is determined only by their form. Words denoting objects without natural gender usually show their grammatical gender by the form of the adjective, as μακρὸς λόγος a long speech, μακρὰ νῆσος a long island, μακρὸν τείχος a long wall.
- b. The gender of Greek words is usually indicated by means of the article:  $\delta$  for masculine,  $\dot{\eta}$  for feminine,  $\tau\delta$  for neuter.
- 197. Rule of Natural Gender. Nouns denoting male persons are masculine, nouns denoting female persons are feminine. Thus, δ ναύτης seaman, δ στρατιώτης soldier, ή γυνή woman, ή κόρη maiden.
- a. A whole class is designated by the masculine: of  $\check{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$  men, i.e. men and women.
- b. Exceptions to the Rule of Natural Gender.—Diminutives in -ιον are neuter (199 d), as τὸ ἀνθρώπιον manikin (ὁ ἄνθρωπος man), τὸ παιδίον little child (male or female, ὁ οι ἡ παις child), τὸ γύναιον little woman (ἡ γυνή woman). Also the words τέκνον, τέκος child (strictly 'thing born'), ἀνδράποδον captive.

- 198. Common Gender. Many nouns denoting persons are either masculine or feminine. Thus,  $\delta$   $\pi$ aîs boy,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi$ ais girl,  $\dot{\delta}$   $\theta$ e $\dot{\delta}$ s god,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\theta$ e $\dot{\delta}$ s ( $\dot{\eta}$   $\theta$ e $\dot{\delta}$  poet.) goddess. So with names of animals:  $\dot{\delta}$   $\beta$ o $\dot{\delta}$ s ox,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\beta$ o $\dot{\delta}$ s cow,  $\dot{\delta}$  " $\pi$  $\pi$ os horse,  $\dot{\eta}$  " $\pi$  $\pi$ os mare.
- a. Some names of animals have only one grammatical gender without regard to sex, as δ λαγώς he-hare or she-hare, ἡ ἀλώπηξ he-fox or she-fox.
- 199. Gender of Sexless Objects. The gender of most nouns denoting sexless objects has to be learned by the endings (211, 228, 255) and by observation. The following general rules should be noted.
- a. Masculine are the names of winds, months, and most rivers. Thus,  $\dot{o}$  Bopéās the North Wind,  $\dot{o}$  'Ekatom $\beta$ ausiv' Hecatombaeon,  $\dot{o}$  K $\eta$  $\phi$ ios Cephissus.
- N.—The gender of these proper names is made to correspond to ὁ ἄνεμος wind, ὁ μήν month, ὁ ποταμός river. In the case of winds and rivers the gender may be due in part to personification.
- b. Feminine are the names of almost all countries, islands, cities, trees, and plants. Thus,  $\dot{\eta}$  'Attik Attica,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \delta s$  Delos,  $\dot{\eta}$  Kbpirbos Corinth,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi i \tau v s$  pine,  $\dot{\eta}$  d $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \delta s$  vine. The gender here follows that of  $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \hat{\eta}$  or  $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi \omega \rho \bar{\alpha}$  land, country,  $\dot{\eta}$  r $\dot{\eta}$ ors island,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \delta \lambda s$  city,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\delta \rho \hat{\nu} s$ , originally tree in general, but later oak ( $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon v \delta \rho \rho v$  is the ordinary word for tree).
- c. Feminine are most abstract words, that is, words denoting a quality or a condition. Thus, ή δρετή virtue, ή εδνοια good-will, ή ταχότης swiftness, ή έλπίς hope.
- d. Neuter are diminutives (197 b), words and expressions quoted, letters of the alphabet, infinitives, and indeclinable nouns. Thus, τὸ ὑμεῖς the word 'you,' τὸ γνῶθι σεαντὸν the saying 'learn to know thyself,' τὸ ἄλφα alpha, τὸ παιδεύειν to educate, τὸ χρεών necessity.
  - N. But some names of women end in -ιον (197 b): ή Γλυκέριον Glycerium.
- 200. Remarks.—a. Most of the exceptions to 199 a-b are due to the endings; e.g. ἡ Λήθη Lethe, ἡ Στύξ Styx (rivers of the Lower World), τὸ Αργος Argos, ὁ Καλυδών Calydon, τὸ Ἰλιον Πίυπ, οἱ Δελφοί Delphi, ὁ λωτός lotus.
- b. Change in gender is often associated with change in form: ὁ λύκος he-wolf, ἡ λύκαινα she-wolf, ὁ ποιητής poet, ἡ ποιήτρια poetess, ὁ βίστος and ἡ βιστή life, ὁ τρόπος manner, ἡ τροπή rout.
- c. The gender of one word may influence that of another word of like meaning. Thus  $\dot{\eta}$   $\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma s$  island and  $\dot{\eta}$   $\lambda l \theta \sigma s$  stone are feminine probably because of  $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \dot{\eta}$  land and  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \bar{a}$  rock.
- 201. Cases. There are five cases: nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, and vocative. The genitive denotes from as well as of, the dative denotes to or for and also by, with, on, in, at, etc. The other cases are used as in Latin.
- a. The genitive, dative, and accusative are called oblique cases to distinguish them from the nominative and vocative.
- 202. The vocative is often like the nominative in the singular; in the plural it is always the same. Nominative, vocative, and accusative have the same form in neuter words, and always have a in the

- plural. In the dual there are two forms, one for nominative, accusative, and vocative, the other for genitive and dative.
- 203. Lost Cases. Greek has generally lost the forms of the instrumental and locative cases (which have become fused with the dative) and of the ablative. The Greek dative is used to express by, as in  $\beta lq$ , Lat.  $v\bar{\imath}$ ; with, as in  $\lambda l\theta o ls$  with stones; and in, on, as in  $\gamma \hat{y}$  on the earth. From may be expressed by the genitive: πόρρω Σπάρτης far from Sparta. When the genitive and dative do duty for the ablative, prepositions are often used. Instances of the forms of the lost cases are given in 341.
- 204. Declensions. There are three declensions, which are named from the stems to which the case endings are attached.
- 1. First or  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ -declension, with stems in  $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$  Vowel Declension.
- 2. Second or O-declension, with stems in o
- 3. Third or Consonant declension, with stems in a consonant or in t and v.

The nominative and accusative are alike in the singular and plural of all neuter nouns. The nominative and vocative are alike in the plural.

## GENERAL RULES FOR THE ACCENT OF NOUNS

- 205. Substantives and adjectives accent, in the oblique cases, the same syllable as is accented in the nominative, provided the ultima permits (163); otherwise the following syllable receives the accent.
  - 1 decl. θάλαττα, θαλάττης, θαλάττη, θάλατταν, θάλατται (169), θαλάτταις. θαλάττας.
  - 2 decl. άνθρωπος, άνθρώπου, άνθρώπω, άνθρωπον, άνθρωποι (169), άνθρώπων, άνθρώποις, άνθρώπους.
  - 3 decl. λέων, λέοντος, λέοντι, λέοντα, λέοντες, λεόντων.
  - Adj.: άξιος (287), άξία, άξιον, άξίου, άξίας, άξίω, άξία, άξίων, άξίοις. χαρίεις (299), χαρίεντος, χαρίεντι, χαρίεντα, χαριέντων.
  - 206. The character of the accent depends on the general laws (167, 168, 176). Thus, νίκη, νίκαι (169); δώρον, δώρου, δώρα; σώμα, σώματος, σωμάτων, σώματα.
- 207. Oxytones of the first and second declensions are perispomena in the genitive and dative of all numbers:  $\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{a}$ ,  $\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{a}$ ;  $\theta \epsilon \dot{b}$ s,  $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ,  $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ;  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta s$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho o \hat{v}$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta v$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta s$ .
- 208. The genitive plural of all substantives of the first declension has the circumflex on the  $\omega$  of  $-\omega \nu$ . Thus,  $\nu i \kappa \eta \nu i \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ ;  $\theta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \theta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ;  $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s \pi o \lambda i$ . των ; νεανίας νεανιών.
- 209. The fem. gen. plural of adjectives and participles in -os has the same accent and form as the masculine and neuter. Thus, δίκαιος, gen. pl. δικαίων (in all genders); λυόμενος, gen. pl. λυομένων (in all genders).

## 210.

## CASE ENDINGS OF NOUNS

	Vowel Deck	ENSION	CONSONANT DECLENSION	
		SINGULAR	:	
	Masc. and Fem.	Neuter	Masc. and Fem.	Neuter
Nom.	-s or none	-v	-s or none	none
Gen.	-s or -	·to	-0	s
Dat.	-t		-1	•
Acc.	-v		-v or -a	none
Voc.	${f none}$	-v	none or like Nom.	none
		DUAL		
N. A. V.	non	.e	×	E
G. D.	-11	,	-	οιν
		PLURAL		
N. <b>V.</b>	t	-ŭ	<b>-</b> €\$	-ă
Gen.	ων		-	ων .
Dat.	- <b>ı</b> s (-ı	σι) <sup>'</sup>	-or, -o	σι, -εσσι
Acc.	-νs (-ās)	- <b>a</b>	-vs, -as	-aĭ

a. The stem may undergo a change upon its union with the case ending, as in the genitive plural of the first declension (213). Cp. 258, 264, 268, etc.

b. In the vowel declension, -ι of the nominative plural is borrowed from the inflection of pronouns (ἐκεῦνο-ι).

## SUBSTANTIVES

# FIRST DECLENSION (STEMS IN $\bar{a}$ )

- **211.** Stems in  $\bar{a}$  are masculine or feminine. The feminine nominative singular ends in  $-\bar{a}$ ,  $-\bar{a}$ , or  $-\eta$ ; the masculine nominative singular adds -s to the stem, and thus ends in  $-\bar{a}s$  or  $-\eta s$ .
- 212. Table of the union of the case endings (when there are any) with the final yowel of the stem.

E	ем.	Sing.	1	MA	sc. Sing.	MASC.	FEM. PL.	MASC. FEM.	DUAL
Nom.			η	ā-s	η-s		α-ι		ā
Gen.	ã-s	or ŋ-s	η-s	g-ro	(Hom. ā-o)	ῶν (for	έ-ων, ά-ων)	G. D.	α-ιν
Dat.	ā-ı (	or η-ι	ทุ-เ	<u>α</u> −ι	η-ι	i .	a-ισι $(v)$		
Acc.	ā-v	or <b>ă-v</b>	η-ν	ã-v	η-ν	ās (for	a-vs)		
Voc.	ã	or <b>ă</b>	η	ā	ŭorη		a-ı		

Observe the shortening of the stem in vocative singular and plural, in nominative and dative plural, and genitive and dative dual.

- 213. Accent. For special rule of accent in the genitive plural, see 208. The genitive plural is always perispomenon since  $-\hat{\omega}\nu$  is contracted from  $-\hat{\epsilon}-\omega\nu$  derived from original (and Hom.)  $-\hat{\epsilon}-\omega\nu$  (51). Final  $-\alpha\iota$  is treated as short (169).
- a. The form of the gen. pl. is taken from the pronominal adjective, i.e. (Hom.)  $\theta \epsilon \dot{a}\omega \nu$  goddesses follows the analogy of (Hom.)  $\tau \dot{a}\omega \nu$  (332 D.) for  $\tau \bar{a}$ - $(\sigma)\omega \nu$ , cf. Lat. ist $\bar{a}$ -rum de $\bar{a}$ -rum.
  - 214. The dialects show various forms.
- **215.** Dative Plural. The ending -aισι(ν) occurs in Attic poetry (δίκαισι from δίκη right, δεσπόταισι from δεσπότης lord).
- a. Attic inscriptions to 420 B.C. have  $-\eta\sigma\iota$  (written  $-\eta\iota\sigma\iota$ ),  $-\eta\sigma\iota$ , and (after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ )  $-\alpha\sigma\iota$  (written  $-\alpha\iota\sigma\iota$ ) and  $-\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$ . Thus,  $\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\hat{\eta}\sigma\iota$  and  $\delta\rho\alpha\chi\mu\hat{\eta}\sigma\iota$  drachmas,  $\tau\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\sigma\iota$  and  $\tau\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}\iota$  stewards.  $-\eta\sigma\iota$  and  $-\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota$  are properly endings of the locative case (341).
- **214 D.** 1. For  $\eta$ , Doric and Aeolic have original  $\bar{a}$ ; thus, vik $\bar{a}$ , vik $\bar{a}$ , vik $\bar{a}$ , vik $\bar{a}$ , individue, vik $\bar{a}$ , vik $\bar{a}$ ,
- 2. Ionic has  $\eta$  for the  $\bar{a}$  of Attic even after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , and  $\rho$ ; thus,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{\eta}$ ,  $olki\eta$ ,  $d\gamma o\rho \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\mu ol\rho \eta$ ,  $\mu ol\rho \eta$  (nom.  $\mu o\hat{\epsilon} \rho \ddot{a}$ ),  $\nu \epsilon \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \eta s$ . Thus,  $d\gamma o\rho \dot{\eta}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta} s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$ ,  $-\dot{\eta} \nu$ ;  $\nu \epsilon \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \eta s$ ,  $-o\nu$ ,  $-\eta$ ,  $-\eta \nu$ . But Hom. has  $\theta \epsilon \dot{a}$  goddess, Ep $\mu \epsilon l \ddot{a} s$  Hermes.
- 3. The dialects admit -ā in the nom. sing. less often than does Attic. Thus, Ionic πρύμνη stern, κνίση savour (Att. πρύμνα, κνίσα), Dor. τόλμā daring. Ionic has η for ā in the abstracts in -είη, -οίη (ἀληθείη truth, εὐνοίη good-will). Hom. has νύμφα oh maiden from νύμφη.
- 4. Nom. sing. masc. Hom. has -τα for -της in ἱππότα horseman, ἰππηλάτα driver of horses, νεφεληγερέτα cloud-collector, κῦανοχαῖτα dark-haired; and, with recessive accent, μητίετα counsellor. So in the adj. εὐρύοπα far-sounding. Cp. Lat. poeta, scriba.
- 5. Gen. sing. masc. (a)  $-\bar{a}o$ , the original form from  $\bar{a}$ - $(\iota)o$ , is used by Hom. ( $\Delta\tau \rho \epsilon l \delta \bar{a}o$ ). It contracts in Aeolic and Doric to  $-\bar{a}$  ( $\Delta\tau \rho \epsilon l \delta \bar{a}$ ).
- (b)  $-\epsilon \omega$ , from  $\eta o$  (=  $\bar{a} o$ ) by 34, is also used by Hom., who makes it a single syllable by synizesis (60), as in  $A\tau \rho \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \omega$ . Hdt. has  $-\epsilon \omega$ , as  $\pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon \omega$  (163 a).
  - (c) -ω in Hom. after a vowel, Βορέω (nom. Βορέης).
- 6. Accus. sing. masc. In proper names Hdt. often has -εα borrowed from s stems (264), as Μιλτιάδεα for Μιλτιάδη-ν.
- 7. Dual. Hom. has the nom. dual of masculines only. In the gen. and dat. Hom. has  $-\alpha \iota \nu$  and also  $-\alpha \iota \nu$ .
- 8. Gen. plur. (a) - $\hat{a}\omega v$ , the original form, occurs in Hom. ( $\mu \omega v \hat{a}\omega v$ ,  $\hat{a}\gamma o \rho \hat{a}\omega v$ ). In Aeolic and Doric - $\hat{a}\omega v$  contracts to (b) - $\hat{a}v$  ( $\hat{a}\gamma o \rho \hat{a}v$ ). The Doric - $\hat{a}\nu$  is found also in the choral songs of the drama ( $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{a}\nu r o c k s$ ). (c) - $\hat{\epsilon}\omega v$ , the Ionic form, appears in Homer, who usually makes it a single syllable by synizesis (60) as in  $\beta \omega \lambda \hat{\epsilon}\omega v$ , from  $\beta \omega \lambda \hat{\gamma} p l a n$ . - $\hat{\epsilon}\omega v$  is from - $\hat{\gamma}\omega v$ , Ionic for - $\hat{a}\omega v$ . (d) - $\hat{\omega}v$  in Hom. generally after vowels ( $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} v$ , from  $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \eta h u t$ ).
- 9. Dat. plur.:  $-\eta\sigma\iota(\nu)$ ,  $-\eta s$ , generally before vowels, and (rarely)  $-\alpha\iota s$  in Hom. Ionic has  $-\eta\sigma\iota$ , Aeolic  $-\alpha\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$ ,  $-\alpha\iota s$ , Doric  $-\alpha\iota s$ .
  - 10. Accus. plur.: -avs, -as, as in various Dorie dialects, -as in Aeolic.

GREEK GRAM. - 4

216.

## I. FEMININES

#### SINGULAR

	<b>ἡ χώρᾶ</b> (χωρᾶ-) land	ἡ νίκη (νῖκā-) victory	<b>ἡ φυγή</b> (φυγā-) flight	ή μοίρα (μοιρᾶ-) fate	<b>ἡ γλῶττα</b> (γλωττā-) tongue	<b>ἡ θάλαττα</b> (θαλαττᾶ-) sea
Nom. Gen.	χώρᾶ χώρᾶς	νίκη νίκης	φυγή Φυγῆs	hoiba hoiba	γλώττα γλώττης	θάλαττα θαλάττης
Dat.	χώρας χώρα	νίκη	φυγή	ποίδα ποιδαε	γλώττη	θαλάττη
Acc.	χώρα-ν	νtκη-ν	φυγή- <i>ν</i>	μοΐρα-ν	γλώττα <i>-ν</i>	θάλαττα-ν
Voc.	χώρ <del>α</del>	νέκη	φυγή	μοΐρα	γλῶττα	θάλαττα
			DUAL	,		
N. A. V	. χώρ <del>α</del>	νtκā	φυγά	μοίρᾶ	γλώττα	θαλάττᾶ
G. D.	χώραιν	νίκαιν	φυγαῖν	μοίραιν	γλώτταιν	θαλάτταιν
	· ·		PLURA	·L		
N. V.	χώραι	νίκαι	φυγαί	μοΐραι	γλῶτται	θάλατται
Gen.	χωρῶν	νϊκῶν	φυγών	μοιρῶν	γλωττῶν	θαλαττών
Dat.	χώραις	vťkais	φυγαίς	μοίραις	γλώτταις	la λάτταις
Acc.	χώρᾶς	vtĸās	φυγάς	μοίρ <del>α</del> ς	γλώττᾶς	θαλάττᾶς

ἄρα season, ἡμέρα day, σκιά shadow, μάχη battle, τέχνη art, γνώμη judyment, τἶμή honor, ἀρετή virtue, μοῦσα muse, πρῶρα prow, ἄμαξα wagon, δόξα opinion.

- **217.** Rules.—a. If the nominative singular ends in alpha preceded by a vowel  $(\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{\alpha} \ shadow)$  or  $\rho \ (\mu o \hat{\nu} \rho a)$ , alpha is kept throughout the singular.
- b. If the nominative singular ends in alpha preceded by a consonant not  $\rho$ , alpha is changed to  $\eta$  in the genitive and dative singular.
  - c. If the nominative singular ends in  $\eta$ ,  $\eta$  is kept in all the cases of the singular.
- d. When the genitive singular has  $-\eta s$ , final  $\alpha$  of the nominative singular is always short; when the genitive singular has  $-\bar{\alpha}s$ , the final  $\alpha$  is generally long.

## Feminines fall into two classes:

- 218. (I) Feminines with  $\bar{a}$  or  $\eta$  in all the cases of the singular.
- After ε, ι, or ρ,  $\bar{a}$  appears in all the cases of the singular, as in γενεά race, οικία house, χώρα land. Otherwise, η throughout the singular, as νίκη victory.

  a. After ο, we find both  $\bar{a}$  and  $\eta$ , as στοά porch, βοή shout, ἀκοή hearing,
- $\rho$  in the point  $\rho$  and  $\rho$  are  $\rho$  we have  $\rho$  in the  $\rho$  in the  $\rho$  we have  $\rho$  in the  $\rho$  in
- **219.** (II) Feminines with  $\ddot{\mathbf{a}}$  in the nominative, accusative, and vocative singular. The quantity of the vowel is generally shown by the accent (163, 164).

In this class are included:

 Substantives having σ (ξ, ψ, ττ, or σσ), ζ, λλ, or αιν before the final α show α in nom., accus., and voc. sing., and η in gen. and dat. sing. Thus, μοῦσα muse, μούσης, μούσης ἄμαξα wagon, τράπεζα table, γλῶττα tongue, ρίζα root, ἄμιλλα contest, λέαινα lioness. Others are τόλμα daring, δίαιτα mode of life, ἄκανθα thorn, μοῖα fly.

- 2. Substantives in  $\tilde{a}$  in nom., accus., and voc. sing., and  $\bar{a}$  in gen. and dat. sing.
  - a. Substantives in -εια and -τρια denoting females, as βασίλεια queen (but βασιλεία kingdom), ψάλτρια female harper; so the fem. of adj. in -υs, as γλυκύς, γλυκεία sweet.
  - b. Abstracts in -εια and -οια from adjectives in -ηs and -οοs, as ἀλήθεια truth (from ἀληθής true), εὔνοια good will (from εὔνους, εὔνους kind, 290).
  - c. Most substantives in  $-\rho \alpha$  after a diphthong or  $\bar{v}$ , as  $\mu o \hat{i} \rho \alpha$  fate,  $\gamma \epsilon \phi \bar{v} \rho \alpha$  bridge.
- **220.** Exceptions to 219, 1: κόρση temple (later κόρρη), έρση dew; to 2 b: in Attic poetry, ἀληθεία, εὐνοία, ἀγνοία ignorance, which owe their ā to the influence of the genitive and dative ἀληθείαs, ἀληθεία, etc.
- 221. Most, if not all, of the substantives in a are formed by the addition of the suffix ia or ia (20); thus, γλώττα from γλωχ-ία (cp. γλωχίν-ες points), γέφυρα from γεφυρ-ία, δότειρα giver from δοτερ-ία (and so φέρουσα bearing from φεροντιά), μοῦρα from μορ-ία, ψάλτρ-ία.

222.

## II. MASCULINES

#### SINGULAR

	<b>ὁ νεανίας</b>	ό πολίτης	ό κριτής	'Ατρείδης
	(νεανια-)	(πολίτᾶ-)	(κριτᾶ-)	('Ατρειδā-)
	young man	citizen	judge	son of Atreus
Nom.	veāviā-s	πολίτη-ς	κριτή-ς	'Ατρείδη-ς
Gen.	veāviou	πολίτου	κριτοῦ	'Ατρείδου
Dat.	veāviā	πολίτη	κριτῆ	'Ατρείδη
Acc.	veāviā-v	πολίτη-ν	κριτή-ν	'Ατρείδη-ν
Voc.	veāviā	πολίτα	κριτά	'Ατρείδη
11,4		DUAL		
N. A. V.	νεανία	πολίτᾶ	κριτ <b>ά</b>	'Ατρείδ <del>α</del>
G. D.	νεανίαιν	πολίταιν	κριτα <b>ι</b> ν	'Ατρείδαιν
		PLURAL		
N. V.	veāviai	πολίται	κριταί	'Ατρείδαι
Gen.	veāviāv	πολίτῶν	κριτῶν	'Ατρειδών
Dat.	veāviais	πολίταις	κριταῖς	'Ατρείδαις
Acc.	veāviās	πολίτᾶς	κριτ <del>ά</del> ς	'Ατρείδ <del>α</del> ς

ταμίας steward, Aiveίας Aeneas, — ναύτης sailor, τοξότης bowman, στρατιώτης soldier, δεσπότης ruler, — μαθητής pupil, ποιητής poet — Πέρσης Persian.

<sup>223.</sup> Accent. — The vocative of δεσπότης lord is δέσποτα.

- **224.**  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $\eta$ . In the final syllable of the singular  $\bar{\alpha}$  appears after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , and  $\rho$ ; otherwise we find  $\eta$ . Cp. 218.
  - a. Exceptions are compounds in -μέτρης: γεω-μέτρης measurer of land.
- 225. Genitive singular. The form in -oυ is borrowed from the genitive singular of the second declension. A few words in -ās, generally names of persons not Greeks, have -ā, the Doric genitive (214 D.5): 'Αννίβās Hannibal, gen.' Αννίβā.
- **226.** Vocative singular. Masculines in  $-\bar{a}s$  have the vocative in  $-\bar{a}$  ( $\nu\epsilon\bar{a}\nu\ell\bar{a}$ ); those in  $-\tau\eta s$  have  $-\check{a}$  ( $\pi\circ\lambda\hat{\iota}\tau a$ ), all others in  $-\eta s$  have  $-\eta$  (' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon\ell\hat{o}\eta$ , K $\rho\circ\nu\ell\hat{o}\eta$  son of Kronos) except names of nations and compounds:  $\Pi\epsilon\rho\sigma\bar{a}$  Persian,  $\Sigma\kappa\delta\theta\bar{a}$  Scythian,  $\gamma\epsilon\omega-\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\bar{a}$  (nom.  $\gamma\epsilon\omega-\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta s$  measurer of land),  $\pi\alpha\iota\hat{o}\sigma-\tau\rho\ell\hat{a}$  gymnastic master.

## CONTRACTS (FEMININES AND MASCULINES)

**227.** Contracts in  $\bar{a}$  or  $\eta$  from  $\epsilon \bar{a}$  or  $a\bar{a}$  have the circumflex in all the cases: nominative feminine  $-\hat{a}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$ , masculine  $-\hat{a}s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}s$ .

#### SINGULAR

	ή μνα mina (μνα- for	ἡ σῦκῆ fig tree (σῦκη- for	δ Boppas Boreas (Βορρα- for	<b>δ Έρμῆς</b> Hermes (Έρμη- for
	μναᾶ-)	σῦκεᾶ-)	Βορεᾶ- 117)	Έρμεᾶ-)
Nom.	μνᾶ	σῦκῆ	Boppâ-s	'Ερμῆ-ς
Gen.	μνᾶs	σῦκῆς	Βορροῦ	Έρμοῦ
Dat.	μνą̂	σῦκῆ	Βορρά	Έρμῆ
Acc.	μνᾶ-ν	σῦκῆ-ν	Βορρά-ν	Έρμῆ-ν
Voc.	μνᾶ	σῦκῆ	Βορρᾶ	'Έρμῆ
•		DUAL		
N. A. V.	μνâ	σῦκᾶ		<b>Έ</b> ρμ <b>ᾶ</b>
G. D.	μναῖν	σῦκαίν		'Ερμαΐν
		PLURAL		
N. V.	μναῖ	συκαί		Έρμαῖ
Gen.	μνῶν	συκών	,	*Έρμῶν
Dat.	μναîs	σῦκαῖς		Έρμαῖς
Acc.	μνᾶς	σῦκᾶς		'Epµâs

The dual and plural of  $E\rho\mu\eta s$  mean statues of Hermes.

Other examples:  $\dot{\eta}$  'A $\theta\eta\nu\hat{a}$  Athena (from 'A $\theta\eta\nu\alpha(\iota)\bar{a}$ -),  $\gamma\hat{\eta}$  earth ( $\gamma\epsilon\bar{a}$ - or  $\gamma\alpha\bar{a}$ -) with no plural in Attic,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\alpha\lambda\hat{\eta}$  weasel ( $\gamma\alpha\lambda\epsilon\bar{a}$ -),  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\iota\delta\hat{\eta}$  niece ( $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\iota\delta\epsilon\bar{a}$ -),  $\dot{o}$  'A $\pi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\hat{\eta}$ s Apelles ('A $\pi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon\bar{a}$ -).

**<sup>227</sup>** D. Hdt. has  $\mu\nu\epsilon\alpha\iota$ ,  $\mu\nu\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\mu\nu\epsilon\hat{\omega}s$ ,  $\gamma\hat{\eta}$  and  $\gamma\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$ , Έρμ $\hat{\eta}s$ , Bop $\hat{\eta}s$ . Hom. has Αθηναίη,  $\gamma\hat{\eta}$  (and  $\gamma\alpha\hat{\iota}a$ ),  $\sigma\hat{\nu}\kappa\epsilon\eta$ , Έρμεί $\hat{\iota}a$ s 214 D. 2, Bop $\epsilon\eta s$ .

# SECOND DECLENSION (STEMS IN 0)

228. O stems in the nominative add -5 to the stem in masculines and feminines; - $\nu$  in neuters. The feminines, of which there are few, are declined like the masculines. In the neuters, nominative, vocative, and accusative singular have the same form (in -0- $\nu$ ); in the plural these cases end in - $\alpha$ .

# 229. TABLE OF THE UNION OF THE CASE ENDINGS WITH THE STEM VOWEL

	SINGULAR		DUAL			PLURAL	
Mas	c. and Fem.	Neuter	Masc., Fem., an	d Neuter		Masc. and Fem.	Neuter
Nom.	0-8	0-ν	N. A. V.	ω	Nom.	0-i	ă
Gen.	ov (for o-(	(ı)o)	G. D.	0-LV	Gen.	ων	
Dat.	φ (for o	-ı)			Dat.	o-is or o-io ous (for o-vs)	$\iota(v)$
Acc.	0-ν				Acc.		ă
Voc.	E	0-ν			Voc.	0-L	ă

a. Final -ou is treated as short (169).

b. The dat. sing. in  $-\varphi$  represents the union of the stem vowel -o and ai, the original case ending in the I. E. languages. Forms in -oi, as other at home, may be locatives (-o+i), the locative ending). — The stem vowel o varies with  $\epsilon$ , which appears in the vocative sing., and in  $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon l$  (locative) in full force. — N. A. V. dual  $-\omega$  is for I. E.  $\bar{o}u$ . — The genitive pl.  $-\omega \nu$  is due to the union of  $-o+\omega \nu$ , which contracted to  $-\omega \nu$  in the earliest period of the language. — The neuter plural is probably the relic of a feminine collective ending in  $-\bar{a}$ , which was shortened to  $-\bar{a}$ .

230. The dialects show various forms.

231.		SINGULAR		
	ό ἵππος horse (ἱππο-)	δ ἄνθρωπος man (ἀνθρωπο-)	ἡ ὁδός way (ὁδο-)	τὸ δῶρον gift (δωρο-)
Nom.	ἵππο-s	ἄνθρωπο-ς	δδό-s	δῶρο-ν
Gen.	ἵππου	άνθρώπου	όδοῦ	δώρου
Dat.	- ἵππφ	άνθρώπω	δδῷ	δώρω
Acc.	ἵππο-ν	ανθρωπο-ν	όδό-ν	δῶρο-ν
Voc.	ἵππε	ἄνθρωπε	δδέ	δῶρο-ν

230 D. 1. Gen. sing. — -o10, the original form, appears in Hom.  $\pi o \lambda \ell \mu o 10$ . By loss of  $\iota$  (43) comes -o0, which is sometimes read in Hom. (Albhoo for Albhou 836). By contraction of 00 comes -o1 found in Hom., Ionic, Milder Doric. 00 yields  $\omega$  in Aeolic and Severer Doric ( $lm \pi \omega$ ).

- 2. Dual.  $-ou\nu$  in Hom. ( $l\pi\pi ou\nu$ ).
- 3. Dat. pl.  $--o\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$  Hom., Aeolic, Ionic.
- 4. Acc. pl. -ovs is from -oν-s (found in Cretan), that is, the accus sing. + s. From -oνs comes -ωs Severer Doric, -os Aeolic, -os Cretan and in Dor. poetry. -ovs is Hom., Ionic, and Milder Doric.

#### DUAL

	ό ἵππος horse (ίππο-)	ό ἄνθρωπος man (ἀνθρωπο-)	ή όδός way (όδο-)	τὸ δῶρον gift (δωρο-)
N. A. V.	ίππω	άνθρώπω	<b>όδ</b> ώ	δώρω
G. D.	ζπποιν	άνθρώποιν	δδοῖν	δώροιν
	÷	PLURAL		
N. V.	ίπποι	ἄνθρωποι	δδοί	δώρα
Gen.	ἵππων	άνθρώπων	δδών	δώρων
Dat.	ίπποις	άνθρώποις	όδοῖς	δώροις
Acc.	ίππους	άνθρώπους	<b>ό</b> δούς	δῶρα

Masculine: λόγος word, δημος people, δοῦλος slave, κίνδυνος danger, πόλεμος war; ἀγρός field, ποταμός river, ἀριθμός number. Feminine: νησος island, ηπειρος mainland; ὁ(ή) τροφός nurse. Neuter: ἔργον work, πτερόν wing, δεῦπνον dinner.

- **232.** Feminines. a. See 197 for robs daughter-in-law; see 199 for robs island (cp. 200 c),  $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \hat{\sigma}$  (the island of) Delos, Kópurðos Corinth,  $\phi \eta \gamma \hat{\sigma}$  (acornbearing) oak,  $\check{a} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\sigma}$  vine.
- b. Some are properly adjectives used substantively: διάλεκτος (scil. γλῶττα speech) dialect, διάμετρος (scil. γραμμή line) diameter, αὕλειος (scil. θύρα door) house-door, σύγκλητος (scil. βουλή council) legislative body, ἔρημος and ἤπειρος (scil. χώρα country) desert and mainland.
- c. Words for way: ὁδός and κέλευθος way; and ἀμαξιτός carriage-road, ἀτραπός foot-path, which may be adjectival (b) with ὁδός omitted.
- d. Various other words: βάσανος touch-stone, βίβλος book, γέρανος crane, γνάθος jaw, γύψος chalk, δέλτος writing-tablet, δοκός beam, δρόσος dew, κάμινος oven, κάρδοπος kneading-trough, κίβωτός chest, κόπρος dung, ληνός wine-press, λίθος stone (200 c), νόσος disease, πλίνθος brick, βάβδος rod, σορός coffin, σποδός ashes, τάφρος trench, χηλός coffer, ψάμμος sand, ψήφος pebble.
- 233. Vocative. The nominative  $\theta \epsilon \delta s$  is used instead of the vocative.  $\delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta s$  brother retracts the accent ( $\delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta$ ).
- **234.** Dative Plural. The ending  $-o\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$  often appears in poetry, rarely in Attic prose (Plato).
  - a. In Old Attic inscriptions -ois displaces -oi $\sigma\iota(\nu)$  about 444 B.C.

## CONTRACTED SUBSTANTIVES

235. Stems in  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\epsilon_0$  are contracted according to 50, 51.  $\epsilon_0$  in the neuter becomes  $\bar{a}$  (56).

<sup>235</sup> D. Homeric and Ionic generally have the open forms. olroxoos wine-pourer does not contract in Attic since it stands for olroxoos.

220

#### SINGULAR

	<b>ὁ νοῦς</b> (νοι	mind o-)	ό περίπλους 80 (περιπ		<b>τὸ ὀστο</b> ί (ὀστε	
Nom. Gen. Dat.	(νόο-s) (νόου) (νόφ)	νοῦ ν <u>ῷ</u>	$(\pi\epsilon ho l\pi\lambda oos) \ (\pi\epsilon ho l\pi\lambda oou) \ (\pi\epsilon ho l\pi\lambda ou) \ (\pi\epsilon ho l\pi\lambda ou)$	περίπλου-ς περίπλου περίπλφ	(δστέο-ν) (δστέου) (δστέφ)	όστοῦ όστῷ
Acc. Voc.	(νόο-ν) (νόε)		(περίπλοο-ν) (περίπλοε)	περίπλου-ν περίπλου	(δστέο-ν) (δστέο-ν)	
			DUAL			
N. A. V. G. D.	(νόω) (νόοιν)		(περιπλόω) (περιπλόοιν)	περίπλω περίπλοιν	(ὀστέω) (ὀστέοιν)	
			PLURAL			
N. V. Gen. Dat.	(νόοι) (νόων) (νόοις)	vŵv voîs	(περίπλοοι) (περιπλόων) (περιπλόοις)	περίπλει περίπλων περίπλοις	(δστέα) (δστέων) (δστέοις)	όστα όστων όστοῖς
Acc.	(vbous)	νοῦς	(περιπλόουs)	περίπλους	(δστέα)	ὀστᾶ

ὁ πλοῦς (πλόος) voyage, ὁ ροῦς (ρόος) stream, τὸ κανοῦν (κάνεον) basket.

- 236. Accent. a. The nominative dual is irregularly oxytone:  $\nu\omega$ ,  $\delta\sigma\tau\omega$ , not  $\nu\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\delta\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}$  according to 171, N. 2.
- b. κανοῦν (κάνεον) basket receives its accent (not κάνουν) from that of the genitive and dative κανοῦ, κανῶ. Cp. 290 c.
- c. Compounds retain the accent on the syllable that has it in the nominative singular: ἔκπλους from ἔκπλοος; ἔκπλου (not ἐκπλοῦ) from ἐκπλόου; ἔκπλων (not έκπλῶν) from ἐκπλόων.

## ATTIC DECLENSION

- 237. Some substantives ending in  $-\epsilon \omega s$  are placed under the Second Declension because they are derived from earlier o stems preceded by a long vowel (-εως from -ηος, 34). A few others have a consonant before -ws. The vocative has no special form.
- N. This declension is called "Attic" because the words in question generally show - ws in Attic and -os in the Koine dialect (p. 3, F). 1 -- 1 + tomomila

236.	ο νεως ιεπιριε	
SINGULAR	DUAL	PLURAL
Nom. νεώ-ς (Ionic νηό-ς)	Ν. Α. νεώ (Ιοπίο νη ώ)	Nom. νεψ (Ionic νηοί)
Gen. νεώ ( " νηοῦ)	G. D. νεών ( " νηοῖν)	Gen. <b>νεών</b> ( " νηῶν)
Dat. νεώ ( " νηώ)		Dat. νεώς ( " νηοῖς)
Αcc. νεών ( " νηδ-ν)	•	Αcc. νεώς (" νηούς)
		,

238 D. Hom. has νηός temple, λάδς people, κάλος cable, λαγωός hare, γάλοως sister-in-law, 'Αθόως, Κόως; Hdt. has λεώς, λαγός, Κέος. Hom. and Hdt. have

- a. So  $\dot{o}$  hews people,  $\dot{o}$  Meréhews Menelaus,  $\dot{o}$  hay we have. Observe that  $\omega$  is found in every form, and that it takes  $\iota$  subscript in the dative of all numbers where an ordinary o stem has  $\iota$ .
- b. There are no neuter substantives belonging to the Attic declension in standard classical literature; but neuter adjectives (289) end in  $-\omega\nu$ .
- c. rews and most words of this declension owe their forms to transfer of quantity (34) or to shortening (39). Thus, rews is from ryls (= Doric rābs), rew from rylv; rew is from ryû.  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega s$  is contracted from  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega \delta s$ .
- d. In the accusative singular some words end in  $-\omega$  or  $-\omega\nu$ , as  $\lambda\alpha\gamma\dot{\omega}$  or  $\lambda\alpha\gamma\dot{\omega}\nu$  hare. So  $\dot{\sigma}$  "A $\theta\omega$ s,  $\dot{\eta}$  K $\dot{\omega}$ s,  $\dot{\eta}$  K $\dot{\omega}$ s,  $\dot{\eta}$  M $\dot{\nu}\omega$ s.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}\omega$ s dawn always has  $\dot{\varepsilon}\omega$ .
- **239.** Accent.—a. The accent of the nominative is kept in all cases.  $M\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda\epsilon\omega s$  (163 a) retains the accent of the earlier  $M\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\bar{a}os$ .
  - b. The genitive and dative are oxytone when the final syllable is accented.
- N. The accentuation of the words of this declension is doubtful. Some of the ancients accented  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega_r$ ,  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega_r$ , others  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \omega_r$ , etc.

## THIRD DECLENSION

- **240.** This declension includes stems ending in a consonant, in  $\iota$ ,  $\upsilon$ , or a diphthong, and some in  $\omega$  and o, representing  $\omega_F$  and  $o\iota$ .
- N. To determine whether a noun belongs to the third declension it is necessary in most cases to know the stem, which is usually found by dropping -os of the genitive singular. Stems in  $\iota$  and  $\nu$  are classed under the consonant declension because neither of these vowels admits contraction with the case endings beginning with a vowel, herein being like a consonant.

## FORMATION OF CASES: NOMINATIVE SINGULAR

- **241.** Masculine and feminine stems not ending in  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ , s and  $o\nu\tau$ , add s.
  - a. A labial  $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$  + s becomes  $\psi$  (97).
  - b. A dental  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$  + s becomes  $\sigma\sigma$  (98), which is reduced to s (107).
  - c. A palatal  $(\kappa, \gamma, \chi)$  or  $\kappa \tau + s$  becomes  $\xi$  (97).
  - (The same changes occur in the dative plural.)
- γόψ vulture γῦπ-όs, "Αραψ Arab "Αραβ-οs; κακότης baseness κακότητ-οs, ἐλπίς hope ἐλπίδ-οs, ὅρνῖς bird ὅρνῖθ-οs; φύλαξ guard φύλακ-οs, μάστῖξ scourge μάστῖγ-οs, σάλπιγξ trumpet σάλπιγγ-οs, ὄνυξ nail ὅνυχ-οs, νύξ night νυκτ-όs; ἄλ-s salt ἀλ-όs, ἰχθύς fish ἰχθύ-os; ἐλέφᾶς elephant ἐλέφαντ-οs.
- **242.** Masculine and feminine stems ending in  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ , and s reject s and lengthen a preceding vowel if short ( $\epsilon$  to  $\eta$ , o to  $\omega$ ).

ήώς, gen. ήοῦς, dawn, whence Att. τως by 39. Hom. has Πετεω-ο, the original form of the genitive, from Πετεως. νεω is from νεωο out of νηοο.

τριήρης trireme (stem τριηρέσ-, 263 b), alδώς shame (stem alδοσ-, 266). On μήν see 259 end. For stems in ες, nominative -oς, see 263 c.

- **243.** Masculine stems in ort drop  $\tau$  (133) and lengthen o to  $\omega$ :  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$  old man  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau$ -os,  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega \nu$  lion  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \tau$ -os.
- **244.** Neuters show the pure stem, from which final τ and other consonants not standing at the end of a word (133) are dropped: ἄρμα chariot ἄρματ-ος, πρᾶγμα thing πράγματ-ος, γάλα milk γάλακτ-ος (133 b).
- **245.** Summary.—s is added to stems ending in a labial, dental, palatal, and in  $a\nu\tau$ ,  $\epsilon\nu\tau$ ,  $\nu\nu\tau$ ; to some stems in  $\nu$  (as  $\epsilon ls$  one  $\epsilon \nu$ - $\epsilon s$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{a}s$  black  $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu$ - $\epsilon s$ ); to stems in  $\epsilon v$ , av, av; and to masc. and fem. stems in  $\iota$  and v. s is not added to most stems ending in  $\nu$ , nor to those in  $a\nu\tau$ ,  $a\nu$ ,  $a\nu$

## ACCUSATIVE SINGULAR

**246.** Masculines and feminines usually add a to stems ending in a consonant;  $\nu$  to stems ending in  $\iota$  or  $\nu$ .

γῦπ-α, ὄνυχ-α, ἐλέφαντ-α, λιμέν-α, ῥήτορ-α, λέοντ-α; πόλι-ν,  $l\chi\theta\dot{o}$ -ν, βο $\hat{v}$ -ν from πόλι-s city,  $l\chi\theta\dot{o}$ -s fish, βο $\hat{v}$ -s ox, cow. Stems in  $\epsilon v$  take  $\alpha$  (275).

**247.** Barytone stems of two syllables ending in  $\iota\tau$ ,  $\iota\delta$ ,  $\bar{\iota}\theta$  usually drop the dental and add  $\nu$ .

χάρις grace (stem χαριτ-) χάριν, έρις strife (έριδ-) έριν, δριῖς bird (όρνῖθ-) ὅρνῖν. So εὔελπις hopeful (εὐελπιδ-) εὔελπιν (292). Oxytones end in  $\alpha$ : ἐλπίδ- $\alpha$ , σφρ $\bar{\alpha}$ γίδα (σφρ $\bar{\alpha}$ γίς seal).

a. κλεῖς key (κλειδ-), Old Att. κλής, has κλεῖν (late κλεῖδα), acc. pl. κλεῖς (late κλεῖδας).

## VOCATIVE SINGULAR

248. The vocative of masculines and feminines is usually the pure stem.

πόλι (πόλι-s city), βοῦ (βοῦ-s ox, cow), Σώκρατες (Σωκράτης). Stems in ιδ and ντ cannot retain final δ and τ (133), hence "Αρτεμι from "Αρτεμις ( Άρτεμιδ-), παῖ from παῖς boy, girl (παιδ-), νεᾶνι from νεᾶνις maiden (νεᾶνιδ-); γέρον from γέρων old man (γεροντ-), γίγαν from γίγᾶς giant (γιγαντ-).

- 249. The vocative is the same as the nominative:
- a. In stems ending in a stop (16) consonant (except those in  $\iota\tau$ ,  $\iota\delta$ ,  $\bar{\iota}\theta$ ;  $\nu\tau$  in nouns):  $\hat{\omega}$   $\phi\psi\lambda\alpha\xi$  watchman. (Atas Ajax (Ala $\nu\tau$ -) is nom. and voc.)
- **243 D.** Hdt. has  $\delta\delta\omega\nu$  tooth  $\delta\delta\delta\nu\tau$ -os. Attic  $\delta\delta\sigma\nu$ s has the inflection of a participle in -ovs (307).
- **247 D.** The acc in a (χάριτα, ξριδα, δρντθα) occurs in Hom., Hdt., and in Attic poetry. So κόρυθα and κόρυν (κόρυς helmet) in Hom.
- **249 D.** Hom. has ἀνα oh king as well as ἀναξ (ἀνακτ-); Αἶαν from Αἰαντ-. Πουλυδάμᾶ, Λᾶοδάμᾶ (from stems in αντ) are later forms due to analogy.

- b. In oxytone stems ending in a liquid and not taking s to form their nominative (242):  $\hat{\omega}$   $\pi \circ \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  shepherd  $(\pi \circ \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu)$ ; but  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$  man,  $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$  father have  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ ,  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho$  (262). Barytones use the stem as the vocative:  $\delta \alpha \hat{\iota} \mu \sigma \nu$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \sigma \rho$  from  $\delta \alpha \dot{\iota} \mu \omega \nu$  divinity,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$  orator.
  - c. In all participles.

#### DATIVE DUAL AND PLURAL

250. The dative plural adds -σι to the stem.

"Αραψ ( Άραβ-) "Αραψι, μάστῖξ (μαστῖγ-) μάστιξι, φύλαξ (φυλακ-) φύλαξι, σῶμα (σωματ-) σώμασι (98), έλπίε (ἐλπιδ-) ἐλπίσι (98), ὅρνῖε (ὀρνῖθ-) ὅρνῖσι (98), ἐλέφᾶς (ἐλεφαντ-) ἐλέφᾶσι, θήρ (θηρ-) θηρσί.

a. Stems in ντ drop ντ and lengthen the preceding vowel (100): λέων (λεοντ-)

λέουσι, γίγας (γιγαντ-) γίγασι.

b. Stems in  $\nu$  drop  $\nu$  without lengthening the preceding vowel (if short):

δαίμων (δαιμον-) δαίμοσι, ποιμήν (ποιμεν-) ποιμέσι, φρήν mind (φρεν-) φρεσί.

- N. Strictly  $\nu$  is not dropped, but since the stem of the dat. pl. is weak in form (253 a) the  $\nu$  stood originally between two consonants and should become a (35 b). Thus,  $\phi \rho a \sigma l$  in Pindar is for  $\phi \rho \rho g \sigma l$ . Attic  $\phi \rho \epsilon \sigma l$  borrows its  $\epsilon$  from  $\phi \rho \ell \nu \epsilon s$ ,  $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ , etc. So  $\pi o \iota \mu \ell \sigma l$ , for  $\pi o \iota \mu \alpha \sigma l$  from  $\pi o \iota \mu \mu g \sigma l$ , because of  $\pi o \iota \mu \ell \nu \epsilon s$ , etc.
  - c.  $\rho\sigma$  is not changed to  $\rho\rho$  (79 a).

#### ACCUSATIVE PLURAL

- **251.** a. The ending  $-\alpha s$  is produced by adding  $\nu s$  to the stem ( $\nu$  becoming  $\alpha$  between two consonants by 35 b). Thus  $\phi \psi \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha s$  is from  $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \nu s$ . This  $-\alpha s$  may be added even to  $\iota$  and  $\nu$  stems: Hom.  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \alpha s$ ,  $\ell \chi \theta \psi \alpha s$ , Hdt.  $\pi \eta \chi \epsilon \alpha s$ . Hom.  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu s$  (Cretan).
- b. The nominative pl. masc. or fem. is sometimes used instead of the accusative pl. : τριήρεις 264, πόλεις and πήχεις 268.

## ACCENT, STEM FORMATION, QUANTITY, GENDER

- **252.** Accent. Stems of one syllable accent the case ending in the genitive and dative of all numbers; and  $-\omega\nu$  and  $-\omega\nu$  take the circumflex accent. Thus,  $\phi\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\psi$  vein,  $\phi\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\beta \dot{-}\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\phi\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\beta \dot{-}\dot{\omega}\nu$ ;  $\theta\dot{\eta}\rho$  wild beast,  $\theta\eta\rho \dot{-}\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\theta\eta\rho \dot{-}\dot{\omega}\nu$ ;  $\theta\rho\dot{\rho}\dot{\omega}\nu$ ;  $\theta\rho\dot{\omega}\nu$ ;  $\theta\rho\dot{\omega}$
- a. Exceptions. The ending of the gen. dual and pl. is not accented in the case of ô, ἡ πaîs boy, girl, ô δμώs slave, ô θώs jackal, ô Τρώs Trojan, ἡ δάs torch,
  - 250 D. 1. Hom. has only -our in the gen. and dat. dual.
- 2. In the dat. pl. Hom. has  $-\sigma\iota$  (βέλεσ- $\sigma\iota$ , δέπασ- $\sigma\iota$ ), and in a few cases -εσι, reduced from -εσσι (ἀνάκτ-εσι); -σσι occurs after vowels (γένι-σσι; for γένισι?). -εσσι was added both to stems not ending in  $\sigma$  (πόδ-εσσι, βι-εσσι, ἄνδρ-εσσι, ότ-εσσι, 274 D.), and even to stems in  $\sigma$  (ἐπέ-εσσι). Hom. has also ποσσι, ποσι; Pind. χαρίτεσσι, θέμισσι. Tragedy has this -εσσι (κορύθ-εσσι), and so Aeolic, and the Doric of Corinth.

τὸ φῶς light, τὸ οὖς ear. Thus, παίδων (but παισί), Τρώων, ὅτων, etc. So ὅν being, ὅντων (305).

- b. A trisyllabic form, if contracted, does not show the accent on the case ending:  $\hat{\eta}\rho$ -os for  $\hat{\epsilon}a\rho$ -os,  $\hat{\eta}\rho$ - $\iota$  for  $\hat{\epsilon}a\rho$ - $\iota$ , from  $\tau \delta$   $\hat{\epsilon}a\rho$  spring.
- 253. Variation of Stem Formation. Many words of the third declension show traces of an original variation of stem that is due to the influence of a shifting accent which is seen in some of the cognate languages. In Greek this variation has often been obscured by the analogy of other forms. Thus  $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ , in comparison with Hom.  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ , Lat. patrum, gets its  $\epsilon$  from  $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ .
- a. Variation of stem is seen in  $\omega\nu$ , or (259);  $\eta\rho$ ,  $\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\rho\alpha$  (262);  $\eta s$ ,  $\epsilon\sigma$  (264); in stems in  $\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\iota$  (270);  $\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\nu$  (270);  $\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\eta\nu$  (278); o.,  $\omega$  (279), etc. Words in  $\omega\nu$ ,  $\eta\nu$  show a middle form o $\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\nu$ , and a weak form in  $\nu$  (250 N.).
- b. Several words ending in  $\rho$  show a parallel stem in  $\tau$ ; thus,  $\mathring{v}\delta\omega\rho$  water  $\mathring{v}\delta\alpha\tau$ -os,  $\mathring{\eta}\pi\alpha\rho$  liver  $\mathring{\eta}\pi\alpha\tau$ -os,  $\mathring{\rho}\rho\mathring{\epsilon}\tilde{a}\rho$  tank  $\mathring{\phi}\rho\mathring{\epsilon}\tilde{a}\tau$ -os (but poet.  $\mathring{\delta}\mathring{a}\mu\tilde{a}\rho$  wife  $\mathring{\delta}\mathring{a}\mu\alpha\rho\tau$ -os). The reason for this change is uncertain, but  $\alpha\tau$  is derived from  $\nu\tau$  after a consonant (35 b):  $\mathring{v}\mathring{\delta}\nu\tau$ os,  $\mathring{\eta}\pi\nu\tau$ os, ep. Lat. jecinoris, nom. jecur.  $\mathring{\eta}\pi\alpha\rho$  is probably derived from  $\mathring{\eta}\pi\alpha\rho\tau$  (133).
- c. -a  $\tau$  os was transferred from such genitives as  $\delta \nu \delta \mu \alpha \tau$  os,  $\eta \pi \alpha \tau$  os to other neuter words:  $\gamma \delta \nu \alpha \tau$  os from  $\gamma \delta \nu \nu$  knee, instead of  $\gamma \delta \nu_F$ -os, whence Hom.  $\gamma \delta \nu \nu \delta s$ . light, for  $\phi \delta s$  (stem  $\phi \alpha \epsilon \sigma$ -), has taken on the  $\tau$  inflection ( $\phi \omega \tau$ - $\delta s$ , etc.).
- d. Neuter stems in -εs show -os in the nominative. Cp. έτοs year (stem έτεσ-) with Lat. vetus, veter-is (for vetes-is).
- **254.** Variation of Quantity.—a. In poetry the quantity of  $\iota$  in words in -ts may differ from that of prose; as in tragedy  $\delta \rho \nu is$  bird,  $\kappa \delta \nu is$  dust,  $\delta \phi is$  serpent (in prose  $\delta \rho \nu is$ ,  $\kappa \delta \nu is$ ,  $\delta \phi is$ ); so in Pind.  $l\chi \theta is$  (prose  $l\chi \theta is$ ) fish.
- b. κῆρῦξ herald, Φοῖνῖξ Phoenician, μάστῖξ whip have long v and  $\iota$  in the oblique cases except the dat. pl. (κήρῦκος, Φοίνῖκι, μάστῖγα, etc.). ἀλώπηξ fox has  $\epsilon$  in the gen. ἀλώπεκος, etc., by analogy to such words as ποιμήν, ποιμένος (ἀλωπήκων occurs in Ionic). πῦρ fire has πὕρός, πὕρί, etc. (285, 25).
- 255. Gender. The gender of substantives of the third declension is frequently known by the last letters of the stem.
  - 1. Masculine are stems ending in
- a. ντ: δδούς tooth (δδοντ-), δράκων serpent (δρακοντ-).
- b. ητ, ωτ: πένης day-labourer (πενητ-), γέλως laughter (γελωτ-).
   Exceptions. Stems in -τητ (2, b): ἡ ἐσθής dress (ἐσθητ-), τὸ φῶς light (φωτ-).
- c. ν: λειμών meadow (λειμον-).
  - Exceptions. Fem.: stems in  $\gamma o \nu$ ,  $\delta o \nu$  (2, a), and  $\phi \rho \dot{\gamma} \nu$  mind  $(\phi \rho \epsilon \nu_{-})$ , is strength  $(i\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\rho}$ ts nose  $(\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\tau is$  ray  $(\dot{\alpha}\kappa\tau \bar{\iota}\nu_{-})$ ,  $\gamma\lambda\omega\chi is$  arrow-point  $(\gamma\lambda\omega-\chi \bar{\iota}\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\omega}\delta ts$  birth-pang  $(\dot{\omega}\delta \bar{\iota}\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}i\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$  image  $(\dot{\epsilon}i\kappa\sigma\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\eta}i\dot{\omega}\nu$  shore  $(\dot{\eta}i\sigma\nu_{-})$ ,  $\chi\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$  earth  $(\chi\theta\delta\nu_{-})$ ,  $\chi\iota\dot{\omega}\nu$  snow  $(\chi\iota\sigma\nu_{-})$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\upsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$  halcyon  $(\dot{\alpha}\lambda\kappa\upsilon\sigma\nu_{-})$ , etc.,  $\dot{\delta}$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$  goose  $(\chi\eta\nu_{-})$ .
- **d.**  $\rho$ : θήρ wild beast (θηρ-), φώρ thief (φωρ-).
  - Exceptions. Fem.:  $\chi \epsilon l \rho \ hand \ (\chi \epsilon \rho -), \ \kappa \eta \rho \ fate \ (\kappa \eta \rho -), \ \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \ belly \ (\gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho -);$  neut.: stems in  $a \rho \ (3, a), \ \pi \hat{v} \rho \ fire \ (\pi v \rho -),$  and the indeclinable  $\pi \epsilon h \omega \rho \ monster, \ \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \omega \rho \ (\text{Hom.}) \ to ken.$  etc.
- e. ευ: γονεύς parent, φονεύς murderer.

## 2. Feminine are stems ending in

- a. γον, δον: σταγών drop (σταγον-), χελιδών swallow (χελίδον-).
- b. τητ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ : κακότης baseness (κακότητ-), ξρις strife (ξριδ-), ξλπίς hope (ξλπίδ-). Exceptions. Masc.: πούς foot (ποδ-),  $\delta$ , ή όρνις bird (δρνίθ-).
- c. ι, υ with nom. in -is, -us: πόλι-s city, loχύ-s strength.
  - Exceptions. Masc.:  $\delta\phi\iota$ -s serpent,  $\xi\chi\iota$ -s viper,  $\delta\rho\chi\iota$ -s testicle;  $\beta\delta\tau\rho\nu$ -s cluster of grapes,  $l\chi\theta\delta$ -s fish,  $\mu\hat{\nu}$ -s mouse,  $\nu\epsilon\kappa\nu$ -s corpse,  $\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\chi\nu$ -s ear of corn,  $\pi\epsilon\kappa\kappa\nu$ -s axe,  $\pi\hat{\eta}\chi\nu$ -s fore-arm; and  $\delta$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$   $\sigma\hat{\nu}$ -s or  $\hat{\nu}$ -s swine.
- d. οι: ήχώ echo, πειθώ persuasion.

## 3. Neuter are stems ending in

- a. ατ, αρ: πράγμα thing (πράγματ-), νέκταρ nectar (νεκταρ-). But ὁ ψάρ starling.
- b. as, es (with nom. in -os): κρέας flesh (κρεασ-), γένος race (γενεσ-).
- c. ι, υ with nom. in -ι,-υ: σίναπι mustard, άστυ city.
  - N. No stem ending in  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\phi$  or  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\chi$  is neuter.

# 256. STEMS IN A LABIAL $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$ OR IN A PALATAL $(\kappa, \gamma, \chi)$

#### ή θρίξ δ φύλαξ ή φάλαγξ ό ή αἴξ ή φλέψ δ Αίθίοψ (alγ-) (τριχ-, 125 f) $(\phi \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \gamma -)$ $(\phi \lambda \epsilon \beta -)$ (φυλακ-) $(Al\theta \omega \pi -)$ hairveinwatchmanphalanxgoat Ethiopian αľξ θρίξ φάλαγξ φλέ ψ φύλαξ Nom. Αίθίοψ φάλαγγ-os αίγ-ός τριχ-ός φύλακ-ος Α ιθίοπ-ος φλεβ-ός Gen. ały-í τριχ-ί φγέβ−ί φύλακ-ι φάλαγγ-ι Dat. Α ιθίοπ-ι αΐγ-α τρίχ-α φύλακ-α φάλαγγ-α φλέβ-α Acc. Αὶθίοπ-α φάλανξ αľĚ θρίξ φλέψ φύλαξ Voc. Αίθίοψ DUAL αἶγ-ε τρίχ-€ N. A.V. Allion-F φλέβ-ε φύλακ-ε φάλαγγ-ε αίγ-οίν τριχ-οίν φαλάγγ-οιν φλεβ-οιν φυλάκ-οιν G. D. Αίθιόπ-οιν PLURAL αΐγ-ες τρίχ-ες φάλαγγ-ες φλέβ-ες φύλακ-εs N. V. Α ὶθίοπ-ες τριχ-ῶν φγέβ-ών φυλάκ-ων φαλάγγ-ων αίγ-ῶν Αίθιόπ-ων Gen. al $\xi i(v)$ $\theta \rho \iota \xi i(v)$ φύλα ξι(ν) φάλαγξι(ν) φλεψί(ν) Dat. Αίθίο ψι(ν) φάλανν-ας aly-as τρίχ-ας φλέβ-ας φύλακ-ας Αιθίοπ-ας Acc.

Masculine: κλώψ thief (κλωπ-), γόψ vulture (γῦπ-), "Αραψ Arab ('Αραβ-), θώρᾶξ breastplate (θωρᾶκ-), δυνξ nail (ὀνυχ-). Feminine: κλῖμαξ ladder (κλῖ-μακ-), μάστῖξ whip (μαστῖγ-, 254 b), σάλπιγξ trumpet (σαλπιγγ-), κατῆλιψ upper story (κατηλιφ-).

# STEMS IN A DENTAL $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$

## 257. A. MASCULINES AND FEMININES

#### SINGULAR

			SINGULA.	ı		
	$\delta$ θής $(\theta \eta  au -)$ $serf$	ή ἐλπίς (ἐλπιδ-) hope	ή χάρις (χαριτ-) grace	ό ἡ ὄρνῖς (ὀρνῖθ-) bird	<b>ό γίγας</b> (γιγαντ-) giant	<b>ὁ γέρων</b> (γεροντ-) old man
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc. Voc.	θής θητ-ός θητ-ί θ <del>ή</del> τ-α <b>θή</b> ς	έλπίς έλπίδ-os έλπίδ-ι έλπίδ-α έλπί	χάρις χάριτ-ος χάριτ-ι χάριν χάρι	ὄρνῖς ὄρνῖθ-ος ὄρνῖθ-ι ὄρνῖν ὄρνῖ	γίγ <del>α</del> ς γίγαντ-ος γίγαντ-ι γίγαντ-α γίγαν	γέρων γέροντ-ος γέροντ-ι γέροντ-α γέρον
			DUAL			ř
N. A. V. G. D.	θητ-ε θητ-οι̂ν	ἐλπίδ-ε ἐλπίδ-οιν	χάριτ-ε χαρίτ-οιν	ὄρνῖθ-ε ὀρνέθ-οιν	γίγαντ-ε γιγάντ-οιν	γέροντ-ε γερόντ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	θῆτ-ες θητ-ῶν θησί(ν) θῆτ-ας	ἐλπίδ-ες ἐλπίδ-ων ἐλπίσι(ν) ἐλπίδ-ας	χάριτ-ες χαρίτ-ων χάρισι(ν) χάριτ-ας	ὄρνῖθ-ες ὀρνΐθ-ων ὄρνῖσι(ν) ὄρνῖθ-ας	γίγαντ-ες γιγάντ-ων γίγ <del>α</del> σι(ν) γίγαντ-ας	γέροντ-ες γερόντ-ων γέρουσι(ν) γέροντ-ας

Masculine:  $\gamma$ έλως laughter ( $\gamma$ έλωτ-), έλέφ $\bar{a}$ ς elephant (έλεφ $\alpha$ ντ-), λέων lion ( $\lambda$ έοντ-), δδούς tooth ( $\dot{\delta}$ δοντ-), νος. δδούς. Feminine: έσθής elothing (έσθητ-), έρις strife (έριδ-), ἀσπίς shield (ἀσπιδ-), πατρίς fatherland (πατριδ-), κόρυς helmet (κορυθ-).

a. In  $\pi o \dot{v} s \ foot$ , Doric  $\pi \dot{\omega} s \ (stem \ \pi o \delta -) \ o v \ is irregular.$ 

# 258. B. NEUTERS WITH STEMS IN $\tau$ AND IN $\bar{\alpha}\tau$ VARYING WITH $\alpha s$

#### SINGULAR

	<b>σώμα</b> body (σωματ-)	ήπαρ $liver$ $(ηπατ-)$	τέρας $portent$ $(τερατ-)$	•	o <b>as</b> ho <b>r</b> n ūτ-, κερασ-)	
N. A. V Gen. Dat.	. σῶμα σώματ-ος σώματ-ι	ήπαρ ήπατ-ος ήπατ-ι	τέρας τέρατ-ος τέρατ-ι	κέρας κέρᾶτ-ος κέρᾶτ-ι	(κέρα-os) (κέρα-ϊ)	κέρως κέραι

<sup>257</sup> D.  $\chi\rho\omega$ s skin  $(\chi\rho\omega\tau$ -) and some other words often show a stem with no τ. Thus, Hom.  $\chi\rho$ oós,  $\chi\rho$ ot (also Hdt.),  $\chi\rho$ óa, and also, but rarely,  $\chi\rho\omega\tau$ όs,  $\chi\rho\omega\tau$ a. Hom. has  $i\delta\rho\hat{\varphi}$ ,  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\psi$ ,  $\epsilon\rho\psi$  for Att.  $i\delta\rho\hat{\omega}\tau$ ι ( $i\delta\rho\omega$ s sweat),  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega\tau$ ι ( $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega$ s laughter),  $\epsilon\rho\omega\tau$ ι ( $\epsilon\rho\omega$ s love). Hom. has also acc.  $i\delta\rho\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega$  (or  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu$ ),  $\epsilon\rho\omega$ r (from  $\epsilon\rho$ os). Some stems in  $-\iota\delta$  are generally  $\iota$  stems in Ionic, Doric, and Aeolic:  $\Theta\epsilon\tau\iota$ s,  $\Theta\epsilon\tau\iota$ os (but  $\Theta\epsilon\tau\iota$ δos  $\Theta$  370),  $\Pi$ ά $\rho\iota$ os.

**258 D**. The other dialects rarely show the  $\tau$  forms. Hom. has  $\tau$ έραs,  $\tau$ έραα ( $\tau$ είρεα),  $\tau$ εράων,  $\tau$ εράεσσι, κέρας, κέρας, κέραι, κέρα, κεράων, κέρασι and κεράεσσι.

## B. NEUTERS WITH STEMS IN τ AND IN āτ VARYING WITH ας — Concluded

#### DUAL

	<b>σῶμα</b> body (σωματ-)	ηπαρ $liver$ $( ηπατ - )$	τέρας $portent$ $(τερατ-)$	•	as horn τ-, κερασ-)
N. A. V. G. D.	σώματ-ε σωμάτ-οιν	ήπατ-ε ἡπάτ-οιν	τέρατ-ε τεράτ-οιν	κέρ <del>α</del> τ-ε κεράτ-οιν	(κέρα-ε) <b>κέρ</b> ᾶ (κερά-οιν) <b>κερ</b> ῷν
			PLURAL		
N. V. Gen. Dat.	σώματ-α σωμάτ-ων σώμασι(ν)	ἥπατ-α ἡπάτ-ων ἥπασι(ν)	τέρατ-α τεράτ-ων τέρασι(ν)	κέρᾶτ-α κεράτ-ων κέρᾶσι(ν)	(κέρα-α) <b>κέρ</b> α (κερά-ων) <b>κερ</b> ῶν
Acc.	σώματ-α	ήπατ-α	τέρατ-α	κέρᾶτ-α	(κέρα-α) <b>κέρ</b> ᾶ

δνομα name (δνοματ-), στόμα mouth (στοματ ), μέλι honey (μελιτ-), γάλα milk (γαλακτ-, 133 b), φῶs light (φωτ-), κῆρ heart (for κηρδ-, 133 b).

- a. Stems in as (264) drop  $\sigma$  before the endings and contract ao,  $a\omega$  to  $\omega$ , and aa to  $\bar{a}$ .
- b.  $\kappa\epsilon\rho as$ , meaning wing of an army, is declined from the stem  $\kappa\epsilon\rho a\sigma$   $(\epsilon\pi i \kappa\epsilon\rho\omega s in single file)$ ; in the meaning horn, from the stem  $\kappa\epsilon\rho\bar{a}\tau$ -.
- c. For the inflection ηπαρ, ήπατ-ος, see 253 b. Of like inflection are ἄλειφαρ fat, φρέāρ cistern, δέλεαρ bait, and poetic ημαρ day, είδαρ food, πείραρ end.
- d.  $\tau \epsilon \rho as$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon \rho as$  form their nominative from a stem in s. So, too,  $\pi \epsilon \rho as$  end  $\pi \epsilon \rho a\tau$ -os,  $\phi \hat{\omega} s$  light (contracted from  $\phi \hat{\omega} os$ )  $\phi \omega \tau$ - $\delta s$  (253 c).

## **259.** STEMS IN A LIQUID $(\lambda, \rho)$ OR A NASAL $(\nu)$ .

#### SINGULAR

	$\delta$ θήρ $(\theta \eta \rho -)$ wild beast	δ βήτωρ (βητορ-) orator	ἡ ῥῖs (ῥῖν-) nose	ἡγεμών (ἡγεμον-) leader	<b>ἀγών</b> (ἀγων-) contest	<b>ποιμήν</b> (ποιμεν-) shepherd
Nom. Gen.	θήρ θηρ-ός	ρήτωρ ρήτορ-ος	ρίς ριν-ός	ἡγεμών ἡγεμόν-ος	άγών άγῶν-os	ποιμήν ποιμέν-ος
Dat.	θηρ-ί	δήτορ-ι	ριν-ί	ἡγεμόν-ι	άγῶν-ι	ποιμέν-ι
Acc.	θῆρ-α	<b>ρήτορ-α</b>	ριν-α	ἡγεμόν-α	άγῶν-α	ποιμέν-α
Voc.	θήρ	ρήτορ	ρτs	ἡγεμών	ἀγών	ποιμήν

Hdt. has ε for α before a vowel (cp. 264 D. 3) in τέρεος, τέρεα (also τέρατος, τέρατα), κέρεος, κέρει, κέρεα, κερέων. Hom. has πείρας πείρατος for πέρας πέρατος. From φάος (φόως), whence φῶς, he has dat. φάει, pl. φάεα. φάος is used in tragedy.

259 D. Late Greek shows δελφίν, ρίν, θίν shore (Hom. θίs). ἔλμνε worm in Hippocrates has its ν from the oblique cases. Hom. has ἡέρι, ἡέρα from ἀήρ air; from Κρονίων Hom. has Κρονίωνος and Κρονίονος. μάκαρς is Doric for μάκαρ happy. Pind. has φρασί (250 N.). Ionic μείς, Doric μής are from μενς for μηνς (40, 37 D. 1, 2). Aeolic gen. μῆννος is from μηνσ-ος.

## STEMS IN A LIQUID $(\lambda, \rho)$ OR A NASAL $(\nu)$ — Concluded

#### DUAL

	ό θήρ	<b>ὁ ῥήτωρ</b>	ἡ ῥῖs	ἡγεμών	άγών	ποιμήν
	(θηρ-)	(ῥητορ-)	(ῥῖν-)	(ἡγεμον-)	(ἀγων-)	(ποιμεν-)
	wild beast	orator	nose	leader	contest	shepherd
N. A	••	ρήτορ-ε	ρ̂ῖν−ε	ἡγεμόν−ε	άγῶν-ε	ποιμέν-ε
G. D		ρητόρ-οιν	ρఄῖν−οῖν	ἡγεμόν−οιν	ἀγών-οιν	ποιμέν-οιν

#### PLURAL

N. V.	θῆρ-ες	ρήτορ-εs	ρι̂ν-ες	ἡγεμό <i>ν−</i> ες	άγῶν-ες	ποιμέν-ες
Gen.	θηρ-ῶν	<b>ρ</b> ητόρ-ων	ρίτν-ῶν	ἡγεμόν-ω <i>ν</i>	άγών-ων ຶ	ποιμέν-ων
Dat.	θηρ-σί(ν)	<b>ρήτορ-σι(ν</b> )	$\dot{ ho}$ ῖ $\sigma$ ί $( u)$	ἡγεμόσι(ν)	άγῶσι(ν)	ποιμέσι(ν)
Acc.	θῆρ-ας	ρήτορ-as	<b>ρ</b> ιν-ας	ἡγεμόν-α3	άγῶν-ας	ποιμέν-ας

- δ alθήρ upper air (alθερ-), ὁ κρᾶτήρ mixing bowl (κρᾶτηρ-), ὁ φώρ thief (φωρ-), τὸ νέκταρ nectar (νεκταρ-), ὁ δελφίs dolphin (δελφίν-), ὁ "Ελλην Greek ('Ελλην-), ὁ δαίμων divinity (δαιμον-), νος. δαΐμον, 249 b. The only λ stem is ὁ ἄλς salt (pl. grains of salt); ἡ ἄλς (poetic) means sea. ὁ μήν month was originally a signa stem (μηνσ-, cp. mensis).
- **260.** Accusative Sing.— Άπόλλω and Ποσειδῶ are found as well as ᾿Απόλλωνα, Ποσειδῶνα. The shorter forms are regular in inscriptions, and occur especially in expressions of swearing after  $\nu\dot{\eta}$  τόν, μὰ τόν (1596 b).
- **261.** Vocative. σωτήρ preserver, 'Απόλλων, Ποσειδών (from Ποσειδέων, -άων, -άρων) have voc. σῶτερ, "Απολλον, Πόσειδον with recessive accent. Recessive accent also occurs in compound proper names in -ων; as 'Αγαμέμνων, 'Αγάμεμνον; Αὐτομέδων, Αὐτόμεδον; Φιλήμων, Φιλήμον; but not in those in -φρων (Εὐθύφρον). Λακεδαίμων has Λακεδαίμον.

## STEMS IN $\epsilon \rho$ VARYING WITH $\rho$

**262.** Several words in  $-\tau\eta\rho$  show three forms of stem gradation:  $-\tau\eta\rho$  strong,  $-\tau\epsilon\rho$  middle,  $-\tau\rho$  weak.  $\rho$  between consonants becomes  $\rho\alpha$  (35 b). The vocative has recessive accent.  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$  man has the weak form in  $\rho$  even before vowels; between  $\nu$  and  $\rho$ .  $\delta$  is inserted by 130.

<sup>260</sup> D. κυκεών potion usually has κυκεώ for κυκεώνα.

**<sup>262</sup> D.** Poetry often has πατέρος, πατέρι, μητέρος, μητέρι, etc. Poetical are πατρων; θυγατέρι, θύγατρα, θύγατρες, θυγατρων, θυγατέρεσσι, θύγατρας, γαστέρος, etc.; and ἀνέρος, ἀνέρι, ἀνέρα, ἀνέρες, ἀνέρων, ἀνέρας all with long a. Hom. has  $\mathring{a}$ νδρεσσι and ἀνδράσι (with -ασι only in this word),  $\mathring{\Delta}$ ήμητρος and  $\mathring{\Delta}$ ημήτερος.

#### SINGULAR

	ό πατήρ	ή μήτηρ	ή θυγάτηρ	ό άνήρ
	$(\pi \alpha  au \epsilon  ho$ - $)$	$(\mu\eta au\epsilon ho-)$	(θυγατερ-)	$(\dot{a} u\epsilon ho$ - or $\dot{a} u(\delta) ho$ -)
	father	mother	daughter	man
Nom.	πατήρ	μήτηρ	θυγάτηρ	άνήρ
Gen.	πατρ-ός	μητρ-ός	θυγατρ-ός	άνδρ-ός
Dat.	πατρ-ί	μητρ-ί	θυγατρ-ί	άνδρ-ί
Acc.	πατέρ-α	μητέρ-α	θυγατέρ-α	ἄνδρ-α
Voc.	πάτερ	μῆτερ	θύγατερ	ἄνερ
		DUAL		
N. A. V.	πατέρ-ε	μητέρ-ε	θυγατέρ-ε	ἄνδρ-ϵ
G. D.	πατέρ-οιν	μητέρ-οιν	θυγατέρ-οιν	άνδρ-οῖν
		PLURAL		*
N. V.	πατέρ-ες	μητέρ-ες	θυγατέρ-ες	ἄνδρ-ες
Gen.	πατέρ-ων	μητέρ-ων	θυγατέρ-ων	άνδρ-ών
Dat.	πατρά-σι(ν)	μητρά-σι(ν)	θυγατρά-σι(ν)	άνδρά-σι(ν)
Acc.	πατέρ-ας	μητέρ-ας	θυγατέρ-ας	ανδρ-ας

- a. The accent in the weak forms of  $\mu\eta\tau\eta\rho$ ,  $\theta\nu\gamma\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta\rho$  in the gen. and dat. sing. follows that of  $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\dot{\delta}$ s,  $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\dot{\delta}$ .
- b. γαστήρ belly, has γαστρός, etc. Δημήτηρ is inflected Δήμητρος, Δήμητρι, Δήμητρα, Δήμητερ.
  - c. ἀστήρ star has gen. ἀστέρος, dat. ἀστέρι, dat. pl. ἀστράσι.

## STEMS IN SIGMA (es, as, os)

- **263.** Stems in sigma are contracted where  $\sigma$  falls out between the vowel of the stem and the vowel of the ending (120). Thus,  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu c c$ , gen.  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu c (\sigma)$ -os  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu c \nu c$ , dat.  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu c (\sigma)$ - $\iota$   $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu c \iota$ , cp. Lat. genus gener-is (for genes-is), gener-i.
- a. The masculine and feminine accusative plural, when it is contracted, borrows the form of the contracted nominative plural. - $\epsilon_{is}$  is not derived from - $\epsilon_{as}$ . In the dative plural the union of  $\sigma$  of the stem and  $\sigma$  of the ending produces  $\sigma\sigma$ , which is reduced to  $\sigma$  without lengthening the preceding vowel (107).
- b. Masculine stems in εs with the nominative in -ηs are proper names; the feminine τριήρηs trireme is an adjective used substantively (properly, triply fitted; ἡ τριήρηs (ναῦs) 'ship with three banks of oars').
- c. Neuters with stems in es have -os in the nominative, accusative, and vocative singular; neuters with stems in as have -as in these cases.
  - d. Some stems in as have also a stem in  $a\tau$  or  $\tilde{a}\tau$  (258).

264.	<b>ό Σωκράτη</b> ς <i>S</i> (Σωκρατεσ			σθένης <i>Dei</i> (Δημοσθενεσ	
Nom.	Σωκράτι	ŋs		Δημοσθένη	ns
Gen.	(Σωκράτε-os)	Σωκράτους	(Δημοσθέν	••	ημοσθένους
Dat.	(Σωκράτε-ι)	Σωκράτει	(Δημοσθέν		ημοσθένει
Acc.	(Σωκράτε-α)	Σωκράτη	(Δημοσθέν	<i>ϵ-α</i> ) Δ	
Voc.	Σώκρατε	s		Δημόσθεν	
		SINGULAR		**	
	ή τριήρης	τὸ γέι	/05	τὸ γέ	oas
	(τριηρέσ-)	(γενεσ		(γερα	•
	trireme	race	3	pri	
Nom.	τριήρης	γένος	\$	γέρι	as
Gen.	(τριήρε-os) τριήρους	•		(γέρα-os)	
Dat.	$( au ho\iota\eta ho\epsilon$ -ι) τριήρει	(γένε-ι)	•	(γέρα-ι)	
Acc.	(τριήρε-α) τριήρη	γένο	s	γέρο	
Voc.	τριῆρες	γένος		γέρο	
		DUAL		•	
N. A. V.	$( au ho\iota\acute{\eta} ho\epsilon$ - $\epsilon)$ τρι $\acute{\eta}$ ρ $\epsilon$ ι	$(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon - \epsilon)$	γένει	$(\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha$ - $\epsilon)$	γέρα.
G. D.	$( au ho$ ιηρ $\epsilon$ -οι $ u$ ) τριήροι $ u$	(γενέ-οιν)	γενοΐν	(γερά-οιν)	
		PLURAL			
N. V.	(τριήρε-ες) τριήρεις	$(\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon - a)$	γένη	(γέρα-α)	γέρα
Gen.	(τριηρέ-ων) τριήρων	(γενέ-ων)	 γενῶν	(γερά-ων)	
Dat.	(τριήρεσ-σι) τριήρεσι				γέμασι(ν)
Acc.	τριήρεις	(γένε-α)	γένη	(γέρα-α)	

Δωγένης Diogenes, Ἱπποκράτης Hippocrates. Neuters: έτος year, εῦρος width, ξίφος sword, τεῖχος wall, γῆρας old age, κρέας flesh (for κέρας horn see 258).

- a. Proper names in  $-\eta s$  have recessive accent in the vocative.
- b. Proper names in  $-\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s$ ,  $-\kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s$ ,  $-\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta s$ , etc., may have an accus. in  $-\eta \nu$  derived from the first declension. Thus,  $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ , 'Αριστοφάνην, like 'Ατρείδην (222, 282 N.). But names in  $-\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s$  (265) have only  $-\epsilon \tilde{\alpha}$ .
  - c. Proper names in -7s often show -60s, -6a in the lyric parts of tragedy.
- **d**. Neuters in -os often show open forms (especially  $-\epsilon\omega\nu$ ) in Attic poetry.  $-\epsilon\omega\nu$  is frequent in Xenophon.
  - e. τριήροιν and τριήρων have irregular accent by analogy to the other forms.
- f. A preceding  $\rho$  does not prevent the contraction of  $\epsilon a$  to  $\eta$ , as  $\delta \rho \eta$  from  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \rho os$  mountain (cp. 31.1).
- g. The dat. sing. of  $\alpha$ s stems is properly  $-\alpha$ i; but  $-\alpha$  is often written on the authority of the ancient grammarians. This  $\alpha$  may possibly be due to the analogy of  $\alpha$  in  $\bar{\alpha}$  stems.

**<sup>264</sup> D. 1.** Hom. uses the open or the closed forms according to convenience. -eus occurs in the gen. of a few words in -os  $(\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu s)$ ;  $-\epsilon \omega \nu$  is often a monosylGREEK GRAM. — 5

**265.** When -εσ- of the stem is preceded by ε, the forms are inflected as follows: τὸ δέος fear (δεεσ-), Περικλής from Περικλέης Pericles (Περικλεσ-):

Nom.		δέος	(Περικλέης)	Περικλής
Gen.	$(\delta \epsilon \epsilon - \sigma s)$	δέους	(Περικλέε-os)	Περικλέους
Dat.	(δέε-ι)	δέει	(Περικλέε-ι)	$\Pi$ ερικ $\lambda$ ε $\hat{\iota}$
Acc.	•	δέος	(Περικλέε-α)	$\Pi$ $\epsilon$ ρικ $\lambda$ $\epsilon$ $ar{\mathfrak{a}}$
Voc.		δέος	(Περίκλεες)	Περίκλεις

So 'Ηρακλής Heracles, Σοφοκλής Sophocles.

- a. After  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \alpha$  contracts to  $\bar{\alpha}$  (56). On the contraction of  $-\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma$ , see 55.
- b. déos is uncontracted because the form was originally decos (58).

#### STEMS IN OS

266. ή aἰδώς shame is the only os stem in Attic. It is inflected in the singular only. Nom. aἰδώς, Gen. aἰδοῦς (αἰδό-ος), Dat. aἰδοῖ (αἰδό-ι), Acc. aἰδῶ (αἰδό-α), Voc. aἰδῶς.

# STEMS IN $\omega(f)$

267. Stems in  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$  have lost vau and appear as  $\omega$  stems. This  $\omega$  contracts with the case endings in the dative and accusative singular and in the nominative and accusative plural. Stems in  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$  are masculine.

lable (60), as is the accus. sing. and pl.  $-\epsilon a$  from nom.  $-\eta s$  or  $-\sigma s$ . Hdt. has open  $-\epsilon \sigma s$ ,  $-\epsilon a$ ,  $-\epsilon \sigma s$  (?),  $-\epsilon a$ . In the dat. pl. Hom. has  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$  (250 D. 2) from  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \sigma s$  missile.

2. Stems in as are generally uncontracted in Hom. (γήραος, γήραϊ), but we find -αι in the dat. sing., κρεῶν and κρειῶν in the gen. pl. In the nom. and acc. pl. a is short (γέρα), and this is sometimes the case even in Attic poetry (κρέα). The explanation is obscure (γέρα does not stand for γέρα). Hom. has δέπασσι and δεπάεσσι (δέπας cup).

3. In Hom. and Hdt. several words in -as show ε for a before a vowel (cp. δρέω in Hdt. for δράω). Hom.: οδδαs ground, οδδεος, οδδεῖ and οδδει; κῶαs fleece, κώεα, κώεσι; Hdt.: γέρας, γέρεος, but κρέας, κρέως, κρεῶν. In Attic poetry: βρέτας image, βρέτεος, βρέτει, etc. Cp. 258 D.

**265** D. Hom. has  $\kappa \lambda \epsilon a$  (for  $\kappa \lambda \epsilon a'$ ?), and from  $-\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta}s$ :  $-\hat{\eta}os$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}\iota$ ; Hdt.:  $-\epsilon os$  (for  $-\epsilon e os$ ),  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}$ ,  $-\epsilon a$ . For  $-\hat{\eta}os$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}a$  the open  $-\epsilon e os$ ,  $-\epsilon \epsilon a$  may be read. Attic poetry often

has the open forms -έης (also in prose inscrip.), -έει, -εες.

266 D. Hom. and Ion.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\eta}\omega s$  dawn ( $\dot{\eta}\omega\sigma$ -) is inflected like ald  $\dot{\omega}s$ . For ald  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\omega$  we may read ald  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\dot{\omega}$  and some other open forms in Hom. The Attic form  $\ddot{\varepsilon}\omega s$  is declined according to 238; but the accus. is  $\ddot{\varepsilon}\omega$  (238 d). Hom. has  $\dot{\omega}s$  from  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\omega}s$  (238 d). Cp. 257 D.

267 D. Hom. has ήρωϊ (for ήρω read ἡρῶϊ), ήρωα (or ήρω'), ήρωες ήρωας, Μίνωα and Μίνω. Hdt. has the gen. Μίνω and Μίνωος, the acc. πάτρων, ήρων, but μήτρωα.

#### SINGULAR

#### DUAT.

#### PLURAL

Nom. ηρως hero	N. A. V.	ท็ດພ∽€	N. V. ήρω-ες (rarely ήρως)
Gen. ἥρω-os	G. D.	ήρώ-οιν	Gen. ἡρώ-ων
Dat. ἥρω-ι (usually ἥρφ)		**	Dat. ἥρω-σι(ν)
Acc. ήρω-α (usually ήρω)			Acc. ήρω-ας (rarely ήρως)
Voc. nows			

Voc. ηρως

Tρώs Trojan (252 a), πάτρωs father's brother, μήτρως mother's brother, δμώς slave (poetic, cp. 252 a).

a. Forms of the Attic second declension (237) are gen.  $\eta\rho\omega$ ,  $Mt\nu\omega$ , acc.  $\eta\rho\omega\nu$ ; dual  $\eta\rho\omega\nu$  (on an inscription).

#### STEMS IN & AND U

**268.** Most stems in  $\iota$  and some stems in  $\upsilon$  show the pure stem vowel only in the nominative, accusative, and vocative singular. In the other cases they show an  $\epsilon$  in place of  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$ , and  $-\omega$ s instead of  $-\sigma$ s in the genitive singular. Contraction takes place when this  $\epsilon$  stands before  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , or  $\alpha$  of the case ending.

#### SINGULAR

		us city <b>ὁ πῆχυ</b> ς λι-) (πη	forearm χυ-)		rυ town ἡ (τυ-)	σ <b>ῦς</b> 80 <b>w</b> (συ-)	<b>ὁ ἰχθύς</b> fish (ἰχθυ-)
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc. Voc.	(πόλε-ι)	πόλει $(πήχε-ι)$	πῆχυ-ς πήχε-ως πήχει πῆχυ-ν πῆχυ	(ἄστε-ι)	ἄστυ ἄστε-ως ἄστει ἄστυ ἄστυ	σῦ-ς συ-ός συ-‡ σῦ-ν σῦ	ἰχθύ-ς ἰχθύ-ος ἰχθύ-ϊ ἰχθύ-ν ἰχθύ
			DUA	AL			
N.A.V. G. D.		πόλει $(\pi \acute{\eta} \chi \epsilon - \epsilon)$ πολέ-οιν				σύ-€ συ-0ῖν	ιχθύ-ε ἰχθύ-οιν
	•		PLUF	RAL			
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	<b>(</b> πόλε-εs)	πόλε-σι(ν)	πήχε-ων πήχε-σι	(v)	ἄστε-ων	συ-ῶν	ἰχθύ-ες ἰχθύ-ων ἰχθύ-σι(ν) ἰχθῦς

**<sup>268</sup> D.** 1. stems. a. Doric, Aeolic, and New Ionic retain the  $\iota$  stem without variation in all cases:  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ ,  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ ,  $\pi\delta\lambda\bar{\iota}$  (from  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota \iota$ ) and rarely  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon \iota$  in Hdt.,  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota v$ ,  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota$ ,  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota v$ ,

#### 269. Stems in and v are of two kinds: --

- a. Stems in ι, with genitive in -εωs, as (masc.) μάντις seer, ἔχις viper; (fem.)
   πόλις city, ποίησις poetry, δύναμις power, στάσις faction, ὕβρις outrage.
   Neuter nominatives in -ι are not used in classical prose.
  - b. Stems in ι, with genitive in -ιοs, as ὁ κίs weevil, gen. κῖ-όs, dat. κῖ-ί; and so in proper names in -ιs, as Λύγδαμις Lygdamis, gen. Λυγδάμιοs.
- a. Stems in v, with genitive in -vos; as (masc.) μôs mouse, βότρυς cluster
  of grapes, lxθός fish; (fem.) δρῶς oak, ὀφρός eyebrow, loxός force.
  - Stems in v, with genitive in -εωs: (masc.) πηχυς forearm, πέλεκυς axe; (neut.) ἄστυ town.
- N. 1. In the nom., acc., and voc. sing. barytone stems in v have short v; oxytone substantives (usually) and monosyllables have  $\bar{v}$ ; and monosyllables circumflex the  $\bar{v}$  ( $\sigma \hat{v}_i$ ,  $\sigma \hat{v}_i$ ).
- N. 2.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\xi \gamma \chi \epsilon \lambda \nu s$  cel follows  $i \chi \theta \dot{v} s$  in the singular ( $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu$ -os, etc.), but  $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \nu s$  in the plural ( $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ , etc.). But this does not hold for Aristotle.
- **270.** Stems in  $\iota$  and  $\upsilon$  vary with stronger stems, of which  $\epsilon$  in the cases other than nom., acc., and voc. sing. is a survival. Thus:
- a.  $\iota$ ,  $\upsilon$ , as in  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota$ -s,  $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi \upsilon$ -s.
- b. ει, ευ, which before vowels lost their ι and υ (43), as in πολε(ι)-ι, πολε(ι)-ες, πηχε(υ)-ες; which contract to πόλει, πόλεις, πήχεις.
- c. There is also a stem in  $\eta$ , as in Hom.  $\pi\delta\lambda\eta$ -os (268 D. 1, c), whence  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s.
- N. 1.  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ -os in Attic poetry for the sake of the metre is due to the analogy of  $\nu$  stems with gen. in  $-\epsilon$ -os  $(\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ -os, 297). Hom.  $\pi\dot{\eta}\chi\epsilon$ -os is the regular form (from  $\pi\eta\chi\epsilon(\underline{\nu})$ -os). Attic  $\pi\dot{\eta}\chi\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s follows  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\omega$ s.  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\sigma\iota$  and  $\pi\dot{\eta}\chi\epsilon$ - $\sigma\iota$  for  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota$ - $\sigma\iota$  and  $\pi\dot{\eta}\chi\nu$ - $\sigma\iota$  are due to the analogy of forms from stems in  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\nu$  ( $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\omega\nu$ ,  $\pi\dot{\eta}\chi\epsilon$ - $\omega\nu$ , etc.).
  - N. 2. The dual πόλεε occurs in some Mss.
- **271.** Accent. Final - $\omega$ s of the genitive singular does not prevent the acute from standing on the antepenult (163 a). Thus  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s,  $\pi\eta\chi\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s,  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s.  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s retains the accent of the earlier  $\pi\delta\lambda\eta$ - $\sigma$ s, which, by transference of quantity (34), became  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon$ - $\omega$ s. The accent of the gen. pl. follows that of the gen. sing.
- 272. Accusative plural.  $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon_{is}$ ,  $\pi \eta \chi \epsilon_{is}$  are borrowed from the nominative.  $l\chi \theta v_{is}$  is from  $l\chi \theta v_{is}$ .  $l\chi \theta v_{is}$  occurs in late Greek. Cp. 251 a.

b. Hom. has πόλις, πόλιος, πόλι, πόλει or -ι (for which some read πόλι, as κόν  $\tilde{\iota}$ ; πόσε is correct) and πτόλε, πόλιν, πόλι; pl. πόλιες, πολίων, πόλεσι (some read instead πόλισι) or πολίεσσι (250 D. 2) ἐπάλξεσιν, πόλις or πόλιας (πόλεις appears in some texts).

c. Hom. has also forms with  $\eta$ :  $\pi \delta \lambda \eta \sigma s$ ,  $\pi \delta \lambda \eta \iota$ ,  $\pi \delta \lambda \eta \sigma s$ ,  $\pi \delta \lambda \eta \sigma s$ .

<sup>2.</sup> v stems. a. Ionic, Doric, and Aeolic have the open forms πήχεες, ἄστεϊ, ἄστεα; in the gen. sing. -os, never -ωs (πήχεος, ἄστεος). In the dat. sing. of words of more than one syllable Hom. has -υϊ or -υι, as νέκυι (νέκυς corpse), but Hdt. does not show -υι.

b. The gen. pl. has the regular accent  $(\pi\eta\chi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ,  $d\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ). On the dat.  $\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}$ κεσσι, νέκυσσι,  $\pi\dot{\iota}\tau\nu\sigma\sigma\iota$  (some would read νέκῦσι,  $\pi\dot{\iota}\tau\bar{\nu}\sigma\iota$ ), νεκύεσσι, see 250 D. 2. Hom. has accus.  $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}$ s and  $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}$ as, Hdt. has  $i\chi\theta\dot{\nu}$ as very rarely.

- **273.** Contraction.  $-l\chi\theta\hat{v}$  (once) for  $l\chi\theta\delta\epsilon$  and  $l\chi\theta\hat{v}s$  for  $l\chi\theta\delta\epsilon$  occur in comedy.  $l\chi\theta\hat{v}$  is not a legitimate contraction, as v cannot contract with  $\epsilon$  (51 c).  $l\chi\theta\hat{v}s$  (for  $l\chi\theta\delta\epsilon s$ ) is the accus form used as the nom. (251 b).
- **274.** of sheep is declined as follows: of s, oi-bs, oi-i, of- $\nu$ , of; dual, of- $\epsilon$ , oi-oi $\nu$ ; pl. of- $\epsilon$ s, oi- $\omega\nu$ , oi-oi, oi-s. Here the stem is oi, representing  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}^i}$ , which is properly an  $\iota$  stem:  $\delta_{\mathcal{F}^i}$ -s, Lat. ovi-s.

## 275.

#### STEMS IN $\epsilon v$ , av, ov

#### SINGULAR

	$oldsymbol{\delta}$ βασιλεύ-ς $king$	ή γραῦ-ς old woman	ή ναῦ-ς ship	ό, ή βοῦ-s ox, cow
Nom.	βασιλεύ-ς	γραῦ-ς	ναῦ-ς	βοῦ-s
Gen.	βασιλέ-ως	γρᾶ-ός	νε-ώς	βο-ός
Dat. (βασιλέ-ι)	βασιλεῖ	γρ <del>α</del> -t	νη-ΐ	βο-ΐ
Acc.	βασιλέ-α	γραῦ-ν	vaû-v	βοῦ-ν
Voc.	βασιλεῦ	γραῦ	ναΰ	βοῦ
		DUAL		
N. A. V.	βασιλή	γρᾶ-ε	νῆ-ε	βό-ε
G. D.	βασιλέ-οιν	γρα-οίν	νε-ο <b>ι</b> ν	βο-οῖν
		PLURAL		
N. V.	{ βασιλής, later βασιλείς	} γρᾶ-εs	νῆ-ες	βό-ες
Gen.	βασιλέ-ων	γρα-ών	νε- <b>ῶ</b> ν	βο-ῶν
Dat.	βασιλεῦ-σι(ν)	γραυ-σί(ν)	ναυ- $σ$ ί $(ν)$	βου-σί(ν)
Acc.	βασιλέ-ᾶς	γραθ-ς	ναθ-ς	βοῦ-ς

Like  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu}$ s are declined the masculine oxytones  $\dot{\nu}$  is  $\dot{\nu}$  is the priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  is  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  is  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  priest,  $\dot{\nu}$  is declined  $\dot{\nu}$  constitution three-quart measure (but acc.  $\dot{\nu}$  and  $\dot{\nu}$  and  $\dot{\nu}$  as).

<sup>274</sup> D. Hom. has δες, δέος and olós, δεν, δεςς, δέων and οlών, δέεσσε (σέεσσε ο 386) and δεσσε, δες ().

**<sup>275</sup> D.** 1. Hom. has  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} o s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta} \iota$ ,  $-\hat{\eta} a$ ,  $-\epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ ,  $-\epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$  (and  $-\hat{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ ),  $-\hat{\eta} a s$ . Also  $-\epsilon o s$ ,  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota} i$ ,  $-\epsilon a$ , from the stem  $\epsilon_F = \epsilon v$ .  $-\epsilon \hat{v} s$  and  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}$  for  $-\epsilon o s$  and  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}$  are not common.  $A \tau \rho \epsilon \iota v s$ ,  $A \tau \rho$ 

<sup>2.</sup> Hom. has  $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}_s$  or  $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}_s$ ,  $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}_s$ ,  $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}$  and  $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}_s$ ; the unattic  $\beta \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$  (and  $\beta \sigma \hat{v}_s$ ),  $\beta \hat{\omega} v$  acc. sing. H 238. The Doric nom. sing. is  $\beta \hat{\omega}_s$ , acc. pl.  $\beta \hat{\omega}_s$ .

<sup>3.</sup> The declension of vaûs in Doric, Homer, and Herodotus is as follows:

- 276. Substantives in -εύs preceded by a vowel may contract in the gen. and acc. sing. and pl. Thus, ἀλιεύς fisherman has gen. ἀλιέως or ἀλιώς, acc. ἀλιέα or ἀλιά, gen. pl. ἀλιέων or ἀλιών, acc. pl. ἀλιέας or ἀλιάς. All other forms are regular. The contracted forms were in use in the fifth century, but in the fourth (especially after 350 в.с.) the open forms are common. So are declined Εύβοεύς Ευβοεύς Γιαιραιεύς Peiraeus, Πλαταιεύς Plataean.
- 277. Other Forms.—a. In the drama from words in -eis we find rarely -éa in acc. sing., -éas in acc. pl. -éos and -\hata\_0s, -\hata\_s, -\hata\_as are occasionally found.
- b. The nom. pl. in older Attic ended in  $-\hat{\eta}s$  ( $\beta a\sigma i\lambda \hat{\eta}s$ ), derived either from  $-\hat{\eta}\epsilon$ s by contraction or from  $-\epsilon \eta s$  (once on an inscription) by 34.  $-\hat{\eta}s$  occurs on inscriptions till about 350 B.C., and is the form to be adopted in the texts of authors of the fifth century and in Plato.  $-\epsilon s$  occurs rarely, but is suspected.  $\beta a\sigma i\lambda \epsilon \hat{s}$  (regular on inscriptions after 329 B.C.) is from analogy to  $\hat{\eta}\delta\epsilon \hat{s}s$ .
- c. The acc. pl.  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ s was not used till the end of the fourth century.  $-\hat{\eta}$ s (the nom. form) is used for the acc. in a few passages (251 b).
- 278. Stem Variation. Stems ending in  $\epsilon v$ , av, ov lose v before case endings beginning with a vowel, g passing into g (43). Stems in  $\epsilon v$  show the pure form only in the vocative; other forms are derived from the stronger stem  $\eta v$ .  $\eta v$  and  $\bar{a}v$  before a consonant become  $\epsilon v$ ,  $\bar{a}v$  (40) as in  $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}s$ ,  $\beta a\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}\sigma \dot{v}$ ,  $\nu a \dot{v}s$ ,  $\nu a v \sigma \dot{v}$  from  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \eta v s$ ,  $\nu \bar{a}v s$ , etc. From  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\eta}(f) o s$ ,  $-\dot{\eta}(f) \iota$ ,  $-\dot{\eta}(f) a$ ,  $-\dot{\eta}(f) a s$  come, by transfer of quantity (34), the Attic forms. So  $\nu \epsilon \dot{u} s$  is derived from  $\nu \eta(f) \dot{o} s$ . In  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\tau} \dot{\omega} v$ ,  $\nu \epsilon \dot{\omega} v$ ,  $\epsilon$  is shortened from the  $\eta$  of  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\omega} v$ ,  $\nu \eta \dot{\omega} v$  by 39.  $\beta o \dot{o} s$ , etc. are from the stem  $\beta o v \beta o f s$ , cp. Lat.  $bov \dot{s} s$ .

## STEMS IN OU

279. Stems in o, with nominative in  $-\dot{\omega}$ , turn  $\iota$  into unwritten  $\underline{\iota}(y)$  (43) before the endings beginning with a vowel.  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{\omega}$  persuasion is thus declined:

Ν. πειθώ. G. πειθοῦς (πειθό-ος). D. πειθοῦ (πειθό-ι). A. πειθώ (πειθό-α). V. πειθοῦ. Dual and plural are wanting.

		SINGUI	LAR	PLURAL				
	Doric	Homer	Hdt.	Dorie	Homer	Hdt.		
Nom.	ναῦ-s	νηῦ-ς	νηῦ-ς	ν <b>α-</b> ες	νῆ-ες, νέ-ες	vé-es		
Gen.	νᾶ-ός	νη-ός, νε-ός	νε-ός (and νη-ός?)	<b>ν</b> ᾶ-ῶν ΄	νη-ῶν, νε-ῶν	νε-ῶν		
Dat.	vā-t	νη-ΐ	vn-t	ναυ-σί(ν), <b>νά</b> -εσσι(ν)	$ u$ ηυ-σ $\iota( u)$ $ u$ ή-εσσ $\iota( u)$ , $ u$ έ-εσσ $\iota( u)$	νηυ-σί		
Acc.	ναῦ-ν	νη-α, νέ-α	vé-a	να̂-ας	νη̂-ας, νέ-ας	vé-as		

Hom, has ναυσί in ναυσικλυτός.

279 D. In Ionic the forms are contracted (πειθοῦς, etc.). Hdt. has acc. Ἰοῦν from Ἰω, Λητοῦν, but also πειθώ.

- So ηχώ echo, εὐεστώ well-being, φειδώ sparing, Σαπφώ, Λητώ, Καλυψώ. οι stems are chiefly used for women's names.
- a. A stronger form of the stem is  $\omega_i$ , seen in the earlier form of the nominative ( $\Sigma \alpha \pi \phi \psi$ ,  $\Lambda \eta \tau \psi$ ). The accusative has the accent of the nominative.
- b. When dual and plural occur, they are of the second declension: nom. λεχοί (late) from λεχώ woman in child-bed. acc. γοργούς from γοργώ gorgon.
- c.  $\dot{\eta}$  elkών image,  $\dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{\omega}$ ν nightingale, properly from stems in  $\dot{\omega}$ , have certain forms from this declension (elkoῦs, elkώ, voc. anδοῖ).

## CASES IN $-\phi\iota(\nu)$

**280.** Cases in  $-\phi\iota(\nu)$ . —  $-\phi\iota(\nu)$  is often added to noun stems in Hom. to express the relations of the lost instrumental, locative, and ablative, both singular and (more commonly) plural; rarely to express the relations of the genitive and dative cases. From ā stems are made singulars, from o stems singulars or plurals, from consonant stems almost always plurals. Except in  $\theta\epsilon b - \phi\iota\nu$  with the gods  $-\phi\iota(\nu)$  is not added to a stem denoting a person. (a) Instrumental:  $\beta\iota\eta - \phi\iota$  by might,  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta - \phi\iota$  with the other (hand),  $\delta\alpha\kappa\rho\iota b - \phi\iota\nu$  with tears; (b) Locative:  $\theta\iota\rho\eta - \phi\iota$  at the door,  $\delta\rho\epsilon\sigma - \phi\iota$  on the mountains; (c) Ablative:  $\kappa\epsilon\phi\lambda\hat{\iota}\eta - \phi\iota\nu$  from off the head; especially with prepositions, as  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  mover  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota\nu$  from the ships.

#### IRREGULAR DECLENSION

- **281.** The gender in the singular and in the plural may not be the same:  $\dot{\delta}$  σῖτος grain, τὰ σῖτα;  $\dot{\delta}$  δεσμός chain, τὰ δεσμά chains (οἱ δεσμοί cases of imprisonment); τὸ στάδιον stade, race-course, pl. τὰ στάδια and οἱ στάδιοι.
  - 282. Usually the irregularity consists in a word having two different stems.
- a. Both stems have a common nominative singular: σκότου darkness, σκότου σκότω, etc. (like  $\"{ι}ππου$   $\~{ι}ππω$ ) or σκότουs σκότου (like γένουs γένευ). So τὸν "Αθω, and τὸν "Αθων from "Αθως (238 d), τὸν Σωκράτη and τὸν Σωκράτην (264 b). These are called heteroclites (ἐτερόκλιτα differently declined).
- N. Many compound proper names in  $-\eta s$  (especially names of foreigners) have forms of the 1 and 3 decl., as  $T_{i\sigma}\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \eta s$ ,  $-\nu \eta$  and  $-\nu \epsilon i$ . So  $\Theta \epsilon \omega \rho \nu \nu \eta s$  (voc.) in Demosth.,  $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu i \delta \eta \nu$  and  $\Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu i \delta \epsilon u$  in Hdt.
- b. Certain cases are formed from another stem than that of the nom. singular:  $\dot{o}$  δνειρο-s dream, gen.  $\dot{o}$ νείροτ-os (as if from  $\tau \dot{o}$  δνειρορ), or (less freq.)  $\dot{o}$ νείρου; so  $\tau \dot{o} \nu$  'Απόλλωνα and  $\tau \dot{o} \nu$  'Απόλλω (260),  $\tau \dot{o} \dot{v}$  viéos and  $\tau \dot{o} \dot{v}$  vioû (285, 27). These are called metaplastic forms (μεταπλασμόs change of formation).
- \* 283. Defectives are substantives having, by reason of their meaning or use, only one number or only certain cases. Thus, sing. only: δ ἀήρ αἰτ, ὁ αἰθήρ upper αἰτ; plur. only: τὰ Διονόσια, τὰ ὁ Ολύμπια the Dionysiac (Olympic) festival, οἱ ἐτησίαι annual winds; in some cases only: τὰ μέλε my good sir or madam; ὅναρ dream; ὅφελος use only in nom.; λιβός λίβα from \*λίψ stream, libation.
- **284.** Indeclinables are substantives having one form for all cases: τὸ χρεών, τοῦ χρεών, etc. fatality, τὸ ἄλφα alpha, τὸ λέγειν to speak, most cardinal numbers (τὸ δέκα ten), several foreign words, as Ἰακώβ Jacob, Δαβίδ David.

### 285. LIST OF THE PRINCIPAL IRREGULAR SUBSTANTIVES

- "Aρης (ό) Ares, stems 'Αρεσ-, 'Αρευ- from 'Αρεσ-. G. "Αρεως (poet. "Αρεος),
   D. "Αρει, Α. "Αρη (poet. "Αρεα), "Αρην. Epic G. "Αρηος, "Αρεος, D. "Αρηι, "Αρες, Α. "Αρηα, "Αρην. Hdt. "Αρεος, "Αρει, "Αρεα. Aeclic "Αρευς, "Αρ
- ἀρήν (ὁ, ἡ) lamb, sheep, stems ἀρεν-, ἀρν-, ἀρνα-. Thus, ἀρν-όs, ἀρν-ί, ἄρν-α, ἄρν-ες, ἀρν-ῶν, ἀρνά-σι (Hom. ἄρν-εσσι), ἄρν-αs (declined like a subst. in -ηρ). Nom. ἀρήν occurs on inscript. but ἀμνόs (2 decl.) is commonly used.
- 3. γάλα (τό) milk (133), γάλακτ-ος, γάλακτ-ι, etc.
- 4. γέλως (δ) laughter, γέλωτ-ος, etc. Attic poets A. γέλωτα or γέλων. Hom. has D. γέλω, Α. γέλω, γέλων or γέλοι (?) from Aeol. γέλος. Cp. 257 D.
- 5. γόνυ (τό) knee, γόνατ-ος, etc. Ionic and poetic γούνατ-ος, γούνατ-ι, etc. Epic also γουν-ός, γουν-ί, γοῦν-α, pl. γούν-ων, γούν-εσσι (250 D. 2). The forms in ov are from γον-(37 D. 1, 253 c); cf. Lat. genu.
- 6. γυνή (ή) woman, γυναικ-ός, γυναικ-ί, γυναίκ-α, γύναι (133); dual γυναίκ-ε, γυναικ-οῖν; pl. γυναῖκ-ες, γυναικ-ῶν, γυναιξί, γυναῖκ-ας. The gen. and dat. of all numbers accent the last syllable (cp. ἀνήρ). Comic poets have A. γυνήν, γυνάς, N. pl. γυναί.
- 7. δάκρυον (τό) tear, δακρύου, etc., in prose and poetry. δάκρυ (τό) is usually
- 🔸 poetic, D. pl. δάκρυσι.
- δένδρον (τό) tree, δένδρου, etc. Also D. sing. δένδρει, pl. δένδρη, δένδρεσι. Hdt. has δένδρον, δένδρεον and δένδρος.
- 9. Séos  $(\tau b)$  fear  $(\delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma^{-})$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \delta v s$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ . Hom.  $\delta \epsilon \delta v s$ , 55 D. Cp. 265.
- 10. δόρυ (τό) spear, δόρατ-ος, δόρατ-ι, pl. δόρατ-α, etc. Poetic δορ-ός, δορ-ί (also in prose) and δόρ-ει (like ἄστει). Ionic and poetic δούρατ-ος, etc., Epic also δουρ-ός δουρ-ί, dual δοῦρ-ε, pl. δοῦρ-α, δούρ-ων, δούρ-εσσι (250 D.2). The forms with ou are from δορε- (37 D. 1).
- 11. ἔρως (ὁ) love, ἔρωτ-ος, etc. Poetical ἔρος, ἔρω, ἔρον. Cp. 257 D.
- Zεύs (ὁ) Zeus, Δι-όs, Δι-ί, Δί-α, Ζεῦ. Zeús is from Διευs, Δι-όs, etc., from Διρ-.
   Ionic and poetic Zηνόs, Ζηνί, Ζῆνα.
- 13. θέμις (ή) justice and the goddess Themis (θεμιδ-), θέμιδ-ος, θέμιδ-ι, θέμι-ν. Hom. has θέμιστ-ος, etc. Pind. θέμιτ-ος, θέμι-ν, θέμιτ-ες. Hdt. θέμι-ος. In the phrase θέμις εἶναι fas esse (indic. θέμις ἐστί), θέμις is indeclinable.
- 14. κάρᾶ (τό) head (poetic) used in Attic only in N. A. V. sing., but dat. κάρα. Other cases are from the stem κρᾶτ-, G. κρᾶτ-όs, D. κρᾶ-τί; also τὸ κρᾶτ-α N. A. sing., κρᾶτ-αs A. pl.
  - Epic shows the stems κρᾶατ-, κρᾶτ-, καρηατ-, καρητ-. Ν. κάρη, G. κράατος, κρᾶτός, καρήατος, κάρητος, D. κράατι, κρᾶτί, καρήατι, κάρητι, Α. κάρ. Ν. pl. κάρᾶ, κράατα, καρήατα, and κάρηνα, G. κράτων, καρήνων, D. κρᾶτί, Α. κρᾶτα.
- κύων (ὁ, ἡ) dog, κυν-ός, κυν-ί, κύν-α, κύον; κύν-ε, κυν-οῖν; κύν-ες, κυν-ῶν, κυσί, κύν-ας.
- λâas (ὁ) stone, poetic also λâs, G. λâos (or λάου), D. λâϊ, A. λâaν, λâa;
   dual λâe; pl. λâ-es, λά-ων, λά-εσσι, λά-εσι.
- μάρτυς (ὁ, ἡ) voitness, μάρτυρ-os, etc., but D. pl. μάρτυ-σι. Hom. has N. μάρτυροs, pl. μάρτυροι.
- Οἰδίπους (ὁ) Oedipus, G. Οιδίποδος, Οιδίπου, Οιδιπόδα (Dor.), D. Οἰδίποδι,
   Α. Οιδίπουν, Οιδιπόδαν, V. Οιδίπους, Οιδίπου.

- 19. ὄνειρος (ό) and ὅνειρον (τό, Ionic and poetic) dream, ὀνείρου, etc., but also δνείρατ-ος, etc., τὸ ὄναρ only in N. A.
- δρνῖς (ὁ, ἡ) bird (257). A. ὅρνῖθα and ὅρνῖν (247). Poetic ὅρνῖς, A. ὅρνῖν;
   pl. Ν. ὅρνεις, G. ὅρνεων, A. ὅρνεις or ὅρνῖς. Dor. G. ὅρνῖχ-os, etc.
- 21. ὅσσε dual, two eyes, pl. G. ὅσσων, D. ὅσσοις (-οισι).
- 22. ovs (τδ) ear, ωτ-δε, ωτ-l, pl. ωτ-α, ωτ-ων (252 a), ωσl; from the stem ωτ-contracted from ob(σ) ατ-, whence δ(υ) ατ-. ovs is from δος, whence also the Doric nom. ωs. Hom. G. ονατ-ος, pl. ονατ-α, ονασι and ωσl.
- 23. Πνύξ (ή) Pnyx (128), Πυκν-ός, Πυκν-ί, Πύκν-α, and also Πνυκ-ός, Πνυκ-ί, Πνύκ-α.
- 24. πρεσβεντής (ὁ) envoy has in the pl. usually the forms of the poetic πρέσβυς old man, properly an adj., old. Thus, N. sing. πρεσβευτής, G. πρεσβευτοῦ, etc., N. pl. πρέσβεις, G. πρέσβεων, D. πρέσβεσι, A. πρέσβεις (rarely πρεσβευταί, etc.). πρέσβυς meaning old man is poetic in the sing. (Α. πρέσβυν, V. πρέσβυ) and pl. (πρέσβεις); meaning envoy πρέσβυς is poetic and rare in the sing. (dual πρεσβῆ from πρεσβεύς). πρεσβύτης old man is used in prose and poetry in all numbers.
- 25. πῦρ (τδ) fire (πὕρ-, 254 b), πυρ-δε, πυρ-ί, pl. τὰ πυρά watch-fires, 2nd decl.
- 26. ὕδωρ (τό) water, ὕδατ-ος, ὕδατ-ι, pl. ὕδατ-α, ὑδάτ-ων, etc. Cp. 253 b.
- 27. viós (δ) son has three stems: 1. vio-, whence vioῦ, etc., according to the 2nd decl. 2. viv-, whence viéos, viεῖ, dual viεῖ, viεοιν, pl. viεῖs, viείων, viεῖs. The stems vio- and viv-, usually lose their ι (43): voῦ, veos, etc. 3. vi- in Hom. G. vios, D. viι, A. via, dual viε, pl. viες, viaσι, vias.
- 28. χείρ (ή) hand, χειρ-ός, χειρ-ί, χείρ-α; dual χεῖρ-ε, χερ-οῖν; pl. χεῖρ-ες, χειρ-ῶν, χερ-σί, χεῖρ-ας. Poetic also χερ-ός, χερ-ί, etc.; dual, χειρ-οῖν. Att. inscr. have χειροῖν, χειρσί. Hom. agrees with Att. prose and Hdt. except that he has also χερ-ί, χείρ-εσσι χείρ-εσι.
- 29. χρώς (ό) skin, χρωτ-ός, χρωτ-ί (but χρῷ in the phrase ἐν χρῷ), χρῶτα. Poetic χρο-ός, χρο-τ, χρό-α, like alδώς, 266.

#### ADJECTIVES

## ADJECTIVES OF THE FIRST AND SECOND DECLENSIONS

- **286.** Adjectives of Three Endings. Most adjectives of the vowel declension have three endings: -os,  $-\eta$  (or  $-\bar{a}$ ),  $-o\nu$ . The masculine and neuter are declined according to the second declension, the feminine according to the first.
- a. When  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , or  $\rho$  (30, 218) precedes -os the feminine ends in  $-\bar{a}$ , not in  $-\eta$ . But adjectives in -oos (not preceded by  $\rho$ ) have  $\eta$ . Thus,  $\delta\gamma\delta\cos$ ,  $\delta\gamma\delta\delta\eta$ ,  $\delta\gamma\delta\cos$  eighth,  $\delta\theta\rho\delta\cos$ ,  $\delta\theta\rho\delta\bar{a}$ ,  $\delta\theta\rho\delta\bar{a}$ ,  $\delta\theta\rho\delta\bar{a}$ . See 290 e.
  - 287. ἀγαθός good, ἄξιος worthy, μακρός long are thus declined:
- 285 D. 27. Hom. has also viós, viοῦ, vióν, vié, νίων, viοῖοι; viéos, viéï, viéa, viésand viεῖs, viéas. νι sometimes makes a short syllable in viós, vióν, vié (148 D. 3).
- **287** D. In the fem. nom. sing. Ionic has  $-\eta$ , never  $-\tilde{a}$ ; in the fem. gen. pl. Hom. has  $-\hat{a}\omega\nu$  (less often  $-\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ); Hdt. has  $-\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu$  in oxytone adjectives and participles, and so probably in barytones.

#### SINGULAR

Gen. Dat.	αγαθος άγαθοῦ άναθῶ	άγαθῆς	αγαθού άγαθοῦ	αξιος ἀξίου ἀξίω	aţia åţíās åţía	αξιον άξίου άξίω	μακρώ μακρος	μακρά μακράς	πακδώ πακδου πακδου	
Acc. Voc.		άγαθήν άγαθή	άγαθόν άγαθόν	ἄξιον ἄξιε	áfláv áflá	ἄξιον ἄξιον	μακρόν μακρέ	μακράν μακρ <b>ά</b>	μακρόν μακρόν	
				DUA	<b>L</b>					
N. A. V.	. ἀναθώ	ἀγαθά	ἀγαθώ	ἀξίω	å£íā	άξίω	μακρώ	μακρά	μακρώ	

# PLURAL

N. V.	ἀγαθοί	ἀγαθαί	ἀγαθά	ἄξιοι	äξιαι	ăţıa	μακροί	μακραί	μακρά
Gen.	άγαθῶν	άγαθῶν	άγαθών	ἀξίων	ἀξίων	άξίων	μακρών	μακρῶν	μακρών
Dat.	άγαθοῖς	άγαθαῖς	άγαθοίς	άξίοις	áfíais	άξίοις .	μακροίς	μακραίς	μακροίς
Acc.	άναθούς	άγαθάς	ἀγαθά	å£lovs	áÉíās	ăξıa	μακρούς	μακράς	μακρά

G. D. άγαθοῖν άγαθαῖν άγαθοῖν άξίοιν ἀξίοιν ἀξίοιν μακροῖν μακροῖν μακροῖν

έσθλός good, κακός bad, σοφός wise, κοῦφος, κούφη, κοῦφον light, δῆλος clear; ἀνδρεῖος, ἀνδρεία, ἀνδρεῖον courageous, δίκαιος just, ὅμοιος like, αἰσχρός, αἰσχρά, αἰσχρόν base, ἐλεύθερος free; all participles in -os and all superlatives.

- a. The accent in the feminine nominative and genitive plural follows that of the masculine:  $d\xi l \omega \nu$ , not  $d\xi l \omega \nu$ , as would be expected according to the rule for substantives (205), e.g. as in altia cause, altia, alti $\omega \nu$ .
- b. All adjectives and participles may use the masculine instead of the feminine dual forms: τω ἀγαθώ μητέρε the two good mothers.
- 288. Adjectives of Two Endings. Adjectives using the masculine for the feminine are called adjectives of two endings. Most such adjectives are compounds.
- **289.** ἄδικος unjust (å- without, δίκη justice), φρόνιμος prudent, and ἔλεως propitious are declined thus:

			SINGULAR			
	Masc. and Fem.	Neut.	Masc. and Fem.	Neut.	Masc. and Fem.	Neut.
Nom.	ἄδικος	ἄδικον	φρόνιμος	φρόνιμον	ίλεως	ťλεων
Gen.	άδίκου	άδίκου	φρονίμου	φρονίμου	ξλεω	ťλ€ω
Dat.	άδίκφ	άδίκῳ	φρυνίμφ	φρονίμω	. ξγεώ	ξλεώ
Acc.	ἄδικον	ἄδικον	φρόνιμον	φρόνιμον	ΐλεων	ťλεων
Voc.	ἄδικε	ἄδικον	φρόνιμε	φρόνιμον	ťλεωs	<b>ťλ</b> εων

**<sup>289</sup> D.** Hom. has thaos or thaos;  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o s$ ,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \eta$ ,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o r$  (Hdt.  $\pi \lambda \epsilon o s$ ,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \eta$ ,  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o r$ );  $\sigma \hat{\omega} s$  (only in this form), and  $\sigma \delta o s$ ,  $\sigma \delta \eta$ ,  $\sigma \delta o r$ . Hom. has N.  $\zeta \omega s$ , A.  $\zeta \omega r$  living, and  $\zeta \omega \delta s$ ,  $\zeta \omega \eta$ ,  $\zeta \omega \delta r$  living.

#### DUAL

	Masc. and Fer	n. Neut.	Masc. and Fem.	Neut.	Masc. and Fer	n. Neut.
N. A. G. D.	V. άδίκω άδίκοιν	άδίκω άδίκοιν	φρονίμω φρονίμοι <i>ν</i>	φρονίμω φρονίμοιν	ΐλεω ΐλεφν	ΐλεω ΐλεφν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	ἄδικοι	ἄδικα	φρόνιμοι	φρόνιμα	ίλεω	ίλεα
Gen.	άδίκων	άδίκων	φρονίμων	φρονίμων	ξλεών	ξλεων
Dat.	άδίκοις	άδίκοις	φρονίμοις	φρονίμοις	ίλεως	ίλεως
Acc.	άδίκους	ἄδικα	φρονίμους	φρόνιμα	ίλεως	ťλεα

- a. Like άδικος are declined the compounded ά-λογος irrational, ά-τίμος dishonoured, ά-χρεῖος useless, ἔμ-πειρος experienced, ἐπί-φθονος envious, εὕ-ξενος hospitable, ὑπ-ήκοος obedient. Like φρόνιμος are declined the uncompounded βάρβαρος barbarian, ήσυχος quiet, ήμερος tame, λάλος talkative.
- b. Like the sare declined other adjectives of the Attic declension (237), as the poss without horns, a tidexpess serviceable. For the accent, see 163 a. Adjectives in  $-\omega s$ ,  $-\omega r$  have  $-\alpha$  in the neut. pl., but  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$  occurs in Xenophon.
- c.  $\pi\lambda \epsilon \omega_s$  full has three endings:  $\pi\lambda \epsilon \omega_s$ ,  $\pi\lambda \epsilon \bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\pi\lambda \epsilon \omega_r$ , pl.  $\pi\lambda \epsilon \omega_s$ ,  $\pi\lambda \epsilon a_s$ , but most compounds, such as  $\epsilon \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega_s$  quite full, have the fem. like the masc.  $\sigma \omega_s$  safe has usually sing. N.  $\sigma \omega_s$  masc., fem. (rarely  $\sigma a_s$ ),  $\sigma \omega_r$  neut., A.  $\sigma \omega_r$ ; plur. N.  $\sigma \omega_s$  masc., fem.,  $\sigma a_s$  neut., A.  $\sigma \omega_s$  masc., fem.,  $\sigma a_s$  neut. Other cases are supplied by  $\sigma \omega_s$ ,  $\sigma \omega_s$ ,  $\sigma \omega_s$ .  $\sigma \omega_s$  also occurs in the accusative.
- d. In poetry, and sometimes in prose, adjectives commonly of two endings have a feminine form, as  $\pi \acute{a}\tau \rho \iota os$  paternal,  $\beta \iota a\iota os$  violent; and those commonly of three endings have no feminine, as  $\acute{a}\nu a\gamma \kappa a \acute{\iota} os$  necessary,  $\phi \iota \lambda \iota os$  friendly.
- **290.** Contracted Adjectives. Most adjectives in - $\epsilon$ 05 and - $\epsilon$ 05 are contracted. Examples:  $\chi \rho \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon$ 05 golden,  $\hat{d} \rho \gamma \hat{v} \rho \epsilon$ 05 of silver,  $\hat{d} \pi \lambda \hat{c} \hat{a}$ 1.

•	,		SINGULAR			
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	(χρύσεος) (χρῦσέου) (χρῦσέω) (χρῦσεον)	χρῦσοῦν Χρῦσοῦ Χρῦσοῦς	(χρῦσέā) (χρῦσέās) (χρῦσέᾳ) (χρῦσέāν)	χρῦσή χρῦσής χρῦσή χρῦσήν	(χρέσεον) (χρῦσέου) (χρῦσέφ) (χρέσεον)	χρῦσοῦν χρῦσοῦ χρῦσοῦ χρῦσοῦν
N. A. V.	(χρῦσέω)	χρῦσώ	DUAL (χρῦσέā)	24 A Time S	(avazadas)	
G. D.	(χρῦσ έοιν)	χρυσοίν	(χρυσεα) (χρῦσέαιν)	χρῦσαῖ <i>ν</i>	(χρῦσέω) (χρῦσέοιν)	χρῦσοίν
			PLURAL			
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	(χρύσεοι) (χρῦσέων) (χρῦσέοις)	χρῦσοῖ χρῦσῶν χρῦσοῖς	(χρύσεαι) (χρῦσέων) (χρῦσέαις)	χρῦσαῖ χρῦσῶν χρῦσαῖς	(χρΰσεα) (χρῦσέων) (χρῦσέοις)	Χρ <u>ο</u> σοις Χροσος Χροσος
******	(χρῦσέους)	Χρισους	(χρῦσέās)	χρ <del>υ</del> σάς	(χρύσεα)	χρῦσᾶ

290

#### SINGULAR

DECLENSION OF ADJECTIVES

N. V.	(ἀργύρεος)	ἀργυροῦς	(ἀργυρέā)	ἀργυρᾶ	(ἀργύρεον)	άργυροῦι
Gen.	(ἀργυρέου)	ἀργυροῦ	(ἀργυρέās)	ἀργυρᾶς	(ἀργυρέου)	ἀργυροῦ
Dat.	$(\dot{a}\rho\gamma v \rho \epsilon \omega)$	ἀργυρῷ	(ἀργυρέα)	ἀργυρᾶ	$(\dot{a}$ ρ $\gamma$ υρέ $\omega$ $)$	άργυρῷ
Acc.	(ἀργύρεον)	άργυροῦν	(ἀργυρέāν)	ἀργυρᾶν	(ἀργύρεον)	άργυροῦι
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	$(\dot{a} ho\gamma v ho\epsilon\omega)$	ἀργυρώ	(ἀργυρέα)	ἀργυρᾶ	(ἀργυρέω)	ἀργυρώ
G. D.	(ἀργυρέοιν)	ἀργυροῖν	(ἀργυρέαιν)	ἀργυραῖν	(ἀργυρέοιν)	άργυρ <b>ο</b> ῖν
•			PLURAL			
N. V.	(ἀργύρεοι)	ἀργυροῖ	(ἀργύρεαι)	άργυραῖ	(ἀργύρεα)	ἀργυρᾶ
Gen.	(ἀργυρέων)	ἀργυρῶν	(ἀργυρέων)	ἀργυρῶν	(ἀργυρέων)	ἀργυρῶν
Dat.	(ἀργυρέοις)	άργυροῖς	(ἀργυρέαις)	άργυραῖς	(ἀργυρέοις)	άργυροῖς
Acc.	(ἀργυρέους)	άργυροῦς	(ἀργυρέās)	ἀργυρᾶς	(ἀργύρεα)	ἀργυρᾶ
				-		
			SINGULAR	_		
N. V.	(ἀπλόος)	άπλοῦς	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{a})$	άπλη	(ἀπλόον)	άπλοῦν
Gen.	(ἀπλόου)	άπλοῦ	(ἀπλέᾶs)	άπλῆς	(ἀπλόου)	άπλοῦ
Dat.	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\varphi)$	άπλῷ	$(\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}_{\dot{lpha}})$	άπλῆ	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\omega)$	άπλῷ
Acc.	(ἀπλόον)	άπλοῦν	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{a}\nu)$	άπλῆν	(ἀπλόον)	άπλοῦν
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\omega)$	άπλώ	(ἀπλέᾶ)	άπλâ	$(a\pi\lambda\delta\omega)$	<b>ἀπλ</b> ώ
G. D.	(ἀπλόοιν)	άπλοῖν	(ἀπλέαιν)	<b>άπλα</b> ῖν	(ἀπλόοιν)	άπλοῖν
		•	PLURAL			
N. V.	(ὰπλόοι)	άπλοῖ	(άπλέαι)	άπλαῖ	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta a)$	άπλᾶ
Gen.	(ἀπλόων)	άπλῶν	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega u)$	άπλῶν	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\omega\nu)$	άπλῶν
Dat.	$(\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\sigma\iota s)$	<b>άπλο</b> ῖς	(ἀπλέαις)	άπλαῖς	(ἀπλόοις)	άπλοῖς
Acc.	(ἀπλόους)	άπλοῦς	(ἀπλέās)	άπλᾶs	(ἀπλόα)	άπλâ

a. So χαλκοῦς, - $\hat{\eta}$ , -οῦν brazen, φοινῖκοῦς, - $\hat{\eta}$ , -οῦν crimson, πορφυροῦς, - $\hat{a}$ , -οῦν darkred,  $\sigma i\delta \eta po \hat{v}s$ ,  $-\hat{a}$ ,  $-o\hat{v}\nu$  of iron,  $\delta i\pi \lambda o\hat{v}s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$ ,  $-o\hat{v}\nu$  two fold, and other multiplicatives in -πλοῦς (354 b). Compounds of two endings (288): εὔνους, -ουν (εύνοος) well disposed, άπλους, -ουν (άπλοος) not navigable, εύρους, -ουν (ecoos) fair-flowing. These have open on in the neuter plural.

b. The vocative and dual of contracted adjectives are very rare.

c. Adjectives whose uncontracted form in the nom. sing, has the accent on the antepenult  $(\chi\rho\dot{v}\sigma\epsilon\sigma s, \pi\rho\rho\dot{\phi}\dot{v}\rho\epsilon\sigma s)$  take in the contracted form a circumflex on their last syllable (χρῦσοῦς, πορφυροῦς) by analogy to the gen. and dat. sing. The accent of the nom. dual masculine and neuter is also irregular (χρῦσώ, not χρῦσῶ).

- d. For peculiarities of contraction see 56.  $\dot{a}\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}$  is from  $\dot{a}\pi\lambda\epsilon\bar{a}$ , not from  $\dot{a}\pi\lambda\delta\eta$ .
- e. Some adjectives are not contracted:  $d\rho\gamma a\lambda \epsilon os$  difficult,  $\kappa\epsilon\rho\delta a\lambda \epsilon os$  crafty,  $\nu\epsilon os$  young,  $\delta\gamma\delta oos$  eighth,  $d\theta\rho\delta os$  crowded (usually). (Here  $\epsilon o$  and oo were probably separated originally by  $\epsilon$ , 3.)

#### ADJECTIVES OF THE CONSONANT DECLENSION

- **291.** Such adjectives as belong only to the consonant declension have two endings. Most such adjectives have stems in  $\epsilon_s$  (nominative  $-\eta_s$  and  $-\epsilon_s$ ) and  $o_{\nu}$  (nominative  $-\omega_{\nu}$  and  $-\omega_{\nu}$ ). Under  $o_{\nu}$  stems fall comparative adjectives, as  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \bar{\iota} \omega_{\nu}$ ,  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \bar{\iota} \omega_{\nu}$  better.
- a. There are some compounds with other stems: M. F. ἀπάτωρ, N. ἄπατορ fatherless, G. ἀπάτορος; ἄπολις ἄπολι without a country, ἀπόλιδος; αὐτοκράτωρ αὐτοκράτορ independent, αὐτοκράτορος; ἄρρην (older ἄρσην) ἄρρεν male, ἄρρενος; εὕχαρις εὕχαρις εὐχαρι agreeable, εὐχάριτος; εὕελπις εὕελπι hopeful, εὐελπιδος. For the acc. of stems in ιτ and ιδ see 247. Neut. εὕχαρι and εὕελπι for εὐχαριτ, εὐελπιδ (133).
  - **292.**  $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s (\partial \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma) true, \epsilon \ddot{v} \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota s (\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \lambda \pi \iota \delta) hopeful are thus declined:$

		SINGULA	R		
	Masc. and Fem.		Neut.	Masc. and Fem.	Neut.
Nom.	άληθής		άληθές	<b>ε</b> ὔελπις	εὔελπι
Gen.	(ἀληθέ-os)	άληθοῦς	•	εὐέλη	rıb-os
Dat.	$(\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\iota)$	άληθεῖ		εὐέλ:	τιδ-ι
Acc.	$(\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}-a)$ $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\hat{\eta}$	•	άληθές	€ὔ€λπιν	εὔελπι
Voc.	άληθές		άληθές	€૫€}	เสเ
		DUAL			
N. A. V.	$(\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\epsilon)$	άληθεῖ		εὐέλ:	τιδ-ε
G. D.	(ἀληθέ-οιν)	άληθοῖν		εὐελα	τίδ-οιν
		PLURA	E.		
N. V.	(ἀληθέ-ες) ἀληθεῖς	(ἀληθέ-α)	άληθῆ	εὐέλπιδ-ες	εὐέλπιδ-α
Gen.	$(\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\omega\nu)$	άληθῶν		<b>ε</b> ὐελ1	τίδ-ων
Dat.	(ἀληθέσ-σι 107)	άληθέσι(ν)		εὐέλα	τισι(ν)
Acc.	ἀληθεῖς	(ἀληθέ-α)	άληθή	εὐέλπιδ-ας	εὐέλπιδ-α

a. ἄληθες means indeed! Like άληθής are declined σαφής clear, εὐτυχής lucky, εὐγενής high-born, ἀσθενής weak, ἐγκρατής self-restrained, πλήρης full.

<sup>292</sup> D. The uncontracted forms of εs stems appear in Hom. and Hdt. -εί and -εεs are, however, sometimes contracted in Hom., and properly should be written -ει and -ειs in Hdt. The acc. pl. masc. and fem. is -εαs in Hom. and Hdt. From adj. in -εήs Hdt. has ἐνδέἄ for ἐνδεέα, Hom. ἐνκλεῖαs for ἐνκλεέαs, ἐνρρεῖοs for ἐνρρεῖοs.

- b. The accusative pl.  $d\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i} s$  has the form of the nominative.
- c. Compound adjectives in -ηs not accented on the last syllable show recessive accent even in the contracted forms. Thus, φιλαλήθης lover of truth, neut. φιλάληθες, αὐτάρκης self-sufficient, neut. αὕταρκες, gen. pl. αὐτάρκων, not αὐταρκῶν.
- N. Except in neuter words in  $-\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon$ s,  $-\hat{\omega}\lambda\epsilon$ s,  $-\hat{\omega}\rho\epsilon$ s, and  $-\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon$ s, as  $\epsilon\hat{\upsilon}\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon$ s sweet-smelling,  $\pi o\delta\hat{\eta}\rho\epsilon$ s reaching to the feet. But  $\tau\rho_{i}\hat{\eta}\rho\omega\nu$ , not  $\tau\rho_{i}\hat{\eta}\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ , from  $\tau\rho_{i}\hat{\eta}\rho\eta$ s, 264.
- d.  $\epsilon\epsilon(\sigma)$ a becomes  $\epsilon\tilde{a}$ , not  $\epsilon\eta$  (56):  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\hat{a}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\hat{a}$  for  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}a$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}a$  from  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\eta$ s glorious,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\eta$ s needy (G.  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ ). But  $\iota\epsilon(\sigma)$ a and  $\iota\epsilon(\sigma)$ a yield  $\iota\tilde{a}$  or  $\iota\eta$ ,  $\iota\tilde{a}$  or  $\iota\eta$ ,  $\iota\tilde{a}$  or  $\iota\eta$ . Thus,  $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\hat{a}$  or  $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\hat{\eta}$  ( $\dot{\nu}\gamma\iota\dot{\eta}s$  healthy),  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\phi}\nu\hat{a}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\phi}\nu\dot{\eta}s$  comely), cp. 56, 31, 2. The forms in  $-\hat{\eta}$  are due to the analogy of such forms as  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\phi}\epsilon\dot{\rho}\hat{\eta}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\phi}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}s$  resembling),

## 293. Stems in ον: εὐδαίμων happy, βελτίων better:

#### SINGULAR Masc. and Fem. Nent. Masc. and Fem. Neut. Nom. εὐδαίμων βέλτιον εὕδαιμον βελτίων Gen. βελτίον-ος εύδαίμον-ος Dat. εὐδαίμον-ι βελτίον-ι Acc. εὐδαίμον-α βελτίον-α or βελτίω βέλτιον εὕδαιμον Voc. εὔδαιμον βέλτιον €ΰδαιμον Βέλτιον DUAL N. A. V. εὐδαίμον-ε βελτίον-ε G. D. εὐδαιμόν-οιν βελτϊόν-οιν PLURAL **βελτίον-ες** βελτίον-α N. V. εὐδαίμον-ες εὐδαίμον-α βελτίους Βελτίω Gen. εὐδαιμόν-ων βελτϊόν-ων Dat. εύδαίμοσι(ν) βελτίοσι(ν) **βελτίον-ας Βελτ**τον-α Acc. εύδαίμον-ας εὐδαίμον-α l βελτίους βελτίω

- a. Like εὐδαίμων are declined μνήμων μνῆμον mindful, ἀγνώμων ἄγνωμον unfeeling, ἄφρων ἄφρον senseless, πέπων πέπον ripe, σώφρων σῶφρον prudent.
- b. Like βελτίων are declined μείζων μείζον greater, κακίων κάκῖον baser, ελάττων έλᾶττον less.
  - c. The neuter nominative and accusative have recessive accent.
- d. Comparatives are formed from stems in or and in os; cp. Lat. meliōris for meliōs-is. os appears in  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau t\omega$  for  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau\bar{\iota}o(\sigma)$ -a, acc. sing. masc. fem. and nom. acc. neut. pl., and in  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau\bar{\iota}o\nu$  for  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau\bar{\iota}o(\sigma)$ -es, nom. pl. masc. fem. The accusative plural borrows the nominative form. Cp. 251 b. The shorter forms were more frequent in everyday speech than in literature.

## CONSONANT AND VOWEL DECLENSION COMBINED

- 294. Adjectives of the consonant declension having a separate form for the feminine inflect the feminine like a substantive of the first declension ending in -ā (216).
- 295. The feminine is made from the stem of the masculine (and neuter) by adding the suffix  $-\omega$  (ya), which is combined with the preceding syllable in different ways. The genitive plural feminine is always perispomenon (cp. 208). For the feminine dual, see 287 b.
- 296. Stems in v (-vs, - $\epsilon \iota a$ , -v). The masculine and neuter have the inflection of  $\pi \hat{\eta} \chi vs$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \tau v$ , except that the genitive singular masculine and neuter ends in -vs (not -vs) and -vs in the neuter plural remains uncontracted.
  - 297. ήδύς sweet is thus declined:

		SING	ULAR		
		Masc.	Fem.		Neut.
Nom.		ήδύ-ς	ήδεῖα		ήδύ
Gen.		ήδέ-ος	ήδείᾶς		ἡδέ-os
Dat.	$(\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{\imath})$	ήδεῖ	ήδεία	$(\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{\imath})$	ήδεῖ
Acc.		ήδύ-ν	ἡδεῖα-ν		ήδύ
Voc.		ήδύ	ἡδεῖα		ήδύ
		τα	AL		
N. A. V.		ἡδέ-ε	ἡδείᾶ		ήδέ-ε
G. D.		ήδέ-οιν	ήδεί-αιν		ἡδέ-οιν
		PLU	RAL		
N. V.	$(\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon s)$	ήδεῖς	ἡδεῖαι		ήδέ-α
Gen.		ἡδέ-ων	ἡδειῶν		ἡδέ-ων
Dat.		ήδ€ σι(ν)	ήδείαις		ήδέ-σι(ν)
Acc.		ήδεῖς	ήδείας		ήδ€-a

So βαθύς deep, γλυκύς sweet, εὐρύς broad, όξύς sharp, ταχύς swift.

298. Stems in  $\nu$  (-ās, -aινα, -αν; -ην, -εινα, -εν). μέλās black, τέρην tender are declined as follows:

a. In  $\dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon\hat{\alpha}$  - $\dot{\alpha}$  has been added to  $\dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon_F = \dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon_{V}$ , a stronger form of the stem  $\dot{\eta}\delta v$ - (cp. 270). The nominative masculine  $\dot{\eta}\delta\epsilon\hat{\alpha}$  is used for the accusative.

b. The adjectives of this declension are oxytone, except  $\eta\mu\mu\sigma\nu\nu$  half,  $\theta\eta\lambda\nu\nu$  female, and some compounds, as  $\delta(\pi\eta\chi\nu\nu)$  of two cubits.

<sup>296</sup> D. Hom. has usually -εîa, -είης, -είη, etc.; sometimes -έa, -έης, -έη, etc. The forms without ι (43) are regular in Hdt. For -ύν Hom. has -έa in εὐρέα τόντον the wide sea. τόνς and θηλυς are sometimes feminine in Hom.

			SINGULAR			
Nom.	μέλᾶς	μέλαινα	μέλαν	τέρην	τέρεινα	τέρεν
Gen.	μέλαν-os	μελαίνης	μέλαν-ος	τέρεν-ος	τερείνης	τέρεν-ος
Dat.	μέλαν-ι	μελαίνη	μέλαν-ι	τέρεν-ι	τερείνη	τέρεν-ι
Acc.	μέλαν-α	μέλαινα-ν	μέλαν	τέρεν-α	τέρεινα-ν	τέρεν
Voc.	μέλαν	μέλαινα	μέλαν	τέρεν	<b>τ</b> έρεινα	τέρεν
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	μέλαν-ε	μελαίνᾶ	μέλαν-ε	<b>τ</b> έρεν-ε	τερείνα	τέρεν-ε
G. D.	μελάν-οιν	μελαίναιν	μελάν-οιν	τερέν-οιν	τερείναιν	τερέν-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	μέλαν-ες	μέλαιναι	μέλαν-α	τέρεν-ες	τέρειναι	τέρεν-α
Gen.	μελάν-ων	μελαινών	μελάν-ων	τερέν-ων	τερεινών	τερέν-ων
Dat.	μέλασι(ν)	μελαίναις	μέλασι(ν)	$ au$ έρε $\sigma$ ι $( u)$	τερείναις	τέρεσι(ν)
Acc.	μέλαν-as	μελαίνᾶς	μέλαν-α	τέρεν- <b>α</b> ς	τερείν <del>α</del> ς	τέρεν-α

Like  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{a}s$  is declined one adjective:  $\tau \dot{a}\lambda \bar{a}s$ ,  $\tau \dot{a}\lambda a \nu a$ ,  $\tau \dot{a}\lambda a \nu$  wretched.

a.  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{a}s$  is for  $\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu - s$  by 37, 96. With the exception of  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \bar{a}s$  and  $\tau \dot{a}\lambda \bar{a}s$ , adjective stems in  $\nu$  reject s in the nom. sing.  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda a \nu \iota$  for  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda a \nu - \iota \iota$  96 a, 250 N. The feminine forms  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda a \nu a$  and  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\rho \epsilon \iota \nu a$  come from  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda a \nu - \iota a$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\rho \epsilon \nu - \iota a$  by 111. The vocatives  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda a \nu$  and  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\rho \epsilon \nu$  are rare, the nominative being used instead.

299. Stems in  $\nu\tau$  occur in a few adjectives and in many participles (301).  $\chi a\rho i \epsilon s$  graceful and  $\pi as$  all are declined thus:

			SINGULAR			
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc. Voc.	χαρίεις χαρίεντ-ος χαρίεντ-α χαρίεντ-α χαρίεν	χαρίεσσα χαριέσσης χαρίεσσα-ν χαρίεσσα	χαρίεν χαρίεντ-ος χαρίεν χαρίεν χαρίεν	πᾶς παντ-ός παντ-ί πάντ-α πᾶς	πάσα πάσης πάση πάσα-ν πάσα	πάν παντ-ός παντ-ί πάν πάν
N. A. V. G. D.		χαριέσσ <del>α</del> χαριέσσαιν	DUAL χαρίεντ-ε χαριέντ-οιν	٠,		
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	χαρίεντ-ες χαρίεντ-ων χαρίεσι(ν) χαρίεντ-ας	χαρίεσσαι χαριεσσῶν χαριέσσαις χαριέσσ <u>α</u> ς	Υαρίεντ-α Χαριέντ-ων Χαριέστι(ν) Χαρίεντ-α	πάντ-ες πάντ-ων πάσι(ν) πάντ-ας	πάσαι πασών πάσαις πάσας	πάντ-α πάντ-ων πάσι(ν) πάντ-α

299 D. Hom. has αἰματόεσσα bloody, σκιδεντα shadowy, but τἶμῆs and τἶμήεις valuable, τἶμῆντα and τἶμήεντα. Doric has sometimes -âs, -âντος for -áεις,
-άεντος, as φωνᾶντα. Attic poetry often has the open forms -όεις, -όεσσα.

Like χαρίεις are inflected πτερόεις winged, φωνήεις voiced, δακρυόεις tearful. Adjectives in -όεις and -ήεις are generally poetical or Ionic. φωνήεντα meaning vowels is always open.

a.  $\chi$  apleis,  $\pi$  as are derived from  $\chi$  apievr-s,  $\pi$  avr-s by 100;  $\chi$  aplev from  $\chi$  apievr-by 133. The  $\bar{a}$  of  $\pi$  av (for  $\pi$  av ( $\tau$ )-) is irregular and borrowed from  $\pi$  as. Com-

pounds have α : απαν, σύμπαν.

- b. From cariet- is derived carless a with  $\sigma\sigma$ , not  $\tau\tau$ , by 114 a. cariet- is a weak form of the stem cariett-; it appears also in carlest for cariet- $\sigma\iota$  (98). Participles in - $\epsilon\iota$ s (307) form the feminine from the strong stem - $\epsilon\iota\tau$  +  $\iota$ a.  $\pi\hat{a}\sigma a$  stands for  $\pi a \nu \tau \sigma a$  out of  $\pi a \nu \tau \iota a$  (113 a).  $\pi a \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ,  $\pi a \sigma \iota$  are accented contrary to 252; but  $\pi a \nu \tau \delta s$ ,  $\pi a \nu \tau \ell$ ,  $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$  are regular.
- c. Adjectives in -6εις contract, as μελιτοῦς, μελιτοῦττα, μελιτοῦν, G. μελιτοῦντος, μελιτούττης, etc. (μελιτόεις honied). πτερδεις has πτεροῦντα, πτεροῦσσα. So in names of places: 'Αργεννοῦσσαι Argennusae for -δεσσαι; 'Ραμνοῦς, -οῦντος, for 'Ραμνδεις, -δεντος.

## DECLENSION OF PARTICIPLES

- **300.** Like  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\alpha}s$ ,  $-\dot{\eta}$ ,  $-\dot{\alpha}\nu$  are inflected all the participles of the middle, and the future passive participle.
- 301. Participles of the active voice (except the perfect, 309), and the acrist passive participle have stems in  $\nu\tau$ . The masculine and neuter follow the third declension, the feminine follows the first declension.
- a. Most stems in  $o\nu\tau$  make the nom. sing. masc. without s, like  $\gamma\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$  (243). But stems in  $o\nu\tau$  in the present and second aorist of  $\mu\iota$ -verbs ( $\delta\iota\delta\sigma\dot{s}$ ,  $\delta\sigma\dot{s}$ ), and all stems in  $\alpha\nu\tau$ ,  $\epsilon\nu\tau$ ,  $\nu\nu\tau$ , add s, lose  $\nu\tau$  (100), and lengthen the preceding vowel (-ovs, - $\bar{a}$ s, - $\epsilon\iota$ s, - $\bar{\nu}$ s, 37). In like manner the dat. pl. is formed:  $-o\nu\tau$ - $\sigma\iota$  =  $-o\nu\sigma\iota$ , etc.
- N. The stem of participles in - $\omega\nu$ , - $o\nu\tau$ os was originally  $\omega\nu\tau$ .  $\gamma\epsilon\rho\omega\nu$  was originally a participle.
  - b. The nominative neuter of all participles drops final  $\tau$  of the stem (133).
- c. The perfect active participle (stem  $o\tau$ ) has  $-\omega s$  in the masculine, -os in the neuter.  $-\omega s$  and -os are for  $-\omega \tau -s$ ,  $-\omega \tau -s$ .
- d. The feminine singular is made by adding  $\iota a$  to the stem. Thus,  $\lambda \delta o \nu \sigma a$  ( $\lambda \bar{\nu} o \nu \tau \iota a$ ),  $o \bar{\nu} \sigma a$  ( $\delta \nu \tau \iota a$ ),  $i \sigma \tau \bar{\alpha} \sigma a$  ( $i \sigma \tau a \nu \tau \iota a$ ),  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma a$  ( $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota a$ ). The perfect adds  $-\nu(\sigma) \iota a$ , as in  $\epsilon l \delta \nu i a$ .
  - 302. The vocative of all participles is the same as the nominative.
- 303. Participles in  $-\omega v$ ,  $-\bar{\alpha}s$ ,  $-\epsilon cs$ , -ovs,  $-\bar{v}s$  frequently use the masculine for the feminine in the dual.
- **304**. The accent of monosyllabic participles is an exception to 252: ἄν, ὅντος (not ὀντός), στάς, στάντος.
- **305.** Participles in  $-\omega v$ ,  $-\omega v\sigma a$ ,  $-\omega v$  ( $\omega$ -verbs):  $\lambda \tilde{v} \omega v$  loosing (stem  $\lambda \tilde{v} \omega v \tau$ -),  $\tilde{\omega} v$  being (stem  $\tilde{\sigma} v \tau$ -).

**<sup>305</sup> D.** In the feminine of participles from stems in  $o\nu\tau$ ,  $a\nu\tau$  (306), Aeolic has  $-o\iota\sigma a$ ,  $-a\iota\sigma a$  ( $\lambda \delta o\iota\sigma a$ .  $\lambda \delta \sigma a\iota\sigma a$ ), and  $-a\iota s$  in the masculine ( $\lambda \delta \sigma a\iota s$ ).

GREEK GRAM. - 6

			SINGULAR			
	Masc.	Fem.	Neut.	Masc.	Fem.	Neut.
N. V.	λτίων	<b>λύ</b> ουσα	λῦον	űν	οὖσα	őν
Gen.	λΰοντ-ος	λῦούσης	λύοντ-ος	ὄν <b>τ-</b> 0ς	οΰσης	őντ-os
Dat.	λύοντ-ι	λΰούση	λΰοντ-ι	ὄντ-ι	ούση	ὄντ-ι
Acc.	λΰοντ-α	λΰουσα-ν	λῦον	ὄντ-α	οὖσ <b>α</b> -ν	őν
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	λύοντ-ε	λῦούσᾶ	λΰοντ-ε	ŏv⊤-€	οὔσα	őv⊤-€
G. D.	λῦόντ-οιν	λῦούσαιν	λῦόντ-οιν	ὄντ-οιν	οὕσαιν	ὄντ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	λύοντ-ες	λύουσαι	λύοντ-α	őv <b>⊤-</b> €S	ούσαι	ὄντ-α
Gen.	λῦόντ-ων	λῦουσών	λυόντ-ων	ὄντ-ων	ούσῶν	ὄντ-ων
Dat.	λύουσι(ν)	λυούσαις	λύουσι(ν)	οὖσι(ν)	οὔσαις	οὖσι(ν)
Acc.	λύοντ-ας	λῦούσᾶς	λύοντ-α	őντ-as	οὔσᾶς	ὄντ-α

So are inflected  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$  educating,  $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \nu$  writing,  $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$  bearing.

a. All participles in  $-\omega\nu$  are inflected like  $\lambda \delta \omega\nu$ , those in  $-\omega\nu$  having the accent of  $\check{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\check{\delta}\nu\tau os$ , etc.; as  $\lambda \iota\pi \check{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\lambda \iota\pi o\hat{\upsilon}\sigma a$ ,  $\lambda \iota\pi \acute{\sigma}\nu$  having left. Such participles are from  $\omega$ -verbs, in which o is a part of the tense suffix.

b. Like participles are declined the adjectives  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\omega}\bar{\nu}\sigma$ a,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$  willing,  $\ddot{a}\kappa\omega\nu$ ,  $\ddot{a}\kappa\sigma\nu$ a,  $\dot{a}\kappa\sigma\nu$  unwilling (for  $\dot{a}\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\omega\nu$ , etc.), G.  $\ddot{a}\kappa\sigma\nu$ σος,  $\dot{a}\kappa\sigma\dot{\nu}\sigma$ ης,  $\ddot{a}\kappa\sigma\dot{\nu}\sigma$ ος.

306. Participles in -ās, -āσα, -aν: λύσās having loosed, ίστάς setting.

•		,	SINGULAR			
N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	λύσας λύσαντ-ος λύσαντ-ι λύσαντ-α		λῦσαν λύσαντ-ος λύσαντ-ι λῦσαν	ίστάς ίστάντ-ος ίστάντ-ι ίστάντ-α	ίστ <b>ά</b> ση	ίστάντ-ι
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	λύσαντ-ε	λ <del>υ</del> σ <b>ά</b> σα	λΰσαντ-ε	ίστάντ-€	ίστ <b>ά</b> σᾶ	ίστάντ-ε
G. D.	λῦσάντ-οιν	λυσάσαιν	λῦσάντ-οιν	ίστάντ-οιν	<b>ίστά</b> σαιν	ίστάντ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	λύσαντ-ες	λύσασαι	λύσαντ-α	ἱστάντ-ες	ίστᾶσαι	ίστάντ-α
Gen.	λῦσάντ-ων	λῦσᾶσῶν	λυσάντ-ων	ίστάντ-ω <b>ν</b>	ίστασῶν	ἱστάντ-ων
Dat.	λύσασι(ν)	λυσάσαις	λΰσᾶσι(ν)	ιστασι(ν)	ίστάσαις	ίστᾶσι(ν)
Acc.	λύσαντ-as	λῦσάσας	λύσαντ-α	ίστάντ-ας	ίστ <b>ά</b> σᾶς	ίστάντ-α

So are declined παιδεύσας having educated, στήσας having set.

307. Participles in -εις, -εισα, -εν; -ους, -ουσα, -ον (μι-verbs): τιθείς placing, διδούς giving.

#### SINGULAR

N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	τιθείς τιθέντ-ος τιθέντ-ι τιθέντ-α	τιθείσα τιθείσης τιθείση τιθείσα-ν	τιθέν τιθέντ-ος τιθέντ-ι τιθέν	διδούς διδόντ-ος διδόντ-ι διδόντ-α	διδούσα διδούσης διδούση διδούσα-ν	διδόν διδόντ-ος διδόντ-ι διδόν
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	τιθέντ-ε	τιθείσα	τιθέντ-€	διδόντ-ε	διδούσᾶ	διδόντ-ε
G. D.	τιθέντ-οιν	τιθείσαιν	τιθέντ-οιν	διδόντ-οιν	διδούσαιν	διδόντ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	τιθέντ-ες τιθέντ-ων	τιθείσαι τιθεισών	τιθέντ-α τιθέντ-ων	διδόν-τες διδόντ-ων	διδούσαι διδουσών	διδόντ-α διδόντ-ων
Dat.	τιθεῖσι(ν)	τιθείσαις	τιθεῖσι(ν)	διδοῦσι(ν)	διδούσαις	διδοῦσι(ν)
Acc.	τιθέντ-ας	τιθείσᾶς	τιθέντ-α	διδόντ-ας	διδούσᾶς	διδόντ-α

So are inflected  $\theta \epsilon is$  having placed,  $\pi a i \delta \epsilon v \theta \epsilon is$  having been educated,  $\lambda v \theta \epsilon is$  having been loosed,  $\delta o v s$  having given.

a. In participles with stems in  $o\nu\tau$  of  $\mu\iota$ -verbs the o belongs to the verb-stem.

308. Participles in -ῡs, -ῡσα, -υν: δεικνύ̄s showing, φτ̄s born.

#### SINGULAR

N. V. Gen. Dat. Acc.	δεικνΰς δεικνύντ-ος δεικνύντ-ι δεικνύντ-α	δεικνῦσα δεικιύσης δεικιύση δεικνῦσα-ν	δεικνύν δεικνύντ-os δεικνύντ-ι δεικνύν	φύς φύντ-ος φύντ-α φύντ-α	φῦσα φῦσης φῦση φῦσα-ν	φύν φύντ-ος φύντ-ι φύν
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	δεικνύντ-ε	δεικνΰσᾶ	δεικνύντ-ε	φύντ-ε	φύσα	φύντ-ε
G. D.	δεικνύντ-οιν	δεικνύσαιν	δεικνύντ-οιν	φύντ-οιν	φύσαιν	φύντ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	δεικνύντ-ες	δεικνῦσαι	δεικνύντ-α	φύντες	φῦσαι	φύντ-α
Gen.	δεικνύντ-ων	δεικνῦσῶν	δεικνύντ-ων	φύντων	φῦσῶν.	φύντ-ων
Dat.	δεικνῦσι(ν)	δεικνύσαις	δεικνῦσι(ν)	φῦσι(ν)	φύσαις	φῦσι(ν)
Acc.	δεικνύντ-ας		δεικνύντ-α	φύντ-ας	φύσᾶς	φύντ-α

**309.** Perfect active participles in -ωs, -υια, -os: λελυκώs having loosed, είδώς knowing.

**<sup>309</sup> a. D.** Hom. has  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\delta}s$ , G.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\dot{\sigma}rs$ , etc., Hdt.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}s$ , G.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}rs$ , etc. Some editions have  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\omega}\tau\alpha$  in Hom.

			SINGULAR			
N. V.	λελυκώς	λελυκυΐα	λελυκός	είδώς	είδυῖα	εἰδός
Gen.	λελυκότ-ος	λελυκυίας	λελυκότ-ος	εἰδότ-ος	είδυίας	είδότ-ος
Dat.	λελυκότ-ι	λελυκυία	λελυκότ-ι	εἰδότ-ι	είδυία	εἰδότ-ι
Acc.	λελυκότ-α	λελυκυΐα-ν	λελυκός	είδότ-α	είδυῖα-ν.	είδός
			DUAL			
N.A.V	. λελυκότ-ε	λελυκυία	λελυκότ-ε	εἰδότ-ε	εὶδυία	εἰδότ-ε
G. D.	λελυκότ-οιν	λελυκυίαιν	λελυκότ-οιν	εἰδότ-οιν	€ίδυίαιν	είδότ-οιν
			PLURAL			
N. V.	λελυκότ-ες	λελυκυῖαι	λελυκότ-α	είδότ-ες	είδυῖαι	είδότ-α

Gen. λελυκότ-ων λελυκυιών λελυκότ-ων εἰδότ-ων κίδυιῶν είδότ-ων Dat. λελυκόσι(ν) λελυκυίαις λελυκόσι(ν) είδόσι(ν) είδυίαις είδόσι(ν) Acc. λελυκότ-ας λεληκηίᾶς λελυκότ-α είδότ-ας είδυίᾶς elδότ-α

So are inflected πεπαιδευκώς, πεπαιδευκυΐα, πεπαιδευκός having educated; γεγονώς, γεγονύς horn.

- a. ἐστώς standing (contracted from ἐσταώς) is inflected ἐστώς, ἐστῶσα, ἐστός, G. ἐστῶτος (with irregular accent, from ἐσταότος), ἐστώσης, ἐστῶτος; pl. N. ἐστῶτες, ἐστῶσαι, ἐστῶτα, G. ἐστώτων, ἐστωσῶν. So τεθνεώς, τεθνεῶσα, τεθνεός dead.
- N.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\delta s$  (the usual spelling in the neut. nom.) has  $-\delta s$  (not  $-\delta s$ ) in imitation of  $\dot{\epsilon}i\delta\delta s$  and of forms in  $-\kappa\delta s$ , thus distinguishing the neuter from the masculine.
- 310. Contracted Participles. The present participle of verbs in  $-a\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\omega$ , and the future participle of liquid verbs (401) and of Attic futures (538) are contracted.  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  honouring,  $\pi o \hat{\omega} \nu$  making, are thus declined:

## SINGULAR

N. V.	(τῖμάων)	τῖμῶν	(τῖμάουσα)	τϊμώσα	(τῖμάον)	τϊμῶν
Gen.	(τιμάοντος)	τῖμῶντ-ος	(τῖμαούσης)	τϊμώσης	(τῖμάοντος)	τῖμῶντ-ος
Dat.	(τῖμάοντι)	τῖμῶντ-ι	(τῖμαούση)	τῖμώση	(τῖμάοντι)	τῖμῶντ-ι
Acc.	(τῖμάοντα)	τῖμῶντ-α	(τῖμάουσαν)	τὶμῶσα-ν	(τῖμάον)	τϊμών
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	(τῖμάοντε)	τϊμῶντ-€	(τῖμαούσᾶ)	τϊμώσα	(τῖμάοντε)	τῖμῶντ-ε
G. D.	(ττμαόντοιν)	τῖμώντ-οιν	(τῖμαούσαιν)	ττμώσαιν	(ττμαόντοιν)	ττμώντ-οιν
			PLURAL	•		
N. V.	(τῖμάοντες)	τϊμῶντ-ες	(τῖμάουσαι)	τϊμώσαι	(τιμάοντα)	τϊμῶντ-α
Gen.	(τῖμαόντων)	τῖμώντ-ων	(τῖμαουσῶν)	τῖμωσῶν	(τῖμαδντων)	τῖμώντ-ων
Dat.	(τῖμάουσι)		(τῖμαούσαις)	τιμώσαις	(τῖμάουσι)	τϊμῶσι(ν)
Acc.	(τιμάοντας)	τϊμώντ-ας	(τῖμσούσᾶs)	τιμώσᾶς	(τϊμάοντα)	τῖμῶντ-α

<sup>310</sup> D. Aeolic has also τίμαις, ποίεις, δήλοις from τίμαμι, ποίημι, δήλωμι.

#### SINGULAR

N. V.	(ποιέων)	ποιῶν	(ποιέουσα)	ποιοῦσα	(ποιέον)	ποιοῦν
Gen.	(ποιέοντος)	ποιοθντ-ος	(ποιεούσης)	ποιούσης	(ποιέοντος)	ποιοῦντ-ος
Dat.	(ποιέοντι)	ποιοῦντ-ι	(ποιεούση)	ποιούση	(ποιέοντι)	ποιοῦντ-ι
Acc.	(ποιέοντα)	ποιοῦντ-α	(ποιέουσαν)	ποιοῦσα-ν	(ποιέον)	ποιούν

#### DUAL

N. A. V.	(ποιέοντε)	ποιοῦντ-€	(ποιεούσᾶ)	ποιούσα	(ποιέοντε)	ποιοῦντ-€
G. D.	(ποιεόντοιν)	ποιούντ-οιν	(ποιεούσαιν)	ποιούσαιν	(ποιεόντοιν)	ποιούντ-οιν

#### PLURAL

N. V.	(ποιέοντες)	ποιοῦντ-ες	(ποιέουσαι)	ποιοῦσαι	(ποιέοντα)	ποιοῦντ-α
Gen.	(ποιεδντων)	ποιούντ-ων	(ποιεουσῶν)	ποιουσῶν	(ποιεόντων)	ποιούντ-ων
Dat.	(ποιέουσι)	ποιοῦσι(ν)	(ποιεούσαις)	ποιούσαις	(ποιέουσι)	ποιοῦσι(ν)
Acc.	(ποιέοντας)	ποιοῦντ-ας	(ποιεούσᾶs)	ποιούσᾶς	(ποιέοντα)	ποιοῦντ-α

a. The present participle of  $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}$  ( $\delta\eta\lambda\delta\omega$ ) manifest is inflected like  $\pi o \iota\hat{\omega}\nu$ : thus,  $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambdao\hat{\nu}\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambdao\hat{\nu}\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambdao\hat{\nu}\tau\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambdao\hat{\nu}\tau\sigma\sigma$ ,  $\delta\eta\lambdao\hat{\nu}\tau\sigma\sigma$ , etc.

## ADJECTIVES OF IRREGULAR DECLENSION

**311.** The irregular adjectives μέγας great (stems μεγα- and μεγαλο-) and πολός much (stems πολυ- and πολλο-) are thus declined:

#### SINGULAR

Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc. Voc.	μέγας μεγάλου μεγάλφ μέγαν μεγάλε	μεγάλη μεγάλης μεγάλη μεγάλην μεγάλη	μέγα μεγάλου μεγάλφ μέγα μέγα	πολύς πολλοῦ πολλῷ πολύν	πολλή πολλῆς πολλῆ πολλήν	πολύ πολλοῦ πολλῷ πολύ
			DUAL			
N. A. V.	μεγάλω	μεγάλπ	μεγάλω			
G. D.	μεγάλοιν	μεγάλαιν	μεγάλοιν			
			PLURAL			
N. V.	μεγάλοι	μεγάλαι	μεγάλα	πολλοί	πολλαί	πολλά
Gen.	μεγάλων	μεγάλων	μεγάλων	πολλῶν	πολλῶν	πολλῶν
Dat.	μεγάλοις	μεγάλαις	μεγάλοις	πολλοῖς	πολλαῖς	πολλοῖς
Acc.	μεγάλους	μεγάλας	μεγάλα	πολλούς	πολλάς	πολλά

<sup>311</sup> D. Hom, has some forms from the stem πολυ- (πουλυ-) which are not Attic: G. πολέος, N. pl. πολέες, G. πολέων, D. πολέεσοι (250 D. 2), πολέσσι and πολέσι,

- a Except in the forms  $\mu \ell \gamma as$ ,  $\mu \ell \gamma a \nu$ ,  $\mu \ell \gamma a$ , the adjective  $\mu \ell \gamma as$  is inflected as if the nominative sing. mase were  $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda os$ .  $\mu \ell \gamma as$  is sometimes found in the voc. sing. Except in  $\pi \sigma \lambda \delta s$ ,  $\pi \sigma \lambda \delta v$ ,  $\pi \sigma \delta \delta v$ , the adjective  $\pi \sigma \lambda \delta s$  is inflected as if the nominative sing, mase were  $\pi \sigma \delta \lambda \delta s$ .
  - b. The stem  $\pi$ oddo- is from  $\pi$ odyo-, i.e.  $\pi$ odfo-,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{E}}$  being assimilated to  $\lambda\lambda$ .
- c.  $\pi\rho\hat{q}os\ mild$  forms its masc. and neuter sing, and dual from the stem  $\pi\rho qo$ ; its fem. in all numbers from the stem  $\pi\rho qo$ , as nom.  $\pi\rho qo$  for  $\pi\rho qo$ , as nom.  $\pi\rho qo$ , for  $\pi\rho qo$ , as nom.  $\pi\rho qo$ , for  $\pi\rho qo$ , etc. In the plural we have

N. V.	πρậοι or πρᾶεῖς	πρᾶεῖαι	πρᾶα or πρ <b>αέα</b>
Gen.	πράων οι πρᾶέων	πρᾶειῶν	πράων or πρ <del>αέων</del>
Dat.	πράοις or πρ <del>αέσ</del> ι(ν)	πραείαις	πράοις Οι πραέσι(ν)
Acc.	πράους	πρᾶείᾶς	πρậα οι πρᾶέα

d. Some compounds of  $\pi o \dot{v}s$  foot  $(\pi o \delta^-)$  have  $-o v \dot{v}$  in the nom. sing. neut. and sometimes in the acc. sing. masc. by analogy to  $\dot{a}\pi \lambda o \hat{v}s$  (290). Thus,  $\tau \rho l \pi o v s$  three-footed,  $\tau \rho l \pi o v v$  (but acc.  $\tau \rho l \pi o \delta a$  tripod).

#### ADJECTIVES OF ONE ENDING

312. Adjectives of one ending have the same termination for masculine and feminine. The neuter (like masc. and fem.) sometimes occurs in oblique cases. Examples: ἀγνώς ἀγνῶτ-ος unknown or unknowing, ἄπαις ἄπαιδ-ος childless, ἀργῆτ-ος white, ἄρπαξ ἄρπαγ-ος rapacious, μάκαρ μάκαρ-ος blessed, ἀκάμας ἀκάμαντ-ος unwearied. Here belong also certain other adjectives commonly used as substantives, as γυμνής γυμνῆτ-ος light armed, πένης πένητ-ος poor, φυγάς φυγάδ-ος fugitive, ἢλιξ ἢλικ-ος comrade, ἀλαζών ἀλαζόν-ος flatterer. Some are masculine only, as ἐθελοντής (-οῦ) volunteer. Adj. in -is -iδος are feminine only: Ἑλληνίς Greek, πατρίς (scil. γῆ) fatherland, συμμαχίς (πόλις) an allied state.

## COMPARISON OF ADJECTIVES

313. Comparison by -τερος, -τατος. — The usual endings are:

For the comparative:  $-\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  m.  $-\tau\epsilon\rho\bar{\alpha}$  f.  $-\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  n. For the superlative:  $-\tau\alpha\tau\sigma$  m.  $-\tau\alpha\tau\eta$  f.  $-\tau\alpha\tau\sigma\nu$  n.

The endings are added to the masculine stem of the positive. Comparatives are declined like  $\delta \xi \omega_s$ , superlatives like  $\delta \gamma a \theta \dot{\omega}_s$  (287).

δῆλος (δηλο-) clear, δηλό-τερος, δηλό-τατος ; lσχῦρός (lσχῦρό-) strong, lσχῦρό-τερος, lσχῦρό-τατος ; μέλaν -) black, μέλaν-τερος, μέλaν-τατος ; βαρύς (βαρυ-) heavy, βαρύ-τερος, βαρύ-τατος ; aληθής (aληθεσ-) true, aληθέσ-τερος, aληθέσ-τατος ; eύκλεέσ-τατος ; eύκλεέσ-τατος .

A.  $\pi$ oλέαs. Hom. has also  $\pi$ oλλός,  $\pi$ oλλός,  $\pi$ oλλός (like ἀγαθός), and these forms are commonly used by Hdt.  $\pi$ oνλός (for  $\pi$ ολός) is sometimes fem. in Hom.

- a. χαριέστερος, -έστατος are from χαριετ-τερος, -τατος (83, 299 b), from χαρίεις graceful. Compounds of χάρις grace add o to the stem (χαριτ-ο-), whence έπιχαριτώτερος more pleasing. πένης poor has πενέσ-τερος from πενετ-τερος, with ε for η.
- b. Originally -τεροs had no other force than to contrast one idea with another, and this function is retained in δεξίτεροs right) (ἀρίστεροs left, ἡμέτεροs our) (ὑμέτεροs your. Hom. has several such words: ἀγρότεροs wild) (tame, θηλύτεραι γυναῖκεs) (men, cp. Arcadian ἀρρέντεροs from ἄρρην male. Cp. 1082 b.
- 314. Adjectives in -os with a short penult lengthen o to ω: νέο-ς new, νεώ-τερος, νεώ-τατος, χαλεπό-ς difficult, χαλεπώ-τερος, χαλεπώ-τατος. An undue succession of short syllables is thus avoided.
- a. If the penult is long either by nature or by position (144), o is not lengthened: λεπτός lean, λεπτότερος, λεπτότατος. A stop and a liquid almost always make position here (cp. 145); as πικρός bitter, πικρότερος, πικρότατος. κενός empty and στενός narrow were originally κενρος, στενρος (Ionic κεινός, στεινός, 37 D. 1), hence κενότερος, στενότερος.
- **315**. The following drop the stem vowel o: γεραίο-s aged, γεραί-τεροs, γεραίτατοs; παλαίο-s ancient, παλαί-τεροs, παλαί-τατοs; σχολαίο-s slow, σχολαί-τεροs, σχολαί-τατοs; φίλο-s dear, φίλ-τεροs (poetic), φίλ-τατοs (319, 11).
- a. Some other adjectives reject the stem vowel o and end in -aitepos, -aitatos, as houxos quiet, isos equal,  $\delta\rho\theta\rho$ ios early. These, like  $\sigma\chi$ oλaitepos and  $\gamma\epsilon\rho$ os, imitate  $\pi$ aλaite $\rho$ os, which is properly derived from the adverb  $\pi$ άλai long ago. So  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ aite $\rho$ os, -aitatos imitate  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ ai- in Hom.  $\mu\epsilon\sigma$ ai- $\pi$ óλios middle-aged.
- 316. -εστερος, -εστατος. By imitation of words like  $d\lambda\eta\theta$ έσ-τερος,  $d\lambda\eta\theta$ έσ-τατος (313), -εστερος, -εστατος are added to stems in or and to some in oo (contracted to ov). Thus, εὐδαίμων happy, εὐδαίμον-έστερος, -έστατος;  $d\pi\lambda$ ούς simple,  $d\pi\lambda$ ούστερος (for  $d\pi\lambda$ ο-εστερος),  $d\pi\lambda$ ούστατος; εὔνους well-disposed, εὐνούστερος, -ούστατος, and so in all others in -νους from νοῦς mind. (Others in -οος have -οωτερος:  $d\theta$ ροώτερος more crowded from  $d\theta$ ρόος.)
- a. Some stems in ον substitute ο for ον; as (from ϵπιλήσμων forgetful, ϵπιλησμονϵσ-τεροs) ϵπιλησμό-τατοs; πίων fat, πῖότεροs, πῖότατοs; πϵπων ripe has πϵπαι-τεροs, πεπαίτατοs. Cp. 315 a.
- b. Other cases: (with loss of o) ἐρρωμένο-s strong, ἐρρωμενέστεροs, -έστατοs, ἄκρᾶτο-s unmixed, ἀκρᾶτέστατοs, ἄσμενο-s glad, ἄφθονο-s abundant.
- **317**. -ιστερος, -ιστατος. By imitation of words like  $\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\rho l\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho c$  for  $\dot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\rho l\tau$  τερος (83) from  $\ddot{\alpha}\chi\alpha\rho l\tau$  disagreeable, -ιστερος, -ιστατος are used especially with adjectives of a bad meaning, as κλεπτ-ίστατος (κλέπτης thief, 321), κακηγορ-ίστερος (κακήγορος abusive), λαλ-ίστερος (λάλος talkative).
- 318. Comparison by  $-i\omega\nu$ ,  $-i\omega\tau\sigma s$ . Some adjectives add to the root of the positive the endings  $-i\omega\nu$  for the masculine and feminine,  $-i\omega\nu$
- 314 a. D. Hom. διζυρώτατος (but cp. Att. οἰζυρός), λᾶρώτατος (λἄερώτατος?). 318 D. Hom. and Doric poetry have also -των, which is as old as -των. Forms in -των, -ιστος are much commoner in poetry than in prose. Hom. has βάθιστος (βαθύς deep), βράσσων (βραχύς short), βάρδιστος (βραδύς slow), κύδιστος (κῦδρός glorious), ἄκιστος (ἀκύς quick).

for the neuter to form the comparative, and  $-\iota\sigma\tau\circ -\eta - \circ\nu$  to form the superlative. The vowel (or the syllable  $\rho\circ$ ) standing before  $\circ$  of the nominative is thus lost.

Positive	COMPARATIVE	SUPERLATIVE
ήδ-ύ-s sweet (ἡ ἡδ-ονή pleasure) ταχ-ύ-s swift (τὸ τάχ-ος swiftness) μέγ-α-s great (τὸ μέγ-εθος greatness) ἀλγεινός painful (τὸ ἄλγ-ος pain) αἰσχ-ρό-ς shameful (τὸ αἶσχ-ος shame) ἐχθ-ρό-ς hateful, hostile (τὸ ἔχθ-ος hate)	ήδ-των θάττων (112, 125 f) μείζων (116) άλγ-των αὶσχ-των έχθ-των	ἥδ-ιστος τάχ-ιστος μέγ-ιστος ἄλγ-ιστος αἴσχ-ιστος ἔχθ-ιστος

Forms in  $-i\omega\nu$  are declined like  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\tau i\omega\nu$  (293), those in  $-\omega\tau$  ike  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta\dot{\phi}s$  (287).

319. Irregular Comparison. — The commonest adjectives forming irregular degrees of comparison by reason of the sound changes or because several words are grouped under one positive, are the following. Poetic or Ionic forms are in ( ).

1. ἀγαθός $good$	άμείνων (from άμεν-ῖων)	•
	(ἀρείων)	<b>ἄριστος</b> (ἀρ-ετή vir- tue)
	βελτίων	βέλτιστος
	(βέλτερος, not in Hom.)	(βέλτατος, not in Hom.)
(κρατύς powerful)	κρείττων, κρείσσων	κράτιστος
(cp. κράτος strength)	(κρέσσων)	(κάρτιστος)
	. (φέρτερος)	(φέρτατος, φέριστος)
	<b>λώων</b> (λωίων, λωίτερος)	λῷστος
2. κακός $bad$	κακίων (κακώτερος) peior	κάκιστος
	χείρων (χερείων) meaner, de- terior (χειρότερος, χερειό- τερος)	χείριστος
	ἥττων, ἥσσων (for ἡκ-ῖων) weaker, inferior (ἔσσων)	(ἥκιστος, rare), adv. ἥκιστα least of all
3. kadós beautiful	καλλίων	<b>κάλλιστος</b> (κάλλ-os beauty)
4. μακρός long	μακρότερος (μάσσων)	μακρότατος (μήκι-
5. μέγας great	μείζων 318 (μέζων)	στος) μέγιστος

**<sup>319</sup>** D. Hom. has also κερδαλέος gainful, crafty, κερδίων, κέρδιστος; ριζίων, δίγιστος more, most dreadful (cp. ρίγος cold, ριζηλός chilling), κήδιστος (κηδείος dear, κήδος care).

6. μῖκρός small	μϊκρότερος	μῖκρότατος
(έλάχεια, f. of έλαχύς)	έλάττων, έλάσσων (for έλα-	<b>ἐλάχιστος</b>
	$\chi \bar{\iota} \omega \nu)$	
	μείων	(μεῖστος, rare)
7. odívos little, pl. few	ολείζων (inscriptions)	όλίγιστος
*	(ὑπ-δλίζων Hom. rather less)	
8. πολύς much, pl. many	πλείων, πλέων, neut. πλέον, πλείν	πλεῖστος
9. ράδιος easy	ράων (Ion. ρηίων)	<b>ρ</b> άστος
(ρηίδιος)	(ἡηίτερος)	(δηίτατος, δήιστος)
10. Taxús quick	θάττων, θάσσων	τάχιστος
	(ταχύτερος)	(ταχύτατος)
11. plas dear	(φίλτερος)	φίλτατος
	φιλαίτερος (Xenoph.)	φιλαίτατος (Xenoph.)
	(φιλίων, rare in Hom.)	

a. ἀμείνων, ἄριστος express aptitude, capacity or worth (able, brave, excellent); βελτίων, βέλτιστος, a moral idea (virtuous); κρείττων, κράτιστος, force and superiority (strong) (ἤττων is the opposite of κρείττων); λώων means more desirable, more agreeable (ὧ λῷστε my good friend); κακίων, κάκιστος express moral perversity, cowardice; χείρων, χείριστος, insufficiency, lack of a quality (less good) (worthless, good for nothing is φαῦλος).

b. ἐλάττων, ἔλάττον, ἐλάχιστος refer to size: smaller (opposed to μείζων); or to multitude: fewer (opp. to πλείων). μείων, μείον, ἢττον, ἢκιστα also belong

both to  $\mu \bar{\iota} \kappa \rho \delta s$  and to  $\delta \lambda i \gamma \sigma s$ .

c. The orators prefer the longer form of  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ , especially the contracted  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega s$ , but the neut.  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega s$  is not contracted from  $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega s$ .

**320.** Defectives. — Some comparatives and superlatives are derived from prepositions or adverbs:

(πρό before)	πρ $δτ$ ερος $former$	πρῶτοs first
$(b\pi\epsilon\rho \ over, beyond)$	ὑπέρτερος (poetic) higher,	ὑπέρτατος (poetic) high-
	superior.	$est, \ supreme.$
(πλησίον near)	πλησιαίτερος	<b>π</b> λησιαίτατος
(προύργου serviceable)	προυργιαίτερος ύστερος later, latter	ύστατος latest, last

- a. -ατος appears in υπατος highest, εσχατος farthest, extreme (from έξ).
- 321. In poetry and sometimes in prose comparatives and superlatives are formed from substantives and pronouns. Hom. has βασιλεύτερος more kingly,

<sup>320</sup> D. Hom. has ὁπλότερος younger, ὁπλότατος. Several defectives denote place; ἐπασσύτερος (ἆσσον nearer), παροίτερος (πάροιθεν before), μυχοίτατος (μυχοί in a recess). -ατος in μέσατος, μέσσατος (μέσος middle), πύματος last, νέατος lowest. For ὕστατος Hom. has ὑστάτιος; and δεύτατος last from δεύτερος second.

-τατος (βασιλεύς king), έταιρότατος a closest companion (έταῖρος comrade), κύντερος more doglike, -τατος (κύων dog), κουρότερος more youthful (κοῦρος a youth). Aristophanes has κλεπτίστατος most thievish (κλέπτης thief, 317), and αὐτότατος his very self, ipsissimus.

- **322.** Double Comparison. A double comparative occurs sometimes to produce a comic effect, as κυντερώτερος (321). A double superlative is πρώτωτος.
- 323. Comparison by  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma v$ ,  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \iota \tau \tau a$ . Instead of the forms in  $-\tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ ,  $-\tau a \tau \sigma s$  or  $-\bar{\iota} \omega v$ ,  $-\iota \sigma \tau \sigma s$  the adverbs  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma v$  more,  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$  most, may be used with the positive; as  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma v$  φίλος more dear, dearer,  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$  φίλος most dear, dearest. This is the only way of comparing participles and words that do not take the comparative and superlative endings ( $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \sigma v$   $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \omega v$  more willing).
- a. Comparison by  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o r$ ,  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda i \sigma \tau a$  is common in the case of compound adjectives, adjectives with a prepositional prefix, verbal adjectives in  $-\tau \delta s$ , and adjectives in  $-\iota o s$ .
- **324.** To express equality or inferiority οὕτω as (often in correlation with "ωσπερ), ਂηττον less, may be placed before the positive. Thus, as good as hand-some may be expressed by οὕτως ἀγαθὸς ιραπερ καὶ καλός, ιραπερ ἀγαθὸς οὕτω καὶ καλός, οὖχ ਂηττον καλὸς ਂη καὶ ἀγαθὸς.

#### **PRONOUNS**

325. The Personal Pronouns. — The pronouns of the first, second, and third person are declined as follows:

....

Acc.	ἡμᾶς	ὑμᾶς	σφᾶς
Dat.	ἡμῖν	<del>ບໍ່</del> μ <b>ີ</b> ເν	σφίσι(ν)
Gen.	ήμῶν	ΰμῶν	σφῶν
Nom.	ήμεις we	$\dot{f v}$ μεῖς $you$	σφεῖς they
		PLURAL	
G. D.	νῷν	σφῷν	
N. A.	vú we two	σφώ you two	
		DUAL	
Acc.	ἐμέ; με enclitic	σέ; σε enclitic	ë; è enclitic
Dat.	έμοί; μοι enclitic	σοί; σοι enclitic	ol; ol enclitic
Gen.	έμοῦ; μου enclitic	σοῦ; σου enclitic	οὖ; οὐ enclitic
Nom.	$\dot{\epsilon}$ γώ $I$	σύ thou	he, she, it (325 d)
		SINGULAR	

325 D. 1. Homer inflects the personal pronouns as follows. (The forms  $\dot{a}\mu\mu$ -,  $\dot{\nu}\mu\mu$ - are Aeolic).

**2.** The enclitic forms  $\mu o \nu$ ,  $\mu o \iota$ ,  $\mu \epsilon$ ;  $\sigma o \nu$ ,  $\sigma o \iota$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon$  are used when the pronoun is unemphatic, the longer forms  $\epsilon \mu o \hat{\nu}$ ,  $\epsilon \mu o \hat{\iota}$ ,  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$  and the accented  $\sigma o \hat{\nu}$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ ,  $\sigma \epsilon$  are

```
SINGULAR
                                σύ, τύνη
        έγώ, έγών
Nom.
        έμεῖο, έμέο, έμεῦ,
                                σείο, σέο, σεο (encl.
                                                            είο, εο, εο (encl.),
                                                               ευ, ευ (encl.),
           μευ (encl.), έμέθεν
                                   Α 396), σεῦ,
Gen.
                                                               έθεν, έθεν (encl.)
                                   σευ (encl.), σέθεν
                                σοί, τοι (encl.), τέν
                                                            éoî, oî, oi (encl.)
Dat.
        έμοί, μοι (encl.)
                                σέ, σε (encl.)
                                                            έξ, ξ, ξ (encl.), μιν (encl.)
Acc.
        ěμέ, με (encl.)
                                           DUAL
                                                            σφωε (encl.)
N. A.
                                σφῶϊ, σφώ
        νῶϊ, νώ
                                                            σφωϊν (encl.)
G. D.
                                σφῶϊν, σφῷν (δ 62)
        νωϊν
                                         PLURAL
                                ύμεις, ύμμες (and voc.)
Nom.
        ήμεις, άμμες
        ἡμείων, ἡμέων
                                ύμείων, ύμέων
                                                            σφείων, σφέων,
Gen.
                                                               σφεων (encl.), σφών
         ήμιν, άμμι(ν)
                                ύμιν, ύμμι(ν)
                                                            \sigma \phi (\sigma \iota(\nu), \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota(\nu) \text{ (encl.)},
Dat.
                                                               σφιν (encl.)
         ἡμέας, ἄμμε
                                                            σφέας, σφεας (encl.),
                                ύμέας, ύμμε
Acc.
                                                               σφε (encl.)
```

 $\sigma\phi\epsilon$  (encl.) is used as accus. of all genders and numbers. 2. Herodotus inflects the personal pronouns as follows:

#### SINGULAR Nom. έμέο, έμεῦ, μευ (encl.) σέο, σεῦ, σευ (encl.) ஸ் (encl.) Gen. σοί, τοι (encl.) oi (encl.) Dat. époi, por (encl.) Acc. έμέ, με (encl.) σέ, σε (encl.) ¿ (encl.), μιν (encl.) PLURAL σφείς Nom. ήμεῖς ນໍ່ມະເີຣ σφέων, σφεων (encl.) Gen. ἡμέων **ὑμέων** σφίσι, σφισι (encl.) ขึ่นเเิง Dat. ήμιν σφέας, σφεας (encl.), neut. ήμέας ύμέας Acc. σφεα (encl.)

σφίσι is used for ἐαυτοῖς, -αῖς; σφι (encl.) for αὐτοῖς, -αῖς; σφεα (encl.) for αὐτά.

3. Ionic  $\mu_{l}\nu$  (encl.) is used in all genders (eum, eam, id), but not in the plural. ἄμμι, ὅμμε occur a few times, σέθεν often, in tragedy.

4. The chief forms peculiar to Doric are: I. έγών also before consonants; G. έμέος, έμοῦς, έμεῦς; D. έμίν; Pl. N. ἀμές; G. ἀμέων, ἀμῶν; D. ἀμίν(τ), ἄμιν; A. ἀμέ. Π. τύ, τόνη; G. τέος, τεοῦς, τεῦς, τέο, τεοῦ; D. τίν, τίνη; A. τέ, τίν, τύ; Pl. N. ὑμές; G. ὑμέων; D. ὑμίν, ὑμιν; A. ὑμέ. III. G. ἐοῦς, ἐοῦ; D. ρίν; A. νίν; Pl. G. σφείων, ψέων; D. φίν, ψίν; A. σφέ, ψέ.

used when the pronoun is emphatic. Thus, dos  $\mu_0 = \tau \delta$  behavior give me the  $\delta \rho o k$ , ods  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu_0 i$ , dadd soi  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi_i \beta_0 v \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} v \sigma i$  they are plotting not against one, but against you. See 187 a. On the use after prepositions see 187 N. 2.

b. For έγω, έμωί, σύ the emphatic έγωγε, έμωιγε (186 a), σύγε occur. Also έμωθγε, έμέγε.

c. The use of the plural you for thou is unknown in Ancient Greek; hence bue's is used only in addressing more than one person.

- d. Of the forms of the third personal pronoun only the datives of and  $\sigma\phi t\sigma\iota(\nu)$  are commonly used in Attic prose, and then only as indirect reflexives (1228). To express the personal pronouns of the third person we find usually:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\bar{\iota}\nu\sigma$ , obvos, etc., in the nominative (1194), and the oblique forms of  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}$  in all other cases.
- e. For the accus, of of the tragic poets use  $\mu\nu$  (encl.) and  $\sigma\phi\epsilon$  (encl.) for mase, and fem., both sing, and pl. (= eum, eam; eos, eas). Doric so uses  $\mu\nu$ ,  $\sigma\phi\iota\nu$  is rarely singular (ei) in tragedy.
- f.  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{c}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{a}s$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\hat{c}\nu$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\hat{a}s$ , when unemphatic, are sometimes accented in poetry on the penult, and  $-\bar{\iota}\nu$  and  $-\bar{a}s$  are usually shortened. Thus,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\omega\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha s$ ,  $\ddot{b}\mu\omega\nu$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\nu$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\alpha s$   $-\bar{\iota}\nu$  and  $-\bar{a}s$  are sometimes shortened even if the pronouns are emphatic, and we have  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{a}s$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$ ,  $\dot{b}\mu\dot{a}s$ .  $\sigma\phi\dot{a}s$  occurs for  $\sigma\phi\hat{a}s$ .
- 326. Stems. I.  $(\dot{\epsilon})\mu\epsilon$  (cp. Lat. me),  $\nu\omega$  (cp. Lat.  $n\bar{o}$ -s),  $(\dot{\epsilon})\mu$ o-,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ o $\hat{v}$  is from  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}o$ ;  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ is from  $\dot{d}\mu\mu\epsilon$ -es (37) with the rough breathing in imitation of  $\dot{v}\mu\epsilon$ is;  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$  from  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\omega}$ s from  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ as with  $\bar{a}$  not  $\eta$  by 56.  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  is not connected with these stems. II.  $\sigma\nu$  and  $\sigma\epsilon$  from  $\tau_{f}\epsilon$ ;  $\tau$ -;  $\sigma\phi\omega$ -;  $\dot{v}\mu\epsilon$  from  $\dot{v}\mu\mu\epsilon$  (37). III.  $\dot{\epsilon}$  for  $\sigma_{f}\epsilon$  (cp. Lat. se),  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$  for  $\sigma\epsilon_{f}\epsilon$ ,  $o\hat{i}$  for  $\sigma_{f}$ o-i, and  $\sigma\phi\epsilon$ -. The form of the stems and formation of the cases is often obscure.

## 327. The Intensive Pronoun αὐτός. — αὐτός self is declined thus:

SINGULAR DUAL PLURAL

Fem. Neut. Masc. Fem. Neut. Masc. Fem. Neut. Masc. Νοπ. αὐτοί αὐταί αὐτά Νοπ. αὐτός αὐτή αὐτό Ν. Α. αὐτώ αὐτά αύτώ Gen. αὐτοῦ αὐτῆς αὐτοῦ G. D. αὐτοῖν αὐταῖν αὐτοῖν Gen. αὐτῶν αὐτῶν αὐτῶν Dat. αὐτοῖς αὐταῖς αὐτοῖς Dat. αὐτῷ αὐτῆ αὐτῷ Αcc. αὐτόν αὐτήν αὐτό Αςς, αὐτούς αὐτᾶς αὐτα

αὐτόs is declined like ἀγαθόs (287), but there is no vocative and the neuter nominative and accusative have no - $\nu$ . But  $\tau$ αὐτό $\nu$  the same is common (328 N.).

- 328.  $a \tilde{v} \tau \delta s$  is a definite adjective and a pronoun. It has three meanings:
- a. self: standing by itself in the nominative, αὐτὸς ὁ ἀνήρ οι ὁ ἀνήρ αὐτὸς the man himself, or (without the article) in agreement with a substantive or pronoun; as ἀνδρὸς αὐτοῦ of the man himself.

**<sup>327</sup>** D. Hdt. has αὐτέων in the genitive plural. For the crasis ωὐτός (Hom.), ωὐτός, τωὐτό (Hdt.), see 68 D.

himnelf homeelf itself

- b. him, her, it, them, etc.: standing by itself in an oblique case (never in the nominative). The oblique cases of aὐτόs are generally used instead of οὖ, οἶ, ἔ, etc., as ὁ πατὴρ αὐτοῦ his father, οἱ παίδες αὐτῶν their children.
- c. same: when it is preceded by the article in any case: ὁ αὐτὸς ἀνήρ the same man, τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀνδρός of the same man.
- N.—The article and  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}s$  may unite by crasis (68 a):  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}s$ ,  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}$  or  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}v$ ;  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}\hat{\rho}s$ ;  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}\hat{\rho}s$ ,  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\rho}s$ , etc. Distinguish  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\eta}s$  the same f. from  $a\ddot{\nu}\tau\eta$  this f.;  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{q}s$  from  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\eta$ .
- 329. Reflexive Pronouns. The reflexive pronouns (referring back to the subject of the sentence) are formed by compounding the stems of the personal pronouns with the oblique cases of airós. In the plural both pronouns are declined separately, but the third person has also the compounded form. The nominative is excluded by the meaning. There is no dual.

	туѕеij	ingseij	number, nerser, weer
Dat.	έμαυτοῦ, -ῆς έμαυτῷ, -ῆ έμαυτόν, -ήν	σεαυτοῦ, -ῆs (σαυτοῦ, -ῆs) σεαυτῷ, -ῆ (σαυτῷ, -ῆ) σεαυτόν, -ήν (σαυτόν, -ήν)	έαυτοῦ, -ῆς, -οῦ (αὑτοῦ, -ῆς, -οῦ) ἑαυτῷ, -ῆ, -ῷ (αὑτῷ, -ῆ, -ῷ) ἑαυτόν, -ήν, -ὁ (αὑτόν, -ήν, -ὁ)
	ourselves	yourselves	themselves
Gen.	ήμῶν αὐτῶν	ύμων αύτων	έαυτών or σφών αὐτών
Dat.	ήμιν αὐτοῖς, -αῖς	ύμ <b>ιν αὐτοις, -αις</b>	έαυτοῖς, -αῖς, -οῖς ΟΓ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς, -αῖς
Acc.	ήμας αὐτούς, -τίς	ύμας αὐτούς, -ας	έαυτούς, -άς, -ά or σφας αὐ- τούς, -άς

- a. For  $\dot{\epsilon}av\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ , etc., we find  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{i}s$ ,  $-a\hat{i}s$ ,  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{o}vs$ ,  $-a\hat{s}s$ . Distinguish  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{v}$  of himself from  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{o}\hat{v}$  (328).
- 330. Possessive Pronouns. Possessive pronouns, formed from the stems of the personal pronouns, are declined like ἀγαθός, ἄξως (287).

ἐμός ἐμή ἐμόν my, my own; mine
 ἡμέτερος -ā -oν our, our own; ours
 τός σή σόν thy, thine own; thine
 ὑμέτερος -ā -oν your, your own; yours
 τός η ον his (her, its) own
 σφέτερος -ā -ον their own

- **329 D.** Hom. never compounds the two pronouns: thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$  autifs, so a autif, of autifi, if autifu. Hdt. has a few cases of the uncompounded forms; usually  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\omega\nu\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ ,  $-\tau\hat{\omega}$ ,  $-\tau\delta\nu$ ,  $\sigma\epsilon\omega\nu\tau\sigma\hat{v}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $-\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $-\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ , and  $\sigma\phi\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$  autifu, etc. The forms with  $\epsilon\omega\nu$  started with  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\hat{\omega}$  in the dative from  $\dot{\epsilon}o(\hat{\iota})$  autifu}, and spread thence to the other cases.
- **330 D.** I. Hom. has also  $\tau \epsilon \delta s$  thy,  $\dot{\epsilon} \delta s$  for  $\ddot{o}s$  his, her own,  $\dot{a}\mu \dot{o}s$  our,  $\dot{v}\mu \dot{o}s$  your,  $\sigma \phi \dot{o}s$  their (rarely of the singular),  $\nu \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  of us two,  $\sigma \phi \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  of you two. For  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{o}s$  Attic poetry may use  $\dot{a}\mu \dot{o}s$  (sometimes printed  $\dot{a}\mu \dot{o}s$ ) our.
  - 2. ős, éós in Hom. may mean my own, your own (1230 a).

- a. Distinguish the adjectival from the pronominal use:  $\delta \epsilon \mu \delta s \phi i \lambda \delta s$  or  $\delta \phi i \lambda \delta s$   $\delta \epsilon \mu \delta s my friend (adj.)$  from  $\phi i \lambda \delta s \delta s$   $\delta \epsilon \mu \delta s$   $\delta s$  friend of mine (pron.). See 1196 a.
  - b. ös is not used in Attic prose. For his, her, its, αὐτοῦ, -η̂s, -οῦ are used.
- 331. Reciprocal Pronoun. The reciprocal pronoun, meaning one another, each other, is made by doubling the stem of ἄλλος (ἀλλ-αλλο-). It is used only in the oblique cases of the dual and plural. (Cp. alii aliorum, alter alterius).

		DUAL			PLURAL	
Gen.	άλλήλοιν	άλλήλαιν	άλλήλοιν	άλλήλων	ἀλλήλων	•
Dat. Acc.	άλλήλοιν 4) ) 4)	άλλήλαιν άλλήλ <del>α</del>	άλλήλοιν *>>	άλλήλοις		άλλήλοις "\\-\-
ALUU.	άλλήλω	αλληλα	ἀλλήλω	άλλήλους	ἀλλήλας	ἄλληλα

332. The Definite Article. — The definite article  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$  (stems  $\dot{\delta}$ -,  $\dot{\tau}$ -) is thus declined:

SINGULAR			DUAL	PLURAL					
	τοῦ τῷ	τῆς τῆ	τοῦ τῷ	N. A. G. D.		Gen. Dat.	τῶν τοῖς	αί τῶν ταῖς τ <b>ά</b> ς	τοίς

- a. The definite article is a weakened demonstrative pronoun, and is still used as a demonstrative in Homer (1100).
- b.  $\tau \acute{a}$  (especially) and  $\tau a \acute{i} \nu$ , the feminine forms in the dual, are very rare in the authors, and are unknown on Attic prose inscriptions of the classical period.
- 333. Demonstrative Pronouns. The chief demonstrative pronouns are ὅδε this (here), οὖτος this, that, ἐκεῖνος that (there, yonder).

### SINGULAR

					τούτο τούτου			
Dat.	τῷδ€	τῆδε	τούτω	ταύτη	τούτω τοῦτο	έκείνω	ἐκείνη	ἐκείνῳ

**<sup>333</sup> D.** For τοῖσδε Hom. has also τοῖσδεσσι or τοῖσδεσι. Doric has n. pl. τούτοι, ταύται, gen. pl. fem. ταυτᾶν (Aeol. ταὐτᾶν). κεῖνος occurs in Ildt. (together with ἐκεῖνος). Doric and Aeolic have κῆνος.

#### DUAL

Ν. Α. τώδε τώδε τώδε τούτω τούτω τούτω ἐκείνω ἐκείνω ἐκείνω Ε. Β. τοίνδε τοίνδε τούνδε τούνδε τούτοιν τούτοιν τούτοιν ἐκείνοιν ἐκείνοι ἐκεί

#### PLURAL

ดบ์ชดเ ekelval ekelval ekelva Nom. o'SE αΐδε τά.δε ดบ์ชดเ ταθτα έκείνων έκείνων έκείνων τώνδε τώνδε τώνδε τούτων τούτων τούτων Gen. Dat. τοίσδε ταίσδε τοίσδε τούτοις ταύταις τούτοις έκείνοις έκείναις έκείνοις τούσδε τάσδε τάδε τούτους ταύτας ταθτα EKELVOUS EKELVÄS EKELVA Acc.

- a.  $\delta\delta\epsilon$  is formed from the old demonstrative  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  this or that, with the indeclinable demonstrative (and enclitic) ending  $-\delta\epsilon$  here (cp.  $\hbar\bar{\iota}$ -c from  $\hbar\bar{\iota}$ -ce, Fr. ce-ci). For the accent of  $\ddot{\eta}\delta\epsilon$ ,  $o''\delta\epsilon$ ,  $a''\delta\epsilon$  see 186.
- b. obros has the rough breathing and  $\tau$  in the same places as the article. ov corresponds to the o, av to the a, of the article. For obros as a vocative, see 1288 a. (obros is from  $\dot{o}$  + the particle \*v + the demonstrative suffix  $\tau o + s$ ).
- c. ἐκεῖνος has a variant form κεῖνος in poetry, and sometimes in prose (Demosthenes). (ἐκεῖνος stands for ἐκε(ι)-ενος from ἐκεῖ there + suffix -ενος.)
  - d. Other demonstrative pronouns are

τοσόσδε τοσήδε τοσόνδε so much, so many pointing forward τοιόσδε τοιάδε τοιόνδε such (in quality)  $\tau$ ηλικήσδε τηλικήσδε τηλικόνδε so old, so great (to what follows).

These are formed from  $-\delta\epsilon$  and the (usually) poetic  $\tau \delta \sigma os$ ,  $\tau o \hat{i} os$ ,  $\tau \eta \lambda l \kappa os$  with the same meanings.

e. Combinations of the above words and οῦτος are

τοσοῦτος τοσούτη τοσοῦτο(v) so much, so many pointing backward τοιοῦτος τοιαύτη τοιοῦτο(v) such (in quality) tηλικοῦτος τηλικοῦτο(v) so old, so great pointing backward (to what precedes).

The forms in  $-\nu$  are more common than those in -o. Attic prose inscriptions have only  $-o\nu$ .

- f. The dual rarely has separate feminine forms.
- g. The deictic suffix  $\bar{\iota}$  may be added to demonstratives for emphasis. Before it  $\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon$ , o are dropped. Thus,  $\delta\delta t$  this man here,  $\dot{\eta}\delta t$ ,  $\tau o\delta t$ , G.  $\tau ov\delta t$ , etc.; où $\tau o\sigma t$ , a $\dot{v}\tau\dot{\eta}t$ ,  $\tau ov\tau t$ , où $\tau o\check{t}$ ,  $\tau ov\tau \omega v$ . So with other demonstratives and with adverbs:  $\tau o\sigma ov\tau o\sigma t$ , où $\tau \omega \sigma t$ , while For  $\bar{\iota}$  we have, in comedy,  $-\gamma \bar{\iota}$  or (rarely)  $-\delta\bar{\iota}$  formed from  $\gamma(\epsilon)$ ,  $\delta(\epsilon) + i$ . Thus, a $\dot{v}\tau\eta\gamma\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\tau ov\tau o\gamma\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\tau ov\tau o\delta t$ .
- 334. Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns. The interrogative pronoun  $\tau$  is,  $\tau$  i who, which, what? never changes its accent to the grave (154). The indefinite pronoun  $\tau$  is,  $\tau$  i any one, some one, anything, something is enclitic (181 b).

<sup>333</sup> e, D. Hom. always, Hdt. rarely, has the final v.

**<sup>334</sup> D.** Hom, and Hdt, have G.  $\tau \epsilon \hat{o}$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \hat{v}$ , D.  $\tau \epsilon \hat{\omega}$  ( $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  Hom.), G.  $\tau \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ , D.  $\tau \epsilon \hat{o} \iota \sigma \iota$ . These forms are also indefinite and enclitic (gen.  $\tau \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  Hdt.). Hom, has  $\tilde{a} \sigma \sigma a$  for the indefinite  $\tau \iota \nu \hat{a}$ .

		Interrogative	SINGULAR		Indefinite	
Nom.	τίς	•	τί	τὶς		τὶ
Gen.		τίν-ος, τοῦ			τιν-ός, τοῦ	
Dat.		τίν-ι, τῷ			τιν-ί, τῷ	
Acc.	τίν-α		τί	τινά		્ જો
			DUAL			
N. A. V.		Tlv-E			τιν-έ	
G. D.		τίν-οιν			τιν-οίν	
*			PLURAL			•
Nom.	Tiv-es		τίν-α	TLV-ÉS		τιν-ά
Gen.		τίν-ων			τιν-ῶν	
Dat.		$\tau$ i- $\sigma$ i $(\nu)$			$ au\iota$ - $\sigma\iota( u)$	
Acc.	τίν-as	`,	τίν-α	τιν-άς	. ,	τιν-ά

- a.  $\alpha \tau \tau \alpha$  (not enclitic) is sometimes used for the indefinite  $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\alpha}$ .  $\alpha \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}$  is derived from such locutions as  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}$ , properly  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} + \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}$  (for  $\tau \iota \dot{\alpha}$ ).
- 335. ἄλλος. The indefinite pronoun ἄλλος another (Lat. alius, ep. 110) is declined like αὐτός: ἄλλος, ἄλλη, ἄλλο (never ἄλλον).
- 336.  $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ .—The indefinite pronoun  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ , always used with the article, means such a one. It is declined thus: sing.  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ ;  $\tau 0\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\eta}\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\tau 0\hat{\imath}$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ ;  $\tau 0\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\eta}\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\nu}$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ ; plur. (masc.) of  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ ;  $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu a$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ . Example:  $\delta$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$   $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu a$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$  is rarely indeclinable. Its use is colloquial and it occurs (in poetry) only in comedy.
- 337. Other indefinite pronominal adjectives are: ἔτερος, -ā, -ον: with article, the other, one of two, the one (Lat. alter, alteruter); without article, other, another, a second (alius). By crasis (69) ἄτερος, θάτερον, etc. ἐκάτερος, -ā, -ον: each (of two) uterque; pl. either party, both parties, as utrique. ἐκα-στος, -η, -ον: each, each one, every, every one, used of more than one (quisque). μόνος, -η, -ον: alone, only, sole. πᾶς (299): all, entire, every. The negatives οὐδείες, μηδείς (349 b) no one (poetical οὔτις, μήτις, in prose only οὔτι, μήτι, declined like τἰς; accent 186), Lat. nemo, nullus. οὐδέτερος, μηδέτερος neither of two (Lat. neuter).
- 338. Relative Pronouns.—The relative pronoun  $\delta s$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ ,  $\delta$  who, which, that is declined thus:

<sup>338</sup> D. 1. Hom. uses the demonstrative forms  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  (332) as relatives (1105). In this case the nom. pl. has  $\tau ol$ ,  $\tau al$  (332 D.).

<sup>2.</sup> Besides the forms in 338, Hom. has gen. 50 (miswritten 500) and \$75.

<sup>3.</sup> Hdt. has  $\delta s$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \delta$ ,  $o\tilde{t}$ ,  $a\tilde{t}$ ,  $\tau \dot{a}$ . In the oblique cases he uses  $\tau o\tilde{v}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ , etc.; though, especially after prepositions capable of elision, he has the relative forms, as  $\delta i' o\tilde{v}$ ,  $\pi a \rho' \tilde{\psi}$ ,  $\kappa a \tau' \tilde{\eta} v$ ,  $\dot{v} \pi' \tilde{\omega} v$ ; also  $\dot{\epsilon} s \tilde{\sigma}$ .

SINGULAR				DUA	L		PLURAL				
Nom. Gen.	ős oขึ	•	ő ဝ <sup>ညိ</sup>	N. A. G. D.	త ంకే ::	ຜ ວໂນ	ຜິ	Nom. Gen.	ດໃ ພັນ	αἵ ພິນ	ຕໍ່. ຜິນ
Dat.	ຜູ້	•	တ <del>ို</del>	G. D.	OLV	OLV	ULV	Dat.		αls	
Acc.	őν	ήν	ő	•				Acc.	oขัร	äs	ű.

- a. The feminine dual forms a and aîv are seldom, if ever, used in Attic.
- b. is used as a demonstrative in Homer and sometimes in prose (1113).
- c. The enclitic particle  $-\pi\epsilon\rho$  may be added to a relative pronoun (or adverb) to emphasize the connection between the relative and its antecedent. Thus,  $\delta\sigma-\pi\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\delta-\pi\epsilon\rho$  the very person who, the very thing which; so  $\sigma\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  just as.  $\sigma\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  is declined like  $\sigma$ .
- d. Enclitic  $\tau\epsilon$  is added in  $\epsilon\phi$   $\phi\tau\epsilon$  on condition that, of  $\epsilon$  (186 a) able to, the inasmuch as.
- 339. The indefinite or general relative pronoun  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \tau \iota s$ ,  $\delta \tau \iota$  whoever (any-who, any-which), any one who, whatever, anything which, inflects each part ( $\delta s$  and  $\tau \iota s$ ) separately. For the accent, see 186.

	s	INGULAR	
Nom.	őστις	ท <del>ุ</del> ่าเร	ὄ τι
Gen.	οὖτινος, <b>ὅτου</b>	ήστινος	οὖτινος, <b>ὅτου</b>
Dat.	φτινι, ότω	ητινι	φτινι, ότφ
Acc.	<b>ὄντινα</b>	ήντινα	ő тı
		DUAL	•
N. A.	ὥτιν€	<b>ώτιν</b> ε	ὥτιν€
G. D.	οΐντινοιν	οἷντινοιν	οΐντινοιν
		PLURAL .	
Nom.	οἵτινες	aïtives	<b>äтıva, åтта</b>
Gen.	ώντινων, ὅτων	ὧντινων	ώντινων, ὅτων
Dat.	οΐστισι(ν), ὅτοις	αἷστισι $(v)$	οἷστισι(ν), ὅτοις
Acc.	ούστινας	ฉี๊อтเขตร	άτινα, άττα
503			. (

- a. The neuter  $\delta \tau_t$  is sometimes printed  $\delta, \tau_t$  to avoid confusion with the conjunction  $\delta \tau_t$  that, because.
- b. The shorter forms are rare in prose, but almost universal in poetry (especially  $\delta\tau\sigma\nu$ ,  $\delta\tau\psi$ ). Inscriptions have almost always  $\delta\tau\sigma\nu$ ,  $\delta\tau\psi$ ,  $\delta\tau\tau\alpha$ .
  - c. The plural  $a\tau\tau a$  is to be distinguished from  $a\tau\tau a$  (334 a).
- 339 D. Hom. has the following special forms. The forms not in () are used also by Hdt. In the nom. and acc. Hdt has the usual forms.

Nom.	SINGULAR (ötis)	(ö TTL)		PLURAL	ắσσa.
Gen.	(ὅττεο), (ὅττευ) ὅτευ	()		δτεων	
Dat.	ὅτεῳ΄			<b>ότ</b> έοισι	
Acc.	(ὅτινα)	(ὅ ττι)	(ὅτινας)		<i>ἄσ</i> σα
	CREEK CRAM 7				

- d. τis may be added to ὁπότερος, ὄσος, οίος (340) to make them more indefinite, as ὁποῖός τις of whatsoever kind.
- e.  $o\tilde{v}_{\nu}$ ,  $\delta\eta$ , or  $\delta\eta\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$  may be added to the indefinite pronouns to make them as general as possible, as  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\sigma\sigma\hat{v}_{\nu}$  (or  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota s$   $o\delta\nu$ ),  $\eta\tau\iota\sigma\sigma\hat{v}_{\nu}$ ,  $\delta\tau\iota\sigma\hat{v}_{\nu}$  any one whatever, any thing whatever, and so  $\delta\pi\iota\sigma\iota\sigma\tau\nu\sigma\hat{v}_{\nu}$ ,  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\sigma-\delta\eta-\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , or  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\sigma-\delta\eta-\pi\sigma\tau-\sigma\hat{v}_{\nu}$ . In these combinations all relative or interrogative force is lost.
- f. The uncompounded relatives are often used in an exclamatory sense, and sometimes as indirect interrogatives. Indefinite relatives may be used as indirect interrogatives.
- 340. Correlative Pronouns. Many pronominal adjectives correspond to each other in form and meaning. In the following list poetic or rare forms are placed in ().

Interrogative: Direct or Indirect	Indefinite (Enclitic)	Demonstrative	Relative (Specific) or Exclamatory	Indefinite Relative or Indirect Interrogative
τίs who? which? what? qui?	ris some one, any one, aliquis, quidam	(ὁ, ös) öδε this (here), hic οὖτος this, that is, ille ἐκεῖνος ille	ős who, which qui	öστις whoever, any one who quisquis, quicunque
πότερος which of two? uter?	πότερος or ποτερός one of two (rare)	έτερος the one or the other of two alter	òπότερος whichever of the two	οπότερος whichever of the two utercumque
πόσοs how much? how many? quan- tus? quot?	ποσόs of some quantity or number .	$( au\delta\sigma\sigma s)$ $ au\sigma\delta\sigma\delta\epsilon$ $ au\sigma\sigma\sigma v$ $ au\sigma\sigma v$	δσος as much as, as many as quantus, quot	òπόσος of whatever size, number quantuscumque, quotquot
ποίος of what sort? qualis?	ποιδs of some sort	(τοῖος) τοιόσδε τοιοῦτος	olos of which sort, (such) as qualis	όποῖος of whatever sort qualiscumque
πηλίκος how old? how large?	πηλίκος of some age, size	(τηλίκος)   so old,   so   young,   τηλικόσδε   so   large,   so   great	which age,	όπηλίκος of whatever age or size

**<sup>340</sup>** D. Hom. has (Aeolic)  $\pi\pi$  in  $\delta\pi\pi\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $\delta\pi\pi\sigma\delta\sigma$ s, and  $\sigma\sigma$  in  $\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$ s,  $\tau\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$ s, etc. Hdt. has  $\kappa$  for  $\pi$  in  $(\delta)\kappa\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $(\delta)\kappa\delta\sigma\sigma$ s,  $(\delta)\kappa\sigma\sigma$ s.

#### **ADVERBS**

341. Origin. — Adverbs, like prepositions and conjunctions, were originally case forms, made from the stems of nouns and pronouns. Some of these nominal and pronominal stems have gone out of common use, so that only petrified forms are left in the adverbs. Some of these words were still felt to be live cases; in others no consciousness of their origin survived. Many adverbs show old suffixes joined to the stem or to a case form (342). It is sometimes uncertain whether we should speak of adverbs or of nouns with local endings.

Nominative (rare): πύξ with clenched fist, ἄπαξ once, ἀναμίξ pell-mell.

Genitive:  $\xi \eta s$  day after to-morrow,  $\xi \xi \eta s$  next,  $\pi o \hat{v}$ , o  $\hat{v}$  where, a  $\hat{v} \circ \hat{v}$  in the very place,  $\xi \kappa \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} v$  out of the way  $(\xi \kappa + \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} v)$ ; by analogy,  $\xi \mu \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} v$  in one's way. Dative:  $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma \xi a$  public cost,  $\lambda d \theta \rho a$  in secret,  $\kappa o \iota v \hat{\eta}$  in common, etc. (1527 b),  $\delta \lambda \lambda \eta$  otherwise,  $\pi \hat{\eta}$  how.

Accusative: very common, especially such adverbs as have the form of the accusative of neuter adjectives, as πολύ much, μῖκρόν a little, πρῶτον at first, τήμερον to-day, πολλά often. See 1606-1611.

Locative: of κο-ι at home (οἶκο-s house), Ίσθμο-ῖ at the Isthmus, ποῖ whither, and all adverbs in -οι. The -ι of the consonantal declension is properly the ending of the locative, as in Μαραθῶν-ι at Marathon; -οισι (234) in 0 stems, in contrast to -οις; -ἄσι (-ησι) in Ā stems (215): θύρᾶσι at the doors, Πλαταιᾶσι at Plataea, ᾿Αθήνησι at Athens; further in πάλαι long ago, ἐκεῖ there, πανδημεί in full force.

Instrumental: ǎvw above, κάτω below, οὖπω not yet,  $\mathring{\omega}$ -δε thus (but the forms in - $\omega$  may be ablatives); κρυφ $\mathring{\eta}$  and  $\lambda d\theta_{\rho} \tilde{a}$  in secret.

Ablative: all adverbs in -ωs, as ωs as, οὕτωs thus, ἐτέρωs otherwise. Here, e.g. original ἐτερωδ (cp. Old Lat. altōd, abl. of altus) became ἐτερω (133), which took on -s from the analogy of such words as ἀμφίς parallel to ἀμφί.

342. Place. — To denote place the common endings are: —

-i, -θi, -σi at, in to denote place where (locative). -ov, the sign of the genitive, is also common.

 $-\theta \epsilon v$  from to denote the place whence (ablative).

 $-\delta\epsilon$  (- $\xi\epsilon$ ),  $-\sigma\epsilon$  to, toward to denote place whither.

In the following examples poetical words are bracketed.

οίκο-ι (οίκο-θι) at home

οϊκο-θεν  $from\ home$ 

οἴκαδε (οἶκόνδε) homeward (οἰκα- is an old accusative form.)

αλλο-θι elsewhere
or άλλ-αχ-οῦ

ἄλλο-θεν from elsewhere

ἄλλο-σε elsewhither

οι άλλ-αχ-οῦ

άλλ-αχ-ό-θεν άλλ-αχ-ό-σε

342 D. Hom. has many cases of the local endings, e.g. οὐρανό-θι in heaven, ἀγορῆ-θεν from the assembly; also after prepositions as a genitive case: ἐξ ἀλό-θεν out of the sea, Ἰλιό-θι πρό before Ilium. Cp. ἐμέθεν, σέθεν, ἔθεν, 325 D. 1. -δε in ἄλα-δε to the sea, πόλιν-δε to the city, πεδίον-δε to the plain, Ἰλιδόσ-δε to (the house of) Hades, ὅν-δε δόμον-δε to his house.

άμφοτέρω-θι on both sides	άμφοτέρω-θεν from both sides	(ἀμφοτέρω-σε to both sides)
παντ-αχ-οι in every direction	παντ-αχ-ό-θεν from every side πάντ-ο-θεν (rare)	παντ-αχ-ό-σε in all directions πάντ-ο-σε
αὐτοῦ in the very place	airi-0-0ev (rare) airi-0-0ev from the very place	αὐτό-σε to the very place
όμοῦ at the same place	ομό-θεν from the same place	όμό-σε to the same place
'Αθήνη-σι at Athens	'Αθήνη-θεν from Athens	'Aθήναζε to Athens
'Ολυμπία-σι at Olumpia	'Ολυμπία-θεν from Olumnia	'Ολυμπίαζε to Olympia

- a. In  $-\alpha \zeta \epsilon$ ,  $-\delta \epsilon$  is added to the accusative (1589), and stands for  $-\alpha(\nu)s$ , the old acc. pl.,  $+-\delta \epsilon$  (Eng.  $\tau o$ ). Cp. 26, 106. The other endings are added to the stem.  $-\sigma \epsilon$  is usually added only to pronominal stems.  $-\sigma \epsilon$  forms a locative plural. o sometimes takes the place of  $\bar{a}$  of the first declension ( $\dot{\rho} \dot{\iota} \dot{\zeta} o \delta \epsilon \nu$  from the root, stem  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\iota} \dot{\zeta} \bar{a}$ -), or is added to consonant stems. Words in  $-\tau \epsilon \rho o$  lengthen o to  $\omega$ . Between stem and ending  $a\chi$  is often inserted.
- b.  $-\theta \epsilon \nu$  may take the form  $-\theta \epsilon$  in poetry, and especially when the idea of whence is lost, as  $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon$  in front (134 I).).  $-\theta \alpha$  is found in  $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha$  in all dialects.  $-\theta \alpha$  for  $-\theta \epsilon \nu$  occurs in Aeolic and Doric.
- c. Some local adverbs are made from prepositions, as  $\tilde{a}\nu\omega$  above,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\omega$  outside,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\omega$  within,  $\kappa\dot{a}\tau\omega$  below,  $\pi\rho\dot{o}\sigma\theta\dot{e}\nu$  in front.
- 343. Manner. Adverbs of manner ending in  $-\omega_s$  have the accent and form of the genitive plural masculine with -s in place of  $-\nu$ .

δίκαιος	just	genitive	plural	δικαίων	δικαίως	justly
κακός	bad	. 46		κακῶν	κακώς	ill
άπλοῦς	simple	٤٠	44	<b>άπλ</b> ῶν	άπλῶς	simply
σαφής	plain	"	"	σαφῶν	σαφῶς	plainly
ήδύς	pleasant	44	4.4	ήδέων	ήδέως	pleasantly
σώφρων	prudent	44	4.4	σωφρόνων	σωφρόνως	prudently
ἄλλος	other	"	"	ἄλλων	ἄλλως	otherwise
πâs	all	44	44	πάντων	πάντως	in every way
űν	being	"	r c	ὄντων	ὄντως	really

- a. Adverbs in -ωs are not formed from the genitive plural, but are originally old ablatives from ο stems (341), and thence transferred to other stems. The analogy of the genitive plural assisted the transference.
- 344. Various Other Endings. Adverbs have many other endings, e.g.: -a: ἄμα at the same time, μάλα very, τάχα quickly (in Attic prose perhaps). -akis: πολλάκις many times, often, ἐκαστάκις each time, τοσαυτάκις so often, ὁσάκις as often as, πλειστάκις very often, ὁλιγάκις seldom, πλεονάκις more times. The forms without -s (ὁσάκι, πολλάκι) are earlier, and -s has been added by imitation of δls, τρls. -δην: συλλήβδην in short. -δον: ἔνδον within, σχεδόν almost. -ει:

πανδημεί in full levy (341, locative). -τε: ὅτε when (Aeolic ὅτα, Dor. ὅκα). -τι, -στι: ἐθελοντί voluntarily, Ἑλληνιστί in Greek (fashion).

345. Comparison of Adverbs.—In adverbs derived from adjectives the comparative is the same as the neuter singular of the comparative of the adjective; the superlative is the same as the neuter plural of the superlative adjective.

σοφώς	wisely	σοφώτερον	σοφώτατα
χαριέντως	gracefully	χαριέστερον	χαριέστατα ΄
εὐδαιμόνως	happily	εὐδαιμονέστερον	εὐδαιμονέστατα
καλῶς	well	κάλλῖον	κάλλιστα
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ήδιον	ἥδιστα
•		ήττον less (319, 2)	ήκιστα
<b>ເ</b> ນິ້	well .	ἄμεινον	άριστα
(adv. of d	γαθός good)		
μάλα	very	μᾶλλον	μάλιστα

a. Adverbs of place ending in  $\omega$ , and some others, retain  $\omega$  in the comparative and superlative.

ἄνω	above	άνωτέρω	άνωτάτω
πόρρω	afar	πορρωτέρω	πορρωτάτω

- b. έγγύς near has έγγύτερον (-τέρω), έγγυτάτω (-τατα rare). πρώ early has πρωϊαίτερον, πρωϊαίτατα.
- c. There are some forms in -ωs from comparatives: ἀσφαλεστέρωs (ἀσφαλέστερον) more securely, βελτίδνωs (βέλτίον) better. Superlatives in -ον are usually poetic; as μέγιστον.
- 346. Correlative Adverbs. Adverbs from pronominal stems often correspond in form and meaning. In the list on p. 102 poetic or rare words are in ( ).
- a. The demonstratives in () are foreign to Attic prose except in certain phrases, as kal is even thus, oid ( $\mu\eta\delta'$ ) is not even thus (op. 180 c);  $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ...  $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  here ... there,  $\xi\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  ( $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ) kal  $\xi\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  ( $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ ) from this side and that.  $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$  and  $\xi\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  are usually relatives,  $\xi\nu\theta\alpha$  taking the place of  $\delta\delta$  where and of whither, and  $\xi\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  of  $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$  whence.
  - b. τοτέ μέν . . . τοτέ δέ is synonymous with ποτέ μέν . . . ποτὲ δέ.
- c. odr (339 e) may be added for indefiniteness:  $\delta\pi\omega\sigma$ odr in any way whatever,  $\delta\pi\sigma$ oderodr from what place soever.  $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is often used after interrogatives to give an intensive force, as in  $\tau$ ls  $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$  who in the world (as qui tandem); also with negatives, as in od $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$  never, od $\pi\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  never yet. Other negatives are oddahod nowhere, oddahod in no way, oddahod in no manner.

**<sup>346</sup>** D. 1. Hom. has (Aeolic)  $\pi\pi$  in  $\delta\pi\pi\omega$ s,  $\delta\pi\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$ ; Hdt. has  $\kappa$  for the  $\pi$ -forms, e.g.  $\kappa o \hat{\nu}$ ,  $\kappa o \hat{\nu}$ ,  $\delta \kappa o \nu$ ,  $\kappa \delta \tau \epsilon$ , etc. Hdt. has  $\epsilon \nu \theta a \hat{\nu} \tau a$ ,  $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \nu$  for  $\epsilon \nu \tau a \hat{\nu} \theta a$ ,  $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \theta e \nu$  (126 D.).

<sup>2.</sup> Poetic are πόθι for ποῦ, ὅθι for οῦ, ἡμος when, ἡ which way, where, etc.

	Interrogative : Direct and Indirect	Indefinite (Enclitic)	Demonstrative	Relative Specific	Indefinite Relative or Indirect Interrogative
	ποῦ where?	πού somewhere	(ἔνθα) ἐνθάδε, ἐνταῦθα there ἐκεῖ yonder	où where (ἔνθα where)	őπου where- (ever)
Place	πόθεν whence?	ποθέν from some place	(ἔνθεν) ἐνθένδε, ἐντεῦθεν thence ἐκεῖθεν from yonder	öθεν whence (ἔνθεν whence)	οπόθεν whence- (soever)
	ποî whither?	ποί to some place	(ἔνθα) ἐνθάδε, ἐνταῦθα thither ἐκεῖσε thither	of whither (ξνθα whither)	öποι whither- (soever)
	πότε when?	ποτέ some time, ever	τότε then	őτε when	δπότε when- (ever)
Time	πηνίκα at what time?	-	(τηνίκα) at τηνικάδε that τηνικαθτα time	ήνίκα at which time	όπηνίκα at which time
Way	πŷ which way? how?	πή some way, somehow	$( au\hat{\eta}) au\hat{\eta}\delta\epsilon, au$ a $ u$ $  au$	$\hat{y}$ in which way, as	öπη in which way, as
Manner	πωs how?	πώs somehow	(τώς), (ως) ωδε, ουτω(ς) thus, so, in this way εκείνως in that way	ús as, how	ὅπως how

### NUMERALS

**347.** The numeral adjectives and corresponding adverbs are as follows:

**<sup>347</sup>** D. 1. For the cardinals 1-4, see 349 D. Hom. has, for 12, δώδεκα (for  $\delta_{f}\omega$ -δεκα), δυώδεκα, and δυοκαίδεκα (also generally poetic); 20, εἴκοσι and ἐείκοσι; 30, τριήκοντα; 80, ὀγδώκοντα; 90, ἐνευήκοντα and ἐννήκοντα; 200 and 300, διηκόσιοι, τριηκόσιοι; 9000 and 10,000, ἐννεάχῖλοι, δεκάχῖλοι (-χειλοι?). He has also the ordinals 3d, τρίτατος; 4th, τέτρατος; 7th, ἐβδόματος; 8th, ὀγδόατος; 9th,

Sı	GN	CARDINAL	ORDINAL	Adverb
1	œ'	εls, μία, εν one	πρῶτος first	äπaξ once
2	β΄	δύο τισο	δεύτερος second	δίς twice
3	Ϋ́	τρεῖς, τρία three	τρίτος third	τρίς thrice
4	δ'	τέτταρες, τέτταρα	τέταρτος, -η, -ον	τετράκις
		(τέσσαρες, τέσσαρα)		
5	€′	πέντε	πέμπτος	πεντάκις
6	<b>ر</b>	έξ	ξκτος	έξάκις
7	ζ′	έπτά	<b>ἔβδομος</b>	έπτάκις
8	η′	όκτώ	ὄγδοος	όκτάκις
9	θ′	έννέα	ξνατος	ένάκις
10	ι'	δέκα	δέκατος, -η, -ον	δεκάκις
11	ια'	<b>ἔνδ</b> εκα	ένδέκατος	ένδεκάκις
12	ιβ΄	δώδεκα	δωδέκατος	δωδεκάκις
13	ιγ΄	τρεῖς (τρία) καὶ δέκα (οr τρεισκαίδεκα)	τρίτος καὶ δέκατος	τρεισκαιδεκάκις
14	ιδ΄	τέτταρες (τέτταρα) καὶ δέκα	τέταρτος καὶ δέκατος	τετταρεσκαιδεκάκις
15	ι€ <sup>′</sup>	πεντεκαίδεκα	πέμπτος καὶ δέκατος	πεντεκαιδεκάκις
16	15°	έκκαίδεκα (for έξκαίδεκα 103)	έκτος καὶ δέκατος	έκκαιδεκάκις
17	ιζ΄	έπτακαίδεκα	έβδομος καὶ δέκατος	έπτακαιδεκάκις
18	ιη΄	ὀκτωκαίδεκα	ὄγδοος καὶ δέκατος	όκτωκαιδεκάκις
19	ιθ'	έννεακαίδεκα	ένατος καὶ δέκατος	<b>ἐννεακαιδεκάκις</b>
20	κ'	εἴκοσι(ν)	είκοστός, -ή, -όν	εἰκοσάκις
21	κα΄	είς καὶ εἴκοσι(ν) or εἴκοσι (καὶ) είς	πρώτος καὶ εἰκοστός	είκοσάκις ἄπαξ
30	λ′	τριάκοντα	τριακοστός	τριᾶκοντάκις
<b>4</b> 0	μ΄	τετταράκοντα	τετταρακοστός	<b>τετταρακοντάκ</b> ις
50	ν'	πεντήκοντα	πεντηκοστός	πεντηκοντάκις
60	ξ'	έξήκοντα	έξηκοστός	έξηκοντάκις
70	· o′	έβδομήκοντα	έβδομηκοστός	έβδομηκοντάκις
80	π'	όγδοήκοντα	όγδοηκοστός	όγδοηκοντάκις

είνατος; 12th, δυωδέκατος; 13th, τρῖσ(τρεισ-?)καιδέκατος; 20th, ϵεικοστός; and the Attic form of each.

<sup>2.</sup> Hdt. has δυώδεκα (δυωδέκατος), τεσσερεσκαίδεκα indeclinable (τεσσερεσκαίδεκατος), τριήκοντα (τριηκοστός), τεσσεράκοντα, δηδώκοντα, διηκόσιοι (διηκοσιοστός), τριηκόσιοι: for ένατος he has είνατος, and so είνάκις, είνακόσιοι, είνακισχίλιοι.

<sup>3.</sup> Aeolic has  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon$  for 5 (cp. Hom.  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega \beta \delta \lambda \delta \nu$  five-pronged fork), gen. plur.  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega \nu$  inflected, as also  $\delta \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \rho a \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ , etc.; for 1000,  $\chi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \iota$ . Doric has, for 1,  $\hat{\eta}$ s (37 D. 2); 4,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \delta \nu \epsilon$ ; 6,  $\epsilon \epsilon \xi$ ; 7th,  $\epsilon \beta \delta \epsilon \mu \delta \nu$ ; 12,  $\delta \nu \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ ; 20,  $\epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \iota$ ; 40,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \kappa \delta \nu \tau \alpha$  ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \kappa \delta \tau \delta \delta \nu$ ); 200, etc.,  $\delta \iota \bar{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \iota \delta \iota$ , etc.; 1000,  $\chi \eta \lambda \iota \delta \iota$  and  $\chi \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \delta \iota$  (37 D. 2); for 1st,  $\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \delta s$ .

Si	GN	CARDINAL	ORDINAL	Adverb	
90	የ'	ένενήκοντα	ένενηκοστός	ἐνενηκοντάκις	
100	ρ'	έκατόν	έκατοστός, -ή, -όν	έκατοντάκις	
200	σ'	διακόσιοι, -αι, -α	δι <del>α</del> κοσιοστός	διακοσιάκις	
300	au'	τριᾶκόσιοι	τριᾶκοσιοστός	τριακοσιάκις	
400	υ′	τετρακόσιοι	τετρακοσιοστός	τετρακοσιάκις	
500	φ'	πεντακόσιοι	πεντακοσιοστός	πεντακοσιάκις	
600	x′	έξακόσιοι	έξακοσιοστός	έξακοσιάκις	
700	Ψ'	έπτακόσιοι	έπτακοσιοστός ΄	έπτακοσιάκις	
800	ω′	όκτακόσιοι	<b>ὀκτακοσιοστός</b>	όκτακοσιάκις	
900	<b>%</b>	ένακόσιοι	<b>ἐνακοσιοστό</b> ς	ένακοσιάκις	
1,000	,a	<b>χ</b> ίλιοι, -αι, -α	χιλιοστός, -ή, -όν	χϊλιάκις	
2,000	,β	δισχέλιοι	δισχιλιοστός	δισχīλιάκις	
3,000	γ,	τρισχίλιοι	τρισχιλιοστός	τρισχϊλιάκις	
10,000	,L	μύριοι, -αι, -α	μῦριοστός	μῦριάκις	
20,000	,ĸ	δισμύριοι	δισμυριοστός	δισμ <del>υ</del> ρλάκις	
100,000	Ą,	δεκακισμύριοι	δεκακισμῦριοστός	δεκακισμῦριάκις	

N. — Above 10,000 : δύο μῦριάδες 20,000, etc., μῦριάκις μόριοι, i.e. 10,000 × 10,000.

348. Notation. — The system of alphabetic notation came into use after the second century B.C. The first nine letters stand for units, the second nine for tens, the third nine for hundreds (27 letters). In addition to the 24 letters of the alphabet, three obsolete signs are employed:  $\varsigma$ , a form identical with the late abbreviation for  $\sigma\tau$ , in place of the lost  $_{\mathcal{F}}$  (3), once used for 6;  $_{\mathcal{F}}$  (koppa), in the same order as Lat.  $_{\mathcal{F}}$ , for 90; for 900,  $_{\mathcal{F}}$  sampi, probably for san, an old form of sigma, + pi. From 1 to 999 a stroke stands above the letter, for 1000's the same signs are used but with the stroke below the letter (a'=1, a=1000). Only the last letter in any given series has the stroke above:  $\rho\nu\xi'$  157,  $\nu\alpha'$  401,  $\rho\alpha\eta'$  1910.  $\ddot{a}$  is sometimes used for 10,000;  $\ddot{\beta}$  for 20,000, etc.

a. In the classical period the following system was used according to the inscriptions: I=1, IIII=4,  $\Gamma$  ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$ ) = 5,  $\Gamma I=6$ ,  $\Delta$  ( $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) = 10,  $\Delta \Delta$  = 20, H ( $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \delta \nu$ ) = 100, HH = 200, X = 1000, M = 10,000,  $\Gamma$  ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \kappa \iota s$ )  $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ ) = 50,  $\Gamma x X$  ( $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \delta \kappa \iota s$ )  $\chi t \lambda \iota \iota \iota \iota + \chi t \lambda \iota \iota \iota \iota$ ) = 6000.

b. For the numbers from 1 to 24 the letters, used in continuous succession, are frequently used to designate the books of the *Iliad* (A, B,  $\Gamma$ , etc.) and of the *Odyssey* (a,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , etc.).

349. The cardinals from 1 to 4 are declined as follows:

**<sup>349</sup> D.** Hom. has, for  $\mu la$ ,  $\ell a$  ( $l\hat{\eta}s$ ,  $l\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\ell a\nu$ ); for  $\ell \nu l$ ,  $l\hat{\omega}$ ;  $\delta \nu o$ ,  $\delta \nu \omega$  (undeclined); the adj. forms  $\delta o \iota \omega$  and pl.  $\delta o \iota o \iota$  regularly declined. For 4,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma a \rho e s$ , (Aeolic)  $\pi l \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ; Pind. has  $\tau \ell \tau \rho a \sigma \iota \nu$ . Hdt. has  $\delta \nu o$  sometimes undeclined, also  $\delta \nu \omega \nu$ ,  $\delta \nu o l \sigma \iota$ ;  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma e \rho e s$ , -a,  $\tau e \sigma \sigma \ell \rho \omega \nu$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma e \rho \sigma \iota$ ;  $\tau e \sigma \sigma e \rho e \sigma \kappa a l \delta e \kappa a$  14 undeclined. Aeolic  $\delta \nu e \sigma \iota \nu e s$ ;  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \nu \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \rho \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \sigma \rho \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \rho \rho e s$ ,  $\tau \ell \sigma \rho \rho e s$ ,

		one			two	th	ree	fo	ur
Nom.	€ÎŞ	μία	ἕν	N. A.	δύο	τρείς	τρία	τέτταρες	τέτταρα
Gen.	ένός	μιᾶς	ένός	G. D.	δυοῖν	τρι	ῶν	τεττ	ίρων
Dat.	ένί	μιậ	ěνί			трь	σί(ν)	τέττ	ιρσι(ν)
Acc.	ένα	μίαν	ἕν			τρεῖς	τρία	τέτταρας	τέτταρα

- a.  $\epsilon$ îs is for  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ -s (cp. 245). The stem  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  was originally  $\sigma\epsilon\mu$  (Lat. semel, simplex, singuli), weak forms of which are  $\ddot{a}$ - $\pi\alpha\xi$ ,  $\dot{a}$ - $\pi\lambda$ o $\hat{\nu}$ s, from  $\sigma\mu$ - $\pi$  (35 b).  $\mu$ ia stands for  $\sigma\mu$ - $\mu$ - $\alpha$ .
- b. oὐδὲ εἶs, μηδὲ εἶs not even one unite (with change in accent) to form the compounds οὐδείs, μηδείs no one. These words are declined like εἶs: thus, οὐδείs, οὐδεμα, οὐδέν, οὐδενόs, οὐδενόs, οὐδενόs, οὐδενόs, etc., and sometimes in the plural (no men, none or nobodies) οὐδένες, οὐδένων, οὐδέσι, οὐδέναs. For emphasis the compounds may be divided, as οὐδὲ εἶs not one. A preposition or αν may separate the two parts, as οὐδ ἀπὸ μιᾶs from not a single one, οὐδ ἀν ἐνί ne uni quidem.
- c.  $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau$ os (primus) means the first among more than two,  $\pi\rho\hat{\sigma}\tau\epsilon\rho$ os (prior) the first of two.
- d. δύο may be used with the gen. and dat. pl., as δύο  $\mu\nu\eta\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$  of two months. δυοίν occurs rarely with plurals:  $\pi\alpha\iota\sigma l\nu$ ... δυοίν D. 39. 32. δυείν for δυοίν does not appear till about 300 B.C.
- e. ἄμφω both, N. A. ἄμφω, G. D. ἀμφοῖν (Lat. ambo). But both is more commonly ἀμφότεροι,  $-\alpha\iota$ ,  $-\alpha$ .
  - f. For τέτταρες, -ράκοντα, etc., early Attic prose and tragedy have τέσσαρες, etc.
- g. The first numeral is inflected in τρεῖς καὶ δέκα 13, τέτταρες καὶ δέκα 14. τρεισκαίδεκα and Ionic τεσσερεσκαίδεκα (very rare in Attic) are indeclinable.
- 350. The cardinals from 5 to 199 are indeclinable; from 200 the cardinals, and all the ordinals from first on, are declined like ἀγαθός.
- a. Compound numbers above 20 are expressed by placing the smaller number first (with  $\kappa \alpha l$ ) or the larger number first (with or without  $\kappa \alpha l$ ).

δύο καὶ εἴκοσι(ν) two and twenty
εἴκοσι καὶ δύο twenty and two, οι εἴκοσι δύο twenty-two
εἰκοσι καὶ δύο twenty and two, οι εἴκοσι δύο twenty-two
εἰκοστὸς καὶ δεύτερος
555 = πέντε καὶ πεντήκοντα καὶ πεντακόσιοι οι πεντακόσιοι (καὶ) πεντήκοντα (καὶ)
πέντε.

- b. For 21st, 31st, etc.,  $\epsilon is$  (for  $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau os$ ) kal  $\epsilon iko\sigma \tau os$  ( $\tau \rho i \bar{\omega} ko\sigma \tau os$ ) is permissible, but otherwise the cardinal is rarely thus joined with the ordinal.
- c. Compounds of 10, 20, etc., with 8 and 9 are usually expressed by subtraction with the participle of  $\delta\epsilon\omega$  lack, as 18, 19,  $\delta\nu\sigma^2\nu$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta s$ ) deopte ekros. So rausi mids deovs tettárakouta with 39 ships,  $\delta\nu\sigma^2\nu$  deopta pertheorta eth 48 years; and with ordinals  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta s$  deop ekrostov etos the 19th year. The same method may be employed in other numbers than 8's or 9's:  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\dot{c}$  over  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{c}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{c}$
- d. An ordinal followed by  $\epsilon \pi i \ \delta \epsilon \kappa a$  denotes the day of the month from the 13th to the 19th, as  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \eta \ \epsilon \pi i \ \delta \epsilon \kappa a$  on the 15th.

- 351. With the collective words (996) ή ιππος cavalry, ή ἀσπις men with shields, numerals in -ιοι may appear even in the singular: διᾶκοσίᾶ ιππος 200 horse T. 1. 62, ἀσπὶς μῦρίᾶ καὶ τετρακοσίᾶ 10,400 horse X. A. 1. 7. 10.
- 352.  $\mu\delta\rho\omega$ , the greatest number expressed by a single word, means 10,000;  $\mu\bar{\nu}\rho l\omega$ , countless, infinite. In the latter sense the singular may be used, as  $\mu\bar{\nu}\rho l\bar{a}$  infinite solitude P. L. 677 E.
- 353. Fractions are expressed in several ways:  $\eta\mu\iota\sigma vs \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\delta$   $\eta\mu\iota\sigma vs$   $\tau o\tilde{v}$   $\delta \mu \iota \theta u \tilde{v}$  half the number, at  $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma \epsilon\iota a\iota \tau \hat{\omega}^{\rho}$  re $\hat{\omega}^{\rho}$  half of the ships,  $\tau \delta$   $\ddot{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma v$   $\tau o\tilde{v}$   $\sigma\tau \rho a\tau o\tilde{v}$  half the army,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\tau\dot{a}\lambda a\nu\tau ov$  half a talent;  $\tau \rho\iota a$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\tau\dot{a}\lambda a\nu\tau a$   $1\frac{1}{2}$  talents,  $\tau \rho\iota\tau ov$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\mu\nu a\iota ov$   $2\frac{1}{2}$  minae;  $\tau \rho\iota\tau \eta\mu\delta \rho\iota ov$   $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \mu\tau\tau \eta\mu\delta \rho\iota ov$   $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau \epsilon\iota a\bar{v}$   $1\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau \epsilon\iota a\bar{v}$   $1\frac{1}{3}$ . But when the numerator is less by one than the denominator, the genitive is omitted and only the article and  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta$  are used: as  $\tau\dot{a}$   $\tau\rho\iota a$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta$   $\frac{1}{4}$ , i.e. the three parts (scil. of four).
  - 354. Other classes of numeral words.
- a. Distributives proper, answering the question how many each? are wanting in Greek. Instead,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}is$ , and  $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ , with the accus., and compounds of  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$  with, are used:  $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\delta}\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}$  or  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\delta}\nu\dot{\alpha}$  two by two, two each (Lat. bini). The cardinals are often used alone, as  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\delta}\rho\dot{i}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\psi$   $\dot{\delta}\dot{\omega}\sigma\omega$   $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\alpha}\rho\gamma\nu\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\alpha}$  singulis militibus dabo quinas argenti minas X. A. 1. 4. 13.
- b. Multiplicatives in -πλοῦς -fold (from -πλοος, Lat. -plex), ἀπλοῦς simple, διπλοῦς twofold, τριπλοῦς threefold, πολλαπλοῦς manifold.
- c. Proportionals in -πλασως: διπλάσως twice as great or (plur.) as many, πολλαπλάσως many times as great (many).
  - d. διττόs means double, τριττόs treble (from διχ-ιος, τριχ-ιος 112).
- N. Multiplication. Adverbs answering the question how many times? are used in multiplication:  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta ls \ \pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\iota} \nu \ twice$  five are ten. See also 347 N.
- e. Abstract and Collective Numbers in -ás (gen. -áδ-os), all feminine: èràs or μοτάs the number one, unity, monad, δυάs the number two, duality, τριάs trinity, triad, δεκάs decad, decade, είκάs, έκατοντάs, χίλιάs, μῦριάs myriad, ἐκατὸν μῦριάδεs a million. Also in -όs: τριττύς (-ύοs) the third of a tribe (properly the number three), τετρακύς.
- f. Adjectives in -a  $\cos$ , answering the question on what day? deutera  $\cos$  (or  $\hat{\tau}\hat{y}$  deuteral) and  $\hat{\tau}\hat{y}$  be the departed on the second day.
- g. Adverbs of Division.  $\mu \sigma \sigma \chi \hat{\eta}$  singly, in one way only,  $\delta i \chi \alpha$ ,  $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$  in two parts, doubly,  $\tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \tau \rho \sigma \chi \alpha$ , etc.,  $\pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \sigma \chi \hat{\eta}$  in many ways,  $\pi \sigma \tau \sigma \chi \hat{\eta}$  in every way.

#### **VERBS**

## INFLECTION: PRELIMINARY REMARKS (355-380)

355. The Greek verb shows distinctions of voice, mood, verbal noun, tense, number, and person.

**<sup>354</sup> D.** Hdt. has διξός (from διχθ-ισς), τριξός for διττός, τριττός ; also -πλησιος and -φασιος. Hom. has δίχα and διχθά, τρίχα and τριχθά ; τριπλη, τετραπλη.

- 356. Voices. There are three voices: active, middle, and passive.
- a. The middle usually denotes that the subject acts on himself or for himself, as λούομαι wash myself, ἀμύνομαι defend myself (lit. ward off for myself).
- b. The passive borrows all its forms, except the future and agrist, from the middle.
- c. Deponent verbs have an active meaning but only middle (or middle and passive) forms. If its aorist has the middle form, a deponent is called a middle deponent  $(\chi a\rho i \zeta o\mu a\iota \ gratify, \dot{\epsilon} \chi a\rho\iota \sigma \dot{a}\mu\eta\nu)$ ; if its aorist has the passive form, a deponent is called a passive deponent  $(\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\bar{\nu}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu a\iota \ reflect \ on, \ \dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\theta\bar{\nu}\mu\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\nu)$ . Deponents usually prefer the passive to the middle forms of the aorist.
- 357. Moods. Four moods, the indicative, subjunctive, optative, imperative, are called *finite*, because the person is defined by the ending (366). The infinitive, strictly a verbal noun (358), is sometimes classed as a mood.
- 358. Verbal Nouns. Verbal forms that share certain properties of nouns are called *verbal nouns*. There are two kinds of verbal nouns.
- 1. Substantival: the infinitive.
- N.—The infinitive is properly a case form (chiefly dative, rarely locative), herein being like a substantive.
- 2. Adjectival (inflected like adjectives):
  - a. Participles: active, middle, and passive.
  - b. Verbal adjectives:

In -τός, denoting possibility, as φιλητός lovable, or with the force of a perfect passive participle, as γραπτός written.

In -τέος, denoting necessity, as γραπτέος that must be written.

359. Tenses. — There are seven tenses in the indicative: present, imperfect, future, aorist, perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect. The future perfect commonly has a passive force, but it may be active or middle in meaning (see 581).

The subjunctive has three tenses: present, aorist, and perfect.

The optative and infinitive have five tenses: present, future, aorist, perfect, and future perfect.

The imperative has three tenses: present, agrist, and perfect.

360. Primary and Secondary Tenses. — There are two classes of tenses in the indicative: (1) Primary (or Principal) tenses, the present and perfect expressing present time, the future and future perfect expressing future time; (2) Secondary (or Historical) tenses, the imperfect, pluperfect, and agrist expressing past time. The secondary tenses have an augment (428) prefixed.

<sup>359</sup> D. Hom. does not use the future or future perfect in the optative.

- **361.** Second Aorists, etc. Some verbs have tenses called second aorists (active, middle, and passive), second perfects and pluperfects (active only), and second futures (passive). The meaning of these tenses ordinarily corresponds to that of the first aorist, etc.; but when a verb has both forms in any tense (which is rarely the case), the two forms usually differ in meaning. Sometimes one form is poetical, the other used in prose.
- 362. No single Greek verb shows all the tenses mentioned in 359 and 361; and the paradigms are therefore taken from different verbs.
- 363. Number. There are three numbers: the singular, dual, and plural.
- **364.** Person.—There are three persons (first, second, and third) in the indicative, subjunctive, and optative. The imperative has only the second and third persons.
- . a. Except in a few cases in poetry (465 c) the first person plural is used for the first person dual.
- **365.** Inflection. The inflection of a verb consists in the addition of certain endings to the different stems.
- **366.** Endings. The endings in the finite moods (357) show whether the subject is first, second, or third person; and indicate number and voice. See 462 ff.
- a. The middle has a different set of endings from the active. The passive has the endings of the middle except in the acrist, which has the active endings.
- b. The indicative has two sets of endings in the active and in the middle: one for primary tenses, the other for secondary tenses.
- c. The subjunctive uses the same endings as the primary tenses of the indicative; the optative uses the same as those of the secondary tenses.

#### STEMS

- **367.** A Greek verb has two kinds of stems: (1) the tense-stem, to which the endings are attached, and (2) a common verb-stem (also called theme) from which all the tense-stems are derived. The tense-stem is usually made from the verb-stem by prefixing a reduplication-syllable (439), and by affixing signs for mood (457, 459) and tense (455). A tense-stem may be identical with a verb-stem.
- 368. The Tense-stems. The tenses fall into nine classes called *tense-systems*. Each tense-system has its own separate tense-stem.

#### SYSTEMS.

#### TENSES.

I. Present, including present and imperfect. . future active and middle. II. Future, first acrist active and middle. III. First agrist, second agrist active and middle. IV. Second agrist. first perfect, first pluperfect, and fut. perf., active. 44 V. First perfect, VI. Second perfect, èε second perfect and second pluperfect active. VII. Perfect middle, perfect and pluperfect middle (pass.), future perfect. 64 first agrist and first future passive. VIII. First passive, IX. Second passive, " second agrist and second future passive.

The tense-stems are explained in detail in 497-597.

a. Since few verbs have both the *first* and *second* form of the same tense (361), most verbs have only six of these nine systems; many verbs do not even have six. Scarcely any verb shows all nine systems.

b. There are also secondary tense-stems for the future passive, the plu-

perfect, and the future perfect.

c. The tense-stems assume separate forms in the different moods.

369. The principal parts of a verb are the first person singular indicative of the tense-systems occurring in it. These are generally six: the present, future, first agrist, first (or second) perfect active, the perfect middle, and the first (or second) agrist passive. The future middle is given if there is no future active. The second agrist (active or middle) is added if it occurs. Thus:

λύω loose, λύσω, ἔλυσα, λέλυκα, λέλυμαι. ἐλύθην. λείπω leave, λεύψω, λέλοιπα, λέλειμμαι, ἐλείφθην, 2 aor. ἔλιπον. γράφω write, γράψω, ἔγραψα, γέγραφα, γέγραμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐγράφην. σκώπτω jeer, σκώψομαι, ἔσκωψα, ἐσκώφθην.

370. The principal parts of deponent verbs (356 c) are the present, future, perfect, and agrist indicative. Both first and second agrists are given if they occur.

βούλομαι wish, βουλήσομαι, βεβούλημαι, ἐβουλήθην (passive deponent). γίγνομαι become, γενήσομαι, γεγένημαι, 2 aor. ἐγενόμην (middle deponent). ἐργάζομαι work, ἐργάσομαι, εἰργασάμην, εἴργασμαι, εἰργάσθην.

371. Verb-stem (or Theme). — The tense-stems are made from one fundamental stem called the verb-stem (or theme).

This verb-stem may be a root (193) as in  $\tau i$ - $\omega$  honour, or a root to which a derivative suffix has been appended, as in  $\tau \bar{\iota}$ - $\mu \dot{a}$ - $\omega$  honour.

372. A verb forming its tense-stems directly from a root is called a primitive verb. A denominative verb forms its tense-stems from a longer verb-stem, originally a noun-stem; as  $\delta o \nu \lambda \delta \omega$  enslave from  $\delta o \hat{\nu} \lambda \delta \omega$ . Verbs in  $\mu \iota$  (379), and verbs in  $\omega$  of two syllables (in the present indicative active, as  $\lambda \delta \gamma - \omega$  speak) or of three syllables

(in the middle, as  $\delta \epsilon_{\chi o\mu a l}$  receive) are generally primitive. Others are denominative.

373. The verb-stem may show numerous modifications in form.

Thus, corresponding to the gradations in sing, sang, sung (35), the verb  $\lambda \epsilon i\pi - \omega$  leave shows the stems  $\lambda \epsilon i\pi - \lambda$   $i\pi - (2 \text{ perf. }\lambda \epsilon - \lambda i\pi - a)$ ,  $\lambda i\pi - (2 \text{ aor. }\epsilon - \lambda i\pi - o - v)$ ; the verb  $\phi \epsilon \psi \gamma - \omega$  flee shows  $\phi \epsilon \psi \gamma - a$  and  $\phi \psi \gamma - (2 \text{ aor. }\epsilon - \phi \psi \gamma - o - v)$ . In  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\gamma \nu \bar{\nu}\mu$  break we find the three stems  $\dot{\rho}\eta\gamma$ ,  $\dot{\rho}\omega\gamma$  (2 perf.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\omega\gamma a$ ),  $\dot{\rho}\alpha\gamma$  (2 aor. pass.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$ ).  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda - \omega$  send has the stems  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda$ - and  $\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\lambda$ - (perf.  $\dot{\epsilon}-\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\lambda - \kappa a$ , 2 fut. pass.  $\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\lambda - \dot{\eta}\sigma o\mu a\iota$ ).

- a. When the fundamental stem shows modifications, it is customary for convenience to call its shorter (or shortest) form the verb-stem, and to derive the other forms from it. The student must, however, beware of assuming that the short forms are older than the other forms.
- 374. The verb-stem may also show modifications in quantity, as present  $\lambda \hat{v} \omega$  loose, perfect  $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{v} \kappa a$ .
- N. Various causes produce this variation.  $\lambda \dot{v}\omega$  has  $\bar{v}$  from analogy to  $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \bar{v} \sigma a$  where the verb-stem  $\lambda \dot{v}$  has been regularly lengthened (534, 543). For Attic  $\phi \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega$  anticipate Hom. has  $\phi \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega$  for  $\phi \theta a \nu \varepsilon \omega$  (28, 147 D.).
- 375.  $\omega$  Inflection and  $\mu\iota$  Inflection. There are two slightly different methods of inflecting verbs, the first according to the common, the second according to the  $\mu\iota$  system. The names  $\omega$ -verbs and  $\mu$ -verbs (a small class) refer to the ending of the first person singular active of the present tense indicative only:  $\lambda\acute{v}$ - $\omega$  loose,  $\tau\ell\theta\eta$ - $\mu\iota$  place.
- a. In the  $\omega$  inflection the tense-stem ends in the thematic vowel. To this form belong all futures, and the presents, imperfects, and second agrists showing the thematic vowel.
  - 376. According to the ending of the verb-stem,  $\omega$ -verbs are termed:
- 1. Vowel (or pure) verbs:
  - a. Not contracted: those that end in v or ι, as λύ-ω loose, παιδεύ-ω educate, χρί-ω anoint. Such verbs retain the final vowel of the stem unchanged in all their forms.
  - b. Contracted: those that end in  $\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon$ , o, as  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{\omega}$  honour from  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{\alpha} \omega$ ,  $\pi o \hat{\iota} \hat{\omega}$  make from  $\pi o \hat{\iota} \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega}$  manifest from  $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\sigma} \omega$ .
- 2. Consonant verbs, as:

Liquid or nasal verbs: δέρ-ω flay, μέν-ω remain.

Verbs ending in a stop (or mute), as  $\check{a}\gamma$ - $\omega$  lead,  $\pi\epsilon i\theta$ - $\omega$  persuade.

- N.—Verbs ending in a stop consonant are called labial, dental, or palatal verbs. Consonant verbs do not retain the final consonant of the stem unchanged in all their forms. The final consonant may be assimilated to a following consonant, or may form with it a double consonant.
- 377. Thematic Vowel. Some tense-stems end in a vowel which varies between o and  $\epsilon$  (or  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ ) in certain forms. This is called the thematic (or variable) vowel. Thus  $\lambda\acute{v}o$ - $\mu\epsilon\gamma$   $\lambda\acute{v}\epsilon$ - $\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda\acute{v}\omega$ - $\mu\epsilon\gamma$   $\lambda\acute{v}\eta$ - $\tau\epsilon$ ,

λύσο-μεν λύσε-τε. The thematic vowel is written  $^{o}/_{\epsilon}$  or  $^{\omega}/_{\eta}$ , as  $\lambda \bar{v}^{o}/_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma \rho \alpha \phi^{\omega}/_{\eta}$ . See 456.

- 378.  $\dot{\sigma}$  is used before  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  in the indicative, and in the optative,  $\omega$  before  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  in the subjunctive, elsewhere  $\epsilon$  is used in the indicative ( $\eta$  in the subjunctive).
- 379. In the  $\mu$  inflection no thematic vowel is employed, and the endings are attached directly to the tense-stem. The  $\mu$  form is used only in the present, imperfect, and second aorist. In the other tenses, verbs in  $\mu$  generally show the same inflection as  $\omega$ -verbs. For further explanation of the  $\omega$  and the  $\mu$  inflection see 602 ff., 717 ff.
- 380. Meanings of the Tenses and Moods.—In the synopsis (382) meanings are given wherever these are not dependent on the use of the various forms in the sentence. The meanings of the subjunctive and optative forms and the difference between the tenses can be learned satisfactorily only from the syntax. Some of these meanings may here be given:
- a. Subjunctive: λόωμεν οι λόσωμεν let us loose, (ἐὰν) λόω οι λόσω (if) I loose,
   (ἴνα) γράφω (that) I may write.
- b. Optative:  $(\epsilon i\theta \epsilon)$  λόοιμι or λόσαιμι (would) that I may loose!  $(\epsilon i)$  λόοιμεν or λόσαιμεν (if) we should loose.

### 381. CONJUGATION: LIST OF PARADIGMS

### I. Verbs in ω:

A. Vowel verbs not contracted:

Synopsis and conjugation of  $\lambda \delta \omega$  (pp. 112–118). Second agrist (active and middle) of  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$  (p. 119).

Second perfect and pluperfect (active) of  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ .

B. Vowel verbs contracted:

Present and imperfect of τιμάω, ποιέω, δηλόω (pp. 120-123).

C. Consonant verbs:

Liquid and nasal verbs: future and first agrist (active and middle), second agrist and second future passive of φαίνω (pp. 128–129).

Labial, dental, and palatal verbs: perfect and pluperfect, middle (passive) of λείπω, γράφω, πείθω, πράττω, ἐλίγχω (p. 130). Perfect of the liquid verbs ἀγγέλλω, φαίνω; and perfect of τελέω (p. 131).

II. Verbs in μι.

A. Present, imperfect, and 2 agrist of τίθημι, ἴστημι, δίδωμι (pp. 135 ff.).

Second agrist middle of ἐπριάμην (p. 138).

B. Present and imperfect of δείκνῦμι (p. 140).
 Second agrist: ἔδῦν (p. 140).

382.

ACTIVE:

Indic.

Indic.

### CONJUGATION

	1.	(A)	AOMED'AE	upo.
	•		Synops	is of
n.	FUTURE SYSTEM	III.	FIRST AORIST ST	YSTEM
	Future		1 Aorist	
ώσσ	a I shall loose			

(A) TOWER TERROR

Present and Imperfect Future λύσω I shall loose λύω I loose or am

loosing έλυον I mas loos-

I. PRESENT SYSTEM

ina

Subj. λτίω Opt. λύοιμι Imper. λûε loose Infin. λύειν to loose

λύων loosing

Part. MIDDLE:

> λύομαι I loose (for myself)

έλυόμην I was loosing (for myself)

Subi. λύωμαι Opt. λυοίμην Imper. λύου loose (for thy-

·Infin.

λύεσθαι to loose (for one's self)

Part. λυόμενος loosing (for one's self)

PASSIVE: Indic. λύομαι Ιαπ (being) έλῦόμην loosed Iwas

44

Like Middle

Opt. Imper. Infin.

Subj.

Part.

ελυσα I loosed

λύσω λύσαιμι λύσοιμι λῦσον loose

λύσειν to be about to lûgar to loose or to Loose have loosed λύσων about to loose λύσας having loosed

λύσομαι I shall loose

(for myself) έλυσάμην I loosed (for myself)

λύσωμαι λυσοίμην λυσαίμην

λύσαι loose (for thyself)

λύσεσθαι to be about to λύσασθαι to loose or to loose (for one's self) have loosed (for one's self)

λυσόμενος about to loose (for one's self)

λυσάμενος having loosed (for one's self)

VIII FIRST PASSIVE SYSTEM

1 Future 1 Aorist λυθήσομαι I shall be

loosedέλύθην I was loosed

 $\lambda \nu \theta \hat{\omega}$  (for  $\lambda \nu \theta \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ )

λυθησοίμην λυθείην λύθητι be loosed

λυθήναι to be loosed or λυθήσεσθαι to be about to have been loosed to be loosed

λυθησόμενος about to λυθείς having been be loosed loosed

λυτός that may be loosed, loosed Verbal adjectives: λυτέος that must be loosed, (requiring) to be loosed

### OF $\Omega$ -VERBS:

NOT CONTRACTED

λύω (λυ, λυ) loose

v. FIRST PERFECT SYSTEM
1 Perfect and Pluperfect Active

λέλυκα I have loosed

έλελύκη I had loosed

λελυκώς ὧ οτ λελύκω λελυκώς εξην οτ λελύκοιμι λελυκώς ξσθι οτ [λέλυκε] 1 λελυκέναι to have loosed

λελυκώς having loosed

VII. PERFECT MIDDLE SYSTEM

Perfect and Pluperfect Middle

AfAumai I have loosed (for myself)

έλελύμην I had loosed (for myself)

λελυμένος & λελυμένος εξην λέλυσο (712, 714)

λελύσθαι to have loosed (for one's self)

λελυμένος having loosed (for one's self)

Perfect and Pluperfect Passive
λέλυμαι I have { been έλελύμην I had | loosed

Future Perfect Passive

λελύσομαι I shall have
been loosed

Like Middle

" "

λελῦσοίμην

"

λελύσεσθαι

.. .

λελυσόμενος

The simple forms of the perfect imperative active of λέω probably never occur in classical Greek (697), but are included to show the inflection.
GREEK GRAM. — 8

# I. (A) VOWEL VERBS:

		1. (2.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
383.			1. ACTIVE
	Present	Imperfect	Future
Indicative.	S. 1. λτω	ἕλῦον	λύσω
	<ol> <li>λΰεις</li> </ol>	ἔλῦες	λύσεις
	3. λύει	ἔλῦε	λύσει
	D. 2. λύετον	ἐλΰετον	λΰσετον
	3. λΰετον	έλῦέτην	λύσετον
	Ρ. 1. λύομεν	έλτομεν	λύσομεν
	2. λύετε	έλΰετε	λΰσετε
	3. λύουσι	ἔλῦον	λύσουσι
Subjunctive.	S. 1. λτω		
	2. λύης		
	3. λύη		
	D. 2. λύητον		
	3. λύητον		
	Ρ. 1. λύωμεν		
	2. λύητε		
F.	3. λύωσι		
OPTATIVE.	S. 1. λύοιμι		λύσοιμι
	2. λύοις		λύσοις
	3. λύοι		λύσοι
•	D. 2. λύοιτον		λ <del>ύ</del> σοιτ <b>ο</b> ν
	3. λυοίτην		λῦσοίτην
	Ρ. 1. λύοιμεν		λύσοιμεν
	2. λύοιτε		λΰσοιτε
	3. λύοιεν		λύσοιεν
Imperative.	S. 2. λθε		
	3. λυέτω		
	D. 2. λύετον		
	3. λῦέτων		
	P. 2. λύετε		
	3. λῦόντων		
Infinitive.	λΰειν	•	λύσειν
PARTICIPLE.	λύων, λύουσα,		λύσων, λύσουσα,
	λῦον (305)		λῦσον (305)

# NOT CONTRACTED

VOICE	OF	λτίω

V 0101	. 01		1 Aorist	1 Perfect	1 Pluperfect
Ind.	s.	2.	ἔλῦσα ἔλῦσας ἔλῦσε	λέλυκα λέλυκας λέλυκε	έλελύκη έλελύκης έλελύκει(ν)
	D.		ἐλῦσατον ἐλῦσάτην	λελύκατον λελύκατον	έλελύκετον έλελυκέτην
÷	P.	1.	έλύσαμεν έλύσατε Έλυσαν	λελύκαμεν λελύκατε λελύκασι	έλελύκεμεν έλελύκετε έλελύκεσαν
Subj.	S.		λύσω λύσης λύση	λελυκώς ὧ (691) or λελυκώς ἦς λελυκώς ἦ	λελύκω (692) λελύκης λελύκη
	D.		λύσητον λύσητον	λελυκότε ήτον λελυκότε ήτον	λελύκητον λελύκητον
	Р.		λύσωμεν λύσητε λύσωσι	λελυκότες ὧμεν λελυκότες ἦτε λελυκότες ὧσι	λελύκωμεν λελύκητε λελύκωσι
OPT.	s.	2.	λύσαιμι λύσαις, λύσειας (668) λύσαι, λύσειε (668)	λελυκώς εξην (694) or λελυκώς εξης λελυκώς εξη	λελύκοιμι, -οίην λελύκοις, -οίης λελύκοι, -οίη
	D.	2.	λύσαιτον λυσαίτην	λελυκότε είητον, είτον λελυκότε είήτην, είτην	λελύκοιτον λελυκοίτην
	Ρ.	1. 2.	λύσαιμεν λύσαιτε λύσαιεν, λύσειαν (668)	λελυκότες εἴημεν, εἶμεν λελυκότες εἴητε, εἶτε λελυκότες εἴησαν, εἶεν	λελύκοιμεν λελύκοιτε λελύκοιεν
Імр.	s.	3.	λῦσον λῦσάτω	λελυκώς ἴσθι (697) or λελυκώς ἔστω	[λέλυκε (697) λελυκέτω
	D	. 2	. λΰσατον . λῦσάτων	λελυκότε ἔστον λελυκότε ἔστων	λελύκετον λελυκέτων
	P	. 2	. λύσατε . λυσάντων	λελυκότες ἐστέ λελυκότες ὄντων	λελύκετε]
Inf.			λύσαι	λελυκέναι	
Par	т.		λύσας, λύσασα, λύσαν (306)	λελυκώς, λελυκυΐα, λελυκός (309)	

			•	2. MIDDLE 1
	P	resent	Imperfect	Future
Indicative.		ομαι η, λύει (628) εται	ἐλῦόμην ἐλύου ἐλύετο	λύσομαι λύση, λύσει (628) λύσεται
	D. 2. <b>λ</b> ນ໌		έλΰεσθον έλῦέσθην	λύσεσθον λύσεσθον
	P. 1. λῦσ 2. λΰσ 3. λΰσ		ἐλῦόμεθα ἐλΰεσθε ἐλῦοντο	λῦσόμεθα λύσεσθε λύσονται
Subjunctive.	<ol> <li>λύ</li> </ol>	ωμαι Ω ηται		
	D. 2. λτ	ησθον ησθον		
		ώμεθα ησθε ωντ <b>α</b> ι		
OPTATIVE.	<ol> <li>λτίο</li> </ol>	οίμην οιο οιτο		λ <del>υ</del> σοίμην λύσοιο λύσοιτο
	D. 2. λύο 3. λυο			λύσοισθον λυσοίσθην
	2. λτί	οιντο οισθε		λυσοίμεθα λύσοισθε λύσοιντο
Imperative.	S. 2. λτίσ 3. λτισ	ου έσθω		
·	D. 2. λύο 3. λυο	εσθον έσθων		
	P. 2. λύο 3. λυο	εσθε έσθων		
Infinitive.	·	εσθαι	•	λύσεσθαι
PARTICIPLE.		όμενος, λῦομένη, Αῦόμενον (287)		λῦσόμενος, -η, -ον (287)

<sup>1</sup> Now in the middle usually means to release for one's self, get some one set free, hence to ransom, redeem, deliver.

## Voice of λύω

		1 Aorist	Perfect	Pluperfect
Indicative.	2	. ἐλῦσάμην . ἐλῦσω . ἐλῦσατο	λέλυμαι λέλυσαι λέλυται	έλελύμην έλέλυσο έλέλυτο
		. ἐλῦσασθον . ἐλῦσάσθην	λέλυσθον λέλυσθον	έλέλυσθον έλελύσθην
•		. ἐλῦσάμεθα . ἐλύσασθε . ἐλύσαντο	λελύμεθα λέλυσθε λέλυνται	έλελύμεθα έλέλυσθε έλέλυντο
Subjunctive.		. λύσωμαι . λύση . λύσηται	λελυμένος & (599 f) λελυμένος ἦς λελυμένος ἦ	
		. λύσησθον . λύσησθον	λελυμένω ἦτον λελυμένω ἦτον	
	P. 1. 2. 3.	. λύσησθε	λελυμένοι ὧμεν λελυμένοι ἦτε λελυμένοι ὧσι	
OPTATIVE.	S. 1. 2. 3.		λελυμένος εΐην (599 f) λελυμένος εΐης λελυμένος εΐη	
	D. 2.	λύσαισθον λυσαίσθην	λελυμένω εἴητον ΟΓ εἶτον λελυμένω εἰήτην ΟΓ εἴτηι	
	P. 1. 2. 3.	λύσαισθε	λελυμένοι εξημεν ΟΓ εξμεν λελυμένοι εξητε ΟΓ εξτε λελυμένοι εξησαν ΟΓ εξεν	
IMPERATIVE.	S. 2.		λέλυσο (599 g) λελύσθω (712)	
	D. 2. 3.		λέλυσθον λελύσθων	
		λύσασθε λυσάσθων	λέλυσθε λελύσθων	
Infinitive.		λύσασθαι	λελύσθαι	
Participle.		λυσάμενος, -η, -ον (287)	λελυμένος, -η, -ον (287)	

## 3. Passive Voice of λύω

			Future Perfect	1 Aorist	1 Future
Indicative.	s.		λελύσομαι λελύση, λελύσει λελύσεται	ἐλύθην ἐλύθηs ἐλύθη	λυθήσομαι λυθήση, λυθήσει λυθήσεται
	D.	2. 3.	λελύσεσθον λελύσεσθον	ἐλύθητον ἐλυθήτην	λυθήσεσθον λυθήσεσθον
	P.	1. 2. 3.	λελῦσόμεθα λελῦσεσθε λελῦσονται	ἐλύθημεν ἐλύθητε ἐλύθησαν	λυθησόμεθα λυθήσεσθε λυθήσονται
SUBJUNCTIVE.	s.	1. 2. 3.		λυθῶ λυθῆs λυθῆ	
	D.	2. 3.		λυθητο <i>ν</i> λυθητο <i>ν</i>	
	P.	1. 2. 3.	÷	λυθῶμεν λυθῆτε λυθῶσι	
OPTATIVE.	S.	2.	λελῦσοί μη <i>ν</i> λελύσοιο λελύσοιτο	λυθείην λυθείης λυθείη	λυθησοίμη <b>ν</b> λυθήσοιο λυθήσοιτο
•	D.	2. 3.	λελύσοισθον λελῦσοίσθην	λυθείτον or λυθείητον λυθείτην or λυθειήτην	λυθήσοισθον λυθησοίσθην
	P.	1. 2. 3.	λελῦσοίμεθα λελῦσοισθε λελῦσοιντο	λυθείμεν οτ λυθείημεν λυθείτε οι λυθείητε λυθείεν οι λυθείησαν	λυθησοίμεθα λυθήσοισθε λυθήσοιντο
IMPERATIVE.	s.	2. 3.		λύθητι λυθήτω	
	D.	2. 3.		λύθηπον λυθήτων	
	P.	2. 3.		λύθητε λυθέντων	
Infinitive.			λελύσεσθαι	λυθήναι	λυθήσεσθαι
PARTICIPLE.			λελυσόμενος, -η, -ον (287)	λυθείς, λυθεΐσα, λυθέν (307)	λυθησόμενος, -η, -ον (287)

384. As examples of the second agrist and second perfect systems (368), the second agrist active and middle and the second perfect and pluperfect active of  $\lambda\epsilon i\pi\omega$  leave are here given.

			2 Aorist Active	2 Aorist Middle	2 Perfect	2 Pluperfect
Ind.	S.		ἔλιπον	ἐλιπόμην	λέλοιπα	<b>έλελο</b> ίπη
			<b>έ</b> λιπες	έλίπου '> '	λέλοιπας	έλελοίπης
	ъ.		έλιπε	έλίπετο	λέλοιπε	έλελοίπει(ν)
	ъ.		έλίπετον έλιπέτην	έλίπεσθον έλιπέσθην	λελοίπατον λελοίπατον	έλελοίπετον
	p		έλίπομεν	έλιπόμεθα	λελοίπαμεν	έλελοιπέτην έλελοίπεμεν
	٠.	2.	•	έλίπεσθε	λελοίπατε	έλελοίπετε
		3.	ἔλιπον	ἐλίποντο	λελοίπασι	έλελοίπεσαν
Subj.	s.	1.	λίπω	λίπωμαι	λελοιπώς ὧ (599 c) or	λελοίπω (692)
		2.	λίπης	λίπη	λελοιπώς ής	λελοίπης
		3.	λίπη	λίπηται	λελοιπώς ή	λελοίπη
	D.		λίπητον	λίπησθον	λελοιπότε ήτον	λελοίπητον
		3.	•	λίπησθον	λελοιπότε ήτον	λελοίπητον
	Ρ.		λίπωμεν	λιπώμεθα	λελοιπότες ὧμεν	λελοίπωμεν
		2. 3.	λίπητε λίπωσι	λίπησθε λίπωνται	λελοιπότες ἦτε λελοιπότες ὧσι	λελοίπητε λελοίπωσι
		υ.	Al#Wol	λιπωνται	AEAOUTOTES WOL	XEXOCH WO'L
OPT.	s.		λίποιμι	λιποίμην	λελοιπώς είην (599 c) or	λελοίποιμι (695)
		2. 3.	λίποις	λίποιο	λελοιπώς είης	λελοίποις
	_		λίποι	λίποιτο	λελοιπώς εἴη	λελοίποι
	ъ.	z. 3.	λίποιτον λιποίτην	λίποισθον λιποίσθην	λελοιπότε είητον, είτον λελοιπότε είήτην, είτην	λελοίποιτον λελοιποίτην
	P.	-	•	λιποίμεθα	λελοιπότες είημεν, είμεν	λελοίποιμεν
	٠.	2.	λίποιτε	λίποισθε	λελοιπότες είητε, είτε	λελοίποιμεν
		3.	λίποιεν	λίποιντο	λελοιπότες εξησαν, εξεν	λελοίποιεν
Імр.	S.	2.	λίπε	λιποῦ	• •	
2.02.2	~•		λιπέτω	λιπέσθω		
	D.	2.	λίπετον	λίπεσθον		
		3.	λιπέτων	λιπέσθων		
	P.	2.	λίπετε	λίπεσθε		
		3.	λιπόντων	λιπέσθων	•	
INF.			λιπεῖν	λιπέσθαι	λελοιπέναι	
PART.			λιπών, λιποῦ- σα, λιπόν (305 a)	λιπόμενος, -η, -ον (287)	λελοιπώς, -υία, -ός (309)	

## I. (B) VOWEL VERBS: CONTRACTED VERBS

**385.** Verbs in  $-\alpha\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\omega\omega$  are contracted only in the present and imperfect. The principles of contraction are explained in 49–55.  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \omega$  ( $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu a$ -) honour,  $\pi o \iota \dot{\epsilon} \omega$  ( $\pi o \iota \epsilon$ -) make, and  $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\delta} \omega$  ( $\delta \eta \lambda o$ -) manifest are thus inflected in the present and imperfect of the active, middle and passive.

	ACTIVE									
	PRESENT INDICATIVE									
s.	2.	(τῖμάω) (τῖμάεις) (τῖμάει)	τῖμῶ τῖμᡇ̂s τῖμᡇ̂	(ποιέω) (ποιέεις) (ποιέει)	ποιῶ ποιεῖς ποιεῖ	(δηλόω) (δηλόεις) (δηλόει)	δηλώ δηλοΐς δηλοΐ			
D.		(τῖμάετον) (τῖμάετον)	τϊμάτον τϊμάτον	(ποιέετον) (ποιέετον)	ποιείτον ποιείτον	(δηλόετον) (δηλόετον)	δηλοῦτον δηλοῦτον			
P.	2.	(τῖμάομεν) (τῖμάετε) (τῖμάουσι)	τϊμῶμεν τῖμᾶτε τῖμῶσι	(ποιέομεν) (ποιέετε) (ποιέουσι)	ποιοῦμεν ποιεῖτε ποιοῦσι	(δηλόομεν) (δηλόετε) (δηλόουσι)	δηλοῦμεν δηλοῦτε δηλοῦσι			
	IMPERFECT									
S.	2.	(ἐτίμαον) (ἐτίμαες) (ἐτίμαε)	ἐτίμων ἐτίμᾶς ἐτίμᾶ	(ἐποίεον) (ἐποίεες) (ἐποίεε)	ἐποίουν ἐποίεις ἐποίει	(ἐδήλοον) (ἐδήλοες) (ἐδήλοε)	έδήλουν έδήλους έδήλου			
D.		(ἐτῖμάετον) (ἐτῖμαέτην)	έτιματον έτιμ <del>ά</del> την	(έποιέετον) (έποιεέτην)		(ἐδηλόετον) (ἐδηλοέτην)	•			
P.	2.	(ἐτῖμάομεν) (ἐτῖμάετε) (ἐτίμαον)	ἐτῖμῶμεν ἐτῖμᾶτε ἐτίμων	(ἐποιέομεν) (ἐποιέετε) (ἐποίεον)	έποιεῖτε	(ἐδηλόομεν) (ἐδηλόετε) (ἐδήλοον)	έδηλοῦμεν έδηλοῦτε έδήλουν			
				PRESENT SUBJ	UNCTIVE					
S.	2.	(τῖμάω) (τῖμάης) (τῖμάη)	τῖμῶ τῖμῷς τῖμῷ	(ποιέω) (ποιέης) (ποιέη)	ποιῶ ποιῆς ποιῆ	(δηλόω) (δηλόης) (δηλόη)	δηλῶ δηλοῖς δηλοῖ			
D.		(τῖμάητον) (τῖμάητον)	τϊμάτον τϊμάτον	(ποιέητον) (ποιέητον)	ποιήτον ποιήτον	(δηλόητον) (δηλόητον)	δηλώτον δηλώτον			
P.	2.	(τῖμάωμεν) (τῖμάητε) (τῖμάωσι)	τϊμῶμεν τϊμᾶτε τϊμῶσι	(ποιέωμεν) (ποιέητε) (ποιέωσι)	ποιῶμεν ποιῆτε ποιῶσι	(δηλόωμεν) (δηλόητε) (δηλόωσι)	δηλώμεν δηλώτε δηλώσι			

### ACTIVE - Concluded

### PRESENT OPTATIVE (see 393)

2. 3. D. 2. 3. P. 1. 2.	(τῖμαοίην) (τῖμαοίης) (τῖμαοίης) (τῖμαοίητον) (τῖμαοίητον) (τῖμαοίητεν) (τῖμαοίησαν)	τιμφην τιμφης τιμφη τιμφητον τιμφητην τιμφημεν τιμφητε τιμφησαν	(ποιεοίην) (ποιεοίης) (ποιεοίη) (ποιεοίητον) (ποιεοιήτην) (ποιεοίημεν) (ποιεοίητε) (ποιεοίησαν)	ποιοίην ποιοίης ποιοίη ποιοίητον ποιοίητην ποιοίημεν ποιοίητε ποιοίησαν	(δηλοοίην) (δηλοοίης) (δηλοοίης) (δηλοοίητον) (δηλοοιήτην) (δηλοοίητεν) (δηλοοίητε) (δηλοοίησαν)	δηλοίην δηλοίης δηλοίη δηλοίητον δηλοίήτην δηλοίημεν δηλοίητε δηλοίησαν
<u>.</u>	or		or		or	
2.	(τῖμάοιμι) (τῖμάοιs) (τῖμάοι)	τῖμῷμι τῖμῷς τῖμῷ	(ποιέοιμι) (ποιέοις) (ποιέοι)	ποιοίμι ποιοίς ποιοί	(δηλόοιμι) (δηλόοιs) (δηλόοι)	δηλοῖμι δηλοῖς δηλοῖ
	(τῖμάοιτον) (τῖμαοίτην)	τ <b>ϊμ</b> ῷτον	(ποιέοιτον) (ποιεοίτην)	ποιοίτον ποιοίτην	(δηλόοιτον) (δηλοοίτην)	δηλοίτον δηλοίτην
2.	(τῖμάοιμεν) (τῖμάοιτε) (τῖμάοιεν)	τῖμῷμεν τῖμῷτε τῖμῷεν	(ποιέοιμεν) (ποιέοιτε) (ποιέοιεν)	ποιοίμεν ποιοίτε ποιοίεν	(δηλόοιμεν) (δηλόοιτε) (δηλόοιεν)	δηλοίμεν δηλοίτε δηλοίεν
			PRESENT IMP	ERATIVE	•	
	(τίμαε) (τ <i>ϊμαέτω</i> )	τίμα τιμάτω	(ποίεε) (ποιεέτω)	ποίει ποιείτω	(δήλοε) (δηλοέτω)	δήλου δηλούτω
	(τῖμάετον) (τῖμαέτων)	τϊμάτον τϊμ <b>ά</b> των	(ποιέετον) (ποιεέτων)	ποιείτον ποιείτων	(δηλόετον) (δηλοέτων)	δηλοῦτον δηλούτων
	(τῖμάετε) (τιμαόντων)	τῖμᾶτε τῖμώντων	(ποιέετε) (ποιεόντων)	ποιείτε ποιούντων	(δηλόετε) (δηλοόντων)	δηλούτε δηλούντων
	•		PRESENT INF	INITIVE		
	(τῖμάειν)	τϊμάν	(ποιέειν)	ποιείν	(δηλόειν)	δηλοῦν
			PRESENT PAR	TICIPLE		
	(τῖμάων)	τῖμῶν	(ποιέων)	ποιῶν	(δηλόων)	δηλῶν

For the inflection of contracted participles, see 310. For the infinitive, see 469 a.

Attic prose always, and Attic poetry usually, use the contracted forms.

N. 1. — The open forms of verbs in  $-\alpha\omega$  are sometimes found in Homer. Verbs in  $-\epsilon\omega$  often show the uncontracted forms in Homer; in Herodotus contraction properly takes place except before o and  $\omega$ . Verbs in  $-o\omega$  never appear in their uncontracted forms in any author.

N. 2. —  $\pi o \iota \epsilon \omega$  sometimes loses its  $\iota$  (43) except before o sounds.

### MIDDLE AND PASSIVE

### PRESENT INDICATIVE

S. 1. (τῖμάομαι) 2. (τῖμάη, τῖμά 3. (τῖμάεται)	τῖμῶμαι ει) τῖμᾳ τῖμᾶται	(ποιέομαι) (ποιέη,ποιέει) (ποιέεται)	ποιοθμαι ποιῆ, ποιεί ποιείται	(δηλόομαι) (δηλόη, δηλόει) (δηλόεται)	δηλοῦμαι δηλοῦ δηλοῦται
<ul><li>D. 2. (τῖμάεσθον)</li><li>3. (τῖμάεσθον)</li></ul>	τϊμᾶσθον τϊμᾶσθον	(ποιέεσθον) (ποιέεσθον)	ποιεῖσθον ποιεῖσθον	(δηλόεσθον) (δηλόεσθον)	δηλοῦσθον δηλοῦσθον
<ul><li>P. 1. (τῖμαδμεθα)</li><li>2. (τῖμάεσθε)</li><li>3. (τῖμάονται)</li></ul>	τῖμώμεθα τῖμᾶσθε τῖμῶνται	(ποιεόμεθα) (ποιέεσθε) (ποιέονται)	ποιούμεθα ποιεΐσθε ποιούνται	(δηλοόμεθα) (δηλόεσθε) (δηλόονται)	δηλούμεθα δηλοῦσθε δηλοῦνται
		IMPERFE	CT		
S. 1. (ἐτῖμαόμην) 2. (ἐτῖμάσυ) 3. (ἐτῖμάστο)	έτϊμῶ	(ἐποιεόμην) (ἐποιέου) (ἐποιέετο)	έποιοῦ	(ἐδηλοόμην) (ἐδηλόου) (ἐδηλόετο)	έδηλούμην έδηλοῦ έδηλοῦτο
<ul><li>D. 2. (ἐτῖμάεσθον</li><li>3. (ἐτῖμαέσθην</li></ul>		(ἐποιέεσθον) (ἐποιεέσθην)		(ἐδηλόεσθον) (ἐδηλοέσθην)	έδηλοῦσθον έδηλούσθην
<ul><li>P. 1. (ἐτῖμαδμεθα</li><li>2. (ἐτῖμάεσθε)</li><li>3. (ἐτῖμάοντο)</li></ul>	έττμασθε έττμωντο	(ἐποιέοντο)	έποιεῖσθε έποιοῦντο	(ἐδηλοόμεθα) (ἐδηλόεσθε) (ἐδηλόοντο)	έδηλούμεθα έδηλοῦσθε έδηλοῦντο
		PRESENT SUBJ			
S. 1. (τῖμάωμαι) 2. (τῖμάη) 3. (τῖμάηται)	τῖμῶμαι τῖμᾳ̂ τῖμᾶται	(ποιέωμαι) (ποιέη) (ποιέηται)	ποιῶμαι ποιῆ ποιῆται	(δηλόωμαι) (δηλόη) (δηλόηται)	δηλώμαι δηλοΐ δηλώται
<ul><li>D. 2. (τῖμάησθον</li><li>3. (τῖμάησθον</li></ul>		(ποιέησθον) (ποιέησθον)	ποιήσθον ποιήσθον	(δηλόησθον) (δηλόησθον)	δηλῶσθον δηλῶσθον
<ul><li>P. 1: (τῖμαώμεθα</li><li>2. (τῖμάησθε)</li><li>3. (τῖμάωνται)</li></ul>	τῖμᾶσθε	(ποιεώμεθα) (ποιέησθε) (ποιέωνται)	ποιώμεθα ποιῆσθε ποιῶνται	(δηλοώμεθα) (δηλόησθε) (δηλόωνται) ·	δηλώμεθα δηλῶσθε δηλῶνται
		PRESENT OP	<b>TATIVE</b>		
<ul><li>S. 1. (τῖμαοἰμην)</li><li>2. (τῖμάοιο)</li><li>3. (τῖμάοιτο)</li></ul>	τῖμῷμην τῖμῷο τῖμῷτο	(ποιεοίμην) (ποιέοιο) (ποιέοιτο)	ποιοίμην ποιοΐο ποιοΐτο	(δηλοοίμην) (δηλόοιο) (δηλόοιτο)	δηλοίμην δηλοΐο δηλοΐτο
<ul><li>D. 2. (τῖμάοισθον</li><li>3. (τῖμαοίσθην</li></ul>		$(\pi o \iota \epsilon o \iota \sigma \theta o  u)$ $(\pi o \iota \epsilon o \ell \sigma \theta \eta  u)$	ποιοΐσθον ποιοίσθην	(δηλόοισθον) (δηλοοίσθην)	δηλοΐσθον δηλοίσθην
<ul><li>P. 1. (τῖμαοίμεθα)</li><li>2. (τῖμάοισθε)</li><li>3. (τῖμάοιντο)</li></ul>	) τῖμψμεθα τῖμῷσθε τῖμῷντο	(ποιεοίμεθα) (ποιέοισθε) (ποιέοιντο)	ποιοίμεθα ποιοΐσθε ποιοΐντο	$(\delta\eta\lambda ool\mu\epsilon\theta a) \ (\delta\eta\lambda \acute{o}\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon) \ (\delta\eta\lambda \acute{o}\iota u au au)$	δηλοίμεθα δηλοΐσθε δηλοΐντο

### MIDDLE AND PASSIVE - Concluded

#### PRESENT IMPERATIVE

S.	2. (τῖμάου) 3. (τῖμαέσθω)	τῖμῶ τῖμၹၹθω	(ποιέου) (ποιεέσθω)	ποιοῦ ποιείσθω	(δηλόου) (δηλοέσθω)	δηλοῦ δηλούσθω
D.	<ol> <li>(τῖμάεσθον)</li> <li>(τῖμαέσθων)</li> </ol>	•	(ποιέεσθον) (ποιεέσθων)		(δηλόεσθον) (δηλοέσθων)	•
P.	<ol> <li>(τῖμάεσθε)</li> <li>(τῖμαέσθων)</li> </ol>	τϊμάσθε τϊμάσθων	(ποιέεσθε) (ποιεέσθων)		(δηλόεσθε) (δηλοέσθων)	δηλοῦσθε δηλούσθων

#### PRESENT INFINITIVE

(ποιέεσθαι) ποιείσθαι (δηλόεσθαι) δηλούσθαι (τιμάεσθαι) τιμάσθαι

#### PRESENT PARTICIPLE

(ποιεόμενος) ποιούμενος (δηλοόμενος) δηλούμενος (τιμαόμενος) τιμώμενος

### 386. Examples of Contracted Verbs.

### 1. Verbs in -aω:

ἀπατάω deceive (ἀπάτη deceit) βοάω shout (βοή shout) μελετάω practise (μελέτη practice) νικάω conquer (νίκη victory)

όρμάω set in motion (ὁρμή impulse) πειράομαι attempt (πείρα trial) τελευτάω finish (τελευτή end) τολμάω dare (τόλμα daring)

#### 2. Verbs in $-\epsilon \omega$ :

άδικέω do wrong (άδικος unjust) βοηθέω assist (βοηθός assisting) κοσμέω order (κόσμος order) μισέω hate (μίσος hate)

olκέω inhabit (olκos house, poetic) πολεμέω make war (πόλεμος war) φθονέω envy (φθόνος envy) φιλέω love (φίλος friend)

#### 3. Verbs in $-\omega$ :

άξιόω think worthy (άξιος worthy) δουλόω enslave (δοῦλος slave) έλευθερόω set free (έλεύθερος free)

κυρόω make valid (κύρος authority) πολεμόω make an enemy of (πόλεμος war)στεφανόω crown (στέφανος crown) ζυγόω put under the yoke (ζυγόν yoke) ταπεινόω humiliate (ταπεινός humbled)

### 387. Principal parts of Contracted Verbs.

τῖμάω	τῖμήσω	ἐτίμησα	τετίμηκα	τετίμημαι	έτ <b>ι</b> μήθην
θηρ <del>ά</del> ω	θηράσω	ἐθήρᾶσα	τεθήρᾶκα	τεθήραμαι	έθηρ <b>ά</b> θην
ποιεώ	ποιήσω	έποίησα	πεποίηκα	πεποίημαι	έποιήθην
δηλόω	δηλώσω	έδήλωσα	δεδήλωκα	δεδήλωμ <b>α</b> ι	έδηλώθην

### 388.

## Synopsis of τιμά-ω honour

	Pres. Act.	Impf. Act.	Fut. Act.	Aor. Act.	Perf. Act.	Plup. Act.
	τϊμῶ	έτίμων	τῖμήσω	έτίμησα	τετίμηκα	ἐτετϊμήκη
	τῖμῶ			τῖμήσω	τετϊμηκώς ὧ	
Opt.	τῖμφην,	ῷμι	τϊμήσοιμι	τϊμήσαιμι	τετϊμηκώς είην	
$_{ m Imp.}$	. τίμα.			τέμησον		
$\mathbf{Inf}.$	τϊμᾶν		τῖμήσειν	τϊμῆσαι	τετιμηκέναι	
Par.	τϊμῶν		τϊμήσων	τϊμήσᾶς	τετιμηκώς	
	Mid.	Pass.	Middle	Middle	Mid. P	ass.
$\mathbf{Ind}.$	τῖμῶμαι	ἐτῖμώμην	τῖμήσομαι	ἐτῖμησάμην	τετίμημαι	έτετϊμήμην
Sub.	τϊμώμαι			τῖμήσωμαι	τετιμημένος ὧ	
Opt.	τῖμφμην		τῖμησοίμην	τϊμησαίμην	τετιμημένος είτ	ν
	τῖμῶ			τίμησαι	τετίμησο	
	ττμάσθαι		τῖμήσεσθαι	τϊμήσ ασθαι	τετιμήσθαι	
Par.	τῖμώμενος	1	τῖμησόμενος	τῖμησάμενος	τετϊμημένος	
			Passive	Passive	Fut. Perf.	Pass.
Ind.			τῖμηθήσομαι	ἐτῖμήθην	τετϊμήσομαι	
Sub.				τῖμηθῶ	• • •	
Opt.			τῖμηθησοίμην	τϊμηθείην	τετϊμησοίμην	
Imp.				τῖμήθητι		
Inf.			τῖμηθήσεσθαι	τϊμηθήναι	τετϊμήσεσθαι	
Par.			ττμηθησόμενος	τιμηθείς	τετίμησόμενος	
		Ver	hal adjectives.	-Tum-és -Tum-		

## Verbal adjectives: τῖμητός, τῖμητέος

### 389.

## Synopsis of 8npá-w hunt

			author or section		
· Pres. Act.			Aor. Act.	Perf. Act.	Plup. Act.
	ἐθήρων	θηράσω	έθήρασα	τεθήρᾶκα	ἐτεθηράκη
Sub. θηρώ		•	θηράσω	τεθηρακώς ὧ	
Opt. θηρώην, -φ	<b>ρ</b> μι	θηράσοιμι	θηράσαιμι	τεθηρᾶκώς είηι	•
Ιmp. θήρα			θήρασον	•	
Inf. θηράν		θηράσειν	θηρᾶσαι	τεθηρᾶκέναι	
Par. θηρών		θηράσων	θηράσας	τεθηρακώς	
Mid. P	ass.	Middle	Middle	Mid. P	ass.
Ind. θηρώμαι	ἐθηρώμην	θηράσομαι	ἐθηρᾶσάμην	τεθήραμαι	ἐτεθηράμην
Sub. θηρώμαι			θηράσωμαι	τεθηραμένος ὧ	
Opt. θηρώμην		θηρᾶσοίμην	θηρασαίμην		ην ´
Ιmp. θηρῶ			θήρᾶσαι		•
Inf. θηρᾶσθαι		θηράσεσθαι	θηράσασθαι		
Par. θηρώμενος		θηρασόμενος	θηρασάμενος		
		Passive (late)	Passive		
Ind.		[θηραθήσομαι]	έθηράθην	Verbal	adjectives:
Sub.		- · · · -	θηραθώ	θτ	ρατός
Opt.		[θηραθησοίμην]	θηραθείην		ρᾶτέος
Imp.			θηράθητι		••
Inf.		[θηρᾶθήσεσθαι]	θηραθήναι		
Par.		[θηραθησόμενος]	θηραθείς	•	

Ιmp. τελοῦ

Ind.

Sub.

Opt.

Imp.

Inf.

Par.

Inf. τελεισθαι

Par. τελούμενος

#### Synopsis of molé-w make 390. Perf. Act. Pres. Act. Impf. Act. Fut. Act. Aor. Act. Plup. Act. Ind moun έποίουν ποιήσω ἐποίησα πεποίηκα έπεποιήκη Sub. molô ποιήσω πεποιηκώς ὧ Opt. ποιοίην, -οιμι ποιήσοιμι ποιήσαιμι πεποιηκώς εξην ποίησον Ιmp. ποίει Inf. moleiv ποιήσαι πεποιηκέναι ποιήσειν πεποιηκώς Par. ποιών ποιήσων ποιήσας Middle Middle Mid. Pass. Mid. Pass. Ind. ποιούμαι έποιούμην ποιήσομαι ἐποιησάμην πεποίημαι ἐπεποιήμην ποιήσωμαι πεποιημένος ὧ Sub. ποιώμαι ποιησαίμην πεποιημένος είην Opt. ποιοίμην ποιησοίμην Ιπρ. ποιοῦ ποίησαι πεποίησο ποιήσασθαι πεποιήσθαι ποιείσθαι ποιήσεσθαι Par. ποιούμενος ποιησόμενος ποιησάμενος πεποιημένος Passive Passive Fut. Perf. Pass. ποιηθήσομαι έποιήθην πεποιήσομαι Ind. Sub. ποιηθώ Opt. ποιηθείην πεποιησοίμην ποιηθησοίμην ποιήθητι Imp. Inf. ποιηθήσεσθαι ποιηθήναι πεποιήσεσθαι ποιηθείς πεποιησόμενος Par. ποιηθησόμενος Verbal adjectives: ποιητός, ποιητέος 391. Synopsis of τελέ-ω complete Impf. Act. Fut. Act. Aor. Act. Perf. Act. Plup, Act. Pres. Act. τετέλεκα. ἐτετελέκη Ind. τελώ ἐτέλουν τελώ (τελέσω, 488) ἐτέλεσα Sub. τελώ τελέσω τετελεκώς ὧ τετελεκώς εξην Opt. τελοίην, -οιμι τελέσαιμι τελοίην, -οιμι Ιπο. τέλει τέλειτον Inf. τελεῦν τελέσαι τετελεκέναι. τελείν Par. τελών τελ ῶν τελέσπο τετελεκώς Mid. Pass. Middle Middle Mid. Pass. Ind. τελούμαι έτελούμην τελούμαι ἐτελεσάμην τετέλεσμαι έτετελέσμην τελέσωμαι τετελεσμένος ὧ Sub. τελώμαι τετελεσμένος εξην Opt. τελοίμην τελεσαίμην

Passive Passive Verbal adjectives: **ἐτελέ**σθην τελεσθήσομαι τελεστός τελεσθῶ **τελε**σθείην τελεστέος τελεσθησοίμην τελέσθητι τελεσθήσεσθαι τελεσθήναι

τέλεσαι

τελέσασθαι

τελεσάμενος

τελεσθείς

τετέλεσο

τετελέσθαι

τετελεσμένος

τελοίμην

τελείσθαι

τελούμενος

τελεσθησόμενος

	39	2.	S	Synopsis of δηλ	<b>ó-ω</b> manife	st	
		Pres. Act.	Impf. Act.	Fut. Act.	Aor. Act.	Perf. Act.	Plup. Act.
	Ind.	δηλῶ	ἐδήλουν	δηλώσω	έδήλωσα	δεδήλωκα	ἐδεδηλώκη
	Sub.	δηλῶ			δηλώσω	δεδηλωκώς ὧ	
	Opt.	δηλοίην, -	i μι	δηλώσοιμι	δηλώσαιμι	δεδηλωκώς είην	
	Imp.	δήλου			δήλωσον		
	Inf.	δηλοῦν		δηλώσειν	δηλώσαι	δεδηλωκέναι	
	Par.	δηλών		δηλώσων	δηλώσας	δεδηλωκώς	
		Mid.	Pass.	Middle	Middle	Mid. Pas	s.
	Ind.	δηλούμαι	ἐδηλούμην	δηλώσομαι (as pass., 809)	)	δεδήλωμαι	ἐδεδηλώμην
	Sub.	δηλώμαι				δεδηλωμένος ὧ	
	Opt.	δηλοίμην		δηλωσοίμην		δεδηλωμένος εξη	ען
	Imp.	δηλοῦ				δεδήλωσο	
	Inf.	δηλοῦσθαι		δηλώσεσθαι		δεδηλῶσθαι	
	Par.	δηλούμενος	5	δηλωσόμενος		δεδηλωμένος	
				Passive	Passive	Fut. Perf. Pass.	
•	Ind.			δηλωθήσομαι	ἐδηλώθην	δεδηλώσομαι	
	Sub.				δηλωθῶ	•	
	Opt.			δηλωθησοίμην	δηλωθείην	δεδηλωσοίμην	
	Imp.				δηλώθητι		
	Inf.			δηλωθήσεσθαι	δηλωθήναι	δεδηλώσεσθαι	
	Par.			δηλωθησόμενος	δηλωθείς	δεδηλωσόμενος	

Verbal adjectives: δηλωτός, δηλωτέος

#### REMARKS ON THE CONTRACTED VERBS

393. In the present optative active there are two forms: (1) that with the modal sign  $-\iota \eta$ , having  $-\nu$  in the 1 sing., and  $-\sigma \alpha \nu$  in the 3 pl.; (2) that with the modal sign  $-\bar{\iota}$ , having  $-\mu$  in the 1 sing., and  $-\epsilon \nu$  in the 3 pl. The first form is more common in the singular, the second in the dual and plural.

τιμώην (rarely  $\tau$ ιμώμι), τιμώτον (rarely  $\tau$ ιμώητον), τιμώμεν (rarely  $\tau$ ιμώημεν), ποιοίην (rarely ποιοίην), ποιοίην (rarely ποιοίηνον), ποιοίμεν (rarely ποιοίημεν), δηλοίην (rarely δηλοίηνον), δηλοίηνον).

394. Ten verbs in  $-a\omega$  show  $\eta$  where we expect  $\bar{a}$ . These are  $\delta \psi \hat{\omega}$  thirst,  $\zeta \hat{\omega}$  live,  $\pi \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$  hunger,  $\kappa \nu \hat{\omega}$  scrape,  $\nu \hat{\omega}$  spin (rare),  $\sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$  wash,  $\chi o \hat{\omega}$  give oracles,  $\chi \rho \hat{\omega}$  am eager for (rare),  $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \omega$  use, and  $\psi \hat{\omega}$  rub. See 641.

395.  $\zeta \hat{\omega}$  live and  $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$  use are inflected as follows in the present indicative, subjunctive and imperative and in the imperfect.

	Indic.	and Subj.	Imperative Impe		erfect	
S. 1.	. ໃຫຼີ່ຈ	χρήται Χρ <u>ή</u> Χρώμαι	ζη ζήτω	χρῶ χρήσθω	ἔζων ἔζηs ἔζη	έχρώμην έχρῶ έχρῆτο
	. ζήτον . ζήτον	χρῆσθον χρῆσθον	ζήτον ζήτων	χρήσθον χρήσθων	έζητον έζήτην	έχρῆσθον έχρήσθην
P. 1 2 3	٠.	χρῶμεθα χρῆσθε χρῶνται	ζήτε ζώντων	χρῆσθε χρήσθων	έζώμεν έζήτε έζων	έχρώμεθα έχρῆσθε έχρῶντο

Infinitive: ζην, χρησθαι Participle: ζων, χρώμενος

**396.** καίω burn, κλαίω weep, do not contract the forms in which  $\iota$  has disappeared (38). Thus, κάω, κάεις, κάει, κάομεν, κάετε, κάουσι.

**397.** Verbs in  $-\epsilon \omega$  of two syllables do not contract  $\epsilon$  with o or  $\omega$ . The present and imperfect indicative of  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$  sail are inflected as follows.

πλέω		πλέομεν	ἔπλεον		ἐπλέομον
πλεῖς	πλεῖτον	πλεῖτε	ἔπλεις	έπλεῖτον	έπλεῖτε
πλεῖ	πλεῖτον	πλέουσι	ἔπλει	ἐπλείτην	<b>ἔπλ</b> εον

and so πλέω, πλέοιμι, πλεῖν, πλέων, πλέουσα, πλέον. In like manner θέω run, πνέω breathe.

a. δέω need has δεῖs, δεῖ it is necessary, δέη, δέοι, δεῖν, τὸ δέον what is necessary; δέομαι want, request, has δέει, δεῖται, δεόμεθα, δέωμαι. But δέω bind is usually an exception, making δεῖs, δεῖ, δοῦμεν, ἔδουν bound, τὸ δοῦν that which binds, δοῦμαι, δοῦνται, but δεόμενον, δέον appear in some writers.

b. Eéw scrape contracts. Sdéw, Eéw and  $\tau \rho \epsilon \omega$  have lost  $\sigma$ ;  $\pi \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\pi \nu \epsilon \omega$  have lost  $\chi(\rho)$ ; dew need is for devow; dew bind is for devow.

398. Two verbs in  $-\omega$ ,  $i\delta\rho\delta\omega$  sweat,  $\delta\bar{\iota}\gamma\delta\omega$  shiver, may have  $\omega$  and  $\omega$  instead of  $\omega$  and  $\omega$ . See 641.

Thus, indic.  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega}$ s,  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega}$  (or  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega}$ i), opt.  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega} \eta \nu$ , inf.  $\delta i \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$  (or  $\delta i \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$ , opt.  $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$ ), part.  $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$  (or  $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$ ), part.  $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$  (or  $\delta \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma_i$ ).

a. λούω wash, when it drops its v (43), contracts like δηλόω. Thus, λούω, λούεις, λούει, but λοῦμεν (for λο(ν)ο-μεν), λοῦτε, λοῦσι; and so in other forms, as ξλου, λοῦται, λοῦσθαι, λούμενος.

b. of omai think (imperfect  $\phi \delta \mu \eta \nu$ ) has the parallel forms of  $\mu ai$  ( $\phi \mu \eta \nu$ ).

**399.** Movable  $\nu$  is never (in Attic) added to the contracted 3 sing. imperfect ( $\hat{\epsilon}\pi o i \epsilon \iota_{\nu}$ , not  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi o i \epsilon \iota_{\nu}$ ).

Enture Middle

## I. (C) CONSONANT VERBS

- **400.** Verbs whose stems end in a consonant are in general inflected like non-contracting  $\omega$ -verbs in all tenses. The future active and middle of liquid and nasal verbs are inflected like contracted  $\epsilon \omega$ -verbs.
- **401.** Liquid and Nasal Verbs: future active and middle of  $\phi aiv\omega$  show.

Future Active

			Future Active	)	Future M	idale
Indicative.	S.	1. 2. 3.	(φανέω) (φανέεις) (φανέει)	φανῶ φανεῖς φανεῖ	(φανέομαι) (φανέη or -έει) (φανέεται)	φανοῦμαι φανῆ or -εῖ φανεῖται
	D.	2. 3.	(φανέετον) (φανέετον)	φανεῖτον φανεῖτον	(φανέεσθον) (φανέεσθον)	φανεῖσθον φανεῖσθον
	P.	1. 2. 3.	(φανέομεν) (φανέετε) (φανέουσι)	φανοῦμεν φανεῖτε φανοῦσι	(φανεόμεθα) (φανέεσθε) (φανέονται)	φανούμεθα φανεΐσθε φανοῦνται
OPTATIVE.	s.	1. 2. 3.	(φανεοίην) (φανεοίης) (φανεοίη)	φανοίην φανοίης φανοίη	(φανεοίμην) (φανέοιο) (φανέοιτο)	φανοίμην φανοΐο φανοΐτο
	D.	2. 3.	(φανέοιτον) (φανεοίτην)	φανοῖτον . φανοίτην	(φανέοισθον) (φανεοίσθην)	φανοίσθον φανοίσθην
,	Ρ.	1. 2. 3.	(φανέοιμεν) (φανέοιτε) (φανέοιεν)	φανοῖμεν φανοῖτε φανοῖεν	(φανεοίμεθα) (φανέοισθε) (φανέοιντο)	φανοίμεθα φανοΐσθε φανοΐντο
			or			
	S.	1. 2. 3.	(φανέοιμι) (φανέοις) (φανέοι)	φανοῖμι φανοῖς φανοῖ		•
	D.	2. 3.	(φανέοιτον) (φανεοίτην)	φανοίτον φανοίτην		٠.
	Ρ.	1. 2. 3.	(φανέοιμεν) (φανέοιτε) (φανέοιεν)	φανοῖμεν φανοῖτε φανοῖεν		
Infinitive.			(φανέειν)	φανεῖν	(φανέεσθαι)	φανεῖσθαι
Participle.			(φανέων, φανέουσα, φανέον)	φανών, φανούσα, φανούν (310)	(φανεόμενος, -η, -ον)	φανούμενος, -η, -ον (287)

402. Liquid and Nasal Verbs: first acrist active and middle, second acrist and second future passive of  $\phi ai\nu \omega$  show.

Ind.	s.		1 Aorist Active ἔφηνα ἔφηνας	1 Aorist Middle έφηνάμην έφήνω	2 Aorist Passive ἐφάνην ἐφάνης	2 Future Passive φανήσομαι φανήση, φανήσει
		_	<b>ἔ</b> φηνε	έφήνατο	ἐφάνη	φανήσεται
	D.	2.	έφήνατον	έφήνασθον	έφάνητον	φανήσεσθον
		3.	ἐφηνάτην	ἐφηνάσθην	έφανήτην	φανήσεσθον
	P.		ἐφήναμεν	ἐφηνάμεθα	ἐφάνημεν	φανησόμεθα
			έφήνατε	ἐφήνασθε	ἐφάνητε	φανήσεσθε
		3.	ἔφηναν	ἐφήναντο	ἐφάνησαν	φανήσονται
Subj.	s.	1.	φήνω	φήνωμαι	φανῶ	
			φήνης	φήνη	φανῆς	
		3.	φήνη	φήνηται	φανῆ	
	D.		φήνητον	φήνησθον	φανήτον	
		3.	φήνητον	φήνησθον	φανήτον	
	Ρ.		φήνωμεν	φηνώμεθα	φανῶμεν	
			φήνητε	φήνησθε	φανήτε	
		3.	φήνωσι	φήνωνται	φανῶσι	
Орт.	s.	1.	φήναιμι	φηναίμην	φανείην	φανησοίμην
		2.	φήναις or φήνειας (668)	φήναιο	φανείης	φανήσοιο
		3.	φήναι or φήνειε (668)	φήναιτο	φανείη	φανήσοιτο
	D.	2.	φήναιτον	φήναισθον	φανεῖτον or φανείητον	φανήσοισθον
		3.	φηναίτην	φηναίσθην	φανείτην or φανειήτην	φανησοίσθην
	P.	1.	φήναιμεν	φηναίμεθα	.φανείμεν or φανείημεν	φανησοίμεθα
		2.	φήναιτε	φήναισθε	φανεῖτε or φανείητε	φανήσοισθε
		3.	φήναιεν or φήνειαν (668)	φήναιντο	φανείεν or φανείησαν	φανήσοιντο
IMP.	S.	2.	φηνον	φηναι	φάνηθι	
		3.	φηνάτω	φηνάσθω	φανήτω	
	D.		φήνατον	φήνασθον	φάνητον	
		3.	φηνάτων	φηνάσθων	φανήτων	
	Ρ.		φήνατε	φήνασθε	φάνητε	
		3.	φηνάντων	φηνάσθων	φανέντων	
INF.			φηναι	φήνασθαι	φανήναι	φανήσεσθαι
Part	•		φήνας, -ασα, φηναν (306)	φηνάμενος, -η, -ον (287)	φανείς, φανείσα, φανέν (307	φανησόμενος, -η, -ον (287) )

GREEK GRAM. -- 9

### PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT MIDDLE (AND PASSIVE)

- 403. In the perfect and pluperfect middle (and passive) of stems ending in a consonant certain euphonic changes (409) occur upon the addition of the personal endings.
- **404.** Several verbs with stems ending in a short vowel retain that vowel in the perfect (and in other tenses); such stems originally ended in  $\sigma$ ; as  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon' \omega$  finish, from  $\tau \epsilon \lambda c_0$  end ( $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon c_0$ ). This  $\sigma$  appears in the perfect middle stem ( $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ ). In the second person singular and plural but one  $\sigma$  is found:  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ . By analogy some other verbs have a  $\sigma$  at the end of the verbal stem.
- **405.** In the perfect and pluperfect middle the third person plural of stems ending in a consonant or of stems adding  $\sigma$  consists of the perfect middle participle with  $\epsilon i\sigma i$  are (in the perfect) and  $\hat{\eta}\sigma a\nu$  were (in the pluperfect).
- **406.** Perfect and pluperfect middle and passive of  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$  ( $\lambda \epsilon i \pi$ -) leave, γράφω (γραφ-) write,  $\pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$  ( $\pi \epsilon i \theta$ -) persuade,  $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$  ( $\pi \rho \tilde{\alpha} \gamma$ -) do.

#### Perfect Indicative

S. 1. λέλειμμαι	γέγραμμαι	πέπεισμαι	πέπραγμαι
2. λέλειψαι	γέγραψαι	πέπεισαι	πέπραξαι
3. λέλειπται	γέγραπται	πέπεισται -	πέπρακται
D. 2. λέλειφθον	γέγραφθον	πέπεισθον	πέπρᾶχθον
3. λέλειφθον	γέγραφθον	πέπεισθον	πέπρᾶχθον
Ρ. 1. λελείμμεθα	γεγράμμεθα	πεπείσμεθα	πεπράγμεθα
2. λέλειφθε	γέγραφθε	πέπεισθε	πέπρᾶχθε
3. λελειμμένοι είσί	γεγραμμένοι εἰσί	πεπεισμένοι είσί	πεπραγμένοι είσί

#### Pluperfect

S.	1. έλελείμμην	ͼʹγεγράμμην	έπεπείσμην	έπεπράγμην
	2. ἐλέλειψο	<b>ἐγέγραψο</b>	<b>ἐπέπεισο</b>	ἐπέπρᾶξο
	3. ἐλέλειπτο	<b>έγ</b> έγραπτο	έπέπειστο	ἐπέπρᾶκτο
D.	2. ἐλέλειφθον	έγέγραφθον	ἐπέπεισθον	ἐπέπρᾶχθον
	3. ἐλελείφθην	έγεγράφθην	ἐπεπείσθην	<b>ἐπεπρά</b> χθην
Р.	1. ἐλελείμμεθα	έγεγράμμεθα	έπεπείσ μεθα	<b>ἐπεπράγμεθα</b>
	2. ἐλέλειφθε	ͼʹγέγραφθε	έπέπεισθε	<b>ἐπέπρ</b> αχθε
	3. λελειμμένοι ήσαν	γεγραμμένοι ήσαν	πεπεισμένοι ήσαν	πεπραγμένοι ήσαν

#### Perfect Subjunctive and Optative

λελειμμένος ὧ γεγραμμένος ὧ πεπεισμένος ὧ πεπραγμένος ὧ λελειμμένος εἴην γεγραμμένος εἴην πεπεισμένος εἴην πεπραγμένος εἴην

#### Perfect Imperative

S. 2. λέλειψο	γέγραψο	πέπεισο	πέπρᾶξο
3. λελείφθω	γεγράφθω	πεπείσθω	πεπράχθω
D. 2. λέλειφθον	γέγραφθον	πέπεισθον	πέπρᾶχθον
3. λελείφθων	, γεγράφθων	πεπείσθων	πεπράχθων
P. 2. λέλειφθε	γέγραφθε	πέπεισθε	πέπρᾶχθε
3. λελείφθων	γεγράφθων	πεπείσθων	πεπράχθων

## Perfect Infinitive and Participle

λελεῖφθαι γεγράφθαι πεπεῖσθαι πεπρᾶχθαι λελειμμένος, -η, -ον γεγραμμένος, -η, -ον πεπεισμένος, -η, -ον πεπραγμένος, -η, -ον

**407.** Perfect and pluperfect middle and passive of  $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\chi\omega$  ( $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\chi$ -) confute,  $\hat{a}\gamma\gamma\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$  ( $\hat{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda$ -) announce,  $\phi ai\nu\omega$  ( $\phi a\nu$ -) show,  $\tau\epsilon\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\omega$  ( $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon$ -) finish.

## Perfect Indicative

:	<ol> <li>έλήλεγμαι</li> <li>έλήλεγξαι</li> <li>έλήλεγκτο</li> </ol>	ἤγγελσαι	πέφασμαι (πέφανσαι, 707 a) πέφανται	τετέλε-σ-μαι τετέλε-σαι τετέλε-σ-ται
	<ol> <li>έλήλεγχθε</li> <li>έλήλεγχθε</li> </ol>		πέφανθον πέφανθον	τετέλε-σθον τετέλε-σθον
	<ol> <li>ἐληλέγμεθ</li> <li>ἐλήλεγχθο</li> <li>ἐληλεγμέι</li> </ol>	ε ἥγγελθε	πεφάσμεθα πέφανθε ί πεφασμένοι εໄσί	τετελέ-σ-μεθα τετέλε-σθε τετελε-σ-μένοι εἰσί

#### Pluperfect Indicative

S. 1. ἐληλέγμην	ἦγγέλμην	ἐπεφάσμην	έ-τετελέ-σ-μην
2. ἐλήλεγξο	ἥγγελσο	(ἐπέφανσο, 707 a)	έ-τετέλε-σο
3. ἐλήλεγκτο	ἥγγελτο	ἐπέφαντο	έ-τετέλε-σ-το
D. 2. ἐλήλεγχθον	ἤγγελθον	ἐπέφανθον	ἐ-τετέλε-σθον
3. ἐληλέγχθην	ἠγγέλθην	ἐπεφάνθην	ἐ-τετελέ-σθην
<ul><li>P. 1. ἐληλέγμεθα</li><li>2. ἐλήλεγχθε</li><li>3. ἐληλεγμένοι ἦσα:</li></ul>	ήγγέλμεθα	ἐπεφάσμεθα	ἐ-τετελέ-σ-μεθα
	ήγγελθε	ἐπέφανθε	ἐ-τετέλε-σθε
	η ήγγελμένοι ἦσαν	πεφασμένοι ἦσαν	τετελε-σ-μένοι ἦσαν

## Perfect Subjunctive and Optative

έληλεγμένος ὧ	ήγγελμένος ὧ	πεφασμένος ὧ	τετελεσμένος ὧ
	ήγγελμένος είην	πεφασμένος είην	τετελεσμένος εξην

#### Perfect Imperative

S. 2. ἐλήλεγξο	ἥγγελσο	(πέφανσο, 712 a)	τετέλε-σο
3. ἐληλέγχθω	ήγγέλθω	πεφάνθω	τετελέ-σθω
D. 2. έλήλεγχθον	ἥγγελθον	πέφανθον	τετέλε-σθον
3. έληλέγχθων	ἠγγ€λθων	πεφάνθων	τετελέ-σθων
P. 2. ἐλήλεγχθε	ἥγγελθε	πέφανθε	τετέλε-σθε
3. ἐληλέγχθων	ἠγγέλθων	πεφάνθων	τετελέ-σθων

#### Perfect Infinitive and Participle

έληλέγχθαι	ήγγέλθαι	πεφάνθαι	τετελέ-σθαι
έληλεγμένος, -η,	ήγγελμένος, -η,	πεφασμένος, -η,	τετελε-σ-μένος, -η,
-ov	-ov	-ov	-ον

#### EXPLANATION OF THE PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT FORMS

**408.** The periphrastic third plural is used instead of the forms derived directly from the union of the stem with the ending.

Thus,  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu o\iota$   $\epsilon l\sigma l$  is used for  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\phi-\nu\tau\alpha\iota$  which would become  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  by 35 b,  $\nu$  between consonants passing into  $\alpha$ . The periphrastic form is also used in verbs adding  $\sigma$  to their stems, as  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma-\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu o\iota$   $\epsilon l\sigma l$  for  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma-\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ . Stems in  $\nu$  that drop  $\nu$  in the perfect system form their perfect and pluperfect regularly; thus,  $\kappa\rho l\nu\omega$  ( $\kappa\rho\iota\nu$ -) judge has  $\kappa\epsilon\kappa\rho\iota\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\kappa\rho\iota\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ .

- N. On the retention of -arai, -aro see 465 f.
- 409. Euphonic Changes. For the euphonic changes in these forms see 82-87, 103.
- a. Labial Stems. λέλειμ-μαι is for λελειπ-μαι, λέλειφ-θον is for λελειπ-σθον, λέλειφθε is for λελειπ-σθε (103). In the same manner are inflected other labial stems, as  $\tau \rho t \beta \omega$  ( $\tau \rho \bar{\tau} \beta$ -)  $\tau u b$ ,  $\dot{\rho} t \pi \tau \omega$  ( $\dot{\rho} \bar{\iota} \pi$ -)  $t h \tau o w$ :  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \bar{\iota} \mu$ -μαι for  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \bar{\iota} \beta$ -μαι,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \bar{\iota}$  ψαι for  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \bar{\iota} \beta$ -σαι, etc. Stems ending in  $\mu \pi$  drop  $\pi$  before  $\mu$ , but retain it before other consonants. Thus,

πεπεμπ-μαι becomes πέπεμμαι πεπεμπ-μεθα becomes πεπέμμεθα πεπεμπ-σαι " πέπεμψαι πεπεμπ-σθε " πέπεμφθε (103) πεπεμπ-ται " πέπεμπται

b. Dental Stems. — πέπεισ-ται is for πεπειθ-ται (83), πέπεισ-θον is for πεπειθθον (83), πέπεισθε is for πεπειθ-(σ)θε (83, 103). The σ thus produced was trans-

**<sup>409</sup>** b. **D**. Hom. has the original forms  $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \alpha \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ , κεκορυθμένος.

ferred to the first persons πέπεισμαι, πεπείσμεθα (86, 87). Like πέπεισμαι, etc., are formed and inflected ἔψευσμαι from ψεύδω (ψευδ-) deceive, πέφρασμαι from φράζω (φραδ-) declare, ἔσπεισμαι (100) from σπένδω (σπενδ-) pour a libation.

- c. Palatal Stems. πέπρᾶξαι is for πεπρᾶγ-σαι (97), πέπρᾶκται is for πεπρᾶγ-ται (82 a), πέπρᾶχθε is for πεπρᾶγ-σθε (103). Like πέπρᾶγμαι are inflected πλέκω (πλεκ-) weave πέπλεγ-μαι, ἄγω (άγ-) lead ἢγμαι, άλλάττω (άλλαγ-) exchange ἢλλαγμαι, ταράττω (ταραχ-) confuse τετάραγμαι. Stems in -γχ change χ before  $\mu$  to  $\gamma$  and drop one  $\gamma$  (as in ἐλήλεγ-μαι for ἐληλεγγ-μαι, 85 and 85 b), but keep the second palatal before other consonants (as in ἐλήλεγξαι for ἐληλεγχ-σαι, 97; ἐλήλεγκ-ται for ἐληλεγχ-ται, 82). On the reduplication see 446.
- d. Liquid and Nasal Stems. Stems in  $\lambda$  or  $\rho$  are inflected like ήγγελμαι, as  $\sigma \tau \ell \lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$ -,  $\sigma \tau a \lambda$ -) send έσταλμαι, αίρω ( $d\rho$ -) raise ήρμαι, έγείρω (έγερ-) wake έγήγερμαι (446). Stems in  $\nu$  retaining the nasal are inflected like  $\pi \epsilon \phi a \sigma \mu a \iota$ , as  $\sigma \eta \mu a \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\sigma \eta \mu a \nu$ -) signify  $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu a \sigma \mu a \iota$ . (For  $\sigma \mu a \iota$  see 94 a and b.) Stems in  $\nu$  dropping the nasal (559 a) are inflected like  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu a \iota$ , as  $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\kappa \rho \iota \nu$ -) judge  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \iota \mu a \iota$ .
- e. Vowel Stems adding  $\sigma$ . Here the stem ends in a vowel except before  $\mu$  and  $\tau$ ; thus,  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma a\iota$ ,  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma \theta o\nu$ ,  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma \theta \epsilon$ : but  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma-\mu a\iota$ ,  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma-\mu a\iota$ ,  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon-\sigma-\mu a\iota$ .
- N. Since the stem of  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega$  is properly  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma$   $(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-} \iota \omega, 624)$ , the original inflection is  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-} \sigma a\iota$ , whence  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon_{-} \sigma a\iota$  (107);  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-} \tau a\iota$ ;  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-} \sigma \theta o\nu$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ , whence  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta o\nu$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$  (103).  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu a\iota$  and  $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a\iota$  are due to the analogy of the other forms.
- **410**. The forms  $\pi \acute{e} \phi a \nu \sigma a \iota$ ,  $\acute{e} \pi \acute{e} \phi a \nu \sigma o$ , and  $\pi \acute{e} \phi a \nu \sigma o$  are not attested. Cp. 707 a.
  - 411. The principal parts of the verbs in 406-407 are as follows:

άγγέλλω announce (άγγελ-), άγγελώ, ήγγειλα, ήγγελκα, ήγγελμαι, ήγγέλθην.

γράφω vorite (γραφ-), γράψω, ἔγραψα, γέγραμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἔγράφην.

λείπω leave (λιπ-, λειπ-, λοιπ-), λείψω, 2 porf. λέλοιπα, λέλειμμαι, έλείφθην, 2 a. έλιπον.

πείθω persuade (πιθ-, πείθ-, ποίθ-), πείσω, έπεισα, 1 perf. πέπεικα I have

persuaded, 2 perf. πέποιθα I trust, πέπεισμαι, ἐπείσθην.

πράττω do (πράγ-), πράξω, ἐπράξα, 2 perf. πέπράγα I have fared and I have done, πέπράγμαι, ἐπράχθην. τελέω finish (τελε-σ-), τελώ, ἐτέλεσα, τετέλεκα, τετέλεσμαι, ἐτελέσθην.

φαίνω show (φαν-), φανῶ, ἔφηνα, 1 perf.
πέφαγκα I have shown, 2 perf. πέφηνα
I have appeared, πέφασμαι, ἐφάνθην
I was shown, 2 aor. pass. ἐφάνην I
appeared.

### CONJUGATION OF HI-VERBS

- 412. The conjugation of  $\mu$ -verbs differs from that of  $\omega$ -verbs only in the present, imperfect, and second acrist active and middle; and (rarely) in the second perfect. The  $\mu$  forms are made by adding the endings *directly* to the tense-stem without any thematic vowel, except in the subjunctive of all verbs, and in the optative of verbs ending in  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ .
- 413. Verbs having second aorists and second perfects of the  $\mu \iota$  form are, as a rule,  $\omega$ -verbs, not  $\mu \iota$ -verbs, in the present. Thus, the second aorists:  $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\eta\nu$  ( $\beta\alpha'\nu\omega$  go),  $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\nu$  ( $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\kappa\omega$  know); the second perfect:  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\theta\nu\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$  ( $\theta\nu\dot{\gamma}\sigma\kappa\omega$  die).
  - **414**. There are two main classes of  $\mu\iota$ -verbs.

A. The root class. This class commonly ends in  $-\eta - \mu \nu$  or  $-\omega - \mu \nu$  (from stems in  $\epsilon$ ,  $\alpha$ , or  $\alpha$ ). The present stem is usually reduplicated, but may be the same as the verb-stem, which is a root.

Verb-stem	Present Stem	Present
$\theta\epsilon$ -, $\theta\eta$ -	τιθε-, τιθη- (for θιθε, θιθη, 125 a)	$\tau$ ίθημι place
έ-, ἡ-	$t\epsilon$ -, $t\eta$ - (for $\sigma\iota\sigma\epsilon$ , $\sigma\iota\sigma\eta$ )	$t\eta\mu\iota$ $send$
στα-, στη-	ίστα-, ίστη- (for σιστα, σιστη, 119)	$l\sigma au\eta\mu\iota$ set
δο-, δω-	διδο-, διδω-	δίδω $\mu$ ι $give$
$\phi a$ -, $\phi \eta$ -	$\phi$ a-, $\phi$ $\eta$ -	φημί say

B. The  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  class. This class adds  $\nu\nu$  ( $\nu\bar{\nu}$ ), after a vowel  $\nu\nu\nu$  ( $\nu\nu\bar{\nu}$ ), to the verb-stem. In the subjunctive and optative regularly, and sometimes in the indicative, verbs in  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  are inflected like verbs in  $-\omega$ .

Verb-stem	Present Stem	Present
<b>б</b> егк-	δεικνυ-, δεικνυ-	δείκνυμι show
ζευγ-	ζευγνυ-, ζευγνῦ-	ζεύγντμι yoke
κερα-	κεραννυ-, κεραννυ-	κεράνν $ ilde{v}$ μι $mix$
<i>ρηγ</i> -	ρηγνυ-, ρηγν <del>υ</del> -	ρήγνυμι break
$\sigmaoldsymbol{eta}\epsilon$ -	$\sigma$ βεννυ-, $\sigma$ βενν $ar{v}$ -	$\sigma$ β $\acute{\epsilon}$ νν $\ddot{v}$ μι $extinguish$

- C. There are some (mostly poetic) verbs in  $-\nu\eta\mu$ , which add  $\nu\alpha$ ,  $\nu\gamma$  to form the present stem; as  $\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu$ - $\nu\gamma$ - $\mu$  I subdue,  $\delta\dot{\alpha}\mu$ - $\nu\alpha$ - $\mu$ e $\nu$  we subdue.
- 415. All the possible  $\mu$  forms do not occur in any single verb.  $\tau t\theta \eta \mu$  and  $\delta t\delta \omega \mu$  are incomplete and irregular in the second agrist active; and  $\xi \sigma \beta \eta \nu$  went out from  $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  is the only second agrist formed from  $\nu \bar{\nu} \mu \nu$  verbs.  $\epsilon \pi \rho \mu \mu \nu I$  bought, second agrist middle (from the stein  $\pi \rho \mu a$  with no present), is given in the paradigms in place of the missing form of  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ; and  $\xi \delta \bar{\nu} \nu I$  entered from  $\delta \ell \omega$  (but formed as if from  $\delta \hat{\nu} \mu$ ) in place of a second agrist of the  $\nu \bar{\nu} \mu \nu$ -verbs.

 $\delta \iota - \delta \circ \hat{\iota} \epsilon - \nu$ 

416. (A) Root Class. — Inflection of  $\tau$ ίθημι place, ιστημι set, δίδωμι give, in the present, imperfect, and second agrist tenses; and of  $\epsilon$ πριάμην I bought.

#### ACTIVE

Prosent	Indicative

	I	resent Indicative	
S. 1.	τί-θη-μι	ί-στη-μι	δί-δω-μι
2.	τί-θη-ς	<b>ί-στη-s</b>	δί-δω-ς
3.	τί-θη-σι	ί-στη-σι	δί-δω-σι
D. 2.	τί-θε-τον	ί-στα-τον	δί-δο-τον
3.	τί-θε-τον	ἵ-στα-τον	δί-δο-τον
P. 1.	τί-θε-μεν	ί-στα-μεν	δί-δο-μεν
2.	τί-θε-τε	ἵ-στα-τε	δί-δο-τε
3.	τι-θέ-ασι	ὶ-στᾶσι	δι-δό-ασι
		Imperfect	
S. 1.	<b>ἐ-τί-θη-ν</b>	<b>ξ-στη-ν</b>	έ-δί-δουν (746 b)
2.	ε-τί-θεις (746 b)	ť-στη-s	έ-δί-δους
3.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε</b> ι	ξ-στη	<b>≟-</b> δί-δου
D. 2.	έ-τί-θε-τον	ί-στα-τον	<b>ἐ-δί-δο-τον</b>
3.	έ-τι-θέ-την	t-στά-την	<b>έ-</b> δι-δό-την
P. 1.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-μεν</b>	ί-στα-μεν	<b>ἐ-</b> δί-δο-μεν
2.	έ-τί-θε-τε	∜-στα-τε	έ-δί-δο-τε
3.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-σαν</b>	ΐ-στα-σαν	έ-δί-δο-σαν
	P	resent Subjunctive	
S. 1.	τι- <del>8</del> ῶ	ί-στῶ	δι-δῶ
2.	<b>τι-θ</b> η̂-s	ξ-στῆ-ς	<b>გ</b> ι-გὧ-≥
3.	τι-θ <u>η</u>	ί-στῆ	δι-δῷ
D. 2.	τι-θη-τον	ί-στῆ-τον	δι-δώ-τον
3.	τι-θη-τον	ί-στῆ-τον	δι-δῶ-τον
P. 1.	τι-θώ-μεν	ί-στῶ-μεν	່ δι-δῶ-μεν
2.	τι-θή-τ€	ί-στῆ-τ€	δι-δῶ-τε
3.	τι-θώ-σι	ί-στῶ-σι	δι-δώ-σι
		Present Optative	
S. 1.	τι-θείη-ν	ί-σταίη-ν	δι-δοίη-ν
2.	τι-θείη-ς	ί-σταίη-s	δι-δοίη-ς
3.	τι-θείη	ί-σταίη	δι-δοίη
D. 2.	τι-θεί-τον	ί-σταί-τον	δι-δοΐ-τον
3.	τι-θεί-την	ί-σταί-την	δι-δοί-την
P. 1.	τι-θει-μεν	ί-σταϊ-μεν	δι-δοΐ-μεν
2.	τι-θεῦ-τε	ί-σταῖ-τε	δι-δοῦ-τ€

ί-σταῖε-ν

3. τι-θείε-ν

## ACTIVE - Concluded

## Present Optative

	or (750)	or (750)	or (750)
D. 2.	τι-θείη-τον	ί-σταίη-τον	δι-δοίη-τον
3.	τι-θειή-την	ί-σταιή-την	δι-δοιή-την
P. 1.	τι-θείη-μεν	ί-σταίη-μεν	δι-δοίη-μεν
2.	τι-θείη-τε	ί-σταίη-τε	δι-δοίη-τε
3.	τι-θείη-σαν	ί-σταίη-σαν	δι-δοίη-σαν
		Present Imperative	

S. 2. τί-θει (746 b)	ί-στη	δί-δου
3. τι-θέ-τω	i-στά-τω	δι-δό-τω
D. 2. τί-θε-τον	ί-στα-τον	δί-δο-τον
3. τι-θέ-των	ὶ-στά-των	δι-δό-των
P. 2. τί-θε-τε	ί-στα-τε	δί-δο-τε
3. τι-θέ-ντων	ί-στά-ντων	δι-δό-ντων

## Present Infinitive

<b>τι-θ</b> έ-ναι	ì-στά-ν <b>α</b> ι	δι-δό-ναι

## Present Participle

τι-θείς, -εῖσα, <b>-έ</b> ν (307)	ί-στ <b>ά</b> ς, -âσα, -άν (306)	δι-δούς, -οῦσα, -όν
		(307)

## MIDDLE AND PASSIVE

## Present Indicative

S. 1.	τί-θε-μαι	ἵ-στα-μαι	δί-δο-μαι (747 f)
2.	τί-θε-σαι	ἵ-στα-σαι	δί-δο-σαι
3.	τί-θε-ται	ί-στα-ται	δί-δο-ται
D. 2.	τί-θε-σθον	ί-στα-σθον	δί-δο-σθον
3.	τί-θε-σθον	ί-στα-σθον	δί-δο-σθον
P. 1.	τι-θέ-μεθα	ί-στά-μεθα	δι-δό-μεθα
2.	τί-θε-σθε	ἵ-στα-σθε	δί-δο-σθε
3.	τί-θε-νται	ĭ-στα-νται	δί-δο-νται

## Imperfect

S. 1.	<b>ἐ-τι-θέ-μην</b>	ξ-στά-μην	έ-δι-δό-μην (747 f)
2.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-σ</b> ο	ί-στα-σο	ể-δί-δο-σο <b>`</b>
3.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-το</b>	<b>ť-</b> στα-то	<b>ἐ-δί-δο-το</b>
D. 2.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-σθον</b>	ί-στα-σθον	<b>ἐ-δί-δο-σθον</b>
3.	<b>ἐ-τι-θέ-σθην</b>	ῗ-στά-σθην	ἐ-δι-δό-σθην
P. 1.	<b>ἐ-τι-θέ-μεθα</b>	ῒ-στά-μεθα	ἐ-δι-δό-μεθα
2.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-σθε</b>	ί-στα-σθε	€-δί-δο-σθε
3.	<b>ἐ-τί-θε-ντο</b>	<b>Ё-</b> σт <b>α-</b> ν <b>т</b> о	<b>ἐ-δί-δο-ντο</b>

## MIDDLE AND PASSIVE - Concluded

## Present Subjunctive

		1 resent Baojanctive	
	τι-θώ-μαι	ί-στῶ-μαι	δι-δῶ-μαι
	τι-θῆ	ί-στῆ	δι-δῷ
3.	τι-θή-ται	ί-στη-ται	δι-δώ-ται
D. 2.	τι-θή-σθον	ί-στῆ-σθον	δι-δῶ-σθον
3.	τι-θή-σθον	ί-στη-σθον	δι-δῶ-σθον
P. 1.	τι-θώ-μεθα	ί-στώ-μεθα	δι-δώ-μεθα
2.	τι-θῆ-σθε	ί-στῆ-σθε	δι-δῶ-σθε
3.	τι-θώ-νται	ί-στῶ-νται	δι-δῶ-ντ <b>α</b> ι
		Present Optative	
S. 1.	τι-θεί-μην	ί-σταί-μην	δι-δοί-μην
2.	τι-θεί-ο	ί-σταῖ-ο	δι-δοΐ-ο
3.	τι-θεί-το	ί-σταῖ-το	δι-δοί-το
D. 2.	τι-θεί-σθον	ί-σταῖ-σθον	δι-δοῖ-σθον
3.	τι-θεί-σθην	ί-σταί-σθην	δι-δοί-σθηι
P. 1.	τι-θεί-μεθα	ί-σταί-μεθα	δι-δοί-μεθα
2.	$\tau \iota - \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} - \sigma \theta \epsilon$	ί-σταῖ-σθε	δι-δοῖ-σθε
3.	τι-θεῖ-ντο	<b>ί-</b> σταῖ-ντο	δι-δοῦ-ντο
	$\mathbf{or}$		
S. 1.	τι-θεί-μην		
2.	τι-θει̂-ο		
3.	т <b>ι-θ</b> оî-то (746 c)		
D. 2.	τι-θοί-σθον		
3.	τι-θοί-σθην		
P. 1.	τι-θοί-μεθα		
	τι-θοῖ-σθε		
3.	τι-θοί-ντο	<b>.</b>	
		Present Imperative	
	τί-θε-σο	ί-στα-σο	δί-δο-σο
	τι-θέ-σθω	ί-στά-σθω	δι-δό-σθω .
	τί-θε-σθον	ί-στα-σθον	δί-δο-σθον
	τι-θέ-σθων	ί-στά-σθων	δι-δό-σθων
	τί-θε-σθε	ί-στα-σθε	δί-δο-σθε
3.	τι-θέ-σθων	ί-στά-σθων	δι-δό-σθων
		Present Infinitive	
	τί-θε-σθαι	ἵ-στα-σθαι	δί-δο-σθαι
		Present Participle	
	τι-θέ-μενος	ί-στά-μενος	δι-δό-μενος

## SECOND AORIST

## Indicative

			1111110111	ive		
	Active	Middle	Active	Middle	Active	Middle
S. 1.	(ἔθηκα, 755)	) ἐ-θέ-μην	-στη-ν stood	έπριάμην (415)	(ёбшка, 755)	<b>ϵ-δό-μην</b> (756 b)
	(ἔθηκας) (ἔθηκε)	ἔ-θου ἔ-θε-το	ἔ-στη-s ἔ-στη	•	(ἔδωκας) (ἔδωκας)	ἔ-δου ἔ-δο- <b>το</b>
D. 2. 3.	ἔ-θε-τον ἐ-θέ-την	ἔ-θε-σθον ἐ-θέ-σθην	ἔ-στη-τον ἐ-στή-την	ἐ-πρία-σθον ἐ-πριά-σθην	ἔ-δο-τον ἐ-δό-την	ἔ-δο-σθον ἐ-δό-σθην
P. 1. 2. 3.	ἔ-θε-μεν ΄ ἔ-θε-τε ἔ-θε-σαν	ἐ-θέ-μεθα ἔ-θε-σθε ἔ-θε-ντο	ἔ-στη-μεν ἔ-στη-τε ἔ-στη-σαν	ἐ-πριά-μεθα ἐ-πρία-σθε ἐ-πρία-ντο	ἔ-δο-μεν ἔ-δο-τε ἕ-δο-σαν	ἐ-δό-μεθα ἔ-δο-σθε ἔ-δο-ντο
•			Subjunc	tive		
S. 1. 2. 3.	θῶ θῆ-s θῆ	θῶ-μαι θῆ θῆ-ται	στῶ στῆ-s στῆ	πρίω-μαι (424, N. 2) πρίη πρίη-ται	- გ <sub>რ</sub> გ <sub>რ</sub> -გ გ <sub>რ</sub>	δῶ-μαι δῷ δῶ-ται
D. 2. 3.	θῆ-τον θῆ-τον	θῆ-σθον θῆ-σθον	στή-τον στή-τον	πρίη-σθον πρίη-σθον	δῶ-τον δῶ-τον	δῶ-σθον δῶ-σθον
P. 1. 2. 3.	θῶ-μεν θῆ-τε θῶ-σι	θώ-μεθα θῆ-σθε θῶ-νται	στῶ-μεν στῆ-τε στῶ-σι	πριώ-μεθα πρίη-σθε πρίω-νται	δῶ-μεν δῶ-τε δῶ-σι	δώ-μεθα δῶ-σθε δῶ-νται
			Optatio	ve		
S. 1. 2. 3.	θείη-ν θείη-5 θείη	θεί-μην θεΐ-ο θεΐ-το, θοΐ-το	σταίη-ν σταίη-s σταίη	πριαί-μην πρίαι-ο (424, N.2) πρίαι-το	•	δοί-μην δοî-ο δοî-το
D. 2. 3.	θεί-τον θεί-την	θεῖ-σθον θεί-σθην	σταί-τον . σταί-την	πρίαι-σθον πριαί-σθην	δοῖ-τον δοί-την	δοΐ-σθον δοί-σθην
P. 1. 2. 3.	θεῖ-μεν θεῖ-τε θεῖε-ν	θεί-μεθα θεί-σθε θεί-ντο	σταῖ-μεν σταῖ-τε σταῖε-ν	πριαί-μεθα πρίαι- <del>σ'θε</del> πρίαι-ντο	•	δοί-μεθα δοî-σθε δοî-ντο
	or (758)	or (746 c)	or (758)		or (758)	
D. 2. 3.	θείη-τον θειή-την		σταίη-τον σταιή-την		δοίη-τον δοιή-την	
P. 1. 2. 3.	θείη-μεν θείη-τε θείη-σαν	θοίμεθα θοΐσθε θοΐντο	σταίη-μεν σταίη-τε σταίη-σαν		δοίη-μεν δοίη-τε δοίη-σαν	

#### SECOND AORIST - Concluded

#### Imperative

S. 2.	θέ-ς	θοῦ	στῆ-θι	πρίω	δό-s	δοῦ
3.	θέ-τω	θέ-σθω	στή-τω	πριά-σθω	δό-τω	δό-σθω
D. 2.	θέ-τον	θέ-σθον	στῆ-τον	πρία-σθον	δό-τον	δό-σθον
3.	θέ-των	θέ-σθων	στή-των	πριά-σθων	δό-των	δό-σθων
P. 2.	θέ-τε	θέ-σθε	στῆ-τε	πρία-σθε	δό-τε	δό-σθε
	θέ-ντων	θέ-σθων	στά-ντων	πριά-σθων	δό-ντων	δό-σθων

#### Infinitive

116/01000000					
θεî-vai	θέ-σθαι	στῆ-ναι	πρία-σθαι	δοῦ-ναι	δό-σθαι

#### **Participle**

θείς, θείσα, θ	έ-μενος, -η,	στ <b>ά</b> ς, στᾶσα,	πριά-μενος, -η,	δούς, δοῦσα,	δό-μενος,
0é-v (307)	-ον	στά-ν (306)	-ov $(287)$	δό-ν (307)	-η, -ον

#### SECOND PERFECT OF µt-VERBS

**417.** A few verbs of the  $\mu\iota$  class have a second perfect and pluperfect. Only the dual and plural occur; for the singular, the first perfect and pluperfect are used. The second perfect and pluperfect of  $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu$  are inflected as follows:

#### SECOND PERFECT

		Indicative	Subjunctive	Optative	Imperative
S.	1.	(ξστηκα) $stand$	έ-στῶ	έ-σταίη-ν (poetie)	
	2.	(ἔστηκας)	<b>်-</b> στῆ−s	έ-σταίη-s	έ-στα-θι (poetic)
	3.	(ἔστηκε)	<b>€-</b> στή	έ-σταίη	<b>έ-στά-τ</b> ω
D.	2.	₹- <b>σ</b> τα-τον	έ-στή-τον	έ-σται-τον or -αίητον (461 b)	ξ-στα-τον
	3.	<b>-</b> στα-τον	έ-στῆ-τον	έ-σταί-την or -αιήτην	ί-στά-των
P.	1.	ξ-στα-μεν	έ-στῶ-μεν	έ-σται-μεν or -αίημεν	
	2.	<b>ξ-στα-τε</b>	έ-στῆ-τε	έ-σταῖ-τε or -αίητε	ξ-στα-τε
	3.	έ-στᾶσι	έ-στῶ-σι	έ-σταίε-ν or -αίησαν	ἷ-στά-ντων

ΙΝΕΙΝΙΤΙΝΕ έ-στά-ναι ΡΑΝΤΙΟΙΡΙΕ έ-στώ-ς, έ-στῶσα, έ-στός (309 a)

#### SECOND PLUPERFECT

S.	1.	(είστήκη) stood	D. 2.	ξ-στα-τον	P.	1.	ἕ-στα-μεν
	2.	(είστήκης)	3.	έ-στά-την		2.	έ-στα-τε
	3.	(είστήκει)				3.	ἕ-στα-σαν

For a list of second perfects of the  $\mu \iota$  form, see 704–705.

δύν (308)

418. (B) -vīm Class. — Inflection of the present system of  $\delta\epsilon i\kappa\nu i\mu\iota$  show and of the second agrist  $\delta\delta\bar{\nu}\nu$  entered.

#### Indicative

			Indic	ative		
		Activ			ND PASSIVE	ACTIVE
9	1		Imperfect ϵ-δείκ-νῦ-ν (746 a)	Present	Imperfect	2 Aorist
ю.		δείκ-νυ-μι (140 a)	έ-δείκ-νυ-ς (140 a)		έ-δεικ-νύ-μην	<b>ε-δ</b> υ-ν (415)
		δείκ-νυ-ς δείκ-νυ-σι	έ-δείκ-ν <del>υ</del>	δείκ-νυ-σαι δείκ-νυ-ται	έ-δείκ-νυ-σο	₹-δ⊽-s " s=
<b>T</b>					<b>ἐ</b> -δείκ-νυ-το	ĕ-δ <del>υ</del>
<b>D</b> .		δείκ-νυ-τον	<b>ἐ-δείκ-νυ-τον</b>		è-δείκ-νυ-σθον	
		δείκ-νυ-τον	έ-δεικ-νύ-την	δείκ-νυ-σθον	έ-δεικ-νύ-σθην	έ-δύ-την
Ρ.		δείκ-νυ-μεν	<b>ἐ-δείκ-νυ-μεν</b>	δεικ-νύ-μεθα	έ-δεικ-νύ-μεθα	<b>ἔ-δ</b> υ-μεν
		δείκ-νυ-τε	<b>ἐ-δείκ-νυ-τε</b>		<b>ἐ-δείκ-νυ-σθε</b>	∉-δῦ-τ€
	3.	δεικ-νύ-ᾶσι	έ-δείκ-νυ-σαν	δείκ-νυ-νται	<b>ἐ-δείκ-νυ-ντο</b>	ἔ-δῦ-σ <b>α</b> ν
			Subju	nctive		
S.	1.	δεικνύω		δεικνύωμαι		δύω
	2.	δεικνύης		δεικνύη		δύης
		δεικνύη		δεικνύηται		δύη
D.	1	δεικνύητον		δεικνύησθον		δύητον
		δεικνύητον		δεικνύησθον		δύητον
P.		δεικνύωμεν		δεικνυώμεθα		•
٠.		δεικνύητε		δεικνύησθε		δύωμεν
		δεικνύωσι		δεικνύωνται		δύητε δύωσι
	٥.	· ·	٥.			ουωσι
_	_	_	Opta			
S.		δεικνύοιμι		δεικνυοίμην		
		δεικνύοις		δεικνύοιο		
	з.	δεικνύοι		δεικνύοιτο		
D.	2.	δεικνύοιτον		δεικνύοισθον	•	
	3.	δεικνυοίτην	•	δεικνυοίσθην		
Ρ.	1.	δεικνύοιμεν		δεικνυοίμεθα		
	2.	δεικνύοιτε	*	δεικνύοισ θε		
	3.	δεικνύοιεν		δεικνύοιντο		
			Imper	ative		
S.	2.	δείκ-νῦ (746 a)		δείκ-νυ-σο		80- <del>0</del> 1
	3.	δεικ-νύ-τω		δεικ-νύ-σθω		δύ-τω
D.	2.	δείκ-νυ-τον		δείκ-νυ-σθον		δῦ-τον
	3.	δεικ-νύ-των		δεικ-νύ-σθων		δύ-των
Ρ.	2.	δείκ-νυ-τε		δείκ-νυ-σθε		δῦ-τε
		δεικ-νύ-ντων		δεικ-νύ-σθων		δύ-ντων
			Too from			00-11WV
		δεικ-νύ-ναι (746 a	Infin	itive δείκ-νυ-σθαι		δῦ-ναι
		110 a				ov-vai
			Parti		•	
OELI	K-11	ύς -θσα, -ύν (308,	740 a)	δεικ-νύ-μενος	, -η, -ον	δύς, δύσα,

```
419.
                        Synopsis of \tau i\theta \eta \mu i (\theta \epsilon -, \theta \eta -) place
                                              Aor. Act.
     Pres. Act. Impf. Act.
                             Fut. Act.
                                                             1 Perf. Act.
                                                                             1 Plup. Act.
Ind. Tionui
                έτίθην
                              θήσω
                                               ěθηκα
                                                              τέθηκα
                                                                              ἐτεθήκη
                                                              τεθηκώς ὧ
Sub. TIBO
                                               θŵ
Opt. τιθείην
                                                              τεθηκώς είην
                              θήσοιμι
                                               θείην
Ιmp. τίθει
                                               θés
Inf. τιθέναι
                              θήσειν
                                               Aeî.va.ı
                                                              τεθηκέναι
Par. Tillele
                              θήσων
                                               Acie
                                                              τεθηκώς
     Pres. M. P. Impf. M. P.
                               Fut. Mid.
                                              2 Aor. Mid.
                                                               Perf. M. P.
                                                                             Plup. M. P.
                                                              τέθειμαι
Ind. τίθεμαι
                 ἐτιθέμην
                              θήσομαι
                                               έθέμην
                                                                              ἐτεθείμην
Sub. τιθώμαι
                                               θώμαι
                                                              τεθειμένος ὧ
Opt. τιθείμην
                                                              τεθειμένος εξην
                              θησοίμην
                                               θείμην
                                                              τέθεισο
Ιπυ. τίθεσο
                                               θαῦ
Inf. τίθεσθαι
                              θήσεσθαι
                                               θέσθαι
                                                              τεθεῖστθαι.
Par. τιθέμενος
                                                              τεθειμένος
                              θησόμενος
                                               θέμενος
                               1 Fut. Pass.
                                              1 Aor. Pass.
Ind.
                              τεθήσομαι
                                                ěτέθην
Sub.
                                                \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega}
Opt.
                              τεθησοίμην
                                               τεθείην
Imp.
                                                τέθητι
Inf.
                              τεθήσεσθαι
                                               τεθήναι
Par.
                                               τεθείς
                              τεθησόμενος
                          Verbal adjectives: θετός, θετέος.
   420. Synopsis of lothin (\sigma \tau a-, \sigma \tau \eta-) set (in perf. and 2 agr. stand)
     Pres. Impf. Act.
                           Fut. Act.
                                          1 Aor. Act.
                                                       2 Aor. Act.
                                                                       Perf. Plup. Act.
Ind. Tornu set
                       στήσω shall set
                                                                    έστηκα stand
           ξστην
                                         έστησα set έστην stood
                                                                         είστήκη stood
Sub. iστῶ
                                          στήσω
                                                      στῶ
                                                                    έστήκω, έστῶ
                                                                    έστήκοιμι, έσταίην
Opt. Ισταίην
                       στήσοιμι
                                          στήσαιμι
                                                      σταίην
Ιmp. ίστη
                                          στήσον
                                                      στήθι
                                                                    έσταθι
Inf.
      ίστάναι
                       στήσειν
                                          στήσαι
                                                      στήναι
                                                                    έστηκέναι, έστάναι
Par. iortas
                       στήσων
                                          στήσας
                                                      στάς
                                                                    έστηκώς, έστώς
      Pres. Impf. M. P.
                             Fut. Mid.
                                                                       Fut. Perf. Act.
                                                  1 Aor. Mid.
                                                                    έστήξω shall stand
Ind. ἴσταμαι stand στήσομαι (intrans.)
           ἶστάμην
                                              ἐστησάμην (trans.)
Sub. ίστώμαι
                                              στήσωμαι
Opt. Ισταίμην
                       στησοίμην
                                              στησαίμην
                                                                    έστήξοιμι
Ιmp. ἵστασο
                                              στήσαι
Inf. ιστασθαι
                       στήσεσθαι
                                              στήσασθαι
                                                                    έστήξειν
Par. Ιστάμενος
                       στησόμενος
                                              στησάμενος
                                                                    έστήξων
                            1 Fut. Pass.
                                                 1 Aor. Pass.
Ind.
                       σταθήσομαι shall be ἐστάθην was set
Sub.
                          set up
                                               σταθῶ
Opt.
                        σταθησοίμην
                                               σταθείην
Imp.
                                               στάθητι
Inf.
                        σταθήσεσθαι
                                               σταθήναι
Par.
                        σταθησόμενος
                                               σταθείς
```

Verbal adjectives: στατός, στατέος.

421		Syno	esis of δίδωμι	$(\delta o -, \delta \omega -) gi$	ve	
Ind. 8	res. Act.   δίδωμι διδώ	Impf. Act. Ι <b>ἐδίδουν</b>	δώσω	Aor. Act. Ι ἔδωκα δῶ	Perf. Act. δέδωκα δεδωκὰς ὧ	1 Plup. Act. ἐδεδώκη
	διδοίην		δώσοιμι	δοίην δός	δεδωκώς εξην	
	διδόναι διδούς		δώσειν δώσων	δούναι δούς	δεδωκέναι δεδωκώς	\
Ind. 8	res. M. P. δίδομαι διδώμαι	Impf. Μ. Ρ. ἐδιδόμην	Fut. Mid. δώσομαι	2 Aor. Mid. ἐδόμην δῶμαι	Perf. M. P. δέδομαι δεδομένος ὧ	Plup. Μ. Ρ.\ ἐδεδόμην
	διδοίμην		δωσοίμην	δοίμην δοῦ	δεδομένος είην δέδοσο	
Inf. 8	δίδοσθαι διδόμενος		δώσεσθαι δωσόμενος	δόσθαι δόμενος	δεδόσθαι δεδομένος	
Ind. Sub.	-		1 Fut. Pass. 1 δοθήσομαι	Aor. Pass. ἐδόθην δοθῶ		
Opt. Imp. Inf. Par.			δοθησοίμην δοθήσεσθαι δοθησόμενος	δοθείην δόθητι δοθήναι δοθείς		
rar.		Ver	bal adjectives:		es	
	•		•	-,	-	
422	2.	Sync	opsis of δείκνῦ	μι (δεικ-) sh	ow	
	2. Pres. Act.	SYNC Impf. Act.	PSIS OF <b>δείκν</b> υ Fut. Act.	μι (δεικ-) sh 1 Aor. Act.	1 Perf. Act.	1 Plup. Act.
Ind.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι			1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα	1 Plup. Act. ἐδεδείχη
Ind. Sub.	Pres. Act. δείκν <del>υ</del> μι δεικνύω	Impf. Act. <b>ἐδείκν</b> ῦν	Fut. Act. δείξω	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὼς ὧ	
Ind. Sub. Opt.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι	Impf. Act. <b>ἐδείκν</b> ῦν	Fut. Act.	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα	
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι δείκνυ	Impf. Act. <b>ἐδείκν</b> ῦν	Ευτ. Αςτ. δείξω δείξουμι	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὼς ὧ δεδειχὼς εἴην	
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι	Impf. Act. <b>ἐδείκν</b> ῦν	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν	1 Aor. Act. «δειξα δείξω δείξωιμι δεΐξον δείξαι	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὼς ὧ	
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι δείκνυ δεικνύναι	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν	Ευτ. Αςτ. δείξω δείξουμι	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὼς ὧ δεδειχὼς εἴην	
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par.	Pres, Act, δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι δείκνυ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P.	<ul> <li>Fut. Act.</li> <li>δείξω</li> <li>δείξοιμι</li> <li>δείξειν</li> <li>δείξων</li> </ul>	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξωμι δείξον δείξαιμι δείξαι δείξαι δείξαι	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὼς ὧ δεδειχὼς εἴην δεδειχέναι δεδειχώς	ἐδεδείχη
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύς Pres. M. P.	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι  δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid.	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξωμι δείξον δείξαι δείξας 1 Aor. Mid.	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧ δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάναι δεδειχάνς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμάνος ὧ	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύοιμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύς Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύμαι δεικνύμαι δεικνύμαι δεικνύμαι	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι  δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid.	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαι δείξαι δείξας δείξας 1 Aor. Mid. έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξαμην	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Ρεrf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμάνος ὧ	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνυ δείκνυ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνυοίμι δεικνυοίμι δείκνυο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην ιι	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δείξομην	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαι δείξαι δείξαι δείξαι 1 Aor. Mid. έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωμαι	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Ρεrf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνύωμα δείκνυσο δείκνυσο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δείξομην	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαι δείξαι 1 Aor. Mid. έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωση δείξωτ	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο δεδεῖχθαι	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνυ δείκνυ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνυοίμι δεικνυοίμι δείκνυο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Επί. Α ct. δείξω δείξουμι δείξειν δείξων Επί. Μίδ. δείξομαι δείξομαι δειξοίμην δείξεσθαι δειξόμενος	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαις δείξαι 1 Aor. Mid. ἐδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξαται δείξαται δείξατοθαι δείξατοθαι δείξαμενος	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Ρεrf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνύωμα δείκνυσο δείκνυσο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δείξομην	1 Aor. Act. ἔδειξα δείξω δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαι δείξας 1 Aor. Mid. ἐδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωσθαι δείξαι δείξαν λείξαν λείξαν λείξαν λείξαν λείξαν λείξαν	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο δεδεῖχθαι	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Opt.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνύωμα δείκνυσο δείκνυσο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δειξομαι δειξοίμην δείξεσθαι δειξόμενος Fut. Pass.	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαις 1 Aor. Mid. έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξωτο δείξαι βείξων δείξαι Αιξάμην δείξαι δείξαν δείξαν δείξαν δείξαν δείξαν	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο δεδεῖχθαι	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνύωμα δείκνυσο δείκνυσο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Fut. Act. δείξουμι δείξουμι δείξουν Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δειξοίμην δείξεσθαι δειξόμενος Fut. Pass. δειχθήσομαι	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξαιμι δείξον δείξαι δείξας 1 Aor. Mid.  έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωτοτ δείξαστ δείξας 1 Aor. Pass.  έδείχθην δείχυν	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο δεδεῖχθαι	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην
Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Inf. Par. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp. Ind. Sub. Opt. Imp.	Pres. Act. δείκνυμι δεικνύω δεικνύουμι δείκνῦ δεικνύναι δεικνύναι δεικνύν Pres. Μ. Ρ. δείκνυμαι δεικνύωμα δεικνύωμα δείκνυσο δείκνυσο	Impf. Act. ἐδείκνῦν  Impf. M. P. ἐδεικνύμην  ι	Fut. Act. δείξω δείξοιμι δείξειν δείξων Fut. Mid. δείξομαι δείξομαι δείξομην δείξεσθαι δείξόμενος Fut. Pass. δειχθήσομαι	1 Aor. Act.  έδειξα δείξω δείξωιμι δείξον δείξαιμο δείξαιμο δείξαι Αοτ. Mid.  έδειξάμην δείξωμαι δείξωμαι δείξασθαι δείξασθαι δείξας γενος 1 Aor. Pass.  έδείχθην δείχυμον δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθην δείχθηναι	1 Perf. Act. δέδειχα δεδειχὰς ὧς δεδειχὰς εἴην δεδειχάς Perf. Mid. δέδειγμαι δεδειγμένος ὧς δεδειγμένος εἴτ δέδειξο δεδεῖχθαι	ἐδεδείχη Plup. Mid. ἐδεδείγμην

#### ACCENT

423. Simple or compound verbs usually throw the accent as far back as the quantity of the last syllable permits (recessive accent, 159).

λύω, λόομεν, έλδόμην; παιδεύω, παιδεύουσι, έπαιδευέτην; ἀποβάλλω, ἀπόβαλλε; ἀπολύω, ἀπέλδον; ἄπειμι, σύνεσμεν, σύμφημι, πάρεστι.

- 424. To this general rule there are exceptions.
- a. Enclitics. All the forms of  $\phi \eta \mu i$  say, and  $\epsilon l \mu i$  am, except  $\phi \eta i$ s and  $\epsilon l$ .
- b. Imperatives. (1) The second person sing. of the second agrist active imperative of five verbs is oxytone:  $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon$  say,  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon$  come,  $\epsilon i\rho\epsilon$  find,  $l\delta\epsilon$  see,  $\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon$  take. Their plurals are accented  $\epsilon l\pi\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon$ , etc.; compounds have recessive accent:  $\kappa \alpha\pi\epsilon l\theta\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \alpha\epsilon l\theta\epsilon$ .
- (2) The second agrist middle (2 sing.) is perispomenon, as  $\lambda a \beta o \hat{v}$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda o \hat{v}$ ,  $\kappa a \theta \epsilon \lambda o \hat{v}$ .
- c. Contracted verbs are only apparent exceptions: thus, e.g.,  $\tau i \mu \hat{a}$  for  $\tau i \mu \delta \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \delta \sigma \iota$  for  $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \delta \iota \sigma \iota$ ,  $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  for  $\phi \iota \lambda \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ . So the subjunctive of the first and second aorist passive  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$  for  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega}$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ; the optatives  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$  from  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\delta \iota \delta \delta \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$  from  $\delta \iota \delta \delta \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ; the futures  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega}$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ; the futures  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega}$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ , for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\phi a \upsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$  for  $\phi a \upsilon \epsilon \omega$  for  $\phi$
- N. 1. In athematic optatives the accent does not recede beyond the diphthong containing - $\bar{\iota}$ -, the sign of the optative mood:  $i\sigma\tau a\hat{\iota}o$ ,  $i\sigma\tau a\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $i\sigma\tau a\hat{\iota}\tau o$ ,  $\delta\iota$ - $\deltao\hat{\iota}\tau o$ ; and so in  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\epsilon\nu$ .
- N. 2. δύναμαι am able, ἐπίσταμαι understand, κρέμαμαι hang, δνίνημι profit, and ἐπριάμην bought (749 b, 750 b, 757 a) have recessive accent in the subjunctive and optative (δύνωμαι, ἐπίστωμαι, δύναιτο, κρέμαιτο).
  - d. Poetic forms sometimes fail to follow the rule, as έών being.
- **425.** Infinitives, participles, and verbal adjectives are verbal nouns (358), and hence do not regularly show recessive accent.
- N. The present inf. of contracted verbs and the second agrist active inf. of  $\omega$ -verbs have the perispomenon by 424 c.
- b. Participles. (1) Oxytone: the masculine and neuter sing of the second aorist active, as  $\lambda\iota\pi\omega\nu$ ,  $\lambda\iota\pi\delta\nu$ ; and of all participles of the third declension ending in -s in the masculine (except the first aorist active), as  $\lambda\upsilon\theta\epsilon\iota$ s  $\lambda\upsilon\theta\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\upsilon\kappa\omega$ s  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\upsilon\kappa\delta$ s,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\omega}$ s,  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota$ s  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\delta\iota\delta\omega\dot{\nu}$ s  $\delta\dot{\iota}\delta\dot{\nu}$ ,  $\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}$ s  $\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\dot{\nu}$ s  $\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\dot{\nu}\nu$  (but  $\lambda\dot{\nu}\sigma\ddot{a}$ s,  $\pi\upsilon\dot{\eta}\sigma\ddot{a}$ s). Also  $\iota\dot{\omega}\nu$  going from  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\mu\iota$ .

**<sup>425</sup>** a. D. The 2. aor. mid. inf. in Hom. is recessive in ἀγέρεσθαι (ἀγείρω assemble); so the perf. ἀλάλησθαι (ἀλάομαι wander), ἀκάχησθαι (ἄχνυμαι am distressed).

- (2) Paroxytone: the perfect middle (passive): λελυμένος.
- N. Participles are accented like adjectives, not like verbs. The fem. and neuter nom. accent the same syllable as the masc. nom. if the quantity of the ultima permits, thus  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ ,  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ ,  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$  (not  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ );  $\pi \iota \iota \eta \sigma \bar{\alpha} s$ ,  $\pi \iota \iota \eta \bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha} a$ ,  $\pi \iota \iota \eta \bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha} \nu$  (not  $\pi \alpha \iota \eta \sigma \bar{\alpha} \nu$ );  $\phi \iota \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\phi \iota \lambda \bar{\omega} \bar{\nu} \sigma \bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\phi \iota \lambda \bar{\omega} \bar{\nu} \nu$  (from  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \nu$ ).
- c. Verbal Adjectives. The verbal adjective in  $-\tau$ os is accented on the ultima  $(\lambda \nu \tau \delta s)$ ; that in  $-\tau \epsilon \sigma s$  on the penult  $(\lambda \nu \tau \delta \sigma s)$ .
- N. Prepositional compounds in  $-\tau$ os denoting possibility generally accent the last syllable and have three endings (286), as  $\delta\iota a\lambda \nu \tau \delta s$  dissoluble,  $\delta \xi a\iota \rho e \tau \delta s$  removable. Such compounds as have the force of a perfect passive participle accent the antepenult and have two endings, as  $\delta\iota a\lambda \nu \tau os$  dissolved,  $\delta \xi a\iota \rho e \tau os$  chosen. All other compounds in  $-\tau os$  accent the antepenult and have two endings, as  $\delta\iota a\tau os$  impassable,  $\chi \epsilon\iota \rho o\tau o\iota \eta \tau os$  artificial.
- 426. Exceptions to the recessive accent of compound verbs. —a. The accent cannot precede the augment or reduplication:  $\delta\pi\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$  am absent,  $\delta\pi\hat{\eta}\nu$  was absent,  $\epsilon l\sigma$ - $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\nu$  they entered,  $\delta\pi$ - $\hat{\eta}\sigma$ av they were absent;  $\delta\phi$ - $\hat{\iota}\kappa\tau$ aι arrived (cp.  $\hat{\iota}\kappa\tau$ aι).
- N.—A long vowel or diphthong not changed by the augment receives the accent:  $\dot{\nu}\pi$ - $\epsilon i\kappa \epsilon$  was yielding (indic.  $\dot{\nu}\pi$ - $\epsilon i\kappa \omega$ , imper.  $\ddot{\nu}\pi$ - $\epsilon i\kappa \epsilon$ ).
- b. The accent cannot precede the last syllable of the preposition before the simple verb nor move back to the first of two prepositions:  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell\theta\epsilon s$  put around,  $\sigma\nu\nu\epsilon\kappa\delta s$  give up together (not  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\epsilon\kappa\delta s$ ),  $\sigma\nu\gamma\kappa\dot{\alpha}\theta\epsilon s$  put down together (not  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\gamma\kappa\alpha\theta\epsilon s$ ). Compounds of the second aorist active imperatives  $\delta\dot{\delta s}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon s}$ ,  $\dot{\theta}\dot{\epsilon s}$ , and  $\sigma\chi\dot{\epsilon s}$  are thus paroxytone:  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\ell\theta\epsilon s$  set on,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell\theta\epsilon s$  put around,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\ell\sigma\chi\epsilon s$  hold on.
- c. When compounded with a monosyllabic preposition, monosyllabic second aorist middle imperatives in -oû from  $\mu$ -verbs retain the circumflex:  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\sigma\hat{v}$  betray,  $\epsilon\nu\theta\sigma\hat{v}$  put in. But the accent recedes when these imperatives prefix a dissyllabic preposition:  $d\pi\delta\delta\sigma v$  sell,  $\kappa\sigma\tau\delta\theta\sigma v$  put down. The open forms always have recessive accent, as  $\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\sigma$ ,  $\kappa\sigma\tau\delta\theta\epsilon\sigma$ .
- d. The accent of uncompounded infinitives, participles, acrist passive, perfect passive, and of the second acrist middle imperative (2. p. sing., but see 426 c) is retained in composition.
  - e. ἀπέσται will be far from, ἐπέσται will be upon do not have recessive accent.
- 427. Final -aı (and -oı) are regarded as long in the optative (169), elsewhere as short. Hence distinguish the forms of the first aorist.

	3. Sing. Opt. Act.	Infin. Act.	2. Sing. Imper. Mid.
λύω	λύσαι	λύσαι	λῦσαι
ἀπολύω	ἀπολύσαι	ἀπολύσαι	ἀπόλῦσαι
παιδεύω	παιδεύσαι	παιδεύσαι	παίδευσαι

<sup>425</sup> b (2) D. But Hom. has αλαλήμενος (άλάομαι wander), ἀκαχήμενος Οτ ἀκηχέμενος (ἄχνυμαι am distressed), ἐσσύμενος (σεύω drive).

#### AUGMENT

- 428. The augment (increase) denotes past time. It appears only in the secondary or past tenses of the indicative mood, namely, imperfect, aorist, and pluperfect. The augment has two forms, the syllabic and the temporal.
- **429.** Syllabic Augment. Verbs beginning with a consonant prefix  $\epsilon$  as the augment, which thus increases the word by one syllable. In the pluperfect  $\epsilon$  is prefixed to the reduplication.

λύω loose ἔ-λῦον ἔ-λῦσα ἐ-λελύκη παιδεύω educate ἐ-παίδευον ἐ-παίδευσα ἐ-πεπαιδεύκη

a. Verbs beginning with  $\rho$  double the  $\rho$  after the augment.  $\dot{\rho} t \pi \tau \omega$  throw,  $\ddot{\epsilon} - \rho \rho \bar{\iota} \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} - \rho \rho \bar{\iota} \psi a$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon$ 

N. —  $\rho\rho$  is here due to assimilation of  $\rho\rho$ , as in Hom.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\rho\epsilon\xi a$  did (and  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\xi a$ ); of  $\sigma\rho$  in  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\rho\epsilon\sigma\nu$  flowed. Cp. 80 a.

**430.** βούλομαι wish, δύναμαι am able, μέλλω intend augment with  $\epsilon$  or with  $\eta$  (especially in later Attic); thus,  $\epsilon$ βουλόμην and  $\dagger$ βουλόμην,  $\epsilon$ δυνάμην and  $\dagger$ δυνήθην and  $\dagger$ δυνήθην and  $\dagger$ δυνήθην.

a. These forms seem to be due to parallelism with  $\eta\theta\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$  (from  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon\lambda\omega$  wish) and  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon\lambda\sigma\nu$  (from  $\theta\epsilon\lambda\omega$ ).

431. Some verbs beginning with a vowel take the syllabic augment because they formerly began with a consonant. Thus,

ἄγνῦμι break (ξάγνῦμι), ἔαξα, aor. pass. ἔάγην.

άλίσκομαι am captured (γαλίσκομαι), imperf. ήλισκόμην, aor. έάλων (with tem-

poral augment) or ήλων.

άνδάνω please (γανδάνω), aor. ξαδον (Ionic).

άν-οίγω open (γοίγνυμι), imperf. άν-έφγον.

έάω permit (σε κω), είων, είασα, είαθην. έζόμαι sit (for σεδιομαι), είσάμην.

έθιζω accustom (σρεθίζω, cp. 123), εἴθιζον, εἴθισα, εἰθίσθην.

έλίττω roll (ξελίττω), είλιττον, είλιξα, είλίχθην.

έλκω or έλκύω draw (σελκω), είλκον, είλκυσα, είλκύσθην.

ἕπομαι follow (σεπομαι), εἰπόμην.

έργάζομαι work (Εεργάζομαι), εἰργασάμην.

έρπω creep (σερπω), είρπον.

έστιάω entertain (Fεστίαω), είστίων, είστίασα, είστιάθην.

**<sup>429</sup>** a. D. Hom. has έλλαβε took (for έ-σλαβε), έννεον swam (for έ-σνεον), έσσείοντο shook (for έ-τρειοντο), έδδεισε feared (for έ-δρεισε). έμμαθε learned is due to analogy.

**<sup>431</sup> D.** Syllabic augment in Homer before a vowel is a sure proof of initial f in  $\tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota\pi\sigma\nu$  and some other verbs. Similar Ionic and poetic forms occur from  $\epsilon\tilde{t}\delta\sigma\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\tilde{t}\lambda\omega$ ,  $\epsilon\tilde{t}\rho\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\delta\omega$ ,  $olvo\chi\circ\epsilon\omega$ , etc.

ἔχω hold (σεχω), εἶχον.
ἕημι send (σισημι), aor. du. εἶτον for ἐ-ἔ-τον, εἴθην for ἐ-ἔ-θην.
ἵστημι put (σιστημι), plup. εἰστήκη for ἐ-σε-στηκη.
όρμω see (ξοράω), ἐώρων, ἐώρακα οι ἐόρακα.
ώθεω push (ξωθέω), ἐώθουν, ἔωσα, ἐώσθην.
ώνέομαι buy (ξωνέομαι), ἐωνούμην, ἐωνήθην.
εἴδον saw, 2 aor. of ὁράω (for ἔ-ξιδον).
εἴλον took, 2 aor. of αἰρέω (for ἔ-ἔλον).

- **432.** Some forms of some verbs in 431 are augmented as if no consonant had preceded the first vowel, as  $\dot{\eta}\rho\gamma\alpha\zeta\delta\mu\eta\nu$  (and  $\epsilon l\rho\gamma\alpha\zeta\delta\mu\eta\nu$ ).
- 433. Since f disappeared early, many augmented forms show no trace of its existence, as,  $\tilde{\phi}_{\kappa o \nu \nu}$  from  $olk \epsilon \omega$  dwell (folk cs). Besides  $\epsilon$ ,  $\eta$  was also used as the syllabic augment. This appears in Hom.  $\dot{\eta} \epsilon l \delta \epsilon is (-\eta s?)$ , Attic  $\ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon is you knew$ .
- **434.** The verbs ἄγνῦμι, ἀλίσκομαι, (ἀν)οίγνῦμι, ὁράω, which began originally with f, show forms that appear to have a double augment; as ἐάγην, ἐάλων, (ἀν)έφγον (rarely ἤνοιγον), ἐώρων, ἐώρωκ (and ἐόρāκα). These forms appear to be due to transference of quantity (34) from  $\mathring{\eta}$ -fαγην,  $\mathring{\eta}$ -fοιγον,  $\mathring{\eta}$ -fορων (cp. 433).
- 435. Temporal Augment. Verbs beginning with a vowel take the temporal augment by lengthening the initial vowel. The temporal augment is so called because it usually increases the *time* required to pronounce the initial syllable. Diphthongs lengthen their first vowel.

$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$	ecom	esη:	ἄγω lead	ἦγον		ήχα	ήχη
€	4.4	η:	έλπίζω hope	<b>ἤλπιζον</b>	ήλπισα	<b>ἤλπικα</b>	ήλπίκη
L	, " "	ī:	ίκετεύω supplicate	<b></b>	<b>ϊκέτευσα</b>	τικέτευκα	tκετεύκη
0	46	ω:	όρίζω mark off	ὥριζον	ὥρισα	<b>ώρικα</b>	ώρίκη
υ	"	<b>υ</b> :	$\dot{\mathfrak{b}}$ βρίζω $insult$	ΰβριζον	ΰβρισα	ΰβρικα	ΰβρίκη
αι	"	n:	aiρέω seize	ήρουν		ήρηκα	ήρήκη
αυ	4.4	ηυ:	αὐλέω play the flute	ηὔλουν	ηὔλησα	ηὔληκα	ηὐλήκη
εı	11	უ:	εἰκάζω liken	ήκαζον	ήκασα		
ευ	44	ηυ:	εὕχομαι $pray$	ηὐχόμην	ηὐξάμην	ηὖγμαι	ηΰγμην
OL	"	φ:	οἰκέω $dwell$	<b>ῷκο</b> υν	ῷκησα	<b>ῷ</b> κηκα	<b>φ</b> κήκη

- **436.** Initial  $\bar{q}$  becomes  $\eta$ :  $\bar{q}$ δω sing,  $\bar{\eta}$ δον. Initial  $\eta$ ,  $\bar{\imath}$ ,  $\bar{v}$ ; ω remain unchanged. Initial  $\bar{a}$  usually becomes  $\eta$ :  $\bar{a}$ ριστάω breakfast,  $\bar{\eta}$ ρίστησα.  $\bar{a}$ ναλίσκω and  $\bar{a}$ ναλόω expend form  $\bar{a}$ νάλωσα and  $\bar{a}$ νήλωσα,  $\bar{a}$ ναλώθην and  $\bar{a}$ νηλώθην.
- **437.** Initial diphthongs are sometimes unaugmented: av in avalvomal dry;  $\epsilon \iota : \epsilon \iota \kappa a \zeta o \nu$ ,  $\eta \kappa a \zeta o \nu$ ;  $\epsilon \upsilon : \epsilon \iota \nu \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$  and  $\eta \iota \nu \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$  from  $\epsilon \iota \nu \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \iota \iota \kappa a \zeta o \nu$ , and  $\eta \iota \nu \rho \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$  from  $\epsilon \iota \nu \kappa a \iota \nu$ , ov is never augmented, since it is never a pure diphthong when standing at the beginning of a verb-form.

<sup>435</sup> D. Initial a becomes ā in Doric and Aeolic; initial at and av remain.

- 438. Omission of the Augment. a. In Attic tragedy the augment is sometimes omitted in choral passages, rarely in the dialogue parts (messengers' speeches), which are nearer akin to prose.
- b. In  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$  (from  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} + \hat{\eta} \nu$ ) the augment is strictly unnecessary, but is often added  $(\xi \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$  since the composition of  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$  was forgotten.
- c. In Homer and the lyric poets either the syllabic or the temporal augment is often absent; as  $\phi \dot{\alpha} \tau o$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \alpha \tau o$ ,  $\beta \hat{\eta} \nu$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \chi o \nu$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{l} \chi o \nu$ . Iteratives (495) in Hom. usually have no augment ( $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \sigma \kappa o \nu$ ).
- N.—In Homer the absence of the augment represents the usage of the parent language, in which the augment was not necessarily added to mark past time. It is therefore erroneous, historically, to speak of the omission of the augment in Homer.
- d. In Herodotus the syllabic augment is omitted only in the case of pluperfects and iteratives in  $\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$ ; the temporal augment is generally preserved, but it is always omitted in verbs beginning with  $\alpha\iota$ ,  $\alpha\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\nu$ ,  $o\iota$ , and in  $d\gamma \bar{\iota}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $d\epsilon\theta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $d\nu\dot{\omega}\gamma\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\delta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}d\omega$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ , etc.; in others it is omitted only in some forms (as  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\rho\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\omega$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ), and in others it is variable  $(\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$ ,  $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$ ,  $\ddot{\alpha}\rho\chi\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ ); in cases of Attic reduplication the augment is never added. Hdt. omits the augment for the reduplication in the above verbs.

#### REDUPLICATION

- 439. Reduplication is the doubling of the sound standing at the beginning of a word. It is used in the perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect tenses in all the moods, to denote completed action. It is sometimes found also in the present and second agrist.
- **440.** Verbs beginning with a simple consonant (except  $\rho$ ) or with a stop and  $\iota$  liquid ( $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ ) place the initial consonant with  $\epsilon$  before the stem.  $\lambda$ ύω loose,  $\lambda$ έ- $\lambda$ υκα,  $\lambda$ ε- $\lambda$ υκέναι,  $\lambda$ έ- $\lambda$ υμαι,  $\lambda$ ε- $\lambda$ ύσομαι;  $\gamma$ ράφω write,  $\gamma$ έ- $\gamma$ ραφα; κ $\lambda$ ίνω incline, κέ-κ $\lambda$ ικα;  $\beta$ λάπτω injure,  $\beta$ έ- $\beta$ λαφα; πρίω saw, πέ-πρῖσμαι.
- a. Exceptions: verbs beginning with  $\gamma \nu$ , most of those with  $\gamma \lambda$ , and some with  $\beta \lambda$ . Thus,  $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \zeta \omega$  recognize,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \rho i \kappa \omega$  know,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega$  know,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega$  know,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\nu} \dot{\omega} \kappa \omega$  sprout,  $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$  (usu.  $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega$ ).
- 441. An initial aspirate is reduplicated by the corresponding smooth stop: φονεύω murder, πε-φόνευκα; θύω sacrifice, τέ-θυκα; χορεύω dance, κε-χόρευκα.
  - 442. In all other cases the reduplication is formed like the augment.
- a. Verbs beginning with a short vowel lengthen the vowel, as ἄγω lead,  $\hbar \chi \alpha$ ; δρθόω set upright, ὅρθωκα; ἀγγέλλω announce, ἤγγέλκα.
- b. Verbs beginning with two or more consonants (except a stop with a liquid), a double consonant, and  $\rho$  simply prefix  $\epsilon$ .  $\rho$  is here doubled (cp. 429 a).
- 439 D. Reduplication (or the augment for the reduplication) is generally retained in Hom. Exceptions are ἔρχαται and ἔρχατο from ἔργω shut, ἄνωγα order, ἔσται from ἔννῦμι clothe. On δέχαται await, ἐδέγμην was expecting cp. 634.
- 442. b. D. Hom. has  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon$ -ρυπωμένος ( $\dot{\rho}$ υπόω soil), ξμμορε (μείρομαι obtain) for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -σμορε 445 a, ξσσυμαι (σεύω urge) for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -κ<u>ι</u>ν-μαι; Ionic has ξκτημαι.

Thus, κτίζω found,  $\xi$ -κτικα; σπείρω sow,  $\xi$ -σπαρμαι; στρατηγέω am general,  $\xi$ -στρατήγηκα; ζητέω seek,  $\xi$ -ζήτηκα; ψαύω touch,  $\xi$ -ψαυκα; ρίπτω throw,  $\xi$ ρρῖφα.

 $N.-\mu$ μνήσκω remind and κτάομαι acquire are exceptions:  $\mu$ έ- $\mu$ νημαι, έ- $\mu$ ε- $\mu$ νήμην; κέ-κτημαι, έ-κε-κτήμην.

- 443. The verbs mentioned in 431 which originally began with a consonant now lost, reduplicate regularly. Since the reduplicated consonant has disappeared only  $\epsilon$  is left, and this often contracts with the initial vowel of the theme. Thus,  $\xi \bar{a} \gamma a$  for  $f \epsilon f \bar{a} \gamma a$  from  $f a \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  break;  $\xi \omega \sigma \mu a \iota$  for  $f \epsilon f \omega \sigma \mu a \iota$  from  $f \omega \theta \ell \omega$   $f \omega \rho u s h$ ;  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$  for  $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$  from  $\delta \sigma \tau \eta \mu s \iota s \iota$ ;  $\xi \iota \kappa a$  for  $\delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \kappa a$  from  $\delta \eta \mu \iota$  ( $\delta \iota \sigma \eta \mu \iota$ ) send.
- 444. Pluperfect. The pluperfect prefixes the syllabic augment  $\epsilon$  to the reduplicated perfect beginning with a consonant; when the perfect stem begins with a vowel the pluperfect retains the prefix of the perfect.

Thus perf. λέλυκα, λέλυμαι, plup. έ-λελύκη, έ-λελύμην; perf. ἔ-σταλκα, ἔ-σταλμαι, plup. έ-στάλκη, έ-στάλκην from στέλλω send; perf. ἡγόρευκα, plup. ἡγορεύκη from ἀγορεύω harangue; perf. ἥρηκα, plup. ἡρήκη from αἰρέω seize.

a. Verbs showing 'Attic' reduplication (446), in almost all cases augment the pluperfect.

b. The verbs of 431 follow the perfects of 443; as  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta$  ( $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\sigma\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\dot{\omega}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}'\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\dot{\tau}'\eta\mu$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\dot{\omega}\gamma\eta$  from ( $_{f}$ ) $\rho\dot{\eta}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ .  $'i\sigma\tau\eta\mu$  forms  $\dot{\epsilon}i\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\kappa\eta$  (=  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -( $\sigma$ ) $\epsilon\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\eta$ ), Ion. and poet.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\kappa\eta$  (rare in Att. prose).  $\dot{\epsilon}o\kappa\alpha$  am like forms  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\kappa\eta$ .

- **445.** Some verbs beginning with a liquid or  $\mu$  take  $\epsilon_{\iota}$  instead of the reduplication:  $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \acute{a} \nu \omega$  ( $\lambda \alpha \beta$ -) take,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \eta \phi \alpha$ ,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \eta \phi \alpha$ ;  $\lambda \alpha \gamma \chi \acute{a} \nu \omega$  ( $\lambda \alpha \chi$ -) obtain by lot,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \eta \chi \alpha$ ,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \eta \chi \alpha$ ;  $\lambda \acute{e} \gamma \omega$  collect (in composition)  $-\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \alpha \chi \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \delta \chi \eta$ ,  $-\epsilon^{i}$ - $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$  (rarely  $\lambda \acute{e}$ - $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$ );  $\mu \epsilon \acute{l} \rho \mu \alpha \iota$  receive a share,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota$  it is fated,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \iota$  with rough breathing; also the stems  $\epsilon \rho$ ,  $\rho \eta$  say,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ ,  $\epsilon^{i}$ - $\rho \eta \kappa \eta$ .
- a. είληφα is from  $\sigma\epsilon$ -σληφα by 37 (cp. Hom. ἔλλαβον for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -σλαβον), είμαρται is from  $\sigma\epsilon$ -σμαρται (cp. Hom. ἔμμορε). The other forms are probably analogues of είληφα.
- 446. Attic Reduplication. Some verbs whose themes begin with a,  $\epsilon$ , or o, followed by a single consonant, reduplicate by repeating the initial vowel and the consonant and by lengthening a and  $\epsilon$  to  $\eta$ , o to  $\omega$ . Thus  $\dot{a}\gamma\epsilon\dot{\rho}\rho\omega$  collect,  $\dot{a}\gamma-\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\rho\kappa a$ ,  $\dot{a}\gamma-\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\rho\mu a\iota$ ;  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega$  awaken,

<sup>444</sup> b. D. Hdt. has οἶκα (for ἔοικα), ἔωθα, ἐώθεα; Hom. has ἔωθεν and εἴωθε.

<sup>445</sup> D. Hom. δείδω fear stands for δε-δρω from δε-δρο(μ)α (cp. δρέος). So δείδοικα for δε-δροικα. For δείδεκτο greeted we should read δήδεκτο with η-reduplication. Hdt. has λελάβηκα and -λελαμμένος. λέλημμαι occurs in tragedy.

<sup>446</sup> D. — In Hom. 'Attic' reduplication is even more frequent than in Attic; thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\delta\dot{\omega}s$  from  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega$  eat,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\eta}\rho\iota\pi a$  have fallen,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\rho\iota\pi\tau o$  (without lengthening) from  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\dot{\iota}\pi\omega$  overthrow,  $\dot{\delta}\rho\omega\rho\dot{\epsilon}\chi\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  from  $\dot{\delta}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  reach. For other poetical forms see in the List of Verbs  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho\omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\iota}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\alpha\dot{\iota}\rho\dot{\iota}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\dot{\iota}\delta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\iota}\zeta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\zeta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\delta}\rho\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$ .

έγ-ήγερμαι; ἐλέγχω confute, ἐλ-ήλεγμαι; ὀρύττω diy, ὀρ-ώρυχα, ὀρ-ώρυγμαι; ὅ,ι-νῦμι swear, ὀμ-ώμοκα; ὅλ-λῦμι destroy, ὀλ-ώλεκα. So also φέρω bear, ἐν-ήνοχα, ἐν-ήνεγμαι.

- a. The name 'Attic' was given by the Greek grammarians to this form of reduplication though it occurs in Homer and in the other dialects.
- b. ἀκούω hear has ἀκ-ήκοα for ἀκ-ήκο(ψ)α; ἄγω has ἀγ-ήοχα for ἀγ-ή(γ)οχα. The pluperfect augments except in the case of verbs with initial  $\epsilon$ : ἡκ-ηκόη, ώμ-ωμόκη, ἀπωλώλη; but ἐλ-ηλύθη, ἐν-ηνέγμην.
- **447.** Reduplication in the Present.  $\Lambda$  few verbs reduplicate in the present by prefixing the initial consonant and  $\iota$ , as  $\gamma \iota$ - $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ,  $\mu$ - $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$ ,  $\tau \iota$ - $\kappa \tau \omega$  for  $\tau \iota$ - $\tau (\epsilon) \kappa \omega$ ,  $\pi \iota$ - $\pi \tau \omega$  for  $\pi \iota$ - $\pi (\epsilon) \tau \omega$ ,  $\iota$ - $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$  for  $\sigma \iota$ - $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ,  $\tau \iota$ - $\theta \eta \mu$  for  $\theta \iota$ - $\theta \eta \mu$  (125 a),  $\delta \iota$ - $\delta \omega \mu$ .  $\pi \iota \mu$ - $\pi \lambda \eta$ - $\mu$  fill  $(\pi \lambda a$ -,  $\pi \lambda \eta$ -) and  $\pi \iota \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota$  burn  $(\pi \rho a$ -,  $\pi \rho \eta$ -) insert  $\mu$ .
- a. In some verbs the reduplication belongs to the verbal stem : βιβάζω make go iβιβασα, διδάσκω teach iδιδαξα.
- **448.** Reduplication in the Second Aorist. ἄγω lead forms the second aorist ήγ-αγον, ἀγ-άγω, ἀγ-άγοιμι, ἀγ-αγεῖν, middle ήγ-αγόμην. So also ήν-εγκα and ήν-εγκον from  $\phi$ έρω.

# POSITION OF AUGMENT AND REDUPLICATION IN COMPOUND VERBS

449. In verbs compounded with a preposition, augment and reduplication stand between the preposition and the verb.

Thus, ὑπερβαίνω pass over, ὑπερέβαινον, ὑπερβέβηκα; εἰσβάλλω throw into, εἰσέβαλλον, εἰσβέβληκα.

- b. Prepositions (except  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  and  $\pi \rho \delta$ ) drop their final vowel:  $d\pi \circ \beta d\lambda \lambda \omega$  throw away,  $d\pi \ell \beta a\lambda \lambda o \nu$ ; but  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta d\lambda \lambda \omega$  throw around,  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \ell \beta a\lambda \lambda o \nu$ ,  $\pi \rho \circ \beta a l \nu \omega$  step forward,  $\pi \rho \circ \ell \beta \eta \nu$ . But  $\pi \rho \delta$  may contract with the augment  $(\pi \rho \circ \delta \beta \eta \nu)$ .
- **450.** But some verbs, which are not often used except as compounds, are treated like uncompound verbs and take the augment before the preposition, as  $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \mu \eta \nu$  sat from  $\kappa \alpha \theta \theta \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \eta \nu \alpha \iota$  sat from  $\epsilon \alpha \theta \iota \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \alpha \theta \iota$   $\epsilon \alpha \iota$

- **451.** Double Augment. Some verbs take two augments, one before and the other after the preposition, as  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ -ειχόμην,  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ -εσχόμην from  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ είναι endure,  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ -ώχλουν from  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ είναι annoy,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ ηνώρθωμαι from  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ ανορθώω set upright. So also, by analogy to the foregoing, a few verbs derived from compound words:  $\dot{\eta}\mu$ φεσβήτουν from  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ μοισβητέω dispute,  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ τεδέκει from  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ τιδικέω go to law ( $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ τίδικοs).
- **452.** Compounds of δυσ- iil and εὖ well. (1) δυστυχέω am unhappy, ε΄-δυστύχουν, δε-δυσ-τύχηκα. δυσ-ηρέστουν, δυσ-ηρέστηκα from δυσ-αρεστέω do not occur. (2) εὐεργετέω do good, εὐεργέτησαν, εὐεργέτηκα (inscrip.), εὐηργέτηκα (texts).
- 453. Verbs derived from compound nouns take the augment and the reduplication at the beginning; as ἐμῦθολόγουν, μεμῦθολόγηκα from μῦθολογέω tell legends (μῦθολόγος teller of legends); ἀκοδόμουν, ἀκοδόμηκα from οἰκοδομέω build (οἰκοδόμος house-builder); ἡμπόλων, ἡμπόληκα from ἐμπολάω traffic in (ἐμπολή traffic).
- a. ἐκκλησιάζω hold an assembly (ἐκκλησία) makes ἡκ-κλησίαζον οτ ἐξ-ε-κλησίαζον. ἐγγνάω pledge makes ἐνεγύων, ἐνεγύησα and (better) ἡγγύων, ἡγγύησα.
- **454.** Verbs derived from compound nouns whose first part is a preposition are commonly treated as if compounded of a preposition and a simple verb; as κατηγορέω accuse (κατήγορος), κατηγόρουν, κατηγόρηκα; ένθυμέομαι ponder (ἔνθυμος) ἐνεθυμήθην, ἐντεθυμήσθαι; ἐπιορκέω swear falsely (ἐπίορκος), ἐπιώρκηκα; ἐγχειρίζω entrust (ἐν χειρί), ἐνεχείρισα.
- a. But several verbs are not treated as compounds, such as ἀπατάω deceive, ἀπιστέω distrust, ἀπορέω am in difficulty, παρρησιάζομαι speak freely.

#### TENSE-SUFFIXES, THEMATIC VOWEL, MOOD-SUFFIXES

- **455.** Tense-Suffixes. The tense-suffixes, which are added to the verb-stem to form the tense-stems, consist of the thematic vowel and certain other letters. No tense-suffixes are added to the verb-stem (1) in the second agrist active and middle, and second perfect and pluperfect, of  $\mu$ -verbs; (2) in the perfect and pluperfect middle of verbs in  $-\omega$  and  $-\mu$ . The tense-suffixes are as follows:—
- 1. Present system, -%,  $-\tau\%$ ,  $-\iota\%$ ,  $-\iota\%$ ,  $-\nu\%$ ,  $-a\nu\%$ ,  $-\nu\epsilon\%$ ,  $-\nu\alpha$ ,  $-\nu\alpha$ ,  $-\nu\nu$ ,  $-(\iota)\sigma\kappa\%$ ; or none, as in  $\phi\alpha$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ .
  - 2. Future system,  $-\sigma\%$ -.
  - 3. First agrist sytem,  $-\sigma a$ -.
  - 4. Second agrist system, -%-; or none, as in  $\xi$ - $\sigma\tau\eta$ - $\nu$ .
  - 5. First perfect system, -κα- (plupf. -κη- from -κεα-; -κει- from -κεε-; -κε-).
  - 6. Second perfect system,  $-\alpha$  (plupf.  $-\eta$ -,  $-\epsilon\iota$ -, or  $-\epsilon$ -); or none, as in  $\ddot{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma\tau\alpha$ - $\tau\epsilon$ .
  - 7. Perfect middle system, none (future perfect  $-\sigma\%$ -).
  - 8. First passive system,  $\theta \eta_{-}$ ,  $-\theta \epsilon_{-}$  (future passive  $-\theta \eta \sigma \% -$ ).
  - 9. Second passive system,  $\eta$ ,  $-\epsilon$  (future passive  $-\eta\sigma\%$ -).
  - N. -a in the agrist is properly a relic of the personal ending (666).
- 456. Thematic Vowel. The thematic, or variable, vowel appears at the end of the tense-stems in the present, imperfect, and second acrist active and

**<sup>455.</sup>** D. For the Doric future  $-\sigma\epsilon\%$ -, see 540. — For the Epic first agrist  $-\sigma\%$ -, see 542 D. — For the doubling of  $\sigma$  in the future and first agrist, see 534 b. D., 544 b. D.

middle of  $\omega$ -verbs, and in all futures and future perfects. The thematic vowel in the indicative is  $\sigma$  before  $\mu$  or  $\nu$  (and in the optative of the tenses mentioned); elsewhere it is  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\lambda\bar{\nu}\%$ -,  $\lambda\iota\pi\%$ -,  $\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma\%$ -,  $\lambda\nu\theta\eta\sigma\%$ -,  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma\%$ -;  $\lambda\delta\sigma$ - $\bar{\iota}$ - $\mu$ . In the subjunctive it is  $\omega/\eta$ .

- a. Attic inscriptions have both  $-\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega\nu$  and  $-\sigma\sigma\theta\omega\nu$  in the imperative.
- **457.** Subjunctive. In the subjunctive of all verbs the thematic vowel is  $\omega/\eta_-$ . Thus,  $\lambda \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\lambda \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\lambda \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\lambda \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ .
  - a. Verbs in  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  form their subjunctive like  $\omega$ -verbs.
- **458.** In the present and second agrist of  $\mu$ -verbs, and in the agrist passive,  $\omega/\eta$  is added to the tense stem. Thus  $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$  from  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\omega-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\theta\hat{\omega}$  from  $\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\omega$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon$  from  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\eta-\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda\nu\theta\hat{\omega}$  from  $\lambda\nu\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\omega$ .
- **459.** Suffix of the Optative. The optative adds the mood suffix  $-\bar{\iota}$ , or  $-\iota\eta$ -which contracts with the final vowel of the tense-stein:  $\lambda \acute{v}o\iota\mu$  for  $\lambda \acute{v}o-\bar{\iota}-\mu$ ,  $\phi\iota\lambda \acute{v}ol\eta\nu$  for  $\phi\iota\lambda \acute{v}o-\dot{\iota}\eta-\nu$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta \acute{e}\iota\eta\nu$  for  $\tau\iota\theta \acute{e}-\dot{\iota}\eta-\nu$ .  $-\iota\eta$  occurs only before active endings. When the suffix is  $-\iota\eta$ -, the 1 pers. sing. ends in  $-\nu$ ; as  $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\alpha o-\dot{\iota}\eta-\nu=\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\phi\eta\nu$ ; when it is  $-\bar{\iota}$ -, the 1 pers. sing. ends in  $-\mu$ , as  $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\acute{a}o-\bar{\iota}-\mu=\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\acute{\phi}\mu$ .
  - 460. ιη is used as follows (in all other cases -i-):-
- b. In liquid verbs in the future active singular: φανοίη-ν for φανεο-ίη-ν. In the dual and plural -ī-: φανοῖτον, φανοῖμεν for φανεό-ῖ-τον, φανεό-ῖ-μεν.
- c. In the singular of  $\mu_{\iota}$ -verbs:  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota\eta\nu$  for  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon-\iota\eta-\nu$ ,  $\delta\iota\deltaol\eta\nu$  for  $\delta\iota\deltao-\iota\eta-\nu$ ,  $\theta\epsilon\iota\eta\nu$  for  $\theta\epsilon-\iota\eta-\nu$ . Here the modal sign is added to the tense-stein without any thematic vowel.  $-\bar{\iota}$  is more common in the dual and plural:  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$  for  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon-\bar{\iota}-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\delta\iota\deltao\hat{\iota}-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau\epsilon$  for  $\theta\epsilon-\bar{\iota}-\tau\epsilon$ . Verbs in  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  make their optatives like  $\lambda\delta\omega$ .
- d. In the aorist passive:  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \eta \nu$  for  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \eta \nu$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \iota \eta \nu$  for  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \iota \eta \nu$ . In the dual and plural  $-\overline{\iota}$  is more common:  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \widetilde{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$  for  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \widetilde{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \widetilde{\iota} \tau \epsilon$  for  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \widetilde{\iota} \tau \epsilon$ .
- e. In some second perfects, as  $\pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta o i \eta s$ , and in the second a orist  $\sigma \chi o i \eta \nu$  from  $\xi \chi \omega$  (but  $-\sigma \chi o i \mu$  in composition).
  - N. In the 3 pl. - $\iota\epsilon$  is regular before - $\nu$ :  $\lambda \dot{v}o$ - $\iota\epsilon$ - $\nu$ ,  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon$ - $\hat{\iota}\epsilon$ - $\nu$ ,  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon$ - $\hat{\iota}\epsilon$ - $\nu$ .
- **461.** a. In the 1 aor, opt. act. of ω-verbs the endings -ειας, -ειε, and -ειαν are more common than -αις, -αι, -αιεν.
- b. In the aor. opt. passive of all verbs and in the opt. of  $\mu$ -verbs and of contract verbs  $-\iota\tau\sigma\nu$ ,  $-\iota\tau\eta\nu$ ,  $-\iota\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $-\iota\tau\epsilon$ ,  $-\iota\epsilon\nu$  are commoner than  $-\iota\eta\tau\sigma\nu$ ,  $-\iota\eta\tau\eta\nu$ ,  $-\iota\eta\eta\epsilon\nu$ ,  $-\iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $-\iota\eta\sigma a\nu$ . Prose writers use either the shorter or the longer forms; poets use only the shorter forms. Except in contract verbs  $-\iota\eta\tau\epsilon$  is very common in the 2 pl. and is sometimes the only form in the Mss., as  $\delta \delta \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\gamma \nu \iota \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $-\beta a \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \iota\eta\tau\epsilon$ ; but the forms in question occur in prose writers and their genuineness is therefore unsupported by metrical evidence.
- 457 D. Hom. has -%- instead of  $-\omega/\eta^-$ , especially in the 1 aor., 2 aor. of  $\mu$ -verbs, and 2 aor. pass. ( $\ell\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\tau\rho\alpha\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; also in  $\ell\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ , et $\delta\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ ). These forms do not occur in the sing. or 3 pl. active. Verbs in  $\omega$  rarely show this % in the present. (Other examples 532, 667 D., 682 D.)
  - 460 D. -in- is very rare in Hom. in the dual and plural.

#### ENDINGS OF THE VERB: PERSONAL ENDINGS

462. To make the complete verbal forms, to the tense-stems in the various moods are attached the personal endings in the finite moods and other endings in the infinitives, participles, and verbal adjectives. See 366. The personal endings of the four finite moods are given below. In many forms only the  $\mu$ -verbs preserve distinct endings. Some of the endings are due to analogy of others and many are still unexplained. The first person dual, when it is used, has the form of the first person plural.

Act	(VE	MIDDLE		
INDICATIVE (primary tenses) AND SUBJUNCTIVE	INDICATIVE (secondary tenses) AND OPTATIVE	INDICATIVE (primary tenses) AND SUBJUNCTIVE	INDICATIVE (secondary tenses) AND OPTATIVE	
Sing. 1. — or -μι 2ς (for -σι), -θα (- 3σι (for -τι)  Dual 2τον 3τον  Plur. 1μεν 2τε 3νσι (for -ντι)	-ν -s, -σθατον -την -μεν -τε -ν, -σαν,	-μαι -ται -σθον -σθον -μεθα -σθε -νται	-μην -σο -το -σθον -σθην -μεθα -σθε -ντο	
Ac	TIVE	MIDDLE		
3τω Dual 2το 3τω Plur. 2τε	V	-σο -σθω -σθον -σθων -σθε -σθων (-σθωο	(av)	

**462 D.** Doric has  $-\tau\iota$  for  $-\sigma\iota$ ,  $-\mu\epsilon$ s for  $-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $-\nu\tau\iota$  in 3 pl., and  $-\tau\bar{a}\nu$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\bar{a}\nu$ ,  $-\mu\bar{a}\nu$  for  $-\tau\eta\nu$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $-\mu\eta\nu$ .  $-\tau\bar{a}\nu$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\bar{a}\nu$ ,  $-\mu\bar{a}\nu$  are also Aeolic.

The close agreement between Greek and Sanskrit may be illustrated by the inflection of Old Greek and Doric  $\phi \bar{a} \mu t s \alpha y$ , Skt. bhāmi shine,  $\check{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \rho o \nu$ , Skt. abharam bore.

φā-μί	bhā-mi	φἄ-τόν	bhā-tás	ἔφερο−ν	ábhara-m	έφερέ-την	ábhara-tām
φą́-s	bh <b>ā</b> -si	φἄ-μές	bhā-más	ĕφερε-s	ábhara-s	<i>ἐφέρ</i> ο-μεν	ábharā-ma
$\phi \bar{a}$ - $ au \ell$	bhā-ti	$\phi$ ă- $ au\epsilon$	bhā-thá	$\epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon - (\tau)$	ábhara-t	ἐφέρε-τε	ábhara-ta
φα-τόν	bhā-thás	φἄ-ντί	bhā-nti	<b>ἐ</b> φέρε-τον	ábhara-tam	$\check{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon ho$ o- $ u( au)$	ábhara-n(t)

#### 463. PRIMARY ENDINGS OF THE ACTIVE (IND. AND SUBJ.)

- a. I Sing.  $-\mu$  is found only in  $\mu$ -verbs. Verbs in  $-\omega$  have no ending and simply lengthen the thematic vowel  $(\lambda \ell \omega, \lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega)$ . The perfect has no personal ending,  $-\alpha$  taking the place of a thematic vowel.
- b. 2 Sing. (1)  $-\sigma\iota$  is found in Hom.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\iota$  thou art from the  $\mu$ -verb  $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$  I am; possibly also in  $\phi\eta$ 's thou sayest. Attic  $\epsilon$ i thou art is derived from  $\dot{\epsilon}-\sigma\iota$ .  $\tau\iota\theta\eta$ -s is obscure.  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota$ s is probably for  $\lambda\ddot{\nu}\epsilon-\sigma\iota$ ,  $\lambda\ddot{\nu}\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\lambda\ddot{\nu}\epsilon\iota$ , to which s has been added. Subj.  $\lambda\dot{\nu}\eta$ -s follows the analogy of the indicative, but with long thematic vowel.  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\eta}$ 's for  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\eta s$ . In the perfect -s  $(not \text{ for } -\sigma\iota)$  has been added.
- (2)  $-\theta a$  is a perfect ending, as in  $\partial \theta a$  knowest for  $\partial \theta + \theta a$  (83). From the perfect it spread to the imperfects  $\eta \sigma \theta a$  wast,  $\eta \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a$  wentst,  $\xi \phi \eta \sigma \theta a$  saidst, and to  $\eta \delta \eta \sigma \theta a$  or  $\eta \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta a$  knewest. The perfect has commonly -a-s.  $\partial \theta a$ s and  $\eta \sigma \theta a$ s are late.
- c. 3 Sing.  $-\tau \iota$  is found in  $\mu \iota$ -verbs:  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \ell$ ,  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \sigma \iota$  for  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \tau \iota$  (Doric) by 115.  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \iota$  is obscure, but it cannot be derived from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \epsilon \sigma \iota$  for  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \epsilon \tau \iota$ .  $\lambda \delta \eta$ ,  $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$  (for  $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \eta$ ) follow  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \iota$ , but with long thematic vowel. In the perfect,  $-\epsilon$  with no personal ending.
- d. 3 Pl.—Original -ντι is retained in Doric λόοντι, whence Attic λόονσι (115 a);  $\dot{\epsilon}ντi$ , Attic  $\dot{\epsilon}l\sigma i$ . Subj.  $\dot{\lambda}\dot{\epsilon}ω\sigma\iota$  from  $\dot{\lambda}\dot{\epsilon}ω-ντ\iota$ ,  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\sigma\iota$  from  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}ω-ντ\iota$ ,  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\sigma\iota$  from  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}ω-ντ\iota$ ,  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\sigma\iota$  from  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\omega-ντ\iota$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$  (Dor.). Many  $\mu$  forms are derived from  $-aντ\iota$ , as  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}a\tau\iota$  ( $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}-aντ\iota$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\epsilon}-aντ\iota$ ),  $i\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$  (from  $i\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}-aντ\iota$ ), the accent of which has been transferred to  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$  (747 D. 1),  $\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$  (from |Dor.|)  $\tau\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}-ντ\iota$ ,  $\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}-ντ\iota$ . (35 b), properly the ending of the perfect after a consonant, appears as  $-\ddot{\alpha}\sigma\iota$  in Hom.  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\ddot{\kappa}\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ ; but it has been replaced by  $-\ddot{a}\sigma\iota$  out of  $-aντ\iota$ , as in  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ .

#### 464. SECONDARY ENDINGS OF THE ACTIVE (IND. AND OPT.)

The optative usually has the endings of the secondary tenses of the indicative.

- **463 a. D.** The Hom. subj. ἐθέλωμι, τύχωμι, ἀγάγωμι, are new formations. Aeolic has φίλημι, δοκίμωμι (indic.).
- b. (1)  $\epsilon is$  or  $\epsilon is$  in Hom. and Hdt. is derived from  $\epsilon i + s$ . For this form  $\epsilon \sigma \sigma(i)$  may be read in Hom. Theorr. has  $-\epsilon s$  for  $-\epsilon is$  ( $\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\gamma\epsilon s$ , etc.) and perf.  $\pi\epsilon\pi\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon is$  (557. 2. D.).
- b. (2)  $-\sigma\theta a$  in Hom. indic.  $\phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ,  $\tau l \theta \eta \sigma \theta a$ ; subj.  $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \theta a$  also written  $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \theta a$ ; opt. (rarely) κλαίοισθα, βάλοισθα.  $-\sigma \theta a$  occurs also occasionally in Doric ( $\pi \sigma \theta o \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ) and Aeolic (ξχεισθα,  $\phi l \lambda \eta \sigma \theta a$ ).
- c. Aeolic has  $\tau i\theta \eta$ ,  $\pi o i \eta$ ,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \acute{a} \nu o \iota$ , but  $\mathring{\eta} \sigma \iota$  says. Subj. : Hom.  $\mathring{\epsilon} \theta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$  (also written  $\mathring{\epsilon} \theta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ ; cp. Arcad.  $\check{\epsilon} \chi \eta$ ),  $\phi o \rho \acute{\epsilon} \eta \sigma \iota$ ,
- d. Hom. has -āσι in τāσι they go, έāσι they are, and in βεβάāσι, γεγάāσι. Aeolic has λόοισι, φίλεισι, τίμαισι.
  - 464 a. D. -ν for -μι is very rare (τρέφοιν in Eur., ἀμάρτοιν in Cratinus).
  - c. Dorie  $\hat{\eta}s$  was for  $\dot{\eta}\sigma(\tau)$ .
  - e. -ν is regular in Doric and common in Hom, and later poetry; as ἔστα-ν

- a.  $\mathbf{r}$  Sing.  $-\nu$  stands for  $\mu$  (133 c), cp.  $\xi \phi \epsilon \rho o \nu$ , Skt. ábhara-m. After a consonant  $\mu$  (sonant nasal, 20 b, 35 c) became  $a : \xi \lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma a$  for  $\xi \lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma \mu$ , Epic  $\hbar a$  was for  $\dot{\eta}(\sigma)a$  from  $\dot{\eta}\sigma \mu$ . In the pluperfect  $-\eta$  is from  $\epsilon a$  (467).  $-\nu$  is found in the optative when the mood suffix is  $-\iota \eta$ -; elsewhere the optative has  $-\mu$ .
  - b. 2 Sing. On  $-\sigma\theta\alpha$  see 463 b (2).
- c. 3 Sing.  $-\tau$  dropped (133 b) in  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\tilde{\nu}\epsilon$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\ell\theta\eta$ , and in the opt.  $\lambda\delta\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}t\eta$  (cp. Old Lat. sied).  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\tilde{\nu}\sigma\epsilon$  has its  $-\epsilon$  from the perfect (cp. older) and shows no personal ending.
- d. Dual.  $-\tau \eta \nu$  is rarely found for  $-\tau \sigma \nu$  in the 2 dual (expé $\tau \eta \nu$  in Plato). Hom, has  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \chi \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$  as 3 dual.
- e. 3 Pl.  $-\nu$  for  $-\nu\tau$  by 133 b.  $-\sigma a\nu$  (taken from the 1 aorist) is used (1) in the imperf. and 2 aor. of  $\mu$ -verbs, as  $\epsilon\tau \ell\theta\epsilon -\sigma a\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon -\sigma a\nu$ ; (2) in the aor. pass.  $\epsilon\lambda \delta\theta\eta -\sigma a\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\phi \delta \nu -\sigma a\nu$  (here  $-\nu$  preceded by a short vowel occurs in poetry, 585 a. D.); (3) in the pluperf.  $\epsilon\lambda \epsilon\lambda \delta\kappa\epsilon -\sigma a\nu$ ; (4) in the opt. when  $-\iota\eta$  is the modal suffix (460). In the opt.  $-\sigma a\nu$  is rare.

#### 465. ENDINGS OF THE MIDDLE (INDIC., SUBJ., OPT.)

- a. 2 Sing. Primary  $-\sigma a\iota$  retains its  $\sigma$  in the perfect of all verbs  $(\lambda \ell \lambda \iota \sigma a\iota)$ , and in the press of  $\mu\iota$ -verbs  $(\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma a\iota)$ . Elsewhere  $\sigma$  drops between vowels, as in  $\lambda \delta \eta$  or  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \iota$  from  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\lambda \iota \theta \eta \delta \eta$  or  $-\epsilon \iota$ ,  $\phi a \nu \hat{\eta}$  from  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{a}$  from  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \delta \epsilon \sigma a\iota$ ; subj.  $\lambda \delta \eta$  from  $\lambda \delta \eta \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\phi \eta \nu \eta$  from  $\phi \eta \nu \eta \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\theta \hat{\eta}$  from  $\theta \eta \epsilon \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\delta \hat{\psi}$  from  $\delta \omega \eta \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$  from  $\delta \eta \sigma a\iota$ ,  $\delta \iota \lambda \delta \eta \sigma a\iota$ .
- N. 1. The forms -y and  $-\epsilon\iota$  are found in the present, future, and future perfect. See 628.
- N. 2. δύνα and δύνη for δύνασαι, έπίστα and έπίστη for έπίστασαι, έφτει for έφτεσαι, are poetic and dialectic or late.
- b. 2 Sing. -σο stays in all plups. and in the imperf. of  $\mu$ -verbs. Elsewhere it loses its  $\sigma$ , as in έλδον from έλδε-σο, έλδον from έλδοα-σο, έφήνω from έφήνα-σο, έλίπον from έλίπε-σο, ἔθον from ἔθε-σο, ἐπρίω from ἐπρία-σο, ἐτιμῶ from ἐτιμάε-σο, ἐφιλοῦ from ἐφιλέε-σο. In the optative, λόοιο, λίποιο, τιθεῖο, εἶο, λόσαιο, from λόοι-σο, etc.; τιμῷο from τιμάοι-σο.
- N. 1.  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\acute{\nu}\nu\omega$  or  $\dot{\eta}\delta\acute{\nu}\nu\omega$  and  $\dot{\eta}\pi i\sigma\tau\omega$  are commoner than  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\acute{\nu}\nu\alpha\sigma\sigma$  and  $\dot{\eta}\pi i\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\sigma$  from  $\delta\acute{\nu}\nu\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$  am able and  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\tau\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$  understand.
- N. 2. After a diphthong or a long vowel in the 2 aor. indic. mid. -σο is retained, as είσο (†ημι send), ἄνησο (ὀνίνημι benefit).
- (ἔστη-σαν), ἔδιδο-ν (ἐδίδο-σαν), φίληθεν (ἐφιλήθη-σαν), τράφεν (ἐτράφη-σαν). The short vowel before  $\nu(\tau)$  is explained by 40. Hom,  $\hbar$ ε-ν were became  $\hbar$ ν, used in Dor. as 3 pl.; in Attic it was used as 3 sing.
- **465 a. D.** Hom. has βούλεαι, perf. μέμνηαι, but pres. δύνασαι, παρίστασαι; δύνει is unique (for δύνεαι); subj. δύνηαι. Doric often contracts, as οξη for οξε-αι. Aeolic generally leaves εαι open (κείσε-αι). Hdt. has open -εαι, -ηαι.
- b. Hom., Doric, and Aeolic have generally open forms, as Hom. βάλλε-ο (rarely βάλλεν), ἀδύσα-ο. ἔρειο, σπεῖο are from -εεο. Hom. has ἐμάρναο for Attic ἐμάρναο, and may drop σ even in the pluperfect (ἔσσνο). When Doric contracts αο we have  $\bar{\alpha}$ . In Hdt. αο, εο are open, but the writing εν for εο is found.

- c. **Dual.**—The 1 pl. is used for the 1 dual except in the three poetic forms  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \sigma v$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l \mu \mu \epsilon \theta \sigma v$ ,  $\delta \rho \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \sigma v$ . Hom. has  $-\sigma \theta \sigma v$  for  $-\sigma \theta \eta v$  in  $\theta \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \sigma v$ .
- **d.** I Pl. In epic and dramatic poetry  $-\mu\epsilon\sigma\theta a$  is often used for  $-\mu\epsilon\theta a$  for metrical reasons (βουλόμεσθα, ἐπιστάμεσθα).
  - e. 2 Pl. On the loss of  $\sigma$  in  $\sigma\theta\epsilon$  ( $\xi\sigma\tau\alpha\lambda\theta\epsilon$ ), see 103.
- f. 3 Pl. After vowel stems -νται, -ντο are preserved. After stems ending in a consonant -νται, -ντο became -αται, -ατο by 35 b. These forms were retained in prose till about 400 B.C. (e.g. τετάχαται, ἐτετάχατο).

#### 466.

#### ENDINGS OF THE IMPERATIVE

- 1. Active.
- a. 2 Sing.  $-\lambda \hat{v}e$ ,  $\lambda \ell \pi e$ ,  $\tau \ell \theta e \iota$  (for  $\tau \ell \theta e e$ ) have not lost  $-\theta \iota$ .  $-\theta \iota$  is found in 2 aor. pass.  $\phi \alpha \nu \eta \theta \iota$ ; in  $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \iota$  and  $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \iota$ ; in some 2 aorists, like  $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \theta \iota$ ,  $\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \iota$ ,  $\pi \hat{\iota} \theta \iota$ , which are  $\mu \iota$  forms though they have presents of the  $\omega$  form (687). Also in  $\ell \sigma \theta \iota$  be or know,  $\ell \theta \iota$  go,  $\phi \alpha \theta \iota$  or  $\phi \alpha \theta \ell$  say.  $\lambda \iota \theta \eta \tau \iota$  is for  $\lambda \nu \theta \eta \theta \iota$  by 125 b.
- b. -s occurs in  $\theta \epsilon s$ ,  $\epsilon s$ ,  $\delta \delta s$ ,  $\sigma \chi \epsilon s$  (and in the rare  $\theta \ell \gamma \epsilon s$ ,  $\pi \ell \epsilon \iota s$ ). This -s is not derived from  $-\theta \iota$ .
  - c. λῦσ-ον aor. act. and λῦσ-αι aor. mid. are obscure in origin.
  - 2. Middle.
- a. 2 Sing.  $-\sigma o$  retains its  $\sigma$  in the (rare) perf. of all verbs and in the pres. of  $\mu \iota$ -verbs ( $\lambda \ell \lambda \nu \sigma o$ ,  $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma o$ ,  $i \sigma \tau a \sigma o$ ). Elsewhere  $\sigma$  is dropped, as in  $\lambda \dot{\sigma} o \nu$  from  $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma o$ ,  $\lambda \iota \pi o \hat{\nu}$  from  $\lambda \iota \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o$ ,  $\theta o \hat{\nu}$  from  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o$ ,  $\delta \dot{\nu}$  from  $\delta \iota \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  from  $\delta \iota \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  from  $\delta \iota \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  from  $\delta \iota \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ 
  - N.  $\tau$ ίθου, ἴσ $\tau$ ω, δίδου are poetic or late.
- N. ἔστων for ὅντων is rare. Attic inscriptions have (very rarely) -ντωσαν.
- f.  $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  occur in Hom. regularly in the perfect and pluperfect of consonant stems, as  $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\xi\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma-\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\eta\alpha\tau\sigma$  for  $\dot{\eta}\sigma-\nu\tau\sigma$  from  $\eta\mu\alpha\iota$  ( $\dot{\eta}\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$ ); also in stems ending in  $-\iota$ , as  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\theta\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ .  $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  were transferred to vocalic stems, as  $\beta\epsilon\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\beta\epsilon\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\alpha\tau\sigma$ , Hdt.  $\delta\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ . Hom. has  $-\delta-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta-\lambda\dot{\alpha}\delta\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  from  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\omega$  drive. In the opt.  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  always ( $\gamma\epsilon\nu\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  for  $\gamma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\tau\alpha\iota$ , In Hdt.  $\eta$  before  $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  is shortened, as perf.  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  for  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\eta}-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  =  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\eta\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\epsilon\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma$  for  $-\eta\alpha\tau\sigma$ . For  $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\nu}\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ , Hom.  $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$  and  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ , Hdt. has  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ . In the opt. Hdt. has  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$ :  $\beta\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma$ ,  $\delta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma$ . In Hdt.  $-\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $-\alpha\tau\sigma$  occur even in the present system,  $\tau\iota\dot{\theta}\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\delta\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\delta\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma\iota$ ,  $\delta\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma\iota$ ,
- **466 a. D.** - $\theta\iota$  is not rare in Hom., pres. δίδω $\theta\iota = \delta\iota$ δου, δρυν $\theta\iota$ , aor. κλῦ $\theta\iota$ , perf. τέτλα $\theta\iota$ . Aeolic has ἴστα, φίλη.  $\pi\iota$ ει, δέχοι, δίδοι (Pindar) are very rare.
- 3. Doric has also  $-\nu\tau\omega$ , as in  $\pi\alpha\rho\epsilon\chi\delta\nu\tau\omega$ ; Aeolic  $-\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ , as  $\phi\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu\tau\sigma\nu$ . Doric has  $-\sigma\theta\omega$  (pl.) and  $-\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ .

#### ENDINGS OF THE PLUPERFECT, ENDINGS IN σθ

- **467.** Endings of the Pluperfect Active.  $--\eta$ ,  $-\eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota(\nu)$  are derived from  $-\epsilon(\sigma)\alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon(\sigma)\alpha s$ ,  $-\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon$ . In later Greek the endings are  $-\epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota s$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota (\nu)$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \tau \eta \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ , and very late  $-\epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ .
- **468.** The Endings  $-\sigma\theta\epsilon$ , etc. The  $\sigma$  of the endings  $-\sigma\theta\epsilon$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\omega$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\omega$  (409 N.) has no exact parallel in cognate languages, and seems to have spread in Greek from forms like  $\tau\epsilon\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma-\theta\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\zeta\omega\sigma-\theta\epsilon$ , etc., where a sigma-stem was followed by original  $-\theta\epsilon$ .

## ENDINGS OF THE INFINITIVE, PARTICIPLE, AND VERBAL ADJECTIVE /

- **469.** Infinitive. The following are the endings added to the tense-stem to make the infinitive.
- a. -εν: in present and 2 aorist active of ω-verbs, all futures active. Thus, λόειν, τ̄ιμᾶν, λιπεῖν, λόσειν, φανεῖν from λόε-εν, τ̄ιμάε-εν, λιπέ-εν, λόσε-εν, φανέε-εν.
   b. -αι: in 1 aor. active, as λθσαι, παιδεθσαι, δεῖξαι.
- c. -vai: (1) present, 2 perf. of  $\mu$ -verbs, the two passive aorists, as  $\tau \iota \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \mathring{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\phi \alpha \nu \mathring{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ; (2) perfect active,  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \upsilon \kappa \acute{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota$ , and  $\acute{\epsilon} \iota \delta \acute{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota$  from  $\acute{\epsilon} \iota \delta \acute{\epsilon}$  ( $o \iota \delta \alpha$ ).
- N. 1.— The ending  $\epsilon \nu a \iota$  appears in the 2 aor. of  $\mu \iota$ -verbs, as  $\delta \circ \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$  from  $\delta \delta \epsilon \nu a \iota$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu a \iota$  from  $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu a \iota$ ,
- d. -σθαι: in other cases.
- N. 2. The infinitives are old cases of substantives, those in - $\alpha\iota$  being datives, the others locatives.
- 470. Participles. The stem of the participle is formed by adding the following endings to the tense stem.
- a. -vT-: in all active tenses except the perfect, and in 1 and 2 aor. passive (301).
- b. -or-: in the perfect active (for -for-); masc. - $\omega s$ , fem. - $v\hat{\imath}a$ , neut. - $\delta s$  (301 c).
- c. -μενο-: in the middle, and in the passive except in the agrist.
- 471. Verbal Adjectives. Most of the verbals in  $-\tau \delta s$  and  $-\tau \delta s$  are formed by adding these suffixes to the verbal stem of the acrist passive (first or second). Thus,  $\phi \iota \lambda \eta \tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta s$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ );  $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta s$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ );  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta s$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ );  $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta s$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$ );  $\beta \lambda \eta \tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta s$  ( $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ ). On the accent of compound verbals, see 425 c.
- **467 D.** Hom. has  $-\epsilon \alpha$ ,  $-\eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota$  or  $\epsilon \iota \nu$  ( $-\epsilon \epsilon$  only in  $\sqrt[n]{\delta} \epsilon \epsilon$ ),  $-\epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ , and rarely  $-\sigma \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon s$ ,  $-\epsilon$ ; Hdt. has  $-\epsilon \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon \alpha s$ ,  $-\epsilon \epsilon$  ( $-\epsilon \iota$ ?),  $-\epsilon \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ,  $-\epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ .
- 469 D.  $-\epsilon \nu$  appears also in Hom.  $l\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$  (miswritten  $l\delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ ). Hom. has no case of  $-\epsilon \nu a\iota$  (for  $l\epsilon \nu a\iota$  write  $\ell \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$ ). For  $-\epsilon \nu$  or  $-\nu a\iota$  Hom. often uses  $-\mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$  (also Aeolic) and  $-\mu \epsilon \nu$  (which is also Doric); both endings show the accent on the preceding syllable, as  $\xi \epsilon \nu \gamma \nu \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$ ,  $\xi \mu \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$  ( $= \epsilon \ell \nu a\iota$ ),  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$ ,  $\delta \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$ ,  $\delta \alpha \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu a\iota$ ;  $\tau \iota \ell \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\xi \mu \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\ell \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\delta \lambda \ell \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ . Doric has  $-\mu \epsilon \nu$  in the acrist passive, as  $a \ell \nu \nu \nu \ell \delta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-\mu \epsilon \nu$  is preceded by a short syllable and generally stands before a vowel.  $-\nu a\iota$  always follows a long vowel. Doric has  $-\eta \nu$  and  $-\epsilon \nu$  in the present. Aeolic has  $-\eta \nu$  in the present and 2 acrist.

- a. Some are derived from other stem forms (pres. and fut.), as  $\phi\epsilon\rho$ - $\tau\delta s$ , i- $\tau\epsilon\sigma v$ ,  $\delta\nu\nu\alpha$ - $\tau\delta s$ ;  $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\tau\delta s$  (cp.  $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon-\omega=\mu\epsilon\nu\hat{\omega}$  fut.).
- 472. Verbals in  $-\tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \delta r$ ,  $-\tau \delta r$  either (1) have the meaning of a perfect passive participle, as  $\kappa \rho \nu \pi \tau \delta s$  hidden,  $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \delta s$  educated, or (2) express possibility, as  $\nu \circ \eta \tau \delta s$  thinkable,  $\delta \rho \tilde{\alpha} \tau \delta s$  visible. Many have either signification, but some are passive only, as  $\pi \circ \iota \eta \tau \delta s$  done. See 425 c. N.
- a. Usually passive in meaning are verbals from deponent verbs, as  $\mu \bar{\iota} \mu \eta \tau \delta s$  imitated.
- b. Usually active in meaning are compounds derived from transitive active verbs; but some intransitive verbs make active verbals, as μυτός flowing.
- c. Many are active or passive, others only active:  $\mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \delta s$  blamed, blamable, blaming,  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta s$  trusting in (rare), trusted,  $\delta \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \kappa \tau \sigma s$  doing nothing, not done,  $\phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \delta s$  sounding.
- 473. Verbals in  $-\tau \acute{e}os$ ,  $-\tau \acute{e}a$ ,  $-\tau \acute{e}ov$  express necessity (cp. the Lat. gerundive in -ndus), as δοτ  $\acute{e}os$  that must be given,  $\pi a\iota \delta e\upsilon \tau \acute{e}os$  educandus.

#### FORMATION OF THE TENSE-SYSTEMS (Ω AND MI-VERBS)

#### CHANGES IN THE VERB-STEM

- 474. From the verb-stem (or theme) each tense-stem is formed by the addition of a tense-suffix (455) or of a prefix, or of both. In 475–495 certain modifications of the verb-stem are considered.
- 475. Variation in Quantity. Many verbs of the first class (498 ff.) show variation in the quantity of the vowel of the verb-stem, which is commonly long in the present but fluctuates in other tenses, as  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \omega$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \alpha$ , but  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \mu \alpha \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \theta \eta \nu$ . (Other examples, 500.)
- a. Some verbs of the Fourth Class (523 c) lengthen a short vowel of the present in some other tenses. Thus,  $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$  ( $\lambda \alpha \beta$ -) take,  $\lambda \dot{\eta} \psi o \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \eta \phi \alpha$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta \nu$ , but 2 aor.  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$ .
- 476. Vowel Gradation (35, 36). Verbs of the first class show a variation between a strong grade (or two strong grades) and a weak grade. The weak grades,  $\check{\iota}$ ,  $\check{\upsilon}$ ,  $\check{\alpha}$ , appear especially in the second aorist and second passive systems; the corresponding strong grades,  $\epsilon\iota$  ( $\iota\iota$ ),  $\epsilon\upsilon$  ( $\iota\iota$ ),  $\iota\iota$ 0), appear usually in the other systems ( $\iota\iota$ 0,  $\iota\iota$ 0),  $\iota\iota$ 1 in the second perfect).
- a. Expulsion of a short vowel between consonants (so-called syncope 493) produces a weak form of the stem of the same grade as  $\iota$ , v,  $\alpha$  (36). Cp.  $\gamma \iota$ - $\gamma \nu$ - $\nu$ - $\mu a\iota$  become (aor.  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ - $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\mu \mu \nu$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\pi \tau$ - $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\mu \mu \nu$  (pres.  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau$ - $\sigma$ - $\mu a\iota$  f(y) with  $\ddot{\epsilon}$ - $\lambda \iota \pi$ - $\sigma$ - $\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\dot{\tau}$ - $\dot{\tau$
- b.  $\mathbf{a}$  is the weak form of  $\mathbf{\eta}$  ( $\mathbf{\bar{a}}$ ), as in  $\tau \dot{\mathbf{\eta}} \kappa \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\mathbf{a}} \kappa \eta \nu$ ; and of  $\epsilon$ , when  $\epsilon$  has  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$  before or after it, as in  $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \dot{\mathbf{a}} \pi \eta \nu$  (479).
  - **477.** The following examples illustrate the principles of 476.
  - a. ει οι ι: λείπω leave, λείψω, 2 perf. λέλοιπα, λέλειμμαι, έλείφθην, 2 aor. έλιπον.

- N. The weak form appears when the verb undergoes Attic reduplication (446); as in ἀλείφω anoint, 2 perf. ἀλήλιφα, ἀλήλιμμα; ἐρείκω trar (Ionic and poetic), 2 perf. ἐρήριγμαι, 2 aor. ἤρικον; ἐρείπω overthrow, Ερίς ἐρηριπα; but ἐρείδω prop, ἐρήρεισμαι.
- b. ευ ου υ: ἐλεύ(θ)σομαι I shall go, 2 perf. ἐλήλυθα (Ερίς ἐλήλουθα), 2 aor. (Ερίς ἤλυθον); φεύγω flee, φεύξομαι οτ φευξοῦμαι, 2 perf. πέφευγα, 2 aor. ἔφυγον; ῥέω flow (for ῥευ-ω, 43), ῥεύσομαι, ἐρρύηκα (ῥυε-), 2 aor. pass. ἐρρύην.
- N. χέω pour (for χευ-ω, 43), ἔχεα (for ἔχευα), has v in κέχυκα, κέχυμαι, ἐχύ-θην; σεύω (poetic) urge, ἔσσευα, ἔσσυμαι, ἐσσύθην or ἐσύθην rushed. See also τεύχω in the List of Verbs.
- c. η ω α: ἡήγ-νῦμι break, ἡήξω, ἔρρηξα, 2 perf. ἔρρωγα, 2 aor. pass. ἐρράγην; τήκ-ω melt, τήξω, ἔτηξα, τέτηκα, ἐτήχθην, 2 aor. pass. ἐτάκην.
- N. Verbs of class c usually have  $\ddot{\alpha}$  in the 2 aorist,  $\omega$  in the 2 perfect (if there is one), elsewhere  $\eta$ .  $\omega$  occurs in the present in  $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega g n a w$ , 2 aor.  $\xi \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \sigma \nu$ .
- 478. Change of  $\epsilon$  to o in the Second Perfect. In the second perfect  $\epsilon$  of the verb-stem is changed to o.

κλέπ-τ-ω steal κέκλοφα, (ἀπο-)κτείνω kill (κτεν-, 519) -έκτονα, λέγ-ω collect είλοχα, πάσχω, fut. πείσομαι (from πενθσομαι, 100) πέπονθα, πέμπ-ω send πέπομφα, στέργ-ω love ἔστοργα, τίκτω beget τέτοκα, τρέπ-ω turn τέτροφα, τρέφ-ω nourish τέτροφα, φθείρ-ω corrupt ἔφθορα. So in  $\gamma$ ίγ(ε)νομαι become ἐγενόμην, γέγονα; ἐγείρω awaken ἐγρήγορα (446). This change corresponds to that of ει to οι (477 a).

479. Change of  $\epsilon$  to  $\alpha$ . — In verb-stems containing  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ , an  $\epsilon$  is usually changed to  $\alpha$  in the first perfect, perfect middle, and second passive systems.

τρέπ-ω turn, τέτραμμαι, έτράπην (1 aor. ἐτρέφθην); τρέφ-ω feed, τέθραμμαι, ἐτράφην (1 aor. ἐθρέφθην); σπείρω (σπερ-) sow, ἔσπαρμαι, ἐσπάρην; φθείρω (φθερ-) destroy, ἔφθαρμαι, ἐφθάρην; στέλλω (στελ-) send, ἔσταλκα, ἔσταλμαι, ἐστάλην; τείνω (τεν-) stretch, τέτακα, τέταμαι, ἐτάθην (1 aor.).

- a. Also in the 2 aor. pass. of κλέπτω steal (ἐκλάπην), πλέκω weave (ἐπλάκην), τέρπω gladden (Epic ἐτάρπην). Many of these verbs also show o in the second perfect (478).
- **480.** This  $\ddot{\mathbf{a}}$  is also found in the second acrist active and middle of κτείνω kill (ἔκτανον poetic), τέμνω cut (dialectal ἔταμον), τρέπω turn (ἔτραπον poetic), τέρπω gladden (ἐταρπόμην poetic), poetic δέρκομαι see (ἔδρακον). Also πέρθω, πτήσσω.
- **481.**  $\epsilon$  in the perfect middle in  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu a \iota (\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \ steal)$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota (\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \omega \ weave)$  is introduced from the present.
- **482.** The  $\ddot{\mathbf{a}}$  in 479, 480 is developed from a liquid or nasal brought between two consonants (35 b). Thus,  $\xi \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu a \iota$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau a \mu a \iota$  from  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \lambda \mu a \iota$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \mu a \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \tau a \theta \eta \nu$  from  $\epsilon \tau \nu \theta \eta \nu$  (20 b). Here  $\sigma \tau \lambda$ ,  $\tau \nu$  represent weak grades of the stem.
- 483. a. The variations  $\epsilon$ , o, a,  $\omega$  appear in  $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$  turn,  $\tau \rho \epsilon \psi \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \psi a$ , 2 perf.  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \sigma \phi a$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \sigma \mu \mu a \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \theta \eta \nu$ , 2 aor. pass.  $\epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \eta \nu$ ; frequentative  $\tau \rho \omega \pi a \omega$  (867).
- b. The variations  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\omega$  appear in  $\pi$ éro $\mu$ ai fly,  $\pi$ o $\tau$ éo $\mu$ ai (poet.) and frequentative  $\pi$  $\omega$  $\tau$ áo $\mu$ ai (poet., 867) fly about.

- **484.** η, a in the Second Perfect. In the second perfect  $\check{a}$  of the verb-stem is lengthened to  $\eta$  ( $\check{a}$ ):  $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\theta a \lambda$ -) bloom,  $\tau \acute{e} \theta \eta \lambda a$ ;  $\phi a \acute{\nu} \omega$  ( $\phi a \nu$ -) show,  $\pi \acute{e} \phi \eta \nu a$ ;  $\mu a \acute{\nu} \omega$  ( $\mu a \nu$ -) madden,  $\mu \acute{e} \mu \eta \nu a$ ;  $\kappa \rho \acute{a} \check{\zeta} \omega$  ( $\kappa \rho a \gamma$ -) cry out,  $\kappa \acute{e} \kappa \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ .
- **485.** Addition of  $\epsilon$ .—a. To the verb-stem  $\epsilon$  is added to make the present stem in  $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \omega$  seem, fut.  $\delta \delta \xi \omega$ , aor.  $\epsilon \delta o \xi a$  ( $\delta o \kappa$ -); so in  $\gamma a \mu \epsilon \omega$  marry,  $\omega \theta \epsilon \omega$  push. Usually  $\epsilon$  is added in some stem other than the present.
- b. In many verbs  $\epsilon$  is added to the verb-stem to form the tense-stems other than present, second agrist, and second perfect, e.g.  $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  ( $\mu\alpha\chi$ -) fight,  $\mu\alpha\chi\rho\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  (=  $\mu\alpha\chi\epsilon(\sigma)\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\chi\epsilon\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta\mu\alpha\iota$ . So  $\ddot{\alpha}\chi\theta\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  am grieved,  $\beta\rho\dot{\alpha}\lambda\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  wish,  $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  become,  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  want, ( $\dot{\epsilon}$ ) $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega$  wish,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$  intend,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\iota$  is a care, olopal think.
- c. In some verbs  $\epsilon$  is added to form one or more tense-stems, as  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega$  ( $\mu \epsilon \nu$ -) remain,  $\mu \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \kappa \alpha$  ( $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ -) to avoid - $\nu \kappa \alpha$  in the perfect. So,  $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$  distribute,  $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega$  have, of  $\chi o \mu \alpha \iota \alpha \iota \eta$  gone. So also  $\delta a \rho \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ,  $\delta \sigma \phi \alpha \iota \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \beta \omega$  (poetic),  $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ .
- d. Some verbs have alternative presents with or without  $\epsilon$ . Here sometimes one is used in prose, the other in poetry, sometimes both are poetic or both used in prose. Thus,  $\xi \lambda \kappa \omega \ draw$  (Hom. also  $\xi \lambda \kappa \epsilon \omega$ ),  $l \alpha \chi \omega \ l \alpha \chi \epsilon \omega \ sound$  (both poetic),  $\mu \epsilon \delta \omega \ \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \omega$  (both poetic),  $\dot{\rho} t \pi \tau \omega$  and  $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \epsilon \omega$  throw (both in prose).
- **486.** Addition of a and o.—a or o is added to the verb-stem in some verbs. Thus,  $μ \bar{\nu} \kappa \dot{\alpha} o \mu a bellow$  (Epic 2 aor.  $μ \dot{\nu} \kappa o \nu$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon} μ \bar{\nu} \kappa \eta \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$ ;  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda l \sigma \kappa o \mu a (\dot{\alpha} \lambda -)$  be captured,  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma o \mu a i$  from  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda o -$ ;  $\ddot{\nu} \mu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu i$  swear ( $\dot{\delta} \mu -$ )  $\ddot{\omega} \mu o \sigma a$ ,  $\dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu o \kappa a$  etc. ( $\dot{\delta} \mu o -$ ); οίχομαι am gone, Epic οίχωκα or  $\ddot{\omega} \chi \omega \kappa a$ .
- 487. Lengthening of Short Final Vowel. Verb-stems ending in a short vowel generally lengthen that vowel before the tense-suffix in all tenses (except the present and imperfect) formed from them. Here a (except after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ , and  $\rho$ ) and  $\epsilon$  become  $\eta$ , o becomes  $\omega$ .
- τῖμά-ω (τῖμα-) honour, τῖμή-σω, ἐτίμη-σα, τετίμη-κα, τετίμη-μαι, ἐτῖμή-θην; θηρά-ω (θηρα-) hunt, θηρά-σω, ἐθήρα-σα, etc. (389); ποιέω (ποιε-) make, ποιή-σω, ἐποίη-σα, πεποίη-κα, πεποίη-μαι, ἐποιή-θην; δηλόω (δηλο-) manifest, δηλώ-σω, ἐδήλω-σα, etc.; ἐάω permit, ἐάσω, etc.
- a. Note ἀκροάσομαι, ἠκροᾶσάμην, etc., from ἀκροάομαι hear; χρήσω, ἔχρησα from χράω give oracles; χρήσομαι, ἐχρησάμην from χράομαι use; τρήσω and ἔτρησα from τετραίνω bore are from τρε-.
- b. Verb-stems adding  $\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon$  (486), and stems apparently receiving a short final vowel by metathesis (128), lengthen the short final vowel, as  $\beta$ 00λ0 $\mu$ 01  $\nu$ 10  $\nu$ 13 $\epsilon$ 1,  $\beta$ 00λ $\epsilon$ 3– $\epsilon$ 40,  $\epsilon$
- 485 D. Some Ionic and poetic verbs adding ε are άλεξω, ἄλθομαι, γεγωνέω, γηθέω, δουπέω, εἴρομαι, εἰλέω, ἐπαυρέω, κελαδέω, κέλομαι, κεντέω, κήδω, κτυπέω, κυρέω, λάσκω, μέδομαι, μύζω, πατέομαι, ρῖγέω, στυγέω, τορέω, χάζω, φιλέω (poetic forms), χραισμέω; ἀμπλακίσκω, ἀπαφίσκω; Ερίς ἐδιδάσκησα (διδάσκω), πιθήσω, πεπιθήσω, πιθήσᾶς (πείθω), πεφιδήσομαι (φείδομαι).
- **486** D. a is added also in βρῦχάομαι, γοάω, δηριάομαι, λιχμάω, μηκάομαι, μητιάω. All these are mainly poetic.

488. Retention of Short Final Vowel. — Many verb-stems ending apparently in a short vowel retain the short vowel, contrary to 487, in some or all the tenses.

γελά-ω laugh, γελάσομαι, ἐγέλὰσα, ἐγελάσθην; τελέω finish, τελώ from τελέ-ω, ἐτέλεσα, τετέλεκα, τετέλεσμαι, ἐτελέσθην; ἀνύω accomplish, ἀνὕσω, ἤνὕσα, ἤνῦσμαι.

- a. The following verbs retain the final short vowel of the verb-stem in all tenses: ἄγα-μαι, αἰδέ-ομαι, ἀκέ-ομαι, ἀκέ-ω, ἀνύ-ω, ἀρέσκω (ἀρε-), ἀρκέ-ω, ἀρό-ω, ἀρό-ω, γελά-ω, ἐλαύνω (ἐλα-), ἐλκύ-ω, and ἔλκ-ω (ἐλκ-ε-), ἐμέ-ω, ἐρά-ω, ἔρα-μαι (poet.), ἐσθίω (ἐσθι-, ἐδ-ε-, ἐδο-), ζέ-ω, θλά-ω, ἱλάσκομαι (ἱλα-), κλά-ω break, μεθύσκω (μεθυ-), ξέ-ω,  $\pi \tau$ ύ-ω ( $\pi \tau$ ῦ-,  $\pi \tau$ ύ-),  $\sigma \pi$ ά-ω,  $\tau$ ελέ-ω,  $\tau$ ρέ-ω, φθίνω (φθι-), φλά-ω, χαλά-ω, χέ-ω (χυ-). Also all verbs in -αννῦμι and -εννῦμι (except ἔσβηκα from σβέννῦμι extinguish), and ὅλλῦμι (ὀλ-ε-), ὅμνῦμι (ὀμ-, ὀμε-, ὀμο-), στόρνῦμι (στορ-ε).
- b. The following verbs keep short the final vowel in the future, but lengthen it in one or more other tense-systems, or have double future forms, one with the short vowel, the other with the long vowel:  $ai\nu\epsilon\omega$  ( $ai\nu\epsilon\omega\omega$ ,  $\eta\nu\epsilon\omega$ ,  $\eta\epsilon\omega$ ,
- c. In some verbs the final short vowel of the verb-stem remains short in one or more tense-stems, but is lengthened in the future, as  $\delta \epsilon \omega \ bind$ ,  $\delta \eta \sigma \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \eta \sigma a$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \kappa a$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu a \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \theta \eta \nu$ . So  $\epsilon \delta \iota \rho \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \iota \rho \omega$
- d. Most of the verbs refusing to lengthen a final short vowel have verb-stems originally ending in  $\sigma$  (624); as  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega$  from  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega$  (cp.  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \sigma$ ). By analogy to these, other verbs retain their short final vowel.
- **489.** Insertion of  $\sigma$ .—In the perfect middle and first agrist passive systems, verbs which retain a short final vowel and some others usually insert  $\sigma$  before the personal ending.

Thus, τελέω (488 d), τετέλεσμαι, έτελέσθην; σπάω draw, έσπασμαι, έσπάσθην; κελεύω order, κεκέλευσμαι, έκελεύσθην; γιγνώσκω know, έγνωσμαι, έγνώσθην.

- a. If the agrist passive ends in  $-\theta\eta\nu$  and not in  $-\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ , the perfect middle does not insert  $\sigma$ . Thus  $-\theta\eta\nu$ , not  $-\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ , occurs in all verbs in  $-\epsilon\nu\omega$  except  $\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$  stone to death, in all verbs in  $-\epsilon\omega$  which have  $-\theta\eta\nu$  preceded by  $\eta$ , in all verbs in  $-\omega\omega$  except  $\chi\dot{\nu}\omega$  heap up, and in all verbs in  $-\omega\omega$  except those that retain  $\ddot{\alpha}$ . Stems originally ending in  $\sigma$  (624) properly show  $\sigma$ .
- b. If the agrist passive ends in  $-\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ , the perfect middle may or may not insert  $\sigma$ . Verbs in  $-\alpha\zeta\omega$  and  $-\iota\zeta\omega$  (stems  $-\alpha\delta$ ,  $-\iota\delta$ ) regularly have  $\sigma$  by 83, 587. In the case of other verbs some always show  $\sigma$ , some never show  $\sigma$ , and some are doubtful. In many cases the later usage with  $\sigma$  has crept into the Mss. of

**<sup>488</sup> D.** Here belong Epic ἀκηδέω, κοτέω, λοέω, νεικέω, and the forms ἀασα, -άμην, ἄεσα. ἐρύω shows ἐρῦ- and ἐρύ-.

**<sup>489</sup> D.** Hom, has original forms in  $\pi\epsilon\phi$ ραδμένος (φράζω), κεκορυθμένος (κορύττω),  $\epsilon$ πέπιθμεν (πείθω).

the classical authors (so with the perfect of  $\dot{a}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\beta a\dot{\iota}\nu\omega$ ,  $\delta\rho\dot{a}\omega$ ,  $\zeta\dot{\omega}\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$ ,  $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\iota\omega$  ( $\kappa\lambda\dot{\eta}\omega$ ),  $\sigma\dot{\psi}\zeta\omega$ ,  $\chi\rho\dot{\iota}\omega$ , and with the acrist of  $\pi a\dot{\iota}\omega$ ).

c. The following verbs show an inserted  $\sigma$  both in the perfect middle and the aorist passive in classical Greek:  $al\delta\epsilon \omega \omega$ ,  $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\kappa\omega$ ,  $\epsilon\lambda\kappa\iota\omega$ ,  $\theta\lambda\omega$ ,  $\theta\rho\alpha\omega$ ,  $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\omega$ ,  $\kappa\lambda\omega$ ,  $\kappa\nu\alpha(t)\omega$ ,  $\kappa\rho\epsilon\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\kappa\nu\lambda t\omega$ ,  $\xi\delta\omega$ ,  $\pi t\mu\pi\lambda\eta\mu$ ,  $\pi\rho t\omega$ ,  $\pi\tau t\tau\omega$ ,  $\sigma\beta\epsilon\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\sigma\epsilon t\omega$ ,  $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\delta\alpha\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\sigma\pi\alpha\omega$ ,  $\tau\alpha\nu\omega$ ,  $\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\omega$ ,  $\tau t\nu\omega$ ,  $\delta\omega$ 

đ. The following form only the perfect middle with σ in classical Greek : βῦνέω, ἔννῦμι (εἶμαι, but ἔστο Hom.), ἐρόω, ζώννῦμι, ξέω, \*όδύσσομαι, πλέω, φλεύω (Hdt.).

e. The following form only the acrist passive with σ in classical Greek: ἄγαμαι, ἀκούω, ἀνύω, ἀρέσκω, ἄχθομαι, γελάω, δαίντμι, δράω, ἐλύω, ἔραμαι, ἐράω, ἱλάσκομαι, κλείω (κλήω), λεύω, μεθύσκω, μμνήσκω, ότω, ὅνομαι (Hdt.), παίω, παλαίω, πετάνντμι, πίμπρημι, ῥαίω, ῥώνντμι, στόρντμι, χαλάω, χράομαι, χράω, χρίω.

- f. Only in post-classical Greek is  $\sigma$  attested both in the perfect middle and aorist passive in  $d\rho\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\zeta \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\kappa\lambda a\iota\omega$ ,  $(\dot{\alpha}\pi o)$   $\lambda a\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\lambda \dot{\delta}\omega$ ,  $\delta\lambda \lambda \bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\pi\nu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\pi\tau a\iota\omega$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\psi a\dot{\nu}\omega$ . Only in the perfect middle:  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma a\mu a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa o\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\delta\rho \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho a\mu a\iota$ ,  $\kappa\epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon}\nu \bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\kappa o\lambda o\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \partial \dot{\nu}\kappa \omega$ ,  $\nu a\iota\omega$ . When the perfect middle is not attested in classical Greek some at least of the  $\sigma$  forms from the above verbs may represent classical usage, provided the aorist passive has  $-\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ . Only in the aorist passive:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \dot{\epsilon}\omega \mu \dot{\epsilon}\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\iota\dot{\nu}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\iota\dot{\nu}$
- g. Some verbs have double forms (one of which may be disputed) in the classical period: δύναμαι: ἐδυνήθην and ἐδυνάσθην (chiefly Ionic and poetic); κεράννῦμι: ἐκράθην and ἐκεράσθην; κρούω: κέκρουμαι better than κέκρουσμαι; γέω: νένημαι and νένησμαι; ὅμνῦμι: ὀμώμομαι (and ὀμώμοσται), ὡμόθην and ὡμόσθην. Dialectal or dialectal and late are ἐβώσθην for ἐβοήθην (βοάω), ἐλήλασμαι ἠλάσθην (ἐλαύνω), κεκόρημαι for κεκόρεσμαι (κορέννῦμι), πεπέτασμαι (πετάννῦμι).
- h. Some verb-stems ending in ν show -σ-μαι in the perfect middle: ἡδόνω, μιαίνω, παχόνω, περαίνω, ὑφαίνω, φαίνω. Thus πέφασμαι, ἥδυσμαι, μεμίασμαι. Dialectal or late: θηλόνω, κοιλαίνω, λεπτόνω, λῦμαίνομαι, ξαίνω, ξηραίνω, σημαίνω. On -μμαι see 579.
- i. Observe that some vowel verbs inserting  $\sigma$  do not lengthen the final vowel of the verb-stem in any tense  $(\gamma \epsilon \lambda \delta \omega, \tau \epsilon \lambda \delta \omega)$ ; and that some not inserting  $\sigma$   $(\delta \delta \omega, \theta \delta \omega, \lambda \delta \omega)$  do not lengthen the final vowel in some tenses.  $\epsilon \pi$ -auré $\omega$  commend and  $\pi a \rho$ -auré $\omega$  exhort do not insert  $\sigma$  and have the short vowel in all tenses.
- j. The insertion of  $\sigma$  in the perfect middle started in the 3 sing. and 2 pl. Before the endings  $-\tau a\iota$  and  $-\sigma \theta\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma$  was retained in the case of verbs with stems originally ending in  $\sigma$  (as  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ), or where  $\sigma$  developed from  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$  (98) before  $-\tau a\iota$ ,  $-\sigma \theta\epsilon$  ( $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau a\iota$  from  $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \tau a\iota$ ). See 409 b, 624. In all cases where the verb-stem did not originally end in  $\sigma$ , the sigma forms are due to analogy; as in  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \mu a\iota$  ( $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega$ ),  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu a\iota$  ( $\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$ ),  $\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu a\iota$  ( $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ).
- **490.** Addition of  $\theta$ .—The present stems of some poetical verbs are made by the addition of  $\theta$ ; as  $\nu\dot{\gamma}$ - $\theta$ - $\omega$  spin,  $\pi\lambda\dot{\gamma}$ - $\theta$ - $\omega$  am full  $(\pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \gamma \mu)$ . Cp. 832.

**<sup>490</sup> D.** A few verbs make poetic forms by adding  $-\theta\%$ - to the present or the 2 agrist tense-stem, in which  $\alpha$  or  $\epsilon$  ( $\nu$  once) takes the place of the thematic GREEK GRAM. — 11

- a. Most of the indicative forms seem to be imperfects, but since some have the force of aorists (e.g., Soph. O. C. 862, 1334, O. I. 650), in certain editions they are regarded as second aorists, and the infinitives and participles are accented (against the Mss.) on the ultima  $(\delta \iota \omega \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \ell \nu, \epsilon l \kappa \alpha \theta \omega \nu)$ .
- **491.** Omission of  $\nu$ .—Some verbs in  $-\nu\omega$  drop the  $\nu$  of the verbal stem in the first perfect, perfect middle, and first passive systems.

κρίνω (κριν-), judge, κέκρι-κα, κέκρι-μαι, έκρι-θην. So also κλίνω incline,  $\pi$ λόνω mash.

- 492. Metathesis. The verbal stem may suffer metathesis (128).
- a. In the present: θνήσκω die, 2 aor. ἔθανον, perf. τέθνηκα.
- b. In other tenses: βάλλω throw (βαλ-), perf. βέβληκα, έβλήθην (βλη-); τέμνω cut (τέμ-ν-), 2 aor. ἔτεμον, perf. τέτμηκα; δέρκομαι (δερκ-) see, 2 aor. ἔδρακον; τέρπω delight, 2 aor. pass. ἐτάρπην and ἐτράπην (both poetical).
  - 493. Syncope. Some verbs suffer syncope (44 b).
- In the present: πίπτω fall for πι-π(ε)τ-ω, ἴσχω hold for (σ)ι-σ(ε)χ-ω (125 e), μίμνω for μι-μεν-ω.
- b. In the future : πτήσομαι from πέτομαι fly.
- c. In the second agrist:  $\xi \sigma \chi o \nu$  for  $\xi \sigma \epsilon \chi o \nu$  from  $\xi \chi \omega$  ( $\xi \chi$  for  $\sigma \epsilon \chi$ -, 125 e).
- d. In the perfect: πέ-πτα-μαι have expanded from πετά-ννῦμ.
   N.—Syncopated forms are properly weak stems (476 a).
  - 494. Reduplication. The verb-stem may be reduplicated.
- a. In the present with ι: γι-γνώ-σκω (γνω-) know, τί-θη-μι place, ἵ-στη-μι set, δί-δω-μι give. The present reduplication may be carried over to other tenses: διδά(κ)σκω teach (99), διδάξω. With ε: τε-τραίνω bore.
- b. In the second agrist: ἄγω (ἀγ-) lead, ἤγ-αγ-ον; ἔπομαι follow, ἐσπόμην (for σε-σπ-ομην).
- c. Regularly, with  $\epsilon$  in the perfect.
- 495. Iterative Imperfects and Aorists in  $-\sigma\kappa\%$ -. —Homer and Herodotus have iterative imperfects and aorists in  $-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$  and  $-\sigma\kappa\rho\mu\eta\nu$  denoting a customary or repeated past action. Homer has iterative forms in the imperfect and 1 and 2 aorist active and middle. Herodotus has no iteratives in the 1 aorist and few

vowel of the simple verb. Such forms are chiefly Homeric, but occur sometimes in Attic poetry, very rarely in prose. Thus,  $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \omega$  ( $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  burn),  $\epsilon \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \theta \omega$  ( $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega \rho \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ),  $\epsilon \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ),  $\epsilon \delta \iota \omega \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$  ( $\epsilon \iota \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ),  $\epsilon \delta \iota \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ).  $\epsilon \delta \iota \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$  the indicative ( $\epsilon \iota \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ),  $\epsilon \iota \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ,  $\epsilon \iota \kappa \omega e^{-\delta \omega}$ ).

- **492 D.** See the List of Verbs for poetical forms of ἀμαρτάνω, δαρθάνω, θράττω, βλώσκω, δαμάζω, δέμω, πορ-.
- 493 D. See the List of Verbs for poetical forms of πέλω, πελάζω, μέλω, μέλο-μαι; also ἔτετμον found, ἔπεφνον slew.
- **494 D.** Poetic ἀραρίσκω (ἀρ) fit, and the intensives (867) μαρ-μαίρω (μαρ-) flash, πορ-φόρω (φυρ-) grow red, παμ-φαίνω (φαν-) shine brightly, ποι-πνύω (πνυ-) puff. Also with  $\eta$  in δη-δέκ-το greeted (Mss. δείδεκτο).

in the 2 aorist; and only from  $\omega$ -verbs. Herodotus regularly and Homer usually omit the augment.  $-\alpha\omega$  verbs have  $-\alpha\alpha-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$  or  $-\alpha-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$ ;  $-\epsilon\omega$  verbs  $-\epsilon\epsilon-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$ , in Hom. also  $-\epsilon-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$ .  $-\alpha-\sigma\kappa\rho\nu$  is rare in other verbs than those in  $-\alpha\omega$ . The vowel preceding the suffix is always short.

a. The suffix -σκ%- is added to the tense-stem. Imperf.: φεύγε-σκε (φεύγω flee), ξχε-σκον (ξχω have), νικά-σκομεν (νικάω conquer), γοάα-σκε (γοάω bewail), κρύπτα-σκε (κρύπτω hide), καλέε-σκον (καλέω call), ζωννύσκετο (ζώννυμι gird); 1 αοτ.: ἀπο-τρέψα-σκε (ἀποτρέπω turn αway): 2 αοτ.: φύγε-σκε, στά-σκε stood.

#### VERB-STEM AND PRESENT STEM

496. From the verb-stem (or theme) the present stem is formed in several ways. All verbs are arranged in the present system according to the method of forming the present stem from the verb-stem. Verbs are named according to the last letter of the verb-stem (376): 1. Vowel Verbs, 2. Liquid Verbs (including liquids and nasals), 3. Stop Verbs.

#### I. PRESENT SYSTEM

#### (PRESENT AND IMPERFECT ACTIVE AND MIDDLE)

497. The present stem is formed from the verb-stem in five different ways. There are, therefore, five classes of present stems. The verb-stem is sometimes the present stem, but usually it is strengthened in different ways. A sixth class consists of irregular verbs, the present stem of which is not connected with the stem or stems of other tenses.

#### FIRST OR SIMPLE CLASS

- 498. Presents of the Simple Class are formed from the verb-stem with or without the thematic vowel.
- **499.** (I) Presents with the thematic vowel (ω-verbs). The present stem is made by adding the thematic vowel %- to the verb-stem, as  $\lambda \dot{v}$ -ω, παιδεύ-ω, παιδεύ-ω, μίν-ω, πείθ-ω, φεύγ-ω, and the denominative verbs  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ -ω,  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon}$ -ω,  $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}$ . For the personal endings, see 463 ff. For the derivation of many of these verbs, see 522.
- **500.** The final vowel of the verb-stem is long in the present indicative, but either long or short in the other tense-stems, of the following verbs in  $-\nu\omega$  or  $-\iota\omega$ .
- 1. **a.** Verbs in  $-v\omega$  generally have  $\bar{v}$  in Attic in the present; as λόω loose, δόω go under, θόω sacrifice (almost always), φόω make grow (usually). Also in ἀλόω, ἀρτόω, βρενθόομαι, γηρόομαι, δακρόω (once  $\check{v}$ ), ἱδρόω, ἰσχόω, καττόω, κυτόω,

**<sup>500.</sup>** 1. **D.** Homer has short v in ἀλόω, ἀνόω, βρύω, δύω, ἐρύω, ἡμύω, τανύω, φύω, and in all denominative verbs except ἐρητόοντο and ἐπῖθἑονοι, where  $\bar{v}$  is metrically necessary; long v in ξύω,  $\pi\tau$ ύω,  $\bar{v}$ ω; anceps in θὕω sacrifice ( $\bar{v}$  doubt-

- κωκόω, κωλόω (usually), μηνόω, ὀπόω (ὀπυίω), πτόω, ῥόομαι, στόομαι, τρύω, τέι; possibly in εἰλύομαι, ἠμύω, μύω, ξύω, φλύω; ἐλινύω, μηρύομαι, πληθύω (once τ), φῖτύω. ἀρύω  $(\tilde{v})$  is doubtful.
- b.  $-v\omega$  has v short in  $dv\omega\omega$ ,  $d\rho\omega\omega$ ,  $β\rho\omega\omega$ , κλύω (but κλ $\hat{v}\theta\iota$ ),  $μεθ\omega\omega$ , and in all verbs in  $-v\omega\omega$ .
- 2. Attic has  $\bar{\iota}$  in primitive verbs in  $-\iota\omega$ , as  $\pi\rho t\omega$ ,  $\chi\rho t\omega$ ,  $\chi\lambda t\omega$ , but  $\bar{\iota}$  in  $\tau t\omega$ . Denominative verbs have  $\bar{\iota}$ ; but  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta t\omega$ .
- **501.** Several verbs with medial  $\bar{\iota}$ ,  $\bar{v}$  in the present, show  $\bar{\iota}$  or  $\bar{\iota}$ ,  $\bar{v}$  or  $\bar{v}$  in some other tense or tenses. Thus,  $\theta \lambda i \beta \omega$  press  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \iota \phi a$ ,  $\pi \dot{\nu} i \gamma \omega$  choke  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \nu i \gamma \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \rho i \beta \omega$  rub  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota \phi a$   $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota \phi \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta} \phi \omega$  raise smoke  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\nu} \phi \eta \nu$ ,  $\psi \dot{v} \chi \omega$  cool  $\dot{\epsilon} \psi \dot{v} \chi \eta \nu$ .
- **502.** Verb-stems having the weak grades a,  $\iota$ , v, show the strong grades  $\eta$ ,  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\epsilon v$  in the present; as  $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$  ( $\tau \ddot{\alpha} \kappa -$ ) melt,  $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\iota} \pi \omega$  ( $\lambda \iota \pi -$ ) leave,  $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \omega$  ( $\phi \nu \gamma$ -) flee.
- a. Το this class belong also λήθω, σήπω, τέθηπα am astonished, 2 aor. ἔταφον, ἀλείφω, (δέδοικα, 703), εἴκω (ἔοικα), (εἴωθα, 563 a), ἐρείκω, ἐρείπω, πείθω, στείβω, στείχω, φείδομαι; ἐρεύγομαι, κεύθω, πεύθομαι, τεύχω.
- 503. Present Stems in  $-\epsilon\%$  for  $\epsilon\nu\%$ -. The strong form  $\epsilon\nu$  before the thematic vowel became  $\epsilon_F$  ( $\epsilon y$ ) and then  $\epsilon$  (20 a, 43) in the verbs  $\theta \epsilon \omega$  run  $\theta \epsilon \omega$  rou  $\epsilon \omega$  swim  $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu \sigma a$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$  sail  $\epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma a$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$  breathe  $\epsilon \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \sigma a$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$  how  $\epsilon \omega$  pour  $\epsilon \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa a$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa a$
- **504.** (II) Presents without the thematic vowel (μ-verbs). The personal ending is added directly to the verb-stem, which is often reduplicated. The verb-stem shows different vowel grades, strong forms  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$  in the singular, weak forms  $\epsilon$  (α),  $\epsilon$  in the dual and plural. Thus  $\tau$ ί-θη-μι,  $\tau$ ί-θε-μεν;  $\tilde{\iota}$ -στη-μι for  $\epsilon$ ι-στη-μι (=  $\epsilon$ ι-στ $\tilde{\iota}$ -μι),  $\tilde{\iota}$ -στ $\epsilon$ -μεν; δί-δω-μι, δί-δο-μεν.
- a. All verbs in  $\mu$  (enumerated 723 ff) belong to this class except those in  $-\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  (523 f) and  $-\nu\eta\mu$  (523 g).

## SECOND OR T CLASS (VERBS IN $-\pi\tau\omega$ )

**505.** The present stem is formed by adding  $-\tau$ %- to the verbstem, which ends in  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ , or  $\phi$ . The verb-stem is ascertained from the second agrist (if there is one) or from a word from the same root.

ful),  $\theta t \omega$  rush on, rage,  $\lambda v \omega$  (rarely  $\lambda v \omega$ ),  $\pi o i \pi v i \omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho} t o \mu a \iota$ . Pindar has v short in  $\theta t \omega$  sacrifice,  $t \sigma \chi v \omega$ ,  $\lambda v \omega$ ,  $\mu a v v \omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho} t \omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho} t o \mu a \iota$ , in presents in - $v v \omega$ , and in denominative verbs.

<sup>2.</sup> Hom. has  $\bar{\iota}$  in the primitives miomal and  $\chi\rho i\omega$ ; but  $\tau t\omega$  and  $\tau i\omega$  ( $\tau \epsilon i\omega$ ?); - $\bar{\iota}\omega$  in denominatives (except  $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu i\epsilon$  B 769).  $\kappa o\nu i\omega$ , diomal are from  $\kappa o\nu i(\sigma)$ - $\bar{\iota}\omega$ , di( $\sigma$ )- $\bar{\iota}o\mu a\iota$ .

<sup>3.</sup> Where Attic has  $\bar{v}$ ,  $\bar{\iota}$  in the present, and Epic  $\check{v}$ ,  $\check{\iota}$ , the former are due to the influence of  $\bar{v}$ ,  $\bar{\iota}$  in the future and agrist.

<sup>503</sup> D. These verbs end in  $-\epsilon v\omega$  in Aeolic ( $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu}\omega$  etc.). Epic  $\pi \lambda \epsilon l\omega$ ,  $\pi \nu \epsilon l\omega$  have  $\epsilon \iota$  by metrical lengthening (28 D.).

```
κόπτω cut.
                      verb-stem κοπ-
                                                     in 2 aor. pass. \dot{\epsilon}-\kappa \dot{o}\pi-\eta \nu.
βλάπτω injure, "
                             44
                                      βλαβ-
                                                     44
                                                          66
                                                                  '' ἐ-βλάβ-ην.
                        66
                               "
καλύπτω cover.
                                      καλυβ-
                                                                          καλύβ-η hut.
ρίπτω throw,
                        "
                                      \delta\iota\phi-, \delta\bar{\iota}\phi- " 2 aor. pass. \dot{\epsilon}-\rho\rho\iota\phi-\eta\nu.
```

- a. ἀστράπτω lighten, χαλέπτω oppress may be from -πιω (117, 507).
- **506.** Some of the verbs of this class add  $\epsilon$  in the present or other tenses, as  $\delta \bar{\iota} \pi \tau \epsilon \omega$  throw,  $\pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \omega$  comb,  $\tau \delta \pi \tau \omega$  strike  $\tau \upsilon \pi \eta \sigma \omega$ .

#### THIRD OR IOTA CLASS

507. The present stem is formed by adding -½%- to the verb-stem and by making the necessary euphonic changes (109–116).

#### I. PRESENTS IN -ζω

- 508. Dental Verb-stems. Verb-stems in δ unite with  $\iota$  to form presents in - $\zeta \omega$  (116), as  $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$  tell ( $\phi \rho \alpha \delta \cdot \iota \omega$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$  hope ( $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \iota \delta$ ), κομίζω carry (κομιδ-ή a carrying),  $\ddot{\delta} \zeta \omega$  smell ( $\ddot{\delta} \delta \cdot \mu \dot{\eta}$  odour), καθέζομαι seat myself ( $\ddot{\epsilon} \delta \cdot \sigma$ ) seat).
- a.  $\sigma \psi \zeta \omega$  save (for  $\sigma \omega \iota \zeta \omega$ ) forms its tenses partly from the verb-stem  $\sigma \omega$ , partly from the verb-stem  $\sigma \omega \iota$ .
- 509. Stems in  $\gamma$ .—Some verbs in  $\zeta \omega$  are derived from stems in  $\gamma$  preceded by a vowel; as  $\delta \rho \pi \delta \zeta \omega$  seize for  $\delta \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \mu \omega$  (cp.  $\delta \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \omega$  seizure),  $\kappa \rho \delta \zeta \omega$  cry out (2 aor.  $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \alpha \gamma \sigma \nu$ ). See 116, other examples 623  $\gamma$  III.
- a.  $\nu i \zeta \omega$  wash makes its other tenses from the verb-stem  $\nu i \beta$  (fut.  $\nu i \psi \omega$ , cp. Hom.  $\nu i \pi \tau o \mu a \iota$ ).
- **510.** A few verbs with stems in  $\gamma \gamma$  lose one  $\gamma$  and have presents in  $-\zeta \omega$ ; as  $\kappa \lambda \dot{a} \zeta \omega$  scream ( $\kappa \lambda \dot{a} \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ), fut.  $\kappa \lambda \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\xi} \omega$ ;  $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$  sound the trumpet  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{a} \lambda \pi i \gamma \dot{\xi} a$  (also  $\lambda \dot{\iota} \zeta \omega$  sob,  $\pi \lambda \dot{a} \zeta \omega$  cause to wander).
  - **511.**  $\dot{\rho}$ εγχω,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ργχω yield  $\dot{\rho}$ είζω do (poetic) and  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ρδω (Ionic and poetic). See 116.
- 512. Most verbs in  $-\zeta \omega$  are not formed from stems in  $\delta$  or  $\gamma$ , but are due to analogy. See 516, 623  $\gamma$  III, 866. 6.

#### II. PRESENTS IN -ττω (IONIC AND LATER ATTIC -σσω, 78)

513. Palatal Verb-stems. — Stems ending in  $\kappa$  or  $\chi$  unite with  $\chi$  to form presents in  $-\tau\tau\omega$  ( $-\sigma\sigma\omega$ ).

φυλάττω guard from φυλακ-μω (φυλακ-ή guard (112)); κηρόττω proclaim from κηρῦκ-ιω (κῆρυξ, κήρῦκ-ος); ταράττω disturb from ταραχ-ιω (ταραχ-ή confusion).

a. πέττω cook is for πεκ-ιω; all other tenses are made from πεπ-.

**<sup>508</sup> D.** Aeolic has  $-\sigma \delta \omega$  for  $-\xi \omega$ .

- **514.** Several verbs showing forms in  $\gamma$  seem to unite  $\gamma$  with  $\iota$  to form presents in  $-\tau\tau\omega$  ( $-\sigma\sigma\omega$ .) Thus ἀλλάττω change, μάττω knead, πλήττω strike (with the 2 aorists passive ἡλλάγ-ην, ἐμάγ-ην, ἐπλήγ-ην), πράττω do (2 perf. πέπρᾶγα, 571), τάττω arrange (τᾶγ-όs commander).
- a. So δράττομαι grasp, νάττω compress (515 b), νύττω push, πτύσσω fold, σάττω load, σῦρίττω pipe, σφάττω kill, φράττω fence. πράττω has the late perf. πέπρᾶχα.
- 515. Some presents in  $-\tau\tau\omega$   $(-\sigma\sigma\omega)$  are formed from stems in  $\tau$ ,  $\theta$  like those from  $\kappa$ ,  $\chi$ .

Poet, έρέσσω row (έρέτ-ης rower) aor. ήρεσα; poet. κορύσσω arm (κόρυς κόρυθ-ος helmet), imperf. έκόρυσσε.

- a. So also βλίττω take honey, πάττω sprinkle, πτίττω pound, and perhaps πλάττω form; also ἀφάσσω Hdt., and poetic ἰμάσσω, λαφύσσω, λίσσομαι.
- b. νάττω compress (ναγ-, ναδ-) έναξα, νένασμαι and νέναγμαι. Cp. 514 a.
- **516.** Formations by Analogy. —a. As  $\gamma + \iota$  and  $\delta + \iota$  unite to form  $\zeta$ , none of the verbs in  $-\tau\tau\omega$  can be derived from  $-\gamma\iota\omega$  or  $-\delta\iota\omega$ . Since the future and aorist of verbs in  $-\zeta\omega$  might often seem to be derived from stems in  $\kappa$ ,  $\chi$ , or  $\tau$ ,  $\theta$ , uncertainty arose as to these tenses: thus the future  $\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$  ( $\sigma\phi\alpha\gamma-\sigma\omega$ ) from Epic  $\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$  slay ( $\sigma\phi\alpha\gamma-\iota\omega$ ) was confused in formation with  $\phi\upsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$  ( $\phi\upsilon\lambda\alpha\kappa-\sigma\omega$ ), and a present  $\sigma\phi\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega$  was constructed like  $\phi\upsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega$ . Similarly, Attic  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\omega$  ( $-\upsilon\mu\alpha\iota$ ) for Epic  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\xi\omega$ ; and so in place of (poetic)  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\mu\dot{\delta}\zeta\omega$  fit ( $\dot{\alpha}\rho\mu\omega\delta$ -) the form  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\mu\dot{\delta}\tau\tau\omega$  was constructed.

#### III. LIQUID AND NASAL STEMS

- **517.** (I) Presents in  $-\lambda\lambda\omega$  are formed from verb-stems in  $\lambda$ , to which  $\mu$  is assimilated (110). Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$  announce  $(\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda-\mu\omega)$ ,  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$  send  $(\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda-\mu\omega)$ .
- 518. (II) Presents in  $-a\nu\omega$  and  $-a\nu\rho\omega$  are formed from verb-stems in  $-a\nu$  and  $-a\rho$ , the  $\mu$  being thrown back to unite with the vowel of the verb-stem (111). Thus,  $\phi\alpha'\nu\omega$  show  $(\phi\alpha\nu-\mu\omega)$ , oropaire name (oropav- $\mu\omega$ ),  $\chi\alpha'\rho\omega$  rejoice  $(\chi\alpha\rho-\mu\omega)$ .
- a. Many verbs add  $-i\omega$  to the weak form of the stem, as δνομαίν-ω for δνομαν- $i\omega$  from δνομχ- $i\omega$ , cp. nomen (35 b).
- b. Hom. has κῦδαίνω and κῦδάνω honour, μελαίνω blacken and μελάνω grow black. δλισθαίνω slip is late for δλισθάνω.
- c. The ending -airw has been attached, by analogy, in  $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu a i r \omega$  make hot, etc. (620 III, 866.7). Likewise  $-\bar{\nu} r \omega$  (519) in poetic aptorw prepare, parallel to aptow (in composition), by analogy to  $\beta a \rho \delta r \omega$  weigh down,  $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta r \omega$  sweeten.
- 516 D. Homer has many cases of this confusion; as  $\pi$ ολεμίζω ( $\pi$ ολεμιδ-) but  $\pi$ ολεμίξω. In Doric the  $\xi$  forms from -ζω verbs are especially common, as  $\chi$ ωρίζω separate,  $\chi$ ωριξῶ, ἐχώριξα.  $\pi$ αίζω sport has (låte) ἔπαιξα.

**519.** (III) Presents in  $-\epsilon\iota\nu\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota\rho\omega$ ,  $-\bar{\iota}\nu\omega$ ,  $-\bar{\iota}\rho\omega$ ,  $-\bar{\upsilon}\nu\omega$ , and  $-\bar{\iota}\rho\omega$  are formed from stems in  $\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\bar{\iota}\nu$ ,  $\bar{\iota}\rho$ ,  $\bar{\upsilon}\nu$ ,  $\bar{\upsilon}\rho$  with  $\underline{\iota}\%$ - added. Here  $\underline{\iota}$  disappears and the vowel preceding  $\nu$  or  $\rho$  is lengthened by compensation ( $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon\iota$ ;  $\iota$  to  $\bar{\iota}$ ;  $\nu$  to  $\bar{\upsilon}$ ). See 37 a, 111.

τείνω stretch (τεν- $\dot{}$ ιω), φθείρω destroy (φθερ-), κρίνω (κριν-), οἰκτίρω pity (οἰκτιρ-) generally written οἰκτείρω, ἀμόνω ward off (ἀμυν-), μαρτόρομαι call to witness (μαρτυρ-).

- a.  $\delta \phi \epsilon l \lambda \omega$  ( $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda$ -) ove, am obliged is formed like  $\tau \epsilon l \nu \omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \epsilon l \rho \omega$  in order to distinguish it from  $\delta \phi \epsilon l \lambda \omega$  ( $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda$ -) increase formed regularly. Hom, has usually Aeolic  $\delta \phi \epsilon l \lambda \omega$  in the sense of  $\delta \phi \epsilon l \lambda \omega$ .  $\delta \epsilon l \rho \omega$   $\delta \epsilon l \rho \omega$   $\delta \epsilon l \rho \omega$  ( $\delta \epsilon \rho \omega$ ) is parallel to  $\delta \epsilon \rho \omega$  (499).
- **520.** Verb-stems in -av- for (av, -af-). Two verbs with verb-stems in -av have presents in -au from -alfw out of -af- $1\omega$  (38 a):  $\kappa$ alw burn ( $\kappa$ av-,  $\kappa$ af-), fut.  $\kappa$ av- $\sigma$ w; and  $\kappa$ alw weep ( $\kappa$ \av-,  $\kappa$ \alphaf-), fut.  $\kappa$ \av- $\sigma$ \alpha\alpha. Others 624 b.
- a. Attic prose often has κάω and κλάω, derived from αις before ει (κάεις, and, with ā extended to the 1 person, κάω). Cp. 396.
- **521.** Addition of  $\epsilon$ . The following verbs add  $\epsilon$  in one or more tense-stems other than the present:  $\beta \delta \lambda \lambda \omega$  throw,  $\kappa \alpha \theta i \zeta \omega$  sit,  $\kappa \lambda \alpha i \omega$  weep,  $\delta \zeta \omega$  smell,  $\delta \phi \epsilon i \lambda \omega$  owe, an obliged,  $\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$  rejoice.
- 522. Contracted Verbs and Some Verbs in  $-\iota \omega$ ,  $-\upsilon \omega$ . a. Verbs in  $-\alpha \omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \omega$ , which for convenience have been treated under the first class, properly belong here,  $\underline{\iota}$  ( $\underline{\nu}$ ) having been lost between vowels. Thus,  $\tau \overline{\iota} \underline{\nu} \underline{\mu} \underline{\omega}$  from  $\tau \overline{\iota} \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega} \underline{\iota} \underline{\omega}$  ( $\tau \overline{\iota} \underline{\nu} \underline{a} \underline{\omega}$ ),  $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$  ( $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$ ),  $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$  ( $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$ ),  $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$  from  $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$ ). So in denominatives, as poetic  $\iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\nu} \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$  an wroth ( $\iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\nu} \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$ ),  $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$  sow ( $\delta \iota \underline{\nu} \underline{\nu} \underline{\omega}$ ). Primitives in  $-\iota \underline{\omega}$ ,  $-\iota \underline{\omega}$  are of uncertain origin. Cp. 608, 624.
  - N. The rare spellings  $d\lambda v l\omega$ ,  $\theta v l\omega$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \theta v l\omega$ ,  $\phi v l\omega$  indicate their origin from  $-\iota \omega$ .
- b. So with stems in long vowels:  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}$  do from  $\delta\rho\bar{a}_{-i}\omega$ ,  $\hat{\zeta}\hat{\omega}$  live from  $\hat{\zeta}\eta_{-i}\omega$  (cp.  $\hat{\zeta}\hat{\eta}\theta\iota$ ),  $\chi\rho\hat{\omega}$  give oracles from  $\chi\rho\eta_{-i}\omega$  (2 pers.  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}s$ , 394).

#### FOURTH OR N CLASS

- 523. The present stem of the N class is formed from the verbstem by the addition of a suffix containing  $\nu$ .
  - **a**. -v%- is added: δάκ-νω bite,  $\tau \in \mu$ -νω cut.
- So δόνω, κάμνω, πίνω, πίτνω poet., τίνω, φθάνω, φθίνω.
  - b. -aν%- is added : aiσθ-άν-ομαι perceive, άμαρτ-άν-ω err.
- So αὐξάνω, βλαστάνω, δαρθάνω, ἀπεχθάνομαι, οἰδάνω, ὀλισθάνω, οφλισκάνω (526).
- - **d.**  $-\nu\epsilon\%$  is added:  $\beta\bar{\nu}$ - $\nu\epsilon$ - $\omega$  stop up (also  $\beta$ νω), iκ- $\nu\epsilon$ -o- $\mu$ αι come (also tκω),

**<sup>519</sup> D.** Aeolic has here -εννω, -ερρω, -ιννω, -ιρρω, -υννω, -υρρω (37 D. 3); for κτείνω, it has κταίνω; cp. Doric φθαίρω for φθείρω.

κυ-νέ-ω kiss, αμπ-ισχ-νέ-ο-μαι have on, υπ-ισχ-νέ-ο-μαι promise (cp. l-σχ-ω for  $\sigma_l$ - $\sigma_l$ -ω, 493 a).

e. -υν%- is added : ϵλαύνω drive for ϵλα-νυ-ω.

- f. -νυ (-ννυ after a short vowel) is added (second class of μ-verbs, 414):  $\delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  show ( $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu$ , present stem  $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \bar{\nu} \nu$ , ζεύγ-ν $\bar{\nu} \mu$  yoke (ζευγ-), δλλ $\bar{\nu} \mu$  destroy (for  $\delta \lambda \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$ , 77 a);  $\kappa \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  mix ( $\kappa \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  scatter ( $\sigma \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$ ). Others 729 ff. Some of these verbs have presents in -νω (746).
- N. 1. The forms in  $-\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  spread from  $\ell\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\sigma\beta\ell\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ , which are derived from  $\ell\sigma$ - $\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\sigma\beta\epsilon\sigma$ - $\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ .
- N. 2. Some verbs in  $-\nu\omega$  are formed from  $-\nu_F\%$  for  $-\nu\psi\%$ —; as Hom.  $\tau t \nu\omega$ ,  $\phi \theta t \nu\omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \theta t \nu\omega$ ,  $\delta \nu\omega$ , etc. dropped the  $\rho$ .
- g. -va, -va are added (third class of  $\mu$ -verbs 412); as in (poetic)  $\delta \alpha \mu$ -va- $\mu$  I conquer,  $\delta \alpha \mu$ -va- $\mu$  e v v e conquer ( $\delta \alpha \mu$ -), and in  $\sigma \kappa t \delta$ -v $\eta$ - $\mu$  (rare in prose for  $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha v \bar{\nu} \mu$ ) scatter. The verbs of this class are chiefly poetic (Epic), and most have alternative forms in - $\alpha \omega$ . See 737.

In two further divisions there is a transition to the Iota Class.

- h. -in%- for -n-1% is added: balnw go (ba-n-1 $\omega$ ), kerdalnw gain (kerda-n-1 $\omega$ ), tetralnw bore (tetra-n-1 $\omega$ ). So poetic falnw sprinkle. For the added n, cp. dak-n- $\omega$  (523 a). See 518 a.
- i. -auv%- for aν-1% is added: δσφραίνομαι smell (δσφραν-10μαι), Hom. άλιταίνομαι sin (also άλιτραίνω). See 518 a.
- **524.** A short vowel of the verb-stem is lengthened in the case of some verbs to form one or more of the tense-stems other than the present. Thus,  $\lambda a\mu\beta \dot{a}\nu\omega$  ( $\lambda a\beta$ -) take  $\lambda\dot{\eta}\psi$ 0 $\mu$ 0 ( $\lambda \eta\beta$ -);  $\delta\dot{a}\kappa\nu\omega$  ( $\delta\dot{a}\kappa$ -) bite  $\delta\dot{\eta}\xi\omega$  ( $\delta\eta\kappa$ -). So  $\lambda a\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\lambda a\nu\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\tau v\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\pi vv\theta\dot{a}\nu0\mu$ 0 ( $\pi v\theta$ -) inquire, fut.  $\pi\epsilon\dot{v}\sigma0\mu$ 0 ( $\pi\epsilon v\theta$ -).
- a. ζεόγνῦμι yoke, πήγνῦμι fasten, ῥήγνῦμι break have the strong grade in all tenses except the 2 pass. system. μείγνῦμι mix (commonly written μίγνῦμι) has μἴγ- only in the 2 perf. and 2 pass. systems.
- b. δμννμι swear has δμο- in all systems except the present and future, as ὅμοσα, δμώμοκα, but fut. δμοῦμαι from δμεομαι.

## FIFTH OR INCEPTIVE CLASS (VERBS IN -σκω)

- **526.** The present stem is formed by adding the suffix  $-\sigma\kappa\%$  to the verb-stem if it ends in a vowel;  $-\iota\sigma\kappa\%$  if it ends in a consonant. Thus,  $d\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  please,  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\rho$ - $\iota\sigma\kappa\omega$  find.
- a. This class is called *inceptive* (or *inchoative*) because some of the verbs belonging to it have the sense of *beginning* or *becoming* (cp. Lat. -sco); as  $\gamma \eta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$  grow old. But very few verbs have this meaning.
- b. In θνήσκω die, μιμνήσκω remind, -ισκω was later added to verb-stems ending in a vowel. The older forms are θνήσκω, μιμνήσκω.

- c. The verb-stem is often reduplicated in the present; as γι-γνώ-σκω know, βι-βρώ-σκω eat, δι-δρά-σκω run away. Poetic άρ-αρ-lσκω fit, poetic άπ-αφ-lσκω deceive, have the form of Attic reduplication. μίσγω may stand for μι-(μ)σγω.
- d. A stop consonant is dropped before  $-\sigma\kappa\omega$  (99); as  $\delta\iota$ - $\delta\alpha(\kappa)$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  teach (cp.  $\delta\iota$ - $\delta\alpha\kappa$ - $\tau\delta$ s),  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\nu}(\kappa)$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  avoid,  $\lambda\dot{\alpha}(\kappa)$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  speak. πάσχω suffer is for  $\pi\alpha(\theta)$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  (126).
- e. The present stem often shows the strong grades  $\omega$  (weak o) and  $\bar{a}$  or  $\eta$  (weak a). See b, c. Weak grades appear in  $\phi d\sigma \kappa \omega$  say,  $\beta b\sigma \kappa \omega$  feed.
  - f. On the iteratives in  $-\sigma\kappa\omega$  see 495.
- ${\bf 527}$ . The following verbs belong to this class (poetic and Ionic forms are starred):
- a. Vowel stems: ἀλδήσκω\* (ἀλδη-), ἀναβιώσκομαι\* (βιο-), ἀρέσκω (ἀρε-), βάσκω\* (βα- for βυ-, 35 b), βιβρώσκω (βρο-), βλώσκω\* (μολ-, μλο-, βλο-, 130 D.), βόσκω (βο-), γενειάσκω (cp. γενειάω), γηράσκω (γηρα-), γιγνώσκω (γνο-), δεδίσκομαι frighten, διδράσκω (δρα-), ήβάσκω (ήβα-), ήλάσκω\* (ήλα-), θνήσκω (θαν-, θνα-), θρώσκω\* (θορ-, θρο-), ἱλάσκομαι (ἱλα-), κικλήσκω\* (καλε-, κλη-), κυΐσκομαι\* (κυ-), μεθύσκω (μεθυ-), μιμνήσκω (μνα-), πιπίσκω\* (πι-), πιπράσκω (πρα-), πινύσκω\* (πινυ-), πιφαύσκω\* (φαυ-), τιτρώσκω (τρο-), φάσκω (φα-), χάσκω\* (χα-).
- b. Consonant stems: ἀλίσκομαι (άλ-ο-), ἀλύσκω\* (ἀλυκ-), ἀμβλίσκω (ἀμβλ- ἀμβλο-), ἀμπλακίσκω\* (ἀμπλακ-), ἀνᾶλίσκω (ἀν-ᾶλ-ο-), ἀπαφίσκω\* (ἀπ-αφ-), ἀραρίσκω\* (ἀρ-), δεδίσκομαι\* welcome (δε-δικ-) and δηδίσκομαι (usually written δείδ-) welcome, διδάσκω (διδαχ-), ἐίσκω (ἐικ-), ἐπαυρίσκω\* (αὐρ-), εὐρίσκω (εὐρ-ε-), λάσκω\* (λακ-), μίσγω\* (μιγ-), δφλισκάνω (δφλ-ε-), πάσχω (παθ-), στερίσκω (στερ-ε-), τιτύσκομαι\* (τι-τυκ-), ὑλάσκω\* (ὑλακ-), χρητσκομαι\* (χρη-).
- **528.** Addition of  $\epsilon$  and  $\mathbf{0}$ .  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$  deprive (cp.  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \omega$ ) makes all the other tense-stems from  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon$ -;  $\epsilon i \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega$  has  $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon$  except in the present and 2 arrist.  $\dot{a}\lambda i \sigma \kappa \rho \mu \omega$  am captured ( $\dot{a}\lambda$ -) adds o in other tense-stems.

#### SIXTH OR MIXED CLASS

- 529. This class includes some irregular verbs, one or more of whose tense-stems are quite different from others, as Eng. am, was, be, Lat. sum, fui. For the full list of forms see the List of Verbs.
  - 1. αίρεω (αίρε-, έλ-) take, fut. αίρήσω, ήρηκα, etc., 2 aor. είλον.
  - εἶδον (ριδ-, ιδ-) saw, vidi, 2 aorist (with no present act.); 2 pf. οἶδα know (794). Middle εἴδομαι (poetic). εἶδον is used as 2 aor. of ὁράω (see below).
  - εἶπον (εἰπ-, ἐρ-, ῥε-) spoke, 2 aor. (no pres.); fut. (ἐρέω) ἐρῶ, perf. εἴ-ρη-κα, εἴρημαι, aor. pass. ἐρρήθην. The stem ἐρ- is for ϝερ-, seen in Lat. ver-bum. (Cp. 492.) ῥε- is for ϝρε, hence εἴρημαι for ϝε-ϝρη-μαι.
  - ἔρχομαι (ἐρχ-, ἐλευθ-, ἐλυθ-, ἐλθ-), go. Fut. ἐλεύσομαι (usually poet.), 2 perf. ἐλήλυθα, 2 aor. ἢλθον. The Attic future is εἶμ shall go (774). The imperf. and the moods of the pres. other than the indic. use the forms of εἶμ.

<sup>526</sup> c. D. Hom. has  $\epsilon$ iσκω liken for  $f \in f(\kappa)$ -σκω, also ίσκω from  $f(\kappa)$ -σκω, τιτύ $(\kappa)$ -σκομαι prepare,  $\delta \epsilon$ - $\delta l(\kappa)$ -σκομαι welcome.

530

- ξσθίω (ἐσθ-, ἐδ-, φαγ-) eat, fut. ἔδομαι (541), pf. ἐδήδοκα, -ἐδήδεσμαι, ἠδέσθην,
   2 aor. ἔφαγον.
- δράω (όρα-, όπ-, ριδ-) see, fut. δψομαι, perf. έωρᾶκα or ἐόρᾶκα, perf. mid. ἐωρᾶμαι or ἄμμαι (ἀπ-μαι), ἄφθην, 2 aor. είδον (see 2 above).
- πάσχω (παθ-, πενθ-) suffer, fut. πείσομαι for πενθ-σομαι (100), 2 pf. πέπονθα,
   2 aor. ἔπαθον. (See 526 d.)
- 8. πtνω (πι-, πο-) drink, from πt-ν-ω (523 a), fut. πtομαι (541), pf. πέπωκα, 2 aor. έπιον, imp. πίθι (466. 1, a, 687).
- 9. τρέχω (τρεχ- for θρεχ- (125 g), δραμ-, δραμε-) run, fut. δραμούμαι, pf. δεδράμηκα, 2 αοτ. ἔδραμον.
- φέρω (φερ-, οἰ-, ἐνεκ-, by reduplication and syncope ἐν-ενεκ and ἐνεγκ-) bear; fut.
   οἴσω, aor. ἤνεγκα, perf. ἐν-ήνοχ-α (446, 478), ἐν-ήνεγ-μαι, aor. pass. ἠνέχθην.
- ώνέομαι (ώνε-, πρια-) buy, fut. ώνήσομαι, perf. ἐώνημαι, ἐωνήθην. For ἐωνησάμην the form ἐπριάμην is used.
- **530.** Apart from the irregularities of Class VI, some verbs may, by the formation of the verb-stem, belong to more than one class, as βαίνω (III, IV), δσφραίνομαι (III, IV), δφλισκάνω (IV, V).
- **531.** Many verbs have alternative forms, often of different classes, as κῦδάνω κῦδαίνω honour, ἴκω ἰκἇνω come, μελάνω grow black, μελαίνω (μελαν-μω) blacken, κλάζω (κλαγγ-) κλαγγ-άν-ω scream, σφάζω σφάττω slay (516). Cp. also ἀνύω ἀνύτω accomplish, ἀρύω ἀρύτω draw water, Hom. ἐρῦκω, ἐρῦκάνω, ἐρῦκανάω restrain. Cp. 866. 10.

#### II. FUTURE SYSTEM

## (FUTURE ACTIVE AND MIDDLE)

- 532. Many, if not all, future forms in  $\sigma$  are in reality subjunctives of the first aorist.  $\lambda \delta \sigma \omega$ ,  $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \omega$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \iota \psi \omega$ ,  $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega$  are alike future indicative and aorist subjunctive in form. In poetry and in some dialects there is no external difference between the future indicative and the aorist subjunctive when the latter has (as often in Hom.) a short mood-sign (457 D.); e.g., Hom.  $\beta \eta \sigma \sigma \mu e \nu$ , duely  $\psi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ , Ionic inscriptions  $\pi \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ .
- 533. The future stem is formed by adding the tense-suffix  $-\sigma\%$ -in liquid stems, 535) to the verb-stem :  $\lambda$ -σω, I shall (or will) loose,  $\lambda$ νσομαι; θή-σω from  $\tau$ ί-θη-μι place; δείξω from δείκ-νν-μι show.
- a. In verbs showing strong and weak grades (476) the ending is added to the strong stem:  $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i \psi \omega$ ,  $\tau \eta \kappa \omega \tau \eta \xi \omega$ ,  $\pi \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \nu \mu \omega$  (503),  $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \delta \omega \omega$ .
- **534.** Vowel Verbs. Verb-stems ending in a short vowel lengthen the vowel before the tense suffix ( $\alpha$  to  $\eta$  except after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ ). Thus,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ;  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ ;  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ .
  - a. On χράω give oracles, χράομαι use, ἀκροάομαι hear, see 487 a.
  - b. For verbs retaining a short final vowel, see 488.
  - 534 D. Doric and Aeolic always lengthen a to ā (τῖμάσω).
- b. In verbs with stems originally ending in  $-\sigma$  Hom. often has  $\sigma\sigma$  in the future : ἀνύω ἀνύσσεσθαι, τελέω τελέσσω; by analogy δλλῦμι δλέσσω (and δλέσω, δλεῖται).

535. Liquid Verbs. — Verb-stems ending in  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ , add  $-\epsilon\sigma\%$ -; then  $\sigma$  drops and  $\epsilon$  contracts with the following vowel.

φαίνω (φαν-) show, φανῶ, φανεῖς from φαν-έ(σ)ω, φαν-έ(σ)εις ; στέλλω (στελ-) send, στελοῦμεν, στελεῖτε from στελ-έ(σ)ομεν, στελ-έ(σ)ετε. See p. 128.

- **536.**  $\sigma$  is retained in the poetic forms  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \sigma \omega$  ( $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  land,  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda$ -),  $\kappa \epsilon \rho \sigma \omega$  ( $\kappa \epsilon \rho \omega$  meet,  $\kappa \nu \rho$ -),  $\theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \omega \omega$  ( $\theta \epsilon \rho \omega \omega$  carm myself,  $\theta \epsilon \rho$ -),  $\delta \rho \sigma \omega$  ( $\delta \rho \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \nu \omega$  rouse,  $\delta \rho$ -). So also in the aorist. See  $\delta \rho \rho \omega \omega$  and  $\delta \rho \omega$  in the List of Verbs.
- **537.** Stop Verbs. Labial  $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$  and palatal  $(\kappa, \gamma, \chi)$  stops at the end of the verb-stem unite with  $\sigma$  to form  $\psi$  or  $\xi$ . Dentals  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$  are lost before  $\sigma$  (98).

κόπ-τ-ω (κοπ-) cut, κόψω, κόψομαι; βλάπ-τ-ω (βλαβ-) injure, βλάψω, βλάψομαι; γράφ-ω write, γράψω, γράψομαι; πλέκ-ω weave, πλέξω, πλέξομαι; λέγ-ω say, λέξω, λέξομαι; ταράττω (ταραχ-) disturb, ταράξω, ταράξομαι; φράζω (φραδ-) say, φράσω; πείθω (πιθ-, πειθ-) persuade, πείσω, πείσομαι.

- a. When  $\epsilon$  or o is added to the verb-stem, it is lengthened to  $\eta$  or  $\omega$ : as  $\beta o \psi \lambda o \mu a \iota$  ( $\beta o \psi \lambda \epsilon$ ) wish  $\beta o \psi \lambda \eta \sigma o \mu a \iota$ , also in the first aorist and in other tenses where lengthening is regular.
- 538. Attic Future. Certain formations of the future are called Attic because they occur especially in that dialect in contrast to the later language; they occur also in Homer, Herodotus, and in other dialects.
- **539.** These futures usually occur when  $\sigma$  is preceded by  $\check{\alpha}$  or  $\epsilon$  and these vowels are not preceded by a syllable long by nature or position. Here  $\sigma$  is dropped and  $-\check{\alpha}\omega$  and  $-\check{\epsilon}\omega$  are contracted to  $-\hat{\omega}$ . When  $\iota$  precedes  $\sigma$ , the ending is  $\iota$ - $(\sigma)\check{\epsilon}\omega$  which contracts to  $-\iota\hat{\omega}$ .
- a. καλέω call, τελέω finish drop the  $\sigma$  of καλέσω καλέσομαι, τελέσομαι and the resulting Attic forms are καλώ καλοῦμαι, τελώ (τελοῦμαι poetic).
- b. ἐλαύνω (ἐλα-) drive has Hom. ἐλάω, Attic ἐλῶ. καθέζομαι (καθεδ-) sit has Attic καθεδοῦμαι. μάχομαι (μαχ-ε-) fight has Hom. μαχέσομαι (and μαχήσομαι), Attic μαχοῦμαι. δλλῦμι (ὀλ-ε-) destroy has Hom. ὀλέσω, Attic ὀλῶ.
- c. All verbs in -αννῦμι have futures in -ά(σ)ω, -ῶ. Thus, σκεδάννῦμι (σκεδα-) scatter, poet. σκεδάσω, Attic σκεδῶ. Similarly some verbs in -εννῦμι: ἀμφιέννῦμι (ἀμφιε-) clothe, Epic ἀμφιέσω, Attic ἀμφιῶ; στόρνῦμι (στορ-ε-) spread, late στορέσω, Attic στορῶ.
- d. A very few verbs in  $-a\xi\omega$  have the contracted form.  $\beta:\beta\acute{a}\xi\omega$  ( $\beta:\beta\:a\delta$ -) cause to go usually has Attic  $\beta:\beta\:\hat{\omega}$  from  $\beta:\beta\:\acute{a}\sigma\omega$ . So  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\tau\:\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu=\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\tau\:\acute{a}\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  from  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\tau\:\acute{a}\xi\omega$  examine.
- e. Verbs in  $-\iota \zeta \omega$  of more than two syllables drop  $\sigma$  and insert  $\epsilon$ , thus making  $-\iota(\sigma) \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $-\iota(\sigma) \dot{\epsilon} o \mu a \iota$ , which contract to  $-\iota \hat{\omega}$  and  $-\iota o \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ , as in the Doric future (540).
- 535 D. These futures are often uncontracted in Homer (βαλέω, κτενέειs, ἀγγελέουσιν); regularly in Aeolic; in Hdt. properly only when ε comes before ο or ω.
  - 537 D. Doric has  $-\xi \omega$  from most verbs in  $-\zeta \omega$  (516 D.).
  - 539. b. D. For Hom.  $-\omega$  for  $-\omega$ , see 645.

So νομίζω (νομιδ-) consider makes νομισεω, νομι-εω, νομιῶ and in like manner νομιοῦμαι, both inflected like ποιῶ, ποιοῦμαι. So ἐθιοῦσι, οἰκιοῦντες from ἐθίζω accustom, οἰκίζω colonize. But σχίζω (σχιδ-) split makes σχίσω. νομιῶ etc. are due to the analogy of the liquid verbs.

N. — Such forms in Attic texts as έλάσω,  $\tau$ ελέσω, νομίσω, βιβάσω are erroneous.

540. Doric Future. — Some verbs, which have a future middle with an active meaning, form the stem of the future middle by adding  $-\sigma\epsilon\%$ , and contracting  $-\sigma\epsilon\phi\mu$  to  $-\sigma\circ\hat{\nu}\mu$ . Such verbs (except  $\nu\epsilon\omega$ ,  $\pi\ell\pi\tau\omega$ ) have also the regular future in  $-\sigma\circ\mu$ .

κλαίω (κλαυ-, 520) weep κλαυσούμαι, νέω (νυ-, νευ-) swim νευσούμαι (doubtful), πλέω (πλυ-, πλευ-) sail πλευσούμαι, πνέω (πνυ-, πνευ-) breathe πνευσούμαι, πίπτω (πετ-) fall πεσούμαι, πυνθάνομαι (πυθ-, πευθ-) πευσούμαι (once), φεύγω (φυγ-, φευγ-) φευξούμαι, χέζω (χεδ-) χεσούμαι.

a. The inflection of the Doric future is as follows: -

λῦσῶ, -σοῦμαι λῦσοῦμες, -σούμεθα λῦσῶν, -σούμενος λῦσεῖς, -σ $\hat{\eta}$  λῦσεῖτε, -σεῖσθε λῦσεῖν, -σεῖσθαι λῦσεῖν, -σεῖσθαι

- b. These are called *Doric* futures because Doric usually makes all futures (active and middle) in  $-\sigma \epsilon \omega \sigma \hat{\omega}$ ,  $-\sigma \epsilon \omega \mu \alpha \omega$ .
- c. Attic πεσοῦμαι (Hom. πεσέομαι) from πίπτω fall comes from πετεομαι. Attic ἔπεσον is derived from 2 aor. ἔπετον (Dor. and Aeol.) under the influence of πεσοῦμαι.
- 541. Futures with Present Forms. The following verbs have no future suffix, the future thus having the form of a present:  $\mathring{\epsilon}\delta$ 0 $\mu$ 0 $\mu$ 1. See 529. 5, 8.
- a. These are probably old subjunctives which have retained their future meaning. In έδομαι and πίσμαι the mood-sign is short (457 D.). Hom. has βέσμαι or βείσμαι live, δήω find, κήω (written κείω) lie, έξανόω achieve, έρύω draw, τανόω stretch, and άλεύεται avoid. νέσμαι go is for νεσσμαι.

# III. FIRST (SIGMATIC) AORIST SYSTEM (FIRST AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE)

**542.** The first agrist stem is formed by adding the tense suffix -σa to the verb-stem:  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ -λ $\tilde{v}$ -σa I loosed, λ $\tilde{v}$ σω, λ $\tilde{v}$ σαιμι;  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ -δειξα I showed, from δείκ- $r\tilde{v}$ -μι. See 666.

<sup>539</sup> D. Hom. has ἀεικιῶ, κομιῶ, κτεριῶ; and also τελέω, καλέω, ἐλάω, ἀντιδω, δαμόωσι (645), ἀνύω, ἐρύουσι, τανύουσι. Hdt. always uses the -ιῶ and -ιοῦμαι forms. Homeric futures in -εω have a liquid before ε, and are analogous to the futures of liquid verbs.

**<sup>540</sup> D.** Hom. ἐσσεῖται (and ἔσσεται, ἔσεται, ἔσται). In Doric there are three forms: (1) -σέω (and -σῶ), -σέομαι (and -σοῦμαι); and often with εν from εο as -εῦντι, -εῦμες; (2) -σίω with ι from ε before o and ω; (8) the Attic forms.

**<sup>542</sup>** D. Mixed Aorists. — Hom. has some forms of the first aorist with the thematic vowel (%) of the second aorist; as  $\text{d}\xi\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\text{d}\xi\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$  ( $\text{d}\gamma\omega$  lead),  $\epsilon\beta\eta\sigma\epsilon\tau$ 0,

- a. In verbs showing strong and weak grades (476), the tense-suffix is added to the strong stem: πείθω ἔπεισα, τήκω ἔτηξα, πνέω ἔπνευσα, ἴστημι (στα-, στη-) ἔστησα, ἐστησάμην.
- N. τίθημι ( $\theta\epsilon$ -,  $\theta\eta$ -) place, δίδωμι ( $\delta\epsilon$ -,  $\delta\omega$ -) give, ἤημι ( $\epsilon$ -,  $\eta$ -) send have a orists in -κα ( $\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\eta\kappa\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}\kappa\alpha$  in the singular: with  $\kappa$  rarely in the plural). See 755.
- 543. Vowel Verbs. Verb-stems ending in a vowel lengthen a short final vowel before the tense-suffix (a to  $\eta$  except after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ ). Thus,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \omega \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\iota} \mu \eta \sigma a$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \omega \ \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \bar{\iota} \bar{a} \sigma a$  (431),  $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega \ \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \dot{\iota} \lambda \eta \sigma a$ .
- a.  $\chi \epsilon \omega$  ( $\chi v$ -,  $\chi \epsilon v$ -,  $\chi \epsilon r$ -) pour has the aorists  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tilde{a} \mu \eta \nu$  (Epic  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon v \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon v \tilde{a} \mu \eta \nu$ ) from  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon v \sigma \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon v \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \nu$ .
  - b. For verbs retaining a short final vowel see 488.
- 544. Liquid Verbs. Verb-stems ending in  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$  lose  $\sigma$  and lengthen their vowel in compensation (37): a to  $\eta$  (after  $\iota$  or  $\rho$  to  $\bar{a}$ ),  $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\bar{\iota}$  to  $\bar{\iota}$ ,  $\bar{\nu}$  to  $\bar{\nu}$ .
- φαίνω (φαν-) show, έφηνα for έφανσα; περαίνω (περαν-) finish, έπέρανα for έπερανσα; στέλλω (στελ-) send, έστειλα for έστελσα; κρίνω (κριν-) judge, έκρινα for έκρινσα; άλλομαι (άλ-) leap, ήλάμην for ήλσαμην.
- a. Some verbs in -airω (-ar-) have -āra instead of -ηra; as γλυκαίνω sweeten εγλύκāra. So Ισχναίνω make thin, κερδαίνω gain, κοιλαίνω hollow out, λιπαίνω fatten, δργαίνω be angry, πεπαίνω make ripe. Cp. 30 a.
  - b. The poetic verbs retaining  $\sigma$  in the future (536) retain it also in the agrist.
- c.  $ai\rho\omega$  (d $\rho$ -) raise is treated as if its verb-stem were  $d\rho$  (contracted from  $d\epsilon\rho$  in  $d\epsilon(\rho\omega)$ : aor.  $d\rho$ ,  $d\rho$ 
  - d. ήνεγκα is used as the first agrist of φέρω bear. εἶπα is rare for εἶπον (549).
- **545.** Stop Verbs. Labial  $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$  and palatal  $(\kappa, \gamma, \chi)$  stops at the end of the verb-stem unite with  $\sigma$  to form  $\psi$  or  $\xi$ . Dentals  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$  are lost before  $\sigma$  (cp. 98).

πέμπ-ω send ἔπεμψα, ἐπεμψάμην; βλάπτω (βλαβ-) injure ἔβλαψα; γράφ-ω write ἔγραψα, ἐγραψάμην; πλέκ-ω weave ἔπλεξα, ἐπλεξάμην; λέγ-ω say ἔλεξα; τα-ράττω (ταραχ-) disturb ἐτάραξα, ἐταραξάμην; poetic ἐρέσσω (ἐρετ-) row ἤρεσα; φράζω (φραδ-) tell ἔφρασα, ἐφρασάμην; πείθ-ω (πιθ-, πειθ-, ποιθ-) persuade ἔπεισα.

a. On forms in  $\sigma$  from stems in  $\gamma$  see 516.

imper. βήσεο (βαίνω go), έδόσετο (δύω set), ίξον (ἴκω come), οἶσε, οἴσετε, οἰσέμεν, οἰσέμεναι (φέρω bring), imper. δρσεο rise (ὅρνῦμι rouse).

- 543 a. D. Homeric  $\dot{\eta}$ λευάμην and  $\dot{\eta}$ λεάμην avoided, έκηα burned (Att. έκαυσα), έσσευα drove, also have lost σ.
- 543 b. D. Hom. often has original  $\sigma\sigma$ , as γελάω ἐγέλασσα, τελέω ἐτέλεσσα; in others by analogy, as δλλῦμι δλεσσα, ὅμνῦμι ὅμοσσα, καλέω κάλεσσα.
- 544 D. Hom. has Ionic -ηνα for -ανα after ι or ρ. Aeolic assimilates σ to a liquid; as ξκριννα, ἀπέστελλα, ἐνέμματο, συνέρραισα (= συνείρασα). Cp. Hom. ώφελλε (ὀφέλλω increase).
- 545 D. Hom. often has  $\sigma\sigma$  from dental stems, as εκόμισσα εκομισσάμην (κομίζω). Doric has  $-\xi\alpha$  from most verbs in  $-\zeta\omega$ ; Hom. also has  $\xi$  (ήρπαξε). See 516 D.

#### IV. SECOND AORIST SYSTEM

### (SECOND AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE)

- 546. The second agrist is formed without any tense-suffix and only from the simple verb-stem. Only primitive verbs (372) have second agrists.
- 547. (I)  $\Omega$ -Verbs.  $\Omega$ -verbs make the second agrist by adding %- to the verb-stem, which regularly ends in a consonant. Verbs showing vowel gradations (476) use the weak stem (otherwise there would be confusion with the imperfect).

λείπω (λιπ-, λειπ-) leave ἔλιπον, -έλιπόμην; φεύγω (φυγ-, φευγ-) flee ἔφυγον; πέτομαι fly ἐπτόμην (476 a); λαμβάνω (λαβ-) tuke ἔλαβον.

- **548.** a. Vowel verbs rarely form second acrists, as the irregular  $\alpha i \rho \epsilon \omega$  seize  $(\epsilon l \lambda o \nu, 529.1)$ ,  $\epsilon \sigma \theta l \omega$  eat  $(\epsilon \phi a \gamma o \nu)$ ,  $\delta \rho a \omega$   $(\epsilon l \delta o \nu)$ .  $\epsilon \pi l \omega \nu$  drank  $(\pi l \nu \omega)$  is the only second acrist in prose from a vowel stem and having thematic inflection.
- b. Many  $\omega$ -verbs with stems ending in a vowel have second acrists formed like those of  $\mu$ -verbs. These are enumerated in 687.
- 550. (II) M.-Verbs. The stem of the second agrist of μ-verbs is the verb-stem without any thematic vowel. In the indicative active the strong form of the stem, which ends in a vowel, is regularly employed. The middle uses the weak stem form.

<sup>546</sup> D. Hom. has more second agrists than Attic, which favoured the first agrist. Some derivative verbs have Homeric second agrists classed under them for convenience only, as κτυπέω sound ἔκτυπον; μῦκάομαι τοατ ἔμυκον; στυγέω hate ἔστυγον. These forms are derived from the pure verb-stem (485 d, 553).

<sup>547</sup> D. Hom. often has no thematic vowel in the middle voice of ω-verbs ( $\delta \delta \delta \gamma \mu \eta \nu$  from  $\delta \delta \chi o \mu a \iota receive$ ). See 634, 688.

**<sup>549</sup> D.** (1) Hom. has (ἐ)κέκλετο (κέλο-μαι command), λέλαθον (λήθ-ω lie hid), ἐπέφραδε (φράζω tell), πεπιθεῖν (πείθ-ω persuade). ἡρόκακον (ἐρόκ-ω check), ἡνίπα-πον and ἐνένῖπον (ἐνίπτω chide, ἐνιπ-) have unusual formation. (2) ἐ-πλ-ό-μην (πέλο-μαι am, come, πελ-). (3) ἔπραθον (πέρθ-ω sack), ἔταμον (τέμ-ν-ω cut). (4) βλῆτο (βάλλω hit, 128 a).

ἴ-στη- $\mu$  (στα-, στη-) set, second agrist ἔστην, ἔστης, ἔστης, ἔστητον, ἐστήτην, ἔστημεν, ἔστητε, ἔστησαν; middle  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -θέ- $\mu$ ην from τίθη $\mu$ ι (θε-, θη-) place,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ -δό- $\mu$ ην from δίδω $\mu$ ι (δο-, δω-) give,

- **551.** Originally only the dual and plural showed the weak forms, which are retained in the second acrists of  $\tau i\theta\eta\mu$ ,  $\delta i\delta\omega\mu$ , and  $\dagger\eta\mu$ :  $\check{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\delta o\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\epsilon \bar{\ell}\mu\epsilon\nu$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}-\dot{\epsilon}-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ), and in Hom.  $\beta d\tau\eta\nu$  (also  $\beta \dot{\eta}\tau\eta\nu$ ) from  $\check{\epsilon}\beta\eta\nu$  went. Elsewhere the weak grades have been displaced by the strong grades, which forced their way in from the singular. Thus,  $\check{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\sigma\nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\phi\check{\nu}\nu$  in Pindar (=  $\check{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega-\sigma\alpha\nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\phi\bar{\nu}-\sigma\alpha\nu$ ), which come from  $\check{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\nu(\tau)$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\phi\bar{\nu}\nu(\tau)$  by 40. So Hom.  $\check{\epsilon}\tau\lambda\check{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\beta\check{\alpha}\nu$ . Such 3 pl. forms are rare in the dramatic poets.
- a. For the singular of  $\tau l\theta \eta \mu$ ,  $\delta l\delta \omega \mu$ ,  $\dagger \eta \mu \iota$ , see 755; for the imperatives, 759; for the infinitives, 760.
  - **552.** No verb in  $-\bar{\nu}\mu$  has a second agrist in Attic from the stem in  $\nu$ .
- 553. The difference between an imperfect and an aorist depends formally on the character of the present. Thus  $\xi \phi \eta \nu$  said is called an 'imperfect' of  $\phi \eta \mu i$ : but  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \nu$  stood is a 'second aorist' because it shows a different tense-stem than that of  $l \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ . Similarly  $\xi \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \nu$  is 'imperfect' to  $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ , but  $\xi \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \nu$  'second aorist' to  $\tau l \kappa \tau \omega$  because there is no present  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \omega$ .  $\xi \sigma \tau \iota \chi \sigma \nu$  is imperfect to  $\sigma \tau l \chi \omega$ , but second aorist to  $\sigma \tau \epsilon l \chi \omega$ . Cp. 546 D.

#### NOTE ON THE SECOND AGRIST AND SECOND PERFECT

- 554. a. The second agrist and the second perfect are usually formed only from primitive verbs (372). These tenses are formed by adding the personal endings (inclusive of the thematic or tense vowel) to the verb-stem without any consonant tense-suffix. Cp. ξλιπο-ν with ξλυ-σ-α, ἐτράπ-ην with ἐτρέφ-θ-ην (τρέπω turn), γέ-γραφ-α with λέλυ-κ-α.
- b. The second perfect and second agrist passive are historically older than the corresponding first perfect and first agrist.
- c.  $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \ turn$  is the only verb that has three first agrists and three second agrists (596).
- d. Very few verbs have both the second agrist active and the second agrist passive. In cases where both occur, one form is rare, as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\nu\pi\sigma\nu$  (once in poetry),  $\hat{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\eta\nu$  ( $\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\tau\omega$  strike).
- e. In the same voice both the first and the second aorist (or perfect) are rare, as  $\xi\phi\theta\alpha\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\eta\nu$  ( $\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$  anticipate). When both occur, the first aorist (or perfect) is often transitive, the second aorist (or perfect) is intransitive (819); as  $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\alpha$  I erected, i.e. made stand,  $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\nu$  I stood. In other cases one aorist is used in prose, the other in poetry:  $\xi\pi\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha$ , poet,  $\xi\pi\iota\theta\nu\nu$  ( $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\omega$  persuade); or they occur in different dialects, as Attic  $\xi\tau\dot{\alpha}\phi\eta\nu$ , Ionic  $\xi\dot{\theta}\dot{\alpha}\phi\theta\eta\nu$  ( $\theta\dot{\alpha}\pi\tau\omega$  bury); or one is much later than the other, as  $\xi\lambda\epsilon\iota\psi\alpha$ , late for  $\xi\lambda\iota\pi\nu\nu$ .

<sup>551</sup> D. Hom. has ἔκτὰν I slew (κτείνω, κτεν-) with α taken from ἔκταμεν, and οδτα he wounded (οὐτάω).

#### V. FIRST (K) PERFECT SYSTEM

### (FIRST PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT ACTIVE)

- 555. The stem of the first perfect is formed by adding -κα to the reduplicated verb-stem. λέ-λυ-κα I have loosed, ἐ-λε-λύκη I had loosed.
- a. The  $\kappa$ -perfect is later in origin than the second perfect and seems to have started from verb-stems in  $-\kappa$ , as  $\xi$ -o $\iota\kappa$ - $\alpha$  (=  $\xi$ - $\xi$ - $\xi$ - $\iota\kappa$ - $\alpha$ ) from  $\xi$ / $\iota\kappa$  resemble.
- b. Verbs showing the gradations  $\epsilon_i$ ,  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_i$ ,  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_v$ :  $\epsilon_v$ : as  $\pi\epsilon \ell\theta \omega$  ( $\pi\ell\theta$ -,  $\pi\epsilon\ell\theta$ -) persuade  $\pi\epsilon \epsilon_v$  ( $\pi\ell\theta$ -). But  $\delta\epsilon\delta \epsilon_v$  is a fear has  $\epsilon_v$  (cp. 564).
- **556.** The first perfect is formed from verb-stems ending in a vowel, a liquid, or a dental stop  $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$ .
- **557.** Vowel Verbs. Vowel verbs lengthen the final vowel (if short) before -κα, as  $\tau$ īμά-ω honour  $\tau$ ε- $\tau$ ίμη-κα, ἐά-ω permit εἴα-κα, ποιέ-ω make πε-ποίη-κα, τίθημι (θε-, θη-) place  $\tau$ έ-θη-κα, δίδωμι (δο-, δω-) give δέ-δω-κα.
- **558.** This applies to verbs that add  $\epsilon$  (485). For verbs that retain a short final vowel, see 488. (Except  $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \nu (\sigma \beta \epsilon -)$  extinguish, which has  $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \eta \kappa a$ .)
- **559.** Liquid Verbs. Many liquid verbs have no perfect or employ the second perfect. Examples of the regular formation are  $\phi$ αίνω  $(\phi$ αν-) show, πέφαγκα, ἀγγέλλω (ἀγγέλ-) announce, ἤγγέλκα.
- a. Some liquid verbs drop ν; as κέκρικα, κέκλικα from κρίνω (κριν-) judge, κλίνω (κλιν-) incline. τείνω (τεν-) stretch has τέτακα from τετγκα:
- b. Monosyllabic stems change  $\epsilon$  to a; as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau a\lambda\kappa a$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\theta a\rho\kappa a$  from  $\sigma\tau \epsilon\lambda\lambda \omega$  ( $\sigma\tau\epsilon\lambda$ -) send,  $\phi\theta\epsilon\ell\rho\omega$  ( $\phi\theta\epsilon\rho$ -) corrupt.
  - N. For a we expect o; a is derived from the middle (ἔσταλμαι, ἔφθαρμαι).
- c. All stems in  $\mu$  and many others add  $\epsilon$  (485); as νέμω (νεμ- $\epsilon$ -), distribute νενέμηκα, μέλω (μελ- $\epsilon$ -) care for μεμέληκα, τυγχάνω (τυχ- $\epsilon$ ) happen τετύχηκα.
- d. Many liquid verbs suffer metathesis (492) and thus get the form of vowel verbs; as  $\beta d\lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\beta a\lambda$ -) throw  $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ ;  $\theta \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$  ( $\theta a\nu$ -) die  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \alpha$ ;  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \omega$  ( $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ -,  $\kappa \lambda \eta$ -) call  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ ;  $\kappa \alpha \mu \nu \omega$  ( $\kappa \alpha \mu$ -) am weary  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \kappa \alpha$ ;  $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$  ( $\tau \epsilon \mu$ -) cut  $\tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \kappa \alpha$ . Also  $\pi t \pi \tau \omega$  ( $\pi \epsilon \tau$ -,  $\pi \tau \sigma$ -) fall  $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha$ . See 128 a.
- 555 b. D. Hom. δείδω (used as a present) is for δε-δρο(ι)-α. δείδ- was written on account of the metre when f was lost. Hom. δέδια is for δε-δ(f)ι-α with the weak root that is used in δέδιμεν. See 703 D.
- **557 D. 1.** Hom. has the κ-perfect only in verbs with vowel verb-stems. Of these some have the second perfect in  $-\alpha$ , particularly in participles. Thus κεκμηώς, Attic κεκμηκώς (κάμ-ν- $\omega$  am weary); κεκορηώς (κορέ-ννῦμι satiate); πεφύκασι and πεφύασι (φύ $\omega$  produce).
- In some dialects a present was derived from the perfect stem; as Hom. ἀνώγω, Theocr. δεδοίκω, πεφόκει (in the 2 perf.: Theocr. πεπόνθω). Inf. τεθνάκην (Aeol.), part. κεκλήγοντες (Hom.), πεφρίκων (Pind.).
  - 3. From μέμηκα (μηκάομαι bleat) Hom. has the plup. ἐμέμηκον.

177

560. Stop Verbs. — Dental stems drop  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$  before  $\kappa$ , as  $\pi\epsilon i\theta \omega$  ( $\pi i\theta$ -,  $\pi\epsilon i\theta$ -,  $\pi oi\theta$ -) persuade  $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon i\kappa \omega$ , κομίζω (κομίδ-) carry κεκόμικα.

#### VI. SECOND PERFECT SYSTEM

## (SECOND PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT ACTIVE)

- **561.** The stem of the second perfect is formed by adding a to the reduplicated verb-stem:  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \phi a I \text{ have written } (\gamma \rho \alpha \phi a).$
- **562.** The second perfect is almost always formed from stems ending in a liquid or a stop consonant, and not from vowel stems.
  - a. ἀκήκοα (ἀκούω hear) is for ἀκηκο( $_{\mathcal{F}}$ )-α (ἀκο $_{\mathcal{F}}$ -= ἀκοψ-, 43).
- **563.** Verb-stems showing variation between short and long vowels (476) have long vowels in the second perfect ( $\check{a}$  is thus regularly lengthened). Thus,  $\tau \check{\eta} \kappa \omega$  ( $\tau \alpha \kappa$ -,  $\tau \eta \kappa$ -) melt  $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ ,  $\kappa \rho \acute{a} \zeta \omega$  ( $\kappa \rho \alpha \gamma$ -) cry out  $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \bar{a} \gamma \alpha$ ,  $\phi a \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\phi \alpha \nu$ -) show  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \phi \eta \nu \alpha$  have appeared (but  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \phi \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha$  have shown),  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  ( $\dot{\rho} \alpha \gamma$ -,  $\dot{\rho} \eta \gamma$ -,  $\dot{\rho} \omega \gamma$ -, 477 c) break  $\check{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \gamma \alpha$ .
- a.  $\epsilon \ell \omega \theta a$  am accustomed (=  $\sigma \epsilon \sigma_{\Gamma} \omega \theta a$ ) has the strong form  $\omega$  (cp.  $\hbar \theta \omega$  custom, 123); Hom.  $\ell \theta \omega$  (Attic  $\ell \theta \ell \ell \omega$  accustom).
- **564.** The second perfect has o, o, when the verb-stem varies between a,  $\epsilon$ , o (478, 479) or  $\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $o\iota$  (477 a):  $\tau\rho\epsilon\phi-\omega$  ( $\tau\rho\epsilon\phi-$ ,  $\tau\rho\phi\phi-$ ,  $\tau\rho\alpha\phi-$ ) nourish  $\tau\epsilon\tau\rho\phi\alpha$ ,  $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$  ( $\lambda\iota\pi-$ ,  $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi-$ ,  $\lambdao\iota\pi-$ ) leave  $\lambda\epsilon\lambdao\iota\pi a$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\omega$  ( $\pi\iota\theta-$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta-$ ,  $\pio\iota\theta-$ ) persuade  $\pi\epsilon\pio\iota\theta a$  trust.
- **565.** Similarly verbs with the variation v,  $\epsilon v$ , ov (476) should have ov; but this occurs only in Epic  $\epsilon i\lambda\dot{\eta}\lambda ov\theta a$  (= Att.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\eta}\lambda v\theta a$ ); cp.  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}(\theta)$ -σομαι. Other verbs have  $\epsilon v$ , as  $\phi\epsilon\dot{v}\gamma\omega$  flee  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon v\gamma a$ .
- **566.** After Attic reduplication (446) the stem of the second perfect has the weak form; ἀλείφω (άλειφ-, άλιφ-) anoint ἀλήλιφα.
- **567.** Apart from the variations in 563-566 the vowel of the verb-stem remains unchanged: as  $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \alpha$  ( $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega$  write),  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \ddot{\nu} \phi \alpha$  ( $\kappa \dot{\nu} \pi \tau \omega$  stoop,  $\kappa \ddot{\nu} \phi$ -).
- **568.** The meaning of the second perfect may differ from that of the present; as εγρήγορα am awake from εγείρω wake up, σέσηρα grin from σαίρω sweep. The second perfect often has the force of a present; as πέποιθα trust (πέπεικα have persuaded). See 819.
- 569. Aspirated Second Perfects. In many stems a final  $\pi$  or  $\beta$  changes to  $\phi$ : a final  $\kappa$  or  $\gamma$  changes to  $\chi$ . ( $\phi$  and  $\chi$  here imitate verb stems in  $\phi$  and  $\chi$ , as  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$ ,  $\delta \rho \psi \tau \tau \omega$ .)

**<sup>561</sup> D.** Hom has several forms unknown to Attic : δέδουπα (δουπ-έ-ω sound), ξολπα (ξλπ-ω hope), ξοργα (ῥέζω work), προ-βέβουλα (βούλομαι wish), μέμηλα (μέλω care for).

**<sup>562</sup> D.** But δέδια fear from δFi-. See 555 b. D., 703.

**<sup>569</sup> D.** Hom. never aspirates  $\pi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\gamma$ . Thus  $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \sigma \sigma \omega s = \operatorname{Att.} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \sigma \phi \omega s$  ( $\kappa \delta \sigma \tau \tau \omega cut$ ). The aspirated perfect occurs once in Hdt. ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \mu \phi \epsilon \epsilon 1.85$ ); but is unknown in Attic until the fifth century B.c. Soph. Tr. 1009 (ἀνατέτροφαs) is the only example in tragedy.

- κόπτω (κοπ-) cut κέκοφα, πέμπ-ω send πέπομφα, βλάπτω (βλαβ-) injure βέβλαφα, τρίβω (τρῖβ-) rub τέτρῖφα, φυλάττω (φυλακ-) guard -πεφύλαχα; τρέφ-ω (τρεφ-) nourish τέτροφα; ὀρύττω (ὀρυχ-) dig ὀρώρυχα.
- **570.** Most such stems have a short vowel immediately before the final consonant; a long vowel precedes e.g. in δείκ-ν̄υ-μι δέδειχα, κηρύττω (κηρῦκ-) -κεκη-ρῦχα, πτήσσω (πτηκ-) ἔπτηχα. τέτριφα and τέθλιφα show ĭ in contrast to ī in the present (τρίβω, θλίβω). στέργω, λάμπω do not aspirate (ἔστοργα, poet. λέλαμπα).
- **571.** The following verbs have aspirated second perfects: ἄγω, ἀλλάττω, ἀνοίγω, βλάπτω, δείκνῦμι, διώκω (rare), θλίβω, κηρύττω, κλέπτω, κόπτω, λαγχάνω, λαμβάνω, λάπτω, λέγω collect, μάττω, μείγνῦμι, πέμπω, πλέκω, πράττω, πτήσσω, τάττω, τρέπω, τρίβω, φέρω (ἐνήνοχα), φυλάττω. ἀνοίγω or ἀνοίγνῦμι has two perfects: ἀνέωχα and ἀνέωγα. πράττω do has πέπρᾶγα have done and fare (well or ill), and (generally later) πέπρᾶχα have done.
- 572. Second Perfects of the  $\mu$ -form. Some verbs add the endings directly to the reduplicated verb-stem. Such second perfects lack the singular of the indicative.
- ἴστημι (στα-, στη-) set, 2 perf. stem ἐστα-: ἔστα-μεν, ἔστα-τε, ἐστα-σει, inf. ἐστά-ναι; 2 plup. ἔστα-σαν (417). The singular is supplied by the forms in  $-\kappa \alpha$ ; as ἔστηκα. These second perfects are enumerated in 704.

#### VII. PERFECT MIDDLE SYSTEM

## (PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT MIDDLE AND PASSIVE, FUTURE PERFECT PASSIVE)

574. The stem of the perfect and pluperfect middle and passive is the reduplicated verb-stem, to which the personal endings are directly attached.  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \nu_{\mu a} I$  have loosed myself or have been loosed,  $\dot{\epsilon} - \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu}_{\mu \eta \nu}$ ; δέδο- $\mu u$  (δί-δω- $\mu u$  give), δέδειγ- $\mu u$  show). On the euphonic changes of consonants, see 409.

<sup>574</sup> D. A thematic vowel precedes the ending in Hom. μέμβλεται (μέλω care for), δρώρεται (δρνῦμι rouse).

575. The stem of the perfect middle is in general the same as that of the first perfect active as regards its vowel (557), the retention or expulsion of  $\nu$  (559 a), and metathesis (559 d).

τιμά-ω honour τετίμη-μαι ετετιμήμην; ποιέ-ω πακε πεποίη-μαι έπεποιήμην; γράφ-ω write γέγραμ-μαι; κρίνω (κριν-) judge κέκρι-μαι; τείνω (τεν-) stretch τέτα-μαι; φθείρω (φθερ-) currupt ξφθαρ-μαι; βάλλω (βαλ-) throw βέβλη-μαι έβεβλήμην; πείθω (πιθ-, πειθ-, ποιθ-) persuade πέπεισμαι έπεπείσμην.

- **576.** The vowel of the perfect middle stem should show the weak form when there is variation between  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\nu$ ): o ( $o\iota$ ,  $o\nu$ ): a ( $\iota$ , v). The weak form in a appears regularly in verbs containing a liquid (479): that in  $\nu$ , in  $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \sigma \mu a\iota$  from  $\pi \nu \nu \theta \delta \nu \rho \mu a\iota$  ( $\pi \nu \theta$ -,  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta$ -) learn, poet.  $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu \mu a\iota$  hasten from  $\sigma \epsilon \nu \omega$  ( $\sigma \nu$ -,  $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ -) urge.
- **577.** The vowel of the present has often displaced the weak form, as in  $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota (\pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa \omega \ weave)$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \mu a \iota (\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \omega \ leave)$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu a \iota (\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \omega \ persuade)$ , εξευγμαι (ξεύγ-νῦ-μι yoke).
- **578.** A final short vowel of the verb-stem is not lengthened in the verbs given in 488 a.  $\epsilon$  is added (485) in many verbs. For metathesis see 492; for Attic reduplication see 446.
- **579.**  $\nu$  is retained in endings not beginning with  $\mu$ , as φαίνω (φαν-) show, πέφανται, πέφανθε. Before -μαι, we have  $\mu$  in δξυμμαι from δξύνω (δξυν-) sharpen, but usually  $\nu$  is replaced by  $\sigma$ . On the insertion of  $\sigma$ , see 489.
- **580.** Future Perfect. The stem of the future perfect is formed by adding  $-\sigma$ %- to the stem of the perfect middle. A vowel immediately preceding  $-\sigma$ %- is always long, though it may have been short in the perfect middle.

λύ-ω loose, λελύ-σομαι I shall have been loosed (perf. mid. λέλὔ-μαι), δέ-ω bind δεδή-σομαι (perf. mid. δέδε-μαι), γράφ-ω write γεγράψ-ομαι, καλέω call κεκλήσομαι.

- **581.** The future perfect usually has a passive force. The active meaning is found where the perfect middle or active has an active meaning (1946, 1947).
- κεκτήσομαι shall possess (κέκτημαι possess), κεκράξομαι shall cry out (κέκραγα cry out), κεκλάγξομαι shall scream (κέκλαγγα scream), μεμνήσομαι shall remember (μέμνημαι remember), πεπαύσομαι shall have ceased (πέπαυμαι have ceased).
- **582.** Not all verbs can form a future perfect; and few forms of this tense occur outside of the indicative:  $\delta\iota\alpha\pi\epsilon\pi\circ\delta\epsilon\mu\eta\sigma\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\circ\nu$  Thuc. 7. 25 is the only sure example of the participle in classical Greek. The infinitive  $\mu\epsilon\mu\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta$ αι occurs in Hom. and Attic prose.
- **583.** The periphrastic construction (601) of the perfect middle (passive) participle with ἔσομαι may be used for the future perfect, as ἐψευσμένος ἔσομαι I shall have been deceived.

<sup>580</sup> D. Hom. has δεδέξομαι, μεμνήσομαι, κεκλήση, κεχολώσεται; κεκαδήσομαι, πεφιδήσεται are from reduplicated acrists.

584. Future Perfect Active. — The future perfect active of most verbs is formed periphrastically (600). Two perfects with a present meaning,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\alpha$  I stand ( $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu$  set) and  $\tau\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\nu\eta\kappa\alpha$  I am dead ( $\theta\nu\tilde{\eta}\sigma\kappa\omega$ ), form the future perfects  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\xi}\omega$  I shall stand,  $\tau\tilde{\epsilon}\theta\nu\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\xi}\omega$  I shall be dead.

# VIII. FIRST PASSIVE SYSTEM (@H PASSIVE) (FIRST AORIST AND FIRST FUTURE PASSIVE)

#### FIRST AORIST PASSIVE

- **585.** The stem of the first agrist passive is formed by adding  $-\theta_{\eta}$  (or  $-\theta_{\epsilon}$ ) directly to the verb-stem:  $\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda\dot{\nu}-\theta_{\eta}-\nu$  I was loosed,  $\dot{\epsilon}-\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu-\theta_{\eta}-\nu$  I was shown (φαίνω, φαν-),  $\dot{\epsilon}-\delta\dot{\omega}-\theta_{\eta}-\nu$  I was given (δίδωμι, δο-, δω-).
- a.  $-\theta\eta$  appears in the indicative, imperative (except the third plural), and infinitive;  $-\theta\epsilon$  appears in the other moods.  $-\theta\eta$  is found before a single consonant,  $-\theta\epsilon$  before two consonants or a vowel except in the nom. neuter of the participle.
  - **586.** The verb-stem agrees with that of the perfect middle herein:
- a. Vowel verbs lengthen the final vowel of the verb-stem, as  $\tau\epsilon$ - $\tau i\mu \eta$ - $\mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau i\mu \dot{\eta}$ - $\theta \eta \nu$ . On verbs which do not lengthen their final vowel, see 488.
- b. Liquid stems of one syllable change  $\epsilon$  to a, as  $\tau \epsilon \tau a \mu a\iota$ ,  $\epsilon \tau a \theta \eta \nu$  ( $\tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$  stretch,  $\tau \epsilon \nu \iota$ ). But  $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$  turn,  $\tau \rho \epsilon \sigma \omega$  turn,  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi \omega$  nourish have  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \theta \eta \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \theta \rho \epsilon \phi \theta \eta \nu$  (rare), though the perfect middles are  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu a\iota$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho a \mu \mu a\iota$ .
- c. Primitive verbs showing in their stems the gradations  $\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\upsilon$ ): o ( $\iota\iota$ ,  $o\upsilon$ ): a ( $\iota\iota$ , v) have a strong form, as  $\epsilon\tau\rho\epsilon\phi\theta\eta\nu$  from  $\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\omega$  ( $\tau\rho\epsilon\pi$ -,  $\tau\rho\sigma\pi$ -,  $\tau\rho\sigma\pi$ -) turn,  $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\iota\phi\theta\eta\nu$  from  $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$  ( $\lambda\iota\pi$ -,  $\lambda\epsilon\iota\pi$ -,  $\lambda\iota\pi$ -) leave,  $\epsilon\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\eta\nu$  from  $\pi\lambda\epsilon\omega$  ( $\pi\lambda\upsilon$ -,  $\pi\lambda\epsilon\upsilon$ -) sail.
- d. Primitive verbs showing in their stems a variation between  $\epsilon: \eta$  and  $o: \omega$  have, in the first aorist passive, the short vowel. Thus,  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$  ( $\theta \epsilon$ -,  $\theta \eta$ -)  $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \ell \theta \eta \nu$ ,  $\delta \ell \delta \omega \mu \iota$  ( $\delta o$ -,  $\delta \omega$ -)  $\epsilon \delta \delta \theta \eta \nu$ .
  - e. Final ν is dropped in some verbs: κέ-κρι-μαι, ἐκρίθην. See 491.
  - f. The verb-stem may suffer metathesis :  $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ . See 492.
  - g. Sigma is often added: κε-κέλευσ-μαι, έ-κελεύσ-θην. See 489.
- **587.** Before  $\theta$  of the suffix,  $\pi$  and  $\beta$  become  $\phi$ ;  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma$  become  $\chi$  (82 c);  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$  become  $\sigma$  (83).  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  remain unaltered.

λείπ-ω ἐλείφ-θην, βλάπτω (βλαβ-) ἐβλάφ-θην; φυλάττω (φυλακ-) ἐφυλάχ-θην, ἄγ-ω ἤχ-θην; κομίζω (κομιδ-) ἐκομίσ-θην, πείθ-ω ἐπείσ-θην; γράφ-ω ἐγράφ-θην, τα-ράττω (ταραχ-) ἐταράχ-θην.

- 584 D. Hom. has κεχαρήσω and κεχαρήσομαι from χαίρω (χαρ-) rejoice.
- **585** a. **D.** For  $-\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$  we find  $-\theta \epsilon \nu$  in Hom., as  $\delta \iota \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$ .
- **586** b. D.  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \eta \nu$  is Ionic and Doric; Hom. and Hdt. have  $\epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \eta \nu$  from  $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$ . Hom. has  $\epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \phi \theta \eta \nu$  and  $\epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \phi \theta \eta \nu$  from  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \omega$  gladden.
- **586** e. D. Hom. has  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda i \nu \theta \eta \nu$  and  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda i \theta \eta \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa \rho i \nu \theta \eta \nu$  and  $\epsilon \kappa \rho i \theta \eta \nu$ ;  $i \delta \rho \nu \nu \theta \eta \nu = Att.$   $i \delta \rho \delta \theta \eta \nu$  ( $i \delta \rho \nu \omega$  erect),  $\dot{a} \mu \pi \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \eta \nu$  ( $\dot{a} \nu \alpha \pi \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega$  revive).

**588.**  $\theta$  of the verb-stem becomes  $\tau$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \eta \nu$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \epsilon$ - $\theta \eta \nu$ , and in  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \eta \nu$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta \nu$ - $\theta \eta \nu$  from  $\tau l \theta \eta \mu$  ( $\theta \epsilon$ -,  $\theta \eta$ -) place and  $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$  ( $\theta \nu$ -,  $\theta \bar{\nu}$ -) sacrifice. See 125 c.

#### FIRST FUTURE PASSIVE

**589.** The stem of the first future passive is formed by adding  $-\sigma$ %- to the stem of the first agrist passive. It ends in  $-\theta\eta\sigma\sigma\mu a.$  Thus, παιδενθή-σομαι I shall be educated, λυθή-σομαι I shall be loosed.

τιμάω, ἐτιμήθην τιμηθήσομαι; ἐάω, εἰάθην ἔαθήσομαι; λείπω, ἐλείφθην λειφθήσομαι; πείθω, ἐπείσθην πεισθήσομαι; τείνω, ἐτάθην ταθήσομαι; τάττω, ἐτάχθην ταχθήσομαι; τίθημι, ἐτέθην τεθήσομαι; δίδωμι, ἐδόθην δοθήσομαι; δείκνῦμι, ἐδείχθην δειχθήσομαι.

#### IX. SECOND PASSIVE SYSTEM (H PASSIVE)

(SECOND AORIST AND SECOND FUTURE PASSIVE)

#### SECOND AORIST PASSIVE

- **590.** The stem of the second agrist passive is formed by adding  $-\eta$  (or  $-\epsilon$ -) directly to the verb-stem. Thus,  $\epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \nu$  I was injured from  $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$  ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta$ -).
- a. - $\eta$  appears in the indicative, imperative (except the third plural), and infinitive; - $\epsilon$  appears in the other moods. - $\eta$  is found before a single consonant, - $\epsilon$  before two consonants or a vowel except in the nom. neut. of the participle.
- **591.** The second agrist passive agrees in form with the second agrist active of  $\mu$ -verbs; cp. intransitive  $\epsilon \chi \alpha \rho \eta \nu rejoiced$  with  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \nu stood$ . The passive use was developed from the intransitive use.
- **592.** Primitive verbs showing in their stems the grades  $\epsilon$ : o: a have a. Thus an  $\epsilon$  of a monosyllabic verb-stem becomes a, as in  $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \omega$  weave  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \nu$ ,  $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega$  steal  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \nu$ ,  $\phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \iota \rho \omega$  ( $\phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho$ -) corrupt  $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \nu$ ,  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda$ -) send  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu$ . But  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$  collect has  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \eta \nu$ .
- **593.** Primitive verbs showing in their stems a variation between a short and long vowel have, in the second aorist passive, the short vowel. Thus  $\tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega$  ( $\tau \alpha \kappa$ -,  $\tau \eta \kappa$ -) melt  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta \nu$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \ddot{\nu} \mu \iota$  ( $\dot{\rho} \alpha \gamma$ -,  $\dot{\rho} \eta \gamma$ -,  $\dot{\rho} \omega \gamma$ -) break  $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \nu$ .
- a. But  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\pi\lambda\alpha\gamma$ -,  $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma$ -) strike has  $\epsilon\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$  only in composition, as  $\epsilon\xi\epsilon\pi\lambda\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$ ; otherwise  $\epsilon\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\gamma\eta\nu$ .
- **594.** The second agrist passive is the only agrist passive formed in Attic prose by ἄγνῦμι (ἐάγην), γράφω (ἐγράφην), δέρω (ἐδάρην), θάπτω (ἐτάφην), κόπτω (ἐκόπην), μαίνω (ἐμάνην), πνίγω (ἐπνἴγην), ράπτω (ἐρράφην), ρέω (ἐρρύην active), ρήγνῦμι (ἐρράγην), σήπω (ἐσάπην), σκάπτω (ἐσκάφην), σπείρω (ἐσπάρην), στέλλω (ἐστάλην), σφάζω οτ σφάττω (ἐσφάγην), σφάλλω (ἐσφάλην), τύφω (ἐτύφην), φθείρω (ἐφθάρην pass. and intr.), φύω (in subj. φνῶ), χαίρω (ἐχάρην active).
- **589 D.** Hom. has no example of the first future passive. To express the idea of the passive future the future middle is used. See 802. Doric shows the active endings in both futures passive:  $\delta \epsilon \iota \chi \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \iota$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ .
  - **590** a. **D.** For  $-\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$  we generally find  $-\epsilon\nu$  (from  $-\eta\nu\tau$ , 40) in Hom.; also in Doric.

- 595. Both the first aorist passive and the second aorist passive are formed by  $d\lambda\epsilon(\phi\omega)$  ( $\dot{\eta}\lambda\epsilon(\phi\theta\eta\nu)$ ,  $\dot{d}\lambda\dot{d}\tau\tau\omega$  ( $-\eta\lambda\lambda\dot{d}\chi\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\lambda\lambda\dot{d}\gamma\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\dot{a}\pi\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{a}\phi\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\lambda\dot{a}\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{a}\phi\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{a}\phi\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{a}\phi\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\dot{a}\phi\eta\nu$ ),  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\nu$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\nu$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\nu$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\nu$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\tau\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\nu$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ),  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ). Most of these verbs use either the one in prose and the other in poetry, the dialects, or late Greek. Only the forms in common prose use are inserted in brackets.

#### SECOND FUTURE PASSIVE

**597.** The stem of the second future passive is formed by adding  $-\sigma$ %- to the stem of the second agrist passive. It ends in  $-\eta \sigma \rho \mu a \iota$ . Thus,  $\beta \lambda \eta \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \rho \mu a \iota I$  shall be injured from  $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$  ( $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta - \dot{\beta} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta - \nu$ .

κόπ-τ-ω, έκόπην κοπήσομαι; γράφω, έγράφην γραφήσομαι; φαίνω, έφάνην αppeared, φανήσομαι; φθείρω, έφθάρην φθαρήσομαι; πήγνυμι fix, έπάγην παγήσομαι.

**598.** Most of the verbs in 594, 595 form second futures passive except  $\delta \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \mu \iota$ ,  $\delta \lambda \hat{\epsilon} i \phi \omega$ ,  $\beta \delta \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\beta \rho \hat{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ , ζεύγν $\bar{\nu} \mu \iota$ ,  $\theta \lambda \hat{t} \beta \omega$ ,  $\kappa \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \pi \tau \omega$ ,  $\mu a \hat{\iota} \nu \omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ . But many of the second futures appear only in poetry or in late Greek, and some are found only in composition.

#### PERIPHRASTIC FORMS

- **599.** Perfect. For the simple perfect and pluperfect periphrastic forms are often used.
- a. For the perfect or pluperfect active indicative the forms of the perfect active participle and  $\epsilon l \mu l$  or  $\hbar \nu$  may be used: as  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} s \epsilon l \mu$  for  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} s$   $\hbar \nu$  for  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\omega} s$ . So  $\beta \epsilon \beta \sigma \eta \theta \eta \kappa \delta \tau \epsilon s$  for  $\epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \sigma \eta \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \sigma a \nu$  ( $\beta \sigma \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{\omega}$  come to aid);  $\epsilon l \mu l$   $\tau \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s$  for  $\tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\omega} s$  for  $\tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\omega} s$  for  $\tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega}$
- b. For the perfect active a periphrasis of the aorist participle and  $\xi\chi\omega$  is sometimes used, especially when a perfect active form with transitive meaning is lacking; as  $\sigma\tau\eta\sigma\bar{a}s$   $\xi\chi\omega$  I have placed ( $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\kappa a$ , intransitive, stand),  $\xi\rho a\sigma\theta\epsilon is$   $\xi\chi\omega$  I have loved. So often because the aspirated perfect is not used, as  $\xi\chi\epsilon\iota s$   $\tau a\rho d\xi\bar{a}s$  thou hast stirred up. Cp. habeo with the perfect participle.

**<sup>597</sup> D.** Hom. has only δαήσεαι (ἐδάην learned), μιγήσεσθαι (μείγνῦμι mix).

- c. In the perfect active subjunctive and optative the forms in  $-\kappa\omega$  and  $-\kappa\omega\mu$  are very rare. In their place the perfect active participle with  $\tilde{\omega}$  and  $\epsilon \tilde{n} \eta \nu$  is usually employed:  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} s$  ( $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \omega \kappa \dot{\omega} s$ )  $\tilde{\omega}$ ,  $\epsilon \tilde{n} \eta \nu$ . Other forms than 3 sing. and 3 pl. are rare. Cp. 691, 694.
- d. The perfect or pluperfect passive is often paraphrased by the perfect participle and  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \dot{\iota}$  or  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ ; as  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\mu\mu\dot{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$  it stands written,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\delta\sigma\gamma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$  it stands resolved,  $\pi\alpha\rho\eta\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\nu = \pi\alpha\rho\dot{\eta}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\tau$  ( $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\omega$  give orders).
- e. In the third plural of the perfect and pluperfect middle (passive) the perfect middle participle with  $\epsilon l\sigma t$  ( $\hbar\sigma a\nu$ ) is used when a stem ending in a consonant would come in direct contact with the endings  $-\nu\tau a\iota$ ,  $-\nu\tau o$ . See 408.
- f. The perfect subjunctive and optative middle are formed by the perfect middle participle with  $\delta$  or  $\epsilon \ell \eta \nu$ :  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \delta$ ,  $\epsilon \ell \eta \nu$ .
- g. The perfect imperative of all voices may be expressed by combining the perfect participle with  $t\sigma\theta\iota$ ,  $\xi\sigma\tau\omega$  (697). λελυκώς  $t\sigma\theta\iota$  loose, etc., εἰρημένον  $\xi\sigma\tau\omega$  let it have been said, γεγονώς έστω P. L. 951 c, γεγονότες ξστωσαν P. L. 779 d.
  - h. Periphrasis of the infinitive is rare: τεθνηκότα είναι to be dead X. C. 1. 4. 11.
- 600. Future Perfect Active. The future perfect active of most verbs is formed by combining the perfect active participle with ἔσομαι shall be. Thus, γεγραφως ἔσομαι I shall have written, ep. scriptus ero. For the two verbs which do not use this periphrasis, see 584.
- a. The perfect middle participle is used in the case of deponent verbs:  $\dot{a}\pi o \lambda \epsilon \lambda \sigma \gamma \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \xi \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$  And, 1. 72.
- 601. Future Perfect Passive. The future perfect passive may be expressed by using the perfect middle (passive) participle with ἔσομαι shall be. Thus, ἐψευσμένοι ἔσεσθε you will have been deceived.

### FIRST CONJUGATION OR VERBS IN $\Omega$

- **602.** Verbs in  $-\omega$  have the thematic vowel -% ( $-\omega/_{\eta-}$ ) between the tense-stem and the personal endings in the present system. The name " $\omega$ -conjugation," or "thematic conjugation," is applied to all verbs which form the present and imperfect with the thematic vowel.
- 603. Inflected according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation are all thematic presents and imperfects; those second agrists active and middle in which the tense-stem ends with the thematic vowel; all futures, all first agrists active and middle; and most perfects and pluperfects active.
- **604.** Certain tenses of verbs ending in  $-\omega$  in the first person present indicative active, or of deponent verbs in which the personal endings are preceded by the thematic vowel, are inflected without the thematic vowel, herein agreeing with  $\mu$ -verbs. These tenses are: all aorists passive; all perfects and pluperfects middle and passive; a few second perfects and pluperfects active; and those second aorists active and middle in which the tense-stem does not end with the thematic vowel. But all subjunctives are thematic.

- 605. Verbs in  $-\omega$  fall into two main classes, distinguished by the last letter of the verb-stem:
  - 1. Vowel verbs: a. Uncontracted verbs. b. Contracted verbs.
  - 2. Consonant verbs: a. Liquid verbs. b. Stop (or mute) verbs.
  - N. Under 2 fall also (c) those verbs whose stems ended in  $\sigma$  or  $\rho$  (624).
- 606. Vowel Verbs. Vowel verbs usually do not form second acrists, second perfects, and second futures in the passive. A vowel short in the present is commonly lengthened in the other tenses. Vowel verbs belong to the first class of present stems (498–504; but see 612).
- 607. Vowel Verbs not contracted. Vowel verbs not contracted have verb-stems ending in  $\tilde{i}$ ,  $\tilde{v}$ , or in a diphthong  $(\alpha_i, \epsilon_i, \alpha_v, \epsilon_v, \alpha_v)$ .
- (ι) ἐσθίω eat, πρίω saw, χρίω anoint, poet. δίω fear, τίω honour (500. 2); (ν) ἀνύω accomplish, μεθύω am intoxicated, λύω loose, θύω sacrifice, φύω produce, κωλύω hinder (and many others, 500. I a); (αι) κναίω scratch, παίω strike, πταίω stumble, παλαίω wrestle, ἀγαίομαι am indignant, δαίω kindle, δαίομαι divide, λιλαίομαι desire eagerly, poet. μαίομαι desire, ναίω dwell, ῥαίω strike; (ει) κλήω (later κλείω) shut, σείω shake, Epic κείω split and rest; (αν) ανω kindle, θραύω break, ἀπολαύω enjoy, παύω make cease (παύομαι cease), poet. lαίω rest; (εν) βασιλεύω am king, βονλεύω consult (βονλεύομαι deliberate), θηρεύω hunt, κελεύω order, λεύω stone, παιδεύω educate, χορεύω dance, φονεύω slay. Most verbs in -ενω are either denominatives, as βασιλεύω from βασιλεύς; or are due to the analogy of such denominatives, as παιδεύω. γεύομαι taste is a primitive. θέω run, νέω swim, πλέω sail, πνέω breathe, ῥέω flow, χέω pour have forms in εν, ν; cp. poet. σεύω urge, ἀλεύω avert, ἀχεύω am grieved; (ον) ἀκούω hear, κολούω dock, κρούω beat, λούω wāsh.
- **608.** Some primitive vowel verbs in  $-\iota\omega$ ,  $-\nu\omega$  (522) formed their present stem by the aid of the suffix  $\underline{\iota}(y)$ , which has been lost. Denominatives in  $-\iota\omega$ ,  $-\nu\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\nu\omega$  regularly added the suffix, as poet.  $\mu\eta\nu l-\omega$  am wroth from  $\mu\eta\nu l-\omega$  ( $\mu\tilde{\eta}\nu l-\omega$  wrath), poet. δακρύω weep (δάκρυ tear), poet. φῖτό-ω beget from φῖτυ- $\underline{\iota}\omega$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\theta\dot{\iota}\omega$  am drunk, βασιλεύω am king. Poet. δηρίσμαι,  $\mu\alpha\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}\omega$ ,  $\mu\eta\tau\dot{\iota}\omega$ ,  $\kappa\eta\kappa\dot{\iota}\omega$ , άχλύω,  $\gamma\eta\rho\dot{\iota}\omega$ ,  $l\theta\dot{\iota}\omega$ .
- **609.** The stem of some of the uncontracted vowel verbs originally ended in  $\sigma$  or  $\varepsilon$  (624).
- **610.** Some verbs with verb-stems in vowels form presents in  $-\nu\omega$  (523), as  $\pi t \nu \omega \ drink$ ,  $\phi \theta t \nu \omega \ perish$ ; and in  $-\sigma \kappa \omega$  (526).
- **611.** Vowel Verbs contracted. Vowel verbs that contract have verb-stems ending in  $\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon$ , o, with some in  $\bar{\alpha}$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$ .
- **612.** All contracted verbs form their present stem by the help of the suffix  $\xi(y)$ , and properly belong to the Third Class (522).
- **613.** Some contracted verbs have verb-stems which originally ended in  $\sigma$  or  $_{F}$  (624).

614. Liquid Verbs. — Liquid verbs have verb-stems in  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\rho$ .

The present is rarely formed from the simple verb-stem, as in  $\mu \epsilon \nu - \omega$  remain; ordinarily the suffix  $\iota$  (y) is added, as in  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda - \iota \omega$ ) send,  $\kappa \rho \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\kappa \rho \iota \nu - \iota \omega$ ) judge,  $\kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu - \iota \omega$ ) slay,  $\phi \alpha \iota \nu \omega$  ( $\phi \alpha \nu - \iota \omega$ ) show.

- **615.** A short vowel of the verb-stem remains short in the future but is lengthened in the first aorist (544). Thus:
- a. a in the future,  $\eta$  in the aorist:  $\phi a i \nu \omega$  ( $\phi a \nu -$ ) show,  $\phi a \nu \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta \nu a$ . In this class fall all verbs in  $-a i \nu \omega$ ,  $-a i \rho \omega$ ,  $-a \lambda \lambda \omega$ .
- b.  $\epsilon$  in the future,  $\epsilon$ ι in the agrist: μεν-ω remain, μενω, ϵμεινα; στϵλλω (στελ-) send, στελω, ϵστειλα. Here belong verbs in -ϵλλω, -ϵμω, -ϵμνω, -ϵμνω, -ϵμω, -ϵμνω, -ϵμω, -ϵμνω.
- c. t in the future, t in the aorist: κλίνω (κλίν-) incline, κλίνω, ἔκλίνα. Here belong verbs in -ιλλω, -ἶνω, -ἶνω.
- **d.**  $\ddot{\boldsymbol{v}}$  in the future,  $\ddot{\boldsymbol{v}}$  in the aorist:  $\sigma\acute{v}\rho\omega$  ( $\sigma\upsilon\rho$ -) drag,  $\sigma\breve{v}\rho\acute{\omega}$ ,  $\breve{\epsilon}\sigma\breve{v}\rho\boldsymbol{a}$ . Here belong verbs in  $-\bar{\upsilon}\rho\omega$ ,  $-\bar{\upsilon}\upsilon\omega$ .

For the formation of the future stem see 535, of the aorist stem see 544.

- **616.** For the perfect stem see 559. Few liquid verbs make second perfects. On the change of  $\epsilon$ ,  $\alpha$  of the verb-stem to o,  $\eta$  in the second perfect, see 478, 484. Liquid verbs with futures in  $-\hat{\omega}$  do not form future perfects.
- **617.** Monosyllabic verb-stems containing  $\epsilon$  have  $\alpha$  in the first perfect active, perfect middle, first aorist and future passive and in all second aorists, but  $\epsilon$  in the second perfect. Thus,  $\phi\theta\epsilon\ell\rho\omega$  ( $\phi\theta\epsilon\rho$ -) corrupt,  $\xi\phi\theta\alpha\rho\kappa\alpha$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\alpha\rho\mu\alpha$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\alpha\rho\eta\nu$ , but  $\delta\iota$ - $\xi\phi\theta\rho\rho\alpha$  have destroyed (819).
- **618.** A few monosyllabic stems do not change  $\epsilon$  to  $\alpha$  in the 2 aor., as  $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$  cut  $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \mu \rho \nu$  (but  $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \mu \rho \nu$  in Hom., Hdt. etc.),  $\gamma \ell \gamma \nu \rho \mu \alpha \iota$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ -) become  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu$ . See also  $\theta \epsilon \ell \nu \nu$ ,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ , root  $\phi \epsilon \nu$ -. Few liquid verbs form second aorists.
  - 619. Stems of more than one syllable do not change the vowel of the verb-stem.
- **620.** List of Liquid Verbs. The arrangement is according to the classes of the present stem. Words poetic or mainly poetic or poetic and Ionic are starred.
- Ι. βούλομαι (βουλ-ε-), ἐθέλω (ἐθελ-ε-), εἰλέω\* (εἰλ-ε-), ἴλλω\*, μέλλω, μέλω, πέλομαι\*, φιλέω (Ερίς φιλ-). βρέμω\*, γέμω, δέμω\*, θέρμω\*, νέμω, τρέμω, απά γαμέω (γαμ-ε-). γίγνομαι (γεν-ε-), μένω, μίμνω\* (μεν-), πένομαι, σθένω\*, στένω, απά γεγωνέω\* (γεγων-ε-). Verbs in -εμω and -ενω have only pres. and imperf., or form their tenses in part from other stems. δέρω, ἔρομαι (ἐρ-ε-), ἔρρω (ἐρρ-ε-), θέρομαι\*, στέρομαι, φέρω, ἐπαυρέω\*, (ἐπαυρ-ε-), απά κυρέω\* (κυρ-ε-), τορέω\* (τορ-ε-).
- III. ἀγάλλομαι, ἀγγέλλω, αἰόλλω\*, ἄλλομαι, ἀτιτάλλω\*, βάλλω, δαιδάλλω\*, θάλλω, ἰάλλω\*, ἰνδάλλομαι\*, ὀκέλλω, ὀφείλω (ὀφελ-, ὀφείλε-), ὀφείλω\*, πάλλω, ποικίλλω, σκέλλω\*, στέλλω, -τέλλω, τίλλω\*, σφάλλω, ψάλλω.—-αινω verbs (the following list includes primitives, and most of the denominatives in classical Greek from extant ν-stems, or from stems which once contained ν; 518 a): αἴνω\*, ἀσθμαίνω\*, ἀφραίνω\*, δειμαίνω\*, δραίνω\*, εὐφραίνω,

θαυμαίνω, δαίνω\*, καίνω\*, κραίνω\*, κυμαίνω\*, κωμαίνω\*, λυμαίνομαι, μελαίνομαι, ξαίνω, δνομαίνω\*, πημαίνω\*, πιαίνω\*, ποιμαίνω, ραίνω, σαίνω, σημαίνω. σπερμαίνω\*, τεκταίνομαι, φαίνω, φλεγμαίνω, χειμαίνω\*, χραίνω. All other denominatives in -αινω are due to analogy; as άγριαίνω, αὐαίνω, γλυκαίνω, δυσχεραίνω, έχθραίνω, θερμαίνω, ίσχναίνω, κερδαίνω, κοιλαίνω, κῦδαίνω\*, λεαίνω, λευκαίνω\*, μαραίνω, μαργαίνω\*, μιαίνω, μωραίνω, ξηραίνω, δρμαίνω\*, δσφραίνομαι, πεπαίνω, περαίνω, πικραίνω, δυπαίνω, τετραίνω, ύγιαίνω, ύδραίνω\*, ύφαίνω, χαλεπαίνω. — ἀλεείνω\*, γείνομαι\*, ἐρεείνω\*, θείνω\*, κτείνω, πειρείνω\*, στείνω\*,τείνω, φαείνω\*. — κλίνω (κλι-ν-), κρίνω (κρι-ν-), δρίνω\*, σίνομαι (Xenoph.), ώδίνω. — αισχύνω, άλγύνω, άρτύνω\*, βαθύνω, βαρύνω, βραδύνω\*, ήδύνω, θαρσύνω, ἰθύνω\*, λεπτύνω, ὀξύνω, ὀρτύνω\*, πλύνω. — αίρω, ἀσπαίρω, γεραίρω\*, ϵναίρω\*, ϵχθαίρω\*, καθαίρω, μαρμαίρω\*, μεγαίρω\*, σαίρω\*, σκαίρω, τεκμαίρομαι,χαίρω (χαρ- $\epsilon$ -), ψαίρω. —  $\dot{\mathbf{a}}$ γείρω,  $\dot{\mathbf{a}}$ μείρω\*, δείρω,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ γείρω, εξρομαι\*, -εξρω join, εξρω\* say, τιμείρω\*, κείρω, μείρομαι, πείρω\*, σπείρω, τείρω\*, φθείρω. οίκττρω (miswritten οίκτείρω). — κινύρομαι\*, μαρτύρομαι, μινόρομαι\*, μορμύρω\*, μύρω\*, δδύρομαι, δλοφύρομαι, πορφύρω\*, σύρω, φύρω\*.

- IV. a. κάμνω, τέμνω; b. δφλισκάνω (δφλ- $\epsilon$ -); h. βαίνω, κερδαίνω, τετραίνω (also Class III); i. δσφραίνομαι (δσφρ- $\epsilon$ -), also Class III. V. See 527.
- 621. Stop Verbs. Many verb stems end in a stop (or mute) consonant.

The present is formed either from the simple verb-stem, as in  $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}\kappa \cdot \omega$  weave, or by the addition of  $\tau$  or  $\iota$  (y) to the verb-stem, as in  $\beta\lambda \acute{a}\pi\tau\omega$   $(\beta\lambda a\beta-)$  injure,  $\phi\nu\lambda \acute{a}\tau\tau\omega$   $(\phi\nu\lambda a\kappa-\iota\omega)$  guard. All tenses except the present and imperfect are formed without the addition of  $\tau$  or  $\iota$  to the verb-stem; thus,  $\beta\lambda \acute{a}\psi\omega$  from  $\beta\lambda a\beta-\sigma-\omega$ ,  $\phi\nu\lambda \acute{a}\xi\omega$  from  $\phi\nu\lambda a\kappa-\sigma-\omega$ .

- **622.** Some monosyllabic stems show a variation in the quantity of the stem vowel  $\iota$  or v, as  $\tau \rho t \beta \omega$  rub perf.  $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho t \phi \alpha$ ,  $\psi \delta \chi \omega$  cool 2 aor. pass.  $\epsilon \psi \tilde{\nu} \chi \eta \nu$ ,  $\tau \eta \kappa \omega$  melt (Doric  $\tau \delta \kappa \omega$ ) 2 aor. pass.  $\epsilon \tau \tilde{\alpha} \kappa \eta \nu$ . Cp. 475, 477 c, 500. Many monosyllabic stems show qualitative vowel gradation:  $\iota$   $\epsilon \iota$   $\epsilon \iota$
- **623.** List of Stop Verbs. The arrangement of the examples is by classes of the present stem. Words poetic or mainly poetic or poetic and Ionic are starred. The determination of the final consonant of the verb-stem of verbs in  $-\zeta \omega$ ,  $-\tau \tau \omega$  (poetic, Ionic, and later Attic  $-\sigma \sigma \omega$ ) is often impossible (516).
- **π** Ι. βλέπω, δρέπω, έλπω\*, ἐνέπω\*, ἔπομαι, ἐρείπω\*, ἔρπω, λάμπω, λείπω, λέπω, μέλπω\*, πέμπω, πρέπει, ῥέπω, τέρπω, τρέπω.
  - II. ἀστράπτω, γνάμπτω\*, δάπτω\*, ἐνίπτω\*, ἐρέπτομαι\*, ἰάπτω\*, κάμπτω, κλέπτω, κόπτω, μάρπτω\*, σκέπτομαι, σκήπτω, σκηρίπτομαι\*, σκώπτω, χαλέπτω, and δουπέω\* (δουπ-ε-), κτυπέω\* (κτυπ-ε-), τύπτω (τυπ-ε-).
- $\beta$  Ι. ἀμείβομαι, θλίβω, λείβω\*, σέβομαι, στείβω\*, τρίβω, φέβομαι\*.
  - ΙΙ. βλάπτω, καλύπτω. ΙV. c. λαμβάνω (λαβ-).
- Φ Ι. ἀλείφω, γλύφω, γράφω, ἐρέφω, μέμφομαι, νείφει (νίφει), νήφω, στέφω, στρέφω, τρέφω, τόφω\*.
  - II. άπτω, βάπτω, δρύπτω\*, θάπτω (125 g), θρύπτω (125 g), κρύπτω (κρυφ-, κρυβ-), κόπτω, λάπτω, ράπτω, ρίπτω (ἐρρίφ-ην, but ρίπ-ή), σκάπτω.
  - IV. a.  $\pi l \tau \nu \omega^* = \pi l \pi \tau \omega$ .  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega^* (\dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi^-)$ . V.  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \phi l \sigma \kappa \omega^* (\dot{\alpha} \phi^- \epsilon^-)$ .

- **Τ** ...  $\delta$ ατέομαι\* ( $\delta$ ατ- $\epsilon$ -), κεντέω\* (κεντ- $\epsilon$ -), πατέομαι ( $\pi$ ατ- $\epsilon$ -), πέτομαι ( $\pi$ ετ-,  $\pi$ τε-).
  - ΙΙΙ. ἀγρώσσω\*, αἰμάσσω\*, βλίττω (βλιτ- from μλιτ-, 130), βράττω, ἐρέσσω\*, λίσσομαι\*, πυρέττω (πυρετ-, πυρεγ-).
  - IV. b.  $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \dot{a}\nu \omega$  ( $\dot{a}\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon -$ ),  $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{a}\nu \omega$  ( $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \epsilon -$ ).
- δ.— Ι. ἄδω, άλινδω\* (άλινδ-ε-), άμέρδω\*, ἄρδω, ἔδω\*, εἴδομαι\*, ἐπείγω, ἐρείδω\*, (καθ)εύδω (εὐδ-ε-), ἤδομαι, κήδω\* (κηδ-ε-), κυλίνδω\*, μέδομαι\* (μεδ-ε-), μήδομαι\*, πέρδομαι, σπεύδω, φείδομαι (also Epic φειδε-), ψεύδομαι, and κελαδέω\* (κελαδ-ε-).
  - III. Examples of denominatives from actual δ-stems. γυμνάζω, δεκάζω, διχάζω, μιγάζομαι\*, ὁπίζομαι\*, παίζω, πεμπάζω, ψακάζω. αὐλίζομαι, δωρίζω, ἐλπίζω, ἐρίζω, κερκίζω, ληΐζομαι, στολίζω, φροντίζω, ψηφίζω.
  - IV. ἀνδάνω\* (ἀδ- $\epsilon$ -), κερδαίνω (κερδαν-, κερδ- $\epsilon$ -), οἰδάνω\* (οἰδ- $\epsilon$ -), χανδάνω (χαδ-, χανδ-, χενδ-).
- **θ** I.  $\alpha r \theta \omega^*$ , άλθομα $r^*$  (άλθ-ε-), άχθομαι, βρώθω\*, είωθα (έθ-, 563 a), έρεύθω\*, έχθω\*, κεύθω\*, κλώθω\*, λήθω\*, πείθω, πέρθω\*, πεύθομα $r^*$ , πύθω, and γηθέω (γηθ-ε-), ώθέω (ώθ-ε-).
  - ΙΙΙ. κορύσσω\*.
  - IV. b.  $al\sigma\theta dνομαι (al\sigma \theta \epsilon -)$ , dπεχθ dνομαι (έχθ-ε -), δαρθ dνω (δαρθ ε -), όλισθ dνω (δαρθ ε -), λανθ dνω (λαθ -), μανθ dνω (μαθ ε -), πυνθ dνομαι (πυθ -).
  - V. πάσχω for παθ-σκω (98, 126).
- Κ Ι. βρόκω, δέρκομαι\*, διώκω, εἴκω yield, εἴκω\* resemble, ἔλκω, ἐρεἰκω\*, ἐρόκω\*, ἤκω, ἄκω\*, πεἰκω\*, πλέκω, ρέγκω\*, τήκω, τἰκτω (τεκ-) and δοκέω (δοκ-ε-), μηκάομαι (μηκ-α-), μῦκάομαι (μῦκ-α-).
  - III. αλνίττομαι, ἄττω, δεδίττομαι, έλίττω, ένίσσω\*, θωρήσσω\*, κηρύττω, μαλάττω, μύττω, πέττω (and πέπτω), πλίσσομαι\*, φρίττω, πτήσσω, φυλάττω.
  - IV. a. δάκνω; d. ἰκνέομαι (ἰκ-). V. See 527 b.
- γ Ι. ἄγω, ἀμέλγω, ἀρήγω\*, ἐπείγω, εἴργω, ἐρεύγομαι\*, θέλγω\*, θήγω, λέγω, λήγω, ὁρέγω\*, πνίγω, στέγω, στέργω, σφίγγω, τέγγω, τμήγω\*, τρώγω, φεύγω, φθέγγομαι, φλέγω, φρύγω, ψέγω, and ῥῖγέω (ῥῖγ-ε-), στυγέω (στυγ-ε-).
  - III. ἔρδω\* and ρέζω\* (511). ἄζομαι\*, ἀλαλάζω\*, ἀλαπάζω\*, ἀρπάζω, αυδάζω, βαστάζω, κράζω, πλάζω\*, στάζω, στενάζω, σφάζω\* (σφάττω). δαίζω\*, θωμίζω\*, κρίζω, μαστίζω, σαλπίζω, στηρίζω, στίζω, στροφαλίζω\*, σῦρίζω, τρίζω\*, φορμίζω\*. ἀτύζομαι\*, γρύζω, μύζω, όλολύζω, σφύζω. οἰμώζω.
  - IV. c. θιγγάνω (θιγ-). V. μίσγω (526 c).
- χ Ι. ἀγχω, ἀρχω, βραχ- in ἔβραχε\*, βρέχω, γλίχομαι, δέχομαι, έλέγχω, ἔρχομαι, εὔχομαι, ἔχω (σεχ-), ἰάχω\*, ἴσχω (σισχ-ω), λείχω\*, μάχομαι (μαχ-ε-), νήχω\*, οἴχομαι (οἰχ-ε-, οἰχ-ο-), σμΰχω\*, σπείχω\*, στείχω\*, τεύχω\*, τρέχω, τρύχω (τρῦχ-ο-), ψήχω, ψύχω, and βρῦχάομαι\* (βρῦχ-α-).
  - ΙΠ. ἀμύσσω\*, βήττω, θράττω, ὀρύττω, πτύσσω, πτώσσω\*, ταράττω.
- $\label{eq:problem} \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{I}. \ \ \, \boldsymbol{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega}^* \ \, (\boldsymbol{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} -, \, \boldsymbol{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\kappa} -), \, \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\dot{v}} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega}. \\ = \boldsymbol{I} \boldsymbol{V}. \ \ \, \boldsymbol{b}. \ \, \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\dot{v}} \boldsymbol{\dot{\xi}} \boldsymbol{\dot{a}} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\omega} \ \, (\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{\dot{v}} \boldsymbol{\dot{\xi}} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} -). \\ = \boldsymbol{I}. \ \, \boldsymbol{\dot{\xi}} \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \ \, (\boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\psi} \boldsymbol{\dot{\epsilon}} -).$
- **624.** Verbs in  $\sigma$  or F(y). Some verb-stems ended originally in  $\sigma$  or F.

a. Sigma-stems (cp. 488 d) with presents either from -σ-ω or -σ-ζω. Thus (1) from -σ-ω: ἀκούω, αὕω burn, γεύω, εὕω, ζέω, θραύω, κρούω, νίσομαι\* (νι-νσ-ομαι, cp. νόσ-τος), ξέω, σείω, τρέω\*; (2) from -σ-ζω (488 d): ἀγαίομαι\*, αἰδέομαι, ἀκέομαι (Hom. ἀκείομαι), ἀρκέω, γελάω, κείω\* split, κλείω\* (i.e. κλεέω) celebrate, κονίω\*, λιλαίομαι\*, μαίομαι\*, ναίω\* dwell, νεικέω (Hom. νεικείω), οἰνοβαρείω\*, οἴομαι (from δΐομαι), πενθέω (Hom. πενθείω), πτίττω (πτινσ-ζω), τελέω (Hom. τελείω), and some others that do not lengthen the yowel of the verb-stem (488).

Also others, such as  $\dot{a}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\omega$  ( $\dot{a}\rho\epsilon\sigma$ -),  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\zeta\dot{\omega}\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\beta}\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  (732). —  $\sigma$  is retained in  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\sigma\mu a\iota^*$ .

b. γ-stems (from -y-ιω): γαίω\*, δαίω\* kindle, καίω (520), κλαίω (520), ναίω\* swim, flow ι 222. — For the loss of ρ in θέω, etc., see 43, 503.

#### INFLECTION OF Ω-VERBS

- **625.** Verbs which end in  $\omega$  in the first person present indicative active, and deponent verbs in which the personal endings are preceded by the thematic vowel, have the following peculiarities of inflection:
- a. The thematic vowel usually appears in all tenses except the perfect and pluperfect middle (passive) and the aorist passive (except in the subjunctive). These three tenses are inflected like  $\mu$ -verbs.
- b. The present and future singular active end in  $-\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota s$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota$  (463). The ending  $-\mu\iota$  appears only in the optative.
- c. The thematic vowel o unites in the indicative with the ending - $\nu\tau\iota$ , and forms -ovo $\iota$  (463 d).
  - d. The third plural active of past tenses ends in -v.
- e. The imperative active has no personal ending in the second person singular except -o- $\nu$  in the first aorist.
- f. Except in the perfect and pluperfect the middle endings  $-\sigma a\iota$  and  $-\sigma o$  lose  $\sigma$  and contract with the final vowel of the tense-stem (465 a, b). In the optative contraction cannot take place  $(\lambda \delta o\iota (\sigma)o, \lambda \delta \sigma a\iota (\sigma)o)$ .
- g. The infinitive active has  $-\epsilon \iota \nu$  (for  $-\epsilon -\epsilon \nu$ ) in the present, future, and second aorist;  $-\epsilon -\nu a\iota$  in the perfect; and  $-a\iota$  in the aorist.
  - h. Active participles with stems in  $-o\nu\tau$  have the nominative masculine in  $-\omega\nu$ .
- **626.** In 627–716 the method of inflection of all  $\omega$ -verbs, both vowel and consonant, is described. The examples are generally taken from vowel verbs, but the statements hold true of consonant verbs.

Forms of  $\omega$ -verbs which are inflected according to the non-thematic conjugation are included under the  $\omega$ -verbs.

## PRESENT AND IMPERFECT ACTIVE AND MIDDLE (PASSIVE)

For the formation of the present stem see 497-531.

**627.** Indicative. — Vowel and consonant verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the present by attaching the primary endings (when there are any) to the present stem in -%

- $(-\omega/\eta^{-})$ .  $\lambda \delta \omega$ ,  $\tau i \mu \hat{\omega}$  ( $\tau i \mu \hat{a} \omega$ ),  $\phi a l \nu \omega$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \omega$ . The imperfect attaches the secondary endings to the present stem with the augment. See the paradigms, pp. 114, 120. For the active forms  $-\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota$ , see 463.
- **628.** -y and  $-\epsilon_l$  are found in the pres. fut. mid. and pass., fut. perf. pass.  $\epsilon_r(\sigma)a_l$  yields y (written EI in the Old Attic alphabet, 2 a), which is usually given as the proper spelling in the texts of the tragic poets, whereas  $\epsilon_l$  is printed in the texts of prose and comedy.  $\epsilon_l$  was often written for  $\eta_l$  ( $\eta$ ) after 400 B.C., as in  $d\gamma a\theta \hat{\epsilon}_l$   $r \dot{\nu} \chi \epsilon_l$ , since both had the sound of a close long  $\epsilon_l$ . It is often impossible to settle the spelling; but  $\beta o \dot{\nu} k \epsilon_l$  wishest, of  $\epsilon_l$  thinkest, and  $\delta \dot{\nu} \epsilon_l$  shalt see (from  $\dot{\nu} \dot{\rho} \dot{\kappa} \dot{\nu} \dot{\rho}$ ) have only the  $-\epsilon_l$  forms.  $-\epsilon_l$  is sometimes called Attic and Ionic in contrast to -y of the other dialects, including the Koiné.
- **629.** Subjunctive. The present subjunctive adds the primary endings to the tense-stem with the long thematic vowel. For the endings - $\eta s$ , - $\eta s$  see 463. Thus,  $\lambda \delta \omega$ , - $\eta s$ , - $\eta$ ,  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{a} s$  (=  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \eta s$ ),  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{a}$  (=  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \eta$ ),  $\phi a \iota \nu \omega \mu e \nu$ , - $\eta \tau \epsilon$ , - $\omega \sigma \iota$  (from - $\omega \nu \tau \iota$ ). Middle  $\lambda \delta \omega \mu a \iota$ ,  $\lambda \delta \eta$  (=  $\lambda \delta \eta \sigma a \iota$ ),  $\lambda \delta \eta \tau a \iota$ ;  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta o \nu$  (=  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{a} \eta \sigma \theta o \nu$ );  $\phi a \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \mu e \theta a$ ,  $\phi a \iota \nu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ,  $\phi a$
- **630.** Optative. To the tense-stem ending in the thematic vowel (always o) are added the mood-sign -i- ( $-i\epsilon$ -) or  $-i\eta$  (459, 460) and the secondary personal endings (except  $-\mu\iota$  for  $-\nu$ , where the mood sign is -i-, 459). In the 3 pl. we have  $-\iota\epsilon-\nu$ .
- **631.** Imperative. The present imperative endings are added to the tense-stem with the thematic vowel  $\epsilon$  (o before  $-\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ). The 2 pers. sing. active has no ending, but uses the tense-stem instead  $(\pi\alpha t\delta\epsilon\nu\epsilon, \phi\alpha\hat{\imath}\nu\epsilon)$ . In the middle  $-\sigma$ o loses its  $\sigma$  (466, 2 a);  $\lambda\acute{e}ov$  from  $\lambda\acute{e}\epsilon$ - $\sigma$ o,  $\phi$ a $\acute{\iota}\nuov$  from  $\phi$ a $\iota$  $\nu\epsilon$ - $\sigma$ o. On the forms in  $-\epsilon\tau\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$  and  $-\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$  for  $-\circ\nu\tau\omega\nu$  and  $-\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ , see 466, 2 b.
- **632.** Infinitive. The present stem unites with  $-\epsilon \nu$ :  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu = \lambda \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu = \lambda \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ . In the middle (passive)  $-\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  is added:  $\lambda \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon l \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ .
- **633.** Participle. The present participle adds - $\nu\tau$  to the present stem ending in the thematic vowel o. Stems in -0- $\nu\tau$  have the nominative singular in - $\omega\nu$ . Thus masc.  $\lambda \delta \omega \nu$  from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} o \nu \tau$ -s, fem.  $\lambda \delta o \nu \sigma a$  from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} o \nu \tau$ - $_{\chi} a$ , neut.  $\lambda \delta o \nu$  from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} o \nu (\tau)$ . See 301 a and N.
- **634.** A few  $\omega$ -verbs in the present and imperfect show forms of the  $\mu$ -conjugation. These are usually Epic.
- δέχομαι, 3 pl. δέχαται await for δεχχται, part. δέγμενος, imperf. ἐδέγμην. But these are often regarded as perfect and pluperfect without reduplication. ἐδέγμην
- **632** D. Severer Doric has  $\xi \chi \eta \nu$  and  $\xi \chi \epsilon \nu$ ; Milder Doric has  $\xi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ; Aeolic has  $\xi \chi \eta \nu$ . Hom. has  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$ .
- 633 D. Aeolic has fem.  $-oi\sigma\alpha$  in the present and second agrist (37 D. 3),  $\lambda toi\sigma\alpha$ ,  $\lambda t\pi oi\sigma\alpha$ .

in some passages is a second agrist (688). —  $\xi\delta\omega$  eat (529. 5), inf.  $\xi\delta\mu$ eval. —  $\xi\rho\nu\omega$  (or  $\epsilon i\rho\nu\omega$ ) in  $\epsilon i\rho\nu\alpha\tau$ al. —  $\lambda$ 00 $\tau$ al wash is from  $\lambda$ 6 $\epsilon\tau$ al, not from  $\lambda$ 0 $\nu\omega$  (cp. 398 a). —  $\epsilon$ 0 $\epsilon$ 1 think is probably a perfect to 0 $\epsilon$ 10 $\epsilon$ 1 or 0 $\epsilon$ 2 wound in 0 $\epsilon$ 2 a, 0 $\epsilon$ 2 depend in 2 agr. —  $\epsilon$ 2 agr. —  $\epsilon$ 4 $\epsilon$ 2 wound in 0 $\epsilon$ 3 imper.  $\epsilon$ 4 $\epsilon$ 5 imper.  $\epsilon$ 6 $\epsilon$ 6 imper.  $\epsilon$ 6.

#### CONTRACT VERBS

- 635. Verbs in  $-\alpha\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\omega$  contract the final  $\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon$ , o of the verb-stem with the thematic vowel  $-o/\epsilon$  ( $-\omega/\eta$ ) in the present and imperfect tenses. Thus,  $\tau i \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega \tau i \mu \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\pi o i \dot{\epsilon} \omega \pi o i \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega \delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega}$ ;  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau i \mu \alpha \sigma v \dot{\epsilon} \tau i \mu \omega v$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o i \dot{\epsilon} \sigma v \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o i \dot{\omega} \sigma v \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda o \nu v$ . The rules of contraction are given in 49–55; the paradigms, p. 120.
  - a. Open forms of  $-\epsilon \omega$  verbs occur in the lyric parts of tragedy.
- **636.** Subjunctive. The subjunctive adds the primary endings. For the contractions see 59.
- **638.** In the singular  $-\omega$  verbs usually end in  $-\omega \eta \nu$ ,  $-\omega \eta$ ,  $-\omega \eta$ , rarely in  $-\widehat{\omega} \mu$ ,  $-\widehat{\omega}$ ,  $-\widehat{\omega}$ ,  $-\varepsilon \omega$  verbs usually end in  $-ol\eta \nu$ ,  $-ol\eta$ s,  $-ol\eta$ , rarely in  $-ol\eta \mu$ ,  $-ol\eta$ s,  $-ol\eta$  (-ol chiefly in Plato).
- **640.** Few cases of the optative of  $-\omega$  verbs occur. In the sing, both  $-\omega i\eta \nu$  and  $-\omega i\eta \mu$  are found; in the plur.  $-\omega i\mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-\omega i\tau \epsilon$ ,  $-\omega i\epsilon \nu$ . For  $\dot{\rho}i\gamma\dot{\phi}\eta\nu$  from  $\dot{\rho}i\gamma\dot{\delta}\omega$  shiver see 641.
  - **641.** Several contract verbs have stems in  $-\bar{a}$ ,  $-\eta$ ,  $-\omega$ .

These are the verbs of 394, 398 with apparently irregular contraction, and  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}$  do, with presents made from  $-\bar{a}_{-}\iota\omega$ ,  $-\eta_{-}\iota\omega$ . Thus, from  $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\omega}$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon$ is,  $\dot{\gamma}\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon$  and  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\epsilon(\sigma)\alpha\iota$ ,  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$  come  $\dot{\zeta}\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\dot{\zeta}\hat{\eta}$ s,  $\dot{\zeta}\hat{\eta}$  and  $\chi\rho\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\tau\alpha\iota$ ; so  $\delta\iota\psi\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\nu\hat{\eta}\nu$  from  $\delta\iota\psi\dot{\eta}-\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\nu\dot{\eta}-\epsilon\nu$ .  $\delta\dot{\rho}\delta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\dot{\delta}\omega$  (398) derive the forms in  $\omega$  and  $\omega$  from  $i\delta\rho\omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\omega$  ( $i\delta\rho\dot{\omega}\omega$ ,  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\dot{\omega}\omega$  from  $i\delta\rho\omega\sigma$ - $\iota\omega$ ). The forms in  $-\omega$  are from the weaker stems  $i\delta\rho\sigma\sigma$ -,  $\dot{\rho}\bar{\iota}\gamma\sigma\sigma$ -.

**<sup>641</sup> D.** Hom. has διψάων, πεινάων, πεινήμεναι, μνάομαι, χρήων (Mss. χρείων) uttering oracles, γελώω, ίδρώω. The verbs in 394, except διψῶ and πεινῶ, have stems in  $\eta$  and ă (36 e); thus, in Hdt., χρᾶται from χράεται, but χρέω imper., χρεώμενοs from χρῆο, χρηόμενοs by 34. Hom. and Ion, ζώω has the stem ζω (ζω- $\iota$ ω). Hdt. has ζῆν, διψῆν, but κνᾶν, σμᾶν.

#### CONTRACT VERBS IN THE DIALECTS

- **642.** -αω Verbs in Homer. Hom. leaves -αω verbs open 64 times, as ναιετάω, -άουσι, ὑλάει, ἀοιδιάουσα, γοάοιμεν, τηλεθάονταs. When contracted, -αω verbs have the Attic forms, as ὁρῶ, ὀρᾶς, ὀρᾶς ; as πειρᾶ makest trial from πειράε-(σ)αι from πειράομαι; ἡρῶ didst pray from ἡράε-(σ)ο from ἀράομαι.
- **643.** When uncontracted, verbs in  $-\alpha\omega$  often show in the Mss. of Hom., not the original open forms, but "assimilated" forms of the concurrent vowels,  $\alpha\epsilon$ ,  $\alpha\epsilon$ ,  $\alpha\eta$  giving a double  $\alpha$  sound by  $\alpha$  prevailing over the  $\epsilon$  sound;  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha\omega$ ,  $\alpha\omega$ ,  $\alpha\omega$  giving a double  $\alpha$  sound by the  $\alpha$  sound prevailing over the  $\alpha$ . One of the vowels is commonly lengthened, rarely both.

```
\mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (1) \quad \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\alpha} : \dot{o} \rho \dot{a} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota = \dot{o} \rho \dot{a} a \sigma \theta a \iota, \dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} - \gamma \dot{a} \sigma \theta a \iota
                                                                                                                                  = (2) \omega\omega: \mu\epsilon\nuοινά\omega = \mu\epsilon\nuοινώ\omega.
                                    \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon = \dot{a} \gamma \dot{a} a \sigma \theta \epsilon.
                                                                                                                        αοι = (1) οω: ὁράοιτε = ὁρόωτε.
         = (2) \bar{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}: \mu\nu\dot{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota = \mu\nu\dot{\mathbf{a}}\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota, \dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\mathbf{a}}-
                                                                                                                                  = (2) \omega o \iota : \dot{\eta} \beta \acute{a} o \iota \mu \iota = \dot{\eta} \beta \acute{\omega} o \iota \mu \iota.
                                    \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon = \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon.
                                                                                                                       \mathbf{aov} = (1) \mathbf{oω}: \delta \rho \acute{a}ov \sigma a = \delta \rho \acute{b} \omega \sigma a, \delta \rho \acute{a}-
αει = (1) αα : ὁράεις = ὁράας, ἐάει = ἐάα.
                                                                                                                                                             ov\sigma\iota = \dot{o}\rho\delta\omega\sigma\iota, \dot{a}\lambda\dot{a}ov (from
          = (2) \bar{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}: \mu\epsilon\nuοιν\dot{\mathbf{a}}\epsilonι = \mu\epsilon\nuοιν\dot{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a}.
                                                                                                                                                              d\lambda d\epsilon_0 imper. of d\lambda do\mu a\iota) =
a\eta = (1) a\alpha : \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \eta s = \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \alpha s.
                                                                                                                                                              άλόω.
          = (2) aa: µván wooest 2 sing. mid.
                                                                                                                                  = (2) \omega \omega: \dot{\eta} \beta \acute{a}ov \sigma \alpha = \dot{\eta} \beta \acute{\omega} \omega \sigma \alpha, \delta \rho \acute{a}-
                                     = \mu \nu \dot{a}a.
                                                                                                                                                              ουσι = δρώωσι, ov here is
 \mathbf{ao} = (1) \mathbf{o} \mathbf{\omega} : \delta \rho \delta \mathbf{o} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathbf{s} = \delta \rho \delta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \mathbf{s}.
                                                                                                                                                              a spurious diphthong (6)
          = (2) \omega o: \dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\alpha} o \nu \tau \epsilon s = \dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\omega} o \nu \tau \epsilon s, \mu \nu \dot{\alpha}-
                                                                                                                                                              derived from -οντ-: ὁρα-
                                     o\nu \tau o = \mu \nu \omega o \nu \tau o.
                                                                                                                                                              οντ-ια, ήβαοντ-ια, δράοντι;
\mathbf{a}\boldsymbol{\omega} = (1) \ \mathbf{o}\boldsymbol{\omega} : \delta\rho\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} = \delta\rho\delta\boldsymbol{\omega},
                                                                                          βοάων =
                                                                                                                                                              or by contraction in ἀλάου
                                     βοόων.
                                                                                                                                                              from άλάεο.
```

- N. άλδω from άλάεο wander is unique. γελώοντες is from γελώω (641).
- **644.** The assimilated forms are used only when the second vowel (in the unchanged form) stood in a syllable long by nature or position. Hence  $\dot{ο}\rho οωμεν$ ,  $\dot{ο}\rho αατε$ ,  $\dot{ο}\rho αατε$ , do not occur for  $\dot{ο}\rho \dot{α}ομεν$ , etc. (μνω $\dot{ο}μεν$ ος for μνα $\dot{ο}μεν$ ος is an exception.) The first vowel is lengthened only when the metre requires it, as in  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}οντες$  for  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}οντες$  for  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}οντες$   $\smile$ . Thus two long vowels do not occur in succession except to fit the form to the verse, as μενοινώω for μενοινάω; but  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}ομμ$ , not  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}μμ$ . When the first vowel is metrically lengthened, the second vowel is not lengthened, though it may be long either in a final syllable (as in μενοινάς) or when it represents the spurious diphthong ov from -οντ- (as in  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}ωσ$ α,  $δρ\dot{ω}ωσ$ ι for  $\dot{η}β\dot{ω}ουσ$ α,  $δρ\dot{ω}ουσ$ ι from -οντ-μα, -οντι).
- **645.** The assimilated forms include the "Attic" future in  $-\alpha\omega$  from  $-\alpha\sigma\omega$  (539); as  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\delta\omega\sigma\iota$  (=  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}o\nu\sigma\iota$ ),  $\kappa\rho\epsilon\mu\delta\omega$ ,  $\delta\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha$ ,  $\delta\alpha\mu\delta\omega\sigma\iota$ .
- **646.** The assimilated forms are found only in the artificial language of Homer, Hesiod, and their imitators, and nowhere in the living speech. They are commonly explained as derived from the contracted forms by a process of 'distraction,' and as inserted in the text for the sake of the metre. Thus  $\delta \rho \hat{q} \hat{s}_{\tau}$

βοῶντες, the spoken forms which had taken the place of original ὁράεις, βοάοντες, in the text, were expanded into ὁράας, βοόωντες, by repetition of the  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ . While the restoration of the original uncontracted forms is generally possible, and is adopted in several modern editions, a phonetic origin of many of the forms in question is still sought by some scholars who regard ὁρόω as an intermediate stage between ὀράω and ὁρῶ. It will be observed, however, that the forms in 648 can be derived only from the unassimilated forms.

- 647. In the imperfect contraction generally occurs, and assimilation is rare.
- **648.** Some verbs show εο for αο, as ήντεον, τρόπεου, μενοίνεον, ποτέονται. Cp. 649, 653.
- **649.**  $-\alpha\omega$  verbs in Herodotus. Hdt. contracts  $-\alpha\omega$  verbs as they are contracted in Attic. In many cases before an o sound the Mss. substitute  $\epsilon$  for  $\alpha$  ( $\tau o \lambda \mu \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \phi o (\tau e \omega \nu)$ ). This  $\epsilon$  is never found in all the forms of the same verb, and the Mss. generally disagree on each occurrence of any form. Hdt. always has  $-\psi \eta \nu$ ,  $-\psi \mu \eta \nu$ , in the optative.
- **650.** -εω verbs in Homer. a. Hom. rarely contracts εω and εο (except in the participle). In a few cases ευ appears for εο, as ποιεύμην; rarely for εου, as  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{\nu} \sigma$ . When the metre allows either -εε and -εει, or -ει, the open forms are slightly more common. ει is often necessary to admit a word into the verse (as  $\dot{\gamma}\gamma\epsilon\bar{\nu}\sigma \delta a\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi(\lambda\epsilon\iota)$ , and is often found at the verse-end. -έ-ε-αι, -έ-ε-ο, in the 2 sing. mid. may become -ε $\bar{\nu}\alpha\iota$ , -ε $\bar{\nu}$ , or -έ $a\iota$ , -έο, by the expulsion of one ε; as  $\mu\bar{\nu}\theta\epsilon\bar{\nu}$ αι or  $\mu\bar{\nu}\theta\epsilon\bar{\nu}$ αι alδε $\bar{\nu}$ ο show regard.
- b. νεικείω, τελείω, from  $-εσ_-ιω$  (νεικεσ-, τελεσ-) are older forms than νεικέω, τελέω. See 488 d, 624. θείω, πλείω, πνείω show metrical lengthening (28 D.).
  - ·c. On -ημεναι in Hom. see 657.
- **651.**  $-\epsilon \omega$  verbs in Herodotus. a. Hdt. generally leaves  $\epsilon \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \omega$ , open, except when a vowel precedes the  $\epsilon$ , in which case we find  $\epsilon \omega$  for  $\epsilon \omega$  ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ). In the 3 plur.  $-\dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \sigma \iota$  is kept except in  $\pi \omega \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \iota$ . For  $-\dot{\epsilon} -\dot{\epsilon} \omega$  in the 2 sing, mid. we find  $\dot{\epsilon} -\dot{\omega} \omega$  in  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \varepsilon$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \varepsilon$ , in stems of more than one syllable, are usually uncontracted in the Mss., but this is probably an error.  $\dot{\delta} \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \iota$  it is necessary and  $\dot{\delta} \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \nu$  are never written otherwise. The Ion.  $\varepsilon \nu$  for  $\varepsilon \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ , occurs rarely in tragedy.
- b. In the optative Hdt. has -έοι after a consonant, as καλέοι, but -οî after a vowel, as ποιοῖμι, ποιοῖ.
- **652.** Verbs in  $-\infty$ . a. Hom. always uses the contracted forms except in the case of such as show assimilation like that in  $-\alpha\omega$  verbs.

```
00 = (1) οω : δηϊόοντο = δηϊόωντο. | οοι = οψ : δηιόοιεν = δηιόψεν. (2) ωο : ὑπνόοντας = ὑπνώοντας. | οου = οω : ἀρόουσι = ἀρόωσι.
```

- b. Hdt. contracts -οω verbs as in Attic. Forms with ευ for ου, as δικαιεῦσι, ἐδικαίευν, are incorrect.
- **653.** Doric. Doric (59 D.) contracts as and an to  $\eta$ ; as and an to  $\eta$ ; as, aw, to  $\bar{a}$  except in final syllables:  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}\tau$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}\tau$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\hat{\eta}\tau$ . Monosyllabic stems have  $\omega$  from  $\alpha + o$  or  $\alpha + \omega$ . Some verbs in -aw have alternative forms in - $\epsilon\omega$  (648), as  $\delta\rho\epsilon\omega$ ,  $\bar{\tau}\bar{\iota}\mu\epsilon\omega$ .

**654.** The contractions of  $-\epsilon \omega$  verbs in Doric may be illustrated thus:

Severer Doric Milder Doric  $\phi_i \lambda \ell \omega_i$ ,  $\phi_i \lambda \hat{\omega}_i$ ;,  $\phi_i \lambda \hat{\omega}_i$ ;,  $\phi_i \lambda \hat{\omega}_i$ ;  $\phi_i \lambda \hat{\omega}_i$ ;

a. ιω for  $\epsilon_0$  is a diphthong.  $\epsilon_0$  for  $\epsilon_0$  is common in Theoretius. In Cretan  $\iota (= y)$  for  $\epsilon$  is often expelled (κοσμόντες = κοσμέοντες).

- 655. Verbs in  $-\omega$  contract  $\omega$  and  $\omega$  to  $\omega$  in Severer Doric and to  $\omega$  in Milder Doric.
- **656.** Aeolic. In Aeolic contract verbs commonly pass into the  $\mu\iota$ -conjugation:  $\tau i\mu a\iota \mu\iota$ ,  $-a\iota s$ ,  $-a\iota$ ,  $\tau i\mu \bar{a}\mu \epsilon\iota$ ,  $\tau i\mu \bar{a}\tau \epsilon$ ,  $\tau i\mu a\iota \iota \iota$ , imperfect,  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\mu \bar{a}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\mu \bar{a}s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\mu \bar{a}$ , etc. inf.  $\tau i\mu \bar{a}\nu$ , part.  $\tau i\mu a\iota s$ ,  $-a\nu \tau os$ , mid.  $\tau i\mu \bar{a}\mu a\iota$ , inf.  $\tau i\mu \bar{a}\nu \epsilon\iota$ . So  $\phi i\lambda \eta \mu\iota$ ,  $\phi i\lambda \eta \iota \nu$ ,  $\phi i\lambda \eta \iota \iota$ ,  $\phi i\lambda \eta \iota \iota$ , inf.  $\phi i\lambda \eta \iota$ , part.  $\phi i\lambda \epsilon\iota s$ ,  $-\epsilon\nu \tau os$ . Thus  $\delta \rho \eta \iota \iota$  from  $\delta \rho \dot{\epsilon}\omega$  = Att.  $\delta \rho \dot{a}\omega$ ,  $\kappa \dot{a}\lambda \eta \mu\iota$ ,  $a^{i}\nu \eta \mu\iota$ . So also  $\delta \dot{\eta}\lambda \omega \mu$ , 3 pl.  $\delta \dot{\eta}\lambda \iota \iota \iota$ , inf.  $\delta \dot{\eta}\lambda \omega \nu$ . Besides these forms we find a few examples of the earlier inflection in  $-a\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-o\omega$ , but these forms usually contract except in a few cases where  $\epsilon$  is followed by an  $\sigma$  sound  $(\pi \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} o \nu \tau a\iota)$ . From other tenses,  $\epsilon .g$ . the fut. in  $-\eta \sigma \omega$ ,  $\eta$  has been transferred to the present in  $\dot{a}\delta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}\omega$ ,  $\pi o \theta \dot{\eta}\omega$ .
- **657.** Hom. has several cases of contract verbs inflected according to the μι-conjugation in the 3 dual:  $\sigma \bar{\nu} \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$  ( $\sigma \bar{\nu} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$  spoil), προσανδή- $\tau \eta \nu$  (προσανδάω speak to), ἀπειλή- $\tau \eta \nu$  (ἀπειλέω threaten), ὀμαρτή- $\tau \eta \nu$  (ὀμαρτέω meet); also σάω 3 sing imperf. (σαόω keep safe). In the infinitive - $\eta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ι, as γοήμεναι (γοάω), πεινήμεναι (πεινάω, 641), φιλήμεναι (φιλέω), φορήμεναι and φορῆναι (φορέω). But ἀγῖνέω has ἀγῖνέμεναι.

# FUTURE ACTIVE AND MIDDLE (532 ff.). FUTURE PERFECT (580 ff.)

- 658. All vowel and consonant verbs in -ω inflect the future alike.
- **659.** Indicative. The future active and middle add the primary endings, and are inflected like the present; as λύσω, λύσομαι. On the two endings of the second singular middle, see 628. Liquid verbs, Attic futures (538), Doric futures (540) are inflected like contract verbs in -εω; thus φανῶ φανοῦμαι, καλῶ καλοῦμαι, and πεσοῦμαι, follow ποιῶ ποιοῦμαι (385).
- a. The only future perfect active from an  $\omega$ -verb is  $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{\eta}\xi\omega$  shall be dead (584), which is inflected like a future active. Ordinarily the periphrastic formation is used:  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa\dot{\omega}s\ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  shall have loosed. The future perfect passive ( $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  shall have been loosed) is inflected like the future middle. The periphrastic forms and the future perfect passive rarely occur outside of the indicative.
- **660.** Optative. The inflection is like the present:  $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma o \bar{\iota} \mu \lambda, \lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma o \bar{\iota} \mu \eta \nu$ . In the optative singular of liquid verbs,  $-\iota \eta \nu$ ,  $-\iota \eta s$ ,  $-\iota \eta$ , in the dual and plural  $-\bar{\iota} \tau o \nu$ , greek gram. 13

- $-\tilde{\iota}-\tau\eta\nu$ ,  $-\tilde{\iota}-\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $-\tilde{\iota}-\tau\epsilon$ ,  $-i\epsilon-\nu$ , are added to the stem ending in the thematic vowel o; thus  $\phi a\nu\epsilon o l\eta\nu = \phi a\nu o l\eta\nu$ ,  $\phi a\nu \epsilon o \tilde{\iota}-\mu\epsilon\nu = \phi a\nu o \hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$ . So in Attic futures in  $-\dot{a}\zeta\omega$ , as  $\beta \iota \beta \dot{a}\zeta\omega$  (539 d) cause to go:  $\beta \iota \beta \dot{\omega}\eta\nu$ ,  $-\dot{\omega}\eta\nu$ ,  $-\dot{\omega}\nu$ ,
- **661.** Infinitive. The future infinitive active adds  $-\epsilon \nu$ , as  $\lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$  from  $\lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  from  $\phi a \nu \epsilon (\sigma) \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ . The infinitive middle adds  $-\sigma \theta a \iota$ , as  $\lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ,  $\phi a \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota$ , from  $\phi a \nu \epsilon (\sigma) \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ .
- 662. Participle. The future participle has the same endings as the present: λόσων λόσουσα λῦσον, φανών φανοῦσα φανοῦν; middle, λῦσόμενος, φανούμενος.

## FIRST AND SECOND FUTURE PASSIVE (589, 597)

- 663. All verbs inflect the first and second future passive alike, that is, like the future middle.
- **664.** The indicative adds -μαι to the stem ending in -θησο- or -ησο-, as  $\lambda \nu\theta \eta$ -σο-μαι, φανή-σο-μαι. For the two forms of the second person singular see 628. The optative adds - $\bar{\iota}$ -μην, as  $\lambda \nu\theta \eta$ -σο- $\bar{\iota}$ -μην, φανησο- $\bar{\iota}$ -μην. The infinitive adds -σθαι, as  $\lambda \nu\theta \eta$ -σε-σθαι, φανή-σε-σθαι. The participle adds -μενος, as  $\lambda \nu\theta \eta$ σδ-μενος, φανησδ-μενος.

## FIRST AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE (542)

- 665. All vowel and consonant ω-verbs inflect the first agrist alike.
- **666.** Indicative. The secondary endings of the first acrist active were originally added to the stem ending in  $-\sigma$ -; thus,  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma\mu$ ,  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma$ -s,  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma$ - $\tau$ ,  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma$ - $\tau$ ,  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma$ - $\tau$ . From  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma\mu$  came  $\ell\lambda\bar{\nu}\sigma$  (by 35 c), the  $\alpha$  of which spread to the other forms except in the 3 sing., where  $\epsilon$  was borrowed from the perfect.
- a. In the middle the secondary endings are added to the stem ending in  $-\sigma\alpha$ . For the loss of  $\sigma$  in  $-\sigma\sigma$ , see 465 b.
- **667.** Subjunctive. In the subjunctive the long thematic vowel  $-\omega/\eta$  is substituted for the  $\alpha$  of the indicative, and these forms are inflected like the present subjunctive:  $\lambda \acute{v}\sigma \omega \lambda \acute{v}\sigma \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\phi \acute{\eta}\nu \omega \phi \acute{\eta}\nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ . For the loss of  $\sigma$  in  $-\sigma \alpha \iota$  see 465 a.
- **668.** Optative. To the stem ending in  $\alpha$  the mood-suffix  $\bar{\iota}$  is added, making  $\alpha\iota$ , to which the same endings are affixed as in the present:  $\lambda \delta \sigma \alpha \bar{\iota} \mu\iota = \lambda \delta \sigma \alpha \iota \mu\iota$ ,  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma \alpha \bar{\iota} \mu \eta \nu = \lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \eta \nu$ ,  $\phi \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \bar{\iota} \mu\iota = \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \mu\iota$ . The inflection in the middle is like that of the present. For the loss of  $\sigma$  in  $-\sigma \sigma$  see 465 b. In the active  $-\epsilon\iota \alpha s$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota \epsilon$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota \alpha \nu$  are more common than  $-\alpha\iota s$ ,  $-\alpha\iota \epsilon \nu$ .
  - 661 D. Hom. has ἀξέμεναι, ἀξέμεν, ἄξειν. Doric has -ην, -ειν; Aeolic has -ην.
- **667 D.** Hom. has forms with the short thematic vowel, as ἐρύσσομεν, ἀλγήσετε, νεμεσήσετε; μῦθήσομαι, ἐφάψεαι, ἱλασόμεσθα, δηλήσεται. In such forms aorist subjunctive and future indicative are alike (532). Pindar has βάσομεν, αὐδάσομεν (457 D.).
- 668 D. Hom. has both sets of endings, but that in a is rarer. In the drama -eas is very much commoner than -ass. -ass is most frequent in Plato and Xeno-

- **669.** Imperative. The regular endings (462) are added to the stem in  $-\sigma a$  (or -a in liquid verbs) except in the active and middle  $2 \sin g$ , in which  $-\sigma v$  and  $-\alpha u$  take the place of  $-\alpha$ :  $\lambda \hat{v}\sigma v \lambda \bar{v}\sigma \delta \tau \omega$ ,  $\lambda \hat{v}\sigma \delta \sigma \delta \omega$ ,  $\phi \hat{\eta} v v v \phi \eta v \delta \tau \omega$ ,  $\phi \hat{\eta} v \alpha u \phi \eta v \delta \sigma \delta \omega$ .
- **670.** Infinitive. The agrist active infinitive ends in  $-\alpha\iota$ , which is an old dative: the middle ends in  $-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ :  $\lambda \theta\sigma\alpha\iota$   $\lambda \theta\sigma\alpha-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\phi \eta \nu\alpha\iota$   $\phi \eta \nu\alpha-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi \lambda \xi \xi \alpha\iota$   $\pi \lambda \xi \xi \alpha-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ .
- **671.** Participle. The active participle adds  $-\nu\tau$  like the present: masc.  $\kappa \delta \sigma \bar{\alpha} s$  from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma a \nu \tau s$ , fem.  $\lambda \delta \sigma \bar{\alpha} \sigma a$  from  $\lambda \nu \sigma \bar{\alpha} \nu \tau s$ , neut.  $\lambda \hat{\nu} \sigma a \nu$  from  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma a \nu (\tau)$ . See 301. The middle ends in  $-\mu \epsilon \nu o s$ :  $\lambda \bar{\nu} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ,  $\phi \eta \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ .

## FIRST AND SECOND AORIST PASSIVE (585, 590)

- 672. All vowel and consonant verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the acrists passive alike, that is, according to the  $\mu\iota$ -conjugation, except in the subjunctive.
- a. Vowel verbs rarely form second agrists that are passive in form, as  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  flow,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\dot{\nu}\eta\nu$  (803). But  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$  is properly not a vowel verb (see 503).
- **673.** Indicative. The indicative adds the active secondary endings directly to the tense stem ending in  $-\theta\eta$  (first aorist) or  $-\eta$  (second aorist). The inflection is thus like that of the imperfect of a verb in  $-\mu$ .

ἐλύθη-ν	ἐτίθη-ν			<b>ͼλύθη-με</b> ν	<b>ἐτίθε-μεν</b>
ἐλύθη-ς	ėτίθη-s	ἐλύθη-τον	ἐτίθε-τον	έλύθη-τε	<b>ἐτίθε-τε</b>
ἐλύθη	€τίθη	έλυθή-την	<b>ἐτιθέ-την</b>	έλύθη-σαν	ἐτίθε-σαν

- a. For  $-\sigma a\nu$  we find  $-\nu$  from  $-\nu(\tau)$  in poetical and dialectic forms before which  $\eta$  has been shortened to  $\epsilon$  (40), thus  $\ddot{\omega}\rho\mu\eta\theta\epsilon\nu$  for  $\dot{\omega}\rho\mu\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\sigma a\nu$  from  $\dot{\delta}\rho\mu\dot{a}\omega$  urge.
- **674.** Subjunctive. The subjunctive adds  $-\omega/\eta_-$  to the tense stem ending in  $-\theta\epsilon$  or  $-\epsilon$  and contracts:  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \hat{\omega}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$ , etc., from  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \epsilon \omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon \eta$ , etc.;  $\phi \alpha \upsilon \hat{\omega}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}s$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$  from  $\phi \alpha \upsilon \epsilon \omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon \eta$ , etc.
- **675.** Optative. —The optative adds  $-\bar{\iota}$  or  $-\iota\eta$  to the tense-stem ending in  $-\theta\epsilon$  or  $-\epsilon$ -, and contracts. In the singular  $-\iota\eta$  is regular; in the dual and plural  $-\bar{\iota}$  is generally preferred. Thus  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\ell\eta\nu$  from  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon-\ell\eta-\nu$ ,  $\phi\alpha\nu\epsilon\ell\eta\nu$  from  $\phi\alpha\nu\epsilon-\ell\eta-\nu$ ,

phon, less common in poetry, and very rare in the orators. Neither Thuc. nor Hdt. has  $-\alpha\iota$ s.  $-\alpha\iota$  is rare in prose, most examples being in Plato and Demosthenes. Hdt. has no case. In Aristotle  $-\alpha\iota$  is as common as  $-\epsilon\iota\epsilon$ .  $-\alpha\iota$  is very rare in poetry, in Thuc. and Hdt., but slightly better represented in Xenophon and the orators.  $-\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu$  is probably the regular form in the drama. — The forms in  $-\epsilon\iota\alpha$ s,  $-\epsilon\iota\epsilon$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu$  are called "Aeolic," but do not occur in the remains of that dialect.

671 D. Aeolic has -aις, -αισα, -αν (37 D. 3).

674 D. Hdt. leaves  $\epsilon \omega$  open (αἰρεθέω, φανέωσι) but contracts  $\epsilon \eta$ ,  $\epsilon \eta$  (φανη). Hom. has some forms like the 2 aor. subj. of  $\mu$ -verbs. Thus, from δαμνάω (δάμνημ) subdue: δαμήω, -ήης, -ήςτ. So also δαήω (δα-learn), σαπήη (σήπω cause to rot), φανήη (φαίνω show), τραπήομεν (τέρπω amuse). The spellings with  $\epsilon \iota$  (e.g. δαμείω, δαείω) are probably incorrect.

λυθεῖτον from λυθέ- $\bar{\iota}$ -τον, φανεῖτον from φανέ- $\bar{\iota}$ -τον, λυθεῖμεν from λυθέ- $\bar{\iota}$ -μεν, φανεῖεν from φανέ- $\iota$ ε-ν. The inflection is like that of the present optative of a  $\mu$ -verb.

λυθε-ίη-ν	τιθε-ίη-ν			λυθε-î-μεν	τιθε-î-μεν
λυθε-ίη-ς	<b>τιθε-</b> ίη-ς	λυθε-ῖ-τον	τιθε-ῖ-τον	λυθε-î-τε	τιθε-ί-τε
λυθέ-ίη	τιθε-ίη	λυθε-ί-την	τιθε-ί-την	λυθε-ῖε-ν	τιθε-ῖε-ν

- a.  $-\epsilon l\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$  is used only in prose (but Plato and Isocrates have also  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ).  $-\epsilon l\eta\tau\epsilon$  is almost always found in the Mss. of prose writers;  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}\tau\epsilon$  occurs only in poetry (except from  $\mu\nu$ -verbs).  $-\epsilon \hat{\iota}\epsilon\nu$  is more common in prose than  $-\epsilon l\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$ .
- **676.** Imperative. The endings of the imperative are added to the tense-stem ending in  $-\theta\eta$  or  $-\eta$ -. Before  $-\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ,  $-\theta\eta$  and  $-\eta$  become  $-\theta\epsilon$  and  $-\epsilon$  ( $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ,  $\phi\alpha\nu\epsilon\nu\tau\omega\nu$ ). For  $-\tau\iota$  instead of  $-\theta\iota$  in the first agrist ( $\lambda\dot{\nu}\theta\eta\tau\iota$ ) see 125 b.
- **677.** Infinitive. - $\nu a\iota$  is added to the tense-stem in  $-\theta \eta$  or  $-\eta$ -:  $\lambda \upsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a\iota$ ,  $\phi a\nu \hat{\eta} \nu a\iota$ .
- **678.** Participle. The participle adds  $-\nu\tau$ , as masc.  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon ls$  from  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\nu\tau$ -s, fem.  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}\sigma$  from  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\nu\tau$ - $\mu$ , neut.  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  from  $\lambda\nu\theta\epsilon\nu(\tau)$ . See 301. So  $\mu$

## SECOND AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE (546)

- 679. Most verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the second agrist according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation; some inflect it according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation.
- **680.** The inflection of most second agrists of  $\omega$ -verbs is like that of an imperfect of  $\omega$ -verbs in the indicative, and like that of a present in the other moods.

έ-λιπο-ν	ἔ-λ <del>υ</del> ο-ν	λίπε	λῦε
ἶ-λιπό-μην	ξ-λυό-μην	λιποῦ (424 b. 2)	λύου
λίπω	λτίω ``	λιπειν (λιπέ-εν, 424 c)	λτίειν ( <b>λτίε-ε</b> ν)
λίπω-μαι	λτίω-μαι	λιπέ-σθαι	λτίε-σθαι
λιπο-ί-μην	λῦο-ί-μην	λιπών	λτίων
		λιπό-μενος	λυό-μενος

For the loss of  $\sigma$  in  $-\sigma o$  in the second person singular see 465 b.

**681.** A number of ω-verbs form their second agrists without a thematic vowel, herein agreeing with the second agrists of  $\mu$ -verbs. Cp. ἔδῦν p. 140. The second agrist of  $\gamma$ ι- $\gamma$ νώ- $\sigma$ κω know is inflected as follows.

**<sup>677</sup> D.** Hom. has -μεναι, as ὁμοιωθήμεναι, δαήμεναι (and δα $\hat{\eta}$ ναι). Doric has -μεν, Aeolic -ν (μεθύσθην = μεθυσθήναι).

**<sup>680</sup> D.** Hom has the infinitives  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ ,  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ . For  $\theta a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$  (Attic  $\theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ) etc.,  $\theta a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$  should be read.  $-\epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$  in Hdt. is erroneous. Doric has  $-\hat{\eta} \nu$ , as  $\mu o \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$  (βλώσκω g o). Aeolic has  $-\eta \nu$ , as  $\lambda \acute{a} \beta \eta \nu$ .

**682.** The indicative is inflected like  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \nu$  (p. 138); the subjunctive, like  $\delta \hat{\omega}$  (p. 138).

ἔ-γνω-ν		ἔ-γνω-μεν	γνῶ		γνῶ-μεν
ἔ-γνω-ς	ξ-γνω-τον	<b>ἔ-γνω-τ</b> ε	γνῶ-ς	γνῶ-τον	γνῶ-τε
ἔ-γνω	ἐ-γνώ-την	ἔ-γνω-σαν	γνῶ	γνῶ-τον	γνῶ-σι

- a. We expect ἔγνοτον, ἔγνομεν, etc. (551), but the strong stem γνω- has been transferred to the dual and plural. So also in ἔβην, ἔφθην, ἐάλων. Subjunctive βῶ, βῆς, βῆς, βῆτον, βῶμεν, βῆτε, βῶσι. On the formation of the subjunctive see 757 D.
  - **683.** The optative is inflected like  $\delta o i \eta \nu$  (p. 138).

γνοίην		γνοίμεν or γνοίημεν
γνοίης	γνοίτον οτ γνοίητον	γνοῖτε οι γνοίητε
γνοίη	γνοίτην οτ γνοιήτην	γνοίεν or γνοίησαν

- a. So  $\beta a l \eta \nu$ ,  $\beta a \hat{\iota} \tau \sigma \nu$  or  $\beta a l \eta \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $\beta a \hat{\iota} \mu \epsilon \nu$  or  $\beta a l \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ . In the 2 plur, the Mss. of prose writers have only  $-\iota \eta \tau \epsilon$  ( $\gamma \nu o l \eta \tau \epsilon$ ,  $-\beta a l \eta \tau \epsilon$ ); but  $-\iota \eta \tau \epsilon$  is not attested by the evidence of verse.
  - **684.** The imperative is inflected like  $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \iota$  (p. 139).

γνῶθι, γνώτω γνῶτον, γνώτων γνῶτε, γνόντων

- a. In composition διάγνωθι, ἀνάβηθι (423). For βῆθι (from βαίνω) -βā in composition occurs in poetry, as ἀνάβā.
- 685. The infinitive adds -εναι, as γνῶναι from γνώ-εναι (like στῆναι from στή-εναι). In composition διαγνῶναι (426 d).
- **686.** The participle adds -ντ-, as masc. γνούς from γνοντ-ς, fem. γνούσα from γνοντ-ζα, neut. γνόν from γνον $(\tau)$ . See 301. In composition διαγνούς (426 d).
  - a. Before  $\nu\tau$  the long vowel  $\omega$  is regularly shortened to o by 40.
  - 687. The following  $\omega$ -verbs have second agrists of the  $\mu\iota$  form.

άλίσκομαι (άλ-ο-) am captured, ἐάλων οτ ήλων (άλῶ, άλοίην, άλῶναι, άλούς). βαίνω (βα-) go, ἔβην (βῶ, βαίην, βῆθι and also -βā in composition, βῆναι, βάς). βιόω (βιο-) live, ἐβίων (βιῶ, βιώην, βιῶναι, βιούς). Hom. βιώτω imper. γηράσκω (γηρα-) grow old, γηρῶναι poet., γηράς Hom.

γιγνώσκω (γνο-, γνω-) know, έγνων (γνῶ, γνοίην, γνῶθι, γνῶναι, γνούς).

-διδράσκω (δρᾶ-) run, only in composition, -έδρᾶν (-δρῶ, -δραίην, -δρᾶναι, -δρᾶs).

Hdt. has  $\xi \delta \rho \eta \nu$ ,  $\delta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\delta \rho \hat{a}s$  in composition.

δόω (δῦ-) enter ἔδῦν entered inflected p. 140 (δόω, opt. Hom. δύη and ἔκδῦμεν for δυ-ίη, ἐκδύ-ῖ-μεν; δῦθι, δῦναι, δός).

ξχω (σχε-) have, σχές imper.

<sup>682</sup> D. ἔγνον, from ἐγνων(τ) by 40, is found in Pind. Hom. has ἔδυν, ἔτλαν, ἔκταν; Pind. ἔφυν. — Hom. has βἄτην and βήτην. — Hom. has βλήεται, ἄλεται. — Subj.: Hom. has γνώω ἀλώω, γνώης γνῷς, γνώη γνῷ, ἐμβήη ἀναβῆ, γνῶτον, γνώομεν γνῶμεν, -βήομεν φθέωμεν, γνώωσι γνῶσιν βῶσιν φθέωσιν.

<sup>685</sup> D. Hom. has γνώμεναι, δύμεναι, κτάμεναι, and -κτάμεν.

κτείνω (κτεν-, κτα-) kill, εκτάν, εκτάς, εκτά, εκτάμεν, 3 pl. εκτάν 551 D, subj. κτέωμεν, inf. κτάμεναι κτάμεν, part κτάς; εκτάμην was killed (κτάσθαι, κτάμενος); all poetic forms.

πέτομαι (πετ-, πτε-, πτα-) fly, poet. ἔπτην (πταίην, πτάs), middle ἐπτάμην (πτάσθαι, πτάμενοs). πτῶ, πτῆθι, πτῆναι are late.

 $\pi t \nu \omega \ (\pi \iota -) \ drink, \ \pi i \theta \iota \ imper.$ 

σκέλλω in ἀποσκέλλω (σκελ-, σκλε-) dry up, ἀποσκλήναι.

 $\tau$ λα- endure, fut.  $\tau$ λήσομαι, poetic ἔτλην ( $\tau$ λῶ,  $\tau$ λαίην,  $\tau$ λῆθι,  $\tau$ λῆναι,  $\tau$ λάς).

 $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$  ( $\phi\theta a$ -) anticipate,  $\xi\phi\theta\eta\nu$  ( $\phi\theta\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\phi\theta al\eta\nu$ ,  $\phi\theta\hat{\eta}\nu al$ ,  $\phi\theta\dot{a}s$ ).

φύω (φυ-) produce, ἔφυν was produced, am (φύω subj., φῦναι, φύς 308).

**688.** The following ω-verbs have in poetry (especially in Homer) second acrists of the  $\mu\iota$  form: ἄλλομαι (ἆλσο, ἆλτο), ἀπαυράω (ἀπούρᾶs), ἀραρίσκω (ἄρμενος), ἀω (ἄμεναι), βάλλω (ξυμβλήτην, ἔβλητο), βιβρώσκω (ἔβρων), root γεν- (γέντο grasped), δέχομαι (δέκτο), Ερία κιχάνω (ἐκίχην, κιχήω, κιχείη, κιχήγαι and κιχήμεναι, κιχείs and κιχήμενος; properly from κίχημι), κλάω (ἀπόκλᾶs), κλύω (κλῦθι, κέκλυθι), κτίζω (κτίμενος), root λεχ- (ἔλεκτο laid himself to rest), λὑω (λύτο), οὐτάω (οὖτα, οὐτάμενος), πάλλω (πάλτο), πελάζω (ἐπλήμην), πέρθω (πέρθαι = περθ-σθαι), πλώω (ἔπλων), πνῦ- (ἄμπνῦτο revived), πτήσσω (καταπτήτην), σεύω (ἐσσύμην, ἔσυτο, σύμενος), φθίνω (ἐφθίμην), χέω (ἐχύμην, χύμενος).

 $\xi$ λεκτο, πάλτο are properly first agrists (for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ λεκ-σ-το, παλ-σ-το),  $\sigma$  being lost between two consonants (103).

## FIRST AND SECOND PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT ACTIVE (555, 561)

- **689.** All vowel and consonant verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the first perfect alike. Some verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the second perfect according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation, others inflect it according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation.
- **690.** Indicative. Originally the endings were added to the stem without any thematic vowel. Of this unthematic formation a few traces survive (573). In the 2 p. sing. the ending is -s, but originally  $-\theta a$ ; in the 3 pl.  $-\kappa \bar{a} \tau \iota$  stands for  $\kappa a \nu \tau \iota$  out of  $\kappa a \nu \tau \iota$  (100). Thus  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa a$ , -a s,  $-\epsilon$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \phi a$ , -a s,  $-\epsilon$ , etc. The periphrastic combination occurs in the indicative (599 a).
- **691.** Subjunctive. The perfect subjunctive is commonly formed periphrastically by the perfect active participle and  $\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_{S}$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_{\theta}$ , etc. Thus  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\omega}_{S}$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\omega}_{S}$ )  $\hat{\omega}$ , etc.,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$ )  $\hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ , etc. Of the periphrastic forms only the 1 and 3 sing., 2 and 3 plur. are attested.
- **692.** Instances of the simple perfect subjunctive  $(\lambda \epsilon \lambda \delta \kappa \omega, \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho d \phi \omega)$  are very rare. The simple form is made by substituting the thematic vowel  $\omega/\eta$  for  $\alpha$  in the tense-stem. Only the sing, and the 3 plur, are attested from  $\omega$ -verbs.
- **693.** Besides  $\epsilon l\delta\hat{\omega}$  (olda) and  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\eta$ , etc., Attic prose has only about 16 occurrences of the simple perf. subj., and from the following verbs only:  $\beta a l\nu\omega$ ,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}\delta ia$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon l\rho\omega$ ,  $\ddot{\epsilon}o\kappa a$ ,  $\theta \nu \dot{\eta}\sigma\kappa\omega$ ,  $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\pi a \dot{\sigma}\chi\omega$ ,  $\pi o i\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\phi \dot{\sigma}\omega$ . Hippocr. has forms from  $\beta \iota \beta \rho \dot{\omega}\sigma\kappa\omega$ ,  $\pi o \nu \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\chi\omega$ . There are about 30 occurrences in the

- poetry. Attic prose writers show about 25 cases of the periphrasis from all  $\omega$ -verbs.
- **694.** Optative. The perfect optative is commonly formed periphrastically by the perfect active participle and  $\epsilon \ell \eta \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \ell \eta$ , etc. Thus  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu \iota \omega s$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \omega s$ )  $\epsilon \ell \eta \nu$ , etc.,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu \iota \omega s$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \omega s \iota \omega s$ )  $\epsilon \ell \eta \nu$ , etc.,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \iota \nu \iota \omega s$  ( $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \omega s \iota \omega s$ ) etc. The dual is exceedingly rare.
- **695.** Occasionally the simple forms are used (λελόκοιμι, γεγράφοιμι). These are formed by adding the mood-sign  $\bar{\iota}$ , and the endings, to the tense-stem with the thematic vowel (o). All the  $-\iota\eta$ -forms are attested; of the  $-\bar{\iota}$ -forms only the 3 sing, and 1 and 3 plur.
- **696.** Of the simple optative there are about 25 occurrences in Attic prose, and from the following verbs only:  $\dot{\alpha}\pi ο \chi ω ρ ω$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi α \pi α τ ω$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}l σ β άλλω$ ,  $\pi α ρ α δ l δ ω μι$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}οικα$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}στήκοι$ ,  $\dot{\nu}πηρετω$ ,  $\theta ν η σ κω$ , λανθάνω, καταλείπω, ποιώ, πάσχω, προέρχομαι,  $\dot{\epsilon}μπ iπτω$ ,  $\phi \dot{\epsilon}ω$ . In the poets there are about 16 occurrences. Prose writers show about 106 occurrences of the periphrastic forms.
- **697.** Imperative. The usual form of the first perfect imperative is periphrastic: λελυκώς ἴσθι, ἔστω, etc. No classical Attic writer uses the simple forms.
- **698.** The second perfect is rare, and occurs only in the case of verbs which have a present meaning. From active verbs inflected according to the ω- conjugation there occur κεχήνετε gape, Ar. Ach. 133 (χάσκω, χαν-), and κεκράγετε screech, Vesp. 415 (κράζω). Most second perfects show the μ form and have present meaning, as τέθναθι (Hom.) τεθνάτω from θνήσκω die, δέδιθι from δέδια fear, and κέκρᾶχθι from κράζω in Aristophanes. Most such second perfects are poetical.
  - 699. Infinitive. The perfect infinitive adds -έ-ναι, as λελυκέναι, λελοιπέναι.
- **700.** Participle. The suffixes of the perfect participle in the nominative are  $-(\rho)$   $\omega s$ ,  $-v\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $-(\rho)$   $\delta s$ , as  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda v \kappa \dot{\omega s}$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda o \iota \pi \dot{\omega s}$ . See 301 c, d, 309.
- **701.** Pluperfect Active. The pluperfect is formed by adding  $-\epsilon \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon \alpha s$ ,  $-\epsilon \epsilon$ ,  $-\epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ,  $-\epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$  to the reduplicated stem. By contraction from  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon \alpha s$ ,  $-\epsilon \epsilon$  come the forms  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ . In the later language  $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$  spread from the 3 sing, and was used throughout, as  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota s$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \tau \mu \nu$ ,  $-\epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ , and very late  $-\epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ . The best Mss. of Demosthenes have  $-\epsilon \iota \nu$  in 1 sing. Instead of the simple pluperfect we find periphrastic forms, 599 a.

#### SECOND PERFECTS OF THE µ1-FORM

702. A few  $\omega$ -verbs form their second perfects in the dual and plural without a by adding the endings directly to the stem. Herein these forms agree with the second perfect of  $\mu$ -verbs (417). In the singular a is used.

**<sup>699</sup> D.** Doric has  $-\eta \nu$  and  $-\epsilon \iota \nu$ , as δεδύκη $\nu = \delta \epsilon \delta$ υκέναι, γεγάκει $\nu = \gamma \epsilon \gamma$ ονέναι. Aeolic has  $-\eta \nu$ , as  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu$ άκη $\nu$ .

<sup>700</sup> D. In the 2 perf. Hom. sometimes has  $-\hat{\omega}\tau$ -os for  $-\delta\tau$ -os, as κεκμηώs,  $-\hat{\omega}\tau$ os (κάμνω am weary). In the 2 perf. Hom. sometimes has a for Attic  $\eta$  in the feminine, as ἀρηρώs ἀραρυῖα from ἄρηρα (ἀραρισκω fit). See 573. Aeolic inflects the perfect participle as a present in  $-\omega\nu$ ,  $-\upsilon\tau$ os. Thus Hom. κεκλήγονταs for κεκληγόταs (κλάζω scream), Pind.  $\pi$ εφρίκονταs (φρίττω shudder).

**703.** The second perfect  $\delta \epsilon \delta \iota a$  I fear usually has the forms of the first perfect  $\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \iota \kappa a$  in the singular, less frequently in the plural.

Perfect	Pluperfect	Subjunctive
δέδοικα or δέδια	έδεδοίκη or έδεδίη	δεδίω (rare)
δέδοικας or δέδιας δέδοικε or δέδιε	έδεδοίκης or έδεδίης έδεδοίκει or έδεδίει	Optative δεδιείην (rare)
δέδιτον δέδιτον	ἐδέδιτον ἐδεδίτην	Imperative δέδιθι (poet.)
δέδιμεν οτ δεδοίκαμεν δέδιτε οτ δεδοίκατε δεδίασι οτ δεδοίκασι	έδέδιμεν έδέδιτε έδέδισαν ΟΓ έδεδοίκεσαν	Infinitive δεδιέναι ΟΓ δεδοικέναι Participle δεδιώς, -υία, -ός ΟΓ
		δεδοικώς, -υῖα, -ός.

- 704. Other second perfects inflected like  $\delta \ell \delta \iota a$  are the following:
- a. βαίνω (βα-) go, 1 perf. βέβηκα have gone, stand fast regular; 2 perf. 3 pl. βεβᾶσι (poet.), subj. 3 pl. βεβῶσι, inf. βεβάναι (poet. and Ion.), part. βεβώς (contracted from βεβαώς) βεβῶσα, gen. βεβῶτος.
- b. γίγνομαι (γεν-, γα-) become, 2 perf. γέγονα am regular; 2 perf. part. poet. γεγώς (contracted from γεγαώς), γεγῶσα, gen. γεγῶτος.
- c. θνήσκω (θαν-, θνα-) die, 1 perf. τέθνηκα am dead regular; 2 perf. du. τέθνατον, pl. τέθναμεν, τέθνατε, τεθνάσι, 2 plup. 3 pl. ἐτέθνασαν, 2 perf. opt. τεθναίην, imper. τεθνάτω, inf. τεθνάναι, part. τεθνεώς, -εώσα, -εός, gen. -εώτος.
- d. ἔοικα (ρε-ροικ-α) am like, appear (ἰκ-, εἰκ-) has the μι forms ἔοιγμεν (poet.), εξξᾶσι for ἐοικ-σ-ᾶσι (poet. and in Plato). ἔοικα (ἐψκη plnp.) has also the foll. forms: ἐοίκω, ἐοίκοιμι, ἐοικέναι (εἰκέναι poet.), ἐοικώς (εἰκώς also in Plato).
- κράζω (κραγ-) cry out, 2 perf. κέκρᾶγα us present, imper. κέκρᾶχθι and κεκράγετε,
   a thematic form (both in Aristoph.).
- **705.** Other verbs with second perfects of the  $\mu\iota$ -form (chiefly Homeric) are: ἄνωχα (ἄνωχθι), βιβρώσκω (βεβρῶτες), ἐγείρω (ἐγρήγορα), ἔρχομαι (εἰλήλυθμεν),

<sup>703</sup> D. The root of δέδια is  $\delta_{fi}$ , strong forms  $\delta_{fi}$ ,  $\delta_{foi}$ . Hom. has  $\delta l\epsilon$ ,  $\delta lov$  feared, fled; for δέδοικα, δέδια he has δέδοικα, δέδια, etc. (once δεδίᾶσι). Here  $\epsilon_l$  is due to metrical lengthening.  $\delta_{\epsilon}l\delta_{\omega}$ , a present in form, is really a perfect for  $\delta_{\epsilon}$ - $\delta_{fo}(l)$ - $\alpha$ .

<sup>704</sup> a. D. Hom. has 3 pl. βεβάᾶσι, inf. βεβάμεν, part. βεβαώς, βεβαυΐα, gen. βεβαῶτος; 2 plup. βέβασαν.

b. Hom. has γεγάπτε and γεγάπσι, inf. γεγάμεν, part. γεγαώς, γεγανία; 2 plup. εκγεγάτην.

c. Hom. τέθναθι, τεθνάμεναι and τεθνάμεν, τεθνηώς -ηῶτος and -ηότος, fem.

d. Hom. imperf. εἶκε, 2 perf. 3 du. ἔϊκτον, 2 plup. ἐψκει ἐἰκτην, ἐοἰκεσαν, part. ἐοικώς (εἰκώς Φ 254), εἰκυῖα and ἐϊκυῖα (εἰοικυῖαι Σ 418); mid. ἤϊκτο, ἔϊκτο. Hdt. has οἶκα, οἰκώς.

μέμονα (μεμαώς), πάσχω (πέποσθε), πείθω (ἐπέπιθμεν), πίπτω (πεπτώς), root δαlearn (δεδαώς), root τλα- (τέτλαμεν, τετλαίην, τέτλαθι, τετλάμεναι and τετλάμεν, τετληώς).

## PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT MIDDLE AND PASSIVE (574)

- 706. All vowel and consonant verbs in  $-\omega$  inflect the perfect middle according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation.
- 707. Indicative. The perfect middle is inflected by adding the primary middle endings directly to the tense-stem, herein agreeing with the  $\mu$ -conjugation. The pluperfect adds the secondary middle endings. In vowel verbs the formation is simple, as in  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu a \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu$ . But in consonant verbs, the consonant at the end of the stem comes into collision with the consonant at the beginning of the ending; hence certain euphonic changes described in 409. The periphrastic form occurs in the 3 pl. and sometimes in the 3 sing. (599 d, e).
- a. Stems in  $\nu$  avoid the forms  $-\nu \sigma ai$ ,  $-\nu \sigma o$ ; thus, from  $\phi ai \nu a$ , instead of  $\pi \epsilon \phi a \nu a \sigma ai$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \phi a \nu a \sigma ai$  the periphrastic  $\pi \epsilon \phi a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu a \sigma ai$  were probably used.
- **708.** Subjunctive. The perfect middle subjunctive is commonly formed by periphrasis of the perfect middle participle and  $\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\hat{q}$ 's,  $\hat{q}$ , etc. Thus  $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$   $\hat{\omega}$ .
- 709. From two verbs, whose perfect stem ends in  $\eta$ -(a), the simple forms are constructed. κτάομαι (κτα-) acquire, perf. κέκτημαι possess (1946), forms its subjunctive by adding the thematic vowel  $-\omega/\eta$  to κε-κτα; thus κε-κτά-ω-μαι = κεκτ $\hat{\omega}$ μαι, κε-κτά- $\eta$ -σαι = κεκτ $\hat{\eta}$ , κε-κτά- $\eta$ -ται = κεκτ $\hat{\eta}$ ται, etc. μμνήσκω (μνα-) remind, perf. μέμνημαι remember (1946): με-μνά-ω-μαι = μεμν $\hat{\omega}$ μαι, μεμνη-ώ-μεθα = μεμν $\hat{\omega}$ μαι, With κεκτ $\hat{\omega}$ μαι, μεμν $\hat{\omega}$ μαι, cp.  $\hat{\omega}$ 137. The periphrastic κεκτημένος  $\hat{\omega}$ 4, μεμνημένος  $\hat{\omega}$ 6 occur.
- **710.** Optative. The perfect middle optative is commonly formed by the periphrasis of the perfect middle participle and  $\epsilon\ell\eta\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\ell\eta$ s,  $\epsilon\ell\eta$ , etc. Thus  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\nu$ - $\mu\epsilon\nu$ s  $\epsilon\ell\eta\nu$ , etc.
- 711. Some verbs add -i-μην, -o-i-μην to the tense-stem (709). —a. κτάομαι (κτα-) acquire, perf. κέκτημαι possess (1946): opt. κεκτη-i-μην = κεκτήμην, κεκτή-i-σο = κεκτ $\hat{\eta}$ ο, κεκτή-i-το = κεκτ $\hat{\eta}$ το. Less frequent and doubtful are κεκτψμην, - $\hat{\varphi}$ ο, - $\hat{\varphi}$ το, - $\hat{\varphi}$ το, - $\hat{\varphi}$ μοφ from κεκτη-o-i-μην, etc.
- b. μιμνήσκω (μνα-) remind, perf. μέμνημαι remember; opt. μεμνη-ί-μην = μεμνή-μην, μεμνή-ί-σο = μεμνήο, μεμνή-ί-το = μεμνήσο, etc. The forms μεμνώμην, - $\hat{\varphi}$ ο, - $\hat{\varphi}$ το, etc., from μεμνη-ο-ί-μην, etc., are uncommon and suspected.
- c. καλέω (καλέ-, κλη-) call, perf. κέκλημαι am called (1946); opt. κεκλη-ί-μην, etc. = κεκλήμην, κεκλήρο, κεκλήτο, κεκλήμεθα.
  - **d.** βάλλω (βαλ-, βλη-) throw, perf. διαβέβλημαι, opt. διαβεβλ $\hat{\eta}$ σθε.
- N.—The forms in  $-\eta\mu\eta\nu$ , etc., have the  $\mu\nu$ -form; the doubtful  $-\psi\mu\eta\nu$ , etc., belong to the  $\omega$ -conjugation.

<sup>708</sup> D. Hdt. has  $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \epsilon \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ , and this form may be read in  $\xi$  168.

<sup>711</sup> D. Hom. has λέλθτο  $\sigma$  238 = λέλψ-ῖ-το (cp. δαίνῦτο). Pind. has μεμναίατο. μέμνοιο in Xen. is from μέμνομαι.

- **712.** Imperative. In the third person singular the perfect meaning is regularly retained, as  $\epsilon l\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\omega$  let it have been said. The 2 sing, and pl. are generally found only in the case of perfects with a present meaning, as  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\eta\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}$  remember!  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\epsilon\dot{\phi}\dot{\delta}\beta\eta\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}$  do not be afraid!  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\upsilon\sigma$ 0 stop! See 698.
- a. The dual and 3 pl. are apparently wanting. The 2 sing. in  $-\nu\sigma$ 0 from stems in  $-\nu$  does not occur. For  $\pi\epsilon\phi\alpha\nu\sigma\sigma$ 0,  $\pi\epsilon\phi\alpha\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\sigma$ 0 was probably used.
- **713.** Attic prose writers have ἀναβεβλήσθω, ἀποκεκρίσθω, εἰρήσθω, ἐκτήσθω, εψεύσθω, κείσο, -κείσθω, κέκτησο, μέμνησθε, πεπαίσθω, πεπεράνθω, πεποίησο, πεπράσθω, πεφάσθω, πεφόβησθε, τετάχθω, τετολμήσθω.
- **714.** Instead of the simple forms of the imperative we find the periphrastic use of the perfect participle and  $l\sigma\theta_l$ ,  $\ell\sigma\tau\omega$ , etc. (599 g). Thus  $\ell\rho\eta\mu\ell\nu\nu$   $\ell\sigma\tau\omega = \ell\rho\eta\sigma\theta\omega$ .
- **715.** Infinitive. The perfect infinitive adds  $-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ , as  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ . Consonant steins lose the  $\sigma$  by 103, as  $\lambda\epsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\phi\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\pi\rho\hat{\alpha}\chi\theta\alpha\iota$  (406),  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\eta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\chi\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\alpha\iota$  (407).
- 716. Participle. The perfect participle adds - $\mu$ évos, as  $\lambda$ e $\lambda\nu\mu$ évos,  $\lambda$ e $\lambda$ e $\mu$  $\mu$ évos,  $\pi$ e $\pi$ p $\pi$ γ $\mu$ évos (406, 407). On the  $\sigma$  of  $\pi$ e $\phi$ a $\sigma$  $\mu$ évos see 409 d.

### SECOND CONJUGATION OR VERBS IN MI

- 717. Verbs in  $-\mu$  usually have no thematic vowel between the tense-stem and the personal endings in the present system (except in the subjunctive). The name " $\mu$ -conjugation," or "non-thematic" conjugation," is applied to all verbs which form the present and imperfect without the thematic vowel.
- 718. Of verbs ending in  $-\mu$  the following tenses are inflected according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation (except in the subjunctive): all non-thematic presents and imperfects; all acrists passive; all perfects and pluperfects middle; those second acrists active and middle in which the tense-stem does not end with the thematic vowel; one verb ( $\tilde{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu$ ) in the second perfect and pluperfect active.
- 719. Certain tenses of verbs ending in  $-\mu$  in the first person present indicative active, or in  $-\mu a$  in the present middle (and passive) when not preceded by the thematic vowel, are inflected according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation. These tenses are: all futures, all first aorists active and middle, most perfects and pluperfects active, and all subjunctives. Verbs in  $-\nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  regularly inflect the subjunctive and the optative according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation. Furthermore, the 2 sing in the present and 2 and 3 sing in the imperfect active of certain verbs, and some other forms, follow the  $\omega$ -conjugation (746).
- 720. Verbs in  $-\mu$  add the endings directly either to the verb-stem (here a root) or after the suffixes  $\nu\nu$  or  $\nu\eta$ . Hence three classes are to be distinguished.

- A. Root class; as  $\phi_{\eta}$ - $\mu'$  say, verb-stem (and root)  $\phi_{\sigma}$ ,  $\phi_{\eta}$ . This class often shows reduplication in the present and imperfect, as  $\delta'$ - $\delta_{\sigma}$ - $\mu$  give.
- N. Two verbs have verb-stems ending in a consonant:  $\epsilon i \mu i \ \alpha m \ (\epsilon \sigma \mu i)$  and  $\delta \mu a i \ (\dot{\eta} \sigma \mu a i)$ .
  - B. -νυ- class; as δείκ-νυ-μι show, verb-stem δεικ-, present stem δεικνυ-.
- C. A few verbs, mainly poetical, add να-, νη-; as σκίδ-νη-μι σκίδ-ναμεν scatter, δάμ-νη-μι δάμ-να-μεν, subdue.
- **721.** Deponent verbs without the thematic vowel are inflected according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation.

### PRESENT SYSTEM

722. Verbs in  $-\mu$  belong to the first or simple class (504) or to the fourth class (523).

### FIRST OR SIMPLE CLASS

- 723. The present is made by adding the personal endings directly to the verb-stem, which is a root. This verb-stem may be used in its pure form or it may be reduplicated.
- a. Some verbs of this class with no active have a verb-stem of more than one syllable (usually two syllables).
- 724. Unreduplicated Presents:  $\epsilon i \mu i$  ( $\epsilon \sigma$ -) am,  $\epsilon \tilde{i} \mu$  (l-,  $\epsilon l$ -) go,  $\tilde{\eta} \mu a \iota$  ( $\tilde{\eta} \sigma$ -) sit,  $\tilde{\eta} \mu l$  say ( $\tilde{\eta}$  said, 3 sing.),  $\kappa \epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu a \iota$  ( $\kappa \epsilon \iota$ -) lie,  $\phi \eta \mu l$  ( $\phi a$ -,  $\phi \eta$ -) say,  $\chi \rho \eta$  it is necessary (793); and poet.  $\tilde{a} \eta \mu \iota$  ( $\tilde{a} \eta$ -)  $blog \tilde{\rho}$ .
- 725. Deponents.  $\delta \gamma a$ - $\mu a \iota$  and  $\delta \gamma \delta \omega \mu a \iota$ ) admire,  $\delta \epsilon a$ - $\mu a \iota$  appear,  $\delta \epsilon \epsilon$ - $\mu a \iota$  flee, make flee (cp.  $\delta \iota \omega$ ),  $\delta \psi \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  am able (737 a),  $\delta \pi \iota$ - $\sigma \tau a$ - $\mu a \iota$  understand,  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  love (poet. for  $\delta \nu a \omega$ ),  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  (late, see 726 a),  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  (intrans.),  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  insult,  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  (poet. by-form of  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$ ) fly,  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  bought a second aorist,  $\delta \nu a$ - $\mu a \iota$  affirm.
- a. Other such forms are Hom. ἴεμαι (ρίεμαι) strive, εἴρυμαι and ἔρυμαι rescue, Ion. λάζυμαι take. ἐπίστηται Π 243 owes its η to such non-present forms as ἐπιστήσομαι.
- **726.** Reduplicated Presents. δίδημι bind (rare for δέω), δίδωμι (δο-, δω-) give, ἣημι (ἐ-, ἡ-) send, ἴστημι (στα-, στη-) set, κίχρημι (χρα-, χρη-) lend, δνίνημι (όνα-, όνη-) benefit, πίμπλημι (πλα-, πλη-) fill, πίμπρημι (πρα-, πρη-) burn, τίθημι (θε-, θη-) place.
- a. Also poetic βίβημι (βα-, βη-) go, in Hom. βιβάς striding, δί-ζημαι (also Ion.) seek, for δι-διη-μαι by 116 (cp. ζητέω seek), ἴλημι (ἰλα-, ἰλη- for σισλα-, σισλη-) am propitious. ἴπταμαι (late) for πέτομαι fly is an analogue of ἴσταμαι and is not properly reduplicated. τίτρημι bore is late.
- **727.** Verbs in  $-\mu$  reduplicate with  $\iota$  in the present. See 414, 447.  $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$  and  $\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu$  may lose the inserted nasal in compounds of  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , but only when  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  takes the form  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ -; as  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi(\pi\lambda\eta\mu$ , but  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\pi i\mu\pi\lambda a\sigma a\nu$ . Doric has  $\kappa i\gamma\chi\eta\mu$ . In  $\dot{\epsilon}-\nu i$ - $\nu i$ - $\nu$

a. Reduplication is in place only in present and imperfect; but Hom. has  $\delta\iota\delta\omega\sigma\sigma\mu\nu$ .

### FOURTH CLASS

- 728. Most  $\mu$ -verbs of the fourth class add - $\nu\nu$  (after a vowel, - $\nu\nu$ -) to the verb-stem.
- 729. Verb-stems in -a:  $\kappa\epsilon\rho\dot{a}$ -ννῦμι mix,  $\kappa\rho\epsilon\mu\dot{a}$ -ννῦμι hang (intrans.),  $\pi\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ -ννῦμι spread,  $\sigma\kappa\epsilon\dot{a}\dot{a}$ -ννῦμι scatter.
- **730.** Verb-stems in  $\epsilon$  (for  $\epsilon\sigma$ ):  $\xi$ -νν $\bar{\nu}$ μι (in prose ἀμφι $\xi$ -νν $\bar{\nu}$ μι) clothe, κορ $\xi$ -νν $\bar{\nu}$ μι satiate,  $\sigma\beta\dot{\xi}$ -νν $\bar{\nu}$ μι extinguish.
  - 731. Verb-stems in ω: ζώ-ννῦμι gird, ἡώ-ννῦμι strengthen, στρώ-ννῦμι spread.
- 733. Verb-stems in a consonant: ἄγ-νῦμι break, ἄρ-νυμαι earn, δείκ-νῦμι show, εἴργ-νῦμι (= εἴργω) shut in, ζεύγ-νῦμι yoke (ἀπο)κτει-νῦμι often written -κτίννῦμι (= κτείνω) kill, μείγ-νῦμι (miswritten μίγ-νῦμι) mīx, -οίγ-νῦμι (= -οίγω) open, ὅλλῦμι (ὀλ-ϵ) destroy, ὅμ-νῦμι (ὁμ-ϵ-, ὁμ-ο) swear, ὁμόργ-νῦμι wipe off, ὅρ-νῦμι rouse, πήγ-νῦμι (παγ-, πηγ-) fix, πλήγ-νῦμι (once, in ἐκπλήγνυσθαι Thuc. 4. 125; cp. πλήττω), πτάρ-νυμαι sneeze, ῥήγ-νῦμι (ῥαγ-, ῥηγ-, ῥωγ-) break, στόρ-νῦμι spread, φράγ-νῦμι (= φράττω) inclose.
- **734.** Poetic verbs: at-nual take, x-null complete (ànu), x-null am troubled,  $\gamma$ à-null rejoice, dat-null entertain, xat-null excel, xt-null move myself. (cp.  $\kappa$ īné $\omega$ ), opé $\gamma$ -null reach,  $\tau$ à-null strèph, with nu carried into other tenses ( $\tau$ anu $\omega$ ),  $\tau$ t-null (cp. Epic  $\tau$ ine from  $\tau$ 1-nf- $\omega$ ) better  $\tau$ elnull, chastise.
- **735:** The verbs whose verb-stem ends in a liquid or nasal often form the tenses other than the present by adding  $\epsilon$  or o, as  $\delta\lambda\lambda\bar{\nu}\mu$  (from  $\delta\lambda\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ )  $\check{\omega}\lambda\epsilon\sigma a$ ,  $\delta\lambda\dot{\omega}\lambda\epsilon\kappa a$  ( $\delta\lambda-\epsilon-$ ),  $\delta\mu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$   $\check{\omega}\mu\sigma\sigma a$  ( $\delta\mu$ -o-).
- **736.**  $ν\bar{\nu}\mu$ -verbs form only the present and imperfect according to the  $\mu$ -conjugation; with the exception of  $\sigma\beta\dot{\epsilon}-\nu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ , which has 2 aor.  $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\eta\nu$ . The 2 aorist passive and 2 future passive are rare, as  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\alpha\gamma\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha$ ,  $\langle\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\gamma\nu$ .
- 737.  $-\nu\eta\mu\iota$  class. A few verbs add  $\nu\eta$  in the singular,  $\nu\alpha$  in the plural, to the verb-stem. These verbs are almost entirely poetical or dialectical; and show by-forms in  $-\nu\alpha\omega$ . They are:

δάμνημι (δαμνάω) subdue, κίρνημι (κιρνάω also Epic) mix, κρίμνημι (miswritten κρήμνημι) suspend, πέρνημι sell, πίτνημι (πετνάω) spread, σκίδνημι (and κίδνημι) scatter.

<sup>736</sup> D. From verbs in  $-ν\bar{\nu}\mu$  second agrists middle are formed in Hom. by only three verbs:  $μείγν\bar{\nu}\mu$  (commonly written  $μίγν\bar{\nu}\mu$ )  $mix ξμκτο, δρν\bar{\nu}\mu$  rouse δρτο, πήγν $\bar{\nu}\mu$  fix κατέπηκτο.

- a. Only in the middle: μάρναμαι fight, πίλναμαι (πιλνάω) approach. In δύναμαι am able, να has grown fast (cp. δυνατός).
- 738. Stem Gradation. Verbs of the root class show in the stem vowel a variation between strong and weak grades in the present and imperfect indicative active. The singular has the strong grade, the dual and plural have the weak grade. The optative active and most middle forms have the weak grade.
- a. η strong (original and Dor. ā), α weak; φημί φαμέν, ἔφην ἔφαμεν; ἴστημι ἴσταμεν, ἴστην ἴσταμεν; δάμνημι δάμναμεν.
- b. η strong, ε weak: τίθημι τίθεμεν, ετίθην ετίθεμεν; τημι τεμεν.
- c. ω strong, o weak: δίδωμι δίδομεν.
- d. ει strong, ι weak (cp. λείπω ἔλιπον): εἶμι will go, ἴμεν. The grades ει, οι, ι appear in εἰδῶ, subjunctive of οἶδα know, pl. ἴσμεν for ἴδμεν (799).
- 739. In the second agrist  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \nu$  I stood the strong form has been carried from the singular through the dual and plural of the indicative. The strong stem occurs also in the imperative  $(\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_i, \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon)$  and infinitive  $(\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a_i)$ .
- **740.** The second agrist infinitive shows the weak stem:  $\theta \epsilon i \nu a \iota$  from  $\theta \delta \epsilon \nu a \iota$ , δοῦναι from  $\delta \delta \epsilon \nu a \iota$ . Cp. 469 N.  $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$  is, however, from  $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \nu a \iota$  (469 c. N.).
- **741.** A few root verbs retain the strong grade  $\eta$  throughout. Thus, poet.  $\delta \eta \mu \iota b low \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ;  $\delta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$  is from  $\delta \eta \nu \tau \epsilon s$  by 40;  $\delta \ell s \eta \mu a \iota s \epsilon e k$  (poet.  $\delta \ell s \epsilon \theta a \iota s$  from  $\delta \ell s \eta \mu a \iota s \ell s$ );  $\pi \ell \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota f \ell \ell s$  2 aor.  $\delta \nu \epsilon \tau \lambda \eta \mu \eta \nu$ , opt.  $\delta \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \eta \nu$ .
- **742.** Verbs adding  $\nu\nu$  show the strong form of the verb-stem in the present.  $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\gamma-\nu\bar{\nu}-\mu$  break 2 aor. pass.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho\dot{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$ ,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}(\gamma-\nu\bar{\nu}-\mu)$  (miswritten  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$ ) mix 2 aor. pass.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\eta\nu$ ,  $\zeta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\gamma-\nu\bar{\nu}-\mu$  yoke 2 aor. pass.  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\gamma}\gamma\eta\nu$ .
- 743. The ending νυ varies between strong νῦ and weak νῦ. Thus δείκνῦμι δείκνῦμεν, ἐδείκνῦμεν ἐδείκνομεν.

#### INFLECTION OF MI-VERBS

- **744.** Verbs in  $-\mu$  differ in inflection from verbs in  $-\omega$  in the present and second agrist systems and (rarely) in the second perfect system. Verbs in  $-\mu$  have the following peculiarities of inflection:
- a. The endings  $-\mu$  and  $-\sigma\iota$  (for original  $-\tau\iota$ ) occur in the present indicative active:  $\tau\ell\theta\eta-\mu\iota$ ,  $\tau\ell\theta\eta-\sigma\iota$ ;  $\phi\eta-\mu\iota$   $\phi\eta-\sigma\iota$ .
- b. The 3 plural present indicative active has generally the ending  $-\bar{a}\sigma\iota$ , from  $a-a\nu\tau\iota$ , as  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\bar{a}\sigma\iota$ . So in the 2 perf. active  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\hat{a}\sigma\iota$ .
  - c. The 3 plural of active past tenses has  $-\sigma \alpha \nu$ :  $\epsilon \tau l \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ .
- d. The imperative ending  $-\theta\iota$  is sometimes retained:  $\phi\alpha$ - $\theta\iota$ ,  $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$ - $\theta\iota$ ; some forms never had it:  $\tau i\theta\epsilon\iota$ ,  $l\sigma\tau\eta$ .
  - **e**. The middle endings  $-\sigma a\iota$  and  $-\sigma o$  regularly retain  $\sigma$ :  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma o$ .
- N. But not in the subjunctive or optative; and usually not in the second aorist; as  $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\eta}$  for  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\hat{\eta}$ - $\sigma\alpha\iota$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ 0 for  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}-\bar{\iota}$ - $\sigma$ 0,  $\check{\epsilon}\theta$ 00 for  $\check{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma$ 0.
- f. The infinitive active has - $\nu ai$ :  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon' \nu ai$ ; the 2 acrist active has - $\epsilon \nu ai$  rarely:  $\theta \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu ai$  for  $\theta \epsilon' \epsilon \nu ai$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \tilde{\nu} \nu ai$  for  $\delta \epsilon' \epsilon \nu ai$ .

- g. Active participles with stems in -0ντ- have the nominative sing. masc. in -ούς (301 a, 307 a): διδούς, διδό-ντ-ος.
- **745.** Forms of  $-\mu$  verbs which are inflected according to the thematic conjugation are included under the Second Conjugation.
- **746.**  $\mu$ -verbs may pass into the  $\omega$  inflection elsewhere than in the subjunctive. **a.** Verbs in  $-\nu \bar{\nu}\mu$  often inflect the present and imperfect active (not the middle) from a present in  $-\nu \dot{\nu}\omega$ ; as δεικνύω (but usually δείκν $\bar{\nu}\mu$ ι), δεικνύεις, δεικνύει, imperf. έδείκννον, -ες, -ε, etc.; imper. δείκννε, inf. δεικνύειν, part. δεικνύων.
- b.  $\tau l\theta \eta \mu_l$ ,  $t\sigma \tau \eta \mu_l$ ,  $\delta t\delta \omega \mu_l$ ,  $t\eta \mu_l$ , etc., show some ω-forms in pres. (and imperf.) indic. opt. imper. and infin.; but the forms  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \omega$ ,  $i\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\delta \iota \delta \delta \omega$ ,  $t\epsilon \omega$ , do not occur in the 1 sing.
- c. In the present and second agrist optative of  $\tau i\theta \eta \mu$  and  $t\eta \mu$  there is a transition to the  $\omega$ -conjugation but not in the 1 and 2 singular. The accent is differently reported: (1) as if the presents were  $\tau \iota \theta \iota \omega$ ,  $t \iota \omega$ ; (2) as if the presents were  $\tau \iota \theta \omega$ ,  $t \iota \omega$ ; (2) as if the presents were  $\tau \iota \theta \omega$ ,  $t \iota \omega$ . Thus:
- Active: ἀφίοιτε for ἀφῖεῖτε, ἀφίοιεν for ἀφῖεῖεν.— Middle: τιθοῖτο, ἐπιθοίμεθα, συνθοῖτο, ἐπιθοῖντο (also accented τίθοιτο, ἐπίθοιντο); προοῖτο, προοῖτο κ, προοῖντο (also accented πρόοιτο, πρόοιντο). Hdt. has -θέοιτο and -θεῖτο. The form in -οῖτο for -εῖτο occurs especially in Plato.
  - d. The Mss. vary between  $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$  and  $\tau \iota \theta \omega \mu a \iota$ ,  $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$  and  $\dot{a} \pi \delta \theta \omega \mu a \iota$  (426 f).
- e. Some other  $\mu$ -verbs show alternative  $\omega$ -forms, as  $\pi$ ι $\mu$ πλά $\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon \omega$  ( $\pi$ l $\mu$ πλη $\mu$ ι),  $\pi$ ι $\pi$ ρά $\omega$  ( $\pi$ l $\mu$ πρη $\mu$ ι), Hom. ἀγάο $\mu$ αι (ἄγα $\mu$ αι), and ἱλάο $\mu$ αι (ἄγη $\mu$ ι). So often with  $-\nu$ η $\mu$ νerbs (737), as δα $\mu$ ν $\hat{\varphi}$  and δά $\mu$ νησι, ἐκίρνα and κιρνάς.

## PRESENT AND IMPERFECT ACTIVE AND MIDDLE (PASSIVE)

- 747. Present Indicative.—a. The primary personal endings are added to the stem with the strong form in the singular and the weak form in the dual and plural.
- b. In the 2 sing.  $\tau \ell \theta \eta s$ ,  $\ell \eta s$ ,  $\ell \sigma \tau \eta s$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \ell \kappa \nu \bar{\nu} s$ , etc.,  $\sigma$  has been added to the stem. This  $\sigma$  is obscure in origin, but cannot be derived from  $-\sigma \iota$ .  $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$  is rare.
  - c. 3 sing.  $\tau l\theta \eta \sigma \iota$ ,  $"l\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota$ , etc., with  $-\sigma \iota$  for  $-\tau \iota$  (463 c).
  - d. 3 plur. τιθέασι, Ιστασι, etc., from τιθέ-αντι, Ιστά-αντι (463 d).
- e. For the retention of  $\sigma$  in  $\tau i\theta \epsilon \sigma a \iota$ , etc., see 465 a, b, and N. 2.
- f. δίδομαι in the middle present and imperfect is used only in composition, as ἀποδίδομαι. But the simple form occurs in the passive.
- 746 D. The tragic poets never have the  $\omega$ -forms; the poets of the Old Comedy seldom; those of the New Comedy often have the  $\omega$ -forms. Plate usually has - $\nu\nu\bar{a}\sigma\iota$ . Hom. has  $\zeta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\nu\sigma\nu$  (and  $\zeta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu$ ,  $\delta\rho\nu\nu\sigma\nu$ ,  $\delta\mu\nu\nu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\omega$ , etc.). Hdt. usually keeps the  $\mu$ -forms, but has some  $\omega$ -forms in 2, 3 sing. 3 pl. present indic. and part., and 1 sing., 3 pl. imperfect. Doric usually has the  $\omega$ -forms; Aeolic has  $\zeta\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$ , and  $\delta\mu\nu\bar{\nu}\nu$  infin.
- 747 D. 1. Hom. has τίθησθα, τίθησι and τιθεῖ, τιθεῖσι; διδοῖς and διδοῖσθα, δίδωσι (usually) and διδοῖ, διδοῦσι, ἡηγνῦσι from ἡηγνυ-ντι, ἴāσι they go and ἔāσι they are. On ἴστασκε see 495. Mid, ἐμάρναο from μάρναμαι.

- 748. Imperfect.  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta \epsilon \omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta \epsilon \omega s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau i\theta \epsilon \omega s$ ,
- 749. Subjunctive. Attic  $\tau\iota\theta\hat{\omega}$ , etc., are derived by contraction from the forms of the weak stem to which the thematic vowel  $\omega/\eta$  has been added. Thus  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon\eta s$ ,  $-\epsilon\eta t$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\eta t$ ,  $-\epsilon\eta t$
- a. Similarly the middle (passive) forms are derived from  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\omega$ -μαι  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\eta$ -( $\sigma$ )αι, etc., διδόω-μαι διδόη-( $\sigma$ )αι,  $\iota\sigma\tau\ell\omega$ -μαι  $\iota\sigma\tau\ell\eta$ -( $\sigma$ )αι, etc. For the loss of  $\sigma$  in - $\sigma$ αι see 465 a. - $r\bar{\nu}$ μι verbs inflect the mid. subj. like  $\lambda \dot{\tau}$ ωμαι.
- b. δύναμαι am able, ἐπίσταμαι understand, κρέμαμαι hang, and ἄγαμαι admire put  $\omega/\eta$  in place of the stem-vowel so that there is no contraction: δύνωμαι, δύνη, δύνηται, δυνώμεθα, etc. So, too, ἐπριάμην, πρίωμαι (757 a).
- c. Traces of -ῦται in -νῦμι verbs are very rare: ῥήγνῦται Hipponax 19; cp. διασκεδάννῦται P. Ph. 77 b.
- **750.** Present Optative. The optative active has the secondary endings and the mood sign  $-\iota_{\eta}$  in the singular,  $-\iota_{\tau}$  ( $-\iota_{\ell}$  3 pl.) in the dual and plural. In the dual and plural the longer  $(-\iota_{\eta}$ -) forms are rare. Thus  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota_{\eta}\nu$   $(\tau\iota\theta\epsilon-\iota_{\eta}-\nu)$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota_{\eta}\nu$   $(\tau\iota\theta\epsilon-\iota_{\eta}-\nu)$ ,  $i\sigma\tau\alpha\iota_{\eta}\nu$   $(i\sigma\tau\alpha-\iota_{\eta}-\nu)$   $i\sigma\tau\alpha\iota_{\xi}\nu$   $(i\sigma\tau\alpha-\iota_{\xi}-\nu)$ . The shorter forms in dual and plural occur in poetry and prose, the longer forms only in prose.
- a. The middle (passive) has the secondary endings and the mood sign  $-\bar{\iota}$ -throughout:  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\iota\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon-\dot{\iota}-\mu\eta\nu$ ),  $i\sigma\tau a\iota\mu\eta\nu$  ( $i\sigma\tau a-\dot{\iota}-\mu\eta\nu$ ),  $i\sigma\tau a\iota\mu\epsilon\theta a$  ( $i\sigma\tau a-\dot{\iota}-\mu\epsilon\theta a$ ),  $\delta\iota\delta\circ\hat{\iota}\nu\tau o$  ( $\delta\iota\delta\dot{b}-\bar{\iota}-\nu\tau o$ ). On  $\tau\iota\thetao\hat{\iota}\tau o$ , etc., see 746 c.
- b. The accent follows 424 c, N. 1 (τιθείτο not τίθειτο). But the verbs of 749 b are exceptional: δύναιο δύναιτο; and so δναιο δναιτο from δνίνημι benefit (424 c, N.2).
- **751.** Present Imperative.  $\tau l\theta \epsilon \iota$  and  $\delta l\delta ov$  are formed (cp.  $\pi ol\epsilon \iota$  and  $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda ov$ ) from  $\tau l\theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ,  $\delta l\delta o \epsilon$ .  $l\sigma \tau \eta$  and  $\delta \epsilon l\kappa \nu \bar{\nu}$  show the stronger stem forms.

For the middle endings and the retention of  $\sigma$ , see 466. 2. a. — On the forms  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\tau\omega\sigma\sigma\nu$  for  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\sigma\tau\omega\nu$ ,  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\sigma\theta\omega\sigma\sigma\nu$  for  $\tau\iota\theta\ell\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ , see 466. 2. b.

- 2. Hdt. has  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\hat{i}$   $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\hat{i}$  i i  $\delta\tau\hat{i}$  is doubtful;  $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}\hat{i}$ ,  $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}\hat{i}$ ,  $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}\hat{o}$ ,  $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}\hat{o}$ ,  $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}$  and - $\delta\iota\delta\hat{o}$  and -
- 3. Doric has " $\sigma \tau \bar{a} \mu \iota$ , and  $\bar{a}$  for  $\eta$  in all tenses ( $\sigma \tau \dot{a} \sigma \omega$ ,  $\xi \sigma \tau \bar{a} \sigma a$ ,  $\xi \sigma \tau \bar{a} \nu$ );  $-\tau \iota$  in 3 sing.  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \tau \iota$ ;  $-\nu \tau \iota$  in 3 pl.  $\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$ ,  $\delta \ell \delta \nu \tau \iota$ .
  - 4. Aeolic has τίθης, τίθη, τίθεισι; ἴστāς, ἴστā; δίδως, δίδω; δάμνās.
- 748 D. Hom. has  $\epsilon \tau \ell \theta \epsilon_{\ell}$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \ell \delta \delta \omega \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \ell \delta \omega \nu$ . Hdt. has  $i \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \ell \theta \epsilon \alpha 1$  sing.,  $\epsilon \delta \ell \delta \omega \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \ell \delta \omega \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \tau \delta \omega \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \ell \delta \omega \nu$  (464 e. D.).
- **749 D.** Dor. has  $\tau\iota\theta\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$ , but contracts  $\epsilon+\eta$  to  $\eta$ ; pl. διδώντι (and  $\tau\iota\theta\eta\nu\tau\iota$ ). Dor. has δύν $\bar{a}\mu a\iota$ , ιστ $\bar{a}\tau a\iota$ ; Hdt.  $\epsilon\nu\iota\sigma\tau\tau\alpha\iota$ ,  $\epsilon\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\omega\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ , δυν $\epsilon\omega\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ .
  - 750 D. Hom. has the μι-forms δαινθτο and δαινθατο, Plato has πηγνθτο.
- 751 D. Hom. has ἴστη and καθίστα, δίδωθι, ἐμπίπληθι, ὅμνυθι, ὅρνυθι, ἴστασο and ζσταο. τίθου, ἴστω occur in the drama. Pind. has δίδοι (active).

- 752. Present Infinitive. The active adds -ναι, the middle -σθαι. δείκνυμι admits the form δεικνύειν.
- **753.** Present Participle. The active adds -ντ-, the middle -μενοs. Thus τιθείs (τιθε-ντ-s), τιθείσα (τιθε-ντ-μα); τιθέ-μενοs. For δεικνόs we find δεικνόων.

#### THE FUTURES

**754.** The futures of verbs in  $-\mu$  do not differ in formation and inflection from those of verbs in  $-\omega$ .

τίθημι: θήσω, θήσομαι, τεθήσομαι ; ἴστημι: στήσω, στήσομαι, σταθήσομαι, ἐστήξω ; ἴημι: ήσω, -ἤσομαι, -ἐθήσομαι ; δίδωμι: δώσω, -δώσομαι, δοθήσομαι ; δείκνῦμι: δείξω, δείξομαι, δειχθήσομαι, δεδείξομαι (late) or δεδειγμένος ἔσομαι ; μείγνῦμι: μείξω, -μιχθήσομαι, μιγήσομαι (poet.), μεμείξομαι (poet.) ; πήγνῦμι: πήξω, παγήσομαι.

a.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\xi\omega$  is the only future perfect from a  $\mu\iota$ -verb (584).

208

### FIRST AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE

- **755.** The verbs  $\tau l\theta \eta \mu$ ,  $\dagger \eta \mu$ ,  $\delta l\delta \omega \mu$  form the singular active of the first aorist in - $\kappa$ - $\alpha$ , thus,  $\xi \theta \eta \kappa \alpha$ ,  $\xi \delta \omega \kappa \alpha$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \alpha$ . The forms of the second aorist (756) are generally used in the dual and plural and in the other moods.
- a. The form in  $\kappa$  rarely appears outside of the singular, chiefly in the 3 pl., as  $\xi\delta\omega\kappa\alpha\nu$  (=  $\xi\delta\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu$ ), less frequently in the 1 and 2 pl., as  $\xi\delta\omega\kappa\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $-\alpha\tau\epsilon$ .
- as  $\epsilon \omega \kappa a \nu$  (=  $\epsilon \omega \sigma a \nu$ ), less frequently in the 1 and 2 pl., as  $\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $-a \tau \epsilon$ . b. That  $\kappa$  was not a suffix but a part of an alternative root appears from a comparison of  $\theta \eta \kappa$ - in  $\epsilon \theta \eta \kappa a$  and perf.  $\tau \epsilon \theta \eta \kappa a$  with  $\epsilon \epsilon c$ - in  $\epsilon \epsilon c$ .
- c. ἴστημι has ἔστησα I set, placed (mid. ἐστησάμην), to be distinguished from 2 aor. ἔστην I stood.
- d.  $\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$  is un-Attic;  $\eta\kappa\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$  (in comp.) is rare and probably found only in the indic.;  $\epsilon\delta\omega\kappa\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$  is very late.

## SECOND AORIST ACTIVE AND MIDDLE

- **756.** Indicative.  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$ ,  $\dagger \eta \mu \iota$ ,  $\delta \ell \delta \omega \mu$  use the short grade forms in dual and plural active:  $\check{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ ;  $\check{\epsilon} \ell \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \ell \sigma a \nu$  (for  $\check{\epsilon} \check{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \nu$ , etc.);  $\check{\epsilon} \delta \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \delta \sigma \sigma a \nu$ . In the singular the  $\kappa$ -forms,  $\check{\epsilon} \theta \eta \kappa a$ ,  $\mathring{\eta} \kappa a$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa a$ , are used.  $\ell \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$  has  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$ s,  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$  (for  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \tau$ , 464 c),  $\check{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ , etc. (p. 138).
- a.  $\sigma \beta \acute{e} r v \bar{v} \mu \ extinguish$  is the only verb in  $-v \bar{v} \mu$  forming a second agrist (ξ $\sigma \beta \eta v$ ,  $\sigma \beta \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\sigma \beta \hat{e} l \eta v$ ,  $\sigma \beta \hat{\eta} \theta l$ ,  $\sigma \beta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ ,  $\sigma \beta \hat{e} l s$ ).
- **752 D.** Hom, has -μεναι or -ναι preceded by  $\eta$  in δήμεναι δήναι from δημι blow, τιθήμεναι, κιχήμεναι and κιχήναι as from κίχημι. Also ἱστάμεναι (and ἱστάμεν), ζευγνύμεναι (and ζευγνύμεν, once ζευγνύμεν). -μεν after a short vowel, as τιθέμεν, διδόμεν (once διδοῦναι). Doric has τιθέμεν, διδόμεν. Theognis has τιθεῖν, συνιεῖν.
  - 753 D. Hom. has τιθήμενος Κ 34.
- **755 D.** Hom. has έθηκαν, έδωκαν, ένήκαμεν, θήκατο; Hdt. συνθήκαντο; Pind. θηκάμενος.
- 756 D. Hom. has older  $-\nu$  for  $-\sigma a\nu$  in  $\xi \sigma \tau \tilde{a}\nu$  (he uses  $\xi \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a\nu$  also), Dor. has  $\xi \theta \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\xi \sigma \tau \tilde{a}\nu$ ,  $\xi \tilde{o}\sigma \nu$ . For the iterative  $\sigma \tau \tilde{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \tilde{o} \sigma \kappa \sigma \nu$  see 495.

b. The middle uses the weak stems  $-\theta\epsilon$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $-\delta o$ - in  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\theta\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}$ \(\mu\nu\nu\nu\) (for  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\mu\eta\nu$ ), ε-δό-μην (only in composition). For the loss of σ in -σο (ἔθου, ἔδου) see 465 b.

c. In prose the only uncompounded second agrists middle are ἐπριάμην bought (pres. ἀνέομαι) and ἀνήμην derived benefit (ὀνίνημι). ἀνήμην keeps η (poet. ὅνησο, ονήμενος). Ιστημι does not make the form έσταμην.

757. Second Aorist Subjunctive. - All the forms of the 2 aor. subj. are due to contraction of the thematic vowel with the weak stem-vowel. Thus  $\theta\hat{\omega}$ , etc., from  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \eta s$ ,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ , etc.;  $\dot{\omega}$ , etc., from  $\dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \eta s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \omega \sigma \iota$ ;  $\delta \dot{\omega}$ , etc., from  $\delta \delta \omega$ ,  $\delta \delta \eta s$ ,  $\delta \delta \eta$ ;  $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ , etc., from  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \eta s$ , etc., with  $\epsilon$  from  $\eta$  before a vowel. Cp. 682.

a.  $\epsilon \pi \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$  has  $\pi \rho \iota \omega \mu a \iota$  with  $\omega / \eta$  in place of the final vowel of the stem

(749 b). 758. Second Aorist Optative. - The forms of the optative of the second aorist are made and inflected like those of the present except for the reduplication. Thus, in the active:  $\theta \epsilon l \eta \nu$  ( $\theta \epsilon - l \eta - \nu$ ),  $\sigma \tau a l \eta \nu$  ( $\sigma \tau a - l \eta - \nu$ ),  $\delta o \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu$  ( $\delta b - \hat{\imath} - \mu \epsilon \nu$ ), δοθεν (δό-ιε-ν). The shorter forms are preferred in the dual and plural, and poetry has only these; prose admits either the longer or the shorter forms.

a. In the 2 pl. cases of  $-i\eta - \tau \epsilon$  ( $\delta o i \eta \tau \epsilon$ ) are more numerous than  $-i - \tau \epsilon$ ; but they

usually lack metrical warrant.

b. Second agrists of stems in v lack the optative in Attic.

c. In the middle:  $\theta\epsilon i\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\theta\epsilon$ - $i-\mu\eta\nu$ ),  $\delta\epsilon i\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\delta\epsilon$ - $i-\mu\eta\nu$ ),  $-\epsilon i\mu\eta\nu$  ( $\epsilon$ - $i-\mu\eta\nu$ ). For θοίμεθα see 746 c. For the accent of πρίαιο see 424 c, N. 2.

**759.** Second Aorist Imperative. — On  $\theta \leftarrow s$ ,  $\delta \leftarrow s$ ,  $\xi - s$ , see 466. 1. b. These verbs show the weak form of the stem  $(\theta \dot{\epsilon} - \tau \omega, \theta \dot{\epsilon} - \nu \tau \omega \nu)$ .  $\zeta \sigma \tau \eta \mu$  and  $\sigma \beta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \mu$  have -θι in  $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$ -θι,  $\sigma\beta\hat{\eta}$ -θι. For  $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$ -θι the poets may use  $-\sigma\tau\bar{a}$  in composition, as  $\dot{a}\pi b\sigma\tau\bar{a}$ stand off.

a. The middle adds - $\sigma o$ , which loses its  $\sigma$  after a short vowel, as in  $\theta o \hat{v}$  for  $\theta \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma o$ ,  $\delta o \hat{v}$  for  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ - $\sigma o$ ,  $\pi \rho l \omega$  (and poet.  $\pi \rho l a$ - $\sigma o$ ).  $\sigma$  is not dropped after a long vowel (δνησο). Cp. 465 b, N. 2.

c. D. In poetry: ἐπτάμην (prose -ἐπτόμην) from πέταμαι fly; Hom. πλήτο approached, ξβλητο was hit (others, 688).

757 D. The subjunctive shows traces of an earlier double form of inflection: 1. With short thematic vowel: θήεις, θήει, θήετον, θήομεν, θήετε, θήουσι.

Homer: θήομεν, στήομεν, -στήετον, κιχήομεν, δώομεν, αποθήομαι.

2. With long thematic vowel: θήω, θήης, θήη, θήητον, θήωμεν, θήητε, θήωσι. Hom. θήω, θήης, θήη, στήης, στήη, άνήη, δώη or δώησι, περιστήωσι, δώωσι.

By shortening of the long vowel of the stem we obtain a third form:

3.  $\theta \epsilon \omega$ ,  $\theta \epsilon \eta s$ ,  $\theta \delta \eta$ ,  $\theta \delta \eta \tau \sigma \nu$ ,  $\theta \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\theta \delta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\theta \delta \omega \sigma \iota$ . Hom.  $d \phi \delta \eta$ ,  $\theta \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\sigma \tau \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ , Hdt. θέω, θέωμεν, θέωσι, θέωμαι, στέωμεν, ἀποστέωσι, Aeolic <math>θέω.

4. From 3 are derived the contracted forms  $\theta \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\theta \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$ ,  $\theta \hat{\eta}$ , etc. Hom.  $d v a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ , δφ̂s, δφ̂ or δφ̂σι, δωμεν; Dor. δωντι; Hdt. -θη̂, -θη̂ται; δωμεν, -δωτε, δωσι.

N. —In Hom. the Mss. often have  $\epsilon_{\ell}$  for  $\eta$  of the stem, as  $\theta \epsilon i \omega$ ,  $\beta \epsilon i \omega$ ,  $\theta \epsilon i \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ , κιχείομεν.

758 D. Hom. has σταίησαν P 733, the only case of -ιη- outside of the singular; δύη (for δυ-ίη), ἐκδῦμεν (for -δύ-ῖ-μεν), and φθῖτο (for φθί-ῖ-το) from φθίνω perish.

759 D. Hom. has θέο and ἔνθεο.

- **b.** In composition  $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \theta \epsilon s$ , ἀπόδος, παράστηθι, ἐνθοῦ, προδοῦ; but κατάθου, περίδου, περίδου, περίδοσθε (426 b-c).
  - c. For the 3 pl. θέτωσαν, δότωσαν, ἔσθωσαν, see 466. 2. b.
- **760.** Second Aorist Infinitive. The active adds -εναι in  $\theta$ εῖναι ( $\theta$ έ-εναι),  $\sigma$ τῆναι ( $\sigma$ τή-εναι),  $\delta$ οῦναι ( $\delta$ ό-εναι), εἶναι (ξ-εναι). The middle adds - $\sigma$ θαι, as  $\theta$ έ- $\sigma$ θαι.
- **761.** Second Aorist Participle. The active adds - $\nu\tau$  like the present:  $\theta\epsilon$ ( $\theta\epsilon$ - $\nu\tau$ -s),  $\theta\epsilon$ î $\sigma a$  ( $\theta\epsilon$ - $\nu\tau$ -a),  $\theta$ έ $\nu$  ( $\theta\epsilon$ - $\nu\tau$ );  $\sigma\tau$ ás ( $\sigma\tau$ α- $\nu\tau$ -s),  $\sigma\tau$ â $\sigma$ a ( $\sigma\tau$ α- $\nu\tau$ -a),  $\sigma\tau$ ά $\sigma$ a ( $\sigma\tau$ α- $\sigma\tau$ - $\sigma$ a). The middle adds - $\sigma$ a- $\sigma$ a and  $\sigma$ a are  $\sigma$ a are  $\sigma$ a and  $\sigma$ a are  $\sigma$ a and  $\sigma$ a are  $\sigma$ a.

# FIRST AND SECOND PERFECT (AND PLUPERFECT) ACTIVE

- **762.** Indicative. The perfect of  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota$  is  $\tau \ell \theta \eta \kappa a$ . A later form  $\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \iota \kappa a$ , not found on Attic inscriptions till after 200 B.C. and due to the analogy of  $\epsilon \iota \kappa a$ , still appears in some texts.  $\tau \ell \theta \epsilon \kappa a$  is Doric. For  $\kappa a \theta \ell \delta \tau a \kappa a$  Attic used  $\kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \kappa a \kappa a$  (cp. 599 b).
- a. The dual and plural of the second perfect and pluperfect of  $l\sigma\tau\eta\mu$  (417) are formed without  $\kappa$ :  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\nu$ ,  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\nu$  (without augment in the pluperf.),  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\nu$  from  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\nu$ , pluperf.  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\sigma\nu$ . The singular is supplied by the 1 perf.  $l\sigma\tau\alpha\nu$  I stand.
- **763.** Subjunctive. ἐστήκω and ἐστῶ appear in prose and poetry, ἐστηκὼs ὧ in prose.
- **764.** Optative. ἐστήκοιμι occurs in comp. in prose, ἀφεστῶτες εἶεν in Plato, τεθηκὼς εἴης and δεδωκότες εἶεν in Demosthenes. ἐσταίην is poetical.
  - **765.** Imperative.  $\xi \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \iota$  is poetical.
- 766. Infinitive and Participle.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{a}\nu a\iota$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{b}s$  are much more common than  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\dot{b}s$ .

## PERFECT MIDDLE (PASSIVE)

**767.** τέθειμαι even in composition is rare and is unknown on Attic inscriptions. For the pass, perf. κείμαι (791) was used. Doric has τέθεμαι.

## IRREGULAR MI-VERBS

768.  $\epsilon i\mu i$  ( $\epsilon \sigma$ , cp. Lat. es-se) am has only the present and future systems.

- **760 D.** Hom. has  $\theta$ έμεναι,  $\theta$ έμεν; στήμεναι; δόμεναι, δόμεν; and  $\theta$ είναι, στήναι, δοθναι. Dor. has  $\theta$ έμεν, δόμεν, στάμεν.
- 766 D. Hom. has ἐστάμεναι and ἐστάμεν, ἐσταώς, -αότος. Hdt. has ἐστεώς, -εῶτος. Dorie has -εῖα for -νῖα (ἐστᾶκεῖα).
  - 768 D. 1. Homer has the following forms:
- Pres. ind. 2 sing. έσσι and είς, 1 pl. είμέν, 3 pl. (είσι, and) ἔᾶσι not enclitic.
- Imperf.  $\hat{\eta}a$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}a$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}o\nu$ , 2 sing.  $\hat{\eta}\sigma\theta a$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\eta\sigma\theta a$ , 3 sing.  $\hat{\eta}e\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\eta\nu$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\eta\nu$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  (rare), 3 pl.  $\hat{\eta}\sigma a\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma a\nu$ ; iterative (495)  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$  (for  $\epsilon\sigma-\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$ ).

Present							Imperfect
	I	dicative	Subjunctive	Opt	ative	Imperative	Indicative
- 0	2	είμί εἷ έστί	ѽ ที่ร ที่	εἴην εἴης εἴη		ἴσθι ἔστω	ἦ οι ἦν ἦσθα ἦν
Dual	_	έστόν έστόν	ήτον ήτον	•	or είτον or είτην	έστον έστων	ἦστον ἤστην
Plur.	1 2 3	έσμέν έστέ εἰσί	ὧμεν ἦτε ὧσι	εἴημεν εἴητε εἴησαν		<b>ἔστε</b> ἔστων	ήμεν ήτε or ήστε (rare) ήσαν
Inf	in.	$\epsilon$ l $\nu$ a $\iota$	Participl	le <b>ων, ο</b> ί	ὖ <b>σα, ὄν,</b> §	gen. őv <del>ros, o</del> ű	σης, ὄντος, etc. (305)

FUTURE (with middle forms)

ἔσομαι, ἔση (or ἔσει), ἔσται, ἔσεσθον, ἔσεσθον, ἐσόμεθα, ἔσεσθε, ἔσονται, opt. ἐσούμην, inf. ἔσεσθαι, part. ἐσόμενος, -η, -ον.

- a. The imperative 3 pl.  $\xi\sigma\tau\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$  occurs in Plato and Demosthenes;  $\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$  in Plato and on inscriptions.
- b. In composition  $\delta \nu$  retains its accent, as  $\delta \pi \delta \nu$ ,  $\delta \pi o \hat{v} \sigma a$ ,  $\delta \pi \delta \nu \tau o s$ , etc.; and so  $\delta \sigma \tau a \iota$ , as  $\delta \pi \delta \sigma \tau a \iota$  (426 e).
- **769.** The optative forms  $\epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon i \eta \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu$  are found only in prose writers.  $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu$  occurs in poetry and Plato,  $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$  only in poetry,  $\epsilon i \epsilon \nu$  in poetry and prose and more frequently than  $\epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu$ .
- **770.** The indicative  $\epsilon i \mu l$  is for \*\(\epsilon \sigma \mu l\) (37);  $\epsilon l$  is for \*\(\epsilon \tau l\) (originally  $\epsilon \sigma \sigma l$ , 463 b);  $\epsilon \sigma \tau l$  retains the original ending  $\tau \iota$ ;  $\epsilon l \sigma t$  is for  $(\sigma -) \epsilon \nu \tau \iota$ , cp. Lat. sunt;  $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ , with  $\sigma$  before  $\mu$  despite 105; the  $\sigma$  is due to the influence of  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ . The subjunctive  $\delta$  is for  $\epsilon \omega$ , from  $\epsilon \sigma \omega$ ; the optative  $\epsilon l \eta \nu$  is for  $\epsilon \sigma \iota \eta \nu$ ;  $\epsilon l \mu \epsilon \nu$  for  $\epsilon \sigma \iota \eta \nu$ ; cp. Lat.  $\epsilon m u s$ . The infinitive  $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$  is for  $\epsilon \sigma \nu a \iota$ ; the participle  $\delta \nu$  is for  $\epsilon \delta \nu$ , from  $\epsilon \sigma \omega \nu$ .

- 2. Herodotus has pres. ind. 2 sing.  $\epsilon \tilde{l}s$ , 1 pl.  $\epsilon i\mu \epsilon \nu$ ; imperf., the Attic forms and  $\tilde{\epsilon}a$ , 2 sing.  $\tilde{\epsilon}as$ , 2 pl.  $\tilde{\epsilon}a\tau \epsilon$ ; iterative  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\sigma\nu$ ; subj.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\sigma\iota$ ; opt. once  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\tilde{\epsilon}o\iota$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}l\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$ , less freq.  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\epsilon\nu$ ; part.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ .
- 3. Dor. pres. ind. 1 sing.  $\hat{\eta}\mu l$  and  $\epsilon l\mu l$ , 2 sing.  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma l$ , 1 pl.  $\hat{\eta}\mu l$ s and  $\epsilon l\mu l$ s (Pind.  $\epsilon l\mu \ell \nu$ ), 3 pl.  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\tau l$ ; imperf. 3 sing.  $\hat{\eta}s$  (for  $\hat{\eta}\sigma-\tau$ ), 1 pl.  $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon s$ , 3 pl.  $\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$  and  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ ; inf.  $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\epsilon l\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; part.  $\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu$  and fem.  $\hat{\epsilon}\alpha\sigma\sigma\alpha$ , pl.  $\hat{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon s$ . Fut.  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha l$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}$ ,  $-\hat{\eta}\tau\alpha l$  or  $-\hat{\epsilon}l\tau\alpha l$ ,  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\sigma\hat{\nu}\nu\tau\alpha l$  (540 D.).
  - 4. Aeolic ἔμμι out of ἐσμι; imper. ἔσσο, part. ἔων, ἔσσα (Sappho); imperf. ἔον.

Subj. ἔω, ἔης, 3 sing. ἔη, ἔησι, ἦσι, 3 pl. ἔωσι (twice ὧσι); μέτειμι has 1 sing. μετέω, and μετείω (with metrical lengthening).

Opt. εἴην, etc., also ἔοις, ἔοι ; Imper. 2 sing. ἔσ-σο (middle form), ἔστω, 3 pl. ἔστων. Inf. εἶναι and ἔμμεναι (for ἐσ-μεναι), ἔμμεν, also ἔμεναι, ἔμεν.

Part. ἐών, ἐοῦσα, ἐόν, etc., rarely the Attic forms.

Fut. often with σσ: ἔσσομαι and ἔσομαι; 3 sing. ἔσεται, ἔσται, ἔσσεται, also ἐσσεῖται (as in Dor.), ἔσσεσθαι, ἐσσόμενος.

- 771. Old Attic  $\hat{\eta}$  is from  $\hat{\eta}\alpha$  (Hom.) =  $\hat{\eta}\sigma_{\nu}$ , i.e.  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma$  augmented + the secondary ending  $\mu$ , which becomes  $\alpha$  by 35 c.  $\hat{\eta}s$  for  $\hat{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha$  is rare. The 3 pl. was originally  $\hat{\eta}\nu$ , contracted from  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\nu$  (Hom.); this  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  came to be used as 3 sing. By analogy to  $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\hat{\eta}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  the 1 sing.  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  was formed.
- 772. Inflected according to the  $\omega$ -conjugation are the subjunctive, the participle  $\check{\omega}_{\ell}$ , and several dialect forms.

773. clui (i-, ci-; cp. Lat. i-re) go has only the present system.

		IMPERFECT						
	I	ndicative	Subjunctive	Optative	Imperative	Indicative		
Sing.	1	εἷμι	ťω	loum or loins	y	ηία	or	η๊€ιν
	<b>2</b>	εÎ	វែប្បទ	ใดเร	<b>ίθι</b>	ή εισθα	$\mathbf{or}$	ήεις
	3	€Îσι	۲'n	<b>ἴ</b> οι	ἴτω	ήειν	or	ἥει
Dual	<b>2</b>	ΐτον	ἴητον	ἴοιτον	ἴτον	ήτον		
	3	ξτού	ἔητον	<b>Ι</b> οίτην	ἴτων	ήτην		
Plur.	1	ťμεν	ἴωμεν	<b>ἴοιμεν</b>		ຖິ້ມເບ		
	<b>2</b>	ľτε	ἴητε	<b>ἴοιτ</b> ε	∛τ€	र्गीं⊤€		
	3	ľāoi	ἴωσι	loven	ίόντων	ຖ້ິσαν	or	ἥέσαν

Infinitive: ιέναι. Participle: ιών, ιοῦσα, ιόν, gen. ιόντος, ιούσης, ιόντος, etc.

Verbal Adjectives: itós (poet.), itéos, itntéos.

- a. The imperative 3 pl. lτωσαν occurs rarely in Xenophon and Plato.
- b. The participle  $\iota \dot{\omega} \nu$  is accented like a second arrist. The accent of the simple form of participle and infinitive is kept in composition, as  $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \dot{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\pi \alpha \rho \iota o \partial \sigma a$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$ . Otherwise the compounds have recessive accent so far as the rules allow:  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \iota \mu \iota$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota$ , but  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} a$ ,  $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ .
- 774.  $\hat{\epsilon}l\mu$  in the indicative present means I shall go, I am going. See 1880. For I go  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu a\iota$  is used in the present indicative, but not (in prose) in the imperfect, or in the other moods. The scheme of moods and tenses is as follows: Present: indic.  $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\chi o\mu a\iota$ , subj.  $t\omega$ , opt.  $to\iota\mu\iota$  or  $tol\eta\nu$ , imper.  $t\theta\iota$ , inf.  $l\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$ , part.  $l\dot{\omega}\nu$ . Imperfect:  $\tilde{\eta}a$ . Future:  $\epsilon l\mu\iota$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma o l\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma c \sigma \theta a\iota$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ .
- 775. In the imperfect the older prose writers usually have  $\hat{\eta}\alpha$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\alpha$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota-\nu$ , the later have  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota\nu$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota\nu$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota$ . The plural forms  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu$  and  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon$  are not classical. Prose writers seem to prefer  $\hat{\eta}\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu$  to  $\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$ . The  $\eta$  here is the stem  $\epsilon\iota$  augmented.
- 776. The part., the subjv., and the opt. are inflected with the thematic vowel; and so also some of the dialectical forms.

Hdt.: ήια, ήιε, ήισαν (Mss.), but η for ηι is correct.

<sup>773</sup> D. Hom. has  $2 \sin g$ . εἶσθα (Hesiod εἶs); subj. ἔησθα and ἔης, ἔησιν and ἔης, ἔομεν and ἔομεν; opt. ἰείη and ἔοι; infin. ἔμεναι, ἔμεν, and ἰέναι (twice). Imperf.:  $1 \sin g$ . ἤια, ἀνήϊον,  $3 \sin g$ . ἤιε, ἦε, ἦε, ἤε (at the verse-end, ἦε?), ἔε; dual ἔτην, pl. ἤομεν, ἤίσαν, ἐπῆσαν, ἔσαν, ἤταν, ἤτον. For ἤια, ἤιε, ἤισαν some write ἤεα, ἤεε, ἤεσαν. Future: εἴσομαι  $\Omega$  462, o 213.  $\Gamma$ είσομαι  $\Pi$ 8 and  $\Gamma$ είσατο, ἐΓείσατο probably come from  $\Gamma$ είμαι strive (778).

777.  $\tilde{i}\eta\mu$  ( $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ -) send is inflected nearly like  $\tau i\theta\eta\mu$  (p. 135). The inflection of the present and second agriculture is as follows:

			ACTIVE		Middle (Pa	ssive)	MIDDLE
		I	NDICATIVE		In	DICATIV	ß.
		Pres.	Imperf.	Second Aor.	Pres.	Imperf.	Second Aor.
	1 2 3	<b>ξημι</b> <b>ξης, ίεις</b> (746 b) <b>ξησι</b>	ťην ťεις (746 b) ťει	(ήκας)	ίεμαι ίεσαι (465 a) ίεται		— εἴμην — εἰσο — εἰτο
D.	$\frac{2}{3}$	<b>Κ</b> ετον Κετον	ΐετον ἱέτην	— εἷτον — εἵτην	ťεσθον ∜εσθον		— εἶσθον — εἵσθην
Р.	<b>2</b>	ἴεμεν ἵετε ṫᾶσι (463 d)	<b>ἔ</b> εμεν ἔετε ἔεσαν	— εἶμεν — εἶτε — εἶσαν	tépéθα teσθe tevται	τεσθε	— εἵμεθα — εἷσθε — εἷντο
				Subjunctiv	E		
S.	1 2 3	tô tĝs <sup>t</sup> ĝ		— ผื — ทึ่ร — ทั้	<b>t</b> ῶμαι tῆ tῆται		— ὧμαι — ή — ήται
D.	2 3	<b>ἱῆτον</b> ἱῆτον		— ήτον — ήτον	ξῆσθον ξῆσθον		ήσθον ήσθον
P.	1 2 3	ΐῶμεν ἑῆτε ἑῶσι		— ὧμεν — ἡτε — ὧσι	ίώμεθα ξῆσθε ξῶνται		— ὥμεθα — ἦσθε — ὧνται
				OPTATIVE			
S.	1 2 3	teίην teίηs teίη		εἵην εἵης εἵη	tείμην tειο tειτο		είμην (758 c) είο είτο ( οίτο)
D	. 2	ξεῖτον Or ξείητον		— εἶτον or — εἵητον	tεῖσθον -		— ϵἷσθον
	3			— είτην or — είήτηι			— εἴσθην —

<sup>777</sup> D. 1. In Hom.  $i\eta\mu\iota$  usually has the initial  $\iota$  short. Present:  $-i\epsilon is$ ,  $i\eta\sigma\iota$  and  $-i\epsilon i$ ,  $i\epsilon i\sigma\iota$  from  $i\epsilon - \nu\tau\iota$ , inf.  $i\epsilon \mu\epsilon \nu a\iota$  and  $-i\epsilon \mu\epsilon \nu$ . Imperf.:  $-i\epsilon\iota\nu$ ,  $-i\epsilon\iota s$ ,  $-i\epsilon\iota$ , 3 pl.  $i\epsilon\nu$ . Future:  $i\eta\sigma\omega$ , once  $d\nu - \epsilon\sigma\epsilon\iota$ . First Aorist:  $i\eta\kappa a$  and  $i\eta\kappa a$ ,  $i\epsilon\nu i\eta\kappa a\mu\epsilon\nu$  once,  $i\eta\kappa a\nu$  once. Second Aorist: for the augmented  $i\epsilon$ -forms Hom. has usually the unaugmented  $i\epsilon$ -; as  $i\epsilon\sigma a\nu$ ,  $i\epsilon\nu i\nu$ . In the subjunctive  $i\mu\epsilon i\nu$ ,  $i\mu\epsilon i\nu$ ,  $i\mu\epsilon i\nu$ ,  $i\nu$ .

<sup>2.</sup> Hdt. has -leî (accented -lei), leîσι, imperf. -lei, perf. ἀνέωνται for ἀνεῖνται, part. με-μετ-ι-μένος for μεθειμένος.

<sup>3.</sup> Dor. has perf. ἔωκα, ἔωμαι.

P.	1	teîμεν or telημεν		είμεν or είημεν	τείμεθα	εἵμεθα ( οἵμεθα)
	<b>2</b>	teîτe or		€Îτ€ Or	<b></b>	— εἶσθε
		tείητε		— εἵητε		(— οἶσθε)
	3	telev or		elev or	telvto	— <b>ϵἷντο</b>
		teinoav		— εἵησαν		( olvto)
				IMPERATIVE	:	
s.	2	tei (746 b)		ές	ťεσο	oὖ
	3	<b>t</b> έτω	_	<b>έτω</b>	téσθω	<b>— ἕσθ</b> ω
D.	2	<b>ξετον</b>		έτον	<b>ἔεσθον</b>	— ἔσθον
	3	tέτων		<b>έτων</b>	<b></b>	— ἕσθων
P.	2	ťετε		έτε	<b>ἕεσ</b> ∙θε-	— ἔσθε
	3	<b>tέντων</b> (466.2, b)		έντων	tέσθων ( $466.2, b$ )	ἕσθων
				INFINITIVE		
		tévai		είναι	ΐεσθαι	— ἕσθαι
				PARTICIPLE		
		teis, teîoa, tév	— eľs, —	είσα, — ἕι	téµevos	ἕμενος

Future: — ήσω in prose only in composition; — ήσομαι only in composition. First Aorist: ἡκα in prose usually in comp., — ἡκάμην; both only in the indic. Perfect Active: — εἶκα only in composition.

Perfect Middle (Passive): —  $\epsilon$ î $\mu$ aι (plup. —  $\epsilon$ ἵ $\mu$ ην), —  $\epsilon$ ἵ $\sigma$ θω, —  $\epsilon$ ἱ $\sigma$ θαι, —  $\epsilon$ ἱ $\mu$ ένος, only in composition.

Aorist Passive: — είθην, — έθω, — έθηναι, — έθείς, only in composition.

Future Passive: — ἐθήσομαι, only in composition.

Verbal Adjectives: — ἐτός, — ἐτέος, only in composition.

- **778.** Since  $i\eta\mu$  is reduplicated (probably for  $\sigma\iota$ - $\sigma\eta$ - $\mu$ ) the initial  $\iota$  should be short, as it is in Hom. (rarely in Attic poetry).  $\bar{\iota}$  is probably due to confusion with the  $\bar{\iota}$  of Hom.  $i\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$  ( $\epsilon i\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$ ) strive, a meaning that  $i\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$  occasionally shows in Attic.  $i\epsilon\mu\alpha\iota$  meaning hasten occurs only in the present and imperfect.
- 779.  $\epsilon_{l}$  is for  $\epsilon + \epsilon$  in the second agrist active  $(\dot{\epsilon}-\dot{\epsilon}-\mu\epsilon\nu = \epsilon \tilde{l}\mu\epsilon\nu)$ , perfect active  $(\dot{\epsilon}-\dot{\epsilon}-\kappa\alpha = \epsilon \tilde{l}\kappa\alpha)$ , perfect middle  $(\dot{\epsilon}-\dot{\epsilon}-\mu\alpha\iota = \epsilon \tilde{l}\mu\alpha\iota)$ , second agrist passive  $(\dot{\epsilon}+\dot{\epsilon}-\theta\eta\nu = \epsilon l\theta\eta\nu)$ . In the agrists  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is the augment, in the perfects the first  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is the reduplication of the weak stem  $\dot{\epsilon}$ . The first agrist  $\dot{\eta}$ - $\kappa\alpha$  has the strong stem form. Present subj.  $\dot{\iota}\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\dot{l}\hat{\eta}s$ , etc., are for  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ ,  $\dot{l}\dot{\epsilon}\eta s$ , etc.; agr. subj.  $-\dot{\omega}$ ,  $-\dot{\eta}s$ , etc., are for  $-\dot{\epsilon}-\omega$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}-\eta s$ , etc.
- 780. Much confusion exists in the Mss. as regards the accentuation. Thus for tess we find less, and in Hom.  $\pi \rho o less$  (present), as if from less. See 746 c.
- **781.** For  $\dot{a}\phi to \iota \epsilon_{\nu}$  and  $\pi \rho oo \hat{\iota} \tau o$ ,  $\pi \rho oo \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ,  $\pi \rho oo \hat{\iota} \nu \tau o$  (also accented  $\pi \rho b$ - $o \iota \tau o$ , etc.) see 746 c.

**782.** The imperfect of  $\dot{a}\phi i\eta\mu$  is either  $\dot{a}\phi i\eta\nu$  or  $\dot{\eta}\phi i\eta\nu$  (450).

783.  $\phi \eta \mu i$  ( $\phi a$ -,  $\phi \eta$ -, ep. Lat.  $f \bar{a}$ -r i) say, say yes, or assent is inflected in the present as follows:

	•		PRESENT		IMPERFECT
	Indic.	Subj.	Opt.	Imper.	
Sing. 1 2 3	φημί φής φησί	φῶ φῆs φῆ	φαίην φαίης φαίη	φαθί or φάθι φάτω	ἔφην ἔφησθα or ἔφης ἔφη
Dual 2 3	φατόν φατόν	φῆτον φῆτον	not found not found	φάτον φάτων	ἔφατον ἐφάτην
Plur. 1 2 3	φαμέν φατέ φ <del>α</del> σί	φῶμεν φῆτε φῶσι	φαίμεν or φαίημεν φαίητε φαίεν or φαίησαν	φάτε φάντων	ἔφαμεν ἔφατε ἔφασαν

Infin.: φάναι; Partic.: poet. φάς, φάσα, φάν (Attic prose φάσκων); Verbal

Adj.: φατός (poet.), φατέος. Future: φήσω, φήσειν, φήσων.

First Aorist : ἔφησα, φήσω, φήσαιμι, ----, φῆσαι, φήσας.

Perf. Pass. Imper.: πεφάσθω let it be said.

**785.** In the optative φαῖτε does not occur, perhaps by chance (461, 683 a). φαῖμεν, φαῖεν are ordinary Attic; φαίημεν, φαίησαν are rare.

786. Middle forms in present, imperfect, and future are dialectic.

**787.** of  $\phi\eta\mu$  means refuse (Lat. nego). In the meaning assert,  $\phi \dot{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$  is commonly used outside of the indicative. In the meaning say often,  $\phi \dot{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$  is used.  $\xi\phi\eta\sigma a$  and  $\phi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$  are aor. and fut. in the meanings say yes and assent.  $\xi\phi\eta\nu$ ,  $\xi\phi\eta$  (and  $\phi\dot{a}\nu\alpha\iota$ ) often correspond to Lat. inquam, inquit.

788.  $\xi\phi\eta\nu$  and  $\phi\bar{\omega}$ ,  $\phi al\eta\nu$  may have an aoristic force.  $\xi\phi\eta\nu$  and poet.  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$  are both imperfect and second aorist.

2. Doric φāμί, φāτί, φαντί; imperf. ἔφā, φâ; inf. φάμεν; fut. φάσω, φάσομαι; aor. ἔφᾶσα.

3. Aeolic φâμι or φαίμι, φαίσθα, 3 s. φαίσι, 3 pl. φαίσι.

786 D. Middle forms of  $\phi\eta\mu l$  are rare or unknown in Attic (Plato has perf. imper.  $\pi\epsilon\phi\delta\sigma\theta\omega$ ), but common in other dialects; yet the pres. indicative middle is rare. Hom. has imperf.  $\epsilon\phi\delta\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\phi\alpha\tau\sigma$  or  $\phi\delta\tau\sigma$ , etc., imper.  $\phi\delta\sigma$ ,  $\phi\delta\sigma\theta\omega$ , etc., inf.  $\phi\delta\sigma\theta\omega$  (and in choral poetry), part.  $\phi\delta\mu\nu$  (also in Hdt.). These middle forms are active in meaning.

**<sup>783</sup>** D. 1. Hom. has  $\phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$  for  $\phi \hat{\eta} s$ ; subj.  $\phi \hat{\eta} \eta$  and  $\phi \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$  (463 c. D) for  $\phi \hat{\eta}$ ; imperf.  $\xi \phi \eta \nu$ ,  $\phi \hat{\eta} \nu$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta \sigma \theta a$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta s$ ,  $\xi \phi \eta$ 

789.  $\hat{\eta}\mu\alpha\iota(\hat{\eta}\sigma)$  sit is inflected only in the present system. The  $\sigma$  of the verb-stem appears only before  $-\tau a\iota$ ,  $-\tau o$ .

•	PRESENT		IMPERATIVE	IMPERFECT		
ήμαι ήσαι	<b>ກ</b> ິσθον	ήμεθα ήσθε	ήσο	ἥμην ἦσο	ກີσθον	ήμεθα ἦσθε
ήσται	ήσθον	η๋νται	ήσθω, etc.	ήστο	ήσθην	ήντο

The subjunctive and optative are wanting; present infinitive ήσθαι; participle ήμενος.

a. Uncompounded  $\hat{\eta}\mu\alpha\iota$  occurs only in Epic, tragedy, and Herodotus. The missing tenses are supplied by  $\xi(\alpha\mu\alpha\iota)$ ,  $\chi(\alpha)$  and  $\chi(\alpha)$ .

790. In place of  $\hat{\eta}\mu a\iota$  we find usually  $\kappa \dot{a}\theta - \eta\mu a\iota$  in Attic prose and comedy.  $\kappa \dot{a}\theta \eta ua\iota$  sometimes is perfect in meaning (*I have sat*, *I have been seated*). The  $\sigma$  of the verb-stem does not appear except before -70.

		PRE	SENT		IMPERF	ECT .
	Indicative	Subjunctive	Optative	Imperative	Indicat	ive
2	κάθημαι κάθησαι κάθηται	καθώμαι καθή καθήται	καθοίμην καθοΐο καθοΐτο	κάθησο καθήσθω	έκαθήμην (450) ( έκάθησο έκάθητο	οτ καθήμην καθήσο καθήστο or καθήτο
	•	-	καθοΐσθον καθοίσθην	•	ἐκάθησθον ἐκαθήσθην	καθήσθον καθήσθην
2	κάθησθε	καθώμεθα καθῆσθε καθῶνται	•	κάθησθε καθήσθων	έκαθήμεθα έκάθησθε έκάθηντο	καθήμεθα καθήσθε καθήντο
		Infiniti		Dontinia	Ja	

Infinitive: καθήσθαι; Participle: καθήμενος.

- a. The imperative has κάθου in comedy for κάθησο. In the imperfect ἐκαθήμην is used about as often as καθήμην.
  - The missing tenses are supplied by καθέζομαι, καθίζω, καθίζομαι.

791. **κείμαι** (κει-) lie, am laid, regularly used in the present and imperfect instead of the perfect and pluperfect passive of  $\tau (\theta \eta \mu u \ place)$ .

**<sup>789</sup> D.** Hom. has  $\epsilon i \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ , and  $\epsilon \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$  (twice),  $\epsilon i \alpha \tau \sigma$ , and  $\epsilon \alpha \tau \sigma$  once (once  $\hat{\eta} \nu \tau \sigma$ ).  $\hat{\eta}$ - is probably the correct spelling for  $\epsilon i$ -.

**<sup>790</sup>** D. Hom. has 3 pl. καθείατο (καθήατο ?). Hdt. has κατέαται, κατέατο ; καθήστο not καθήτο.

<sup>791</sup> D. Hom. has 3 pl. pres. κείαται, κέαται, κέανται; imperf. κείντο, κείατο, κέατο, iter. κέσκετο; subj. κήται, and κείται for  $κε(\underline{\iota})$ -ε-ται; fut. κείσομαι.

Hdt. has 3 sing. pres. κέεται and κείται, 3 pl. κέαται; imperf. ἔκειτο, pl. ἐκέατο.

	Present						
	Indic.	Subj.	Opt.	Imper.	Indic.		
Sing. 1 2 3	κείμαι κείσαι κείται	κέηται	κέοιτο	κείσο κείσθω	έκείμην έκεισο έκειτο		
Dual 2 3	κεῖσθον κεῖσθον			κείσθον κείσθων	ἔκεισθον ἐκείσθην		
Plur. 1 2 3	κείμεθα κεΐσθε κεΐνται	(δια)κέησθε (κατα)κέωνται	(προσ)κέοιντο	κείσθε κείσθων	ἐκείμεθα ἔκεισθε ἔκειντο		

Infinitive: κείσθαι; Participle: κείμενος.

Future: κείσομαι, κείση or κείσει, κείσεται, etc.

- a. In the subjunctive and optative  $\kappa \epsilon \iota$  becomes  $\kappa \epsilon$  before a vowel (43).
- b. Compounds have recessive accent in the present indicative and imperative: παράκειμαι, παράκεισο, but παρακεῖσθαι.
- 792.  $\dot{\eta}$ - $\mu$ i (cp. Lat. a-io) say occurs only in the present and imperfect 1 and 3 sing., and is used in parentheses (as Lat. inquam, inquit).

Forms:  $\dot{\eta}\mu l$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\sigma l$ ;  $\dot{\bar{\eta}}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\bar{\eta}}$ . Examples:  $\pi a\hat{\imath}$ ,  $\dot{\bar{\eta}}\mu l$ ,  $\pi a\hat{\imath}$  boy, I say, boy l (emphatic repetition).  $\dot{\bar{\eta}}\nu$   $\delta'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  said I,  $\dot{\bar{\eta}}$   $\delta'$  is said he (1113).

- 793.  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$  it is necessary is really an indeclinable substantive meaning necessity with the verb understood. In the present indicative  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau t$  is to be supplied. Elsewhere  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  unites with the form of the verb to be supplied; as subj.  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\dot{\eta}$ ), opt.  $\chi\rho\epsilon t\eta$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\epsilon t\eta$ ), inf.  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\epsilon\dot{t}\nu\alpha\iota$ ), part. indeclinable  $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{\omega}\nu$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\delta\nu$ ); imperf.  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\dot{\eta}\nu$ ), and less commonly  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$  with an augment because the composite character of  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$  was forgotten, fut.  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$  ( $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}+\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$ ).
- a. ἀπόχρη it suffices has pl. ἀποχρῶσι, part. ἀποχρῶν, -χρῶσα, -χρῶν, imperf. ἀπέχρη, fut. ἀποχρήσει, aor. ἀπέχρησε.
- 794. oîba (iò,  $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$ , oiò originally with  $_F$ ; cp. Lat. video) know is a second perfect with the meaning of a present, and formed without reduplication. The second perfect and second pluperfect are inflected as follows:

<sup>792</sup> D. Hom has ή, Doric ήτι, Aeolic ήσι.

<sup>793</sup> D. Hdt. has  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ ,  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ,  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a\iota$ , but  $d\pi \rho o \chi \rho \hat{a}$ ,  $d\pi o \chi \rho \hat{a} \nu$ .

<sup>794</sup> D. 1. Hom. has οἶδας α 337, ἴδμεν, ἴσᾶσι (ἴσσᾶσι for ἴσᾶσι Ι 36); subj. εἰδέω  $\pi$  236 and ἰδέω (?  $\Xi$  235), εἴδομεν and εἴδετε with short thematic vowels; inf. ἴδμεναι, ἴδμεν; part. εἰδυῖα and ἰδυῖα. Pluperf. ἤδεα, ἤδησθα  $\tau$  93, ἡείδεις (-ης?) X 280 with  $\eta$  as augment (433), ἤδη, ἤδεε, ἡείδει ι 206, 3 pl. ἴσαν for ἰδ-σαν. Fut. εἴσομαι, inf. εἰδησέμεν and -σειν.

Hdt. has οίδας, τόμεν and οτόαμεν (rarely), οτόᾶσι, subj. είδεω, plup. ήδεα, ήδεε (ήδει?), -ἠδέατε, ήδεσαν, fut. είδήσω.

<sup>3.</sup> Dor. has ἴσᾶμι (pl. ἴσαμεν, ἴσαντι) and οἶδα. Boeotian has ἴττω for ἴστω. Aeolic has ροίδημι and οἶδα.

	SECOND PERFECT					SECOND PLUPERFECT			
		Indic.	Subj.		Opt.	Imper.	I	ndic.	
Sing.	1	οΐδα	εἰδῶ	είδείην			ήδη	or	ήδειν
	<b>2</b>	οΐσθα	εἰδῆs	είδείης		<b>ίσθι</b>	ήδησθα	$\mathbf{or}$	ήδεις
	3	oΐδε	€lδĝ	εἰδείη		ζστω	ήδει(ν)		
$\mathbf{D}$ ual	$^2$	ζστον	είδῆτον	εἰδεῖτον		ζστον	ήστον		
/	3	ζστον	είδῆτον	είδείτην		ζστων	ήστην		
Plur.	1	ζσμεν	είδῶμεν	εἰδεῖμεν	or είδείημεν		ήσμεν	or	ήδεμεν
	$^2$	ίστε	είδητε	είδεῖτε	είδείητε	ἴστε	ήστε		ή δετε
	3	ἴσᾶσι	εἰδῶσι	είδεῖεν	είδείησαν	ἴστων	ήσαν		ήδεσαν

Infinitive είδέναι; Participle είδώς, είδυτα, είδός (309); Verbal Adj. Ιστέος; Future εἴσομαι. Compound σύνοιδα am conscious of.

- **795.** The verb-stem has the meaning find out; hence the perfect olda means I have found out and hence I know.
- 796. In Ionic and late Greek we find oldas, olda $\mu\epsilon\nu$ , etc. These forms are rare in Attic. olda $\theta$ as occurs in comedy.
- 797. In the optative dual and plural prose writers have either the shorter or the longer forms; the poets only the shorter forms.
- **798.** Pluperfect  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota$ s occur in later Attic (Demosthenes), but are suspicious in earlier writers.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\theta\alpha$  occurs in the best Mss. of Plato and elsewhere, but it is less correct Attic.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\eta$ s is incorrect.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota$  is rare.  $\mathring{\mathring{\eta}}\sigma\tau\sigma\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\sigma\tau\eta\nu$  are almost entirely poetic. In the plural  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\iota\sigma\alpha\nu$  are post-classical.  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\mu\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\delta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$  occur rarely in the Attic poets.
- **799.**  $older \ ldeta \ is from older \ da; \ lotte from \ ldeta + \tau e; \ lset from \ ldeta + \tau a \ rti, \ with \ \sigma from \ (\text{Hom.}) \cdot lset \ ldeta \ a \ rti \ day \ with \ \tau \ from \ (\text{Hom.}) \cdot lset \ ldeta \ a \ rti \ day \ with \ \tau \ from \ (\text{Hom.}) \cdot lset \ ldeta \ rti \ ldeta \ rti \ ldeta \ rti \ ldeta \ rti \ rti$

## PECULIARITIES IN THE USE OF THE VOICE-FORMS, ETC.

- **800.** Some verbs in the present appear in classical Greek in the active voice only, as  $\beta a i \nu \omega$  go,  $\xi \rho \pi \omega$  creep,  $\tau \rho \epsilon \omega$  tremble; others in the middle only, as  $\delta \lambda \lambda \omega \omega$  leap,  $\delta \omega \lambda \omega \omega$  wish,  $\kappa \delta \theta \eta \omega \omega$  sit,  $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \omega \omega$  lie.
- 801. Outside of the present some active verbs show middle forms especially in the future, as βήσομαι shall go, ἀκούσομαι shall hear (805); and some verbs exclusively or chiefly deponent show active forms especially in the perfect, as γίγνομαι become γέγονα, μαίνομαι rage μέμηνα, δέρκομαι poet., 2 aor. ἔδρακον, perf. δέδορκα.
- 802. For the passive voice the middle forms sufficed in most cases; many middle futures are still used passively (807), as ἀδικήσο-

**<sup>802</sup> D.** Hom. has  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$  was killed,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\dot{b}\mu\eta\nu$  was stayed. Cp. also  $\dot{\eta}\dot{\delta}\epsilon\sigma\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$  and aldes  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  (aldes  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ), distant and distant (oloma think),  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{o}\lambda\omega\dot{\sigma}\dot{a}\mu\eta\nu$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{o}\lambda\dot{\omega}\theta\eta\nu$  ( $\chi\dot{o}\lambda\dot{\omega}\omega$  enrage).

μαι shall be wronged; and traces of the passive use of the aorist middle appear in Hom., as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\eta\tau\sigma$  was  $h\tilde{u}$ . This use was largely abandoned when  $-\eta\nu$  and  $-\theta\eta\nu$  came to be used as special marks of the passive. Originally neither  $-\eta\nu$  nor  $-\theta\eta\nu$  was passive in meaning.

- 803. The second agrist in  $-\eta\nu$  is primarily intransitive and shows active inflection (as  $\xi\sigma\eta\nu$  stood). Many so-called passive forms are in fact merely intransitive agrists of active verbs, as  $\epsilon\rho\rho\delta\eta\nu$  from  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon\omega$  flow,  $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\kappa\lambda\nu\eta\nu$  from  $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\kappa\lambda\nu\omega$  lie down, and do not differ in meaning from the agrists of deponent verbs, as  $\epsilon\mu\dot{\alpha}\nu\eta\nu$  from  $\mu\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}$  from  $\mu\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}$  from  $\mu\alpha\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\nu}$  as  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\nu}\nu$  from  $\mu\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$  as  $\epsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$ .
- **804.** The aorists in  $-\theta\eta\nu$  that are called passive are often active or middle in meaning, as  $\eta\sigma\theta\eta\nu$  took pleasure in from  $\eta\delta \delta \mu a\iota$ ,  $\eta\sigma\chi \delta \nu\theta\eta\nu$  felt ashamed from  $al\sigma\chi \delta \nu\omega$  disgrace,  $al\sigma\chi \delta \nu \omega\mu a\iota$  am ashamed;  $\dot{\omega}\rho\gamma \iota \sigma\theta\eta\nu$  became angry from  $\dot{\delta}\rho\gamma \iota \zeta\omega$  anger.

## FORMS OF ONE VOICE IN THE SENSE OF ANOTHER

- **805.** Future Middle with Active Meaning. Many verbs have no active future, but use instead the future middle in an active sense: λαμβάνω take λήψομαι, γιγνώσκω know γνώσομαι.
- a. Most such verbs denote a physical action, as the action of the vocal organs; the action of the organs of sight, hearing, smell, touch; the action of throat, mouth, lips; bodily activity in general, voluntary or involuntary; and other aspects of the physical side of human organism.
- **806.** In the following list of active verbs with middle futures those marked \* have also an active future; those marked † sometimes have an active future in late Greek. All verbs adding  $-\alpha\nu$  to form the present stem (523, b, c) have a middle future except  $ab\xi d\nu\omega$ ,  $\lambda a\nu \theta d\nu\omega$ ,  $\delta \phi \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa d\nu\omega$ . Verbs denoting praise or blame usually have both an active and a middle future.

- a. Compounds of  $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\omega$  with  $d\pi o$ -,  $\sigma v\gamma$ -,  $\pi a\rho a$ -,  $\pi \rho o\sigma$  have both active and middle futures; other compounds have only the active futures.
- **807.** Future Middle with Passive Meaning.—In many verbs the future middle has the meaning of the future passive, as ἀδικέω wrong, ἀδικήσομαι shall be wronged.

**808.** The following verbs commonly use the future middle in a passive sense. (All of these have the future passive in late (Freek, except  $d\mu\phi$ 10 $\beta\eta\tau$ 6 $\omega$ 4,  $d\omega$ 4,  $d\omega$ 5,  $d\omega$ 6,  $d\omega$ 6,  $d\omega$ 6,  $d\omega$ 7,  $d\omega$ 8,  $d\omega$ 9,  $d\omega$ 

άγνοέω not to know eľργω shut μαστίγόω whip στρεβλόω rack άγωνίζομαι contend έκπλύνω wash out olκέω inhabit στυγέω hate (poet.) ἐνεδρεύω lie in wait ὁμολογέω agree άδικέω wrong ταράττω disturb  $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota\sigma\beta\eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega\ dispute$ for ονειδίζω reproach τηρέω quard ανοίγνυμι open, C.I.A. ἐπιβουλεύω plot παιδαγωγέω edu- $\tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega$  nourish 2. 1054 (not found againstcateτρίβω rub in literature) έχθαίρω hate πολεμέω wage war τω rain άρχω rule έχω have προαγορεύω foreφιλέω love διδάσκω teach θεραπεύω tend tellφυλάττω quard èάω permit κωλύω prevent σταθμάω measure

809. Some verbs use in a passive sense both a future middle form and a future passive form; on the difference in meaning see 1738.

άγω lead, ἄξομαι, ἀχθήσομαι. ἀπατάω deceive, ἀπατήσομαι, ἐξαπατηθήσομαι.

αὐξάνω increase, αὐξήσομαι, αὐξηθήσομαι. βλάπτω hurt, βλάψομαι, βλαβήσομαι. δηλόω manifest, δηλώσομαι, δηλωθήσο-

μαι. ζημιόω fine, ζημιώσομαι, ζημιωθήσομαι. καλέω call, καλοῦμαι (rare), κληθήσομαι. κηρόττω proclaim, κηρύξομαι (rare), κηρυχθήσομαι.

κρίνω judye, κρινοῦμαι, κριθήσομαι. λέγω say, λέξομαι (tragic), λεχθήσομαι.

μαρτυρέω bear witness, μαρτυρήσομαι, μαρτυρηθήσομαι.

πολιορκέω besiege, πολιορκήσομαι, πολιορκηθήσομαι.

πράττω do, πράξομαι (rare), πραχθήσομαι. στερέω deprive, άποστερήσομαι, άποστερηθήσομαι.

τιμάω honour, τιμήσομαι, τιμήθήσομαι.

υβρίζω insult, υβριούμαι, υβρισθήσομαι.

φέρω bear, οἴσομαι, οἰσθήσομαι, κατενεχθήσομαι.

φρονέω: καταφρονήσομαι despise, καταφρονηθήσομαι.

λείπω leave, ἀπολείψομαι, ἀπολειφθήσομαι. ἀφελέω aid, ἀφελήσομαι, ἀφεληθήσομαι.

- 810. Middle Deponents. Deponent verbs whose aorists have an active or middle meaning with middle forms are called middle deponents. The aorist passive of such verbs, when it occurs, has a passive force. Thus αἰτιάομαι accuse, ἢτιᾶσάμην accused, ἢτιᾶσήμην was accused. Others 813 c.
- **811.** Passive Deponents. Deponent verbs whose agrists have the passive form but the active or middle meaning are called passive deponents; as  $\beta o \acute{\nu} \lambda \rho \mu u wish$ , agr.  $\dot{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda \acute{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ . The future is usually middle in form. Most passive deponents express mental action of some sort.
- **812.** In the following list verbs marked \* have a future passive form and also a future middle form; as διαλέγομαι converse, aor. διελέχθην conversed, fut. διαλέξομαι and διαλεχθήσομαι shall converse. But ήδομαι take pleasure in has only ήσθήσομαι, and ήττάομαι yield to, am worsted has only ήττηθήσομαι. Verbs with † have also an aorist middle, but it is less common, or poetic, or late Greek.

†ἄγαμαι admire, ἡγάσθην
\*†αιδέομαι feel shame, ἠδέσθην
ἀλάομαι (usu. poet.) wander, ἡλήθην
†ἀμιλλάομαι contend, ἡμιλλήθην
†ἄρνέομαι deny, ἡρνήθην
\*ἄχθομαι am grieved, ἡχθέσθην
βούλομαι wish, ἐβουλήθην (430)
δέομαι want, ἐδεήθην
δέρκομαι (poet.) see, ἐδέρχθην
δύναμαι am able, ἐδυνήθην (430)
ἐναντιόομαι oppose, ἡναντιώθην
ἐπίσταμαι understand, ἡπιστήθην
ἔραμαι ἐράω love, ἡράσθην
τὐλαβέομαι am cautious, ηὐλαβήθην
†ἤδομαι take pleasure in, ἤσθην

\*ήττάομαι yield to, ήττήθην
(ἐν-)θῦμέομαι consider, ἐνεθῦμήθην
(προ-)θῦμέομαι am eager, προεθῦμήθην
(προ-)θῦμέομαι am eager, προεθῦμήθην
\*†(δια-)λέγομαι converse, διελέχθην
(ἐπι-)μέλομαι care for, ἐπεμελήθην
(μετα-)μέλομαι regret, μετεμελήθην
(ἀπο-)νοέομαι despair, ἀπενοήθην
(ἐν-)νοέομαι think of, ἐνενοήθην
†(ἐπι-)νοέομαι think on, ἐπενοήθην
†(προ-)νοέομαι foresee, provide, προενοήθην
οἴομαι think, ψήθην
φιλοτῖμέομαι am ambitious, ἐφιλοτῖμήθην

- a. Some verbs use either the agrist middle or agrist passive without distinction, as έναυλίζομαι bivouac, πρᾶγματεύομαι am engaged in.
- b. Some verbs use both, but prefer the acrist middle, as ἀποκρίνομαι answer, ἀπολογέσμαι speak in defence, μέμφομαι blume.
- c. Some verbs use the aorist passive in an active or middle sense, as  $\delta\pi o \rho \epsilon o \mu a \iota$  doubt, pass. be disputed, aor.  $\eta\pi o \rho \eta \theta \eta \nu$ ;  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \omega$  prove,  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta o \mu a \iota$  try, aor.  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \delta \eta \nu$  (less often  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \sigma \delta \mu \eta \nu$ ), fut.  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \sigma o \mu a \iota$  and  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \delta \delta \delta \sigma \iota a \iota$  (poet.  $\epsilon \rho a \mu a \iota$ ) love has  $\eta \rho \delta \sigma \delta \eta \nu$  fell in love with, fut.  $\epsilon \rho a \sigma \delta \eta \sigma o \mu a \iota$ .
- 313. Deponents with Passive Meaning. Some deponent verbs have a passive meaning. This is avoided by good writers in the present and imperfect or future passive, is not frequent in the aorist, but is common in the perfect and pluperfect passive. Thus ἀπεκρίνεται (ἀπεκρίθη) ταῦτα this answer is (was) made is not good Greek. Few verbs show the passive meaning in most of these tenses; as ἀνέομαι buy, am bought, ἐωνήθην was bought, ἐώνημαι have bought, have been bought.
- a. Present and Imperfect: ἀγωνίζομαι contend, am contended for, βιάζομαι force, am forced, λῦμαίνομαι maltreat, am maltreated, ἀνέομαι buy, am bought.
- Future Passive: ἀπαρνέομαι deny, ἀπαρνηθήσομαι, ἐργάζομαι work, do, ἐργασθήσομαι.
- c. Aorist Passive: These verbs (middle deponents, 810) have also an aorist middle; the aorist passive is used in a passive sense: ἀγωνίζομαι contend, αικίζομαι harass, αινίττομαι speak darkly, αιτιάομαι αccuse, ἀκέομαι heal, βιάζομαι force, δέχομαι receive, δωρέομαι present, ἐργάζομαι work, do, ἡγέομαι lead, θεάομαι behold, ἰάομαι heal, κτάομαι acquire, λῦμαίνομαι maltreat, λωβάομαι abuse, μῖμέομαι imitate, ὀλοφόρομαι lament, προφασίζομαι feign an excuse, χράομαι use, ἀνέομαι buy. ἀποκρίνομαι has ἀπεκρίνατο answered, ἀπεκρίθην usu. means was separated.
- d. Perfect and Pluperfect: These verbs use the perfect middle in the middle or the passive sense: ἀγωνίζομαι contend, αἰνίττομαι speak darkly, αἰτιάομαι αccuse, ἀποκρίνομαι answer, ἀπολογέομαι make a defence, βιάζομαι force, ἐνθῦμέομαι consider, ἐργάζομαι work, do, εὕχομαι pray, ἡγέομαι lead, κτάομαι

acquire,  $\lambda \omega \beta$ áopal abuse,  $\mu \eta \chi$ aváopal devise,  $\mu \bar{\mu} \mu$ éopal imitate,  $\pi$ app $\eta \sigma$ iáopal speak boldly,  $\pi o \lambda \bar{\iota} \tau$ evopal act as (discharge the duties of) a citizen,  $\pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu a \tau$ evopal am engaged in,  $\sigma \kappa$ é $\pi \tau o \mu a v$ iew,  $\chi \rho$ áopal use,  $\dot{\omega}$ véopal buy.

- 814. Active Verbs with Aorist Passive in a Middle Sense. The aorist passive of some active verbs has a reflexive or middle sense, either sometimes or always. Thus εὐφραίνω gladden, ηὐφραίνθην rejoiced, κῖνέω move, ἐκῖνήθην was moved or moved myself, φαίνω show, ἐφάνην showed myself, appeared (ἐφάνθην usually was shown).
  - a. These verbs are often called middle passives.
- b. The middle and the passive form of the future of such verbs is often found, the middle being frequently preferred.
  - 815. Aorist Passive and Future Middle forms:

alσχόνω disgrace, ήσχύνθην felt ashamed, alσχυνοῦμαι ἀνιάω vex, ήνιάθην felt vexed, ἀνιάσομαι

άνιάω νεχ, ήνιδυην jett νεχεα, ανασομαι ἐπείγω urge, ἡπείχθην urged, ἐπείξομαι εὐφραίνω gladden, ηὐφράνθην rejoiced, εὐφρανοθμαι

κινέω move, έκινήθην moved (bestirred) myself, κινήσομαι

κοιμάω put to sleep, ἐκοιμήθην lay down to sleep, κοιμήσομαι

λυπέω vex, έλυπήθην grieved, λυπήσομαι

όργίζω anger, ώργίσθην became angry, όργιοῦμαι

όρμάω incite, ώρμήθην set out, όρμήσομαι πείθω persuade, ἐπείσθην obeyed, πείσομαι

πλανάω cause to wander, ἐπλανήθην wandered, πλανήσομαι

πορεύω convey, ἐπορεύθην marched, πορεύσομαι

φοβέω terrify, ἐφοβήθην was afraid, φοβήσομαι

- a. ἀνάγομαι set sail, κατάγομαι land, ὁπλίζομαι arm myself, ὁρμίζομαι lie at anchor, generally have an aorist middle.
  - 816. Aorist Passive and Future Passive forms:

μιμνήσκω remind, έμνήσθην remembered, σφάλλω trip up, deceive, έσφάλην erred, μνησθήσομαι failed, σφαλήσομαι στρέφω turn, έστράφην turned, στραφή- τήκω cause to melt, έτάκην dissolved,

στρέφω turn, έστράφην turned, στραφήσομαι

τήκω cause to melt, ἐτάκην dissolved, languished, τακήσομαι

817. Passive Aorist and Middle and Passive Future forms: ἀπαλλάττω release, ἀπηλλάγην departed, ἀπαλλάξομαι, ἀπαλλαγήσομαι. φαίνω show, ἐφάνην appeared, φανοῦμαι, φανήσομαι (819).

818. Some verbs have a passive agrist rarely in a middle sense; with the middle agrist in a different meaning.

κομίζω bring, έκομισθην betook myself, έκομισάμην carried off.
σώζω save, έσώθην saved myself (was saved), έσωσάμην saved for myself.

ψεύδω deceive, ϵψεύσθην deceived myself (was deceived), ϵψευσάμην lied.

**819.** In some verbs showing 1st and 2nd aorist, or 1st and 2nd perfect, the first tenses are generally transitive, the second tenses generally intransitive. The future active of these verbs is transitive. In some transitive verbs the perfect (usually the 2nd perf.) is intransitive.

αννυμι: trans. κατάγνυμι break, -έαξα; intrans. κατάγνυμαι break, 2 aor. -εάγην; 2 perf. -éāya am broken.

βαίνω go: trans. βήσω shall cause to go, 1 aor. ἔβησα, Ion. and poet.; intrans. 2 aor. ἔβην went, pf. βέβηκα have gone, stand fast.

ετω: trans. cause to enter, sink, put on, δύσω, ἔδῦσα, δέδὔκα; intrans. enter, pass under, δύομαι, δύνω, 2 aor. έδυν dived, went down, δέδυκα have entered, gone down. In prose usually καταδύω make sink, κατέδυσα, καταδύσω; καταδύομαι sink, καταδύσομαι, κατέδυν. — Of another's clothes, ένδύω (ένέδυσα) means put on, ἀποδύω ἐκδύω (ἀπέδῦσα ἐξέδῦσα) mean take off; of one's own clothes, ἐνδύομαι and ἐνέδῦν mean put on, ἀποδύομαι ἐκδύομαι (ἀπέδῦν ἐξέδῦν) mean take off.

έγείρω: trans. rouse, wake up, έγερῶ, ἤγειρα, etc.; intrans. έγείρομαι wake. am awake, έγερθήσομαι, ήγερθην, 2 aor. ήγρόμην awoke, 2 perf. έγρήγορα am awake.

ίστημι set: trans. στήσω shall set, 1 aor. έστησα set, έστάθην was set, ίσταμαι set for myself, στήσομαι, έστησάμην. Four active tenses are intrans.: 2 aor. ξστην (set myself) stood, pf. έστηκα (have set myself) stand, am standing, είστήκη stood, was standing, 2 perf. έστατον stand, fut. pf. έστήξω shall stand. So also ισταμαι set myself, stand, στήσομαι.

N. — The same distinction prevails in the compounds: ἀνίστημι raise up, ἀνέστην stood up, ἀφίστημι set off, cause to revolt, ἀπέστην stood off, revolted, άφέστηκα am distant, am in revolt; ἐφίστημι set over, ἐπέστην set myself over, έφέστηκα am set over; καθίστημι set down, establish, κατέστην established myself, became established, καθέστηκα am established. The aorist middle has a different meaning: κατεστήσατο established for himself; συνίστημι introduce, unite, συνέστημεν banded together.

**λείπω** leave: trans. λείψω, ἔλιπον, λέλοιπα have left, have failed, am wanting. λείπομαι mid. = remain (leave myself), pass. = am left, am left behind, am inferior; 2 aor. mid. ελιπόμην left for myself (in Hom. was left, am inferior), helbouar will leave for myself, will remain, be left.

μαίνω: trans. madden, έκμαίνω, -μανῶ, -έμηνα; intrans. rage, μαίνομαι, μανοῦμαι,

έμάνην, 2 perf. μέμηνα am raging.

όλλυμι: trans. destroy (perdo), ἀπόλλυμι, -ολώ, -ώλεσα, -ολώλεκα have ruined (perdidi); intrans. perish (pereo), ἀπόλλυμαι, -ολοῦμαι, 2 aor. -ωλόμην, 2 perf. -bλωλa am ruined (perii).

πείθω: trans. persuade, πείσω, έπεισα, πέπεικα have persuaded, επείσθην, πεισθήσομαι; intrans. (persuade myself) obey, believe,  $\pi$ είθομαι,  $\pi$ είσομαι, έ $\pi$ είσθην, πέπεισμαι am convinced; 2 perf. πέποιθα Ι trust (= πιστεύω) is rare in prose.

πήγνῦμι: trans. fix, make fast, πήξω, ἔπηξα, ἐπήχθην; intrans. am fixed. freeze, πήγνυμαι, παγήσομαι, ἐπάγην, 2 perf. πέπηγα am fixed, frozen.

πίνω drink: 2 aor. ἔπιον drank, 1 aor. ἔπῖσα caused to drink.

πλήττω: trans. terrify, έκπλήττω, καταπλήττω, -έπληξα; intrans. am affrighted, έκπλήττομαι, -επλάγην.

πράττω do: πέπραχα (probably late) have done, πέπραγα have fared (well or ill) and have done.

φήγνυμι: trans. break, -ρήξω, ἔρρηξα; intrans. break, burst, ρήγνυμαι, -ραγήσομαι, έρράγην, 2 perf. έρρωγα am broken.

**σβέννῦμι:** trans. extinguish, put out, ἀποσβέννῦμι, ἀπέσβεσα, ἀπεσβέσθην; intrans.

- be extinguished, go out, ἀποσβέννυμαι, ἀποσβήσομαι, ἀπέσβην went out, ἀπέσβηκα am extinguished.
- σήπω: trans. make rot; intrans. rot, σήπομαι, έσάπην rotted, 2 perf. σέσηπα am rotten.
- τήκω: trans. cause to melt; intrans. melt, τήκομαι, ἐτάκην, 2 perf. τέτηκα am melted.
- φαίνω: trans. show, φανῶ, ἔφηνα, πέφαγκα have shown, πέφασμαι, ἐφάνθην was shown, made known; trans. also show, declare, φαίνομαι, φανοῦμαι, ἐφηνάμην showed (rare and poetic in the simple form; ἀπεφηνάμην declared is common); intrans. show oneself, appear, φαίνομαι, φανήσομαι and φανοῦμαι, ἐφάνην appeared, 2 perf. πέφηνα have shown myself, appeared. The middle means show oneself, appear; the passive, am shown, am made evident. φανήσομαι means shall appear or shall be shown, and is not very different in sense from φανοῦμαι (but see 1738, 1911).
- φθείρω: trans. destroy, διαφθείρω, -φθερῶ, -έφθειρα, -έφθαρκα; intrans. am ruined, διαφθείρομαι, -εφθάρην, -φθαρήσομαι, 2 perf. διέφθορα am ruined in Hom., have destroyed in Attic poetry.
- φτω: trans. bring forth, produce, φύσω, ἔφῦσα; intrans. am produced, come into being, φύσμαι, φύσομαι, ἔφῦν, 2 perf. πέφῦκα am by nature.
- 820. Poetic forms: dραρίσκω (dρ-) fit, 2 aor. ἤραρον trans. and intrans. γείνομαι am born, ἐγεινάμην begat. ἐρείκω rend, 2 aor. ἤρικον trans. rent and intrans. shivered. ἐρείπω throw down, ἤριπον trans. threw down and intrans. fell. ὅρνῦμι rouse, 2 aor. ὅρορον trans. roused and intrans. have risen. ἀναγιγνώσκω read, ἀνέγνωσα persuaded in Hdt., 2 aor. ἀνέγνων read, recited.
- 821. The following are poetic intransitive second perfects: ἄρᾶρα fit (ἀρα-ρίσκω fit, trans.). ἔολπα hope (Ερίς ἔλπω cause to hope). κέκηδα sorrow (κήδω trouble). ὅρωρα have arisen (ὅρνῦμι rouse).

## PART III

### FORMATION OF WORDS

822. Inflected words generally consist of two distinct parts: a stem and an inflectional ending (191):

δῶρο-ν gift, stem δωρο-, inflectional ending  $\nu$ ;  $\lambda \acute{v}$ ο-μεν we loose, stem  $\lambda \bar{\iota}$ ο-, inflectional ending  $\mu$ εν.

- a. The inflectional endings of nouns and verbs, and the formation of verbal stems, have been treated under Inflection. The formation of words, as discussed here, deals primarily with the formation of noun-stems, of verbal stems derived from nouns, and of compound words. Uninflected words (adverbs, prepositions, conjunctions, and particles) are mostly of pronominal origin and obscure; such adverbs as show case forms are mentioned in 341 ff.
- 823. Some stems are identical with roots (root-stems, 193) to which only an inflectional ending, or no ending at all, has been added.

βοῦ-s ox, cow μῦ-s mouse b-s hog, sow εἶs one (stem έν-) vαῦ-s ship φλόξ flame (φλέγ-ω burn) θήρ wild beast (gen. θηρ-όs) δψ voice (stem όπ-) χείρ hand (gen. χείρ-όs) κλωψ thief (κλέπ-τ-ω steal) πούs foot (stem ποδ-) χθών earth (stem χθον-)

- **824.** Most stems are derived from roots by the addition of one or more formative suffixes.
- $\delta \hat{\omega}$ -ρο-ν gift, stem  $\delta \omega$ ρο-, root  $\delta \omega$  ( $\delta t$ - $\delta \omega$ - $\mu$  give), suffix ρο-.  $\gamma$ ρα $\mu$ - $\mu$ ατ- $\epsilon t$ -s scribe, stem  $\gamma$ ρα $\mu$ ματ $\epsilon v$ -, root  $\gamma$ ρα $\phi$ , suffixes  $\mu$ ατ and  $\epsilon v$ -
- a. Most words are therefore built up from root, suffix, and inflectional ending by a process of composition analogous to that seen in compounds (869 ff.), in which the union of the various elements yields an idea different from that seen in each of the parts.
- **825.** A stem is *primary* if only one suffix is added to the root  $(\delta \hat{\omega} \rho o \cdot \nu)$ ; secondary, when more than one suffix is added to the root  $(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \varsigma)$ .
- 826. There are two kinds of stems: noun-stems (substantive and adjective) and verb-stems.
- 827. Words containing a single stem are called *simple* words, as λόγο-ς speech; words containing two or more stems are called *compound* words, as λογο-γράφο-ς speech-writer.

- 828. According to the character of the suffix words are called:
- a. Primitive (or Primary): formed by the addition of a suffix either to a root or to a verb-stem to which a vowel, usually ε, has been added (485, 486).

Root  $\gamma \rho a \phi$ :  $\gamma \rho a \phi - \omega$  write,  $\gamma \rho a \phi - \dot{\eta}$  writing,  $\gamma \rho a \phi - \epsilon \dot{\upsilon}$ -s writer,  $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu - \mu a$  something written,  $\gamma \rho a \mu - \mu \dot{\eta}$  line.

Verb-stem  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \cdot \epsilon$  in  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon - \sigma \theta a \iota$  become  $(\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \eta \nu, \gamma \ell - \gamma \nu - o \mu a \iota)$ :  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota - s$  genesis, origin;  $\tau \epsilon \rho - \epsilon$   $(\tau \epsilon \rho \omega \ bore)$ :  $\tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon - \tau \rho - \nu$  gimlet, instrument for boring.

b. Denominative (or Secondary): formed from a noun-stem (substantive or adjective) or adverb.

γραμ-ματ-εύs writer (stem γραμματ-, nom. γράμμα); εὐδαιμον-lā happiness (stem εὐδαιμον-, nom. εὐδαιμων); δικαιο-σύνη justice, δίκα-ιο-s just (δίκη right); φίλ-ιο-s friendly (φίλο-s dear); δουλό-ω enslave (δοῦλο-s slave); παλαι-ό-s ancient, of old date, from the adverb πάλαι long ago.

- 829. Suffixes forming primitive words are called *primary* suffixes; suffixes forming denominative words are called *secondary* suffixes.
- a. The distinction between primary and secondary suffixes is not original and is often neglected. Thus, in  $\delta\epsilon\iota\nu\delta s$  terrible ( $\delta\epsilon\iota$ -fear),  $\nu o$  is a primary suffix; in  $\sigma\kappa o\tau \epsilon\iota\nu\delta s$  dark ( $\sigma\kappa\delta\tau os$ , 858. 11), it is secondary. So English -able is both primary (readable) and secondary (companionable).
- b. It is often difficult to determine whether a suffix is added to a verb-stem or to a noun-stem :  $l\sigma\chi\bar{v}$ - $\rho\delta s$  strong ( $l\sigma\chi\dot{v}$ -s strength,  $l\sigma\chi\dot{v}$ - $\omega$  am strong).
- c. A primitive word may be formed from a verb-stem which is itself denominative:  $\tau \circ \xi \in \nu \tau / \tau$  bown an from  $\tau \circ \xi \in \nu \omega$  shoot with the bow, derived from  $\tau \circ \xi \in \nu \omega$  bow. A primitive may be formed with a suffix derived from a denominative:  $\phi \land \varepsilon \sim \omega$  burning  $(\phi \land \varepsilon \sim \omega)$  with upo from  $\omega \sim \omega$   $(\omega \sim \omega)$  shrill.
- d. A denominative often has no corresponding primitive; sometimes the latter has been lost, sometimes it was presumed for the purpose of word-formation by the imitative process always at work in the making of language. Thus,  $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu \iota o \nu \ bed$ , from  $\delta \epsilon \mu \nu o \nu$
- 830. To determine the root all suffixes must be removed from the stem until only that part remains which contains the fundamental idea.
- a. Most roots are noun-roots or verb-roots; but originally a root was neither noun or verb (193). Some roots are pronominal, and express direction or position. Greek has many words whose roots cannot be discovered. The form of a root in Greek is not necessarily that which Comparative Grammar shows was common to the cognate languages.
- b. Since the origin of many words, even with the help of the cognate languages, is uncertain, we are often at a loss where to make the dividing line between root and suffix. Suffixes are often preceded by a vowel which may be regarded as a part of the suffix or as an expansion of the root (by some scholars regarded as a part of the root itself).
  - 831. Changes of the root-vowel. a. The root-vowel is sometimes strong,

sometimes weak: ει, οι (weak ι); ευ, ου (weak υ); η οι ω (weak α οι ε).  $\lambda$ εῖμ-μα remnant,  $\lambda$ οιπ-ό-s remaining, cp.  $\lambda$ είπ-ω, ξ- $\lambda$ ιπ-ον; ζεῦγ-οs team, cp. ζεύγ-νῦ-μι, ζυγ-όν yoke; σπουδ-ή zeal, σπεύδ-ω hasten;  $\lambda$ ήθ-η forgetfulness,  $\lambda$ ανθάνω ( $\lambda$ αθ-) forget;  $\hat{\eta}$ θ-οs disposition,  $\hat{\epsilon}$ θ-οs custom, habit;  $\hat{\rho}$ ωχ-μός cleft,  $\hat{\rho}$ ήγ-νῦ-μι break ( $\hat{\rho}$ αγ-,  $\hat{\rho}$ ηγ-,  $\hat{\rho}$ ωγ-): Cp. 36.

- b.  $\epsilon$  often varies with  $\mathbf{o}$ , sometimes with  $\mathbf{a}$ ;  $\eta$  sometimes varies with  $\omega$ .  $\gamma \delta \nu$ -o-s offspring,  $\gamma l$ - $\gamma \nu$ - $\rho \mu a (\gamma \epsilon \nu)$ ;  $\tau \delta \nu$ -o-s tone,  $\tau \epsilon l \nu \omega$  ( $\tau \epsilon \nu$ -) stretch;  $\tau \rho a \phi$ - $\epsilon \rho \delta$ s well-fed,  $\tau \rho o \phi$ - $\eta$  nourishment,  $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi$ - $\omega$  nourish;  $a \rho \omega \gamma$ - $\delta$ -s helping,  $a \rho \eta \gamma$ - $\omega$  help. Cp. 36.
- 832. Root-determinatives. A consonant standing between root and suffix (or ending), and not modifying the meaning of the root, is called a *root-determinative*.

βά-θ-ρο-ν pedestal, from βαlνω go (βα-); ἔσ-θ-ω (poetical for ἐσθίω) eat, for ἐδ-θ-ω, cp. Ionic ἔδ-ω; πλή-θ-ω (poet.) am full, πλη-θ-ος crowd, πλη-θ-ώρη satiety, cp. πίμ-πλη-μ; στα-θ-μός day's journey, στά-θ-μη α rule, from ἴστημι (στα-); σμή-χ-ω wipe, cp. σμάω wipe. — On the insertion of  $\sigma$ , see 836.

a. The origin of root-determinatives is obscure. In part they may be relics of roots, in part due to the analogy of words containing the consonants in question.

- 833. Suffixes. A suffix is a formative element added to a root (or to a stem) and standing between the root and the ending. Suffixes limit or particularize the general meaning of the root; but only in a few cases is the distinct meaning of the suffix known to us.
- a. The origin of the Greek suffixes is often obscure; of those inherited from the parent language only some were employed to make new words; others were formed by Greek itself (productive suffixes). From the analogy of the modern languages we infer that some suffixes were once independent words, which, on becoming a part of a compound, lost their signification. Thus -hood, -head in childhood, godhead are derived from Old Eng. 'hād,' Gothic 'haidus' character, nature; -ship in ownership, courtship, comes from a lost word meaning 'shape'; -ly in friendly from Old Eng. 'lic' body. So  $-\delta\delta\eta$ s meaning smelling ( $\delta\zeta \omega$ ), as in  $\epsilon i \omega \delta \eta s$  fragrant, acquired a range of meaning originally inappropriate to it by passing into the general idea of 'full of,' 'like,' as in  $\pi o \omega \delta \eta s$  grassy ( $\pi o i \tilde{a}$ ),  $\lambda o \mu \omega \delta \eta s$  pestilential ( $\lambda o \iota \mu \delta s$ ),  $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa \omega \delta \eta s$  wasp-like ( $\sigma \phi \dot{\eta} \dot{\xi}$ ). This suffix is distinct from  $-\epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s$  having the form of, like (898 a).

Conversely, many suffixes, themselves insignificant, acquired a definite meaning by reason of the root with which they were associated. — Irrespective of its meaning, one word may serve as a model for the creation of another word; as starvation, constellation, etc., are modelled on contemplation, etc.

- b. Many dissyllabic suffixes, due to a combination of the final letter or letters of the stem and an original monosyllabic suffix, adapt themselves to independent use. Cp. ego-tism for ego-ism because of patriot-ism, -able in laughable and probable (from probabilis). Thus, patronymics in -άδης, -ίάδης 845. 2, 3; words in -αινα 843 b, 5; -αιος 858. 2 a; -ειον 851. 1; -έστερος 316; -έτης 843 a, N.; -ήεις 858. 3; -ήτος 858. 2 b; -ειος 858. 2 a; -bεις 858. 3; -ίδιον 852. 2; -ίτης 843 a, N., 844. 2 a; -σιμος 858. 9; -ώτης 843 a, N., 844. 2 a; and many others.
  - c. Simple suffixes are often added to case forms or adverbs, thus producing,

by contamination, dissyllabic suffixes; as  $d\rho\chi\alpha\hat{\iota}$ -o-s ancient 858. 2 a;  $\pi\alpha\lambda\alpha\iota$ -ó-s of old date 828 b,  $\epsilon\alpha\rho\iota$ -vó-s vernal 858. 12;  $\phi\nu\sigma\iota$ -κό-s natural 858. 6 b; cp.  $\epsilon\nu$ -άλι-o-s marine (äλs).

- d. Many compound suffixes are formed by the union of two suffixes, new stems being created by the addition of a suffix to a stem, as:  $\tau\eta\rho$ - $\omega$  851. 2,  $\iota\sigma\kappa$ - $\omega$  852. 6,  $\iota\sigma\kappa$ - $\iota\delta\omega$  854. See 854.
- e. Suffixes often show gradations: τηρ, τωρ, τερ, τρ (36 N. 1) as in δο-τήρ, δώ-τωρ, δότειρα (out of δοτερ-μα) giver; ψάλ-τρ-ια harp-player; μην μν: λι-μήν harbour, λι-μν-η lake; μωρ μαρ: τέκ-μωρ, τέκ-μαρ goal; ωρ ρ: ΰδ-ωρ water, ΰδ-ρā hydra; ων αν: τέκτ-ων carpenter, fem. τέκταινα, from τεκταν-μα; and in λέων lion, fem. λέαινα (843 b. 5).
- 834. Changes in stems. Various changes occur when a suffix is added to a stem.
- a. The final vowel of a stem is contracted with the initial vowel of a suffix:  $\delta\phi i\delta_{ior}$  small snake ( $\delta\phi_{i-} + i\delta_{ior}$  from  $\delta\phi_{i-s}$ ). So when a consonant is dropped at the end of a stem: al $\delta o$ -io-s venerable (al $\delta \omega$ s reverence, stem ai $\delta o$ -io-s),  $\beta a \sigma_i \lambda \epsilon_i l\bar{a}$  kingdom ( $\beta a \sigma_i \lambda \epsilon_i \epsilon_s$  king, stem  $\beta a \sigma_i \lambda \epsilon_i \epsilon_s$  for  $\beta a \sigma_i \lambda \epsilon_i \epsilon_s$ , 43),  $\delta \sigma_i \epsilon_s \epsilon_s$  refined ( $\delta \sigma_i \tau_s \tau_s$ ), stem  $\delta \sigma_i \epsilon_s \epsilon_s$  for  $\delta \sigma_i \epsilon_s \epsilon_s$ . Cp. 858. 2.
- b. A long final vowel of a stem may be shortened before the initial vowel of a suffix:  $\delta l \kappa \bar{\alpha}$ - $\iota o$ -s just,  $\delta l \kappa \eta$  right, stem  $\delta \iota \kappa \bar{\alpha}$ -. (Properly  $\delta l \kappa \alpha \iota$  is an old case form, 833 c, to which -o-s is added.)
- c. A final vowel or diphthong may be dropped before the initial vowel of a suffix:  $\sigma \circ \phi l\bar{a}$  wisdom ( $\sigma \circ \phi \circ b s$  wise),  $\tau i\mu \iota \circ s$  honoured, costly ( $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta}$  honour, stem  $\tau i \mu \ddot{a}$ -),  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{b} s$  royal ( $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} s$  king),  $\pi \circ \lambda i \tau \iota \kappa \dot{b} s$  civic ( $\pi \circ \lambda i \tau \eta s$  citizen, stem  $\pi \circ \lambda i \tau \ddot{a}$ -).
- d. The final letter or letters of a consonant stem may be dropped:  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\sigma'\nu\eta$  temperance, moderation ( $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\omega\nu$  temperate, stem  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\sigma\nu$ ),  $\mu\epsilon\lambda$ - $\nu\delta\rho\omega\nu$  little song ( $\mu\epsilon\lambda$ - $\nu$ 0 song,  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma$ -),  $\lambda\eta\theta$ - $\nu$ 0 sequence ( $\lambda\eta\theta\eta$ 5  $\nu$ 5 song,  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma$ -),  $\lambda\eta\theta$ - $\nu$ 0 sequence ( $\lambda\eta\theta\eta$ 5  $\nu$ 5 so apparently in the case of a vowel stem in  $\lambda$ 6 source belonging to the master ( $\lambda$ 6 source  $\lambda$ 6.
- e. The final consonant of a stem undergoes regular euphonic change before the initial consonant of a suffix:  $\beta \lambda \epsilon \mu \mu a$  glance  $(\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \ look)$ ,  $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta s$  a judge  $(\delta \iota \kappa a \delta \tau \eta s, \ from \ \delta \iota \kappa a \zeta \omega \ judge, \ stem \ \delta \iota \kappa a \delta -)$ ,  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \iota s$  faith  $(=\pi \iota \theta \tau \iota s, \ from \ \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \omega \ persuade, \ stem \ \pi \iota \theta -)$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \xi \iota s \ style \ (=\lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \iota s, \ from \ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \ speak)$ .
- f. Stems in  $\circ$  have an alternative in  $\epsilon$  (cp.  $\ell\pi\pi\sigma$ -s, voc.  $\ell\pi\pi\epsilon$ ; 229 b). This  $\epsilon$  often appears in denominatives:  $olk\dot{\epsilon}-\omega$  dwell,  $olk\dot{\epsilon}-\tau\eta$ s house-servant,  $olk\epsilon-\hat{\iota}o$ -s domestic (olko-s house).
- g. Derivatives of  $\bar{a}$  stems may apparently show  $\omega$  in place of  $\bar{a}$ ; as  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\iota\dot{\omega}-\tau\eta s$  soldier  $(\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\iota\dot{a} \ army)$ ,  ${}^{2}I\tau\alpha\lambda\iota\dot{\omega}-\tau\eta s$  an Italiote, Greek inhabitant of Italy  $({}^{2}I\tau\alpha\lambda\iota\dot{a}$  Italy). See 843 a, N. Stems in  $\bar{a}$  have  $\eta$  in  $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\dot{\eta}-\epsilon\iota s$  honoured  $(\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\dot{\eta}, \text{stem }\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\bar{a}-)$ .
- h. Vowel stems, especially those derived from verbs, often lengthen a final short vowel before a suffix beginning with a consonant:  $\pi o(\eta \mu a \ poem, \pi o(\eta \sigma \iota s \ poetry, \pi o(\eta \tau i s \ poet, \pi o(\eta \tau \iota \kappa i s \ poetral (\pi o(\iota \omega \ make); \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \tau \eta s \ prisoner (\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega s, \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \omega \ fetters). Verbs with stems in a, <math>\epsilon$ , o usually show in derivatives the stem vowel as found in the tenses other than the present; as  $\delta \eta \lambda \delta \omega \ manifest$ , fut.  $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \omega \ \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \iota s \ manifest$  attion;  $\delta \rho \omega \ plough$ , fut.  $\delta \rho \delta \sigma \omega \ \delta \rho \sigma \sigma \iota s \ arable \ land$ ,  $\delta \rho \sigma \tau \eta \rho \ plough man$ ;  $\epsilon \psi \rho \iota s \ find \ out$ , fut.  $\epsilon \psi \rho \eta \sigma \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \psi \rho \eta \mu \omega \ discovery$ , but  $\epsilon \psi \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s \ discovery$ ,  $\epsilon \psi \rho \epsilon \tau \eta s \ discoverer$ .

- i. Vowel stems sometimes insert a vowel before a suffix beginning with a consonant:  $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \eta \tau \eta s$ , Ionic for  $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \eta s$  citizen,  $\pi \tau \circ \lambda \iota \epsilon \theta \rho \circ \nu$  (poetic) city.
- j. Consonant stems, and vowel stems not ending in 0, often show 0 before a suffix in denominatives; a stem in -0 $\nu$  is thus replaced by one in -0:  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\rho\sigma$  of temperance ( $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\nu\nu$  temperate,  $\sigma\omega\phi\rho\nu$ -); almat-6-eis bloody (alma, -atos blood) and  $\sigma\kappa$ -6-eis shadowy ( $\sigma\kappa$ -6 shadow) by analogy to dold-eis wily, 858, 3. Cd. 873-875.
- **835.** Several substantives are formed by reduplication:  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$  training  $(\ddot{\alpha}\gamma \omega \ lead)$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta \omega \delta \dot{\eta}$  food (Ionic  $\ddot{\epsilon}\delta \omega \ eat$ ),  $\gamma \ell \gamma \bar{\alpha}s$ ,  $-\alpha \nu \tau os$  giant. Some, by metathesis (128 a):  $\tau \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$  cutting  $(\tau \dot{\epsilon}\mu \nu \omega \ cut)$ .
- 836. Insertion of sigma. Between root (or stem) and suffix  $\sigma$  is often found, and in some cases it has become attached to the suffix. This parasitic letter spread from the perfect middle, where it is properly in place only in stems in  $\tau$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\theta$ , or  $\sigma$ ; as in  $\sigma\chi\iota$ - $\sigma$ - $\mu$ - $\delta$ - $\tau$  (ceaving with  $\sigma$  from  $\xi$ - $\sigma\chi\iota$ - $\sigma$ - $\mu$ a by analogy to  $\xi$ - $\sigma\chi\iota$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau$  for  $\xi$ - $\sigma\chi\iota$ - $\tau$ -a ( $\sigma\chi\iota$ / $\xi$ - $\tau$  cleave). In  $-\sigma$ - $\tau$  the transference was made easier by words like  $\sigma\chi\iota\sigma$ - $\tau$ 0's cloven for  $\sigma\chi\iota$ 0- $\tau$ 0. This  $\sigma$  appears before many suffixes, and usually where the perfect middle has acquired it (489).
- μα:  $\sigma \pi \acute{a} \sigma \mu a$  spasm ( $\sigma \pi \acute{a} \omega$  rend,  $\breve{\epsilon} \sigma \pi a \sigma \mu a$ ι), κέλευ-σ- $\mu a$  command (κελεύ- $\omega$  command, κεκέλευσ $\mu a$ ι),  $\mu la$ -σ- $\mu a$  stain ( $\mu \iota a l \nu \omega$  stain,  $\mu \iota \mu l a \sigma \mu a$ ι).  $\mu o$ :  $\sigma \pi a$ -σ- $\mu b$ s  $= \sigma \pi \acute{a} \sigma \mu a$ , κελευ-σ- $\mu \acute{b}$ s command.  $\mu \eta$ : δύ-σ- $\mu \eta$  setting (δύ $\omega$  set).  $\tau \eta s$ : κελευ-σ- $\tau \acute{\eta}$ s signal-man,  $\delta \rho \chi \eta$ -σ- $\tau \acute{\eta}$ s dancer ( $\delta \rho \chi$ - $\epsilon$ - $\epsilon \iota \mu a$ ),  $\delta \nu \iota \acute{a}$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau \iota \jmath s$  lord (δύνα- $\mu a$ ι am able). Also in  $\delta \rho a$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau \acute{\eta} \rho \iota \iota \iota \iota s$  efficacious ( $\delta \rho \acute{a}$ - $\omega$  do),  $\delta \rho \chi \acute{\eta}$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau \rho \~{a}$  dancing-place,  $\pi \lambda \eta$ - $\sigma$ - $\mu \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota s$  displaced  $\delta \mu$ ,  $-\theta$ - $\mu$  (832) in  $\delta \sigma \mu \acute{\eta}$  odour (earlier  $\delta \delta \mu \acute{\eta}$ ),  $\delta \nu$ - $\sigma$ - $\mu \acute{b}$ s (and  $\delta \nu$ - $\theta$ - $\mu \iota s$ ) rhythm.
- **837.** Insertion of tau. In a few words  $\tau$  is inserted before the suffixes  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_0$ ,  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$ ,  $\mu_4$ ,  $\mu_5$ . Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \mu \dot{\eta}$  command ( $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\tau}\eta\mu$ , root  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ),  $\lambda a\hat{\iota} \tau \mu a$  depth of the sea,  $\dot{a}\ddot{\nu} \tau \mu \dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{a}\ddot{\nu} \tau \mu \dot{\eta}\nu$  breath ( $\ddot{a}\eta\mu$  blow). In  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\tau \mu\dot{\delta}\nu$  oar the  $\tau$  may be part of the verb-stem ( $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\omega$ , 515), and have spread thence to the other words.

### FORMATION OF SUBSTANTIVES

- 838. Some suffixes have a special significance; of these the most important are given in 839–856. But suffixes commonly used with a special function (such as to denote agency, action, instrument, etc.) are not restricted to this function. Only a few have one function, as  $\tau\epsilon\rho \rho$  to denote comparison.
- a. The instrument may be viewed as the agent, as in  $\dot{\rho}a\iota$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$  hammer, lit. smasher, from  $\dot{\rho}al$ - $\omega$  smash.  $\tau\rho o$  (863.16) may express the agent, instrument, or place. Suffixes used to denote actions or abstract ideas often make concrete words, as  $\tau\rho o\phi$ - $\dot{\eta}$  nurture and nourishment,  $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda$ - $l\bar{a}$  message (cp. Eng. dwelling, clothing).  $\pi o\rho\theta\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}o\nu$  means ferry, ferry-boat, ferryman's fee. Words originally denoting an agent have lost that meaning, as  $\pi a$ - $\tau\dot{\eta}\rho$  father (original protector), and in many cases the original force is changed.

### 839. AGENCY

a. The primary suffixes  $\tau \bar{a}$ ,  $\tau \eta \rho$ ,  $\tau o \rho$ ,  $\tau \rho o$ ,  $\epsilon v$ , denoting the agent or doer of an action, are masculine.

- τā (nom. -τή-s): κρι-τή-s judge (κρίνω decide, κρι-), κλέπ-τη-s thief (κλέπ-τ-ω steal), ποιη-τή-s poet, i.e. maker (ποιέ-ω make), αὐλη-τή-s flute-player (αὐλέ-ω play the flute), μαθ-η-τή-s pupil (μανθάνω learn, μαθ-ε-), iκ-έ-τη-s suppliant (ἰκ-νέ-ομαι come, iκ-).
- τηρ (nom. -τήρ): δο-τήρ giver (δί-δω-μι give, δο-, δω-), σω-τήρ saviour (σψ-ζω save).
- τορ (nom. -τωρ): ἡή-τωρ orator (ἐρέω shall say, ἐρ-, ῥε-), εἴ-ρη-κα have spoken, κτίσ-τωρ founder (κτίζω found, κτιδ-), σημάντωρ commander, poet. (σημαίνω give a signal, σημαν-).
- 4. **τρο** (nom. -τρό-s): ἐā-τρό-s physician (ἐά-ομαι heal).
- ευ (nom. -εύ-s): γραφ-εύ-s writer (γράφ-ω write), τοκ-εύ-s father (τίκτω beget, τεκ-).
  - b. The primary suffixes τριδ, τρια, τειρα, τιδ are feminine.
- 1. τριδ (nom. -τρίs): αὐλη-τρίs female flute-player.
- τριā (nom. -τρια): ποιή-τρια poetess (late), ψάλ-τρια female harper (ψάλλω play the harp, ψαλ-).
- 3.  $\tau\epsilon\iota\rho\bar{a}$  (nom.  $-\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$  from  $\tau\epsilon\rho\iota_a$ ):  $\sigma\omega$ - $\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$  fem. of  $\sigma\omega$ - $\tau\eta\rho$ , δό- $\tau\epsilon\iota\rho a$  fem. of δο- $\tau\eta\rho$ .
- 4. τιδ (nom. -τις): iκ-έ-τις female suppliant fem. of iκ-έ-της.
- c. The same root or verb-stem may have different suffixes denoting the agent:  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \eta s$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \eta \rho$ ,  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \omega \rho$  begetter;  $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \rho t s$ , or  $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \rho t s$ .
- d. Words in  $-\tau\eta\rho$ ,  $-\tau\rho\iota s$ ,  $-\epsilon\iota s$  are oxytone. Words in  $-\tau\omega\rho$ ,  $-\tau\epsilon\iota\rho\alpha$ ,  $-\tau\rho\iota\alpha$  have recessive accent. Words in  $-\tau\eta s$  are oxytone or paroxytone.
  - e. See also ov (nom.  $-\omega\nu$ ) 861. 18.

#### 840. NAMES OF ACTIONS AND ABSTRACT SUBSTANTIVES

- a. Substantives denoting actions often express abstract ideas, and names of actions and verbal abstracts are often used concretely. The following suffixes (except  $\mu$ o, nominative - $\mu$ ó-s, and  $\epsilon$ o, nominative -os) form feminines; all are primary except ua in some words.
  - 1.  $\tau\iota$  (nom.  $-\tau\iota$ -s):  $\pi\iota\sigma$ - $\tau\iota$ -s faith ( $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta$ - $\omega$  persuade,  $\pi\iota\theta$ -),  $\phi\dot{\alpha}$ - $\tau\iota$ -s rumour ( $\phi\eta\mu\iota$  say,  $\phi\alpha$ -).
  - σι (nom. -σι-s): λέξις style (λέγ-ω speak), ποίη-σι-s poetry (ποιέ-ω make), φθί-σι-s decay (φθί-ν-ω decay), δό-σι-s act of giving or gift (δί-δω-μι give, δο-, δω-), θέ-σι-s placing (τί-θη-μι place, θε-, θη-), τά-σι-s tension (for τη-σι-s 35 b, from τείνω stretch, τεν-). σι is derived from τι after a vowel (115).
  - συα (nom. -σία): in substantives from verbs in -αζω out of -αδ-μω; as δοκιμασία examination (δοκιμάζω examine, δοκ μαδ-).
  - τυ (nom. -τό-s 863 a. 17): rare, poetic and dialectic, ἐδ-η-τόs eating (poet. ἔδ-ω eat), βοη-τό-s shouting (βοά-ω shout).
  - μο (nom. -μb-s, masc.): διωγ-μb-s pursuit (διώκ-ω pursue), πταρ-μb-s sneezing (πτάρ-νυ-μαι sneeze). On θ-μο see 832, σ-μο 836, τ-μο 837. Cp.
    861. 1.

- μā (nóm. -μη): γνώ-μη knowledge (γι-γνώ-σκω know), φή-μη report, omen (φη-μί say), τῖ-μή konour (poet. τῖ-ω honour), μνή-μη memory (μι-μνή-σκω remind). See also 861. 1.
- μā (nom. -μă): τόλ-μα daring (τλη-ναι dare).
- 8. εσ (nom. -os, neut.): δέ-os fear, ρίγ-os cold.
- 9. τα (nom. -lā): primitive, from verb-stems, as μαν-lā madness (μαίνομαι rage, μαν-). Denominative: ἡγεμον-ίā sovereignty (ἡγεμών leader), εὐεργεσία kind service (εὐεργετ-ια from εὐεργέτης doer of good deeds). Without any noun-stem: πολιορκίā siege (πολιορκέω besiege). Verbs in -ενω derived from substantives, as παιδεύ-ω educate (παῖς child), show abstracts in -είā for ε(ν)-ιā (43): παιδείā education, στρατείā campaign (στρατεύομαι take the field), βασιλείā reign, kingdom (βασιλεύ-ω am king).
- 10. o, a: see 859. 1, 2.
- b. Many feminine substantives expressing the abstract notion of the adjective are derived from adjective stems (a few from substantive or verb stems). Many of these denominatives express quality, ep. Eng. -ness, -hood.
- τā (nom. -ιά): from adjectives in -ηs and -oos, -ous, as άλήθεια truth for άληθεσ-ια from άληθής true; ἔνδεια want for ἐνδε(ε)σ-ια from ἐνδεής needy, 44 a, 292 d; εὔνοια kindness for εὖνο(ο)-ια from εὐνοο-s εὔνουs kind.
- ιā (nom. -ίā): εὐδαιμον-lā happincss (εὐδαίμων happy), συμμαχίā alliance (σύμμαχος fighting along with), σοφ-ίā wisdom (σοφό-s wise). Since τ becomes σ before ιā we have ἀθανασίā immortality (ἀθάνατο-s immortal). Cp. 859. 6.
- 3. συνα (nom. -σύνη): δικαιο-σύνη justice (δίκαιο-s just). Abstracts in -συνη are properly fem. of adj. in -συνος, as γηθο-σύνη joy (γηθό-συνος joyful). -οσύνη by analogy in μαντ-οσύνη art of divination (μάντι-s seer). See 865. 7.
- τητ (nom. -τηs): φιλό-της, -τητος friendship (φίλο-ς friend), Ισό-της, -τητος equality (ίσο-ς equal), νεό-της youth (νέο-ς young), παχύ-της thickness (παχύ-ς thick).
- aδ (nom. -άs): abstract substantives of number, as τρι-άs, -άδος triad (τρεῖς).
   μον-άς, -άδος unit (μόνο-ς alone, single). See also 863 b. 8.
- c. Some neuter abstracts express quality:  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi$ -os speed ( $\tau \alpha \chi$ - $\dot{\nu}$ -s swift),  $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho$ -os width ( $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho$ - $\dot{\nu}$ -s broad). See 840 a. 8.
- d. A feminine adjective is used substantively in poet.  $\pi \iota \nu \upsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$  wisdom from  $\pi \iota \nu \upsilon \tau \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau}$  with recessive accent in  $\xi \chi \theta \rho \bar{a}$  enmity from  $\xi \chi \theta \rho \dot{b}$ -s hostile,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta$  warmth from  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\mu} \dot{\sigma}$  warm.
- e. Some compound adjectives in -ήs yield (by analogy) abstracts in -ιā not in -ειὰ; as ἀτυχίὰ misfortune from ἀ-τυχ-ήs unfortunate. Fluctuation often occurs, as in κακοήθεια κακοηθία malignity from κακο-ήθηs ill-disposed; Old Attic ἀληθεία (= Ion. ἀληθείη) for ἀλήθεια.

## 841. RESULT OF ACTION

The result or effect of an action is expressed by the primary suffixes

1. ES (nom. -os, neut.):  $\gamma \acute{e}\nu$ -os race, family, stem  $\gamma e\nu$ -es- ( $\gamma l$ - $\gamma \nu$ -omai am born,  $\acute{e}$ - $\gamma e\nu$ -bmm,  $\gamma e\nu$ -),  $\tau \acute{e}\kappa$ -os child, stem  $\tau e\kappa$ -es- ( $\tau l\kappa \tau \omega$  bring forth,  $\tau e\kappa$ -),  $\psi e\tilde{\upsilon}\delta$ -os lie, stem  $\psi e\upsilon\delta$ -es- ( $\psi e\dot{\upsilon}\delta$ - $\omega$  deceive).

2. ματ (nom. -μα, neut.): γράμ-μα thing written (γράφ-ω write), νόη-μα thought (νοέω think), ποίη-μα poem (ποιέ-ω make), δέρ-μα hide (δέρ-ω flay), τμῆ-μα

section ( $\tau \epsilon \mu$ - $\nu \omega$  cut,  $\tau \epsilon \mu$ -,  $\tau \mu \eta$ -, 128 a).

## 842. INSTRUMENT OR MEANS OF ACTION

The instrument or means of an action is expressed by the primary suffixes

- 1. **τρο** (nom.  $-\tau \rho o \nu$ , neut.): ἄρο $-\tau \rho o \nu$  plough (ἀρό-ω plough), λύ-τρο-ν ransom (λό-ω release, λ $\bar{\nu}$ -),  $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ - $\sigma$ - $\tau \rho o \nu$  rattle ( $\sigma \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ -ω shake, 624 a), δί-δακ-τρο-ν teacher's pay (διδάσκω teach, διδαχ-), λου-τρό-ν bath (bathing-water; λού-ω wash).
- 2. θ-ρο (nom. -θρο-ν, neut.): κλεί-θρο-ν bar for closing a door (κλεί-ω shut, 832).
- 3. τρᾶ (nom. -τρᾶ, fem.): μάκ-τρᾶ kneading-trough (μάττω knead, μαγ-), ῥή-τρᾶ compact (ἐρέω ἐρῶ shall say, ἐρ-, ῥε-), χύ-τρᾶ pot (χέω pour, χυ-).
- 4. τηρ-ιο- (nom. -τηρ-ιο-ν, neut.): in a few words, as πο-τήρ-ιο-ν cup (πίνω drink, πο- 529); θελκ-τήρ-ιο-ν spell, charm (θέλγ-ω charm). See 858.14.
- 5. ειο (rare; nom. -είον, neut.): τροφεία pay for rearing. See 863 a. 8.
- 6. po (nom. - $\rho b$ - $\nu$ , neut.):  $\pi \tau$ - $\epsilon$ - $\rho b$ - $\nu$  wing ( $\pi \epsilon \tau$ -omal fly).

### 843. THE PERSON CONCERNED

- a. The person concerned or occupied with anything is denoted by a denominative formed by one of the following secondary suffixes:
- ευ (nom. -εύ-s, masc.): γραμ-ματ-εύ-s secretary (γράμμα, -ατοs anything written), lερ-εύ-s priest (lερό-s sacred), iππ-εύ-s horseman (ἴππο-s horse), χαλκ-εύ-s coppersmith (χαλκό-s copper).

τā (nom. -τη-s, masc.): ναύ-τη-s sailor (ναῦ-s ship), τοξό-της bowman (τόξο-ν bow), οἰκέ-της house-servant (οἶκο-s house, 834 f), δεσμώ-τη-s prisoner (834 h).

- N.—By analogy are formed:  $\epsilon \delta \nu \epsilon \tau \eta$ -s bed-fellow ( $\epsilon \delta \nu \dot{\tau} \dot{\eta}$  bed), following  $\delta k \epsilon \tau \eta$ -s;  $\delta \pi \lambda i \tau \eta$ -s heavy-armed soldier ( $\delta \pi \lambda \delta \nu$ ,  $\delta \pi \lambda a \ armour$ ) following  $\pi \delta \lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \eta$ -s from older  $\pi \delta \lambda \ddot{\iota}$ -s;  $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ -s soldier ( $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \ army$ ) following  $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ -s. See 834 g.
  - b. The following secondary suffixes form feminine substantives:
- ιā (nom. -ιά): corresponding to masculines in -εύ-s, as lépeta priestess for lep-ευ-ια (lep-εύ-s priest), βασίλεια queen (βασίλ-εύ-s king). See -αινα below.
- ιδ (nom. -is): φαρμακ-is sorceress (φάρμακο-ν charm, poison, φαρμακ-εύ-s sorcerer), καπηλ-is female huckster (καπηλό-s huckster), φυλακ-is female guard (φύλαξ).

3. τιδ (nom. -τιs): corresponding to masculines in -τη-s: οἰκέ-τιs house-maid (οἰκέ-τηs), πολί-τιs female citizen (πολί-τηs).

- ιττα, ισσα (nom. -ιττα, -ισσα): from μα added to stems in τ or κ (112, 114), as θηττα female serf from θητ-μα (θήs, θητ-bs serf), Κιλισσα Cilician woman from Κιλικ-μα (Κίλιξ Cilician); later, by analogy, βασίλισσα queen.
- 5. αινα (nom. -αινα) corresponding to masculines in -ων: λέ-αινα lioness (λέ-ων

lion), θεράπ-αινα handmaid (θεράπ-ων attendant), Λάκ-αινα woman of Laconia (Λάκ-ων a Laconian). By analogy, in o stems: λύκ-αινα she-wolf (λύκο-s). -αινα stands for -αν-ια, -αν being a weak form of -ων (833 e, 35 b).

N.—Names of dealers in anything usually end in  $-\pi \omega \lambda \eta s$ ,  $-\omega$ ; fem.  $-\pi \omega \lambda \iota s$ ,  $-\iota \delta o s$  ( $\pi \omega \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$  sell), as  $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \omega - \pi \omega \lambda \eta s$  bookseller ( $\beta \iota \beta \lambda \iota \omega - \nu$  book),  $\sigma \iota \tau \omega - \pi \omega \lambda \eta s$  graindealer ( $\sigma \iota \tau \omega - s$  grain),  $\delta \rho \tau \dot{\omega} - \pi \omega \lambda \iota s$  bread-woman ( $\delta \rho \tau \omega - s$  bread). Cp. also καπηλίς under  $\iota \delta$ .

## 844. GENTILES OR PLACE NAMES

Gentiles are denominative nouns denoting belonging to or coming from a particular *country*, *nation*, or *city*. Gentiles are formed from proper nouns by secondary suffixes.

- ευ (nom. -εύs, gen. -έωs, masc.), ιδ (nom. -ίs, gen. ίδ-os, fem.):
   Πλαταιεύς -έως, Πλαταιάς -ίδος α Plataean (ἡ Πλάταια); Έρετριεύς an
   Eretrian (ἡ Ἑρέτρια); Μεγαρεύς, Μεγαρίς α Megarian (τὰ Μέγαρα); Αλολεύς
   Aeolian (Αἴολος, mythical ancestor of the Aeolians).
  - a. -is (-iδos) may denote a land or a dialect: ἡ Δωρίs (γῆ) Doris; ἡ Aloλís (γλῶττα) the Aeolic dialect.
- 2. τα (nom. -τη-s, masc.), τιδ (nom. -τιs, fem.): Τεγεά-τηs, Τεγεά-τιs of Tegea (ἡ Τεγέα); Σπαρτ-ιά-τηs, Σπαρτ-ιά-τιs of Sparta (ἡ Σπάρτα); Αιγινή-τηs, Αιγινή-τιs of Aegina (ἡ Αἴγινα); Συβαρ-ί-τηs, Συβαρ-ι-τιs Sybarite (ἡ Σύβαριs); Σικελι-ώ-τηs, Σικελι-ώ-τιs Siciliote (ἡ Σικελία).
  - a. The endings  $-i\tau\eta s$ ,  $-\omega\tau\eta s$  are due to analogy; see 843 a. N.
- Other gentiles, properly adjectives, end in -tos. -tā, as 'Αθηναίο-s, -alā of Athens (ai 'Αθῆναι), Μῖλήσ-ιο-s for Μῖλητ-ιο-s of Miletus (Μίλητοs), 'Οπούντ-ιο-s of Opus ('Οποῦs); (ι)κός, (ι)κά, as 'Ιων-ικός Ionic ("Ιων-ες Ionians); νό-s, νή preceded by ā(η), ī, as Σαρδι-āνδ-s of Sardis (Σάρδεις), Λαμψακ-ηνδ-s of Lampsacus (Λάμψακος), Βυζαντ-ῖνο-s Ryzantine (Βυζάντιον). See 863 b. 12.

### 845. PATRONYMICS

Patronymics, or denominative proper names denoting descent from a father or ancestor, are formed from proper names of persons by means of the following suffixes:

- 1.  $\delta \bar{a}$  (nom.  $-\delta \eta$ -s, masc.),  $\delta$  (nom. -s, fem.):

  Bope $\check{a}$ - $\delta \eta$ -s son of Boreas fem. Bope $\check{a}$ -s,  $-\delta$ os from Bopé $\bar{a}$ -s

  Stems in  $\bar{a}$  shorten  $\bar{a}$  to a; from such forms arose
- 2. αδα (nom. -άδη-s, masc.), αδ (nom. -ás, fem.) :

Θεστι-άδη-s son of Thestius fem. Θεστι-άs, -άδος from Θέστιο-s

From this type arose a new formation:

3. ιαδα (nom. -ιάδη-s, masc.), ιαδ (nom. -ιάs, fem.):

Φερητ-ιάδη-s son of Pheres fem. Φερητ-ιάς, -ιάδ-os from Φέρης (-ητος)  $\Pi$ ερση-ιάδη-s son of Perseus  $\Pi$ ελαμων-ιάδη-s son of Telamon from  $\Pi$ ερση-ίς, -ίδ-os) from  $\Pi$ ερσεύ-s from  $\Pi$ ελαμών (- $\hat{\omega}$ νος)

4. ιδα (nom. -lδη-s, masc.), ιδ (nom. -ls, fem.):

Stems in o drop o; stems in  $\epsilon v$  ( $\eta v$ ) drop v; stems in  $\epsilon v$  ( $\omega \iota$ ) drop  $\iota$ .

5. τον or των (poetic and rare; nom. -tων, masc.):

Κρον-ίων son of Cronus (also Κρον-ίδη-s), gen. Κρον-ίον-os or Κρον-ίων-os according to the metre, from Κρόνο-s.

6. τωνα or τνα (poetic and rare; nom. -ιώνη or tνη, fem.):

'Ακρισ-ιώνη daughter of 'Ακρίσιο-s 'Αδρηστ-ίνη daughter of "Αδρηστο-s

- **846.** Variations occur especially in poetry: a. Hom.  $\Pi\eta\lambda\epsilon-l\delta\eta-s$ ,  $\Pi\eta\lambda\epsilon-t\delta\eta-s$ ,  $\Pi\eta\eta\lambda\epsilon-t\delta\eta-s$ , and  $\Pi\eta\lambda\epsilon-t\omega\nu$ , son of  $\Pi\eta\lambda\epsilon\dot{\nu}-s$ ; ' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon-l\delta\eta-s$ , ' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon-t\delta\eta-s$ , and ' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon-t\omega\nu$ , son of ' $\Lambda\tau\rho\epsilon\dot{\nu}-s$ .
  - b. Two patronymic endings: Ταλα-τον-ίδη-s son of Ταλαό-s.
- c. The stem drops or adds a syllable :  $\Delta \epsilon \nu \kappa a \lambda l \delta \eta$ -s son of  $\Delta \epsilon \nu \kappa a \lambda l \omega \nu$ ,  $-l \omega \nu$ -os;  $\Delta a \mu \pi \epsilon \tau l \delta \eta$ -s son of  $\Delta a \mu \pi$ -os.
  - d.  $-i\delta\eta$ s is used in comic formations:  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\pi\tau$ - $i\delta\eta$ -s son of a thief.
  - e. -νδās occurs in the dialects, as Ἐπαμεινώνδā-s Epaminondas.
- f. -ιος, -ειος, may indicate descent, as Τελαμώνιε παι oh son of Telamon, Τυνδαρεία θυγάτηρ daughter of Tyndareus; cp. Tennyson's "Niobean daughter."
- **847.** A patronymic may include the father, as Πεισιστρατ ίδαι the Peisistratidae (Peisistratus and his sons).
- **848.** Most genuine patronymics are poetical and belong to the older language. In the classical period patronymics rarely indicate descent in the case of historical persons; as  $E \partial \rho \bar{\iota} \pi t \delta \eta s$ , 'A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon t \delta \eta s$ .
- **849.** Metronymics denote descent from the mother, as  $\Delta \bar{a} \nu a \tilde{t} \delta \eta$ -s son of  $\Delta \check{a} \nu \acute{a} \eta$ ,  $\Phi \tilde{\iota} \lambda \nu \rho \tilde{\iota} \delta \eta$ -s son of  $\Phi \check{\iota} \lambda \acute{\nu} \rho \bar{a}$ .
- **850.** Relationship is sometimes denoted by the suffixes  $\iota\delta\epsilon o$  (nom.  $-\iota\delta o\hat{v}$ -s son of) and  $\iota\delta\epsilon\bar{u}$  (nom.  $-\iota\delta\hat{\eta}$  daughter of); as  $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi-\iota\delta\hat{v}$ -s nephew,  $\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi-\iota\delta\hat{\eta}$  niece  $(\dot{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\delta-s\ brother)$ .

#### PLACE

- 851. Place may be expressed by the secondary suffixes
- 1. to (nom. -10- $\nu$ , neut.):  $\Delta$ ιονόσιον (scil.  $l\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$ ) temple of Dionysus, "Ηραιον Heraeum.

Also - $\epsilon$ ···o (nom. - $\epsilon$ îo- $\nu$ , neut.): from substantives in - $\epsilon$  $\nu$ -s and by extension in others; as  $\chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon$ - $\epsilon$ 0- $\nu$  forge ( $\chi a \lambda \kappa$ - $\epsilon$  $\nu$ -s coppersmith),  $\Theta \eta \sigma \epsilon$ - $\epsilon$ 10- $\nu$  Theseum ( $\Theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ - $\epsilon$ 5),  $\lambda \circ \gamma$ - $\epsilon$ 10- $\nu$  place for speaking ( $\lambda \circ \gamma$ -s speech),  $\mu \circ \nu \sigma$ - $\epsilon$ 10- $\nu$  seat of the Muses ( $\mu \circ 0 \circ \sigma \sigma$  muse),  $\partial \nu \circ \nu$ - $\sigma$ 10- $\nu$ 

2. .τηρ-ιο (nom. -τήρ-ιο-ν, neut.): derived from substantives in -τήρ (or -τήs); as ἀκροᾶτήρ-ιο-ν auditorium (ἀκροᾶτήρ or ἀκροᾶτής hearer), ἐργαστήρ-ιο-ν workshop (ἐργαστήρ workman), βουλευτήριον senate house (βουλευτήρ or βουλευτής councillor, senator). See 863 a, 8.

- ων (nom. -ών, gen. -ῶν-os, masc.): ἀνδρ-ών apartment for men (ἀνήρ, ἀνδρ-ὁs man), ἱππ-ών stable (ἵππο-s horse), παρθεν-ών maiden's apartment, Parthenon, temple of Pallas (παρθένο-s maiden), οἰν-ών wine-cellar (οἶνο-s wine), ἀμπελ-ών vineyard (ἀμπελο-s vine). Forms in -εών occur, as περιστερ-εών dove-cote (περιστερά dove), οἰνεών.
- 4. ττιδ (nom. ττις, fem.): added to ων, ανδρων-ττις apartment for men, γυναικωνττις apartment for women.
- 5. ωνια (nom. -ωνιά, fem.) : ῥοδ-ωνιά rose-bed (ῥόδο-ν rose).
- τρᾶ (rare; nom. -τρᾶ, fem.): ὀρχή-σ-τρᾶ dancing-place (ὀρχέ-ομαι dance), παλαί-σ-τρᾶ wrestling-ground (παλαί-ω wrestle). Cp. 836.

### DIMINUTIVES

- 852. Diminutives are denominatives formed from the stems of substantives by various secondary suffixes.
- to (nom. -ιο-ν, neut.): παιδ-lo-ν little child (παι̂s, παιδ-όs), δρνίθ-ιο-ν small bird (ὅρνῖς, ὅρνῖθος), ἀσπίδ-ιο-ν small shield (ἀσπίς, ἀσπίδ-ος).
- N. Trisyllabic words are paroxytone if the first syllable is long by nature or position.
- 2. **ιδ-ιο** (nom, -lδιο-ν, neut.): derived from such words as  $d\sigma\pi l\bar{b}$ -ιο-ν; as  $\xi$ ιφ-lδιο-ν dagger ( $\xi$ lφοs sword, stem  $\xi$ ιφεσ-), βο-lδιο-ν small cow (βοῦ-s), οἰκίδιο-ν small house, οἰκι + ιδιον (οἰκί $\bar{a}$ ),  $l\chi\theta b\bar{b}$ ιο-ν small fish ( $i\chi\theta b\bar{s}$ ). See 833 b.
- 3. αρ-ιο (nom. -άριον, neut.): παιδ-άριο-ν little child.
- 4. υδ-ριο (nom. -ύδριον, neut.): μελ-ύδριο-ν little song (μέλος).
- 5. υλλιο (nom. -ύλλιον, neut.):  $\epsilon \pi$ -ύλλιο-ν little epic or versicle ( $\epsilon \pi$ os).
- 6. ισκο, ισκα (nom. -lσκος, masc., -lσκη, fem.): ἀνθρωπ-lσκο-ς manikin, παιδ-lσκο-ς young boy, παιδ-lσκη young girl. From this comes -ισκ-ιο in ἀσπιδ-lσκιο-ν small shield.
- **854.** Diminutives are often combined:  $\pi$ αιδ-ισκ-άριον stripling, μειράκ-ιον, μειρακ-ίσκος, μειρακ-ύλλ-ιον, μειρακ-υλλ-ίδιον stripling (μεῖραξ lass), χλαν-ισκ-ίδιον cloaklet (χλανίς), ζωδάριον insect (ζώρν animal).
- **855.** Some words, especially such as denote parts of the body, are diminitive in form, but not in meaning; as  $\kappa\rho\bar{a}\nu lo\nu$  skull,  $\theta\eta\rho lo\nu$  beast  $(=\theta\dot{\eta}\rho)$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\delta lo\nu$  plain  $(\pi\epsilon\delta\sigma\nu$  ground), all in Homer, who has no diminutives. Diminutives often employed tend to lose their diminutive value.
- **856.** Diminutives may express affection, familiarity, daintiness, and sometimes pity or contempt (cp. dar-ling, lord-ling). See the examples under 852, and also  $\pi a \tau \rho$ - $l\delta lov$  daddy  $(\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho)$ ,  $d\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ - $l\delta lov$  dear little brother,  $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a \tau$ - $l\delta lov$  dear Socky,  $d\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$ -lov manikin. Some endings often have an ironical force, as  $\pi \lambda o \dot{\nu} \tau$ - $\bar{a} \xi$  rich churl,  $\gamma \dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho$ - $\omega v$  fat-belly.

### FORMATION OF ADJECTIVES

857. Adjectives are formed by the same suffixes as are used in substantives, the same formation producing in one case a substantive, in another an adjective. Many words formed with certain suffixes  $(\omega, \mu_0, \nu_0, \rho_0, \tau_0)$  are used as adjectives or as abstract substantives (usually feminine or neuter). Thus  $\phi_i \lambda_i \bar{a}$  friendly or friendship; so  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi - a \nu_0 - s$  crown  $(\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi - \omega \text{ encircle})$  was originally an adjective. Many suffixes have no characteristic signification.

Adjectives are either primitive (from roots or verb-stems) or denominative (from substantives or other adjectives). But this

distinction is often obliterated and difficult to determine.

858. The following are the chief adjectival suffixes:

1. o,  $\bar{a}$  (nom. -o-s, - $\eta$  or - $\bar{a}$ , -o- $\nu$ ): primary:  $\lambda o i \pi$ -b-s remaining ( $\lambda e i \pi$ - $\omega$  leave,  $\lambda i \pi$ -,  $\lambda e i \pi$ -,  $\lambda o i \pi$ -),  $\lambda e v \kappa$ -b-s bright ( $\lambda e v \sigma \sigma \omega$  shine,  $\lambda e v \kappa$ - $i \omega$ ).

to, tā: a common suffix expressing that which pertains or belongs in any
way to a person or thing. By union with a preceding stem vowel we
have αιο, ειο, οιο, φο, υιο.

a. The ending -α los has been transferred from ā stems, as in χερσ-α los of or from dry land (χέρσ-οs). The form ι-α los occurs: δραχμ-ια los worth a drachma (δραχμή). -ε los has become independent in ἀνδρ-ε los manly (ἀνήρ). On gentiles in -ιοs, see 844. 3.

b. Ionic η-ιο (nom. -ηιος), properly from stems in ευ (ηυ), as Hom. χαλκή-ιο-s brazen (pertaining to a χαλκεύ-s brazier; Attic χάλκεος, -οῦς, see 858. 4), βασιλή-ιο-s royal; and transferred in Ionic to other stems, as in πολεμή το-s warlike, ἀνθρωπ-ήιο-s human (Attic ἀνθρώπειο-s), ἀνδρ-ήιο-s mauly.

 εντ for feντ (nom. -ειs) forms denominative adjectives denoting fulness or abundance (mostly poetic).

τιμή-εις (τιμής) honoured, and by analogy δενδρ-ήεις woody (δένδρο-ν tree); χαρί-εις graceful (χάρι-ς), δολό-εις wily (δόλο-ς), and by analogy αίματ-ό-εις bloody (αΐμα, -ατ-ος blood, 834 j),  $i\chi$ θυ-ό-εις full of fish, κρυ-ό-εις chilling (κρύ-ος chill). Also in εὐρώ-εις mouldy (εὐρώς, -ῶτος).

4. εο (nom. -εο-s, -οῦs, 290) forms denominative adjectives denoting material:
 γρόσεος, γρῦσοῦς golden (χρῦσό-s gold).

- a. εo is derived from ε-μο, seen in χρύσειος (poetic). Here ε is part of the stem (834 f). On -πίος see 858, 2 b.
- 5. εσ (nom. -ήs, -έs): primitive: ψευδ-ήs false (ψεύδ-ω deceive), σαφ-ήs clear, πρην-ήs prone, ὑγι-ήs healthy. Very common in compounds, as ἀ-σφαλ-ήs unharmed, secure (ἀ-priv. + σφαλ- in σφάλλω trip).
- κο, ακο, ικο (nom. -κος, very common, cp. 864.1): many denominatives formed by these suffixes denote relation, many others fitness or ability.
  - a. Denominatives: μαντι-κό-s prophetic (μάντι-s prophet); φυσι-κό-s natural (φύσι-s nature); θηλυ-κό-s feminine (θῆλυ-s female); Δᾶρει-κό-s Daric (Δᾶρεῖο-s Darius).
  - b. From φυσι-κό-s, etc., ικο was taken as an independent suffix in μουσ-ικό-s musical (μοῦσα muse); βαρβαρ-ικό-s barbaric (βάρβαρο-s barbarian, foreigner); διδασκαλ-ικό-s able to teach (διδάσκαλο-s teacher); μαθηματικό-s fond of learning (μάθημα, -ματο: thing learnt); Κεραμε-ικό-s Potters' quarter, Ceramīcus (κεραμεύ-s potter); βασιλ-ικό-s royal (βασιλεύ-s king); ήρω-ϊκό-s heroic, from ήρω(ρ), -os hero; 'Αχαι-ικό-s or 'Αχᾱ-ικό-s (38) Achaean ('Αχαιό-s Achaean).
- N.  $d\rho\chi$ - $\iota\kappa\delta$ -s able to rule  $(d\rho\chi$ - $\dot{\eta})$ ,  $\gamma\rho\alpha\phi$ - $\iota\kappa\delta$ -s able to write or draw ( $\gamma\rho\alpha\phi$ - $\dot{\eta}$ ), need not be derived directly from the root.
  - c. Κορινθι-ακό-s Corinthian (Κορίνθ-ιο-s Corinthian); σπονδει-ακό-s consisting of spondees (σπονδ-είο-s spondee).
  - d. τ-ικό represents ικό added to the verbal in τό- (cp. also μαθηματ-ικό-s). Thus, λεκ-τικό-s suited to speaking (λέγ-ω speak); αἰσθη-τικό-s capable of feeling (αἰσθ-ἀνομαι feel); ἀριθμη-τικό-s skilled in numbering (ἀριθμέω to number); πρᾶκ-τικό-s practical, able to do (πράττω do); σκεπτικό-s reflective (σκέπ-τ-ομαι look carefully, consider). Added to a noun-stem: ναν-τικό-s nautical (ναῦ-s ship).
  - 7. λο (nom. -λο-s): primary (usually active) and secondary. Cp. 860. 1. Primary in δει-λό-s cowardly (δέδοι-κα fear, δι-, δοι-, δοι-); στρεβ-λό-s twisted (στρέφ-ω turn); τυφ-λό-s blind (τόφ-ω raise a smoke); κοίλος hollow (= κορ-ιλο-s, Lat. cav-us); τροχ-αλό-s running (τρέχ-ω run); εἴκ-ελο-s like (ἔοικα am like, είκ-); καμπ-ύλο-s bent (κάμπ-τ-ω bend); φειδ-ωλό-s sparing (φείδ-ομαι spare). ἀπατη-λόs deceitful (ἀπάτη deceit, ἀπατά-ω deceive) may be a primitive or a denominative. Cp. 860. 1.
    - α-λεο denoting quality in άρπ-αλέο-s attractive, ravishing (ἀρπάζω seize), θαρσ-αλέο-s bold (θάρσ-os boldness).
  - μo (nom. -μo-s, 861.1): primary: θερ-μb-s warm (θέρ-ω warm); secondary in έβδ-ο-μο-s seventh.
- 9. ι-μο, σ-ιμο (nom. -ιμο-s, -σιμο-s): often denoting able to or fit to. Adjectives in ιμο are primitive or denominative, and are derived from ι-stems; those in -σιμο are denominative and come mostly from stems in σι + μο (as χρή-σι-μο-s useful, from χρή-σι-s use); but σιμο has thence been abstracted as an independent suffix.
  - δόκ-ιμο-s approved (δοκ- $\epsilon$ - $\omega$  seem good); μάχ-ιμο-s warlike (μάχη battle); νόμ-ιμο-s conformable to law (νόμο-s);  $\epsilon$ δ- $\omega$ δ-ιμο-s eatable ( $\epsilon$ δ- $\omega$ δ- $\gamma$ food, poet.  $\epsilon$ δ- $\omega$  eat); καύσι-μο-s combustible (κ $\delta$ ω burn, καῦ- $\epsilon$ ι-s burn-

- ing); λύσι-μο-s able to loose (λύ-σι-s loosing); ἰππά-σιμο-s fit for riding (ἐππάζομαι ride); ἀλώ-σιμο-s easy to take (ἀλίσκομαι, ἐάλων).
- μον (nom. -μων, -μον): primary in μνή-μων mindful (μι-μνή-σκομαι remember), τλή-μων enduring, wretched (ἔ-τλη-ν endured). Cp. 861. 8.
- 11. vo (nom. -vo-s, 861. 11): primary (usually passive) and secondary (829 a). Sometimes denoting that which may, can, or must be done.

- 13. ρο, ρα (nom. -ρό-s, -ρά): primary, and secondary. Primary, in ἐχθ-ρό-s hated, hostile (ἔχθ-ω hate), λαμπ-ρό-s shining (λάμπ-ω shine), χαλα-ρό-s slack (χαλά-ω slacken). Secondary, in φοβε-ρό-s fearful (φόβο-s fear, φοβ%-, 834 f), κρατ-ερό-s mighty (κράτ-οs might); primary or secondary in ἀνια-ρό-s grievous (ἀνία grief, ἀνιά-ω grieve). See 860. 3.
- 14. τηρ-ιο (nom. -τήριο-s): in denominatives, derived from substantives in -τηρ (or -τηs) by the suffix  $\iota_0$ ; but the substantive is not always found.  $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota_0 s \text{ preserving } (\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \text{ saviour}), \text{ whence the abstract } \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho l \bar{a}$ (858. 2) safety;  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota_0 s$  enchanting ( $\theta \epsilon \lambda \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$  charmer,  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \gamma \omega$  enchant), whence  $\theta \epsilon \lambda \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota_0 \nu$  (842. 4),  $\lambda \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota_0 s$  delivering ( $\lambda \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ ),  $\delta \rho \mu \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota_0 \nu$  starting-place ( $\delta \rho \dot{\mu} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\delta \rho \dot{\omega} \dot{\mu} \dot{\omega} \iota_0 s \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \iota_0 s \dot{\omega}$
- 15. v (nom. -ύ-s, -εîa, -ύ): primitives are ηδύ-s sweet (ηδ-ομαι am pleased), ταχ-ύ-s swift (τάχ-os swiftness), βαθ-ύ-s deep (βάθ-os depth). Cp. 859. 8.
- 16. ωδεσ (nom. -ώδης, -ῶδες): in primitives (rare), as πρεπ-ώδης proper (πρέπ-ω beseem); usually in denominatives denoting fulness or similarity: ποι-ώδης grassy (ποίᾶ), αἰματ-ώδης looking like blood (αῖμα). See 833 a.
- 17. Suffixes of Degree: τον and τοτο (318) usually form primitives; τερο and τατο (313 ff.), denominatives. τερο occurs also in πδ-τερο-s which of two? πρδ-τερο-s earlier, νσ-τερο-s later, έκά-τερο-s each. On the suffix τερο apparently without comparative force, see 1066, 1082 b. ἔν-τερο-ν is substantivized (bowel); from ἐν in.
- 18. Suffixes of Participles and Verbal Adjectives (primary): active  $\nu\tau$ , o $\tau$ , 301 a, c; middle and passive  $\mu\epsilon\nu$ o. Verbal adjectives denoting completion (usually passive)  $\tau$ o; possibility and necessity  $\tau$ o,  $\tau\epsilon$ o (471–473).

On the formation of Adverss, see 341 ff.

#### LIST OF NOUN SUFFIXES

The list includes the chief suffixes used in substantives and adjectives. Separation of a suffix from the root is often arbitrary and uncertain.

**8**59.

#### VOWEL SUFFIXES

- 1. o: nom. -o-s masc., fem., -o-\nu neut. A common suffix in primitives denoting persons (usually male agents) or things (often abstracts).
  - ἀρχ-ό-s leader from ἄρχ-ω lead; ζυγ-ό-ν yoke from ζεόγ-νῦ-μι yoke (ζυγ-, ζευγ-); λόγ-ο-s speech from λέγ-ω speak; νόμ-ο-s custom, law from νέμ-ω distribute; στόλ-ο-s expedition from στέλλω (στελ-) send; τροφ-ό-s (δ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ) nurse from τρέφ-ω nourish; φόρ-ο-s tribute from φέρ-ω bear, bring.
  - a. The roots of some words appear only in other languages: οἶκ-o-s house, Lat. nīc-u-s.
  - b. The suffix has the accent when the agent is denoted.  $\epsilon$  of the root varies with o (831 b).
- ā: nom. -ā or -η fem. A common suffix in primitives, usually to denote things, often abstracts (action).
  - ἀρχ-ή beginning from ἄρχ-ω begin; λοιβ-ή pouring from λείβ-ω pour; μάχ-η fight from μάχ-ομαι fight; σπουδ-ή haste from σπεύδ-ω hasten; στέγ-η roof from στέγ-ω shelter; τροφ-ή nourishment from τρέφ-ω nourish; τύχ-η chance from τυγχάνω happen (τυχ-); φορ-ά crop from φέρ-ω bear; φυγ-ή flight from φεύγω flee (φυγ-, φευγ-).
  - a. The roots of some words appear only in other languages: γυν-ή woman (Eng. queen).
  - b. Most substantives accent the suffix; but many accent the penult.
- ā: nom. -ās, -ηs, in a few masculines, usually compounds: παιδο-τρίβ-n-s trainer of boys in gymnastics (τρίβω rub).
- t, ī: primary, in δφ-ι-s snake, poet. τρόχ-ι-s runner (τρέχ-ω run), πόλ-ι-s city
  (originally πόλ-ι-s), ἥν-ι-s yearling. Many words with the ι-suffix have
  taken on δ or τ; as έλπ-ι-s hope έλπι-δ-ος (ἕλπ-ομαι hope), χάρ-ι-s grace
  χάρι-τ-ος (χαίρω rejoice, χαρ-).
- 5. w: in a few primitive verbal adjectives (ἄγ-ιο-s 858. 2), but common in denominate adjectives (858. 2), rare in substantives: ννμφ-lo-s bride-groom (νύμφη bride); in names of things more concrete than those ending in -ιā: μαρτύρ-ιο-ν α testimony (cp. μαρτύρ-ιā testimony); in gentiles (844. 3); in diminutives (852. 1), often in combination with other diminutive suffixes (αριο, ιδιο, νλλω, etc. 852); often in combination with a final stem vowel (851. 1, 858. 2).
- 6. ιἄ, ιᾶ: rarely primary, in φύζα flight (φεύγ-ω flee); in verbal abstracts: μανία madness (840 a. 9); usually secondary in the fem. of adj. in -ύs: βαρεῖα = βαρεῦ-ια, πίττα pitch (= πικ-ια, cp. Lat. pic-us), γλῶτα tongue = γλωχ-ια (cp. γλωχ-ί-s point, γλῶχ-es beards of corn), θῆττα serf (843 b. 4); in the nom. fem. of participles in ντ, οτ (λόουσα from λῦοντ-ια, λελνκυ-ῖα); in denominative abstracts expressing quality (840 b. 1, 2); in names of persons: ταμ-lā-s steward (τέμ-ν-ω cut, ἔ-ταμ-ον), Νῖκ-lā-s Nicios (νίκη victory). Often in combination with other suffixes: αινα 843 b. 5; -ε-ια 840 a. 9; ισσα 843 b. 4; τρια, τειρᾶ 839 b. 2, 3.
- 7. Fo, Fā: primary, in spos for  $\delta\rho(F)$  os boundary,  $\kappa\epsilon\nu(F)$  os empty,  $\lambda\bar{\alpha}\iota(F)$  os left (Lat. laevus),  $\kappa\alpha\lambda(F)$  os beautiful; (probably) secondary in verbals in  $-\tau\epsilon$  os ( $\lambda\nu\tau\epsilon$  os that must be loosed) and in adj. in  $-\alpha\lambda\epsilon$  os (860. 1).

8. υ (ευ): primary, in adjectives (858. 15), in substantives: γέν-υ-s chin, πῆχ-υ-s fore-arm. — 9. ῡ: primary, in feminines: loχ-b-s strength, δφρ-b̄-s eye-brow, νέκ-ῡ-s (Hom.) corpse, cf. Lat. nec-are. — 10. ευ (ηυ): primary of the agent (839 a. 5); rarely of things: κοπ-εύ-s chisel (κόπ-τ-ω cut); secondary, of the person concerned (843 a. 1), in gentiles (844. 1), rarely of things: δονακ-εύ-s reed-thicket (δόναξ reed); in diminutives in -ιδεύs (853). — 11. οι (nom. -ω΄): primary in πειθ-ω΄ πειθού persuasion (279). — 12. ωϝ (nom. -ωs): primary in ἤρ-ωs ἤρω-os hero (267).

# 860. SUFFIXES WITH LIQUIDS $(\lambda, \rho)$

- 1. λο, λā: primary, in φῦ-λο-ν race, φῦ-λή clan (φύ-ω produce), πῖ-λο-s felt (Lat. pi-lu-s), ζεύγ-λη loop of a yoke (ζεύγ-νῦ-μι yoke); ἆθ-λο-s contest, ἆθλο-ν prize, τυφ-λό-s blind (τύφ-ω raise a smoke), στρεβ-λό-s twisted (στρέφ-ω turn). Cp. 858. 7. Secondary, in  $\pi \alpha \chi \nu - \lambda \delta$ -s thickish (dimin.). alo, alo; primary, in δμφ-αλό-s navel, κρότ-αλο-ν clapper (κρότ-ο-s noise), κεφ-αλή head,  $\tau \rho \circ \chi$ -aló-s running  $(\tau \rho \epsilon \chi - \omega)$ ,  $\pi t$ -alo-s fat  $(\pi \bar{\iota} a \ell \nu \omega)$ ; secondary, in ομ-αλό-s level (ομό-s one and the same). Developed from this are αλεο, αλε $\bar{a}$ :  $\pi \bar{i}$ -αλέο-s fat,  $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta$ -αλέο-s wily ( $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta$ -os gain), see 858. 7.  $\epsilon \lambda \bar{a}$ : primary (prob.), in είκ-ελο-s like (ξοικα am like, είκ-), νεφ-έλη cloud (Lat. nebula); secondary, in θυ-μέ-λη altar. ηλο, ηλα: κάπ-ηλο-s huckster (agency),  $\theta v - \eta \lambda \dot{\eta}$  sacrifice  $(\theta \dot{v} - \omega)$ ,  $\dot{v} \psi - \eta \lambda \dot{v} - s$  lefty  $(\ddot{v} \psi - s)$  height); primary or secondary: ἀπατ-η-λό-s guileful (ἀπάτη guile, ἀπατά-ω cheat), σῖγ-ηλό-s mute (σῖγή silence, σῖγά-ω am mute). ιλο, ιλα: primary, in  $\tau$ ροχ-ίλο-s sandpiper  $(\tau \rho \epsilon_{\chi} - \omega \ run)$ ; secondary, in  $\delta \rho \gamma - i \lambda o$ -s passionate  $(\delta \rho \gamma \dot{\eta})$ .  $\bar{\iota} \lambda o$ ,  $\bar{\iota} \lambda a$ : primary, in  $\sigma\tau\rho\delta\beta$ - $\bar{\iota}\lambda o$ -s top  $(\sigma\tau\rho\epsilon\phi-\omega \ turn)$ ; secondary, in  $\pi\epsilon\delta$ - $\bar{\iota}\lambda o$ - $\nu$  sandal  $(\pi \epsilon \delta - \eta \text{ fetter}, \pi o \psi s \text{ foot})$ . vao, va : primary, in  $\delta \acute{a} \kappa \tau$ -vao-s finger,  $\sigma \tau a \phi$ υλή bunch of grapes. Secondary, in μῖκκ-ύλο-s small (μῖκκ-ό-s). υλο, υλα: σφονδ-όλη beetle. ωλο, ωλα: primary, in είδ-ωλο-ν image (είδ-ομαι resemble).  $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi$ - $\omega \lambda \dot{\eta}$  prayer ( $\epsilon \ddot{v} \chi$ - $o \mu a \iota$ ). Rare forms:  $a \lambda \iota o$ ,  $a \lambda \iota \mu o$ ,  $\epsilon \lambda \iota o$ ,  $\eta \lambda \iota o$ .
- 2.  $\lambda v$ : primary, in  $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda v$ -s female (root  $\theta \eta$  give suck).
- 3. ρο, ρα: primary, in substantives: άγ-ρό-s field, Lat. ager (άγ-ω), νεκ-ρό-s corpse (cp.  $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa - \bar{\nu} - s$ ),  $\gamma \alpha \mu - \beta - \rho b - s$  son-in-law ( $\gamma \alpha \mu - \dot{\epsilon} - \omega$  marry, for  $\beta$  see 130),  $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta - \rho b - s$ enemy,  $\xi \chi \theta$ - $\rho \bar{a}$  hatred ( $\xi \chi \theta$ - $\omega$  hate),  $d \rho \gamma v$ - $\rho o$ -s silver,  $v \delta$ - $\rho \bar{a}$  hydra ( $v \delta$ - $\omega \rho$ water); rarely, of instrument 842. 6; of place, in ξδ-ρā seat; primary, in adjectives (858. 13). apo, apā: primary, in  $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi$ -apo- $\nu$  eye-lid ( $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi$ - $\omega$ look), τάλ-aρο-s basket (τλάω, τλ $\hat{\eta}$ ναι bear), λιπ-aρδ-s shiny (cp.  $\lambda l\pi$ -os fat). αρο (ηρο), αρα (ηρα): primary or secondary, in άνι-α-ρό-ς grievous ( $\dot{a}\nu l\bar{a}$  grief,  $\dot{a}\nu l\dot{a}-\omega$  grieve),  $\lambda \bar{\nu}\pi-\eta-\rho b-s$  painful ( $\lambda \dot{\nu}\pi\eta$  pain,  $\lambda \bar{\nu}\pi \dot{\epsilon}-\omega$  grieve); secondary, in  $d\nu\theta$ - $\eta\rho\delta$ -s flowery ( $d\nu\theta$ -os), and perhaps in  $\pi o\nu$ - $\eta\rho\delta$ -s toilsome (πόνο-s, πον έ-ομαι toil). ερο, ερ $\bar{a}$ : secondary, in φοβε-ρό-s terrible (φόβο-s)terror), whence  $\sigma \kappa \iota - \epsilon \rho \delta - s$  shady ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{a}$  shade); also in  $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta - \epsilon \rho \delta - s$  father-inlaw = lit. one who binds (cp.  $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \mu a = \pi \epsilon \nu \theta - \sigma \mu a$  cable),  $\epsilon \nu - \epsilon \rho o \iota$  those below the earth  $(\epsilon \nu)$ . upo, upo secondary, in  $\lambda i \gamma \nu - \rho \delta - s$  ( $\lambda i \gamma \delta - s$ ) shrill, whence primary ἄχ-υρο-ν chaff, φλεγ-υρό-s burning (φλέγ-ω burn).  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ ρο,  $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ ρ $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ : primary or secondary, in  $l\sigma\chi\bar{\nu}-\rho\delta$ -s strong ( $l\sigma\chi\dot{\nu}-\omega$  an strong,  $l\sigma\chi\dot{\nu}$ -s strength); primary, in  $\lambda \notin \pi - \bar{\nu} \rho \circ - \nu rind (\lambda \notin \pi - \omega peel)$ ,  $\gamma \notin \phi - \bar{\nu} \rho a bridge$ .  $\omega \rho \circ \omega \rho \bar{\alpha}$ : primary, in  $\delta\pi$ - $\delta\rho\bar{a}$  late summer ( $\delta\pi$ - $\iota\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu$  at the rear, after).

- ρι (rare): primary, in ἄκ-ρι-s hill-top (ἄκ-ρο-s highest), ἔδ-ρι-s knowing (εἶδον, ἰδεῖν).
- 5. ρυ (rare): primary, in δάκ-ρυ tear; ep. Old Lat. dacruma for lacrima.
- 6. αρ: primary, in ἡπ-αρ, ἡπατ-os liver (253 b), πî-αρ fat, ĕ-αρ spring. 7. ερ, ηρ: primary, in ἀἡρ ἀέρ-os air (ἄημι blow, of the wind), aiθ-ἡρ, -έρ-os upper air (αἴθ-ω kindle). 8. ωρ: primary: gen. -ατ-os: ὕδ-ωρ water; gen. -ωροs: ἔχώρ ichor, serum; gen. -οροs: by analogy in αὐτο-κράτ-ωρ possessing full powers (κράτ-os power). 9. ωρᾶ: primary, in πλη-θ-ώρη (Ionic) satiety, cp. 832.

## 861.

#### SUFFIXES WITH NASALS (µ, v)

- 1. μο, μα (nom. μο-s; -μα and -μη): primary, in substantives denoting actions or abstract ideas (840 a. 5-7), and in some concretes:  $\chi \bar{\nu} - \mu b - s$  juice ( $\chi \epsilon \omega$ ) pour, χυ-), γραμ-μή line (γράφ-ω write, draw); in adjectives (858. 8, 9). On  $-\tau$ -μο  $-\tau$ -μα,  $-\theta$ -μο  $-\theta$ -μα,  $-\sigma$ -μο  $-\sigma$ -μα see 837, 832, 836; secondary, rarely in substantives: δρῦ-μό-s coppice (δρῦ-s tree, oak), or adjectives: ἔτυ-μο-s true (έτερος real). — ι-μο: secondary, derived from ι stems (858. 9). — 2. ματ (nom. -μα): primary, denoting result (841. 2). Here to μα from μy (cp. δνομα name, Lat. nomen;  $\tau \neq \rho \mu \alpha$  goal, Lat. termen)  $\tau$  has been added; cp. cognomentum. — 3. μεν (nom. -μην): primary, in ποι-μήν shepherd, λι-μήν harbour. - 4. μενο: primary, in participles: λυδ-μενο-s. - 5. μι (rare): primary, in  $\phi \hat{n}$ - $\mu$ -s speech (poet, for  $\phi \hat{n}$ - $\mu n$ ). — 6.  $\mu \nu$  (nom. - $\mu \bar{i}s$ ): prim.,  $\dot{\rho}\eta\gamma$ - $\mu \dot{i}$ -s surf ( $\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}\gamma$ - $\nu \bar{\nu}$ - $\mu \iota$  break). —7.  $\mu \nu o$ ,  $\mu \nu \bar{a}$ : prim., in  $\sigma \tau \dot{a}$ - $\mu \nu o$ -sjar ("- $\sigma\tau\eta$ - $\mu\iota$  set, stand,  $\sigma\tau\alpha$ -),  $\beta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon$ - $\mu\nu$ 0- $\nu$  dart ( $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$  throw),  $\pi$ 0\(\text{ol-}\mu\nu\) flock,  $\lambda l$ -μνη lake.— 8. μον (nom. -μων): primary, in  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon$ -μών leader ( $\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}$ -ομαι lead); adjectives 858. 10. — 9. μονα: primary, in πλη-σ-μονή fulness (πίμ-πλη-μι fll). — 10. μων (nom. -μων): primary, in χει-μών winter, λει-μών meadow.
- 11. vo, vā: primary, in υπ-νο-s sleep, καπ-νό-s smoke, ποι-νή punishment, φερ-νή dower ( $\phi \epsilon \rho - \omega \ bring$ ),  $\tau \epsilon \kappa - \nu o - \nu \ child (<math>\tau \ell \kappa \tau \omega \ bear$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \kappa -$ ), in adjectives (858.11); secondary, in adjectives (858. 11), in  $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} - \nu \eta$  moon (=  $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma - \nu \eta$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha s$ gleam). - ανο, ανα: primary, in στέφ-ανο-s crown, στεφ-άνη diadem (στέφ-ω encircle),  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi - a \nu o - \nu$ ,  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi - a \nu \eta$  sickle ( $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi - \omega$  pluck),  $\delta \rho \gamma - a \nu o - \nu$  instrument ( $\epsilon$ ργον work), θηγ-άνη whetstone ( $\theta$ ήγ- $\omega$  whet); in adjectives:  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma$ - $\alpha \nu \delta$ -s (cp.  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma - \nu \delta s$ ) water-tight ( $\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma - \omega$  shelter); secondary, in  $\beta c - \tau - \delta \nu \eta$  fodder (βο-τδ-s, βδ-σκω graze), εδρ-ανο-ν seat (εδ-ρα seat).  $\overline{ανο}$  (ηνο),  $\overline{ανα}$  (ηνα): secondary, in gentiles (844. 3). ενο, ενα: primary, in παρθ-ένο-ς maiden.  $\dot{\omega}\lambda$ -ένη elbow. ηνο, ηνα: primary, in  $\tau \iota$ -θή-νη nurse (θῆσθαι give suck). tvo, tva: secondary, in adjectives of material and time (858, 12), and in ραδ-ινό-s slender, μελ-ίνη millet. ινεο, ινεα: secondary, in adjectives of material (858. 12). τνο, τνα: primary, in χαλ-τνό-s bridle, σέλ-τνο-ν parsley; secondary, in gentiles (844. 3); in patronymics (845. 6); in ερυθρ-ενο-s red mullet ( $\epsilon \rho \nu \theta \rho \delta$ -s red);  $\beta \circ \lambda \beta$ - $\delta \nu \eta$  a kind of  $\beta \circ \lambda \beta$ - $\delta s$  (a bulb-root). ovo, ονα: primary, in κλ-όνο-s battle-rout (κέλ-ομαι urge on); in abstracts, as ήδ-ονή pleasure (ήδ-ομαι am pleased). υνο, υνα: primary, in κορ-ύνη club, πίσ-υνο-s relying on (πείθ-ω persuade). υνο, υνα: primary, in κίνδ-υνο-s danger, alσχ-όνη disgrace. ωνο, ωνα: primary, in κολ-ωνό-s hill, κορ-ώνη crow. — 12. νυ (rare): primary, in λιγ-νύ-s smoky fire.

GREEK GRAM. -- 16

13. alva: secondary, of the person concerned (843 b. 5).—14. av: primary, in μέλας μέλαν-ος black.—15. εν (nom. -ην): primary, in τέρ-ην tender, άρρ-ην male.—16. ην: primary, in πευθ-ήν inquirer (πεύθ-ομαι, πυνθάνομαι inquire).—17. tv (nom. -īs): primary, in δελφίς dolphin, ώδις travail.—18. ov (nom. -ων): primary, in words of agency: τέκτ-ων carpenter, τρῦγ-ών turtle-dove (τρύξω murmur, τρυγ-), κλύο-ων wave (κλύξω dash, κλυδ-); and in others, as είκ-ών image (ἔοικα am like, είκ-), χι-ών snoιν.—19. ιον: secondary, in μαλακ-ίων darling, diminutive of μαλακό-ς soft.—τον: primary, in comparatives; ἡδ-ίων sweeter (ἡδ-ύ-ς); secondary, in patronymics (845. 5).— Foν: primary, in πίων fat.—20. ων: secondary, in words denoting persons possessing some physical or mental quality, as γάστρ-ων glutton (γαστήρ belly); to denote place (851. 3); in names of months: 'Ανθεστηριών.—21. Fων: primary, in al(ρ) ών age, gen. alών-ος.—22. ιων: secondary, in patronymics (845. 6).

# 862. SUFFIXES WITH LABIALS $(\pi, \dot{\phi})$

οπ: primary, in σκόλ-οψ stake, pale (σκάλλω stir up; split?).—2. ωπ: primary, in κών-ωψ gnat.—3. φο, φā (rare): primary, in κρότ-αφοι the temples, κορυ-φή head (κόρυs helmet); usually in names of animals, as ξρ:φο-s kid, ξλαφοs deer; secondary, in late diminutives: θηρ-άφιο-ν insect (θήρ beast), κερδ-ύφιο-ν petty gain (κέρδ-ος gain).

## 863. SUFFIXES WITH DENTALS $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$

- a. Suffixes with τ.
  - 1. τ: primary, at the end of stems, as ά-γνώς, ά-γνῶ-τ-ος unknown (γι-γνώ-σκω know).
  - 2. το, τā: primary, in verbal adjectives in -τό-s (471) with the force of a perfect participle, as γνω-τό-s known (γι-γνώ-σκω know), στα-τό-s placed, standing (ἴ-στη-μι set, place), or with the idea of possibility, as λυ-τό-s able to be loosed; in verbal abstracts, which sometimes become concrete: κοῖ-το-s, κοί-τη bed (κεῖ-μαι lie), βρον-τή thunder (βρέμ-ω roar), φυ-τό-ν plant (φῶω produce), πο-τό-ν drink (πίνω drink, πο-529), βιο-τή life, means of living (βίο-s life); in numerals, τρί-το-s third, ἔκ-το-s sex-tu-s.—In superlatives, ισ-το primary, as ἤδ-ιστο-s sweetest (ήδ-ό-s); τατο, secondary, as ἀληθέσ-τατο-s most true (ἀληθήs).—τā (nom. -τη-s): primary, to denote the agent (839 a. 1); secondary, to denote the person concerned (843 a. 2).—ατο, ατā: primary, in θάν-ατο-s death (θνή-σκω, θαν-εῖν die), κάμ-ατο-s weariness (κάμ-νω, καμ-εῖν αm weary). ετο, ετā: primary, in παγ-ετό-s frost (πήγ-νῦ-μι make hard); secondary, in εὐν-έτη-s bed-fellow (εὐνή bed, 843 a. N.). āτā āτιδ, ητā ητιδ, ιτā ιτιδ, ωτā ωτιδ, in gentiles (844. 2).
    - 3. τᾶτ (τητ): secondary, in substs. denoting quality (840 b. 4).—4. τερο: primary, in verbal adjectives (473).—5. τειρᾶ: primary, of the agent (839 b. 3).—6. τερο: secondary, in comparatives (313); substantivized in ἔν-τερο-ν bowel.—7. τηρ: primary, to denote the agent (839 a. 2), often regarded as the instrument: ραιστήρ hammer (838 a), άρν-τήρ

ladle.—8. τηρ-ιο: compound suffix, of place (851. 2), of means (842. 4), of wages (842. 5):  $\theta \rho \epsilon \pi - \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a$  reward for rearing  $(\tau \rho \epsilon \dot{\phi} - \omega)$ ; in adjectives, 858. 14.—9.  $\tau \iota$ : primary, to denote action or an abstract idea (840 a. 1); rarely, of persons:  $\mu \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \tau$  seer ( $\mu a \iota \nu - \rho \mu a \iota \tau a ge$ , am inspired,  $\mu a \nu - \iota$ ).—10.  $\tau \iota \delta$ : primary, of the agent (839 b. 4).—11.  $\tau \rho \iota$  primary, of the agent (839 a. 3).—12.  $\tau \rho \ddot{a}$ : primary, of instrument or means (842.3); of place (851.6).—13.  $\tau \rho \ddot{a}$  (nom.  $\tau \rho \iota a$ ): primary, of the agent (839 b. 2).—14.  $\tau \rho \iota \delta$  (nom.  $\tau \rho \iota a$ ): primary, of the agent (839 b. 1).—15.  $\tau \rho \iota a$ : secondary, in  $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{b} - \tau \rho \iota a$  to denote the agent (839 a. 4), instrument (842. 1), place, as  $\dot{\theta} \dot{a} - \tau \rho \iota \nu$  theatre (place for seeing),  $\dot{\lambda} \dot{\kappa} \iota \tau \tau \rho \iota \nu$  bed.—17.  $\tau \iota \nu$ : primary, of actions or abstract ideas (840 a. 4); in  $\ddot{a} \sigma - \tau \nu$  city,  $\dot{\phi} \iota \tau \nu$  sprout ( $\dot{\phi} \iota \iota \nu$  produce); secondary, denoting connection with a numeral:  $\tau \rho \iota \tau - \tau \iota \iota \iota \nu$  third of a tribe ( $\tau \rho \iota \iota \tau \iota \iota$ ).

18. āτ: primary, in κέρās, κέρāτ-os (and κέρωs, 258) horn. — 19. ητ: primary, in πένης, -ητ-os serf (πέν-ομαι toil), πλάν-ητ-es planets (πλανά-ω wander). — 20. ιτ: primary, in μέλι, -ιτ-os honey (Lat. mel), χάρ-ις grace (χαίρω rejotce, χαρ-). See 859. 4. — 21. ιτιδ (nom. -ιτις, fem.): secondary in words denoting place (851. 4). — 22. ωτ: primary, in γέλως, -ωτος laughter (γελά-ω laugh). — 23. ντ: primary, in active participles (except the perfect), as λόο-ντ-ος; in some adjectives inflected like participles (έκων willing), and in participlal substantives: δράκ-ων serpent (δέρκ-ομαι gleam, δρακ-είν), also in λέων lion, άδάμᾶς adamant. — 24. Feντ (nom. -εις): secondary, in adjectives denoting fulness (858.3), and in some proper names of places: 'Οποῦς Opus from 'Οπο- εντ-ς (844.3).

#### b: Suffixes with 8.

- δ: secondary, in patronymies (845. 1). 2. δ-ανο: secondary, in οὐτιδανό-s α nobody (οὔτις nobody), properly from τιδ, neuter of τὶ, + ανό-s. ε-δανο: primary, in ρῖγ-ε-δανό-s chilling (ρῖγ-έω shudder). 3. δ-απο: secondary, in ἀλλοδαπόs foreign, properly = ἀλλοδ, neuter of ἄλλος (cp. aliud), + από-s. 4. δ-ā: secondary, in patronymics (845. 1). 5. δ-ιο: στά-δ-ιο-s standing (Γ-στη-μι), with δ prob. from a word containing the suffix δ, as ἀμ-φάδιο-s public from ἀμ-φαδο-ν publicly. 6. δων: primary, in μελ-ε-δών care (μέλει is a care), ἀλγ-η-δών pain (ἀλγέ-ω suffer); secondary, in κοτυληδών α cup-shaped hollow (κοτύλη cup); cp. ἀχθ-η-δών distress (ἄχθ-οs burden). 7. δωνā: primary, in μελ-ε-δώνη care (see δων).
- 8. aδ: primary, in νιφ-άs, -άδ-os snow-flake (νίφ-ω, better νείφ-ω, snow), φυγ-άs exile (φεύγ-ω flee, φυγ-), λαμπ-άs torch (λάμπ-ω shine); secondary, in abstract feminines denoting number (840 b. 5). 9. ιαδ, 10. ιαδα: secondary, in patronymics (845. 3). 11. αδιο: secondary, in κατ-ωμ-άδιο-s from the shoulder (δμο-s), derived from διχθ-άδ-ιο-s divided (διχθάs, -άδοs divided). 12. ιδ: primary, in άσπ-ις, -ίδ-os shield, έλπ-ls hope (ἔλπομαι hope); secondary, in adj. as συμμαχίs allied (πόλιs) from σύμμαχο-s allied with; in words denoting the person concerned (843 b. 2); in gentiles (844. 1), as Περσίs Persian woman; in femining patronymics (845. 4). 13. ιδα: secondary, in patronymics

(845. 4).—14. ιδεο: secondary, in names of relationship (850).—15. ιδευ: secondary, in diminutives (853).—16. ιδιο: secondary, in diminutives (852.2), and transferred in μοιρ-ίδιο-s doomed (μοῖρα doom).—17. ιδ: secondary, in κνημές greave (κνήμη leg, thigh).—18. νδα: secondary, in patronymics (846, e).—19. ωδεσ: secondary, in adjectives of fulness (858. 16).

## c. Suffixes with θ.

θ appears in suffixes that are obscure in relation to root or stem (832): δρνῖε δρνῖθ-os bird, ψάμαθος sand, κύαθος cup, πέλεθος ordure; several in -νθ (probably not Greek), as ἐρέβ-ινθος chick-pea. — 2. θλο, θλᾶ: primary, in γέν-ε-θλο-ν, γεν-έ-θλη race (γίγ-νομαι become, γεν-). — 3. θλιο: secondary, in γεν-έ-θλιο-s belonging to one's birth. — 4. θρο, θρα: primary, in ἄρ-θρο-ν joint (ἀραρίσκω join, ἀρ-), ἐπι-βά-θρᾶ ladder (βαίνω go, βα-).

## 864. SUFFIXES WITH PALATALS $(\kappa, \gamma, \chi)$

- κο, κα: primary (rare), in θή-κη box (τί-θη-μι place); secondary, in adjectives (858.6).— ακο (rare): primary, in μαλ-ακό-s soft (cp. Lat. mollis); secondary in adjectives (858.6.c).— ιακο: secondary, in κῦρ-ιακό-s of the Lord.— ικο, ικα: secondary, in adjectives (858.6), in gentiles (844.3).—2. σκο, σκα: primary, in δίσκος quoit (= δικ + σκο-s from δικ-εῦν throw), βο-σκή faod (cp. βό-σκω feed).— ισκο: secondary, in diminutives (852.6).
- ακ: primary, in μεῖραξ lass, μειράκ-ιο-ν lad dimin. 854, κόλαξ flatterer. —
   ακ: primary, in θώρᾶξ breast-plate. 5. ικ: primary, in κύλιξ cup, ἢλιξ comrade. 6. ικ: primary, in πέρδιξ, -ῖκοs partridge. 7. νκ: primary, in κῆρυξ, -ῦκοs herald.
- αγ: primary, in ἄρπαξ rapacious, ἀρπαγ-ή seizure (cp. ἀρπάζω seize).
   19. τγ: primary, in μάστιξ, -ίγ-ος whip. 10. υγ: primary, in ἄντυξ, -υγος rim. 11. γγ: primary, denoting something hollow, in φάλαγξ phalanx, σάλπιγξ trumpet, λάρυγξ larynx.
- 12. ιχο: secondary, in δρτάλ-ιχο-s chick, dimin. (δρταλί-s chicken).

#### 865.

#### SUFFIXES WITH SIGMA

- σι (= τι): primary, denoting actions or abstract ideas (840 a. 2); rarely of persons: πό-σι-s husband. 2. σια: primary, denoting actions or abstract ideas (840 a. 3). 3. σιο: primary, in μετ-άρ-σιο-s raised from the ground (μετ-αίρω lift up, ἀρ-). 4. σιμο: in αdj. (858. 9). 5. στηνο: in δύ(σ)-στηνο-s unhappy. 6. στο: secondary, in τριακοστό-s thirtieth from τριακοντ + το-s. 7. συνο, συνα: secondary, in αdjectives: δουλό-συνοs enslaved (δούλο-s), θάρσυνοs bold = θαρσο-συνος (θάρσ-ος courage, 129 c), and in the feminine, to make abstract substantives (840 b. 3).
- 8. ασ: primary, in γέρ-as prize; varying with άτ, as in τέρ-as τέρατ-os portent (258), or with εσ (264 D. 3).—9. εσ: primary, denoting quality (840 a. 8) or result (841. 1) in adjectives (858. 5.)—10. ισ: primary, in κόνις dust, found in κονίω (= κονισ-μω, 500. 2, D).—11. ισσᾶ: secondary, in words denoting the person concerned (843 b. 4).—12. οσ: primary, in alδώς shame (alδούς from alδο(σ)-os, 260).—13. τοσ: primary, in comparatives (298 d, 318).

#### DENOMINATIVE VERBS

The formation of primitive verbs (372) is treated in 496-529, 607-624, 722-743.

- 866. Denominative verbs are formed from the stems of nouns (substantives or adjectives). Verbs lacking such a noun-stem are made on the model of the ordinary denominative verb. The principal terminations are as follows:
- -αω: derived chiefly from words with ā stems (a few from words of the second declension). Verbs in -aω denote to do, to be, or to have, that which is expressed by the stem.

τῖμά-ω honour (τῖμή, stem τῖμᾶ-), ἄριστά-ω breakfast (ἄριστο-ν breakfast), τολμά-ω dare (τόλμα daring, stem τολμᾶ-), κομά-ω wear long hair (κόμη hair). κοιμά-ω, lull to sleep, has no primitive noun.

On -12w and -2w denoting a desire or a bodily condition, see 868 b.

2. - $\epsilon \omega$ : derived chiefly from %-stems (834 f), and thence extended to all kinds of stems. Verbs in - $\epsilon \omega$  denote a condition or an activity, and are often intransitive.

οικέ-ω dwell (οἶκο-s house, οικ%-), φιλέ-ω love (φίλο-s dear, φιλ%-), ὑπηρετέω serve (ὑπηρέτης servant, ὑπηρετα-), εὐτυχ-έ-ω am fortunate (εὐτυχής fortunate, εὖτυχεσ-), μῖσ-έ-ω hate (μῖσος hate, μῖσεσ-), σωφρον-έ-ω am temperate (σώφρων), μαρτυρ-έ-ω bear witness (μάρτυς, -υρ-ος).

a. Some  $\epsilon \omega$ -verbs from  $\epsilon \sigma$ -stems have older forms in  $-\epsilon l \omega$  (624 a).

3.  $-\omega$ : chiefly derived from o-stems. Verbs in  $-\omega$  are usually factitive, denoting to cause or to make.

δηλό-ω manifest, make clear (δήλο-s), δουλό-ω enslave (δοῦλο-s), ζηλό-ω enulate (ζήλο-s enulation), ζημό-ω punish (ζημία damage), μαστίγό-ω whip (μάστιξ, -ίγοs whip). ἀρόω plough has no primitive.

On the formation of the present stem of verbs in  $-\alpha\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\omega$ , see 522.

- 4. -ενω: derived from substantives from ευ-stems (607) and thence extended to other stems. ενω-verbs usually denote a condition, sometimes an activity. βασιλεύ-ω am king, rule (βασιλεύ-s), βουλεύ-ω counsel (βουλή), κινδῦ-νεύ-ω venture, incur danger (κίνδῦνο-s), παιδεύ-ω educate (παῖs boy, girl), θεραπεύ-ω attend (θεράπων attendant).
- 5. -νω (rare): from υ-stems, as δακρύ-ω weep (δάκρυ tear). Cp. 608.

6. -αζω: derived originally from stems in δ or γ (as ἐλπίζω hope = ἐλπιδ-μω, ἀρπάζω seize = ἀρπαγ-μω), and thence widely extended to other stems (cp. 623 δ, γ). Such verbs denote action.

γυμνάζω exercise (γυμνάς, -άδ-os stripped, naked); ἀναγκάζω compel (ἀνάγκη necessity); ἀτῖμάζω dishonour (ἄτῖμος); βιάζομαι use force (βία force); θαυμάζω wonder (θαῦμα); φροντίζω take care (φροντίς); ὑβρίζω insult (ὑβρί-s outrage); νομίζω consider (νόμο-s custom, law); τειχίζω fortify (τεῖχ-os wall, stem τειχεσ-); χαρίζομαι do a favour (χάρις, -ιτος favour).

- Verbs in -ιζω and -ιαζω derived from proper names express an adoption of language, manners, opinions, or politics;
  - έλληνίζω speak Greek ("Ελλην), βακχιάζω act like a bacchante (βακχιάς), λακωνίζω imitate Laconian manners (Λάκων), μηδίζω side with the Medes (Μῆδος).
- b. Verbs in -εξω, -οζω, and -υζω are rare (πιέζω press, poet. δεσπόζω am lord, κοκκύζω cry cuckoo).
- 7. -aive: originally from stems in  $-\alpha\nu + \mu\omega$  (518), but usually extended to other stems. See 620, III.

μελαίνω blacken (μέλας black, μελαν-), εὐφραίνω gladden (εὕφρων glad, εὐφρον-), σημαίνω signify (σημα, σήματ-ος sign), χαλεπαίνω am angry (χαλεπό-ς hard, angry).

- 8.  $-\bar{\nu}\nu\omega$ : from stems in  $\nu\nu + i\omega$  (519). The primitive words often show stems in  $\nu$ . See 620, III.
  - βαθύνω deepen (βαθύ-s deep), ταχύνω hasten (ταχύ-s swift), αἰσχύνω disgrace (αἶσχ-os shame), θαρρύνω encourage (θάρρ-gs courage).
- 9. On other denominatives in  $\lambda \omega$ ,  $\nu \omega$ ,  $\rho \omega$ , see 620, III; on inceptives see 526-528.
- 10. Parallel formations are frequent, often with different meanings.

ἀριστάω take a midday meal, ἀριστίζω give a midday meal; ἀτιμάω, (poet.) ἀτῖμόω, ἀτῖμάζω dishonour; δουλόω enslave, δουλεύω am a slave; εὐδαιμονέω am happy, εὐδαιμονίζω account happy, congratulate; θαρρέω am courageous, θαρρόνω encourage; ὀρκόω, ὀρκίζω make one swear an oath; ὀρμάω urge on, ὀρμαίνω (poet.) ponder; ὀρμέω lie at anchor, ὀρμίζω anchor trans. (ὄρμος anchorage); πολεμέω (πολεμίζω Epic) wage war, πολεμόω make hostile; σκηνάω put in shelter, mid. take up one's abode, σκηνέω am in camp, σκηνόω encamp, go into quarters; σωφρονέω am temperate, σωφρονίζω chasten; τυραννέω, τυραννεύω am absolute ruler, τυραννίζω take the part of absolute ruler, τυραννιάω (late) smack of tyranny. Cp. 531.

- 867. Frequentatives and Intensives. These are mostly poetical. -αω in στρωράω turn constantly (στρέφω turn), τρωχάω gallop (τρέχω run), ποτάομαι, πωτάομαι, and ποτέομαι, fly about (πέτομαι fly). -στρεω in έλαστρέω drive (έλάω, έλαύνω). -ταω in σκιρτάω spring (σκαίρω skip). -ταζω in έλκυστάζω drag about (ἔλκω drag). With reduplication, often with change of the stem-vowel, in ποιπνύω puff (πνέω breathe, πνν-), πορφέρω gleam darkly (φόρω mix), παμφαίνω shine brightly (φαίνω bring to light, make appear).
- **868.** Desideratives express desire. Such verbs end in  $-\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega$ ,  $-\iota\omega\omega$ , and rarely in  $-\omega\omega$ . Thus,  $\pi\circ\lambda\epsilon\mu\eta\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega$  desire to wage war  $(\pi\circ\lambda\epsilon\mu\epsilon\omega)$ ,  $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\lambda\lambda\lambda\xi\epsilon\iota\omega$  wish to get rid of  $(\mathring{\alpha}\lambda\mathring{\alpha}\tau\tau\omega$  exchange),  $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\alpha\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega$  wish to laugh  $(\gamma\epsilon\lambda\acute{\alpha}\omega)$ ;  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\iota\acute{\alpha}\omega$  wish to be general  $(\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\acute{o}s)$ ;  $\mathring{\phi}\circ\nu\acute{\alpha}\omega$  wish to shed blood  $(\mathring{\phi}\circ\nu\circ\sigma)$  murder).
- a. Verbs in  $-i\alpha\omega$  and  $-a\omega$  are formed from substantives. Those in  $-\sigma\epsilon\iota\omega$  may come from the future stem.
- b. -ιαω and -αω may denote a bodily affection:  $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu i \Delta \omega$  suffer from ophthalmia ( $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu i \bar{a}$ ),  $\beta \rho a \gamma \chi \dot{a} \omega$  am hoarse ( $\beta \rho a \gamma \chi \dot{b} s$  hoarse). Some verbs in -ωττω (-ωσσω) have a similar meaning:  $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau \tau \omega$  am blind ( $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \dot{b} s$ ), and even  $\lambda \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \omega$  am hungry ( $\lambda \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{b} s$  hunger).

#### COMPOUND WORDS

- a. Compounds of three or more parts usually fall into two separate units; as  $\beta a\tau \rho a\chi o \mu \bar{u}\chi o \mu a\chi l\bar{a}$  battle of the frogs-and-mice. Such compounds are common in comedy; as  $\sigma\tau \rho e\psi o \delta\iota\kappa o \pi a\nu o\nu\rho\gamma l\bar{a}$  rascally perversion of justice.
- b. In a compound word two or more members are united under one accent; as in blackberry contrasted with black berry. Most compounds in Greek, an inflected language, are genuine compounds, not mere word-groups such as are common in English, which is for the most part devoid of inflections.
- c. Every compound contains a defining part and a defined part. The defining part usually precedes:  $\epsilon \dot{v} \tau \nu \chi \dot{\eta} s$  fortunate, as opposed to  $\delta \nu \sigma \tau \nu \chi \dot{\eta} s$  unfortunate. The parts of a compound stand in various syntactical relations to each other, as that of adjective or attributive genitive to a substantive, or that of adverb or object to a verb, etc. Compounds may thus be regarded as abbreviated forms of syntax. Cp. 895 a, 897 N. 1.

#### FIRST PART OF A COMPOUND

- 870. The first part of a compound may be a noun-stem, a verbstem, a numeral, a preposition or adverb, or an inseparable prefix.
- a. The use of stems in composition is a survival of a period in the history of language in which inflections were not fully developed.

#### FIRST PART A NOUN-STEM

- **871.** First Declension ( $\bar{a}$ -stems). The first part may
- end in ā or η (rarely): ἀγορᾶ-νόμο-s clerk of the market (ἀγορά), νῖκη-φόρο-s bringing victory (νίκη).
- b. end in o: δικο-γράφο-s writer of law-speeches (δίκη justice). Here o is substituted for ā of the stem by analogy to o-stems.
- N. Compounds of  $\gamma\hat{\eta}$  earth have  $\gamma\epsilon\omega$  (for  $\gamma\eta\sigma$  by 34); as  $\gamma\epsilon\omega$ - $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\sigma$  surveyor (land-measurer;  $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\epsilon\omega$  measure). Doric has  $\gamma\bar{\alpha}$ - $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta\sigma$ . Cp. 224 a.
- c. lose its vowel before a vowel:  $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda$ -alyńs causing head-ache ( $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\acute{\eta}$  head, äly-os pain).
  - 872. Second Declension (o-stems). The first part may
- a. end in o: λογο-γράφο-s speech-writer.
- b. end in  $\bar{a}$  or  $\eta$  (rarely):  $\epsilon \lambda a \phi \eta \beta \delta \lambda o s$  deer-shooting ( $\xi \lambda a \phi o \beta \delta \lambda \omega$ ). Here  $\eta$  is due to the analogy of  $\bar{a}$ -stems.
- c. lose o before a vowel:  $\mu \delta r$ -ap $\chi o$ -s monarch (sole ruler:  $\mu \delta r o$ -s alone,  $\delta p \chi$ - $\omega$  rule).
- N. Words of the 'Attic' declension may end in  $\omega$ , as  $\nu \epsilon \omega \kappa \delta \rho \sigma s$  custodian of a temple ( $\nu \epsilon \omega s$ ).

- 873. Third Declension (consonant stems). The first part may
- a. show the stem (ι, υ, αυ, ου): μαντι-πόλο-s inspired (μάντι-s seer, πέλ-ω, cp.
   -κολοs), ἰχθυ-βόλο-s catching-fish (ἰχθόs, βάλλω), βου-κόλο-s ox-herd (βοῦ-s,
   -κολο-s, cp. Lat. colo, and 131).
- N.—A few consonant stems retain the consonant:  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\delta\gamma-\chi$ o $\lambda$ os dipped in black bile ( $\mu\epsilon\lambda\delta$ s,  $\chi$ o $\lambda$  $\acute{\eta}$ ). See also 876.
- b. add o to the stem: σωματ-ο-φύλαξ body-guard (σῶμα body, φυλάττω guard), μητρ-ό-πολις mother-city, metropolis (μήτηρ, πόλις), φυσι-ο-λόγος natural philosopher (φύσι-s nature), ἰχθυ-ο-πώλης fishmonger (ἰχθός, πωλέω sell).
- **c.** add ă (rarely η): ποδ-ά-νιπτρο-ν water for washing the feet (ποῦs, νίπτω), λαμπαδ-η-δρομία torch-race.
- **874.** Compounds of  $\pi \hat{a}s$  all usually show  $\pi \check{a}\nu$ , as  $\pi \acute{a}\nu$ - $\sigma o \phi o \cdot s$  (and  $\pi \acute{a}\sigma$ - $\sigma o \phi o \cdot s$ ) 101 b) all-wise,  $\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma (\bar{a})$  frankness ('all-speaking'); but also  $\pi a \nu \tau$ -in  $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau$ - $a \rho \chi o s$  all-ruling; and  $\pi a \nu \tau$ -o- in  $\pi a \nu \tau$ -o- $\pi \acute{\omega} \lambda i \circ \nu$  bazaar ( $\pi \omega \lambda \acute{\omega}$  sell).
- 875. Neuter stems in  $\mu$ ατ usually show  $\mu$ ατ-ο, as ἀγαλ $\mu$ ατ-ο-ποιδ-s sculptor (ἄγαλ $\mu$ α statue, ποιέω make). Some have  $\mu$ α, as ὀνο $\mu$ α-κλυτδ-s of famous name; some show  $\mu$ ο for  $\mu$ ατο, as αἰ $\mu$ ο-ρραγία hemorrhage (αἷ $\mu$ α, -ατοs blood, ῥήγν $\bar{\nu}$  $\mu$  break. 80).
- 877. Other abbreviations:  $\gamma a \lambda a \cdot \theta \eta \nu b$ -s nurse ( $\gamma a \lambda a \kappa \tau$  milk,  $\theta \hat{\eta}$ - $\sigma \theta a i$  give suck);  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \eta \delta \dot{\eta}$ s honey-sweet ( $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau$ -),  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \iota \nu \epsilon \phi \dot{\eta}$ s black with clouds from  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda a \iota \nu b$ -s black (cp. 129 c) and  $\nu \epsilon \phi$  os cloud.
- 878. Words once beginning with  $\mathbf{F}$  or  $\sigma$ . When the second part consists of a word beginning with digamma, a preceding vowel is often not elided:  $\kappa a \kappa \sigma \cdot \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta s$  (Epic) doing ill (later  $\kappa a \kappa \sigma \delta \rho \gamma \sigma s$ ) from  $\rho \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \cdot \nu w \sigma r k$ ;  $\mu \eta \nu \sigma \cdot \epsilon \iota \delta \eta s$  crescent-shaped ( $\mu \eta \nu \eta moon$ ,  $\rho \epsilon \epsilon \delta \sigma s$  shape);  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \delta \epsilon \rho \sigma s$  (later  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \omega \rho \delta s$ ) avenging ( $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \eta honour$ ,  $\rho \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \delta s$  defend). Compounds of  $-\sigma \chi \sigma s$ , from  $\epsilon \chi \omega have$  (orig.  $\sigma \epsilon \chi \omega$ ,  $-\sigma \sigma \chi \sigma s$ ) contract:  $\kappa \delta \eta \rho \sigma \delta \chi \sigma s$  holding an allot ment of land ( $\kappa \delta \eta \rho \sigma s s$  lot),  $\sigma \delta \iota \sigma \sigma \delta \sigma s$  protecting a city (for  $\sigma \delta \iota \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma s$ ).
- 879. Flectional Compounds.—A compound whose first part is a case form, not a stem, is called a flectional compound (cp. sportsman, kinsfolk): (1) nominative: τρεισ-και-δεκα thirteen; (2) genitive: Διόσ-κουροι Dioscuri (sons of Zeus), Ἑλλήσ-ποντος Helle's sea, Πελοπόν-νησος (for Πελοποσ-νησος, 105 a) Pelops' island; (3) dative: δορί-ληπτος won by the spear; (4) locative: δδοι-πόρος wayfarer, Πυλοι-γενής born in Pylus. From such compounds derivatives may be formed, as Ἑλλησπόντιος of the Hellespont, θεοισεχθρία hatred of the gods.

#### FIRST PART A VERB-STEM

880. Some compounds have as their first part a verb-stem (cp. break-water, pick-pocket, catch-penny). Such compounds are usually

poetic adjectives. The verb-stem is usually transitive and has the form that appears in the present or agrist.

- **881.** Before a vowel the verb-stem remains unchanged or drops a final vowel; before a consonant it adds  $\epsilon$ , o, or  $\iota$ :  $\phi \epsilon \rho a\sigma \pi \iota s$  shield-bearing,  $\mu \bar{\iota} \sigma \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma s$  man-hating ( $\mu \bar{\iota} \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \iota \bar{a}$  (125 d) holding of hands, truce,  $\lambda \iota \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \bar{a}$  desertion of the army,  $\nu \iota \kappa \dot{b} \beta \sigma \nu \dot{a}$  prevailing in the Senate,  $\dot{a} \rho \chi \iota \tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \nu$  masterbuilder.
- **882.** The verb-stem adds  $\sigma_{\ell}$  (before a vowel,  $\sigma$ ). Some insert  $\epsilon$  before  $\sigma_{\ell}$  ( $\sigma$ ):  $\sigma_{\ell}$ - $\sigma_{\ell}$ 
  - a. This  $\epsilon$  is the vowel added in many verb-stems (485).

## FIRST PART A NUMERAL

883. The first part of a compound is often a numeral:  $\delta\ell$ -movs biped,  $\tau\rho\ell$ -movs tripod (having three feet),  $\tau\epsilon\theta\rho$ - $\iota$ mmov four-horse chariot,  $\pi\epsilon\nu\tau$ - $\bar{a}\theta\lambda$ ov contest in five events.

#### FIRST PART A PREPOSITION OR ADVERB

- 884. A preposition or adverb is often the first part of a compound: εἴσ-οδος entrance, ἀπο-φεύγω flee from, εὐ-τυχής happy, ἀείμνηστος ever to be remembered.
- a. Except when the substantive is treated as a verbal (as in είσ-οδος entrance, cp. εἰσ-ιέναι enter), prepositions are rarely compounded with substantives. Thus, σύν-δουλος fellow-slave, ὑπο-διδάσκαλος (= ὁ ὑπό τινι δ.) under-teacher; also ὑπό-λευκος whitish.
- b. The ordinary euphonic changes occur. Observe that  $\pi\rho\delta$  before may contract with  $\sigma$  or  $\epsilon$  to  $\sigma$ :  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\chi\omega$  or  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\chi\omega$  hold before (cp. 449 b). See 124 a.
- c.  $\eta$  sometimes is inserted after a preposition or takes the place of a final vowel:  $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\rho-\dot{\eta}-\phi\alpha\nu$  conspicuous,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi-\dot{\eta}-\beta$ olos having achieved.
- d. Akin to adverbial compounds are some in  $\phi \iota \lambda$ -0, as  $\phi \iota \lambda$ 0- $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} s$  one who gladly learns.

#### FIRST PART AN INSEPARABLE PREFIX

- 885. Several prefixes occur only in composition:
- 1. ἀ(ν)- (ἀν- before a vowel, ἀ- before a consonant; alpha privative) with a negative force like Lat. in-, Eng. un- (or -less): ἀν-άξιος unworthy (= οὐκ ἄξιος), ἀν-όμοιος unlike, ἀν-ώδυνος anodyne (ὁδύνη pain, cp. 887), ἄ-νους silly, ἄ-τίμος unhonoured, ἄ-θεος godless, γάμος ἄγαμος marriage that is no marriage. ἀ- is also found before words once beginning with digamma or sigma: ἀ-ηδής unpleasant (γηδύς), ἀ-όρᾶτος unseen (γοράω), ἄ-οπλος without shields (σοπλον), and, by contraction with the following vowel, ἄκων (ἀ-ρέκων unwilling). But ἀν- often appears: ἀν-έλπιστος (and ἄ-ελπτος) unhoped for (γελπίς), ἄν-οπλος without shield.
  - a.  $d_{-}$ ,  $d\nu_{-}$  (for  $\nu$ , 35 b) represent weak forms of I. E. ne 'not.'

- ἡμι- half (Lat. sēmi-): ἡμι-κύκλιος semi-circular (κύκλος), ἡμι-όλιος half as much again (öλος whole), ἡμι-θνής half-dead.
- δυσ- (opposed to εδ well) ill, un-, mis-, denoting something difficult, bad, or unfortunate, as δυσ-τυχής unfortunate, δυσ-χερής hard to manage, δυσδαίμων of ill fortune (contrast εὐ-τυχής, εὐ-χερής, εὐ-δαίμων), δυσ-άρεστος ill-pleased, Δύσ-παρις ill-starred Paris.
- 4. ἀ- (or à-) copulative denotes union, likeness (cp. Lat. con-); ἀ-κόλουθος attendant, agreeing with (κέκευθος path: i.e. going on the same road), ἀ-τάλαντος of the same weight, ἄ-πᾶς all together. A variation of ἀ-copulative is ἀ-intensive: ἀ-τενής stretched (τείνω stretch), ἄ-πεδος level (πέδον ground).
  - a. ἀ-copulative stands for σα- (from σμ 20, 35 c), and is connected with ἄμα, ὁμοῦ, and ὁμο- together.
- 5. νη- (poetic) with the force of a negative (cp. Lat. nē): νή-ποινος unaverged (ποινή punishment), νη-πενθής freeing from pain and sorrow (πένθος). In some cases νη- may be derived from ν (not) and the η of the second part, as ν-ῆστις not eating (poetic ἔδ-ω, cp. 887).
- άρι-, ἐρι- (poetic) with intensive force (cp. ἄρι-στος best), ἀρι-πρεπής very distinguished (πρέπω), ἐρί-τῖμος precious.
- άγα- (poetic) intensive (cp. ἄγαν very): ἀγά-στονος loud wailing (στένω groan).
- 8.  $\xi a$ ,  $\delta a$  (poetic) intensive (for  $\delta \iota a = \delta \iota a$  very, 116):  $\zeta a$ - $\mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s$  very courageous ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu os$  courage),  $\delta \dot{a}$ - $\sigma \kappa \iota os$  thick-shaded ( $\sigma \kappa \iota \dot{a}$ ).

## LAST PART OF A COMPOUND

- 886. Compound Substantives and Adjectives. The last part of a noun-compound consists of a noun-stem or of a verb-stem with a noun-suffix.
- **887.** Nouns beginning with  $\check{\alpha}$ ,  $\epsilon$ , o lengthen these vowels ( $\check{\alpha}$  and  $\epsilon$  to  $\eta$ , o to  $\omega$ ) unless they are long by position.  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau-\eta\gamma\delta s$  army-leading, general ( $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\delta s$ ,  $\check{\alpha}\gamma\omega$ ),  $\epsilon\mathring{v}-\acute{\eta}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma s$  with fair wind ( $\epsilon\mathring{v}$  well,  $\check{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma s$ ),  $\xi\epsilon\nu-\eta\lambda\alpha\sigma l\bar{\alpha}$  driving out of foreigners ( $\xi\epsilon\nu\sigma s$ ,  $\epsilon\lambda\alpha\acute{\nu}\nu\omega$ ),  $\check{\alpha}\nu-\acute{\omega}\nu\nu\mu\sigma s$  nameless ( $\check{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\check{\sigma}\nu\mu\alpha$ ),  $\check{\alpha}\nu-\acute{\omega}\mu\alpha\lambda\sigma s$  uneven ( $\check{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\check{\sigma}\mu\alpha\lambda\delta s$ ).
  - a. Some compounds of  $\check{a}\gamma\omega$  lead show  $\check{a}$ :  $\lambda \circ \chi \check{a}\gamma \circ s$  captain ( $\lambda \circ \chi \circ s$  company).
- b. By analogy to the compound the simple form sometimes assumes a long vowel: ηνεμbεσσα windy. Cp. 28 D.
- c. Lengthening rarely occurs when a preposition or πâs precedes: συν-ωμοσία conspiracy (δμνῦμι swear), παν-ήγυρις general assembly (ἄγυρις = ἀγορά).
- d. The lengthening in 887 is properly the result of early contraction ( $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau$ o +  $\alpha\gamma$ os). On the pattern of such contracted forms irrational lengthening occurs when the first part of the compound ends in a consonant, as  $\delta\nu\sigma$ - $\eta\lambda\epsilon\gamma\dot{\eta}s$  (for  $\delta\nu\sigma$ - $\alpha\lambda\epsilon\gamma\dot{\eta}s$ ) cruel from  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  care for.
- 888. A noun forming the last part of a compound often changes its final syllable.
- N. Masculine or feminine nouns of the second or third declensions usually remain unaltered:  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ - $\theta$  $\epsilon$ os inspired,  $\tilde{\alpha}$ - $\pi$ as childless.

- a. -os, - $\eta$ , -ov: form compound adjectives from nouns of the first declension, neuters of the second declension, nouns of the third declension, and from many verb-stems.  $\delta$ - $\tau$ i $\mu$ os dishonoured  $(\tau$ i $\mu$  $\dot{\eta})$ ,  $\sigma$  $\dot{\nu}$ - $\delta$ e $\iota$  $\pi$  $\nu$ os companion at table  $(\delta$ e $\hat{\iota}$  $\pi$  $\nu$ o- $\nu$  meal),  $\delta$  $\nu$ -a $\iota$  $\mu$ os bloodless (a $\dot{\iota}$  $\mu$ a, 875), è $\kappa$ a $\tau$  $\dot{\sigma}$  $\gamma$ - $\chi$ e $\iota$  $\mu$ os hundred-handed ( $\chi$ e $\iota$  $\mu$ ),  $\delta$ a $\sigma$  $\mu$ o- $\phi$  $\delta$  $\mu$ os bringing tribute ( $\phi$ e $\iota$  $\mu$ - $\omega$ ),  $\gamma$ e $\iota$  $\mu$ - $\psi$  $\sigma$  $\tau$  $\sigma$  $\tau$ 0s fish-eating ( $\phi$ a $\gamma$ e $\tau$  $\tau$ 0. 529. 5).
- b. -ηs, -εs: form compound adjectives from nouns of the first and third declensions, and from many verb-stems:  $\dot{a}$ -τυχής unfortunate  $(\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta)$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \kappa a$ -ετής of ten years  $(\epsilon \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau})$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ -ειδής beautiful in form  $(\epsilon \dot{l} \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau})$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$ -μαθής quick at learning  $(\mu a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega, \mu a \theta^{-})$ ,  $\dot{a}$ -φανής invisible  $(\phi a l \nu \omega, \phi a \nu^{-})$ .
- c. Other endings are - $\eta$ s (gen. - $\sigma$ v), - $\tau\eta$ s, - $\tau\eta$ p:  $\gamma\epsilon\omega$ - $\mu\epsilon\tau\rho\eta$ s surveyor (871 b. N.),  $\nu\rho\mu$ - $\theta\epsilon\tau\eta$ s law-giver ( $\nu\delta\mu$ os,  $\tau\ell\theta\eta\mu$ ,  $\theta\epsilon$ -),  $\mu\eta\lambda$ o- $\beta\sigma\tau\eta\rho$  shepherd ( $\mu\bar{\eta}\lambda$ ov,  $\beta\delta$ - $\sigma\kappa\omega$  feed).
- d. Neuters in -μα make adjectives in -μων: πρᾶγμα thing, ἀ-πρᾶγμων inactive. φρήν mind becomes -φρων: εὔ-φρων well-minded, cheerful. πατήρ father becomes -πάτωρ: ἀ-πάτωρ fatherless, φιλο-πάτωρ loving his father.
- e. Compounds of  $\gamma \hat{\eta}$  land end in  $-\gamma \epsilon \omega s$ ,  $-\gamma \epsilon \omega s$ :  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \iota \omega s$  subterranean,  $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{b} \gamma \epsilon \omega s$  of thin soil. Compounds of vass ship,  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$  horn,  $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$  old age end in  $-\omega s$ , as  $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\iota} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$  supercargo,  $\dot{\upsilon} \psi \dot{\iota} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega s$  lofty-antiered (163 a),  $\dot{a} \gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega s$  free from old age.
- 889. The last member of a compound is often a verbal element that is not used separately: ἀγαλματ-ο-ποιός statue-maker, sculptor, ὑπ-ήκοος subject (ἀκούω hear, ἀκήκοα), λογο-γράφος speech-writer. -φορος bringing, -δομος building, -δρομος running are used separately in the meanings tribute, building, race.
- **890.** An abstract word can enter into composition only by taking a derivative ending (usually  $-i\bar{a}$ ) formed from a real or assumed compound adjective:  $\nu a\hat{v}$ -s ship,  $\mu \dot{a}\chi \eta$  fight =  $\nu a\dot{v}$ - $\mu \dot{a}\chi \sigma$ s, whence  $\nu a\dot{v}$ - $\mu \dot{a}\chi \dot{a}$  naval battle;  $\epsilon \dot{v}$  well,  $\beta o\dot{v}\dot{\eta}$  counsel =  $\epsilon \dot{v}$ - $\beta o\dot{v}\dot{\sigma}$ s, whence  $\epsilon \dot{v}$ - $\beta o\dot{v}\dot{\sigma}$  good counsel;  $\dot{a}\nu$ -neg.,  $\dot{a}\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$  rule =  $\dot{a}\nu$ - $a\rho\chi \sigma$ s, whence  $\dot{a}\nu$ - $a\rho\chi\dot{\tau}$  anarchy;  $\epsilon \dot{v}$  well,  $\pi \rho a\dot{\tau}$ s doing = \* $\epsilon \dot{v}$ - $\rho a\dot{\tau}$  $\dot{\tau}$ s, whence  $\epsilon \dot{v}$ - $\rho a\dot{\tau}$  $\dot{\tau}$  $\dot{\tau}$
- a. Only after a preposition does an abstract word remain unchanged: προ-βουλή forethought. Exceptions are rare: μισθο-φορά receipt of wages (μισθός, φορά).
- **891.** Compound Verbs. Verbs can be compounded directly only by prefixing a preposition, as  $\sigma \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \sigma \mu a \iota fight along with.$
- a. A preposition  $(\pi \rho b \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s)$  derived its name from this use as a prefix. Originally all prepositions were adverbs modifying the verb, and in Homer are partly so used. See 1638, 1639. Cp. upheave and heave up.
- 892. All compound verbs not compounded with prepositions are denominatives (ending in  $-\epsilon\omega$ ) and formed from real or assumed compound nouns. From raws ship and  $\mu\dot{\alpha}\chi\eta$  fight comes rawaxos fighting in ships, whence rawaxéw fight in ships; so olkodoméw build a house from olko-dómos house-builder (olkos,  $d\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega$ ). Contrast  $d\nu a$ - $\pi \epsilon i\theta\omega$  bring over, convince with d- $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}\omega$  dishelieve (d- $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}\omega$ );  $d\nu \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$  speak against with  $d\nu \omega$ - $\lambda o\gamma \dot{\epsilon}\omega$  agree ( $d\nu \omega$ ).  $-\epsilon d\omega$
- a.  $d\tau \bar{\iota} \mu d\omega$  ( $d\tau l\omega$ ) dishonour, δακρυχέω shed tears are exceptions.  $d\nu$ -ομοιόω make unlike is not from  $d\nu$  and  $\dot{\iota} \mu \omega \omega$  but from  $d\nu$   $d\nu$ -

#### ACCENT OF COMPOUNDS

- 893. Compounds generally have recessive accent, as  $\phi \iota \lambda \acute{o} \tau \bar{\iota} \mu o s$  loving-honour  $(\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \acute{\eta})$ . But there are many exceptions, e.g.—
- a. Primitives in -ά, -ή, -ήs, -εύs, -μόs, and -έοs usually keep their accent when compounded; except dissyllable words in -ά, -ή, -ήs whose first part is not a preposition. Thus, κριτής judge, ὑποκριτής actor, ὀνειροκρίτης interpreter of dreams.
  - b. Compound adjectives in -ηs, -εs are usually oxytone: εὐ-γενής well-born.
- 894. Compounds in -os (not -ros or -kos) formed by the union of a noun or adverb and the stem of a transitive verb are:
- a. oxytone, when they have a long penult and an active meaning: στρατ-ηγός general.
- b. paroxytone, when they have short penult and an active meaning: πατροκτόνοs parricide, λιθο-βόλοs throwing-stones, λαιμο-τόμοs throat-cutting, ὐδροφόροs water-carrier.
- c. proparoxytone, when they have a short penult and passive meaning: πατρόκτονος slain by a father, λιθό-βολος pelted with stones, λαιμό-τομος with throat cut, αὐτό-γραφος written with one's own hand.
- N. Active compounds of  $-\infty$  ( $\xi\chi$ - $\omega$ , 878),  $-\alpha\rho\chi$ os ( $\tilde{\alpha}\rho\chi$ - $\omega$ ),  $-\sigma\bar{\nu}$ hos ( $\sigma\bar{\nu}$ há- $\omega$  rob),  $-\pi \circ \rho\theta$ os ( $\pi\epsilon\rho\theta$ - $\omega$  destroy) are proparoxytone;  $\dot{\eta}\nu i$ - $o\chi$ os (rein-holder) charioteer,  $\ddot{\iota}\pi\pi$ - $a\rho\chi$ os commander of horses,  $i\epsilon\rho b$ - $\sigma\bar{\nu}$ hos temple-robber,  $\pi\tau \circ \lambda i$ - $\pi \circ \rho\theta$ os sacking cities.  $\dot{\rho}a\beta\delta \circ \hat{\nu}\chi$ os staff-bearer ( $\dot{\rho}a\beta\delta \delta$ s) is contracted from  $\dot{\rho}a\beta\delta \delta$ - $o\chi$ os.

# MEANING OF COMPOUNDS

- 895: Compound nouns (substantives and adjectives) are divided, according to their meaning, into three main classes: determinative, possessive, and prepositional-phrase, compounds.
- a. The logical relation of the parts of compounds varies so greatly that boundary-lines between the different classes are difficult to set up, and a complete formal division is impossible. The poets show a much wider range of usage than the prose-writers.
- **896.** Determinative Compounds. In most determinative compounds the first part modifies or *determines* the second part: the modifier stands first, the principal word second.

Thus by hand-work a particular kind of work is meant, as contrasted with machine-work; cp. speech-writer and letter-writer, race-horse and horse-race.

- a. The first part may be an adjective, an adverb, a preposition, an inseparable prefix, or, in a few cases, a substantive.
  - 897. There are two kinds of determinative compounds.
- (1) Descriptive determinative compounds.—The first part defines or explains the second part in the sense of an adjective or adverb. (This class is less numerous than the second class.)

άκρό-πολις upper city, citadel (ἄκρᾶ πόλις), όμό-δουλος fellow-slave (όμοῦ δου-λεύων, cp. 885. 4 a), όψί-γονος late-born (όψὲ γενόμενος), προ-βουλή forethought,

άμφι-θέπτρον amphitheatre (a place-for-seeing round about), ά-γραφος not written

(οὐ γεγραμμένος).

a. Copulative compounds are formed by the coordination of two substantives or adjectives: ἐἄτρό-μαντις physician and seer, γλυκύ-πικρος sweetly-bitter. Similar is deaf-mute. So also in numerals: δώ-δεκα two (and) ten = 12.

b. Comparative compounds (generally poetic) are μελι-ηδής honey-sweet (μέλι, ήδύς), ποδ-ήνεμος <sup>3</sup> Iρις Iris, with feet swift as the wind. Cp. eagle-eyed, goldfish, blockhead. Such compounds are often possessive (898), as ροδο-δάκτυλος rosy-fingered, χρῦσο-κόμης golden-haired.

(2) Dependent determinative compounds. — A substantive forming either the first or the second part stands in the sense of an oblique case (with or with-

out a preposition) to the other part.

Accusative: λογο-γράφος speech-writer (λόγους γράφων), στρατ-ηγός armyleading, general (στρατὸν ἄγων), φιλ-άνθρωπος loving mankind (φιλῶν ἀνθρώπους), δεισι-δαίμων superstitious (δεδιώς τους δαίμονας); cp. pickpocket, sightseer, painstaking, soothsayer, laughter-loving.

Genitive: στρατό-πεδον camp (στρατοῦ πέδον ground on which an army is In αξιό-λογος worthy of mention (αξιος λόγου) the defining part stands second (869 c) and is governed by the adjective part like a preposition

(cp. 899). Cp. ringmaster, law-officer, jest-book.

(Ablative): ἀνεμο-σκεπής sheltering from the wind; cp. land-breeze, sea-breeze.

Dative:  $l\sigma b - \theta \epsilon os$  godlike ( $l\sigma os$   $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ); cp. churchgoer, blood-thirsty.

(Instrumental): χειρ-ο-ποίητος made by hand (χερσὶ ποιητός), χρῦσό-δετος bound with gold (χρῦσῷ δετός); cp. thunder-struck, storm-swept, star-sown.

(Locative): οίκο-γενής born in the house (έν οίκω γενόμενος), όδοι-πόρος wayfarer (879); cp. heart-sick.

N. 1. — The Greeks did not think of any actual case relation as existing in these compounds, and the case relation that exists is purely logical. The same form may be analysed in different ways, as  $\phi i \lambda \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s = \phi i \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o v s$  or  $= \phi i \lambda o s$ ἀνθρώπων.

N. 2. - Such compounds may often be analysed by a preposition and a de-

pendent noun:  $\theta\epsilon\delta$ - $\delta\mu\eta\tau$ os god-built ( $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\delta}$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\delta\mu\eta\tau\dot{\delta}s$ ).

898. Possessive Compounds. — In possessive compounds the first part defines the second as in determinatives; but the whole compound is an adjective expressing a quality, with the idea of possession understood. In most possessive compounds the idea of having  $(\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega\nu)$  is to be supplied.

So, in English, redbreast is a bird having a red breast, the first part being an attribute of the second.

άργυρό-τοξος having a silver how; μακρό-χειρ having long arms, long-armed; θεο-ειδής having the appearance (είδος) of a god, godlike; σώ-φρων having sound mind, temperate; τέθρ-ιππος having four horses; ομό-τροπος of like character (όμο- occurs only in compounds, but note δμοιος like); πολυ-κέφαλος many-headed; ευ-τυχής having good fortune, fortunate; δεκα-ετής lasting ten years (cp. a twoyear-old); ἀμφι-κίων having pillars round about; ἔν-θεος inspired (having a god within: ἐν ἐαυτῷ θεὸν ἔχων).

- a. Adjectives in -ειδής from είδος form (ἀστερ-ο-ειδής star-like, ιχθυ-ο-ειδής fish-like, μην-ο-ειδής crescent, πολυ-ειδής of many kinds, σφαιρ-ο-ειδής spherical) are to be distinguished from those in -ώδης derived from δζω smell (833 a).
- b. English possessive compounds in -ed apply that ending only to the compound as a whole and not to either member. In Milton: deep-throated, whitehanded, open-hearted; in Keats: subtle-cadenced. Besides those in -ed there are others such as Bluebeard.
- c. Many possessive compounds begin with  $d(\nu)$ -negative or  $\delta \nu \sigma$  ill; as  $d-\pi a \nu s$ childless (having no children or not having children, παίδας οὐκ ἔχων), ἄ-τίμος dishonoured (having no honour), δύσ-βουλος ill advised (having evil counsels).
- 899. Prepositional-phrase Compounds. Many phrases made of a preposition and its object unite to form a compound and take on adjectival inflection. Such compounds are equivalent to the phrases in question with the idea of being or the like added.

ἄπ-οικος colonist (away from home: ἀπ' οἴκου); ἐγχειρίδιος in the hand, dagger (ἐν χειρί); ἐγχώριος native (in the country: ἐν χώρα); ἐπιθαλάττιος dwelling on the coast (ἐπὶ θαλάττη); ἐφέστιος on the hearth (ἐφ' ἐστία); κατάγειος underground, cp. subterranean (κατά γης); παρά-δοξος contrary to opinion (παρά δόξαν); παρά-φρων out of one's mind, Lat. de-mens (παρὰ τὴν φρένα); ὑπ-εύθυνος under liability to give account ( $\dot{v}\pi$ )  $\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\sigma}$  иегоз, ср. 124 а).

- a. From such phrases are derived verbs and substantives: ἐγχειρίζω put into one's hands, entrust, διαχειρίζω have in hand, manage (διά χειρών), διαπασών octave-scale (ή διὰ πασῶν χορδῶν συμφωνία the concord through all the notes). By analogy to ἐκποδών out of the way (ἐκ ποδῶν) come ἐμποδών in the way and  $\epsilon\mu\pi\delta\delta$ ios impeding,  $\epsilon\mu\pi$ o $\delta$ l $\zeta\omega$  impede.
- b. The compounds of 899 represent bits of syntax used so frequently together that they have become adherent.

# PART IV

## SYNTAX

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- 900. A sentence expresses a thought. Syntax (σύνταξις arranging together) shows how the different parts of speech and their different inflectional forms are employed to form sentences.
  - 901. Sentences are either complete or incomplete (904).
  - 902. Every complete sentence must contain two members:
  - 1. The Subject: the person or thing about which something is said.
  - 2. The Predicate: what is said about the subject.

Thus,  $\tau \delta$  θέρος (subj.) ἐτελεύτā (pred.) the summer | came to an end T. 3. 102, ħλθε (pred.) κῆρυξ (subj.) a herald | came 3. 113.

- **904.** Incomplete sentences consist of a single member only. Such sentences stand outside the structure of the sentence. The chief classes of incomplete sentences are
  - a. Interjections, such as  $\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$ , alaî, oĭμοι.
- b. Asseverations which serve as a predicate to a sentence spoken by another: val yes, surely, οὕ no, μάλιστα certainly, καλῶς very well!
- c. Headings, titles: Κόρου 'Ανάβασις the Expedition of Cyrus, 'Αντιγόνη the Antigone, συμμαχία 'Αθηναίων καὶ Θετταλών the Alliance of the Athenians and Thessalians C. I. A. 4. 2. 59 b.
  - d. Vocatives (1283), and nominatives used in exclamation (1288).
  - e. Exclamations without a verb : δεῦρο hither !
- N. Examples of such incomplete sentences in English are oh, assuredly, no wonder, right about face, away, fire!

905. True impersonal verbs (932) have a grammatical subject in the personal ending; but the real subject is properly an idea more or less vague that is present to the mind of the speaker. Similar in nature are infinitives used in commands (2013).

#### SYNTAX OF THE SIMPLE SENTENCE

**906.** The most simple form of sentence is the finite verb:  $\epsilon \sigma - \tau \ell$  he-is,  $\lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \sigma} - \mu \epsilon_{\gamma \sigma} = \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \sigma} + \lambda \epsilon_{\gamma \sigma}$ 

Here the subject is in the personal ending, the predicate in the verbal stem. No other single word than a verb can of itself form a complete sentence.

- 907. The subject of a sentence is a substantive or one of its equivalents.
- 908. Equivalents of the Substantive. The function of the substantive may be assumed by a pronoun, adjective (in masculine and feminine more frequently with the article), numeral, participle, relative clause (οἱ ἐλήφθησαν τῶν πολεμίων ταὐτὰ ἤγγελλον those of the enemy who were captured made the same report X. A. 1. 7. 13); by the article with an adverb (οἱ τότε the men of that day), or with the genitive (τὰ τῆς τύχης the incidents of fortune, fortune (1299)); by a prepositional phrase (οἱ ἀμφὶ τὸν Σωκράτη Socrates and his followers; ἐπὶ μέγα a great part), a preposition with a numeral (ἔφυγον περὶ ὀκτακοσίους about eight hundred took to flight X. H. 6. 5. 10); by an infinitive with or without the article (1984, 2025); and by any word or phrase viewed merely as a thing (τὸ ὑμεῖς ὅταν λέγω, τὴν πόλιν λέγω when I say You, I mean the State D. 18. 88). Cp. 1153 g. (Furthermore, by a clause in a complex sentence, 2189. 1.)
- 909. The predicate of a sentence is always a verb. The verb may either stand alone, as in Περικλής ἀπήλθε Pericles departed; or it may have certain modifiers, called complements to the predicate (nouns, participles, adverbs), as Περικλής ἀπήλθε πρῶτος first (ὀργιζόμενος in anger; τότε then). Cp. 924.
- 910. Predicate Nouns. Nouns (substantival or adjectival) are often used as complements to the predicate. Thus,
- a. A predicate substantive is a substantive forming part of the predicate and asserting something of its substantive: Περικλῆς ἡρέθη στρατηγός Pericles was elected general, εἴλεσθε ἐκεῖνον πρεσβευτήν you elected him envoy L. 13. 10.
- b. A predicate adjective is an adjective forming part of the predicate and asserting something of its substantive: δ ἀνὴρ δίκαιός ἐστι the man is just, ἐνόμισαν Περικλέα εὐτυχῆ they thought Pericles fortunate.
- **911.** A predicate substantive or adjective may often be distinguished from an attributive (912) in that the former implies some form of  $\epsilon i \nu a i b e$ . Thus,  $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$  and  $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$  in 910. After verbs signifying to name or call,  $\epsilon i \nu a i$  is sometimes expressed (1615).
- 912. Attributive Adjective. An attributive adjective is an adjective simply added to a noun to describe it, and not forming any part of an assertion made about it: δ δίκαιος ἀνήρ the just-man.

- 913. All adjectives that are not attributive are predicate. So πρῶτοι ἀφτκοντο they were the first to arrive (1042 b), τούτ $\varphi$  φίλ $\varphi$  χρ $\hat{\varphi}$ μαι I treat this man as a friend (= οὖτος,  $\hat{\varphi}$  χρ $\hat{\varphi}$ μαι, φίλος ἐστί).
- **914.** Under adjectives are included participles:  $\delta$   $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$  (attrib.)  $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu os$  the future war,  $\tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha$   $\epsilon (\text{pred.})$   $d\pi \acute{\eta} \epsilon \iota \nu$  saying this he went off,  $\delta \rho \hat{\omega}$   $\sigma \epsilon$   $\kappa \rho \acute{\nu} \pi \tau \sigma \nu \tau \alpha$  (pred.) I see you hiding.
- 915. Predicate substantives, adjectives, and participles, in agreement either with subject or object, are more common in Greek than in English, and often call for special shifts in translation: μετεώρους ἐξεκόμισαν τὰς ἀμάξᾶς they lifted the wagons and carried them out X. A. 1. 5. 8. Cp. 1579.
- 916. Appositive. An appositive is a noun added to another noun or to a pronoun to describe or define it: Μιλτιάδης ὁ στρατηγός Miltiades, the general, ὑμεῖς οἱ ἱερεῖς you, the priests, τοῦτο, ὁ σὺ εἶπες, ἀεὶ πάρεστι, σχολή this, which you mentioned, is always present, (I mean) leisure P. Th. 172 d.
- a. The most common copulative verbs are εἶναι be and γίγνεσθαι become. Many other verbs serve as copulas: καθίστασθαι become, πεφῦκέναι, ὑπάρχειν, πέλειν (poetical) be, δοκεῖν seem, φαίνεσθαι appear, καλεῖσθαι, ὀνομάζεσθαι, ἀκούειν, κλύειν (poetical) be called, τυγχάνειν, κυρεῖν (poet.) happen, turn out, αἰρεῖσθαι be chosen, νομίζεσθαι be regarded, κρίνεσθαι be judged, and the like.
- 918. a. The copula is strictly the predicate or is a part of the predicate with its supplements.
  - b. The above verbs may also be complete predicates: ἔστι θεόs there is a god.
  - c. For the omission of the copula, see 944.
- d. A predicate substantive or adjective stands in the same case as the subject when coupled to it by a copulative verb (939).
  - e. For elvas added to a copulative verb, see 1615.
- 919. Object. A verb may have an object on which its action is exerted. The object is a substantive (or its equivalent, 908) in an oblique case. An object may be direct (in the accusative) or indirect (in the genitive or dative):  $\hat{K}\hat{\nu}\rho\sigma\delta$   $\delta\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $\hat{\epsilon}\xi$   $\mu\nu\hat{a}s$  (direct)  $\tau\hat{\nu}$   $\delta\omega\lambda\hat{\nu}$  (indirect) Cyrus will give six minae to the slave,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\sigma\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\zeta\omega\eta s$  (indirect)  $\tau\hat{\nu}\nu$  'Op $\delta\nu\tau\bar{a}\nu$  (direct) they took hold of Orontas by the girdle X. A. 1. 6. 10.
- 920. Transitive and Intransitive Verbs. Verbs capable of taking a direct object are called *transitive* because their action *passes over* to an object. Other verbs are called *intransitive*.
- a. But many intransitive verbs, as in English, are used transitively (1558, 1559), and verbs usually transitive often take an indirect object (1341 ff., 1460 ff., 1471 ff.).

#### KINDS OF SIMPLE SENTENCES

921. Simple sentences have six forms: Statements; Assumptions, Commands, Wishes; Questions; and Exclamations. Of these, Assumptions, Commands, and Wishes express will. See 2153 ff.

## EXPANSION OF THE SIMPLE SENTENCE

- **922.** The subject and the predicate may be expanded by amplification or qualification:
- 923. Expansion of the Subject. The subject may be expanded: A. By amplification: Ξενίας και Πασίων ἀπέπλευσαν Xenias and Pasion sailed away. B. By qualification: 1. By an attributive adjective, ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἀνήρ the good man, an attributive substantive denoting occupation, condition, or age, ἀνήρ στρατηγός a captain (986), an adjective pronoun or numeral: ἡμέτερος φίλος a friend of ours, δύο παΐδες two children. 2. By the genitive of a noun or substantive pronoun (adnominal or attributive genitive): στέφανος χρῦσοῦ a crown of gold, ὁ πατήρ ἡμῶν our father. 3. By a prepositional phrase: ὁδὸς κατὰ τοῦ γηλόφου a way down the hill. 4. By an adverb: οἱ νῦν ἄνθρωποι the men of the present day. 5. By an appositive (916). A substantive in any case may be qualified like the subject.
- 924. Expansion of the Predicate. The predicate may be expanded: A. By amplification: ol λοχάγοι ἀπῆλθον και ἐποίουν οὕτω the captains departed and did so. B. By qualification: 1. By the oblique case of a noun, a substantive pronoun, or a numeral. This is called the object (919, 920). Thus: ὁρῶ τὸν ἄνδρα I see the man, φωνῆς ἀκούω I hear a voice, εἴπετο τῷ ἡγεμόνι hὰ followed the guide, ἀγαπῷ ἡμᾶς he loves us, ἐνίκησε τὴν μάχην he won the battle (cognate accusative, 1567), ἔδωκα δέκα I gave ten. The oblique case may be followed by an adnominal genitive or a dative: ὁρῶ πολλούς τῶν πολῖτῶν I see many of the citizens. 2. By a preposition with its appropriate case: ἡλθον ἐπὶ τὰς σκηνάς they went to their tents. 3. By an infinitive: ἐθέλει ἀπελθεῖν he wishes to depart. 4. By a participle: ἄρξομαι λέγων I will begin my speech. 5. By an adverb or adverbial expression: εὕ ἴστω let him know weil, τῆς νυκτὸς ἡλθε he came during the night, ἀπῆλθε τριταῖος he departed on the third day (1042). On complements to the predicate, see 909.

#### AGREEMENT: THE CONCORDS

925. There are three concords in simple sentences:

1. A finite verb agrees with its subject in number and person (949).

2. A word in apposition with another word agrees with it in case (976).

3. An adjective agrees with its substantive in gender, number, and case (1020).

(For the concord of relative pronouns, see 2501.)

- 926. Apparent violation of the concords is to be explained either by
- a. Construction according to sense, where the agreement is with the real gender or number (e.g. 949 a, 950-953, 958, 996, 997, 1013, 1044, 1050, 1055 a, 1058 b); or by
- b. Attraction, when a word does not have its natural construction because of the influence of some other word or words in its clause (e.g. 1060 ff., 1239, 1978, 2465, 2502, 2522 ff.). This principle extends to moods and tenses (2183 ff.).

#### THE SUBJECT

- 927. The subject of a finite verb is in the nominative:  $K\hat{v}_{\rho os} \stackrel{\scriptstyle \epsilon}{\epsilon} \beta \acute{o}\bar{a}$  Cyrus called out.
  - 928. The subject nominative may be replaced
- a. By a prepositional phrase in the accusative: ἐνθῦμεῖσθε καθ' ἐκάστους τε καὶ ξύμπαντες consider individually and all together T. 7. 64.
- b. By a genitive of the divided whole (1318): Πελληνεῖς δὲ κατὰ Θεσπιέᾶς γενόμενοι ἐμάχοντό τε καὶ ἐν χώρα ἔπῖπτον ἐκατέρων the Pellenians who were opposed to the Thespians kept up the contest and several on both sides fell on the spot X. H. 4. 2. 20.

## OMISSION OF THE SUBJECT

- **929.** An unemphatic pronoun of the first or second person is generally omitted:  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau \acute{o} \nu \nu \acute{o} \mu o \nu read the law (spoken to the clerk of the court) D. 21. 8.$
- 930. An emphatic pronoun is generally expressed, as in contrasts: σὐ μἐν κεῖνον ἐκδέχου, ἐγὼ δ΄ ἄπειμι do thou wait for him, but I will depart S. Ph. 123. But often in poetry and sometimes in prose the pronoun is expressed when no contrast is intended. The first of two contrasted pronouns is sometimes omitted: ἀλλά, εἰ βούλει, μέν ἐπὶ τῷ στρατεύματι, ἐγὼ δ΄ ἐθέλω πορεύεσθαι but, if you prefer, remain with your division, I am willing to go X. A. 3. 4. 41. Cp. 1190, 1191.
  - 931. The nominative subject of the third person may be omitted
- a. When it is expressed or implied in the context: ὁ σὸς πατηρ φοβεῖται μὴ τὰ ἔσχατα πάθη your father is afraid lest he suffer death X. C. 3. 1. 22.
- b. When the subject is indefinite, especially when it is the same person or thing as the omitted subject of a preceding infinitive (937 a): ἡ τοῦ οἴεσθαι εἰδέναι (ἀμαθίᾶ), ἄ οὐκ οἶδεν the ignorance of thinking one knows what one does not know P. A. 29 b. Often in legal language: ὁ νόμος, ὅς κελεύει τὰ ἐαντοῦ ἐξεῖναι διαθέσθαι ὅπως ὰν ἐθέλη the law, which enjoins that a man has the right to dispose of his property as he wishes Is. 2. 13.
- c. When a particular person is meant, who is easily understood from the situation: τοὺς νόμους ἀναγνώσεται he (the clerk) will read the laws Aes. 3. 15.
- d. When it is a general idea of person, and usually in the third person plural of verbs of saying and thinking:  $\dot{\omega}s$  dégrous as they say D. 5.18. So  $\dot{\phi}$ así they say, ofortal people think; ep. aiunt, ferunt, tradunt.

- e. In descriptions of locality: ην δέ κρημνώδες for it (the place) was steep T. 7. 84.
- f. In impersonal verbs (932, 934).
- 932. Impersonal Verbs (905). The subject of a true impersonal verb is a vague notion that cannot be supplied from the context:  $\partial \psi \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \nu$  it was late,  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}_{S}$  exc it is well,  $\hat{\eta} \delta \eta \hat{\eta} \nu$  amplied from the context it was already about the time when the market-place is full X. A. 1. 8. 1,  $\alpha \hat{\nu} \hat{\tau} \hat{\varphi}$  of  $\pi \rho \rho \nu \chi \hat{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota$  it (the course of events) did not go well with him T.1.109.
- 933. An impersonal verb the subject of which may be derived from the context is called *quasi-impersonal*.
- a. When the indefinite it anticipates an infinitive or subordinate proposition which forms the logical subject (1985). So with δοκεῖ it seems, συμβαίνει it happens, ἔξεστι it is permitted, πρέπει, προσήκει it is fitting, φαίνεται it appears, ἐγένετο it happened, εἰσήει με venit me in mentem, δηλοῖ it is evident, etc. Thus, ὑμᾶς προσήκει προθῦμοτέρους εἶναι it behooves you to be more zealous X. A. 3. 2. 15, εἰσήει αὐτοὺς ὅπως ἀν οἴκαδε ἀφίκωνται it came into their thoughts how they should reach home 6. 1. 17.
- b. So also with χρή, δεῖ it is necessary; as, δεῖ σ' ἐλθεῖν you ought to go (lit. to go binds you). The impersonal construction with -τέον is equivalent to δεῖ (2152 a): βοηθητέον ἐστὶ τοῖς πράγμασιν ὑμῖν you must rescue the interests at stake D. 1. 17.
- 934. In some so-called impersonal verbs the person is left unexpressed because the actor is understood or implied in the action. So
- a. In expressions of natural phenomena originally viewed as produced by a divine agent: βροντῷ tonat, ὕει pluit, νείφει ningit, χειμάζει it is stormy, ἔσεισε it shook, there was an earthquake. The agent (Zεύs, ὁ θεόs) is often (in Hom. always) expressed, as Zeὐs ἀστράπτει Iuppiter fulget.
- b. When the agent is known from the action, which is viewed as alone of importance:  $\sigma a \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota$  the trumpet sounds (i.e.  $\dot{\delta} \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \dot{\eta}_S \sigma a \lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota$  the trumpeter sounds the trumpet),  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \xi \dot{\epsilon}$  proclamation was made (scil.  $\dot{\delta} \kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$ ),  $\sigma \eta \mu a \iota \nu \epsilon \iota$  the signal is given (scil.  $\dot{\delta} \kappa \dot{\eta} \rho \nu \xi$ ) or  $\dot{\delta} \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \kappa \tau \dot{\eta}_S$ ).
- 935. In impersonal passives the subject is merely indicated in the verbal ending:  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau a i \tau \epsilon$  kal  $\gamma \rho \acute{a} \phi \epsilon \tau a$  speeches  $(\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o i)$  and writings  $(\gamma \rho \acute{a} \mu \mu a \tau a)$  are composed P. Phae. 261 b. This construction is relatively rare, but commonest in the perfect and pluperfect:  $o \acute{b} k$   $\acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega s$   $a \acute{v} \tau o \acute{s}$   $\pi \epsilon \pi \acute{o} \nu \eta \tau a$ , their labour has not been lost P. Phae. 232 a,  $\acute{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$   $a \acute{v} \tau o \acute{s}$   $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \acute{v} a \sigma \tau o$  when their preparations were completed X. H. 1. 3. 20.
- 936. Subject of the Infinitive.—The subject of the infinitive is in the accusative: ἐκέλευον αὐτοὺς πορεύεσθαι they ordered that they should proceed X. A. 4. 2. 1.
  - a. See 1975. On the nominative subject of the infinitive, see 1973.
- 937. Omission of the Subject of the Infinitive. The subject of the infinitive is usually not expressed when it is the same as the subject or object (direct or indirect) of the principal verb: ἔφη ἐθέλειν he said he was willing X. A. 4. 1. 27 (contrast dixit se velle), πάντες αlτοῦνται τοὺς θεοὺς τὰ φαῦλα ἀπο-

τρέπειν everybody prays the gods to avert evil X. S. 4.47, δός μοι τρεῖς ἡμέρας ἄρξαι αὐτοῦ grant me the control of him for three days X. C. 1.3, 11. Cp. 1060, 1973.

a. An indefinite subject of the infinitive  $(\tau \iota \nu d, \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \nu s)$  is usually omitted. Cp. 931 b, 1980.

#### CASE OF THE SUBJECT: THE NOMINATIVE

- 938. The nominative is the case of the subject; the oblique cases, with the exception of the adnominal genitive (1290 ff.) and adnominal dative (1502), are complements of the predicate.
- 939. The nominative is the case of the subject of a finite verb and of a predicate noun in agreement with the subject. Πρόξενος παρῆν Proxenus was present X. A. 1. 2. 3, Κλέαρχος φυγὰς ῆν Clearchus was an exile 1. 1. 9.
  - a. On the nominative subject of the infinitive, see 1973; in exclamations, 1288.
- 940. Independent Nominative. The nominative may be used independently in citing the names of persons and things: προσείληφε τὴν τῶν πονηρῶν κοινὴν ἐπωνυμίᾶν σῦκοφάντης he received the common appellation of the vile, i.e. 'informer' Aes. 2. 99, τὸ δ' ὑμεῖς ὅταν λέγω, λέγω τὴν πόλιν when I say You, I mean the State D. 18. 88. Cp. 908. (The accus. is also possible.) So in lists (cp. 904 c): τίθημι δύο ποιητικῆς εἴδη · θείᾶ μὲν καὶ ἀνθρωπίνη I assume two kinds of poetry: the divine and the human P. Soph. 266 d.
- **941.** A sentence may begin with the nominative as the subject of the thought in place of an oblique case: of  $\delta \epsilon$   $\phi l \lambda o i$ ,  $\delta v \tau i s$   $\epsilon \pi l \sigma \tau \eta \tau a a \dot{\sigma} \tau o i s$   $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta a i$ ,  $\tau l$   $\phi \eta \sigma \sigma \rho u e v$  a  $\dot{\sigma} \tau o i s$   $\dot{\tau} l v a s$  for friends, if one knows how to treat them, what shall we call them l X. O. 1. 14 (for  $\tau o \dot{\sigma} s$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi l \lambda o i s$  . . .  $\tau l$   $\phi \dot{\tau} \sigma \sigma \rho u e v$   $\epsilon l v a l$ ).
  - a. On the nominative in suspense see under Anacoluthon (Index).
- a. A speaker referring to himself in the third person usually soon reverts to the first person (D. 18.79).
- 943. When there is no danger of obscurity, the subject may shift without warning: μίαν μὲν ναῦν λαμβάνουσιν, τὰς δ΄ ἄλλᾶς οὐκ ἐδυνήθησαν, ἀλλ΄ ἀποφεύγουσιν they captured one ship; the rest they were unable to capture; but they (the ships) escaped T. 7. 25, τῶν νόμων αὐτῶν ἀκούετε τί κελεύουσι καὶ τί παραβεβήκᾶσιν hear what the laws themselves command and what transgressions they (my opponents) have committed D. 59. 115.

#### THE PREDICATE

# Omission of the Verb

944. Ellipsis of the Copula. — The copulative verb  $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$  is often omitted, especially the forms  $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$  and  $\epsilon i \sigma \iota$ . This occurs chiefly

- 946. In lively discourse the form of a verb signifying to do, speak, come, go, etc., may be omitted for brevity. The ellipsis is often unconscious and it is frequently uncertain what is to be supplied to complete the thought. Thus, τl δλλο (scil. ἐποίησαν) ἢ ἐπεβούλευσαν; what else did they do except plot against us? T. 3. 39, οὐδὲν ὅλλο (scil. ποιῶν) ἢ πόλιν τὴν αὐτοῦ ἀπολείπων doing nothing else except leaving his native city 2. 16, ἴνα τl (scil. γένηται); to what purpose? D. 19. 257, περὶ μὲν τούτου κατὰ σχολήν (scil. λέξω) about this by and by 24. 187, μή μοί γε μόθους (scil. λέξητε) none of your legends for me! Ar. Vesp. 1179, ἀλλ' (σκέψασθε) ἔτερον but consider another point L. 13. 79, ὁ φίλε Φαΐδρε, ποῖ δή (scil. εἰ) και πόθεν (scil. ἤκεις); my dear Phaedrus whither, I beg of you, are you going and whence do you come? P. Phae. 227 a, οὐκ ἐς κόρακας (scil. ἐρρήσεις); will you not be off to the crows? Ar. Nub. 871, πρός σε (scil. ἰκετεύω) γονάτων I entreat thee by thy knees E. Med. 324. Cp. 1599.
- 947. Kal ταῦτα and that too takes up a preceding expression: ἀγριωτέρους αὐτοὺς ἀπέφηνε... καὶ ταῦτ' εἰς αὐτόν he made them more savage and that too towards himself P. G. 516 c; often with concessive participles (2083): Μένωνα δ' οὐκ ἐζήτει, καὶ ταῦτα παρ' 'Αριαίου ὢν τοῦ Μένωνος ξένου he did not ask for Menon and that too although he came from Ariaeus, Menon's grest-friend X. A. 2. 4. 15. Cp. 1246, 2083.
- 948. A verb that may easily be supplied from the context is often omitted. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\theta\omega$ ,  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\alpha}\iota$  (scil.  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\iota\dot{\omega}\nu$ )  $\ddot{\delta}$   $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}$   $\ddot{\delta}\kappa\omega\nu$   $\pi\dot{\alpha}\iota\dot{\omega}$  if I learn better, I shall leave off doing what I do unintentionally P. A. 26 a,  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\bar{\alpha}s$   $\dot{\omega}\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$  ol  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}l$  (scil.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\iota$ ) not caring for what most men care for 36 b,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\delta}\theta\iota$ s  $\dot{\xi}\eta\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau\epsilon$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\alpha}$ , (scil.  $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}$ ) evphátete if you inquire about this later, you will find that it is so 24 b. See under Brachylogy (Index).

#### CONCORD OF SUBJECT AND PREDICATE

949. A finite verb agrees with its subject in number and person.

Thus, τοῦτο τὸ ψήφισμα ἐγένετο this bill was passed L. 13. 56, δ δέδοικ' ἐγὼ μὴ πάθηθ' ὑμεῖs which I fear lest you may suffer D. 9. 65, ἢν δ' ἀποψηφίσωνται οἱ ἄλλοι,

ἄπιμεν ἄπαντες τούμπαλιν but if the rest vote against (following), we shall all return back again X. A. 1. 4. 15, τω ξένω τώδε φίλω έστον έμω these two strangers are friends of mine P. G. 487 a.

a. The verbal predicate, when a copulative verb (917), may be attracted to the number of a predicate noun, which often stands between subject and verb: τὸ χωρίον τοῦτο, ὅπερ πρότερον Ἐννέα ὁδοὶ ἐκαλοῦντο this place which was formerly called Nine Ways T. 4. 102, ἄπᾶν τὸ μέσον τῶν τειχῶν ἢσαν στάδιοι τρεῖs the entire space between the walls was three stades X. A. 1. 4. 4. So with the participles of such copulative verbs: τὴν ἡδονὴν διώκετε ὡς ἀγαθὸν ὄν (for οὖσαν) you chase after pleasure as if it were a good P. Pr. 354 c.

#### WITH ONE SUBJECT

# Subject in the Singular, Verb in the Plural

950. With singular collective substantives (996) denoting persons and with like words implying a plural, the verb may stand in the plural.

Thus,  $\tau \delta$  στρατόπεδον έν αἰτίᾳ ἔχοντες τὸν Ἦχιν ἀνεχώρουν the army returned holding Agis at fault T. 5. 60, τοιαῦτα ἀκούσασα ἡ πόλις Αγησίλαον είλοντο βασιλέα the city, after hearing such arguments, chose Agesilaus king X. H. 3. 3. 4. So with βουλή senate, μέρος part, πλήθος multitude, δήμος people, δχλος throng.

- 951. So with kkastos:  $\tau \omega \nu$  kautoû kkastos kal  $\pi$ aldw $\nu$  kal  $\chi \rho \eta \mu \Delta \tau \omega \nu$  decouple every man is master of his own children and property X. R. L. 6. 1.
- 952. If ἕκαστος, ἐκάτερος, ἄλλος are added in apposition to a plural subject, the verb generally remains plural: ἐγώ τε καὶ σὸ μακρὸν λόγον ἑκάτερος ἀπετείναμεν both you and I have carried on a long controversy P. Pr. 361 a. If the verb follows the apposition, it may be singular: οὖτοι μὲν ἄλλος ἄλλα λέγει these say, some one thing, some another X. A. 2. 1. 15. Cp. 982.
- 953. A subject in the singular, followed by a clause containing the preposition  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  with, rarely takes a plural verb: Αλκιβιάδης  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  Μαντιθέου  $l\pi\pi\omega\nu$  εὐπορήσαντες ἀπέδρασαν Alcibiades and Mantitheus escaped because they were well provided with horses X. H. 1. 1. 10.

# Subject in the Dual, Verb in the Plural

- 954. The first person dual agrees in form with the first person plural (462).
- 955. A dual subject may take a plural verb: Ξενοφῶντι προσέτρεχον δύο νεῶνίσκω two youths ran up to Xenophon X. A. 4. 3. 10. In the orators the dual verb is almost always used.
- 956. The dual and plural verb may alternate: αἴρεσιν εἰλέτην τε καὶ διεπράξαντο the two souls have made their choice and put it into effect P. Phae. 256 c.
- 957. The neuter dual may be followed by the dual, the plural, or the singular verb (A 104, 200, M 466).

# Subject in the Plural, Verb in the Singular

- 958. A neuter plural subject is regarded as a collective (996), and has its verb in the singular:  $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{n} \nu \tau \hat{n} \sigma \phi \hat{n} \omega$  the sacrifices were propitious X. A. 4. 3. 19.
- N.—The neuter plural seems to have been originally in part identical in form with the feminine singular in  $\bar{a}$ , and to have had a collective meaning.
- 959. A plural verb may be used when stress is laid on the fact that the neuter plural subject is composed of persons or of several parts: τà τέλη τ $\hat{ω}ν$  Λακεδαιμονίων α $\hat{υ}$ τον ἐξέπεμψαν the Lacedaemonian magistrates despatched him T. 4.88, φανερὰ ἢσαν καὶ ἴππων καὶ ἀνθρώπων ἴχνη πολλά many traces both of horses and of men were plain X. A.1.7.17.
- a. With the above exception Attic regularly uses the singular verb. Homer uses the singular three times as often as the plural, and the plural less frequently with neuter adjectives and pronouns than with substantives. In some cases (B 135) the metre decides the choice.
- **960.** Following the construction of  $\delta o \kappa \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$ , we find  $\delta b \xi a \nu \tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$  when it had been thus decided X. A. 4. 1. 13, and also  $\delta b \xi a \nu \tau a \tau a \hat{\upsilon} \tau a$  X. H. 3. 2. 19. See 2078 a.
- **961.** Pindaric Construction. A masculine or feminine plural subject occasionally is used with  $\xi \sigma \tau_i$ ,  $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ,  $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a_i$ , as:  $\xi \sigma \tau_i$  kal  $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$   $\tau a i \hat{s}$  alkais  $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma i \nu$  appears there are in the other cities too rulers and populace P. R. 462 e. The verb usually precedes, and the subject is still undetermined; hence the plural is added as an afterthought. (Cp. Shakesp. "far behind his worth | Comes all the praises.") In Greek poetry this construction is rarely used with other verbs. On  $\xi \sigma \tau \nu$  of, see 2513.
  - a.  $\bar{\eta}_{\nu}$  was originally plural (464 e. D), and seems to survive in that use.

# Subject in the Plural, Verb in the Dual

- **962.** A plural subject may take a dual verb when the subject is a pair or two pairs: at  $\tilde{i}\pi\pi\omega$   $\delta\rho\alpha\mu\dot{\epsilon}\eta\nu$  the span of mares ran  $\Psi$  392.
- a. This is common when δύο, ἄμφω, ἀμφότεροι are used with a plural subject: δύο ἄνδρες προσελθόντε <sup>μ</sup>Αγιδι διελεγέσθην μὴ ποιεῖν μάχην two men coming to Agis urged him not to fight T. 5. 59. But even with these words the plural is preferred. The neuter plural with δύο rarely takes the dual verb (P. Tim. 56 e).

## WITH TWO OR MORE SUBJECTS

- 963. (I) When the subjects are different individuals or things and stand in the *third* person
- 964. With two subjects in the singular, the verb may be dual or plural: Κριτίᾶς καὶ 'Αλκιβιάδης ἐδυνάσθην ἐκείνφ χρωμένω συμμάχφ τῶν ἐπιθῦμιῶν κρατεῖν Critias and Alcibiades were able to keep control of their appetites by the help

- of his example X. M. 1.2.24, Εὐρυμέδων καὶ Σοφοκλης άφικόμενοι ès Κέρκυραν èστράτευσαν on their arrival in Corcyra Eurymedon and Sophocles proceeded to make an attack T. 4.46.
- **965.** In Homer the verb may intervene between the subjects (Alcmanic \*Construction): εls 'Αχέροντα Πυριφλεγέθων τε βέουσιν Κώκῦτός τε Pyriphlegethon and Cocytus flow into Acheron κ 513.
- 966. The verb may agree with the nearest or most important of two or more subjects. The verb may be placed
- a. Before both subjects: ἡκε μὲν ὁ Θερσαγόρᾶς καὶ ὁ Ἐξήκεστος εἰς Λέσβον καὶ ῷκουν ἐκεῖ Thersagoras and Execestus came to Lesbos and settled there D. 23. 143.
- b. After the first subject: ὅ τε Πολέμαρχος ἦκε καὶ ᾿Αδείμαντος καὶ Νικήρατος καὶ ἄλλοι τινές Polemarchus came and Adimantus and Niceratus and certain others P. R. 327 b, Φαλῖνος ἄχετο καὶ οἱ σὺν αὐτῷ Phalinus and his companions departed X. A. 2. 2. 1.
- c. After both subjects: τὸ βουλευτήριον καὶ ὁ δῆμος παρορᾶται the senate and the people are disregarded Aes. 3. 250. (Cp. Shakesp. "my mistress and her sister stays.")
- 967. (II) With several subjects referring to different persons the verb is in the plural; in the first person, if one of the subjects is first person; in the second person, if the subjects are second and third person: ὑμεῖς δὲ καὶ ἐγὼ τάδε λέγομεν but you and I say this P. L. 661 b, ἡμεῖς καὶ οἴδε οὐκ ἄλλην ἄν τινα δυναίμεθα ψδὴν ἄδειν we and these men could not sing any other song 666 d, οὐ σὺ μόνος οὐδὲ οἱ σοὶ φίλοι πρῶτοι ταύτην δόξαν ἔσχετε not you alone nor your friends are the first who have held this opinion 888 b.
- **968.** But the verb may be singular if it refers to the nearer or more important or more emphatic subject: πάρειμι καὶ ἐγὼ καὶ οῦτος Φρῦνίσκος καὶ Πολυκράτης I am present and so are Phryniscus here and Polycrates X. A. 7. 2. 29.
- **969.** The verb may agree in person with the nearer or more important subject:  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \rho$  "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{i}$  kal  $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i}$ s for you are a Greek and so are we X. A. 2. 1. 16.
- **970.** With subjects connected by the disjunctives  $\eta$  or,  $\eta \eta$  either or, over over neither nor, the verb agrees in number with the nearer subject when each subject is taken by itself: over  $\delta$  over
- 971. When the subjects are taken together, the plural occurs:  $\mathring{a}$   $\Delta \eta \mu \rho \phi \hat{\omega} v \mathring{\eta}$   $\Theta \eta \rho \iota \pi \pi l \delta \eta s \ \check{\epsilon} \chi o \upsilon \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \upsilon \ \check{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \upsilon \ what Demophon or Therippides have of my property D. 27. 12. This is unusual,$
- **972.** When  $\mathring{\eta}$  than unites two subjects, if the verb follows  $\mathring{\eta}$ , it agrees with the second subject:  $\tau \acute{\nu} \chi \eta$  del  $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \ddot{\iota} o \nu$   $\mathring{\eta}$   $\mathring{\eta} \mu e \r{i} s$   $\mathring{\eta} \mu \mathring{\omega} \nu$   $a \mathring{\nu} \tau \mathring{\omega} \nu$   $e \r{\pi} \iota \mu e \lambda o \acute{\nu} \mu e \theta a$  fortune always takes better care of us than we do of ourselves D. 4. 12.

#### CONCORD OF PREDICATE SUBSTANTIVES

973. A predicate substantive agrees with its subject in case: Μιλτιάδης ην στρατηγός Miltiades was a general.

- 974. A predicate substantive may agree in gender and number with its subject; but this is often impossible: τύχη τὰ θνητῶν πράγματα the affairs of mortals are chance Trag. frag. p. 782, πάντ' ἦν 'Αλέξανδρος Alexander was everything D. 23. 120.
- 975. A predicate substantive or adjective agrees with the subject of the governing verb when the subject of the infinitive is omitted because it is the same as that of the governing verb (937): οὐχ ὁμολογήσω ἄκλητος ἥκειν I shall not admit that I have come uninvited P. S. 174 d, εἴπερ ἀξιοῦμεν ἐλεύθεροι εἶναι if indeed we claim to be free X. C. 8.1.4.

On the agreement of demonstrative and relative pronouns with a predicate substantive, see 1239, 2502 e.

#### APPOSITION

- 976. Concord. An appositive (916) agrees in case with the word it describes: κόλοκι, δεινῷ θηρίφ καὶ μεγίστη βλάβη to a flatterer, a terrible beast and a very great source of injury P. Phae. 240 b. An appositive also agrees in case with the pronoun contained in a verb:  $T a \lambda \theta i \beta \iota s$ ,  $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega$ ,  $\Delta a \nu a \dot{i} \delta \omega \nu$   $\dot{i} \pi \eta \dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta s$  I, Talthybius, have come, the servant of the Danaids E. Hec. 503. Cp. 942.
- **977.** An appositive to a possessive pronoun stands in the genitive, in agreement with the personal pronoun implied in the possessive:  $\tau \delta \nu \epsilon \mu \delta \nu$  ( $= \epsilon \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ )  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau a \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho o \nu \theta \delta \iota \nu$  the life of me, wretched one Ar. Plut. 33,  $\tau a \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho'$  ( $= \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ )  $a \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \epsilon$  you will regain your own D. 4. 7. Cp. 1200. 2. b, 1202. 2. b.
- **978.** An appositive in the genitive may follow an adjective equivalent to a genitive:  $A\theta\eta\nu\hat{a}$ 0s (=  $A\theta\eta\nu\hat{a}$ 0v)  $\delta\nu$ ,  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\omega$ s  $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s  $\mu\epsilon\gamma i\sigma\tau\eta$ s being an Athenian, a citizen of the greatest city P. A. 29 d.
- **979.** Agreement in number between the appositive and its noun is unnecessary and often impossible:  $\theta \hat{\eta} \beta a \iota$ ,  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota$ s  $d\sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \omega v$  Thebes, a neighbouring city Aes. 3. 133. So with  $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$  in poetry:  $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \mu o s$ ,  $\chi \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma \hat{\eta} s$  Appositive  $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ , marriage, gift of golden Aphrodite Theognis 1293.
- **980.** An appositive to two substantives is dual or plural: θάρρος καὶ φόβος, ἄφρονε ξυμβούλω daring and fear, two unintelligent counsellors P. Tim. 69 d, ὕπνος πόνος τε, κύριοι συνωμόται sleep and toil, supreme conspirators A. Eum. 127.
- 981. Partitive Apposition  $(\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \delta')$  δλον καὶ μέρος, construction of the whole and part). The parts are represented by the appositives, which stand in the same case as the whole, which is placed first to show the subject or object of the sentence:  $\tau \dot{\omega}$  δδώ,  $\dot{\eta}$  μὲν εἰς μακάρων νήσους,  $\dot{\eta}$  δ εἰς τάρταρον two roads, the one to the Islands of the Blest, the other to Tartarus P. G. 524 a (distributive apposition). The appositives are generally in the nominative ( $\dot{\delta}$  μέν,  $\dot{\eta}$  δέ; οἱ μέν, οἱ δέ), rarely in the accusative.

- a. The whole may stand in the singular:  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota \psi \bar{\nu} \chi \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \chi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$  dè ävoiav; with regard to the soul, is one said to have intelligence, the other folly? P. Ph. 93 b.
- 982. To the word denoting the whole the appositive may be a collective singular (adjunctive apposition): οὖτοι μὲν ἄλλος ἄλλα λέγιι these say, some one thing, some another X. A. 2. 1. 15 (cp. ἡρώτων δὲ ἄλλος ἄλλο P. Charm. 153 c), οἱ στρατηγοὶ βραχέως ἔκαστος ἀπελογήσατο each of the generuls defended himself briefly X. H. 1. 7. 5. Cp. 952.
- 983. The apposition may be limited to one or more parts: Πελοποννήσιοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι τὰ δύο μέρη two-thirds of the Peloponnesians and the allies T. 2.47. Often with participles: (οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι) ἀνεμνήσθησαν καὶ τοῦδε τοῦ ἔπους, φάσκοντες οἱ πρεσβύτεροι πάλαι ἄδεσθαι the Athenians bethought themselves of this verse too, the old men saying that it had been uttered tong before T. 2.54.
- 984. In partitive apposition emphasis is laid on the whole, which is stated at once as the subject or object of the sentence. In the genitive of the divided whole (1306) emphasis is laid on the parts; thus, τῶν πόλεων αἰ μὲν τυραννοῦνται, αἰ δὲ ἀριστοκρατοῦνται of states some are despotic, others democratic, others aristocratic P. R. 338 d.
- 986. Attributive Apposition. A substantive may be used as an attributive to another substantive. This is common with substantives denoting occupation, condition, or age (usually with ἀνήρ, ἄνθρωπος, γυνή): ἀνὴρ ῥήτωρ a public speaker, ἀνὴρ τύραννος α despot, πρεσβῦται ἄνθρωποι old men, γραῦς γυνή an old woman. So also πελτασταὶ Θρᾶκες Thracian targeteers X. A. 1. 2. 9, ὅλεθρος Μακεδών α scoundrel of a Macedonian D. 9. 31, Ἔλλην (for Ἑλληνικός), as οἱ Ἕλληνες πελτασταί the Greek targeteers X. A. 6. 5. 26.
- a. In standard prose "Ελλην is used as an adjective only of persons (in poetry also of things).
- b. The addition of  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$  often implies respect:  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\delta}\rho es$   $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\iota\dot{\omega}\tau\alpha\iota$  fellow soldiers X. A. 1. 3. 3, &  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\delta}\rho es$   $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha\iota$  jurymen, gentlemen of the jury D. 27. 1. (Cp. foemen.) The addition of  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi$ os often implies contempt:  $\dot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi$ os  $\gamma\dot{\delta}\eta$ s a juggling fellow Aes. 2. 153.
- c. Many of the substantives thus qualified by an attributive substantive were originally participles, as  $\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$  an old man P. Lys. 223 b.

- 987. Descriptive Apposition. Here the appositive describes something definite that has just been mentioned: ἡ ἡμετέρα πόλις, ἡ κοινὴ καταφυγὴ τῶν Ἑλλήνων our city, the common refuge of the Greeks Aes. 3.134.
- 988. Explanatory Apposition. Here the appositive explains a general or vague statement: τούτον τῖμῶμαι, ἐν πρυτανείῳ σῖτήσεως I propose this as the penalty, maintenance in the Prytaneum P. A. 37 a, μεγίστον κοκοῦ ἀπαλλαγή, πονηρίᾶς deliverance from the greatest of evils, vice P. G. 478 d. So in geographical statements: Κύπρον ἴκᾶνε . . . ἐς Πάφον she came to Cyprus, to Paphos θ 362; cp. ἐς Δωριᾶς, Βοιόν to the territory of the Dorians in which Boeum lies T. 1. 107.
- **989.** In Homer the substantival article at the beginning of a sentence may be followed by an appositive noun at or near the end:  $\dot{\eta}$  δ' ἀέκουσ' ἄμα τοῖσι γυνη κίεν but she, the woman, went unwillingly with them A 348.
- **990**. τοῦτο, αὐτὸ τοῦτο, αὐτό, ἐκεῖνο often introduce emphatically a following substantive (or an equivalent, 908): ἐκεῖνο κερδαίνειν ἡγεῖται, τὴν ἡδονήν this (namely) pleasure it regards as gain P.R. 606 b. Cp. 1248.
- 991. Apposition to a Sentence.—A noun in the nominative or accusative may stand in apposition to the action expressed by a whole sentence or by some part of it.
- a. The appositive is nominative when a nominative precedes: ἐμέθυον · ἰκανὴ πρόφασις I was tipsy, a sufficient excuse Philemon (Com. frag. 2. 531).
- b. The appositive is accusative, and states a reason, result, intention, effect, or the like: ρίψει ἀπὸ πύργου, λυγρὸν δλεθρον will hurl thee from the battlement, a grievous death Ω 735, Ἑλένην κτάνωμεν, Μενέλεω λόπην πικράν let us slay Helen and thus cause a sore grief to Menelaus E. Or. 1105, εὐδαιμονοίης, μισθὸν ἡδίστων λόγων blest be thou—a return for thy most welcome tidings E. El. 231.
- N. The appositive accusative is often cognate (1563 f.): ὀρᾶς Εὐρυσθέα, ἄϵλπτον ὄψιν thou beholdest Eurystheus, an unexpected sight E. Herael. 930.
- **992.** An effect or result may be denoted by an appositive in other cases:  $\epsilon\pi_{\psi}\delta\omega\nu$   $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\theta$ at μοι δοκε $\hat{\epsilon}$  μόθων έτι τιν $\hat{\omega}\nu$  we need, it seems, some further words to act as a spell P. L. 903 b.
- **993.** From the construction in 991 b arose many adverbial accusatives (1606 ff.) such as  $\chi \acute{a}\rho \iota \nu$  on account of,  $\pi \rho \acute{o}\phi a \sigma \iota \nu$  in pretence,  $\delta \omega \rho e \acute{a}\nu$  gratis; as is tied  $\delta \acute{e}$  Trácov et report  $\delta \acute{e}$  the region of the Trojans rushed at the ships as a favour to Hector (for Hector's sake) O 744.
- 994. Many neuter words are used in apposition to a sentence or clause, which they usually precede. Such are ἀμφότερον, ἀμφότερο both, τὸ δεινότατον the most dreadful thing, δυοῦν θάτερον οτ θάτερα one or the other, τὸ ἐναντίον the contrary, τὸ κεφάλαιον the chief point, τὸ λεγόμενον as the saying is, οὐδέτερον neither thing, σημεῖον δέ sign, τεκμήριον δέ evidence, τὸ τελευταῖον the last thing, τὸ τῆς παροιμίᾶς as the proverb

runs, αὐτὸ τοῦτο this very thing, ταὐτὸ τοῦτο this same thing. Thus, τοὺς ἀμφότερα ταῦτα, καὶ εὕνους τῷ πόλει καὶ πλουσίους those who are both loyal to the State and rich D. 18.171, εἶπεν ὅτι δεῖ δυοῖν θάτερον, ἣ κείνους ἐν Ολύνθῳ μὴ οἰκεῖν ἢ αὐτὸν ἐν Μακεδονία he said that one of two things was necessary — either that they should not live at Olynthus or he himself in Macedon 9.11, τὸ δὲ μέγιστον, πόλεμον ἀντ' εἰρήνης ἔχοντες and what is worst of all, having war instead of peace T. 2.65, ἀλλ' ἢ, τὸ λεγόμενον, κατόπιν ἑορτῆς ῆκομεν; but have we come 'after a feast' as the saying is ? P. G. 447 a, τοῦτο αὐτὸ τὸ τοῦ 'Ομήρον in these very words of Homer P. A. 34 d.

## PECULIARITIES IN THE USE OF NUMBER

- 996. Collective Singular. A noun in the singular may denote a number of persons or things: δ M $\hat{\eta}$ δος the Medes T. 1. 69, τὸ Ἑλληνικόν the Greeks 1.1, τὸ βαρβαρικόν the barbarians 7. 29,  $\hat{\eta}$  πλίνθος the bricks 3. 20, ἵππον ἔχω εἰς χῖλίαν I have about a thousand horse X. C. 4. 6. 2, μῦρία ἀσπίς ten thousand heavy armed X. A. 1. 7. 10. On the plural verb with collectives, see 950. Cp. 1024, 1044.
- a. So with the neuter participle:  $\tau \delta \mu \alpha \chi \delta \mu \epsilon r \sigma r$  almost = of  $\mu \alpha \chi \delta \mu \epsilon r \sigma r$  the combatants T. 4.96.
- b. The name of a nation with the article may denote one person as the representative (King, etc.) of a class: ὁ Μακεδών the Macedonian (Philip) D. 7.6.
- **997.** The inhabitants of a place may be implied in the name of the place:  $\Lambda \epsilon \sigma \beta \sigma \delta d\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \beta \delta \nu \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa a l \pi \rho \delta \tau c v$   $\sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \omega \nu Lesbos revolted, having wished to do so even before the war T. 3. 2.$
- 998. Distributive Singular. The singular of abstract nouns may be used distributively (rarely with concrete substantives): ὅσοι δίκαιοι ἐγτύρντο ἐν τῷ ἑαντῶν βίφ all who proved themselves just in their lives P. A. 41 a, διάφοροι τὸν τρόπον different in character T. 8.96. The distributive plural (1004) is more common than the distributive singular: cp. νεᾶνίαι τὰς ὄψεις youths in appearance L. 10.29 with ἡδεῖς τὴν ὄψιν pleasing in appearance P. R. 452 b.
- 999. Dual. The dual is chiefly employed of two persons or things which, by nature or association, form a pair:  $\delta\phi\theta\alpha\lambda\mu\dot{\omega}$  the eyes (both eyes),  $\chi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\epsilon$  the hands,  $i\pi\pi\omega$  a span of horses. The addition of  $i\mu\phi\omega$  both indicates that the two things belong together:  $\delta\phi$  emphasizes the number. Both  $i\mu\phi\omega$  and  $\delta\phi$  were early used with the plural. The dual died out in the living speech of Attica by 300 B.C. Aeolic has no dual, and Ionic lost it very early. In Hom. the dual is used freely, and often in conjunction with the plural.

- 1000. Plural. The plural of proper names, of materials, and of abstracts is used to denote a class. (1) of proper names: Θησέες men like Theseus P. Th. 169 b. (2) of materials: here the plural denotes the parts, the different kinds of a thing, a mass, etc.: τόξα bow Hdt. 3.78, πῦροί, κρῦθαί wheat, barley X. A. 4.5.26, οἶνοι wines 4.4.9, κρέα meat Ar. Ran. 553 (κρέας piece of meat), ηλιοι hot days T. 7.87, ξύλα timber T. 7.25. (3) of abstracts: here the plural refers to the single kinds, cases, occasions, manifestations of the idea expressed by the abstract substantive; or is referred to several persons: ἀγνωμοσύναι misunderstandings X. A. 2. 5. 6, θάλπη degrees of heat X. M. 1.4.13. Used in the plural, abstract nouns may become concrete, as ταφαί funeral T. 2.34 (ταφή sepulture), εὐφροσύναι good cheer X. C. 7. 2.28 (εὐφροσύνη mirth), χάριτες proofs of good will, presents D. 8.53, εὔνοιωι cases of benevolence, presents D. 8.25.
- a. Many concrete substantives are commonly used only in the plural: πύλαι gate, θύραι door, τὰ 'Ολύμπια the Olympic festival; and in poetry δώματα house, κλίμακες ladder, λέκτρα bed; cp. 1006.
- b. The plural, especially in poetry, may correspond to the English indefinite singular:  $\epsilon \pi l \ vauvel \ by \ ship$ .
- **1001**. In Homer the plural denotes the various forms in which a quality is manifested:  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma \delta \nu a \iota$  the arts of the carpenter  $\epsilon$  250. In poetry, often of feelings, emotions, etc.:  $\mu a \nu l a \iota$  (attacks of) madness A. Pr. 879.
  - 1002. οὐδένες (μηδένες) denotes classes of men, states, nations (D. 5.15).
- a. Thucydides is fond of the neuter plural of verbal adjectives used impersonally: ἐψηφίσαντο πολεμητέα εἶναι they voted that it was necessary to make war T. 1, 88, ἀδύνατα ἢν it was impossible 4. 1. Cp. 1052.
- 1004. Distributive Plural. Abstract substantives are often used distributively in the plural:  $\sigma \bar{\imath} \gamma a \bar{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau a \rho \bar{\imath} \tau \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \epsilon$  the silence of the younger men in the presence of their elders P. R. 425 a.
- 1005. Names of towns and parts of the body are sometimes plural:  $^{\lambda}$ Αθηναι Athens, Θηβαι Thebes, στήθη and στων breast (chiefly poetic). The name of the inhabitants is often used for the name of a city:  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \ell$  D. 5. 25.
- 1006. Plural of Majesty (poetic). The plural may be used to lend dignity:  $\theta \rho \acute{o} \iota o \iota throne$  S. Ant. 1041,  $\sigma \kappa \mathring{\eta} \pi \tau \rho a$  scepter A. Ag. 1265,  $\delta \acute{o} \mu a \tau a$  dwelling  $\epsilon$  6;  $\pi a \iota \emph{o} \iota \kappa \acute{a}$  favourite in prose (only in the plural form).
- 1007. Here belongs the allusive plural by which one person is alluded to in the plural number: δεσποτῶν θανάτοισι by the death of

our lord A. Ch. 52,  $\pi a\theta o \hat{\sigma} a \pi \rho \hat{\sigma} s$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \iota \lambda \tau \acute{a} \tau \omega \nu I$  (Clytaemnestra) having suffered at the hands of my dearest ones (Orestes) A. Eum. 100.

- 1008. Plural of Modesty. A speaker in referring to himself may use the first person plural as a modest form of statement. In prose, of an author: ἔννοιά ποθ' ἡμῖν ἐγένετο the reflection once occurred to me X. C. 1. 1. In tragedy, often with interchange of plural and singular: εἰ κωλῦόμεσθα μὴ μαθεῖν ἃ βούλομαι if I (Creusa) am prevented from learning what I wish E. Ion 391, ἰκετεύομεν ἀμφὶ σὰν γενειάδα . . . προσπίτνων I entreat thee, as I grasp thy beard E. H. F. 1206. See 1009.
- 1009. In tragedy, if a woman, speaking of herself, uses the plural verb (1008), an adjective or participle, in agreement with the subject, is feminine singular or masculine plural: ἤλιον μαρτῦρόμεσθα, δρῶσ ἃ δρᾶν οὐ βούλομωι I call the sun to witness, that I am acting against my will E. H. F. 858, ἀρκοῦμεν ἡμεῖς οἱ προθνήσκοντες σέθεν it is enough that I (Alcestis) die in thy stead E. Alc. 383.
- 1010.  $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon$ , φέρε, ἄγε may be used as stereotyped formulas, without regard to the number of persons addressed:  $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon$  μοι,  $\hat{\omega}$  Σώκρατές τε και ὑμεῖς οἱ άλλοι tell me, Socrates and the rest of you P. Eu. 283 b.
- 1011. One person may be addressed as the representative of two or more who are present, or of his family: 'Aντίνο', οὕ πως ἔστιν . . . μεθ' ὑμῖν δαίννσθαι Antinous, it is in no wise possible to feast with you β 310, ὧ τέκνον, ἢ πάρεστον; my children, are ye here? S. O. C. 1102. So in dramatic poetry, the coryphaeus may be regarded as the representative of the whole chorus, as ὧ ξένοι, μή μ' ἀνέρη τίς εἰμι strangers (addressed to the whole chorus) do not ask (the singular of the coryphaeus) me who I am S. O. C. 207.
- 1012. Greek writers often shift from a particular to a general statement and vice versa, thus permitting a free transition from singular to plural, and from plural to singular: οὐδὲ τότε συγχαίρει ὁ τύραννος ἐνδεεστέροις γὰρ οὖσι ταπεινοτέροις αὐτοῖς οἴονται χρῆσθαι not even then does the despot rejoice with the rest; for the more they are in want, the more submissive he thinks to find them X. Hi. 5.4.

#### PECULIARITIES IN THE USE OF GENDER

- 1013. Construction according to the Sense (926 a). The real, not the grammatical, gender often determines the agreement:  $\tilde{\omega}$  φίλτατ',  $\tilde{\omega}$  περισσὰ τιμήθεις τέκνον O dearest, O greatly honoured child E. Tro. 735 (this use of the attributive adjective is poetical), τὰ μειράκια πρὸς ἀλλήλους διαλεγόμενοι the youths conversing with one another P. Lach. 180 e, ταῦτ' ἔλεγεν ἡ ἀναιδὴς αὕτη κεφαλή, ἐξεληλυθώς this shameless fellow spoke thus when he came out D. 21. 117.
- **1014.** So in periphrases:  $\hat{i}$ s Τηλεμάχοιο ès πατέρα  $l\delta \omega \nu$  mighty I elemachus, gazing at his father  $\pi$  476, τὸ δὲ τῶν πρεσβυτέρων ἡμῶν . . . χαίροντες τῆ ἐκείνων παιδιᾶ we the elders delighting in their sport P. L. 657 d.
- 1015. The masculine is used for person in general: οὐκ ἀνέξεται τίκτοντας άλλους, οὐκ ἔχουσ' αὐτὴ τέκνα unfruitful herself, she will not endure that others

bear children E. And. 712, ὁπότερος ἃν  $\mathring{\mathring{q}}$  βελτίων, είθ ὁ ἀνήρ εἴθ ἡ γυνή which ever of the two is superior, whether the man or the woman X.O. 7.27. So of γονεῖς parents, of παίδες children. See 1055.

See also 1009, 1050.

## PECULIARITIES IN THE USE OF PERSON

- 1016.  $\tau$ 's or  $\pi$ âs may be used in the drama with the second person of the imperative:  $\tilde{l}\tau\omega$   $\tau\iota$ s,  $\epsilon\tilde{l}\sigma\acute{a}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda\epsilon$  yo, one of you, announce E. Bacch. 173.
- 1017. The second person singular is used to designate an imaginary person, as in proverbs:  $\psi \bar{\nu} \chi \hat{\eta} \hat{s} \hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{o} \hat{\nu} \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$  σεαυτο $\hat{v}$  care for thy own soul Men. Sent. 551, and in such phrases as είδες  $\tilde{a}\nu$  you would have seen (1784 a),  $\tilde{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \iota \hat{o} \tilde{a}\nu$  you might think, as credideris (1824).
  - a. Hdt uses the second person in directions to travellers (2.30). See also 942.

#### ADJECTIVES

- 1018. Adjectives modify substantives (including words used substantively, 908), and substantive pronouns. Adjectives are either attributive (912) or predicate (910).
- 1019. The equivalents of an adjective are: a participle (οἱ παρόντες πολίται the citizens who are present); a noun in apposition (Δημοσθένης ὁ ῥήτωρ Demosthenes the orator, i.e. not Δημοσθένης ὁ στρατηγός, ὑμεῖς οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι you Athenians); an oblique case (στέφανος χρῦσοῦ α crown of gold, τῆς αὐτῆς γνώμης ἐγώ I am likeminded); an oblique case with a preposition (αἱ ἐν τῆ 'λοία πόλεις the cities' in Asia); an adverb (οἱ πάλαι the ancients). (Furthermore, a clause in a complex sentence: τὸ τείχισμα, δ ῆν αὐτόθι, αἰροῦσι they captured the fortress which was there; cp. 2542.)
- 1020. Concord. An adjective agrees with its substantive in gender, number, and case. This holds true also of the article, adjective pronouns, and participles: thus, A. Attributive: δ δίκαιος ἀνήρ the just man, τοῦ δικαίου ἀνδρός, τὰ δικαίω ἄνδρε, οἱ δίκαιοι ἄνδρες, etc., οὖτος ὁ ἀνήρ this man, τούτου τοῦ ἀνδρός, etc., ἡ φιλοῦσα θυγάτηρ the loving daughter. B. Predicate: καλὸς ὁ ἀγών the prize is glorious, ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ἀληθῆ these things are true, αἱ ἄρισται δοκοῦσαι εἶναι φύσεις the natures which seem to be best X. M. 4. 1. 3.

On the agreement of demonstrative pronouns used adjectively with a predicate substantive, see 1239. For relative pronouns, see 2501.

# ATTRIBUTIVE ADJECTIVES ADJECTIVES USED SUBSTANTIVELY

1021. An attributive adjective (or participle) generally with the article, often dispenses with its substantive, and thus itself acquires the value of a substantive.

- a. This occurs when the substantive may be supplied from the context; when it is a general notion; or when it is omitted in common expressions of a definite character, when the ellipsis is conscious.
- 1022. Masculine or feminine, when the substantive is a person:  $\delta$  dikaws the just man, dikaws a just man, of Adyrawa the Athenians, of  $\pi$ oddol the many, the rabble, of differ the oligarchical party, of  $\beta$ ouddherd all who will,  $\dot{\eta}$  kad $\dot{\eta}$  the beautiful woman,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\tau$ ekova the mother (poet., E. Alc. 167),  $\dot{\xi}$ kkd $\eta$ ouds uomen in assembly.
- 1023. Neuter, when the substantive idea is thing in general: τὸ ἀγαθόν the (highest) good P. R. 506 b (but τὰ ἀγαθά good things L. 12. 33), τὸ ἀληθές truth P. G. 473 b, τὸ κοινόν the commonwealth Ant. 3. β. 3, τὸ ἐσόμενον the future Aes. 3. 165, τὸ λεγόμενον as the saying is T. 7. 68, ἀμφὶ μέσον ἡμέρās about mid-day X. A. 4. 4. 1, ἐπὶ πολύ over a wide space T. 1. 18.
- 1024. In words denoting a collection (996) of persons or facts: τδ δπήκοον the subjects T. 6.69, τδ βαρβαρικόν the barbarian force X. A. 1.2.1, τδ ξυμμα-χικόν the allied forces T. 4.77 (and many words in -ικόν), τδ Ἑλληνικά Greek history T. 1.97; and in words denoting festivals (τδ Ολύμπια the Olympian games X. H. 7.4.28).
- 1025. With participles, especially in Thucydides:  $\tau \delta$   $\delta \rho \gamma \iota \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$  their angry feelings T. 2.59,  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\tau \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$   $\tau \delta \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$  the dignity of the State 2.63. The action of the verb is here represented as taking place under particular circumstances or at a particular time. These participles are not dead abstractions, but abstract qualities in action.
- 1026. A substantivized adjective may appear in the neuter plural as well as in the neuter singular: τὰ δεξιὰ τοῦ κέρᾶτος the right of the wing X. A. 1. 8. 4, τῆς Σαλαμῖνος τὰ πολλά the greater part of Salamis T. 2. 94, ἐπὶ πλεῖστον ἀνθρώπων to the greatest part of mankind 1. 1, ἐς τοῦτο δυστυχίᾶς to this degree of misfortune 7. 86 (cp. 1325).
- a. On the construction of  $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$   $\dot{\eta}$  moddh the greater part of the land T. 2.56, see 1313.
- 1027. In common expressions a definite noun is often implied (such as  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\bar{a}$  day,  $\dot{\delta}\delta\dot{\phi}s$  way,  $\chi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\rho$  hand).
- a. Masculine: κόλπος gulf,  $\dot{o}$  'Ibros the Ionian gulf T.6.34, στρατός force,  $\dot{o}$  πεζός the land force 1.47.
- b. Feminine: γη land (χώρα country) ἀπὸ τῆς ἐαντῶν from their own country T. 1.15; οὖθ ἡ Ἑλλὰς οὖθ ἡ βάρβαρος neither Greece nor barbaric land D. 9. 27; γνώμη judgment: κατὰ τὴν ἐμήν according to my opinion Ar. Eccl. 153, ἐκ τῆς νἶκώσης according to the prevailing opinion X. A. 6. 1. 18; δίκη suit: ἐρήμην κατηγοροῦντες bringing an accusation in a case where there is no defence P. A. 18 c; ἡμέρα day: τὴν ὑστεραίαν the next day X. C. 1. 2. 11, τῆ προτεραία the day before L. 19. 22; κέρας wing: τὸ εὐωνμον the left wing T. 4. 96; μερίς part: εἰκοστή a twentieth 6. 54; μοῦρα portion: ἡ πεπρωμένη (Ι. 10. 61) or ἡ εἰμαρμένη (D. 18. 205) the allotted portion, destiny; ναῦς ship: ἡ τριήρης the ship with three banks of oars; όδός way: εὐθεία by the straight road P. L. 716 a, τὴν ταχίστην by the

- shortest way X. A. 1. 3. 14;  $\tau \acute{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$  art:  $\mu o \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \acute{\eta}$  the art of music P. L. 668 a;  $\chi \acute{\epsilon} \iota \dot{\rho}$  hand:  $\acute{\epsilon} \nu$   $\acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \iota \acute{\rho} \acute{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \iota \acute{q}$  on the right hand X. A. 1. 5. 1,  $\acute{\epsilon} \xi$  à  $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \acute{\epsilon} \rho \iota \acute{q}$  on the left 4. 8. 2;  $\psi \mathring{\eta} \dot{\phi} o s$  vote:  $\tau \mathring{\eta} \nu$   $\acute{\epsilon} \nu a \nu \tau \iota \acute{q} \nu$  Nīkla  $\acute{\epsilon} \theta \acute{e} \tau o$  he voted in opposition to Nicias P. Lach. 184 d.
- 1029. From such substantivized adjectives arose many prepositional and adverbial expressions of whose source the Greeks themselves had probably lost sight. Many of these seem to be analogues of phrases once containing  $\delta\delta\delta\varsigma$ :  $\tau\eta\nu$   $d\lambda\lambda\omega$ ,  $\psi\eta\phi i\xi\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$  you vote to no purpose D. 19. 181 (i.e. the way leading elsewhere than the goal),  $d\pi\delta$   $\tau\eta\hat{s}$   $\pi\rho\omega\tau\eta\hat{s}$  at the very beginning T. 7. 43,  $d\pi\delta$   $\tau\eta\hat{s}$  logs on an equality 1. 15,  $\xi\hat{\xi}$  evartas from an opposite direction, facing 7. 44.

### AGREEMENT OF ATTRIBUTIVE ADJECTIVES

- 1030. An attributive adjective belonging to more than one substantive agrees with the nearest: τὸν καλὸν κάγαθὸν ἄνδρα καὶ γυναῖκα εὐδαίμονα εὐναί φημι the perfect man and woman are happy I maintain P. G. 470 e. In some cases it is repeated with each substantive (often for emphasis): εν σῶμ' ἔχων καὶ ψῦχὴν μίαν having one body and one soul D. 19. 227.
- 1032. Of two adjectives with one substantive, one may stand in closer relation to the substantive, while the other qualifies the expression thus formed:  $\pi \delta \lambda is \ \epsilon \rho \eta \mu \eta \ \mu \epsilon \gamma \delta \lambda \eta \ a \ large \ deserted-city \ X. A. 1. 5. 4.$
- 1033. If one substantive has several attributive adjectives, these are sometimes added without a conjunction (by Asyndeton):  $\kappa \rho \epsilon \tilde{a}$ ,  $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \omega$ ,  $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \omega \omega$ , especially when the adjectives are descriptive:  $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \omega \omega \omega$   $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \omega \omega$  as  $\delta \rho \epsilon \omega \omega \omega \omega$ .
- 1034. Two adjectives joined by καί may form one combined notion in English, which omits the conjunction. So often with  $\pi$ ολύς to emphasize the idea of plurality:  $\pi$ ολλὰ κἀγαθά many blessings X. A. 5. 6. 4,  $\pi$ ολλὰ καὶ δεινά many dreadful sufferings D. 37. 57.
- a. καλὸς κάγαθός means an aristocrat (in the political sense), or is used of a perfect quality or action (in the moral sense) as T. 4.40, P. A. 21 d.

- 1035. An attributive adjective is often used in poetry instead of the attributive genitive:  $\beta i\eta' H_{\rho} \bar{a} \kappa \lambda \eta \epsilon i\eta B 658$  the might of Heracles (cp. "a Niobean daughter" Tennyson); rarely in prose:  $\pi \sigma \tau a \mu \delta s$ ,  $\epsilon \delta \rho \sigma s \pi \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \iota a \delta s a river$ , a plethron in width X. A. 4. 6. 4.
- 1036. An attributive adjective belonging logically to a dependent genitive is often used in poetry with a governing substantive:  $\nu\epsilon\hat{k}$  so  $\dot{a}\nu\hat{b}\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\xi}\dot{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota\mu\sigma\nu$  kindred strife of men S. A. 793 (for strife of kindred men). Rarely in prose in the case of the possessive pronoun:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\psi}$   $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\psi$   $\dot{a}\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\eta s$  in the weakness of your purpose T. 2.61.
- 1037. An attributive adjective may dispense with its substantive when that substantive is expressed in the context:  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s  $\kappa\lambda\lambda\iota\delta\tau\eta$ s  $(\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\nu\eta$ s)  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\tau\epsilon\chi\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$  he shares in the fairest of the arts P. G. 448 c.
- 1038. A substantivized participle may take the genitive rather than the case proper to the verb whence it is derived: βασιλέως προσήκοντες relations of the king T. 1. 128; contrast Περικλής ὁ ἐμοὶ προσήκων Pericles my relation X. H. 1. 7. 21.
- 1039. Adjectives used substantively may take an attributive: οἱ ὑμέτεροι δυσμενεῖς your enemies X. H. 5. 2. 33.

#### PREDICATE ADJECTIVES

## 1040. The predicate adjective is employed

- a. With intransitive verbs signifying to be, become, and the like (917): ἡ δὲ χάρις άδηλος γεγένηται the favour has been concealed Aes. 3.233. So with active verbs which take a preposition: νόμους ἔθεσθε ἐπ' ἀδήλοις τοῖς ἀδικήσουσι you have enacted laws with regard to offenders who are unknown D. 21.30.
  - b. With transitive verbs: (1) to qualify the object of the verb directly and immediately: τοὺς κακοὺς χρηστοὺς νομίζειν to judge bad men good S. O. T. 609,
    (2) to express the result of the action (the proleptic use, 1579). So with αὕξειν grow, αἴρειν raise with μέγας great, μετέωρος on high, ὑψηλός high, μακρός large.
  - 1041. With verbs of saying and thinking the predicate adjective is usually connected with its noun by εἶναι, with verbs of perceiving, showing, by ὄν (2106): οὐδένα γὰρ οἶμαι δαιμόνων εἶναι κακόν for I think no one of the gods is base Ε.Ι.Τ. 391, δηλοῖ ψευδῆ τὴν διαθήκην οὖσαν it shows that the will is false D. 45. 34. But εἶναι is sometimes omitted (945), as τὰs γὰρ καλὰς πράξεις ἀπάσᾶς ἀγαθὰς ὡμολογήσαμεν for we have agreed that all honourable actions are good P. Pr. 359 e. On the omission of ὄν, see 2117. For εἶναι with verbs of naming and calling, see 1615.
  - 1042. Several adjectives of time, place, order of succession, etc., are used as predicates where English employs an adverb or a preposition with its case: ἀφικνοῦνται τριταῖοι they arrive on the third day X. A. 5. 3. 2, κατέβαινον σκοταῖοι they descended in the dark 4. 1. 10. In such cases the adjective is regarded as a quality of the subject; whereas an adverb would regard the manner of the action.

- b. Order of succession: πρώτος, πρότερος first, υστερος later, μέσος in the midst, τελευταίος last, υστατος last.
- N.— When one action is opposed to another in order of sequence, the adverbs  $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau o\nu$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu$ ,  $"v\sigma\tau\alpha\tau o\nu$ , etc., not the adjectives  $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau os$ , etc., must be used:  $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau o\nu$   $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$   $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\delta}\alpha\kappa\rho\bar{\nu}\epsilon$   $\pi o\lambda\hat{\delta}\nu$   $\chi\rho\hat{\delta}\nu o\nu$ ...  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{l}\tau\alpha$   $\hat{\delta}\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\epsilon$   $\tau oid\hat{\delta}\epsilon$  first he wept for a long time, then he spoke as follows X. A. 1. 3. 2. Hence distinguish

πρώτος τη πόλει προσέβαλε πρώτη τη πόλει προσέβαλε πρώτον τη πόλει προσέβαλε he was the first to attack the city. the city was the first place he attacked. his first act was to attack the city.

The same rule applies in the case of  $\mu \delta ros$ ,  $\mu \delta rov$ , as  $\mu \delta r \eta v \tau \dot{\eta} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \dot{\eta} v \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \psi a$  this is the only letter I wrote,  $\mu \delta rov \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \psi a \tau \dot{\eta} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \dot{\eta} v \dot{\tau} 1$  only wrote (but did not send) the letter. But this distinction is not always observed (Aes. 3.69).

1043. So also with adjectives of degree, mental attitude, manner, etc.:  $\phi$ έρονται οἱ λίθοι πολλοί the stones are thrown in great numbers X. A. 4. 7. 7, τοὺς νεκροὺς ὑποσπόνδους ἀπέδοσαν they restored the dead under a truce T. I. 63, οἱ θεοὶ εὐμενεῖς πέμπουσί σε the gods send you forth favourably X. C. 1. 6. 2. So with μέγας high, ἄσμενος gladly, ἐκούσιος, ἐκών willingly, ὅρκιος under oath, alφνίδιος suddenly. On ἄλλος, see 1272.

# AGREEMENT OF PREDICATE ADJECTIVES (AND PARTICIPLES) WITH ONE SUBJECT

- 1044. A circumstantial participle (2054) referring to a collective noun (996) may be plural:  $\tau \delta$   $\sigma \tau \rho \Delta \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha$   $\epsilon \pi \sigma \rho \ell \delta \epsilon \tau \sigma$   $\epsilon \sigma \ell \delta \tau \sigma \nu \kappa \delta \pi \tau \sigma \tau \tau \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu \delta \delta \delta \sigma \delta \tau \delta \epsilon$  the army provided itself with provisions by killing the cattle X. A. 2. 1. 6. So after oidels, as oudels  $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \mu \eta \theta \eta$  (=  $\pi \Delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$   $\epsilon \nu$   $\epsilon \nu$
- 1045. A plural participle may be used with a dual verb: ἐγελασάτην ἄμφω βλέψαντες εἰς ἀλλήλους both looked at each other and burst out laughing P. Eu. 273 d. A dual participle may be used with a plural verb: ποῦ ποτ ὄνθ ηὐρήμεθα; where in the world are we? E. I. T. 777.
- **1046.** A dual subject may be followed by a plural predicate adjective or participle:  $\epsilon l \gamma \acute{a}\rho \tau \iota s \phi a \acute{n} \tau \acute{\omega} \pi \acute{o} \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o \acute{v} \tau \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon l \sigma \tau \omega \nu \acute{a} \gamma a \theta \acute{\omega} \nu a l \tau l \ddot{a}s \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \mathring{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota if$  any one should assert that these two cities have been the cause of very many blessings I. 12. 156.
- 1048. A predicate adjective referring to a masculine or feminine singular subject is often neuter singular and equivalent to a substantive. This occurs chiefly in statements of a general truth, where the subject refers to a whole class, not to an individual thing. Thus, καλὸν εἰρήνη peace is a fine thing D. 19. 336, ἄπιστον ταῖς πολῖ-

- τείαις  $\hat{\eta}$  τυραννίς despotism is an object of mistrust to free states 1.5, μείζον πόλις ένὸς ἀνδρός the state is larger than the individual P. R. 368 e. So also in the plural (1056).
- 1049. So with names of places: ἔστι δὲ ἡ Χαιρώνεια ἔσχατον τὴς Βοιωτίας Chaeronea is on the frontier of Boeotia T. 4. 76.
- 1050. A predicate superlative agrees in gender either with the subject or (usually) with a dependent genitive: νόσων χαλεπώτατος φθόνος envy is the most fell of diseases. Men. fr. 535, σύμβουλος ἀγαθὸς χρησιμώτατον ἀπάντων τῶν κτημάτων a good counsellor is the most useful of all possessions I. 2. 53.
  - 1051. For a predicate adjective used where English has an adverb, cp. 1042.
- 1052. A predicate adjective is often used in the neuter plural (especially with verbal adjectives in -τόs and -τόs in Thucydides and the poets): ἐπειδὴ ἐτοῖμα ἡν, ἀνήγετο when (all) was ready, he put out to sea T. 2.56, ἀδύνατα ἡν τοὐς Λοκροὺς ἀμῶνεσθαι it was impossible to resist the Locrians 4.1, ἐδόκει ἐπιχειρητέα εἶναι they decided to make the attempt 2.3. Cp. 1003 a.

#### WITH TWO OR MORE SUBJECTS

- 1053. With two or more substantives a predicate adjective is plural, except when it agrees with the nearer subject: φόβος καὶ νόμος ἱκανὸς ἔρωτα κωλύειν fear and the law are capable of restraining love X.C. 5.1.10, πολλῶν δὲ λόγων καὶ θορύβου γιγνομένου there arising much discussion and confusion D.3.4. See 968.
- 1054. With substantives denoting persons of like gender, a predicate adjective is of the same gender:  $^{\prime}$ A $\gamma \acute{a}\theta \omega \nu$  kal  $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \acute{a}\tau \eta s$   $\lambda o \iota \pi o l$  Agathon and Socrates are left P. S. 193 c.
- a. But persons are sometimes regarded as things:  $\xi \chi \omega$   $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$  καὶ  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \alpha$  καὶ  $\gamma \nu \nu r \alpha \dot{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \dot{\nu}$  φρουρούμενα I have their children and wives under guard X. A. 1. 4. 8.
- 1056. With substantives denoting things of like gender a predicate adjective is of the same gender and plural. A neuter plural with the singular verb is often preferred: εὐγένειαι τε και δυνάμεις και τίμαι δῆλά ἐστιν ἀγαθὰ ὄντα noble birth and power and honour are clearly good things P. Eu. 279 b.
- 1057. When the things are of different gender, a predicate adjective is neuter plural with singular verb: λίθοι τε καὶ πλίνθοι καὶ ξύλα καὶ κέραμος ἀτάκτως ἐρρῖμμένα οὐδὲν χρήσιμά ἐστιν stones and bricks and pieces of wood and tiles thrown together at random are useless X. M. 3. 1. 7.
- 1058. When the substantives denote both persons and things, a predicate adjective is—a. plural, and follows the gender of the person, if the person is more important, or if the thing is treated as a person: γράδια καὶ γερόντια καὶ

πρόβατα όλίγα καὶ βοῦς καταλελειμμένους old women and old men and a few sheep and oxen that had been left behind X. A. 6. 3. 22, ἡ τόχη καὶ Φίλιππος ἦσαν τῶν ἔργων κύριοι Fortune and Philip were masters of the situation Λes. 2. 118,

b. or is neuter plural if the person is treated like a thing :  $\dot{\eta}$  καλλίστη πολίτεία τε καὶ ὁ κάλλιστος ἀνὴρ λοιπὰ ἀν ἡμῶν εἴη διελθεῶν we should still have to treat of

the noblest polity and the noblest man P. R. 562 a.

1059. The verbal and the adjective predicate may agree with the first of two subjects as the more important:  $B\rho\bar{a}\sigma t\delta\bar{a}s$  kal  $\tau\delta$   $\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta\sigma s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{a}$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega\rho a$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\pi\delta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\rho\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\tau\sigma$   $\beta\sigma\nu\dot{\delta}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$ 

For further uses of predicate adjectives, see 1150 ff., 1168 ff., 2647.

## ATTRACTION OF PREDICATE NOUNS WITH THE INFINITIVE TO THE CASE OF THE OBJECT OF THE GOVERNING VERB

- 1060. When the subject of the infinitive is the same as a genitive or dative depending on the governing verb, it is often omitted.
- 1062. A predicate substantive, adjective, or participle referring to a dative stands in the dative or in the accusative in agreement with the unexpressed subject of the infinitive: νῦν σοι ἔξεστιν ἀνδρὶ γενέσθαι now it is in your power to prove yourself a man X. A. 7. 1. 21, Λακεδαιμονίοις ἔξεστιν ὑμῶν φίλους γενέσθαι it is in your power to become friends to the Lacedaemonians T. 4. 29, ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς . . . ἐξοπλισαμένοις προϊέναι they decided to arm themselves fully and to advance X. A. 2. 1. 2, ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς προφυλακᾶς καταστήσαντας συγκαλεῖν τοὺς στρατιώτᾶς they decided to station pickets and to assemble the soldiers 3. 2. 1, συμφέρει αὐτοῖς φίλους εἶναι μᾶλλον ἡ πολεμίους it is for their interest to be friends rather than enemies X. O. 11. 23.

For predicate nouns in the nominative or accusative in agreement with omitted *subject* of the infinitive, see 1973–1975.

#### COMPARISON OF ADJECTIVES (AND ADVERBS)

#### POSITIVE

**1063.** The positive, used to imply that something is not suited or inadequate for the purpose in question, is especially common before an infinitive with or without  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  ( $\omega_s$ ):  $(\tau \delta \ \tilde{v} \delta \omega \rho) \ \psi \bar{v} \chi \rho \delta v$ 

έστιν ὥστε λούσασθαι the water is too cold for bathing X. M. 3. 13. 3,  $v\hat{\eta}$ ες δλίγαι ἀμύνειν ships too few to defend T. 1. 50, μακρὸν αν είη μοι λέγειν it would take too long for me to state And. 2. 15.

1069]

1064. A positive adjective followed by the genitive of the same adjective has, in poetry, the force of a superlative:  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\alpha} \kappa \omega \omega$  woe of woe S. O. C. 1238.

1065. μᾶλλον η rather than, more . . . than may be used after a positive:  $\pi \rho o\theta \dot{\nu} \mu \omega s$  μᾶλλον  $\dot{\eta}$  φίλως more prompt than kindly A. Ag. 1591.

#### COMPARATIVE

1066. The comparative expresses contrast or comparison. Thus, δεξίτερος is right in contrast to its opposite, ἀριστερός left. Cp. 1082 b. Usually comparison is expressed, as εὖ τε καὶ χεῖρον well or ill T. 2. 35.

a. When the positive precedes,  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$  alone may stand for the comparative; as in Exerol te axion kalett  $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$  (i.e. axion for the are worthy of praise and still more worthy are our fathers T. 2. 36.

b. The persons or things with which comparison is made may include all others of the same class:  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\gamma\epsilon\rho\alpha i\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  the elder (= eldest) of us X. C. 5. 1. 6.

1067. The comparative is sometimes used merely as an intensive and does not differ essentially from the positive: τούτων καταδεέστερος at a disadvantage with (inferior to) these men D. 27. 2.

1068. For the use of  $μ \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$  instead of the comparative, and  $μ \hat{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$  instead of the superlative, see 323. When either form can be used, that with  $μ \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$  or  $μ \hat{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$  is more emphatic. Thueydides sometimes uses  $π \lambda \acute{e} o \nu$  ( $\tau \iota$ ),  $\tau \grave{o} π \lambda \acute{e} o \nu$  instead of  $μ \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ .

1069. The comparative degree may be followed by the genitive (1431) or by  $\mathring{\eta}$  than:  $\sigma \circ \phi \acute{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s$   $\acute{\epsilon} \mu \circ \mathring{v}$  or  $\sigma \circ \phi \acute{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s$   $\mathring{\epsilon} \gamma \acute{\omega}$  wiser than I. The genitive may precede or follow the comparative. With  $\mathring{\eta}$ , the persons or things compared usually stand in the same case, and always so when they are connected by the same verb:  $\phi \iota \lambda \mathring{\omega} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \circ \mathring{v}$   $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \mathring{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \mathring{\eta} \delta \acute{\omega} \rho \circ v \mathring{\epsilon} \mu \circ \mathring{\omega} \circ \circ \mathring{\omega$ 

a. The genitive is usual if two subjects would have the same verb in common; as of  $K\rho\eta\tau$ es  $\beta\rho\alpha\chi^{0}\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha$   $\tau^{0}\nu$   $\Pi\epsilon\rho\sigma^{0}\nu$   $\epsilon\tau\delta\xi\epsilon\nu$ or the Cretans shot a shorter distance than the Persians (=  $\eta$  of  $\Pi\epsilon\rho\sigma\alpha$ ) X. A. 3. 3. 7.

b. When two objects have the same verb in common: if the object stands (1) in the accusative, the genitive is preferred, as ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ Κῦρος, οὐστινας ἄν ὁρῷ ἀγαθούς, φιλεῖν οὐδὲν ἢττον ἐαυτοῦ Cyrus seems to me to love all whom he finds excellent quite as much as he loves himself X.C.2.3.12, but the accusative is not uncommon, as E. Med. 327 quoted above; (2) in the dative, the genitive is frequent, as προσήκει μοι μᾶλλον ἐτέρων . . . ἄρχειν it behooves me rather than others to rule T.6.16; (3) in the genitive, the genitive is very rare (X.M.4.3.10). Here ἢ is preferred to the genitive for the sake of euphony: ol γὰρ πονηροί πολλ πλειόνων εὐεργεσιῶν ἢ ol χρηστοί (not τῶν χρηστῶν) δέονται for the wicked need more favours than the good X.M. 2.6.27.

- c. The genitive is often used where  $\mathring{\eta}$  would be followed by some other case than nominative or accusative, or by a preposition:  $\tau a \mathring{v} \tau a \mathring{v} \circ \mathring{v} \circ \mathring{v} \wedge \mathring{v} \circ \mathring{v} \circ \mathring{v} \wedge \mathring{v} \circ \mathring{$
- d. ἐλάττων (χείρων, ἐνδεέστερος, ὕστερος, εtc.) οὐδενός inferior to none, greater than all; here ή is not used). Thus, δουλεύειν δουλείαν οὐδεμιας ήττον αισχράν to endure a most disgraceful slavery X.M.1.5.6.
- 1070. The word following η may be the subject of a new verb (expressed or understood):  $\dot{\eta}$ μεῖε ὑπὸ κρείττονος διδασκάλου πεπαιδεύμεθα η οὖτοι we have been educated by a better teacher than they (have been) X. C. 2. 3. 13; but this word is more often attracted into the case of the preceding word: τινès και ἐκ δεινοτέρων η τοιῶνδε (= η τοιάδε ἐστίν) ἐσώθησαν some have been rescued from dangers even greater than these T. 7. 7. The genitive is also common without η : λέγων δτι οὔπω . . . τούτου ἡδίονι οἴνφ ἐπιτύχοι saying that he had never met with sweeter wine than this X. A. 1. 9. 25.
- 1071.  $\dot{\omega}s$  for  $\ddot{\eta}$  is rare, and suspected by some. But cp. A. Pr. 629, P. A. 30 b, 36 d, R. 526 c.
- **1072.** μᾶλλον ή may be used though a comparative precedes: aiρετώτερον έστι μαχομένους ἀποθνήσκειν μᾶλλον ἡ φεύγοντας σώξεσθαι it is more desirable for men to die fighting (rather) than to save themselves by running away X.C.3.3.51. Here μᾶλλον ἡ is to be taken with the verb.
- 1073. Instead of the genitive or η, the prepositions  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau l$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta$  (w. gen.) or  $\pi\rho\delta s$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$  (w. accus.) are sometimes used with the comparative:  $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho\gamma\delta\alpha\alpha\sigma\theta a\iota$  alpetateror elval τον καλὸν θάνατον ἀντὶ τοῦ αἰσχροῦ βίου to make a noble death more desirable than (instead of) a shameful life X. R. L. 9.1, μη  $\pi\alpha\iota$ δαs  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$   $\pi\lambda\epsilon$ lovos  $\pi\rho\delta$  τοῦ δικαίου do not consider children of more account than (before) justice P. Cr. 54 b, χειμών μείζων  $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$  την καθεστηκυῖαν ώρᾶν α cold too severe for (in comparison with) the actual time of year T. 4. 6.
- 1074. In statements of number and measure  $\mathring{\eta}$  may be omitted after the adverbial comparatives  $\pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon} ον$   $(\pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon} ιν)$  more,  $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \lambda \ddot{a} \tau τ ον$   $(\mu \epsilon \acute{\epsilon} ον)$  less, which do not alter their case and number:  $\pi \acute{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$  οὐκ  $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \lambda \ddot{a} \tau \tau ον$   $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \acute{\epsilon} \alpha$   $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \acute{\epsilon} \rho \iota$   $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \nu \iota$   $\emph{\'{\epsilon}} \iota$
- a. In place of the adverbial  $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}o\nu$ , etc., we find also the adjectival forms with or without  $\mathring{\eta}$  or with the genitive:  $\tau o \xi \acute{\epsilon} \tau \ddot{a}s \pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon}lous \mathring{\eta}$   $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \ddot{\iota} \lambda lous$  more bowmen than 4000 X. C. 2. 1. 5,  $\check{\epsilon} \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \dot{\omega}s \pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon}lou \dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta o \mu \mathring{\eta} \kappa o \nu \tau \alpha$  more than 70 years old P. A. 17 d,  $i\pi \pi \acute{\epsilon} \ddot{a}s \pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon}lous \tau \rho \iota \ddot{a} \kappa o \sigma \iota \omega \nu$  more than 300 horse X. H. 1. 3. 10.
- **1075.** The genitive sometimes occurs together with  $\eta$ , and either when the genitive has a separate construction, or is a pronoun to which the  $\eta$  clause stands as an appositive, or of which it is explanatory. Thus,  $\pi \rho o \eta \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \ldots \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \kappa a \sigma \tau a \delta \iota \omega \nu$  he advanced more than ten stades X. H. 4.6.5 (here  $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu$  is treated as a

substantive),  $\tau$  is  $\gamma$  àp  $\mathring{a}\nu$   $\gamma$  èvoito  $\tau$  av  $\tau$  is  $\tau$  avia  $\tau$   $\tau$  ave  $\mathring{a}\nu$   $\mathring{$ 

- 1076. Compendious Comparison. The possessor, rather than the object possessed, may be put in the genitive after a comparative:  $\vec{\epsilon}$  δ ήμεις  $i\pi\pi\iota\kappa$ ον κτησαίμεθα μη χείρον τούτων (= τοῦ τούτων  $i\pi\pi\iota\kappa$ οῦ) but if we should raise a cavalry-force not inferior to theirs X. C. 4. 3. 7.
- 1078. Reflexive Comparison. The comparative followed by the reflexive pronoun in the genitive is used to denote that an object displays a quality in a higher degree than usual. The degree of increase is measured by comparison with the subject itself. αὐτός is often added to the subject: αὐτοὶ αὐτῶν εἰμαθέστεροι γίγνονται they learn more easily than before I. 15. 267, πλουσιώτεροι ἐαυτῶν γιγνόμενοι becoming richer than they were before T. 1. 8. Cp. 1093.
- 1079. Proportional Comparison. After a comparative,  $\mathring{\eta}$  κατά with the accusative (1690. 2 c), or  $\mathring{\eta}$  δστε,  $\mathring{\eta}$  δε, rarely  $\mathring{\eta}$  alone, with the infinitive (not with the indicative), denote too high or too low a degree: ὅπλα ἔτι πλείω  $\mathring{\eta}$  κατὰ τοὺς νεκροὺς ἐλήφθη more arms were taken than there were men slain T. 7. 45, φοβοῦμαι μή τι μεῖζον  $\mathring{\eta}$  ὅστε φέρειν δύνασθαι κακὸν τ $\mathring{\eta}$  πόλει συμβ $\mathring{\eta}$  I fear lest there should befall the State an evil too great for it to be able to bear X. M. 3. 5. 17 (2264).
- 1080. Double Comparison. Two adjectives (or adverbs) referring to the same subject, when compared with each other, are both put in the comparative; η is always used: η εἰρήνη ἀναγκαιστέρα η καλλίων a peace inevitable rather than honourable Aes. 3. 69, συντομώτερον η σαφέστερον διαλεχθηναι to discourse briefly rather than clearly I. 6. 24.
- a.  $\mu$ aλλον may be used with the first adjective in the positive (cp. 1065), and  $\mathring{\eta}$  before the second:  $\pi \rho b\theta \bar{\nu} \mu$ os  $\mu$ aλλον  $\mathring{\eta}$  σοφωτέρ $\bar{a}$  with more affection than prudence E. Med. 485.
- : **1081**. A comparative may follow a positive to mark the contrast with it: καὶ μῖκρὰ καὶ μείζω both small and great(er) D. 21. 14.
- 1082. The comparative may stand alone, the second part being implied.
- a. That which is exceeded is indicated by the sense only: οι σοφώτεροι the wiser (those wiser than the rest); έν εἰρήνη αὶ πόλεις ἀμείνους τὰς γνώμᾶς ἔχουσιν in

time of peace States are actuated by higher convictions (than in time of war) T. 3.82. So τι νεώτερον something new (more recent than that already known) P. Pr. 310 a (often = a calamity or a revolutionary movement); υστερον ήκον they came too late T. 7. 27; and often where we supply is usual (right, fitting, etc.).

- b. The Hom. θηλύτεραι γυναῖκες implies a comparison with men. In Κῦρος . . . έγεγόνει μητρὸς ἀμείνονος, πατρὸς δὲ ὑποδεεστέρου Cyrus was born of a mother of superior, but of a father of inferior race (Hdt. 1.91) the comparison is between the qualities of mother and father respectively. Cp. 313 b.
- c. The comparative denotes excess:  $\mu\epsilon i \zeta \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \iota s \epsilon \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma \tilde{\nu} \tau \epsilon s \sigma \tilde{\nu} \mu i \kappa \rho \sigma \tilde{\nu} s \kappa \alpha \kappa \sigma \tilde{\nu} s$   $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi i \pi \tau \sigma \nu \sigma \iota b g$  entering upon undertakings too great they encounter no slight troubles X. M. 4.2.35.
- d. The comparative is used to soften an expression (rather, somewhat):  $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho \rho i \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$  somewhat boorishly P.G. 486 c,  $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \tau o$  he proceeded rather carelessly X. H. 4. 8. 36. Here the quality is compared with its absence or with its opposite.
- 1083. The comparative is often used where English requires the positive: où  $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{c} \rho \rho \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \acute{a} \kappa \iota s \acute{a} \kappa o \acute{e} \iota \nu for 'tis not a bad thing to hear often P. Ph. 105 a.$
- 1084. Strengthened forms. The comparative may be strengthened by ἔτι, πολλφ, μακρφ (1513), πολύ (1609), πολύ ἔτι, etc. μαλλον is sometimes used with the comparative: alσχυντηροτέρω μαλλον τοῦ δέοντος more bashful than they ought to be P. G. 487 b. So the correlative δσφ, δσον: δσφ μείζους είσι τὰς δψεις, τοσούτω μαλλον δργῆς ἄξιοί είσι the braver they are to appearances, the more they deserve our anger L. 10. 29.

#### SUPERLATIVE

- 1085. The superlative expresses either the highest degree of a quality (the relative superlative: δ σοφώτατος ἀνήρ the wisest man) or a very high degree of a quality (the absolute superlative, which does not take the article: ἀνὴρ σοφώτατος a very wise man). The relative superlative is followed by the genitive of the person or thing surpassed (1315, 1434). On the agreement, see 1050.
- a. The class to which an individual, marked by the superlative, belongs, may be designated by a genitive of the divided whole (1315):  $\dot{o}$   $\sigma o \phi \dot{\omega} \tau \alpha \tau o s$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  'Elliphi we the wisest of the Greeks. So often by  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ :  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \partial \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \mu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \sigma \tau o the most senseless of all men Lyc. 54. On the superlative with <math>\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ , see 1434.
- b. With two the comparative exhausts all the degrees of comparison: hence  $\pi \rho b \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  and  $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ ,  $\mathring{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  and  $\mathring{v} \sigma \tau a \tau o s$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon} \kappa \acute{a} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  each of two, and  $\mathring{\epsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau o s$  each of several, are carefully to be distinguished.
- 1086. Strengthened Forms. The superlative may be strengthened by prefixing  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$ , rarely  $\mathring{\eta}$  (also  $\delta \sigma \circ \nu$  or  $\delta \pi \omega s$  in poetry):  $\delta \tau \iota$  πλείστοι as many men as possible,  $\delta \tau \iota$  τάχιστα as quickly as possible,  $\mathring{\eta}$  άριστον the very best way X.C. 7.5.82 ( $\delta \pi \omega s$  άριστα A. Ag. 600).  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\omega s$  is always added when a preposition precedes the superlative:  $\dot{\omega} s$  εἰs στενώτατον into as narrow compass as possible X.O.18.8.  $\dot{\omega} s$  and  $\delta \tau \iota$  may be used together:  $\dot{\omega} s$   $\delta \tau \iota$   $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$   $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$   $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$  for me to become as good as may be P. S. 218 d.

- a. With  $\dot{\omega}s$  and  $\hat{\eta}$ , rarely with  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\eta$  (not with  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota$ ), a form of δύναμαι or olds  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$   $\epsilon i\mu\iota$ , etc., may be employed:  $\delta\iota\eta\gamma\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$   $\dot{\upsilon}\mu\dot{\iota}\nu$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$  δύνωμαι  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$   $\beta\rho\alpha\chi\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu$  I will relate to you in the briefest terms I can I.21.2.
- 1087. of smay strengthen the superlative:  $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$  of a  $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$  in the very best state in the city L. 13. 23. If  $\delta \sigma \sigma s$  or  $\delta \tau \delta \sigma \sigma s$  take the place of of s, a form, or a synonym, of δύναμαι is usually added:  $\tilde{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma \sigma \nu \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \sigma \upsilon s \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \upsilon s$  brought the very largest number of allies I could X. C. 4. 5. 29.  $\delta \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma s$  is rare (Thue., Plato).
- 1088. εἶs ἀνήρ in apposition to the person designated may be added to strengthen the superlative: ᾿Αντιφῶν πλεῖστα εἶs ἀνὴρ δυνάμενος ἀφελεῖν Antiphon being able to render (most aid as one man) aid beyond any other man T. 8.68.
- 1089. ἐν τοῖs is used before the superlative in all genders and numbers (esp. in Hdt., Thuc., Plato): ὡμἡ ἡ στάσις . . . ἔδοξε μᾶλλον, διότι ἐν τοῖs πρώτη ἐγένετο the revolution seemed the more cruel since it was the first T. 3. 81, ἐν τοῖs πλεῖσται δἡ νῆες ἄμ² αὐτοῖς ἐγένοντο they had the very largest number of ships 3. 17.
- 1090. μάλιστα, or πλείστον, μέγιστον, occurs with the superlative: οἱ μάλιστα ἀνοητότατοι the very stupidest P. Tim. 92 a. In poetry βαθν- has the effect of a superlative: βαθύπλουτος exceeding rich A. Supp. 555.
- 1091. καί even, πολλ $\hat{\varphi}$ , μακρ $\hat{\varphi}$  (1513), πολύ (1609), παρὰ πολύ, πάντα (τὰ πάντα), the correlative ὅσ $\varphi$  also strengthen the superlative.
- 1092. In poetry (rarely in prose) a superlative may be strengthened by the addition of the genitive of the same adjective in the positive: τ κάκιστε oh, vilest of the vile S. O. T. 334.
- 1093. Reflexive comparison (cp. 1078) occurs with the superlative:  $d\mu\beta\lambda \psi$   $\tau a\tau a a \dot{v}\tau \delta s$   $a\dot{v}\tau \sigma \dot{v}$   $\delta p \dot{q}$  his sight is at its dullest P. L. 715 d.

#### **ADVERBS**

1094. Adverbs are of two kinds

- a. Ordinary adverbs, denoting manner, degree, time, place, etc. Ordinary adverbs qualify verbs, adjectives, other adverbs, and (rarely) substantives: ὅπισθεν γενόμενος getting behind X. A. 1. 8. 24, εὐθὺς ἐβόā straightway he shouted 1. 8. 1, φανερὸν ἤδη already clear L. 4. 6, πολὺ θᾶττον much more quickly X. A. 1. 5. 2, εὖ μάλα very easily 6. 1. 1, εἰκότως τρόπον τινά in a way reasonably D. 8. 41, μάλα συμφορά a great misfortune X. C. 4. 2. 5, μάλα στρατηγός an excellent general X. H. 6. 2. 39.
- b. Sentence adverbs (or particles) are adverbs that affect the sentence as a whole or give emphasis to particular words of any kind. Greek has many sentence adverbs, some of which are treated more fully under Particles.

Such are words of interrogation  $(\hat{\eta}, \tilde{\alpha}\rho\alpha, \mu\hat{\omega}\nu)$ ; of affirmation and confidence  $(\delta \dot{\eta} \ now, indeed, \delta \hat{\eta}\tau\alpha \ surely, \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \ at \ least, even, <math>\dot{\eta} \ really, \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ in \ truth, \nu \dot{\eta} \ surely,$ 

τοί surely); of uncertainty (ἴσως, πού, τάχα perhaps); of negation (οὐ, μή, οὔτοι, μήτοι, etc.); of limitation (ἄν 1761 ff.).

1095. The equivalents of an ordinary adverb are: an oblique case (ἐβασίλευεν εἴκοσιν ἔτη he reigned for twenty years, 1581, 1582; ἀκούειν σπουδη to listen attentively, τῆ ὑστεραία ἐπορεύοντο they proceeded on the next day, and many other datives, 1527 b; ῆκε τὴν ταχίστην he came in the quickest way, and many other accusatives, 1606–1611); an oblique case with a preposition (διὰ τάχους ῆλθε he came quickly = ταχέως, ἀπ' οἴκου δρμῶμαι I start from home = οἴκοθεν, ἐν τῷ ἐμφανεῖ clearly, ἐδίδου πρὸς τὴν ἀξίᾶν he gave according to merit = ἀξίως, πρὸς βίᾶν forcibly = βιαίως); a participle (γελῶν εἶπε he said with a laugh, laughingly). (Furthermore, a clause in a complex sentence, as εἰσπηδήσαντες . . . θὰττον ἢ ιῶς τις ἄν ιρετο leaping in more quickly than one would have thought X. A. 1. 5. 8; cp. 2189. 3.)

1096. In the attributive position an ordinary adverb may serve as an adjective: ἐν τῷ πλησίον παραδείσῳ in the neighbouring park X. A. 2. 4. 16, ὁ ἐκείθεν ἄγγελος the messenger from that quarter P. R. 619 b, ταραχὴ ἡ τότε the confusion of that time L. 6. 35. See 1153 e. N.

1097. a. An ordinary adverb qualifying a verb is often so used that it may be referred to the subject or object of the sentence where an adjective could stand. Thus,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ...  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\delta\lambda\alpha\mu\beta\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha$   $\mu\epsilon\iota\dot{\zeta}\dot{\delta}\nu\omegas$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\xi}(\bar{\alpha}\nu$  so as to be regarded as greater (lit. in a greater way) than (according to) their deserts I.11.24.

b. δίχα and χωρίs apart, ἐκάs far, ἐγγύs near and some other ordinary adverbs supply, with εἶναι οτ γίγνεσθαι, the place of missing adjectives. Thus, χωρὶs σοφίὰ ἐστὶν ἀνδρείὰs wisdom is different from courage P. Lach. 195 a.

**1098.** For adjectives used adverbially, see 1042; for degrees of comparison, 345, 1068; for the genitive or dative after adverbs, 1487 ff., 1499 ff.; for adverbs used as prepositions, 1700 ff.; for a relative adverb used with names of things as an equivalent of a relative pronoun preceded by  $\ell r$ ,  $\ell ls$ ,  $\ell ls$ ,  $\ell ls$ , see 2499.

#### THE ARTICLE-ORIGIN AND DEVELOPMENT

1099. The article  $\delta$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\sigma}$ , was originally a demonstrative pronoun, and as such supplied the place of the personal pronoun of the third person. By gradual weakening it became the definite article. It also served as a relative pronoun (1105). (Cp. Germ. der, demonstrative article and relative; French le from ille.)  $\delta$  as a demonstrative is still retained in part in Attic prose (1106), while the beginnings of its use as the article are seen even in Homer (1102).

## $\dot{o},~\dot{\eta},~\tau \acute{o}$ in homer

1100. In Homer δ, ή, τό is usually a demonstrative pronoun and is used substantively or adjectively; it also serves as the personal pronoun of the third person: ἀλλὰ τὸ θαυμάζω but I marvel at this δ 655, τὸν λωβητῆρα ἐπεσβόλον this prating brawler B 275, τὴν δ' ἐγὼ οὐ λύσω but her I will not release A 29.

- 1101. In its substantival use  $\delta$  either marks a contrast or recalls the subject (the anaphoric use). But with  $\delta\lambda\lambda\delta$ ,  $\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\delta\rho$  the subject is generally changed. It often precedes an explanatory relative clause:  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  of  $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\beta\rho\sigma\tau\delta$   $\epsilon l\sigma\iota$  of those who are now mortal men A 272.
- 1103. In Hom. à contrasts two objects, indicates a change of person, or a change of action on the part of the same person. Attic à defines.
- 1104. The transition from the demonstrative to the article is so gradual that it is often impossible to distinguish between the two. Ordinarily Homer does not use the article where it is required in Attic prose. The Epic use is adopted in general by the lyric poets and in the lyric parts of tragedy. Even in tragic dialogue the article is less common than in prose. Hdt. has ὁ δὲ and he, ὁ γάρ for he.

## ό, ἡ, τό AS A RELATIVE

1105. The demonstrative  $\delta$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \hat{\sigma}$  is used as a relative pronoun in Homer only when the antecedent is definite (cp. that): τεύχεα δ' ἐξενά-ριξε,  $\tau \hat{\sigma}$  οἱ πόρε χάλκεος "Αρης he stripped off the arms that brazen Ares had given him H 146. The tragic poets use only the forms in  $\tau$ -, and chiefly to avoid hiatus or to produce position: κτείνουσα τοὺς οὖ χρὴ κτανεῖν slaying those whom it is not right to slay E. And. 810. ( $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}_S$  E. Hipp. 525.) On the use in Herodotus, see 338 D. 3.

## $\dot{o},~\dot{\eta},~\tau\dot{o}~{\rm AS}~{\rm A}~{\rm DEMONSTRATIVE}~{\rm IN}~{\rm ATTIC}~{\rm PROSE}$

- 1106. The demonstrative force of  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$  survives chiefly in connection with particles ( $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta}$ ; and with  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\epsilon}$  preceding  $\dot{\delta}$ ).
- 1107.  $\dot{o}$  is a demonstrative commonly before  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ , and especially in contrasted expressions:  $\dot{o}$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ...  $\dot{o}$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  the one, this... the other, that, as in oi  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \pi o \rho \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \nu \tau o$ , of  $\delta'$   $\epsilon' \pi \sigma \nu \tau o$  the one party proceeded, the other followed X. A.3. 4.16.
- 1108. The reference may be indefinite; in which case τ is is often added: τους μεν ἀπέκτεινε, τους δ' εξέβαλεν some he put to death, and others he expelled X. A. 1. 1. 7, οι μέν τινες ἀπέθνησκον, οι δ' ἔφευγον some were killed, but others escaped C. 3. 2. 10.

- **1109.** With prepositions the order is usually inverted:  $\epsilon \kappa \ \mu \epsilon \nu \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ,  $\epsilon ls \ \delta \hat{\epsilon} \ \tau \hat{a} \ (1663 \ a)$ .
- 1110. In late writers (but in Demosthenes) the relative is used as in 1107:  $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon_{is}$ ,  $\frac{d}{ds}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha_{i}\rho\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}ls$   $\hat{a}s$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$ s  $\phi\nu\gamma\dot{\alpha}\delta\alpha s$   $\kappa\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\alpha}\gamma\omega\nu$  destroying some cities, into others bringing back their exiles D. 18. 71 (the first instance).
- **1111.** Note the adverbial expressions:  $\tau \delta (\tau \grave{\alpha}) \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \dots \tau \delta (\tau \grave{\alpha}) \delta \acute{\epsilon}$  on the one hand . . . on the other hand, partly . . . partly (so also  $\tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \dots \tau o \hat{\nu} \tau o \delta \acute{\epsilon} 1256)$ ;  $\tau \delta \delta \acute{\epsilon} \tau \iota partly$ ,  $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \dots \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \acute{\epsilon}$  in this way . . . in that way,  $\tau \delta \delta \acute{\epsilon}$  whereas (1112),  $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau o \iota$  therefore.
- 1112. ὁ δέ, ἡ δέ, τὸ δέ (without a preceding μέν clause) often mean but(or and) he, she, this. In the nominative the person referred to is usually different from the subject of the main verb: Κῦρος δίδωσιν αὐτῷ μῦρίους δᾶρεικούς · ὁ δὲ λαβών τὸ χρῦσίον κ.τ.λ. Cyrus gives him (Clearchus) 10,000 darics; and he taking the money, etc. X. A. 1. 1. 9, ταῦτα ἀπαγγέλλουσι τοῖς στρατιώταις · τοῖς δὲ ὑποψία ἢν ὅτι ἄγοι πρὸς βασιλέᾶ they report this to the soldiers; and they had a suspicion that he was leading (them) against the king X. A. 1. 3. 21, τὸ δ' οὐκ ἔστι τοιοῦτον whereas this is not so P. A. 37 a.

#### VARIOUS USES OF $\delta$ ( $\delta$ s), $\dot{\eta}$ ( $\ddot{\eta}$ ), $\tau \dot{o}$ DEMONSTRATIVE

- 1113. As a personal pronoun, chiefly after  $\kappa al$ , and in the nominative:  $\kappa al$  os ( $\dot{\eta}$ ) and he (she):  $\kappa al$  of  $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$  and they said X. A. 7. 6. 4. Also in  $\dot{\eta}$  δ' os and he said P. R. 327 c (792). So  $\kappa al \tau \delta \nu$  ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) used as the accusative of  $\kappa al$  os, as subject of a following infinitive in indirect discourse:  $\kappa al \tau \dot{\delta} \nu$   $\epsilon l \pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu$  and (he said that) he said P. S. 174 a.
- **1114.** In the nominative  $\ddot{o}s$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ , are usually thus written. Some write  $\ddot{o}$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ ,  $o\ddot{i}$ ,  $a\ddot{i}$  when these words are used as demonstratives; but  $\delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$  is rare.
- a. The forms  $\delta_5$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$ , here apparently relatives with an older demonstrative force, may be in reality demonstratives,  $\delta_5$  being the demonstrative (article)  $\delta$  to which the nominative sign -5 has been added. From this  $\delta_5$  may be derived, by analogy, the demonstrative use of  $\delta_5$ , and of  $\delta_5$ ,  $\delta_5$  in fixed expressions (1110).
- 1115. Also in τὸν καὶ τόν this one and that one L. 1.23, τὸ καὶ τό this and that D. 9.68, τὰ καὶ τά D. 21.141, οὕτε τοῖς οὕτε τοῖς neither to these nor to those P. L. 701 e. In the nom. δς καὶ ὅς such and such an one Hdt. 4.68.
- 1116. In an oblique case before the relatives ös, öσos, οδos: τόν τε Εδθύκριτον . . . και τὸν ὅς ἔφη δεσπότης τούτου εἶναι, μάρτυρας παρέξομαι and as witness I will produce both Euthycritus and the man who said he was his master L. 23. 8. δρέγεται τοῦ δ ἔστιν ἴσον he aims at that which is equal P. Ph. 75 b, and often in Plato in defining philosophical terms.
- **1117.** Rarely with prepositions, except in  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\tau o\hat{v}$  (or  $\pi\rho\sigma\tau o\hat{v}$ ) before this time T. 1.118. On  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o\hat{i}s$  with the superlative, see 1089.

# $\dot{\mathbf{h}}$ , $\dot{\mathbf{h}}$ as an article (the) in attic (especially in prose)

1118. The article δ, ή, τό marks objects as definite and known, whether individuals (the particular article) or classes (the generic

article). The context must determine the presence of the generic article.

a. There is no indefinite article in Greek, but a, an is often represented by  $\tau$ ls (1267).

#### THE PARTICULAR ARTICLE

- 1119. The particular article denotes individual persons or things as distinguished from others of the same kind. Thus,  $\mu a \acute{\nu} e \tau a \iota \delta \mu \rho \nu \omega \sigma \sigma s$  the man is mad (a definite person, distinguished from other men) P. Phae. 268 c.
- 1120. Special uses of the particular article. The particular article defines
- a. Objects well known :  $\dot{o}$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a}$   $\sigma o \phi \acute{\omega} \tau a \tau o s$  Solon the wisest of the Seven (Sages) P. Tim. 20 d.
- b. Objects already mentioned or in the mind of the speaker or writer (the anaphoric article):  $\epsilon \tilde{l}\pi \nu \nu \ \tilde{o}\tau i \ \tau \tilde{a}\lambda \alpha \nu \tau \nu \nu \ \tilde{a}\rho \gamma \nu \rho lov \ \tilde{e}\tau \iota \nu los \ \tilde{e}l\eta \nu \ \tilde{o}0 \tilde{v} \alpha i \dots \dot{o} \ \tilde{o} \ \tilde{e} \ \lambda \alpha \beta \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \tilde{o} \ \tau \tilde{a}\lambda \alpha \nu \tau \sigma \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ . I said that I was ready to give him a talent of silver . . . and he taking the talent, etc. L. 12. 9–10.
- c. Objects specially present to the senses or mind (the deictic article):  $\lambda\alpha\beta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}$   $\beta\iota\dot{\beta}\lambda\dot{\delta}o\nu$  take the book P. Th. 143 c,  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\lambda\dot{\delta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\delta}o\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\nu$  Hence the article is regularly used with demonstrative pronouns (1176).
- N.—The foregoing (a-c) uses recall the old demonstrative force of the article. Words that ordinarily have no article may receive the article when this older force is present.
- d. Objects particularized by an attributive or by a following description: δ δημος δ' Αθηναίων the people of the Athenians Aes. 3.116, λέγε την ἐπιστολήν, ην ἔπεμψεν read the letter that he sent D. 18.39. Cp. 1178 d.
- e. Objects marked as usual or proper under the circumstances: τὸ μέρος τῶν ψήφων ὁ διώκων οὐκ ἔλαβεν the prosecutor did not get the (requisite) part of the votes D. 18. 103.
- f. Objects representative of their class (the distributive article, which resembles the generic use; often translated by a, each):  $\dot{\nu}\pi\iota\sigma\chi\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\tau a\iota$   $\delta\dot{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\tau\rho\dot{\iota}a$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\iota\delta\bar{a}\rho\epsilon\iota\kappa\dot{a}$   $\tauo\hat{\nu}$   $\mu\eta\nu\delta s$   $\tau\hat{\nu}$   $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\iota\dot{\omega}\tau\eta$  he promises to give each soldier three half-darics a month X. A. 1.3.21. But the article may be omitted:  $\kappa\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}$  $\lambda \rho\nu\tau o$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\phi}\bar{\nu}\lambda\hat{\eta}s$  and they chose ten, one from (each) tribe X. H. 2.4.23.
- 1121. The article often takes the place of an unemphatic possessive pronoun when there is no doubt as to the possessor: Κῦρος καταπηδήσας ἀπὸ τοῦ ἄρματος τὸν θώρακα ἐνέδῦ Cyrus leaped down from his chariot and put on his breastplate X. A. 1. 8. 3.

#### THE GENERIC ARTICLE

1122. The generic article denotes an entire class as distinguished from other classes. Thus, ὁ ἄνθρωπος man (as distinguished from other beings), οἱ γέροντες the aged; δεῖ τὸν στρατιώτην φοβεῖσθαι μᾶλλον τὸν ἄρχοντα ἢ τοὺς πολεμίους the (a) soldier should fear his commander

rather than the enemy X. A. 2. 6. 10, πονηρον ὁ σῦκοφάντης the informer is a vile thing D. 18. 242.

- 1123. In the singular the generic article makes a single object the representative of the entire class; in the plural it denotes all the objects belonging to a class. The generic article is especially common, in the plural, with adjectives used substantively:  $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \ \ddot{\kappa}\nu \ \tau \iota s \ \epsilon'\ell\pi o\iota \ \dot{\nu}s \ \tau o\dot{\nu}s \ \kappa \alpha\kappa \sigma\dot{\nu}\rho\gamma o\nu s \ \kappa \alpha \iota \ \dot{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa \sigma vs \ \epsilon'\ell\bar{a} \ \kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\gamma\epsilon\lambda\hat{a}\nu \ no$  one could say that he permitted the malefactor and the wrongdoer to deride him X. A. 1.9.13.
- 1124. The Article with Participles. A participle with the article may denote an entire class:  $\delta$   $\beta$ ov $\lambda$  $\delta$  $\mu$  $\epsilon$  $\nu$ os any one who wishes. Cp. 2050, 2052.
- δ τυχών any chance comer, ὁ ἡγησόμενος a guide, οὐκ ἀπορήσετε τῶν ἐθελησόντων ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν κινδῦνεψειν you will not be in want of those who will be willing to encounter danger for you D. 20. 166, οἱ λογοποιοῦντες newsmongers 4.49. The same sense is expressed by πᾶs ὁ with a participle or adjective. On the article with a participle in the predicate, see 1152.
- a. When the reference is to a particular occasion, the article may be particular (2052); as  $\dot{o}$   $\lambda \dot{e} \gamma \omega \nu$  the speaker on a definite occasion.

#### THE ARTICLE WITH NUMERALS

#### 1125. The article may be used with cardinal numerals

- a. When the numeral states the definite part of a whole (expressed or understood):  $d\pi\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\lambda\delta\chi\omega\nu$   $\delta\omega\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha$   $\delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$  of  $\tau\rho\epsilon\hat{s}$  of the companies, numbering twelve (in all), there were absent three X. H. 7.5.10,  $\epsilon\hat{l}s$   $\pi\alpha\rho\hat{\alpha}$   $\tauo\hat{l}s$   $\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha$  one man in (comparison with) ten X. O. 20.16,  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\pi\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\tau\hat{\alpha}s$   $\delta\nu$ 0  $\mu\epsilon\rho\bar{\alpha}s$  two fifths T. 1.10,  $\delta\nu$ 0  $\mu\epsilon\rho\eta$  two thirds 3.15. (The genitive is omitted when the denominator exceeds the numerator by one.)
- b. When the numeral is approximate: ἔμειναν ἡμέρδι ἀμφὶ τὰς τριάκοντα they remained about thirty days X. A. 4.8.22, γεγονότες τὰ πεντήκοντα ἔτη about fifty years of age X. C. 1.2.13.
- c. When the number is used abstractly (without reference to any definite object):  $\delta\pi\omega s \,\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\epsilon\rho\epsilon s \,\delta\tau\iota \,\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota\nu \,\tau\dot{a}$   $\delta\omega\delta\epsilon\kappa a \,\delta ls \,\ddot{\epsilon}\xi$  beware of saying 12 is twice 6 P. R. 337 b.
- N. Ordinals usually omit the article and regularly do so in statements of time in the dative (1540):  $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi \mu \eta v i \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \chi \iota \zeta \sigma \nu in the second month they fortified the city T. 8. 64.$

## FLUCTUATION IN THE USE OF THE ARTICLE: OMISSION OF THE ARTICLE

1126. The article is often omitted (1) in words and phrases which have survived from the period when  $\dot{o}$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \dot{o}$  was a demonstrative pronoun; (2) when a word is sufficiently definite by itself; (3) when a word expresses a general conception without regard to its application to a definite person. The generic article is frequently omitted, especially with abstracts (1132), without appreciable difference in meaning. Its presence or absence is often determined by the need of distinguishing subject from predicate (1150), by the rhythm of the sentence, etc.

1127. The article is omitted in many adverbial designations of time, mostly with prepositions (except ἡμέρᾶς by day, νυκτός by night).

Thus,  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\mu \acute{e}\sigma \ddot{a}s$   $\nu \acute{v}\kappa \tau as$  about midnight,  $\ddot{a}\mu a$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\psi$  just before daylight,  $\ddot{a}\rho a$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau ovs$  at the season of the year. So with  $\delta \rho \theta \rho os$  daybreak,  $\delta \epsilon (\lambda \eta)$  afternoon,  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma \pi \acute{e}\rho \ddot{a}$  evening,  $\ddot{\epsilon}a\rho$  spring; and  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\pi a \acute{t} \delta \omega \nu$  from childhood. Most of the above cases are survivals of the older period when the article had a demonstrative force.

- **1128.** The article is very often omitted in phrases containing a preposition:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{a}\rho\chi\hat{\eta}$  τοῦ λόγου in the beginning of the speech D. 37. 23, ἔξω βελῶν out of reach of the missiles X. A. 3. 4. 15, Ἡιόνα τὴν ἐπὶ Στρῦμόνι Eion on the Strymon T. 1. 98.
- 1129. Words denoting persons, when they are used of a class, may omit the article. So ἄνθρωπος, στρατηγός, θεός divinity, god (ὁ θεός the particular god). Thus, πάντων μέτρον ἄνθρωπός ἐστιν man is the measure of all things P. Th. 178 b.
- 1130. Adjectives and participles used substantively have no article when the reference is general: μέσον ἡμέρās midday X. A. 1. 8. 8, ψῦχρόν cold, θερμόν heat P. S. 186 d, πέμψαι προκαταληψομένους τὰ ἄκρα to send men to preoccupy the heights X. A. 1. 3. 14. Rarely when an adverb is used adjectively: <math>τῶν ἐχθρῶν ἄρδην δλεθρος the utter destruction of the enemy D. 19. 141.

#### THE ARTICLE WITH ABSTRACT SUBSTANTIVES

- 1131. Abstract substantives generally have the article:  $\dot{\eta}$  ἀρετ $\dot{\eta}$  μᾶλλον  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\eta}$  φυγ $\dot{\eta}$  σψέςι τὰς ψῦχάς valour rather than flight saves men's lives X. C. 4. 1. 5.
- 1132. The names of the virtues, vices, arts, sciences, occupations often omit the article:  $\tau i$   $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \psi \eta$ ,  $\tau i$   $\mu \alpha \nu i \bar{a}$ ; what is temperance, what is madness? X. M. 1. 1. 16,  $\dot{a}\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\phi}\iota \lambda l \bar{a}s$   $\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi a \iota \nu o s$ ,  $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi \theta \rho \bar{a}s$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \psi \dot{b}\gamma o s$  praise is the beginning of friendship, blame of enmity I. 1. 33. Similarly  $\mu o \upsilon \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$  music,  $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma l \bar{a}$  agriculture. So also with  $\delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} a$  opinion,  $\nu o \dot{\delta} s$  mind,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$  art,  $\nu \dot{b} \mu o s$  law.
- 1133. The article must be used when reference is made to a definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  'Elliphi  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  'Elliphi  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or to an object well known:  $\dot{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or the definite person of  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person of  $\dot{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or thing or  $\dot{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu$  definite person or  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person or  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person or  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person of  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person of  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person or  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person of  $\dot{\omega} \nu$  definite person of
- 1134. The article may be omitted in designations of space; as  $\beta \acute{a}\theta os$  depth, " $\psi os$  height; also  $\mu \acute{e}\gamma e\theta os$  size,  $\pi \lambda \acute{\eta}\theta os$  size, amount.  $\gamma \acute{e}\nu os$  and  $\delta \nu o\mu a$ , used as accusatives of respect (1600), may omit the article.
- 1135. The article may be omitted with some concrete words conveying a general idea, as  $\psi \bar{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$  soul,  $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$  body (but the parts of the body regularly have the article).

#### THE ARTICLE WITH PROPER NAMES

1136. Names of persons and places are individual and therefore omit the article unless previously mentioned (1120 b) or specially marked as well known: Θουκῦδίδης ᾿Αθηναῖος Thucydides an Athenian T.1.1, τοὺς στρατιώτᾶς αὐτῶν, τοὺς παρὰ Κλέαρχον ἀπελθόντας, εἴα Κῦρος τὸν Κλέαρχον ἔχειν their soldiers who seceded to Clearchus, Cyrus allowed Clearchus to retain X.A.1.4.7, ὁ Σόλων D.20.90, οἱ Ἡρᾶκλέες the Heracleses P. Th. 169 b.

- 1137. Names of deities omit the article, except when emphatic (νὴ τὸν Δία by Zeus) or when definite cults are referred to: τὸ τῆς ᾿Αθηνᾶς ἔδος the sanctuary of Athena (at Λthens) I. 15. 2. Names of festivals vary in prose writers (no article in inscriptions): Παναθήναια the Panathenaea (but Παναθηναίοις τοῖς μῖκροῖς at the Lesser Panathenaea L. 21. 4). Names of shrines have the article.
- 1138. Names of nations may omit the article, but οί Ελληνες is usual when opposed to οἱ βάρβαροι the barbarians. When nations are opposed, the article is usually absent: ὁ πόλεμος ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ Πελοποννησίων Τ. 2. 1 (but ὁ πόλεμος τῶν Πελοποννησίων καὶ ᾿Αθηναίων 1. 1). The name of a nation without the article denotes the entire people. Names of families may omit the article: ᾿Ασκληπιά-δαι Ρ. R. 406 a.
- 1139. Continents:  $\dot{\eta}$  Eòpóm $\eta$  Europe,  $\dot{\eta}$  'Aolā Asia. Other names of countries, except those originally adjectives (as  $\dot{\eta}$  'A $\tau\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\eta}$  Attica), omit the article ( $\Lambda\iota\beta\dot{\eta}$  Libya).  $\gamma\dot{\eta}$  and  $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\bar{\alpha}$  may be added only to such names as are treated as adjectives:  $\dot{\eta}$  Bounta ( $\gamma\dot{\eta}$ ) Boeotia. The names of countries standing in the genitive of the divided whole (1311) usually omit the article only when the genitive precedes the governing noun:  $\Sigma\iota\kappa\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}s$   $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\pi\lambda\epsilon\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\sigma$  the most of Sicily T. 1.12. The article is generally used with names of mountains and rivers; but is often omitted with names of islands, seas (but  $\dot{\delta}$  Horros the Pontus), and winds. Names of cities usually omit the article. Names of cities, rivers, and mountains often add  $\pi\delta\lambda\iota s$ ,  $\pi\sigma\tau a\mu\dot{s}s$ ,  $\delta\rho\sigma s$  (1142 c). The article is omitted with proper names joined with  $\alpha\dot{\sigma}\tau\dot{\delta}s$  used predicatively (1206 b):  $\alpha\dot{\sigma}\tau\dot{\sigma}\dot{s}$  'A $\theta\eta\nu a\iota\sigma s$  the Athenians themselves T. 4. 73.
- 1140. Several appellatives, treated like proper names, may omit the article:  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu} s$  the king of Persia (ὁ  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \acute{\nu} s$  is anaphoric (1120 b) or refers expressly to a definite person). Titles of official persons:  $\pi \rho \iota \tau \acute{\nu} a \iota \epsilon t$  the Prytans,  $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \iota \epsilon t$  the Generals. Names of relationship, etc.:  $\pi a \tau \acute{\eta} \rho$  father,  $\dot{\alpha} \iota \gamma \acute{\eta} \rho$  husband,  $\gamma \iota \nu \acute{\eta}$  wife (but the article is needed when a definite individual is spoken of). Thus:  $\mathring{\eta} \kappa \iota \iota \nu$   $\mathring{\mu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota \iota \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\nu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\nu} \iota \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \iota \iota \gamma \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \iota \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \iota \iota$   $\mathring{\nu} \iota$
- 1141. Similarly in the case of words forming a class by themselves, and some others used definitely:  $\ddot{\eta}\lambda$ ios sun, odpards heaven,  $\ddot{\omega}$ pai seasons, kepauvės thunder,  $\theta \dot{\alpha} ra \tau os$  death;  $\ddot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v$ ,  $\pi \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\dot{$
- 1142. When the name of a person or place is defined by an appositive (916) or attributive, the following distinctions are to be noted:
- a. Persons: Περδίκκας 'Αλεξάνδρου Perdiccas, son of Alexander T. 2.99: the official designation merely stating the parentage. Δημοσθένης ὁ 'Αλκισθένους (the popular designation) distinguishes Demosthenes, the son of Alcisthenes (T. 3.91) from other persons named Demosthenes. (Similarly with names of nations.)
- b. Deities: the article is used with the name and with the epithet or (less often) with neither:  $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Delta \ell \tau \hat{\varphi}$  'O $\lambda \nu \mu \pi \ell \varphi$  to Olympian Zeus T. 5.31,  $\Delta \ell \ell \ell e \nu \ell \varphi$  to Zeus guardian of freedom 2.71.

#### OTHER USES OF THE ARTICLE

- 1143. A single article, used with the first of two or more nouns connected by and, produces the effect of a single notion: of  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma$ ol kal  $\lambda \alpha\chi\bar{\alpha}\gamma$ ol the generals and captains (the commanding officers) X. A. 2. 2. 8,  $\tau\bar{\alpha}s$  meylotās kal έλαχίστās vaûs the largest and the smallest ships (the whole fleet) T. 1. 10,  $\dot{\eta}$  των πολλών διαβολή τε kal φθόνοs the calumniation and envy of the multitude P. A. 28 a. Rarely when the substantives are of different genders:  $\pi\epsilon\rho$ l τᾶs ἐαντῶν ψῦχᾶs καl σώματα concerning their own lives and persons X. A. 3. 2. 20.
- 1144. A repeated article lays stress on each word:  $\dot{o}$  Θρ $\hat{a}$ ξ καὶ  $\dot{o}$  βάρβαρος the Thrucian and the barbarian D. 23. 132 (here the subject remains the same), oi στρατηγοί καὶ οἱ λοχ $\bar{a}$ γοί the generals and the captains X. A. 7. 1. 13.
- 1145. Instead of repeating a noun with the article it may suffice to repeat the article:  $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\beta}$  (os  $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\omega}$  $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{l}$   $\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{l}$   $\dot{\omega}$  $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\omega}$  $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot$
- 1146. A substantive followed by an attributive genitive and forming with it a compound idea, usually omits the article:  $\tau\epsilon \lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\dot{\eta}$   $\tau o\hat{v}$   $\beta lov$  (the) end of his life ('life-end' as life-time) X. A. 1. 1. 1. (Less commonly  $\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\epsilon \lambda\epsilon\nu\tau\dot{\eta}$   $\tau o\hat{v}$   $\beta lov$  X. A. 1. 9. 30.) Cp. 1295 a.
- **1147.** When the genitive dependent on a substantive is a proper name:  $\mu\epsilon\tau$  Εὐβοίας ἄλωσιν after the capture of Euboea T. 2. 2, and  $\mu\epsilon\tau$  α την Λέσβου ἄλωσιν after the capture of Lesbos 3. 51. A preceding genitive thus often takes the place of the article: διὰ χρόνου πλήθος by reason of the extent of time T. 1. 1.
- 1148. Concrete coördinated words forming a copulative expression may omit the article: πρὸς οὖν παίδων καὶ γυναικῶν ἰκετείω ὑμᾶς by your children and wives I beseech you L.4.20, πόλιν καὶ οἰκίᾶς ἡμῖν παράδοτε surrender to us your city and houses T. 2.72, ἰέρειαι καὶ ἰερεῖς priestesses and priests P. R.461 a. Cp. man and wife, horse and rider.
- 1149. An appositive to the personal pronouns of the first and second persons has the article when the appositive would have it (as third person) with the pronoun omitted: ὑμεῖς οἱ ἡγεμόνες πρὸς ἐμὲ πάντες συμβάλλετε do you, captains, all confer with me (οἱ ἡγεμόνες συμβάλλουσι) Χ. С. 6. 2. 41, οὐ σφόδρα χρώμεθα οἱ Κρῆτες τοῖς ξενικοῖς ποιἡμασιν we Cretans do not make very much use of foreign

poems P. L. 680 c, χαίρω ἀκούων ὑμῶν τῶν σοφῶν I delight in listening to you sages P. Ion 532 d.

#### THE ARTICLE AND A PREDICATE NOUN

- 1150. A predicate noun has no article, and is thus distinguished from the subject: καλείται ἡ ἀκρόπολις ἔτι ὑπ' ᾿Αθηναίων πόλις the acropolis is still called 'city' by the Athenians T. 2. 15.
- 1151. Predicate comparatives and superlatives, possessive pronouns, and ordinals have no article:  $\ddot{\phi}\mu\eta\nu \ \tau\dot{\eta}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\upsilon\tau\dot{\omega}\ \gamma\upsilon\nu\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha \ \pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\dot{\omega}\nu \ \sigma\omega\phi\rho\upsilon\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\eta\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\alpha\iota \ I$  thought that my wife was (the) most virtuous of all L. 1.10, Xaireφ $\dot{\omega}$ ν  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\dot{\omega}$ ν  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\omega}$ s  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\dot{\omega}$ ν Chaerephon was a friend of mine P. A. 21 a. Cp. 1125 d.
- 1152. Even in the predicate the article is used with a noun referring to a definite object (an individual or a class) that is well known, previously mentioned or hinted at, or identical with the subject: οἱ δ΄ ἄλλοι ἐπιχειροῦσι βάλλειν τὸν Δέξιππον ἀνακαλοῦντες τὸν προδότην the rest try to strike Dexippus calling him the traitor' X. A. 6. 6. 7, οῦτοι ἦσαν οἱ φείγοντες τὸν ἔλεγχον these men were those who (as I have said) avoided the inquiry Ant. 6. 27. οἱ τιθέμενοι τοὺν νόμους οἱ ἀσθενεῖς ἄνθρωποί εἰσι καὶ οἱ πολλοί the enactors of the laws are the weak men and the multitude P. G. 483 b, ὑπώπτευε δὲ εἶναι τὸν διαβάλλοντα Μένωνα he suspected that it was Menon who traduced him X. A. 2. 5. 28 (here subject and predicate could change places). So also with ὁ αὐτός the same (1209 a), θἄτερον one of two (69), τοὐναντίον the opposite.

#### SUBSTANTIVE-MAKING POWER OF THE ARTICLE

- 1153. The article has the power to make substantival any word or words to which it is prefixed.
  - a. Adjectives: ὁ σοφός the wise man, τὸ δίκαιον justice.
- b. Participles (with indefinite force): ὁ βουλόμενος whoever wills, the first that offers. Cp. 1124.
- N. 1. Such participial nouns appear in active, middle, and passive forms, and admit the distinctions of tense: of  $\epsilon\theta\epsilon\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\epsilon$ s  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\nu\nu$  those who shall be willing to remain X. H. 7. 5. 24.
- c. Preposition and case: of  $\ell\pi l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu d \tau \omega \nu$  those in power, the government D. 18. 247, of  $\ell\nu \tau \hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\eta}$  hinia those in the prime of life T. 6. 24.
- d. With the genitive, forming a noun-phrase (1299):  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \omega \tau \dot{\omega} \nu the condition of the soldiers X. A. 3. 1. 20, <math>\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \dot{s} \dot{c} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \dot{s}$  the outbursts of wrath T. 2. 60.
- e. Adverbs: of  $\tau'$  evdov συνελαμβάνοντο και of έκτος κατεκόπησαν those who were inside were arrested and those outside were cut down X. A. 2. 5. 32. Similarly of  $\tau$  or the men of that time, of èkeî the dead, of πάλαι the ancients.
- N. An adverb preceded by the article may be used like an adjective:  $\dot{o}$  δρθώς κυβερνήτης the good pilot P. R. 341 c. The article is rarely omitted.

- f. Infinitives: καλοθσί γε άκολασίαν τὸ ὑπὸ τῶν ἡδονῶν ἄρχεσθαι they call intemperance being ruled by one's pleasures P. Ph. 68 e.
- g. Any single word or clause:  $\tau \delta$   $\dot{v}\mu \epsilon \hat{i}s$   $\delta \tau a v \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ,  $\tau \dot{\eta} v \pi \delta \lambda \iota v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \omega$  when I say You, I mean the State D. 18. 88,  $\dot{v}\pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{a}s \tau \delta$   $\delta \ell \kappa \dot{a}s \dot{v}\pi \epsilon \chi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \tau o \hat{v}$   $\phi \delta v o v$  omitting (the words) let him submit to judgment for the murder' D. 23. 220.

#### POSITION OF THE ARTICLE

## Attributive Position of the Article

- 1154. A word or group of words standing between the article and its noun, or immediately after the article if the noun, with or without the article, precedes, is an attributive. Thus,  $\delta$   $\sigma \circ \phi \circ \delta$   $\delta v \circ \phi \circ \delta$   $\delta v \circ \phi \circ \delta$  (cp. 1168).
- 1155. This holds true except in the case of such post-positive words as  $\mu \ell \nu$ ,  $\delta \ell$ ,  $\gamma \ell$ ,  $\tau \ell$ ,  $\gamma \delta \rho$ ,  $\delta \eta$ ,  $\delta \tilde{\eta}$ ,  $\delta \tilde{l} \mu \alpha \iota$ ,  $\delta \tilde{v} \nu$ ,  $\tau \epsilon l \nu \nu$ ; and  $\tau l \epsilon l$  in Hdt.:  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \epsilon l \epsilon l \epsilon \nu \nu$  one of the Persians 1.85. In Attic,  $\tau l \epsilon l$  intervenes only when an attributive follows the article:  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \rho \rho \beta \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \epsilon l \pi \tau \ell \omega \nu$  some of the barbarian cavalry X. A. 2.5.32.
- 1156. Adjectives, participles, adverbs, and (generally) prepositions with their cases, if preceded by the article, have attributive position.
- 1158. (2) Less often, the article and the attributive follow the noun preceded by the article: δ ἀνὴρ ὁ σοφός the wise man. Thus, τὸ στράτευμα τὸ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων the army of the Athenians T. 8. 50, ἐν τῷ πορεία τῷ μέχρι ἐπὶ θάλατταν on the journey as far as the sea X. A. 5. 1. 1. In this arrangement the emphasis is on the noun, as something definite or previously mentioned, and the attributive is added by way of explanation. So τοὺς κύνας τοὺς χαλεποὺς διδέᾶσι they tie up the dogs, the savage ones (I mean) X. A. 5. 8. 24.
- 1159. (3) Least often, the noun takes no article before it, when it would have none if the attributive were dropped: ἀνὴρ ὁ σοφός the wise man (lit. a man, I mean the wise one). Thus, μάχαις ταῖς πλείοσι in the greater number of battles T. 7. 11, σύνειμι μὲν θεοῖς, σύνειμι δὲ ἀνθρώποις τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς I associate with gods, I associate with good men X. M. 2. 1. 32. In this arrangement the attributive is added by way of explanation; as in the last example: with men, the good (I mean).
- 1160. A proper name, defining a preceding noun with the article, may itself have the article: ὁ ἀδελφὸς ὁ ᾿Αρεθούσιος (his) brother Arethusius D. 53. 10. Cp. 1142 c. An appositive to a proper name has the article when it designates a characteristic or something well known: ὁ Σόλων ὁ παλαιὸς ἢν φιλόδημος Solon of ancient times was a lover of the people Ar. Nub. 1187, Πασίων ὁ Μεγαρεύς Pasion, the Megarian X. A. 1.4. 7.

- 1161. The genitive of a substantive limiting the meaning of another substantive may take any one of four positions:—
- a. τὸ τοῦ πατρὸς βιβλίον the father's book (very common). Thus,  $\dot{\eta}$  τῶν τεθνεώτων ἀρετή the valour of the dead L. 12. 36.
- b.  $\tau \delta \beta \iota \beta \lambda lor \tau \delta \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \tau \rho b s$  (less common). Thus,  $\dot{\eta}$  olklā  $\dot{\eta}$   $\Sigma l \mu \omega ros$  the house of Simon L. 3. 32.
- c. τοῦ πατρὸς τὸ βιβλίον (to emphasize the genitive or when a genitive has just preceded). Thus, τῆς νίκης τὸ μέγεθος the greatness of the victory X. H. 6. 4. 19.
- d.  $\tau \delta$  βιβλίον τοῦ πατρόs (very common). Thus,  $\dot{\eta}$  τόλμα τῶν λεγόντων the effrontery of the speakers L. 12. 41. The genitive of the divided whole (1306) is so placed or as in c.
- N. 1. A substantive with no article is sometimes followed by the article and the attributive genitive:  $\epsilon \pi l \ \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \eta \nu \ l \delta \nu \tau e s \ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ Z \epsilon \nu o \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s \ going to the tent (namely, that) of Xenophon X. A. 6. 4. 19. Cp. 1159.$
- **1162.** The order bringing together the same forms of the article  $(\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau o \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v} \pi a \tau \rho \delta s \beta \iota \beta \lambda lov)$  is avoided, but two or three articles of different form may stand together:  $\tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau o \hat{v} \xi a \iota \nu \rho \tau \sigma s \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s \epsilon \rho \gamma \rho v$  the work of the art of the wool-carder P. Pol. 281 a.
- 1163. The attributive position is employed with the possessive pronouns and the possessive genitives of the reflexive and demonstrative pronouns (1184),  $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu}$  meaning same (1173), and  $\pi \dot{a}s$  expressing the sum total (1174).
- 1164. Two or more attributives of a substantive are variously placed: (1) els τὰs ἄλλᾶs ᾿Αρκαδικὰs πόλεις to the other Arcadian cities X. H. 7. 4. 38. (2) τὸ ἐν ᾿Αρκαδία τὸ τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ Λυκαίου ἰερόν the sanctuary of Lycean Zeus in Arcadia P. R. 565 d. (3) ἐς τὸν ἐπὶ τῷ στόματι τοῦ λιμένος στενοῦ ὅντος τὸν ἔτερον πύργον to the other tower at the mouth of the harbour which was narrow T. 8. 90. (4) ἐν τῆ οἰκία τῆ Χαρμίδου τῆ παρὰ τὸ ᾿Ολυμπιεῖον in the house of Charmides by the Olympieum And. 1. 16. (5) ἀπὸ τῶν ἐν τῆ ᾿Ασία πόλεων Ἑλληνίδων from the Greek cities in Asia X. H. 4. 3. 15. (6) πρὸς τὴν ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων μεγάλην κακοπρᾶγίᾶν with regard to the great failure of the Athenians in Sicily T. 8. 2. (7) τὸ τεῖχος τὸ μακρὸν τὸ νότιον the long southern wall And. 3. 7.
- **1165**. A relative or temporal clause may be treated as an attributive: Σόλων έμίσει τοὺς οἶος οἶντος ἀνθρώπους Solon detested men like this man here D. 19. 254.
- 1166. Position of an attributive participle with its modifiers (A = article, N = noun, P = participle, D = word or words dependent on P): (1) APND: τὸν ἐφεστηκότα κίνδῦνον τῆ πόλει the danger impending over the State D. 18. 176. (2) APDN: τοὺν περιεστηκότας τῆ πόλει κινδύνους D. 18. 179. (3) ADPN: τὸν τότε τῆ πόλει περιστάντα κίνδῦνον D. 18. 188. (4) NADP: ἔτοιμον ἔχει δύναμιν τὴν . . . καταδουλωσομένην ἄπαντας he has in readiness a force to enslave all D. 8. 46.
- 1167 a. Especially after verbal substantives denoting an action or a state an attributive prepositional phrase is added without the article being repeated:  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon l \bar{a} \nu A \theta \eta \nu a l \omega \nu \kappa a l \tau \omega \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} s$  Alyuntov the great expedition of the Athenians and their allies to Egypt T. 1. 110.
  - b. A word defining a substantivized participle, adjective, or infinitive may

be placed before the article for emphasis:  $\kappa a i \tau a \tilde{v} \tau a \tau o v s \epsilon i \delta \delta \tau a s \kappa a \lambda o \tilde{u} \mu \epsilon \nu$  and we will summon those who have knowledge of this D. 57. 65,  $\tau o v \tau \omega \nu$   $\tau o \tilde{s} \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau los s$  with the opposite of these T. 7. 75.

#### Predicate Position of Adjectives

1168. A predicate adjective either precedes or follows the article and its noun: σοφὸς ὁ ἀνήρ οr ὁ ἀνὴρ σοφός the man is wise.

Thus,  $d\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta}$  viky  $d\nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a\nu$  they retired with their victory incomplete T. 8. 27,  $\psi \bar{\imath} \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu \ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$  kefalfy with his head bare X. A. 1. 8. 6,  $\tau \tilde{a} s \ \tau \rho i \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon s \ d\phi \epsilon i \lambda \kappa \upsilon \sigma a\nu$  kepás they towed off the ships without their crews T. 2. 93.

- a. This is called the predicate position, which often lends emphasis.
- **1170.** A predicate expression may stand inside an attributive phrase: δ δεινδ (pred.) λεγόμενος γεωργός he who is called a skilful agriculturist X. O. 19. 14. This is common with participles of naming with the article.
- 1171. The predicate position is employed with the demonstratives over,  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\nu\sigma$ , and  $\epsilon\mu\phi\omega$ ,  $\epsilon\mu\phi\sigma$ ,  $\epsilon\mu\phi\sigma$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\sigma$ , and  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\sigma$ , with the possessive genitives of personal and relative pronouns (1185, 1196) and of  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma$  (1201); with  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma$  meaning self (1206 b); with the genitive of the divided whole (1306), as  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\sigma$  of the most of these X. A. 1.5. 13, of  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\sigma$  are  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma$  the bravest of his companions 1.8.27; and with  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma$  meaning all (1174 b).
- a. This wise man is obtos  $\dot{o}$  σοφὸς ἀνήρ,  $\dot{o}$  σοφὸς ἀνήρ οδτος (and also  $\dot{o}$  σοφὸς οδτος ἀνήρ).

#### PECULIARITIES OF POSITION WITH THE ARTICLE

1172. Adjectives of Place. — When used in the predicate position (1168) ακρος (high) means the top of, μέσος (middle) means the middle of, ἔσχατος (extreme) means the end of. Cp. summus, medius, extremus.

Thus,  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  åkrais  $\tau a \tilde{s}$   $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma l$   $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \tilde{s} \delta \epsilon s$  gloves on the fingers (points of the hands) X. C. 8. 8. 17, διὰ μέσου τοῦ παραδείσου ρέι flows through the middle of the park X. A. 1. 2. 7. The meaning of the predicate position is also expressed by  $(\tau \delta)$  åkrov τοῦ ὅρους,  $(\tau \delta)$  μέσον τῆς ἀγορᾶς, etc.

- 1173.  $\mu$ óvos,  $\eta$  $\mu$  $\sigma$ vs. (1) Attributive:  $\dot{o}$   $\mu$ óvos  $\pi$ a $\hat{i}$ s the only son, ai  $\dot{\eta}$  $\mu$ loreiai  $\chi$ d $\rho$ i $\tau$ es half-favours. (2) Predicate:  $\mu$ óvos  $\dot{o}$   $\pi$ a $\hat{i}$ s (or  $\dot{o}$   $\pi$ a $\hat{i}$ s  $\mu$ óvos)  $\pi$ al $\xi$ ei the boy plays alone,  $\eta$  $\mu$  $\sigma$ vs  $\dot{o}$   $\beta$ los (or  $\dot{o}$   $\beta$ los  $\eta$  $\mu$  $\sigma$ vs) half of life,  $\tau$  $\dot{a}$   $\ddot{a}$  $\rho$  $\mu$ a $\tau$  $\dot{a}$   $\dot{\eta}$  $\mu$ lorea half of the chariots.
- αὐτός: (1) Attributive: ὁ αὐτὸς ἀνήρ the same man. (2) Predicate: αὐτὸς ὁ ἀνήρ οr ὁ ἀνήρ αὐτός the man himself.
- 1174.  $\pi \hat{a}s$  (and in the strengthened forms  $\tilde{a}\pi \hat{a}s$ ,  $\sigma i \mu \pi \hat{a}s$  all together). a. In the attributive position  $\pi \hat{a}s$  denotes the whole regarded as the sum of all its parts (the sum total, the collective body): of  $\pi \hat{a}\nu res$   $\pi o \lambda \hat{i}\tau a$ ; the whole body of citizens,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \hat{a}\sigma a$   $\sum \kappa e \lambda \hat{a}$  the whole of Sicily,  $\dot{a}\pi o \kappa \tau e \hat{i}\nu a$ ;  $\dot{a}\pi a \nu \tau a s$  Mutilypalous to put to death the entire Mitylenean population T. 3. 36.
- N. Hence, with numbers, of  $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ,  $\tau \grave{a}$  súm $\pi a \nu \tau a$  in all :  $\acute{\epsilon} \xi a \kappa \acute{b} \sigma \iota o i$  all T. 1. 60.
- b. In the predicate (and usual) position  $\pi \hat{a}s$  means all:  $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau es$  of  $\pi o\lambda \hat{i}\tau a\iota$  or (often emphatic) of  $\pi o\lambda \hat{i}\tau a\iota$   $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau es$  all the citizens (individually),  $\pi e\rho \iota$   $\pi \acute{a}\nu\tau as$   $\tau o\dot{\nu}s$   $\theta eo \dot{\nu}s$   $\dot{\eta}\sigma e\beta \dot{\eta}\kappa \ddot{a}\sigma \iota$   $\kappa a\iota$   $\epsilon \iota s$   $\ddot{a}\pi \ddot{a}\sigma a\nu$   $\tau \dot{\eta}\nu$   $\pi \acute{o}\lambda \iota \nu$   $\dot{\eta}\mu a\rho \tau \dot{\eta}\kappa \ddot{a}\sigma \iota \nu$  they have committed impiety towards all the gods and have sinned against the whole State L. 14. 42.
- c. Without the article: πάντες πολίται all (conceivable) citizens, μισθωσάμενοι πάντας ἀνθρώπους hiring every conceivable person L. 12. 60.
- N. 1. In the meaning pure, nothing but, πα̂s is strictly a predicate and has no article:  $\kappa \dot{\nu} \kappa \lambda \varphi$  φρουρούμενος ὑπὸ πάντων πολεμίων hemmed in by a ring of guards all of whom are his enemies (= πάντες ὑφ' ὧν φρουρεῖται πολέμιοί είσι) P. R. 579 b. So πα̂σα κακία utter baseness.
- N. 2. The article is not used with  $\pi \hat{a}s$  if the noun, standing alone, would have no article.
- N. 3. In the singular, πα̂s often means every: σὸν σοὶ πα̂σα ὁδὸς εὔπορος with you every road is easy to travel X. A. 2. 5. 9, πα̂σα θάλασσα every sea T. 2. 41.
- **1175.** ὅλος: (1) Attributive: τὸ ὅλον στράτευμα the whole army; (2) Predicate: ὅλον τὸ στράτευμα (οι τὸ στράτευμα ὅλον) the army as a whole, τὴν νύκτα ὅλην the entire night. With no article: ὅλον στράτευμα a whole army, ὅλα στρατεύματα whole armies.
- 1176. The demonstrative pronouns oùtos,  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ os, and autós self, in agreement with a noun, usually take the article, and stand in the predicate position (1168): oùtos  $\delta$  autho or  $\delta$  autho oùtos (never  $\delta$  oùtos  $\delta\nu\eta\rho$ ) this man, autos  $\delta$  autho or  $\delta$  autho autos the man himself ( $\delta$  autos  $\delta\nu\eta\rho$ ) the same man 1173).
- 1177. One or more words may separate the demonstrative from its noun: ὁ τούτου ἔρως τοῦ ἀνθρώπου the love of this man P. S. 213 c. Note also τῶν οἰκείων τινὲς τῶν ἐκείνων some of their slaves (some of the slaves of those men) P. A. 33 d.
  - 1178. οὖτος, ὄδε, ἐκεῖνος sometimes omit the article.
- a. Regularly, when the noun is in the predicate: αὕτη ἔστω ἰκανὴ ἀπολογία let this be a sufficient defence P. A. 24 b, οἶμαι ἐμὴν ταύτην πατρίδα εἶναι I think this is my native country X. A. 4. 8. 4.
- b. Usually, with proper names, except when anaphoric (1120 b): ἐκεῖνος Θουκῦδίδης that (well-known) Thucydides Ar. Ach. 708.

- c. Usually, with definite numbers: ταύτας τριάκοντα μνας these thirty minae D. 27. 23.
- d. Optionally, when a relative clause follows:  $\epsilon \pi i \ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \ \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \ \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \nu \ \hat{\eta}$  of  $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon s \ \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  M $\hat{\eta} \delta \omega \nu \ \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$  we have come against this land, in which our fathers conquered the Medes T. 2. 74.
- e. In the phrase (often contemptuous) odvos  $dv\eta\rho$  P. G. 505 c; and in other expressions denoting some emotion:  $dv\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\sigma$  odvoot D. 18. 243.
- f. Sometimes, when the demonstrative follows its noun:  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\alpha$   $\tau\delta\delta\epsilon$  T.6. 59. So often in Hdt.
  - g. Frequently, in poetry.
- 1179. ἄμφω, ἀμφότερος both, ἐκάτερος each (of two), ἔκαστος each (of several) have the predicate position. But with ἔκαστος the article is often omitted: κατὰ τὴν ἡμέρᾶν ἐκάστην (day by day and) every day, καθ ἐκάστην ἡμέρᾶν every day.
- 1180. The demonstratives of quality and quantity, τοιοῦτος, τοιόσδε, τοσοῦτος, τοσόσδε, τηλικοῦτος, when they take the article, usually follow it: τῶν τοσούτων και τοιούτων ἀγαθῶν of so many and such blessings D. 18. 305, τοῦτο τὸ τοιοῦτον ἔθος such a practice as this 21. 123. ὁ δεῖνα such a one (336) regularly takes the article.
- a. But the predicate position occurs:  $\tau \circ \sigma a \psi \tau \eta \eta \pi \rho \omega \tau \eta \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon u \eta \pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta v \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \delta \iota \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \delta \iota \epsilon \tau \delta u \sigma \delta \iota \epsilon \delta u \sigma \delta u \sigma \delta u \delta u \sigma \delta u \sigma$
- **1181.** An attributive, following the article, may be separated from its noun by a pronoun:  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha_i \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \dot{\omega} \sigma_i s$  our old nature P. S. 189 d,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \tau \eta$   $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} s$  (for  $\ddot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \tau \eta$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu} \dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} \dot{\delta} s$ ) this narrow road X. A. 4. 2. 6.
- 1182. Possessive pronouns take the article only when a definite person or thing is meant, and stand between article and noun:  $\tau \delta$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \mu \delta \nu \beta \nu \beta \nu \delta \omega$  my book,  $\tau \delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \omega$  and  $\delta \nu \delta \nu \delta \omega$  our books.
- a. But names of relationship,  $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$ ,  $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota s$ , etc., do not require the article (1140).
- 1183. The article is not used with possessive pronouns or the genitive of personal and reflexive pronouns (cp. 1184, 1185):
- a. When no particular object is meant:  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\beta\iota\beta\lambda$ lo $\nu$  or  $\beta\iota\beta\lambda$ lo $\nu$   $\mu$ o $\nu$  a book of mine.
- b. When these pronouns belong to the predicate: μαθητής γέγονα σός I have become a pupil of yours P. Euth. 5 a, οὐ λόγους ἐμαυτοῦ λέγων not speaking words of my own D. 9. 41.

#### POSITION OF THE GENITIVE OF PRONOUNS AND THE ARTICLE

- a. The type  $\tau \delta$   $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lo\nu$   $\tau o \psi \tau o v$  is rare and suspected except when another attributive is added:  $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tilde{\nu} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota \tau o \psi \tau o v$  D. 4. 3. The types  $\tau \delta$   $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lo\nu$   $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau o \hat{\nu}$  (Hdt. 6. 23) and  $\tau \delta$   $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau o \hat{\nu}$   $\beta \iota \beta \lambda lo\nu$  (T. 6. 102) are rare.

1185. In the predicate position stands

- a. The genitive of the personal pronouns (whether partitive or not):  $\tau \delta \ \beta \iota \beta \lambda i \circ \nu \ \mu o v \ (\sigma o v, \ a \dot{v} \tau o \dot{v}, \ etc.)$ , or  $\mu o v \ (\sigma o v, \ a \dot{v} \tau o \dot{v}, \ etc.)$   $\tau \delta \ \beta \iota \beta \lambda i \circ v \ when other words precede, as <math>\delta s \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \ \sigma o v \ \tau \dot{\gamma} \nu \ d \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\gamma} \nu \ who \ has your sister to wife And. 1. 50.$ 
  - b. The genitive of the other pronouns used partitively.
- N. 1. Homer does not use the article in the above cases, and often employs the orthotone forms ( $\sigma\epsilon\hat{i}o$   $\mu\epsilon\gamma\alpha$   $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\hat{o}s$  thy great fame  $\pi$  241). Even in Attic  $\epsilon\mu\hat{o}o$  for  $\mu\hat{o}v$  occurs ( $\epsilon\mu\hat{o}o$   $\tau\hat{a}$   $\phi\hat{o}\rho\tau\hat{i}a$  my wares Ar. Vesp. 1398).
  - N. 2. The differences of position between 1184 and 1185 may be thus illus-

trated: My book is pretty:

καλόν έστι τὸ βιβλίον μου. καλόν έστί μου τὸ βιβλίον.

My pretty book:

τὸ καλόν μου βιβλίον.

They read their books: τὰ ἐαυτῶν βιβλία ἀναγιγνώσκουσι.

## INTERROGATIVES, ἄλλος, πολύς, ὀλίγος WITH THE ARTICLE

- 1186. The interrogatives  $\tau'_{i,j}$ ,  $\pi o \hat{i} o_{j}$  may take the article when a question is asked about an object before mentioned:  $\Sigma \Omega$ .  $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \nu a$ ,  $\hat{\omega}$   $\Phi a \hat{i} \delta \rho \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$   $\kappa \rho \hat{i} \nu \epsilon \nu \nu$ .  $\Phi A I$ .  $\tau \hat{a}$   $\pi o \hat{i} a$ ; Soch. Now at last we can decide those questions. Ph. (The) what questions? P. Phae. 277 a.
- 1187. So even with a personal pronoun: A.  $\delta\epsilon\hat{v}\rho o \delta\hat{\eta} \epsilon\hat{v}\theta\hat{v} \hat{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ... B.  $\pi o\hat{v}$   $\delta\hat{\gamma}\epsilon is \kappa al \pi a\rho\hat{\alpha} \tau lvas \tauovs \hat{v}\mu\hat{a}s$ ; A. Come hither straight to us. B. Whither do you mean and who are you that I am to come to (you being who)? P.Lys. 203 b.
- 1188. ἄλλος other. ὁ ἄλλος in the singular usually means the rest (ή ἄλλη Ἑλλάς the rest of Greece); in the plural, the others (οἱ ἄλλοι Ἑλληνες the other (ceteri) Greeks, but ἄλλοι Ἑλληνες other (alii) Greeks). A substantivized adjective or participle usually has the article when it stands in apposition to οἱ ἄλλοι:  $τ \tilde{a} λλα τ \tilde{a} πολ ιτικ \tilde{a}$  the other civic affairs X. Hi. 9. 5. On ἄλλος, ὁ ἄλλος (sometimes ἕτερος) besides, see 1272.
- 1189.  $\pi$ ολύς, δλίγος:  $\tau$ ὸ πολύ usually means the great(er) part, oi πολλοί the multitude, the vulgar crowd; πλείονες several, oi πλείονες the majority, the mass; πλείοτοι very many, oi πλείοτοι the most; δλίγοι few, oi δλίγοι the oligarchs (as opposed to oi πολλοί). Note πολύς predicative: ἐπεὶ ἐώρā πολλὰ τὰ κρέā when he saw that there was abundance of meat X. C. 1. 3. 6.

#### **PRONOUNS**

#### THE PERSONAL PRONOUNS

- 1190. The nominative of the personal pronoun is usually omitted except when emphatic, e.g. in contrasts, whether expressed or implied:  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{i}$   $\dot{\delta}\mu\epsilon\hat{i}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\hat{o}$  où  $\dot{\theta}\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\tau\epsilon$   $\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  où  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{i}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\psi\rho\mu\omega$  since you are not willing to obey me, I will follow along with you X. A. 1. 3. 6. In contrasts the first pronoun is sometimes omitted (930).

- 1192. The forms  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega\hat{0}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega l$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  and the accented forms of the pronoun of the second person (325 a) are used when emphatic and usually after prepositions:  $\kappa a\hat{l} \pi\dot{\epsilon}l\sigma\bar{a}\dot{s}\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$   $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{a}\dot{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\dot{a}s$   $\mu\omega\iota\kappa\dot{a}\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\dot{\epsilon}s$   $\pi\alpha\dot{\rho}^{\prime}\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega\hat{\nu}$  and after prevailing on me you gave me pledges of faith and received them from me X. A. 1. 6. 7. Cp. 187 N. 2. On the reflexive use of the personal pronouns of the first and second persons, see 1222–1224.
- **1193.**  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\nu}s$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}s$ ) are rarely used of an imaginary person ('anybody'): D. 9. 17, X. R. A. 1. 11.
- **1194.** The nominative of the pronoun of the third person is replaced by  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}vos$  (of absent persons),  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ ,  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau os$  (of present persons),  $\delta$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ...  $\delta$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  (at the beginning of a sentence), and by  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{o}s$  in contrasts. The oblique cases of the foregoing replace  $o\tilde{v}$ , etc., which in Attic prose are usually indirect reflexives (1228, 1229).  $o\tilde{v}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}$  in Attic prose occur chiefly in poetical passages of Plato; in Attic poetry they are personal pronouns. The pronoun of the third person is very rare in the orators.

#### THE POSSESSIVE PRONOUNS

For the article with a possessive pronoun see 1182-1183.

- **1196.** The possessive pronouns (330) of the first and second persons are the equivalents of the possessive genitive of the personal pronouns:  $\grave{\epsilon}\mu\acute{o}s = \mu o\nu$ ,  $\sigma\acute{o}s = \sigma o\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\mu\acute{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho os = \mathring{\eta}\mu\acute{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{v}\mu\acute{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho os = \mathring{v}\mu\acute{\omega}\nu$ .
- a. When the possessives refer to a definite, particular thing, they have the article, which always precedes (1182); the personal pronouns have the predicate position (1185). Distinguish  $\delta$  è $\mu$ òs  $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ os  $\delta$  è $\mu$ òs,  $\delta$   $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ os  $\mu$ ov  $\mu$ ov
- b. A word may stand in the genitive in apposition to the personal pronoun implied in a possessive pronoun. See 977.
- 1197. A possessive pronoun may have the force of an objective genitive (ep. 1331) of the personal pronoun:  $\phi_i \lambda i_{\bar{q}} \tau \hat{\eta} \ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta}$  out of friendship for me X. C. 3. 1. 28.  $(\phi_i \lambda i_{\bar{q}} \dot{\tau} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta})$  usually means my friendship (for others)).
- 1198. The possessive pronouns of the first and second persons are sometimes reflexive (when the subject of the sentence and the possessor are the same person), sometimes not reflexive.

#### 1199. FIRST AND SECOND PERSONS SINGULAR

- 1. Not reflexive (adjective my, thy (your); pronoun mine, thine (yours)).
- έμός, σός: ὁρᾶ τὸν έμὸν φίλον he sees my friend, ὁρᾶ τὸν σὸν πατέρα she sees your father, στέργει τὸν ἐμὸν πατέρα he loves my father (οι τὸν πατέρα τὸν ἐμὸν οι πατέρα τὸν ἐμόν οι πατέρα τὸν ἐμόν; οι τὸν πατέρα μου οι μου τὸν πατέρα), οἱ ἐμοὶ ὀφθαλμοὶ καλλίονες ἀν τῶν σῶν εἴησαν my eyes will prove to be more beautiful than yours X. S. 5. 5.
  - 2. Reflexive (my own, thine (your) own).
- a. ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ, in the attributive position (very common): ἔλαβον τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ μισθὸν (οι τὸν μισθὸν τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ) I received my (ουπ) pay, τὸν ἀδελφὸν τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ ἔπεμψα I sent my (ουπ) brother Aes. 2. 94, κάπὶ τοῖς σαυτῆς κακοῖοι κάπὶ τοῖς ἐμοῖς γελậς; art thou laughing at thine oun misery and at mine? S. El. 879.
- έμός, σός (less common): στέργω τὸν ἐμὸν πατέρα I love my (own) father, στέργεις τὴν σὴν μητέρα you love your (own) mother, ἡ ἐμὴ γυνὴ my wife X.
   C. 7. 2. 28, ἀδελφὸς τῆς μητρὸς τῆς ἐμῆς brother of my mother And. 1. 117.
- c. έμδς αὐτοῦ, σὸς αὐτοῦ (poetical): τὸν έμὸν αὐτοῦ πατέρα (β 45, S. O. T. 416).
- d. μου, σου (rare): τὸν πατέρα μου Ant. 1.23.
- N. When the possessor is not to be mistaken, the article alone is placed before the substantive and the possessive or reflexive pronoun is omitted (cp. 1121). Thus, στέργεις τὸν πατέρα you love your (own) father, στέργεις τὸν πατέρα he loves his (own) father, στέργουσι τὸν πατέρα they love their (own) father.

#### 1200: FIRST AND SECOND PERSONS PLURAL

- 1. Not reflexive (adjective our, your; pronoun ours, yours).
- a. ἡμέτερος, ὑμέτερος: ὁ ἡμέτερος φίλος our friend (more common than ὁ φίλος ἡμῶν), ὁ ὑμέτερος φίλος your friend (more common than ὁ φίλος ὑμῶν), ζήτησιν ποιούμενοι ἡ ὑμῶν ἡ τῶν ὑμετέρων τινός making a search for you or for anything of yours L. 12. 30.
  - 2. Reflexive (our own, your own).
- a. ἡμέτερος, ὑμέτερος (common): στέργομεν τὸν ἡμέτερον φίλον we love our own friend, στέργετε τὸν ὑμέτερον φίλον you love your own friend.
- b. Usually the intensive  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  is used with  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ ,  $\dot{v}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  in agreement with  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  ( $\dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ ) implied in the possessive forms. This gives a stronger form of reflexive. Thus:
  - ἡμέτερος αὐτῶν, ὑμέτερος αὐτῶν: στέργομεν τὸν ἡμέτερον αὐτῶν φίλον we love our own friend, οἰκοδόμημα ἡ τῶν φίλων τινὶ ἡ ἡμέτερον αὐτῶν α house either for some one of our friends or our own P. G. 514 b; στέργετε τὸν ὑμέτερον αὐτῶν φίλον you love your own friend, διδάσκετε τοὺς παίδας τοὺς ὑμετέρους αὐτῶν teach your own children I.3. 57.
- ἡμῶν, ὑμῶν (rare): αἰτιώμεθα τοὺς πατέρας ἡμῶν let us accuse our (own) fathers
   P. Lach, 179 c.
- d. ήμων αὐτων, ὑμων αὐτων (very rare): δίκαιον ἡμᾶς . . . φαίνεσθαι μήτε ἡμων

αὐτῶν τῆς δόξης ἐνδεεστέρους it is not right for us to show ourselves inferior to our own fame T. 2. 11, τὰ τῶν ἵππων καὶ τὰ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ὅπλα the equipments both of your horses and yourselves X. C. 6. 3. 21.

#### 1201.

#### THIRD PERSON SINGULAR

- 1. Not reflexive (his, her, its).
- a. αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς, αὐτοῦ in the predicate position (very common): ὁρῶ τὸν φίλον αὐτοῦ (αὐτῆς) I see his (her) friend, γιγνώσκων αὐτοῦ τὴν ἀνδρείᾶν knowing his courage P. Pr. 310 d.
- b. ἐκείνου, etc., or τούτου, etc. in the attributive position (very common): ὁρῶ τὸν ἐμὸν φίλον, οὐ τὸν ἐκείνου I see my friend, not his, ἀφικνοῦνται παρ' 'Αριαῖον καὶ τὴν ἐκείνου στρατιάν they come up with Ariaeus and his army X. A. 2. 2. 8, παρεκάλεσέ τινας τῶν τούτου ἐπιτηδείων he summoned some of his friends L. 3. 11.
- c. ös, ή, öν, Hom. ἐόs, ἐή, ἐόν (poetical): τὴν γῆμεν ἐὸν διὰ κάλλος he married her because of her beauty λ 282. Hom. has εὖ rarely for αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς.
  - 2. Reflexive (his own, her own).
- a. ἐαυτοῦ, ἐαυτῆς, in the attributive position (very common): στέργει τὸν ἐαυτοῦ φίλον he loves his own friend, ὁρῷ τὴν ἐαυτῆς μητέρα she sees her own mother, τὴν ἑαυτοῦ ἀδελφὴν δίδωσι Σεύθη he gives his own sister in marriage to Seuthes T. 2. 101, ὑβρίζει γυναῖκα τὴν ἐαυτοῦ he misuses his own wife And. 4. 15. This is the only way in prose to express his own, her own.
- b. ős (éós): poetical. Sometimes in Homer ős (éós) has the sense of own with no reference to the third person (1230 a).
- c. δς αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς (poetical): δν αὐτοῦ πατέρα (Κ 204).

#### 1202

#### THIRD PERSON PLURAL

- 1. Not reflexive (their).
- a. αὐτῶν in the predicate position (very common): ὁ φίλος αὐτῶν their friend.
- έκείνων, τούτων in the attributive position (very common): ὁ τούτων (ἐκείνων) φίλος their friend, διὰ τὴν ἐκείνων ἀπιστίᾶν because of distrust of them And. 3. 2.
- c. σφέων (Ionic): Hdt. 5. 58.
  - 2. Reflexive (their own).
- a. ἐαυτῶν (very common): στέργουσι τοὺς ἐαυτῶν φίλους they love their own friends, τῶν ἐαυτῶν συμμάχων κατεφρόνουν they despised their own allies X. H. 4.4.7.
- b. σφέτερος αὐτῶν, the intensive αὐτῶν agreeing with σφῶν implied in σφέτερος (common): οἰκέτᾶς τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν ἐπικαλοῦνται they call their own slaves as witnesses Ant. 1.30.
- c. σφῶν αὐτῶν, without the article (rare): τὰ δυόματα διαπράττονται σφῶν αὐτῶν προσγραφῆναι they contrived that their own names were added L.13.72. Cp. 1234, τὸν σφῶν αὐτῶν is not used.
- d. σφέτερος (rare in prose): Βοιωτοί μέρος τὸ σφέτερον παρείχοντο the Boeotians furnished their own contingent T. 2. 12.

 e. σφῶν in the predicate position, occasionally in Thucydides, as τοὺς ξυμμάχους ἐδέδισαν σφῶν they were afraid of their own allies 5.14. Cp. 1228 N. 2.

1203. Summary of possessive forms (poetical forms in parenthesis).

a. Not reflexive

my	€μδs	μου	our	ἡμέτερος	ήμῶν
thy	$\sigma \delta s$	σου	your	υμέτερος	$\dot{\mathfrak{v}}\mu\hat{\omega} u$
his, h	er (ös Hom., r	are) αὐτοῦ, -ῆs	their		αὐτῶν
		$(\epsilon v \text{ Hom., rare})$			(σφέων Ionic)

N. —  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  and  $\dot{v}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  are more used than  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  and  $\dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ .

b. Reflexive

N.—In the plural  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  αὐτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  αὐτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$  are replaced by  $\dot{\eta}\mu\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s αὐτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{v}\mu\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s αὐτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$ , and these forms are commoner than  $\dot{\eta}\mu\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s,  $\dot{v}\mu\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s αὐτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$  is less common than  $\dot{\epsilon}$ αυτ $\hat{\omega}\nu$ .  $\sigma\phi\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s in poetry may mean mine own, thine own, your own.

#### THE PRONOUN αὐτός

- 1204. airós is used as an adjective and as a pronoun. It has three distinct uses: (1) as an intensive adjective pronoun it means self (ipse). (2) As an adjective pronoun, when preceded by the article, it means same (idem). (3) In oblique cases as the personal pronoun of the third person, him, her; it, them (eum, eam, id, eos, eas, ea).
- **1205.** Only the first two uses are Homeric. In Hom. airós denotes the principal person or thing, in opposition to what is subordinate, and is intensive by contrast: airòv καὶ θεράποντα the man himself and his attendant Z 18 (cp.  $\sigma \omega \sigma \bar{a} \sigma'$  airòv καὶ παίδαs P. G. 511 e and see 1208 d). On airós as a reflexive, see 1228 a; on airós emphatic with other pronouns, see 1233 ff.

1206. avrós is intensive (self)

- a. In the nominative case, when standing alone: αὐτοὶ τὴν γῆν ἔσχον they (the Athenians) seized the land themselves T. 1. 114. Here αὐτός emphasizes the word understood and is not a personal pronoun.
  b. In any case, when in the predicate position (1168) with a sub-
- b. In any case, when in the predicate position (1168) with a substantive, or in agreement with a pronoun: αὐτὸς ὁ ἀνήρ, ὁ ἀνὴρ αὐτός the man himself, αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἀνδρός, τοῦ ἀνδρὸς αὐτοῦ, etc.
- **1207.** With a proper name or a word denoting an individual, the article is omitted:  $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$   $M\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega\nu$  Menon himself X. A. 2. 1. 5,  $\pi\rho\dot{o}$   $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}\bar{v}$   $\beta a\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega s$  in front of the Great King himself 1. 7. 11.

of the Thessalians and to the Thessalians themselves T. 4.78, δεῖ τοίνον τοῦτ' ἤδη σκοπεῖν (scil. ἡμὰs) αὐτούς we must forthwith consider this matter ourselves D. 2.2.

1209. Special renderings of the emphatic αὐτός:

- a. By itself, in itself, unaided, alone, etc.: αὐτὴ ἡ ἀλήθεια the naked truth Aes. 3. 207, τὸ πλέον τοῦ χωρίον αὐτὸ καρτερὸν ὑπῆρχε the greater part of the place was strong in itself (without artificial fortification) T. 4. 4. On αὐτοῖς ἀνδράσι men and all, see 1525. αὐτὸ with a noun of any gender is used by Plato to denote the abstract idea of a thing: αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν ideal beauty R. 493 e, αὐτὸ δικαιοσύνη ideal justice 472 c.
- b. Just, merely:  $a\dot{v}\dot{r}\dot{o}$   $\tau\dot{o}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$  just what we want X. A. 4. 7. 7,  $a\dot{v}\dot{r}\dot{a}$   $\tau\dot{a}\delta\epsilon$  merely this T. 1. 139.
- c. Voluntarily:  $\text{\'e}v\delta \rho as$  of kal  $\tau o \hat{s}s$   $\mu \hat{h}$   $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \iota k$  aloupévois abrol  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a\tau \hat{\epsilon}v$  ovor men who uninvited turn their arms even against those who do not ask their assistance T. 4. 60.
- d. The Master (said by a pupil or slave): Αὐτὸς ἔφᾶ the Master (Pythagoras) said it (ipse dixit) Diog. Laert. 8. 1. 46, τις οὖτος; Αὐτὸς. τις Αὐτὸς; Σωκράτης Who's this? The Master. Who's the Master? Socrates Ar. Nub. 220.
- e. With ordinals:  $\dot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\theta\eta$   $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta\epsilon\nu\tau\dot{\eta}s$   $\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha\tau\sigma s$  and  $\delta\epsilon\kappa a$  was chosen envoy with nine others (i.e. himself the tenth) X. H. 2. 2. 17.
- 1210. After the article, in the attributive position (1154), αὐτός in any case means same.

Thus  $\delta$  aὐτὸς ἀνήρ, rarely ( $\delta$ ) ἀνὴρ  $\delta$  aὐτός the same man; τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους in the same summer T. 4. 58, τὰ αὐτὰ ταῦτα these same things X. A. 1. 1. 7, οἱ τοὺς αὐτοὺς αἰεὶ περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν λόγους λέγοντες the people who are continually making the same speeches about the same things Ant. 5. 50.

- a. So as a predicate:  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\mu}\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\omega}\tau\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\dot{\epsilon}i\mu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\imath}s$   $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\mu}\epsilon\tau a\beta \dot{a}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}$  I an the same, it is you who change T. 2. 61.
- 1211. In Hom. aὐτόs, without the article, may mean the same:  $\hat{\eta}\rho\chi\epsilon$  δὲ  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$  aὐτ $\hat{\eta}\nu$  ὁδόν,  $\hat{\eta}\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$  οἱ ἄλλοι and he guided him by the same way as the others had gone  $\theta$  107.
- **1212.** αὐτός when unemphatic and standing alone in the oblique cases means him, her, it, them. ἐκέλενον αὐτὴν ἀπιέναι they ordered her to depart L. 1. 12.
  - 1213. Unemphatic airov, etc., do not stand at the beginning of a sentence.
- 1214. αὐτοῦ, etc., usually take up a preceding noun (the anaphoric use): καλέσας δὲ Δάμνιππον λέγω πρὸς αὐτὸν τάδε summoning Damnippus, I speak to him as follows L. 12. 14. But an oblique case of αὐτός is often suppressed where English employs the pronoun of the third person: ἐμπιπλας ἀπάντων τὴν γνώμην ἀπέπεμπε having satisfied the minds of all he dismissed them X. A. 1. 7. 8.
- **1215.** aὐτοῦ, etc., may be added pleonastically;  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \acute{a} \sigma \circ \mu a \iota \tau \acute{\varphi} \pi \acute{a} \pi \pi \psi$ , κράτιστος ὧν  $\iota \pi \pi \epsilon \acute{v}$ s, συμμαχεῖν αὐτ $\acute{\varphi}$  I will try, since I am an excellent horseman, to be an ally to my grandfather X. C. 1. 3. 15.
- **1216.** αὐτοῦ, etc., are emphatic (= αὐτοῦ τούτου, etc.) in a main clause when followed by a relative clause referring to αὐτοῦ, etc. : εἴρηκας αὐτὸ, δί ὅπερ ἔγωγε

τὰ ἐμὰ ἔργα πλείστου ἄξια νομίζω εἶναι you have mentioned the very quality for which I consider my work worth the highest price X. M. 3. 10. 14. But when the relative clause precedes, αὐτοῦ, etc., are not emphatic: οὖς δὲ μη εὕρισκον, κενοτάφιον αὐτοῖς ἐποίησαν they built a cenotaph for those whom they could not find X. A. 6. 4. 9.

1217. aὐτοῦ, etc., are often used where, after a conjunction, we expect the oblique case of a relative pronoun:  $\delta$   $\mu\eta$  οἶδε  $\mu\eta\delta$  έχει αὐτοῦ  $\sigma\phi\rho\bar{\alpha}\gamma$ ίδα which he does not know nor does he have the seal of it P. Th. 192 a.

#### THE REFLEXIVE PRONOUNS

1218. Direct Reflexives. — The reflexive pronouns are used directly when they refer to the chief word (usually the subject) of the sentence or clause in which they stand.

γνῶθι σεαυτόν learn to know thyself P. Charm. 164 e, σφάττει ἐαυτήν she kills herself X. C. 7. 3. 14, καθ' ἐαυτοὺς βουλευσάμενοι τὰ ὅπλα παρέδοσαν καὶ σφᾶς αὐτούς after deliberating apart by themselves they surrendered their arms and themselves (their persons) T. 4. 38. Less commonly the reference is to the object, which often stands in a prominent place: τοὺς δὲ περιοίκους ἀφῆκεν ἐπὶ τᾶς ἐαυτῶν πόλεις but the perioeci he dismissed to their own cities X. H. 6. 5. 21.

- 1219. The direct reflexives are regular in prose if, in the same clause, the pronoun refers emphatically to the subject and is the direct object of the main verb:  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\nu\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}$  (not  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$ )  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\nu\dot{\omega}$  I praise myself. The usage of poetry is freer:  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$   $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$  ' $\mu\dot{\epsilon}$  I mourn thee rather than myself E. Hipp. 1409.
- **1220.** The reflexives may retain or abandon their differentiating force. Contrast the third example in 1218 with  $\pi a \rho \epsilon \delta o \sigma a \nu \sigma \phi \hat{a} s$  a  $\delta \sigma v \delta v \delta v$  surrendered (themselves) T. 7. 82.
- 1221. The reflexives of the first and second persons are not used in a subordinate clause to refer to the subject of the main clause.
- 1222. The personal pronouns are sometimes used in a reflexive sense:  $\theta \rho \eta \nu o \hat{v} \tau \delta r \delta t$  μου καὶ λέγοντος πολλά καὶ ἀνάξια ἐμοῦ wailing and saying much unworthy of myself P. A. 38 e (contrast ἀκούσει πολλά καὶ ἀνάξια σαυτοῦ you will hear much unworthy of yourself P. Cr. 53 e), δοκῶ μοι ἀδύνατος είναι I (seem to myself to be) think I am unable P. R. 368 b (less usually δοκῶ ἐμαυτῷ). So in Hom.: ἐγὼν ἐμὲ λόσομαι I will ransom myself K 378. Cp. 1195.
- 1223. ἐμέ, σέ, not ἐμαυτόν, σεαυτόν, are generally used as subject of the infinitive: ἐγὰ οἶμαι καὶ ἐμὲ καὶ σὲ τὸ ἀδικεῖν τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι κάκῖον ἡγεῖσθαι I think that both you and I believe that it is worse to do wrong than to be wronged P. G. 474 b.
- 1224. The use in 1222, 1223 generally occurs when there is a contrast between two persons, or when the speaker is not thinking of himself to the exclusion of others. Cp. 1974.
- 1225. Indirect Reflexives. The reflexive pronouns are used indirectly when, in a dependent clause, they refer to the subject of the main clause.

Ορέστης ἔπεισεν Αθηναίους ἐαυτὸν κατάγειν Orestes persuaded the Athenians to restore him(self) Τ. 1. 111, ἐβούλετο ὁ Κλέαρχος ἄπαν τὸ στράτευμα πρὸς ἑαυτὸν ἔχειν τὴν γνώμην Clearchus wished the entire army to be devoted to himself X. A. 2. 5. 29. Cp. sibi, se.

- 1227. ἐαυτοῦ, etc., are rarely used as indirect reflexives in adjectival clauses: τὰ ναυάγια, ὅσα πρὸς τῆ ἐαυτῶν (γῆ) ἥν, ἀνείλοντο they took up the wrecks, as many as were close to their own land T. 2. 92.
  - 1228. Instead of the indirect έαυτοῦ, etc., there may be used
- b. Of the forms of the third personal pronoun, of and σφίσι (rarely οδ, σφεῖς, σφῶν, and σφᾶς). Thus, ἡρώτα αὐτὴν εἰ ἐθελήσοι διἄκονῆσαί οἱ he asked her if she would be willing to do him a service Ant. 1. 16, τοὺς παίδας ἐκέλευον τοῦ Κόρου δεῖσθαι διαπράξασθαι σφίσιν they ordered their boys to ask Cyrus to get it done for them X. C. 1. 4. 1, κελεύουσι γὰρ ἡμᾶς κοινῆ μετά σφῶν πολεμεῖν for they urge us to make war in common with them And. 3. 27, ἔφη δέ, ἐπειδὴ οδ ἐκβῆναι τὴν ψῦχὴν . . . . ἀφικνεῖσθαι σφᾶς εἰς τόπον τινὰ δαιμόνιον he said that when his soul had departed out of him, they (he and others) came to a mysterious place P. R. 614 b. See 1195.
- N. 1. σφεῖs may be employed in a dependent sentence if the pronoun is itself the subject of a subordinate statement, and when the reference to the subject of the leading verb is demanded by way of contrast or emphasis: εἰσαγαγών τούς ἄλλους στρατηγούς . . . λέγειν ἐκέλευεν αὐτοὺς ὅτι οὐδὲν ἄν ἢττον σφεῖς ἀγάγοιεν τὴν στρατιὰν ἢ Ξενοφῶν after bringing in the rest of the generals he urged them to say that they could lead the army just as well as Xenophon X. A. 7. 5. 9. Here αὐτοί (ipsi) is possible. In the singular αὐτός is necessary.
- N. 2. Thucydides often uses the plural forms in reference to the nearest subject:  $\tau$ oùs  $\xi \nu \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi$ ous  $\dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta i \sigma a \nu \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$  they were afraid of their own allies (=  $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$   $a\dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) 5. 14.
- N. 3.  $\dot{\epsilon}au\tau o\hat{v}$ , etc., are either direct or indirect reflexives, of and  $\sigma \phi l\sigma \iota$  are only indirect reflexives.
- 1229. oô,  $\sigma\phi i\sigma\iota$ , etc., and the oblique cases of  $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{o}s$  are used when the subordinate clause does not form a part of the thought of the principal subject. This is usual in subordinate indicative clauses, and very common in  $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$  and  $\dot{\omega}s$  clauses, in indirect questions, and in general in subordinate clauses not directly dependent on the main verb:  $\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\pi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\beta\epsilon\omega\nu$ , of  $\sigma\phi\dot{\iota}\sigma\iota$  (1481)  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\dot{\delta}\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\nu\chi\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}\nu\tau\epsilon$ s,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\sigma\nu\nu$  they thought no more about their envoys, who were absent

on the subject of the truce T.5.44, ἐφοβοῦντο μὴ ἐπιθοῖντο αὐτοῖς οἱ πολέμιοι they were afraid lest the enemy should attack them(selves) X. A. 3.4.1.

- 1230. The reflexive pronoun of the third person is sometimes used for that of the first or second: δεὶ ἡμῶς ἀνερέσθαι ἐαντούς we must ask ourselves P. Ph. 78 b, παράγγελλε τοῦς ἐαυτοῦ give orders to your men X. C. 6. 3. 27.
- a. In Homer ös his is used for ἐμός οτ σός: οὕτοι ἔγωγε ἢς γαίης δύναμαι γλυκερώτερον ἄλλο ἰδέσθαι I can look on nothing sweeter than my own land ι 28.
- 1231. Reciprocal Reflexive. The plural forms of the reflexive pronouns are often used for the reciprocal ἀλλήλων, ἀλλήλοις, etc.: ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς διαλεξόμεθα we will converse with (ourselves) one another D. 48. 6.

#### αὐτός EMPHATIC OR REFLEXIVE WITH OTHER PRONOUNS

- 1233. Of the plural forms,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$   $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ , etc. may be either emphatic or reflexive;  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ , etc. are emphatic only; but  $\sigma\phi\hat{\omega}\nu$   $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  is only reflexive  $(a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\sigma\phi\hat{\omega}\nu$  is not used). In Hom.  $a\dot{v}\tau\delta\nu$  may mean myself, thyself, or himself, and  $\hat{\epsilon}$   $a\dot{v}\tau\delta\nu$ , of  $a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\varphi}$ , etc. are either emphatic or reflexive.
- 1234. ἡμῶν (ὑμῶν, σφῶν) αὐτῶν often mean 'their own men,' 'their own side': φυλακὴν σφῶν τε αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων καταλιπόντεs leaving a garrison (consisting) of their own men and of the allies T. 5. 114.
- 1235. αὐτός, in agreement with the subject, may be used in conjunction with a reflexive pronoun for the sake of emphasis: αὐτοὶ ἐφ᾽ ἐαυτῶν ἐχώρουν they marched by themselves X. A. 2. 4. 10, αὐτὸς . . . ἐαυτὸν ἐν μέσω κατετίθετο τοῦ στρατοπέδου he located himself in the centre of the camp X. C. 8. 5. 8.
- 1236. αὐτός may be added to a personal pronoun for emphasis. The forms  $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$  αὐτόν, αὐτόν  $\mu \epsilon$ , etc. are not reflexive like  $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$ , etc. Thus, τοὺς παίδας τοὺς  $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$  παίδας τοὺς  $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$  παίδας τοὺς  $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$  παίδας τοὺς  $\epsilon \mu a \nu \tau \delta \nu$  μοι  $\epsilon \mu a \nu$
- 1237. The force of αὐτόs thus added is to differentiate. Thus ἐμὲ αὐτόν means myself and no other, ἐμαυτόν means simply myself without reference to others. ὑμᾶs αὐτούs is the usual order in the reflexive combination; but the differentiating you yourselves (and no others) may be ὑμᾶs αὐτούs or αὐτοὺs ὑμᾶs.

#### THE DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUNS

- 1238. The demonstrative pronouns are used substantively or adjectively: οὖτος, οr οὖτος ὁ ἀνήρ, this man.
- 1239. A demonstrative pronoun may agree in gender with a substantive predicated of it, if connected with the substantive by a copulative verb (917) expressed or understood:  $a\ddot{\nu}\tau\eta$  (for  $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau$ )  $d\rho l\sigma\tau\eta$   $\delta l\delta a\sigma\kappa a\lambda l\tilde{a}$  this is the best manner of learning X. C. 8. 7. 24,  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \ell$   $\tau ls$   $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\nu$  (for  $\tau o\hat{\nu}\tau$ 0)  $\epsilon l\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\eta\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\pi o\lambda a\mu\beta\dot{a}\nu\epsilon l$  but if any one regards this as peace D. 9. 9.
- a. But the unattracted neuter is common, especially in definitions where the pronoun is the predicate: τοῦτ' ἔστιν ἡ δικαιοσύνη this is (what we call) justice
   P. R. 432 b. So οὐχ ὕβρις ταῦτ' ἐστί; is not this insolence? Ar. Ran. 21.
- **1240.** οὖτος and δδε this usually refer to something near in place, time, or thought; ἐκεῖνος that refers to something more remote. οὖτοσί and δδί are emphatic, deictic (333 g) forms (this here).
- 1241. Distinction between οὖτος and ὅδε. ὅδε hic points with emphasis to an object in the immediate (actual or mental) vicinity of the speaker, or to something just noticed. In the drama it announces the approach of a new actor. ὅδε is even used of the speaker himself as the demonstrative of the first person (1242). οὖτος iste may refer to a person close at hand, but less vividly, as in statements in regard to a person concerning whom a question has been asked. When ὅδε and οὖτος are contrasted, ὅδε refers to the more important, οὖτος to the less important, object. Thus, ἀλλ' ὅδε βασιλεὺς χωρεῖ but lo! here comes the king S. Ant. 155, αὕτη πέλας σοῦ here she (the person you ask for) is near thee S. El. 1474, καl ταῦτ' ἀκούειν κάτι τῶνδ' ἀλγίονα so that we obey both in these things and in things yet more grievous S. Ant. 64. See also 1245. οὖτος has a wider range of use than the other demonstratives.
- **1242.** δδε is used in poetry for  $\epsilon\gamma\omega$ :  $\tau\eta\sigma\delta\epsilon$  (=  $\epsilon\mu\omega$ 0) γε ζώσης έτι while I still live S. Tr. 305. Also for the possessive pronoun of the first person:  $\epsilon t$  τις τούσδ' ἀκούσεται λόγους if any one shall hear these my words S. El. 1004.
- 1243. ovros is sometimes used of the second person:  $\tau$  is ovros; who's this here? (= who are you?) Ar. Ach. 1048. So in exclamations: ovros,  $\tau$  i  $\pi$ 016 ; you there! what are you doing? Ar. Ran. 198.
- **1244**. τάδε, τάδε πάντα (ταθτα πάντα) are used of something close at hand: οὐκ "Ιωνες τάδε εἰσίν the people here are not Ionians T. 6. 77.
- **1245.** οὖτος (τοιοῦτος, τοσοῦτος, and οὖτως) generally refers to what precedes, ὅδε (τοιόσδε, τοσόσδε, τηλικόσδε, and ὧδε) to what follows.

Thus, τοιάδε ἔλεξεν he spoke as follows, but τοιαῦτα (τοσαῦτα) εἰπών after speaking thus. Cp. ὁ Κῦρος ἀκούσας τοῦ Γωβρύου τοιαῦτα τοιάδε πρὸς αὐτὸν ἔλεξε Cyrus after hearing these words of Gobryas answered him as follows X. C. 5. 2. 31.

1246. καὶ οδτος meaning (1) he too, likewise; (2) and in fact, and that too, points back: 'Αγίᾶς καὶ Σωκράτης... καὶ τούτω ἀπεθανέτην Agias and Socrates ... they too were put to death X. A. 2. 6. 30; ἀπόρων ἐστὶ... καὶ τούτων πονηρῶν it is characteristic of men without resources and that too worthless 2. 5. 21 (cp. 1320). On καὶ ταῦτα see 947.

- 1247. But οὖτος, etc. sometimes (especially in the neuter) refer to what follows, and ὅδε, etc. (though much less often) refer to what precedes: μετὰ δὲ τοῦτον εἶπε τοσοῦτον but after him he spoke as follows X. A. 1. 3. 14, τοωύτονς λόγους εἶπεν he spoke as follows T. 4. 58, τοιάδε παρακελενόμενος exhorting them thus (as set forth before) 7. 78, ὧδε θάπτουσιν they bury them thus (as described before) 2. 34, οὖτως ἔχει the case is as follows (often in the orators).
- **1248.** οὖτος (especially in the neuter τοῦτο) may refer forward to a word or sentence in apposition: ὡς μὴ τοῦτο μόνον ἐννοῶνται, τί πείσονται that they may not consider this alone (namely) what they shall suffer X. A. 3. I. 41. So also οὕτως. ἐκεῖνος also may refer forward: ἐκεῖνος κερδαίνειν ἡγεῖται τὴν ἡδονήν this (namely) pleasure, it regards as gain P. R. 606 b. Cp. 990.
- 1249. oὖτος (τοιοῦτος, etc.) is regularly, ὅδε (τοιδοδε, etc.) rarely, used as the demonstrative antecedent of a relative: ὅταν τοιαῦτα λέγης, α οὐδεὶς αν φήσειεν ἀνθρώπων when you say such things as no one in the world would say P. G. 473 e. οὖτος is often used without a conjunction at the beginning of a sentence.
- **1250.** When δδε retains its full force the relative clause is to be regarded as a supplementary addition: οὖ δὴ οὖν ἕνεκα λέγω ταῦτα πάντα τόδ' ἐστί but here's the reason why I say all this! P. Charm. 165 a.
- 1251. The demonstratives οδτος, etc., when used as antecedents, have an emphatic force that does not reproduce the (unemphatic) English demonstrative those, e.g. in you released those who were present. Here Greek uses the participle (τούς παρόντας ἀπελύσατε L. 20. 20) or omits the antecedent.
- 1252. οὖτος (less often ἐκεῖνος) may take up and emphasize a preceding subject or object. In this use the pronoun generally comes first, but may be placed after an emphatic word: ποιήσαντες στήλην ἐψηφίσαντο εἰς ταύτην ἀναγράφειν τοὺς ἄλιτηρίους having made a slab they voted to inscribe on it the (names of the) offenders Lyc. 117, & ἀν εἴπης, ἔμμενε τούτοις whatever you say, hold to it P. R. 345 b. The anaphoric αὐτός in its oblique cases is weaker (1214).
- 1253. τοῦτο, ταῦτα (and αὐτό) may take up a substantive idea not expressed by a preceding neuter word: οἶ τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἡλευθέρωσαν: ἡμεῖς δὲ οὐδ' ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς βεβαιοῦμεν αὐτό (i.e. τὴν ἐλευθερίᾶν) who freed Greece; whereas we cannot secure this (liberty) even for ourselves T. 1. 122.
- 1254. οὖτος (less frequently ἐκεῖνος) is used of well known persons and things. Thus, Γοργίᾶς οὖτος this (famous) Gorgias P. Hipp. M. 282 b (cp. ille), τούτους τοὺς οῦκοφάντᾶς these (notorious) informers P. Cr. 45 a (cp. iste), τὸν ᾿Αριστείδην ἐκεῖνον that (famous) Aristides D. 3. 21, Καλλίᾶν ἐκεῖνον that (infamous) Callias 2. 19. ἐκεῖνος may be used of a deceased person (P. R. 368 a).
- 1255. When, in the same sentence, and referring to the same object, οὖτος (οτ ἐκεῖνος) is used more than once, the object thus designated is more or less emphatic: ὁ θεὸς ἐξαιρούμενος τούτων τὸν νοῦν τούτοις χρῆται ὑπηρέταις the god deprives them of their senses and employs them as his ministers P. Ion 534 c. For the repeated οὖτος (ἐκεῖνος) an oblique case of αὐτός is usual.
- **1256.** τοῦτο μέν . . . τοῦτο δέ first . . . secondly, partly . . . partly has, especially in Hdt., nearly the sense of  $\tau \delta$  μέν . . .  $\tau \delta$  δέ (1111).

1257. ἐκεῖνος refers back (rarely forward, 1248), but implies remoteness in place, time, or thought.

Κῦρος καθορ $\hat{q}$  βασιλέ $\bar{a}$  καὶ τὸ ἀμφ' ἐκείνον στίφος Cyrus perceives the king and the band around him X. A. 1. 8. 26, ν $\hat{q}$ es ἐκείναι ἐπιπλέουσιν yonder are ships sailing up to us T. 1. 51.

- 1258.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu\sigma$ s may refer to any person other than the speaker and the person addressed; and may be employed of a person not definitely described, but referred to in a supposed case. It is even used of the person already referred to by  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}$  in an oblique case:  $\ddot{a}\nu$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\phi}$   $\delta\iota\delta\dot{\phi}s$   $\dot{a}\rho\gamma\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\nu$   $\kappa a\dot{\iota}$   $\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\theta\eta s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\sigma\nu$  if you give him money and persuade him P. Pr. 310 d.  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\sigma s$ , when so used, usually stands in a different case than  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}s$ . The order  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\sigma s$ ...  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}s$  is found:  $\tau\rho\dot{\sigma}s$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\dot{\iota}\nu\sigma\nu$  où  $\kappa$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\pi\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\iota$   $\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\dot{\lambda}$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\mu\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}s$  he did not tell them the plan he had, but dismissed them X. H. 3. 2. 9.
- 1260. In the phrase δδε ἐκεῖνος, ὅδε marks a person or thing as present, ἐκεῖνος a person or thing mentioned before or well known: ὅδ' ἐκεῖνος ἐγώ lo! I am he S. O. C. 138. Colloquial expressions are τοῦτ' ἐκεῖνο there it is! (lit. this is that) Ar. Ach. 41, and τόδ' ἐκεῖνο I told you so E. Med. 98.
- 1261. Distinction between οὖτος and ἐκεῖνος. When reference is made to one of two contrasted objects, οὖτος refers to the object nearer to the speaker's thought, or to the more important object, or to the object last mentioned. Thus, ὅστε πολὺ ἀν δικαιότερον ἐκείνοις τοῖς γράμμασιν ἢ τούτοις πιστεύοιτε so that you must with more justice put your trust in those lists (not yet put in as evidence) than in these muster-roils (already mentioned) L. 16. 7, εἰ δὲ τοῦτό σοι δοκεῖ μῖκρὸν εἶναι, ἐκεῖνο κατανόησον but if this appear to you unimportant, consider the following X. C. 5. 5. 29. ἐκεῖνος may refer to an object that has immediately preceded: καὶ (δεῖ) τὸ βέλτιστον ἀεί, μὴ τὸ ῥᾶστον, ἄπαντας λέγειν ἐπ' ἐκεῖνο μὲν (i.e. τὸ ῥᾶστον) γὰρ ἡ φύσις αὐτὴ βαδιεῖται, ἐπὶ τοῦτο δὲ (τὸ βέλτιστον) τῷ λόγῳ δεῖ προάγεσθαι διδάσκοντα τὸν ἀγαθὸν πολίτην it is necessary that all should speak what is always most salutary, not what is most agreeable; for to the latter nature herself will incline; to the former a good citizen must direct by argument and instruction D. 8. 72.

## THE INTERROGATIVE PRONOUNS

- 1262. The interrogative pronouns are used substantively  $\tau$  is; who? or adjectively  $\tau$  is  $\partial v \eta \rho$ ; what man?
- 1263. The interrogatives (pronouns and adverbs, 340, 346) are used in direct and in indirect questions. In indirect questions

the indefinite relatives δστις, etc., are generally used instead of the interrogatives.

τί βούλεται ἡμῶν χρῆσθαι; for what purpose does he desire to employ us? X. A. 1. 3. 18, οὐκ οἶδα ὅ τι ἄν τις χρήσαιτο αὐτοῖς I do not know for what service any one could employ them 3. 1. 40, A. πηνίκ' ἐστὶν ἄρα τῆς ἡμέρας; B. ὁπηνίκα; A. What's the time of day? B. (You ask), what time of day it is? Ar. Av. 1499.

- N. For peculiarities of Interrogative Sentences, see 2666, 2668.
- 1264.  $\tau l$  is used for  $\tau l \nu a$  as the predicate of a neuter plural subject when the general result is sought and the subject is considered as a unit:  $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau a \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau l \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ; but these things, what are they? Ass. 3. 167.  $\tau l \nu a$  emphasizes the details:  $\tau l \nu' \delta v \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau a \tilde{v} \tau a$ ; D. 18. 246.
- 1265.  $\tau$  is asks a question concerning the class,  $\tau$  i concerning the nature of a thing:  $\epsilon$  if  $\tau$  is  $\dot{\tau}$  is  $\dot{\tau}$  is  $\dot{\tau}$  is  $\dot{\tau}$  is any of what sort the art is P. G. 449 a,  $\tau$  i  $\sigma$  is  $\sigma$  is  $\tau$  in  $\tau$  is temperature, what is a statesman? X. M. 1. 1. 16,  $\sigma$  is  $\sigma$  is  $\sigma$  in  $\sigma$

## THE INDEFINITE PRONOUNS

- 1266. The indefinite pronoun  $\tau \wr_s$ ,  $\tau \wr$  is used both substantively (some one) and adjectively (any, some).  $\tau \wr_s$ ,  $\tau \wr$  cannot stand at the beginning of a sentence (181 b).
- 1268. With adjectives, adverbs, and numerals,  $\tau$ ls may strengthen or weaken an assertion, apologize for a comparison, and in general qualify a statement:  $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta s$   $\tau \iota s$   $\delta \iota \nu \delta \rho$   $\delta $\delta \rho$   $\delta \nu \delta \rho$   $\delta \rho$
- **1269.** τὶs, τὶ sometimes means somebody, or something, of importance: τὸ δοκεῖν τινès εἶναι the seeming to be somebody D. 21. 213, ἔδοξέ τι λέγειν he seemed to say something of moment X. C. 1. 4. 20.
- 1270. τ is not omitted in θανμαστόν λέγεις what you say is wonderful P. L. 657 a. ἤ τις ἢ οὐδείς means few or none X. C. 7. 5. 45, ἤ τι ἢ οὐδείν little or nothing P. A. 17 b.

# THE ADJECTIVE PRONOUNS ἄλλος AND ἔτερος

- 1271. ἄλλος strictly means other (of several), ἔτερος other (of two). On ὁ ἄλλος, οἱ ἄλλοι see 1188.
- a.  $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s is sometimes used loosely for  $\ddot{a}\lambda\lambda\sigma$ s, but always with a sense of difference; when so used it does not take the article.
- 1272.  $\delta\lambda\lambda$ os, and  $\xi\tau\epsilon\rho$ os (rarely), may be used attributively with a substantive, which is to be regarded as an appositive. In this sense they may be rendered besides, moreover, as well: of  $\delta\lambda\lambda$ ov  $\lambda\theta\eta$ va $\delta$ ov the Athenians as well (the others, i.e. the Athenians) T. 7. 70,  $\tau$ ovs  $\delta\eta\lambda$ irās kal  $\tau$ ovs  $\delta\lambda\lambda$ ovs  $\delta\eta\lambda$ irās the hoplites and the cavalry besides X. H. 2. 4. 9,  $\gamma$ épων  $\chi$ ωρε $\delta$  με $\delta$ 0 reavior an old man comes with (a second person, a young man) a young man besides Ar. Eccl. 849. Cp. "And there were also two other malefactors led with him to be put to death" St. Luke 23. 32.
- 1274. Ellowed by another of its own cases or by an adverb derived from itself (cp. alius aliud, one ... one, another ... another) does not require the second half of the statement to be expressed: Ellow Ellow one says one thing, another (says) another X. A. 2. 1.15 (lit. another other things). So Ellow Ellow, Ellow Ellow
- a. Similarly ἔτερος, as συμφορὰ ἐτέρā ἐτέρους πιέζει one calamity oppresses one, another others E. Alc. 893.
- 1276. ὁ ἄλλος often means usual, general:  $\pi$ αρὰ τὸν ἄλλον τρόπον contrary to my usual disposition Ant. 3.  $\beta$ . 1.

## THE RECIPROCAL PRONOUN

- **1277.** The pronoun δλλήλουν expresses reciprocal relation: ωs δ εἰδέτην δλλήλους η γυνη καὶ ω 'Αβραδάτωs, η σπάζοντο ωλλήλους when Abradatas and his wife saw each other, they mutually embraced ωs. C. 6. 1. 47.
- 1278. To express reciprocal relation Greek uses also (1) the middle forms (1726); (2) the reflexive pronoun (1231); or (3) a substantive is repeated: ἀνὴρ ἔλεν ἄνδρα man fell upon man O 328.
  - On Relative Pronouns see under Complex Sentences (2493 ff.).

## THE CASES

- 1279. Of the cases belonging to the Indo-European language, Greek has lost the free use of three: instrumental, locative, and ablative. A few of the forms of these cases have been preserved (341, 1449, 1535); the syntactical functions of the instrumental and locative were taken over by the dative; those of the ablative by the genitive. The genitive and dative cases are therefore composite or mixed cases.
- N.—The reasons that led to the formation of composite cases are either (1) formal or (2) functional. Thus (1)  $\chi \acute{o} \rho a$  is both dat. and loc.;  $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \iota s$  represents the instr.  $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \iota s$  and the loc.  $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota$ ; in consonantal stems both ablative and genitive ended in -os; (2) verbs of ruling may take either the dat. or the loc., hence the latter case would be absorbed by the former; furthermore the use of prepositions especially with loc. and instr. was attended by a certain indifference as regards the form of the case.
- 1280. Through the influence of one construction upon another it often becomes impossible to mark off the later from the original use of the genitive and dative. It must be remembered that since language is a natural growth and Greek was spoken and written before formal categories were set up by Grammar, all the uses of the cases cannot be apportioned with definiteness.
- 1281. The cases fall into two main divisions. Cases of the Subject: nominative (and vocative). Cases of the Predicate: accusative, dative. The genitive may define either the subject (with nouns) or the predicate (with verbs). On the nominative, see 938 ff.
- **1282.** The content of a thought may be expressed in different ways in different languages. Thus,  $\pi\epsilon t\theta\omega$   $\sigma\epsilon$ , but persuadeo tibi (in classical Latin): and even in the same language, the same verb may have varying constructions to express different shades of meaning.

### VOCATIVE

- 1283. The vocative is used in exclamations and in direct address:  $\delta Z\epsilon \hat{v} \kappa a \theta \epsilon o i o h Zeus and ye gods P. Pr. 310 d, <math>\delta v \theta \rho \omega \pi \epsilon my good fellow$  X. C. 2. 2. 7. The vocative forms an incomplete sentence (904 d).
  - a. The vocative is never followed immediately by  $\delta \epsilon$  or  $\gamma \delta \rho$ .
- **1284.** In ordinary conversation and public speeches, the polite  $\tilde{\omega}$  is usually added. Without  $\tilde{\omega}$  the vocative may express astonishment, joy, contempt, a threat, or a warning, etc. Thus  $d\kappa\omega\omega$  Alo $\chi l\nu\eta$ ;  $d^{3}ye$  hear, Aeschines? D. 18. 121. But this distinction is not always observed, though in general  $\tilde{\omega}$  has a familiar tone which was unsuited to elevated poetry.
- **1285.** The vocative is usually found in the interior of a sentence. At the beginning it is emphatic. In prose  $\xi\phi\eta$ , in poetry  $\hat{\omega}$ , may stand between the vocative and an attributive or between an attributive and the vocative; in poetry  $\hat{\omega}$  may be repeated for emphasis.

- 1286. In late poetry a predicate adjective may be attracted into the vocative: δλβιε κῶρε γένοιο blessed, oh boy, mayest thou be Theorr. 17. 66. Cp. Matutine pater seu Iane libentius audis Hor. S. 2. 6. 20.
- 1287. By the omission of  $\sigma \dot{\phi}$  or  $\dot{v}_{\mu} \epsilon \hat{i}_{S}$  the nominative with the article may stand in apposition to a vocative:  $\dot{\omega}$  ἄνδρες οἱ παρόντες yoù, gentlemen, who are present P. Pr. 337 c,  $\dot{\omega}$  Κῦρε καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι Πέρσαι Cyrus and the rest of you Persians X. C. 3. 3. 20; and in apposition to the pronoun in the verb:  $\dot{\phi}$  παῖς, ἀκολούθει boy, attend me Ar. Ran. 521.
- 1288. The nominative may be used in exclamations as a predicate with the subject unexpressed:  $\dot{\omega}$   $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \delta s$   $\theta \epsilon o \hat{s}$  oh loathed of heaven S. Ph. 254,  $\phi \iota \lambda s s$  Meré  $\lambda \bar{a} \epsilon$  ah dear Menelaus  $\Delta$  189; and connected with the vocative by and:  $\dot{\omega}$   $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$  kal  $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon$  oh city and people Ar. Eq. 273. In exclamations about a person:  $\dot{\omega}$  yerraios oh the noble man P. Phae. 227 c.
- a. obtos is regular in address: obtos,  $\tau \ell \pi \delta \sigma \chi \epsilon_{is}$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}$  Zav $\ell \tilde{a}_i$ ; ho there, I say, Xanthias, what is the matter with you? Ar. Vesp. 1;  $\tilde{\omega}$  obtos, Alas ho there, I say, Ajax S. Aj. 89.

## GENITIVE

1289. The genitive most commonly limits the meaning of substantives, adjectives, and adverbs, less commonly that of verbs.

Since the genitive has absorbed the ablative it includes (1) the genitive proper, denoting the class to which a person or thing belongs, and (2) the ablatival genitive.

a. The name genitive is derived from casus genitivus, the case of origin, the inadequate Latin translation of  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \iota s$  case denoting the class.

## THE GENITIVE PROPER WITH NOUNS

# (ADNOMINAL GENITIVE)

- 1290. A substantive in the genitive limits the meaning of a substantive on which it depends.
- 1291. The genitive limits for the time being the scope of the substantive on which it depends by referring it to a particular class or description, or by regarding it as a part of a whole. The genitive is akin in meaning to the adjective and may often be translated by an epithet. Cp.  $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi a vos \chi \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma i v v$  with  $\chi \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma \sigma i s \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \phi a vos$ ,  $\phi \dot{\delta} \beta os \pi \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu i v$  with  $\pi o \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mu i v$  with  $\pi o \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mu i v$  (1035). But the use of the adjective is not everywhere parallel to that of the genitive.
- 1292. In poetry a genitive is often used with βία, μένος, σθένος might, etc., instead of the corresponding adjective: βίη Διομήδεος mighty Diomede E 781.
- **1293**. In poetry δέμας form, κάρα and κεφαλή head, etc., are used with a genitive to express majestic or loved persons or objects: Ἰσμήνης κάρα S. Ant. 1.
- **1294.**  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$  thing is used in prose with a genitive to express size, strength, etc.:  $\sigma \phi \epsilon \nu \delta \sigma \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \delta \mu \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\omega} \tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$  a very large mass of slingers X. C. 2. 1. 5. Cp. 1322.

- 1295. The genitive with substantives denotes in general a connection or dependence between two words. This connection must often be determined (1) by the meaning of the words, (2) by the context, (3) by the facts presupposed as known (1301). The same construction may often be placed under more than one of the different classes mentioned below; and the connection between the two substantives is often so loose that it is difficult to include with precision all cases under specific grammatical classes.
- a. The two substantives may be so closely connected as to be equivalent to a single compound idea:  $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \tau o \hat{\nu} \beta lov$  'life-end' (cp. life-time) X. A. 1. 1. 1. Cp. 1146.
- b. The genitive with substantives has either the attributive (1154), or, in the case of the genitive of the divided whole (1306), and of personal pronouns (1185), the predicate, position (1168).
- 1296. Words denoting number, especially numerals or substantives with numerals, often agree in case with the limited word instead of standing in the genitive:  $\phi$ 6 $\rho$ 00  $\tau$ 6 $\sigma$ 0 $\sigma$ 00  $\tau$ 6 $\tau$ 6 $\tau$ 0 $\tau$ 00  $\tau$ 6 $\tau$ 00  $\tau$ 6 $\tau$ 00  $\tau$ 6 $\tau$ 00  $\tau$ 70  $\tau$ 70  $\tau$ 80  $\tau$ 8 $\tau$ 70  $\tau$ 80  $\tau$ 90  $\tau$ 9

## GENITIVE OF POSSESSION OR BELONGING

- 1297. The genitive denotes ownership, possession, or belonging: ἡ οἰκίā ἡ Σίμωνος the house of Simon L. 3. 32, ὁ Κύρου στόλος the expedition of Cyrus X. A. 1. 2. 5. Cp. the dative of possession (1476).
- 1298. Here may be classed the genitive of origin: ol Σόλωνος νόμοι the laws of Solon D. 20. 103, ἡ ἐπιστολή τοῦ Φιλίππου the letter of Philip 18. 37, κόματα παντοίων ἀνέμων waves caused by all kinds of winds B 396.
- 1299. The possessive genitive is used with the neuter article (singular or plural) denoting affairs, conditions, power, and the like:  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  έφόρων the power of the ephors P. L. 712 d,  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$   $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta s$  the function of the art P. G. 450 c,  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu}$  δόλωνος the maxim of Solon P. Lach. 188 b, άδηλα  $\tau \hat{\alpha}$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  πολέμων the chances of war are uncertain T. 2. 11,  $\tau \hat{\alpha}$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$  πόλεως the interests of the State P. A. 36 c,  $\tau \hat{\alpha}$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\nu}$  δήμου φρονεί is on the side of the people Ar. Eq. 1216. Sometimes this is almost a mere periphrasis for the thing itself:  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$   $\tau \hat{\nu} \chi \eta \hat{\tau}$  chance D. 4. 12  $\tau \hat{\alpha}$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$  σωτηρίας safety 23. 163,  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}$  όσίας,  $\dot{\tau} \iota \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}$  τότην chance priphrasis, whatever it is 21. 126,  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  πρεσβυτέρων  $\dot{\tau} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  we elders P. L. 657 d. So  $\tau \delta$   $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$  S. Aj. 124 is almost =  $\delta \hat{\tau} \tau \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}$  as  $\tau \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\nu} \hat{\tau} \hat{\nu}$ . Eq. 19. 18. 19.
- **1300**. The genitive of possession may be used after a demonstrative or relative pronoun: τοῦτό μου διαβάλλει he attacks this action of mine D. 18. 28.
- 1301. With persons the genitive may denote the relation of child to parent, wife to husband, and of inferior to superior: Θουκῦδίδης ὁ 'Ολόρου Thucydides, the son of Olorus T. 4. 104 (and so viós is regularly omitted in Attic official documents), Διὸς "Αρτεμις Artemis, daughter of Zeus S. Aj. 172, ἡ Σμῖκυθίωνος Μελιστίχη Melistiche wife of Smicythion Ar. Eccl. 46, Λῦδὸς ὁ Φερεκλέους Lydus, the slave of Pherecles And. 1. 17, οι Μένωνος the troops of Menon X. A. 1. 5. 13 (οι τοῦ Μένωνος στρατιώται 1. 5. 11).

- a. In poetry we may have an attributive adjective: Τελαμώνιος Αΐας (= Αΐας ὁ Τελαμώνος) Β 528. Cp. 846 f.
- 1302. The word on which the possessive genitive depends may be represented by the article:  $d\pi\delta$   $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  from their own country  $(\gamma\hat{\eta}s)$  T. 1. 15 (cp. 1027 b). A word for dwelling (olkla,  $\delta\delta\mu$ os, and also  $\iota\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$ ) is perhaps omitted after  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}ls$ , and sometimes after  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ . Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  Apl $\phi\rho\sigma\nu$ os at Ariphron's P. Pr. 320 a,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  Διονόσου (scil.  $\iota\epsilon\rho\hat{\varphi}$ ) at the shrine of Dionysus D. 5. 7,  $\dot{\epsilon}ls$  διδασκάλου  $\phi$ οιτ $\hat{a}\nu$  to go to school X. C. 2. 3. 9,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  Πατροκλέους  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\chi$ ομαι I come from Patroclus's Ar. Plut. 84. So, in Homer,  $\dot{\epsilon}l\nu(\dot{\epsilon}ls)$  'Alδão.
- 1303. Predicate Use. The genitive may be connected with the noun it limits by means of a verb.

Ίπποκράτης ἐστὶ οἰκίᾶς μεγάλης Hippocrates is of an influential house P. Pr. 316 b, Βοιωτῶν ἡ πόλις ἔσται the city will belong to the Boeotians L. 12.58, ἡ Ζέλειά ἐστι τῆς ᾿Ασίᾶς Zelea is in Asia D. 9.43, οὐδὲ τῆς αὐτῆς Θράκης ἐγένοντο nor did they belong to the same Thrace T. 2.29, ἃ διώκει τοῦ ψηφίσματος, ταῦτ' ἐστίν the clauses in the bill which he attacks, are these D. 18. 56.

- 1305. With verbs signifying to refer or attribute, by thought, word, or action, anything to a person or class. Such verbs are to think, regard, make, name, choose, appoint, etc.

λογίζου . . . τὰ δ' ἄλλα τῆς τύχης deem that the rest belongs to chance E. Alc. 789, τῶν ἐλευθερωτάτων οἴκων νομισθεῖσα deemed a daughter of a house most free E. And. 12, ἐμὲ γράφε τῶν ἰππεύειν ὑπερεπιθῦμούντων put me down as one of those who desire exceedingly to serve on horseback X. C. 4. 3. 21, τῆς πρώτης τάξως τεταγμένος assigned to the first class L. 14. 11, τῆς ἀγαθῆς τύχης τῆς πόλεως εἶναι τίθημι I reckon as belonging to the good fortune of the State D. 18. 254, εἰ δέ τινες τῆν ᾿Ασίᾶν ἐαυτῶν ποιοῦνται but if some are claiming Asia as their own X. Ages. 1. 33, νομίζει ὑμᾶς ἑαυτοῦ εἶναι he thinks that you are in his power X. A. 2. 1, 11.

# GENITIVE OF THE DIVIDED WHOLE (PARTITIVE GENITIVE)

- 1306. The genitive may denote a whole, a part of which is denoted by the noun it limits. The genitive of the divided whole may be used with any word that expresses or implies a part.
- **1307.** Position. The genitive of the whole stands before or after the word denoting the part:  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \rho \rho \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda \tau a \sigma \tau a t targeteers of the Thracians T. 7.27, of approximately the reedy among the citizens D. 18.104; rarely between the limited noun and its article: of <math>\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta (\kappa \omega \nu \delta \phi (\kappa \nu \nu \delta \phi (\kappa \nu \nu \delta \phi \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon))$  those of the unrighteous who come here P. G. 525 c. Cp. 1161 N. 1.

- 1308. When all are included there is no partition: so in οὖτοι πάντες all of these, all these, τέτταρες ήμεις ημεν there were four of us, τὸ πῶν πληθος τῶν ὁπλιτων the entire body of the hoplites T. 8.93, ὅσοι ἐστὲ των ὁμοίων as many of you as belong to the 'peers' X. A. 4. 6. 14.
- 1309. The idea of division is often not explicitly stated. See third example in 1310.
- 1310. (I) The genitive of the divided whole is used with substantives.

μέρος τι τῶν βαρβάρων some part of the barbarians T. 1. 1, οἱ Δωριῆς ἡμῶν those of us who are Dorians 4.61. The governing word may be omitted: 'Apxlas τῶν Ἡρᾶκλειδῶν Archias (one) of the Heraclidae T. 6. 3. To an indefinite substantive without the article may be added a genitive denoting the special sort: Φεραύλας Πέρσης των δημοτών Pheraulas, a Persian, one of the common people X. C. 2. 3. 7.

- 1311. Chorographic Genitive. τη̂s 'Αττικη̂s ές Οίνδην το Oenoë in Attica Τ. 2. 18 (οτ ές Ολνόην της 'Αττικής, ποι ές της 'Αττικής Ολνόην), της 'Ιταλίας Λοκροί the Locrians in Italy 3. 86. The article, which is always used with the genitive of the country (as a place well known), is rarely added to the governing substantive (τὸ Κήναιον τῆς Εὐβοίας Cenaeum in Euboea T. 3. 93).
  - 1312. (II) With substantive adjectives and participles.
- οι άδικοι των άνθρώπων the unjust among men D. 27. 68 (but always οι θνητοί ἄνθρωποι), μόνος τῶν πρυτάνεων alone of the prytans P. A. 32 b, δλίγοι αὐτῶν few of them X. A. 3. 1. 3,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  ällaν Έλλήνων ὁ βουλόμενος whoever of the rest. of the Greeks so desires T. 3.92. So τὸ καταντικού αὐτῶν τοῦ σπηλαίου the part of the cavern facing them P. R. 515 a. For nihil novi the Greek says οὐδὲν καινόν.
- 1313. Adjectives denoting magnitude, and some others, may conform in gender to the genitive, instead of appearing in the neuter: ἔτεμον τῆς γῆς τὴν πολλήν they ravaged most of the land T. 2.56, της γης ή άριστη the best of the This construction occurs more frequently in prose than in poetry.
- 1314. But such adjectives, especially when singular, may be used in the neuter: τῶν Αργείων λογάδων τὸ πολύ the greater part of the picked Argives T. 5. 73, ἐπὶ πολύ τῆς χώρας over a great part of the land 4. 3.
  - 1315. (III) With comparatives and superlatives.

ήμων ο γεραίτερος the elder of us X. C. 5. 1. 6 (1066 b), οί πρεσβύτατοι των στρατηγών the oldest of the generals X. A. 3. 3. 11, σίτω πάντων άνθρώπων πλείστω χρώμεθ' ἐπεισάκτφ we make use of imported grain more than all other people So with a superlative adverb: ἡ ναῦς ἄριστά μοι ἔπλει παντὸς τοῦ στρατοπέδου my ship was the best sailer of the whole squadron L. 21. 6.

- 1316. In poetry this use is extended to positive adjectives: ἀριδείκετος άνδρων conspicuous among men Λ 248, δ φίλα γυναικών oh dear among women E. Alc. 460. In tragedy an adjective may be emphasized by the addition of the same adjective in the genitive : ἄρρητ' ἀρρήτων horrors unspeakable S. O. T. 465. Cp. 1064.
  - 1317. (IV) With substantive pronouns and numerals.

οί μὲν αὐτῶν, οἱ δ' οὕ some of them and not others P. A. 24 e, οῖ ὕστερον ἐλήφθησαν τῶν πολεμίων those of the enemy who were taken later X. A. 1. 7. 13, οὐδεἰς ἀνθρώπων no one in the world P. S. 220 a, τὶ τοῦ τείχους a part of the wall T. 7. 4, τὶς θεῶν one of the gods E. Hec. 164 (τὶς θεός a god X. C. 5. 2. 12), ἐν τῶν πολλῶν one of the many things P. A. 17 a; rarely after demonstrative pronouns: τούτοις τῶν ἀνθρώπων to these (of) men T. 1. 71.

- a. With  $\delta \lambda i \gamma o \iota$  and with numerals  $\delta \pi \delta$  and  $\delta \xi$  are rarely added:  $\delta \kappa \tau \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \nu$  one of three S. Tr. 734.  $\delta \xi$  with superlatives is also rare. See also 1688. 1 c.
- 1318. The genitive of the divided whole may do duty as the subject of a finite verb (928 b) or of the infinitive:  $(\xi \phi a \sigma a \nu) \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\nu} \nu a \iota \ \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \ \pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \ \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu o \nu s$  they said that some of their number associated with them X. A. 3. 5. 16.
- 1319. Predicate Use.  $-\hat{\eta}\nu \delta$  a d  $\hat{\nu}$  a  $\hat{\nu}$  a  $\hat{\nu}$  and among them was Phalinus X. A. 2. 1. 7.  $\hat{\Sigma}\delta\lambda\omega\nu \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau \dot{\alpha}$  so  $\hat{\nu}$  is  $\hat{\kappa}\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\eta$  Solon was called one of the Seven Sages I. 15. 235,  $\hat{\tau}\hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\alpha}\tau\sigma\pi\omega\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau\omega\nu \dot{\alpha}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\dot{\eta}$  it would be very strange D. 1. 26; and often with verbs signifying to be, become, think, say, name, choose. With some of these verbs  $\hat{\epsilon}$ s with the genitive may be used instead of the genitive alone.

## GENITIVE OF QUALITY

- 1320. The genitive to denote quality occurs chiefly as a predicate. ἐὼν τρόπου ἡσυχίου being of a peaceful disposition Hdt. 1. 107, οἱ δέ τινες τῆς αὐτῆς γνώμης ὁλίγοι κατέφυγον but some few of the same opinion fled T. 3. 70, ταῦτα παμπόλλων ἐστὶ λόγων this calls for a thorough discussion P. L. 642 a, θεωρήσατ' αὐτόν, μὴ ὁποτέρου τοῦ λόγου, ἀλλ' ὁποτέρου τοῦ βίου ἐστίν consider, not the manner of his speech, but the manner of his life Aes. 3. 168, εἰ δοκεῖ ταῦτα καὶ δαπάνης μεγάλης καὶ πόνων πολλῶν καὶ πρᾶγματείᾶς εἶναι if: these matters seem to involve great expense and much toil and trouble D. 8. 48.
- a. The attributive use occurs in poetry:  $\chi \delta \rho \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \delta \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu E \delta \rho \omega \pi \bar{a}s$  Europe with its pastures amid fair trees E. I. T. 134,  $\lambda \epsilon \nu \kappa \hat{\eta}s$   $\chi \iota \delta \nu os$   $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \nu \xi$  a wing white as snow (of white snow) S. Ant. 114.
- 1321. The use of the genitive to express quality, corresponding to the Latin genitive, occurs in the non-predicate position, only when age or size is exactly expressed by the addition of a numeral (genitive of measure, 1325). The Latin genitive of quality in mulier mirae pulchritudinis is expressed by γυνή θαυμασία κάλλος (οr τοῦ κάλλους), γυνή θαυμασία ἰδεῖν, γυνή ἔχουσα θαυμάσιον σχήμα, etc.

# GENITIVE OF EXPLANATION (APPOSITIVE GENITIVE)

1322. The genitive of an explicit word may explain the meaning of a more general word.

¹ Ιλίου πόλις Ε 642, as urbs Romae, ἄελλαι παντοίων ἀνέμων blasts formed of winds of every sort  $\epsilon$  292. This construction is chiefly poetic, but in prose we find δὸς μέγα χρῆμα a monster (great affair, 1294) of a boar Hdt. 1. 36, τὸ δρος τῆς ' Ἰστώνης Mt. Istone T. 4. 46 (very rare, 1142 c). An articular infinitive in the genitive often defines the application of a substantive : ἀμαθία ἡ τοῦ οἴεσθαι είδέναι ἃ οὐκ οἶδεν the ignorance of thinking one knows what one does not know P. A. 29 b.

a. But with δνομα the person or thing named is usually in apposition to δνομα:  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$  δὲ νεωτάτ $\varphi$  ἐθέμην δνομα Καλλίστρατον I gave the youngest the name Callistratus D. 43.74.

## GENITIVE OF MATERIAL OR CONTENTS

1323. The genitive expresses material or contents.

έρκος δδόντων the fence (consisting) of the teeth  $\Delta$  350, κρήνη ήδέος ὕδατος a spring of sweet water X. A. 6. 4. 4, σωροί σίτου, ξύλων, λίθων heaps of corn, wood, stones X. H. 4.4.12, έξακόσια τάλαντα φόρου six hundred talents in taxes T. 2. 13 (cp. 1296).

**1324.** Predicate Use: στεφάνους βόδων ὅντας, ἀλλ' οὐ χρῦσίου crowns that were of roses, not of gold D. 22. 70, ἐστρωμένη ἐστὶ ὁδὸς λίθου a road was paved with stone Hdt. 2. 138, and often with verbs of making, which admit also the instrumental dative. Hdt. has ποιεῖσθαι ἀπό and ἔκ τινος.

## GENITIVE OF MEASURE

1325. The genitive denotes measure of space, time, or degree.

όκτω σταδίων τείχος a wall eight stades long T. 7. 2, πέντε ἡμερῶν σῖτία provisions for five days 7. 43 (ep. fossa pedum quindecim, exilium decem annorum). Less commonly with a neuter adjective or pronoun: ἐπὶ μέγα ἐχώρησαν δυνάμεως they advanced to a great pitch of power T. 1.118, τὶ δόξης some honour (aliquid famae) 1. 5, ἀμήχανον εὐδαιμονίᾶς (something infinite in the way of happiness) infinite happiness P. A. 41 c (with emphasis on the adj.). But the phrases εἰς τοῦνο, εἰς τοσοῦνο ἀφικέσθαι (ἥκειν, ἐλθεῖν, προσβαίνειν, usually with a personal subject) followed by the genitive of abstracts are common: εἰς τοῦνο θράσους ἀφικενο he reached such a pitch of boldness D. 21. 194, ἐν παντὶ ἀθῦμῖας in utter despondency T. 7. 55, ἐν τοῦνο παρασκευῆς in this stage of preparation 2. 17, κατὰ τοῦνο καιροῦ at that critical moment 7. 2. The article with this genitive is unusual in classical Greek: εἰς τοῦνο τῆς ἡλικίᾶς to this stage of life L. 5. 3. Some of these genitives may also be explained by 1306.

- **1326.** Under the head of measure belongs amount: δυοίν μναίν πρόσοδος an income of two minae X. Vect. 3. 10. Cp. 1296, 1323.
- **1327.** Predicate Use.  $-\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\tau} \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\tilde{g}}$  τις τριάκοντα when a man is thirty years old P. L. 721 a, τὰ τείχη ἢν σταδίων ὀκτώ the walls were eight stades long T. 4.66.

## SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE GENITIVE

- 1328. With a verbal noun the genitive may denote the subject or object of the action expressed in the noun.
- a. Many of these genitives derive their construction from that of the kindred verbs:  $\tau o \hat{v} \, \dot{v} \delta a \tau o s \, \dot{e} \pi \iota \theta \bar{v} \mu \dot{a} \, desire \, for \, water \, T. \, 2. \, 52 \, (1349), \, \chi \dot{o} \lambda o s \, v \dot{t} \dot{o} \, s \, anger \, because \, of \, his \, son \, O \, 138 \, (1405)$ . But the verbal idea sometimes requires the accusative, or (less commonly) the dative.
- 1329. In poetry an adjective may take the place of the genitive: νόστος ὁ βασίλεως the return of the king A. Pers. 8. Cp. 1291.

- 1330. The Subjective Genitive is active in sense: τῶν βαρβάρων φόβος the fear of the barbarians (which they feel: οἱ βάρβαροι φοβοῦνται) Χ. Α. 1. 2. 17, ἡ βασιλέως ἐπιορκίᾶ the perjury of the king (βασιλέως ἐπιορκίᾶ) 3. 2. 4, τὸ ὀργιζόμενον τῆς γνώμης their angry feelings T. 2. 59 (such genitives with substantive participles are common in Thucydides; cp. 1153 b, N. 2).
- a. The objective genitive often precedes another genitive on which it depends: μετὰ τῆς ξυμμαχίας τῆς αιτήσεως with the request for an alliance T. 1. 32.
- 1332. Various prepositions are used in translating the objective genitive:  $\delta$  θεῶν πόλεμος war with the gods X. A. 2. 5. 7, ὅρκοι θεῶν oaths by the gods E. Hipp. 657, θεῶν εὐχαι prayers to the gods P. Phae. 244 e, ἀδικημάτων ὀργή anger at injustice L. 12. 20, ἐγκράτεια ἡδονῆς moderation in pleasure I. 1. 21, ἡ τῶν ἡδονῶν νἰκη victory over pleasures P. L. 840 c, τρόπαια βαρβάρων memorials of victory over barbarians X. A. 7. 6. 36, παραινέσεις τῶν ξυναλλαγῶν exhortations to reconciliation T. 4. 59, μῦθος φίλων tidings about friends S. Ant. 11, σοῦ μῦθος speech with thee S. O. C. 1161. In θανάτου λύσις release from death ι 421, μεταπαυσωλή πολέμοιο respite from war T 201, it is uncertain whether the genitive is objective or ablatival (1392).
- 1333. The objective genitive is often used when a prepositional expression, giving greater precision, is more usual:  $\tau \delta$  Meyapéwv  $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi i \sigma \mu a$  the decree relating to  $(\pi \epsilon \rho l)$  the Megarians T. 1. 140,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \beta a \sigma i s$   $\tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$  a descent upon the land (és  $\tau \dot{\eta} v \gamma \hat{\eta} v$ ) 1. 108,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \sigma \tau a \sigma i s$   $\tau \hat{\omega} v$  'Aθηναίων revolt from the Athenians ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} v$  'Aθηναίων) 8. 5.
- 1334. For the objective genitive a possessive pronoun is sometimes used:  $\sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \chi \delta \rho \iota \nu$  for thy sake P. Soph. 242 a,  $\delta \iota a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$  calumniation of me P. A. 20 e.  $\dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\delta} s$  for is usually objective: the fear which I inspire. (But  $\sigma o \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\nu} \theta o s$  speech with thee S. O. C. 1161.)
- 1335. Predicate Use. οὐ τῶν κακούργων οἶκτος, ἀλλὰ τῆς δίκης compassion is not for wrong-doers, but for justice E. fr. 270.

## GENITIVE OF VALUE

1336. The genitive expresses value.

lepà τριῶν ταλάντων offerings worth three talents L. 30. 20, χῖλίων δραχμῶν δίκην φεύγω I am defendant in an action involving a thousand drachmas D. 55. 25.

1337. Predicate Use: τους αlχμαλώτους τοσούτων χρημάτων λύεσθαι to ransom the captives at so high a price D. 19. 222, τριῶν δραχμῶν πονηρὸς ων a threepenny rogue 19. 200.

## TWO GENITIVES WITH ONE NOUN

1338. Two genitives expressing different relations may be used with one noun.

οι ἄνθρωποι διὰ τὸ αὐτῶν δέος τοῦ θανάτου καταψεύδονται by reason of their fear of death men tell lies P. Ph. 85 a, Διονόσου πρεσβῦτῶν χορός a chorus of old men in honour of Dionysus P. L. 665 b, ἡ τοῦ Λάχητος τῶν νεῶν ἀρχή Laches' command of the fleet T. 3. 115, ἡ Φαιάκων προενοίκησις τῆς Κερκόρας the former occupation of Corcyra by the Phaeacians 1.25.

## GENITIVE WITH VERBS

- 1339. The genitive may serve as the immediate complement of a verb, or it may appear, as a secondary definition, along with an accusative which is the immediate object of the verb (920, 1392, 1405).
- 1340. The subject of an active verb governing the genitive may become the subject of the passive construction: Νῖκήρατος ἐρῶν τῆς γυναικὸς ἀντερᾶται Niceratus, who is in love with his wife, is loved in return X. S. 8. 3. Cp. 1745 a.

## THE GENITIVE PROPER WITH VERBS

## THE PARTITIVE GENITIVE

1341. A verb may be followed by the partitive genitive if the action affects the object only in part. If the *entire* object is affected, the verb in question takes the accusative.

'Αδρήστοιο δ' ἔγημε θυγατρῶν he married one of Adrastus' daughters  $\Xi$  121, τῶν πώλων λαμβάνει he takes some of the colts X. A. 4. 5. 35, λαβόντες τοῦ βαρβαρικοῦ στρατοῦ taking part of the barbarian force 1. 5. 7, κλέπτοντες τοῦ δρους seizing part of the mountain secretly 4. 6. 15 (cp. τοῦ δρους κλέψαι τι 4. 6. 11), τῆς γῆς ἔτεμον they ravaged part of the land T. 2. 56 (cp. τὴν γῆν πῶσαν ἔτεμον 2. 57 and ἔτεμον τῆς γῆς τὴν πολλήν 2. 56), κατεάγη τῆς κεφαλῆς he had a hole knocked somewhere in his head Ar. Vesp. 1428 (τὴν κεφαλὴν κατεᾶγέναι to have one's head broken D. 54. 35).

- 1342. With impersonals a partitive genitive does duty as the subject:  $\pi$ ολέμου οὐ μετῆν αὐτῆ she had no share in war X. C. 7. 2. 28, έμοὶ οὐδαμόθεν προσήκει τούτου τοῦ πράγματος I have no part whatever in this affair And. 4. 34. Cp. 1318.
  - 1343. The genitive is used with verbs of sharing.

πάντες μετείχον τῆς ἐορτῆς all took part in the festival X. A. 5. 3. 9, μετεδίδοσαν ἀλλήλοις ὧν (= τούτων ἀ) εἶχον ἔκαστοι they shared with each other what each had 4. 5. 6, τὸ ἀνθρώπινον γένος μετείληφεν ἀθανασίᾶς the human race has received a portion of immortality P. L. 721 b, σίτου κοινωτέν to take a share of food X. M. 2. 6. 22, δικαιοσύνης οὐδὲν ὑμῖν προσήκει you have no concern in righteous dealing X. H. 2. 4. 40, πολῖτείᾶ, ἐν ἢ πένησιν οὐ μέτεστιν ἀρχῆς a form of government in which the poor have no part in the management of affairs P. R. 550 c. So with μεταλαγχάνειν get a share (along with somebody else), συναίρεσθαι and κοινοῦσθαι take part in, μεταιτεῖν and μεταποιεῖσθαι demand a share in.

1344. The part received or taken, if expressed, stands in the accusative. οι τύραννοι τῶν μεγίστων ἀγαθῶν ἐλάχιστα μετέχουσι tyrants have the smallest por-

tion in the greatest blessings X. Hi. 2. 6, τούτων μεταιτεί τὸ μέρος he demands his share of this Ar. Vesp. 972.

- a. With  $\mu\ell\tau\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$  the part may be added in the nominative:  $\mu\ell\tau\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$   $\chi bu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\pi\epsilon\pi\rho\hat{a}\gamma\mu\ell\nu\omega\nu$   $\mu\ell\rho$ os ye too have had a share in these doings E. I. T. 1299.
- 1345. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to touch, take hold of, make trial of.
- (ἡ νόσος) ήψατο τῶν ἀνθρώπων the plague laid hold of the men T. 2. 48, τῆς γνώμης τῆς αὐτῆς ἔχομαι I hold to the same opinion 1. 140, ἐν τῆ ἐχομένη ἐμοῦ κλίνη on the couch next to me P. S. 217 d, ἀντιλάβεσθε τῶν πρᾶγμάτων take our public policy in hand D. 1. 20, ὅπως πειρῶντο τοῦ τείχους to make an attempt on (a part of) the wall T. 2. 81. So with ψαύειν touch (rare in prose), ἀντέχεσθαι cling to, ἐπιλαμβάνεσθαι and συλλαμβάνεσθαι lay hold of.
- **1346.** The genitive of the part, with the accusative of the person (the whole) who has been touched, is chiefly poetical:  $τ ον δ ε πεσόντα ποδῶν ἔλαβε but him as he fell, he seized by his feet <math>\Delta$  463, ἔλαβον τῆς ζώνης τον 'Ορόνταν they took hold of Orontas by the girdle X. A. 1. 6. 10 (but μοῦ λαβόμενος τῆς χειρός taking me by the hand P. Charm. 153 b), ἄγειν τῆς ἡνίᾶς τὸν ἵππον to lead the horse by the bridle X. Eq. 6. 9 (cp. βοῦν δ΄ ἀγέτην κεράων they led the cow by the horns γ 439).
- **1347.** Verbs of beseeching take the genitive by analogy to verbs of touching: έμὲ λισσέσκετο γούνων she besought me by (clasping) my knees I 451 (cp. γενείου ἀψάμενος λίσσεσθαι beseech by touching his chin K 454).
  - 1348. The genitive is used with verbs of beginning.
- a. Partitive:  $\xi \phi \eta$  Kûpor ἄρχειν τοῦ λόγου ὧδε he said that Cyrus began the discussion as follows X. A. 1. 6. 5, τοῦ λόγου ἤρχετο ὧδε he began his speech as follows 3. 2. 7. On ἄρχειν as distinguished from ἄρχεσθαι see 1734. 5.
- b. Ablatival (1391) denoting the point of departure: σέο δ΄ ἄρξομαι I will make a beginning with thee I 97. In this sense ἀπό or έξ is usually added: ἀρξάμενοι ἀπὸ σοῦ D. 18. 297, ἄρξομαι ἀπὸ τῆς ἔατρικῆς λέγων I will make a beginning by speaking of medicine P. S. 186 b.
- 1349. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to aim at, strive after, desire (genitive of the end desired).

ἀνθρώπων στοχάζεσθαι to aim at men X. C. 1. 6. 29, ἐφιϵμενοι τῶν κερδῶν desiring gain T. 1. 8, πάντες τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἐπιθῦμοθσιν all men desire what is good P. R. 438 a, τὸ ἐρᾶν τῶν καλῶν the passionate love of what is noble Aes. 1. 137, πεινῶσι χρημάτων they are hungry for wealth X. S. 4. 36, πόλις ἐλευθερίᾶς διψήσᾶσα α state thirsting for freedom P. R. 562 c. So with ὅιστεύειν shoot at (poet.), λιλιέσθαι desire (poet.), γλίχεσθαι desire. φιλεῖν love, ποθεῖν long for take the accusative.

1350. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to reach, obtain (genitive of the end attained).

της άρετης έφικέσθαι to attain to virtue I. 1. 5, οι άκοντισταί βραχύτερα ήκόντιζον η ώς έξικνεῖσθαι των σφενδονητων the javelin-throwers did not hurl far enough to reach the slingers X. A. 3. 3. 7, σπονδων έτυχε he obtained a truce 3. 1. 28.

So with  $\kappa\nu\rho\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  obtain (poet.),  $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\rho\nu\rho\mu\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$  inherit,  $d\pi\rho\tau\nu\gamma\chi d\nu\epsilon\nu$  fail to hit.  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi d\nu\epsilon\nu$ , when compounded with  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$ , and  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ , takes the dative.  $\lambda\alpha\gamma\chi d\nu\epsilon\nu$  obtain by lot usually takes the accusative.

- a. This genitive and that of 1349 form the genitive of the goal.
- 1351. The genitive of the thing obtained may be joined with an ablatival genitive (1410) of the person: οὖ δὲ δὴ πάντων οἰδμεθα τεύξεσθαι ἐπαίνου in a case where we expect to win praise from all men X. A. 5. 7. 33. But where the thing obtained is expressed by a neuter pronoun, the accusative is employed.
- 1352. It is uncertain whether verbs signifying to miss take a partitive or an ablatival genitive: οὐδεὶς ἡμάρτανεν ἀνδρός no one missed his man X. A. 3. 4. 15, σφαλέντες τῆς δόξης disappointed in expectations T. 4. 85.
- 1353. Verbs of approaching and meeting take the genitive according to 1343 or 1349. These verbs are poetical. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\delta\omega\nu$   $\tau\alpha\dot{\nu}\rho\omega\nu$  for the purpose of obtaining (his share of) bulls a 25,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\eta\sigma\omega$   $\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$  are  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$  are  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$  to approach the ships S. Aj. 709. In the meaning draw near to verbs of approaching take the dative (1463).
  - 1354. The genitive is used with verbs of smelling.

δζω μύρου I smell of perfume Ar. Eccl. 524. So πνεῖν μύρου to breathe (smell of) perfume S. fr. 140.

1355. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to enjoy, taste, eat, drink.

άπολαύομεν πάντων τῶν ἀγαθῶν we enjoy all the good things X.M.4.3.11, εὐωχοῦ τοῦ λόγου enjoy the discourse P.R.352 b, δλίγοι σίτου ἐγεύσαντο few tasted food X.A.3.1.3. So (rarely) with ήδεσθαι take pleasure in.

- a. Here belong  $\delta\sigma\theta \ell \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\pi \ell \nu \epsilon \nu$  when they do not signify to eat up or drink up:  $\dot{\omega}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta \ell \epsilon \nu$  at  $\dot{\omega}\nu\dot{\omega}\nu$  to eat them alive X. H. 3. 3. 6,  $\pi \ell \nu \epsilon \nu$  of void drink some wine  $\chi$  11, as boire du vin (but  $\pi \ell \nu \epsilon \nu$  of voi drink wine  $\Xi$  5, as boire le vin). Words denoting food and drink are placed in the accusative when they are regarded as kinds of nourishment.
- 1356. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to remember, remind, forget, care for, and neglect.

των ἀπόντων φίλων μέμνησο remember your absent friends I. 1. 26, βούλομαι δ'  $\dot{\nu}$ μᾶς ἀναμνῆσαι των ἐμοὶ πεπραγμένων I desire to remind you of my past actions And. 4. 41, δέδοικα μὴ ἐπιλαθώμεθα τῆς οἴκαδε ὁδοῦ I fear lest we may forget the way home X. A. 3. 2. 25, ἐπιμελόμενοι οἱ μὲν ὑποζυγίων, οἱ δὲ σκευῶν some taking care of the pack animals, others of the baggage 4. 3. 30, τῆς των πολλων δόξης δεῖ ἡμᾶς φροντίζειν we must pay heed to the world's opinion P. Cr. 48 α, τἱ ἡμῖν τῆς τῶν πολλῶν δόξης μέλει; what do we care for the world's opinion? 44 c, τοῖς σπουδαίοις οὐχ οἶόν τε τῆς ἀρετῆς ἀμελεῖν the serious cannot disregard virtue I. 1. 48, μηδενὸς δλιγωρεῖτε μηδὲ καταφρονεῖτε (cp. 1385) των προστεταγμένων neither neglect nor despise any command laid on you 3. 48.

1357. So with μνημονεύειν remember (but usually with the accus., especially of things), άμνημονείν not to speak of, κήδεσθαι care for, έντρέπεσθαι give heed to,

ένθυμεῖσθαι think deeply of, προορᾶν make provision for (in Hdt.), μεταμέλει μοι it repents me, καταμελεῖν neglect.

- 1358. Many of these verbs also take the accusative. With the accus  $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$  means to remember something as a whole, with the gen. to remember something about a thing, bethink oneself. The accus is usually found with verbs of remembering and forgetting when they mean to hold or not to hold in memory, and when the object is a thing. Neuter pronouns must stand in the accus.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\lambda a\nu\theta\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  forget takes either the genitive or the accusative,  $\lambda a\nu\theta\dot{a}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  (usually poetical) always takes the genitive.  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  it is a care,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  care for,  $\mu\epsilon\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta a\iota$  think about may take  $\pi\epsilon\rho l$  with the genitive. of a generally means I remember when it has a person as the object (in the accusative).
- 1359. Verbs of reminding may take two accusatives: ταῦθ' ὑπέμνησ' ὑμᾶs I have reminded you of this D. 19. 25 (1628).
- 1360. With μέλει, the subject, if a neuter pronoun, may sometimes stand in the nominative (the personal construction): ταῦτα θεῷ μελήσει God will care for this P. Phae. 238 d. Except in poetry the subject in the nominative is very rare with other words than neuter pronouns: <math>χοροὶ πᾶσι μέλουσι P. L. 835 e.
- 1361. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to hear and perceive: ἀκούειν, κλύειν (poet.) hear, ἀκροᾶσθαι tisten to, αἰσθάνεσθαι perceive, πυνθάνεσθαι hear, learn of, συνιέναι understand, ὀσφραίνεσθαι scent. The person or thing, whose words, sound, etc. are perceived by the senses, stands in the genitive; the words, sound, etc. generally stand in the accusative.

τινὸς ἥκουσ' εἰπόντος I heard somebody say D. 8. 4, ἀκούσαντες τῆς σάλπιγγος hearing the sound of the trumpet X. A. 4. 2. 8, ἀκούσαντες τὸν θόρυβον hearing the noise 4.4. 21, ἀκροώμενοι τοῦ ἄδοντος listening to the singer X. C. 1. 3. 10, ὅσοι ἀλλήλων ξυνίεσαν all who understood each other T. 1. 3, ἐπειδὰν συνῖ $\hat{η}$  τις τὰ λεγόμενα when one understands what is said P. Pr. 325 c (verbs of understanding, συνίέναι and ἐπίστασθαι, usually take the accus.), κρομμύων ὀσφραίνομαι I smell onions Ar. Ran. 654.

- a. A supplementary participle is often used in agreement with the genitive of the person from whom something is heard: λέγοντος ἐμοῦ ἀκροάσονται οἱ νέοι the young men will listen when I speak P. A. 37 d.
- b. The accusative is almost always used when the thing heard is expressed by a substantivized neuter adjective or participle, but the genitive plural in the case of οὖτος, ὄδε, αὐτός, and ὄs is frequent.
- **1362.** A double genitive, of the person and of the thing, is rare with ἀκούειν: τῶν ὑπὲρ τῆς γραφῆς δικαίων ἀκούειν μου to listen to my just pleas as regards the indictment D. 18. 9.
- 1363. ἀκούειν, αἰσθάνεσθαι, πυνθάνεσθαι, meaning to become aware of, learn, take the accusative (with a participle in indirect discourse, 2112 b) of a personal or impersonal object: οἱ δὲ Πλαταιῆς, ὡς ἦσθοντο ἔνδον τε ὅντας τοὺς Θηβαίους καὶ κατειλημμένην τὴν πόλιν but the Plataeans, when they became aware that the Thebans were inside and that the city had been captured T. 2. ϡ, πυθόμενοι Αρταξέρξην τεθνηκότα having learned that Artaxerxes was dead 4. 50.

- a. To hear a thing is usually ἀκούειν τι when the thing heard is something definite and when the meaning is simply hear, not listen to.
- **1364**. ἀκούειν, ἀκροᾶσθαι, πυνθάνεσθαι, meaning to hear from, learn from, take the genitive of the actual source (1411).
- **1365.** ἀκούειν, κλύειν, πυνθάνεσθαί τινος may mean to hear about, hear of εί δέ κε τεθνηῶτος ἀκούσης but if you hear that he is dead a 289, κλύων σοῦ hearing about thee S. O. C. 307, ὡς ἐπύθοντο τῆς Πύλου κατειλημμένης when they heard of the capture of Pylos T. 4. 6. For the participle (not in indirect discourse) see 2112 a.  $\pi$ ερί is often used with the genitive without the participle.
- 1366. In the meaning heed, hearken, obey, verbs of hearing generally take the genitive:  $\mathring{a}\kappa o \iota \varepsilon = \mathring{a}\kappa \tau \omega \nu$ ,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\kappa h\acute{\epsilon}\gamma o \iota \delta$  a  $\sigma \iota \mu \mu \phi \acute{\epsilon}\rho \iota \iota$  listen to everything, but choose that which is profitable Men. Sent. 566,  $\tau \mathring{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \omega \nu \mathring{a}\kappa o \iota \iota \nu$  to submit to enemies X. C. 8. 1. 4.  $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$  takes the genitive, instead of the dative, by analogy to this use (Hdt. 6. 12, T. 7. 73). (On the dative with  $\mathring{a}\kappa o \iota \iota \nu o b e \iota \nu o b e$
- 1367. αΙσθάνεσθαι takes the genitive, or (less frequently) the accusative, of the thing immediately perceived by the senses: τῆς κραυγῆς ἥσθοντο they heard the noise X. H. 4. 4, ἤσθετο τὰ γιγνόμενα he perceived what was happening X. C. 3. 1. 4. The genitive is less common than the accusative when the perception is intellectual: ὡς ἦσθοντο τειχιζόντων when they heard that they were progressing with their fortification T. 5. 83. Cp. 1363.
- 1368. Some verbs, ordinarily construed with the accusative, take the genitive by the analogy of  $al\sigma\theta d\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ , etc.: ἔγνω ἄτοπα έμοῦ ποιοῦντος he knew that I was acting absurdly X. C. 7. 2. 18, ἀγνοοῦντες ἀλλήλων ὅ τι λέγομεν each of us mistaking what the other says P. G. 517 c. This construction of verbs of knowing (and showing) occurs in Attic only when a participle accompanies the genitive.
- 1369. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to fill, to be full of. The thing filled is put in the accusative.
- οὐκ έμπλήσετε τὴν θάλατταν τριήρων; will you not cover the sea with your triremes? D.8.74, ἀναπλῆσαι αἰτιῶν to implicate in guilt P.A.32 c, τροφῆς εὐπορεῖν to have plenty of provisions X. Vect. 6.1, τριήρης σεσαγμένη ἀνθρώπων α trireme stowed with men X. O. 8.8, ὕβρεως μεστοῦσθαι to be filled with pride P. L. 713 c. So with πλήθειν, πληροῦν, γέμειν, πλουτεῖν, βρίθειν (poet.), βρύθειν (poet.).
- a. Here belong also  $\chi \epsilon l \rho$   $\sigma \tau \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota$   $\theta \upsilon \eta \lambda \dot{\eta} s$  "Areos his hand drips with sacrifice to Ares S. El. 1423,  $\mu \epsilon \theta \upsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon l s$   $\tau \dot{o} \dot{\nu} \epsilon \kappa \tau a \rho o s$  intoxicated with nectar P. S. 203 b,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \chi \rho o \ddot{\upsilon} \ddot{o} a \tau o s$  the spring flows with cold water P. Phae. 230 b. The instrumental dative is sometimes used.
- 1370. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to rule, command, lead.
- θεῖον τὸ ἐθελόντων ἄρχειν it is divine to rule over willing subjects X. O. 21. 12, τῆς θαλάττης ἐκράτει he was master of the sea P. Menex. 239e, "Ερως τῶν θεῶν βασιλεύει Love is king of the gods P. S. 195 c, ἡγεῖτο τῆς ἐξόδου he led the expedition T. 2. 10, στρατηγεῖν τῶν ξένων to be general of the mercenaries X. A.

- 6. 28. So with τυραννεῖν be absolute master of, ἀνάσσειν be lord of (poet.), ἡγεμονεύειν be commander of. This genitive is connected with that of 1402.
- **1371.** Several verbs of ruling take the accusative when they mean to conquer, overcome (so  $\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ), or when they express the domain over which the rule extends; as  $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$   $\Pi \epsilon \lambda \sigma \delta \nu \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \hat{\tau} \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \sigma \omega \hat{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota try not to lessen your dominion over the Peloponnese T. 1. 71. <math>\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \nu \nu$  means to be a guide to any one, show any one the way. Cp. 1537.

# GENITIVE OF PRICE AND VALUE

1372. The genitive is used with verbs signifying to buy, sell, cost, value, exchange. The price for which one gives or does anything stands in the genitive.

άργυρίου πρίασθαι ἢ ἀποδόσθαι ἴππον to buy or sell a horse for money P. R. 333 b, Θεμιστοκλέα τῶν μεγίστων δωρεῶν ἡξίωσαν they deemed Themistocles worthy of the greatest gifts I. 4. 154, οὐκ ἀνταλλακτέον μοι τὴν φιλοτιμίαν οὐδενὸς κέρδους I must not barter my public spirit for any price D. 19. 223. So with τάττειν rate, μισθοῦν let, μισθοῦσθαι hire, ἐργάζεσθαι work, and with any verb of doing anything for a wage, as οἱ τῆς παρ΄ ἡμέραν χάριτος τὰ μέγιστα τῆς πόλεως ἀπολωλεκότες those who have ruined the highest interests of the State to purchase ephemeral popularity D. 8. 70, πόσου διδάσκει; πέντε μνῶν for how much does he teach ? for five minue P. A. 20 b, οἱ Χαλδαῖοι μισθοῦ στρατεύονται the Chaldaeans serve for pay X. C. 3. 2. 7.

- a. The instrumental dative is also used. With verbs of exchanging, dart is usual (1683).
- 1373. Το value highly and lightly is περὶ πολλοῦ (πλείονος, πλείστου) and περὶ ὁλίγου (ἐλάττονος, ἐλαχίστου) τιμάσθαι οτ ποιεῖσθαι: τὰ πλείστου ἄξια περὶ ἐλαχίστου ποιεῖται, τὰ δὲ φαυλότερα περὶ πλείονος he makes least account of what is most important, and sets higher what is less estimable P. A. 30 a. The genitive of value, without περὶ, is rare: πολλοῦ ποιοῦμαι ἀκηκοέναι ἃ ἀκήκοα Πρωταγόρου I esteem it greatly to have heard what I did from Protagoras P. Pr. 328 d.
- a. The genitive of cause is rarely used to express the thing bought or that for which pay is demanded: οὐδένα τῆς συνουσίας άργύριον πράττει you charge nobody anything for your teaching X. M. 1. 6. 11, τρεῖς μναῖ διφρίσκου three minae for a small chariot Ar. Nub. 31.
- 1374. In legal language τιμῶν τιν θανάτου is to fix the penalty at death (said of the jury, which is not interested in the result), τιμῶσθαί τινι θανάτου to propose death as the penalty (said of the accuser, who is interested), and τιμῶσθαί τινο to propose a penalty against oneself (said of the accused). Cp. τιμᾶταί μοι ὁ ἀνὴρ θανάτου the man proposes death as my penalty P. A. 36 b, ἀλλὰ δὴ ἀνγῆς τιμήσωμαι; ἴσως γὰρ ἄν μοι τούτου τιμήσωιτε but shall I propose exile as my penalty? for perhaps you (the jury) might fix it at this 37 c. So θανάτου with κρίνειν, διώκειν, ὑπάγειν. Cp. 1379.

# GENITIVE OF CRIME AND ACCOUNTABILITY

1375. With verbs of judicial action the genitive denotes the crime, the accusative denotes the person accused.

alτιᾶσθαι ἀλλήλους τοῦ γεγενημένου to accuse one another of what had happened X. Ages. 1. 33, διώκω μὲν κακηγορίᾶς, τ $\hat{\eta}$  δ' αὐτ $\hat{\eta}$  ψήφω φόνου φεύγω I bring an accusation for defamation and at the same trial am prosecuted for murder L. 11. 12, ἐμὲ ὁ Μέλητος ἀσεβείᾶς ἐγράψατο Meletus prosecuted me for impiety P. Euth. 5 c, δώρων ἐκρίθησαν they were tried for bribery L. 27. 3. On verbs of accusing and condemning compounded with κατά, see 1385.

- 1376. So with ἀμόνεσθαι and κολάζειν punish, εἰσάγειν and προσκαλεῖσθαι summon into court, αἰρεῖν convict, τἶμωρεῖσθαι take vengeance on. With τῗμωρεῖν avenge and λαγχάνειν obtain leave to bring a suit, the person avenged and the person against whom the suit is brought are put in the dative. So with δικάζεσθαί τινί τινος to go to law with a man about something.
- 1377. Verbs of judicial action may take a cognate accusative  $(\delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu, \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu)$ , on which the genitive of the crime depends:  $\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \ \ddot{\nu} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s \ \kappa a l \ \delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu \ \kappa a \kappa \eta \gamma o \rho l \ddot{a} s$   $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \xi \epsilon \tau a l \ he will be brought to trial on an indictment for outrage and on a civil action for slander D. 21. 32. From this adnominal use arose the construction of the genitive with this class of verbs.$
- 1378. ἀλίσκεσθαι (ἀλῶναι) be convicted, δφλισκάνειν lose a suit, φείγειν be prosecuted are equivalent to passives: ἐάν τις ἀλῷ κλοπῆς...καν ἀστρατείας τις δφλη if any one be condemned for theft... and if any one be convicted of desertion D. 24. 103, ἀσεβείας φείγοντα ὑπὸ Μελήτου being tried for impiety on the indictment of Meletus P. A. 35 d. ὀφλισκάνειν may take δίκην as a cognate accus. (ὡφληκέναι δίκην to be cast in a suit Ar. Av. 1457); the crime or the penalty may stand in the genitive (with or without δίκην), or in the accusative: ὁπόσοι κλοπῆς ἢ δώρων δφλοιεν all who had been convicted of embezzlement or bribery And. 1. 74, ὑφ᾽ ὑμῶν θανάτου δίκην ὀφλών having incurred through your verdict the penalty of death, ὑπὸ τῆς ἀληθείας ὡφληκότες μοχθηρίαν condemned by the truth to suffer the penalty of wickedness P. A. 39 b.
- - a. With many verbs of judicial action  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  is used.

## GENITIVE OF CONNECTION

1380. The genitive may express a more or less close connection or relation, where  $\pi\epsilon\rho i$  is sometimes added.

With verbs of saying or thinking:  $\tau l$  dè îpp of  $\iota$ ; but what do you think of horses? P. R. 459 b. Often in poetry:  $\iota i\pi e$  dé  $\mu o$ ;  $\pi a \tau \rho b$ s but tell me about my father  $\lambda$  174,  $\tau o$ 0 kasignfou  $\tau l$   $\phi f$ s; what dost thou say of thy brother? S. El. 317.

1381. The genitive is often used loosely, especially at the beginning of a construction, to state the subject of a remark:  $"i\pi\pi\sigma s ην$  κακουργ $"i\eta$ , τον iππέα κακεξομεν τ <math>"iηs δενναικός, εί κακοποιεῖ κτλ. if a horse is victous, we lay the fault to the groom; but as regards a wife, if she conducts herself ill, etc. X. O. 3. 11, ωσαύτως <math>δενκαινων εκνωνων εκνωνων από του in the case of the other arts too P. Charm. 165 d, <math>τι δενων πολλων καλων; what about the many beautiful things? P. Ph. 78 d.

## GENITIVE WITH COMPOUND VERBS

- 1382. The genitive depends on the meaning of a compound verb as a whole (1) if the simple verb takes the genitive without a preposition, as ὑπείκειν ωτίλιἀταιν, παραλόειν release, παραχωρεῖν surrender (1392), ἐφὶεσθαι desire (1349); or (2) if the compound has acquired through the preposition a signification different from that of the simple verb with the preposition: thus ἀπογνόντες τῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς despairing of freedom L. 2.46 cannot be expressed by γνόντες ἀπὸ τῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς. But it is often difficult to determine whether the genitive depends on the compound verb as a whole or on the preposition contained in it.

- a. This use is especially common with  $\kappa a \tau \acute{a}$  against or at:  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  mov  $\kappa a \tau \acute{\epsilon} l \pi \eta s$  don't speak against me P. Th. 149 a,  $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \psi \epsilon \acute{\nu} \sigma a \tau \acute{b}$  move he spoke falsely against me D. 18. 9,  $\psi \epsilon \nu \delta \mathring{\eta}$   $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \gamma \lambda \acute{\nu} \tau \tau \iota \zeta \acute{\epsilon}$  move the mouthed lies at me Ar. Ach. 380. The construction in 1384 is post-Homeric.
- 1385. The verbs of accusing and condemning (cp. 1875) containing κατά in composition (καταγιγνώσκειν decide against, καταδικάζειν adjudge against, κατα-ψηφίζεσθαι vote against, κατακρίνειν give sentence against) take a genitive of the person, and an accusative of the penalty. κατηγορεῖν accuse, καταγιγνώσκειν and καταψηφίζεσθαι take a genitive of the person, an accusative of the crime: καταγνώναι δωροδοκίαν έμοῦ to pronounce me guilty of bribery L. 21. 21, τούτου δειλίαν καταψηφίζεσθαι to vote him guilty of covardice 14. 11, τῶν διαφυγόντων θάνατον καταγνόντες having condemned the fugitives to death T. 6. 60; person, crime, and penalty: πολλῶν οί πατέρες μηδισμοῦ θάνατον κατέγνωσαν ουτ fathers passed sentence of death against many for favouring the Persians I. 4. 157. The genitive is rarely used to express the crime or the penalty: παρανόμων αὐτοῦ κατηγορεῖν to accuse him of proposing unconstitutional measures D. 21. 5; cp. ἀνθρώπων καταψηφισθέντων θάνατον men who have been condemned to death P. R. 558 a.
- 1386. In general, prose, as distinguished from poetry, repeats the preposition contained in the compound; but  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$  is not repeated.
  - 1387. Passive. θάνατος αὐτῶν κατεγνώσθη sentence of death was passed on

them L. 13.39 (so κατεψηφισμένος ην μου ὁ θάνατος Χ. Αρ. 27), κατηγορείτο αὐτοῦ οὐχ ήκιστα μηδισμός he was especially accused of favouring the Persians T. 1.95.

## FREE USES OF THE GENITIVE

- 1388. Many verbs ordinarily construed with the accusative are also followed by a genitive of a person, apparently dependent on the verb but in reality governed by an accusative, generally a neuter pronoun or a dependent clause. Thus, τάδ' αὐτοῦ ἄγαμαι I admire this in him X. Ages. 2.7, τοῦτο ἐπαινῶ ᾿Αγησιλάου I praise this in Agesilaus 8.4, αὐτῶν ἐν ἐθαύμασα I was astonished at one thing in them P. A. 17 a, ᾿Αθηναῖοι σφῶν ταῦτα οὐκ ἀποδέξονται the Athenians will not be satisfied with them in this T. 7. 48, δ μέμφονται μάλιστα ἡμῶν which they most censure in us 1. 84, εἰ ἄγασαι τοῦ πατρὸς ὅσα πέπρᾶχε if you admire in my father what he has done (the actions of my father) X. C. 3. 1. 15, διαθεώμενος αὐτῶν ὅσην χώρᾶν ἔχοιεν contemplating how large a country they possess X. A. 3. 1. 19, θαυμάζω τῶν στρατηγῶν ὅτι οὐ πειρῶνται ἡμῦν ἐκπορίζειν σῖτηρέσιον I wonder that the generals do not try to supply us with money for provisions 6.2. 4, ἐνενόησε δὲ αὐτῶν καὶ ὡς ἐπηρώτων ἀλλήλους he took note also how they asked each other questions X. C. 5. 2. 18. So with θεωρεῦν observe, ὑπονοεῦν feel suspicious of, ἐνθῦμεῖσθαι consider, etc.
- 1390. A form of the genitive of possession appears in poetry with verbal adjectives and passive participles to denote the personal origin of an action (cp. 1298):  $\kappa \epsilon i \nu \eta s \, \delta i \delta a \kappa \tau \dot{a} \, taught \, of \, her \, S. \, El. \, 344, \, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i \delta a \chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} ls \, \tau \, \dot{\omega} \nu \, \kappa a \tau' \, ol \kappa \sigma \nu \, informed \, by those in the house S. Tr. 934, <math>\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\epsilon} ls \, \theta \nu \gamma a \tau \rho \dot{o} s \, struck \, by \, a \, daughter \, E. \, Or. \, 497.$  Cp.  $\delta i \delta \sigma \delta \sigma \tau os \, given \, of \, God$ ; and "beloved of the Lord."

On the genitive absolute, see 2070.

## THE ABLATIVAL GENITIVE WITH VERBS

1391. The same verb may govern both a true genitive and an ablatival genitive. So  $\delta\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  to begin (1348 a) and to start from,  $\xi\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$  to hold to (1345) and to keep oneself from. In many cases it is difficult to decide whether the genitive in question was originally the true genitive or the ablatival genitive, or whether the two have been combined; e.g. in  $\kappa\nu\nu\epsilon\eta$   $\bar{\rho}\bar{\nu}\nu\bar{\nu}$   $\bar{\tau}$  a cap made of hide K 262,  $\kappa\omega\tau\epsilon\lambda\lambda\nu$   $\epsilon\bar{\nu}$   $\epsilon\bar{\nu}$ 

### GENITIVE OF SEPARATION

1392. With verbs signifying to cease, release, remove, restrain, give up, fail, be distant from, etc., the genitive denotes separation.

λήγειν τῶν πόνων to cease from toil I.1.14, ἐπιστήμη χωριζομένη δικαιοσύνης knowledge divorced from justice P. Menex. 246 e, μεταστὰς τῆς ᾿Αθηναίων ξυμμαχίας withdrawing from the alliance with the Athenians T. 2. 67, παύσαντες αὐτὸν τῆς στρατηγίας removing him from his office of general X. H. 6. 2. 13, εἰργεσθαι τῆς ἀγορᾶς to be excluded from the forum I. 6. 24, σῶσαι κακοῦ to save from evil S. Ph. 919, ἐκώλῦον τῆς πορείας αὐτὸν they prevented him from passing X. Ages. 2. 2, πᾶς ἀσκὸς δύο ἀνδρας ἔξει τοῦ μὴ καταδῦναι each skin will keep two men from sinking X. A. 3. 5. 11, λόγον τελευτᾶν to end a speech T. 3. 59, τῆς ἐλευθερίας παραχωρῆσαι Φιλίππω to surrender their freedom to Philip D. 18. 68, οὐ πόνων ὑφίετο, οὐ κινδύνων ἀφίστατο, οὐ χρημάτων ἐφείδετο he did not relax his toil, stand aloof from dangers, or spare his money X. Ages. 7. 1, ψευσθέντες τῶν ἐλπίδων disappointed of their expectations I. 4. 58 (but cp. 1352), ἡ νῆσος οὐ πολὐ διέχουσα τῆς ἡπείρου the island being not far distant from the mainland T. 3. 51.

- 1393. Several verbs of separation, such as  $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu$  (especially with a personal subject), may take  $\hat{\alpha}\pi \hat{\sigma}$  or  $\hat{\epsilon}\xi$  when the local idea is prominent. Many take also the accusative.
- 1394. The genitive, instead of the accusative (1628), may be used with verbs of depriving: ἀποστερεῖ με τῶν χρημάτων he deprives me of my property I. 17. 35, τῶν ἀλλων ἀφαιρούμενοι χρήματα taking away property from others X. M. 1. 5. 3.
- 1395. The genitive of the place whence is employed in poetry where a compound verb would be used in prose: βάθρων ἴστασθε rise from the steps S. O. T. 142 (cp. ὑπανίστανται θάκων they rise from their seats X. S. 4. 31), χθονὸς ἀείρᾶς raising from the ground S. Ant. 417.
- 1396. The genitive with verbs signifying to want, lack, empty, etc. may be classed with the genitive of separation.
- των ἐπιτηδείων οὐκ ἀπορήσομεν we shall not want provisions X. A. 2. 2. 11, ἐπαίνου οὕποτε σπανίζετε you never lack praise X. Hi. 1. 14, ἀνδρων τάνδε πόλιν κενωσαι to empty this city of its men A. Supp. 660. So with ἐλλείπειν and στέρεσθαι lack, ἐρημοῦν deliver from.
- 1398. δέομαι I want, request may take the genitive, or the accusative (regularly of neuter pronouns and adjectives), of the thing wanted; and the genitive of the person:  $\epsilon_{\rho\omega\tau}$ ώμενος ὅτου δέοιτο, ᾿Ασκῶν, ἔφη, δισχῖλίων δεήσομαι being asked what he needed, he said 'I shall have need of two thousand skins' X. A. 3. 5. 9, τοῦτο ὑμῶν δέομαι I ask this of you P. A. 17 c. The genitive of the thing and of the person is unusual: δεόμενοι Κύρου ἄλλος ἄλλης πράξεως petitioning Cyrus about different matters X. C. 8. 3. 19.
- 1399.  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$  (impersonal) is frequently used with genitives of quantity:  $\pi \delta \lambda \delta \hat{\imath}$   $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$  out as  $\xi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$  far from that being the case P. A. 35 d, oud  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$  D. 8. 42 (only in D.) and oud difference of i no, far from it D. 19. 184.  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  may be omitted (but not with  $\pi \delta \lambda \delta \hat{\imath} \hat{\jmath}$ ), leaving  $\delta \lambda \ell \gamma \delta \nu$  and  $\mu \hat{\imath} \kappa \rho \delta \hat{\jmath}$  in the sense of almost, all but:

δλίγου πάντες almost all P. R. 552 d, όλίγου είλον τὴν πόλιν they all but took the city T. 8.35. On δείν used absolutely, see 2012 d; on δέων with numerals, 350 c.

## GENITIVE OF DISTINCTION AND OF COMPARISON

1401. The genitive is used with verbs of differing.

ἄρχων ἀγαθὸς οὐδὲν διαφέρει πατρὸς ἀγαθοῦ a good ruler differs in no respect from a good father X. C. 8. 1. 1.

- 1402. With verbs signifying to surpass, be inferior to, the genitive denotes that with which anything is compared.
- τίμαῖς τούτων ἐπλεονεκτεῖτε you had the advantage over them in honours X. A. 3. 1. 37, ἡττῶντο τοῦ ὕδατος they were overpowered by the water X. H. 5. 2. 5, ὑστερεῖν τῶν ἔργων to be too late for operations D. 4. 38, ἡμῶν λειφθέντες inferior to us X. A. 7. 7. 31. So with πρεσβεύειν hold the first place, ἀριστεύειν be best (poet.), μειοῦσθαι fall short of, μειονεκτεῖν be worse off, ἐλαττοῦσθαι be at a disadvantage. νικᾶσθαί τινος is chiefly poetic. ἡττᾶσθαι often takes ὑπό. Akin to this genitive is that with verbs of ruling (1370), which are often derived from a substantive signifying ruler.
- **1404.** The object compared may be expressed by  $\pi\rho\delta$ ,  $d\nu\tau l$  with the genitive, or by  $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta$ s with the accusative. See under Prepositions. That in which one thing is superior or inferior to another usually stands in the dative (1513, 1515).

#### GENITIVE OF CAUSE

1405. With verbs of emotion the genitive denotes the cause. Such verbs are to wonder at, admire, envy, praise, blame. hate, pity, grieve for, be angry at, take vengeance on, and the like.

έθαύμασα τῆς τόλμης τῶν λεγόντων I wondered at the hardihood of the speakers L. 12. 41, τοῦτον ἀγασθεὶς τῆς πραότητος admiring him for his mildness X. C. 2.3. 21, ζηλῶ σε τοῦ νοῦ, τῆς δὲ δειλίᾶς στυγῶ I envy thee for thy prudence, I hate thee for thy cowardice S. El. 1027, σὲ ηὐδαιμόνισα τοῦ τρόπου I thought you happy

because of your disposition P.Cr. 43 b, συγχαίρω τῶν γεγενημένων I share the joy at what has happened D. 15. 15, ἀνέχεσθαι τῶν οἰκείων ἀμελουμένων to put up with the neglect of my household affairs P. A. 31 b, τὸν ξένον δίκαιον αἰνέσαι προθῦμίᾶς it is right to praise the stranger for his zeal E. I. A. 1371, οὔποτ' ἀνδρὶ τῷδε κηρῦκευμάτων μέμψη never wilt thou blame me for my tidings A. Sept. 651, τοῦ πάθους Κκτῖρεν αὐτόν he pitied him for his misery X. C. 5. 4. 32, οὐδ' εἰκὸς χαλεπῶς φέρειν αὐτών nor is it reasonable to grieve about them T. 2. 62, οὐκέτι ὧν οὖτοι κλέπτουσιν δργίζεσθε, ἀλλ' ὧν αὐτοὶ λαμβάνετε χάριν ἴστε γου are no longer angry at their thefts, but you are grateful for what you get yourselves L. 27. 11, τῆμωρήσασθαι αὐτοὺς τῆς ἐπιθέσεως to take revenge on them for their attack X. A. 7. 4. 23. Here belongs, by analogy, συγγιγνώσκειν αὐτοῖς χρὴ τῆς ἐπιθῦμίᾶς τὶν ἐπιθῦμίαν τινί οτ τῆ ἐπιθῦμία τινός).

- a. The genitive of cause is partly a true genitive, partly ablatival.
- **1406.** With the above verbs the person stands in the accusative or dative. Some of these verbs take the dative or  $\epsilon\pi i$  and the dative  $(e.g.~\dot{a}\lambda\gamma\epsilon\hat{i}\nu,~\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\iota\nu,~\dot{a}\chi\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota,~\phi\theta o\nu\epsilon\hat{i}\nu)$  to express the cause of the emotion. See the Lexicon.
- 1407. The genitive of cause is used in exclamations and is often preceded by an interjection:  $\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v}$  ded  $\phi s$  alas for the man! X.C. 3.1.39,  $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \dot{v} \chi \eta s$  my ill luck! 2.2.3. In tragedy, the genitive of a pronoun or adjective after of  $\phi \omega$  or  $\phi \omega$  refers to the second or third person. For the first person the nominative is used (of  $\omega$  or  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \nu a$  and  $\omega$  me, miserable! S.Ant. 554).
- **1408.** Allied to the genitive of cause is the genitive of purpose in  $\tau o \hat{v}$  with the infinitive (esp. with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , 2032 e), and in expressions where  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu \epsilon \kappa a$  is usually employed, as  $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \hat{a} \sigma'$   $d\pi d\tau \eta$   $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu d\sigma \theta \eta$   $\tau o \hat{v}$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\Phi \omega \kappa \epsilon \ddot{a} s$   $\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o v$  the whole fraud was contrived for the purpose of ruining the Phocians D. 19.76.
- 1409. Closely connected with the genitive of cause is the genitive with verbs of disputing: οὐ βασιλεῖ ἀντιποιούμεθα τῆς ἀρχῆς we have no dispute with the king about his empire X. A. 2. 1. 23, ἡμφισβήτησεν Ἐρεχθεῖ τῆς πόλεως he disputed the possession of the city with Erechtheus I. 12. 193, ἀρ οὖν μὴ ἡμῖν ἐναντιώσεται τῆς ἀπαγωγῆς; well then he will not oppose us about the removal (of the army), will he? X. A. 7. 6. 5. ἀντιποιεῖσθαι claim may follow 1349 (τῆς πόλεως ἀντεποιοῦντο they laid claim to the city T. 4. 122). Verbs of disputing are sometimes referred to 1343 or 1349.

## GENITIVE OF SOURCE

## 1410. The genitive may denote the source.

πίθων ἡφύσσετο οἶνος wine was broached from the casks  $\psi$  305, Δᾶρείου καὶ Παρυσάτιδος γίγνονται παῖδες δύο of Darius and Parysatis are born two sons X.A. 1.1.1, ταῦτα δέ σου τυχόντες obtaining this of you 6.6.32, μάθε μου καὶ τάδε learn this also from me X.C. 1.6.44.

**1411.** With verbs of hearing from and the like the genitive is probably ablatival rather than partitive (1364):  $\epsilon$ μοῦ ἀκούσεσθε πᾶσαν τὴν ἀλήθειαν from me you shall hear the whole truth P. Λ. 17 b, τούτων πυνθάνομαι ὅτι οὐκ ἄβατόν ἐστι τὸ ὅρος I learn from these men that the mountain is not impassable X. A. 4.6.17, τοιαθτά

του παρόντος ἔκλυον such a tale I heard from some one who was present S. El. 424, είδέναι δέ σου χρήζω I desire to know of thee S. El. 668.

- a. Usually (except with  $\pi \nu \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\theta} a \iota$ ) we have  $\pi \dot{a} \rho \dot{a}$  ( $\dot{a} \pi \dot{b}$  rarely),  $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$  or  $\pi \rho \dot{b} s$  (in poetry and Hdt.) with verbs of hearing from.
- b. The genitive with  $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$  in  $\pi a \tau \rho \delta s$   $\delta' \epsilon l \mu'$   $d \gamma a \theta o l o$  l a m of a good father  $\Phi$  109,  $\tau o \iota o t \tau \omega \nu$   $\mu \epsilon \nu$   $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$   $\pi \rho o \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$  of such ancestors are good X, A, B, B, B is often regarded as a genitive of source, but is probably possessive.

## GENITIVE WITH ADJECTIVES

- 1412. The genitive is used with many adjectives corresponding in derivation or meaning to verbs taking the genitive.
- 1413. The adjective often borrows the construction with the genitive from that of the corresponding verb; but when the verb takes another case (especially the accusative), or when there is no verb corresponding to the adjective, the adjective may govern the genitive to express possession, connection more or less close, or by analogy. Many of the genitives in question may be classed as objective as well as partitive or ablatival. Rigid distinction between the undermentioned classes must not be insisted on.
- 1414. Possession and Belonging (1297). ὁ ἔρως κοινὸς πάντων ἀνθρώπων love common to all men P. S. 205 a (cp. κοινωνεῖν 1343), ἱερὸς τοῦ αὐτοῦ θεοῦ sacred to the same god P. Ph. 85 b, οἱ κίνδῦνοι τῶν ἐφεστηκότων ἴδιοι the dangers belong to the commanders D. 2. 28. So with οἰκεῖος and ἐπιχώριος peculiar to. κοινός (usually), οἰκεῖος inclined to, appropriate to, and ἴδιος also take the dative (1499).
- **1415.** Sharing (1343).  $\sigma o \phi l \bar{a}s$  métocos partaking in wisdom P. L. 689 d,  $l \sigma \delta \mu o l \rho o l$  at the having an equal share in everything X. C. 2. 1. 31, "brews amolpos having no part in wantonness P. S. 181 c. So akknoos without lot in, amétocos not sharing in.
- **1417.** Connection. ἀκόλουθα ἀλλήλων dependent on one another X. O. 11. 12, τὰ τούτων ἀδελφά what is akin to this X. Hi. 1. 22, τῶν προειρημένων ἐπόμεναι ἀποδείξεις expositions agreeing with what had preceded P. R. 504 b, φέγγος υπνου διάδοχον light succeeding sleep S. Ph. 867. All these adjectives take also the dative; as does συγγενής akin, which has become a substantive.
- 1418. Capacity and Fitness. Adjectives in -ikos from active verbs, and some others:  $\pi$  apaskevastikov  $\tau$   $\hat{\omega}\nu$  els  $\tau$   $\hat{\delta}\nu$   $\pi$   $\hat{\delta}\lambda$   $\hat{\delta}\mu$  or  $\hat{\delta}\nu$   $\hat{\delta}\nu$
- **1419.** Experience (1345). όδων  $\xi \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \sigma$  acquainted with the roads X. C. 5. 8. 35,  $\tau \eta s$  θαλάσσης  $\xi \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \nu$  acquainted with the sea T. 1. 142,  $\xi \iota \delta \iota \omega \tau \eta s$  τούτου

- τοῦ ἔργου unskilled in this business X. O. 3.9. So with τρίβων skilled in, τυφλόs blind, ἄπειρος unacquainted, ἀγύμναστος unpractised, ἀπαίδευτος uneducated, ἀήθης unaccustomed, ὀψιμαθής late in learning, φιλομαθής fond of learning.
- 1420. Remembering, Caring For (1356). κακῶν μνήμονες mindful of crime A. Eum. 382, ἐπιμελὴς τῶν φίλων attentive to friends X. M. 2. 6. 35, ἀμνήμων τῶν κινδύνων unmindful of dangers Ant. 2. a. 7; and, by analogy, συγγνώμων τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων ἀμαρτημάτων forgiving of human errors X. C. 6. 1. 37. So ἀμελής careless of, ἐπιλήσμων forgetful of.
- 1421. Perception (1361). Compounds in -ήκοος from ἀκούω: λόγων καλῶν ἐπήκοοι hearers of noble words P. R. 499 a, ὑπήκοοι Θεσσαλῶν subjects of the Thessalians T. 4.78, ὑπήκοος τῶν γονέων obedient to parents P. R. 463 d, ἀνήκοοι παιδείās ignorant of culture Aes. 1.141. So συνήκοος hearing together, κατήκοος obeying. ἐπήκοος, κατήκοος, από ὑπήκοος also take the dative.
- 1422. Fulness (1369). χαρᾶς ἡ πόλις ἢν μεστή the city was full of rejoicing D. 18.217, παράδεισος ἀγρίων θηρίων πλήρης α park full of wild beasts X. A. 1. 2. 7, πλουσιώτερος φρονήσεως richer in good sense P. Pol. 261 e, φιλόδωρος εὐμενείᾶς generous of good-will P. S. 197 d, ἄπληστος χρημάτων greedy of money X. C. 8. 2. 20. So with ἔμπλεως, σύμπλεως. πλήρης may take the dative.
- **1423.** Ruling (1370). ταύτης κόριος της χώρας master of this country D. 3.16, ἀκρατής όργης unrestrained in passion T. 3.84. So with ἐγκρατής master of, αὐτοκράτωρ complete master of, ἀκράτωρ intemperate in.
- 1424. Value (1372). τάπις ἀξίᾶ δέκα μνῶν α rug worth ten minae X. A. 7. 3. 27, δόξα χρημάτων οὐκ ὼνητή reputation is not to be bought for money I. 2. 32. So with ἀντάξιος worth, ἰσόρροπος in equal poise with (T. 2. 42), ἀξιόχρεως sufficient, ἀνάξιος unworthy. ἄξιόν τινι with the infinitive denotes it is meet for a person to do something or the like.
- 1425. Accountability (1375).—αἶτιος τούτων accountable for this P. G. 447 a, ἔνοχος λιποταξίου liable to a charge of desertion I. 14.5, ἀσεβείᾶς ὑπόδικος subject to a trial for impiety P. L. 907 e, ὑποτελὴς φόρου subject to tribute T. 1. 19, τούτων ὑπεύθῦνος ὑμῦν responsible to you for this D. 8. 69, ἀθῷοι τῶν ἀδικημάτων unpunished for offences Lyc. 79. ἔνοχος usually takes the dative, and so ὑπεύθῦνος meaning dependent on or exposed to. The above compounds of ὑπό take the genitive by virtue of the substantive contained in them.
- 1426. Place. ἐναντίος opposite and a few other adjectives denoting nearness or approach (1353) may take the genitive, chiefly in poetry: ἐναντίοι ἔσταν ᾿Αχαιῶν they stood opposite the Achaeans P 343. Cp. τοῦ Πόντου ἐπικάρσιαι at an angle with the Pontus Hdt. 7.36. ἐναντίος usually takes the dative.
- 1427. Separation (1392). φίλων ἀγαθῶν ἔρημοι deprived of good friends X. M. 4. 4. 24, ψῦχὴ ψῖλὴ σώματος the soul separated from the body P. L. 899 a, φειδωλοί χρημάτων sparing of money P. R. 548 b (or perhaps under 1356), ὅλης καθαρόν clear of undergrowth X. O. 16. 13, ἄπαυστος γόων never ceasing lamentations E. Supp. 82. So with ἐλεύθερος free from, ἀγνός pure from, innocent of, δρφανός bereft of, γυμνός stripped of, μόνος alone.
- 1428. Compounds of alpha privative. In addition to the adjectives with alpha privative which take the genitive by reason of the notion expressed in the

verb, or by analogy, there are many others, some of which take the genitive because of the idea of separation, especially when the genitive is of kindred meaning and an attributive adjective is added for the purpose of more exact definition. Thus,  $\delta\tau \bar{\iota}_{\mu 0}$  deprived of,  $\delta\tau \delta d\tau \delta m$  not suffering,  $\delta\tau \delta d\tau \delta m$  free from (1392): as  $\tau \bar{\iota}_{\mu} \hat{\eta}_{\delta}$  detailed adprived of honour P. L. 774 b,  $\delta\tau \delta m$  as  $\delta d\tau \delta m$  without male children I. 12. 126,  $\tau \delta \hat{\iota}_{\delta}$  distribut on the echildren I. 12. 126,  $\tau \delta \hat{\iota}_{\delta}$  distribut on the ensemble of the most pleasant sight X. M. 2. 1. 31,  $\delta d\rho \omega m$  refrequent in poetry than prose.

- a. So when the adjectives are passive:  $\phi l \lambda \omega \nu$  åk $\lambda a \omega \tau os$  unwept by friends S. Ant. 847, cp.  $\kappa \alpha k \hat{\omega} \nu$  δυσά $\lambda \omega \tau os$  οὐδείs no one is hard for evil fortune to capture S. O. C. 1722. The genitive with adjectives in alpha privative is sometimes called the genitive of relation.
- **1429.** Want (1396). ἄρματα κενὰ ἡνιόχων chariots deprived of their drivers X. A. 1. 8. 20, ἐνδεὴς ἀρετῆς lacking virtue P. R. 381 c. So with πένης poor, ἐλλιπής and ἐπιδεής lacking.
- 1430. Distinction (1401). διάφορος τῶν ἄλλων different from the rest P. Par. 160 d, ἔτερον τὸ ἡδὺ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ pleasure is different from what is good P. G. 500 d, ἄλλα τῶν δικαίων at variance with justice X. M. 4. 4. 25 (ἄλλος is almost a comparative). So with ἀλλοῖος and ἀλλότριος alien from (also with dat. unfavourable to, disinclined to). διάφορος with dative means at variance with.
- 1431. Comparison (1402). Adjectives of the comparative degree or implying comparison take the genitive. The genitive denotes the standard or point of departure from which the comparison is made, and often expresses a condensed comparison when actions are compared. Thus, ήττων άμαθης σοφοῦ, δειλὸς ἀνδρείου an ignorant man is inferior to a wise man, a coward to a brave man P. Phae. 239 a, κρεῖττὸν ἐστι λόγου τὸ κάλλος τῆς γυναικός the beauty of the woman is too great for description X. M. 3. 11. 1, Ἐπὐαξα προτέρᾶ Κόρου πέντε ἡμέραις ἀρίκετο Εργαχα arrived five days before Cyrus X. A. 1. 2. 25, καταδεστέρᾶν τὴν ἀδέζαν τῆς ἐλπίδος ἔλαβεν the reputation he acquired fell short of his expectation I. 2. 7. So with δεύτερος, ὑστεραῖος, περιττός. Comparatives with ή, 1069.
- **1432.** So with multiplicatives in  $-\pi\lambda$ οῦς and  $-\pi\lambda$ άσιος: διπλάσια ἀπέδωκεν ων ἔλαβεν it returned double what it received X. C. 8. 3. 38. So with πολλοστός.

- 1435. Cause (1405). εὐδαίμων τοῦ τρόπου happy because of his disposition P. Ph. 58 e, δείλαιος τῆς συμφορᾶς wretched because of thy lot S. O. T. 1347, βάλανοι θαυμάσιαι τοῦ μεγέθους dates wonderful for their size X. A. 2. 3. 15, περίφοβος τοῦ καταφρονηθῆναι fearful of becoming an object of contempt P. Phae. 239 b. So with τάλᾶς and τλήμων wretched.
- 1436. Free Use.—a. Compound adjectives formed of a preposition and substantive may take a genitive dependent on the substantive:  $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu \hat{\eta} \hat{s}$  under the shelter of the tent S. Aj. 796 (=  $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta$  a $\dot{\nu}\lambda \hat{\eta}$ ). Frequent in poetry.
- b. Some adjectives are freely used with the genitive in poetry, as γάμοι Πάριδος δλέθριοι φίλων the marriage of Paris bringing ruin on his friends A. Ag. 1156. This is rare in prose: τὸ πῦρ ἐπίκουρον ψύχους fire that protects against cold X. M. 4. 3. 7, κακοῦργος μὲν τῶν ἄλλων, ἐαυτοῦ δὲ κακουργότερος doing evil to the others but more to himself 1. 5. 3, ὁ τῆς Ἑλλάδος ἀλιτήριος the curse and destroyer of Greece Aes. 3. 157. These adjectives are practically equivalent to substantives. Cp. amans patriae.

## GENITIVE WITH ADVERBS

1437. The genitive is used with adverbs derived from adjectives which take the genitive, and with adverbs akin to verbs followed by the genitive.

τὰ τούτου έξῆs what comes after this P. R. 390 a (1345), ἐρωτικῶs ἔχουσι τοῦ κερδαίνειν they are in love with gain X. O. 12. 15 (cp. 1349), εὐθὺ Λυκείου straight for the Lyceum P. Lys. 203 b (cp. ਖθῦσε νεόs he made straight for the ship O 693; 1353), ἐναντίον ἀπάντων in the presence of all T. 6. 25, πλησίον θηβῶν near Thebes D. 9. 27, Nείλου πέλαs near the Nile A. Supp. 308 (1353), γονέων ἀμελέστερον ἔχειν be too neglectful of one's parents P. L. 932 a (1356), ἐκ πάντων τῶν ἐμπείρως αὐτοῦ ἐχόντων of all those acquainted with him X. A. 2. 6. 1, μηδενὸς ἀπείρως ἔχειν to be inexperienced in nothing I. 1. 52 (1345), ἀξίως ἀνδρὸς ἀγαθοῦ in a manner worthy of a good man P. A. 32 e, πρεπόντως τῶν πρᾶξάντων in a manner appropriate to the doers P. Menex. 239 c (1372), διαφερόντως τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων above the rest of men X. Hi. 7. 4 (1401), πονηρίᾶ θᾶντον θανάτου θεῖ 'wickedness flies faster than fate' P. A. 39 a (1402), πενθικῶς ἔχουσα τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ mourning for her brother X. C. 5. 2. 7 (1405).

- 1438. An adverb with  $\xi_{\chi e \iota \nu}$  or  $\delta \iota a \kappa e \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$  is often used as a periphrasis for an adjective with  $e \hat{\imath} \nu a \iota$  or for a verb.
- 1439. The genitive is used with many adverbs (a) of place, (b) of time, (c) of quantity.
- a.  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\beta\alpha\lambda\hat{\epsilon}$ ν που της έκεινων χώρας to make an attack at some point of their country X. C. 6. 1. 42, αΙσθόμενος οὖ ην κακοῦ perceiving what a plight he was in D. 23. 156, οἷ προελήλυθ ἀσελγείας to what a pitch of wanton arrogance he has come 4. 9, ἐνταῦθα της πολῖτείας at that point of the administration 18. 62, εἰδέναι ὅπου γης ἐστιν to know where in the world he is P. R. 403 e, πόρρω ήδη τοῦ βίου, θανάτου δὲ ἐγγύς already far advanced in life, near death P. A. 38 c, ἐπὶ τάδε Φασήλιδος on this side of Phaselis I. 7. 80, πρὸς βορέαν τοῦ Σκόμβρου north of Mt. Scombrus T. 2. 96, ἄλλοι ἄλλη τῆς πόλεως some in one part, others in another

- part of the city 2. 4, ἀπαντικρὸ τἡς ᾿Αττικῆς opposite Attica D. 8. 36. So with ἐντός inside, εἴσω within, ἐκατέρωθεν on both sides, ὅπισθεν behind, πρόσθεν before.
- b.  $\pi\eta\nu k'$  éστιν ἄρα τῆς ἡμέρᾶς; at what time of day? Ar. Av. 1498, τῆς ἡμέρᾶς δψέ late in the day X. H. 2. 1. 23.
- c. τῶν τοιούτων ἄδην enough of such matters P. Charm. 153 d, τούτων ἄλις enough of this X. C. 8. 7. 25.
- **1440.** Most of the genitives in 1439 are partitive. Some of the adverbs falling under 1437 take also the dative  $(\alpha\gamma\chi\iota, \dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma\dot{\nu}s, \pi\lambda\eta\sigma i\sigma\nu)$  in the poets,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\hat{\eta}s$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\hat{\xi}\hat{\eta}s$ ).
- **1442.** This use is probably derived from that with adverbs of place: thus  $\pi \hat{\omega}s \in \chi \epsilon \iota s \delta \delta \xi \eta s$ ; in what state of mind are you? P. R. 456 d is due to the analogy of  $\pi o \hat{v} \delta \delta \xi \eta s$ ; (cp.  $\delta \pi o \iota \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta s$  S. El. 922).
- 1443. The genitive is used with many adverbs denoting separation. Thus, ξσται ἡ ψῦχὴ χωρὶς τοῦ σώματος the soul will exist without the body P. Ph. 66 e, δίχα τοῦ ὑμετέρου πλήθους separate from your force X. C. 6. 1. 8, πρόσω τῶν πηγῶν far from the sources X. A. 3. 2. 22, ἐμποδῶν ἀλλήλοις πολλῶν καὶ ἀγαθῶν ἔσεσθε you will prevent one another from enjoying many blessings X. C. 8. 5. 24, λάθρα τῶν στρατιωτῶν without the knowledge of the soldiers X. A. 1. 3. 8. So with ἔξω outside, ἐκτός without, outside, πέρᾶν across, κρύφα unbeknown to.

## GENITIVE OF TIME AND PLACE

1444. Time. — The genitive denotes the time within which, or at a certain point of which, an action takes place. As contrasted with the accusative of time (1582), the genitive denotes a portion of time. Hence the genitive of time is partitive. Cp. τὸν μὲν χειμῶνα ὕει ὁ θεός, τοῦ δὲ θέρεος χρηίσκονται τῷ ὕδατι during the (entire) winter the god rains, but in (a part of) summer they need the water Hdt. 3. 117.

ἡμέρᾶs by day, νυκτός at or by night, μεσημβρίᾶς at midday, δείλης in the afternoon, έσπέρᾶς in the evening, θέρους in summer, χειμῶνος in winter, ῆρος in spring, ὁπώρᾶς in autumn, τοῦ λοιποῦ in the future. The addition of article or attributive usually defines the time more exactly. Τhus, οὐκοῦν ἡδύ μὲν θέρους ψᾶχεινὴν ἔχειν, ἡδύ δὲ χειμῶνος ἀλεεινήν; is it not pleasant to have (a house) cool in summer, and warm in winter? X. M. 3. 8. 9, ὅχετο τῆς νυκτός he departed during the night X. A. 7. 2. 17, καὶ ἡμέρᾶς καὶ νυκτός ἄγων ἐπὶ τοὺς πολεμίους both by day and by night leading against the enemy 2. 6. 7, ἔλεγον τοῦ λοιποῦ μηκέτι

έξειναι ἀνομίᾶς ἄρξαι they said that for the future (at any time in the future) it should no longer be permitted to set an example of lawlessness 5.7.34. (Distinguish  $\tau$ δ λοιπόν for the (entire) future 3.2.8.) έντός within is sometimes added to the genitive.

- **1445**. The addition of the article may have a distributive sense: δραχμην ϵλάμβανϵ τῆς ἡμϵρᾶς he received a drachm a day T. 3. 17.
- 1446. The genitive may denote the time since an action has happened or the time until an action will happen: οὐδείς μέ πω ἡρώτηκε καινὸν οὐδὲν πολλῶν ἐτῶν for many years nobody has put a new question to me P. G. 448 a, βασιλεὺς οὐ μαχεῖται δέκα ἡμερῶν the king will not fight for ten days X. A. 1. 7. 18.
- 1447. The genitive may or may not denote a definite part of the time during which anything takes place; the dative fixes the time explicitly either by specifying a definite point in a given period or by contracting the whole period to a definite point; the accusative expresses the whole extent of time from beginning to end: ep.  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  dè  $\dot{\nu}$  depead of  $\dot{\mu}\dot{\nu}$  Adyraîoi  $\dot{\tau}$  or  $\dot{\tau}$  podote cor eldor kal  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\eta}\dot{\mu}\dot{e}\rho\bar{a}\dot{\nu}$  data are  $\dot{\nu}$  different edge. The Athenians captured the suburb and laid waste the land for that entire day, while the three hundred Scionaeans departed in the course of the following night T. 4. 130;  $\dot{\eta}\dot{\mu}\dot{e}\rho a$  dè apéqueroi trity de solvote kal  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\dot{\nu}$  tetaparture, they continued their work (all) this day and the fourth, and on the fifth until the mid-day meal 4.90.
- a. The genitive of time is less common than the dative of time (1539) with ordinals, or with  $\delta\delta\epsilon$ , odvos,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ os; as  $\tau$ avths  $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s  $\nu\nu\kappa\tau\delta$ s T. 6. 97, P. Cr. 44 a,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ ov  $\tau\hat{\nu}$ 0  $\mu\eta\nu\delta$ s in the course of that month X. M. 4. 8. 2. For  $\theta\epsilon$ pous we find  $\epsilon$  $\nu$ 0  $\theta\epsilon$ pee rarely and, in poetry,  $\theta\epsilon$ pee. T. 4. 133 has both  $\tau\hat{\nu}$ 0 avtho  $\theta\epsilon$ pous and  $\epsilon$  $\nu$ 0 avtho  $\theta\epsilon$ 0 fee in the course of the same summer; cp.  $\hat{\nu}$ 0 of  $\hat{\nu}$ 0  $\epsilon$ 0  $\epsilon$ 0 e $\epsilon$ 0 and  $\epsilon$ 1  $\epsilon$ 1  $\epsilon$ 1  $\epsilon$ 2 and  $\epsilon$ 1  $\epsilon$ 3 and  $\epsilon$ 3 are  $\epsilon$ 4  $\epsilon$ 4 (the Ister flows with the same volume in summer and winter).
- 1448. Place.—The genitive denotes the place within which or at which an action happens. This is more frequent in poetry than in prose.

πεδίοιο διωκέμεν to chase over the plain E 222, ζεν τοίχου τοῦ ἐτέροιο he was sitting by the other wall (lit. in a place of the wall) I 219, λελουμένος 'Ωκεανοῖο having bathed in Oceanus E 6, οὄτε Πύλου ἰερῆς οὕτ΄ "Αργεος οὕτε Μυκήνης neither in sacred Pylos nor in Argos nor in Mycenae φ 108, τόνο΄ εἰσεδέξω τειχέων thou didst admit this man within the walls E. Phoen. 451, ἰέναι τοῦ πρόσω to go forward X. A. 1. 3. 1, ἐπετάχῦνον τῆς όδοῦ τοὺς σχολαίτερον προσιόντας they hastened on their way those who came up more slowly T. 4. 47; λαιᾶς χειρὸς οἰκοῦσι they dwell on the left hand A. Pr. 714 (possibly ablatival).

**1449.** Many adverbs of place are genitives in form (αὐτοῦ there, ποῦ where ? οὐδαμοῦ nowhere). Cp. 341.

## DATIVE

1450. The Greek dative does duty for three cases: the dative proper, and two lost cases, the instrumental and the locative.

- a. The dative derives its name ( $\dot{\eta}$  δοτικ $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\tau\hat{\omega}\sigma\iota s$ , casus dativus) from the use with διδόναι (1469).
- 1451. The dative is a necessary complement of a verb when the information given by the verb is incomplete without the addition of the idea expressed by the dative. Thus,  $\pi \epsilon i\theta \epsilon \tau a \iota he obeys$ , calls for the addition of an idea to complete the sense, as  $\tau o i s$   $\nu i \mu o i s$  the laws.
- 1452. The dative as a voluntary complement of a verb adds something unessential to the completion of an idea. Thus, airois of  $\beta \acute{a}\rho \beta a\rho oi$   $a\mathring{\pi}\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta ov$  the barbarians departed—for them (to their advantage). Here belongs the dative of interest, 1474 ff.
- 1453. But the boundary line between the necessary and the voluntary complement is not always clearly marked. When the idea of the action, not the object of the action, is emphatic, a verb, usually requiring a dative to complete its meaning, may be used alone, as  $\pi\epsilon t\theta\epsilon\tau at$  he is obedient.
- 1454. With many intransitive verbs the dative is the sole complement. With transitive verbs it is the indirect complement (dative of the *indirect* or *remoter* object, usually a person); that is, it further defines the meaning of a verb already defined in part by the accusative.
- 1455. Many verbs so vary in meaning that they may take the dative either alone or along with the accusative (sometimes the genitive). No rules can be given, and English usage is not always the same as Greek usage.
- 1456. The voice often determines the construction. Thus, πείθειν τινά to persuade some one, πείθεσθαί τινι to persuade oneself for some one (obey some one), κελεύειν τινὰ ταθτα ποιείν to order some one to do this, παρακελεύεσθαί τινι ταθτα ποιείν to exhort some one to do this.

### DATIVE PROPER

- 1457. The dative proper denotes that to or for which something is or is done.
- 1458. It is either (1) used with single words (verbs, adjectives, and sometimes with adverbs and substantives) or (2) it serves to define an entire sentence; herein unlike the genitive and accusative, which usually modify single members of a sentence. The connection between dative and verb is less intimate than that between genitive or accusative and verb.
- 1459. The dative proper is largely personal, and denotes the person who is interested in or affected by the action; and includes 1461–1473 as well as 1474 ff. The dative proper is not often used with things; when so used there is usually personification or semi-personification.

## THE DATIVE DEPENDENT ON A SINGLE WORD

### DATIVE AS DIRECT COMPLEMENT OF VERBS

1460. The dative may be used as the sole complement of many verbs that are usually transitive in English. Such are

1461. (I) To benefit, help, injure, please, displease, be friendly or hostile, blame, be angry, threaten, envy.

βοηθεῖν τοῖσιν ἡδικημένοις to help the wronged E. I. A. 79, οὐκ ἂν ἡνώχλει νῦν ἡμῖν he would not now be troubling us D. 3. 5, ἀντὶ τοῦ συνεργεῖν ἐαυτοῖς τὰ συμφέροντα ἐπηρεάζουσιν ἀλλήλοις instead of coöperating for their mutual interests, they revile one another X. M. 3. 5. 16, εἰ τοῖς πλέοσιν ἀρέσκοντές ἐσμεν, τοῖσο΄ ἂν μόνοις οἰκ ὁρθῶς ἀπαρέσκοιμεν if we are pleasing to the majority, it would not be right if we should displease them alone T. 1. 38, εὐνοεῖν τοῖς κακόνοις to be friendly to the ill-intentioned X. C. 8. 2. 1. ἐμοὶ ὀργίζονται they are angry at me P. A. 23 c, τῷ Θηρᾶμένει ἡπείλουν they threatened Theramenes T. 8. 92, οὐ φθονῶν τοῖς πλουτοῦσιν not cherishing envy against the rich X. A. 1. 9. 19.

- **1462.** Some verbs of benefiting and injuring take the accusative (ἀφελεῖν, βλάπτειν, 1591 a); μῖσεῖν τινα hate some one. λῦσιτελεῖν, συμφέρειν be of advantage take the dative.
  - 1463. (II) To meet, approach, yield.
- έπεὶ δὲ ἀπήντησαν αὐτοῖς οἱ στρατηγοί but when the generals met them X. A. 2. 3. 17, περιτυγχάνει Φιλοκράτει he meets Philocrates X. H. 4. 8. 24, ποίοις οὐ χρὴ θηρίοις πελάζειν what wild beasts one must not approach X. C. 1. 4. 7, σὐ δ΄ εἰκ ἀνάγκη καὶ θεοῖσι μὴ μάχου yield to necessity and war not with heaven E. fr. 716. On the genitive with verbs of approaching, see 1353.
  - 1464. (III) To obey, serve, pardon, trust, advise, command, etc.

τοῖς νόμοις πείθου obey the laws I. 1. 16, τῷ ὑμετέρῳ ξυμφόρῳ ὑπακούειν to be subservient to your interests T. 5. 98, ἄν μηδεμιᾶ δουλεύης τῶν ἡδονῶν if you are the slave of no pleasure I. 2. 29, ἐπίστευον αὐτῷ αἰ πόλεις the cities trusted him X. A. 1. 9. 8, στρατηγῷ στρατιώταις παραινοῦντι a general advising his men P. Ion 540 d, τῷ Μῦσῷ ἐσήμηνε φεύγειν he ordered the Mysian to flee X. A. 5. 2. 30, τῷ Κλεάρχῳ ἐβόᾶ ἄγειν he shouted to Clearchus to lead X. A. 1. 8. 12.

- 1465. κελεύειν command (strictly impel) may be followed in Attic by the accusative and (usually) the infinitive; in Hom. by the dative either alone or with the infinitive. Many verbs of commanding (παραγγέλλειν, διακελεύεσθαι) take in Attic the accusative, not the dative, when used with the infinitive (1996 κ.).  $\mathring{\nu}$ πακούειν (and ἀκούειν = obey) may take the genitive (1366).
  - 1466. (IV) To be like or unlike, compare, befit.

έοικέναι τοις τοιούτοις to be like such men P. R.  $349\,d$ , τί οὖν πρέπει ἀνδρὶ πένητι; what then befits a poor man ? P. A.  $36\,d$ .

1467. The dative of the person and the genitive of the thing are used with the impersonals δεῖ (1400), μέτεστι, μέλει, μεταμέλει, προσήκει. Thus, μισθοφόρων ἀνδρὶ τυράννω δεῖ a tyrant needs mercenaries X. Hi. 8.10, ὡς οὐ μετὸν αὐτοῖς Ἐπιδάμνου inasmuch as they had nothing to do with Epidamnus T. 1.28, οὐχ ὧν ἐβιάσατο μετέμελεν αὐτῷ he did not repent of his acts of violence And. 4.17, τούτω τῆς Βοιωτίας προσήκει οὐδέν he has nothing to do with Boeotia X. A. 3. 1.31. ἔξεστί μοι it is in my power does not take the genitive. For the accusative instead of the dative, see 1400. Cp. 1344.

- a. For δοκεί μοι it seems to me (mihi videtur), δοκώ μοι (mihi videor) may be used. b. For other cases of the dative as direct complement see 1476, 1481.
- 1468. An intransitive verb taking the dative can form a personal passive, the dative becoming the nominative subject of the passive. Cp. 1745.

## DATIVE AS INDIRECT COMPLEMENT OF VERBS

1469. Many verbs take the dative as the indirect object together with an accusative as the direct object. The indirect object is commonly introduced in English by to.

Κύρος δίδωσιν αὐτῷ ἐξ μηνῶν μισθόν Cyrus gives him pay for six months X. A. 1.1.10, τῷ Ὑρκανίῳ ἵππον ἐδωρήσατο he presented a horse to the Hyrcanian X. C. 8.4.24, τὰ δὲ ἄλλα διανεῖμαι τοῖς στρατηγοῖς to distribute the rest to the generals X. A. 7.5.2, μῖκρὸν μεγάλῳ εἰκάσαι to compare a small thing to a great thing T. 4.36, πέμπων αὐτῷ ἄγγελον sending a messenger to him X. A. 1.3.8, ὑπισχνοῦμαί σοι δέκα τάλαντα I promise you ten talents 1.7.18, τοῦτο σοὶ δ' ἐφὶεμαι I lay this charge upon thee S. Aj. 116, παρήνει τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις τοιάδε he advised the Athenians as follows Ὑ. 6.8, ἐμοὶ ἐπιτρέψαι ταύτην τὴν ἀρχήν to entrust this command to me X. A. 6. 1.31, λέγειν ταῦτα τοῖς στρατιώταις to say this to the soldiers 1.4.11 (λέγειν πρός τινα lacks the personal touch of the dative, which indicates interest in the person addressed). A dependent clause often represents the accusative.

**1470.** Passive. — The accusative of the active becomes the subject of the passive, the dative remains:  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa\epsilon}l\nu\omega$   $a\ddot{\nu}\tau\eta$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\bar{a}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\bar{\delta}\delta\theta\eta$  this land was given to him X. H. 3. 1. 6.

### DATIVE AS DIRECT OR INDIRECT COMPLEMENT OF VERBS

1471. Many verbs may take the dative either alone or with the accusative.

οὐδενὶ μέμφομαι I find fault with no one D.21.190, τί ἄν μοι μέμφοιο; what fault would you have to find with me? X.O.2.15; ὑπηρετῶ τοῖς θεοῖς I am a servant of the gods X.C.8.2.22, "Ερωτι πῶν ὑπηρετεῖ he serves Eros in everything P.S.196 c; παρακελεύονται τοῖς περὶ νίκης ἀμιλλωμένοις they exhort those who are striving for victory I.9.79, ταῦτα τοῖς ὁπλίταις παρακελεύομαι I address this exhortation to the hoplites T.7.63; ὀνειδίζετε τοῖς ἀδικοῦσιν you reproach the guilty L.27.16 (also accus.), θηβαίοις τὴν ἀμαθίᾶν ὀνειδίζουσι they upbraid the Thebans with their ignorance I.15.248; θεοῖς εὐξάμενοι having prayed to the gods T.3.58, εὐξάμενοι τοῖς θεοῖς τάγαθά having prayed to the gods for success X.C.2.3.1 (cp. αἰτεῖν τινά τι, 1628). So ἐπιτῖμᾶν (ἐγκαλεῖν) τινι to censure (accuse) some one, ἐπιτῖμᾶν (ἐγκαλεῖν) τί τινι censure something in (bring an accusation against) some one. So ἀπειλεῖν threaten; and ἀμθνειν, ἀλέξειν, ἀρήγειν ward off (τινί τι in poetry, 1483).

1472. τίμωρεῖν (poet. τίμωρεῖσθαί) τινι means to avenge some one (take vengeance for some one), as τίμωρήσειν σοι τοῦ παιδὸς ὑπισχνοῦμαι I promise to avenge you because of (on the murderer of) your son X. C. 4.6.8, εἰ τῖμωρήσεις

Πατρόκλω τὸν φόνον if you averge the murder of Patroclus P. A. 28 c. τιμωρεῖσθαί (rarely τίμωρεῖν) τινα means to averge oneself upon some one (punish some one).

- 1473. For the dative of purpose (to what end?), common in Latin with a second dative (dono dare), Greek uses a predicate noun:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon i\nu\psi$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho\bar{\alpha}$   $\delta\dot{\omega}\rho\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\delta}\theta\eta$  the country was given to him as a gift X. H. 3. 1. 6. The usage in Attic inscriptions ( $\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega\iota$   $\tau\alpha\hat{\iota}s$   $\theta\dot{\nu}\rho\alpha\iota s$  nails for the doors C. I. A. 2, add. 834 b, 1, 38) is somewhat similar to the Latin usage. Cp. 1502.

# DATIVE AS A MODIFIER OF THE SENTENCE

#### DATIVE OF INTEREST

- 1474. The person for whom something is or is done, or in reference to whose case an action is viewed, is put in the dative.
- a. Many of the verbs in 1461 ff. take a dative of interest. 1476 ff. are special cases.
- 1475. After verbs of motion the dative (usually personal) is used, especially in poetry:  $\chi \hat{\epsilon i} \rho as \hat{\epsilon} \mu ol \hat{\delta \rho} \hat{\epsilon \gamma} \rho v \tau as$  reaching out their hands to me  $\mu$  257,  $\psi \bar{\nu} \chi \hat{\alpha} s$  "Aidi  $\pi \rho ota \psi \epsilon v$  hurled their souls on to Hades (a person) A 3; rarely, in prose, after verbs not compounded with a preposition:  $\sigma \chi \hat{\delta \nu} \tau \hat{\epsilon} s$  (scil.  $\tau \hat{\alpha} s$  vaûs) 'Phyl $\psi$  putting in at Rhegium T.7.1. Cp. 1485.
- 1476. Dative of the Possessor. The person for whom a thing exists is put in the dative with  $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ ,  $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ,  $i \pi a \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\phi i \nu a \iota$  (poet.), etc., when he is regarded as interested in its possession.
- άλλοις μèν χρήματά έστι, ἡμῖν δὲ ξύμμαχοι ἀγαθοι others have riches, we have good allies T. 1. 86, τῷ δικαίῳ παρὰ θεῶν δῶρα γίγνεται gifts are bestowed upon the just man by the gods P. R. 613 e, ὑπάρχει ἡμῖν οὐδὲν τῶν ἐπιτηδείων we have no supply of provisions X. A. 2. 2. 11, πᾶσι θνᾶτοῖς ἔφῦ μόρος death is the natural lot of all men S. El. 860.
- 1477. So with verbs of thinking and perceiving: τον άγαθον άρχοντα βλέποντα νόμον άνθρώποις ένόμισεν Cyrus considered that a good ruler was a living law to man X. C. 8. 1. 22, θαρροῦσι μάλιστα πολέμιοι, ὅταν τοῖς ἐναντίοις πράγματα πυνθάνωνται the enemy are most courageous when they learn that the forces opposed to them are in trouble X. Hipp. 5. 8.
- **1478.** In the phrase ὅνομά (ἐστί) τινι the name is put in the same case as ὅνομα. Thus, ἔδοξα ἀκοῦσαι ὅνομα αὐτῷ εἶναι ᾿Αγάθωνα I thought I heard his name was Agathon P. Pr. 315 e. ὅνομά μοί ἐστι and ὅνομα (ἐπωνυμίᾶν) ἔχω are treated as the passives of ὀνομάζω. Cp. 1322 a.
- **1479.** Here belong the phrases (1)  $\tau l$  ( $\delta \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ )  $\epsilon \mu o l$  kal  $\sigma o l$ ; what have I to do with thee ?; cp.  $\tau l$   $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\nu b \mu \varphi$  kal  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\beta a \sigma a \nu \varphi$ ; what have the law and torture in common? D. 29. 36. (2)  $\tau l$   $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau$   $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o l$ ; what have I to do with this? D. 54. 17. (3)  $\tau l$   $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o l$   $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu$ ; what gain have I? X. C. 5. 5. 34.

- 1480. The dative of the possessor denotes that something is at the disposal of a person or has fallen to his share temporarily. The genitive of possession lays stress on the person who owns something. The dative answers the question what is it that he has  $\ell$ , the genitive answers the question who is it that has something? The uses of the two cases are often parallel, but not interchangeable. Thus, in  $K\hat{\nu}_{pos}$ , of  $\sigma\hat{\nu}$  for  $\tau\hat{\nu}$  data  $\tau\hat{\nu}$  odd  $\epsilon$  Cyrus, to whom you will henceforth belong X. C. 5. 1. 6,  $\hat{\nu}$  would be inappropriate. With a noun in the genitive the dative of the possessor is used  $(\tau\hat{\nu}v)$   $\hat{\epsilon}$  kat  $\hat{\epsilon}$  for  $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{$
- 1481. Dative of Advantage or Disadvantage (dativus commodi et incommodi). The person or thing for whose advantage or disadvantage, anything is or is done, is put in the dative. The dative often has to be translated as if the possessive genitive were used; but the meaning is different.

έπειδη αὐτοῖs οἱ βάρβαροι ἐκ τῆς χώρᾶs ἀπῆλθον after the barbarians had departed (for them, to their advantage) from their country T. 1.89, ἄλλο στράτευμα αὐτῷ συνελέγετο another army was being raised for him X. A. 1.1.9, ἄλλψ ὁ τοιοῦτος πλουτεῖ, καὶ οὐχ ἐαυτῷ such a man is rich for another, and not for himself P. Menex. 246 e, στεφανοῦσθαι τῷ θεῷ to be crowned in honour of the god X. H. 4.3.21, Φιλιστίδης ἔπρᾶττε Φιλίππψ Philistides was working in the interest of Philip D. 9.59, τὰ χρήματ' αἶτί ἀνθρωποῖς κακῶν money is a cause of misery to mankind E. Fr. 632, οἱ θρᾶκες οἱ τῷ Δημοσθένει ὑστερήσαντες the Thracians who came too late (for, i.e.) to help Demosthenes T. 7.29, ἡδε ἡ ἡμέρᾶ τοῖς Ἑλλησι μεγάλων κακῶν ἄρξει this day will be to the Greeks the beginning of great sorrows 2.12, ἄν τίς σοι τῶν οἰκετῶν ἀποδρᾶ if any of your slaves runs away X. M. 2. 10.1.

- a. For the middle denoting to do something for oneself, see 1719.
- b. In the last example in 1481, as elsewhere, the dative of a personal pronoun is used where a possessive pronoun would explicitly denote the owner.
- **1482.** A dative, dependent on the sentence, may appear to depend on a substantive:  $\sigma ol \ \delta \epsilon \ \delta \omega \sigma \omega \ a r \delta \rho a \ \tau \hat{\eta} \ \theta v \gamma a \tau \rho i \ to \ you \ I \ will \ give \ a \ husband \ for \ your \ daughter X. C. 8. 4. 24. Common in Hdt.$
- 1483. With verbs of depriving, warding off, and the like, the dative of the person may be used: τὸ συστρατεύειν ἀφελεῖν σφίσιν ἐδεήθησαν they asked him to relieve them (lit. take away for them) from serving in the war X. C. 7. 1. 44, Δαναοῖσιν λοιγὸν ἄμῦνον ward off ruin from (for) the Danai A 456. So ἀλέξειν τινί τι (poet.). Cp. 1392, 1628.
- 1484. With verbs of receiving and buying, the person who gives or sells may stand in the dative. In  $\delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \tau i \tau \iota \nu \iota$  (chiefly poetic) the dative denotes the interest of the recipient in the donor:  $\theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \iota$   $\delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \sigma$   $\delta \epsilon \pi a s$  she took the cup from (for, i.e. to please) Themis 0.87. So with  $\pi \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma$   $\pi \rho \iota \omega \mu a \iota$   $\sigma \sigma \tau \lambda \lambda \sigma \iota \rho \iota \delta \iota a$ ; at what price am I to buy the pigs of you? Ar. Ach. 812.
- 1485. With verbs of motion the dative of the person to whom is properly a dative of advantage or disadvantage:  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$   $\tau o \hat{s}$  'A $\theta \eta \nu a loss$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda i \bar{a}$  the message came to (for) the Athenians T.1.61. Cp. 1475.
  - 1486. Dative of Feeling (Ethical Dative). The personal pro-

nouns of the first and second person are often used to denote the interest of the speaker, or to secure the interest of the person spoken to, in an action or statement.

μέμνησθέ μοι μὴ θορυβεῖν pray remember not to make a disturbance P. A. 27 b, ἀμουσότεροι γενήσονται ὑμῖν οἱ νέοι your young men will grow less cultivated P. R. 546 d, τοιοῦτο ὑμῖν ἐστι ἡ τυραννίς such a thing, you know, is despotism Hdt. 5.92 η, ᾿Αρταφέρνης ὑμῖν Ὑστάσπεός ἐστι παῖς Artaphernes, you know, is Hystaspes' son 5.30. The dative of feeling may denote surprise: το μῆτερ, ὡς καλός μοι ὁ πάππος oh mother, how handsome grandpa is X. C. 1.3.2. With the dative of feeling cp. ''knock me here'' Shakesp. T. of Sh. 1.2.8, ''study me how to please the eye'' L. L. i. 1.80. τοι surely, often used to introduce general statements or maxims, is a petrified dative of feeling (=  $\sigma$ ol).

- a. This dative in the third person is very rare  $(a\dot{v}\tau\hat{\eta})$  in P. R. 343 a).
- b. This construction reproduces the familiar style of conversation and may often be translated by *I beg you*, please, you see, let me tell you, etc. Sometimes the idea cannot be given in translation. This dative is a form of 1481.
- 1487. ἐμοὶ βουλομένφ ἐστί, etc. Instead of a sentence with a finite verb, a participle usually denoting *inclination* or aversion is added to the dative of the person interested, which depends on a form of εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι, etc.

τῷ πλήθει τῶν Πλαταιῶν οὐ βουλομένῳ ἢν τῶν 'Αθηναίων ἀφίστασθαι the Plataean democracy did not wish to revolt from the Athenians (= τὸ πλῆθος οὐκ ἐβούλετο ἀφίστασθαι) Τ. 2. 3 (lit. it was not for them when wishing), ἄν βουλομένοις ἀκούειν ἢ τουτοισί, μνησθήσομαι if these men (the jury) desire to hear it, I shall take the matter up later (= ᾶν οὖτοι ἀκούειν βούλωνται) D. 18. 11, ἐπανέλθωμεν, εἴ σοι ἡδομένψ ἐστίν let us go back if it is your pleasure to do so P. Ph. 78 b, εἰ μὴ ἀσμένοις ὑμῖν ἀφῖγμαι if I have come against your will 'Τ. 4. 85, Νῖκία προσδεχομένῳ ἢν τὰ παρὰ τῶν 'Εγεσταίων Nicias was prepared for the news from the Egestaeans 6. 46, ἢν δὲ οὐ τῷ 'Αγησιλᾶφ ἀχθομένψ this was not displeasing to Agesilaus X. H. 5. 3. 13. Cp. quibus bellum volentibus erat.

1488. Dative of the Agent. — With passive verbs (usually in the perfect and pluperfect) and regularly with verbal adjectives in  $-\tau \acute{o}s$  and  $-\tau \acute{e}os$ , the person in whose interest an action is done, is put in the dative. The notion of agency does not belong to the dative, but it is a natural inference that the person interested is the agent.

έμοι και τούτοις πέπρακται has been done by (for) me and these men D. 19. 205, έπειδη αὐτοῖς παρεσκεύαστο when they had got their preparations ready T. 1. 46, τοσαῦτά μοι εἰρήσθω let so much have been said by me L. 24. 4, ἐψηφίσθαι τŷ βουλŷ let it have been decreed by the senate C. I. A. 2. 55. 9.

- a. With verbal adjectives in - $\tau$ 65 and - $\tau$ 605 (2149):  $\tau$ 085 olkoi zha $\omega$ 765 envied by those at home X. A. 1.7.4,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$ 7' in èr  $\tau\hat{\eta}$ 5 élevherläs arwitotév we at least must struggle to defend our freedom D.9.70. For the accus, with - $\tau$ 60 $\nu$ , see 2152 a.
- 1489. The usual restriction of the dative to tenses of completed action seems to be due to the fact that the agent is represented as placed in the position of

viewing an already completed action in the light of its relation to himself (interest, advantage, possession).

- 1490. The dative of the agent is rarely employed with other tenses than perfect and pluperfect: λέγεται ἡμῖν is said by us P. L. 715 b, τοῖς ΚερκῦραΙοις οὐχ ἐωρῶντο the ships were not seen by (were invisible to) the Corcyraeans T. 1.51; present, T. 4.64, 109; aorist T. 2.7.
- 1491. The person by whom (not for whom) an action is explicitly said to be done, is put in the genitive with  $i\pi i$  (1698. 1. b).
- 1492. The dative of the personal agent is used (1) when the subject is impersonal, the verb being transitive or intransitive, (2) when the subject is personal and the person is treated as a thing in order to express scorn (twice only in the orators: D. 19. 247, 57. 10).
- 1493. ὑπό with the genitive of the personal agent is used (1) when the subject is a person, a city, a country, or is otherwise quasi-personal, (2) when the verb is intransitive even if the subject is a thing, as  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \tau \delta \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \tau \delta \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\omega}$
- a. νῖκᾶσθαι, ἡττᾶσθαι to be conquered may be followed by the dative of a person, by ὑπό τινος, or by the genitive (1402).
- **1494.** When the agent is a thing, not a person, the dative is commonly used whether the subject is personal or impersonal. If the subject is personal,  $\dot{\nu}\pi\delta$  may be used; in which case the inanimate agent is personified (see 1698. 1. N. 1).  $\dot{\nu}\pi\delta$  is rarely used when the subject is impersonal.  $\dot{\nu}\pi\delta$  is never used with the impersonal perfect passive of an intransitive verb.

## DATIVE OF RELATION

1495. The dative may be used of a person to whose case the statement of the predicate is limited.

φεύγειν αὐτοῖς ἀσφαλέστερον ἐστιν ἡ ἡμῖν it is safer for them to flee than for us X. A. 3. 2. 19, τριήρει ἐστὶν εἰς Ἡράκλειαν ἡμέρας μακρας πλοῦς for a trireme it is a long day's sail to Heraclea 6. 4. 2. Such cases as δρόμος ἐγένετο τοῖς στρατιώταις the soldiers began to run X. A. 1. 2. 17 belong here rather than under 1476 or 1488.

- a.  $\dot{\omega}$ s restrictive is often added: μακρα  $\dot{\omega}$ s γέροντι  $\dot{\delta}$ δόs a long road (at least) for an old man S. O. C. 20, σωφροσύνης δέ  $\dot{\omega}$ s πλήθει οὐ τὰ τοιάδε μέγιστα; for the mass of men are not the chief points of temperance such as these ? P. R. 389 d.
- 1496. Dative of Reference.—The dative of a noun or pronoun often denotes the person in whose opinion a statement holds good.

γάμους τοὺς πρώτους ἐγάμει Πέρσησι ὁ Δαρεῖος Darius contracted marriages most distinguished in the eyes of the Persians Hdt. 3. 88, πᾶσι νῖκᾶν τοῖς κριταῖς to be victorious in the judgment of all the judges Ar. Av. 445, πολλοῖσιν οἰκτρός pitiful in the eyes of many S. Tr. 1071. παρά is often used, as in παρὰ Δαρείψ κριτη in the opinion of Darius Hdt. 3. 160,

- 1497. The dative participle, without a noun or pronoun, is frequently used in the singular or plural to denote indefinitely the person judging or observing. This construction is most common with participles of verbs of coming or going and with participles of verbs of considering.
- το θράκη ἐστὶν ἐπὶ δεξιὰ εἰς τὸν Πόντον εἰσπλέοντι Thrace is on the right as you sail into the Pontus X. A. β. 4. 1, ἔλεγον ὅτι ἡ ὁδὸς διαβάντι τὸν ποταμὸν ἐπὶ Λυδίᾶν φέροι they said that, when you had crossed the river, the road led to Lydia 3. 5. 15, οὐκ οὖν ἄτοπον διαλογιζομένοις τὰς δωρεὰς νὖνὶ πλείους εἶναι; is it not strange, when we reflect, that gifts are more frequent now? Aes. 3. 179, τὸ μὲν ἔξωθεν ἀπτομένφ σῶμα οὐκ ἄγᾶν θερμὸν ἢν if you touched the surface the body was not very hot T. 2. 49, πρὸς ἀφέλειαν σκοπουμένφ ὁ ἐπαινέτης τοῦ δικαίου ἀληθεύει if you look at the matter from the point of view of advantage, the panegyrist of justice speaks the truth P. R. 589 c. So (ὡς) συνελόντι εἰπεῖν (Χ. Α. 3. 1. 38) to speak briefly (lit, for one having brought the matter into small compass), συνελόντι D. 4. 7.
- a. The participle of verbs of *coming* or *going* is commonly used in statements of geographical situation.
- b. The present participle is more common than the agrist in the case of all verbs belonging under 1497.
- 1498. Dative of the Participle expressing Time.—In expressions of time a participle is often used with the dative of the person interested in the action of the subject, and especially to express the time that has passed *since* an action has occurred (cp. "and this is the sixth month with her, who was called barren" St. Luke i. 36).

άποροῦντι δ' αὐτῷ ἔρχεται Προμηθεύs Prometheus comes to him in his perplexity P. Pr. 321 c, Ξενοφῶντι πορενομένω οἱ ἰππεῖς ἐντυγχάνουσι πρεσβόταις while Xenophon was on the march, his horsemen fell in with some old men X. A. 6. 3. 10. The idiom is often transferred from persons to things: ἡμέραι μάλιστα ἦσαν τŷ Μυτιλήνη ἐᾶλωκυία ἐπτά, ὅτ' ἐς τὸ "Εμβατον κατέπλευσαν about seven days had passed since the capture of Mytilene, when they sailed into Embatum T. 3. 29. This construction is frequent in Hom. and Hdt. The participle is rarely omitted (T. 1. 13.).

a. A temporal clause may take the place of the participle:  $τ\hat{\eta}$  στρατι $\hat{\alpha}$ , αφ οδ έξέπλευσεν εls Σικελίαν, ήδη έστι δύο και πεντήκοντα έτη it is already fifty-two years since the expedition sailed to Sicily Is. 6. 14.

## DATIVE WITH ADJECTIVES, ETC.

1499. Adjectives, adverbs, and substantives, of kindred meaning with the foregoing verbs, take the dative to define their meaning.

βασιλεῖ φίλοι friendly to the king X. A. 2. 1. 20, εὔνους τῷ δήμῳ well disposed to the people And. 4. 16, τοῖς νόμοις εˇνοχος subject to the laws D. 21. 35, έχθρὸν ἐλευθερία καὶ νόμοις ε˙ναντίον hostile to liberty and opposed to law 6. 25, ξυμμαχία πίσυνοι relying on the alliance T. 6. 2, φόρῳ ὑπήκοοι subject to tribute 7. 57, ħν ποιῆτε ὅμοια τοῖς λόγοις if you act in accordance with your words 2. 72, στρατὸς τος καὶ παραπλήσιος τῷ προτέρῳ an army equal or nearly so to the former 7. 42,

- άδελφὰ τὰ βουλεύματα τοῖς ἔργοις plans like the deeds L. 2.64, ἀλλήλοις ἀνομοίως in a way unlike to each other P. Tim. 36 d. For substantives see 1502.
- a. Some adjectives, as  $\phi l \lambda os$ ,  $\epsilon \chi \theta \rho bs$ , may be treated as substantives and take the genitive. Some adjectives often differ slightly in meaning when they take the genitive.
- **1500.** With  $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{o}}$  the same.  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$  and  $\dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i$  except to be of the same mind as I am L. 3. 21,  $\tau o \dot{\nu}$  and  $\dot{\nu} o \dot{\nu}$  excepts of the same father as I am D. 40. 34,  $\tau a \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\nu}$  approximate  $\dot{\nu}$  expectation with me 18. 304.
- **1501.** With adjectives and adverbs of similarity and dissimilarity the comparison is often condensed (brachylogy):  $\dot{b}\mu o l \bar{a}\nu \tau a l \bar{s}$   $\delta o \dot{\nu} \lambda a \iota s$   $\dot{\epsilon} l \chi \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \theta \dot{\eta} \tau a$  she had a dress on like (that of) her servants X. C. 5. 1. 4 (the possessor for the thing possessed,  $= \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \delta o \nu \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ ),  $O \rho \phi \dot{\epsilon} \iota \gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \nu \tau l \bar{a}$  a tongue unlike (that of) Orpheus A. Ag. 1629.
- **1502.** The dative after substantives is chiefly used when the substantive expresses the act denoted by the kindred verb requiring the dative:  $\epsilon\pi\iota\rho\sigma\nu\lambda\dot{\eta}$   $\epsilon\mu\sigma$  a plot against me X. A. 5. 6. 29,  $\delta\iota\dot{a}\delta\sigma\chi\sigma$  Kheár $\delta\rho\psi$  a successor to Cleander 7. 2. 5,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{\phi}$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{\phi}$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\eta\rho\epsilon\sigma l\bar{a}$  my service to the god P. A. 30 a. But also in other cases:  $\phi\iota\lambda l\bar{a}$   $\tau\sigma\dot{\alpha}$ s 'Abyraloss friendship for the Athenians T. 5. 5,  $\ddot{\nu}\mu\nu\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\theta\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$ s hymns to the gods P. R. 607 a,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\delta}\delta\iota a$   $\tau\sigma\dot{\alpha}$ s  $\sigma\tau\rhoa\tau\epsilon\nu\nu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma\iota s$  supplies for the troops D. 3. 20,  $\dot{\eta}\lambda\sigma\iota$   $\tau\alpha\dot{\alpha}$ s  $\dot{\theta}\dot{\nu}\rho\alpha\iota s$  nails for the doors (1473).
- a. Both a genitive and a dative may depend on the same substantive:  $\dot{\eta}~\tau o \hat{v}~\theta e o \hat{v}~\delta b \sigma v ~\dot{v} \mu \hat{v} \nu ~the~god's~gift~to~you~P.~A.~30~d.$

#### INSTRUMENTAL DATIVE

- 1503. The Greek dative, as the representative of the lost instrumental case, denotes that by which or with which an action is done or accompanied. It is of two kinds: (1) The instrumental dative proper; (2) The comitative dative.
- **1504.** When the idea denoted by the noun in the dative is the *instrument* or *means*, it falls under (1); if it is a person (not regarded as the instrument or means) or any other living being, or a thing regarded as a person, it belongs under (2); if an action, under (2).
- 1505. Abstract substantives with or without an attributive often stand in the instrumental dative instead of the cognate accusative (1577).

#### INSTRUMENTAL DATIVE PROPER

- 1506. The dative denotes instrument or means, manner, and cause.
- **1507.** Instrument or Means.  $\xi \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \xi$   $\mu \epsilon$   $\lambda t \theta o is$  he hit me with stones L. 3. 8,  $\xi \eta \sigma \iota \tau \hat{y}$  define he hurls his ax at him (hurls with his ax) X. A. 1. 5. 12,  $\tau \alpha \hat{i}$ s  $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \alpha i s$

κόπτοντες hacking them with their swords 4. 6. 26, οὐδὲν ἤννε τούτοις he accomplished nothing by this D.21.104, ἐζημίωσαν χρήμασιν they punished him by a fine T. 2. 65, ὕοντος πολλῷ (ὕδατι) during a heavy rain X. H. 1. 1. 16 (934). So with δέχεσθαι: τῶν πόλεων οὐ δεχομένων αὐτοὺς ἀγορῷ οὐδὲ ἄστει, ὕδατι δὲ καὶ ὅρμῷ as the cities did not admit them to a market nor even into the town, but (only) to water and anchorage T. 6. 44. Often with passives: ῷκοδομημένον πλίνθοις built of bricks X. A. 2. 4. 12.

- a. The instrumental dative is often akin to the comitative dative: alwers  $\nu\eta i$   $\tau \epsilon$  kal etapoist wandering with his ship and companions  $\lambda$  161,  $\nu\eta\nu\sigma^{\dagger}\nu$  olyhoovtal they shall go with their ships  $\Omega$  731,  $\theta\bar{\nu}\mu\bar{\rho}$  kal  $\dot{\rho}\omega\mu\eta$   $\tau\dot{\delta}$  when  $\dot{\epsilon}$  evaluation  $\dot{\eta}$  emission that  $\dot{\epsilon}$  they fought with passionate violence and brute force rather than by a system of tactics T. 1. 49.
- b. Persons may be regarded as instruments: φυλαττόμενοι φύλαξι defending themselves by pickets X. A. 6. 4. 27. Often in poetry (S. Ant. 164).
  - c. Verbs of raining or snowing take the dative or accusative (1570 a).

### 1508. Under Means fall:

- b. Rarely, the dative with verbs of filling (cp. 1369): δάκρυσι πῶν τὸ στράτευμα πλησθέν the entire army being filled with tears T. 7. 75.
- c. The dative of material and constituent parts: κατεσκευάσατο ἄρματα τροχοῖς loχῦροῖς he made chariots with strong wheels X. C. 6. 1. 29.
- 1509. χρῆσθαι use (strictly employ oneself with, get something done with; cp. uti), and sometimes νομίζειν, take the dative. Thus, οὕτε τούτοις (τοῖς νομίμοις) χρῆται οὕθ' οῖς ἡ ἄλλη Ἑλλὰς νομίζει neither acts according to these institutions nor observes those accepted by the rest of Greece T. 1. 77. A predicate noun may be added to the dative: τούτοις χρῶνται δορνφόροις they make use of them as a body-guard X. Hi. 5. 3. The use to which an object is put may be expressed by a neuter pronoun in the accus. (1573); τί χρησόμεθα τούτψ; what use shall we make of it? D. 3. 6.
- **1510**. The instrumental dative occurs after substantives: μίμησις σχήμασι imitation by means of gestures P. R. 397 b.
- **1511.** The instrumental dative of means is often, especially in poetry, reinforced by the prepositions  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\delta}$ :  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\lambda\dot{\delta}\gamma$ 015  $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\ell\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  to persuade by words S. Ph. 1393, of  $\theta\dot{\epsilon}0$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau o\hat{\imath}s$  lepoîs  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu a\nu$  the gods have shown by the victims X.A. 6. 1. 31;  $\sigma\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\gamma\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\rho}$   $\beta\rho\dot{\rho}$   $\beta\rho\dot{\rho}$  heavy with old age S. O. T. 17;  $\pi\dot{\delta}\dot{\delta}\lambda$ 15  $\chi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\dot{\rho}$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\dot{\delta}\lambda\dot{\delta}\sigma\sigma$  a city captured by our hands B 374.
- 1512. Dative of Standard of Judgment. That by which anything is measured, or judged, is put in the dative: ξυνεμετρήσαντο ται̂ς έπιβολαι̂ς τῶν πλινθων they measured the ladders by the layers of bricks T. 3. 20, τῷδε δῆλον ἢν it was plain from what followed X. A. 2. 3. 1, οι̂ς πρὸς τοις ἄλλους πεποίηκε δει̂ τεκμαίρεσθαι we must judge by what he has done to the rest [D. 9. 10, τίνι χρη κρίνεσθαι τὰ μέλλοντα καλῶς κριθήτεσθαι; ἆο΄ οὐκ ἐμπειρία τε καὶ φρονήσει καὶ λόγω; by what standard must we judge that the judgment may be correct? Is it not by

experience and wisdom and reasoning? P.R. 582 a. With verbs of judging  $\epsilon \kappa$  and  $\delta \pi \delta$  are common.

1513. Manner (see also 1527). — The dative of manner is used with comparative adjectives and other expressions of comparison to mark the degree by which one thing differs from another (Dative of Measure of Difference).

κεφαλη έλάττων α head shorter (lit. by the head) P. Ph. 101 a, οὐ πολλαῖς ημέραις ὕστερον ήλθεν he arrived not many days later X. H. 1. 1. 1, ἰόντες δέκα ημέραις πρὸ Παναθηναίων coming ten days before the Panathenaic festival T. 5.47, τοσούτφ ήδῖον ζῶ ὅσφ πλείω κέκτημαι the more I possess the more pleasant is my life X. C. 8. 3.40, πολλφ μείζων ἐγίγνετο ἡ βοὴ ὅσφ δὴ πλείους ἐγίγνοντο the shouting became much louder as the men increased in number X. A. 4. 7. 23. So with πολλφ by much, δλίγφ by little, τῷ παντί in every respect (by all odds).

a. With the superlative : μακρῷ ἄριστα by far the best P. L. 858 e.

- **1514.** With comparatives the accusatives (1586)  $\tau l$ ,  $\tau l$ , older,  $\mu \eta der$  without a substantive are always used: older  $^{\eta}\tau \tau o\nu$  nihilo minus X. A.7. 5.9. In Attic prose (except in Thuc.)  $\pi old$  and older are more common than  $\pi older$  and older with comparatives. Hom. has only  $\pi older$   $\mu eller$ .
- **1515.** Measure of difference may be expressed by  $\ell\nu$   $\tau\iota\nu\iota$ ;  $\epsilon'$ s  $\tau\iota$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\tau\acute{\alpha}$   $\tau\iota$ ; or by  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\iota\nu$ .
- 1516. The dative of manner may denote the particular point of view from which a statement is made. This occurs chiefly with intransitive adjectives but also with intransitive verbs (Dative of Respect). (Cp. 1600.)

ἀνὴρ ἡλικία ἔτι νέος a man still young in years T. 5. 43, τοῖς σώμασι τὸ πλέον ἰσχόουσα ἡ τοῖς χρήμασιν a power stronger in men than in money 1.121, ἀσθενὴς τῷ σώματι weak in body D. 21. 165, τῆ φωνῆ τρᾶχύς harsh of voice X. A. 2. 6. 9, φρονήσει διαφέρων distinguished in understanding X. C. 2. 3. 5, τῶν τότε δυνάμει προύχων superior in power to the men of that time T. 1. 9, δνόματι σπονδαί a truce so far as the name goes 6. 10.

- a. The accusative of respect (1600) is often nearly equivalent to the dative of respect.
- 1517. Cause. The dative, especially with verbs of emotion, expresses the occasion (external cause) or the motive (internal cause).

- 1518. Some verbs of emotion take  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i$  (with dat.) to denote the cause; so always  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha \phi \rho o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \nu to plume oneself, and often <math>\chi \alpha l \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \nu \tau i \sigma \ell \alpha \nu t$  and  $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \tau i \nu \tau$
- 1519. The dative of cause sometimes approximates to a dative of purpose (1473): ' $\Lambda\theta\eta\nu$ aîoı  $\epsilon\phi$ '  $\dot{\eta}\mu$ âs  $\ddot{\omega}\rho\mu\eta\nu\tau$ aı  $\Lambda\epsilon$ o $\nu\tau$ ivo $\nu$  κατοικίσει the Athenians have set out against us (with a view to) to restore the Leontines T. 6. 33. This construction is common with other verbal nouns in Thucydides.
- **1520.** Cause is often expressed by  $\delta\iota\dot{a}$  with the accusative,  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{o}$  with the genitive, less frequently by  $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota$  or  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$  with the dative (poet.) or  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$  with the genitive (poet.).

## COMITATIVE DATIVE

- 1521. The comitative form of the instrumental dative denotes the persons or things which accompany or take part in an action.
- **1522.** Prepositions of accompaniment ( $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  with gen.,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ ) are often used, especially when the verb does not denote accompaniment or union.
- 1523. Dative of Association.—The dative is used with words denoting friendly or hostile association or intercourse. This dative is especially common in the plural and after middle verbs.
- a. κακοῖς ὁμῖλῶν καὐτὸς ἐκβήση κακός if thou associate with the evil, in the end thou too wilt become evil thyself Men. Sent. 274, ἀλλήλοις διειλέγμεθα we have conversed with each other P. A. 37 a, τῷ πλήθει τὰ ῥηθέντα κοινώσαντες communicating to the people what had been said T. 2. 72, δεόμενοι τοὺς φεύγοντας ξυναλλάξαι σφίσι asking that they reconcile their exiles with them 1. 24, εἰς λόγους σοι ἐλθεῖν to have an interview with you X. A. 2. 5. 4, μετεσχήκαμεν ὑμῖν θυσιῶν we have participated in your festivals X. H. 2. 4. 20, ἀλλήλοις σπονδὰς ἐποιήσαντο they made a truce with one another 3. 2. 20, αὐτοῖς διὰ φιλίᾶς Ιέναι to enter into friendship with them X. A. 3. 2. 8. So with verbs of meeting: προσέρχεσθαι, προστυγχάνειν and ἐντυγχάνειν, ἀπαντῶν.
- b. πολλοῖς ὁλίγοι μαχόμενοι few fighting with many T. 4. 36, Κόρφ πολεμοῦντες waging war with Cyrus 1. 13, ἀμφισβητοῦσι μὲν δι εὄνοιαν οἱ φίλοι τοῖς φίλοις, ἐρίζουσι δὲ οἱ διάφοροι ἀλλήλοις friends dispute with friends good-naturedly, but adversaries wrangle with one another P. Pr. 337 b, δικᾶς ἀλλήλοις δικάζονται they bring lawsuits against one another X. M. 3. 5. 16, διαφέρεσθαι τούτοις to be at variance with these men D. 18. 31 (and so many compounds of διά), οὐκ ἔφη τοὺς λόγους τοῖς ἔργοις ὀμολογεῖν he said their words did not agree with their deeds T. 5. 55. So also τινὶ διὰ πολέμου (διὰ μάχης, εἰς χεῖρας) ἰέναι, τινὶ ὀμόσε χωρεῖν, etc.
- N. 1.—  $\pi$  ode  $\mu$ eêr ( $\mu$ á  $\chi$ e $\sigma$  $\theta$ ai)  $\sigma$  $\dot{\nu}$ r  $\tau$ iri ( $\mu$ e $\tau$ á  $\tau$ iros) means to wage war in conjunction with some one.
- N. 2. Verbs of friendly or hostile association, and especially periphrases with  $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota (\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu, \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \hat{a}s)$ , often take the accusative with  $\pi \rho \delta s$ .
- 1524. Dative of Accompaniment. The dative of accompaniment is used with verbs signifying to accompany, follow, etc.
  - ἀκολουθεῖν τ $\hat{\varphi}$  ἡγουμέν $\varphi$  to follow the leader P. R. 474 c, ἔπεσθαι ὑμῖν βούλομαι

I am willing to follow you X. A. 3. 1. 25.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  with the genitive is often used, as are  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$  and  $\ddot{a}\mu a$  with the dative.

- 1526. Dative of Military Accompaniment. The dative is used in the description of military movements to denote the accompaniment (troops, ships, etc.) of a leader:  $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda a \acute{\nu} \epsilon \iota \ \tau \acute{\varphi} \ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \acute{\nu} \mu a \tau \iota \ \hbar e \ marches \ out \ with \ all \ his \ army \ X. A. 1. 7. 14. <math>\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu$  is often used with words denoting troops (T. 6. 62).
- a. An extension of this usage occurs when the persons in the dative are essentially the same as the persons forming the subject (distributive use):  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\epsilon\ell\pi\nu\tau$ 0 of  $\pi$ 0 $\lambda\epsilon\mu$ 00 kal  $\ell\pi\tau$ 1 $\kappa\hat{\nu}$ 0 kal  $\pi\epsilon\lambda\tau$ 0 $\tau$ 1 $\kappa\hat{\nu}$ 0 the enemy pursued us with their cavalry and peltasts X. A. 7. 6. 29.
- b. The dative of military accompaniment is often equivalent to a dative of means when the verb does not denote the leadership of a general.
- 1527. Dative of Accompanying Circumstance. The dative, usually of an abstract substantive, may denote accompanying circumstance and manner.
- a. The substantive has an attribute:  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$   $\beta \circ \hat{\eta}$   $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \circ they$  attacked with loud shouts T. 4. 127,  $\pi a \nu \tau l$   $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota$  with all one's might 5. 23,  $\tau \psi \chi \eta$   $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta}$  with good fortune C. I. A. 2. 17. 7. So  $\pi a \nu \tau l$  (our  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \psi$ ,  $\tau \circ \dot{\nu} \tau \psi$ )  $\tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \psi$ . Manner may be expressed by the adjective, as  $\beta \iota a l \psi$   $\theta a \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \psi$   $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$  to die (by) a violent death X. Hi. 4. 3 (=  $\beta l a$ ).
- b. Many particular substantives have no attribute and are used adverbially:  $\theta \in \tilde{\nu}$   $\delta \rho \delta \mu \omega$  to run at full speed X. A. 1. 8. 19,  $\beta \iota \omega$  by force,  $\delta \iota \kappa \eta$  justly,  $\delta \delta \lambda \omega$  by craft,  $(\tau \hat{\omega})$   $\xi \rho \gamma \omega$  in fact,  $\dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \chi \hat{\eta}$  quietly,  $\kappa \rho \mu \delta \hat{\eta}$  (with care) entirely,  $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu \omega$  in order, duly,  $\kappa \dot{\nu} \kappa \lambda \omega$  round about,  $(\tau \hat{\omega})$   $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega$  in word,  $\pi \rho o \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon$  ostensibly,  $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\eta}$  in silence,  $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \hat{\eta}$  hastily, with difficulty,  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \varepsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$  in truth,  $\tau \hat{\omega}$   $\dot{\delta} \nu \tau \iota$  in reality,  $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$  in anger,  $\phi \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}$  in hasty flight.
- N. When no adjective is used, prepositional phrases or adverbs are generally employed:  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \kappa \rho a \nu \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \eta$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta s$ ,  $\pi \rho \delta s \beta \iota \delta \iota \kappa$  (or  $\beta \iota a \iota \omega s$ ).
- c. Here belongs the dative of feminine adjectives with a substantive  $(\delta\delta\hat{\varphi},$  etc.) omitted, as  $\tau a \acute{o} \tau \eta$  in this way, here,  $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda \eta$  in another way, elsewhere,  $\pi\hat{\eta}, \hat{\eta}$  in what (which) way. So  $\delta\eta\mu\sigma\sigma(a)$  at public expense, idla privately, koir $\hat{\eta}$  in common,  $\pi \epsilon \acute{c}\hat{\eta}$  on foot.
  - N. Some of these forms are instrumental rather than comitative, e.g. ταύτη.
- 1528. Space and Time. The dative of space and time may sometimes be regarded as comitative.
- a. Space: the way by which (qua), as ἐπορεύετο τῆ ὁδῷ ῆν πρότερον ἐποιήσατο he marched by the road (or on the road?) which he had made before T.2.98; b. Time: κατηγόρει ὡς ἐκείνη τῷ χρόνω πεισθείη she charged that she had been

persuaded in (by) the course of time L.1.20. Some of these uses are instrumental rather than comitative.

### WITH ADJECTIVES, ETC.

1529. Many adjectives and adverbs, and some substantives, take the instrumental dative by the same construction as the corresponding verbs.

σύμμαχος αὐτοῖς their ally D. 9. 58, χώρᾶ ὅμορος τῷ Λακεδαιμονίων a country bordering on that of the Lacedaemonians 15. 22, ἀκόλουθα τούτοις conformable to this 18. 257. So κοινός (cp. 1414), σύμφωνος, συγγενής, μεταίτιος, and διάφορος meaning at variance with. — ἐπομένως τῷ νόμφ conformably to the law P. L. 844 e, δ ἐξῆς νόμος τούτφ the law next to this D. 21. 10. Many of the adjectives belonging here also take the genitive when the idea of possession or connection is marked. — ἄμα chiefly in the meaning at the same time. — κοινωνία τοῖς ἀνδράσι intercourse with men P. R. 466 c, ἐπιδρομὴ τῷ τειχίσματι attack on the fort T. 4. 23.

#### LOCATIVE DATIVE

- 1530. The dative as the representative of the locative is used to express place and time.
  - a. On the instrumental dative of space and time, see 1528.
- 1531. Dative of Place. In poetry the dative without a preposition is used to denote place.
- a. Where a person or thing is:  $\sigma \tau \dot{a}s$   $\mu \epsilon \sigma \phi$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \kappa \epsilon i$  taking his stand in the middle of the court  $\Omega$  306,  $\gamma \hat{\eta}$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau o$  she lay on the ground S. O. T. 1266, rales  $\delta \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$  to dwell among the mountains O. T. 1451. Often of the parts of the body (Hom.  $\delta \bar{\nu} \mu \hat{\phi}$ ,  $\kappa a \rho \delta i \eta$ , etc.). With persons (generally in the plural):  $\dot{a} \rho \iota \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} s$  True conspicuous among the True at 77.  $\tau o \hat{\iota} \sigma \iota \delta$   $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$  A 68 may be rose up among them or a dative proper (for them).
- b. Place whither (limit of motion):  $\pi\epsilon\delta$ ίω πέσε fell on the ground Ε 82, κολεφ ἄορ θέο put thy sword into its sheath κ 333.
- 1532. After verbs of motion the dative, as distinguished from the locative, denotes direction *towards* and is used of persons (1485), and is a form of the dative of interest.
- 1533. Many verbs capable of taking the locative dative in poetry, require, in prose, the aid of a preposition in composition. The limit of motion is usually (1589) expressed by the accusative with a preposition (e.g.  $\epsilon ls$ ,  $\pi \rho \delta s$ ).
- 1534. In prose the dative of place (chiefly place where) is used only of proper names: Πῦθοῖ at Pytho, Ἰσθμοῖ at the Isthmus, Σαλαμῶνι at Salamis, Ἰολυμπίᾶσι at Olympia, Ἰλθήνησι at Athens (inscr.); especially with the names of Attic demes, as Φαληροῖ, Θορικοῖ, Μαραθῶνι. But ἐν Μαραθῶνι and ἐν Πλαταιαῖs occur. Some deme-names require ἐν, as ἐν Κοίλη.
- 1535. Many adverbs are genuine locatives, as οἴκοι, πάλαι, πανδημεί, Φαληροῖ; ᾿Αθήνησι, Πλαταιᾶσι; others are datives in form, as κύκλφ, Πλαταιαῖς.
  - 1536. With names of countries and places, èv is more common than the

locative dative, and, with the above exceptions, the place where is expressed in Attic prose with  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ .

- 1537. Verbs of ruling often take the dative, especially in Homer: Μυρμιδόνεσσιν ἄνασσε Α 180, Γιγάντεσσιν βασίλευεν η 59, ἢρχε δ' ἄρα σφιν 'Αγαμέμνων Ξ 134. Rarely in prose: ἡγεῖσθαί τινι to serve as guide (leader) to some one, ἐπιστατεῖν τινι to be set over one; ἄρχειν τινί means only = to be archon (Πῦθοδώρου ἄρχοντος 'Αθηναίοις Τ. 2. 2). Cp. 1371.
- a. Only when stress is not laid on the idea of supremacy is the dative, instead of the genitive (1370), used with verbs of ruling.
- **1538.** It is not clear whether the dative with verbs of ruling is a dative proper (for), a locative (among; cp.  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  Φαίηξιν ἄνασσε η 62), or an instrumental (by). ἄρχειν, ἡγεῖσθαι may take the dative proper, ἀνάσσειν, βασιλεύειν, κρατεῖν may take the locative dative.
- 1539. Dative of Time. The dative without a preposition is commonly used to denote a definite point of time (chiefly day, night, month, year, season) at which an action occurred. The dative contrasts one point of time with another, and is usually accompanied by an attributive.
- 1540. The dative denotes the time at which an action takes place and the date of an event.

ταύτην μὲν τὴν ἡμέραν αὐτοῦ ἔμειναν, τῷ δὲ ὑστεραία κτλ. throughout that day they waited there, but on the day following, etc. X. H. 1. 1. 14. So τῷ προτεραία the day before, τῷ δευτέρα the second day, Ἐλαφηβολιῶνος μηνὸς ἔκτῃ (ἡμέρα) φθίνοντος on the sixth of waning Elaphebolion Aes. 2. 90, ἔνῃ καὶ νέα on the last of the month D. 18. 29; τρίτφ μηνί in the third month L. 21. 1, περιώντι τῷ θέρει when summer was coming to an end T. 1. 30, ἐξηκοστῷ ἔτει in the sixtieth year 1. 12; also with ἄρα (χειμῶνος ἄρα in the winter season And. 1. 137).

1541. The names of the regular recurring festivals which serve to date an occurrence stand in the dative: Παναθηναίοις at the Panathenaea D. 21. 156, τοῖς Διονῦσίοις at the Dionysia 21. 1, ταῖς πομπαῖς at the processions 21. 171, τοῖς τραγφδοῖς at the representations of the tragedies Aes. 3. 176. ἐν is rarely added.

#### **1542**. $\epsilon_{\nu}$ is added:

 the assembly held the day after 1.44, but usu, the simple dative, as  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta$  in the battle 3.54, ekelvy  $\tau \hat{\eta} \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \sigma \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$  in that incursion 2.20,  $\tau \hat{\eta}$   $\pi \rho o \tau \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \rho \phi \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma l \phi$  in the first assembly 1.44.

1543. The dative and genitive of time are sometimes employed with only a slight difference (1447 a).

### DATIVE WITH COMPOUND VERBS

- **1544.** Many compound verbs take the dative because of their meaning as a whole. So  $\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\nu$  hold out against,  $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota\sigma\beta\eta\tau\hat{\epsilon}\nu$  dispute with (1523 b).
- 1545. The dative is used with verbs compounded with  $\sigma i\nu$  (regularly), with many compounded with  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ , and with some compounded with  $\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\pi \rho \dot{s}$ , and  $\dot{\nu}\pi \dot{o}$ , because the preposition keeps a sense that requires the dative.

έμβλέψας αὐτῷ looking at him P. Charm. 162 d, ἐλπίδας ἐμποιεῖν ἀνθρώποις to creute expectations in men X. C. 1. 6. 19, αὐτοῖς ἐπέπεσε τὸ Ἑλληνικόν the Greek force fell upon them X. A. 4. 1. 10, ἐπέκειντο αὐτοῖς they pressed hard upon them 5. 2. 5, συναδικεῖν αὐτοῖς to be their accomplice in wrong-doing 2. 6. 27, ξυγίσατι Μελήτω ψευδομένω they are conscious that Meletus is speaking falsely (i.e. they know it as well as he does) P. A. 34 b, οὖτοι οὖ παρεγένοντο βασιλεῖ these did not join the king X. A. 5. 6. 8, παρέστω ὑμῖν ὁ κῆρυξ let the herald come with us 3. 1. 46, Ξενοφῶντι προσέτρεχον δύο νεᾶνίσκω two youths ran up to Xenophon 4. 3. 10, ὑποκεῖσθαι τῷ ἀρχοντι to be subject to the ruler P. G. 510 c.

- a. So especially with verbs of motion and rest formed from léναι, πίπτειν, τιθέναι, τρέχειν, εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι, κεῖσθαι, etc.
- **1546.** Some verbs of motion compounded with  $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\nu}$  take the accusative (1559).
- **1547.** Some verbs have an alternative construction, e.g.  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ :  $\tau \iota \nu \dot{\iota}$   $\tau \iota \nu$  invest a person with something,  $\tau \dot{\iota}$   $\tau \iota \nu \iota$  surround something with something.
- 1548. Compounds of  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$  take the instrumental, compounds of  $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$  take the locative dative.
- 1549. When the idea of place is emphatic, the preposition may be repeated:  $\epsilon\mu\mu\epsilon\ell\nu\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon$ s  $\epsilon\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$  'Attik $\hat{\eta}$  remaining in Attica T. 2. 23; but it is generally not repeated when the idea is figurative:  $\tau o\hat{s}$  őpkois  $\epsilon\mu\mu\epsilon\nu$  abiding by one's oath I. 1. 13.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  may be used after compounds of  $\sigma \delta\nu$ :  $\mu\epsilon\tau'$   $\epsilon\mu o\hat{v}$   $\sigma \nu\nu\epsilon\pi\lambda\epsilon\iota$  he sailed in company with me L. 21. 8.
  - 1550. The prepositions are more frequently repeated in prose than in poetry.

### **ACCUSATIVE**

- 1551. The accusative is a form of defining or qualifying the verb.
- a. The accusative derives its name from a mistranslation (casus accusativus) of the Greek ( $\dot{\eta}$  altiātik $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ , properly casus effectivus, 1554 a).
  - 1552. A noun stands in the accusative when the idea it expresses is most GREEK GRAM. -23

immediately (in contrast to the dative) and most completely (in contrast to the genitive) under the influence of the verbal conception (in contrast to the nominative).

- 1553. The accusative is the case of the direct object (919). The accusative is used with all transitive verbs (and with some intransitive verbs used transitively), with some verbal nouns, and with adjectives.
  - 1554. The direct object is of two kinds:
- a. The internal object (object effected): ὁ ἀνὴρ τύπτει πολλὰς πληγάς the man strikes many blows.
- N. 1. Here the object is already contained (or implied) in the verb, and its addition is optional. The accusative of the internal object is sometimes called the accusative of content. The object stands in apposition to the result of the verbal action. The effect produced by the verb is either (1) transient, when the object is a nomen actionis, and disappears with the operation of the verb, as in  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$  to fight a battle, or (2) permanent, and remains after the verbal action has ceased, as in  $\tau \epsilon i \chi o \tau \epsilon \iota \chi l \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$  to build a wall. The latter form is the accusative of result (1578).
  - N. 2. Almost any verb may take one of the varieties of the internal object.
- b. The external object (object affected): ὁ ἀνὴρ τύπτει τὸν παίδα the man strikes the boy.
- N. Here the object is not contained in the verb, but is necessary to explain or define the character of the action in question. The external object stands outside the verbal action.
- 1555. Many verbs may take an accusative either of the external or of the internal object: τέμνειν δλην fell timber, τέμνειν τὰς τρίχας cut off the hair, τέμνειν ὁδὸν open a road, but σπονδὰς οι ὅρκια τέμνειν, with a specialized verbal idea, to make a treaty by slaying a victim (pass. δρκια ἐτμήθη), τέμνειν ὁδὸν make one's way (poet.), τειχίζειν χωρίον fortify a place, but τειχίζειν τείχος build a wall. Cp. E. Supp. 1060: A. νῖκῶσα νίκην τίνα; μαθεῖν χρήζω σέθεν. Β. πάσāς γυναῖκας, κτλ. Α. Victorious in what victory? This I would learn of thee. B. Over all women. Here the construction shifts from the internal to the external object.
- a. The object of a verb governing the genitive or dative as principal object may also become the subject of the passive (1340).
- **1557.** In Greek many verbs are transitive the ordinary English equivalents of which are intransitive and require a preposition. So  $\sigma\iota\omega\pi\hat{a}\nu\tau\iota$ ,  $\sigma\bar{\imath}\gamma\hat{a}\nu\tau\iota$  to keep silence about something.
- **1558.** Many verbs that are usually intransitive are also used transitively in Greek. Thus,  $d\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  sin against,  $d\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\nu$  be disgusted at, calpeir rejoice at,  $dd\epsilon\sigma\theta$  be pleased at,  $da\kappa\rho\delta\epsilon\nu$  weep for. Cp. 1595 b.

- a. Poetical: ἄσσειν agitate, περῶν πόδα pass on her way Ε. Hec. 53, πλεῖν sail, κροταλίζειν rattle along (κροτεῖν strike Hdt. 6.58), λάμπειν make shine, χορεύειν θεόν, ἐλίσσειν θεόν celebrate the god by choruses, by dancing.
- 1559. Many intransitive verbs are used transitively when compounded with a preposition, e.g. ἀναμάχεσθαι fight over again.— ἀπομάχεσθαι drive off, ἀποστρέφεσθαι abandon, ἀποχωρεῖν leave. διαβαίνειν pass over, διαπλεῖν sail across, διεξέρχεσθαι go through. εἰσιέναι come into the mind, εἰσπλεῖν sail into. ἐκβαίνειν pass, ἐκτρέπεσθαι get out of the way of, ἐξαναχωρεῖν shun, ἐξίστασθαι avoid. ἐπιστρατεύειν march against. καταναμαχεῖν beat at sea, καταπολεμεῖν subdue completely, καταπολιτεύεσθαι reduce by policy. μετέρχεσθαι seek, pursue, μετιέναι go in quest of. παραβαίνειν transgress. περιίναι go round, περιίστασθαι surround. προσοικεῖν dwell in, προσπαίζειν sing in praise of. ὑπερβαίνειν omit. ὑπεξέρχεσθαι escape from. ὑπέρχεσθαι fawn on, ὑποδύεσθαι withstand, ὑποχωρεῖν shun, ὑφίστασθαι withstand.
- **1560.** Conversely, many verbs that are usually transitive are used intransitively (with gen., dat., or with a preposition). Some of these are mentioned in 1591, 1592, 1595. Sometimes there is a difference in meaning, as  $d\rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu = satisfy$ , with accus., = please, with dat.
- 1561. The same verb may be used transitively or intransitively, often with little difference of signification. Cp. 1709. This is generally indicated in the treatment of the cases, e.g. alσθάνεσθαί τι οτ τινο perceive something, ένθῦμεῖσθαί τι οτ τινι consider something, μέμφεσθαί τινα οτ τινι blame some one.
- **1562.** On  $\delta\epsilon\hat{i}$   $\mu ol$   $\tau \nu vos$  and  $\delta\epsilon\hat{i}$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau \nu vos$  see 1400. With the inf. the accus. is usual (dat. and inf. X. A. 3. 4. 35).  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau \nu vos$  is poetical; with the inf.  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$  takes the accus. (except L. 28. 10, where some read  $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota ous$ ). ( $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$  is an old noun; cp.  $\chi \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ ,  $\chi \rho \epsilon \iota a$  need and 793.)

# INTERNAL OBJECT (OBJECT EFFECTED)

### COGNATE ACCUSATIVE

- 1563. The cognate accusative is of two kinds, of which the second is an extension of the first.
- 1564. (I) The substantive in the accusative is of the same origin as the verb.
- πολλὴν φλυᾶρίᾶν φλυᾶροῦντα talking much nonsense P. A. 19 c, ξυνέφυγε τὴν φυγὴν ταύτην he shared in the recent exile 21 a, τὴν ἐν Σαλαμῖνι ναυμαχίᾶν ναυμαχήσαντες victorious in the sea-fight at Salamis D. 59. 97, τὰς ὑποσχέσεις ἆς οὖτος ὑπῖσχνεῖτο the promises which he made 19.47, ἡ αἰτίᾶ ἢν αἰτιῶνται the charge they bring Ant. 6.27.
- a. Sometimes the verb may be suppressed, as ἡμῖν μὲν εὐχας τάσδε (εὕχομαι) for us these prayers A. Ch. 142.

they disfranchised them in such a way that, etc. T. 5. 34 ( $d\tau$ imous  $d\pi$ 0i $\eta\sigma$ a $\nu=\eta\tau$ im $\tau$ a $\nu$ , cp. 1598).

- **1566**. Passive : πόλεμος ἐπολεμεῖτο war was waged X. H. 4. 8. 1.
- 1567. (II) The substantive in the accusative is of kindred meaning with the verb.

έξηλθον ἄλλᾶς ὁδούς they went forth on other expeditions X. H. 1. 2. 17, τὸν ἱερὸν καλούμενον πόλεμον ἐστράτευσαν they waged what is called the Sacred War T. 1. 112, ἡσθένησε ταύτην τὴν νόσον he fell ill of this disease I. 19. 24, ἀνθρώπου φύσιν βλαστών born to man's estate S. Aj. 760.

- **1568**. Passive:  $\pi$ όλεμος ἐταράχθη war was stirred up D. 18. 151.
- **1569.** An extension of the cognate accusative appears in poetry with κεῖσθαι, στῆναι, καθίζειν and like verbs: τόπον, ὅντινα κεῖται the place in which he is situated S. Ph. 145, τί ἔστηκε πέτραν; why stands she on the rock? E. Supp. 987, τρίποδα καθίζων sitting on the tripod E. Or. 956.
- 1570. An attributive word is usually necessary (but not in Hom.); otherwise the addition of the substantive to the verb would be tautologous. But the attribute is omitted:
- a. When the nominal idea is specialized: φυλακᾶς φυλάττειν to stand sentry
   X. A. 2. 6. 10, φόρον φέρειν to pay tribute 5.5.7.
- b. When the substantive is restricted by the article: τὸν πόλεμον πολεμεῖν to wage the present war T. 8. 58, τὴν πομπὴν πέμπειν to conduct the procession 6.56.
- c. When a plural substantive denotes repeated occurrences: ἐτριηράρχησε τριηραρχίαs he performed the duty of trierarch D. 45. 85.
- d. In various expressions: 'Ολύμπια νῖκᾶν to win an Olympian victory T. 1. 126, τὴν ναυμαχίᾶν νῖκῆσαι to be victorious in the sea-fight L. 19. 28, θύειν τὰ εὐαγγέλια to offer a sacrifice in honour of good news X. H. 1. 6. 37.
- e. In poetry the use of a substantive to denote a special form of the action of the verb is much extended:  $\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$   $a\tilde{\iota}\mu\alpha$  to drip (drops of) blood S. Ph. 783, "Apy  $\pi\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  to breathe war A. Ag. 375,  $\pi\hat{\upsilon}\rho$  dedorkés looking (a look of) fire  $\tau$  446. This use is common, especially in Aristophanes, with verbs signifying the look of another than the speaker:  $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\nu\hat{\alpha}\pi\nu$  to look mustard Eq. 631,  $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\iota\sigma\tau\hat{\iota}\bar{\alpha}\nu$  to look unbelief Com. fr. 1. 341 (No. 309); cp. "looked his faith": Holmes.
- **1571.** The substantive without an attribute is (rarely) added to the verb as a more emphatic form of statement:  $\lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \rho \nu \lambda \eta \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  to talk sheer nonsense Ar. Pl. 517,  $\tilde{\nu} \beta \rho \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \beta \rho l \xi \epsilon \nu$  to insult grievously E. H. F. 708. Often in Euripides.
- 1573. Usually an adjective, pronoun, or pronominal adjective is treated as a neuter substantive. Cp. μεγάλ' άμαρτάνειν to commit grave errors D. 5.5 with μέγιστα άμαρτήματα άμαρτάνουσι P. G. 525 d. The singular adjective is used in certain common phrases in prose, but is mainly poetical; the plural is ordinarily used in prose.

ἡδὸ γελῶν poet. (= ἡδὸν γέλωτα γελῶν) to laugh sweetly, μέγα (ψεῦδος) ψεύδεται he is a great liar, μέγα φρονήσᾶς ἐπὶ τούτψ highly elated at this X. A. 3. 1. 27, μείζον φρονεῖ he is too proud 5. 6. 8, τὰ τῶν Ἑλλήνων φρονεῖν to be on the side of the Greeks D. 14. 34, μέγιστον ἐδύναντο had the greatest influence L. 30. 14, δεινὰ ὑβρίζειν to maltreat terribly X. A. 6. 4. 2, ταὐτὰ ἐπρεσβεύομεν we fulfilled our mission as ambassadors in the same way D. 19. 32, τί βούλεται ἡμῖν χρῆσθαι; what use does he wish to make of us  $^{9}$  X. A. 1. 3. 18 (= τίνα βούλεται χρείᾶν χρῆσθαι, cp. χρῆσθαί τινι χρείαν P. L. 868 b).

1574. Passive: τοῦτο οὐκ ἐψεύσθησαν they were not deceived in this X. A. 2. 2. 13, ταῦτα οὐδεὶς ἀν πεισθείη no one would be persuaded of this P. L. 836 d.

1575. For a cognate accusative in conjunction with a second object, see 1620.

1576. Note the expressions δικάζειν δίκην decide a case, δικάζεσθαι δίκην τινί go to law with somebody, διώκειν γραφήν τινα indict somebody, φεύγειν δίκην τινόs be put on one's trial for something; γράφεσθαι τινα γραφήν indict one for a public offence, φεύγειν γραφήν be put on one's trial for a public offence. Also άγωνίζεσθαι στάδιον (= άγῶνα σταδίον) be a contestant in the race-course, νῖκᾶν στάδιον be victorious in the race-course, νῖκᾶν δίκην win a case, νῖκᾶν γνώμην carry a resolution (pass. γνώμην ήττᾶσθαι), όφλεῖν δίκην lose a case.

**1577.** The (rarer) dative (φόβφ ταρβεῖν, βιαίφ θανάτφ ἀποθνήσκειν, φεύγειν φυγή) expresses the cause (1517), manner (1513), or means (1507).

#### ACCUSATIVE OF RESULT

1578. The accusative of result denotes the effect enduring after the verbal action has ceased.

έλκος οὐτάσαι to smite (and thus make) a wound E 361 (so οὐλὴν ἐλαύνειν ψ 74), πρεσβεύειν τὴν εἰρὴνην to negotiate the peace (go as ambassadors (πρέσβεις) to make the peace) D. 19. 134, but πρεσβεύειν πρεσβείᾶν to go on an embassy Dinarchus 1. 16, νόμισμα κόπτειν to coin money Hdt. 3. 56, σπονδᾶς, or ὅρκια, τέμνειν (1555).

1579. Verbs signifying to effect anything (αἴρειν raise, αὕξειν exalt, διδάσκειν teach, τρέφειν rear, παιδεύειν train) show the result of their action upon a substantive or adjective predicate to the direct object: σὲ Θῆβαί γ' οὐκ ἐπαίδευσαν κακόν Thebes did not train thee to be base S. O. C. 919, τοῦτον τρέφειν τε καὶ αὕξειν μέγαν to nurse and exalt him into greatness P. R. 565 c, ἐποικοδομήσαντες αὐτὸ ὑψηλότερον raising it higher T. 7. 4. Such predicate nouns are called proleptic. Passive: μέγαν ἐκ μῖκροῦ Φίλιππος ηὕξηται Philip has grown from a mean to be a mighty person D. 9. 21. Cp. 1613.

### ACCUSATIVE OF EXTENT

1580. The accusative denotes extent in space and time.

1581. Space. — The accusative denotes the space or way over which an action is extended, and the measure of the space traversed.

άγειν (στρατίὰν) στενὰς όδούς to lead an army over narrow roads X. C. 1.6.43, ξξελαύνει σταθμούς τρεῖς, παρασάγγας εἴκοσι καὶ δύο he advances three stages, twentytwo parasangs X. A. 1. 2. 5, ἀπέχει ἡ Πλάταια τῶν Θηβῶν σταδίους ἐβδομήκοντα Plataea is seventy stades distant from Thebes T. 2. 5.

- a. This use is analogous to the cognate accusative after verbs of motion ( $\xi\xi\delta\delta\sigma vs$   $\xi\xi\epsilon\lambda\theta\epsilon\hat{v}$ ,  $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{v}$   $\theta\delta\lambda\alpha\tau\tau\alpha\nu$ ).
  - 1582. Time. The accusative denotes extent of time.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ μεινεν ἡμέρ $\tilde{a}$ ς έπτά he remained seven days X. A. 1. 2. 6, ξυμμαχί $\tilde{a}$ ν έποιήσαντο  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ κατ $\tilde{a}$ ν έτη they made an alliance for a hundred years T. 3. 114.

- 1583. The accusative of time implies that the action of the verb covers the entire period. When emphasis is laid on the uninterrupted duration of an action,  $\pi a \rho d$  with the accusative (1692. 3. b) and  $\delta \iota d$  with the genitive (1685. 1. b) are used. The accusative of time is rarely employed where the dative (1540) is properly in place:  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \ddot{a} \nu$  Aes. 3. 7.
- 1584. Duration of life may be expressed by γεγονώς: έτη γεγονώς έβδομήκοντα seventy years old P. A. 17 d. (Also by εἶναι and the genitive, 1327.)
- 1585. To mark (a) how long a situation has lasted or (b) how much time has elapsed since something happened, an ordinal is used without the article, but often with the addition of οὐτοτί. The current day or year is included. Thus (a) τὴν μητέρα τελευτήσᾶσαν τρίτον ἔτος τουτί my mother who died two years ago L. 24. 6, ἐπιδεδήμηκε τρίτην ἤδη ἡμέρᾶν he has been in the city since day before yesterday P. Pr. 309 d. (b) ἀπηγγέλθη Φίλιππος τρίτον ἢ τέταρτον ἔτος τουτί Ἡραῖον τεῖχος πολιορκῶν this is the third or fourth year since it was announced that Philip was besieging fort Heraeum D. 3. 4.
- **1586.** On the accusative of extent in degree, see 1609. With a comparative we find  $\pi o \lambda \dot{\nu}$  and  $\delta \lambda i \gamma \sigma \nu$  as well as  $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$  and  $\delta \lambda i \gamma \omega$  (1514); and always  $\tau l$ ,  $\tau l$ , ov  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  with the comparative.
- 1587. Time and degree are often expressed by prepositions with the accusative. See Prepositions under  $\dot{a}\mu\phi l$ ,  $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}$ ,  $\delta\iota\dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{a}$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{a}$ ,  $\pi\rho\dot{b}s$ ,  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{b}$ .

# TERMINAL ACCUSATIVE (IN POETRY)

1588. In poetry after verbs of motion the accusative may be used without a preposition to express the goal.

άστυ Καδμεῖον μολών having come to the city of Cadmus S.O.T.35, πέμψομέν νιν Ἑλλάδα we will convey her to Greece E. Tro. 883. Of persons in Hom. (especially with ἰκνέομαι, ἵκω, ἰκάνω = reach) and in the lyric parts of the drama: μνηστήρας ἀφίκετο came unto the suitors α 332. Cp. "arrived our coast": Shakesp. In Hdt. 9.26 φαμὲν ἡμέας ἰκνέεσθαι means we declare that it befits us.

**1589.** The limit of motion is also expressed by  $-\delta\epsilon$  ( $\delta\sigma\tau\nu\delta\epsilon$  Hom., in prose,  $^{\prime}A\theta\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha\dot{\varsigma}\epsilon = ^{\prime}A\theta\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\alpha}\dot{\varsigma}\epsilon + \delta\epsilon$ ;  $\chi\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\dot{\varsigma}\epsilon$  or  $\chi\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\dot{\varsigma}\epsilon = \chi\alpha\mu\dot{\alpha}\dot{s} + \delta\epsilon$ , cp.  $\chi\alpha\mu\alpha\iota$ ; of  $\kappa\alpha\delta\epsilon$ ) and, regularly in prose, by  $\epsilon ls$ ,  $\epsilon\pi l$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta s$ ,  $\dot{\omega}s$  (with a person) with the accusative.

### EXTERNAL OBJECT (OBJECT AFFECTED)

1590. Of the many transitive verbs taking this accusative the following deserve mention:

- 1591. (I) To do anything to or say anything of a person.
- a. εὖ (καλῶς) ποιεῖν, δρᾶν (rarely with πράττειν), εὖεργετεῖν, ὁνινάναι, ὡφελεῖν (also with dat.), θεραπεύειν, κακῶς ποιεῖν, κακοῦν, κακουργεῖν, βλάπτειν, ἀδικεῖν, ὑβρίζειν, βιάζεσθαι, ἀμείβεσθαι requite, τῖμωρεῖσθαι punish, λῦμαίνεσθαι (also with dat.), λωβᾶσθαι (also with dat.).
- b. εὖ (καλῶs) λέγειν, εὐλογεῖν, κολακεύειν, θωπεύειν, προσκυνεῖν, κακῶs λέγειν, κακολογεῖν, κακηγορεῖν, λοιδορεῖν.
- **1592**. συμφέρειν and λῦσιτελεῖν profit, βοηθεῖν help, λοιδορεῖσθαι rail at take the dat., ἀδικεῖν injure and ὑβρίζειν insult also take εἴς τινα οτ πρός τινα.
- **1593**.  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  (κακώς) ἀκούειν, πάσχειν are used as the passives of  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  (κακώς) λέγειν, ποιείν. Cp. 1752.
  - 1594. Many of the above-mentioned verbs take a double accusative (1622).
  - 1595. (II) Verbs expressing emotion and its manifestations.
- a. φοβεῖσθαι, δεδιέναι, τρεῖν, ἐκπλήττεσθαι, καταπλήττεσθαι fear, πτήσσειν crouch before, εὐλαβεῖσθαι beware of, θαρρεῖν have no fear of (have confidence in), alδεῖσθαι stand in awe of, aἰσχόνεσθαι feel shame before, δυσχεραίνειν be disgusted at, ἐλεεῖν pity, πενθεῖν, θρηνεῖν, δακρύειν, κλάειν (κλαίειν) lament, weep over.
- b. χαίρειν rejoice at and ήδεσθαι be pleased to hear take the accus of a person only in the poets and only with a predicate participle (2100). αἰσχύνεσθαι, χαίρειν, ήδεσθαι, δυσχεραίνειν usually take the dat. in prose. θαρρείν may take the instr. dat. (Hdt. 3. 76).
  - 1596. (III) Verbs of swearing.

όμνύναι swear by (τοὺς θεούς, pass. Zεὺς ὀμώμοται) and swear to (τὸν ὅρκον, pass. ὁ ὅρκος ὀμώμοται). So ἐπιορκεῖν swear falsely by.

- a. δμνύναι τοὺς θεούς may be an abbreviation of δμνύναι ὅρκον (internal object) τῶν θεῶν.
- b. The accusative is used in asseverations with the adverbs of swearing  $\mu\acute{a}$ ,  $οਂ ω\acute{a}$ ,  $\nu al$   $\mu\acute{a}$ ,  $\nu \acute{\eta}$ .

Nay, by Zeus:  $\mu \dot{a}$   $(\tau \dot{o} \nu)$   $\Delta la$ ,  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu \dot{a}$   $(\tau \dot{o} \nu)$   $\Delta la$ . Yea, by Zeus:  $\nu a \dot{a}$   $\mu \dot{a}$   $(\tau \dot{o} \nu)$   $\Delta la$ ,  $\nu \dot{\eta}$   $(\tau \dot{o} \nu)$   $\Delta la$ .

μά is negative, except when preceded by ναί. μά may stand alone when a negative precedes (often in a question) or when a negative follows in the next clause: μὰ τὸν ᾿Απόλλω, οὄκ Ar. Thesm. 269. μά is sometimes omitted after οὐ, and after ναί: οὐ τὸν ϶Ολυμπον S. O. T. 1088, ναὶ τὰν κόρᾶν Ar. Vesp. 1438.

c. The name of the deity may be omitted in Attic under the influence of sudden scrupulousness:  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \ \tau \dot{\rho} \nu - o \dot{\sigma} \ \sigma \dot{\nu} \ \gamma \epsilon \ not \ you, \ by - P. G. 466 e.$ 

# 1597. (IV) Various other verbs.

φεύγειν flee from, ἀποδιδράσκειν escape from, ἐνεδρεύειν lie in wait for, φθάνειν anticipate, φυλάττεσθαι guard oneself against, ἀμόνεσθαι defend oneself against, λανθάνειν escape the notice of, μένειν wait for, ἐκλείπειν and ἐπιλείπειν give out, fail (τὸ στράτευμα ὁ σῖτος ἐπέλιπε corn failed the army X.A.1.5.6).

1598. The accusative is rarely found after verbal nouns and adjectives, and in periphrastic expressions equivalent to a transitive verb. (This usage is post-Homeric and chiefly poetical.)

χοὰs προπομπός (= προπέμπουσα) escorting the libations A. Ch. 23, τὰ μετέωρα φροντιστής α speculator about things above the earth P. A. 18 b, ἐπιστήμονες ήσαν τὰ προσήκοντα they veere acquainted with their duties X. C. 3. 3. 9, πόλεμος ἄπορα πόριμος war providing difficulties (things for which there is no provision) A. Pr. 904, πολλά συνίστωρ (a house) full of guilty secrets A. Ag. 1090, σὲ φύξιμος able to escape thee S. Ant. 787; ἔξαρνός εἰμι (= ἐξαρνοῦμαι) τὰ ἐρωτώμενα say 'no' to the question P. Charm. 158 c, τεθνάσι τῷ δέει τοὺς ἀποστόλους they are in mortal fear of the envoys D. 4. 45; other cases 1612.

1599. Elliptical Accusative. — The accusative is sometimes used elliptically.

οδτος,  $\mathring{\omega}$  σέ τοι (scil. καλ $\mathring{\omega}$ ) ho! you there, I am calling you! Ar. Av. 274,  $\mu \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\pi ρ \acute{o}s$  σε θε $\mathring{\omega}ν$  τλ $\mathring{\eta}s$   $\mu ε$   $\pi ρ οδο \mathring{v}ν αι$  (=  $\mu \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\pi ρ \acute{o}s$  θε $\mathring{\omega}ν$  σε αίτ $\mathring{\omega}$ ) do not, I implore thee by the gods, have the heart to leave me! E. Alc. 275,  $\mu \acute{\eta}$   $\mu οι$   $\pi ρ \acute{o}\phi$  ασιν (scil.  $\pi \acute{a}ρ εχ ε$ ) no excuse! Ar. Ach. 345. Cp. 946.

#### FREE USES OF THE ACCUSATIVE

### ACCUSATIVE OF RESPECT

- 1600. To verbs denoting a state, and to adjectives, an accusative may be added to denote a thing in respect to which the verb or adjective is limited.
- a. The accusative usually expresses a local relation or the instrument. The word restricted by the accusative usually denotes like or similar to, good or better, bad or worse, a physical or a mental quality, or an emotion.
  - 1601. The accusative of respect is employed
- a. Of the parts of the body:  $\delta$  ἄνθρωπος τὸν δάκτυλον ἀλγεῖ the man has a pain in his finger P. R. 462 d, τυφλὸς τά τ' ὅτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματ' εἶ blind art thou in ears, and mind, and eyes S. O. T. 371, πόδας ὡκὸς ᾿Αχιλλεύς Hom.
- N. The accusative of the part in apposition to the whole (985) belongs here, as is seen by the passive. Cp.  $\tau \delta r \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi'$  auxéra him he smote on the neck  $\Lambda$  240 (βάλε θοῦρον Αρηα κατ αὐχένα Φ 406) with βέβληαι κενεῶνα thou art smitten in the abdomen E 284.
- b. Of qualities and attributes (nature, form, size, name, birth, number, etc.):  $\delta$ ιαφέρει γυνη ἀνδρὸς την φύσιν woman differs from man in nature P. R. 453 b, οὐδὲ ἔοικεν θνητὰς ἀθανάτησι δέμας και εἶδος ἐρίζειν nor is it seemly that mortal women should rival the immortals in form and appearance ε 213, ποταμός, Κύδνος ὅνομα, εὖρος δύο πλέθρων α river, Cydnus by name, two plethra in width X. A. 1. 2. 23 (so with ὕψος, βάθος, μέγεθος), πλήθος ὡς δισχίλιοι about two thousand in number 4. 2. 2, λέξον ὅστις εἶ γένος tell me of what race thou art E. Bacch. 460.

- 1602. Very rarely after substantives: χεῖρας αλχμητής a warrior valiant with (thy) arm π 242, νεᾶνίαι τὰς ὄψεις youths by their appearance L. 10. 29.
- **1603.** For the acccusative of respect the instrumental dative (1516) is also employed, and also the prepositions  $\epsilon ls$ ,  $\kappa a \tau a$ ,  $\pi \rho b s$ , e.g.  $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\delta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ .
- **1604.** Not to be confused with the accusative of respect is the accusative after intransitive adjectives (1565) or after the passives of 1632.
- 1605. The accusative of respect is probably in its origin, at least in part, an accusative of the internal object.

### ADVERBIAL ACCUSATIVE

- 1606. Many accusatives marking limitations of the verbal action serve the same function as adverbs.
- 1607. Most of these adverbial accusatives are accusatives of the internal object: thus, in  $\tau \epsilon \lambda$  os  $\delta \epsilon$   $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon$  but at last he said,  $\tau \epsilon \lambda$  os is to be regarded as standing in apposition to an unexpressed object of the verb words, which were the end. Many adverbial accusatives are thus accusatives in apposition (991) and some are accusatives of respect (1600). It is impossible to apportion all cases among the varieties of the accusatives; many may be placed under different heads. The use of adjectives as adverbs ( $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \pi \lambda o \nu \sigma \epsilon i \nu r i ch)$  is often derived from the cognate accusative with verbs ( $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \pi \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu$ ).
- 1608. Manner. τρόπον τινά in some way, τίνα τρόπον in what way ? τόνδε (τοῦτον) τὸν τρόπον in this way, πάντα τρόπον in every way (also παντὶ τρόπφ), τὴν ταχίστην (όδόν) in the quickest way, τὴν εὐθεῖαν (όδόν) straightforward, προῖκα, δωρεάν gratis (1616), δίκην after the fashion of (δίκην τοξότου like an archer P. L. 705 e), πρόφασιν in pretence (ἔπλεε πρόφασιν ἐπ' Ἑλλησπόντου he sailed professedly for the Hellespont Hdt. 5. 33), χάριν for the sake of (lit. favour): οὐ τὴν ᾿Αθηναίων χάριν ἐστρατεύοντο did not engage in the expedition out of good will to the Athenians Hdt. 5. 99, τοῦ χάριν for what reason ? Ar. Plut. 53, τὴν σὴν ἥκω χάριν for thy sake I have come S. Ph. 1413. Cp. 993.
- **1609.** Measure and Degree. μέγα, μεγάλα greatly, πολύ, πολλά much, τὸ πολύ, τὰ πολλά for the most part, ὅσον as much as, οὐδέν, μηδέν not at all, τοσοῦτον so much, τὶ somewhat, ἀρχήν or τὴν ἀρχήν at all with οὐ or μή (ἐν τῷ παραχρῆμα οὐκ ἔστιν ἀρχὴν ὀρθῶς βουλεύεσθαι it is utterly impossible to deliberate correctly offhand Ant. 5. 73).
- **1610.** Motive. τί why ? τοῦτο, ταῦτα for this reason (cognate accus.): τί ħλθες quid (cur) venisti = τίνα ῖξιν ħλθες; τοῦτο χαίρω (= ταὐτην τὴν χαρὰν χαίρω) therefore I rejoice, αὐτὰ ταῦτα ἥκω for this very reason have I come P. Pr.  $310 \, \mathrm{e}$ , τοῦτ' ἄχθεσθε for this reason you are vexed X. A. 3.2.20.
- **1611.** Time and Succession (1582): τὸ νῦν now, τὸ πάλαι of old, πρότερον before, τὸ πρότερον the former time, πρῶτον first, τὸ κατ' ἀρχάs in the beginning, τὸ πρῶτον in the first place, τὸ τελευταῖον in the last place (for τὸ δεύτερον in a series use ἔπειτα οτ ἔπειτα δέ), τὸ λοιπόν for the future, ἀκμήν at the point, just, καιρόν in season.

### TWO ACCUSATIVES WITH ONE VERB

1612. A compound expression, consisting of the accusative of an abstract substantive and ποιεῖσθαι, τίθεσθαι, ἔχειν, etc., is often treated as a simple verb; and, when transitive, governs the accusative: τὴν χώρᾶν καταδρομαῖς λείᾶν ἐποιεῖτο (= ἐλήξετο) he ravaged the country by his incursions T. 8. 41, Ἰλίου φθορᾶς ψήφους ἔθεντο (= ἐψηφίσαντο) they voted for the destruction of Ilium A. Ag. 814, μομφὴν ἔχω ἔν μὲν πρῶτά σοι (= ἔν μέμφομαι) I blame thee first for one thing E. Or. 1069, τὰ δ΄ ἐν μέσφ λῆστιν ἴσχεις (= ἐπιλανθάνει) what lies between thou hast no memory of S. O. C. 583. See 1598. So with other periphrases in poetry: τέκνα μηκδνω λόγον (= μακρότερον προσφωνῶ) I speak at length to my children S. O. C. 1120, εἰ δέ μ' ὧδ' ἀεὶ λόγους ἐξῆρχες (= ἤρχου λέγειν) if thou didst always (begin to) address me thus S. El. 556.

## EXTERNAL OBJECT AND PREDICATE ACCUSATIVE

1613. Verbs meaning to appoint, call, choose, consider, make, name, show, and the like, may take a second accusative as a predicate to the direct object.

στρατηγὸν αὐτὸν ἀπέδειξε he appointed him general X. A. 1. 1. 2, πατέρα ἐμὲ ἐκαλεῖτε you were wont to call me father 7. 6. 38, αἰρεῖσθαι αὐτὸν τὸν Ἰνδῶν βασιλέᾶ δικαστήν to choose the king of the Indians himself to be arbitrator X. C. 2. 4. 8, οὐ γὰρ δίκαιον οὕτε τοὺς κακοὺς μάτην χρηστοὺς νομίζειν οὕτε τοὺς χρηστοὺς κακοὺς fur it is not just to consider bad men good at random, or good men bad S. O. T. 609, Τιμόθεον στρατηγὸν ἐχειροτόνησαν they elected Timotheus general X. H. 6. 2. 11, τὴν σῖγήν σου ξυγχώρησιν θήσω I shall consider your silence as consent P. Crat. 435 b, ἐαυτὸν δεσπότην πεποίηκεν he has made himself master X. C. 1. 3. 18, ἐᾶν ἐμὲ σὸν θεράποντα ποιήση if you make me your servant X. O. 7. 42, εἰς τοὺς Ἑλληνας σαυτὸν σοφιστὴν παρέχων showing yourself a sophist before the Greeks P. Pr. 312 a, εὐμαθῆ πάντα παρέχειν to render everything easy to learn X. O. 20. 14. Cp. 1579.

- **1614.** The absence of the article generally distinguishes the predicate noun from the object:  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\eta\gamma\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\lambda\epsilon\tau o$  τους κόλακας τους αυτοῦ πλουσιωτάτους τῶν πολῖτῶν ποιήσειν he promised to make his flatterers the richest of the citizens L. 28. 4.
- 1615. Especially in Plato and Herodotus, after verbs signifying to name, to call, the predicate noun may be connected with the external object by (a redundant) εἶναι (911); σοφιστήν ονομάζουσι τὸν ἄνδρα εἶναι they call the man a sophist P. Pr. 311e, ἐπωνυμίᾶν ἔχει σμῖκρός τε και μέγας εἶναι he is called both short and tall P. Ph. 102 c. This is due to the analogy of verbs signifying to think or say (1041).
- **1616.** A predicate accusative may stand in apposition to the object: ἔδωκα δωρειὰν τὰ λύτρα I gave them the price of their ransom as a free gift D. 19. 170.
  - 1617. This use is the source of many adverbial accusatives (993, 1606 ff.).
- 1618. Passive: both the object and the predicate accusative of the active construction become nominative (1743) in the passive construction:  $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \delta s \ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha$ -

τηγὸς ήρέθη he himself was chosen general L. 12. 65, αὐτοὶ νομοθέται κληθήσονται they shall themselves be called lawgivers P. L. 681 d.

### INTERNAL AND EXTERNAL OBJECT WITH ONE VERB

- 1619. Many verbs take both an internal and an external object.
- 1620. The external object refers to a person, the internal object (cognate accusative, 1563 ff.) refers to a thing. Here the internal object stands in closer relation to the verb.
- ό πόλεμος ἀείμνηστον παιδείαν αὐτοὺς ἐπαίδευσε the war taught them a lesson they will hold in everlasting remembrance Aes. 3. 148, τοσοῦτον ἔχθος ἐχθαίρω σε I hate thee with such an hate S. El. 1034, Μέλητός με ἐγράψατο τὴν γραφὴν ταύτην Meletus brought this accusation against me P. A. 19 b, ἔλκος, τό μιν βάλε the wound that he dealt him Ε 795 (1578), Μιλτιάδης ὁ τὴν ἐν Μαραθῶνι μάχην τοὺς βαρβάρους νῖκήσᾶς Miltiades who won the battle at Marathon over the barbarians Aes. 3. 181, τὸν ἄνδρα τύπτειν τὰς πληγάς to strike the man the blows Ant. 4. γ. 1, καλοῦσί με τοῦτο τὸ ὄνομα they give me this appellation X. O. 7. 3.
- 1621. Passive (1747): πῶσαν θεραπείδν θεραπευόμενος receiving every manner of service P. Phae. 255 a, τύπτεσθαι πεντήκοντα πληγάς to be struck fifty blows Aes. 1. 139, ἡ κρίσις, ἡν ἐκρίθη the sentence that was pronounced upon him L. 13. 50, τὰς μάχᾶς, ὅσᾶς Πέρσαι ἡττήθησαν ἐῶ I omit the battles in which the Persians were defeated I. 4. 145, ὄνομα ἐν κεκλημένοι Σικελιῶται called by the one name of Sicilians T. 4. 64.
- 1622. So with verbs signifying to do anything to or say anything of a person (1591):  $\pi$ ολλὰ ἀγαθὰ ὑμᾶς ἐποιησεν he did you much good L. 5. 3, ταυτί με ποιοῦσι that's what they are doing to me Ar. Vesp. 696, τὰ τοιαῦτα ἐπαινῶ ᾿Αγησίλαον I praise Agesilaus for such merits X. Ages. 10. 1, τοὺς Κορινθίους πολλά τε καὶ κακὰ ἔλεγε he said many bad things about the Corinthians Hdt. 8. 61. For the accusative of the thing, εễ (καλῶς), κακῶς may be substituted; and εἰς and πρός with the accusative occur.
- 1623. The accusative of the person may depend on the idea expressed by the combination of verb and accusative of the thing (1612); as in  $\tau$ oùs  $\pi$ oλεμίουs εἰργάσθαι κακά to have done harm to the enemy L. 21.8 (here εἰργάσθαι of itself does not mean to do anything to a person).
- 1624. When the dative of the person is used, something is done for (1474), not to him: πάντα ἐποίησαν τοῖς ἀποθανοῦσιν they rendered all honours to the dead X. A. 4. 2. 23. εἰς οτ πρός with the accusative is also employed.
- 1625. Passive of 1622: ὅσα ἄλλα ἡ πόλις ἡδικεῖτο all the other wrongs that the State has suffered D. 18. 70.
- 1626. Verbs of dividing (νέμειν, κατανέμειν, διαιρεῖν, τέμνειν) may take two accusatives, one of the thing divided, the other of its parts (cognate accus.). Thus, Κῦρος τὸ στράτευμα κατένειμε δώδεκα μέρη Cyrus divided the army into twelve divisions X. C. 7. 5. 13. εἰς οτ κατά may be used with the accusative of the parts.
- **1627.** Passive: διήρηται ἡ ἀγορὰ τέτταρα μέρη the Agora is divided into four parts X. C. 1. 2. 4. εls and κατά may be used with the accusative of the parts.

DOUBLE OBJECT WITH VERBS SIGNIFYING TO ASK, DEMAND, ETC.

1628. Verbs signifying to ask, clothe or unclothe, conceal, demand, deprive, persuade, remind, teach, take two objects in the accusative, one of a person, the other of a thing.

οὐ τοῦτ ἐρωτῷ σε that's not the question I'm asking you Ar. Nub. 641; χιτῶνα τὸν ἐαυτοῦ ἐκεῖνον ἡμφίεσε he put his own tunic on him X. C. 1. 3. 17, ίδοὐ δ' ᾿Απόλλων αὐτὸς ἐκδύων ἐμὲ χρηστηρίαν ἐσθήτα lo Apollo himself divests me of my oracular garb A. Ag. 1269; τὴν θυγατέρα ἔκρυπτε τὸν θάνατον τοῦ ἀνδρός he concealed from his daughter her husband's death L. 32. 7; Κῦρον αἰτεῖν πλοῖα to ask Cyrus for boats X. A. 1. 3 14, ὡς ἐγώ ποτέ τινα ἡ ἐπραξέμην μισθὸν ἡ ἤτησα that I ever exacted or asked pay of any one P. A. 31 c; τούτων τὴν τῖμὴν ἀποστερεῖ με he deprives me of the value of these things D. 28. 13; ὑμᾶς τοῦτο οὐ πείθω I cannot persuade you of this P. A. 37 a; ἀναμνήσω ὑμᾶς καὶ τοὺς κινδύνους I will remind you of the dangers also X. A. 3. 2. 11; οὐδεὶς ἐδίδαξέ με ταύτην τὴν τέχνην nobody taught me this art X. O. 19. 16.

- **1629.** Both person and thing are equally governed by the verb. The accusative of the person is the external object; the accusative of the thing is sometimes a cognate accusative (internal accusative).
- 1630. Some of these verbs also take the genitive or dative, or employ prepositions. Thus έρωτᾶν τινα περί τινος, αἰτεῖν (αἰτεῖσθαί) τι παρά τινος, ἀποστερεῖν οr ἀφαιρεῖσθαί τινά τινος (τινός τι) (1394), οr τινί τι (1483); ἀναμιμνήσκειν τινά τινος (1356); παιδεύειν τινά τινι οr τινὰ εἰς (οr πρός) with the accusative.
- 1631. The poets employ this construction with verbs of cleansing (a form of depriving):  $\chi\rho\delta\alpha$  else of almost he was washing the brine from his skin  $\zeta$  224, alma κάθηρον Σαρπηδόνα cleanse the blood from Sarpedon II 667. And with other verbs (in tragedy), e.g. τίμωρείσθαι avenge on, μετέλθειν seek to avenge on, μετιέναι execute judgment on, ἐπισκήπτειν charge.
- 1632. Passive (1747): ὑπὸ βασιλέως πεπράγμένος τοὺς φόρους having had the tribute demanded of him by the king T. 8.5, ὅσοι ἵππους ἀπεστέρηνται all who have been deprived of their horses X. C. 6. 1. 12, οὐκ ἐπείθοντο τὰ ἐσαγγελθέντα they would not credit the news Hdt. 8. 81, μουσικὴν παιδευθείς having been instructed in music P. Menex. 236 a (here μουσικῆ is possible), οὐδὲν ἄλλο διδάσκεται ἄνθρωπος ἢ ἐπιστήμην man is taught nothing else except knowledge P. Men. 87 c.
- **1633.** The accusative of extent (1580) is freely used in the same sentence with other accusatives, as  $i\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\nu\epsilon\gamma\kappa\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$   $\tau\delta\nu$   $\Lambda\epsilon\nu\kappa\alpha\delta\ell\omega\nu$   $i\sigma\theta\mu\delta\nu$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}s$   $\nu\alpha\hat{\nu}s$  having hauled the ships across the isthmus of Leucas T. 3. 81.

On the accusative of the whole and part, see 985; on the accusative subject of the infinitive, see 1972 ff.; on the accusative absolute, see 2076. See also under *Anacoluthon*.

### TWO VERBS WITH A COMMON OBJECT

**1634.** The case of an object common to two verbs is generally that demanded by the nearer: οὐ δεῖ τοῖς παιδοτρίβαις ἐγκαλεῖν οὐδ΄ ἐκβάλλειν ἐκ τῶν πόλεων we must not accuse the trainer or banish him from the cities P. G. 460 d.

- a. The farther verb may contain the main idea: ἐπιτῖμᾶ καὶ ἀποδοκιμάζει τισί he censures some and rejects them at the scrutiny L. 6.33.
- a. Sometimes the finite verb regulates the construction, as καλέσᾶς παρεκελεύετο τοῖς Έλλησι he summoned the Greeks and exhorted them X. A. 1. 8. 11.

#### PREPOSITIONS

- **1636.** Prepositions define the relations of a substantival notion to the predicate.
- a. All prepositions seem to have been adverbs originally and mostly adverbs of place; as adverbs they are case-forms. Several are locatives, as  $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ .
- 1637. The prepositions express primarily notions of space, then notions of time, and finally are used in figurative relations to denote cause, agency, means, manner, etc. Attic often differs from the Epic in using the prepositions to denote metaphorical relations. The prepositions define the character of the verbal action and set forth the relations of an oblique case to the predicate with greater precision than is possible for the cases without a preposition. Thus,  $\mu\epsilon\tau\lambda$  dè  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\eta\rho\sigma\iota\nu$   $\epsilon\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon$  he spake among the suitors  $\rho$  467 specifies the meaning with greater certainty than  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\eta\rho\sigma\iota\nu$   $\epsilon\epsilon\iota\tau\epsilon$ . So d'Ellánov  $\phi$ 660s may mean the fear felt by the Greeks or the fear caused by the Greeks; but with  $\epsilon\xi$  or  $\pi\alpha\rho d$  (cp. X. A. 1.2.18, Lyc. 130) the latter meaning is stated unequivocally. The use of a preposition often serves to show how a construction with a composite case (1279) is to be regarded (genitive or ablative; dative, instrumental, or locative).

# 1638. Development of the Use of Prepositions. —

- a. Originally the preposition was a free adverb limiting the meaning of the verb but not directly connected with it:  $\kappa \alpha \tau' \ \tilde{\alpha} \rho' \ \tilde{\epsilon} (\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \sigma) \ down \ he sate him A 101.$  In this use the preposition may be called a 'preposition-adverb.'
- b. The preposition-adverb was also often used in sentences in which an oblique case depended directly on the verb without regard to the preposition-adverb. Here the case is independent of the preposition-adverb, as in  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi\delta\rho\omega\nu$   $\delta\pi\sigma$   $\delta\delta\kappa\rho\nu\alpha$   $\pi i\pi\tau\epsilon\iota$  from her eyelids, away, tears fall  $\xi$  129. Here  $\beta\lambda\epsilon\phi\delta\rho\omega\nu$  is ablatival genitive and is not governed by  $\delta\pi\delta$ , which serves merely to define the relation between verb and noun.
- c. Gradually the preposition-adverb was brought into closer connection either (1) with the verb, whence arose compounds such as  $d\pi o\pi i\pi \tau \epsilon \nu$ , or (2) with the noun, the preposition-adverb having freed itself from its adverbial relation to the verb. In this stage, which is that of Attic prose, the noun was felt to depend on the preposition. Hence arose many syntactical changes, e.g.

the accusative of the limit of motion (1588) was abandoned in prose for the preposition with the accusative.

Prepositions have three uses.

- 1639. (I) Prepositions appear as adverbs defining the action of verbs.
- 1640. The preposition-adverb usually precedes the verb, from which it is often separated in Homer by nouns and other words: ἡμῖν ἀπὸ λοιγὸν ἀμῦναι to ward off destruction from (for) us A 67, πρὸ γὰρ ῆκε θεά the goddess sent her forth A 195, ἔχεν κάτα γαῖα the earth held him fast B 699.
- **1641.** So, as links connecting sentences,  $\pi \rho \delta s$  detail and kal  $\pi \rho \delta s$  and besides,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l$  detailes,  $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$  detailes,  $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$  detailed and next, thereupon (both in Hdt.),  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  detailed among the number (Hdt.).
- **1642.** The verb (usually  $\epsilon\sigma\tau t$  or  $\epsilon l\sigma t$ , rarely  $\epsilon l\mu t$ ) may be omitted: où  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho \tau \iota s$   $\mu \acute{e}\tau a \tau o ios \acute{a}v \acute{\eta}\rho$  for no such man is among them  $\phi$  93. Cp. 944.
- **1643**. The preposition-adverb may do duty for the verb in parallel clauses:  $"a\nu\delta\rho\varepsilon$ s  $"a\nu\epsilon\sigma\tau a\nu$ ,  $"a\nu$   $"a\nu$
- 1644. (II) Prepositions connect verbs and other words with the oblique eases of nouns and pronouns.
- 1645. It is often impossible to decide whether the preposition belongs to the verb or to the noun. Thus,  $\delta \kappa \delta \delta \lambda \nabla \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu} \eta \delta s \beta \bar{\eta} \Lambda 439$  may be Chryse's went out of the ship or Chryse's went-out-from  $(\delta \xi \delta \bar{\eta})$  the ship. When important words separate the prep.-adv. from the noun, the prep.-adv. is more properly regarded as belonging with the verb, which, together with the prep.-adv., governs the noun:  $\delta \mu \phi i \delta \lambda \lambda \bar{\nu} \alpha \bar{\nu} \alpha i \delta \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha i$  and his mane floats-about his shoulders 7 509. The Mss. often vary:  $\tau \sigma \bar{\nu} \alpha i \nu \lambda \bar{\nu} \alpha i \lambda \bar{\nu} \alpha i$
- 1646. (III) Prepositions unite with verbs (less frequently with nouns and other prepositions) to form compounds. Cp. 886 ff.
- a. From this use as a prefix the name 'preposition' ( $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$  praepositio) is derived. The original meaning of some prepositions is best seen in compounds.
- 1647. Improper prepositions (1699) are adverbs used like prepositions, but incapable of forming compounds. The case (usually the genitive) following an improper preposition depends on the preposition alone without regard to the verb; whereas a true preposition was attached originally, as an adverb, to a case depending directly on the verb.
- **1648.** The addition of a preposition (especially διά, κατά, σύν) to a verbal form may mark the completion of the action of the verbal idea (perfective action). The local force of the preposition is here often lost. So διαφείγειν succeed in escaping, καταδιώκειν succeed in pursuing, συντελεῖν accomplish, carry into effect  $(\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu)$  do, perform).
  - 1649. Two or more prepositions may be used with one verb, either sepa-

- rately, as adverbs, or in composition with the verb. Thus,  $\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}$  de  $\pi\alpha\rho\ell\xi$  (or  $\pi\alpha\rho'$  be stood forth beside him A 486. When two prepositions of like meaning are used in composition, that preposition precedes which has the narrower range:  $\sigma\nu\mu\mu\epsilon\tau\ell\chi\epsilon\nu\nu$  take part in with,  $d\mu\phi\pi\epsilon\rho\nu\sigma\ell\phi\epsilon\sigma\theta$  to be put round about as a crown. When two prepositions are used with one noun, the noun usually depends on the second, while the first defines the second adverbially; as  $d\mu\phi\ell$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\ell$   $\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}\gamma\rho\nu$  round about a spring B 305. It is often uncertain whether or not two prepositions should be written together.
- a. Such compound prepositions are  $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota\pi\epsilon\rho l$ ,  $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ,  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ ,  $\dot{a}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ ,  $\dot{a}\iota\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\rho\dot{\rho}$ ,  $\delta\iota\alpha\pi\rho\dot{\rho}$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\rho\dot{\delta}$ . Improper prepositions may be used with true prepositions, as  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota$   $\epsilon ls$   $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\dot{\delta}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\delta}\sigma\nu$  as far as (into) the camp X. A. 6. 4. 26.
- 1650. Tmesis  $(\tau\mu\hat{\eta}\sigma)$ s cutting) denotes the separation of a preposition from its verb, and is a term of late origin, properly descriptive only of the post-epic language, in which preposition and verb normally formed an indissoluble compound. The term 'tmesis' is incorrectly applied to the language of Homer, since in the Epic the prep.-adv. was still in process of joining with the verb.
- 1651. In Attic poetry tmesis occurs chiefly when the preposition is separated from the verb by unimportant words (particles, enclitics), and is employed for the sake of emphasis or (in Euripides) as a mere ornament. Aristophanes uses tmesis only to parody the style of tragic choruses.
- **1652.** Hdt. uses the sis frequently in imitation of the Epic; the intervening words are  $\delta \nu$  (=  $o \delta \nu$ ), enclitics,  $\delta \epsilon$ ,  $\mu \delta \nu$ ...  $\delta \epsilon$ , etc.
- **1653.** In Attic prose tmesis occurs only in special cases:  $i\nu\tau'$  ev  $\pi o\iota\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$  ( $\pi d\sigma \chi \epsilon\iota\nu$ ) and  $\sigma \upsilon\nu$  ev ( $\kappa a\kappa \omega s$ )  $\pi o\iota\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$  ( $\pi d\sigma \chi \epsilon\iota\nu$ ). Thus, of  $\sigma vs$  ev  $\pi v\iota\eta\sigma a\nu\tau as$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\pi o\lambda\iota s$   $\dot{a}\nu\tau'$  ev  $\pi \epsilon \pi o\iota\eta\kappa \epsilon\nu$  all whom the city has required with benefits for the service they rendered it D. 20. 64. Here ev  $\pi \epsilon \pi o\iota\eta\kappa \epsilon\nu$  is almost equivalent to a single notion.
- **1654.** The addition of a preposition to a verb may have no effect on the construction, as in  $\epsilon \kappa \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \omega s$ , whereas  $\beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \omega s$  originally, and still in poetry, can mean go from-the-ship; or it may determine the construction, as in  $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \epsilon \mu \omega \tilde{\upsilon}$  to surpass me D. 18.236. Prose tends to repeat the prefixed preposition:  $\epsilon \kappa \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \epsilon \omega s$  T. 1.137.
- **1655.** A preposition usually assumes the force of an adjective when compounded with substantives which do not change their forms on entering into composition, as  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\delta\delta\sigma$  a national meeting ( $\delta\delta\delta\sigma$ ). Otherwise the compound usually gets a new termination, generally  $-o\nu$ ,  $-io\nu$  neuter, or -ls feminine, as  $\ell\nu\dot{\nu}\pi\nu\omega\sigma$  dream ( $\nu\pi\nu\sigma$ ),  $\ell\pi\nu\gamma\sigma\nu\nu ls$  thigh-muscle ( $\gamma\delta\nu\nu$ ).
- 1656. The use of prepositions is, in general, more common in prose than in poetry, which retained the more primitive form of expression.
- **1657.** A noun joined by a preposition to its case without the help of a verb has a verbal meaning:  $\frac{d\pi \delta}{d\pi} \frac{\pi \bar{a} \sigma \bar{\omega} \nu}{d\rho \chi \bar{\omega} \nu} \frac{d\rho \chi \bar{\omega} \nu}{d\rho \chi} \frac{d\rho \chi}{d\rho \chi} \frac{d\rho \chi}{d\rho$
- **1658.** In general, when depending on prepositions expressing relations of place, the accusative denotes the place (or person) toward which or the place over which, along which motion takes place, the dative denotes rest in

- or at, the genitive (ablative) passing from. Thus, ηκω παρὰ σέ I have come to you T. 1. 137, οἱ παρ᾽ ἐαυτῷ βάρβαροι the barbarians in his own service X. A. 1. 1. 5, παρὰ βασιλέως πολλοὶ πρὸς Κῦρον ἀπῆλθον many came over from the king to Cyrus 1. 9. 29. The true genitive denotes various forms of connection.
- **1659.** Constructio Praegnans.—a. A verb of motion is often used with a preposition with the dative to anticipate the rest that follows the action of the verb:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\psi}$   $\pi \sigma \tau a u\hat{\psi}$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$  they fell (into and were) in the river X. Ages. 1. 32. This use is common with  $\tau\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$ ,  $i\delta\rho\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\kappa a\theta\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu a\iota$ , etc., and with tenses of completed action which imply rest; as of  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$   $\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\psi$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\delta}\rho\epsilon$ s  $\delta\iota\alpha\beta\epsilon\beta\eta\kappa\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon$ s the men who had crossed to (and were in) the island T. 7. 71.
- b. A verb of rest is often followed by a preposition with the accusative to denote motion previous to or following upon the action of the verb:  $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$  els  $\Sigma \acute{a}\rho \delta \epsilon_{is}$  (they came to Sardis and were in the city) they arrived at Sardis X. A. 1. 2. 2, ès  $K\tilde{\nu}\rho \acute{\nu}\nu \gamma \nu$  ès  $\acute{\omega}\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$  they were saved by reaching Cyrene T. 1. 110,  $\dot{\eta}\rho \acute{e}\theta \eta$   $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ s els  $\Lambda a \kappa \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu \sigma \nu a$  ewas chosen ambassador (to go) to Lacedaemon X. H. 2. 2. 17. Cp. 1692. 1. a.
- 1660. Stress is often laid on (a) the starting-point or (b) the goal of an action.
- a. καταδήσᾶs ἀπὸ δένδρων τοὺς ἴππους tying his horses to (from) trees X. H. 4. 4. 10. By anticipation of the verbal action (attraction of the prep. with the article): τὴν ἀπὸ στρατοπέδου τάξιν ἔλιπεν he deserted his post in the army Aes. 3. 159, οἱ ἐκ τῆς ἀγορᾶς καταλιπόντες τὰ ἄνια ἔφυγον the market-people (οἱ ἐν τῷ ἀγορᾶ) left their wares and fled X. A. 1. 2. 18.
- b. With verbs of collecting ( $d\theta pol \zeta \epsilon_{i} \nu$ ,  $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon_{i} \nu$ ) and enrolling ( $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon_{i} \nu$ ):  $\dot{\epsilon} ls$   $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \delta lov$   $\dot{\epsilon} d\theta pol \zeta \sigma \tau a$ : they are mustered in(to) the plain X. A. 1. 1. 2,  $\dot{\epsilon} ls$  ärdpas  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{a} \psi a$ : to enrol in(to) the list of men D. 19. 230.
- **1661.** So with adverbs: ὅπου ἐληλύθαμεν where (= whither, ὅποι) we have gone X.C. 6. 1. 14, ὅθεν ἀπελίπομεν, ἐπανέλθωμεν let us return to the point whence (= where, ὅπου) we left off P. Ph. 78 b, ἀγνοεῖ τὸν ἐκεῖθεν πόλεμον δεῦρο ἥξοντα he does not know that the war in that region will come hither (= τὸν ἐκεῖ πόλεμον ἐκεῖθεν) D. 1. 15.
- **1662.** Some adverbs and adverbial phrases meaning from are used with reference to the point of view of the observer:  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\theta\epsilon\nu$  on either side,  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  kal  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  on this side and that,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  defias on the right (a dextra), of  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\gamma}$  or knung the actors,  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\delta}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\lambda}\dot{\nu}$
- 1663. Position. The preposition usually precedes its noun. It may be separated from it
- a. By particles (μέν, δέ, γέ, γέ, γάρ, οὖν) and by οἶμαι I think: ἐν οὖν τŷ πόλει P. R. 456 d, εἰς δέ γε οἶμαι τὰς ἄλλᾶς πόλεις to the other cities I think 568 c.

- b. By attributives: εls Καΰστρου πεδίον to the plain of the Cayster X. A. 1.2. 11.
- c. By the accusative in oaths and entreaties (with  $\pi\rho\delta s$ ):  $\pi\rho\delta s$   $\epsilon$   $\epsilon$   $\tau\hat{\eta}\sigma\delta\epsilon$   $\mu\eta\tau\rho\delta s$  by my mother here I implore thee E. Phoen. 1665; cp. per te deos oro and see 1599.
- N.—A preposition is usually placed before a superlative and after ωs or ὅτι qualifying the superlative: ως ἐπὶ πλεῖστον τοῦ ὁμίλου over the very greatest part of the throng T. 2. 34. πολύ, πάνυ, μάλα may precede the preposition and its case: πολύ ἐν πλείονι αἰτία with far better reason T. 1. 35.
- **1664.** In poetry a preposition is often placed between an adjective and its substantive; very rarely in prose  $(\tau o \iota \hat{a} \delta \epsilon \ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \tau \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \iota \ in the following manner P. Criti. 115 c).$
- 1665.  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  is the only true preposition that may be placed after its case in Attic prose:  $\sigma o \phi l \bar{a}s$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  about wisdom P. Phil. 49 a,  $\tilde{a}v$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma \dot{a}v$  οὐδὲν οὕτε  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\gamma a$  οὕτε  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\gamma a$  οὐτε  $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\gamma a$  ατο about which I understand nothing either much or little P. A. 19 c. When used with two substantives  $\pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho l$  is placed between them:  $\tau o \ddot{v}$  όσίου  $\tau \epsilon \pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho l$  καl  $\tau o \ddot{v}$  ἀνοσίου concerning both that which is holy and that which is unholy P. Euth. 4 e.  $\pi \dot{\epsilon}\rho l$  occurs very often in Plato, only once in the orators and possibly twice in Xenophon. On anastrophe, see 175.
- a.  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha$  and  $\chi \dot{a}\rho\iota\nu$  (usually) and  $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\nu$  (sometimes) are postpositive. The retention of the postpositive use of  $\pi\epsilon\rho l$  may be due to the influence of  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha$ . In poetry many prepositions are postpositive.

#### VARIATION OF PREPOSITIONS

### REPETITION AND OMISSION OF PREPOSITIONS, ETC.

- 1667. a. For the sake of emphasis or to mark opposition and difference, a preposition is repeated with each noun dependent on the preposition: κατά το πόλεμον καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἄλλην δίαιταν in the pursuit of war and in the other occupations of life P. Tim. 18 c.
- b. A preposition is used with the first noun and omitted with the second when the two nouns (whether similar or dissimilar in meaning) unite to form a complex:  $\pi\epsilon\rho i \tau o \hat{v}$  disalov kal dret  $\hat{r}$ s 'concerning the justice of our cause and the honesty of our intentions' T. 3. 10.
- c. In poetry a preposition may be used only with the second of two nouns dependent on it:  $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}_{\nu} \kappa \hat{a} \pi \delta \Delta a \nu \lambda l \hat{a}s$  from Delphi and Daulia S. O. T. 734.
- 1668. In contrasts or alternatives expressed by η, η... η, καὶ... καὶ, etc., the preposition may be repeated or omitted with the second noun: καὶ κατὰ γην καὶ κατὰ θάλατταν both by land and by sea X. A. 1. 1. 7, πρὸς ἐχθρὸν ἡ φίλον to foe or friend D. 21. 114.
  - 1669. When prepositions of different meaning are used with the same noun,

the noun is repeated; thus neither upon (the earth) nor under the earth is obt'  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$  obb'  $\dot{\nu}\pi \delta \ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$  P. Menex. 246 d.

- 1670. In explanatory appositional clauses (988) the preposition may be repeated for the sake of clearness or emphasis; as ἐκ τούτων οἱ ὀνομαστοὶ γίγνονται, ἐκ τῶν ἐπιτηδευσάντων ἔκαστα the men of mark come from those who have practised each art P. Lach. 183 c, and commonly after demonstratives. The preposition is not repeated when such an appositional clause is closely connected with what precedes: εἰκὸς μηδὲ νομίσαι περὶ ἐνὸς μόνου, δουλείᾶς ἀντ΄ ἐλευθερίᾶς, ἀγωνίζεσθαι nor should you think that you are contending for a single issue alone: to avert slavery instead of maintaining your freedom T.2.63. A preposition is usually not repeated before descriptive appositional clauses (987): περὶ χρημάτων λαλεῖς, ἀβεβαίου πράγματος you are talking about wealth, an unstable thing Com. frag. 3. 38 (No. 128).
- **1672.** In Plato a preposition is often omitted in replies:  $\dot{\eta}\tau\tau\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s \dot{\upsilon}\pi\dot{\sigma}$   $\tau\ell\nu\sigma s$ ;  $\phi\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ .  $\tau o\hat{\upsilon}$   $\dot{\sigma}\gamma\alpha\theta\sigma\hat{\upsilon}$ ,  $\phi\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  overcome by what? he will say. By the good, we shall say Pr. 355 c.
- 1673. The preposition is usually omitted with the main noun or pronoun when it is used in a clause of comparison with  $\dot{\omega}s$  (rarely  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ) as:  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{\iota}$   $\mu\eta\tau\rho\delta s$   $\kappa a\hat{\iota}$   $\tau\rho\sigma\phi\hat{\iota}$ 0  $\tau\hat{\eta}s$   $\chi\hat{\omega}\rho\hat{\alpha}s$  bouneverbal they ought to take thought for their country as their mother and nurse P. R. 414 e; so, usually, when the two members are closely united:  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\pi\rho\delta s$   $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\delta\delta\tau$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\tau\hat{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\hat{\eta}$   $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon$  speak the truth to me as to one who knows Ar. Lys. 993. The preposition is often omitted in the clause with  $\dot{\omega}s$  ( $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ) as,  $\ddot{\eta}$  than: of  $\pi\alpha\rho$  odde out  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\tau}\delta$  to  $\dot{\tau}\omega\epsilon$   $\dot{\tau}\omega$  and  $\dot{\tau}\omega$   $\dot{$
- 1674. A preposition with its case may have the function of the subject, or the object, of a sentence; or it may represent the protasis of a condition.
- Subject: ἔφυγον περὶ ὁκτακοσίουs about eight hundred took to flight X. H. 6. 5. 10; (gen. absol.) συνειλεγμένων περὶ ἐπτακοσίουs, λαβών αὐτοὺς καταβαίνει when about seven hundred had been collected he marched down with them 2. 4. 5. Object: διέφθειραν ἐς ὀκτακοσίους they killed about eight hundred T. 7. 32. Protasis: ἐπεὶ διά γ' ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι αν ἀπωλώλειτε for had it depended on yourselves you would have perished long ago D. 18. 49 (cp. 2344).

### ORDINARY USES OF THE PREPOSITIONS

1675. Use of the Prepositions in Attic Prose. — With the accusative only: ἀνά, εἰs.

With the dative only:  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ .

With the genitive only:  $d\nu\tau i$ ,  $d\pi\delta$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta$ .

With the accusative and genitive: ἀμφί, διά, κατά, μετά, ὑπέρ.

With accusative, genitive, and dative: ἐπί, παρά, περί, πρός, ὑπό.

- a. With the dative are also used in poetry:  $\dot{a}r\dot{a}$ ,  $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$  (also in Hdt.),  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ .  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}$  ( $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}s$ ) take the dative in Arcadian and Cyprian.
- b. The genitive is either the genitive proper (of the goal, 1349, 1350, etc.) or the ablatival genitive.
- c. The dative is usually the locative or the instrumental, rarely the dative proper (as with  $\epsilon\pi\iota$  and  $\pi\rho\delta$ s of the goal).

### 1676. Ordinary Differences in Meaning. -

	GENITIVE		A CCUSATIVE
άμφί, περί	concerning		round about, near
διά	through		owing to
κατά	against		along, over, according to
μετά	with		after
<b>ὑπ</b> έρ	above, in	behalf of	over, beyond
	GENITIVE	DATIVE	A cousative '
ἐπί	on	on	to, toward, for
παρά	from	with, near	to, contrary to
πρός	on the side of	at, besides	$to,\ toward$
ὖπό	by, under	under	under

- **1677.** Certain prepositions are parallel in many uses; e.g.  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}$  and  $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\alpha}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\dot{\iota}$  and  $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}\mu\phi\dot{\iota}$  and  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$  and  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$  and  $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}s$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$  and  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ .
- 1678. The agent is expressed by different prepositions with the genitive:  $i\pi b$  of persons and things personified (1698. 1. N. 1): the normal usage in Attic prose.

 $\pi a \rho a$ : here the agent is viewed as the source. The action is viewed as starting near a person, or on the part of a person.

διά through: the intermediate agent.

- $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{b}$ : indirect agent and source (rare) to mark the point of departure of the action. Chiefly in Thuc.
- $\xi\xi$ : chiefly in poetry and Hdt. In Attic prose of emanation from a source.
- $\pi \rho \delta s$ : to mark the result as due to the *presence* (before) of a person; chiefly in poetry and Hdt.
- 1679. Means is expressed by διά with the genitive (the normal usage in Attic prose),  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\delta}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ . Motive is expressed by  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\delta}$  (gen.), διά (accus.),  $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa a$ .
- **1680.** Prepositions in composition (chiefly  $d\pi b$ ,  $\delta\iota d$ ,  $\kappa a\tau d$ ,  $\sigma b\nu$ ) may give an idea of completion to the action denoted by the verb (1648).
  - a. For the usage after compound verbs see 1382 ff., 1545 ff., 1559.

#### LIST OF PREPOSITIONS

**1681**. ἀμφί (cp. ἄμφω, ἀμφότερος, Lat. ambi-, amb-, am-) originally

on both sides (either externally only, or inside and outside), hence about. Cp. the use of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$  (1693) throughout. Chiefly poetic, Ionic, and Xenophontic. In Attic prose chiefly with the accusative.

## 1. audí with the Genitive

Local (very rare and doubtful): οἱ ἀμφὶ ταύτης οἰκέοντες τῆς πόλιος dwellers round about this city Hdt. 8. 104 (only here). Cause: about, concerning: ἀμφὶ σῆς λέγω παιδός I speak about thy child E. Hec. 580, ἀμφὶ ὧν εἶχον διαφερόμενοι quarrelling about what they had X. A. 4. 5. 17.

## 2. audí with the Dative

Local: ἀμφ' ὅμοισιν ἔχει σάκος he has a shield about his shoulders Λ 527. Cause: φοβηθεὶς ἀμφὶ τῆ γυναικί afraid on account of his wife Hdt. 6. 62, ἀμφὶ φόβφ by reason of (encompassed by) terror E. Or. 825; Means: ἀμφὶ σοφία ' with the environment of poetic art' Pind. P. 1. 12. Often in Pindar.

# 3. audí with the Accusative

- Local: ἀμφὶ Μίλητον about Miletus X. A. 1. 2. 3, ἔδραμον ἀμφ' ᾿Αχιλῆα they ran around Achilles Σ 30; temporal: ἀμφὶ δείλην towards evening X. A. 2. 2. 14. Number: ἀμφὶ τοὺς δισχίλιους about two thousand 1. 2. 9; of occupation with an object: ἀμφὶ δείπνον εἶχεν he was busy about dinner X. C. 5. 5. 44.
- i. of ἀμφί τινα the attendants, followers of a person, or the person himself with his attendants, etc.: ἀνὴρ τῶν ἀμφὶ Κῦρον πιστῶν one of the trusty adherents of Cyrus X. A. 1.8.1, of ἀμφὶ Χειρίσοφον Chirisophus and his men 4.3.21, of ἀμφὶ Πρωταγόρᾶν the school of Protagoras P. Th. 170 c. This last phrase contains the only use of ἀμφὶ in Attic prose outside of Xenophon.

# 4. ἀμφί in Composition

Around, about · ἀμφιβάλλειν throw around (on both sides), ἀμφιλέγειν dispute (speak on both sides).

1682.  $\dot{a}v\dot{a}$  (Lesb.  $\dot{o}v$ , Lat. an- in anhelare, Eng. on): originally up to, up (opposed to  $\kappa a\tau \dot{a}$ ). Cp.  $\ddot{a}v\omega$ .

### 1. dvá with the Dative

Local only (Epic, Lyric, and in tragic choruses): ἀνὰ σκήπτρω upon a staff A 15.

### 2. avá with the Accusative

Up along; over, through, among (of horizontal motion). Usually avoided by Attic prose writers except Xenophon (three times in the orators).

- a. Local: Το a higher point: ἀνὰ τὸν ποταμόν up stream Hdt. 1. 194 (cp. κατὰ τὸν ποταμόν). Extension: ἀνὰ στρατόν through the camp A 10, ἀνὰ πᾶσαν τὴν γῆν over the whole earth X. Ag. 11. 16, βασιλῆαs ἀνὰ στόμ' ἔχων having kings in thy mouth B 250 (cp. διὰ στόματος ἔχειν).
- b. Extension in Time: ἀνὰ νύκτα through the night Ξ 80. See c.
- c. Other relations: Distributively: ἀνὰ ἐκατὸν ἄνδρας by hundreds X. A. 3. 4. 21, ἀνὰ πᾶσαν ἡμέρᾶν daily X. C. 1. 2. 8. Manner: ἀνὰ κράτος with all their might (up to their strength) X. A. 1. 10. 15 (better Attic κατὰ κράτος), ἀνὰ λόγον proportionately P. Ph. 110 d.

## 3. avá in Composition

- Up (ἀνίστασθαι stand up, ἀναστρέφειν turn upside down), back (ἀναχωρεῖν go back, ἀναμιμνήσκειν remind), again (ἀναπνεῖν breathe again, ἀναπειρᾶσθαι practise constantly), often with a reversing force force (ἀναλόειν unloose).
- 1683. ἀντί: originally in the face of, opposite to; ep. ἄντα, ἐναντίος, Lat. ante (with meaning influenced by post), Germ. Antwort, 'reply.'
- 1. ἀντί with the Genitive only

  Local: ἀνθ' ὧν ἐστηκότες standing opposite to (from the point of view of the speaker, i.e. behind) which (pine-trees) Χ. Α. 4. 7. 6. In other meanings: Instead of, for, as an equivalent to: ἀντὶ πολέμου εἰρήνη peace instead of war Τ. 4. 20, τὰ παρ' ἐμοὶ ἐλέσθαι ἀντὶ τῶν οἴκοι to prefer what I have to offer you here instead of what you have left at home Χ. Α. 1. 7. 4, τὴν τελευτὴν ἀντὶ τῆς τῶν ζώντων σωτηρίᾶς ἡλλάξαντο they exchanged death for the safety of the living P. Menex. 237 a; in return for, hence ἀνθ' ὅτου wherefore S. El. 585; for πρός in entreaty: σ' ἀντὶ παίδων τῶνδε ἰκετεύομεν we entreat thee by these children here S. O. C. 1326.

## 2. avtí in Composition

- Instead, in return (ἀντιδιδόναι give in return), against, in opposition to (ἀντιλέγειν speak against).
- 1684. à $\pi$ ó (Lesb. etc. à $\pi$ ớ) from, off, away from; originally of separation and departure. Cp. Lat. ab, Eng. off, of.
  - 1. ἀπό with the Genitive only
- a. Local: καταπηδήσῶς ἀπὸ τοῦ ἵππου leaping down from his horse X. A. 1. 8. 28, ἐθήρευεν ἀπὸ ἵππου he used to hunt (from a horse) on horseback 1. 2. 7, ἀπὸ θαλάσσης at a distance from the sea T. 1. 7. Figuratively: ἀπὸ θεῶν ἀρχόμενοι beginning with the gods X. A. 6. 3. 18.

b. Temporal: ἀφ' ἐσπέρᾶs after evening began (after sundown) X. A. 6. 3. 23, ἀπὸ τοῦ στρωέιου on the same signal 2. 5. 32, ἀπὸ τῶν σίτων after meals X. R. L. 5. 8, ἀφ' οῦ since.

c. Other relations: (1) Origin, Source: in prose of more remote ancestry: τοὺς μὲν ἀπὸ θεῶν, τοὺς δ' ἔξ αὐτῶν τῶν θεῶν γεγονότας some descended (remotely) from gods, others begotten (directly) of the gods themselves I. 12.81. (This distinction is not always observed.) Various other relations may be explained as source.

(2) Author: as agent with passives and intransitives, when an action is done indirectly, through the influence of the agent (ὑπό of the direct action of the agent himself). Not common, except in Thuc. (chiefly with πράπτεσθαι, λέγεσθαι, and verbs of like meaning): ἐπράχθη ἀπ αὐτῶν οὐδὲν ἔργον nothing was done under their rule T.1.17. The starting-point of an action is often emphasized rather than the agent: ἀπὸ πολλῶν καὶ πρὸς πολλοὺς λόγοι γιγνόμενοι speeches made by many and to many T.8.93.

- (3) Cause (remote): ἀπὸ τούτου τοῦ τολμήματος ἐπηνέθη he was praised in consequence of this bold deed T. 2. 25, ταῦτα οὐκ ἀπὸ τύχης ἐγίγνετο, ἀλλ' ἀπὸ παρασκευῆς τῆς ἐμῆς this happened not from chance but by reason of the preparations I made L. 21. 10.
- (4) Means, Instrument: στράτευμα συνέλεξεν ἀπὸ χρημάτων he raised an army by means of money X. A. 1. 1. 9; rarely of persons: ἀπ' αὐτῶν βλάψαι to do injury by means of them T. 7. 29.
- (5) Manner: ἀπὸ τοῦ προφανοῦς openly T. 1. 66.
- (6) Conformity: ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου on a basis of equality T. 3. 10, ἀπὸ ξυμμαχίας αὐτόνομοι independent by virtue of (according to) an alliance 7. 57.
- N.  $d\pi \delta$  with gen. is sometimes preferred to the simple gen., often for emphasis: of  $\lambda \delta \gamma o_i \ d\phi' \ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$  the words that proceed from you T. 6. 40,  $\delta \lambda i \gamma o_i \ d\pi \delta$   $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$  a few of the many 1. 110 (cp. 1317 a). Thue, has many free uses of  $d\pi \delta$ .

### 2. ἀπό in Composition

- From, away, off (ἀπιέναι go away, ἀποτειχίζειν wall off), in return, back (ἀποδιδόναι give back what is due, ἀπαιτεῖν demand what is one's right). Separation involves completion (hence ἀπαναλίσκειν utterly consume, ἀποθόειν pay off a vow), or privation and negation (ἀπαγορεύειν forbid, ἀποτυγχάνειν miss). Often almost equivalent to an intensive (ἀποφάναι speak out, ἀποδεικνύναι point out, ἀποτολμᾶν dare without reserve).
- 1685. &\(\delta\) (Lesb. \(\zeta\)\(\delta\) through, originally through and out of, and apart (separation by cleavage), a force seen in comp. (cp. Lat. dis., Germ. zwi-schen).

### 1. Siá with the Genitive

- a. Local: through and out of (cp. Hom. διέκ, διαπρό), as δι ἄμου ἔγχος ħλθεν the spear went clear through his shoulder Δ 481, ἀκοῦσαι διὰ τέλους to listen from beginning to end Lyc. 16. Through, but not out of: διὰ πολεμίας (γῆς) πορεύεσθαι to march through the enemy's country X. Hi. 2. 8 and often in figurative expressions: διὰ χειρὸς ἔχειν to control T. 2. 13, διὰ στόματος ἔχειν to have in one's mouth (be always talking of) X. C. 1. 4. 25 (also ἀνὰ στόμα).
- b. Temporal: of uninterrupted duration, as διὰ νυκτός through the night X. A. 4. 6. 22, διὰ παντός constantly T. 2. 49.
- c. Intervals of Space or Time: διὰ δέκα ἐπάλξεων àt intervals of ten battlements
  T. 3. 21, διὰ χρόνου after an interval L. 1. 12, intermittently Aes. 3. 220, διὰ πολλοῦ at a long distance T. 3. 94.
- d. Other relations: Means, Mediation (per): αὐτὸς δι ἐαυτοῦ ipse per se D. 48. 15, διὰ τούτου γράμματα πέμψας sending a letter by this man Aes. 3. 162. State or feeling: with εἶναι, γιγνεσθαι, ἔχειν, of a property or quality: διὰ φόβου εἰσι they are afraid T. 6. 34, δι ἡσυχίας εἶχεν he kept in quiet 2. 22, ἐλθεῖν ἡμῖν διὰ μάχης to meet us in battle 2. 11, αὐτοῖς διὰ φιλίας lέναι to enter into friendship with them X. A. 3. 2. 8. Manner: διὰ ταχέων quickly T. 4. 8.

### 2. Siá with the Accusative

a. Local: of space traversed, through, over (Epic, Lyric, tragic choruses): διὰ δώματα through the halls A 600; διὰ νύκτα Θ 510 is quasi-temporal.

- b. Cause: owing to, thanks to, on account of, in consequence of (cp. propter, ob): διὰ τοὺς θεοὺς ἐσψζόμην I was saved thanks to the gods D. 18. 249, τῖμώμενος μὴ δί ἐαυτόν, ἀλλὰ διὰ δόξαν προγόνων honoured, not for himself, but on account of the renown of his ancestors P. Menex. 247 b. So in εἰ μὴ διὰ τινα (τι) had it not been for in statements of an (unsurmounted) obstacle: φαίνονται κρατήσαντες ἀν τῶν βασιλέως πρᾶγμάτων, εἰ μὴ διὰ Κῦρον it seems they would have got the better of the power of the king, had it not been for Cyrus I. 5. 92.
- c. διά is rarely used (in place of ἔνεκα) to denote a purpose or object: διὰ τὴν σφετέρῶν δόξαν for the sake of their honour T. 2. 89, δι ἐπήρειαν for spile D. 39. 32 (cp. διὰ νόσον ἕνεκα ὑγιείῶs on account of disease in order to gain health P. Lys. 218 e).
- d. διά with gen. is used of direct, διά with accus. of indirect, agency (fault, merit, of a person, thing, or situation). διά with gen. is used of an agent employed to bring about an intended result; διά with accus. is used of a person, thing, or state beyond our control (accidental agency). (1) Persons: ἔπρᾶξαν ταῦτα δι΄ Βὐρυμάχου they effected this by the mediation of Eurymachus T. 2. 2, τὰ διὰ τούτους ἀπολωλότα what has been lost by (the fault of) these men D. 6. 34. The accus. marks a person as an agent not as an instrument. (2) Things: νόμοι, δι΄ δν ἐλευθέριος ὁ βίος παρασκευασθήσεται laws, by means of which a life of freedom will be provided X. C. 3. 3. 52, διὰ τοὺς νόμους βελτίους γιγνόμενοι ἄνθρωποι men become better thanks to the laws 8. 1. 22. Sometimes there is little difference between the two cases: δι΄ ἄν ἄπαντ' ἀπώλετο D. 18. 33, δι΄ οὖς ἄπαντ' ἀπώλετο 18. 35.
- N.  $\delta i \acute{a}$  with gen. (= through) is distinguished from the simple dative (= by):  $\delta i'$  of  $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$  kal  $\hat{\omega}'$  akovo $\mu \epsilon \nu$  P. Th. 184 c.
- e. For διά with accus, to express the reason for an action, the dative is sometimes used (1517): τοῖς πεπρᾶγμένοις φοβούμενος τοὺς 'Αθηναίους fearing the Athenians by reason of what had happened T. 3. 98. The dative specifies the reason less definitely than διά with the accusative.
- f. When used in the same sentence, the dative may express the immediate, διά with the accus. the remoter, cause: ἀσθενεία σωμάτων διὰ τὴν σῖτοδείᾶν ὑπεχώρουν they gave ground from the fact that they were weak through lack of food T. 4. 36.
- g. διά with accus. contrasted with ὑπό with gen.: φήσομεν αὐτὸ δι ἐκεῖνα ὑπὸ τῆς αὐτοῦ κακίᾶς ἀπολωλέναι we shall say that it (the body) is destroyed on account of those (remoter) causes (as badness of food) by its own evil (immediately) P. R. 609 e.

### 3. Siá in Composition

Through, across, over (διαβαίνειν cross), apart, asunder (διακόπτειν cut in two, διακρίνειν discernere, διαφέρειν differ, διαζυγνύναι disjoin), severally (διαδιδόναι distribute).

δια- often denotes intensity, continuance, or fulfilment (διαμέτειν remain to the end, διαφθείρειν destroy completely). δια- is common in the reciprocal middle (1726), as in διαλέγεσθαι converse; often of rivalry (οι διαπολίτευόμενοι rival statesmen, διακοντίζεσθαι contend in throwing the javelin).

1686.  $\epsilon l_s$ ,  $\epsilon_s$  into, to, opposed to  $\epsilon \xi$ ; from  $\epsilon_{\nu} + s$  (cp. Lat. abs from ab + s). See on  $\epsilon_{\nu}$ . On  $\epsilon l_s$  with the genitive by ellipsis, see 1302.

# 1. els with the Accusative only

In the Old Attic alphabet (2 a), generally used in Attica in the fifth century,  $E\Sigma$  was written, and this may be either  $\epsilon is$  or  $\epsilon s$ . In the fourth century  $EI\Sigma$  was generally written. In Thuc,  $\epsilon s$  is printed, but its correctness may be doubted; other Attic prose writers use  $\epsilon is$ , the poets  $\epsilon is$  or (less frequently)  $\epsilon s$ . It is not true that in poetry  $\epsilon s$  is used only before consonants,  $\epsilon is$  only before vowels.

- a. Local: of the goal: Σικελοὶ ἐξ Ἰταλίᾶς διέβησαν ἐς Σικελίᾶν the Sicels crossed over out of Italy into Sicily T. 6. 2; with a personal object: ἢλθεν ἐκ τῆς ἸΑσίᾶς ἐς ἀνθρώπους ἀπόρους he came from Asia to (a land of) poor men T. 1. 9, ἐσπέμπει γράμματα ἐς (v. l. πρὸς) βασιλέᾶ he dispatches a letter to (the palace of) the king 1. 137 (of sending, etc., to individuals ὡς or πρὸς is used); against: ἐστράτευσαν ἐς τὴν ἸΑττικήν they invaded Attica T. 3. 1, πόλεμος τοῖς Κορινθίοις ἐς τοὺς ἸΑθηναίους war between the Corinthians and the Athenians 1. 55; with verbs of rest, 1659 b. The idea of motion holds where Eng. uses in or at: τελευτᾶν εἴς τι to end in T. 2. 51. Extension: Πελοποντησίους διαβαλεῖν ἐς τοὺς Ἔλληνας to raise a prejudice against the Peloponnesians among the Greeks T. 3. 109; in the presence of (coram): ἐς τὸ κοινὸν λέγειν to speak before the assembly 4. 58.
- b. Temporal: of the goal: up to, until: ἐs ἐμέ up to my time Hdt. 1. 52, ἐs τέλος finally 3. 40; at (by) such a time (of a fixed or expected time): προεῖπε εἰς τρίπην ἡμέρᾶν παρεῖναι commanded them to be present on the third day X. C. 3. 1. 42, ἥκετε εἰς τριᾶκοστὴν ἡμέρᾶν come on the thirtieth day 5. 3. 6. Limit of time attained: εἰς τοιοῦτον καιρὸν ἀφῖγμένοι arriving at such a time L. 16. 5. Extension (over future time): εἰς τὸν λοιπὸν χρόνον in all future time L. 16. 2.
- c. Measure and Limit with numerals: εἰς χῖλίους to the number of (up to) a thoùsand X. A. 1. 8. 5, εἰς δύο two abreast 2. 4. 26, ἐς δραχμήν to the amount of a drachma T. 8. 29.
- d. Other relations: Goal, Purpose, Intention: ἡ σὴ πατρὶς εἰς σὲ ἀποβλέπει your country looks for help to you X. H. 6. 1. 8, χρῆσθαι εἰς τὰς σφενδόνὰς to use for the slings X. A. 3. 4. 17, παιδεύειν εἰς ἀρετήν to train with a view to virtue P. G. 519 e. Relation to: καλὸν εἰς στρατιάν excellent for the army X. C. 3. 3. 6, often in Thuc. (= πρός with accus.). Manner: εἰς καιρόν in season X. C. 3. 1. 8, εἰς δύναμιν to the extent of one's powers 4. 5. 52.

## 2. els in Composition

Into, in, to (είσβαίνειν enter, είσπράττειν get in, exact a debt).

**1687.**  $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$  in (poetic  $\vec{\epsilon}\nu'$ ,  $\epsilon\vec{\iota}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\vec{\iota}\nu'$ ), Lat. in with the abl., en-; opposed to  $\epsilon\vec{\iota}s$  into,  $\epsilon\vec{\xi}$  out of. On  $\epsilon\vec{\nu}$  with the genitive by ellipsis, see 1302.

## 1. èv with the Dative (Locative) only

a. Local: in, at, near, by, on, among: ἐν Σπάρτη in Sparta T. 1. 128, ἡ ἐν Κορίνθ $\psi$  μάχη the battle at Corinth X. Ages. 7. 5, πόλις οἰκουμένη ἐν τ $\hat{\psi}$  Εὐξείν $\psi$  πόντ $\psi$  a city built on the Euxine X. A. 4. 8. 22, ἐν τ $\hat{\eta}$  κλίνη ἐστηκώς

standing upon the bed L. 1. 24 (ἐν of superposition is rare), νόμοι ἐν πᾶσιν εὐδόκιμοι τοῖς ελλησιν laws famous among all the Greeks P. L. 631 b, ἐν ὑμῖν ἐδημηγόρησεν he made an harangue before (coram) you D. 8. 74. With verbs of motion, see 1659 a. Of circumstance, occupation, as οἱ ἐν τοῖς πράγμασιν the men at the head of affairs D. 9. 56 (so ἐν εἰρὴνη, ἔργφ, ἀφελεἰα, φιλοσοφία, φόβφ εἶναι; ἐν αἰτία ἔχειν to blame, ἐν ὀργῆ ἔχειν to be angry with); in the power of: ἐν τῷ θεῷ τὸ τέλος ῆν, οἰκ ἐμοί the issue rested with God, not with me D. 18. 193, ἐν ἐαντῷ ἐγένετο he came to himself X. A. 1. 5. 17.

- b. Temporal: in, within, during (cp. 1542): ἐν πέντε ἔτεσιν in five years L. 19. 29, ἐν σπονδαῖς during a truce T. 1. 55, ἐν φ̂ while.
- c. Instrument, Means, Cause, Manner (originally local): ἐν ὀφθαλμοῖσιν τδωμαι see with the eyes A 587, ἐν ἐνὶ κινδῦνεὐεσθαι to be endangered by (i.e. to depend on) a single person T. 2. 35, ἐν τούτοις ἡ λῦπούμενοι ἡ χαίροντες either grieving or rejoicing at this P. R. 603 c, ἐν τούτφ δηλῶσαι to make clear by this 392 e, ἐν τῷ φανερῷ openly X. A. 1. 3. 21. Conformity: ἐν τοῖς ὁμοίοις νόμοις ποιήσαντες τὰς κρίσεις deciding according to equal laws T. 1. 77, ἐν ἐμοί in my opinion E. Hipp. 1320.
- N. In many dialects, e.g. those north of the Corinthian Gulf (rarely in Pindar),  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  retains its original meaning of in (with dat.) and into (with accus.). The latter use appears in  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\dot{\epsilon}\xi\iota a$  towards the right.

## 2. èv in Composition

In, at, on, among (ἐμπίπτειν fall in or on, ἐντυγχάνειν fall in with, ἐγγελῶν laugh at, ἐνάπτειν bind on).

- 1688. ἐξ, ἐκ out, out of, from, from within, opposed to ἐν, εἰς; cp. Lat. ex, e. As contrasted with ἀπό away from, ἐξ denotes from within.
  - 1. Ex, ex with the (Ablatival) Genitive only

In Arcadian and Cyprian  $\epsilon_s$  (=  $\epsilon_{\xi}$ ) takes the dative.

- a. Local: ἐκ Φοινίκης ἐλαύνων marching out of Phoenicia X. A. 1. 7. 12; of transition: ἐκ πλείονος ἔφευγον they fled when at (from) a greater distance 1.10.11. On ἐξ in the constructio praegnans, see 1660 a.
- b. Temporal: ἐκ τοῦ ἀρίστου after breakfast X. A. 4. 6. 21, ἐκ παίδων from boy-hood 4. 6. 14.
- c. Other relations: immediate succession or transition: ἀλλην ἐξ ἄλλης πόλεως ἀμειβόμενος exchanging one city for another P. A. 37 d, ἐκ πολέμου ποιούμενος εἰρήνην making peace after (a state of) war D. 19. 133, ἐκ πτωχῶν πλούσιοι γίγνονται from beggars they become rich 8. 66. Origin: immediate origin (whereas ἀπό is used of remote origin, 1684. 1. c): ἀγαθῶν noble and of noble breed P. Phae. 246 a. Agent, regarded as the source: with pass, and intr. verbs instead of ὑπό (chiefly poetic and in Hdt.): πόλεις ἐκ βασιλέως δεδομέναι cities a gift (having been given) of (by) the king X. A. 1. 1. 6, ὡμολογεῖτο ἐκ πάντων it was agreed by all T. 2. 49; but.ἐκ is often used with a different force, as ἐκ τῶν τυχόντων ἀνθρώπων συνοικισθῆναι to have been settled by the vulgar (as constituent parts of a whole) Lyc. 62. Consequence: ἐξ αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἔργου in consequence of the fact itself T. 1. 75. Cause or ground of judgment (where the dat. is more usual with inanimate

objects): ἐξ οῦ διέβαλλεν αὐτόν for which reason he accused him X. A. 6. 6. 11. Material: τὸ ἄγκιστρον ἐξ ἀδάμαντος the hook of adamant P. R. 616 c. Instrument and means: ἐκ τῶν πόνων τᾶς ἀρετᾶς κτᾶσθαι to acquire by labour the fruits of virtue T. 1. 123. Conformity: ἐκ τῶν νόμων in accordance with the laws D. 24. 28. Manner (rare): ἐκ τοῦ ίσου on equal terms T. 2. 3. Partitive (cp. 1317 a): ἐκ τῶν δυναμένων εἰσί they belong to the class that has power P. G. 525 e.

# 2. ἐξ, ἐκ in Composition

Out, from, off, away (cp. έξελαύνειν drive out and away); often with an implication of fulfilment, completion, thoroughness, resolution (ἐκπέρθειν sack utterly, ἐκδιδάσκειν teach thoroughly). Cp. 1648.

1689.  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$  (cp. Lat. ob) upon, on, on the surface of; opposed to  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{o}$  under, and to  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$  when  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$  means above the surface of.

# 1. ἐπί with the Genitive

- a. Local: upon: οὔτ' ἐπὶ γῆς οὕθ' ὑπὸ γῆς neither upon the earth nor under the earth P. Menex. 246 d, ἐπὶ θρόνου ἐκαθέζετο he seated himself on a throne X. C. 6. 1. 6; of the vehicle (lit. or figur.) upon which: ἐπὶ τῶν ἵππων ὁχεῖσθαι to ride on horseback 4. 5. 58 (never ἐπὶ with dat.), ἐπὶ τῆς ἐμῆς νεώς on my ship L. 21. 6; in the direction of: ἐπὶ Σάρδεων ἔφευγε he fled toward Sardis X. C. 7. 2. 1; in the presence of (cp. παρά with dat.): ἐπὶ μαρτύρων before witnesses Ant. 2. γ. 8. ἐπί is rarely used of mere proximity in poetry or standard prose.
- N. In expressions of simple superposition  $\ell\pi\ell$  with the gen, denotes familiar relations and natural position; whereas  $\ell\pi\ell$  with the dat, gives clear and emphatic outlines to statements of the definite place of an object or action, is used in detailed pictures, and marks the object in the dative as distinct from the subject of the verbal action.  $\ell\pi\ell$  with the gen, is colourless and phraseological, and often makes, with the verb or the subject, a compound picture. Even in contrasting two objects  $\ell\pi\ell$  with gen, is used since no special point is made of position. With (unemphatic) pronouns of reference  $(\alpha\ell\tau\sigma\ell)$   $\ell\pi\ell$  with gen, is much more frequent than  $\ell\pi\ell$  with dat. The distinction between the two cases is often the result of feeling; and certain phrases become stereotyped, now with the gen, now with the dat.
- b. Temporal, usually with personal gen.: in the time of: ἐπὶ τῶν προγόνων in the time of our ancestors Aes. 3. 178, ἐπ΄ ἐμοῦ in my time T. 7. 86, ἐπὶ τοῦ Δεκελεικοῦ πολέμου in the Decelean war D. 22. 15.
- c. Other relations: μενεῖν ἐπὶ τῆς ἀνοίᾶς τῆς αὐτῆς to persist in the same folly D. 8. 14, ἀ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ὁρᾶτε, ταῦτ ἐφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἀγνοεῖτε what you see in the case of others, that you ignore in your own case I. 8. 114, ἐφ' ἐαυτῶν ἐχώρουν they proceeded by themselves X. A. 2. 4. 10, ἐπὶ τεττάρων four deep 1. 2. 15, οἱ ἐπὶ τῶν πρᾶγμάτων the men in power D. 18. 247.

# 2. ἐπί with the Dative

a. Local: on, by: olkovouv  $\ell\pi$ i  $\tau\hat{\varphi}$  local  $\ell\pi$ i they dwell on the isthmus T. 1. 56,  $\tau$ d  $\ell\pi$ i  $\theta$ aldsog  $\tau$ e $\ell$ xos the wall by the sea 7.4. The dat. with  $\ell\pi$ i denotes proxim-

ity much more frequently than the gen. with  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l$ ; but denotes superposition less often than the gen. with  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l$ .

- b. Temporal (rare in prose): ἢν ἥλιος ἐπὶ δυσμαῖς the sun was near setting X. A.
   7.3.34.
- c. Other relations: Succession, Addition: τὸ ἐπὶ τούτῳ γ' ἀπόκρῦναι answer the next question P. A. 27 b, ἀνέστη ἐπ' αὐτῷ he rose up after him X. C. 2. 3. 7, ἐπὶ τῷ σίτῳ ὅψον relish with bread X. M. 3. 14. 2. Supervision: ἄρχων ἐπὶ τούτοις ἢν there was a commander over them X. C. 5. 3. 56. Dependence: καθ ὅσον ἐστὶν ἐπ' ἐμοί as far ἀs is in my power I. 6. 8. Condition: ἐφ' οἰς τὴν εἰρἡνην ἐποιησάμεθα on what terms we made the peace D. 8. 5. Reason, motive, end, as with verbs of emotion (instead of the simple dative, 1517): πάντα ταῦτα θανμάζω ἐπὶ τῷ κάλλει I am astonished at all these trees because of their beauty X. O. 4. 21, οὐκ ἐπὶ τζνην ἔμαθες ἀλλ' ἐπὶ παιδεία you learned this not to make it a profession but to gain general culture P. Pr. 312 b. Hostility (less common in prose than in poetry; usually with accus.): ἡ ἐπὶ τῷ Μήδῳ ξυμμαχίὰ the alliance against the Medes T. 3. 63. Price: ἐπὶ πόσῳ; for how much ? P. A. 41 a.

# 3. ¿mí with the Accusative

- a. Local: of the goal: ἐξελαύνει ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμόν he marches to the river X. A. 1. 4. 11, ἀφίκοντο ἐπὶ τὸν ποταμόν they arrived at the river 4. 7. 18 (rarely the gen. with verbs of arrival), ἀνέβαινεν ἐπὶ τὸν ἵππον he mounted his horse X. C. 7. 1. 1. Extension: ἐπὶ πᾶσαν ᾿Ασίᾶν ἐλλόγιμοι famous over all Asia P. Criti, 112 e.
- b. Temporal: extension: ἐπὶ πολλασ ἡμέρασ for many days D. 21. 41.
- c. Quantity, measure: ἐπὶ μῖκρὸν a little, ἐπὶ πλέον still more, ἐπὶ πῶν in general, πλάτος ἔχων πλείον ἢ ἐπὶ δύο στάδια wider than (up to) two stades X. C. 7. 5. 8.
- d. Other relations: Purpose, object in view: πέμπειν ἐπὶ κατασκοπήν to send for the purpose of reconnoitering X. C. 6. 2. 9, ἀπέστειλαν ἐπὶ χρήματα they sent for money T. 6. 74. Hostility: ἔπλεον ἐπὶ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους they sailed against the Athenians 2. 90. Reference: τὸ ἐπ' ἐμέ (with or without εἶναι) as far as I am concerned (more commonly ἐπ' ἐμοί); τό γε ἐπ' ἐκεῖνον εἶναι L. 13. 58.
- N.—To express purpose  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$  with accus. is generally used when the purpose involves actual or implied motion to an object;  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$  with dat is used when the purpose may be attained by mental activity.

## 4. ἐπί in Composition

- Upon (ἐπιγράφειν write upon), over (ἐπιπλεῖν sail over), at, of cause (ἐπιχαίρειν rejoice over or at), to, toward (ἐπιβοηθεῖν send assistance to), in addition (ἐπιδιδόναι give in addition), against (ἐπιβουλεύειν plot against), after (ἐπιγίγνεσθαι be born after, ἐπισκευάζειν repair); causative (ἐπαληθεύειν verify); intensity (ἐπικρύπτειν hide; ἐπιβουλεύεσθαι further deliberate = reflect); reciprocity (ἐπιμείγνυσθαι ἀλλήλοις exchange friendly dealings).
- 1690. κατά down (cp. κάτω), opposed to  $d\nu d$ . With the genitive (the genitive proper (of the goal) and the ablatival genitive) and the

Гібді

accusative. With the genitive, the motion is perpendicular; with the accusative, horizontal.

### κατά with the Genitive

- a. Local: down from, down toward, under: άλάμενοι κατά της πέτρας having leapt down from the rock X. A. 4. 2. 17, κατ' ἄκρās utterly, completely (down from the summit) P. L. 909 b, ψῦχὴ κατὰ χθονὸς ῷχετο his soul went down under the earth Ψ 100, μύρον κατά της κεφαλής καταχέαντες having poured murrh (down) over their heads P. R. 398 a; rarely of rest; ὁ κατὰ γης the man under the earth X.C. 4.6.5.
- b. Temporal (very rare): κατὰ παντὸς τοῦ alῶνος for all eternity Lyc. 7.
- c. Other relations: against, as κατ' ξμαντοῦ ξρεῖν to speak against myself P. A. 37 h: rarely in a favourable or neutral sense, as οί κατά Δημοσθένους έπαινοι the eulogies on Demosthenes Aes. 3.50, κατά πάντων λέγειν to speak with regard to all X.C.1.2.16; by (with verbs of swearing), as δμνύντων τον δρκον κατά ιερών τελείων let them swear the oath by (lit. down over) fullgrown victims T. 5, 47.

### 2. κατά with the Accusative

- a. Local: ἔπλεον κατὰ ποταμόν they sailed down-stream Hdt. 4. 44, κατὰ τὰs elobdous έφεπομενοι following to the entrances X. C. 3. 3. 64. Extension: καθ' όλην την πόλιν throughout the entire city Lyc. 40, κατά γην by land L. 2. 32. διώκοντες τους καθ' αυτούς pursuing those stationed opposite themselves X. A. 1. 10. 4.
- b. Temporal (post-Homeric): κατὰ πλοῦν during the voyage T. 3. 32, κατ' ἐκεῖνον τον χρόνον at that time 1. 139, οι καθ' έαυτον his contemporaries D. 20.73.
- c. Other relations: Purpose: κατὰ θέᾶν ἡκεν came for the purpose of seeing T. 6.31. Conformity: κατὰ τούτους ἡήτωρ an orator after their style P. A. 17 b, κατά τους νόμους according to the laws D. 8.2. Ground on which an act is based: κατά φιλίαν owing to friendship T. 1. 60. Comparisons: μείζω ή κατὰ δάκρυα πεπονθότες having endured sufferings too great for (than according to) tears 7.75 (cp. maior quam pro). Manner: καθ' ἡσυχίαν Distribution: κατ' έθνη nation by nation T.1. 122, δέκα ouietly T. 6, 64. δραχμαί κατ' ἄνδρα ten drachmae the man Aes. 3. 187, κατά σφας αὐτούς per se T. 1. 79. Approximate numbers: κατὰ πεντήκοντα about fifty Hdt. 6. 79.

# 3. κατά in Composition

- Down from above (καταπίπτειν fall down), back (καταλείπειν leave behind), against, adversely (καταγιγνώσκειν condemn, decide against, καταφρονείν despise), completely (καταπετρούν stone to death, κατεσθίειν eat up), often with an intensive force that cannot be translated. An intransitive verb when compounded with κατά may become transitive (1559).
- 1691. μετά: original meaning amid, among (cp. Germ. mit, Eng. mid in midwife). Hence properly only with plurals or collectives (so in Hom. with gen. and dat.). μετά denotes participation, community of action. πεδά (Lesb. and other dialects) agrees in meaning with μετά, but is of different origin.

# 1. μετά with the Genitive

Usually of persons and abstract nouns.

Local: among, together with, as καθήμενος μετά των άλλων sitting among the rest P. R. 359 e, bûgai μετ' ἐκείνων to sacrifice in company with them X.C. 8.3.1; on the side of, as οί μετά Κύρου βάρβαροι the barbarians in the army of Cyrus X. A. 1. 7. 10, μετὰ τῶν ἠδικημένων πολεμεῖν to wage war on the side of the wronged D. 9.24, οὐ μετὰ τοῦ πλήθους without the consent of the people T. 3.66; besides: γενόμενος μετά τοῦ ξυνετοῦ καὶ δυνατός showing himself powerful as well as sagacious T.2.15. Accompanying circumstances (concurrent act or state) : μετὰ κινδύνων κτησάμενοι (την τάξιν) having acquired their position amid dangers D. 3.36, λύπη μετὰ φόβου grief and terror T. 7. 75. Joint efficient cause: μετὰ πόνων ελευθέραν εποίησαν την Έλλάδα by (amid) struggles they freed Greece L.2.55. Conformity: μετὰ των νόμων in accordance with the laws 3.82.

# 2. μετά with the Dative (Locative)

Chiefly Epic (usually with the plural or with the collective singular of persons or things personified, or of the parts of living objects): μετὰ μνηστήρσιν έειπεν he spake amid the suitors ρ 467, μετὰ φρεσί in their hearts  $\Delta$  245.

# 3. petá with the Accusative

Local: into the midst of: νεκρούς έρυσαν μετὰ λάὸν 'Αχαιών they dragged the dead into the midst of the host of the Achaeans E 573; with an idea of purpose: ιέναι μετά Νέστορα to go after (in quest of) Nestor K 73. Extension over the midst of: μετὰ πληθύν throughout the multitude B 143. Phrase: μετά χείρας έχειν to have in hand T. 1. 138.

N. — From the use in  $\mu\epsilon r'$  lyna baive  $\theta\epsilon$ 000 he went after the steps of the goddess γ 30 is derived the prose use: after (of time or rank), as μετὰ τὰ Τρωϊκά after the Trojan war T. 2.68, μετὰ θεούς ψυχή θειότατον after the gods the soul is most divine P. L. 726. The range of µerd with acc. in Attic prose is not wide.

# 4. μετά in Composition

Among (μεταδιδόναι give a share), after, in quest of (μεταπέμπεσθαι send for). When one thing is among other things, it may be said to come after another, to succeed or alternate with it; hence of succession ( $\mu\epsilon\theta\eta\mu\epsilon\rho\nu\dot{\rho}$ s diurnus; cp. μεθ' ἡμέραν after daybreak), alteration or change (μεταγράφειν rewrite, μεταμέλειν repent i.e. care for something else).

When contrasted with  $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu$ ,  $\mu \epsilon \tau \acute{\alpha}$  often denotes participation:  $\acute{\delta}$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau \circ \chi \circ s$ the partner, ὁ συνών the companion. σύν often denotes something added. But μετά is usually the prose preposition for σύν, though it does not

mean inclusive of.

1692. παρά (Hom. παραί, Lat. por- in porrigere) alongside, by, near. Except with the accusative mapa is commonly used of persons and personified things.

# 1. παρά with the (Ablatival) Genitive

Usually coming or proceeding from a person, in Hom. also of things; cp. de chez.

- a. Local: ol αὐτομολοῦντες παρὰ βασιλέως the deserters from the king X. A. 2. 1. 6. In poetry, where we might expect the dat. (1659 a): ἔγρετο παρ' "Ηρης lit. he awoke from the side of Hera O 5. In standard Attic prose παρά with the gen. of a thing is excessively rare. When so used, the thing is personified, or the thing implies a person (as πόλις, ἀρχή, θέᾶτρον).
- b. Author, Source (cp. 1410): with verbs of receiving, taking, asking, learning, sending, etc.: παρὰ Μήδων τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐλάμβανον Πέρσαι the Persians wrested the empire from the Medes X. A. 3. 4. 8, παρὰ σοῦ ἐμάθομεν we learned from you X. C. 2. 2. 6; ἡ παρὰ τῶν θεῶν εὕνοια the good-will on the part of the gods D. 2. 1 (less commonly ἀπό); with passives and intransitives (instead of ὑπό with the gen. of the agent): τὰ παρὰ τῆς τύχης δωρηθέντα the gifts of Fortune I. 4. 26, τοῦνο παρὰ πάντων ὀμολογεῖται this is acknowledged on all sides (on the part of all) L. 30. 12.

## 2. παρά with the Dative

Almost always of persons in standard Attic prose; ep. chez.

- a. Local: οὐ παρὰ μητρὶ σῖτοῦνται οἱ παῖδες, ἀλλὰ παρὰ τῷ διδασκάλψ the boys do not eat with their mothers, but with their teachers X. C. 1. 2. 8, παρ' ἐμοἱ σκηνοῦν to mess with me (as chez moi) 6. 1. 49; of things: τὰ παρὰ θαλάττη χωρία the places along the sea X. A. 7. 2. 25.
- b. Other relations: Possessor: τὸ μὲν χρῦσίον παρὰ τούτφ, οἱ δὲ κἰνδῦνοι παρ᾽ ὑμῖν this man has the gold, you the dangers Aes. 3. 240; of the superior in command: οἱ παρὰ βασιλεῖ ὅντεν those under the king X. A. 1. 5. 16; of the person judging: ἀναίτιος παρὰ τοῖς στρατιώταις blameless in the opinion of the troops X. C. 1. 6. 10, ὁμολογεῖται παρὰ τῷ δήμφ it is agreed in the opinion of the people Lyc. 54 (here παρά denotes the sphere of judgment); with the gen. after a passive (1692. 1. b) it denotes the source.

## 3. mapá with the Accusative

- a. Local; of motion to, in prose only of persons: ἡκε παρ' ἐμέ come to me X. C. 4. 5. 25; motion along, by, past (a place): παρὰ γῆν πλεῖν sail along shore T. 6. 13; of parallel extent (along, alongside, beside) with verbs of motion and of rest (often the dat.), and often when no verb is used: ἡνπερ ἔλαβον ναῦν, ἀνέθεσαν παρὰ τὸ τροπαῖον the ship they captured they set up alongside of the trophy T. 2. 92, εἶπεν αὐτῷ μένειν παρὰ τὸν ποταμόν the told him to remain close by him X. C. 1. 4. 18, τὸ πεδίον τὸ παρὰ τὸν ποταμόν the plain extending along the river X. A. 4. 3. 1, ἡν παρὰ τὴν ὁδὸν κρήνη there was a spring by the road 1. 2. 13. Contrary to: παρὰ τοὺς νόμους ἡ κατ' αὐτούς contrary to (i.e. going past) the laws or in accordance with them D. 23. 20; in addition to (along beside): ἔχω παρὰ ταῦτα ἄλλο τι λέγειν besides this I have to say something else P. Ph. 107 a. Phrase: παρ' ὁλίγον ἐποιοῦντο Κλέανδρον they treated Cleander as of no account (cp. 'next to nothing') X. A. 6. 6. 11.
- b. Temporal: (duration) παρὰ πάντα τὸν χρόνον throughout the whole time D.5.2, (momentary) παρὰ τὰ δεινά in the hour of danger Aes. 3. 170, παρ' αὐτὰ τάδικήματα at the time of (i.e. immediately after) the offences themselves D.18.13.
- C. Other relations: Cause = διά: παρὰ τὴν ἡμετέρᾶν ἀμέλειαν in consequence of our negligence D. 4. 11, εἰ παρὰ τὸ προαισθέσθαι κεκώλῦται if it was prevented by being perceived in advance 19.42. Dependence: παρὰ τοῦτο γέγονε τὰ

τῶν Ἑλλήνων the fortunes of the Greeks depend on this D. 18. 232. Measure: παρὰ μῖκρὸν ἤλθομεν ἐξανδραποδισθῆναι we had a narrow escape (came by a little) from being enslaved I. 7. 6, παρὰ πολύ by far T. 2. 8. Comparison: ἐξέτασον παρ' ἄλληλα contrast with each other D. 18. 265, χειμών μείζων παρὰ τὴν καθεστηκυῖαν ὥρᾶν stormy weather more severe than was to be expected at the season then present T. 4.6.

## 4. παρά in Composition

Alongside, by, beside (παριέναι go alongside), beyond, past (παρελαύνειν drive past), over (παρορᾶν overlook), aside, amiss (παρακούειν misunderstand).

1693. περί around (on all sides), about; cp. πέριξ round about. Lat. per in permagnus. περί is wider than ἀμφί: cp. X. Vect. 1. 7 οὐ περίρρυτος οὖσα ὧσπερ νῆσος . . . ἀμφιθάλαττος γάρ ἐστι it (Attica) is not, like an island, surrounded by the sea . . . for it has the sea on two sides. On περί post-positive, see 1665.

## 1. περί with the Genitive

- a. Local (poetic): περί τρόπιος βεβαώς riding on (astride) the keel ε 130.
- b. Other relations: about, concerning (Lat. de), the subject about which an act or thought centres: περὶ πατρίδος μαχούμενοι fighting for their country T. 6. 69 (cp. ὑπέρ), δείσᾶς περὶ τοῦ νιοῦ fearing for his son X. C. 1. 4. 22, λέγειν περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης to speak about peace T. 5. 55; τὰ περὶ τινος instead of τὰ περὶ τινα is used in the neighbourhood of a verb of saying or thinking (which takes περὶ with gen.): τὰ περὶ τῆς ἀρετῆς the relations of virtue P. Pr. 360 e. Superiority (cp. 1402): περὶεσσι γυναικῶν είδος thou dost surpass women in beauty σ 248, περὶ παντὸς ποιούμενοι regarding as (more than everything) all-important T. 2. 11 (cp. 1373).

### 2. περί with the Dative

- a. Local: about: of arms, dress, etc., in prose: στρεπτοί περί τοῖς τραχήλοις collars about their necks X. A. 1. 5. 8, α περί τοῖς σώμασιν ἔχουσιν the clothes about their persons I. ep. 9. 10 (only case in the orators), περί δουρί A 303.
- b. Other relations (usually poetic): External cause: δείσαντες περί ταις ναυσίν afraid for their ships T. 7.53 (with verbs of fearing, περί with the gen. is fear of or fear for). Inner impulse: περί τάρβει from fear A. Pers. 694.

### 3. περί with the Accusative

- a. Local: of position: ἀπέστειλαν ναῦς περὶ Πελοπόννησον they despatched ships round about Peloponnese T. 2. 23, ὥκουν περὶ πᾶσαν τὴν Σικελίᾶν they settled all round Sicily 6. 2; of persons: οἱ περὶ Ἡράκλειτον the followers of Heraclitus P. Crat. 440 c.
- b. Indefinite statement of time and number: περὶ ὅρθρον about dawn T. 6. 101, περὶ ἐβδομήκοντα about seventy 1. 54.
- c. Other relations: Occupation: οἱ περὶ τὴν μουσικὴν ὅντες those who are engaged in liberal pursuits I.9.4; connected with, of general relation (with reference to): οἱ νόμοι οἱ περὶ τοὺς γάμους the laws about marriage P. Cr. 50 d, περὶ θεοὺς ἀσεβέστατοι most impious in regard to the gods X. H. 2. 3. 53, τὰ περὶ τὰς ναῦς naval affairs T. 1. 13. Verbs of action (except verbs of

striving) prefer  $\pi\epsilon\rho t$  with accus, verbs of perception, emotion, knowing, prefer  $\pi\epsilon\rho t$  with gen. But the cases often shift.

### 4. περί in Composition

- Around, about (περιέχειν surround), beyond, over (περιείναι excel; and περιοράν look beyond, overlook, suffer), (remaining) over (περιγίγνεσθαι remain over, result, and excel), exceedingly (περιχαρής very glad).
- 1694. πρό (Lat. pro, for) before. Cp. ἀντί, which is narrower in meaning.
  - 1. mpó with the Genitive only
- a. Local: πρὸ τῶν ἀμαξῶν in front of the wagons X. C. 6. 2. 36.
- b. Temporal: πρὸ τῆς μάχης before the battle X. A. 1. 7. 13.
- c. Other relations: Defence or care (cp. ὑπέρ): διακινδῦνεύειν πρὸ βασιλέωs to incur danger in defence of (prop. in front of) the king X. C. 8. 8. 4. Preference (cp. ἀντί): οἱ ἐπαινοῦντες πρὸ δικαιοσύνης ἀδικίᾶν those who laud injustice in preference to justice P. R. 361 e, πρὸ πολλοῦ ποιεῖσθαι to esteem highly (in preference to much) I. 5. 138, φωνεῖν πρὸ τῶνδε to speak for them (as their spokesman) S. O. T. 10 (ἀντὶ τῶνδε = as their deputy, ὑπὲρ τῶνδε as their champion).

### 2. πρό in Composition

- Before, forward, forth (προβάλλειν put forward), for, in behalf of, in defence of, in public (προαγορεύειν give public notice), beforehand (πρόδηλος manifest beforehand), in preference (προαιρεῖσθαι choose in preference).
- 1695.  $\pi p \circ s$  (Hom. also  $\pi p \circ \tau i$ ), at, by (fronting). Of like meaning, but of different origin, is Hom.  $\pi \circ \tau i$ .

### 1. πρός with the Genitive

- a. Local (not common in prose): τὸ πρὸς ἐσπέρᾶς τεῖχος the wall facing the west X. H. 4. 4. 18, τὰ ὑποζύγια ἔχοντες πρὸς τοῦ ποταμοῦ having the pack-animals on the side toward the river X. A. 2. 2. 4.
- b. Other relations: Descent: πρὸς πατρός on the father's side Aes. 3. 169. Characteristic: οὐ γὰρ ἢν πρὸς τοῦ Κύρου τρόπου for it was not the way of Cyrus X. A. 1. 2. 11. Point of view of a person: πρὸς ἀνθρώπων αἰσχρός base in the eyes of men 2. 5. 20. Agent as the source, with passive verbs (instead of ὑπὸ): ὁμολογεῖται πρὸς πάντων it is agreed by all 1. 9. 20; to the advantage of; σπονδὰς ποιησάμενος πρὸς Θηβαίων μᾶλλον ἢ πρὸς ἐαντῶν making a truce more to the advantage of the Thebans than of his own party X. H. 7. 1. 17; in oaths and entreaties: πρὸς θεῶν by the gods X. H. 2. 4. 21.

## 2. πρός with the Dative

In a local sense, denoting proximity (generally, in prose, of towns or buildings, not of persons): πρὸς τῷ πόλει τὴν μάχην ποιεῖσθαι to fight near the city T. 6.49; sometimes like ἐν, as πρὸς ἰεροῖς τοῖς κοινοῖς ἀνατεθῆναι to be dedicated in the common shrines T. 3.57. Occupation: ἦν ὅλος πρὸς τῷ λήμματι he was wholly intent upon his gain D. 19. 127. In addition to: πρὸς αὐτοῖς besides these T. 7.57. In the presence of: πρὸς τῷ διαιτητῷ λέγειν to speak before the arbitrator D. 39.22.

## 3. mpos with the Accusative

- a. Local (direction toward or to, strictly fronting, facing): ὑμᾶs ἄξομεν πρὸs αὐτούν we will lead you to them X.A.7.6.6, πρὸs νότον (toward the) south
   T. 3.6, ἰέναι πρὸs τοὺν πολεμίουν to go against the enemy X.A.2.6.10.
- b. Temporal (rare): πρὸς ἡμέρᾶν toward daybreak X. H. 2. 4. 6.
- c. Other relations: friendly or hostile relation: πρὸς ἐμὲ λέγετε speak to me X.C. 6. 4. 19, φιλία πρὸς ὑμας friendship with you I. 5. 32, ἔχθρα πρὸς τους 'Aργείουs enmity to the Argives T. 2. 68, but ή πρὸς ήμας έχθρα our enmity 6. 80, ή ἀπέχθεια πρὸς τοὺς θηβαίους our enmity to the Thebans and the enmity of the Thebans to us D. 18. 36. With words of hating, accusing, and their opposites,  $\pi \rho \delta s$  is used either of the subject or of the object or of both parties involved. With words denoting warfare πρός indicates a double relation, and the context must determine which party is the aggressor or assailant: ναυμαχία Κορινθίων πρός Κερκυραίους a sea-fight between the Corinthians and the Corcyreans T. 1. 13 (here καί often suffices, as ο Λακεδαιμονίων και 'Ηλείων πόλεμος Χ. Η. 3.2.31). Relation in general: οὐδὲν αὐτῷ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν ἐστίν he has nothing to do with the city D. 21. 44, πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς εὐσεβῶς ἔχειν to be pious toward the gods Lvc. 15. Purpose: πρὸς τί; to what end? Χ. C. 6. 3. 20, πρὸς χάριν λέγειν to speak in order to court favour D. 4. 51; with a view to (often nearly =  $\delta \iota \dot{a}$ ):  $\pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau a \hat{v} \tau a \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \dot{v}$  wherefore be well advised T. 4. 87, πρός τὰ παρόντα in consequence of the present circumstances 6.41. Conformity: πρὸς τὴν ἀξίᾶν according to merit X. C. 8. 4. 29. Standard of judgment: οὐδὲ πρὸς ἀργύριον τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν ἔκρῖνον nor did they estimate happiness by the money-standard I. 4. 76, γώρα ώς πρὸς τὸ πληθος τῶν πολιτων έλαχίστη a territory very small in proportion to the number of its citizens 4. 107; and hence of comparison: οἱ φαυλότεροι τῶν ἀνθρώπων πρὸς τους ξυνετωτέρους . . . αμεινον οίκουσι τας πόλεις the simpler class of men, in comparison with the more astute, manage their public affairs better T. 3.37. Exchange: ήδονας πρός ήδονας καταλλάττεσθαι to exchange pleasures for pleasures P. Ph. 69 a.

## 4. πρός in Composition

To, toward (προσελαύνειν drive to, προστρέπειν turn toward), in addition (προσλαμβάνειν take in addition), against (προσκρούειν strike against, be angry with). Often in the general sense of additionally, qualifying the whole sentence rather than the verb.

**1696.** σύν (Older Attic  $\xi$ ύν; cp. Ion.  $\xi$  $\bar{\nu}$ νός from κονιος = κοινός, Lat. cum) with.

- 1. σύν with the Instrumental Dative only.
- a. In standard (i.e. not Xenophontic) prose σύν has been almost driven out of use by μετά. It is used (1) in old formulas, as σὺν (τοῦς) θεοῖς with the help of the gods, σὸν (τοῦς) ὅπλοις in arms, etc. (of things attached to a person), σὸν νῷ intelligently; (2) of sum totals (along with, including), as GREEK GRAM. 25

- σὺν τοῖς ἔργοις πλέον ἢ δέκα τάλαντα ἔχει he has more than ten talents interest included D. 28, 13.
- b. σύν is usually poetic (rare in comedy) and Xenophontic; it is often used in the formulas of a (1) and of persons and things personified. Its older and poetic meaning is along with (of something secondary or added to the action) and with the help of. So in Xen.: together with, along with: σὺν τŷ γυναικὶ δειπνεῦν to sup with your wife X.C. 6. 1. 49; to reinforce the simple dative: ἀκολουθεῦν σύν τινι, πορεύεσθαι σύν τινι (1524); with the collateral notion of help: with the aid of, as σὺν ἐκείνφ μάχεσθαι to fight with his help X.C. 5. 3. 5.
- c. Means and Instrument (regarded as accompaniments of an action: the comitative instrumental): ἡ κτῆσις αὐτῶν ἔστιν οὐδαμῶς σὸν τῆ βία, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον σὸν τῆ εὐεργεσία they (friends) are acquired, not by forcible means, but by kindness X. C. 8. 7. 13.
- d. Manner: σὺν γέλωτι ἢλθον they went laughing X. A. 1. 2. 18. In conformity with (opp. to παρά): οὐκ ἐπέτρεψε τῷ δήμῳ παρὰ τοὺς νόμους ψηφίσασθαι, ἀλλὰ σὺν τοῖς νόμους ἡναντιώθη κτλ. he did not permit the people to vote contrary to the laws, but, in conformity with them, opposed himself, etc. X. M. 4. 4. 2.

## 2. σύν in Composition

Together with (συμβιοῦν live with, συμπορεύεσθαι march in company with), together (συμβάλλειν conicere), completely (συμπληροῦν fill up), contraction in size (συντέμνειν cut short), and generally of union or connection. Standard prose uses συν- freely.

1697. ὑπέρ (Hom. also ὑπείρ) over, Lat. super. For the contrast with ἐπί, see 1689.

## 1. ὑπέρ with the Genitive

- Local: from over: ὑπὲρ τῶν ἄκρὼν κατέβαινον they came down over the heights
   T. 4. 25; over, above: ὑπὲρ τῆς κώμης γήλοφος ἢν above the village was a hill X, A. 1. 10. 12.
- b. Other relations: in defence of, on behalf of: μαχόμενος ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν fighting for you (standing over to protect) P. L. 642 c; in place of, in the name of: ἐγὼ λέξω καὶ ὑπὲρ σοῦ καὶ ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν I will speak both for you and for ourselves X. C. 3. 3. 14. Purpose: ὑπὲρ τοῦ ταῦτα λαβεῖν in order to get this D. 8. 44; concerning, about (often = περί in Demos. and the later orators; in inser. after 300 B.C.): ψόβος ὑπὲρ τοῦ μέλλοντος fear for the future T. 7. 71, μὴ περί τῶν δικαίων μηδ ὑπὲρ τῶν ἔξω πρᾶγμάτων not about your just claims nor about your foreign interests D. 6. 35.

## 2. ὑπέρ with the Accusative

- a. Local: ὑπὲρ οὐδὸν ἐβήσετο he passed over the threshold ν 63, οἱ ὑπὲρ Ἑλλήσποντον οἰκοῦντες those who dwell beyond the Hellespont X. A. 1. 1. 9.
- b. Temporal (= πρό) rare: ὑπὲρ τὰ Μηδικά before the Persian wars T. 1.41.
- c. Measure: ὑπὲρ ἤμισυ more than half X. C. 3. 3. 47, ὑπὲρ ἄνθρωπον beyond the power of man P. L. 839 d.

## 3. ὑπέρ in Composition

Over, above (ὑπερβάλλειν cross over, ὑπερέχειν trans. hold over, intr. be above), in behalf of, for (ὑπερμαχεῖν poet. fight for), exceedingly (ὑπερφρονεῖν be over-proud).

1698. ὑπό (Hom. also ὑπαί, Lesbian ὑπα-), under, by, Lat. sub.

### 1. ὑπό with the Genitive

- a. Local (rare in Attic prose): out from under (poet., cp. ὑπέκ): ῥέει κρήνη ὑπὸ σπείους a spring flows out from a cave ι 140, λαβὼν βοῦν ὑπὸ ἀμάξης taking an ox from a wagon X. A. 6. 4. 25; under (of rest): τὰ ὑπὸ γῆς (a fixed phrase) ἄπαντα all things under the earth P. A. 18 b.
- b. Other relations (metaphorically under the agency of): Direct agent (with passives and with verbs having a passive force); contrast διά, 1685. 2. d: σωθέντες ὑπὸ σοῦ saved by you X. A. 2. 5. 14, αἰσθόμενος ὑπὶ αὐτομόλων informed by deserters T. 5. 2, εὖ ἀκούειν ὑπὸ ἀνθρώπων to be well spoken of by men X. A. 7. 7. 23. With passive nouns: ἡ ὑπὸ Μελήτου γραφή the indictment brought by Meletus X. M. 4. 4. 4, κλῆσις ὑπὸ τῆς βουλῆς invitation by the Senate D. 19. 32. External cause: ἀπώλετο ὑπὸ λὶμοῦ perished of hunger X. A. 1. 5. 5, οὐκ ἐπὶ πολὺ ὑπὸ τῶν ἰππέων ἐξιόντες not going out far because of the cavalry T. 6. 37. Internal cause: ὑπὸ τῶν μεγίσων νἴκηθέντες, τὶμῆς καὶ δέους καὶ ἀφελίᾶς constrained by the strongest motives, honour and fear and profit T. 1. 76. External accompaniment, as pressure, in ἐτὸξευον ὑπὸ μαστίγων they shot under the lash X. A. 3. 4. 25; sound, in ὑπὸ αὐλητῶν to the accompaniment of flute-players T. 5. 70; light, in ὑπὸ φᾶνοῦ πορεύεσθαι to go with a torch X. R. L. 5. 7. Manner: ὑπὸ σπουδῆς hastily T. 3. 33.
- N. 1.  $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{o}$  with the genitive of a thing personifies the thing. The things so personified are (1) words implying a person, as  $\lambda\dot{o}\gamma\sigma\iota$ , (2) external circumstances, as  $\sigma\nu\mu\phi\sigma\rho\dot{a}$ ,  $\kappa\ell\nu\delta\bar{\nu}\sigma$ ,  $\nu\dot{b}\mu\sigma$ s, (3) natural phenomena, as  $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$ , (4) emotions, as  $\phi\theta\dot{b}\nu\sigma$ s. The dative may also be employed. See 1493, 1494.
  - N. 2. On  $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$  to express the personal agent with the perf. pass. see 1493.

### 2. vnó with the Dative

- a. Local: under (of rest): ἐστάναι ὑπό τινι δένδρφ to stand under a tree P. Phil.
   38 c. ὑπό of place is more common with the dative than with the genitive.
- b. Other relations: Agent (poetic, except with verbs signifying to educate): ὑπὸ παιδοτρίβη ἀγαθῷ πεπαιδευμένος educated under (the guidance of) a good master P. Lach. 184 e. Coöperative cause (poet.): βῆ ὑπ᾽ ἀμὑμονι πομπῆ he went under a blameless convoy Z 171. Subjection: οἱ ὑπὸ βασιλεῖ ὄντες the subjects of (i.e. those under) the king X. C. 8. 1. 6, ὑφ᾽ αὐτῷ ποιήσασθαι to bring under his own power D. 18. 40.

#### 3. vaó with the Accusative

a. Local: Motion under: ὑπ' αὐτὸν (τὸν λόφον) στήσας τὸ στράτευμα halting the army under the hill X. A. 1. 10. 14. Motion down under (poet.): εἶμ' ὑπὸ γαῖαν I shall go down under the earth Σ 333. Extension or position: αί

ὑπὸ τὸ ὅρος κῶμαι the villages at the foot of the mountain X. A. 7. 4. 5. Proximity: ὑποκειμένη ἡ Εὔβοια ὑπὸ τὴν ἀττικήν Euboea lying close by (under) Attica I. 4. 108.

- b. Temporal (of time impending or in progress): ὑπὸ νύκτα at the approach of night (sub noctem) Τ. 2. 92, ὑπὸ νύκτα during the night Hdt. 9. 58, ὑπὸ τὴν εἰρήνην at the time of the peace I. 4. 177.
- Other relations. Subjection: ὑπὸ σφᾶς ποιεῖσθαι to bring under their own sway T. 4. 60.

## 4. ὑπό in Composition

Under (ὑποτιθέναι place under), behind (ὑπολείπειν leave behind), secretly (cp. underhand; ὑποπέμπειν send as a spy), gradually (ὑποκαταβαίνειν descend by degrees), slightly (ὑποφαίνειν shine a little); of accompaniment (ὑπάδειν accompany with the voice); of an action performed by another (ὑποκηρύττεσθαι have oneself proclaimed by the herald).

### IMPROPER PREPOSITIONS

1699. Improper prepositions do not form compounds (1647).

### 1700. With the Genitive.

The list below contains some of the adverbial words used as prepositions. [The more important words are printed in fat type. An asterisk denotes words used only in poetry.]

άγχοῦ near, poet. and Ionic (also with dat.). ανευ without, except, besides, away from, rarely after its case. dvtla, dvtlov facing, against, poet. and Ionic (also with dat.). ἄτερ without, apart from, away from. ἄχρι and μέχρι as far as, until (of place, time, and number). Sikyv after the manner of (accus. of δίκη). δίχα\* apart from, unlike, except. έγγύς near (with dat. poetical). είσω (ἔσω) within. ἐκάs far from, poetic and Ionic. ἐκατέρωθεν on both sides of. έμπροσθεν before. έναντίον in the presence of (poet. against, έκτός without. gen. or dat.). Eveka, Evekev (Ion. Elveka, Elvekev) on account of, for the sake of, with regard to, usually postpositive. From such combinations as τούτου Ενεκα arose, by fusion, the illegitimate preposition ουνεκα (found chiefly in the texts of the dramatists). ἔνερθε\* beneath. ἐντός within. ἔξω out of, beyond (of time), εὐθύ straight to. καταντικρύ over against. κρύφα, λάθρα unbeknown μεταξύ between. μέχρι as far as. νδοφι\* apart from. ὅπισθεν behind. to.  $\pi \acute{a}$ pos\* before.  $\pi \acute{e} \lambda a$ s\* near (also with dat.).  $\pi \acute{e}$ pā beyond (ultra).  $\pi \acute{e}$ pā across (trans).  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$  except, as  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$  d $\nu \delta \rho a \pi \delta \delta \omega \nu$  except slaves X. A. 2. 4. 27. Often an adverb or conjunction: παντὶ δηλον πλην έμοι it is clear to everybody except me P. R. 529 a. πλησίον near (also with dat.). πόρρω, πρόσω far from.  $\pi \rho l \nu^*$  before (Pindar).  $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu^*$  near.  $\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon^*$  far from.  $\chi \acute{a} \rho \iota \nu$  for the sake of (accus. of xapis), usually after its case. xwpis without, separate from.

### 1701. With the Dative.

ἄμα together with, at the same time with. ὁμοῦ together with, close to.

### 1702. With the Accusative.

ώς to, of persons only, used after verbs expressing or implying motion. Probably used especially in the language of the people.

#### THE VERB: VOICES

#### ACTIVE VOICE

- 1703. The active voice represents the subject as performing the action of the verb:  $\lambda o i \omega I wash$ .
  - a. Under action is included being, as ή όδὸς μακρά ἐστι the way is long.
  - 1704. Active verbs are transitive or intransitive (920).
- 1705. The action of a transitive verb is directed immediately upon an object, as τύπτω τὸν παΐδα Î strike the boy.
- 1706. The object of a transitive verb is always put in the accusative (1553).
- 1708. Many verbs are used in the active voice both transitively and intransitively. So, in English, turn, move, change. Cp. 1557 ff.
- a. The distinction between transitive and intransitive verbs is a grammatical convenience, and is not founded on an essential difference of nature.
  - 1709. Active verbs ordinarily transitive are often used intransitively:
  - a. By the ellipsis of a definite external object, which in some cases may be employed, as ἄγειν (τὸ στράτευμα) march, αἴρειν (τὴν ἄγκῦραν) hoist the anchor, (τὰς ναῦς) get under sail, start, ἀπαίρειν (τὰς ναῦς, τὸν στρατόν) sail away, march away, διάγειν (τὸν βίον) live, ἐλαύνειν (τὸν ἴππον) ride, (τὸ ἄρμα) drive, (τὸν στρατόν) march, καταλύειν (τὸν ἔππους, τὰ ὑποζύγια) halt, κατέχειν (τῆν ναῦν) put in shore, προσέχειν (τὸν νοῦν) pay attention, τελευτᾶν (τὸν βίον) die. The original sense has often been so completely forgotten that it becomes possible to say αἴρειν τῷ στρατῷ set out with the army T. 2. 12, ἐλαύνων ἰδροῦντι τῷ ἵππφ riding with his horse in a sweat X. A. 1. 8. 1.
  - b. πράττειν, ξχειν with adverbs often mean to keep, to be: εὖ πράττειν fare well, καλῶς ξχειν be well (bene se habere), ξχειν οὕτως be so. So when a reflexive pronoun is apparently omitted: ξχ' αὐτοῦ stop there! D. 45. 26.
  - c. Many other transitive verbs may be used absolutely, i.e. with no definite object omitted, as νīκαν be a victor, ἀδικεν be guilty. Cp. 'amare' be in love, 'drink' be a drunkard. This is especially the case in compounds, e.g. of ἀλλάττειν, ἀνύειν, διδόναι, κλίνειν, λαμβάνειν, λείπειν, μειγνύναι.
  - d. In poetry many uncompounded transitive verbs are used intransitively. Many intransitive verbs become transitive when compounded with a prep., especially when the compound has a transferred sense, 1559. In some verbs 1st aorist and 1st perfect are transitive, 2d aorist and 2d perfect are intransitive. Cp. 819.

- **1710.** Instead of the active, a periphrasis with γίγνεσθαι may be used, often to express solemnity.  $\mu\eta\nu\bar{\nu}\tau\alpha i \gamma i\gamma\nu\nu\nu\tau\alpha i$  they turned informers T. 3. 2,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\nu}\beta\rho\iota\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\dot{\tau}$  γένη 'do not be guilty of outrage' S. Aj. 1092.
- 1711. Causative Active. The active may be used of an action performed at the bidding of the subject: Κῦρος τὰ βασίλεια κατέκαυσεν Cyrus burnt down the palace (i.e. had it burnt down) X. A. 1. 4. 10. So with ἀποκτείνειν put to death, θάπτειν bury, οἰκοδομεῖν build, παιδεύειν instruct, ἀνακηρύττειν publicly proclaim.
- 1712. An infinitive limiting the meaning of an adjective is usually active where English employs the passive (cp. 2006).

#### MIDDLE VOICE

- 1713. The middle voice shows that the action is performed with special reference to the subject:  $\lambda \hat{on} \mu a I \ wash \ myself$ .
- 1714. The middle represents the subject as doing something in which he is interested. He may do something to himself, for himself, or he may act with something belonging to himself.
- 1715. The future middle is often (807), the first agrist middle is almost never, used passively.
- 1716. The object of the middle (1) may belong in the sphere of the subject, as his property, etc.:  $\lambda o \acute{v}o \mu a \iota \tau \ddot{a}s \chi \epsilon \acute{\iota} \rho as I wash my hands$ , or (2) it may be brought into the sphere of the subject:  $\tau o \acute{v}s \dot{\sigma} \lambda \acute{\iota} \tau \ddot{a}s \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \psi a \nu \tau o$  they sent for the hoplites, or (3) it may be removed from the sphere of the subject:  $\dot{a}\pi o \delta l \acute{o} \rho \mu a \iota \tau \dot{\nu} \nu o l \kappa l \ddot{a} \nu I sell my house$  (lit. give away). Here the object is also the property of the subject.
- 1717. The Direct Reflexive Middle represents the subject as acting directly on himself. Self is here the direct object. So with verbs expressing external and natural acts, as the verbs of the toilet: ἀλείφεσθαι anoint oneself, λοῦσθαι wash oneself; and κοσμεῖσθαι adorn oneself, στεφανοῦσθαι crown oneself; γυμνάζεσθαι exercise oneself.
- a. The direct reflexive idea is far more frequently conveyed by the active and a reflexive pronoun, 1723.
- b. The part affected may be added in the accusative :  $\epsilon \pi a l \sigma a \tau o \tau \delta \nu \mu \eta \rho \delta \nu he$  smote his thigh X. C. 7. 3. 6.
- 1718. So with many other verbs, as  $\emph{istasha}$  stand (place oneself),  $\emph{tref-}$   $\emph{period}$  at turn (lit. turn oneself),  $\emph{dndo}$  show oneself,  $\emph{tattesha}$  post oneself,  $\emph{anddo}$  and defend oneself (argue oneself off),  $\emph{fairesha}$  show oneself, appear,  $\emph{parakeva}$  seoms prepare oneself,  $\emph{anddo}$  destroy oneself, perish.
- 1719. The Indirect Reflexive Middle represents the subject as acting for himself, with reference to himself, or with something belonging to himself. Self is often here the indirect object. So πορίζεσθαι provide for oneself (πορίζειν provide), φυλάττεσθαι guard against (φυλάττειν

keep guard), aipeî $\sigma\theta$ aι choose (take for oneself), παρέχεσθαι furnish (παρέχειν offer, present).

- 1720. Cases in which the object is to be removed from the sphere of the subject may be resolved into the dative for oneself (1483):  $\tau \eta \nu \ \dot{\rho} a \theta \bar{\nu} \mu l \bar{a} \nu \ \dot{a} \pi \sigma \theta \dot{e} \sigma \theta a \ to \ lay \ aside \ your \ indolence \ D. 8. 46, \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \psi a \tau \tau \sigma \ vois \ i \pi \pi \dot{\epsilon} \bar{a} s \ they \ routed$  the cavalry T. 6. 98,  $\tau o \dot{o} s \ \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o \dot{o} s \ \dot{a} \mu \dot{o} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ to \ ward \ off \ the \ enemy \ for \ themselves, i.e. to defend themselves against the enemy 1. 144.$
- 1721. The middle often denotes that the subject acts with something belonging to himself (material objects, means, powers). It is often used of acts done willingly. Thus, παρέχεσθαι furnish from one's own resources, ἐπαγγέλλεσθαι promise, make profession of, τίθεσθαι τὴν ψῆφον give one's vote, τίθεσθαι τὰ ὅπλα ground arms, ἀποδείξασθαι γνώμην set forth one's opinion, λαμβάνεσθαί τινος put one's hand on (seize) something. Thus, ἐσπασμένοι τὰ ξίφη having drawn their swords X. A. 7. 4. 16, παΐδας ἐκκεκομσμένοι ἡσαν they had removed their children T. 2. 78, τροπαῖον στησάμενοι having set up a trophy X. H. 2. 4. 7, ὅπλα πορίσασθαι to procure arms for themselves T. 4. 9, ὁπλίτᾶς μετεπέμψατο he sent for hoplites 7. 31, γυναῖκα ἡγαγόμην I married L. 1. 6.
- 1722. Under the indirect middle belong the periphrases of ποιείσθαι with verbal nouns instead of the simple verb (cp. 1754). ποιείν with the same nouns means to bring about, effect, fashion, etc.

είρήνην ποιείσθαι make peace (of one nation at war with another).

εἰρήνην ποιεῖν bring about a peace (between opponents, nations at war: of an individual).

θήρᾶν ποιεῖσθαι (= θηρᾶν) hunt, θήρᾶν ποιεῖν arrange a hunt.

λόγον ποιείσθαι (= λέγειν) deliver a speech, λόγον ποιείν compose a speech.

ναυμαχί $\bar{a}$ ν ποιείσθαι (= ναυμαχε $\hat{i}$ ν) fight a naval battle.

ναυμαχίαν ποιείν bring on a naval buttle (of the commander).

όδον ποιείσθαι (= όδεύειν) make a journey, όδον ποιείν build a road.

πόλεμον ποιείσθαι wage war, πόλεμον ποιείν bring about a war.

σπονδας ποιείσθαι conclude (make) a treaty, or truce.

- σπονδάς ποιείν bring about a treaty, or truce.
- 1723. Active and Reflexive.—Instead of the direct middle the active voice with the reflexive pronoun is usually employed; often of difficult and unnatural actions (especially with αὐτὸς ἐαυτόν, etc.).
- τὰ ὅπλα παρέδοσαν καὶ σφᾶς αὐτούς they surrendered their arms and themselves T. 4. 38,  $\mu$ σθώσᾶς αὐτόν hiring himself out D. 19. 29 (not  $\mu$ σθωσάμενος, which means hiring for himself), καταλέλυκε τὴν αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ δυναστείᾶν he himself has put an end to his own sovereignty Aes. 3. 233, ἡτίμωκεν ἐαντόν he has dishonoured himself D. 21. 103. But regularly ἀπάγχεσθαι hang oneself (1717).
- a. The active and a reflexive pronoun in the gen. or dat may be used for the simple middle when the reflexive notion is emphatic:  $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$   $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \rho \delta \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \epsilon \delta \iota \nu \tau \delta \nu$  to leave behind them their written compositions P. Phae. 257 d.
- 1724. Middle and Reflexive.—The reflexive pronoun may be used with the middle:  $\dot{\epsilon}a\nu\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\dot{a}\pi\sigma\kappa\rho\dot{\nu}m\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$  to hide himself P. R. 393 c; often for emphasis, as in contrasts: oi  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\phi\bar{a}\sigma\iota$   $\beta a\sigma\iota\dot{\kappa}\dot{\epsilon}a$   $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\kappa}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\sigma\alpha\dot{\iota}$   $\tau\iota\nu a$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\sigma\phi\dot{a}\xi a\iota$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\nu}\nu$   $K\dot{\nu}\rho\dot{\rho}$ , oi  $\dot{\delta}$

έαυτὸν ἐπισφάξασθαι some say that the king issued orders for some one to slay him (Artapates) over (the body of) Cyrus, while others say that he slew himself with his own hand X. A. 1. 8. 29, cp. also  $\tau \iota \tau \eta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \iota \nu$ , άρχην καὶ τυραννίδα τῶν Ἑλλήνων ὀρῶσαν ἐαυτῷ κατασκευαζόμενον Φίλιππον; what did it beseem the city to do when it saw Philip compassing for himself dominion and despotic sway over the Greeks ? D. 18. 66.

- 1725. The Causative Middle denotes that the subject has something done by another for himself: έγω γάρ σε ταῦτα ἐδιδαξάμην for I had you taught this X. C. 1. 6. 2, παρατίθεσθαι σῖτον to have food scrved up 8. 6. 12, ὅσοι ὅπλα ἀφήρηνται, ταχὺ ἀλλα ποιήσονται all who have had their arms taken from them will soon get others made 6. 1. 12, ἐαυτῷ σκηνὴν κατεσκευάσατο he had a tent prepared for himself 2. 1. 30.
  - a. This force does not belong exclusively to the middle; cp. 1711.
- 1726. Reciprocal Middle. With a dual or plural subject the middle may indicate a reciprocal relation. So with verbs of contending, conversing (questioning, replying), greeting, embracing, etc. The reciprocal middle is often found with compounds of  $\delta \omega$ .
- ol ἀθληταὶ ἡγωνίζοντο the athletes contended T. 1. 6, καταστάντες ἐμάχοντο when they had got into position they fought 1. 49, ἀνὴρ ἀνδρὶ διελέγοντο they conversed man with man 8. 93, ἐπιμείγννσθαι ἀλλήλοις to have friendly intercourse with one another X. C. 7. 4. 5, ταῦτα διανεμοῦνται they will divide this up among themselves L. 21. 14. So αἰτιᾶσθαι αccuse, λῦμαίνεσθαι maltreat, μέμφεσθαι blame, ἀμιλλᾶσθαι νίε, παρακελεύεσθαι encourage one another.
  - a. The active may also be employed, as πολεμεῖν wage war.
  - b. Some of these verbs have a passive a orist form, as  $\delta\iota\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\chi\theta\eta\nu$  (812).
- 1727. The reciprocal relation may also be expressed (1) by the use of the reflexive pronoun (cp. 1724) with the active:  $\theta\theta o \nu o \bar{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$  éautois they are mutually envious X. M. 3. 5. 16; (2) by the use of  $d\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ , etc., with the active:  $d\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \bar{\nu} \mu e \nu$  d $\lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\lambda} \omega \iota \nu$  are at variance with one another P. Phae. 263 a; (3) by repetition of the noun:  $\pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\partial} s \pi \tau \omega \chi \dot{\omega} \phi \theta o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \iota = beggars envy each other Hesiod W. D. 26. The reflexive pronouns and <math>d\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \dot{\lambda} \omega \nu$ , etc., may also be added to the middle.
- 1728. Differences between Active and Middle. As contrasted with the active, the middle lays stress on the conscious activity, bodily or mental participation, of the agent.

In verbs that possess both active and middle: βουλεύεσθαι deliberate, βουλεύειν plan, σταθμᾶν measure, σταθμᾶσθαι calculate, σκοπεῖν look at, σκοπεῖσθαι consider, ἔχεσθαι cling to, παύεσθαι cease (1734. 14). The force of the middle often cannot be reproduced in translation (ἀκούεσθαι, τἶμᾶσθαι, ἀριθμεῖσθαι, ἀπορεῖσθαι), and in some other cases it may not have been felt, as in ὀρᾶσθαι in poetry (προορᾶσθαι occurs in prose).

- a. Many such verbs form their futures from the middle: ἀκούσομαι, ἄσομαι, ἀμαρτήσομαι. See 805.
- b. In verbs in  $-\epsilon \nu \omega$ , the middle signifies that the subject is acting in a manner appropriate to his state or condition:  $\pi \circ \lambda \tilde{\iota} \tau \circ \ell \circ \iota \nu$  be a citizen,  $\pi \circ \lambda \tilde{\iota} \tau \circ \ell \circ \iota \sigma$  act as

a citizen, perform one's civic duties; πρεσβεύειν be an envoy, πρεσβεύεσθαι negotiate as envoy or send envoys (of the State in its negotiations). But this force of the middle is not always apparent.

- 1729. Middle Deponents (810) often denote bodily or mental action (feeling and thinking): ἄλλεσθαι jump, πέτεσθαι fly, ὀρχεῖσθαι dance, οἴχεσθαι be gone, δέρκεσθαι look; βούλεσθαι wish, αἰσθάνεσθαι perceive, ἀκροᾶσθαι listen, μέμφεσθαι blame, οἴεσθαι conjecture, think (lit. take omens for oneself, from ὀρις, Lat. avis, auspicium), ἡγεῖσθαι consider; ὁλοφύρεσθαι lament.
- a. Some of the verbs denoting a functional state or process have the middle either in all forms or only in the future.
  - b. Verbs denoting bodily activity regularly have a middle future, 805-806.
- 1730. Deponent verbs are either direct or indirect middles; direct:  $\dot{\upsilon}\pi \iota \sigma$ - $\chi \nu \epsilon \bar{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota under take$ , promise (lit. hold oneself under); indirect:  $\kappa \tau \bar{a} \sigma \theta a \iota acquire$  for oneself,  $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota contend$  (with one's own powers).
- 1731. The middle may denote more vigorous participation on the part of the subject than the active:  $\sigma\epsilon\epsilon'\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota\ dart$ , but  $\theta\epsilon'\epsilon\nu\ run$ .
- 1732. The active is often used for the middle when it is not of practical importance to mark the interest of the subject in the action. The active implies what the middle expresses. So with  $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\nu$  send for T. 7. 15,  $\delta\eta\lambda\dot{\omega}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilons\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\eta\nu$  setting forth their opinion 3. 37,  $\tau\rho\sigma\pi\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\nu$  or  $\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma$  average setting up a trophy 7.5.
- 1733. The passive form may have reflexive force, as κινηθήναι set oneself in motion, ἀπαλλαγήναι remove oneself, ἐναντιωθήναι oppose oneself, σωθήναι save oneself (σώθητι save yourself P. Cr. 44 b). Some of these middle passives may take the accusative, as alσχυνθήναι be ashamed before, φοβηθήναι be afraid of, καταπληγήναι τινα be amazed at some one. See 814 ff.
- 1734. List of the chief verbs showing important differences of meaning between active and middle. It will be noted that the active is often transitive, the middle intransitive.
  - 1. aipεîν take; aiρείσθαι choose.
- 2. **àµਚੰνειν** τί τινι ward off something from some one, àµόνειν τινί help some one; àµόνεσθαί τι defend oneself against something, àµόνεσθαί τινα requite some one.
  - 3. ἀποδοῦναι give back; ἀποδόσθαι sell (give away for one's profit).
  - 4. ἄπτειν attach; ἄπτεσθαί τινος touch.
- 5. ἄρχειν begin, contrasts one beginner of an action with another, as ἄρχειν πολέμου take the aggressive, strike the first blow (bellum movere), ἄρχειν λόγου be the first to speak, ἢρχε χειρῶν ἀδίκων he began an unprovoked assault L. 4. 11; ἄρχεσθαι make one's own beginning, as contrasted with the later stages, as ἄρχεσθαι πολέμου begin warlike operations (bellum incipere), ἄρχεσθαι τοῦ λόγου begin one's speech. πολέμου οὐκ ἄρξομεν, ἀρχομένους δὲ ἀμῦνούμεθα we shall not take the initiative in the war, but upon those who take it up we shall retaliate T. 1. 144.
  - 6. γαμείν marry (of the man. ducere); γαμείσθαι marry (of the woman, nubere).
- 7. γράφειν νόμον propose a law (said of the maker of a law whether or not he is himself subject to it); γράφεσθαι γραφήν draw up an indictment for a public

offence,  $\gamma \rho d\phi e\sigma \theta al \tau \nu a bring suit against some one (have him written down in the magistrates' records).$ 

- 8. δανείζειν (make of anything a δάνος loan) i.e. put out at interest, lend; δανείζει θαι (have a δάνος made to oneself) have lent to one, borrow at interest.
- 9. δικάζειν give judgment; δικάζεσθαί (δίκην τινί) go to law with a person, conduct a case (properly get some one to give judgment).
- 10. ἐπιψηφίζειν put to vote (of the presiding officer); ἐπιψηφίζεσθαι vote, decree (of the people).
  - 11. ἔχειν hold; ἔχεσθαί τινος hold on to, be close to.
  - 12. θύειν sacrifice; θύεσθαι take auspices (of a general, etc.).
- 13. μισθοῦν (put a μισθός, rent, on anything) i.e. let for hire (locare); μισθοῦσθαι (lay a μισθός upon oneself) i.e. hire (conducere). Cp. 1723.
- 14. παύειν make to cease, stop (trans.); παύεσθαι cease (intr.). But παθε λέγων stop talking.
  - 15. πείθειν persuade; πείθεσθαι obey (persuade oneself); πέποιθα I trust.
- 16. τιθέναι νόμον frame or propose a law for others (said of the lawgiver, legem ferre or rogare); τίθεσθαι νόμον make a law for one's own interest, for one's own State (said of the State legislating, legem sciscere or iubere). αὐτοὐς (ἀγράφους νόμους) οἱ ἄνθρωποι ἔθεντο . . . θεοὐς οἶμαι τοὺς νόμους τούτους τοῖς ἀνθρώποις θεῖναι men did not make the unwritten laws for themselves, but I think the gods made these laws for men X. M. 4. 4. 19.
- 17. τιμωρείν τινι avenge some one, τιμωρείν τινά τινι punish A for B's satisfaction; τιμωρείσθαί τινα avenge oneself on (punish) some one.
- 18. τίνειν δίκην pay a penalty (poenas dare); τίνεσθαι δίκην exact a penalty (poenas sumere).
- 19. φυλάττειν τινά watch some one; φυλάττεσθαί τινα be on one's guard against some one.
  - 20. χραν give an oracle, and lend; χρασθαι consult an oracle, and use.

#### PASSIVE VOICE

- 1735. The passive voice represents the subject as acted on:  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\theta$ our,  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\theta$ oûrto,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ aior,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ aiorto they pushed, were pushed, they struck, were struck X. C. 7. 1. 38.
- a. The passive has been developed from the middle. With the exception of some futures and the aorist, the middle forms do duty as passives: αἰρεῦται takes for himself, i.e. chooses, and is chosen. (For this development of the passive, cp. the reflexive use in se trouver, sich finden.) So κέχυται has poured itself, has been poured. In Homer there are more perfect middles used passively than any other middle tenses. Cp. 802.
- b. Uncompounded  $\epsilon\sigma\chi\delta\mu\eta\nu$  sometimes retained its use as a passive.  $\epsilon\sigma\chi\epsilon\theta\eta\nu$  is late.
- 1736. The passive may have the sense allow oneself to be, get oneself:  $\xi\xi\dot{\alpha}\gamma o\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$   $\tau\epsilon$  kal  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\alpha}\gamma\dot{o}\mu\epsilon\nu\upsilon$  carrying and allowing ourselves to be carried across the border P. Cr. 48 d,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\chi\theta\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $\Gamma o\rho\gamma\iota\dot{\alpha}$  you will incur the hatred of Gorgias P. Phil. 58 c.
  - 1737. Many future middle forms are used passively (807 ff.).

- 1738. The future middle forms in -σομαι are developed from the present stem, and express durative action; the (later) future passives in -ήσομαι, -θήσομαι are developed from the acrists in -ην and -θην, and are acristic. This difference in kind of action is most marked when the future middle forms are used passively, but it is not always found. τοῖς ἄλλοις ξυμμάχοις παράδειγμα σαφὲς καταστήσατε, δς ἄν ἀφίστηται, θανάτω ζημιωσόμενον give to the rest of the allies a plain example that whoever revolts shall be punished (in each case) with death T. 3.40, ἐᾶν ἀλῶ, θανάτω ζημιωθήσεται if he is convicted, he will be punished (a single occurrence) with death D. 23.80, ὁ δίκαιος μαστῖγώσεται, στρεβλώσεται, δεδήσεται, ἐκκαυθήσεται τώφθαλμώ the just man will be scourged, racked, fettered, will have his eyes burnt out P. R. 361 e, τῖμήσομαι I shall enjoy honour, τῖμηθήσομαι I shall be honoured (on a definite occasion), ὡφελήσομαι I shall receive lasting benefit, ὡφεληθήσομαι I shall be benefited (on a definite occasion). Cp. 808, 809, 1911.
- 1739. The second aorist passive was originally a second aorist active (of the  $-\mu$  form) that was used intransitively to distinguish it from the transitive first aorist, as  $\xi\phi\eta\nu a$  showed,  $\xi\phi\alpha\nu a$  appeared;  $\xi\phi\theta\epsilon\nu a$  destroyed,  $\xi\phi\theta\alpha\nu a$  am destroyed;  $\xi\xi\epsilon\pi\lambda\eta\xi a$  was terrified,  $\xi\xi\epsilon\pi\lambda\alpha\gamma\nu$  was alarmed. So  $\xi\delta\alpha\nu$  learned,  $\xi\rho\rho\nu \nu$  flowed. Cp.  $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\sigma a$  placed,  $\xi\sigma\tau\eta\nu$  stood (819).
- 1740. In Hom. all the second agrist forms in  $-\eta\nu$  are intransitive except  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\gamma\eta\nu$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\nu}\pi\eta\nu$  was struck. Most of the forms in  $-\theta\eta\nu$  are likewise intransitive in Hom., as  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\eta\nu$  appeared (in Attic was shown).
- 1741. The perfect passive in the third singular with the dative of the agent (1488) is often preferred to the perfect active of the first person. Thus  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \kappa \tau a i$  use it has been done by me is more common than  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma a$  or  $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \chi \alpha$  I have done.
- 1742. The passive may be passive of the middle as well as passive of the active: αἰρεῖται is taken or is chosen, βιάζεται does violence or suffers violence (is forced), ἡρέθη was taken or was chosen, ἐγράφη was written or was indicted (γέγραμμαι is commonly middle). The use of the passive as passive of the middle is post-Homeric.
- a. When deponent verbs have a passive force, the future and a rist have the passive form:  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\iota\dot{\alpha}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$  I suffered violence (was forced), but  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\iota\alpha\sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\eta\nu$  I did violence. This holds when there was once an active form. Cp. also  $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\omega\rho\epsilon\bar{\iota}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\pi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\psi\eta\phi\dot{\iota}\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\kappa\nu\kappa\lambda\epsilon\bar{\iota}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ .
  - b. The agrist passive may have a middle sense (814).
- **1743.** The direct object of an active verb becomes the subject of the passive:  $\dot{\eta}$  ἐπιστολή ὑπὸ τοῦ διδασκάλου γράφεται the letter is written by the teacher (active δ διδάσκαλος γράφει τὴν ἐπιστολήν).
- 1744. The cognate accusative may become the subject of the passive: πόλεμος ἐπολεμήθη war was waged P. Menex. 243 e (πόλεμον πολεμεῖν, 1564).
- 1745. Active or middle verbs governing the genitive or dative may form (unlike the Latin use) a personal passive, the genitive or dative (especially if either denotes a person) becoming the subject of the passive.

- a. With the genitive: ἄρχειν, ἡγεμονεύειν, καταφρονεῖν, καταγελῶν, καταψηφίζειν (καταψηφίζεσθαι), ἀμελεῖν.
- With the dative: ἀπειλεῖν, ἀπιστεῖν, ἐγκαλεῖν, ἐπιβουλεύειν, ἐπιτῖμῶν, ὁνειδίζειν, πιστεύειν, πολεμεῖν, φθονεῖν.
- c. Examples: οὐκ ἢξίουν οὖτοι ἡγεμονεύεσθαι ὑψ' ἡμῶν they did not think it right to be governed by us T. 3. 61, ἐκεῖνος κατεψηφίσθη he was condemned X. H. 5.2.36, but θάνατος αὐτῶν κατεγνώσθη the penalty of death was pronounced against them L. 13. 39 (pass. of καταγνῶναι θάνατον αὐτῶν), ὥρᾶ ἡμῖν βουλεύεσθαι ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν μὴ καταφρονηθῶμεν it is time for us to take counsel for ourselves that we may not be brought into contempt X. A. 5. 7. 12, πολεμοῦνται μὲν ὑπὸ τῶν τὴν χώρᾶν αὐτῶν περιοικούντων, ἀπιστοῦνται δ' ὑφ' ἀπάντων they are warred against by those who dwell around their country, and are distrusted by all I. 5. 49, πῶς ἀν ἐπεβούλευσά τι αὐτῷ, ὅ τι μὴ καὶ ἐπεβουλεύθην ὑπ' αὐτοῦ; how could I have plotted against him, unless I had been plotted against by him? Ant. 4. β. 5, φθονηθεὶς ὑπὸ τοῦ 'Οδυσσέως envied by Odysseus X. M. 4. 2. 33 (contrast Lat. invidetur mihi ab aliquo).
- N. The above principle does not hold when the accusative of an external object intervenes between the verb and the dative.
- 1746. A verb governing an oblique case rarely forms in Greek (unlike Latin) an impersonal passive:  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu ol\ \beta \epsilon \beta o\dot{\eta}\theta \eta \tau ai\ \tau \ddot{\phi}\ \tau \epsilon \ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \ddot{\omega} \tau \iota\ \kappa ai\ \tau \ddot{\phi}\ \nu b \mu \phi\ my\ aid$  has been given to the deceased and to the law Ant. 1.31. The tense used is one from the perfect stem.
- 1747. An active verb followed by two accusatives, one of a person, the other of a thing, retains, when transferred to the passive, the accusative of the thing, while the accusative of the person becomes the nominative subject of the passive. Examples 1621, 1625, 1627, 1632.
- 1748. An active verb followed by an accusative of the direct object (a thing) and an oblique case of a person, retains, when transferred to the passive, the accusative of the direct object, while the indirect object becomes the nominative subject of the passive. Cp. I have been willed a large estate.
- a. With verbs signifying to enjoin, entrust: οι Βοιωτοί ταῦτα ἐπεσταλμένοι ἀνεχώρουν the Boeotians having received these instructions withdrew T. 5. 37 (pass. of ἐπιστέλλειν ταῦτα τοῖς Βοιωτοῖς), ἄλλο τι μεῖζον ἐπιταχθήσεσθε you will have some greater command laid upon you 1. 140 (pass. of ἐπιτάττειν ἄλλο τι μεῖζον ὑμῦν). Both accusatives are internal; and so, in οί τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐπιτετραμμένοι τὴν φυλακήν those of the Athenians who had been entrusted with the watch T. 1. 126, φυλακήν is equivalent to an internal accusative. The nominative of the thing and the dative of the person sometimes occur ("Ιωνες, τοῖσι ἐπετέτραπτο ἡ φυλακή the Ionians to whom the guard had been entrusted Hdt. 7. 10). The dative is common. when an inf. is used with the pass. verb: ἐπετέτακτο τοῖς σκευοφόροις lέναι the baggage-carriers had been commanded to go X. C. 6. 3. 3.

- b. With other verbs: ἀποτμηθέντες τὰς κεφαλάς having been decapitated (had their heads cut off) X. A. 2. 6. 1 (pass. of ἀποτέμνειν τὰς κεφαλάς τισι οι τινων).
- 1749. A passive may be formed in the case of verbs ordinarily intransitive but allowing a cognate accusative in the active: l κανλ τοις πολεμίοις ηὐτύχηται the enemy has had enough good fortune T. 7. 77 (εὐτυχεῖν l κανλ, 1573), κεκινδῦνεύσεται the risk will have been run Ant. 5. 75. See 1746. This is common with neuter passive participles: τὰ ἡσεβημένα αὐτῷ the impious acts committed by him L. 6.5, τὰ σοι κάμοι βεβιωμένα the life led by you and by me D. 18. 265, τὰ πεπολίτευμένα αὐτοῖς their political acts 1. 28, ἀμαρτηθέντα errors committed X. A. 5. 8. 20.
- a. Some verbs describing the action of the weather may be used in the passive:  $\nu \epsilon \iota \phi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \iota d \delta \tau \lambda \delta \delta \sigma \tau \nu$  they returned to the city covered with snow X. H. 2. 4.3.
- 1750. The cognate subject may be implied, as in the case of impersonal passives, in the perfect and tenses derived from the perfect. Thus, ἐπειδη αὐτοῖς παρεσκεύαστο when their preparations were complete T. 1. 46. λέγεται it is said, ἐδηλώθη it was made known, followed by the logical subject are not impersonal: ἐδηλώθη τῷ τρόπῳ ἀπωλώλει τὰ χρήματα it was shown how the money had been lost Ant. 5. 70. See 935.
- 1751. Greek uses impersonals from intransitives (corresponding to Lat. ambulatur, itur, curritur) only when the active is itself intransitive; as δέδοκται it has seemed good (cp. δοκεί).
- 1752. The active or the middle deponent of a transitive verb used transitively or of an intransitive verb may replace the passive of a transitive verb.

άκούειν (poet. κλύειν) be called; be well (εδ, καλῶs) or ill (κακῶs) spoken of, = pass. of λέγειν: νῦν κόλακες ἀκούουσιν now they are called flatterers D. 18.46, τίς ὑπ' ἐμοῦ κακῶs ἀκήκοεν ἢ πέπονθε; who has been ill spoken of or suffered at my hands? L. 8.3. Cp. bene, male audire; Milton: "England hears ill abroad."

άλίσκεσθαι be caught = pass. of αἰρεῖν, as ἐἀν ἀλῷς τοῦτο πράττων if you are caught doing this P. A. 29 c.

άποθνήσκειν (die) be killed = pass. of άποκτείνειν, as ἀπέθνησκον ὑπὸ ἰππέων they were killed by the cavalry X. C. 7. 1. 48. But not in the perfect, where the uncompounded  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa a$  is used.

γίγνεσθαι be born = pass. of τίκτειν beget, bring forth:  $\pi$ αίδες αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$  οὐκ έγιγνοντο ἐκ ταύτης he had no children by her X. H. 6. 4. 37.

δίκην δοῦναι be punished = pass. of ζημιοῦν, as  $\dot{\nu}\pi'$  αὐτῶν τούτων δίκην έδοσαν they were punished by these very men X. C. 1. 6. 45.

ήττασθαι be defeated = pass. of νῖκᾶν conquer, as ὑπὸ τῶν συμμάχων ἡττώμενοι worsted by their allies And. 4.28.

κατιέναι (κατέρχεσθαι) return from exile = pass. of κατάγειν restore from exile, as  $i\pi$  δλιγαρχίᾶς κατελθεῖν to be restored by an oligarchy T. 8. 68.

κείσθαι (lie) be placed = pass. of the perfect of τιθέναι: πείθου τοῖς νόμοις τοῖς ὑπὸ τῶν βασιλέων κειμένοις obey the laws established by kings I. 1.36.

λαγχάνειν (obtain by lot) be drawn by lot = pass. of κληροῦν: ἔλαχον ἰερεύς I became priest by lot D. 57. 47.

πάσχειν (suffer) be treated well (εὖ) or ill (κακῶς) = pass. of ποιεῖν (εὖ, κακῶς): εὖ παθόντες ὑπ' αὐτῶν well treated by them P. G. 519 c.

πίπτειν in ἐκπίπτειν (fall out) be expelled = pass, of ἐκβάλλειν: οἱ ἐκπεπτωκότες ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου those who had been expelled by the people X. H. 4, 8, 20,

φεύγειν (Aee) be prosecuted = pass. of διώκειν (be indicted = γράφεσθαι passive); be exiled = pass. of ἐκβάλλειν. So ἀποφεύγειν be acquitted = pass. of ἀπολθειν. Thus, ἀσεβείᾶς φεύγων ὑπὸ Μελήτου prosecuted for impiety by Meletus P. A. 35 d.

- **1754.** The passive of the periphrasis with  $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  (1722) is made with  $\gamma t \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$  so  $\epsilon t \rho \eta \nu \eta \gamma t \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$  peace is made.
- 1755. The agent of the passive is regularly expressed by  $i\pi \delta$  and the genitive; sometimes by  $i\pi \delta$ ,  $\delta \iota \delta$ ,  $\epsilon \kappa$ ,  $\pi a \rho \delta$ , with the genitive, or by  $i\pi \delta$  with the dative (in poetry). See 1678.
- 1756. The instrument of an action, when regarded as the agent, is personified, and may be expressed by ὑπό with the genitive: ἀλίσκεται ὑπὸ τριήρους he is captured by a trireme D. 53. 6.
- 1757. The dative, or a prepositional phrase, is regularly used with the passive to denote the instrument, means, or cause (1506). The agent may be viewed as the instrument: in prose, when persons are regarded as instruments, the dative is usually that of military accompaniment (1526).
- 1758. The dative of the agent used with the perfect passive and verbal adjective is a dative of interest (1488); on  $i\pi \delta$  with the genitive used instead of the dative, see 1493, 1494.

### THE MOODS

- 1759. Mood designates by the form of the verb the mode or manner (modus) in which the speaker conceives of an assertion concerning the subject.
- 1760. There are four moods proper in Greek: indicative, subjunctive, optative, and imperative. The infinitive (strictly a verbal noun) and the participle (strictly an adjective form of the verb) may be classed with the moods.

### THE PARTICLE $\mathring{a}\nu$

- 1761. The particle  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  (Hom.  $\kappa \epsilon_{\nu}$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon'$ ) limits the meaning of the moods. It has two distinct uses:
- a. In independent clauses: with the past tenses of the indicative and with the optative; also with the infinitive and participle representing the indicative or optative.
- b. In dependent clauses: with the subjunctive.

- 1762. No separate word can be used to translate  $d\nu$  by itself; its force varies as it modifies the meaning of the moods. In general  $d\nu$  limits the force of the verb to particular conditions or circumstances ('under the circumstances,' 'in that case,' 'then').
  - 1763. In Homer  $d\nu$  is preferred in negative,  $\kappa \ell \nu$ ,  $\kappa \ell$  in relative, sentences.
- 1764. Position of  $\check{\alpha}\nu$ .— $\check{\alpha}\nu$  does not begin a sentence or a clause, except after a weak mark of punctuation, as  $\tau l$   $o\check{v}\nu$ ,  $\check{\alpha}\nu$   $\tau \iota s$   $\epsilon l\check{\pi}o\iota$ ,  $\tau a\hat{v}\tau \alpha$   $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s$   $\hbar \mu \hat{v}\nu$   $\hat{v}\hat{v}\nu$ ; why then (some one might say) do you tell us this now ? D.1.14. In independent sentences with  $\check{a}\nu$  (indic. and opt.) the particle is often separated from its verb for emphasis, and is attached to negatives  $(o\iota k \check{\alpha}\nu)$ , interrogatives  $(\tau l s$   $\check{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\pi \hat{o}s$   $\check{\alpha}\nu$ ), or to any emphatic modifier. It is commonly attached to verbs of sayling or thinking:  $\sigma l\nu$   $\check{\nu}\mu\hat{v}\nu$   $\mu\hat{e}\nu$   $\check{\alpha}\nu$   $\check{o}l\mu a\iota$   $\epsilon l\nu a\iota$   $\tau l\mu os$  if I should remain with you, I think I should be esteemed X. A. 1.3. 6.
- a. So with οὐκ οἶδ' ἀν εἰ (or οὐκ ἀν οἶδα εἰ) followed by a verb to which ἀν belongs: οὐκ οἶδ' ἀν εἰ πείσαιμι I do not know whether I could persuade E. Med. 941 (for πείσαιμι ἀν).
- 1765. Repetition of  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .  $\tilde{a}\nu$  may be repeated once or twice in the same sentence.
- a.  $\Tilde{\omega}_{\nu}$  is placed early in a sentence which contains a subordinate clause, in order to direct attention to the character of the construction:  $\delta o \kappa o \hat{\nu} \mu e \nu$   $\delta' \Tilde{\omega}_{\nu} \nu \nu \nu \nu$   $\delta' \Tilde{\omega}_{\nu} \nu \nu \nu \nu$   $\delta' \Tilde{\omega}_{\nu} \nu \nu \nu$   $\delta' \Tilde{\omega}_{\nu}$
- b. For rhetorical emphasis  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is added to give prominence to particular words:  $\tau$  is  $\gamma \dot{a}\rho$   $\tau o i a \tilde{v}$  is  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{b}\kappa$  is added to give prominence to particular words:  $\tau$  is  $\gamma \dot{a}\rho$   $\tau o i a \tilde{v}$  is  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{b}\kappa$  in  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{b}\kappa$  in  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{b}\kappa$  in  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{b}\kappa$  in  $\dot{a}\nu$  o  $\dot{a}\nu$  o
- a. So with ως ἄν, ὥσπερ ἄν εἰ (2480): παρῆν ὁ Γαδάτᾶς δῶρα πολλὰ φέρων, ως ἄν (scil. φέροι τις) έξ οἴκου μεγάλου Gadatas came with many gifts, such as one might offer from large means X. C. 5. 4. 29, φοβούμενος ὥσπερ ᾶν εἰ παῖς fearing like a child (ὥσπερ ἄν ἐφοβεῖτο, εἰ παῖς ἦν) P. G. 479 a.
- b.  $\kappa \tilde{a} \nu$  el is often used for the simple  $\kappa a l$  el (2372) and without regard to the mood of the following verb; sometimes there is no verb in the apodosis to which the  $\tilde{a} \nu$  may be referred, as  $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$   $\tilde{\delta} \rho a \tau \tilde{\eta}$   $\tilde{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon l a$ ,  $\kappa \tilde{a} \nu$  el  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \psi$   $\delta \kappa \kappa \epsilon l$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma} \tau \dot{\psi}$   $\tilde{\delta} \nu \tau \iota$   $\tilde{\delta} \nu \tau \iota$   $\tilde{\delta} \tilde{\sigma} \lambda \nu \tau$  so  $\tilde{\delta} \lambda \nu \tau$  is implied).  $\kappa \tilde{a} \nu \tau$  el may be also so used that  $\tilde{a} \nu \tau$  belongs to the apodosis, while  $\kappa a l$ , though going with  $\epsilon l$  in translation (even  $i \dot{\eta}$ ), affects the whole conditional sentence. Thus,  $\nu \tilde{\nu} \nu \tau \delta \epsilon \tau$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \tau$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \delta \tau$   $\tilde{\epsilon$

- D. 21. 51 (here  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  goes with  $\pi o\iota \epsilon \hat{i}\nu$ , i.e.  $\pi o\iota o\iota \eta \ \tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ).  $\kappa \tilde{\alpha}\nu$  if only, followed by a limiting expression, may generally be regarded as  $\kappa \alpha i \ \tilde{\alpha}\nu \ (= \epsilon \hat{\alpha}\nu)$  with a subjunctive understood; as  $\hat{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\hat{\alpha}$   $\mu o\iota \ \pi\hat{\alpha}\rho\epsilon s \ \kappa \tilde{\alpha}\nu \ \sigma\mu \tilde{\iota}\kappa\rho\delta\nu \ \epsilon i\pi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  yet permit me to say but  $\alpha$  word  $(=\kappa \alpha i \ \epsilon \tilde{\alpha}\nu \ \pi\alpha\rho\hat{\eta}s)$  S. El. 1482.
- 1767. Omission of  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is sometimes omitted when it may be supplied from the preceding sentence or clause. So often with the second of two verbs that are connected or opposed:  $\tau l \, \ell \pi o l \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \, \delta \nu$ ;  $\tilde{\eta} \, \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o \nu \, \delta \tau \iota \, \delta \mu o \sigma \epsilon \, (\tilde{a}\nu)$ ; what would he have done? is it not clear that he would have taken an oath? D. 31. 9, obr  $\tilde{a}\nu \, \delta \nu \, \delta \tau \, \delta \kappa \, \delta \nu \,$

### DEPENDENT CLAUSES WITH av

- 1768. Subjunctive with  $\ddot{a}_{\nu}$ .—Conditional, relative, and temporal clauses requiring the subjunctive must have  $\ddot{a}_{\nu}$ , which is more closely attached to the conditional, relative, and temporal words than it is to the subjunctive.
- a. Hence the combinations  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  ( $\dot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{a}\nu$ ) on which cp. 2283;  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau a\nu$ ,  $\delta\pi \dot{\sigma}\tau a\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\eta}\nu$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{a}\nu$ ),  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\dot{\nu}$  from  $\dot{\epsilon}l$ ,  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}l$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}l$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}l$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ . When the particle does not thus coalesce, it is usually separated only by such words as  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\gamma\dot{a}\rho$ .
- b. The force of  $\tilde{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive cannot usually be expressed in English. For  $\tilde{a}\nu$  in final clauses with  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega s$ , and  $\ddot{o}\phi\rho a$ , see 2201. In Hom.  $\ddot{a}\nu$  ( $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ) is found in dependent clauses, 2334 c.

### THE MOODS IN SIMPLE SENTENCES

1769. §§ 1770–1849 treat of the use of the moods in independent sentences and principal clauses. The dependent construction of the moods was developed from their independent use. The use of the moods in subordinate clauses was not originally different from that in independent sentences and in the principal clauses of complex sentences. For the uses of the indicative, see also 1875–1958.

#### INDICATIVE WITHOUT av

- 1770. The indicative mood makes a simple, direct assertion of fact; or asks a question anticipating such an assertion:  $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$  he came, où  $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$  he did not come,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\mathring{\omega}\sigma\epsilon\tau a\iota$  he will come,  $\pi\acute{\omega}\tau\epsilon$   $\tau a\mathring{\omega}\tau a$   $\pi o\iota\acute{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ ; when will he do this?
- 1771. The indicative states particular or general suppositions, makes affirmative or negative assertions, which may or may not be absolutely true. Thus, in assumptions,  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\eta}\mu\alpha\rho\tau\dot{\epsilon}\tau\iota\dot{s}\,\ddot{a}\kappa\omega\nu$  ·  $\sigma\nu\gamma\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\eta\,\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{\iota}\,\tau\dot{\iota}\mu\omega\rho\dot{\epsilon}\dot{s}\,\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu\dot{\tau}\omega$  suppose some one involuntarily committed an offence; for him there is pardon rather than punish-

- ment D. 18. 274, and often after kal  $\delta \dot{\eta}$ , as kal  $\delta \dot{\eta}$   $\tau \epsilon \theta v \hat{a} \sigma \iota$  and suppose they are dead E. Med. 386.
- 1772. The indicative may be used to express a doubtful assertion about a present or past action (negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ob):  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$   $\ddot{\alpha}\rho a$ ...  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha}$   $K\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\iota\pi\eta\sigma s$   $\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\sigma}$   $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau'$   $\epsilon l\pi\dot{\omega}\nu$  but I suspect (i.e. perhaps) after all it was Ctesippus who said this P. Eu. 290 e,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau o\dot{\nu}\tau o$  où  $\kappa a\lambda\dot{\alpha}s$   $\dot{\omega}\mu o\lambda o\gamma\dot{\eta}\sigma a\mu\epsilon\nu$  but perhaps we did not do well in agreeing to this P. Men. 89 c. Such sentences are often regarded as questions with the effect of doubtful affirmation.
- 1774. Unfulfilled Obligation (Propriety, Possibility). With the imperfect indicative of impersonal expressions denoting obligation, propriety, necessity, or possibility, the action of a dependent infinitive is usually not realized. (Examples 1775–1776.)

Such expressions are έδει, χρῆν (or έχρῆν), προσῆκε, καιρὸς ἢν, ἄξιον ἢν, εἰκὸς ἢν, δ/καιον ἢν, αἰσχρὸν ἢν, έξῆν, καλῶς εἶχεν, verbals in -τόν or -τέον With ἢν, etc.

- a. For the use of these expressions (also with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) in the apodosis of unreal conditions, see 2313, 2315.
- 1775. Present. Thus, ἔδει σε ταῦτα ποιεῖν you ought to be doing this (but are not doing it), τούσδε μὴ ζῆν ἔδει these men ought not to be alive S. Ph. 418, τί σῖγᾶς; οὐκ ἐχρῆν σῖγᾶν why art thou silent? Thou shouldst not be silent E. Hipp. 297, εἰκὸς ἢν ὑμᾶς. . . μὴ μαλακῶς, ὤσπερ νῦν, ξυμμαχεῖν you should not be slack in your alliance, as you are at present T. 6. 78.
- 1776. Past.  $\check{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon$ ι σε ταθτα ποιῆσαι (or ποιεῖν) you ought to have done this (but did not do it),  $\check{\epsilon}\xi\tilde{\eta}\nu$  σοι  $\check{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ ῖν you might have gone (but did not go),  $\check{\epsilon}\nu\tilde{\eta}\nu$  αὐτῶ ταθτα ποιῆσαι he could have done this (almost equivalent to the potential indicative ταθτα  $\check{\epsilon}\pi$ οίησεν ἄν, 1784),  $\check{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon$ ι τὰ  $\check{\epsilon}\nu\acute{\epsilon}$ χυρα τότε  $\lambda$ αβεῖν I ought to have taken the pledges then X. A. 7. 6. 23, ἄξιον  $\check{\eta}\nu$  ἀκοθσαι it would have been worth hearing P. Eu. 304 d, μένειν  $\check{\epsilon}\xi\check{\eta}\nu$  he might have remained D. 3. 17.
- 1777. The Greek usage simply states the obligation (propriety, possibility) as a fact which existed in the past (and may continue to exist in the present). In English we usually express the non-fulfilment of the action.
- 1778. Present or past time is denoted when the present infinitive is used. When the reference is to present time, the action of the present infinitive is always denied. Past time is denoted when the acrist infinitive is used.
- 1779. The expressions in 1774 may also refer to simple past obligation (propriety, possibility) and have the ordinary force of past indicatives:  $\xi \delta \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \iota he had to remain$  (and did remain) D.19.124. The context determines the meaning; thus  $\tau \iota \tau \delta \nu \sigma \delta \mu \beta ov \delta \nu \epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ; (D.18.190) by itself might mean either what was it the duty of the statesman to do or what was it the duty of the statesman to have done?

1780. Unattainable Wish. — A wish, referring to the present or past, which cannot be realized, is expressed by a past tense of the indicative with  $\epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon$  or with  $\epsilon \ell \gamma \alpha \rho$  (negative  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ). The imperfect refers to present time, the agrist to past time (cp. 2304, 2305).

 $\epsilon$ tθ'  $\epsilon$ lχες βελτίους φρένας would that thou hadst (now) a better heart E. El. 1061,  $\epsilon$ tθε σοι τότε συνεγενόμην would that I had then been with thee X. M. 1.2.46.

- 1782. ἐβουλόμην followed by an infinitive may express an unattainable wish : ἐβουλόμην μὲν οὐκ ἐρίζειν ἐνθάδε I would that I were not contending here (as I am) Ar. Ran. 866. (ἐβουλόμην ἄν vellem, 1789.)
- 1783. The indicative is also used in other than simple sentences: in final sentences (2203); in object sentences after verbs of effort (2211), of caution (2220 a), of fearing (2231, 2233); in consecutive sentences with  $6\sigma\tau\epsilon$  so that (2274), in conditional sentences (2300, 2303, 2323, 2326); in temporal sentences (2395); in object sentences after  $6\tau\epsilon$  and  $6\tau\epsilon$  with a verb of saying, etc. (2577 ff.).

#### INDICATIVE WITH av

- 1784. Past Potential. The past tenses (usually the acrist, less commonly the imperfect) of the indicative with  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  ( $\kappa\acute{e}_{\nu}$ ) denote past potentiality, probability (cautious statement), or necessity:  $\mathring{\delta}$  οὖκ  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$   $\mathring{\phi}$ οντο which they could not have expected T. 7. 55, τίς γὰρ  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$   $\mathring{\psi}$ ήθη ταῦτα γενέσθαι; for who would have expected these things to happen? D. 9. 68 (note that  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  does not go with γενέσθαι by 1764), ἔγνω  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  τις one might (could, would) have known X. C. 7. 1. 38, ὑπό κεν ταλασίφρονά περ δέος εἶλεν fear might have seized even a man of stout heart  $\Delta$  421.
- a. This is especially frequent with  $\tau ls$  and with the ideal second person (cp. putares, crederes):  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega s$  as you would (could, might) have observed X.C.8.1.33.
  - b. The potential optative (1829) in Homer refers also to the past.
- 1785. A protasis may often be extracted from a participle, or is intimated in some other word; but there is no reference to any definite condition, hence a definite ellipsis is not to be supplied.
- 1786. Unreal Indicative. The indicative of the historical tenses with  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  (κέν) may denote unreality:  $\tau \acute{o}\tau \acute{e}$  δ' αὐτὸ τὸ πρᾶγμ' ἂν ἐκρίνετο ἐφ' αὐτοῦ but the case would then have been decided on its own merits D. 18. 224, καί κεν πολὺ κέρδιον ἢεν and in that case it were far better Γ 41.
- 1787. This use of the indicative with  $\alpha\nu$  to denote unreality is not inherent in the meaning of the past tenses of that mood, but has been developed from the

past potential with which the unreal indicative is closely connected. On the common use of this construction in the apodosis of unreal conditions see 2303. On ξδει ἄν, etc., see 2315.

- 1788. The imperfect refers to the present or the past, the agrist to the past (rarely to the present), the pluperfect to the present (less commonly to the past).
- 1789. ϵβουλόμην ἄν (vellem) I should like or should have liked may express an unattainable wish: ϵβουλόμην ἀν Σίμωνα τὴν αὐτὴν γνώμην ϵμοὶ ξχειν I should have liked Simon to be (or I wish Simon were) of the same mind as myself L. 3. 21. On ϵβουλόμην without ἄν, see 1782.
- 1790. Iterative Indicative (repeated action). The imperfect and aorist with  $\delta \nu$  are used to express repeated or customary past action (post-Homeric):  $\delta \iota \eta \rho \omega \tau \omega \nu$   $\delta \nu I$  used to ask P. A. 22 b,  $\delta \nu \nu$   $\delta \lambda \nu \nu$   $\delta \lambda \nu$
- 1791. This construction is connected with the past potential and denoted originally what could or would take place under certain past circumstances. Thus, ἀναλαμβάνων οὖν αὐτῶν τὰ ποιήματα . . . διηρώτων ἄν αὐτοὖς τὶ λέγοιεν accordingly, taking up their poems, I used to (would) ask them (as an opportunity presented itself) what they meant P. A. 22 b. In actual use, since the action of the verb did take place, this construction has become a statement of fact.
- 1792. In Herodotus this construction is used with the iterative forms: κλαίεσκε ἄν she kept weeping 3.119, οἱ δὲ ἄν Πέρσα: λάβεσκον τὰ πρόβατα the Persians were wont to seize the cattle 4.130.
- 1793. Homer and the early poets use  $\alpha\nu$  ( $\kappa\epsilon\nu$ ) with the future indicative with a conditional or limiting force:  $\kappa\alpha\ell$   $\kappa\epsilon$   $\tau\iota$ s  $\delta\delta$   $\epsilon\rho\epsilon\epsilon\iota$  and in such a case some one will (may) say thus  $\Delta$  176. This use is found also in conditional relative sentences (2565 b). In Attic  $\alpha\nu$  is found with the future in a few passages which are now generally emended. In P. A. 29 c there is an anacoluthon.
  - 1794.  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is not used with the present and perfect indicative.

## SUBJUNCTIVE WITHOUT av

- 1795. The chief uses of the independent subjunctive are the hortatory (1797), the prohibitive (1800), and the deliberative (1805).
- a. The name subjunctive is due to the belief of the ancient grammarians that the mood was always subordinate. Thus,  $\epsilon i\pi \omega$  shall I speak? (1805) was explained as due to the omission of a preceding  $\beta \omega i \lambda \epsilon_i$ , i.e. do you wish that I speak?
- 1796. The independent subjunctive refers to future time. It has three main uses: (1) the voluntative, expressing the will of the speaker. This is akin to the imperative. (2) The deliberative. This is possibly a form of the voluntative. (3) The anticipatory (or futural). This anticipates an action as an immediate future possibility. Whether the anticipatory is a form of the voluntative is uncertain (ep. ich will sehen, je veux voir, dialectal il veut pleuvoir).
- 1797. Hortatory Subjunctive.—The hortatory subjunctive (present or aorist) is used to express a request or a proposal (negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ).

- a. Usually in the first person plural: νῦν ἴωμεν καὶ ἀκούσωμεν τοῦ ἀνδρός let us go now and hear the man P. Prot. 314 b, μήπω ἐκεῖσε ἴωμεν let's not go there yet 311 a. ἄγε, φέρε (δή), in Hom. ἄγε (δή), sometimes precedes, as ἄγε σκοπῶμεν come, let us consider X.C. 5. 5.15. ἴθι (δή) rarely precedes.
- b. Less frequently in the first person singular, which is usually preceded (in affirmative sentences) by  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$  ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ), in Hom. by  $\delta \gamma \epsilon$  ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ):  $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$   $\delta \dot{\eta}$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\tau o \hat{v}$   $\psi \eta \phi l \sigma \mu \alpha \tau o s$   $\epsilon l \pi \omega$  let me now speak about the bill D. 19. 234.
- 1798. The first person singular in negative exhortations (rare and poetic) may convey a warning or a threat:  $\mu\eta \sigma\epsilon$ ,  $\gamma\epsilon\rho\rho\nu$ ,  $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\eta\sigma\iota\nu \pi\alpha\rho\lambda \nu\eta\nu\sigma l$   $\kappa\iota\chi\epsilon l\omega old$  man, let me not find thee by the hollow ships A 26. This use is often regarded as prohibitive (1800).
- 1799. The hortatory use of the subjunctive compensates for the absence of an imperative of the first person.
- 1800. Prohibitive Subjunctive.—The subjunctive (in the second and third persons of the aorist) is often used to express prohibitions (negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ).
- a. Usually in the second person:  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\bar{\nu}\mu\dot{\eta}\sigma\eta\tau\epsilon$  do not lose heart X. A. 5. 4.19. For the agrist subjunctive the present imperative may be employed (1840):  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\dot{\eta}\sigma\eta$ s (or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon$ )  $\tau\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau$  do not do this (not  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\eta}$ s).
- b. Less commonly in the third person, which usually represents the second:  $i\pi o \lambda \acute{a}\beta \eta$   $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$   $\mu \eta \delta \acute{\epsilon} \acute{l}s$  and let no one suppose T. 6. 84 (=  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $i\pi o \lambda \acute{a}\beta \eta \tau \epsilon$  do not suppose).
- c. The third person of the present subjunctive is rare:  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  tolvuv tis othtal (=  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  olómeba) let not any one think P. L. 861 E.
- N. où  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the subjunctive of the second person in the dramatic poets occasionally expresses a strong prohibition: où  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\lambda\eta\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\eta s$  don't talk nonsense Ar. Nub. 367.
- **1801.** Doubtful Assertion. The present subjunctive with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  may express a doubtful assertion, with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où a doubtful negation. The idea of apprehension or anxiety (real or assumed) is due to the situation. A touch of irony often marks this use, which is chiefly Platonic. With  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (of what may be true):  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  dyrockóterov  $\mathring{\eta}$  to dyrockéte elreîr I suspect it's rather bad form (lit. too rude) to tell the truth P. G. 462 e. With  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où (of what may not be true): dyrockéte elreîr link this may not be so P. Crat. 436 b,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  oùx  $\mathring{\eta}$  disarròr dretré virtue is perhaps not a thing to be taught P. Men. 94 e.
- 1802. In Hom.  $\mu\eta$  with the independent subjunctive is used to indicate fear and warning, or to suggest danger:  $\mu\eta \tau\iota \chi o\lambda \omega \sigma d\mu e \nu os \dot{\rho} \xi \eta \kappa a \kappa \partial \nu v \dot{\iota} as$  'A $\chi a\iota \hat{\omega} \nu may$  he not (as I fear he may) in his anger do aught to injure the sons of the Achaeans B 195. Usually with the aorist, rarely with the present subjunctive (o 19). The constructions of 1801, 1802 are used as object clauses after verbs of fearing (2221).
- **1803**. ὅπως μή is occasionally so used with the aorist subjunctive, and with an idea of command: ὅπως μἡ φήση τις may no one say (as I fear he may) X. S. 4. 8. See 1921.
  - 1804. From the use in 1801 is probably developed the construction of  $o\dot{v} \mu \dot{\eta}$

with the aorist (less often the present) subjunctive to denote an emphatic denial; as οὐ μἢ παύσωμαι φιλοσοφῶν I will not cease from searching for wisdom P. A. 29 d, οὐκέτι μὴ δύνηται βασιλεὺς ἡμᾶς καταλαβεῖν the king will no longer be able to overtake us X. A. 2. 2. 12.

- 1805. Deliberative Subjunctive. The deliberative subjunctive (present or agrist) is used in questions when the speaker asks what he is to do or say (negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ).
- a. Usually in the first person:  $\epsilon i\pi\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\hat{\eta}$   $\sigma i\gamma\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; shall we speak or keep silence? E. Ion 758,  $\tau i$   $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha}\sigma\omega$ ;  $\pi o i$   $\phi \dot{\nu}\gamma\omega$ ; what am I to do? whither shall I fly? E. Med. 1271,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\phi \hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; shall we not say? P. R. 554 b.
- b. The (rare) second person is used in repeating a question: A.  $\tau l$  σοι  $\pi \iota \theta \dot{\omega}$   $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ ; B.  $\delta$   $\tau \iota$   $\pi i \theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ; A. In what shall we take your advice? B. In what shall you take my advice? Ar. Av. 164.
- c. The third person is generally used to represent the first person; commonly with  $\tau$ is, as  $\tau \iota$   $\tau \iota$ s  $\epsilon \ell \nu a \iota$   $\tau \circ 0 \tau \circ \phi \hat{\eta}$ ; how shall anyone say this is so  $\ell$  (=  $\tau \iota \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ;) D. 19. 88.
- N. The subjunctive question does not refer to a future fact, but to what is, under the present circumstances, advantageous or proper to do or say.
- **1806.** βούλει, βούλεσθε (poet. θέλεις, θέλετε) do you wish often precede the subjunctive: βούλει σοι εἴπω; do you wish me to say to you? P. G. 521 d. This is a fusion of two distinct questions: βούλει do you wish? and εἴπω shall I say?
- **1807.** The deliberative subjunctive may be replaced by a periphrasis with  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  or  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$  and the infinitive, or by the verbal adjective in  $-\tau\epsilon\sigma\nu$   $\epsilon\sigma\tau\hat{\iota}$ . Thus,  $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\hat{\iota}s$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$   $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu\omega\mu\epsilon\nu$ ;  $\hat{\eta}$   $\tau\hat{\iota}$   $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\iota\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$ ; and shall we wait? or what must we do? S. Tr. 390,  $\tau\hat{\iota}$   $\pi\sigma\iota\eta\tau\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\nu$ ;  $(=\tau\hat{\iota}$   $\pi\sigma\iota\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ;) what are we to do? Ar. P. 922.
  - a. For the deliberative future see 1916.
- **1808.** Deliberation in the past may be expressed by  $\xi \delta \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu (\epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$ ,  $\xi \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$  with the infinitive, and by  $-\tau \dot{\epsilon} o \nu$  (verbal adj.)  $\hat{\eta} \nu$ .
- 1809. The Negative in Questions. The use of  $\mu\eta$  (not oi) in questions is due to the fact that the construction of 1805 is simply the interrogative form of the hortatory subjunctive:  $\phi\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$  let us say,  $\mu\eta$   $\phi\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$ ; are we not to say? Distinguish  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$   $\delta (\bar{a}\nu \phi \hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu \eta) \mu \eta \phi \hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\epsilon \bar{\nu}a\iota$ ; shall we say that it is force or that it is not? X. M. 1. 2. 45, from  $\phi\hat{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu \tau a\hat{\upsilon}\tau'$   $\delta \rho\theta\hat{\omega}s$   $\lambda \epsilon'\gamma\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$   $\eta$  of shall we say that this is well said or not? (of =  $\sigma \dot{\nu}\kappa$   $\delta \rho\theta\hat{\omega}s$   $\lambda \epsilon'\gamma\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ ) P. G. 514 c.
- 1810. Anticipatory Subjunctive (Homeric Subjunctive). In Homer the subjunctive is often closely akin to the future indicative, and refers by anticipation to a future event (negative où): où  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho \pi \omega$  rolous l'ou åvépas, où de l'oual for never yet saw I such men, nor shall I see them A 262, kal vú  $\tau$ 18 do elmon one will say  $\xi$  275. åv (kév) usually limits this subjunctive in Hom. (1813).
- a. This futural subjunctive is retained in Attic only in subordinate clauses (2327), and in  $\tau l$   $\pi d\theta \omega$  (1811)-
- **1811.** The subjunctive is used in  $\tau l$  πάθω; what will become of me; what am I to do? (lit. what shall I undergo?) as P. Eu. 302 d. So  $\tau l$  γένωμαι; quid me fiet? Thus,  $\ddot{\omega}$  μοι έγώ,  $\tau l$  πάθω;  $\tau l$  νύ μοι μήκιστα γένηται; ah, woe's me!

what is to become of me? what will happen unto me at the last?  $\epsilon$  465. The subjunctive here is not deliberative, but refers to a future event.

**1812.** The subjunctive without  $\delta \nu$  is also used in dependent clauses of purpose (2196), after verbs of *fearing* (2225), in the protasis of conditional (2327, 2339) and conditional relative sentences (2567 b).

### SUBJUNCTIVE WITH av

1813. The subjunctive with  $\delta\nu$  (more commonly  $\kappa\epsilon\nu$ ) is used in Homer in independent sentences and clauses (negative  $o\dot{o}$ ). Cp. 1810. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\delta\epsilon$   $\kappa'$   $\delta\gamma\omega$  Brīs $\gamma$ ida but in that case I will take Briseis A 184,  $o\dot{o}\kappa$   $\delta\nu$   $\tau$ 01  $\chi$ pals $\mu$ 9  $\beta$ 10s of no avail to thee shall be thu bow A 387.

## OPTATIVE WITHOUT av

- 1814. Optative of Wish. In independent sentences the optative without  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  is used to express a wish referring to the future (negative  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ):  $\tilde{a}$  πα $\hat{i}$ , γένοιο πατρὸς εὐτυχέστερος ah, boy, mayest thou prove more fortunate than thy sire S. Aj. 550. From this use is derived the name of the mood (Lat. opto wish).
- a. So even in relative sentences: ἐάν ποτε, δ μὴ γένοιτο, λάβωσι τὴν πόλιν if ever they capture the city, which Heaven forbid L. 31. 14.
- b. Under wishes are included execrations and protestations: ἐξολοίμην may I perish Ar. Ach. 324, καί σ' ἐπιδείξω, ἢ μὴ ζώην, δωροδοκήσαντα and I will prove that you took bribes, or may I not live Ar. Eq. 833.
- 1815. The optative of wish is often introduced by εἰ γάρ, εἴθε (Hom. αἰ γάρ, αἴθε), or by εἰ, ὡς (both poetical): εἰ γὰρ γένοιτο would that it might happen X. C. 6. 1. 38, ὡς ὅλοιτο may he perish S. El. 126. (ὡς is properly an exclamation: how.)
- **1816.** The optative introduced by  $\epsilon l \gamma d\rho$ , etc. is sometimes explained as a protasis with the conclusion omitted:  $\epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \phi l \lambda os \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \omega o h$ , if you would become our friend X. H. 4. 1. 38. Cp. 2352 e.
- **1817.** An unattainable wish, referring to the present, may be expressed by the present optative in Homer:  $\epsilon t\theta'$  ήβώοιμι would that I were young again H 157.
- **1818.** Unattainable wishes, when they refer to the future, may be expressed by the optative:  $\epsilon \ell \mu o \gamma \epsilon \nu o \phi \theta \delta \gamma \gamma o \delta \epsilon \nu \beta \rho a \chi \delta \sigma \iota would that I had a voice in my arms E. Hec. 836. Wishes represented as hopeless are expressed in the post-Homeric language by the past tenses of the indicative (1780) or by <math>\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$  (1781).
- **1819.** Hom, often uses the optative with a concessive or permissive force:  $\xi \pi \epsilon_{i} \tau_{i} = \delta \epsilon_{i} \kappa_{i} \tau_{i} \pi \delta \theta_{i} \mu_{i}$  after that I may (lit. may I) suffer come what will  $\Phi$  274.
- 1820. Imperative Optative. The optative may express a command or exhortation with a force nearly akin to the imperative: Χειρίσοφος ἡγοῖτο let Chirisophus lead X. A. 3. 2. 37.
  - 1821. Potential Optative. The potential optative, which in Attic regu-

- a. Usually in negative sentences or in questions expecting a negative answer (with οὐ): οὐ μὲν γάρ τι κακώτερον ἄλλο πάθοιμι for I could not (conceivably) suffer anything worse T 321, τεάν, Ζεῦ, δύνασιν τίς ἀνδρῶν ὑπερβασία κατάσχοι; thy power, oh Zeus, what trespass of man can check? S. Ant. 604.
- 1822. The optative after οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις (ὅπως, ὅποι) in the dramatists is probably potential: οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως λέξαιμι τὰ ψενδῆ καλά I could not call false tidings fair A. Ag. 620. ἄν is usually employed in this construction.
- 1823. The optative without  $\delta\nu$  ( $\kappa\epsilon\nu$ ) is also used elsewhere, as in purpose clauses (2196) and clauses of *fearing* (2225) after a secondary tense; in the apodosis of conditional sentences (2300 d, 2326 d, 2333), in relative sentences (2566, 2568); and as the representative of the indicative (2615) or subjunctive (2619) in indirect discourse after secondary tenses.

### OPTATIVE WITH av

1824. Potential Optative. — The potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  states a future possibility, propriety, or likelihood, as an opinion of the speaker; and may be translated by may, might, can (especially with a negative), must, would, should (rarely will, shall). So in Latin velim, videas, cognoscas, credas.

γνοίης δ' ἀν ὅτι τοῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει you may see that this is so X. C. 1. 6. 21, ἄπαντες ἀν ὁμολογήσειαν all would agree I. 11. 5, ἡδέως ἀν ἐροίμην I (would gladly ask) should like to ask D. 18. 64, οὐκ ἀν λάβοις thou canst not take S. Ph. 103, λέγοιμ' ἀν τάδε I will tell this A. Supp. 928. The second person singular is often indefinite (one), as γνοίης ἄν (cognoscas) = γνοίη τις ἄν.

- a. The potential optative ranges from possibility to fixed resolve. The aorist optative with  $\alpha\nu$  and a negative is very common.
- b. When stress is laid on the idea of possibility and power, necessity and obligation, Greek uses δύναμαι, δεῖ or  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$  with the infinitive (statement of fact).
- c. The potential optative with  $d\nu$  is also used in dependent sentences; in purpose clauses (2202 b), in object clauses after verbs of effort (2216) and verbs of fearing (2232), in causal clauses (2243), in result clauses (2278), in the apodosis of conditional (see 2356) and conditional relative sentences (2566). In indirect discourse the infinitive with  $d\nu$  or the participle with  $d\nu$  may represent the optative with  $d\nu$  (1845 ff.).
- 1825. Usually these optatives are not limited by any definite condition present to the mind, and it is unnecessary to supply any protasis in thought. In some cases a protasis is dormant in a word of the sentence (such as δικαίως, εἰκότως). Thus, in οὖς ἀχαρίστους εἶναι δικαίως ἀν ὑπολαμβάνοιτε whom you would justly consider to be ungrateful Aes. 3. 196, δικαίως may stand for εἰ δικαίως ὑπολαμβάνοιτε: if you should consider the matter justly. So οὖτε ἐσθίουσι πλείω ἢ

- δύνανται φέρειν: διαρραγεῖεν γὰρ ἄν κτλ. they neither eat more than they can bear, for otherwise (if they should eat more:  $\epsilon l$  έσθιοιεν πλείω) they would burst X. C. 8. 2. 21. The potential optative is also used as the main clause of less vivid conditions (2329) in which the protasis has the optative by assimilation to the mood of the apodosis.
- **1826.** The potential optative with  $\alpha \nu$  is used to soften the statement of an opinion or fact, or to express irony:  $\epsilon \tau e \rho \delta \nu \tau \iota \tau o \hat{\nu} \tau' \hat{\alpha} \nu \epsilon' \eta$  this is (would be) another matter D. 20.116,  $\nu o \sigma o \hat{\mu} \iota' \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ,  $\epsilon \iota' \nu \delta \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \tau o \hat{\nu} s \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o \hat{\nu} s \sigma \tau \nu \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu I$  must be mad, if it is madness to hate one's foes A. Pr. 978. So often with  $\iota' \sigma \omega s$  or  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$  perhaps.
- a. With a negative, the potential optative may have the force of a strong assertion: οὐ γὰρ ἄν ἀπέλθοιμ, ἀλλὰ κόψω τὴν θύρᾶν for I will not go away, but I will knock at the door Ar. Ach. 236.
- **1827.** βουλοίμην ἄν (velim) is often used as a softened optative of wish: βουλοίμην ἀν τοῦτο οὕτω γενέσθαι I could wish that this might be the result (οὕτω γένοιτο may it result thus) P. A. 19 a. For ἐβουλόμην ἄν see 1789.
- 1828. The present and a orist are used of what will be, or what will prove to be, true (future realization of a present fact):  $d\rho\epsilon\tau\eta$   $d\rho\alpha$ , is  $\epsilon\epsilon\iota\kappa\epsilon\nu$ , if  $\epsilon\iota\kappa\epsilon\nu$ , it seems, will (prove to) be a kind of health P. R. 444 d. The perfect is used of what will prove to be the case as regards a completed action:  $\pi \omega s \partial \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \partial \omega$ ; how can it have escaped my knowledge? X.S. 3. 6. Usually the perfect is here equivalent to the present.
- 1829. The present and a orist are rarely used of the past: (a) in Hom. of past possibility: καί νό κεν ἔνθ' ἀπόλοιτο and now he might have perished E 311 (Attic ἀπώλετο ἄν, 1784), ἀλλὰ τί κεν ῥέξαιμι; but what could I do? T 90. (b) in Hdt. of a mild assertion: ταῦτα μὲν καὶ φθόνφ ἀν εἴποιεν they may have said this out of envy 9. 71, εἴησαν δ' ἀν οῦτοι Κρῆτες these would prove to be (might be, must have been) Cretans 1. 2. Both uses are doubtful in Attic prose.
- **1836.** The potential optative with  $\delta\nu$  may be used, in a sense akin to that of the imperative, to express a command, exhortation, or request:  $\lambda\epsilon\gamma$ ois  $\delta\nu$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\delta\epsilon\dot{\eta}\sigma\iota\nu$  tell me (you may tell) your request P. Par. 126 a,  $\pi\rho$ oá $\gamma$ ois  $\delta\nu$  move on P. Phae. 229 b. This courteous formula is used even where a harsh command might be expected:  $\chi\omega\rho$ oîs  $\delta\nu$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\omega$   $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\tau\dot{\iota}\chi\epsilon\iota$  go within with all speed S. El. 1491.
- a. In  $\pi o \hat{i} \delta \hat{\eta} \hat{\tau}' \delta \nu \tau \rho \alpha \pi o i \mu \eta \nu$ ; whither pray shall I turn? Ar. Ran. 296 the use is akin to the deliberative subjunctive (1805) or deliberative future (1916).
- 1831. The potential optative with "a" is used in questions: τ ls οὐκ "a" ὁμολογήσειεν; who would not agree? (οὐδείs: scil. οὐκ "a" ὁμολογήσειε) X. M. 1. 1. 5. So even the optative of wish: τ l δ' ὅρκφ τ φδε μη 'μμένων "a" dois; but if thou dost not abide by thy oath what dost thou invoke upon thyself? E. Med. 754 (lit. mayest thou suffer what?).
- 1832.  $\pi \hat{\omega}s \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\tau is \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu$  with the potential optative may be used to express a wish (especially in the tragic poets):  $\pi \hat{\omega}s \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu \, \delta \lambda o i \mu \tilde{\alpha}\nu \, o h$ , would that I might die E. Med. 97,  $\tau is \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu \, \dot{\epsilon}\nu \, \tau \dot{\alpha}\chi \epsilon \iota \, \mu \dot{\delta}\lambda o \iota \, \mu o i \rho a \, o h$ , that some fate would speedily come A. Ag. 1448. Properly this usage is not a wish, but is simply a question how the wish may be fulfilled.
  - 1833. The potential optative with  $d\nu$  (especially with negatives) may ex-

change with the indicative: φημί και οὐκ ἄν ἀρνηθείην I assert and cannot deny D. 21. 191. It is often stronger, though more courteous, than the future indicative: οὐκ ἄν πέρᾶ φράσαιμι I will speak no more S. O. T. 343.

1834. The future optative with  $d\nu$  occurs only in a few suspected passages.

#### IMPERATIVE

- **1835.** The imperative is used in commands and prohibitions (negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ). All its tenses refer to the future.
- a. Under commands are included requests, entreaties, summons, prescriptions, exhortations, etc.
- b. For the tenses of the imperative, see 1840; for the infinitive used as an imperative, see 2013.

#### POSITIVE (COMMANDS)

- 1836. In exhortations ἄγε, φέρε, ἴθι (usually with δή, sometimes with νύν), often precede the imperative: ἄγε δὴ ἀκούσατε come listen X. Ap. 14, ἄγετε δειπνήσατε go now, take your supper X. H. 5. 1. 18, ἀλλ' ἴθι εἰπέ but come, say P. G. 489 e.
- 1837.  $\pi \hat{a}s$  is sometimes used with the second person in poetry:  $\tilde{a}\kappa o \nu \epsilon \pi \hat{a}s$  hear, every one Ar. Thesm. 372.
- **1838.** The third person may be used in questions: οὐκοῦν κείσθω ταῦτα; shall these points be established? P. L. 820 e. Cp. 1842 a.
- 1839. The imperative may be used in assumptions (hypothetical imperative), to make a concession, or to grant permission: ἐμοῦ γ᾽ ἔνεκ᾽ ἔστω let it be assumed as far as I am concerned D. 20. 14, οὕτως ἐχέτω ὡς σὸ λέγεις assume it to be as you say P. S. 201 c. So even as a protasis: δειξάτω, κὰγὼ στέρξω let him set it forth and I will be content D. 18. 112.

## NEGATIVE (PROHIBITIONS)

- 1840. Prohibitions are expressed by  $\mu\eta$  with the present or a rist subjunctive in the first person plural; by  $\mu\eta$  with the present imperative or the arrist subjunctive in the second and third person singular or plural (cp. 1800). The arrist imperative is rare in prohibitions.
- A. I Person. μη γράφωμεν (μη γράψωμεν): μη μαινώμεθα μηδ' αισχρως άπολώμεθα let us not act like madmen nor perish disgracefully X. A. 7. 1. 29.
- B. 2 Person. μὴ γράφε (μὴ γράφετε): μὴ θαύμαζε don't be astonished P. G. 482 a, μὴ θορυβεῖτε don't raise a disturbance P. A. 21 a, τὰ μὲν ποίει, τὰ δὲ μὴ ποίει do this and refrain from doing that P. Pr. 325 d, μὴ μέγα λέγε don't boast so P. Ph. 95 b. μὴ γράψης (μὴ γράψητε): μηδὲ θαυμάσης τόδε and do not wonder at this A. Ag. 879, μὴ θορυβήσητε don't raise a disturbance P. A. 20 e, μὴ δλλως ποίήσης don't do otherwise P. Lach. 201 b, μηδαμῶς ἄλλως ποίησης Ar. Av. 133.
- N. The type μὴ γράφης is never used. μὴ γράψον occurs rarely in poetry ( $\Delta$  410,  $\Sigma$  134.—  $\omega$  248, S. fr. 453 parodied in Ar. Thesm. 870).

- C. 3 Person. μη γραφέτω (μη γραφόντων): μηδεὶς διδασκέτω let no one tell me T. 1.86, μηδεὶς τοῦτ' ἀγνοείτω let no one be ignorant of this fact Aes. 3.6. μη γραψάτω (μη γραψάντων): μηδεὶς νομισάτω let no one think X. C. 7.5.73, μητ' ἀπογνώτω μηδὲν μήτε καταγνώτω let him neither acquit nor condemn in any way Aes. 3.60; and in five other passages giving the actual usage of the orators. In the third person the aorist imperative is much less common than the present imperative.
- N. The type  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\phi} \eta$  is used only when the third person represents the first person (1800 c).  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\psi} \eta$  is much more common than  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\gamma \rho a \dot{\psi} \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$  in the orators, e.g.  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} is$   $\theta a \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$  let no one be astonished D. 18. 199,  $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} is$  rould  $\sigma \eta$  let no one think T. 3. 13, D. 23. 1.
- D. The perfect imperative is rare in prohibitions ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\epsilon\phi\delta\beta\eta\sigma\theta\epsilon$  T 6.17) and is usually poetical. Cp. 698, 712.
- 1841. a.  $\mu\eta \gamma\rho\Delta\phi\epsilon$ , like don't write, is ambiguous and may mean, according to the situation, either cease writing or abstain from writing. Commonly  $\mu\eta \gamma\rho\Delta\phi\epsilon$  means do not go on writing, write no more, and is an order to stop an action already begun. In many cases, however,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the present imperative does not refer to the interruption of an action already begun, but to an action still in the more or less distant future against which the speaker urges resistance. Sometimes the reference to the future is directly or indirectly indicated by the context.
- b.  $\mu\eta \gamma\rho\delta\psi\eta$ s usually has the force of (I beg that) you will not write, (take care that you) don't write, and is commonly a complete prohibition against doing something not already begun. Sometimes, and especially in expressions of a colloquial character,  $\mu\eta$  with the aorist subjunctive marks the speaker's interruption, by anticipation, of a mental (less often of a physical) action that is being done by the person he addresses; as  $\mu\eta \theta a\nu\mu a\sigma\eta$ s (P. L. 804 b) in reply to an exclamation of surprise. Here the type  $\mu\eta \gamma\rho a\psi\eta$ s often expresses impatience.
- c. If μη γράφε elicits a reply, it is (άλλ') οὐ γράφω, while μη γράψης is answered by (άλλ') οὐ γράψω. Thus, μη μ' ἐκδίδασκε τοῖς φίλοις εἶναι κακήν. άλλ' οὐ διδάσκω do not teach me to be base to my friends. But I do not S. El. 395, εἰ οὖν ἔχεις ἐναργέστερον ἡμῖν ἐπιδεῖξαι ὡς διδακτόν ἐστιν ἡ ἀρετή, μὴ φθονήσης άλλ' ἐπίδειξον. άλλ' . . . οὐ φθονήσω now if you can show us more clearly that virtue is capable of being taught, don't refuse, but show us. Well, I will not refuse P. Pr. 320 c. So μὴ γράφε commonly answers γράφω, as θαυμάζω, ἢν δ' ἐγώ, καὶ αὐτός. ἀλλὰ μὴ θαύμαζ', ἔφη I myself am astonished, said I. Cease your astonishment, said she P. S. 205 b, cp. S. El. 395. So μὴ γράψης answers γράψω, as in Hdt. 3.140, Ar. Lys. 1036.
- d. μη γράφε and μη γράψης are often found in closely connected clauses, as μηδαμῶς θόμαινέ μοι, μηδέ μ ἐπιτρῖψης don't be angry with me at all, nor ruin me Ar. Nub. 1478, μήτ ὁκνεῖτε μήτ ἀφῆτ ἔπος κακόν do not shrink from me nor utter any harsh words S. O. C. 731. The second prohibition may be more specific than the first, as σιώπᾶ· μηδέν είπης νήπιον be silent, don't say anything childish Ar. Nub. 105. Less often μη γράψης is followed by μη γράφε, as μη βοηθήσατε τῷ πεπονθότι δεινά· μη εὐορκεῖτε (they will say) 'do not come to the aid of one who has suffered grievously; have no regard for your oath' D. 21. 211.
  - e. The difference between μη γράφε and μη γράψης is virtually a difference

of tenses, the present denoting an action continuing, in process; the aorist, an action concluded, summarized. So  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\phi o\beta o\hat{v}$  don't be fearful,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\phi o\beta \eta\hat{v}$  don't be frightened. In maxims  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the present imperative is preferred:  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\eta\tau\epsilon$  don't be a thief,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\psi\gamma s$  don't steal this or that.  $\mu\eta\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau\iota$  may be used in either construction. The distinction is often immaterial, often a difference of tone rather than of meaning; sometimes too subtle for dogmatic statement.

- **1842.** The imperative may be used in subordinate clauses:  $\kappa \rho \tilde{a} \tau \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon' s \epsilon l \sigma \iota \nu$ ...  $\tilde{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho \hat{a} \tau' \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \psi \circ \nu$  there are mixing-bowls, the brims of which thou must crown S.O.C. 473.
- a. Especially after of  $\sigma\theta a$  interrogative in dramatic poetry: of  $\sigma\theta'$  decayor; do you know what you are to do? E. Hec. 225, of  $\sigma'$  is  $\pi o i \eta \sigma o v$ ; do you know how I bid you act? S. O. T. 543. of  $\sigma'$  decay has become a partially fossilized expression, and can be used as subject or be governed by a verb: of  $\sigma\theta d$  vur ä mod  $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ ; do you know what I must have done for me? E. I. T. 1203.
- 1843. The use of the imperative is to be explained as equivalent to  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$  or  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  with the infinitive.
  - **1844.**  $\alpha\nu$  is not used with the imperative.

# INFINITIVE AND PARTICIPLE WITH av

- **1845.** The infinitive or participle with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  represents either a past tense of the indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  or the optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . The context determines whether the indicative or the optative is meant. The participle with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is post-Homeric.
- **1846.** The present infinitive or participle with  $\alpha\nu$  represents the imperfect indicative with  $\alpha\nu$  or the present optative with  $\alpha\nu$ .
- a. (inf.) ἀκούω Λακεδαιμονίους ἃν ἀναχωρεῖν ἐπ' οἴκου I hear the Lacedaemonians used to return home (= ἃν ἀνεχώρουν, 1790) D. 9. 48, οἴεσθε γὰρ τὸν πατέρα οὐκ ἂν φυλάττειν; for do you think my father would not have taken care? (= οὐκ ἂν ἐφύλαττεν, 1786) D. 49. 35; νομίζοντες ἂν τἶμῆς τυγχάνειν in the belief that they would obtain reward (= ἂν τυγχάνοιμεν) X. A. 1. 9. 29.
- b. (part.) ὅπερ ἔσχε μὴ κατὰ πόλεις αὐτὸν ἐπιπλέοντα τὴν Πελοπόννησον πορθεῖν, ἀδυνάτων ἃν ὅντων . . . ἀλλήλοις ἐπιβοηθεῖν which prevented him from sailing against the Peloponnese and laying it waste city by city when the Peloponnesians would have been unable to come to the rescue of one another (= ἀδύνατοι ἄν ῆσαν) Τ. 1.73, πόλλ' ἀν ἔχων ἔτερ' εἰπεῖν, παραλείπω though I might be able to say much else I pass it by (= ἃν ἔχοιμι, 1824) D. 18. 258, σοφίᾶ λεγομένη δικαιότατ' ἄν that might most justly be called wisdom P. Phil. 30 c (= ἡ σοφίᾶ λέγοιτο ἄν).
  - **1847.** The future infinitive and participle with  $\delta v$  are rare and suspected.
- **1848.** The agrist infinitive or participle with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  represents the agrist indicative with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  or the agrist optative with  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ .
- a. (inf.) Κῦρός γε, εἰ ἐβἶωσεν, ἄριστος ἀν δοκεῖ ἄρχων γενέσθαι it seems probable that Cyrus, if he had lived, would have proved himself a most excellent ruler (= ἀν ἐγένετο) Χ. Ο. 4. 18, ὥστε καὶ ἰδιώτην ἀν γνῶναι so that even a common man could have understood (= ἀν ἔγνω) Χ. Α. 6. 1. 31, τί ἀν οἰόμεθα παθεῖν; what do we think our fate would be? (= τὶ ἀν πάθοιμεν;) Χ. Α. 3. 1. 17.

- b. (part.) ὁρῶν τὸ παρατείχισμα ῥαδίως ἄν ληφθέν seeing that the counterwall could easily be captured (= ἄν ληφθείη) Τ. 7. 42, Ποτείδαιαν έλων καὶ δυνηθείς ἄν αὐτὸς ἔχειν, εἰ ἐβουλήθη, παρέδωκεν after he had seized Potidaea and would have been able to keep it himself, had he wished, he gave it up to them (= ἐδυνήθη ἄν) D. 23. 107, οὅτε ὅντα οὅτε ἄν γενόμενα λογοποιοῦσιν they fabricate stories which neither are, nor could be, true T. 6.38 (= ἃ οὅτε ἔστιν οὅτε ἄν γένοιτο).
- 1849. The perfect infinitive with ἄν represents the pluperfect indicative with ἄν or the perfect optative with ἄν: οἶδ΄ ὅτι (ἀν) φήσειεν πάντα ταθθ΄ ὑπὸ τῶν βαρβάρων ἀν ἡλωκέναι I know that he would say that all this would have been captured by the barbarians (= ἀν ἡλώκεσαν) D. 19. 312, ἡγεῖτο τοὺς ἀγνοοῦντας ἀνδραποδώδεις ἄν δικαίως κεκλῆσθαι he thought that those who did not know this might justly be deemed servile in nature (= κεκλημένοι ἀν εἷεν) X. M. 1. 1. 16.

For the infinitive and participle without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  see 1865 ff., 1872 ff., and under Infinitive and Participle.

#### THE TENSES

1850. By the tenses ('tense' from tempus) are denoted:

- 1. The time of an action: present, past, future.
- 2. The stage of an action: action continued or repeated (in process of development), action simply brought to pass (simple occurrence), action completed with a permanent result.
- a. The time of an action is either absolute or relative. Time that is absolutely present, past, or future is reckoned from the time of the speaker or writer. Time that is relatively present, past, or future in dependent clauses is reckoned from the time of some verb in the same sentence. In dependent clauses Greek has no special forms to denote the temporal relation of one action to another (antecedent, coincident, subsequent), but leaves the reader to infer whether one action happened before, at the same time as, or after another action. The acrist is thus often used where English has the pluperfect (1943). See 1888, 1944. Unless special reference is made to relative time, the expressions "kind of time," "time of an action," in this book are used of absolute time.
- b. In independent clauses only the tenses of the indicative denote absolute time; in dependent clauses they express relative time. The tenses of the subjunctive, optative, imperative, infinitive and participle do not refer to the differences in kind of time. Thus  $\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\epsilon\iota \nu$  and  $\gamma\rho\dot{a}\psi\epsilon\iota$  to write,  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\rho\dot{a}\phi\epsilon\iota \iota$  to finish writing, may be used of the present, the past, or the future according to the context. On the tenses of the optative, infinitive, and participle in indirect discourse see 1862, 1866, 1874. The future infinitive may be used, outside of indirect discourse, to lay stress on the idea of futurity (1865 d).
- c. Even in the indicative the actual time may be different from, that which would seem to be denoted by the tense employed. Thus the speaker or writer may imagine the past as present, and use the present in setting forth an event that happened before his time (1883); or may use the acrist or perfect of an event that has not yet occurred (1934, 1950).

- d. In the subjunctive, optative (except in indirect discourse), and imperative the kind of time is implied only by the mood-forms, not by the tenses. The relation of the time of one action to the time of another usually has to be inferred in all the moods.
- e. The stage of an action is expressed by all the tenses of all the different moods (including the participle and infinitive).
- f. The action of the verb of a subordinate clause may overlap with that of the verb of the main clause. See 2388.

## KIND OF TIME

- 1851. Only in the indicative do the tenses show time absolutely present, past, or future.
  - a. Present time is denoted by
- 1. The Present: γράφω I write, am writing.
- 2. The Perfect: γέγραφα I have written.
  - b. Past time is denoted by
- 1. The Imperfect: ἔγραφον I wrote, was writing.
- 2. The Aorist: ἔγραψα I wrote.
- 3. The Pluperfect: ἐγεγράφη I had written.
  - N. The only past tenses are the augmented tenses.
    - c. Future time is denoted by
- The Future: γράψω I shall write.
- The Future Perfect: γεγράψεται it will have been written, τεθνήξω I shall be dead (shall have died).

### STAGE OF ACTION

- 1852. Every form of the verb denotes the stage of the action.
- a. Continued action is denoted by the present stem:
- Present: γράφω I am writing, πείθω I am persuading (trying to persuade), ἀνθεῖ is in bloom.
- Imperfect: ἔγραφον I was writing, ἔπειθον I was persuading (trying to persuade), ἦνθει was in bloom.
- Future: γράψω I shall write (shall be writing), βασιλεύσει he will reign.
- N. Continued action is incomplete: hence nothing is stated as to the conclusion. Thus  $\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\epsilon\iota$  he flees does not state whether or not the subject succeeded in escaping.
- b. Completed action with permanent result is denoted by the perfect stem:
- Perfect: γέγραφα ἐπιστολήν I have written a letter (and it is now finished), ἤνθηκε has bloomed (and is in flower).
- 2. Pluperfect: ἐγεγράφη ἐπιστολήν I had written a letter (and it was then finished), ἡνθήκει had bloomed (and was in flower).

- Future Perfect: γεγράψεται it will have been written, τεθνήξει he will be dead.
- c. Action simply brought to pass (simple attainment) is denoted by the
- Aorist: ἔγραψα I wrote, ἔπεισα I persuaded (succeeded in persuading), ἐβασίλευσε he became king or he was king, ἤνθησε burst into flower or was in flower.
- 2. Future: γράψω I shall write, βασιλεύσει he will become king.
- N.—The agrist tense (ἀδριστος χρόνος from ὁρίζω define; unlimited, indefinite, or undefined time) is so named because it does not show the limitation (ὅρος) of continuance (expressed by the imperfect) or of completion with permanent result (expressed by the perfect).
- 1853. The present stem may denote the simple action of the verb in present time without regard to its continuance; as  $\theta av\mu a\zeta \omega$  I am seized with astonishment,  $\delta \sigma \tau \rho d\pi \tau \epsilon \iota$  it lightens (once or continually),  $\delta l\delta \omega \mu$  I make a present. This is called the arristic present. On inceptive verbs, see 526.
- **1854.** The future stem may denote either continued action (as in the present) or simple occurrence of the action of the verb (as in the acrist). Thus  $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\psi\omega$  I shall be writing or I shall write. See 1910 b.
- 1855. Some verbs are, by their meaning, restricted to the tenses of continued action, as  $\delta\rho\hat{a}\nu$  behold,  $\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\nu$  carry; others are exclusively acristic, as  $l\delta\epsilon\hat{a}\nu$  properly glance at,  $\epsilon\nu\epsilon\gamma\kappa\epsilon\hat{c}\nu$  bring. Verbs expressing different kinds of action in their several tenses (as  $\delta\rho\hat{a}\nu$ ,  $l\delta\epsilon\hat{c}\nu$ ) unite to form a verbal system.
- **1856.** The difference between the present stem (present and imperfect) and the aorist stem may be compared to the difference between a line and a point (both starting point and end). Thus, ἔρχεσθαι go, ἐλθεῖν come, arrive; φέρειν carry, ἐνεγκεῖν bring; ἄγειν accompany, lead, ἀγαγεῖν bring to a goal.
- 1857. For the 'progressive' tenses of English (is walking, has been giving, etc.) Greek has no exact equivalent. The periphrasis of the present participle with  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau l$ , etc. is employed to adjectivize the participle or to describe or characterize the subject like an adjective, i.e. the subject has a quality which it may display in action. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa \nu\tau\tau\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu$  we are acceptable T. 1. 38, kal  $\pi\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau'$  dradex  $\dot{\nu}$ -mevor kal  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is advor nocours or a tootow drampthmat'  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$  in and he takes upon himself and adopts all their misdeeds D. 19. 36.  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$  may be emphatic:  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$  modica diampourer there exists a twofold division P. L. 895 d. Some participles have become completely adjectivized:  $\sigma\nu\mu\phi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$  useful,  $\delta\iota a\phi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$  superior. Cp. 1961.
- 1858. Primary and Secondary Tenses. The primary tenses refer to present and future time (present, future, perfect, and future perfect), the secondary or historical tenses refer to past time (imperfect, aorist, pluperfect).
- a. The gnomic agrist (1931b) is regarded as a primary tense, as is the agrist when used for the perfect (1940), and the imperfect indicative referring to present time (1788); the historical present (1883), as a secondary tense. The subjunctive, optative, and imperative moods in their independent uses point to the future, and all their tenses therefore count as primary.

## THE TENSES OUTSIDE OF THE INDICATIVE

1859. The tenses of the moods except the indicative do not express time in independent sentences.

1860. Subjunctive. — The subjunctive mood as such refers to the future. The tenses do not refer to differences of time, and denote only the stage of the action (continuance, simple occurrence, completion with permanent result).

Present (continuance):  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$  αὐτῶν ἄμα ἐκποριζώμεθα let us at the same time keep developing our resources T. 1.82; Aorist (simple occurrence): πορισώμεθα οὖν πρῶτον τὴν δαπάνην let us procure the money first T. 1.83; Perfect (completion with permanent result): Για, ἢν μὴ ὑπακούωσι, τεθνήκωσιν that, in case they do not submit, they may be put to death (lit. may be dead at once) T. 8. 74. The aorist commonly replaces the more exact perfect because the perfect is rarely used.

- b. Present and agrist subjunctive are occasionally used in the same sentence without any great difference in sense (X. C. 1. 2. 6-7, 5. 5. 13).
- c. An independent or dependent subjunctive may be ingressive (1924): ἢν γὰρ ὁ Πλοῦτος νυνὶ βλέψη for if now Plutus recovers his sight Ar. Pl. 494.
- d. In general conditions (2336) the subjunctive refers to general time, denoting what holds true now and at all times.
- 1861. Optative (not in indirect discourse). The reference is always to future time. The tenses do not refer to differences of time, and denote only the stage of the action.

Present (continuance): πλούσιον δὲ νομίζοιμι τὸν σοφόν may I (always) count the wise man wealthy P. Phae. 279 b; Aorist (simple occurrence): εἰ γὰρ γένοιτο would that it might happen X.C. 6.1.38; Perfect (completion with permanent result): τεθναίης die (lit. may you be dead) Z 164.

- a. In general conditions (2336) the optative is used of past time.
- b. In dependent constructions (including general conditions) the action of the present is generally coincident (rarely anterior), that of the aorist generally anterior (rarely coincident), to the action of the leading verb: εἴ τις τάδε παραβαίνοι, ἐναγὴς ἔστω τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος if any one violates this, let him be accurst of

- Apollo Aes. 3. 110, ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀνοιχθείη (τὸ δεσμωτήριον), εἰσῆμεν παρὰ τὸν Σωκράτη whenever the prison was opened, we (always) went in to Socrates P. Ph. 59 d. The aorist is often preferred to the more exact perfect because the perfect was rarely used.
- c. An independent or dependent optative may be ingressive (1924): εἰ πολεμήσαιμεν δὶ Ἰρρωπόν, οὐδὲν ἂν ἡμᾶς παθεῖν ἡγοῦμαι if we should enter upon a war on account of Oropus, I think we should suffer nothing D. 5. 16.
- 1862. Optative (in indirect discourse). When the optative in indirect discourse represents the indicative after a past tense of a verb of saying or thinking, each tense does denote time (as well as stage of action) relatively to that of the leading verb.
- a. The present optative represents the imperfect as well as the present indicative.
- b. The future optative (first in Pindar) occurs only in indirect discourse after verbs of saying and thinking, in object clauses after  $6\pi\omega s$ , 2212, and in other indirect expressions of thought.
- c. When the optative in indirect discourse represents the subjunctive (2619 b), its tenses denote only stage of action.
- **1863**. a. Present opt. = present indic. : ἀνηρώτ $\bar{a}$  τl βούλοιντο he demanded what they wanted (= τl βούλεσθε;) X. A. 2. 3. 4.
- b. Present opt. = imperf. indic. : διηγοῦντο ὅτι ἐπὶ τοὺς πολεμίους πλέοιεν they explained that they kept sailing against the enemy (= ἐπλέομεν) X. H. 1. 7. 5.
- c. Future opt. = future indic.:  $\ddot{\sigma}$   $\tau \iota$   $\pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma o \iota$   $\dot{\sigma} \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau o \iota \dot{\tau} \sigma o \iota$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{l} \pi \dot{\epsilon}$  he did not tell even these what he would do  $(=\pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega)$  X. A. 2. 2. 2.
- d. A crist opt. = a crist indic.:  $\eta \rho \omega \tau \bar{a} \tau l \pi d\theta o \iota \epsilon \nu$  he asked what had happened to them (=  $\tau l \epsilon \pi d\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ;) X. C. 2. 3. 19.
- e. Perfect opt. = perfect indic. : ἔλεγον ὅτι οἱ μετὰ Δημοσθένους παραδεδώκοιεν σφᾶς αὐτούς they said that the troops of Demosthenes had surrendered (= παραδεδώκᾶσι) T. 7. 83.
- 1864. Imperative. The imperative always implies future time. The tenses do not refer to differences of time, and denote only the stage of the action.
- a. Present (continuance): τοὺς γονεῖς τἰμᾶ honour thy parents I. 1.16, πάντα τάληθη λέγε tell (go on and tell in detail) the whole truth L. 1. 18, τοὺς ἴππους ἐκείνοις δίδοτε offer the horses to them X. C. 4. 5. 47.
- b. A orist (simple occurrence):  $\beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \psi \rho \nu \pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho \eta$  look (cast a glance) toward the mountains X. A. 4. 1. 20,  $\epsilon l \pi \dot{\epsilon}$  state (in a word) P. A. 24 d,  $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \dot{\sigma} \dot{\nu} s$  let  $\delta \dot{\sigma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon}$  give the horses to us X. C. 4. 5. 47.
- c. Perfect (completion with permanent result):  $\tau\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}\chi\theta\omega$  let him take his place (and stay there) P. R. 562 a,  $\epsilon i\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\omega$  let it have been said (once for all) 503 b.
- N.— The perfect active and middle are generally used as presents ( $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu\dot{a}\tau\omega$  let him be put to death P. L. 938 c,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}$  remember D. 40. 30). The perfect passive (in the third person) is used of a fixed decision concerning what is to be done or has been done.

- 1865. Infinitive (not in indirect discourse). The tenses of the infinitive (without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) not in indirect discourse have no time of themselves and express only the stage of the action; their (relative) time depends on the context and is that of the leading verb (present, past, or future). The infinitive may have the article (2025 ff.).
- a. Present (continuance): οὐδὲ βουλεύεσθαι ἔτι ὥρᾶ, ἀλλὰ βεβουλεῦσθαι it is time no longer to be making up one's mind, but to have it made up P. Cr. 46 a.
- b. Aorist (simple occurrence): τοῦ πιεῖν ἐπιθῦμιᾶ the desire of obtaining drink T. 7. 84, ἤρξατο γενέσθαι began to be 1. 103, but ἤρχετο γίγνεσθαι 3. 18 (the tense of γίγνομαι depends on that of ἄρχομαι; ποτ ἤρξατο γίγνεσθαι), δεῖ τοὺς ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ λέγοντας μᾶσῆσαι (ingressive) one must conceive an aversion for those who speak in his behalf D. 9. 53.
- c. Perfect (completion with permanent result): see a. Often of certainty of action.
- d. Future. When the context shows that stress is laid on the idea of futurity, the future infinitive, referring to future time relative to the main verb, is sometimes used instead of the present or a crist: où  $\kappa$  à  $\pi \kappa \kappa \omega \lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \nu$  δυνατοὶ δυτες not being able to prevent T. 3. 28,  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \circ \tilde{\iota}$  δέω κατ' έμαυτοῦ έρεῖν I am far from intending to speak to my own disadvantage P. A. 37 b. On the future infinitive with  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  see 1959.
- N. 1. The action set forth by a dependent present or a rist infinitive (without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) not in indirect discourse has no time except that which is implied by the context. With verbs signifying to advise or to command, and when the infinitive expresses purpose, the reference is to future time. Usually the action of the present and a orist is coincident with or antecedent to that of the main verb. The action of an aorist infinitive with the article and a subject is not always relatively past. The perfect (without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) has no time apart from the context; its action is usually antecedent.
- N. 2. On the use of the present and agrist with verbs of promising, etc., see 1868; with  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ , see 1959.
- N. 3. Observe that verbs denoting continuance (as  $\mu\ell\nu\omega$  remain) often appear in the aorist, while verbs of transitory action (as  $i\ell\nu\omega\iota$  send, hurl) often appear in the present.
- N. 4.—Present and a orist occasionally occur in close conjunction without any great difference in meaning, as προσήκει ὑμῶν τούτου καταψηφίζεσθαι . . ., δεί ὑμῶς θάνατον αὐτοῦ καταψηφίσασθαι it is fitting that you vote against him, it is necessary that you pass a vote of death against him L. 13.69; cp. ναυμαχῆσαι and ναυμαχεῖν Τ. 2.83, βασανιστὴς γίγνεσθαι and γενέσθαι Ant. 1.10, 1.11.
- 1866. Infinitive (in indirect discourse). The tenses of the infinitive in indirect discourse denote the same time relative to that of the leading verb (present, past, or future) as was denoted by the corresponding tenses of the indicative in direct discourse which they represent.
- a. The present infinitive represents also the imperfect, the perfect infinitive represents also the pluperfect indicative.

- b. The action of the present is usually coincident, that of the acrist anterior, to the action of the leading verb.
- c. The future infinitive is found chiefly in indirect discourse and in analogous constructions. With  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ , see 1959. It may have the article (2026).
- **1867.** a. Present = pres. indic.:  $\phi\eta\mu\lambda$   $\tau\alpha\hat{v}\tau\alpha$   $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$   $\phi\lambda\nu\bar{a}\rho\hat{\iota}\bar{a}s$   $\epsilon\hat{i}\nu\alpha\iota$  I say this is nonsense (=  $\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ ) X. A. 1. 3. 18.
- b. Present = imperf. indic:  $K\tau\eta\sigma l\tilde{a}s$   $l\tilde{a}\sigma\theta a\iota$  act ds  $\tau$ d  $\tau\rho a\tilde{v}\mu$ d  $\phi\eta\sigma\iota$  Ktesias asserts that he himself cured the wound  $(=i\omega\mu\eta\nu)$  X. A. 1. 8. 26. With  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , 1846 a.
- d. Aorist = aor. indic.: ἐνταῦθα λέγεται ᾿Απόλλων ἐκδεῖραι Μαρσύᾶν there Apollo is said to have flayed Marsyas (= ἐξέδειρε) Χ. Α. 1. 2. 8. With ἄν, 1848 a.
- e. Perfect = perf. ind. :  $\phi\eta\sigma$ ὶ ἐγκώμιον γεγραφέναι he says that he has written an encomium (= γέγραφα) I. 10. 14, ἔφασαν τεθνάναι τὸν ἄνδρα they said the man was dead (= τέθνηκε) Ant. 5. 29.
- f. Perfect = pluperf. ind.: λέγεται ἄνδρα τινὰ ἐκπεπλήχθαι it is said that a certain man had been fascinated (= ἐξεπέπληκτο) Χ. C. 1. 4. 27. With ἄν, 1849.
- 1868. The construction of verbs of hoping, etc. —Verbs signifying to hope, expect, promise, threaten, swear, with some others of like meaning, when they refer to a future event, take either the future infinitive (in indirect discourse), or the aorist, less often the present, infinitive (not in indirect discourse). The use of the aorist and present is due to the analogy of verbs of will or desire (1991) which take an object infinitive not in indirect discourse. The same analogy accounts for the use of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  instead of of (2725). The present or aorist infinitive with  $\delta\nu$ , representing the potential optative with  $\delta\nu$ , occurs occasionally.
- a. ἐν ἐλπίδι ῶν τὰ τείχη τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων αἰρήσειν hoping that he would capture the walls of the Athenians T. 7.46, ἐλπὶς . . . ἐκτραφήναι hope of being brought up L. 19.8, ἐλπίζει δυνατὸς εἶναι άρχειν he expects to be able to rule P. R. 573 c, ἔχεις τινὰ ἐλπίδα μὴ ἄν . . . τὴν ναῦν ἀπολέσαι; have you any expectation that you would not shipwreck the vessel ? X. M. 2.6.38. ἐλπίζω with the present infinitive may mean I feel sure that I am.
- b.  $\tau \acute{a}\chi$ 1 sta o'd éva eikòs sù v aŭ  $\tau \acute{\varphi}$  bounhoes bai el vai it is probable that very soon no one will wish to be with him X. C. 5. 3. 30, has eikòs etikoat  $\acute{e}$ 1 ker  $\acute{e}$ 2 that we shall succeed T. 1. 121, our eikòs aŭ toùs  $\acute{e}$ 2 per ew exer it is not likely that they will continue to have ships to spare 3. 13. With eikòs the acrist is preferred.
- c. ὑπέσχετο ταῦτα ποιήσειν he promised that he would do this L. 12. 14, ὑπέσχετο βουλεύσασθαι (most Mss.) he promised to deliberate X. A. 2. 3. 20. The aorist infinitive is especially common with verbs of promising and must refer to the future. With the present infinitive ὑπισχνοῦμαι means I assure, profess, pledge my word that I am.
- d. ἀπείλει ἐκτρίψειν he threatened that he would destroy them Hdt. 6.37, ἡπείλησαν ἀποκτείναι ἄπαντας they threatened to kill everybody X. H. 5.4.7.
- e. δικάσειν όμωμόκατε you have sworn that you will give judgment D. 39. 40, ἀναγκάζει τον Κερσοβλέπτην όμόσαι . . . εἶναι μὲν τὴν ἀρχὴν κοινὴν . . . , πάντας δ' ὑμῖν ἀποδοῦναι τὴν χώρᾶν he compelled Cersobleptes to swear that the kingdom

should be in common and that they should all restore to you the territory D. 23.

- f. With  $\delta\mu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu$  a dependent infinitive may refer to the present, past, or future (e). Thus,  $\delta\mu\nu\ell\nu\tau$ es  $\beta\lambda\epsilon'\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$ ...  $^{2}A\chi\iota\lambda\lambda\epsilon\bar{a}$   $\pi\dot{a}\lambda\iota\nu$  swearing that they see Achilles again S.Ph. 357,  $\delta\mu\nu\ell\upsilon\iota\nu$   $\iota\mu\eta$   $^{2}\kappa\pi\iota\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$  they swear they did not drink Pherecrates 143 (Com. fr. I. 187),  $\delta\mu\nu\upsilon\epsilon$   $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\nu$   $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu$  he swore that he had said nothing (direct =  $0\dot{\delta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\rho\eta\kappa\dot{a}$ ) D. 21. 119.
- 1869. Verbs of will or desire (1991) regularly take the present or a orist infinitive not in indirect discourse; but in some cases we find the future infinitive by assimilation to indirect discourse through the analogy of verbs of promising, etc. (1868). So with βούλομαι, ἐθέλω wish, λέγω meaning command, δέομαι ask, ἐφίεμαι desire and some others (even δύναμαι am/able) that have a future action as their object. Thus, ἐφίξμενοι ἄρξειν being desirous to gain control T.6.6, ἀδύνατοι ἐπιμελεῖς ἔσεσθαι unable to be careful X. O. 12. 12. διανοοῦμαι may follow the analogy of μέλλω (1959): τὸν πόλεμον διενοοῦντο προθύμως οἴσειν they intended to carry on the war with zeal T. 4. 121. In these and similar cases the future is employed to stress the future character of the action. Some editors would emend many of these futures.
- **1870.** Verbs signifying to foretell by oracle usually take the present or a rist infinitive like verbs signifying to command.
- 1871. A few cases stand in our texts of an aorist infinitive referring to the future after a verb of saying or thinking, e.g. ἐνόμισαν ῥαδίως κρατῆσαι they thought they would easily master them T.2.3. Many editors change to the future or insert ἄν.
- 1872. Participle (not in indirect discourse). The participle, as a verbal adjective, is timeless. The tenses of the participle express only continuance, simple occurrence, and completion with permanent result. Whether the action expressed by the participle is antecedent, coincident, or subsequent to that of the leading verb (in any tense) depends on the context. The future participle has a temporal force only because its voluntative force points to the future.
- a. Present (continuative). The action set forth by the present participle is generally coincident (rarely antecedent or subsequent) to that of the leading verb: ἐργαζόμεναι μὲν ἡριστων, ἐργασάμεναι δὲ ἐδείπνουν the women took their noonday meal while they continued their work, but took their supper when they had stopped work X. M. 2.7. 12.
- . 1. Antecedent action (= imperf.): of Kópeioi πρόσθεν σὖν ἡμῖν ταττόμενοι νῦν ἀφεστήκᾶσιν the forces of Cyrus that were formerly marshalled with us have now deserted X. A. 3. 2. 17, τοὺς τότε παρόντας αἰτιάτονται συμβούλους they will accuse those who were their counsellors at that time P. G. 519 a, of Koρlνθιοι μέχρι τούτου προθύμως πράσσοντες ἀνείσαν τῆς φιλονεικίᾶς the Corinthians, who up to that time had been acting zealously, now slackened in their vehemence T. 5. 32. An adverb (πρότερον, πρόσθεν, τότε, ποτέ) often accompanies the participle, which is sometimes called the participle of the imperfect.
- 2. Subsequent action (especially when the leading verb denotes motion): ἔπεμψαν πρέσβεις ἀγγέλλοντας τὴν τοῦ Πλημυρίου λῆψιν they despatched messengers

to announce the capture of Plemyrium T. 7. 25. An attributive present part. w.  $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  may refer to the absolute present, though the main verb is past:  $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$  Boiwtiār kaloviéry $\nu \hat{\varphi} \kappa \eta \sigma a \nu$  they settled in the country now called Boeotia T. 1. 12.

- 3. The present participle denotes that an action is in process, is attempted, or is repeated.
- b. Future (chiefly voluntative): où συνήλθομεν ώς βασιλεῖ πολεμήσοντες we have not come together for the purpose of waging war with the king X.A.2.3.21.
- c. Aorist (simple occurrence). The action set forth by the aorist participle is generally antecedent to that of the leading verb; but it is sometimes coincident or nearly so, when it defines, or is identical with, that of the leading verb, and the subordinate action is only a modification of the main action.
- 2. Coincident: μη τι εξαμάρτητε εμοῦ καταψηφισάμενοι do not commit the error of condemning me P. A. 30 d, <math>εῦ γ' εποίησας ἀναμνήσᾶς με you did well in reminding me P. Ph. 60 c (= ἀνέμνησάς με εξ ποιῶν). So also when an aorist participle is used with a future finite verb, as ἀπαλλαχθήσομαι βίου θανοῦσα by dying I shall be delivered from life E. Hipp. 356. See also 2103.
- 3. The action of an attributive agrist participle is rarely subsequent to that of the leading verb. When this is the case, the action of the participle is marked as past from the point of view of the present (like the agr. indic.): of "Ellhypes "stepov klybértes oudér pod tar Trojan váftat the people later called Hellenes carried out no joint enterprise prior to the Trojan war T. 1.3, Zátupos kal Kréhwy, of tûr trakkorta γενόμενοι, Κλεοφώντος κατηγόρουν Satyrus and Chremon, who (afterwards) became members of the Thirty, accused Cleophon L. 30. 12; cp. γενόμενος T. 2. 49, 4. 81.
  - 4. The agrist participle is often ingressive or complexive (1924, 1927).
- d. Perfect (completion with permanent result): καταλαμβάνουσι Βρᾶσίδᾶν ἐπεληλυθότα they found (historical present) that Brasidas had arrived T. 3.69. A perfect participle may have the force of a pluperfect if accompanied by an adverb like πρόσθεν (cp. 1872 a. 1): ὁ πρόσθε κεκτημένος he who possessed it before S. Ph. 778.
- 1873. Construction of λανθάνω, φθάνω, τυγχάνω. A supplementary aorist participle with any tense, except the present or imperfect, of  $\lambda aνθάνω$  escape the notice of, φθάνω anticipate, τυγχάνω happen usually coincides in time with the leading verb: ἔλαθον ἐμαυτὸν οὐδὲν εἰπών I was unconsciously talking nonsense P. Ph. 76 d, λήσομεν ἐπιπεσόντες we shall fall on them unawares X. A. 7. 3. 43. But the action of an aorist participle with the present or imperfect is generally prior to that of the leading verb: ὅστις ἀντειπών γε ἐτύγχανε who chanced to have spoken in opposition L. 12. 27. See 2096.
- 1874. Participle (in indirect discourse). The tenses of the participle in indirect discourse after verbs of intellectual perception

denote the same time relative to that of the leading verb (present, past, or future) as was denoted by the corresponding tenses of the indicative in direct discourse which they represent. See 2106, 2112 b.

- a. Present = pres. indic.: the action is generally coincident: ἐπειδὰν γνῶσιν ἀπιστούμενοι when they find out that they are distrusted (= ὅτι ἀπιστούμεθα) Χ. С. 7. 2. 17; rarely antecedent (when the present = the imperf. ind.): οἶδά σε λέγοντα ἀεί I know that you always used to say (= ὅτι ἔλεγεs) 1. 6. 6.
- **b.** Future = fut. indic. : ἀγνοεῖ τὸν πόλεμον δεῦρ' ἤξοντα he is ignorant that the war will come here (= ὅτι ὁ πόλεμος ἤξει) D. 1. 15.
- c. Aorist = aor. indic. :  $\tau \delta \nu M \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \nu \ell \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \ell \pi \ell \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \Pi \epsilon \lambda \delta \pi \delta \nu \nu \eta \sigma \delta \nu \ell \delta \ell \delta \nu \tau \alpha$  we know that the Mede came against the Peloponnese (=  $\ddot{\sigma} \tau_{\ell} \delta M \hat{\eta} \delta \delta \delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ) T. 1. 69.
- d. Perfect = perf. indic.: οὐ γὰρ ἥδεσαν αὐτὸν τεθνηκότα for they did not know that he was dead (= ὅτι τέθνηκε) X. A. 1. 10. 16. The perfect may also represent the pluperfect (cp. 1872 d).

### TENSES OF THE INDICATIVE

### PRESENT INDICATIVE

- 1875. The present represents a present state, or an action going on at the present time:  $\partial \lambda_{\eta} \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \hat{\epsilon}_{\gamma} \omega I$  am telling the truth L. 13. 72.
  - a. On the present without any idea of duration, see 1853.
- 1876. Present of Customary Action. The present is used to express a customary or repeated action: οὖτος μὲν γὰρ ὕδωρ, ἐγὰ δ' οἶνον πένω for this man drinks water, whereas I drink wine D. 19. 46.
- 1877. Present of General Truth. The present is used to express an action that is true for all time:  $\check{a}\gamma\epsilon\iota$   $\delta\grave{\epsilon}$   $\pi\rho\grave{\delta}s$   $\phi\hat{\omega}s$   $\tau\grave{\eta}\nu$   $\grave{a}\lambda\acute{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota a\nu$   $\chi\rho\acute{\delta}\nu os$  time brings the truth to light Men. Sent. 11.
- a. The present is an absolute tense in such sentences. The future, aorist, and perfect may also express a general truth.
- 1878. Conative Present. The present may express an action begun, attempted, or intended.
- την δόξαν ταύτην πείθουσιν υμας ἀποβαλεῖν they are trying to persuade you to throw away this renown I. 6. 12, δίδωμι σοι αὐτην ταύτην γυναῖκα I offer you this woman herself as a wife X. C. 8. 5. 19, προδίδοτον την Ελλάδα they are trying to betray Greece Ar. P. 408.
  - a. This use is found also in the infinitive and participle: Φιλίππου ἐπὶ Βυζάντιον παριόντος when Philip is preparing to advance against Byzantium D. 8. 66.
  - b. The idea of attempt or intention is an inference from the context and lies in the present only so far as the present does not denote completion.
  - 1879. Present for the Future (Present of Anticipation). The present is used instead of the future in statements of what is immediate, likely, certain, or threatening.

μεταξύ τὸν λόγον καταλύομεν; shall we break off in the middle? P. G. 505 c,

καl εἰ βούλει, παραχωρῶ σοι τοῦ βήματος, ἔως ἀν εἴπης and if you wish, I will yield you the floor until you tell us Acs. 3. 165, ἀπόλλυμαι I am on the verge of ruin Ant. 5. 35 (so ἀπώλλυτο 5. 37 of past time), εἰ αὕτη ἡ πόλις ληφθήσεται, ἔχεται καὶ ἡ πᾶσα Σικελία if this city is taken, the whole of Sicily as well is in their power T. 6. 91.

- a. Sometimes in questions to indicate that the decision must be made on the spot:  $\eta \pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ; or how shall we say? (what must we say?) P.G. 480 b.
- **1880.**  $\epsilon l \mu i$  is regularly future (I shall go) in the indicative present. In the subjunctive it is always future; in the optative, infinitive, and participle it may be either future or present. Cp. 774. In  $l \dot{\omega} \nu \tau a \hat{v} \tau a \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon go$  and say this (X. C. 4. 5. 17)  $l \dot{\omega} \nu$  is used of time relatively past. In Hom.  $\epsilon l \mu$  means both I go and I shall go.
- 1881. ἔρχομαι, πορεύομαι, νέομαι (poet.) may be used in a future sense. χέω means either I pour or I shall pour. ἔδομαι I shall eat, πίομαι I shall drink, are present in form. Cp. 541.
- 1882. Oracular Present. In prophecies a future event may be regarded as present: χρόνφ ἀγρεῖ Πριάμου πόλιν ἄδε κέλευθος in time this expedition will capture Priam's city A. Ag. 126.
- 1883. Historical Present. In lively or dramatic narration the present may be used to represent a past action as going on at the moment of speaking or writing. This use does not occur in Homer.
- δ δὲ Θεμιστοκλῆς φεύγει ἐς Κέρκῦραν...διακομίζεται ἐς τὴν ἤπειρον Themistocles fled (flees) to Corcyra...was (is) transported to the mainland T.1.136.
- a. The historical present may represent either the descriptive imperfect or the narrative agrist.
- b. The historical present may be coördinated with past tenses, which may precede or follow it: ἄμα δὲ τῷ ἡμέρα τῷ πόλει προσέκειτο καὶ αἰρεῖ at daybreak he assaulted the town and took it T:7.29, οὕτω δὴ ἀπογράφονται πάντες ἀνέλαβον τε τὰ ὅπλα accordingly they all enrolled themselves and took the arms X.C.2.1.19.
  - c. The historical present is less frequent in subordinate clauses (T. 2. 91. 3).
- 1884. Annalistic Present. Closely connected with the historical present is the annalistic present, which is used to register historical facts or to note incidents.

Δαρείου καὶ Παρυσάτιδος γίγνονται παίδες δύο of Darius and Parysatis were (are) born two sons X. A. 1.1.1, πρὸ Λευτυχίδεω γὰρ (Ζευξίδημος) τελευτ $\hat{q}$ ... Λευτυχίδες γαμέει Εὐρυδάμην, ἐκ τῆς οἱ ... γίνεται θυγάτηρ for Zeuxidemus died before Leutychides ... L. married Eurydame, from her was born to him a daughter Hdt. 6.71, καὶ ὁ ἐνιαυτὸς ἔληγεν, ἐν ῷ Καρχηδόνιοι αἰροῦσι δύο πόλεις Ἑλληνίδας and the year came to an end in which the Carthaginians captured two Greek cities X. H. 1. 1. 37.

1885. Present of Past and Present Combined. — The present, when accompanied by a definite or indefinite expression of past time, is used to express an action begun in the past and continued in the present. The 'progressive perfect' is often used in translation.

Thus,  $\pi \acute{a}\lambda a \theta a v \mu \acute{a} \zeta \omega$  I have been long (and am still) wondering P. Cr. 43 b. Cp. iamdudum loquor. So with  $\pi \acute{a}\rho os$ ,  $\pi o \tau \acute{e}$ . This use appears also in the other moods.

- a. So with verbs of hearing, saying, learning, whose action commenced in the past, but whose effect continues into the present: ἐξ ὧν ἀκούω from what I hear (have heard) X. A. 1. 9. 28, ὅπερ λέγω as I said P. A. 21 a. So with αἰσθάνομαι, γιγνώσκω, μανθάνω, πυνθάνομαι. ἄρτι just is often found with these verbs.
- b. The perfect is used instead of the present when the action is completed in the present.
- 1886. Present for Perfect.  $\tilde{\eta}$ κω I am come, I have arrived, οἴχομαι I am gone, have a perfect sense; as also ἔρχομαι, ἀφικνοῦμαι. Thus, Θεμιστοκλῆς  $\tilde{\eta}$ κω παρὰ σέ I Themistocles have come to you T.1.137, οἶδα ὅπη οἴχονται I know where they have gone X. A. 1. 4. 8.
  - a.  $\eta \kappa \omega$  may be used in connection with the gnomic agrist (P. S. 188 a).
- **1887.** The present of certain verbs often expresses an enduring result, and may be translated by the perfect:  $\dot{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\hat{\omega}\ I$  am guilty ( $\ddot{\alpha}\delta\iota\kappa\delta s\ \epsilon\iota\mu\iota$ ), I have done wrong,  $\nu\bar{\iota}\kappa\hat{\omega}$ ,  $\kappa\rho\alpha\tau\hat{\omega}$ , I am victorious, I have conquered,  $\dot{\eta}\tau\tau\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$  I am conquered,  $\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\omega$  I am the defendant or I am an exile (of  $\phi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\gamma\sigma\tau\epsilon$ s the fugitives and the exiles),  $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\delta\delta\omega\mu\iota$  I am a traitor,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\delta\sigma\kappa\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  I am captured,  $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  I am deprived,  $\gamma\dot{\iota}\gamma\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$  I am a descendant.

ήκω εἰς τὴν σὴν οἰκίᾶν, ἀδικῶ δ' οὐδέν I am come to thy house, but have done no wrong L. 12. 14, ἀπαγγέλλετε 'Αριαίω ὅτι ἡμεῖς γε νῖκῶμεν βασιλέα report to Ariaeus that we at least have conquered the king X. A. 2. 1. 4.

- a. So, in poetry, γεννῶ, φόω, τίκτω, θνήσκω, δλλυμαι. Thus, ήδε τίκτει σε this woman (has born thee =) is thy mother E. Ion 1560.
- 1888. In subordinate clauses, the action expressed by the present may be (a) contemporaneous, (b) antecedent, or (c) subsequent to that set forth by the main verb. The context alone decides in which sense the present is to be taken: (a) ἔλεγεν ὅτι ἔτοιμος εἴη ἡγεῖσθαι αὐτοῖς he said that he was ready to lead them X. A. 6. 1.33; (b) when the present states an action begun in the past and continued in the present: ἐπείτε δὲ Πέρσαι ἔχονσι τὸ κράτος, (τὸ πεδίον) ἐστὶ τοῦ βασιλέος from the time that the Persians began to hold sway, it belongs to the king Hdt. 3. 117; and with the historical present: ὡς δὲ γίγνονται ἐπ ἀντῷ, ἐσπίπτονοιν when they came to it, they rushed in T. 7.84; (c) ἐγένετο ῥήτρᾶ... εί παρὰ ταῦτα ποιοῖεν, κολάζειν an ordinance was passed. . if they act contrary to this, to punish them X. C. 1. 6. 33.

#### IMPERFECT

- 1889. The imperfect represents an action as still going on, or a state as still existing, in the past:  $K\hat{\nu}\rho\sigma\sigma$  ov  $\pi\omega$   $\hat{\eta}^{\kappa}\kappa\nu$ ,  $\hat{d}\lambda\lambda^{\prime}$  err  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\hat{\eta}\lambda\alpha\nu\nu\epsilon$  Cyrus had not yet arrived (1886), but was still marching on X. A. 1. 5. 12,  $\hat{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\sigma(\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu)$  Arrives Antiochus was reigning T. 2. 80. The conclusion of the action is usually to be inferred from the context.
- **1890.** Imperfect of Continuance. The imperfect thus represents an action as continuing in the past: διέφθειραν Αθηναίων πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι,

οι ξυνεπολιορκοιντο they put to death twenty-five of the Athenians who were besieged (i.e. from the beginning to the end of the siege) T. 3. 68.

- 1891. The imperfect of verbs of sending, going, saying, exhorting, etc., which imply continuous action, is often used where we might expect the aorist of concluded action. Thus, in ἔπεμπον, the action is regarded as unfinished since the goal is not reached: ἔγγελον ἔπεμπον καὶ τοὺς νεκροὺς ὑποσπόν-δους ἀπέδοσαν they sent a messenger and surrendered the dead under a truce T. 2. 6. In ἐκέλευον gave orders, urged, requested the command, etc., is regarded as not yet executed. In ἔλεγεν αὐτοῖς τοιάδε he spoke to them as follows X. H. 1. 6. 4 (followed by the speech and ἐπεὶ δὲ ταῦτ εἶπεν 1. 6. 12) the speech is not thought of as a finished whole, but as developed point by point, as in ἐπὲιδὴ δὲ οῦτος ταῦτα ἔλεγεν, ἔλεξα but when he had said this, I said Ant. 6. 21.
- a. In messenger's speeches the speaker may go back to the time of receiving a command: léναι σ' ἐκέλευον οι στρατηγοι τήμερον the generals order you to depart to-day Ar. Ach. 1073.
- 1892. The imperfect, when accompanied by an expression of past time, is used of actions which had been in progress for some time and were still in progress (cp. 1885): τὸ ዮήγιον ἐπὶ πολὺν χρόνον ἐστασίαζε Rhegium had been for a long time in a state of faction T. 4. 1. If the action is regarded as completed the pluperfect is used.
- 1893. Imperfect of Customary Action. The imperfect is used to express frequently repeated or customary past actions: ἐπεὶ εἶδν αὐτὸν οἶπερ πρόσθεν προσεκύνουν, καὶ τότε προσεκύνησαν when they caught sight of him, the very men who before this were wont to prostrate themselves before him, prostrated themselves on this occasion also X. A. 1. 6. 10, (Σωκράτης) τοὺς ἐαυτοῦ ἐπιθῦμοῦντας οὐκ ἐπράττετο χρήματα Socrates was not in the habit of demanding money from those who were passionately attached to him X. M. 1. 2. 5. See also 2340.
- a. The repetition of a simple act in the past is expressed by  $\pi$ o $\lambda\lambda$ á $\kappa$ 13 with the aorist (1930).
- 1894. Iterative Imperfect. ἄν may be used with this imperfect (1790):  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\theta$ υμει ἄν τις ἔτι πλείω αὐτοῦ ἀκούειν people would (used to) desire to hear still more from him X.C. 1.4.3.
- 1895. Conative Imperfect.—The imperfect may express an action attempted, intended, or expected, in the past.

έπειθον αὐτούς, καὶ οὖς ἔπεισα, τούτους ἔχων ἐπορευόμην I tried to persuade them, and I marched away with those whom I succeeded in persuading X. C. 5. 5. 22, 'Αλόννησον ἐδίδου' ὁ δ' ἀπηγόρευς μὴ λαμβάνειν Philip offered (proposed to give) Halonnesus, but he (Demosthenes) dissuaded them from accepting it Aes. 3. 83, Θηβαῖοι κατεδουλοῦντ' αὐτούς the Thebans tried to enslave them D. 8. 74, ἡπείγοντο ἐς τὴν Κέρκῦραν they were for pushing on to Corcyra T. 4. 3.

a. Here may be placed the imperfect equivalent in sense to ξμελλον with the infinitive. Thus, φονεύς οὖν αὐτῶν ἐγιγνόμην ἐγὰ μὴ εἰπὼν ὑμῖν â ἤκουσα. ἔτι δὲ τριᾶκοσίους Αθηναίων ἀπώλλυον I was on the point of becoming their murderer

(interfecturus eram) had I not told you what I heard. And besides I threatened three hundred Athenians with death And. 1.58. So  $\dot{a}\pi\omega\lambda\lambda\dot{\nu}\mu\gamma\nu$  I was threatened with death.

1896. Imperfect of Resistance or Refusal. — With a negative, the imperfect often denotes resistance or refusal (would not or could not). The agriculture with a negative denotes unrestricted denial of a fact.

τὴν πρόκλησιν οὐκ ἐδέχεσθε you would not accept the proposal T.3.64 (τὴν ἰκετείᾶν οὐκ ἐδέξαντο they did not receive the supplication 1.24), ὁ μὲν οὐκ ἐγάμει, ὁ δὲ ἔγημεν the one would not marry, the other did D.44.17, οὐδὲ φωνὴν ἤκουον, εἴ τις ἄλλο τι βούλοιτο λέγειν they would not even listen to a syllable if ever any one wished to say anything to the contrary D.18.43. So οὐκ εἴᾶ he would not allow (he was not for allowing).

- 1897. If simple positive and negative are contrasted, the aorist is preferred with the latter:  $τ\dot{a}$   $\dot{v}πάρχοντά$  τε  $σ\dot{w}ξειν$  (positive with present) και  $\dot{ε}πιγνῶναι$  μηδέν (negative with aorist) to preserve what you have, and to form no new plans T. 1. 70. But where the verb itself contains or implies a negative idea, the present is used: παρεῖναι και μὴ ἀποδημεῖν to be present and not to be abroad Aes. 2. 59.
- 1898. Imperfect of Description. The imperfect describes manners and customs; the situation, circumstances, and details, of events; and the development of actions represented as continuing in past time.

έκεινός τε τοὺς ὑφ' ἐαυτῷ ὥσπερ ἑαυτοῦ παίδας ἐττμα, οι τε ἀρχόμενοι Κῦρον ὡς πατέρα ἐσέβοντο he (Cyrus) treated his subjects with honour as if they were his own children, and his subjects reverenced Cyrus like a father X. C. 8. 8. 2, εὐθὺς ἀνεβόησάν τε πάντες καὶ προσπεσόντες ἐμάχοντο, ἐώθουν, ἐωθοῦντο, ἔπαιον, ἐπαιοντο immediately all raised a shout and falling upon each other fought, pushed and were pushed, struck and were struck 7. 1. 38, ἐπεὶ δὲ ταῦτα ἐρρήθη, ἐπορεύοντο · τῶν δὲ ἀπαντώντων οἱ μὲν ἀπέθνησκον, οἱ δὲ ἔφευγον πάλιν εἴσω, οἱ δὲ ἐβόων and when these words had been spoken, they proceeded to advance; and of those who met them some were killed, others fied back indoors, and others shouted 7. 5. 26, ἐστρατήγει δὲ αὐτῶν 'Αριστεύς Aristeus was their commander T. 1. 60; cp. X. C. 4. 2. 28, X. Ag. 2. 12, X. A. 4. 3. 8–25, Isocr. 1. 9, 7. 51–53, D. 18. 169 ff., Aes. 3. 192.

N.—The imperfect often has a dramatic or panoramic force: it enables the reader to follow the course of events as they occurred, as if he were a spectator of the scene depicted.

1899. The imperfect is thus often used to explain, illustrate, offer reasons for an action, and to set forth accompanying and subordinate circumstances that explain or show the result of the main action. Descriptive adverbs are often used with the imperfect.

- 1900. Inchoative Imperfect. The imperfect may denote the beginning of an action or of a series of actions: ἐπειδὴ δὲ καιρὸς ἦν, προσέβαλλον but when the proper time arrived, they began an (proceeded to) attack T. 7. 51.
- 1901. Imperfect for Present. In descriptions of places and scenery and in other statements of existing facts the imperfect, instead of the present, is often used by assimilation to the time of the narrative (usually set forth in the main verb).

άφτκοντο έπὶ τὸν ποταμὸν δς ὥριζε τὴν τῶν Μακρώνων χώρᾶν καὶ τὴν τῶν Σκυθηνῶν they came to the river which divided the country of the Macrones from that of the Scytheni X. A. 4.8.1, ἐξελαύνει ἐπὶ ποταμὸν πλήρη ἰχθύων, οὂς οἱ Σύροι θεοὺς ἐνόμιζον he marched to a river full of fish, which the Syrians regarded as gods 1.4.9.

- 1902. Imperfect of a Truth Just Recognized. The imperfect, usually some form of  $\epsilon \tilde{l} \nu a \iota$ , with  $\tilde{a} \rho a$ , is often used to denote that a present fact or truth has just been recognized, although true before:  $0 \tilde{\nu} \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \nu \tilde{a} \rho' \tilde{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \tilde{a} \gamma \mu a$  it is, as it appears, no matter after all P.S. 198 e,  $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau' \tilde{a} \rho' \tilde{\eta} \nu \tilde{a} \lambda \eta \theta \tilde{\epsilon} s$  this is true after all E. I. T. 351,  $\tilde{a} \rho a \tilde{\eta} \pi l \sigma \tau \omega y o u know$ , sure enough X. H. 3. 4. 9.  $\tilde{a} \rho a s u r e e n o u g h$ , after all appears with other tenses (P. Cr. 49 a, P. Ph. 61 a, D. 19. 160).
- **1903.** The imperfect may refer to a topic previously discussed:  $\hat{\eta}\nu$   $\hat{\eta}$   $\mu$ ousik $\hat{\eta}$   $\hat{\alpha}$   $\nu$ tistic  $\hat{\gamma}$   $\hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\gamma}$   $\hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\nu}$ 
  - 1904. The epistolary imperfect is rare in Greek. See 1942 b.
- 1905. ἔδει, ἐχρῆν. The imperfect of verbs expressing obligation or duty may refer to present time and imply that the obligation or duty is not fulfilled:  $\sigma \bar{\imath} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \bar{\alpha} s \dot{\eta} \nu i \kappa'$  ἔδει λέγειν keeping silence when he ought to speak D. 18. 189. So with ἐχρῆν it were proper, εἰκὸς ἦν it were fitting (1774). But the imperfect may also express past obligation without denying the action of the infinitive, as ἔδει μένειν he was obliged to remain (and did remain) D. 19. 124, ὅπερ ἔδει δείξαι quod erat demonstrandum Euclid 1. 5 (1779).
- 1906. Imperfect for Pluperfect.—The imperfect has the force of the pluperfect in the case of verbs whose present is used in the sense of the perfect (1886).

Thus, ħκον I had come (rarely I came), ὧχόμην I had departed, as ἐνίκων I was victorious, ἡττώμην I was defeated (1752). So ('Ολύμπια) of s'Ανδροσθένης παγκράτου ἐνίκα the Olympic games, at which Androsthenes was the victor (= had won) in the pancratium T.5.49.

1907. In subordinate clauses, the action expressed by the imperfect may be (a) contemporaneous with or (b) antecedent to that set forth by the main verb: (a)  $\tau \circ \sigma \circ \hat{\nu} \tau \circ i$   $\delta \circ i$ 

- 1908. Imperfect and Aorist.—The imperfect and aorist often occur in the same passage; and the choice of the one or the other often depends upon the manner in which the writer may view a given action. The imperfect may be represented by a line, along which an action progresses; the aorist denotes a point on the line (either starting point or end), or surveys the whole line from beginning to end.
- a. The imperfect of 'continuance' or 'duration' implies nothing as to the absolute length of the action; cp. πάλιν κατὰ τάχος ἐκόμιζε τὴν στρατιάν he took the army back as quickly as possible T. 1. 114 with κατὰ τάχος ἀνεχώρησε he retreated as quickly as possible 1.73. The imperfect does not indicate 'prolonged' action in contrast to 'momentary' action of the acrist.
- b. The imperfect puts the reader in the midst of the events as they were taking place, the aorist simply reports that an event took place:  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha$   $\psi\bar{\iota}\lambda$ 0 δώδεκα ἀνέβαινον, ῶν ἡγεῖτο 'Αμμέαs, καὶ πρῶτος ἀνέβη then twelve light-armed men proceeded to climb up under the leadership of Ammeas, who was the first to mount T. 3. 22. Cp. T. 2. 49, 3. 15. 1-2, 4. 14, X. H. 4. 4. 1, I. 5. 53-54, 8. 99-100.
- 1909. The following statement presents the chief differences between imperfect and agrist as narrative tenses.

### Imperfect

circumstances, details, course of action progress, enduring condition, continued activity general description endeavour actions subordinate to the main action

#### Aorist

mere fact of occurrence, general statement

consummation (culmination, final issue, summary process)

isolated points, characteristic examples attainment

main actions, without reference to other actions

Cp. Eurestpátevor they served with them in the war, Eurestpátevsar they took the field with them (both in T. 7.57). Exector I tried to persuade, Executa I succeeded in persuading (both in X. C. 5. 5. 22).

#### FUTURE INDICATIVE

- a. The action is future according to the opinion, expectation, hope, fear, or purpose of the speaker or the agent.
- b. The action of the future is either continuative (like the present) or, like that of the arrist, expresses simple attainment. Thus  $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega$  means I shall try to persuade, or I shall convince (resultative),  $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \omega \sigma \omega I$  shall be king, shall reign or I shall become king (ingressive).
- 1911. When a verb has two futures, that formed from the same stem as the present is properly continuative, that formed from the acrist stem marks simple attainment: thus,  $\xi\xi\omega$  I shall have,  $\sigma\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$  I shall get; as kal  $\tau a\hat{v}\tau'$  elkbrws our ws

- ύπελάμβανον ἔξειν and I supposed with reason that this would continue so D. 19. 153, Θηβαῖοι ἔχουσι μὲν ἀπεχθῶς, ἔτι δ' ἐχθροτέρως σχήσουσιν the Thebans are hostile and will become still more so 5. 18. (But ἔξω usually does duty for σχήσω.) So, ἀχθέσομαι shall be angry, ἀχθεσθήσομαι shall get angry, φοβήσομαι shall continue fearful, φοβηθήσομαι shall be terrified, αἰσχυνοῦμαι shall feel (continued) shame, αἰσχυνθήσομαι shall be ashamed (on a single occasion). Cp. 1738.
- 1912. The future represents both our shall and will. When voluntative (will), the action of the subject may be (1) the result of his own decision, as of  $\delta \eta \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \omega \tau o \tilde{\iota} \tau o t$  that I never will do D. 18.11, or (2) dependent on the will of another, as  $\eta \theta \sigma \lambda \eta \psi \delta \lambda \lambda \epsilon t$  already of  $\delta \sigma \tau s \delta \tau \delta t$  to  $\delta \tau \delta \tau \delta \tau \delta \tau \delta t$ . The use of the future is often similar to that of the subjunctive, especially in dependent clauses.
- a. In many cases the use of the future indicates that the wish remains unchanged; and there is no reference to a future act. Sometimes the future appears to be a more modest form of statement than the present.
- 1914. Gnomic Future. The future may express a general truth: ἀνὴρ ἐπιεικὴς νἱὸν ἀπολέσας ῥῷστα οἴσει τῶν ἄλλων a reasonable man, if he loses a son, will (is expected to) bear it more easily than other men P. R. 603 e (cp. 1434).
- a. Hdt. uses the future in descriptions of customs and in directions to travellers (1.173, 2.29).
- 1915. Future for Present.—The future may be used instead of the present of that which is possible at the moment of speaking: εὐρήσομεν τοὺς φιλοτίμους τῶν ἀνδρῶν . . . ἀντὶ τοῦ ζῆν ἀποθνήσκειν εὐκλεῶς αἰρονμένους we shall find that ambitious men choose a glorious death in preference to life I. 9. 3.
- a. The future may denote present intention:  $a\tilde{l}\rho\epsilon \pi\lambda\tilde{\eta}\kappa\tau\rho\sigma\nu$ ,  $\epsilon l \mu\alpha\chi\epsilon\hat{l} raise$  your spur if you mean to fight Ar. Av. 759 (in this use  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$  is more common (1959)). So in the tragic  $\tau l \lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon\iota s$ ; what do you mean? E. Med. 1310.
- 1916. Deliberative Future. The future is often used in deliberative questions:  $\tau i$  ἐροῦμεν  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\tau i$  φήσομεν; what shall we say or what shall we propose? D. 8. 37.
- a. The deliberative future may occur in connection with the deliberative subjunctive (1805):  $\epsilon i\pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \tilde{\eta} \sigma i\gamma \tilde{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ;  $\tilde{\eta} \tau i \delta \rho \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ; shall we speak or keep silent? or what shall we do? E. Ion 758.
- 1917. Jussive Future. The future may express a command, like the imperative; and, in the second person, may denote concession or

permission. The negative is ov. The tone of the jussive future (which is post-Homeric) is generally familiar.

ώς οὖν ποιήσετε you will do thus P. Pr. 338 a, ἀναγνώσεται τὸν νόμον — ἀναγίγνωσκε the clerk will read the law — read D. 24. 39, αὐτὸς γνώσει you will judge for yourself P. Phil. 12 a, σπουδὴ ἔσται τῆς ὁδοῦ you will have to hurry on the march T. 7. 77, ὑμεῖς οὖν, ἐἀν σωφρονῆτε, οὐ τούτου ἀλλ' ὑμῶν φείσεσθε now, if you are wise, you will spare, not him, but yourselves X. H. 2. 3. 34.

- 1918. The future with of interrogative is used in questions in an imperative sense to express urgency, warning, or irony: οὐκ ἔξιμεν...οὐκ ἐπὶ τὴν ἐκείνου πλευσόμεθα; shall we not go forth... shall we not set sail against his country? D. 4.44, οὐ φυλάξεσθε; will you not be on your guard? 6.25. In exhortations addressed to oneself: οὐκ ἀπαλλαχθήσομαι θῦμοῦ; shall I not cease from my passion? E. Med. 878.
- a.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the future in a prohibitive sense is used in a few suspected passages (L. 29. 13, D. 23. 117).
- 1919. οὐ μή with the second person singular of the future in the dramatic poets denotes a strong prohibition; as οὐ μή διατρίψεις don't dawdle (you shall not dawdle) Ar. Ran. 462. οὐ μή with any person of the future indicative occasionally denotes an emphatic future denial; as τοὺς πονηροὺς οὐ μή ποτε βελτίους ποιήσετε you will never make the bad better Aes. 3. 177.
- 1920. ὅπως and ὅπως μή are used with the future in urgent exhortations and prohibitions: ὅπως οδν ἔσεσθε ἄξιοι τῆς έλευθερίας prove yourselves then worthy of freedom X. A. 1. 7. 3, ὅπως τοίνυν περί τοῦ πολέμου μηδὲν ἐρεῖς say nothing therefore about the war D. 19. 92. For the fuller form of this use after σκόπει, σκοπείτε. see 2213.
- 1921. ὅπως μή (negative ὅπως μὴ οὐ) may express the desire to avert something; as ὅπως μὴ αἰσχροὶ φαινούμεθα mind we don't appear base X. C. 4.2. 31. ἀλλ' ὅπως μὴ οὐχ οἰός τ' ἔσομαι but (I fear that) I shall not be able P. R. 506 d. Cp. 1802, 1803, 2229.
- **1922.** On  $\breve{a}\nu$  ( $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}$ ) with the future indicative, see 1793. On the periphrastic future see 1959; on the future in dependent clauses, see 2203, 2211, 2220 a, 2229, 2231, 2328, 2549–2551, 2554, 2558, 2559, 2565 a, 2573 c.

## AORIST INDICATIVE

1923. The agrist expresses the mere occurrence of an action in the past. The action is regarded as an event or single fact without reference to the length of time it occupied.

ένίκησαν οι Κερκυραίοι και ναθε πέντε και δέκα διέφθειραν the Corcyraeans were victorious and destroyed fifteen ships T. 1. 29, Παιώνιος ἐποίησε Paeonius fecit I. G. A. 348, ἔδοξεν  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  βουλ $\hat{\eta}$  it was voted by (seeined good to) the Senate C. I. A. 1. 32.

a. The uses of the agrist may be explained by the figure of a point in time:

1. The starting point (ingressive agrist, 1924); 2. The end point (resultative agrist, 1926); 3. The whole action (beginning to end) concentrated to a point (complexive agrist, 1927).

- 1924. Ingressive Aorist. The agrist of verbs whose present denotes a state or a continued action, expresses the entrance into that state or the beginning of that action.
- a. This holds true of the other moods. Greek has no special form to denote entrance into a state in present time (1853).
- 1925. Most of the verbs in question are denominatives, and the forms are chiefly those of the first agrist:—

άρχω rule
βασιλεύω απ king, rule
βλέπω look at
δακρύω weep
δουλεύω απ a slave
ἐρῶ love
θαρρῶ απ courageous
νοσῶ απ ill
πλουτῶ απ rich
πολεμῶ make war
σῖγῶ απ silent

ἢρξα became ruler ἐβασίλευσα became king, ascended the throne ἔβλεψα cast a glance ἐδάκρῦσα burst into tears ἐδούλευσα became a slave ἡράσθην fell in love ἐθάρρησα plucked up courage ἐνόσησα fell ill ἐπλούτησα became rich ἐπολέμησα began the war

a. Rarely with the second agrist: ἔσχον took hold, took possession of, got, as Πεισιστράτου τελευτήσαντος Ἡππίᾶς ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχήν when Peisistratus died Hippias succeeded to his power T.6.54. So ἦσθόμην became aware, ἔστην took my stand (perfect ἔστηκα am standing).

ἐσίγησα became silent

b. The acrist of these verbs denotes also a simple occurrence of the action as an historical fact:  $\hat{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\sigma(\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma a\ was\ king,\ ruled,\ \hat{\epsilon}\nu\delta\sigma\eta\sigma a\ was\ ill$ . Thus,  $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu\alpha$   $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa a\hat{\iota}$   $\tau\epsilon\tau\tau\alpha\rho\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\sigma\nu\tau$   $\hat{\epsilon}\tau\eta$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  Eddhý $\nu\omega\nu$   $\hat{\eta}\rho\hat{\epsilon}a\nu$  they held the supremacy over Greece for forty-five years D. 3. 24 (cp. 1927 b).

1926. Resultative Aorist. — In contrast to the imperfect (and present) the aorist denotes the result, end, or effect of an action.

Thus, ἤγαγον I brought, ἐβούλευσα I decided (ἐβούλευον I was deliberating), ἔθηξα I sharpened, ἔπεσον I struck in falling (ἔπῖπτον I was in the act of falling), ἔπεσα I succeeded in persuading (1895).

- a. The same verb may be a resultative agrist or an ingressive agrist. Thus,  $\xi \beta a \lambda o \nu I$  let fly a missile (ingressive), and I hit (resultative);  $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi o \nu I$  got possession of (ingressive), and I kept back (resultative).
- b. ἐκτεινά σε Ε. Ion 1291 means I tried to kill you, since κτείνω denotes properly only the act of the agent, and does not, like kill, also connote the effect of the action upon another.
- - a. This is often called the 'concentrative' agrist, because it concentrates the

entire course of an action to a single point. When used of rapid or instantaneous action this agrist is often called 'momentary.'

- b. The complexive aorist is used either of a long or of a short period of time: τέσσαρα καὶ δέκα ἔτη ἐνέμειναν αὶ σπονδαὶ the peace lasted fourteen years Τ. 2.2, δλίγον χρόνον ξυνέμεινεν ἡ ὁμαιχμία the league lasted a short time 1.18, ἡλθον, εἶδον, ἐνίκησα veni, vidi, vici ("Caesar's brag of came, and saw, and conquered") Plutarch, Caes. 50.
- 1928. The aorist is commonly used with definite numbers. The imperfect is, however, often employed when an action is represented as interrupted or as proceeding from one stage to another. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\alpha\vartheta\theta\alpha$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\iota\nu\epsilon$  Κ $\dot{\nu}\rho$ ος  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\bar{\alpha}s$   $\tau\rho\iota\dot{\alpha}\kappa\rho\nu\tau\alpha$  Cyrus remained thirty days there X. A. 1. 2. 9;  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\tau\tau\alpha\rho\alpha$ s  $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha$ s öλους  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\omega}$ ζοντο οί Φωκε $\dot{\epsilon}s$  τους  $\dot{\nu}\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho$ ον,  $\dot{\eta}$  δ $\dot{\epsilon}$  τούτον  $\dot{\nu}\epsilon\nu\dot{\alpha}$ ολογί $\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\mu}\epsilon\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\tau}\alpha\dot{\vartheta}\theta$   $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\nu}\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho$ ον  $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\alpha}$ υς  $\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\alpha}$
- 1929. The agrist enumerates and reports past events. It may be employed in brief continuous narration (X.A. 1.9.6). As a narrative tense it is often used to state the chief events and facts, while the other past tenses set forth subordinate actions and attendant circumstances.
- 1930. Empiric Aorist. With adverbs signifying often, always, sometimes, already, not yet, never, etc., the aorist expressly denotes a fact of experience ( $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho (\tilde{a})$ ).

πολλοί πολλάκις μειζόνων ἐπιθῦμοῦντες τὰ παρόντ' ἀπώλεσαν many men often lose what they have from a desire for greater possessions D. 23. 113, ἀθῦμοῦντες ἄνδρες οὅπω τροπαῖον ἔστησαν faint heart never yet raised a trophy P. Criti. 108 c. So with πολύς: ἡ γλῶσσα πολλοὺς εἰς ὅλεθρον ἥγαγεν the tongue brings many a man to his ruin Men. Sent. 205. From this use proceeds 1931.

- a. The empiric agrist is commonly to be translated by the present or perfect. The statement in the agrist is often based upon a concrete historical fact set forth in the context, and the reader is left to infer that the thought holds good for all time.
- 1931. Gnomic Aorist ( $\gamma\nu\omega\mu\eta$  maxim, proverb). The aorist may express a general truth. The aorist simply states a past occurrence and leaves the reader to draw the inference from a concrete case that what has occurred once is typical of what often occurs:  $\pi\alpha\theta\omega\nu$  δέ  $\tau\epsilon$   $\nu\eta\pi\iota$ ος έγνω a fool learns by experience Hesiod, Works and Days, 218, κάλλος μèν γὰρ  $\mathring{\eta}$  χρόνος ἀνήλωσεν  $\mathring{\eta}$  νόσος ἐμάρāνε for beauty is either wasted by time or withered by disease I. 1. 6.
- a. The gnomic agrist often alternates with the present of general truth (1877): où yàp ή πληγή παρέστησε την δργήν, άλλ' ή ἀτῖμία· οὐδὲ τὸ τύπτεσθαι τοῖς ἐλευθέροις ἐστὶ δεινόν . . . άλλὰ τὸ ἐφ' ὕβρει for it is not the blow that causes anger, but the disgrace; nor is it the beating that is terrible to freemen, but the insult D.21.72. Cp. P.R. 566 e.
- b. The gnomic agrist is regarded as a primary tense (1858): οἱ τύραννοι πλούσοιον δν ἄν βούλωνται παραχρῆμ' ἐποίησαν tyrants make rich in a moment whomever they wish D. 20. 15.

- 1933. Iterative Aorist. With ἄν the aorist may denote repetition (1790): εἶπεν ἄν he used to say X. C. 7. 1. 14. Distinguish 2303.
- 1934. Agrist for Future. The agrist may be substituted for the future when a future event is vividly represented as having actually occurred:  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\omega\lambda\delta$ - $\mu\eta\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\rho'$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}l'$   $\mu\epsilon$   $\delta\dot{\eta}$   $\lambda\epsilon l\psi\epsilon\iota s$  I am undone if thou dost leave me E. Alc. 386.
- 1935. Aorist in Similes. The aorist is used in similes in poetry, and usually contains the point of comparison. It may alternate with the present. Thus,  $\eta \rho_l \pi \epsilon \delta'$  is öre  $\tau$ is  $\delta \rho \theta$ s  $\eta \rho_l \pi \epsilon \nu$  he fell as falls an oak  $\Pi$  482, olos  $\delta'$  èk  $\nu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$  arapalveral oblios as  $\eta \gamma \gamma \rho \mid \pi \alpha \mu \rho \alpha l \nu \omega \nu$ ,  $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta'$  adres  $\delta \delta \bar{\nu} \nu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \alpha \sigma \kappa \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ , and as from out of the clouds all radiant appears a baneful star, and then again sinks within the shadowy clouds, so Hector, etc.  $\Lambda$  62.
- a. The agrist in 1931, 1935 is used of time past (in 1934 of the future), from the point of view of an assumed or ideal present.
- 1936. A orist for Present. The aborist is used in questions with  $\tau l$  or or and  $\tau l$  or to express surprise that something has not been done. The question is here equivalent to a command or proposal:  $\tau l$  or or or  $\ell l$  kal or  $\ell l$  in  $\ell l$  we; why don't you recall it to my mind? X. Hi. 1. 3. The (less lively) present, and the future, may also be used.
- 1937. Dramatic Aorist. The first person singular of the aorist is used in the dialogue parts of tragedy and comedy to denote a state of mind or an act expressing a state of mind (especially approval or disapproval) occurring to the speaker in the moment just passed. This use is derived from familiar discourse, but is not found in good prose. In translation the present is employed. Thus,  $\eta\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\sigma a$  I am delighted, I can't help laughing Ar. Eq. 696,  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\eta\nu$   $\tau\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\eta\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\psi$  I velcome the omen S. El. 668 (prose  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\chi_0\mu\alpha\iota$   $\tau\dot{\delta}\nu$  olwide). So  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma a$  I approve,  $\xi\nu\nu\dot{\eta}\kappa a$  I understand. Sometimes this use appears outside of dialogue  $(\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\tau\nu\sigma\alpha$  I spurn A. Pr. 1070, Ag. 1193).
- 1938. With verbs of swearing, commanding, saying, and advising the acrist may denote a resolution that has already been formed by the speaker and remains unalterable:  $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \dots \dot{\epsilon} \bar{l} \pi o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} s \ \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \ \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu \ I \ command \ thee$  (once and for all) to depart from out this land E. Med. 272,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \mu o \sigma a \ I \ swear \ 'nay'$  S. Ph. 1289. This use is not confined to dialogue.
- **1939.** So in other cases:  $\pi \hat{\omega}s \tau o \hat{v} \tau' \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \xi as$ ; of kátold of thou saidst thou (what dost thou mean)? I do not know how thou meanest S. Aj. 270. Cp.  $\nu \hat{v} \nu$  with the acrist (B 113,  $\Gamma$  439).
  - 1940. Aorist for Perfect. In Greek the aorist, which simply states a past

a. Where an active transitive perfect is not formed from a particular verb, or is rarely used, the aorist takes its place:  $\Phi \epsilon \rho a l\omega \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \rho \eta \tau a \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu \kappa a \iota \dot{\alpha} \rho \rho \nu \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \sigma \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu he has deprived the Pheraeans of their city and established a garrison in the acropolis D. 7.32 (<math>\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ddot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon$  transitive is not classic). So  $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \rho \nu$  is used for  $\eta \chi \alpha$ .

b. In Greek of the classical period the agrist and perfect are not confused though the difference between the two tenses is often subtle. Cp. D. 19. 72 with 19.177.

- **1941.** The agrist may be translated by the perfect when the perfect has the force of a present (1946, 1947):  $\epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \dot{a} \mu \eta \nu I$  have acquired ( $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau \kappa \eta \mu a \iota I$  possess),  $\dot{\epsilon} \theta a \dot{\nu} \mu a \sigma a I$  have wondered ( $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \theta a \dot{\nu} \mu a \kappa a I$  admire). Thus,  $\ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \sigma o$  advids  $\tau \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$  advids  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a c$  keep thyself what thyself hast gained Hdt. 7.29.
- 1942. Epistolary Tenses. The writer of a letter or book, the dedicator of an offering, may put himself in the position of the reader or beholder who views the action as past:  $\mu\epsilon\tau$  'Αρταβάζου, ὅν σοι ἔπεμψα, πρᾶσσε negotiate with Artabazus whom I send (sent) to you T. 1. 129, Τροίᾶν ἐλόντες 'Αργείων στόλος λάφῦρα ταῦτα... ἐπασσάλευσαν the Argive armament having captured Troy hang (hung) up these spoils A. Ag. 577. Cp. 1923 (last two examples).
- a. The perfect is also used: ἀπέσταλκά σοι τόνδε τὸν λόγον I send (have sent) you this discourse I.1.2.
- b. The imperfect (common in Latin) occurs rarely: Μνησίεργος ἐπέστειλε τοῖς οἰκοι χαίρειν καὶ ὑγιαίνειν καὶ αὐτὸς οὕτως ἔφασκε [ἔχειν] Mnesiergus sends greetings and wishes for good health to his friends at home and says that he himself is well Jahresheft des oesterreichischen Archaeol. Inst. 7 (1904), p. 94, τῶν δὲ ταῦτα πρᾶξάντων ἄχρι οῦ ὅδε ὁ λόγος ἐγράφετο Τεισίφονος πρεσβύτατος ὢν τῶν ἀδελφῶν τὴν ἀρχὴν εἶχε up to the date of this portion of my work, Tisiphonus, as the eldest of the brothers who wrought this deed, maintained control of the government X, H. 6, 4, 37.
- 1943. Aorist for Pluperfect. The aorist with many temporal and causal conjunctions, and in relative clauses, has the force of the Eng. pluperfect. So with  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{l}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{l}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{l}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{$

έγραψα οἴκαδε δοῦναι he requested me to give him the letter which I had written home X, C, 2, 2, 9. So often in other moods than the indicative.

1944. In subordinate clauses the action expressed by the acrist may be (a) contemporaneous, (b) antecedent, or (c) subsequent to that set forth by the main verb. The context alone decides in which sense the acrist is to be taken. (a) èν  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  χρόν $\varphi$  δν έπόσχε ὅσα ἐδύνατο κατενόησε during the time he waited he learned all he could T. 1. 138; (b) ἐτράποντο ἐς τὸν Πάνορμον, ὅθενπερ ἀνηγάγοντο they turned toward Panormus, the very place from which they had put out T. 2. 92 (see 1943); (c) ἐμάχοντο μέχρι οἱ λθηναῖοι ἀπέπλευσαν they kept fighting until the Athenians had sailed away X. H. 1. 1. 3.

#### PERFECT INDICATIVE

- 1945. The perfect denotes a completed action the effects of which still continue in the present: τὰ οἰκήματα ἀκοδόμηται the rooms have been constructed (their construction is finished) Χ. Ο. 9. 2, τὰς πόλεις αὐτῶν παρήρηται he has taken away (and still holds) their cities D. 9. 26, ὑπείληφα I have formed (hold) the opinion 18. 123, βεβούλευμαι I have (am) resolved S. El. 947, τί βουλεύεσθον ποιεῦν; οὐδίν, ἔφη ὁ Χαρμίδης, ἀλλὰ βεβουλεύμεθα what are you conspiring to do? Nothing, said Charmides; we have already conspired P. Charm. 176 c.
- 1946. Perfect with Present Meaning.—When the perfect marks the enduring result rather than the completed act, it may often be translated by the present.

Thus, κέκλημαι (have received a name) am called, my name is, κέκτημαι (have acquired) possess, μέμνημαι (have recalled) remember,  $\tau \theta \theta v \eta \kappa a$  (have passed away) am dead, είθισμαι (have accustomed myself) am accustomed, ήμφίεσμαι (have clothed myself in) have on, πέποιθα (have put confidence) trust, έστηκα (have set myself) stand, βέβηκα (have stepped) stand and am gone, έγνωκα (have recognized) know, πέφὖκα (natus sum) am by nature, οίδα (have found out) know.

- a. These perfects praesentia do not in nature differ from other perfects.
- 1947. 'Intensive' Perfect. Many perfects seem to denote an action rather than a state resulting from an action, and to be equivalent to strengthened presents. These are often called *intensive* perfects.

Such are: verbs of the senses (δέδορκα gaze, πέφρῖκα shudder), of sustained sound (κέκρᾶγα bawl, λέληκα shout, βέβρῦχα roar), of emotion (πεφόβημαι am filled with alarm, γέγηθα am glad, μέμηλε cares for), of gesture (κέχηνα keep the mouth agape), and many others (σεσίγηκα am still, etc.).

- a. But most if not all of the verbs in question may be regarded as true perfects, i.e. they denote a mental or physical state resulting from the accomplishment of the action; thus,  $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \bar{\iota} \kappa a$  I have shuddered and an now in a state of shuddering.
- b. Certain verbs tend to appear in the perfect for emphasis: τέθνηκα αm dead, ἀπόλωλα perish, πέπρακα sell (have sold).
- 1948. Empiric Perfect.—The perfect may set forth a general truth expressly based on a fact of experience:  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\alpha}\tau a\xi l\tilde{a}$   $\pi o\lambda \lambda o b$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}\delta \eta$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi o\lambda \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$  lack of discipline ere now has been the ruin of many X. A. 3. 1. 38. Cp. 1930.
- 1949. Perfect of Dated Past Action. The perfect is sometimes used of a past action whose time is specifically stated:  $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\iota\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$   $\tau\delta\tau\epsilon$  I was insulted on that occasion D. 21. 7. This use approaches that of the acrist.
- 1950. Perfect for Future Perfect. The perfect may be used vividly for the future perfect to anticipate an action not yet done:  $\kappa d\nu \tau \sigma \partial \tau \sigma \nu i \kappa \omega \mu e \nu$ ,  $\pi d\nu \theta' \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi e \pi o l \eta \tau a \iota$  and if we conquer in that quarter, everything has been (will have been) accomplished by us X. A. 1. 8. 12.
- a. Especially with the phrase  $\tau \delta$  in  $\ell \tau \iota \nu \iota$ , the perfect anticipates the certain occurrence of an event:  $\tau \delta$  in  $\ell \tau \iota \nu \iota$  direction direction for all he could do, we had perished X. A. 6. 6. 23.
- 1951. In subordinate clauses, the action of the perfect is usually (a) contemporaneous, but may be (b) antecedent to that of the main verb. The context alone decides in which sense the perfect is to be taken. (a) of  $\delta \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \delta \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon s$ ,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \tau \tau \tau \pi a \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ , ad  $\tau \nu \mu \delta \delta \sigma \tau \dot{\tau} \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \tau \dot{\tau} \delta \dot{$

On the epistolary perfect see 1942 a.

### PLUPERFECT

- 1952. The pluperfect is the past of the perfect, hence it denotes a past fixed state resulting from a completed action:  $\epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \psi \mu \eta \nu I \ had \ (was) \ resolved.$
- a. When the perfect is translated by the present, the pluperfect is rendered by the imperfect: ἐκεκτήμην was in possession, ἐτεθνήκει he was dead, ἤδη knew, ἐμεμνήμην remembered. Cp. 1946.
- 1953. Pluperfect of Immediate Occurrence. The pluperfect may denote that a past action occurred so immediately or suddenly that it was accomplished almost at the same moment as another action:  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \sigma$  at  $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta a \dot{\epsilon}$  and when they were captured the truce was (already) at an end T. 4. 47 (the fact of their capture was equivalent to the immediate rupture of the truce).
- **1954.** In subordinate clauses the pluperfect is rarely used to mark an action as anterior to an action already past:  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  of Theorem is  $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$  polephous our serior to an action already past:  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$  of Theorem is usually employed (1943, 1944 b).

### FUTURE PERFECT

- 1955. The future perfect denotes a future state resulting from a completed action: ἀναγεγράψομαι I shall stand enrolled, δεδήσεται he shall be kept in prison; ἡ θύρᾶ κεκλήσεται the door will be kept shut Ar. Lys. 1071.
  - a. Most future perfects are middle in form, passive in meaning (581).
- b. The active future perfect is usually periphrastic (600): τὰ δέοντ' ἐσόμεθα ἐγνωκότες we shall have determined on our duty D. 4. 50.
- 1956. When stress is laid upon complete fulfilment, the future perfect may imply rapidity, immediate consequence, or certainty, of action accomplished in the future: φράζε, καὶ πεπράξεται speak, and it shall be done instanter Ar. Pl. 1027, εὐθὸς Αριαῖος ἀφεστήξει · ὥστε φίλος ἡμῖν οὐδεὶς λελείψεται Ariaeus will soon withdraw, so that we shall have no friend left X. A. 2. 4. 5.
- 1957. The future perfect may have an imperative force (1917): εἰρήσεται γὰρ τάληθές for the truth shall (let it) be spoken I. 7. 76.
- 1958. When the perfect has the force of a present, the future perfect is used like a simple future (1946): κεκλήσομαι I shall bear the name, μεμνήσομαι shall remember, κεκτήσομαι shall possess. So in the two active forms: τεθνήξω I shall be dead, ἐστήξω I shall stand.
- a. The agrist subjunctive with  $d\nu$  (2324), not the future perfect, is used to denote a past action in relation to an action still in the future.

### PERIPHRASTIC TENSES

On the periphrastic forms of perfect, pluperfect, and future perfect, see 599, 600.

- 1959. Periphrastic Future. A periphrastic future is formed by μέλλω I am about to, intend to, am (destined) to, am likely to (strictly think) with the present or future (rarely the aorist) infinitive. Thus, α μέλλω λέγειν σοι πάλαι δοκεί what I am going to say has long been your opinion X. C. 3. 3. 13 (cp. 1885), Κλέανδρος μέλλει ήξειν Cleander is on the point of coming X. A. 6. 4. 18, θήσειν έμελλεν άλγεα he purposed to inflict suffering B 39, ἔμελλον όλβιος εἶναι I was destined to be happy σ 138, εἴ ποτε πορεύοιτο καὶ πλεῖστοι μέλλοιεν όψεσθαι, προσκαλῶν τοὺς φίλους ἐσπουδαιολογεῖτο if ever Cyrus was on the march and many were likely to catch sight of him, he summoned his friends and engaged them in earnest talk X. A. 1, 9. 28.
- a. The present infinitive usually occurs with  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  as a verb of will, the future infinitive with  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  as a verb of thinking.
- b. The agrist is used when it is important to mark the action as ingressive, resultative, or complexive:  $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\mu\epsilon\lambda\omega$   $\pi\alpha\theta\epsilon\hat{\nu}$  what I am doomed to suffer A. Pr. 625.
  - c.  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  I delay usually takes the present, rarely the aorist, infinitive.
- **d.** πωs οὐ μέλλω and τί οὐ μέλλω mean why should I not? Thus, τί δ' οὐ μέλλω γελοῖον εἶναι; how should it not be ridiculous? P. R. 530 a.

- 1960. ἔμελλον is used of past intention in ἔμελλε καταλύειν he was about to stop for the night X. A. 1. 8. 1, τοὺς ἔσπλους κλήσειν ἔμελλον they intended to close the entrances T. 4. 8. ἔμελλον with the infinitive denoting an unfulfilled past intention is a periphrasis for an aorist indicative with ἄν. Thus, οὺ συστρατεύειν ἔμελλον they would not have joined forces D. 19. 159 (=οὐκ ἄν συνεστράτευσαν). Cp. recturus eram, etc.
- 1962. The aorist participle is rarely so used, since it denotes a single act, not a characteristic:  $\hat{\eta}\sigma a\nu$  de tives kal genomeroi  $\tau \hat{\phi}$  Nikia hdgoi proteson pros tivas and communications between Nicias and some persons had actually been held before T. 4.54.
- a. With ἔσομαι the aorist participle equals the future perfect: οὐ σιωπήσᾶς ἔση; be silent, won't you, once and for all ? S. O. T. 1146.
- 1963. With  $\xi_{\chi\omega}$ . The periphrasis with  $\xi_{\chi\omega}$  and the agrist participle is analogous to the perfect in meaning, and emphasizes the permanence of the result attained (chiefly in Hdt. and the drama):  $\kappa\eta\rho\delta\xi\bar{a}s\ \xi\chi\omega\ I\ have\ proclaimed\ S.\ Ant.192.$
- a. In Attic prose ἔχω usu. has a separate force: Φερᾶς πρώην ἔχει καταλαβών he lately seized and now occupies Pherae D. 9. 12. So with the (rare) perfect: τὰ ἐπιτήδεια εἶχον ἀνακεκομισμένοι they had carried up to the forts the provisions and kept them there X. A. 4. 7. 1.
- 1964. With γίγνομαι. The forms of γίγνομαι often combine with a participle to form periphrases. Thus,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  σαυτὸν . . . κτείνας γένη lest thou destroy thyself S. Ph. 773; in prose this periphrasis has the tone of tragedy. On γίγνομαι with a substantive, see 1710, 1754.
- 1965. With φαίνομαι. The aorist participle is used periphrastically with forms of φαίνομαι. Thus, οὐχ ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν οὐδὲ τῶν νόμων φροντίσᾶς οὐδ᾽ ἀγανακτήσᾶς φανήσεται it will appear that he took no heed, nor felt any resentment, concerning you or the laws D. 21. 39.

## VERBAL NOUNS

1. The Infinitive. 2. The Participle. 3. The Verbal Adjectives in  $-\tau \delta s$  and  $-\tau \delta s$ .

#### THE INFINITIVE

- 1966. The infinitive is in part a verb, in part a substantive.
- a. Many substantives are closely related to verbs, but not all verbs can form substantives. All verbs can, however, form infinitives.
- b. The word infinitive denotes a verbal form without any limitations (finis) of number and person.
  - 1967. The infinitive is like a verb herein:

- a. It shows the distinctions of voice and tense (but not those of number and person). Having tenses, it can express different stages of action (action simply occurring, continuing, or finished); whereas the corresponding substantive sets forth the abstract idea without these distinctions. Contrast ποιεῦν, ποιήσειν, ποιήσειν, πεποιηκέναι with ποίησις making.
- b. It can have a subject before it and a predicate after it, and it can have an object in the genitive, dative, or accusative like the corresponding finite verb. Infinitives scarcely ever stand in the subjective genitive; and the object of an infinitive never stands in the objective genitive.
  - c. It is modified by adverbs, not by adjectives.
- d. It may take  $d\nu$  and with that particle represent  $d\nu$  with the indicative (1784 ff.) or  $d\nu$  with the optative (1824).
  - e. It forms clauses of result with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , and temporal clauses with  $\pi\rho l\nu$ , etc.

1968. The infinitive is like a substantive herein:

- a. It may be the subject or object of a verb.
- b. With the (neuter) article it shows all the case forms (except the vocative):  $\tau \delta (\tau o \hat{v}, \tau \hat{\varphi}, \tau \delta) \lambda \delta \epsilon \iota v$ ,  $\lambda \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota v$ , etc.
  - c. It may be governed by prepositions: πρὸ τοῦ λύειν.
- 1969. The infinitive was originally a verbal noun in the dative (in part possibly also in the locative) case. The use to express purpose (2008) is a survival of the primitive meaning, from which all the other widely diverging uses were developed in a manner no longer always clear to us. But the to or for meaning seen in μανθάνειν ήκομεν we have come to learn (for learning) can also be discerned in δύναμαι ίδεῦν I have power for seeing, then I can see. Cp. 2000, 2006 a. As early as Homer, when the datival meaning had been in part obscured, the infinitive was employed as nominative (as subject) and accusative (as object). After Homer, the infinitive came to be used with the neuter article, the substantive idea thus gaining in definiteness. The article must be used when the infinitive stands as an object in the genitive or dative, and when it depends on prepositions.
- 1970. The infinitive is used as subject, as predicate, and to supplement the meaning of words and clauses.
- 1971. The negative of the infinitive is  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ; but où, used with a finite mood in direct discourse, is retained when that mood becomes infinitive in indirect discourse. Sometimes, however,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is used in place of this où (2723 ff.).

### SUBJECT AND PREDICATE NOUN WITH THE INFINITIVE

- 1972. In general the subject of the infinitive, if expressed at all, stands in the accusative; when the subject of the infinitive is the same as the subject or object of the governing verb, or when it has already been made known in the sentence, it is not repeated with the infinitive.
- 1973. When the subject of the infinitive is the same as that of the governing verb, it is omitted, and a predicate noun stands in the nominative case.

οξμαι είδέναι I think that I know P. Pr. 312 e, Πέρσης ἔφη εἶναι he said he was a Persian X. A. 4. 4. 17, έγὼ οὐχ ὁμολογήσω ἄκλητος ἥκειν I shall not admit that I have come uninvited P. S. 174 d, ὁμολογεῖς περὶ ἐμὲ ἀδικος γεγενῆσθαι; do you admit that you have been guilty as regards me ? X. A. 1. 6.8 (cp. 4.2.27 in 2263).

b. The nominative stands usually in sentences with δεῦν, χρῆναι etc., dependent on a verb of saying or thinking. Thus, ἡγούμην... περιεῦναι δεῦν αὐτῶν καὶ μεγαλοψῦχότερος φαίνεσθαι I thought I ought to surpass them and to show myself more magnificent D. 19. 235. Here ἡγούμην δεῦν is equivalent to I thought it proper.

- c. When the governing verb is a participle in an oblique case, a predicate noun usually agrees with the participle, and rarely stands in the nominative. Thus, ἀπαλλαγείς τούτων τῶν φασκόντων δικαστῶν εἶναι being rid of those who profess to be judges P. A. 41 a, τὰς ἀρχὰς δίδωσι... τοῖς ἀεὶ δόξασιν ἀρίστοις εἶναι it dispenses the offices to those who always seem to be the most deserving P. Menex. 238 d.
- 1974. A pronoun subject of the infinitive, if (wholly or partially) identical with the subject of the main verb, is generally expressed when emphatic, and stands in the accusative (cases of the nominative are rare and suspected); but the indirect reflexive  $\sigma\phi\epsilon\hat{\alpha}$  stands in the nominative or accusative.

οῖμαι ἐμὰ πλείω χρήματα εἰργάσθαι ἢ ἄλλους σύνδυο I think I have made more money than any two others together P. Hipp. M. 282 e, ἡγησάμενος ἐμαυτὸν ἐπιεικέστερον εἶναι (emphatic for ἡγησάμενος ἐπιεικέστερος εἶναι) deeming myself to be too honest P. A. 36 b, τοὺς δὲ Θηβαίους ἡγεῖτο . . . ἐδσειν ὅπως βούλεται πράττειν ἐαυτόν he thought the Thebans would let him have his own way D. 6. 9, οὐ σφεῖς ἀδικεῖσθαι, ἀλλ' ἐκείνους μᾶλλον he said that not they (the speaker and the other Lacedaemonians), but they (the Toroneans) rather had been wronged 4.114 (but σφας in 1228 b).

- a. After a preceding accusative with the infinitive, a second pronoun referring to a different person, and also subject of an infinitive, must also stand in the accusative whether or not it denotes the same person as the subject of the governing verb. Thus, αλλα νομίζεις ημῶς μὸν ἀνέξεσθαι σου, αὐτὸς (see below) δὲ τυπήσειν; καὶ ἡμῶς μὸν ἀποψηφιεῖσθαι σου, σὲ (ποτ σὐ) δ΄ οὐ παύσεσθαι but do you think that we are going to put up with you, while you strike us yourself? and that we are going to acquit you, while you will not cease your outrageous conduct? D. 21. 204. αὐτὸς, αbove and in Κλέων οὐκ ἔφη αὐτὸς, ἀλλ ἐκεῖνον στρατηγεῖν Cleon said that not he himself, but that Nicias was in command T. 4. 28, is not the expressed subject of the infinitive, but αὐτὸς of direct discourse (αὐτὸς τυπήσεις, αὐτὸς οὖ στρατηγῶ); hence αὐτὸς is not used here for σεαυτόν (ἐαυτόν).
- 1975. When the subject of the infinitive is different from that of the governing verb, it stands in the accusative; and a predicate noun stands also in the accusative.

νομίζω γὰρ ὑμᾶς ἐμοὶ εἶναι καὶ πατρίδα καὶ φίλους for I think you are to me both fatherland and friends X. A. 1. 3. 6, τὸν γὰρ καλὸν κάγαθὸν ἄνδρα εὐδαίμονα εἶναί φημι for I maintain that the noble and good man is happy P. G. 470 e.

- 1976. A predicate noun takes the case of the subject of an infinitive itself dependent on a subjectless infinitive. Thus,  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\iota}\nu$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$   $\pi o\iota o\hat{\iota}\sigma$  i  $\delta o\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$   $\sigma\phi\hat{a}s$   $\pi a\nu\tau o\delta a\pi o\hat{\nu}s$   $\phi a\hat{\iota}\nu seq \theta a\iota$  they manage it so that they seem to us to appear in various forms P. R. 381 e.
- 1977. Several infinitives may be used in succession, one infinitive being the subject of another:  $\pi \epsilon \rho l \pi o \lambda \delta v \pi o \omega \omega \mu \rho \delta \epsilon v l \delta \delta \xi a v \beta \rho l \xi \epsilon v \rho \delta \omega \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a regarding it of great importance not to seem to any one to wish to behave outrageously L. 23. 5.$
- 1978. When the subject of the infinitive is the same as the *object* (in the genitive or dative) of the governing verb, it is often omitted, and a predicate noun is either attracted into the genitive or dative, or stands in the accusative in agreement with the omitted subject of the infinitive. See 1060-1062.

ἔξεστιν ἡμῖν ἀγαθοῖς εἶναι οτ ἔξεστιν ἡμῖν ἀγαθοὺς εἶναι it is in our power to be good (lit. to be good is possible for us). Thus, δεόμεθ' οδν ὑμῶν . . . ἀκροάσασθαι τῶν λεγομένων, ἐνθῦμηθέντας ὅτι κτλ. we ask you therefore to listen to what is said, considering that, etc. 1.14.6. Cp. νῦν σοι ἔξεστιν ἀνδρὶ γενέσθαι quoted in 1062 with Λακεδαιμονίοις ἔξεστιν ὑμῖν φίλους γενέσθαι it is in your power to become friends to the Lacedaemonians T.4.29. The latter construction may be explained as abbreviated for ἔξεστιν ὑμῖν ὑμῖν (ὑμᾶς) φίλους γενέσθαι.

- 1979. The subject of the infinitive is often retained when it is the same as the (omitted) oblique object of the governing verb. Thus,  $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \pi \lambda a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau o \delta s$  Ehlypas he issued orders that the Greeks should get under arms X. A. 2. 2. 21.
- 1980. An indefinite or general subject of the infinitive (τινά, τινάs, ἀνθρώτους) is commonly omitted; and a predicate noun stands in the accusative. Thus, φιλάνθρωπον εἶναι δεῖ one (τινά) must be humane I. 2. 15 (cp. 1984), ῥᾶον παραινεῖν ἢ παθόντα καρτερεῖν it is easier for a man to give advice than to endure suffering Men. Sent. 471, δρῶντας γὰρ ἢ μὴ δρῶντας ἤδῖον θανεῖν for it is preferable to die in action rather than doing nothing E. Hel. 814.
- 1981. The construction of the accusative with the infinitive seems to have originated from the employment of the infinitive to complement the meaning of transitive verbs; as in  $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \delta \omega$  or  $\delta \pi \kappa \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$  I command you to depart. Here the accusative was separated from the transitive verb and felt to be the independent subject of the infinitive (I command that you depart). Gradually the accusative with the infinitive was used even after verbs incapable of taking an object-accusative.

#### PERSONAL AND IMPERSONAL CONSTRUCTION

1982. Instead of an impersonal passive verb with the accusative and infinitive as subject, Greek often uses the personal passive construction, the accusative becoming the nominative, subject to the leading verb.

Thus, Κυρος ἡγγέλθη νικήσαι Cyrus was reported to have conquered instead of

ήγγέλθη Κύρον νικήσαι it was reported that Cyrus had conquered, and δίκαιδι εἰμι ἀπελθεῖν I am justified in going away instead of δίκαιδι ἐστιν ἐμὲ ἀπελθεῖν it is right for me to go away. English sometimes has to use the impersonal construction in place of the Greek personal construction (cp. 2107).

a. The personal construction is more common with λέγεται, ἀγγέλλεται, ὁμολογεῖται and other passive verbs of saying (regular with passive verbs of thinking); with συμβαίνει it happens; with ἀναγκαῖος necessary, ἄξιος worthy, δίκαιος just, δυνατός possible, ἐπιτήδειος fit, etc., followed by a form of εἶναι, instead of ἀναγκαῖον, ἄξιον, etc. Thus, ὁ ᾿Ασσύριος εἰς τὴν χώρᾶν αὐτοῦ ἐμβαλεῖν ἀγγέλλεται the Assyrian is reported to be about to make an incursion into his country X. C. 5. 3. 30, πολλή τις ἀλογίᾶ ξυμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι much absurdity would result P. Phil. 55 a, δίκαιος εἶ εἰπεῖν it is right for you to speak P. S. 214 c, τὴν αἰτίᾶν οὕτός ἐστι δίκαιος ἔχειν it is right for him to bear the blame D. 18. 4. Both constructions together: σοι γὰρ δὴ λέγεται πάνυ γε τεθεραπεῦσθαι ὁ ᾿Απόλλων, καί σε πάντα ἐκείνφ πειθόμενον πράττειν for Apollo is said to have been greatly served by you, and (it is said) that you do everything in obedience to him X. C. 7. 2. 15. Cp. 2104.

N. — δηλός ἐστι and φανερός ἐστι take ὅτι or the participle (2107); δηλόν ἐστι and φανερόν ἐστι take ὅτι, not the infinitive.

1983. The personal constructions δοκῶ, ἔοικα (2089 c), δέω are regular instead of δοκεῖ, ἔοικε it seems, δεῖ it lacks (much or little). So with φαίνομαι for φαίνεται. δοκῶ γάρ μοι ἄδυνατος εἶναι for I seem to be unable P. R. 368 b, δοκοῦμέν μοι καθῆσθαι it seems to me that we are encamped X. A. 1. 3. 12, νῦν γε ἡμῶν ἕοικας βασιλεὺς εἶναι now at least you seem to be our king X. C. 1. 4. 6, πολλοῦ δέω ἐγὼ ὑπὲρ ἐμαυτοῦ ἀπολογεῖσθαι I am far from speaking in my own defence P. A. 30 d, μῖκροῦ ἔδέησεν Κύπρον ἄπᾶσαν κατασχεῦν he almost (lacked a little) occupied the whole of Cyprus I. 9. 62, εὖ σὐ λέγειν φαίνει you seem to speak well Ar. Nub. 403.

a. δοκεῖ μοί τινα ἐλθεῖν for δοκεῖ τίς μοι ἐλθεῖν it seems to me that some one came is very rare. δοκεῖ meaning it seems good, it is decreed always takes the infinitive (1984, 1991). δοκῶ believe has the construction of 1992 c. Cp. 1998.

### THE INFINITIVE WITHOUT THE ARTICLE

### AS SUBJECT, PREDICATE, AND APPOSITIVE

1984. As Subject. — The infinitive may be used as subject, especially with quasi-impersonal verbs and expressions (933 a).

γράμματα μαθεῖν δεῖ to learn to read is necessary Men. Sent. 96, τί χρη ποιεῖν; what must be done? X. A. 2. 1. 16, κόσμος (ἐστὶ) καλῶς τοῦτο δρᾶν to perform this well is a credit T. 1. 5, πᾶσιν ἀδεῖν χαλεπόν (ἐστι) to please everybody is difficult Solon 7, ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς προϊέναι it seemed best to them to proceed X. A. 2. 1. 2, συμφέρει αὐτοῖς φίλους εἶναι it is for their interest to be friends X. O. 11. 23. Cp. 1062, 1978.

1985. Such quasi-impersonal verbs and expressions are  $\delta \hat{e}$  it is necessary,  $\chi \rho \eta$  (properly a substantive with  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$  omitted, 793) it is necessary,  $\delta \kappa \epsilon \hat{e}$  it seems good,  $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$  it is possible,  $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau i$  it is none's power, of  $\delta \tau \tau i$  it is possible,  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$  and  $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon i$  it is fitting,  $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon i$  thappens; and many expressions formed by  $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$  and a predicate noun, as  $\ddot{\epsilon} \xi \iota \sigma \nu$  it is right,  $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \nu$  it is just,  $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma - \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i$ 

καῖον it is necessary, δυνατόν it is possible, ἀδύνατον (or ἀδύνατα) it is impossible, αΙσχρόν it is disgraceful, καλόν it is honourable, ὥρā and καιρός it is time. With the last two expressions the old dative use of the infinitive is clear: ὥρā βουλεύ-εσθαι it is time for considering P. Soph. 241 b.

a. On the personal ἄξιὸς εἰμι, δίκαιὸς εἰμι, δοκῶ, see 1982. For δεῖ με τοῦτο λέγειν we find the personal δέομαι τοῦτο λέγειν. Note the attraction in τὸ πλῆθος τῶν ἐνὸντων εἰπεῖν the number of the things it is possible to mention I. 5. 110 (for τούτων ἃ ἔνεστιν).

b. δεί and χρή regularly take the accusative and infinitive (cp. 1562); ἀνάγκη it is necessary takes the accusative or dative with the infinitive.

c. The subject of the infinitive is expressed or omitted according to the sense.

d. Homer shows only the beginnings of the use of the infinitive as a real subject, *i.e.* not a grammatical subject, as in 1984.

1986. As Predicate. — In definitions the infinitive may be used as a predicate noun with  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\iota}$ .

τὸ γὰρ γνῶναι ἐπιστήμην λαβεῖν ἐστιν for to learn is to get knowledge P. Th. 209 e.

1987. As an Appositive. — The infinitive may stand in apposition to a preceding substantive, pronoun, or adverb.

els olwrds apistos, à μένεσθαι περί πάτρης one omen is best, to fight for our country M 243, εἶπον . . . τοῦτο μόνον ἀρᾶν πάντας, τῷ πρόσθεν ἔπεσθαι I told all to pay heed to this only, viz., to follow their leader X. C. 2. 2. 8, καὶ ὑμᾶς δὲ οὖτως, τὰ παίδες, . . . έπαίδευον, τοὺς μὲν γεραιτέρους προτιμᾶν, τῶν δὲ νεωτέρων προτετιμῆσθαι and I have instructed you, too, my children (to this effect) to honour your elders in preference to yourselves and to receive honour from the younger in preference to them X. C. 8. 7. 10.

1988. The infinitive not in indirect discourse, and in indirect discourse, is often used as the object of a verb.

## THE INFINITIVE NOT IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

1989. The infinitive as object not in indirect discourse is used after almost any verb that requires another verb to complete its meaning. The tenses of this infinitive are timeless, and denote only stage of action.

1990. The infinitive may be the only expressed object, or it may be one of two expressed objects, of the leading verb.

παίδευσις καλή διδάσκει χρήσθαι νόμοις a good education teaches obedience to the laws X. Ven. 12. 14, διαγιγνώσκειν σε τοὺς άγαθοὺς καὶ τοὺς κακοὺς ἐδίδαξεν he taught you to distinguish the good and the bad X. M. 3. 1. 9.

a. Verbs signifying to ask, bid, forbid, permit, teach, etc., allow an infinitive as one of two objects.

b. Many verbal expressions, formed by a substantive and a verb, take the infinitive. Thus, τους ἄλλους διδάσκειν τέχνην ἔχουσιν they possess the skill to teach (the) others I. 16. 11. Cp. 2000.

# A. Object Infinitive after Verbs of Will or Desire

1991. Verbs of will or desire (and their opposites) are often followed by an infinitive. The infinitive with a subject accusative denotes that something should (may) be or be done. The negative is  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  (see 2719–2721).

ἄβελον αὐτοῦ ἀκούειν they were willing to listen to him X. A. 2. 6. 11, ἐβουλεύοντο ἐκλιπεῖν τὴν πόλιν they planned to leave the city Hdt. 6. 100, τὰ ἢδιστα . . . ζητεῖ ποιεῖν he seeks to do what he likes best X. M. 4. 5. 11, βασιλεὺς ἀξιοῖ σὲ ἀποπλεῖν the king asks that you sail away X. H. 3. 4. 25, ἱκέτευε μὴ ἀποκτεῖναι he entreated that they should not put him (self) to death L. 1. 25, πέμπουσιν . . . στρατεύεσθαι ἐπὶ Κᾶρίᾶν they send orders that he shall march upon Caria X. H. 3. 1. 7, ἔδοξε πλεῖν τὸν ἀλλιιβιάδην it was decided that Alcibiades should sail T. 6. 29.

a. Verbs of will or desire with an accusative subject of the infinitive form one of the classes of substantive clauses introduced in English by that, though the infinitive in English is often more idiomatic.

1992. Of verbs of will or desire that take the infinitive some have

an object

- a. În the accusative (or are intransitive), e.g.: αἰροῦμαι choose, αἰτῶ, αἰτοῦμαι ask, άξιῶ claim, ask, βουλεύομαι resolve, βούλομαι wish, will, δικαιῶ deem right, διανοοῦμαι intend, ἐθέλω (poet. θέλω), wish, will, εἴωθα am wont to, ἐπιχειρῶ attempt, ἐῶ permit, ζητῶ seek, κελεύω command, suggest, invite, μέλλω delay, πειρῶμαι try, πέμπω send, προθῦμοῦμαι am zealous, προκαλοῦμαι invite, προτρέπω urge, σπεύδω hasten, am eager, σπουδάζω am eager, τολμῶ dare, φιλῶ am wont to, ψηφίζομαι vote.
  - b. In the genitive, e.g.: δέομαι ask, ἐπιθυμῶ and ὀρέγομαι desire.
- c. In the dative, e.g.: εὕχομαι pray, παραγγέλλω and προστάττω command, ἐπιβουλεύω purpose, συμβουλεύω advise, ἐπιτρέπω and συγχωρῶ permit, παραινῶ exhort, δοκῶ μοι I have a mind to; and λέγω, εἶπον, φωνῶ, φράζω tell (and βοῶ shout) in the sense of command.
- N.— $\pi\epsilon i\theta\omega$  urge to a course of action, takes the infinitive,  $\pi\epsilon i\theta\omega$  convince generally has  $\omega s$ , rarely the accusative with the infinitive. Thus,  $\xi\pi\epsilon i\theta\epsilon\nu$  aðrð $\nu$  aðrð $\nu$  poever  $\theta a i$  he urged him to go by himself X.A.6.2.13, oð  $\gamma a \rho$   $\pi\epsilon i\sigma \sigma \nu \tau a i$  of  $\pi o \lambda o i$ ,  $\omega s$  oð aðrðs oð $\kappa$   $\eta \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma a s$  a $\pi i \epsilon \nu a i$  for most people will not be convinced that of your own free will you did not desire to go away P.Cr. 44 c (infinitive X.M.1.1.20).
- 1993. Verbs of will or desire not to do anything are e.g.: δέδοικα, φοβοθμαι fear, φεόγω avoid, δκνῶ scruple, αἰσχόνομαι, αἰδοθμαι (2126) feel shame to, ἀπαγορεύω forbid, κωλύω hinder, ἀπέχομαι abstain from, εὐλαβοθμαι, φυλάττομαι beware of. Thus, φοβοθμαι διελέγχειν σε I fear to refute you P. G. 457 e, αἰσχόνομαι ὑμῦν εἰπεῦν τάληθη I am ashamed to tell you the truth P. A. 22 b.
- 1994. Under verbs of will or desire are included verbs expressing an activity to the end that something shall or shall not be done. Thus, δίδωμι offer, give, διαμάχομαι struggle against, ποιῶ, διαπράττομαι, κατεργάζομαι manage, effect, παρέχω offer (others in 1992, 1993).

- 1995. Several verbs of will or desire take  $\delta\pi\omega$ s with the future or the subjunctive (verbs of effort, 2211, 2214); or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the subjunctive (verbs of fear, 2225); some take the participle (2123 ff.).
  - 1996. The infinitive may be used with the
- a. Genitive or dative when the expression of desire is addressed to a person and the genitive or dative depends on the leading verb. Here the sentence is simple. Thus,  $\delta \epsilon_0 \mu a i \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dots \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta i \kappa a i \psi \eta \phi i \sigma a \sigma \theta a i I$  ask you to render a just verdict I. 19. 51,  $\tau o i s$   $\delta \lambda \lambda o i s$   $\tau a c i \pi a$
- b. Accusative when the action of a person is desired (example in 1979). Such sentences are complex.
- N. Verbs of commanding allow either a or b; but only keleśw with the accusative permits either meaning:  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega$   $\omega \epsilon \tau a \partial \tau a \mu \eta \pi o \iota \epsilon i \nu$  I tell you not to do this and I command that you shall not do this. Cp. 1981.
- 1997. Several verbs signifying to say are also used as verbs of will and then mean command. The agent commanded usually stands in the accusative subject of the infinitive. So with  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \acute{l} \pi \sigma \nu$ ,  $\phi \rho \acute{a} \acute{\zeta} \omega$ ,  $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\omega}$ . Thus  $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$   $\sigma'$   $\acute{\epsilon} \gamma \check{\omega}$  δόλ $\omega$  Φιλοκτήτην  $\lambda a\beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$  I say that thou shalt take Philoctetes by craft S. Ph. 101, τούτοις έλεγον πλε $\hat{\iota} \nu$  I told them that they should sail D. 19.150, πάντες έλεγον τοὺς τούτων āρ $\acute{\epsilon}$  αντας δοῦναι δίκην all said that the ringleaders should suffer punishment X. A. 5. 7. 34,  $\epsilon \acute{l} \pi \sigma \nu$  τὴν θύρ $\tilde{\iota} \nu$  κεκλε $\hat{\iota} \sigma \sigma \omega$  they commanded that the door should be shut (and stay shut) X. H. 5. 4. 7,  $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu$  τ $\hat{\iota} \nu$  τόλεις aðτονόμους  $\epsilon \acute{\iota} \nu \alpha \iota \nu$  the king issued a written order that all the cities in Greece should be independent (not: wrote that they were independent) X. H. 6. 3. 12.
- a. The agent may stand in the dative as  $\chi a \lambda \hat{a} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  so I bid thee let go S. O. C. 840.
- 1998. The present and a orist infinitive (both timeless) are the usual tenses of the infinitive after verbs of will or desire (see 1869). The perfect is rare; as εἶπον τὴν θύρᾶν κεκλείσθαι (1997). δοκῶ and δοκῶ μοι signifying I have a mind to or I am determined to take the present or a orist like δοκεῖ: τὸν δνον ἐξάγειν δοκῶ I have a mind to bring out the ass Ar. Vesp. 177, ἐγὼ οῦν μοι δοκῶ . . ὑφηγήσασθαι κτλ. now I have a mind to show, etc. P. Eu. 288 c. Cp. 1983 a. When it is clearly denoted that the action resolved on is to follow without delay the future is used; as in ἀλλά μοι δοκῶ . . . οὐ πείσεσθαι αὐτῷ but I am determined that I will not accept his opinion P. Th. 183 d.
- a. Some verbs, as  $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\omega\omega$ , which might be held to introduce indirect discourse, are classed under verbs of will or desire, because, like these verbs, they do not regularly take the future infinitive; and because, unlike verbs of saying and thinking (which admit all the tenses of the infinitive) they introduce infinitives which do not show differences of time. The future infinitive does not express a command. For a few cases of the future after verbs of will or desire, see 1869.
- 1999. Verbs signifying to hope, expect, promise, threaten, and swear, when followed by the acrist (less often the present) infinitive (1868), have the construction of verbs of will or desire. When such verbs take the future infinitive they have the construction of indirect discourse.

## B. Infinitive after Other Verbs

2000. The infinitive follows many verbs, especially such as denote ability, fitness, necessity, etc. (and their opposites).

οὐκέτι ἐδύνατο . . . βιστεύειν he was no longer able to live T. 1. 130, νεῖν ἐπιστάμενος knowing how to swim X. A. 5. 7. 25, πεφύκασι τε ἄπαντες . . . ἀμαρτάνειν and all men are by nature prone to err T. 3. 45, μανθάνουσιν ἄρχειν τε και ἄρχεσθαι they learn how to govern and be governed X. A. 1. 9. 4; also after the impersonals of 1985.

a.  $\xi \chi \omega$  I can is derived from the meaning I have especially with a verb of saying. Thus,  $\Delta \omega s \pi \lambda \bar{a} \gamma \bar{a} \nu \xi \chi o \upsilon \sigma \omega \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu$  they can proclaim a stroke of Zeus A. Ag. 367.

## C. Infinitive after Adjectives, Adverbs, and Substantives

2001. The infinitive serves to define the meaning of adjectives, adverbs, and substantives, especially those denoting ability, fitness, capacity, etc. (and their opposites), and generally those analogous in meaning to verbs which take the infinitive (2000). Here the datival meaning (purpose, destination) is often apparent. Cp. 1969.

**2002.** Adjectives and Adverbs. — l κανο l ημ α δ ω φ ε λε ιν able to assist us X. A. 3.3. 18, δεινός λέγειν, κακός βιωναι skilled in speaking, evil in life Aes. 3. 174, ο δοι φιλε ιν able to love D. 25. 2, ἔτοιμοι είσι μάχεσθαι they are ready to fight X. C. 4. 1. 1, ἄρχειν ἀξιώτατος most worthy to govern X. A. 1. 9. 1, όδος . . . ἀμήχανος είσελθε ιν στρατεύματι α road impracticable for an army to enter 1. 2. 21, χαλεπόν διαβαίνειν hard to cross 5. 6. 9, ἐπινο ησαι όξε ις quick to conceive T. 1. 70. So also after ράδιος easy, ηδύς pleasant, δίκαιος just, ἀναγκαίος necessary, ἐπιτήδειος suitable, ἀγαθός good, αίτιος responsible for, μαλακός incapable of; cp. όλιγος 1063. After adverbs: κάλλιστα ίδε ιν most splendid to behold X. C. 8. 3. 5.

a. Some of these adjectives take the infinitive by analogy to the related verbs, as πρόθυμος zealous (προθυμούμαι), ἐπιστήμων knowing how (ἐπίσταμαι).

- **2003.** olos fit, soos sufficient take the infinitive like the fuller expressions  $\tau o i o \partial \tau o s$  of s,  $\tau o \sigma o \partial \tau o s$  of s. Thus, od  $\gamma d \rho$   $\partial \tau$  or  $\partial \tau$  or  $\partial \tau$  of  $\partial \tau$  in the proper season to irrigate the plain X. A. 2. 3. 13,  $\delta \sigma o v$  d  $\sigma o \delta \rho v$  sufficient to live off of T. 1. 2,  $\tau o i o \partial \tau o s$  of s. . .  $\tau e i \theta e \sigma \theta a i$  the kind of a man to be convinced P. Cr. 46 b. On  $\tau o \sigma o \partial \tau o s$  of s o
- **2004.** Substantives. As, of παίδες  $^{\dagger}$ μμν όλίγον ἡλικίαν ἔχουσι παιδεύεσθαι your children are almost of an age to be educated P. Lach. 187 c. With ἐστί omitted: σχολή γε ἡμιν μαθάνειν we have leisure to learn X. C. 4. 3. 12, ἀνάγκη πείθεσθαι there is need to obey X. H. 1. 6. 8, περαίνειν ἤδη ὥρᾱ it is high time to finish X. A. 3. 2. 32. Cp. 1985.
- 2005. The infinitive is added, like an accusative of respect (1601, 1602), to intransitive verbs (especially in poetry), to adjectives (more frequently in poetry), and to substantives (rarely). Thus, τοῖος ἰδεῖν such in aspect (lit. to look on) Theognis 216, ὁρᾶν στυγνός of a repulsive expression X. A. 2. 6. 9, ἀκοῦ-

σαι παγκάλως έχει it is very fine to hear D. 19.47, θαθμα καὶ ἀκοθσαι α marvel even to hear of P. L. 656 d.

- 2006. The infinitive limiting the meaning of an adjective is commonly active (or middle) in cases where the passive is more natural in English. Thus, λόγος δυνατὸς κατανοῆσαι a speech capable of being understood P. Ph. 90 c, άξιος θαυμάσαι worthy to be admired T. 1. 138 (but άξιος θαυμάζεσθαι X. C. 5. 1. 6).
- a. The active use is due to the old datival function of the infinitive: δυνατὸς καταγοῆσαι capable for understanding.
- **2007.** The infinitive, with or without  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  or  $\omega$ s, may be used with  $\mathring{\eta}$  than after comparatives, depending on an (implied) idea of ability or inability.  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is more common than  $\mathring{\eta}$  or  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\omega$ s. Cp. 2264.
- τὸ γὰρ νόσημα μεῖζον ἢ φέρειν for the disease is too great to be borne S.O.T. 1293, φοβοῦμαι μή τι μεῖζον ἢ ὥστε φέρειν δύνασθαι κακὸν τῷ πόλει συμβῷ I fear lest some calamity befall the State greater than it can bear X. M. 3. 5. 17, βραχύτερα ἢ ὡς ἐξικνεῖσθαι too short to reach X. A. 3. 3. 7.
- a. The force of ἢ ὥστε may be expressed by the genitive; as, κρεῖσσον λόγου
   (T. 2. 50) = κρεῖσσον ἢ ὥστε λέγεσθαι. Cp. 1077.
- b. Words implying a comparison may take the infinitive with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$  (1063).

# D. Infinitive of Purpose and Result

2008. Infinitive of Purpose. — The infinitive may express purpose (usually only with verbs taking the accusative).

ταύτην τὴν χώρᾶν ἐπέτρεψε διαρπάσαι τοῖς ελλησιν he gave this land over to the Greeks to plunder X. A. 1. 2. 19, τὸ ἡμισυ (τοῦ στρατεύματος) κατέλιπε φυλάττειν τὸ στρατόπεδον he left half (of the army) behind to guard the camp 5. 2. 1, lévaι ἐπὶ βασιλέα οὐκ ἐγίγνετο τὰ ἰερά the sacrifices did not turn out (favourable) for going against the king 2. 2. 3, ᾿Αριστάρχω . . . ἔδοτε ἡμέρᾶν ἀπολογήσασθαι you granted a day to Aristarchus to make his defence X. H. 1. 7. 28, ἡ θύρᾶ ἡ ἐμὴ ἀνέψκτο . . . εἰσιέναι τῷ δεομένω τι ἐμοῦ my door stood open for any petitioner of mine to enter 5. 1. 14, παρέχω ἐμαυτὸν ἐρωτᾶν I offer myself to be questioned P. A. 33 b, τὰς γυναῖκας πιεῖν φερούσᾶς the women bringing (something) to drink X. H. 7. 2. 9. Cp. also 2032 e.

- **2010.** After verbs meaning to have (or be) at one's disposition: of  $\sigma\tau\rho\sigma\tau\iota\hat{\omega}$ - $\tau\alpha\iota$   $d\rho\gamma\dot{\nu}\rho\iota\nu$  our  $e^{i}\chi o\nu$   $e^{i}\pi\iota\sigma\dot{\nu}\iota'(\xi e\sigma\theta a)$  the soldiers did not have money by means of which they could provision themselves X. A. 7. 1. 7,  $e^{i}\kappa\hat{\nu}$   $e^{i}$   $e^{i}$ 
  - 2011. Infinitive of Result. The infinitive may be used with ωστε

(sometimes with  $\omega_5$ ) to denote a result, often an intended result. See 2260 ff.

- a. Several verbs, substantives, and adjectives usually taking the infinitive also admit  $\&\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with the infinitive (2271); and the infinitive is found where  $\&\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with the infinitive might be expected:  $\mu\nu\eta\mu\nu\nu\epsilon\dot{\nu}\nu$  afrequence  $\tau$  and  $\tau$  are they recall that he was emancipated (lit. released so as to be free) D.29.25. Here the redundant infinitive expresses an intended result.
  - N. This redundant use of  $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$  is common in Hom, and Hdt.

## E. Absolute Infinitive

- 2012. Certain idiomatic infinitives are used absolutely in parenthetical phrases to limit the application of a single expression or of the entire sentence.
- a. Verbs of Saying. ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, ὡς εἰπεῖν so to speak, almost; (ὡς) ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν, ὡς συνελόντι (1497) εἰπεῖν, ὡς (ἐν βραχεῖ οτ) συντόμως εἰπεῖν to speak briefty, concisely; ὡς ἐπὶ πῶν εἰπεῖν, τὸ σύμπων εἰπεῖν speaking generally; σχεδὸν εἰπεῖν so to say, almost (paene dixerim); σὺν θεῷ εἰπεῖν in God's name; and so ὡς with λέγειν, φράζειν, εἰρῆσθαι, ας ὡς ἐν τύπῳ εἰρῆσθαι in general. Examples: ἀληθές γε ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν οὐδὲν εἰρῆκᾶσιν not one word of truth, I may say, did they utter P.  $\Lambda$ . 17 a, ἀγαθὸν μὲν ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν οὐδὲν γέγονε τῆ πόλει in a word the State gained no advantage Dinarchus 1.33.
- b. ὡς (ἔπος) εἰπεῖν is often used to limit too strict an application of a general statement, especially πῶς or οὐδείς. Thus, πάντες ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν nearly every one, οὐδείς ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν almost no one. It is thus used like paene diverim; rarely, like ut ita dicam, to soften the strength of a metaphor.
- d. Other expressions: è μοὶ δοκεῖν, ὡς è μοὶ δοκεῖν, ὡς è μοὶ κρῖναι as it seems to me, in my opinion, (ὡς) εἰκάσαι to make a guess, (ὡς) συμβάλλειν to compare, (ὡς) ἀκοῦσαι to the ear, ὡς ὑμομνῆσαι to recall the matter, ὅσον γέ μ΄ εἰδέναι as far as I know, etc.; δλίγου δεῖν, μῖκροῦ δεῖν almost, all but (δεῖν may be omitted, 1399). Examples: ὁ γὰρ Κτήσιππος ἔτυχε πόρρω καθεζόμενος τοῦ Κλεινίου, èμοὶ δοκεῖν for Ctesippus, it seems to me, happened to be sitting at a distance from Clinias P. Eu. 274 b, μῖκροῦ δεῖν τρία τάλαντα almost three talents D. 27. 29.
- e. Some of these absolute infinitives may be explained by reference to the idea of purpose (2008) or result. Thus, συνελόντι εἰπεῖν for one compressing the matter to speak (cp. ut paucis dicam), μῖκροῦ δεῖν so as to lack little. Others recall the adverbial accusative (1606); cp. ἐμοὶ δοκεῖν with γνώμην ἐμήν.

## F. Infinitive in Commands, Wishes, and Exclamations

2013. Infinitive in Commands.—The infinitive may be used for the second person of the imperative. The person addressed is regarded as the subject. This infinitive is commoner in poetry than in prose (where it has a solemn or formal force).

θαρσῶν νῦν, Διόμηδες, ἐπὶ Τρώεσσι μάχεσθαι with good courage now, Diomed, fight against the Trojans E 124, σὺ δέ, Κλεαρίδᾶ . . . τὰς πύλᾶς ἀνοίξᾶς ἐπεκθεῖν but do you, Clearidas, open the gates and sally forth T. 5. 9.

a. This infinitive may be used in conjunction with an imperative: ἀκούετε λεώ· κατὰ τὰ πάτρια τοὺς χόας πίνειν hear ye, good people! drink the Pitchers as our sires drank! Ar. Ach. 1000.

b. The infinitive for the third person of the imperative often occurs in legal language (laws, treaties, etc.), and does not necessarily depend on the principal verb. Thus, ἔτη δὲ εἶναι τὰς σπονδὰς πεντήκοντα and the treaty shall continue for fifty years T.5.18. In this construction the infinitive has the force of an infinitive dependent on ἔδοξε (it was voted that) or the like. So in medical language, as πίνειν δὲ ὕδωρ it is well for the patient to drink water Hippocrates 1.151.

d. In negative commands (prohibitions)  $\mu\eta$  with the infinitive is poetic and Ionic: ofs  $\mu\eta$  πελάζειν do not approach these (= $\mu\eta$  πέλαζε) A. Pr. 712,  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  καλέῖν πω δλβιον and do not call him happy yet Hdt. 1. 32.

2014. Infinitive in Wishes. — The infinitive with a subject accusative may be used in the sense of the optative of wish, usually with the same ellipsis as in 2013 c.

θεοὶ πολῖται, μή με δουλείᾶς τυχεῖν ye gods of my country, may bondage not be my lot! A. Sept. 253, & Zεῦ, ἐκγενέσθαι μοι 'Αθηναίους τείσασθαι oh Zeus, that it be granted to me to punish the Athenians! Hdt. 5. 105 (cp. & Zεῦ, δός με τείσασθαι μόρον πατρός oh Zeus, grant that I may avenge my father's murder! A. Ch. 18). This construction is very rare in Attic prose: τὸν κυνηγέτην ἔχοντα ἐξιέναι . . . ἐλαφρὰν ἐσθῆτα the hunter should go forth in a light dress X. Ven. 6. 11. Here no definite verb can be supplied.

a. The nominative with the infinitive (instead of the optative) after al  $\gamma \delta \rho$  occurs in Homer ( $\eta$  311,  $\omega$  376).

2015. Infinitive in Exclamations. — The infinitive is often used in exclamations of surprise or indignation. The subject stands in the accusative.

έμε παθείν τάδε that I should suffer this! A. Eum. 837, τοιουτονί τρέφειν κύνα to keep a dog like that! Ar. Vesp. 835.

On the infinitive with  $\epsilon \phi$   $\dot{\phi}$   $(\dot{\epsilon} \phi)$   $\dot{\psi} \tau \epsilon$  see 2279; with  $\pi \rho i \nu$ , see 2453.

## INFINITIVE AS OBJECT IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- 2016. The infinitive is used as the object of verbs of saying and thinking. Such infinitives denote both time and stage of action (cp. 1866).
- a. The finite verb of a sentence placed in dependence on a verb of saying or thinking that requires the infinitive, becomes infinitive, which infinitive stands in the relation of a substantive as subject or object of the leading verb. Commonly as object: thus,  $K\hat{\nu}\rho\rho\sigma$   $\bar{\nu}\bar{\kappa}\hat{\mu}$  Cyrus is victorious, when made the object of  $\phi\eta\sigma l$  he says, becomes a part of a new sentence  $\phi\eta\sigma l$   $K\hat{\nu}\rho\rho\nu$   $\nu\bar{\nu}\kappa\hat{\mu}\nu$ , in which  $K\hat{\nu}\rho\rho\nu$   $\nu l\kappa\hat{\mu}\nu$  is the object of  $\phi\eta\sigma l$ . As subject, when the verb of saying is passive: thus, in  $\lambda\ell\gamma\epsilon\tau\alpha l$   $K\hat{\nu}\rho\rho\nu$   $\nu\bar{\nu}\kappa\hat{\mu}\nu$ , the last two words form the subject of  $\lambda\ell\gamma\epsilon\tau\alpha l$ .
- 2017. Verbs of saying are e.g.: say φημί, φάσκω, λέγω; confess όμολογῶ; promise ὑπισχνοῦμαι, ὑποδέχομαι, ἐπαγγέλλομαι, ὑφίσταμαι; pretend προσποιοῦμαι; swear ὅμνῦμι; deny ἀπαρνοῦμαι; gainsay ἀντιλέγω; dispute ἀμφισβητῶ, etc.

Some verbs of saying admit other constructions than the infinitive, and especially  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$  (2579).  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \hat{l} \pi \sigma \nu$ ,  $\phi \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ,  $\phi \omega \nu \hat{\omega}$  with  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$  mean say, with the infinitive command (1997).

- a.  $\phi\eta\mu\iota$  say, assert, express the opinion that in classical Greek is almost always followed by the infinitive, but by  $\delta\tau\iota$  very often in the later language.  $\phi\eta\mu\iota$   $\delta\tau\iota$  occurs in X. A. 7. 1. 5 ( $\phi\eta\mu\iota$   $\dot{\omega}$ s in L. 7. 19, X. H. 6. 3. 7; D. 4. 48, 27. 19 by anacoluthon).
- b.  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  state (impart a fact) takes either the infinitive or  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$ . The infinitive occurs usually with the passive ( $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ , etc.) either in the personal or impersonal construction (1982 a). The active forms of  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  with the infinitive mean command (1997).
- c.  $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$  said usually takes  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$ ; with the infinitive, it commonly means commanded (1997). Cp. the double use of told.
- N.  $\epsilon l \pi \sigma \nu$  meaning said with the infinitive is rare, but occurs in good Attic prose: And. 1. 57, 80; Thuc. 7. 35; Lys. 10. 6, 10. 9, 10. 12; Xen. H. 1. 6. 7, 2. 2. 15, C. 5. 5. 24, S. 2. 13; Is. 2. 29; Lyc. 50; Aes. 3. 37, 3. 59; Dem. 15. 18; Plato, G. 473 a, 503 d, Lach. 192 b, Charm. 174 a, Hipp. Maj. 291 b, Pol. 263 c, 290 b, L. 654 a, Clitoph. 409 a, 410 b. In poetry this use is frequent.
- **2018.** Verbs of thinking almost always take the infinitive. Such are: think  $\dot{\eta}\gamma ο \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ ,  $ο \dot{\nu} ο \mu a \iota$ ,  $\delta ο \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\nu \rho \dot{\mu} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ; hope  $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi \dot{\iota} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ; suppose  $\dot{\nu} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ; suspect  $\dot{\nu} \pi o \pi \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \omega$ ; guess  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\iota} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ; feel confident  $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega}$ ; disbelieve  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$ . The use of  $\dot{\omega}$ s is rare, while  $\ddot{\sigma} \tau \iota$  is very rare (2580).
- a. Verbs of perceiving sometimes take the infinitive by analogy to verbs of thinking; as  $d\kappa o \omega_{\phi}$ ,  $a l \sigma \theta d \nu o \mu a l$ ,  $\pi v v \theta d \nu o \mu a l$  (2144).
- 2019. Each tense of direct discourse is retained (with its proper meaning as regards stage of action) when it becomes infinitive in indirect discourse; but an imperfect is represented by the present infinitive; a pluperfect, by the perfect infinitive. See 1866, 1867.
- **2020.** An original of of direct discourse is generally, an original  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is always, retained in indirect discourse. But in some cases of becomes  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (2723 ff.).

- 2021. The infinitive is the subject of the passive of verbs of saying and thinking (1982 a). So with δοκει it seems, φαίνεται it is plain, etc.
- 2022. The infinitive represents a finite verb after verbs of saying and thinking.
- a. εὖνοί φāσιν εἶναι they assert that they are loyal L. 12. 49, οὐδεὶς ἔφασκεν γιγνώσκειν αὐτόν nobody said that he knew him 23. 3, οἱ ἡγεμόνες οἴ φᾶσιν (2692) εἶναι ἄλλην ὀδόν the guides say there is no other road X.A.4.1.21, πάντες ἐροῦσι τὸ λοιπὸν μηδὲν εἶναι κερδαλεώτερον τῆς ἀρετῆς everybody in time to come will say that there is nothing more profitable than bravery X.C.7.1.18. Other examples 1867.
- b. βασιλεύς νῖκῶν ἡγεῖται the king thinks he is victorious (= νῖκῶ, cp. 1887) Χ. Α. 2.1.11, οἴομαι βέλτιστον εἶναι I think it is best 5.1.8, ὑπώπτευον ἐπὶ βασιλέᾶ lέναι they suspected that they were to go against the king 1.3.1, (Σωκράτης) τὸ ἀγνοεῖν ἐαυτὸν ἐγγυτάτω . . . μανίᾶς ἐλογίζετο εἶναι Socrates was of the opinion that for a man not to know himself was very near to madness X. M. 3.9.6.
- c. When a word of saying is expressed or implied in what precedes, several infinitives may be used where the indicative is employed in translation. So in the narration in X.C.1.3.5-6.
- 2023. The infinitive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  represents an indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  or a potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . See 1846, 1848, 1849, 2270.
- 2024. Verbs signifying to hope, expect, promise, threaten, and swear take the future infinitive in indirect discourse, and the aorist (less often the present) infinitive not in indirect discourse (like verbs of will or desire, 1868, 1999).  $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon i \nu I$  hope that I shall do this,  $\lambda \pi i \zeta \omega \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon i \nu I$  hope to do this.

## THE INFINITIVE WITH THE ARTICLE (ARTICULAR INFINITIVE)

- 2025. The articular infinitive, while having the character of a substantive, retains the functions of a verb. In its older use the articular infinitive is a subject or object; the nearest approach to this use in Homer is  $\partial v t \eta$  kal  $\tau \delta$   $\partial v v \lambda d \sigma \sigma \epsilon v$  to watch is also trouble v 52. In the tragic poets the genitive and dative are rarely used; in the speeches in Thucydides and in Demosthenes all of its four cases appear with great frequency. The articular infinitive may take dependent clauses.
- 2026. The articular infinitive admits the constructions of an ordinary substantive.
- Nom. τὸ ποιείν making or to make, τὸ ποιήσειν, τὸ ποιήσαι, τὸ πεποιηκέναι Gen. τοῦ ποιείν of making, τοῦ ποιήσειν, τοῦ ποιήσαι, etc.
- Dat. τῷ ποιείν for making, by making, τῷ ποιήσειν, τῷ ποιήσαι, etc.
- Acc. tò poieên, tò poihσειν, τὸ poihσαι, etc.
- . 2027. The articular infinitive is treated as subject, predicate noun, and object like the simple infinitive (1984–1986).

- 2028. The negative of the articular infinitive is  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ .
- 2029. The articular infinitive may indicate time (after verbs of saying or thinking, 2034 g), or may be timeless.
- 2030. The articular infinitive is in general used like the infinitive without the article, and may take  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$ ; as regards its constructions it has the value of a substantive. The article is regularly used when the connection uniting the infinitive to another word has to be expressed by the genitive, the dative, or a preposition.
- a. The articular infinitive is rarely used, like a true substantive, with the subjective genitive:  $\tau \delta \ \gamma' \ \epsilon \hat{v} \ \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \nu \ a \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \mu \tilde{\iota} \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \epsilon \ initiate \ at least their wisdom D. 19. 269.$

#### 2031. NOMINATIVE OF THE ARTICULAR INFINITIVE

Subject (1984):  $\nu$ éois τὸ σῖγῶν κρεῖττόν ἐστι τοῦ λαλεῖν in the young silence is better than speech Men. Sent. 387, τὸ Νελοποννησίους αὐτοῖς μὴ βοηθῆσαι παρέσχεν ὑμῖν . . . Σαμίων κόλασιν the fact that the Peloponnesians did not come to their assistance enabled you to punish the Samians T. 1.41.

#### 2032. GENITIVE OF THE ARTICULAR INFINITIVE

- a. The genitive of the articular infinitive is used to limit the meaning of substantives, adjectives, and verbs.
- b. Adnominal (1290):  $\tau \circ \hat{v}$   $\pi \iota \hat{\epsilon} \nu$   $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \hat{\theta} \bar{\nu} \mu la$  from desire to drink T. 7. 84,  $\pi \rho \delta s$   $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$   $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$   $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta \alpha \lambda \delta \nu \tau \hat{\epsilon} s$   $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a$   $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \sigma \nu$   $\tau \circ \hat{\nu}$   $\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \nu$  they attacked the city and entertained hopes of taking it 2.56.
- c. Partitive (1306): τοῦ θαρσεῖν τὸ πλεῖστον εἰληφότες having gained the greatest amount of courage T. 4.34. After comparatives (1431): τἱ οὖν ἐστιν . . . τοῦ τοῖς φίλοις ἀρήγειν κάλλῖον; what then is nobler than to help one's friends? X. C. 1.5.13.
- d. After verbs: ἐπέσχομεν τοῦ δακρύειν we desisted from weeping P.Ph. 117 e (cp. 1392).
- e. Purpose (cp. 1408), often a negative purpose: τοῦ μὴ τὰ δίκαια ποιεῖν in order not to do what was just D. 18. 107, ἐτειχίσθη ἀταλάντη . . . τοῦ μὴ ληστὰς . . . κακουργεῖν τὴν Εὔβοιαν Atalante was fortified to prevent pirates from ravaging Euboea T. 2. 32. More common is the use with ὑπέρ (2032 g) or ἕνεκα.
- f. Genitive Absolute (2070):  $\dot{\epsilon}n'$  ekelvois dè outos alei  $\tau$ où  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \chi \epsilon_i \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$  kal  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$   $\dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$ vai de $\hat{\iota}$   $\tau$  de  $\tau$
- g. After prepositions, e.g. dντl τοῦ ἐπl Καρlαν lέναι . . . ἐπl Φρυγlαs ἐπορεύετο instead of going against Caria, he marched toward Phrygia X. H. 3. 4. 12, άνευ τοῦ σωφρονεῖν without exercising self-control X. M. 4. 3. 1. Το express purpose the genitive with ὑπέρ is very common: ὑπὲρ τοῦ τούτων γενέσθαι κόριος . . . πάντα πρᾶγματεύεται he devotes his every effort that he may become master of these D. 8. 45, ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ τὸ κελευόμενον ποιῆσαι in order not to do what was commanded 18. 204. Furthermore, after ἀπό, πρό, διά, μετά, περί, ὑπό, ἕνεκα, χάριν, χωρίς, πλήν, μέχρι; and after adverbs. In Hdt. τοῦ may be omitted after ἀντί.

#### 2033. DATIVE OF THE ARTICULAR INFINITIVE

- a. With verbs, adjectives, and adverbs: thus, iνa... ἀπιστῶσι τῷ ἐμὲ τετιμῆσθαι ὑπὸ δαιμόνων that they may distrust my having been honoured by divine powers X. Ap. 14, τῷ ζῆν ἐστί τι ἐναντίον, ὥσπερ τῷ ἐγρηγορέναι τὸ καθεύδειν; is it something opposed to living, as sleeping to waking? P. Ph. 71 c, οὐδενὶ τῶν πάντων πλέον κεκράτηκε Φίλιππος ἢ τῷ πρότερος πρὸς τοῖς πράγμασι γίγγεσθαι Philip has conquered us by nothing so much as by being beforehand in his operations D. 8.11, ἄμα τῷ τἰμᾶν at the same time that we honour P. R. 468 e, ἴσον δὲ τῷ προστένειν equal to sorrowing beforehand A. Ag. 252.
- b. After prepositions: e.g. οὐ γὰρ ἐπὶ τῷ δοῦλοι, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῷ ὁμοῖοι τοῖς λειπομένοις εἶναι ἐκπέμπονται (ἀποικοι) for colonists are not sent out on the basis of being inferiors, but on the basis of being the equals of those who are left at home T. 1. 34, ὁ μὲν πρὸς τῷ μηδὲν ἐκ τῆς πρεσβείᾶς λαβεῖν, τοὺς αἰχμαλώτους . . . ἐλύσατο the one, in addition to gaining nothing from the embassy, ransomed the prisoners of var D. 19. 229, ἐν τῷ φρονεῖν γὰρ μηδὲν ἡδιστος βίος for tife is sweetest in being conscious of nothing S. Aj. 553.

#### 2034. ACCUSATIVE OF THE ARTICULAR INFINITIVE

- a. Object (cp. 1989): δείσᾶς τὸ ζῆν fearing to live P.A.28 d, μεῖζον μέν φαμεν κακὸν τὸ ἀδικεῖν, ἔλᾶττον δὲ τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι we call doing wrong a greater evil, being wronged a lesser P.G.509 c.
- b. After prepositions: e.g. μέγιστον ἀγαθὸν τὸ πειθαρχεῖν φαίνεται εἰς τὸ καταπράττειν τάγαθά obedience appears to be an advantage of the greatest importance with regard to the successful accomplishment of excellent objects X. C. 8. 1. 3, τῶν ἀπάντων ἀπερίοπτοί εἰσι παρὰ τὸ νἰκᾶν they are indifferent to everything in comparison with victory T. 1. 41, πρὸς τὸ μετρίων δείσθαι πεπαιδευμένος schooled to moderate néeds X. M. 1. 2. 1, πῶς ἔχεις πρὸς τὸ ἐθέλειν ἀν ἰέναι ἄκλητος ἐπὶ δείπνον; how do you feel about being willing to go uninvited to supper? P. S. 174 a (cp. ἐθέλοις ἀν ἰέναι). Furthermore, after διά, ἐπί, κατά, μετά, περί.
- d. So after adjectives. Thus, μακρὸς τὸ κρῖναι ταῦτα χώ λοιπὸς χρόνος the future is long (i.e. time enough) to decide this S. El. 1030.
- e. This object infinitive after verbs is often an internal accusative. The accusative after verbs and nouns is, in many cases, like an accusative of respect (1600); as τὸ δρῶν οὐκ ἡθέλησων they refused to do it S.O.C. 442, αἰσχύνονται τὸ τολμῶν they are ashamed to dare P. Soph. 247 b, οὐδ ἐμοί τοι τοὐξωνιστάναι ἐστὶ θάρσος nor have I courage to remove thee S.O.C. 47, τὸ μὲν ἐς τὴν γῆν ἡμῶν

έσβάλλειν... iκανοί είσι they are able to make an inroad into our country T. 6. 17. This infinitive after adjectives (and sometimes after verbs) occurs when the simple infinitive expresses purpose or result, as in τίς Μήδων... σοῦ ἀπελείφθη τὸ μή σοι ἀκολουθεῖν; what one of the Medes remained away from you so as not to attend you? X. C. 5. 1.25.

strike any one he caught X. C. 1. 4. 21.

- g. Verbs of saying and thinking rarely take the articular infinitive (also with  $\delta \nu$ ): Exome  $\hat{\tau}$  to  $\mu\hat{\eta}$  eldérai; wilt thou swear thou didst not know? S. Ant. 535,  $\tau\hat{\eta}$ s elatios gap kryomai dedragaments,  $\tau\hat{\theta}$   $\mu\hat{\eta}$  marein  $\delta \nu$  did  $\delta \nu$  and  $\delta \nu$  did  $\delta \nu$  di
- h. On the use of the object infinitive with  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  and  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  où, see 2744 and 2749.
- The accusative with the infinitive may stand in the absolute construction: έπεί γε τὸ ἐλθεῖν τοῦτον, οἶμαι θεόν τινα αὐτὸν ἐπ' αὐτὴν ἀγαγεῖν τὴν τῖμωρίᾶν as for his coming, I believe that some god brought him to his very punishment Lyc. 91.

## OTHER USES OF THE ARTICULAR INFINITIVE

2035. Apposition (cp. 1987). The articular infinitive, in any case, is often used in apposition to a preceding word, especially a demonstrative.

τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ ἀδικεῖν, τὸ πλέον τῶν ἄλλων ζητεῖν ἔχειν injustice is this: to seek to have more than other people P. G. 483 c, τι γὰρ τούτου μακαριώτερον, τοῦ γῆ μχθῆναι κτλ. for what is more blessed than this: to be commingled with the earth, etc. X. C. 8. 7. 25, δοκεῖ τούτω διαφέρειν ἀνηρ τῶν ἄλλων ζώων, τῷ τἶμῆς ὁρέγεσθαι man differs herein from other creatures that he aspires after honour X. Hi. 7. 3.

- 2036. In Exclamation (cp. 2015). Thus, της τύχης το έμε νῦν κληθέντα δεῦρο τυχεῖν my ill-luck! that I should happen now to have been summoned hither! X. C. 2. 2. 3.
- 2037. With Adjuncts. The articular infinitive may take various adjuncts including dependent clauses, the whole forming one large substantival idea.
- τὸ μὲν γὰρ πόλλ' ἀπολωλεκέναι κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον the fact that we have lost much in the war D. 1.10, πέπεισμαι . . . τὰ πλείω τῶν πρᾶγμάτων ἡμᾶς ἐκπεφευγέναι τῷ μὴ βούλεσθαι τὰ δέοντα ποιεῖν, ἢ τῷ μὴ συνῖέναι I am persuaded that more of your advantages have escaped you from your not being willing to do your duty than from your ignorance 3.3, καὶ γὰρ πάνυ μοι δοκεῖ ἄφρονος ἀνθρώπου εἶναι τὸ (μεγάλου ἔργου ὅντος τοῦ ἐαυτῷ τὰ δέοντα παρασκευάζειν) μὴ ἀρκεῖν τοῦτο, ἀλλὰ προσανειόεθαι τὸ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις πολίταις ὅν δέονται πορίζειν and in fact, since it is a serious business to provide for one's own necessities, it seems to me to be the part of an utter fool not to rest content with that, but in addition to take upon himself the burden of providing for the needs of the rest of the community X. M. 2. 1.8.

# CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE INFINITIVE WITH VERBS OF hindering

**2038.** Verbs signifying (or suggesting) to hinder take both the simple infinitive and the articular infinitive. Such verbs may take the strengthening but redundant negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (2739); and some, when themselves negatived or appearing in a question expecting a negative answer, admit the addition of the sympathetic  $o\dot{v}$  (2742). Hence we have a variety of constructions (described in 2744 ff.)

#### THE PARTICIPLE

**2039.** The participle ( $\mu\epsilon\tau$ o $\chi$  $\dot{\eta}$  participation) is a verbal adjective, in part a verb, in part an adjective.

2040. The participle is like a verb herein:

- a. It shows the distinctions of voice and tense. Its tenses mark action simply occurring, continuing, and completed.
- b. It can have an object in the same case (genitive, dative, accusative) as the finite forms.
  - c. It is modified by adverbs, not by adjectives.
- d. It may take  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ , and, with that particle, represents  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  with the indicative or  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  with the optative (1845 ff.).
- **2041.** The participle shows its adjectival nature by being inflected and by admitting the article before it, both of which characteristics give it the character of a noun. It follows the rules of agreement like other adjectives (1020). Unlike the adjective, it represents a quality in action (cp. 1857).
- **2042.** The participle is always used in connection with a substantive or a substantive pronoun, which may be contained in a verbal form, as διάγουσι μανθάνοντες they spend their time in learning.
- 2043. The tenses of the participle (except the future) not in indirect discourse are timeless, and denote only stage of action (1872). When they stand in indirect discourse and represent the indicative, they denote time relatively to that of the main verb.
- **2044.** The future participle marks an action as in prospect at the time denoted by the leading verb. Since it expresses an idea of will, it shows that an action is purposed, intended, or expected. With the article it denotes the person or thing likely (or able) to do something (=  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$  with inf. 1959). The nearest approach to mere futurity appears in general only after verbs of knowing and perceiving (2106, cp. 2112 b).
- ό δ' ἀνηρ αὐτης λαγώς ὅχετο θηράσων but her husband had gone to hunt hares X.A.4.5.24, ὁ ἡγησόμενος οὐδείς ἔσται there will be no one to guide us 2.4.5, πολλά...δεῖ τὸν εδ στρατηγήσοντα (= τὸν μέλλοντα εδ στρατηγήσειν) ἔχειν he who

intends to be a good general must have many qualifications X.M.3.1.6, θανουμένη γὰρ ἐξήδη for I knew that I should (or must) die S. Ant. 460 (cp. 2106).

**2045.** The negative of the participle is  $o\dot{v}$ , except when the participle has a general or conditional force, or occurs in a sentence which requires  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ . See 2728.

2046. The participle has three main uses.

A. Attributive: as an attributive to a substantive.

- B. Circumstantial (or Adverbial): denoting some attendant circumstance and qualifying the main verb like an adverbial phrase or clause.
- C. Supplementary: as a supplement to a verbal predicate, which, without such a supplement, would be incomplete.
- 2047. The circumstantial and supplementary participles are predicate participles.
- 2048. The attributive and circumstantial participles are commonly not necessary to the construction; but the removal of a supplementary participle may make the construction incomplete. The circumstantial participle is used by way of apposition to the subject of the verb and, though strictly predicative, may agree attributively with a noun or pronoun. An attributive participle may be circumstantial, as οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι διατελέσαι τὴν ὁδὸν ἐνυκτέρευσαν ἄσῖτοι those who (i.e. if any) were unable to complete the march passed the night without food X. A. 4. 5. 11. A participle may be both circumstantial and supplementary, as άδικούμενοι δργίζονται (T.1.77) they are enraged at being wronged or because (when, if) they are wronged. Circumstantial and supplementary participles often cannot be sharply distinguished; as with verbs signifying to be angry, ashamed, content, pleased (2100), inferior to, do wrong (2101), endure (2098), come and go (2099). Thus, άδικῶ ταῦτα ποιῶν I do wrong in doing this or I am quilty in doing this: in the first case ταῦτα ποιῶν is appositive to the subject of the verb; in the second these words define the predicate adjective άδικος contained in  $\delta \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$  (=  $\delta \delta \iota \kappa \delta s \epsilon \ell \mu \iota$ ).

#### THE ATTRIBUTIVE PARTICIPLE

- 2049. The attributive participle (with any modifier), with or without the article, modifies a substantive like any other adjective.
- ο ἐφεστηκώς κίνδῦνος τη πόλει the danger impending over the State D.18.176, οι ὅντες ἐχθροί the existing enemies 6.15, ὁ παρὼν καιρός the present crisis 3.3, τὸ Κοτύλαιον ὀνομαζόμενον ὅρος the mountain called Cotylaeum Aes. 3.86, αὶ Αἰόλου νησοι καλούμεναι the so-called islands of Aeolus T.3.88 (cp. 1170). For the position of an attributive participle with its modifiers, see 1166.
- 2050. The substantive with which the attributive participle (with the article) agrees directly, may be omitted, the participle thus becoming a substantive (1153 b, and N. I); as, δ οἴκαδε βουλόμενος ἀπιέναι whoever wants to go home X. A. 1. 7. 4. Neuter participles are often substantival, as τὰ δέοντα duties.
  - a. Substantives or relative clauses must often be used to translate such par-

ticiples, as  $\dot{o}$  φεύγων the exile or the defendant, το μέλλον the future, of νῖκῶντες the victors,  $\dot{o}$  κλέπτων the thief, of θανόντες the dead,  $\dot{o}$  σωθείς the man who has been saved, of δεδιότες those who are afraid, of άδικούμενοι those who are (being) wronged,  $\dot{o}$  τὴν γνώμην ταύτην εἰπών the one who gave this opinion T.8.68,  $\dot{o}$  ένταθθ' ἐαυτὸν τάξᾶς τῆς πολῖτείᾶς εἴμ' ἐγώ the man who took this position in the State was I D.18.62. The participle with the article may represent a relative clause of purpose or result, as X.A.2.4.5 cited in 2044.

- **2051.** A participle may be modified by adjectives or take a genitive, when its verbal nature has ceased to be felt:  $\tau \dot{a} \mu \bar{\iota} \kappa \rho \dot{a} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \nu \tau a \tau \hat{\eta} s$   $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$  the petty interests of the State D. 18. 28. Cp.  $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$  it was advantageous to the State 19. 75 (here the participle is used like a predicate). Thucydides often uses in an abstract sense a substantival neuter participle where the infinitive would be more common, e.g.,  $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \dot{\delta} \iota \dot{\delta} s$  fear,  $\tau \dot{\delta} \theta a \rho \sigma c \hat{\nu} \nu$  courage (for  $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \iota \dot{\epsilon} \iota u$ ,  $\tau \dot{\delta} \theta a \rho \sigma c \dot{\nu} \nu$ ) 1. 36. See 1153 b, N. 2. In poetry many participles are used substantively, as  $\dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$  father,  $\dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \sigma a$  mother, of  $\tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \tau c \sigma \nu \sigma a$
- 2052. The article with the participle is either generic or particular (1124). Thus, δ λέγων the definite speaker on a particular occasion, or orator in general. So δ οὐ δράσធ̄s the definite person who did not do something, ὁ μὴ δράσធ̄s any one who did not do something (a supposed case), ὁ μὴ γαμῶν ἄνθρωπος οὐκ ἔχει κακά the unmarried man has no troubles Men. Sent. 437. Generic are ὁ τυχών, ὁ βουλόμενος, 2050 a.
- a. Participles having an indefinite force may, especially in the plural number, be used without the article. Thus, κατασκεψομένους ἔπεμπε he sent men to reconnoitre X. C. 3. 1. 2, ἀδικοῦντα πειρᾶσόμεθα . . . ἀμόνασθαι we shall endeavour to avenge ourselves on any one who injures us X. A. 2. 3. 23.
- 2053. A participle and its substantive often correspond to a verbal noun with the genitive or to an articular infinitive. Cp. post urbem conditam and Milton's "Since created man."
- τῷ σίτῳ ἐπιλείποντι ἐπιέζοντο they suffered from the failure of the crops  $(= \tau \hat{\eta})$  τοῦ σίτου ἐπιλείψει) Τ. 3. 20, δι ὑμᾶς μη ξυμμαχήσαντας by reason of your not joining the alliance  $(= \delta \iota \hat{\alpha} \ \tau \hat{o} \ \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\alpha} \ s \ \mu \hat{\eta} \ \xi \nu \mu \mu \alpha \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota)$  6. 80, μετὰ Συρᾶκούσᾶς οἰκισθείσᾶς after the foundation of Syracuse 6. 3, ἐλδπει αὐτὸν ἡ χώρᾶ πορθουμένη the ravaging of the country grieved him X. A. 7. 7. 12, ἡ ὁργὴ σὺν τῷ φόβῳ λήγοντι ἄπεισι his wrath will disappear with the cessation of his fear X. C. 4. 5. 21.
- a. Except in expressions of time, such as ἄμα ἦρι ἀρχομένφ at the beginning of spring T.2.2, ἐπὶ Κόδρον βασιλεύοντος in the reign of Codrus Lyc. 84 (cp. 1689 b), this construction is in place only when the part is necessary to the sense. In poetry: Ζεθς γελοῖος ὁμνύμενος swearing by Zeus is ridiculous Ar. Nub. 1241; in Hom. A 601, I 682.

#### THE CIRCUMSTANTIAL PARTICIPLE

- 2054. The circumstantial participle is added, without the article, to a noun or pronoun to set forth some circumstance under which an action, generally the main action, takes place.
- a. The circumstantial participle thus qualifies the principal verb of the sentence like an adverbial clause or supplementary predicate. Cp.  $\mu\epsilon\tau\grave{a}$   $\tau a\hat{v}\tau a$   $\epsilon\hat{l}\pi\epsilon$

afterwards he said with  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} r \epsilon \hat{l} \pi \epsilon$  he said laughingly. Such participles usually have the force of subordinate clauses added to the main verb by conjunctions denoting time, condition, cause, etc.; but may often be rendered by adverbial phrases or even by a separate finite verb, which brings out distinctly the idea latent in the participle.

b. The circumstantial participle has no article. In agreement with a noun and its article, it stands before the article or after the noun (i.e. in the predicate position). By the agreement of the participle with a noun or pronoun, the predicate of the sentence is more exactly defined.

2055. The circumstantial participle has two main constructions each equivalent in meaning to a clause of time, condition, cause, etc.

2056. (I) The subject of the participle is identical with the noun or pronoun subject or object of the leading verb, and agrees with it in gender, number, and case.

(οι ἄνθρωποι) λιπόντες την όδον φεύγοντες όλιγοι ἀπέθνησκον by leaving the road and making off only a few were killed X. A. 4. 2. 7, προπέμψαντες κήρῦκα πόλεμον προεροῦντα having sent a herald in advance to proclaim war T. 1. 29.

2057. (II) Absolute participial clauses, in which a participle, and not a finite verb, forms the predicate. These are of two kinds.

2058. A. Genitive Absolute.—A participle agreeing in the genitive with its own subject, which is not identical with the subject of the leading verb, is said to stand in the genitive absolute. Cp. 2070.

Κύρος ἀνέβη ἐπὶ τὰ ὅρη οὐδενὸς κωλύοντος Cyrus ascended the mountains without any one preventing him X. A. 1. 2. 22.

N. — The English nominative absolute is represented by the Greek genitive absolute. Cp. Tennyson: "we sitting, as I said, the cock crew loud" =  $\eta\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  καθημένων, ὅπερ ἔλεγον, μέγα  $\tilde{\eta}$ σεν ὁ ἀλεκτρυών.

2059. B. Accusative Absolute. — When the participle has no definite subject (*i.e.* with impersonal verbs), the accusative absolute is used instead of the genitive absolute. Cp. 2076.

συνδόξαν τῷ πατρὶ καὶ τῷ μητρὶ γαμεῖ τὴν Κυαξάρου θυγατέρα on the approval of (lit. it seeming good to) his father and mother he married the daughter of Cyaxares X. C. 8. 5. 28.

2060. The circumstantial participle expresses simply circumstance or manner in general. It may imply various other relations, such as time, manner, means, cause, purpose, concession, condition, etc. But it is often impossible to assign a participle exclusively to any one of these relations (which are purely logical), nor can all the delicate relations of the participle be set forth in systematic form.

**2061.** Time. — The time denoted by the participle is only relative to that of the governing verb, and is to be inferred from the context. Each participial form in itself expresses only stage of action (1850).

ἀκούσᾶσι τοῖς στρατηγοῖς ταῦτα ἔδοξε τὸ στράτευμα συναγαγεῖν on hearing this it seemed best to the generals to collect the troops  $X.\ A.\ 4.\ 4.\ 19.$ 

- a. Several temporal participles have an adverbial force:  $\dot{a}$  px $\dot{o}$   $\dot{\mu}$   $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\nu}$
- 2062. Manner. παρήλαυνον τεταγμένοι they marched past in order X. A. 1. 2. 16, κραυγήν πολλήν έποιουν καλοῦντες άλλήλους they made a loud noise by calling to each other 2. 2. 17, προείλετο μᾶλλον τοῖς νόμοις ἐμμένων ἀποθανεῖν ἢ παρανομῶν ζῆν he preferred rather to abide by the laws and die than to disobey them and line X. M. 4. 4. 4, φατὲ μὲν εὐτυχεῖς εἶναι, ὡς καὶ ἐστὲ καλῶς ποιοῦντες you claim to be favoured by fortune as happily you are in fact Aes. 3. 232. To characterize a preceding statement with the participle in apposition to the subject of the preceding sentence; thus, ὀρθῶς γε ταῦτα λέγοντες yes, and saying this correctly X. O. 16. 2.
- a. Several participles of manner have an idiomatic meaning, e.g. ἀνύσῶs quickly (lit. having accomplished), ἔχων continually, persistently (lit. holding on), λαθών secretly, κλαίων to one's sorrow (lit. weeping), χαίρων with impunity (lit. rejoicing), φέρων hastily (lit. carrying off), φθάσῶs before (lit. anticipating). Thus, ἄνοιγ' ἀνύσῶs hurry up and open Ar. Nub. 181, ἔκπλουν ποιεῖται λαθών τὴν φυλακήν he sailed out unobserved by the guard T. 1. 65 (cp. 2096 f), φλυᾶρεῖς ἔχων you keep trifling P. G. 490 e, τοῦτον οὐδεὶς χαίρων ἀδικήσει no one will wrong him with impunity 510 d, ἀνέφξάς με φθάσῶς you opened the door before I could knock Ar. Plut. 1102 (cp. 2096 e).
- **2063.** Means (often the present participle). ληζόμενοι ζῶσι they live by pillaying X. C. 3. 2. 25, μὴ κρῖν' ὁρῶν τὸ κάλλος, ἀλλὰ τὸν τρόπον judge by regarding not beauty, but (by regarding) character Men. Sent. 333.
- **2064.** Cause. Παρύσατις . . . ὑπῆρχε τῷ Κύρῳ, φιλοῦσα αὐτὸν μᾶλλον ἢ τὸν βασιλεύοντα 'Αρταξέρξην Parysatis favoured Cyrus because she loved him more than she did Artaxerxes the king .X. A. 1. 1. 4, ἀπείχοντο κερδῶν αἰσχρὰ νομίζοντες εἶναι they held aloof from gains because they thought them disgraceful X. M. 1. 2. 22, τί γὰρ δεδιότες σφόδρα οὕτως ἐπείγεσθε; for what are you afraid of, that you are so desperately in haste? X. H. 1. 7. 26.
- a. τί μαθών what induced him to (lit. having learned what?), τί παθών what possessed him to (lit. having experienced what?) are used with the general sense of wherefore? in direct (with ὅ τι in indirect) questions expressing surprise or disapprobation; as τί μαθώντες ἐμαρτυρεῖτε ὑμεῖς; what put it into your heads to give evidence? D. 45. 38, τί παθώντε λελάσμεθα; what possessed us to forget? Λ313. Cp. τί βουλόμενος.
  - b. τί ἔχων; what's the matter with you? (lit. having what?)
- 2065. Purpose or Object. The future (sometimes the present) participle is used to denote purpose, especially after verbs denoting to come, go, send, summon, etc. Thus, προπέμψαντες κήρῦκα πόλεμον προεροῦντα having sent a herald in advance to proclaim war T. 1. 29, ὁ βάρβαρος ἐπὶ τὴν Ἑλλάδα δουλωσόμενος ἦλθεν the barbarians proceeded against Greece with the purpose of enslaving it 1. 18, συνεκάλεσαν ἀπὸ τῶν πόλεων ἀπᾶσῶν ἀκουσομένους (2052 a) τῆς παρὰ βασιλέως ἐπιστολῆς they summoned from all the cities men to listen to the letter from the king

- X. H. 7. 1. 39. Present: ἔπεμπον... λέγοντας ὅτι κτλ. they sent men to say that, etc. X. H. 2. 4. 37.
- **2066.** Opposition or Concession. οὐδὲν ἐρῶ πρὸς ταῦτα ἔχων εἰπεῖν I will make no reply to this though I might (speak) do so P. Lach. 197 c, πολλοί γὰρ ὅντες εὐγενεῖς εἰσιν κακοί for many, albeit noble by birth, are ignoble E. El. 551.
- **2067.** Condition (negative always  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ). σὐ δὲ κλύων (= ἐἀν κλύης) εἴσει τάχα but if you listen you shall soon know Ar. Av. 1390, οὐκ ἀν δύναιο  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  καμών (= εἰ  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  κάμοις) εὐδαιμονεῖν you cannot be happy unless you work E. fr. 461.
- **2068.** Any Attendant Circumstance. συλλέξᾶς στράτευμα ἐπολιόρκει Μίλητον having collected an army he laid siege to Miletus X-A. 1. 1. 7, παραγγέλλει τῷ Κλεάρχ $\varphi$  λαβόντι ἤκειν ὅσον ἦν αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$  στράτευμα he gave orders to Clearchus to come with all the force he had 1. 2. 1.
- a. ξχων having, ἄγων leading, φέρων carrying (mostly of inanimate objects), χρώμενος using, λαβών taking are used where English employs with. Thus, ξχων στρατιὰν ἀφικνεῖται he arrives with an army  $\Upsilon$ . 4.30, βοῦ χρώμενοι with a shout 2.84, ἐκέλευσε λαβόντα ἄνδρας ἐλθεῖν ὅτι πλείστους he ordered him to come with all the men he could (or to take . . . and come) X. A. 1.1.11.
- b. In poetry participles (especially) of verbs denoting motion are often added to verbs of *giving*, setting to make the action more picturesque (H. 304, S. Aj. 854).
- **2069.** The force of these circumstantial participles does not lie in the participle itself, but is derived from the context. Unless attended by some modifying adverb, the context often does not decide whether the participle has a temporal, a causal, a conditional, a concessive force, etc.; and some participles may be referred to more than one of the above classes. Thus,  $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \rho \delta' \delta \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \delta \nu$  ook  $\xi \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma a \nu \phi b \beta o \nu$  (Men. fr. 454) may mean: a father by threatening (= when or because or if or though, he threatens) does not excite much fear.

#### GENITIVE ABSOLUTE. ACCUSATIVE ABSOLUTE

- 2070. Genitive Absolute.—A circumstantial participle agreeing with a genitive noun or pronoun which is not in the main construction of the sentence, stands in the genitive absolute. Like other circumstantial participles, the genitive absolute expresses time, cause, condition, concession, or simply any attendant circumstance.
- a. Time:  $\tau a \tilde{v} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \dot{a} \chi \theta \eta$  Kóνωνος στρατηγοθντος these things were effected while Conon was in command I.9.56, τούτων λεχθέντων ανέστησαν this said, they rose X. A.3.3.1, 'Hiδνα . . . Μήδων έχόντων πολιορκία έλλον they blockaded and captured Eion which was held by the Medes T.1.98.
- b. Cause:  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \ \theta \eta \lambda \bar{\nu} \nu o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \ \kappa a \dot{a} \dot{a} \dot{\nu} \bar{\nu} \chi a \dot{a} \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \dot{\nu} \gamma \dot{\nu} \gamma \nu \sigma \tau a \dot{b} \dot{y}$  the enfeebling of the body, the spirit too is made weaker X.O.4.2.
- c. Opposition or Concession:  $\kappa al \mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu v v v v v v v \epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \delta \nu even though he is sending for me, I am unwilling to go X.A.1.3.10. <math>\kappa a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$  is usually added (2083).
  - d. Condition : οἴομαι καὶ νῦν ἔτι ἐπανορθωθῆναι ἂν τὰ πράγματα τούτων γιγνομέ-

you if these measures should be taken, I am of the opinion that even now our situation might be rectified D.9.76.

- e. Attendant Circumstance: Kûpos ἀνέβη ἐπὶ τὰ ὅρη οὐδενὸς κωλύοντος Cyrus ascended the mountains without opposition (lit. no one hindering) X. A. 1. 2. 22 (or since no one opposed him).
- 2071. ἐκών willing, ἄκων unwilling are properly participles and are treated as such (cp. 2117 c). Thus, ἐμοῦ οὐχ ἐκόντος without my consent S. Aj. 455.
- a. ἄκων, ἀεκαζόμενος, ἀφρονέων, ἀελπτέων, ἀνάρμενος, ἀνομολογούμενος, ἀτίζων are the only cases in Greek showing the earlier method of negativing the participle with alpha privative. Elsewhere οὐ οτ μή is used.
- 2072. The genitive of the participle may stand without its noun or pronoun
- b. When the noun or pronoun may easily be supplied otherwise; here, e.g., ἀνθρώπων οι πρᾶγμάτων is said to be supplied grammatically. Thus, ἰόντων εἰς μάχην when (men) are going into battle X. C. 3. 3. 54, τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον πρᾶχθέντων τῆς πόλεως γίγνεται τὰ χρήματα when (things) have happened in this way, the property belongs to the State D. 24. 12; and in ὕοντος (Διός, 934 a) πολλῷ when it was raining hard X. H. 1. 1. 16. Quasi-impersonal verbs (933) thus take the genitive rather than the accusative absolute: οὕτως ἔχοντος in this state of things P. R. 381 c, influenced by οὕτως ἐχόντων X. A. 3. 1. 40.
- ċ. When a subordinate clause with ὅτι follows upon the participle in the passive. Thus, ἐσαγγελθέντων ὅτι Φοίνισσαι νῆες ἐπ' αὐτοὺς πλέουσιν it having been announced that Phoenician ships were sailing against them T.1.116, δηλωθέντος ὅτι ἐν ταῖς ναυσὶ τῶν Ἑλλήνων τὰ πράγματα ἐγένετο it having been shown that the salvation of the Greeks depended on their navy 1.74. The plural is used when the subject of the subordinate clause is plural, or when several circumstances are mentioned.
- **2073.** Exceptionally, the subject of the genitive absolute is the same as that of the main clause. The effect of this irregular construction is to emphasize the idea contained in the genitive absolute. Thus,  $\beta o\eta \theta \eta \sigma d\nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o\theta \dot{\nu} \mu \omega s$   $\pi \delta \lambda i \nu \pi \rho o\sigma \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \nu \sigma a \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a if you assist us heartily, you will gain to your cause a State having a large navy T. 3. 13. The genitive absolute usually precedes the main verb.$
- a. The genitive absolute may be used where the grammatical construction demands the dative. Thus,  $\delta \iota a\beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta \tau \delta \Pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \delta \upsilon s$ . . .  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\delta\delta\eta$  a  $\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\varphi}$  b  $\dot{\tau}\iota$  Meyapa a  $\dot{\phi}\epsilon\sigma\tau\eta\kappa\epsilon$  when Pericles had already crossed over, news was brought to him that Megara had revolted T. 1.114 (in Latin: Pericli iam transgresso nuntiatum est).
- b. The subject of the genitive absolute may be identical with the object of the leading verb:  $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$   $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l$   $\tau\hat{\eta}\nu$  'E $\pi l\delta\alpha\nu\rho\sigma\nu$  &s  $\hat{\epsilon}\rho\hat{\eta}\mu\sigma\nu$  ovors... alphopothes they came against Epidaurus expecting to capture it undefended T.5.56.
  - 2074. Observe that the genitive absolute differs from the Latin ablative abso-

- lute herein: 1. The subject need not be expressed (2072). 2. The subject may appear in the leading clause (2073 a). 3. With a substantive the participle  $\breve{\omega}\nu$  is always added in prose, whereas Latin has to omit the participle. Thus,  $\pi al-\breve{\omega}\omega\nu$   $\breve{\delta}\nu\tau\omega\nu$   $\breve{\eta}\mu\breve{\omega}\nu$  nobis pueris P. S. 173 a. On  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\omega\tilde{\sigma}$   $\breve{\delta}\kappa\nu\tau\sigma\sigma$ s me invito, see 2071. 4. Because it has a present participle passive and an aorist and perfect participle active, Greek can use the genitive absolute where Latin, through lack of a past participle active, has to use a clause with dum, cum, etc. Thus,  $\breve{\delta}\eta_{\sigma}$   $\tau\tilde{\rho}s$   $\tau\delta\lambda\epsilon\omega$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\tilde{o}s$   $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\kappa\tilde{o}s$   $\kappa\nu\delta\tilde{o}\nu\sigma s$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\omega\mu\epsilon\nu\eta s$   $\tau\tilde{\omega}$   $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\tilde{\omega}$  cum bellicis in periculis universa respublica imperatori committatur X. M. 3. 1. 3,  $\tau\tilde{o}s$   $\pi\tilde{a}\iota\tilde{s}\tilde{o}s$   $\gamma\epsilon\lambda\tilde{a}\sigma\bar{a}\nu\tau\sigma s$  cum puer risisset. Latin uses the absolute case more frequently than Greek because it employs the perfect participle passive where Greek uses the aorist participle active. Thus,  $\kappa\tilde{\nu}\rho\sigma s$   $\sigma\nu\gamma\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tilde{a}s$   $\tau\tilde{o}s$   $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\sigma\dot{s}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\epsilon\nu$  Cyrus, convocatis ducibus, dixit X. A. 1. 4. 8.
- **2075.** The genitive absolute took its rise from such cases as  $\sum a \rho \pi \eta \delta o \nu \tau \iota \delta'$  &χος γένετο Γλαύκου ἀπιόντος but sorrow came on Sarpedon for Glaucus—departing M 392. The genitive, here properly dependent on &χος γένετο, ceased to be felt as dependent on the governing expression, and was extended, as a distinct construction, to cases in which the governing expression did not take the genitive. Cp. the development of the accusative with the infinitive (1981).
- 2076. Accusative Absolute. A participle stands in the accusative absolute, instead of the genitive, when it is impersonal, or has an infinitive as its subject (as under C). When impersonal, such participles have no apparent grammatical connection with the rest of the sentence.
- A. Impersonal verbs: δέον, ἐξόν, μετόν, παρόν, προσῆκον, μέλον, μεταμέλον, παρέχον, παρασχόν, τυχόν, δοκοῦν, δόξαν, Οτ δόξαντα (ταῦτα), γενόμενον ἐπ' ἐμοί as it was in my power.
- οὐδεὶς τὸ μεῖζον κακὸν aiρήσεται ἐξὸν τὸ ἔλᾶττον (aiρεῖσθαι) no one will choose the greater evil when it is possible to choose the less P. Pr.  $358\,\mathrm{d}$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\mathrm{s}$  (βουλ $\mathring{\eta}\mathrm{s}$ ) νῦν ἀξιοῖ τυχεῖν οὐ μετὸν αὐτῷ to which he now claims admission though he has no right L.  $31.\,32,\, δ\mathring{\eta}\mathrm{h}\mathrm{o}\nu$  γὰρ ὅτι οἶσθα μέλον γέ σοι for of course you know because it concerns you P. A.  $24\,\mathrm{d}$ , μετμέλοντο ὅτι μετὰ τὰ ἐν Πύλφ, καλῶς παρασχόν, οὐ ξυνέβησαν they repented that after what had occurred at Pylos, although a favourable occasion had presented itself, they had not come to terms T.  $5.\,14$ . Cp.  $2086\,\mathrm{d}$ , 2087.
- N. Apart from  $\delta \delta \xi a \nu$ ,  $\tau \nu \chi \delta \nu$ , the accusative absolute of the acrist participle of impersonal verbs is very rare.
- B. Passive participles used impersonally: γεγραμμένον, δεδογμένον, εἰρημένον, προσταχθέν, προστεταγμένον. Cp. Eng. granted this is so, this done, which said.

εἰρημένον δ' αὐταῖς ἀπαντᾶν ἐνθάδε . . . εὕδουσι κοὐχ ἤκουσιν though it was told them to meet here, they sleep and have not come Ar. Lys. 13, προσταχθέν μοι ὑπὸ τοῦ δήμου Μένωνα ἄγειν εἰς Ἑλλήσποντον a command having been given (it having been commanded) me by the people to convey Menon to the Hellespont D. 50. 12.

N. — The agrist participle passive is rarely used absolutely:  $\dot{a}\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ,  $\dot{a}\pi\rho\rho\rho\eta$ θέν, καταχειροτονηθέν, κυρωθέν, όρισθέν, περανθέν, προσταχθέν, χρησθέν.

C. Adjectives with ὄν: ἄδηλον ὄν, δυνατὸν ὄν, ἀδύνατον ὄν, αἰσχρὸν ὄν,

καλὸν ὄν, χρεών (χρεώ + ὄν), etc.

σε ούχι εσώσαμεν . . . οίδν τε δν και δυνατόν we did not rescue you although it was both feasible and possible P. Cr. 46 a, ώς οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον (ον) τὸ κλέπτειν, αιτιά τὸν κλέπτοντα on the ground that stealing is not necessary you accuse the thief X. C. 5. 1. 13.

2077. The impersonal character of the above expressions would not be shown by the genitive since the participle in that case marks a distinction between masculine (neuter) and feminine. The accusative absolute, which occurs first in Herodotus and the Attic prose writers of the fifth century, is probably in its origin an internal accusative, developed, at least in part, by way of apposition (991-994), the neuter of a participle or of an adjective standing in apposition to an idea in the leading clause. Thus, προσταχθέν αὐτοῖς οὐκ ἐτόλμησαν εἰσαγαγεῖν (Is. 1. 22) they did not dare to bring him in - a duty that was enjoined (although it was enjoined) upon them. Cp. πείθει δ' 'Ορέστην μητέρα . . . κτείναι, πρὸς οὐχ ἄπαντας εὔκλειαν φέρον he persuaded Orestes to slay his mother, a deed that brings not alory in the eyes of all E. Or. 30.

2078. The participle of a personal verb may be used absolutely if it is preceded by ώs or ώσπερ. Thus, ηύχετο πρός τους θεούς τάγαθά διδόναι, ώς τους θεούς κάλλιστα είδότας όποῖα ἀγαθά έστι (Socrates) prayed to the gods that they would give him good things, in the belief that the gods know best what sort of things are good X.M.1.3.2,  $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\eta}$  έδεί  $\pi \nu \sigma \upsilon \nu$ ,  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$  το  $\tilde{\upsilon}$  το  $\sigma$  το  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$  αυτο  $\tilde{\iota}$  they were supping in silence just as if this had been enjoined upon them X.S.1.11.

.a. Cases without ώς or ώσπερ are rare. Thus, δόξαντα ὑμῖν ταῦτα εἴλεσθε άνδρας είκοσι on reaching this conclusion you chose twenty men And. 1.81; cp. δόξαν ταθτα Χ. Α. 4. 1. 13 (by analogy to έδοξε σαθτα) and δοξάντων τούτων Χ. Η. 1.7.30. Neuter participles so used come chiefly from impersonal verbs, but Τ. 4. 125 has κυρωθέν οὐδέν οἱ Μακεδόνες έχώρουν ἐπ' οἴκου the Macedonians proceeded homewards, nothing having been accomplished. The neuter subject is a pronoun, very rarely a substantive (I.5.12).

# ADVERBS USED IN CONNECTION WITH CIRCUMSTANTIAL PARTICIPLES

2079. Adverbs are often used to set forth clearly the relations of time, manner, cause, concession, etc., that are implied in the participle. They occur also with the genitive and accusative absolute. These adverbs modify either the principal verb or the participle itself.

# ADVERBIAL ADJUNCTS OF THE PRINCIPAL VERB

2080. The adverbs επειτα thereupon, τότε, εἶτα (less often ἐνταῦθα) then, ἤδη already, ούτω so, when used with the verb of the sentence which contains a temporal participle, emphasize the temporal relation: (ὑμῶν δέομαι) ἀκροᾶσαμένους διὰ τέλους τῆς ἀπολογίᾶς τότε ήδη ψηφίζεσθαι κτλ. (I beg you) when you have heard my defence to the end, then and not till then to vote, etc. And 1.9, ὑπèρ μεγίστων καὶ καλλίστων κινδυνεύσαντες ουτω τον βίον ετελεύτησαν they incurred danger for a great and noble cause, and so ended their lives L. 2. 70.

- 2081. ἄμα at the same time, αὐτίκα immediately, εὐθύς straightway, μεταξύ between, in the midst, though strictly modifying the main verb, are often placed close to a temporal participle which they modify in sense: ἄμα ταῦτ' εἰπὼν ἀνέστη saying this, he rose Χ. Α. 3. 1. 47, τῷ δεξιῷ κέρα τῶν 'Αθηναίων εὐθὺς ἀποβεβηκότι . . . ἐπέκειντο they fell upon the right wing of the Athenians as soon as it had disembarked (lit. upon the right wing when it had disembarked) T. 4. 43, ἐξαναστάντες μεταξὺ δειπνοῦντες getting up in the middle of supper D. 18. 169, πολλαχοῦ με ἐπέσχε λέγοντα μεταξύ it often checked me when the words were on my lips (in the very act of speaking) P. A. 40 b.
- 2082. A participle implying opposition or concession (2066) may have its meaning rendered explicit by ὅμως yet, nevertheless (with or without καίπερ, 2083), εἶτα then or ἔπειτα afterwards to express censure or surprise (then, for all that): σὺν σοὶ ὅμως καὶ ἐν τῷ πολεμία ὅντες θαρροῦμεν with you, though we are in the enemies' country, nevertheless we have no fear X. C. 5. 1. 26, ἔπειτ' ἀπολιπών τοὺς θεοὺς ἐνθάδε μενεῖς; and then, though you desert the gods, will you remain here? Ar. Pl. 1148. ὅμως may attach itself more closely to the participle, though belonging with the principal verb: πείθου γυναιξί, καίπερ οὐ στέργων ὅμως take the advice of women ππε the less though thou likest it not A. Sept. 712.
- 2083. With participles of opposition or concession (2066): καίπερ although, καί (infrequent), although καὶ ταῦτα (947) and that too. Thus, συμβουλεύω σοι καίπερ νεώτερος ὄν I give you advice though I am your junior X.C. 4.5.32, ἀποπλεὶ οἰκαδε καίπερ μέσου χειμώνος δντος he sailed off home though it was midwinter X. Ag. 2.31, Κλέωνος καίπερ μανιώδης οὖσα ἡ ὑπόσχεσις ἀπέβη Cleon's promise, insane though it was, was fulfilled T.4.39, καὶ δοῦλος ὄν γὰρ τίμιος πλουτῶν ἀνήρ for, slave though he be, the man of wealth is held in esteem E. fr. 142, ἀδικεῖς ὅτι ἀνδρα ἡμῦν τὸν σπουδαιότατον διαφθείρεις γελᾶν ἀναπείθων, καὶ ταῦτα οὕτω πολέμιον ὅντα τῷ γέλωτι you do ưνονα in that you corrupt the most earnest man we have by tempting him to laugh, and that though he is such an enemy to laughter X.C.2.2.16. On καίτοι see 2803 b.
- a. In Homer the parts of  $\kappa a i \pi \epsilon \rho$  are often separated by the participle or an emphatic word connected with it:  $\kappa a i d\chi \nu i \mu \epsilon \nu o i$  although distressed M 178.  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  may stand alone without  $\kappa a i$ :  $d\nu a i \chi \epsilon \nu o$   $\kappa \eta \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu o$  hear up, though vexed A 586. Both uses occur in tragedy. The part, with  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  is not always concessive.
- b. In a negative sentence, οὐδέ (μηδέ), with or without  $\pi \epsilon \rho$ , takes the place of κal; as γυναικὶ  $\pi \epsilon i\theta$ ου μηδὲ τάληθῆ κλύων listen to a woman, though thou hearest not the truth E. fr. 440.
- 2084. With participles of cause (2064): ούτως, διὰ τοῦτο (ταῦτα), ἐκ τούτου. Thus, ἀνελόμενοι τὰ ναυάγια . . . καὶ ὅτι αὐτοῖς . . . οὐκ ἀντεπέπλεον, διὰ ταῦτα τροπαῖον ἔστησαν because they had picked up the wrecks and because they (the enemy) did not sail against them, (for this reason) they set up a trophy T. 1. 54.
- **2085.** With participles of cause  $(206\, 1)$ :  $\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon$   $(\tilde{a}\tau\epsilon$   $\delta\eta)$ ,  $\tilde{a}$  or  $\tilde{$

οδον δὲ διὰ χρόνου ἀφῖγμένος ητα ἐπὶ τὰς συνήθεις διατριβάς I returned in the evening from the camp, and, as I arrived after a long absence, I proceeded to my accustomed haunts P. Charm. 153 a, οῖα δὴ ἀπιόντων πρὸς δεῖπνον . . . τῶν πελταστῶν, . . . ἐπελαύνουσι inasmuch as the peltasts were going off to supper, they rode against them X. H. 5. 4. 39. ὅστε has the same force in Hdt.

- 2086. With participles of cause or purpose, etc. (2064, 2065): ως. This particle sets forth the ground of belief on which the agent acts, and denotes the thought, assertion, real or presumed intention, in the mind of the subject of the principal verb or of some other person mentioned prominently in the sentence, without implicating the speaker or writer.
- a. Thus,  $\delta\pi\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\nu\bar{\kappa}\dot{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$  may mean either they departed under the impression that they had been victorious (though as a matter of fact they may have been defeated) or pretending that they had been victorious (when they knew they had been defeated). The use of  $\dot{\omega}s$  implies nothing as to the opinion of the speaker or writer. On the other hand  $d\pi\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$   $\nu\bar{\kappa}\kappa\eta\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$  means that, as a matter of fact, and on the authority of the writer, they had been victorious.
- b.  $\omega_s$  may be rendered as if (though there is nothing conditional in the Greek use, as is shown by the negative oi, not  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ), by in the opinion (belief) that, on the ground that, under pretence of, under the impression that, because as he said (or thought); in the hope of, with the (avowed) intention of (with the future participle).
- c. ἐνταῦθ' ἔμενον ὡς τὸ ἄκρον κατέχοντες · οἱ δ' οὐ κατεῖχον, ἀλλὰ μαστὸς ἢν ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν there they remained in the belief that they were occupying the summit; but in fact they were not occupying it, since there was a hill above them X. A. 4.2.5, ταὐτην τὴν χώρᾶν ἐπέτρεψε διαρπάσαι τοῖς Ἑλλησιν ὡς πολεμίᾶν οὖσαν he turned this country over to the Greeks to ravage on the ground that it was hostile 1.2.19, τὴν πρόφασιν ἐποιεῖτο ὡς Πῖσίδας βουλόμενος ἐκβαλεῖν he made his pretence as if he wished (i.e. he gave as his pretext his desire) to expel the Pisidians 1.2.1, παρεσκευάζοντο ὡς πολεμήσοντες they made preparations to go to war (with the avowed intention of going to war) T.2.7, συλλαμβάνει Κῦρον ὡς ἀποκτενῶν he seized Cyrus for the purpose (as he declared) of putting him to death X. A. 1.1.3, and often with the future participle. After verbs of motion ὡς is rarely used.
- d.  $\dot{\omega}s$  with the absolute participle:  $\dot{\omega}$  δεῖ ἀθῦμεῖν  $\dot{\omega}s$  οὐκ εὐτάκτων ὅντων Αθηναίων we must not be discouraged on the ground that the Athenians are not well disciplined X. M. 3. 5. 20, ἔλεγε θαρρεῖν  $\dot{\omega}s$  καταστησομένων τούτων ές τὸ δέον he bade him be of good cheer in the assurance that this would arrange itself in the right way X. A. 1. 3. 8,  $\dot{\omega}s$  έξὸν ἤδη ποιεῖν αὐτοῖς ὅ τι βούλοιντο, πολλοὺς ἀπέκτεινον in the belief that it was already in their power to do what they pleased, they put many to death X. H. 2. 3. 21. Cp. also 2078, and 2122.
- **2087.** ὅσπερ as, just as, as it were, an adverb of comparison, denotes that the action of the main verb is compared with an assumed case. Thus, κατακείμεθ ὅσπερ ἐξὸν ἡσυχίᾶν ἄγειν we lie inactive just as if it were possible to take one's ease X. A. 3.1.3, ὡρχοῦντο . . . ὅσπερ ἐπιδεικνύμενοι they danced as it were making an exhibition 5.4.34, ol δὲ ὡς ἤκουσαν, ὥσπερ συὸς ἀγρίου φανέντος, ἴενται ἐπ' αὐτόν but when they heard him, just as though a wild boar had appeared, they rushed against him 5.7.24. Cp. 2078.

- a. Where a condition is meant, we have ώσπερ αν εί (ώσπερανεί). Cp. 2480 a.
- b. Hom. uses ως τε, ως εί, ως εί τε like ωσπερ or ως. ως εί, ως εί τε occur also in tragedy, and do not have a conditional force. Thus, δλοφῦρόμενοι ως εί θανατώνδε κιώντα bewailing him as if he were going to death Ω 328. Cp. 2481.

#### THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE

- 2088. The supplementary participle completes the idea of the verb by showing that to which its action relates.
- 2089. The supplementary participle agrees either with the subject or with the object of the main verb; with the subject when the verb is intransitive or passive, with the object when the verb is transitive.

οὅποτ' ἐπαυόμην ἡμᾶς οἰκτίρων I never ceased pitying ourselves X. A. 3. 1. 19, τοὺς πένητας ἔπαυσ' ἀδικουμένους I put a stop to the poor being wronged D. 18. 102, ἐώρων οὐ κατορθοῦντες καὶ τοὺς στρατιώτᾶς ἀχθομένους they saw that they (themselves) were not succeeding and that the soldiers were indignant T. 7.47, ἀδικοῦντα Φίλιππον ἐξήλεγξα I proved that Philip was acting unjustly D. 18. 136, εὐθὺς ἐλεγχθήσεται γελοῖος ἄν he will straightway be proved to be ridiculous X. M. 1. 7.2.

- a. When the object is the same as the subject, it is commonly suppressed, and the participle agrees with the subject. Thus,  $\delta\rho\tilde{\omega}$   $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\alpha\mu\alpha\rho\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega\nu$  I see that I err E. Med. 350,  $I\sigma\theta\iota$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu\dot{\alpha}\eta\tau$ os  $\ddot{\omega}\nu$  know that you are a fool X. A. 2. 1. 13, obtain  $\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$   $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\alpha\pi\alpha\tau\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu\omega\iota$ ; do you not perceive that you are being deceived? X. H. 7. 1. 12,  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\dot{\eta}\lambda\omega\sigma\epsilon$   $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\nu\dot{\omega}\mu\omega\nu$   $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\phi\rho\sigma\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$  he showed that he despised the laws And. 4. 14.
- b. For the sake of emphasis or contrast (and to secure greater symmetry) the object may be expressed by the reflexive pronoun. Thus, olda  $\ell\mu a\nu\tau d\nu$  dikalws  $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \delta I$  know that I have presented my case honestly I.15.321, decenous  $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \delta I$  know that I have presented my case honestly I.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta \nu \delta I$  converted that you did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color did not do this yourself D.22.29, dimpote  $\rho \delta I$  color
- c. ἔοικα (the personal use for the impersonal ἔοικα, 1983) usually takes the participle in the dative; as, ἔοικας ὀκνοῦντι λέγειν you seem reluctant to speak P.R.414 c; but also in the nominative (see 2133).
- **2090.** Many verbs supplementing their meaning by the participle admit of the construction with the infinitive (often with a difference of meaning; see 2123 ff.) or with a substantive clause with  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$ .
- **2091.** The present or perfect participle is often used as a simple predicate adjective, especially with  $\epsilon i\mu i$  and  $\gamma i \gamma \nu o \mu a \iota$ . The addist participle is chiefly poetic.

ἢσαν ἀπιστοῦντές τινες Φιλίππφ there were some who distrusted Philip D. 19.53, (Κλέαρχος) φιλοκίνδῦνος τ' ἢν καὶ ἡμέρᾶς καὶ νυκτὸς ἄγων ἐπὶ τοὺς πολεμίους Clearchus was both fond of danger and by day and by night led his men against the enemy X. A. 2. 6. 7, ἐγὼ τὸ πρᾶγμ' εἰμὶ τοῦθ' ὁ δεδρᾶκώς I am the one who has done

GREEK GRAM. - 30

this deed D.21.104,  $\eta$  τοῦτο οὐκ ἔστι γιγνόμενον παρ' ἡμῖν; or is not this something that takes place in us? P. Phil. 30 c. So with adjectivized participles (1857), as συμφέρον  $\eta$ ν τ $\hat{\eta}$  πόλει it was advantageous to the State D. 19.75. So with ὑπάρχω am. am assumed (D. 18.228).

a. Here the participle has the article when it designates the subject itself (third example; op. 1152). But the article is not used when the participle marks a class in which the subject is included.

**2092.** The supplementary participle after certain verbs represents a dependent statement.

In ἥκουσε Κῦρον ἐν Κιλικία ὅντα he heard that Cyrus was in Cilicia ὅντα stands for ἐστί, what was heard being "Κῦρος ἐν Κιλικία ἐστί." This is shown by the fact that the sentence might have been, according to the principles of indirect discourse, ἥκουσεν ὅτι Κῦρος ἐν Κιλικία εἴη (or ἐστί, 2615). With verbs not introducing indirect discourse, however, there is no such indirect statement; as in ἐπαύσαντο μαχόμενοι they ceased fighting L. 23. 9.

- 2093. Accordingly, from this point of view, the uses of the supplementary participle are two: (1) not in indirect discourse, and (2) in indirect discourse.
- a. Some verbs take the participle either in indirect discourse or not in indirect discourse (2112). It is sometimes impossible to decide whether a participle stands in indirect discourse or not (2113); and the difference, especially after verbs of perceiving (2112 a, b), may be of no great importance to the sense.

#### THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE NOT IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- **2094.** The supplementary participle not in indirect discourse is often like an object infinitive, the tenses denoting only stage of action and not difference of time (cp. 1850). Thus, compare  $\pi \alpha \acute{\nu} o \acute{\mu} \acute{\nu}$   $\sigma \epsilon \ \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \nu \nu$  we stop you from speaking (of continued action) with  $\kappa \omega \lambda \acute{\nu} o \acute{\nu} \acute{\nu} \nu \nu \nu$  prevent you from speaking (also of continued action).
- 2095. With verbs denoting being in some modified way (2096–2097).
- **2096.**  $\text{tuy}\chi\acute{a}v\omega$  (poet.  $\kappa\nu\rho\acute{\omega}$ ) happen, am just now,  $\lambda a\nu\theta\acute{a}v\omega$  escape the notice of, am secretly,  $\phi\theta\acute{a}v\omega$  anticipate, am beforehand.
- a. With these verbs the participle contains the main idea, and is often represented in translation by the finite verb with an adverbial phrase; thus,  $\pi a \rho d \nu \epsilon \tau \delta \gamma \chi a \nu \epsilon$  he happened to be there, or he was there by chance X. A. 1.1.2.
- b. The action of  $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$  and  $\lambda\alpha\nu\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$  usually coincides with that of the supplementary participle (present with present, acrist with acrist). But the acrist of a finite verb is occasionally followed by the present participle when it is necessary to mark an action or a state as continuing. où k  $\lambda\alpha\theta\sigma\nu$  is like an imperfect and may take the present participle. The acrist of  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$  very often takes the present participle. With a present or imperfect of  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\lambda\alpha\nu\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$ ,  $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$ , the (rare) acrist participle refers to an action or state anterior to that of the present or imperfect. Many of the cases of the present of  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$  with the

aorist participle are historical presents; and in some cases the aorist participle is used for the perfect. With other tenses than present or imperfect, an aorist participle with these verbs refers to an action or state coincident in time (cp. 1873).

- c.  $\tau v \gamma \chi \acute{a} r \omega$  often loses the idea of *chance*, and denotes mere coincidence in time (I am just now, I was just then) or simply I am (was).
- d. Examples. τυγχάνω: προξενών τυγχάνω Ι happen to be proxenus D. 52.5, άριστα τυγχάνουσι πράξαντες they happen to have fared the best I.4.103, έτύγχανον λέγων I was just saying X.A.3.2.10, δστις άντειπών γε έτύγχανε καὶ γνώμην ἀποδεδειγμένος who happened to have spoken in opposition and to have declared his opinion L. 12. 27, έτυχον καθήμενος ένταθθα I was, by chance, sitting there P. Eu. 272 e. λανθάνω: φονέα τοῦ παιδὸς έλάνθανε βόσκων he entertained the murderer of his son without knowing it (it escaped his notice that he was, etc.) Hdt. 1.44, έλαθον ἐσελθόντες they got in secretly T. 2.2, οὐκ ἕλαθες ἀποδιδράσκων you did not escape notice in attempting to escape (your attempt at escape did not escape notice) P. R. 457 e, ξλαθεν άποδράς he escaped without being noticed X, H, 1, 3, 22, λήσετε πάνθ' ὑπομείναντες you will submit to every possible calamity ere you are aware D.6.27.  $\phi\theta\acute{a}v\omega$ : où  $\phi\theta\acute{a}v\epsilon$ i έξαγόμενος ο  $\ddot{i}\pi\pi\sigma$ 05 κτλ, the horse is no sooner led out, etc. Χ. Εq. 5. 10, φθάνουσιν (hist. pres.) ἐπὶ τῷ ἄκρφ γενόμενοι τοὺς πολεμίους they anticipated the enemy in getting upon the summit (they got to the summit before the enemy) X. A. 3. 4. 49, οὐκ ἔφθασαν πυθόμενοι τὸν πόλεμον και ήκον scarcely had they heard of the war when they came I. 4. 86, όπότεροι φθήσονται την πόλιν άγαθόν τι ποιήσαντες which party shall anticipate the other in doing some service to the State I.4.79. Without regard to its mood, the present and imperfect of  $\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\omega$  are followed by the present participle (rarely by the perfect); the future, agrist, and historical present are followed by the agrist participle.
- e. οὐκ ἀν φθάνοις (φθάνοιτε) with the participle is used in urgent, but polite, exhortations, as οὐκ ἀν φθάνοις λέγων the sooner you speak the better (i.e. speak at once) X. M. 2.3.11. Strictly this is equivalent to you would not be anticipating (my wish or your duty), if you should speak. λέγε φθάσᾶς might be said according to 2061.
- 2097. διάγω, διαγίγνομαι, διατελώ, διαμένω continue, keep on, am continually.

διάγουσι μανθάνοντες they are continually (they spend their time in) learning X. C. 1. 2. 6, κρέὰ ἐσθιοντες οἱ στρατιῶται διεγίγνοντο the soldiers kept eating meat X. A. 1. 5. 6, διατελεῖ μῖσῶν he continues to hate X. C. 5. 4. 35, θρηνοῦντες διετελοῦμεν we lamented continually I. 19. 27, ὁ ἢλιος λαμπρότατος ῶν διαμένει the sun continues to be most brilliant X. M. 4. 7. 7.

2098. With verbs signifying to begin, cease, endure, grow weary of an action.

ἄρχομαι begin (2128), παύω cause to cease, παύομαι, λήγω cease, ἀπολείπω, διαλείπω, ἐπιλείπω leave off, ἐλλείπω fail, ἀνέχομαι support, καρτερῶ endure (do something patiently), κάμνω grow weary, ἀπογορεύω give up. etc.

ἄρξομαι ἀπὸ τῆς ἰᾶτρικῆς λέγων I will begin my speech with the healing art P. S. 186 b, παύσω τοῦτο γιγνόμενον I will put a stop to this happening P. G. 523 c, παῦσαι λέγουνα lit. stop talking E. Hipp. 706, οὐπώποτε διέλειπον ζητῶν I never left off seeking X. Ap. 16, ἀνέχου πάσχων support thy sufferings E. fr. 1090, οὕτε τότ ἐκαρτέρουν ἀκούων κτλ. neither then did I listen patiently, etc., Aes. 3. 118, μὴ κάμης φίλον ἄνδρα εὐεργετῶν do not grow weary of doing good to your friend P. G. 470 C. ἀπείρηκα . . . τὰ ὅπλα φέρων καὶ ἐν τάξει ἰὼν καὶ φυλακὰς φυλάττων καὶ μαχόμενος I am tired of carrying my arms and going in the ranks and mounting guard and fighting X. A. 5. 1. 2.

a. Verbs signifying to support, endure ordinarily take the present participle; but there are cases of the complexive aorist in reference to acts to which one must submit despite all resistance: so, with ἀνέχομαι, X. C. 6.2. 18, D. 41.1; cp. οὖκ ἡνέσχεσθε ἀκούσαντες L. 13.8 (Hdt. 5.89) with οὖκ ἡνείχοντο ἀκούοντες X. H. 6. 5.49. The aorist participle seems not to be used with the object of ἀνέχομαι.

2099. With some verbs of *coming* and *going* the participle specifies the manner of coming and going, and contains the main idea.

βη φεύγων he took to flight (went fleeing) B 665, οἴχονται διώκοντες they have gone in pursuit X. A. 1. 10. 5, ψίχόμην ἀναγόμενος I put to sea D. 50. 12, οἴχεται θανών he is dead and gone S. Ph. 414, οὐ τοῦτο λέξων ἔρχομαι I am not going to say this X. Ag. 2. 7.

**2100**. With verbs of emotion (*rejoicing* and *grieving*) the participle often denotes cause (cp. 2048).

χαίρω, ἥδομαι, τέρπομαι, γέγηθα (poet.) am pleased, take pleasure, ἀγαπῶ, στέργω am content, ἀγανακτῶ, ἄχθομαι, χαλεπῶς φέρω am vexed, displeased, ράδίως φέρω make light of, λῦποῦμαι grieve, ὀργίζομαι am angry, αἰσχύνομλι, αἰδοῦμαι am ashamed (2126), μεταμέλομαι, μεταμέλει μοι repent. (Verbs of emotion also take ὅτι or ώς, by which construction the object is simply stated; with the participle the connection is closer).

χαίρω διαλεγόμενος τοῖς σφόδρα πρεσβόταις I like to converse with very old men P. R. 328 d, ὅστις ήδεται λέγων ἀεί, λέληθεν αὐτὸν τοῖς ξυνοῦσιν ὢν βαρύς he who likes to be always talking is a bore to his companions without knowing it S. fr. 99, οὐκ ἀγαπῶ ζῶν ἐπὶ τούτοις I am not content to live on these conditions I. 12. 8, οὐκ ἀν ἀχθοίμην μανθάνων I should not be annoyed at learning P. Lach. 139 a, χαλεπῶς ἔφερον οἰκίᾶς κατελείποντες they took it hard at abandoning their homes T. 2. 16, ἀδικούμενοι οἱ ἄνθρωποι μᾶλλον ὀργίζονται ἢ βιαζόμενοι men are πέστε angered at being the victims of injustice than of compulsion 1. 77, οὐ γὰρ αἰσχύνομαι μανθάνων for I am not ashamed to learn P. Hipp. Min. 372 c, μετεμέλοντο τὰς σπονδὰς οὐ δεξάμενοι they repented not having accepted the truce T. 4. 27, οὕ μοι μεταμέλει οὐτως ἀπολογησαμένω I do not repent having made such a defence P. A. 38 e.

a. The participle agrees with the case of the person in regard to whom the emotion is manifested: ἀκούοντες χαίρουσιν ἐξεταζομένοις τοῖς οἰομένοις μὲν εἶναι

σοφοῖς, οὖσι δ' οὔ they like to hear the examination of those who pretend to be wise, but are not so in reality P. A. 33 c. This construction must be distinguished from that occurring in poetry, whereby verbs like χαίρω and ἄχθομαι (which commonly take the dative) often admit the accusative and the participle: τοὺς γὰρ εὖσεβεῖς θεοὶ θτήσκοντας οὐ χαίρουσι for the gods do not rejoice at the death of the righteous E. Hipp. 1339.

- b. So with verbs meaning to satiate oneself: ὑπισχνούμενος οὐκ ἐνεπίμπλασο you could not satiate yourself with promises X.A.7.7.46.
- **2101.** With verbs signifying to do well or ill, to surpass or be inferior, the participle specifies the manner or that in which the action of the verb consists (cp. 2048, 2062). So with  $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}_s$  ( $\epsilon \hat{v}$ )  $\pi o i \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\hat{a} \delta i \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\hat{a} \mu a \rho \tau \hat{a} \nu \omega$ ;  $v \bar{i} \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma i \gamma \nu \rho \mu a i$ ,  $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \mu a i$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon i \tau o \mu a i$ .
- εδ γ' ἐποίησας ἀναμνήσᾶς με you did well in reminding me P. Ph. 60 c (cp. 1872 c. 2), καλῶς ἐποίησεν οὕτως τελευτήσᾶς τὸν βίον he did well in ending his life thus L. 28. 8, ὀνήσεσθε ἀκούοντες you will profit by hearing P. A. 30 c, ἀδικεῖτε πολέμου ἀρχοντες (1734. 5) you do wrong in being the aggressors in the war T. 1. 53, οὐχ ἡττησόμεθα εὖ ποιοῦντες we shall not be outdone in well-doing X. A. 2. 3. 23. Here belongs ἐμοὶ χαρίζου ἀποκρῖνάμενος do me the favour to reply (gratify me by replying) P. R. 338 a.
- **2102.** With πειρῶμαι try, πολὺς ἔγκειμαι am urgent, πάντα ποιῶ do everything, the participle is rare in Attic; more common in Hdt. with πειρῶμαι, πολλὸς ἔγκειμαι, πολλός εἰμι am urgent, etc.

πειρᾶσόμεθα ἐλέγχοντες I shall try to prove Ant. 2.  $\gamma$ . 1; πολλὸς ἢν λισσόμενος he begged often and urgently Hdt. 9. 91.

2103. With περιορῶ (and sometimes with ἐφορῶ, εἰσορῶ, προίεμαι), signifying overlook, allow. (But not with ἐῶ.) Cp. 2141.

μείζω γιγνόμενον τὸν ἄνθρωπον περιορῶμεν we allow the man to grow greater (we look with indifference on his growing power) D. 9. 29, οὐ περιείδον ἐμαυτὸν ἄδοξον γενόμενον I did not suffer myself to become obscure I. 12. 11, ἔτλησαν ἐπιδείν . . . ἐρήμην μὲν τὴν πόλιν γενομένην, τὴν δὲ χώρᾶν πορθουμένην they had the courage to look calmly on their city made desolate and their country being ravaged I. 4. 96. So even with the uncompounded ὀρῶ in poetry. (With the infinitive περιορῶ no longer connotes perception and simply equals ἐῶ allow.)

2104. With some impersonal expressions taking the dative, such as those signifying the advantage or consequence of an action (it is fitting, profitable, good, etc.), and those implying confidence or fear. (The personal construction is often preferred.)

έπηρώτων τὸν θεόν, εἰ (αὐτοῖς) πολεμοῦσιν ἄμεινον ἔσται they asked the god whether it would be better for them to make war T. 1.118, εἰ τόδ΄ αὐτῷ φίλον (ἐστί) κεκλημένω if it is pleasing to him to be called thus A. Ag. 161. Personal: οῖς πολέμιον ἢν τὸ χωρίον κτιζόμενον το whom the settlement of the place was a menace T. 1.100, οἴκοι μένων βελτίων (ἐστίν) he is all the better by staying at home D. 3. 34 (for μένειν αὐτὸν βέλτῖον ἐστι).

**2105.** The participle occurs with various other verbs, such as  $\theta a \mu l \zeta \omega$  am

wont; συμπίπτω and συμβαίνω happen; ἀποδείκνῦμι, καθίζω, παρασκευάζω, meaning render; ἀρκῶ, iκανός εἰμι am sufficient.

On  $\epsilon \mu o i \beta o v \lambda o \mu \epsilon v \psi$   $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ , etc., see 1487. On  $\epsilon \chi \omega$  and the participle in periphrases, see 1963.

#### THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

**2106.** Verbs of Knowing and Showing. — After verbs signifying to know, be ignorant of, learn (not learn of), remember, forget, show, appear, prove, acknowledge, and announce, the participle represents a dependent statement, each tense having the same force as the corresponding tense of the indicative or optative with  $\delta\tau\iota$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$ , the present including also the imperfect, the perfect including also the pluperfect.

Such verbs are: οἶδα, γιγνώσκω, ἐπίσταμαι, ἐννοῶ, μανθάνω (2136), (οὐκ) ἀγνοῶ, μέμνημαι, ἐπιλανθάνομαι (2134), δηλῶ, (ἐπι) δείκνῦμι, φαίνω, ἀποφαίνω, φαίνομαι (2143), ἔοικα (2089 c, 2133), (ἐξ-)ἐλέγχω, ὁμολογῶ (rarely), ἀγγέλλω, ποιῶ represent (2115).

- οὐ γὰρ ἦδεσαν αὐτὸν τεθνηκότα  $(= \tau \dot{\epsilon}\theta v \eta \kappa \dot{\epsilon})$  for they did not know that he was dead X. A. 1. 10. 16, έγνω τὴν ἐσβολὴν ἐσομένην (= ἔσται) he knew that the invasion would take place T. 2. 13, δν ὑμεῖς ἐπίστασθε ἡμᾶς προδόντα (= προύδωκε) you know that he betrayed us X. A. 6. 6. 17, τίς ουτως εὐήθης ἐστὶν ὑμῶν ὅστις ἀγνοεῖ τὸν ἐκεῖθεν πόλεμον δε $\hat{v}$ ρ' ήξοντα (= ήξει); who of you is so simple-minded as not to know that the war will come hither from that quarter? D.1.15, (Χερρόνησον) κατέμαθε πόλεις ένδεκα ή δώδεκα έχουσαν (= έχει) he learned that Chersonesus contained eleven or twelve cities X. H. 3. 2. 10, μέμνημαι ἀκούσας (= ήκουσα) I remember to have heard X. C. 1. 6. 6, μέμνημαι Κριτία τ $\hat{\varphi}$ δε ξυνόντα σε  $(=\xi v v \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a)$  I remember that you were in company with Critias here P. Charm. 156 a, ἐπιλελήσμεσθ' ἡδέως  $\gamma \epsilon \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon s$  (=  $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ) we have gladly forgotten that we are old E. Bacch. 188, δείξω  $(a\dot{v}\dot{\tau}\dot{o}\nu)$  πολλών θανάτων ὄντ'  $(=\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\iota})$  ἄξιον I will show that he deserves to die many times D. 21.21, δειχθήσεται τοῦτο πεποιηκώς (= πεποίηκε) he will be shown to have done this 21. 160,  $\tau$ οῦτο τὸ γράμμα δηλοῖ ψευδῆ τὴν διαθήκην οδσαν (=  $\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ ) this clause shows that the will was forged 45.34,  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \pi o$ φαίνωσι τοὺς φεύγοντας παλαὶ πονηροὺς ὅντας  $(=\epsilon l\sigma t)$  if they show that the exiles were inveterate rascals L. 30. 1,  $\dot{\eta} \psi \bar{\nu} \chi \dot{\eta}$  à dávatos  $\phi$ alvetai o $\dot{v}$  oa  $(=\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l)$  it seems that the soul is immortal P. Ph. 107 c, άδικοῦντα (= άδικεῖ) Φίλιππον έξήλεγξα I convicted Philip of acting unjustly D. 18. 136, ραδίως έλεγχθήσεται ψευδόμενος (= ψεύδεται) he will easily be convicted of lying 27.19, δμολογούμεθα έλθόντες (= ἤλθομεν) I acknowledge that I came L. 4. 7, αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$  K $\hat{v}$ ρον ἐπιστρατεύοντα (= ἐπιστρατεύει) πρώτος ήγγειλα I was the first to announce that Cyrus was taking the field against him X. A. 2. 3. 19.
- a. Except with  $d\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$  announce (what is certain), verbs of saying or thinking rarely take the participle in prose, e.g.  $\pi\hat{a}\sigma\iota$   $\tau\hat{a}\hat{b}\epsilon\delta\sigma\gamma\mu\epsilon\nu\hat{a}$   $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\nu\delta\mu\iota\hat{\zeta}\epsilon$  (=  $\epsilon\bar{v}$   $i\sigma\theta\iota$ ) think that this is our unanimous opinion P. R. 450 a.
- **2107.** The personal constructions δηλός είμι, φανερός είμι I am plainly (impersonal δηλόν and φανερόν έστιν δτι) are followed by a dependent statement in the participle. Thus, δηλος ην οιόμενος (= δηλον ην ὅτι οἴοιτο) it was clear that he thought X. A. 2. 5. 27, θύων φανερὸς ην πολλάκις (= φανερὸν ην ὅτι θύοι) it was

evident that he often sacrificed X.M.1.1.2,  $d\nu i \bar{a} \theta \epsilon l s$   $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s$   $\delta \nu$  (=  $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$   $\delta \nu$ 

- 2108. The participle with σύνοιδα or συγγιγνώσκω am conscious, accompanied by the dative of the reflexive pronoun, may stand either in the nominative agreeing with the subject, or in the dative agreeing with the reflexive. Thus, συνειδώς αυτὸς αυτῷ ἔργον εἰργασμένος conscious (to himself) that he had done the deed Ant. 6. 5, ἐμαυτῷ ξυνήδη οὐδὲν ἐπισταμένω I was conscious of knowing nothing P. A. 22 c.
- a. When the subject is not the same as the object, the latter, with the participle, may stand in the dative, or (rarely) in the accusative. Thus, ξυνίσᾶσι Μελήτω μὲν ψευδομένω, ἐμοὶ δὲ ἀληθεύοντι they know as well as Meletus that he is lying, and (as well as I do) that I am speaking the truth P. A. 34 b, συνειδώς τῶν ἀθλημάτων δούλους μετέχοντας knowing that slaves participate in the contests D. 61. 23. (The force of σύν at times almost disappears.)
- **2109.** The use of the participle to represent a dependent statement comes from its circumstantial use. Thus, in où  $\gamma a \rho$   $\tilde{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau \delta \nu$   $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta \tau a$  (2106),  $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \delta \tau a$  agrees with the object of  $\tilde{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ ; and from they did not know him as dead the thought passes into they did not know (the fact) that he was dead.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF VERBS OF PERCEIVING AND OF FINDING

- **2110.** Verbs of Perception. Verbs signifying to see, perceive, hear, learn (i.e. learn by inquiry, hear of), when they denote physical (actual) perception take the participle. When they denote intellectual perception they may take the participle or  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$  with a finite verb. (The Homeric usage is less strict.)
- 2111. Such verbs are, in Attic, όρω see, αἰσθάνομαι perceive, ἀκούω hear, πυνθάνομαι learn.
- 2112. The participle may stand either not in indirect discourse or in indirect discourse.
- a. Not in Indirect Discourse. Here verbs of perceiving denote physical perception the act perceived or heard of. With  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa o \dot{\omega} \omega$  and  $\pi \nu \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu o \mu \omega$ , the participle stands in the genitive; with  $\alpha l \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu o \mu \omega$  it usually stands in the accusative (as with  $\dot{\alpha}\rho \dot{\omega}$ ), but sometimes in the genitive. (See 1361, 1367.)
- εἶδε Κλέαρχον διελαύνοντα he saw Clearchus riding through X. A. 1. 5. 12; αἰσθόμενος Λαμπροκλέα πρὸς τὴν μητέρα χαλεπαίνοντα perceiving Lamprocles angry with his mother X. M. 2. 2. 1, ἤσθησαι πώποτέ μου ἢ ψευδομαρτυροῦντος ἢ σῦκοφαντοῦντος; have you ever noticed me either bearing false witness or playing the part of an informer? 4.4. 11; ἤκουσαν αὐτοῦ φωνήσαντος they heard him speaking X. S. 3. 13; ὡς ἐπύθοντο τῆς Πύλου κατειλημμένης when they learned of the capture of Pylos T. 4. 6.
- N. Verbs of physical perception,  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}$  (especially) and  $\delta\kappa\omega\omega$ , regularly take the present participle in Attic prose, which usually refuses to distinguish between I see a house burning and I see a house burn. The complexive acrist, summing up the action, does however occur, as  $\dot{\omega}s$  elder  $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\phi\sigma\nu$  ekahôhoādar. . . eddwer when he saw a hind break cover he gave chase X.C.1.4.8. Cp.  $\pi\epsilon\sigma\delta\nu\tau\alpha$  eldor Hdt. 9.22.
  - b. In Indirect Discourse. Here verbs of perceiving denote intellectual

perception — the fact that something is perceived or heard of. With  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\sigma\dot{\omega}\omega$  and  $\pi\nu\nu\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$  the participle stands in the accusative (as with  $\dot{\sigma}\rho\dot{\omega}$ ,  $al\sigma\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ ). Cp. 1363, 1365, 2144, 2145.

όρῶμεν πάντα ἀληθῆ ὅντα & λέγετε we see that everything you say is true X. A. 5. 5. 24, αἰσθάνομαι ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχοντα I perceive that this is so X. M. 3. 5. 5, ἤκουσε Κῦρον ἐν Κιλικία ὄντα he heard that Cyrus was in Cilicia X. A. 1. 4. 5, ὅταν κλύη τινὸς ἥξοντ ἸΟρέστην when she hears from any one that Orestes will return S. El. 293, πυθόμενοι ἸΑρταξέρξην τεθνηκότα having learned that Artaxerxes was dead T. 4. 50.

- **2113.** Verbs of Finding. Verbs of finding and detecting ( $\epsilon i \rho l \sigma \kappa \omega$ , ( $\kappa \alpha \tau a$ )- $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ ; pass.  $\dot{\alpha} \lambda l \sigma \kappa o \mu \alpha \iota$ ) in their capacity as verbs of perceiving take the participle (a) not in indirect discourse, of the act or state in which a person or thing is found; or (b) in indirect discourse, of the fact that a person or thing is found in an act or state.
- a. κῆρυξ ἀφικόμενος ηδρε τοὺς ἄνδρας διεφθαρμένους the herald, on his arrival, found the men already put to death T.2.6, εὕρηται πιστῶς πράττων he has been found to have dealt faithfully D.19.332, ἄν ἄρ' ἄλλον τινὰ λαμβάνη ψευδόμενον if then he catch anybody else lying P. R. 389 d, ἡν ἐπιβουλεύων ἀλίσκηται if he be detected in plotting X. Ag. 8.3.
- b. διὰ τὴν Ἰλίου ἄλωσιν εὐρίσκουσι σφίσι ἐοῦσαν τὴν ἀρχὴν τῆς ἔχθρης they conclude that the beginning of their enmity was on account of the capture of Ilium Hdt. 1.5.
- 2114. It is often difficult to distinguish the two constructions of 2113. Thus, καταλαμβάνουσι νεωστὶ στάσει τοὺς τῶν 'Αθηναίων ἐναντίους ἐκπεπτωκότας (Τ. 7. 33) may mean they found that the anti-Athenian party had been recently expelled by a revolution (ind. disc.) or them recently expelled (not in ind. disc.). So καταλαμβάνουσι . . . τἆλλα ἀφεστηκότα they found the other cities in a state of revolt T. 1.59 (that they had revolted would be possible). In the meaning discover, find καταλαμβάνω does not take the aorist participle.
- **2115**. ποιῶ meaning represent has the construction of the verbs of 2113. Thus, πλησιάζοντας τοὺς θεοὺς τοῖς ἀνθρώποις οἶόν τ' αὐτοῖς ποιῆσαι it is possible for them (poets) to represent the gods as drawing nigh to men I.9.9. Cp. 2142.

#### OMISSION OF WV

- **2116.** The participle  $\tilde{\omega}_{\nu}$  is often omitted.
- **2117.** After  $\ddot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ , older olde
- a. In the genitive and accusative absolute the particles of 2117 usually precede when  $\delta \nu$  is omitted. With the genitive absolute the omission is very rare in prose:  $\dot{\omega}s \dot{\epsilon} \tau o(\mu \omega \nu) \left( \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \right) \chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$  just as though the property was at their

- disposal X. A. 7. 8. 11; but  $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\rho\bar{a}s$   $\ddot{\eta}\delta\eta$  (οὕσηs) it being already day T. 5.59. In poetry the substantive usually suggests the verb:  $\dot{\nu}\phi\eta\gamma\eta\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\sigma$  οὐδενὸς (ὅντος)  $\dot{\phi}t\lambda\omega\nu$  with no friend to guide him S. O. C. 1588. Accusative absolute:  $\dot{\omega}s$  καλὸν (ὄν) ἀγορεύεσθαι αὐτόν on the ground that it is admirable for it (the speech) to be delivered T. 2. 35. Without the particles of 2117, the omission of  $\delta\nu$  is poetical (S. Ant. 44). The omission of  $\delta\nu$  with adjectives ending in  $-\delta\nu$  aids euphony.
- δ. ἐκών willing, ἄκων unwilling are treated like participles (2071): ἐμοῦ μὲν οὐχ ἐκόντος against my will S. Aj. 455.
  - c. &v must be used when it has the force of in the capacity of.
- **2118.** A predicate substantive or adjective, coördinated with a participle in the same construction, may omit  $\delta \nu$ ; as of history  $\hbar \nu$   $\mu \eta$  abphois kal allyhous perimetrāsi dieldelv  $\dot{\tau} \dot{\eta} \nu$  polemar it was not easy for them to pass through the enemy's country except in a body and after having waited for one another T.5.64.
- **2119.** ὅν may be omitted with verbs taking a supplementary participle; so with verbs meaning to perceive (2111 ff.), know, show, announce, find, discover, etc.; especially with φαίνομαι,  $\tau υγχάνω$  (poet. κυρῶ), διατελῶ, διαγίγνομαι, rarely with περιορῶ and συμβαίνω. Thus, δρῶ μέγαν (βντα) τὸν ἀγῶνα I see that the contest is important T. 2. 45, ἄν ἐν Χερρονήσω πύθησθε Φίλιππον (ὅντα) if you learn that Philip is in Chersonesus D. 4. 41, εἰ ψευδἡς φαίνοιτο (ὄν) ὁ Γωβρύᾶs if Gobryas seem to be false X. C. 5. 2. 4, εἴ τις εὔνους (ὄν) τυγχάνει if any one happens to be friendly Ar. Eccl. 1141, ἀχίτων (ὄν) διατελεῖς you are continually without a tunic X. M. 1. 6. 2.

#### 'Os WITH A PARTICIPLE IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

2120. &s is often used with a participle in indirect discourse to mark the mental attitude of the subject of the main verb or of some other person mentioned prominently in the sentence (cp. 2086); sometimes, to denote emphasis, when that mental attitude is already clearly marked.

ώς μηδὲν εἰδότ ἴσθι με be assured that I know nothing (lit. understand that you are to assume that I know nothing) S. Ph. 253, δήλος  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  Κύρος ώς σπεύδων Cyrus was plainly bent on haste (Cyrus showed that it was his intention to make haste) X. A. 1. 5. 9.

- 2121. A participle with ώs may follow a verb of thinking or saying though the verb in question does not take the participle in indirect discourse without ώs. Thus, ώs τὰ βέλτιστα βουλεύοντες ἐσχῦρίζοντο they kept insisting in the belief that they were recommending the best course T. 4. 68, ώs στρατηγήσοντ' ἐμὲ ταύτην τὴν στρατηγίαν μηδείς ὑμῶν λεγέτω let no one of you say (i.e. speak of me in the belief) that I will assume this command X. A. 1. 3. 15.
- 2122. So after verbs admitting the supplementary participle in indirect discourse we may have the genitive or accusative absolute with ω instead of the participle or a clause with ὅτι οr ως. Thus, ὡς πολέμου ὅντος παρ' ὑμῶν ἀπαγγελῶ; shall I report from you (on the assumption) that there is war? X. A. 2. 1. 21. ὡς ἐμοῦ οὖν ἰόντος, ὅτη καὶ ὑμεῖς, οὕτω τὴν γνώμην ἔχετε make up your minds (on the assumption) that I am going wherever you go (= be sure that I am going,

etc.) 1.3.6 (here  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \, \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$  could not take the participle without  $\dot{\omega} s$ );  $\dot{\omega} s \, \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \, \mu \omega \, \delta \delta \kappa \omega \dot{\nu} \nu$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \tau \omega s \, \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta \iota \, rest \, assured \, that it is \, my \, decided \, opinion \, (lit. \, on \, the \, assumption \, that \, this \, seems \, so \, to \, me, \, understand \, accordingly) \, X. \, M. \, 4. \, 2. \, 30.$  For  $\dot{\omega} s$  with the absolute participle not in indirect discourse, see 2086 d.

#### VERBS TAKING EITHER THE PARTICIPLE OR THE INFINITIVE

- 2123. Some verbs admit either the supplementary participle or the infinitive, sometimes with only a slight difference in meaning. Cases where the difference is marked are given below. (Most of the verbs in question admit also a substantive clause with  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\delta s$ , 2577).
- **2124.** Infinitive and participle here differ greatly when the infinitive expresses purpose or result. Where the infinitive shows only its abstract verbal meaning it differs but little from the participle (cp. 2144).
- **2125.** A participle or infinitive standing in indirect discourse is indicated in 2126-2143 by O(ratio) O(bliqua); when not standing in O.O. this fact is ordinarily not indicated.
- 2126. αἰσχῦνομαι and αἰδοῦμαι with part. (2100) = I am ashamed of doing something which I do; with inf. = I am ashamed to do something which I have refrained from doing up to the present time and may never do. Thus, τοῦτο μὲν οἰκ αἰσχῦνομαι λέγων τὸ δὲ . . . αἰσχῦνοίμην ἀν λέγειν I am not ashamed of saying this; but the following I should be ashamed to say X.C.5.1.21, αἰσχῦνομαι οὖν ὑμῖν εἰπεῖν τὰληθῆ, ὅμως δὲ ῥητέον I am ashamed to speak the truth to you; nevertheless it must be spoken P. A. 22 b. With a negative the distinction may disappear: οὐδ αἰσχῦνει φθόνον δίκην εἰσάγειν (ν.l. εἰσάγων), οὐκ ἀδικήματος οὐδενός, καὶ νόμονς μεταποιῶν; are you not ashamed to bring a cause into court out of envy—not for any offence—and to alter laws ? D. 18. 121.
- 2127. ἀνέχομαι (2098; rarely with the inf.), \*τλάω and τολμῶ (both rarely with the part. in poetry), ὑπομένω: with part. = endure, submit to something that is present or past; with inf. venture or have the courage to do something in the future. Thus, πάσχοντες ἡνείχοντο they submitted to suffer T. 1. 77, ἀνέσχοντο τὸν ἐπιόντα ἐπὶ τὴν χώρῶν δέξασθαι they had the courage to receive the invader of their country Hdt. 7. 139; παίδα . . . φᾶσὶν ᾿λλκμήνης πρῶθέντα τλῆναι they say, that Alcmene's son bore up in bondage (lit. having been sold) A. Ag. 1041; ἐτόλμᾶ βαλλόμενος he submitted to be struck ω 161, τόλμησον ὀρθῶς φρονεῖν sapere ande A. Pr. 1000; οὐχ ὑπομένει ὡφελούμενος he cannot stand being improved P. G. 505 c, εἰ ὑμομενέουσι χεῖρας ἐμοὶ ἀνταειρόμενοι if they shall dare to raise their hands against me Hdt. 7. 101.
- **2128.** ἄρχομαι, cp. 1734 (Hom. ἄρχω) with part. (2098), begin to do something and continue with something else; with inf. (usually present, cp. 1865 b) begin to do something and continue with the same thing. Thus, ἄρξομαι διδάσκων ἐκ τῶν θείων I will begin my instruction with things divine (later the subject is the desire for wealth) X. C. 8. 8. 2, πόθεν ἥρξατό σε διδάσκειν τὴν στρατηγίᾶν; at what point did he begin to teach you generalship? X. M. 3. 1. 5. ἄρχομαι with the participle occurs only in Xenophon and Plato.

- 2129. γιγνώσκω with part. in O. O. (2106) = recognize that something is; with inf. in three uses: (1) in O. O. = judge (decide) that something is (a verb of will), as ξγνωσαν κερδαλεώτερον είναι they judged that it was more profitable X. A. 1. 9. 17; (2) not in O. O. = resolve, determine to do something, as ξγνω διώκειν τούς έκ τῶν εὐωνύμων προσκειμένους he resolved to pursue those who were hanging on his left X. H. 4. 6. 9; (3) not in O. O. = learn how to do something (rarely), as γίγνωσκε τῆς δργῆς κρατεῖν learn to control thy temper Men. Sent. 20.
- 2130. δείκνυμι with part. in O. O. (2106) = show that something is; with inf. (ἀποδείκνυμι) not in O. O. = show how to do something, instruct. Thus, ἀπέδειξαν οι ἡγεμόνες λαμβάνειν τὰ ἐπιτήδεια the guides directed them to take provisions X. A. 2.3. 14.
- 2131. δηλώ with part. (and inf.) in O.O. (2106) = show that something is, indicate; with inf. not in O.O. = command, make known, signify; as in κηρόγματι έδήλου τους έλευθερίας δεομένους ώς προς σύμμαχον αὐτὸν παρεῖναι he made known by proclamation that those who wanted freedom should come to him as an ally X. Ag. 1.33.
- 2132. δοκιμάζω with part in O. O. (2106) = prove to be, as ὁποῖοὶ τινες ὅντες αὐτοὶ περὶ τὴν πόλιν ἐδοκιμάσθητε what sort of persons you proved yourselves to be in regard to the city L. 31.34; with inf. in O. O. = pronounce an opinion to be correct. Thus, ἐδοκιμάσαμεν ἀνδρὶ καλῷ τε κάγαθῷ ἐργασίᾶν εἶναι . . . κρατίστην γεωργίᾶν we approved the idea that tilling of the soil is the best occupation for a gentleman X. O. 6. 8.
- 2133. ἔοικα (1983, 2089 c) with nom. part. = appear, oftener with dat. part. (strictly = am like), appear; with inf. = seem. Thus, ἐοίκατε τυραννίσι μᾶλλον ἢ πολιτείαις ἡδόμενοι you appear to take delight in despotisms rather than in constitutional governments X. H. 6. 3. 8, ἔοικας δεδιότι τοὺς πολλούς strictly you are like one who fears (i.e. you appear to fear) the multitude P. R. 527 d, οὐκ ἔοικεν εἰδέναι he seems not to know X. Ap. 29, ἔοικα ἐποικτίρειν σε melhinks I pity thee S. Ph. 317.
- **2134.** ἐπιλανθάνομαι with part. in O.O. (2106) = forget that something is; with inf. not in O.O. = forget (how) to do something. Thus, δλίγου ἐπελαθόμεθ είπεῖν I have almost forgotten to mention P. R. 563 b.
- 2135. εύρίσκω with part. in O.O. = judge and not in O.O. (2113) = find that something is; less often with inf. in O.O. = judge, as εὕρισκε ταῦτα καιριώτατα εἶναι he found (judged) that this was the most opportune way Hdt. 1. 125. εὐρίσκομαι rarely with inf. = find how to (E. Med. 196), procure by asking (Hdt. 9. 28).
- 2136.  $\mu$ aνθάνω with part. in O. O. (2106) = learn that something is; with inf. not in O. O. = learn (how) to do something. Thus, διαβεβλημένος οὐ μανθάνεις you do not perceive that you have been calumniated Hdt. 3. 1, αν ἄπαξ μάθωμεν ἀργοὶ ζῆν if we once learn to live in idleness X. A. 3. 2. 25.
- 2137. μεθίημι (let go), etc., with part. = leave off; with inf. = neglect, permit. Thus, οὐ γὰρ ἀνίει ἐπιών for he did not stop coming after them Hdt. 4.125, μεθίᾶσι τὰ δέοντα πράττειν they neglect to perform their duties X. M. 2.1.33, μεθεῖσά μοι λέγειν allowing me to speak S. El. 628.

- **2138** μέμνημαι with part. in O.O. (2106) = remember that something is; with inf. not in O.O. = remember to do something. Thus, μεμνήσθω ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς εἶναι let him be mindful to be a brave man X.A.3.2.39.
- 2139. οίδα and ἐπίσταμαι with part. in O.O. (2106) = know that something is; with inf. not in O.O. = know how to do something. Thus, ἐπιστάμενος νεῖν knowing how to swim X. A. 5. 7. 25. In poetry (very rarely in prose, except with ἐπίσταμαι in Hdt.) these verbs take also the inf. (in O.O.) in the meaning know or believe: ἐπιστάμεθα μή πώ ποτ' αὐτὸν ψεῦδος λακεῖν we know that he has never yet spoken falsehood S. Ant. 1094.
- **2141.** περιορῶ, etc. (2103) with pres. part. = view with indifference, with aor. part. = shut one's eyes to; with inf. = let something happen through negligence, or simply permit (ἐᾶν). Thus, περιείδε τὸν αὐτοῦ πατέρα καὶ ζῶντα τῶν ἀναγκαίων σπανίζοντα καὶ τελευτήσαντ' οὐ τυχόντα τῶν νομίμων he looked on with indifference while his own father was in want of necessities when alive and (shut his eyes) to his failure to receive the customary rites after he had passed away Dinarchus 2.8, οἰ Άχαρνῆς... οὐ περιόψεσθαι ἐδόκουν τὰ σφέτερα διαφθαρέντα it did not seem likely that the Acharnians would shut their eyes to the destruction of their property T. 2.20, οὐδ ἐσιέναι ἔφασαν περιόψεσθαι οὐδένα they refused to permit any one to enter 4.48.
- **2142.** ποιῶ with part. (2115) = represent; with inf. not in O.O. = cause, effect; with inf. in O.O. = assume. Thus, ἀνωνύμους τοὺς ἄλλους εἶναι ποιεῖ causes the others to lose their names Hdt. 7.129, ποιώμεθα (conj. τί οἰώμεθα) τὸν φιλόσοφον νομίζειν κτλ. let us assume that the philosopher holds, etc. P. R. 581 d.
- 2143. φαίνομαι with part in Q. O. (2106) = I am plainly; with inf. in O. O. = I seem or it appears (but may not be true) that I. Thus, φαίνεται  $\tau \mathring{a} \lambda \eta \theta \mathring{\eta}$  λέγων he is evidently speaking the truth, φαίνεται  $\tau \mathring{a} \lambda \eta \theta \mathring{\eta}$  λέγειν he appears to be speaking the truth (but he may be lying). Cp.  $\tau \mathring{\eta}$  φων $\mathring{\eta}$  . . . κλαίειν ἐφαίνετο lit. by his voice it appeared that he was weeping (but he was not weeping) X. S. 1.15. The above distinction is, however, not always maintained.
- 2144. The following verbs take either the participle or the infinitive (in O. O.) with no (or only slight) difference in meaning:

αἰσθάνομαι, ἀκούω, πυνθάνομαι (2112), ἀγγέλλω (2106), καθίζω (2105) and καθίστημι, παρασκευάζομαι, ὁμολογῶ (2106), πειρῶμαι (2102), ἐπιτρέπω and νομίζω (part. rare), ἀποκάμνω (inf. rare), θαυμάζω wonder, τίθημι suppose, the expressions of 2104, etc. Both infinitive and participle with πυνθάνομαι in Hdt. 5. 15, 8. 40.

**2145.** Verbs of intellectual perception (2112 b) take also ὅτι or ὡς. So with ἀκούω, αἰσθάνομαι, πυνθάνομαι. Cp.

άκούω with gen. part. = I hear (with my own ears).

άκούω with accus. part. = I hear (through others, i.e. I am told) that.

άκούω with inf. = I hear (of general, not certain knowledge, as by report) that.

#### THE PARTICIPLE WITH $\tilde{a}\nu$

**2146.** The participle with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  represents the indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (1784 ff.) or the potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (1824). The present participle with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  thus represents either the imperfect indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  or the present optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ; the aorist participle with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  represents either the aorist indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  or the aorist optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . Cp. 1845 ff.

#### REMARKS ON SOME USES OF PARTICIPLES

- 2147. The abundance of its participles is one of the characteristic features of Greek. Their use gives brevity to the sentence (cp. 2050), enabling the writer to set forth in a word modifications and amplifications of the main thought for which we require cumbersome relative clauses. But an excessive use of participles, especially in close conjunction, marked a careless style.
- a. The participle may contain the leading thought, the finite verb the subordinate thought, of a sentence. Thus, τὸ ψήφισμα τοῦτο γράφω . . . τοὺς ὅρκους τὴν ταχίστην ἀπολαμβάνειν, ἵν ἐχόντων τῶν Θρακῶν . . . ταῦτα τὰ χωρία, ἀ νῦν οῦτος διέσῦρε . . ., οῦτω γίγνοινο οἱ ὅρκοι I moved this bill that the envoys should with all speed receive Philip's oaths in order that when the oaths were taken the Thracians might be in possession of the places which the plaintiff has just now been ridiculing (lit. while the Thracians were in possession, etc. . . . the oaths might under these circumstances be ratified) D.18.27, βούλομαι ὀλίγα ἐκατέρους ἀναμνήσῶς καταβαίνειν I wish to recall a few things to the memory of each party and then sit down (descend from the bema) L.12.92. Cp. also 2096, 2099.

b. The participle may repeat the stem and meaning of the finite verb. Thus, καὶ εὐχόμενος ἄν τις ταῦτα εὕξαιτο and some one might (praying) utter this prayer Ant. 6. 1.

- d. A participle may be used in close connection with a relative or interrogative pronoun. Thus, οὐδ' ὑπέρ οἶα πεποιηκότων ἀνθρώπων κινδῦνεύσετε διαλογισάμενοι not even calculating what had been the conduct of the men for whom you were going to risk your lives D. 18.98, ἐλαυνομένων καὶ ὑβριζομένων καὶ τί κακὸν οὐχὶ πασχόντων πᾶσ' ἡ οἰκουμένη μεστἡ γέγονε the whole civilized world is filled with men who are harried to and fro and insulted, nay, what misery is there which they do not suffer? 18.48.
- e. In contrasts, two subjects may, by anacoluthon, belong to one participle in the nominative, though the participle belongs to only one subject (T. 3.34.3).
  - f. Two or more participles may be coördinated without any connective.

This is common in Homer when one participle forms a contrast to, or intensifies, another participle. Cp.  $\hat{\eta}$  kal  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\omega}\rho\tau'$  Axilâi kukώμενος ὑψόσε θὑων, μορμύρων ἀφρῶκτλ. he spake, and swelling in tumult rushed upon Achilles, raging on high, roaring with foam, etc.  $\Phi$  324. This is very rare in prose (Aes. 3.94).

- g. In prose such coördination without any connective is incomplete, one participle, e.g., often defining another, as in ὁ Κῦρος ὑπολαβὼν τοὺς φεύγοντας συλλέξᾶς στράτευμα ἐπολιόρκει Μίλητον taking the exiles under his protection. Cyrus collected an army, and laid siege to Miletus X. A. 1. 1. 7. So even when the participles are connected, as ξηράνας τὴν διώρυχα και παρατρέψᾶς άλλη τὸ ὕδωρ by draining the canal and (i.e. in consequence of) diverting the water elsewhere T. 1. 109. One participle may be appositive to another. Thus, ἐξέτασιν ποιήσαντες ἐν τοῖς ἰππεῦσι, φάσκοντες εἰδέναι βούλεσθαι πόσοι εἶεν . . ., ἐκέλευσν ἀπογράφεσθαι πάντας by making a review in the presence of the cavalry, alleging that they wished to find out how many they were, they ordered all to inscribe themselves X. H. 2. 4. 8.
- h. A participle with case absolute may be coördinated with a participle not in an absolute case. Thus, οἱ δὲ ἀφικομένης τῆς νεώς καὶ ἀνέλπιστον τὴν εὐτυχίαν ἀκούσαντες... πολὸ ἐπερρώσθησαν they were much encouraged on the arrival of the ship and on hearing of the success which was unhoped for T.8. 106, μεταπεμφθέντες ἥλθομεν ἢ οὐδενὸς καλέσαντος we came summoned or at no one's call L.4.11.
- i. A finite verb may have two or more participles attached to it in different relations. Thus, of  $\pi\epsilon\lambda\tau\alpha\sigma\tau\alpha$   $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\rho\alpha\mu\delta\nu\tau\epsilon$  . . .  $\delta\iota\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\tau\dot{\gamma}\nu$   $\chi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\delta\rho\dot{\omega}\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\pi\rho\delta\beta\alpha\tau\alpha$   $\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  . . .  $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\beta\alpha\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$   $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}s$   $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\chi\omega\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\nu$  the light-armed troops after running forward and crossing the ravine, proceed to attack the stronghold on seeing quantities of sheep X. A. 5. 2. 4. Of several arist participles, one may be relatively earlier in time than another.
- j. A participle may be added predicatively to another participle, and often follows the article belonging to the main participle. Thus, ol ζωντες καταλειπόμενοι those who were being left behind alive T. 7.75.
- k. A participle is often omitted when it can be supplied from the context. Thus,  $\dot{\omega}_{\rho\mu}$  is a circle . . .  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon_i\delta\eta$  kal rods Abyralovs ( $\dot{\epsilon}_{\rho\mu}$  is alevous)  $\dot{\epsilon}_i\delta\sigma\nu$  they too came to anchor when they saw that the Athenians had done so T. 2.86.
- 2148. The participle often agrees with the logical, and not with the grammatical, subject. The participle thus often agrees with the subject of the finite verb which the writer had in mind when he began the sentence, but for which he later substitutes another verb; or the participle may later be used as if in agreement with the subject of another finite verb than the one actually employed.
- a. A participle in the nominative may belong to a finite verb requiring an oblique case. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\pi o \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \psi \ddot{\alpha}s \pi \rho \dot{o}s \tau o \hat{v} \tau o \tau \dot{o} \lambda o v \ldots$ , ξδοξέ μοι πάγκαλος εἶναι (= ἡγησάμην πάγκαλον εἶναι) on looking at this expedition, it seemed to me to be very admirable P. L. 686 d, ἔχοντες . . . ἀρχὴν μεγίστην . . ., ὅμως οὐδὲν τούτων ἡμᾶς ἐπῆρε (= οὐδενὶ τούτων ἐπἡρθημεν) ἐξαμαρτεῖν although we possessed the greatest empire . . . nevertheless none of these reasons induced us to do wrong I. 4. 108, ξδοξεν αὐτοῖς (= ἐβουλεύσαντο) οὐ τοὐς παρόντας μόνον ἀποκτεῖναι ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς ἄπαντας Μυτιληναίους . . . ἐπικαλοῦντες τὴν ἀπόστασιν κτλ. they decided

to put to death not merely those who were there but also all the Mytilenaeans, urging against them their revolt, etc. T. 3.36.

- b. Two or more substantives or pronouns with their participles may stand in partitive apposition (981) to the logical subject. Thus,  $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$  Πύλον  $\mathring{v} \mathring{\tau} \mathring{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega v$  κατά κράτος  $\epsilon \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau o$  (=  $\mathring{\alpha} \mu \phi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota \epsilon \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu e \hat{\iota} \tau o$ ), 'Αθηναΐοι  $\mathring{\mu} \grave{\epsilon} v$  . . . την νησον περιπλέοντες . . ., Πελοποννήσιοι δὲ  $\mathring{\epsilon} v$  τη ήπείρω στρατοπεδευθμενοι the war at Pylus was vigorously waged by both sides, the Athenians on their part by sailing around the island . . . the Peloponnesians by encamping on the mainland T.4.23. Cp. λόγοι δ΄  $\mathring{\epsilon} v$  άλλήλοισιν  $\mathring{\epsilon} \rho \rho \acute{o} \theta o v$  κακοί, φύλαξ  $\mathring{\epsilon} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \omega v$  φύλακα bitter words flew loud from one to another, watchman accusing watchman S. Ant. 259. As the sentence stands, we expect φύλακος  $\mathring{\epsilon} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \sigma v$  σύλακα, but the first clause is equivalent to κακούς λόγους εἴπομεν ἀλλήλους. Cp.  $\mathring{\theta} a v \mu \acute{a} \acute{\epsilon} \delta \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \delta \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega$  δίλος άλλω έλεγεν one spoke to the other in astonishment P.S. 220 c. Cp. 982.
- c. Without regard to the following construction, a participle may stand in the nominative. The use of the genitive absolute would here be proper, but would cause the main subject of the thought to occupy a subordinate position. Thus, έπιπεσών  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  Φαρναβάζου στρατοπεδεία,  $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ς μὲν προφυλακ $\hat{\eta}$ ς αὐτοῦ Μῦσῶν δντων πολλοὶ ἔπεσον attacking the camp of Pharnabazus, he slew a large number (= πολλοὺς ἀπέκτεινε) of Mysians who constituted his advance guard X. H. 4. 1. 24.
- N. The nominative participle is sometimes found in clauses without a finite verb, but only when some finite verb is to be supplied (cp.  $\Psi$  546), as with  $\epsilon l$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{a}\nu$ ,  $\delta \tau a\nu$  (X. M. 2. 1. 23); with  $\delta \sigma a \mu \dot{\eta}$  as far as is possible (T. 1. 111); in replies in dialogue, where it stands in apposition to the subject of the preceding sentence (P. Ph. 74 b); or is interposed as a parenthesis ( $\epsilon \hat{v}$   $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \nu$  in D. 23. 143).
- d. Likewise a participle may stand in the accusative or (rarely) in the dative when the construction demands another case. Thus,  $\sigma$ ol  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$  (=  $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\epsilon}$ )  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\dot{\mu} \dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\pi} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o v \sigma a \nu$   $\dot{\omega} \dot{\kappa}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\kappa} a \kappa \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\kappa}$  it is excusable for thee to speak thus, since thou dost not suffer cruelly as I do E. Med. 814,  $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \tau \sigma \dot{0}$  'Aristéus (=  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \dot{0} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\omega}$  'Aristéus decided to keep his own forces at the Isthmus and watch for the Athenians T. 1. 62.

#### VERBAL ADJECTIVES IN -τέος

On verbal adjectives in  $-\tau \delta s$ ,  $-\tau \eta$ ,  $-\tau \delta \nu$ , see 425 c, 472, 473.

- 2149. Verbal adjectives in -τέος express necessity. They admit two constructions:
- 1. The personal construction  $(-\tau \epsilon \sigma_s, -\tau \epsilon \bar{a}, -\tau \epsilon \sigma_v)$ , passive in meaning, and emphasizing the subject.

2. The (more common) impersonal construction (-τέον, -τέα, 1052), practically active in meaning, and emphasizing the action.

Both constructions are used with the copula  $\epsilon i\mu'$ , which may be omitted. The agent—the person on whom the necessity rests—is expressed, if at all, by the dative (never by  $i\pi \delta$  and the genitive).

- 2150. Verbal adjectives from transitive verbs take the personal construction when the subject is emphasized; but the impersonal construction, when the emphasis falls on the verbal adjective itself. Verbal adjectives from intransitive verbs (that is, such as are followed by the genitive or dative) take only the impersonal construction.
- a. Oblique cases of verbal adjectives are rare. Thus,  $\pi\epsilon\rho l\ \tau\hat{\omega}\nu\ \dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\iota}\nu\ \pi\rho\bar{a}\kappa\tau\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$  concerning what need be done by us D. 6. 28.
- 2151. The Personal (Passive) Construction. The personal verbal in -τέος is used only when the verb from which it is derived takes the accusative. The verbal agrees with the subject in gender, number, and case. The agent, if expressed, must always stand in the dative.

ποταμός τις ἡμῖν ἐστι διαβατέος a river must be crossed by us X. A. 2. 4. 6, ὡφελητέα σοι ἡ πόλις ἐστί the State must be benefited by you X. M. 3. 6. 3, ἐμοὶ τοῦτο οὐ ποιητέον this must not be done by me (I must not do this) X. A. 1. 3. 15, οἱ συμμαχεῖν ἐθέλοντες εδ ποιητέοι those who would be allies must be well treated X. M. 2. 6. 27, οὐ . . . τοσαῦτα ὅρη ὀρᾶτε ὑμῖν ὅντα πορευτέα; do you not see such high mountains that must be traversed by you ? X. A. 2. 5. 18.

2152. The Impersonal (Active) Construction. — The impersonal verbal stands in the neuter nominative, usually singular  $(-\tau \epsilon o \nu)$ , rarely plural  $(-\tau \epsilon a)$ . Its object stands in the case (genitive, dative, or accusative) required by the verb from which the verbal adjective is derived; verbs taking the genitive or dative have the impersonal construction only. The agent, if expressed, must always stand in the dative.

τῷ ἀδικοῦντι δοτέον δίκην the wrong-doer must suffer punishment P. Euth. 8 c, πιστὰ καὶ ὁμήρους δοτέον καὶ ληπτέον we must give and receive pledges and hostages X. H. 3. 2. 18, τὸν θάνατον ἡμῖν μετ' εὐδοξίᾶς αἰρετέον ἐστίν we must prefer death with honour I. 6. 91, πειστέον πατρὸς λόγοις I must obey my father's commands E. Hipp. 1182, πειστέον τάδε (σοί) thou must obey in this S. Ph. 994 (distinguish πειστέον ἐστί σε one must persuade thee), φημὶ δὴ βοηθητέον είναι τοῦς πράγμασιν ὑμῖν I say that you must render assistance to the interests at stake D. 1. 17, τοὺς φίλους εὐεργετητέον, τὴν πόλιν ὡφελητέον . . . , τῶν βοκημάτων ἐπιμελητέον you must do good to your friends, benefit your State, take care of your flocks X. M. 2. 1. 28, ἡμῖν ξύμμαχοι ἀγαθοί, οὖς οὐ παραδοτέα τοῦς ʿλθηναίοις ἐστίν we have serviceable allies, whom we must not abandon to the Athenians T. 1. 86, ἐψηφίσαντο . . πολεμητέα εἶναι they voted that they must go to war 1. 88.

#### SUMMARY OF THE FORMS OF SIMPLE SENTENCES

§§ 906-2152 deal, in general, with the simple sentence. The following summary shows the chief forms of simple sentences (921) used in Attic.

#### 2153. STATEMENTS

1. Statements of Fact (direct assertions) as to the present, past, or future are made in the indicative mood (negative oi), 1770.

A. Statements of fact include statements of present, past, or future possibility, likelihood, or necessity, which are expressed by the indicative of a verb denoting possibility, likelihood, or necessity, and an infinitive (1774–1779).

B. Statements of customary or repeated past action are made in

the imperfect or agrist indicative with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  (negative  $o\vec{v}$ ), 1790.

2. Statement of Opinion (usually cautious, doubtful, or modest assertions) as to what may be (might be), can be (could be), may (might, could, would) have been, etc., are made:

A. In reference to the present or past: by  $\epsilon \beta o \nu \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu \ a \nu \ I$  should like or I should have liked (negative ov), 1789. (Rarely by the indica-

tive without  $d\nu$ , negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov, 1772.)

B. In reference to the past: by the agrist or imperfect indicative

with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  (negative ov), 1784, cp. 1786.

C. In reference to the present (statement of present opinion the verification of which is left to the future): by the optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (negative  $o\tilde{v}$ ), 1824.

D. In reference to the future: by the present subjunctive with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov (1801); by ov  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the agrist subjunctive to denote an

emphatic denial (1804).

#### 2154. ASSUMPTIONS

Assumptions, including concessions, are usually expressed by the imperative (negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ), 1839. Other forms occur, as  $\kappa a \lambda \delta \dot{\eta}$  with the indicative (negative  $\delta \dot{v}$ ), 1771; a verb of assuming with the accusative and infinitive, etc.

# 2155. COMMANDS (INCLUDING EXHORTATIONS)

- 1. Positive Commands are expressed by the
- A. Imperative, except in the first person (1835).

B. Subjunctive, in the first person (1797).

C. Future indicative (negative ov) 1917, 1918; with δπως (1920).
GREEK GRAM. — 31

- D. Optative without  $d\nu$  (1820); potential optative with  $d\nu$  (negative  $o\dot{v}$ , 1830).
  - E. Infinitive used independently (2013).
- 2. Negative Commands (Prohibitions, 1840), including Exhortations, are expressed by μή with the
- A. Present imperative (1840) or agrist subjunctive (second or third person), 1800.
  - B. Present or agrist subjunctive in the first person plural (1840).

C. Aorist imperative in the third person (rare), 1840.

D. Future indicative with  $\delta \pi \omega_s \mu \dot{\eta}$  (1920); with où  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  (1919).

E. Aorist subjunctive with  $\delta \pi \omega s \mu \dot{\eta}$  (rare), 1803; with  $\dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta}$  (rare), 1800, N.

F. Infinitive used independently (2013).

#### **2156.** WISHES

1.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is the negative of a direct expression of a wish, and of all indirect expressions of wish except  $\pi\hat{\omega}_{S}$   $\vec{a}\nu$  with the optative and a

form of βούλομαι with the infinitive.

2. Wishes for the future, whether the object of the wish is reasonable or unreasonable, attainable or unattainable, are expressed by the optative with or without  $\epsilon i\theta \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon i \gamma \delta \rho$  (1814, 1815). Indirect expressions are:  $\pi \hat{\omega}_s \delta v$  with the optative (1832);  $\beta D \lambda \delta i \mu \eta \nu \delta v$  with the infinitive (1827).

3. Wishes for the present: that something might be otherwise than it now is, are expressed by the imperfect with  $\epsilon i\theta \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon i \gamma \alpha \rho$  (1780). Indirect expressions are:  $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \sigma \nu$  (with or without  $\epsilon i\theta \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon i \gamma \alpha \rho$ ) and the present or a rist infinitive (1781);  $\epsilon \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \delta \mu \eta \nu$  (with or without

 $\vec{a}\nu$ ) with the infinitive (1782, 1789).

4. Wishes for the past: that something might have been otherwise than it then was, are expressed by the acrist indicative with  $\epsilon i \theta \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon i \gamma \delta \rho$  (1780). Indirect:  $\delta \phi \epsilon \delta \rho \rho$  (with or without  $\epsilon i \theta \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon i \gamma \delta \rho$ ) with the present or acrist infinitive (1781).

5. Unattainable wishes for the present or past may be entirely

reasonable.

### 2157. QUESTIONS

A simple question results from making any form of statement interrogative. Direct and indirect questions are treated in 2636 ff. See also the Index.

#### 2158. EXCLAMATIONS

Exclamations form complete or incomplete (904) sentences. Direct and indirect exclamatory sentences are treated in 2681 ff. See also the Index.

# COMPOUND AND COMPLEX SENTENCES COORDINATION AND SUBORDINATION

- 2159. All sentences other than simple sentences are formed by combining simple sentences either by coördination or subordination.
- 2160. Coördination produces compound sentences, subordination produces complex sentences. Complex sentences have been developed out of coördinate independent sentences, one of which has been subordinated in form, as in thought, to another.
- 2161. Comparative Grammar shows that, historically, coördination was preceded by simple juxtaposition and followed by subordination. Thus the simplest form of associating the two ideas night fell and the enemy departed was νὸξ ἐγένετο · οἱ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον (or in reverse order). From this was developed acloser connection by means of coordinating conjunctions, e.g. νὸξ (μὲν) ἐγένετο, οἰ δὲ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον or οἱ δὲ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον · νὺξ ἐγένετο (or νὺξ γὰρ ἐγένετο), or νὐξ ἐγένετο καὶ οἱ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον. Finally it was recognized that one of these ideas was a mere explanation, definition, or supplement of the other, and hence dependent or subordinate. This stage is represented by the complex sentence: έπεὶ (ὅτε) νὰξ ἐγένετο, οἱ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον ΟΥ νὰξ ἐγένετο, ὥστε οἱ πολέμιοι ἀπῆλθον, and so on to express various other relations. Since Greek inherited from the parent Indo-European language both the subordinate and the coördinate sentence, it must be clearly understood that the above examples of the process of development of sentence-building, though taken from Greek, illustrate an earlier period of the history of language than Greek as we have it. Though it may be possible to reconstruct the form of the earlier, coordinate sentence out of the later, subordinate sentence, and though we have examples of parallel coördinate and subordinate sentences in Greek, the subordinate sentence did not in Greek regularly go through the previous stages of simple juxtaposition and coördination. A subordinate construction produced by analogy to another subordinate construction may not be resolved into the coordinate form.

#### SYNTAX OF THE COMPOUND SENTENCE

- 2162. A compound sentence consists of two or more simple sentences, grammatically independent of one another and generally united by a coordinating conjunction. Thus,  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  δὲ ὑστεραία ἐπορεύοντο διὰ τοῦ πεδίου | καὶ | Τισσαφέρνης εἴπετο but on the next day they proceeded through the plain and Tissaphernes kept following them X. A. 3. 4. 18.
- a. Abbreviated compound sentences, *i.e.* sentences containing a compound subject with a single verbal predicate or a single subject with a compound verbal predicate, are treated in this book as expanded simple sentences (923, 924).
- 2163. Greek has, among others, the following coordinating conjunctions, the uses of which in connecting sentences, clauses, phrases, and single words are described under Particles.
  - A. Copulative conjunctions:  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$  (enclitic),  $\kappa a \dot{\epsilon}$  and,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dots \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dots \dot{\epsilon}$

καί, καὶ . . . καί both . . . and, οὐδέ (μηδέ) and not, nor, οὖτε . . . οὖτε

(μήτε...μήτε) neither ... nor.

B. Adversative conjunctions: ἀλλά but, δέ (postpositive, often with μέν in the preceding clause) but, and, ἀτάρ but, yet, however, μέντοι (postpositive) however, yet, καίτοι and yet.

C. Disjunctive conjunctions:  $\eta$  or,  $\eta$  ...  $\eta$  either ... or,  $\epsilon l \tau \epsilon$  ...

είτε (without a verb) either . . . or.

D. Inferential conjunctions: ἄρα then, accordingly, οὖν therefore, then, νῦν (in the poetic and enclitic forms νυν and νῦν) then, therefore, τοίγυν now, then, τοιγάρ (poetic), τοιγάρτοι, τοιγαροῦν so then, therefore.

E. Causal conjunction:  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  for.

2164. Compound sentences are divided into Copulative, Adversative, Disjunctive, Inferential, and Causal sentences.

#### ASYNDETON

- 2165. Two or more sentences (or words) independent in form and thought, but juxtaposed, *i.e.* coordinated without any connective, are asyndetic (from ἀσύνδετον not bound together), and such absence of connectives is called asyndeton.
- a. The absence of connectives in a language so rich in means of coördination as is Greek is more striking than in other languages. Grammatical asyndeton cannot always be separated from rhetorical asyndeton. Grammatical asyndeton is the absence of a conjunction where a connective might have been used without marked influence on the character of the thought; as especially in explanatory sentences (often after a preparatory word, usually a demonstrative) which take up the matter just introduced; also where, in place of a conjunction, a resumptive word, such as οδτος, τοιούτος, τοσούτος, ἐνταθθα, ούτω, etc., is employed. Rhetorical asyndeton is the absence of a conjunction where the following sentence contains a distinct advance in the thought and not a mere formal explanation appended to the foregoing sentence. Rhetorical asyndeton generally expresses emotion of some sort, and is the mark of liveliness, rapidity, passion, or impressiveness, of thought, each idea being set forth separately and distinctly. Thus, οὐκ ἀσεβής; οὐκ ἀμάς; οὐκ ἀκάθαρτος; οὐ σῦκοφάντης; is he not impious is he not impure? is he not a pettifogger? D. 25. 63.
  - 2166. Asyndeton is frequent in rapid and lively descriptions.

συμβαλόντες τὰς ἀσπίδας ἐωθοῦντο, ἐμάχοντο, ἀπέκτεινον, ἀπέθνησκον interlocking their shields, they shoved, they fought, they slew, they were slain X. H. 4. 3. 19, προσπεσόντες ἐμάχοντο, ἐώθουν ἐωθοῦντο, ἔπαιον ἐπαίοντο falling upon them, they fought; pushed (and) were pushed; struck (and) were struck X. C. 7. 1. 38. Also with anaphora (2167 c), as in ἔχεις πόλιν, ἔχεις τριήρεις, ἔχεις χρήματα, ἔχεις ἄνδρας τοσούτους you have a city, you have triremes, you have money, you have so many men X. A. 7. 1. 21. Cp. T. 7. 71, D. 19. 76, 19. 215, P. S. 197 d.

- 2167. Asyndeton also appears when the unconnected sentence
- a. Summarizes the main contents, or expresses the result, of the preceding.

Thus,  $\pi \acute{a}\nu '$  έχεις λόγον you have the whole story Λ. Ag. 582, ἀκηκόατε, έωράκατε, πεπόνθατε, έχετε· δικάζετε you have heard, you have seen, you have suffered, you have the evidence; pronounce your judgment L. 12. 100, φυλακη μέντοι πρὸ τῶν πυλῶν ἐντευξόμεθα· ἔστι γὰρ ἀεὶ τεταγμένη. οὐκ ἀν μέλλειν δέοι, ἔφη ὁ Κῦρος, ἀλλ' lέναι however, we shall meet with a guard in front of the gates, for one is always stationed there. We must not delay, but advance, said Cyrus X. C. 7. 5. 25. This is often the case when a demonstrative takes up the foregoing thought (as ἔδοξε ταῦτα Χ. Α. 1, 3, 20) or continues the narrative, as in ἀκούσᾶσι τοῖς στρατηγοῖς ταῦτα ἔδοξε τὸ στράτευμα συναγαγεῖν 4. 4. 19 (cp. 2061).

- b. Expresses a reason or explains the preceding. Thus, μικρὸν δ' ὕπνου λαχὼν είδεν ὅναρ · ἔδοξεν αὐτῷ . . . σκηπτὸς πεσεῖν κτλ. when he had snatched a little sleep, he saw a vision; a bolt of lightning seemed to him to fall, etc. X. A. 3. 1. 11, ίκοῦ πρὸς οἴκους · πῶς σε Καδμείων λεώς καλεῖ come home; all the Cadmean folk calls thee S. O.C. 741. Here γάρ οτ ἄρα might have been used. So often after a preparatory word (often a demonstrative); as ταὐτὸν δή μοι δοκεῖ τοῦτ' ἄρα καὶ περὶ τὴν ψῦχὴν είναι · ἔνδηλα πάντα ἐστὶν ἐν τῷ ψῦχῷ ἐπειδὰν γυμνωθῷ τοῦ σώματος κτλ. now it seems to me that this is the same with regard to the soul too; everything in the soul is open to view when a man is stripped of his body P. G. 524 d, ἐνὶ μόνφ προέχουσιν ol ἱππεῖς ἡμᾶς · φεύγειν αὐτοῖς ἀσφαλέστερὸν ἐστιν ἢ ἡμῖν in one point alone has the cavalry the advantage of us: it is safer for them to run away than for us X. A. 3. 2. 19, and so when ὅσπερ is followed by οὕτω καί (P. R. 557 c). Also when μέν γε . . . δέ take up what precedes, as ὅμοιός γε Σόλων νομοθέτης καί Τῖμοκράτης · ὁ μέν γε . . . δ δέ D. 24. 106. Furthermore after τεκμήριον δέ (994), as Τ. 2. 50.
- c. Repeats a significant word or phrase of the earlier sentence (anaphora). Thus, kal  $\delta\tau \psi$  doker  $\tau a \theta \tau a$ , arateiratw  $\tau \eta \nu$  carps a different exacts and let him who approves this, hold up his hand; they all held up their hands X. A. 3. 2. 33. In poetry a thought is often repeated in a different form by means of a juxtaposed sentence (S. Tr. 1082).
- d. Sets forth a contrast in thought to the preceding. This is commoner in poetry than in prose. Thus,  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\rho\nu\tau a$   $\tau a\hat{\nu}\tau a$   $\tau \delta\nu$   $\tau \rho\rho\kappa\epsilon\iota\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu$   $\tau\iota$   $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$  this lies in the future; the present must be thy care S. Ant. 1334.
- e. Introduces a new thought or indicates a change to a new form of expression. Thus, ἀλλ' ἰτέον, ἔφη. πρῶτόν με ὑπομνήσατε ἃ ἐλέγετε but we must proceed, said he. First recall to my mind what you were saying P. Ph. 91 c.
  - f. Is introduced by a word stressed by emotion, as  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$  D.3.32,  $\epsilon \gamma \omega$  4.29. On juxtaposition of participles, see 2147.

## COÖRDINATION IN PLACE OF SUBORDINATION - PARATAXIS

- 2168. The term parataxis ( $\pi a p \acute{a} \tau a \acute{e} \iota s$  arranging side by side), as here employed, is restricted to the arrangement of two independent sentences side by side, though one is in thought subordinate to the other.
- a. In Greek,  $\pi a \rho \acute{a} \tau a \xi \iota s$  means simply  $co\ddot{o}rdination$  in general, as  $\dot{v}\pi \acute{o}\tau a \xi \iota s$  means subordination.
  - 2169. In many cases parataxis is a common form of expression

not only in the earlier language of Homer, but also in Attic prose and poetry.

a. Temporal conjunctions, as  $\dot{\eta}\nu i\kappa a$ , are rarely used to introduce such clauses, which often indicate a sudden or decisive occurrence or simultaneous action.

b. Thucydides is especially fond of  $\kappa a t$  or  $\tau \epsilon$  to coordinate two ideas, one of which is subordinate to the other.

- 2171. There exist many traces in Greek of the use of the older coordination in place of which some form of subordination was adopted, either entirely or in part, in the later language.
- a. Thus several relative pronouns and adverbs were originally demonstrative, and as such pointed either to the earlier or the later clause. So  $\dot{o}$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \dot{o}$  (1105, cp. 1114):  $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon a \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \rho i \xi \epsilon$ ,  $\tau \dot{a}$  of  $\pi \dot{o} \rho \epsilon \chi \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \epsilon o$  "Apps (H 146) meant originally he stripped him of his arms; these brazen Ares had given him.  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$  so long is properly demonstrative, but has acquired a relative function in  $\kappa a \dot{l} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{l} \kappa a \iota \rho \dot{b} s$ ,  $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \dot{a} \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$  and while there is time, take our policy in hand D. 1. 20.
- **2172.** Homer often places two thoughts in juxtaposition without any regard for logical connection. This is especially common with  $\delta \epsilon$ ,  $\tau \epsilon$ ,  $\kappa a i$ ,  $a i \tau a \rho$ ,  $a \lambda \lambda a$ . Thus,  $\pi o \lambda i s$   $\delta$   $\delta \rho \nu \mu a \gamma \delta i s$   $\epsilon \pi'$   $a i \tau \hat{\omega}$   $a i \tau \hat{\omega}$
- a. So also in clauses preceded by a relative word; as είος ὁ ταῦθ' ὤρμαινε..., ἐκ δ' Ἑλένη θαλάμοιο... ἤλυθεν while he was pondering on this, (but) Helen came forth from her chamber δ 120, ὅς κε θεοῖς ἐπιπείθηται, μάλα τ' ἔκλυον αὐτοῦ whoever obeys the gods, (and) him they hear A 218.
  - b. This use appears even in Attic prose; as οἰκοῦσι δ' ἐν μιᾳ τῶν νήσων οὐ

μεγάλη, καλείται δὲ (for ἡ καλείται) Λιπάρ $\bar{a}$  they dwell in one of the islands that is not large, and it (which) is called Lipara T. 3.88. Cp. also 2837.

#### SYNTAX OF THE COMPLEX SENTENCE

- 2173. A complex sentence consists of a principal sentence and one or more subordinate, or dependent, sentences. The principal sentence, as each subordinate sentence, has its own subject and predicate. The principal sentence of a complex sentence is called the principal clause, the subordinate sentence is called the subordinate clause. The principal clause may precede or follow the subordinate clause.
- 2174. The principal clause may have any form of the simple sentence.
- a. Parentheses belonging to the thought of the entire sentence, but standing in no close grammatical relation to it, count as principal clauses. So  $older{l}\mu a$ ,  $\delta o\kappa \hat{\omega}$ ,  $\phi \eta \mu l$ ,  $\delta \rho \hat{q}s$ ;  $older{l}\delta o$   $older{l}\delta$
- **2175.** The subordinate clause is always introduced by a subordinating conjunction, as  $\epsilon i$  if,  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon i$  since or when,  $\delta\tau i$  that,  $\xi\omega s$  until, etc.
- 2176. A finite mood in a subordinate clause may be influenced by the tense of the principal clause. If the verb of the principal clause stands in a secondary tense, the verb of the subordinate clause is often optative instead of indicative or subjunctive, as it would have been after a primary tense. Dependence of mood after a secondary tense is never indicated by the subjunctive.
- **2177.** Each tense in a subordinate clause denotes stage of action; the *time* is only relative to that of the leading verb. A subordinate clause may be marked by change of person in verb and pronoun.
- 2178. A subordinate clause in English may be expressed in Greek by a predicate adjective or substantive. Cp. 1169, 2647.
  - 2179. A subordinate clause may be coördinate in structure.
- έπει δ' ἡσθένει Δαρεῖος και ὑπώπτευε τελευτὴν τοῦ βίου, ἐβούλετό οι τὰ παίδε παρεῖναι but when Darius was ill and suspected that his end was near, he wished his two sons to be by him X. A. 1. 1. 1.
- a. So a relative clause, though properly subordinate, may be equivalent to a coördinating clause:  $\epsilon i \ \delta' \ b\mu \hat{\epsilon} i \ \delta \lambda \lambda \delta \ \tau i \ \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \ \mu \hat{\eta} \ \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \nu \sigma i \tau \delta$ ,  $\delta \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon i \nu$ ; but if you decide otherwise, and may this never come to pass!— what do you think will be her feelings? D. 28. 21. In such cases  $\delta s$  is equivalent to  $\kappa a i \ o \hat{\nu} \tau o s$ ,  $o \hat{\nu} \tau o s \ \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ,  $o \hat{\nu} \tau o s \ \gamma \hat{\epsilon} \rho$ .
- **2180.** A clause dependent upon the principal clause may itself be followed by a clause dependent upon itself (a sub-dependent clause).

- oi δ' ἔλεγον (principal clause) ὅτι περί σπονδῶν ἥκοιεν ἄνδρες (dependent clause) οἵτινες ἰκανοὶ ἔσονται . . . ἀπαγγεῖλαι (sub-dependent clause) and they said that they had come with regard to a truce and were men who were competent to . . . report X. A. 2. 3. 4.
- 2181. A verb common to two clauses is generally placed in one clause and omitted from the other (so especially in comparative and relative clauses).

ηπερ (τύχη) ἀεὶ βέλτῖον (scil. ἐπιμελεῖται) η ἡμεῖς ἡμῶν αὐτῶν ἐπιμελούμεθα fortune, which always cares better for us than we for ourselves D. 4. 12. Also as in English: ὅτι δὲ μέλλετε (πράσσειν), . . . εὐθὐς . . . πράσσετε but whatever you intend, do it at once T. 7. 15. In comparative clauses with οὐχ ὥσπερ (or ὡς) the main and the subordinate clause are sometimes compressed, the predicate of the clause with οὐχ being supplied from the ὥσπερ clause, which is made independent; as οὐχ (οὐδὲν ἀν ἐγίγνετο) ὥσπερ νῦν τούτων οὐδὲν γίγνεται περὶ αὐτόν it would not be as now, when none of these things is done for him P. S. 189 c.

# ANTICIPATION (OR PROLEPSIS)

**2182.** The subject of the dependent clause is often anticipated and made the object of the verb of the principal clause. This transference, which gives a more prominent place to the subject of the subordinate clause, is called anticipation or prolepsis ( $\pi\rho\delta\lambda\eta\psi$ s taking before).

δέδοικα δ' αὐτὴν μή τι βουλεύση νέον but I fear lest she may devise something untoward E. Med. 37, ἥδει αὐτὸν ὅτι μέσον ἔχοι τοῦ Περσικοῦ στρατεύματος he knew that he held the centre of the Persian army X. A. 1. 8. 21, ἐπεμέλετο αὐτῶν ὅπως ἀεὶ ἀνδράποδα διατελοῖεν he took care that they should always continue to be slaves X. C. 8. 1. 44. Note ὀρᾶς τὸν εὐτράπεζον ὡς ἡδὺς βίος thou seest how sweet is the luxurious life E. fr. 1052. 3.

a. Anticipation is especially common after verbs of saying, seeing, hearing,

knowing, fearing, effecting.

- c. The subject of the dependent clause may be put first in its own clause: ἐπιχειρήσωμεν εἰπεῖν, ἀνδρείᾶ τί ποτ' ἐστίν let us try to say what courage is P. Lach. 190 d.
- d. The object of the subordinate clause may be anticipated and made the object of the principal clause. Thus,  $\epsilon l \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \ddot{a}$   $\delta \Delta \bar{a} \rho \epsilon \hat{l} o s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta \nu \epsilon l \dot{\epsilon} \pi l \sigma \tau a \iota \tau o$  Darius asked if he understood the art Hdt. 3.130.
- e. A still freer use is seen in έθαύμαζεν αὐτὸν ὁ Λύσανδρος ὡς καλὰ τὰ δένδρα εἰη Lysander marvelled at the beauty of his trees (for τὰ δένδρα αὐτοῦ ὡς κτλ.) Χ. Ο. 4. 21.

#### ASSIMILATION OF MOODS

- 2183. The mood of a subordinate clause which is intimately connected with the thought of the clause on which it depends, is often assimilated to the mood of that clause. Such subordinate clauses may be simply dependent or sub-dependent (2180).
- a. This idiom is most marked in Unreal and Less Vivid Future conditions where the mood of the protasis is the same as that of the principal clause. It is also very common when a past indicative or an optative attracts the mood of a subordinate clause introduced by a relative word referring to indefinite persons or things or to an indefinite time or place. But subordinate clauses standing in a less close relation to the main clause, because they do not continue the same mental attitude but present a new shade of thought, retain their mood unassimilated; e.g. a relative clause, or a temporal clause expressing purpose, after an unreal condition may stand in the optative (Is. 4. 11, P. R. 600 e). On the other hand, there are many cases where the writer may, or may not, adopt modal assimilation without any great difference of meaning. The following sections give the chief occurrences of mood-assimilation apart from that found in Unreal and Less Vivid Future conditions (2302, 2329):
- 2184. An indicative referring simply to the present or past remains unassimilated.

ξυνενέγκοι μὲν ταῦτα ὡς βουλόμεθα may this result as we desire T. 6. 20, νῖκψη δ' ὅ τι πᾶσιν μέλλει συνοίσειν but may that prevail which is likely to be for the common weal D.4.51, ἐπειδὰν διαπράξωμαι ἃ δέομαι, ήξω when I shall have transacted what I want, I will return X. A. 2. 3. 29.

- 2185. Assimilation to the Indicative.—The subordinate clause takes a past tense of the indicative in dependence on a past tense of the indicative (or its equivalent) denoting unreality.
- b. Temporal clauses: οὐκ ἂν ἐπαυόμην . . ., ἔως ἀπεπειράθην τῆς σοφίᾶς ταυτησί I would not have ceased until I had made trial of this wisdom P. Crat. 396 C, ἐχρῆν . . . μὴ πρότερον περὶ τῶν ὁμολογουμένων συμβουλεύειν, πρὶν περὶ τῶν ἀμφισημένων ἡμᾶς ἐδίδαξαν they ought not to have given advice concerning the matters of common agreement before they instructed us on the matters in dispute I, 4, 19.
- c. Final clauses: here the principal clause is an unfulfilled wish, an unfulfilled apodosis, or a question with  $o\dot{v}$ ; and the indicative in the final clause denotes that the purpose was not or cannot be attained, and cannot be reached by the will of the speaker. Thus,  $\epsilon l \ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ \delta \phi \epsilon \lambda o v \ o loi \ \tau \epsilon \ \epsilon l v a i \ o i \ \pi o \lambda \lambda o l \ \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \tau a$

κακὰ ἐργάζεσθαι, ἵνα οἷοί τε ἦσαν καὶ ἀγαθὰ τὰ μέγιστα would that the many were able to work the greatest evil in order that they might be able (as they are not) to work also the greatest good P.Cr. 44 d, ἐβουλόμην ἄν Σίμωνα τὴν αὐτὴν γνώμην ἐμοὶ ἔχειν ἵνα . . . ῥαδίως ἔγνωτε τὰ δίκαια I should have liked Simon to be of the same opinion as myself in order that you might easily have rendered a just verdict L. 3.21, ἔδει τὰ ἐνέχυρα τότε λαβεῖν, ὡς μηδ' εἰ ἐβούλετο ἐδύνατο ἐξαπατᾶν I ought to have taken security at the time in order that he could not have deceived us even if he wished X.  $\Lambda.7.6.23$ , τἱ δῆτ' οὐκ ἔρρῖψ' ἐμαυτὸν τῆσδ' ἀπὸ πέτρᾶς, ὅπως τῶν πάντων πόνων ἀπηλλάγην; why indeed did I not hurl myself from this rock, that I might have been freed from all these toils ?  $\Lambda.$  Pr. 747.

- N. 1. In this (post-Homeric) construction,  $i\nu a$  is the regular conjunction in prose;  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$  and  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega_{S}$  are rare.  $\ddot{\sigma}\nu$  is very rarely added and is suspected (Is. 11. 6, P. L. 959 e).
- N. 2. Assimilation does not take place when the final clause is the essential thing and sets forth a real future purpose of the agent of the leading verb, or does not show whether or not the purpose was realized. This occurs especially after lna = eo constito ut, rarely after lna = eo constitue is used when the purpose of the agent, and not the non-fulfilment of the action, is emphasized. Thus, lna = eo confusion lna = eo constituing and lna = eo consti
- d. Causal clauses (rarely, as D.50.67). Modal assimilation never takes place in indirect questions or in clauses dependent on a verb of *fearing*.
- 2186. Assimilation to the Optative. When an optative of the principal clause refers to *future* time (potential optative and optative of wish), the subordinate clause takes the optative by assimilation in the following cases.
- N. 1. If the relative has a definite antecedent, assimilation does not take place; but not all relative clauses with an indefinite antecedent are assimilated. Cp.  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  åv  $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau$ 0s alox $\nu\nu\theta\epsilon$ 1η  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\alpha}\xi\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\iota\pi\epsilon$ 1 $\dot{\nu}$ 2  $\dot{\nu}$ 3  $\dot{\nu}$ 4  $\dot{\nu}$ 4  $\dot{\nu}$ 5  $\dot{\nu}$ 6  $\dot{\nu}$ 6  $\dot{\nu}$ 6  $\dot{\nu}$ 7  $\dot{\nu}$ 6  $\dot{\nu}$ 7  $\dot{\nu}$ 7  $\dot{\nu}$ 8 each one of you would be ashamed to leave the post to which he may be appointed in war Aes. 3.7.
- N. 2. A relative clause depending on an infinitive rarely takes the optative:  $\lambda\lambda\lambda$   $\lambda$   $\tau$ 00  $\mu$ è $\nu$   $\alpha$ 0 $\tau$ 0 $\nu$ 0 $\lambda$ 6 $\gamma$ 6 $\tau$ 0 $\nu$ 0  $\lambda$ 6 $\gamma$ 6 $\tau$ 0 $\tau$ 0  $\lambda$ 6 $\tau$ 0  $\lambda$ 0  $\lambda$ 6 $\tau$ 0  $\lambda$ 0
  - b. Temporal clauses (regularly) :  $\tau\epsilon\theta\nu$ αίην, ὅτ $\epsilon$  μοι μηκέτι ταῦτα μέλοι may I

die when these things no longer delight me Mimnermus 1.2, ὁ μὲν ἐκῶν πεινῶν φάγοι ἃν ὁπότε βούλοιτο he who starves of his own free will can eat whenever he wishes X. M. 2. 1. 18, εἰ δὲ πάνυ σπουδάζοι φαγεῖν, εἴποιμὶ ἃν ὅτι παρὰ ταῖς γυναιξίν ἐστυν, ἔως παραπείναιμι τοῦτον κτλ. but if he was very desirous of eating, I would tell him that "he was with the women" until I had tortured him, etc. X. C. 1. 3. 11, δλοιο μήπω, πρὶν μάθοιμι perish not yet . . . until I learn S. Ph. 961. But οὐκ ἃν ἀπέλθοιμι πρὶν ἄν παντάπᾶσιν ἡ ἀγορὰ λυθῆ I shall not be leaving until the gathering in the market-place is quite dispersed X. O. 12. 1.

- d. Indirect questions, when the direct question was a deliberative subjunctive: oùr år  $\xi\chi$ ois  $\xi\xi$ elbàr  $\delta$   $\tau$   $\iota$   $\chi\rho\hat{\varphi}$ 0  $\sigma$ aur $\hat{\varphi}$  if you should escape, you would not know what to do with yourself P. Cr. 45 b (=  $\tau\iota$   $\chi\rho\hat{\omega}\mu$ au;). But when a direct question or a direct quotation stood in the indicative, that mood is retained, as  $\epsilon\iota$   $\epsilon$ a $\pi$ o- $\delta\epsilon\iota\chi$   $\theta\epsilon$ ( $\eta$   $\tau$   $\iota$ vas  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$   $\dot{\eta}\gamma$ ei0 $\theta$ au  $\tau$ 0 $\hat{\nu}$   $\pi$ lauri0ov if it should be settled who must lead the square X. A. 3. 2. 36.
- e. Very rarely in relative clauses of purpose (P. R. 578 e possibly); after  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  (X. C. 5. 5. 30), and in dependent statements with  $\partial\tau\iota$  or  $\omega$ s (X. C. 3. 1. 28).
- f. Assimilation and non-assimilation may occur in the same sentence (E. Bacch. 1384 ff.)
- 2187. An optative referring to general past time in a general supposition usually assimilates the mood of a conditional relative or temporal clause depending on that optative.

έχαιρεν ὁπότε τάχιστα τυχόντας ὧν δέοιντο ἀποπέμποι but he was wont to rejoice whenever he dismissed without delay his petitioners with their requests granted (lit. obtaining what they wanted) X. Ag. 9. 2. But the indicative may remain unassimilated, as ἐκάλει δὲ καὶ ἐτίμᾶ ὁπότε τινὰς ἴδοι τοιοῦτόν τι ποιήσαντας δ αὐτὸς ἐβούλετο ποιεῖν and he was wont to honour with an invitation any whom he saw practising anything that he himself wished them to do X. C. 2. 1. 30.

So when the optative refers to past time through dependence on a verb of past time, as  $\pi \rho o \sigma \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \hat{\omega} s$  of hour  $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i o \lambda \sigma e \hat{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} s$   $\delta \eta \lambda o i \eta \sigma \hat{\omega} s$   $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \hat{\mu} s$  summoning his friends he used to carry on a serious conversation with them in order to show whom he honoured X.A.1.9.28 (here  $\tau \bar{\iota} \mu \omega \eta$  would be possible).

- 2188. Assimilation to the Subjunctive. Conditional relative clauses and temporal clauses referring to future or general present time, if dependent on a subjunctive, take the subjunctive.
  - a. In reference to future time:  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\pi\rho\hat{a}\gamma\mu\hat{a}\tau\omega\nu$   $\tau$ oùs  $\beta$ ou $\lambda$ evo $\mu$ é $\nu$ ous  $(\dot{\eta}\gamma\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta a\iota$

 $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ ),  $\vec{l}'$   $\hat{a}'$   $\vec{k} \epsilon \hat{l}$  rois  $\delta \kappa \hat{n}$ ,  $\tau a \hat{0} \tau a \pi p \hat{a} \tau \tau \eta \tau a$  men of counsel must guide events in order that what they resolve shall be accomplished 10.4.39.

b. In reference to general present time: οὐδ', ἐπειδὰν ὧν ἄν πρίηται κόριος γένηται, τῷ προδότη συμβούλω περὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἔτι χρῆται nor when he has become master of what he purchases, does he any longer employ the traitor to advise him concerning his plans for the future D. 18. 47. But the indicative may occur (D. 22. 22).

#### CLASSES OF SUBORDINATE CLAUSES

### 2189. Subordinate clauses are of three classes:

1. Substantival clauses: in which the subordinate clause plays the part of a substantive and is either the subject or the object:  $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \nu$   $| \delta \tau \iota \ \epsilon \gamma \gamma \nu s \ \pi \sigma \nu \ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \upsilon s \ \hat{\eta} \nu \ it \ was \ plain that the king was somewhere hard by X. A. 2. 3. 6, où k <math>\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \mid \delta \tau \iota \ \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \ you \ do \ not \ know \ what \ you \ are \ doing 1. 5. 16.$ 

2. Adjectival (attributive) clauses: in which the subordinate clause plays the part of an adjective, and contains a relative whose antecedent (expressed or implied) stands in the principal clause:  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \iota$ 

Philip sent D. 18. 39 (=  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\delta} \Phi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma \nu \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ).

3. Adverbial clauses: in which the subordinate clause plays the part of an adverb or adverbial expression modifying the principal clause in like manner as an adverb modifies a verb.

κραυγήν πολλήν ἐποίουν καλοῦντες ἀλλήλους, ὥστε καὶ τοὺς πολεμίους ἀκούειν they made a loud noise by calling each other so that even the enemy heard them X. A. 2.2.17 (here ιστε . . . ἀκούειν may be regarded as having the force of an adverb: and in a manner audible even to the enemy); πῶς ἀν οῦν ὀρθῶς δικάσαιτε περὶ αὐτῶν; εἰ τούτους ἐάσετε τὸν νομιζόμενον δρκον διομοσαμένους κατηγορήσαι κτλ. how then would you judge correctly about them? if you permit (i.e. by permitting) them to make their accusations after having sworn the customary oath, etc. Ant. 5.90. Cp. 1095 end.

- 2190. Accordingly all complex sentences may be classified as Substantival sentences, Adjectival sentences, and Adverbial sentences. This division is, in general, the basis of the treatment of complex sentences in this book, except when, for convenience, closely connected constructions are treated together; as in the case of (adverbial) pure final clauses and (substantival) object clauses after verbs of effort and of fearing.
- a. Some sentences may be classed both as substantival and adverbial, as clauses with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  and  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega s$ . An adverbial or adjectival clause may assume a substantival character (2247, 2488).

Complex sentences are considered in the following order: Adverbial, Adjectival, Substantival.

### ADVERBIAL COMPLEX SENTENCES (2193-2487)

- 2191. In an adverbial complex sentence the subordinate clause denotes some one of the following adverbial relations: purpose (2193), cause (2240), result (2249), condition (2280), concession (2369), time (2383), comparison (2462).
- 2192. An adverbial sentence is introduced by a relative conjunction denoting purpose, cause, result, etc.

### PURPOSE CLAUSES (FINAL CLAUSES)

- **2193.** Final clauses denote purpose and are introduced by  $\tilde{v}va$ ,  $\tilde{o}\pi \omega s$ ,  $\tilde{o}s$  in order that, that (Lat. ut); negative  $\tilde{v}va$   $\mu \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\tilde{o}\pi \omega s$   $\mu \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\tilde{o}s$   $\mu \acute{\eta}$ , and  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  alone, lest (Lat. ne).
- a. Also by  $\eth \varphi \varphi \alpha$ , strictly while, until, in Epic and Lyric; and  $\~ \omega s$  in Epic (2418).  $\~ \iota \nu \alpha$  is the chief final conjunction in Aristophanes, Herodotus, Plato, and the orators. It is the only purely final conjunction in that it does not limit the idea of purpose by the idea of time (like  $\~ \delta \varphi \rho \alpha$  and  $\~ \omega s$ ), or of manner (like  $\~ \delta \pi \omega s$  and  $\~ \omega s$ ); and therefore never takes  $\~ \iota \nu$  ( $\kappa \acute \epsilon \nu$ ), since the purpose is regarded as free from all conditions (2201 b).  $\~ \delta \pi \omega s$  is the chief final conjunction in Thucydides, and in Xenophon (slightly more common than  $\~ \iota \nu \alpha$ ).  $\~ \omega s$  often shows the original meaning  $\~ \iota \iota \nu$  which way, how, as (cp. 2578, 2989). It is rare in prose, except in Xenophon, and does not occur on inscriptions; rare in Aristophanes, but common in tragedy, especially in Euripides.  $\rlap \iota \gamma \dot \gamma$  is very rare in prose, except in Xenophon and Plato ( $\rlap \iota \gamma \dot \nu \dot \gamma \dot \nu$ ) is very rare in Homer and in Attic: X. M. 2. 2. 14).
- b. In order that no one is "va (etc.) μηδείs or μή τις, in order that . . . never is "va (etc.) μήποτε or μή ποτε, and in order that . . . not is μηδέ after μή.
  - 2194. Final clauses were developed from original coördination.

θάπτε με ὅττι τάχιστα · πύλᾶs 'Alδão περήσω bury me with all speed; let me pass the gates of Hades  $\Psi$ 71, where we have a sentence of will added without any connective; and (negative) ἀπόστιχε μή τι νοήση "Ηρη depart lest Hera observe aught A 522 (originally let Hera not observe anything, 1802). Even in Attic, where subordination is regular, the original form of coördination can be (theoretically) restored, as in καί σε πρὸς . . . θεῶν ἰκνοῦμαι μὴ προδούς ἡμᾶς γένη and I entreat thee by the gods | do not forsake us S. Aj. 588. We can no longer trace the original coördination with ἵνα and ὡς.

- 2195. A final clause stands in apposition to τούτου ἔνεκα οτ διὰ τοῦτο expressed or understood. Thus, ἐκκλησίᾶν τούτου ἔνεκα ξυνήγαγον ὅπως ὑπομνήσω I have convened an assembly for this reason that I may remind you T. 2.60. Here τούτου ἔνεκα might be omitted.
- 2196. The verb of a final clause stands in the subjunctive after an introductory primary tense, in the optative (sometimes in the subjunctive, 2197) after a secondary tense.

γράφω ΐνα ἐκμάθης I write (on this account) that you may learn.

γράφω ΐνα μὴ ἐκμάθης I write (on this account) that you may not learn.

έγραψα ἴνα ἐκμάθοις (or ἐκμάθgs) I wrote (on this account) that you might learn.

ἔγραψα ἴνα μὴ ἐκμάθοις (or ἐκμάθης) I wrote (on this account) that you might not learn.

κατάμενε ΐνα καὶ περὶ σοῦ βουλευσώμεθα remain behind that we may consider your case also X. A. 6. 6. 28, βασίλεὺς alpεῖται οὐχ ΐνα ἐαυτοῦ καλῶς ἐπιμελῆται, ἀλλ᾽ ΐνα καὶ οἱ ἐλόμενοι δἰ αὐτὸν εὖ πράττωσι a king is chosen, not that he may care for his own interest however nobly, but that those who choose him may prosper through him X. M. 3. 2. 3, παρακαλεῖς ῗατροὺς ὅπως μὴ ἀποθάνη you call in physicians in order that he may not die X. M. 2. 10. 2, φύλακας συμπέμπει (hist. pres., 1883) . . . ὅπως ἀπὸ τῶν δυσχωριῶν φυλάττοιεν αὐτόν he sent guards along in order that they might guard him from the rough parts of the country X. C. 1.4. 7, καὶ ἄμα ταῦτ΄ εἰπῶν ἀνέστη ὡς μὴ μέλλοιτο ἀλλὰ περαίνοιτο τὰ δέοντα and with these words on his lips he stood up in order that what was needful might not be delayed but be done at once X. A. 3. 1. 47, μὴ σπεῦδε πλουτεῖν μὴ ταχὺς πένης γένη haste not to be rich lest thou soon become poor Men. Sent. 358. For the optative after an optative, see 2186 c.

- 2197. After a secondary tense, the subjunctive may be used in place of the optative.
- a. In the narration of past events, the subjunctive sets forth a person's previous purpose in the form in which he conceived his purpose. Thus  $(\tau \dot{\alpha} \ \pi \lambda o \hat{\alpha})$  'Abrocomas burned the boats in order that Cyrus might (may) not cross X.A.1.4.18. Here the thought of A. was 'I will burn the boats that Cyrus may not cross' ("ra  $\mu \dot{\eta} \ \delta \iota a \beta \hat{\eta}$ ), and is given in a kind of quotation.
- N. Thucydides and Herodotus prefer this vivid subjunctive; the poets, Plato, and Xenophon, the optative. In Demosthenes, the subjunctive and optative are equally common.
- b. When the purpose (or its effect) is represented as still continuing in the present. See the example in 2195. This use is closely connected with a.
- c. After  $\tau$ i où,  $\tau$ i où où, and the aorist indicative:  $\tau$ i où  $\nu$  où  $\chi$ l  $\tau$ à  $\mu$ è  $\nu$   $\tau$ el  $\chi$ η φυλακ $\hat{\eta}$  έχυρὰ ἐποιήσα $\mu$ εν ὅπως ἄν (2201) σοι σᾶ  $\hat{\eta}$  κτλ.; why then do we not make your walls strong by a garrison that they may be safe for you, etc.? X.C.5.4.37. Here the sentence with ἐποιήσα $\mu$ εν is practically equivalent to one with ποιήσω $\mu$ εν.
- 2198. The alternative construction of final clauses with subjunctive or optative is that of implicit indirect discourse (2622). The subjunctive is always possible instead of the optative. Observe that the subjunctive for the optative is relatively past, since the leading verb is past.
- 2199. After a secondary tense both subjunctive and optative may be used in the same sentence.
- ναῦς οἱ Κορlνθιοι . . . ἐπλήρουν ὅπως ναυμαχίᾶς τε ἀποπειράσωσι . . . , καὶ τὰς ὁλκάδας αὐτῶν ἦσσον οἱ ἐν τῷ Ναυπάκτω ᾿Αθηναῖοι κωλύοιεν ἀπαίρειν the Corinthians

manned...ships both to try a naval battle and that the Athenians at Naupactus might be less able to prevent their transports from putting out to sea T.7.17.

- a. In some cases, especially when the subjunctive precedes, the subjunctive may express the immediate purpose, the realization of which is expected; while the optative expresses the less immediate purpose conceived as a consequence of the action of the subjunctive or as a mere possibility.
- **2200.** The optative is very rare after a primary tense except when that tense implies a reference to the past as well as to the present.

οἴχονται ἵνα μὴ δοῖεν δίκην they have gone away that they might not suffer punishment L. 20.21. Here οἴχονται is practically equivalent to ἔφυγον, and the optative δοῖεν shows that the purpose was conceived in the past. On the optative (without ἄν) by assimilation after an optative, see 2186 c.

2201.  $\delta\pi\omega_S$  with the subjunctive sometimes takes  $\delta\nu$  in positive clauses.

τοῦτ' αὐτὸ νῦν δίδασχ', ὅπως ἄν ἐκμάθω tell me now this very thing, that I may learn S. O. C. 575, ἄξεις ἡμᾶς ὅπως ἄν εἰδῶμεν you will guide us in order that we may know X. C. 5. 2. 21.

- a.  $\dot{\omega}_S$  and  $\ddot{\omega}_{\varphi}$  with  $\ddot{\omega}_{\nu}$  or  $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}$  occur in poetry, especially in Homer.  $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\ddot{\omega}_{\nu}$  (first in Aeschylus) is very rare in Attic prose, but occurs eight times in Xenophon; as  $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\ddot{\delta}_S$   $\dot{\omega}_{\nu}$   $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\dot{\omega}_$
- b.  $\check{\alpha}_{\nu}$  ( $\kappa \acute{\epsilon}$ ) does not appreciably affect the meaning. Originally these particles seem to have had a limiting and conditional force (1762):  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\check{\alpha}_{\nu}$  in whatever way, that so (cp. so = in order that so) as in "Teach me to die that so I may Rise glorious at the awful day" (Bishop Ken), and cp.  $\dot{\omega}s$  with  $\delta\tau \varphi \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$  in  $i\kappa \delta \mu \mu \tau \tau \delta i \pi \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$  in  $i\kappa \delta \mu \mu \tau \tau \delta i \tau \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi \varphi$  in  $i\kappa \delta \mu \nu \tau \tau \delta i \tau \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \rho \delta \tau \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\nu} \delta \tau \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\nu} \delta \nu \tau \bar{\nu} \delta \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \epsilon \nu \tau \bar{\nu} \delta \nu \tau \bar{\nu} \delta \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$
- 2202. &s  $\tilde{a}\nu$  and  $\tilde{a}\pi\omega$ s  $\tilde{a}\nu$  with the optative occur very rarely in Attic prose (in Xenophon especially), and more frequently after secondary than after primary tenses.

έδωκε χρήματα 'Ανταλκίδα ὅπως ἃν πληρωθέντος ναυτικοῦ . . . οἴ τε 'Αθηναῖοι . . . μᾶλλον τῆς εἰρήνης προσδέοιντο he gave money to Antalcidas in order that, if a fleet were manned, the Athenians might be more disposed to peace X. H. 4. 8. 16. ώς ἄν final must be distinguished from ὡς ἄν consecutive (2278).

- a. Homer has a few cases of  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  ( $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}$ ) and  $\ddot{\sigma}\phi\rho'$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  ( $\kappa\dot{\epsilon}$ );  $\ddot{\nu}\nu$  a  $\kappa\epsilon\nu$  once ( $\mu$  156). Hdt. has  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{\delta}\kappa\omega s$   $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  rarely.
- b. After primary tenses the optative with  $a\nu$  is certainly, after secondary tenses probably, *potential*. Its combination with the final conjunction produces

a conditional relative clause in which the relative and interrogative force of  $\delta\pi\omega$ s and  $\dot{\omega}s$  comes to light. With  $\delta\pi\omega s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$  the final force is stronger than with  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$ . In the example quoted above,  $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma s$   $\nu\alpha\nu\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\sigma}\dot{\nu}$  represents the protasis ( $\dot{\epsilon}i$   $\nu\alpha\nu\tau\iota\kappa\dot{\sigma}\nu$   $\pi\lambda\eta\rho\omega\theta\dot{\epsilon}(\dot{\eta})$  to  $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\delta\dot{\epsilon}o\iota\nu\tau\sigma$ .

**2203.** The future indicative is used, especially in poetry, after  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  (rarely after  $\dot{\omega}_s$ ,  $\delta\phi\rho a$ , and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ) in the same sense as the subjunctive.

οὐδὲ δι' ἐν ἄλλο τρέφονται ἡ ὅπως μαχοῦνται nor are they maintained for any other single purpose than for fighting (lit. how they shall fight) X. C. 2. 1. 21, σῖγᾶθ', ὅπως μὴ πεύσεται (fut.) τις ... γλώσσης χάριν δὲ πάντ' ἀπαγγείλη (subj.) τάδε keep silence, lest some one hear and report all this for the sake of talk A. Ch. 265. In prose the future occurs with ὅπως in Xenophon and Andocides. This usage is an extension of that after verbs of effort (2211).

2204. The principal clause is sometimes omitted.

ἴν' ἐκ τούτων ἄρξωμαι to begin with this D. 21. 43. ἴνα τί, originally to what end (cp. 946), and ώς τί are also used colloquially: ἴνα τί ταῦτα λέγεις; why do you say this ? P. A. 26 d.

**2205.** By assimilation of mood, final clauses may take a past tense of the indicative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (2185 c) or the optative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (2186 c.)

**2206.** Equivalents of a Final Clause.—The common methods of expressing purpose may be illustrated by the translations (in Attic) of they sent a herald to announce:

ἔπεμψαν κήρῦκα ἵνα (ὅπως) ἀπαγγέλλοιτο (2196). ἔπεμψαν κήρῦκα ὅστις (ὃς) ἀπαγγελεῖται (2554).

ἔπεμψαν κήρῦκα ἀπαγγελοῦντα (2065), ἀπαγγέλλοντα (rare, 2065).

έπεμψαν κήρυκα ώς ἀπαγγελοῦντα (2086 c).

ἔπεμψαν κήρυκα ἀπαγγέλλειν (rare in prose, 2009).

ἔπεμψαν κήρυκα τοῦ ἀπαγγέλλειν (2032 e, often in Thucydides).

ἔπεμψαν κήρυκα ὑπερ (ἔνεκα) τοῦ ἀπαγγέλλειν (2032 g).

For  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  denoting an intended result, see 2267.

### **OBJECT CLAUSES**

**2207.** Two types of object (substantival) clauses are closely connected in construction with final clauses.

1. Object clauses after verbs of effort.

2. Object clauses after verbs of fearing.

Both stand in apposition to a demonstrative expressed or implied.

οὐδένα δεῖ τοῦτο μηχανᾶσθαι, ὅπως ἀποφεύξεται πῶν ποιῶν θάνατον no man ought to contrive (this) how he shall escape death at any cost P. A. 39 α, μηχανᾶσθαι ὅκως τὸ σῶμα . . . κομιεῖ to contrive how he might bring home the body Hdt. 2.  $121 \gamma$ , αὐτὸ τοῦτο φοβοῦμαι, μὴ . . . οὐ δυνηθῶ δηλῶσαι περὶ τῶν πρᾶγμάτων I απ

afraid of this very thing, namely, that I may not be able to make the case plain D.41.2,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\circ\beta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\imath}\tau$  . . .  $\dot{\mu}\dot{\eta}$  od δύναιτο . . .  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\imath}\nu$  he was afraid that he could not escape X.A.3.1.12.

- 2208. Connection of Final with Object Clauses. (1) Final clauses proper denote a purpose to accomplish or avert a result, which purpose is set forth in a definite action. (2) Object clauses after verbs of effort consider means to accomplish or avert a result; the action of the subordinate clause is the object purposed. Such clauses are incomplete final clauses, because, though the purpose is expressed, the action taken to effect the purpose is not expressed. (3) Object clauses after verbs of fearing deprecate an undesired result or express fear that a desired result may not be accomplished. According to the form of expression employed, the construction of these three kinds of clauses may differ in varying degree or be identical. Thus compare these usages of Attic prose:
- (1) παρακαλεῖ ἐἄτρὸν ὅπως μἢ ἀποθάνη (common) παρακαλεῖ ἑἄτρὸν ὅπως μὴ ἀποθανεῖται (occasionally) παρακαλεῖ ἑἄτρὸν μὴ ἀποθάνη (rare)

he summons a physician in order that he may not die.

(2) ἐπιμελεῖται ὅπως μὴ ἀποθανεῖται (common) ἐπιμελεῖται ὅπως μὴ ἀποθάνη (occasionally) he takes care that he shall not die. ὅρᾶ μὴ ἀποθάνης (occasionally) see to it that you do not die.

(3) φοβείται μὴ ἀποθάνη (common) φοβείται ὅπως μὴ ἀποθάνη (occasionally) φοβείται ὅπως μὴ ἀποθανείται (occasionally)

he is afraid lest he die.

#### OBJECT CLAUSES AFTER VERBS OF EFFORT

- **2209.** Object clauses after verbs of *effort* are introduced by  $\delta \pi \omega s$ , rarely by  $\delta s$  (Herodotus, Xenophon), scarcely ever by  $\tilde{l}\nu a$ . The negative is  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
- 2210. Verbs of effort include verbs denoting to take care or pains, to strive.

ἐπιμελοῦμαι, μέλει μοι, μελετῶ, φρουρῶ, πρόνοιαν ἔχω, βουλεύομαι, μηχανῶμαι, παρασκευάζομαι, προθῦμοῦμαι, πράττω, πάντα ποιῶ (ποιοῦμαι), σπουδάζω, etc.

- a. The same construction follows certain verbs of will signifying to ask, command, entreat, exhort, and forbid, and which commonly take the infinitive (αἰτῶ, δέομαι, παραγγέλλω, ἰκετεύω, δια- οτ παρακελεύομαι, ἀπαγορεύω, etc.).
- b. Some verbs take, by analogy, but in negative clauses only, the construction either of verbs of effort or of verbs of fearing. These verbs signify to see to a thing: ὁρῶ, σκοπῶ (-οῦμαι), ἐσκεψάμην, σκεπτέον ἐστί, τηρῶ; to be on one's guard: εὐλαβοῦμαι, φροντίζω, φυλάττω (-ομαι). See 2220.

These verbs may take μή with the infinitive. εὐλαβοῦμαι and φυλάττομαι take the infinitive when they mean to guard against doing something.

**2211.** Object clauses after verbs of effort take the future indicative with  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega$ , after primary and secondary tenses (rarely the optative after secondary tenses, 2212).

ἐπιμελοῦμαι ὅπως ταῦτα ποιήσει I take care that he shall do this.

ἐπιμελοῦμαι ὅπως μὴ ταῦτα ποιήσει I take care that he shall not do this. ἐπεμελούμην ὅπως ταῦτα ποιήσει (ποιήσοι) I took care that he should do

ἐπεμελούμην ὅπως ταῦτα ποιήσει (ποιήσοι) I took care that he should do this.

επεμελούμην όπως μη ταῦτα ποιήσει (ποιήσοι) I took care that he should not do this.

εὶ ἀνάγκη ἐστὶ μάχεσθαι, τοῦτο δεῖ παρασκευάσασθαι ὅπως ὡς κράτιστα μαχούμεθα if it is necessary to fight, we must prepare to fight bravely X. A. 4. 6. 10, ἔπρᾶσσον ὅπως τις βοήθεια ήξει they were managing (this, that) how some reinforcements should come T. 3. 4, σκοπείσθε τοῦτο, ὅπως μὴ λόγους ἐροῦσιν μόνον . . . ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔργον τι δεικνύειν ἔξουσιν see to this, that they not only make speeches but also are able to show some proof D. 2. 12, σκεπτέον μοι δοκεῖ εἶναι . . . ὅπως ὡς ἀσφαλέστατα ἄπιμεν (774) καὶ ὅπως τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἔξομεν it seems to me that we must consider how we shall depart in the greatest security and how we shall procure our provisions X. A. 1. 3. 11. In δεί σε ὅπως δείξεις it is needful that thou prove S. Aj. 556 there is a confusion between δεί δείξαι and the construction of 2213.

2212 After secondary tenses the future optative occasionally occurs.

έπεμέλετο ὅπως μήτε ἄσῖτοι μήτε ἄποτοί ποτε ἔσοιντο he took care that they should never be without food or drink X, C, 8, 1, 43.

- a. The future optative occurs especially in Xenophon, and represents a thought that was originally expressed by the future indicative. Here the indicative would present the thought vividly, *i.e.* as it was conceived in the mind of the subject.
- **2213.**  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  and  $\delta\pi\omega_s$   $\mu\acute{\eta}$  with the future indicative may be used without any principal clause, to denote an urgent exhortation or a warning. Originally the  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  clause depended on  $\sigma\kappa\acute{o}\pi\epsilon\iota$  ( $\sigma\kappa\sigma\pi\acute{e}\iota\dot{r}\epsilon$ ),  $\delta\rho\bar{a}$  ( $\delta\rho\hat{a}\tau\epsilon$ ) see to it; but the ellipsis was gradually forgotten and the construction used independently.

ὅπως οὖν ἔσεσθε ἄνδρες ἄξιοι τῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς ῆς κέκτησθε be men worthy of the freedom which you possess X.  $\Lambda$ . 1. 7. 3, ὅπως δὲ τοῦτο μὴ διδάξεις μηδένα but don't tell anybody this Ar. Nub. 824, and very often in Ar. This use is also preceded by ἄγε (X,S,4.20). The third person is very rare (L,1.21).

2214. Verbs of effort sometimes have the construction of final clauses, and take, though less often,  $\delta\pi\omega$ s with the present or second aorist subjunctive or optative (cp. 2196). The subjunctive may be used after secondary tenses.

έπρασσεν . . . ὅπως πόλεμος γένηται he tried to bring it about that war should

be occasioned T. 1. 57, ὅρᾶ . . . ὅπως μη παρὰ δόξαν ὁμολογῆς see to it that it does not prove that you acquiesce in what you do not really think P. Cr. 49 c, οὐ φυλάξεσθ' ὅπως μη . . . δεσπότην εὕρητε; will you not be on your guard lest you find a master? D. 6. 25. Future and subjunctive occur together in X. A. 4. 6. 10. In Xenophon alone is the subjunctive (and optative) more common than the future.

- a. The object desired by the subject of a verb of effort is here expressed by the same construction as is the purpose in the mind of the subject of a final clause.
- **2215.**  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is sometimes added to  $\tilde{o}\pi\omega_{S}$  with the subjunctive to denote that the purpose is dependent on certain circumstances.
- ὅπως ἄν . . . οἱ στρατιῶται περὶ τοῦ στρατεύεσθαι βουλεύωνται, τούτου πειράσομαι ἐπεμέλεσθαι I will endeavour to make it my care that the soldiers deliberate about continuing the war X. C. 5. 5. 48, μηχανητέον ὅπως ἄν διαφύγη plans must be made for his escape P. G. 481 a (the same passage has ὅπως with the subjunctive and the future). In Attic this use occurs in Aristophanes, Xenophon, and Plato.
- **2216.**  $\dot{\omega}_s$  and  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  with subjunctive and optative and  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\pi}\omega_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  with the optative occur in Xenophon,  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  and  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\pi}\omega_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  with the optative being used after primary and secondary tenses. Hdt. has  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\kappa}\omega_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  after secondary tenses. The optative with  $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  and  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\pi}\omega_s$   $\ddot{\alpha}_{\nu}$  is potential.
- **2217.** After verbs meaning to consider, plan, and try önws or ws with the subjunctive (with or without  $\kappa\epsilon$ ) or optative is used by Homer, who does not employ the future indicative in object clauses denoting a purpose. Thus,  $\phi\rho\delta$ - $\xi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ...  $\delta\pi\pi\omega$ s  $\kappa\epsilon$   $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\hat{\eta}\rho\alpha$ s...  $\kappa\tau\epsilon i\nu\eta s$  consider how thou mayest slay the suitors a 295,  $\pi\epsilon i\rho\bar{a}$   $\delta\pi\omega$ s  $\kappa\epsilon\nu$   $\delta\dot{\eta}$   $\sigma\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\pi\alpha\tau\rho i\delta a$   $\gamma a\hat{i}a\nu$  ikhat thou mayest come to thy native land  $\delta$  545. Here  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega$ s with the future indicative would be the normal Attic usage.
- **2218.** Verbs of will or desire signifying to ask, command, entreat, exhort, and forbid, which usually have an infinitive as their object, may take  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  ( $\delta\pi\omega_s$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ) with the future indicative (or optative) or the subjunctive (or optative). The  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  clause states both the command, etc. and the purpose in giving it. Between take care to do this and I bid you take care to do this the connection is close. Cp. impero, postulo with ut (ne).

διακελεύονται ὅπως τῖμωρήσεται they urge him to take revenge P.R. 549 e, δεήσεται δ΄ ὑμῶν ὅπως . . . δίκην μὴ δῷ he will entreat you that he may not suffer punishment Ant. 1. 23, παραγγέλλουσιν ὅπως ἄν (2215) τῆδε τῆ ἡμέρα τελευτήση they give orders (to the end) that he die to-day P.Ph. 59 e, Λακεδαιμονίων ἐδέοντο τὸ ψήφισμ' ὅπως μεταστραφείη they begged the Lacedaemonians that the decree might be changed Ar. Ach. 536, ἀπηγόρευες ὅπως μὴ τοῦτο ἀποκρινοίμην you forbade me to give this answer P.R. 339 a.

**2219.** Dawes' Canon. — The rule formulated by Dawes and afterwards extended (that the *first* aorist subjunctive active and middle after  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega s$ ,  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega s$ ,  $\dot{\nu}\eta$ , and où  $\dot{\nu}\dot{\eta}$  is incorrect and should be emended) is applicable only in the case of verbs of *effort*. After these verbs the future is far more common than subjunctive or optative (except in Xenophon), and some scholars would emend the

offending signatic subjunctives where they occur in the same sentence with second agrists (as And. 3.14) or even where the future has a widely different form (as  $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \tau a \iota$ , subj.  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \eta$ , cp. X. A. 5. 6. 21).

#### VERBS OF CAUTION

- 2220. Verbs of caution (2210 b, 2224 a) have, in negative clauses, the construction either of
  - a. Verbs of effort, and take ὅπως μή with the future indicative:
- εὐλαβούμενοι ὅπως μὴ . . . οἰχήσομαι taking care that I do not depart P. Ph. 91 c, ὅρᾶ ὅκως μή σεν ἀποστήσονται beware lest they revolt from thee Hdt. 3. 36.
- b. Verbs of fearing, and take  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov) or  $\delta\pi\omega$ s  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (2230) with the subjunctive (or optative):

ορᾶτε μὴ πάθωμεν take care lest we suffer X. C. 4. 1. 15, φυλάττου ὅπως μὴ . . . εἰς τοὐναντίον ἔλθης be on your guard lest you come to the opposite X. M. 3. 6. 16, ὑποπτεύομεν . . . ὑμᾶς μὴ οὐ κοινοὶ ἀποβῆτε we suspect that you will not prove impartial T. 3. 53, ὑποπτεύσᾶς μὴ τὴν θυγατέρα λέγοι, ἤρετο κτλ. suspecting that he meant his daughter, he asked, etc. X. C. 5. 2. 9. So with a past indicative (2233).

### OBJECT CLAUSES WITH VERBS OF FEARING

- **2221.** Object clauses after verbs of fear and caution are introduced by  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  that, lest (Lat. ne),  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or that . . . not, lest . . . not (Lat. ut = ne non).
- a.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  clauses denote a fear that something may or might happen;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of clauses denote a fear that something may not or might not happen. Observe that the verb is negatived by of and not by  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , which expresses an apprehension that the result will take place.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is sometimes, for convenience, translated by whether; but it is not an indirect interrogative in such cases.
- 2222. The construction of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  after verbs of fearing has been developed from an earlier coördinate construction in which  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  was not a conjunction (that, lest) but a prohibitive particle. Thus,  $\delta\epsilon i\delta\omega$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau$   $i\pi d\theta\eta\sigma\iota\nu$  (A 470) I fear lest he may suffer aught was developed from I fear + may he not suffer aught (1802);  $\psi\lambda\alpha\dot{\eta}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\iota s$ . . .  $\xi\sigma\tau\omega$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\lambda\delta\chi\sigma$   $\epsilon i\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota$   $\pi\dot{\delta}\lambda\iota\nu$  ( $\Theta$  521) but let there be a guard, lest an ambush enter the city, where the clause  $\mu\dot{\eta} \epsilon i\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\eta\sigma\iota$  meant originally may an ambush not enter. Here  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  expresses the desire to avert something (negative desire).
- a. When  $\mu\eta$  had become a pure conjunction of subordination, it was used even with the indicative and with the optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . Some scholars regard  $\mu\eta$  with the indicative as standing for  $\tilde{a}\rho a \mu\eta$  (hence an indirect interrogative). Observe that the character of  $\mu\eta$  after verbs of fearing is different from that in final clauses, though the construction is the same in both cases.
- **2223.** For the use of the subjunctive, without a verb of fearing, with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , see 1801, 1802; with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où see 1801, with où  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  see 1804.
- 2224. Verbs and expressions of fear are: φοβοῦμαι, δέδοικα οτ δέδια, ταρβώ, τρώ and πέφρικα (mostly poetical); δεινός εἰμι, δεινόν ἐστι, δέος ἐστί, φοβερός εἰμι, φοβερόν ἐστι, etc.

a. Sometimes it is not actual fear that is expressed but only apprehension, anxiety, suspicion, etc. These are the verbs and expressions of caution: ὀκνῶ, ἀθῦμῶ, ἀπιστῶ, ἀπιστίῶν ἔχω (παρέχω), ὑποπτεύω, ἐνθῦμοῦμαι, αἰσχΰνομαι (rare), κίνδῦνός ἐστι, προσδοκίᾶ ἐστί. Here belong also, by analogy, ὁρῶ, σκοπῶ, ἐννοῶ, ἐὐλαβοῦμαι, φροντίζω, φυλάττω (-ομαι), which admit also the construction of verbs of effort (2210 b).

## I. FEAR RELATING TO THE FUTURE

2225. Object clauses after verbs of fear and caution take the subjunctive after primary tenses, the optative (or subjunctive, 2226) after secondary tenses.

φοβουμαι μη γένηται I fear it may happen.

φοβούμαι μη οὐ γένηται I fear it may not happen.

έφοβούμην μη γένοιτο (or γένηται) I feared it might happen.

έφοβούμην μη οὐ γένοιτο (regularly γένηται) I feared it might not happen.

δέδοικα μη . . . . ἐπιλαθώμεθα τῆς οἴκαδε οδοῦ I am afraid lest we may forget the way home X. A. 3. 2. 25, φοβεῖται μη . . . τὰ ἔσχατα πάθη he is afraid lest he suffer the severest punishment X. C. 3. 1. 22, φροντίζω μη κράτιστον η μοισῖγῶν I am thinking that it may prove (2228) best for me to be silent X. M. 4. 2. 39, ἔδεισαν οἱ Ἑλληνες μη προσάγοιεν πρὸς τὸ κέρας καὶ . . . αὐτοὺς κατακόψειαν the Greeks were seized with fear lest they might advance against their flank and cut them down X. A. 1. 10. 9, δέδιμεν μη οὐ βέβαιοι ἢτε we jear you are not to be depended on T. 3. 57, οὐ τοῦτο δέδοικα, μη οὐκ ἔχω ὅ τι δῶ ἐκάστῳ τῶν φίλων . . . ἀλλὰ μη οὐκ ἔχω ἰκανοὺς οἶς δῶ I am afraid not that I may not have enough (lit. anything) to give to each of my friends, but that I may not have enough friends on whom to bestow my gifts X. A. 1. 7. 7.

a. The agrist is very common after  $\mu\eta$ . After secondary tenses Hom. usually

has the optative.

2228]

μη οὐ with the optative is rare and suspicious (X. A. 3. 5. 3).

2226. After secondary tenses, the subjunctive presents the fear vividly, i.e. as it was conceived by the subject. Cp. 2197.

έφοβοῦντο μή τι πάθη they feared lest she might (may) meet with some accident X.S.2.11, ἐφοβήθησαν μὴ καὶ ἐπὶ σφᾶς ὁ στρατὸς χωρήση they became fearful that the army might (may) advance against themselves too T.2.101. So when the fear extends up to the present time: ἐφοβήθην . . . καὶ νῦν τεθορύβημαι μή τινες ὑμῶν ἀγνοήσωσὶ με I was struck with fear and even now I am in a state of agitation lest some of you may disregard me Aes. 2.4. The vivid use of subjunctive is common in the historians, especially Thucydides.

2227. The optative after a primary tense is rare and suspected (I 245, Hdt. 7. 103, S. Aj. 279).

**2228.** The subjunctive and optative after  $\mu \hat{\eta}$  (or  $\delta \pi \omega s \mu \hat{\eta}$ ) may denote what may prove to be an object of fear (future ascertainment).

δέδοικα μὴ ἄριστον  $\hat{\vec{\eta}}$  I am afraid lest it prove to be best S. Ant. 1114, ἔδεισαν μὴ λύττα τις . . . ἡμῖν ἐμπεπτώκοι they feared lest some madness might prove to

have fallen upon us X. A. 5. 7. 26. The aorist subjunctive refers to the past in  $\delta\epsilon i\delta\omega\kappa a$ ...  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of  $\pi$  are  $i\pi\eta$  I fear it may prove that she beguiled thee A 555; cp. K 99,  $\nu$  216,  $\omega$  491 (after  $i\sigma\omega$ ).

### 2229. The future is rare with verbs of fearing after un.

φοβοῦμαι δὲ μή τινας ἡδονὰς ἡδοναῖς εὐρήσομεν ἐναντίας and I apprehend that we shall find some pleasures opposite to other pleasures P. Phil. 13 a. So with verbs of caution: ὅρα μη πολλῶν ἐκάστψ ἡμῶν χειρῶν δεήσει see to it lest each one of us may have need of many hands X. C. 4. 1. 18.

- a. The future optative seems not to occur except in X.H. 6.4.27, X.M. 1.2.7, P. Euth. 15 d.
- **2230.**  $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\omega s$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the subjunctive or optative is sometimes used instead of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  after verbs of fear and caution to imply fear that something will happen.

οὐ φοβεῖ . . . ὅπως μὴ ἀνόσιον πρᾶγμα τυγχάνης πράττων; are you not afraid that you may chance to be doing an unholy deed? P. Euth. 4 e, ἡδέως γ' ἄν (θρέψαιμι τὸν ἄνδρα), εἰ μὴ φοβοίμην ὅπως μὴ ἐπ' αὐτόν με τράποιτο I should gladly keep the man if I did not fear lest he might turn against me X.M.2.9.3; see also 2220 b.

**2231.**  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega s$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the future indicative (as after verbs of effort) is sometimes used instead of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the subjunctive.

δέδοικα ὅπως μὴ . . . ἀνάγκη γενήσεται (v. l. γένηται) I fear lest a necessity may arise D. 9. 75. The future optative occurs once (I. 17.22). On μή or ὅπως μή with verbs of caution, see 2220 a.

**2232.** The potential optative with  $d\nu$  is rarely used after  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .

δεδιότες μὴ καταλυθείη ἀν (Mss. καταλυθείησαν) ὁ δῆμος fearful lest the people should be put down L. 13. 51. The potential use is most evident when an optative occurs in the protasis: εὶ δέ τινες φοβοῦνται μὴ ματαία ἀν γένοιτο αὐτη ἡ κατασκευή, εὶ πόλεμος ἐγερθείη, ἐννοησάτω ὅτι κτλ. if some are afraid that this condition of things may prove vain, if war should arise, let them (him) consider that, etc. X. Vect. 4. 41.

#### II. FEAR RELATING TO THE PRESENT OR PAST

**2233.** Fear that something actually is or was is expressed by  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  with the indicative (negative  $\mu \grave{\eta}$  où).

δέδοικα . . . μη πληγων δέει I fear that you need a beating Ar. Nub. 493, ἀλλ' ὅρᾶ μη παίζων ἔλεγεν but have a care that he was not speaking in jest P. Th. 145 b, φοβούμεθα μη ἀμφοτέρων ἄμα ημαρτήκαμεν we are afraid that we have failed of both objects at once T.3.53, ὁρᾶτε μη οὐκ έμοι . . . προσήκει λόγον δοῦναι have a care lest it does not rest with me to give an account And. 1. 103.

- a. Contrast φοβοῦμαι μὴ ἀληθές ἐστιν I fear that it is true with φοβοῦμαι μὴ ἀληθὲς ἢ I fear it may prove true (2228).
- b. The arrist occurs in Homer: δείδω μὴ δὴ πάντα θεὰ νημερτέα εἶπεν I fear that all the goddess said was true  $\epsilon$  300.

### OTHER CONSTRUCTIONS WITH VERBS OF FEARING

- 2234. In Indirect Questions. Here the ideas of fear and doubt are joined. Thus, φόβος εἰ πείσω δέσποιναν ἐμήν (direct πείσω; 1916) I have my doubts whether I shall (can) persuade my mistress E. Med. 184, την θεὸν δ΄ ὅπως λάθω δέδοικα (direct πῶς λάθω; 1805) I am fearful how I shall escape the notice of the goddess E. I. T. 995, δέδοικα ὅ τι ἀποκρινοῦμαι I am afraid what to answer P. Th. 195 c.
- 2235. In Indirect Discourse with  $\dot{\omega}s$  (rarely  $\delta\pi\omega s$ ) that. Verbs of fearing may have the construction of verbs of thinking and be followed by a dependent statement. This occurs regularly only when the expression of fear is negatived. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\dot{\phi}s$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}$   $\theta\nu\gamma\alpha\tau\rho\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\mu}$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\phi\rho\delta\dot{\omega}$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi\rho\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota s$  do not fear that you will be at a loss for a husband for your daughter X. C. 5, 2, 12. Here  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $\ddot{\delta}\pi\omega s$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  would be regular. With  $\dot{\omega}s$  the idea is fear, thinking that.
- **2236.** With  $\delta\tau\iota$  ( $\delta$ s) Causal.  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi o\beta \epsilon \hat{\imath}\tau o \delta\tau\iota$  and  $\delta\iota os ... \tau d \delta\iota a\rho$   $\dot{\epsilon}\delta b\kappa \epsilon\iota$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\imath}$  was a fraid because the dream seemed to him to be from Zeus X. A. 3.1.12.
- 2237. With a Causal Participle. οὅτε τἡν ἀκρόπολιν . . . προδιδοὺς ἐφοβήθη nor was he terrified at having betrayed the Acropolis Lyc. 17.
- a. With the articular infinitive, φοβοῦμαι, etc. means simply I fear; with the infinitive without the article, φοβοῦμαι commonly has the force of hesitate, feel repugnance, etc. Cp. φοβοῦμαι ἀδικεῖν and φοβοῦμαι μὴ ἀδικεῖν; I fear to do wrong (and do not do it); φοβοῦμαι τὸ ἀδικεῖν I fear wrong-doing (in general, by myself or by another), like φοβοῦμαι τὴν ἀδικίāν.
- **2239.** With ∞στε of Result (after a verb of caution).  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  οδν έλθωμεν ἐπ' αὐτοὺς πρὶν φυλάξασθαι ώστε μὴ ληφθῆναι if then we move against them before they take precautions (so as) not to be caught X. A. 7. 3. 35.

### CAUSAL CLAUSES

- **2240.** Causal clauses are introduced by  $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\iota$ ,  $\delta\iota\acute{o}\tau\iota$ ,  $\delta\iota\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho$  because,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\acute{\iota}$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\acute{o}\acute{\eta}$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\delta\pi\acute{o}\tau\epsilon$  since,  $\tilde{\omega}s$  as, since, because. The negative is of.
- a. Also by poetic οὕνεκα (= οῦ ἔνεκα) and ὁθούνεκα (= ὅτου ἔνεκα) because, εὖτε since (poetic and Ionic; also temporal), and by ὅπου since (Hdt. 1. 68, X.C. 8. 4. 31, I. 4. 186). Homer has ὅ or ὅ τε because.
- b.  $\dot{\omega}$ s frequently denotes a reason imagined to be true by the principal subject and treated by him as a fact (2241).  $\ddot{\sigma}\iota$  often follows  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau o \hat{\nu}\tau o$ ,  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$  and  $\dot{\omega}$   $\dot$

οὕτως ἔχει since then this is the case, D. 1. 1, χαλεπὰ...τὰ παρόντα ὁπότ ἀνδρῶν στρατηγῶν τοιούτων στερόμεθα the present state of affairs is difficult since we are deprived of such generals X. A. 3.2.2. Causal ὅτε, temporal ὅτε rarely, can begin a sentence. When they approach the meaning if, ὅτε and ὁπότε take μή. In Attic prose inscriptions ἐπεί is rare, διότι does not occur, and ὧν ἕνεκα is generally used for διόπερ.

2241. Causal clauses denoting a fact regularly take the indicative after primary and secondary tenses.

έπεὶ δὲ ὑμεῖς οὐ βούλεσθε συμπορεύεσθαι, ἀνάγκη δή μοι ἢ ὑμᾶς προδόντα τῆ Κύρου φιλία χρῆσθαι κτλ. but since you do not wish to continue the march with me, I must either retain the friendship of Cyrus by renouncing you, etc. X. A. 1. 3. 5, δ δ΄ ἐζήλωσας ἡμᾶς ὡς τοὺς μὲν φίλους . . . εὖ ποιεῖν δυνάμεθα . . ., οὐδὲ ταθθ΄ οὕτως ἔχει but as to that which has excited your envy of us, our supposed ability (lit. because, as you think, we are able) to benefit our friends, not even is this so X. Hi. 6.12, ἐτύγχανε γὰρ ἐφ΄ ἀμάξης πορευόμενος διδτι ἔτέγρωτο for he happened to be riding on a wagon from the fact that he had been wounded X. A. 2. 2. 14.

2242. But causal clauses denoting an alleged or reported reason (implied indirect discourse, 2622) take the optative after secondary tenses.

(oi 'Αθηναῖοι) τὸν Περικλέα ἐκάκιζον ὅτι στρατηγὸς ὡν οὸκ ἐπεξάγοι the Athenians reviled Pericles on the ground that, though he was general, he did not lead them out T.2.21, εἶχε λέγειν . . . ὡς Λακεδαιμόνιοι διὰ τοῦτο πολεμήσειαν αὐτοῖς ὅτι οὐκ ἐθελήσαιεν μετ ¹ λγησιλὰον ἐλθεῖν ἐπ' αὐτόν Pelopidas was able to say that the Lacedaemonians had made war upon them (the Thebans) for the reason that they had not been willing to march against him (the King of Persia) with Agesilaus X. H. 7.1.34.

**2243**. Cause may be expressed also by the unreal indicative with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  or the potential optative with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$ .

έπεὶ διά  $\gamma'$  ὑμᾶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι ἄν ἀπολώλειτε since you would long ago have perished had it depended on yourselves D. 18.49, δέομαι οῦν σου παραμεῖναι ἡμῖν· ὡς ἐγὼ οὐδ' ἄν ἐνὸς ἤδῖον ἀκούσαιμι ἢ σοῦ accordingly I beg you to stay with us; because there is no one (in my opinion) to whom I should more gladly listen than to you P.Pr. 335 d.

**2244.**  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$  may introduce a coördinate command (imperative S. El. 352, potential optative, P. G. 474 b), wish (S. O. T. 661), or question (S. O. T. 390). Cp. the use of  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , 2275. Sometimes, with the indicative,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$  has the force of although (P. S. 187 a). — A causal clause may have the value of  $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$  with a coördinate main clause. So often in tragedy with  $\dot{\omega}s$  in answers (S. Aj. 39; cp. X. C. 4. 2, 25). — A clause with  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$ , apparently introducing a consequence, may give the reason for a preceding question ( $\Delta$  32).

**2245**. Cause may also be expressed by a relative clause (2555), by a participle (2064, 2085, 2086), by  $\tau \tilde{\phi}$  or  $\delta i \tilde{a} \tau \tilde{o}$  with the infinitive (2033, 2034 b).

**2246**.  $\epsilon l$  or  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho$ , when it expresses the real opinion of the writer or speaker,

may have a causal force, as  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ...  $\ddot{\eta}\delta o\mu a\iota$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\nu}\dot{\phi}$ ,  $\dot{t}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\tau \iota\mu\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s$ ,  $\epsilon \iota\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\delta\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\delta s$   $\epsilon \iota\mu$  I am pleased at being honoured by you, since (lit. if indeed) I am a man X. A. 6. 1. 26.

- **2247.** Many verbs of emotion state the cause more delicately with  $\epsilon i$  ( $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ ) if as a mere supposition than by  $\delta \tau \iota$ . The negative is  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  or  $o \acute{\nu}$ .
- a. So with αγανακτῶ am indignant, αγαμαι am content, αἰσχρόν ἐστι it is a shame, αισχύνομαι am ashamed, αχθομαι take hard, δεινόν έστι it is a shame, δεινον ποιούμαι am indianant, θαυμάζω am astonished, μέμφομαι blame, φθονώ am jealous, etc. The if clause is usually indicative, sometimes an unreal indicative, a subjunctive, or a potential optative. Thus, θαυμάζω εί μη βοηθήσετε υμίν αὐτοῖs I am surprised if you will not help yourselves X. H. 2.3. 53, άγανακτῶ εἰ ούτωσὶ ἃ νοῶ μὴ οῖός τ' εἰμι εἰπεῖν I am grieved that I am thus unable to say what I mean P. Lach. 194 a, δεινόν ποιούμενοι εί τοὺς ἐπιβουλεύοντας σφῶν τῷ πλήθει μὴ είσονται indignant that they could not discover those who were plotting against their commons T. 6.60, άτοπον αν είη, εί μηδέν μέν έμοῦ λέγοντος αὐτοί βοατε την έπωνυμίαν των έργων . . . , έμου δε λέγοντος έπιλέλησθε, και μη γενομένης μεν κρίσεως περί τοῦ πράγματος ήλω άν, γεγονότος δὲ ἐλέγχου ἀποφεύξεται it would be absurd if. when I say nothing, you shout out the name of what he has done, but when I do speak, you forget it: and absurd if, while he should have been condemned when no investigation was instituted concerning the matter, he should yet get off now when the proof has been given Aes. 1.85 (cp. 2904 b), μη θαυμάζετε δ' αν τι φαίνωμαι λέγων do not be surprised if I seem to say something I. Ep. 6. 7, τέρας λέγεις, εί . . . οὐκ ἄν δύναιντο λαθεῖν it is a marvel you are telling if they could be undetected P. Men. 91 d.

### 2248. These verbs admit also the construction with ὅτι.

- μή θαυμάζετε ὅτι χαλεπῶς φέρω do not be surprised that I take it hard X. A. 1.3.3, ἐθαύμαζον ὅτι Κῦρος οὕτε ἄλλον πέμπει . . . οὕτε αὐτὸς φαίνοιτο (implied indirect discourse) they were surprised that Cyrus neither sent some one else nor appeared himself 2.1.2, ἥκομεν ἀγαπῶντες ὅτι τὰ σώματα διεσωσάμεθα we have reached here, content that we have saved our lives 5.5.13. The construction with ἐπὶ τῷ and the infinitive (2033 b) also occurs: (Σωκράτης) ἐθανμάζετο ἐπὶ τῷ-- . . εὐκόλως ζῆν Socrates was admired because he lived contentedly X. M. 4.8.2.
  - a. öti after verbs of emotion really means that, not because.

### RESULT CLAUSES (CONSECUTIVE CLAUSES)

- 2249. A clause of result denotes a consequence of what is stated in the principal clause.
- **2250.** Result clauses are introduced by the relative word  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  (rarely by  $\omega s$ ) as, that, so that. In the principal clause the demonstrative words  $\sigma\sigma\tau$  is from  $\sigma\sigma\tau$  such,  $\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma$  so great, are often expressed.  $\sigma\sigma\tau$  is from  $\sigma\sigma\tau$  and the connective  $\sigma\tau$ , which has lost its meaning.
- a. To a clause with  $ov\tau\omega s$ , etc. Herodotus sometimes adds a clause either with  $\tau\epsilon$  or without a connective, where Attic would employ  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ; cp. 3.12.
- **2251.** There are two main forms of result clauses:  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with the infinitive and  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with a finite verb. With the infinitive, the negative is generally  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ; with a finite verb, or. On the use in indirect discourse and on irregularities, see 2759.
- **2252.** Consecutive  $\dot{\omega}s$  occurs almost always with the infinitive (chiefly in Herodotus, Xenophon, Aeschylus, and Sophocles); with a finite verb occasionally in Herodotus and Xenophon. With the infinitive, the orators and Thucydides (except 7.34) have  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ .
- **2253.** Consecutive  $\varpi\sigma\tau\epsilon$  ( $\omega s$ ) with a finite verb does not occur in Homer, who uses coördination instead (ep.  $\delta\epsilon$  in A10). Two cases of  $\varpi s$   $\tau\epsilon$  occur with the infinitive (I 42;  $\zeta$ 21 may mean and so), where the infinitive might stand alone, since Homer uses the infinitive to denote an intended or possible result.
- 2254. A clause with  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  and the infinitive is merely added to the clause containing the main thought in order to explain it. The consequence is stated without any distinction of time and only with difference of stage of action.
- a. Since the infinitive expresses merely the abstract verbal idea, its use with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  (as with  $\pi\rho l\nu$ ) outside of indirect discourse cannot explicitly denote a fact. By its datival nature (1969), the infinitive is simply a complement to, or explanation of, the governing word.  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is one of the means to reinforce this explanatory office of the infinitive. The origin of its use is suggested by the comparison with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\sigma s$  sufficient for, olds capable of (2003) and the infinitive, which was not originally dependent on these words.
- 2255. A clause with  $\omega\sigma\tau_{\epsilon}$  and a finite verb contains the main thought, and is often so loosely connected with the leading verb as to be practically independent and coordinate.  $\omega\sigma\tau_{\epsilon}$  may thus be simply introductory and take any construction found in an independent sentence. The consequence expresses distinctions of time and stage of action.
  - 2256. Result may also be expressed by relative clauses (2556).

# DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SOTE WITH THE INDICATIVE AND SOTE WITH THE INFINITIVE

- 2257. A clause of result with  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  stating that something actually occurred as a fact must be expressed by the indicative.
- 2258. A clause of result with  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  stating that something may occur in consequence of an *intention*, tendency, capacity, and in general in consequence of the nature of an object or action, is regularly expressed by the infinitive. When a consequence is stated without affirming or denying its actual occurrence, the infinitive is in place. The infinitive may therefore denote a fact, but does not explicitly state this to be the case; and is, in general, permissible in all cases where the attainment of the result is expected, natural, or possible, and its actual occurrence is not emphasized; as it is emphasized by the indicative.
- a. ὅστε with the infinitive does not state a particular fact. The infinitive is preferred in clauses containing or implying a negative. ὅστε with the indicative is preferred after εἰς τοῦτο ἥκει and like phrases when affirmative (cp. 2265, 2266, 2274).
  - 2259. This difference may be illustrated by examples.

ἔχω τριήρεις ὤστε έλεῖν τὸ ἐκείνων πλοῖον Ι have triremes (so as) to catch their vessel X. A. 1.4.8 (ἄστε είλον would mean so that I caught with an essentially different meaning), πάντας ούτω διατιθείς ώστε αὐτῷ εἶναι φίλους treating all in such a manner that they should be his friends X. A. 1. 1. 5 (an intended result, 2267), ούτω διάκειμαι ὑφ' ὑμῶν ὡς οὐδὲ δεῖπνον ἔχω ἐν τῆ ἐμαυτοῦ χώρα I am treated by you in such a manner that I cannot even sup in my own country X. H. 4. 1. 33 (a fact), ώστε πάροδον μη είναι παρά πύργον, άλλα δι' αὐτών μέσων διήσαν so that it was impossible to pass by the side of a tower, but the guards went through the middle of them T. 3. 21, κραυγήν πολλήν έποίουν καλοῦντες άλλήλους ώστε καὶ τοὺς πολεμίους άκούειν · ώστε οι μέν έγγύτατα των πολεμίων και έφυγον they made a loud noise by calling each other so that even the enemy could hear; consequently those of the enemy who were nearest actually fled X.A.2.2.17. Here the fact that some of the enemy fled is proof that they actually heard the cries; but the Greek states merely that the noise was loud enough to be heard. Had the clause ιστε . . . έφυγον not been added, we could only have inferred that the noise was heard.

### ὥστε (RARELY ὡς) WITH THE INFINITIVE

2260. The infinitive with  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  denotes an anticipated or possible result; but the actual occurrence of the result is not stated, and is to be inferred only. The negative is  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , but or is used when the  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  clause depends on a clause itself subordinate to a verb of saying or thinking (2269). Cp. 2759.

- a.  $G\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with the infinitive means as to, so as to; but with a subject necessary in English it must often be translated by so that.
- **2261.** The infinitive with  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is usually present or agricult, rarely perfect (e.g. D. 18. 257). The future is common only in indirect discourse (D. 19. 72).
- **2262.**  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  ( $\omega s$ ) with the infinitive is used when its clause serves only to explain the principal clause. Thus,
- 2263. (I) After expressions denoting ability, capacity, or to effect something.

πολλὰ πράγματα παρείχον οἱ βάρβαροι . . . έλαφροὶ γὰρ ἢσαν, ὅστε καὶ ἐγγύθεν φεύγοντες ἀποφεύγειν the barbarians caused great annoyance; for they were so nimble that they could escape even though they made off after they had approached quite near X. A. 4.2.27, ὁ ποταμὸς τοσοῦτος βάθος ὡς μηδὲ τὰ δόρατα ὑπερέχειν the river of such a depth that the spears could not even project above the surface 3.5.7 (on τοσοῦτος δόσς etċ. see 2003), τοσαύτην κραυγὴν . . . ἐποίησαν ὅστε . . . τοὺς ταξιάρχους ἐλθεῖν they made such an uproar as to bring the taxiarchs D. 54.5.

a. The idea of effecting may be unexpressed: (Khéapxos) havrer ent rows Mérwros & or' excirous expenhânx Clearchus advanced against the soldiers of Menon so (i.e. by so doing he brought it about) that they were thoroughly frightened X.A.1.5.13; cp. 2267. Several verbs of effecting take  $\& \sigma \tau \epsilon$  when the result is intended and where the simple infinitive is common (2267 b).

### **2264.** (II) After a comparative with $\eta$ than.

ησθοντο αὐτὸν ἐλάττω ἔχοντα δύναμιν ἢ ὥστε τοὺς φίλους ὡφελεῖν they perceived that he possessed too little power to benefit his friends X.H.4.8.23, οἱ ἀκοντισταὶ βραχύτερα ἡκόντιζον ἢ ὡς ἐξικνεῖσθαι τῶν σφενδονητῶν the javelin throwers hurled their javelins too short a distance to reach the slingers X.A.3.3.7. After a comparative, ὡς is as common as ὥστε.

- a. ωστε may here be omitted: κρείσσον' ή φέρειν κακά evils too great to be endured E. Hec. 1107.
  - b. On positive adjectives with a comparative force, see 1063.
- 2265. (III) After a principal clause that is negatived.

οὐκ ἔχομεν ἀργύριον ὅστε ἀγοράζειν τὰ ἐπιτήδεια we have no money (so as) to buy provisions X. A. 7. 3. 5, οὐδεὶς πώποτ εἰς τοσοῦτ ἀναιδείας ἀφίκετο ὥστε τοιοῦτόν τι τολμῆσαι ποιεῖν no one ever reached such a degree of shamelessness as to dare to do anything of the sort D. 21. 62 (cp. 2258 a). Here are included questions expecting the answer no: τἰς οὕτως ἐστὶ δεινὸς λέγειν ὥστε σε πεῖσαι; who is so eloquent as to persuade you? X. A. 2. 5. 15. After negative (as after comparative, 2264) clauses, the infinitive is used, since there would be no reason for the ὥστε clause if the action of the principal clause did not take place. But the indicative occurs occasionally (L. 13. 18, Ant. 5. 43).

2266. (IV) After a principal clause that expresses a condition.

εί μὴ είς τοῦτο μανίᾶς ἀφῖκόμην ὅστε ἐπιθῦμεῖν . . . πολλοῖς μάχεσθαι if I had not reached such a degree of madness as to desire to contend with many L. 3. 29 (cp. 2258 a).

2267. (V) To express an intended result, especially after a verb of effecting, as ποιῶ, διαπράττομαι, etc.

παν ποιούσιν ώστε δίκην μη διδόναι they use every effort (so as) to avoid being punished P.G. 479 c, διφθέρας . . . συνέσπων ως μη απτεσθαι της καρφης τὸ υδωρ they stitched the skins so that the water should not touch the hay X. A.1. 5. 10.

a. The infinitive here expresses only the result, while the idea of purpose comes only from the general sense and especially from the meaning of the lead-

ing verb.  $va \mu \eta$  in the above examples would express only purpose.

- b. A clause of intended result is often used where  $\delta\pi\omega_s$  might occur in an object clause after a verb of effort (2211); as μηγανας ευρήσομεν ωστ' ές το παν σε τωνδ' ἀπαλλάξαι πόνων we will find means (so as) to free thee entirely from these troubles A. Eum. 82. The infinitive alone, denoting purpose, is here more usual.
- 2268. (VI) To state a condition or a proviso (on condition that, provided that).

πολλά μέν αν χρήματ' έδωκε Φιλιστίδης ώστ' έχειν 'Ωρεόν Philistides would have given a large sum on condition of his holding Oreus D.18.81, ὑπῖσχνοῦντο ὥστε έκπλειν they gave their promise on the condition that they should sail out X.A. 5. 6. 26. On condition that is commonly expressed by  $\epsilon \phi' \psi$  or  $\epsilon \phi' \psi \tau \epsilon$  (2279) with or without a preceding  $\epsilon \pi i \tau o \psi \tau \psi$ .

2269. A result clause with ωστε and the indicative, dependent on an infinitive in indirect discourse, and itself quoted, takes the infinitive, and usually retains the negative of the direct form.

έφασαν τοὺς στρατιώτας εἰς τοῦτο τρυφης έλθεῖν ὤστ' οὐκ έθέλειν πίνειν, εἰ μη ἀνθοσμίας είη they said that the soldiers reached such a degree of daintiness as to be unwilling to drink wine unless it had a strong bouquet X. H. 6.2.6 (direct: ὥστε οὐκ ἥθελον πίνειν, with οὐ retained in indirect discourse). See also 2270 b.

So even when the principal verb takes ὅτι, as ἐννοησάτω ὅτι οὕτως ήδη τότε πόρρω της ηλικίας ην ωστ' . . . οὐκ αν πολλώ υστερον τελευτησαι τὸν βίον let him consider that he was then so far advanced in years that he would have died soon

afterwards X. M. 4. 8. 1.

- a. The future infinitive here represents the future indicative: οἴεται ὑμᾶs εἰs τοσοῦτον εὐηθείας ήδη προβεβηκέναι ώστε καὶ ταῦτα ἀναπεισθήσεσθαι he thinks that you have already reached such a degree of simplicity as to allow yourselves to be persuaded even of this Aes. 3.256. Outside of indirect discourse, the future infinitive with ωστε is rare (γενήσεσθαι D. 16.4, εἴσεσθαι D. 29.5).
- b. &στε with the optative in indirect discourse is very rare (X. H. 3. 5. 23, I. 17. 11).
- 2270. av with the infinitive expressing possibility, and representing either a potential indicative or a potential optative, occasionally follows ωστε (ώς).
- a. Not in indirect discourse: καί μοι οἱ θεοὶ οὕτως ἐν τοῖς ἱεροῖς ἐσήμηναν ὥστε καὶ ίδιώτην ἃν γνῶναι (= ἰδιώτης ἔγνω ἄν οτ γνοίη ἄν) ὅτι τῆς μοναρχίᾶς ἀπέχεσθαί με δεῖ and the gods declared to me so clearly in the sacrifices that even a common man could understand that I must keep aloof from sovereignty X.A.6.1.31, èv  $au\hat{\phi}$

ἀσφαλεῖ ἤδη ἔσομαι ὡς μηδὲν ἀν ἔτι κακὸν παθεῖν (= οὐδὲν ἀν ἔτι πάθοιμι) I shall soon be safe from suffering any further evil X.C. 8.7.27. The difference in meaning is very slight between the construction with the potential optative and that with the infinitive with ἄν representing the potential optative.

- N. Rarely in other cases. Thus,  $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\delta} s$  over  $\dot{\epsilon}$  kaleto wore...  $\ddot{\eta} \delta i \sigma \tau \alpha$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} s$   $\ddot{\nu} \delta \omega \rho$   $\psi \bar{\nu} \chi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu$   $\sigma \phi \hat{\alpha} s$  advois  $\dot{\rho} i \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu$  (=  $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \bar{\iota} \pi \tau \sigma \nu$ , 2304) but their internal parts were inflamed to such a degree that they would have been most glad to throw themselves into cold water (had they been permitted) T.2.49.
- b. In indirect discourse:  $\tilde{a}\rho'$  οδν δοκεί τω  $\tilde{b}\mu$ ων όλιγώρως οὕτως ἔχειν χρημάτων Νϊκόδημος ώστε παραλιπείν (= παρέλιπεν) ἄν τι τῶν τοιούτων; does it seem to any one of you that Nicodemus so despised money that he would have neglected any agreement of the sort ? Is. 3. 37.
- **2271.** ιστε is often used with the infinitive when the infinitive without ιστε is regular or more common.
- a. So with many verbs, especially of will or desire. Thus, ἔπεισαν τοὺς Ἀθηναίους ὥστε ἐξαγαγεῖν ἐκ Πύλου Μεσσηνίους they prevailed upon the Athenians (so as) to withdraw the Messenians from Pylus T. 5. 35, δεηθέντες... ἐκάστων ίδια ὥστε ψηφίσασθαι τὸν πόλεμον having begged each privately (so as) to vote for the war 1.119, ἐποίησα ὥστε δόξαι τούτω τοῦ πρὸς ἐμὲ πολέμου παύσασθαι I brought it about so that it seemed best to him to desist from warring against me X. A. 1.6.6.
- N. Such verbs are: ἀπέχομαι, δέομαι ακλ, διαπράττομαι, διδάσκω, δικαιῶ, δύναμαι, ἐθέλω, εἴργω, ἐλπίδα τινὰ ἔχω, ἐπαγγέλλομαι, ἐπαίρω, ἔχω am able, θέσφατόν τί τινι ἰκνεῖται, a phrase with καθίσταμαι, ξυγχωρῶ, παραδίδωμι, πείθω (and παρασκευάζω =  $\pi$ είθω), πέφῦκα, ποιῶ, προθῦμοῦμαι, προτρέπομαι, φυλάττομαι (2239), ψηφίζομαι.
- b. When the infinitive is the subject :  $\pi \acute{a}$ νν  $\gamma \acute{a}$ ρ μοι ἐμέλησεν ιστε εἰδέναι for it concerned me exceedingly to know X. C. 6. 3. 19.
- N. So with έστι, γίγνεται, etc., δόξαν when it was decreed, συνέβη (Thuc.), συνέπιπτε, συνήνεικε (Hdt.), προσήκει. Cp. 1985.
- c. With adjectives, especially such as are positive in form but have a comparative force and denote a deficiency or the like (1063); as  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\epsilon}$  is  $\dot{\gamma}\hat{a}\rho$  for  $\dot{\epsilon}$  in  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is  $\dot{\tau}$  in  $\dot{\tau}$  i
- **2272.** On the absolute infinitive with  $\dot{\omega}_{5}$  (less often with  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ) see 2012.

# ιωστε (ως) WITH A FINITE VERB

- **2273.** Any form used in simple sentences may follow  $\omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$  (rarely  $\omega_{s}$ ) with a finite verb.  $\omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$  has no effect on the mood of a finite verb.
  - a. &s is found especially in Xenophon.
- **2274.**  $\[ \tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon \]$  so that with the indicative states the actual result of the action of the leading verb. This is especially common in narrative statements with the acrist tense. The negative is  $o\tilde{v}$ .

ἐπιπίπτει χιὼν ἄπλετος ὅστε ἀπέκρυψε καὶ τὰ ὅπλα καὶ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους an immense amount of snow fell so that it buried both the arms and the men X. A. 4.4.11, εἰς τοσοῦτον ὕβρεως ἡλθον ὅστ' ἔπεισαν ὑμᾶς ἐλαύνειν αὐτόν they reached such a pitch of insolence that they persuaded you to expel him 1.16.9 (cp. 2258 a), οὕτω σκαιὸς εἶ . . . ιστ' οὐ δύνασαι κτλ. are you so stupid that you are not able, etc. D.18.120 (of a definite fact; with μὴ δύνασθαι the meaning would be so stupid as not to be able, expressing a characteristic). So after the locution τοσούτου δέω, as τοσούτου δέω περὶ τῶν μὴ προσηκόντων ἰκανὸς εἶναι λέγειν, ιστε δέδοικα κτλ. I am so far from able to speak about that which does not refer to my case that I fear, etc. I. 17. 1. ώς is very rare: νομίζω οὖτως ἔχειν ὡς ἀποστήσονται αὐτοῦ αἰ πόλεις I consider that it is the case that the cities will revolt from him X. H. 6. 1. 14.

a. So when ιστε introducing an independent sentence practically has the force of οὖν, τοίνυν, τοιγαροῦν and so therefore, consequently. Thus καὶ εἰς μὲν τὴν ὑστεραίαν οὐχ ἢκεν· ισσθ οἱ Ἑλληνες ἐφρόντιζον and on the next day he did not come; consequently the Greeks were anxious X. A. 2. 3. 25. Cp. 2275. This use appears sometimes with the infinitive: ιστ' ἐμὲ ἐμαυτὸν ἀνερωτῶν and so I kept asking myself P. A. 22 e.

2275. With an imperative, a hortatory or prohibitory subjunctive, or an interrogative verb, a clause with  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  is coordinate rather than subordinate, and  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  has the force of  $\kappa \alpha \lambda$  out  $\omega s$ .

ώστε θάρρει and so be not afraid X.C. 1.3.18, ώστε . . . μη θαυμάσης and so do not wonder P. Phae. 274 a, ώστε πόθεν ἴσᾶσιν; and so how do they know? D. 29. 47.

**2276.**  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  ( $\omega s$ ) occurs rarely with the participle (instead of the infinitive) by attraction to a preceding participle (And. 4. 20, X. C. 7. 5. 46, D. 10. 40, 58. 23).

**2277**.  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  ( $\tilde{\omega}_{S}$ ) may be used with a past tense of the indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (potential indicative and unreal indicative).

τοιοῦτόν τι ἐποίησεν ὡς πᾶς ἄν ἔγνω ὅτι ἀσμένη ἤκουσε she made a movement so that every one could recognize that she heard the music with pleasure X. S. 9. 3, κατεφαίνετο πάντα αὐτόθεν ὥστε οὐκ ἄν ἔλαθεν αὐτόν ὁρμώμενος ὁ Κλέων τῷ στρατῷ everything was clearly visible from it, so that Cleon could not have escaped his notice in setting out with his force T. 5.  $\theta$ .

**2278.**  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  ( $\tilde{\omega}s$ ) is used rarely with the optative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (by assimilation to a preceding optative) and with the potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .

εἴ τις τὴν γυναῖκα τὴν σὴν οὕτω θεραπεύσειεν ὤστε φιλεῖν αὐτὴν μᾶλλον ποιήσειεν ἐαυτὸν ἢ σὲ κτλ. if some one should pay such attention to your wife as to make her love him better than yourself X. C. 5. 5. 30 (cp. 2266), τοσούτου δεῖς ἐλέου τινὸς ἄξιος εἶναι ὤστε μῖσηθείης ᾶν δικαιότατ' ἀνθρώπων you are so far unworthy of compassion that you would be detested most justly of all men D. 37.40, ὡς ἄν Χ. Ag. 6. 7, X. C. 7. 5. 37, 7. 5.81.

# CLAUSES WITH & & AND & ATE INTRODUCING A PROVISO

**2279.**  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$   $\dot{\phi}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$   $\dot{\phi}\tau\epsilon$  on condition that, for the purpose of take the infinitive or (less often) the future indicative, and may be introduced, in the principal clause, by the demonstrative  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}$   $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \phi$ . Negative  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .

alpeθέντες ἐφ' ῷτε συγγράψαι νόμους having been chosen for the purpose of compiling laws X. H. 2. 3. 11, ἔφασαν ἀποδώσειν (τοὺς νεκροὺς) ἐφ' ῷ μὴ καἰειν τὰς οἰκίὰς the barbarians said they would surrender the dead on condition that he would not burn their houses X. A. 4. 2. 19, ἀφεμέν σε, ἐπὶ τούτφ μέντοι, ἐφ' ῷτε μηκέτι . . . φιλοσοφεῖν we release you, on this condition however, that you no longer search after wisdom P. A. 29 c. Future indicative: ξυνέβησαν ἐφ' ῷτε ἐξίᾶσιν ἐκ Πελοποννήσου ὑπόσπονδοι καὶ μηδέποτε ἐπιβήσονται αὐτῆς they made an agreement on condition that they should depart from the Peloponnesus under a truce and never set foot on it again T. 1. 103.

a. These constructions do not occur in Homer. The future indicative is used by Herodotus and Thucydides on the analogy of relative clauses equivalent to consecutive clauses. These authors also use  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l \ \tau o \hat{\epsilon}\sigma \delta \epsilon$  for  $\hat{\epsilon}\pi l \ \tau o \hat{\epsilon}\sigma \delta \epsilon$ .

#### CONDITIONAL CLAUSES

2280. A condition is a supposition on which a statement is based. A conditional sentence commonly consists of two clauses:

The protasis: the conditional, or subordinate, clause, expressing a

supposed or assumed case (if).

The apodosis: the conclusion, or principal clause, expressing what follows if the condition is realized. The truth or fulfilment of the conclusion depends on the truth or fulfilment of the conditional clause.

- a. The protasis has its name from  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\alpha\sigma\iota s$ , lit. stretching forward, that which is put forward (in logic, a premiss); the apodosis, from  $d\pi\delta\delta\sigma\sigma\iota s$ , lit. giving back, return; i.e. the resuming or answering clause.
  - 2281. The protasis usually precedes, but may follow, the apodosis.
  - **2282.** The protasis is introduced by  $\epsilon i$  if.
  - a. Homer has also al, which is an Aeolic (and Doric) form.
- **2283.** With the subjunctive mood,  $\epsilon \hat{i}$  commonly takes  $\check{a}\nu$  (Epic  $\epsilon \tilde{i}$   $\kappa \epsilon$  or  $\epsilon \tilde{i}$   $\kappa \epsilon \nu$ , not  $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{a}\nu$ ).
- a. There are three forms,  $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{a}\nu$ .  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  is the ordinary form in Attic prose and inscriptions;  $\ddot{\eta}\nu$  appears in Ionic and in the older Attic writers (the tragic poets and Thucydides);  $\ddot{a}\nu$ , generally in the later writers (sometimes together with  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ ), very rarely in Attic inscriptions. In Plato  $\ddot{a}\nu$  is commoner than  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ . Xenophon has all three forms.
- b.  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$  is from  $\epsilon l + \mathring{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\alpha}\nu$  from  $\mathring{\eta}$  (another form of  $\epsilon l$ ) +  $\mathring{\alpha}\nu$ . The etymology of  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$  is uncertain: either from  $\mathring{\eta} + \mathring{\alpha}\nu$  or from  $\epsilon l + \mathring{\alpha}\nu$ .

- **2284.** The particle  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is used in the apodosis: (1) with the optative, to denote possibility (cp. 1824); (2) with the past tenses of the indicative, to denote either the non-fulfilment of the condition (1786) or, occasionally, repetition (1790).
- 2285. The apodosis may be introduced by δέ or ἀλλά, less often by αὐτάρ. See under Particles.  $ν \hat{ν}ν λ έ as it is, as it was corrects a supposition contrary to fact. The apodosis sometimes has τότε, τότε δή, οὖτως (Hom. τῷ) comparable to Eng. then, in that case in the conclusion of conditional sentences.$
- **2286.** The negative of the protasis is  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  because the subordinate clause expresses something that is *conceived* or *imagined*.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  negatives the conditional clause as a whole. On où adherescent in protasis, see 2698.

The negative of the apodosis is  $o\dot{v}$ , in case the principal clause states the conclusion as a fact on the supposition that the protasis is true;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , when the construction requires that negative (2689).

- 2287. The indicative, subjunctive, and optative moods, and the participle may stand in protasis and apodosis. The imperative and infinitive may be used in the apodosis. The future optative is not used in conditional sentences except in indirect discourse. The tenses in conditional sentences, except unreal conditions, have the same force as in simple sentences.
- 2288. Instead of a formal conditional sentence the two members may be simply coordinated, the protasis having the form of an independent clause.

σμίκρον λαβέ παράδειγμα, καὶ πάντα εἴσει α βούλομαι take an insignificant example, and you will know what I mean P. Th. 154 c, πράττεταὶ τι τῶν ὑμῖν δοκούντων συμφέρειν · ἄφωνος Αἰσχίνης something is going on (of a kind) that seems to be to your advantage. Aeschines is dumb. D. 18. 198. Cp. "Take with you this great truth, and you have the key to Paul's writings" (Channing); "Petition me, perhaps I may forgive" (Dryden). Cp. 1839.

### CLASSIFICATION OF CONDITIONAL SENTENCES

### A. CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO FORM

2289. Conditional sentences may be classified according to form or function (i.e. with reference to their meaning). Classified according to form, all conditional sentences may be arranged with regard to the form of the protasis or of the apodosis.

Protasis: el with the indicative.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$  (rarely  $\epsilon i)$  with the subjunctive.

el with the optative.

Apodosis: with ar, denoting what would (should) be or have been.

without av, not denoting what would (should) be or have been.

GREEK GRAM. - 33

### B. CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO FUNCTION

2290. Greek possesses a great variety of ways to join protasis and apodosis, but certain types, as in English, are more common than others and have clear and distinct meanings. In the case of some of the less usual types the exact shade of difference cannot be accurately known to us; as indeed to the Greeks themselves they were often used with no essential difference from the conventional types. In the following classification only the ordinary forms are given.

### ACCORDING TO TIME

2291. This is the only functional distinction that characterizes all conditional sentences. Here are included also 2292, 2295, 2296.

### 1. Present

Protasis: a primary tense of the indicative. Apodosis: any form of the simple sentence.

εί ταῦτα ποιεῖς, καλῶς ποιεῖς if you do this, you do well.

### 2. Past

Protasis: a secondary tense of the indicative. Apodosis: any form of the simple sentence.

εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεις, καλῶς ἐποίεις if you were doing this, you were doing well, εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίησας, καλῶς ἐποίησας if you did this, you did well.

### ·3. Future

a. Protasis:  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive.

Apodosis: any form expressing future time.

έὰν ταῦτα ποιῆς (ποιήσης), καλῶς ποιήσεις if you do this, you will do well.

b. Protasis:  $\epsilon i$  with the future indicative.

Apodosis: any form expressing future time.

εί ταθτα ποιήσεις, πείσει if you do this, you will suffer for it.

c. Protasis:  $\epsilon i$  with the optative. Apodosis: i = i = i = 1

εὶ ταὖτα ποιοίης (ποιήσειας), καλῶς ἄν ποιοίης (ποιήσειας) if you should (were to) do this, you would do well.

### According to Fulfilment or Non-fulfilment

2292. Only one class of conditional sentences distinctly expresses non-fulfilment of the action.

### 1. Present or Past

Protasis: & with the imperfect indicative. Apodosis:  $\tilde{a}\nu$  with the imperfect indicative.

εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεις, καλῶς ἂν ἐποίεις if you were (now) doing this, you would be doing well; if you had been doing this, you would have been doing well.

### 2. Past

Protasis: & with the agrist indicative.

Apodosis: av with the agrist indicative.

εί ταῦτα ἐποίησας, καλῶς ἃν ἐποίησας if you had done this, you would have done well.

N. - Greek has no special forms to show that an action is or was fulfilled, however clearly this may be implied by the context. Any form of conditional sentence in which the apodosis does not express a rule of action may refer to an impossibility.

# According to Particular or General Conditions

2293. A particular condition refers to a definite act or to several definite acts occurring at a definite time or at definite times.

2294. A general condition refers to any one of a series of acts that may occur or may have occurred at any time.

2295. General conditions are distinguished from particular conditions only in present and past time, and then only when there is no implication as to the fulfilment of the action. General conditions have no obligatory form, as any form of condition may refer to a rule of action or to a particular act; but there are two common types of construction:

#### 1. Present

Protasis: ¿áv with the subjunctive.

Apodosis: present indicative.

έὰν ταῦτα ποιῆς (ποιήσης), σὲ ἐπαινῶ if ever you do this, I always praise you.

### 2. Past

Protasis: ei with the optative.

Apodosis: imperfect indicative.

εἶ ταῦτα ποιοίης (ποιήσειας), σὲ ἐπήνουν if ever you did this, I always praised you.

2296. But equally possible, though less common, are: εί ταθτα ποιείς, σε έπαινω and εί ταθτα έποίεις, σε έπηνουν.

### TABLE OF CONDITIONAL FORMS

2297. In this Grammar the ordinary types of conditional sentences are classified primarily according to time. The Homeric and other more usual variations from the ordinary forms are mentioned under each class, the less usual Attic variations are mentioned in 2355 ff. The following table shows the common usage:

TIME	FORM	Protasis	Arodosis
PRESENT	Simple	εl with present or perfect indicative	present or perfect indicative or equivalent
	Unreal	€l with imperfect indicative	imperfect indicative with
	General	ἐάν with subjunctive	present indicative or equivalent
Past	Simple	εt with imperfect, acrist, or pluperfect indicative	imperfect, aorist, or plu- perfect indicative
	Unreal	€l with a orist or imperfect indicative	aorist or imperfect indic- ative with <b>äv</b>
	General	€l with optative	imperfect indicative or equivalent
FUTURE	More Vivid		fut. indic. or equivalent
	Emotional Less Vivid	el with future indicative el with optative	fut. indic. or equivalent av with optative

#### PRESENT AND PAST CONDITIONS

First Form of Conditions

### SIMPLE PRESENT AND PAST CONDITIONS

2298. Simple present or past conditions simply state a supposition with no implication as to its reality or probability. The protasis has the indicative, the apodosis has commonly the indicative, but also any other form of the simple sentence appropriate to the thought.

εὶ ταῦτα ποιεῖς, καλῶς ποιεῖς if you do this, you do well.

εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίησας, καλῶς ἐποίησας if you did this, you did well.

a. This form of condition corresponds to the logical formula if this is so, then that is so; if this is not so, then that is not so; if A=B, then C=D. The truth of the conclusion depends solely on the truth of the condition, which

is not implied in any way. In these conditions something is supposed to be true only in order to draw the consequence that something else is true.

- b. The conditional clause may express what the writer knows is physically impossible. Even when the supposition is true according to the real opinion of the writer, this form of condition is employed. In such cases  $\epsilon\ell\pi\epsilon\rho$  is often used for  $\epsilon i$ . Both  $\epsilon i$  and  $\epsilon\ell\pi\epsilon\rho$  sometimes have a causal force (2246); cp. si quidem and quia.
- c. The simple condition is particular or general. When the protasis has  $\epsilon \ell \tau \iota s$  and the apodosis a present indicative, the simple condition has a double meaning referring both to an individual case and to a rule of action. When a present general condition is distinctly expressed,  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu$  with the subjunctive is used (2337.)
- 2299. There are many possible combinations of present and past conditions with different forms of the protasis and apodosis. Protasis and apodosis may be in different tenses, and present and future may be combined.
- 2300. The apodosis may be the simple indicative or any other form of the simple sentence appropriate to the thought.
- b. Indicative with ἄν (unreal indicative, 1786): καίτοι τότε . . . τὸν Ὑπερείδην, εἴπερ ἀληθῆ μου νῦν κατηγορεῖ, μᾶλλον ἄν εἰκότως ἢ τόνδ' ἐδίωκεν and yet, if indeed his present charge against me is true, he would have had more reason for prosecuting Hyperides than he now has for prosecuting my client D. 18. 223 (here ἀν ἐδίωκεν implies εἰ ἐδίωκεν, 2303). So also an unreal indicative without ἄν, 1774: τοῦτο, εἰ καὶ τἄλλα πάντ' ἀποστεροῦσιν . . . ἀποδοῦναι προσῆκεν even if they steal everything else, they should have restored this D. 27. 37. In the above examples each clause has its proper force.
- d. Optative of wish (cp. the indicative  $\epsilon \lambda \pi l \zeta \omega$ ): κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην, Ξανθίαν εὶ μὴ φιλῶ may I perish most vilely, if I do not love Xanthias Ar. Ran, 579.
  - e. Potential optative: θαυμάζοιμ' αν εί οίσθα I should be surprised if you

- know P. Pr. 312 c. The potential optative (or indicative with  $\delta\nu$ , above b) sometimes suggests an inference (cp. the indicative  $\delta o\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$  and inf. with  $\delta\nu$ ). Thus,  $\epsilon i$   $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu \gamma \hat{\imath} \rho \tau o\hat{\imath} \tau o \lambda \epsilon' \gamma o \nu \epsilon' \rho v \rho \epsilon' \nu \epsilon' \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon' \nu \epsilon' \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon' \nu \epsilon' \rho \nu \epsilon' \nu \epsilon' \rho \nu \epsilon' \nu \epsilon' \rho \nu \epsilon' \rho$
- f. Imperative (cp. the indicative  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \omega$  order,  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \gamma o \rho \epsilon i \omega$  forbid): et  $\tau \iota s$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega$  if any one objects, let him speak X. A. 7. 3. 14.
- **2301.** If the protasis expresses a present intention or necessity, the future indicative may be used.
- el δὲ καὶ τῷ ἡγεμόνι πιστεύσομεν δν ὰν Κῦρος διδῷ, τί κωλίει καὶ τὰ ἄκρα ἡμῖν κελεύειν Κῦρον προκαταλαβείν; but if we are going to trust any guide that Cyrus may give us, what hinders our also ordering Cyrus to occupy the heights in advance in our behalf? X. A. I. 3. 16, αἷρε πλῆκτρον, εἰ μαχεῖ raise your spur if you mean (are going) to fight Ar. Av. 759. The future here has a modal force and expresses something besides futurity; hence it is equivalent to μελλεις μαχεῖσθαι (1959), but not to ἐᾶν μάχη (2323) or to εἰ μαχεῖ (a threat, 2328), both of which refer to future time. The periphrasis with μέλλω and the present or future infinitive is more common in prose.

### Second Form of Conditions

### PRESENT AND PAST UNREAL CONDITIONS

- **2302.** In present and past unreal conditions the protasis implies that the supposition cannot or could not be realized because contrary to a known fact. The apodosis states what would be or would have been the result if the condition were or had been realized.
- **2303.** The protasis has  $\vec{\epsilon}$  with the imperfect, aorist, or pluperfect indicative; the apodosis has  $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$  with these past tenses. The protasis and apodosis may have different tenses. Unreal conditions are either particular or general.
- 2304. The imperfect refers to present time or (sometimes) to a continued or habitual past act or state. The imperfect may be conative.
- εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίειs, καλῶs ầν ἐποίειs if you were (now) doing this, you would be doing well, or if you had been doing this, you would have been doing well.

The implied opposite is a present (ἀλλ' οὐ ποιεῖς but you are not doing this) or an imperfect (ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐποίεις but you were not doing this).

The imperfect of past time emphasizes the continuance of the action.

2305. The aorist refers to a simple occurrence in the past.

εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίησας, καλῶς ἃν ἐποίησας if you had done this, you would have done well.

The implied opposite is an agrist (ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐποίησας but you did not do this).

2306. The (rare) pluperfect refers to an act completed in past or present time or to the state following on such completion.

εὶ ταῦτα ἐπεποιήκης, καλῶς ἂν ἐπεποιήκης if you had finished doing this (now or on any past occasion), you would have done well.

The implied opposite is a perfect (ἀλλ' οὐ πεποίηκας but you have not done this) or a pluperfect (ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπεποιήκης but you had not done this).

- a. The pluperfect is used only when stress is laid on the completion of the act or on the continuance of the result of the act, and generally refers to present time. In reference to past time, the acrist is generally used instead of the pluperfect.
- **2307.** In reference to past time, the imperfect or agric is used according as either tense would be used in an affirmative sentence not conditional. The pluperfect is commonly used when the perfect would have been used of present time.
- 2308. In the form of the protasis and the apodosis of unreal conditions there is nothing that denotes unreality, but, in the combination, the unreality of the protasis is always, and that of the apodosis generally, implied. The past tenses of the indicative are used in unreal conditions referring to present time, because the speaker's thought goes back to the past, when the realization of the condition was still possible, though at the time of speaking that realization is impossible.
- **2309.** Same Tenses in Protasis and Apodosis.—a. Imperfect of present time:  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \delta \hat{e}$  où  $\hat{v} \epsilon \delta \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \delta \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \delta \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $\hat{e} \ell \mu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \hat{v} \delta \ell \nu \ell \nu \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $\hat{e} \ell \mu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \hat{v} \delta \ell \nu \epsilon \hat{v}$ ,  $\hat{e} \ell \nu \ell \nu \epsilon \hat{v}$  would not be able to do this, if they were not also following a temperate diet X. C. 1. 2. 16.
- b. Imperfect of past time: οὐκ ἄν οὖν νήσων... ἐκράτει, εἰ μή τι καὶ ναυτικὸν εἶχεν accordingly he would not have ruled over islands. if he had not possessed also some naval force T. 1.9. Present and past combined: εἰ μὴ τότ ἐπόνουν, νῦν ὰν οὐκ εὐφραινόμην if I had not toiled then, I should not be rejoicing now Philemon 153.
- c. Aorist of past time: οὐκ ἄν ἐποίησεν ᾿Αγασίᾶς ταῦτα, εἰ μὴ ἐγὼ αὐτὸν ἐκέλευσα Agasias would not have done this, if I had not ordered him X.A.6.6.15.
- **2310.** Different Tenses in Protasis and Apodosis. a. Imperfect and Aorist:  $\epsilon l$  μèν πρόσθεν ἡπιστάμην, οὐδ' ἀν συνηκολούθησά σοι if I had known this before, I would not even have accompanied you X.A.7.7.11.
- N. With an imperfect of present time in the protasis,  $\epsilon \hat{l}\pi \sigma \nu \tilde{\alpha}\nu$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}\pi \epsilon \kappa \rho \bar{l}\nu \hat{\alpha}\mu \eta \nu$  and like verbs, denote an act in present time (I should at once say). Thus,  $\epsilon l \mu \hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta'$ ,  $\epsilon \hat{l}\pi \sigma \nu \tilde{\alpha}\nu \sigma' \sigma \hat{\nu}\kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \phi \rho \rho \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}\nu if thou wert not my father, I would say (would have said) thou wast unwise S. Ant. 755. Often in Plato, as <math>\epsilon l \mu \hat{\nu}\nu$

- οδ σί με ἡρώτας τι των νῦν δή, εἶπον ἄν κτλ. if now you were asking me any one of the questions with which we are now dealing, I should say etc., P. Euth. 12 d, cp. P. G. 514 d, X. A. 7. 6. 23.
- b. Imperfect and Pluperfect:  $\kappa al \ \tau \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda' \ \ddot{a} \nu \ \ddot{a} \pi a \nu \tau' \ \dot{a} \kappa o \lambda o \delta \theta \omega s \ \tau o \delta \tau o is \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \bar{a} \kappa \tau o$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} t' \tau is \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{b} \mu o i \ and \ everything \ else \ would \ have \ been \ effected \ consistently \ with \ what \ I have \ said, \ if \ my \ advice \ had \ been \ followed \ D. 19, 173.$
- c. Aorist and Imperfect: εἰ μὴ ὑμεῖς ἤλθετε, ἐπορευόμεθα ἄν ἐπὶ βασιλέα if you had not come, we should now be marching against the king X. A. 2. 1. 4.
- d. Aorist and Pluperfect:  $\epsilon l \epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda a l \epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon l \rho \eta \sigma a \pi \rho \dot{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{a} \pi o \lambda \bar{\iota} \tau \iota \kappa \dot{a} \pi \rho \dot{a} \tau \lambda a l \dot{a} \nu \dot{a} \pi o \lambda \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta$  if I had long ago essayed to meddle with politics, I should long ago have perished P. A. 31 d,  $\epsilon l$   $\mu l a$   $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$   $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{a} \nu$  if one vote had been transferred to the other side, he would have been transported across the borders (and now be in exile) Aes. 3. 252.
- e. Pluperfect and Imperfect:  $\dot{\eta}$  πόλις ελάμβανεν αν δίκην, εἴ τι ἠδίκητο the State would inflict punishment, if it had been wronged Ant. 6. 10.
- f. Pluperfect and Aorist: οὐκ ἃν παρέμεινα, εἰ ἐλελύμην I should not have stayed, if I had been free Ant. 5. 13.
- **2311.** Homeric Constructions. —In Homer the imperfect in unreal conditions refers only to past time. The apodosis may have  $\kappa\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon\nu$  with the optative.
- a. The present unreal condition with  $\epsilon l$  with the optative in the protasis and  $d\nu$  with the optative in the apodosis (in *form* like a less vivid future condition in Attic) is very rare ( $\Psi$  274). In B 80,  $\Omega$  220 we have a combination of a past protasis (imperfect or aorist indicative) with present apodosis (with  $\kappa \epsilon \nu$  and the optative).
- **2312.** Unreal conditions with  $\delta \nu$  and the optative in apodosis (cp. 2311) in Attic are rare and some are suspected. Either the common reading is at fault (X. M. 3. 5. 8), or we have a simple condition with a potential optative (2300 e), as in And. 1.57, L. 6. 39, I. 4. 102. In  $\epsilon i \ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ \tau o \hat{\nu} \nu \ \tau o \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon i \rho \nu \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \nu$ , où  $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\sigma}$  of  $\delta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma}$  of  $\delta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma}$  of  $\delta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\sigma}$  one who would not censure me with good reason (D. 18. 206) the implied conclusion is où  $\delta \nu \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$ .
- a. The optative in protasis and apodosis occur in E. Med. 568 (present unreal). Hdt. uses the potential optative occasionally (e.g. 7. 214) where English uses a past expression.

#### UNREAL CONDITIONS - APODOSIS WITHOUT av

**2313.**  $\check{a}\nu$  may be omitted in the apodosis of an unreal condition when the apodosis consists of an imperfect indicative denoting unfulfilled obligation, possibility, or propriety. Such are the impersonal expressions  $\check{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota$ ,  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\check{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\gamma}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\kappa\dot{\delta}s$   $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\lambda\dot{\delta}\nu$   $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ , etc., with the infinitive, the action of which is (usually) not realized.

εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίει, ἔδει (ἐξῆν) αἰτιᾶσθαι αὐτόν if he were doing this (as he is not), one ought to (might) blame him.

εί ταῦτα ἐποίησε, ἔδεί (ἰξῆν) αἰτιάσασθαι (or αἰτιᾶσθαι) αὐτόν if he had done this (as he did not), one ought to (might) have blamed him.

- a. Here ἔδει and ἐξῆν are auxiliaries and the emphasis falls on the infinitive. The impersonal verb has the effect of a modifying adverb denoting obligation, possibility, or propriety: thus ἔδει αἰτιᾶσθαι αὐτόν is virtually equivalent to δικαίως ἄν ἢτιᾶτο, and εἰκὸς ἢν αἰτιᾶσασθαι αὐτόν to εἰκότως ἄν ἢτιᾶθη he would properly have been blamed.
- b.  $\xi \delta \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ , etc., may be used in simple sentences (1774 ff.) without any protasis either expressed or implied. But a protasis may often be supplied in thought.
- 2314. The present infinitive generally expresses what would necessarily, possibly, or properly be done now. The acrist, and sometimes the present, infinitive expresses what would necessarily, possibly, or properly have been done in the past.
- a. Present infinitive of present time:  $\chi\rho\eta\nu$  δήπου, εἴτε τινὲς αὐτῶν πρεσβύτεροι γενόμενοι ἔγνωσαν ὅτι νέοις οὖσιν αὐτοῖς έγὼ κακὸν πώποτέ τι ξυνεβούλευσα, νῦνὰ αὐτοὺς ἀναβαίνοντας έμοῦ κατηγορεῖν if some of them on growing older had perceived that I ever gave them any bad counsel when they were young, they ought of course now to rise up in person and accuse me P. A. 33 d.
- b. Present infinitive of past time: εἴ τινα (προῖκα) ἐδίδου, εἰκὸς ἢν καὶ τὴν δοθεῖσαν ὑπὸ τῶν παραγενέσθαι φασκόντων μαρτυρεῖσθαι if he had given any dowry, that which was actually delivered would naturally have been attested by those who claimed to have been present Is. 3. 28.
- c. A orist infinitive of past time:  $\epsilon l \ \epsilon \beta o \ell \lambda \epsilon \tau o \delta \ell \kappa a \iota o \kappa \epsilon l \nu a \iota \kappa \epsilon l \tau o \nu s \pi a \ell \delta a s$ ,  $\epsilon \ell \gamma \nu a \nu \tau \phi \ell \omega s \epsilon \ell \gamma \nu a \nu \epsilon \delta \omega s \epsilon \ell \gamma \delta \omega s \epsilon \delta \omega s$
- **2315.** With the same impersonal expressions,  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  is regularly used when the obligation, possibility, or propriety, and not the action of the verb dependent on  $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon_{\nu}$ , etc., is denied. Here the main force of the apodosis falls on the necessity, possibility, or propriety of the act.

el ταῦτα ἐποίει, ἔδει (ἐξῆν) ἄν αἰτιᾶσθαι αὐτόν if he were doing this (as he is not), it would be necessary (possible) to blame him; but, as the case now stands, it is not necessary (possible). Thus, εἰ μὲν ἡπιστάμεθα σαφῶς ὅτι ἡξει πλοῖα . . . ἄγων ἰκανά, οὐδὲν ἄν ἔδει ὧν μέλλω λέγειν if we knew for certain that he would return with a sufficient number of vessels, there would be no need to say what I am going to say (but there is need) X. A. 5. 1. 10, ταῦτα εἰ μὲν δι' ἀσθένειαν ἐπάσχομεν, στέργειν ἄν ἡν ἀνάγκη τὴν τύχην if we had suffered this because of our weakness, we should have (necessity would compel us) to rest content with our lot L. 33. 4.

**2316.** With  $\alpha_{\nu}$ , it is implied that the obligation does (or did) not exist; without  $\alpha_{\nu}$ , it is implied that the action of the dependent infinitive is (or was)

not realized. Thus the first sentence in 2315, without  $d\nu$ , would mean: if he were doing this (as he is not), one ought to blame him; but, as the case now stands, one does not blame him.

**2317.**  $\epsilon \beta o v \lambda \delta \mu \eta v$ , or  $\epsilon \beta o v \lambda \delta \mu \eta v$  av, with the infinitive may stand in the apodosis. Cp. 1782, 1789.

2318.  $\check{a}\nu$  is regularly omitted in an apodosis formed by the imperfect of  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  and the infinitive (usually future) to denote an unfulfilled past intention or expectation (cp. the Lat. future participle with eram or fui). Cp. 1895 a, 1960.

 $\hat{\eta}$  μάλα δη Αγαμέμνονος . . . φθίσεσθαι κακὸν οἶτον ένι μεγάροισιν ἔμελλον, εἰ μη . . . ἔειπες in sooth I was like to have perished in my halls by the evil fate of Ayamemnon, hadst thou not spoken v 383 (periturus eram, nisi dixisses).

2319.  $\tilde{a}\nu$  may be omitted with the agrist of kirduréu  $run\ a\ risk$  when the emphasis falls on the dependent infinitive.

εί μὴ δρόμω μόλις ἐξεφύγομεν εἰς Δελφούς, ἐκινδῦνεύσαμεν ἀπολέσθαι if we had not escaped with difficulty to Delphi by taking to our heels, we ran the risk of perishing (= we should probably have perished: ἀν ἀπωλόμεθα) Aes. 3. 123. Contrast εἰ μέντοι τότε πλείους συνελέγησαν, ἐκινδύνευσεν ἀν διαφθαρῆναι πολύ τοῦ στρατεύματος if they had mustered in larger force at this time, a large part of the troops would have been in danger of being destroyed X. A. 4. 1. 11.

**2320.** Some expressions containing a secondary tense of the indicative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , and not followed by a dependent infinitive, are virtually equivalent to the apodosis of an unreal condition.

. τούτ $\psi$  δ' εἰ μη ὑμολόγουν & οὖτος ἐβούλετο, οὐδεμιậ ζημία ἔνοχος ην but if they had not acknowledged to him what he wished, he would have been (lit. was) liable to no penalty L. 7. 37.

a. Imperfects (not impersonal) without  $d\nu$  are often emended, as  $\eta\sigma\chi\bar{\nu}\nu b\mu\eta\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\iota$  (some editors  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ),  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\sigma}$   $\pi\sigma\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\iota}\sigma\nu$   $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\eta\pi\pi\tau\dot{\eta}\theta\eta\nu$  I should, however, be ashamed, if I had been deceived by any one who was an enemy X. A.7.6. 21. Cp. "Tybalt's death was woe enough, if it had ended there" (Shakesp.). Cases like 1895 a do not belong here.

### **FUTURE CONDITIONS**

2321. Future conditions set forth suppositions the fulfilment of which is still undecided. There are two main forms of future conditions:

More Vivid Future conditions.

Less Vivid Future conditions.

A variety of the first class is the Emotional Future (2328). Future conditions may be particular or general (2293, 2294).

**2322.** The difference between the More Vivid Future and the Less Vivid Future, like the difference between if I (shall) do this and if I should do this, depends on the mental attitude of the speaker. With the Vivid Future the

speaker sets forth a thought as prominent and distinct in his mind; and for any one or more of various reasons. Thus, he may (and generally does) regard the conclusion as more likely to be realized; but even an impossible (2322 c) or dreaded result may be expressed by this form if the speaker chooses to picture the result vividly and distinctly. The More Vivid Future is thus used whenever the speaker clearly desires to be graphic, impressive, emphatic, and to anticipate a future result with the distinctness of the present.

The Less Vivid Future deals with suppositions less distinctly conceived and of less immediate concern to the speaker, mere assumed or imaginary cases. This is a favourite construction in Greek, and is often used in stating suppositions that are merely possible and often impossible; but the form of the condition itself does not imply an expectation of the speaker that the conclusion may possibly be realized. The difference between the two forms, therefore, is not an inherent difference between probable realization in the one case and possible realization in the other. The same thought may often be expressed in either form without any essential difference in meaning. The only difference is, therefore, often that of temperament, tone, or style.

a. ἐἀν with the subjunctive and εἰ with the optative are rarely used in successive sentences. In most such cases the difference lies merely in the degree of distinctness and emphasis of the expression used; but where the speaker wishes to show that the conclusion is expected or desired, he uses ἐἀν with the subjunctive rather than the other form. Thus, εἰ οδν ἴδοι εν καὶ νῷ καθάπερ τοὺς πολλοὺς ἐν μεσημβρία μὴ διαλεγομένους, ἀλλὰ νυστάζοντας καὶ κηλουμένους ὑφ ἀὐτῶν δὶ ἀργίᾶν τῆς διανοίᾶς, δικαίως ἀν καταγελῷεν . . . ἐᾶν δ' ὁρῶσι διαλεγομένους . . . , τάχ' ἀν δοῦεν ἀγασθέντες if now they should see that we, like the many, are not conversing at noon-day but slumbering and charmed by them because of the indolence of our thoughts, they would rightly laugh at us; but if they see us conversing, they will, perhaps, out of admiration make us gifts P. Phae. 259 a.

b. Cases of both forms in successive sentences are I 135, Hdt. 8. 21, 9. 48; P. Cr. 51 d, Ph. 105 b, Phae. 259 a, Pr. 330 c-331 a, D. 4. 11, 18. 147-148. In D. 18. 178 both the desired and the undesired alternative have  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive.

c. Impossibilities may be expressed by ἐἀν with the subjunctive. Thus, τί οὖν, ἆν εἶπωσιν οἱ νόμοι; what, then, if the laws say? P.Cr. 50 c; cp. P. Eu. 299 b, R. 610 a, 612 b (opt. in 359 c, 360 b), Ar. Aves 1642, E. Or. 1593, Phoen. 1216. Cp. 2329 a.

### Third Form of Conditions

# MORE VIVID FUTURE CONDITIONS

**2323.** More vivid future conditions have in the protasis  $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}\nu$  ( $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{a}\nu$ ) with the subjunctive; in the apodosis, the future indicative or any other form referring to future time.

έὰν ταῦτα ποιῆς (ποιήσης), καλῶς ποιήσεις if you do this, you will do well.

**2324.** This form of condition corresponds to the use of *shall* and *will* in conditional sentences in older English ("if ye shall ask . . . I will do it": St. John).

- 2325. The present subjunctive views an act as continuing (not completed); the agrist subjunctive as simply occurring (completed). Neither tense has any time of itself. The agrist subjunctive may mark the action of the protasis as completed before the action of the principal clause (cp. the Lat. future perfect). Ingressive agrists (1924) retain their force in the subjunctive.
- 2326. The apodosis of the more vivid future condition is the future indicative or any other form of the simple sentence that refers to future time.
- a. Future Indicative: ἐἀν ζητῆς καλῶς, εὐρήσεις if you seek well, you shall find P. G. 503 d, ἐἀν δ' ἔχωμεν χρήμαθ', ἔξομεν φίλους if we have money, we shall have friends Men. Sent. 165, χάριν γε εἴσομαι, ἐἀν ἀκούητε I shall be grateful if you listen P. Pr. 310 a, ἄν αὐτῷ διδῷς ἀργύριον καὶ πείθης αὐτόν, ποιήσει καὶ σὲ σοφόν if you give him money and persuade him, he will make you too wise 310 d, ῆν γὰρ τοῦτο λάβωμεν, οὐ δυνήσονται μένειν for if we take this, they will not be able to remain X. A. 3. 4. 41, ἐἀν κύκλου ἐπὶ τῆς περιφερείας ληφθῆ δύο τυχόντα σημεῖα, ἡ ἐπὶ τὰ σημεῖα ἐπιζευγνυμένη εὐθεῖα ἐντὸς πεσεῖται τοῦ κύκλου if any two points be taken in the circumference of a circle, the straight line which joins them shall fall within the circle Euclid 3. 2.
- b. Primary Tenses of the indicative other than the future. Present (1879): ην θάνης σύ, παῖς δό ἐκφεύγει μόρον if thou art slain, you boy escapes death E. And. 381, δίδωσ' ἐκών κτείνειν ἐαυτόν, ην τάδε ψευσθη λέγων freely he offers himself to death, if he lies in speaking thus (δίδωσι = he says that he is ready) S. Phil 1342. Aorist: see 1934, and cp. εἰ μέν κ' αθθι μένων Τρώων πόλιν ἀμφιμάχωμαι, ἄλετο μέν μοι νόστος if I tarry here and wage war about the city of the Trojans, my return home is lost for me I 413. Perfect: see 1950. Cp. "if I shall have an answer no directlier, I am gone": Beaumont and Fletcher.
- c. Subjunctive of exhortation, prohibition, or deliberation, and with  $\mu\eta$  ( $\mu\eta$  oi) of doubtful assertion (1801). Thus,  $\mu\eta\delta$ '  $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\iota$   $\tilde{\omega}\nu\tilde{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\xi\phi\eta$ ,  $\eta\nu\pi\omega\lambda\tilde{\eta}$   $\nu\epsilon\tilde{\omega}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$   $\tau\rho\iota\tilde{a}-\kappa\sigma\nu\tau$   $\epsilon\tau\tilde{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\xi\rho\omega\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\delta\tau\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$   $\tau\omega\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\iota}$ ; even if I am buying something, said he, am I not to ask 'what do you sell it for?' if the seller is under thirty years of age? X. M. 1. 2. 36,  $\kappa\tilde{a}\nu$   $\phi\alpha\iota\nu\tilde{\omega}\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$   $\tilde{a}\delta\iota\kappa\alpha$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\epsilon\rho\gamma\alpha\zeta\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\iota$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où  $\delta\epsilon\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\sigma\lambda\sigma\gamma\iota\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$   $\kappa\tau\lambda$ . and if we appear to do this unjustly, I rather think it may not be necessary to take notice, etc. P. Cr. 48 d.
- d. Optative of wish, or potential optative with  $d\nu$  ('something may happen') instead of 'something will happen'). Thus,  $\eta\nu \sigma\epsilon \tau o\hat{v}$  λοιπο $\hat{v}$  ποτ' ἀφέλωμαι χρόνου,

- ... κάκιστ' ἀπολοίμην if ever in the future I take them away from you, may I perish most vilely! Ar. Ran. 586, ἐἀν κατὰ μέρος φυλάττωμεν ..., ἢττον ὰν δύναιντο ἡμᾶς θηρᾶν οἱ πολέμιοι if we keep guard by turns, the enemy will (would) be less able to harry us X. A. 5. 1. 9. See also 2356 a.
- e. Imperative, or infinitive for the imperative (2013): ἢν πόλεμον αἰρῆσθε, μηκέτι ἤκετε δεῦρο ἄνευ ὅπλων if you choose war, do not come here again without your arms X.C. 3. 2.13, σὐ δ', ἄν τι ἔχης βέλτιδν ποθεν λαβεῖν, πειρῶσθαι καὶ ἐμοὶ μεταδιδόναι but if you can find anything better from any quarter, try to communicate it to me too P. Crat. 426 b.
- b. Subjunctive with  $\kappa \epsilon$  in both protasis and apodosis (the anticipatory subjunctive, 1810):  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \epsilon$   $\kappa \epsilon$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\delta \omega \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$   $\delta \epsilon$   $\kappa \epsilon \nu$   $a \dot{\sigma} \dot{\tau} \dot{\delta} s$   $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \mu a \iota$  and if he do not give her up, then will I seize her myself A 324.
- c.  $\epsilon''$  (a') κε with the future in protasis (rare):  $\sigma$ οὶ . . . ὅνειδος ἔσσεται,  $\epsilon'$  κ'  $^{\lambda}$ Αχιλῆος . . .  $\dot{\epsilon}$ ταῖρον . . . κύνες ἐλκήσουσιν it will be a reproach unto thee, if the dogs drag the companion of Achilles P 557. Some read here the subjunctive.
- 2328. Emotional Future Conditions. When the protasis expresses strong feeling, the future indicative with  $\epsilon i$  is commonly used instead of  $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive, and may often be rendered by hall. The protasis commonly suggests something undesired, or feared, or intended independently of the speaker's will; the apodosis commonly conveys a threat, a warning, or an earnest appeal to the feelings. The apodosis is generally expressed by the future indicative, but other forms of 2326 are possible.

εί ταῦτα λέξεις, ἐχθαρεῖ μὲν ἐξ ἐμοῦ if thou speakest thus, thou wilt be hated by me S. Ant. 93, εἰ μὴ καθέξεις γλῶσσαν, ἔσται σοι κακά if you won't hold your tongue, there's trouble in store for you E. frag. 5, ἀποκτενεῖς γάρ, εἴ με γῆς ἔξω βαλεῖς for thou wilt slay me if thou shalt thrust me out of the land E. Phoen. 1621, εἰ δὸε στρατευσόμεθα, οὐ δυνησόμεθα μάχεσθαι if we keep the field thus, we shall not be able to fight X. C. 6. 1. 13, ἀθλιώτατος ὰν γενοίμην (potential optative), εἰ φυγὰς ἀδίκως καταστήσομαι I should become most wretched, were I to be driven unjustly into exile L. 7. 41.

a. When  $\epsilon i$  with the future indicative is directly contrasted with  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive, the former usually presents the unfavourable, the latter the favourable, alternative. Thus,

ην μèν γὰρ ἐθέλωμεν ἀποθνήσκειν ὑπὲρ τῶν δικαίων, εὐδοκιμήσομεν . . ., εἰ δὲ φοβησόμεθα τοὺς κινδύνους, εἰς πολλὰς ταραχὰς καταστήσομεν ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς if we are (shall be) willing to die for the sake of justice, we shall gain renown; but if we are going to fear dangers, we shall bring ourselves into great confusion I. 6.107. Cp. X. C. 4. 1. 15, Ar. Nub. 586–591, L. 27. 7, I. 12. 237, 15. 130, 17. 9, D. 8. 17, 18. 176, 27. 20–22. Both constructions are rarely used in successive clauses with-

out any essential difference (X. Ap. 6).  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive, when used in threats or warnings, is a milder form of statement than  $\dot{\epsilon}l$  with the future (Hdt. 1.71). An unfavourable alternative may thus be expressed by  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive (A 135-137, Hdt. 3. 36, Aes. 3. 254).

b.  $\epsilon l$  with the future indicative may have a modal force like that of  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$  or  $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$  (am to, must) with the infinitive:  $\beta a \rho \epsilon \hat{i} a$  ( $\kappa \dot{\eta} \rho$ ),  $\epsilon l \tau \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \nu o \nu \delta a \dot{t} \xi \omega$  hard is fate, if I must slay my child A. Ag. 208. The future of present intention (2301) is different.

## Fourth Form of Conditions

#### LESS VIVID FUTURE CONDITIONS

**2329.** Less vivid future conditions (should . . . would conditions) have in the protasis  $\epsilon i$  with the optative, in the apodosis  $\check{a}\nu$  with the optative.

εὶ ταῦτα ποιοίης, καλῶς ἂν ποιοίης οτ εἰ ταῦτα ποιήσειας, καλῶς ἂν ποιήσειας if you should do this, you would do well.

είης φορητὸς οὐκ ἄν, εἰ πράσσοις καλῶς thou wouldst be unendurable shouldst thou be prosperous A. Pr. 979, εἰ δ' ἀναγκαῖον είη ἀδικεῖν ἢ ἀδικεῖσθαι, ἐλοίμην ἄν μᾶλλον ἀδικεῖσθαι ἢ ἀδικεῖν but if it should be necessary to do wrong or be wronged, I should prefer to be wronged than to do wrong P. G. 469 c, δεινὰ ἄν εἴην εἰργασμένος, . . . εἰ λίποιμι τὴν τάξιν I should be in the state of having committed a dreadful deed, if I were to desert my post P. A. 28 d.

- **2330.** Conditional sentences of this class arose partly from optatives of wish (1814, 1815), partly from potential optatives (1824). Cp.  $\epsilon i\theta'$  &s  $\dot{\eta}\beta$ ώοιμι . . .  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  κε  $\tau \dot{\alpha}\chi'$  ἀντήσειε μάχης . . . "Εκτωρ would that I were thus young . . . in that case Hector would soon find his combat H 157; see also  $\xi$  193.
- **2331.** The present optative views an action as continuing (not completed); the aorist optative, as simply occurring (completed). (The future optative is never used except to represent a future indicative in indirect discourse.) The perfect (rare) denotes completion with resulting state. In Hdt. 7. 214 it is used vaguely of the past:  $\epsilon l \delta \epsilon l \eta \ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ \dot{\alpha} \nu \dots \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \eta \nu \ \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \ \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \dot{\nu}$  " Or $\eta \tau \eta s$ ,  $\epsilon l \ \tau \dot{\eta} \ \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \ \tau \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} s$   $\epsilon l \eta \ for \ Onetes \ might \ know \ of \ this \ path \dots$  if he had been well acquainted with the country.
- **2332.** English would is equivocal, being used either in the translation of  $\text{$d\nu$}$  with the optative or of  $\text{$d\nu$}$  with the past indicative (2302). Thus, ep.  $\text{$e\ell$}$  715  $\text{$\sigma\epsilon$}$   $\text{$d\rho\epsilon\tau\sigma}$  . . . , \$tl  $\text{$d\nu$}$   $\text{$d\nu$}$   $\text{$e\ell$}$   $\text{$e\ell$}$   $\text{$e\ell$}$   $\text{$e\ell$}$  . . .  $\text{$e\rho\iota\tau\sigma}$  . . . ,  $\text{$e\rho\iota\tau\sigma}$  . . . , what would you have replied  $\text{$\ell$}$  with \$el over \$el \$el \$el \$el . . . .  $\text{$e\rho\iota\tau\sigma}$  . . . , \$el  $\text{$d\nu$}$  \$el \$el \$el \$el with \$el of were to) ask us . . . , what would (should) we reply to him  $\text{$\ell$}$  P. Pr. 311 b, d. If I were may be used to translate both \$el\$ with the optative and \$el\$ with the past indicative. English shows examples of were in the protasis

followed by would, shall, will, is (was, etc.). Were occurs also in apodosis ("should he be roused out of sleep to-night, it were not well": Shelley).

**2333.** The apodosis has the optative without  $\tilde{a}v$  in wishes.

εὶ μὲν συμβουλεύοιμι  $\hat{\alpha}$  βέλτιστά μοι δοκεῖ, πολλά μοι καὶ ἀγαθὰ γένοιτο if I should give the advice that seems best to me, may many blessings fall to my lot X. A. 5.6.4.

On the optative with  $\epsilon i$  followed by other forms of the apodosis, see 2359.

- 2334. Homeric Constructions.—a. In the protasis,  $\epsilon \ell$   $\kappa \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \ell$   $\delta \nu$ ) with the optative with the same force as  $\epsilon \ell$  alone. This use is exclusively Homeric. Thus, οὐ μὲν γάρ τι κακώτερον ἄλλο πάθοιμι, οὐδ' εἶ κεν τοῦ πατρὸς ἀποφθιμένοιο πυθοίμην for I could not suffer anything worse, not even if I should learn of my father's death T 321. On  $\epsilon \ell$   $\delta \nu$  in Attic, see 2353.
- b. In the apodosis, a primary tense of the indicative: the present ( $\eta$  52), the future (I 388), the future with  $\kappa \epsilon$  ( $\mu$  345: but this may be the agrist subjunctive).
- c. In the apodosis, the hortatory subjunctive ( $\Psi$  893), the subjunctive with  $\delta \nu$  or  $\kappa \epsilon$  ( $\Lambda$  386).
- d. In the apodosis, the optative without  $\alpha\nu$  not in a wish, but with the same force as the optative with  $\alpha\nu$ . See T 321 in a.
- e. For  $\kappa \epsilon$  with the optative in the apodosis where we should expect, in Homeric and Attic Greek, a past indicative with  $\delta \nu$  ( $\kappa \epsilon$ ) in an unreal condition, see 2311 b.

#### GENERAL CONDITIONS

2335. General conditions refer indefinitely to any act or series of acts that are supposed to occur or to have occurred at any time; and without any implication as to fulfilment.

The if clause has the force of if ever (whenever), the conclusion expresses a repeated or habitual action or a general truth.

2336. Any simple or unreal condition of present or past time, or any future condition, may refer to a customary or frequently repeated act or to a general truth. But for the present and past only (when nothing is implied as to fulfilment) there are two forms of expression: either a special kind of conditional sentence or (less frequently) the simple condition, as regularly in English and in Latin:

Present. Protasis:  $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu \ (= \epsilon \acute{a}\nu \ \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon)$  with the subjunctive; apodosis: the present indicative (2337).

Protasis:  $\epsilon i (= \epsilon' \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon)$  with the present indicative; apodosis: the present indicative (2298 c, 2342).

Past. Protasis:  $\epsilon \ell$  with the optative; apodosis: the imperfect indicative (2340).

Protasis:  $\epsilon i$  with the imperfect; apodosis: the imperfect (2298 c, 2342).

- a. By reason of the past apodosis, the optative in the protasis refers to the past. Only in this use (and when the optative in indirect discourse represents a past indicative) does the optative refer distinctly to the past.
- b. The present subjunctive and optative view the action as continuing (not completed); the aorist subjunctive and optative, as simply occurring (completed). The tenses of the protasis have no time of themselves, but usually the action of the present is relatively contemporaneous with, the action of the aorist relatively antecedent to, the action of the main verb.
- c. The indicative forms in the protasis are more common in temporal and relative sentences. Observe that it is the character of the *apodosis* alone which distinguishes the special kind of general condition from the two forms of future conditions.

## Fifth Form of Conditions

#### PRESENT GENERAL CONDITIONS

- 2337. Present general conditions have, in the protasis,  $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$  ( $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{a}\nu$ ) with the subjunctive; in the apodosis, the present indicative or an equivalent.  $\epsilon \grave{a}\nu \tau a \hat{n}\tau a \pi \alpha u \hat{\eta}s$  ( $\pi o \iota \acute{\eta}\sigma \eta s$ ),  $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$  if ever you do this, I always praise you. The conclusion holds true of any time or of all time.
- ην δ' έγγὸς ἔλθη θάνατος, οὐδεὶς βούλεται θνήσκειν but if death draws near, no one wishes to die E. Alc. 671, γελᾶ δ' ὁ μῶρος, καν τι μὴ γελοῖον ἢ the fool laughs even if there is nothing to laugh at Men. Sent. 108, ἐὰν ἴσοις ἴσα προστεθῆ, τὰ ὅλα ἐστὶν ἴσα if equals be added to equals, the wholes are equal Euclid, Ax. 2.
- **2338.** The gnomic agrist is equivalent to the present indicative in apodosis. In  $\delta \epsilon$   $\tau is$   $\tau o o \tau \omega v$   $\tau i$   $\pi a \rho a \beta a l v \eta$ , in l a v  $a v \tau o i s$   $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell \epsilon \epsilon \sigma a v$  but if any one ever transgresses any one of these regulations, they always impose punishment upon them (him) .X. C. 1.2.2.
- **2339.** Homer and Pindar prefer  $\epsilon l$  to  $\ell \ell \nu$  or  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa \epsilon$  (A 81); and this  $\epsilon l$  is sometimes found in Attic poetry (S. Ant. 710).  $\ell \nu$  is more often absent in general conditions than in vivid future conditions.

# Sixth Form of Conditions

#### PAST GENERAL CONDITIONS

- **2340.** Past general conditions have, in the protasis,  $\epsilon i$  with the optative; in the apodosis, the imperfect indicative or an equivalent.  $\epsilon i$   $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$   $\tau a \cos(\eta s)$  ( $\tau o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ),  $\sigma \hat{\epsilon}$   $\epsilon i \eta \dot{\gamma} v o v i f$  ever you did this, I always praised you.
- εἴ πού τι ὀρψη βρωτόν, διεδίδου if ever he saw anything to eat anywhere, he always distributed it X. A. 4. 5. 8, εἰ δέ τις καὶ ἀντείποι, εὐθὺς . . . ἐτεθνήκει but if any one even made an objection, he was promptly put to death T. 8. 66, εἰ μὲν ἐπίοιεν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ὑπεχώρουν, εἰ δ᾽ ἀναχωροῖεν, ἐπέκειντο if the Athenians advanced, they retreated; if they retired, they fell upon them 7.79, ἐτίμᾶ δ᾽ εἴ τι καλὸν πράττοιεν, παρίστατο δ᾽ εἴ τις συμφορᾶ συμβαίνοι he honoured them if ever

they performed some noble action, and stood by them in times of misfortune (lit. if any misfortune befell) X. Ag. 7.3.

- a. The optative is here sometimes called the *iterative* optative. This mood has however no iterative force in itself, the idea of repetition being derived solely from the context. In Homer the iterative optative after  $\epsilon i$  (found only  $\Omega$  768) is an extension of the iterative optative in temporal clauses where this use originated.
- **2341.** The iterative imperfect or aorist with an (1894, 1933):  $\epsilon l$  de  $\tau l$ s adr $\hat{\varphi}$  repl tou antiletyol...,  $\epsilon n l$  the description examples an matter have used always bring the entire discussion back to the main point X. M. 4. 6. 13,  $\epsilon l$  tis adt $\hat{\varphi}$  dokoln... blackedein, ekkeydmenos tour ethicides a time for any one seemed to be lagging, he would always pick out the likely man and strike him X. A. 2. 3. 11. These cases are not to be confused with the apodoses of unreal conditions.

#### INDICATIVE FORM OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

**2342.** Present: protasis,  $\epsilon i$  with the present; apodosis, the present. Past: protasis,  $\epsilon i$  with the imperfect; apodosis, the imperfect.

# DIFFERENT FORMS OF CONDITIONAL SENTENCES IN THE SAME SENTENCE

2343. The same period may show different forms of conditional sentences according to the exigency of the thought.

ταὐτὸ τοίνυν τοῦτ' ἀν ἐποίησε Φίλιππος, εἴ τινα τούτων εἶδε δίκην δόντα, καὶ νῦν, ἄν ἴδη, ποιήσει this very same thing then Philip would have done, if he had seen any one of these men being punished; and will do so now, if he sees it D. 19. 138, εἰ οῦν ἐπιθῦμεῖς εὐδοκιμεῖν . . . , πειρῶ κατεργάσασθαι ὡς μάλιστα τὸ εἰδὲναι ἃ βούλει πράττειν ἐὰν γὰρ τούτω διενέγκᾶς τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιχειρῆς τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράττειν, οἰκ ἄν θαυμάσαιμι εἰ πάνν ῥαδίως τύχοις ὧν ἐπιθῦμεῖς if then you desire to enjoy an honourable fame . . . , try to acquire as far as possible the knowledge of what you wish to do; for if, differing in this regard from other men, you attempt to deal with affairs of state, I should not be surprised if you were to attain the object of your ambition with great ease X. M. 3. 6.18.

# VARIATIONS FROM THE ORDINARY FORMS AND MEANINGS OF CONDITIONAL SENTENCES

#### MODIFICATIONS OF THE PROTASIS

2344. Substitutions for the Protasis. — For the protasis with  $\epsilon i$  there may be substituted a participle, often in the genitive absolute (2067, 2070), an adverb, a prepositional phrase, a relative clause (2560), or some other single word or phrase. The present participle represents the imperfect, as the perfect represents the pluperfect.

πῶς δῆτα δίκης οὔσης (= εἰ δίκη ἐστίν) ὁ Ζεὺς οὐκ ἀπόλωλεν τὸν πατέρ, αὐτοῦ δήσᾶς: how, pray, if there is any justice, has Zeus not perished since he bound his own father? Ar. Nub. 904, οὐ γὰρ ἢν μοι δήπου βιωτὸν τοῦτο ποιήσαντα (= εὶ ἐποίητα) for of course life had not been worth living if I had done this D. 21. 120, of yas αν έβλήθη άτρεμίζων καὶ μὴ διατρέχων (= εἰ ἠτρέμιζε καὶ μὴ διέτρεχε) for he would not have been hit if he had been keeping quiet and not running across Ant. 3. 6. 5. δικαίως αν ἀπέθανον I should justly (i.e. if I had met with my deserts) have been put to death D. 18. 209, έμοι δε άρκοῦν αν εδόκει είναι for myself (i.e. if I had to decide) it would seem to be sufficient T. 2. 35, διά γε  $\dot{v}$ μῶς αὐτοὺς (= εἰ  $\dot{v}$ μεῖς αὐτοὶ μόνοι ήτε) πάλαι αν ἀπολώλειτε if you had been left to yourselves, you would have perished long ago D. 18. 49, όλοῦμαι μὴ μαθών  $(=\dot{\epsilon}$ αν μὴ μάθω) I shall be undone if  $I \ don't \ learn \ Ar. \ Nub. 792, \ νίκωντες (= ε l \ νῖκω̂εν) μὲν οὐδένα ἃν κατακάνοιεν,$  $\dot{\eta}_{TT}\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}_{VT}\omega_{V}$  (=  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\eta}_{TT}\eta\theta\dot{\epsilon}(\dot{\epsilon}_{V})$  odders and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  are  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}_{V}$ kill no one, but if defeated no one would be left X. A. 3. 1. 2. οὕτω (=εἰ οὕτως ἔγοιεν) γάρ πρὸς τὸ ἐπιέναι τοῖς ἐναντίοις εὐψῦχότατοι ἃν εἶεν for thus they would be most courageous in regard to attacking the enemy T. 2.11, οὐδ' ἄν δικαίως ές κακὸν πέσοιμί τι nor should I justly come to any trouble S. Ant. 240.

- a. Sometimes the protasis has to be supplied from what precedes (example in 1825); or from a main clause with  $d\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ , which follows:  $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\kappa\epsilon\nu$   $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\delta}s$   $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\phi\nu\gamma\epsilon$   $\kappa\hat{\eta}\rho\alpha$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\nu\alpha\nu$   $d\lambda\lambda'$  "H $\phi\alpha\iota\sigma\tau$ os  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\nu\tau$ o (=  $\epsilon l$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\nu\tau$ o) nor would he himself have escaped black fate; but Hephaestus guarded him E 23 (cp. X. A. 3. 2. 24–25).
- **2345.** Verb of the Protasis Omitted. The verb of the protasis is usually omitted when the apodosis has the same verb. The protasis is often introduced by  $\epsilon \tilde{t}$   $\tau \iota s$ ,  $\epsilon \tilde{t}$   $\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \tilde{t} \pi \epsilon \rho$   $(\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon)$ .

εἴ τις καὶ ἄλλος ἀνήρ, καὶ Κῦρος άξιος ἐστι θαυμάζεσθαι if any other man (is worthy to be admired), Cyrus, too, is worthy to be admired X. C. 5. 1. 6, φημὶ δεῖν . . .  $τ \hat{\varphi}$  πολέμ $\varphi$  προσέχειν, εἴπερ ποτέ (ἔδει), καὶ νῦν I say that we must now, if ever, apply ourselves to the war D. 1. 6.

## 2346. So with certain special phrases:

- a. εὶ μή (if not) except: οὐ γὰρ... ὁρῶμεν εἰ μὴ δλίγους τούτους ἀνθρώπους for we do not see any except a few men yonder X. A. 4. 7. 5, οὐ γὰρ ἄν ποτε ἐξηῦρον ὀρθῶς τὰ μετέωρα πράγματα, εἰ μὴ κρεμάσας τὸ νόημα for I could never have discovered aright things celestial, except by suspending the intellect Ar. Nub. 229. So ἐᾶν μή D. 24. 45 (in a decree).
- b. εἰ μὴ εἰ (if not if, unless if) except if: ἐπράχθη τε οὐδὲν ἀπ' αὐτῶν ἔργον ἀξιόλογον, εἰ μὴ εἰ τι πρὸς τοὺς περιοίκους τοὺς αὐτῶν ἐκάστοις and nothing noteworthy

was done on their part except it might be (lit. except if there was done) something between each of them and his neighbours T. 1. 17. Here  $\epsilon l$   $\mu \eta$  is adverbial.

- c. εἰ μὴ διά (if not on account of) except for: (οὐ) Μιλτιάδην . . . εἰς τὸ βάραθρον ἐμβαλεῖν ἐψηφίσαντο, καὶ εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸν πρότανιν, ἐνέπεσεν ἄν; did they not vote to throw Miltiades into the pit, and except for the prytan would he not have been thrown there? P. G. 510 e. With εἰ μὴ διά the ellipsis (which was not conscious to the Greeks) is to be supplied by the negatived predicate of the main clause (here οὐκ ἐνέπεσεν).
- d. εὶ δὲ μή (but if not = si minus, sin aliter) otherwise, in alternatives, introduces a supposition opposed to something just said: ἀπήτει τὰ τῶν Καλχηδονίων χρήματα· εὶ δὲ μή, πολέμησειν ἔφη αὐτοῖς he demanded back the property of the Calchedonians; otherwise (i.e. if they should not restore it: εὶ μὴ ἀποδοῖεν) he said that he should make war upon them X. H. 1.3.3.
- N. 1.  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \epsilon$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  often occurs even where the preceding clause is negative and we expect  $\epsilon i$   $\delta \epsilon$ , as  $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\pi o \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta s$   $\tau a \dot{\tau} t$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$  . . .  $a l \tau l \bar{a} \nu$   $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota s$  do not do this; but if you do, you will have the blame X. A. 7. 1. 8. Conversely  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ , where we expect  $\epsilon l$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , as  $\epsilon l$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$   $\beta o \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ,  $\delta \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \tau a$   $\delta \dot{\nu}$ ,  $\delta \tau \iota$   $\delta o \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ,  $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau a$   $\delta \dot{\nu}$   $\delta \dot{\nu$

N. 2. —  $\epsilon l$  dè  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is used where (after a preceding  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu$ ) we expect  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu$  dè  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , as  $\epsilon \dot{a} \dot{\nu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \iota$   $\dot{\nu} \dot{\mu} \dot{\nu} \nu$  doka dhydès  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ,  $\xi \iota \nu \iota \nu \mu \partial \nu \lambda \delta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon \cdot \epsilon l$  dè  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , and  $\iota \nu \iota \iota \tau \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon}$  if I seem to you to speak the truth, agree with me; otherwise, oppose me P. Ph. 91 c.

- N. 3. The verb of the apodosis of the first of the alternatives is often omitted:  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$   $\pi\dot{\epsilon}i\theta\eta\tau\alpha\iota$  (scil.  $\kappa\dot{a}\lambda\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ )  $\dot{\epsilon}l$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  . . .  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\theta\dot{\nu}\nu\omega\sigma\iota\nu$   $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\iota\lambda\dot{a}is$  if he willingly obeys (it will be well); otherwise they straighten him by threats P. Pr. 325 d.
  - 2347. On ès el in comparative conditional clauses see 2484.
- **2348.** In the Homeric  $\epsilon l$   $\delta'$   $\delta \gamma \epsilon$  come now, well!  $\epsilon l$  probably has the force of an interjectional or demonstrative adverb (cp. Lat.  $\epsilon la$  age). Thus,  $\epsilon l$   $\delta'$   $\delta \gamma \epsilon$   $\tau o l$   $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \hat{g}$   $\kappa a \tau a \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma a u$  come now! I will nod assent to thee with my head A 524.
- **2349.** Omission of the Protasis. The potential optative, and the indicative, with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  stand in independent sentences; in many cases a protasis may be supplied either from the context or generally; in other cases there was probably no conscious ellipsis at all; and in others there was certainly no ellipsis. Cp. 1785, 1825.

ποῦ δῆτ' ἀν εἶεν οἱ ξένοι; where, pray (should I inquire) would the strangers be found to be? S. El. 1450, ἀριθμὸν δὲ γράψαι . . . οὐκ ἀν ἐδυνάμην ἀκριβῶς but to give the number accurately I should not be able (if I were trying) T. 5. 68, δεινὸν οῦν ῆν ψεύσασθαι it had been terrible to break my word (if it had been possible) D.19.172.

# MODIFICATIONS OF THE APODOSIS

2350. The apodosis may be expressed in a participle or infinitive with or without  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  as the construction may require; ep. 1846, 1848.

aitel aὐτὸν εἰς δισχιλίους ξένους καὶ τριῶν μηνῶν μισθόν, ὡς οὕτως περιγενόμενος (=  $\pi$ εριγενοίμην) ἃν τῶν ἀντιστασιωτῶν he asked him for pay for two thousand mercenaries and for three mouths, stating that thus he would get the better of his

- adversaries X. A. 1. 1. 10. (Here οὖτως represents the protasis, 2344.) οὐδενὸς ἀντειπόντος διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀνασχέσθαι ἄν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν no one spoke in opposition because the assembly would not have suffered it (= εἰ ἀντεῖπε, οὐκ ἀν ἡνέσχετο ἡ ἐκκλησία) Χ. Η. 1. 4. 20, εἰ (Τεγέα) σφίσι προσγένοιτο, νομίζοντες ἄπασαν ἀν ἔχειν Πελοπόννησον they thought that, if Tegea too should come over to them, they would have the whole of the Peloponnese T. 5. 32. See 2616.
- 2351. Verb of the Apodosis Omitted. The verb of the apodosis is often omitted, and especially when the protasis has the same verb (cp. 2345). Here a potential optative with äν is represented by äν alone (1764 a, 1766 a). Thus,  $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \psi$  σοφώτερός του φαίην είναι, τούτ $\psi$  ἄν (φαίην είναι) if I should say that in any respect I am wiser than any one, (I should say) in this P. A. 29 b. Also in other cases, as  $\tau i \delta \dot{\eta} \tau'$  ἄν (λέγοις), ἔτερον  $\epsilon i$  πύθοιο Σωκράτους φρόντισμα; what then would (you say), if you should hear another excogitation of Socrates? Ar. Nub. 154. On ὅσπερ εἰ, ὅσπερ ἀν εἰ, ὡς εἰ, see 1766 a, 2478, 2484.
- **2352.** Omission of the Apodosis.—a. When the conclusion is it is well  $(\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}_{\tilde{n}} \in \chi \epsilon \iota)$  or the like, it is often omitted. So often when the second of alternative opposing suppositions is expressed by  $\epsilon \iota$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \ \mu \hat{\eta}$  (2346 d, n. 3). Cp. "yet now, if thou wilt forgive this sin,—: and if not, blot me . . . out of thy book" (Exodus 32. 32).
- b. When we should introduce the conclusion by know that or I tell you:  $\epsilon i$  κal οίει με ἀδικοῦντά τι ἄγεσθαι, οὕτε ἔπαιον οὐδένα οὕτε ἔβαλλον if you possibly think that I was taken for some wrong-doing, know that I neither struck nor hit any one X. A. 6. 6. 27. Here the apodosis might be introduced by  $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \nu \delta \bar{\nu} \mu \eta \delta \eta \tau \epsilon$ , etc.
- c. Sometimes when the protasis is merely parenthetical: ὁ χρῦσός, εἰ βούλοιο  $τ \dot{a} ληθ \hat{\eta}$  λέγειν, ἔκτεινε τὸν ἐμὸν παίδα it was the gold—wouldst thou only tell the truth—that slew my child E. Hec. 1206.
- d. In passionate speech for rhetorical effect (aposiopesis, 3015):  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \rho \kappa' \, \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu' \, O \lambda \dot{\iota} \mu \pi \iota os \, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s \, \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \, \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \, \sigma \tau \iota \phi \epsilon \lambda l \dot{\epsilon} a \iota \cdot \dot{\sigma} \, \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \, \pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\sigma} \, \dot{\phi} \epsilon \rho \tau a \tau \dot{\sigma} s \, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \, for \, indeed the Olympian lord of the lightning will to thrust us out from our habitations, thrust us he will; for he is by far the most powerful A 581.$

#### PROTASIS AND APODOSIS COMBINED

- 2353.  $\epsilon l$  and  $\tilde{a}\nu$  both in Protasis. The potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  or the unreal indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , standing as the apodosis in the conditional clause with  $\epsilon l$ , is the apodosis of another protasis expressed or understood.

the protasis with  $\epsilon i$ ; and also, with  $\delta \nu$ , the apodosis to an understood protasis (e.g. if we should think of so doing). The verb of the protasis may be contained in a participle, as  $\epsilon i \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon i \hat{s} \hat{a} \nu \hat{b} \mu \delta \nu \hat{a} \hat{\xi} \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \xi \hat{\gamma} \nu \hat{a} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \delta \omega \epsilon \kappa \lambda$ . Dut if no one of you should think life worth having if he were to be deprived of his country, it is right, etc. I. 6.25. Such clauses form simple present conditions (if it is true that we would accept, etc.). The verb following the compressed condition stands usually in the present, at times in the future, indicative. X. C. 8.3.55:  $\theta \alpha \nu \mu d \delta \gamma \mu \mu \delta \nu \ldots \epsilon i \delta \nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$  is an exception.

- b. Unreal Indicative.  $-\epsilon l$  τοίνυν τοῦτο  $l\sigma \chi \bar{\nu} \rho \delta \nu$  ἢν ἄν τούτ $\varphi$  τεκμήριον . . . , κάμοι γενέσθω τεκμήριον if then this would have been strong evidence for him (if he had been able to bring it forward), let it be evidence for me too D. 49.58. This is a present condition (if it is true that this would, etc.) except in so far as the unexpressed protasis refers to the past. Such conditions may also be past.
- N. 1.—The real protasis is: if it is (or was) the case that something could now (or hereafter) be (or could have been), it follows that.
  - N. 2. In some of these cases,  $\epsilon l$  has almost the force of  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$  since (D. 49.58).
- **2354.**  $\epsilon i$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} av$ , on the chance that.  $\epsilon i$  or  $\dot{\epsilon} av$  may set forth the motive for the action or feeling expressed by the apodosis, and with the force of on the chance that, in case that, in the hope that, if haply.

After primary tenses in the apodosis, we have  $\epsilon l$  with the indicative or  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  ( $\pi\omega s$ ) with the subjunctive; after secondary tenses,  $\epsilon l$  with the optative or, occasionally,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  ( $\pi\omega s$ ) with the subjunctive. Homer has sometimes the optative after primary tenses. The reference is to the future as in final clauses.

The protasis here depends, not on the apodosis proper, but on the idea of purpose or desire suggested by the thought. The accomplishment of the purpose may be desired or not desired, and by the subject either of the apodosis or of the protasis.

νῦν αδτ' ἐγχείη πειρήσομαι, αἴ κε τύχωμι but now I will make trial with my spear on the chance (in the hope) that I may hit thee E 279, ἄκουσον καὶ ἐμοῦ, ἐάν σοι ἔτι ταὐτὰ δοκῆ listen to me too on the chance (in the hope) that you may still have the same opinion P. R. 358 b, πορευόμενοι ἐς τὴν 'Ασίαν ὡς βασιλέα, εἴ πως πείσειαν αὐτόν going into Asia to the king in the hope that somehow they might persuade him T. 2.67, πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, εὶ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, ἐχώρουν they advanced toward the city on the chance that they (the citizens) should make a sally 6.100.

- N. This use is to be distinguished from that of  $\epsilon i$  åpa if perchance,  $\epsilon i$   $\mu h$  åpa unless perchance (often ironical).
- a. This construction should be distinguished from cases like  $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ ...  $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ ...,  $\hbar \nu$  δύνωνται βιάσασθαι they planned to get out, if they might make their way by force T. 3.20, where we have implied indirect discourse ( $\epsilon \xi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \delta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ,  $\hbar \nu$  δυνώμεθα βιάσασθαι).

# LESS USUAL COMBINATIONS OF COMPLETE PROTASIS AND APODOSIS

- 2355. In addition to the ordinary forms of correspondence between protasis and apodosis (2297), Greek shows many other combinations expressing distinct shades of feeling. Most of these combinations, though less frequent than the ordinary forms, are no less "regular." Shift of mental attitude is a known fact of all speech, though the relation of cause to effect must not be obscured. A speaker or writer, having begun his sentence with a protasis of one type, may alter the course of his thought: with the result that he may conclude with an apodosis of another form, in some cases even with an apodosis "unsymmetrical" with the protasis and logically dependent upon a protasis that is only suggested by the form actually adopted. Since either protasis or apodosis may choose the form of expression best suited to the meaning, the student should beware of thinking that conditional sentences invariably follow a conventional pattern, departure from which is to be counted as violation of rule. Some combinations are less usual than others: most of the more common variations from the ordinary type have been mentioned under the appropriate sections, and are here summarized (2356-2358). Special cases are considered in 2359-2365.
- **2356.** The optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  (the potential optative) may be used as the apodosis of
  - el with the indicative in Simple Present and Past conditions (2300 e),
  - el with the past indicative in Unreal conditions in Homer (rarely in Attic, 2312),
  - el with the future indicative in Emotional Future conditions (2328),
- $\epsilon l$  with the optative in Less Vivid Future conditions (2329). In Present conditions (2353):  $\epsilon l$  λέγοιμι  $\delta r$  supposing I would say, whereas  $\epsilon l$  λέγοιμι means supposing I should say.
  - έάν with the subjunctive in More Vivid Future conditions (2326 d).
- a. When the protasis is a future indicative or a subjunctive, the optative with äν sometimes seems to be merely a mild future and to have no potential force. Thus, ήν οὖν μάθης μοι τὸν ἄδικον τοῦτον λόγον, οὐκ ἄν ἀποδοίην οὐδ' ἀν ὁβολὸν οὐδενί if then you learn this unjust reason for me, I will not pay even an obol to anybody Ar. Nub. 116.
- 2357. The subjunctive of exhortation, prohibition, or deliberation, the optative of wish, and the imperative, may be used as the apodosis of
  - εl with the indicative in Simple Present and Past conditions (2300 c, d, f),
  - el with the future indicative in Emotional Future conditions (2328),
  - έάν with the subjunctive in More Vivid Future conditions (2326 c-e).
- 2358. The unreal indicative with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  may be used as the apodosis of
- a. εl with the indicative in Simple Present and Past conditions (2300 b). So after εl with the future denoting present intention or necessity that something shall be done (2301), as εl γὰρ γυναῖκες εls τόδ' ἤξουσιν θράσους . . . , παρ' οὐδὲν

airais ην αν όλλύναι πόσεις for if women are to reach this height of boldness, it would be as nothing for them to destroy their husbands E. Or. 566.

b. \$\epsilon l\$ with the past indicative in Present and Past Unreal conditions (2302).

el with the Optative, Apodosis a primary tense of the Indicative, etc.

- 2359.  $\epsilon i$  with the optative (instead of  $\epsilon i \nu$  with the subjunctive) is not infrequent in the protasis with a primary tense of the indicative, a subjunctive, or an imperative, in the apodosis. The reference is usually either to general present time (with the present indicative), or to future time. When the apodosis contains a present indicative it frequently precedes the protasis.
- a. Compare the analogous usage in English commonly with should, would: "There is some soul of goodness in things evil, would men observingly distil it" (Shakespeare). "If you should die, my death shall follow yours" (Dryden). "I shall scarcely figure in history, if under my guidance such visitations should accrue" (Disraeli). "If he should kill thee . . ., he has nothing to lose" (Sedley). "But if an happy soil should be withheld . . . think it not beneath thy toil" (Philips).
- 2360. Present Indicative. a. In general statements and maxims. The apodosis is sometimes introduced by a verb requiring the infinitive.

ἀνδρῶν γὰρ σωφρόνων μέν ἐστιν, εἰ μὴ ἀδικοῖντο, ἡσυχάζειν for it is the part of prudent men to remain quiet if they should not be wronged T. 1. 120, εἴ τι τυγχάνοι κακόν, εἰς ὅμματ' εὄνου φωτὸς ἐμβλέψαι γλυκύ (ἐστιν) if any ill betide, 'tis sweet to look into the face of a loyal friend E. Ion 731, τί δεῖ καλῆς γυναικός, εἰ μὴ τὰς φρένας χρηστὰς ἔχοι; what boots the beauty of a woman if she have not a mind that is chaste? E. fr. 212.

- b. The present indicative sometimes has the force of an emphatic future. Thus,  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime} \in \chi \epsilon \iota s$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{\iota} s \epsilon \tau o \dot{\iota} \tau \omega \nu \mu o \dot{\iota} \rho^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\iota} \kappa o \iota \tau o \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$  thou hast all things, should the portion of these honours come to thee Pindar, Isthm. 4 (5). 14. Present and future occur together in Ant. 4. a. 4.
- c. Other examples of the present: Hom. I 318,  $\alpha$  414,  $\epsilon$  484,  $\eta$  51,  $\theta$  138,  $\xi$  56; Hesiod Op. 692 ( $\epsilon$ '  $\kappa\epsilon$ ); Pind. Pyth. 1. 81, 8. 13, Isthm. 2. 33; Bacchylides 5. 187; Hdt. 1. 32; S. Ant. 1032, O. T. 249; E. Hec. 786, fr. 212, 253 (v. l.); T. 2. 39, 3. 9, 4. 59, 6. 86; X. C. 1. 6. 43, H. 6. 3. 5, 6. 5. 52, O. 1. 4, 1. 5; P. A. 19 e, Cr. 46 b, Pr. 316 c, 329 a, b, L. 927 c; Isocr. 14. 39; D. 18. 21, 20. 54, 20. 154, 24. 35; Antiphanes fr. 324.
- **2361.** Future Indicative. εἰ σώσαιμί σ', εἴση μοι χάριν; should I save thee, wilt thou be grateful to me? E. frag. 129, τὶ τῷ πλήθει περιγενήσεται εἰ ποιήσαιμεν ἀ ἐκεῖνοι προστάττουσιν; what profit will there be for the people, if we should do what they enjoin? L. 34.6.
- a. Other examples: Hom. I 388, K 222, T 100 (B 488,  $\rho$  539, &r ( $\kappa\epsilon$ ) with fut. or subj.); Pind. Ol. 13. 105; S. O. T. 851; Ant. 4. a. 4; T. 1.121; P. Meno 80 d, Ph. 91 a, L. 658 c; Isocr. 2. 45, 9. 66; Aristotle, Nic. Eth. 1095 b. 6, 1100 b. 4; Lucian, Timon 15.
  - 2362. Perfect Indicative (very rare). εl... διδάξειεν ώς οί θεοί ἄπαντες τὸν

τοιοῦτον θάνατον ἡγοῦνται άδικον εἶναι, τί μᾶλλον έγὼ μεμάθηκα . . . τί ποτ' ἐστὶν τὸ ὅσιον; if he should prove that all the gods consider such a death unjust, how have I learned anything more of the nature of piety ? P. Euth. 9 c.

- **2363.** Subjunctive (very rare). εὶ δὲ βούλοιό γε, καὶ τὴν μαντικὴν εἶναι συγχωρήσωμεν ἐπιστήμην τοῦ μέλλοντος ἔσεσθαι but if you will, let us agree that mantic too is a knowledge of the future P. Charm. 173 c. Cp. X. O. 8. 10;  $\Lambda$  386 (ἄν with subj.),  $\Psi$  893,  $\delta$  388 (?).
- **2364.** Imperative. εἴ τις τάδε παραβαίνοι . . . , ἐναγης ἔστω if any one transgresses these injunctions, let him be accursed Aes. 3. 110 (quoted from an ancient imprecation), τὸ μὲν δη ἀργύριον, εἰ μή τις ἐπίσταιτο αὐτῷ χρῆσθαι, οὕτω πόρρω ἀπωθείσθω ὤστε μηδὲ χρήματα εἶναι but as regards money then, if a man does not know how to use it, let him remove it so far from his consideration as not to be regarded even as property X. O. 1. 14. Cp. P. Hipp. M. 297 e, L. 642 a.
- **2365.** An unreal indicative in conjunction with  $\epsilon i$  and the optative is very rare.

el μèν γὰρ els γυναῖκα σωφρονεστέρᾶν ξίφος μεθεῖμεν, δυσκλεῆς ἄν ἢν φόνος (for ἄν είη) for if we should draw the sword upon a purer woman, foul were the murder E. Or. 1132. Cp. L. 10. 8, X. C. 2. 1. 9 (text doubtful) and X. Ven. 12. 22, P. Alc. 1, 111 e, Lyc. 66.

#### TWO OR MORE PROTASES OR APODOSES IN ONE SENTENCE

- 2366. A conditional sentence may have several protases and one apodosis or one protasis and several apodoses. Two such protases or apodoses are coordinate or one of the two is subordinate to the other.
- 2367. Two coördinated protases with a single apodosis, or two coördinated apodoses with a single protasis, may refer to the same time or to different times.
- εὶ δὲ μήτ' ἔστι (τι βέλτιον) μήτ' ἢν μήτ' ἀν εἰπεῖν ἔχοι μηδείς μηδέπω καὶ τήμερον, τί τὸν σύμβουλον ἐχρῆν ποιεῖν; but if there neither is nor was any better plan, and if yet even to-day no one can suggest any, what was it the duty of the statesman to do? D. 18. 190, καὶ γὰρ ἄν καὶ ὑπερφυὲς εἶη, εἶ κατὰ μὲν τῶν Ὁλυνθους προδόντων πολλὰ καὶ δείν' ἔψηφίσασθε, τοὺς δὲ παρ' ὑμῖν αὐτοῖς άδικοῦντας μὴ κολάζοντες φαίνοισθε and in fact it would be actually monstrous if, whereas you have passed many severe votes against the betrayers of the Olynthians, you appear not to punish the wrongdoers in your midst D. 19. 267, εἰ ἐγὼ ἐπεχείρησα πράττειν τὰ πολῖτικὰ πράγματα, πάλαι ἀν ἀπολώλη καὶ οὖτ' ἀν ἐμάς ὑφελήκη οὐδὲν οὕτ' ἀν ἐμαυτόν if I had tried to engage in politics, I should have long ago perished and benefited neither you nor myself at all P. A. 31 d.
- 2368. When two or more protases are not coördinated in the same sentence, one is of chief importance and any other protasis is subordinate to it. Such protases may follow each other or one may be added after the apodosis; and may show the same or a different modal form.

άξιοῦμεν, εἰ μέν τινα ὁρᾶτε σωτηρίαν ἡμῖν (ἐσομένην), ἐἀν διακαρτερῶμεν πολεμοῦντες, διδάξαι καὶ ἡμᾶς κτλ. if you see any safety for us if we persist in making war, we beg that you will inform us too what it is  $\mathbf{X}$ . H. 7. 4. 8 (here ἐἀν διακαρτερῶμεν

depends on  $\epsilon i \ \delta \rho \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$ );  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} a \pi \rho \dot{\delta} s \ \lambda \bar{\nu} \pi \eta \rho \dot{a} \ (i \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} s)$ ,  $\epsilon \dot{a} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \dot{a} \ \dot{a} \nu \iota \bar{a} \rho \dot{a} \ \dot{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ται ὑπὸ τῶν ἡδέων, ἐάν τε τὰ ἐγγὺς ὑπὸ τῶν πόρρω ἐάν τε τὰ πόρρω ὑπὸ τῶν ἐγγύς, ταύτην την πρώξιν πρώκτέρν έν ή αν ταῦτ' ένη · έὰν δὲ τὰ ἡδέα ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνιᾶρῶν, οὐ πρᾶκτέα but if you weigh pleasures against pains, if on the one hand what is painful is exceeded by what is pleasurable (whether the near by the distant or the distant by the near), you must adopt that course of action in which this is the case; if on the other hand the pleasurable (is exceeded) by the painful, the former must not be adopted P. Pr. 356 b (here to ἐαν ἡδέα ἰστῆς are subordinated ἐαν μέν and έὰν δέ, and to έὰν μέν are subordinated ἐάν τε . . . ἐάν τε); εἰ δέ σε ἠρόμην ἐξ ἀρχῆς τί έστι καλόν τε και αισχρόν, εί μοι άπερ νῦν ἀπεκρίνω. ἆρ' οὐκ ἂν ὀρθώς ἀπεκέκρισο: but if I had asked you at the start what beauty and unliness is - if you had answered me as you have now done, would you not have answered me rightly? P. Hipp. M. 289 c; ην μέν πόλεμον αίρησθε, μηκέτι ήκετε δεύρο άνευ δπλων, εί σωφρονείτε if you choose war, come no more hither without arms if you are wise X. C. 3. 2. 13, εί μετά θηβαίων ήμιν άγωνιζομένοις ούτως είμαρτο πράξαι, τί χρήν προσδοκάν εί μηδε τούτους έσγομεν συμμάγους άλλα Φιλίππω προσέθεντο; if it was decreed by fate that we should fare thus with the Thebans fighting on our side, what ought we to have expected if we had not even secured them as allies but they had joined Philip ? D. 18, 195.

a. A second protasis may be added to the first protasis to explain or define it. Thus,  $\kappa al$  or  $\tau o \delta \tau o \delta \epsilon \omega r$  exposure  $\delta \mu \omega r$  expans  $\delta \mu \omega r$  exposure  $\delta$ 

#### CONCESSIVE CLAUSES

- **2369.** Concessive clauses are commonly formed by  $\kappa \alpha i$  in conjunction with the  $\epsilon i$  or  $\epsilon \delta \nu$  of conditional clauses:  $\kappa \alpha i \epsilon i (\kappa \epsilon l)$ ,  $\kappa \alpha i \epsilon \delta \nu (\kappa \delta \nu)$  even if,  $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha i$ ,  $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha i$  although.
- 2370. Such concessive clauses are conditional, but indicate that the condition which they introduce may be granted without destroying the conclusion. The apodosis of concessive clauses thus has an adversative meaning, *i.e.* it states what is regarded as true notwith-standing  $(\delta\mu\omega s)$  what is assumed in the protasis.
- 2371. Concessive clauses have the construction of conditional clauses. The protasis, if negative, takes  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
- 2372. Rai & (even if) clauses. Rai & commonly implies that the conclusion must be true or must take place even in the extreme, scarcely conceivable, case which these words introduce (even supposing that, even in the case that). In such cases the speaker does not grant that the alleged condition really exists. On  $\kappa a \nu$  & see 1766 b.
  - κεί μη πέποιθα, τοδργον έστ' έργαστέον even if I have no confidence, yet the

deed must be done A. Ch. 296, καὶ έὰν μὴ ἡμεῖς παρακελευώμεθα, (ἡ πόλις) ἰκανῶς ἐπιμελήσεται and even if we do not use exhortations, the city will take sufficient care P. Menex. 248 d, γελῷ δ' ὁ μῶρος, κἄν τι μὴ γελοῖον ἡ the fool laughs even if there is nothing to laugh at Men. Sent. 108, Μῦσοῖς βασιλεύς πολλούς μὲν ἡγεμόνας ᾶν δοίη . . ., καὶ εἰ σὸν τεθρίπποις βούλοιντο ἀπιέναι the king would give many guides to the Mysians even supposing they should want to depart with four-horse chariots X. A. 3. 2. 24.

- 2373. The καί of καὶ el may mean simply and, as κεὶ τάδ' είσεται Κρέων and if Creon learns this S. Ant. 229.
- 2374. Some scholars hold that the difference between  $\kappa al \epsilon l$  and  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa al$  is that  $\kappa al$   $\epsilon l$  concedes a supposition and is used of an assumed fact, while  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa al$  concedes a fact and is used of an actual fact. But this distinction cannot be supported.  $\kappa al$   $\epsilon l$  sometimes differs from  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa al$  only in being more emphatic. When an actual fact is referred to, we expect  $\epsilon l$   $\kappa al$   $\epsilon l$  sometimes occurs, as  $l \sigma \omega s$   $\tau oldow s$ ,  $\epsilon l$   $\beta h (\pi o v \tau a \mu)$  ' $\pi b (\theta o v)$ ,  $\theta a v b v \tau$ '  $\tilde{a} v$   $\theta l \mu (\delta \xi \epsilon l a v)$  perhaps, though they did not miss him when alive, they will lament him now that he is dead S. Aj. 962, cp.  $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \delta o v$ ,  $\kappa \epsilon l$   $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v$   $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{b}$  although it is in no wise sweet, I must obey S. O. T. 1516.
- 2375. et καί (although) clauses.—et καί commonly admits that a condition exists (granting that), but does not regard it as a hindrance. The condition, though it exists, is a matter of no moment so far as the statement in the principal clause is concerned.
- el καὶ τυραννεῖς king though thou art S. O. T. 408, πόλιν μέν, εἰ καὶ μὴ βλέπεις, φρονεῖς δ' ὅμως οἴα νόσφ σύνεστιν though thou canst not see, thou yet dost feel with what a plague our city is afflicted S. O. T. 302, εἰ καὶ τφ σμῖκρότερον δοκεῖ εἶναι although it seems too unimportant to some P. Lach. 182 c.
- **2376.** The verb is omitted in  $\epsilon i$  kal  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda o i \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$   $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$  though the expression be ridiculous P. A. 30 c (cp. 944).
- 2377. The kal of  $\epsilon l$  kal may go closely with a following word. Here the meaning is either also or indeed; as  $\epsilon l$  kal durho $\epsilon l$   $\gamma \epsilon$  if thou shalt also be able (besides having the will) S. Ant. 90,  $\delta \epsilon l$   $\nu \epsilon l$   $\gamma \epsilon$  if thou shalt also be able (besides having the will) S. Ant. 90,  $\delta \epsilon l$   $\nu \epsilon l$   $\gamma \epsilon$  if  $\alpha s$ ,  $\epsilon l$  kal  $\gamma \epsilon l$   $\alpha s$  a strange thing truly hast thou uttered, if, though slain, thou indeed livest S. Aj. 1127. Where trajection is assumed ( $\epsilon l$   $\mu l$  kal for  $\epsilon l$  kal  $\mu l$ ) the kal is intensive, as  $\epsilon l$   $\mu l$  kal  $\nu \ell l$   $\nu l$  kal  $\nu l$   $\nu l$  kal  $\nu l$  k
- 2378. εἰ (ἐἄν) καί not infrequently means even if in prose as well as poetry. εἴλετο μᾶλλον συνειδέναι ὑμᾶς, ἴν', εἰ καὶ βούλοιτο κακὸς εἶναι, μὴ ἐξείη αὐτῷ he preferred rather that you should know of it, in order that, even if he should wish to be base, it might not be possible L. 20. 23, ἀλλὶ εἰ καὶ μηδὲν τούτων ὑπῆρχεν ἡμῖν, οὐδὶ ὡς χαλεπόν ἐστι γνῶναι περὶ ἀὐτῶν ὁπότεροι τάληθῆ λέγουσιν but even if I had none of these points to rely on, even so it is not difficult to find out which tells the truth D. 41.15. Cp. also Ant. 5. 27, And. 1. 21, L. 31. 20; Is. 11. 23, D. 16. 24, Aes. 3. 211. εἰ καὶ for καὶ εἰ is especially common in Isocrates, who does not use καὶ εἰ or κεὶ except in 21. 11. Demosthenes is not fond of καὶ εἰ, and often substitutes κἄν εἰ for it (19. 282, 24. 109, 45. 12). Cp. 1766 b.
  - **2379**.  $\epsilon l \left( \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \right)$  sometimes has a concessive force (X. Eq. 1.17).  $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho \left( \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \right)$

has, rarely in Attic, a sort of concessive meaning (P. Euth. 4 b), and especially when the truth of a statement is implicitly denied or doubted. Cp. L. 16. 8.

- **2380.**  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{i}$ , usually with a following  $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}$ , is sometimes translated although, where a speaker is strictly giving the reason for his statement of a fact (or for something in that statement) and not for the fact itself. Here there is a thought in the speaker's mind which is suppressed. Thus,  $al\sigma\chi\bar{\nu}\nuol\mu\eta\nu\,\dot{a}\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$   $\tau al\sigma\chi\bar{\nu}\nuol\mu\eta\nu\,\dot{a}\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$   $\tau al\sigma\chi\bar{\nu}\nuol\mu\eta\nu\,\dot{a}\nu\,\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$  to acknowledge this (and I say this for myself) since there are many men who do assert it P. Pr. 333 c.
- 2381. Negative concessive clauses have  $o\dot{v}\delta'$   $(\mu\eta\delta')$   $\epsilon\dot{t}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$  not even if. Here not  $(o\dot{v}, \mu\eta^-)$  belongs to the leading clause, while even  $(-\delta\dot{\epsilon}, \nu\eta)$  belongs to the dependent clause. The negative is frequently repeated in the leading clause.

οὐδ' εἰ πάντες ἔλθοιεν Πέρσαι, πλήθει οὐχ ὑπερβαλοίμεθ' αν τοὺς πολεμίους even if all the Persians should come, we should not exceed the enemy in numbers X. C. 2. 1. 8, μη θορυβήσητε, μηδ' ἐὰν δόξω τι ὑμῖν μέγα λέγειν do not raise a disturbance, even if I seem to you to be speaking presumptuously P. A. 20 e. Cp. 2382.

2382. The idea of concession or opposition is often expressed by the participle alone (2066) or by the participle with  $\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho$  or  $\kappa \alpha i \tau \alpha i \nu \tau \alpha$  (2083). The negative is oi. In negative concessive sentences we find also the participle with oiδέ (μηδέ), οiδέ (μηδέ) περ.

οὐδὲ πεπονθώς κακῶς ἐχθρὸν εἶναί μοι τοῦτον ὁμολογῶ not even though I have been ill-treated do I admit that he is my enemy D. 21. 205, γυναικὶ πείθου μηδὲ τάληθῆ κλύων listen to a woman, even if thou dost not hear the truth E. ir. 440.

#### TEMPORAL CLAUSES

2383. Temporal clauses are introduced by conjunctions or relative expressions having the force of conjunctions

A. Denoting time usually the same as that of the principal verb: ὅτε, ὁπότε, ἡνίκα, ὁπηνίκα when; ὁσάκις as often as; ἔως, μέχρι (rarely ἄχρι), ὅσον χρόνον so long as; ἔως, ἐν ῷ (rarely ἐν ὅσφ and ἔστε) while.

- N. 1.— we means so long as in reference to actions that are coëxtensive; while, in reference to actions not coëxtensive.
- N. 2. ἡνίκα, ὁπηνίκα have the force of what time, at the moment when, when, (rarely while), and are more precise than  $\delta\tau\epsilon$ .
- N. 3. Poetic or Ionic are  $\epsilon \delta \tau \epsilon$  (=  $\delta \tau \epsilon$ ) when,  $\hat{\eta} \mu os$  (only with the indicative) when,  $\delta \pi os$  when ( $\delta \kappa os$  in Hdt. of antecedent action),  $\delta \phi \rho a$  so long as. Hom. has  $\epsilon los$  (i.e.  $\hat{\eta} os$ ) or  $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} os$  for  $\tilde{\epsilon} os$ .
  - N.4.— $\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon$  is used (rarely) in lyric, Sophocles, Euripides, Herodotus, Xenophon.
  - B. Denoting time usually prior to that of the principal verb:

ἐπεί, ἐπειδή after, after that (less exactly when); ἐπεὶ πρῶτον, ὡς (or ἐπεὶ) τάχιστα, ἐπειδὴ τάχιστα (rarely ὅπως τάχιστα) as soon as; ἐξ οῦ (rarely ἐξ ὧν), ἐξ ὅτον, ἀφ' οῦ since, ever since; ὡς when, as soon as, since,

N. — ἐπείτε after is very common in Herodotus.

- C. Denoting time subsequent to that of the principal verb:
- $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega_s$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota$ ,  $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota$  οδ (rarely  $\tilde{a}\chi\rho\iota$ ),  $\tilde{a}\chi\rho\iota$  οδ until: followed by a finite verb.
- πρίν, πρότερον ή before, until: followed by a finite verb or by an infinitive.
- N. Homer has also  $\mathring{o}\phi \rho \alpha$  (also final),  $\mathring{e}$  is  $\mathring{o}\tau \epsilon$  ( $\kappa \epsilon$ ),  $\mathring{e}$  is  $\mathring{o}$  ( $\kappa \epsilon$ ). Herodotus has  $\mathring{e}$   $\mathring{o}$ ,  $\mathring{e}$  so  $\mathring{o}$ ,  $\mathring{e}$  is  $\mathring{o}$  until.  $\mathring{o}\pi\pi\acute{o}\tau \epsilon$  with the optative in Homer after a past tense of a verb of waiting or expecting means for the time when (H 414).  $\mathring{e}\sigma\tau \epsilon$  (first in Hesiod) is rare in lyric, tragedy, Herodotus, and Plato, very common in Xenophon.  $\mu\acute{e}\chi\rho\iota$  is avoided by the orators.  $\mu\acute{e}\chi\rho\iota$  and  $\mathring{a}\chi\rho\iota$  take the articular infinitive in Demosthenes.  $\tau\acute{e}\omega$ s for  $\mathring{e}\omega$ s is rare (2171).
- - 2385. Some temporal conjunctions also denote cause:
- ὄτε, ὁπότε, ἐπεί, εὖτε (poet.), ἐπειδή since, whereas, ὡς because. ὡς means also as, as to, rarely, in prose, in order that. ἔως in Homer has in part become a final conjunction (2419); for the Attic use, see 2420.
- 2386. A temporal sentence and a conditional sentence may occur in close conjunction without marked difference of signification.
- ὅταν δὲ νοσήσωσιν, ὑγιεῖς γενόμενοι σψζονται 'ἐάν τέ τις ἄλλη συμφορὰ καταλαμβάνη αὐτούς, τὰ ἐναντία ἐπιγιγνόμενα ὀνίνησιν whenever they fall ill, they are saved by regaining their health; and if ever any other calamity overtakes them, the reversal to prosperity that follows is to their benefit Ant. 2.  $\beta$ . 1.
- 2387. A temporal conjunction is often used in Greek where English employs a conditional or a concessive conjunction.
- οὐκ ἄν ἔγωγε Κρονίονος ἆσσον ἰκοίμην, . . . ὅτε μὴ αὐτός γε κελεύοι I would not draw nearer to Cronus' son unless (lit. when not) he should himself bid me Ξ 248.
- 2388. The time denoted by a temporal clause is not always solely contemporaneous, antecedent, or subsequent to that of the principal clause, but may overlap with the time of the principal clause (before and at the same time, at the same time and after, until and after).
- έπεὶ δὲ ἡσθένει Δᾶρεῖος καὶ ὑπώπτευε τελευτὴν τοῦ βίον, ἐβούλετο τὼ παῖδε παρεῖναι when Darius was ill and suspected that his life was coming to an end, he wished his two sons to be with him X. A. 1. 1. 1 (here the situation set forth by ἡσθένει and ὑπώπτευε occurred both before and after the time indicated in ἐβούλετο), τοιαῦτα ἐποίει ἕως διεδίδου πάντα ὰ ἔλαβε κρέᾶ he kept doing thus until he saw that (and so long as) he was distributing all the meat he had received X. C. 1. 3. 7 (the imperfect is rare with ἕως or πρίν until), ὁ δ΄ ἕν τε τῷ παρόντι πρὸς τὰ μηνθματα ἀπελογεῖτο καὶ ἐτοῖμος ἣν πρὶν ἐκπλεῖν κρίνεσθαι he both defended himself then and there against the charges and offered to he tried before he sailed T. 6. 29.
- a. Conjunctions of antecedent action usually take the agrist, rarely the imperfect except when that tense represents overlapping action, as in T. 5.72.3.. Cp. T. 1.13.5 with 1.5.1.

- b. A verb of acristic action is used: in the temporal clause when complete priority, in the main clause when complete subsequence, is to be clearly marked.
- 2389. Clauses introduced by relative adverbs (or conjunctions) of time, have, in general, the same constructions as clauses introduced by relative pronouns (340, 2493 ff.) and by relative adverbs of place and manner. Temporal clauses are treated separately for the sake of clearness.
- a. Temporal clauses introduced by a word meaning *until* differ from ordinary conditional relatives in some respects, as in the use of the optative in implied indirect discourse (2408, 2420); and in the frequency of the absence of  $d\nu$  (2402).
- b. Strictly  $\delta\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon\nu\theta a$ ,  $\omega s$ , etc., are subordinating conjunctions when the clause introduced by them fixes the time, place, or manner of the main clause; but are relative adverbs when they serve only to define the antecedent and introduce clause merely supplementary to the main clause.
  - 2390. Temporal clauses are either definite or indefinite.
- **2391.** A temporal clause is definite when the action occurs at a definite point of time (negative  $o\dot{v}$ , except when the special construction requires  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ). Definite temporal clauses usually refer to the present or to the past.
- 2392. A temporal clause is indefinite when the action (1) occurs in the indefinite future, (2) recurs an indefinite number of times, (3) continues for an indefinite period. The same clause may have more than one of these meanings. (3) is rare. The negative is  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ . Indefinite temporal clauses refer either to the future or to general present or past time.
- 2393. The same temporal conjunction may refer either to definite or to indefinite time; sometimes with a difference of meaning.
- 2394. When the time is definite, the indicative is used; when indefinite, the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , the optative, or (rarely) the indicative.

Temporal conjunctions with the subjunctive take  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . (For exceptions, see 2402, 2412, 2444 b.)  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is not used with the optative except when the optative is potential, 2406, 2421 (cp. 2452).

# INDICATIVE TEMPORAL CLAUSES REFERRING TO PRESENT OR PAST TIME

- 2395. Present or past temporal clauses take the indicative when the action is marked as a *fact* and refers to a definite occasion (negative ov). The principal clause commonly has the indicative, but may take any form of the simple sentence.
- A. Temporal clauses denoting the same time as that of the principal verb (2383 A).

ὅτε ταῦτα ἢν, σχεδὸν μέσαι ἢσαν νύκτες it was about midnight when this was taking place X. A. 3. 1. 33, cp. 1. 1. 1, cited in 2388, ἡνίκα δὲ δείλη ἐγίγνετο, ἐφάνη κονιορτός but when it was getting to be afternoon, a cloud of dust appeared 1. 8. 8, μέχρι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου ἡγοῦντο, προθύμως εἰπόμεθα as long as they led on equal terms we followed willingly T. 3. 10, ὅσον χρόνον ἐκαθέζετο . . . ἀμφὶ τὴν περὶ τὸ φρούριον οἰκονομίαν, . . . ἀπῆγον ἵππους as long as he was employed with regulations about the fortress, they kept bringing horses X. C. 5. 3. 25, ἐν ῷ ὡπλίζοντο, ἦκον . . . οἱ σκοποί while they were arming, the scouts came X. A. 2. 2. 15, ἔως ἐστὶ καιρός, ἀντιλάβεσθε τῶν πρᾶγμάτων ' while there is opportunity, take our public policy in hand' D. 1. 20.

N. μέμνημαι, οἶδα, ἀκούω often take ὅτε when instead of ὅτι that. Thus, μέμνημαι ὅτε ἐγὼ πρὸς σὲ ἦλθον I remember when (that) I came to you X.C.1.6.12. ἡνίκα (and ἦμος in poetry) has a similar use. οἶδα ὅτε, ἀκούω ὅτε are probably due to the analogy of μέμνημαι ὅτε, originally I remember (the moment) when.

B. Temporal clauses denoting time *prior* to that of the principal verb (2383 B).

έπεὶ δ' ἐξῆλθεν, ἐξήγγειλε τοῖς φίλοις τὴν κρίσιν τοῦ 'Ορόντα ὡς ἐγένετο but after he came out, he announced to his friends how the trial of Orontas had resulted X. A. 1.6. 5 (observe that the aorist, and not the pluperfect, is commonly used to denote time previous to that of the main verb; cp. 1943), ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἐτελεύτησε Δαρεῖος . . . , Τισσαφέρνης διαβάλλει τὸν Κῦρον after Darius died Tissaphernes calumniated Cyrus 1. 1. 3, ὡς τάχιστα ἐως ὑπέφαινεν, ἐθὸντο as soon as daylight indistinctly appeared, they sacrificed 4. 3. 9, ἐξ οῦ φίλος εἶναι προσποιεῖται, ἐκ τούτου ὑμᾶς ἐξηπάτηκεν ever since Philip pretended to be friendly, from that time on he had deceived you D. 23. 193. (On ever since expressed by the dative of the participle, see 1498.)

C. Temporal clauses denoting time subsequent to that of the principal verb (2383 C).

ξμειναν ξως ἀφίκοντο οἱ στρατηγοὶ they waited until the generals arrived X. H. 1.1.29, λοιδοροῦσι τὸν Σωτηρίδαν ἔστε ἡνάγκασαν . . . . πορεύεσθαι they kept reviling Soteridas until they forced him to march on X. A. 3.4.49, καὶ ταῦτα ἐποίουν μέχρι σκότος ἐγένετο and they kept doing this until darkness came on 4.2.4, τοὺς Καλίννας ἀπελόσατο δουλείας ὥστ' ἐλευθέρους εἶναι μέχρι οῦ πάλιν αὐτοὶ αὐτοὺς κατεδουλώσαντο she released the Greeks from slavery so as to be free until they enslaved themselves P. Menex. 245 a.

**2396.** When the principal verb is a past indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  and denotes non-fulfilment, a temporal clause has, by assimilation of mood, a past tense of the indicative denoting non-fulfilment.

όπηνίκ' έφαίνετο ταθτα πεποιηκώς . . ., ώμολογεῖτ' ἂν ἡ κατηγορία τοῖς ἔργοις αὐτοῦ if it appeared that he had ever done this, his form of accusation would tally with his acts D. 18. 14 (here whenever would make the condition ambiguous), έβασάνιζον ἂν μέχρι οὖ αὐτοῖς ἐδόκει they would have kept questioning them under torture as long as they pleased 53. 25, οὖκ αν ἐπαυόμην . . . ἔως ἀπεπειράθην τῆς σοφίας ταντησί I would not cease until I had made trial of this wisdom P. Crat. 396 c. See 2185 b.

2397. The negative is  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  only when the temporal relation is regarded as conditional.

όπότε τὸ δίκαιον μὴ οίδα, ὅ ἐστι, σχολŷ εἴσομαι εἴτε ἀρετή τις οὕσα τυγχάνει εἴτε καὶ οὕ when (if) I do not know what justice is, I am scarcely likely to know whether it is or is not a virtue P. R. 354 c.

#### TEMPORAL CLAUSES REFERRING TO THE FUTURE

2398. The future indicative is rarely used in temporal clauses; and when used refers to definite time.

τηνικαῦτα . . . ὅτε οὐδ' ὅ τι χρὴ ποιεῖν ἔξετε at that time, when you will not be able to do even what is necessary D. 19. 262.

- a. The future is rare because that tense does not usually make clear the difference between action continuing and action simply occurring in the future.  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\tau\epsilon}$  with the future indicative has thus been almost entirely displaced by  $\ddot{\sigma}_{\tau\alpha\nu}$  with the subjunctive.
  - b. For the future with  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$  in  $\theta$  318 the subjunctive is probably correct.
- **2399.** Temporal clauses referring indefinitely to the future take either the subjunctive with  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  or the optative without  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$ .
- a. The addition of ἄν produces the forms ὅταν, ὁπόταν; ἐπάν, ἐπήν (both rare in Attic), ἐπειδάν. ἕως ἄν, μέχρι ἄν, ἔστ' ἄν mean as long as or until. ὡς when scarcely ever takes ἄν (for ὡς ἄν while ἔως ἄν is read in S. Aj. 1117, Ph. 1330).
- b. The temporal conjunctions have here, in general, the same constructions as conditional  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$  or  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}$ . Thus  $\dot{o}\pi\dot{o}\tau a\nu = \dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$   $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\dot{o}\pi\dot{o}\tau\dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\epsilon}'$   $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ .
- **2400.** The present marks the action as continuing (not completed), the acrist marks the action as simply occurring (completed). The present usually sets forth an action contemporaneous with that of the leading verb; the acrist, an action antecedent to that of the leading verb.
- a. The present may denote time antecedent when the verb has no acrist, and in the case of some other verbs: Thus, ( $\delta$   $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu$ os)  $\delta$ s  $\lambda\bar{\nu}\pi\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$   $\epsilon\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau$ or,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\delta\nu$   $\pi\alpha\rho\hat{\eta}$  the war which will afflict every one when it comes D.6.35,  $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\iota\delta\nu$  akoving...  $\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho$ ovs krivovtas,  $\tau\iota$  kal  $\pi$ oι $\eta\sigma\eta$ ; when he hears that they are prosecuting other men, what should he then do ? 19.138.

#### FUTURE TEMPORAL CLAUSES WITH THE SUBJUNCTIVE

**2401.** Temporal clauses referring to the future take the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  in sentences corresponding to more vivid future conditions. The principal clause has the future indicative or any form of the verb referring to the future except the simple optative. The negative is  $\mu\hat{\eta}$ .

ήνίκα δ' άν τις ὑμᾶς ἀδικῆ, ἡμεῖς ὑπὲρ ὑμῶν μαχούμεθα but when any one wrongs you, we will fight in your defence X.C.4.4.11, ὅταν μὴ σθένω, πεπαύσομαι when my strength fails, I shull cease S. Ant. 91, ἐπειδὰν ἄπαντ' ἀκούσητε, κρίνατε when you have heard everything, decide D.4.14, ἐμοὶ . . . δοκεῖ, ἐπὰν τάχιστα ἀριστήσωμεν, ἰέναι in my judgment we must go as soon as we have breakfasted X.A.4.

- 6.9, μέχρι δ' ὰν ἐγὰ ῆκω, αὶ σπονδαὶ μενόντων but until I return, let the armistice continue 2.3.24, λέξω . . . ἔως ὰν ἀκούειν βούλησθε I will speak so long as you wish to listen D. 21. 130, περιμένετε ἔστ' ὰν ἐγὰ ἔλθω wait until I come X. A. 5. 1. 4, μὴ ἀναμείνωμεν ἔως ὰν πλείους ἡμῶν γένωνται let us not wait until the enemy outnumbers us X. C. 3. 3. 46, οὐκ ἀναμένομεν (present as emphatic future) ἔως ὰν ἡ ἡμετέρᾶ χώρᾶ κακῶται we do not wait until our land shall be ravaged 3. 3. 18. The present subjunctive is rare with ἔως until, and marks overlapping action (here = ἕως ὰν ἱδωμεν κακουμένην).
- **2402.** The subjunctive without  $\mathring{a}_{\nu}$  ( $\kappa \acute{e}$ ) is sometimes found in poetry and in Herodotus; in Attic prose only with  $\mu \acute{e} \chi \rho \iota$ ,  $\mu \acute{e} \chi \rho \iota$  où until (and  $\pi \rho \iota \nu$ , 2444 b). Thus,  $\acute{e} \beta o \acute{\nu} \lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$  despids a  $\acute{v} \tau o \grave{v}$  où  $\acute{v} \iota \iota \dot{\nu} \epsilon v \mu \beta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$  they decided to guard them in fetters until they should reach some agreement T.4.41. The omission of  $\check{a}_{\nu}$  is more common after temporal conjunctions than after  $\acute{e} \iota$  (2327 a) and in writers later than Homer lends an archaic colouring to the style.
- **2403.** The principal clause may be a potential optative, which is at times nearly equivalent to the future:  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau \eta\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\rho\dot{\eta}\nu\eta\nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ s  $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\epsilon\dot{\iota}s$  ' $A\theta\eta\nu a\dot{\iota}\omega\nu$   $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}l\pi\eta\tau a\iota$ ,  $o\dot{\nu}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\tau$ '  $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\sigma\nu\mu\beta o\nu\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\sigma a\iota\mu\iota$   $\pi o\dot{\mu}\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta a\iota$   $\tau\dot{\eta}$   $\pi\dot{\nu}\lambda\epsilon\iota$  so long as a single Athenian is left, I never would recommend the city to make peace D. 19.14.

# FUTURE TEMPORAL CLAUSES WITH THE OPTATIVE

**2404.** Temporal clauses referring to the future in sentences corresponding to less vivid future conditions usually take the optative without  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ . An optative referring to the future stands in the principal clause (2186 b). The negative is  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ .

τεθναίην, ὅτε μοι μηκέτι ταῦτα μέλοι may I die, when I shall no longer care for these delights Mimnermus 1. 2, πεινῶν φάγοι ἀν ὁπότε βούλοιτο when hungry he would eat whenever he wished X. M. 2. 1. 18, εἰ δὲ βούλοιο τῶν φίλων τινὰ προτρέψασθαι, ὁπότε ἀποδημοίης, ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῶν σῶν, τί ἀν ποιοίης; should you desire to induce one of your friends to care for your interests when you were away from home, what would you do? 2. 3. 12, δέοιτό γ' ἀν αὐτοῦ μένειν, ἔως ἀπέλθοις he would beg him to remain until you should depart X. C. 5. 3. 13 (here the temporal clause depends on μένειν, itself dependent on δέοιτο ἄν).

- **2405.** The optative with άν (κέ) in Homer, where Attic would have the simple optative, is potential or virtually equivalent to a future. Thus, αὐτίκα γάρ με κατακτείνειεν Αχιλλεὐς . . . , <math>έπην γόου έξ έρον είην for let Achilles slay me forthwith, when I have satisfied my desire for lamentation Ω 227. Cp. I 304, δ 222, ξως κε β 78 (potential), εἰς δ κε 0 70 (elsewhere this expression always takes the subjunctive in Homer).
- **2406.** The potential optative or indicative (with  $a\nu$ ) having its proper force may appear in temporal clauses (cp. 2353).
- φυλάξας . . . τὸν χειμῶν' ἐπιχειρεῖ, ἡνίκ' ἀν ἡμεῖς μὴ δυναίμεθ' ἐκεῖσ' ἀφικέσθαι by watching for winter to set in he begins his operations when we are unable (he thinks) to reach the spot D. 4.31. Cp. 2405.
  - 2407. The principal clause rarely has the present or future indica-

tive, when the temporal clause has the optative without  $a\nu$  (cp. 2360, 2361, 2573 b, c).

φρονήσεως δεί πολλής πρὸς τοὺς πολύ πλείους . . ., ὁπότε καιρὸς παραπέσοι when the critical moment arrives, he must have great judgment to cope with forces much more numerous than his own X. Hipp. 7. 4, αἰπύ οἱ ἐσσεῖται . . . νῆας ἐνιπρῆσαι, ὅτε μὴ αὐτός γε Κρονίων ἐμβάλοι αἰθόμενον δᾶλὸν νήεσσι hard will it be for him to fire the ships unless (when . . . not) Kronion himself hurl upon the ships a blazing brand N 317.

- a. Homer has  $\[ \alpha \nu \]$  with the subjunctive; as oùx  $\[ \alpha \nu \]$  to imposing klapis...,  $\[ \delta \tau' \]$  en konings  $\[ \mu \nu \gamma \in l \eta \]$  thy eithern will not avail thee when thou grovellest in the dust  $\[ \Gamma \]$  55.
- **2408.** After a secondary tense introducing indirect discourse (real or implied) the optative may represent the subjunctive with  $\check{a}\nu$  as the form in which the thought was conceived.

παρήγγειλαν, ἐπειδὴ δειπνήσαιεν . . . πάντας ἀναπαύεσθαι καὶ ἔπεσθαι ἡνίκ' ἄν τις παραγγέλλη they issued orders that, when they had supped, all should rest and follow when any one should give the command (= ἐπειδὰν δειπνήσητε . . . ἀναπαύεσθε) Χ. Α. 3. 5. 18, ἐπιμεῖναι κελεύσαντες ἔστε βουλεύσαιντο, ἐθύοντο ordering them to wait until they had taken counsel, they proceeded to sacrifice (= ἐπιμείναι ε ἔστ' ὰν βουλευσώμεθα) 5. 5. 2, ἔδοξεν αὐτοῖς . . . προϊέναι . . . , ἔως Κύρψ συμμείξειαν they resolved to keep advancing until they should join Cyrus (= προῖωμεν ἕως ὰν συμμείξωμεν) 2. 1. 2.

### TEMPORAL CLAUSES IN GENERIC SENTENCES

- **2409.** If the leading verb denotes a repeated or customary action or a general truth, a temporal clause takes the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  after primary tenses, the optative after secondary tenses. The negative is  $u\hat{n}$ . Cp. 2336.
- a. A present tense denotes action continuing (not completed) and is of the same time as that of the leading verb; an acrist tense denotes action simply occurring (completed) and time usually antecedent to that of the leading verb when the action of the dependent clause takes place before the action of the main clause. In clauses of contemporaneous action the acrist denotes the same time as that of the main verb; in clauses of subsequent action, time later than that of the main verb.
- b.  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$  is rare in these temporal clauses (Hdt. 1.17, 4.172;  $\ddot{\delta}\kappa\omega_{S}$  with the optative occurs in 1.17, 1.68).
  - c. On Homeric similes with ωs ὅτε, ωs ὁπότε, see 2486.
- **2410.** In temporal sentences of indefinite frequency the temporal clause has the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  when the principal clause has the present indicative, or any other tense denoting a present customary or repeated action or a general truth. Cp. 2337.

μαινόμεθα πάντες όπόταν όργιζώμεθα we are all mad whenever we are angry Philemon 184, φωνή τις, ή, ὅταν γένηται, ἀεὶ ἀποτρέπει με a kind of voice which, GREEK GRAM.— 35

whenever it comes, always deters me P. A. 31 d, ὅταν σπεύδη τις αὐτός, χώ θεὸς συνάπτεται whenever a man is eager himself, God too works with him A. Pers. 742, ἕως ἃν σήζηται τὸ σκάφος . . . , χρὴ καὶ ναύτην καὶ κυβερνήτην . . . προθύμους εἶναι . . . , ἐπειδὰν δ' ἡ θάλαττα ὑπέρρχη, μάταιος ἡ σπουδή as long as the vessel remains in safety, both sailor and pilot should exert themselves; but when the sea has overwhelmed it, their efforts are fruitless D. 9. 69, ποιοῦμεν ταῦθ' ἐκάστοθ' . . ἔως ἃν αὐτὸν ἐμβάλωμεν ἐς κακόν we do this on each occasion until we plunge him into misfortune Ar. Nub. 1458.

- **2411.** The verb of the main clause may stand in the participle, or in other tenses than the present indicative: καίπερ τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ἐν ῷ μὲν ἄν πολεμῶσι, τὸν παρόντα (πόλεμον) ἀεὶ μέγιστον κρῖνόντων although men always consider the present war the greatest so long as they are engaged in it T. 1.21, ὅταν δ ἐτέρφ ταῦτα παραδῷ, καταλέλνκε τὴν αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ δυναστείᾶν but whenever he surrenders these rights to another, he destroys once and for all his own sovereignty Aes. 3. 233, πολέμοι . . . ἤδη ὅταν . . . καταδονλώσωνταί τινας, πολλούς δὴ βελτίους ἡνάγκασαν εἶναι enemies ere now have forced improvement upon those whom they have enslaved X. O. 1. 23 (cp. 2338), πολλάκις ἐθαύμασα τῆς τόλμης τῶν λεγόντων ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ, πλὴν ὅταν ἐνθῦμηθῶ κτλ. I have often marvelled at the effrontery of the speakers in his behalf, except when (ever) I consider, etc. L. 12. 41.
- **2412.**  $\&\nu$  ( $\kappa\acute{e}$ ) is frequently omitted in Homer, and occasionally in lyric and dramatic poetry and in Herodotus, e.g.  $\&\epsilon\pi\acute{e}$  δ'  $\&\mu\acute{a}\rho\tau\eta$ ,  $\kappa\acute{e}$ ινος οὐκ $\acute{e}\tau$ '  $\&\tau'$ '  $\&\tau'$   $\&\tau'$   $\&\rho$ ουλος but whenever a man commits an error, that man is no longer heedless S. Ant. 1025.
- **2413.** The present indicative is very rarely used instead of the subjunctive with  $\delta \nu$  in temporal clauses of indefinite frequency. Thus,  $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \delta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \delta \delta i \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ,  $\delta \tau \epsilon \ (\delta \tau \omega \ \text{conj.}) \ \delta i \kappa \hat{\alpha} \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \nu$ ,  $\delta \epsilon \hat{l} \ \pi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \delta \rho \omega \nu \ \pi \upsilon \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \ with regard to other malefactors, one has to learn during their trial (lit. when they are tried) from the accusers L. 22. 22. Cp. 2342.$
- 2414. In temporal sentences of indefinite frequency the temporal clause has the optative when the principal clause has the imperfect or any other tense denoting a past customary or repeated action.

έθήρευεν ἀπὸ ἴππου ὁπότε γυμνάσαι βούλοιτο ἐαυτόν he was wont to hunt on horseback, whenever he wanted to exercise himself X. A. 1. 2. 7, ὁπότε ὥρᾶ εἴη ἀρίστου, ἀνέμενεν αὐτοὺς ἔστε ἐμφάγοιἐν τι whenever it was breakfast time, he used to wait until they had eaten something X. C. 8. 1. 44, περιεμένομεν ἐκάστοτε ἔως ἀνοιχθείη τὸ δεσμωτήριον ἐπειδή δὲ ἀνοιχθείη, εἰσῆμεν we used to wait about on each occasion until the prison was opened; but when (ever) it was opened, we used to go in P. Ph. 59 d. Observe that ἀνοιχθείη marks a repeated past action (until it was regularly opened) and represents the thought of the subject (until it should be opened, cf. 2420; i.e. direct = ἕως ἀν ἀνοιχθῆ).

- **2415.** The optative is rare after a primary tense, and occurs only when that tense includes a reference to the past ( $\omega$  254; cp. 2573).  $\delta\tau\epsilon$   $\kappa\epsilon\nu$  with the optative occurs once (I 525).
  - **2416.** Other tenses than the imperfect in the principal clause:  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$   $\delta\dot{\gamma}$  . . .

ἀνᾶίζειεν 'Οδυσσεύs, στάσκεν, ὑπαὶ δὲ ἴδεσκε κτλ. (cp. 495) but whenever Odysseus arose, he always kept his position and looked down  $\Gamma$  215, ὁπότε προσβλέψειέ τινας τῶν ἐν ταῖς τάξεσιν, εἶπεν ἄν κτλ. whenever he looked toward any of the men in the ranks, he would say, etc. X. C. 7. 1. 10. Cp. 2341.

#### TEMPORAL CLAUSES DENOTING PURPOSE

- **2418.** Temporal conjunctions denoting limit as to duration (so long as, while) or limit as to termination (until, till) may imply purpose.
- a. So  $\xi \omega s$  till, against the time when, in order that,  $\pi \rho l \nu$  before, in order that not.  $\delta \phi \rho \alpha$  (poet.) is usually final (in order that) rather than temporal (so long as, while, till, up to the time that). Sometimes in post-Homeric Greek  $\xi \omega s$  and the subjunctive (with or without  $\delta \nu$ ) has a touch of purpose.
- **2419.** In the Odyssey  $\xi\omega_5$ , usually with the acrist optative after a secondary tense, is almost a final conjunction. Thus,  $\delta\hat{\omega}\kappa\epsilon\nu$  . . .  $\xi\lambda\omega\nu$   $\epsilon\omega_5$   $\chi\nu\tau\lambda\hat{\omega}\sigma\omega\tau$  she gave olive oil that (against the time when) she might anoint herself  $\zeta$ 79. So  $\delta$ 799,  $\epsilon$ 385,  $\tau$ 367. In  $\iota$ 375 the present optative expresses durative action ( $\theta\epsilon\rho\mu\alpha\ell\nu\omega\tau\sigma$ 0 gradually get hot).
- **2420.** After a secondary tense  $\ell\omega$ s with the acrist optative sometimes in Attic prose implies an *expectation*, hope, or purpose on the part of the subject of the main verb that the action of the temporal clause may be attained. Since such optatives are due to the principle of indirect discourse, the subjunctive with  $\ell\omega$ , denoting mere futurity, might have been used instead.

σπονδὰς ἐποιήσαντο ἔως ἀπαγγελθείη τὰ λεχθέντα they made a truce (which they hoped would last) until the terms should be announced X. H. 3. 2. 20 (here we might have had ἔως ἀν ἀπαγγελθῆ), τὰ ἀλλα χωρία εἶχον μένοντες ἕως σφίοι κἆκεῖνοι ποιήσειαν (= ἀν ποιήσωσι) τὰ εἰρημένα they retained the other places, waiting until they (the Lacedaemonians) on their part should have performed for them (the Athenians) what had been agreed on T. 5. 35. Compare ἔως ἀν ταῦτα διαπράξωνται φυλακὴν . . . κατέλιπε he left a garrison (to remain there) until they should settle these matters X. H. 5. 3. 25 (here ἕως διαπράξαιντο might have been used). Other examples are L. 13. 25, Is. 1. 10, 7. 8 (ἔως οῦ?), X. H. 4. 4. 9, D. 27. 5, 29. 43 (τέως), 33. 8; cp. also Ar. Εq. 133. Present optative in T. 3. 102, X. H. 5. 4. 37.

**2421.**  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\omega s}$  & $\nu$  with the optative occurs rarely where it might be thought that the simple optative or  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}$  with the subjunctive should be used. Many editors emend, but  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}$  may generally be defended as potential, expressing the conviction of the agent. Thus,  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \lambda \delta \rho \tilde{\epsilon}_{l} \tilde{\epsilon$ 

# SUMMARY OF THE CONSTRUCTIONS OF Eos AND OF OTHER WORDS MEANING BOTH SO LONG AS AND UNTIL

### Ews so long as, while

Temporal Limit as to Duration (during the time when)

- **2422.** Indicative, when the action of the temporal clause denotes definite duration in the present or past. The present often connotes cause (while, now that, because). The imperfect is used of past action: the main clause has the imperfect usually, but the acrist occurs (T. 5.60).
  - 2423. Subjunctive (present) with  $d\nu$ , when the action lies in the
- a. Future, and the verb of the main clause is future indicative or an equivalent form.
- b. Present, and the verb of the main clause states a present customary or repeated action or a general truth.
- 2424. The present optative (of future time) is very rare: in dependence on a past tense (X. H. 5. 4.37, Aristotle, Athen. Pol. 28 end); by regular assimilation (2186 b) in a less vivid condition (P. Th. 155 a).

### εως until, till

Temporal Limit as to Termination (up to the time when)

- 2425. Indicative, of a definite present or past action. The present connotes cause. The agrist is normally used of past action: the main verb is usually imperfect, but the agrist occurs (I. 17. 12).
  - a. Of a future action the future is very rare: X. C. 7. 5. 39 (ès & Hdt. 9. 58).
  - 2426. Subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , when the action lies in the
- a. Future, and the main clause contains a verb referring to the future (except the optative without  $\alpha\nu$ ). The tense is usually the aorist: the present marks overlapping.
- b. Present, and the verb of the main clause states a present customary or repeated action or a general truth.
  - 2427. Optative (usually agrist), when the action lies in the
  - a. Future, and depends on an optative with  $\alpha\nu$ .
- b. Past, and depends on a secondary tense expressing or implying indirect discourse. Here the optative represents  $\tilde{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive after a primary tense.
- c. Past, and the verb of the main clause states a past customary or repeated action.
- N.—The present optative in b is rare; the future optative occurs only in X. H. 4. 4. 9, where some read the agrist.
  - 2428. Conjunctions meaning until may have, as an implied or expressed

antecedent, μέχρι τούτου up to the time. Thus, μέχρι τούτου Λασθένης φίλος ώνομάζετο, έως προύδωκεν "Ολυνθον Lasthenes was called a friend (up to the time when) until he betrayed Olynthus D. 18. 48.

- **2429.** With conjunctions meaning *until*, when the principal clause is *affirmative*, it is implied that the action of the verb of the principal clause continues only up to the time when the action of the verb of the *until* clause takes place. Thus, in the passage cited in 2428, it is implied that Lasthenes ceased to be called a friend after he had betrayed Olynthus.
- a. When the principal clause is negative, it is implied that the action of the verb of the principal clause does not take place until the action of the until clause takes place; as in où  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$  &  $\pi\alpha\delta\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\sigma$  &  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\nu$  and  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\nu$  and they did not stop until they divided the city into factions L. 25. 26. In sentences like  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}$   $\mu\eta$   $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\mu\epsilon'\nu\epsilon\nu$  &  $\pi\nu$  &  $\pi\nu$  &  $\pi\nu$  &  $\pi\nu$  and  $\pi\nu$  we must not wait until they are upon us (I. 4. 165), by reason of the meaning of  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\mu\epsilon'\nu\epsilon\iota\nu$  the action of the principal clause ceases before the action of the until clause takes place.

# GENERAL RULE FOR πρίν BEFORE, UNTIL

- **2430.**  $\pi\rho\acute{\nu}$  is construed like other conjunctions meaning until except that it takes the infinitive as well as the indicative, subjunctive, and optative.
- **2431.** After an affirmative clause  $\pi \rho i \nu$  usually takes the infinitive and means before.
- **2432.** After a negative clause  $\pi \rho i \nu$  means until, and usually takes the indicative (of definite time), the subjunctive or optative (of indefinite time).
- a. The subjunctive or optative is never used with  $\pi \rho t \nu$  unless the principal clause is negative.
- b. When the principal clause is negative,  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  is construed like  $\ell\omega$ s and other words for until (où  $\pi\rho\ell\nu=\ell\omega$ s).
- **2433.** When the principal clause is affirmative, the clause with  $\pi\rho i\nu$  simply adds a closer definition of the time. When the principal clause is negative,  $\pi\rho i\nu$  defines the time as before, but the closer definition serves also as a condition that must be realized before the action of the principal clause can be realized. Thus,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  de $\ell$ 0 and  $\ell$ 0 and go away until you hear X. A. 5.7.12 (i.e. without hearing =  $\ell$ 1 and  $\ell$ 2 and  $\ell$ 3 and  $\ell$ 4 and  $\ell$ 4 and  $\ell$ 4 and  $\ell$ 4 and  $\ell$ 5 and  $\ell$ 6 and  $\ell$ 6 and  $\ell$ 7 and  $\ell$ 7 and  $\ell$ 8 and  $\ell$ 9 and  $\ell$
- **2434.**  $\pi \rho i \nu$  is used with the agrist or (less often) with the imperfect indicative only when  $\pi \rho i \nu$  is equivalent to  $\tilde{\epsilon} ws$  until; but, when the verb of the main clause is negatived,  $\pi \rho i \nu$  may be translated by before or until. When  $\pi \rho i \nu$  must be rendered by before, it takes the infinitive.

ταῦτα ἐποίουν πρὶν Σωκράτης ἀφίκετο I was doing this until Socrates arrived (rare even in poetry; cp. 2441 c).

οὐ ταῦτα ἐποίουν πρὶν Σωκράτης ἀφίκετο I was not doing this until (or before) Socrates arrived.

ταῦτα ἐποίουν πρὶν Σωκράτην ἀφικέσθαι (not Σωκράτης ἀφίκετο) I was doing this before Socrates arrived.

**2435.** It is correct to say οὐ ποιήσω τοῦτο πρὶν ἀν κελεύσης, ποιήσω (or οὐ ποιήσω) τοῦτο πρὶν κελεῦσαι, but incorrect to say ποιήσω τοῦτο πρὶν ἀν κελεύσης.

**2436.** The action of an infinitive introduced by  $\pi \rho l \nu$  before may or may not (according to the sense) actually take place at some time later than the action of the leading verb. The clause with  $\pi \rho l \nu$  signifies merely that the action of the infinitive had not taken place at the time of the leading verb.

2437. The clause with  $\pi\rho i\nu$  may precede or follow the correlated clause. Cp. 2455.

2438.  $\pi \rho l \nu$  is originally a comparative adverb meaning before, i.e. sooner or formerly; and seems to be connected with  $\pi\rho\delta$ ,  $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\rho\nu$  before. The adverbial force survives in Attic only after the article, as έν τοῖς πρὶν λόγοις in the foregoing statements T. 2.62. The adverbial and original use appears also in Homer wherever  $\pi \rho l \nu$  occurs with the indicative, the anticipatory (futural) subjunctive (1810), or the optative with  $\kappa \dot{\epsilon}$ . Thus,  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$  où  $\lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega \cdot \pi \rho \dot{\nu} \rho \omega \dot{\nu}$  and  $\gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \alpha s$ έπεισιν but her I will not release; sooner shall old age come upon her A 29, οὐδέ μιν ἀνστήσεις · πρὶν καὶ κακὸν ἄλλο πάθησθα nor shalt thou recall him to life: sooner (before this) thou wilt suffer yet another affliction  $\Omega$  551.—From this early coordination was developed the construction of the conjunction  $\pi \rho i \nu$  with the finite moods; but in general only after Homer, who never uses the indicative, and the optative only once ( $\Phi$  580), with  $\pi \rho i \nu$ . The required sense was given by  $\xi \omega s$  or  $\pi \rho \ell \nu \gamma'$   $\delta \tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ . A finite mood was first used of the future, and after negative clauses (οὐ πρότερον πρίν like οὐ πρότερον ἔως). — Homer commonly uses the infinitive with  $\pi \rho i \nu$  meaning before and until. Here the infinitive (as with ωστε) simply states the abstract verbal notion, and thus has no reference to differences of time or mood;  $\pi \rho \ell \nu$  being used almost like  $\pi \rho \delta$  before as  $\pi \rho \ell \nu$  $l\delta\epsilon\hat{i}\nu = \pi\rho\hat{o} \ \tau\hat{o}\hat{v} \ l\delta\epsilon\hat{i}\nu \ before seeing$  (first in Xenophon). This early use with the infinitive was, with some restrictions, retained in Attic, where the infinitive may sometimes be used instead of the finite verb.  $\pi \rho l \nu$  came more and more to take the subjunctive with  $\alpha \nu$  and to assume conditional relations (cp. 2433); while the use with the infinitive was more and more confined to cases where the leading verb was affirmative.

**2439.** The comparative idea in  $\pi\rho i\nu$  explains its negative force: an event A happened before another event B, *i.e.* A occurred when B had not yet  $(o v \pi \omega)$  occurred. Because of its negative force  $\pi\rho i\nu$  commonly takes the agrist in all the moods. The agrist has an affinity for the negative because it marks simple and total negation of an action regarded in its mere occurrence; whereas the imperfect with a negative denotes resistance or refusal (1896) in respect of an action regarded as continuing. When  $\pi\rho i\nu$  takes the present in any mood the actions of the correlated clauses usually overlap. The present occurs chiefly in the prose writers of the fourth century.

- **2440**. πρότερον or πρόσθεν may be used in the principal clause as a forerunner of  $\pi \rho i \nu$ . Examples in 2441, 2444, 2445.
- a. Homer has  $\pi \rho l \nu \dots \pi \rho l \nu$  B 348. Attic has also  $\phi \theta d \sigma u \dots \pi \rho l \nu$ , as  $\phi \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho l \nu \tau \eta \nu \xi \nu \mu \phi \rho \rho \bar{\alpha} \nu X \iota \delta s a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota they will succeed in making their voyage before the Chians hear of the disaster T. 8. 12.$

## $\pi \rho i \nu$ WITH THE INDICATIVE

**2441.**  $\pi \rho i \nu$  in Attic prose takes the indicative of a definite past action when the verb of the principal clause is negative or implies a negative, rarely when it is affirmative.

οὕτε τότε Κόρω lέναι ήθελε πρὶν ἡ γυνὴ αὐτὸν ἔπεισε nor was he willing then to enter into relations with Cyrus until his wife persuaded him X.A.1.2.26, οὐ πρότερον ἐπαύσαντο πρὶν τόν τε πατέρ' ἐκ τοῦ στρατοπέδου μετεπέμψαντο καὶ τῶν φίλων αὐτοῦ τοὺς μὲν ἀπέκτειναν, τοὺς δ' ἐκ τῆς πόλεως ἐξέβαλον they did not stop until they sent for his father from the camp, put some of his friends to death and expelled others from the city I.16.8, οὐ πρόσθεν ἐπαύσαντο πρὶν ἐξεπολιόρκησαν τὸν "Ολουρον they did not cease from hostilities until they had captured Olurus by siege X. H.7.4.18, οὐδ' ὧs . . . ἡξίωσαν νεώτερόν τι ποιεῖν ἐς αὐτόν . . . πρίν γε δὴ . . . ἀνὴρ 'Αργίλιος μηνῦτὴς γίγνεται (historical present = aorist) not even under these circumstances did they think it right to take any severe measures against him, until finally a man of Argilus turned informer T.1.132.

a. The tense in the  $\pi\rho i\nu$  clause is usually the aorist (the tense of negation, 2439, and of prior action); rarely the imperfect (of contemporaneous, overlapping action), as D.9.61. The historical present is also used as an equivalent of the aorist. The principal clause usually has a secondary tense of the indicative.  $\pi\rho i\nu$  with the indicative is not common until Herodotus and the Attic writers.

b. The verb of the principal clause may be virtually negative, as  $\tau o \dot{v}s$ ... 'Aθηναίους λανθάνουσι πρὶν δη τη Δήλφ ἔσχον they escaped the notice of the Athenians (i.e. οὐχ ὁρῶνται) until they reached Delos T. 3. 29. Cp. T. 3. 104, X. A. 2.5. 33. Observe that οὐ παύομαι (2441) is not regarded as virtually affirmative.

c. The verb of the principal clause is affirmative in prose only in T.7.39, 7.71, Aes. 1.64. In all of these cases the leading verb is an imperfect, which emphasizes the continuation of the action up to the point of time expressed by the  $\pi \rho l \nu$  clause.

d. The use in Herodotus is the same as in Attic prose. Homer has the indicative (after affirmative or negative clauses) only with  $\pi \rho l \nu \gamma'$   $\ddot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \ until.$  In the drama  $\pi \rho l \nu$  with the indicative is rare. Euripides uses it only after affirmative clauses. When  $\pi \rho l \nu$  is  $= \ddot{\epsilon} \omega s$  it often takes  $\delta \dot{\eta}$ .

**2442.** A  $\pi\rho'\nu$  clause, depending on a past tense denoting non-ful-filment, itself denotes non-fulfilment and takes a past indicative by assimilation (2185 b).

χρῆν τοίνυν Λεπτίνην μὴ πρότερον τιθέναι τὸν ἐαυτοῦ νόμον πρὶν τοῦτον ἔλῦσε Leptines ought not then to have proposed his own law until (before) he had repealed this D.20.96. Cp. 2455 b.

## $\pi \rho \dot{\nu}$ WITH THE SUBJUNCTIVE

- **2443.**  $\pi \rho i \nu$  with the subjunctive and  $\tilde{a} \nu$  refers to the future or to general present time.
- **2444.** (I)  $\pi\rho i\nu$  takes the subjunctive with  $i\nu$  to denote a future action anticipated by the subject of the leading verb. The principal clause is negative, and contains any verb referring to the future except the simple optative.

οὐ πρότερον κακῶν παύσονται αἱ πόλεις πρὶν ἀν ἐν αὐταῖς οἱ φιλόσοφοι ἄρξωσιν States will not cease from evil until philosophers become rulers in them P. R. 487 e, μὴ ἀπέλθητε πρὶν ἀν ἀκούσητε do not go away until you hear (shall have heard) X. A. 5. 7. 12, οὐ χρή μ' ἐνθένδε ἀπελθεῖν πρὶν ἄν δῶ δίκην I must not depart hence until I have suffered punishment 5. 7. 5, μηδένα φίλον ποιοῦ πρὶν ἀν ἐξετάσης πῶς κέχρηται τοίς πρότερον φίλοις make no one your friend until you have inquired how he has treated his former friends I. 1. 24, μή ποτ' ἐπαινήσης πρὶν ἄν εἰδῆς ἄνδρα σαφηνέως never praise a man until you have come to know him well Theognis 963. Observe that the last two examples contain a general truth.

- a. The agriculture is usual (the tense of negation, 2439, and of action prior to that of the principal clause); much less common is the present subjunctive (usually of contemporaneous, overlapping action) as X.C.2.2.8 (2446).
- b. Homer does not use  $\kappa \epsilon$  or  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  in this construction since  $\pi \rho l \nu$  is here adverbial and its clause is simply coördinated. But Hom. has  $\pi \rho l \nu$   $\gamma'$   $\tilde{\sigma}\tau'$   $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ . The subjunctive without  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  occurs occasionally as an archaism in Hdt. and the dramatic poets. In Attic prose especially in Thuc. (e.g. 6. 10, 29, 38); but  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$  is often inserted by editors.
- c. The leading verb is rarely the optative with  $\mathring{a}\nu$  (as a form of future expression):  $\mathring{o}\mathring{v}\kappa \mathring{a}\nu \mathring{a}\pi \mathring{e}\lambda \mathring{e}o\iota \mu \pi \mathring{e}\nu \pi \mathring{a}\nu \tau \mathring{a}\pi \mathring{a}\sigma\iota \nu \mathring{\eta} \mathring{a}\gamma o\rho \mathring{a} \lambda \upsilon \mathring{e}\mathring{\eta}$  (cp. b) I will not go away until the market is entirely over X.O. 12.1.
- **2445.** (II) After a negative clause of present time that expresses a customary or repeated action or a general truth,  $\pi\rho\acute{\nu}$  takes the subjunctive with  $\acute{a}\nu$ .
- οὐ πρότερον παύονται πρὶν αν πείσωσιν οὖς ἡδίκησαν they do not cease to endure until they have won over those whom they have wronged P. Ph. 114 b.
- a. The leading verb may stand in another tense than the present indicative, as οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἐπέθετο (empiric aorist, 1930) πρότερον δήμου καταλύσει πρὶν ἂν μείζον τῶν δικαστηρίων ἰσχύση no one has ever attempted the subversion of the people until he became superior to the courts of justice Aes. 3. 235.
- **2446.** After a secondary tense in actual or implied indirect discourse,  $\pi\rho\acute{\nu}$  with the subjunctive and  $\emph{\'{a}}\nu$  is common instead of the optative without  $\emph{\'{a}}\nu$  (2449).

εἶπον μηδένα τῶν ὅπισθεν κῖνεῖσθαι πρὶν ἄν ὁ πρόσθεν ἡγῆται I ordered that none in the rear should move until the one before him led the way X. C. 2. 2. 8 (here πρὶν ἡγοῖτο is possible).

2447. The principal clause may be affirmative in form, but virtually negative.

αισχρὸν (= οὐ καλὸν or οὐ δεῖν) δ' ἡγοῦμαι πρότερον παύσασθαι πρὶν ἃν ὑμεῖς περὶ αὐτῶν ὅ τι ἃν βούλησθε ψηφίσησθε I consider it base (i.e. I do not consider it to be honourable) to stop until you have voted what you wish L. 22. 4. Cf. Thuc. 6. 38, D. 38. 24, E. Herael. 179.

## $\pi\rho$ i $\nu$ WITH THE OPTATIVE

- **2448.**  $\pi \rho i \nu$  with the optative is used only in indirect discourse or by assimilation to another optative.
- **2449.** (I) The optative without  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}$  follows  $\pi \rho i_{\nu}$  to denote an action anticipated in the past when the principal clause is negative and its verb is in a secondary tense. The optative is here in indirect discourse (actual or implied) and represents  $\tilde{\alpha}_{\nu}$  with the subjunctive, which is often retained (2446). Cp. 2420.

άπηγόρευε μηδένα βάλλειν πρὶν Κῦρος ἐμπλησθείη θηρῶν he forbade any one to shoot until Cyrus should have had his fill of hunting X. C. 1.4. 14 (= μηδείς βαλλέτω πρὶν ἄν Κῦρος ἐμπλησθῆ), οἱ Ἡλεῖοι . . . ἔπειθον (αὐτοὺς) μὴ ποιεῖσθαι μάχην πρὶν οἱ Θηβαῖοι παραγένοιντο the Eleans persuaded them not to engage in battle until the Thebans should have come up X. H. 6.5. 19 (= μὴ ποιεῖτε μάχην πρὶν ἄν παραγένωνται).

- a. In indirect discourse the infinitive is preferred (2455 d).
- **2450.** (II) By assimilation of mood,  $\pi\rho\dot{\nu}$  may take the optative when the negative principal clause has the optative. Cp. 2186 b.
- εί έλκοι τις αὐτόν . . . καὶ μὴ ἀνείη πρὶν έξελκόσειεν ἐς τὸ τοῦ ἡλίου φῶς κτλ. if one should drag him and not let him go until he had dragged him out into the sunlight, etc. P. R. 515 e.
- **2451.** The optative with  $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$  in clauses of customary or repeated action seems not to be used.
  - **2452.**  $\pi \rho i \nu \, \text{a} \nu$  with the optative is rare and suspected (cp. 2421).

# πρίν WITH THE INFINITIVE

- **2453.**  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  takes the infinitive in Attic especially when the principal clause is affirmative. The infinitive must be used, even with negative clauses, when  $\pi\rho\ell\nu$  must mean only before (and not until).
- a. The infinitive is obligatory in Attic when the action of the  $\pi\rho\iota\nu$  clause does not take place or is not to take place (cp.  $\varpi\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the infinitive).
- b. The infinitive takes the accusative when its subject is different from that of the principal clause.
- c. The usual tense is the acrist, the tense of negation (2439) and of the simple occurrence of the action. Less frequent is the present (chiefly in Xenophon), of action continuing, repeated, or attempted (before undertaking to,

before proceeding to). The perfect, of action completed with permanent result, is rare.

- οί καὶ πρὶν ἐμὲ εἰπεῖν ὁτιοῦν εἰδότες who know even before I say anything at all D. 18. 50, σύνιστε μὲν καὶ πρὶν ἐμὲ λέγειν you know as well as I do even before I proceed to set forth in detail the matter of my speech Aes. 1. 116, ἀπετράποντο ἐς τὴν πόλιν πρὶν ὑπερβαίνειν they turned back to the city before they attempted to scale the wall T. 3. 24.
- **2454.** When the principal clause is affirmative,  $\pi\rho i\nu$  before regularly takes the infinitive.
- έπὶ τὸ ἄκρον ἀναβαίνει Χειρίσοφος πρίν τινας αἰσθέσθαι τῶν πολεμίων Chirisophus ascended the height before any of the enemy perceived him X. A. 4. 1. 7, πρὶν καταλῦσαι τὸ στράτευμα πρὸς ἄριστον βασιλεὺς ἐφάνη before the army hilted for breakfast, the king appeared 1. 10. 19, πέμψᾶς, πρὶν ἐν Τεγέα αὐτὸς εἶναι, πρὸς τὸν ἄρχοντα τῶν ξένων, ἐκέλευε κτλ. lit. before he himself arrived at Tegea, sending to the commander of the mercenaries, he gave orders, etc. X. H. 5. 4. 37 (αὐτός, by attraction to the subject of πέμψᾶς).
- **2455.** When the main clause is negative,  $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$  sometimes takes the infinitive in Attic, and generally means before, rarely until. When before and after are contrasted, until is out of place, and the  $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$  clause often precedes.
- a. In reference to present or past time: πρὶν ὼς "Αφοβον ἐλθεῖν μίαν ἡμέρᾶν οὐκ ἐχήρευσεν before she came to Aphobus she was not a widow a single day D. 30. 33, πρὶν μὲν τοῦτο πρᾶξαι Λεωκράτην ἄδηλον ἢν ὁποῖοί τινες ἐτύγχανον, νῦν δέ κτλ. before Leocrates did this, it was uncertain what sort of men they were; but now, etc. Lyc. 135, πρὶν ἀνάγεσθαί με εἰς τὴν Αῖνον... οὐδεὶς ἢτιάσατό με before I proceeded to set sail for Aenus no one accused me Ant. 5. 25.
- b. In reference to action unfulfilled: ous  $(\lambda \delta \gamma o v s)$  et tis ènédeixev adrois  $\pi \rho l v$  èuè dialex $\theta \eta v u u$  neel adrûv, our éctiv onus our äv . . . dus kolws  $\pi \rho d s$  oè dietéd  $\eta v u$  and if any one had shown these words to them before I discussed them, it is inevitable that they would have been discontented with you I. 12. 250.
- c. In reference to future time: oùx ofor  $\tau$  estiv alsofes at  $\pi \rho i \nu$  kakûs tivas  $\pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$  in a viûv it is not possible to perceive this before some suffer injury at their hands I. 20. 14, kai μοι μή θορυβήση μηδείς  $\pi \rho i \nu$  άκοῦσαι and let no one raise a disturbance before he hears D. 5. 15 (cp.  $\delta \pi \omega s$  μή θορυβήσει μηδείς  $\pi \rho i \nu$  αν απαντα είτω D. 13, 14).
- N. With verbs of fearing, the positive being the thing dreaded; as  $\delta\epsilon\delta$ οικα  $\mu\eta$  πρὶν πόνοις ὑπερβάλη  $\mu\epsilon$  γῆρας πρὶν σὰν χαρίεσσαν προσιδεῖν ώρὰν I fear lest old age overcome me with its troubles before I live to behold thy gracious beauty E. fr. 453.
- d. Infinitive instead of the optative after a leading verb in a secondary tense: ikétevor μηδαμῶs ἀποτρέπεσθαι πρὶν ἐμβαλεῖν εἰς τὴν τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων χώρᾶν they entreated them by no means to turn aside until they should invade the territory of the Lacedaemonians X. H. 6. 5. 23 (here the optative might stand in indirect discourse to represent the subjunctive with ἄν), οὐτ' αὐτός ποτε πρὶν ἰδρῶται δεῖπνον ἡρεῖτο neither was he ever accustomed to take his supper until he got into a sweat by exercise X. C. 8. 1. 38 (for ἰδρώσειε, see 2451).
  - e. Infinitive after an optative with αν in a principal clause: εξ τίς τινα μηχανήν

έχοι πρὸς τοῦτο . . ., οἰκ ἄν ποτε λέγων ἀπείποι τὸ τοιοῦτον πρὶν ἐπὶ τέλος ἐλθεῖν; if ever any lawgiver should have any plan for this, would he ever be weary of discussing such a scheme until he reached the end? P. L. 769 e. Here the subjunctive with ἄν is permitted.

- **2457.**  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\pi \rho i v$  than before, with a past tense suppressed after  $\mathring{\eta}$ , occurs first in Xenophon (C. 5. 2. 36, 7. 5. 77).

### πρότερον ή, πρόσθεν ή, πρὶν ή, πάρος

- **2458.** πρότερον ή sooner than, before is used especially in Herodotus and Thucydides. (a) With the indicative: οὐ πρότερον ἐνέδοσαν ἢ αὐτοὶ ἐν σφίσιν αὐτοῖς . . . ἐσφάλησαν they did not succumb before they were overthrown by themselves T. 2. 65. (b) With the infinitive: τὰς δ' ἄλλᾶς πόλεις ἔφη άδικεῖν, αὶ ἐς 'Αθηναίους πρότερον ἢ ἀποστῆναι ἀνήλουν he said the other States were wrong, which, before they revolted, used to pay money into the treasury of the Athenians T. 8. 45. (c) With the subjunctive (without ἄν) rarely (T. 7. 63). Chiefly in Hdt.
- 2459. So πρόσθεν ή sooner than, before: ἀπεκρίνατο . . . ὅτι πρόσθεν αν ἀποθάνοιεν ἡ τὰ ὅπλα παραδοίησαν he answered that they would die before (sooner than that) they would surrender their arms X. A. 2. 1. 10. ὕστερον ἡ later than takes, by analogy, the infinitive once in Thuc. (6.4).
- **2460.**  $\pi \rho l \nu \ddot{\eta}$  sooner than, before with the infinitive occurs in Homer (only E 288, X 266) and Hdt. (2.2); and in Hdt. also with the indicative (6.45) and subjunctive (7.10  $\eta$ , without  $\mathring{a}\nu$ ).  $\pi \rho l \nu \ddot{\eta}$  is rare and suspected in Attic (X. C. 1.4.23); but is common in late Greek.
  - 2461. πάρος before in Homer takes the infinitive (Z 348).

#### CLAUSES OF COMPARISON

- **2462.** Clauses of comparison (as clauses) measure an act or state qualitatively or quantitatively with reference to an act or state in the leading clause.
- a. Comparative clauses with  $\eta$  than are used in disjunctive coordinated sentences. See under Particles (2863).
- **2463.** Comparative clauses of quality or manner are introduced by  $\dot{\omega}_S$  as,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ , καθάπερ just as,  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\omega_S$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ ,  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\eta$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}\pi\epsilon\rho$  as. The principal clause may contain a demonstrative adverb (οὖτως, ὧδε so).  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  may be correlated with  $\dot{\delta}$  αὐτός.

ώs, etc., are here properly conjunctive relative adverbs of manner, some uses of which fall under conditional relative clauses.

- a. Other comparative conjunctive adverbs are ∞στε as (poetic and Ionic), ἡΰτε, εὖτε as, like as (Epic). Demonstrative adverbs in Epic are ως, τως, τοίως, αὐτως, ωσαὐτως.
- b. On other uses of  $\dot{\omega}s$ , etc., see under Particles (2990 ff.). On  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  with a participle, see 2086, 2087.
- **2464.** The verb of the comparative clause is commonly omitted if it is the same as the verb of the leading clause. Thus,  $\epsilon \tilde{a} \nu \sigma o t \xi \nu \nu \delta o \kappa \hat{\eta} \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \mu o t$  if it seems good also to you as (it seems) to me P. Ph. 100 c.
- **2465.** The subject of a comparative clause with  $\dot{\omega}s$  or  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ , the verb of which is omitted, is often attracted into the case (usually the accusative) of the other member of the comparison. Thus,  $\dot{\omega}\dot{\delta}a\mu\omega\hat{0}$  γàρ ξστιν 'Αγόρατον 'Αθηναΐον εἶναι  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  θρασύβουλον it is in no wise possible for Agoratus to be an Athenian as Thrasybulus is (= θρασύβουλος 'Αθηναΐος έστι) I. 13. 72. Attraction into the dative is less common: Κύρφ ἤδετο . . .  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  σκύλακι γενναίφ ἀνακλάζοντι he was delighted with Cyrus, who set up a cry like a young and noble dog (= σκύλαξ γενναῖος ἀνακλάζει) X. C. 1. 4. 15.
- a. Usually, however, we have the nominative with the verb omitted:  $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu a l$  or  $\mu a \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$  darobavely dr elected  $\eta$  chestal  $\eta$  chestal u could prefer to die rather than live as I live X. M. 1. 6. 4.
- **2466.** Comparative clauses of quality are often fused with the leading clause by the omission of the preposition in the correlated member of the comparison, but only when  $\dot{\omega}_5$  precedes. Cp. 1673.
- 2467. The antecedent clause may contain a wish: οὕτω (ὥs)... ὡς (which may be omitted); as οὕτω νῖκήσαιμί τ' ἐγὼ καὶ νομιζοίμην σοφός, ὡς ὑμῶς ἡγούμενος εἶναι θεᾶτὰς δεξιοὺς... πρώτους ἡξίωσ' ἀναγεῦσ' ὑμᾶς as surely as I thought it proper to let you first taste this comedy because I thought you were clever spectators, so surely may I win and be accounted a master Ar. Nub. 520. Cp. N 825, Ar. Thesm. 469.
- **2468.** Comparative clauses of quantity or degree are introduced by  $\delta\sigma\varphi$ ,  $\delta\sigma\sigma\nu$  in proportion as. The principal clause usually contains the corresponding demonstratives  $\tau \sigma\sigma\sigma \delta\tau\varphi$ ,  $\tau \sigma\sigma\sigma\delta\tau\sigma\nu$  ( $\tau \delta\sigma\varphi$ ,  $\tau \delta\sigma\sigma\nu$  are usually poetic).
- **2469.**  $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma v$ ...  $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v$  or  $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v$ ...  $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \tau \sigma v$  denotes that the action of the main clause takes place in the same degree as the action of the subordinate clause.  $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \varphi$ ...  $\tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \tilde{v} \tau \varphi$  with a comparative or superlative adjective or adverb is equivalent to the more... the more, the less... the less.
- **2470.** The demonstrative antecedent may be omitted, especially when its clause precedes: και χαλεπώτεροι έσονται ὅσω νεώτεροί είσιν and they will be the more severe the younger they are P. A. 39 d.

- **2471.** One member may contain a comparative, the other a superlative; as  $\delta\sigma\varphi$   $\gamma\delta\rho$   $\epsilon\tau$ ouρ $\delta\tau\alpha\tau'$   $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\varphi}$   $(\tau\dot{\varphi}$   $\lambda\delta\gamma\varphi)$   $\delta\sigma\kappa\sigma\dot{\varrho}$   $\mu\epsilon$   $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\alpha$ ,  $\tau\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\varphi}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\tau$   $\tau\sigma\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau\tau$  es  $a\dot{v}\tau\dot{\varphi}$  for the more we are thought to excel all others in ability to speak, so much the more do all distrust it D. 2. 12.
- **2472.** ὅσφ (ὅσον) may be used without a comparative or superlative when the correlative clause has a comparative or superlative with or without  $\tau$ οσούτω ( $\tau$ οσοῦτον). Thus, ὅσπερ ἐν ἴπποις, οὕτω καὶ ἐν ἀνθρώποις  $\tau$ ισὶν ἐγγίγνεται, ὅσφ ἀν ἔκπλεα τὰ δέοντα ἔχωσι,  $\tau$ οσούτω ὑβριστέροις εἶναι as it is in the nature of horses, so it is in the case of certain men: in so far as they have their wants satisfied, the more they are wanton X. Hi. 10.2. ὅσφ may stand for the logical ὅτι in  $\tau$ οσούτω Σύρων κακΐων ἐγένετο, ὅσφ Σύροι ἔφυγον he proved himself a greater coward than the Syrians all the more because they fled X. C. 6. 2. 19.
- **2473.** The correlated clauses may be fused when both  $\delta\sigma\psi$  ( $\delta\sigma\sigma\nu$ ) and  $\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\delta\tau\psi$  ( $\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\theta\tau\sigma\nu$ ) are omitted and the predicate of the subordinate clause is a comparative or superlative with a form of  $\epsilon\ell\nu$ ai. Thus,  $\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ is  $\gamma\delta\rho$  odd  $\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\nu\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$  satisfies of other more indigent they are so much the more submissive do they expect to find them X. Hi. 5.4 (=  $\delta\sigma\psi$   $\epsilon\nu\delta\epsilon\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ )  $\epsilon\sigma$ ,  $\tau\sigma\sigma\sigma\sigma\tau\psi$   $\tau\alpha\pi\epsilon\nu\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$ ).

#### EXAMPLES OF COMPARATIVE CLAUSES

- **2474.** The moods in comparative clauses are used with the same meaning as in conditional clauses or other conditional relative clauses.
- **2475.** Indicative: in assertions and statements of fact: ἔρξον ὅπως ἐθέλεις do as thou wilt  $\Delta$  37, ώς δὲ πρὸς τὴν οὐσίᾶν ἡρμοττεν, οὕτως ἐκάστοις προσέταττον but as was suitable to their property, so they gave directions to each I. 7. 44, ἔστιν γὰρ οὕτως ὥσπερ οὖτος ἐννέπει for it is so even as he says S. Tr. 475, ὅσον ai κατὰ τὸ σῶμα ἡδοναὶ ἀπομαραίνονται, τοσοῦτον αἴξονται αὶ περὶ τοὺς λόγους ἐπιθῦμίαι in proportion as the pleasures of the body wane the appetite for philosophical conversation increases P. R. 328 d, ῆκεν ἄγων στρατιὰν ὅσην πλείστην ἐδύνατο he came with an army as large as possible T. 7. 21 (cp. 1087).
- a. With ἄν and the potential or unreal indicative: εἰσπηδήσαντες . . . θᾶττον ἢ ὡς τις ἀν ῷετο jumping in quicker than (as) one could think X.A.1.5.8, ὅσπερ οὖν, εἰ ἀληθῆ ἢν ταῦτα ἄ μου κατηγόρησαν, ἐμοὶ ἀν ὡργίζεσθε . . ., οὕτως ἀξιῶ κτλ. for just as you would be angry with me if their accusations against me were true, so I beg, etc. And 1.24.
- **2476.** Subjunctive with "auν. a. Of future time, as "auλλ "av "

has received and for which he does not return proper gratitude? X. M. 2. 2. 3 (cp. 2326 d).

- b. Of present time, as in general conditions: τὸ μὲν γὰρ πέρας, ὡς ἃν ὁ δαίμων βουληθῆ, πάντων γίγνεται for the end of all events happens as God wills D. 18.92, τοσούτω χαλεπώτερον ἀκούειν τῶν λεγομένων, ὅσω περ ἂν αὐτῶν τις ἀκριβέστερον ἐξετάζη τὰς ἀμαρτίας it is the more difficult for them to pay heed to what is said in proportion to the precision with which their errors are scrutinized I. 11.3.
- **2477.** Optative. a. With ἄν (potential): ἔστι μείζω τἀκείνων ἔργα ἢ ὡς τῷ λόγψ τις ἀν εἴποι their deeds are too great for any one to tell in words D. 6. 11, ὥσπερ αὐτοὶ οὐκ ἀν ἀξιώσαιτε κακῶς ἀκούειν ὑπὸ τῶν ὑμετέρων παίδων, οὕτω μηδὲ τούτψ ἐπίτρεπε περὶ τοῦ πατρὸς βλασφημεῖν just as you yourselves would not think it right to be ill spoken of by your children, even so do not permit him either to utter slanders about his father D. 40. 45.
- c. The optative without aν in indirect discourse may represent aν with the subjunctive of direct discourse; as νομίζων, δοω μὲν θαττον ἔλθοι, τοσούτω ἀπαρασκευαστοτέρω βασιλεῖ μαχεῖσθαι, ὄσω δὲ σχολαιότερον, τοσούτω πλέον συναγείρεσθαι βασιλεῖ στράτευμα in the belief that, the more quickly he advanced, the more unprepared for battle would the king be, while the slower he advanced, the greater would be the army that was collecting for the king X. A. 1, 5.9 (direct = ὅσω ἀν θὶττον ἔλθω . . . μαχοῦμαι, ὅσω ἀν σχολ. ἔλθω τοσούτω πλέον συναγείρεται).
- d. Without  $d\nu$ , of past time, as in general conditions. Thus,  $\xi\nu\nu\epsilon\tau\ell\theta\epsilon\sigma\alpha\nu$  is  $\xi\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\delta\nu$   $\tau$ ,  $\xi\nu\mu\beta\alpha\ell\nu$  they put the stones together as each happened to fit T. 4. 4. Also after a present tense:  $\epsilon\ell\kappa\hat{\eta}$   $\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota\sigma\tau$   $\xi\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\delta\pi\omega$ s  $\delta\dot{\nu}\nu\alpha\iota\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\tau\iota$ s 'tis best to live at hazard, as one may S. O. T. 979 (cp. 2573).
- 2478. ὤσπερ εἰ (ὧσπερεί), ὥσπερ ἂν εἰ (ὧσπερανεί) just as if (= just as would be the case, if) form a combination of a comparison and a condition, and are used with the indicative imperfect (of past time) or a corist or with the optative (commonly when τìs is the subject). ʹωσπερ (ἀν) here represents the suppressed apodosis to the condition with εἰ. In some cases the ellipsis may easily be supplied, but it was usually unconscious.
- a. When  $\[ \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \]$  a its own verb it is used like for instance, as  $\[ \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \]$  and  $\[ (1766 a), \epsilon i \tau ls \]$  me  $\[ \epsilon i \rho o i \tau o i nstance, if any one were to ask one, I should say P. G. 451 a. \]$ 
  - b. With ωσπερ εί, ωσπερ αν εί cp. καθάπερ εί, καθάπερ αν εί.
- **2479.** ὅσπερ εἰ: θαυμάζω δέ σε . . ἀλλόθρουν πόλιν κυρεῖν λέγουσαν, ὅσπερ εἰ παρεστάτεις but I marvel that of a city speaking another tongue thou dost as truly tell as (thou wouldst tell) if thou hadst always been dwelling therein A. Ag. 1201.
- **2480.** ὅσπερ αν εί is more common than ὅσπερ εί. Thus, πρὸς μόνους τοὺς προγόνους τοὺς ἡμετέρους συμβαλόντες ὁμοίως διεφθάρησαν, ισπερ αν (διεφθάρησαν) εί πρὸς ἄπαντας ἀνθρώπους ἐπολέμησαν in contending against our ancestors alone they were destroyed as completely as if they had waged war against all mankind

- I. 4. 69, ὅμοια γάρ μοι δοκοῦσι πάσχειν ὥσπερ (ἄν τις πάσχοι) εἴ τις πολλὰ ἐσθίων μηδέποτε ἐμπίπλαιτο for they seem to me to be in the same condition as if any one for all his eating were never to be filled X. S. 4. 37, ἡσπάζετο αὐτὸν ὥσπερ ἄν (ἀσπάζοιτο) εἴ τις . . . πάλαι φιλῶν ἀσπάζοιτο he greeted him as one would do who had long loved him X. C. 1. 3. 2.
- a. With a participle ισπερ αν εl is sometimes used with much the same force as ισπερ, the εl being added by a confusion of constructions. Thus, ισπερ αν εl και κατακλυσμὸν γεγενῆσθαι των πραγμάτων ἡγούμενοι αs if you believed that there had been also a revolution in politics D. 18. 214: lit. as (you would think) if you believed (for <math>ισπερ αν ἡγούμενοι οτ <math>ισπερ αν εl ἡγε εσθε). Cp. 1766 a. Similarly ισπερ εl has virtually the force of ισπερ αν εl ἡγε εσθε).

### SIMILES AND COMPARISONS

- **2481.**  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ ,  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$   $\dot{\epsilon i}$ ,  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$   $\dot{\epsilon i}$   $\tau \epsilon$  as if,  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$   $\ddot{\delta}\tau \epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\omega}_{S}$   $\dot{\delta}\pi \acute{\delta}\tau \epsilon$  as when are often used in poetry in similes and comparisons.
- a. The present and agrist indicative and subjunctive (usually without  $\delta r$ ) are regularly used. The optative occurs only with  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\epsilon \dot{\iota}$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\epsilon \dot{\iota}$   $\epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon}$ . The verb of the apodosis may sometimes be supplied from the main clause, and the sense may be satisfied in other cases by supplying as happens, as is the case; but as early as Homer the ellipsis was probably unconscious, as it is in English as if, as when. Hence  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\epsilon \dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\delta \tau \epsilon$  are scarcely to be distinguished from  $\dot{\omega}s$ .
- b. The tense of the main clause may be primary or secondary without influence on the construction. Cp. 1935 and 1935 a.
- **2482.**  $\dot{\omega}_S$  ( $\ddot{\omega}_S$   $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ) is followed by the indicative present (less often acrist) or by the subjunctive. Thus,  $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$  od  $\pi a i \delta \dot{\delta}$   $\delta \delta \dot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \tau a i$  or  $\dot{\epsilon} a \kappa a i \omega \nu$ ...,  $\dot{\omega}_S$  'Axide's  $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{a} \rho o i \delta \dot{\nu} \rho \epsilon \tau a$  contains a father waileth when he burneth the bones of his son, so Achilles wailed as he burned the bones of his comtade  $\Psi$  222.
- **2483.** &s is common in Homer with the subjunctive (without åν) depending on the verb of the introductory clause, which is usually past. The simile may begin with &s or with a demonstrative (of or  $\tau$ ούς) after which &s  $\tau$ ε is placed. Thus, &s δὲ λέων μήλοισιν ἀσημάντοισιν ἐπελθών . . . κακὰ φρονέων ἐνορούση, &s μὲν Θρήικας ἄνδρας ἐπώχετο Τυδέος νίδς and as a lion, coming on flocks without a shepherd, with evil purpose leaps upon them, so the son of Tydeus attacked the men of Thrace K 485, ol δ', &s  $\tau$ ' αλγηκιοί . . . πέτρη ἐφ' ψηλ $\hat{\eta}$  μεγάλα κλάζοντε μάχωνται, &s οί κεκλήγοντες ἐπ' ἀλλήλοισιν δρουσαν and they, like vultures who contend with loud screams on a lofty cliff, even so they rushed screaming against each other Π 429. After the subjunctive with &s or &s ὅτε an independent indicative may follow (M 167, Π 296).
- **2484.**  $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\epsilon l$ , commonly  $\dot{\omega}_S$   $\epsilon l$   $\tau \epsilon$ , in Homer is used rarely with the indicative and subjunctive, more frequently with the optative; but usually without any finite verb. Thus,  $\lambda \bar{\omega}_O l$   $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \nu l$   $\dot{\omega}_S e l$   $\tau \epsilon$   $\mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \kappa \tau l \lambda o \nu$   $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \tau \rho$   $\mu l$   $\lambda l$

occurs only after a past tense, except  $\Lambda$  389, a negative present);  $\tau\dot{\omega}$  dé oi  $\delta\sigma\sigma\epsilon$   $\lambda a\mu\pi\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\eta\nu$   $\dot{\omega}s$  et  $\tau\epsilon$   $\pi\nu\rho\dot{s}s$   $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\lambda as$  and his eyes flashed like gleaming fire T 366.

- **2485**. Attic poetry does not use the Epic and Lyric  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}t$   $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$  for  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}l$ . In Attic  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\dot{\epsilon}l$  ( $\dot{\omega}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}l$ ) is practically equivalent to  $\dot{\omega}s$  as, like; thus,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$  obv  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{v}vola$   $\gamma$  add,  $\mu\dot{a}\tau\eta\rho$   $\dot{\omega}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}l$   $\tau\iota s$   $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\dot{a}l$  but at any rate I speak in good-will at least as some faithful mother S. El. 284.
- **2486.** ὡς ὅτε, ὡς ὁπότε are used with the indicative (present or a orist) or the subjunctive (as in general conditions). With the subjunctive ἄν is generally absent in Homer; but ὡς δ' ὅτ' ἄν (never κέν) occurs. The clause with ὡς ὅτε, ὡς ὁπότε generally precedes the main clause. ὡς ὅτε without appreciable difference from ὡς in Ἐριφύλᾶν, ὅρκιον ὡς ὅτε πιστόν, δόντες Οἰκλείδα γυναῖκα having given to the son of Oecles Eriphyle to wife, as a sure pledge Pind. Nem. 9. 16.
- **2487.** A relative pronoun referring to a substantive accompanied by  $\mathring{\omega}s$ ,  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau e$  as often takes the subjunctive (without  $\mathring{a}\nu$ ). Thus,  $\mathring{o}$   $\mathring{o}$   $\mathring{e}\nu$  koving camal  $\pi\acute{e}\sigma\epsilon\nu$  algebra  $\mathring{\omega}s$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\mathring{\rho}\mathring{a}$   $\mathring{\tau}$   $\mathring{e}\nu$  elamev $\mathring{\eta}$  elses megaloous  $\pi\epsilon\varphi\acute{o}\kappa\eta$  hely and he fell to the ground amid the dust like a poplar that has grown up smooth in the lowland of a great marsh  $\Delta$  483.

## ADJECTIVE CLAUSES (RELATIVE CLAUSES: 2488-2573)

2488. Relative clauses correspond to attributive adjectives (or participles), since like adjectives they serve to define substantives. Like adjectives, too, they often have the value of substantives and stand in any case.

δν γὰρ θεοὶ φιλοῦσιν (= ὁ θεοφιλής), ἀποθνήσκει νέος for whom the gods love, dies young Men. Sent. 425,  $\hat{\eta}$  θίγω δῆθ' οι μ' ἔφῦσαν; (= τῶν με φῦσάντων) am I to embrace him who begat me ? E. Ion 560, σὸν τοῖς θησανροῖς οἶς ὁ πατὴρ κατέλιπεν (= τοῖς ὑπὸ τοῦ πατρὸς καταλειφθεῖοι) with the treasures which my father left X. C. 3. 1. 33, ἐν αὐτοῖς οἶς ἐτῖμᾶσθε (= ἐν αὐταῖς ταῖς τῖμαῖς) in the very honours which you received D. 19. 238, ὧν ἔλαβεν ἄπᾶσι μετέδωκεν it shared with all what it received I. 4. 29.

- 2489. Relative clauses are introduced by relative pronouns or by relative adverbs of *time*, place, or manner (cp. 340, 346), and refer to an antecedent expressed or implied in the main clause.
- a. Temporal clauses, which are like relative clauses in many respects, have been treated in 2389 ff. On relatives used as indirect interrogatives and as exclamations, see 2668 ff., 2685 ff.
- **2490.** Many relative clauses are equivalent to coördinate clauses  $(e.g.\ 2553)$ . In such cases the relative has the force of a demonstrative or personal pronoun with a connective  $(\kappa\alpha i, \lambda\lambda\lambda i, \delta\epsilon, \gamma a\rho, \delta v, \tilde{a}\rho a,$  etc.). Thus,  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$   $\delta \hat{v} v$   $\tilde{a} v$   $\tilde{\epsilon} v \circ \chi s$   $\tilde{\epsilon} i \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta}$ ;  $\tilde{\sigma} s$   $(= \delta \hat{v} \tau o s) \gamma \hat{a}\rho$ . . .  $\phi a v \epsilon \rho \hat{s} \hat{\eta} v \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \omega v \tau o s$   $\theta \epsilon o \hat{s} how then could he be subject to the indictment? For he manifestly worshipped the gods X. M. 1. 2. 64. Greek often uses here the demonstrative (contrast <math>\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \delta \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon i \pi \omega v$  with quae cum dixisset).

- 2491. A relative must often be resolved into a conjunction and a pronoun (2555).
- **2492.** A truly subordinate relative clause may precede the main clause or be incorporated into it (2536). The relative clause is often made emphatic by placing after it the main clause with the demonstrative antecedent. Thus, δ τι βούλεται, τοῦτο ποιείτω whatever he wants, that let him do P. Eu. 285 e.
- 2493. ös who and the other simple relatives (e.g. ofos, ooos) refer to a particular and individual person or thing.
- $\hat{\eta}$ ν τις  $\hat{\epsilon}$ ν τ $\hat{\eta}$  στρατι $\hat{\mu}$  Ξενοφών 'Αθηναίος, δς οὕτε στρατηγὸς οὕτε στρατιώτης  $\hat{\omega}$ ν συνηκολούθει there was in the army one Xenophon, an Athenian, who accompanied it though he was neither general nor soldier X. A. 3. 1. 4.
  - a. On the relation of the relative ös to the demonstrative ös, see 1113, 1114.
- **2494.**  $\delta$  (sometimes  $\delta$ ) at the beginning of a sentence may have the force of as to what (cp. quod), suggesting the matter to which it pertains.
- δ δ' ἐζήλωσας ἡμᾶς, ὡς τοὺς μὲν φίλους . . . εὖ ποιεῖν δυνάμεθα . . ., οὐδὲ ταῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει as to what excited your envy of us—that we are able to benefit our friends— not even is this as you suppose X. Hi. 6. 12. The postponed antecedent may be omitted (X. A. 6. 1. 29).
- a. An introductory relative clause with  $\delta$  may stand in apposition to an entire clause that follows. Thus,  $\delta$  πάντων θαυμαστότατον, Σωκράτη μεθύοντα οὐδεἰς πώποτε ἐφρῶκεν ἀνθρώπων what is most wonderful, no one whatsoever ever saw Socrates drunk P. S. 220 a. (So with an infinitive, I. 14. 18.) The main clause, following such a relative clause, may be introduced by ὅτι οι γάρ. Thus,  $\delta$  μὲν πάντων θαυμαστότατον ἀκοῦσαι, ὅτι ἐν ἔκαστον ὧν ἐπηνέσαμεν ἀπόλλῦσι τὴν ψῦχήν what is most wonderful of all to hear, (that) each one of the things we approved ruins the soul P. R. 491 b. Cp. 994, 995.
- **2495.** δσπερ the same as (qui quidem) is especially definite and denotes identity (338 c). δς γε (quippe qui) is causal (2555 a).
- **2496.** δστις whoever and the other compound relatives (e.g. δπόσος, δπόσος) denote a person or thing in general, or mark the class, character, quality, or capacity of a person (less often of a thing).

μακάριος ὄστις οὐσίαν καὶ νοῦν ἔχει happy is the man who possesses property and sense Men. Sent. 340.

- a. After a negative expressed or implied, ὅστις (not ὅς) is used because of its general meaning. So οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις, τίς ἐστιν ὅστις; οὐδείς ἐστιν ὅστις (rarely οὕτις ἐστὶν ὅς), πῶς ὅστις (plural usually πάντες ὅσοι). Cp. 2557.
- b.  $\xi\xi$  őrov is common for  $\xi\xi$  oð since. In Ionic (and Thuc. 6. 3) őστιs is used of a definite object. Cp. Hdt. 1.7, 2.99.
  - 2497. olos of such sort as to, proper for, and ooos of such amount as greek gram. 36

to, enough for, denote result and commonly take the infinitive (negative  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ).

καλόν τε (δοκεί) είναι ή ἐπιστήμη και οΐον ἄρχειν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου knowledge seems to be both a noble thing and able to command man P. Prot. 352 c, ὅσον μόνον γεύσασθαι ἐαντῷ καταλιπών leaving himself only enough to taste X. A. 7. 3. 22. So οίδς τε able to (for τοιοῦτος οίδς τε); thus, συμβουλεύειν οῖοί τ' ἐσόμεθα we shall be able to give counsel P. G. 455 d.

a. On clauses with olos or ὄσοs following a main clause after which we supply a verb of reflection, see 2687.

b. ὄσος is used elliptically in ὅσαι ἡμέραι (ὁσημέραι) daily, ὅσα ἔτη yearly.

- 2498. Local clauses are introduced by the relative adverbs of,  $\delta\pi$ ov,  $\epsilon\nu\theta\alpha$ ,  $\delta\nu$ a (usually poetic, but sometimes in Plato) where, of,  $\delta\pi$ ov,  $\epsilon\nu\theta\alpha$  whither,  $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$ ,  $\delta\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  whence,  $\hbar$ ,  $\delta\pi\eta$  which way, where, whither.  $\delta\theta\iota$  and  $\delta\pi\delta\theta\iota$  where are Epic and Lyric,  $\hbar\chi\iota$  where is Epic.  $\epsilon\nu\theta\alpha$  and  $\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\nu$  are also demonstratives (there, thence).
- 2499. With names of things the relative adverbs ἔνθα, η, ὅθεν, οἶ, οὖ are often used instead of the relative pronouns preceded by ἐν, εἰs, ξξ. Thus, πλησίον ην ὁ σταθμὸς ἔνθα (= εἰs ὅν) ἔμελλε καταλόειν the stopping-place was near where he intended to make a halt X. A. 1. 8. 1, ἐν τῷ σταθμῷ . . . ὅθεν (= ἐξ οὖ) ὡρμῶντο at the stopping-place whence they set out 2. 1. 3. A relative adverb may also refer to a personal antecedent, as καταβαίνειν πρὸς τοὺς ἄλλους ἔνθα τὰ ὅπλα ἔκειντο to descend to the others where the armed force was stationed X. A. 4. 2. 20.
- 2500. On comparative clauses of manner introduced by  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$  etc., see 2463 ff.

## CONCORD OF RELATIVE PRONOUNS

2501. A relative pronoun agrees with its antecedent in gender, number, and person; its case is determined by the construction of the clause in which it stands.

οδτός ἐστιν ὁ ἀνὴρ δς ἢλθε this is the man who came, αὕτη ἐστὶν ἡ γυνὴ ἡν ἐζητοῦμεν this is the woman whom we were looking for, λαβών τοὺς ἰππέας οι ἢσαν αὐτῷ taking the cavalry which he had, ἔχων τοὺς ὁπλίτας ῶν ἐστρατήγει having the hoplites which he commanded, τριῶν θυρῶν οὐσῶν, ας ἔδει με διελθεῖν there being three doors through which I had to go.

b. The person of the verb in a relative clause, in which the relative pronoun is the subject, is regularly determined by the person of the antecedent pronoun expressed or implied. Thus,  $o\dot{v}\kappa$   $o\ddot{t}\delta$   $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau$  is  $\ddot{a}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi$  os  $\gamma\epsilon\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta\mu\alpha$ . I do not know what sort of a person I have become X. C. 1. 4. 12, καὶ οἰκία  $\gamma\epsilon$  πολύ μείζων  $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\ddot{a}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\dot{s}$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}\dot{s}$ , of  $\gamma\epsilon$  oἰκία  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta\epsilon$   $\gamma\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\epsilon$  καὶ οἰραν $\dot{\omega}$  and your habitation is much larger than mine since you occupy both heaven and earth as a habitation 5. 2. 15. The third person rarely follows a vocative (P 248).

- 2502. Variations from the law of agreement are, in general, the same as in the case of other pronouns (926).
- a. The construction according to sense (950, 1013) often occurs, as φlλον θάλος, δν τέκον αὐτή my dear child, whom I myself bore X 87; so with collective nouns, as τὰ δόξαντα πλήθει, οἴπερ δικάσουσι what is approved by the multitude, who will judge P. Phae. 260 a.
- b. A relative in the plural may follow a singular antecedent denoting a whole class: θησαυροποιὸς ἀνήρ, οὖς... ἐπαινεῖ τὸ πλῆθος α man who lays up a store, the class of men which the multitude approves P. R. 554 a. This construction is less common in prose than in poetry; as ἢ μάλα τις θεὸς ἔνδον, οἱ οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἔχουσιν in truth there is within some one of the gods who occupy the wide heaven τ 40.
- d. The relative may stand in the neuter, in agreement with the notion implied in the antecedent rather than with the antecedent itself; as  $\delta i \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\gamma} \hat{\nu} = \hat{\nu} + \hat{\nu} +$
- e. The relative may agree in gender and number, not with the antecedent but with a following predicate noun. This is common with verbs of naming; as λόγοι μήν είσιν ἐν ἐκάστοις ἡμῶν, ἑs ἐλπίδις ὁνομάζομεν; assuredly there are propositions in each of us which we call hopes? P. Phil. 40 a, εἶπεν ὅτι . . . διαγεγένηται πράττων τὰ δίκαια καὶ τῶν ἀδίκων ἀπεχόμενος, ἤνπερ νομίζοι καλλίστην μελέτην ἀπολογίᾶς εἶναι he said that he had continued to do what was just and to refrain from what was unjust, which he thought was the best practice for his defence X. M. 4. 8. 4.

## THE ANTECEDENT OF RELATIVE CLAUSES

- **2503.** The demonstrative antecedents of the relative pronouns are commonly: οὖτος . . . ὄς, τοιοῦτος . . . οἶος, τοσοῦτος . . . ὄσος, τηλικοῦτος . . . ἡλίκος, etc.
- a. The antecedent of  $\delta s$  is often  $\tau o \iota o \hat{v} \tau o s$  (1249). The antecedent of  $\delta s$ ,  $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ , of os, may be  $\delta \mu o \iota o s$ ,  $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$ ,  $\ell \sigma o s$ .
- **2504.** On comparative clauses of degree with τοσούτφ... δσφ, etc., see 2468 ff.
- 2505. Definite and Indefinite Antecedent.—The antecedent of a relative pronoun or adverb may be definite or indefinite.

- a. A definite antecedent refers to a definite or particular person, thing, time, place, or manner. When the antecedent is definite, the relative clause takes any form that occurs in an independent sentence (921); with  $o\dot{v}$  as the negative, unless the particular construction requires  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ .
- b. An indefinite antecedent refers to an indefinite person, thing, time, place, or manner. When the antecedent is indefinite, the relative clause commonly has a conditional force, and, if negative, takes  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  like the protasis of a conditional sentence.
- **2506.** In general when the relative clause has the indicative, the antecedent is either definite (negative  $o\vec{v}$ ) or indefinite (negative  $\mu\hat{\eta}$ ). When the relative clause has the subjunctive with  $\delta v$  or the optative (not in a wish), the antecedent is indefinite (negative  $\mu\hat{\eta}$ ).

Definite:  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \hat{a} \beta o \acute{v} \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \rho \acute{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$  he does what he wants (i.e. the particular thing he wants to do). Negative  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \hat{a} o \acute{v} \beta o \acute{v} \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \rho \acute{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ .

Indefinite: ταῦτα ἄτινα βούλεται πράττει he does whatever he wants (i.e. if he wants to do anything, he does it); negative <math>ταῦτα ἄτινα μὴ βούλεται πράττει. So ταῦτα ἄτινα ἀν βούλεται πράττει whatever he wants to do, that he always does, ταῦτα ἄτινα βούλοιτο ἔπρᾶττε whatever he wanted to do, that he always did, <math>ταῦτα ἄτινα ἀν βούληται πράξει whatever he wants to do, that he will do, <math>ταῦτα ἄτινα βούλοιτο, πράττοι ἄν whatever he might want to do, that he would (will) do. In the last four sentences the negative of the relative clause is <math>μή.

2507. When the verb of the relative clause stands in the indicative, the distinction between a definite and indefinite antecedent is commonly clear only in negative sentences.

â μὴ οἶδα οὐδὲ οἴομαι εἰδέναι whatever I do not know (=εἴ τινα μὴ οἶδα) I do not even think I know P. A. 21 d. Here â οὐκ οἶδα would mean the particular things I am ignorant of, and would have no conditional force whatever. So in οὐκ οἶδ' ἐφ' οἶѕ γὰρ μὴ φρονῶ σἶγᾶν φιλῶ I do not know; for I am wont to be silent in matters which I do not understand S. O. T. 569.

- **2508.** When the antecedent is definite, the simple relatives ( $\tilde{o}_s$ ,  $\tilde{o}_{los}$ ,  $\tilde{o}_{los}$
- 2509. Omission of the Antecedent to a Relative.—The demonstrative pronoun antecedent to a relative is often omitted: either when it is in the same case as the relative, or in a different case from the relative. The omission occurs when the antecedent expresses the general idea of person or thing, and often when the relative clause precedes.

έγω δὲ καὶ (οὖτοι) ὧν κρατῶ μενοῦμεν but I and those whom I command will remain X. C.5. 1.26, καλὸν τὸ θνήσκειν οῖs (for τούτοις οῖs) ὕβριν τὸ ζῆν φέρει death is sweet to those to whom life brings contumely Men. Sent. 291, λέγω πάντας

είσφέρειν ἀφ' ὅσων (for ἀπὸ τοσούτων ὅσα) ἕκαστος ἔχει I say that all must contribute according to the ability of each (from such means as each man has) D. 2.31.

**2510.** In general statements in the subjunctive with  $\delta\nu$  or the indicative, the relative, referring to a person, is often without an antecedent and has the force of  $\epsilon\ell$   $\tau\iota s$ . In such cases the main clause contains a substantive or a neuter adjective with  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$  (which is commonly omitted), and the relative is the subject of the sentence or in apposition to it.

συμφορὰ δ', δε ὰν τύχη κακῆς γυναικός it is a calamity if a man gets a bad wife E. fr. 1056, και τοῦτο μεῖζον τῆς ἀληθείας κακόν, ὅστις τὰ μὴ προσόντα κέκτηται κακά and this is a misfortune exceeding the reality, if a man incurs the blame for evils that are not his doing E. Hel. 271, ὅστις . . . πρὸς θεῶν κακοῦται, βαρύ if a man suffers ill-usage from the gods, it is grievous E. Hel. 267.

- a. The antecedent may be a genitive of quality (1320). Thus,  $d\pi \delta \rho \omega \nu \ \epsilon \sigma \tau i$  . . . , οἴτινες ἐθέλουσι δι' ἐπιορκίᾶς . . . πράττειν τι it is the characteristic of men without resources to wish (lit. who wish) to accomplish their purposes by perjury X. A. 2. 5. 21 (here ἐθέλειν alone might be expected, but ͼἴτινες ἐθέλουσι follows as if ἄποροι εἰσιν had preceded), τοῦτο ἡγοῦμαι μέγα τεκμήριον ἄρχοντος ἀρετῆς εἶναι ῷ ἄν (= ἐάν τινι or αὐτῷ) ἐκόντες ἔπωνται I regard this as striking testimony to the merit of a ruler if men follow one (him) of their own free will X. O. 4. 19.
- **2511.** The antecedent of a neuter relative is often omitted, leaving the relative with the force of a conjunction. So  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  or and  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$  or since,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  or while,  $\dot{\epsilon}$  is  $\dot{\delta}$  till,  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\nu$  ( $\dot{\alpha}\chi\rho\nu$ ) or until.  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta'$  or and  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$  or because (cp. oureka, oboveka),  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$  or condition that (2279).
- **2512.** A demonstrative adverb may be suppressed:  $\mathring{a}\xi\omega \mathring{v}\mu \mathring{a}s \mathring{\epsilon}v\theta a$  (for  $\mathring{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon \hat{i}\sigma\epsilon \mathring{\epsilon}v\theta a$ )  $\tau \delta \pi \rho \hat{a}\gamma \mu a \mathring{\epsilon}\gamma \acute{\epsilon}v\epsilon \tau o$  I will bring you to the spot where the affair took place X. C. 5. 4. 21,  $\mathring{a}\pi o\kappa \lambda \epsilon i ov \tau \epsilon s \mathring{o}\epsilon v$  (for  $\mathring{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon \hat{i}\theta\epsilon v \mathring{o}\theta\epsilon v$ )  $\mathring{a}v \tau \iota \lambda a\beta\epsilon \hat{i}v \mathring{y}$  shutting them out from places whence it may be possible to take anything X. M. 2. 1. 16.
- 2513. ἔστιν ὅστις, εἰσὶν οῖ. The antecedent is omitted in the phrases ἔστιν ὅστις (rarely ὅς) there is some one who, somebody, plural εἰσὶν οῖ some (less often ἔστιν οῖ), ἦσαν οῖ (of the past).

έστιν οὖν ὅστις βούλεται ὑπὸ τῶν συνόντων βλάπτεσθαι; is there then any one who wishes to be harmed by his companions? P. A. 25 d, οὔτε. .ἔστιν οὔτ' ἔσται ὅτφ ἐγὼ καταλείψω τὸν ἐμὸν οἶκον there neither is nor will there be any one to whom I may leave my property X. C. 5. 4. 30, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οῦ. . . .φεύγουσιν some horses too run away X. Eq. 3. 4, εἰσὶ δὶ ἀτῶν οὖς οὐδὶ ᾶν παντάπᾶσι διαβαίητε and some of them you would not be able even to cross at all X. A. 2. 5. 18, ἢσαν δὲ οῖ καὶ πῦρ προσέφερον and some brought firebrands too 5. 2. 14, ἔστιν ὅτφ. . . πλείω ἐπιτρέπεις ἢ τῷ γυναικί; is there any one to whom you entrust more than to your wife? X. O. 3. 12, ἔστιν οἵ καὶ ἐπίγχανον καὶ θωράκων καὶ γέρρων some hit both the cuirasses and wicker-shields X. C. 2. 3. 18. ἔστιν οἵ is not an example of 961, but due to the analogy of ἔστιν ὅτε (ἐνίστε), ἔστιν οδ, etc.

**2514.** The oblique cases of  $\vec{\epsilon}$  of there are those who = some ( $\vec{\epsilon}\nu$ ioi) are regularly formed by  $\vec{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\nu$  ov,  $\vec{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\nu$  ov,  $\vec{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\nu$  ov, (or ov $\sigma\tau\nu$ as), which are used also of the past and future.

- πλην Ίωνων . . . καὶ ἔστιν ὧν ἄλλων ἐθνῶν except the Ionians and some other nations T. 3.92, αυχμοὶ ἔστι παρ' οἶς μεγάλοι great droughts among some 1.23, ἔστι μὲν οὖς αὐτῶν κατέβαλον some of them they struck down X. H. 2.4.6, ἔστιν â καὶ πολίσματα εἶλεν he captured also some towns T. 1.65.
- a. Xenophon also uses  $\hat{\eta}\nu$  or; thus,  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$   $\pi o\lambda\epsilon\mu\ell\omega\nu$   $\hat{\eta}\nu$  ovs  $\dot{\nu}\pi o\sigma\pi\dot{\nu}\nu\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}$  there were some of the enemy whom they restored under a truce X. H. 7. 5. 17.
- **2515.** Here belong certain idiomatic phrases due to the omission of the antecedent:  $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  où  $(\mathring{\sigma}\pi\sigma\nu)$  somewhere, sometimes,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\mathring{\eta}$  in some way,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  öt and  $\mathring{\epsilon}\nu\acute{\iota}\sigma\epsilon$  (=  $\mathring{\epsilon}\nu$ 1  $\mathring{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$ , cp. 175 b) sometimes,  $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  ötws somehow (in questions = is it possible that ?), où  $\mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$  ötws in no way, it is not possible that (lit. there is not how).
- έστι δ' οὖ σῖγὴ λόγου κρείσσων γένοιτ' ἄν but sometimes silence may prove better than speech E. Or. 638, ἔστιν ὅτε καὶ οἶς (2514) βέλτῖον τεθνάναι ἢ ζῆν sometimes and for some people it is better to die than to live P. Ph. 62 a, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως . . . αν ἡμᾶς ἔτι λάθοι it is not possible that he should elude us again A. Vesp. 212, οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως οὐκ ἐπιθήσεται ἡμῖν it is not possible that he will not attack us X. A. 2. 4. 3.
- **2516.** oùdèr olor (with the inf.) there is nothing like stands for oùdér é $\sigma\tau\iota$  τοιοῦτον, οίδν έ $\sigma\tau\iota$ . Thus, οὐδὲν οίον τὸ αὐτὸν έρωτᾶν there is nothing like questioning him P. G. 447 c.
- **2517.** Relative not repeated. If two or more relative clauses referring to the same antecedent are connected by a copulative conjunction and the second relative would have to stand in a different case from the first, it is either omitted or its place is taken by  $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \acute{o} s$  (less frequently by  $o \tilde{v} \tau o s$  or  $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{v} r o s$ ) or a personal pronoun. Here, instead of a repeated relative, we have an independent sentence coördinated with the relative clause.
- 'Aριαῖος, ὅν ἡμεῖς ἡθέλομεν βασιλέᾶ καθιστάναι, καὶ (ῷ) ἐδώκαμεν καὶ (παρ' οὖ) ἐλάβομεν πιστὰ . . . ἡμᾶς κακῶς ποιεῖν πειρᾶται Ariaeus, whom we wished to set up as king, and to whom we gave, and from whom we received pledges, is attempting to injure us X. A. 3. 2. 5, ποῦ δὴ ἐκεῖνὸς ἐστιν ὁ ἀνὴρ δς συνεθήρᾶ ἡμῖν καὶ σύ μοι μάλα ἐδόκεις θανμάζειν αὐτόν ; where, pray, is that man who used to hunt with us and whom you seemed to me to admire greatly? X. C. 3. 1. 38, καὶ νῦν τί χρὴ δρᾶν; δστις ἐμφανῶς θεοῖς ἐχθαίρομαι, μῖσεῖ δέ μ' Ἑλλήνων στρατός and now what must I do? Since I (lit. I who) am manifestly hateful to the gods, and the army of the Greeks hates me S. Aj. 457. Cp. "Whose fan is in His hand, and He shall thoroughly purge His floor."
- a. The relative is sometimes repeated as in English (X.A.1.7.3, T.2.43.2, 44.1).

- 2519. Preposition not repeated.—A preposition governing a relative pronoun is usually omitted if it stands in the same case as the preceding noun or pronoun before which the preposition has already been used. See 1671.
- **2520.** Verb omitted.—The verb of a relative clause is often omitted when it belongs also to the main clause.

φίλους νομίζουσ' οἴσπερ ἀν πόσις σέθεν (νομίζη φίλους) regarding as friends those whom thy husband so regards E. Med. 1153. Or the verb of the main clause may be omitted: τὰ γὰρ ἄλλα (ἐποίει) ὅσαπερ καὶ ὑμεῖς ἐποιεῖτε for the rest he did just what you too were doing X. C. 4. 1. 3.

2521. Transition from a relative to an independent clause sometimes occurs.

( $l\chi\theta$ ύων) οὖs οἱ Σύροι θεοὺs ἐνδμιζον καὶ ἀδικεῖν οὐκ εἴων, οὐδὲ τὰ̈s περιστερᾶs fish which the Syrians regard as gods and which they will not permit to be injured, nor do they permit the doves to be injured X. A. 1. 4. 9.

- 2522. Attraction. A relative pronoun is often attracted from its proper case into the case of its antecedent, especially from the accusative into the genitive or dative. A demonstrative pronoun to whose case the relative is attracted, is usually omitted if unemphatic. Cp. "Vengeance is his, or whose he sole appoints:" Milton.
- a. Genitive. ἄξιοι τῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς ῆς (for ῆν) κέκτησθε worthy of the freedom which you possess X. A. 1. 7. 3, πρὸ τῶν κακῶν ὧν (for α) οἶδα instead of the evils which I know P. A. 29 b, ἀφ' ὧν (for τούτων α) ἴστε from what you know D. 19. 216, Μήδων ὅσων (for ὅσους) ἐώρᾶκα . . . ὁ ἐμὸς πάππος κάλλιστος my grandfather is the handsomest of all the Medes I have seen X. C. 1. 3. 2, μη ὑποκειμένων οἵων δεῖ θεμελίων (for τοιούτων οἷα δεῖ ὑποκεῖσθαι) if the foundations were not as they ought to be X. Eq. 1. 2.

b. Dative. — φοβοίμην ἃν τῷ ἡγεμόνι ῷ (for ôν) δοίη ἔπεσθαι I should fear to follow the leader whom he might give X. A. 1. 3. 17, ἐπαινῶ σε ἐφ' οἶs (for ἐπὶ τούτοις ἃ) λέγεις I commend you for what you say 3. 1. 45, οἶs (for τούτοις ἃ) ηὐτυχήκεσαν ἐν Λεύκτροις οὐ μετρίως ἐκέχρηντο they had not used with moderation the success they gained at Leuctra D. 18. 18.

- 2524. The pronouns subject to attraction are  $\delta s$ ,  $\delta i o s$ ,  $\delta i o s$ , but not  $\delta \sigma \tau i s$  (except in 2534). Attraction is not necessary, and takes place only (but not always) when the relative clause is essential to complete the meaning of the antecedent. When the relative clause is added merely as a remark, attraction does not take place. An attracted relative clause virtually has the force of an attributive adjective.
- 2525. Predicate nouns follow the case of the relative attracted to an antecedent expressed or omitted (2531 b).

- **2526.** An omitted antecedent to which the relative has been attracted may afterward be supplied in the main clause. Thus, ἀφ' ὧν (for ἀπὸ τούτων ἃ) . . . προσαιτεῖ καὶ δανείζεται, ἀπὸ τούτων διάγει from what he begs and borrows, from that he lives D. 8.26.
- **2527.** Before βούλει, which with the relative is treated almost like one word (cp. quivis), attraction to various cases from the accusative is rare. Thus, οἶα τούτων δε (for δν) βούλει εἴργασται such deeds as any one you please of these has done P. G. 517 a; cp. P. Crat. 432 a, Phil. 43 d.
- **2528.** Attraction takes place also in the case of relative adverbs; as διεκομίζοντο ὅθεν (for ἐκεῖθεν οῖ) ὑπεξέθεντο παίδαs they conveyed their children from the places where (whither) they had deposited them T. 1.89.
- 2529. Case of the Relative with Omitted Antecedent.—When the antecedent is omitted the relative either retains its own case or is attracted.
- **2530.** When the omitted antecedent is nominative or accusative, the relative retains its own case. Thus, οἶς μάλιστα τὰ παρόντα ἀρκεῖ (οῦτοι) ἥκιστα τῶν ἀλλοτρίων ὀρέγονται those who are best satisfied with what they have, covet least what is their neighbour's X. S. 4. 42, στυγῶν μὲν ἥ (= ἐκείνην ἥ) μ' ἔτικτεν hating her who bore me E. Alc. 338.
- 2531. When the omitted antecedent is genitive or dative, the relative (if standing in a different case) is usually attracted into the genitive or dative. But a relative in the nominative masculine or feminine (sometimes in the neuter), or a relative depending on a preposition, retains its own case.
- a. Genitive:  $\delta \nu$  (for τούτων οἶs) ἐντυγχάνω πολὺ μάλιστα ἄγαμαι σέ of those whom I meet with, I admire you by far the most P. Pr. 361 e, δηλοῖς δὲ καὶ ἐξ  $\delta \nu$  (for ἐκ τούτων &) ζῆς you show it also by the life you lead D. 18. 198. But εἰδέναι την δύναμιν (τούτων) ἐφ' οὖς ἄν ἴωσιν to discover the strength of those against whom they are to proceed X. A. 5. 1. 8. Cp. E. Ion 560 (in 2488) where οἴ = τούτων οἵ.
- b. Dative: τοῦτο δ' ὅμοιὁν ἐστιν ῷ (for τούτφ δ) νῦν δη ἐλέγετο this is like that which was said just now P. Ph. 69 a, ἐμμένομεν οἶς (for τούτοις ἃ) ωμολογήσαμεν δικαίοις οὖσιν ἢ οὕ; do we abide by what we agreed was just, or not ? P. Cr. 50 a. But διὰ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον αὐτοῖς εἶναι διαλέγεσθαι (τούτοις) παρ' ὧν λάβοιεν τὸν μισθόν because it is necessary for them to give lessons to those from whom they expect to receive their fee <math>X. M. 1. 2. 6.
- 2532. The relatives οἶος, ὅσος, ἡλίκος, ὅστις δή, ὁστισοῦν (and some others) and a following nominative with the copula may be attracted to the case of the antecedent. Thus, χαριζόμενος τοιούτω ἀνδρὶ οἷος σὲ ελοωνίης favour to such a man as you are is commonly condensed to χαριζόμενος οἷω σοι ἀνδρί (X. M. 2. 9. 3). Here the whole relative clause (with copula omitted) is attracted. The antecedent, if expressed, is often incorporated (2536) in the relative clause.

πρὸς ἄνδρας τολμηρούς οἴους καὶ 'Αθηναίους (for οῖοι καὶ 'Αθηναίοι εἰσὶ) to bold men such as the Athenians T. 7.21, ἀνίστη 'Αγριᾶνας . . . καὶ ἄλλα ὅσα ἔθνη Παιονικά he called out the Agrianes and all the other Paeonian tribes 2.96, χειμῶνος ὅντος

- οἴου λέγειs when the weather is such as you describe X. A. 5. 8. 3, ἀνέλαμψεν οἰκία . . . ὅτου δὴ ἐνάψαντος (for ἐνάψαντός τινος ὅστις δὴ ħν) the house burst into flames, some one or other having set it on fire 5. 2. 24.
- a. olos is often attracted with superlatives; όντος πάγου οΐου δεωστάτου (for τοιούτου olos έστι δεωδτατος) when the frost was tremendous P. S. 220 b. Cp. 1087.
- b. The article may appear in this construction with olos and  $\dot{\eta}\lambda l \kappa \sigma s$ , the relative clause being treated like a substantive:  $\tau \sigma \hat{\iota} s$  olos  $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu$  to such as we are X. H. 2. 3. 25.
- c. The subject of the relative clause rarely stands in the nominative, not being attracted along with ofos. Thus,  $\kappa\iota\nu\alpha\iota\delta\omega$  of our  $\pi\epsilon\rho$  of rascals just like you Aes. 2. 151. This occurs only when the number of the subject is different from that of the attracted relative. When the article precedes, as in  $\Sigma\delta\lambda\omega\nu$  è $\mu$ doe of os of  $\tau$ 0s of  $\tau$ 0
- 2533. Inverse Attraction. An antecedent nominative or (oftener) accusative may be attracted to the case of the relative. The attracted antecedent is often prefixed for emphasis to the relative clause, which thus separates it from the verb it governs or by which it is governed. Cp. urbem quam statuo vestra est, and "Him (= he whom) I accuse, By this, the city ports hath enter'd" (Shakespeare), where the antecedent is attracted into the case of the (omitted) relative.
- τάσδε (for αίδε) δ' ἄσπερ εἰσορậς . . . χωροῦσι but the women whom thou seest are coming S. Tr. 283, πολῖτείᾶν (for πολῖτείᾶ) οἵᾶν εἶναι χρή παρὰ μόνοις ἡμῶν ἐστιν we alone have an ideal constitution (lit. such as ought to be) I. 6.48, ἔλεγον ὅτι Λακεδαιμόνιοι ὧν δέονται πάντων (for πάντα) πεπρᾶγότες εἶεν they said that the Lacedaemonians had gained all they asked for X. H. 1.4.2.
- a. The main clause may contain a resumptive demonstrative pronoun; as τον άνδρα τοῦτον, δν πάλαι ζητεῖς . . . , οῦτος έστιν ένθάδε this man whom you have long been searching for, this man is here S.O.T. 449.
- b. The rare cases of the inverse attraction of the dative are suspected or admit another explanation (E. Med. 12, S. El. 653, X. Hi. 7.2).
- c. So with adverbs: καὶ ἄλλοσε (for ἄλλοθι) ὅποι ἄν ἀφίκη ἀγαπήσουσί σε and elsewhere, wherever you go, they will love you P. Cr. 45 c.
- 2534. οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ every one (lit. nobody who not) for οὐδείς ἐστιν ὅστις οὐ, commonly shows inverse attraction, is treated like a single pronoun, and inflected οὐδενὸς ὅτου οὐ, οὐδενὸ ὅτο οὐ, οὐδένο ὅντινα οὐ.
- οὐδενὸς ὅτου οὐχὶ ἀλογώτερον than which there is nothing more irrational P. Charm. 175 c, οὐδενὶ ὅτω οὐκ ἀποκρῖνόμενος replying to every one P. Men. 70 c, περὶ ὧν οὐδένα κίνδῦνον ὅντιν' οὐχ ὑπέμειναν οἱ πρόγονοι for which our ancestors underwent every danger D. 18, 200.
- a. Cp. οὐδαμῶν Ἑλληνικῶν τῶν οὐ πολλὸν μέζω his power was much greater than any Hellenic power Hdt. 7.145 (= οὐδαμά έστι τῶν), οὐδαμῶς ὡς οὐ φήσομεν it can in no wise be that we should say no P. Pol. 308 b.
- 2535. For preceded by an Adjective. Here the subject of the relative clause is identical with that of the main clause, and is omitted together with the

copula: χρήματα έλαβε θαυμαστὰ ὅσα (for θαυμαστόν ἐστιν ὅσα) he received a wonderful amount of money P. Hipp. M. 282 c, μετὰ ἰδρῶτος θαυμαστοῦ ὅσου (for θαυμαστόν ἐστιν μεθ' ὅσου) with an astonishing amount of sweat P. R. 350 d. So θαυμασίως ὡς (for θαυμαστόν ἐστιν ὡς) P. Ph. 92 a.

- 2536. Incorporation. The antecedent taken up into the relative clause is said to be incorporated. The relative and antecedent then stand in the same case, the relative agreeing adjectively with its antecedent. If the antecedent is a substantive, it often stands at the end of the relative clause, and commonly has no article. An antecedent in the nominative or accusative is more frequently incorporated than one in the genitive or dative.
- 2537. A nominative, accusative, or vocative antecedent, when incorporated, usually conforms to the case of the relative.
- εί ἔστιν, ἢν σὸ πρότερον ἔλεγες ἀρετήν, ἀληθής (for ἔστιν ἡ ἀρετὴ ἀληθής, ἢν) if the virtue which you were speaking of before, is real P. G. 503 c, εἰς δὲ ἢν ἀφίκοντο κώμην μεγάλη ἢν (for ἡ κώμη εἰς ἢν) the village at which they arrived was large X. A. 4. 2, κλῦθί μεν, δ χθιζὸς θεὸς ἤλυθες (for θεὸς δ or  $\mathring{\omega}$  θεὸς) hear me thou that camest yesterday in thy godhead  $\mathring{\beta}$  262.
- a. An accusative antecedent is incorporated in the accusative when the verb of the relative clause takes the accusative. Thus, οὐκ ἀπεκρύπτετο ἢν εἶχε γνώμην (for τὴν γνώμην ἢν) he did not conceal the opinion he had X. M. 4. 4. 1, μηδ' . . . ἀφέλησθε ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἢν διὰ παντὸς ἀεὶ τοῦ χρόνου δόξαν κέκτησθε καλήν (for τὴν καλὴν δόξαν ἢν) do not deprive yourselves of the fair fame which you have enjoyed throughout all time D. 20. 142.
- h. An accusative antecedent may be incorporated as nominative, genitive, or dative, e.g. εἴ τινα ὁρώη . . . κατασκευάζοντα η̂ς ἄρχοι χώρᾶς (for τὴν χώρᾶν η̂ς ἄρχοι) if ever he saw any one improving the district which he governed X. A. 1.9.19.
- 2538. A genitive or dative antecedent, when incorporated, usually attracts the relative to its own case.
- περὶ δ' οῦ πρότερον . . . ἔθηκε νόμου διελθών (for τοῦ νόμου δν) dealing in detail with the law which he formerly passed D.24.61, ἐπορεύετο σὺν ἢ εἶχε δυνάμει (for σὺν τἢ δυνάμει ἡν) he advanced with what force he had X. H. 4.1.23. Even when the antecedent is omitted, the attraction takes place: πρὸς ῷ εἶχε συνέλεγε . . . στράτευμα (for πρὸς τούτ $\psi$  τῷ στρατεύματι δ) he was collecting an army in addition to that which he had X. H. 4.1.41.
- a. But a genitive or dative antecedent, when incorporated, is attracted into the case of a nominative relative. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  dikastyplois kal dool dymboios oùthoyoi (se.  $\dot{\epsilon}l\sigma l$ ) in courts and all the other public assemblies P. Phae. 261 a (for tosovitois athlois suphboyois, bool dymboiol  $\dot{\epsilon}l\sigma l$ ).
- b. When an antecedent in the genitive or dative is incorporated, the place of the antecedent is usually taken by a demonstrative pronoun in the genitive or dative. Thus, οὐδέ νν τῶν περ μέμνηαι, ὅσα δὴ πάθομεν κακά nor do you remember all the evils we suffered  $\Phi$  441.

# OTHER PECULIARITIES OF RELATIVE CLAUSES

- **2539.** Appositives to the antecedent may be drawn into the relative clause as the nearest construction or for the sake of emphasis. Thus,  $\epsilon^{i}\rho\eta\sigma\epsilon_{i}$   $\tau$ 00s... δικαστάς,  $\delta^{i}\pi\epsilon_{\rho}$  και λέγονται έκει δικάζειν, Μίνως  $\tau\epsilon$  και 'Ραδάμανθνς κτλ. he will find the judges, who are said to pronounce judyment there, Minos and Rhadamanthys, etc. P. A. 41 a.
- 2540. A substantive, usually with the article, is often taken over into the relative clause, to explain, by a necessary addition, the idea conveyed by that clause; and stands in the same case as the relative. Thus, εἰ μανθάνεις δ βούλομαι λέγειν τὸ εἶδος if you understand the class I wish to describe P. R. 477 c, οὅτε αὐτοὶ οὕτε οὕς φαμεν ἡμῦν παιδευτέον εἶναι τοὺς φύλακας neither ourselves nor the guardians whom we say we must instruct 402 c.
- 2542. An attributive adjective, or an attributive genitive belonging to a substantive standing in the main clause, may be placed either in the relative clause (if either is emphatic) or in the main clause. Two adjectives may be divided between the two clauses. The substantives may remain in the main clause or be transferred to the relative clause. Thus, το τείχισμα δ ἢν αὐτδθι τῶν Συρᾶκοσίων αἰροῦσι they captured the fort of the Syracusans which was there T. 7.43, ὧν ἐγὼ ἤθελον τούτω ταύτην ήτις εἴη μεγίστη πίστις δοῦναι of which I was willing to offer to the plaintiff the assurance that was most solemn D. 52.12, ἐπιδείξαι . . . τὴν δικαίαν ἤτις ἐστὶν ἀπολογία to show what the fair line of defence is 19.203, ἔφριξεν δὶ μάχη . . . ἐγχείησιν μακρῆς, α̈s εἶχον ταμεσίχροαs and the battle bristled with the long spears, the flesh-piercing spears, which they grasped N 339.
- 2543. A participial or subordinate clause depending on a following main clause may be joined to a preceding clause containing the antecedent of the relative. Thus, ξφη εἶναι ἄκρον δ εἰ μή τις προκαταλήψοιτο, ἀδύνατον ἔσεσθαι παρελθεῖν he said that there was a height which would be impossible to pass, unless it was seized in advance X. A. 4. 1. 25. The case of the relative may be not that required by its own verb, but that of an omitted pronoun dependent on a participle or a subordinate verb inserted in the relative clause. Thus, καταλαμβάνουσι τεῖχος . . . ὅ ποτε ᾿Ακαρνᾶνες τειχισάμενοι κοινῷ δικαστηρίῳ ἐχρῶντο (for ῷ ἐχρῶντο τειχισάμενοι αὐτό) they seized a fortress which the Acarnanians once built and used as a common place of judgment T. 3. 105.
- **2544.** When the relative clause contains a verb of naming, the main clause is fused with the relative clause. Thus, ἔνθα καλεῖται ᾿Αρτέμιδος τέμενος (for ἔνθα τέμενος έστι, δ καλεῖται ᾿Αρτέμιδος) where there is a precinct of Artemis Simonides 107.

# USE OF THE MOODS IN RELATIVE CLAUSES

- 2545. The ordinary uses of the moods in relative clauses are as follows:
- a. The present and past tenses of the indicative without &v express a fact or the assumption of a fact. The future indicative is used to denote purpose, present intention, or an intended result.
  - b. The indicative with  $\alpha_{\nu}$  denotes unreality.
- c. The subjunctive with  $\alpha_{\nu}$  expresses a possible or supposed fact in future time or a generality in present time. The subjunctive without  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is used in indirect questions (1805 b).
- d. The optative without  $\alpha \nu$  expresses a wish, a possibility less distinctly conceived, or a generality in past time.
- e. The optative with  $d\nu$  is potential, and is used either in conditional relative clauses with an optative in the main clause, or alone, as  $\mu l' \xi \sigma \tau \iota \nu \xi \lambda \pi l s$ ,  $\tilde{\eta} \mu b \nu \eta \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{l} \mu e \nu d\nu$  there is one hope by which alone we may be saved E. Hel. 815.
  - f. The imperative occurs in relative clauses (1842, 2553).
  - g. The infinitive occurs in relative clauses in indirect discourse (2631).

# THE USE OF THE MOODS IN CERTAIN RELATIVE CLAUSES

- **2546.** An extension of the deliberative subjunctive not infrequently occurs in relative clauses after such expressions as  $oik \notin \chi \omega$ ,  $oik \notin \sigma \tau \iota$ , etc., which usually denote baffled will, the existence of an obstacle to carrying out an act desired by the speaker or some one else. The subjunctive is much less common after the positive  $\xi \chi \omega$  I have the means. The pronoun or adverb introducing such clause is an interrogative that has taken on the function of a relative.
- 2547. The subjunctive here follows primary tenses; the optative follows secondary tenses.
- a. οὐ τοῦτο δέδοικα μὴ οὐκ ἔχω ὅ τι δῶ ἐκάστῳ τῶν φίλων . . . , ἀλλὰ μὴ οὐκ ἔχω ἱκανοὺς οἶς δῶ I do not fear that I shall not have something to give to each of my friends, but that I shall not have enough friends to give to X. A. 1. 7. 7, οὐχ ἔξουσιν ἐκεῖνοι ὅποι φύγωσιν they will not have any place whither to escape 2. 4. 20, οὐκέτ' εἰσὶν ἐλπίδες ὅποι τραπόμενος θάνατον . . . φύγω I have no longer any hopes to which I may turn and escape death E. Or. 722, ἔξει ὅ τι λέγη he will be able to say something L. 6. 42.
- b. οὐδένα γὰρ εἶχον ὅστις . . . τὰς ἐμὰς ἐπιστολὰς πέμψειε for I had no one to bring my letter E. I. T. 588.
- c. Attic never, or rarely, has the positive forms  $\xi \chi \omega$  ő  $\tau \iota$   $d\nu$ ,  $\xi \sigma \tau \iota \nu$  os  $d\nu$  (K 170),  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$  őσ $\tau \iota$ s  $d\nu$ , with the potential optative.
- **2548.** The subjunctive with  $\kappa \epsilon$  in Homer does not involve will in our  $\epsilon \sigma \theta'$  obtos dupp...oùdè yérntai, ös  $\kappa \epsilon \nu$  Painkwu... ès yaîav îkhtai that man lives not nor will ever be born who shall come to the land of the Phaeacians (202; cp.  $\delta$  756,  $\Psi$  345.  $\Phi$  103 involves a different aspect of will from that in 2547 a.
- **2549.** The deliberative future (1916) occurs in relative clauses; as  $\delta\pi\omega$ s μολούμεθ' ès δόμους οὐκ  $\xi\chi\omega$  I do not know how we are to go home S.O.C. 1742.

The deliberative subjunctive is more common; as οὐκ ἔχω ὅ τι χρήσωμαι τοῖς λόγοις I am not able to deal with your argument P. Eu. 287 c.

- 2550. In a few cases the future is used like the subjunctives of 2547 a; and may be explained as a dependent deliberative future. Thus, οὐ γάρ τις ὅρμος ἔστιν, οὐδ ὅσιο πλέων ἐξεμπολήσει κέρδος for there is no harbour, nor is there any place to which a man may voyage and sell his wares at a profit S. Ph. 303, αὐτὸν γάρ σε δεῖ προμηθέως ὅτψ τρόπψ τῆσδ' ἐκκυκλισθήσει τύχης for thou thyself hast need of forethought whereby thou shalt extricate thyself from this trouble A. Pr. 86.
- **2551.** oùx ĕστιν ὅς (ὅπως, ὅπου, ὡς) are used with the future indicative to introduce statements as regards the future. Thus, οὐ γάρ τις ἔστιν δς πάροιθ' αἰρήσεται τὴν σὴν ἀχρεῖον δύναμιν ἀντ' Εὐρυσθέως there is no one who will prefer thy feeble power rather than Eurystheus E. Heracl. 57, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως ὁψει σὐ δεῦρ' ἐλθόντα με thou wilt in no wise (lit. it is not possible how thou shalt) see me coming here S. Ant. 329. The indicative present or a orist is also used in statements as regards the present or past. All these indicatives may be dependent deliberatives. Cp. 2557.
- 2552. The optative without ἄν (probably potential) occurs in Attic poetry after οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις (ὅπως, ὅποι) and the interrogative τις ἐστίν ὅς (ὅστις) and ἔσθ' ὅπως. Thus, οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις πλὴν ἐμοῦ κείραιτό νιν there is no one except myself who could cut it A. Ch. 172, οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως λέξαιμι τὰ ψευδῆ καλά I could not (lit. there is no way how I could) call false tidings fair A. Ag. 620, τις τῶνδ' . . . δωμάτων ἔχει κράτος, ὅστις ξένους δέξαιτο; who has authority in this house that might receive guests? Ar. Thesm. 871, ἔστ' οῦν ὅπως Ἄλκηστις ἐς γῆρας μόλοι; is there a way by which Alcestis might reach old age? E. Alc. 52. The potential optative with ἄν occurs after these expressions (E. Alc. 80, S. O. C. 1168, P. Lach. 184 c). Attic does not use the optative with ἄν after the positive form ἔστιν ὅπως (ὅστις).

### CLASSES OF RELATIVE CLAUSES

2553. Ordinary Relative Clauses define more exactly a definite antecedent, and show the mood and the negative of simple sentences.

Indicative:  $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau'$  έστὶν â έγὰ δέομαι this is what I want X. A. 7.2.34, & δύστᾶνα γένη βροτῶν, οἶς μὴ μέτριος alών alas, ill-starred races of men, whose destiny is beyond due measure S. Ph. 179, öθεν οὖν ρῷστα μαθήσεσθε περὶ αὐτῶν, ἐντεῦθεν ὑμᾶς καὶ ἐγὰ πρῶτον πειράσομαι διδάσκειν I will first try to inform you (lit.) from the source from which you will most easily learn about them D. 27. 3, παρ' ἐμὲ ἀρικόμενος οὐ πείσεται ἄπερ ἀν ἔπαθεν ἄλλῳ τω συγγενόμενος τῶν σοφιστῶν in coming to me he will not meet with the treatment he would have suffered had he consorted with any other of the sophists P. Pr. 318 d.

Subjunctive: "Annos δδε παρεκαθέζετο,  $\mathring{\phi}$  μεταδώμεν της ζητήσεως Anytus has taken his seat here (lit.) to whom let us give a share in the investigation P. Men. 89 e, κλύων ὁθούνεκα . . . μήτηρ δ' ἐν οἴκοις · ην σὸ μη δείσης hearing that our mother is in the house, (lit.) of whom have thou no fear S. El. 1309.

Optative: οἴομαι ἄν ἡμᾶς τοιαθτα παθεῖν, οἰα τοὺς ἐχθροὺς οἱ θεοὶ ποιήσειαν I think we should endure such things as I pray the gods may inflict upon our enemies X. A. 3.2.3, δόρατα ἔχοντες... ὅσα ἀνὴρ ἄν φέροι μόλις having spears, such as a man could carry with difficulty 5. 4. 25, ἄρξομαι δ' ἐντεθθεν ὅθεν ... ἐγὼ τάχιστ' ἄν διδάξαιμι I will begin at (from) that point where I can most quickly inform you D. 29. 5. The potential optative without ἄν is very rare (2552).

- a. Ordinary relative clauses are explanatory, and (in sense) are equivalent to independent coordinated clauses. See 2490.
- b. Homer has  $\kappa\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon\nu$  with the future:  $\pi a\rho^{\prime}$   $\epsilon\mu$ ol  $\gamma\epsilon$   $\kappa al$   $\epsilon\lambda\lambda ol$ , o'  $\kappa\epsilon$   $\mu\epsilon$   $\tau i\mu \eta$ σουσι I have others by my side who will honour me A 175.
- 2554. Relative Clauses of Purpose (Final Relative Clauses) regularly take the future indicative, even after past tenses (negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ). The antecedent of final relative clauses is usually indefinite.  $\delta_5$  is commoner than  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota_5$ . (The construction with the future participle is more frequent: 2065).

φημί δή δεῖν ἡμᾶs . . . πρεσβείᾶν πέμπειν, ἡ τοὺς μὲν διδάξει ταῦτα, τοὺς δὲ παροξυνεῖ I say that we must send an embassy, which will inform some of this and incite others D. 2.11, πέμψον τιν' δετις σημανεῖ send some one who will announce E. I. T. 1209, ἔδοξε τῷ δήμφ τριάκοντα ἄνδρας ἐλέσθαι, οῖ τοὺς πατρίους νόμους συγγράψουσι, καθ' οὖς πολῖτεύσουσι the people voted to choose thirty men who should codify the ancestral laws by which they were to conduct the government X. H. 2. 3. 2. So in local clauses: κρύψω τόδ' ἔγχος . . . ἕνθα μή τις δψεται I will hide this sword where no one shall see it S. Aj. 659.

- a. After a secondary tense the future optative occurs rarely: οἱ δὲ τριάκοντα ήρέθησαν μὲν ἐπεὶ τάχιστα τὰ μακρὰ τείχη... καθηρέθη αἰρεθέντες δ' ἐφ' ῷτε ξυγγράψαι νόμους, καθ' οὕστινας πολιτεύσοιντο κτλ. the thirty were chosen as soon as the long walls were destroyed; and having been chosen for the purpose of codifying the laws, according to which they were to conduct the government, etc. X. H. 2. 3.11. In local clauses: S. O. T. 796.
- b. A past purpose may be expressed by  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ μελλον and the infinitive. Thus, ναύαρχον προσέταξαν 'Αλκίδαν, δε ξμελλεν ἐπιπλεύσεσθαι they appointed Alcidas as admiral who was to sail in command T. 3. 16.
- c. Homer uses the subjunctive (with  $\kappa \epsilon$ , except  $\Gamma$  287) after primary tenses, the optative after secondary tenses. Thus,  $\mu \acute{a} \nu \tau \iota s$  deducta, is  $\kappa \epsilon \nu$  to elapho dob a seer will come to tell thee the way  $\kappa$  538, äggendo hav ds aggelle grant they sent a messenger to tell the woman o 458. The future also occurs ( $\xi$  332). The present or a orist optative is rare in Attic (S.Tr. 903, Ph. 281).
- 2555. Relative Clauses of Cause take the indicative (negative  $o\vec{v}$ ).  $\delta_S$  is more common than  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota_S$ .

θαυμαστὸν ποιεῖς, δς  $(= \ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota \ \sigma\dot{\upsilon})$  ήμῖν . . . οὐδὲν δίδως you do a strange thing in giving us nothing X. M. 2. 7. 13, Λοξία δὲ μέμφομαι, ὅστις μ' ἐπάρᾶς ἔργον ἀνοσιώτατον τοῖς μὲν λόγοις ηὕφρᾶνε κτλ. I blame Loxias, who after inciting me to

a deed most unhallowed, cheered me with words, etc. E. Or. 285. So when the relative is a dependent exclamation (οίος = ὅτι τομοῦτος, etc., 2687).

- a.  $\gamma \epsilon$  is often added to  $\delta s$  or  $\delta \sigma \tau is$ .
- b.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is used when there is also an idea of characteristic (of such a sort) or condition (perhaps to avoid a harsher form of statement). Cp. 2705 g.
- 2556. Relative Clauses of Result (Consecutive Relative Clauses) usually take the indicative (for olos, soos with the infinitive see 2497). The negative is où when the relative clause approximates  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$  (où) with the indicative, as is generally the case when the main clause is negative, expressed or implied. Here  $\mathring{\sigma}\sigma\tau\iota$  is commoner than  $\mathring{\sigma}s$ . The negative is  $\mu\acute{\eta}$  when the relative clause expresses an intended (2557) or anticipated (2558) result, where  $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\mu\acute{\eta}$  with the infinitive would be less precise.

τίς οὕτω μαίνεται ὅστις οὐ βούλεται σοὶ φίλος εἶναι; who is so mail that he does not wish to be a friend to you? X. A. 2. 5. 12, ούδὲν γὰρ οὕτω βραχὺ ὅπλον ἐκάτεροι εἶχον  $\mathring{\psi}$  οὐκ ἐξῖκνοῦντο ἀλλήλων for each side did not have weapons so short that they could not reach each other X. H. 7. 5. 17.

- 2557. The indicative is normal in consecutive relative clauses introduced by οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις (οὐ), οὐδείς ἐστιν ὅστις (οὐ), οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως (οὐ), εἰσὶν οῖ, ἔστιν οῖς, etc.

οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐχ αὐτὸν φιλεῖ there is no one who does not love himself Men. Sent. 407, οὐκ ἔστιν ὅπως ἤβην κτήση πάλιν αῦθις in no way canst thou regain thy youth E. Herael. 707. See 2551.

- a. The indicative with ἄν and the optative with ἄν also occur. Thus, οὐ γὰρ ἢν ὅ τι ἀν ἐποιεῖτε for there was nothing that you could have done D.18.43, ὧν οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις οὐκ ἀν καταφρονήσειεν whom every one would despise I. 8.52.
  - b. On the subjunctive and optative without  $d\nu$ , see 2546, 2547, 2552.
- **2558.** The future indicative is often used to express an *intended* result (negative  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ).

ἀνόητον ἐπὶ τοιούτους lέναι ὧν κρατήσᾶς μὴ κατασχήσει τις it is senseless to attack men of such a kind that we shall not hold them in subjection if we conquer them T. 6.11, οὖτοι δὲ τοιαῦτ' . . . ὑποσχήσονται, ἐξ ὧν μηδ' ᾶν ὁτιοῦν ἢ κῖνηθήσονται these men shall make promises in consequence of which the Athenians will not better themselves under any circumstances (lit. even if anything occurs) D. 19. 324.

2559. The future indicative is especially common when the main clause contains an idea of ability, capacity, or characteristic, and the relative clause denotes what is to be expected of the subject.

ἰκανοί ἐσμεν . . . ὑμῦν πέμψαι ναῦς τε καὶ ἄνδρας οἴτινες συμμαχοῦνταί τε καὶ τὴν ὁδὸν ἡγήσονται (cp. ιστε συμμάχεσθαι) we are able to send you ships and men who will fight with you and direct your journey X. A. 5. 4. 10, οὔτε πλοῖα ἔστι τὰ ἀπάξοντα οιὔτε σῖτος ῷ θρεψόμεθα μένοντες we have neither ships to convey us away nor provisions to feed us while we remain 6. 5. 20, δεῖταί τινος ιστικήσει he needs some one to improve him P. Eu. 306 d, (ἔδει) ψήφισμα νῖκῆσαι τοιοῦτο δί οῦ Φωκεῖς ἀπολοῦνται a bill had to be passed of such a character as to destroy the Phocians D. 19. 43.

- **2560.** Conditional Relative Clauses may be resolved into if clauses,  $\delta s$  ( $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ ) corresponding to  $\epsilon \tilde{\iota}$   $\tau \iota s$  and  $\delta s$  ( $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ )  $\delta \nu$  to  $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \tilde{\iota} \tau \iota s$ . The negative is  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
  - a. The antecedent of conditional relative clauses is indefinite (2505 b).
- b. Such relative clauses, like temporal clauses, correspond in form to the protases of ordinary conditional sentences. Conditional relative sentences show, in general, the same substitutions permitted in the corresponding conditional sentences. So  $d\nu$  is always generic,  $d\nu$  may be particular in prose.
- **2561.** The correspondence in construction between the common forms of conditional, temporal, and conditional relative, sentences is shown by the following table:

	P	resent	
Simple:	$\epsilon l ( \ddot{o}  au \epsilon,  \ddot{o})  \tau \iota$	<b>ἔ</b> χει	δίδωσι
Unreal:	εἴ (ὅτε, ὄ) τι	$\epsilon \hat{l} \chi \epsilon \nu$	<b>ἐ</b> δίδου ἀν
General:	<b>ἐάν τι</b> ( ὅταν τι, ὅ τι)		δίδωσι
,		Past	
Simple:	εἴ (ὅτε, ὅ) τι	εἶχεν (ἔσχεν)	έδίδου (έδωκε)
Unreal:	εἴ (ὅτε, ὅ) τι	<b>ἔ</b> σχεν (εἶχεν)	<b>έ</b> δωκεν ( <i>έ</i> δίδου) άν
General:	$\epsilon i (\delta \tau \epsilon, \delta) \tau \iota$	έχοι	€δίδου
	F	uture	
More Vivid:	έάν τι (δταν τι, δ τι <b>α</b> ν)	$ec{\epsilon}\chi\eta$	δώσει
Less Vivid:	εἴ (ὅτε, ὅ) τι	έχοι	διδοίη (δοίη) ἄν

N. — English cannot always, without obscurity, use a relative to translate  $\delta \tau \epsilon$  or  $\delta \tau \iota$  with an unreal indicative; in such cases when (ever) or whatever are best rendered by if ever. Cp. 2396.

# PRESENT AND PAST CONDITIONAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

## First Form.

# SIMPLE PRESENT AND PAST CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

2562. Simple present and past conditional relative clauses have the present or past indicative. The main clause has the indicative or any other form of the simple sentence (cp. 2298, 2300).

οὐ γὰρ & πράττουσιν οἱ δίκαιοι, ἀλλ' â  $(=\epsilon i \tau_i \nu a)$  μὴ πράττουσι, ταῦτα λέγεις for it is not what the just do, but what they do not do, that you keep telling us

- X. M. 4. 4. 11,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  Έλλήνων οι (= εἴ τινες) μὴ ἔτυχον ἐν ταῖς τάξεσιν ὅντες εἰς τὰς τάξεις ἔθεον those of the Greeks who happened not to be in rank ran into their ranks X. A. 2. 2. 14, διέβαλλεν αὐτὸν ὅ τι ἐδύνατο he slandered him all he could 6. 1. 32, ἄνδρας τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀπέκτειναν ὅσοι μὴ ἔξένευσαν they killed all of the Athenians who had not escaped by swimming T. 2. 90, δ δέ γε μηδὲν κακὸν ποιεῖ οὐδ' ἄν τινος εἴη κακοῦ αἴτιον; and that which produces no evil cannot be the cause of any evil either? P. R. 379 b, â μὴ προσήκει μήτ' ἄκουε μήθ' ὅρᾶ neither hear nor behold that which beseems thee not Men. Sent. 39, ὅστις ζῆν ἔπθυμεῖ, πειράσθω νίκᾶν whoever longs to live, let him strive to conquer X. A. 3. 2. 39.
- a. Since the antecedent of these clauses is indefinite, simple present conditional relative clauses with the present indicative in the main clause often have the value of general conditions. But general clauses with  $\delta s$  ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) usually take the subjunctive or optative (2567, 2568), and those with  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$  ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) the indicative (2569).
- 2563. If the relative clause expresses a present intention or necessity, the future indicative may be used.

έν τούτφ κεκωλῦσθαι (1950) έδοκει ἐκάστφ τὰ πράγματα ῷ μή τις αὐτὸς παρέσται each thought that progress was surely impeded in any undertaking in which he was not going to take part in person (= ἐν τούτφ κεκώλῦται ῷ μὴ παρέσομαι) Τ. 2. 8. Cp. P. Th. 186 c. More common is μέλλω with the present or future infinitive: ἔλοισθ' ὅ τι . . . ἄπᾶσι συνοίσειν ὑμῖν μέλλει may you adopt whatever course is likely to be of advantage to you all D. 3. 36.

a. Elsewhere the future indicative is not regular in conditional relative sentences.

## Second Form.

# PRESENT AND PAST UNREAL CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

2564. Present and past unreal conditional relative clauses have a secondary tense of the indicative. The main clause has a secondary tense with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  (cp. 2303).

οὔτε γὰρ ἄν αὖτοὶ ἐπεχειροῦμεν πράττειν ἃ (= εἴ τινα) μὴ ἡπιστάμεθα κτλ. for (if that were so) neither should we ourselves be undertaking (as we are) to do what we did not understand, etc. P. Charm. 171 e, οὶ παίδες ὑμῶν, ὅσοι (= εἴ τινες) ἐνθάδε ἡσαν, ὑπὸ τούτων ὰν ὑβρίζοντο (if that were so) your children, as many of them as were present (but none were present), would be insulted by these men L. 12. 98, ὁπότερα τούτων ἐποίησεν, οὐδενδε ἃν ἦττον πλούσιο ἦσαν whichever of these things he had done, they would be no less rich than any one 32. 23.

#### FUTURE CONDITIONAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

#### Third Form.

#### MORE VIVID FUTURE CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

2565. Conditional relative clauses that vividly anticipate the realization of a future event take the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . The main clause has the future indicative or any other form referring to the future.

τφ ἀνδρὶ δν ὰν (= ἐἀν τινα) ἔλησθε πείσομαι I will obey whatever man you may choose X. A. 1. 3. 15, οἶs (for ἃ) ὰν οἱ ἄλλοι ἐργάζωνται, τούτοις σὰ χρήση whatever others acquire by labour, that you shall enjoy X. M. 2.1. 25, πειράσομαι ὅ τι ὰν δύνωμαι ὅμᾶς ἀγαθὸν ποιεῖν I will try to do you all the good I can X. A. 6. 1. 33, ὅποι ὰν ἔλθω, λέγοντος ἐμοῦ ἀκροάσονται οἱ νέοι wherever I go the young men will listen to my speaking P. A. 37 d, ἀπόκρῖναι ὅ τι ἄν σε ἐρωτῶ answer whatever I ask you L. 12. 24, ἔπεσθε ὅπη ἄν τις ἡῆται follow where any one may lead you T. 2. 11, ὡς ἀν (= ἐἀν πως) ἐγὼ εἴπω, πειθώμεθα let us all obey as I shall bid B 139. Potential optative: ιωστὶ ἀποφύγοις ἃν ἤντιν' ὰν βούλη δίκην so that you can get off in any suit you please Ar. Nub. 1151.

a. The future indicative is scarcely ever used in a conditional relative clause of this sort (T. 1.22 ὅσοι βουλήσονται; ep. 1913).

b. Homer has some cases of the subjunctive without  $\kappa\epsilon$  or  $\delta\nu$  (e.g. N 234). Homer sometimes uses the future with  $\kappa\epsilon$  or  $\delta\nu$  in the main clause:  $\delta$   $\delta\epsilon$   $\kappa\epsilon\nu$   $\kappa\epsilon\chi$ 0- $\lambda\omega\sigma\epsilon\tau a\iota$ ,  $\delta\nu$   $\kappa\epsilon\nu$   $i\kappa\omega\mu a\iota$  and he will be wroth to whom I shall come A 189.

## Fourth Form

## LESS VIVID FUTURE CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

**2566.** Conditional relative clauses that set forth less vividly the realization of a future event take the optative. The main clause has the optative with  $\check{a}_{\nu}$ .

όκνοίην  $\mathring{a}$ ν εἰς τὰ πλοῖα ἐμβαίνειν  $\mathring{a}$  (= εἴ τινα) ἡμῖν Κῦρος δοίη I should hesitate to embark in the vessels that Cyrus might give us X. A. 1.3. 17, δ δὲ μὴ ἀγαπ $\dot{\phi}$ , οὐδ'  $\mathring{a}$ ν φιλοῖ nor could he love what he does not desire P. Lys. 215 b.

a. The main clause has the optative without άν in wishes: δῶρα θεῶν ἔχοι, ὅττι διδοῖεν may he keep the gifts of the gods whatever they may give σ 142.

**b.** Homer sometimes uses  $\kappa \epsilon$  or  $d\nu$  in the relative clause ( $\phi$  161).

# GENERAL CONDITIONAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

# Fifth Form

## PRESENT GENERAL CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

**2567.** Present general conditional relative clauses have  $\check{a}\nu$  with the subjunctive. The main clause has the present indicative or an equivalent.

νέος δ' ἀπόλλυθ' ὅντιν' (= εἴ τινα) ἀν φιλῆ θεός 'he dieth young, whome'er a god doth love' Stob. Flor. 120. 13, οὖς (= εἴ τινας) ἀν ὀοᾶ φιλοκινδύνως ἔχοντας πρὸς τοὺς πολεμίους, τῖμᾶ whomever he sees zealous of danger in the face of the enemy, these he honours X. H. 6. 1. 6, γαμοῦσι τε ὁπόθεν ἀν βούλωνται, ἐκδιδόσοι τε εἰς οὖς ἀν ἐθέλωσι they both get a wife from whatever family they please and give their daughters in marriage to whomsoever they choose P. R. 613 d, πατρὶς γάρ ἐστι πᾶσ' ἵν' ἀν πράττη τις εὖ for every land is a man's own country wheresoever he fares well Ar. Plut. 1151.

a. Gnomic agrist in the main clause: ὅς κε θεοῖς ἐπιπείθηται, μάλα τ' ἔκλυον αὐτοῦ whoever obeys the gods, him they most do hear A 218.

- b. The subjunctive without  $d\nu$  ( $\kappa\epsilon$ ) is usual in Homer and occurs occasionally in Attic and lyric poetry. Thus,  $d\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\nu$   $\epsilon\phi\rho\rho\hat{q}$   $\kappa al$   $\tau t\nu\nu\tau al$   $\delta s$   $\tau ts$   $d\mu d\rho\tau\eta$  he watches over men and punishes whoever transgresses  $\nu$  214,  $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$   $\pi\eta\mu\nu\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\sigma\tau a$   $\lambda\bar{\nu}\pi\sigma\hat{\nu}\hat{\sigma}\hat{\sigma}$  al  $\phi a\nu\hat{\omega}\hat{\sigma}$  avaletor obtained but those griefs pain the most which are seen to be self-sought S. O. T. 1231. Cases of the sort appear in Hdt., but are very rare in Attic prose, e.g. T. 4.18. The subjunctive without  $d\nu$  ( $\kappa\epsilon$ ) is much commoner in Homer than in the corresponding clauses with  $\epsilon l$  (2339).
- c. The apodosis here usually expresses a general truth, less often iterative action. In 2568 the apodosis refers to iterative action, usually on the part of designated individuals.

# Sixth Form

#### PAST GENERAL CONDITIONAL RELATIVES

2568. Past general conditional relative clauses have the optative. The main clause has the imperfect or an equivalent.

άει πρὸς  $\mathring{\phi}$  (= ει πρός τινι) εἴη ἔργφ, τοῦτο ἔπρᾶττεν whatever work he was engaged in, that he always performed X. H. 4. 8. 22, ἔπρᾶττεν ἃ δόξειεν αὐτ $\mathring{\phi}$  he always did whatever he pleased D. 18. 235, πάντας . . . ὅσους λάβοιεν διέφθειρον they used to destroy as many as they captured T. 2. 67, ἐθήρᾶ ὅπου περ ἐπιτυγχάνοιεν θηρίοις he used to hunt wherever they fell in with large game X. C. 3. 3. 5, ἀνέκραγον . . . ἱκετεύουσαι πάντας ὅτφ ἐντυγχάνοιεν μὴ φεύγειν they screamed out, entreating all they met not to flee X. C. 3. 3. 67.

a. An iterative tense with ăr in the main clause:  $\ddot{\sigma}\pi\eta$   $\mu$ éllo  $\dot{a}\rho i\sigma \tau \sigma \sigma o e \hat{i}\sigma \theta a t$   $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \rho \acute{a}\tau \epsilon v \mu a$  . . . ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi a r \dot{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon r$   $\dot{a}r$   $\dot{\tau} \dot{o}$   $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$ , when the squadron was about to take breakfast, he would draw back the wing X. H. 6. 2. 28.

### INDICATIVE FORM OF GENERAL CONDITIONAL RELATIVE CLAUSES

2569. The present indicative instead of the subjunctive with  $a\nu$  occurs in general conditional relative clauses (cp. 2342). This occurs chiefly after  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota s$ , which is itself sufficiently general in meaning.

οἴτινες πρὸς τὰς ξυμφορὰς γνώμη ἤκιστα λῦποῦνται, ἔργω δὲ μάλιστα ἀντέχουσιν those who in feeling are least depressed at misfortunes, in action resist them most T.2.64, ὅστις δ' ἐπὶ μεγίστοις τὸ ἐπίφθονον λαμβάνει, ὀρθῶς βουλεύεται he counsels wisely who incurs envy in a great cause 2.64, ὅστις δὲ πλοῦτον ἡ εὐγένειαν εἰσιδῶν γαμεῖ πονηράν, μῶρὸς ἐστιν whoever fixes his gaze on wealth or noble lineage and weds a wicked woman, is a fool E.El.1097, ὅ τι καλὸν φίλον ἀεί whatsoever is fair is dear forever E.Bacch.881.

- a. Cases of the imperfect instead of the optative are rare and generally ill supported: ὅπου ιξετο τὴν πατρίδα τι ικρελήσειν, οὐ πόνων ὑφίετο whenever he thought that he could benefit his country in any respect, he did not shrink from toil X. Ag. 7.1. Cp. X. A. 1.1.5, 1.9.27.
- **2570.** The indicative is generally used in parenthetical or appended relative clauses with  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota$ s ( $\delta\sigma\tau\iota$ s  $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ). Thus,  $\delta\sigma\upsilon\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\rho\mu\nu$   $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$ s,  $\delta$   $\tau\iota$   $\pi\sigma\tau'$   $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\nu}$  of  $\theta\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\nu}$  serve the gods, whatever those gods are E. Or. 418.

a. The subjunctive with  $\alpha_{\nu}$  is also used when the reference is to future time or to general present time. Cp. Aes. 1. 127, D. 4. 27.

# LESS USUAL FORMS OF CONDITIONAL RELATIVE SENTENCES

- **2571.** The potential optative with  $\&\nu$  in the main clause with the indicative (2562) or subjunctive (2565) in the relative clause.
  - **2572.** Indicative with  $d\nu$  or potential optative with  $d\nu$  in the relative clause.
- ὅντιν' ἀν ὑμεῖς εἰς ταύτην τὴν τάξιν κατεστήσατε . . . , οὖτος . . . τῶν ἴσων ἀν αἴτιος ἢν κακῶν ὅσωνπερ καὶ οὖτος whomsoever you might have appointed to this post, such a one would have been the cause of as many evils as this man has been D. 19.29, τὰς δ' ἐπ' Ἰλλυρίους . . . καὶ ὅποι τις ἀν εἴποι παραλείπω στρατείᾶς I omit his expeditions against the Illyrians and many others (lit. whithersoever) one might speak of D. 1.13. Cp. X. Ag. 2.24.
- 2573. The optative in the relative clause with the present or future indicative or the imperative in the main clause (cp. 2359). With the present this occurs especially in general statements and maxims. The main clause is often introduced by a verb requiring the infinitive.

άλλ' δν πόλις στήσειε, τοῦδε χρη κλύειν but whomever the State might appoint, him we must obey S. Ant. 666, τοῦ μὲν αὐτὸν λέγειν, ἃ μὴ σαφῶς εἰδείη, εἴργεσθαι δεῖ one should refrain from saying oneself what one does not know for certain X. C. 1, 6. 19.

- a. The present indicative sometimes may have the force of an emphatic future ( $\zeta$ 286). Sometimes the optative indicates a case that is not likely to occur; as  $\delta\lambda\lambda\phi$  remediator,  $\delta\tau$ 18  $\tau$ 10  $\tau$ 19  $\tau$ 10  $\tau$ 20  $\tau$ 20  $\tau$ 21  $\tau$ 21  $\tau$ 22  $\tau$ 32  $\tau$ 33  $\tau$ 434. Another, supposing any one do such things  $\Psi$ 494.
- b. Other examples of the present: Homer P 631 (doubtful); Theognis 689; Aes. Pr. 638; Soph. O. T. 315, 979; Lys. 12. 84; Xen. C. 2. 4. 10, 7. 5. 56, H. 3. 4. 18, 7. 3. 7; Plato Charm. 164 a, Eu. 292 e (doubtful), L. 927 c. Temporal: S. Tr. 92, P. R. 332 a.
- c. The future indicative occurs in  $\tau$  510 (temporal N 317); the perfect indicative in  $\Delta$  262 and  $\omega$  254 (temporal); the agriculture in X. C. 1. 4. 14.

# DEPENDENT SUBSTANTIVE CLAUSES (2574-2635)

2574. A subordinate clause may play the part of a substantive in relation to the main clause. Such clauses are generally the object, sometimes the subject, of the verb of the main clause.

εἶπεν ὅτι οὐ πόλεμον ποιησόμενοι ἤκοιεν he said that they had not come to wage war X. A.5.5.24, ἔπρᾶσσον ὅπως τις βοήθεια ήξει they were managing how some reinforcements should come Τ.3.4, δέδοικα μὴ . . . ἐπιλαθώμεθα τῆς οἴκαδὲ ὁδοῦ I am afraid lest we may forget the way home X. A.3.2.25; ἐλέγετο ὅτι . . . Πῶλος ὅσον οὐ παρείη it was said that Polus had all but arrived 7.2.5.

2575. There are four main divisions of substantive clauses.

1. Dependent Statements: subordinate clauses stating that something is; as λέγει ὡς οὐδέν ἐστιν ἀδικώτερον φήμης he says that nothing is more unjust than talk about a man's character Aes. 1. 125.

- 2. Dependent Clauses of will or desire: subordinate clauses denoting that something should be or should be done. These clauses have been treated under the following divisions:
  - a. Dependent clauses after verbs of effort (2209).
  - b. Dependent clauses after verbs of fearing (2221).
- N.—On dependent voluntative clauses with the accusative and infinitive (indirect petition), see 1991 ff.
- 3. Dependent Questions: subordinate clauses asking a question; both parts of the sentence together forming a statement; as  $\eta \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu \delta \tau \iota \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \dot$
- 4. Dependent Exclamations: subordinate clauses setting forth an exclamation; both parts of the sentence together forming a statement; as διαθεώμενος αὐτῶν ὄσην μὲν χώρᾶν καὶ οἴᾶν ἔχοιεν observing how great the extent of their territory was and how excellent its quality X.A.3.1.19.

## DEPENDENT STATEMENTS

- **2576.** Dependent statements, or subordinate clauses stating *that* something *is*, are expressed in various ways:
- 2. By a participle, with or without an accusative (explained in 2106 ff.). Thus, of gap herax adjoint they did not know that he was dead X. A. 1. 10. 16,  $\mu \not\in \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota$  akousās I remember that I heard X. C. 1. 6.6.
- 3. By  $\delta \tau_{\iota}$  or  $\omega_{5}$  (and some other conjunctions) with the indicative or optative. On this form of dependent statement see 2577 ff., and under Indirect Discourse.
- a. In any form of substantive clause the subject of the subordinate verb may be made the object of the principal verb (2182).
  - b. A clause with ὅτι (ώs) may precede the principal clause. Cp. 2586.

# DEPENDENT STATEMENTS INTRODUCED BY ὅτι OR ὡς

**2577.** The conjunctions  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\delta s$  that introduce dependent statements in the indicative and optative

After verbs of saying, knowing, perceiving, showing, etc.

After verbs of emotion (rejoicing, grieving, wondering), etc.

Or such dependent statements contain an explanation of the main clause or of a word in that clause, no special verb introducing the conjunction.

τοῦτο άξιον ἐπαινεῖν τῶν ἀνδρῶν τῶν τότε ναυμαχησάντων, ὅτι τὸν . . . φόβον διέλὖσαν τῶν Ἑλλήνων it is right to praise this in the men who engaged in the sea-fight of those days, (namely) that they dispelled the fear felt by the Greeks P. Menex. 241 b.

- **2578.** The conjunctions introducing dependent statements are  $\delta \tau$ : (Homeric also  $\delta \tau \tau \iota$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\delta \tau \epsilon$ ),  $\delta s$ ,  $\delta \iota \delta \tau \iota$ ,  $\delta \pi \omega s$  (rarely), οὖνεκα and  $\delta \theta$ ούνεκα (both poetic).
- a. Fit meaning that was originally, like Hom.  $\ddot{o}$ , perhaps an accusative of the inner object (cognate):  $\delta\rho\hat{\omega}$   $\delta$   $\nu\sigma\epsilon\hat{c}$ s lit. I see what sickness you are sick (=  $\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\nu\delta\sigma\nu$   $\nu\sigma\epsilon\hat{c}$ s). But by the time of Homer both  $\ddot{o}$  and  $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$  had become mere formal conjunctions. Hom.  $\ddot{o}\tau\epsilon$  that seems to be a weakened  $\ddot{o}\tau\epsilon$  when; but this is disputed.
- διότι originally = διὰ τοῦτο, ὅτι on account of this, that = because (as T. 1.
   52); then = ὅτι that in Hdt. and in Attic after Isocrates, who uses διότι for ὅτι to avoid hiatus.
- c.  $\dot{\omega}_s$  strictly an old ablative of  $\ddot{o}_s$  (2989) meaning how, in what way, as in exclamatory clauses and indirect questions. The meaning how (cp. how that) may be seen in olda  $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$   $\ddot{o}_s$   $\mu_{01}$   $\dot{o} \dot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \dot{\delta} \dot{v} \sigma \tau a_1$   $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \dot{o}_s$   $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu \sigma \dot{o}_s$   $\dot{\gamma} a_{10} s$  for I know how (that) the famed earth-shaker has been wroth against me  $\dot{\epsilon}$  423, and also in Attic (And. 2.14; I. 2.3, 3.10, 16.11, 16.15; Aes. 2.35; D. 24.139). The development of  $\dot{\omega}_s$  how to  $\dot{\omega}_s$  that followed from the use of  $\dot{\omega}_s$  after verbs signifying to see, perceive, know, and the like. Cp. "he sayed how there was a knight."
- d. 5πως (2929) that is common in Herodotus (ὅκως), rare in Attic, most used in poetry and Xenophon. From its original use in indirect questions ὅπως how gradually acquired the meaning that. Thus, ἀλλ' ὅπως μὲν . . . ἐγὼ ἄχθομαι ὑμᾶς τρέφων, μηδ' ὑπονοεῖτε do not even entertain the thought that I am annoyed at maintaining you X.C.3.3.20.
- e. οὖνεκα = οὖ ἔνεκα, for τούτου ἔνεκα, ő, properly causal: on account of (as regards) this, that, and then = that, even in Homer (Odyssey and  $\Lambda$  21) and later in poetry. Thus, ἐξάγγελλε . . . οῧνεκ' Οἰδίπους τοιαῦτ' ἔνειμε παισὶ τοῖς αὐτοῦ γέρā announce that Oedipus has distributed such honours to his sons S. O. C. 1393.
- f. δθούνεκα = ὅτου ἔνεκα, for τούτου ἔνεκα, ὅτι; and then = that. It is found only in tragedy, as ἄγγελλε... δθούνεκα τέθνηκ' 'Ορέστης report that Orestes is dead S. El. 47.
- 2579. Some verbs of saying are followed either by ὅτι or ὁs or by an infinitive (2017). In most cases the choice is optional with the writer. Affirmative clauses usually take the infinitive or ὅτι; but ὡs is apparently preferred to ὅτι when a writer wishes to mark a statement as an opinion, a pretext, as untrue, and so when the main clause is negative, or when the subordinate clause is negative (or both are negative). Thus, νομίζουσιν οἱ ἐκείνη ἄνθρωποι . . . ὡs ὁ "Ηφαιστος χαλκεύει the local belief is that Hephaestus is working at his forge T. 3.88, διαβαλών αὐτοὺ ὡs οὐδὲν ἀληθὲς ἐν νῷ ἔχουσιν slanderously attacking them on the score that their intentions were not sincere 5.45, πολλάκις ἐθαύμασα τίσι ποτὲ λόγοις 'Αθηναίους ἔπεισαν οἱ γραψάμενοι Σωκράτην ὡς ἄξιος εἴη θανάτου Ι have often wondered with what possible arguments the accusers of Socrates succeeded in convincing the Athenians that he deserved death X. M. 1.1.1, οὐ τοῦτο λέγω ὡς οὐ δεῖ ποτε καὶ ἐλάττον ἔτι μορψο ἱέναι I do not say (this) that it is not ever necessary to attack the enemy with a still smaller detachment X. C. 5. 4. 20. ὅτι may be used of an untrue statement designed to create belief (S. El. 43).

- a. Dependent statements in the optative in indirect discourse after verbs of saying are chiefly post-Homeric.
- **2580.** Verbs of thinking almost always take the infinitive (2018) but is occurs; as with  $\nu \rho \mu l \zeta \omega$  T. 3. 88 (2579),  $\ell \lambda \pi l \zeta \omega$  5. 9, olopal X. H. 6. 3. 12,  $\dot{\nu} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$  X. C. 8. 3. 40. St is very rare (with olopal in P. Ph. 87 c).  $\lambda o \gamma l \zeta o \mu a \iota$  (5 $\tau \iota$ ) is a verb of saying.
- a.  $\mu a \rho \tau \nu \rho \hat{\omega}$  with  $\ddot{o}\tau \iota$  ( $\dot{\omega} s$ ) expresses reality; with the infinitive it denotes uncertainty.
- **2581.** Verbs of intellectual perception usually take  $\ddot{\sigma}\iota$  ( $\dot{\omega}s$ ); less often the participle, which is normal after verbs of physical perception. A verb of physical perception, if followed by  $\ddot{\sigma}\iota$  ( $\dot{\omega}s$ ), virtually becomes a verb of intellectual perception.
- **2582.** Many verbs take  $\delta \tau \iota$  ( $\dot{\omega} s$ ) or the participle either in indirect discourse or not in indirect discourse (2106–2115). Here the construction with the finite verb is less dependent than that with the participle; but the meaning is essentially the same in Attic. Many verbs take  $\delta \tau \iota$  ( $\dot{\omega} s$ ), the infinitive, or the participle, often without great difference in meaning in Attic (2123–2145).
- **2583.** ὅτι (ώs), when separated from its clause by another clause, may be repeated. Thus, ἔλεγεν ὅτι, εἰ μὴ καταβήσονται . . ., ὅτι κατακαύσει . . . τὰς κώμας he said that, if they did not descend, he would burn their villages to the ground X. A. 7. 4. 5.
- 2584. The personal δήλός εἰμι ὅτι, λανθάνω ὅτι, etc. are often used instead of the impersonal δήλόν ἐστιν ὅτι, λανθάνει ὅτι, etc. Thus, ὅτι πονηρότατοί εἰσιν οὐδὲ σὲ λανθάνουσιν not even you fail to perceive that they are the very worst X. O. 1.19.
- 2585. δήλον ὅτι (δηλονότι) evidently, οἶδ' ὅτι (ϵὖ οἶδ' ὅτι) surely, ϵὖ ἴσθι ὅτι be assured are so often used parenthetically and elliptically as to become mere formal expressions requiring no verb. ὅτι here loses all conjunctive force. Thus, ἔχει δὴ οὐτωσὶ δῆλον ὅτι τούτων πέρι the case then stands clearly thus about these matters P. G. 487 d, οὕτ' ἄν ὑμεῖς οἶδ' ὅτι ἐπαύσασθε nor assuredly would you have ceased D. 6. 29, καὶ πάντων οἶδ' ὅτι φησάντων γ' ἄν (for καὶ οἶδ' ὅτι πάντες φήσαιέν γ' ἄν) and all assuredly would say 9. 1.
  - a. Plato (Sophistes and Leges) uses δήλον (έστιν) ώς for δήλον ὅτι.
- **2586.** ὅτι (and by analogy ώs) are often attached loosely to the main clause with the meaning as a proof (in support) of the fact that. Thus, ὅτι δ' οὕτω ταῦτ' ἔχει, λέγε μοι τὸ τοῦ Καλλισθένους ψήφισμα as a proof of the fact that this is so, read me the bill of Callisthenes D. 18. 37.
- 2587. Verbs of emotion (to rejoice, grieve, be angry, wonder, etc.) take ὅτι (ώs) with a finite verb (negative oὐ), but more commonly the participle (2100) when the subject is not changed.
  - a. Hom. prefers örı, ès to the participle or infinitive.
- b. The accusative and infinitive with verbs of emotion are rare; as with θαυμάζω
   E. Alc. 1130. (θαυμάζω may be followed by a dependent question: D. 37.44).
- c. On verbs of emotion with  $\epsilon l$  instead of  $\sigma \tau \iota$ ,  $\dot{\omega}$ s (negative, generally  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ), see 2247. On the use in dependent exclamations, see 2687.
- 2588. μέμνημαι, οΐδα, άκούω and like verbs, may take ὅτε instead of ὅτι (2395 A.N.). Cp., in Homer,  $\Phi$  396,  $\pi$  424.

## INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- 2589. The words or thoughts of a person may be quoted in direct or indirect form after verbs, or other expressions, of saying or thinking.
- a. In reporting a speech, in making a quotation, or in dialogue, a verb of saying is often repeated (P. Pr. 310 b, 345 c, X. A. 7. 6. 5–6). So also in such cases as  $\Pi d\nu \theta \epsilon \iota a \epsilon \bar{\iota} \pi \epsilon \nu$ ,  $d\lambda \lambda \dot{a} \theta d\rho \rho \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\epsilon \phi \eta$ ,  $\delta \kappa \hat{\nu} \rho \epsilon \kappa$  X. C. 7. 3. 13.
- 2590. (I) Direct Discourse (Oratio Recta). In a direct quotation the words or thoughts quoted are given at first hand in the exact form used by the original speaker or thinker.

Μεγαρέες ἔπεμπον ἐπὶ τοὺς στρατηγοὺς τῶν Ἑλλήνων κήρῦκα, ἀπικόμενος δὲ ὁ κῆρυξ πρὸς αὐτοὺς ἔλεγε τάδε · "Μεγαρέες λέγουσι · 'ήμεῖς, ἄνδρες σύμμαχοι, οὐ δυνατοί είμεν τὴν Περσέων ἴππον δέκεσθαι μοῦνοι'" the Megarians sent a herald to the generals of the Greeks, and on his arrival the herald spoke as follows: "The Megarians say: 'we, oh allies, are not able to sustain the attack of the Persian cavalry by ourselves'" Hdt. 9. 21; and often in Hdt. (cp. 3. 40, 3. 122, 5. 24, 7. 150, 8. 140).

- a. Direct quotation may, in prose, be introduced by  $\delta\tau_{\iota}$ , which has the value of quotation marks. Thus, of  $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon l\pi\sigma \nu \delta\tau_{\iota}$  lkavol  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\mu\nu\nu$  but they said (that) "we are ready" X. A. 5.4. 10. So usually when the finite verb is omitted; as  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\kappa\nu\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu\alpha\tau$  of  $\iota$  e answered (that) "no" 1.6.7. The use of direct speech introduced by  $\delta\tau_{\iota}$  is, in general, that of familiar style. The first example is Hdt. 2.115.  $\dot{\omega}$ s for  $\delta\tau_{\iota}$  is very rare (Dinarchus 1.12, 1.102). Cp. "the emperor sends thee this word that, if thou love thy sons, let Marcus . . . , or any one of you, chop off your hand" Shakesp. Tit. Andr. 3. 1.151.
- 2591. (II) Indirect Discourse (Oratio Obliqua). In an indirect quotation the words or thoughts are given at second hand with certain modifications to indicate that the words or thoughts are reported.
- a. The original form may be preserved except that there is a change from the first or second person to the third person: so  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu r'$   $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$  H 391 reporting  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu r'$   $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$  H 364. In such cases there is no grammatical dependence.
- b. The narrator may report in dependent form the words or thoughts of a person from the point of view of that person. This is the common form of indirect discourse.
- c. The narrator may report in dependent form the words or thoughts of a person from his own point of view. See 2624.
- 2592. The constructions of indirect discourse are regulated by the character of the leading verb or expression.
- a. Verbs of saying take either  $\ddot{o}\tau \iota$  or  $\dot{\omega} s$  and a finite verb or the infinitive (2017, 2579).
  - b. Most verbs of thinking and believing take the infinitive (2018, cp. 2580).
- c. Most verbs of knowing, perceiving, hearing, showing take the participle (2106, 2110), but admit the construction with  $\"o\tau\iota$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$ . Some are followed by the infinitive (2123 ff.).

- d. On the construction of verbs of hoping, promising, and swearing, see 1868, 1999, 2024.
- 2593. Indirect discourse is said to be *implied* in subordinate clauses dependent on verbs which involve an idea of saying or thinking (2622).
- 2594. A speaker may state his own words or thoughts in the form of indirect discourse. Cp. 2614, 2615, etc.
- 2595. Clauses standing in indirect discourse are substantive clauses, and usually object of the leading verb; its subject, when that verb is passive or intransitive. The infinitive in substantive clauses after verbs of saying and thinking retains the time of the corresponding finite verb of direct discourse.
- 2596. Indirect questions (2677) have the constructions of indirect discourse.

# GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- 2597. Simple and compound sentences, and principal clauses of complex sentences, introduced by  $\delta \tau \iota$  or  $\delta s$  are treated as follows:
- 2598. (I) After primary tenses, the original mood and tense are retained, except that the person of the verb may be changed.
- **2599.** (II) After secondary tenses, primary tenses of the indicative and all subjunctives may be changed to the same tense of the optative; but an indicative denoting unreality (with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) is retained. Imperfects and pluperfects are generally retained (2623 b).
- **2600.** The verb of simple and compound sentences, and of principal clauses of complex sentences, when introduced by a verb taking the infinitive or participle, passes into the infinitive or participle in the corresponding tense.  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is kept, if it was used in the direct form.
- **2601.** Subordinate clauses of complex sentences introduced by  $\delta \tau_{\ell}$  or  $\delta s_{\ell}$  are treated as follows:
- **2602.** (I) Subordinate clauses of a sentence introduced by a leading verb in a *primary* tense, *must* remain unchanged in mood and tense.
- **2603.** (II) If subordinate clauses are introduced by a leading verb in a *secondary* tense, all primary tenses of the indicative and all subjunctives (with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) may be changed to the corresponding tenses of the optative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ . All secondary tenses of the indicative (with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) remain unchanged.
- 2604. Verbs standing in subordinate clauses of sentences introduced by a leading verb requiring the participle or the infinitive, follow the rules of 2602, 2603.

- 2605. The principal and subordinate clauses of the direct form retain the names *principal* and *subordinate* in indirect discourse though the whole clause in which they stand itself depends on the verb introducing the indirect discourse (the *leading* verb).
- 2606. The change from direct to indirect discourse is almost always a change of *mood*, not of *tense*. The time of a participle introducing indirect discourse is determined by that of the leading verb. The *person* of the verb is often changed.
- **2607.**  $\tilde{a}\nu$  of the direct form is retained in indirect discourse except when a dependent subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  becomes optative after a secondary tense. Here  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{a}\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}\tau a\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta \acute{a}\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ s  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , etc., become  $\epsilon \iota$ ,  $\tilde{\delta}\tau \epsilon$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta \acute{\eta}$ ,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ s, etc.
- **2608.** The same negative (où or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ) used in the direct discourse is commonly kept in the indirect form. But in some cases with the infinitive and participle  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  takes the place of où (2723 ff., 2730, 2737).
- **2609.** No verb ever *becomes* subjunctive by reason of indirect discourse. The subjunctive (with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ) may, after a secondary tense, become optative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .
- **2610.** No verb can be changed to the optative in indirect discourse except after a secondary tense, and since, even after a secondary tense, indicatives or subjunctives may be retained for vividness, no verb *must* become optative by reason of indirect discourse.
- **2611.** All optatives with or without  $\check{a}_{\nu}$  in the direct form are retained (with or without  $\check{a}_{\nu}$ ) in indirect discourse introduced by  $\check{a}_{\tau \iota}$  or  $\check{a}_{5}$ . After verbs requiring the participle or infinitive, such optatives in principal clauses become participles or infinitives (with or without  $\check{a}_{\nu}$ ), but remain unchanged in subordinate clauses.
- a. The optative in indirect discourse may represent either the indicative or the subjunctive after a secondary tense.
- b. A present optative in indirect discourse may represent (1) the present indicative; (2) the imperfect (2623 b) indicative; (3) the present subjunctive with or without  $\&\nu$ ; (4) the present optative.
- **2612.** The imperative is commonly replaced in indirect discourse by a periphrasis with  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ . Cp. 2633 b.
- 2613. The retention of the mood of direct discourse, where either the direct or indirect form is possible, lies solely in the option of the writer or speaker. The vivid form reproduces the time and situation in which the quoted words were used. The vivid form is preferred by some writers, as Thucydides; the indirect form by others, as the orators, Plato, and Xenophon.

#### SIMPLE SENTENCES IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

# 1. Indicative and Optative after on or is

**2614.** After primary tenses, the verb of the direct form remains unchanged in mood and tense.

λέγει δ' ὡς ὑβριστής εἰμι he says that I am an insolent person I. 24. 15 (= ὑβριστής εἶ), ἀλλ' ἐννοεῖν χρὴ τοῦτο μέν, γυναῖχ' ὅτι ἔφῦμεν but we must remember on the one hand that we were born women S. Ant. 61, οἶδ' ὅτι οὐδ' ἄν τοῦτό μοι ἐμέμφου I know that you would not blame me even for this X. O. 2. 15, ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι οὐδὲν ἄν τούτων εἴποι he replied that he would say nothing of this X. A. 5. 6. 37 (= ἀν εἴποιμι).

- **2615.** After secondary tenses, an indicative without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  usually becomes optative, but may be retained unchanged. An indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  and an optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  are retained.
- a. Optative for Indicative. ἔγνωσαν ὅτι κενὸς ὁ φόβος εἴη they recognized that their fear was groundless X. A. 2. 2. 21 (= ἐστί), ἔλεξαν ὅτι πέμψειε σφᾶς ὁ Ἰνδῶν βασιλεύς they said that the king of the Indians had sent them X. C. 2. 4. 7 (= ἔπεμψεν ἡμᾶς), ἡγγέλθη ὅτι ἡττημένοι εἶεν οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι . . . καὶ Πείσανδρος τεθναίη it was reported that the Lacedaemonians had been defeated and that Peisander was dead X. H. 4. 3. 10 (= ἡττημένοι εἰσι and τέθνηκε).
- N.—The first example of the optative in indirect discourse is later than Homer (*Hymn to Aphrodite* 214). Aeschylus has four cases. See 2624 c.
- b. Direct Form Retained. διῆλθε λόγος ὅτι διώκει αὐτοὺς Κῦρος α report spread that Cyrus was pursuing them X. A. 1.4. 7, ἀποκρῖνάμενοι ὅτι πέμψουσι πρέσβεις, εὐθὺς ἀπήλλαξαν they withdrew immediately on answering that they would send envoys T. 1. 90 (= πέμψομεν). See also 2623, 2625.

# 2. Infinitive and Participle

**2616.** The infinitive and participle are used in indirect discourse to represent the finite verb of direct discourse.

ὑπώπτευον ἐπὶ βασιλέα lέναι they suspected that they were to go against the king X. A. 5. 1. 8 (= ἴμεν), ἔφη ἢ ἄξειν Λακεδαιμονίους ἢ αὐτοῦ ἀποκτενεῖν he said that he would either bring the Lacedaemonians or kill them on the spot T. 4. 28 (= ἄξω, ἀποκτενῶ), οὐ γὰρ ἢδεσαν αὐτὸν τεθνηκότα for they did not know that he was dead X. A. 1. 10. 16 (= ὅτι τέθνηκε).

For examples of the infinitive, see 1846, 1848, 1849, 1867, 2022; for examples of the participle, see 1846, 1848, 1874, 2106, 2112 b.

## COMPLEX SENTENCES IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

**2617.** When a complex sentence passes into indirect discourse, its principal verb is treated like the verb of a simple sentence and stands either in a finite mood (after  $\delta\tau\iota$  or  $\delta s$ ) or in the infinitive or in the participle.

2618. After primary tenses, all subordinate verbs retain the original mood and tense.

λέγουσιν ως, ἐπειδάν τις ἀγαθὸς ων τελευτήση, μεγάλην μοῖραν καὶ τῖμὴν ἔχει they say that, when a good man dies, he enjoys great esteem and honour P. Crat. 398 b, προλέγω ὅτι, ὀπότερ' αν ἀποκρίνηται, ἐξελεγχθήσεται I tell you in advance that, whichever answer he makes, he will be confuted P. Eu. 275 e, παράδειγμα σαφὲς καταστήσατε, δς αν ἀφιστῆται θανάτω ζημιωσόμενον give plain warning that whoever revolts shall be punished with death T. 3. 40 (= ὅτι ζημιώσεται).

- **2619.** After secondary tenses, all subordinate verbs in the present, future, or perfect indicative, and all subjunctives, are usually changed to the corresponding tenses of the optative, or they are retained. Subjunctives with  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  lose  $\tilde{a}_{\nu}$  on passing into the optative.
- a. Optative for Indicative and Indicative Retained. εἶπε . . . ὅτι ὅνδρα ϐγοι . . . δν εἶρξαι δέοι he said that he was bringing a man whom it was necessary to lock up X. H. 5. 4. 8 (= ἄγω, δεῖ), Κῦρος . . . τῷ Κλεάρχω ἐβόᾶ ἄγειν τὸ στράτευμα κατὰ μέσον τὸ τῶν πολεμίων, ὅτι ἐκεῖ βασιλεὺς εἴη Cyrus shouted to Clearchus to lead his troops against the enemy's centre because the king was there X. A. 1. 8. 12 (= ἐστί), εῦ δὲ εἰδέναι ἔφασαν ὅτι παρέσοιντο for they said that they knew well that they would come X. H. 6. 5. 19 (= ἴσμεν ὅτι παρέσονται), ἔλεγεν ὅτι ἔτοιμος εἴη ἡγεῖσθαι αὐτοῖς . . . εἰς τὸ Δέλτα . . , ἔνθα πολλὰ κἆγαθὰ λήψοιντο he said that he was ready to be their leader to the Delta, where they would obtain an abundance of good things X. A. 7. 1. 33 (= ἔτοιμός εἰμι, λήψεσθε), ἔλεγον ὅτι . . . ἤκοιεν ἡγεμόνας ἔχοντες, οἶ αὐτούς, ἐᾶν σπονδαὶ γένωνται, ἄξουσιν ἔνθεν ἔξουσι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια they said that they had come with guides who would lead them, should a truce be made, to a place where they would get their supplies 2. 3. θ (= ἤκοιμεν, ὑμᾶς, ἔξετε), ἀγαπήσειν με ἔφασκεν, εἰ τὸ σῶμα σώσω he said I might think myself well off if I saved my life L. 12. 11 (= ἀγαπήσεις, εἰ σώσεις).
- b. Optative for Subjunctive and Subjunctive Retained. εἶπεν ὅτι οἰμώξοιτο, εἰ μὴ σιωπήσειεν he said that he would smart for it unless he kept quiet X. H. 2. 3. 56 (= οἰμώξει, ἐἆν μὴ σιωπήσης), οὐκ ἔφασαν ἰέναι, ἐἆν μή τις αὐτοῖς χρήματα διδῷ they refused to go unless a largess were given them X. A. 1. 4. 12 (= οὐκ ἴμεν), εἶπεν ὅτι ἐπιτίθεσθαι μέλλοιεν αὐτῷ, ὁπότε ἀπάγοι τὸ στράτευμα he said that they intended to attack him when he led his forces away X. C. 7. 5. 2 (= μέλλουσι, σοί, ὁπόταν ἀπάγης), τοὺς ἵππους ἐκέλευε φυλάττειν μένοντας τοὺς ἀγαγόντας ἔως ἄν τις σημαίνη he ordered that those who brought the horses should guard them and wait until orders were given 4. 5. 36, ὅμοσεν ²Αγησιλάψ, εἰ σπείσαιτο ἔως ἔλθοιεν οῦς πέμψειε πρὸς βασιλέᾶ ἀγγέλους, διαπράξεσθαι κτλ. he swore to Agesilaus that, if he would make a truce until the messengers whom he would send to the king should arrive, he would bring it about that, etc. X. Ages. 1. 10 (= ἐᾶν σπείσης ἔως ἄν ἔλθωσιν οῦς ἄν πέμψω, διαπράξομαι).

ἐάν τι ἔχω, δίδωμι

2620. Subordinate verbs in the imperfect, agrist (but see 2623 c, N. 3), or pluperfect indicative, and all optatives, remain unchanged.

έπιστείλαι δὲ σφίσιν αὐτοίς τοὺς ἐφόρους . . . εἰπείν ὡς ὧν μὲν πρόσθεν ἐποίουν μέμφοιντο αὐτοῖς κτλ. they reported that the ephors enjoined them to say that they blamed them for what they had done before X. H. 3, 2, 6 (= ἐποιεῖτε, μεμφόμεθα ύμιν), ήλπιζον τους Σικελους ταύτη, ους μετέπεμψαν, απαντήσεσθαι they expected that the Sicels whom they had sent for would meet them here T.7.80,  $\hat{\epsilon}l\pi\epsilon\nu$  öti  $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\theta$ 01 ä $\nu$ els λόγους, el δμήρους λάβοι he said that he would enter into negotiations if he should receive hostages X. H. 3. 1. 20 (ξλθοιμ' άν, εἰ λάβοιμι). See 2623 a, 2625.

**2621.** The following table shows where, after  $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu$  or  $\epsilon \phi n$ , the optative (and infinitive after  $\xi \phi \eta$ ) may be substituted for the indicative or subjunctive in conditional sentences in indirect discourse.

DIRECT	INDIRECT		
	$\epsilon \widehat{l} \pi \epsilon  u \ reve{o}  au \iota$	$ eq \phi \eta$	
εἴ τι ἔχω, δίδωμι	εἴ τι ἔχοι, διδοίη	(διδόναι)	
εἴ τι εἶχεν, ἐδίδουν	εἴ τι εἶχεν, ἐδίδου	(διδόναι)	
εἴ τι ἔσχον, ἔδωκα	εἴ τι ἔσχεν, δοίη *	(δοῦναι)	
<b>ἐ</b> ấν τι ἔχω, δώσω	εί τι έχοι, δώσοι	(δώσειν)	
εἴ τι έξω, δώσω	εἴ τι έξοι, δώσοι	(δώσειν)	

\* The combination of agrist indicative and agrist optative is unusual.

In the following sentences there is no change of mood after  $\delta \tau i$ :

εί τι έχοι, διδοίη

(διδόναι)

εἴ τι εἶχον, ἐδίδουν ἄν	εἴ τι εἶχεν, εδίδου ἄν	(διδόναι ἄν)
εἴ τι ἔσχον, ἔδωκα ἄν	εἴ τι ἔσχεν, ἔδωκεν ἄν	
εί τι έχοιμι, διδοίην ἄν	εἴ τι ἔχοι, διδοίη ἄν	

Temporal and relative sentences (cp. 2561) are converted in the same way. For an infinitive representing an imperative in the apodosis, see 2633 c.

## IMPLIED INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- 2622. Indirect discourse is implied in the case of any subordinate clause, which, though not depending formally on a verb of saying or thinking, contains the past thought of another person and not a statement of the writer or speaker. Implied indirect discourse appears only after secondary tenses, and in various kinds of dependent clauses.
- a. Conditional clauses, the conclusion being implied in the leading verb. Thus, after a verb of emotion, οἱ δ' ὤκτῖρον εἰ ἀλώσοιντο others pitied them if they should be captured X. A. 1. 4.7. The original form was 'we pity them thinking what they will suffer εἰ ἀλώσονται if they shall be captured.' In other εἰ clauses, as τὰ χρήματα τῷ δήμω ἔδωκεν, εἴ πως τελευτήσειεν ἄπαις he have his property to the people in case he died childless And. 4.15 (i.e. that the people might have it, in case he should die: direct έαν τελευτήσω, and here έαν τελευτήση might have been used).

- b. Temporal clauses implying purpose, expectation, or the like (cp. 2420). Thus, σπονδὰς ἐποιήσαντο, ἔως ἀπαγγελθείη τὰ λεχθέντα they made a truce (which they agreed should continue) until what had been said should have been reported X. H. 3. 2. 20 (ἔως ἄν ἀπαγγελθŷ would be the direct form). Cp. ἔως δ' ἀν ταῦτα διαπράξωνται, φυλακὴν . . . κατέλιπε he left behind a guard (which he intended should remain) until they should settle these matters 5. 3. 25.
  - c. Causal clauses. See 2242.
- d. Ordinary relative clauses. Thus, εἴρετο παῖδα, τὸν Εὐάδνα τέκοι he asked for the child which Evadna had borne Pindar, Ol. 6. 49. Here relative and interrogative are not sharply distinguished.
- e. Clauses depending on an infinitive especially when introduced by a verb of will or desire, e.g. command, advise, plan, ask, wish (1991, 1992). Here the infinitive expressing command, warning, wish, is not itself in indirect discourse. The negative is μή. Thus, ἀφικνοῦνται (historical present) ὡς Σιτάλκην... βουλόμενοι πεῖσαι αὐτόν, εἰ δύναιντο, ... στρατεῦσαι ἐπὶ τὴν Ποτείδαιαν they came to Sitalces with the desire of persuading him (if they could) to make an expedition against Potidaea T. 2. 67 (=ἐἀν δυνώμεθα), cp. 2633 a.
- f. Clauses of purpose and object clauses after verbs of effort admit the alternative constructions of indirect discourse.

# REMARKS ON THE CONSTRUCTIONS OF INDIRECT DISCOURSE

- 2623. Past Tenses in Indirect Discourse. The following rules govern past tenses in indirect discourse.
- a. The potential indicative with  $\alpha\nu$ , the indicative in a condition denoting unreality with  $\alpha\nu$  or without  $\alpha\nu$  (as  $\epsilon\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon\delta\epsilon\iota$ , etc.), always remain unchanged in order to prevent confusion with the optative of the direct form.

άπελογοῦντο ως οὐκ ἄν ποτε οὕτω μῶροι ἢσαν... εἰ ἤδεσαν they pleaded that they never would have been so foolish, if they had known X. H. 5. 4. 22 (=οὐκ ἄν ἢμεν, εἰ ἦσμεν), (ἔλεγεν) ὅτι κρεῖττον ἢν αὐτῷ τότε ἀποθανεῖν he said that it would have been better for him to die then L. 10. 25 (= κρεῖττον ἢν μοι).

b. The imperfect and pluperfect in simple sentences usually remain unchanged after secondary tenses to prevent ambiguity; but when there is no doubt that a past tense stood in the direct form, the imperfect passes into the present optative, the pluperfect into the perfect optative. In subordinate clauses both tenses are retained unaltered.

ἤκουσεν ὅτι πολλάκις πρὸς τὸν Ἰνδὸν οἱ Χαλδαῖοι ἐπορεύοντο he heard that the Chaldaeans often went to the Indian king X. C. 3. 2. 27, εἶχε γὰρ λέγειν καὶ ὅτι μόνοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων βασιλεῖ συνεμάχοντο ἐν Πλαταιαῖς, καὶ ὅτι ὕστερον οὐδεπώποτε στρατεύσαιντο (cp. c) ἐπὶ βασιλέᾶ for he was able to say both that alone of the Greeks they had fought on the side of the king at Platuea and that later they had never at any time taken the field against the king X. H. 7. 1. 34 (= συνεμαχόμεθα, ἐστρατευσάμεθα), τὰ πεπρᾶγμένα διηγοῦντο, ὅτι αὐτοὶ μὲν . . . πλέοιεν, τὴν δὲ ἀναίρεσιν τῶν ναυᾶγῶν προστάξαιεν they related what had occurred to the effect that they were themselves sailing against the enemy and that they had given orders for the rescue of the men on the wrecks X. H. 1. 7. 5 (= ἐπλέομεν, προσετάξαμεν).

- N. The change to the optative is not made when the time of the action of imperfect (and pluperfect) is earlier than that of a coördinated verb in the same quoted sentence; as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau'$  às  $\phi\iota\lambda\alpha\theta\dot{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota\sigma$ ;  $\dot{\eta}\nu$   $\kappa\alpha\iota$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\Sigma\dot{\alpha}\mu\omega$   $\pi\rho\dot{\omega}\tau\sigma$ s  $\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\iota\pi\omega$  he said that he both had been a lover of Athens and that (afterwards) he was the first to tell what had happened at Samos Ar. Vesp. 282.
- c. The aorist indicative without  $\alpha_{\nu}$  in a simple sentence or in a principal clause may be changed to the aorist optative after a secondary tense; but in subordinate clauses (except those denoting cause, N. 3) it remains unchanged to avoid ambiguity with the aorist optative, which usually represents the aorist subjunctive.

άπεκρινάμην αὐτῷ ὅτι . . . οὐ λάβοιμι I answered him that I did not take D. 50.36 (= οὐκ ἔλαβον), τοῖς ἰδιοις χρήσεσθαι ἔφη, ἃ ὁ πατηρ αὐτῷ ἔδωκεν he said that he would use his own money that his father had given him X. H. 1. 5.3 (= χρήσομαι, ἔδωκεν).

- N. 1. The retention of the agrist indicative is here the essential point of difference between subordinate clauses and principal clauses or simple sentences.
- N. 2. In a subordinate clause the time of the agrist usually expresses an action prior to that of the leading verb.
- N. 3. In causal clauses with  $\delta\tau\iota$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$  a dependent agrist indicative may become agrist optative; as  $\epsilon l\chi\epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha}\rho \lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma \epsilon\iota\nu$ ...  $\dot{\omega}s$  Lakeduimbrio  $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$   $\tau\sigma \hat{\nu}\tau\sigma$   $\pi o\lambda\epsilon \mu\dot{\gamma}\sigma\epsilon\iota\alpha\nu$  and  $\dot{\alpha}s$   $\dot{\sigma}s$   $\dot{\sigma}s$
- 2624. Inserted Statement of Fact. When the present or perfect indicative would have stood in the direct discourse, a past tense of historical narration is often used as a statement of fact by the writer from his own point of view, though the rest of the sentence may be given in indirect discourse after a secondary tense from the point of view of the subject of the leading verb.

ἥδει ὅτι οὐχ οἶόν τ' ἢν αὐτἢ σωθῆναι she knew that it was not possible for her to be saved Ant. 1. 8 (= οὐχ οἶόν τ' ἐστὶ ἐμοὶ σωθῆναι. With ἢν the sentence virtually has the force of οὐχ οἶόν τ' ἢν σωθῆναι καὶ ἥδει she could not be saved and she knew it). So ἔλεγον οὐ καλῶς τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἐλευθεροῦν αὐτόν, εἰ ἄνρας διέφθειρεν they said that he was not freeing Greece in the right way if he put men to death T. 3. 32 (= ἐλευθεροῖς, διαφθείρεις), τοὺς φυγάδας ἐκέλευσε σὺν αὐτῷ στρατεύεσθαι, ὑποσχόμενος αὐτοῖς, εἰ καλῶς καταπράξειεν ἐφ' δι ἐστρατεύετο, μὴ πρόσθεν παύσεσθαι πρὶν αὐτοὺς καταγάγοι οἴκαδε he urged the exiles to make the expedition with him, promising them that, if he should succeed in accomplishing the purposes of his campaign, he would not cease until he had brought them back to their homes X. A. 1. 2. 2 (= ἢν καταπράξω ἐφ' δι στρατεύομαι, οὐ παύσομαι πρὶν ᾶν καταγάγω), ἀποθανὼν ἐδήλωσεν ὅτι οὐκ ἀληθῆ ταῦτα ἢν he showed by his death that this was not true L. 19. 52 (= ἐστί), ἔφη εἶναι παρ' ἐαυτῷ ὅσον μὴ ἦν ἀνηλωμένον he said that he had in his possession all that had not been expended D. 48. 16 (= παρ' ἐμοί ἐστιν ὅσον μὴ ἔττιν ἀνηλωμένον), ἐν πολλῆ δὴ ἀπορίᾳ ἣσαν οἱ Ἑλληνες,

έννοούμενοι μὲν ὅτι ἐπὶ ταῖς βασιλέως θύραις ħσαν the Greeks were accordingly in great perplexity on reflecting that they were at the king's gates X. A. 3. 1. 2 (i.e. they were there in fact and they knew it).

- a. The use of past tenses of historical narration instead of present tenses of direct discourse occurs, in simple sentences, especially after verbs of knowing, perceiving, showing, and verbs of emotion (rarely after verbs of saying w. 871).
- b. Such inserted statements of fact are often difficult to distinguish from indicatives in indirect discourse; and the two forms of expression may occur in the same sentence (X. C. 4. 2. 35-36). The common explanation of the use of the imperfect and pluperfect for the present and perfect is that Greek had the same assimilation of tense as English.
- c. Except in indirect questions, the optative of indirect discourse is unknown to Homer. ( $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \hat{\kappa} \hat{\kappa} \lambda \theta o \iota \omega 237$  may be considered as interrogative.) After primary or secondary tenses Homer employs, in the dependent clause, the same past tense that would have been used in an independent clause, from the point of view of the speaker, and not the tense which would have been used in direct discourse from the point of view of the subject of the main clause. Thus,  $\gamma l\gamma \nu \omega \kappa \nu \delta$  (=  $\delta \tau \iota$ )  $\delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \kappa \kappa \dot{\kappa} \dot{\kappa} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau o I$  knew that he was planning evil  $\gamma$  166 (i.e  $\kappa \kappa \kappa \dot{\kappa} \dot{\kappa} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau o \kappa \dot{\kappa} \dot{\kappa} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \kappa \nu he$  was planning evil and I knew it). In Attic we should commonly have  $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \tau a \iota$  or  $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta c \iota \tau o$ . After secondary tenses the future is usually expressed in Homer by  $\ddot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma \nu$  and the infinitive, as  $o\dot{\nu} \delta \dot{\tau} \dot{\nu} \ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$ ,  $\delta \dot{\nu} \dot{\tau} \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\kappa} \mu \epsilon \lambda \kappa \nu$  nor did he know this, that she had no thought to comply  $\gamma$  146.
- d. That this use of statements of fact standing outside indirect discourse is optional only, is seen from a comparison of the first example in 2624 with καλῶς γὰρ ἥδειν ὡς ἐγὼ ταὑτη κράτιστὸς εἰμι for he knew full well that I am first-rate in this line Ar. Vesp. 635 and with ἥδει αὐτὸν ὅτι μέσον ἔχοι τοῦ Περσικοῦ στρατεύματος he knew that he held the centre of the Persian army X. A. 1. 8. 21.
- **2625.** An optative with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  is regularly retained after  $\tilde{o}\tau\iota$  ( $\tilde{\omega}s$ ).

έδίδασκον ώς . . . συνεστρατεύοντο ὅποι ἡγοῖντο they showed that they always followed them in their campaigns wherever they led X. H. 5. 2. 8 (= συνεστρατευόμεθα, ὅποι ἡγοῖσθε, cp. 2568), ἀπεκρίνατο . . . ὅτι πρόσθεν αν ἀποθάνοιεν ἡ τὰ ὅπλα παραδοίησαν he replied that they would sooner die than surrender their arms X. A. 2. 1. 10 (= αν ἀποθάνοιμεν, παραδοῖμεν).

**2626.** In some cases the optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  in temporal and relative sentences is used to represent the subjunctive with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ; but many scholars expel  $\tilde{a}\nu$ .

παρήγγειλαν αὐτοῖς μὴ πρότερον ἐπιτίθεσθαι πρὶν ἄν τῶν σφετέρων ἢ πέσοι τις ἢ τρωθείη they gave orders to them that they should not attack before some one of their number had either fallen or been wounded X. H. 2. 4. 18. Cp. 2421.

**2627.** An optative occasioned by indirect discourse may stand after a *primary* tense when it is implied that the thought quoted has been expressed in the past.

λέγει ὁ λόγος ὅτι Νεοπτόλεμος Νέστορα ἔροιτο the story goes that Neoptolemus asked Nestor P. Hipp. M. 286 b. This may be expressed by λέγεται εἰπεῖν ὅτι. Cp. λέγεται εἰπεῖν ὅτι βούλοιτο it is reported that he said that he wished X. C. 1. 4. 25.

- a. The historical present is a secondary tense: of de  $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \ell \nu \tau \epsilon s$  keyous. Kép $\phi$   $\delta \tau \iota$   $\mu \delta \sigma \delta \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \delta s$  Assurious and those who had been sent told Cyrus that they hated the Assyrians X. C. 4. 2. 4.
- **2628.** Indirect discourse may be introduced by  $\delta\tau\iota$  ( $\delta s$ ) and then pass into the infinitive as if the introductory verb had required the infinitive.
- ή δὲ ἀπεκρίνατο ὅτι βούλοιτο μὲν ἄπαντα τῷ πατρὶ χαρίζεσθαι, ἄκοντα μέντοι τὸν παίδα χαλεπὸν εἶναι νομίζειν (= νομίζοι) καταλιπεῖν she answered that she wished to do everything to oblige her father, but that she considered it unkind to leave the child behind against his inclination X. C. 1. 3. 13.
  - a. It is unusual to have the infinitive first, and then  $\delta\tau\iota$  (T. 5. 65).
- b. One and the same clause may even begin with  $\delta\tau\iota$  ( $\dot{\omega}s$ ) and then (sometimes after a parenthesis) be continued by an infinitive, less often by a participle. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\dot{\omega}\dot{\omega}\dot{\omega}\dot{\tau}\iota$  (omitted in one Ms.)  $\kappa al\ \sigma\nu\nu\theta\eta\rho\epsilon\nu\tau\dot{\alpha}s\ \tau\iota\nu\alpha s\ \tau\dot{\omega}\nu\ \pi al\delta\omega\nu\ \sigma\iota\iota\ \gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta a\iota\ a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\omega}$  I hear too that some of his sons became your companions in the chase X. C. 2.4.15. Continuation with a participle in T. 4.37.
- **2629.** An optative dependent on  $\eth \tau_{\iota}$  ( $\delta s$ ) may be followed, in a parenthetical or appended clause (often introduced by  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  or  $o \acute{v}\nu$ ), by an *independent* optative, which is used as if it itself directly depended on  $\eth \tau_{\iota}$  ( $\delta s$ ).
- ἔλεγον πολλοί . . . ὅτι παντὸς ἄξια λέγοι Σεύθης · χειμών γὰρ εἴη καὶ οὕτε οἴκαδε ἀποπλεῖν τῷ ταῦτα βουλομένῳ δυνατὸν εἴη κτλ. many said that what Seuthes said was of much value; for it was winter and neither was it possible for any one who so desired to sail home, etc. X.A. 7. 3. 13 (here we might have had χειμώνα γὰρ εἶναι by 2628).
- a. Such an independent optative may also follow an infinitive in indirect discourse (L. 13.78), an indicative after  $\delta\tau\iota$  (Is. 8.22), or a participle (Is. 9.5). After an optative in indirect discourse the appended clause may contain an indicative (X. A. 6.2.10, I. 17.21).
- 2630. An infinitive in indirect discourse may follow a sentence which merely *involves* the idea of indirect statement.
- ό δὲ αὐτοὺς εἰς Λακεδαίμονα ἐκέλευεν ἰέναι · οὐ γὰρ εἶναι κύριος αὐτός he recommended them to go to Lacedaemon; for (he said that) he was not himself empowered to act X. H. 2.2.12.
- **2631.** In subordinate temporal and relative clauses the infinitive is often used for the indicative or optative by attraction to an infinitive standing in the principal clause after a verb of saying. In some cases  $\xi \phi_{\eta}$  may be mentally inserted.
- ἔφη... ἐπειδὴ δὲ γενέσθαι ἐπὶ τῷ οἰκία τῷ ᾿Αγάθωνος, ἀνεψγμένην καταλαμβάνειν τὴν θύραν he said that, when he arrived at the house of Agathon, he found the door open P.S.174 d (= ἐπειδὴ ἐγενόμην, καταλαμβάνω). See also the sentence quoted in 1228 b, end. So οὖτοι δὲ ἔλεγον ὅτι πολλοὺς φαίη ᾿Αριαῖος εἶναι Πέρσᾶς ἑαυτοῦ βελτίους, οὖς οὐκ ἀν ἀνασχέσθαι αὐτοῦ βασιλεύοντος and they said that Ariaeus said that there were many Persians better than himself, who would not endure

- his being king X. A. 2. 2. 1 (=  $\pi$ 0λλοί είσι εμαυτοῦ βελτίους, οῖ οὐκ ἄν ἀνάσχοιντο εμοῦ β.). Here the relative is equivalent, in sense, to καὶ τούτους. The infinitive occurs even in clauses with εί (T. 4. 98, and often in Hdt.), and with διότι (Hdt. 3. 55).
- a. The infinitive is rare in such relative clauses as διορίζουσι σαφῶς ἐν οῖς ἐξεῖναι ἀποκτιννύναι they make a clear distinction in cases where it is permitted to kill D. 23.74.
- 2632. For the sake of variation, a mood of the direct form may be used in the same sentence with a mood of the indirect. The main verb may be kept in the direct form, while the subordinate verb becomes optative, or, less often, the subordinate verb may be retained in the direct form though the main verb becomes optative.

οδτοι ἔλεγον ὅτι Κῦρος μὲν τέθνηκεν, 'Αριαῖος δὲ πεφευγὼς . . . εἴη these said that Cyrus was dead but that Ariaeus had fled X. A. 2. 1.3 (here we might have had τεθνήκοι or πέφευγε), αἱ δὲ ἀπεκρίναντο ὅτι οὐκ ἐνταῦθα εἰη, ἀλλ' ἀπέχει ὅσον παρασάγγην and they replied that he was not there but was a parasang distant 4. 5. 10 (here we might have ἐστί or ἀπέχοι), ἐδόκει δῆλον εἶναι ὅτι αἰρήσονται αὐτὸν εἴ τις ἐπιψηφίζοι it seemed to be clear that they would elect him if any one should put it to vote X. A. 6. 1. 25 (here we might have αἰρήσοιντο οr ἐὰν ἔπιψηφίζη), ἔλεξας . . . ὅτι μέγιστον εἰη μαθεῖν ὅπως δεῖ ἐξεργάζεσθαι ἔκαστα you said that it was essential to learn how it is necessary to conduct each process X. O. 15. 2 (here ἐστί or δέοι might have been used), παρήγγειλαν, ἐπειδὴ δειπνήσαιεν, . . . ἀναπαύεσθαι καὶ ἔπεσθαι, ἡνίκ' ἄν τις παραγγέλλη they gave orders that, when they had supped, they should rest and follow when any one gave the command X. A. 3. 5. 18 (here we might have had ἐπειδὰν δειπνήσωσι οr ἡνίκα παραγγέλλοι). Other examples 2619. Subjunctive (in some Mss.), then optative: X. A. 7. 7. 57.

- **2633.** The idea conveyed by an imperative or a hortatory (or even deliberative) subjunctive of direct discourse may be set forth in the infinitive by a *statement* as to what *ought to be*.
- a. In an infinitive dependent on a verb of will or desire (such as ask, command, advise, forbid, etc. 1992) which does not properly take the construction of indirect discourse.
- εῖς δὲ δὴ εἶπε (1997) . . . στρατηγούς μὲν ἐλέσθαι ἄλλους and some one urged that they choose other generals X. A. 1. 3. 14 (cp. ἔλεσθε or ἔλωμεν), ἀπηγόρευε μηδένα βάλλειν he forbade any one to shoot X. C. 1. 4. 14 (cp. μηδεὶς βαλλέτω).
- N. Here may be placed the infinitive after  $\dot{\eta}\gamma \rho \hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ ,  $\nu \rho \mu i \zeta \omega$ , or  $\dot{\nu}\mu a\iota$  in the sense of  $\delta o\kappa \hat{\omega}$  I think it proper (or necessary); as  $\ddot{\psi}o\nu ro$   $\dot{\alpha}\pi \iota \dot{\nu}\nu a\iota$  they thought that they should retire X. H. 4. 7. 4 (cp.  $\dot{\alpha}\pi \iota \omega \mu \nu \nu$ ).
- b. In an infinitive dependent on  $\xi\phi\eta$   $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha$   $(\delta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu)$ , as  $\xi\phi\eta$  . . .  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha$   $\pi\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$   $\xi\pi$   $\Sigma\nu\rho\hat{u}\kappa\omega$   $\delta\sigma$  he said that they ought to sail to Syracuse T. 4.69.
- c. In the simple infinitive, as τὰς μὲν ἐπιστολὰς... ἀνέγνωσαν, ἐν αις πολλῶν ἄλλων γεγραμμένων κεφάλαιον ἢν πρὸς Λακεδαιμονίους οὐ γιγνώσκειν ὅ τι βούλονται... εἰ οῦν τι βούλονται σαφὲς λέγειν, πέμψαι μετὰ τοῦ Πέρσου ἄνδρας ὡς αὐτόν they read the dispatches, in which of much besides therein written to the Lacedaemonians the substance was that the king did not understand what they wanted; if therefore

they wished to make explicit statements, let them send men to him in company with the Persian T. 4. 50. Cp. T. 1. 27. 1  $\mu \ell \nu \epsilon \nu = \mu \epsilon \nu \ell \tau \omega$ .

- 2634. Long sentences (and even some short complex sentences), or a series of sentences, in indirect discourse depending on a single verb of saying or thinking, are uncongenial to the animated character of Greek, which resists the formal regularity of Latin. Some long speeches in indirect discourse do, however, appear, e.g. Andoc. 1. 38–42, Thuc. 6. 49, Xen. C. 8. 1. 10–11, Plato R. 614 b (the entire Symposium is given in reported form). To effect variety and to ensure clearness by relieving the strain on the leading verb, Greek has various devices.
  - a. ἔφη (ἔλεξε, εἶπεν, ἤρετο) is repeated, e.g. T. 7.48.
- b. The indirect form is abandoned for the direct form, e.g. X.A. 1.3. 14, 1. 9.25, 4.8.10; often with a change, or repetition, of the verb of saying (X.A. 5.6.37, X.H.2.1.25).
  - c. ἔφη χρῆναι (δεῖν) or ἐκέλευσε is inserted or repeated (T. 6. 49. 4).
- N. 1. Transition from direct to indirect discourse is rare (X. A. 7.1.39, cp. X. C. 3.2.25).
- N. 2. An interrogative clause always depends immediately on the introductory verb, hence such clauses do not occur in the course of a long sentence in indirect discourse.

## 2635. EXAMPLES OF INDIRECT DISCOURSE

έφη γὰρ εἶναι μὲν ἀνδράποδόν οἱ ἐπὶ Λαυρίω, δείν δὲ κομίσασθαι ἀποφοράν. άναστας δὲ πρώ ψευσθείς τῆς ώρας βαδίζειν · είναι δέ πανσέληνον. ἐπεὶ δὲ παρὰ τὸ προπύλαιον τοῦ Διονύσου ἢν, ὁρᾶν άνθρώπους πολλούς άπὸ τοῦ 'Ωιδείου καταβαίνοντας είς την δρχήστραν · δείσας δέ αὐτούς, εἰσελθών ὑπὸ τὴν σκιἆν καθέζεσθαι μεταξύ τοῦ κίονος καὶ τῆς στήλης έφ' ή ὁ στρατηγός έστιν ὁ χαλκοῦς. όρᾶν δὲ ἀνθρώπους τὸν μὲν ἀριθμὸν μάλιστα τριακοσίους, έστάναι δὲ κύκλω ἀνὰ πέντε καὶ δέκα ἄνδρας, τοὺς δὲ ἀνὰ εἴκοσιν. όρων δὲ αὐτων πρὸς τὴν σελήνην τὰ πρόσωπατῶν πλείστων γιγνώσκειν. καὶ πρῶτον μέν, & άνδρες, τοῦθ' ὑπέθετο δεινότατον πράγμα, οίμαι, ὅπως ἐν ἐκείνω εἴη ὅντινα βούλοιτο 'Αθηναίων φάναι των άνδρων τούτων είναι, όντινα δέ μη βούλοιτο, λέγειν ότιούκ ην. Ιδών δέ ταθτ' ἔφη ἐπὶ Λαύριον lέναι, καὶ τῆ ὑστεραία ἀκούειν ὅτι οἰ Ερμαί είεν περικεκομμένοι γνώναι οθν

For Dioclides said that he had a slave at Laurium, and that he had to fetch a payment due him. Rising early he mistook the time and set out, and there was a full moon. When he was by the gateway of the sanctuary of Dionysus, he saw a body of men coming down from the Odeum into the orchestra, and through fear of them he betook himself into the shade and sat down between the column and the block on which the Bronze General stands. He saw about three hundred men, some standing round about in groups of fifteen, others in groups of twenty. On seeing them in the moonlight he recognized the faces of most. In the first place, gentlemen, he has concocted this most extraordinary tale, in order, as I believe, that it might be in his power to include among these men any Athenian he wished, or to εὐθὺς ὅτι τούτων εἴη τῶν ἀνδρῶν τὸ ἔργον, ήκων δὲ εἰς ἄστυ ζητητάς τε ήδη ἡρημένους καταλαμβάνειν καὶ μήνῦτρα κεκηρῦγμένα ἐκατὸν μνᾶς. — Andocides 1.38.

exclude any he did not wish. On seeing this he said he went to Laurium, and on the day after heard that the statues of Hermes had been mutilated. So he knew forthwith that it was the work of these men. On his return to the city he found that commissioners of inquiry had already been appointed and that a hundred minae had been offered as a reward.

### INTERROGATIVE SENTENCES (QUESTIONS)

- **2636.** Questions are either direct (independent) or indirect (dependent). Thus, τίς ἔλεξε ταῦτα; who said this? ἐρωτῶ ὄστις ἔλεξε ταῦτα I ask who said this.
- 2637. Questions may have the assertive form with the interrogation expressed simply by the tone of the voice, or may be introduced by an interrogative pronoun, adjective, adverb, or particle.
- a. A question gains in animation and has its emphatic part clearly marked if the interrogative word is placed late in the sentence. Thus,  $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}a$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\kappa a\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\imath}s$  où  $\tau\dot{a}$   $\dot{\eta}\delta o\nu \dot{\eta}s$   $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi o\nu\tau a$ ; you call pleasant, do you not, that which participates in pleasure? P.Pr. 351 d,  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\pi\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}\dot{\nu}$   $\epsilon\dot{\lambda}\epsilon\gamma\epsilon$   $\pi\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon\rho o\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\dot{\delta}\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{d}\nu\dot{a}\dot{\rho}\dot{\nu}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}a\iota$ ; did you say that to be hungry was pleasant or painful? P. G. 496 c.
- 2638. Yes and No Questions (or sentence-questions) are asked by the verb (whether a given thing is or is done). Such questions are commonly introduced by an interrogative particle. Pronoun-questions (or word-questions) are asked by an interrogative pronoun, adjective, or adverb (who, what, where, when, how).
- a. A sentence-question may follow a word-question; as τι δοκοῦσιν ὑμῖν, ὧ ἄνδρες; ἆρά γε ὁμοίως ὑμῖν περὶ τῶν ἀδικούντων γιγνώσκειν κτλ.; what do you think of your ancestors, gentlemen of the jury ? Do they seem to entertain the same sentiments with yourselves about wrong-doers? Lyc. 119.
- **2639.** Deliberative Questions ask what is to be done or what was to be done. Questions asking what is to be done in the present or future are expressed by the deliberative subjunctive (negative  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 1805), by  $\delta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}$  or  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  and the infinitive, by the verbal in  $-\tau\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$  with  $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau t$  (1808) or by the deliberative future (1916). Questions asking what was to be done are expressed by  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$ ) or  $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon$  with the infinitive, or by the verbal in  $-\tau\dot{\epsilon}o\nu$  with  $\dot{\eta}\nu$ . In direct questions the optative is not used to denote what was to be done.
- **2640.** Rhetorical Questions are questions asked for effect and not for information, since the speaker knows the answer in advance and either does not wait for, or himself gives, the answer. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$  οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτα· πόθεν; but this is not so. How can it be ? D. 18. 47, τί οῦν αἴτιον εἶναι ὑπολαμβάνω; ἐγὼ ὑμῦν ἐρῶ what then do I regard as the explanation ? I will tell you P. A. 40 b. Such questions are often introduced by μή (2651 b). Other examples 2638 a, 2641.

a. Rhetorical questions awaken attention and express various shades of emotion; and are often used in passing to a new subject. Such questions are very rare in Lysias, somewhat frequent in Plato, common in Isaeus, highly developed in Demosthenes. The rhetorical question is much more favoured in Greek than in English.

# DIRECT (INDEPENDENT) QUESTIONS

2641. Any form of statement (2153) may be used as a direct question. The interrogative meaning may be indicated only by the context, or it may be expressed by placing an emphatic word first or by the use of certain particles (2650, 2651).

έγὼ οὕ φημι; I say no? P. G. 446 e, οὐ γὰρ ἀπεκρῖνάμην ὅτι εἴη ἡ καλλίστη; for did I not answer that it was the noblest art? 448 e, Ἑλληνες ὅντες βαρβάροις δουλεύσομεν; shall we, who are Greeks, be subject to barbarians? E. fr. 719, ἡγούμεθά τι τὸν θάνατον εἶναι; do we regard death as anything? P. Ph. 64 c. Cp. 1831, 1832.

2642. Questions which cannot be answered by yes or no are introduced by interrogative pronouns, adjectives or adverbs (340, 346), usually without any interrogative particle, and may have any form of the simple sentence.

τί οὖν κελεύω ποιῆσαι; what then do I urge you to do? X. A. 1. 4. 14, πόσον . . . ἄπεστιν ἐνθένδε τὸ στράτευμα; how far distant from here is the army? X. C. 6. 3. 10, πῶς εἶπας; what (lit. how) did you say? P. G. 447 d, τί αν αὐτῷ εἶπες; what would you have said to him? P. R. 337 c.

- **2643.** An interrogative pronoun or adverb often depends on a participle and not on the main verb of the sentence. Thus,  $\tau l$  οῦν ποιήσαντος κατεχειροτονήσατε τοῦ Εὐάνδρου; for what act then did you condemn Evander? D. 21. 176, ('Ολύνθιοι) οῖ  $\tau l$  πεποιηκότος αὐτοῖς Φιλίππου πῶς αὐτῷ χρῶνται; for whom what has Philip done and how do they treat him? 23. 107. Cp. 2147 d. On  $\tau l$  παθών see 2064 a.
- **2644.** A subordinate clause introduced by a conjunction or a relative pronoun may suddenly change into a direct pronoun-question, though the construction of the clause remains unaltered. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}$  περί τίνος 'Αθηναίοι διανοούνται βουλεύεσθαι, ἀνίστασαι συμβουλεύων; when the Athenians are intending to deliberate (lit. about what?) do you get up to give them advice? P. Alc. I. 106 c, πόθ' ἃ χρὴ πράξετε; ἐπειδὰν τί γένηται; when will you do what you ought to do? in what event? (lit. when what shall have happened?) D. 4. 10.
- a. Here belong the elliptical phrases ΐνα τί, ὡς τί (scil. γένηται, 946), ὅτι τί (scil. γίγνεται). Thus, ἵνα τί ταῦτα λέγεις; why (lit. that what shall happen?) do you say this? P. A. 26 d, ἔτι καὶ τοῦτ' αὐτῷ προσθήσετε; ὅτι τί; will you give him this distinction too in addition? for what reason? D. 23. 214.
- **2645.** Two questions may be condensed into one in an interrogative sentence by placing an interrogative between the article and its noun. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  où  $\dot{\tau}$  du  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\pi$ oiās  $\pi$  $\delta\lambda\epsilon\omega$ s  $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\eta\gamma\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\pi\rho\sigma\delta\delta\kappa\dot{\omega}$   $\tau\alpha\partial\tau\alpha$   $\pi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota\nu$ ; am I waiting for a general to do this? From what city? X. A. 3. 1. 14.

what D. 18. 73,  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta a \nu \tau is \tau \iota \nu a \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho os \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho ov \phi \iota \lambda os \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau a$ ; whenever one person loves another, which one is the lover of which? P. Lys. 212 a.

2647. With a substantive and the article or with a demonstrative pronoun an interrogative pronoun may be used as a predicate adjective. Here the interrogative sentence is equivalent to an interrogative clause with a dependent (relative) clause (cp. 1169).

ποῖον τὸν μῦθον ἔειπες; what is the word that thou hast uttered? A 552 (lit. the word being what? In fuller form = ποῖός ἐστιν ὁ μῦθος δν ἔειπες;), τίς ὁ πόθος αὐτοὺς ἵκετο; what is this longing that has come upon them? S. Ph. 601, οὖτος δὲ τίς...κρατεῖ; who is this man who holds sway? S. O. C. 68, τίνας τούσδ΄ εἰσορῶ; who are these I see? E. Or. 1347, διὰ σοφίᾶν τινὰ τοῦτο τὸ ὅνομα ἔσχηκα. ποίᾶν δὴ σοφίᾶν ταύτην; thanks to a kind of wisdom I obtained this name. (Thanks to) this wisdom being what? (that is, what is this wisdom?) P. Λ. 20 d.

**2648**.  $\tau$ is,  $\tau$ l,  $\pi$ o $\hat{i}$ os referring to something mentioned before may take the article; as A.  $\pi$ άσχει δὲ θαυμαστόν. B.  $\tau$ ὸ  $\tau$ l; A. A strange thing is happening to him. B. (The) what? Ar. Pax 696, A. νῦν δἢ ἐκείνα ἤδη . . . δυνάμεθα κρίνειν. B.  $\tau$ à  $\pi$ ο $\hat{i}$ a; A. Now at last we are able to decide those matters. B. (The) what matters? P. Phae. 277 a.

**2649**.  $\tau ls$ ,  $\pi o \hat{l}os$  as adjective pronouns, and  $\pi \hat{u}s$  etc., when followed by  $o \hat{v}$ , have the force of an affirmative assertion. Thus,  $\pi o lous$  λόγους  $o \hat{v}\kappa \hat{u}v \eta \lambda \hat{u}\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ; what arguments d i d we not expend ? I. 8. 67 (=  $\pi \hat{a}v \tau a s$ ),  $\tau l$  κακόν  $o \hat{v}\chi l$ ; =  $\pi \hat{a}v$  κακόν in 2147 d.

#### QUESTIONS INTRODUCED BY INTERROGATIVE PARTICLES

**2650.**  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{a}\rho a$  introduce questions asking merely for information and imply nothing as to the answer expected (neither yes nor no).

 $\hat{\eta}$  τέθνηκεν Οίδίπου πατήρ; is Oedipus' father really dead? S. O. T. 943,  $\hat{\eta}$  λέγω (delib. subj.); shall I tell you? X. C. S. 4. 10,  $\hat{\eta}$  καὶ οἴκοι τῶν πλουσίων  $\hat{\eta}$ σθα; were you really one of the rich men when you were at home? S. 3. 36.

 $d \rho'$  εἰμὶ μάντις; am I a prophet? S. Ant. 1212,  $d \rho'$  'Οδυσσέως κλύω; can it be that I am listening to Odysseus? S. Ph. 976,  $d \rho a$  εθελήσειεν d n' ήμιν διαλεχθηναι; will he really be willing to talk with us? P. G. 447 b.

a.  $\hat{a}\rho a$  is from  $\hat{\eta} + \hat{a}\rho a$ .  $\hat{\eta}$  is chiefly poetic. Homer uses  $\hat{\eta}$ , not  $\hat{a}\rho a$ . Both particles denote interest on the part of the questioner (often = really? surely?).

**2651.** οὐ, ἆρ' οὐ, οὐκοῦν expect the answer yes (nonne), μή, ἆρα μή, μῶν (= μὴ οὖν) expect the answer no (num).

a. οὐχοὕτως ἔλεγες; did you not say so? P. R. 334 b (i.e. 'I think you did, did you not?'), ἆρ' οὐχ ὕβρις τάδε; is not this insolence? S. O. C. 883, οὐκοῦν . . . εὖ σοι δοκοῦσι βουλεύεσθαι; do they not then seem to you to plan well? X. C. 7. 1. 8. οὐκοῦν οὐ expects the answer no.

b. μή τι νεώτερον ἀγγέλλεις; no bad news, I hope? P. Pr. 310 b, ἆρα μη alσχυνθώμεν; surely we are not ashamed, are we? (or can it be that we should be ashamed?) X. O. 4. 4, μη ἀποκρίνωμαι; am I not to answer? P. R. 337 b, μών τί σε άδικεῖ; surely he has not wronged you, has he? (or can it be that, etc.) P. Pr. 310 d. μών οὐ expects the answer yes.

- c.  $\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  is confined to Attic. Since the fact of its composition was lost, we find  $\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$  o $\hat{v}\nu$  (A. Ch. 177) and  $\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$   $\mu\hat{\eta}$  (P. Lys. 208 e).
- d. où after  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $a\rho a$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  belongs to a single word, not to the sentence (P. Men. 89 c, Lys. 213 d). On  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où with the subjunctive in half-questions, see 1801.
- e. ἀρα placed before οὐ or μή gives greater distinctness to the question. οὐ questions ask concerning facts; μή questions imply uncertainty or even apprehension, but sometimes are asked merely for effect.
- f. où  $\pi ov$ ; où  $\tau \iota \pi ov$ ; où  $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ; où  $\delta \dot{\eta}$   $\pi ov$  mean surely it is not so? Here the negative belongs to the sentence.
- 2652. ἄλλο τι ης; is it anything else than? and ἄλλο τι; is it not? are used as direct interrogatives. Thus, ἄλλο τι η οὐδὲν κωλύει παρεῖναι; there's nothing to hinder our passing, is there? (lit. is there anything else the case than this that nothing prevents, etc.) Χ. Α. 4. 7. 5, ἄλλο τι φιλεῖται ὑπὸ θεῶν; is it not loved by the gods? P. Euth. 10 d. Cp. τί γὰρ ἄλλο ἢ κινδῦνεύσεις ἐπιδεῖξαι κτλ.; for what other risk will you run than that of showing, etc.? (= for what else will you do than that you will very likely show?) Χ. Μ. 2. 3. 17.
- **2653.** εἶτα and ἔπειτα (more emphatic κἆτα, κἄπειτα) introduce questions expressing surprise, indignation, irony, etc.; and often indicate a contrast between what a person has or has not done and what is or was to be expected of him. Thus, εἶτα πῶs οὐκ εὐθὺs ἐπήγειράs με; then why did you not rouse me at once? P. Cr. 43 b.
- **2654.** ἀλλά (ἀλλ' ἢ) introduces a question opposed to an expressed or implied thought of the speaker (especially an objection). Thus, ἥτουν τί σε καὶ ἐπεί μοι οὐκ ἐδίδους ἔπαιον; ἀλλὶ ἀπήτουν; ἀλλὰ περὶ παιδικῶν μαχόμενος; ἀλλὰ μεθύων ἐπαρψνησα; did I ask anything of you and strike you when you would not give it to me? Or did I demand anything back? Or was I quarreling about an object of affection? Or was I the worse for liquor and did I treat you with drunken violence? X. A. 5. 8. 4, ἀλλὶ ἢ, τὸ λεγόμενον, κατόπιν ἐορτῆς ῆκομεν; but have we arrived, as the proverb says, late for a feast? P. G. 447 a. Cp. 2785.
- **2655.** St sometimes introduces a suppressed thought, as an objection. Thus,  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \mu o \iota$ ,  $\sigma \dot{v}$  de di  $\tau \dot{v}$   $\tau$

#### DIRECT ALTERNATIVE OUESTIONS

**2656.** Direct alternative questions are usually introduced by πότερον (πότερολ) . . . η whether . . . or (Lat. utrum . . . an).

πότερον δέδρᾶκεν ἢ οὕ; has he done it or not? D. 23.79. πότερόν σέ τις, Αισχίνη, τῆς πόλεως ἐχθρὸν ἢ ἐμὸν εἶναι φῷ; shall I say, Aeschines, that you are the enemy of the State or mine? 18.124 (τις φῷ = φῶ, 1805 c), πότερα δ' ἡγεῖ . . . ἄμεινον εἶναι σὺν τῷ σῷ ἀγαθῷ τὰς τῖμωρίᾶς ποιεῖσθαι ἢ σὺν τῷ σῷ ἄγαμα; do you think that it is better to inflict the proper punishments in your own interest or to your own loss? X. C.3. 1.15.

**2657.** ή often stands alone without πότερον (as an without utrum). Thus, έλῦς τὴν εἰρήνην ἢ οὕ; did he break the peace or not ? D. 18. 71, ἢν χρήματα πολλὰ

- ἔχη, έᾶς πλουτεῖν ἢ πένητα ποιεῖς; if he has great wealth, do you let him keep on being rich or do you make him poor ? X. C. 3. 1. 12. So when the first question expresses uncertainty on the part of the questioner; as άλλὰ τίς σοι διηγεῖτο; ἢ αὐτὸς Σωκράτης; but who told you the story? (was it some one else) or was it Socrates himself? P.S. 173 a. Cp. 2860.
- **2658.** An alternative question may follow upon a simple direct (or indirect) question. Thus,  $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \theta \theta'$  by  $\lambda \epsilon \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$  and  $\lambda \epsilon \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$  whence do ye sail over the watery ways? Or is it perchance on some enterprise or by way of rash adventure that ye rove?  $\lambda \epsilon \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$  cp. E 85 (cited in 2660).
- **2659.** πότερον (πότερα) may stand alone when the second member of the question is implicit in another sentence. Thus, ἐννοήσατε δὲ κἄκεῖνο, τίνα πρόφασιν ἔχοντες ἂν προσιοίμεθα κακίονες ἢ πρόσθεν γενέσθαι. πότερον ὅτι ἄρχομεν;... ἀλλὶ ὅτι εὐδαιμονέστεροι δοκοῦμεν νῦν ἢ πρότερον εἶναι; and consider this too: what pretence should we have for allowing ourselves to become less deserving than heretofore? Is it because we are rulers? Or is it because we seem to be more prosperous than before? X. C. 7. 5.83.
- **2660.** πότερον (πότερα) was originally the neuter of πότερος which of the two? placed in front of a double question and later made a part of the first question. Thus, έρωτῶ πότερον φιλεῖ ἢ μῶτεῖ σε I ask which of the two (is true): does he love or does he hate you? Cp. Τυδείδην δ΄ οὐκ ἆν γνοίης ποτέροισι μετείη, ἡὲ μετὰ Τρώεσσιν ὁμῖλέοι ἢ μετ' 'Αχαιοῖς you could not tell on which side Tydides was, whether he consorted with Trojans or with Achaeans E 85, τίνες κατἢρξαν, πότερον "Ελληνες, μάχης, ἢ παῖς ἐμός; who began the battle— was it the Greeks or my son? A. Pers. 351, cp. X. C.1. 3. 2.
- a. All the ancient grammarians attest the accentuation of these particles as given above. Modern editors often adopt other accents.  $\dot{\eta}\epsilon$  and  $\dot{\eta}\epsilon$  are derived from  $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon$  and  $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon$  (whence  $\dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{\eta}$ ). With this enclitic  $\epsilon \epsilon$ , cp. Lat. -ve.

#### MOODS IN DIRECT QUESTIONS

- 2662. The moods used in direct questions are the same as those used in statements.
- a. Indicative (examples in 2642): sometimes in a past tense with ἄν, as πῶs δὲ πάντες ἐξήλουν ᾶν τοὺς τυράννους; but why should all men envy despotic rulers?
  X. Hi. 1.9, εἴ τις ἕνα νόμον . . . ἐξαλείψειεν . . . , ἆρ' οὐκ ᾶν ἀπεκτείνατ' αὐτόν; if any one should cancel a single law . . ., would you not have put him to death? Lyc.
  66. On τί οὐ οτ τί οὖν οὐ with the acrist, see 1936.
- b. Subjunctive: in deliberative questions (2639). On the anticipatory subjunctive in  $\tau l \pi d\theta \omega$ , see 1811.
- c. Optative (potential), as τις φράσειεν αν; who can tell? E. I. T. 577. Without αν this optative is rare, as τις λέγοι; who can tell? A. Ch. 595. Cp. 1821 a.

## INDIRECT (DEPENDENT) QUESTIONS

- 2663. Indirect questions are introduced by interrogative pronouns, adjectives, and adverbs, indefinite relative pronouns and adverbs (340, 346), or by certain interrogative particles (2671, 2675).
- 2664. The interrogatives of the direct question may be retained in an indirect question. But it is more common to use the indefinite relatives which (in interrogative sentences) are employed only in indirect questions.

τρώτων αὐτοὺς τίνες εἶεν they asked them who they were X. A. 4.5. 10 (= τίνες ἐστέ;), ἡρώτων ὅ τι ἐστὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα I asked what the matter was 5.7.23 (= τί ἐστι;), ἡρώτα αὐτόν πόσον χρῦσιον ἔχοι he asked him how much money he had 7.8.1 (= πόσον ἔχεις), ἡρώτων αὐτὸν τὸ στράτευμα ὁπόσον εἴη they asked him how large the army was 4.4.17 (= πόσον ἐστί;), ἀπορῶν ποῖ τράποιτο ἐπὶ λόφον τινὰ καταφεύγει being in doubt whither he should turn, he fled to a hill X. C. 3.1.4 (= ποῖ τράπωμα;), ἥδει δὲ οὐδεὶς ὅποι στραπεύουσιν but no one knew where they were going to march T. 5.54 (= ποῖ στραπεύομεν;).

- **2665.** The use of the direct interrogatives is a relic of original juxtaposition, e.g.  $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon' \mu o \iota$ ,  $\pi o i o \nu \tau \iota \nu o \mu i j \epsilon \iota s$  e  $i\pi \epsilon' \mu o \iota$ ,  $\pi o i o \nu \tau \iota \nu o \mu i j \epsilon \iota s$  e  $i\pi \epsilon' \nu o \iota$ ; tell me, what sort of a thing do you think holiness is ? X. M. 4. 6. 2. The interrogative force of the indefinite relatives is derived, not from any interrogative idea in these words, but from the connection in which they stand.
- **2666.** An indirect interrogative is often used in the same sentence after a direct interrogative. Thus, οἰκ οἶδα οὕτ' ἀπὸ ποίου αν τάχους οὕτε ὅποι ἄν τις φεύγων ἀποφύγοι οὕτ' εἰς ποῖον αν σκότος ἀποδραίη οὕθ' ὅπως αν εἰς έχυρὸν χωρίον ἀποσταίη I do not know with what swiftness of foot nor by fleeing to what quarter a man might escape nor into what darkness he might run away nor how he could withdraw into any stronghold X. A. 2. 5. 7. The direct form precedes less often, as οὐ γὰρ αἰσθάνομαί σου ὁποῖον νόμιμον ἡ ποῖον δίκαιον λέγεις for I do not perceive what you mean by 'conformable to law' or what you mean by 'just' X. M. 4. 4. 13.
- **2667.** Two interrogatives may occur in the same sentence without a connective; as  $\pi \hat{\omega}s$  of  $\delta \epsilon \nu$   $\delta \pi \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \nu \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \nu \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \nu \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \nu \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \nu \hat{\omega}s$   $\delta \hat{\omega}s$
- **2668.** After verbs of saying, knowing, seeing, making known, perceiving, etc. (but not after verbs of asking) the simple relatives are found where the indefinite relatives (or the interrogatives) might stand in an indirect question. Where is is so used, it has the force of clos (op. qualis in such questions); and rarely follows a negative clause, because verbs denoting lack of knowledge are allied in meaning to verbs of asking. The usual forms are e.g. of of of is eland oir old of of of of so first and oir old of of of of see in Thus,  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \dots \epsilon i \pi \Delta \nu$  of  $\hbar \nu$  he sends . . . telling who he was X. C. 0.1. 46 (here  $\hbar \nu$  represents the point of view of the writer),  $\hbar \kappa \epsilon \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon \sim 0.00$  and  $\hbar \nu \sigma \epsilon \sim 0.00$  the was D. 52. 7,  $\mu \eta \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \sim 0.00$  so see in mayest thou never come to know who thou

- art S. O. T. 1068, ὁρậs ἡμᾶs, ὅσοι ἐσμέν; do you see how many there are of us? P. R. 327 c. So with the adverbs ἔνθα, οὖ, ἢ; ὡs, ὅθεν; as τὴν δδὸν ἔφραζεν ἢ εἴη he told where the road was X. A. 4. 5. 34. In some cases these sentences may be exclamatory (2685).
- a. That the simple relatives are never thus used after verbs of asking indicates that such clauses are not true indirect questions (as in Latin), and that the pronouns have their value as relatives. But some scholars allow an indirect question after all these words except  $\delta s$ ; and others admit no such limitation.
- b. Only in late Greek are the pronouns or adverbs of the indirect form used in direct questions.
- c. ὅπως is used occasionally (often in poetry) in the sense of ως. Thus, μή μοι φράζ' ὅπως οὐκ εἶ κακός tell me not that (lit. how) thou art not vile S. O. T. 548.
- d. The context must sometimes determine whether a sentence is an indirect question or a relative clause. Thus, without the context, our  $\epsilon \tilde{t} \chi o \nu \tilde{\sigma} \pi o i \, d\pi o \sigma \tau a \tilde{t} \epsilon \nu (X. II. 3.5.10)$  might mean they did not know to whom  $(=\tilde{\eta} \gamma \nu b o v \nu \pi \rho \delta s \tau t \nu a s)$  to revolt or they had no allies to whom  $(=\pi \rho \delta s \sigma v s)$  to revolt. But the present or a orist optative in relative final clauses is rare; cp. 2554 c.
- **2670.** The indefinite relative is commonly used when a question is repeated by the respondent before his reply. Here you ask? is supplied in thought. Thus, A.  $d\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  tis  $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$   $\epsilon\hat{l}$ ; B.  $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau is$ ;  $\pi o\lambda\dot{t}\eta s$   $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\dot{b}s$  A. But who are you, pray? B. Who am I? an honest burgher Ar. Ach. 594,  $\pi\dot{\omega}s$   $\delta\dot{\eta}$ ;  $\phi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega$   $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ .  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega s$ ;  $\phi\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon$  how are you? I will say; How am I? he will say Hippocrates 1.292 c.
- **2671.** Simple indirect questions are introduced by  $\epsilon i$  whether, less often by  $\hat{a}\rho a$ .

έρωτῶντες εὶ λησταί εἰσιν asking whether they are pirates T. 1. 5, τοῦτον οἶσθ' εἰ ζῶν κυρεῖ; dost thou know whether he is alive? S. Ph. 444, ἤρετο αὐτὸν εἰ βληθείη he asked him whether he had been struck X. C. 8. 3. 30 (= ἐβλήθης;), φόβος εἰ πείσω δέσποιναν ἐμήν I am afraid (about the question) whether I can persuade my mistress E. Med. 184 (2234), ἴδωμεν ἆρ' οὐτωσὶ γίγνεται πάντα let us see whether everything is thus produced P. Ph. 70 d. With the deliberative subjunctive: ἐπανερομένου Κτησιφῶντος εἰ καλέση Δημοσθένην when Ctesiphon was asking if he was to call Demosthenes Aes. 3. 202 (=καλέσω;).

- a.  $\epsilon i$  has an affirmative force (whether) or a negative force (whether . . . not). The latter is seen e.g. after verbs expressing uncertainty or doubt, as after oùx olda. Thus,  $\epsilon l$   $\mu \epsilon \nu$   $\delta \eta$   $\delta l$  kala  $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \omega$ , oùx olda I don't know whether I shall do what is right X. A. 1. 3. 5 (i.e. I may possibly not do what is right). The assumption is affirmative in  $\tau \delta \epsilon \kappa \pi \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$  . . . oùx old'  $\epsilon l$   $X \rho \bar{\nu} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu \tau \psi \tau$   $\delta \omega$  I don't know whether I must not give the cups to Chrysantas here X. C. 8. 4. 16 (i.e. I think I shall give them).
  - b. The interrogative use of  $\epsilon i$  is derived from the conditional meaning if, as

in σὐ δὲ φράσαι εἴ με σαώσειs but do thou tell me whether thou will save me A 83 (i.e. 'if thou wilt save me, tell me so').

- **2673.** Homer has  $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ ,  $\epsilon \ell$   $\kappa \epsilon$ ,  $a \ell$   $\kappa \epsilon$  with the subjunctive after verbs of knowing, seeing, saying (but not after verbs of asking). Such cases belong under 2354 b.
- **2674.**  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is sometimes translated by whether after verbs of fear and caution; but such dependent clauses with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  are not indirect questions (2221 a). After verbs of seeing, considering and the like  $(\dot{\delta}\rho\dot{\omega},\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\sigma\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota,\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\bar{\nu}\mu\sigma\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota,\sigma\kappa\sigma\dot{\omega})$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is properly a conjunction and not the interrogative particle. In such clauses there is an idea of purpose or desire to prevent something or a notion of fear that something is or may be done. Thus,  $\phi\rho\sigma\nu\tau i\xi\omega$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\kappa\rho\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\sigma\tau\sigma\nu$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\omega\iota$   $\sigma\bar{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\alpha}\nu$  I am considering whether it is not best for me to be silent X. M. 4. 2. 39,  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\nu$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  Nikiās oferal  $\tau\iota$   $\dot{\kappa}\dot{\gamma}\epsilon\iota\nu$  let us see whether Nicias is of the opinion that he is saying something important P. Lach. 196 c. That  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  does not properly mean whether not (indirect question) is clear from the fact that, in these clauses, it is not used of something that is hoped for. Cp. 2676 b.

## INDIRECT ALTERNATIVE QUESTIONS

- **2675.** Indirect alternative questions are introduced by the particles signifying whether . . . or:  $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \ (\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho a) \ . . . \mathring{\eta}, \ \epsilon \acute{l} \tau \epsilon . . . . \acute{\epsilon} \iota \tau \epsilon, \ \epsilon \acute{l} \cdot . . . . \mathring{\eta}, \ \epsilon \acute{l} \cdot . . . . \acute{\epsilon} \iota \tau \epsilon$ . See also under Particles.
- a. πότερον (πότερα) . . .  $\mathring{\eta}$ : Thus, διηρώτα τὸν Κύρον πότερον βούλοιτο μένειν  $\mathring{\eta}$  απιέναι she asked Cyrus whether he wanted to stay or go away X. C. 1.3.15, θαυμάζω πότερα ὡς κρατῶν βασιλεὺς αἰτεῖ τὰ ὅπλα  $\mathring{\eta}$  ὡς διὰ φιλίᾶν δώρα I wonder whether the king asks for our arms as a conqueror or as gifts on the plea of friendship X. A. 2. 1. 10.
- N.  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$  . . .  $\tilde{\eta}$  may denote that the second alternative is more important than the first.  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$  is omitted when the introductory clause contains the adjective  $\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o s$  (X. C. 1. 3. 2).
- b. εἶτε . . . εἴτε gives equal value to each alternative. Thus, τὴν σκέψιν ποιώμεθα εἴτε ἀφελίαν εἴτε βλάβην παρέχει let us make the inquiry whether it produces benefit or injury P. Phae. 237 d.

- N. 1. In Homer  $\epsilon''\tau\epsilon$  . . .  $\epsilon''\tau\epsilon$  ( $\epsilon''\tau\epsilon$  . . .  $\epsilon''\tau\epsilon$ ) almost always retains the meaning either . . . or (A 65).
- N. 2. The first είτε is rarely omitted in prose, as πόλις είτε ίδιωταί τινες a State or certain individuals P. L. 864 a; more often in poetry, as λόγοισιν είτ' ξργοισιν by words or deeds S. O. T. 517.
- c.  $\epsilon l \dots \tilde{\eta}$  indicates that the second alternative is preferable or more probable. Thus,  $\tilde{\eta}\rho \dot{\omega}\tau \tilde{a}$   $\epsilon l$   $a\dot{\omega}\tau o\hat{l}s$   $\tau o\hat{l}s$   $d\nu \delta \rho d\sigma l$   $\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon}\nu \delta o l \tau$   $\tau o\hat{l}s$   $l \dot{\omega}\sigma l$   $\kappa al$   $d \dot{\sigma}l \dot{\omega}\sigma l \nu$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\kappa al$   $\tau o\hat{l}s$  addois  $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma o l \nu \tau o$   $\sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \delta al$  he asked whether he was making a truce merely with the individual men who were coming and going or whether the truce would be with the rest as well X.A. 2.3.7.
- e.  $\hat{\eta}$  ( $\hat{\eta}\hat{\epsilon}$ ) . . .  $\hat{\eta}$  ( $\hat{\eta}\hat{\epsilon}$ ) occurs in Homer, as  $\delta\phi\rho'$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\delta\hat{\omega}$   $\hat{\eta}\hat{\epsilon}$  veov  $\mu\epsilon\theta\ell\pi\epsilon\iota s$   $\hat{\eta}$  kal  $\pi$  at  $\rho\omega$  is  $\hat{\epsilon}$  of  $\epsilon$  is  $\hat{\epsilon}$  first that I may know well whether thou art newly a visitor or art actually an ancestral guest-friend a 175. Cp. 2661.  $\hat{\eta}$  . . .  $\hat{\eta}$  is doubtful in Attic.

#### THE NEGATIVES IN INDIRECT QUESTIONS

2676. The negative of the direct form is usually preserved in indirect questions.

εἴσομαι... πότερον ὁ ἔχων αὐτὸ οὐκ εὐδαίμων ἐστὶν ἢ εὐδαίμων I shall know whether its possessor is happy or not P. R. 354 c, οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως φῶ τοῦτο καὶ μὴ φῶ I know not how I am to say this and not to say it E. I. A. 643  $(=\pi \hat{\omega} s \mu \hat{\eta} \phi \hat{\omega};)$ .

- a. Indirect single questions introduced by interrogative pronouns, adjectives, and adverbs, usually have ov.
- b. μή appears after verbs of seeing, considering and the like (σκοπῶ, ὁρῶ, ἐννοοῦμαι, ἐνθῦμοῦμαι) when there is an idea of purpose or desire to prevent something. Thus, ὁρᾶτε... ὅτφ τρόπφ κάλλιστα ἀμυνεῖσθε αὐτοὐς καὶ μήτε καταφρονήσαντες ἄφαρκτοι ληφθήσεσθε κτλ. consider how you may best defend yourselves and may neither be caught off your guard through contempt, etc. T. 6. 33. So also with the potential optative with ἄν; as τί οὖν οὐ σκοποῦμεν πῶς ἀν αὐτῶν μὴ διαμαρτάνοιμεν; why then do we not consider how we may avoid mistaking them? X. M. 3. 1. 10. Indirect questions with μή thus belong under μή with verbs of fear and apprehension, where μή is the negative of the will. Cp. 2674.
- c. Indirect questions introduced by  $\epsilon l$  have of or  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ . Thus,  $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\tau \sigma$   $\dot{\tau}\dot{\rho}\nu$   $\delta \dot{\eta}\mu\rho\nu$   $\epsilon l$  of aloxonorm he asked the people whether they were not ashamed Aes. 1.84,  $\ddot{\eta}\rho\epsilon\tau\dot{\rho}$   $\mu\epsilon$ ...  $\epsilon l$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\eta\mu$ al he asked me whether I did not remember 2.36.
- d. In relative clauses joined by  $\kappa al$  and standing in an indirect question (what . . . and what not),  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  must be used when the verb is to be supplied with the second clause; but when the verb is repeated, either  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , or où if the antecedent is definite, may be used. Thus,  $\delta\iota a\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\dot{\omega}\sigma\kappa\sigma\upsilon\sigma\iota\nu$  ä  $\tau\epsilon$  δύνανται  $\kappa al$  ä  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  they distinguish between what they can do and what they cannot X. M. 4. 2. 26, olo  $\theta a$  . . . obsolute  $\phi$  foolute eioi  $\kappa al$  obsolute  $\phi$  in  $\phi$  foolute  $\phi$  when  $\phi$  how many garrisons are advantageously situated and how many are not 3. 6. 10. The antecedent is definite in  $d\pi\dot{\epsilon}\delta\varepsilon\iota\xi\varepsilon\nu$  ods  $\chi\dot{\rho}\dot{\eta}$   $\delta\eta\mu\eta\gamma\rho\rho\rho\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\kappa al$  ods od  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}l$   $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\dot{\psi}$   $\delta\dot{\eta}\mu\psi$  he showed who must speak in the assembly and who must not speak before the people Aes. 1. 27.

- e. As the second member of an alternative question introduced by  $\epsilon l$ , or not is either  $\hbar$  ov or  $\hbar$   $\mu\acute{\eta}$ . Thus,  $\sigma \kappa o \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon v$   $\epsilon l$   $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} v$   $\pi \rho \acute{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota$   $\dot{\eta}$  ov let us consider whether it is proper for us or not P. R. 451 d,  $\nu \hat{\nu} v$   $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a \theta o v$   $\delta$   $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$   $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$   $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} s$   $\dot{\eta}$   $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma o \mu a \iota$   $\mu a \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} v$  now I have made out what you mean; and I will try to make out whether it is true or not 339 a.
- f. A shift from  $\mu\eta$  to où in sequent alternative indirect questions appears to be due to the desire to attain variety. Thus, où δεῖ ὑμᾶς ἐκ τῶν τοῦ κατηγόρου λόγων τοὺς νόμους καταμανθάνειν, εἰ καλῶς ὑμῖν κεῖνται ἡ μή, ἀλλ' ἐκ τῶν νόμων τοὺς τοῦ κατηγόρου λόγους, εἰ ὀρθῶς καὶ νομίμως ὑμᾶς διδάσκουσι τὸ πρᾶγμα ἡ οὕ you must not start from the pleas of the accuser to learn whether your laws have been established well or not, but you must start from the laws to learn whether his pleas set forth the case fairly and legally or not Ant. 5. 14. Cp. Ant. 6. 2, Is. 8. 9, D. 20. 83. Some scholars hold that où here lays stress on a negative fact or on something conceived as a negative fact, and that  $\mu\eta$  puts the question abstractly as a mere conception.

## MOODS IN INDIRECT QUESTIONS

2677. The moods and tenses of indirect questions follow the same rules as govern clauses in indirect discourse. The person may be changed.

After primary tenses, the mood and tense of the direct question are retained (indicative, past indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , deliberative sub-

junctive, potential optative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$ ).

After secondary tenses, the mood and tense of the direct form may be retained or the optative may be used instead. The latter is more common. But a past indicative with  $\tilde{a}\nu$  always remains unchanged.

- a. Direct Form Retained. πολλάκις ἐσκόπει τί διαφέρει μανίας ἀμαθία he often considered in what respect ignorance differed from madness X. M. 1. 2. 50, ἡπορεῖτο τι ποιήσει he was uncertain what to do X. A. 7. 3. 29 (= τί ποιήσω, deliberative future, 1916), έβουλεύοντο εἴτε κατακαύσωσιν . . . εἴτε τι ἄλλο χρήσωνται they deliberated whether they should burn them or dispose of them in some other manner T. 2. 4 (= κατακαύσωμεν, χρησώμεθα;), ἡρώτησε . . . ποῦ αν ἴδοι Πρόξενον he asked where he could see Proxenus X. A. 2. 4. 15 (= ποῦ αν ἴδοιμι;).
- b. Optative: Hero el tis èmoù elh softeres he asked whether any one was wiser than I P. A. 21 a (= è\sigma\text{t}(i), \delta ti \delta \text{instance} hoihoo où \delta e\shapeha\text{hmpwe he did not announce publicly what he was going to do X. A. 2. 1. 23 (= \tau \text{instance} hoihoo \text{instance}), \tau\text{ho} he \text{edd not announce publicly what he was going to do X. A. 2. 1. 23 (= \tau \text{instance} hoihoo \text{ho}), \text{the post hermody here el announce} hould surrender the city to the Corinthians T. 1. 25 (= \pi \text{apaddef}). Here \pi \text{apaddef} hoilo entrope est the arrist indicative, but that tense is usually retained to avoid confusion (exceptionally \(\deth\rho\text{ho}\text{ta} \tau \text{instance} hoilo exceptionally \(\deth\rho\text{ho}\text{ta} \tau \text{instance} hoilo exceptionally \(\deth\rho\text{ho}\text{ta} \tau \text{instance} hoilo exceptionally \(\deth\rho\text{ho}\text{ta} \text{ti} \text{instance} \text{X. C. 2. 3. 19}; \text{ cp. X. A. 6. 3. 25, D. 50.} 55). An imperfect relatively anterior to the time of the main verb is retained in D. 30. 19.

- d. Homer has the optative for the indicative due to indirect discourse only in indirect questions; as  $\epsilon i \rho \rho \nu \tau \sigma \tau i s \epsilon i \eta \kappa a i \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \sigma i$  they asked who he was and whence he had come  $\rho$  368. See 2624 c.
- **2678.** After a secondary tense the mood of a direct question may be retained (usually for vividness) in the same sentence with the mood of an indirect question (cp. 2632). Thus,  $\delta\mu\alpha\delta\omega$ ,  $\hat{\eta}\sigma\alpha\nu$   $\theta\alpha\nu\mu\alpha\zeta$  in  $\sigma\tau$  in  $\tau$  if  $\tau$  if
- a. In some cases there is no apparent reason (apart from desire for variety) for this use of the indicative and optative in the same sentence. Sometimes the indicative may ask for a statement of fact, the optative request an opinion of the person questioned.
- 2679. Parallel to 2624 are cases like ήδει ὅπου ἔκειτο ἡ ἐπιστολή he knew where the letter had been put X. C. 2. 2. 9.

#### ANSWERS TO YES AND NO QUESTIONS

- 2680. Yes and No questions may be answered in various ways, e.g.:
- a. By repeating the verb or another emphatic word with or without one or more confirmative adverbs. Thus,  $\phi \dot{\eta} \dot{s}$  où dielie wolthy elea,  $\delta v$  où  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a v \epsilon \hat{i} \dot{s}$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$  or  $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ;  $\phi \eta \dot{\mu} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho$  où do you assert that the citizen whom you approve is better than the one I approve? I do say so X. M. 4. 6. 14, olo or où  $\dot{\alpha}$  dexa so  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}$  oi  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha}$  dexa dost thou know what I fain would tell thee? No. E. Hec. 999.
  - b. Βy έγω, ἔγωγε, οὐκ έγω, οὐκ ἔγωγε, sometimes with νη Δία or μὰ Δία.
- c. Yes may be expressed by ναί, ναὶ μὰ τὸν Δία, μάλιστα, φημί, πάνυ γε, πάνυ μὲν οῦν, εὖ γε, ἔστι ταῦτα, ἔστιν οὕτως, ἀληθή λέγεις, ἀληθέστατα, ὀρθῶς γε, κομιδῆ, etc.

No may be expressed by οὔ, οὖκ ἔστιν, οὐ δῆτα, οὐ μὰ Δία, οὐδαμῶς, οὔ φημι, μὴ γάρ, ἤκιστα, ἤκιστά γε, etc.

**d.** In the form of a question :  $\tau \ell \ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ;  $\tau \ell \ \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ;  $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \ \tau \ell$ ;  $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ ;  $\pi \dot{o} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ;  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \ \gamma \dot{a} \rho \ o \dot{b}$ ;

#### **EXCLAMATORY SENTENCES**

- 2681. Direct (independent) exclamatory sentences with a verb expressed (or easily supplied) are formed
- 2682. (I) By the relative pronouns ofos, or by the relative adverb os in exclamations of wonder. The sentences introduced by these words are commonly associated with vocatives or interjections. Cp. 340.

οἶα ποιεῖs, & ἐταῖρε what are you about, my friend! P. Euth. 15 c, & φίλοι . . . , οἴην τερπωλὴν θεοὶ ἤγαγον ἐς τόδε δῶμα friends, such sport the gods have brought into this house! σ 37, ὅσην ἔχεις τὴν δύναμιν hove great your power is! Ar. Pl. 748, & πάππε, ὅσα πράγματα ἔχεις oh grandfather, how much trouble you have! X. C. 1.3.4, & φίλταθ' Αἶμον, ὡς σ' ἀτῖμάζει πατήρ, oh dearest Haemon, how thy father insults thee! S. Ant. 572, ὡς ἀστεῖος ὁ ἄνθρωπος how charming the man is! P. Ph. 116 d.

- a. Exclamatory &s may be the relative &s; but if it is the demonstrative &s, it means properly not how but so. Cp. 2998.
- b. Double olos (exclamation within an exclamation) marks a strong contrast (cp. 2646) in direct and indirect exclamations. Thus, ola  $\pi\rho$  os olw and  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma$  or  $\sigma$  what I suffer and at the hands of what men! S. Ant. 942, and olds . . . adxhauos to  $\sigma$  product is old technique at first they had come to what a humiliating end T. 7.75. Triple olds in Gorgias, Helen 10.
- c. Cp. 2647 for such sentences as οἴāν ἔχιδναν τήνδ΄ ἔφῦσας what a viper is this woman whom thou hast begotten! E. Ion 1262.
- d. οἴμ' ὡς is common in expressions of impatience, anger, pity, grief, or fear; as οἴμ' ὡς καταγελῷς ah me, how you mock me! Ar. Nub. 1238, οἴμ' ὡς ἔοικας ὀψὲ τὴν δίκην ἰδεῖν ah me, how thou seemest all too late to see the right! S. Ant. 1270, οἴμ' ὡς δέδοικα ah me, how I fear! Ar. Pax 173.
  - **2683.** (II) By the infinitive (2015, 2036).
- 2684. Direct exclamations without a verb may be expressed by the vocative or nominative (1288) or by the genitive of cause (1407).
- **2685.** Indirect (dependent) exclamations form subordinate clauses in sentences which, taken as a whole, are statements (2575.4). They are introduced by olos,  $\delta\sigma os$ , olos,  $\delta s$ , olos,  $\delta s$ , olos, olos
  - a. ὁποῖος in L. 30. 4 and ὁπόσος in P. G. 522 a are suspected.
- b. The introductory verb is sometimes omitted; as â μιαρώτατος, "ν' ὑποδέδῦκεν oh the rascal! (to think) where he crept in! Ar. Vesp. 188.
- 2686. Dependent exclamatory clauses follow, as regards mood and tense, the same rules as govern indirect questions (2677). An original indicative remains unchanged after primary tenses of verbs followed by a finite mood, but may become optative after secondary tenses on the principle of indirect discourse.
- a. Indicative: ofor <code>dropa</code>  $\lambda$ éyeis ér κινδύν $\omega$  eἶναι what a noble man you say is in danger! P. Th. 142 b, σκόπει . . . ἴν' ἤκει τοῦ θεοῦ μαντεύματα judge to what the oracles of the god have come S.O. T. 953 (cp. & θεῶν μαντεύματα, ἴν' ἐστέ 946), τίς οἰκ οἶδεν ἐξ οἴων συμφορῶν εἰς ὅσην εὐδαιμονίᾶν κατέστησαν; who does not know into what good fortune they came and after what sufferings? I. 6. 42, ἐνθῦμούμενοι ὅσον πλοῦν . . . ἀπεστέλλοντο reflecting on how long a voyage they were on the point of being sent T. 6. 30, ἐννοηθέντες οἶά τε πάσχουσιν ὑπὸ τῶν ᾿Ασσυρίων καὶ ὅτι νῦν τεθναίη ὁ ἄρχων αὐτῶν reflecting what they were suffering at the hands of the Assyrians and that their ruler was now dead X. C. 4. 2. 3.
- b. Optative:  $\delta \iota a\theta \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \nu os a\delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \sigma \sigma \eta \nu \ \mu \epsilon \nu \ \chi \omega \rho \bar{a} \nu \ \kappa \alpha i \ of a \nu \ \epsilon \chi o \iota \epsilon \nu \ observing how great the extent of their country was and how excellent its quality X. A. 3. 1. 19,$

έπιδεικύντες οΐα εἴη ἡ ἀπορία pointing out what their difficulty was 1. 3. 13. See also 2687.

**2687.** Verbs and other words of *emotion* (praise, blame, wonder, etc.) and the expression of its results are often followed by a dependent exclamatory clause with ofos, όσος, ώς, etc. Here a causal sentence would have ότι τοιοῦτος, ότι τοσοῦτος, ότι ούτως. English generally introduces such clauses by considering, thinking, upon the reflection how, etc. Thus,  $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma'$  að  $v \hat{v} v \delta (\omega \, \hat{\alpha} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon_i \sigma \epsilon_{\mu \epsilon} v, \, \delta \sigma \sigma a \, \mu' \, \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \rho \gamma \alpha s$ therefore I think now thou shalt in turn atone for all thou hast done unto me Φ 399, ἀπέκλαον...την έμαυτοῦ τύχην, οἴου ἀνδρὸς ἐταίρου ἐστερημένος εἴην Ι bewailed my fate considering what a companion I had lost (direct = οίου άνδρὸς έταlρου (όντος) ἐστέρημαι) P. Ph. 117 c, μάκαρ & Στρεψίαδες, αὐτός τ' ἔφυς ὡς σοφὸς χοίον τον νίον τρέφεις oh happy Strepsiades, how wise you are yourself and what a son you have! Ar. Nub. 1206, τὸ γῆρας ὑμνοῦσιν ὅσων κακῶν σφίσιν αἴτιον they rehearse how many evils old age occasions them P. R. 329 b, εὐδαίμων μοι ανηρ έφαίνετο . . . ως άδεως και γενναίως έτελεύτα the man seemed to me to be happy so fearlessly and nobly did he die P. Ph. 58 e, ζηλώ γε της εὐτυχίας τον πρέσβυν, οι μετέστη ξηρών τρόπων I envy the old fellow his fortune, how (lit. whither) he has changed his arid ways Ar. Vesp. 1451.

#### NEGATIVE SENTENCES

- **2688.** The simple negative particles are or and  $\mu\eta$ . or is the negative of fact and statement, and contradicts or denies;  $\mu\eta$  is the negative of the will and thought, and rejects or deprecates. The difference between the simple negatives holds true also of their compounds or  $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon$ , or  $\mu\eta\epsilon$ , or
- a.  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$  οὐκ ὅντα is that which does not exist independently of any opinion of the writer:  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$  οὐκ ὅντα λογοποιεῖν to fabricate what does not actually exist And. 3.35.  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$  μὴ ὅντα is that which is regarded as not existing, that which is dependent on the opinion of the writer, the whole sum of things that are outside of actual knowledge:  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$  μὴ ἐόντα οὕτε ὁρᾶται οὕτε γῖνώσκεται that which does not exist is neither seen nor known Hippocrates, de arte § 2; cp.  $\tau \grave{\alpha}$  μὴ ὄν P. R. 478 b.
- b. The rarer οὐχί (οὐ-χί) denies with greater emphasis than οὐ. The form μηκέτι no longer is due to the analogy of οὖκ-έτι.
- **2689.**  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  as the negative of will and thought is used in various expressions involving emotion, as commands, prohibitions, wishes, hopes, prayers, petitions, promises, oaths, asseverations, and the like; in expressions marking condition, purpose, effort, apprehension, cautious assertion, surmise, and fear; in setting forth ideality, mere conceptions, abstractions as opposed to reality or to definite facts; in marking ideas as general and typical; when a person or thing is to be characterized as conceived of rather than real.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is used not merely when the above notions are apparent but also when they are latent. Greek often conceives of a situation as marked by feeling where English regards it as one of fact; and hence uses  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  where we should expect  $o\dot{v}$ .
- a.  $\mu\eta$  corresponds to the Sanskrit prohibitive particle  $m\bar{a}$ , which in the Rig Veda is used with the independent indicative of an augmentless agrist or imper-

fect which has the force of the subjunctive; rarely with the optative. In later Sanskrit  $m\tilde{a}$  was used with the subjunctive, optative, and imperative.

c. In later Greek (Polybius, Lucian, Dio Chrysostomus, etc.)  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  has encroached on  $o\dot{v}$ , generally by extension of usages occurring rarely in the classical language. Thus Lucian has  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  after causal  $\dot{\omega}s$ ,  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota$ ,  $\delta\iota\dot{\delta}\tau\iota$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ ; in relative clauses (sometimes  $o\dot{v}\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota\nu$   $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ); with participles of cause (even  $\ddot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ) or of concession; with participles without the article following an adjective; with the infinitive after verbs of saying and thinking.  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  appears in indirect discourse (complete or partial) where the classical language would use the infinitive or  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau\iota$  with the optative or  $\dot{\omega}s$  with the participle; so after verbs of saying and thinking, after verbs of emotion, and even after verbs of knowing.

## POSITION OF OU AND HI

**2690.** où and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  are generally placed before the word they negative; but may follow, when emphasis is laid on a particular word, as in contrasts.

ύπολάβη δὲ μηδείs but let no one suppose T. C. 84, οἱ δὲ στρατηγοὶ ἐξῆγον μὲν οὅ, συνεκάλεσαν δέ and the generals did not lead them out, but called them together X. A. 6. 4. 20, ξύμμαχοι ἐγενόμεθα οὐκ ἐπὶ καταδουλώσει τῶν Ἑλλήνων Ἀθηναίοις, ἀλλὶ ἐπὶ ἐλευθερώσει ἀπὸ τοῦ Μήδου τοῖς Ἑλλησιν we became allies, not to the Athenians, for the purpose of enslaving the Greeks, but to the Greeks for the purpose of freeing them from the Mede T. 3. 10, ἀπόλοιτο μὲν μή perish indeed—may he not E. Med. 83.

a. A contrast must be supplied in thought when the negative precedes the article, a relative, a conjunction, or a preposition. Thus,  $\epsilon i$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$   $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$   $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\tau \dot{\alpha}$   $\epsilon l \dot{\kappa} \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\alpha}$  but if you pass upon us a sentence that is unjust T. 3.57,  $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$   $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}$  dual artiparakeuly dduato unable to carry on a war against a power dissimilar in character to their own 1.141, duuvoumeed  $\tau o \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}$  colembors our els  $\mu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$  we shall shortly (lit. in no long time) punish the enemy X. C. 5. 4.21, où  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha}$  kod $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu$  disorderly B 214.

b. The order of the parts of a negative compound may be reversed for strong emphasis; as  $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau'$  où  $\kappa$   $\tilde{\omega} \nu$  (= où  $\kappa \epsilon \tau \iota$   $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ ) S. Tr. 161,  $\mu \iota \alpha \nu$  où  $\kappa$  (= où  $\delta \epsilon \mu \iota \alpha \nu$ ) Hdt. 8. 119.

c. The negative may be placed in front of an infinitive when English transfers it to another verb in the sentence; as  $\epsilon l$  boundoned  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  if we wish to assume that he is not waging war with us D.8.58,  $\dot{\eta}$  has odd' evanlibed  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  has odd' evanlibed  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  and  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{\eta}$  has  $\dot{\eta}$  in  $\dot{$ 

#### of ADHERESCENT

- **2691.** or adherescent (or privative) placed before a verb (or other single word) not merely negatives the meaning of the simple verb but gives it an opposite meaning, the two expressing a single negative idea; as or  $\phi \eta \mu \iota I$  deny, I refuse (not I say not). or  $\phi \eta \mu \iota$  is preferred to  $\phi \eta \mu \iota$  or as nego is preferred to aio non.
- **2692.** Adherescent of is especially common with verbs of saying or thinking, but occurs also with many verbs of will or desire. In such cases of goes closely with the leading verb, forming a quasicompound; whereas it belongs in sense to a following infinitive if an infinitive depends on the leading verb. In Latin actual composition has taken place in nego, nescio, nequeo, nolo.
- οὐκ ἔφη lέναι he refused to go X. A. 1. 3. 8, οὔ φᾶσιν εἶναι ἄλλην ὁδόν they say that there is no other road 4. 1. 21 (cp. φὴς ἢοὕ; yes or no? P. A. 27 d), τίνας δ' οὐκ ῷϵτο δεῖν λέγειν; who were those whom he thought ought not to speak? Aes. 1. 28, & οὐκ ἐᾶτε ἡμᾶς . . . ποιεῖν what you forbid us to do X. C. 1. 3. 10, οὖκ ἀξιοῖ . . . φεύγοντα τῖμωρεῖσθαι he said that it was not right to avenge himself on an exile T. 1. 136.
- a. So with of  $\phi\eta\mu$  and of  $\phi\delta\kappa\omega$  deny, refuse (=  $\delta\pi\alpha\rho\nu\sigma\hat{0}\mu\alpha\iota$ ), of of of  $\nu\rho\mu(\xi\omega)$ , of  $\delta\kappa\hat{\omega}$ , of  $\delta\kappa\hat{\omega}$ , of  $\delta\kappa\hat{\omega}$  and of  $\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\delta\omega$  forbid (veto), of  $\delta\kappa\hat{\omega}$  regard as unworthy, do not expect that, refuse, of  $\nu\kappa\hat{\omega}$  imiscrepture, of  $\nu\kappa\hat{\omega}$  imiscrepture, of  $\nu\kappa\hat{\omega}$  dissimula, of  $\nu$
- **2693.** of with the principal verb may be equivalent in sense to  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  with a dependent infinitive; as of  $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o \nu \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \xi \eta$  of  $\rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} a dvising$  Xerxes not to march against Greece (=  $\sigma \nu \mu \beta o \nu \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$  otrateúes  $\theta a \iota$ ) Hdt. 7.46.
- 2694. Analogous to this use with verbs is the use of ov with adjectives and adverbs:
- οὐκ ὀλίγοι =πολλοί, οὐκ ἐλάχιστος = μέγιστος, οὐχ ἦττον = μᾶλλον, οὐχ ἤκιστα = μάλιστα, οὐ καλῶς basely, οὐκ ἀφανής famous, οὐκ εἰκότως unreasonably, οὐ περὶ βραχέων on important matters (cp. 2690 a), regularly οὐ πάνυ not at all, as οὐ πάνυ χαλεπόν easy.
- **2695.** The origin of adherescent où is to be found partly in the unwillingness of the early language to use the negative particle with the infinitive, partly in the preference for a negative rather than a positive assertion, and to the disinclination to make a strong positive statement (litotes, as in some of the cases of 2694), and partly in the absence of negative compounds, the development of which in adjectives and participles (2071 a) was in turn restricted by the use of adherescent où.
- **2696.** Adherescent of is often found in a protasis with  $\epsilon \hat{\iota}$  and in other constructions where we expect  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ .

εί δ' ἀποστῆναι 'Αθηναίων οὐκ ἡθελήσαμεν . . . , οὐκ ἡδικοῦμεν but if we refused to revolt from the Athenians, we were not doing wrong T.3.55, εἰ οὐκ ἐῷs if thou forbiddest S. Aj. 1131 (= εἰ κωλύειs), εἰ μὴ Πρόξενον οὐχ ὑπεδέξαντο, ἐσώθησαν ἄν if it had not been that they did not receive Proxenus, they would have been saved D. 19.74, εἰ μὲν οὐ πολλοὶ ἡσαν if they were few L. 13.62 (emended by some to οὖν μή). ἐὰν οὐ is rare, as ἐάν τε οὐ φῆτε ἐάν τε φῆτε both if you deny it and if you admit it P. A. 25 b (cp. L. 13.76, D. 26.24).

**2697.** But  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  often does not yield to oi, as  $\tilde{a}\nu\tau'\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\phi\hat{\omega}$   $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\epsilon$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\phi\hat{\omega}$  both if I assent and if I do not D. 21. 205, oik oid of  $\tilde{a}\omega$   $\tilde{b}\omega$   $\tilde{b}\omega$ 

## où after $\epsilon i$ $(\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu)$

**2698.** où is sometimes found in clauses introduced by  $\epsilon i (\epsilon \acute{a} \nu)$ .

- a. When où is adherescent (2696).
- b. When there is an emphatic assertion of fact or probability, as where a direct statement is quoted. Thus, εἰ δὲ οὐδὲν ἡμάρτηταί μοι if (as I have shown) no error has been committed by me And. 1.33, εἰ, ὡς νῦν φήσει, οὐ παρεσκεύαστο if, as he will presently assert, he had not made preparations D. 54.29. Cp. X. A. 1.7.18, quoted in 2790.
- c. When  $\epsilon l$   $(\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu)$  is used instead of  $\ddot{\sigma}\tau l$  that (because) after verbs of emotion (2247). Thus,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\theta av\mu \dot{a}\sigma\eta s$   $\epsilon l$   $\pi o\lambda \lambda \dot{a}$   $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$   $\epsilon l \rho \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$  où  $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l$   $\sigma ol$  do not be surprised if much of what has been said does not apply to you I.1.44. Here  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is possible.
- d. When  $\epsilon i$  ( $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ ) approaches the idea of  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$  since (cp. 2246, 2298 b). So  $\epsilon i$   $\tau o \acute{v} \sigma \delta \epsilon \dots o \acute{v} \sigma \tau \acute{e} \rho \gamma \epsilon i$   $\pi a \tau \acute{\eta} \rho$  if (since) their father has ceased to love these children E. Med. 88 (often explained as  $o \acute{v}$  adherescent). Here  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  is possible.
- e. When a single εἰ introduces a bimembered protasis as a whole, the μέν clause and the δέ clause of that protasis may have οὐ. Such bimembered protases often depend on a preceding apodosis introduced by αΙσχρόν, ἄτοπον, δεινόν, θαυμαστόν ἐστι (ἄν εἶη) and like expressions of emotion (c). Thus, εἶτ' οὐκ αΙσχρόν . . . εἰτὸ μὲν ' Αργείων πλῆθος οὐκ ἐφοβήθη τὴν Λακεδαιμονίων ἀρχὴν . . . . , ὑμεῖς δὲ ὅντες ' Αθηναῖοι βάρβαρον ἄνθρωπον φοβήσεσθε; is it not then disgraceful, if it is true that whereas the Argive commons did not fear the empire of the Lacedaemonians, you, who are Athenians, are going to be afraid of a barbarian? D. 15. 23, αἰσχρὸν γάρ, εἰ πατὴρ μὲν ἐξεῖλεν Φρύγας, δ δ' ἄνδρ' ἔν' οὐ δυνήσεται κτανεῖν for it is disgraceful that, whereas the father destroyed the Phrygians, the other (the son) is not going to be able to destroy one foe E. El. 336, δεινὸν ᾶν εἶη, εἰ οἱ μὲν ἐκείνων ξύμμαχοι ἐπὶ δουλεία τῆ αὐτῶν (χρήματα) φέροντες οὐκ ἀπεροῦσιν, ἡμεῖς δὲ ἐπὶ τῷ . . . αὐτοὶ σώζεσθαι οὐκ ἄρα δαπανήσομεν it would be strange if, whereas their allies will not fail to pay tribute for their own enslavement, we on the other hand will not expend it for the purpose of saving ourselves T. 1. 121.
- N. 1. The second member of such protases has où if the verb stands in the indicative, but  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (in classical Greek) if the verb is in the optative. In Aes. 2. 157 où κατάσχοιμι is due to indirect discourse.

- N. 2. In such sentences  $\epsilon l$  may (1) have a conditional force in both clauses, as L. 30. 16, 31.24; (2) have a conditional force in the second member, but the force of  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$  in the first member, as L. 20. 36, Is. 14. 52; (3) have the force of  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$  in the first member, and that of  $\delta \tau \iota$  in the second member, as D. 8. 55, Aes. 3. 242; (4) have the force of  $\delta \tau \iota$  in both members, as T. 1. 35, 1. 121, X. C. 7. 5. 84.
- f. A bimembered clause introduced by  $\epsilon i$  may contain a negative clause with of directly opposed to a positive clause; as  $\epsilon i$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$   $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon}$   $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  of D. 23. 123.
- g.  $\epsilon i$  whether in simple and alternative indirect questions takes either of or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (2676 c, e).
- 2699. Homer has  $\epsilon l$  and the indicative with où (12 times) when the subordinate clause precedes the main clause; but usually  $\epsilon l$  μή, when the subordinate clause follows. Thus,  $\epsilon l$  δέ μοι οὐ τείσουσι βοῶν ἐπιεικέ ἀμοιβήν, δύσομαι εls 'Aίδαο but if they will not pay a fitting compensation for the cattle, I will go down to Hades μ 382, ἔνθα κεν 'Αργείοισιν ὑπέρμορα νόστος ἐτύχθη, εl μὴ 'Αθηναίην "Ηρη πρὸς μῦθον ἔειπεν then in that case the return of the Argives had been accomplished against fate, if Hera had not spoken a word to Athena B 155.
- a. The Homeric  $\epsilon l$  of with the indicative has been explained either as a retention of the original use,  $\mu \eta$  with that mood being an extension through the analogy of the subjunctive and optative; or because of went with the predicate, whereas  $\mu \eta$  was closely attached to  $\epsilon l$ .
- **2700.** Homer has  $\epsilon l$  où (adherescent) with the subjunctive in  $\epsilon l$   $\delta$ '  $\tilde{\alpha}\nu \dots o\check{\nu}\kappa$   $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\omega\sigma\iota\nu$   $\Gamma$  289,  $\epsilon l$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\kappa$ '  $\dots$  où $\kappa$   $\dot{\epsilon}l\hat{\omega}\sigma\iota\nu$   $\Upsilon$  139.
- **2701.** Herodotus has a few cases of  $\epsilon l$  or with the indicative, as 6.9;  $\hbar \nu$  or with the subjunctive is doubtful (6.133).

## GENERAL RULE FOR $\mu \dot{\eta}$

## **2702**. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ stands

- 1. With the imperative.
- 2. In clauses with εἰ, ἐάν (exceptions, 2698).
- 3. With the subjunctive, except after  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  lest, when or is used.
- With the optative, except after μή lest, or when the optative has ἄν or is in indirect discourse.
- 5. With the infinitive, except in indirect discourse.
- With participles when they have a conditional or general force.

# $o\vec{v}$ and $\mu \acute{\eta}$ with the indicative and optative simple sentences and independent clauses

- 2703. Statements (2153) expressed by simple sentences and independent clauses take  $o\dot{v}$ . Direct questions take either  $o\dot{v}$  or  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  (2651). The independent future indicative has  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  only in questions.
- **2704.** In wishes  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is used with the indicative (1780–1781) or the optative (1814, cp. 2156).

εἴθε σε μήποτ' εἰδόμᾶν would that I had never seen thee S.O.T.1218, μήποτ'  $\mathring{\omega}$ φελον λιπεῖν τὴν Σκῦρον would that I had never left Scyrus S. Ph 969.

μὴ ζώην may I not live Ar. Eq. 833, ἀναιδὴς οὔτ' εἰμὶ μήτε γενοίμην I neither am nor may I become shameless D.8.68, οὔτ' ἄν δυναίμην μήτ' ἐπισταίμην λέγειν neither could I tell nor may I be capable of telling S. Ant. 686.

a. That  $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu$  takes  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , not  $\delta \dot{\delta}$ , shows that it has lost to a certain extent its verbal nature. In late Greek it even became a particle like  $\epsilon \delta \theta \epsilon$ .

- b. Indirect expressions of wishing with  $\pi \hat{\omega}s \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu$  and the optative (1832),  $\beta o\nu \lambda ol\mu\eta\nu \, \tilde{\alpha}\nu$  (1827),  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta o\nu\lambda \delta\mu\eta\nu \, (\tilde{\alpha}\nu)$  with the infinitive, take of (1782, 1789).
- c. The use is the same in dependent clauses; as  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \delta'$  å  $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau'$   $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$  ( $\sigma \iota \mu \mu \beta \dot{\eta} \nu a \iota$ )  $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta \eta$  but when that happened which I would had never happened D 18.320.

#### SUBORDINATE CLAUSES IN THE INDICATIVE OR OPTATIVE

## **2705.** In subordinate clauses $\mu \dot{\eta}$ or $o\dot{v}$ is used.

- a. Final clauses have μή, as φίλος έβούλετο εἶναι τοῖς μέγιστα δυναμένοις, ἴνα άδικῶν μὴ διδοίη δίκην he wished to be on friendly terms with men in power in order that he might not pay the penalty for his wrong-doing X.A. 2. 6. 21, ἔδει τὰ ἐνέχυρα τότε λαβεῖν, ὡς μηδ' εἰ ἐβούλετο ἐδύνατο ἐξαπατᾶν quoted in 2185 c.
- b. Object clauses with  $\delta\pi\omega$ s after verbs of effort have  $\mu\eta$ , as  $\phi\rho\delta\nu\tau\iota\zeta$ '  $\delta\pi\omega$ s  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ or  $\dot{\tau}\eta$ s  $\tau\dot{\iota}\mu\dot{\eta}$ s  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}\tau\eta$ s  $\tau\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ s see to it that you do nothing unworthy of this honour I. 2. 37,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ o  $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\omega}$ s  $\mu\dot{\eta}\tau\dot{\epsilon}$  and  $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\omega}\iota$ or  $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\omega}\iota$ or  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\omega}\iota$ or  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\omega}\iota$ or  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$
- c. Conditional clauses regularly have  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ . Thus,  $\epsilon i \,\mu\dot{\eta} \,\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath} \,\dot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\rho\rho\epsilon\nu\dot{\rho}\mu\epsilon\theta a$  ar  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi l \,\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\bar{a}$  if you had not come, we should be marching against the king X. A. 2. 1. 4, oùr are left not a vioû,  $\epsilon i \,\mu\dot{\eta} \,\tau\iota \,\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\gamma\kappa a\hat{\imath}$  or  $\epsilon i \,\eta \,he$  never left him unless there was some necessity for it X. M. 4. 2. 40. So in concessive clauses (2369). On où adherescent in conditional clauses see 2696.
- - N. 1. Homer has  $\delta s$  ( $\delta \sigma o s$ ) of with the indicative ( $\mu \dot{\eta}$  B 301).
- N. 2. où is regular in relative clauses when an opposition is expressed (T. 1. 11.2), and when a negative clause precedes; as oùk  $\xi \sigma \tau \iota \nu \ \sigma \sigma \tau \iota s$  ( $\sigma \tau \iota s$ ) où, où  $\sigma \iota s$  où, etc. (X. C. 1. 4. 25, X. A. 2. 4. 3).

- f. Relative clauses of purpose take  $\mu\eta$ , as  $\theta a \lambda \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \iota o \nu \epsilon \acute{\kappa} \rho \dot{\iota} \psi a \tau'$ ,  $\breve{\epsilon} \nu \theta a \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau'$   $\epsilon \iota \sigma \acute{b} \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta' \ \breve{\epsilon} \tau \iota$  cast me out into the sea where ye may never see me more S. O. T. 1411,  $\kappa \rho \acute{b} \psi \ddot{a} \sigma' \ \acute{\epsilon} a \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ,  $\breve{\epsilon} \nu \theta a \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota s \epsilon \iota \sigma \acute{\delta} \delta \iota \iota$  hiding herself where no one might see her S. Tr. 903.

- i. Oaths and protestations in the indicative with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  express a solemn denial or refusal, or repudiate a charge. Thus,  $l\sigma\tau\omega$   $\nu\hat{v}\nu$  Zevs . . .  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{o}$ îs  $l\pi\pi\omega$   $\iota\nu\hat{u}\nu$   $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$   $\iota\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma$  et a label a charge. Thus,  $l\sigma\tau\omega$   $\nu\hat{v}\nu$  Zevs . . .  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{o}$ îs  $l\pi\pi\omega$   $\iota\omega$   $\dot{a}\nu\dot{\eta}\rho$   $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\eta}\sigma$  et a label a charge  $l\pi\omega$   $l\pi\omega$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{$

## $\mu \acute{\eta}$ WITH THE SUBJUNCTIVE AND IMPERATIVE

- **2706.** The subjunctive is a mood of will, and therefore takes  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
- **2707.** Independent clauses take  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ : the hortatory subjunctive (1797), the prohibitive subjunctive (1800), the deliberative subjunctive (1805), the subjunctive of doubtful assertion (1801).
  - a. The anticipatory subjunctive in Homer takes of (1810, cp. 1813).
- **2708.** Dependent clauses take  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ : final clauses, as δοκεῖ μοι κατακαῦσαι τὰς ἀμάξᾶς . . . ἴνα μὴ τὰ ζεύγη ἡμῶν στρατηγῷ it seems to me advisable to burn the wagons that our baggage-train may not be our general X. A. 3: 2. 27. Object clauses after verbs of effort, as οὐ φυλάξεσθ' ὅπως μὴ . . . δεσπότην εὕρητε; will you not be on your guard lest you find a master? D. 6. 25. So in conditional clauses with ἐάν, in conditional relative clauses and in relative clauses referring to indefinite time, place, and manner.
  - a. After μή lest, où is used (2221).
- **2709.** The imperative is a mood of will and therefore takes  $\mu \acute{\eta}$  in prohibitions (1840).
  - a. The future indicative after interrogative ov has an imperative sense (1918).

#### NEGATIVES OF INDIRECT DISCOURSE

**2710.** The negatives of direct discourse are retained in indirect discourse introduced by  $\delta\tau\iota$  or  $\delta\varsigma$ .

ένθ $\bar{\nu}$ μηθ $\hat{\eta}$ ναι χρ $\hat{\eta}$  ὅτι οὐδείς ἐστιν ἀνθρώπων φύσει οὕτε όλιγαρχικὸς οὕτε δημοκρατικὸς it must be borne in mind that no man by nature is disposed either to oligarchy or to democracy L. 25. 8.

- εἶπε... ὅτι οὐ περὶ πολῖτείας ὑμῖν ἔσται ἀλλὰ περὶ σωτηρίας, εἰ μὴ ποιήσαιθ' το θηραμένης κελεύοι he said that the question would not be about your constitution but about your safety, if you did not accept the propositions of Theramenes L. 12. 74.
- a. In  $\pi\rhoo\epsilon \hat{i}\pi\epsilon\nu$  is  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon \hat{i}s$   $\kappa \hat{i}\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\iota\tau\sigma$  is  $\tau\dot{\eta}s$   $\tau\dot{\alpha}\xi\epsilon\omega s$  he gave orders that no one should move from his position X. H. 2. 1. 22  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon \hat{i}s$  is due to the fact that the main verb denotes a command.

On the negative in indirect discourse with the infinitive see 2722, 2737, 2738; with the participle, 2729, 2737, 2738; and in indirect questions, 2676.

## $o\dot{v}$ AND $\mu\dot{\eta}$ WITH THE INFINITIVE

- **2711.** The infinitive not in indirect discourse has  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ; the infinitive in indirect discourse has  $o\dot{v}$ , but sometimes  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ . The articular infinitive has  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ . On the use with  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  o $\dot{v}$  see 2742 ff.
- a. The ordinary negative of the infinitive is  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , which could be so used since the infinitive was employed as early as Homer in an imperative sense.  $o\dot{v}$  with the infinitive in indirect discourse is probably due to the analogy of  $o\dot{v}$  with the indicative and optative in clauses of indirect discourse introduced by  $\delta\tau\iota$  ( $\dot{\omega}s$ ).  $o\dot{v}$  became the natural negative of indirect discourse as soon as the infinitive came to represent the indicative or optative.
  - **2712.**  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is used with the articular infinitive.

παράδειγμα τοῦ μὴ ὑμᾶς ἀδικεῖν a warning not to injure you L.27. 5, ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ τὸ κελευόμενον ποιῆσαι in order to avoid doing what was commanded D.18. 204. On τὸ (τοῦ) μὴ οὐ, see 2744. 9. 10, 2749 b, d.

#### ού AND μή WITH THE INFINITIVE NOT IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

2713.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is the regular negative after all verbs, adjectives, adverbs, and substantives, which take an infinitive not in indirect discourse. Thus, after verbs and other words denoting ability, fitness, necessity (and their opposites). Cp. 2000–2007.

είκὸς σοφὸν ἄνδρα μὴ ληρεῖν it is proper for a wise man not to talk idly P. Th. 152 b, τàς ὁμοίας χάριτας μὴ ἀντιδιδόναι αλσχρόν it is disgraceful not to repay like services T. 3. 63.

**2714**. χρή  $(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$  takes either  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  or  $o\dot{v}$ .

χρη μη καταφρονείν τοῦ πλήθους one must not despise the multitude I. 5. 79, χρην οῦ σ' ἀμαρτάνειν thou oughtst not to do wrong E. Hipp. 507, χρη δ' οἴποτ'

 $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$  où  $\delta \epsilon \nu$   $\delta \lambda \beta \iota \circ \nu$   $\beta \rho \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  it is not right ever to call any son of man happy E. And. 100.

- a. For original or  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  was substituted (for emphasis)  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$  or, where the or was still taken with  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ ; ultimately or was felt to belong with the infinitive and hence came to be separated from  $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ .
- b. δεῖ takes  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , as  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  δκνεῖν δεῖ αὐτούς they must not fear T. 1. 120. οὐ δεῖ may be used for δεῖ  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  (2693). In δεῖ οὐχ ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν one must not speak in a general way I. 15. 117 οὐχ is adherescent. Note οἶμαι δεῖν οὐ,  $\dot{\eta}$  ημὶ χρῆναι οὐ, οἶμαι χρῆναι  $\dot{\mu}$   $\dot{\eta}$ .
- **2715.**  $\mu\eta$  is used with the infinitive in wishes and prohibitions. Thus, beot  $\pi o \lambda \hat{\iota} \tau a\iota$ ,  $\mu\eta$   $\mu \in \delta o v \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} a\bar{\iota} v$  ye gods of my country, may bondage not be my lot A. Sept. 253, of  $\mu\eta$   $\pi \epsilon \lambda a \hat{\iota} \epsilon \nu$  do not approach these A. Pr. 712.
- **2716.**  $\mu\eta$  is used with the infinitive in oaths and protestations. Thus,  $t\sigma\tau\omega$   $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$   $\tau\delta\delta\epsilon$   $\gamma\hat{a}\hat{a}a$  . . .  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau t$   $\tau o$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\psi}$   $\pi\hat{\eta}\mu\alpha$   $\kappa\alpha\kappa\hat{\delta}\nu$   $\beta o\nu\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon\dot{\mu}\epsilon\nu$   $a\lambda\lambda o$  let earth now know this (i.e. I swear by earth) that I will not devise any harmful mischief to thine own hurt  $\epsilon$  187. Cp. 2705 i.
- **2717**.  $\mu\eta$  is used with the infinitive of purpose (cp. 2719) or result (2260). Cp. 2759. On  $\epsilon\phi$   $\dot{\phi}$   $\dot{\psi}$   $\mu\eta$  see 2279; on  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $o\dot{v}$  see 2269.
- **2719.**  $\mu\eta$  is used with the infinitive introduced by verbs of will or desire (1991) or by verbs expressing activity to the end that something shall or shall not be done; as  $\tau \eta \nu$  Κέρκυραν έβούλοντο  $\mu \eta$  προέσθαι they wished not to give up Corcyra T. 1.44, φυλακην εἶχε  $\mu \eta \tau$ ' ἐκπλεῖν . . .  $\mu \eta \delta$ ένα  $\mu \eta \tau$ ' ἐσπλεῖν he kept quard against any one either sailing out or in T. 2.69.
- **2720.** Verbs of commanding and exhorting (κελεύω, λέγω, βοῶ), asking  $(al\tau \hat{\omega}, \dot{a}\xi \iota \hat{\omega})$ , advising  $(\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega)$ , and other verbs of will or desire of like meaning, take  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
- έκέλευε . . . μη ερεθίζειν he ordered him not to provoke his wrath P. R. 393 e, ἕλεγον αὐτοῖς μη άδικεῖν they told them not to commit injustice T. 2.5, ερόων άλληλοις μη θεῖν they shouted to each other not to run X. A. 1.8. 19, iκέτευε μη κτεῖναι he besought them not to kill him L. 1. 25, συμβουλεύω σοι . . . μη άφαιρεῖσθαι ἃ ἃν δῷς I advise you not to take away what you may have given X. C. 4. 5. 32.
- **2721.** of is used after verbs of will or desire only when it is attached to the leading verb or to some particular word; when it marks a contrast inserted parenthetically; where a compound negative takes up of used with the leading verb; and when obsels may be resolved into of and  $\tau ls$ , of going with the leading verb. Examples in 2738.

### ού AND μή WITH THE INFINITIVE IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE

2722. Verbs of saying and thinking take of with the infinitive in indirect discourse. Here of is retained from the direct discourse.

 $\hat{\eta}$  (ἀνάγκη) φαμεν οὐδένα θεῶν οὕτε μάχεσθαι τὰ νῦν οὕτε μαχεῖσθαί ποτε we declare that no one of the gods either now contends with necessity, or ever will P. L. 818 e (= οὐδεὶs . . . μάχεται . . . μαχεῖται), λέγοντες οὐκ εἶναι αὐτόνομοι saying that they were not independent T. 1. 67, (= οὔκ ἐσμεν), οἷμαι γὰρ ἄν οῦκ ἀχαριστως μοι ἔχειν for I think it would not be unattended with gratitude to me X. A. 2. 3. 18 (= οὖκ ἀν ἔχοι), ἡγήσαντο ἡμᾶς οὖ περιόψεσθαι they thought that we should not view it with indifference T. 1. 3θ (= οὖ περιόψονται), ἐμοὶ δὲ δοκοῦσιν οῦτοι οὖ τὸ αἴτιον αἰτιᾶσθαι but these persons seem to me not to blame the real cause P. R. 329 b, ἐνόμισεν οὖκ ᾶν δύνασθαι μένειν τοὺς πολιορκοῦντας he thought the besiegers would not be able to hold their position X. A. 7. 4. 22 (= οὖκ ἀν δύναιντο).

**2723.** Verbs of saying and thinking take  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  in emphatic declarations and expressions of thought which involve a wish that the utterance may hold good. So with  $\phi\eta\mu\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$ ,  $\dot{\eta}\gamma\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha$ ,  $\nu\rho\mu\dot{\iota}\zeta\omega$ ,  $\delta\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha$ . Cp. 2725.

φαίην δ' ἃν ἔγωγε μηδενὶ μηδεμίαν εἶναι παίδευσιν παρὰ τοῦ μὴ ἀρέσκοντος but for my part I would maintain that no one gets any education from a teacher who is not pleasing X. M. 1. 2. 39, πάντες ἐροῦσι . . . μηδὲν εἶναι κερδαλεώτερον ἀρετῆς all will say that nothing is more profitable than bravery X. C. 7. 1. 18, τις δ' αν ἀνθρώπων θεῶν μὲν παίδας ἡγοῖτο εἶναι, θεοὺς δὲ μἡ; who in the world would think that they were the sons of gods and not gods ? P. A. 27 d, ἀπῆσαν . . . νομίσαντες μὴ αν ἔτι . . . ἰκανοὶ γενέσθαι κωλῦσαι τὸν ἐπὶ τὴν θάλασσαν τειχισμόν they departed in the belief that they would no longer prove able to prevent the building of the wall to the sea T. 6. 102.

- a. Cp. P. Th. 155 a  $(\phi \eta \mu l)$ , T. 1. 139, 6. 49, P. R. 346 e  $(\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega)$ , X. M. 1. 2. 41, D. 54. 44  $(o \hat{l} \mu a \iota)$ , X. C. 7. 5. 59  $(\nu o \mu l \zeta \omega)$ , P. Soph. 230 c  $(\delta \iota a \nu o o \hat{l} \mu a \iota)$ .
- b. Cases where the infinitive is in apposition, or depends on an imperative, or occurs after a condition, do not belong here.
- 2724.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with the infinitive is often found after verbs denoting an oracular response or a judicial decision actual or implied. Cp. 2725. Thus,  $\dot{\alpha}$  κείλεν  $\dot{\eta}$  Πῦθίᾶ μηδένα σοφώτερον είναι the Pythian prophetess made answer that no one was wiser P. A. 21 a (in direct discourse οὐδεἰς σοφώτερος ἐστι). So after κρίνω, as ἔκρῖνε μὴ ᾿Αρίστωνος εἶναι Δημάρητον παίδα the Pythian prophetess gave decision that Demaretus was not the son of Ariston Hdt. 6.66, κέκρισθε . . . μόνοι τῶν πάντων μηδενὸς ἀν κέρδους τὰ κοινὰ δίκαια τῶν Ἑλλήνων προέσθαι you are adjudged to be the only people who would not betray for lucre the common rights of the Greeks D. 6. 10. So καταγιγνώσκω μή T. 7. 51, X. C. 6. 1. 36.
- 2725.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is often used with verbs and other expressions of asseveration and belief, after which we might expect of with the infinitive in indirect discourse. Such verbs are those signifying to hope. expect, promise, put trust in, be persuaded, agree, testify, swear, etc.

The use of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  indicates strong assurance, confidence, and resolve; and generally in regard to the *future*. Cp. 2723.

έλπὶς ὑμᾶς μὴ ὀφθῆναι there is hope that you will not be seen X. C. 2. 4. 23, ὑπῖσχνοῦντο μηδὲν χαλεπὸν αὐτοὺς πείσεσθαι they promised that they should suffer no harm X. H. 4. 4. 5, πιστεύω . . . μὴ ψεύσειν με ταὐτᾶς τᾶς ἀγαθᾶς ἐλπίδας I trust that these good hopes will not deceive me X. C. 1. 5. 13, θανμάζω ὅπως ἐπείσθησαν ᾿Αθηναῖοι Σωκράτην περὶ θεοὺς μὴ σωφρονεῖν I wonder how the Athenians were persuaded that Socrates did not hold temperate opinions regarding the gods X. M. 1. 1. 20, ὁμολογεῖ μὴ μετεῖναί οἱ μακρολογίᾶς he acknowledges that he cannot make a long speech P. Pr. 336 b, αὐτὸς ἐαντοῦ καταμαρτυρεῖ μὴ ἐξ ἐκείνου γεγενῆσθαι he proves by his own testimony that he is not his son D. 40. 47, ὤμοσεν ἢ μὴν μὴ εἶναί οἱ νἱὸν ἄλλον μηδὲ γενέσθαι πώποτε he swore that he had no other son and that none other had ever been born to him And. 1. 126, ὤμνιε . . . μηδὲν εἰρηκέναι he swore that he had said nothing D. 21. 119, ὀμοῦμαι μήποτ' . . ἀλεξήσειν κακὸν ἡμαρ I will swear that I will never ward off the evil day Φ 373. Cp. Ar. Vesp. 1047, 1281, And. 1. 90, Lyc. 76. With ὅμνῦμι the infinitive may refer to the present, past, or future.

2726. Such verbs are hope έλπίζω; expect έλπίζω, προσδοκώ, δοκώ, οἴομαι, εἰκός ἐστι; promise ὑπισχνοῦμαι, ἐπαγγέλλομαι; swear ὅμνῦμι; agree ὁμολογώ, συγχωρώ; pledge ἐγγυῶμαι; put trust in πιστεύω; am persuaded πέπεισμαι; testify μαρτυρώ; repudiate ἀναίνομαι; threaten ἀπειλώ, etc.

a.  $\mu\eta$  is regular after verbs of promising; common after verbs of hoping and swearing. With  $\delta\mu\nu\bar{\nu}\mu\iota$ ,  $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\iota\omega$ ,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\circ\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\mu\alpha\rho\tau\nu\rho\hat{\omega}$ , etc. there is an idea of deprecation.

**2727.** ἐπίσταμαι and οἶδα usually take μή when they denote confident belief (= I warrant from what I know; cp. πιστεύω μή, δμνῦμι μή). Thus, ἐξίσταμαι μή του τόδ ἀγλάσμα πλὴν κείνου μολεῖν I assure you this fair offering has not come from any one save from him S. El. 908 (cp. Ant. 1092). In τοσοῦτόν γ' οἶδα μήτε μ' ἀν νόσον μήτ' ἀλλο πέρσαι μηδέν so much at least I know—that neither sickness nor aught else can undo me (S. O. T. 1455) the infinitive may be appositional (2718). Cases of tσθι μή (be assured = I assure you) may have μή by reason of the imperative (2737 a). So S. Ph. 1329.

## $o\dot{v}$ AND $\mu\dot{\eta}$ WITH THE PARTICIPLE

2728. The participle has of when it states a fact,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  when it states a condition. On  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  due to the force of the leading verb, see 2737.

οὐ πιστεύων since (as, when, etc.) he does not believe, μὴ πιστεύων if he does not believe, ἀνέβη ἐπὶ τὰ ὄρη οὐδενὸς κωλύοντος he went up on the mountains since no one hindered him X. A. 1. 2. 22, οὐκ ἂν δύναιο μὴ καμών εὐδαιμονεῖν thou canst not be happy if thou hast not toiled E. fr. 461, ὡς ἡδὺ τὸ ζῆν μὴ φθονούσης τῆς τύχης how sweet is life if fortune is not envious Men. Sent. 563.

a. μή with the articular participle is the abridged equivalent of a conditional relative sentence. Thus, in ὁ μὴ ταῦτα ποιῶν ἄδικός ἐστι, ὁ μὴ ποιῶν is virtually the generic δς ἄν μὴ ποιῷ or ὅστις μὴ ποιεῖ compressed into a noun.

2729. où is used with a supplementary participle (in indirect discourse) in

agreement with a noun (or pronoun, expressed or unexpressed) depending on a verb of knowing, showing, seeing, perceiving, etc. (2106-2115); and also with such supplementary participles (not in indirect discourse) after verbs of emotion (2100), etc. In most such cases ön of might have been used.

οὐδένα γὰρ οἶδα μῖσοῦντα τοὺς ἐπαινοῦντας for I know of no one who dislikes his admirers X. M. 2. 6. 33, φανερὸν πᾶσιν ἐποίησαν οὐκ ἰδία πολεμοῦντες they made it clear to all that they were not waging war for their own interests Lyc. 50, ὁρ ῶσι τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους οὐ... ἀπιόντας they see that their elders do not depurt X. C. 1. 2. 8, οὐδεὶς μήποθ' εὕρη ... οὐδὲν ἐλλειφθέν no one will ever find that anything has been left undone D. 18. 246; Κύρφ ἤδετο οὐ δυναμένφ σῖγᾶν he rejoiced that Cyrus was unable to remain silent X. C. 1. 4. 15.

- 2730. ἐπίσταμαι and οίδα denoting confident belief may take  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  for ού. Thus, ἔξοιδα φόσει σε  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  πεφῦκότα τοιαῦτα φωνεῖν κακά well do I know that by nature thou art not adapted to utter such guile S. Ph. 79; cp. S. O. C. 656, T. 1. 76, 2. 17. This use of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is analogous to that with the infinitive (2727).
- **2731.**  $\mu\eta$  is used when the reason for an action is regarded as the condition under which it takes place; as où  $\tau$ 00  $\pi\lambda$ 6000s  $\mu\eta$   $\sigma$ 700 $\pi$ 640vox  $\mu\eta$   $\pi$ 670vox  $\pi$ 640vox  $\pi$ 77 are not grateful at not being deprived of the greater part of their rights T. 1. 77 (=  $\epsilon i \mu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho l \sigma \kappa \sigma \tau \sigma$ ).
- 2732. The participle with ώs, ὥσπερ, ἄτε, οἷον, οἷα (2085–2087) has οὐ; as ἐθορυβεῖτε ὡs οὐ ποιήσοντες ταῦτα you made a disturbance by way of declaring that you did not intend to do this L. 12. 73. The use of οὐ shows that there is nothing conditional in the use of ὡs though it is often translated by as if. μή occurs only after an imperative or a conditional word (2737).
- **2733.** Participles of opposition or concession (2083) take où; as  $\pi\epsilon i\theta ov$   $\gamma \nu \nu a i \xi i$   $\kappa a i \pi \epsilon \rho$  où  $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$   $\delta \mu \omega s$  hearken to women albeit thou likest it not A. Sept. 712.
- **2734.** The participle with the article has of when a definite person or thing is meant, but  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  when the idea is indefinite and virtually conditional (whoever, whatever); and when a person or thing is to be characterized (of such a sort, one who; 2705 g). Cp. 2052.

οὶ οὐκ ὅντες the dead T. 2. 44, οἱ οὐκ ἐθέλοντες the particular persons (or party) who are unwilling Ant. 6. 26, οἱ οὐ βουλόμενοι ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχειν the party of opposition And. 1.9; οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι any who are unable X. A. 4.5. 11 (= οἵτινες μὴ δύνανται οτ ὅσοι ἄν μὴ δύνωνται), ὁ μὴ δαρεὶς ἄνθρωπος οὐ παιδεύεται he who gets no flogging gets no training Men. Sent. 422, ὁ μὴ λέγων ἃ φρονεῖ the man who does not say what he thinks D. 18. 282, ὁ μηδὲν ἀδικῶν οὐδενὸς δεῖται νόμου he who does no wrong needs no law Antiph. 288.

## $o\dot{v}$ AND $\mu\dot{\eta}$ WITH SUBSTANTIVES AND ADJECTIVES USED SUBSTANTIVELY

2735. où and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  are used with substantives and substantivized adjectives with the same difference as with participles. Here the generic  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is much more common than où.

 $\dot{\eta}$  των γεφυρών . . . οὐ διάλυσις the non-destruction of the bridges T. 1.137,

κατὰ τὴν τῶν χωρίων ἀλλήλοις οὐκ ἀπόδοσιν because of their non-surrender of the places to each other 5.35 (= ὅτι οὐκ ἀπόδοσαν), διὰ τὴν τῶν Κορινθίων οὐκέτι ἐπαναγωγήν because the Corinthians no longer sailed out against them 7.36. Cp. non-regardance (Shakesp.), nonresidences (Milton). So even with concrete nouns: οἱ οὐχὶ δοῦλοι E. fr. 831.

ή μη έμπειρία lack of experience Ar. Eccl. 115, ὁ μη ἐατρός he who is not a physician (the non-physician) P. G. 459 b, οἱ μη πλούσιοι whoever are not rich (the non-rich) P. R. 330 a, οἰκ ἔστιν ἐν τοῖς μη καλοῖς βουλεύμασιν οὐδ' ἐλπίς in schemes that are unwise there is no place even for hope S. Tr. 725.

a. The use of the negative here compensates for the absence of negative compounds. Cp. αἱ οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι πόσεις unnecessary potations X. R. L. 5. 4.

## οὐδείς, μηδείς

2736. oìdeis, oidei denote that which is actually non-existent or of no account;  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ is,  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ i denote that which is merely thought of as non-existent or of no account. Both are used as the opposite of  $\tau$ is or  $\tau$ i ( $\epsilon$ ivat) to be somebody (something, cp. 1269). The neuter forms are often used of persons;  $\tau$ ò  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ i (indeclinable) is used of persons and things.

 $\ddot{\sigma}$  νῦν μὲν οὐδείs, αὔριον δ' ὑπέρμεγαs oh thou who art now a nobody (an actual fact), but to-morrow exceeding great Ar. Eq. 158, δντες οὐδένες being nobodies E. And. 700, οὐ γὰρ ἢξίου τοὺς μηδένας for he was not wont to esteem (those whom he regarded as) nobodies S. Aj. 1114, τὸ μηδὲν εἰς οὐδὲν ῥέπει what was thought to be nothing now inclines (shows itself) to be actually nothing E. fr. 532, δτ' οὐδὲν ἂν τοῦ μηδὲν ἀνέστης ὑπερ when though naught thyself (a fact) thou hast stood up for him who is as naught S. Aj. 1231. So τὸ οὐδέν zero, actually nothing, τὸ μηδέν abstract nonentity.

a. The construction may influence the choice between οὐδείs and μηδείs; as ἐὰν δοκῶσί τι εἶναι μηδὲν ὅντες, ὀνειδίζετε αὐτοῖς rebuke them if they think they are something when in reality they are nothing P. A. 41 e. Cp. 2737 b.

## APPARENT EXCHANGE OF $o\mathring{v}$ AND $\mu\acute{\eta}$

- **2737.** Where  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  is used when we expect of the negative expression usually depends on a verb that either has  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or would have it, if negatived.
- b. After conditional expressions. Thus, εὶ δέ τις . . . νομίζει τι μὴ ἰκανῶς εἰρῆσθαι but if any one thinks some point has not been sufficiently mentioned And. 1. 70, λύσετε δὲ οὐδὲ τὰς Λακεδαιμονίων σπονδὰς δεχόμενοι (=ἐὰν δέχησθε) ἡμᾶς μηδετέρων ὅντας ξυμμάχους and by receiving us, who are allies of neither,

you will not be violating the treaty with the Lacedaemonians either T.1.35. Cp. 2736 a.

- c. Other cases:  $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon i \nu a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau o \hat{0} \pi \sigma \tau a \mu o \hat{0} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \beta \dot{a} \nu \tau a s$  he ordered them to remain by the river without crossing X. A. 4. 3. 28 (here  $\mu \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ , if negatived, would take  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , 2720),  $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau o \epsilon \dot{\iota} \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu \pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ddot{\sigma} \mu \eta \rho a \delta \dot{\sigma} \dot{\nu} s \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\iota} \chi \eta \kappa a \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$  he promised that he would bring peace about without giving hostages or destroying the walls L. 12. 68 (here  $\pi o \iota \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ , if negatived, would take  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ , 2725).
- - d. On  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  in questions where we might expect  $o\dot{v}$ , see 2676 b.
  - **2738.** of is sometimes used where we expect  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ .
- a. Where or stands in a clause introduced by  $\epsilon l$  or other words after which  $\mu \eta$  might be expected (2698). Thus,  $\delta \phi \rho a$  kal or  $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \tau \iota s$  araykal $\eta$  polephisothat every one must of necessity fight even though he would not  $\Delta$  300 (cp. 2692 a).
- b. Where of goes strictly with the leading verb though it stands with the infinitive. Thus,  $\beta$ ould  $\eta \nu$  d'a our elval  $\tau$  dde I would fain it were not so (I should not wish that this were so) E. Med. 73, duámoker ou capierbal . . . dll dikáseir katà tods rómous he has sworn, not that he will show favour, but that he will judge according to the laws P. A. 35 c (some explain this as the of direct discourse).
- d. When a compound negative with the infinitive repeats of used with the leading verb. Thus, ( $\delta$  vóµos) oir  $\epsilon \hat{q}$   $\epsilon i\sigma i\epsilon vai$ , of  $\tilde{a}v$   $\tilde{n}$   $\delta$   $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \omega s$ , oid  $\epsilon \mu iav$  yuraîra the law does not permit any women to enter where the dead may be D. 43.63.
- e. When οὐδείs may be resolved into οὐ and τὶs, οὐ going with the leading verb. Thus, οὐδενὸs (=οἴ τινοs) ἀμαρτεῖν . . . δίκαιδε ἐστιν there is nothing he deserves to miss Ant. 4. a. 6 (= he does not deserve to miss anything), ἀξιῶ ἐγὰ ῶν ὁμωμόκατε παραβῆναι οὐδέν I ask that you do not break any of the conditions to which you have sworn X. H. 2. 4. 42 (=οὐκ ἀξιῶ . . . παραβῆναι τι). Cp. S. Ph. 88.

# $\mu \acute{\eta}$ AND $\mu \grave{\eta}$ où WITH THE INFINITIVE REDUNDANT OR SYMPATHETIC NEGATIVE

## I. With the Infinitive depending on Verbs of Negative Meaning

**2739.** Verbs and expressions of negative meaning, such as *deny*, refuse, hinder, forbid, avoid, often take the infinitive with a redundant  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  to confirm the negative idea of the leading verb.

With this compare: "First he denied you had in him no right" (Shakesp., Com. of Er. 4.2.7); and "La pluie... empêche qu'on ne se promène" (Racine); "Verbot ihnen Jesus, dass sie Niemand sagen sollten" (St. Mark 9.9).

καταρν $\hat{\eta}$  μὴ δεδρακέναι τάδε; dost thou deny that thou hast done this ? S. Ant. 442, ἀποκωλῦσαι τοὺς Ελληνας μὴ ἐλθεῖν to hinder the Greeks from coming X. A. 6.4.24, κήρῦκα προέπεμψεν αὐτοῖς . . . ἀπεροῦντα μὴ πλεῖν they sent a herald to forbid them to sail T.1.29, εὐλαβήσεσθε μὴ πολλῶν ἐναντίον λέγειν you will beware of speaking in public P. Eu. 304 a, ἀπέσχοντο μὴ ἐπὶ τὴν ἐκατέρων γῆν στρατεῦσαι they abstained from marching upon each other's territory T. 5.25.

- 2740. The redundant μή is used after ἀμφιλέγω and ἀμφισβητῶ dispute, ἀνατίθεμαι retract an opinion, ἀντιλέγω speak against, ἀπαγορεύω and ἀπειπεῖν forbid, ἀπιστῶ doubt, ἀπογιγνώσκω abandon an intention, ἀποκρύπτομαι conceal, ἀπολῦω acquit, ἀποστερῶ deprive, ἀποστρέφω divert, ἀποχειροτονῶ and ἀποψηψίζομαι vote against, ἀρνοῦμαι (and compounds, and ἄπαρνός εἰμι, ἔξαρνός εἰμι) deny, διαμάχομαι refuse, εἰργω and ἐμποδών εἰμι prevent, ἐναντιοῦμαι oppose, εἰλαβοῦμαι beware of, ἔχω and ἀπέχω prevent, ἀντέχω, ἀπέχομαι, ἐπέχω, κατέχω abstain from, κολῦω (and compounds) hinder, μεταβουλεύομαι alter one's plans, μεταγιγνώκω change one's mind, ὅκνον παρέχω make hesitate, φεύγω (and compounds) escape, avoid, disclaim, φυλάττομαι guard against, etc.
- 2741. Also after the following verbs: ἀπαυδῶ forbid, ἀπεύχομαι deprecor, ἀποδοκεῖ resolve not, ἀπροσδόκητός εἰμι do not expect, ἀφαιροῦμαι prevent, ἀφίημι acquit, δέδοικα and φοβοῦμαι fear, ἐρὕκω hinder, καταδεῖ lack, μεταδοκεῖ μοι change one's mind, παύω put an end to, ῥύομαι and σφζω save from, ὑπεκτρέχω escape from, ὑφίεμαι give up, etc.
- **2742.** When a verb of denying, refusing, hindering, forbidding, etc., is itself negatived, either directly or by appearing in a question expecting a negative answer, the infinitive has  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov. Here both the introductory clause and the dependent clause have virtually an affirmative sense.

οὐδεὶς πώποτ' ἀντεῖπεν μὴ οὐ καλῶς ἔχειν αὐτούς (τοὺς νόμους) no one ever denied that they (the laws) were excellent D.24.24, τίνα οἴει ἀπαρνήσεσθαι μὴ οὐχὶ καὶ αὐτὸν ἐπίστασθαι τὰ δίκαια; who, think you, will deny that he too understands what is just? P. G. 461 c (=οὐδεὶς ἀπαρνήσεται). But μὴ οὐ is not used after οὕ φημι, οὐκ ἐῶ, οὐκ ἐθέλω (2692 a).

a.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  οὐ with the infinitive here, and elsewhere, is used only when the introductory word or words has an actual or a virtual negative. Since, in ἀρνοῦμαι  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ταῦτα δρᾶσαι I deny that I did this,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  confirms the negative idea in ἀρνοῦμαι, so

in οὐκ ἀρνοῦμαι μὴ οὐ ταῦτα δρᾶσαι I do not deny that I did this, οὐ after the strengthening μή confirms the οὐ prefixed to the leading verb. Cp. "Je ne nie pas que je ne sois infiniment flatté" (Voltaire). In the first sentence μή repeats the 'negative result' of ἀρνοῦμαι (single sympathetic negative, untranslatable); in the second sentence οὐ is repeated with the infinitive to sum up the effect of οὐκ ἀρνοῦμαι (double sympathetic negative; both untranslatable). After verbs negative in meaning (deny, etc.) μή and μὴ οὐ cannot be translated in modern English (see 2739). After verbs not negative in character but preceded by a negative, and after virtually negative expressions, μή or μὴ οὐ has a negative force (2745, 2746).

b.  $\mu\eta$  of with the infinitive regularly indicates a certain pressure of interest on the part of the person involved.

- **2743.** After deny, speak against, doubt, etc., followed by  $\delta_S$  or  $\delta\tau\iota$ , a redundant of is often inserted. Thus,  $\delta_S$   $\mu \delta \nu$  of  $\delta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$   $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau'$   $\delta \sigma \tau' \nu$ , on  $\delta \delta \tau'$   $\delta \tau' \nu$ , that this is true you will not be able to deny D. 8. 31.
- a. Here the  $\dot{\omega}s$  clause is an internal accusative (accusative of content) after  $\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon\iota\nu$ . Originally the meaning seems to have been 'you will not be able to deny in this way—this is not true' where  $o\dot{o}$  is not redundant.

## 2744. Summary of Constructions after Verbs of Hindering, etc.

After verbs signifying (or suggesting) to hinder and the like, the infinitive admits the article  $\tau b$  or  $\tau o \hat{v}$  (the ablatival genitive, 1392). Hence we have a variety of constructions, which are here classed under formal types. The simple infinitive is more closely connected with the leading verb than the infinitive with  $\tau b$   $\mu \eta$  or  $\tau b$   $\mu \eta$  ov, which often denotes the result (cp.  $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$   $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) of the action of the leading verb and is either an accusative of respect or a simple object infinitive. The genitive of the infinitive is very rare with  $\kappa \omega \lambda b \omega$  and its compounds.

- a. Some scholars regard the infinitive with the negative as an internal accusative, not as a simple object infinitive; and the infinitive without the negative as an external accusative.
  - 1. είργει με μη γράφειν (the usual construction: examples 2739).
- 2. εἴργει με γράφειν (less common). Since the redundant μή is not obligatory, we have the simple infinitive as object (1989), as εἰ τοῦτό τις εἰργει δρῶν ὅκνος if some scruple prevents us from doing this P. Soph. 242 a, δν θανεῖν ἐρρῦσάμην whom I saved from death F. Alc. 11, οἱ θεῶν ἡμῶς ὅρκοι κωλύουσι πολεμίους εἶναι ἀλλήλοις the oaths sworn in the name of the gods prevent our being enemies to each other X. A. 2. 5. 7, and so usually with κωλύω (cp. 2744. 7).
  - 3. είργει με τὸ μὴ γράφειν (rather common; cp. 1): εἶργον τὸ μὴ . . . κακουργεῖν they prevented them from doing damage T. 3. 1, οἰοί τε ἢσαν κατέχειν τὸ μὴ δακρύειν they were able to restrain their weeping P. Ph. 117 c.
  - 4. εἴργει με τὸ γράφειν (not uncommon; cp. 2): ἐπέσχον τὸ εὐθέως τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις ἐπιχειρεῖν they refrained from immediately attacking the Athenians T. 7. 33, ἔστιν τις, ὅς σε κωλύσει τὸ δρῶν there is some one who will prevent thee from the deed S. Ph. 1241.
  - 5. εἴργει με τοῦ μὴ γράφειν, with the ablatival genitive, 1392 (not so common as 3): πῶς γὰρ ἀσκὸς δύο ἄνδρας ἔξει τοῦ μὴ καταδῦναι for each skin-bag will pre-

vent two men from sinking X. A. 3.5, 11. Other cases are: Hdt. 1.86, T. 1.76, X. C. 2.4.13, 2.4.23, 3.3.31, I. 7.17, 12.80, 15.122, P. L. 637 c, 832 b, D. 23. 149, 33.25. Observe that this idiom does not have the logical meaning 'from not,' which we should expect. Some write  $\tau \delta \mu \eta$  or  $\mu \eta$  alone.

- 6. εἴργει με τοῦ γράφειν (not common, and very rare with κωλόω, as X. A. 1. 6.2): τοῦ δὲ δρᾶπετεύειν δεσμοῖς ἀπείργουσι; do they prevent their slaves from running away by fetters? X. M. 2. 1. 16, ἐπέσχομεν τοῦ δακρύειν we desisted from weeping P. Ph. 117 e (cp. 3).
- 7. οὐκ εἴργει με γράφειν (not very common, but more often with οὐ κωλύω; cp. 2): οὐδὲ διακωλύουσι ποιεῖν ὧν ἀν ἐπιθῦμῆς; nor will they prevent you from doing what you desire ? P. Lys. 207 e, τί κωλύει (= οὐδὲν κ.) καὶ τὰ ἄκρα ἡμῖν κελεύειν Κῦρον προκαταλαβεῖν; what hinders our ordering Cyrus to take also the heights in advance for us? X. A. 1. 3. 16, ταῦτά τινες οὐκ ἐξαρνοῦνται πράττειν certain people do not deny that they are doing these things Aes. 3. 250.
- 8. οὐκ εἴργει με μὴ οὐ γράφειν (the regular construction): οὐκ ἀμφισβητῶ μὴ οὐχὶ σὲ σοφώτερον ἡ ἐμέ I do not dispute that you are wiser than I P. Hipp. Minor 369 d, οὐδὲν ἐδύνατο ἀντέχειν μὴ οὐ χαρίζεσθαι he was not able to resist granting the favour X. C. 1.4.2, τί ἐμποδών (= οὐδὲν ἐμποδών) μὴ οὐχὶ . . . ὑβριζομένους ἀποθανεῖν; what hinders our being put to death ignominiously? X. A. 3. 1. 13, τί δῆτα μέλλεις μὴ οὐ γεγωνίσκειν τὸ πᾶν; why pray dost thou hesitate to declare the whole? A. Pr. 627.
- 9. οὐκ εἴργει με τὸ μὴ γράφειν (since occasionally the sympathetic οὐ is not added; cp. 3): καὶ φημὶ δρᾶσαι κοῦκ ἀπαρνοῦμαι τὸ μή (δρᾶσαι) I both assent that I did the deed and do not deny that I did it S. Ant. 443, τίς . . . σοῦ ἀπελείφθη τὸ μή σοι ἀκολουθεῖν; who failed to follow you? X. C. 5. 1. 25.
- 10. οὐκ εἴργει με τὸ μὴ οὐ γράφειν (very common; cp. 8): οὐκ ἐναντιώσομαι τὸ μὴ οὐ γεγωνεῖν πᾶν I will not refuse to declare all A. Pr. 786, τὸ μὲν οὖν μὴ οὐχὶ ἡδέα εἶναι τὰ ἡδέα λόγος οὐδεὶς ἀμφισβητεῖ no argument disputes that sweet things are sweet P. Phil. 13 a.

Very unusual constructions are

- 11. οὐκ εἴργει τὸ γράφειν (οὐκ ἂν ἀρνοίμην τὸ δρ $\hat{a}$ ν I will not refuse the deed S. Ph. 118).
- 12. οὐκ εἴργει μὴ γράφειν (οὔτ' ἡμφεσβήτησε μὴ σχεῖν neither did he deny that he had the money D.27.15).
- 13. οὐκ εἴργει τοῦ μὴ οὐ γράφειν (once only : E. Hipp. 48, where τὸ μὴ οὐ is read by some).

On the negative after  $\omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$ , see 2759.

## II. μη οὐ with the Infinitive depending on Negatived Verbs

**2745.** Any infinitive that would take  $\mu \hat{\eta}$ , takes  $\mu \hat{\eta}$  où (with a negative force), if dependent on a negatived verb. Here où is the sympathetic negative and is untranslatable.

οὐκ ἂν πιθοίμην μὴ οὐ τάδ' ἐκμαθεῖν σαφῶs I cannot consent not to learn this exactly as it is  $S.O.T.\,1065.$ 

2746.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  ov with the infinitive thus often follows verbs and other

expressions formed by o' (or a-privative) with a positive word and denoting what is impossible, improbable, wrong, senseless, and the like

οὐδεὶς οἶός τ' ἐστὶν ἄλλως λέγων μὴ οὐ καταγέλαστος εἶναι no one by speaking otherwise can avoid being ridiculous P. G. 509 a, ὑπέσχου ζητήσειν ὡς οὐχ ὅσιόν σοι ὂν μὴ οὐ βοηθεῖν δικαιοσύνη you promised to make the inquiry on the ground that it would not be right for you not to assist justice P. R. 427 e, πάνω ἀνόητον ἡγοῦμαι εἶναί σοι μὴ οὐ καὶ τοῦτο χαρίζεσθαι I think it is utterly senseless for me not to grant you this favour also P. S. 218 c.

- **2747**. Such expressions are, e.g. οὐχ ὅσιός τ' εἰμί, οὐχ οῖόν τ' ἐστί, οὐχ ἰκανός εἰμι, οὐκ ἔστι, ἀδύνατός εἰμι, οὐ δίκαιόν ἐστι, οὐχ ὅσιόν ἐστι, οὐ προσδοκία ἐστί, ἄλοχόν ἐστι, οὐκ ἀνεκτόν ἐστι, ἄνοιά ἐστι, and many others.
- **2748.** Some expressions denoting repugnance to the moral sense involve a negative idea, and may have the same construction. Thus,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon \ \pi \hat{a}\sigma\iota\nu \ al\sigma\chi \dot{b}\nu\eta\nu$   $\epsilon \hat{i}\nu a\iota \ \mu \dot{\eta}$  of  $\sigma \nu \sigma \pi \sigma \upsilon \delta \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \iota\nu$  so that all were ashamed not (i.e. felt it was not right) to coöperate zealously X. A. 2. 3.11. So with  $al\sigma\chi\rho \dot{\rho}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$  (=  $o\dot{\nu}$   $\kappa a\lambda \dot{\rho}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\dot{\tau}\iota$ ),  $\delta \epsilon \iota\nu \dot{\rho}\nu \ \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ .
- **2749**. Instead of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of we find also  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of (but not  $\tau\dot{\delta}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of).
- a.  $\mu\eta$  (rarely; cp. 2744. 1): <code>čleyov</code>  $\delta\tau\iota\ldots$ où δυνήσοιντο  $\mu\eta$  πείθεσθαι το  $\delta\eta$  salois they said that they could not help submitting to the Thebans X. H. 6. 1.1,  $al\sigma\chi\rho\delta\nu\ldots\gamma$ iγνεται έμέ γε  $\mu\eta$  έθέλειν it is disgraceful for me at least not to be willing P. G. 458 d.
- b.  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \acute{\eta}$  (cp. 2744. 3) :  $\check{\epsilon}\phi \eta$  . . . oùx olór  $\tau$ '  $\check{\epsilon}l$ ral  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \grave{\eta}$  å $\pi$ okt $\epsilon \hat{l}$ val  $\mu \epsilon$  he said it was not possible not to condemn me to death P. A. 29 c.
- c. τοῦ μή (cp. 2744. 5):  $\dot{\eta}$  ἀπορία τοῦ μὴ ἡσυχάζειν the inability to rest T. 2. 49.
- d. τὸ μὴ οὐ (cp. 2744. 10): οὐ μέντοι ἔπειθέ γε τὸ μὴ οὐ μεγαλοπράγμων . . . εἶναι he could not, however, persuade them that he was not a man who entertained grand designs X. H. 5. 2.36, ἄλογον τὸ μὴ οὐ τέμνειν διχŷ it is irrational not to make a two-fold division P. Soph. 219 e.

## $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $o\dot{v}$ WITH THE PARTICIPLE DEPENDING ON NEGATIVED VERBS

2750.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov, instead of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , is sometimes found with the participle after expressions preceded by ov or involving a negative, and usually when such expressions denote impossibility or moral repugnance.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov here denotes an exception, and has the force of except, unless (ep.  $\epsilon\dot{\iota}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2346 a).

οὐκ ἄρα ἐστὶν φίλον τῷ φιλοῦντι οὐδὲν μὴ οὐκ ἀντιφιλοῦν nothing then is beloved by a lover except it love in return P. Lys. 212 d, δυσάλγητος γὰρ αν εἴην τοίανδε μὴ οὐ κατοικτίρων ἔδραν for I should prove hard of heart, did I not pity such a supplication as this S.O. T. 11 (δυσάλγητος = οὐκ οἰκτίρμων, μὴ οὐ κατοικτίρων = εἰ μὴ κατοικτίροιμι).

## $\mu\eta$ and $\mu\eta$ of with the subjunctive and indicative

- 2751. The use of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  of with the subjunctive is different from that with the infinitive.
- a. In doubtful assertions (1801–1802) expressing anxiety, suspicion, surmise, wh is used of that which may be true,  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  of of that which may not be true.
- b. After verbs of fear and caution, where  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  means lest,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  or means lest not, that not (2221, 2225).
- 2752.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov are used with the indicative in doubtful assertions (1772). In questions with  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ov the ov belongs to a single word (2651 d).

On  $\delta\pi\omega s \,\mu\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\delta\pi\omega s \,\mu\dot{\eta}$  of with the future, see 1920, 1921, 2203.

## REDUNDANT of WITH $\pi\lambda\eta\nu$ , ETC.

2753. Redundant où appears after the negative words  $\pi\lambda \acute{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\chi\omega\rho$ is,  $\check{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\acute{o}s$ ,  $\check{a}\nu\epsilon\upsilon$  except, without, and after  $\pi\rho\acute{\nu}\nu$  (and  $\mu\hat{a}\lambda\lambda\upsilon\nu$   $\mathring{\eta}$  usually) preceded by a negative, which may be involved in a question.

νῦν δὲ φαίνεται (ἡ ναῦς) . . . πλέουσα πανταχόσε πλὴν οὐκ εἰς 'Αθήνᾶς but now it seems that the ship is sailing everywhere except to Athens D. 56.23, πρὶν δ΄ οὐδὲν δρθῶς εἰδέναι, τί σοι πλέον λῦπουμένη γένοιτ' ἄν; before thou knowest the facts, what can sorrow avail thee? Ε. Hel. 322, εὖ δ΄ ἴστε ὅτι οὐ περὶ τῶν ἐμῶν ἰδίων μᾶλλον τῖμωρήσεσθε Πολυκλέᾶ ἢ οὐχ ὑπέρ ὑμῶν αὐτῶν but be assured that you will punish Polycles rather for your own good than for my private interests D. 50.66. Cp. "j'irai vous voir avant que vous ne preniez aucune résolution," "le bon Dieu est cent fois meilleur qu'on ne le dit."

## $\cdot$ $\circ \dot{v}$ $\mu \dot{\eta}$

- 2754. où  $\mu\eta$ , and the compounds of each, are used in emphatic negative predictions and prohibitions.
- a. où  $\mu\eta$  marks strong personal interest on the part of the speaker. In its original use it may have belonged to colloquial speech and as such we find it in comedy; but in tragedy it is often used in stately language. où  $\mu\eta$  is rare in the orators.
  - 2755. (I) In negative predictions to denote a strong denial.
- a. With the (first or second) aorist subjunctive, less often with the present subjunctive (1804). Thus, ην νῖκήσωμεν, οὐ μή ποτε ὑμῖν Πελοποννήσιοι ἐσβάλωσιν ἐs τὴν χώρᾶν if we are victorious, the Peloponnesians will never invade your territory T. 4.95, οὐδεὶς μηκέτι μείνη τῶν πολεμίων not one of the enemy will stand his ground any longer X. A. 4. 8. 13, οὔτι μὴ φύγητε you shall not escape (a threat) E. Hec. 1039, οὐ μή σοι δύνωνται ἀντέχειν οἱ πολέμιοι your enemies will not be able to withstand you X. Hi. 11.15.
- b. With the future indicative (first and third person). Thus, οὔ σοι μὴ μεθέψομαί ποτε never will I follow thee S. El. 1052, οὔ μὴ δυνήσεται Κῦρος εὐρεῖν Cyrus

will not be able to find X. C. 8. 1. 5. In indirect discourse, the future optative or infinitive; as  $\hat{\epsilon}\theta\hat{\epsilon}\sigma\pi i\sigma\epsilon\nu$ ...  $\hat{\omega}s$  od  $\mu\hat{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\pi\hat{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\sigma i\epsilon\nu$  he prophesied that they never would destroy S. Ph. 611,  $\hat{\epsilon}\tilde{l}\pi\epsilon\nu$ ...  $\hat{\omega}i$   $\mu\hat{\eta}$   $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$   $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{v}$   $\pi\rho\hat{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\nu$   $\pi\hat{\delta}\lambda\nu$  he declared that the city would never prosper E. Phoen. 1590.

- **2756.** (II) In strong prohibitions (ep. 1919).
- a. With the future indicative (second person singular). Thus, οὐ μὴ καταβήσει don't come down Ar. Vesp. 397.
- b. With the agrist subjunctive rarely (1800 n.). Thus οὐ μὴ ληρήσης don't talk twaddle Ar. Nub. 367. Many editors change the agrist subjunctive to the future indicative.
- **2757.** There are two cases in which of  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  is not used in conjunction, but where each negative has its own verb.
- b. A positive command with où and the future indicative (second person) may be followed by the future in a prohibition introduced by  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$  or  $\kappa al \ \mu\dot{\eta}$ . Here the clause with où has the form of a question expecting the answer yes, while the whole sentence has the form of a question expecting the answer no. Thus, où  $\sigma^2\gamma^2$  dréξει  $\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  δειλίαν dρεῖ; wilt thou not keep silence and not win for thyself the reputation of cowardice? (= keep silence and do not yet the reputation of being a covard) S. Aj. 75, οὐκοῦν καλεῖς αὐτον και  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  dφήσεις; will you not call him and (will you not) send him away? (= call him and don't send him away) P. S. 175 a. Here où is to be taken also with the following clause. Some scholars make the question in the second clause independent of où.
- **2758.** The origin of the use of οὐ μή is obscure and disputed. See Kvičala Zeitschrift für österreichische Gymnasien 1856, p. 755; Goodwin Moods and Tenses 389; Gildersleeve American Journal of Philology 3. 202, 23. 137; Jebb on Sophocles Ajax 75 (appendix); Chambers Classical Review 10. 150, 11. 109; Wharton o.c. 10. 239; Whitelaw o.c. 10. 239, 16. 277; Sonnenschein o.c. 16. 165; Kühner-Gerth Grammatik der griechischen Sprache 2. § 514. 8.

## NEGATIVES WITH $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ AND THE INFINITIVE

- 2759.  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$  with the infinitive shows the following uses of the negatives.
- a.  $\mu\eta$  in ordinary result clauses including such as express an intended result; as  $\pi \hat{a} \nu \pi o i o \hat{v} \hat{b} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \mu \eta \delta i \delta \delta \nu a i \mu \eta \delta^{\dagger} \hat{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda \hat{a} \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a i \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau o \kappa a \kappa o \hat{v}$  they use every effort (so as) to avoid being punished and released from the greatest of evils P. G. 479 c.
- b. μή sympathetic, after verbs of hindering; as ἀπεχόμενοι ὥστε μή ἐμβάλλειν refraining from attacking T. 1. 49 (cp. 2744.1).

- c. où, when the  $\&\sigma\tau\epsilon$  clause depends on a clause itself subordinate to a verb of saying or thinking (2269).
- d. μη οὐ after a negatived verb of hindering (cp. 2744.8); as οὕτε σφέας Εὐρυβιάδης κατέχειν δυνήσεται . . . ὅστε μη οὐ διασκεδασθηναι την στρατιήν neither will Eurybiades be able to prevent the fleet from being scattered Hdt. 8.57. Also when the ὅστε clause depends on a negatived verb (2745); as πείσομαι γὰρ οὐ τοσοῦτον οὐδὲν ὥστε μη οὐ καλῶς θανεῖν for I will suffer nothing so much as not to die nobly S. Ant. 97.
- e. οὐ μή (cp. 2754 a); as οὕτως ἐπετεθόμηκα ἀκοῦσαι ὥστε... οὐ μή σου ἀπολειφθῶ I have conceived such a desire to hear that I shall not fall behind you P. Phae. 227 d.

#### ACCUMULATION OF NEGATIVES

**2760.** If in the same clause a *simple* negative ( $\omega$  or  $\mu\eta$ ) with a verb follows a negative, each of the two negatives keeps its own force if they belong to different words or expressions. If they belong to the same word or expression, they make an affirmative.

οὐ διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀκοντίζειν οὐκ ἔβαλον αὐτόν it was not because they did not throw that they did not hit him Ant. 3. δ. 6, οὕ τοι μὰ τὴν Δήμητρα δύναμαι μὴ γελᾶν by Demeter I am not able to help laughing Ar. Ran. 42, οὐδεὶς οὐκ ἔπασχέ τι no one was not suffering something (i.e. everybody suffered) X. S. 1. 9 (οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ = everybody is commonly used for οὐδεὶς οὐ), οὐδὲ τὸν Φορμίων ἐκεῖνος οὐχ ὁρᾶ nor does he not see Phormio (i.e. he sees him very well) D. 36. 46, οὐδὶ εἴ τις ἄλλος σοφός (ἐστιν) οὐ φιλοσοφεῖ nor if there is any other man who is wise, does he love wisdom P. S. 204 a, οὐδὲ γε ὁ ἰδία πονηρὸς οὐκ ᾶν γένοιτο δημοσία χρηστός nor can the man who is base in private prove himself noble in a public capacity Aes. 3. 78.

2761. If in the same clause one or more compound negatives follow a negative with the same verb, the compound negative simply confirms the first negative.

οὐδεὶς οὐδὲν πενία δράσει no one will do anything because of want Ar. Eccl. 605, μὴ θορυβήση μηδείς let no one raise an uproar D. 5.15, καὶ οὕτε ἐπέθετο οὐδεὶς οὐδαμόθεν οὕτε πρὸς τὴν γέφῦραν οὐδεὶς ἡλθε and neither did any one make an attack from any quarter nor did any one come to the bridge X. A. 2. 4. 23, τούτους φοβούμενοι μήποτε ἀσεβὲς μηδὲν μηδὲ ἀνόσιον μήτε ποιήσητε μήτε βουλεύσητε holding them (the gods) in fear never do or intend anything either impious or unholy X. C. 8. 7. 22. So οὐ . . . οὐδὲ ποη . . . ne . . quidem, οὐ μὴν οὐδὲ (2768). οὐδὲ πολλοῦ δεῖ, after a negative, means far from it. Cp. "no sonne, were he never so old of years, might not marry" (Ascham's Scholemaster), "We may not, nor will we not suffer this" (Marlowe).

a. In οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδέ the first negative belongs to the whole sentence, while the

second limits a particular part. Thus, οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδὲ τοῦτο ἐψεύσατο for he did not deceive me even in this X. C. 7. 2. 20 (cp. neque enim . . . ne . . quidem). Cp. E 22,  $\theta$  32. So οὐδὲ μὲν οὐδέ B 703,  $\kappa$  551.

**2762.** The negative of one clause is often repeated in the same or in another clause either for emphasis or because of lax structure.

ος οὐκ, ἐπειδὴ τῷδε ἐβούλευσας μόρον, δρᾶσαι τόδ' ἔργον οὐκ ἔτλης who did not, after you had planned his death, dare to do this deed A. Ag. 1634. The repetition is rhetorical when the negative is repeated directly, as οὐ σμικρός, οὕχ, ἀγὼν ὅδε not trifling, is this struggle, no in truth S. O. C. 587.

#### SOME NEGATIVE PHRASES

- 2763. μὴ ὅτι, οὐχ ὅπως, rarely οὐχ ὅτι and μὴ ὅπως, not to speak of, to say nothing of, not only, not only not, so far from (Lat. tantum aberat ut) are idiomatic phrases probably due to an (early, and later often unconscious) ellipsis of a verb of saying. Thus, οὐ λέγω (οτ οὐκ ἐρῶ) ὅπως, μὴ εἴπω (λέγε οτ εἴπῃς) ὅτι I do (will) not say that, let me not say that, do not say that. μὴ ὅτι, etc. are often used where these verbal forms cannot be supplied by reason of the form of the sentence.
- a. οὐχ ὅτι (οὐχ ὅπως, μὴ ὅτι) . . . ἀλλὰ (καί) not only . . . but (also). Thus, οὐχ ὅτι μόνος ὁ Κρίτων ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ ἢν, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ φίλοι αὐτοῦ not only was Crito in peace, but his friends also X. M. 2.9.8, οἷμαι ἄν μὴ ὅτι ἰδιώτην τινά, ἀλλὰ τὸν μέγαν βασιλέā εὐρεῖν κτλ. I think that not merely any private person but the Great King would find, etc. P. A. 40 d.
- b. οὐχ ὅπως (rarely οὐχ ὅτι) or μὴ ὅτι . . . ἀλλὰ (καί) is shown by the context to mean not only not (so far from) . . . but (also). Thus, οὐχ ὅπως χάριν αὐτοῖς ἔχεις, ἀλλὰ μισθώσᾶς σαυτὸν κατὰ τουτωνὶ πολῖτεύει not only are you not grateful to them, but you let yourself out for hire as a public man to their prejudice D.18. 131; μὴ ὅτι P. R. 581 e.
- c. οὐχ ὅπως (rarely οὐχ ὅτι) or μη ὅτι (μη ὅπως) . . . ἀλλ' οὐδέ (μηδέ) or ἀλλ'οὐ (μή) is shown by the context to mean not only not (so far from) . . . but not even. Thus, οὐχ ὅπως τῆς κοινῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς μετέχομεν, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ δουλείᾶς μετρίᾶς τυχεῖν ἡξιώθημεν not only do we not share in the general freedom, but we were not thought worthy of obtaining even a moderate servitude I. 14.5, νομίζει ἐαυτὸν μη ὅτι Πλαταιέᾶ εἶναι, ἀλλ' οὐδ' ἐλεύθερον he cónsiders himself not only not a Plataean but not even a free man L. 23. 12.
- N. When a negative precedes, the meaning may be not only . . . but not even; as  $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$  olklav . . . odderl ar  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  ott  $\pi \rho o$  ka dolns, add' odd' kattor  $\tau \dot{\eta}$ s at as  $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$  you would offer your house to no one not only gratis, but not even for a lower price than it is worth X. M. 1.6.11.
- d. μη ὅτι (less often οὐχ ὅπως) in the second of two balanced clauses, after an expressed or implied negative in the first clause, means much less (Lat. nedum); as οὐδὲ πλεῖν, μὴ ὅτι ἀναιρεῖσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας δυνατὸν ἢν it was not possible even to sail, much less to rescue the man (i.e. to say nothing of rescuing) X. H. 2.3. 35. The preceding negative may be contained in a question or be otherwise implicit. Thus, δοκεῖ σοι ῥάδιον εἶναι οὕτω ταχὺ μαθεῖν . . . ὁτιοῦν πρᾶγμα, μὴ ὅτι τοσοῦτον κτλ.; does it appear to you to be easy to learn so quickly any subject whatever, much less a subject of so great importance ? P. Crat. 427 e; cp. D. 54. 17.

The rare oux or in the second member means though (P. Pr. 336 d).

- e.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\dot{t}$   $\gamma\dot{\epsilon}$ , in the orators instead of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\delta\tau\iota$ , after a negative means much less, after a positive much more. Cp. D. 19. 137, 8.27.
- **2764.** οὐ μόνον . . . ἀλλὰ καί (negative ἀλλ' οὐδέ) not only . . . but also (Lat. non solum . . . sed etiam). καί may be omitted : usually when the ἀλλά clause either includes the first clause or is strongly contrasted with it. Thus, ὶμάτιον ἡμφίεσαι οὐ μόνον φαῦλον, ἀλλά τὸ αὐτὸ θέρους τε καὶ χειμῶνος you put on a cloak that is not merely wretched but is the same both summer and winter alike X. M. 1. 6.2; cp. D. 18. 26.
- 2765. ὅ τι μή, ὅσον μή except, unless. ὅ τι (sometimes written ὅτι) μή, and ὅσον μή, ὅσα μή are used, without any verb, to limit a preceding assertion (ep.  $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta}$  2346 a).
- οὐ γὰρ ἢν κρήνη, ὅ τι μὴ μία ἐν αὐτῆ τῆ ἀκροπόλει for there was no spring, except one on the acropolis itself T. 4. 26, πείθουσα δὲ ἐκ τούτων μὲν ἀναχωρεῖν, ὅσον μὴ ἀνάγκη αὐτοῖς χρῆσθαι philosophy persuading the soul to withdraw from them, except so far as she has to make use of them P. Ph. 83 a, τῆς γῆς ἐκράτουν ὅσα μὴ προϊόντες πολὺ ἐκ τῶν ὅπλων they were masters of the country, so far as they could be without advancing fur from their camp T. 1. 111 (ὅσα κρατεῖν ἐδύναντο).
- **2766. Lévon où** (lit. only not), **Soon où** (of time) almost, all but (Lat. tantum non). Thus, μόνον οὐ διεσπάσθην I was almost torn in pieces D. 5. 5, ἐνόμιζε . . . ὅσον οὐκ ήδη ἔχειν τὴν πόλιν he thought that he already was all but in possession of the city X. H. 6. 2. 16.
- 2767. οὐ μὴν ἀλλά, οὐ μέντοι ἀλλά nevertheless, notwithstanding, cp. Lat. uerum tamen; the colloquial οὐ γὰρ ἀλλά has about the force of nay, for indeed, cp. Lat. non enim . . . sed. These elliptical phrases require a verb or some other word to be supplied from the context or general run of the thought; but they often resist strict analysis since the contrasted idea is too vague to be supplied. Thus, ὁ ἴππος . . . μῖκροῦ κάκεῖνον ἐξετραχήλισεν · οὐ μὴν (ἐξετραχήλισεν) άλλὰ ἐπέμεινεν ὁ Κῦροs the horse was within a little of throwing him also over its head; (not that it did throw him however, but = ) nevertheless Cyrus kept his seat X. C. 1. 4. 8, άεὶ μèν οὖν οἴ θ' ἡμέτεροι πρόγονοι καὶ Λακεδαιμόνιοι φιλοτίμως πρὸς άλλήλους εἶχον, ού μην (scil. περί κακών) άλλά περί καλλίστων . . . έφιλονίκησαν while our ancestors and the Lacedaemonians were continually jealous of each other (not indeed about base objects but = ) nevertheless they were rivals about the noblest objects I. 4.85, καὶ γὰρ ἃν δόξειεν οὕτω γ' εἶναι ἄλογον· οὐ μέντοι (scil. ἄλογόν ἐστιν) ἀλλ' ἴσως ἔχει τινά λόγον and in fact put thus it would seem to be unreasonable; (it is not however unreasonable but = ) nevertheless perhaps it has some sense P. Ph. 62 b,  $\mu\eta$  $\sigma$ κῶπτ $\epsilon$  μ', ὧδ $\epsilon$ λφ', οὐ γὰρ ἀλλ' ἔχω κακῶς don't mock me, brother; nay, for really I am in a bad way Ar. Ran. 58 (lit. for it is not so but, i.e. it is not a case for mocking, but). In these phrases άλλά seems to show traces of its original force of otherwise (2775).
- **2768.** οὐ μὴν οὐδέ nor (pet) again, not however that corresponds to the positive οὐ μὴν (μέντοι) ἀλλά. Thus, οὐ μὴν οὐδὲ βαρβάρους εἴρηκε nor again has he spoken of barbarians T. 1. 3, οὐ μὰν οὐδὶ ᾿Αχιλεύς no, nor even Achilles B 703, οὐ μὴν οὐδὲ ἀναισθήτως αὐτοὺς κελεύω τοὺς . . . ξυμμάχους ἡμῶν ἐᾶν βλάπτειν not however that I bid you tamely perinit them to injure our allies T. 1. 82.

#### PARTICLES

**2769.** Under the head of particles are included sentence adverbs (1094) and conjunctions. Many sentence adverbs remained such, some sank to mere enclitics, others became pure conjunctions, while still others fluctuated in function, being now adverbial, now conjunctional, as καί even and and, οὐδέ not even and nor, γάρ in fact and for, πρίν sooner and until or before.

**2770.** Conjunctions are either coördinating or subordinating. The coördinating conjunctions with their several varieties are given in 2163. The subordinating conjunctions are

Causal: ὅτι, διότι, διόπερ, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὅτε, ὁπότε, ὡς (2240).

Comparative:  $\dot{\omega}_s$ ,  $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$ ,  $\kappa\alpha\theta\dot{\alpha}_{\pi\epsilon\rho}$ ,  $\ddot{\delta}_{\pi\omega s}$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ ,  $\ddot{\delta}_{\pi\eta}$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}_{\pi\epsilon\rho}$  (2463; cp. 2481).

Concessive: καὶ εἰ (κεἰ), καὶ ἐόν (κἄν), εἰ καί, ἐαν καί (2369).

Conditional:  $\epsilon i$ ,  $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\mathring{a}\nu$  (2283). Consecutive:  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\omega_s$  (2250).

Declarative: ὅτι, διότι, οὖνεκα, ὁθούνεκα, ὡς (2578).

Final: ἴνα, ὅπως, ὡς, μή, etc. (2193; cp. 2209, 2221). Local:  $\hat{ov}$ ,  $\delta\pi ov$ ,  $\hat{ov}$ ,  $\delta\pi oi$ ,  $\delta\pi oi$ ,  $\delta\nu \theta a$ ,  $\delta\theta \epsilon v$ ,  $\delta\pi \delta\theta \epsilon v$ ,  $\hat{v}$ ,  $\delta\pi \eta$ , etc (2498).

Temporal: ὅτε, ὁπότε, ἡνίκα, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὡς, μέχρι, ἔστε, ἔως, πρίν, etc. (2383).

Some conjunctions belong to more than one class.

- 2771. Greek has an extraordinary number of sentence adverbs (or particles in the narrow sense) having a logical or emotional (rhetorical) value. Either alone or in combination these sentence adverbs give a distinctness to the relations between ideas which is foreign to other languages, and often resist translation by separate words, which in English are frequently over emphatic and cumbersome in comparison to the light and delicate nature of the Greek originals (e.g.  $\delta \rho \alpha$ ,  $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\tau o \dot{t}$ ). The force of such words is frequently best rendered by pause, stress, or alterations of pitch. To catch the subtle and elusive meaning of these often apparently insignificant elements of speech challenges the utmost vigilance and skill of the student.
- **2772.** The particles show different degrees of independence as regards their position. Many are completely independent and may occupy any place in the sentence; some may occur only at the beginning (prepositive particles, as  $\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\alpha}\rho$ ); others find their place only after one or more words at the beginning (postpositive particles, as  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ ,  $\delta \acute{e}$ ); and some are attached closely to a preceding word or even form compounds with that word wherever it may occur  $(\gamma \epsilon, \tau \epsilon)$ .
- **2773.** Some verbal forms have virtually become particles, e.g.  $\delta \gamma \epsilon$  used with the second person plural,  $\delta \rho \hat{a}s$  used of several persons, parenthetic  $\delta l\mu a\iota$ ,  $\delta \hat{\eta}\lambda \delta \nu$ δτι, εδ ο̄δ, δτι, εδ ἴσθ, δτι (2585).
- **2774.** As regards their meaning, particles may be arranged in classes, e.g. adversative, affirmative, asseverative, concessive, confirmative, conjunctive, infer-

ential, intensive, interrogative, limitative, negative, etc. These classes cannot always be sharply distinguished: some particles fall under two or more classes. Many particles, which serve to set forth the logical relation between clauses, had originally only an intensive or confirmatory force that was confined to their own clause. The following sections deal only with the commoner uses of the most noteworthy particles.

#### άλλά.

- 2775.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ , a strongly adversative conjunction (stronger than  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ ), connects sentences and clauses, and corresponds pretty closely to but; at times  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  need not or cannot be translated (2781 b). In form (but with changed accent)  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  was originally the same word as the accusative neuter plural  $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  other things used adverbially = on the other hand.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  marks opposition, contrast, protest, difference, objection, or limitation; and is thus used both where one notion entirely excludes another and where two notions are not mutually exclusive.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$  is often freely repeated in successive clauses.
- a. After a question implying a negative answer or a question to be refuted dlld may have the force of (nay) rather, on the contrary. Thus,  $\tau l$  del  $\sigma e$  léral ...; dlld dllows  $\pi \epsilon \mu l$  or what's the need of your going? Nay rather send others X. A. 4. 6. 19. Here dll of  $(\mu \eta)$  has the force of and not rather (2781 b); as  $\tau i$  del  $\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon l \nu$  have  $\nu \tau c \nu \tau c$  or  $\nu c \nu \tau c$  or  $\nu c \nu c$  or  $\nu c$  or
- 2777. After a negative clause, or a question implying a negative answer,  $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$ , or more commonly the colloquial  $d\lambda\lambda$ ? η, may mean except, the combination being equivalent either to  $d\lambda\lambda\lambda$  or to η. In the preceding clause a form of  $d\lambda\lambda$  or  $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho$ 0s is often expressed. Thus,  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi a \iota \sigma \epsilon \ldots \nu \iota \nu$  οὖτις  $d\lambda\lambda$ ?  $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$  no one smote him except myself S. O. T. 1331, οὐδὲν ἐθέλοντες ἐπαινεῖν  $d\lambda\lambda$ ? η τὸν πλοῦτον wishing to praise nothing except wealth P. R. 330 c (here  $d\lambda\lambda$ ? η is detached from οὐδέν), τίνα  $d\lambda\lambda$ 0ν ἔχουσι λόγον βοηθοῦντες ἐμοὶ  $d\lambda\lambda$ 1 η τὸν ὀρθόν κτλ.; what other reason have they for supporting me except the true reason, etc.? P. A. 34 b.
- a. Distinguish the use of  $d\lambda\lambda^{\gamma}$   $\tilde{\eta}$  except  $(=\epsilon i \mu \hat{\eta})$  in  $\tau \delta$   $\gamma o \tilde{v} v \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \tilde{i} o v$   $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o v$   $\phi a t v \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ,  $d\lambda\lambda^{\gamma}$   $\tilde{\eta}$  ov  $\kappa a \theta o \rho \hat{\omega}$  the device at any rate appears different, unless I can't see Ar. Eq. 953.
- 2778. οὐδὲν ἀλλ' ἢ nothing but is also used elliptically, apparently by an original suppression of a form of  $\pi$ οιῶ or  $\gamma$ ίγνομαι; in effect, however, the phrase has acquired a purely adverbial sense (merely). Thus, διεφθάρμεθα . . . ὑπ' ἀνδρῶν οὐδὲν ἀλλ' ἢ φενᾶκίζειν δυναμένων we have been ruined by men who are able (to do) nothing except deceive (i.e. able merely to deceive) I. 8. 36.

- a. With the above use compare oider allo  $\mathring{\eta}$  nothing else than, used without, and with, ellipse; as of  $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\rho}\rho \rho oi$   $\mathring{\eta}$   $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\rho}\rho oi$   $\mathring{\epsilon}l\sigma \iota \mathring{\nu}$   $\mathring{\mu}\mathring{\nu}\rho \rho oi$  else  $\mathring{\epsilon}l\sigma \iota \mathring{\nu}$   $\mathring{\nu}$   $\mathring{$
- 2779. The origin of  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $\eta$  is disputed, some scholars regarding  $d\lambda\lambda'$  as  $d\lambda\lambda d$  (originally  $d\lambda\lambda\alpha$ , 2775), while others derive  $d\lambda\lambda'$  directly from  $d\lambda\lambda_0$ , which is thought to have lost its force and consequently its accent. In some passages the Mss. do not distinguish between  $d\lambda\lambda'$  and  $d\lambda\lambda'$ ; and  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $\eta$  and  $d\lambda\lambda'$   $\eta$  differ only slightly in meaning. In some of the above cases  $d\lambda\lambda'$  has an adjectival force, in some it hovers between an adjective and a conjunction, and in others it clearly has become a conjunction.
- 2780. After a comparative (μᾶλλον, τὸ πλέον) in a negative clause άλλά has the force of as. Thus, καὶ ἔστιν ὁ πόλεμος οὐχ ὅπλων τὸ πλέον ἀλλὰ δαπάνης and war is not so much (lit. more) a matter of arms as (but rather) of money T. 1. 83. Here the clause with ἀλλά is more emphatic than if ή had been used. Cp. "there needed no more but to advance one step": Steele.
- 2781. The Antecedent Statement is Affirmative. ἀλλά is sometimes found after an affirmative statement.
- a. The antecedent clause often has a concessive force, and frequently takes  $\mu \epsilon \nu (2900)$ . Thus,  $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{0} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha} s \, \bar{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon \, \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \, \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\omega} s \, \bar{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot \, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \, \tau \dot{\alpha} \, \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \alpha \, \lambda \bar{\nu} \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \, \mu \epsilon \, the part where we are seems to me to be well disposed, but the wings cause me uneasiness X. C. 7. 1. 16.$
- b.  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ ' où  $(\mu\dot{\eta})$  after an affirmative statement often has the force of and not, and not rather, instead of (sometimes with a touch of irony). Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$   $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ ' où  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon$   $\dot{\eta}\rho\pi\dot{\alpha}\sigma\theta\eta$  she was carried off from there and not (or simply not) from here P. Phae. 229 d,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ ol  $\dot{\delta}\rho\gamma \dot{\epsilon}\dot{\zeta}\rho\nu\tau a\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda$ ' où  $\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\tau ois$  they are angry with me instead of (or and not rather with) themselves P. A. 23 c. In such cases  $\kappa a\dot{\epsilon}$  où  $(\mu\dot{\eta})$  would not repudiate the opposition.
- 2782. ἀλλά in Apodosis. After a concession or a condition expressed or implied, the apodosis may be emphatically introduced by ἀλλά, ἀλλά. . . γε, ἀλλ' οῦν γε still, yet, at least. Thus, εἰ σῶμα δοῦλον, ἀλλ' ὁ νοῦς ἐλεύθερος if the body is enslaved, the mind at least is free A. fr. 854, εἰ δ' ἐν πᾶσι τούτοις ἡττώμεθα, ἀλλὰ τό γὲ τοι πῦρ κρεῖττον καρποῦ ἐστιν but if we should be baffled in all these points, still, as they say, fire is stronger than the fruit of the field X. A. 2. 5. 19. So also in clauses other than conditional; as ἀλλ' ἐπεὶ . . . πατέρα τόνδ' ἐμὸν οὐκ ἀνέτλᾶτ', . . . άλλ' ἐμὲ . . . οἰκτίρατε but since ye did not bear with my father, pity me at least S. O. C. 241.
- 2783. ἀλλά attached to Single Words. ἀλλά, attached to a single word in an adverbial sense, may stand in the interior of the sentence (not in Hom.). Thus, ἀλλὰ νῦν now at least, as in  $\tau l$  δῆτ' ἀν ἀλλὰ νῦν σ' ἔτ' ἀφελοῖμ' ἐγώ, how pray, can I serve thee even now? S. Ant. 552. So with γέ, as έὰν οῦν ἀλλὰ νῦν γ' ἔτι . . . ἐθελήσητε if therefore you still desire even now D.3.33 (and often in D.). Here ἀλλὰ νῦν implies εἰ μἡ πρότερον. ἀλλά sometimes apparently implies εἰ μή

τι άλλο or εl μη άλλοις, etc., as λέγ' άλλα τοῦτο say this at least (say but this) S. El. 415.

- 2784. ἀλλά opposing Whole Sentences. άλλά well, well but, nay but, however is often used, especially at the beginning of a speech, in opposition either to something said (or supposed to be meant) by another, or to a latent feeling in the mind of the writer or speaker himself. Thus, άλλὰ πρῶτον μὲν μνησθήσομαι... ὁ τελευταῖον κατ' ἐμοῦ εἶπε well, I will first allude to the charge against me which he mentioned last X. H. 2. 3. 35, ἀλλ' ἄφελε μὲν Κῦρος ζῆν · ἐπεὶ δὲ τετελεύτηκεν κτλ. well, I would that Cyrus were alive; but since he is dead, etc. X. A. 2. 1. 4. Often of remonstrance or protest, as ἀλλ' ἀμήχανον nay, it is impossible E. El. 529. ἀλλά is also especially common when a previous train of thought or remark is impatiently interrupted, as άλλὰ ταῦτα μὲν τί δεῖ λέγειν; but what is the need of recounting this? S. Ph. 11. Similarly in
- a. Replies (often in quick, abrupt, or decisive answers):  $\eta \rho \epsilon \tau \delta \sigma i \epsilon l \eta \tau \delta \sigma i \nu \epsilon l \eta \tau \delta \sigma i \tau \delta l \eta \tau \delta \sigma i \tau \delta l \eta \tau \delta \sigma i \tau \delta l \eta \delta l \eta$
- b. Assent, with an adversative sense implied (cp. oh, well):  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda^{\flat}$   $\epsilon l$   $\delta o\kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$ ,  $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$  well, if it pleases thee, let us be going S. Ph. 645.
- c. Appeals, exhortations, proposals, and commands:  $d\lambda\lambda'$  tweer but let us go P. Pr. 311 a,  $d\lambda\lambda'$  èmoi  $\pi elbov$  kal  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $d\lambda\lambda\omega_s$   $\pi$ olei nay, take my advice and don't refuse P. Cr. 45 a. The tone here is often impatient.
- d. Wishes and imprecations: άλλ' εὐτυχοίης well, my blessings on thee ! S. O. T. 1478.
- e. Questions, to mark surprise:  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \in \ell \pi a s$ ;  $d\lambda \lambda^{\circ} \hat{\eta} \kappa a \ell \sigma o \phi \hat{\delta} s \lambda \ell \lambda \eta \theta a s \tilde{\omega} \nu$ ; what dost thou mean? can it really be that thou art subtle too and without my knowing it? E. Alc. 58.
- **2785.** ἀλλά is often used when a speaker introduces a supposed objection (either in his own name or in that of his opponent), and immediately answers it; as άλλά  $\nu\eta$  τον  $\Delta$ (α ἐκεῖν' ἀν ἴσως εἴποι πρὸς ταῦτα κτλ. but, by Zeus, he might perhaps say in reply to this, etc. D.20.3. ἀλλά may here put the supposed objection and also give the answer. Thus, τί γὰρ καὶ βουλόμενοι μετεπέμπεσθ' ἀν αὐτοὺς ἐν τούτψ τῷ καιρῷ; ἐπὶ τὴν εἰρήνην; ἀλλ' ὑπῆρχεν ἄπᾱσιν· ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τὸν πόλεμον; ἀλλ' αὐτοὶ περὶ τῆς εἰρήνης ἐβουλεύεσθε for with what possible desire would you have been sending them at that juncture? With a view to peace? Why (but) peace was open to all. With a view to war? Why (but) you were yourselves deliberating about peace D.18.24. Cp. French mais introducing a reply to a question.
- a. So in rapid dialogue objections may take the form of questions, in which each  $å\lambda\lambda d$  after the first may be rendered by or. Cp. 2654.

2786. άλλά with other Particles. — For example:

άλλα γάρ 2816; on οὐ γαρ άλλα, see 2767.

άλλà . . . γε but at any rate.

άλλά γέ τοι (τοί γε) yet at least, yet be sure.

άλλὰ δή well then.

- άλλ' ή; why how? can it really be that? what, can it be true? Here άλλά marks surprise, while ή asks the question.
- άλλὰ μέντοι nay, but; well, however; yet truly. On οὐ μέντοι άλλά, see 2767.
- άλλὰ μήν nay, but; but then; but surely. Often to introduce an objection, to reject an alternative, often merely to introduce a new idea or to resume an interrupted thought. On οὐ μην ἀλλά, see 2767.
- άλλ' δμως but still. Often without a verb, to introduce the reply to an objection. άλλ' οὐδέ is sometimes used elliptically, as in ὑπὲρ... ὧν οὖτος ἀπήγγειλε πρὸς ὑμῶς ἀλλ' οὐδὲ μῖκρόν nay, there is not even ever so little (not only not a great deal but not even a little) concerning which he reported to you D. 19.37. ἀλλ' οὐδὲ μὲν δή is often used to reject an alternative.
- άλλ' οὖν (γε) but then, well then, well at any rate; stronger than δ' οὖν.

#### ἄρα

- 2787. ἄρα (Epic ἄρα and enclitic ἄρ before a consonant, ῥά usually after monosyllables; all postpositive), a connective, confirmatory, and inferential particle marking the immediate connection and succession of events and thoughts; the natural, direct, and expected consequence of a previous statement of the existing situation, or of the realization of experience of some sort; and agreement of various kinds, as between assertion and reality, cause and result, premise and conclusion, explanation and what was to be explained.
- a.  $\alpha\rho\alpha$  marks a consequence drawn from the connection of thought, and expresses impression or feeling; the stronger  $o\bar{v}\nu$  marks a consequence drawn from facts (a positive conclusion).
- **2788.** The etymology of  $\delta \rho a$ , and hence its original meaning, is obscure. Some derive it from the root  $\delta \rho$ , seen in  $\delta \rho a \rho l \sigma \kappa \omega$  fit, join,  $\delta \rho \tau \iota$  just; and thus regard the proper sense as fittingly, accordingly. Others think the earliest meaning was truly, for sooth and connect  $\delta \rho a$  with a lost adj.  $\delta \rho l s$ , surviving in  $\delta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma s$ ,  $\delta \rho l \tau \nu \omega \tau \sigma s$ . On this interpretation  $\delta \rho a$  would originally assert the truth of its own clause.  $\delta \rho a$  is found also in  $\delta \rho a$  and  $\gamma \delta \rho$ .
- **2789.** ἄρα is used in Homer much more freely than in Attic, and often so as to defy exact translation. In general ἄρα in Epic marks immediate connection and succession, a natural consequence of something already said or done; gives an explanation of an antecedent statement; or is used in recapitulations and transitions. Thus, αὐτὰρ ἐπεί β' ἤγερθεν . . . , βῆ β' τμεν εἰs ἀγορήν but when they were collected, then he started to go to the assembly β 9, δε ἔφαθ', οἱ δ' ἄρα πάντες ἀκὴν ἐγένοντο σιωπῆ thus he spake, and all accordingly became hushed in silence H 92, σῖτον δέ σφιν ἔνειμε Μεσαύλιος, ὅν ῥα συβώτης αὐτὸς ἐκτήσατο and Mesaulius distributed food to them, a slave whom (and this was the reason for his so doing) the swineherd had acquired ξ 449, ῶs ἄρ' ἐφώνησεν καὶ ἀπὸ ἔο τόξον ἔθηκεν thus then he spake and put the bow from him φ 163. So also in the later language; as ἐρωτήσης δὲ αὐτὸν τῆς μητρὸς . . . ἀπεκρίνατο ἄρα ὁ Κῦρος on his mother's questioning him Cyrus naturally replied X. C. 1. 3. 2.
  - 2790. In Attic, and in part also in Homer, άρα marks an inference (conse-

- **2791.** άρα is often used of direct logical conclusions in conducting an argument (especially in Plato); as  $\tau l$  οῦν περὶ ψῦχῆς λέγομεν; ὁρᾶτὸν ἢ ἀδρᾶτον εἶναι; οὐχ ὁρᾶτὸν. ἀιδὲς ἄρα; ναι. ὁμοιδτερον ἄρα ψῦχὴ σώματδς ἐστιν τῷ ἀιδεῖ, τὸ δὲ τῷ ὁρᾶτῷ what then do we say about the soul ? That it is visible or invisible? Not visible. Then it is invisible? Yes. Consequently soul has a closer resemblance to the invisible than the body, and the latter to the visible P. Ph. 79 b.
- **2792.** In the argument *ex contrario* set forth in clauses with  $\mu \epsilon r$  and  $\delta \epsilon$ ,  $\delta \rho \alpha$ , usually meaning *in sooth*, is commonly placed with the second clause (P. Ph. 80 d, R. 445 b), occasionally with the first (P. Cr. 46 d, L. 840 b), or with both (P. Ph. 97 a, R. 600 c).
- **2793.** In direct questions  $\delta\rho\alpha$  adds liveliness, while at the same time it marks connection or consequence. So  $\tau$  is  $\delta\rho\alpha$  who then?  $\pi\hat{\omega}$  is  $\delta\rho\alpha$  how then? In questions of anxiety  $\delta\rho\alpha$  marks increase of feeling. Thus,  $\tau$  i  $\mu$ '  $\delta\rho\alpha$   $\tau$  i  $\mu$ '  $\delta\lambda\epsilon$  kess; why then, why dost thou destroy me? S. Ant. 1285.
- **2794.** Kra occurs in questions in which the admissibility of one opinion is inferred from the rejection of another. Thus,  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \mu \omega_l$ ,  $\epsilon \phi \eta$ , &  $\Theta \epsilon \omega \delta \delta \tau \eta$ ,  $\epsilon \sigma \tau_l$  so  $\delta \gamma \rho \delta s$ ; our  $\epsilon \mu \omega_l \gamma$ ,  $\epsilon \phi \eta$ . All are older, have you an estate?' 'Not I indeed,' said she. 'But perhaps then you have a house that brings in an income?' X. M. 3. 11. 4. Such questions are often ironical (P. A. 25 a).
- **2795.**  $\delta \rho a$  is often used to indicate new perception, or surprise genuine or affected; as when the truth is just realized after a previous erroneous opinion and one finds oneself undeceived either agreeably or disagreeably. So, especially with the imperfect of  $\epsilon l \nu a \iota$ ,  $\delta \rho a$  means after all, it seems, why then, so then, sure enough. See 1902.
- 2796. Et apa, Eàv apa if really, if after all, if indeed, are commonly used of that which is improbable or undesirable; Et (Eàv)  $\mu\eta$  apa unless perhaps (nisi forte, nisi vero) is often ironical. Thus, et apa  $\gamma$ é $\gamma$ ovev às ovtol élegrov if indeed it did take place as they said D. 56. 28, kal  $\mu\eta$ v et kal  $\tau$ ov? Abqualois  $\pi$ ap $\dot{\gamma}$ vei,  $\dot{\gamma}$ v apa  $\dot{\gamma}$  if I must after all say this too 18. 317,  $\pi$ ollákis  $\tau$ ov. Abqualois  $\pi$ ap $\dot{\gamma}$ vei,  $\dot{\gamma}$ v apa  $\pi$ orè katà  $\dot{\gamma}$ v  $\dot{\gamma}$ is  $\dot{\gamma}$ aba  $\dot{\gamma}$ 0  $\dot{\gamma}$ 0  $\dot{\gamma}$ 1  $\dot{\gamma}$ 1  $\dot{\gamma}$ 2  $\dot{\gamma}$ 3  $\dot{\gamma}$ 4  $\dot{\gamma}$ 4  $\dot{\gamma}$ 4  $\dot{\gamma}$ 4  $\dot{\gamma}$ 4  $\dot{\gamma}$ 5  $\dot{\gamma}$ 6  $\dot{\gamma}$ 6  $\dot{\gamma}$ 6  $\dot{\gamma}$ 7  $\dot{\gamma}$ 6  $\dot{\gamma}$ 7  $\dot{\gamma}$ 8  $\dot{\gamma}$ 9  $\dot{$ 
  - **2797.**  $\epsilon l \left( \epsilon \dot{\bar{\alpha}} \nu \right) d\rho a$  is common after  $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{\omega}$ , etc. See 2672.

αὐτὸν ἐρεῖν ὡς ἄρ' ἐγὼ πάντων ὧν κατηγορῶ κοινωνὸς γέγονα I hear that he is going to say that I for sooth (or if you please) have been a partner in all that I denounced D. 19. 202.

2799. Attic has, in bimembral clauses, εἴτε ἄρα. . . εἴτε οτ εἴτε . . . εἴτε ἄρα, as εἴτ' ἀληθὲς εἴτ' ἄρ' οὖν μάτην whether truly or after all, it may be, falsely S. Ph. 345. Hom. has also a similar use with οὕτε . . . οὕτε, and  $\mathring{\eta}$  . . .  $\mathring{\eta}$ . Hom. has ἄρα . . . ἄρα (Ψ 887).

# ἆρα

**2800.**  $\mathring{a}\rho a$ , a confirmative particle from  $\mathring{\eta} + \mathring{a}\rho a$ , is used in lyric and dramatic poetry in the sense of  $\mathring{a}\rho a$  is postpositive, except in New Comedy.

σὸν ἆρα τοὕργον, οὐκ ἐμὸν κεκλήσεται it shall then be called thy work, not mine S. Aj. 1368. Often with τίs, as τίs ἆρ' ἐμοῦ γένοιτ' ἄν ἄθλιώτερος; who then could be more wretched than I am? Trag. fr. 280. On interrogative ἆρα, see 2650, 2651. Epic ἡ ἡa is both confirmatory and interrogative.

## ἀτάρ

2801. ἀτάρ (prepositive; Hom. also a v τάρ from a v τε + ἄρ) usually poetical, but found in Xenophon and Plato, is an adversative conjunction commonly used to introduce a strong or surprising contrast (but, but yet, however); sometimes to introduce a slight contrast (and, and then), but one stronger than that marked by δέ. ἀτάρ is common as a correlative to μέν. It is often found in lively questions to introduce an objection; in rapid transitions; and sometimes it serves to introduce the apodosis of a conditional sentence. ἀτάρ was largely displaced by the stronger ἀλλά.

#### ດນີ

**2802.**  $\alpha \hat{v}$  (postpositive), an adversative particle meaning on the other hand, on the contrary (properly again). In Hom. it serves as a correlative to  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  or  $\mathring{\eta} \tau o\iota$ , and to introduce the apodosis of conditional or relative clauses.

að is often used with personal pronouns, as άλλὰ σὸ αδ . . . λέγε but do you in turn tell us X.S.3.5; and is often added to δέ, as of Ελληνες ἐπῆσαν . . . οἱ δὶ αδ βάρβαροι οὸκ ἐδέχοντο the Greeks came on, but the barbarians on their part did not wait to receive them X.A.1. 10.11. Connected in meaning are the derivatives αδτε (poetic) and αδθις.

## γάρ

**2803.**  $\gamma 4\rho$  (postpositive) in fact, indeed, and for, a confirmatory adverb and a causal conjunction. As a conjunction,  $\gamma 4\rho$  usually stands after the first word in its clause; as an adverb, its position is

- freer.  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$  is especially common in sentences which offer a reason for, or an explanation of, a preceding or following statement. It may be used in successive clauses.
- a.  $\gamma \delta \rho$  is from  $\gamma \delta + \delta \rho$  (=  $\delta \rho \alpha$ ),  $\gamma \delta$  originally giving prominence either to the word it followed or to the whole clause, while  $\delta \rho \alpha$  marked this prominence as due to something previously expressed or latent in the context. The compound  $\gamma \delta \rho$  originally emphasized a thought either as the result of existing circumstances or as a patent and well known fact. In most uses of the word, however, the force of its component parts cannot be distinguished; nor is it clear in many cases whether  $\gamma \delta \rho$  is a conjunction or an adverb marking assurance.
- **2804.** Adverbial  $\gamma \delta \rho$  appears in questions, answers, and wishes; and in many other cases where recourse is had to conscious or unconscious ellipse by those scholars who hold that  $\gamma \delta \rho$  is always a conjunction. Ellipse is sometimes natural and easy, but often clumsy and artificial. Though we find in parallel use both incomplete and complete clauses with  $\gamma \delta \rho$ , it is improbable that the Greeks were conscious of the need of any supplement to explain the thought. In many uses  $\gamma \delta \rho$  has become formulaic, serving only to show the natural agreement with the existing situation.
- **2805.** In questions,  $\gamma d\rho$  asks for confirmation of a preceding statement, or expresses assent or dissent; asks whether an act before mentioned was not reasonable; asks a question prompted by some form of emotion; and serves to indicate transition, etc.
- a. In questions γάρ often marks surprise or indignation, and may frequently be translated by what, why, then, really, surely. Thus, ταυτὶ λέγεις σὸ στρατηγὸν πτωχὸς ἄν; έγὼ γάρ εἰμι πτωχὸς; do you, beggar that you are, address your general thus? what! I a beggar? Ar. Ach. 593, ἢ ζῷ γὰρ ἀνήρ; is the man really alive? S. El. 1221, οἴει γάρ σοι μαχεῖσθαι . . . τὸν ἀδελφόν; do you really think that your brother is going to fight? X. A. 1. 7. 9. So τίς γάρ; who then, why who?
- b. Brief interrogative formulae asking for confirmation of a preceding statement are:
- τί γάρ; what then, how then, how else?  $\tau$ ί γάρ also serves as a formula of transition (now, well then, now what . . . , furthermore).
- η̃ γάρ; is it not so? surely this is so? (cp. n'est ce pas). Often of surprise.

  οὐ γάρ; is it not so? often in indignant questions; when not standing alone,

  why not?
- πῶς γάρ; πόθεν γάρ; imply that something is impossible (often of surprise). Cp. πῶς γὰρ οὄ; in negative rhetorical questions.
- **2806.** In answers γάρ marks assent, assurance, sometimes dissent. Thus, δεινόν γε τοὐπίσαγμα τοῦ νοσήματος. δεινόν γὰρ οὐδὲ ῥητόν dread indeed is the burden of the disease. Aye dread indeed and beyond all words S. Ph. 755, ὁμολογεῖς οῦν περὶ ἐμὲ ἄδικος γεγενῆσθαι; ἢ γὰρ ἀνάγκη do you then confess that you have proved yourself unjust toward me ? In truth I must indeed X. A. 1. 6. 8, μηδ΄ αὶ μητέρες τὰ παιδία ἐκδειματούντων . . . μὴ γάρ, ἔφη nor let mothers frighten their children. No indeed, said he P. R. 381 e, φῃς τάδ΄ οὖν; ἃ μὴ φρονῶ γὰρ οὐ φιλῶ λέγειν dost thou then consent to this? No, for I am not wont to utter words I do not mean S. O. T. 1520.

- a. γάρ is common in brief answers, as after οὐ, δεῖ, ἔοικε, εἰκὸς, λέγω, ὡμολόγη-ται. So in the rhetorical questions πῶς γάρ: πῶς γὰρ οὕ: used as answers.
- **2807.** In wishes:  $\epsilon i \gamma \lambda \rho \dots \epsilon \nu \tau \cot \psi \epsilon i \eta$  would that it depended on that P. Pr. 310 d,  $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s \gamma \lambda \rho \epsilon \xi \delta \lambda \cos \phi h$  that you might perish wretchedly E. Cyc. 261. Here  $\gamma \delta \rho$  marks the agreement of the wish with the existing situation.
- 2808. Explanatory (or prefatory) γάρ has the force of now, namely, that is, for example; but usually is not to be translated, and especially when the preceding sentence contains a verb of saying, showing, etc. It usually introduces, as an explanation, the details of that which was promised in an incomplete or general statement; sometimes, without any such statement, it introduces a new fact. Whether this  $\gamma 4\rho$  is an adverb or a conjunction is uncertain. Thus,  $\delta \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$  rolvuv μοι χαριέστερον εἶναι μῦθον ὑμῖν λέγειν. ἢν γάρ ποτε  $\kappa \tau \lambda$ . I think it will be more interesting to tell you a myth. Once upon a time there was, etc. P.Pr. 320 c, οὖτω γὰρ σκοπεῖτε look at it in this light L. 19.34 (at the beginning of a new point in the discussion).
- **2809.** Explanatory  $\gamma d\rho$  often introduces a clause in apposition to a preceding demonstrative, to such expressions as τεκμήριον δέ or μαρτύριον δέ now the proof is this, δήλον δέ (έστιν) it is clear, τὸ δὲ μέγιστον but, what is of the greatest importance, or to relative clauses (995). Thus, ώς δ' έτι μαλλον θαρρής, και τόδε κατανόησον · οι μέν γάρ (explaining τόδε) πολέμιοι πολύ μέν έλάττονές είσι νῦν ἢ πρίν ἡττηθηναι ὑφ' ἡμῶν and that you may be still more encouraged, consider this fact The enemy (namely) are much fewer now than they were before they were beaten by us X.  $\hat{C}$ . 5. 2. 36, εννοήσωμεν δε και τηδε, ώς πολλη έλπις εστιν άγαθον αὐτὸ εἶναι. δυοῖν γὰρ θάτερόν ἐστιν τὸ τεθνάναι κτλ. let us consider the matter also in this way and we shall see that there is abundant reason to hope that it is a good: now death must be one of two things, etc. P. A. 40 c, μαρτύριον δέ· Δήλου γάρ καθαιρομένης κτλ. and this is a proof of it: now when Delos was being purified, etc. T. 1. 8, δ δε πάντων σχετλιώτατον · ους γάρ δμολογήσαιμεν αν πονηροτάτους είναι των πολιτων, τούτους πιστοτάτους φύλακας ήγούμεθα της πολιτείας είναι but the most abominable of all is this: we consider the most trustworthy guardians of the State to be those men whom we should agree were the worst citizens I. 8. 53.
- 2810. Causal γάρ is a conjunction: for (nam, enim). It serves to introduce a cause of, or a reason for, an action before mentioned; to justify a preceding utterance; to confirm the truth of a previous statement. Causal γάρ often refers to a thought implied in what has preceded. Thus, λεκτέα ἃ γιγνώσκω· ἔμπειρος γάρ (causal) εἰμι καὶ τῆς χώρᾶς τῶν Παφλαγόνων καὶ τῆς δυνάμεως. ἔχει γὰρ (explanatory) ἀμφότερα, καὶ πεδία κάλλιστα καὶ δρη ὑψηλότατα I must tell what I know, for I am acquainted with the country of the Paphlagonians and its resources; now the country has very fertile plains and very lofty mountains X. A. 5. 6. 6, loś, δύστηνε· τοῦτο γάρ σ' ἔχω μόνον προσειπεῖν alas, ill-fated one! for by this name alone can I address thee S.O. T. 1071, ἐπιστευόμην δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων· οὐ γάρ ἄν με ἔπεμπον πάλιν πρὸς ὑμᾶς but I was trusted by the Lacedaemonians; for (otherwise, i.e. εἰ μὴ ἐπίστευον) they would not have sent me back to you P. A. 30 c.
- 2811. Anticipatory γάρ states the cause, justifies the utterance, or gives the explanation, of something set forth in the main clause which follows. The main clause usually contains an inferential word, a demonstrative pointing backward,

- a. In this construction  $\gamma d\rho$  may be an adverb, not a conjunction. Cases of explanatory  $\gamma d\rho$  (2808) and of parenthetical  $\gamma d\rho$  (2812), especially after vocatives, may fall under 2811.
- **2812.** The clause with  $\gamma$ άρ since is often inserted parenthetically in the clause which it is intended to explain; as ὁ δὲ (κρίνουσι γὰρ βοῆ καὶ οὐ ψήφφ) οὐκ ἔφη διαγιγνώσκειν τὴν βοὴν ποτέρā μείζων but, since they decide by shouts and not by ballot, he said he could not decide which side shouted the louder T. 1.87.
- **2813.** καl γάρ has in general two distinct meanings according as γάρ is an adverb or a conjunction. As καl γάρ has become a formula, it is often uncertain which of the two words is the adverb, which the conjunction.
- 2814. (I) και γάρ and in fact, and indeed, και being a conjunction, and γάρ an adverb. Here the clause in which και γάρ stands is added as a new and important thought; where γάρ alone would state the reason or the explanation with less independence and with slighter emphasis. The negative is οὐδὲ γάρ. Thus Κῦρος δ' ὁρῶν τοὺς Ελληνας νῖκῶντας τὸ καθ' αὐτοὺς . . . ἐπεμελεῖτο ὅ τι ποιήσει βασιλεύς. και γὰρ ἤδει αὐτὸν ὅτι μέσον ἔχοι τοῦ Περσικοῦ στρατεύματος on seeing the Greeks victorious over the troops opposed to them, Cyrus watched to see what the king would do; and in fact he knew that he commanded the centre of the Persian force X. A. 1. 8. 21 (cp. 1. 1. 6, 2. 5. 5, 2. 6. 2). So often in affirmative responses: ἢ οὐκ ἀγαπήσεις τούτων τυγχάνων; ἐγὼ μὲν γὰρ ἄν ἀγαπώην. καὶ γὰρ ἐγώ, ἔφη or will you not be content if you obtain this? For my part I shall be. And so shall I, he said P. R. 473 b.
- a. καὶ γὰρ καί and even is καὶ γάρ and in fact reënforced by καί. Thus, καὶ γὰρ καὶ άδεια ἐφαίνετο αὐτοῖς and in fact it looked to them as if there was perfect safety in so doing T. 4. 108. The negative is οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδέ (2938).
- **2815.** (II) καὶ γάρ for even, for also. Here καί is an adverb affecting a single word, several words, or the whole sentence, and γάρ is a conjunction. The negative is οὐδὲ γάρ. Thus, καὶ γὰρ οὖτοι for these too P. A. 22 c, καὶ γὰρ ἡδικημένοι σῖγησόμεσθα for even wronged as I am I'll keep silent E. Med. 314, καὶ γὰρ μόνος ἡγοῖτ' ἄν δύνασθαι πείθειν for, though quite unaided, he would think that he was able to persuade X. M. 1. 2. 11.
- a. καὶ γὰρ... καὶ for both... and: here καὶ is correlated with a second καὶ; as καὶ γὰρ ὑγιαίνουσιν οἱ τὰ σώματα εὖ ἔχοντες καὶ ἰσχύουσι for those who keep their bodies in good condition are both healthy and strong X. M. 3.12.4.

- 2816. ἀλλὰ γάρ occurs both in conjunction and separated by one or several words, which are generally emphatic.
- 2817. First Form (often but since, since however): here there are two predicates. In prose separation is the rule. Thus,  $d\lambda\lambda'$ , où γὰρ ἔπειθε, διδοῦ τὸ φᾶρος but since he could not persuade her, he gave her the mantle Hdt. 9.109,  $d\lambda\lambda'$  lσως γὰρ καὶ  $d\lambda\lambda$ οι ταὐτὰ ἐνθῦμοῦνται, . . . μὴ ἀναμένωμεν ἄλλους ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ἐλθεῖν κτλ. since however others too perhaps entertain the same opinion, let us not wait for others to come to us, etc. X. A. 3.1.24. In poetry the words are generally not separated. Thus, ἀλλὰ γὰρ Κρέοντα λεύσσω τόνδε . . . πρὸς δόμους στείχοντα, παύσω τοὺς . . . γόους since however I see Creon yonder coming to the palace, I will cease my lamentations E. Phoen. 1307. Here the clause coördinated by the conjunction γάρ is parenthetical and gives, by anticipation, the reason for the  $d\lambda\lambda$ ά clause. Cp.  $d\lambda\lambda'$  ἐπεί ε 137, and Shakesp. Sonnet 54 : '' but, for their virtue only is their show, They live unwoo'd.''—The first form is found chiefly in Homer, Pindar, Herodotus, and in the drama.
- **2818.** Second Form (usually but indeed, but in fact, but the truth is, but be that as it may). Here there is a single predicate. Thus, καὶ οὐχ ώς ἀτῖμάζων λέγω . . . ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἐμοὶ τούτων . . . οὐδὲν μέτεστι and I do not speak in disparagement; but the truth is I have nothing to do with these matters P. A. 19 c, ἀλλὰ γιγνώσκω γὰρ . . . ὅτι κτλ. but indeed I know that, etc. X. C. 2. 1.13, ἀλλὶ εἰσορῶ γὰρ τόνδε . . . Πυλάδην δρόμω στείχοντα but indeed I see Pylades yonder coming at full speed E. Or. 725, ἀλλὶ οὐ γὰρ ἄστι τάμφανῆ κρύπτειν but indeed it is impossible to hide what lies open S. O. C. 755.
- a. In this use  $\gamma d\rho$  may have preserved, or regained, its primitive adverbial (confirmatory) force. Many scholars, however, claim that there was a conscious or unconscious ellipse, after  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$ , of an idea pertinent to the situation; and thus regard this form as logically equivalent to the form in which  $\gamma d\rho$  is a causal conjunction. In actual use  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\alpha}$   $\gamma d\rho$  was clearly a formula used without any consciousness of an omitted idea.
- **2819.** ἀλλὰ γάρ has a great variety of uses, most of which may be classed as follows :
- a. In statements of direct opposition:  $\kappa al \tau a \hat{v} \tau \acute{a} \sigma \epsilon \pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{v} \delta \epsilon \acute{l} \lambda \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \acute{e} \tau a, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$   $\gamma \grave{a} \rho o \hat{l} \mu a \iota \delta \acute{a} \rho \tau \iota o \dot{k} \epsilon \acute{\phi} \eta \sigma \theta a \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{l} \nu$ ,  $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o \iota o \iota \hat{c} \hat{s}$  and you are far from forgetting this, but in fact I think you are doing that which you just denied you were doing P. Charm. 166 c.
- N. This use is post-Homeric, rare in the drama, common in the orators and Plato. It is especially frequent in putting and setting aside an objection supposed to be raised by an opponent (hypophora). Cp. b.
- b. In real and assumed objections (cp. at enim): καὶ ἀληθῆ γε ἔλεγον, & Σώκρατες. ἴσως. ἀλλὰ γάρ, & Εὐθύφρων, καὶ ἀλλὰ πολλὰ φὴς εἶναι. ὅσια yes, and I said what was true, Socrates. Perhaps, but in fact, Euthyphron, you say that many other things too are holy P. Euth. 6 d, ἀλλὰ γάρ, φήσει τις, οὐ ῥάδιον ἀεὶ λανθάνειν κακὸν ὅντα yes, but some one will say that it is not easy always to conceal the fact that one is wicked P. R. 365 c.
- c. In transitions. (1) At the close of the discussion of an argument, where the force of  $d\lambda\lambda d$  is like that of and yet or emphatic but. Thus,  $d\lambda\lambda\lambda \gamma d\rho$ , d

βουλή, ταῦτα μèν ἐνθάδε οὐκ οἶδ' ὅ τι δεῖ λέγειν but, Senators, I do not know why I should discuss these matters here L. 7. 42, ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἥδη ὥρā ἀπιέναι but it is already time to depart P. A. 42 a.

- (2) To restrain the expression of emotion; as  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\ddot{a}va\xi \gamma\dot{a}\rho \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{b}s$ ,  $\sigma\bar{i}\gamma\hat{\omega}$  but no, I am silent for he is my king E. El. 1245.
  - (3) When the approach of a new actor is announced. Cp. 2817, 2818.

2820. Other Combinations. — γάρ ἄρα for sure enough.

γὰρ δή for of course, for indeed, for you must know, as φαμὲν γὰρ δή for of course we say so.

γαρ δή που for I presume, for doubtless.

γὰρ οὖν often of frank assent, as οὐ γὰρ οὖν certainly not, λέγω γὰρ οὖν certainly, I do say so; less often to explain (for certainly); καὶ γὰρ οὖν (not very common) is stronger than καὶ γάρ.

γάρ που for I suppose.

γάρ τοι for surely, for mark you; sometimes καὶ γάρ τοι.

## γé

- **2821.**  $\gamma \epsilon$  (postpositive and enclitic) is an intensive and restrictive particle with the force of at least, at any rate, even, certainly, indeed; but often to be rendered by intonation.  $\gamma \epsilon$  may indicate assent, concession, banter, scorn, deprecation, irony, etc.  $\gamma \epsilon$  emphasizes single words or whole phrases or clauses.
- a. Single words. So often with pronouns, as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\gamma\omega\gamma\epsilon$  I at least (excluding others),  $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon$  cp. mi-ch,  $\tilde{\delta}$   $\gamma\epsilon$  even he (Hom.),  $o\delta\tau\delta$ s  $\gamma\epsilon$ , and with a repeated pronoun (S. Ph. 117). Other words, as  $\tilde{\delta}$   $\tau\iota$   $\beta o\delta\lambda\epsilon\iota$   $\gamma\epsilon$  whatever you like Ar. Ran. 3,  $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\iota$   $\gamma\epsilon$   $o\delta\chi$   $\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\rho\beta\alpha\lambdaol\mu\epsilon\theta$   $\dot{\alpha}\nu$   $\tau o\delta$ s  $\pi o\lambda\epsilon\mu lovs$  in numbers at least we should not surpass the enemy X. C. 2. 1.8.

b. With phrases or clauses. Thus,  $\dot{\omega}_s \mu \dot{\eta} \mu^* \, \ddot{\alpha} \bar{\iota} \bar{\iota} \rho \nu_r \, \tau o \hat{\nu} \, \theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu} \, \gamma \epsilon \, \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu_r \, o \ddot{\nu} \tau \omega s$   $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \, \mu \epsilon \, that \, he \, may \, not \, thus \, send \, me \, away \, in \, dishonour — who \, am \, the \, suppliant \, of \, the \, god \, S. O. C. 1278, \, \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \upsilon_r \, \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\nu} \upsilon \sigma \theta \upsilon_r \, \ddot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \dot{\nu} \, \dot{\nu} \dot{\nu} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \, ye \, \text{who } \, punish \, men \, who \, swear \, falsely \, \Gamma \, 279.$ 

- **2822.** γέ may be used twice in the same sentence. Thus, ἐπεί γ' ἀρκοῦνθ' ἰκανὰ τοῖς γε σώφροσιν since indeed that which suffices their wants is enough for the wise E. Phoen. 545. Cp. Hdt. 1.187, Ar. Vesp. 1507.
- **2823.** γέ stands between article and noun, as οἴ γ' ἄνθρωποι (after a preposition, as ἔν γε τῷ φανερῷ); between noun and adjective, or after the adjective, as ἀνήρ γε σοφός, or ἀνήρ σοφός γε; after a possessive pronoun, as έμός γε θῦμός; after μέν, δέ, τέ, as ὅτι δέ γε ἀληθῆ λέγω. When γέ influences a whole clause it stands as near as possible to the introductory conjunction; as εἴ γε, ἆρά γε.
- **2824.** γέ in contrasts and alternatives; as σὐ δ' οὐ λέγεις γε (αἰσχρά), δρậς δέ με thou dost not indeed say, but do shameful things to me E. And. 239, ἥτοι κρύφα γε ἢ φανερῶς either secretly or openly T.6. 34, ἢ σοφοὶ ἢ τίμιοι ἢ γέροντές γε or wise or held in honour aye or old P. Hipp. M. 301 a (here γέ indicates a change in an alternative series; cp. οὕτε... οὕτε... οὕτε... οὐδέ γε and καὶ... γε 2829).

- **2825.** γέ in replies and comments (yes, well). Thus, δοκεῖ παρεικαθεῖν; ὅσον γ', ἄναξ, τάχιστα does it seem best to you that I should give way? Aye, my lord, and with all speed S. Ant. 1102. Here και . . . γε is common, as και οὐδέν γε άτόπως yes, and no wonder P. Th. 142 b.
- **2826.** Ös  $\gamma\epsilon$  (rarely östis  $\gamma\epsilon$ ) has a causal force, much like qui quidem, quippe qui. Thus, štopa légis . . . . Ös  $\gamma\epsilon$  keleúeis ê  $\mu$ è reútefor örta kaby $\gamma\epsilon$ îs du you are talking absurdly in bidding me who am the younger take precedence X. M. 2. 3. 15. So with other relatives, as olos, ösos,  $\delta$ sos,  $\delta$ sofe.
- **2827.**  $\gamma\epsilon$  sometimes marks an ellipse (S. Ph. 1409). When the verb of the apodosis is omitted, the protasis often has  $\gamma\epsilon$  (so usually in Aristophanes, e.g. Nub. 267).
- **2828.** When  $\gamma \epsilon$  is followed by other particles, it belongs with the emphasized word, and the other particles retain their original force; as  $\tau o \dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$  and the other particles retain their original force; as  $\tau o \dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$  and  $\dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} s \gamma \epsilon \dot{\nu} s \gamma \delta$ 
  - 2829. After other Particles. For example:
- δέ γε: here γέ usually does not emphasize δέ but either a single word or the whole clause; as  $\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{u}\nu$  δέ γε οἶμαι πάντα ποιητέα but we at least, in my opinion, should adopt every means X. A. 3. 1. 35. δὲ... γε is often used when two things are compared, in order to show that one is more important than the other.
- καὶ... γε sometimes means yes, and and sometimes γέ emphasizes the intervening word. Thus, κοὐδέν γε θαθμα yes, and no wonder S.O. T. 1132, καὶ στίβου γε οὐδεὶς κτύπος and of footsteps there is no sound S.Ph. 29. καὶ... γε often emphasizes one item in a series, and especially the last item. Here καὶ... γέ προς (καὶ πρός γε) and besides is common. Cp. P. G. 450 d, 469 b.

μέν γε lends force to a contrast (P. S. 180 d); sometimes it has the force of that is to say, for example (T. 6. 86).

Frequent combinations are ἀλλ' οὖν . . . γε, μέντοι . . . γε, μὴν . . . . γε, οὐκοῦν . . . . γε.

## γοῦν

**2830.** Your (postpositive; first in Aeschylus) is a restrictive particle from  $\gamma \epsilon + \delta v$ . Its meaning varies according to the prominence of the  $\gamma \epsilon$  or  $\delta v$ ; often certainly, at any rate (at all events, at least). Your commonly confirms a previous general assertion by giving a special instance of its truth (the special instance may be a seeming exception). Your is thus used in bringing forward a reason, which, while not absolutely conclusive, is the most probable explanation of a previous statement.

ἔτι γὰρ οὖτοι κακίονές εἰσι τῶν ὑφ' ἡμῶν ἡττημένων · ἔφευγον γοῦν πρὸς ἐκείνους καταλιπόντες ἡμᾶς for they are even more cowardly than those who were beaten by us. At any rate they deserted us and sought refuge with them X. A. 3.2.17.

- **2831.** γοῦν may emphasize a pronoun ; as πρὸς γοῦν <br/>  $\epsilon\mu$ οῦ S. Aj. 527, τὰ γοῦν σά S. El. 1499.
- **2832.** In answers  $\gamma o \hat{v} \nu$  means well, at least; yes certainly; as  $\epsilon i \kappa \delta s \gamma o \hat{v} \nu$  X. C. 5. 3. 14.
- **2833.**  $\gamma o \hat{v} \nu$  finds the proof of an assertion in *one* of several possible facts or occurrences;  $\gamma d \rho$  gives the reason in general, but gives no particular instance;  $\delta' o \hat{v} \nu$  has an adversative force: 'be that as it may, yet at any rate.'

#### δé

- **2834.** Sé (postpositive) was originally an adverb with a force not unlike that of on the other hand, on the contrary; later it became a conjunction commonly represented by but or and, which are, however, mere makeshifts of translation. Sé serves to mark that something is different from what precedes, but only to offset it, not to exclude or contradict it; it denotes only a slight contrast, and is therefore weaker than  $\lambda\lambda\lambda$ á, but stronger than  $\kappa\alpha$ í. Sé is adversative and copulative; but the two uses are not always clearly to be distinguished.
- **2835.** Adversative δέ often marks a silent contrast, as at the beginning of speeches (έγω δὲ οὕτω γιγνώσκω Χ. Α. 4. 6. 10); in questions which imply opposition to something just said (S. O. C. 57); in answers (S. O. T. 379); in objections or corrections (S. Ant. 517); in τὸ δέ, τὰ δέ on the contrary, whereas really, where a true opinion is opposed to a false one; similarly in νῦν δέ but in fact, but as the case stands. When δέ is balanced by μέν (2904) it is antithetical rather than adversative.
- à. δέ after a pronoun following a vocative produces a pause; as  $N\iota \delta \beta \bar{a}$  σέ δ' ἔγωγε νέμω θεόν ah Niobe, thee I regard as divine S. El. 150.
- b. δέ instead of ἀλλά is rare except in the poets and Thucydides. Thus, προμηνόσης γε τοῦτο μηδενὶ τοῦργον, κρυφῆ δὲ κεῦθε make known this plan to no one, but hide it in secret S. Aut. 85, οὐκ ἐπὶ κακῷ, ἐπ' ἐλευθερώσει δὲ τῶν Ἑλλήνων παρελήλυθα I have come, not to harm, but to liberate, the Greeks T. 4. 86. Sometimes οὐ μέν precedes when δέ is used like ἀλλά (T. 1. 50).
- c. But not is  $d\lambda\lambda'$  of or of  $\mu\ell\nu\tau\sigma$ , not of  $\delta\epsilon$ , in order to avoid confusion with of  $\delta\epsilon$  nor, not even. But of and  $\delta\epsilon$  may be separated, as of  $\delta\omega\lambda\sigma$   $\delta\epsilon$  . . .  $\delta\omega\lambda\sigma$  but since they did not wish to surrender X. H. 1.6. 13.
- **2836.** Copulative  $\delta\epsilon$  marks transition, and is the ordinary particle used in connecting successive clauses or sentences which add something new or different, but not opposed, to what precedes, and are not joined by other particles. such as  $\gamma d\rho$  or  $o\delta \nu$ .

 when  $\delta \epsilon$  has a force like that of  $\gamma \delta \rho$  (X.C. 6.3.16); and in  $\kappa al...\delta \epsilon$  and also (Epic  $\kappa al \delta \epsilon$ ), 2891.

- 2837. Apodotic δέ. The beginning of the principal clause (apodosis) of conditional and concessive sentences is often marked by  $\delta \epsilon$ . Apodotic  $\delta \epsilon$  is found also in the principal clause of causal, temporal, comparative, and relative sentences; and regularly gives greater emphasis to the main clause, which is thus distinctly set off against the subordinate clause. Apodotic  $\delta \epsilon$  is very common in Homer and Herodotus, not rare in Attic poetry, but infrequent in Attic prose, where it is used especially after an emphatic personal or demonstrative pronoun or when a participle represents the antecedent clause. Thus, είος ὁ ταῦθ' ὤρμαινε . . . , ħλθε δ' 'Αθήνη while he was revolving these things, then came Athene A 193, el οθν έγω μη γιγνώσκω μήτε τὰ όσια μήτε τὰ δίκαια, ὑμεῖς δὲ διδάξετέ με accordingly if I have no knowledge either of what is holy or what is just, do you then instruct me X. H. 4. 1. 33, έπεὶ τοίνυν οὐ δύναμαί σε πείθειν μη έκθειναι, σὐ δὲ ώδε molygov since therefore I am not able to persuade you not to expose it, do you then do as follows Hdt. 1.112, εκάθευδον . . . ώσπερ οι οπλίται ουτω δε και οι πελτασταί as the hoplites so also the peltasts sleep X. C. 8. 5. 12, ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀφικόμενοι μάχη ἐκράτησαν . . . , φαίνονται δ' οὐδ' ἐνταῦθα πάση τῆ δυνάμει χρησάμενοι but when on their arrival they had conquered in battle, not even then did they appear to have made use of their entire force T. 1. 11, καί ποτε όντος πάγου . . . οῦτος δ' ἐν τούτοις ἐξήει and once when there was a frost he went out in the midst of this P.S. 220 b.
- a. Apodotic δέ often resumes a δέ in the subordinate clause and carries on the opposition expressed by that clause; as εἰ δὲ βούλεσθε . . . ἐκλεξάμενοι ὅποι ἀν βούλησθε κατασχεῖν . . . , πλοῖα δ' ὑμῖν πάρεστιν but if you wish to select some place wherever you please and take possession of it, you have ships at command X. A. 5. 6. 20, ἀ δ' αἰσχόνην ἡμῖν φέρει . . . , ταῦτα δὲ κατὰ χώρᾶν μένει but the terms which cause us shame, these remain in force I. 4. 176.
- b. The use of a podotic  $\delta\epsilon$  should not be regarded as a survival of original  $co\ddot{o}rdination.$
- 2838. Sé without  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ . A clause with  $\delta \acute{e}$  often has no correlative particle in the clause with which it is contrasted. Here  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  is not used because the opposition in the first clause was too weak, or because the speaker did not intend to announce a following contrast or did not think he was going to use a contrasted  $\delta \acute{e}$  clause. Sometimes the entire first clause may have to be supplied in thought from the general connection or from what has gone before.  $\delta \acute{e}$  without  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  is such cases is common in poetry, but not rare in prose, even in brief antitheses, as  $\mathring{a}$   $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau es$   $\mathring{e}$   $\gamma \lambda l \chi_0 \nu \tau a\iota$   $\lambda \acute{e}\gamma e\iota \nu$ ,  $\mathring{e}$   $\mathring{e}$   $l \iota v e \iota \nu$  or  $\delta \acute{e}$   $\nu \iota \nu$  exploits which everybody continually desires to recount, but which no one has been able to set forth adequately D. 6.11. See also 2835.
- a. When a relative construction passes over into a construction with a personal or demonstrative pronoun, the relative clause usually has no  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ . Cp. Soph. Aj. 457, quoted in 2517.
- b. of δέ, when opposed to a larger number of persons or things, is often used without of μέν, as προεληλυθότες έπι χιλόν, of δ' έπι ξύλα having gone for fodder, and some for fuel X. C. 6. 3. 9.

#### 2839. Sé with other Particles. - For example:

- δ' ἄρα, which sometimes follows μ ϵ ν.
- δ' αὖ and ὅμως δέ mark stronger opposition than δέ alone.
- δè δή but then, but now, well but is often used in passing to a new point. In Aristophanes this collocation is used almost always in questions.

## δή

- **2840.**  $\delta\eta$  (postpositive except in Hom.  $\delta\eta$   $\gamma d\rho$  and poetic  $\delta\eta$   $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ ) marks something as immediately present and clear to the mind, and gives greater precision, positiveness, and exactness. It sets forth what is obvious, acknowledged, and natural, and often corresponds to voila.  $\delta\eta$  is used with single words (especially adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, and conjunctions) or, as a sentence adverb, with whole clauses.  $\delta\eta$  usually stands after the word it emphasizes, though it may be separated from it by one or more other words.
- **2841.** Số of what is Obvious and Natural. Thus, ἴστε δή you know of course, δεῖ δή it is manifestly necessary. So οὐχ οὕτως ἔχει; ἔχει δή is not this so? Of course it is P. A. 27 c, νῦν δ' ὁρᾶτε δή but now you certainly see X. C. 3. 2. 12, Παρύσατις μὲν δὴ ἡ μήτηρ ὑπῆρχε τῷ Κύρφ Parysatis, his mother, naturally supported Cyrus X. A. 1. 1. 4.
- **2842.** Ironical  $\delta \dot{\eta}$ . Thus,  $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s$   $\dot{\sigma}$  so  $\phi \dot{\sigma} s$   $\delta \dot{\eta}$  Socrates the wise forsooth P. A. 27 a; often  $\dot{\omega} s$   $\delta \dot{\eta}$ , as  $\dot{\omega} s$   $\delta \dot{\eta}$   $\sigma \dot{\sigma}$   $\mu \omega \tau \dot{\nu} \rho a \nu v \sigma s$  'Argelw' for that you for sooth should be the lord and master of the Argives! A. Ag. 1633.
- **2843.** Intensive  $\delta \eta$  emphasizes, and makes definite, adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, and other words. Thus,  $\ddot{a}\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon s \delta \dot{\eta}$  absolutely all,  $\kappa \rho \dot{a}\tau \iota \sigma \tau o \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$  the very best,  $\mu \dot{o}\nu o s \delta \dot{\eta}$  quite alone,  $\delta \dot{h}(\gamma o \iota \delta \dot{\eta})$  very few;  $\dot{o}\dot{\nu}\tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta}$  just so,  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \dot{\eta}$  exactly as,  $\pi \dot{o}\lambda \dot{\lambda} \dot{\kappa} \iota s \delta \dot{\eta}$  very often,  $\delta \dot{\eta}\lambda a \delta \dot{\eta}$  quite plain,  $\nu \dot{v}\nu \delta \dot{\eta}$  just now, now at once;  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} v o s \delta \dot{\eta}$  this (and no other),  $\delta s \delta \dot{\eta}$  who indeed. With indefinite pronouns  $\delta \dot{\eta}$  increases the indefiniteness (339 e); as  $\ddot{o}\sigma \tau \iota s \delta \dot{\eta}$  whoever at all. With other words:  $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$  if indeed,  $\dot{o}\iota \delta \dot{\eta}$  no indeed,  $\ddot{\nu}\nu a \delta \dot{\eta}$  that in truth.
- a. With imperatives and in questions  $\delta \acute{\eta}$  adds urgency; as akove  $\delta \acute{\eta}$  pray listen!  $\tau \acute{\iota}$   $\delta \acute{\eta}$  ; why, pray?
- **2844.**  $\delta \eta$  may introduce emphatically the conclusion of a temporal sentence or of a narrative on passing to a new topic; as  $\epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \delta \theta \alpha \delta \eta$ ,  $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \delta \eta$  then indeed, then and not till then, then it was that. Cp. X. A. 1. 10. 1.
- **2845.** Temporal δή often, especially with καί, approximates in meaning to ήδη already. Thus,  $\dot{o}$  δὲ θανών κεύθει κάτω δὴ γῆς but he is dead and already is hidden beneath the earth S. O. T. 967,  $\dot{o}$ πότε . . .  $\dot{o}$ ηρώης καὶ δὴ δύο ἡμέρας when you have hunted (already) for two days X. C. 2. 4. 17, καὶ δὴ λέγω σοι well I will tell thee (without further ado) S. Ant. 245. So also in τέλος δή, νῦν δή. Of succession, δή means next. Poetic δαδτε (δὴ αδτε) means now again.
- **2846.** Consecutive and Resumptive  $\delta \dot{\eta}$  is used to set forth an inference, draw a conclusion, denote a consequence, and mark a transition  $(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \delta \dot{\eta} \ . \ . \ . \ \delta \dot{\epsilon})$ . Here  $\delta \dot{\eta}$  is a sentence adverb: accordingly, then, of course, clearly, you

see, I say. Thus, ἔλεγον ὅτι κατίδοιεν νύκτωρ πολλά πυρά φαίνοντα. ἐδόκει δὴ τοῖς στρατηγοῖς οὐκ ἀσφαλὲς εἶναι διασκηνοῦν they said that they had seen many fires visible in the night; accordingly it seemed to the generals to be unsafe to encamp apart X. A. 4. 4. 10, Φεραὐλᾶς μὲν δὴ οὕτως εἶπεν ἀνίσταντο δὲ καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοί Pheraulas then spake thus; and many others also rose to speak X. C. 2. 3. 16.

**2847.** καὶ δή: (a) Introduces a climax, as καὶ δὴ τὸ μέγιστον and above all, what is the main thing P. A. 41 b. (b) In replies = well; as βλέψον κάτω· καὶ δὴ βλέπω look down! Well, I am looking Ar. Av. 175. This is akin to the temporal use. (c) In assumptions = suppose (1771). On καὶ δὴ καὶ see 2890.

# δαί, δηθεν, δήπου, δητα

- **2848.** Sat is used in colloquial Attic after interrogative words to express wonder, indignation, etc. Thus,  $\tau i \, \delta a l$ ;  $\tau \hat{\omega} s \, \delta a l$ ; what then ? how so ?
- **2849.**  $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$  truly, for sooth, is commonly used of apparent or pretended truth, and mostly with an ironical tone. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \rho \tau \delta \mu \eta \sigma as \delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$  is παίδ' δντα με thou hast mocked me for sooth as though I were a child A. Pr. 986.
- **2850.** δήπου probably, I presume, I should hope, doubtless, you will admit, is stronger than πού perhaps, I suppose. δήπου often has a touch of irony or doubt in stating a case that would seem to be certain; as ἴστε δήπου δθεν ήλιος ἀνίσχει you know, I presume, where the sun rises X. A. 5. 7. 6. In questions δήπου expects the answer yes. οὐ δήπου certainly not and is it not so? (with irony).
- **2851.** δήτα assuredly, really, in truth, is rare outside of Attic. It occurs: (a) In answers, often when a word is repeated with assent; as  $\gamma_i \gamma_i \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \theta^i$  ψε δήτις ἔσθ' ήδὶ ἡ  $\gamma_i \nu \gamma_i \gamma_i \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$  δήτα do you know who this woman is? Yes indeed we do Ar. Thesm. 606; οὐ δήτα surely not, in strong or indignant denial. (b) In questions, to mark an inference or consequence, as  $\pi \omega$  δήτα; how in truth? τί δήτα; what then? καὶ δήτα ἐτόλμας; and didst thou really dare? S. Ant. 449. (c) In wishes and deprecations (stronger than δή), as  $\sigma \kappa \delta \pi \epsilon_i \delta \eta \tau a$  only look P. G. 452 b, μὴ δήτα, θῦμέ, μή σύ γ' ἐργάση τάδε no indeed, my heart, do not this deed E. Med. 1056.

#### εἴτε

- **2852.**  $\epsilon \forall \tau \epsilon$  (from  $\epsilon i + \tau \epsilon$ ), a disjunctive particle, generally doubled:  $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$  . . .  $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$  whether . . . or (2675), if . . . or (sine . . . sine), giving equal value to each supposition.
- a. With the subjunctive we find  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu \tau\epsilon$  ( $\ddot{\eta}\nu \tau\epsilon$ ,  $\ddot{a}\nu \tau\epsilon$ ). Hom. has  $\epsilon \ell \tau\epsilon$ ...  $\epsilon \ell \tau\epsilon$ , but not  $\ddot{\eta}\nu \tau\epsilon$ ...  $\ddot{\eta}\nu \tau\epsilon$ , with the subjunctive. In the same sense Hom. has  $\dot{\eta}$ ...  $\ddot{\eta}$  and  $\ddot{\eta}\tau\epsilon$ ...  $\ddot{\eta}\tau\epsilon$  with the subjunctive.
  - **2853**. There are various forms of  $\epsilon l \tau \epsilon$  clauses:
- a. Both  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$  clauses may have the same finite verb in common, which verb is used only once; as  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$  bounded  $\epsilon$  mode  $\epsilon$  mode  $\epsilon\ell\tau\epsilon$  in whether you wish to wage war upon us or to be our friends X.C.3.2.13.

- c. One main clause refers to both  $\epsilon t \tau \epsilon$  clauses; as  $\dot{\sigma}$  dyadds dyhp...  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \alpha t l \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon$  methods and is happy whether he is large and strong or small and weak P. L. 660 e.
- d. Neither  $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$  clause has a verb, which is to be supplied from the main clause; as  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \rho \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ,  $\epsilon i \tau' \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \dot{e} s \dot{e} i \tau' \dot{a} \rho' \dot{o} \nu \mu \dot{a} \tau \eta \nu$  ( $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu$ ) saying, whether truly or after all, it may be, falsely S. Ph. 345.
- e. One ekte clause has its own verb, while the other gets its verb from the main clause (rare); as  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ 0 od . . .  $\dot{\phi}a$ ivy . . .  $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\mu\psi\delta\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$ 0, ekte  $\pi$ a $\rho$ 1 Eddupphoros  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 1  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 2 rous  $\dot{\gamma}\epsilon$ 4 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 3 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 4 and  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 4 and  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 4 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 4 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 5 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 6 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 6 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 6 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 7 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 8 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 9 for  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi$ 9
- 2854. Variations: εἴτε... ἢ (common): εἴτε Λῦσίᾶς ἢ τις ἄλλος πώποτε ἔγραψεν ἢ γράψει κτλ. whether Lysias or anybody else whoever wrote or will write, etc. P. Phae. 277 d. ἢ . . . εἴτε: only in poetry (S. Aj. 175). εἴτε . . . εἴ δέ: when the second member is more important (P. L. 952 c). On εἰ . . . εἴτε see 2675 d. On εἴτε for εἴτε . . . εἴτε see 2675 b, N. 2.
- **2855.**  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon$  may be strengthened by  $\delta \rho a$ ,  $\delta \eta$ ,  $\kappa a \ell$ , or  $\delta \nu$ .  $\delta v$  is usually placed after the first  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon$ ; like  $\kappa a \ell$ , it may stand after the second also. When  $\kappa a \ell$  stands only after the second  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon$ , its clause is weaker than the first (D. 18.57).

## ή

**2856.** Disjunctive  $\mathring{\eta}$  (Epic  $\mathring{\eta}\acute{\epsilon}$ ) or (uel, aut); and repeated:  $\mathring{\eta}$  . . .  $\mathring{\eta}$  either . . . or (uel . . . uel, aut . . . aut) to connect the two members more closely.

àγαθὸν ἢ κακόν good or bad X. A. 1.9.11, ἤ τι ἢ οὐδέν little or nothing P. A. 17 b. ἤ with the subjunctive is often used when a speaker corrects himself; as νῦν δ' αν τρίτος ἢλθέ ποθεν σωτήρ, ἢ μόρον εἴπω; and now, again, the third has come, the deliverer—or shall I call it a deed of death? A. Ch. 1074. On ἢ in questions, see 2657, 2675.

- **2857.** Between ascending numbers  $\eta$  has the force of Eng. to, as  $\epsilon \nu \in \eta$   $\epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a us$  in six to seven days X. C.5.3.28.
- 2858. ἤτοι may be used instead of the first ή when the first member, as is commonly the case, contains the more probable choice. In English the order is often inverted. Thus, ἤτοι κλύουσα παιδὸς ἢ τύχη πάρα she comes either by chance or because she has heard about her son S. Ant. 1182. ἤτοι may be followed by ἤ several times. ἤτοι . . . γε is more emphatic, as ἤτοι κρύφα γε ἢ φανερῶς either secretly or openly T.6.34.
  - 2859. # often indicates that a given result will follow in case the action of

- - 2861. # often introduces an argument ex contrario (D. 31. 14).
- **2862.** η καί is often used where η would suffice (cp. 2888 a); as η ξένος η καί τις πολίτης either an alien or a citizen if you will (or as well) D. 20. 123.
- **2863.** Comparative ή than is used to mark difference. It stands after comparatives where the genitive or a preposition (1069 ff.) is not used, and after words indicating difference or diversity or having a comparative force, e.g., ἄλλος or ἔτερος other, ἄλλως otherwise, διάφορος different, δωφέρειν to be different, ἐναντίος contrary, διπλάσιος twice as much, πρίν sooner.
- άλλα ἢ τὰ γενόμενα things different from what occurred X. C. 3. 1. 9, ἄλλο οὐδὲν ἢ ἐκ γῆς ἐναυμάχουν Τ. 4. 14 (2778 a), τῷ ὑστεραία δεῖ με ἀποθνήσκειν ἢ ῷ ἀν ἔλθη τὸ πλοῖον I must die the day after (that on which) the ship arrives P. Cr. 44 a (here ἤ or ἢ might be omitted), τἀναντία . . . ἢ τοὺς κύνας ποιοῦσι differently from the way they treat dogs X. A. 5. 8. 24, τὸν ἥμισυν σῖτον ἢ πρόσθεν half as much corn as before X. H. 5. 3. 21.
- a. After τί or a negative, ή may be used without άλλος, as τί ποιῶν ἡ εὐωχούμενος; doing what else except feasting ? P. Cr. 53 e, εἶπε μηδένα παριέναι ἡ τοὺς φίλους he said that they should let no one pass except his friends X. C. 7. 5. 41.
- b. Often after verbs of willing, choosing, etc.; as θάνατον μετ' ἐλευθερίᾶς αἰρούμενοι ἡ βίον μετὰ δουλείᾶς preferring death with freedom rather than life with servitude L. 2.62. Here we might have μᾶλλον ἡ, which is usually not separated, and especially when μᾶλλον belongs to the whole sentence.
- c. If two clauses connected by  $\eta$  have the same verb it may be omitted in the clause following  $\eta$ ; as  $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\rho\bar{a}\tau\tau\epsilon s$   $d\lambda\lambda\delta\hat{c}o\nu$   $\tilde{\eta}$  of  $\pi\delta\lambda\delta\delta$  ( $\pi\rho\dot{a}\tau\tau\sigma\nu\delta$ ) you behaved differently from the rest P. A. 20 c.
  - d. On η ώστε (ως), or η alone, than so as to, see 2264.

## ή

- **2864.** Asseverative  $\hat{\eta}$  (prepositive) in truth, in sooth, verily, upon my honour, etc.; as  $\hat{\eta}$  καλώς λέγεις P. G. 447 c.
  - **2865.**  $\hat{\eta}$  is usually associated with other particles.
- η γάρ when used alone in dialogue = is it not so? Cp. n'est ce pas, nicht wahr? Elsewhere it often has the force of am I to understand that asked with surprise. Thus, η γάρ νοεῖς θάπτειν σφ', ἀπόρρητον πόλει; what, dost

thou in truth intend to bury him, when it is forbidden to the citizens? S. Ant. 44.

- ἦ δή expresses lively surprise.
- η καί is found in animated questions. Here καί goes closely with η.
- η μήν (Hom. η μέν, η μάν) prefaces strong asseverations, threats, and oaths, in direct and indirect discourse. Thus, η μην έγω ἔπαθόν τι τοιοῦτον in truth this was my experience P. A. 22 a, ὅμνῦμι θεοὐς . . . η μην μήτε με Ξενοφῶντα κελεῦσαι ἀφελέσθαι τὸν ἄνδρα μήτε ἄλλον ὑμῶν μηδένα I swear by the gods upon my honour neither did Xenophon nor any one else among you bid me rescue the man X. A. 6. 6. 17.
- $\hat{\eta}$  που indeed, methinks, in poetry I ween. Here the shade of doubt indicated by πού is not real.
  - **2866.** Interrogative  $\hat{\eta}$  (2650) is probably the same as asseverative  $\hat{\eta}$ .

# ηδέ (AND iδε)

**2867.** ἠδέ and (Epic, lyric, tragic); also in conjunction with τὲ καί, or δέ. ἢμέν... ἢδέ (Epic) is used like τὲ... τέ, καὶ... καί. ἰδέ and (Epic, rare in tragedy) is used where ἠδέ does not suit the metre.

#### καί

2868. καί is both a copulative conjunction (and) connecting words, clauses, or sentences; and an adverb meaning also, even.

# Conjunctional καί

- 2869. Copulative και often has an intensive or heightening force; as where it joins a part and the whole, the universal and the particular. Thus, ἐν ᾿Αθηναίοις καὶ τοῖς Ἔλλησι Αr. Nub. 413, ὡ Ζεῦ καὶ θεοί Αr. Pl. 1 (θεοί καὶ Ζεύς the gods and above all Zeus), ἐνταῦθα ἔμειναν ἡμέρᾶς τρεῖς καὶ ἦκε Μένων Χ. Α. 1. 2. 6. Οη καὶ ταῦτα, see 947, 2083.
- a. Here  $\kappa at$  often = namely, for example, and so where an antecedent statement is explained either by another word or by an example. Cp. X. A. 1. 9. 14, 4. 1. 19, 5. 2. 9, 5. 6. 8.
- 2870. The heightening force is also seen where καl with corrective force may be rendered by or; often to set forth a climax and not an alternative. Thus, σοφία όλιγου τινὸς άξία και οὐδενός wisdom worth little or nothing P. A. 23 a, μαχαιροποιοί... ἀνὰ πέντε μνᾶς καὶ ἔξ sword-cutlers worth five or six minas each D. 27. 9, προιοῦσι δὲ καὶ ἀπιοῦσι πόλεμος but war if we advance or retire X. A. 2. 1. 21, καὶ δίκαια κάδικα right or wrong Ar. Nub. 99, σὸς (γόνος), κεὶ μὴ σὸς thy son, or if not thine S. O. C. 1323.
- **2871.**  $\kappa al$  often has an adversative force; as where it joins a negative to an affirmative clause. Here  $\kappa al$  où  $(\mu \dot{\eta})$  is almost =but not, as in  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu'$   $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\nu\rho\sigma\tau\dot{\nu}\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$   $\kappa al$  où  $\dot{\tau}\dot{\nu}\dot{\mu}\dot{a}s$  they elected me and (=but) not you D. 18. 288. So also where  $\kappa al$

is like  $\kappa a \iota \tau \sigma$  and yet; as  $\chi a \iota \rho \omega \nu \ \tilde{a} \pi \iota \theta \iota \cdot \kappa a \iota \ \sigma$  and  $\gamma \iota \delta \gamma \omega \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \omega$  fare thee well; and yet I leave thee unwillingly Ar. Eq. 1250. To connect negative clauses où  $\delta \epsilon$  is used.

- **2872.** In questions,  $\kappa al$  before an interrogative expression marks an objection occasioned by surprise or indignation; as  $\kappa al$   $\tau ls$   $\theta a \nu b \nu \tau \omega \nu$   $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$   $\hat{\epsilon} \xi$  "A  $\delta \alpha \nu$   $\omega$   $\hat{\tau} \lambda \lambda \delta \omega \nu$   $\hat{\tau} \lambda \lambda \delta \omega \nu$  and, pray, who of the dead has come back from Hades? E. H. F. 297. So  $\kappa al \tau \omega$ s; pray, how comes it that? Cp. Eng. and when a speaker is stopped by an abrupt question.
- a. After an interrogative expression adverbial καl asks for further information concerning a statement assumed to be true. Thus, ποίου χρόνου δὲ καl πεπόρθηται πόλιs; but when was the city captured? A. Ag. 278. Cp. 2884.
- **2873.** In imperative sentences καί often means and now, just. Thus, καί μοι ἀνάγνωθι τὸ ψήφισμα and now read me the bill L. 13.35, καί μοι ἀπόκρῖναι just answer me P. A. 25 a.
  - 2874. καί may mark a result (P. Th. 154 c, quoted in 2288).
- **2875.** After expressions of sameness and likeness kal has the force of as (Lat. ac). Thus,  $\delta$  advids  $\dot{\nu}\mu \hat{\nu}\nu$   $\sigma \tau \delta \lambda os$   $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\iota}$  kal  $\dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\nu}\nu$  your expedition is the same as ours X.A.2.2.10, oix  $\dot{\delta}\mu ol\omega s$  kal  $\pi \rho l\nu$  not the same as before T.7.2.8, is a kal  $i\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \tau al$  the same as suppliants 3.14,  $\tau a\dot{\nu}\tau \dot{a}$  kal the same as X.C.1.3.18. This use is commoner in prose than poetry.
- 2876. In expressions denoting coincidence of time και often has the force of when. So ἄμα . . . και (2169), ἤδη . . . και Χ. Α. 2. 1. 7, οὔπω . . . και Ρ. Ευ. 277 b, οὖκ ἔφθην . . . και (εὐθύς) I had not got the start . . . when I. 19. 22, D. 48. 69. Cp. και . . . και in και ἤκομεν και ἡμιν ἐξελθών ὁ θυρωρὸς . . . εἶπεν περιμένειν as soon as we arrived the doorkeeper came out and told us to wait P. Ph. 59 e.
- **2877.** καὶ . . . καὶ both . . . and, not only . . . but also, as . . . so, as well as . . . as also, sometimes whether . . . or, emphasizes each member separately, and forms a less close combination than  $\tau$ è καὶ. Thus, καὶ  $\tau$ ότε καὶ νῦν not only then but also now. So τῖμὰς δοτέον καὶ ζῶντι καὶ τελευτήσαντι honours must be paid him both when living and after death P.R. 414 a, σὸ καὶ δέδορκας κοὺ βλέπεις thou both hast sight and (yet) dost not see S. O. T. 413, κἀπεμπόμην πρὸς ταῦτα καὶ τὸ πῶν φράσω as I was sent for this purpose so I will tell thee all S. El. 680, τολμᾶν ἀνάγκη, κἆν τύχω κἆν μὴ τύχω I must dare whether I succeed or fail E. Hec. 751.
- **2879.** Adjectives of quantity, as πολύς and δλίγος in the plural, are usually joined to an adjective in the same construction by  $\kappa a \ell$  or  $\tau \epsilon$   $\kappa a \ell$  (also by  $\tau \epsilon$  or  $\tau \epsilon$  . . .  $\tau \epsilon$  in poetry). Thus, πολλά καl δεινά D. 37. 57 (δεινά καl πολλά 37. 57), πολλά  $\tau \epsilon$  καl δεινά X. A. 5. 5. 8. In πολλά καl μεγάλα ἀγαθά (X. C. 1. 5. 9), the substantive is qualified by two adjectives; whereas in English the second adjec-

tive is taken with the substantive and treated as a unit modified by the first adjective (many good-things).

- a. πολλοί και άλλοι means many others also (with και adverbial). For many others we find άλλοι πολλοί (very common) or πολλοί άλλοι.
  - 2880. Some combinations of conjunctional kal are:
- καὶ . . . μέντοι and however, and of course (in και μέντοι και the first και may be adverbial: yes indeed and).
- καὶ . . . τοίνυν and . . . further, in connecting a thought with the preceding.

#### Adverbial Kaí

- **2881.** Adverbial  $\kappa al$  also, even (Lat. etiam) influences single words or whole clauses. Adverbial  $\kappa al$  stresses an important idea; usually the idea set forth in the word that follows, but sometimes also a preceding word when that word stands first in its clause.  $\kappa al$  often serves to increase or diminish the force of particular words; sometimes it gives a tone of modesty.
- **2882.** With single words: a. κἆτα then too, καὶ έγω I on my part, σὸν ἡ κἀμὸν γένος offspring from thee or me either S. El. 965, βουλόμενος δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς λαμπρόν τι ποιῆσαι desirous of himself too doing something illustrious X. C. 5. 4. 15.
- b. καὶ πρίν even before, καὶ ὀψέ late though it be, καὶ οὕτως even so, καὶ ἔτι καὶ νῦν and now too, and still even now, ὀκνῶ καὶ λέγειν I fear even to say it, πολλή μωρία καὶ τοῦ ἐπιχειρήματος the very attempt is utter folly P. Pr. 317 a. On καί though with a participle, see 2083.
- c. Often with adverbs of intensity, as καὶ μάλα exceedingly, certainly, καὶ κάρτα very greatly, καὶ πάνυ absolutely. With comparatives and superlatives: καὶ μάλλον yet more, καὶ μωρότατον altogether the most foolish thing X. A. 3. 2.22.
- **2883.** With a whole phrase or clause; as ἄμφω γὰρ αὐτὼ καὶ κατακτανεῖν νοεῖς; what, dost thou indeed intend to put them both to death? S. Ant. 770. Other examples in 2885–2887.
- **2884.** When καί stresses a verb in interrogative and conditional sentences it is often to be rendered by an emphatic auxiliary, often by at all. Thus,  $\pi$ ολλάκις ἐσκεψάμην τί καὶ βούλεσθε I have often asked myself the question what you can want T. 6. 38, τί καὶ χρη προσδοκᾶν; what on earth is one to expect? D. 4. 46, τί γὰρ ἄν τις καὶ ποιοῖ ἄλλο; for what else could one do? P. Ph. 61 e, εἰ δεῖ καὶ μῦθον λέγειν καλόν if it is well to tell a fable at all P. Ph. 110 b. Cp. 2872 a.
- a. In affirmative independent clauses or sentences kal often has an emphasis which is difficult to render; as  $\delta$  kirdūros rûr  $\delta \eta$  kal  $\delta \delta \xi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$   $\delta \nu$   $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta s$  et at the danger must now indeed seem to be dreadful P. Ph. 107 c.
- **2885.** Kal of Balanced Contrast.—In order to mark the connection of thought between antecedent and consequent,  $\kappa al$  also, too, is often placed in the subordinate clause or in the main clause or in both.
- a. Greek has thus the following modes of expression where a comparison is instituted between the parts of such bimembral sentences: "What I do, that you also do" (as in English) or "What I also (= I on my part) do, that you do" or "What I also do, that you also do." In the subordinate clause  $\kappa ai$  seems superfluous to English idiom.

- 2886. Kal of balanced contrast occurs frequently when the subordinate clause sets forth something corresponding to, or deducible from, the main clause; and when an antithesis is to be emphasized. It is found especially in relative, causal, and final clauses, and has the effect of putting such subordinate clauses on a plane with the main clause. A relative word often adds -περ or is followed by δή. Thus, τὰ δὲ τῆς πόλεως ἔπρᾶττον, ὅνπερ ἔνεκεν καὶ Σωκράτει προσ-ῆλθον they devoted themselves to those affairs of state on account of which they had in fact associated with Socrates X. M. 1.2.47, καὶ ἡμῖν ταὐτὰ δοκεῖ ἄπερ καὶ βασιλεῖ we hold exactly the same views as the king X. A. 2. 1.22, ἐπειδὴ καὶ ἡ πόλις ἐσώθη . . ἀξιῶ κἀμοὶ σωτηρίᾶν γενέσθαι since the city has been saved I beg that safety be granted to me as well And. 1. 143, ἔμαθον καὶ ἐγὼ ὤσπερ καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι I (on my part) learned just as the rest did too P. Alc. 110 d, τῖμωρίᾶ γὰρ οὐκ εὐτυχεῖ δικαίως ὅτι καὶ ἀδικείται for vengeance is not successful in accordance with justice, because it is taken upon a wrong T. 4. 62.
- **2887.** In final clauses <sup>γνα</sup> καί is common, and sometimes, like Eng. just, serves to show that the fact answers to the expectation, or the effect to the cause (or vice versa). Thus, βούλει οὖν ἕπεσθαι <sup>γνα</sup> καὶ ἴδης τοὺς ὅντας αὐτόθι; do you wish to go along then just to see those who are there? P. Lys. 204 a, ἄρξομαι δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς ἑᾶτρικῆς λέγων <sup>γνα</sup> καὶ πρεσβεύωμεν τὴν τέχνην I will begin my speech with medicine in order that we may do honour to our art P. S. 186 b.
- **2888.** Kal of balanced contrast appears also in coördinate clauses; as ήδη γὰρ ἔγωγε καὶ Φιλολάον ἤκουσα . . . ἤδη δὲ καὶ ἄλλων τινῶν for I have ere now heard Philolaus . . . and ere now certain others besides him P. Ph. 61 e, κατὰ πολλὰ μὲν καὶ ἄλλα, οὐχ ἥκιστα δὲ καὶ κατὰ ταῦτα as in many other respects also and not least (too) in this Aes. 1. 108, ὑπὸ τῶν τἀνταῦθα διοικήσειν . . . καὶ πρὶν ὑπεσχημένων καὶ νῦν δὲ πρᾶττόντων by those who had promised to manage things there before and are now also doing them D.7.5. The negative of καὶ . . . καὶ . . . δὲ is οὐδὲ . . . οὐδὲ . . . δέ.
- 2889. Similarly the καί of εἴ τις καὶ ἄλλος is superfluous; as εἴπερ τι καὶ ἄλλο καὶ τοῦτο μαθητόν if any other thing is learnable, this is too X.S.2.6. But καὶ is usually omitted in the main clause; as ἐπίσταται δ' εἴ τις καὶ ἄλλος he knows as well as anybody else X.A.1.4.15. So üs τις καὶ ἄλλος as also any other X.A.2.6.8
- **2890.** Kal  $\delta \eta$  kal and especially, and in particular, and what is more, lays stress on a particular instance or application of a general statement. Here the second kal emphasizes the following word. Kal  $\delta \eta$  kal is usually attached to a preceding  $\tau \epsilon$  or kal. Thus, kal  $\delta \eta$  kal  $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$  propares over  $\delta \epsilon \gamma \eta \rho \epsilon \nu$  and on that especial occasion we came together somewhat earlier than usual P. Ph. 50 d,  $\epsilon \nu$  ällows  $\tau \epsilon$  mollows kal  $\delta \eta$  kal  $\epsilon \nu$  toos karpously in the case of many others and particularly in that of the sick X. C. 1.6.21.
  - 2891. καὶ . . . δέ and . . . also, and . . . moreover. Here καί empha-

sizes the important intervening word or words, while  $\delta \epsilon$  connects. Thus,  $\kappa a i \sigma \epsilon \delta' \epsilon \nu \tau \sigma \delta \tau c s \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$  and I count thee also among these A. Pr. 973. And also not is oide . . .  $\delta \epsilon$ . Hom. has  $\kappa a i \delta \epsilon$  and further, and even (H 113), not  $\kappa a i \ldots \delta \epsilon$ .  $\kappa a i \ldots \delta \epsilon$  (for  $\tau \epsilon$ ) is different (S. Ant. 432).

#### καίπερ

2892. καίπερ although is common with participles (2083). As a conjunction (cp. quanquam) without a main clause it is very rare (P. S. 219 c).

#### καίτοι

2893. καίτοι (καὶ + τοί), not in Homer, means and yet, although, rarely and so then. Here τοί marks something worthy of note, which is commonly opposed to what precedes. καίτοι is used in making a correction (sometimes in the form of a question), in passing to a new idea, and in the statement of a conclusion. The common καίτοι . . . γε is stronger than καίτοι.

καίτοι οὐδὲν ὅτι οὐκ ἀληθὲς εἴρηκα ὧν προεῖπον and yet there is nothing untrue in what I said before P. Euth.  $3\,\mathrm{c}$ .

a. A sentence preceding καίτοι is often restated by a clause introduced by άλλά (άλλ' ὅμως), δέ, or νῦν δέ. Cp. P. Ph. 77 a, Charm. 175 c, A. 40 b, G. 499 c.

b. Kalroi is rarely, if ever, used with the participle in classical Greek. It is best attested in P. R. 511 d; emendation is resorted to in L. 31. 34, Ar. Eccl. 159.

## μά

2894.  $\mu\dot{\alpha}$  asseverative (cp.  $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$  asseverative) with the accusative of the divinity or thing by which one swears. In negative sentences we have  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}$  or  $\mu\dot{\alpha}$  alone with the accusative; in affirmative sentences,  $\nu a\dot{v}$   $\mu\dot{\alpha}$ , but more commonly  $\nu\dot{\eta}$ . The omission of the accusative may sometimes be due to indecision or to indifference and not always to scrupulousness (1596 c).  $\mu\dot{\alpha}$  means properly in truth, verily; but apparently governs the accusative after the ellipse of such verbs as I call to witness.

## μέν

**2895.**  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  was originally an asseverative, emphatic particle (surely, certainly, indeed) and a weaker form of  $\mu \acute{\eta} \nu$ . Cp. Epic  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $\kappa \alpha \iota$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ ,  $o \mathring{\nu}$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  in asseverations and protestations. Asseverative  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  survived as  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  solitarium and in combination with other particles. Antithetical (concessive)  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  owes its origin to the fact that, as emphasis may indicate a contrast, the clause in which  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  stood was felt as preliminary to an adversative member of the sentence. Through association with this adversative member  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  gradually lost its primitive asseverative force.

- 2896. μέν solitarium occurs when a clause with μέν is not followed by a clause with δέ. This is especially common when the antithetical clause is to be supplied in thought, as when μέν emphasizes a statement made by a person with reference to himself as opposed to others (often with a tone of arrogance or of credulity). Here any possible opposition or difference of opinion, however justifiable, is left unexpressed. Thus, έγω μέν οὖκ οἶδα I for my part do not know (though others may) X. C. 1.4.12, ἀπέπλευσαν, ὡς μέν τοῖς πλείστοις ἐδόκουν, φιλοτῖμηθέντες they sailed away since they were jealous as it seemed to the majority at least X. A. 1.4.7. So in such phrases as δοκῶ μέν, ἡγοῦμαι μέν, οἶμαι μέν.
- **2897.** Sometimes  $\mu\ell\nu$  solitarium merely emphasizes a word in its clause and does not imply a contrast. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu ol$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  olo $\tau\dot{\epsilon}a$   $\tau\dot{a}\delta\epsilon$  this must be borne by me on my part S. O. C. 1360.
- **2898.**  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  solitarium is commonest after personal pronouns; but occurs also after demonstrative pronouns (L. 25. 16), after relatives (Aes. 3. 209), after substantives without the article (D. 9. 15), or after the article and before its substantive (L. 29. 1), after adjectives (L. 1. 27), after adverbs (L. 12. 91), after verbs (D. 19. 231). In questions  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  alone is rare (P. Men. 82 b).
- **2899.** In combination with other particles, especially  $\delta \dot{\eta}$  and  $\delta \delta \nu$ , asseverative  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  either has a simple confirmatory force or is used adversatively. The following cases must be distinguished from those in which  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  is correlative to  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ .
- 2900. μèν δή expresses positive certainty, especially in conclusions. It is common in summing up and in transitions, and is used either alone or with other particles (sometimes it is followed by άλλά or δέ). Thus,  $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \mu \hat{v} \nu \delta \hat{\eta}$  τοια $\hat{v} \tau a \delta \nu a \nu \delta \hat{\tau}$  for that A. Pr. 500. So also, e.g. άλλὰ μèν δή but certainly in fact (άλλὶ οὐδὲ μὲν δή in rejecting an alternative); εἰ μὲν δή if indeed in truth; καὶ μὲν δή and in truth, and in fact (often in transitions); οὐ μὲν δή certainly not at all, nor yet, in truth (often used adversatively).
- **2901.**  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  oố $\nu$  lit. certainly in fact,  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  being a weaker form of  $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ .  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  oố $\nu$  has two common uses, according as the particles have a compound force, or each has its own force.
- a. The compound force of μèν οῦν is seen in affirmations; as in replies: πάνυ (μάλιστα) μèν οῦν yes, by all means; certainly, by all means; aye truly, εῦ μèν οῦν οἶδα nay, I am sure of it, οἱ μèν οῦν indeed not, ἆρ' οἱ τόδε ἢν τὸ δένδρον ἐφ' ὅπερ ἢγες ἡμᾶς; τοῦτο μèν οῦν αὐτό isn't this the tree to which you were bringing us? To be sure this is it P. Phae. 230 a.
- b. The compound force appears also when  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ o \hat{\delta} \nu$  indicates a correction; nay rather (imo vero); as  $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \ o \hat{\upsilon} \ \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ \mu \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ \mu \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ a v \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ a v \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ a v \ o \hat{\upsilon} \nu \ the dream is strange, Socrates. Nay rather, it was distinct P. Cr. 44 b.$
- c. Each particle has its own force especially where  $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu o \delta \nu$  indicates a transition to a new subject. Here  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$  points forward to an antithesis to follow and indicated by  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ ,  $\delta \lambda \lambda \acute{\epsilon}$ ,  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$ , while  $o \delta \nu$  (inferential) connects with what precedes. Here so then, therefore may be used in translation. Thus,  $K \lambda \acute{\epsilon} a \rho \chi o s \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \delta \nu \tau o \sigma a \iota \tau a \epsilon \iota \tau e$ . Tissapérpus  $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$  & & & &  $\delta \epsilon$  &  $\delta \tau \mu \mu \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \eta$  such then were the words of Clearthus; and on the other hand Tissaphernes answered as follows X. A. 2. 5. 15.

Sometimes  $\mu \dot{e} \nu \ o \bar{v} \nu$  (like igitur) shows that a subject announced in general terms is now to be treated in detail (P. Ph. 70 c).

- **2902.** Common collocations are alla  $\mu \in \nu$  (alla . . .  $\mu \in \nu$ ) but for a fact,  $\gamma \in \mu \in \nu$ ,  $\hat{\eta}$   $\mu \in \nu$ ,  $\kappa$  al  $\mu \in \nu$ .
- **2903.** Antithetical (concessive)  $\mu\ell\nu$  distinguishes the word or clause in which it stands from a following word or clause marked usually by  $\delta\epsilon$  or by other particles denoting contrast, such as  $d\lambda\lambda d$ ,  $d\tau d\rho$ ,  $\mu\ell\nu\tau o\iota$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ ; and even by copulative  $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$ ,  $\kappa al$  (Hom.  $\dot{\eta}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ ).  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  never connects words, clauses, or sentences.
- **2904.** μèν . . . δέ serves to mark stronger or weaker contrasts of various kinds, and is sometimes to be rendered by on the one hand . . . on the other hand, indeed . . . but; but is often to be left untranslated. The μέν clause has a concessive force when it is logically subordinate (while, though, whereas, cp. 2170). Thus,  $\dot{\eta}$  μèν ψῦχ  $\dot{\eta}$  πολυχρόνιόν ἐστι, τὸ δὲ σῶμα ἀσθενέστερον καὶ ὀλιγοχρονιώτερον the soul lasts for a long time, the body is weaker and lasts for a shorter time P. Ph. 87 d, καὶ πρόσθεν μὲν δὴ πολλοὶ ἡμῶν ἡρχον μὲν οὐδενός, ἡρχοντο δὲ · νῦν δὲ κατεσκεύασθε οὕτω πάντες οἱ παρόντες ὤστε ἄρχετε οἱ μὲν πλειόνων, οἱ δὲ μειόνων and whereas in fact many of us hitherto commanded no one, but were subject to the command of others, now however all of you who are present are so placed that you have command, some over more, others over fewer X. C. 8. 1. 4.
- a. So ἄλλοτε μὲν . . . ἄλλοτε δέ, ἄμα μὲν . . . ἄμα δέ at once . . . and, partly . . . partly, ἔνθα μὲν . . . ἔνθα δέ, ἐνταῦθα μὲν . . . ἔκεῖ δέ, πρῶτον μὲν . . . ἔπειτα δέ (or ἔπειτα alone). On ὁ μὲν . . . ὁ δέ see 1107. Instead of ὁ (οἱ) δέ we find e.g. ἄλλος δέ, ἔνιοι δέ, ἔστι δ' οἴ. So τοῦτο μὲν . . . τοῦτ' ἄλλο (or αὖθις). μέν may stand with a participle, δέ with a finite verb, in an antithetical sentence. Example in 2147 c.
- b.  $\epsilon l$ ,  $o\dot{v}$   $(\mu\dot{\eta})$  standing before  $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ...  $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  exercise their force on both opposed clauses.
- **2905.** When several verbs referring to the same person or thing are contrasted, or when several attributes are contrasted, the first has  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ , the others  $\delta \acute{e}$ . Cp. Lyc. 5, X. A. 3. 1. 19. But  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  is sometimes omitted.
- **2906.** μέν . . . δέ is used in successive clauses which contain either the same word (anaphora) or a synonymous word; as έγω δὲ σύνειμι μὲν θεοῖς, σύνειμι δὲ ἀνθρώποις τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς quoted in 1159, ἢλθε μὲν καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς Ἑρυθραίᾶς ἀγγελίᾶ, ἀφῖκνεῖτο δὲ καὶ πανταχόθεν news came from the district of Erythrae itself and arrived also from all quarters T. 3. 33. But μέν is sometimes omitted, as στήσω σ' ἄγων, στήσω δὶ ἐμαυτόν I will bring thee and stablish thee, and I will stablish myself S. O. C. 1342.
- **2907.** If more than two clauses are contrasted, only the first clause has  $\mu \epsilon \nu$ , while each of the following clauses has  $\delta \epsilon$  (X. A. 1. 3. 14, X. C. 4. 2. 28).
- **2908.** A contrast indicated by μέν and δέ may stand inside another contrast indicated in the same manner, as  $\dot{ο}$  μέν  $\dot{α}$   $\dot{ν}$   $\dot{ρ}$   $\dot{ν}$   $\dot{ν}$ 
  - **2909.** Two relative (or conditional) clauses each with  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  may be followed

- **2910.** A clause with  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  is often followed by a contrasted clause without  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  that with a particle containing an element of opposition, as  $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dots \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \iota \tau a \dots \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau a$ .
  - **2911.** A shift in the construction may cause  $\delta \ell$  to be omitted (S. Ant. 1199).
- **2912.**  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  after an emphatic demonstrative may resume  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  of the antecedent clause (D. 2.18).
- **2913.** μèν . . . τε (and even καί) is used where the second clause is merely added instead of being coördinated by means of δέ. Thus,  $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\nu}$  μèν ὅποι ἔδει περιγιγνόμεθα ἀθρόοι τε τῷ ἄρχοντι ἐπόμενοι ἀνυπόστατοι ἡμεν we have quickly reached the places to which we had to go, and by following our leader in a compact body we have been invincible X. C. 8. 1. 3.
- **2914.** Position of  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  (and  $\delta \acute{e}$ ).  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta \acute{e}$  are commonly placed next to the words they contrast, and take precedence over other postpositive particles. But when two words belong closely together,  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta \acute{e}$  are placed between. Thus, when nouns with the article are contrasted,  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta \acute{e}$  stand after the article; if the nouns depend on prepositions  $\mu \acute{e}\nu$  and  $\delta \acute{e}$  stand after the preposition and before the article.
- a. But this rule may be neglected in order to emphasize the preceding word, as τὰ μὲν ἀνθρώπινα παρέθτες, τὰ δαιμόνια δὲ σκοποῦντες neglecting human affairs, but speculating on things divine X.M.1.1.12, ἀνὰ τὸ σκοτεινὸν μέν in the durkness T.3.22.
- b. If the noun has no article and is governed by a preposition,  $\delta \ell$  usually takes the third place.
- c. Postponement of  $\delta \epsilon$  (and some other postpositive particles) to the fourth place is only *apparent* after an introductory vocative, which is not regarded as forming an integral part of the sentence.
- **2915.** μέν and δέ are sometimes referred to the entire clause or to the predicate and not to the words that are opposed to each other. This arrangement is often adopted to preserve the symmetry of the juxtaposed clause. μέν and δέ are thus often placed after personal or demonstrative pronouns. Thus, ξλεγε μὲν ώς τὸ πολύ, τοῖς δὲ βουλομένοις ἐξῆν ἀκούειν Socrates for the most part was wont to talk, while any who chose could listen X. M. 1. 1. 10, πῶς ᾶν πολλοὶ μὲν ἐπεθύμουν τυραννεῖν . . . ; πῶς δὲ πάντες ἐξήλουν ἄν τοὺς τυράννους ; why should many desire to possess despotic power? why should everybody envy despotic rulers? X. Hi. 1. 9 (for πάντες δὲ πῶς ἐξήλουν ἄν). Cp. ἐν μὲν τούτοις . . . ἐν ἐκείνοις δέ Lyc. 140, περὶ αὐτῶν μὲν . . . περὶ δὲ τῶν δεσποτῶν L. 7. 35, etc.
- a. The transposition is often designed to produce a chiastic (3020) order, as  $\xi\pi a\theta \epsilon \ \mu \epsilon \nu$  ovd $\epsilon \nu$ ,  $\pi$  only determine  $\pi$  ordinaries and  $\pi$  over the  $\pi$  of the  $\pi$  of
- **2916.** In poetry  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  and  $\delta \epsilon$  often have a freer position than in prose.  $\delta \epsilon$  may often come *third* when an emphatic word is placed before it, and even *fourth*.

## μέντοι

- **2917.** μέντοι (postpositive) from μέν (= μήν, 2895) + τοί, is an asseverative and adversative particle.
- **2918.** Asseverative μέντοι certainly, surely, of course, in truth is very common in replies, where it expresses positive, eager, or reflective assent. Often with  $\nu\eta$  ( $\mu\dot{\alpha}$ )  $\Delta la$ . Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ ;  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$  μέντοι I? certainly, you Ar. Eq. 168,  $\tau l$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha}\rho$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\eta$ , . . . . μέμνησαι  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\nu\alpha$  . . .;  $\nu\dot{\alpha}$   $\dot{\mu}\dot{\alpha}$   $\Delta la$  . . . . μέμνημαι μέντοι τοια $\hat{\nu}\tau$  a  $\dot{\alpha}\kappa\dot{\nu}\sigma$   $\dot{\alpha}s$  σου well then, said he, do you recall those matters; Yes, by Zeus, certainly I do recall that I heard things to that effect from you X. C. 1. 6. 6,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\dot{\nu}\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\tau$  μέντοι  $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\epsilon$ is well, certainly you say what is very true P. Soph. 245 b.

μέντοι may strengthen asseverations or emphasize questions; as οὕτω μέντοι χρη λέγειν in truth we must speak thus P. Th. 187 b; often with demonstrative pronouns, as ὧ τοῦτο μέντοι νη Δία αὐτοῖσιν πιθοῦ oh, by Zeus do oblige them in this Ar. Aves 661.

- a. Asseverative μέντοι in combinations, e.g.:
- άλλα μέντοι but surely, but in fact (in άλλα . . . μέντοι, μέντοι refers to the preceding word).
- καὶ ... μέντοι and ... indeed, and ... in fact, and ... moreover, as φιλοθηρότατος ην καὶ πρὸς τὰ θηρία μέντοι φιλοκινδῦνότατος he was very fond of hunting and moreover exceedingly fond of danger X. A. 1.9.6.
  οὐ μέντοι no indeed (also adversative: yet not).
- **2919.** Adversative μέντοι however, yet often marks a contrast or a transition; as ἀφίεμέν σε, ἐπὶ τούτφ μέντοι we let you go, on this condition however P. A. 29 c. μέντοι γε is stronger. μὲν ... μέντοι is much stronger than μὲν ... δέ, as φιλοσόφφ μὲν ἔοικαs . . ἴσθι μέντοι ἀνόητος ὤν you resemble a philosopher—know however that you are a fool X. A. 2. 1. 13. On οὐ μέντοι ἀλλά (γε) see 2767.

# μήν

2920. μήν (postpositive): (1) asseverative, in truth, surely; (2) adversative, especially after a negative, yet, however. The forms μήν (Hom., Att.), μάν (Hom., Lesb., Dor., lyric parts of tragedy), μέν truly (Hom., Att.) and μά in oaths are all connected. μήν emphasizes either a whole statement or a single word.

ῶδε γὰρ ἐξερέω, καὶ μὴν τετελεσμένον ἔσται for thus I will declare, and verily it shall be accomplished  $\Psi$  410; καλὸν μὲν ἡ ἀλήθεια . . ., ἔοικε μὴν οὐ ῥάδιον πείθειν truth is a fine thing, yet it does not seem an easy thing to persuade P. L. 663 e, εἰ δ' ἄγε μήν come now, on then A 302, οὐδὲν μὴν κωλύει but nothing hinders P. Phae. 268 e.

#### 2921. Combinations of μήν:

άλλὰ μήν (... γε) but surely; but yet; nay, indeed; well, in truth. Often used to add something of greater importance, or in transitions when a new idea is opposed to the foregoing. άλλὰ μήν is often separated by a negative. ἡ μήν verily, verily. Often to introduce an oath or a threat.

- καὶ μήν and verily or and yet according to the context. καὶ μήν frequently introduces a new fact or thought and hence often denotes transition, sometimes opposition (further, however, and yet). In tragedy this formula is used to mark the beginning of a new scene, as when the arrival of a newcomer is thus signalized (but here comes); as καὶ μήν ἄναξ ὅδϵ and lo! here is the king S.O.C. 549. In replies, καὶ μήν usually confirms the last remark, accedes to a request, or denotes hearty assent; sometimes there is an adversative sense (and yet; and (yet) surely; oh, but). In enumerations, καὶ μήν adds a new fact (and besides).
- και μὴν...γε in transitions or enumerations marks something of still greater importance; but it is not so strong as και μὲν δή. Here γέ emphasizes the word or words with which it is immediately connected. In replies, and indeed, and yet or oh, but; as και μὴν ποιήσω γε and yet I will do it S. El. 1045.

καὶ μὴν καί (neg. καὶ μὴν οὐδέ) and in truth also.

οὐ μήν surely not, οὐ μὴν ἀλλά nevertheless (2767), οὐ μὴν οὐδέ nor again (2768), οὐδὲ μήν and certainly not.

τί μήν; lit. what indeed (quid vero), as ἀλλὰ τί μὴν δοκεῖs; but what in truth is your opinion? P. Th. 162 b. τί μήν; standing alone, has the force of naturally, of course. Thus, λέγουσιν ἡμᾶς ὡς ὁλωλότας, τί μήν; they speak of us as dead, and why should they not 2. A. Ag. 672. Often in Plato to indicate assent. τί μὴν οῦ; (why indeed not =) of course I do.

## ναί, νή

- **2922.** vaí (cp. Lat. nae) asseverative (truly, yea), with the accusative in oaths where it is usually followed by  $\mu\acute{a}$  (1596 b). vaí yes, in answers, is found only in Attic.
- 2923.  $\nu\eta$  (cp. Lat.  $n\bar{e}$ ) asseverative (truly, yea), with the accusative in oaths, and only in an affirmative sense.  $\nu\eta$  is found only in Attic. See 1596 b.

# νθν, νθνί, νύν, νύν, νύ

- **2924.**  $v\hat{v}v$  now, at present often has a causal sense, as  $v\hat{v}v$   $\delta\epsilon$  but as the case stands, as it is; often to mark reality in contrast to an assumed case.
- **2925.**  $\nu\bar{\nu}\nu i$  ( $\nu\bar{\nu}\nu+$  deictic  $\bar{\iota}$ , 333 g) is stronger than  $\nu\bar{\nu}\nu:$  even now, at this moment; rarely in a causal sense.
- **2926.**  $\nu\tilde{u}\nu$  (enclitic; lyric, tragic, Herodotus, rare and suspected in Homer), a weakened form of  $\nu\tilde{v}\nu$ , is rarely temporal, usually inferential, as now is used for then, therefore.  $\nu\tilde{v}\nu$  thus marks the connection of the speaker's thought with the situation in which he is placed. It is commonly used after imperatives, prohibitive and hortatory subjunctives. Thus,  $\kappa d\theta \iota \zeta = \nu \dot{v}\nu \ \mu \epsilon \ seat \ me, \ then \ S.\ O.\ C.\ 21.$  In Xenophon and Plato  $\nu \nu \nu$  is written by some editors, where the Mss. have  $\nu\tilde{v}\nu$  (X. C. 4. 2. 37, H. 4. 1. 39).
- 2927. ντν (enclitic) is adopted by some scholars in Attic tragedy where a long syllable is required (S. O. T. 644). Others write νῦν (with the force of ντν).
- **2928.**  $v\ddot{v}$  (enclitic; Epic and Cyprian), a still weaker form of  $v\ddot{v}v$ , and less emphatic than  $\delta\dot{\eta}$ . It is common in questions and appeals; less frequent in statements; as  $\tau is \ vv$ ; who now? Also after other particles, as  $\kappa ai \ v\dot{v} \ \kappa \epsilon$ ,  $\ddot{\eta} \ \dot{\rho} \dot{a} \ vv$ .

#### δπως

- **2929.**  $\delta \pi \omega s$ , originally a relative adverb meaning how, is derived from the relative particle  $\sigma_{f}o\delta$  (with which Eng. so is connected), to which the indefinite  $\pi \omega s$  has been added. Hom.  $\delta \pi \pi \omega s$  from  $\sigma_{f}o\delta \pi \omega s$ , as  $\delta \tau \tau \iota$  from  $\sigma_{f}o\delta \tau \iota$  (81 D 2).
- a. The adverbial meaning of  $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$  is still seen in its use as an indefinite relative and as an indirect interrogative; and by the fact that in its place  $\tilde{o}\pi\eta$ ,  $\tilde{o}\tau\psi$   $\tau\rho\delta\pi\psi$ ,  $\dot{e}\xi$   $\tilde{o}\tau\sigma\nu$   $\tau\rho\delta\pi\sigma\nu$  are sometimes used. By association with the subjunctive  $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$  became a conjunction (cp.  $\mu\eta$   $\pi\omega s$ ) used with or without  $\tilde{a}\nu$  in final clauses (see 2196, 2201). On the use as a conjunction in object clauses after verbs of effort and of fear, see 2211, 2228. So in dependent statements  $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$  passed from how into that (2578 d).

# οὐδέ, οὔτε (μηδέ, μήτε)

**2930.** ovičé ( $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ ) is an adverb and a conjunction, and is to be broken up into the negative ov  $(\mu\eta)$  and  $\delta\epsilon$  meaning and, even, also, or but.

## $o\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ $(\mu\eta\delta\dot{\epsilon})$ as an Adverb

**2931.** Adverbial  $ov\delta \epsilon$   $(\mu\eta\delta \epsilon)$  not even, not . . . either, also . . . not, nor yet (ne . . quidem). Up the use of  $\kappa \alpha i$  even, also in affirmative sentences; as  $ov\delta'$   $overline{\omega}$  not even in that case ( $\kappa \alpha i$   $overline{\omega}$  even in that case).

άλλ' οὐδὲ τούτων στερήσονται but not even of these shall they be deprived X.A. 1.4.8, ὅτ' οὐδ' οὖτω ῥάδιον ἢν when besides it was not so easy I. 18.65 (= καὶ οὐ also not). With οὐδ' εἰ (έἀν) not even if οὐ belongs with the main clause, while δέ even goes with the dependent clause. Thus, οὐδ' ἄν εἰ βούλοιντο, ῥαδίως πονηροὶ γένοιντο even if they wished, they could not easily become wicked X.C. 7.5.86 (= καὶ εἰ βούλοιντο, οὐκ ἄν γένοιντο). Similarly with a participle: οὐδὲ πεπονθώς κακῶς ἐχθρὸν εἶναί μοι τοῦτον ὁμολογῶ I do not admit that this man is my enemy even though I have been ill-used D. 21. 205.

# οὐδέ (μηδέ) as a Conjunction

- **2932.**  $oi\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  ( $\mu\eta\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ) as a conjunction (and not, nor) connects two or more whole clauses.
- **2933.** In Attic prose οὐδέ is used only to join a negative clause to another clause itself negative; as οὐδεμία ἐλπὶς ἢν τίμωρίας οὐδὲ ἄλλη σωτηρία ἐφαίνετο there was no hope of assistance nor did any chance of safety appear T. 3. 20.
- a. A negative clause is joined to an affirmative clause by και οὐ (μή). Thus,  $\epsilon \mu \mu e v \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta}$  ξυμμαχία... καὶ οὐ παραβήσομαι I will abide by the alliance and I will not violate it T. 5.47. καὶ οὐ (μή) may have an adversative force (but not).
- N.—But in poetry and Ionic prose οὐδέ may continue an affirmative clause; as δεινὸν γὰρ οὐδὲ ἡητόν dread indeed and not to be uttered S. Ph. 756.
- **2934.** oὐδέ is used by the poets for but not, where Attic prose writers have  $å\lambda\lambda'$  oὐ or καὶ οὐ. Thus, ἔνθ' ἄλλοις μὲν πῶσιν ἐἡνδανεν, οὐδέ ποθ' "Ηρη οὐδὲ Ποσει-

δάωνι then it was pleasing to all the others, but not to Hera or to Poseidon  $\Omega$  25, έμαῖοι οὐδὲ σαῖοι δυσβουλίαις by my folly but not by thine S. Ant. 1269 (ep. the negative form οὐκ ἐμὸν τόδ' ἀλλὰ σόν this is not my part, but thine S. El. 1470). Cp. σοῦ τάδε κινδῦνεύεις, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐμοῦ ἀκηκοέναι you probably heard this from yourself and not from me P. Alc. 113 c.

**2935.**  $ob\delta\ell$  may stand in an apodosis corresponding to apodotic  $\delta\ell$  (2837). Cp. S. O. C. 590.

**2936.** oὐδέ may negative a preceding word also; as al Φοίνισσαι νῆες οὐδὲ ὁ Τισσαφέρνης . . . ῆκον the Phoenician ships had not arrived nor had Tissaphernes T. 8.99. Cp. 2943. In such cases we usually find another negative, which goes with the verb; as ἀπλοῦν μὲν οὐδὲ δίκαιον οὐδὲν ἀν εἰπεῖν ἔχοι he could say nothing straightforward nor just D. 22. 4.

## οὖδέ (μηδέ) with other Negatives

- 2937. οὐδὲ . . . οὐδέ commonly means not even . . . nor yet (or no, nor), the first οὐδέ being adverbial, the second conjunctive. οὐδὲ . . . οὐδὲ is not correlative, like οὔτε . . . οὔτε, and hence never means neither . . . nor. Thus, οὐδὲ ἢλιον οὐδὲ σελήνην ἄρα νομίζω θεοὺς εἶναι; do I then hold that not even the sun nor yet the moon are gods ? P. A. 26 c, σύ γε οὐδὲ ὀρῶν γιγνώσκεις οὐδὲ ἀκούων μέμνησαι you do not even understand though you see, nor yet do you remember though you hear X. A. 3. 1. 27. οὐδὲ . . . οὐδέ both copulative (and not . . nor yet) in X. C. 3. 3. 50. οὐδὲ . . . οὐδὲ . . . δὲ is the negative of καὶ . . . καὶ . . . δὲ in X. A. 1. 8. 20.
- a. So in both members of comparative sentences (cp. καί 2885); as ὤσπερ οὐδὲ γεωργοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὄφελοs, οὕτως οὐδὲ στρατηγοῦ ἀργοῦντος οὐδὲν ὄφελοs as there is no good in an idle tiller of the soil, so there is no good in an idle general X. C. 1. 3. 18.
- **2938.** οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδέ (negative of καὶ γὰρ καὶ); as οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδὲ τοῦτο έψεύσατο for neither did he deceive me even in this X. C. 7. 2. 20. Here the first οὐδέ negatives the whole sentence, the second οὐδέ negatives τοῦτο.
- **2939.** οὐ . . . οὐδέ: οὐδέ not even as well as nor (2933) may resume a preceding οὐ. Thus, ὕβριν γὰρ οὐ στέργουσιν οὐδὲ δαίμονες lit. not even the gods do not love insolence S. Tr. 280, οὐ μέντοι ἔφη νομίζειν οὐδὶ εἰ παμπόνηρος ἢν Δέξιππος βία χρῆναι πάσχειν αὐτόν he said however that he did not think that, even if Dexippus was a downright rascal, he ought to suffer by an act of violence X. A. 6.6. 25, οὐ δεῖ δὴ τοιοῦτον . . . καιρὸν ἀφεῖναι οὐδὲ παθεῖν ταὐτὸν ὅπερ . . . πεπόνθατε we must not let such an opportunity go by nor suffer the same as you have suffered D. 1. 8.

οὐ μέντοι οὐδέ not by any means however. On οὐ μὴν οὐδέ see 2768.

- **2940.** où  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  . . . où : où  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  may be resumed by où; as où  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$   $\gamma \epsilon$  out of a provable life prove himself good in a public capacity Aes. 3.78.
  - 2941. οὐδὲ... οὕτε is rare (P. Charm. 171 b).

# οὔτε (μήτε)

**2942.** οὔτε (μήτε) is usually repeated: οὔτε... οὔτε (μήτε... μήτε) neither... nor (nec... nec). οὖτε... οὔτε is the negative of τε ... τέ, and unites single words or clauses.

οὔτε ἔστιν οὕτε ποτὲ ἔσται neither is nor ever shall be P. Phae. 241 c, οὕτε Χειρίσοφος ἢκεν οὕτε πλοῖα ἰκανὰ ἢν οὕτε τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἢν λαμβάνειν ἔτι neither had Chirisophus come nor were there enough boats nor was it possible any longer to secure provisions X. A. 5. 3. 1.

After a negative clause: οὐκ ἔπειθεν οὕτε τοὺς στρατηγοὺς οὕτε τοὺς στρατιώτᾶς he could not persuade either the generals or the soldiers T. 4.4.

- a. οὖτε . . . μήτε is found when each negative is determined by a different construction, as ἀναιδὴς οὖτ' εἰμὶ μήτε γενοίμην neither am I nor may I become shameless D. 8.68.
- b. When οὔτε . . . οὔτε stands between οὐδὲ . . . οὐδέ the members thus correlated are subordinate to those expressed by οὐδὲ . . . οὐδέ. Cp. Aes. 1. 19.
- **2943.** Sometimes the first οὔτε is omitted in poetry: νόσοι δ' οὔτε γῆρας disease nor old age Pindar, Pyth. 10. 41, ἐκόντα μήτ' ἄκοντα willingly nor unwillingly S. Ph. 771. Cp. "my five wits nor my five senses" (Shakesp.).
- **2944.** For the first over the poets sometimes have oi, as oi inferds over derivation not snow nor storm  $\delta$  566.
- **2945.** οὕτε . . . τέ on the one hand not . . . but, not only not . . . but (cp. neque . . . et). The τέ clause often denotes the contrary of that set forth in the οὕτε clause (so far from). Thus, οὕτε διενοήθην πώποτε ἀποτερῆσαι ἀποδώσω τε so far from ever thinking to deprive them of their pay I will give it to them X.A.7.7.48, ὅμοσαν . . . μήτε προδώσειν ἀλλήλους σύμμαχοί τε ἔσεσθαι they swore that they would not betray one another and that they would be allies 2.2.8. So οὕτε . . . οὕτε . . . οὕτε is not used.
- a. Sometimes the negative may be added in the τέ clause: οὔτε ἐκεῖνος ἔτι κατενόησε τό τε μαντεῖον οὔκ ἐδήλου neither did he stop to consider and the oracle would not make it plain T.1. 126.
  - 2946. οὕτε . . . τε οὐ S. Ant. 763. οὕτε . . . τε . . . οὕτε Ε. Η. F. 1341.
- **2947.** οὕτε . . . δέ is used when the second clause is opposed to the first; as οὕτε πλοῖά ἐστιν οῖς ἀποπλευσόμεθα, μένουσι δὲ αὐτοῦ οὐδὲ μιᾶς ἡμέρᾶς ἔστι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια we have no vessels by which we can sail away; on the other hand, if we stay here, we haven't provisions even for a single day X. A. 6. 3. 16. Cp. E. Supp. 223, P. R. 388 e, 389 a.
- 2948. οὕτε... οὐ is rare in prose; as οὕτε νιφετός, οὐκ ὅμβρος neither rain nor snow Hdt. 8. 98. Cp. S. Ant. 249. οὕτε... ού... οὕτε Α. Pr. 479. οὐ... οὕτε is generally changed to οὐ... οὐδέ in Attic prose.
- **2949.** o $\mathring{v}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\tau}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\epsilon}$  . . . o $\mathring{\epsilon}$  decorresponds to the sequence of  $\tau \overset{\bullet}{\epsilon}$  . . .  $\delta \epsilon$  in affirmative clauses. The emphatic o $\mathring{v}$  of here adds a new negative idea as after any other preceding negative; and is most common after o $\mathring{v}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\tau}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\epsilon}$  . . . o $\mathring{v}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\tau}$   $\overset{\bullet}{\epsilon}$  : neither . . nor . . . no, nor yet (nor . . . either). o $\mathring{v}$  of  $\mathring{\epsilon}$  is often followed by an

emphasizing particle, as αδ, γέ, μήν. Thus, οὕτε πόλις οὅτε πολῖτεία οὐδέ γ' ἀνήρ neither a State nor a constitution nor yet an individual P. R. 499 b, μήτε παιδεία . . . μήτε δικαστήρια μήτε νόμοι μηδὲ ἀνάγκη μηδεμία neither education nor courts of justice nor laws, no nor yet restraint P. Pr. 327 d.

**2950.** A subordinate clause with oddé may come between odte . . . odte. Thus, odte  $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$  is  $\dot{b} \phi \dot{e} i \lambda o v \tau \dot{a}$  me katé $\lambda \dot{e} \kappa a \tau \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \kappa a \tau \dot{e} \rho$  . . .  $\dot{a} \pi \dot{e} \phi \eta v \dot{e} \nu$  oddé . . .  $\pi a \rho \dot{e} \sigma \chi \eta \tau a \iota \mu \dot{a} \rho \tau \nu \rho a v$  odd  $\dot{e} \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \nu \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \dot{e} \lambda \dot{e} \lambda$ 

#### ούκοῦν, οὔκουν

**2951.** οὐκοῦν interrogative: not therefore? not then? (nonne, igitur? nonne ergo?). Here the stress lies on the inferential οὖν and an affirmative answer is expected as a matter of course. οὐκοῦν stands at the beginning of its clause.

οὐκοῦν . . .  $\epsilon$ ῦ σοι δοκοῦσι βουλεύεσθαι ; πρός  $\dagger$ ε  $\epsilon$  ὁρῶσι do you not then think that they lay their plans well? Yes, with regard to what they see X. C. 7. 1. 8.

- a. When a negative answer is expected we have οὐκοῦν οὐ (P. Phil. 43 d).
- b. οὐκοῦν and οὖν stand in parallel questions in X. A. 1. 6. 7-8.
- c. Some scholars write οὔκουν or οὖκ οὖν for οὖκοῦν interrogative (and inferential).
- 2952. οὐκοῦν inferential: then, well then, therefore, accordingly (ergo, igitur). Inferential οὐκοῦν was developed, probably in colloquial speech, from the interrogative use, the speaker anticipating the affirmative answer to his question and emphasizing only the inference. From the negative question all that was left was an expression of his own opinion on the part of the speaker. οὐκοῦν has become so completely equivalent to οὖν that a negative has to be added if one is required.

οὐκοῦν, ὅταν δὴ μὴ σθένω, πεπαύσομαι well then, when my strength fails, I shall cease S. Ant. 91, ἢ . . . τοὺς ἀμόνεσθαι κελεύοντας πόλεμον ποιεῖν φήσομεν; οὐκοῦν ὑπόλοιπον δουλεύειν or shall we say that those who bid us defend ourselves make war? Then it is left for us to be slaves D.8.59. οὐκοῦν is used even with imperatives; as οὐκοῦν . . . ἰκανῶς ἐχέτω accordingly let it suffice P. Phae. 274 b.

- a. Editors often differ whether, in certain cases,  $oi\kappa o \hat{v}\nu$  is interrogative or inferential.
- 2953. οὔκουν not then, therefore not, so not, at any rate . . . not, surely not (non igitur, non ergo). Here oὐ is strongly emphasized, and οὖν is either confirmative or inferential. οὖκουν is usually placed at the beginning of its clause.
- a. In emphatic negative answers ; as odkoun  $\xi\mu$ olye dokel certainly not, in my opinion at least X. O. 1. 9.
  - b. In continuous discourse (P. L. 807 a).
- c. οὄκουν . . . γε returns a negative answer with qualified acquiescence in a preceding statement. Thus, τούτων ἄρα Ζεύς ἐστιν ἀσθενέστερος; οὄκουν ἄν ἐκφύγοι

γε την πεπρωμένην is Zeus then weaker than these? Fate at least he surely cannot escape A. Pr. 517.

- d. In impatient or excited questions (non ? non igitur?). Thus, οὔκουν ἐρεῖς ποτ', εἶτ' ἀπαλλαχθεὶς ἄπει; wilt thou not speak and so depart and be gone? S. Ant. 244.
- **2954.** οὐκ (μἡ) οὖν is to be distinguished from οὐκοῦν or οὔκουν. Thus, ὀπότε καὶ πείρα του σφαλεῖεν, οὐκ οὖν καὶ τὴν πόλιν γε τῆς σφετέρας ἀρετῆς ἀξιοῦντες στερισκειν whenever they were foiled in any attempt they did not for this reason think it right to deprive their city of their valour T. 2.43 (μὴ οὖν 8.91).
- a. Hdt. has οὐκ ὧν (sometimes written οὔκων) to emphasize an idea opposed to what goes before (non tamen). Thus, ταῦτα λέγοντες τοὺς Κροτωνιήτας οὐκ ὧν ἔπειθον by these words they did not however persuade the men of Croton 3.137.

#### οὖν

- **2955.** of (Ionic, Lesbic, Doric  $\delta \nu$ ), a postpositive particle, is either confirmatory or inferential. of points to something already mentioned or known or to the present situation.
- **2956.** Confirmatory ov in fact, at all events, in truth belongs properly to the entire clause, but usually, for purposes of emphasis, attaches itself to some other particle, to a relative pronoun, or at times to other words (P. A. 22 b). On  $\gamma o \hat{v} v$ , see 2830; on  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o \hat{v} v$ , 2901; on  $\tau o \iota \gamma a \rho o \hat{v} v$ , 2987. In some of its combinations with other particles  $o \hat{v} \nu$  may be inferential or transitional.
- **2957.** Åll' oὖν or Åll' oὖν . . . Ye (stronger than δ' οὖν) well, at all events; well, certainly, for that matter; as Åll' οὖν πονηροί γε φαινόμενοι well, at all events they look like sorry fellows, that they are X. C. 1. 4. 19, Åll' οὖν ποσοῦτόν γ' ἴσθι well, at any rate you know this at least S. Ph. 1305. Åll' οὖν may stand in the apodosis to an hypothetical proposition (P. Ph. 91 b).
- **2958.** γὰρ οὖν (and καὶ γὰρ οὖν) for in fact (indeed, in any case); as ϵ ὖ γὰρ οὖν λέγεις for indeed thou sayest well S. Ant. 1255, δνήσεσθε ἀκούοντες · μέλλω γὰρ οὖν ἄττα ὑμῶν ἐρεῖν καὶ ἄλλα you will profit by listening; for I am certainly going to tell you some other things P. A. 30 c.

Also to mark a consequence (X. A. 1. 9. 11), and in replies, as  $o\dot{v} \gamma \dot{a} \rho o \dot{v} \nu$  P. Phae. 277 e, and also when the speaker repeats an important word of his interlocutor, as  $\phi \eta \mu l \gamma \dot{a} \rho o \dot{v} \nu$  P. G. 466 e.

πολλὰ χρήματα. τη δ' οὖν στρατιὰ τότε ἀπέδωκε Κῦρος μισθὸν τεττάρων μηνῶν and she is said to have given Cyrus a large sum; at any rate Cyrus then gave the army four months' pay 1. 2. 12; (b) cp. T. 1. 3, 6. 15, 8. 81. Resumptive δ' οὖν may also set aside doubtful statements. (c) σὺ δ' οὖν λέγε, εἴ σοι τῷ λόγῳ τις ἡδονή well speak on then, if thou hast delight in speaking S. El. 891, ἔστω δ' οὖν ὅπως ὑμῦν φίλον however, be it as you wish S. O. C. 1205.

- εί δ' οὖν = but if indeed, but if in point of fact; as εί δ' οὖν τι κἀκτρέποιτο τοῦ πρόσθεν λόγου but if he should deviate at all from his former statement S. O. T. 851.
- **2960.** Sy où vertainly then; cp. où Sy. Thus,  $\tau \ell$  Sy où  $\tau \ell$  où  $\tau$  où  $\tau$
- 2961. εἴτε οὖν, οὕτε οὖν: in alternative clauses οὖν (indeed) is added to one or both clauses as emphasis may be desired: εἴτε οὖν . . . εἴτε whether indeed . . . or, εἴτε οὖν whether . . . or indeed, or εἴτε οὖν . . . εἴτε οὖν whether indeed . . . or indeed. So also in exclusive clauses: οὕτε (μήτε) . . . οὕτε (μήτε) οὖν neither . . . nor yet, οὕτε (μήτε) οὖν . . . οὕτε (μήτε) neither indeed . . . nor.
- **2962.** of  $\nu$  often follows interrogative pronouns and adverbs (in dialogue); as  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$   $\upsilon$  $\nu$ ; who pray?  $\tau$  i  $\sigma$   $\upsilon$  $\nu$ , generally with the aorist, in impatient questions asks why that which is desired has not been done (2197 c).
- **2963.**  $o\bar{b}\nu$  affixed to a relative pronoun has a generalizing force and makes it indefinite (339 e). Such indefinite relative pronouns are construed like the indefinite  $\tau$  is or demonstratives; and do not introduce relative clauses (unlike *whosoever*, etc., which are both indefinite and relative).

So with adverbs (346 c), as  $\delta\pi\omega\sigma\sigma\sigma\vartheta\nu$  in any way, no matter how (= utique not = utcunque). Thus,  $\sigma\delta\vartheta$   $\delta\pi\omega\sigma\sigma\vartheta\nu$  not even in the slightest degree.

- a. Simply placed after relatives of  $\nu$  has a strengthening force; as  $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$  of  $\nu$  as in fact (often in parentheses), of  $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho$  of  $\nu$  just as in fact.
- **2964.** Inferential ov therefore, accordingly (igitur, ergo), usually classed as a conjunction, signifies that something follows from what precedes. Inferential over marks a transition to a new thought and continues a narrative (often after  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{l},\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{l}\dot{l},\dot{\delta}\tau\epsilon\dot{l}$ ), resumes an interrupted narration (T. 3.42, X. C. 3.3.9), and in general states a conclusion or inference. It stands alone or in conjunction with other particles. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\dot{l}\dot{l}\dot{l}$  are kal  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\alpha\dot{l}\dot{l}$  a  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{l}$   $\dot{\nu}\dot{l}$   $\dot{\nu}$   $\dot{\nu}$
- a. The inferential and transitional use is derived from the confirmative meaning, and is scarcely marked until Herodotus and the Attic poets. Cp.  $\mu \epsilon \nu$  o $\delta \nu$ .  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$  o $\delta \nu$  in Hom, is sometimes used in transitions.

## πέρ

**2965.**  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  (postpositive and enclitic) very, just, even. Cp. Epie  $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu$  very much, and  $\pi \epsilon \rho i$  in composition. In Attic prose  $\pi \epsilon \rho$  is common only with relatives (338 c) and conjunctions.

ὅσπερ the very one who (i.e. none other), οἶος περ just such, ἔνθα περ just where, ισπερ just as, in the very way in which, (sometimes not very different from ως, to which it is related as ὅσπερ to ος), εἴπερ if really. καίπερ (Hom. καὶ . . . περ) however much, though, Epic ἡέ περ just as.

## πλήν

2966.  $\pi\lambda\eta\nu$  an adverb, is used (a) as a preposition with the genitive (1700) meaning except, save, when that which is excepted is a single substantival idea; (b) as a conjunction, except, except that, save that, unless, only, but (often almost =  $d\lambda\lambda d$ ).

άφειστήκεσαν . . . πᾶσαι πλην Μιλήτου all the Ionic cities had revolted except Miletus X. A. 1. 1. 6; οὐδεὶς ἀπήει πρὸς βασιλέᾶ, πλην 'Ορόντᾶς ἐπεχείρησε no one went off to the king save that Orontas made the attempt 1. 9. 29, πλην εν μόνον δέδοικα but there is one thing and only one that I fear Ar. Plut. 199. A substantive-equivalent may follow πλην, not in the genitive, but in the case required by the verb of the sentence, as συνήλθον πάντες πλην οἱ Νέωνος all assembled except the men under Neon X. A. 7. 3. 2.

a. πλην οὐ only not, except (2753); πλην ή except, as οὐ γὰρ ἄλλφ γ΄ ὑπακούσαιμεν... πλην ή Προδίκφ we would not listen to any one (else) except Prodicus Ar. Nub. 361; πλην ὅτι except that; πλην εἰ except if, cp. εἰ μή (nisi si), after a negative πλην εἰ μή; often with the verb omitted, as οὐδεὶs οἶδεν... πλην εἴ τις ἄρ' δρνις no one knows except perhaps some bird Ar. Av. 601.

b. πλήν may be followed by the infinitive, as τί σοι πέπρακται πράγμα πλήν τεύχειν κακά; what hast thou accomplished save to work mischief? A. Eum. 125.

#### ΤÉ

- 2967. 76 and (postpositive, and enclitic as -que) is generally used with a correlative conjunction.
- **2968.**  $\tau \epsilon$  alone sometimes in prose links whole clauses or sentences which serve to explain, amplify, supplement, or to denote a consequence of, what precedes (and thus, and therefore, and as a result). Thus,  $\delta \delta' \epsilon \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \nu \ldots \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \delta' \epsilon \tau' a \dot{\nu} \tau \delta' \epsilon \kappa \tau o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \sigma o \nu \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta a \iota but he was angry and (therefore) ordered him to get out of the way X. A. 1.5. 14. Cp. 2978.$
- a. This use of  $\tau \epsilon$  ( $\tau \epsilon$  consequential) is quite common in Herodotus and Thucydides, rather rare in Xenophon, and infrequent in other prose writers. It occurs also in poetry.
- N. In poetry  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$  alone (cp. -que) often connects single parallel nouns and pronouns so that the two connected ideas form a whole; as  $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \rho \nu \tau \bar{\iota} \mu \dot{\alpha} s$   $\tau \epsilon$  sceptre and prerogatives A. Pr. 171. In prose, participles and infinitives are occasionally linked by  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$ ; as  $\kappa a \theta z \alpha \omega \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \bar{a}$  odva  $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega s$   $\tau \epsilon$   $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \phi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta$  being fairer and dressed more becomingly X. (). 10. 12.

- **2969.**  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$  (or  $\kappa a \dot{t}$ ) meaning both may be followed by asyndeton (S. Ant. 296).
- **2970.** Homer often, and Herodotus sometimes, adds  $\tau \epsilon$  to relative pronouns and conjunctions introducing subordinate clauses, which are usually postpositive. So after  $\delta s$ ,  $\delta \sigma o s$ ,  $\delta c s$ ,  $\delta \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \tau \epsilon i$ ,  $\epsilon \nu \theta a$ ,  $\delta \theta i$ , etc. Thus,  $\phi i \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \nu$   $\epsilon \kappa$   $\Delta \iota \delta s$ ,  $\delta s$   $\tau \epsilon$   $\theta \epsilon o \delta \tau \iota$ . . .  $\delta \nu \delta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$  they were loved by Zeus, who rules over the gods B 669. This untranslatable  $\tau \epsilon$  is probably connective (not indefinite), and belongs to the whole clause. It has the effect of showing that its clause corresponds in some way to the preceding clause.  $\delta s$   $\tau \epsilon$  is found in lyric poetry and in the lyric parts of tragedy (rarely in dialogue parts).  $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , olds  $\tau \epsilon$  became common.
- **2971.** This connective force is also seen when  $\tau \epsilon$  stands in the principal clause, sometimes both in the principal and in the subordinate clause, e.g. ös  $\kappa \epsilon$  deoîs  $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \theta \eta \tau a\iota$ ,  $\mu \Delta a \tau$   $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota v \sigma u \partial \tau v$  whosoever obeys the gods, him especially they hear A 218,  $\delta \pi \pi \eta \tau$   $\delta \theta \partial \tau$   $\delta \tau$
- 2973.  $\vec{\tau}$ ε...  $\vec{\tau}$ ε usually serves to connect clauses, less frequently single words. In English and often suffices, but as... so is often in place.  $\vec{\tau}$ ε...  $\vec{\tau}$ ε is more common in poetry than in prose, but in prose more common than  $\vec{\tau}$ ε standing alone. Thus,  $\pi a \vec{\tau} \dot{\eta} \rho$   $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$   $\tau \varepsilon$   $\theta \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \nu$   $\tau \varepsilon$   $father of men and gods A 544, έμοι <math>\tau \varepsilon$   $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$   $\pi o \dot{\lambda} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu o \dot{\omega}$  A σσύριοι, σοι  $\tau \varepsilon$   $\nu \dot{0} \nu$   $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\chi} \dot{\theta}$  to  $\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\zeta}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$  in  $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$   $\dot{\tau}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$   $\dot{\varepsilon}$
- a. One clause may be negative, the other affirmative (T. 2.22); but we usually have obte instead of  $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$  od.
- 2974. τè καί or τè . . . καί often serves to unite complements, both similars and opposites. τè . . . καί is not used when one clause is subordinate to another. The two words or clauses thus united may show a contrast, or the second may be stronger than the first. τέ is commonly separated from καί by one or more words. τè . . . καί is weaker than καί . . . καί, and will not easily bear the translation both . . . απd. It is rare in colloquial Attic. Thus, άρχειν τε καὶ ἄρχεσθαι to rule απd be ruled Χ. Α. 1. 9.4, κάλλιστόν τε καὶ ἄριστον fairest απd best 2. 1. 9, τό τ' ἀρχειν καὶ τὸ δουλεύειν to rule απd to be a slave A. Pr. 927, βία τε κούχ ἐκών by force and not willingly S. O. C. 935, γυμνάσαι . . . ἐαυτόν τε καὶ τούς ἵππους to exercise himself and his horses Χ. Α. 1. 2. 7. Clauses dissimilar in form may be linked by τè . . καί; as ἀπεκρίνατο διὰ βραχέων τε καὶ αὐτὰ τὰ ἐρωτώμενα he answered briefly and only the questions put to him P. Pr. 336 a.
- **2975.**  $\tau \grave{\epsilon} \ldots \kappa a l$  is often used of actions coincident in time, or of actions standing in a causal relation to each other; as  $\mathring{\eta}\mu \ell \rho \bar{a} \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu \ \mathring{\nu}\pi \acute{\epsilon}\phi a \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa a l \epsilon l s \tau \delta$   $\mu \acute{\epsilon}\sigma \nu \ \mathring{\eta}\kappa \rho \nu$  of  $\mathring{a}\rho \chi \rho \nu \tau \epsilon s$  day was just breaking and (=when) the officers came into the centre of the camp X. A. 3. 3. 1 (temporal parataxis; cp. 2169).
- **2976.**  $\tau \grave{\epsilon}$  . . . καί is sometimes used of alternatives (for  $\epsilon \acute{l}\tau \epsilon$  . . .  $\epsilon \acute{l}\tau \epsilon$ ). Thus,  $\theta \epsilon o \hat{\nu}$   $\tau \epsilon$   $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$   $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu \tau o s$  . . . καὶ  $\mu \grave{\gamma}$   $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu \tau o s$  whether God wills or not A. Sept. 427. Here καὶ . . . καὶ is more common (2877).

- **2977.** We find  $\tau \in \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots \tau \in \tau \in \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots \tau \in \ldots$   $\tau \in \ldots$   $\tau \in \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots$   $\tau \in \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots$   $\tau \in \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots$   $\kappa \alpha \wr \ldots$   $\tau \in \ldots$  But in prose  $\tau \in \omega$  before and after  $\kappa \alpha i$  is rare.
- **2978.** When  $\tau \epsilon$  follows  $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a l$ ,  $\tau \epsilon$  does not point back to  $\kappa a l$ , but denotes an addition to the preceding member (and besides). Thus,  $\tau \epsilon l \chi \eta \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon h \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$   $\kappa a l \kappa a l \kappa a \rho a \delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$   $\phi \delta \rho \rho \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu o l b ch destroying their walls and surrendering their ships and besides assessing tribute on themselves T. 1.108. Cp. 2968.$
- **2979.** καί  $\tau\epsilon$  is Epic; elsewhere the καί of καὶ . . .  $\tau\epsilon$  belongs to the whole clause (A.Ch. 252).
- 2980. ἄλλως τε καί both in other ways and especially, on other grounds and particularly, or simply especially. This combination usually stands before conditional clauses (or clauses with a conditional participle), causal, and temporal clauses. Thus, χαλεπὸν οἶμαι διαβαίνειν ἄλλως τε καὶ πολεμίων πολλῶν ἔμπροσθεν ὅντων I think it hard to cross, especially when the enemy faces us in full force X. A. 5. 6. 9, πάντων . . . ἀποστερεῖσθαι λῦπηρόν ἐστι . . . , ἄλλως τε καν ὑπ' ἐχθροῦ το στοῦτο συμβαίνη it is grievous to be deprived of anything, especially if this happens to any one at the hands of a personal enemy D. 18. 5. Cp. τά τ' ἄλλα ἐτίμησε καὶ μῦρίους ἔδωκε δᾶρεικούς he both honoured me in other ways and gave me ten thousand darics X. A. 1. 3. 3.
- **2981.**  $\tau \epsilon \ldots \delta \epsilon$  is used when a writer begins as if he were going simply to add the second member (both . . . and), but instead contrasts it with the first. This combination of copulative and adversative particles is often rendered less harsh by the form of the  $\delta \epsilon$  clause and by other reasons. (a) The  $\delta \epsilon$  clause contains a κal; as  $\tilde{a}\mu a$  (ξπειτα, ξτι, πολλαχοῦ, ωσαύτως) δὲ κal; e.g. ξν τε τῆ τῶν έπῶν ποιήσει πολλαχοῦ δὲ κal ἄλλοθι, lit. both in the construction of epic poetry but also in many other cases P. R. 394 c. (b) The second clause contains a formula with δέ but not with κal; as ἔτι δέ, τl δέ, τδ δὲ κεφάλαιον, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα. Thus, πρότερόν τε . . . νῦν δὲ (both) formerly . . . but now X. H. 7.1.24. Cp. P. L. 664 b, 947 a, 967 d. (c) After a considerable interval occasioned by the extension of the τέ clause, it is natural to resume with δέ. So T. 6. 83. 1, X. A. 7. 8. 11, X. C. 2. 1. 22, L. 2. 17.
  - 2982. Rare combinations are, e.g.:
- η ... τέ instead of η ... η. Thus, η παίδες νεαροί χηραί τε γυναίκες either young children and (= or) widowed women B 289. τέ... η is often emended in X.O. 20.12, P. Men. 95 b.
- $\tau$ è... οὐδέ (μηδέ) with  $\tau$ é instead of οὔτε (μήτε); as E.I.T.697, P.Pol.271 e.  $\tau$ é is not followed by οὔτε (μήτε).
- **2983.** Position of  $\tau \epsilon$ .  $\tau \epsilon$  usually follows the word with which the sentence or sentence-part to be connected is most concerned. Apart from many irregularities there are certain exceptions to this rule which are commonly observed.
- a.  $\tau \epsilon$  may come between two words which go closely together, as between article (preposition, attributive genitive) and its noun. Thus,  $\tau \delta$  τε βαρβαρικόν και τὸ Ἑλληνικόν the barbarian and the Greek force X. A. 1. 2. 1,  $\epsilon l \mu \mu$  πρός τε λουτρὰ και λειμώνας I will go to the bathing places and the meadows S. Aj. 654 (for πρὸς λουτρά τε). But  $\dot{\eta}$  πόλις τε και  $\dot{\eta}$ μεῖς οἱ νόμοι the State and we the laws P. Cr. 53 a.

b. τέ connecting an entire clause stands as near as possible to the begin-

ning. Cp. X. A. 1.8.3.

 $\epsilon$ .  $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$  may stand after a word or expression which, though common to two members of a clause, is placed either at the beginning (especially after a preposition) or in the second member. Thus, ἄ τε δεῖ φίλια καὶ (ἀ δεῖ) πολέμια ἡμᾶs roul(sir what we must consider as belonging to our friends and what to our enemies X. C. 5. 2. 21, έν τε τώ θερμοτέρω και ψυχροτέρω in the hotter and colder P. Phil. 24 b, απασι φίλον ανδρα τε σοφώτατον a man dear to all and most wise Ar. Vesp. 1277.

d. The freer position of  $\tau \epsilon$  is often due to the fact that several words are taken as forming a single notion. Thus, ή καλλίστη δή πολῖτείᾶ τε καὶ ὁ κάλλι-

στος ἀνήρ the very noblest constitution and the noblest man P. R. 562 a.

#### τοί

- 2984. Tol (postpositive and enclitic) in truth, surely, doubtless, mark you, be assured, you (must) know, was originally the dative of feeling (1486) of σύ.
- a. This τοί (Sanskrit tē), found in all dialects, is to be distinguished from Doric  $\tau$ ol  $(=\sigma$ ol) from  $\tau_F$ ol (Skt. tve).  $\tau$ ol may thus occur in the same sentence with σοί; as τοιαθτά τοί σοι . . . λέγω S. fr. 25.
- 2985. Tol is often used in statements of a general truth and in expressions of personal conviction (sometimes with a tone of hesitation); in remarks of a confidential nature; to introduce an explanation; and in general where the special attention of the person addressed is desired. rol often gives an easy and familiar tone to a reply. Thus, των τοι ματαίων ἀνδράσιν φρονημάτων ἡ γλώσσ' άληθης γίγνεται κατήγορος true it is that of men's vain conceits their tongue is the true accuser A. Sept. 438, ἀεί τοι ὁ Κέβης λόγους τινὰς ἀνερευνῷ for Cebes, you know, is always investigating some speculation or other P. Ph. 63 a.

a.  $\tau$ oí may emphasize particular words, as  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\tau$ oι,  $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ oί  $\tau$ oι,  $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$   $\tau$ oι; and other words not pronouns.

- 2986. τοι is frequently used after other particles, as άλλά, γάρ, γέ, δή (and γέ τοι δή, cp. δή τοι . . . γε), έπεί because, μή, οὐ (οὕτοι). On ἤτοι, see 2858; on καίτοι, 2893; on μέντοι, 2917.
- 2987. The inferential conjunctions τοιγάρ, τοιγαροῦν, τοιγάρτοι, τοίνυν contain τοί, the locative of the demonstrative τό, which case had the meaning of  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$   $(\tau \hat{\omega})$  therefore, on this account, so lit. by that, (This  $au\hat{\varphi}$  is chiefly Epic, and stands at the beginning of the therein. Cp. 76 therefore T 176, S. Ph. 142.)

τοιγάρ (prepositive; Ionic and poetic) therefore, wherefore, so then, that is surely the reason why (often to announce a purpose).

τοιγαροῦν, τοιγάρτοι (both prepositive) are more emphatic than τοιγάρ. final syllable of τοιγάρτοι is the τοί of 2984.

τοίνυν (postpositive and post-Homeric; -νυν 2927) is transitional (now then, further) or inferential (therefore, accordingly; less emphatic than τοιγάρ). τοίνυν is common when a speaker refers to something present in his mind, when he continues or resumes what he has been saying, and when he passes to a new aspect of a subject. It is often found with imperatives  $(\sigma\kappa\delta\pi\epsilon\iota \ \tau o\ell\nu\nu\nu P. Cr. 51c)$ .

## ພ້ຽ, ພ້ຽ

2988. Demonstrative  $\tilde{\omega}_s$  (also accented  $\tilde{\omega}_s$ ,  $\tilde{\omega}_s$ ) thus, so is originally an ablative from the demonstrative stem  $\delta$ - (from  $\sigma_0$ -), from which come the article and  $\tilde{\delta}_s$  he in  $\kappa a \tilde{\iota}$   $\tilde{\delta}_s$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}$   $\tilde{\delta}$   $\tilde{\delta}_s$  (1113). For the -s, see 341. Cp. also  $\tilde{\omega}$ - $\delta \epsilon$  thus.

So kal  $\omega$ s even so, nevertheless, où  $\delta$ '  $(\mu\eta\delta')$   $\omega$ 's not even thus, in no wise,  $\omega$ s a $\delta\tau\omega$ s  $(\omega\sigma$ a $\omega\tau\omega$ s) in the same way, just so (ablative of  $\delta$  a $\omega\tau\delta$ s).  $\omega$ s  $\delta\tau\delta$ p $\omega$ s (lit. thus otherwise, in that other way) quite otherwise and  $\omega$ s  $\delta\lambda\eta\theta\omega$ s (lit. thus truly) in very truth also probably belong here.

a. In some cases it is uncertain whether os is demonstrative or relative;

e.g. \(\psi\)s in exclamatory clauses. Cp. 2998, 3001.

2989. Relative  $\dot{\omega}_s$  as, how is originally an ablative (in which way) from the relative stem  $\dot{\omega}_s$ , whence come also  $\dot{\omega}_s$ ,  $\ddot{\eta}$ ,  $\ddot{\delta}$ . For the -s, see 341. Relative  $\dot{\omega}_s$  has various uses as an adverb or a conjunction, all of which represent the primitive meaning.

## Relative &s as an Adverb

- **2990.** In comparative clauses, often correlated with ούτωs. Thus, πιστὸs <math>ην, ωs υμεῖs ἐπίστασθε I was faithful, as you know X. A. 3. 3. 2, ἐκέλενσε τοὺs Ἑλληνας, <math>ωs νομοs αὐτοῖs εἰs μάχην, οὕτω ταχθῆναι he ordered the Greeks (thus) to be stationed as was their custom for battle 1. 2. 15. Cp. 2462 ff. In similes and comparisons, 2481 ff.
- 2991. ωs is rarely used for ή after comparatives; as μή μου προκήδου μᾶσσον ως έμοι γλυκύ care not for me further than I wish A. Pr. 629. Cp. 1071.
- 2992. In adverbial clauses ώs is often used parenthetically; as ώs ἐμοὶ δοκεί as it seems to me. Instead of ώs δοκεί, ώs ἔοικε the personal construction is often preferred; as ἀπέπλευσαν, ώs μὲν τοῖς πλείστοις ἐδόκουν, φιλοτῖμηθέντες they sailed away out of jealousy, as it seemed to most people X. A. 1.4.7.
- 2993. ὁς restrictive for (cp. ut), involving the judgment of the observer, occurs often in elliptical phrases; as (Βρᾶσίδᾶς) ἢν οὐδὲ ἀδύνατος, ὡς Λακεδαιμόνιος, εἰπεῖν Brasidas was, for a Lacedaemonian, not a bad speaker either T. 4. 84, ταῦτα ἀκούσᾶς Ξέρξης ὡς ἐκ κακῶν ἐχάρη on hearing this Xerxes rejoiced as much as could be expected considering his misfortunes Hdt. 8. 101. On ὡς restrictive with the dative, cp. 1495 a, 1497; with the absolute infinitive, 2012.
  - 2994. is often used to heighten a superlative (1086).
- **2995.** With numerals and words indicating degree  $\dot{\omega}s$  means about, nearly, not far from; as  $\dot{\delta}\pi\lambda i\tau \bar{\alpha}s \ \xi\chi \omega\nu \ \dot{\omega}s \ \pi\epsilon\nu\tau a\kappa\sigma\sigma lovs \ having about five hundred hoplites X. A. i. 2. 3, <math>\dot{\omega}s \ \dot{\epsilon}\pi i \ \pi o\lambda \dot{\omega}$  for the most part P. R. 377 b (lit. about over the great(er) part).

- 2996.  $\dot{\omega}_s$  often indicates the thought or the assertion of the subject of the principal verb or of some other person prominent in the sentence. Here  $\dot{\omega}_s$  expresses a real intention or an avowed plea. So often with participles (2086); and also with the prepositions  $\dot{\epsilon}_{is}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\pi}\dot{t}$ ,  $\pi\rho\dot{\delta}_s$ ; as  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}_{0}\nu$ ...  $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}$   $\tau \hat{\eta}_s$   $\Sigma\iota\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\dot{l}as$   $\dot{\omega}_s$   $\dot{\epsilon}_s$   $\tau \hat{\alpha}_s$  'Abhrās they sailed away from Sicily as though bound for Athens T. 6.61.
- 2997. ώς ξκαστος means each for himself; as ἀπέπλευσαν έξ Έλλησπόντου ώς ξκαστοι (ἀπέπλευσαν) κατὰ πόλεις they sailed away from the Hellespont each to his own State T. 1. 89.
- 2998.  $\dot{\omega}_s$  exclamatory (2682) may be the relative adverb  $\dot{\omega}_s$  how, the relative clause originally being used in explanation of an exclamation. Exclamatory  $\dot{\omega}_s$  has also been explained as  $\dot{\omega}_s$  demonstrative (so).

2999. On &s in wishes, see 1815.

## Relative &s as a Conjunction

3000. &s conjunctive is found in dependent clauses.

Declarative: that, like öti. Cp. 2577 ff., 2614 ff.

Final: that, in order that; like ira, but not used in standard Attic prose. Cp. 2198.
Object clauses after verbs of effort: that, like ὅπως; cp. 2200. Rarely after verbs of fearing: that. Cp. 2235.

Causal: as, inasmuch as, since, seeing that, like ὅτι, ἐπεί, etc. Cp. 2240.

Consecutive: so that, like ωστε. Usually with the infinitive, sometimes with the indicative. Cp. 2260.

Temporal: after, like  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ ; sometimes when, whenever. Cp. 2383.

**3001.**  $\dot{\omega}_s$  is often found before sentences apparently independent, where it is sometimes explained as a conjunction with the verb suppressed. Thus,  $\dot{\omega}_s \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \delta'$   $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa o \hat{\omega} \sigma \pi a i \delta \delta_s o \hat{\upsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a i$  (know) that of my own accord I will not relinquish my child E. Hec. 400,  $\dot{\omega}_s \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\upsilon}$   $\mu o i \tau \dot{\upsilon} \rho a \nu v o s' A \rho \gamma \epsilon l \omega \nu' \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota$  (do you mean) that you forsooth shall be lord and master of Aryives A. Ag. 1633. Some scholars regard this  $\dot{\omega}_s$  as causal, others regard it as demonstrative, others as comparative.

## űs as, like

**3002.**  $\tilde{\omega}_S$  as, like (postpositive) in Hom., as  $\tilde{o}\rho\nu\bar{\iota}\theta\epsilon_S$   $\tilde{\omega}_S$   $\Gamma$  2, stands for  $\epsilon\omega_S$ , which is of uncertain origin.

#### ώς to

3003. &s to, a preposition with persons (once in Hom.,  $\rho$  218) is obscure in origin.

# SOME GRAMMATICAL AND RHETORICAL FIGURES

**3004.** Anacolūthon (ἀνακόλουθον inconsequent), or grammatical inconsistency, is inadvertent or purposed deviation in the structure of a sentence by which a construction started at the beginning is not followed out consistently. Anacoluthon is sometimes real, sometimes

- only slight or apparent. It is natural to Greek by reason of the mobility and elasticity of that language; but in English it could not be tolerated to an equal extent because our tongue—a speech of few inflected forms—is much more rigid than Greek.
- **3005.** Anacoluthon is, in general, caused either (a) by the choice of some form of expression more convenient or more effective than that for which the sentence was grammatically planned; at times, too, the disturbing influence is the insertion of a brief expression of an additional thought not foreseen at the start. Or (b) by the intrusion of some explanation requiring a parenthesis of such an extent that the connection is obscured or the continuation of the original structure made difficult. In this case the beginning may be repeated, or what has already been said may be summed up in a different grammatical form and sometimes with the addition of a resumptive particle, such as  $\delta\eta$ ,  $\delta\bar{\nu}$  well then, then, as I was saying (X. A. 1. 8. 13, 3. 1. 20, X. C. 3. 3. 9). So with  $\delta\epsilon$  (T. 8. 29. 2).
- **3006.** Anacoluthon usually produces the effect of naturalness and liveliness, sometimes of greater clearness (as after long parentheses), or of brevity, force, or concentration.
- **3007.** Anacoluthon is either natural or artificial. Natural anacoluthon is seen in the loose and discursive style of Herodotus; in the closely packed sentences of Thucydides, who hurries from one thought to another with the least expenditure of words; and in the slovenliness of Andocides. Artificial or rhetorical anacoluthon is the result of a deliberate purpose to give to written language the vividness, naturalness, and unaffected freedom of the easy flow of conversation, and is best seen in the dialogues of Plato. Such anacoluthon is usually graceful and free from obscurity.
  - 3008. There are very many forms of anacoluthon, e.g.
- a. Many cases are due to the fact that a writer conforms his construction, not to the words which he has just used, but to another way in which the antecedent thought might have been expressed: the construction πρὸς τὸ νοούμενον (οr σημαινόμενον) according to what is thought. Cp. 2148 and X. H. 2. 2. 3, S. O. T. 353, E. Hec. 970.
  - b. Some cases are due to changes in the subject, as T. 1.18.2.
  - c. Many cases occur in connection with the use of a participle (2147, 2148).
- d. Coördinate clauses connected by  $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \ldots \kappa a l$ ,  $\kappa a l \ldots \kappa a l$ , od  $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \ldots$  od  $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \ldots$  of  $t \in \mathcal{L}$ . . . . % often show an acoluthon, especially when a finite verb takes the place of a participle. Cp. 2147 c, and T. 5. 61. 4, 6. 32. 3, 7. 47. 1–2.
- e. The nominative "in suspense" may stand at the head of a sentence instead of another case required by the following construction. This involves a redundant pronoun. Thus, Πρόξενος δὲ καὶ Μένων, ἐπείπερ εἰσὶν ὑμέτεροι εὐεργέται . . . πέμψατε αὐτοὺς δεῦρο (for Πρόξενον καὶ Μένωνα . . . πέμψατε δεῦρο) Χ. Α. 2. 5.41. Cp. "The prince that feeds great natures, they will slay him:" Ben Jonson.
- f. The accusative often stands absolutely when at the head of a sentence. Thus,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}\nu$  kal  $\tau\bar{\iota}\mu\dot{a}s$   $\gamma\epsilon$ ...,  $\tau\omega\nu$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\mu\epsilon\theta\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\iota$  kal  $\gamma\epsilon\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\omega}\nu$ ,  $\dot{a}s$   $\dot{a}\nu$   $\dot{\eta}\gamma\dot{\eta}\tau\alpha\iota$   $\dot{a}\mu\dot{\epsilon}\iota\nu\omega$   $a\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}\nu$   $\pi o\dot{\iota}\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$ ,  $\dot{a}s$   $\dot{s}$   $\dot{s}$  ...  $\dot{\phi}\epsilon\dot{\nu}\xi\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$  but furthermore as regards honours, those he will partake of and be glad to taste which he thinks will make him a

better man, but others he will shun P. R. 591 e, "Eddynas tods év  $\tau \hat{g}$  'Asla oikoûvtas oddév πω σαφès λέγεται εί ἔπονται (for λέγουσιν εί ἔπονται or λέγεται ἔπεσθαι) as to the Greeks who dwell in Asia there is as yet no certain intelligence whether they are to accompany the expedition X. C. 2. 1.5.

- h. After a subordinate clause with parentheses the main clause sometimes follows in the form of an independent sentence (P. A. 28 c, cp. 36 a).
- i. An infinitive may resume the idea set forth by the principal verb; as τοῦ δὲ θεοῦ τάττοντος, ὡς ἐγὼ ψήθην τε καὶ ὑπέλαβον, φιλοσοφοῦντά με δεῖν ζῆν κτλ. whereas when God orders me, as I think and believe, to pass my life in the pursuit of wisdom, etc. P. A. 28 e. Cp. X. H. 7. 4. 35.
- j. Anacoluthon is sometimes due to the desire to maintain similarity of form between contrasted expressions; as  $\tau$ οὺς μὲν γὰρ ἱπποκενταύρους οἶμαι ἔγωγε πολλοῖς μὲν ἀπορεῖν τῶν ἀνθρώποις ηὑρημένων ἀγαθῶν ὅπως δεῖ χρῆσθαι, πολλοῖς δὲ τῶν ἵπποις πεφῦνότων ἡδέων πῶς αὐτῶν χρὴ ἀπολαύειν for I think that the horse-centaurs were at a loss how to make use of many conveniences devised for men and how to enjoy many of the pleasures natural to horses X. C. 4. 3. 19. Here πολλοῖς δὲ is used as if it were to be governed by χρῆσθαι, instead of which αὐτῶν ἀπολαύειν is substituted.
- **3009.** Anadiplōsis (ἀναδίπλωσις doubling) is the rhetorical repetition of one or several words. Cp. "The Isles of Greece, the Isles of Greece, where burning Sappho loved and sung:" Byron.
- Θηβαι δέ, Θηβαι πόλις ἀστυγείτων, μεθ' ημέραν μίαν έκ μέσης της Έλλάδος ἀνήρπασται Thebes, Thebes, a neighbouring city, in the course of one day has been extirpated from the midst of Greece Aes. 3. 133.
- **3010.** Anaphora (ἀναφορά carrying back) is the repetition, with emphasis, of the same word or phrase at the beginning of several successive clauses. This figure is also called *epanaphora* or *epanalepsis*. Cp. "Strike as I would Have struck those tyrants! Strike deep as my curse! Strike! and but once:" Byron.

οδτοι γὰρ πολλούς μὲν τῶν πολῖτῶν εἰς τοὺς πολεμίους ἐξήλασαν, πολλοὺς δ' ἀδίκως ἀποκτείναντες ἀτάφους ἐποίησαν, πολλοὺς δ' ἐπιτίμους ὅντας ἀτίμους κατέστησαν many of the citizens they drove out to the enemy; many they slew unjustly and left unburied; many who were in possession of their civic rights they deprived of them L. 12. 21. Cp. D. 18. 48, 75, 121, 310.

**3011.** Anastrophe (ἀναστροφή return) is the use, at the beginning of one clause, of the same word that concluded the preceding clause.

- Also called *epanastrophe*. Cp. "Has he a gust for blood? Blood shall fill his cup."
- οὐ δήπου Κτησιφῶντα δύναται διώκειν δι' ἐμέ, ἐμὲ δ' εἴπερ ἐξελέγξειν ἐνόμιζεν, αὐτὸν οὐκ ἀν ἐγράψατο for surely it cannot be that he is prosecuting Ctesiphon on my account, and yet would not have indicted me myself, if he had thought that he could convict me D.18. 13.
- **3012.** Antistrophe (ἀντιστροφή turning about) is the repetition of the same word or phrase at the end of successive clauses.
- δστις δ' ἐν τῷ πρώτω λόγω τὴν ψῆφον alτεῖ ὅρκον aἰτεῖ, νόμον aἰτεῖ, δημοκρατίαν aἰτεῖ whoever in his first speech asks for your vote as a favour, asks the surrender of your oath, asks the surrender of the law, asks the surrender of the democratic constitution Aes. 3. 198.
- **3013**. Antithesis ( $\partial \nu \tau' \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$  opposition) is the contrast of ideas expressed by words which are the opposite of, or are closely contrasted with, each other. Cp. "Wit is negative, analytical, destructive; Humor is creative:" Whipple.
- δι' ὧν ἐκ χρηστῶν φαῦλα τὰ πράγματα τῆς πόλεως γέγονε, διὰ τούτων ἐλπίζετε τῶν αὐτῶν πράξεων ἐκ φαύλων αὐτὰ χρηστὰ γενήσεσθαι; do you expect that the affairs of state will become prosperous instead of bad by the same measures by which they have become bad instead of prosperous? D. 2. 26.
- a. Antithesis is sometimes extended to a parallelism in sense effected (1) by the use of two words of opposite meaning in the expression of one idea, (2) by the opposition of ideas which are not specifically contrasted in words.
- 3014. Aporia  $(\hat{a}\pi o \rho i \bar{a} \ doubt)$  is an artifice by which a speaker feigns doubt as to where he shall begin or end or what he shall do or say, etc. Cp. "Then the steward said within himself, What shall I do?" St. Luke 16. 3.

άπορῶ τοῦ πρώτου μνησθῶ I am uncertain what I shall recall first D. 18, 129. When the doubt is between two courses it is often called diaporēsis.

- 3015. Aposiopēsis (ἀποσιώπησις becoming silent) is a form of ellipse by which, under the influence of passionate feeling or of modesty, a speaker comes to an abrupt halt. Examples 2352 d, D. 18. 3, 22, 195, S. O. T. 1289, Ar. Vesp. 1178. Cp. "Massachusetts and her people... hold him, and his love... and his principles, and his standard of truth in utter—what shall I say?—anything but respect:" Webster.
- **3016.** Asyndeton (ἀσύνδετον not bound together) is the absence of conjunctions in a series of coördinate words or phrases. See 2165 ff.
- a. Here is sometimes placed the omission of the verb after  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$  σύ  $\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$ , etc.); as  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\tau\rho\iota\dot{\beta}a\ddot{s}$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\iota$  ( $\piοιε\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta\epsilon$ ) no more delays! S. Ant. 577,  $\tau\iota$ s οὐχὶ κατέπυσεν αν σοῦ;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$  ( $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ ) τ $\dot{\eta}$ s πόλεως  $\gamma\epsilon$ ,  $\mu\eta\dot{\delta}$ ) èμοῦ who would not have reviled you? Do not say the State, nor me D. 18. 200. Cp. 946, 1599.
- 3017. Brachylogy ( $\beta \rho a \chi v \lambda o \gamma i \bar{a}$  brevity of diction, abbreviated expression or construction) is a concise form of expression by which an

element is not repeated or is omitted when its repetition or use would make the thought or the grammatical construction complete. The suppressed element must be supplied from some corresponding word in the context, in which case it often appears with some change of form or construction; or it must be taken from the connection of the thought.

a. Brachylogy and ellipse cannot always be distinguished sharply. In ellipse the suppressed word is not to be supplied from a corresponding word in the context; and, in general, ellipse is less artificial and less dependent on the momentary and arbitrary will of the speaker or writer. Compendious Comparison (1501), Praegnans Constructio (3044), and Zeugma (3048) are forms of brachylogy.

## 3018. There are many forms of brachylogy; for example:

- b. A verb must often be supplied from a coördinate or subordinate clause either preceding or following. Thus,  $\xi\gamma\epsilon\iota\rho\epsilon$  kal  $\sigma\dot{\nu}$   $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\dot{\delta}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$   $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}$  do you wake her, as I (wake) you A. Eum. 140,  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$   $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  a  $\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\delta}\chi\epsilon\iota\rho$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\mu\dot{\gamma}$  ( $\dot{\tilde{\eta}}$ ), bounefor  $\dot{\delta}\dot{\epsilon}$  davator is allow  $\dot{\epsilon}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\varphi$  if a person shall not kill with his own hand, but if some one shall suggest murder to another P. L. 872 a;  $\dot{\phi}\iota\lambda\sigma\nu$  roul( $\dot{\zeta}\sigma\nu$ ) regarding as friends even those whom thy husband (so regards) E. Med. 1153. A verb is rarely supplied from the subordinate to the main construction.
- c. In clauses with  $\delta\epsilon\hat{i}$ ,  $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$  etc.:  $i\nu\alpha$   $\phi a i\nu\eta\sigma\theta\epsilon$   $\dot{a}\mu\dot{b}\nu\rho\nu\tau\epsilon s$  ofs  $\delta\epsilon\hat{i}$   $(\dot{a}\mu\dot{b}\nu\epsilon\nu)$  that you may seem to assist those you ought (to assist) T. 3. 13. When a form of  $\tau\nu\gamma\chi\dot{a}\nu\omega$  stands in the subordinate clause;  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\pi\lambda\epsilon\nu\sigma a\nu$   $\dot{\omega}s$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\kappa a\sigma\tau o\iota$   $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\nu\chi o\nu$   $(\dot{a}\pi\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\tau\epsilon s)$  they sailed away as each best could T. 4. 25. In conditional clauses when the protasis indicates that the assertion made in the apodosis holds true of a person or a thing more than of any other person or thing  $(\epsilon i'\pi\epsilon\rho \tau\iota s \kappa a i \ddot{a}\lambda\lambda s, \epsilon i'\pi\epsilon\rho \pi o\nu, \epsilon i'\pi\epsilon\rho \pi o\tau\dot{\epsilon}, \, \dot{\omega}s \tau\iota s \kappa a i \ddot{a}\lambda\lambda s$ , etc.); as  $\sigma\nu\mu\phi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\iota \, \delta^{\gamma}\,\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\nu}\nu$ ,  $\epsilon i'\pi\epsilon\rho \tau\omega \kappa a i \ddot{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ ,  $\tau\dot{\delta}\nu\dot{\nu}\dot{\kappa}a\nu$  victory is of advantage to you, if it (is of advantage) to any X. C. 3. 3. 42. Hence  $\epsilon i'\tau\iota s \, (\pi\sigma\nu, \pi\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu)$  is almost  $=\tau is$ , etc. (T. 7. 21. 5).
- d. Compound verbs (especially those compounded with  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ ) are often so used that the force both of the compound and of the simple verb is requisite to the meaning. Thus, (oi ' $\Lambda\theta\eta\nu\alpha\hat{a}$ oi)  $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\nu\omega\sigma\alpha\nu$  Kernopalois  $\xi\nu\mu\mu\alpha\chi(\bar{a}\nu\mu\eta)$   $\pi$ oi $\eta\sigma\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha$  the Athenians changed their minds and decided not to make an alliance with the Corcyraeans T. 1. 44.
- e. A compound verb on its second occurrence often omits the preposition (rarely vice versa); as ἀπεργάζηται . . . εἰργάζετο P. Ph. 104 d. Euripides is

fond of such collocations as ὑπάκουσον άκουσον Alc. 400. Cp. the difference in metrical value of repeated words in Shakespeare, as "These violent desires have violent ends."

- N. In και ξυμμετίσχω και φέρω τῆs alτίās I share and bear alike the guilt (S. Ant. 537) φέρω, though capable of taking the partitive genitive, is influenced by ξυμμετίσχω and has the force of ξυμφέρω.
- f. From a following verb of special meaning a verb of more general meaning, such as ποιεῖν, γίγνεσθαι, εἶναι, must be supplied with the phrases οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἥ, ἄλλο τι ἥ, τί ἄλλο ἥ. Examples in 946, 2652, 2778.
- g. A verb of saying or thinking must often be supplied from a foregoing verb of exhorting, commanding, announcing, or from any other verb that implies saying or thinking. Thus,  $K\rho\iota\tau\delta\rho$ ounds kal A $\pi$ onnouncing kerevount  $\mu\epsilon$   $\tau\rho\iota\dot{\alpha}$ kound  $\mu\epsilon$ k
- h. When two verbs taking the same or different cases have an object in common, that object is expressed only once, and usually is dependent on the nearer verb. See 1634, 1635.
- i. A substantive or a verb is often to be supplied from a substantive or a verb related in meaning:  $\nu \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \chi (\nu \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \chi (\bar{\alpha} \nu))$  having fought one (sea-fight) Ar. Ran. 693,  $\dot{\eta}$   $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \iota \dot{\epsilon}$  äà  $\dot{a}$  à  $\tau \dot{a}$  . . ., Zeòs dè  $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\nu}$  de  $(\ddot{\epsilon} \beta \eta)$  she then sprang into the sea, but Zeus (went) to his abode A 532.
- j. The subject of a sentence is often taken from a preceding object or from some other preceding noun in an oblique case without a pronoun of reference to aid the transition. Thus,  $\xi\xi\epsilon\phi\delta\beta\eta\sigma\alpha\nu$   $\mu\epsilon\nu$  τους πολλούς ουκ είδότας τὰ πρᾶσσόμενα, καὶ ἔφευγον (ol πολλοί) they frightened away most of the citizens, who were in ignorance of the plot and began to fly T. 8. 44. Cp. 943.
- k. In general an object is frequently omitted when it can readily be supplied from the context. Thus,  $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\chi\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\nu$   $(\tau\delta\nu\ o\hat{\epsilon}\nu\nu\nu)$   $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon$  he gave orders to pour in (the wine) X. A. 4.3.13. An unemphatic pronoun in an oblique case is often omitted when it can be supplied from a preceding noun. Cp. 1214.
- 1. A dependent noun must often be supplied, in a different construction, from one coördinate clause to another. Thus,  $\ddot{o}\rho\kappa ovs \, \ddot{\epsilon}\lambda a \beta ov \, \kappa a \, l \, \ddot{\epsilon}\delta o\sigma a \nu \, \pi a \rho \dot{a} \, \Phi a \rho v a \beta \dot{a} \, \zeta ov$  they received oaths from Pharnabazus and gave him theirs X. H. 1.3.9. So in contrasts where one member is to be supplied from the other, as  $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \, \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \epsilon l \nu \eta \nu$ ,  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda' \, \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \epsilon l \nu \eta \, \kappa \epsilon l \nu ov \, \dot{\epsilon}\nu \theta \dot{a}\delta' \, \ddot{\eta}\gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu \, he \, did \, not \, bring \, (her) \, here, \, but \, she brought him E. Or. 742.$
- m. From a preceding word its opposite must often be supplied, especially an affirmative after a negative. Thus,  $d\mu\epsilon\lambda\eta\sigma\bar{a}s$   $\delta\nu\pi\epsilon\rho$  of  $\pi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\delta$  ( $\epsilon\pi\iota\mu\epsilon\lambda\delta\vartheta\nu\tau a\iota$ ) neglecting the very things which most people (care for) P. A. 36 b. This laxity of expression is especially frequent in the case of  $\epsilon\kappa\sigma\tau\sigma s$ ,  $\tau is$ , or  $\pi\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$ , to be supplied after  $\epsilon\lambda\delta s$ , as  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon s$   $\epsilon\lambda\nu$  in  $\epsilon\lambda\delta s$  and  $\epsilon\lambda\delta s$  are  $\epsilon\lambda\delta s$  decorposate let no one wonder at the extravagance of my statement, but let (every one) consider kindly what I say D. 18.199. Cp. "No person held to service or labor in one state . . ., escaping into another, shall . . . be discharged from said service or labor, but shall be delivered up, etc.": U. S. Constitution.
- n. The same word though placed only once may stand in two different constructions; as αἰνέω δὲ καὶ τόνδε (νόμον) . . . μήτε τῶν ἄλλων Περσέων μηδένα τῶν

έωυτοῦ οἰκετέων . . . ἀνήκεστον πάθος ἔρδειν and I approve also this custom that no one of the other Persians shall do irremediable hurt to any one of his own servants Hdt. 1.137. Here μηδένα is both subject and object of ἔρδειν.

- o. An assertion may be made concerning an action or a thing when the absence of that action or thing is meant (res pro rei defectu). Thus, εἴ τ' ἄρ' ὁγ' εὐχωλῆς ἐπιμέμφεται whether then he blames us on account of an (unfulfilled) νου Α 65, ἐν ἢ καὶ περὶ χρημάτων καὶ περὶ ἀτιμίᾶς ἄνθρωποι κινδῦνεύουτιν on which charge men run the risk both of (loss of) money and civil degradation D. 29.
  16. So δύναμις powerlessness, φυλακή neglect of the watch, μελέτημα lack of liberal exercise.
- **3019.** Catachrēsis (κατάχρησις misuse of a word) is the extension of the meaning of a word beyond its proper sphere; especially a violent metaphor. In English: "a palatable tone," "to take arms against a sea of troubles."

δαιμόνιος extraordinary, θαυμάσιος decided, strange, capital, ἀμηχάνως and ὑπερφυῶς decidedly, ὑποπτεύω expect, ναυστολεῖν χθόνα Ε. Med. 682. Such usages are less often occasioned by the poverty of the language than by the caprice of the writer.

3020. Chiasmus ( $\chi \bar{\iota} a \sigma \mu \dot{o} s$  marking with diagonal lines like a X) is the crosswise arrangement of contrasted pairs to give alternate stress. By this figure both the extremes and the means are correlated. Cp. "Sweet is the breath of morn, her rising sweet": Milton.



εν σωμ' εχων καὶ ψυχὴν μίαν having one body and one soul D. 19. 227.

So τοσοῦτον σὰ ἐμοῦ σοφώτερος εἶ τηλικούτου ὅντος τηλικόσδ' ὤν; are you at your age so much wiser than I at mine? P.A.25 d, πῶν μὲν ἔργον πῶν δ' ἔπος λέγοντάς τε καὶ πράττοντας lit. doing every deed and uttering every word P.R. 494 e, δουλεύειν καὶ ἄρχεσθαι . . . ἄρχειν καὶ δεσπόζειν to be a slave and be ruled . . . to rule and be a master P.Ph. 80 a.

· 3021. Climax (κλιμαξ ladder) is an arrangement of clauses in succession whereby the last important word of one is repeated as the first important word of the next, each clause in turn surpassing its predecessor in the importance of the thought. Cp. "But we glory in tribulations also: knowing that tribulation worketh patience... and experience, hope; and hope maketh not ashamed": Romans v. 3–5.

οὐκ εἶπον μὲν ταῦτα, οὐκ ἔγραψα δέ, οὐδ' ἔγραψα μέν, οὐκ ἐπρέσβευσα δέ, οὐδ' ἐπρέσβευσα μέν, οὐκ ἔπείσα δὲ θηβαίους I did not utter these words without proposing a motion; nor did I propose a motion without becoming ambassador; nor did I become ambassador without convincing the Thebans D. 18. 179; cp. 4. 19. This figure is very rare in Greek.

3022. Ellipse (ἔλλεψις leaving out, defect) is the suppression of a word or of several words of minor importance to the logical expres-

- sion of the thought, but necessary to the construction. Ellipse gives brevity, force, and liveliness; it is usually readily to be supplied, often unconscious, and appears especially in common phrases, constructions, and expressions of popular speech (such as  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$   $\dot{\delta}\nu\dot{\nu}\chi\omega\nu$   $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}o\nu\tau\alpha$  to judge a lion from his claws).
- a. Ellipse occurs in the case of substantives and pronouns, subject, object, finite verbs, main clauses, and (less often) subordinate clauses. See the Index under *Ellipse*.
- 3023. Enallage (ἐναλλαγή interchange) is the substitution of one grammatical form for another, as plural for singular (1006–1008). Thus: "They fall successive, and successive rise": Pope.
- **3024.** Euphemism ( $\epsilon i \phi \eta \mu \omega \rho \omega \delta$  lit. speaking favourably) is the substitution of a less direct expression in place of one whose plainer meaning might be unpleasant or offensive. Thus: "The merchant prince had stopped payment" (for "became bankrupt").
- συμφορά occurrence for ἀτύχημα misfortune, ἐτέρωs otherwise = not well, εὐφρόνη 'the kindly time' for νύξ night, εὐώνυμοs left (lit. of good omen, whereas the left was the unlucky side), εἴ τι πάθοι if anything should happen to him = if he should die.
- **3025.** Hendiadys ( $\partial \nu$  δια δυοῦν one by two) is the use of two words connected by a copulative conjunction to express a single complex idea; especially two substantives instead of one substantive and an adjective or attributive genitive.
- χρόνφ καὶ πολιορκία by length of time and siege = by a long siege D. 19. 123, ἐν ἀλὶ κύμασί τε in the waves of the sea E. Hel. 226, ἀσπίδων τε καὶ στρατοῦ = ὑπλισμένου στρατοῦ armed force S. El. 36.
- **3026.** Homoioteleuton ( $\delta\mu$ 010 $\tau$ 6 $\lambda\epsilon\nu$ 70 $\tau$ 0 $\epsilon$ 0 ending alike) is end-rhyme in clauses or verses.
- τὴν μὲν ἀρχὴν εἰς τὸν πόλεμον κατέστησαν ὡς ἐλευθερώσοντες τοὺς Ἑλληνας, ἐπὶ δὲ τελευτῆς οὕτω πολλοὺς αὐτῶν ἐκδότους ἐποίησαν, καὶ τῆς μὲν ἡμετέρᾶς πόλεως τοὺς Ἰωνας ἀπέστησαν, ἐξ ῆς ἀπώκησαν καὶ δι' ἡν πολλάκις ἐσώθησαν in the beginning they entered upon the war with the avowed object of liberating the Greeks, at the end they have betrayed so many of them, and have caused the Ionians to revolt from our State, from which they emigrated and thanks to which they were often saved I. 4. 122. Cp. S. Aj. 62-65. Homoioteleuton is most marked in paromoiosis.
- **3027.** Hypallage  $(i\pi a\lambda \lambda a\gamma \acute{\eta} exchange)$  is a change in the relation of words by which a word, instead of agreeing with the case it logically qualifies, is made to agree grammatically with another case. Hypallage is almost always confined to poetry.
- έμα κήδεα θῦμοῦ the troubles of my spirit ξ 197, νεῖκος ἀνδρῶν ξύναιμον kindred strife of men for strife of kindred men S. Ant. 794. Here the adjective virtually agrees with the rest of the phrase taken as a compound.

- **3028.** Hyperbaton ( $i\pi\epsilon\rho\beta\alpha\tau o\nu$  transposition) is the separation of words naturally belonging together. Such displacement usually gives prominence to the first of two words thus separated, but sometimes to the second also. In prose hyperbaton is less common than in poetry, but even in prose it is frequent, especially when it secures emphasis on an important idea by placing it at the beginning or end of a sentence. At times hyperbaton may mark passionate excitement. Sometimes it was adopted to gain rhythmical effect. Thus: "Such resting found the sole of unblest feet": Milton.
- σὺ δὲ αὐτός, ὧ πρὸς θεῶν, Μένων, τί φης ἀρετην εἶναι; but what do you yourself, in heaven's name, Meno, say virtue is ? P. Men. 71 d, ὧ πρός σε γονάτων (946) by thy knees (I entreat) thee E. Med. 324, ὑφ' ἐνὸς τοιαῦτα πέπονθεν ἡ Ἑλλὰς ἀνθρώπον from one man Greece endured such sufferings D. 18. 158, κρατῶν τοὺς ὁποιονσδήποθ' ὑμεῖς ἐξεπέμπετε στρατηγούς conquering the generals you kept sending out—such as they were 18. 146.
- a. The displacement is often caused by the intrusion of a clause of contrast or explanation. Thus  $\tau \circ \delta s$   $\pi \epsilon \rho l$  ' $A \rho \chi l \bar{a} \nu$  . . .  $\delta v$   $\psi \bar{\eta} \phi \sigma v$   $d \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon l \nu \epsilon \tau \delta v$  . . .  $\delta \tau \bar{l} \mu \omega \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$  you did not postpone your vote but took vengeance upon Archias and his company X. H. 7.3.7.
- b. Adverbs and particles may be displaced. Thus, οὕτω τις ἔρως δεινός a passion so terrible P. Th. 169 c, πολθ γὰρ τῶν ἵππων ἔτρεχον θᾶττον for they ran much faster than the horses X. A. 1. 5. 2; so εθ, μάλα; on ἄν see 1764.
- c. Prepositions often cause the displacement (1663, 2690). On displacement in connection with participles see 1166, 1167; with the negatives, see 2690 ff.
- d. Similar or contrasted words are often brought into juxtaposition. Here a nominative precedes an oblique case. Thus, ἀπὸ τῶν ὑμετέρων ὑμῖν πολεμεῖ συμμάχων he wages war on you from the resources of your allies D. 4. 34, οὐ γάρ τίς με βίη γε ἐκὼν ἀέκοντα δίηται for no one shall chase me by force, he willing me unwilling H 197. Note ἄλλος ἄλλο (ἄλλοθεν, ἄλλοτε, etc.), αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ.
- e. Construction ἀπὸ κοινοῦ. In poetry an attributive genitive or an object, common to two coördinate words, is often placed with the second only, as φράζων ἄλωσιν Ἰλίου τ' ἀνάστασιν telling of the capture and overthrow of Ilium A. Ag. 587.
- 3029. Hypophora (ὑποφορά putting under) is the statement of an objection (together with its refutation) which a speaker supposes to be made by an opponent or makes himself. Both objection and reply often take the form of questions (2654, 2785, 2819). Cp. "But I hear it continually rung in my ears . . . 'what will become of the preamble, if you repeal this tax?'": Burke.
- τί οὖν, ἄν τις εἴποι, ταῦτα λέγεις ἡμῖν νῦν; ἴνα γνῶτ' κτλ. why then, some one will say, do you tell us this now? In order that you may know, etc. D. 1. 14.
- **3030.** Hysteron Proteron ( $\mathring{v}\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$   $\pi\rho\acute{o}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$  later earlier) is an arrangement reversing the natural order of time in which events occur. It is used when an event, later in time, is regarded as more important than one earlier in time.

τράφεν ήδε γένοντο were bred and born A 251 (so τροφή καl γένεσις X. M. 3. 5. 10; cp. "for I was bred and born": Shakespeare), είματά τ' ἀμφιέσᾶσα θυώδεα καl λούσᾶσα having put on fragrant robes and washed ε 264.

3031. Isocolon (ἰσόκωλον having equal members) is the use of two or more sequent cola (clauses) containing an equal number of syllables.

τοῦ μὲν ἐπίπονον καὶ φιλοκίνδῦνον τὸν βίον κατέστησεν, τῆς δὲ περίβλεπτον καὶ περιμάχητον τὴν φύσιν ἐποίησεν the life of the one he rendered full of toil and peril, the beauty of the other he made the object of universal admiration and of universal contention I. 10. 16.

- 3032. Litotes ( $\lambda \bar{\iota} \tau \acute{\sigma} \tau \eta s$  plainness, simplicity) is understatement so as to intensify, affirmation expressed by the negative of the contrary. Cp. 2694. Meiōsis ( $\mu \epsilon \acute{\iota} \omega \sigma \iota s$  lessening) is ordinarily the same as litotes. Thus: "One of the few immortal names That were not born to die": Halleck.
- 3033. Metonymy (μετωνυμία change of name) is the substitution of one word for another to which it stands in some close relation. Thus: "We wish that infancy may learn the purpose of its creation from maternal lips": Webster.

μίσος loathed object, & κάθαρμα you scum! συμμαχία allies, ἐν Βοιωτοῖς in Boeotia, θέατρον spectators, μάχη battlefield, ἴππος cavalry, ἰχθύες fish-market.

**3034**. Onomatopoeia (ὀνοματοποιία making of a name or word) is the formation of names to express natural sounds.

βληχῶμαι bleat, βομβῶ buzz, βρῦχῶμαι roar, κοάξ quack, κακκαβίζω cackle, κόκκυξ cuckoo, κράζω croak, τἶτίζω cheep, πιππίζω chirp. Sometimes the sound of a whole verse imitates an action; as αδτις ἔπειτα πέδονδε κυλίνδετο λᾶας ἀναιδής down again to the plain rolled the shameless stone  $\lambda$  598 (of the stone of Sisyphus).

**3035.** Oxymōron (ὀξύμωρον pointedly or cleverly foolish) is the juxtaposition of words apparently contradictory of each other.

νόμος άνομος a law that is no law A. Ag. 1142, άχαρις χάρις a graceless grace A. Pr. 545, πίστις άπιστοτάτη most faithless faith And. 1. 67, αὐτοὶ φεύγοντας φεύγουσι they themselves are flying from those who fly T. 7. 70.

- 3036. Paraleipsis (παράλειψις passing over) is pretended omission for rhetorical effect.
- τας δ' έπ' Ἰλλυρίους και Παίονας αὐτοῦ και πρὸς ᾿Αρύββαν και ὅποι τις ἀν είποι παραλείπω στρατείας I omit his expeditions to Illyria and Paeonia and against Arybbas and many others that one might mention (lit. whithersoever one might speak of) D. 1. 13.
- 3037. Parechēsis ( $\pi a \rho \dot{\eta} \chi \eta \sigma \iota s$  likeness of sound) is the repetition of the same sound in words in close or immediate succession. Alliteration is initial rhyme.

άγαμος, ἄπεκνος, ἄπολις, ἄφιλος Ε. Ι. Τ. 220 (cp. "unwept, unhonoured, and unsung"), πόνος πόνω πόνον φέρει toil upon toil brings only toil S. Aj. 866, τυφλός

τά τ' ὧτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματ' εἶ blind art thou in thy ears, thy reason, and thy eyes S. O. T. 371, οἱ οὐδὲ . . . δὶς ἀποθανόντες δίκην δοῦναι δύναιντ' ἄν who would not be able to give satisfaction even by dying twice L.12.37, ἔσωσά σ' ὡς ἴσᾶσιν Ἑλλήνων ὅσοι κτλ. I saved thee; as all of the Greeks know who, etc. E. Med. 476, θανάτου θᾶττον θεῖ wickedness 'fleeth faster than fate' P. A. 39 a.

- **3038.** Parisōsis (παρίσωσις almost equal) is approximate equality of clauses as measured by syllables. Parisōsis is sometimes regarded as synonymous with isocōlon.
- 3039. Paromoiōsis ( $\pi a \rho o \mu o l \omega \sigma v s$  assimilation) is parallelism of sound between the words of two clauses either approximately or exactly equal in size. This similarity in sound may appear at the beginning, at the end (homoioteleuton), in the interior, or it may pervade the whole.

μαχομένους μὲν κρείττους εἶναι τῶν πολεμίων, ψηφιζομένους δὲ ἥττους τῶν ἐχθρῶν by fighting to be superior to our public enemies, and by voting to be weaker than our private enemies L. 12. 79.

**3040**. Paronomasia (παρονομασία) is play upon words.

οὐ γὰρ τὸν τρόπον ἀλλὰ τὸν τόπον μετήλλαξεν for he changed not his disposition but his position Aes. 3.78. Often in etymological word-play; as Πρόθοος θοός B 758, Μέλητος . . . ἐμέλησεν P. A. 26 a, Παυσανίου παυσαμένου P. S. 185 c, είς . . . τόπον . . . ἀειδῆ, είς Αίδου to an invisible place, to Hades P. Ph. 80 d. Cp. "Old Gaunt indeed, and gaunt in being old": Shakespeare. Sometimes this figure deals with the same word taken in different senses (homonyms): ἄμα γὰρ ἡμεῖς τε τῆς ἀρχῆς ἀπεστερούμεθα καὶ τοῖς Έλλησιν ἀρχὴ τῶν κακῶν ἐγίγνετο 'no sooner were we deprived of the first place than the first disaster came upon the Greeks' I. 4. 119.

**3041.** Periphrasis  $(\pi\epsilon\rho(\phi\rho\alpha\sigma)s\ circumlocution)$  is the use of more words than are necessary to express an idea.

θρέμματα Νείλου nurslings of the Nile = the Egyptians P.L.953 e, Οίδίπου κάρᾶ Oedīpus S.O. T. 40 (κάρᾶ expresses reverence or affection). The substantive on which another substantive depends often stands for an adjective, as  $\mathbf{\hat{t}}$ r Τηλεμάχοιο = mighty Telemach (cp. 1014). For various other periphrases, see the Index.

- 3042. Pleonasm ( $\pi\lambda\epsilon ova\sigma\mu\acute{o}s$  excess), or redundancy, is the admission of a word or words which are not necessary to the complete logical expression of the thought. Such words, though logically superfluous, enrich the thought by adding greater definiteness and precision, picturesqueness, vigour and emphasis; and by expressing subtle shadings of feeling otherwise impossible. Cp. "All ye inhabitants of the world, and dwellers on the earth."
- a. Adverbs or adverbial expressions combined: of time, as πάλιν αδ, αδθις αδ πάλιν, πάλιν μετὰ ταθτα ὕστερον, ἔπειτα μετὰ ταθτα, διὰ τέλους τὸν πάντα χρόνον; of manner, as κατὰ ταὐτὰ ὡσαὐτως, μάτην ἄλλως, εἰς δυνατὸν ὅτι μάλιστα; of infer-

ence, as τοιγάρτοι διὰ ταῦτα, ἐκ τούτου . . . διὰ ταῦτα; of verification, as ἀληθῶς τῷ δντι; and various other expressions, as ἴσως τάχ' ἄν, λόγψ εἰπεῖν.

- b. Adverb and adjective combined (usually poetical): κείτο μέγας μεγαλωστί huge he lay with his huge length Π 776.
- c. Adjective and verb: ώς δὲ μὴ μακρούς τείνω λόγους but not to speak at length
   E. Hec. 1177.
- d. Adjective and substantive in the dative:  $\nu\hat{\eta}\sigma$ os  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\delta\theta\epsilon\iota$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$  où  $\mu\epsilon\gamma\delta\lambda\eta$  an island not large in size Hdt. 5.31.
- e. Verb with an abstract substantive in the dative or accusative (1516, 1564): βασιλεύς... φύσει πεφῦκέναι to be a true-born king X. C. 5. 1. 24.
- f. Compound verb or substantives with substantives:  $\hat{olkov} \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$   $\hat{olkov} \mu \hat{elv} to$  build a house well X. M. 4. 5. 10,  $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} v \nu \epsilon o \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} v \tau \epsilon k \nu \omega v \pi a \hat{oot} \rho o \phi \hat{l} \bar{a}$  the rearing of young children X. O. 7. 21. Here the force of the first member of the compound is quiescent.
- g. Compound verb and adverb: προύγραψα πρῶτον I wrote first T. 1. 23, ἀπαγαγών δ' τμᾶς ἄπωθεν ἀπὸ τοῦ κλέμματος having diverted your attention away from the fraud Aes. 3. 100.
- h. Verb and participle (2147 b): τί δη λέγοντες διέβαλλον οι διαβάλλοντες; in what words then did my calumniators calumniate me? P. A. 19 b.
- i. Amplification by synonymous doublets (especially common in Demosthenes): ἀξιῶ καὶ δέομαι I beg and beseech D. 18. 6, ἐναργὲς καὶ σαφές visible and clear 14. 4.
- j. Parallelism of positive and negative: ὡς ἔχω περὶ τούτων, λέξω πρὸς ὑμᾶς καὶ οὐκ ἀποκρύψομαι I will tell you and I will not conceal my opinion on these matters D. 8. 73, οὐκ ἄκλητοι, παρακληθέντες δέ not unbidden but invited T. 6. 87.
- k. A person and a characteristic or quality connected by kal or  $\tau\epsilon$ ; as kata- deloantes toûton kal td toúton brásos fearing him and his audacity D.21.20.
- 1. A relative clause takes up a preceding expression: kal edzhy dé tives autoû exépéror às edzoito kth. and some reported also a prayer he made, etc. (lit. how he prayed) X. A. 1. 9. 11.
- m. 'Polar' expressions may be placed here. These are opposites placed in pairs so as to intensify such ideas as all, no one, at all times, everywhere, everything possible. Thus, καὶ ἐν θεοῖς καὶ ἐν ἀνθρώποις both among the gods and among men P. G. 508 a, οὐδὲν οὕτε μέγα οὕτε μῖκρόν nothing either great or small = absolutely nothing P. A. 19 c, ἐν γῆ καὶ θαλάττη on land and sea D. 18. 324, οὕτε δοῦλος οὕτ' ἐλεύθερος nor bond nor free T. 2. 78, ῥητὰ καὶ ἄρρητα fanda nefanda D. 18. 122. For other cases of pleonasm, see the Index.
- **3043.** Polysyndeton (cp. Asyndeton) is the repetition of conjunctions in a series of coördinate words or phrases.

και τοσούτων και ἐτέρων κακῶν και αισχρῶν και πάλαι και νεωστι και μῖκρῶν και μεγάλων αιτίου γεγενημένου who has shown himself the guilty cause of so many other base and disgraceful acts, both long ago and lately, both small and great L.12.78. Cp. D.4.36.

3044. Praegnans Constructio is a form of brachylogy by which two expressions or clauses are condensed into one.

Here belong, apart from 1659 ff., such cases as εἰς τὸ βαλανεῖον βούλομαι Ι want

to go to the bath Ar. Ran. 1279 (cp. "he will directly to the lords": Milton, Samson Agon. 1250) and φανερὸς ἢν οἴκαδε παρασκευαζόμενος he was evidently preparing to go home X. A. 7. 7. 57. In παραγγέλλει ἐπὶ τὰ ὅπλα he ordered them to get under arms X. A. 1. 5. 13 the command was ἐπὶ τὰ ὅπλα to arms!

**3045.** Prolēpsis  $(\pi\rho\delta\lambda\eta\psi\iota_5)$  taking before) in the case of objective predicate adjectives or nouns is the anticipation of the result of the action of a verb. Examples in 1579.

On the prolepsis of the subject of dependent clauses which is put into the main clause, see 2182. So in "Consider the lilies of the field how they grow." Prolepsis is also used to designate the anticipation of an opponent's arguments and objections. One variety is *prodiorthōsis* or preparatory apology (P. A. 20 e, D. 18. 199, 256).

**3046.** Symploce  $(\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda o \kappa \eta')$  interweaving) is the repetition, in one or more successive clauses, of the first and last words of the preceding clause.

έπὶ σαυτὸν καλεῖς, ἐπὶ τοὺς νόμους καλεῖς, ἐπὶ τὴν δημοκρατίᾶν καλεῖς it is against yourself that you are summoning him, it is against the laws that you are summoning him, it is against the democratic constitution that you are summoning him Aes. 3: 202.

3047. Synecdoche (συνεκδοχή understanding one thing with another) is the use of the part for the whole, or the whole for the part. The name of an animal is often used for that which comes from, or is made from, the animal. Cp. "they sought his blood"; "Belinda smiled, and all the world was gay": Pope.

δόρυ ship for plank, beam, ἀλώπηξ fox-skin for fox, χελώνη tortoise-shell for tortoise, πορφύρα purple dye for purple-fish, ἐλέφας ivory for elephant, μελίσσα honey for bee.

3048. Zeugma ( $\zeta\epsilon\hat{v}\gamma\mu\alpha$  junction, band) is a form of brachylogy by which two connected substantives are used jointly with the same verb (or adjective) though this is strictly appropriate to only one of them. Such a verb expresses an idea that may be taken in a wider, as well as in a narrower, sense, and therefore suggests the verb suitable to the other substantive. Cp. "Nor Mars his sword, nor war's quick fire shall burn The living record of your memory."

οὔτε φωνήν οὔτε του μορφήν βροτῶν ὄψει thou shalt know neither voice nor form of mortal man A. Pr. 21, ἀλλ' ἢ πνοαῖσιν ἢ βαθυσκαφεῖ κόνει κρύψον νιν no, either give them to the winds or in the deep-dug soil bury them S. El. 435, ἔδουσίτε πίονα μῆλα οἶνόν τ' ἔξαιτον they eat fat sheep and drink choice wine M 319.

a. Different from zeugma is syllepsis (σύλληψις taking together), by which the same verb, though governing two different objects, is taken both in its literal and its metaphorical sense; but does not properly change its meaning. Thus, χρήματα τελοῦντες τούτοις . . . καὶ χάριτας paying money and rendering thanks to them P. Cr. 48 c.

### APPENDIX: LIST OF VERBS

This List in general includes the common verbs showing any formal peculiarity of tense. The forms printed in heavy-faced type belong to standard Attic, that is, to the language used in common speech and in ordinary prose; others are poetical, doubtful, dialectal or late. Many regular forms are omitted because they do not appear in the classical writers; though their non-appearance in the extant texts may often be accidental. Later forms are usually excluded, but reference is made to Aristotle, and to Hippocrates, though many works ascribed to him are of later date. The determination of the forms of Attic prose as distinguished from those of poetry is often difficult because of insufficient evidence, and in many cases certainty is not to be attained. The tenses employed in the dialogue parts of Aristophanes and other early writers of Attic comedy are usually to be regarded as existing in the spoken language except when the character of the verb in question is such as to indicate borrowing from Epic or tragedy. Sometimes a tense attested only in tragedy and in Attic prose of the latter part of the fourth century may have been used in the best Attic prose. The expression in prose means in Attic prose.

A prefixed hyphen indicates that a form used in prose is attested generally, or only, in composition; and that a poetical form occurs only in composition. Rigid consistency would have led to too great detail; besides, many tenses cited as existing only on composition may have occurred also in the simple form. For the details of usage on this and other points the student is referred to Veitch, Greek Verbs, Irregular and Defective, and to Kühner-Blass, Griechische Grammatik.

The tenses cited are those of the principal parts (369). Tenses inferred from these

are omitted, but mention is made of the future perfect, future passive, and of the

future middle when it shows a passive sense.

An assumed form is marked by \* or has no accent; the abbreviations aor. and perf. denote first corist and first perfect; of alternative forms in  $\tau\tau$  or  $\sigma\sigma$  (78), that in  $\tau\tau$  is given when the verb in question belongs to the classical spoken language. In the citation of Epic forms, futures and agrists with  $\sigma\sigma$ , and several other Epic peculiarities, are usually not mentioned.

The appended Roman numerals indicate the class (497-529) to which the present system of each verb belongs; all verbs not so designated belong to the first class

(498-504).

\*ἀάω (ἀρα-ω), ἀάζω harm, infatuate: pres. only in mid. ἀᾶται; aor. ἄασα (ἄασα or  $da\sigma\sigma a$ ),  $d\sigma a$ ,  $da\sigma d\mu\eta\nu$  (and  $da\sigma d\mu\eta\nu$  or  $da\sigma\sigma d\mu\eta\nu$ ) erred; aor. pass.  $dd\sigma\theta\eta\nu$ ; v. a. in ά-άατος, ά-άατος, άν-ατος. Chiefly Epic.

άνάλλω (άγαλ-) adorn, honour (act. in Com. poets): άγαλῶ, ἤγηλα; mid. άγάλλομαι glory in, only pres. and imperf. (III.)

ἄγα-μαι admire (725): aor. ἠγάσθην (489 e), rarely ἠγασάμην, v. a. ἀγαστός. Epic fut. ἀγάσ $(\sigma)$ ομαι, Epic aor. ἠγασ $(\sigma)$ άμην. Hom. has also ἀγάομαι admire and άγαlομαι (άγα- for άγασ-) envy, am indignant at or with.

άγγελλω (άγγελ-) announce: άγγελω, ήγγειλα, ήγγελκα, ήγγελμαι, ήγγελθην, άγγελθήσομαι, άγγελτός. 2 aor. pass. ήγγέλην rarely on Att. inser. (III.)

άγείρω (άγερ-) collect: ήγειρα. Epic are aor. mid. ξυν-ηγειράμην; 2 aor. mid. άγέροντο assembled, ήγρετο (MSS. ἔγρετο), άγερέσθαι, 425 a, D. (some read with mss. ἀγέρεσθαι), ἀγρόμενος; plup. 3 pl. ἀγηγέρατο; aor. pass. ἠγέρθην. Ερίς by-form ήγερέθομαι. (III.)

άγινέω Epic and Ion. = ἄγω. Inf. άγινέμεναι Epic.

άγνοξω not to know: regular, but άγνοήσομαι as pass. (808). Hom. άγνοιξω. ἄγ-νυμι (άγ- for εαγ-, 733) break, in prose generally κατάγνυμι, κατάγνυω in all

- tenses: -άξω, -έ $\bar{a}$ ξα (431), 2 perf. -έ $\bar{a}$ γα (443), 2 aor. pass. -έ $\bar{a}$ γην (434), -ακτόs. Epic aor.  $\bar{\eta}$ ξα, and 2 aor. pass. -έ $\bar{a}$ γην and  $\bar{a}$ γην; Ion. 2 perf. έ $\eta$ γα. (IV.)
- ἄγω lead: ἄξω, 2 aor. ἤγαγον, ἦχα, ἦγμαι, ἤχθην, ἀχθήσομαι, ἀκτέοs. Fut. mid. ἄξομαι, also = fut. pass. (809). Aor. ἦξα suspected in Att., Hom. ἀξάμην: Hom. has mixed aor. ἄξετε, ἀξέμεναι, ἀξέμεν (542 D.).
- άδε- or άδε- be sated in Epic aor. opt. άδήσειεν and perf. άδηκότες.
- άδω sing: ἄσομαι (806), ήσα, ήσμαι, ήσθην, ἀστέος. Uncontracted forms in Epic and Ion. are ἀείδω, ἀείσω and ἀείσομαι, ἤεισα.
- åε- rest, sleep: Epic aor. ἄεσα, ἀσα.

ἀείρω: see αἴρω.

ἀέξω: Hom. for αὔξω (αὐξάνω).

- ἄημι (ἀη-, ἀε-, 724, 741) blow: 3 s. ἄησι, 3 du. ἄητοι, 3 pl. ἀεῖσι, inf. ἀήμεναι, ἀῆναι, part. ἀείs, imperf. 3 s. ἄη; mid. pres. ἄηται, part. ἀήμενοs, imperf. ἄητο. Poetic, chiefly Epic.
- αίδέσμαι (αίδε- for αίδεσ-) respect, feel shame: αίδέσομαι (488 a), ήδεσμαι (489 c), ήδεσθην, αίδεσθήσομαι rare (812), ήδεσάμην pardon a criminal in prose, otherwise Tragic. Imper. αίδεῖο Hom. (650). Poetic αἴδομαι.
- αἰκίζομαι outrage: αἰκιοῦμαι, ήκισάμην, ήκισμαι, ήκίσθην was outraged. αἰκίζω act. plague poetic. Ερίς ἀεικίζω. 512. (ΙΙΙ.)
- αίνέω praise, usu. comp. W. ἐπί, παρά, etc., in prose: -αινέσω (in prose usu. -αινέσομαι, 488 b, 806), -ήνεσα, -ήνεκα, -ήνημαι, -ηνέθην, -αινεσθήσομαι, -αινετέος, -τός Aristotle. Epic and Lyric are αἰνήσω, ἤνησα.

al-νυμαι take: only pres. and imperf. (αlνύμην). Epic. (IV.)

- αἰρέω (αἰρε-, ἐλ-) take, mid. choose: αἰρήσω, 2 αοτ. είλον (431), ήρηκα, ήρημαι (mid. or pass.), ήρέθην (usu. was chosen), αἰρεθήσομαι, αἰρετός, -τέος. Fut. perf. ήρήσομαι rare. Hdt. perf. ἀραίρηκα, ἀραίρημαι; Hom. v. a. ἐλετός. (VI.)
- αίρω (544 c) raise: ἀρῶ, ἦρα (ἄρω, ἄραιμι, ἀρον, ἀραι, ἄρας), ἦρκα, ἦρμαι, ἤρθην, ἀρθήσομαι, ἀρτέοs. Ionic and poetic ἀείρω (ἀρερ-): ἀερῶ, ἤειρα, ήέρθην, Hom. plup. ἄωρτο (from ἠορτο) for ἤερτο. Fut. ἀροῦμαι and aor. ἡρόμην belong to ἄρνυμαι (ἀρ-) win. (III.)
- αἰσθ-άνομαι (ἀiσθ-, αἰσθε-) perceive: αἰσθήσομαι, 2 αοτ. ήσθόμην, ήσθημαι, αἰσθητός. The by-form αἴσθομαι is doubtful. (IV.)

åtσσω rush: see άττω.

- αἰσχ-ὑνω (αἰσχυν-) disgrace, mid. feel ashamed: αἰσχυνῶ, ἤσχῦνα, ἦσχύνθην felt ashamed, αἰσχυντέος. On fut. mid. αἰσχυνοῦμαι and fut. pass. αἰσχυνθήσομαι, see 1911. Hom. perf. pass. part. ἢσχυμμένος. (III.)
- ἀτω hear, with ā usu. in Att. poets, ă in Epic, Lyric, and in some Att. poets: imperf. Hom. ἤτον, ἄτον and ἄτον, αοτ. ἐπ-ῆσε Hdt. (MSS. ἐπῆισε), v. a. ἐπ-άτστος Hdt. Poetic and Ion. Hom. has also ἀείω, of which ἀτών (MSS. ἀτων) may be the 2 aor.

diω breathe out: imperf. άιον Epic.

- άκ-αχ-ίζω (ἀκαχιδ-, ἀκαχ-, ἀκαχε-, from ἀχ- redupl.) afflict, grieve: ἀκαχήσω, ἀκάχησα (rare), 2 aor. ἤκαχον, ἀκάχημαι am grieved (3 pl. ἀκηχέδαται), inf. ἀκάχησθαι (425 a, D.), part. ἀκαχήμενος and ἀκηχέμενος (425 b, (2) D.) Cp. ἀχέω, ἀχεύω, ἄχνυμαι. Εpic. 512. (III.)
- άκ-αχ-μένος (άκ-; cp. ακ-ρον peak) sharpened; Epic redupl. perf. part., with no present in use.

- άκεομαι (άκε- for άκεσ-; cp. τὸ ἄκος cure) heal: ἡκεσάμην, ἀκεστός. Hom. has also ἀκείω.
- άκηδέω (ἀκηδε- for ἀκηδεσ-, 488 D.; cp. ἀκηδής uncared for) neglect: ἀκήδεσα Epic. Epic and poetic.
- άκούω (άκου-, άκου-, 43) hear: άκούσομαι (806), ήκουσα, 2 perf. άκήκοα (562 a), 2 plup. ήκηκόη οτ άκηκόη, ήκούσθην (489 e), άκουσθήσομαι, άκουστός, -τέος.
- άλαλάζω (άλαλαγ-) raise the war-cry, usu. poetic or late prose: άλαλάξομαι (806), ήλάλαξα. (III.)
- ἀλάομαι wander, rare in prose: pres. Epic imper. ἀλάου (MSS. ἀλόω, 643), perf. Epic ἀλάλημαι as pres. (ἀλάλησο, ἀλάλησθαι, ἀλαλήμενος), aor. Epic ἀλήθην.
- άλαπάζω (άλαπαγ-) destroy, plunder: Epic are άλαπάζω, άλάπαξα. By-forms λαπάζω, λαπάσσω. (III.)
- άλδαίνω (άλδαν-) with the by-forms άλδάνω, άλδήσκω, nourish: Epic 2 aor. (or imperf.) ήλδανον, v. a. Epic άν-αλτος insatiate. Poetic. (IV.)
- άλείφω (άλειφ-, άλιφ-) anoint: άλείψω, ήλειψα, άπ-αλήλιφα (477 a), άλήλιμμαι, ήλείφθην, άλειφθήσομαι, έξ-αλειπτέος. 2 aor. pass. ήλίφην, ήλείφην are doubtful.
- άλέξω and άλέκω (άλεξ-, άλεξε-, άλεκ-, άλκ-) ward off: fut. άλέξω poetic (rare), άλέξομαι Xen., Soph., άλεξήσω Hom., άλεξήσομαι Hdt.; aor. ήλεξα Aesch., ήλέξησα Epic, ήλεξάμην Ion., Xen., ήλεξησάμην (?) Xen., 2 aor. άλαλκον poetic (549). By-form άλκάθω poetic (490 D.).
- άλέομαι avoid: aor. ήλεάμην (43, 607). Cp. άλεύω. Poetic.
- άλεύω avert: ήλευσα. Usu. in mid. άλεύομαι avoid, aor. ήλευάμην, subj. έξ-αλεύ-σωμαι (έξ-αλύξωμαι?). Poetic. Other forms with like meaning are ἀλεείνω, ἀλύσκω, ἀλυσκάζω, άλυσκαίνω.
- άλέω grind: άλῶ (539), ἥλεσα, ἀλήλεμαι (ἀλήλεσμαι, 489 b). By-form ἀλήθω. ἀλῆναι: see εἴλω.
- άλθομαι (άλθ-, άλθε-) am healed: Epic άλθετο and έπ-αλθήσομαι. Hippocr. has aor. -ηλθέσθην.
- άλίνδω cause to roll (also ἀλινδέω, ἀλίω), usu. comp. with  $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$ : -ήλῖσα, -ήλῖκα, ήλίνδημαι. ἀλίω is a pres. derived from ἥλῖσα (= ἡλινδσα).
- άλ-ίσκομαι (άλ- for ραλ-, άλο-, 486) am captured (used as pass. of αίρω): άλωσομαι, 2 aor. ἐάλων οτ ἤλων (άλω, άλοίην, άλωναι, άλούς, 687), ἐάλωκα (443) οτ ἤλωκα, άλωτός. Epic 2 aor. subj. ἀλώω. Act. ἀλίσκω is not used, but see ἀναλίσκω expend. (V.)
- άλιταΙνομαι (άλιτ-, άλιταν-) sin: Epic are aor. ἤλιτον (- $b\mu\eta\nu$ ), perf. part. άλιτή- $\mu\epsilon\nu$ os sinning. Mostly Epic. Epic by-form άλιτρα $i\nu\omega$ . (III. IV.)
- άλλάττω (ἀλλαγ-) change, often comp. w. ἀπό, διά, μετά: ἀλλάξω, ἥλλαξα, -ήλλαχα, ἤλλαγμαι, ἦλλάχθην (usu. in tragedy) and ἦλλάγην (both usu. in comp.), fut. pass. ἀπ-αλλαχθήσομαι (so in tragedy) and ἀπ-αλλαγήσομαι, fut. mid. -αλλάξομαι, fut. perf. ἀπ-ηλλάξομαι, v. a. ἀπ-αλλακτέοs. (III.)
- άλλομαι (άλ-) leap: άλοῦμαι, ἡλάμην. 2 aor. ἡλόμην rare and uncertain in Att. Epic 2 aor. ἆλσο, ἆλτο, ἄλμενος (688). (III.)
- άλυκτάζω am distressed Ion., άλυκτέω am anxious late Ion.: Ερίc άλαλύκτημαι w. reduplication. 512. (III.)
- άλύσκω (άλυκ-, 526 d) avoid: άλύξω, ήλυξα. Hom. has also άλυσκάζω and άλυσκάνω. Poetic. (V.)
- $\dot{a}\lambda\phi-\dot{a}\nu\omega$  ( $\dot{a}\lambda\phi$ -) find, acquire: Epic 2 aor.  $\dot{\eta}\lambda\phi$ ον. (IV.)

- άμαρτ-άνω (άμαρτ-, άμαρτε-) err: άμαρτήσομαι (806), 2 aor. ήμαρτον, ἡμάρτηκα, ἡμάρτημαι, ἡμαρτήθην, άν-αμάρτητος, ἐπεξ-αμαρτητέος. Epic 2 aor. ήμβροτον (for β, see 130). (IV.)
- άμβλ-ίσκω (άμβλ-) and άμβλόω miscarry; reg. in comp. w. έξ: -ήμβλωσα, -ήμβλωκα, -ήμβλωμαι. Other forms are late. (V.)
- άμείβω change, rare in Att. prose: ἀμείψω, ἤμειψα. Mid. ἀμείβομαι make return, rare in prose and comedy: ἀμείψομαι, ἡμειψάμην. In the meaning answer ἡμειψάμην and ἡμείφθην are poetic.

άμειρω (άμερ-) deprive, only in pres. Poetic. (III.)

άμέρδω deprive: ήμερσα, ήμέρθην. Poetic.

- άμπ-έχω and rare ἀμπ-ίσχω (ἀμφί + ἔχω, 125 d) put about, clothe: imperf. ἀμπ-έχον (Hom. ἀμπ-έχον), ἀμφ-έξω, 2 aor. ἤμπ-ισχον. Mid. ἀμπ-έχομαι (ἀμπ-ίσχο-μαι and ἀμφ-ισκνέομαι) wear: imperf. ἡμπ-ειχόμην (451), fut. ἀμφ-έξομαι, 2 aor. ἡμπ-εσχόμην and ἡμπ-ισχόμην. See ἔχω and ἴσχω.
- άμπλακ-ίσκω (άμπλακ-, άμπλακε-) err, miss: 2 aor. ήμπλακον and ήμβλακον (part. άμπλακών and ἄπλακών), ήμπλάκημαι, ἀν-αμπλάκητος. Poetic. (V.) ἄμπνυε, ἀμπνύνθην, ἄμπνῦτο (Ερίς): see πνέω.
- μαι, ἡμῦνα (ἀμυν-) ward off: ἀμυνῶ, ἡμῦνα. Mid. ἀμύνομαι defend myself: ἀμυνοῦμαι, ἡμῦνάμην, v. a. ἀμυντός. Βυ-form ἀμυνάθω, 490 D. (ΗΙ.)

ἀμύττω (ἀμυχ-) scratch: ἀμύξω, ἤμυξα. Poetic and Ion. (III.)

- άμφι-γνόεω doubt: imperf. ἡμφ-εγνόουν (ήμφι-γνόουν?), aor. ἡμφ-εγνόησα. 451. άμφι-έννῦμι (late ἀμφιεννόω) clothe: άμφι-ῶ (539 c), ἡμφί-εσα (450), ἡμφί-εσμαι. Mid. fut. ἀμφι-έσομαι, aor. ἀμφι-εσάμην poetic. (IV.)
- άμφισβητέω dispute: the augmented (451) ήμφεσβήτουν, ήμφεσβήτησα (inscr.) are better than ήμφι- (mss.). Fut. mid. άμφισβητήσομαι as pass. (808).
- άναίνομαι (ἀναν-) refuse, only pres. and imperf. in prose; aor. ἡνανάμην poetic. (III.)
- άν-αλ-ίσκω (άλ-, άλο-, 486) and ἀναλόω expend (from ἀνα-ϝαλ-): imperf. ἀνήλισκον (ἀνήλουν, rare), ἀναλώσω, ἀνήλωσα, ἀνήλωκα, ἀνήλωμαι, ἀνηλώθην, fut. pass. ἀναλωθήσομαι, ἀναλωπτός. Att. inscr. prove the mss. forms ἀνάλωσα, ἀνάλωκα, ἀνάλωμαι, ἀναλώθην to be late. κατ-ηνάλωσα, -ηνάλωμαι, -ηναλώθην are also late. See ἀλίσκομαι. (V.)
- άνδάνω (ἀδ- for σ<sub>Γ</sub>αδ-, 123, and ἀδε-) usu. Epic and Ion., but the pres. occurs in Att. poetry: imperf. Hom. probably ἐάνδανον and ἄνδανον (MSS. ἐἡνδανον and ἥνδανον), Hdt. ἤνδανον (some write ἐάνδανον); fut. Hdt. ἀδήσω; 2 aor. Hdt. ἔαδον, Hom. εὕαδον (for ἐϝϝαδον from ἐσ<sub>Γ</sub>αδον) and ἄδον; 2 perf. Hom. ἔαδα (443). Adj. ἄσμενος pleased, in common use. Chiefly Epic and Ion. (IV.)
- άν-έχω hold up, poetic and New Ion.: άν-είχον, άν-έξω and άνα-σχήσω, άν-έσχον. άν-έχομαι endure: ἡν-ειχόμην (451), άν-έξομαι and άνα-σχήσομαι, 2 aor. ἡνεσχόμην, άν-εκτός, -τέος.
- άνήνοθε (άνεθ-, άνοθ-) mounts up  $\rho$  270, sprang forth  $\Lambda$  266. άν- is probably the prep. Cp. -ενήνοθε.
- ἀν-οίγ-νῦμι and ἀν-οίγω open: imperf. ἀν-έφγον (431), ἀν-οίξω, ἀν-έφξα, 1 perf. ἀν-έφχα, 2 perf. ἀν-έφγα (rare, 443) have opened, ἀν-έφγμαι stand open, ἀν-εφχθην, fut. perf. ἀν-εφξομαι, ἀν-οικτέος. Cp. 808. οἴγνῦμι and οἴγω (q.v.) poetic. Imperf. ἀνῶγον Ξ 168 may be written ἀνέφγον w. synizesis. ἤνοιγον and ἤνοιξα in Xen. are probably wrong; Hom. has ὧξα (οἶξα?), and ὥειξα (mss. ὥίξα) from ὀείγω (Lesb.); Hdt. ἄνοιξα and ἀνῶξα (mss.). (IV.)

- ἀν-ορθόω set upright has the regular augment (ἀν-ώρθωσα); but ἐπ-ανορθόω has double augment: ἐπ-ην-ώρθουν, ἐπ-ην-ώρθωσα, ἐπ-ην-ώρθωμαι (451).
- άντιβολέω meet, beseech often has two augments: ήντ-εβόλουν, ήντ-εβόλησα (451). άντιδικέω am defendant may have double augment: ήντ-εδίκουν, ήντ-εδίκησα (451).
- ἀνύω and (rarer) ἀνύτω (531) (often written ἀνύω, ἀνύτω) accomplish: ἀνύσω, ἤνυσα, ἥνυκα, δι-ήνυσμαι (?) Χεπ., ἀνυστός, ἀν-ήνυ(σ)τος poetic. Hom. fut. -ανύω. Poetic forms are ἄνω, ἄνω (pres. and imperf.), and ἄνυμι (ἤνυτο ε 243), ἐπ-ηνύσθην Ερic.
- ἄνωγα (439 D.) Epic 2 perf. as pres. command (1 pl. ἄνωγμεν, imper. ἄνωχθι, ἀνώχθω, ἄνωχθε), 2 plup. as imperf. ἡνώγεα, 3 s. ἡνώγει and ἀνώγει. Το ἀνώγω, a pres. developed from the perf., many forms may be referred, as pres. ἀνώγει, subj. ἀνώγω, opt. ἀνώγοιμι, imper. ἄνωγε, inf. ἀνωγέμεν, part. ἀνώγων, imperf. ἤνωγον, fut. ἀνώξω, aor. ἤνωξα. Poetic and Ion.
- άπ-αντάω meet: άπ-αντήσομαι (806), άπ-ήντησα, άπ-ήντηκα, άπ-αντητέος.
- άπατάω deceive: regular, but as fut. pass. απατήσομαι and έξ-απατηθήσομαι (809). Cp. 454 a.
- ἀπ-αυράω take αway, found in the imperf. ἀπηύρων (with acristic force), fut. ἀπουρήσω, acr. part. ἀπούρᾶς (as if from ἀπούρημι), ἀπουράμενος. The root is probably  $_{F}$ ρᾶ, ἀπηύρων representing ἀπ-ευρων for ἀπ-ε $_{F}$ ρων (with  $_{\eta}$  for ε by mistake), as ἀπούρᾶς represents ἀπο- $_{F}$ ρᾶς. Poetic and Epic.
- $\dot{a}\pi$ -αφ- $l\sigma\kappa\omega$  ( $\dot{a}\pi$ -αφ-,  $\dot{a}\pi$ -αφε-) deceive, comp. w.  $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}$ : -απαφήσω rare, -απάφησα rare, 2 aor. -ήπαφον, mid. opt. -απαφοίμην. Poetic. (V.)
- άπ-εχθ-άνομαι (έχθ-, έχθε-) am hated: ἀπ-εχθήσομαι, 2 aor. ἀπ-ηχθόμην, ἀπήχθημαι. Simple forms are έχθω, ἔχθομαι. (IV.)
- $\dot{a}\pi\dot{b}$ - $(\varepsilon)$ eρσε swept off:  $\dot{a}\pi o$ -έρση,  $\dot{a}\pi o$ -έρσειε. Epic.
- ἀπο-λαύω enjoy (the simple λαύω is unused): ἀπο-λαύσομαι (806), ἀπ-έλαυσα, ἀπο-λέλαυκα (450).
- ἄπ-τω (ἀφ-) fasten, kindle, mid. touch: ἄψω, ηψα, ημαι, ήφθην, άπτός, -τέος.
  (II.)
- άράομαι pray (Epic ἄράομαι), often comp. w. ἐπί οι κατά: ἀράσομαι, ἡρᾶσάμην, -ήρᾶμαι, ἀρᾶτός poetic. Epic act. inf. ἄρήμεναι. Ion. ἀρέομαι.
- άρ-αρ-ίσκω (άρ-) fit, join trans.: ήρσα, 2 aor. ήραρον trans. and intrans. (448 D.), 2 perf. ἄρᾶρα intrans., aor. pass. ήρθην. Ion. and Epic 2 perf. ἄρηρα, plup. άρήρεα and ήρήρεα. 2 aor. part. mid. ἄρμενος, as adj., fitting. Poetic. (V.)
- ἀράττω (ἀραγ-) strike, comp. in prose w. ἀπό, ἐξ, ἐπί, κατά, σύν; -αράξω, -ήραξα, -ηράχθην. Cp. ῥάττω. (ΙΙΙ.)
- άρε-σκω (άρε- for άρεσ-; cp. τὸ ἄρος help) please: ἀρεσω, ἤρεσα; mid. ἀρεσκομαι appease: ἀρεσομαι, ἤρεσάμην, ἦρεσθην (?), ἀρεστός pleasing. (V.)
- άρημένος oppressed. Epic perf. mid. of uncertain derivation.
- άρκεω (άρκε- for άρκεσ-; cp. τὸ άρκος defence) assist, suffice: άρκεσω, ήρκεσα.
- αρμόττω and poetic άρμόζω (άρμοδ-) fit: άρμόσω, ἥρμοσα, ἥρμοσμαι, ἡρμόσθην. Αοτ. συνάρμοξα Pind., perf. ῆρμοκα Aristotle. 516. (III.)
- ἄρ-νυμαι (ἀρ-) win: ἀροῦμαι, 2 aor. ἡρόμην (inf. ἀρέσθαι). Chiefly poetic. Cp. αἴρω. (IV.)
- άρόω plough: aor. act. ἤροσα and aor. pass. ἠρόθην are, in Attic, attested only in poetry; perf. mid. ἀρήρομαι Epic and Ion.
- άρπάζω (άρπαγ-) seize, snatch: άρπάσομαι (806), less often άρπάσω, ήρπασα,

ήρπακα, ήρπασμαι, ήρπάσθην, άρπασθήσομαι. Fut. άρπάξω Epic, aor. ήρπαξα poetic, aor. pass. ήρπάχθην Hdt., v. a. άρπακτός Hesiod. 516. (III.)

άρτὖω (Hom. ἀρτὖω) prepare: in prose often comp. w. έξ οι κατά: ἀρτὖσω, ἤρτὖσα, -ήρτὖκα, -ήρτὖμαι, -ηρτὖθην. Cp. Epic ἀρτὖνω (ἀρτυν-): ἀρτυνέω, ἤρτὖνα, ἤρτὖθην.

άρνω (ἀρύτω) draw water: ἥρυσα, ἐπ-ηρύθην, ἀπ-αρυστέος; ἡρύσθην Hippocr. 531. ἄρχω begin, rule, mid. begin; ἄρξω, ἦρξα, ἦρχα late, ἦργμαι mid., ἤρχθην, ἀρκτέος, fut. mid. ἄρξομαι sometimes as pass. (808), ἀρχθήσομαι Aristotle.

άστράπ-τω (άστραπ-) lighten, flash: άστράψω, ήστραψα. (II.)

ἀτιτάλλω (ἀτιταλ-) rear, Epic and Lyric: ἀτίτηλα. (III.)

ἄττω (ἄσσω; from ραι-ρικ-μω) rush, rare in prose: ἄξω, ἦξα. From Ion. and poetic ἀτσσω (Hom. ἀτσσω) come ἀτξω, ἤτξα (-άμην), ἡτχθην (with act. meaning). (III.)

αὐαίνω and αὐαίνω (αὐαν-) dry: αὐανῶ Soph., ηἵηνα or αὕηνα Hdt., ηὐάνθην or αὐάνθην Aristoph., fut. pass. αὐανθήσομαι Aristoph., fut. mid. αὐανοῦμαι as pass. Soph. Mainly poetic and Ion., rare in Att. prose. (III.)

αὐξ-άνω and (less often) αὖξω (αὐξ-, αὐξε-) make increase, grow: imperf. ηὕξανον οτ ηὖξον (ηὐξανόμην οτ ηὐξόμην), αὐξήσω, ηὔξησα, ηὔξηκα, ηὕξηκα, ηὕξηκα, ηὕξήθην, αὐξήθην, αὐξήθησομαι (fut. pass. also αὐξήσομαι, 809), αὐξητέος Aristotle. Cp. Epic and Ion. ἀέξω (-ομαι), imperf. ἄεξον. (IV.)

άφάσσω (515 a) feel, handle (Hdt.): ήφασα. Cp. Ion. and Epic ἀφάω or ἀφάω handle (rare in Att.): Hom. ἀφόων, Ιοπ. ἐπ-αφήσω, ἐπ-ήφησα. (III.)

åφτημι let go: in the imperf. ήφ-την or åφ-την. See 450.

ἀφύσσω (ἀφυγ-) dip up: ἀφύξω. Poetic, chiefly Epic. (III.)

άφύω dip up: ἤφυσα (-άμην). Poetic, chiefly Epic.

ἄχθομαι am vexed; as if from \*άχθέομαι (ἄχθε- for άχθεσ-; cp. τὸ ἄχθος distress) come άχθέσομαι, ἡχθέσθην (489 e), fut. pass. as mid. άχθεσθήσομαι (812).

άχ-νυμαι (άχ-) am troubled, imperf. άχνυτο Ξ 38. Poetic. (IV.)

άχομαι (άχ-) am troubled. Epic present.

\*ἄω satiate (cp. ἄ-δην sufficiently, Lat. sa-tis): ἄσω, ἀσα, 2 aor. satiate myself (subj. ἔωμεν or ἐῶμεν, from ἡομεν, inf. ἄμεναι). Mid. ἄαται (better ἄεται), ἄσομαι, ἀσάμην, ἀτος (ἄ-ατος?). Epic.

ἄωρτο: see αίρω.

βαδίζω go: βαδιοθμαι (806), βεβάδικα Aristotle, βαδιστέος. 512. (III.)

βάζω (βακ-) speak, utter: βάξω, βέβακται. Poetic. (III.)

βαίνω (βα, βαν-, 523 h) go: βήσομαι (806), 2 aor. -βην (551, 682 a, 687), βέβηκα, 2 perf. βεβᾶσι (subj. -βεβῶσι, 704 a), -βέβαμαι rare, -εβάθην rare, βατός, δια-βατέος. The simple verb appears in Att. prose only in the pres. and perf. act. Epic aor. mid. ἐβησάμην (rare) and ἐβησόμην (542 D.). Causative (make go) are βήσω poetic, ἔβησα poetic and Ion. prose. Cp. also βάσκω, βιβάω, βίβημι. 530. (III. IV.)

βάλλω (βαλ-, βλη-, 128 a, βαλλε-) throw: βαλῶ in good prose in comp. (βαλλήσω Aristoph. of continued action), 2 aor. ἔβαλον (-όμην usu. in comp.), βέβληκα, βέβλημαι (opt. δια-βεβλῆσθε, 711 d), ἐβλήθην, fut. pass. βληθήσομαι, fut. perf. βεβλήσομαι usu. in comp., ἀπο-βλητέος. Epic forms of the fut. are ξυμ-βλήσεαι; of the 2 aor. αct. ξυμ-βλήτην (688), ξυμ-βλήμεναι; of the 2 aor green gram. —44

mid. as pass.  $\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\eta\nu$  (subj.  $\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\epsilon\tau a\iota$ , opt.  $\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}o$  or  $\beta\lambda\hat{\epsilon}io$ , inf.  $\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\sigma\theta a\iota$ , part.  $\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}\mu\epsilon\nu signs)$ ; of the perf. 2 s.  $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\beta\lambda\eta a\iota$  and 1 s.  $\beta\epsilon\beta\dot{\delta}\lambda\eta\mu a\iota$ . (III.)

**βάπ-τω** (βαφ-) dip: ἐμ-βάψω, ἔβαψα, βέβαμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐβάφην (1 aor. pass. ἐβάφθην Aristoph.), **βαπτόs**. (II.)

βαρύνω (βαρυν-) load, annoy: βαρυνῶ, ἐβαρύνθην. (ΙΙΙ.)

βάσκω (βα-) go: poetic form of βαίνω. ἐπιβασκέμεν Β 234 cause to go. (V.) βαστάζω (βασταδ-) carry: βαστάσω, ἐβάστασα. Poetic. Late forms are from βασταγ-. (III.)

βήττω (βηχ-) cough. Ion. are βήξω, έβηξα.

βιβάζω (βα-) make go: usu. comp. w. ἀνά, διά, etc. in prose: -βιβάσω (-ομαι) and -βιβω (539 d), -εβίβασα, ἐβιβάσθην Aristotle, -βιβαστέος. 447 a, 512. (III.) βιβάω (βα-) step: part. βιβών. Epic.

βίβημι (βα-) go: part. βιβάs. Epic.

βι-βρώ-σκω (βρω-) eat: βέβρωκα (2 perf. part. βεβρώς poetic), βέβρωμαι, έβρώθην Hdt., fut. perf. βεβρώσομαι Hom., βρωτός Eur. Epic 2 aor. έβρων (688). In Att. other tenses than perf. act. and pass. are supplied from ἐσθίω. (V.)

βιόω live (for pres. and imperf. ζάω and βιοτεύω were preferred): βιώσομαι (806), ἐβίωσα rare, 2 aor. ἐβίων (687), βεβίωκα, βεβίωται (with the dat. of a pronoun), βιωτός, -τέος.

(βιώσκομαι) usu. ἀνα-βιώσκομαι reanimate, revive intrans.: ἀν-εβίωσα late Att., intrans., ἀν-εβιωσάμην reanimated, 2 aor. ἀν-εβίων intrans. (V.)

βλάπ-τω (βλαβ-) hurt, injure: βλάψω, ἔβλαψα, βέβλαφα, βέβλαμμαι, ἔβλάφθην and 2 aor. ἔβλάβην, fut. mid. βλάψομαι (also as pass., 809), 2 fut. pass. βλαβήσομαι, fut. perf. βεβλάψομαι Ion. Cp. βλάβομαι am injured T 82. (II.)

βλαστ-άνω (βλαστ-, βλαστε-) sprout: 2 aor. ἔβλαστον, βεβλάστηκα (less often ἐβλάστηκα, 440 a). ἐβλάστησα Ion. and poetic. (IV.)

βλέπω see: βλέψομαι (806), ἔβλεψα, βλεπτέος, -τός poetic. Hdt. has fut. ἀναβλέψω. βλέπομαι is rare in pass. sense.

βλίττω for  $\mu(\beta)$ λιτ- $\mu(\beta)$  (from  $\mu$ λιτ-, cp.  $\mu$ έλι,  $\mu$ έλιτ-os honey, 130) take honey: ἔβλισα. (III.)

βλώ-σκω for μ(β)λω-σκω from μολ-, μλω- (130 D.) go: fut. μολοῦμαι (806), 2 aor. ἔμολον, perf. μέμβλωκα. Poetic. (V.)

βοάω shout: βοήσομαι (806), ἐβόησα. Ion. are βώσομαι, ἔβωσα, βέβωμαι, ἐβώσθην. Cp. 59 D. 1, 489 g.

βό-σκω (βο-, βοσκ-, βοσκε-) feed: βοσκήσω and βοσκητέος Aristoph. βόσκομαι eat. (V.)

βούλομαι (βουλ-, βουλε-) w. augment έβουλ- or ήβουλ- (430) will, wish: βουλήσομαι, βεβούλημαι, έβουλήθην, βουλητός, -τέος Aristotle. Epic 2 perf. προσβέβουλα prefer. Hom. has also βόλομαι.

**βραχ**-: 2 aor.  $(\xi)$ βραχε, βραχεῖν resound. Epic.

βρέχω wet: ἔβρεξα, βέβρεγμαι, ἐβρέχθην.

βρίζω slumber, am drowsy : ἔβριξα. Poetic. 512. (III.)

βρίθω am heavy: βρίσω, έβρίσα, βέβρῖθα. Mainly poetic.

βροχ- swallow, often w. ἀνά, κατά: -έβροξε, 2 perf. -βέβροχε, 2 aor. pass. part. -βροχείs. The common verb is κατα-βροχθίζω (Aristoph.). Epic.

βρύκω bite, grind the teeth: βρόξω (147 c), έβρυξα, 2 aor. ἔβρυχον. Chiefly Ion. βρυχάομαι (βρυχ-, 486) roar: βέβρυχα as pres. (poetic), ἀν-εβρυχησάμην Plato, βρυχηθείς Soph.

- βρώ-θω eat: 2 perf. opt. βεβρώθοις Δ 35. Cp. βιβρώσκω.
- βυνέω (βυ- for βυσ-) stop up, often w. ἐπί, πρό: -βύσω, -έβυσα, βέβυσμαι, παράβυστος. Hdt. has δια-βόνεται. Comic and Ion. (IV.)
- γαμέω (γαμ-, γαμε-, 485) marry (of the man): fut. γαμῶ, ἔγημα, γεγάμηκα. Mid. γαμέομαι (of the woman): fut. γαμοῦμαι, ἐγημάμην, γεγάμημαι, ν. a. γαμετός (γαμετή wife), -τέος.
- γά-νυμαι (γα-) rejoice: Épic fut. γανύσσομαι (w. νυ of the pres. stem). Chiefly poetic. (IV.)
- γέγωνα (γων-, γωνε-) 2 perf. as pres. shout: part. γεγωνώς Epic. Other forms may be referred to γεγώνω or γεγωνέω; as subj. γεγώνω, imper. γέγωνε, inf. γεγωνέμεν (Epic) and γεγωνεῖν, imperf. ἐγεγώνει and ἐγέγωνε, 1 pl. ἐγεγώνευν, fut. γεγωνήσω, aor. ἐγεγώνησα, v. a. γεγωνητέος. Poetic, occasionally in prose. By-form γεγωνίσκω.
- γείνομαι (γεν-) am born Epic; aor. ἐγεινάμην begat (poetic) yields in Hdt., Xen. γεινάμενος, γειναμένη parent. (III.)
- γελάω (γελα- for γελασ-) laugh: γελάσομαι (806), έγέλασα, έγελάσθην (489 e), κατα-γέλαστος. 488.
- γέντο seized, Epic 2 aor. Σ 476. Also = ἐγένετο (γίγνομαι).
- γηθέω (γηθ-, γηθε-, 485) rejoice: γέγηθα as pres.; γηθήσω and έγήθησα poetic.
- γηρά-σκω and less com. γηράω (γηρα-) grow old: γηράσομαι (803), less often γηράσω, ἐγήρασα, γεγήρακα am old. 2 aor. ἐγήρα Epic and Ion., inf. γηράναι poetic, part. γηράς Hom. (687). (V.)
- γηρόω (500. 1. a) speak out: γηρόσομαι (806), έγήρῦσα, έγηρόθην. Poetic.
- γίγνομαι (γεν-, γενε-, γον-, 478) become, am: γενήσομαι, 2 aor. έγενόμην, 2 perf. γέγονα am, have been, γεγένημαι, γενηθήσομαι τατε. γίνομαι Doric and New Ion. (89). 2 aor. 3 s. γέντο Epic; aor. pass. έγενήθην Doric, Ion., late Att. comedy; 2 perf. part. γεγώς (other -μι forms w. γα- for γυ- 479, 482, 573, 704 b).
- γι-γνώ-σκω (γνω-, γνο-) know: γνώσομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔγνων (687) perceived, ἔγνωκα, ἔγνωσμαι (489 c), ἐγνώσθην, γνωσθήσομαι, γνωστός (γνωτός poetic), -στόςς. 1 aor. ἀν-έγνωσα persuaded Hdt. Doric, New Ion. γϊνώσκω (89). (V.)
- γλύφω carve: γέγλυμμαι and ἔγλυμμαι (440 a). Hdt. has ἐνέγλυψα. Other forms are late.
- γνάμπ-τω (γναμπ-) bend : γνάμψω, ἔγναμψα, ἀν-εγνάμφθην. Poetic for κάμπτω. (ΙΙ.) γοάω bewail : inf. γοήμεναι Hom., 2 aor. γόον (γο-) Epic. Mid. γοάομαι poetic : γοήσομαι Hom.
- γράφω write: γράψω, ἔγραψα, γέγραφα, γέγραμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐγράφην, 2 fut. pass. γραφήσομαι, fut. perf. pass. γεγράψομαι, γραπτός, -τέος. γεγράφηκα, ἔγραμμαι, and ἐγράφθην are late.
- γρύζω (γρυγ-) grunt: γρύξομαι (806, late γρύξω); ἔγρυξα, γρυκτός. Mostly in Att. comedy. (III.)
- δα-teach, learn, no pres.: 2 aor. ἔδαον learned, redupl. δέδαον taught, 2 aor. mid. δεδαέσθαι (δεδάασθαι mss.), 1 perf. δεδάηκα (δαε-) have learned, 2 perf. part. δεδαώς having learned, perf. mid. δεδάημαι have learned, 2 aor. pass. as intrans. ἐδάην learned, 2 fut. pass. as intrans. δαήσομαι shall learn; ἀ-δάητος. Cp. Hom. δήω shall find and διδάσκω. Poetic, mainly Epic.
- δαι-δάλλω (δαιδαλ-, δαιδαλο-) deck out: Pind. has perf. part. δεδαιδαλμένος, aor. part. δαιδαλθείς, and fut. inf. δαιδαλωσέμεν. Epic and Lyric. (III.)

- δαίζω (δαίγ-) rend: δαίξω, ἐδάϊξα, δεδάϊγμαι, ἐδαίχθην. Epic, Lyric, Tragic. (III.) δαί-νῦμι (δαι-) entertain: δαίνῦ Epic imperf. and pres. imper., δαίσω, ἔδαισα. Mid. δαίνυμαι fedst (opt. δαινῦτο Ω 665, cp. 750 D.), ἐδαισάμην, aor. pass. part. δαισθείs, ἄ-δαιτοs. Poetic, rare in Ion. prose. (IV.)
- δαίομαι divide: perf. 3 pl. δεδαίαται α 23; subj. δάηται Υ 316 (for δαίηται) from δαίομαι οτ δαίω? Cp. δατέομαι. Poetic.
- δαίω (δαρ-ίω) kindle: 2 perf. δέδηα burn intrans., plup. δεδήει. Mid. δαίομαι burn intrans. Mainly poetic. (III.)
- δάκ-νω (δακ-, δηκ-) bite : δήξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔδακον, δέδηγμαι, ἐδήχθην, δαχθήσομαι. (IV.)
- δαμ-άζω tame, subdue: fut. δαμάσω, δαμάω, δαμῶ (Hom. 3 s. δαμᾶ and δαμάα, 3 pl. δαμόωσι, 645), aor. ἐδάμασα. Att. prose has only δαμάζω, κατ-εδαμασάμην, ἐδαμάσθην. Mostly poetic, rare in prose. 512. (III.)
- δάμ-νη-μι (and δαμ-νά-ω?) (δαμ-, δμη-) tame, subdue: perf. mid. δέδμημαι, pass. 1 aor.  $\dot{\epsilon}$ δμήθην and (more commonly) 2 aor.  $\dot{\epsilon}$ δάμην, fut. perf.  $\dot{\delta}$ εδμήσομαι. Poetic. 737. (IV.)
- δαρθ-άνω (δαρθ-, δαρθε-) sleep, usu. in comp., espec. w. κατά: 2 aor. -έδαρθον (Hom. ἔδραθον), perf. -δεδάρθηκα. (IV.)
- δατέομαι (δατ-, δατε-) divide: δάσ(σ)ομαι, ἀν-εδασάμην rare in prose (έδασ-(σ)άμην Ερίο), δέδασμαι, ἀνά-δαστος. δατέασθαι in Hesiod should be δατέεσθαι. Cp. δαίσμαι divide. Mainly poetic and New Ion.
- δέαμαι appear, only imperf. δέατο ζ 242. From a kindred root aor. δοάσσατο N 458. δέδια, δέδοικα, δείδω (703) fear: see δι-.
- δεδίττομαι frighten (rare in Att. prose): ἐδεδιξάμην rare. Poetic, mainly Epic, are δεδίσσομαι, δεδίσκομαι, δειδίσσομαι: fut. δειδίξομαι, αοτ. ἐδειδιξάμην. Derived from δέδια (δι-). (III.)
- δείδεκτο greeted I 224, δείδεχαται η 72 (-ατο Δ 4) are referred by some to the mid. of δείκνῦμι. Others read δηκ-from another root. Cp. δείκανόωντο welcomed 0 86. δείδίσκομαι greet, only pres. and imperf., to be read δηδίσκομαι (445 D., 527 b). Epic. (V.)
- δείκ-νῦμι and δεικ-νύ-ω (δεικ-) show (418): δείξω, ἔδειξα, δέδειχα, δέδειγμαι, έδείχθην, δειχθήσομαι, δεικτέος. Hdt. has forms from δεκ-: -δέξω, -έδεξα (-άμην), -δέδεγμαι, -εδέχθην. (IV.)
- δέμω (δεμ-, δμη-) build: ἔδειμα, δέδμημαι. Poetic and Ion.
- δέρκομαι (δερκ-, δορκ-, δρακ-) see: 2 aor. έδρακου, perf. δέδορκα as pres., pass. 1 aor. έδερχθην (in tragedy) saw and 2 aor. έδράκην saw, μονό-δερκτος. Poetic.
- δέρω (δέρ-, δαρ-) flay: δέρω, ἔδειρα, δέδαρμαι, 2 aor. pass. έδάρην, δρατόs Hom. Pres. δέlρω (δέρ-1ω) Hdt., Aristoph.
- δέχομαι receive, await: δέξομαι, έδεξάμην, δέδεγμαι, είσ-εδέχθην, άπο-δεκτέος. δέκομαι New Ion., Pindaric, and Aeolic. Fut. perf. as act. δεδέζομαι poetic. On Epic ἐδέγμην, δέξαι, δέχθαι, δέγμενος, Hom. δέχαται (3 pl.), see 634, 688.
- δέω bind (397 a): δήσω, ἔδησα, δέδεκα (δέδηκα doubtful), δέδεμαι, ἐδέθην, fut. pass. δεθήσομαι, fut. perf. δεδήσομαι, σύν-δετος, ἀν-υπό-δητος, συν-δετέος Aristoph. Mid. in prose only in comp., as περιδήσομαι.
- δέω (δερω; δε-, δεε-) need, lack (397 a): δεήσω, έδέησα, δεδέηκα, δεδέημαι, έδεήθην. Epic aor. δήσεν Σ 100, έδεύησεν ι 540. Mid. δίομαι want, ask (Epic δεύομαι): δεήσομαι (Epic δευήσομαι). Impers. δεί it is necessary: έδει, δεήσει, έδέησε (397 a).

δηριάω and δηρίω contend: ἐδήρῖσα Theorr. Mid. δηριάομαι and δηρίομαι as act.: δηρίσομαι Theorr., ἐδηρῖσάμην θ 76, ἐδηρίνθην Π 756 contended (as if from δηρίνω), ἀμφι-δήρῖτος Thuc. Epic and Lyric.

δήω shall find, Epic pres. w. fut. meaning. Cp. δα-.

δι- (δρι-, δρει-, δροι-) fear (477 a): ἔδεισα, δέδοικα as pres., 2 perf. δέδια as pres. (rare in the sing.; inflection, 703). Epic forms: δείδω (from δεδροια, 445 D.) as pres., δείσομαι (806), ἔδδεισα (= ἐδρεισα), δείδοικα, δείδια (703 D.). Homhas imperf. δίον feared, fled from an assumed pres. δίω.

διαιτάω arbitrate (from δίαιτα, but augmented as if a comp. w. double augment in perf., plup., and in comps.; cp. 451): διαιτήσω, διήτησα (but ἀπ-εδιήτησα), δεδιήτηκα (plup. κατ-εδεδιητήκη), δεδιήτημαι (plup. ἐξ-εδεδιήτητο), διητήθην. Mid. pass one's life: διαιτήσομαι, κατ-εδιητησάμην effected arbitration.

διακονέω minister (from διάκονος): έδιακόνουν, διακονήσω, δεδιακόνηκα, δεδιακόνημα, έδιακονήθην. Forms in δεδιη- are wrong, forms in διη- are Ion. and late (uncertain in classical poetry).

δι-δά-σκω (for διδαχ-σκω, 97 a) teach, mid. cause to teach, learn: διδάξω, ἐδίδαξα, δεδίδαχα, δεδίδαγμαι, ἐδιδάχθην, διδάξομαι (808), διδακτός, -τέος. Ερίς aor. ἐδιδάσκησα (διδασκε-) 447 a. (V.)

 $\delta l$ - $\delta \eta$ - $\mu \iota$  ( $\delta \eta$ -,  $\delta \epsilon$ -) bind, pres. and imperf. Poetic for  $\delta \epsilon \omega$ . Xen. has  $\delta \iota \delta \epsilon \bar{a} \sigma \iota$ .

-δι-δρά-σκω (δρά-) run away, only in comp. w. aπδ, έξ: -δράσομαι (806), 2 aor. -έδραν (-δρώ, -δραίην, -δράθι late, -δράναι, -δράς, 687), -δέδρακα. Hdt. has -διδρήσκω, -δρήσομαι, -έδρην (but -δράς), -δέδρηκα. (V.)

δί-δω-μι (δω-, δο-) give: see 416, 421. Fut. δώσω, 1 aor. ἔδωκα in s., 2 aor. ἔδοτον dual, ἔδομεν pl. (756), δέδωκα, δέδομαι, ἐδόθην, δοθήσομαι, δοτός, -τέος. See 747 ff. for pres. in Hom. and Hdt. Fut. διδώσω Epic, 2 aor. iter. δόσκον (492 a).

δί-ζη-μαι (from δι-διη-) seek (cp. ζητέω) keeps  $\eta$  throughout in the pres. (imperf. έδιζήμην), διζήσομαι, έδιζησάμην. Poetic and Ion. 726 a, 741.

δίη-μι cause to flee, only in imperf. έν-δίεσαν set on  $\Sigma$  584. Mid. δίεμαι flee, cause to flee, subj. δίωμαι (accent 424 c, n. 2), opt. διοίμην (accent 424 c, n. 2), inf. δίεσθαι referred by some to the middle of δίω. Epic.

δικ- only in 2 aor. εδικον threw. In Pindar and the tragic poets.

διψάω (διψα-, διψη-) thirst: pres. see 394, 641 : διψήσω, ἐδίψησα.

δίω: see δι-.

διώκω pursue: διώξομαι (806) and (less well supported) διώξω, ἐδίωξα, δεδίωχα, ἐδιώχθην, διωκτέος. For ἐδιώκαθον see 490 D.

δοκέω (δοκ-, δοκε-, 485) seem, think: δόξω, ἔδοξα, δέδογμαι, κατ-εδόχθην, α-δόκητος. Poetic forms are δοκήσω, ἐδόκησα, δεδόκημαι, ἐδοκήθην. In trimeter Aristoph uses only the shorter forms.

δουπέω (δουπ-, δουπε-) sound heavily: ἐδούπησα, 2 perf. δέδουπα fell. Epic aor. ἐγδούπησα. Poetic.

δράττομαι (δραγ-) seize: ἐδραξάμην, δέδραγμαι. (ΙΙΙ.)

δράω do : δράσω, ἔδρασα, δέδρακα, δέδραμαι (δέδρασμαι, 489 e, doubtful), έδράσθην, δραστέος.

δρέπω pluck: ἔδρεψα, 2 aor. ἔδραπον Pind., ἄ-δρεπτος Aesch. Cp. δρέπ-τω poetic. δύναμαι am able, can (augment usually έδυν-, but also ήδυν-, 430): δυνήσομαι, δεδύνημαι, έδυνήθην, δυνατός. Pres. 2 s. δύνασαι, δύνα poetic, δύνη Ion. (465 a, n. 2), imperf. ἐδύνω (ἐδύνασο late), aor. pass. ἐδυνάσθην Epic, New Ion., Pind. (489 g).

- δύω enter, go down, sink, cause to enter (trans. generally in comp. w. ἀπό or κατά (819): also δύ-νω (Ion., poetic, rare in Xen.) enter: -δύσω trans., -έδυσα trans., 2 aor. ἔδυν intrans. (p. 140), δέδυκα intrans., -δέδυκα trans., -δέδυμαι, -εδύθην, -δυθήσομαι Aristoph., -δυτέος. Fut. mid. δύσομαι, aor. mid. -εδυσάμην (Epic also ἐδῦσόμην, 542 D.). Hom. 2 aor. opt. δύη and ἐκδῦμεν (758 D).
- έάφθη N 543, aor. pass., was hurled (?), possibly from ραπ- (lάπτω); sometimes referred to ἄπτω or to ἔπομαι.
- ἐάω permit, let alone: ἐάσω, εἴασα (431), εἴακα (443), εἴαμαι, εἰάθην, ἐάσομαι pass. (808), ἐατέος. Epic pres. also εἰάω, imperf. ἔα Ε 517, aor. ἔασα; Hdt. does not augment.
- έγγνάω pledge: the forms in ήγγυ- are better than those in ένεγυ- or έγγεγυ-; see 453 a.
- έγείρω (έγερ-, έγορ-, έγρ-, 36) wake, rouse: ἐγερῶ, ἥγειρα, 2 perf. ἔγρήγορα 478, 705 am awake (for ἐγ-ηγορα, but ρ is also redupl.), ἐγήγερμαι, ἦγέρθην, 2 aor. mid. ἦγρόμην awoke, ἔγερτέος, ἐγερτός Aristotle. Hom. 2 perf. 3 pl. ἐγρηγόρθασι, imper. ἐγρήγορθε (for -γορσθε), inf. ἐγρήγορθαι or ἐγρηγόρθαι (for -γορσθαι). (III.)
- έγκωμιάζω praise : έγκωμιάσω and έγκωμιάσομαι (806), ένεκωμίασα, έγκεκωμίακα, έγκεκωμίασμαι, ένεκωμιάσθην Hdt.. 512. (III.)
- έδω eat: poetic for ἐσθίω.
- ἔζομαι (έδ- for σεδ-, cp. sedeo) sit, usu. καθ-έζομαι (which is less common than καθ-ίζομαι): ἐκαθ-εζόμην (450), καθ-εδούμαι (539 b), εἰσάμην rare in prose, καθ-εστέοs. Fut. ἐφ-έσσομαι trans. ι 455, aor. ἐσσάμην and ἐεσσάμην Epic. Act. aor. Epic εἶσα (imper. ἔσσον or εἶσον, inf. ἔσσαι, part. ἔσᾶs). See ἔζω. (III.)
- ἐθέλω (ἐθελ-, ἐθελε-) and θέλω wish: imperf. always ἤθελον in Att.; ἐθελήσω, or θελήσω (rare); ἦθέλησα (subj. ἐθελήσω or θελήσω, opt. ἐθελήσαιμι or θελήσαιμι), ἦθέληκα. The commoner Att. form is ἐθέλω except in the iambic trimeter of tragedy, and in formulas as αν θεὸς θέλη.
- έθίζω (for σ<sub>Γ</sub>εθ-ιδίω, 123) accustom: ἐθιῶ (539 e), εἴθισα (431), εἴθικα (443), εἴθισμαι (1946), εἰθίσθην, ἐθιστέος, -τός Aristotle. 512. (III.)
- $\xi\theta\omega$  (for  $\sigma_f\epsilon\theta\omega$ , 123) an accustomed: pres. part.  $\xi\theta\omega\nu$  being accustomed only in Hom., 2 perf.  $\xi\omega\theta\alpha$  (443, 563 a) an accustomed, 2 plup.  $\xi\omega\theta\eta$  (perf.  $\xi\omega\theta\alpha$ , plup.  $\xi\omega\theta\epsilon$ a Hdt.). See  $\xi\theta\xi\omega$ .
- είδον saw: see iδ- and ὁράω.
- εἰκάζω (εἰκαδ-) liken, conjecture augments to ἦκ- rather than to εἰκ- in Att. prose (437): ἢκαζον, εἰκάσω, ἢκασα, ἦκασμαι (εἴκασμαι?), ἦκάσθην, εἰκασθήσομαι, εἰκαστός, ἀπ-εικαστέος. Fut. mid. -εικάσομαι sometimes as act.
- είκω yield: είξω, είξα, ὑπ-εικτέος. Οη είκαθον see 490.
- εἴκω (εἰκ-, οἰκ-, ἰκ-; for ρεικ-, etc.) resemble, appear (no pres. in use): εἴξω rare, 2 perf. ἔοικα as pres. 443, 502 a (impers. ἔοικε it seems): ἐοίκω, ἐοίκωμ, ἐοικέναι (poet. εἰκέναι), ἐοικώς, neut. εἰκός fitting (εἰκώς chiefly poetic; also Platonic); 2 plup. ἐψκη and ἥκη. εἶκε seemed likely (Σ 520) may be imperf; some regard it as perf. or plup. For ἔοικα, ἐοίκω, ἐοικώς Hdt. has οἶκα, οἴκω, οἰκώς. Forms of the μι-conjugation are ἔικτον, ἐῖκτην Hom., ἔοιγμεν Att. poets, εἴξᾶσι mainly in Att. poets (704 d.). Cp. ἐῖσκω.
- είλέω or είλέω roll up, pack close, mostly Epic. είλέομαι Hdt., συν-ειλέομαι Xen.: ἀπ-είλημαι Hdt., ἀν-ειλήθην Thuc.

«ἴλλω roll pres. act. and pass. in Att. (rare). Cp. ίλλω.

είλόω (fείλν- for έ-fλν-) roll, cover, gather up: είλόσω, εἴλῦμαι. Cp. έλύω. Poetic and Ion.

είλω (έλ- for fελ-, cp. volvere) roll up, drive together: no pres. act. (είλομαι Hom.), έλσα and έελσα, έελμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐάλην and ἄλην (3 pl. ἄλεν, inf. ἀλῆναι, ἀλήμεναι, part. ἀλείs). Homeric.

είμαρται it is fated: see μείρομαι.

είμί am: fut. εσομαι (806). See p. 211.

είμι go: see p. 212.

εἶπον (ἐπ- for ρεπ-,) said, 2 aor. (εἴπω, εἴποιμι, εἰπέ, εἰπεῖν, εἰπών), Epic ἔειπον and ἐἴπεοκον. First aor. εἶπα rare in Att. (εἴπαιμι, imper. εἶπον, inf. εἶπαι Hdt., part. εἴπās Hdt. and late Att.), ἔειπα poetic; 1 aor. mid. ἀπ-ειπάμην New Ion. Other tenses are supplied from εἴρω. 529. (VI.)

εἴργω shut in or out, also εἴργνῦμι and (rarely) εἰργνύω (with ει-from εε-, cp. Hom. ἐ(ρ)έργω): εἴρξω, εἶρξω, εἶργμαι, εἴρχθην, εἰρκτός, -τέος. Fut. mid. εἴρξομαι is pass. or reflex. (808). The distinction that the forms with the smooth breathing mean shut out, those with the rough breathing mean shut in, is late and not always observed in classical Att. Hom. has ἐέργω (in pres.) and ἔργω shut in or out: ἔρξα, 2 aor. ἔργαθον and ἐέργαθον, ἔργμαι and ἔεργμαι (3 pl. ἔρχαται, 439 D., plup. ἔρχατο, ἐέρχατο), ἔρχθην. Hom. has ἐέργνῦ Κ 238. Hdt. usu. has ἔργω (in comp.), with some forms from -έργνῦμι and ἐργνύω: Old Att. forms in ἐργ-, ἐργ- are doubtful: Soph. has -έρξω, ἔρξεται; Plato -έρξω, ἔρξενδος ξρξεται;

είρομαι (είρ-, είρε-) ask: είρήσομαι Hom. and New Ion. Hom. has also (rarely)  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\epsilon}(f)\omega$ , subj.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon$ ίομεν (=  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon$ ίομεν) Α 62; and  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\dot{\epsilon}(f)$ ομαι, imper.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon$ ίο οτ  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon$ ίο Λ 611 (650). Att. fut.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ ήσομαι and 2 aor.  $\dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ μην presuppose a pres.  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ ομαι, which is supplied by  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ ωτάω.

ϵἴρω (ἐρ- for σερ-, cp. Lat. sero) join: rare except in comp. w. ἀπό, διά, σύν, etc.: aor. -εῖρα (Ion. -ερσα), perf. -εῖρκα, perf. mid. ἔερμαι Ερίς.

είρω Hom. say (έρ-,  $\dot{\rho}\eta$ - for  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon\rho$ -,  $\dot{\rho}\eta\eta$ -, cp. Lat. verbum), for which pres. Att. uses λέγω, φημί and (esp. in comp.) ἀγορεύω: fut. ἐρῶ, aor. supplied by εἶπον, perf. εἴρηκα (=  $\dot{\rho}\epsilon$ - $\dot{\rho}\eta\eta$ - $\dot{\rho}\eta$ ), perf. pass. εἴρημαι, aor. pass. ἐρρήθην, fut. pass. ἡηθήσομαι, fut. perf. εἰρήσομαι,  $\dot{\nu}$ . a. ἡητός, -τέος. Ion. are ἐρέω fut., εἰρέθην (but  $\dot{\rho}\eta\theta\eta\nu$ αι) aor. pass.

 $\epsilon l\sigma \alpha$  seated: see " $\zeta \omega$ .

έἴσκω (= ρε-ρικ-σκω, from redupl. ρικ-) liken (also ἴσκω): imperf. Hom. ἤϊσκον and εΐσκον; perf. mid. προσήϊξαι art like Eur., plup. Hom. ἤϊκτο and εؒίκτο have been referred by some to εἴκω. Poetic, chiefly Epic. (V.)

είωθα: see ξθω.

έκκλησιάζω call an assembly : augments έξ-κλησίαζον οτ ήκ-κλησίαζον, etc. (453 a). 
έλαύνω (from έλα-νν-ω, 523 e) drive, march: έλω (539 b), ήλασα, -ελήλακα (w. ἀπό, ἐξ), ἐλήλαμαι, ἠλάθην, ἐλατέος, ἐξ-ήλατος Hom., ἐλατός Aristotle. Aor. mid. ἠλασάμην rare. Fut. ἐλάσσω ψ 427, ἔλόωσι Hom. (645), ἐλάσω rarely in mss. of Xen., perf. ἐλήλασμαι Ion. and late, plup. ἡληλάμην (Hom. 3 pl. ἐληλάστο οτ ἐληλέστο οτ ἐληλέστο), ἡλάσθην Hdt., Aristotle (489 g). ἐλάω is rare and poetic. (IV.)

έλέγχω examine, confute: έλέγξω, ήλεγξα, έλήλεγμαι (407), ήλέγχθην, έλεγχθήσο-

μαι, έλεγκτέος.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ λελίζω raise the war-cry, shout:  $\dot{\eta}$ λέλιξα Xen. 512. (III.)

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ λελίζω whirl, turn round :  $\dot{\epsilon}$ λέλιξα,  $\dot{\epsilon}$ λελίχθην. Poetic. 512. (III.)

ἐλίττω (ἐλικ- for ϝελικ-) roll (rarely εἰλίττω); sometimes written ἐλ-: ἐλίξω, εἴλιξα (431), εἴλιγμαι (443), εἰλίχθην, ἐξ-ελιχθήσομαι Aristotle, εἰλικτόs. Epic aor. mid. ἐλιξάμην. Epic ἐλέλικτο, ἐλελίχθησαν should be ἐελ-. εἰλίσσω is the usual form in Hdt. (III.)

ελκω draw (έλκ- for σελκ-; most tenses from έλκυ-; έλκύω late), often w. ἀνά, έξ, κατά, σύν: -έλξω, είλκυσα (431), καθ-είλκυκα (443), -είλκυσμαι (489 c), -ειλκύσθην, -ελκυσθήσομαι, έλκτέος, συν-ελκυστέος. Fut. έλκύσω Ion. and late. By-form έλκέω Epic.

 $\xi \lambda \pi \omega$  ( $f \epsilon \lambda \pi$ -) cause to hope, mid. (also  $\epsilon \epsilon \lambda \pi \omega \omega \omega$ ) hope like  $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ : 2 perf. as pres.  $\xi o \lambda \pi \omega$  (=  $f \epsilon f o \lambda \pi \omega$ ), 2 plup.  $\epsilon \omega \lambda \pi \epsilon \omega$ , v. a.  $\delta - \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau \sigma s$ . Mainly Epic.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ λύω roll:  $\dot{\epsilon}$ λύσθην Hom. (=  $\dot{\epsilon}$ - $\epsilon$ λυ- $\sigma$ θην), 489 e. Cp.  $\epsilon$ lλύω.

έμέω vomit: έμοθμαι (806), ήμεσα.

έναίρω (ἐναρ-) kill: 2 aor. ήναρον. 1 aor. mid. ἐνηράμην as act. Poetic. (III.) ἐναρίζω slay, spoil: ἐναρίξω, ἐνάριξα, κατ-ηνάρισμαι, κατ-ηναρίσθην. Poetic. 512. (III.)

έν-εδρεύω waylay, lie in ambush regular: fut. mid. as pass. (808).

έν-έπω and έννέπω (έν + σεπ-, σπ-, σπε-) say, tell: ένι-σπήσω and ένιψω (ένι-σπω?), 2 aor. ένι-σπον (ένι-σπω, ένι-σποιμι, imper. ένι-σπες or ένι-σπε, 2 pl. ἔσπετε for έν-σπετε, inf. ένι-σπεῖν and ένι-σπέμεν). Poetic.

ένήνοθε defect., w. pres. and imperf. meaning: sit on, be on, grow on, lie on. In comp. w. έπί in Hom. Epic. Connected by some w. ἀνήνοθε.

 $\epsilon_{\nu l\pi - \tau \omega}$  ( $\epsilon_{\nu - \iota\pi}$ ) chide: 2 aor.  $\epsilon_{\nu \ell \nu \bar{\iota}\pi \sigma \nu}$  and  $\epsilon_{\nu l\pi - \sigma \pi \sigma \nu}$  (448 D.). Epic also  $\epsilon_{\nu l\sigma \sigma \omega}$ . Poetic, chiefly Epic. (II.)

ἔν-νῦμι (έ- for μεσ-, cp. ves-tio) clothe, pres. act. only in comp., in prose ἀμφι-έννῦμι: ἀμφι-ῶ (539 c), ἡμφί-εσα (450), ἡμφί-εσμαι (489 d). Epic forms: imperf. κατα-είννον, fut. ἔσσω and -έσω, aor. ἔσσα and -εσα, mid. pres. inf. ἐπ-είννοθαι Hdt., fut. -έσσομαι, aor. ἐσ(σ)άμην and ἐεσσάμην for ἐ-μεσσαμην, perf. ἔσμαι and εῖμαι (part. εἰμένος in tragedy). Cp. 439 D. The simple verb is poetic, mainly Epic. (IV.)

έν-οχλέω harass has double augment (451): ἠν-ώχλουν (ἐν-ώχλουν Aristotle), ἐν-οχλήσω, ἠν-ώχλησα, ἠν-ώχλημαι.

έξετάζω investigate: έξετάσω (rarely έξετῶ, 539 d), έξήτασα, έξήτακα, έξήτασμαι, έξητάσθην, έξετασθήσομαι, έξεταστέος. 512. (III.)

ἔοικα seem, resemble: see εἴκω.

έορτάζω keep festival: ἐώρτασα (for ἡορ-, 34). Ion. ὀρτάζω.

έπ-αυρέω and έπ-αυρίσκω (αὐρ-, αὐρε-) enjoy (Epic and Lyric) are both rare: 2 aor. ἐπαθρον. Mid. ἐπαυρίσκομαι Ιοπ., poetic, rare in Att. prose: ἐπαυρήσομαι, ἐπηυράμην rare, 2 aor. ἐπηυρόμην. (V.)

 $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}\nu o\theta\epsilon: \text{ see }\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\eta}\nu o\theta\epsilon.$ 

έπιβουλεύω plot against: regular, but fut. mid. as pass. (808).

έπισταμαι understand (725): 2 s. ἐπίστασαι, ἐπίστα and ἐπίστη poetic (465 a, n. 2), -επίστεαι Hdt.; subj. ἐπίστωμαι (accent, 424 c, n. 2), opt. ἐπισταίμην, ἐπίσταιο (accent, 424 c, n. 2), imper. ἐπίστω (ἐπίστασο poetic and New Ion.), imperf. ἡπιστάμην, ἡπίστασο and ἡπίστω (450, 465 b, n. 1), fut. ἐπιστήσομαι, aor. ἡπιστήθην, v. a. ἐπιστητός. Distinguish ἐφ-ίσταμαι from ἐφ-ίστημι. ἔπω (σεπ-, σπ-) am busy about, usu. w. ἀμφί, διά, ἐπί, μετά, περί (simple only in

έπριάμην bought: see πρια- (416).

ξραμαι (poetic) deponent pass., pres. in prose supplied by ἐράω (ἐρα- for ἐρασ-): imperf. ἤρων (ἠράμην poetic); αοτ. ἠράσθην fell in love, 489 e (ἠρασ(σ)άμην poetic), fut. ἐρασθήσομαι poetic, ἐραστός, ἐρατός poetic.

έργάζομαι (ρεργ-) work, augments to ή- and εί- (431, 432), redupl. to εί- (443): ήργαζόμην, έργασομαι, ήργασάμην, είργασμαι, ήργασθην, έργασθησομαι, έργα-

στέος. In Hdt. without augment and reduplication. 512. (III.) ἔργω: see εἴργω.

ἔρδω (from ρερζω = ρεργ-ιω, 511) work, do (also ἔρδω): ἔρξω, ἔρξα, 2 perf. ἔοργα (= ρεροργα), 2 plup. ἐώργεα (= ἐρεροργεα) Epic, ἐόργεα Hdt. Ion. and poetic; cp. ῥέζω. (III.)

ἐρείδω prop: ἤρεισα, ἐρήρεισμαι Hdt. (for Hom. ἐρηρέδαται, -ατο some read ἐρηρίδαται, -ατο), plup. ἡρήρειστο, ἡρείσθην, ἐρείσομαι Aristotle, ἐρεισάμην Hom. Hippocr. has -ήρεικα, -ήρεισμαι, ἐρηρείσεται. Mainly poetic.

έρείκω (έρεικ-, έρικ-) tear, burst: ήρειξα, 2 aor. ήρικον trans. and intrans., έρήριγμαι. Poetic and New Ion.

έρειπω (έρειπ-, έριπ-) throw down: έρειψω, ήρειψα, 2 aor. ήριπον, 2 perf. -ερήριπα have fallen Epic (plup. έρέριπτο Ξ 15), ήρειφθην, 2 aor. pass. έρίπην. Ion. and poetic.

έρέσσω (έρετ-) row: δι-ήρεσ (σ) a Hom. Late prose has έρέσσω and έρέττω. (III.) έρέω ask Epic: see εἴρομαι.

έριδαίνω (ἐριδαν-) contend Epic (III. IV.). ἐριδήσασθαι Ψ 792 (v. l. ἐριζήσασθαι) as if from ἐριδέομαι. By-form ἐριδμαίνω Epic.

έρίζω (ἐριδ-) contend: ἤρισ $(\sigma)$ α, ἐρήρισμαι, ἐριστός. Poetic. (III.)

ἔρομαι αsk : see εἴρομαι.

ξρπω (σερπ-) and έρπύζω creep augment to εί- (431): είρπον, έφ-έρψω, είρπυσα, έρπετόν α beast.

ἔρρω (έρρ-, έρρε-) go away, go (to destruction), perish: ἐρρήσω, ἤρρησα, εἰσήρρηκα.

ἐρυγγ-άνω cast forth, eruct: pres. Att., poetic, New Ion., 2 aor. ήρυγον. Cp. ἐρεύγομαι Ερία, New Ion.: ἐρεύξομαι Ηippocr. (806). (IV.)

έρύκω hold back : έρύξω, ήρυξα (also Xen.), 2 aor. ήρύκακον (448 D.). Epic, poetic, New Ion. Hom. has also έρῦκάνω, έρῦκανάω.

ξρῦμαι (for  $\epsilon$ ερῦμαι) and εἴρυμαι (for  $\epsilon$ ερῦμαι) protect Epic: pres. 3 pl. εἰρύαται and εἰρθαται (for εἴρυνται), inf. ε(ιʹ)ρυσθαι; imperf. ε(ιʹ)ρῦτο, εἰρύατο (for εἴρυντο); fut. ε(ιʹ)ρύσ(σ)ομαι; aor. ε(ιʹ)ρυσ(σ)άμην, perf. ἔρῦτο Hesiod. The pres. and imperf. are often taken as  $\mu$ ι-forms of ἐρύομαι. By-form ῥόομαι, q. v.

έρύω ( $_{\it f}$ ερυ-,  $_{\it f}$ ρῦ-)  $_{\it d}$ raw: augments to εἰ- (431 D): fut. ἐρύω Hom.; aor. ε(t)- $_{\it ρ}$ υσ(σ) a Hom. Mid. ἐρύομαι  $_{\it d}$ raw to one's self: ἐρύσσομαι, ε(t)ρυσ(σ) άμην,

εξρῦμαι and εξρυσμαι 489 d (3 pl. εἰρύαται and εἰρύαται), plup. εἰρόμην (3 pl. εἰρύατο), ε(ἰ)ρύσθην Hippocr., ἐρυστός Soph. Epic and Ion. εἰρύω is poetic (esp. Epic) and New Ion. Late fut. ἐρύσ $(\sigma)\omega$ .

ἔρχομαι (ἐρχ-, ἐλθ-, ἐλευθ-, ἐλυθ-) go, come: ἐλεύσομαι, 2 aor. ἤλθον, 2 perf. ἐλήλυθα. In Att. ἔρχομαι is common only in indic.; subj. Epic and Ion.; opt. (in comp.) Xen.; imper. Epic; inf. Epic, Tragic, Ion., in comp. in Att. prose rarely; part. poetic, in comp. in Att. prose. Imperf. ἤρχόμην uncomp. is rare. For the above tenses Att. prose uses τω, τοιμι, τθι, τέναι, τόν, ἤα simple and in comp. (but not ὑπιέναι for ὑπέρχθεσθαι flatter). Fut.: Att. prose uses ετμι (774), ἀφίξομαι οτ ἤξω for ἐλεύσομαι (which is Epic, Ion., Tragic); 2 aor. ἤλυθον poetic; 2 perf. ἐλήλουθα or εἰλήλουθα Ερic, ἐλήλυμεν, -υτε in Comic and Tragic fragments; 2 plup. ἐληλύθει Ερic. (VI.)

έσ-θίω (for ἐδ-θι-ω) eat: imperf. ἤσθιον, fut. ἔδομαι (541, 806), 2 aor. ἔφαγον, perf. ἐδήδοκα, κατ-εδήδεσμαι, ἐδεστός, -τέος. Epic are ἔδμεναι pres., ἐδηδώς 2 perf. part., ἐδήδομαι (?) perf. pass.; ἡδέσθην Comic, Hippocr., Aristotle. (VI.) ἔσθω Epic and poetic, ἔδω Epic, poetic, and Ion.

έστιάω entertain augments and reduplicates to εί- (431, 443).

εὔδω sleep, rare in prose, which usually has καθ-εύδω: imperf. ἐκάθ-ευδον and καθ-ηῦδον (450), fut. καθ-ευδήσω, v. a. καθ-ευδητέος. εὕδω is chiefly poetic and Ion. (imperf. εδδον and ηῦδον).

εὐεργετέω do good. The augmented form εὐηρ- is to be rejected (452).

εύρ-ίσκω (εύρ-, εὐρε-) find: εὐρήσω, 2 aor. ηὖρον or εὖρον (imper. εὑρέ, 424 b), ηὕρηκα or εὕρηκα, εὕρήμαι, εὑρέθην, εὑρεθήσομαι, εὑρετός, -τέος; εὐράμην Hesiod. The augment is ηὐ- or εὐ- (437). (V.)

εύφραινω (εύφραν-) cheer: εύφρανῶ, ηὕφρᾶνα. Mid. rejoice: εύφρανοῦμαι and εύφρανθήσομαι, ηὐφράνθην. The augment is also εὐ- (437). (III.)

εύχομαι pray, boast: εύξομαι, ηὐξάμην, ηὖγμαι, εὐκτός, -τέος Hippocr., ἀπ-εύχετος Aesch. The augment is also εὐ- (437).

έχθαίρω (έχθαρ-) hate: έχθαρῶ, έχθαροῦμαι (808), ἤχθηρα, έχθαρτέος. Epic and poetic. (III.)

 $\xi \chi \theta \omega$  hate,  $\xi \chi \theta \omega \mu a \iota$ : only pres. and imperf. Poetic for  $\dot{\alpha} \pi - \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \mu a \iota$ .

ἔχω (έχ-, for σεχ-, and σχ-, σχε-) have, hold: imperf. είχον (431), ἔξω or σχήσω (1911), 2 aor. ἔσχον for έ-σ(ε)χ-ον (σχῶ, σχοίην or -σχοιμι, σχές, σχείν, σχών), ἔσχηκα, παρ-έσχημαι, έκτέος, ἀνα-σχετός, -τέος. Mid. ἔχομαι hold by, am near: ἔξομαι (sometimes pass., 808), and σχήσομαι (often in comp.), 2 aor. ἐσχόμην usu. in comp. (σχῶμαι, σχοίμην, σχοῦ, σχέσθαι, σχόμενος), used as pass. for ἐσχέθην (late). Epic forms are perf. συν-όχωκα (for -οκ-οχ-α) B 218, plup. pass. ἐπ-ώχατο were shut M 340. Poetic is 2 aor. ἔσχεθον (490 D.). See ἀμπέχω, ἀνέχω, ὑπισχνέομαι. By-form ἴσχω for σι-σ(ε)χ-ω.

ἕψω  $(\dot{\epsilon}\psi$ -,  $\dot{\epsilon}\psi\dot{\epsilon}$ -) cook, boil: ἑψήσομαι  $(\dot{\epsilon}\psi\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega\ \mathrm{Comic})$ , ήψησα, ἑφθός (for έψθος),

έψητός, ήψημαι Hippocr., ήψήθην Hdt. The pres. έψέω is not Att.

\*ζάω (ζῶ) live (ζα-, ζη-, 395): (ζῆς, ζῆ): imperf. ἔζων, fut. ζήσω and ζήσομαι. For late ἔζησα, ἔζηκα Att. has ἐβίων, βεβίωκα. βίωσομαι is commoner than ζήσομαι. ζώω Epic, New Ion., dramatic. See 522 b, 641 and D.

ζεύγ-νυμι (ζευγ-, ζυγ-, cp. Lat. jugum) yoke: ζεύξω, έζευξα, έζευγμαι, έζεύχθην rare, 2 aor. pass. έζύγην. (IV.)

ζέω (ζε- for ζεσ-) boil (intrans. in prose): έξανα-ζέσω, έζεσα, άπ-έζεσμαι Hippocr.

ζών-νυμι (ζω-, 731) gird: ζωσα, ζωμαι (Att. inser.) and ζωσμαι (preferred in MSS.). (IV.)

ήβά-σκω come to manhood, ήβάω am at manhood: ἐφ-ηβήσω, ήβησα, παρ-ήβηκα. Ερίς ήβώοντα, etc. (643). (V.)

πγερέθομαι am collected: see άγείρω.

ήδομαι am pleased: ήσθήσομαι (812), ήσθην, aor. mid. ήσάμην ι 353. ήδω (ήσα) is very rare.

ήδυνω (ήδυν-) sweeten: ήδυνα, ήδυσμαι, ήδύνθην, ήδυντέος. (ΙΙΙ.)

ἡερέθομαι am raised: see αίρω.

ήμαι sit: see 789.

ήμί say: see 792.

ήμύω sink, bow: ήμῦσα, ὑπ-εμν-ήμῦκε X 491 from ἐμ-ημῦκε with ν inserted. Poetic, mostly Epic.

ήττωμαι from ήττάομαι (Ion. έσσοθμαι from έσσόομαι) am vanquished: regular, but fut. ήττήσομαι and ήττηθήσομαι (812).

θάλλω (θαλ-) bloom, rare in prose : ἔθαλλε made grow Pind., 2 perf. τέθηλα (as pres.) is poetic. By-form  $\theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \theta \omega$  (490). (III.)

θάπ-τω (θαφ-, 125 g) bury: θάψω, ἔθαψα, τέθαμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐτάφην, 2 fut. pass. ταφήσομαι, fut. perf. τεθάψομαι, θαπτέος; 1 aor. pass. έθάφθην Ion. (rare). (II.) θαυμάζω (θαυμ-αδ-) wonder, admire: fut. θαυμάσομαι (806), otherwise regular. 512. (III.)

θείνω (θεν-) smite: θενῶ, ἔθεινα Epic, 2 aor. ἔθενον. Poetic (and in Att. comedy). (III.)

θέλω wish: see ἐθέλω.

θεραπεύω serve, heal: regular, but fut. mid. θεραπεύσομαι is usu. pass. (808). θέρομαι warm myself (in prose only pres. and imperf.), fut. θέρσομαι τ 23 (536), 2 aor. pass. as intrans.  $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\dot{\epsilon}\rho\eta\nu$  (only in the subj.  $\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega$   $\rho$  23).

θέω (θευ-, θερ-, θυ-, 503) run: θεύσομαι (806). Other forms supplied by other verbs (see τρέχω).

θη- in θησθαι milk, έθησάμην sucked. Epic.

 $\theta n\pi$ -: see  $\tau a\phi$ -.

 $\theta$ ι-γ-γ-άνω  $(\theta$ ιγ-) touch:  $\theta$ ιξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔθιγον, ά-θικτος. Poetic, rare in prose (Xen.). (IV.)

θλάω bruise, break: θλάσω, ἔθλασα, τέθλασμαι (489 c) Theocr., έθλάσθην Hippoer., θλαστός. Ion. and poetic. See φλάω. θλίβω ( $\theta$ λίβ-,  $\theta$ λίβ-, 501) press : ἔθλτψα, τέθλίφα, ἐθλίφθην, τέθλιμμαι and ἐθλίβην

Aristotle. Fut. mid. θλίψομαι Hom.

θνή-σκω, older θνή-σκω (θαν-, θνη-, 492, 526 b) die: ἀπο-θανοῦμαι (806), 2 aor. άπ-έθανον, τέθνηκα am dead, 2 perf. τέθνατον (704 c), fut. perf. τεθνήξω (659 a, 1958), θνητός. In prose regularly άπο-θνήσκω in fut. and 2 aor., but always τέθνηκα. (V.)

θράττω  $(\theta \rho \bar{a} \chi -, \tau \rho \bar{a} \chi -)$  disturb: ἔθραξα, έθράχ $\theta \eta \nu$  Soph. See ταράττω. Mostly poetic. (III.)

θραύω break, bruise: θραύσω, έθραυσα, τέθραυμαι and τέθραυσμαι (489 c), έθραύσθην.

θρύπ-τω (θρυφ-, 125 g and n.) crush, weaken: τέθρυμμαι, έθρύφθην Aristotle, 2 aor. pass. ετρύφην Hom., εν-θρυπτος. θρύπτομαι put on airs. (II.)

- θρώ-σκω and θρώ-σκω (θρω-, θορ-, 492) leap: -θοροῦμαι (806; w. ὑπέρ) poetic, 2 aor. ἔθορον. Mainly poetic. By-form θορνύομαι Hdt. (V.)
- θύω (θυ-, θυ-, 500. 1 a) sacrifice: θύσω, έθυσα, τέθυκα, τέθυμαι, έτύθην, θυτέος.
- θόω and θόνω rush poetic: in the classical language only pres. and imperf. θῦνέω Hesiod.
- lalvω (lav-) warm: τηνα, lάνθην without augm. Epic and Lyric. (III.)
- tάλλω (taλ-) and tάλλω send: -ιαλώ, τηλα without augm. Epic. Poetic (comp. with έπι in Aristoph.). (III.)
- laχέω and láχω (for ριραχω) sound, shout: laχήσω, láχησω, 2 perf. part. ἀμφιαχυῖα. Hom. has both ἔαχον and ἔαχον. For lāχ- in tragedy laκχ- is commonly written. Poetic, mainly Epic. 485 d.
- $l\delta$ -,  $\epsilon i\delta$ -,  $ol\delta$  (for  $\epsilon i\delta$ -, etc.) in είδον saw from  $\epsilon$ - $\epsilon i\delta$ ον 431 (ίδω, ίδοιμι, ίδέ, ίδεῖν, ίδων), fut. είσομαι shall know (Epic είδήσω), plup. ήδη or ήδειν knew (794 ff.), tortégs. Mid. είδομαι seem, resemble Epic, poetic, New Ion.: είσάμην and  $\epsilon$ εισάμην, 2 aor. είδ $\epsilon$ μην saw Epic, poetic, Hdt., προ-ιδέσθαι Thuc.  $\epsilon$ ιδο in οίδα, 794 ff.
- $l\delta\rho \delta\omega$  sweat:  $i\delta\rho \omega \sigma\omega$ ,  $t\delta\rho \omega \sigma a$ . For the contraction to  $\omega$  instead of  $\sigma v$  ( $l\delta\rho \omega \sigma \iota$ , etc.) see 398. Epic  $l\delta\rho \omega \omega$ ,  $l\delta\rho \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma$ , etc.
- ίδρτω place (Ερίς ἰδρτω): often comp. w. κατά: -ιδρτσω, -ίδρτσα, -ίδρτκα, ξδρτμαι, ίδρτθην (ἰδρόνθην Ερίς), ίδρτσός.
- te-μαι (ρῖε-, cp. Lat. in-vi-tus) strive: usu. in comp., as παρ-teμαι beg. The forms are like those from the mid. of τημι send (cp. 778). Epic aor. εεισάμην and εlσάμην.
- <sup>\*</sup> Υζω (for σι-σ(ε)δ-ω, cp. sedeo) seat, usu. sit, mid. <sup>†</sup> ζομαι sit, classic only in pres. and imperf. Mainly Ionic and poetic. See καθίζω, καθίζομαι, the usual forms in prose. See also <sup>†</sup> ζομαι, κάθημαι sit. By-form <sup>†</sup> ζάνω seat, place. (III.)
- ἔημι (σι-ση-μι) send: ἤσω, ἦκα, 2 aor. εἶτον, etc., εἶκα, εἶθαν, έθησομαι, έτός, ἐτέος (except pres. all forms in comp. in prose). For inflection and synopsis, see 777 ff.
- ἰκνέομαι (ἰκ-) come, in prose usu. ἀφ-ικνέομαι: ἀφ-ίξομαι, 2 aor. ἀφ-ικόμην, ἀφ-ῖγμαι. Uncomp. ἰκνούμενος suitable (rare). The simple forms ἰκνέομαι, ἴξομαι, ἰκόμην are poetic. Connected forms are poetic ἔκω (imperf. ἔκον, aor. ἔξον) and ἰκάνω, only pres. and imperf. (Epic and Tragic). (IV.)
- tλά-σκο-μαι (tλα-) propitiate: τλάσομαι, τλασάμην, τλάσθην (489 e). Epic aor. τλασσάμην, Epic pres. also ιλάομαι. (V.)
- τλημι ( $\dot{\tau}$ λη-,  $\dot{\tau}$ λα- for  $\sigma\iota$ - $\sigma$ λη-,  $\sigma\iota$ - $\sigma$ λα-) am propitious: pres. imper.  $\dot{\tau}$ ληθι or  $\dot{\tau}$ λαθι, perf.  $\dot{\tau}$ ληκα. Mid. τλαμαι propitiate. Epic.
- tλλω (tλλομαι) roll: iλα. See  $\epsilon i$ λ $\epsilon \omega$  and  $\epsilon t$ λω. (III or IV.)
- *lμάσσω* (*iμαντ-*) *lash* : *'ίμασ*(σ)α Epic. (III.)
- tμείρω (tμερ-) and tμείρομαι desire: tμειράμην Epic, tμέρθην Hdt., tμερτός. Poetic and Ion. (III.)
- ἴπταμαι fly: (725, 726 a): see πέτομαι.
- ἴσᾶμι : Doric for οίδα know : ἴσας (or ἴσαις), ἴσᾶτι, ἴσαμεν, ἴσατε, ἴσαντι, part. ἴσᾶς. ἴσκω liken  $(= \digamma \iota κ \sigma \kappa \omega)$  : see είσκω.
- ἔστημι (στη-, στα-) set, place: στήσω shall set, ἔστησα set, caused to stand, 2 αοτ. ἔστην stood, 1 perf. ἔστηκα stand (= σε-στηκα), plup. εἰστήκη stood (ἐστήκη, rare, 444 b), 2 perf. ἔστατον stand (417), perf. mid. ἔσταμαι rare,

fut. perf. ἐστήξω shall stand (754 a, 1958), aor. pass. ἐστάθην was set, v. a. στατός, -τέος. For the inflection see 416, for dialectal forms of present see 747 D. ff. Epic 1 aor. 3 pl. ἔστασαν and ἔστησαν, 2 aor. 3 pl. ἔσταν (inf. στήμεναι), 2 perf. inf. ἐστάμεν and ἐστάμεναι, part. ἐσταώς and ἐστεώς. Iterat. imperf. τστασκε, 2 aor. στάσκε (495 a). 819.

**lσχναίνω** (lσχναν-) make dry or lean: ισχνανῶ (-οῦμαι), ἴσχνᾶνα Aesch. (544 a, ἴσχνηνα Ion., also Att. ?), ἰσχνάνθην Hippocr., -ισχαντέος Aristotle. (III.) ἴσχω (for σι-σ(ε)χ-ω), have, hold: see ἕχω.

καδ- (καδε-) in Hom. κεκαδών depriving, κεκαδήσω shall deprive. Not the same as καδ-(κήδω). κεκαδόμην withdrew may be from χάζω.

καθαίρω (καθαρ-) purify : καθαρῶ, ἐκάθηρα (and ἐκάθᾶρα ?), κεκάθαρμαι, ἐκαθάρθην, καθαρτέος Hippocr. (III.)

καθέζομαι: see έζομαι.

καθεύδω sleep: see εὐδω.

κάθημαι: see 790.

καθίζω set, sit: imperf. ἐκάθιζον (450), fut. καθιώ (539), aor. ἐκάθισα orκαθίσα. Mid. καθίζομαι sit: ἐκαθιζόμην, καθιζήσομαι (521), ἐκαθισάμην. Hom. has imperf. κάθιζον or καθίζον, aor. καθείσα and κάθισα, Hdt. καπείσα. See τζω, ἔζομαι. (IV.) καί-νυμαι excel: perf. κέκασμαι (κεκαδμένοs Pind.).. Poetic. (IV.)

καίνω (καν-, κον-) kill: κανω, 2 aor. ἔκανον, 2 perf. κέκονα (κατα-κεκονότες Xen.). Poetic. (III.)

καίω (for καίςω from κας-ίω; καυ-, κας-, και-) and καίω (uncontracted, 396) burn, often w. ἐν, κατά: καύσω, ἔκαυσα, -κέκαυκα, κέκαυμαι, ἔκαύθην, -καυθήσομαι, -καυτός. 2 aor. ἔκηα Epic, poetic (part. κήᾶς Epic, κέᾶς Att.), 2 aor. pass. ἐκάην burned (intrans.) Epic and Ion. The Mss. show καίω in tragedy, Thuc., and in Xen. usu., κάω in Aristoph., Isocr., Plato. 520. (III.)

καλέω (καλε-, κλη-) call: καλώ (539 a), ἐκάλεσα, κέκληκα, κέκλημαι am called (opt. 711 c), ἐκλήθην, fut. pass. κληθήσομαι (καλοῦμαι S. El. 971), fut. perf. κεκλήσομαι shall bear the name, κλητός, -τέος. Aeolic pres. κάλημι, Epic inf. καλήμεναι; fut. καλέω Hom., καλέσω Aristotle, aor. ἐκάλεσσα Hom. Iterative καλέσκον, καλέσκετο. Epic pres. κι-κλή-σκω.

καλύπ-τω (καλυβ-) cover (in prose usu. in comp. W. ἀπό, ἐν, etc.): καλύψω, ἐκάλυψα, κεκάλυμμαι, ἐκαλύφθην, καλυπτός, συγ-καλυπτέος poetic. (II.)

κάμ-νω (καμ-, κμη-) labor, am weary or sick: καμοῦμαι (806), 2 aor. ἔκαμον, κέκμηκα, ἀπο-κμητέος. Epic 2 aor. subj. also κεκάμω, 2 aor. mid. ἐκαμόμην, 2 perf. part. κεκμηώς. (IV.)

κάμπ-τω (καμπ-) hend: κάμψω, ἔκαμψα, κέκαμμαι, ἐκάμφθην, καμπτός. (II.)

κατηγορέω accuse: regular. For augment, see 453.

καφ-ε- pant, in Epic 2 perf. part. κεκαφηώς. κεδάν-νυμ: see σκεδάννυμι.

κει-μαι lie: κείσομαι. See 791.

κείρω (κερ., καρ.) shear: κερῶ, ἔκειρα, κέκαρμαι, ἀπο-καρτέος Comic. Epic aor. ἔκερσα (544 b), aor. pass. ἐκέρθην Pind., 2 aor. pass. ἐκάρην (Hdt.) prob. Att. (III.) κείω split: Epic κείων ξ 425.

κείω and κέω wish to lie down. Epic. Cp. κείμαι.

κελαδέω roar: κελαδήσω, κελάδησα. By-form Hom. κελάδω in pres. part. Epic and Lyric.

- κελεύω command: κελεύσω, ἐκέλευσα, κεκέλευκα, κεκέλευσμαι (489 c), ἐκελεύσθην, παρα-κελευστός, δια-κελευστέος.
- κέλλω (κελ-) land: κέλσω (536), έκελσα. Poetic = Att. ὀκέλλω. (III.)
- κέλομαι (κελ-, κελε-, κλ-) command : κελήσομαι, ἐκελησάμην, 2 aor. ἐκεκλόμην (448 D., 549 D.). Poetic = Att. κελεύω.
- κεντέω (κεντ-, κεντε-, 485) goad : κεντήσω, ἐκέντησα, κεκέντημαι Hippoct., ἐκεντήθην late Att., συγ-κεντηθήσομαι Hdt., κεστόs Hom., aor. inf. κένσαι Hom. for κεντσαι. Poetic and New Ion.
- κεράν-νῦμι and κεραν-νύω (κερα-, κρᾶ-) mix: ἐκέρασα, κέκρᾶμαι, ἐκράθην and ἐκεράσθην (489 g), κρᾶτέος. Ion are ἔκρησα (ἐκέρασσα poetic), κέκρημαι, ἐκρήθην. By-forms κεράω and κεραίω, and κίρνημι and κιρνάω. (IV.)
- κερδαίνω (κερδ-, κερδε-, κερδαν-) gain: κερδανω, ἐκέρδανα (544 a), προσ-κεκέρδηκα. Hdt. has fut. κερδήσομαι, aor. ἐκέρδηνα and ἐκέρδησα (523 h). (III. IV.)
- κεύθω (κευθ-, κυθ-) hide: κεύσω, ἔκευσα, Ερίε 2 aor. ἔκυθον and redupl. 2 aor. in subj. κεκύθω, 2 perf. κέκευθα as pres. (in Trag. also am hidden, and so κεύθω in trag.). Epic by-form κευθάνω. Poetic.
- κήδω (κηδ-, κηδε-, καδ-) distress: κηδήσω, ἐκήδησα, 2 perf. κέκηδα as pres., sorrow. Poetic. Mid. κήδομαι am concerned: κεκαδήσομαι Hom., ἐκηδεσάμην Aesch.
- κηρύττω (κηρῦκ-) proclaim: κηρύξω (147 c), ἐκήρυξα, ἐπι-κεκήρῦχα, κεκήρῦγμαι, ἐκηρύχθην, fut. pass. κηρῦχθήσομαι and (Εur.) κηρύξομαι (809). (III.)
- κι-γ-χ-άνω (κιχ-, κιχε-), Ερίς κιχάνω, come upon, reach, find: κιχήσομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔκιχον, Ερίς ἐκιχησάμην, ἀ-κίχητος. Hom. has 2 aor. pass. ἐκίχην as intrans.: κιχήω (mss. -είω), κιχείην, κιχῆναι and κιχήμεναι, κιχείς and (mid.) κιχήμενος. These forms may come from a pres. κίχημι (688), but they all have aoristic force. Poetic. (IV.)
- κίδ-νημι: see σκεδάννυμι. (IV.)
- κί-νυμαι move myself. Pres. and imperf. Epic. Att. κῖνέω. (IV.)
- κίρ-νημι and κιρνώω Epic: see κεράννῦμι.
- κί-χρη-μι  $(\chi \rho \eta$ -,  $\chi \rho a$ -) lend: ἔχρησα, κέχρηκα, κέχρημαι. Fut.  $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \omega$  Hdt., probably also Att. Mid. borrow: ἐχρησάμην.
- κλάζω (κλαγγ-, κλαγ-, 510) resound, clang: κλάγξω, ξκλαγξα, 2 aor. ξκλαγον, 2 perf. κέκλαγγα as pres., fut. perf. κεκλάγξομαι as fut. shall scream (581, 806). Epic 2 perf. κεκλήγοντες (557 D. 2, 700 D.). By-form κλαγγάνω. Mainly poetic. (III.)
- κλαίω weep (for κλαιτω from κλαττω: κλαν-, κλατ-, κλαι-, κλαι-), κλάω in prose (not contracted, 520): κλαιήσω or κλαήσω (κλαύσομαι shall suffer for it), ἔκλαυσα. Poetic are κλαυσοῦμαι (540), κέκλαυμαι, κέκλαυμαι, κλαυτός, κλαυστός (?). The mss. have κλαίω in Xen. usu., κλάω in Aristoph. (III.)
- κλάω break, in prose w. ἀνά, ἀπό, ἐπί, κατά, πρόs, σύν: -ἐκλασα (488 a), -κέκλασμαι (489 c), -εκλάσθην, ἀνα-κλασθήσομαι Aristotle.
- κλείω shut (Older Att. κληίω): κλείσω and κλήσω, ἔκλεισα and ἔκλησα, ἀποκέκληκα, κέκλειμαι and κέκλημαι (κέκλεισμαι has some support), ἐκλείσθην and ἐκλήσθην (489 e), κλειστός and κληστός. κληίω is Ion.
- κλέπ-τω (κλεπ-, κλοπ-) steal: κλέψω (less often κλέψομαι), ἔκλεψα, κέκλοφα, κέκλοφα, κέκλεμμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐκλάπην, κλεπτός, -τέος. 1 aor. pass. ἐκλέφθην Ion. and poetic. (II.)
- κλήτω celebrate in song: κλήσω, ἔκλησα (Dor. ἐκλέϊξα from κλείζω). Poetic. 512. (III.)

- κλίνω (κλι-ν-) bend, usu. comp. w. κατά: -κλινῶ, ἔκλῖνα, κέκλικα late, κέκλιμαι (491), 2 aor. pass. -εκλίνην, 2 fut. pass. -κλινήσομαι, 1 aor. pass. ἐκλίθην poetic, ἐκλίνθην Ερic, poetic, ἀπο-κλιτέος Aristotle. (III.)
- κλύω hear: imperf. έκλυον is an old 2 aor. from an assumed pres. κλεύω; 2 aor. imper., without thematic vowel, κλθθι and (Epic) κέκλυθι; perf. κέκλυκα rare; part. κλύμενος as adj. famous = κλυτός. Poetic.
- κναίω scratch, usu. comp. w. διά: -κναίσω Eur., -έκναισα, -κέκναικα, -κέκναισμαι (489 c), -εκναίσθην, -κναισθήσομαι.
- \*κνάω (κνῶ) scrape (κνα-, κνη-) (on pres. contraction κνῆs, κνῆ, etc. see 394, 641) often comp. w. κατά: κνήσω Hippocr., ἔκνησα, -κέκνησμαι (489 c), -εκνήσθην. Cp. κναίω.
- κοιλαίνω (κοιλ-αν-) hollow: κοιλανῶ, ἐκοίλανα (544 a), κεκοίλασμαι (489 h) and ἐκοιλάνθην Hippocr. (III. IV.)
- κομίζω (κομιδ-) care for: κομιῶ, ἐκόμισα, κεκόμικα, κεκόμισμαι (usu. mid.), ἐκομίσθην, κομισθήσομαι, κομιστέος. (III.)
- κόπ-τω (κοπ-) cut, usu. in comp. in prose : κόψω, ἔκοψα, -κέκοφα (διά, έξ, σύν, etc.), κέκομμαι, 2 aor. pass. -εκόπην (άπό, περί), 2 fut. pass. -κοπήσομαι, fut. perf. -κεκόψομαι, κοπτόs. Hom. has 2 perf. part. κεκοπώs. (II.)
- κορέν-νῦμι (κορε- for κορεσ-) satiate: fut. κορέω Hom., κορέω Hdt., aor. ἐκόρεσα poetic, 2 perf. part. κεκορηών satisfied Epic, perf. mid. κεκόρεσμαι (489 c) Xen., κεκόρημαι Ion., poetic, aor. pass. ἐκορέσθην poetic (489 g) ἀ-κόρητον and ἀ-κόρε(σ)τον insatiate, both poetic. Ion. and poetic, rare in prose. (IV.)
- κορύσσω (κορυθ-) arm with the helmet, arm: act. only pres. and imperf. Hom. acr. part. κορυσσάμενος, perf. part. κεκορυθμένος. Poetic, mostly Epic. (III.) κοτέω am angry: ἐκότεσα (-άμην) and κεκοτηώς Epic.
- κράζω (κράγ·, κραγ·) cry out: 2 aor. ἔκραγον, 2 perf. κέκρῦγα as pres. (imper. 698, 704 e), fut. perf. as fut. κεκράξομαι shall cry out (581, 806). By-form κραυγάζω. (III.)
- κραίνω (κραν-) accomplish: κρανῶ, ἔκρᾶνα, perf. 3 s. and pl. κέκρανται, ἐκράνθην, κρανθήσομαι, ἄ-κραντοs. Epic by-form κραιαίνω (κρᾶαίνω?): ἐκρήηνα (ἐκρά-ηνα?), perf. 3 s. κεκράανται, plup. κεκράαντο, aor. pass. ἐκράανθεν Theocr., ἀ-κράαντοs. Poetic. (III.)
- κρέμα-μαι (κρεμα-) hang, intrans., used as pass. of κρεμάννῦμι. Pres. inflected as ἴσταμαι (subj. κρέμωμαι, opt. κρεμαίμην, 749 b, 750 b), κρεμήσομαι. Cp. κρίμνημι and κρεμάννῦμι.
- κρεμάν-νῦμι (κρεμα-, 729) hang, trans.: κρεμῶ, ἐκρέμασα, ἐκρεμάσθην, κρεμαστόs. Mid. intrans. see κρέμαμαι. Fut. κρεμάσω Comic poets, κρεμόω Epic. (IV.)
- κρίζω (κρικ- or κριγ-) creak: 2 aor. Ερίς κρίκε (v. l. κρίγε), 2 perf. κέκρτγα Aristoph. (III.)
- κρίμ-νημι (κριμ-νη-, κριμ-να-) often miswritten κρήμνημι, hang, trans., rare in act.

  Mid. κρίμναμαι am suspended = κρέμαμαι. Poetic. (IV.)
- κρίνω (κρι-ν-) judge: κρινῶ, ἔκρῖνα, κέκρικα (491), κέκριμαι, ἐκρίθην (ἐκρίνθην Ερίς, 491), κριθήσομαι (κρινοῦμαι rarely pass., 809), κριτέος, κριτός poetic. (111.)
- κρούω beat: κρούσω, έκρουσα, -κέκρουκα, -κέκρουμαι and -κέκρουσμαι (489 g), -έκρούσθην, κρουστέος.
- κρύπ-τω (κρυφ-) hide: κρύψω (prose w. ἀπό, κατά), ἔκρυψα, κέκρυμμαι (prose w. ἀπό), ἐκρύφθην, κρυπτός, κρυπτέος poetic. Poetic 2 aor. pass. ἐκρύφην is rare (Soph.), κεκρύψομαι Hippocr. (II.)

- κτάομαι acquire: κτήσομαι, ἐκτησάμην, κέκτημαι (442 n.) possess (subj. κεκτῶμαι, -ῆ, -ῆται, 709; opt. κεκτήμην, -ῆο, -ῆτο, 711; doubtful are κεκτώμην, -ῷο, -ῷτο); fut. perf. κεκτήσομαι shall possess (581); ἐκτήθην pass.; κτητός, -τέος. Aor. mid. ἐκτησάμην usu. = have possessed. Ion. perf. mid. ἔκτημαι (442 D.) and fut. perf. ἐκτήσομαι shall possess (both in Plato).
- κτείνω (κτεν-, κτον-, κτα-ν-, 478, 480) kill, in prose usually comp. w. ἀπό, in poetry w. κατά; ἀπο-κτείνω: κτενῶ, ἔκτεινα, 2 perf. ἀπ-έκτονα. Ion. fut. κτενέω (κτανέω from κταινω). Poetic 2 αοτ. ἔκτανον and ἔκταν (551 D.); subj. κτέωμεν mss. χ 216, inf. κτάμεναι, part. κτάς; mid. ἐκτάμην was killed (687). Epic αοτ. pass. ἐκτάθην. In Att. prose ἀπο-θνήσκω is generally used as the pass. οf ἀπο-κτείνω. By-forms ἀπο-κτείνῦμι and ἀπο-κτεινύω (sometimes written κτείννῦμι, -ύω, κτίννῦμι, -ύω, 733). (III.)
- κτίζω found: κτίσω, εκτισα, εκτισμαι Pind., εκτίσθην, ευ-κτιτος poetic. Epic 2 aor. mid. part. κτίμενος (κτι-) as pass., founded. 512. (III.)
- κτυπέω (κτυπ-, κτυπε-, 485) sound: ἐκτύπησα, 2 aor. ἔκτυπον Hom. (546 D). Poetic. κῦδαίνω (κῦδ-αν-) honor: ἐκόδηνα Ερίc. Hom. has also κῦδάνω and κῦδιάω. 523 h. (III. IV.)
- κυέω (κυ-, κυέ-, 485) am pregnant: ἐκύησα conceived, κεκύηκα. Fut. κυήσω Hippoer., aor. pass. ἐπ-εκυήθην Aristotle. Mid. bring forth. Connected forms are κύω (usu. poetic): ἔκῦσα impregnated Aesch. (κῦσαμένη being pregnant), caus. κυΐσκω impregnate and conceive, κυΐσκομαι conceive.
- κυλίνδω and κυλινδέω, later κυλίω, roll: ἐκύλισα, κατα-κεκύλισμαι (489 c), ἐκυλίσθην, ἐκ-κυλισθήσομαι, κυλιστός. From ἐκύλισα (= ἐκυλινδσα) the pres. κυλίω was formed. Connected is καλινδέομαι.
- κυ-νέ-ω (κυ-) kiss: κυνήσομαι (?), έκυσα. Poetic. προσ-κυνέω render homage to: προσ-κυνήσω, προσ-εκύνησα (προσ-έκυσα poetic). (IV.)
- κύπ-τω (κυφ-, cp. κύβδα; or κῦφ-, cp. κῦφόs) stoop: ἀνα-κύψομαι (806), ἔκνψα, κέκῦφα. If the verb-stem is κῦφ- the v is long in all forms. (II.)
- κυρέω (κυρ-, κυρε-, 485) meet, happen is regular (poetic and Ion.). κύρω (κυρ-) = κυρέω is mainly poetic : κύρσω (536), ἔκυρσα. (III.)
- κωκύω (500, 1. a) lament: κωκύσω Aesch., κωκύσομαι (806) Aristoph., ἐκώκῦσα poetic.
- κωλύω hinder: regular, but (rare) fut. mid. κωλύσομαι as pass. (808) T. 1. 142.
- λα-γ-χ-άνω (λαχ-, ληχ-) obtain by lot: λήξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔλαχον, 2 perf. εἴληχα (445), εἴληγμαι, ἐλήχθην, ληκτέος. Ion. fut. λάξομαι, Ion. 2 perf. λέλογχα (also poetic). Hom. 2 aor. ἔλλαχον (redupl. λέλαχον made partaker). (IV.)
- λάζομαι and λάζυμαι (Epic and Ion.) = λαμβάνω.
- λα-μ-β-άνω (λαβ-, ληβ-) take: λήψομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔλαβον, εἴληφα (445), εἴλημμαι, ἐλήφθην, ληφθήσομαι, ληπτός, -τέος. Fut. λάμψομαι (better λάψομαι) Ion., λᾶψοῦμαι Doric; 2 aor. inf. λελαβέσθαι Hom.; perf. λελάβηκα (λαβε-) Ion. and Doric; perf. mid. λέλημμαι poetic, λέλαμμαι Ion.; aor. pass. ἐλάμφθην Ion., ἐλάφθην Doric; v. a. κατα-λαμπτέος Hdt. (IV.)
- λάμπω shine: λάμψω, έλαμψα, 2 perf. λέλαμπα poetic.
- λα-ν-θ-άνω (λαθ-, ληθ-) escape the notice of, lie hid: λήσω, 2 aor. ἄλαθον, 2 perf. λέληθα as pres., ν. α. ἄ-λαστος poetic. Mid. in prose usu. ἐπι-λανθάνομαι forget (λανθάνομαι poetic, rare in prose; λήθομαι poetic): ἐπι-λήσομαι, 2 aor.

- έπ-ελαθόμην, perf. mid. ἐπι-λέλησμαι. Hom. has 2 aor. λέλαθον caused to forget and λελαθόμην forgot (448 D.), perf. mid. λέλασμαι. λελήσομαι is poetic. By-forms are λήθω, -ομαι, chiefly poetic: ἔλησα poetic; and ληθάνω cause to forget Epic, poetic. (IV.)
- $\lambda \delta \pi$ - $\tau \omega$  ( $\lambda \alpha \beta$  or  $\lambda \alpha \phi$ -) lap, lick: pres. late: ἐκ-λάψομαι Aristoph., λέλαφα Aristoph. Fut.  $\lambda \delta \psi \omega$  Hom. (II.)
- λάσκω, for λακ-σκω, 526 d (λακ-, λακε-) speak: λακήσομαι (806), 2 αστ. έλακον (έλακησα rare), 2 perf. as pres. λέληκα Epic = λέλᾶκα Tragic (part. λελᾶκοῦα Epic), 2 αστ. mid. λελακόμην Epic. Poetic verb. By-forms ἐπι-ληκέω Epic, λακάζω Tragic. (V.)
- λάω see: only part. λάων and imperf. λάε. Epic.
- \* $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \omega$  ( $\lambda \dot{\omega}$ ) wish ( $\lambda \alpha$ -,  $\lambda \eta$ -): contr.  $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ,  $\lambda \hat{\eta}$ , inf.  $\lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ . Doric verb. Also  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} (\omega$ . Cp. 394.
- λέγω say: λέξω, ἔλεξα, perf. εἴρηκα (see under εἴρω), λέλεγμαι, ἐλέχθην, fut. pass. λεχθήσομαι, fut. perf. λελέξομαι, λεκτέος, -τός poetic. Fut. mid. λέξομαι as pass. is poetic (809). δια-λέγομαι discuss: δια-λέξομαι and δια-λεχθήσομαι (812), δι-είλεγμαι, δι-ελέχθην (δι-ελέγην Aristotle), δια-λεκτέος.
- λέγω collect, count, usu. in comp. w. έξ or σύν: -λέξω, -έλεξα, 2 perf. -είλοχα (445), -είλεγμαι and -λέλεγμαι, 2 aor. pass. -ελέγην (-ελέχθην rare in Att.), fut. perf. -λεγήσομαι, -λεκτέος, λεκτός poetic. 2 aor. mid. έλέγμην ι 335.
- λείπω (λειπ-, λοιπ-, λιπ-, 477 a) leave, often in comp. w. ἀπό, κατά, ὑπό, etc.: λείψω, 2 aor. ἔλιπον, 2 perf. λέλοιπα have left, have failed. λείπομαι mid. remain, pass. am left, am inferior: λέλειμμαι, ἐλείφθην, fut. pass. λειφθήσομαι, fut. perf. λελείψομαι, λειπτέοs. Fut. mid. λείψομαι is rarely pass. (809). 2 aor. mid. ἐλιπόμην in prose only in comp. (as pass. Λ 693). By-form κατα-λιμπάνω. On the inflection of the 2 aor. see 384.
- λεπτύνω (λεπτυν-) thin: ελέπτυνα, λελέπτυσμαι (489 h), ελεπτύνθην. (ΙΙΙ.)
- λέπω (λεπ-, λαπ-) peel, usu. comp. w. ἀπό, ἐκ: -λέψω, -έλεψα, λέλαμμαι (inscr.), -ελάπην.
- λεύω stone to death, usu. comp. w. κατά in prose : -λεύσω, -έλευσα, -ελεύσθην (489 e), -λευσθήσομαι.
- λεχ- lay to rest (cp. λέχ-os bed): λέξομαι, ἔλεξα (ἐλεξάμην went to rest, imper. λέξεο, 542 D.), 2 aor. athematic forms (688) ἔλεκτο went to rest, imper. λέξο for λεχ-σο, inf. κατα-λέχθαι for -λεχσθαι, part. κατα-λέγμενοs. Epic.
- λήθω: see λανθάνω.
- $\lambda_i$ -λαίομαι ( $\lambda$ α- for  $\lambda$ ασ-, 624 a) desire eagerly only pres. and imperf.; with perf.  $\lambda$ ελίημαι ( $\lambda$ ια-). Epic. Cp.  $\lambda$ άω. (III.)
- λίσσομαι rarely λίτομαι (λιτ-) supplicate: έλλισάμην Epic, 2 aor. έλιτόμην Epic, πολύ-λλιστοs. Poetic, rare in prose. (III.)
- λιχμάω (and λιχμάζω) lick: perf. part. λελιχμότες Hesiod. Usually poetic.
- λοέω (= λορεω) wash: λοέσσομαι, έλδε(σ)σα, -άμην. Ερίς. See λούω.
- λούω wash loses v before a short vowel and then contracts (398 a): λούω, λούεις, λούει, λοῦμεν, λοῦτε, λοῦτε, λοῦτι, ἔλουν, λούσομαι (λούσω late), ἔλουσα, λέλουμαι, ἄ-λουτος. Hom. has λόω, λοέω: λοέσσομαι, λοῦσα ζ 217, λόεσσα (-ατο), Hippoer. Ελούθην.
- λυμαίνω (λύμαν-) abuse: usn. λυμαίνομαι as act.: λυμανούμαι, έλυμηνάμην, λελύμασμαι (usu. mid. 489 h), έλυμάνθην Tragic. (III.)
- λύω (λυ-, λῦ-) loose. Λύσω, ἐλῦσα, λέλυκα, λέλυμαι, ἐλύθην, λυθήσομαι, λελύσομαι, GREEK GRAM. 45

- λυτός, -τέος. Inflection p. 114. On 2 aor. mid. Epic ἐλύμην as pass., see 688. On perf. opt. λελθτο, see 711 D.
- μαίνω (μαν-, μην-) madden, act. usu. poetic: ἔμηνα, μέμηνα am mad. Mid. μαίνομαι rage: μανοῦμαι Hdt., 2 aor. pass. ἐμάνην. (III.)
- μαίομαι (for  $\mu\alpha(\sigma)$ -μομαι, 624 a) desire, strive:  $\mu$ άσσομαι, έ $\mu\alpha(\sigma)$ σάμην, έπι- $\mu$ αστος. Epic. Connected are Aeol.  $\mu$ άομαι ( $\mu$ ῶται, opt.  $\mu$ ῶτο, imper.  $\mu$ ῶσο) and  $\mu$ αι $\mu$ άω, Epic, poetic. (III.)
- μα-ν-θ-άνω (μαθ-, μαθε-) learn: μαθήσομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔμαθον, μεμάθηκα, μαθητός, -τέος. Hom. has 2 aor. ἔμμαθον (429 a, D.). (IV.)
- μαραίνω (μαραν-) cause to wither: ἐμάρᾶνα, ἐμαράνθην Hom. (III.)
- μάρ-ναμαι (μαρ-να-) fight: only in pres. and imperf., subj. μάρνωμαι (749 b), imper. μάρναο. Poetic. (IV.)
- μάρπ-τω (μαρπ-) seize: μάρψω, ξμαρψα, 2 aor. ξμαρπον (?) and redupl. μέμαρπον (?) Ερίς. 2 perf. μέμαρπα Ερίς. Poetic. (11.)
- μάττω (μαγ-) knead: μάξω, ἔμαξα, μέμαχα, μέμαγμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐμάγην (προσεμάχθην Soph.). (III.)
- μάχομαι (μαχ-, μαχε-) fight: μαχούμαι (539 b), ἐμαχεσάμην, μεμάχημαι, μαχετέος.

  Pres. Hom. μαχέομαι (part. μαχεούμενος and μαχειόμενος, fut. Hom. μαχήσομαι (-έσσομαι?) and μαχέομαι, Hdt μαχήσομαι; aor. Epic ἐμαχεσ(σ)άμην (v. l. -ησάμην), Hdt. ἐμαχεσάμην; v. a. μαχητός Hom., ἀ-μάχετος Aesch.
- μέδω and μεδέω rule (485 d). Epic and poetic. μέδομαι am concerned about.
- μεθύσκω make drunk: ἐμέθυσα. μεθύσκομαι get drunk, ἐμεθύσθην got drunk (489 e). (V.)
- μεθύω am drunk: only pres. and imperf.; other tenses from the pass. of μεθύσκω. μείγ-νῦμι (μειγ-, μιγ-) mix (often written μίγνῦμι), also μειγνύω, and less com. μίσγω (526 c): μείξω, ἔμείξα, μέμειγμαι, ἔμείχθην, ἀνα-μειχθήσομαι rare, 2 aor. pass. ἐμἴγην, μεικτός, -τέος. The forms with ει are restored on the authority of inser. Epic 2 fut. pass. μιγήσομαι, Epic 2 aor. mid. ἔμικτο (ἔμεικτο?), poetio fut. perf, μεμείξομαι. (IV.)
- μείρομαι (μερ-, for σμερ-, μορ-, μαρ-) obtain part in: 2 perf. έμμορε (442 D.) has a share in. Epic. εμαρται it is fated (from  $\sigma\epsilon$ -σμαρ-ται, 445 a). (III.)
- μέλλω (μέλλ-, μέλλε-) intend, augments w. ε, rarely w.  $\eta$  (430): μελλήσω, έμέλλησω, μέλλητέος.
- μέλω (μελ., μελε-) care for, concern poetic: μελήσω poetic, μελήσομαι Epic, 2 perf. μέμηλα Epic, μεμέλημαι as pres. poetic (Epic μέμ-β-λεται, 130 D.), ἐμελήθην poetic. Impersonal: μέλει it is a care, μελήσει, ἐμέλησε, μεμέληκε, μελητέοs. Prose ἐπι-μέλομαι or ἐπι-μελέομαι care for (the latter form is far more com. on Att. inscr. after 380 B.C.): ἐπι-μελήσομαι, ἐπι-μεμέλημαι, ἐπ-εμελήθην, ἐπι-μελητέοs.
- μέμονα (μεν-, μον-, μα-) desire: 2 perf. as pres.; sing. μέμονας, -ονε; otherwise μι-forms (705), as μέματον (573), μέμαμεν, -ατε, -άᾶσι, imper. μεμάτω, part. μεμαιώς and μεμαιώς, μεμαιία, inf. μεμονέναι Hdt. Epic, poetic.
- μέμφομαι blame: μέμψομαι, έμεμψάμην, έμέμφθην rare in prose, μεμπτός.
- μένω (μεν-, μενε-) remain: μενῶ, ἔμεινα, μεμένηκα (485 c), μενετός, μενετός. Byform μί-μν-ω Epic and poetic.
- μερ-μηρίζω ponder, devise: ἀπ-εμερμήρισα Aristoph., μερμήριξα Epic. Poetic. 512. (III.)

μήδομαι devise: μήσομαι, έμησάμην. Poetic.

μηκάομαι (μηκ-, μακ-, 486 D.) bleat: pres. and imperf. not used; Hom. 2 aor. part. μακών, 2 perf. part. μεμηκώς, μεμακυΐα, 2 plup. ἐμέμηκον (557 D. 3).

μητιάω (μητι-, 486 D., cp. μητιs) plan: also μητιάομαι and (Pind.) μητίομαι: -τουμαι, -τοάμην. Ερία and Lyric.

μιαίνω (μιαν-) stain: μιανῶ, ἐμίᾶνα, μεμίασμαι (489 h), ἐμιάνθην, μιανθήσομαι, ά-μίαντος poetic. (ΙΙΙ.)

μι-μνή-σκω and μι-μνή-σκω (μνα-, 526 b) remind, mid. remember. Act. usu. αναοι ὑπο-μιμνήσκω (the simple is poetic except in pass.): -μνήσω, -έμνησα, perf.
μέμνημαι = pres. (442 n.) remember, ἐμνήσθην (489 e) as mid. remembered,
mentioned, fut. pass. = mid. μνησθήσομαι shall remember, fut. perf. μεμνήσομαι shall bear in mind (581), ν. α. ἐπι-μνηστός, ἄ-μναστος Theorr. μέμνημαι
has subj. μεμνώμαι (709), ορτ. μεμνήμην (μεμνώμην doubtful, 711 b), imper.
μέμνησο (Hdt. μέμνεο), inf. μεμνήσθαι, part. μεμνημένος. Fut. μνήσω (-ομαι),
aor. ἔμνησα (-άμην) are poetic. Epic μνάομαι in Hom. ἐμνώοντο, μνωόμενος
(643). (V.)

μίμνω remain: poetic for μένω.

μίσγω (for  $\mu$ - $(\mu)$ σγω, 526 c) mix, pres. and imperf. See μείγν $\bar{\nu}$ μι.

μύζω suck, Ion. μυζέω, late ἐκ-μυζάω. Hom. ἐκ-μυζήσᾶs squeezing out.

μύζω (μυγ-) grumble: "μυξα. (III.)

μῦκάομαι (μὕκ-, μῦκ-, μῦκα-, 486) bellow: ἐμῦκησάμην, Epic 2 aor. μύκον (546 D.), Epic 2 perf. μέμῦκα as pres.

μύττω (μυκ-) wipe usu. comp. w. ἀπό: -έμυξα, -εμεμύγμην. (III.) μύω shut the lips or eyes (ῦ late, uncertain in Att.): ἔμυσα, μέμῦκα.

valω (νασ-ιω, 624 a) dwell: ἔνασσα caused to dwell, ἐνασσάμην took up my abode and caused to dwell, ἐνάσθην was settled or dwelt. Poetic. (III.)

ναίω (νας-ίω, 624 b) swim: ναίον ι 222 (v. l. νάον). (III.)

νάττω (ναδ-, ναγ-, 514 a, 515 b) compress: ἔναξα Epic and Ion., νένασμαι Aristoph. (νέναγμαι Hippocr.), ναστός Aristoph. Mostly Ion. and poetic. (III.) νάω (ναξ-ω) flow only in pres. Epic. Cp. ναίω swim.

\*νάω (νῶ) spin (να-, νη-, 394): pres. νῆs, νῆ, νῶσι, inf. νῆν, part. νῶν, fut. νήσω, aor. ἔνησα, aor. pass. ἐνήθην.

νεικέω (νεικε- for νεικεσ-; cp. το νείκος strife) chide, usu. νεικείω in Hom.: νεικέσω, ενείκεσ(σ)α. Ερίc (also Hdt.). (III.)

νείφει (νειφ., νιφ., 477; better form than νίφει) snows, covers with snow: κατένειψε. Pass. νείφεται.

νέμω (νεμ-, νεμε-) distribute, mid. also go to pasture: νεμώ, ἔνειμα, δια-νενέμηκα, νενέμημαι, ἐνεμήθην, δια-νεμητέος.

νέομαι (νεσ-) go, come, only in pres. and imperf.: usu. in fut. sense. Mainly poetic. Cp. νίσομαι. 541.

νεύω nod: -νεύσομαι w. ἀνά οτ κατά (806), ἔνευσα, νένευκα. Hom. has fut. νεύσω and κατα-νεύσομαι.

νέω (νευ-, νε<sub>f</sub>-, νυ-, originally σνευ-, etc.) swim, often comp. w. διά, έξ: νευσούμαι Xen. (540, 806), -ένευσα, -νένευκα, νευστέος. Cp. νήχομαι.

νέω heap up, pres. in comp. and only in Hdt. (Att. usu. has χόω): ἔνησα, νένημαι (νένησμαι? 489 g), νητός Hom. Ερίς νηέω.

νίζω (νιβ-, νιγ-, 509 a) wash, in Att. usu. comp. w. ἀπδ, ἐξ: -νίψομαι (νίψω poetic),

-ένιψα, -νένιμμαι, -ενίφθην Hippocr., ά-νιπτος Hom. = ἀν-από-νιπτος. νίπτω is late, νίπτομαι Hom. (III.)

νίσομαι go or will go: from νι-ν(ε)σ-μομαι, cp. νόσ-τος return. Often printed νίσσομαι (mss. often have νείσομαι). Poetic. (III.)

νοέω think, perceive, regular in Att. Mid. νοοῦμαι usu. in comp., fut. δια-νοήσομαι (rare) and δια-νοηθήσομαι (812). Ion. contracts οη to ω in ἔνωσα, νένωκα, νένωκα.

νομίζω believe: νομιῶ (539 e), ἐνόμισα, νενόμικα, νενόμισμαι, ἐνομίσθην, νομισθήσομαι, νομιστέος. 512. (ΙΙΙ.)

ξαίνω (ξαν-) scratch: ξανῶ, ἔξηνα, ἔξαμμαι late Att., ἔξασμαι Hippocr. (III.)

ξέω (ξε- for ξεσ-) scrape: ἔξεσμαι (489 d). Epic are ἔξεσα and ξέσσα, ξεστός.

ξηραίνω (ξηραν-) dry: ξηρανῶ, ἐξήρᾶνα, ἐξήρασμαι (489 h), ἐξηράνθην. Ion. ἐξήρηνα, late ἐξήραμμαι. (IV.)

ξυω polish: ἔξυσα, ἐξύσθην (489 c), ἔξυσμαι Aristotle, ξυστός Hdt.

όδοιπορέω travel: regular, but observe όδοιπεπόρηκα for ώδοιπόρηκα. See 453.

όδοποιέω make a way: regular, but ώδοπεποιημένοs in Xen. for ώδοποιημένοs. 453. δδυ- am angry: in Hom. aor. ώδυσ(σ)άμην, perf. δδώδυσται as pres. (489 d).

 $\delta \delta \psi$  (δδ., δξε-) smell: δζήσω, δζήσω. Hippoer. δζέσω and δζέσα, Epic plup.

δδώδει as imperf., Aeolic ὅσδω. (III.)

οίγω open: οίξω,  $\dot{\phi}$ ξα, οίχθείs Pind. Poetic, as is also οἴγνῦμι. In prose ἀν-οίγω and ἀν-οίγνῦμι, q.v. The older form is δείγω, found in Hom. aor. ὤειξα (MSS. ὤίξα). Hom. has also ώἰγνύμην (ὤειγ-?).

olba  $(oi\delta-)$ : see  $i\delta-$  and 794.

οιδέω swell: ψόησα, ψόηκα. By-form οιδάνω poetic.

οίκτιρω (οίκτιρ-, 620. iii) pity: ὤκτῖρα. οίκτείρω is a late spelling. (III.)

οιμώζω lament: οιμώξομαι (806), ὅμωξα, οίμωγμαι (?) Eur., ὡμώχθην poetic. 512. (III.)

ο**ινοχοέω** and -χοεύω Hom. pour wine: imperf. οΙνοχόει, ψνοχόει (έψνοχόει, Δ 3, is incorrect for έξοιν-), ο**ινοχοήσω**, οινοχοήσαι. Epic and Lyric, and in Xen.

οἴομαι (oi-, oiε-) think: 1 pers. in prose usu. οἴμαι: imperf. ἄμην (rarely ἀόμην), οἰήσομαι, ἀήθην, οἰητέος. Ερίς ὁἴω, ἀτω, από οἴω, ὁἴομαι (500. 2. D.), ὁῖσάμην, ἀτσθην (489 e). οἶμαι is probably a perfect (634).

οἴσω: shall bear. See φέρω.

οίχομαι (οίχ-, οίχε-, οίχο-, 486) am gone as perf. (1886): οἰχήσομαι, οἴχωκα poetic and Ion. (some MSS. Ψχωκα), παρ-ψχηκα (?) Κ 252. οἴχωκα is probably due to Att. redupl. Ion. -οίχημαι is doubtful.

όκέλλω (δκελ-) run ashore: ὤκειλα. Cp. κέλλω. (III.)

όλισθ-άνω (όλισθ-) slip, also δι-ολισθαίνω: 2 aor. ἄλισθον Ion., poetic; δι-ωλίσθησα

and ώλισθηκα Hippocr. (όλισθε-). (IV.)

δλ-λῦμι destroy, ruin, lose, for όλ-νῦ-μι (όλ-, όλε-, όλο-) also -ολλύω, in prose usu. comp. w. ἀπό, also w. διά or έξ: -ολῶ (539 b), -ώλεσα, -ολώλεκα have ruined, 2 perf. -όλωλα am ruined. Fut. δλέσ(σ)ω Epic, δλέσω rare in comedy, δλέω Hdt. Mid. ὅλλυμαι perish: -ολοῦμαι, 2 aor. -ωλόμην, part. ὁλόμενος ruinous (οὐλ- Epic). By-form δλέκω Epic, poetic. (IV.)

ολ-ολύζω (δλολυγ-) shout, rare in prose: ολολύξομαι (806), ώλόλυξα. (III.)

όλοφύρομαι (δλοφυρ-) bewail: όλοφυροῦμαι, ώλοφυράμην, ώλοφύρθην made to lament Thuc. 3. 78. (III.)

όμ-νῦμι (όμ-, όμο-, 486) and όμνύω swear: ὁμοῦμαι (806) for όμοσομαι, ὤμοσα, ὁμώμοκα, ὁμώμομαι and ὁμώμοσμαι (489 g), ώμόθην and ώμόσθην, ὁμοσθήσομαι, άπ-ώμοτος. (IV.)

δμόργ-ν $\bar{\nu}$ μι (όμοργ-) wipe, usu. comp. w. έξ in poetry : -ομόρξω, ωμορξα. έξ-ομόργνυ-

μαι: -ομόρξομαι, -ωμορξάμην, -ωμόρχθην. (ΙV.)

ὀνί-νη-μι (ὀνη-, ὀνα-; for ὀν-ονη-μι, but the redupl. has no regard for the o) benefit: ὀνήσω, ἄνησα, 2 aor. mid. ἀνήμην received benefit (opt. ὀναίμην), ἀνήθην, ἀν-όνητος. 2 aor. mid. imper. ὄνησο Hom., w. part. ὀνήμενος Hom.; 1 aor. mid. ἀνάμην is late.

ὄνο-μαι (όνο-, 725) insult: pres. and imperf. like δίδομαι, opt. ὄνοιτο Hom.; όνόσσομαι, ώνοσ(σ) άμην, aor. pass. subj. κατ-ονοσθη̂s Hdt. (489 e), όνοτόs Pind., όνοστόs Hom. ώνατο P 25 may be imperf. of a by-form δναμαι.

όξτνω (όξυν-) sharpen, in prose παρ-οξύνω provoke: -οξυνώ, ώξυνα, -ώξυμμαι,

-ωξύνθην. (ΙΙΙ.)

όπ- in fut. ὄψομαι, perf. mid. ὧμμαι, aor. pass. ὤφθην, περι-οπτέοs. See ὁράω. όπυίω (όπυ-) take to wife (later ὁπύω): ὁπόσω Aristoph. Epic, poetic. (III.) ὁράω (όρα- for ρορα-) see: imperf. ἐώρων (434), fut. ὄψομαι 806 (ὄψει 2 s.), 2 aor. είδον (ίδ- for ριδ-), 1 perf. ἐόρῶκα (443) and ἐώρῶκα (plup. ἐωρᾶκη), ἐώρῶμαι and ὧμμαι, ὤφθην, ὀφθήσομαι, ὁρῶτός, περι-οπτέοs. Aeolic ὅρημι, Ερic ὀρόω (643), New Ion. ὀρέω. Imperf. ὤρων Hdt., fut. ἐπ-όψομαι in Hom. = shall look on, ἐπι-όψομαι shall choose, aor. mid. ἐπ-ωψάμην saw Pind., ἐπι-ωψάμην chose Plato, 2 perf. ὅπωπα poetic, Ion. See ἰδ- and ὁπ-. (VI.)

όργαίνω (όργαν-) am angry : ἄργᾶνα (544 a) made angry. Tragic. 523 h. (III.) όργίζω enrage : ἔξ-οργιῶ, ὥργισα, ὥργισμαι, ὡργίσθην, ὀργισθήσομαι, ὀργιστέος.

512, 815. (III.)

δρέγω reach Epic, poetic, δρέγ-νῦμι Epic (only part. δρεγνός): δρέξω, ἄρεξα rare in prose. δρέγομαι stretch myself, desire: δρέξομαι rare in prose, ἀρεξάμην but usu. ἀρέχθην as mid., δρεκτός Hom. Perf. ἄρεγμαι Hippocr., δρώρεγμαι (3 pl. δρωρέχαται Π 834, plup. δρωρέχατο Λ 26). By-form δριγνάσμαι: ἀριγνήθην.

όρ-νῦμι (όρ-) raise, rouse: ὁροω (536), ὦροα, 2 aor. trans. and intrans. ὤρορον Epic (448 D.), 2 perf. ὅρωρα as mid. have roused myself, am roused. Mid. ὅρνυμαι rise, rush: fut. ὀροῦμαι Hom., 2 aor. ὡρόμην (Epic are ὤρτο, imper. ὅροο, ὅροεο (542 D.) and ὅροεν, inf. ὅρθαι, part. ὅρμενος), perf. ὀρώρεμαι Hom. Poetic. (IV.)

ορύττω (ὁρυχ-) dig, often comp. w. διά, κατά: -ορύξω, ἄρυξα, -ορώρυχα, ὀρώρυγμαι (ἄρυγμαι?), ἀρύχθην, -ορυχθήσομαι, 2 fut. pass. -ορυχήσομαι Aristoph., ὀρυκτόs. Mid. aor. ἀρυξάμην caused to dig Hdt. (III.)

όσφραίνομαι (όσφραν-, όσφρε-) smell: όσφρήσομαι, 2 αοτ. ώσφρόμην, ώσφράνθην late Com. and Hippocr. Hdt. has ώσφράμην. 530. (III. IV.)

ότοτύζω lament: ότοτύξομαι (806), ώτότυξα. 512. (III.)

ούρέω make water: ἐούρουν, οὐρήσομαι (806), ἐν-εούρησα, ἐν-εούρηκα. New Ion. has οὐρ- for Att. ἐουρ- (as οὐρήθην Hippocr.).

οὐτάζω wound: οὐτάσω, οὕτασα, οὕτασμαι. Epic and Tragic. 512. (III.)

οὐτάω wound: οὔτησα, 2 aor. (μι-form) 3 s. οὖτα 551 D., 634, 688 (inf. οὐτάμεναι / and οὐτάμεν), 2 aor. mid. οὐτάμενοs as pass., ἀν-ούτατοs. Epic and Tragic.

ὀφείλω (ὀφελ-, ὀφειλε-) owe: ὀφειλήσω, ώφείλησα, 2 aor. ώφελον in wishes, would that! ώφείληκα, aor. pass. part. ὀφειληθείς. Hom. usu. has ὀφέλλω, the Aeolic form. (III.)

- $\delta \phi \ell \lambda \lambda \omega$  ( $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda$  519 a) increase: aor. opt.  $\delta \phi \ell \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \epsilon$  Hom. Poetic, mainly Epic. (III.)
- όφλ-ισκ-άνω (όφλ-, όφλε-, όφλε-, όφλισκ-, 530): owe, am guilty, incur a penalty: όφλήσω, ὤφλησα (rare and suspected), 2 aor. ὧφλον, ὤφληκα, ὤφλημαι. For 2 aor. ὀφλείν, ὀφλών mss. often have ὄφλειν and ὄφλων, as if from ὄφλω, a late present. (IV. V.)
- παίζω (παιδ-, παιγ-) sport: επαισα, πέπαικα, πέπαισμαι, παιστέος. Att. fut. prob. παίσομαι (806). παιξοῦμαι in Xen. S. 9. 2 is used by a Syracusan.
- παίω (παι-, παιε-) strike: παίσω and παιήσω Aristoph., ἔπαισα, ὑπερ-πέπαικα; for ἐπαίσθην Aesch. (489 e), Att. usu. has ἐπλήγην, as πέπληγμαι for πέπαικα. παλαίω wrestle: ἐπάλαισα, ἐπαλαίσθην Eur. (489 e), παλαίσω Ερίς, δυσ-πάλαι-

στος Aesch.

- πάλλω (παλ-) shake, brandish: ἔπηλα, πέπαλμαι. Hom. has 2 aor. redupl. άμ-πεπαλών and 2 aor. mid. (ἔ)παλτο. Epic and poetic. (III.)
- πάομαι (πα-) acquire, become master = κτάομαι; pres. not used: πάσομαι, ἐπᾱσάμην, πέπᾱμαι. Doric verb, used in poetry and in Xen. Distinguish πάσομαι, ἐπᾱσάμην from πατέομαι eat.
- παρα-νομέω transgress the law augments παρ-ενομ- rather than παρ-ηνομ- though the latter has support (T. 3. 67. 5), perf. παρα-νενόμηκα. See 454.
- παρ-οινέω insult (as a drunken man): ἐπαρ-ψνουν, ἐπαρ-ψνησα, πεπαρ-ψνηκα, ἐπαρ-ψνήθην (best ms. παρφνήθην D. 22. 63). See 454.
- πάσχω suffer (πενθ-, πονθ-, παθ-) for π(ε) ηθ-σκω (36 b, 526 d): πείσομαι (806) for πενθ-σομαι, 2 aor. ἔπαθον, 2 perf. πέπονθα (Hom. πέποσθε or πέπασθε 573, 705 and fem. part. πεπαθυΐα); Doric πέποσχα. (V. VI.)
- πατάσσω strike: pres. and imperf. Epic (for which Att. has τύπτω and παίω), πατάξω, ἐπάταξα, ἐκ-πεπάταγμαι Hom. (Att. πέπληγμαι), ἐπατάχθην late (Att. ἐπλήγην). (III.)
- πατέομαι (πατ-, πατε-) eat, taste: πἄσομαι (?) Aesch., ἐπᾶσ(σ)άμην Hom., plup. πεπάσμην Hom., ἄ-παστος Hom. Mainly Epic, also New Ion.
- πάττω (πατ., 515 a) sprinkle: usu. in comp. w. ἐν, ἐπί, κατά: πάσω, -έπασα, -επάσθην, παστέοs. Hom. has only pres. and imperf. Often in comedy. (III.)
- παίω stop, cause to cease: παίσω, ἔπαυσα, πέπαυκα, πέπαυμαι, ἐπαύθην, παυθήσομαι, fut. perf. πεπαύσομαι (581), ἄ-παυστος, παυστέος. Mid. παύομαι cease: παύσομαι, ἐπαυσάμην. In Hdt. mss. have ἐπαύθην and ἐπαύσθην.
- πείθω (πειθ-, ποιθ-, πιθ-) persuade: πείσω, ἔπεισα, πέπαικα, 2 perf. πέποιθα trust, πέπεισμαι, ἐπείσθην, πεισθήσομαι, πιστός, πειστός. Mid. πείθομαι believe, obey: πείσομαι. 2 aor. ἔπιθον and ἐπιθόμην poetic; redupl. 2 aor. πέπιθον Epic, 448 D. (πεπίθω, -οιμι); 2 plup. 1 pl. ἐπέπιθμεν (573) for ἐπεποίθαμεν; 2 perf. imper. πέπεισθι Aesch. Eum. 599 (πέπισθι?). From πιθε- come Hom. πιθήσω shall obey, πεπιθήσω shall persuade, πιθήσᾶς trusting.
- πεινάω (πεινη-, πεινη-) hunger (for contraction in pres. see 394, 641) : πεινήσω, έπείνησα, πεπαίνηκα. Inf. pres. πεινήμεναι Hom.
- πείρω (περ., παρ.) pierce, Epic in pres.: ἔπειρα, πέπαρμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἀν-επάρην Hdt. Ion. and poetic. (III.)
- πεκτ-έ-ω (πεκ-, πεκτ-ε-, 485) comb, shear = Epic pres. πείκω: ἔπεξα Theocr., έπεξάμην Hom., ἐπέχθην Aristoph. For comb Att. usu. has κτενίζω, ξαίνω; for shear κείρω.

- πελάζω (πέλας near) bring near, approach: πελάσω and Att. πελώ (538), έπέλασα (Epic also ἐπέλασσα, and mid. ἐπελασάμην), πέπλημαι Epic, ἐπελάσθην Epic (ἐπλάθην in tragedy), 2 aor. mid. ἐπλήμην approached Epic (688), v. a. πλαστός. Poetic and Ion. Kindred are πελάω (πελα-, πλα-) poetic, πελάθω and πλάθω dramatic, πίλναμαι and πιλνάω Epic. Prose πλησιάζω (cp. πλησίον). 512. (III.)
- πέλω and πέλομαι (πελ-, πλ-) am (orig. turn, move myself) : ἔπελον and ἐπελόμην, 2 aor. ἔπλε, ἔπλετο, -πλόμενος. Poetic.
- πέμπω (πεμπ-, πομπ-) send : πέμψω, ἔπεμψα, 2 perf. πέπομφα, πέπεμμαι, ἐπέμφθην, πεμφθήσομαι, πεμπτός, πεμπτέςς.
- πεπαίνω (πεπαν-) make soft or ripe: ἐπέπανα (544 a), ἐπεπάνθην, πεπανθήσομαι; perf. inf. πεπάνθαι Aristotle. (III.)
- πεπορείν or πεπαρείν show: see πορ-.
- πέπρωται it is fated: see πορ-.
- περαίνω (περαν-, cp. πέρας end) accomplish: περανῶ, ἐπέρανα, πεπέρασμαι (489 h), ἐπεράνθην, ἀ-πέραντος, δια-περαντέος. (III.)
- πέρδομαι (περδ-, πορδ-, παρδ-) = Lat. pedo: άπο-παρδήσομαι, 2 aor. άπ-έπαρδον, 2 perf. πέπορδα.
- πέρθω (περθ-, πραθ-) sack, destroy: πέρσω, ἔπερσα, 2 aor. ἔπραθον, and ἐπραθόμην (as pass.). Inf. πέρθαι for περθ-σθαι (688). πέρσομαι is pass. in Hom. Poetic for prose πορθέω.
- πέρ-νημι sell, mid. πέρναμαι: fut. περάω, aor. ἐπεράσ(σ)α, perf. mid. part. πεπερημένοs. Poetic, mainly Epic, for πωλέω or ἀποδίδομαι. Akin to περάω (cp. πέρᾶν) go over, cross (περάσω, etc.); cp. πιπράσκω. (IV.)
- πέταμαι fly : see πέτομαι.
- πετάν-νῦμι (πετα-, πτα-, 729) and πεταννύω (rare) expand, in prose usu. comp. w. ἀνά: -πετῶ (539), -επέτασα, -πέπταμαι. Fut. ἐκ-πετάσω Eur., perf. mid. πεπέτασμαι poetic (489 g), aor. pass. πετάσθην Hom. (489 e). By-forms: poetic πίτνημι and πιτνάω (only pres. and imperf.). (IV.)
- πέτομαι (πετ-, πετε-, πτ-) fly, in prose usu. comp. w. ἀνά, έξ: -πτήσομαι (Aristoph. also πετήσομαι), 2 aor. -επτόμην. Kindred is poetic πέταμαι: 2 aor. ἔπτην (poetic) and ἔπτάμην, inflected like ἐπριάμην (ἐπτάμην is often changed to ἐπτόμην), 687. Poetic forms are ποτάομαι and ποτέομαι (πεπότημαι, ἐποτήθην, ποτητόs); πωτάομαι is Epic. ἵπταμαι is late.
- πέττω (πεκ-, πεπ-, 513 a) cook: πέψω, ἔπεψα, πέπεμμαι, ἐπέφθην, πεπτός. (III.) πεύθομαι (πευθ-, πυθ-) learn, poetic for πυνθάνομαι.
- $\pi \epsilon \phi \nu o \nu slew$ : see  $\phi \epsilon \nu$ -.
- πήγ-νῦμι (πηγ-, παγ-) fix, make fast: πήξω, ἔπηξα, 2 perf. πέπηγα am fixed, 2 aor. pass. ἐπάγην intrans., 2 fut. pass. παγήσομαι. Epic 2 aor. 3 s. κατ-έπηκτο stuck (athematic, 786 D.), ἐπηξάμην poetic and Ion., ἐπήχθην and πηκτόs poetic. πηγνών rare (Hdt., Xen.). πηγνῦτο (Plato, Ph. 118 a) pres. opt. for πηγνυ-ι-το (some mss. πηγνύοιτο); cp. 819. (IV.)
- πηδάω leap, often comp. w. ἀνά, εἰς, ἐξ, ἐπί: -πηδήσομαι (806), -επήδησα, -πεπήδηκα. πῖαίνω (πῖαν-) fatten: πῖανῶ, ἐπίᾶνα, κατα-πεπίασμαι (489 h). Mostly poetic and Ion. (IV.)
- πίλ-νημι, πίλ-ναμαι, πιλ-νάω, approach: see πελάζω.
- πί-μ-πλη-μι (πλη-, πλα-, 741; w. μ inserted) fill. In prose comp. w. ἐν (727): ἐμ-πλήσω, ἐν-έπλησα, ἐμ-πέπληκα, ἐμ-πέπλησμαι (489 c), ἐν-επλήσθην, ἐμ-πλησθήσομαι, ἐμ-πληστέος. 2 aor. mid. athematic ἐπλήμην (poetic):

- πλήτο and πλήντο Epic, ἐν-ἐπλητο Aristoph., opt. ἐμ-πλήμην Aristoph., imper. ἔμπλησο Aristoph. By-forms: πιμπλάνομαι Hom., πλήθω am full poetic (2 perf. πέπληθα) except in πλήθουσα άγορά, πληθύω abound, πληθόνομαι Aesch., πληρόω.
- πί-μ-πρη-μι (πρη-, πρα-, w. μ inserted) burn. In prose usu. comp. w. ἐν (cp. 727): -πρήσω, -έπρησα, -πέπρημαι, -επρήσθην (489 e). Hdt. has ἐμ-πέπρησμαι, and ἐμ-πρήσομαι (as pass.) or ἐμ-πεπρήσομαι (6.9). πέπρησμαι Hdt., Aristotle. By-form ἐμ-πρήθω Hom.
- πινύ-σκω (πινυ-) make wise: ἐπίνυσσα. Poetic. (V.)
- πίνω (πι-, πο-, πω-) drink often comp. w. έξ οι κατά: fut. πίσμαι 806 (usu. ī after Hom., 541) and (rarely) πιοῦμαι, 2 αοι. ἔπιον 548 α (imper. πῖθι, 687), πέπωκα, -πέπομαι, -επόθην, -ποθήσομαι, ποτός, ποτέος, πιστός poetic. Aeolic πώνω. 529. (IV. VI.)
- $\pi\iota$ -πί-σκω ( $\pi\bar{\iota}$ -) give to drink: πίσω, ἔπῖσα. Poetic and New Ion. Cp. πίνω. 819. (V.)
- πι-πρά-σκω (πρά-) sell, pres. rare = Att. πωλέω, ἀποδίδομαι: πέπρᾶκα, πέπρᾶμαι, ἐπράθην, fut. perf. πεπράσομαι, πρῶτός, -τέος. In Att. πωλήσω, ἀποδώσομαι, ἀπεδόμην are used for fut. and aor. (V.)
- πί-πτω (πέτ-, πτ-, 36, πτω-) fall for πι-π(ε)τ-ω: πεσοῦμαι (540 c, 806), 2 aor. ἔπεσον (540 c), πέπτωκα. Fut. πεσέομαι Ion., 2 aor. ἔπετον Doric and Aeolic, 2 perf. part. πεπτώς Soph., πεπτηώς and πεπτεώς Hom.
- $\pi l \tau$ -νημι and  $\pi \iota \tau$ -νάω spread out: poetic for  $\pi$ ετάνν $\bar{\nu}$ μι. (IV.)
- $\pi l \tau \nu \omega fall$ : poetic for  $\pi t \pi \tau \omega$ . (IV.)
- πλάζω (πλαγγ-, 510) cause to wander : ἔπλαγξα. Mid. πλάζομαι wander : πλάγξομαι, ἐπλάγχθην wandered, πλαγκτόs. Poetic. (III.)
- $\pi \lambda \dot{a} \theta \omega$ : dramatic for  $\pi \epsilon \lambda \dot{a} \langle \omega, \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \dot{a} \langle \omega, \pi \lambda \sigma \dot$
- πλάττω (πλατ-, 515 a) mould, form : ἔπλασα, πέπλασμαι, ἐπλάσθην, πλαστός. Fut. ἀνα-πλάσω Ion. (III.)
- πλέκω (πλεκ-, πλοκ-, πλακ-) weave, braid: ἔπλεξα, πέπλεγμαι, ἐπλέχθην rare, 2 aor. pass. -επλάκην (έν, σύν), 2 perf. έμ-πέπλοχα Hippoer., probably Att., and έμ-πέπλεχα Hippoer., fut. pass. έμ-πλεχθήσομαι Aesch., πλεκτόs Aesch.
- πλέω (πλευ-, πλες-, πλυ-, 503, 607) sail (on the contraction see 397): πλεύσομαι οτ πλευσοῦμαι (540, 806), ἔπλευσα, πέπλευκα, πέπλευσμαι (489 d), πλευστέος. ἐπλεύσθην is late. Epic is also πλείω, Ion. and poetic πλώω: πλώσομαι, ἔπλωσα, 2 aor. ἔπλων (Epic, 688), πέπλωκα, πλωτός. Att. by-form πλώζω.
- πλήττω (πληγ-, πλαγ-) strike, in prose often comp. w. έξ, έπί, κατά: -πλήξω, -έπληξα, 2 perf. πέπληγα, πέπληγμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐπλήγην, but in comp. always -επλάγην (έξ, κατά), 2 fut. pass. πληγήσομαι and ἐκ-πλαγήσομαι, fut. perf. πεπλήξομαι, κατα-πληκτέοs. 2 aor. redupl. (ἐ)πέπληγον Hom., mid. πεπλήγετο Hom., ἐπλήχθην poetic and rare, -επλήγην Hom. Thuc. 4. 125 has ἐκ-πλήγνυσθαι (πλήγνῦμι). In pres., imperf., fut., and aor. act. Att. uses τύπτω, παίω for the simple verb, but allows the compounds ἐκπλήττω, ἐπιπλήττω. In the perf. and pass. the simple verb is used. (III.)
- πλύνω (πλυν-) wash: πλυνῶ, ἔπλῦνα, πέπλυμαι (491), ἐπλύθην Ion. (prob. also Att.), πλυτέος, πλυτός Ion. Fut. mid. ἐκ-πλυνοῦμαι as pass. (808). (III.) πλώω sqil: see πλέω.
- $\pi \nu \acute{\epsilon} ω (\pi \nu \epsilon υ -, \pi \nu \epsilon \digamma -, \pi \nu υ -, 503, 607)$  breathe, blow, often comp. w. ἀνά, ἐν, ἐξ, ἐπί, σύν:

- πνευσοῦμαι (540) and -πνεύσομαι (806), ἔπνευσα, -πέπνευκα. Εpic also πνείω. From ἀνα-πνέω take breath: 2 aor, imper, ἄμ-πνυε Χ 222. See πνῦ-.
- πνίγω  $(\pi \nu i \gamma -, \pi \nu i \gamma -)$  choke, usu. comp. w.  $\bar{a}\pi \delta$ :  $-\pi \nu i \xi \omega$  (147 c),  $-\epsilon \pi \nu i \gamma \alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon \pi \nu i \gamma \alpha$  σομαί.
- πνῦ- to be vigorous in mind or in body: Epic forms ἄμ-πνῦτο, ἀμ-πνύθην ( $\nabla$ . l. -πνύνθην), πέπνῦμαι απ wise, πεπνῦμένος wise, plup. πέπνῦσο. Often referred to πνέω or πινύσκω.
- ποθέω desire, miss: ποθήσω οι ποθέσομαι (806), ἐπόθησα οι ἐπόθεσα (488 b). All other forms are late.
- πονέω labour, in early Greek πονέομαι: regular, but πονέσω and ἐπόνεσα in Mss. of Hippoer.; Doric πονάω.
- πορ- (and πρω-) give, allot: 2 aor. ἔπορον poetic, 2 aor. inf. πεπορεῖν (in some mss. πεπαρεῖν) Pind. to show, perf. pass. πέπρωται it is fated, ἡ πεπρωμένη (αἶσα) fate. Poetic.
- πράττω (πρᾶγ-) do: πράξω, ἔπρᾶξα, 2 perf. πέπρᾶχα (prob. late) have done, πέπρᾶχα have fared (well or ill) and also have done, πέπρᾶγμαι, ἐπρᾶχθην, fut. pass. πρᾶχθήσομαι, fut. perf. πεπρᾶξομαι, πρᾶκτέος. Fut. mid. πράξομαι is rarely pass. (809). Ιου. πρήσσω, πρήξω, etc. (III.)
- πραθνω (πραθν-) soothe: ἐπράθνα, ἐπραθνθην. (ΙΙΙ.)
- πρέπω am conspicuous: πρέψω poetic. Impersonal πρέπει, πρέψει, ἔπρεψε.
- πρια-buy, only 2 aor. mid. ἐπριάμην (p. 138). Other tenses from ἀνέσμαι.
- πρίω saw: ἔπρισα, πέπρισμαι (489 c), ἐπρίσθην.
- προίσσομαι (προϊκ-, cp. προίξ gift): pres. in simple only in Archilochus: fut. κατα-προίξομαι Aristoph. (Ιου. καταπροίξομαι). (ΙΙΙ.)
- πταίω stumble: πταίσω, ἔπταισα, ἔπταικα, ἄ-πταιστος.
- πτάρ-νυμαι (πταρ-) sneeze: 2 aor. ἔπταρον; 1 aor. ἔπταρα and 2 aor. pass. ἐπτάρην Aristotle. (IV.)
- πτήσσω (πτηκ-, πτακ-) cower: ἔπτηξα, ἔπτηχα; 2 aor. part. κατα-πτακών Aesch. From πτα- Hom. has 2 aor. dual κατα-πτήτην (688) and 2 perf. part. πεπτηώς. Ion. and poetic also πτώσσω (πτωκ-). (III.)
- πτίττω (πτισ-) pound: ἔπτισα Hdt., περι-ἐπτισμαι Aristoph., περι-επτίσθην late Att. (489 c). Not found in classic prose. (III.)
- πτύσσω (πτυχ-) fold usu. comp. in prose w. ἀνά, περί: -πτύξω, -έπτυξα, -έπτυγμαι, -επτύχθην, 2 aor. pass. -επτύγην Hippocr., πτυκτόs Ion. (III.)
- πτύω (πτυ-, πτῦ-) spit: κατ-έπτυσα, κατά-πτυστος. Hippocr. has πτύσω, ἐπτύσθην. πυ-ν-θ-άνομαι (πευθ-, πυθ-) learn, inquire: πεύσομαι (for πευθσομαι), πευσοῦμαι Α. Prom. 990, 2 αοτ. ἐπυθόμην, πέπυσμαι, πευστέος, ἀνά-πυστος Hom. Hom. has 2 αοτ. opt. redupl. πεπύθοιτο. πεύθομαι is poetic. (IV.)
- ράινω (ρ΄α-, ρ΄αν-, 523 h, perhaps for ρ΄αδ-νίω) sprinkle: ρ΄ανῶ, ἔρρᾶνα, ἔρρασμαι, (489 h), ἐρράνθην. Apparently from ρ΄αδ- come Epic aor. ἔρασσα, Ερίς perf. ἐρράδαται and plup. ἐρράδατο. Perf. ἔρρανται Aesch. Ion., poetic. (III. IV.) δαίω strike: δαίσω, ἔρομοσα, ἐρομίσω θαι.
- ραίω strike: ραίσω, έρραισα, έρραισθην (489 e). Fut. mid. as pass. δια-ρραίσεσθαι Ω 355. Poetic, mainly Epic.
- ράπ-τω(ραφ-) stitch: άπο-ρράψω, ἔρραψα, ἔρραμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐρράφην, ραπτόs. (ΙΙ.) ράττω (ραγ-) throw down (late pres. for ἀράττω): ξυρ-ράξω, ἔρραξα. (ΙΙΙ.)
- ρέζω (γρεγ-ιω, 511) do : ρέξω, έρεξα (less often έρρεξα), aor. pass. part. ρεχθείς, ἄ-ρεκτος. Poetic. Cp. έρδω. (ΙΙΙ.)

ρέω (ρέν-, ρές-, ρ΄ν-, and ρ΄νε-) flow (on the contraction in Att. see 397): ρ΄νήσομαι 806 (2 fut. pass. as act.; ρένσομαι rare in Att.), ἐρρύην (2 acr.; pass. as act.; ἔρρευσα rare in Att.), ἐρρύηκα, ρ΄ντος and ρένστέος poetic. ρ΄ευσοῦμαι Aristotle. ρ΄ν- stem of εἴρηκα, εἴρημαι, ἐρρήθην, ρηθήσομαι, εἰρήσομαι. See εἴρω.

ρήγ-νυμι (ρηγ-, for ρρηγ-, ρωγ-, ραγ-) break, in prose mostly in comp. w. ἀνά, διά:
-ρήξω, ἔρρηξα, 2 perf. -έρρωγα am broken, 2 aor. pass. ἐρράγην, 2 fut. pass.
-ραγήσομαι; -έρρηγμαι and -ερρήχθην Ion., ρηκτόs Hom. (IV.)

ρῖγέω (ρῖγ-, ρῖγε-, 485) shudder: ρῖγήσω, ἐρρίγησα and ρίγησα, 2 perf. ἔρρῖγα as pres. Chiefly poetic.

οινόω shiver. On the contraction in the pres. see 398: ρίγωσω, ἐρρίγωσα.

ρίπτω (ρ̄ιπ-, ρ̄ιπ-) and ρ̄ιπ-τ-έω (485 d) throw: ρ̄ίψω, ἔρρῖψα, 2 perf. ἔρρῖφα, ἔρρῖψαμαι, ἐρρίφθην, 2 aor. pass. ἐρρίφην, fut. pass. ἀπο-ρρῖφθήσομαι, ρ̄ιπτόs Soph. (II.)

ροφέω sup up: ροφήσω and ροφήσομαι (806), έρροφησα.

ρόομαι (Epic also ρύομαι, rare in Att.) for ρρῦομαι, defend: ρόσομαι, ἐρρῦσάμην, and ρυσάμην Ο 29, ρῦτός. Athematic forms are ἔρ(ρ)ῦτο, 3 pl. ρόατο, ρῦσθαι. See ἔρῦμαι. Chiefly poetic.

ρυπόω soil: Epic perf. part. ρερυπωμένος (442 b. D.). Cp. ρυπάω am dirty. ρών-νυμι (ρω-) strengthen: ἐπ-έρρωσα, ἔρρωμαι (imper. ἔρρωσο farewell, part. ἐρρωμένος strong), ἐρρώσθην (489 e), ἄ-ρρωστος. (IV.)

σαίνω (σαν-) fawn upon: ἔσηνα. Poetic, prob. also in prose. (III.) σαίρω (σηρ-, σαρ-) sweep: 2 perf. σέσηρα grin: ἔσηρα Soph. (III.)

σαλπίζω (σαλπιγγ-) sound the trumpet : ἐσάλπιγξα (also ἐσάλπιξα ?). (III.)

σαόω (cp. σαρος safe) save: σαώσω, ἐσάωσα, ἐσαώθην. Epic and poetic (but not Att.). Epic pres. subj. σόης, σόη, σόωσι, which editors change to σαῷς (σάψς, σαοῖς, σοῷς), σαῷ (σάψ, σαοῖ, σοῷ), σαῶσι (σάωσι, σόωσι). For σάω pres. imper. and 3 s. imperf. editors usu. read σάου (= σαο-ε), but some derive the form from Aeolic σάωμι. Cp. σψίζω.

σάττω (σαγ-) pack, load : έσαξα, σέσαγμαι. (III.)

σάω sift: ἔσησα, σέσησμαι. New Ion. Here belong perf. ἔττημαι and διαττάω Att. for δια-σσάω.

σβέν-νῦμι (σβε- for σβεσ-, 523 f. n. 1) extinguish, usu. comp. w. ἀπό or κατά: σβέσω, ἔσβεσα, ἔσβηκα intrans. have gone out, ἐσβέσθην (489 c), 2 aor. pass. ἔσβην intrans. went out (415, 756 a), σβήσομαι, ἔσβεσμαι Aristotle. 819. (IV.) σέβω revere, usu. σέβομαι: aor. pass. as act. ἐσέφθην, σεπτόs Aesch.

σείω shake: σείσω, έσεισα, σέσεικα, σέσεισμαι (489 c), έσείσθην, σειστός.

σεύω (σευ-, συ-) urge, drive on, mid. rush: ἔσσευα (543 a. D.) and σεῦα, ἔσσυμαι as pres. hasten, ἐσ(σ)ύθην rushed, 2 aor. mid. ἐσ(σ)ύμην rushed (ἔσσυο, ἔσσυτο οι σύτο, σύμενος, 688), ἐπί-σσυτος Aesch. Mostly poetic, esp. tragic. Here belongs ἀπ-εσσύᾶ (οι ἀπ-έσσουα) he is gone in Xen. Probably from σοέσμαι (σόος, σοῦς motion), οι from σόομαι, come dramatic σοῦμαι (Doric σῶμαι), σοῦσθε (ind. and imper.), σοῦνται, σοῦ, σούσθω. For σεῦται (S. Trach. 645), often regarded as from a form σεῦμαι, σοῦται may be read.

σημαίνω (σημαν-, cp. σήμα sign) show: σημανῶ, ἐσήμηνα (ἐσήμᾶνα not good Att. though in MSS. of Xen.), σεσήμασμαι (489 h), ἐσημάνθην, ἐπι-σημανθήσομαι, ἀ-σήμαντος Hom., ἐπι-σημαντέος Aristotle. (III.)

σήπω (σηπ-, σαπ-) cause to rot: 2 perf. σέσηπα am rotten, 2 aor. pass. ἐσάπην

- rotted as intrans., 2 fut. pass. κατα-σαπήσομαι. σήψω Aesch., σέσημμαι Aristotle, σηπτός Aristotle. 819.
- στγάω am silent: στητοριαι (806), ἐστητσα, σεστήτηκα, σεστήτημαι, ἐστητθην, στητήσομαι, fut. perf. σεστητήσομαι, στητέος poetic.
- σίνομαι (σιν-) injure, very rare in Att. prose: σῖνήσομαι (?) Hippocr., ἐσῖνάμην Hdt. (III.)
- σιωπάω am silent: σιωπήσομαι (806), ἐσιώπησα, σεσιώπηκα, ἐσιωπήθην, σιωπηθήσομαι, σιωπητέος.
- σκάπ-τω (σκαφ-) dig, often comp. w. κατά: σκάψω, -έσκαψα, 2 perf. -έσκαφα, έσκαμμαι, 2 aor. pass. -εσκάφην. (ΙΙ.)
- σκεδάν-νυμι (σκεδα-), rarely σκεδαννύω, scatter, often comp. w. ἀπό, διά, κατά:
  -σκεδώ (539 c), -εσκέδασα, ἐσκέδασμαι (489 c), ἐσκεδάσθην, σκεδαστός. Fut.
  σκεδάσω poetic. By-forms: Ερία κεδάννυμι: ἐκέδασσα, ἐκεδάσθην; mainly poetic
  and Ion, σκίδ-νημι and σκίδ-ναμαι; poetic and Ion. κίδ-νημι and κίδ-ναμαι. (IV.)
- σκέλλω (σκελ-, σκλη-) dry up: pres. late, Epic aor. ἔσκηλα (σκαλ-; as if from σκάλλω) made dry, 2 aor. intrans. ἀπ-έσκλην (687) Aristoph., ἔσκληκα am dried up Ion. and Doric. (III.)
- σκέπ-τομαι (σκεπ-) view: σκέψομαι, ἐσκεψάμην, ἔσκεμμαι (sometimes pass.), fut. perf. ἐσκέψομαι, pass. σκεπτέος. For pres. and imperf. (Epic, poetic, and New Ion.) Att. gen. uses σκοπῶ, ἐσκόπουν, σκοποῦμαι, ἐσκοπούμην. Aor. pass. ἐσκέφθην Hippoer. (II.)
- σκήπ-τω (σκηπ-) prop, gen. comp. w.  $\epsilon\pi t$  in prose: -σκήψω, -έσκηψα, -έσκημμαι, -εσκήφθην. By-form  $\sigma\kappa t \mu\pi \tau \omega$  Pind., Hippocr. (II.)
- σκίδ-νημι (σκιδ-νη-, σκιδ-να-) σκίδ-ναμαι scatter: mainly poetic for σκεδάννῦμι. (IV.) σκοπέω view: good Att. uses only pres. and imperf. act. and mid., other tenses are supplied from σκέπτομαι. σκοπήσω, etc., are post-classical.
- σκώπ-τω (σκωπ-) jeer: σκώψομαι (806), ἔσκωψα, ἐσκώφθην. (ΙΙ.)
- \*σμάω (σμῶ) smear (σμα-, σμη-, 394, 641) Ion., Comic: pres. σμῆς, σμῆ, σμῆται, etc., ἔσμησα, ἐσμησάμην Hdt. By-form σμήχω chiefly Ion.: ἔσμηξα, δι-εσμήχθην (?) Aristoph., νεό-σμηκτος Hom.
- σοῦμαι hasten: see σεύω.
- σπάω (σπα- for σπασ-) draw, often w. ἀνά, ἀπό, διά, κατά: -σπάσω (488 a), ἔσπασα, ἀν-ἐσπακα, ἔσπασμαι, -εσπάσθην, δια-σπαθήσομαι, ἀντί-σπαστος Soph., ἀντι-σπαστές Hippocr.
- σπείρω (σπερ-, σπαρ-) sow: σπερῶ, ἔσπειρα, ἔσπαρμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐσπάρην, σπαρτόs Soph. (III.)
- σπένδω pour libation, σπένδομαι make a treaty: κατα-σπείσω (for σπενδ-σω 100), εσπεισα, εσπεισμαι.
- σπουδάζω am eager: σπουδάσομαι (806), ἐσπούδασα, ἐσπούδακα, ἐσπούδασμαι σπουδαστός, -τέος. 512. (ΙΙΙ.)
- στάζω (σταγ-) drop : ἔσταξα, ἐν-ἐσταγμαι, ἐπ-εστάχθην, στακτός. Fut. στάσω late, σταξεῦμαι Theocr. Ion. and poetic, rare in prose. (III.)
- στείβω (στειβ-) tread, usu. only pres. and impert. : κατ-έστειψα, στειπτός. Poetic. From στιβε-, or from a by-form στιβέω, comes ἐστίβημαι Soph.
- στείχω (στειχ-, στιχ-) go: περι-έστειξα, 2 aor. ἔστιχον. Poetic, Ion.
- στέλλω (στελ-, σταλ-) send, in prose often comp. w. ἀπό οτ ἐπί: στελῶ poetic, ἔστελα, -έσταλκα, ἔσταλμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐστάλην, -σταλήσομαι. (III.)
- στενάζω (στεναγ-) groan, often comp. w. ανά: -στενάξω poetic, έστέναξα, στενα-

- κτός and  $-\tau$ έος poetic. By-forms: Epic and poetic  $\sigma \tau$ ενάχω, Epic  $\sigma \tau$ εναχίζω, poetic  $\sigma \tau$ οναχέω, mainly Epic and poetic  $\sigma \tau$ ένω.
- στέργω (στεργ-, στοργ-) love: στέρξω, ἔστερξα, 2 perf. ἔστοργα Hdt., στερκτέος, στερκτός Soph.
- στερέω (usu. ἀπο-στερέω in prose) deprive: στερήσω, ἐστέρησα, -εστέρηκα, ἐστέρημαι, ἐστερήθην. Aor. ἐστέρεσα Ερίς, 2 aor. pass. ἐστέρην poetic. Pres. mid. ἀπο-στεροῦμαι sometimes = am deprived of; στερήσομαι may be fut. mid. or pass. (809). Connected forms: στερίσκω deprive (rare in pres. except in mid.) and στέρομαι have been deprived of, am without w. perf. force, 528, 1887.
- στευ- in στεῦται, στεῦνται, στεῦτο affirm, pledge one's self, threaten. Poetic, mainly Epic.
- στίζω (στιγ-) prick: στίξω, ἔστιγμαι. ἔστιξα Hdt., στικτός Soph. (III.)
- στόρ-νυμι (στορ-, στορε-) spread out, in prose often w. κατά, παρά, σύν, ὑπό (in prose usu. στρώννυμι): παρα-στορῶ Aristoph., ἐστόρεσα, κατ-εστορέσθην Hippocr. (489 e). Fut. στορέσω in late poetry (στορεσῶ Theocr.). (IV.)
- στρέφω (στρέφ-, στροφ-, στραφ-) turn, often in comp. in prose w. ἀνά, ἀπό, διά, etc.: -στρέψω, ἔστρεψα, ἔστραμμαι, ἐστρέφθην (in prose only στρεφθώ, στρεφθείs), usu. 2 aor. pass. as intrans. ἐστράφην, ἀνα-στραφήσομαι, στρεπτόs. Prose has κατ-εστρεψάμην. 2 perf. ἀν-έστροφα trans. is doubtful (Comic), aor. pass. ἐστράφθην Doric, Ion.
- στρών-νυμι (στρω-) spread out: ύπο-στρώσω, έστρωσα Tragic, Hdt., έστρωμαι, στρωτός poetic. Cp. στόρνυμι. (IV.)
- στυγέω (στυγ-, στυγε-, 485) hate: ἐστύγησα (ἔστυξα Hom. made hateful), 2 aor. κατ-έστυγον Εpic (546 D.), ἀπ-εστύγηκα Hdt., ἐστυγήθην, fut. mid. στυγήσομαι as pass. (808), στυγητόs. Ion. and poetic.
- στυφελίζω (στυφελιγ-) dash: ἐστυφέλιξα. Mostly Epic and Hippocr. (III.)
- συρίττω (συριγγ-) pipe, whistle: ἐσύριξα. By-form συρίζω. (III.)
- σύρω (συρ-) draw, in comp. in prose esp. w. ἀπό, διά, ἐπί: -έσυρα, -σέσυρκα, -σέσυρμαι and -συρτέος Aristotle. (III.)
- σφάλλω (σφαλ-) trip up, deceive: σφαλῶ, ἔσφηλα, ἔσφαλμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐσφάλην, σφαλήσομαι. (III.)
- σφάττω (σφαγ-) slay, often in comp. w. ἀπό, κατά: σφάξω, ἔσφαξα, ἔσφαγμαι, 2 aor. pass. -εσφάγην, -σφαγήσομαι, ἐσφάχθην Ion., poetic, σφακτόs poetic. By-form σφάζω (so always in Trag.). 516. (III.)
- σχάζω cut open, let go: ἀπο-σχάσω, ἔσχασα Trag. (ἐσχασάμην Comic), ἐσχάσθην Hippocr. From σχάω comes imperf. ἔσχων Aristoph. 512. (III.) σχεθεῖν: see ἔχω.
- σώζω (σω- and σωι-, σῶs safe), later σώζω, save; many forms come from σαόω: σώσω (from σαύσω) and σωῶ (Att. inscr.), ἔσωσα (from ἐσάωσα w. recessive acc.) and ἔσωσα (Att. inscr.), σέσωκα (from \*σεσάωκα) and σέσωκα (?), σέσωμα τατε (from \*σεσάωμαι) and σέσωσμαι (mss. σέσωσμαι), ἐσώθην (from ἐσαώθην), σωθήσομαι, σωστέος (mss. σωστέος). By-forms: Epic σώω (cp. σῶς) and σαόω (cp. σάος), g.v. 512. (III.)
- ταγ- seize: 2 aor. part. τεταγών Hom. Cp. Lat. tango.
- τα-νύω (for τυ-νυω, 35 b; cp. τείνω from τεν-) stretch, mid. τά-νυμαι (734): τανύω (539 D.) and -τανύσω (?), ἐτάνυσ (σ)α, τετάνυσμαι, (489 c), ἐτανύσθην; fut. pass. τανύσσομαι Lyric. Poetic, rare in New Ion. (IV.)

- ταράττω (ταραχ-) disturb: ταράξω, ἐτάραξα, τετάραγμαι, ἐταράχθην, ταράξομαι as pass. (808). Epic 2 perf. intrans. τέτρηγα am disturbed. Cp. θράττω. (ΗΗ.)
- τάττω (ταγ-) arrange: τάξω, ἔταξα, 2 perf. τέταχα, τέταγμαι, ἐτάχθην, ἐπι-ταχθήσομαι, fut. perf. τετάξομαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐτάγην (?) Eur., τακτός, -τέος. (ΗΙ.)
- ταφ- (for θαφ-, 125 g; cp. τάφος and θάμβος) astonish: 2 aor. ἔταφον poetic, 2 perf. τέθηπα am astonished Epic, Ion., plup. ἐτεθήπεα.
- τέγγω wet: τέγξω, ἔτεγξα, ἐτέγχθην. Rare in prose.
- τείνω (τεν-, τα- from τχ-, 35 b) stretch, in prose usu. comp. w. ἀνά, ἀπό, διά, έξ, παρά, πρό, etc.; τενῶ, -έτεινα, -τέτακα, τέταμαι, -ετάθην, -ταθήσομαι, -τατέος, τατός Aristotle. Cp. τανόω and τιταίνω. (III.)
- τεκμαίρομαι (τεκμαρ-) judge, infer: τεκμαροῦμαι, ἐτεκμηράμην, τεκμαρτός Comic, τεκμαρτέος Hippocr. Poetic τεκμαίρω limit, show: ἐτέκμηρα. (III.)
- τελέω (τελε- for τελεσ-; cp. τὸ τέλος end) finish: τελῶ, ἐτέλεσα, τετέλεκα, τετέλεσμαι (489 c), ἐτελέσθην, ἐπι-τελεστέος. Fut. τελέσω rare in prose, ἀπο-τελεσθήσομαι Aristotle. Epic also τελείω.
- τέλλω (τελ-, ταλ-) accomplish: ἔτειλα Pind. ἀνα-τέλλω cause to rise, rise: ἀν-έτειλα; ἐν-τέλλομαι (ἐν-τέλλω poetic) command: ἐν-ετειλάμην, ἐν-τέταλμαι; ἐπι-τέλλω enjoin, rise poetic: ἐπ-έτειλα; ἐπ-ανα-τέλλω usu. rise, poetic and Ion. (III.)
- $\tau \epsilon \mu$  (in  $\tau \mu$ -) find: Epic redupl, 2 aor. ἔτετμον and  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \mu o \nu$ .
- τέμ-νω (τεμ-, ταμ-, τμη-) cut: τεμῶ, 2 aor. ἔτεμον, -τέτμηκα (ἀνά, ἀπό), τέτμημαι, ἐτμήθην, fut. perf. -τετμήσομαι (ἀπό, ἐξ), τμητέοs. τάμνω Doric and Epic. τέμω Epic, 2 aor. ἔταμον, Doric, Ion., and poetic, τμηθήσομαι Aristotle, τμητόs poetic, Aristotle. Cp. alsο τμήγω. (IV.)
- τέρπω (τερπ-, ταρπ-, τραπ-) amuse: τέρψω, ἔτερψα, ἐτέρθην (rare in prose) amused myself. Hom. 2 aor. mid. ἐταρπόμην and redupl. τεταρπόμην, Hom. aor. pass. ἐτάρφθην and 2 aor. pass. ἐτάρπην (subj. τραπήομεν; Mss. ταρπείομεν). All aor. forms in Hom. with a have the older meaning satisfy, satiate. τεραίνω (τερσ-αν-, cp. torreo from torseo) dry: τέρσηνα trans. Epic. (III. IV.) τέρσομαι become dry. Mainly Epic. 2 aor. pass. ἐτέρσην as intrans. became dry. τεταγών: see ταγ-.
- τετίημαι Hom. perf. : see τιε-.
- τέτμον: see τεμ-.
- τε-τραίν-ω (τετραν-, and τερ-, τρη-) bore: ἐτέτρᾶνα and ἔτρησα, τέτρημαι. Fut. δια-τετρανέω Hdt., aor. ἐτέτρηνα Epic. By-form τορέω, q.v. Late presents τί-τρη-μι, τι-τρά-ω. (III. IV.)
- τεύχω (τευχ-, τυχ-, τυκ-) prepare, make (poetic): τεύξω, ἔτευξα, 2 aor. τέτυκον Hom., 2 aor. mid. τετυκόμην Hom. (as if from \*τεύκω), 2 perf. τέτευχα as pass. in τετευχώς made M 423, τέτυγμαι often in Hom. = am (3 pl. τετεύχαται and plup. ἐτετεύχατο Hom.), fut. perf. τετεύξομαι Hom., aor. pass. ἐτύχθην Hom. (ἐτεύχθην Hippocr.), v. a. τυκτός Hom. Hom. τέτυγμαι and ἐτύχθην often mean happen, hit (cp. τετύχηκα, ἔτυχον from τυγχάνω). By-form τι-τύσκομαι Epic.
- $\tau \hat{\eta}$  here! take! in Hom., often referred to  $\tau a$  (cp.  $\tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$ , teneo), is prob. the instrumental case of the demonstr. stem  $\tau o$ -. It was however regarded as a verb, and the pl.  $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$  formed by Sophron.
- τήκω (τηκ-, τακ-) melt: τήξω, ἔτηξα, 2 perf. τέτηκα am melted, 2 aor. pass. as intrans. ἐτάκην melted, τηκτός. Aor. pass. ἐτήχθην was melted rare.

- τιε-, in Hom. 2 perf. τετιηώς troubled, dual mid. τετίησθον are troubled, mid. part. τετιημένος.
- τί-θη-μι (θη-, θε-) place, put: θήσω, ἔθηκα (inflection 755), 2 aor. ἔθετον, etc. (756), τέθηκα (762), τέθειμαι (but usu, instead κεῖμαι, 767), ἐτέθην, τεθήσομαι, θετός, -τέος. For inflection see 416, for synopsis 419, for dialectal forms 747 ff.
- τίκτω (for τι-τεκ-ω; τεκ-, τοκ-) beget, bring forth: τέξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔτεκον, 2 perf. τέτοκα. Fut. τέξω poetic, τεκοθμαι rare and poetic, aor. pass. ἐτέχθην poetic (late).
- $\tau \ell \lambda \lambda \omega \ (\tau \iota \lambda -) \ pluck : \tau \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}, \ \xi \tau \bar{\iota} \lambda \alpha, \ \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \lambda \mu \alpha \iota, \ \epsilon \tau \ell \lambda \theta \eta \nu.$  Mostly poetic. (III.)
- τινάσσω swing: often w. διά: τινάξω (-τινάξομαι reflex. or pass.), ἐτίναξα, τετίναγμαι, ἐτινάχθην. Mostly poetic. (III.)
- τίνω (τει-, τι-) pay, expiate, often comp. w. ἀπό, ἐξ: mid. (poetic) take payment, avenge: τείσω, ἔτεισα, τέτεικα, -τέτεισμαι (489 c), -ετείσθην, ἀπο-τειστέος (Hom. ἄ-τῖτος unpaid). The spelling with ει is introduced on the authority of inscriptions; the mss. have τίσω, etc. Hom. has τίνω from \*τίνςω, also τίω. Poetic and Ion. Connected is τεί-νυμαι (mss. τι-) avenge myself: τείσομαι, ἐτεισάμην (rare in Att. prose). Cp. τίω. (IV.)
- τι-ταίνω (τιταν-, i.e. ταν- redupl.) stretch: ἐτίτηνα Hom. Cp. τείνω. (III.)
- τι-τρώ-οκω (τρω-) wound: τρώσω (w. κατά in prose), ἔτρωσα, τέτρωμαι, ἐτρώθην, τρωθήσομαι (τρώσομαι as pass. Μ 66), τρωτός Hom. Ερίς τρώω is rare. (V.)
- τίω and τίω (τείω?) honour: τίοω, ἔτῖσα (προ-τίσᾶς S. Ant. 22), τέτῖμαι, ἄ-τιτος.

  Mainly Epic. In the pres. Att. has ἴ, Hom. το τ΄. Cp. τίνω.
- τλα-, τλη-, ταλα- endure: τλήσομαι (806), ἐτάλασσα Ερίς, 2 aor. ἔτλην (687), τέτληκα usu. as pres., 2 perf. (athematic) τέτλαμεν, etc. (705), τλητός. Poetic, rare in prose, which uses τολμάω.
- τμήγω (τμηγ-, τμαγ-) cut: τμήξω, ἔτμηξα, 2 aor. δι-έτμαγον, 2 aor. pass. ἐτμάγην. Poetic for τέμνω.
- τορέω (τορ-, τορε-, 485) pierce: τορήσω, and (redupl.) τετορήσω utter in a piercing tone Aristoph., έτόρησα, 2 aor. έτορον. Cp. τετραίνω. Mainly Epic. τοτ- hit, find in έπ-έτοσσε Pind.
- τρέπω (τρεπ-, τροπ-, τραπ-), turn, mid. flee: τρέψω, ἔτρεψα, mid. ἐτρεψάμην usu. put to flight, 2 aor. mid. ἐτραπόμην turned or fled (intrans. or reflex.; rarely pass.), 2 perf. τέτροφα (and τέτραφα?, rare), τέτραμμαι, ἐτρέφθην fled or was turned (rare in Att.), 2 aor. pass. ἐτράπην usu. intrans., τρεπτέος, τρεπτός Aristotle. In Att. ἐτραπόμην was gen. displaced by ἐτράπην. τράπω New Ion., Doric, 2 aor. ἔτραπον Ερίc and poetic, aor. pass. ἐτράφθην Hom., Hdt. τρέπω has six aorists. Cp. 554 c, 595, 596. Hom. has also τραπέω and τροπέω.
- τρέφω (τρεφ-, τροφ-, τραφ-; for θρεφ-, etc., 125 g) support, nourish: θρέψω, έθρεψα, 2 perf. τέτροφα, τέθραμμαι, έθρέφθην very rare in Att. prose, usu. 2 aor. pass. ἐτράφην, τραφήσομαι, θρεπτέοs. Fut. mid. θρέψομαι often pass. (808). τράφω Doric, 2 aor. Ερίς ἔτραφον grew up, was nourished. Cp. 595.
- τρέχω (τρεχ- from θρεχ-, 125 g, and δραμ-) run: δραμούμαι (806), 2 aor. ἔδραμον, -δεδράμηκα (κατά, περί, σύν), ἐπι-δεδράμημαι, περι-θρεκτέος. τράχω Doric, ἀπο-θρέξομαι Aristoph., ἔθρεξα rare and poetic, 2 perf. -δέδρομα (ἀνά, ἐπί) poetic. Poetic δραμάω. (VI.)
- τρέω (τρε- for τρεσ-; cp. Lat. terreo for terseo) tremble: ἔτρεσα (488 a), ά-τρεστο poetic. Rare in prose.
- τρίβω (τρίβ-, τριβ-) rub: τρίψω, ἔτρίψα, 2 perí. τέτριφα, τέτρίμμαι, ἐτρίφθην, but

- usu. 2 aor. pass. ἐτρίβην, -τριβήσομαι (ἐξ, κατά), fut. perf. ἐπι-τετρίψομαι, ά-τριπτος Hom. Fut. mid. τρίψομαι also as pass. (808).
- τρίζω (τριγ-, τρῖγ-) squeak, chirp: 2 perf. τέτρῖγα as pres. (part. τετρῖγῶτες, τετρῖγοῖα, Hom.). Ion. and poetic. (III.)
- τρῦχόω exhaust, waste: pres. poet. and rare, usu. comp. w. έξ: -τρῦχώσω, -ετρῦχωσω, τετρῦχωμαι, ἐτρῦχώθην Hippocr. Alsο τρῦχω: τρύξω (147 c) Hom.; and τρύω: τρόσω Aesch., τέτρῦμαι, ἄ-τρῦτος poetic and Ion.
- τρώγω (τρωγ-, τραγ-) gnaw: τρώξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔτραγον, δια-τέτρωγμαι, τρωκτός, κατ-έτρωξα Hippocr.
- τυ-γ-χ-άνω (τευχ-, τυχ-, τυχε-) hit, happen, obtain: τεύξομαι (806), 2 aor. ἔτυχον, τετύχηκα. Epic also ἐτύχησα, 2 perf. τέτευχα Ion. (the same form as from τεύχω). τέτυγμαι and ἐτύχθην (from τεύχω) often have almost the sense of τετύχηκα and ἔτυχον. (IV.)
- τύπ-τω (τυπ-, τυπτε-) strike: τυπτήσω, τυπτητέος; other tenses supplied: aor. ἐπάταξα or ἔπαισα, perf. πέπληγα, πέπληγμαι, aor. pass. ἐπλήγην. ἔτυψα Epic, Ion. and Lyric, ἐτύπτησα Aristotle, 2 aor. ἔτυπον poetic, τέτυμμαι poetic and Ion., 2 aor. pass. ἐτύπην poetic, fut. mid. as pass. τυπτήσομαι, or 2 fut. pass. τυπήσομαι, Aristoph. Nub. 1379. (II.)
- τύφω (τῦφ-, τυφ-, for θῦφ-, θυφ-, 125 g) raise smoke, smoke: τέθῦμμαι, 2 aor. pass. as intrans. ἐπ-ετύφην, ἐκ-τυφήσομαι Com.
- τωθάζω taunt: τωθάσομαι (806), έτώθασα. 512. (III.)
- ύγιαίνω (ύγιαν-) am in health, recover health: ύγιανῶ, ὑγίανα, ὑγιάνθην Hippocr. (III.)
- ύπ-ισχ-νέομαι ( $l\sigma\chi$ -, a by-form of  $e\chi$ -;  $\sigma\chi$ -,  $\sigma\chi\epsilon$ -) promise: ύπο-σχήσομαι, 2 aor. ύπ-εσχόμην, ύπ-έσχημαι. Ion. and poetic usu.  $i\pi$ - $l\sigma\chi$ ομαι. Cp.  $e\chi$  and  $e\chi$  (IV.)
- ύφαίνω (ὑφαν-) weave: ὑφανῶ, ὕφηνα, ὕφασμαι (489 h), ὑφάνθην, ὑφαντός. Hom. also ὑφάω. (III.)
- υν rain: τος, νσα Pind., Hdt., Aristotle, ἐφ-νομαι (489 c), νσθην Hdt., νσομαι as pass. (808) Hdt.
- φαείνω (φαεν-) appear, show: aor. pass. έφαάνθην (w. αα for αε, 643) appeared. Epic. (III.)
- φαίνω (φαν-) show: φανῶ, ἔφηνα, perf. πέφαγκα (rare in good Att.) have shown, 2 perf. πέφηνα have appeared, πέφασμαι (489 h), ἔφάνθην (rare in prose) was shown, 2 aor. pass. ἔφάνην as intrans. appeared, 2 fut. pass. φανήσομαι shall appear; fut. mid. φανοῦμαι shall show and shall appear. On the trans. and intrans. use see 819; for the inflection of certain tenses see 401 ff. Hom. has 2 aor. iter. φάνεσκε appeared, v. a. ἄ-φαντος; and, from root φα-: φάε appeared and fut. perf. πεφήσεται shall appear. Connected forms πι-φαύσκω, φαείνω, φαντάζομαι. (III.)
- φά-σκω (φα-) say: only pres. and imperf. : see φημί. (V.)
- φείδομαι (φείδ-, φίδ-) spare: φείσομαι, ἐφεισάμην, φειστέος. Epic 2 aor. mid. redupl. πεφιδόμην (448 D.). Epic fut. πεφιδήσομαι (φίδε-).
- φεν-, φν-, φα- (for φυ-, 35 b) kill: 2 aor. ἔπεφνον and πέφνον (part. κατα-πεφνών, also accented -πέφνων), perf. mid. πέφαμαι, fut. perf. πεφήσομαι. Epic. Cp. φόνος murder and θείνω(θεν-) smite.
- φέρω ( $\phi$ ερ-, οἰ-, ἐνεκ-, ἐνεγκ- for ἐν-ενεκ, 529) bear, carry : fut. οἴσω, 1 aor. ἤνεγκα,

- 2 αστ. ἤνεγκον, 2 perf. ἐνήνοχα, perf. mid. ἐνήνεγμαι (β s. -γκται inscr.), αστ. pass. ἤνέχθην also intrans., fut. pass. κατ-ενεχθήσομαι and οἰσθήσομαι, ν. α. οἰστός, -τέος. Other Att. forms are: οἴσομαι fut. mid. and pass. (809), ἤνεγκάμην 1 αστ. mid., ἦνεγκόμην 2 αστ. mid. (rare: S. O. C. 470). Poetic and dial. forms are: 2 pl. pres. imper. φέρτε (for φέρετε) Ερίς, 1 αστ. imper. οἶσε for οἶσον Ερίς (and Aristoph.), 1 αστ. inf. ἀν-οῖσαι σι ἀν-ῷσαι (once in Hdt.), fut. inf. οἴσειν Pind., οἰσέμεν (αι) Hom., 1 αστ. ἤνεικα, -άμην Hom., Hdt., ἤνικα Aeol., Dor., etc., 2 αστ. ἤνεικον rare in Hom., perf. mid. ἐνήνειγμαι Hdt., αστ. pass. ἤνείχθην Hdt., ν. α. φερτός Hom., Eur., ἀν-ώιστος Hdt. (ἄνοιστος ?). (VI.)
- φεύγω (φευγ-, φυγ-) flee: φεύξομαι 806 (φευξοῦμαι, 540, rare in prose), 2 aor. ἔφυγον, 2 perf. πέφευγα, φευκτός, -τέος. Hom. has perf. act. part. πεφυζότες as if from a verb φύζω (cp. φύζα flight), perf. mid. part. πεφυγμένος, v. a. φυκτός. By-form φυγ-γάνω, New Ion. and Att. poetry, in comp. in prose.
- φη-μί (φη-, φα-) say, inflected 783: φήσω, ἔφησα, φατός, -τέος. Poetical and dial. forms 783 D. ff.
- φθά-νω (φθη-, φθα-) anticipate: φθήσομαι (806), ἔφθασα, 2 aor. ἔφθην (like ἔστην). Fut. φθάσω doubtful in Att., 2 aor. mid. part. φθάμενος Epic. Hom. φθάνω = \*φθάνεω. (IV.)
- φθείρω (φθερ-, φθορ-, φθαρ-) corrupt: φθερῶ, ἔφθειρα, ἔφθαρκα, but usu. 2 perf. δι-έφθορα am ruined (have corrupted in Att. poetry), ἔφθαρμαι, 2 aor. pass. ἐφθάρην, δια-φθαρήσομαι, φθαρτός Aristotle. Fut. δια-φθέρσω N 625, δια-φθερέω Hdt. (III.)
- $\phi\theta\ell\nu\omega$  ( $\phi\theta\iota$ -) waste, perish, mostly poetical and usu intrans., Epic  $\phi\theta\ell\nu\omega$  (=  $\phi\theta\iota\nu\rho\omega$ ): fut.  $\phi\theta\ell\sigma\omega$  poetic (Hom.  $\phi\theta\ell\sigma\omega$ ) trans., aor.  $\xi\phi\theta\iota\sigma\alpha$  poetic (Hom.  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\sigma\alpha$ ) trans., 2 aor. mid. athematic  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\mu\eta\nu$  perished poetic ( $\phi\theta\ell\omega$ - $\mu\alpha\iota$ ,  $\phi\theta\ell\mu\eta\nu$  for  $\phi\theta\iota$ - $\ell\mu\eta\nu$ ,  $\phi\theta\ell\sigma\theta\omega$ ,  $\phi\theta\ell\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ ,  $\phi\theta\ell\mu\nu$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\alpha\tau$  poetic (plup. 3 pl.  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\alpha\tau$ ),  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\theta\eta\nu$  Hom.,  $\phi\theta\iota\tau\delta$ s Tragic. The form  $\phi\theta\ell\omega$  in Hom. is assumed on the basis of  $\phi\theta\ell\eta$ s and  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\epsilon\nu$ , for which  $\phi\theta\ell\epsilon\alpha\iota$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\iota\tau\sigma$  (or  $\xi\sigma\theta\iota\nu$ ) have been conjectured. Hom.  $\phi\theta\ell\sigma\omega$ ,  $\xi\phi\theta\ell\sigma\alpha$  are also read  $\phi\theta\epsilon\ell\sigma\omega$ , etc. Byform  $\phi\theta\iota\nu\delta\theta\omega$ . (IV.)
- φιλέω love: regular (cp. 385); fut. mid. φιλήσομαι may be pass. (808). Hom. has φιλήμεναι pres. inf. and ἐφῖλάμην (φιλ-) aor. mid. Aeolic φίλημε.
- φλάω bruise (cp. θλάω): φλασσῶ, for φλάσω, Theocr., ἔφλα(σ)σα, πέφλασμαι (489 c) and ἐφλάσθην Hippocr. φλάω eat greedily, swallow: only pres. and imperf., and only in Comedy.
- φλέγω burn, trans. and intrans: ἐξ-έφλεξα Aristoph., κατ-εφλέχθην, ά-φλεκτοs Eur. Very rare in prose. By-form φλεγέθω poetic.
- φράγ-νῦμι (φραγ-) and φάργνῦμι fence, mid. φράγνυμαι; only in pres. and imperf. Cp. φράττω. (IV.)
- φράζω (φραδ-) tell, point out, declare, mid. consider, devise: φράσω, ἔφρασα, πέφρακα, πέφρασμαι rarely mid., ἐφράσθην as mid., φραστέος. Ερίς 2 aor. (ἐ)πέφραδον 448 D. (part. πεφραδμένος). Mid. fut. φράσ(σ)ομαι Ερίς, ἐφρασ(σ)άμην poetic and Ion. (III.)
- φράττω (φραγ-) fence: ἔφραξα (and ἔφαρξα Att. inscr.), πέφραγμαι and πέφαργμαι, ἔφράχθην, ἄ-φρακτος. The forms with αρ for ρα are common and are Old Att. See φράγνῦμι. (III.)

- φρίττω (φρίκ-) shudder : ἔφριξα (147 c), πέφρικα am in a shudder (part. πεφρίκοντας Pind.). (III.)
- φρύγω (φρυγ-, φρῦγ-) roast: ἔφρυξα (147 c), πέφρῦγμαι, φρῦκτός, 2 aor. pass. ἐφρύγην Hippocr.
- φυλάττω (φυλακ-) guard: φυλάξω, ἐφύλαξα, 2 perf. πεφύλαχα, πεφύλαγμαι am on my guard, ἐφυλάχθην, φυλακτέος. Fut. mid. φυλάξομαι also as pass. in Soph. (808). (III.)
- φύρω (φυρ-) mix, knead: ἔφυρσα Hom., πέφυρμαι, έφύρθην Aesch., fut. perf. πεφύρσομαι Pind., σύμ-φυρτος Eur. φῦράω mix is regular. (III.)
- φύω (φυ-, φῦ-; Hom. φὕω, rare in Att.) produce: φύσω, ἔφῦσα, 2 aor. ἔφῦν grew, was (687), πέφῦκα am by nature, am (693), φυτόν plant. 2 aor. pass. ἐφύην late (doubtful in Att.). 2 perf. Epic forms: πεφύᾶσι, ἐμ-πεφύη Theognis, πεφυώς, ἐμ-πεφυνῖα; 1 plup. with thematic vowel ἐπέφῦκον Hesiod.
- χάζω (χαδ-) force back, usu. χάζομαι give way. Pres. act. in prose only ἀνα-χάζω Xen., χάσσομαι, ἀν-έχασσα Pind., δι-εχασάμην Xen. See also καδ-. Poetic, chiefly Epic. (III.)
- χαίρω (χαρ-, χαιρε-, χαιρε-) rejoice: χαιρήσω, κεχάρηκα, κεχάρημαι and κέχαρμαι Att. poetry, 2 aor. pass. ἐχάρην intrans. rejoiced, χαρτός. Hom. has 2 perf. act. part. κεχαρηώς, 1 aor. mid. χηράμην, 2 aor. mid. κεχαρόμην, fut. perf. κεχαρήσω and κεχαρήσομαι. (III.)
- χαλάω loosen: ἐχάλασα, ἐχαλάσθην (489 e). Fut. χαλάσω Hippocr., aor. ἐχάλαξα Pind., perf. κεχάλακα Hippocr.
- χαλεπαίνω (χαλεπαν-) am offended: χαλεπανώ, έχαλέπηνα, έχαλεπάνθην. (ΙΙΙ.) χα-ν-δ-άνω (χενδ-, χονδ-, χαδ- for χιδ-, 35 b) contain: χείσομαι for χενδσεται, 2 aor. έχαδον, 2 perf. κέχανδα as pres. (κέχονδα? cp. v. l. Ω 192). Poetic (mostly Epic) and Ion. (IV.)
- χάσκω (χην-, χαν-; χάσκω for χησκω? 35 b) gape: έγ-χανούμαι (806), 2 aor. έχανον, 2 perf. κέχηνα am agape (698). Ion., Epic, and in Aristoph. (V.)
- χέζω (χεδ-, χοδ-), = Lat. caco: χεσοῦμαι (540, 806), rarely χέσομαι, ἔχεσα, 2 aor. ἔχεσον rare, 2 perf. κέχοδα, κέχεσμαι. (III.)
- χέω (χεν-, χερ-, χν-) pour; on the contraction see 397. In prose usu. in comp. (ἐξ, ἐν, κατά, σύν, etc.): fut. χέω (541, 1881), aor. ἔχεα (543 a), κέχνκα, κέχνμαι, ἐχύθην, χυθήσομαι, χυτόs. Mid. χέομαι pres. and fut., ἐχεάμην aor. Epic forms: pres. (rarely) χείω (Aeolic χεύω), fut. χεύω (?) β 222, aor. also ἔχευα (543 a), 1 aor. mid. ἐχευάμην = Att. ἐχεάμην, 2 aor. mid. athematic ἐχύμην as pass.
- χλαδ- in 2 perf. part. κεχλαδώς swelling, pl. κεχλάδοντας, inf. κεχλάδειν. Pind. χόω (= χορω) heap up: χώσω, έχωσα, άνα-κέχωκα, κέχωσμαι, έχώσθην, χωσθή-
- σομαι, χωστός. Cp. 489 a, c. χραισμέω (χραισμε-, χραισμ-) profit, pres. late: χραισμήσω, έχραlσμησα, 2 aor. έχραισμον. Hom.
- \*χράομαι (χρῶμαι) use (χρα-, χρη-): pres. χρῆ, χρῆται, etc. 395, χρήσομαι, έχρησάμην, κέχρημαι have in use (poetic also have necessary), έχρησθην (489 e), χρηστός good, χρηστός. Hdt. has χρᾶται, 3 pl. χρέωνται (from χρήονται), subj. χρέωμαι, imper. χρέω, inf. χρᾶσθαι (Ion. inser. χρῆσθαι), part. χρεώμενος. Cp. 641 D. Fut. perf. κεχρήσομαι Theocr.
- \*χράω (χρῶ) utter an oracle (χρα-, χρη-): pres. χρῆs, χρῆ, 394 (sometimes in greek gram. 46

the meaning of χρήζεις, χρήζει), χρήσει, ἔχρησα, κέχρηκα, κέχρησμαι Hdt., ἐχρήσθην (489 e). Mid. χράομαι (χρώμαι) consult an oracle: χρήσομαι Ιοπ., ἐχρησάμην Hdt. Cp. χρήζω. 522 b, 641 D. Hdt. has χρέων.

χρή it is necessary, ἀπό-χρη it suffices: see 793.

χρήζω want, ask, Att. chiefly pres. and imperf. : χρήσω. Epic and Ion. χρηΐζω (later χρείζω) : χρηΐσω, έχρήϊσα. 512. (ΙΙΙ.)

χρίω (χρί- for χρίσ-) anoint, sting: χρίσω, ἔχρίσα, κέχριμαι (and κέχρισμαι?)

489 b), έχρίσθην (489 e) Tragic, χρῖστός Tragic.

χρώζω (for χρω-ίζω; cp. χρώ-s complexion) colour, stain: κέχρωσμαι (489 c; (better κέχρωσμαι?), έχρώσθην (έχρώσθην?). Poetic χροίζω. 512. (III.) χωρέω give place, go: regular. Fut. χωρήσω and χωρήσομαι 806 a.

\*ψάω (ψῶ) rub (ψα-, ψη-): pres. ψῆs, ψῆ, etc., 394; ἀπο-ψήσω, ἔψησα, perf. κατ-έψηγμαι from the by-form ψήχω.

ψέγω blame : ψέξω, έψεξα, έψεγμαι Hippoer., ψεκτός.

ψεύδω deceive, mid. lie: ψεύσω, ἔψευσα, ἔψευσμαι usu. have deceived or lied, but also have been deceived, ἐψεύσθην, ψευσθήσομαι.

ψύχω (ψυχ-, ψῦχ-) cool: ψύξω (147 c), ἔψυξα, ἔψῦγμαι, ἐψῦχθην, ψῦχθήσομαι (?) Hippocr., 2 aor. pass. ἀπ-εψύχην as intrans. cooled, ψῦκτέος Hippocr.

ώθέω (ώθ- for <sub>F</sub>ωθ-, ώθε-, 485 a) push: imperf. ἐώθουν (431), ἄσω, ἔωσα (431), ἔωσμαι (443), ἐώσθην, ἀσθήσομαι. Fut. ἀθήσω only in Att. poetry, aor. ἄσα and perf. ἄσμαι Ion., ἀπ-ωστός Ion., poetic, ἀπ-ωστέος poetic.

ώνέομαι (τωνε-; cp. Lat. ve-num) buy: imperf. έωνούμην (431), ἀνήσομαι, έώνημαι (443) have bought or been bought, ἐωνήθην was bought, ἀνητός, -τέος. For ἐωνησάμην (late), Att. has ἐπριάμην (p. 138). Imperf. ἀνεόμην Hdt., ἀνούμην Att. in comp. (ἀντί, ἐξ). (VI.)

## ENGLISH INDEX

Ability, adjs. denoting, 858.6, 9; vbs. of, w. inf., 2000; adjs., advs., and substs. of, w. inf., 2001-2007.

Ablatival use of genitive, 1289, 1348 b, 1351, 1352, 1391-1411.

Ablative, functions, how supplied, 203, 280, 1279; advs. w. force of, 341.

Absolute, superl., 1085; and rel. time, 1850; inf., 2012; gen., 2032 f, 2058, 2070-2075; acc., 2059, 2076-2078.

Abstract, nouns, gender, 199 c, 840; suff. forming, 840, 859. 1, 2, 6, 861. 2, 863 a 2, 3, b 2, 865. 1; in compos., 890; pl. of, 1000, 1004; part. w. article used for, 1025, 2051; w. article, 1131-1135. See Quality, Action.

Numbers, 354 e.

Abundance, adjs. denoting, 858. 3.

Accent, general principles, 149-170; see Recessive accent; as affected by contraction, crasis, and elision, 171-174; anastrophe, 175; change of, in decl., inflec., and compos., 176-178, 773 b, 791 b, 869 b, 893, 894; on proclit., 180; enclit., 181-187; of nouns, general rules, 205-209: substs., 163 a, 213, 223, 236, 239, 252, 264 a, e, 271; of adj., 287 a, 289 b, 290 c, 292 c, 293 c, 295, 299 b; parts., 304, 305 a, 309 a, 425 b, 425 b n., 773 b; pers. pron., 325 a, f; interrog. pron., 334; vbs., 423-427, 746 c, 750 b, 768 b, 773 b, 791 b; dimin., in -10v, 852. 1; words in -os denoting agent, 859. 1 b; words in  $-\bar{a}$  or  $-\eta$ , 859. 2 b; of compounds, 893-894.

Accompaniment, dat. of, 1524-1526, 1757.

Accompanying circumstance, dat. of, 1527.

Accountability, gen. of, 1375-1379; adjs. of, w. gen., 1425.

Accusative case, 251, 260, 264 b; general statement of uses, 1551-1562; of the part, 985, 1601 a n.; w. vbs. of remembering, etc., 1357-1359; hearing and perceiving, 1361-1368; ruling, 1371; separation, 1393; w. δέομαι, 1398; w. δεῖ, 1400; of time, 1447, 1580-1587; w. vbs. of henefiting and injuring, 1462; of commanding, 1465; w. internal and external object, 1554, 1555, see Internal object and External object; of content, 1554 a n. 1; of result, 1554 a n. 1, 1578, 1579; cognate, 1563-1576, 991 b n., 1377, 1378, 1620, 1629, 1744, 1749; of extent, 1580-1587, 1633; terminal, 1588, 1589; w. tr. vbs, 1558, 1559, 1561, 1590-1597; w. vbs. of swearing, 1596 b, 2894; after verbal nouns and adjs., 1598; elliptical, 1599; of respect, 1516 a, 1600-1605, 2034 e; adverbial, 993, 1606-1611; two accs. w. one vb., 1612-1633; two vbs. with a common obj., 1634, 1635; general force after preps., 1658; w. prep., after vb. of rest, 1659 b; subj. of inf., 1972, 1974, 1975; origin of constr. of acc. w. inf., 1981; of artic. inf., 2034; absolute, 2059, 2076-2078; agent expressed by, w. verbal adj., 2152 a.

Accusing, vbs. of, constr. with, 1375-1379, 1385.

Acknowledge, vbs. signifying, w. part., 2106.

Action, suff. of, 840, 859. 2, 861. 1, 865. Adverbial, expressions, 1029, 1111, 2, see Abstract nouns; time and stage of, 1850-1857, 2016, 2094, 2177. Active, verbs, 1704.

Voice, 356; endings, 462-464, 466, 467, 469, 470; function, 1703-1707; tr. and intr. use, 1708-1709; periph, for, 1710; causative, 1711; of inf. limiting mean, of adj., 1712: for mid., 1732; to replace pass, of tr. vb., 1752.

Address, voc. in, 1283; οῦτος in, 1288 a. Adjectival, clauses, 2189, 2488-2573: sentences, 2190.

Adjective pronouns, agreement of, 1020: ἄλλος and ἔτερος, 1271-1276.

Adjectives, general rules for accent, 205-209; used substantively, 232 b. 1021-1029, 1130; decl., 286-299; declined like parts., 305 b; of irregular decl., 311; of one ending, 312; comp., 313-324, 1063-1093; αὐτός, 328; pronom., 337, 340; numeral, 347; verbal, see Verbal adj.; formation, 857, 858; compound, 886-890, 893-899; pred., see Predicate adj.; attrib., 912-914, 1019; agr., 925, 1020, 1030-1039, 1044-1062; function, 1018; as preds., for Eng. adv. or prep., 1042, 1043; pred: position, 1168-1171; of place, w. article, 1172; gen. with, 1412-1436, 1529; dat. with, 1499-1502, 1529, 2033; cognate acc. with, 1565; as cognate acc., 1572, 1573; foll. by acc. of respect, 1600-1605; w. inf., 2001-2007; w. acc. of artic. inf., 2034 d, e; w.  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  and inf., 2271 c; used substantively, or and μή with. 2735.See Compound adj., Compound nouns.

Adjunctive apposition, 982.

Adjuncts, of artic. inf., 2037; w. part., 2079-2087.

Admiring, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405. Adnominal genitive, 1290-1296.

Advantage or disadvantage, dat. of, 1481-1485.

1527 b; acc., 993, 1606-1611: clauses, 2189-2206, 2240-2487; sent., part., see Circumstantial 2190:participle.

Adverbs, proclit., 179, 180; enclit., 181 b; comps. and superls. derived from, 320; origin, 341; of place, 341, 342, 346, 1535; of manner, 341, 343, 346; from preps., 342 c; endings, 344; comp., 345, 1063-1093; correl., 346; of time, 346; of way, 346; numeral, 347; of division, 354 g; in compnds., 870, 884, 896, 897; ordinary, and sentence, 1094, 2769; equiv. of ordinary, 1095; in attrib. position as adjs., 1096, 1153 e n., 1156; taking place of adjs., 1097; as nouns, 1153 e; gen. w., 1315, 1437-1443; dat. w., 1440, 1499-1502, 1529, 2033; preps. developed from, 1638; preps. as, 1639-1643; point of view w. advs. of place, 1661, 1662; w. inf., 2001-2007; w. part., 2079-2087; for prot., 2344; rel., antec. of, 2505; rel., attraction, 2528; inverse attraction, 2533 с.

Adversative particles, 2163 B, 2774, 2775, 2801, 2802, 2834, 2835, 2917, 2920.

Advising, vbs. of, w. dat., 1464; w. aor., 1938; w. μή, 2720.

Aeolic, dial., Intr., C, D.

Age, expressed by gen., 1321, 1325.

Agent, suff. denoting, 839, 859. 1, 10, 860. 1, 861. 18, 863 a 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16; dat. of, 1488-1494, 1758, 2149. 2, 2151, 2152; expressed by gen. w. prep., 1491-1494, 1678, 1684. 1 c (2), 1685. 2 d, 1688. 1 c, 1695. 1 b, 1698. 1 b, 1755; by acc. w. prep., 1685, 2 d; by dat. w. prep., 1698. 2 b; in acc., w. verbal adj., 2152 a.

Agreeing, vbs. of, w. μή, 2725.

Agreement, the concords, 925; of finite vb. w. subj., 925, 949-972; of word in appos., 925, 976-995; of pred. adj., 925, 975, 1020, 1044–1062; of attrib. adj., 925, 1020, 1030–1039; apparent violations, 926; of pred. subst., 973–975; of adj. or part. w. subj. of pl. vb., of single pers., 1009; accord. to sense, 1013; of parts., 2148; of verbal in  $-\tau \epsilon os$ , 2151; of rel. pron., 2501, 2502.

Aim at, gen. w. vbs. signifying, 1349.
Alemanic construction, 965.

Allusive plural, 1007.

Alpha privative, adjs, compounded with, w. gen., 1428; part. negatived w., 2071 a; see à-privative.

Alphabet, 1-3; as numerals, 347, 348. Alternative questions, dir., 2656-2661; ind., 2675, 2676 e, f.

Amount, denoted by gen., 1326.

Anacoluthon, 3004-3008.

Anadiplosis, 3009.

Anaphora, 2167 c, 2906, 3010.

Anaphoric, article, 1120 b, 1142, 1178 b; use of αὐτοῦ, etc., 1214, 1252.

Anastrophe, 175, 3011.

Anger, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405; w. dat., 1461; w. δτι (ώs), or part., 2587; οξμ' ώs in expression of, 2682 d.

Animals, grammatical gender of, 198. Annalistic present, 1884.

Announce, vbs. signifying, w. part., 2106.

Answers, to Yes and No questions, 2680;  $\hbar\lambda\lambda$  in, 2784 a;  $\gamma$  \$\delta\$ in, 2804, 2806;  $\gamma$  \$\delta\$ in, 2825;  $\gamma$  \$\delta\$ in, 2835;  $\kappa$  at \$\delta\$ in, 2847; \$\delta\$  $\eta$  in, 2851;  $\mu$  \$\delta\$ v od \$\delta\$ in, 2901 a;  $\mu$  \$\delta\$ vin, 2918;  $\kappa$  at  $\mu$  \$\delta\$ in, 2921;  $\kappa$  at  $\mu$  \$\delta\$ v.  $\gamma$  \$\delta\$ in, 2921;  $\nu$  at in, 2922; od \$\delta\$ od \$\delta\$ vin, 2953 a;  $\gamma$  \$\delta\$ od \$\delta\$ vin, 2958.

Antecedent, of rel. clauses, 2503-2544; of rel. prons., 2503; def. and indef., 2505-2508; omission of, 2509-2516, 2535; attraction of rel. to case of, 2522-2528; case of rel. w. omitted, 2529-2532; incorporation of, 2532, 2536-2538; attracted to case of rel., 2533-2534; appos. to, 2539; taken over into rel. clause, 2540, 2542;

reserved for subsequent main clause, 2541.

Antepenult, 139. See Accent.

Anticipation, pres. of, 1879; or prolepsis, 2182.

Anticipatory subjunctive, 1810, 2707 a. Antistrophe, in rhetoric, 3012.

Antithesis, 3013;  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$  in, 2903–2916.

Aorist tense, 359; secondary, 360, 1858; first and sec., 361; one of prin. parts of vb., 368–870; iterative in  $-\sigma\kappa\%$ -, 495;) (imperf., 553, 1908, 1909; forms in  $-\eta\nu$ , 803; of pass. form but act. or mid. mean., 804, 811, 812; of mid. form but act. or mid. mean., 810; of deps., in pass. mean., 813; act. vbs. with aor. pass. in mid. sense, 814–818; force, 1851, 1855, 1856, 1858.

Active, first, inflec., 382, 383, 665-671, 755; accent of inf., 425 a; system, 455, 542-545.

Active, second, accent of imper., 424 b, 426 b; of inf., 425 a x.; of part., 425 b; redup. in, 439, 448, 448 b, 494 b; system, 455, 546-554; )( first aor., 554; inflec., 384, 679-688, 756-761.

Middle, first, stem, 542-545; inflec., 382, 383, 665-671, 755.

Middle, second, accent of imper., 424 b, 426 c; of inf., 425 a, 426 d; inflec., 384, 679-688, 756-761.

Passive, first, inflec., 382, 383, 672-678; accent, 426 d; w. and without inserted  $\sigma$ , 489; stem, 585-588; in Hom., 1740.

Passive, second, stem, 590-596; inflec., 672-678; origin, 1739; in Hom., 1740.

Indicative, in unattainable wishes, 1780; w. &v, past potent., 1784; w. &v, of unreality, 1786–1788; expresses mere occurrence of a past action, 1923; ingressive, 1924, 1925; resultative, 1926; complexive, 1927; w. def. numbers, 1928; enumerating and reporting past events, 1929;

empiric, 1930; gnomic, 1931, 2338, 2567 a; in general descriptions, 1932; iterative, 1790, 1933, 2341; for fut., 1934; in similes, 1935; for pres., 1936; dramatic, 1937; w. vbs. of swearing, etc., 1938; w. other vbs., 1939; for perf., 1940; translated by perf., 1941; epistolary, 1942; for plup., 1943; in subord. clauses, 1944; of τυγχάνω, λανθάνω,  $\phi\theta\dot{a}\nu\omega$ , 2096 b; after  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  and vb. of fearing in Hom., 2233 b; after ωστε, 2274; in unreal condit., 2305, 2307-2311; in apod. of vivid fut. condit., 2326; after  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2434, 2441 a; in similes and compar., 2481 a; in subord. clauses in ind. disc., 2620, 2623.

Subjunctive, in prohib., 1800, 1840, 1841, 2756 b;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  with, in Hom., to indicate fear, etc., 1802;  $\delta\pi\omega s$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  w., to express command, 1803;  $o\dot{v}$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$  w., of emphatic denial, 1804;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $o\dot{v}$  w., 1801, 2221, 2225; delib., 1805; force, 1860; after  $\pi\rho lv$ , 2444 a; force, in condits., 2325, 2336 b. See Subjunctive.

Optative, of fut. realization of pres. fact, 1828; of past possibility, 1829; of mild assertion in past, 1829; force, 1861–1863; force, in condits., 2331, 2336 b. See Optative.

Imperative, in prohibs., 1840; force, 1864; in condit. rel. sent., 2573 c. See Imperative.

Infinitive, force, 1865–1871; after vbs. of hoping, etc., 1868, 1999, 2024; w.  $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , 2261; after  $\pi\rho l\nu$ , 2453 c. See Infinitive.

Participle, force, 1872–1874; w.  $\epsilon l\mu l$ ,  $\xi \chi \omega$ , etc., 1962–1965; rare in acc. abs., 2076 A N., B N.; W.  $\tau \nu \gamma - \chi \Delta \nu \omega$ ,  $\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \Delta \nu \omega$ ,  $\Delta \theta \Delta \nu \omega$ , 2096 b; as pred. adj., 2091; after  $\delta \rho \Delta \omega$ ,  $\Delta \kappa \omega \omega$ , 2103, 2110–2112 a N. See Participles.

Aoristic present, 1853. Aphaeresis, 46, 76. Apocope, 75 p.

Apodosis, defined, 2280; ἀλλά in, 2782; ἀτάρ in, 2801; αδ in, 2802; δέ in, 2837; οὐδέ in, 2935. See Conditional clauses.

Apodotic  $\delta \epsilon$ , 2837.

Aporia, 3014. Aposiopesis, 3015.

Apostrophe, 70.

Appeals, άλλά in, 2784 c; νό in, 2928. Appear, vbs. signifying, w. part., 2106. Appointing, vbs. of, w. pred. gen., 1305; w. two accs., 1613; w. inf., 2009.

Apposition, definition, 916; agr. of word in, 925, 976–982; in gen. in agr. w. implied pers. pron. or w. adj., 977, 978, 1196 b; partitive, 981–984; distrib., 981; adjunctive, 982; constr. of whole and part, 985; attrib., 986; descriptive, 987; explanatory, 988–990; to  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \delta$  in Hom., 989, 1102; to a sent., 991–995; equiv. of attrib. adj., 1019; to proper name, 1160; w.  $d\lambda \lambda \delta s$  and  $\ell \tau \epsilon \rho \delta s$ , 1272; nom. to a voc., 1287; inf. as, 1987, 2718; artic. inf. as, 2035; drawn into rel. cl., 2539.

Approaching, vbs. of, w. gen., 1322. Approaching, vbs. of, w. gen., 1353; w. dat., 1353, 1463.

Article, crasis of, 68; proclit. forms, 179; decl., 332; agr., 1020; origin and develop., 1099;  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  in Hom., 1100-1104, 332 a; in tragedy and lyric, 1104; as rel., 1105; as demons. in Att. prose, 1106-1117; indef., 71s as, 1118 a; particular, 1119-1121; anaphoric, 1120 b, 1142, 1178 b, see Anaphoric article; deictic, 1120 c; distrib., 1120 f; instead of unemphatic possess. pron., 1121; generic, 1122-1124; w. parts., 1124, 1152, 2052; w. numerals, 1125; omitted, 1126-1152, 1207; w. abstract substs., 1131-1135; w. proper names, 1136-1142, 1207; w. two or more nouns, 1143, 1144, 1145; w. appos. to pers.

pron. of first or sec. person, 1149; Association, dat. of, 1523. and pred. noun, 1150-1152; subst.making power of, 1153; attrib. position, 1154-1167; pred. position, 1168-1171; w. αὐτός, 328, 1163, 1171, 1176, 1204-1217; w.  $\pi \hat{a}s$ , 1163, 1174; w. demons. pron., 1163, 1171, 1176-1181, 1184; w. possess. pron., 1163, 1182, 1183, 1196 a; w, gen. of reflex. pron., 1163, 1184; w. gen. of pers. pron., 1171, 1185; w. gen. of rel. pron., 1171; w. άκρος, μέσος, έσχατος, 1172; w. μόνος, ήμισυς, 1173; w. őλos, 1175; w. gen. of recip. pron., 1184; w. interrog., ἄλλος, πολύς, ὀλίγos, 1186-1189, 2648; agreeing w. pers. pron., 1187; w. άλλος and έτερος, 1271-1276; w. olos and ἡλίκος, 2532 b.

Articular infinitive, subst.-making power of article in, 1153 f; use, 2025-2030; nom., 2031; gen., 2032, 1322; dat., 2033; acc., 2034; in appos., 2035; in exclam., 2036; w. adjuncts, 2037; w. vbs. of hindering, etc., 2038, 2744; w. vbs. of fearing, 2238; after μέχρι and άχρι, 2383 c n.; μή w., 2711, 2712; after negatived vbs., 2749.

Asking, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628; in fut., 1913; w. obj. clauses, 2210 a. 2218; w. μή, 2720.

Aspirates, 16 a, 26, 441.

Aspiration, 124-127.

Assent, marked by άλλά, 2784 b; by  $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$ , 2806; by  $\gamma \acute{\epsilon}$ , 2821; by  $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$ , 2918; by και μήν, 2921; by τι μήν, 2921; by δ' οδν, 2959. Cp. 2680.

Asseverations, acc. in, 1596 b, 2894; μά in, 1596 b, c, 2894; w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2725;  $\ddot{\eta}$ μήν in, 2865.

Asseverative particles, 2774, 2864, 2894. 2895, 2917, 2920, 2922, 2923.

"Assimilated" forms in vbs., 643-647. Assimilation, of vowels, 45; progressive and regressive, 51; of conss., 75 D, 77, 80 a, b, 81 p, 95, 98, 105 a,

105 D, 429 a N., 517, 544 D; of mood, 2183-2188, 2205, 2442, 2448, 2450.

Assumptions, imper., in, 1839, 2154: otherwise expressed, 2154; καὶ δη καί in. 2847.

Asyndeton, 1033, 2165-2167, 3016.

Attaining, adjs. of, w. gen., 1416.

Attic, dial., Intr. C-E; decl., 163 a. 237-239, 289; fut., 310, 538, 539, 645, 659; redup., 446, 477 a n., 566.

Attraction, causing apparent violation of concords, 926; in comp. clauses w. ωs and ωσπερ, 2465; of rel. pron., 2522-2528; of rel. clause, 2532; inverse, 2533, 2534.

Attributive, advs. as, 1019, 1096, 1153 e and N., 1156; defined, 1154; position, 1154-1167; adjs. and prons. as, 1172-1182, 1184.

Adjective, defined, 912, 1018; equiv., 1019; agr., 1020, 1030-1039; used substantively, 1021-1029.

Apposition, 986.

Participle, 1019, 2046-2053. See Participles.

Position, 1154, 1155.

Augment, accent cannot precede, 426; syllabic and temp., 428-437, 444, 448 p; double, 434, 451; omitted, 438, 495; position, in compound vbs., 449-454,

Avoiding, vbs. of, use of negs. w., 2739-2744.

Barytone, 157, 158; stems, of third decl., acc. sing., 247; voc. sing., 249 b. Befit, vbs. meaning, w. dat., 1466.

Beginning, vbs. of, w. gen., 1348; w. part., 2098.

Believing, vbs. of, w. inf., 2592 b. Belonging, gen. of, 1297-1305; adjs. of, w. gen., 1414.

Benefiting, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461; w. acc., 1462.

Beseeching, vbs. of, w. gen., 1347.

Blaming, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405; w. dat., 1461.

Brachylogy, 1501, 3017-3018. Breathings, 9-14, 18, 125 e, 153. Buying, vbs. of, w. gen., 1372; w. seller in dat., 1484.

Calling, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1613, 1615.

Capacity, adjs. of, w. gen., 1418; adjs., advs., and substs. of, w. inf., 2001–2007.

Cardinal numerals, 347; decl., 284, 349, 350; article w., 1125.

Caring for, vbs. of, w. gen., 1356–1360; w.  $\pi\epsilon\rho l$  and gen., 1358; adjs. of, w. gen., 1420.

Case, agr. in, of words in appos., 925, 976-995; of adjs., 925, 1020; of pred. substs., 973.

Case endings, 210, 212, 229.

Cases, meanings and forms, 201-203; of third decl., formation, 241-251; composite, 1279; uses, 1279-1635; see Nominative, etc.

Catachresis, 3019.

Causal clauses, assimilation of mood in, 2185 d; after vbs. of fearing, 2236; treatment, 2240–2248; particles introducing, 2240, 2244–2248, 2770, 2810; denoting fact, 2241; denoting alleged or reported reason, 2242; w. unreal indic. or potent. opt. w. &v, 2243; rel. cl. as, 2245, 2555. See Cause.

Causative, vbs., 866. 3; act., 1711; mid., 1725.

Cause, gen. of, 1373 a, 1405–1409, 2684; adjs. of, w. gen., 1435; dat. of, 1517–1520, 1757; expressed by prep., 1681. 1, 2, 1684. 1 c (3), 1685. 2 b, f, 1687. 1 c, 1688. 1 c, 1691. 1, 1692. 3 c, 1693. 2 b, 1698. 1 b, 2 b; by  $\tau \hat{\varphi}$  or  $\delta i \hat{\alpha} + \delta$  w. inf., 2033, 2034 b, 2245; by part., 2060, 2064, 2070, 2084–2086, 2100.

Caution, vbs. of, w. obj. clauses, 2220– 2232.

Ceasing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1392; w. part., 2098.

Change, of vowels, 27-45; of conss., 77-133; of accent, in decl., inflec...

and compos., 176–178; euphonic, in perf. and plup. mid., 409; in vb.-stem, 474–495; of root-vowel, 831; in stems, when suff. is added, 834.

Chiasmus, 2915 a, 3020.

Choosing, vbs. of, w. pred. gen., 1305; w. two accs., 1613; w. inf. of purpose, 2009.

Chorographic genitive, 1311.

Circumflex accent, 149-174. See Accent. Circumstantial participle, 2046-2048, 2054-2087. See Participles.

Cities, names of, gender, 199 b, 200 a; sometimes pl., 1005; use of article with, 1139, 1142 c.

Class, of present stems, first or simple, 498-504, 723-727; second or T, 505, 506; third or iota, 507-522; fourth or N, 523-525, 728-743; fifth or inceptive, 526-528; sixth or mixed, 529-531.

Clauses, principal and subordinate, 2178–2181. See Subordinate clauses, Causal clauses, Result clauses, etc.

Cleansing, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1631. Climax, καὶ δή w., 2847; 3021.

Close vowels, 4 a, 7. See Vowels.

Clothing, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628.

Cognate, accusative, the appos. acc. often a, 991 b κ.; w. vbs. of judicial action, 1377, 1378, 1576; of same and kindred origin, 1563–1568; with adjs., 1565; may become subj. of pass., 1566, 1568, 1574, 1744, 1749; w. κεῖσθαι, στῆναι, καθίζειν, etc., 1569; w. no attribute, 1570–1571; omitted, leaving adj. attribute, 1572; adj., pron., or pron. adj. treated as neut. subst., 1573–1574; )( dat., 1577; combined with external obj., 1620, 1629.

Subject, 1566, 1568, 1574, 1744, 1749, 1750.

Words, Intr. B.

Collective words, \$\eta\$ \$lm\pi os, \$\eta\$ \$d\sin \pi s. \$351; numbers, \$354 e; substs., sing., w. pl. vb., 950; substs. neut. pl., w. sing. vb., 958; substs. and adjs., 996,

997, 1024; neut. part., 996 a; part. referring to, may be pl., 1044.

Comitative dative, 1521-1529.

Command, expressed by δπως μή with aor. subjv., 1803; by opt., 1820, 1830; by imper., 1835–1839; by fut., 1917; by τι οῦν οὐ, and τι οὐ w. aor., 1936; by fut. perf., 1957; inf. in, 2013; summary of forms, 2155; introd. by ἐπεί, 2244; ἀλλά in, 2784 c.

Commanding, vbs. of, w. gen., 1370; w. dat., 1464; w. acc., 1465; w. dat. or acc. and inf., 1465, 1996 n.; in aor. to denote a resolution already formed, 1938; w. obj. clauses, 2210 a, 2218; w.  $\mu\eta$ , 2720.

Common, dial., see Koine; quantity, 145; gender, 198.

Comparative, compounds, 897 (1) b. Conjunctions, 2770.

Degree, decl., 291, 293, 313; forms, 313-324, 345, 1068; expresses contrast or compar., 1066; as intensive, 1067; w. gen. or 4, 1069-1070; w. ώs, 1071, 2991; w. μάλλον ή, 1072; w. prep. phrase, 1073; omission of # after πλέον (πλείν), ἔλāττον (μείον), 1074;  $\check{\eta}$  retained after  $\pi \lambda \acute{\epsilon} o \nu (\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu)$ , 1074; adj. forms in place of adv.  $\pi \lambda \epsilon_{0\nu}$ , etc., 1074 a; w.  $\eta$  and gen., 1075; w. η κατά, η ώστε (rarely η ωs), 1079, 2264; foll. positive, 1081; standing alone, 1082; denoting excess, 1082 c; to soften an expression, 1082 d; for Engl. positive, 1083; strengthened by  $\xi \tau \iota$ ,  $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ , etc., μαλλον, ὅσω, ὅσον, 1084, 1586; dat. w., 1513; acc. w., 1514, 1586. Comparison.

Compare, vbs. meaning, w. dat., 1466. Comparison, of adjs., 313-324, 1063-1093; of part., 323; of advs., 345, 1063-1093; compendious, 1076; w. noun representing clause, 1077; reflex., 1078, 1093; proportional, 1079; double, 1080, 322; gen. of, 1401-1404; adjs. of, w. gen., 1431-1434;

clauses of, 2462-2487. See Comparative degree, etc.

Compendious comparison, 1076.

Compensatory lengthening, 37, 38, 90 p, 105, 242, 519, 544.

Complement, of vb., necessary and voluntary, 1451-1456; dir., 1460-1468; ind., 1469-1473, 1454.

Completed action w. permanent result, 1852.

Complex sentences, 903; development, 2159-2161; syntax, 2173-2588; in ind. disc., 2597-2613, 2617-2621.

Complexive agrist, 1872.4, 1927, 2112 and Composite cases, 1279.

Compound, adjectives, in -\omegas, accent, 163 a; decl., 288-289; in -\taus, accent, 425 c n.; possess., 898; w. alpha priv., gen. w., 1428.

Nouns (substs. and adjs.), accent, 178; formation, 886-890; mean., 895-899; determinative, 896, 897; descriptive determinative, 897 (1); copulative, 897 a; comp., 897 b; dependent determinative, 897 (2); prepositional-phrase, 899.

Prepositions, 1649.

Sentences, 903; relation to simple and complex, 2159–2161; syntax, 2162–2172; in ind. disc., 2597–2601.

Substantives, accent, 236 c, 261; proper names, heteroclites, 282 a n.

Verbs, accent, 178, 423, 424 b, 426; place of aug. and redup., 449-454; formation, 891, 892; gen. w., 1382-1387, 1403; acc. w., 1384, 1403; dat. w., 1544-1550.

Compounds, defined, 827; rough breathing in, 12; formation, 869-899; accent, 869 b, 893, 894; flectional, 879. See Compound adjectives, etc. Conative, pres., 1878; imperf., 1895.

Concealing, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628. Concentrative acrist, 1927 a.

Concession, expressed by opt. in Hom., 1819; by imper., 1839, 2154; by fut., 1917; by part., 2060, 2066, 2070, 2082, 2083, 2382, 2733; by clause antec. to  $d\lambda\lambda d$ , 2781 a, 2782; by  $\mu \epsilon \nu$ , Conjunctions, accent, when elided, 174; 2781 a, 2904; by γέ, 2821. See Concessive clauses.

Concessive, clauses, 2369-2382, 2705 c; conjuncs., 2770, 2903-2916.

Concords, the, 925; apparent violation of, 926; of subj. and pred., 949; of pred. substs., 973-975; appos. w. noun or pron., 976-980; of adis... 1020; of rel. pron., 2501-2502. See Agreement.

Condemning, vbs. of, constr., 1375-1379. 1385.

Condition, denoted by part., 2060, 2067, 2070, 2087 a; by ωστε w. inf., 2268: defined, 2280. See Conditional.

Conditional, clauses,  $\mu\eta$  w., 2286, 2705 c. See Conditional sentences.

Conjunctions, 2283, 2770.

Relative clauses, assimilation of mood in, 2185-2188; the neg. w., 2705 d.

Relative sentences, correspondence between condit., temp., and local sentences and, 2560, 2561; simple pres. and past, 2562-2563: pres. and past unreal, 2564; vivid fut., 2565; less vivid fut., 2566; general, 2567-2570; less usual forms, 2571-2573.

Sentences, treatment, 2280-2368; classification, 2289-2296; table of. 2297; simple pres. or past, 2298-2301; pres. and past unreal, 2302-2320; fut., 2321-2334; general, 2335-2342, see General conditions; different forms of, in same sentence, 2343; modifications of prot., 2344-2349; of apod., 2350-2352; prot. and apod. combined, 2353-2354; less usual combinations of complete prot. and apod., 2355-2365; two or more prots. or apods. in one sentence, 2366-2368. Confirmatory particles, 2774, 2787,

Conjugation, defined, 190; of ω-vbs... 381-411, 602-624; of  $\mu\iota$ -vbs., 412-422, 717-743. See Inflection.

2800, 2803, 2953, 2955.

proclit., 179; coordinating, 2163; subordinating, 2770.

Connection, gen. of, 1380, 1381; adjs. of, w. gen., 1417.

Consecutive, conjuncs., 2770; δή, 2846; clause, see Result clauses.

Considering, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1613; w. obj. clause, 2217.

Consonant, decl., of substs., 204, 240-267; of adjs., 291-293; cons. and vowel decl. of adjs., 294-299.

Verbs, defined, 376; conjug., 400-411, 614-716.

Consonants, divisions, 15-22; pronunc., 26; assimilation, 75 p, 77, 80 b, 81 p, 95, 98, 105 a; changes, 77-133; doubling of, 78-81; w. cons., 82-108; w. vowels, 109-117; final, 133; movable, 134-137, 399.

Constituent parts, dat. of, 1508 c. Constructio praegnans, 1659, 3044.

Construction according to sense (agreement), 926 a, 1013, 1014.

Contact of vowels, how avoided, 46. Content, acc. of, 1554 a N. 1.

Contents, gen. of, 1323, 1324.

Continents, names of, use of article w., 1139.

Continuance, imperf. of, 1890-1892. Continued action, 1852.

Contracted, adjectives, decl., 290.

Participles, decl., 310.

Substantives, 227, 235, 263, 266, 267, 268, 270, 273, 276.

Verbs, defined, 376; conjug., 385-399; accent, 424 c; thematic vowel, 460 a, 461 b; pres. stem, 522, 611-613; inflec., in pres., 635-657.

Contraction, 46, 48-59, 171, 172.

Contrast, pers. pron. expressed in, 1190;  $a\dot{v}\tau$  os in, 1194; preps. in, 1668; indicated by άλλά, 2775; by ἀτάρ, 2801; by  $\delta \epsilon$ , 2834;  $\kappa a i$  of balanced, 2885, 2886, 2888; expressed in  $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ clauses, 2903-2916; marked by  $\mu \epsilon \nu$ - $\tau o \iota$ , 2919; by  $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ , 2924; in clauses w.  $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \dots \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ , 2981. See Emphatic.

Coördination, and subordination, 2159–2161; use, 2165–2167; in place of subordination, 2168–2172.

Copula, 917, 918; omitted, 944, 945.
Copulative, compounds, 897 (1) a; conjuncs., 2163 A, 2834, 2836, 2868.
Coronis, 62.

Correlative, advs., 346; prons., 340. Cost, gen. w. vbs. signifying, 1372. Countries, names of, gender, 199 b.

Crasis, use, 46, 62-69; effect, on accent, 173; ωὐτός (Hom.), etc., 327 D; αὐτός, etc., 328 N.; ἄτερος, θάτερον, etc., 337.

Crime, gen. of, 1375-1379, 1385; expressed by acc., 1385.

Customary action, expressed by imperf. or aor. indic. w. &v, 1790; by iterative forms w. &v in Hdt., 1792; by pres., 1876; imperf. of, 1893.

Danger, suggested by μή w. subjv., 1802. Dative case, origin of name, 1450 a; w. τιμωρέω, λαγχάνω, 1376; w. advs., 1440; as necessary and voluntary complement, 1450-1456; general statement of uses, 1457-1459; as dir. complement of vb., 1460-1468, 1471-1473; act., made nom. in pass.. 1468, 1556 a, 1745, 1748; as ind. complement of vb., 1469-1473; of interest, 1474-1494; of possessor. 1476-1480; of advantage or disadvantage, 1481-1485; of feeling (ethical dat.), 1486; έμοι βουλομένω έστι, etc., 1487; of agent, 1488-1494, 1758, 2149. 2, 2151, 2152; of relation, 1495-1498; of reference, 1496; of the observer, 1497; of part. expressing time, 1498; w. adjs. and advs., 1499-1502, 1529, 1417, 1421, 1422, 1425, 1430; w. substs., 1499-1502, 1510, 1529; instrumental, 1503-1529; of instrument or means, 1507, 1508, 1511, 1757; of price, 1508 a, 1372 a; w. vbs. of filling, 1508 b, 1369 a; of material and constituent parts, 1508 c; of standard of judgment, 1512; of manner, 1513-1516, 1527; of measure of difference, 1513-1515: of respect, 1516; of cause, 1517-1520, 1757; comitative, 1521-1529; of association, 1523; of accompaniment, 1524, 1757; w. αὐτός, 1525; of military accompaniment, 1526; of accompanying circumstance, 1527; of space, 1528; loc., 1530-1543; of place, 1531-1538; of time, 1125 N, 1447, 1528, 1539-1543; w. vbs. of motion, 1532, 1475, 1485; w. compound vbs., 1544-1550; general force, after preps., 1658; w. prep., after vb. of motion, 1659 a: use, w. preps. (see under the various preps.): of artic. inf., 2033.

Dawes' canon, 2219.

Declarative conjunctions, 2770.

Defective, subst., 283; compar., of adjs., 320.

Definite and indef. antec., 2505-2508; article, see Article.

Degree, denoted by gen., 1325; by acc. and prep., 1587; by adverbial acc., 1609; degree of difference, see Measure of difference.

Deictic, article, 1120 c; suffix, -ī, 333 g. Deities, names of, use of article w., 1137, 1142 b.

Deliberation, expressed by  $\xi \delta \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$  ( $\xi \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ),  $\xi \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \delta \nu$ ,  $-\tau \ell \delta \nu$   $\hat{\eta} \nu$ , 1808, 2639. See Deliberative.

Deliberative, fut., 1916, 2639; fut., in rel. clauses, 2549-2550; questions, see Questions; subjv., 1805-1808, 2639; subjv., in rel. clauses, 2546-2549.

Demanding, vbs. of, w.two accs., 1628. Deme-names, loc.-dat. of, 1534.

Demonstrative, adverbs, 346, 2988.

Pronouns, decl., 333; as rel., 338 p; rel. used as, 338 b, 1110; list, 340;  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\tau \delta$ , as demons., 1099–1117, 332 a; attrib. position of article w. possess. gen. of, 1163, 1184; position of article w., 1176–1181; used as subst. or adj., 1238; agr., 1239; use of the various, 1240–1261.

Denial, emphatic, expressed by οὐ μή with subjv., 1804, 2754, 2755 a; by οὐ μή w. fut. indic., 1919, 2754, 2755 b.

Denominative, words, defined, 828 b, 829; vbs., 372, 866-868, 892; substs., suffixes forming, 840, 843-852, 859-865; adjs., suffixes forming, 857-865.

Dental verbs, 376 n., 405, 406, 409 b, 508, 537, 545, 560, 587.

Dentals, 16; before dentals, 83; before  $\mu$ , 86, 87; before  $\sigma$ , 98, 241 b; corresponding w. labials, 131; suffixes w., 863.

Denying, vbs. of, use of neg. w., 2739-2744.

Dependent, clauses, see Subordinate clauses; determinative compounds, 897 (2); statements, 2575–2588.

Deponents, defined, 356 c; prin. parts, 370; of  $-\mu$  vbs., 725; w. act. forms, 801; act. vbs. w. dep. fut., 801, 805; mid., 356 c, 810, 813 c, 1729, 1730; pass., 356 c, 811, 812; w. pass. mean., 813, 1742 a.

Depriving, vbs. of, w. gen., 1394; w. dat., 1483; w. two accs., 1628.

Description, imperf. of, 1898, 1899.

Descriptive, appos., 987; determinative compounds, 897 (1).

Desideratives, formation, 868.

Desiring, vbs. of, w. gen., 1349; w. inf., 1869, 1991–1999, 2719; w. obj. clause, 1995, 2210, 2218; other constr. w., 1995; w. μή, 2720; w. oὐ, 2721; adjs. of, w. gen., 1416.

Detecting, vbs. of, w. part., 2113, 2114. Determinative compounds, 895–897.

Development, of vowels, 35 b, 42; of cons., 130.

Diaeresis, 8, 8 D.

Dialects, Intr. C-F.

Diaporesis, 3014.

Differing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1401.

Digamma, Intr. C, N. 4, 3, 37 p, 1, 72 p, 122, 123, 132 p, 146 p, 347 p 3; omitted in inflec. of nouns and adjs., 267, 270, 274, 278, 297; in prons., 325 p 4, 326; as numeral, 347, 348;

lost, in vbs., 397 b, 503, 624 b; ρο, ρā, suff., 859. 7; ρον, suff., 861. 19; ρων, suff., 861. 21; ρεντ, suff., 863 a 24.

Diminutives, in -607, neut., 197 b, 199 d; suffixes forming, 852-856, 859. 5, 10, 861. 19, 862, 863 b 16, 864. 2, 3; mean., 855, 856.

Diphthongs, 5; improper, 5; genuine and spurious, 6, 25, 54, 59; pronunc., 25; contraction, 48, 52-56, 59; synizesis, 60, 61; crasis, 62-69; shortened, 148 p; -αι and -οι, 169, 427; stems in, 275; aug., 435-437.

Direct, complement, 1460-1468.

Discourse, defined, 2590; δτι w., 2590 a.

Exclamatory sentences, 2681–2684.

Interrogative. See Interrogative. Object, in acc., 919, 1553, 1706; internal and external, 1554; of act., becomes subj. of pass., 1556, 1743; of mid. voice, 1716–1722; of act. retained in pass., 1748.

Reflexive middle, 1717, 1718, 1730. Reflexives, 1218-1224, 1228 n. 3.

Disadvantage, dat. of, 1481–1485.

Disappearance, of vowels, 43, 44; of spirants, 118–123; of cons., 129 b. Disjunctive particles, 2163 c, 2852, 2856.

Displeasing, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461. Disputing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1409.

Disputing, vos. of, w. gen., 1409. Dissimilation, 129.

Distinction, gen. of, 1401–1404; adjs. of, w. gen., 1430.

Distraction of vowels, 646.

Distributive, appos., 981; sing., 998; pl., 998, 1004; article, 1120 f.

Distributives, place how supplied, 354 a. Divided whole, gen. of, 928 b, 984, 1085 a, 1189, 1161 d, 1171, 1306-1319.

Dividing, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1626.

Division, advs. of, 354 g.

Doric dial., Intr. C, D; contr. vbs. in, 653; fut., 540, 659.

Doubling of consonants, 78-81, 429 a, 442 b, 534 b b, 544 b; in pronunc., 146 b.

Doubtful, assertion, expressed by pressubjv. w. μή, 1801; negation, expressed by pressubjv. w. μή οὐ, 1801. Doubting, vbs. of, w. ώs, ὅτι, and redundant neg., 2743.

Drinking, vbs. of, w. gen., 1355.

Dual, rare, 195, 999; two forms, 202; dial., 214 p, 230 p; third decl., dat., 250; in adjs., 287 b; in article and prons., 332 b, 333 f, 338 a; first pers. supplied by pl., 364 a; subj., w. vb. in pl., 954–957; vb., w. subj. in pl., 962; vb., w. two subjs. in sing., 964; vb., w. pl. part., 1045; part., w. pl. vb., 1045; subj., followed by pl. adj. or part., 1046.

Duty, imperf. of vbs. of, 1905.

Eating, vbs. of, w. gen., 1355. Effecting, vbs. of, w. acc., 1579.

Effort, vbs. of, w. obj. clauses, 2207–2219.

Elision, 46, 47, 70-75; inverse, 76; effect, on accent, 174.

Ellipse, of aug., 438, 495; of redup., 439 p; of  $\nu$  in vbs., 491; of subj., 929-935, 937; of vb., 944-948; of subst., 1027-1029, 1153, 1301, 1302, 1572; of adj. w. μαλλον, 1066 a; of article, 1126-1152, 1207; of pers. pron., 1190; of possess or reflex. pron.,1199, 2 N.;  $\epsilon \nu$ ,  $\epsilon ls$  W. gen., 1302; in phrases w. &s, 1495 a, 1497, 2993; of name of deity, in oaths, 1596 c; of vb., leaving elliptical acc., 1599; of preps., 1667-1673, 2466, 2519; of 1766, 2087 a, 2478–2479; of  $\tilde{a}\nu$ , 1767; of vb. like δός, εύχομαι, leaving inf. w. subj. acc., 2013 c, 2014; of ων, 2116-2119; of part., 2147 k; of princ. clause, 2204, 2213; of vb. of prot., 2345, 2346; of prot., 2349; of vb. of apod., 2351; of apod., 2352; in comp. cls., 2464, 2465, 2478-2487; of demons. antec. to  $\delta\sigma\psi$ , 2470; of antec. of rel., 2494 a, 2509-2516; in δσαι ημέραι, 2497 b; of rel., or de-

mons, standing for rel., 2517, 2518; of vb. of rel. cl., 2520; of main vb. before rel. cl., 2520; case of rel. w. omitted antec., 2529-2532; in objects όστις οὐ, 2534; δηλον ότι, etc., 2585; ΐνα τί, ώς τί, ὅτι τί, 2644 a; interrog. as pred. adj., 2647; in άλλο τι ή and ἄλλο τι, 2652; in μή (οὐχ) ὅτι, ούχ (μή) όπως, μή τί γε, 2763; in δ τι μή, δσον μή, 2765; in μόνον οὐ, δσον οὐ, 2766 ; in οὐ μὴν ἀλλά (γάρ, μέντοι), 2767; οὐδέν ἀλλ' ή, 2778; οὐδὲν ἄλλο ή, 2778 a; with άλλ' οὐδέ, 2786; explains some uses of  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , 2804; marked by  $\gamma \epsilon$ , 2827; of acc. w.  $\mu \acute{a}$ , 1596 c, 2894; of  $\mu \acute{e} \nu$ , 2905, 2906; of δέ, 2911; of οὔτε, 2943; )( brachylogy, 3017 a; defined, 3022. See Aposiopesis, Brachylogy.

Elliptical accusative, 1599.

Emotion, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405, 1518; w. dat., 1517; w. ėni and dat., 1518; w. acc., 1595; w. part., 2100, 2587; w. öni or is, 2100, 2248, 2577, 2587; w. el, 2247; w. acc. and inf., 2587 b: exclam. cl., 2687.

Emotional future conditions, 2328.

Emphatic, enclit., 187 a; prons., 325, 1190, 1192, 1204, 1206, 1209, 1233-1237, 2518; -ī, 333 g; position of voc., 1285; repetition of &, 1285; use of tmesis, 1650; repetition of prep., 1667, 1670; act. voice and reflex. pron. for mid. voice, 1723 a; mid. voice w. reflex. pron., 1724; position of av, 1764; repetition of αν, 1765; οὐ μή, 1919, 2754; subj. of inf., 1974; reflex. pron. w. part., 2089 b; parts. coördinated, 2147 f; verbal adjs., 2150; position of appos. to antec. in rel. cl., 2539; position of  $o\dot{v}$  and  $\mu\eta$ , 2690; repetition of neg., 2762. See Intensive.

Empiric, aor., 1930; perf., 1948. Emptying, vbs. of, w. gen., 1396. Enallage, 3023.

Enclitics, the, 181, 181 p, 325, 325 p, 334, 334 p, 1195; accent, on preced-

ing word, 182–186; successive, 185; at end of compounds, 186; accent, retained, 187;  $-\pi\epsilon\rho$ , 338 c;  $\tau\epsilon$ , 338 d; prons., 340; advs., 346; vb. forms, 424 a, 784.

Endings, and stem, 191; case, of nouns, 210; adjs. of three, 286, 294; adjs. of two, 288, 291, 425 c n.; adjs. of one, 312; of compar., 313–318; of place, 342; of manner, 343; various, 344; of vbs., 366, 462–468; of inf., part., and verbal adj., 469–473. See Inflection.

Enduring, vbs. of, w. part., 2098. Enjoining, vbs. of, in pass., 1748 a. Enjoying, vbs. of, w. gen., 1355. Entreating, vbs. of, w. obj. cl., 2210 a,

2218. Entreaty, expressed by imper., 1835. Entrusting, vbs. of, in pass., 1748 a; w.

inf., 2009. Envying, vbs. of. w. gen., 1405; w. dat.

Envying, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405; w. dat., 1461.

Epanalepsis, 3010.

Epanaphora, 3010.

Epanastrophe, 3011.

Epenthesis, 111.

Epic dialect, Intr. D.

Epistolary tenses, 1904, 1942.

Ethical dative, 1486.

Euphemism, 3024.

Euphony, of vowels, 46-76; of conss., 77-132, 409.

Exchange of quantity, see Transfer. Exchanging, vbs. of, constr., 1372.

Exclamations, obvos in, 1243; voc. in, 1283, 2682, 2684; nom. in, 1288, 2684; gen. in, 1407, 2684; inf. in, 2015, 2036, 2683; artic. inf. in, 2036; form complete or incomplete sent., 2158; dependent, 2575. 4; is in, 2682, 2998.

Exclamatory sentences, 2681–2687.

Execrations, 1814 b.

Exhortation, expressed by subjv., 1797, 1798; by opt., 1820, 1830; by imper., 1835, 1836; by οὐ w. fut., 1918; by ὅπως οτ ὅπως μή w. fut., 1920, 2213;

ουκ αν φθάνοις, 2096 e; summary of forms in, 2155; αλλά in, 2784 c.

Exhorting, vbs. of, use of imperf., 1891; w. obj. cl., 2210 a, 2218; w. μή, 2720.

Expectation, implied w. &ws, 2420.

Expecting, vbs. of, w. fut., aor., or pres. inf., 1868, 1999, 2024; μή, 2725.

Experience, adjs. of, w. gen., 1419.

Explanation, gen. of, 1322.

Explanatory appos., 988–990 ;  $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$ , 2808, 2809, 2811 a.

Extent, acc. of, 1580-1587, 1633; gen. of, see Measure.

External object, 1554 b, 1555; uses, 1590-1599, 1613-1633.

Failing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1392.

Families, names of, article w., 1138.

Fear, expressed by  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  w. subjv., 1802;  $o''\mu'$   $\dot{\omega}$ s in expressions of, 2682 d.

Fearing, vbs. of, w. obj. cl., 2207, 2221-2233; other constr., 2234-2239.

Feeling, dat. of, 1486.

Festivals, names of, article w., 1137; in dat. of time, 1541.

Filling, vbs. of, w. gen. and acc., 1369; w. dat., 1508 b.

Final, clauses, assimilation of mood in, 2185 c, 2186 c; denote purpose, 2193; particles introducing, 2193; origin, 2194; in appos. to τούτου ἔνεκα or διὰ τοῦτο, 2195; moods in, 2196–2203, 2205; ἄν in, 2201–2202; principal cl. omitted, 2204; equiv., 2206; connection, w. obj. cl., 2208; rel. cl., 2554; μή w., 2705 a.

Conjunctions, 2770.

Consonants, 133.

Finding, vbs. of, w. part., 2113, 2114. Finite moods, 357.

Fitness, adjs. of, 858. 6, 9; w. gen., 1418; vbs. of, w. inf., 2000; adjs., advs., and substs. of, w. inf., 2001– 2007.

Forbidding, vbs. of, w. obj. cl., 2210 a, 2218; use of negs. w., 2739-2744.

Foretell by oracle, vbs. signifying, w. pres. or aor. inf., 1870.

Forgetting, vbs. of, gen. w., 1356-1358; acc. w., 1358; w. part., 2106.

Formation, of tense-systems, 474-601, 717-743; of words, 822-899; of substs., 838-856; of adjs., 857, 858.

Fractions, 353.

Frequentatives, formation, 867. Friendliness, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461. Fulness, adjs., formation, 858.3, 16; w.

gen., 1422. Future conditional relatives, 2565–2566. Future conditions, 2321–2334.

Future perfect tense, 359, 368 b; primary, 360, 1858; redup. in, of completed action, 439; force, 1851, 1852, 1858, 1955-1958; perf. for, 1950.

Active, 584, 600, 659 a.

Passive, 359, 580-583; periph., 601; inflec, 659 a.

Future tense, 359; primary, 360, 1858; first and sec., 361; formations by analogy, 516; fut. indic. and aor. subjv. identical, 532, 541 a, 667 p; Att., 538, 539, 645, 310; Dor., 540; w. pres. form, 541; force, 1851–1858.

Active, system, 455, 532-541; inflec., 658-662, 754.

Middle, inflec., 658-662, 754; w. act. mean., 801, 805, 806, 1728 a, 1729 a, b; w. pass. mean., 802, 807-809, 1715, 1737, 1738; pass., 1738.

Passive, first, 589, 663, 664. Passive, second, 597, 663, 664.

Indicative, w.  $d\nu$ , 1793; pres. for, 1879; fut. action, 1910; two, some vbs. w., 1911; shall and will, 1912; where English has pres., 1913; without reference to fut. act, 1913 a; modest form of statement, 1913 a; gnomic, 1914; for pres., 1915; delib, see Deliberative future; jussive, 1917–1921, 2709 a, 2756; aor. for, 1934; fut. perf. for, 1958; periph., 1959; after  $\delta\pi\omega s$  (rarely after  $\omega s$ ,

 $\delta \phi \rho \alpha$ , and  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ), in purpose cl., 2203: in obj. cl., 2211-2220; after  $5\pi\omega_s$  and  $\delta \pi \omega s$  μή w. no princ. cl., 2213, 1920 : after  $\mu \eta$  w. vbs. of fear and caution, 2229; after ὅπως μή W. vbs. of fear and caution, 2231; after  $\epsilon \phi' \hat{\phi}$  and  $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$   $\dot{\psi}\tau\epsilon$ , 2279; in pres. condit. of pres. intention, 2301; in apod. of vivid fut. condit., 2323, 2326; in prot. of vivid fut. form, 2327 c, 2328; foll. prot. and apod. combined, 2353; in apod. of condit. of type el w. opt., 2359, 2361; in temp. cl. referring to def. fut. time, 2398; in princ. cl. to fut. temp. cl. w. subjv., 2401; in princ. cl. to fut. temp. cl. w. opt., 2407; delib., in rel. cl., 2549-2551; in final rel. cl., 2554; in rel. cl. to express intended result, 2558; in rel. cl. denoting what is to be expected of the subj., 2559; of pres. intention or necessity, in condit. rel. cl., 2563; in fut. condit. rel. cl., 2565 a: in main cl. of condit. rel. sent., 2565 and b; w. οὐ μή, expressing emphatic denial, 2755 b. See Indicative.

Optative, where used, 1862 b, 1863 c; w. vbs. of effort after  $\delta\pi\omega$ s, 2211-2212; w. vbs. of commanding, etc., after  $\delta\pi\omega$ s, 2218; in ind. disc., 2287, 2331; in  $\delta\omega$ s cl., 2427 n.; w. vbs. of fearing after  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2229 a; w. vbs. of fearing after  $\delta\pi\omega$ s,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2231; in rel. cl. of purpose, 2554 a. See Optative.

Infinitive, w. &ν, 1847; when stress is laid on idea of futurity, 1865 d; chiefly found in ind. disc., 1866 c, 1867 c; w. vbs. of hoping, expecting, etc., 1868, 1999, 2024; w. vbs. of will and desire, 1869, 1998; w. μέλλω, 1959; after ἄστε, 2261, 2269. See Infinitive.

Participle, of liquid vbs., decl., 310; w.  $\&\nu$ , 1847; chiefly voluntative, 1872; in ind. disc., 1874; denoting purpose, 2009, 2060, 2065; general force, 2044; &s w., 2086 c. See Participles.

Gender, 196-200; of first decl., 211; of sec. decl., 228, 232; of third decl., 255; different in sing. and pl., 281; agr. of adj. in, 925, 1020; agr. of pred. subst. in, 974; peculiarities in use, 1013-1015; agr. of pred. adj. and part. in, 1044-1059; agr. of rel. pron. in, 2501, 2502. See Agreement.

General, conditions, 2293-2297, 2303, 2321, 2335-2342, 2359, 2360; condit. rel. cl., 2567-2570.

Truth, pres. of, 1877; expressed by fut., 1914; by aor., 1931; by perf., 1948.

Generic article, the, 1118, 1122-1124; omitted, 1126.

Genitive case, general statement of uses, 1289; in appos. w. possess. pron. or adj., 977, 978; after comp., 1069-1078; attrib., position, 1161; of prons., w. article, position, 1163, 1171, 1184, 1185; w. substs. (adnominal gen.), 1290-1296; of possession or belonging, 1297-1305, 1390, 1411 b; gen. of possession and dat. of possessor, 1480; of origin, 1298; of divided whole (partitive), 1306-1319, 928 b, 984, 1085 a, 1139, 1161 d, 1171; chorographic, 1311; as subj. of vb., 1318; of quality, 1320, 1321; of explanation (appos. gen.), 1322; of material or contents, 1323, 1324; of measure, 1325-1327; subjective and objective, 1328-1335; of value, 1336, 1337; two, w. one noun, 1338; w. vbs., general statement of use, 1339, 1340; of act. construction made nom. of pass., 1340, 1556 a, 1745, 1748; partitive, w. vbs., 1341-1371; w. vbs. of sharing, 1343; w. vbs. signifying to touch, make trial of, 1345, 1346, to beseech, 1347, to begin, 1348, to aim at, desire, 1349, to reach, obtain, 1350, 1351, to miss, 1352, to approach and meet, 1353, to smell, 1354, to enjoy, etc., 1355, to remember, etc., 1356-1360, to hear and perceive, 1361-1368, to fill, be full of, 1369; of actual source, 1364; w. vbs. of ruling, commanding, leading, 1370, 1371; of price and value, 1372-1374, 1379; of crime and accountability, 1375-1379, 1385; of connection, 1380, 1381; w. compound vbs., 1382-1387; of person, dependent on acc., 1388; origin of gen. dependent on vb., 1389; ablatival, 1391-1411, 1348 b, 1351, 1352; of separation, 1392-1400; of distinction and of compar., 1401-1404; of cause, 1405-1409, 1373 a, 2684; of purpose, 1408; w. vbs. of disputing, 1409: of source, 1410, 1411; w. adjs., 1412-1436, 1529; of relation, 1428; w. advs., 1437-1443; of time and place, 1444-1449, 1543; w. prep. to express agent, 1491-1494, 1678, 1755, see Agent; general force after preps., 1658; w. prep. to express instrument, 1756; of artic. inf., 2032; absolute, 2032 f, 2058, 2070-2075. See under separate preps.

Gentiles, suff. forming, 844, 859. 5, 861. 11, 863 a 2, b 12, 864. 1.

Geographical names, article w., 1142 c. Giving, vbs. of, w. inf., 2009.

Gnomic, aor., 1931, 2338, 2567 a; fut., 1914; perf., 1948.

Going, vbs. of, use of imperf. of, 1891.

Gradation, quantitative vowel, 27, 475; qualitative vowel, 35, 36; in third decl. of substs., 253, 262; in vbs., 373, 476–484, 622; stem, in sec. perf., 573; stem, in  $\mu$ -vbs., 738–743; in suff., 833 e. See Change.

Grieving, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405; w. part., 2100, 2587; w. ὅτι or ὡς, 2100, 2577, 2587.

Haplology, 129 c.

Hating, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405.

Hearing, vbs. of, cases w., 1361-1368; w. pres. of past and pres. combined, 1885 a; w. part., 2110-2112, 2592 c;

w. ὅτι or ώs, 2110, 2592 c; w. inf., 2592 с.

Hearing from, vbs. of, constr. w., 1364, 1411; hearing of, 1365.

Helping, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461.

Hendiadys, 3025.

Heteroclites, 282.

Hiatus, where allowed, 46, 47, 47 p.

Hindering, vbs. of, constr. of inf. w., 2038; use of negs. w., 2739-2744, 2759 b n. and d.

Historical tenses, 360, 1883.

Homoioteleuton, 3026.

Hope, implied in ĕωs cl., 2420.

Hoping, vbs. of, w. fut., aor., or pres. inf., 1868, 1999, 2024; w. μή, 2725, 2726 a.

Hortatory subjunctive, 1797-1799. Hostility, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461.

Hypallage, 3027.

Hyperbaton, 3028.

Hyphaeresis, 44 a.

Hypophora, 2819 a n., 3029.

Hysteron Proteron, 3030.

Impatience, expressed by prohib., 1841 b; οἴμ' ὡs in expressions of, 2682 d. Imperative, 357, 359, 1760; persons, 364; accent, 424 b, 426 b, c; endings, 466; pres., 631, 751; first aor. act. and mid., 669; first and sec. aor. pass., 676, 125 b, c; sec. aor. act. and mid., 684, 759; first and sec. perf. act., 382, 697, 698, 765; perf. mid. and pass., perf. periph., 599 g, 697, 712-714.

In commands and probibs., 1835-1844; hypothetical, 1839; in subord. cl., 1842, 1843; force of tenses, 1864; fut. perf. w. force of, 1957; inf. used for, 2013; after  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , 2275; in apod., 2287; in apod. of simple pres. or past condits., 2300 f, 2357, of more vivid fut. condits., 2326 e, 2357, of emotional fut. condits., 2328, 2357, of condits. of type  $\epsilon l$  w. opt., 2359, 2364; in rel. cl., 2545 f, 2553; in ind. disc., 2612;  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  w., 2709;  $\delta\dot{\eta}$  w., | Inceptive class of pres. stems, 526-528.

2843 a; καί W., 2873; νύν W., 2926; οὐκοῦν w., 2952; δ' οὖν w., 2959; τοίνυν W., 2987.

Imperative optative, 1820.

Imperfect tense, 359; second., 1858; iterative, 495, 1790, 1894, 2341; inflec., 627, 634, 635, 647-657, 748.

In unfulfilled obligation, 1774-1779, 1905, 2313-2317; in unattainable wish, 1780; w. &v in past potent., 1784; to denote unreality, 1786-1788: w. av to express customary or repeated action, 1790, 1894, 2341; force, 1851-1852, 1856, 1889-1909; of continuance, 1890-1892; of customary action, 1893; conative, 1895; of resistance or refusal, 1896, 1897; of description, 1898, 1899; inchoative, 1900; for pres., 1901; of truth just recognized, 1902; of topics previously discussed, 1903; epistolary, 1904, 1942; ἔδει, ἐχρῆν, 1905; for plup., 1906; in subord. cls., 1907; )( aor., 553, 1908, 1909; in unreal condits., 2304, 2307-2311; in unreal condits. without av, 2313-2320, 1905; in apod. to past general condits., 2340-2342; in prot. of indic. form of past general condits., 2342; in princ. cl. of temp. sentence of indef. frequency, 2414; in  $\xi \omega s$  cl., 2422, 2425; after  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2434, 2441 a; after ωσπερ εί, ωσπερ αν εί, 2478; in main cl. of past general condit. sent., 2568; in past general condit. rel. cl., 2569 a; generally retained in ind. disc., 2599, 2603, 2620, 2623 b.

Impersonal verbs, their subj., 905, 932-935; cases with, 1467; pass., rare, 1746; forms, from intr., 1751; acc. absolute w., 2059, 2076-2078. See Quasi-impersonal.

Impersonal and personal constr., w. inf., 1982, 1983; of verbal adjs., 2149-2152.

Imprecations, ἀλλά in, 2784 d. Oaths.

Inchoative, pres. stems, 526-528; imperf., 1900.

Incorporation, 2532, 2536-2538.

Indeclinable, nouns, 199 d, 284;  $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a$ , rarely, 336; numerals, 347 D 2, 349 g, 349 D, 350;  $\chi \rho \eta$ , 793.

Indefinite, adverbs, 181 b, 346.

And definite antecs., 2505-2508. Article, place sometimes supplied by  $\tau ls$ , 1118 a.

Pronouns, 181 b, 334-340; uses, 1266-1270; in ind. questions, 2663-2674, 339 f.

Independent, nom., 940; subjv., 1795–1811.

Indicative mood, 357, 359, 1760; endings, 366 b; pres. and imperf., 627, 628, 635, 747, 748; fut. act. and mid. and fut. perf., 658, 659, 754; fut. pass., 663, 664, 754; first aor. act. and mid., 665, 666, 755; first and sec. aor. pass., 672, 673; sec. aor. act., 682, 756; first and sec. perf. act. and plup., 689, 690, 702–705, 762; perf. and plup. mid. and pass., 706, 707, 767.

'In simple sent., without av, 1770-1783; of unfulfilled obligation expressed by, 1774-1779; unattainable wish expressed by, 1780-1782; in other than simple sent., 1783; in simple sent., w. av, 1784-1794, 2349; unreal, 1786-1789; iterative, 1790-1792; tenses, their use, 1875-1965, see Present, etc.; assimilation to, 2185, 2205; in purpose cl. w.  $\delta \pi \omega s$ , etc., 2203; in obj. cls. after vbs. of effort, 2211-2213, 2218, 2219; after vbs. of fearing and caution, 2220, 2229, 2231, 2233; in causal cls., 2241-2243; in result cls., w.  $\&\sigma\tau\epsilon$  (&s), 2251-2259, 2273-2278; after  $\epsilon \phi' \ \omega$ ,  $\epsilon \phi' \hat{\psi} \tau \epsilon$ , 2279; in simple pres. or past condits., 2298-2301; in pres. or past unreal condits., 2302-2320; in fut. condits., 2323-2328; in general condits., 2336-2342; as apod. to prot. and apod. combined, 2353; in apod.

of condits, of type  $\epsilon i$  w. opt., 2359-2362; in temp. cls., 2394-2398, 2406, 2413, 2417, 2422, 2425; w.  $\pi \rho i \nu, 2430$ – 2442 ; W. πρότερον ή, 2458 ; W. πρίν ή, 2460; ordinary use, in rel. cls., 2545; in ordinary rel. cls., 2553; in consec. rel. cls., 2556, 2557; in rel. cls. to express intended result, 2558; in rel. cls. denoting what is to be expected of the subj., 2559; in simple pres. or past condit. rel. cls., 2562, 2563; in general condit. rel. cls., 2569-2572; in ind. disc., 2599-2615. 2617-2621, 2623, 2624, 2629 a; in dir. questions, 2662 a; in ind. questions, 2677-2679; in exclam. sent., 2686; où w., 2703-2705;  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  and  $\mu \dot{\eta}$ où w., 2752.

Indignation, inf. in exclams. of, 2015; questions expressing, introduced by εἶτα (κἆτα), ἔπειτα (κἄπειτα), 2653; marked by γάρ in questions, 2805 a; by δαί, 2848; by καί before interrog. word, 2872.

Indirect, complement (object), 920, 1454, 1469-1473.

Discourse, tenses of opt. in, 1862, 1863; inf. in, 1866, 1867, 2016-2024, 2576, 2579, 2580, 2616, 2617; fut. inf. in, after vbs. of hoping, expecting, etc., 1868, 1999, 2024; fut. inf. in, after vbs. of willing and desiring, 1869; part. in, 1874, 2092, 2093, 2106-2145, 2576, 2616, 2617; constr. w. vbs. of saying and thinking, 2016-2022, 2577, 2579, 2580, 2589, 2592; w. vbs. of perceiving, 2018, 2110-2112, 2577, 2592; neg. of, 2020, 2608, 2710; inf. w.  $\alpha\nu$  in, 2023; constr w. vbs. of knowing and showing, 2106-2109, 2577, 2592; w. vbs. of finding, 2113, 2114; omission of  $\ddot{\omega}_{\nu}$ in, 2119; &s w. part. in, 2120-2122; vbs. which take either part, or inf., 2123-2145, 2582; w.  $\dot{\omega}s$  (rarely  $\delta\pi\omega s$ ) after vbs. of fearing, 2235;  $\&\sigma\tau\epsilon$  cl. in, 2269, 2270; cls. w.  $\pi \rho \ell \nu$  in, 2446, 2448, 2449; introduced by 571,

ώs, etc., 2576-2588, 2017, 2018, 2110, 2123, 2614, 2615, 2617; defined, 2591; implied, 2593, 2622; speaker's own words or thoughts may be stated in, 2594: cls. in. are subst. cls., 2595: general principles, 2597-2613; simple sent. in, 2597-2600, 2614-2616; complex sent, in, 2601-2605, 2617-2621; imper. in, 2612, 2633; past tenses in, 2623: inserted statement of fact, 2624; opt. w. or without άν regularly retained after ὅτι (ώs), 2625; opt. w. av representing subjv. w. av. 2626; opt. after primary tense. 2627; passing into inf. from ὅτι (ώs) constr., 2628; passing from inf., 2628 a; passing into part., 2628 b; indep. opt. in, 2629; indep. indic. in, 2629 a; inf. following sent. involving idea of ind. disc., 2630; inf. in temp, or rel. cl., 2631; mood of dir. form used in same sentence w. mood of ind., 2632; idea of imper., etc., set forth in inf., 2633, 2634.

Exclamatory sentences, 2685-2687.

Interrogatives, 339 f, 340, 346, 1263, 2663-2674.

Reflexive mid., 1719-1722, 1730. Reflexives, 1225-1229, 1194, 1195. Inference, suggested by potent. opt., 2300 e; marked by  $\alpha \rho \alpha$ , 2790; by

Inferential particles, 2163 D, 2774, 2787, 2926, 2952, 2953, 2955.

 $\delta \dot{\eta}$ , 2846; by  $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ , 2851.

Inferior to, vbs. signifying, w. gen., 1402.

Infinitive, 357, 359; verbal noun, 358, 1760; accent, 425 a, 426 d; endings, 469; pres., 632, 632 p, 752; fut. act. and mid., 661; fut. pass., 663, 664; first aor. act. and mid., 670; first and sec. aor. pass., 677; sec. aor. act., 685, 760; first and sec. perf. act., 699, 702, 766; periph. perf., 599 h; perf. mid. and pass., 715.

History, 358. 1 n. 469 n. 2, 670,

omission of subj., 937; pred. adj. belonging to omitted subj., 1060-1062: έμέ, σέ, not έμαυτόν, σεαυτόν, as subi.. 1223: limiting mean, of adj., is act. where Eng. uses pass., 1712; w. ap. 1845-1849, 2023; not in ind. disc.. force of tenses, 1865; in ind. disc... force of tenses, 1866, 1867, 2019, 2595; w. vbs. of hoping, expecting, etc., 1868, 1999, 2024; in part vb., in part subst., 1966-1970; mean, of the word, 1966 b; uses, 1970; neg. of, 1971; subj. and pred. noun w., 1972-1981; origin of constr. of acc. w. inf., 1981; pers. and impers. constr. w., 1982, 1983, 2017 b; without article, 1984-2024; as subj., 1984, 1985; as pred., 1986; as appos., 1987; as obj., 1988-2024; after vbs. of will or desire, 1991-1999, 1869; after other vbs., 2000; after adjs., advs., and substs., 2001-2007, 2497; w. or without ωστε or ωs, after compar. and #, 2007; of purpose and result, 2008-2011; absolute, 2012: in commands, 2013, 2326 e: in wishes, 2014; in exclams., 2015, 2036, 2683; in ind. disc., 2016-2023, 2579, 2580, 2589, 2592 a; w. vbs. of knowing, perceiving, etc., 2018 a, 2592 c; see Articular infinitive; vbs. which take either part, or inf., 2123-2145, 2582; w. vbs. of fearing, 2238; in result cls., 2251–2272; w.  $\epsilon \phi' \hat{\psi}$ and  $\epsilon \phi' \hat{\omega}_{\tau \epsilon}$ , 2279; w. or without  $a_{\nu}$ , for apod., 2350; w. πρίν, 2430-2440, 2453-2457; w. πρότερον ή, etc., 2458-2461; w. οὐδὲν οῖον, 2516; ordinary use, in rel. cls., 2545; in dep. statements, 2576, 1; acc. and, after vbs. of emotion, 2587 b; in ind. disc., 2600, 2604, 2611, 2616, 2617, 2628, 2630, 2631, 2633; of and μή w., 2711-2727, 1971; μή and μη ού w., 2739-2749: summary of constr. after vbs. of hindering, 2744; ὥστε and negs. w., 2759; after  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ , 2966 b.

1473 a, 1969; subj., in acc., 936; | Inflection, defined, 190, 365; how shown,

191; of vbs., preliminary remarks, 355-380; of  $\omega$ -vbs., 378, 379, 602-716; of  $\mu$ -vbs., 378, 379, 744-767. See Conjugation.

Ingressive aorist, 1865 b, 1872 c 4, 1924, 1925, 2325.

Injuring, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461; w. acc., 1462.

Insertion, of  $\sigma$ , in perf. and plup. mid. and first aor. pass. system, 489; of  $\sigma$ , between root (or stem) and suff., 836; of  $\tau$ , before certain suffs., 837; of  $\eta$ , in compounds, after prep., 884 c.

Instrument of action, suffs. denoting, 842, 860. 3, 863 a 7; denoted by dat., 1503-1511, 1757; by dat. w. prep., 1511, 1687. 1 c, 1696. 1; by acc., 1600 a; by gen. w. prep., 1684. 1 c (4), 1688. 1 c, 1756.

Instrumental case, functions, how supplied, 203, 280, 1279, 1450; advs. w. force of, 341.

Instrumental dative, 1324, 1369 a, 1372 a, 1503-1529, 1548.

Intensive particles, 2774, 2821, 2843; perf., 1947; see αὐτόs.

Intensives, formation, 867.

Interest, dat. of, 1474-1494.

Internal object, defined, 1554 a; or external, w. the same vb., 1555; uses, 1563-1589, 1605, 1607, 1619-1627, 2034 e, 2077.

Interrogative, advs., 346.

Particles, 2650–2655, 2663–2674, 2866, 2951.

Pronouns, decl., 334; ind., 339 f; list, 340; w. article, 1186, 1187; used subst. or adj., 1262; in dir. and ind. questions, 1263;  $\tau \ell$  for  $\tau \ell \nu a$ , 1264;  $\tau \ell s$ ) (  $\tau \ell$ , 1265.

Sentences, see Questions.

Intransitive and transitive senses, mixture of, 819.

Intransitive verbs, defined, 920; pred. adj. w., 917, 1040 a; and tr. vbs., 1553-1562, 1708, 1709.

Inverse, attraction, 2533, 2534; elision, 76.

191; of vbs., preliminary remarks, Lota class of present stems, 507-522.

Irony, expressed by pres. subjv. w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  and  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  où, 1801; by potent. opt. w.  $\delta\nu$ , 1826; by où w. fut. indic., 1918; by  $\epsilon i$   $\mu\dot{\eta}$   $\delta\rho\alpha$ , 2354 n.; questions expressing, introduced by  $\epsilon i\tau a$  ( $\kappa \dot{q}\tau a$ ),  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$  ( $\kappa \dot{a}\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ ), 2653; by  $\delta\lambda\lambda$  où, 2781 b; by  $\delta\rho\alpha$ , 2794, 2796; indicated by  $\gamma \epsilon$ , 2821; by  $\delta\dot{\eta}$ , 2842; by  $\delta\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\nu$ , 2849; by  $\delta\dot{\eta}\pi\sigma\nu$ , 2850.

Irregular declension, of substs., 281–285; of adjs., 311; compar., of adjs., 319; vbs. of sixth class, 529, 530; μι-vbs., 768–799.

Islands, names of, gender, 199 b; use of article w., 1139, 1142 c.

Isocolon, 3031.

Iterative, vbs., without aug. in Hom. and Hdt., 438 c, d; imperf., 495, 1790, 1894, 2341; aor., 495, 1790, 1933, 2341; indic., 1790–1792; opt., 2340 a.

Judicial action, constr. w. vbs. of, 1375–1379.

Jussive future, 1917–1921.

Kindred meaning, acc. of, 1567.

Knowing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1368; w. part., 2106–2109, 2592 c; w. δτι or ωs, 2577, 2592 c; w. inf., 2592 c.

Koinè, Intr. F;  $-\eta$  in sec. pers. mid., 628. Koppa, the letter, 3; as numeral, 348.

Labial vbs., 376 n., 405, 406, 409 a, 505, 537, 545.

Labials, 16; before dentals, 82; before μ, 85; w. σ, 97, 241 a; corresponding w. dentals, 131; suffs. w., 862.

Lacking, vbs. of, w. gen., 1396.

Leading, vbs. of, w. gen., 1370.

Learning, vbs. of, w. pres., 1885 a; w. part., 2106, 2110-2112; w. ὅτι or ὡς, 2110.

Lengthening, metrical, 28, 28 p, 503 p, 703 p, 768 p; compnds., 29, 887; compens., 87, 38, 90 p, 100, 105, 242, 250 a, 519, 544; in compar., 314; in vb.-stem, 374 n., 475 a, 484, 487, 488,

524, 534, 537 a, 543, 557; in temp. aug., 435; in redupl., 446; in 'assimilated' forms, 643, 644.

Letters, the, 1; neut., 199 d; as numerals, 347, 348.

Like, to be, vbs. meaning, w. dat., 1466. Limit of motion, expressed by dat., 1531, 1532; by acc. and prep., 1533, 1589; by acc., 1588.

Linguals, 16 a. See Dentals.

Liquid verbs, 376, 310, 400-402, 407, 409 d, 517-519, 535, 536, 544, 559, 614-619, 620, 659.

Liquids, 15 a, 18, 19 b; doubled, 81 n 1, 146 n; between two conss., ă developed from, 482; suffs. w., 860.

Litotes, 3032.

Local clauses, 2498; conjunctions, 2770. Locative case, functions, how supplied, 203, 280, 1279; -ησι, -āσι, 215, 342 a; in -οι, 229 b; πανδημεί, 229 b; advs. w. force of, 341; as advs., 1535. Locative dative, 1450, 1530–1543.

Majesty, plural of, 1006.

Making, vbs. of, w. pred. gen., 1305; w. dat., 1324; w. two accs., 1613.

Manner, advs. of, 341, 343, 346; dat. of, 1513-1516, 1527; expressed by acc., 1608; by prep. w. case, 1682. 2 c, 1684. 1 c (5), 1685. 1 d, 1686. 1 d, 1687. 1 c, 1688. 1 c, 1690. 2 c, 1696. 1 d, 1698. 1 b; by part., 2060, 2062.

Material, adjs. denoting, 858. 4, 12, 861. 11; gen. of, 1323, 1324; dat. of, 1508 c. Maxims,  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  w. pres. imper. in, 1841 e;  $\epsilon l$  w. opt., pres. indic. in apod., 2360.

Means, suffs. denoting, 842, 863 a 8; denoted by dat., 1508-1511, 1757; by prep. w. case, 1679, 1681. 2, 1684. 1 c (4), 1685. 1 d, 1687. 1 c, 1688. 1 c, 1696. 1 c; by part., 2060, 2063.

Measure, gen. of, 1325-1327; of difference, dat. of, 1513-1516; expressed by acc., 1609.

Meeting, vbs. of, w. gen., 1353; w. dat., 1463, 1523.

Meiosis, 3032.

Metaplastic forms, 282 b.

Metathesis, 128, 492, 549, 559 d, 586 f. Metonymy, 3033.

Metrical lengthening, 28, 28 D, 503 D, 703 D, 768 D.

Metronymics, 849.

Middle voice, 356 a, 1713, 1714; depon., 356 c, 810, 813 c, 1729, 1730; endings, 366 a, 465, 466, 468, 470; forms, used w. pass. mean., 802, 807–809, 1715, 1735 a, 1737, 1738; fut., w. act. mean., 805, 806, 1728 a, 1729 a, b; passives, 814–818, 1733, 1742 b; uses, 1713–1734; dir. and ind. reflex., 1717–1722, 1730; causative, 1725; recip., 1726; act. used for, 1732; vbs. w. difference of meaning between mid. and act., 1734. See Present, etc.

Missing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1352.

Mixed, class, of vb.-stems, 529-531; aor., 542 p.

Modesty, pl. of, 1008.

Momentary aorist, 1927 a.

Month, day of, how designated, 350 d; 1540.

Months, names of, gender, 199 a; suff. denoting, 861. 20.

Mood suffixes, 457-461.

Moods, four, 355, 357, 1760; meanings, 380; function, 1759; in simple sent., 1769-1849; dep. constr. of, developed, 1769; as affected by tense of princ. vb., 2176; assimilation, 2188, 2205; in rel. cl., 2545; in ind. disc., 2597-2635; in dir. questions, 2662; in ind. questions, 2677-2679; in exclam. sent., 2686. See Indicative, etc.

Motion, dat. w. vbs. of, 1475; limit of, see Limit of Motion.

Motive, expressed by dat., 1517; by acc., 1610; by prep. and case, 1679. Mountains, names of, article w., 1139, 1142 c.

Movable consonants, 73, 134-137, 399. Multiplication, how expressed, 354 d N. Multiplicatives, 290 a, 354 b, 1432. Mutes. see Stops.

Naming, vbs. of, w. pred. gen., 1305; Number, in nouns, 195; in vbs., 355, w. two acc., 1613, 1615.

Nasal vbs., 376, 400-402, 407, 409 d, 517-519.

Nasals, 15 a, 19; doubled, 81 p 1, 146 p; ă developed from, 482; suffs. w., 861. Nations, names of, article w., 1138, 1142 a.

Necessity, expressed by verbals in  $-\tau \epsilon_{0s}$ , 473; imperf. of vbs. expressing, 1774; expressed by past tense of indic. w.  $\ddot{a}_{\nu}$ , 1784; by  $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ ,  $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ , 1824 b; vbs. of, w. infin., 2000.

Negative, sentences. 2688-2768: phrases, 2763-2768.

Negatives, w. μά, 1596 b; w. inf., 1971; in questions, 1809; in ind. disc., 2020, 2608; of artic. inf., 2028; of part., 2045; in ind. questions, 2676; of ind, disc., 2710; redundant or sympathetic, 2739-2749; w. ωστε and inf., 2759; accumulation of, 2760-2762. See οὐ, μή, etc.

Neglecting, vbs. of, w. gen., 1356.

Neuter gender, abstracts of, 840; neut. pl. subj. w. sing. (sometimes pl.) vb., 958-960; in appos. to sent. or cl., 994; pl., of single idea, 1003; adj. or part., used substantively, 1023-1026, 1153 b n. 2; pred. adj. in neut. sing., 1047, 1048; in neut. pl., 1052.See Gender.

No, how expressed, 2680.

Nominative case, subj. of fin. vb., 927, 938, 939; indep., 940; in place of oblique case at beginning of sent., 941; in letters, of the writer's name, 942; in appos. w. voc., 1287; in exclams., 1288, 2684; w.inf., 1973, 1974, 2014 a; of artic. inf., 2031.

Non-fulfilment, see Unreal.

"Non-thematic" conjugation, 717.

Notation, 348.

Noun, verbal, see Verbal noun.

Noun-stems, 826; in compnds., 870-879. Nouns, defined, 189; accent, 205-209; verbal, 358; suffs., 859-865. See

Predicate adjs., nouns, etc.

363; agr. of vb, in, 925, 949-972; agr. of adj. and part. in, 925, 1020, 1030-1039, 1044-1062; agr. of pred. subst. in, 974; non-agr, of appos, in, 979; expressed by prep., 1681. 3; agr. of rel. pron. in, 2501, 2502. See Singular, Dual, Plural.

Numerals, 347-354; in computer, 870, 883; equiv. of subst., 908; article w., 1125, 1174 a N.; τìs W., 1268; W. gen., 1317; ès w., 2995.

Oaths, µá and val in, 1596 b, c, 2894, 2922; νή in, 1596 b, 2894, 2923; in indic. w. μή, 2705 i; in inf. w. μή, 2716; ἢ μήν in, 2865, 2921. See Swearing.

Obeying, vbs. of, w. dat., 1464.

Object, 919; see Direct, Internal, External; two vbs. with common, 1634, 1635; inf. as, 1988-2024; denoted by part., 2065.

Object clauses, assimilation of mood in. 2186 c; of effort, 2207-2219, 2705 b: of caution, 2220; of fearing, 2221-2233.

Objection, expressed by άλλά, 2785, 2786; by  $\delta\lambda\lambda$   $\gamma\delta\rho$ , 2819 b; by  $\delta\epsilon$ , 2835; by καί, 2872.

Objective, genitive, 1328-1335.

Obligation, imperf. of vbs. of, 1774-1779, 1905, 2313-2317.

Oblique cases, defined, 201 a.

Observer, dat. of the, 1497.

Obtaining, vbs. of, w. gen., 1350. Occasion, expressed by dat., 1517.

Official persons, titles of, omission of article w., 1140.

Omission, see Ellipse.

Onomatopoeia, 3034.

Open, syllable, 141; vowels, the, 4 a, 7. Opposition, denoted by part., 2066, 2070. Optative mood, 357, 359, 1760; final -ac and -ot, long, 169, 427; endings, 366 c, 464; mean., 380; -in- and -ī-, 393, 459, 460, -ειας, and -αις, etc., 461; of athematic, accent, 424 c n. 1; of

compound vbs., accent, 426 f; pres., 630, 637-640, 750; fut. act. and mid., 660; fut. pass., 663, 664; first aor. act. and mid., 668; first and sec. aor. pass., 675; sec. aor. act., 683, 758; first and sec. perf. act., 694-696, 702, 764; perf. mid. and pass., 710, 711.

Without av, 1814-1823; of wish, 1814-1819; imper., 1820; potent., see Potential; w. &v. 1824-1834; tenses, 1859, 1861-1863; assimilation to, 2186, 2187, 2205; in final cl., 2196-2206; after vbs. of effort, 2211, 2212, 2214-2219, of fear and caution, 2220-2232; in causal cl., 2242; ὥστε with, 2269 b, 2278; in apod., w. prot. of simple pres. or past form, 2300 d, e, 2356; in unreal condits., 2311, 2312, 2356; in fut. condits., 2322; 2326 d, 2356, 2329-2334; iterative, 2340 a; w't. prot., 2349; as apod. to prot. and apod. combined, 2353, 2356; in temp. cl., 2394, 2399, 2403-2409, 2414, 2415, 2418-2421, 2424, 2427; w.  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2430–2440, 2448–2452; ordinary use, in rel. cl., 2545; after οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις, etc., 2552; in ordinary rel. cl., 2553; in final rel, cl., 2554 c; in consec. rel. cl., 2556, 2557; in condit. rel. cl., 2566, 2568-2573; in ind. disc., 2599-2615, 2617-2621, 2624 c, 2625-2627, 2629, 2632; in dir. questions, 2662 c; in ind. questions, 2677-2679; in exclam. sent., 2686; où w., 2703-2705.

Oracular present, 1882.

Oratio Obliqua, see Indirect discourse. Oratio Recta, see Direct discourse.

Ordinal numerals, 347, 350, 1125 d, 1151, 1209 e.

Origin, gen. of, 1298; expressed by prep. w. case, 1684. 1 c (1), 1688. 1 c. Orthotone, 181 d n.

Oxymoron, 3035.

Oxytone, 157, 160. See Accent.

Palatal verbs, 376 n., 405-407, 409 c, 513-516, 537, 545.

Palatals, 16; before dentals, 82; before  $\mu$ , 85; w.  $\sigma$ , 97, 241 c; suffs. w., 864. Paraleipsis, 3036.

Parataxis, 2168-2172.

Pardoning, vbs. of, w. dat., 1464.

Parechesis, 3037.

Parisosis, 3038.

Paromoiosis, 3039.

Paronomasia, 3040.

Paroxytone, 157, 160. See Accent. Part, acc. of the, 985, 1601 a N.

Participles, accent, 209, 425 b, 426 d; decl., 300-310, 287 b; compar., 323; verbal nouns, 358, 1760; endings, 470; pres., 633, 753; fut. act. and mid., 662; fut. pass., 663, 664; first aor. act. and mid., 671; first and sec. aor. pass., 678; sec. aor. act., 686, 761; first and sec. perf. act., 700, 702, 766; perf. mid. and pass., 716.

Predicate and attrib., 914, 915, 1166; agr., 1020, 1044-1062, 2148; dat., used as dat. of relation, 1497, 1498; w. dv, 1845-1849, 2146; tenses, 1872-1874, 2043, 2044; w.  $\epsilon l\mu l$ ,  $\xi \chi \omega$ , γίγνομαι, φαίνομαι, 1961-1965, 2091; nature, 2039-2042; neg., 2045; attrib., circumst., supplement., 2046-2048; attributive, 2049-2053; w. article, as subst., 2050-2052, 1124, 1153 b. 1188; without article, as subst... 2052 a; w. subst., corresp. to verbal noun w. gen. or to artic. inf., 2053; circumstantial, 2054-2087; gen. absolute, 2058, 2070-2075, 2032 f; acc. absolute, 2059, 2076-2078; expressing time, 2060, 2061, 2070; manner, 2060, 2062; means, 2060, 2063; cause, 2060, 2064, 2070; purpose, 2060, 2065; opposition or concession, 2060, 2066, 2070, 2082, 2083; condit., 2060, 2067, 2070; any attendant circumstance, 2068, 2070; advs. with, 2079-2087; καί, καίπερ w., 2083, 2382, 2882, 2892; &s w., 2086, 2996; general statement concern. supplementary, 2088-2093; not in ind. disc., 2092-

2105, 2112-2115; W. τυγχάνω, λανθάνω, φθάνω, 2096, 1873; of λανθάνω and φθάνω (rarely τυγχάνω) w. finite vb., 2096 f; w. διάγω, διαγίγνομαι, διατελώ, διαμένω, 2097; w. vbs. signifying begin, cease, endure, grow weary of, 2098; w. some vbs. of coming and going, 2099; w. vbs. of emotion, 2100; w. vbs. signifying do well or ill, surpass or be inferior, 2101; w. πειράομαι, πολύς έγκειμαι, πάντα ποιέω. 2102; W. περιοράω (έφοράω, είσοράω, προίτεμαι), to overlook, allow, 2103; w. some impers. express, taking dat., 2104; w. other vbs., 2105; in ind. disc., 2106-2145, 2576. 2, 2600, 2604, 2611, 2616, 2617, 2628 b; with vbs. of knowing and showing, 2106-2109, 2592 c, of perceiving and finding, 2110-2115, 2581, 2582, 2592 c; omission of &v, 2116-2119; &s w., 2120-2122; vbs. which take either part. or inf., 2123-2145, 2582; remarks on some uses of, 2147, 2148; after vbs. of fearing, 2237; after  $\omega_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$ , 2276; for prot., 2344, 2353; for apod., 2350; καίτοι W., 2893 b; in main cl. of sent. containing temp. cl., 2411; ἄσπερ ἀν εί W., 2480 a; οὐ and  $\mu\eta$  with, 2728-2734; depending on negatived vbs., μη οὐ w., 2750; w. μέν, finite vb. w. δέ, 2904 a; οὐδέ (μηδέ) w., 2931.

Particles, 2163, 2769-3003.

Particular, article, the, 1119-1121; condits., 2293-2298, 2303, 2321.

Partitive, apposition, 981-984.

Genitive, w. nouns, 1306-1319, w. vbs., 1341-1371; of artic. inf., 2032 c.

Parts of speech, 189.

Passive, forms those of mid. except in aor. and fut., 356 b, 366 a; dep., 356 c, 811, 812; first, system, 368, 455, 585-589; sec., system, 368, 455, 590-598; aor. and fut. mid. sometimes used with mean. of, 802, 807-809, 1737, 1738; mid. pass., 814-

818, 1733, 1742 b; forms, w. reflex. force, 1733; uses, 1735-1758; origin, 1735 a.

Past or present, conditional relative sent., simple, 2562, 2563; unreal, 2564; general, 2568, 2569.

Conditions, simple, 2298-2301; unreal, 2302-2320; general, 2337-2342.

Past potential, 1784, 1785.

Patronymics, suffs. forming, 845–848, 861, 11, 19, 863 b 1, 4, 10, 12, 13, 18. Penalty, gen. of, 1385; acc. of, 1385. Penult, 139.

Perceiving, vbs. of, use of ων and pred. adj. after, 1041; cases w., 1361-1368; part. w., 2110-2112, 2581, 2582, 2592 c; w. ότι or ως, 2110, 2145, 2577, 2581, 2582, 2592 c; w. inf., 2592 c. Perception, adjs. of, w. gen., 1421.

Perfect tense, 359; primary, 360, 1858; first and sec., 361, 554; a princ. part., 368–370; redupl., 439.

Active, first, 382, 383, 689-700, 762-766; system, 455, 555-560; change of  $\epsilon$  to  $\alpha$  in, 479; periph. forms, 599.

Active, second, 384, 689–700, 702–705, 762–766; system, 455, 561–573; change of  $\epsilon$  to  $\epsilon$  in, 478; change of  $\breve{\alpha}$  to  $\eta$  ( $\breve{\alpha}$ ) in, 484; mean., 568; aspirated, 569–571.

Middle and passive, of cons. vbs., 382, 383, 403–411, 706–716, 767; accent of inf. and part., 425 a, 425 b; mid. system, 455, 574–584; w. and without inserted  $\sigma$ , 489; periph. forms, 599, 707–714; pass., dat. of agent w., 1488, 1489; pass., w. dat., used instead of perf. act., 1741.

Force, 1851, 1852, 1945; pres. for, 1886; aor. for, 1940; epistolary, 1942; w. pres. mean., 1946; 'intensive,' 1947; empirio, 1948; of dated past action, 1949; for fut. perf., 1950; in subord. cl., 1951; in apod. of vivid fut. form, 2326; in condit. rel. sent., 2573 c. See Indicative.

Subjunctive, 1860. Optative, 1861-1863, 2331. Imperative, 1840 μ., 1864. Infinitive, 1849, 1865-1867; w. πρίν, 2453 c.

Participle, 1872–1874, 1961, 2344. Periphrasis, 3041; possess. gen. w. neut. article, 1299; expressions equiv. to tr. vb. w. acc., 1598; w. γίγνομαι and subst., 1710, 1754; w. ποιέομαι and ποιέω, 1722; w. δεί and χρή, 1807; w. είμί and pres. part., 1857, 1961, 1962; fut. (w. μέλλω), 1959; w. ἔμελλο., 1960; w. ἔχω and part., 1963; w. γίγνομαι and part., 1964; w. φαίνομαι and part., 1965.

Periphrastic forms, perf. and plup. mid. and pass., 405, 408, 599, 707– 710, 714; fut. perf. pass., 583, 601, 659 a; fut. perf. act., 584, 600, 659 a, 1955 b; perf. and plup. act., 599, 690–701.

Perispomenon, 157, 160. See Accent. Permission, expressed by opt. in Hom., 1819; by imper., 1839; by fut., 1917. Person concerned, suff. denoting, 843, 859. 1, 861. 13, 863 a 2, 863 b 12, 865. 1, 11.

Person, in vbs., 355, 364; agr. of vb. in, 925, 949–972; subj. pron. of first or sec., when omitted and when expressed, 929, 930, 1190, 1191; nom. subj. of third, omitted, 931; third, of writer or speaker, 942;  $\tau$ 1s or  $\pi$ 2s w. sec., 1016; sec. used of imaginary person, 1017, 1193; in directions to travellers, 1017 a; agr. of rel. pron. in, 2501, 2502; in ind. disc., 2591 a, 2598, 2606; in ind. questions, 2677. Personal and impers. constr., w. inf., 1982, 1983; of verbal adjs., 2149–

2152.
Personal endings, of vb., 366, 462–468.
Personal pronouns, enclit., 181 a, 181 p, 187 n. 1, 2, 325, 1195; decl., 325, 326; substitutes for, of third person: ἐκεῖνος 325 d, 1194; ἔδε, οῦτος, 1194, αὐτός in oblique cases 325 d, 328 b,

1204, 1212,  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \delta$ , 1099, 1100,  $\delta$  ( $\delta s$ ),  $\dot{\eta}$  ( $\ddot{\eta}$ ), 1113, 1194, 1195; gen. of, in pred. position, 1171, 1183, 1185, 1196 a; w. article, 1187; when omitted and when expressed, 1190, 1191; the forms  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{\nu}$ , etc., when used, 1192; of imaginary person, 1193.

Persons, names of, article w., 1136, 1142 a; as instrum., 1507 b.

Persuaded, be, vbs. signifying w. μή, 2725. Persuading, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628. Pindaric construction, 961.

Pity, οἴμ' ὡς in expressions of, 2682 d. Pitying, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405.

Place, advs. of, 341, 342, 346, 1449; names, suff., 844, 851, 860. 3, 861. 20, 863 a 16, 21, 24, article w., 1136; adjs. of, use w. article, 1172; gen. of, 1395, 1448, 1449; adjs. of, w. gen., 1426; dat. of, 1531–1538. See Locative.

Planning, vbs. of, w. obj. cl., 2217. Plants, gender, 199 b, 200 a.

Pleasing, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461.
Pleonasm, μάλλον w. compar., 1084; μάλιστα, etc., w. sup., 1090; of prep., 1386, 1549, 1550, 1654; of internal obj., 1554, 1564, 1570, 1671, 1620; of mid. voice w. reflex. pron., 1724, 1727; of ἄν, 1765; of inf., 1615, 2011 a and κ.; in ἐκὼν εἶναι, etc., 2012 c; w. part. and vb., 2147 b; of vb. of saying, 2634; redundant neg., 2739–2749, 2753; oὐ μή, 2754–2758; in expressions connected by ἀλλά, 2757 a; in ἄστε cl., 2759; in accumulated negs., 2760–2762; defined and illustrated, 3042.

Pluperfect tense, 359, 368 b; secondary, 360, 1858; first and sec., 361; augmented, 428, 429, 444; redup., 439, 444.

Active, first and sec., 382–384, 467, 701, 762 a; first, stem, 555–560; sec., stem, 561–573; periph. forms, 599.

Middle and passive, 382, 383, 403–411, 468, 707; stem, 574–584; periphrastic forms, 599, 707.

Force, 1851, 1852, 1858, 1952; imperf. for, 1906; aor. for, 1943; of immediate occurrence, 1953; in subord. cls., 1954; in unreal condits., 2306, 2307, 2310; in ind. disc., 2590, 2603, 2620, 2623 b.

Plural: first pers., used for dual, 364 a; vb., w. sing. subj., 950-953; vb., w. dual subj., 954-957; subj., w. vb. in sing., 958-961; subj., w. vb. in dual, 962; vb., w. two subjs. in sing., 964, 965, 967, 971; distrib., 998, 1004; peculiarities in use, 1000-1012; of majesty, 1006; allusive, 1007; of modesty, 1008; shifting w. sing., 1012; part., w. sing. collective noun, 1044; part., w. dual vb., 1045; pred. adj. or part., w. dual subj., 1046; pred. adj., w. two or more substs., 1053. See Agreement.

Polysyndeton, 3043.

Position, syllable long by, 144, 145, 314 a.

Of article, attrib., 1154–1167; pred., 1168–1171; w. gen. of pron., 1163, 1171, 1184, 1185; w. words which vary their mean., 1172–1175, 1204–1217; w. demons. pron., 1176–1181; w. possess. pron., 1182, 1183, 1196 a; w. interrog., άλλος, πολύς, δλίγος, 1186–1189; w. gen. of divided. whole, 1307.

Positive degree, uses, 1063-1065. See Comparison.

Possession, gen. of, 1297-1305, 1390, 1411 b; gen. of, ) (dat. of possessor, 1480; gen. w. adjs. of, 1414. Possessive, compounds, 895, 898.

Pronouns, decl., 330; place, taken by article, 1121; w. and without article, 1182, 1183, 1196 a; position of article w., 1163, 1182, 1196 a; uses, 1196–1203; w. force of objective gen. of pers. pron., 1197; of first and sec. persons, reflex. and non-reflex., 1198–1200.

Possessor, dat. of the, 1476-1480.

Possibility, expressed by imperf. indic., 1774-1779, 1905, 2313-2317; by

past tense of indic. w.  $\alpha\nu$ , 1784; by opt., 1824–1834; by  $\alpha\nu$  w. inf. after  $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , 2270.

Postpositive, prep., 1665; particles, 2772.

Potential optative, with \$\lambda\_\chi\$, 1824–1834, 2349; in causal cl., 2243; in apod. of simple pres. or past condits., 2300 e, 2356; in apod. of unreal condits., 2312, 2356; in apod. of more vivid fut. condits., 2326 d, 2356; in apod. of emotional fut. condits., 2328, 2356; in apod. of less vivid fut. condits., 2329–2334, 2356; in prot. and apod. combined, 2353, 2356; in prot. et. to fut. temp. cl. w. subjv., 2403; in fut. temp. cl. w. opt., 2406; in \$\lambda\_\sigma\_s\$ cl., 2421; in consec. rel. cl., 2556; in condit. rel. sent., 2571, 2572.

Potential optative, without ἄν, 1821, 1822; after οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις, etc., 2552.

Potential, past, 1784, 1785. Praegnans Constructio, 1659, 3044. Praising, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405.

Predicate, 902; a vb., 909; expansion, 924; omission of vb., 944-948; subj. and, concord of, 925, 949-972.

Accusative, and external obj., 1613-1618.

Adjectives, 910 b, 1018; belonging to omitted subj. of inf., 975, 1060–1062; w. what vbs. used, 1040; w. vbs. of saying, thinking, perceiving, showing, 1041; where Eng. uses adv., 1042, 1043; agr., 975, 1044–1059; position, 1168–1171; equiv. of cl., 1169; attracted into voc., 1286; part. as, 2091, 915.

Nouns, 910, 911, 913-915; agr., 918 d, 939, 975, 2525; and article, 1150-1152; in agr. w. dat., 1509; proleptic, 1579; w. inf., 1972-1981; inf. as, 1986.

Participles, 914, 915; agr., 1044–1059; belonging to omitted subj. of inf., 1061, 1062.

Position, of adjs., 1168-1171; of | Prescription, expressed possess, gen. of pers. and rel. prons. and of gen. of divided whole, 1171. 1185 a, b, 1196; of words varying in mean, accord, to position of article, 1172-1175, 1204-1209, 328 c : of possess. pron., 1196 a.

Substantives, defined, 910 a; agr., 973-975; belonging to omitted subj. of inf., 975, 1060, 1062; equivalent of cl., 1169.

Predictions, neg. où  $\mu\eta$  in, 2754, 2755. Prefixes, inseparable, 870, 885, 896 a. Prepositional, compounds in -ros, accent, 425 c n.; expressions, 1029. 2344.

Prepositional-phrase compounds, 899. Prepositions, accent, when elided, 174; anastrophe in, 175; proclits., 179, 180; comp. and superl. derived from, 320; aug. and redup. in vbs. compounded w., 449-454; in compounds. 870, 884, 896, 1655; origin, and development of, 891, 1636-1638, 1646 a; as advs. (prep.-advs.), 891, 1636 a. 1638-1643; constr. w. vbs. compounded w., 1382-1387; function, 1637; three uses, 1639-1646; improper, 1647, 1649 a, 1699-1702; compounded, to complete action of verbal idea, 1648, 1680; compound, 1649; tmesis, 1650-1653; prefixed, repeated, 1654; connecting nouns, without vb., 1657; mean. of gen., dat., and acc., w., 1658; vbs. of motion w. dat. and, 1659 a; vbs. of rest w. acc. and, 1659 b; stress laid on starting-point or goal, 1660; position, 1663-1665; variation, 1666; repetition and omission, 1667-1673, 2519; of different mean. w. the same noun, 1669; w. case, as subj. or obj. or prot., 1674, 928 a; use, in Att. prose, 1675; ordinary differences in mean., 1676; parallel, 1677; use of the different, 1681-1698. See Agent, Means.

Prepositive particles, 2772.

bv imper .. 1835.

Present or past, condit. relative sent... simple, 2562, 2563; unreal, 2564; general, 2567-2570

Conditions, simple, 2298-2301; unreal, 2302-2320; general, 2337-2342.

Present system of verbs, 455, 496-531, 722-743.

Present tense, 359; primary, 360, 1858; one of princ. parts, 368-370; inflec. 382,627-657,747-753; redupl.,414 A, 439, 447, 494, 504, 526 c, 726, 727.

Indicative, force, 1851-1858; aoristic, 1853; uses, 1875-1888; of customary action, 1876; of general truth, 1877; conative, 1878; for fut. (of anticipation), 1879; oracular, 1882; historical, 1883; annalistic. 1884; of past and pres. combined, 1885; for perf., 1886; expressing enduring result, 1887; in subord, cl., 1888; imperf. for, 1901; fut. for, 1915; aor. for, 1936; in apod. of vivid fut. form, 2326; in apod. of general condits., 2337, 2342; in prot. of indic. form of general condits., 2342; in apod. when prot. has  $\epsilon i$  with opt., 2360; in princ. cl., when temp. cl. has opt. without  $\&\nu$ , 2407; in temp. sent. of indef. frequency, 2410; in temp. cl. w. αν, 2413; in εως cls... 2422, 2425; in  $\pi \rho t \nu$  cl., 2441 a; in similes and comparisons, 2481 a. 2482; in condit. rel. sent., 2562, 2567, 2569, 2573 a, b.

Subjunctive, w. μή, of doubtful assertion, 1801; to indicate fear, warning, or danger, 1802; w. où  $\mu\eta$ , of emphatic denial, 1804; delib., 1805; in prohib., 1840, 1841; general force, 1860; in more vivid fut. condits., 2325; in general condits., 2336; in temp. cl., 2400, 2401; in έως cl., 2423 b, 2426 b; in πρίν cl., 2444 a: in similes and comparisons. 2481 a.

Optative, general force, 1861–1863; in less vivid fut. condits., 2331; in general condits., 2336; in  $\varepsilon$  cd., 2424, 2427 x.; in ind. disc., 2611 b.

Imperative, in prohib., 1840, 1841; general force, 1864.

Infinitive, in unfulfilled obligation, 1778; in unattainable wish, 1781; w.  $\delta\nu$ , 1846; general force, 1865–1879; with vbs. of hoping, etc., 1868, 1999, 2024; w.  $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ , 1959; w. vbs. of will and desire, 1998; w.  $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , 2261; in unreal condits., 2314; after  $\pi\rho l\nu$ , 2453 c.

Participle, w.  $\delta\nu$ , 1846; general force, 1872–1874; w.  $\epsilon i \mu l$ , 1961; as pred. adj., 2091; w.  $\tau \nu \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ,  $\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ,  $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ , 2096; w. vbs. signifying to support, endure, 2098 a; w.  $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ,  $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \dot{\nu} \omega$ , 2112 a n.; substituted for prot., representing imperf., 2344.

Price, gen. of, 1372–1374; dat., 1508 a. Primary, tenses, 360, 1858; endings, 463, 465, 466; stems, 825; suffs., 829; suffs., of substs., 839–842, 859–865; suffs., of adjs., 857–865.

Primitive; vbs., defined, 372; words, 828 a; substs., suffs. forming, 839–842, 859–865; adjs., 857–865.

Principal parts, 369, 370, 387.

Principal tenses, 360.

Proclitics, the, 179, 180, 183 e.

Prodiorthosis, 3045.

Progressive tenses, 1857.

Prohibitions, expressed by subjv., 1800, 1840–1844, 2756 b; by imper., 1835, 1840–1844, 2709; by μή w. fut., 1918 a; by οὐ μή w. 2d pers. sing., 1919; by fut., 1919, 2754, 2756 a; by ὅπως οr ὅπως μή w. fut., 1920; by μή w. inf., 2013 d, 2715.

Prohibitive subjunctive, 1800, 2707, 2756 b.

Prolepsis, 2182, 3045.

Proleptic predicate nouns, 1579.

Promising, vbs. of, w. fut., aor., or pres. inf., 1868, 1999, 2024; w. μή, 2725, 2726 a.

Pronominal adjectives, 337, 340.

Pronouns, decl., 325-340; unemphatic, omitted, 929, 1121, 1199. 2 N.; contrasted, generally expressed, 980;  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  as rel., 1099, 1105;  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau\delta$  as demons., 1099-1104, 1106-1117; use of article w., 1163, 1171, 1173, 1176-1189; pers., 1190-1195; possess., 1196-1203; pron.  $a\dot{\nu}\tau\delta s$ , 1204-1217; reflex., 1218-1232; demons., 1238-1261; interrog., 1262-1265; indef., 1266-1270;  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda os$  and  $\ddot{\epsilon}\tau\epsilon\rho os$ , 1271-1276; recip., 1277, 1278; w. gen., 1317; as cognate acc., 1573. See Personal pronouns, etc.

Pronunciation, 23–26; of vowels, 24; of diphs., 25; of cons., 26.

Proparoxytone, 157, 160. See Accent. Proper names, accent, 178 a, 261; in -ηs, 222, 263, 264, 282 a, ν.; in -ās, w. Dor. gen., 225; use, pl. 1000; w. article, 1136-1142, 1160; omission of article w., 1207.

Properispomenon, 157. See Accent. Prophecies, pres. in fut. sense in, 1882.

Proportionals, 354 c.

Proposal, expressed by hort. subjv., 1797; by τί οὖν οὐ or τί οὐ, 1936; ἀλλά in. 2784 c.

Propriety, expressed by imperf. indic., 1774-1779, 1905, 2313-2317; by opt., 1824-1834.

Protasis, defined, 2280.

Protestations, expressed by opt., 1814 b; in indic. w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2705 i; in inf. w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2716;  $\dot{\eta}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , etc., in, 2895.

Prothetic vowels, 41.

Prove, vbs. signifying, w. part., 2106.

Proviso, expressed by  $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$  w. inf., 2268; by  $\epsilon \phi'$   $\hat{\phi}$  and  $\epsilon \phi'$   $\hat{\phi} \tau \epsilon$ , 2279.

Punctuation, marks of, 188.

Pure verbs, defined, 376.

Purpose, gen. of, 1408; inf. of, 2008–2010, 2717; expressed by gen. of artic. inf., 2032 e; by part., 2060, 2065; cl., see Final clauses; methods of expressing, 2206; temp, cl. denot-

ing, 2418-2421; rel. cl. of, 2554, 2705 f.

Quality, most words denoting, fem., 199 c; suffs. denoting, 840; adjs. denoting, 858.7; gen. of, 1320, 1321. See Abstract nouns.

Quantity, transfer, 34, 238 c, 434; of syllables, 142–148; variation, in third decl., substs., 254; variation, in vb.stem, 374, 475. See Variation, Gradation, Lengthening, etc.

Quasi-impersonal verbs, 933, 1984, 1985; take gen. absolute rather than acc. absolute, 2072 b.

Questions, direct, interrog. prons. and advs. in, 1263; indic. in, 1770; delib. subjv. in, 1805-1808; τί πάθω; τί γένωμαι; 1811; potent. opt. w't ἄν in, 1821 a, w.  $d\nu$  in, 1831;  $\pi \hat{\omega} s \, d\nu$ ,  $\tau ls \; d\nu \; \text{in, } 1832$ ; imper. in, 1838. 1842 a; pres. for fut. in, 1879 a; delib. fut. in, 1916, 2639; fut. w. ov interrog. in, to express urgency, etc., 1918;  $\tau \ell$  où  $\nu$  où and  $\tau \ell$  où in, 1936;  $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s (τί) οὐ μέλλω; 1959 d; τί μαθών; τί πα- $\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ;  $\tau l \, \check{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$ ; 2064 a, b; simple, 2157; after  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$ , 2244; after  $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ , 2275; how expressed, 2636, 2637; Yes and No questions (sentence-questions). 2638, answers to, 2680; pron.-questions (word-questions), 2638; delib., 2639; rhetorical, 2640; not introd, by interrog, particles, 2641-2649; introd. by interrog. particles, 2650-2655; alternative, 2656-2661; moods in, 2662; negs. in, 1809, 2703; άλλά in, 2784 e, 2786; ἄρα in, 2793, 2794; ἀτάρ in, 2801; γάρ in, 2804. 2805, 2806 a;  $\delta \epsilon$  in, 2835;  $\delta \eta$  in, 2843 a; δαί in, 2848; δήπου in, 2850; δη̂τα in, 2851;  $\hbar$  καl in, 2865;  $\kappa \alpha \ell$  in, 2872;  $\mu \ell \nu$  alone in, 2898; μέντοι in, 2918; νύ in, 2928; οὔκουν in, 2953 d; ov in, 2962.

Indirect, introductory words, 1263, 2663–2674; assimilation in, 2186 d; after vbs. of fearing, 2234;

subst. cl., 2575. 3; have constr. of ind. disc., 2596; alternative, 2675; neg. in, 2676; moods in, 2677-2679.

Raining, vbs. of, w. dat. or acc., 1507 c. Reaching, vbs. of, w. gen., 1350.

Receiving, vbs. of, w. the giver in dat., 1484; w. inf., 2009.

Recessive accent, 159, 162 p, Intr. C, n. 1; in compos., 178, 893; in voc. 233, 261, 262, 264 a, 292 a; in compod. adjs. in -νs, 292 c; in adjs. in -ων, 293 c; of vbs., 423-427, 773 b, 791 b; in some fem. adjs. used as substs., 840 d.

Reciprocal, middle, 1726.

Pronoun, decl., 331; gen., in attrib. position, 1184; use, 1277, 1278. Reflexive, 1231, 1232.

Relations, how expressed in Greek, 1277, 1278, 1726, 1727.

Redundancy, see Pleonasm.

Redundant negative, 2739-2749, 2753.

Reduplication,  $\rho$  lost in, 122; of initial  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$ ; 125 a; in  $\mu$ -vbs., 414 a, 726, 727; accent in, 426; how formed, 439-445; in pres., 439, 414 a, 447, 494, 504, 526 c, 723, 726, 727; in perf. and plup. act., 439, 444, 555, 561, 572; in perf. and plup. mid. and pass. and fut. perf. pass., 439, 444, 574; in sec. aor., 439, 448, 494, 549; Att., 444 a, 446, 477 a x., 566; position, in compnd. vbs., 449-454; substs. formed by, 835.

Reference, dat. of, 1496.

Reflexive, comparison, 1078, 1093.

Pronouns, decl., 329; oî, etc., as ind., 325 d, 187 n. 1; attrib. position of article w. possess. gen. of, 1163, 1183, 1184; possess. pron. sometimes reflex., 1198–1203; omitted, 1199. 2 n.; dir., 1218–1224, 1228 n. 3; pers. prons. in reflex. sense, 1222–1224; ind., 1225–1229, 1194, 1195; of third pers. for that of sec. or third pers., 1230; recip., 1231, 1232; autos em-

phatic or reflex. w. other prons., 1233-1237; w. act. voice, instead of mid., 1723; w. mid., 1724, 1727.

Refusal, imperf. of, 1896, 1897.

Refusing, vbs. of, use of neg. w., 2739-2744.

Regarding, vbs. of, w. pred. gen., 1305.

Rejoicing, vbs. of, w. part., 2100, 2587; w. ὅτι or ὡς, 2100, 2577, 2587.

Relation, adjs. denoting, 858.6; gen. of, 1428; dat. of, 1495-1498.

Relationship, names of, suffixes forming, 845-850; article omitted w., 1140.

Relative, advs., 346; antec. of, def. or indef., 2505; attraction in, 2528.

And absolute time, 1850.

Clauses, as nom. pred. of follow. sentence, 995; correspond to attrib. adjs., 1165, 2488; how introduced. 2489, 2498, 2499; sometimes equiv. to coördinate cl., 2490; position, 2492; w. ös, 2493; ö, as to what, 2494;  $\ddot{o}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ ,  $\ddot{o}s$   $\gamma\epsilon$ , 2495;  $\ddot{o}\sigma\tau\iota s$ , 2496; olos, δσοs, 2497; vb. of, omitted, 2520; transition from, to indep. cl., 2521; attraction of whole, 2532: inverse attraction, 2533-2535; incorporation, 2536-2538; appos., drawn into. 2539; subst., usually w. article. drawn into, 2540; antec. reserved for main cl., which follows rel. cl., 2541; attrib. adj. or gen. in sent, w. rel. cl., where placed, 2542; transference of sup. to, 2542 a; participial or subord. cl. depending on foll. main cl., joined to preceding cl., 2543; main cl. fused w., 2544; use of moods in, 2545-2552; delib. subjv. in, 2546-2549; delib, fut. in, 2549, 2550; ordinary, 2553; of purpose (final rel. cl.), 2554, 2705 f; of cause, 2555; of result (consec. rel. cl.), 2556, 2705 h; condit., see Conditional relative clauses and sentences.

Pronouns, 338-340; used in exclam. sense and as ind. interrog.,

339 f, 2668;  $\delta$ ,  $\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\tau \delta$  as rel., 1099, 1105; as demons., 1110, 338 b; pred. position of article w. possess. gen. of, 1171; prep. omit. or repeat. w., 1671; resolved into conjunc. and pron., 2491; concord, 2501, 2502; antec., 2503; def. and indef., 2505–2508; omission of antec, 2509–2516; not repeated, 2517, 2518; prep. w., omitted, 2519; attraction, 2522–2528; case, w. omitted antec., 2529–2532. See  $\delta s$ , etc.

Releasing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1392.

Remembering, vbs. of, w. gen., 1356-1358; w. acc., 1358; adjs. of, w. gen., 1420; vbs. of, w. part., 2106.

Reminding, vbs. of, w. gen., 1356-1358; w. two accs., 1359, 1628.

Removing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1392.

Repeated action, expressed by pres. indic., 1876; by imperf. or aor. w. αν, 1790, 1791; by iterative forms w. αν in Hdt., 1792.

Request, expressed by hort. subjv., 1797; by potent. opt. w. αν, 1830; by imp., 1835.

Resistance, imperf. of, 1896, 1897.

Respect, dat. of, 1516; acc. of, 1516 a., 1600-1605, 2034 e.

Restraining, vbs. of, w. gen., 1392.

Restrictive particles, 2821, 2830.

Result, of action, suffs. denoting, 841; acc. of, 1554 a n. 1, 1578, 1579; inf. of, 2011, 2717.

Result clauses, 2249–2278; w. ωστε, after vbs. of fearing, 2239; neg., 2260; rel., 2556, 2705 h. Resultative agrist, 1926.

Rhetorical questions, see Questions.

Rhotacism, 132 D.

Rivers, names of, gender, 199 a, 200 a; use of article w., 1139.

Root-determinatives, 832.

Root-stems, 193, 823.

Roots, 191-193, 371, 830, 831.

Ruling, vbs. of, w. gen., 1370, 1402; w. acc., 1371; adjs. of, w. gen., 1423; vbs. of, w. dat., 1537, 1538.

Sampi (San), 3, 348.

Satiate oneself, vbs. meaning, w. part., 2100 b.

Saying, vbs. of, foll. by elvar and pred. adj., 1041; w. aor. inf. referring to fut., 1871; pres. of past and pres. combined in, 1885 a; aor. of, used of resolution, 1938; pers. and impers. construction w. pass. of, 1982 a; command, w. acc. or dat. and inf., 1997; in absolute inf., 2012 a, b; inf. as obj. of, 2016-2022, 2579, 2592 a; inf. as subj. of pass. of, 2016 a, 2021: w. 571 or &s, 2017, 2577, 2579, 2592 a; w. artic. inf., 2034 g; rarely w. part., 2106 a; w. part. and \(\delta s, 2121; \) foll. by dir. or ind. disc., 2589; repeated, in dialogue, etc., 2589 a; w. où and inf., 2722; w.  $\mu \dot{\eta}$  and inf., 2723.

Seas, names of, use of article w., 1139.

Secondary, tenses, 360, 1858; endings, 464-468; stems, 825; suffs., 829; suffs., of substs., 843-852, 859-865; suffs., of adjs., 857-865.

Seeing, vbs. of, w. part., 2110-2112; w. ὅτι or ὡs, 2110.

Selling, vbs. of, w. gen., 1372.

Semivowels, 15 c, 20, 43, 109-117, 148 D 1.

Sending, vbs. of, use of imperf. of, 1891; w. part. and w. inf., 2009.

Sentence, advs., 1094 b, 2769; questions, 2638.

Sentence, defined, 900; complete and incomplete, 901-905; simple, compound, and complex, 903, 2159-2161; appos. to, 991-995; see Complex, compound, simple sentence.

Separation, gen. of, 1391-1400; vbs. of,w. acc., 1393; adjs. of, w. gen., 1427.Serving, vbs. of, w. dat., 1464.

Sharing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1343; adjs. of, w. gen., 1415.

Shortening of long vowels, 39, 40.

Showing, vbs. of, foll. by  $\delta \nu$  and pred. adj., 1041; w. gen., 1868; w. two accs., 1613; w. part., 2106-2109,

2592 c; w.  $\delta\tau\iota$  or  $\dot{\omega}s$ , 2577, 2592 c; w. inf., 2592 c.

Shrines, names of, w. article, 1137. Sibilants, 17.

Signatic agrist system, 542-545.

Similarity, adjs. denoting, 858. 16.

Similes, aor. in, 1935; &s, &s &l, etc., in, 2481-2487.

Simple sentence, defined, 903; syntax of the, 906 ff.; expansion of the, 922-924; summary of the forms of, 2153-2158; in ind. disc., 2597-2600, 2614-2616.

Words, 827.

Singular, subj., w. vb. in pl., 950-953;
vb., w. subj. in pl., 958-961; subjs.,
two or more, 963-972; collective,
996, 997; distrib., 998; shifting w.
pl., 1012. See Agreement.

Size, exact, expressed by gen., 1321, 1325.

Smelling, vbs. of, w. gen., 1354.

Snowing, vbs. of, w. dat. or acc., 1507 c. Sonant consonants, 15 a.

Sonant liquid or nasal, 35 c.

Source, gen. of, 1364, 1410, 1411; expressed by prep. w. case, 1684. 1 c (1), 1692. 1 b.

Space, denoted by gen., 1325; dat. of, 1528; acc. of extent of, 1580, 1581.

Spirant, 15 b, 17, 26, 118-123.

Spiritus asper, lenis, see Breathings. Spurious diphthongs, 6, 25, 37 c, 51 a,

54, 59. Stage of an action, 1850–1857.

Standard Attic, Intr. E, N. 1.

Standard of judgment, dat. of, 1512; expressed by prep. and acc., 1695.

Statements, summary of forms in, 2153; dependent, 2575–2588.

Stems, and roots, 191-193; variation of formation of, in third decl., 253, 278; substs. w. two, 282; of vbs., 367-380; of vbs., changes in, 474-495; how formed, 824; primary and secondary, 825; changes in, when

suff. is added, 834. See Gradation, Variation.

Stop verbs, 537, 545, 560, 621, 622, 623. Stops, how sounded, 15 b, 16; divisions, 16; doubled, 81 doubled, 81 doubled, 82 doubled, 83 doubled, 84 doubled, 85–87; before  $\nu$ , 88–90; aspiration, 124–127; before liquids, effect on quantity, 145, 146 n. See Labials, etc.

Striving, vbs. of, w. gen., 1349; w. obj. cl., 2210.

Subject, defined, 902; a subst. or equiv., 907, 908; expanded, 923; of finite vb., 927, 938, 939; nom., replaced, 928; nom., omitted, 929-937; of inf., 936, 1972-1981; acc., omitted, 937; use of nom. as, 938-943; and pred., concord, 925, 949-972; sing., w. vb. in pl., 950-953; dual, w. vb. in pl., 954-957; pl., w. vb. in sing., 958-961; pl., w. vb. in dual, 962; two or more, 963-972; inf. as, 1984, 1985, 2016 a, 2021; of dependent cl., made obj. of vb. of princ. cl., 2189. Subjective genitive, 1328-1335.

Subjunctive mood, 357, 359, 1760; endings, 366 c, 463, 465, 636; meaning, 380; of compnd. vbs., accent, 426 f; thematic vowel, 457, 458; and fut., identical, 532, 541 a, 667 p; pres, 629, 749; first aor. act. and mid., 667; first and sec. aor. pass., 674; sec. aor. act. and mid., 682, 757; first and sec. perf. act., 691-693, 702, 763; perf. mid. and pass., 708, 709.

With  $\ell\nu$ , 1768, 1813; in simple sent, without  $\ell\nu$ , 1795–1811; hort., 1797–1799; prohib., 1800, 1840–1844, 2756 b; of doubtful assertion, 1801; of fear, warning, danger, 1802; of emphatic denial, 1804, 2755; delib., 1805–1808; anticipatory (Homeric), 1810, 2707 a; tenses, 1859, 1860; assimilation to, 2188; in final cl., 2196–2199, 2201; after vbs. of effort, 2214–2219; of fear and caution, 2220–2232; after  $\ell\sigma\tau\epsilon$ , 2275; in apod. of simple pres. or past con-

dits., 2300 c, 2357; in fut. condits., 2322-2324; in apod. of more vivid fut, condits., 2326 c, 2327, 2357; in apod. of emotional fut. condits., 2328, 2357; in apod. of less vivid fut, condits., 2334 c : in general condits., 2336, 2337; in apod. of condits. of type  $\epsilon i$  w. opt., 2359, 2363; in temp. cl., 2394, 2399, 2401-2403, 2407 a, 2409-2412, 2418-2421, 2423, 2426; W.  $\pi \rho i \nu$ , 2430-2432, 2443-2447; W. πρότερον ή, 2458; W. πρίν ή, 2460: ordinary use in rel. cl., 2545: delib., in rel. cl., 2546-2549; in ordinary rel. cl., 2553; in final rel. cl. in Hom., 2554 c; in vivid fut. condit. rel. cl., 2565; in general condit. rel. cl., 2567, 2570 a, 2571; in ind. disc., 2599-2613, 2618-2621; in dir. questions, 2662 b; in ind. quest., 2677-2679;  $\mu\eta$  w., 2706-2708;  $\mu\eta$ and μη ού with, 2751.

Subordinate clauses, assimilation of mood in, 2183–2188; classes, 2189, 2190.

Subordination, and coördination, 2159—2161; coördination in place of, 2168—2172.

Subscript, iota, 5, 65.

Substantival clauses, 2189, 2207–2233, 2574–2687; sentences, 2190.

Substantives, rules for accent, 205–208; formation, 838–856, 859, 865; compnd., 886–890; pred., 910, 911, 915, 973–975; dat. w., 1499–1502, 1510, 1529; preps. compounded w., 1655; w. inf., 2001–2007; οὐ and μή w., 2735. See Nouns.

Suffixes, added to roots to form stems and words, 193, 824–828; tense, 455; mood, 457–461; primary and secondary, 829; definition and function, 833; origin, 833 a–d; gradation in, 833 e; denoting agency, 839, 859, 1, 10, 860, 1, 861, 18, 863 a 2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16; forming names of actions and abstract substs., 840, 859, 2, 6, 861, 1, 11, 863 a 2, 9, 17,

b 8, 865. 1, 2, 7; denoting result of | Synizesis, 60, 61. action, 841, instrument or means, 842, 860. 3, 863 a 7, 8, 12, 16, person concerned, 843, 859. 8, 861, 13, 863 a 2; forming gentiles or place names, 844, 859. 5, 10, 861. 11, 863 a 2, b 12, 864. 1; patronymics, etc., 845-850, 861. 11, 19, 22, 23, 863 b 1, 10, 12, 13, 18; denoting place, 851, 860, 3, 861, 20, 863 a 8, 12, 16, 21; forming diminutives, 852-856, 859. 5, 861. 19, 863 b 15, 16, 864. 1, 2, 3, 12; adjectival, 857, 858; denoting fulness, 858. 3, 16, 863 b 19, material, 858, 4, 12, fitness, ability, relation, 858. 6, 9, quality, 858. 7; noun, 859-865.

Superiority, compand. vbs. denoting, w. gen., 1403.

Superlative degree, 287, 313-324, 345; uses, 1085-1093; strengthened by οτι, etc., 1086, 2994; w. gen., 1434; dat. w., 1513 a; use in comp. cl., 2469-2473; of attracted w., 2532 a; transference to rel. cl., 2542 a.

Supplementary participle, 2046-2048, 2088-2145.

Surd consonants, 15 b.

Surpassing, vbs. of, w. gen., 1402.

Surprise, inf., in exclams. of, 2015; expressed by τί μαθών, τί παθών, 2064 a; by particles w. part., 2082; questions expressing, w. εἶτα, etc., 2653; expressed by άλλά in questions, 2784 e. 2786; by dρa, 2795; by γάρ in questions, 2805 a; by  $\hat{\eta}$   $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , 2865; by  $\hat{\eta}$  $\delta \eta$ , 2865; by  $\kappa \alpha \ell$  before interrog. word, 2872.

Swearing, vbs. of, w. acc., 1596; w. μά, 1596 b, c, 2894; w. fut., aor., and pres. inf., 1868, 1999, 2024; in acr. to denote resolution, 1938; w. μή, 2725, 2726 a. See Oaths.

Syllables, 138-141; quantity, 142-148. Syllepsis, 3048 a.

Sympathetic negative, 2739-2749, 2759 b. Symploce, 3046.

Syncope, 44 b, 129 c, 476 a, 493, 549. Synecdoche, 3047.

GREEK GRAM. - 48

Synopses of verbs, 382, 388-392, 419-422.

Syntax, defined, 900; of simple sent... 906-920; of compound sent., 2162-2172; of complex sent., 2173-2687. Systems, tense, see Tense-systems.

Taking, vbs. of, w. inf., 2009.

Tasting, vbs. of, w. gen., 1355; adis, of, w. gen., 1416.

Teaching, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628.

Temporal clauses, assimilation of mood in, 2185 b, 2186 b, 2187, 2188; use, 2383-2461; words and expressions introducing, 2383-2385; def. and indef., 2390-2394; referring to def. pres. or past time, 2395-2397; to fut., 2398-2408; in generic sent., 2409-2417; denoting purpose, 2418-2421; summary of constrs. of έως, etc., 2422-2429; w.  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2430-2457; w.  $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \tilde{\eta}$ , etc., 2458–2461.

Particles, 2770, 2845.

Tense-stems, 367-379.

Tense-suffixes, 455.

Tense-systems, 368; formation, 474-601, 717-743.

Tenses, number, 355, 359; primary and secondary, 360, 1858; and tense-systems, 368; and moods, meaning, 380; uses, 1850-1965; time and stage of action expressed by, 1850-1858; of subjv., 1860; of opt., 1861-1863; of imper., 1864; of inf. not in ind. disc., 1865; of inf. in ind. disc., 1866, 1867, 2019; of part. not in ind. disc., 1872, 2043, 2112 a n.; of part. W. λανθάνω, φθάνω, τυγχάνω, 1873; of part. in ind. disc., 1874, 2106; of indic., 1875-1965; epistolary, 1942; periph., 1959-1965; in ind. disc., 2597-2635; in ind. questions, 2677-2679; in exclam. sent., 2686.

Terminal accusative, 1588, 1589. Testifying, vbs. of, w.  $\mu\eta$ , 2725.

Thematic, conjugation, 602.

Vowel, defined, 377; in  $\omega$ -vbs., 375 a, 456, 457, 602; not in  $\mu\iota$ -vbs., 379, 412; pres. w. and without, 499, 503, 504; of sec. aor. in first aor., in Hom., 542 D; lacking in sec. aor. of  $\omega$ -vbs. in Hom., 547 D; lacking in sec. aor. of  $\mu\iota$ -vbs., 550; in perf. mid., in Hom., 574 D; in inflec., 625-767, 776.

Theme, 371. See Verb-stems.

Thinking, vbs. of, foll. by εἶναι w. pred. adj., 1041; w. pred. gen., 1305; w. aor. inf. referring to fut., 1871; pers. constr. w. pass. of, 1982 a; inf. as obj. of, 2016–2022; inf. as subj. of pass. of, 2016 a, 2021; w. artic. inf., 2034 g; rarely w. part. in ind. disc., 2106 a; w. part. and ώs, 2121; foll. by inf., ὅτι, ώs, 2580, 2592 b; foll. by dir. or ind. disc., 2589; w. οὐ and inf., 2722; w. μή and inf., 2723.

Threat, expressed by voc., 1284; by first pers. sing. of subjv., 1798; by  $\epsilon i$  w. fut. indic., 2328; by  $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$  w. subjv., 2328 a; by  $\mathring{\eta}$   $\mu \acute{\eta} \nu$ , 2865, 2921.

Threatening, vbs. of, w. dat., 1461; w. fut., aor., and pres. inf., 1868, 1999, 2024.

Time, advs. of, 346; gen., dat., and acc. of, distinction, 1447, 1543; gen. of, 1444-1447, 1325; expressed by dat. of part., 1498; dat. of, 1539-1543, 1447, 1528; expressed by gen. and prep., 1583, 1685. 1 b; by εν w. dat., 1542; acc. of extent of, 1580, 1582-1585, 1447; expressed by acc. and prep., 1583, 1587; acc. of, where dat. is in place, 1583; expressed by adv. acc., 1611; by part., 2060, 2061, 2070.

Of an action, expressed by tenses, 1850–1857.

Tmesis, 1650-1653.

Touching, vbs. of, w. gen., 1345-1347; adjs. of, w. gen., 1416.

Towns, see Cities.

Transfer, of quantity, 34, 238 c, 434; of aspiration, 126.

Transition, marked by ắρα, 2789; by ἀτάρ, 2801; by γάρ, 2805; by ἀλλὰ γάρ, 2819 c; by δέ, 2836; by δή, 2846; by μὲν δή, 2900; by μὲν οδν, 2901 c; by μέντοι, 2919; by ἀλλὰ μήν (...γε), 2921; by καὶ μήν, 2921; by καὶ μήν ... γε, 2921; by οδν, 2956, 2964; by τοίνυν, 2987.

Transitive and intransitive senses, mixture of, in same vb., 819.

Transitive verbs, defined, 920; and intr., 920, 1553–1562, 1708, 1709; pred. adj. with, 1040 b; w. acc., 1590–1597, 1706.

Transposition, 128. See Metathesis. Trees, names of, gender, 199 b. Trust, put, vbs. signifying w. μή, 2725. Trusting, vbs. of, w. dat., 1464. Trying, vbs. of, w. obj. cl., 2217.

Ultima, 139.

Unclothing, vbs. of, w. two accs., 1628. Unlike, to be, vbs. meaning, w. dat., 1466.

Unreal, indic., 1786–1789; in causal clause, 2243; in apod. of simple pres. or past condit., 2300 b, 2358; in unreal condit., 2302–2320, 2358; in prot. and apod., 2353; in conjunction w.  $\epsilon l$  and the opt., 2365; after  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2442; condit. rel. cl., 2564. Urgency, expressed by  $\epsilon l$  w, fut., 1918.

Value, gen. of, 1336, 1337, 1372–1374, 1379; adjs. of, w. gen., 1424.

Variable vowel. See Thematic vowel. Variation, of stem formation, in third decl., 253, 278; of quantity, in third decl., 254; in vb.-stem, 475–495, 373, 374, see Gradation, Change, Quantity, etc.; of preps., 1666.

Vau, 3; see Digamma.

Vengeance, vbs. of, w. gen. and acc., 1376, 1405-1409.

Verb-stems, 367, 371–375, 826; changes in, 474–495; and pres. stems, 496–

531, 723-743; and fut. stems, 532-541: and first aor, stems, 542-545; and sec. aor. stems, 546-554; and sec. perf. stems, 554, 561-573; and first perf. stems, 555-560; and perf. mid. stems, 574-584; and first aor. pass, stems, 585-589; and sec. aor. pass. stems, 590-598; in compounds, 870, 880-882.

Verbal, adjectives, in -76s, comp., 323 a; meaning, 358, 2, 472; accent, 425 c; how formed, 471; in pl., 1003 a, 1052; agr., 1059; dat. w., 1488; w. acc., 1598.

In -réos, meaning, 358. 2, 473, 2149; accent, 425 c; how formed, 471; in pl., 1003 a, 1052; dat. w., 1488, 2149, 2, 2151, 2152; constr. of, pers. and impers., 2149-2152.

Nouns, defined, 355, 358; w.acc., 1598: use, 1966-2152. See Infinitive, Participles, Verbal adjectives.

Verbs, inflec., preliminary remarks, 355-380; stems, 367-380; primitive, 372; denom., 372, 866-868, 892; conjug., 381-422, 602-624, 717-743; accent. 423-427; aug. and redupl., 428-454; tense-suffs., thematic vowel, and mood-suffs., 455-461; pers, endings, 462-468; endings of inf., part., and verbal adj., 469-473; formation of tense-systems, 474-601; in  $-a\omega$ ,  $-\epsilon\omega$ ,  $-\omega$ , 499, 522; in  $-\iota\omega$ ,  $-\upsilon\omega$ , 500, 500 D, 501, 522; in  $-\pi\tau\omega$ , 505, 506; in  $-\zeta\omega$ , 508-512; in  $-\tau\tau\omega$  (Ion. and later Att.  $-\sigma\sigma\omega$ ), 513–516; liquid and nasal stems, 517-522; of N class, 523-525; in  $-\sigma\kappa\omega$ , 526-528; inflect of  $\omega$ vbs., 625-716; inflec. of μι-vbs., 744-767; irregular μι-vbs., 768-799; peculiarities in use of voice-forms, etc., 800-818; mixture of tr. and intr. senses, 819-821; compound, see Compound verbs; impers., see Impersonal verbs; tr. and intr., see Transitive verbs, Intransitive verbs; concord, 925, 949-972, 2501 b; omission, 944-948, 2520; gen. w., 1339- Whole and part, constr. of, 981-985.

1411; syntax, 1703-2152. See Voices, Moods, Tenses, etc.

Vocative case, 201, 202, 204, 223, 226, 233, 248, 249, 261; uses, 1283-1288. 2682, 2684.

Voice-forms, peculiarities in use, 800-818.

Voiced consonants, 15 a. Voiceless consonants, 15 b.

Voices, three, 355, 356; uses, 1703-1758. See Active. etc.

Vowel, declensions, of substs., 204, 211-239; of adjs., 286-290; cons. and vowel decl. of adjs., 294-299.

Stems, w.  $\sigma$  in perf. and plup. mid. and pass., 404, 407, 409 e and N. Suffixes, 859.

Verbs, defined, 376; conjug., 382-399, 404, 407, 409 e and n.; fut., 534; first aor., 543; first perf., 557, 558; tenses, 606; not contracted, stems, 607-610; contracted, stems, 611-613.

Vowels, the, 4; quantity, 4; pronunc., 24: vowel change, 27-45: compens. length, see Compensatory lengthening; shortening, 39, 40, 148 D.; addition, 41; development, 42; disappearance, 43, 44; assimilation, 45; euphony, 46-76; contact, 46; contraction, 48-59; absorption, 56; synizesis, 60, 61; crasis, 62-69; elision, 70-75; apocope, 75 D; aphaeresis, 76; conss. w., 109-117; thematic, see Thematic vowel. See Change, Gradation.

Want, adjs. of, w. gen., 1429. Wanting, vbs. of, w. gen., 1396.

Warning, expressed by voc., 1284; by first pers. sing. of subjv., 1798; by นท์ w. independent subjv. in Hom., 1802; by  $o\dot{v}$  w. fut., 1918; by  $\delta\pi\omega$ s or δπως μή w. fut. indic., 2213; condit. w. el w. fut. indic., 2328, w. ėáv w. subiv., 2328 a.

Way, advs. of, 346.

Whole, gen. of, see Divided whole. Will, vbs. of, w. inf., 1869, 1991-1999,

2719; other constrs. w., 1995; w. obj. cl., 2218; w. μή, 2720; w. οὐ, 2721. See Desiring.

Winds, names of, gender, 199 a; use of article with, 1139.

Wish, unattainable, expressed by indic. w.  $\epsilon l\theta \epsilon$  (poet.  $\alpha l\theta \epsilon$ ) or  $\epsilon l \gamma \alpha \rho$ , 1780; by ωφελον w. inf., 1781; by εβουλόμην and inf., 1782; by έβουλόμην άν, 1789; opt. of, 1814-1819; expressed by βουλοίμην άν, 1827, by πως άν, τίς  $\ddot{a}_{\nu}$  w. potent. opt., 1832; inf. in, 2014; summary of forms, 2156; in Zeugma, 3048.

cl. introd. by έπει, 2244; μή in, 2704. 2715; expressed by vb. of saying or thinking w. μή, 2723: άλλά in. 2784 d; γάρ in, 2807; δητα in, 2851. Wishing, vbs. of, in fut., 1913.

Wondering, vbs. of, w. gen., 1405; w. el, 2247; w. öri or ws, 2577, 2587; w. part., 2587.

Yes, how expressed, 2680. Yes and No questions, see Questions. Yielding, verbs of, with dat., 1463. Yod, 20.

## GREEK INDEX

The references are to the sections. Verbs included in the List of Verbs are, in general, not cited except when a special form has been referred to in the Grammar.

- A, pronunc., 24; quantity, 4, 170; lengthens to  $\bar{\alpha}$  after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ , 217, 218, 484, elsewhere, 28  $\nu$ , 30 a, 37, 96, 476 b, 544; lengthens to  $\eta$ , 27, 217, 435, 446, 484, 487;  $\alpha:\epsilon$   $\circ$ , 36, 478, 479, 483 a;  $\alpha:\bar{\alpha}(\eta):\omega$ , 36, 476, 477 c; from sonant liq. or nas, 35 b, 482, 573, 840. 2, 885. 1 a; prefixed, 41; adv. end., 344; added to verbstem, 486; at end of first part of cpds, 873 c.
- a, nom. sing. 1 decl., 219.
- å- or åv-, priv., 885.1; w. gen., 1428.
- á- or á-, copul., 885.4.
- $\bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , for  $\eta$  after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ , 31, 286 a, 484; subst.-stems in, 204, 211 ff.; replace o-stems in cpds., 872 b.
- $\bar{a}$  (Dor., Aeol.) for  $\eta$ , 30, 32, 36 d, 738 a,
- 214 D 1, Introd. c, N. 1. ā, gen. sing. (Dor., Aeol.) 1 decl., 214 D 5, 225.
- $\bar{a}$ , from at  $< a_{fi}$ , 38.

ā:ω:α, 36.

- ā, suff., 858. 1, 859. 2, 859. 3.
- a, 5, pronunc., 25; in aug., 436.
- αα, αα, αα, αα, for αε, αει, αη, 643.
- ἄβροτος, 130 D.
- άγα-, pref., 885.7.
- άγαθός, decl., 287; comp., 319; adv.  $\epsilon \delta$ , 345.
- άγαμαι, 488 a, 489 e, f; subjv., opt., 749 b, 750 b; pres., 725; pass. dep., 812; w. acc. and gen., 1405; w. εl, 2247. 'Αγαμέμνων, νοc., 261.
- άγανακτέω, w. ἐπί and dat., 1518; w. part., 2100; w. εί, 2247.
- άγαπάω, w. ότι, 2248; w. part., 2100.

- άγγέλλω, perf., plup. mid., 407; aug. in Hdt., 438 d; pers. constr. w. pass., 1982 a; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2144. ἀγείρω, red., 446; ἀγέρεσθαι, 425 a D. άγινέω, no aug. in Hdt., 438 d; ἀγινέμεναι, 657. ἀγνοέω, fut. mid. as pass., 808; w. part., 2106.
- άγνυμι, aug., 431, 434; plup., 444. άγορεύω, aug. in Hdt., 438 d.
- άγορηθεν, 342 D.
- άγρότερος, 313 b.
- ἄγχι, w. gen. or dat., 1440. ἀγχοῦ, 1700.
- ἄγω, 2 aor., 448; as perf., 1940 a; mix. aor., 542 d; perf., 446 b, 571; fut. inf. in Hom., 661 d; aug. in Hdt., 438 d; w. gen., 1346; intr., 1709 a; ἄγομαι γυναῖκα, 1721; fut. mid. as pass., 809; ἄγε, ἄγετε, w. subjv. or imper., 1010, 1797 a, b, 1836; ἄγων, with, 2068 a; cpds. of, 887.
- | ἀγών, decl., 259.
- άγωνίζομαι, 1726; with pass. mean., 808, 813 a; w. στάδων, 1576.
- **αδ**, suff., 840 b, 5, 845, 863 b, 8.
- αδā, suff., 845.
  - άδελφός, voc., 233; adj. w. case, 1417. άδεῶς, 44 a.
  - άδικέω, intr., 1709 c; as perf., 1887; fut. mid. as pass., 808; w. acc., 1591; w. els, πρόs, 1592; w. part., 2101.
- άδικος, decl., 289.
- αδιο, suff., 863 b, 11.
- άδύνατος, ἀδύνατα for -τον, 1003 a, 1052; w. fut. inf., 1865 d.
- ae to aa, 643.

άεθλέω, no aug. in Hdt., 438 d. άεί, from alei, 38. άεικίζω, fut., 539 D. άεκαζόμενος, form, 2071 a. άέκων, see ἄκων. άετός, from aleτός, 38. -αζε, 342 a. -aζω, vbs. in, 866.6; fut. of, 539 d. άηδών, decl., 279 c. äημι, 724, 741; Hom. forms, 752 D. άήρ, 259 D, 283. 'Αθήναι, 1005; -αζε, 26, 106, 342, 1589,  $-\eta\theta\epsilon\nu$ , 342;  $-\eta\sigma\iota$ , 342, 1535;  $A\theta\eta\nu\hat{a}$ , -alā, 227; 'Αθηναίη, 227 D. άθροίζω, w. είς, 1660 b. άθρόος, not contr., 290 e; comp., 316. **ἀθ**υμέω, w. obj. cl., 2224 a, "**Αθως**, 282 a; 'Αθόως, 238 D. at, pronunc., 25; in crasis of kal, 68; elided, 74; when short or long for accent, 169, 213, 427, 162 D 2; aug. to y, 435; no aug. in Hdt., 438 d. -ai, pers. end. for  $-\sigma ai$ , 465 a. -ai, inf. end., 469 b. ai, for εl, 2282 a; αl κε, w. subjv. after οίδα, etc., in Hom., 2673. Alas, voc., 249 a and D. albéonar, 488 a, 489 c; w. acc., 1595 a; w. inf. or part., 2100, 2126; pass. dep., 812, 802 p. 'Atδης, 8 D; έν (εls) 'Ατδαο, 1302;'Αϊδόσδε, 342 D. allás, decl., 266 and D. alFei, 3 D. αἴθε, accent, 186; w. opt., 1815. alθήρ, defect., 283. Alθίοψ, decl., 256. -auv, dual, 214 p 7. -aιμι, for -aω, 656. -air, dual, 212. auvā, suff. 843 b 5, 861. 13. alvéw, 488 b. alνίττομαι, dep. as pass., 813.  $-\alpha \iota \nu \%$ , tense-suff., 523 i. aίνυμαι, form, 734. -aivo, vbs. in, 518, 866. 7.

αιξ, decl., 256.

-aîos, adj. end., 354 f., 858. 2 a.

αίρέω, 529; 2 aor., 431; perf., 435; mid., 1734.1; w. gen. and acc., 1376; w. two accs., 1613; pass., 1742. -αιρω, vbs. in, 518. αίρω, aor., 544 c; w. two accs., 1579; intr., 1709 a. -ais, Aeol. acc. pl., 214 D 10. -ais, -aisa, Aeol. part. end., 305 p. 310 р, 671 р. -ais, -aioi, -aoi, dat. pl., 214 p 9, 215 a. -ais, -ai, -aiev, opt. end., 461 a. aloa, 113. alσθάνομαι, mid. dep., 1729; pres. as perf., 1885 a; 2 aor. ingress., 1925 a; w. acc. or gen., 1361, 1367, 2112 a; w. part. or inf., 1363, 2110-2112, 2144; W.  $\delta \tau_{\iota}$  ( $\dot{\omega}$ s), 2110-2112, 2145. -aioi, dat. pl., see -ais. αίσσω, w. acc., 1558 a. αίσχρός, comp., 318; αίσχρόν, w. εί, 2247.αἰσχύνομαι, mid. pass., 815; w. acc., 1595 a; w. dat., 1595 b; w.  $\epsilon \pi l$  and dat., 1518; w. part. or inf., 2100, 2126; w. obj. cl., 2224 a; w. el, 2247; fut. mid. and pass., 1911;  $\dot{\eta}\sigma\chi\dot{\nu}\nu\theta\eta\nu$ , as mid., 815. -αίτερος, -αίτατος, 315 a. αίτέω, w. two accs., 1613; τι παρά τινος, 1630; w. μή, 2720. alτιάομαι, mid. dep., 810, 1729; w. pass. mean., 813; w. gen., 1375. аїтюs, w. gen., 1425. āk, suff., 864.4. -ακι(s), advs. in, 344. ἀκολουθέω, w. dat., 1524. άκόλουθος, w. gen. or dat., 1417. άκοντίζω, w. gen., 1350. άκούω, tenses w. σ inserted, 489 e, f; 2 perf., 446 b, 562 a; mid. fut., 806, 1728 a; w. gen. or acc., 1361-1366, 1411, 1465; w. dat., 1366; pres. as perf., 1885; w.  $\epsilon \hat{v}$  ( $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ ) as pass., 1593, 1752; w. part. or inf., 2110-2112, 2144; w. ὅτι (ώs), 2110-2112; w.  $\delta \tau \epsilon$ , 2395 A, note. άκροάομαι, fut. and aor., 487 a; w. gen., 1361, 1364, 1411.

άλέω, short vow. in tenses, 488 a; tenses w.  $\sigma$  inserted, 489 b, f. άλήθεια, -εία, 219. 2 b, 220;  $\tau \hat{\eta}$  άληθεία in truth, 1527 b. άληθής, decl., 292; comp., 313; άληθες, 292 a. άληθινός, 834 d, 858. 12. άλιεύς, decl., 276. äλις, w. gen., 1439 c. άλίσκομαι, aug., 431, 434; stem, 528; fut., 486; sec. aor., 682 a, 687; as pass. of  $\alpha i \rho \epsilon \omega$ , 1378, 1752; pres. as perf., 1887; w. part., 2113, 2114. άλλά, 2775–2786, 2654; άλλ $\tilde{\eta}$ , 2654, 2786; άλλὰ (καί), after οὐχ ὅτι, etc., 2763, 2764; οὐ μὴν (μέντοι) ἀλλά,  $2767, 2921; d\lambda\lambda d \gamma d\rho, 2786, 2816-$ 2819;  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ , 2902;  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$   $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\delta\dot{\eta}$ , 2900; άλλὰ μέντοι, 2786, 2918 a;  $d\lambda\lambda\dot{a} \mu\dot{\eta}\nu$ , 2786, 2921;  $d\lambda\lambda'$  o $\bar{v}\nu$ , 2957. άλλάττω, pres., 514; perf., 571; w. gen., 1372. άλλαχόθεν, -όσε, -οῦ, 342. άλλ**έξαι**, 75 D. ἄλληκτος, 81 p 1. άλλήλοιν, decl., 331; use, 1277. άλλοθεν, -οθι, -οσε, 342. άλλοῖος, w. gen. or dat., 1430. **ἄλλομαι**, mid. dep., 1729; ἀλτο, 9 p. 688; ἄλεται, 682 υ. äλλος, decl., 335; use, 1271-1276; ἄλλος τε καί, 1273, cp. 2980; ἄλλος ἄλλο, etc., 1274; ἄλλο τι (ή), 2652; ἄλλη, 1527 c. άλλότριος, w. gen. or dat., 1430. äμα, improper prep., 1701; w. part., 2081; äμα . . . καί, 2876. άμαρτάνω, w. part., 2101. ἄμβροτος, ἄβροτος, 130 D. άμε, άμες, άμεων, άμων, άμιν, άμιν, 325 p 4,

άκρος, position w. art., 1172.

άλεύομαι, as fut., 541 a; aor., 543 D.

2071 a. 2117 b.

άλαδε, άλόθεν, 342 p.

άλγεινός, comp., 318.

άλειφαρ, 258 c.

άμείβομαι. w. acc., 1591 a. ακων, decl., 305 b; gen. abs., 2071, άμείνων, ἄριστος, 319; mean., 319 a. άμιλλάομαι, pass. dep., 812. άμμε, άμμες, άμμι(ν), 105 D, 134 D, 325 р 1. 3. άμνημονέω, w. gen., 1357. άμός (sometimes printed άμός), 330 p l. άμτυω, ἀμύνομαι, mean. and constr., 1376, 1471, 1597, 1734. 2. άμφί, άμφίς, 136 D, 175 a, N. 1; use, 1675-1677, 1681. άμφιέννυμι, perf. with pres. mean., 1946. άμφιλέγω, w. redundant μή, 2740. άμφιπερί, 1649 a. άμφισβητέω, w. redundant μή, 2740. ацфотерог, 349 е, 962 а, 1171, 1179. άμφοτέρωθεν, -θι, -σε, 342. αμφω, decl., 349 e; with pl. subj., vb. in pl. or dual, 962 a; w. dual and pl., 999; in pred. position, 1171, 1179. av, force, 1762, 1768 b; position, 1764. repeated, 1765; without vb., 1766;  $\kappa a \nu \epsilon l$ , 1766 b; omitted, 1767; dependent subjv. w., 1768; w. indic., past potent., 1784; w. indic., denoting unreality, 1786-1789; w. iterative indic., 1790-1792, 1894, 1933, 2341; w. fut. indic., 1793; w. anticipatory subjv., in Hom., 1810, 2407 a; w. subjv., in Hom., in independent sent., 1813; w. potent. opt., 1822, 1824-1834, 2405; w. inf. and part., 1845-1849, 2023, 2146, 2270; in final clauses, 2201, 2202; in obj. clauses, 2215, 2216, 2232; w. ώστε, 2270, 2277, 2278; apod. without, in unreal condit., 2313-2320; in apod. of less vivid fut. condit., 2329; w. temp. particles, 2399; w  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2444-2447, 2452; in indir. disc., 2600, 2603, 2607, 2609, 2611. αν, the form, 2283; see ἐάν. -âv, in gen. pl., 214 p 8. ἄν (for ἀνά, prep.), in Hom., 75 D. äva, for ἀνάστηθι, 72 D, 175 b N. άνα, voc., 72 D, 249 D. ανά, prep., 354 a, 1675, 1677, 1682. άναγιγνώσκω, two aors., 820.

άναγκαĵos, pers. constr. w., 1982 a. άνάγκη, w. acc. or dat. and inf., 1985 b. άναίνομαι, followed by μή, 2726. άναμιμνήσκω τινά τινος, 1630. άνάσσω, w. gen., 1370; w. loc. dat., 1537, 1538, äνευ, 1665 a, 1700; w. redund. ού, 2753. ανέχομαι, w. part. or inf., 2098, 2127. άνήρ, 130, 262 p; as appos., 986 b. ανθρωπος, 231; as appos., 986 b. άνίστημι, tr. and intr. tenses, 819 N. -αννυμι, fut. of vbs. in, 539 c.  $-a\nu\%$ -, tense-suff., 523 b, c. άνοίγνυμι, fut. mid. as pass., 808. άντί, 175 a n. 1, 1073, 1675, 1677, 1683. άντία, άντίον, improper prep., 1700. άνύω, 488 a, 500. 1 b and 1 p, 489 e, f; fut., 539 D; avósas, quickly, 2062 a. agios, pers. construction more common w., 1982 a; ἄξιόν τινι w. inf., 1424. άξιόω, W. οὐκ, 2692 a; W. μή, 2720. åπ, 75 D. άπαγορεύω, w. part., 2098; w. redundant  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2740. äπās, see πâs. άπατάω, 454 a, 809. άπαφίσκω, redup., 526 c. ăπειμι, accent of fut., 426 e. åπέκ, 1649 a. άπέχω, form άπόσχωμαι, 426 f; and  $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$ χομαι, w. redundant μή, 2740. άπιστέω, aug., 454 a; w. obj. clause, 2224 a; w. redundant  $\mu\eta$ , 2740. άπό, rare w. όλίγοι and w. numerals, · 1317 a; cpds. of, w. gen., 1384; use, 1675, 1677-1679, 1684, 1755; mean. in cpds., 1680. άποδίδωμι, mean. of act. and mid., 1734. 3. άποθνήσκω, as pass. of άποκτείνω, 1752. άποκάμνω, w. part. or inf., 2144. άποκρίνομαι, pass. dep., 812 b, 813. άπολαύω, 489 f; mid. fut., 806. άπολείπω, w. part., 2098. ἀπόλλυμι, tr. and intr. tenses, 819. άπολογέομαι, pass. dep., 812 b, 813 d.

άποπρό, 1649 a.

άπορέω, 454 α; άπορέομαι, 812 c.

άποστερέω τινά τινος (τινός τι), or τινί  $\tau$ ι, 1630; w. redundant  $\mu$ ή, 2740. ἀποφεύγω, used as pass. of ἀπολόω, 1752. άπόχρη, inflec., 793 a. άπτω, meaning of act. and mid., 1734. 4. άπύ, prep., 33 p, 1675 a, 1684. ἄρ, 2787. ἄρα, 2787-2799 ; γὰρ ἄρα, 2820 ; δ' ἄρα, 2839.  $\mathring{a}$ ρa, interrog. particle, 2650, 2671;  $\mathring{a}_{\rho}$ ού, άρα μή, 2651. åρα, confirmative particle, 2800. άραρίσκω, redup., 526 c; sec. aor., 688: tr. and intr., 820, 821. άρέσκω, 488 a, 489 e. άρήν, decl., 285. 1. 'Aρης, decl., 285. 2. άρι-, 885. 6. а́рьстоѕ, 319, 319 а. άρκέω, 488 a, 489 f. άρνέομαι, pass. dep., 812; w. redundant  $\mu\eta$ , 2740. άρόω, 488 a. άρρην, decl., 291 a. άρύω, άρύτω, 488 a, 489 f, 500. 1 b, 531. -apxos, compounds of, accent, 894 N. ἄρχω, fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 808; w. gen., 1370; w. dat., 1537, 1538; ἄρχομαι, w. gen., 1391; meaning of act. and mid., 1734.5; ingress. aor., 1925; αρχομαι w. part. or inf., 2098, 2128. -ás, numeral words in, 354 e. -ăs, for -ās, in acc. pl., 162 p 2. -ās, words in, w. -ā in gen., 225; w. voc. in -ā, 226; parts. in, decl., 306. -ăoi, -aoi, 3d pers. ending, 463 d and D. -āσι (ησι), in Ā stems, loc., 341. атиетов, comp., 316 b; pred. use, 1043. **ἄσσα**, 334 D. φσσω, w. acc., 1558 a. атт, decl., 268 and D 2; accent, 271. -atal, -ato, pers. endings, 465 f and D. άτάρ, 2801. ἄτε (ἄτε δή), w. part., 2085; omission of  $\&\nu$  after, 2117. ἄτερ, improper prep., 1700. атта, 339; атта, not enclit., 181 b, 334 a.

άποσβέννυμι, tr. and intr. tenses, 819.

aυ, pronunc., 25; aug., 435 (cp. 437); stems in, 275.

αΰ, 2802; δ' αΰ, 2839.

αθθις, 2802.

αὐτάρ, 2801.

αὖτε, 2802.

αὐτίκα, modifying part. in sense, 2081. αὐτός, oblique cases used as pers. pron. in Att. prose, 325 d, 328 b, 1171, 1201. 1 a, 1202. 1 a, 1204, 1212; decl., 327; meanings, 328, 1204; crasis, αύτός. etc., 328 c n.; αὐτό, etc., introduc. following subst., 990; same, attrib. position, 1163, 1173, 1204, 1210; self, pred. position, 1171, 1173, 1176, 1204, 1206 b; in Hom., 1205, 1211, 1233; emphatic (self), 1206-1209; unemphatic αὐτοῦ, etc., not at beginning of sentence, 1213, 1217, 1228 a; emphatic or reflex. w. other prons., 1233-1237; dat. w. ὁ αὐτός, 1500; αὐτοῖς άνδράσι, etc., 1525.

άφαιρέομαι τινά τινος (τινός τι), or τινί τι, 1630; w. redundant μή, 2741. άφτημι, aug., 450; w. redund. μή, 2741.

άφικνέομαι, w. perf. sense, 1886. ἄχθομαι, 488 b, 489 e, 812, 1911; w. εί,

2247; w. part., 2100.

ἄχρι, 72 c, 1700, 2383.

-aa, vbs. in, pres. part., 310; conjug. of pres. and imperf., 385; pres. system, 499, 522; inflec., 635-657; in dialects, 642-653; denominatives, 866. 1; frequentatives and intensives, 867; desideratives, 868.

-άων, -âν, in gen., 214 D 8, 287 D.

B, bef. dental stop, 82; bef.  $\mu$ , 85; bef.  $\nu$ , 88; developed between  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  (or  $\lambda$ ), 130.

βαίνω, 488 c, 489 b, f; sec. aor., 551, 682 a, 682 p, 683 a, 684 a, 687; perf. subjv., 693; sec. perf., 704 a and p; mid. fut., 806; tr. and intr. tenses, 819; perf. w. pres. mean., 1946.

βάλλω, 521, 682 D, 688, 711 d.

βασιλεύς, 275, 277, 278; w. and without article, 1140.

βελτίων, βέλτιστος, 319; mean., 319 a. βιάζομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813; w. acc., 1591 a; as pass., 1742.

βιόω, 687; fut. mid. w. act. mean., 806. βλάπτω, w. acc., 1462, 1591 a.

βοάω, 489 g; mid. fut., 806; w. μή, 2720. βοηθέω, w. dat., 1592.

Boppas, form, 117; decl., 227.

βούλομαι, aug., 430; βούλει, 628; pass. dep., 812; ἐβουλόμην (ἄν), expressing wish, 1782, 1789; βούλει, βούλεσθε before delib. subjv., 1806; βουλοίμην ἄν, expressing wish, 1827; w.fut. inf., 1869. βοῦς, decl., 275.

βροτός, 130 D.

βυνέω, fut., 488 c; w. σ, 489 d, f.

Γ, nasal, 15 a, 19 a, 22, 81, 84, 92; before dental stop, 82; before  $\mu$ , 85; for  $\beta$ , dial., 132 r; suffixes w., 864. γάλα, decl., 285. 3.

γαμέω, mean. of act. and mid., 1734. 6. γάρ, 2803–2820; οὐ γὰρ ἀλλά, 2767,2786; ἀλλὰ γάρ, 2786, 2816–2819; ) ( γοῦν, 2833; δὴ γάρ, 2840; ἢ γάρ, 2865; γὰρ οῦν, 2958.

γέ, 181 d, 2821-2829; μή τί γε, 2763 e; άλλά . . . γε, 2786; άλλά γέ τοι (τοί γε), 2786; γὲ μέν, 2902; καὶ μὴν . . . γε, 2921.

γέγηθα, w. part., 2100.

γείνομαι, tr. and intr. tenses, 820.

-γειος, -γεως, compounds in, 888 e.

γελάω, 488 a, 489 e, f; γελώω, 641 p, 643 x.; mid. fut., 806; dramat. aor., 1937.

γέλως, decl., 257 D, 285. 4.

 $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ , in Hdt., 227 D; omitted, 1027 b, 1302.  $-\gamma \bar{\iota}$ , deictic suff., 333 g.

γίγνομαι, 573, 704 b; copulative, 917 a; periph. w., 1710, 1754, 1964; as pass. of τίκτω, 1752; pres. as perf., 1887; part. as pred. adj. w., 2091.

γιγνώσκω, 489 c, 681-687, 806; of past and pres. combined, 1885 a; perf. as pres., 1946; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2129.

γένομαι, 89.

δέρη, 218 a.

γινώσκω, 89. γνώμη, implied, 1027 b; γνώμην ήττ ασθαι and νίκαν γνώμην, 1576. γοῦν, 2830-2833. γραθs, decl., 275; in Hom., 275 D 2. γραφήν, w. vbs. of judicial action, 1377. 1576.γράφω, act ) ( mid., 1734. 7; γράφεσθαί τινα γραφήν, 1576; pass., 1742. γυνή, decl., 285. 6.  $\Delta$ . bef. dental stop, 83; bef.  $\mu$ , 86; developed, between  $\nu$  and  $\rho$ , 130; for  $\beta$ , dial., 132 D; suffixes w., 863 b. δαί, 2848. δαίνυμι, 489 е. δάκρυον, decl., 285. 7. δακρύω, 500. 1 a; w. acc., 1595 a. δάμνημι, fut. δαμόω, 539 D; δάμνās, 747 D 4. δανείζω, act. ) ( mid., 1734. 8. δαρθάνω, fut. mid. w. act. mean., 806. δαὖτε, 2845.  $\delta \epsilon$ , 2655, 2834-2839;  $\kappa \alpha i \ldots \delta \epsilon$ , 2891;  $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$  . . .  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , 2903–2916; o $\check{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon$  . . .  $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , 2947; δ' οὖν, 2959;  $\tau \grave{\epsilon} \dots \delta \acute{\epsilon}$ , 2981. δέδια, 703. -δε, enclit., 181 d, 186, 342 and a, 1589. δε-δί(κ)-σκομαι, welcome, 526 c p. δεt, contr., 397 a, 651 a; quasi-impers., 933 b, 1985; w. gen. of quantity, 1399; w. dat. of pers. and gen. of thing, 1400, 1467; w. acc. of pers. and gen. of thing, 1400; w. acc. of thing, 1400; έδει, of pres. or past time, 1774-1779. 1905, 2313-2315; ἔδει ἄν, 2315; w. acc. and inf., 1985 b; neg. w., 2714 b. See δέω. δείδω, 703 D; w. acc., 1595 a; δέδοικα, w. redund. μή, 2741. δείκνυμι, 308, 418, 422, 571,733,744-767; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2130. δείνα, decl. and use, 336, 1180. δεινόν, W. εί, 2247. δένδρον, decl., 285. 8. δεξίτερος, mean., 313 b. δίος, ducl., 285. 9.

δέρκομαι, 812; form ἔδρακον, 128 D. δεσμός, ό, τὰ δεσμά, 281. δευτεραίος, pred. use of, 1042 a. δέχομαι and δέκομαι, 127 and D; δεδέξο- $\mu a \iota$ , 580 p; mid. dep., 813 c; dramat. aor., 1937. δέω and δέομαι, contr., 397 a; δέομαι, pass. dep., 812; δέον, copula omitted w., 944 b;  $\delta \epsilon \omega$ , lack, w. gen., 1397; δέομαι, constr. w., 1398; δέομαι, w. fut. inf., 1869;  $\delta \epsilon \omega$ , pers. constr., 1983; δέον, acc. abs., 2076 A. δέω, bind, 397 a, b, 488 c. δή, δήποτε, w. indef. pron., 339 e; 2840-2847 ; οὐ δή, οὐ δή που ; 2651 f ; ἀλλὰ δή, 2786; γὰρ δή, 2820; γὰρ δή που, 2820; δὲ δή, 2839; καὶ δή, 2847; ἢ δή, 2865; καὶ δὴ καί, 2890; μὲν δή, 2899, 2900; δη οθν, 2960. δήθεν, 2849. δηλός έστι, w. ὅτι or part., δηλόν έστι w.  $\ddot{o}$ τι, 1982 Ν.; δ $\hat{\eta}$ λός  $\epsilon l\mu\iota$ , w. part., 2107; δ. είμι ότι, 2584; δηλον ότι (δηλονότι), without vb., 2585. δηλόω, 385, 387, 392, 809; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2131. -δην, advs. in, 344. δήπου, 2850. δήτα, 2851; οὖν δήτα, 2960. -8ī, deictic suff., 333 g. διά, no anastrophe, 175 a n. 1; in cpds., 1648, 1680; use, 1675, 1676, 1678, 1679, 1685, 1755. διαγίγνομαι, w. part., 2097; ων omitted w., 2119. διάγω, intr., 1709 a; w. part., 2097. διάδοχος, w. gen. or dat., 1417. διαιρέω, w. two accs., 1626. διάκειμαι, w. adv., in periph., 1438. διαλέγομαι, pass. dep., 812. διαλείπω, w. part., 2098. διαμένω, w. part., 2097. διανοέομαι, pass. dep., 812; w. inf., 1869, 1992 a; w. μή, 2723 a. διαπρό, 1649 a. διατελέω, w. part., 2097; ων omitted w., διάφορος, w. gen., 1430; w. dat., 1430.

διδάσκω, 99, 808; w. acc., 1579. -διδράσκω, 687; fut. mid., 806.

δίδωμι, 307, 416, 421, 488 c, 726, 744–767. διέκ, 1649 a.

δικάζω, δικάζεσθαί τινί τινος, 1376; δικάζειν δίκην, δικάζεσθαι δίκην τινί, 1576, 1734. 9.

δίκαιος, pers. construction w., 1982 a; w. ἐστί om., 944 c; δίκαιον ἦν w. and w't ἄν and inf., 1774, 1774 a.

δίκη, implied, 1027 b; δίκην w. vbs. of judicial action, 1377, 1378; δφλισκάνω δίκην, 1576; νῖκάω δίκην, 1576; τίνω (τίνομαι) δίκην, 1734. 18; δίκην δοῦναι, as pass. of ζημιοῦν, 1752; δίκη, 1527 b; δίκην, improper prep., 1700. See δικάζω, διώκω.

διξός, 354 D.

διο, suff., 863 b 5.

Διονύσια, defect., 283.

διόπερ, 2240.

διότι, 2240, 2578.

διττός, double, 354 d.

δίχα, and διχθά, 354 d, 1097 b, 1700. διψάω, 394, 641 d.

διώκω, διώκω θανάτου, 1374; διώκω γραφήν τινα, 1576.

δοιοί, δοιώ, in Hom., 349 p.

δοκέω, I seem, pers. constr., 1983; I believe, 1983 a; δοκῶ μοι, 1467 a, 1992 c; δοκεῖ w. inf., 1983 a, 1985; δοκεῖ μοι τινα ἐλθεῖν, rare for δοκεῖ τίς μοι ἐλθεῖν, 1983 a; δοκῶ, δοκεῖ μοι, I have a mind to, w. pres. or aor. inf., 1998; οὐ δοκῶ, 2692 a; w. μή, 2726.

δοκιμάζω, with part. or inf., 2132.

δόμος, omitted after certain preps., 1302. -δον, advs. in, 344.

δόρυ, decl., 285. 10.

δρατός, -δαρτος, 128 D.

δράω, 489 b, e, f, 641; w. acc., 1591 a. δρόσος, gender of, 232 d.

δύναμαι, 488 c, 489 g, 812; pres. subjv. and opt., 424 c, n. 2, 749 b; w. superl., 1086 a; w. fut. inf., 1869.

δυνατός, personal constr. w., 1982 a; w. ἐστί om., 944 c; w. inf., 2001; acc. abs., 2076 c. δύο, 349; w. pl., 349 d, 962 a; with dual and pl., 999.

δυσ-, cpds., 103 a, 105, 885. 3, 898 c; aug. of vbs. cpd. w., 452.

δυσχεραίνω, w. acc., 1595 a; w. dat., 1595 b.

δύω, ἔδῦν, 418, 682 p, 685 p, 687, 758 p; quantity of ν, 500. 1a and 1 p; tr. and intr. tenses, 819.

δώρον, decl., 231.

E, sign, 2 a; name, 1 b; pronunc., 24; interch. w.  $\eta$ , 27, 36, 37 d 2, 39, 278, 435, 446, 534, 543, 738 b, 887; lengthens to  $\epsilon_4$ , 96, 100, 102, 544; syll. aug., 429, 431, 444; them. vow. in indic., 456, in Hom. subjv., 457 d; expelled in weak stems, 36, 44 b, 262, 476 a, bef. vow., 44 a, from  $-\epsilon\epsilon\alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon\epsilon$ , 650 a; prefixed, 41; added to vb.-stem, 485, in forming cpds., 882;  $\epsilon$ :0, 229 b;  $\epsilon$ :0: $\alpha$ , 36, 483 a, 831 b;  $\epsilon$ : $\eta$ : $\omega$ , 36, 831 a;  $\epsilon$ :0: $\omega$ , 488 b; dial. for  $\alpha$ ,  $\eta$ , 0,  $\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon$ , 33 d.

€, pers. pron., 181 a, 187 N. 1.

-εα, for -ην, 214 D 6.

ea, from na, 34.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν,  $\ddot{\eta}\nu$ ,  $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$ , 1768 a, 2283, 2247, 2323, 2336, 2337; if haply, 2354;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν καί, καὶ  $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν, 2369–2381;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν οὐ, 2696, 2698;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν άρα, 2796, 2797;  $\dot{\epsilon}$ άν τε ( $\ddot{\eta}\nu$  τε,  $\ddot{\alpha}\nu$  τε), 2852 a.

έαυτοῦ (αὐτοῦ), deel., 329; uses, 1218— 1237, 1201. 2 a, 1202. 2 a. See σφῶν αὐτῶν.

**ἐάω**, 431, 438 d; mid. fut. as pass., 808; οὐκ ἐάω, 2692 a.

έγγνάω, aug., 453 a; έγγνωμαι μή, 2726. έγγός, comp. of, 345 b; for adj., 1097 b; w. gen. or dat., 1439, 1440, 1700; improper prep., 1700.

έγείρω, 446, 549, 693, 705, 819.

έγκαλέω τινί and τί τινι, 1471.

έγώ, έγώ(ν), 134 p, 325 p 1; enclit. forms, 181 a; μου and έμοῦ, etc., 187 e s. 2, 325 a, 1192; decl., 325; έγωγε, etc., 325 b; imaginary person, 1193. ἐθέλω, w. inf., 1869, 1992 a.

εθεν, 181 D, 325 D 1. έθίζω, aug., 431. et, diphth., 5; genuine and spurious, 6, 25; pronunc., 25; for  $\epsilon$  in verse, 28 D; ει: οι: ι, 36, 477, 555 b, 564, 576, 586 c, 738, 831 a; by compens. length. for  $\epsilon$ , 37; in aug., 435, 437; instead of redup., 445; loses i. 43, 270 b. el. proclit., 179; w. opt., to express wish, 1815; ωσπερ (άν) εί, 2087 a, 2478-2480;  $\dot{\omega}s$   $\epsilon l$   $(\tau \epsilon)$ , 2087 b, 2347, 2481-2485; in caus. cl., 2246, 2247; condit., 2282, 2283, 2328, 2329, 2336, 2339, 2340;  $\epsilon i \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ , 1780, 1781, 1815, 1816;  $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}, \ \epsilon i \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \mu \dot{\eta}, \ \epsilon i \ \mu \dot{\eta} \ \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \ (\epsilon i), \ W. \ Vb.$ omitted, 2346;  $\epsilon i \delta' \delta' \gamma \epsilon$ , 2348;  $\epsilon l$  and opt. w.  $a\nu$ , 2353; if haply, 2354;  $\epsilon l$ καί, καὶ εί, 2369, 2374-2381; in ind. quest., 2671; et ke, w. subjy. w. vbs. of knowing, etc., in Hom., 2673; el ...  $\eta$  ( $\epsilon l \tau \epsilon$ ), 2675;  $\epsilon l \circ v$ , 2696, 2698– 2701;  $\epsilon l \, d\rho \alpha$ , 2796, 2797;  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \, \epsilon l$ , 2966 a. -et and -n, inflectional endings, 628. -ei, advs. in, 344. -eia, substs. in, 219. 2 a and b. -etas and -ats, in opt., 461 a, 668 and p. elbov, 72 D, 424 b, 431, 529; w. part., 2112 a; w. el, 2354 b. -είημεν and -είμεν, in opt., 675 a.  $\epsilon$ ίθε (αἴθε) or εἰ γάρ, w. indic., 1780, 1781; w. opt., 1815, 1816. είκάζω, aug., 437; τι τινι, 1469. elkós, copula omitted w., 944 b; aor. inf. preferred w., 1868 b; εἰκός ἐστι, w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2726;  $\epsilon i\kappa \delta s \, \hat{\eta} \nu$ , 1774, 1905, 2313. elui, enclit. forms, 181 c, 181 p, 424 a; accent  $\xi \sigma \tau \iota$ , when used, 187 b; forms, 768-772, cp. 463, 464, 466, 747 D 1: fut. mid. w. act. mean., 806; copulative, 917 a; forms, often omitted, 944. 2116-2119; elvai redundant w. pred. noun, 1615; w. part. forming periph., 1961, 1962; w. part. as pred. adj., 2091; ħν w. adjs. and verbals of unfulfilled obligation, 1774; gen. w.,

1303, 1304; dat. w., 1476; öv acc. abs.,

2076 c; έκων είναι, 2012 c; έστι, quasiimpers., 1985; έστιν ὅστις, είσὶν οί. 2513; έστιν ων, etc., 2514; έστιν οῦ. etc., 2515; οὐκ ἔστιν ὅς, etc., 2551. 2552, 2557. See efecti. είμι, forms, 773-776, cp. 463 d D, 747 D1, 2; ħs, 464 c D; mean., 774, 1880; ίθι w. hort. subjv., 1797 a; w. imper... 1836. είν, είνί, 1687. -εινω (Aeol. -εννω), vbs. in, 519. eio, 325 p 1. ειο (nom. -ειον), suff., 842. 5. -elos, 846 f, 858. 2. εἴπερ, 2246, 2379. είπον, είπέ accent, 424 b; είπέμεναι and  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ , in Hom., 680 D; sec. aor., 549;  $\epsilon l\pi \dot{\epsilon}$  of more than one person, 1010; command, w. inf., 1992 c, 1997, 2017; say, w. ὅτι or ὡs, 2017; say, w. inf., 2017 N.;  $\dot{\omega}s$  ( $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi os$ )  $\epsilon l\pi \epsilon \tilde{\iota}\nu$ , 2012 a, b. εἴργω, constr. of inf. w., 2744; μή, 2740. εΐρηκα, 445, 529. 3. -ειρω (Aeolic -ερρω), vbs. in, 519. els (és), 179, 180 b; use, 354 a, 1675, 1686; είς τοῦτο ἀφικέσθαι, etc., 1325; els öte ( $\kappa\epsilon$ ), els ö ( $\kappa\epsilon$ ), ès ö, ès o $\hat{v}$ , 2383 C. N. είς, decl., 349; είς ἀνήρ, 1088. -eis, adjs. in, 299; parts. in, 307.  $\epsilon l \sigma \omega$  ( $\epsilon \sigma \omega$ ), improper prep., 1700. elta, 2082, 2653. είτε, accent, 186; είτε . . . είτε, 2675, 2852-2855; w. άρα, 2799, 2855; εἴτε  $ov_{\nu}$ , 2961. -ειω, vbs. in, 650 b. έκ, 82 c n. 2, 133 a, 136. See έξ. ėκάs, 1097 b, 1700. екастоз, 337; w. pl. verb, 951; in appos. to pl. subj., 952; w. and w't art., 1171, 1179; ώς ἔκαστος, 2997. έκάτερος, 337, 952, 1171, 1179. έκατέρωθεν, improper prep., 1700. ἐκεῖ, 341, 346; ἐκεῖθεν, 346. ἐκεῖνος, 325 d, 333; use, 1238-1261, 990, 1171, 1176-1178, 1201. 1 b, 1202. 1 b; for repeat. rel., 2517.

ἐκεῖσε, 346. έκλείπω, with acc., 1597. έκούσιος, pred. use, 1043. έκπίπτω, as pass., 1752. ἐκτός, 1700; ἐκτὸς οὐ, 2753. έκών, 305 b; comp., 323; pred. use. 1043; ἐκών εἶναι, 2012 c; a part., 2071, 2117 b. ελā, suff., 860. 1. ἐλάττων, ἐλάσσων, 319, 319 b; w. or w't ή, 1074. έλαύνω, 488 a, 489 f, g; tr. and intr., 1709 a. έλέγχω, perf. mid., 407; w. part., 2106. έλκω, 431, 488 a. έλλείπω, w. part., 2098. "Ελλην, as adj., 986 a; Έλληνικόν, w. art., 996, 1024. έλπίζω, 866. 6; w. dat., 1517; w. inf., 1868 a, 2580; w. ώs, 2580; w. μή, 2726. έλπίς, decl., 257. έμαυτοῦ, decl., 329; use, 1199. 2 a, 1200. 2 d, 1218-1237. έμέθεν, 325 D 1; έμέθεν αὐτῆς, 329 D. έμειο, έμέο, έμέος, έμευ, έμευς, 325 D. έμέω, 488 a, 489 f; mid. fut., 806. έμεωυτοῦ, etc., 329 D. έμίν, 325 D 4. ěμμένω, constr., 1549. έμοι βουλομένφ έστί, construction, 1487. έμός, decl., 330; use, 330 a, 1182, 1183, 1196-1199, 1203. έμοῦς, 325 D 4. εμπειρος, decl., 289 a; w. gen., 1419. έμπίπλημι, 727 ; έμπίπληθι, 751 D. έμπίπρημι, 727. έμποδών, 341 ; w. μή, 2740. έμπροσθεν, improper prep., 1700. έν, in cpds., 91-95, 101 a, 449 a, 727; proclit., 179, 180 b; ἐν τοῖs, bef. a superl., 1089; uses, 1511, 1542, 1675, 1679, 1687; w. dat. of place and time, 1534, 1536, 1541, 1542; cpds. of, w. dat., 1544-1550;  $\dot{\epsilon}\nu \ \delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , 1641; w. dat. for  $\epsilon ls$  w. acc., 1659. -εν, inf. end., 469 a, 469 D. - $\epsilon \nu$ , for  $-\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ , 590 a D, 673 a.

ev (nom.  $-\eta \nu$ ), suff., 861. 15. -εναι, inf. end., 469 N. 1, 469 D. 685. 699, 760. ἐναντίον, improper prep., 1700. έναντιόομαι, pass. dep., 812; w. μή, 2740. έναντίος, opposite, with gen., 1426. έναυλίζομαι, pass. dep., 812 a. ένδεής, 292 d. ένεκα, ένεκεν (είνεκα, είνεκεν), enclit. prons. after, 187 e n. 2; postpositive, 1665 a, 1700; motive expressed by, 1679. ἔνερθε, improper prep., 1700. ĕνθα, 342 b, 346, 346 a, 2498; instead of rel. pron., 2499. ένθάδε, 346. ένθαῦτα, in Hdt., 126 p, 346 p 1. ĕνθεν. 346, 346 a, 2498. ένθένδε, 346. ένθεῦτεν, in Hdt., 126 D, 346 D 1. ėνθυμέομαι, pass. dep., 812, 813 d; w. gen., 1357; w. obj. cl., 2224 a. ἐνί, for ἐν, 175 b, 1687. ëνι, for ένεστι, ένεισι, 175 b and n. έννοέω, w. part., 2106; w. obj. cl., 2224 α; ἐννοέομαι, 812. έννυμι, 105 a, 489 d, 523 f n. 1. -εννυμι, fut. of some vbs. in, 539 c; stem, 730. -εννω, pres. in, 519 p. ėνοχλέω, aug., 451; w. dat., 1461. ενοχος, with gen. or dat., 1425. εντ (nom. -ειs), suff., 858. 3. ένταῦθα, 346, 2080. έντεῦθεν, 346. έντός, improper prep., 1700. έντρέπομαι, give heed to, w. gen., 1357. έξ, in cpds., 104; bef. vow., 136; proclitic, 179; accented, 180 b; bef. aug., 449 a; w. superl., etc., 1317 a; use, 1675, 1677-1679, 1688, 1755. See èk. εξεστι, quasi-impers., 1985. έξην, w. and w't αν, 1774, 2313, 2315. ¿Ens. 341; w. gen. or dat., 1437, 1440. **ἐξόν**, 2076 Δ. εξω, improper prep., 1700. έο, έο, έοι, 181 D, 325 D 1, 1195. εο, εου to ευ, 59 D 2, 465 b D.

εο, suff., 858. 4; stems in, 235. еока, 444 b, 573, 693, 696, 704 d and D; pers. constr. w. inf., 1983; w. part., 2089 c; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2133. ἔοργα, in Hom., 561 D. éós, in Hom., 330 p 1 and 2. έοῦ, έοῦς, 325 D 4. έπαινέω, 489 i; mid. fut., 806; dramat. aor., 1937. ěπάν, 1768 a, 2399 a. ἐπανορθόω, aug., 451. έπασσύτερος, in Hom., 320 D. έπεί, w. aor. for plup., 1943; in causal cl., 2240; in temp. cl., 2383 B; w. force of although, 2244, 2380; and  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ πρώτον (τάχιστα), 2383 Β; ἐπεὶ οὖν, 2964 a. ἐπειδάν, 1768 a, 2399 a. έπειδή, w. aor. for plup., 1943; in causal cl., 2240; in temp. cl., 2383 B; ἐπειδὴ τάχιστα, 2383 Β. ĕπειμι, accent of fut., 426 e. έπειτα, w. part., 2080, 2082; κάπειτα, 2653.ἐπείτε, in temp. cl., 2383 B N. 1. έπήκοος, w. gen. or dat., 1421. ἐπήν, 1768 a, 2399 a. έπί, 1675–1677, 1689, 350 d; vbs. cpd. with, w. gen., 1384, w. dat., 1544-1550.έπιβουλεύω, fut. mid. as pass., 808. ἐπιθυμέω, w. gen., 1349. έπιλανθάνομαι, w. gen. or acc., 1358: w. part. or inf., 2106, 2134. έπιλείπω, w. acc., 1597; w. part., 2098. έπιμέλομαι, 812; w. gen., 1356; w. obj. cl., 2210-2212. ἐπιορκέω, w. acc., 1596. έπίσταμαι, 450, 812; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2139; w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2727, 2730. έπιστέλλω, pass. constr. of, 1748. ἐπιτάττω, pass. constr. of, 1748. έπιτήδειος, in pers. constr., 1982 a. έπιτιμάω τινί and τί τινι, 1471. έπιτρέπω, pass. constr. of, 1748; part. or inf., 1992 c, 2144. έπιψηφίζω, -ομαι, 1734. 10. έπομαι, w. gen. or dat., 1417.

ἔπος, ώς ἔπος είπεῖν, 2012 a. έπριάμην, 424 c n. 2, 749 b. ἐράω, 488 a, 489 e, f. έρεβεννός, 105 D. έρείπω, 446 D, 820. **ἐρι-**, 885. 6. Έρμης, decl., 227. -ερρω, pres. in, 519 p. έρρωμένος, comp., 316 b. έρση, 220. ἐρύκω, followed by μή, 2741. έρύω, 488 b, 489 d, f, 500. 1 d.  $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ρχομαι,  $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\hat{\epsilon}$ , accent, 424 b; sec. perf.. 460 e, 565, 705; relation to  $\epsilon l \mu$ , 774, 1880; as fut., 1881; as perf., 1886; w. dat., 1485; w. part., 2099. έρως, decl., 257 D, 285. 11.  $\dot{\epsilon}_{s}$  ( $\epsilon l_{s}$ ), see  $\epsilon l_{s}$ . es (nom. -os), suff., 840 a 8, 841. 1, 865. 9; (nom.  $-\dot{\eta}s$ ,  $-\dot{\epsilon}s$ ), suff., 858. 5, 865. 9, 834 d;  $\epsilon \sigma$  stems, 263-265, 292. έσθίω, 488 a, 500. 2; fut. έδομαι, 541; w. gen., 1355 a. -εσθων, -οσθων, 456 a. -сооа, adjs. in, 114 a. -єот, dat. pl., 250 в 2. έστε, 2383. -εστερος, -εστατος, 316. έσχατος, 320 a, 1172. έτερος, crasis of, 69; w. and w't art., 337; and allos, 1271, 1271 a, 1272. ευ, 5, pronunc., 25; ευ; ου: υ, 36, 477 b. 565, 576, 586 c, 831 a; aug., 435; subst.-stems in, 275; for co dial., 59 D 2, 465 b, D; loses υ, 43, 270 b, 278, 503. ευ, comp., 345; aug. of vbs. begin. w., 452; w. έχω intr., 1441, 1709 b; w. πράττω intr., 1709 b; w. ποιέω (λέγω) and acc., 1591 a, b, w. part., 2101; εὖ ἀκούω (πάσχω) as pass. of εὖ λέγω  $(\pi o \iota \epsilon \omega), 1593, 1752.$ εὖ, εὑ, 181 D, 325 D 1, 2, 1195. ευ (nom. -εύs), suff., 839 a 5, 843 a 1, 844. 1. εΰαδε, 123 D. εὐδαίμων, decl., 293. εὔελπις, decl., 291 a, 292.

εὐεργετέω, w. acc., 1591 a. εὐθύς, εὐθύ, 136 a, 1700, 2081; εὐθὺς . . . καί, 2169.

εύλαβέομαι, 812; w. acc., 1595 a; w. obj. cl., 2210 b, 2224 a; w. inf., 2210 b; w. redund. μή, 2740.

εὐλογέω, w. acc., 1591 b.

εύρίσκω, εύρέ, accent, 424 b; aug., 437; w. part. or inf., 2113, 2114, 2135.

εὐρύοπα, 214 D 4.

-εύς, substs. in, decl., 275-278. єйтє, 2240 а ; 2383 д, н. 3, 2463 а. eŭyapis, decl., 291 a.

εύγομαι, 813 d; aug., 435, 437; w. dat. and acc., 1471.

-ευω, vbs. in., 866. 4; mean. of mid., 1728 b.

έφεξης, w. gen. or dat., 1437, 1440. ἐφτεμαι, w. fut. inf., 1869.

ἐφοράω, w. part., 2103.

εφ' ω, εφ' ωτε, introducing proviso, 2279. έχω, form έσχον, 426 b, 460 e, 549, 687, ingress., 1925 a; ἐσχόμην as pass., 802 p, 1735 b; εξομαι as pass., 808; two futs. of, meaning, 1911; w. adv. and gen., 1441; w. adv. in periph., 1438; intr., 1709 b; forms periph. w. aor. part., 599 b, 1963; w. subst. equiv. to pass. vb., 1753; w. inf., 2000 a; w. redund. μή, 2740; έχων, continually, 2062 a, with, 2068 a;  $\tau l \, \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$ , 2064 b; οὐκ  $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ , constr. of, 2546, 2668 d; dist. from mid., 1734. 11: ἔχομαι W. gen., 1391.

 $-\epsilon \omega$ , vbs. in, decl. of pres. part., 310; conjug. of pres. and imperf., 385, 397; pres. system of, 499, 522; inflec. of, 635-657; in Hom., 650; in Hdt., 651; in Doric, 654; in Aeolic,

656; denom., 866. 2.

εω, from ηο, 34, 214 D 5 b and 8, 238 c, 278, 641 D.

-εω, gen., 163 a, 214 D 5. εωθα, εώθεα, εωθεν, 444 b D.

-έων, gen., 39 D, 214 D 8, 287 D.

εως, w. aor. for plup., 1943; in temporal cl., 2383, of purpose, 2418-2421; summary of constrs, 2422-2429.

εωs, decl., 238 d, 266 D. έωυτοῦ, 68 D, 329 D.

F, see Digamma.

ζώς, ζωός, 289 D.

Z, 21, 116; pronunc. of, 15 a, 26. ta-, 885, 8; cp. 1685. -1ε, expressing motion toward, 342. ζεύγνυμι, 524 a, 733, 742, 746 D, 752 D. Zεύς, decl., 285. 12; omitted, 934 a. **ξέω, 488 a, 489 f.** ζηλόω, w. gen., 1405. ζημιόω, fut. mid. as pass., 809.  $-t\omega$ , vbs. in, 508-512. ζώννυμι, 489 b, d, f; stem, 731.

H. sign, 2 a, 14; pronunc., 24; interchanged w.  $\epsilon$ , 27, w.  $\alpha$ , 27; for orig. ā, 30, Intr. C, N. 4; in nouns, for Att.  $\bar{a}$ , after  $\epsilon$ ,  $\iota$ ,  $\rho$ , 30  $\nu$  2; interchanged w.  $\omega$  and  $\epsilon$  or  $\alpha$ , 36, 738 a, b, 831; length. from  $\epsilon$ , 37 D 2; in nom. of ā-stems, in Ion., 214 p 2; as aug.. 433, 436. See A, E.

 $\eta/\epsilon$ , tense-suff., 455. 9.

 $\eta$ , (1) comp. (than), 2863, 972; after comp., 1069-1075, 1080; η κατά after comp., 1079; ή (ἄστε, ωs) w. inf., 1079, 2007; η πρίν, 2457; πρότερον  $(\pi\rho\delta\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu, \pi\rho\ell\nu) \, \ddot{\eta}, \, 2458-2460 \, ; \, \dot{a}\lambda\lambda' \, \ddot{\eta},$ 2777-2779; ή καί, 2862; πλην ή, 2966 a. (2) disjunc. (either, or): πότερον (πό- $\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ )...,  $\eta$ , 2656;  $\eta$  alone, 2657, 2856, 971;  $\hbar (\hbar \epsilon) ... \hbar (\hbar \epsilon)$ , 2661, 2675 e;  $\eta \ldots \eta$ , 2852 a, 2856;  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon \ldots \eta$ ,  $\eta \ldots$  $\epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon$ , 2854;  $\hbar \ldots \tau \epsilon$ ,  $\tau \epsilon \ldots \hbar$ , 2982. η̃, or, 2661, 2676 e.

 $\hat{\eta}$ , interrog., 2650, 2866;  $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda'$   $\hat{\eta}$ , 2786; asseverative, 2864;  $\hat{\eta}$  ( $\delta \hat{\eta}$ ,  $\kappa a \hat{\iota}$ ,  $\pi o \nu$ ), 2805;  $\hbar \dot{\rho} \alpha$ , 2800;  $\hbar \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ , 2805 b, 2865;  $\eta \mu \eta \nu$ , 2865, 2921;  $\eta \mu \ell \nu$ , 2902.

n, diphth., 5; pronunc., 25.

η, where, 346 p 2.

η, rel. adv., which way, as, 346, 2498; to strengthen superl., 1086; introduc. clauses of comp., 2463; used instead of rel. pron., 2499.

-n and -et, inflectional endings, 628.

```
w. μή, 2723.
ήδ€, 2867.
ηδε, accent, 164 a.
ηδη, w. part., 2080; ήδη . . . καί, 2876.
йбона, 812; rarely w. gen., 1355; w.
  acc. of person, 1595 b; w. dat., 1595 b;
  w. part., 2100; dramatic aor., 1937.
ήδύνω, 489 h, 518 c.
ήδύς, decl., 297.
ήέ, ἦε, 2661.
-ήκοος, compounds in, w. gen., 1421.
ήκω, w. adv. and gen., 1441; pres. for
  perf., 1886.
ήλίκος, correl., 340; attracted, 2532.
ημαι, 720 A N., 724, 789.
ήμαρ, decl., 258 c.
ήμείων, ήμέων, ήμέας, 325 τ 1, 2.
-ημεναι, inf. ending, 657.
ἡμέρα, implied, 1027 b.
ήμέτερος, decl., 330; force of ending,
  313 b; w. and without article, 1182,
  1183, 1196 a; equiv. to gen. of pers.
  pron., 1196; reflex. and non-reflex.,
  1200, 1203; \dot{\eta}μέτερος αὐτ\hat{\omega}\nu, 1200.2 b,
  1203 b and N.
ήμί, say, 792.
ήμι-, 885. 2.
ήμίν, ήμάς, 325 f.
ήμισυς, in fractions, 353; position, 1173.
ήμος, 346 D 2, 2383 A N. 3.
ήμων, ήμιν, ήμας, 325 f.
ήμῶν (ὑμῶν, σφῶν) αὐτῶν, 1234.
ην, the form, 2283. See ἐάν.
-ην, inf. ending, 469 p, 632 p, 661 p,
  680 D, 699 D; aor. ending, 802, 803.
ήνίκα, 346, 2383 Α.
ηο, ηα, becoming \epsilon \omega, \epsilon \tilde{a}, 34.
ηπερ, introduc. clauses of comp., 2463.
-\hat{\eta}s, in nom. pl. of words in -\epsilon \dot{v}s, 277 b.
-ηs, proper names in, decl., 263-265,
  282 a N.; compound nouns in, 888 c.
-ηs, -εs, compound adjs. in, 888 b, 893 b.
ήτε . . . ήτε, w. subjv. in Hom., 2852 a.
ήτοι, 2858.
ήττάομαι, dep., 812; w. dat., gen., or
  \upsilon\pi\delta and gen., 1402, 1493 a; of endur-
```

ing result, 1887; w. part., 2101.

```
ήγέομαι, 813; w. dat., 1371, 1537, 1538; | ήττων, ήσσων, comp., 319; mean., 319 a
                                                 and b; \hat{\eta}\tau\tau\sigma\nu w. positive, 324.
                                               ηυ, diphth., 5, 5 D, 25; pronunc., 25.
                                               ηΰτε, in clauses of comp. (Epic), 2463 a.
                                               ñxι (Epic), w. local clauses, 2498.
                                               O, pronunc., 15 b, 16, 26; before dental
                                                 stop, 83; before \mu, 86; changed to \tau
                                                 in redup., 125 a; for \(\tau\), dial., 132 \(\text{p}\);
                                                 addition of, in pres. stem, 490; suf-
                                                 fixes w., 863 c.
                                               θανάτου, Ψ. κρίνω, τιμάω, etc., 1374.
                                               θάπτω, 125 g and N., 594.
                                               θαρρέω, w. acc., 1595 a; w. dat...
                                                 1595 b.
                                               θαυμάζω, 806; w. εί, 2247; w. ὅτι, 2248;
                                                 w. \epsilon \pi l, 2248; w. part. or inf., 2144,
                                                 2587 b; followed by depend. question,
                                                 2587 b.
                                               -\theta\epsilon, 134 D, 342 b; in \epsilon\ell\theta\epsilon (a\ell\theta\epsilon), 186; -\theta\epsilon\nu,
                                               θεάομαι, mid. dep., 813 c.
                                               θέλεις, θέλετε, before delib. subjv., 1806.
                                               θέμις, decl., 285. 13, 250 p 2.
                                               -θεν, for -θησαν, 585 a D, 673 a.
                                               θεραπεύω, 808; w. acc., 1591 a.
                                               \theta \in \omega, 397, 503, 607, 806.
                                               θηλύνω, 489 h.
                                               θήν, enclit., 181 p.
                                              -θην, aor. pass. ending, 489 a, 802, 804.
                                               θι, 113, 114.
                                              -θι, ending denoting place where, 342.
                                              -\theta_{\rm i}, in imper., 125 b, 466. 1 a and p.
                                               θιγγάνω, 523 c, 806.
                                              θλάω, 488 a, 489 c.
                                               θλtβω, 501, 570, 571, 595.
                                               θνήσκω and θνήσκω, 526 b, 693, 696,
                                                 704 c; τεθνεώς, decl., 309 a; τεθνήξω,
                                                 659 a ; -θνήσκω, fut. mid. w. act. mean.,
                                                 806; expressing enduring result, 1887
                                                 a; perf. w. pres. mean., 1946; fut.
                                                 perf. w. fut. mean., 1958.
                                               θραύω, tenses w. inserted σ, 489 c.
                                               θρηνέω, w. acc., 1595 a.
                                               θρίξ, decl., 256.
                                               θρύπτω, 125 g and n.
                                               θρώσκω, fut. mid. w. act. mean., 806.
                                               θυγάτηρ, decl., 262 and D.
```

iw, sucrifice, 488 c, 500. 1 a and 1 p; | lxθτs, 254 a, 268, 272, 273. act. ) (mid., 1734. 12. iω, rush on, 500. 1 D. υπεύω, w. acc., 1591 b.

pronunc, 24; quantity, 4, 500; subscript, 5, 25; semivowel, 20, 109-117; cons. before, 109-117; interchanged w. ī, 27, 37, 501; for ε, dial., 33 D;  $\iota : \epsilon \iota : \circ \iota$ , see  $\epsilon \iota : \text{elided}, 70-73 : \text{subst.}$ stems in, 268-274; class of vbs., 507 ff. . ending denoting place where, 342. ī-, -ιη-, suff. of opt., 393, 459, 460, 460 p.

i, remains unchanged in aug., 436.

-ī, deictic suff., 333 g.

-ia, suff., 840 b 1, 2, 843 b 1, 844. 3, 858, 2, 859, 6,

táouai, mid. dep., 813 c.

-iaw, vbs. in, 868.

18é, 2867.

ίδιος, w. gen. or dat., 1414.

ίδρόω, 398, 641.

ίδρτω, 500. 1 a, 586 e p.

iερόν, omitted after certain preps., 1302. -ιζω, vbs. in, fut., 539 e; denom., 866. 6.

<sup>t</sup>ημι, aug., 431, 450, 725 a, 777-782.

iκνέομαι, etc., w. acc., 1588.

tλάσκομαι, 488 a, 489 e.

τλεως, decl., 289.

ίνα, 2193, 2209; rel. adv., 2498; ίνα τί, 2644 a; in exclam., 2685.

-ιννω, pres. in, 519 b.

 $-\iota\nu\%$ -, tense-suff., 523 h.

-τνω (Aeol. -ιννω), vbs. in, 519.

-tov, diminutives in, neut., 197 b.

~105, -1ā, gentiles in, 844. 3.

-tos, indicating descent, 846 f. -tpw (Aeol.  $-\iota\rho\rho\omega$ ), vbs. in, 519.

-is, gen. of nouns in, accent, 163 a.

-ισκω, vbs. in, 526-528.

-LOTEPOS, -LOTATOS, 317.

ίστημι, ίστάς, decl., 306; ἐστώς, decl., 309 a; conjug., 416, 417; cp. 431, 696, 738-740, 744-767; tr. and intr. tenses, 819; ἔστηκα, perf. w. pres. mean., 1946.

-irov and -inrov, etc., in opt., 461 b. GREEK GRAM. - 49

-ιω, -υω, vbs. in, 500, 500 p, 501, 522. -īων. -ιστος, 318.

**K**, bef. dental stop, 82; bef.  $\mu$ , 85; bef. rough breathing, 124 and p; for  $\pi$ , τ, dial., 132 D, Intr. C, N. 4; suffixes w., 864.

καθάπερ, w. clauses of comp., 2463. κάθημαι, 450, 790.

καθίζω, 450, 521; extension of cognate acc. with, 1569; w. part. or inf., 2144. καθίστημι, καταστήσας έχω, 762; w. part.

or inf., 2144.

καί, in crasis, 68; και ταῦτα, 947, 2083; strength. superl., 1091; after adjs. and advs. of likeness, 1501 a; paratactic, 2169; conjunc., 2868-2880; adv., 2881-2891;  $\kappa a i \epsilon i (\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu)$ , concess., 2369, 2372-2374; άλλὰ καΙ, 2763, 2764; καὶ γάρ, 2813-2815; καὶ δή, 2847; καὶ δή καί. 2890 ; ή καί, 2865 ; καί . . . μέντοι, 2880. 2918 a; καὶ . . . τοίνυν, 2880; καὶ . . . δέ, 2891 ; καὶ μέν, 2902 ; μὲν . . . καί, 2913; καὶ μήν, 2921; καὶ μήν . . . γε, 2921; καὶ μὴν καί, 2921; τὲ καί, τè . . . καί, etc., 2974-2978; καί τε, 2979; ἄλλως τε καί, 2980.

καίπερ, w. part., 2083, 2382, 2892; omission of  $\delta v$  after, 2117.

καιρός, copula omitted with, 944 b. καίτοι, 186, 2893.

καίω (κάω), 396, 489 f, 520, 543 a D.

κακηγορέω, w. acc., 1591 b.

како́s, comp., 319.

κακουργέω, w. acc., 1591 a. κακόω, w. acc., 1591 a.

κακῶς, ποιέω, W. acc., 1591 a; λέγω, W. acc., 1591 b;  $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ , as pass. of κακώς ποιέω, 1593, 1752; ακούω, as pass. of κακῶς λέγω, 1593, 1752.

καλέω, 488 b, 539 a, 580 p, 711 c, 1613, 1946.

καλός, comp., 319; καλὸς κάγαθός, 1034 a. καλώς, ποιέω, w. acc., 1591 a; λέγω, w. acc., 1591 b; ἀκούω, as pass. of καλῶς  $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ , 1752;  $\pi o i \epsilon \omega$ , w. part., 2101. κάμνω, 806; w. part., 2098.

κάρα, decl., 285. 14; w. gen., 1293. καρτερέω, w. part., 2008. κάρτιστος (κράτιστος), 128 D. кат, 75 р. ката, 354 a. 1515, 1675-1677, 1690; й κατά, 1079; cpds. of, w. gen., 1384; cpds. of, w. gen. and acc., 1385; in cpds., denoting completion of action, 1648, 1680. καταγιγνώσκω, w. gen. and acc., 1385; w. redundant μή, 2724. καταδικάζω, w. gen. and acc., 1385. κατακρίνω, w. gen, and acc., 1385. καταλαμβάνω, w. part., 2113, 2114. καταμελέω, w. gen., 1357. κατανέμω, w. two accs., 1626. καταντικού, improper prep., 1700. καταπλήττω, tr. and intr., 819; καταπλήττομαι, w. acc., 1595 a. καταψηφίζομαι, w. gen. and acc., 1385. κάτειμι, replacing pass. of κατάγω, 1752. κατηγορέω, w. gen. and acc., 1385. катыкооs, w. gen. or dat., 1421. κείμαι, 713, 724, 791; cognate acc. w., 1569; for perf. pass. of  $\tau l\theta \eta \mu$ , 1752. KEÎVOS. SEE ÉKEÎVOS. κελεύω, 489 c; use of imperf, 1891; constr. w., 1465, 1992 a, 1996 n.; où κελεύω, 2692 a; w. μή, 2720. κέ(ν), 134 D, 181 D, 1763. See αν. κεράννυμι, 489 f, g, 729. κέρας, 258, 258 p; implied, 1027 b. κήδω, 821; κήδομαι, w. gen., 1357. KĤVOS, SEE ÉKEÎVOS. κῆρυξ, quantity of υ, 147 c, 254 b. κηρύττω, 513, 809. кі, 112. κιθών (χιτών), 126 D. κινδυνεύω, aor., without αν, in apod. of unfulfilled prot., 2319. κίνδυνός ἐστι, w. obj. clause, 2224 a. κιχάνω, 688, 757 D 1, 806. κλάζω, 557 D 2, 700 D, 806. κλάω (κλαίω), 38, 396, 489 c, f, 520, 521, 806; w. acc., 1595 a; κλαίων, to one's sorrow, 2062 a. κλείω, tenses w. inserted  $\sigma$ , 489 b, e. -κλήs, proper names in, decl., 264 b, 265.

κλήω, tenses w. inserted σ, 489 b, e. κλίνω, 491, 586 е p. 595. κλύω, w. gen., 1361, 1365; as pass, of  $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ , 1752. κνάω, 394, 489 c, 641 D. κο, suff., 858. 6, 864. 1. κοιμάω, mid. pass., 815. когуо́s, w. dat., 1414; w. gen., 1414. κολάζω. w. gen. and acc., 1376. κόρη, 31.1. κόρυς, decl., 247 p. 250 p 2. κορύσσω, 515. κόσος, 340 D. κότε, 346 p 1. κότερος, 340 D. κούρος, 37 D 1. κρατέω, w. gen., 1370; w. acc., 1371; pres. as perf., 1887; w. part., 2101. -κράτης, names in, acc. of, 264 b. κρέας, 264 D 3; pl., 1000. κρείττων, κρείσσων, 78, 319. 1 and a. κρίνω, w. gen., 1375; fut, mid. as pass.. 809; κρ. μή, 2724. κρύπτω, w. two accs., 1628. κρύφα, w. gen., 1443, 1700. кта́оµаі, perf., 442 n., 709, 711, as pres., 1946; aor. as perf., 1941; plup. as imperf., 1952 a; fut. perf., 1958. κυρέω, w. part., 2096. κύων, decl., 285; comp., 321. κωλύω, w. inf., 1993; neg. w., 2740.  $\Lambda$ , changing to  $\rho$ , 129;  $\lambda\lambda$ , 77, 95, 110, 311 b, 81 D; suffixes w., 860. λâas, decl., 285. 16. λαγχάνω, w. acc., 1350; w. gen., 1350, 1376; replacing the pass. of  $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \delta \omega$ , 1752. λαγώς, 238 c, d, and D. λάθρα, improper prep., 1443, 1700.

λαμβάνω, 424 b, 693; w. subst. equiv. to pass. verb, 1753; λαβών, with,

λανθάνω, 693, 696; mid. w. gen., 1358,

w. acc., 1358, 1597; part. w., 1873,

2096;  $\lambda \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ , secretly, 2062 a; in part.

w. finite vb., 2096 f; λανθάνω ὅτι for

2068 a.

λανθάνει ότι, 2584.

λέγω, εὖ λέγω, w. acc., 1591 b; λέγεται, constr. w., 1982 a, 2017 b; command, w. inf., 1869, 1992 c, 1997, 2017 b; state, w. inf. or ὅτι or ὡs, 2017; command, w. μή, 2720; say, neg. w., 2722, 2723.

λείπω, 819 ; λείπομαι w. part., 2101. λήγω, w. part., 2098.

λήθω, 502 a, 549 D.

λι, 110.

- $\lambda\lambda\omega$ , vbs. in, 517.

λογίζομαι, w. δτι, 2580.

λοιδορέω, w. acc., 1591 b; λοιδοροθμαι w. dat., 1592.

λοιπόν and τοῦ λοιποῦ, 1444.

λούω, contr., 398 a, 634.

λσ, 102.

λυμαίνομαι, 489 h, 813 a; w. acc. and w. dat., 1591 a.

λῦπέομαι, a mid. pass., 815; w. ἐπί and dat., 1518; w. part., 2100.

λυσιτελεί, w. dat., 1462, 1592.

λύω, decl. of part., 305, 306, 309; conjug., 382, 383; v, 374 n., 488 c, 500.
1 a; sec. aor., 688; λελθτο, 711 p.

λωβάομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813; w. acc. and w. dat., 1591 a.

λώων, λώστος, 319.

M, 19; stops bef., 85–87; final, changed to ν, 133 c; suffixes w., 861.
μά, 1596 b, c, 2894, 2920.
μακρός, comp., 319; μακρφ̂, 1091.
μάλα, comp., 345.
μάλιστα, 323, 1068, 1090.
μάλλον, 323, 1066 a, 1068, 1084; μάλλον ή, 1065, 1072, 1080 a; (οὐ) μ. ή,

w. redundant ov, 2753. - $\mu \bar{a} v$ , for - $\mu \eta v$ , 462 D.

μάν, ἡ μάν, 2865, 2920.

μανθάνω, pres. of past and pres. combined, 1885 a; τι μαθών; 2064 a; with part. or inf., 2136.

μαρτυρέω, fut. mid. as pass., 809; w. ὅτι and ὡς, 2580 a; w. μή, 2726. μάρτυς, decl., 285. 17.

μαστῖγόω, fut. mid. as pass., 808. ματ (nom. -μα), suff., 841. 2, 861. 2. μάττω, 514, 571, 595.

μάχομαι, 488 b, 489 f; fut., 539 b; σύν τινι (μετά τινος), 1523 b n. 1.

μέγας, decl., 311; comp., 319; μέγα φρονεῖν, 1518.

μέγιστον, used with a superl., 1090.

-μεθον, dual ending, 465 c.

μεθύσκω, 488 a, 489 e, f, 527 a.

**μείγνυμι**, 524 a; μιγήσεσθαι, 597 D; έμικτο, 736 D.

μείων, 319 and b; μείον w't ή, 1074.

μέλās, decl., 298.

μέλει, 1358, 1360, 1467.

μέλλω, aug., 430; inf. w., 1959; πως οὐ μέλλω and τί οὐ μέλλω, 1959 d; ἔμελλον, use, 1960, 2318.

μέμβλωκα, 130 D.

μέμονα, μέμαμεν, 573, 705.

μεν (nom. -μην), suff., 861. 3.

-μεν, inf. end., 469 D, 677 D, 752 D, Intr. C, N. 2.

 $\mu \acute{e}\nu$ , 2895–2916, 2920;  $\hbar \mu \acute{e}\nu$  in Hom., 2865.

-μεναι, inf. end., 469 D, 677 D, 752 D. -μενος, part. end., 470 c, 861. 4.

μέντοι, 2917–2919; οὐ μέντοι ἀλλά, 2767; ἀλλὰ μέντοι, 2786; καὶ . . . μέντοι, 2880; οὐ μέντοι οὐδέ, 2939.

μερίς, implied, 1027 b.

-μes, for -μev, 462 D, Intr. C, N. 2.

μεσαίτερος, μεσαίτατος, 315 a.

μέσατος, μέσσατος, in Hom., 320 d. μεσημβρία, form, 130.

-μεσθα, for -μεθα, 1 pl. end., 465 d. μέσος, position, 1172.

μετά, 1675–1677, 1691.

μεταδοκεί μοι, w. redund. μή, 2741.

μεταμέλει μοι, 1357, 1467, 2100.

μεταμέλομαι, 812, 2100.

μεταξύ, 1700; w. part., 2081.

μέτεστί τινί τινος, 1467.

-μέτρης, compounds in, 224 a. μεῦ, 181 p, 325 p 1, 2.

(1) In indep. clauses: dir. quest.,

1809, 2651; with indic. in doubtful; assertions, 1772, 1801; in unattain. wishes, 1780, 1781; w. subjv., 2706; hort., 1797, 1798; prohib., 1800, 1802; to indicate fear (Hom.), 1802; delib., 1805; w. opt. in wishes, 1814 ff.; w. imper., 1835-1841, 2709.

(2) In dep. clauses, 2708; purpose, 2193 ff., 2705 a; obj. cl. w. vbs. of effort, 2209 ff., 2705 b; w. vbs. of caution, 2220, and of fear, 2221 ff.; result w. inf., 2251 ff., 2759 a; proviso, 2279; condition, 2286, 2705 e; concession, 2371; temporal, 2392, 2397, 2401, 2409; rel. w. indef. antec., 2506, 2705 d, e, of purpose, 2554, 2705 f, of cause, 2555 b, of result, 2556, of condit., 2560; in oaths, 2705 i; in indir. quest., 2676; in indir. disc. (finite moods), 2710.

(3) With inf. not in indir. disc., 1971, 1991, 2013, 2014, 2028, 2713 ff., 2759; in indir. disc., 2722; with part., 2045, 2067, 2728 ff.; w. substs. and adjs., 2735; redundant, after negative vbs., w. inf., 2739-2749.

(4) Apparent exchange w. ov, 2737; accumul. of neg., 2760.

(5) Phrases μη ὅτι (ὅπως), 2763; ο τι (δσον) μή, 2765, μή τί γε, 2763 e.

(6) μη ού, w. indic. in doubtful assertions, 1772; w. subjv. in doubtful negations, 1801, 2751 a; in purpose cl., 2193 a; in obj. cl., 2220 b, 2221, 2225, 2751 b; w. inf. after neg. vbs., 2742, 2744-2749, 2759 d; w. part. after neg. vbs., 2750; w.  $\delta\pi\omega s$ , 1921; in questions, 2651 d, 2752. See ὅπως,

μηδέ, 2163 A, 2688; w. part., 2083 b. See οὐδέ.

μηδείς, 337, 349 b; μηδένες, 1002; μηδέν, 1609; w. comp., 1514; mean.)( ovδels, 2736.

μηκέτι, form, 137 b, 2688 b.

μήν, 2920-2921; οὐ μην ἀλλά, 2767; οὐ  $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \ o \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon}, \ 2768 \ ; \ \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \ 2786 \ ;$  $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ , 2865, 2921.

μήτε, see ούτε. μήτηρ, decl., 262 and D. μητίετα, 214 D 4. μηχανάομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813 d. μι, suff., 861. 5. μι-verbs, 379, 412-422, 717-767; irregular, 768-799. -µ, vb. end., 463 a and D. μιαίνω, 489 h. μικρός, comp., 319; μικρού, almost. 1399. μιμέομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813. μιμνήσκω, redup., 442 n.; 489 e, 526 b, 581; μεμνήσεσθαι, 582; mid. pass., 816; perf. with gen. and acc., 1358, w. pres. mean., 1946, w. part. or inf., 2106, 2138, w.  $\delta \tau \epsilon$ , 2395 A N. μίν, 181 D, 325 D 1, 2, 325 D 3.  $\mu \iota \nu$  (nom.  $-\mu \bar{\iota} s$ ), suff., 861. 6. μισθόω, and μισθοῦμαι, 1734. 13. μνᾶ, 227. μνάομαι, 641 D, 644. μνημονεύω, w. gen. and acc., 1357. μνο, μνα, suff., 861. 7. μο (nom. -μόs), suff., 840 a 5, 858.8, 861. 1. μοί, elision in, in Epic, 72 D. μοίρα, decl., 216; implied, 1027 b. μον (nom. -μων, -μον), suff., 858. 10, 861. 8. μονα, suff., 861. 9. μόνον, οὐ μόνον . . . άλλὰ καί, 2764; μόνον οὐ, 2766. μόνος, 337, 1173. μου, έμοῦ, etc., 187 e n. 2, 325 a,

1192.

μύριοι, 10,000, μυρίοι, countless, 352. μύω, 488 b, 500. 1 a.

 $μων_{*} 2651$ ; μων οὐ, 2651 b; μων οὖν, μῶν μή, 2651 c.

-μων, cpd. adjs. in, 888 d.

μων (nom. -μων), suff., 861. 10.

N, 19; movable, 73, 134 and D, 135, 399; cons. bef., 88-90; bef. cons., 91-96; for  $\lambda$ , dial., 132 D; suffixes w., 861.

v, class of pres. stems, 523-525. -να, -νη, tense-suff., 414 c, 523 g. -vai, inf. ending, 469 c, 469 D, 677, 752 and D; elision in, in Epic, 72 D. vaí, 1596 b, 2894, 2922. value, tenses w. inserted  $\sigma$ , 489 f. νάττω, 514 a, 515 b. vaûs, 275; implied, 1027 b. νάω, 394, 489 f.  $\nu \delta$ , before  $\sigma$ , 100. νεικέω, 488 D; νεικείω, 650 b. νέμω, w. two accs., 1626.  $-\nu\epsilon\%$ -, tense-suff., 523 d. νέομαι, used in fut. sense, 1881. véos, uncontracted, 290 e. νέω, heap up, 489 f, g. νέω, swim, 503, 540, 607, 806. νεώς, decl., 238; forms, 238 c. νή, in asseverations, 1596 b, 2894.2923. νn-, 885. 5. -упи., vbs. in, 720 c, 737.  $\nu\theta$ , before  $\sigma$ , 100. νϊκάω, constr. w. pass., 1402, 1493 a; νϊκάω γνώμην, etc., 1576; as perf., 1887; w. part., 2101. νίν, enclit., 181 D, 325 D 4; use, 325 e.  $-\nu\%$ -, tense-suff., 523 a. νομίζω, w. dat., 1509; w. acc., 1613; w. part. or inf., 2144; w. inf., 2580; w. ωs, 2580; οὐ νομίζω, 2692 a; w. μή, 2723.-νός, -νή, gentiles in, 844. 3. νόσφι, improper prep., 1700. -vous, comp. of adjs. in, 316.  $ν_{\tau}$ , before σ, 100; suff., 863 a 23. -vтi, in 3 pl., in Dor., 462 p, 463 d. -vтоv, imper. ending, 466. 3 D. -ντω, imper. ending, 466. 3 D. -ντωσαν, imper. ending, 466. 3 N.  $-\nu\nu$  ( $-\nu\nu\nu$ ), tense-suff., 523 f. -νυμι, 414 B, 418; sec. aor. (ἔσ $\beta$ ην), 415, 736, 756 a; subjv., 457 a, 719; opt., 460 c, 719; vb.-stems in  $-\alpha$ ,  $-\epsilon$ ,  $-\omega$ , 728–731; tenses, 736;  $\nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $\nu \nu$ , 742, 743; -νύω, 746 a; mid. subjv., 749 a. νύμφα, in Hom., 214 D 3.

νῦν, νῦντ, νῦν, νύν, νύ, 134 D, 181 D, 2924-2928. vôi, vôiv, 325 D 1. **E.** 21. ξαίνω, 489 h. ξέω, 397 b. 488 a. 489 d. f. ξηραίνω, 489 h. ξύν, Intr. E, n. 2. See σύν. ξυνός, 1696. ετω, 489 c, 500. 1 a and 1 D. O, 2 a; pronunc., 24; interchanged w.  $\omega$ , 27, 36, 738 c; for a dial., 33 p; interchanged w.  $\epsilon$  and a, 36; length. to ov, 37; length. to  $\omega$ , 37 D 2; becomes  $\omega$  in aug., 435; subst.-stems in, 228 ff.; added to vb.-stems, 486. δ, ἡ, τό, ὁ, ἡ, οί, al, proclit., 179; as rel., 180 d n., 1105; decl., 332 and D; use in Hom., 338 p 1, 1100-1105; in lyric poetry and tragedy, 1104, 1105; as demons. in Att. prose, 1106-1117; as pers. pron. (kai ös, etc.), 1113; as article in Att., 1118-1125, see Article; τὸ ἐπί τινι, 1950 a. δ, δ τε, in Hom., 2240 a, 2578. δδε, 333, 340; pred. position, 1171, 1176, 1177; w't art., 1178; use, 1238-1261; )( οῦτος, 1241; ὅδε ἐκεῖνος, 1260. δδt, 75 a, 333 g, 1240. δδόs, 231, 232 c; implied, 1027 b. όδούς, decl., 243 D. όδύσσομαι, 489 d, f. -0/<sub>e</sub>-, them. vow., 455, 455 D, 456, 457 D. -οίω, vbs. in, 866. 6 b. δθεν, 346, 2498, 2499. **δθι**, poetic for oδ, 346 p 2, 2498. όθούνεκα, 2240 a, 2578. ou, pronunc., 25; for o in verse, 28 D;  $\epsilon\iota: \circ\iota: \iota, 36$ , see  $\iota$ ; elided, 74;  $\varphi$  in aug., 435; final, usu. short in Att., 169 and a, 229 a, 427; advs. in, 229 b, 341; stems in, 279. -o., loc. dat., 1534, 1535. oî, enclit., 181 a; when not enclit., 187 e n. 1; indir. reflex. in Att. prose, 325 d, 1228 b; dir. or indir. reflex. in Hom.

and Hdt., 1195.

ol, adv., 346, 2498, 2499, 2685. ola, olov (οlov δή), w. part., 2085; cp. 2117. olsa, 794-799; mean., 795, 1946; mid. fut., 806; imper. οἶσθ' ő, 1842 a; w. part, or inf., 2106, 2139; w. ei, 2354 b; W. 57e, 2395 A N., 2588; old' 57i, W't vb., 2585; w. μή and inf., 2727; w. μή and part., 2730; oldá  $\sigma \epsilon$  ds  $\epsilon l$ , 2668. Οίδίπους, decl., 285. 18. -ouv, in dual, 230 p 2, 250 p 1. οζκαδε (οἶκόνδε), 342, 1589. oikelos, w. gen. or dat., 1414. olκέω, fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 808. olkla, omitted after certain preps. 1302. οϊκοθεν, οϊκοθι, 342. olkoi, 169, 229 b, 341, 342, 1535. οίκτίρω, 519; w. gen., 1405; w. εl, 2247 b, cp. 2248. οζμοι, w. elision, 74; οζμ' ώς, 2682 d. -οιο, -οο, -ου, -ω, in gen., 230 p 1. olouar and oluar, 398 b, 628, 634, 802 D, 812; between prep. and noun, 1663 a; W. inf., 2580; W. ωs (δτι), 2580; οὐκ οξομαι, 2692 a; w. μή, 2723, 2726; όtoμαι, 500. 2 D. olos, olós  $\tau \epsilon$  or olós $\tau \epsilon$ , 186 a; 340, 1985; w. superl., 1087; w. inf., 2003, 2497, 2516; antec., 2503; attract. to antec., 2532; in exclam., 2682, 2685. ous, in acc., 230 p 4; part. ending in Aeol., 305 D, 310 D, 633 D. ols, decl., 274; in Hom., 274 D. -o.oa, Aeol. part. ending, 305 D, 633 D. -οισι(ν), dat., 230 p 3, 234; loc., 341. oïxonai, as perf., 1886; w. part., 2099. otω, 489 e. οκνέω, w. obj. clause, 2224 a. (ό)κοῖος, (ό)κόσος, (ό)κότερος, 340 D. ολίγος, comp., 319; w. and without article, 1189;  $\delta \lambda i \gamma o \iota$  rarely w.  $d\pi \delta$  and  $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ , 1317 a; δλίγου, almost, 1399; δλίγον and  $\delta \lambda l \gamma \omega$ , w. comp., 1514, 1586. öλλυμι, 90, 488 a, 539 b, 733; tr. and intr. tenses, 819; δλλυμαι, expressing enduring result, 1887 a. δλos, in attrib. and pred. position, 1175.

w. acc., 1596 and a; w. inf., 1868 f; w. μή, 2726. όμόθεν, -όσε, 342. όμολογέω, fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 808; w. dat., 1523 b; pers. constr. more common w. pass., 1982 a; w. part. or inf., 2106, 2144; w. μή, 2726. όμοῦ, 342, 1701. όμως, w. part., 2082; άλλ' όμως, 2786. όνειδίζω, 808 ; case w., 1471. öνειρος, decl., 285. 19. ονίνημι, 726, 424 c n. 2, 750 b; ώνήμην, 756 c; w. acc., 1591 a. ονομα, gen. w., 1322 a; subst. in appos. to, 1478; by name, 1601 b, 1134; ov6ματι, 1516; ὄνομα καλέω τινά, 1620. ὄνομαι, 489 e, 725. -ovs, in acc., 230 p 4. -oo, in gen: sing., 230 p 1. οο to οω, ωο; οοι to οω; οου to οω. 652. őπη, 346, 1086 a, 2463, 2498. όπηνίκα, 346, 2383 Α. όπισθεν, improper prep., 1700. όπόθεν, όπόθι, όποι, 2498. όποῖος, ὁπόσος, 340, 1087. όπόταν, 1768 a, 2399 a. όπότε, 346, 2240, ώς όπότε, 2431, 2486; introduc. temp. clauses, 2383 A. όπου, 346, 2240 a, 2498. όπποῖος, 340 D. όππότε, 346 D 1, 2383 C N. όππως, 346 D 1, 2929. όπως, 346; w. superl., 1086; w. fut. indic. in exhortations and prohib., 1920, 2213, with desire to avert something, 1921, in purpose cl., 2203, in obj. cl., 2211-2213, 2218; w. subjv. or opt., 2196 ff., 2214-2217; w. subjv. w. idea of command, 1803; in purpose cl., 2193 ff.; in obj. cl., 2209 ff., 2220, 2228, 2230, 2231; ἔστιν ὅπως, 2515, 2551-2552; ὅπως and ὅπως τάχιστα, in temp. cl., 2383; in comp. cl., 2463; introduc. depend. statement, 2578; in indir. quest., 2668 c;  $o\dot{v}\chi$  ( $\mu\dot{\eta}$ )  $\delta\pi\omega$ s, 2763; originally rel. adv., 2929.

ὄμνῦμι, 488 a, 489 g, 525 b, 733, 746 D,

751 D.; fut. mid. w. act. mean., 806;

όράω, 431, 434, 465 a D, 529, 628; fut.. 806; w. part., 2103, 2110-2112; w. öτι (ώs), 2110-2112; w. obj. cl., 2210 b, 2224 a; w. μή and inf., 2210 b.

οργίζομαι, 815; w. gen., 1405; w. dat., 1461: w. part., 2100.

όρμάω, -ίζομαι, a mid. pass., 815, 815 a. ὄρνīs, decl., 285. 20.

öρνυμι, 536, 574 d, 733, 736 d, 746 d, 751 p; tr. and intr. tenses, 820, 821. čoos, w. proper name, 1139, 1142 c.

ős, rel. pron., decl., 338; demons. in Hom., 338 b; w. -περ, 338 c; w. -τε, 338 d; and correl., 340; introduc. rel. clause, 2493; instead of δστις (or olos). 2493 b; ö, as to what, 2494; ös  $\gamma \epsilon$ , 2495, 2555, 2826; antec., 2503; and  $\delta\sigma\tau\iota s$ , w. def. and indef. antec., 2508. See elul.

ös, ή, ὄν, possess. pron., decl., 330; in Hom., 330 p 2, 1201.1 c, 1201.2 b, 1230 a; δε αὐτοῦ, 1201. 2 c, 1203 b.

-os, for -ovs, in acc. pl., 162 p 2, 230 p 4. -os, -η, -ov, compound words in, 888 a. -os, compounds in, accent, 894.

όσάκις, introduc. temp. clauses, 2383 A.

-οσθων, in the imper., 450 a.

όσος, 340; όσω, όσον, w. comp., 1084; strength. superl., 1086, 1087, 1091; δσος, w. inf., 2003, 2497; δσω, δσον, in comp. cl., 2468-2473; ὅσα ἔτη, 2497 b; attracted to case of antec., 2532; preceded by adj., 2535; introduc. exclam. sent., 2682, 2685; ὅσον (ὅσα) μή, 2765; ὅσον οὐ, 2766.

όσπερ, 1501 a, 2495, 2503 a.

ὄσσε, decl., 285. 21.

οστις, ούτινος, etc., accent, 186; decl., 339; and correl., 340; in indir. questions, 1263; introduc. rel. clauses. 2496; and ös, use, w. def. and indef. antec., 2508; ἔστιν ὅστις (οί), 2496, 2513, 2552, 2557; ὄστις δή attracted to case of antec., 2532; où  $\delta \epsilon$  is  $\delta \sigma \tau \iota s$ ού, 2534, 2557 ; δστις γε, 2826.

όσφραίνομαι, w. gen., 1361.

őтал, 1768 a, 2399 a.

ότε, and correl., 346; w. aor. for plup.,

1943; ö, ö τε, because, in Hom., 2240 a: giving reason for preceding question, 2244; introduc. temp. clauses, 2383 A; ès öre, 2481-2486; that, in Hom., 2578 a; w. μέμνημαι, etc., 2588.

ort, not elided, 72 b; strength, superl., 1086; w. aor. for plup., 1943; w. vbs. of saying, 2017, 2592 a; w. vbs. of thinking (very rare), 2018; w. vbs. of perception, 2110, 2145, 2592 c; w. other vbs., 2123; causal, w. vbs. of fearing, 2236; w. causal clauses, 2240; introduc. dependent statements, 2577-2588; use compared w. that of &s, 2579; introduc. dir. quotation, 2590 a; indic. and opt. after, in indir. disc., 2614, 2615;  $\delta \tau \iota \tau i$ , 2644 a; μη (οὐχ) ὅτι, 2763; ὅ τι μή, 2765; πλην ὅτι, 2966 a.

отть (Homeric), 2578.

ov, genuine and spurious, 6, 25, 54; pronunc., 25; for o in verse, 28 D;  $\epsilon v : ov : v$ , see  $\epsilon v$ ; by compens. length. for o, 37; stems in, 275; never augmented, 437.

οὐ (οὐκ, οὐχ, 133 a, 137, οὐκί, οὐχί, 127 D, 137 a, 2688 b; proclit., 179, ov, 180 a, 904 b), 2688 ff.; in statements, 2703; w. jussive fut. as question, 1917, 1918; w. anticip. subjv., 1810; in rel. cl., 2506; causal cl., 2240, 2247, 2555; result cl., 2251, 2260, 2269, 2556, 2557; condit., 2696-2701; interrog., 2651 and f., 2676; adherescent, 2691 ff.; w. inf. not in indir. disc., 2714, 2721; in indir. disc., 2711 a, 2722, 2759 c; w. part., 2045, 2729, 2732-2734; w. substs. and adjs., 2735; apparent exchange w. μή, 2738; redund., 2753; οὐ μά, 1596 b, 2894; ούχ ὅπως (ὅτι), 2763; οὐ μόνον . . . άλλὰ καί, 2764 ; μόνον (δσον) οὐ, 2766; οὐ μὴν (γὰρ, μέντοι) άλλά, 2767, 2786, 2921; où  $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$  où  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}, 2768, 2921$ ; οὐ γάρ, 2805 b; οὐ μέντοι, 2918 a; οὐ μέντοι οὐδέ, 2939; οὐ . . . οὐδέ, 2939; οὐδὲ . . . οὐ, 2940; οὐ . . . οὅτε, 2944,

2948; οὔτε...οὐ, 2948;  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$  οὐ, 2753. ού μή w. fut. indic. or subjv. in prohib., 1800 c n., 1919, 2756; w. subjv. or fut. indic. in strong denial, 1804. 1919, 2754, 2755; where each neg. has its own vb., 2757. See also οὐκ ἔστιν ős, etc., under εἰμί, and μη οὐ.

ού, etc., pron., 181 a; when not enclit., 187 e N. 1; decl., 325; indir. reflex., 325 d. 1228, 1229; in dial., 325 D; ov. ξ, pers. pron., 1194, 1195.

-ou, gen. sing., 229, 230 p; of place where, 342.

ούδας, decl. in Hom., 264 D 3.

ούδέ, w. part., 2083 b, 2931; οὐδ' (μηδ')  $\epsilon l (\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu)$ , in neg. concessive cl., 2381; οὐδὲ γὰρ οὐδέ, 2761 a, 2814 a, 2938; ού μην ούδέ, 2768; άλλ' ούδέ, άλλ' ούδὲ μὲν δή, 2786; οὐδὲ γάρ, 2814. 2815; οὐδὲ μήν, οὐ μὴν οὐδέ, 2921; as adv., 2931; as conjunc., 2932-2936;  $0\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$  . . .  $0\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , 2937;  $0\dot{v}$  . . .  $0\dot{v}\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ , 2939; οὐ μέντοι οὐδέ, 2939; οὐδὲ . . . ού, 2940; οὐδὲ . . . οὔτε, 2941; οὕτε . . . οὐδέ, 2949; οὕτε . . . οὐδὲ . . . ούτε, 2950 ; τὲ . . . οὐδέ, 2982.

οὐδείς, 337; decl., 349 b; ή τις ή οὐδείς, 1270; οὐδὲν καινόν, 1312; οὐδέν w. comp,, 1514, 1586; οὐδεὶς ὅστις ov, 2534; and  $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon ls$ , meaning, 2736; neut., of persons, 2736; οὐδὲν ἀλλ' ή, 2778; οὐδὲν ἄλλο ή, ἄλλο οὐδὲν ή, 2778 a.

οὐδέτερος, 337.

ούκ, see ού. οὐκί (οὐχί), 127 D, 137 a. See οὐ.

ούκουν and ούκοῦν, 186 a, 2951-2953; οὐκοῦν expecting answer yes, 2651, 2951; οὐκοῦν inferential, 2952; οὔκουν, 2953.

ouv, added to pron. or adv., 339 e, 346 c, 2963;  $d\lambda\lambda'$  ov  $(\gamma\epsilon)$ , 2786;  $\gamma d\rho$  ov, 2820; μέν οὖν, 2899, 2901; οὐκ οὖν, 2954; confirmatory, 2955-2963; άλλ' οὖν, ἀλλ' οὖν . . .  $\gamma \epsilon$ , 2957;  $\gamma$ ὰρ οὖν (and καὶ γὰρ οὖν), 2958; δ' οὖν, 2833, 2959;  $\epsilon l$   $\delta'$   $\delta v_{\nu}$ , 2959;  $\delta \dot{\gamma}$   $\delta v_{\nu}$ , 2960; οὖν δῆτα, 2960; εἴτε οὖν, οὕτε οὖν, παιδαγωγέω, fut. mid. as pass., 808.

2961; after interrog, prons. and advs.. 2962; inferential, 2964; ἐπεὶ οὖν in Hom., 2964 a.

ούνεκα, 1700, 2240 a, 2578.

ous, accent, 252 a; decl., 285, 22.

-ous, from -ovs, 230 p 4; parts. in, decl. of, 307.

ούτε, accent, 186; ούτε . . . ούτε, 970, 2942; οὐδὲ . . . οὕτε, 2941; οὕτε . . .  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ , 2942 a; o $\dot{v} \tau \epsilon$ ...  $\tau \epsilon$ , 2945, 2946; ούτε . . . δέ, 2947; ούτε . . . οὐ, 2948; ού . . . ούτε, 2948; ούτε . . . οὐδέ, 2949; ούτε . . . ούδὲ . . . ούτε, 2950; ούτε οθν. 2961.

ούτις, accent, 164 a.

ούτος, decl., 333 and D; and correl., 340; καὶ ταῦτα, 947, 2083; τοῦτο, αὐτὸ τοῦτο, introduc. follow. subst., 990; w. art., 1171, 1176, 1177; w't art., 1178; τούτου, τούτων, in attrib. position, 1201. 1 b, 1202. 1 b; use, 1238 ff.; for repeated rel., 2517; in address, 1288 a.

ούτοσί, 333 g, 1240.

ούτω, ούτως, 136, 324, 346, 1245, 1248; w. part., 2080, 2084.

ούχί, see ού.

όφείλω, 519 a; stems, 521; ἄφελον in wishes, 1781, 1818; μή w. ἄφελον, 2704 a.

όφέλλω for όφείλω in Hom., 519 a.

бфіs, 254 a, 255. 2 с. όφλισκάνω, 1378, 1576.

обра, 2193 a, 2383, 2418 a.

-oxos, cpds., 878; accent of, 894 N.

-oω, vbs. in, decl. of pres. part., 310; conjug. of pres. and imperf., 385; pres. stem of, 522; inflec. of, 635-657; in Hom., 652 a; in Hdt., 652 b; in Doric, 655; in Aeolic, 656.

-oω, vbs. in, denominative, 866. 3.

oω for ao, aω, aoυ, 643; for oo, oou, 652;  $o\varphi$  for aoi, 643; for ooi, 652.

II, bef. dental stop, 82; bef.  $\mu$ , 85; bef. rough breathing, 124 and p; for  $\tau$ , dial., 132 p; suffixes w., 862.

παιδεύω, παιδεύω τινά τινι Or τινά είς,  $\pi \rho \delta s$ , 1579, 1630. πάλαι, loc., 341, 1535. παλαιός, comp., 315.  $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \iota \nu$ , in compounds, before  $\sigma$ , 101 b. πανδημεί, loc., 229 b, 341, 1535. πανταχόθεν, πανταχοί, πανταχόσε, 342. πάντοθεν, πάντοσε, 342. πάρ, 75 D. πάρα, for πάρεστι, πάρεισι, πάρειμι, 175 b. παρά, use, 1073, 1496, 1675, 1676, 1678, 1692, 1755; dat. w. vbs. compounded w., 1544-1550; acc. w. vbs. compounded w., 1546. παρασκευάζομαι, w. part. or inf., 2144. παρέξ, 1649 a. πάρος, 1700; w. inf. in Hom., 2461. παρρησιάζομαι, 454 a, 813 d.  $\pi \hat{a}s$  ( $\ddot{a}\pi \bar{a}s$ ,  $\sigma \dot{\nu} \mu \pi \bar{a}s$ ), in cpds. 101 b. 874; decl., 299; pron. adj., 337; w. 2d pers. of imper., 1016; πάντα, τὰ  $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a$  w. superl., 1091; position, 1163, 1171, 1174. πάσχω, 463 b (1) D, 526 d, 529, 557 D 2; 573, 693, 696, 705, 806; πάσχω εθ as pass. of  $\pi o i \epsilon \omega \epsilon \tilde{v}$ , 1593, 1752;  $\tau l \pi a$ - $\theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ , 2064 a. -πάτωρ, compound adjs. in, 888 d. παύω, 489 b; act. ) ( mid., 1734, 14; w. part. or inf., 2098, 2140: παύομαι w. part., 2098; w. redundant μή, 2741. πεδά, 1691.  $\pi \epsilon (\theta \omega, 489 \text{ D}, 573, 502 \text{ a}, 549 \text{ D}, 705,$ 815, 819; πείθομαι w. gen., 1366; act. ) ( mid., 1734. 15; perf. w. pres. meaning, 1946; urge, w. inf., 1992 N.; convince, generally w. ώs, rarely w. acc. and inf., 1992 Ν.; πέπεισμαι, W. μή, 2726.πεινάω, 394, 641 D, 657. πειράομαι, a pass. deponent, 812 c; w. part. or inf., 1992 a, 2102, 2144. πέλας, improper prep., 1700. πέλομαι, 549 D, 756 c D.  $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ , 569 D, 571; use of imperf., 1891.

πενθέω, lament, w. acc., 1595 a.

πέρ, prep., 72 D.

πέρ, enclit., 181 d, 186, 338 c; w. part., 2083 a; Att. use, 2965. πέρα, πέραν, improper preps., 1700. πέρθω, sec. aor., 549 D, 688. περί, when elided, 72 c; after its case. 175 a, 1665; in compos., 449 b;  $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ πολλοῦ τῖμάομαι, etc., 1373; use, 1675-1677, 1693; gen. w. vbs. compounded w., 1403; dat. w. vbs. compounded w., 1544-1550; acc. w. vbs. compounded w., 1546. περιγίγνομαι, w. part., 2101. περιοράω, w. part. or inf., 2103, 2141; ων omitted w., 2119. πετάννυμι, 36 n. 2, 489 e, f, g, 729. πέτομαι, sec. aor. 687, 756 c D.  $\pi \acute{\eta}$ , 181 b, 346;  $\pi \acute{\eta}$ , 346. πήγνυμι, 524 a, 595, 733, 736 d, 750 d;tr. and intr. tenses, 819. πηχυς, gender, 255. 2 c; decl., 268, 270 c N. 1; accent, 271. πίμπλημι, 489 c, 726, 727, 741. πίμπρημι, 489 e, f, 726, 727.  $\pi$ tv $\omega$ , 529, 488 b;  $\xi \pi \iota o \nu$ , 529;  $\pi \hat{\iota} \theta \iota$ , 466. 1 a, b, 687; fut. πίομαι, 500. 2 p, 541, 806, 1881; tr. and intr. tenses, 819; w. gen. and w. acc., 1355 a. πιστεύω, followed by μή, 2726. πίτνημι, 36 Ν. 2, 737. -πλασιος, 354 c, 1432. πλείστον, used with superl., 1090. πλείω, 503 D, 650 b. πλέον (τι), τὸ πλέον, for μᾶλλον, 1068;  $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \ (\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)$  w. case, 1074. πλέω, 397, 489 d, f, 503, 607, 806. πλήν, improper prep., 1700, 2966; adv., 1700, 2966; w. redund. ov, 2753; conjunc., 2966; πληνου, πλην ή, πλην ότι,  $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon l$ , 2966 a; w. inf., 2966 b. πλήρης, w. gen. or dat., 1422. πλησίον, 1437, 1440, 1700. πλήττω, 514, 593 a, 595, 819, 1740. -πλοῦς, 290 a, 354 b, 1432. πλύνω, 491. πνείω, 503 D, 650 b. πνέω, 397, 489 f, 503, 607, 806.  $\Pi$ νύξ, decl., 285, 23.  $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ , 346;  $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \gamma \delta \rho$ , 2805 b.

```
ποθέν, enclit., 181 b, 346.
ποθέω, 488 b, 806; w. acc., 1349.
πόθι, 346 p 2 : ποθί, enclit., 181 b.
ποί, enclit., 181 b, 346; ποῖ, 346.
ποιέω, 385, 390; perf. subjv., 693, and
  opt., 696; periph. w., 1722; w. part.
  and inf., 2115; \pi. \epsilon \hat{v} (\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s) W. acc.,
  1591 a, w. part., 2101; \sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \ddot{v} \pi.,
  1653; πάντα π. w. part., 2102.
ποιός, 340; ποῖος, 340, 1186, 2648.
πολεμέω, fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 808;
  σύν τινι (μετά τινος), 1523 b n. 1.
πολιορκέω, fut. mid. as pass., 809.
πόλις, 268, 270 c, 271; added to proper
  name, 1139, 1142 c.
πολιτεύομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813 d.
πολύς, decl., 311; comp., 319 and c;
  πολλώ, πολύ, παρά πολύ W. superl.,
  1091; w. and w't art., 1189; πολύ or
  πολλώ w. comp., 1514, 1586; πολλοί
  καὶ ἄλλοι, 2879 a.
πονέω, 488 b; perf. subjv., 693.
πορεύομαι, 815, 1881.
-πορθος, compounds of, accent, 894 N.
πόρρω, comp., 345 a; prep., 1700.
πόσος, ποσός, 340.
πότ (for ποτί), 75 D.
ποταμός, w. a proper name, 1139, 1142 c.
ποτέ, 174 a, 181 b, 346 c; πότε, 181 b, 346.
πότερον (πότερα) . . . ή, 2656-2660, 2675.
πότερος, ποτερός, 340.
ποτί, 1695.
πού, ποῦ, 181 b, 346; οἔ που; οἔ τί
  που; ού δή που; 2651 f; γάρ δή που,
  2820; γάρ που, 2820; ἦ που, 2865.
πούς, 255. 2 b, 311 d.
πράγματεύομαι, 812 a, 813 d.
πρᾶος, decl., 311 c.
πράττω, 514, 571, 809, 819; w. advs.,
  1709 b.
πρέπει, quasi-impers., 1985.
πρεσβευτής, decl., 285. 24.
πρίν, improper prep., 1700; w. aor. for
   plup., 1943; temp. conjunc., 2383 c;
   implying purpose, 2418 a; w. indic.,
  2430-2442; w. subjv., 2430-2441,
```

2443-2447; w. opt., 2430-2441, 2448-

2452; w. inf., 2430-2441, 2453-2457;

```
\hat{\eta} \pi \rho l \nu, 2457; \pi \rho l \nu \, \hat{\eta}, 2460; after neg.,
  w. redundant ov. 2753.
πρέω, 489 c, 500, 2,
πρό, o not elided, 72 c; cpds. of, 449 b,
  884 b; use, 1073, 1675, 1677, 1694:
  gen. w. verbs compounded w., 1384,
  1403.
προθυμέσμαι, a pass. dep., 812.
προίημι, accent of forms, 426 f, 746 c;
  προίεμαι W. part., 2103.
προοράω, w. gen., 1357.
πρός, use, 1073, 1675-1678, 1695, 1755;
  dat. w. vbs. compounded w., 1544-
  1550.
προσδοκάω, followed by μή, 2726.
προσδοκία έστι, w. obj. clause, 2224 a.
προσήκει, w. dat. of pers. and gen. of
  thing, 1467; quasi-impers., 1985.
πρόσθεν, 2440; πρόσθεν ή, 2459.
προσκυνέω, w. acc., 1591 b.
προστυγχάνω, w. dat., 1523 a.
πρόσω, improper prep., 1700.
πρότερον, 1042 Ν.; πρότερον ή, 2383 С.
  2458; πρότερον . . . πρίν, 2440.
πρότερος, 320, 349 c, 1042 b.
προτί, 1695.
προφασίζομαι, a mid. dep., 813 c.
прώтоς, 320, 349 c, 1042 b.
\pi\tau for \tau, 131.
πταίω, tenses w. inserted σ, 489 f.
πτήσσω, 571, 688; w. acc., 1595 a.
πτόλεμος, πτόλις, 131.
πτύσσω, form of pres., 514 a.
πτύω, 488 a, 500. 1 a and 1 D.
-\pi\tau\omega, verbs in, 505, 506.
πυνθάνομαι, hear, learn of, w. gen.,
  1361; become aware of, learn, w. acc.
  and part., 1363, 2112 b, 2144; hear
  from, w. gen., 1364, 1411; hear about,
  w. gen., 1365; of past and pres. com-
  bined, 1885 a; w. ὅτι or ὡs, 2110-
  2112, 2145; w. inf., 2144; w. gen.
  and part., 2111, 2112 a, 2144.
πῦρ, decl., 254 b, 285. 25; cp. 255. 1 d.
πώ, enclit., 181 b.
πώs, enclit., 181 b, 346.
πῶς, 346; πῶς οὐ μέλλω; 1959 d; πῶς
  \gamma \acute{a} \rho; 2805 b, 2806 a.
```

**P.** initial, 13, 18;  $\rho\rho$ , see  $\rho\rho$ ; for  $\sigma$ , dial., 132 p; subst.-stems in, 259-262; initial, doubled after aug., 429 a, after redup., 442 b; suffixes w., 860. δά, 72 D, 181 D, 2787; ħ ρα, 2800. ράδιος, comp., 319. ραδίως φέρειν, w. part., 2100. δέζω, 511. ρέω, 105 a, 503, 594, 607, 806. όήγνυμι, 524 a, 594, 733, 742, 819. ριγόω, 398, 641. ρρ, 13, 80; and ρσ, 79, Intr. E, N. 2. ρσ, 79, 102. ούομαι, 500. 1 a and 1 D; w. redundant uń. 2741. ρώννυμι, 489 e, 731.

Σ, two forms (σ, s), 1 a; pronunc., 26; cons. bef., 97-102; bef. cons., 103-108; disappears, 118-121, 123; for τ, θ, dial., 132 p; movable, 136, 136 p; subst.-stems in, 263 ff.; suffixes w., 865; inserted in perf. and first aor. pass. system, 489; between root (or stem) and suff., 836; cpds. of words begin. w., 878.

-σαι, 2d pers. ending, 465 a. σβέννῦμι, 415, 489 c, 523 f n. 1, 558, 730, 736, 756 a, 759, 819; σβῆθι, 759. -σδω, Aeol. for -ζω, 508 p. -σε, denoting place whither, 342. σεαντοῦ, 329, 1199. 2 a, 1200. 2 d, 1218—1237.

σέθεν, σείο, σέο, σεο, σεῦ, σευ, 325 d. σείω, 429 a d, 489 c.

-σειω, vbs. in, 868.

σεύω, 425 b (2) d, 442 b d, 477 b n., 543 a d, 688.

shairs, tenses w. inserted  $\sigma$ , 489 h.-shai, inf. ending, 469 d; cp. 72 n.-she, -show, -show, -shai, 468.

- $\sigma\theta\sigma\nu$ , for  $-\sigma\theta\eta\nu$  in dual, in Hom., 465 c.  $-\sigma\theta\omega$ ,  $-\sigma\theta\omega\nu$ , 466. 3 d.

-σθωσαν, 466. 3.

-σι, in dat. pl., 250; denoting place where, 342; 2d pers. end., 463 b. σῖτος, δ, τὰ σῖτα, 281. σκέλλω, 687.

σκέπτομαι, dep. w. pass. mean., 813 d. σκ%, iterative imperfs. and aors. in, 495.

σκοπέω (-έομαι), w. obj. clause, 2210 b, 2224 a; w. μή and inf., 2210 b.

-σκω, vbs. in, 526-528.

-oo, 2 pers. ending, 465 b, 466. 2 a.

-σομαι, -ήσομαι, -θήσομαι, 1738.

σός, deel., 330; w. and w't art., 1182, 1183, 1196 a; use, 1196-1199, 1203.

σπάω, 488 a, 489 c.

 $\sigma\sigma$ , and  $\tau\tau$ , 78, 112, 114, Intr. E, N. 2;  $\sigma\sigma$  in Hom., 81 D 3, in fut., 534 b D, in aor., 544 D, 545 D.

-σσι, in dat. pl., 250 p 2.

-σσω, vbs. in, 513-516.

στάδιον, pl., 281; νῖκάω στάδων, 1576. στέργω, perf., 570; w. part., 2100.

στέρομαι, of enduring result, 1887. στόρνυμι, 489 e. f.

στοατός, implied, 1027 a.

στρέφω, 125 g n., 586 b and n, 595, 816. σύ, σοί, elision in, in Epic, 72 n; enclit., 181 a and n; decl., 325; of imaginary pers., 1193.

συγγενής, w. dat., 1417.

συγγιγνώσκω, w. part. and dat., 2108. συγχωρέω, followed by μή, 2726.

συλλέγω, with els, 1660 b.

-σῦλος, compounds of, accent, 894 n. συμβαίνει, 1982 a, 1985.

συμβουλεύω, w. μή, 2720.

σύμπας, see πάς.

συμφέρει, w. dat., 1462, 1592.

σύν, in cpds., bef. σ, 101 a; use, 1511, 1675, 1677, 1679, 1696; dat. w. vbs. compounded w., 1544–1550; in cpds., denoting completion of action, 1648, 1680; σὺν εῦ ποιέω, 1653.

συντημι, w. gen., 1361; w. acc., 1361; dramat. aor., 1937.

σύνοιδα, w. part. and dat., 2108.
 σφάs, indir. reflex. in Att. prose, 1228 b;
 σφάs for, 325 f.

σφε, 325 e and D 1, 325 D 4.

σφεα, σφέας, σφέας, 325 p 1, 2. σφεις, as indir. reflex., 1228 b and n. 1. σφείων, 325 p 1, 4. σφέτερος, 330, 1202. 2 d, 1203 b n. σφέτερος αὐτῶν, 1202. 2 b, 1203 b and n. σφέων, 325 D 1, 2; 1202. 1 c, 1203 a. σφι, for αὐτοῖς, -αῖς, in Hdt., 325 p 2. σφί(ν), 134 D; rarely sing., 325 e. σφίσι(ν), enclit., 325 p 1; as indir. reflex. in Att. prose, 325 d, 1228 b, 1229; in Hdt., 326 p 2, 1195. σφός, in Hom., 330 p 1. σφῶϊ, σφῶϊν, 325 p 1. σφωίτερος, in Hom., 330 p 1. σφων, as indir. reflex. in Att. prose, 1228 b; as dir. reflex., 1202. 2 e, 1203 b;  $\sigma\phi\hat{\omega}\nu$  au $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ , reflex., 1202. 2 c. 1203 b. σχεδόν, improper prep., 1700. σχίζω, fut., 539 e. σώζω, 489 b, f, 508 a, 818; σ. μή, 2741. σωs, decl., 289 c, 289 p. T, bef. dental stop, 83; bef.  $\mu$ , 86; change to  $\sigma$ , 115, Intr. C, N. 1, 4; bef. rough breathing, 124 and p; for  $\sigma$ , dial., 132 p; inserted, bef. suff., 837; suffixes w., 863.  $\tau$ -, class of pres. stems, 505. τάλας, decl., 298. τανύω, 489 c, 500. 1 D, 541 a. -татоs, superl. ending, 313. ταύτη, 346. τάχιστα, W.  $\dot{\omega}$ s,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\dot{\eta}$ ,  $\ddot{o}\pi\omega$ s, 2383.ταχίων or θάττων, from θαχίων, 125 f. ταχύς, comparison of, 319. τέ, pron., enclit., 181 p, 325 p 4. τέ, particle, enclit., 181 d; added to rel., 338 d, 2970;  $\omega$ s  $\tau\epsilon$ ,  $\dot{\omega}$ s  $\epsilon i$   $\tau\epsilon$ , 2087 b, 2481-2485;  $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dots \tau \epsilon$ , 2913;  $o \tilde{v} \tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$ , 2945, 2946; uses, 2967– 2983. -τε, advs. in, 344. τείν, 325 D 1. τελείω, 650 b. τελέω, 391, 409 e and n., 488 a, 489 c, 539 a and D. τέμνω, w. two accs., 1626.

τέο, τέος, τεοῦ, τεοῦς, τεῦ, τεῦς, 325 D 4.

τεός, in Hom., 330 D 1.

in -τέον, 933 b; copula omitted w. -τέον, 944 b; dat. of agent w., 1488. -тероs, comp. end., 313. τέρπω, aor. pass., in Hom., 586 b D; τέρπομαι, W. part., 2100. τεύχω, 502 a, 693. τέχνη, implied, 1027 b. τή, τήδε, 346. τήκω, 595, 816; tr. and intr., 819. τηλε, improper prep., 1700. τηλικόσδε, 333 d, 340. τηλικοῦτος, 333 e, 340, 1180, 1180 a. -την, rarely for -τον in 2 dual, 464 d. τηνίκα, τηνικάδε, τηνικαθτα, 346. -τηρ, -της, cpd. nouns in, 888 c. τηρέω, fut. mid., 808; w. obj. cl., 2210 b; w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$  and inf., 2210 b. τι, 113, 114. -ті, 3d pers. ending, 463 с. -ті, -σті, advs. in, 344.  $-\tau \iota$ ,  $-\theta \iota$ , in imper., 125 b, 676. τίθημι, form τιθήμενος, 28 p; decl. of part., 307; conjug., 416; analysis of forms, 744-767; τέθειμαι, 767; w. part. or inf., 2144. τίκτω, pres. as perf., 1887 a. τιμάω, decl. of pres. part., 310; inflec., 385; fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 809; τιμάω (τιμάομαί) τινι θανάτου, and τιμάομαί τινος, 1374. τιμής, τιμήεις, 299 D. τιμωρέω, uses of act. and mid., 1376, 1472, 1591 a, 1734, 17. τίν, τίνη, 325 p 4. τίνω, 488 c, 489 c, 523 f n. 2; τίνω δίκην ) ( τίνομαι δίκην, 1734, 18. τίs, accent, 154, 334; decl., 334; w. art., 1186, 2648; subst. and adj., 1262-1265; τί w. comp., 1514, 1586; τί· οὐ μέλλω; 1959 d; τί μαθών; τί παθών; 2064 a; τί έχων; 2064 b; ἵνα τί, ώς τί, öτι τί, 2644 a; τί γάρ; 2805 b; τί μήν; τί μὴν ού; 2921. rls, accent, 154, 174 a, 334; enclit., 181 b; decl., 334; w. δπότερος, etc., 339 d; w. sec. pers. of imper., 1016; position, 1155, 1266; use, 1266-1270; w. comp.,

-те́оs, verbal adjs. in, 425 с, 471, 473;

1514, 1586; of  $\tau l \pi o v$ ; 2651 f;  $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau l | \tau \hat{\varphi}$ , therefore, 2987.  $\gamma \epsilon$ , 2763 e. τλα-, 551, 682 D, 687, 705, 806; έτλην w. part. or inf., 2127. τοί, ταί, 332 D, 338 D 1. τοί, in crasis, 68; elision, 72 p; enclit., 181 d, 1486, 2984-2987; ἀλλά γέ τοι  $(\tau ol \gamma \epsilon)$ , 2786;  $\gamma \acute{a}\rho \tau oi$ , 2820;  $\tau oi\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ , etc., 2987.  $\tau o i = \sigma o i$ , 325 p 1, 2, cp. 1486. τοιγαρούν, τοιγάρτοι, 2987. τοίνυν, 2880, 2987. τοίος, 340; w. inf., 2003. τοιόσδε, 333 d, 340, 1180, 1245, 1249. τοιοῦτος, 333 e, 340, 1180, 1245, 1249. τολμάω, w. part. or inf., 1992 a, 2127, -тоs, verbal adjs. in, 425 с and м., 471, 472, 1488. τόσος, 340; w. inf. in Hom., 2003. τοσόσδε, 333 d, 340, 1180, 1245, 1249. τοσοῦτος, 333 e, 340, 1180, 1245, 1249; τοσούτω, τοσοῦτον, correl. to δσω, δσον, 2468-2473. τόσσος, 340 D. τότε, w. part., 2080; δη τότε, 2840. τοτέ, τοτέ μέν . . . τοτέ δέ, 346 b. τουτί, derivation, 75 a. τρέπω, 554 c, 571, 586 b, 595, 596. τρέφω, 125 g, 595, 808; w. acc., 1579. τρέχω, 125 g, 529, 806. τρέω, 488 a; w. acc., 1595 a. τρίβω, 501, 570, 571, 595, 808. τριήρης, decl., 264. τριττός, treble, 354 d. τρίχα and τριχθά, 354 D. τριχός, for  $\theta \rho i \chi os$ , 125 f. Tρώs, accent of dual, 252 a. ττ, and σσ, see σσ. -ττω (Ion. and Later Att. -σσω), vbs. in, 513-516. τύ, thou, thee, 181 D, 325 D 4. τυγχάνω, w. gen., 1350; ἐντυγχάνω w. dat., 1350; w. subst., equiv. to pass. vb., 1753; part. w., 1873, 2096; in part. w. finite vb., 2096 f; &v omitted with, 2119. τύνη, 325 D 1, 4. τυραννέω, w. gen., 1370.

τώς, 346. -τωσαν, imper. ending, 466. 3. τωύτό, τωύτοῦ, 68 p. Y, pronunc., 24, 24 D; quantity, 4, 500; semivowel, 20; not elided, 72 e; interchanged w.  $\bar{v}$ , 27, 37, 501;  $\bar{v}$  for, 28 D; dial. for α, ο, 33 D; ν: εν: ον, see eu; subst.-stems in, 268; in aug., ύβρίζω, fut. mid. as pass., 809; w. acc., είς τινα, or πρός τινα, 1591 a, 1592. ύδωρ, decl., 253 b, 285. 26. ΰει, 500. 1 a, 934 a. -υζω, vbs. in, 866. 6 b. vi, diphth., 5; pronunc., 25. viós, 285. 27 and p; omitted, 1301. ὑμέας, 325 D 1, 2. ὑμείων, ὑμέων, 325 D 1, 2, 4. ύμές, ύμίν, ύμάς, 325 f, 325 b 4. ύμέτερος, 313 b, 330, 1182, 1183, 1196, 1197; reflex. and non-reflex., 1200, 1203. ύμέτερος αὐτῶν, 1200. 2 b, 1203 b and N. бинес, etc., 10 D, 105 D, 134 D, 325 D 1, 3. ΰμός, in Hom., 330 p 1.  $\ddot{ exttt{0}}$ μων,  $\ddot{ exttt{0}}$ μιν,  $\ddot{ exttt{0}}$ μας, 325  $exttt{f}$ , 325  $exttt{D}$  4.-υννω, pres. in, 519 p. -υν%-, tense-suff., 523 e. -υνω (Aeol. -υννω), vbs. in, 518 c, 519, 866. 8. ὑπ (ὑπό), 75 d. ύπαί, ύπα-, 1698. ύπαίθριος, pred. use, 1042 a. ύπακούω, w. gen., 1465. ўπατος, 320 а. ύπείρ, 1697. ὑπέκ, 1649 a. ὑπέρ, cpds. of, w. gen., 1384, 1403; cpds. of, w. acc., 1384; use, 1675, 1677, 1697. ύπερβαίνω, surpass, w. acc., 1403. ύπερβάλλω, surpass, w. acc., 1403. ύπέρτερος, ύπέρτατος, 320. ὑπεύθῦνος, w. gen. or dat., 1425. ύτήκοος, w. gen. or dat., 1421.

ύπισχνέομαι, w. inf., 1868 c; w.  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , 2726.

ύπό, use, 1491-1494, 1511, 1675, 1676, 1678, 1679, 1698, 1755; epds. of, w. dat., 1544-1550; epds. of, w. acc., 1546.

ύπολαμβάνω, w. inf., 2580; w. ωs, 2580.

ὑπομένω, w. part. or inf., 2127.

ύποπτεύω, w. obj. clause, 2224 a.

 $-\bar{\mathbf{v}}\rho\omega$  (Aeol.  $-v\rho\rho\omega$ ), vbs. in, 519.

is, gender of, 255. 2 c.

-vs, parts. in, decl., 308.

-us, gen. of nouns in, accent, 163 a.

-ύς, numeral words in, 354 e.

ύστερον ή, 2459.

ύστερος, ύστατος, 320 ; ύστάτιος, 320 n.

ύφαίνω, 489 h.

ύφτεμαι, followed by redundant μή, 2741.

υω, 500. 1 p, 934 a; fut. mid. as pass., 808.

-τω, -τω, vbs. in, 500, 500 p, 501, 522, 608, 866. 5.

Φ, pronunc., 26; bef. dental stop, 82; bef.  $\mu$ , 85; bef.  $\nu$ , 88; changed to  $\pi$  in redup., 125 a; for  $\theta$ , dial., 132 p; suffixes w., 862.

φαίνω, form ἐφάνθην, 125 g N.; πεφάνθαι, 125 g N.; πεφάσθω, 713; tenses with inserted σ, 489 h; aors. pass. of, 595; a mid. pass., 814, 817; tr. and intr., 819; personal constr. w., 1983; w. part., 2106; φαίνομαι w. part. or inf., 1965, 2106, 2143; ἄν omitted w., 2119.

φάλαγξ, decl., 256.

φανερός έστι, w. ὅτι or part., φανερόν έστι w. ὅτι, 1982 n., 2107.

φάος, decl., 258 p.

φάσκω, 787; οὐ φάσκω, 2692 a.

φείδομαι, 502 a; πεφιδήσεται, 580 D.

φέρτατος, φέριστος, 319.

φέρω, aors., 448, 544 d; φέρτε, 634; fut. mid. as pass., 809; φέρε, of more than one person, 1010; w. hort. subjv., 1797 a, b, w. imper., 1836; φέρων,

hastily, 2062 a; φέρων, with, 2068 a; φέρω χαλεπῶς, ραδίως, w. part., 2100. φείγω, fut. mid. as act., 806; be prosecuted, equivalent to a pass., 1378, 1752; flee from, w. acc., 1597; φείγω δίκην (γραφήν), 1576; pres. for perf., 1887; w. redundant μή, 2740.

φημί, form φάθι, 125 g n.; enclitic forms, 181 c, 424 a, 784; φήs, 463 b; φῆσθα, 463 b (2) n; inflec. of, 783-786; mean. of tenses, 787-788; σγμμ, 787, 2691, 2692 a; ξφη betw. voc. and attrib., 1285; w. inf. (δτι, ω̇s), 2017 a; φημl μή, 2723.

 $\phi$ θάνω, 374 κ., 488 b, 489 f; sec. aor., 682 a, 682 b, 687; fut. mid. as act., 806; w. acc., 1597; part. w., 1873, 2096; in part. w. finite vb., 2062 a, 2096 f; as forerunner of  $\pi \rho l \nu$ , 2440 a; οὐκ ἔφθην . . . καl, 2876.

φθείρω, tr. and intr., 819.

φθίνω, 488 a, 688; Hom. φθίτο, 758 D.

-φι, -φιν, 134 D; cases in, 280.

φιλέω, form φίλημι, φίλεισι, 463 p; φίλη, Aeolic imper., 466 a p; φιλήμεναι, 657; fut. mid. as pass., 808.

φίλος, comparison of, 315, 319. φιλοτιμέομαι, a pass. deponent, 812.

φίν, 325 D 4.

φιτύω, 500. 1 a.

φλέψ, decl., 256. φο, φα, suffix, 862. 3.

φοβέω, form πεφόβησθε, 713; aor. pass. as mid., 815; φοβέσμαι w. acc., 1595 a; φοβήσομαι and φοβηθήσομαι, 1911; φοβέσμαι, w. redund. μή, 2741.

Φοίνίξ, quantity of ι, 254 b.

φορέω, φορέησι, 463 c n; Hom. inf., 657.

φράγνυμι, 595, 733.

φράζω, form πεφραδμένος, 409 b d, 489 d; επέφραδε, 549 d; command, winf., 1992 c, 1997, 2017; say, with or ws, 2017.

φρασί, 259 D.

φράττω, 514 a. φρέπρ, decl., 253 b, 258 c.

φρήν, gender of, 255. 1 c.

φρίττω, form πεφρίκων, 557 D 2, 700 D. φρονέω, fut. mid. as pass., 809. φροντίζω, w. obj. cl., 2210 b, 2224 a; w.  $\mu\eta$  and inf., 2210 b. φροῦδος, 124 a. φρουρός, 124 a. φυγή, decl., 216. φυίω, 522 Ν. φύλαξ, decl., 256. φυλάττω, act. ) ( mid., 1597, 1734. 19; φυλάττομαι w. inf., 2210 b; φυλάττω, and φυλάττομαι, with obj. cl., 2210 b, 2224 a; w. redund. μή, 2740. φύω, 488 c, 500. 1 a and 1 D; πεφύκει,

557 p 2; sec. aor., 687; perf. subjv., 693, opt., 696; tr. and intr., 819; pres. as perf., 1887 a; perf. as press, 1946. φωνέω, command, w. inf., 1992 c, 1997,

2017; say, w. ὅτι or ώs, 2017. φωνήεις, decl., 299.

φωs, light, 252 a, 253 c, 255. 1 b.

X, pronunc., 26: bef. dental stop, 82; bef.  $\mu$ , 85; changed to  $\kappa$  in redup. 125 a; suffixes w., 864.

χαίρω, κεχαρήσω and κεχαρήσομαι, in Hom., 584 p; w.  $\epsilon \pi i$  and dat., 1518; w. acc. of person and pred. part. in poetry, 1595 b; w. dat, 1595 b; w. part., 2100; χαίρων, with impunity, 2062 a.

χαλέπτω, 505 a.

χαλεπώς φέρειν, w. part., 2100.

χαμάζε, 1589.

χαρίεις, 114 a, 299, 313 a.

χάριν, 1665 a, 1700.

χάρις, 257, 313 a.

χάσκω, 698, 806.

χείλιοι, 37 D 2.

χείρ, 255. 1 d, 285. 28; implied, 1027 b.

χείρων, χείριστος, 319.

χέλλιοι, in Aeol., 347 D 3.

χέω, 477 b n., 607, 488 a, 503; fut., 541, 1881; first aor., 543 a; sec. aor., 688. χηλίοι, χειλίοι, in Dor., 37 d 2, 347 d 3.

χθών, 131, 255.1 с.

χι, 112.

-χι, in ναίχι, 186.

γλίω, 500.2.

χολόω, κεχολώσεται, 580 D; έχολωσάμην and  $\epsilon_{\chi}$  o  $\lambda \omega \theta \eta \nu$ , 802 D.

χοῦς, decl., 275.

χόω, tenses w. inserted  $\sigma$ , 489 a, c.

χράσμαι, 394, 395, 487 a, 489 e, 641 p, 813; w. dat., 1509; mean. of act. and mid., 1734. 20; χρώμενος, with, 2068 a.

χράω, am eager for, 394.

χράω, utter an oracle, 394, 487 a, 489 e, 641 D.

χρεών, copula omitted w., 944 b.

χρή, form  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$  or  $\epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ , 438 b, 793; inflec., 793; an old noun, 793, 1562; quasi-impers., 933 b, 1985; w. acc. and inf., 1562, 1985 b; w. acc. and gen., 1502; use of  $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$  w. and without ăv, 1774-1779, 1905, 2313-2315; w. either μή or οὐ, 2714.

χρήμα, w. gen. to express size, etc., 1294. **χρίω**, 489 b, e, 500, 2 and 2 p.

χρόνιος, pred. use, 1042 a.

χρύσεος, decl., 290.

χρώς, 257 D, 285. 29.

χώρα, decl., 216; implied, 1027 b.

χωρίς, 1097 b, 1700; w. oὐ, 2753.

 $\Psi$ , 21, 22.

ψάω, 394.

ψέ, ψέων, ψίν, 325 D 4.

ψεύδω, a mid. pass., 818.

ψηφοs, gender, 232 d; implied, 1027 b.

 $\Omega$ , pronunc., 24; interchanged w. o, 27, 36, 738 c; for ov, dial., 33 p; interchanged w.  $\bar{a}$  and a, and w.  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon$ or a, 36, 831; length. from o, dial., 37 p 2; stems in, 267.

 $\omega/\eta$ -, long thematic vowel, 457, 458, 667, 674, 692, 749, 757 a.

ω̃, w. voc., 1284, 1285.

-ω, in gen., 214 D 5 c, 230 D 1.

-ω or -ων, in acc.. 238 d.

ω-verbs, 375; conjug., 382-411; formation of tense-systems, 474-601; tenses inflected according to  $\omega$ -conjug., 602-

604; yowel vbs., 606-613; cons. vbs., ( 614-624; inflec., 625, 711. w. diphth., 5; pronunc., 25. ũδε, 346, 1245. ώθέω, w. syllabic aug., 431. **ἄκιστος**, 318 D. ων. for οῦν, 2955; οὐκ ῶν, in Hdt., 2954 a. -ων, parts. in, decl., 305. -ŵv, in gen. pl. of first decl., 213, 229 b. ων, being, decl., 305. ώνέομαι, 431, 529, 813. ώνήρ, 68 D. ωρα, copula omitted w., 944 b. **ωριστος**, 68 D. ώs, proclit., 179, 180 c. ώς, exclam., 2682, 2685, 2998. ώs, relative, summary of uses as adv., 2990-2997, as conjunc., 3000. ώς, improper prep., 1702, 3003. **55**, as, like, 3002.

ως (ως, ως), demons., 180 c, 346, 2988. -ωs, compounds in, 163 a, 888 e; in acc., 230 p 4; advs. in, 343. -6s, part. ending, 301 c, 309, 470 b. ωσπερ, after adjs. and advs. of likeness. 1501 a; w. part., 2078, 2087; in  $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\tilde{a}\nu$   $\epsilon i$ , 2087 a, 2478–2480; in comp. clauses, 2463-2465;  $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$   $\epsilon l$ , 2478-2480. осте, accent, 164 a, 186; w. clauses of result, 1063, 2011, 2239, 2250-2278; ή ωστε, 1079, 2007; w. part. in Hom. w. force of are, 2085; introduc. clauses of comp., 2463 a; and inf., neg. with, 2759. ωυ, diphth., 5 υ, 25; pronunc., 25. ώντός, ώντοί, 5 D, 68 D. ωὐτός, 68 D, 327 D. ώφελέω, fut. mid. w. pass. mean., 809;

w. acc., 1462, 1591 a; w. dat., 1591 a.