

# contact info





# olick me

Sign up for our mailing list for more goodies

Rest in Peace to Aaron Swartz beloved friend of the internet, defender for freedom of speech and information, May your struggle not be in vain, the earth is 4.5 billion years, mankind has been around 160,000 yrs and the average span of an individual is just 70, but the idea of free universal knowledge can never die.



(Some will say this is not the time. I disagree. This is the time when every mixed emotion needs to find voice.)

Since his arrest in January, 2011, I have known more about the events that began this spiral than I have wanted to know. Aaron consulted me as a friend and lawyer. He shared with me what went down and why, and I worked with him to get help. When my obligations to Harvard created a conflict that made it impossible for me to continue as a lawyer, I continued as a friend. Not a good enough friend, no doubt, but nothing was going to draw that friendship into doubt.

The billions of snippets of sadness and bewilderment spinning across the Net confirm who this amazing boy was to all of us. But as I've read these aches, there's one strain I wish we could resist:

## **Read More**

#### **MBEDDED TECHNOLOGY** F TM

ERI

# **Embedded** Microprocessor Systems:<br>Real World Design HI RD E  $\mathbf{D}$

Stuart R.  $B$  all,  $P$ . E.



*Embedded Microprocessor Systems Real World Design* 

## **Embedded Microprocessor Systems Real World Design**

**Third Edition** 

**Stuart R. Ball** 



An imprint of Elsevier Science Amsterdam Boston London New York Oxford Paris San Diego San Francisco Singapore Sydney Tokyo

Newnes is an imprint of Elsevier Science.

Copyright *0* 2002, Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

 $\bigotimes$  Recognizing the importance of preserving what has been written, Elsevier Science prints its books on acid-free paper whenever possible.

#### **Library of Congress Catalogingin-Publication Data**

Ball, Stuart R., 1956- Embedded microprocessor systems : real world design / Stuart R. Ball.-3rd ed. ISBN 0-7506-75349 (pbk. : alk. paper) 1. Embedded computer systems-Design and construction. 2. Microprocessors. p. cm. I. Title. TK7895.E42 B35 2002 621.39'16-dc21 2002071917

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

**A** catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

The publisher offers special discounts on bulk orders of this book. For information, please contact:

Manager of Special Sales Elsevier Science 200 Wheeler Road Burlington, MA 01803 Tel: 781-3134700 Fax: 781-3134880

For information on all Newnes publications available, contact our World Wide Web home page at: **http://www.newnespress.com** 

10 9 8 7 6 5 **4** 3 2 1

Printed in the United States of America



### *Confenfs*

*Introduction xi Special Introduction to the Third Edition xiv* 

#### *1 System Design*

*Requirements Definition 3 Processor Selection 5 Development Environment 17 Development Costs 19 Hardware and Software Requirements 20 Hardware/Software Partitioning 22 Distributed Processor Systems 24 Specifications Summary 25 A Requirements Document Outline 26 Communication 28* 

#### *2 Hardware Design 1*

*Single-Chip Designs 29 Multichip Designs 3 1 Wait States 35 Memory 38 Types of PROM 39 RAM 45 I/O 54 Peripheral ICs 58 Data Bus Loading 68 Nonvolatile Memory 70 Microwire 73 DMA 74* 

*1* 

**V** 

*Watchdog Timers 81 In-Circuit Programming 83 Internal Peripherals 85 Cesign Shortcuts 85 EMC Considerations 86 Microprocessor Clocks 90 Hardware Checklist 92* 

#### *3 Hardware Design 2*

*Dynamic Bus Sizing 95 Fast Cycle Termination 95 Bus Sizing at Reset 96 Clock-Synchronized Buses 97 Built-in Dynamic Ram Interface 99 Combination ICs 100 Digital-to-Analog Converters 101 Analog-to-Digital Converters 103 SPI/Microwire in Multichip Designs 106 Timer Basics 107 Example System 115 Hardware Specifications Outline 115* 

#### *4 Software Design*

*Data Flow Diagram 120 State Diagram 121 Flowcharts 123 Pseudocode 123 Partitioning the Code 125 Software Architecture 129 The Development Language 131 Microprocessor Hardware 135 Hard Deadlines Versus Soft Deadlines Dangerous Independence 138 Software Specifications 140 Software Specifications Outline 14 1 138* 

#### *5 Interrupts in Embedded Systems*

*Interrupt Basics 143 Interrupt Vectors 144 Edge- and Level-Sensitive Interrupts 146*  *119* 

*95* 

*143* 

*Interrupt Priority 146 Interrupt Hardware 146 Interrupt Bus Cycles 148 Daisy-Chained Interrupts 148 Other Types of Interrupts 149 Using Interrupt Hardware 150 Interrupt Software 155 Interrupt Service Mechanics 155 Nested Interrupts 157 Passing Data to or from the ISR Some Real World Dos and Don'ts Minimizing Low-Priority Interrupt Service Time When to Use Interrupts 168 158 159 166* 

#### *6 Adding Debug Hardware and Software*

*Action Codes 172 Hardware Output 173 Write to ROM 175 Read from ROM 176 Software Timing 177 Software Throughput 177 Circular Trace Buffers 178 Monitor Programs 179 Logic Analyzer Breakpoints 180 Memory Dumps 181 Serial Condition Monitor 182* 

#### *7 System Integration and Debug*

*Hardware Testing 190 Software Debug 191 Debugging in RAM 193 Functional Test Plan 194 Stress Testing 196 Problem Log 197 A Real- World Example 198 Emulators/Debuggers 20 1* 

#### *8 Multiprocessor Systems*

*Communication Between Processors 205 Dual-Port RAM (DPRAM) 212* 

171

*189* 

**203** 



viii

*Setting Time 288* 

**viii** Contents *Contents* 



*Index 350* 

*Contents* **ix** 

*315* 

*325* 

*343* 

### *lntroduction*

Imagine this scene: You get into your car and turn the key on. You take a **3.5"** floppy disk from the glove compartment, insert it into a slot in the dashboard, and drum your fingers on the steering wheel until the operating system prompt appears on the dashboard liquid crystal display (LCD). Using the cursor keys on the center console, you select the program for the electronic ignition, then turn the key and start the engine. On the way to work you want to listen to some music, *so* you insert the program compact disc (CD) into the player, wait for the green light to flash indicating that the digital signal processor (DSP) in the player is ready, then put in your music CD.

You get to work and go to the cafeteria for a pastry. Someone has borrowed the mouse from the microwave but has not unplugged the microwave itself, *so* the operating system is still up. You can heat your breakfast before starting work.

What is the point of this inconvenient scenario? This is how the world would work if we used microprocessor technology without having *embedded microprocessors.*  Every microprocessor-based appliance would need a disk drive, some kind of input device, and some kind **of** display.

Embedded microprocessors are all around us. Since the original Intel 8080 was pioneered in the 1970s, engineers have been embedding microprocessors in their designs. They even are embedded in general-purpose computers; if you own a variation of the **IBM** PC/AT, there is an embedded microprocessor in the keyboard. Virtually all printers have at least one microprocessor in them, and no car on the market is without at least one under the hood. Embedded microprocessors may control the automatic processing equipment that cans your soup or the controls of your microwave oven. Basically, we can define an embedded microprocessor as having the following characteristics:

- Dedicated to controlling a specific real-time device or function.
- Self-starting, not requiring human intervention to begin. The user cannot tell if the system **is** controlled by a microprocessor or by dedicated hardware.
- Self-contained, with the operating program in some kind of nonvolatile memorv.

**xi** 

Of course, there are exceptions to this general description, which we will get to eventually, but this definition will serve us for now.

*An* embedded microprocessor system usually contains the following components:

- A microprocessor
- RAM (random access memory)
- Nonvolatile storage: erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM), readonly memory (ROM), flash memory, battery-backed RAM, and *so* on
- I/O (some means to monitor or control the real world)

If you have seen textbooks describing general computer systems, this description fits those as well. The difference is in the details. A general-purpose computer, such as the one this book was written on, may have many megabytes of RAM, whereas an embedded system may have less than 256 bytes (that is bytes, not megabytes) of RAM. Your PC at home or at the office may have a **lOGB** IDE hard drive with DOS, Windows, and several other applications.

*An* embedded system usually contains its entire program in a few thousand bytes of EPROM. The most important difference between the **two** is the application. Your home personal computer (PC) runs a word processor, then you switch over to the money management program to balance your checkbook, then to the spreadsheet to work on the family budget, then back to the word processor. The embedded system does just a limited number of tasks, such **as** making sure your toast does not burn or timing the cook cycle in your microwave.

Why would anyone want to use a microprocessor? The main reasons are:

- **Cost.** The cost of developing firmware for an embedded system can be very high, but it is a *nonrecurring expense,* only spent once to develop the product. The actual cost of the finished product can be very low. On the other hand, the product cost of a system such **as** a microwave oven controller, if implemented in discrete hardware, can be very high by comparison.
- **Flexibility.** Say a typical microwave oven manufacturer gets a contract from a very large discount store for microwave ovens, but the contract specifies certain changes in the way the user controls the device. In a hardware-based system, the control electronics would need to be redesigned. In a microprocessor-based system, the only change may be a few lines of code.
- **Programmability.** You may want to program a robotic arm to paint car doors one day and trunk lids the next. An embedded microcontroller permits you to have the same hardware perform different tasks. Of course, this also could be implemented in discrete hardware but at much higher cost.
- **Adaptability.** A system may need to adapt to its environment or to a user's needs. A typical example of this is an automobile's "smart" automatic transmission, which remembers your driving patterns and adjusts its shift points for optimum

**xii** *Introductim* 

comfort, economy, or even reliability. You *could* implement this sort **of** feature with dedicated hardware, but a microprocessor makes the job much easier.

This book will take you step by step through the procedures involved in designing **an** embedded control system. Many of the tricks I have learned in my 20 years in the field will be passed on, **as** well **as** some pitfalls to avoid. Along the way, we will use as an example of a simple embedded control system, a swimming pool pump timer, to illustrate these concepts.

The book is aimed primarily at students, new graduates who will be moving into the embedded processor field, and engineers working in another field who want to switch to embedded microprocessors. It assumes that the reader has a basic knowledge of software concepts, binary and hexadecimal number systems, and a basic understanding of digital logic. **A** review of this material is included in the appendixes at the end.

*Introduction* xiii

### *Special Introduction to the Third Edition*

Since the first edition of this book was published, the embedded microprocessor world has changed. Entire families of microprocessors have become obsolete, along with their associated peripheral devices. This march of technology has the disadvantage of making examples using those devices obsolete as well. In some cases, I have kept examples that used some of these older parts because they provide a clearer means of communicating a concept than examples using newer, more complex devices. In general I have tried to use parts that are still in production for the examples, although some of these parts may be nearing their end of life and not as common as newer parts.

In addition to using some older devices in examples, the text still refers to older logic devices **as** well. These latches, gates, and registers provide a well-understood means of illustrating an interface mechanism that tends **to** become overly complex if all the component parts must be explained in detail before the desired concept can be covered. In most modern circuits, these functions have been taken over by programmable logic or custom ICs. The concepts, however, are still valid even if the implementation technology has changed.

Owing to these advances in technology, I have added some new examples, using updated parts, to the **book.** Readers of the first and second editions **of** the **book**  will note that some original examples have been replaced with examples that use these newer parts. Of course, there is no guarantee that any current production part will still be in production by the time you read this, but that is the nature of the electronics industry!

### *System Design <sup>I</sup>*

It has been said that if you do not know where you are going, you will not know when you get there. Success experts tell us that the first step in achieving anything is to establish a goal-to be debt free in one year or to pay off the car in six months.

Like most things in life, the process of designing **an** embedded microprocessor system begins with a goal-the definition of the product. The product definition describes what the product is to be and do. The product definition is the first element in a process that is key to any successful electronics system design: documentation. The documentation describes what you are going to build and how you are going to build it. It tells marketing people what product they will have to sell, and it tells the engineering team how to implement that product. Since this book is about embedded systems, it will focus on documenting embedded systems. The development documents that I have found useful in designing embedded systems are as follows:

- **Product Requirements:** Describe what the product is.
- **Functional Requirements:** Describe what the product must do.
- **Engineering Specification:** Describes how the design will be implemented and how the requirements will be met.
- **Hardware Specifications:** Describe how specific hardware is designed.
- **Firmware Specifications:** Describe how the firmware for specific processors will be designed.
- **Test Specifications:** Describe what must be tested and how to verify that the system operates correctly.

Figure 1.1 shows how each of the documents relates to the overall design. The embedded design process generally follows these steps:

Product requirements definition

Functional requirements definition

Processor selection

Hardware/software specifications



Figure 1.1 Design Documentation.

System evaluation Hardware design Firmware design Integration Verification (test)

These steps are not necessarily serial. For example, if there are separate hardware and software teams, the hardware and firmware design can proceed in parallel. The process is not always linear-system evaluation may reveal a problem with the selected processor, which means that step must be repeated. Last, the process is not always this well divided. The requirements definition and functionality description, for example, may be merged into a product specification or other customerrequired documents.

Many companies require such product specifications early in the design process. I will not dwell on that here, as the requirements for this type of document are specific to the company or the customer for whom the product is intended. Commercial customers, to pick one example, have considerably different requirements than the Department of Defense. The design and documentation process begins with the next level of documentation below the product specification: the requirements definition.

#### *Requirements Definition*

The requirements definition (which, again, may actually be part of the product specifications), describes what the product is to do. In a very large company, the marketing department or a major customer may define the requirements. In a smaller company, the hardware and software engineers may sketch out the requirements definition. For a small, oneengineer project, the requirements may be the result of a momentary inspiration.

The requirements definition can take the form of a book-defining every interaction, interface, and error condition in the system-or a single-page list of what the finished product must do. In either case, the requirements definition must describe:

- What the system is to do
- What the real world I/O consists of
- What the operator interface is (if any)

In a small embedded control system, defining the requirements is crucial, as it prevents problems later when you find out that there is insufficient RAM or that the microprocessor you have chosen is too slow for the job. A simple example of this is the following system definition for a swimming pool pump timer. (Appendix A contains the complete requirements definition and specifications.)

System description: A swimming pool timer that cycles the alternating current (AC) pump motor on a swimming pool.

Power input: 9 to 12V DC from a wall-mount transformer.

Pump is a 1/2-hp, single-phase, AC motor, controlled by mechanical relay.

Provision is to be made for a switch closure input that inhibits pump operation if the water level is low.

User can set the length of time the pump is on and off. **An** override is available to permit turning off the pump when it is on for maintenance and turning on the pump when it is off so that chemicals can be added.

On/off/override time is to be adjustable in 30-minute increments from 1/2 hour to 23 hours.

A display will indicate the on/off condition of the pump, the time remaining, and whether the pump is in override mode. The display also will indicate the condition of the water-low monitor.

Minimum switches and knobs.

In addition to a list of requirements and functions like this, a system that is intended to be a commercial product might also include requirements for EMI/

EMC (electromagnetic **interference/electromagnetic** compatibility) certification, safety agency approval (UL/IEC) , and environmental specifications (temperature, humidity, salt spray, and *so* on).

Although we'll discuss this further in Chapter 7, one problem with specifying requirements is verlfylng them. It is easy to determine whether the product meets the EMI/EMC requirements-you can run tests to prove it. But how do you prove you've met the requirement for "minimum switches and knobs"? Thus, keep in mind the problem of verification when specifying requirements.

**A** complex system may have another level of documentation, which I usually refer to as the *Engineering Specijication.* This document describes the approach that will be used to implement the design, including which boards will be included and how the functions are partitioned onto those boards. **I** will return to this information later, in Chapter 8. For now, assume that we have a simple product, which makes this intermediate document unnecessary.

After the requirements are defined, the next step is to determine whether a microprocessor is the best choice. For the pool timer, it is fairly obvious that a microprocessor is the easiest way to do the job. Some other systems are not *so*  obvious. The following questions can help determine whether a microprocessor is justified:

- At what speed must the inputs and outputs be processed or updated? Although the clock rates are ever increasing, there is a practical upper limit to the speed at which a microprocessor can read an input or update an output and still do any real work. At the time of this writing, an update rate of a few hundred kHz is a practical upper limit for a simple microprocessor system with few processing demands and running on a fast processor or digital signal processor (DSP). If the system must do significant processing, buffer manipulation, or other computing, the potential update rate will decrease.
- Is there a single integrated circuit **(IC)** or a programmable logic device (PLD) that will do the job? If *so,* a microprocessor is probably not justified.
- Does the system have a lot of user I/O, such as switches or displays? If *so,* a microprocessor usually makes the job much easier.
- What are the interfaces to other external systems? If your system must talk to something else using Synchronous Data Link Control (SDLC) or some other complex communication protocol, a microprocessor may be the only practical choice.
- How complex is the computational burden on the system? Modern electronic ignition systems, for example, have *so* many inputs (air sensors, engine rpm, and *so* on) with complex relationships that few choices other than a microprocessor are suitable.
- Will the design need to be changed once it is finished, or will the requirements be changing as the design progresses? **Is** there a need for customization of the

product or for special versions? Any of these requirements makes a microprocessor attractive due to the flexibility of implementing functionality in firmware.

Fortunately, the job of the system designer is becoming easier. Microprocessor costs are coming down as speed and performance rise. Even simple microprocessors are capable of handling tasks that were limited to dedicated hardware just a few years ago. When you include very fast processors (such as low-cost **DSPs)** , the range of potential applications that can be performed with a microprocessor is wider than ever.

#### *Processor Selection*

Suppose you decide to use a microprocessor for your new widget. What steps do you take to select the processor to be used? Fortunately, for all but a very few applications, more than one right solution is possible because several microprocessors can meet the requirements. *As* with most real-world engineering decisions, the selection consists of a series **of** tradeoffs between cost and functionality. The specific selection process will depend on the complexity of the finished product, but the following items must be taken into consideration:

- Number of I/O pins required
- Interfaces required
- Memory requirements
- Number of interrupts required
- Real-time considerations
- Development environment
- Processing speed required
- ROMability
- Memory architecture
- Power requirements
- Environmental requirements
- Life cycle costs
- Operator training/competence
- The "real" requirements

#### *Number of UO Pins*

In a minimum-cost system, component count is a major factor in the final product cost. These systems generally use a singlechip microprocessor with internal ROM and RAM. There is a convention to identify these parts as *microcontrollers,* to

separate them from the more general-purpose embedded processors. Since the microcontroller does not need to generate signals to external memory, the device pins are available for I/O. These pins are grouped as *ports,* and each pin may be **an** input or an output. In **our** example system, one pin might turn on the pool pump relay. Another pin might allow the processor to monitor the water level sensor.

Most microprocessor manufacturers make a controller with internal memory and external pins for controlling **1/0** devices. While it is impossible to list all the variations and subtleties of these devices here, a brief list of typical devices follows:



This list cannot describe all the tradeoffs among the various parts. Some of these parts include a bidirectional serial interface, for example, but you must give up **two**  port pins to use it. Some have internal timers that use a port pin for certain functions. Some have high-current and open-drain outputs that are ideal for driving relay or solenoid coils with no additional driver hardware. The specific IC that is ideal for your application depends on the application.

When counting I/O pins, make sure that you take into account the use of internal functions, such as serial ports and timers, that restrict the use of certain pins. Although we'll discuss this in more detail in Chapter **2,** keep in mind that some of these parts support external RAM or **ROM,** but using that capability takes anywhere from 8 to 19 **1/0** pins to access the external memory.

#### *Interfaces Required*

The entire point of **an** embedded processor is to interact with some piece of realworld hardware. Not only must the hardware be in place to handle the interface, the processor must be fast enough to perform whatever processing must be done on the data. In a singlechip system, processor selection may be highly dependent on the interface requirements. For example, the Microchip PIC17C42 has **two**  pulse-width modulation (PWh4) outputs that simplify design of such things **as**  antilock braking systems and motor servos. One caveat: Study the data sheets carefully. Many processors have limitations that are not immediately obvious. **You** might find, say, that the serial port is specified **as** being able to operate at a certain

maximum baud rate, but careful examination of the data sheet may reveal that not all modes of operation are available at the maximum rate.

Determining whether a particular processor can keep up with the interface requirements is not always easy. Unfortunately, there is no magic formula to determine this. I have frequently resorted to writing part of the code for an interface just to be sure that the processor has enough capacity.

#### *Memory Requirements*

Determining the memory requirements is an essential part of embedded system design. If you overestimate the memory required, you may select an unnecessarily expensive solution. If you underestimate it, you risk project delays while the system is redesigned. Since memory comes only in sizes that are addressable with digital bits, such as  $8K \times 8$ ,  $32K \times 8$ , and so on, you need not estimate memory requirements down to the last byte. You do need to ensure that you have enough memory, however.

**RAM** RAM is fairly straightforward to estimate. The number of variables plus the sum of all internal buffers, FIFOs (first in, first out), and stacks is the amount of RAM required. Many single-chip microcontroller ICs are limited to less than  $1024$ bytes **(lK,** or 1 kilobyte) of internal memory. If the memory goes beyond what is internally available, then external RAM must be added. However, this requires the use of 1/0 pins to address the added memory and often defeats the purpose of using a single-chip controller.

One caution is important: Some microcontrollers have restrictions on RAM usage, such **as** the need to use part of the internal RAM for banks of internal registers. For a couple of examples, look at the **8031,** which has **128** bytes of internal RAM. The **8031** has four register banks that use **32** bytes of that, leaving 96 usable bytes of RAM. If your application needs only one or **two** register banks, the rest is available for general use. The **8052** processor has **256** bytes of general-purpose RAM, but the upper **128** bytes are accessible only by using indirect addressing. The Atmel **AVR90S8515** has **32** general-purpose registers, but only **16** can be used with the immediate data instructions.

The amount of RAM required also will vary with the development language used. Some inefficient compilers use enormous amounts of RAM.

*ROM* The amount of ROM required for a system is the sum of the program code and any ROM-based tables required. Examples of ROM tables are step motor ramp tables, data translation lookup tables, and indirect branch tables. The tables usually are straightforward to estimate. The difficult part is estimating the code size. Estimates of code size become more accurate with increasing experience, usually gained by being wrong. However, it is important to remember that being precise is

not **as** important as knowing the upper limit on code size. One rule of thumb is that if the ROM is more than 80 percent full, it is too full. Unless you can guarantee that the system requirements will never change, leave some margin. In many cases, it is worthwhile to write portions of the code just to see how big they will get. In microcontroller-based systems with internal ROM, you are limited to whatever program memory the part contains.

Like RAM usage, code size depends somewhat on the development (programming) language selected. **A** program written in assembler takes less space than one written in Pascal, for example. Again, this depends on the language and even on the specific brand of software.

It is not a good idea to let the language drive the design, at least in low-cost systems. The languages easiest to use, debug, and maintain are often those that require the most memory and processing speed. Choosing the wrong language can turn a simple, inexpensive, single-chip design into something that requires an embedded 64bit powerhouse with megabytes of RAM. However, sometimes company policy or a customer contract specifies the use of a high-level language. In these cases, you just have to live with the increased cost and complexity that this implies.

**A** real-life example will illustrate the potential problems you can run into here. An embedded system was to be controlled by an x86-family processor. We had settled on an off-the-shelf CPU board, based on a **386SX.** Then one of **the** software people noticed that the **386SX** has no floating-point coprocessor (FPU) . The software engineers were from the PC world, where everything ran in Windows 95/98, on a **400MHz** Pentium. They couldn't conceive of not having hardware for floating-point calculations. The only way to get a hardware floating point was to go up to a **486DX** or Pentium processor, which doubled the cost of the CPU board. This was an *embedded* application, with no keyboard, display, or hard drive attached. The CPU was reading sensors, controlling motors, and communicating with a PC host. There was no reason to believe that floating-point calculations would ever be needed. But, because *C* makes it easy to define floating-point variables, they were expected to be available in hardware. In fact, the code wasn't designed or written yet, *so* we didn't *know* whether any floating-point calculations would actually be required.

This same design had some embedded microcontrollers for very low-level functions. What if a software engineer had decided that those needed hardware floating point and a deep stack for recursion? We'd have turned a requirement for a cheap 8-bit microcontroller into Pentium-class overkill.

#### *Number of Interrupts Required*

We'll cover this subject in more detail in Chapter 5; however, a few comments are worth mentioning here.

Many designers overuse interrupts. An interrupt does just that—it interrupts program execution. Interrupts are best used for those things that cannot wait for the processor to get to them. In some cases, an interrupt can be used just to reduce the hardware complexity (and the associated costs), but almost always it is at the expense of increased debug time and higher potential for hard-to-find intermittent errors. In those cases where interrupts are required, it is important to know how many really are needed. Interrupts are used to notify the processor of special events such as a timer that timed out or a piece of hardware that needs attention. Counting the events that need interrupts is straightforward, but be sure to take into account internal interrupt sources as well. Some tricks can be played to reduce the number of interrupt signals required when there are more interrupt *smrces* than the processor has interrupt inputs. Again, we'll discuss these in Chapter 5.

#### *Real- Time Considerations*

This subject covers a lot of territory and is closely connected to the issue of processing speed. Real-time events are what embedded microprocessors generally are intended to handle. However, some specific events deserve special consideration. For example, you might have a subsystem that controls a motor using pulse-width modulation. In this scheme, the motor current is controlled by switching the current at a very high rate and using the duty cycle to control the motor speed. The motor, being a relatively slow mechanical device, responds to the timeaverage of the current (see Figure 1.2). Lowerduty cycles result in lower average current and slower rotation. (This is a very high-level description; entire books have been written about PWM and motor control. Read one of those for all the details.)

In our hypothetical motor-control system, say that the microprocessor cannot keep up with the motor on a real-time basis. That is, the choppingrate, the rate at which the motor current is switched on and off, is faster than the microprocessor can handle. But the other required tasks, such as communicating with whatever is controlling the motor-processor subsystem, are no problem for our processor. It



**Figure 1.2 PWM Operation.** 

seems that we must go to a much faster, more expensive processor to keep up with the motor, thus raising the cost of the system.

There is another solution, however. Many microprocessors have PWM outputs or timers that can be configured to operate as PWM outputs. Typical examples are the Microchip PIC 16C/17C family, the Atmel AT90S family, and the Intel 80C196 series. Using the internal PWM controller relieves the microprocessor of the burden of generating every motor current change. Instead, the processor just sends *changes* in the duty cycle (or frequency) to the PWM controller.

This is just one example of how picking the right processor can solve a real-time problem. Other examples include selecting a processor with a built-in, high-speed serial port for interprocessor communications; selecting a processor with an onchip direct memory access (DMA) controller (more about that in a later chapter); or selecting a processor with special memory manipulation registers that will speed things up. Sometimes you can find a microcontroller that has exactly the right interface for your application, such as an on-chip LCD controller.

#### *Development Environment*

The development environment often is a key consideration. New development tools require a learning curve, and with a tight development schedule there often is no time to research, acquire, and become proficient with a new set of tools. If the company has several tens of thousands of dollars (a not unrealistic figure) invested in emulators for a specific processor, and if all the software engineers are comfortable with those tools, someone usually objects to changing processors just *so* an enthusiastic engineer can tinker with the latest chip. That is not much fun for the frustrated engineer, but it is **an** economic fact of life. This is why some companies (or subsidiaries within very large companies) expend a great deal of effort to pick a processor family they can live with for a long time.

Even if a design starts with a blank slate, however, the development tools can be a major consideration. For example, selecting a widely used processor, such as the **8031,** allows you to select from a wide array of tools from a number of vendors. The capability of these tools (such as emulators) can be matched to whatever budget you have. On the other hand, the tools for some specialized processors are available only from the manufacturer, and the cost can be prohibitive.

Tools can be a major factor. If the processor choice gets down to just **two,**  researching the cost of tools may make the decision obvious. In any event, be sure you know the cost of these tools, especially emulators from the IC manufacturer, before you make the final selection.

If you're planning to use an RTOS (real-time operating system), the choice of which one to use also may drive your processor selection. RTOSs come in various flavors, with some charging a one-time fee and others charging a license fee or

royalty for every unit you build. Some have a flat royalty; some charge a little for every module you include. I worked on a system once where one engineer wanted to embed an RTOS in four of the processors. We'd have spent around \$800 per system just in RTOS license fees. Make sure you choose a processor for which a suitable RTOS is available and that the RTOS costs are compatible with your product cost.

#### *Processing Speed Required*

This is another area that is easier to get right after you have some experience with it, but a few guidelines can help:

- Add up the interrupt latencies. The processor must be fast enough that a worstcase stackup of interrupts (it will happen) can be handled without anything bad occurring. We'll return to this in the chapter on interrupts.
- The length of the polling loop (more about this in a later chapter) must be short enough to never miss a byte of serial data or a byte from any other interface. In an interrupt-driven system, the same considerations apply to the length of any polling loop plus the worst-case interrupt latencies.
- Note that in some cases, going to higher speeds gains nothing if wait states must be inserted to meet the memory access time requirements. We'll look at wait states in Chapter 2.

Common pitfalls about processor speed are as follows:

**Confusing clock rate with processor speed.** A standard 8031, for example, can accommodate an input clock of 12MHz. *So* it's a 12MHz processor, right? Wrong. The clock circuitry divides the clock by 12 because the internal logic needs several phases, or clock edges, per instruction. This yields a processor rate of 1 MHz. Many processors, such **as** the 80186/80188, divide the external clock by 2. The PIGfamily processors divide the clock by **4,** whereas the Atmel AT90S series parts do not. So, at least in raw execution speed, an 8MHz AT90S part (8MHz clock, 8MHz execution rate) is faster than a 20MHz PIC part (20MHz clock, 5MHz execution rate). None of these characteristics is bad unless you do not know them or do not take them into account.

Not evaluating the instruction set. The Atmel AT90S and Microchip PIC 16C/17C series parts have a fairly high execution speed. However, the reduced instruction set computer (RISC) architecture can be a real trap. For example, these parts lack sophisticated indirect (lookup table) branch capability. An indirect branch function can be constructed, but that takes some instructions. Similarly, the parts only have one branch instruction (GOTO). Conditional branches require **two** or more instructions to construct. Consequently, the potential execution rate is reduced by the extra code involved in manipulating

the hardware. A RISC microcontroller can execute instructions very fast, but in a given application it may not be **as** fast **as** a CISC (complex instruction set computer) with an instruction set that can perform complex operations. For example, multiplying **two** 16-bit numbers may take one instruction and only a few clock cycles on a CISC processor or a single cycle on a DSP with multiplier hardware. On a RISC processor that has no multiply instruction or multiply hardware, this operation must be implemented in some kind of loop that uses several instructions and a large number of clock cycles. On the other hand, an application that does a lot of bit flipping and sensor reading, with little or no complex math, may get better performance from a RISC processor.

**Not evaluating the architecture.** The **ADSP-2100** family parts from Analog Devices are DSPs that lend themselves well to embedded applications. These parts are optimized for signal processing, which means that they have some powerful data manipulation capabilities such as hardware multiply and barrel shifters. However, they also have some limitations. Some operations require an extra instruction to move a value from RAM to a register before it can be used, whereas other, slower processors allow the value in RAM to be manipulated or tested directly.

These are typical and by no means unique. Every processor has its quirks, and these are not dark secrets. You just must understand the data sheets on the part before you use it. Take the data book or CD-ROM home. Read it. Study the timing diagrams, especially the worst-case numbers. Understand how everything in your system will connect to and be controlled by this processor. If you do not understand something, you are not ready to start the design.

#### *ROMability*

This consideration applies only to those devices that execute their programs from internal ROM. These devices usually are chosen for an application where cost, rather than being no object, is a key factor. If the finished design is going to be a very high-volume (thousands per year) product, it may be worthwhile to select a processor that has a ROM version.

Most engineering projects use EPROM or flash memory for their development phases. These erasable and reprogrammable memories allow a part to be reused instead of thrown away. When the part goes to production, the EPROM parts can be replaced with one-time programmable (OTP) devices. These usually are just EPROM-based parts in a plastic package with no erasure window. Since the expensive ceramic package and quartz window are not required, the OTP parts are cheaper than the EPROM parts to manufacture, thus reducing product costs.

If the production volume is high enough, the EPROM part can be replaced with a mask ROM version. The designer supplies the finished program to the IC manufacturer, who creates a mask for the version of the IC that has an internal ROM. This provides the lowest production cost. However, the following caveats exist:

- There is a mask charge to produce the ROM. This charge is usually several thousand dollars and is usually tied to a minimum purchase requirement. If the product volumes are less than expected or (get your résumé ready) a bug is discovered in the program after the ROM is created, the mask charge is not recoverable. A new NRE (nonrecurring expense) is required for a new mask, and all the old parts must be scrapped because the ROM program cannot be changed.
- Some manufacturers are so swamped with mask order requests that they have stopped accepting them. This can be disastrous if your entire product pricing strategy is based on the availability of mask ROM parts. A list of these manufacturers, even assuming I knew who they all were this week, would be useless by the time this book reaches print. Check into this before deciding to use a ROM part.

Even though the production costs are low, the high upfront costs prevent many designers from using mask ROM parts. If your volume is too low or you know the design will change before the end of product life, then mask ROMs usually are a poor choice.

One additional consideration is that not all devices are available in all flavors. For example, the Motorola 68HC05 series parts are designed for extremely highvolume applications. Not all parts in the series (and there seem to be more every month) are available in the EPROM version. Some parts are available *only* in the ROM version. Development is done on a similar part for which an EPROM version is available. The catch is, if you cannot justify the ROM costs, you cannot select these ROM-only devices, and the nearest equivalent EPROM part may be too costly for your use.

Another example is the 8031 family parts, which are available in EPROM, OTP, and ROM versions. As of this writing, the cost of the EPROM version is about 10 times the cost of the ROM version, and the OTP is about 60 percent of the EPROM version, depending, of course, on your volume and where you buy the parts. The basic ROM 8031 may be the cheapest choice, but if you will not have the volume to use it, the OTP version of a different processor may be cheaper than the OTP 8031. The device with the lowest cost in a ROM version may not be the cheapest in the OTP. In addition, for some devices, the OTP is not available. Your choices are EPROM or ROM, which can make these parts a real cost problem in low-volume applications. Be sure to research which varieties of a part are available based on your volume and other product requirements.

Finally, remember that once a design is committed to mask ROM, it has the same inflexibility as a non-microprocessor-based hardware design. Once you go to ROM, you give up the flexibility and programmability of having the design in firmware, at least **as** far as hardware costs go.

#### *ln-Circuit Programming*

This is not a consideration for every design, but you sometimes need the capability to program the parts in-circuit-to perform field upgrades of the firmware, for instance. This can be a powerful feature, but the capability (or the lack of it) can affect which processor you choose. To use in-circuit programming, you must have a processor with the program stored in flash memory. You need a way to erase and program the memory without removing the device from the board.

I once developed a system that needed in-circuit programming. The microcontroller I wanted to use **was** available in an EPROM version, which must be erased using *UV* light. I needed to program the parts without taking them off the boards. There was a flash version of the microcontroller that could be erased and reprogrammed incircuit, but it ran at only half the speed of the part I wanted to use. Another version was available with flash memory and was capable of running at the right speed, but it had additional, unneeded features that tripled the cost of the part. I had to compromise on cost or performance or give up in-circuit programming. Check this carefully if you need that capability.

#### *Nonvolatile Storage*

Sometimes your application requires internal nonvolatile storage. If you are building a television, you might want to remember what channel the set was on last, even if power is removed. For this, you will need some kind of nonvolatile storage that can be written and read by the processor. Many microcontrollers, such as the PIC and Atmel AT90S series, include a small amount of EEPROM on-chip.

#### *Memory Architecture*

Microprocessor memory architectures are divided into **two** broad camps: von Neumann and Harvard. The von Neumann architecture permits data and code to be intermixed. You can put a data table in PROM with the code, and you can move code to RAM and execute it there. If the code is in RAM, it can modify itself by writing to the code area of RAM.

The Harvard architecture has separate code and data areas. Code executes from PROM (usually), data comes from a separate RAM, and you cannot get data from the code space. Most microprocessors that use the Harvard architecture actually use a *modified* Harvard architecture in which the code and data areas are separate, but a limited ability exists to get data from the code area. This allows tables or other information to be compiled into the code for use at runtime. This usually is



Figure 1.3 Von Neumann versus Harvard Architecture.

implemented with a small number of pointers that can retrieve data from the code space and with the inclusion of immediate instructions where a byte (or word) of data is included in the instruction itself. Many singlechip microcontrollers use the Harvard architecture, among them the 8031, Microchip PIC series, and Atmel AVR9OS series. Figure 1.3 shows the relative characteristics of the von Neumann and Harvard architectures.

The advantage of the Harvard architecture is that there are two separate memory areas and often two separate data paths, so code and data can be fetched simultaneously, increasing the throughput of the processor. From an embedded system point of view, the difference between the architectures is important if compiled data tables are needed. For example, a stepper motor controller may have a number of ramp tables embedded in the code space.

If you choose a processor with a modified Harvard architecture, be sure the table lookup features of the instruction set will not bog down the code. If you are considering an 8031 for this application, you will find that it has several registers that can be used as pointers into the data RAM but only one register (DPTR) that can be used as a pointer into the code PROM. **An** application that must simultaneously use two tables in PROM constantly switches DPTR between the two pointers. One solution to this is to move one or both tables into RAM, but then you must make sure enough additional RAM is available to hold the tables.

#### *Power Requirements*

In some designs, power is not an issue-you just put in whatever power supply you need to run whatever the electronics requires. There are **two** areas in which power is normally an issue. The first is designs that have a power restriction, such as the need to operate from a wall-mounted power supply as found in many consumer

applications. In most of these cases, the cost requirements of the design will keep you away from high-current solutions anyway.

The second area in which power is a consideration is in battery-operated equip ment. In some cases, you must choose a microprocessor with a specific maximum current to match the battery. In other cases, you must pick a microprocessor with a reasonable current requirement and then pick a battery to match. In either case, you need to know the total operational current.

**A** related issue is sleep current. Many microprocessors have a low-power mode of operation in which the CPU goes to "sleep," turning off internal peripherals to conserve power. Some microcontrollers have very low current in this mode; on others it saves so little power that I've wondered why the manufacturer bothered with it. Either way, you need to get an estimate on the amount of time the system will spend in this mode to have a good handle on battery life.

#### *Environmental Requirements*

For the purpose of choosing a microprocessor, environmental requirements typically translate into temperature. If your design must operate over an extended temperature range, such as designs for military or automotive purposes, your choices of available parts are more limited than if you have normal industrial temperature requirements. Note that extended temperature devices are nearly always more expensive, so don't base your cost estimates on the industrial parts if you really need high-temperature parts.

#### *Life Cycle Costs*

Are you making a VCR or a piece of industrial equipment? If you are making a VCR, you probably don't need to consider the need to reprogram the unit in the field or worry about long-term availability of replacement parts. VCRs are throwaway consumer items. On the other hand, if you are building some kind of industrial equipment that costs thousands of dollars and will be operating for many years, you have a different set of considerations. You must pick a processor and/or memory architecture that can be upgraded. You probably also want to design in some excess program memory *so* you will have room for upgrades, and you might make long-term availability of the microprocessor more important than cost.

Life cycle costs are also a factor on the front end of a design. The more widgets you will produce, the more upfront development cost you can stand. If you are selling VCRs, you might pick a very cheap microcontroller and spend a lot of money developing the software, making the software do everything to save on hardware costs. If you are building an expensive piece of industrial equipment, you may sell only a few thousand over the life of the product. In that case, you want to minimize the development cost. In addition, your product cost is not likely to be **as** sensitive to the electronics cost. In that case, you would probably pick a processor that has good development tools and other features that will minimize engineering time required to develop the product.

#### *Operator Training/Competence*

Operator training/competence has an impact on processor selection because it affects the user interface. If you have a product with a fairly complex set of features and poorly trained operators (such as consumers using VCRs) , then you may need a more sophisticated user interface. In some cases, you may need an LCD display and touch screen. This implies more processor horsepower and memory to store the screens and messages.

If the operators of your instrument are well trained, you may be able to use a less sophisticated interface. For example, an electrical engineer using an oscilloscope probably knows what horizontal and vertical resolution knobs are for and doesn't need an explanation for them. If the same instrument **has** a sophisticated math function with non-obvious controls, you may need the capability to display a menu or even a help screen for the user.

#### *The "Real" Requirements*

Sometimes you must look past the request for a specific feature to get at the real requirement. Some years ago, I worked on a product that was going to be designed as a replacement for a current product. In looking at the requirements for the new product, we found some users requesting easier access to change certain chemicals stored in the machine. Looking deeper, we found that the real problem was the capacity of the chemical storage. The only reason that users were requesting easier access was because they had to replace the chemicals too often. Providing additional capacity solved the real problem.

#### *Development Environment*

To develop applications on a microprocessor, some basic tools are essential:

- A development system or cross-compiler
- A PROM programmer
- Debug hardware

In the prehistoric days of embedded systems (before the IBM PC), the standard development system consisted of a computer from the company that sold the microprocessor ICs **and** a PROM programmer. The development systems were expensive, slow, and limited to developing software only for that manufacturer's parts. Some third-party companies had development systems as well. These also were expensive

and slow but could often be upgraded (at a huge cost) with hardware that would permit software development for more than one manufacturer's parts. If you can find one of those old development systems today, it probably will be in use as a doorstop or boat anchor.

It is unarguable that the standardization of the business world around the IBM PC and its derivatives has been a real advantage to the embedded systems developer. Most manufacturers of microprocessor ICs now provide development software instead of systems for their parts. These *cross-compilers* run on a PC to compile or assemble code for the manufacturer's microprocessor. (Technically, a crossassembler is a special case of a crosscompiler, and in this book the term **cross**compiler will refer to both types of software.) Some manufacturers even give away some sort of development tools (usually an assembler) to potential customers on the premise that they are in the IC business, not the software business. It is unknown how many microprocessor selections have been made based on the availability of these free tools, but the number must be large.

Many IC manufacturers still provide complete development systems for their parts. These are usually PCs with the manufacturer's software included, and they constitute a complete development environment. But buy carefully-these PCs can be a bad deal from a cost perspective.

Some will argue that the PROM programmer no longer is an essential develop ment tool, and they are right. If the project is to be developed in RAM or on an embedded PC or on a flash-based, downloadable processor, a PROM programmer is not needed. *As* more and more microcontrollers move to a flash-based architecture, the need for PROM programmers in the engineering lab will decline further. However, some projects still are developed in an environment in which parts have to be erased and programmed every time the code changes; for those developers, a PROM programmer is needed.

When the development system consists of a cross-compiler and a PROM programmer and little else, debugging is simple, although often tedious. The code is run, the operation of the system is observed, and the code is examined to see why things do not work. This process is repeated until all bugs are found. For some systems, especially at small companies, this stare-at-thecode method is still used and works well. This method becomes less and less attractive **as** system complexity grows and development schedules shrink.

The next level of debug is a *monitor program*, sometimes called a *debugger*. This simple program resides in the embedded system and provides commands to examine and alter memory, download code, and insert breakpoints into the code. A breakpoint is **an** unconditional branch instruction that takes the code back to the monitor program, where the registers and memory may be examined. Monitor programs require some kind of terminal, and the monitor program itself takes up considerable memory, so they typically are not used with very simple microprocessors.

As microprocessors become more complex, debugging the completed system becomes more difficult. Many designers, especially at large companies, use an *emulator* for system debugging. The emulator has a probe that replaces the microprocessor IC in the system (the target) and is supposed to run exactly the same as the target part. However, the emulator allows the engineer to insert breakpoints into the code so that the microprocessor's operation can be stopped. While stopped, the memory, internal registers, and other information about the microprocessor can be examined, the same as with a monitor program. In a simple emulator, the breakpoint is typically a specific address-for example at the instruction in the pool timer that turns on the motor relay. More sophisticated emulators have additional hardware that allows breakpoints when specific values are written or read to or from memory, when a specific sequence of instructions is executed, or for many other causes.

One drawback to emulators is their cost. Ranging from a few hundred dollars for a simple microprocessor (such as the Intel 8031 family) to several thousand dollars for a more complicated IC, the cost is often prohibitive. *As* I mentioned earlier, many companies base several products on a single microprocessor type due to the cost of buying new emulator equipment.

As microprocessors have grown even faster, their speed has outpaced the emulator industry's ability to keep up. In addition, the use of more powerful processors for applications often means some CPU horsepower is left over after the application is developed. Many developers have moved away from emulators and back to the monitor or debugger programs. These now more-sophisticated programs take advantage of leftover CPU capacity to provide event tracing, throughput measurement, code histograms that show how much time the CPU spends in each section of code, and other powerful debugging information. In addition, many processors now include debugging resources onchip. We'll examine this in more detail in a later chapter.

#### *Development Costs*

In most companies, someone must produce an estimate of the development costs for a major product. As for any project, these costs include labor and materials. Estimating these costs is a matter of experience, which is why it usually is left to the more senior engineers. However, some additional costs must not be forgotten when developing embedded microcontrollers:

- Development systems and development software
- PROM or other device programmers
- ROM mask charges and other **NREs**
- RTOS licensing fees

On a large project, these costs usually are minimal. On a small project, they can drive development costs above what the product will produce in sales.

#### *Hardware and Software Requirements*

If the product specifications or requirements definition is the goal for the product, the hardware and software requirements are the goal for the detailed design. These requirements start with definition of the user interface and system functionality. In the example system, the complete system definition (see Appendix A) specifies what must be done and how the user operates the device.

From the system definition, a hardware interface is defined. The most productive method of defining the hardware is to start with the requirements-what the hardware must have. This is tied to the system specifications because the hardware must support whatever functionality the system has. In the example system, the time must be displayed. Given the constraints of the system (the timer will not be connected to a **PC,** for example, *so* a **CRT** display is out), it came down to **two** choices: light-emitting diode (LED) and liquid crystal display (LCD). Even though an LCD would be more readable, I chose the LED display because the timer will be exposed to the weather all year, and the LCD displays available at the time had problems with cold temperature.

Some people consider each set of specifications to be a fixed, immutable document. **I** prefer that the hardware specifications be a record of the design decisions. The first section of the hardware specifications is the requirements. This is given to the hardware engineer and becomes the basis for what he or she does. The requirements should spell out just that—the requirements. How the requirements are met is up to the engineer. Anything that cannot be left to the engineer's discretion should be in the requirements document. Of course, what you leave to the engineer's discretion may be different for a new college graduate than for an engineer with **10** years of experience.

When working as a project engineer on a large project, I like to put a list of the requirements for each microprocessor-based board in the engineering specifications. This single document then becomes the foundation for the individual board specifications.

After the requirements document is completed and while the design is progressing, the hardware specifications are updated to include the specific information that another engineer needs to understand the design and that the software engineer needs to program around the hardware. **So,** when the board specifications are completed, a preface is added that describes the original requirements (and any updates that occurred as the design progressed) and a description of how
the design was implemented, with all the information the software engineers need to control the hardware. This includes the following:

- Memory and I/O port addresses (including memory maps if appropriate)
- Amount of memory available
- The definition of each bit in each status register
- The use of each bit on each port pin
- An explanation of how peripheral devices are driven (such as the clock frequency input to a timer **IC)**
- Anything else the software engineer needs to know about the design

On a complex board, I have often had two separate sections in the hardware specifications. The first section describes the hardware and how it works. The second section contains the information the software engineers need to do their job. In a similar fashion, a software requirements document is created that defines what the software must do. In a simple design like the pool timer, this may consist of the system requirements document, which describes the user interface, and the hardware specification, which describes how the hardware works.

**A** detailed software specification that describes the completed design is less common than the equivalent hardware specification. This occurs for four reasons:

- 1. The hardware specification is passed to the software engineers *so* they will know how to manipulate the hardware. Usually, no corresponding "customer" needs to know the technical details of the software, *so* the need for documentation is not as great.
- 2. Software is easy to change, *so* it changes frequently, often whenever someone in marketing thinks up a new feature to add. In some situations, software specifications can be very hard to keep up to date, especially if the software engineers have other, higher priorities.
- **3.** Software usually is the last part of a project to be finished and often not enough time is left at the end of a project to document it. That said, company or customer policy sometimes requires detailed software specifications. For example, defense projects usually require extensive documentation detailing every function that the software performs.
- **4.** The mechanical and electrical requirements are typically testable. Stresses and tolerance stickups and power dissipations can be mathematically tested. With software, it is more difficult to prove that the requirements are correct and that the flowcharts really will produce code that does what was intended. The more complex and detailed the software requirements are, the less likely it is that you can prove the requirements to be correct. For this reason, the software requirements document **is** likely to be less detailed or even to be omitted entirely from the design process.

In a simple design, the software definition, like the hardware definition, may describe the software for a single board. In a more complex design, where different software engineers work on different parts of the code for a single board, there may be a software definition for each individual engineer's code. In a complex multiprocessor system, there may be an overall software document, which I consider to be part of the system engineering specification. The software specifications should include the following:

- A statement of the requirements, including the requirements definition, engineering specifications, and hardware definition, as appropriate.
- The communication protocol to any other software, whether to another processor or to another piece of the software for this processor. This should include descriptions of buffer interface mechanisms, command/response protocols, semaphore definitions, and, in short, anything to which the complementing code needs to talk.
- A description of how the design was implemented, using flowcharts, pseudocode, or other methods. (Chapter 3 describes these in more detail.)

Because software can be broken down more flexibly than hardware, it is difficult to pin down a single software definition format that works for everybody all the time. The key is to define any interfaces that other engineers need to know about and identify the design details that engineers in the future might need to know.

This discussion assumes that the hardware and software are fairly independent. In a simple system like the pool timer, that is a good model. The hardware is designed, the software is written around that hardware, and that is that. While the actual design implementations may proceed in parallel, the software engineer basically writes code around the available hardware. In a more complex system, the process may be iterative. For example, the software and hardware engineers may have a meeting at which they jointly decide what hardware is required to perform the function. Large amounts of memory may be required for data buffers, or the software group may request a specific peripheral IC because **an** interface library already has been developed for it. There are tradeoffs in this game between ease of software development and cost or complexity of hardware.

# *HardwardSoftware Partitioning*

Once, while having lunch with a group of engineers, I jokingly made the statement that my design philosophy was to put everything under software control. That way, bugs in the design were by definition the fault of the software engineer.

This flippant conversation touches on a real problem in any embedded system: Which functions should be performed in hardware and which should be performed in software? An example of this can be found in the pool timer. *As* we will see in the next chapter, the pool timer displays time information on four seven-segment LED displays. There are display decoder ICs that accept a four-bit input and produce the signals necessary to drive the display. This design takes a different approach and drives the display segments directly from a register, which is under software control. When the software wants to display a number, it must convert the number to the seven-segment pattern and write that pattern to a register. The savings was a single IC in the design. This approach also allows the code to display nonnumeric symbols on the display (A, C, H, J, L, P, U), which I used for debugging the system.

While this decision saved an IC, it had three costs: ROM space was needed for the lookup table, extra code had to be included for the hex to seven segment conversion, and the software needed extra time to perform the translation. Given the simplicity of this function, none of these was a serious problem. The table was 16 bytes long, so the code took a few more bytes and needed only a few microseconds to execute. But the principle described is at the heart of the software/hardware tradeoff: The more functions that can be pushed into software, the lower will be the product cost, up to the point where a faster processor or more memory is required to implement the added functionality. The pool timer demonstrates another example of this kind of tradeoff, which we'll discuss in Chapter **4.** 

*As* the saying goes, there is no such thing **as** a free lunch. Pushing functionality into the software increases software complexity, development time, and debug time. This is an NRE, just like the mask ROM charges described earlier. However, given the increasing speed and power of microprocessors, I expect to see an ever-increasing trend toward including **as** much functionality in the software **as**  possible.

In a more complex system, these tradeoffs can create heated discussion. Should the software handle regular timer interrupts at a high rate and count them to time low-rate events, or should an external timer be added that can be programmed to interrupt the software when it times out? Should the software drive the stepper motor directly, or should an external stepper controller be used? If the software drives the motor, should protection logic be included to prevent damage to the motor drive transistors if the software turns on the wrong pair? And if the processor runs out of throughput halfway though the project, did the design place too much of a burden on the software, or did the software engineer write inefficient code? The answers to these questions depend on your design. If you stay in this field very long, be prepared to get into one of these discussions.

While doing everything in software increases development costs, moving functionality to the hardware increases product cost, and these costs are incurred with every unit built. In a low-cost design, addition of any extra hardware can have a significant effect on product cost, so the software/hardware tradeoff can be extremely important. In an extremely cost-sensitive design, such as a low-cost consumer product, functions that cannot be performed in software may simply be left out.

# *Distributed Processor Systems*

We'll cover multiprocessor systems in more detail in Chapter 8. Here, we summarize the tradeoffs involved in choosing a multiprocessor architecture. **A** distributed processor system might have a single CPU that communicates with a host computer and distributes commands and data to lower-level processors that control motors, collect data from sensors, or perform some other, simpler task. Distributed processor systems have the following advantages:

- The actual processing hardware can be located near the device being controlled or monitored. In large equipment, this may be a real advantage.
- If some of the functionality is optional, the cost of the processor that controls the option can be added or removed with the option.
- In a distributed processor system, each of the distributed CPUs usually can be a lower-performance (cheaper) part than would be required for one central CPU.
- **A** distributed system can be designed with a better match between the CPU and the task it must perform. In a single-CPU system, the CPU must be fast enough and have enough memory and *so* forth to perform all the tasks, whether they are simple or complex.
- The code for any given CPU in a distributed system usually is simpler.
- It is easier to determine whether the CPU power is adequate in a distributed system because fewer tasks are being swapped in and out and there is less interaction among the various processing that must be performed. For example, you need not worry about how the motor control function affects the serial interface throughput if the tasks are handled by separate processors.
- Debug of distributed systems can be simpler since each processor performs a limited set of tasks.

The advantages of a single-CPU system are:

- Synchronization, when needed, is easier. For instance, it is easier for a single-CPU system to synchronize motor startup to limit current surge simply by communication between tasks or by scheduling. In a distributed system, such synchronization must be performed by CPU-to-CPU communication or back through a common control CPU.
- All the data is in the same place, making communication with a host or other systems easier. Fewer communication protocols are required to pass data around.
- Since there are fewer oscillators, there usually will be less **EMI.** On the other hand, a faster processor may be required, operating at a higher frequency and generating a lot of **EMI.**
- If the design changes so that intertask communication must be added, such as for motor synchronization, a distributed design may require that interfaces be added to each distributed CPU. In a single-CPU design, such a change is likely to be only to the software.
- It is easier to download or update code in a single-CPU system.
- Debug **of** a single-CPU system may be easier since all the functions are in a single place and all the interactions can be examined. Of course, these interactions as well as the task switching and general complexity of the code can complicate debug as well.
- Fewer development tools are needed since there is only one processor. In a distributed system, the same thing can be achieved by using only one type of CPU; however, this defeats the ability to match the CPU to the task.
- If an **RTOS** is used, there will be fewer license fees in a single-CPU system. However, a more complex, more expensive RTOS may be required.

With increasing processor power at decreasing cost, **I** think more single-CPU designs are to be expected. Some designs will take advantage of increased CPU horsepower to add new functions, such as real-time signal processing. But motors and other electromechanical devices are getting no faster, so systems that interact with these devices probably will use fewer, more powerful processors. Complex systems that use a single Pentium-class CPU and a few 8-bit microcontrollers as smart sensors would not be surprising.

# *Specifications Summary*

Let's summarize the contents of the design documents described in this chapter before we look at the actual design in the rest of the book.

The requirements document describes:

- What the design or system is to do
- The user interface, if any
- Any external interfaces to other systems
- What the real world I/O consists of

Hardware specifications (one per board or subsystem) describe:

- The requirements, restated from engineering or requirements documents
- How the hardware implements the functionality
- The software interfaces to the hardware

*System Design* 25

Software specifications describe:

- The requirements
- Interfaces to other software
- How the software implements the requirements

### *A Requirements Document Outline*

The following is an outline for a requirements document that will fit most products. This document describes the product as a "black box"-that is, what the product does, not how it is done.

**Overview.** A brief description of the document, such **as** "This document describes the requirements for the ABC corporation swimming pool timer."

**Related/reference documents.** Related internal documents, such as product specifications, environmental specifications, and the like. Related industry specifications such **as** ANSI or IEEE specifications.

**Specifications.** These could include the following:

- *Agenq approvals.* List agency approvals that the product must meet, such as FDA requirements, IEC 950, UL 1950, shock/vibration specifications, and so forth.
- *Requiremerzts.* List system requirements. The following items are typical of the sort of thing that might be listed, and obviously all of these items will not apply to all products. This section is the core of the document and may run to dozens of pages.

MTBF (mean time between failure)

- MTTR (mean time to repair, usually applies to products that are serviced by a field service organization)
- Speed (How many things per minute/hour/day must be done?)
- Operator interface (LCD? touch panel? barcode readers? mouse/ keypad?)
- External interfaces (interfaces to other systems, to a controlling host system, or to a slave subsystem? Ethernet? **RS232?** Proprietary?)

Available options (may be lengthy if several need to be described)

Input power (list input voltages, frequencies, and current; include international requirements)

Export restrictions and requirements (applies if using controlled technology; also, requirements for the product to be marketed in certain countries may limit technology that can be used)

- Input requirements (What size bottles does it use? What sizes of paper can it handle? How big or how small can the block of steel be that goes into the input hopper?)
- Capacity (How many blocks of steel or bottles or pieces of paper can it handle at a time?)
- Error handling (What happens if the operator puts in too many bottles or a block of steel that is too heavy? What happens if power goes off halfway through the process?)
- Weight (usually applies only to large or portable products)
- Size (Does it have to fit through a standard door or on a standard elevator? In a standard briefcase?)
- Safety requirements (Does it have to operate in standing water with no danger of electrocution? Does it need a safety mat to stop the robotic arm when a person steps inside the fence? Are there rotating mechanisms that must be covered or stopped when a door is opened? Must the operator be protected from high temperatures?)
- Ethernet interface to a computer network or an IRDA interface to transfer data to and from a PC) External interfaces (interfaces to external systems, like a 100 base-T

Note that there may be other requirements as well, such **as** media requirements, customer versus field engineer maintenance items, and the like. However, since we are concentrating on embedded systems, these requirements are outside the scope of this outline.

Finally, there is an additional type of requirement that deserves mention but that is outside the scope of this book. These requirements may be called "business requirements." These include such things **as** the requirement that the product have all the features of competitor's product X or that certain features be left off so the product won't compete with sister product **Y.** Like all requirements, these are sometimes hard to quantify, but they do filter down to the design requirements at some point.

In a complex design, it is often useful to include, with each requirement, a description of what drives that requirement. A requirement for an **RS232** serial interface may be needed because the product must interface to product XYZ. If product XYZ becomes obsolete, or if another interface is used instead, that requirement can be deleted. Similarly, if someone suggests that the **I2S232** be removed, the original requirement to include it can be traced back to its source, and you can determine whether the requirement is still valid. The connection between requirements and their source can be documented in an appendix. As mentioned earlier, this can be beneficial in finding the real requirements.

# *Communication*

Specifications are important to any system design. **I** have often used the criteria that an ideal specification can be handed to an engineer with no other documentation, and then that engineer can design the **system/circuit/software.** That is **an**  ideal target, rarely realized in practice. However, even if your system specifications are that good, you cannot eliminate the need for face-to-face communication on any design that involves more than one person. These conversations and meetings are crucial to eliminating the little "gotchas" that extend the development time by keeping the pieces from working together.

28 *Embedded Microprocessor System* 

# *Hardware Design I 2*

Once the system is designed and the hardware requirements are established, the next step is to design the actual hardware. Of course, you will document the design to make life easy for the software engineers, right?

Embedded microprocessors fall into two broad categories: Single-chip embedded solutions with onchip memory like the 8031 and embedded systems using a microprocessor with external memory and **I/O.** Examples of these are a 68000-, 80186, or 386EX-based embedded system.

Figure 2.1 shows the simplest single-chip microprocessor designs and multichip designs. Note that they are basically the same except that the single-chip design has everything inside the chip (inside the dashed line) and the multichip design has everything except the processor itself outside.

# *Single-Chip Designs*

Single-chip microprocessors (or microcontrollers) usually provide erasable programmable read-only memory (EPROM; or ROM or flash memory), random access memory (RAM), and **1/0** ports. Most also have internal timers, serial interfaces, or other peripherals. The 1/0 ports are flexible, permitting each bit to be assigned as input or output.

The actual design of single integrated circuit (IC) systems is straightforward. Before starting the design, you already know (or should know) that there are sufficient 1/0 port pins, enough internal memory, and sufficient processor speed to do the job.

**A** single-IC design often requires an external timebase. This can be a clock from some master source (such as a higher-level control processor), a crystal, a ceramic resonator, or even a resistor/capacitor timing circuit on some processors. What you use depends on your cost requirements and how accurate the timebase needs to be. If you are using a crystal or resonator, connect it according to the manufac-



#### **Figure 2.1**

**Single and Multiple IC Microprocessor Circuits.** 

turer's data sheets. If you are using an external clock source, such as a packaged oscillator, make sure it meets the voltage and capacitance drive specifications of the processor.

Some microcontrollers, such **as** the Atmel ATTiny series, have internal R-C oscillators and do not need any external clock. However, the R-C oscillators are not as stable or **as** accurate as a crystal or ceramic resonator. Some microcontrollers with internal oscillators improve clock accuracy by providing a means to calibrate the frequency, but the result is still not **as** stable as a crystal.

# *Multichip Designs*

While the similarity between single-chip and multichip designs shown in Figure 2.1 is correct, it is somewhat misleading. The architectures are similar, but in the real world, a multichip design usually is more complex. There usually is more memory and generally more (or more complicated)  $I/O$  ports. A single-chip microcontroller may not be suitable for a design for many reasons: insufficient 1/0 pins, insufficient RAM or ROM, or any of the other considerations detailed in Chapter 1. However, once a decision has been made to go to a multichip implementation, you take a quantum step in complexity.

A multichip design usually has most or all of the following **as** separate components:

- Microprocessor
- Random access memory (RAM)
- Programmable read-only memory (PROM)
- Peripherals (I/O devices)

The following table illustrates typical memory configurations for various microprocessors. The Atmel part is an &bit microcontroller, the NEC part is a 32-bit microcontroller, the 8OC188 is a midrange microprocessor, and the Pentium is a high-end microprocessor.



Compared to a single-chip design, a multichip design costs more, takes more PC board real estate, and is more complicated. The benefits are more flexibility, more expandability, and (usually) more processing power.

In a multichip design, external peripherals (timers, I/O ports, analog-to-digital converters [ADC] , and so on) must be connected to the microprocessor using the data and address buses. There are several types of microprocessor bus cycles, but all do the same basic things: The microprocessor generates an address, which is decoded to select a peripheral (memory or I/O) device. If the cycle is a read cycle, the processor supplies a signal to tell the peripheral to drive its data onto the tristate data bus for the processor to capture. If the cycle is a write cycle, the processor drives the write data onto the data bus and generates a signal indicating that the peripheral should capture the data.



#### **Figure 2.2**

**Typical Microprocessor Bus Timing.** 

Figure 2.2 shows typical timing diagrams for five families of processors: Intel, Microchip, Zilog, Motorola, and Hitachi. The speed of the signals varies greatly from one processor to the next, but the basic waveform is the same for processors within a given family.

The Intel timing diagram applies to Intel processors from the 8085 to the 80188/80186. It also includes the Intel microcontroller 8x3x/8x5x family of parts when those devices are used with external memory. Other manufacturers, such as Philips, also make variations on the 8x31 family that use Intel-type timing to access external memory. The NEC **pPD7840xx** microcontroller family uses Intel timing,

**as** does the Siemens/Infineon C167 family when accessing external memory in a multiplexed mode.

In the Intel scheme, the data bus is multiplexed with the address bus. In a processor with an &bit data bus, the 8 data bits are multiplexed with the 8 lower address bits. If the data bus is 16 bits, then all 16 data bits are multiplexed with the lower 16 address bits. Multiplexing is a common means to access external memory because it saves pins-without multiplexing, accessing 64 kilobytes (K) of memory with an &bit bus would require **24** pins just for the address and data lines. A multiplexed scheme requires only 16 pins for address and data.

During the first part of the machine cycle (labeled **A** on the diagram), the microprocessor places the address on the data bus; it must be captured by **an** external latch such **as** a 74AC373. The ALE (Address Latch Enable) signal is used to capture the address in the external latch. After ALE goes inactive, the processor stops driving the address onto the multiplexed address/data bus and generates a read or write strobe (-RD or -WR) to transfer data to or from the external memory or **1/0** device. For a read cycle, -RD **is** driven low, indicating to the peripheral device that it should drive read data onto the bus, which the processor will leave in the tristated condition. For a write cycle, -WR indicates that write data is available for the peripheral, and the processor will drive the data onto the data bus. This basic waveform is used whether the external device is an EPROM, RAM, or peripheral.

The second waveform in Figure **2.2** shows the timing for external memory access by a Microchip PIC17Cxx part. The basic waveform is nearly identical to the Intel, with one significant difference: During a write cycle, the Microchip part places write data on the data bus prior to the leading (falling) edge of the -WR strobe. With the Intel timing, write data is guaranteed to be stable only prior to the *trailing* (rising) edge of the -WR strobe. Other devices that use this same basic timing include the Atmel AT90S8515 microcontroller, when accessing external RAM.

The third waveform in Figure **2.2** shows the timing used by the parts in the Zilog *28* family. The data bus is still multiplexed with the address, but the address strobe (-AS) is true when low instead of when high. There are no separate strobes for read and write. Instead, there is a single data strobe  $(-DS)$  and another signal (R/W) that determines whether the cycle is a read or write cycle.

The fourth waveform in Figure **2.2** shows timing for processors such as the Motorola 68000 family. These parts have separate address and data buses. The address strobe is not used to latch the address but to indicate that a valid address is present on the bus. Similarly, the data strobe is used to indicate that valid write data is present on the data bus (write cycle) or that the peripheral should place read data on the bus (read cycle). The **68000** family parts also use a -DTACK (data transfer acknowledge) signal from the addressed device to indicate the end of the data transfer cycle. The processor will leave the data, address, and control signals active until a -DTACK is received from the peripheral device.

The last timing diagram in Figure 2.2 is for the Hitachi **H8** family of parts. These parts use an address strobe (-AS) to indicate a valid address but do not need **an**  ALE signal, as there are separate pins for address and data. The **H8** family produces separate -RD and -WR signals for read and write cycles. The diagram in Figure 2.2 shows single -RD and -WR signals; the actual microprocessor IC produces two -RD and two -WR signals since it performs 16-bit accesses. We'll cover 16-bit buses and the need for separate signals later in the chapter.

The timing sequences shown in Figure 2.2 cover the majority of microproces sors and microcontrollers that can access external memory. Some other memory access schemes exist. The Siemens/Infineon C167 family, mentioned earlier, has a multiplexed mode that follows the Intel timing. The C167 parts also have a demultiplexed mode that eliminates the external address latch. Since the address is demultiplexed inside the chip, this mode requires an additional 16 pins for the address signals. The ALE signal is still generated to indicate a valid address, but external address latches are not required.

The Zilog 2180 and 2380 microprocessors, not shown in Figure 2.2, use timing similar to the Intel timing, with separate read and write strobes. However, these parts do not multiplex the address lines with the data lines, so there is no need for an ALE signal to latch the address. There are dedicated address pins on the part, and the address is stable throughout the bus cycle. A separate -1ORQ or -MREQ line goes active to indicate whether the bus cycle is a memory or  $I/O$  operation. The 2380 also provides **an** indication, similar to the *ALE* signal, when a bus cycle starts for designs requiring that information.

Some ARM-7 processors use a nonmultiplexed version of the Intel timing. Figure 2.2 does not show synchronized buses; these will be covered in a later section.

Figure 2.3 shows how a 74AC373 latch would be used to capture the multiplexed address on one of the processors that uses a multiplexed address/data bus. The address is latched so that when the multiplexed bus switches to data, the address is still available for the peripherals to use. The circuit shown in Figure 2.3 is typical of a processor with an 8-bit external interface. When using a processor with a 16 bit data bus (such **as** the Intel SOlSS), both bytes of the bus are used for data transfer, so two &bit latches are required to capture the full 16-bit address bus.

The output enable signal to the **74AC373** is shown grounded. This enables the outputs, and therefore the address bus, all *the* time. There are some circumstances in which this will not be the case; we'll discuss these later.

The latching circuit need not be a duplicate of the one shown in Figure 2.3. It could be implemented in a programmable logic device (PLD) or other logic.

One final note: So far, we have discussed only 16-bit address buses, which allow access to 64K of memory. Many processors can address more than this. In some of these parts, including the 80188/186 family, an additional latch (or latches) is





required to capture the upper bits of the address if it is needed, since the address is multiplexed with some status signals.

# *Wait States*

In many cases, a fast microprocessor must interface with a much slower peripheral. In this case, the normal timing of the microprocessor read, write, or data strobes is much too fast for the peripheral. For example, the processor may generate an  $-RD$  signal that is 200 ns in length, but the peripheral has a 300 ns output enable time. In these cases, the usual solution is to add wait *stales* to the bus cycles when the CPU accesses that peripheral. A wait state extends the microprocessor read or write cycle by an integral number of processor clock cycles.

Not all microprocessors support wait states; for example, most single-chip processors (such as 8051, PIC17C4x) do not have a provision for wait states. However, most processors designed for multichip applications support wait states.

#### *Internal Wait States*

Some processors have internal logic that can insert wait states. These wait states are programmed in software to extend processor cycles when accessing specific memory or 1/0 addresses. The 80186 has several outputs that can be programmed to generate chip selects at specific address ranges. These can be used to select EPROM, RAM, or 1/0 devices. For each output, an internal wait state generator can be programmed to automatically insert up to three wait states. They can also be programmed to either accept or ignore wait requests from the external wait signals.

#### *Wait State Timing*

When the processor starts a bus cycle and detects that the wait line is active, it will extend the cycle, leaving the  $-RD$ ,  $-WR$ , or  $-DS$  signal active and sampling the wait line once per clock. Once the wait signal has gone inactive, indicating that the peripheral is ready, the processor will complete the bus cycle. The wait input is conceptually straightforward, but the details can cause problems. The most common problem is timing assertion of the wait state, which requires study of the data sheets. Figure 2.4 shows a (simplified) diagram of the 80186/80188 processor timing. The **SRDY** (Synchronous ReaDY) input of the 80186 must be asserted before the second falling clock edge after the ALE goes inactive. However, **SRDY** must be externally synchronized by the user, *so* the peripheral actually must assert the wait request right after the **-RD** or -WR signal. If the wait logic is delayed too much, the request will occur too late and the processor will ignore it. Other processors have different quirks that must be taken into account.

Some peripheral ICs include integral wait-state generators. If you use one of these, be sure that the timing will work with the processor. Some peripheral ICs assert the wait request too late in the cycle for some processors to recognize it.

#### *Bus Types and Their Relationship to Wait States*

Processors like the Intel x86 family use a normally-ready **bus.** They do not use a bus-acknowledge signal and they default to no wait states. In other words, the input (usually READY) that causes wait states to be inserted in the cycle normally is pulled to the ready (no wait state) condition. If the external logic does not drive the input to generate wait states, the processor generates the access cycle and continues on, regardless of whether the peripheral was really ready.



**Figure 2.4 801 86/80188 Wait State Timing.** 

Processors like the Motorola 68000 family use a normally-not-ready bus. In this scheme, each peripheral must return **an ACK** signal to indicate that it has completed the data transfer (accepted the write data or generated the read data). Normally-not-ready timing means that the default operation of the processor is to wait until the peripheral responds, which may be forever if the peripheral does not acknowledge the transfer. In theory, access to nonexistent memory or a nonresponding peripheral will cause a permanent wait state. In practical systems, a timeout circuit usually generates **an ACK** (or more specifically, an error signal) if the peripheral does not.

In a normally-ready transfer, a peripheral needing wait states must detect when it is being accessed and drive the processor's ready input to the inactive (not ready) state until the peripheral has had time to complete the read or write operation. The ready input is then driven active, permitting the processor to complete the cycle.

In normally-not-ready systems, the peripheral must generate an **ACK** to indicate that the transfer is complete. In actual systems, the peripheral itself usually does not introduce the wait states. This is normally done by the logic that controls access to the peripheral device, which times wait or **ACK** assertions and makes sure that they are asserted only when the correct peripheral is accessed. Some peripherals (particularly those designed for the 68000 family) generate **ACK** internally and need no external logic for this function.

# *Memory*

Processors with multiplexed buses need to capture the address in a latch because EPROMs, RAM, and most other peripheral devices need a stable address input during the external bus cycle. Figure 2.5 shows **an** EPROM connected to a microprocessor with a multiplexed address bus. This example shows an &bit EPROM connected to a microprocessor with an &bit data bus. If the microprocessor had 16 data bits, the upper 8 address bits would come from a latch as well, instead of



#### **Figure 2.5**

**EPROM Connected to a Microprocessor with a Multiplexed Address/Data Bus.** 

directly from the microprocessor. Of course, a l6bit EPROM would connect to all 16 bits of the data bus.

# *Types of PROM*

Three types of memory ordinarily are used **as** PROMs in embedded systems. The first of these is the EPROM. An EPROM consists of an array of transistors that can be programmed. The code to be executed is programmed into the device, and it is read out by the microprocessor. EPROMs have a quartz window in the top through which the IC die can be seen. This allows the EPROM to be erased using ultraviolet light and then reprogrammed.

One special case of EPROMs is OTP (one-time programmable) PROMs. As mentioned in Chapter 1, these are EPROMs in a plastic package with the quartz window missing. They can be programmed once, but because there is no erasure window, they cannot be erased and reprogrammed. EPROMs and OTP PROMs are pre grammed using a tool called a PROM *programmer.* EPROMs and OTP PROMs can be either part of a singlechip microcontroller IC or general-purpose parts for use with any multichip microprocessor design.

Another **type** of memory is flash memory. *Flash memory* is similar to the EPROM in that **a** transistor array is programmed. However, flash memory can be erased electrically, which means it can be reprogrammed without taking it out of the microprocessor circuit. Flash memory often is used when the product requires that the firmware be upgraded in the field. Early flash memories were expensive compared to EPROM, but the pricing is such that nearly all new designs are flash based.

The advantage of flash memory is that it can be programmed in-circuit, usually by the microprocessor that uses it. The programming procedure requires that the memory first be erased. This can present a problem-if the code to program the flash memory resides in the flash memory itself, how do you reprogram it? This was often a real problem for designers using early flash memories. One way to fix the problem is to move the programming code into RAM and execute it from there. Another approach is to **use** a newer block type of flash memory. These devices do not require that the entire memory be erased, instead permitting the memory to be erased in blocks. **So** the programming code can reside in a section of memory that is not erased, while the operating code resides in another part of memory that is erased and reprogrammed **as** needed.

The Atmel AT49F080 is a  $1MB \times 8$  flash memory with a 16K boot block. Two versions are available, one with the boot block at the bottom **of** the memory (starting at *00000)*  and one with the boot block at the top of memory (starting at FCOOO) . Normally, you would put your initialization code and flash erase/programming code in the boot block. This allows you to reprogram the rest of the memory to update the firmware.

Programming flash memories typically requires a specified sequence of writes to specific locations. The Atmel AT49F080 uses the following sequence to initiate the erase cycle:



Once erase is started, the memorywill complete the operation itself, timing it internally. Similar command sequences are used to protect and unprotect the boot block, to request the manufacturer's ID fiom the device, and to program a byte in the memory.

Most flash devices use -DATA polling when programming. This allows the processor to poll the device by attempting to read the location just programmed. The flash memory returns the complement of the data that was written until the internally timed programming cycle is complete.

Not all block-organized flash memories have a single small boot block and a larger main block. Some have multiple boot blocks, and some divide the memory into a few large blocks.

Most modern flash devices can be programmed using only the normal supply voltage **(5V, 2.7V,** or **3V).** Internal charge pumps generate the higher voltage needed for programming (typically **12V).** Flash devices also can be programmed in a PROM programmer, which usually allows the boot block erase lockout to be overridden. Some microcontrollers with internal flash memory require an external programming voltage.

While programming flash memory is different from programming an EPROM, reading a flash memory is exactly like reading an EPROM. The flash memory will have an additional input that controls writing of the memory array and which is inactive during reading.

Flash memory devices also have a means to read the device manufacturer and ID code. This is useful for device programmers, but it also **is** often needed for in-circuit programming. Different manufacturers have different algorithms for erasing and programming flash memory. **If** you want to have multiple sources for the flash memory in your design, your software will need to read the flash to determine which device is installed *so* it can determine which programming algorithm to use. You **also** will need to retain multiple programming algorithms in memory, one for each type of device you can substitute into the system. This was no problem with EPROM-all **27256** EPROMs work the same when reading. Programming differences were taken care of by the device programmer. On the other hand, EPROMs cannot be reprogrammed in-circuit.

Flash memory is a type of electrically erasable PROM (EEPROM). For general use in program storage, devices designated as EEPROM mostly have been replaced by flash memory. However, in some applications, specialized EEPROMs are very common. We'll address these later.

When an EPROM needs to be erased and reprogrammed, you just pull it out of the socket and take it to a PROM eraser and then to a programmer. *As* flash memories have grown in density, this becomes impractical since they no longer fit in a dual inline package (DIP). Early flash parts were available in PLCC (plastic leaded chip carrier) packages, which could be socketed, but many newer parts are only available in packages such as TSOP (thin small outline package) or BGA (Ball grid array) that are difficult or impossible to put in a socket. The parts are soldered on the board. The result is that in many designs, the only way to program the flash memory is in-circuit, using the microprocessor itself. This is fine if you want to program a flash memory to update an existing program. But how does the program get into the memory in the first place?

Flash memories still can be programmed by a programmer, using a special socket, before they are installed on the board. But what happens if the vendor (or your own manufacturing department) inadvertently skips the programming step? Or what if you get a batch of boards with the wrong program in the flash? Do you scrap the entire lot?

Some designs are intended to have the flash memory programmed by an incircuit programmer when the boards are tested. This is common in high-volume designs. In a design that does not use this technique, it is a good idea to provide a means to program the flash using an external fixture. To do this, the microprocessor must tristate its address and data lines so the external circuit can get to the flash. If the microprocessor supports DMA (see the DMA section later in this chapter), it can be put in a hold state. Many processors will tristate their buses if they are held in reset. If buffers or latches are used for the signals, or if the signals pass through a PLD before they reach the flash, they can be tristated there.

Once the processor has released the bus, some means must be provided to access the flash memory. This can be accomplished with a connector that brings out the address/data buses and control signals. If there is no room for that, a matrix of pads on the PCB, accessed with spring-loaded test pins, can be used instead.

Finally, an alternative to directly programming the flash is to provide a means, such as a header, to install a daughterboard containing a small flash memory that replaces the system flash. By mapping part of the system flash to a different location in memory when the daughterboard is installed, the boot portion of the system flash can be reprogrammed. Such remapping can be accomplished with a jumper on the main board, or it could be automatically activated when the daughterboard is installed. The boot portion of the system flash, of course, would be programmed with code that permits the remaining flash memory to be programmed.

The last type of memory is ROM. *As* mentioned in Chapter 1, this is memory programmed by the IC manufacturer using a mask. It cannot be reprogrammed and usually is used in singlechip microcontrollers, although mask ROM versions of some EPROMs are available. ROM normally is used only in very high-volume applications where the code is not expected to change over the life of the product.

#### *EPROlWFIash Interfacing*

When reading a flash memory, you'll note that it **has** the same characteristics as an EPROM, so the interfacing techniques for an EPROM and flash are identical in that respect. Typical EPROMs have three inputs: The address inputs, which can be up to **18** bits; a chip select; and an output enable. The only outputs are the 8 or **16**  data bits back to the microprocessor. Figure 2.5 illustrates the EPROM timing diagram. The address is presented to the EPROM and the chip select is driven low. Until the access time has elapsed, the output data is undefined. After the access time has elapsed, the output data for the addressed location is available. The output enable signal turns on the tristate EPROM outputs, driving the data onto the microprocessor data bus.

The chip select signal comes from the address decoding logic connected to the microprocessor data bus. Some processors, such **as** the **80188/80186** family, have internal, programmable chip select logic. The chip select signal in those cases can come directly from the microprocessor itself.

Note that the address must be stable for the entire EPROM access cycle. If the address changes during the cycle, the outputs also change **as** the EPROM attempts to access the data at the new address. This is why the address must be latched when using a microprocessor with a multiplexed address/data bus.

The access time of the EPROM is a critical factor and is often overlooked in embedded designs. EPROM access times are specified **as** a maximum. For example, an EPROM with a specified maximum access time of 120 ns requires no more than 120ns from the time the address is stable and chip select is low to generate a valid output. Most of these EPROMs will be faster than the maximum time specified, which gets a lot of designers into trouble. If you do not take into account the worstcase numbers, the design will work until the purchasing department buys a batch of EPROMs that happen to be a little slower than the ones you used in engineering debug. Worse yet, the problem may show up only when the temperature is above 90°F or when a certain brand of microprocessor is used.

#### *Calculating EPROM Access Time*

To calculate the required EPROM access time, you must start with the microprocessor data sheets. The procedure is **as** follows:

Calculate the time from when the microprocessor provides a stable address until it requires stable data.



#### **Figure 2.6**

**Typical Microprocessor Timing.** 

Subtract any delays, such **as** the address latch propagation delay.

The result is the required EPROM access time. Any EPROM with an access time at least **as** fast **as** the calculated number will work.

Figure 2.6 shows a simplified, typical timing diagram for a processor with a multiplexed data bus. There are three clock cycles from when the microprocessor outputs the address until it requires stable data. However, due to internal delays in the microprocessor IC itself, the address is not available until some time (Tad on the diagram) after the first clock edge. Then, the processor needs the EPROM data stable some time before the clock edge that captures the data because the internal data latch has a finite setup time. This is time *Tsu* on the diagram. The address must propagate through **the** address latch before reaching the EPROM, so the latch propagation delay time must be added to the EPROM access time. The required EPROM access time then is:

 $3 \times$  clock period  $-$  Tad  $-$  Tsu  $-$  latch propagation delay

Some microprocessor data sheets make this easier by referencing everything to the control signals (ALE, **-RD,** and so on) themselves.

Figure 2.6 shows the delay that the address latch causes in the address signals **as** well **as** the delay that would be introduced by a data buffer between the EPROM and the microprocessor. **You** can see that the effect of any propagation delay in the address or data path is to shorten the available access time by the sum of all the delays.

**A** processor with a nonmultiplexed data bus will have different timing from that shown in Figure **2.6,** but the basic concepts are the same. The processor will assert the address some delay after a clock edge, a control strobe will generate some delay after another clock edge, and the processor will want data to be stable on the rising edge of the control strobe or on the clock edge preceding it. The **EPROM**  must be fast enough to produce data in the time from when the address is stable to when the processor needs the data, minus any delays in the data or address paths.

For most **EPROMs,** the access time from chip select is the same, or nearly the same, as the access time from the address. Referring again to Figure 2.6, the **EPROM** chip select is generated by address decoding logic. The procedure for calculating the chip select access time is the same **as** for the address access time except that the delay through the address decode logic must be subtracted from the total time available. If the upper address bits are latched and then decoded to generate the chip select, *both* the latch delay and the decoder delay must be subtracted from the total time. After the address and chip select access times are calculated, the **EPROM** speed required is the *smaller* of the **two** numbers.

The next **EPROM** parameter is the output enable time. This is the time from when the microprocessor asserts the -RD strobe (or the equivalent signal) to when it needs stable data available. In most cases, an **EPROM** selected to meet the address/chip select access time will not cause a problem with the output enable time. However, it should be checked. Calculating the output enable time is similar to calculating the access time:

Calculate the time from when the microprocessor asserts the -RD signal until it requires stable data.

Subtract any delays, such as the data bus transceivers.

The result is the required **EPROM** output enable, which can be expressed in equation form like this:

$$
Toee = Toem - Td
$$

where Toee is the required output enable time of the **EPROM;** Toem is the time from when the microprocessor asserts -RD until it needs stable data; and Td is the sum of any circuit delays, such as gating logic in the -RD signal or data bus buffers.

The last parameter is the **EPROM** data hold time. This is the time from when the output enable **(OE)** signal goes high until the **EPROM** actually stops driving (tristating) its pins, sometimes called the *data bus release time*. This time is important because if the **EPROM** is still driving the data bus when the processor starts the next cycle, there will be bus contention and the wrong address can be latched. In most cases, selecting an **EPROM** that is fast enough for the processor also results in the data hold time being fast enough. However, when a very fast processor is interfaced to a slow **EPROM,** the hold time can be a problem. If the calculated hold time is a problem, the solution is to use a data buffer (more about that later) or go to a faster **EPROM.** 

Calculating the timing for flash memories is the same **as** for **EPROMs** except that you also must take into account the write timing. In this respect, flash memory timing is similar to a RAM, which we will discuss next.

# *RAM*

Two general types of RAM are used in embedded systems. The first and most common is *static* RAM (SRAM). Static means that the memory cells do not change unless they are rewritten or the power is removed. **A** static RAM consists of an array of flip-flops that are selected by a decoding array inside the chip. Static RAM usually comes in  $\times 8$  configurations, but there are some  $\times 16$  devices.

**A** special case of static RAM is *nonvolatile* RAM (NVRAM). This consists of a special low-power RAM chip packaged with a battery (usually lithium). The combination also includes power-switching circuitry that operates the RAM from system power when available and from the battery when system power is removed. The switching logic also protects the RAM from inadvertent writes when the power is below a certain threshold, usually when the system power is coming on or going off.

The other type of RAM is *dynamic* RAM (DRAM). Dynamic RAM is used in personal computers **(PCs).** It stores information as charge on a tiny capacitor, one per data bit. Because the capacitor charge bleeds off, the data must be refreshed periodically. DRAM multiplexes the address pins into row and column addresses. The row address is latched in with a signal called RAS (row address strobe), and the column address is latched in with a signal called *CAS* (column address strobe). The need to multiplex the addresses, generate the strobes, and refresh the part make DRAM more difficult to design with. Dynamic RAM can be made smaller than static RAM, *so* a single DRAM chip will be denser than a corresponding static RAM chip.

#### *Calculating RAM Access Time*

Figure **2.7** shows an SRAM IC connected to a microprocessor with a multiplexed address/data bus. Note that the connections are identical to those for an EPROM with the exception of the added write enable signal, which is connected to the microprocessor -WR signal. Although not shown, some RAM **ICs** have multiple chip select inputs.



#### **Figure 2.7**

**RAM Connected to a Microprocessor with a Multiplexed Address/Data Bus.** 

For static RAM and during a read cycle, RAM timing is calculated the same as EPROM timing. For a write cycle, additional factors must be considered. First, the data and control setup and hold times must be calculated. Figure **2.8** shows a static RAM write cycle. Several additional timing parameters must be taken into consideration with a RAM:

**Address setup time.** Unlike an **EPROM,** the contents of a RAM can be changed. The RAM requires that the address be stable before the write strobe (-WR) is asserted. If the minimum setup time is not met, the address decoding logic inside the RAM still may be changing when -WR is asserted, and the wrong address or multiple addresses may be changed. Note that the address setup time applies to the *leading* edge of the -WR strobe.

**Data setup time. To** guarantee that the correct data are written to the selected location, the data must be stable before the trailing edge of the -WR signal. This provides time for the data to get through the RAM's internal delays.

**Data/address hold times.** The data and address must each be held for some specific time after the trailing edge of -WR. This guarantees that the negation of the -WR signal has time to propagate through the RAM's internal delays before the address and data change.

The price for not meeting these parameters is intermittent RAM problems locations that seem to change at random or data that are incorrectly written. Like EPROM access time problems, the symptoms may occur only with specific brands of parts or only when the temperature reaches a certain point.





Calculating the address setup time is **as** follows: Using the microprocessor data sheets, calculate the time that the address is stable before assertion of the -WR signal (remember: *leuding* edge). Subtract address latch propagation delays. The result must be greater than the address setup time specified for the RAM chip to be used. If it is not, you must either select a faster RAM or delay the assertion of -WR using external logic. The formula for this is:

 $Tasr = Tasm - Td$ 

where Tasr is the address setup time required for the RAM; Tasm is the address setup time provided by the microprocessor; and Td is any delays in the data path, such **as** a data bus buffer.

Note that delays in the -WR path do not affect address setup time. In fact, a delay in the -WR path *impoves* address setup time because it gives the address more time to stabilize at the RAM before the -WR signal arrives. However, this is not a free lunch-delays in the -wR signal path can cause a data hold time problem, which we'll **look** at later.

Data setup time is calculated in much the same way as address setup time. Calculate the time from when the microprocessor asserts the data until the *trailing*  edge of the -WR signal. Subtract any data bus buffer delays. Your RAM must have a data setup time that is *less* than the calculated value:

where Tdsr is the data setup time required by your RAM; Tdsm is the data setup time, before the trailing edge of -WR, provided by the microprocessor; and Td represents any delays in the data path, such as a data bus buffer.

Data and address hold time are calculated by determining how long the microprocessor holds the address and data after the trailing edge of -WR. If you use address latches for all address lines, address hold time usually will not be a problem since the address will remain stable until the start of the next cycle. If you have data bus buffers, *add* the *minimum* propagation delay, if known, to the microprocessor data hold time. If the minimum is not known, do not add the buffer delay. If there are delays in the -WR path, subtract those, as they delay removal of -WR from the RAM. The RAM must have a smaller hold time requirement than the calculated result:

 $Tholdr = Tholdm + Td$ 

where Tholdr is the data hold time required for RAM; Tholdm is the data hold time provided by microprocessor; and Td is the minimum data bus propagation delay (if known) plus delays in the -WR path (if any).

The preceding information is based on the assumption that your microprocessor generates separate **-RD** and -WR signals. For microprocessors, such as the 28 family, that generate a data strobe and an R/W signal, there are **two** options: First, the -0E pin on the RAM is grounded and the -WE signal is connected to **R/W.**  One of the chip select signals is connected to the data strobe from the processor. The -WE signal on a static RAM overrides the -0E signal, permitting a write cycle to occur even if the -0E signal is low. The disadvantage to this is that the output enable time becomes the chip select access time, which may require that a faster device be used.

The second option for these processors is to generate the read and write strobes from the microprocessor data strobe and direction signals. Figure 2.9 shows a typical circuit for doing this.

#### *Nonvolatile RAM*

*As* mentioned earlier, NVRAM usually is an SRAM with a battery and power switching logic added. It has the same timing parameters as SRAM and is interfaced in the same way.

#### *Dynamic RAM*

Dynamic RAM, **as** mentioned earlier, stores information as a charge on a capacitor. DRAM is less common in embedded designs than is static RAM and typically is used where a lot of memory is needed. Because a DRAM memory cell consists of a capac-



#### **Figure 2.9**

**Generating Independent Read and Write Strobes from a Microprocessor That Produces Data Strobe and Direction Signals.** 

itor and a transistor, whereas an SRAM cell requires a flip-flop, DRAM density for a given level of technology will be higher than SRAM. At this time, common DRAM density is about 8 times common SRAM density. The disadvantages of DRAMS are that interfacing is more difficult and that the parts must be refreshed periodically.

A typical DRAM has half as many address lines **as** are needed to access the entire memory array. The lines are multiplexed with the row address presented first and the column address presented on the same pins. A 4MB RAM has 4,194,304 locations and requires 22 address inputs. The actual DRAM would have 11 address lines.

DRAM timing is less forgiving than SRAM timing. A DRAM has several important parameters:

**Row address setup time.** The time that the row address must be stable on the address inputs before -RAS is driven low.

**Row address hold time.** The time that the row address must be stable after the falling edge of  $-RAS$ .

**Column address setup time.** The time that the column address must be stable on the address inputs before -CAS is driven low.

**Column address hold time.** The time that the column address must be stable after the falling edge of -CAS.

RAS **access time.** The maximum time from the falling edge of -RAS to output data available.

CAS **access time.** The maximum time from the falling edge of -CAS to output data available.

RAS **hold time.** The minimum time that -RAS must remain low after the falling edge of *-CAS.* 

RAS/CAS **precharge time.** The times that -RAS and -CAS must remain high before the next cycle can start.

Looking at a DRAM data sheet reveals many more timing parameters than those listed here, but these are the key ones. Note that **two** access times are listed: RAS and CAS. The actual access time is determined by the circuit. In a fast circuit, CAS may enable the output buffer before the logic in the DRAM has decoded the row address, and the RAS time becomes the actual access time. In a slower circuit, where the row address will be internally decoded by the time CAS occurs, the access time will be governed by when CAS falls. To put it another way, data will not be available from the DRAM any sooner than the RAS time after the falling edge of RAS, even if the address multiplexing and CAS timing are very fast.

Figure 2.10 shows a hypothetical 256K **x** 8 DRAM connected to a microprocessor with a Motorola-type bus. Actual DRAMS may be 1, **4,8,** or 16 bits wide. A 1-bit wide DRAM requires 16 ICs for a 16-bit word width. This example is  $\times 8$  for simplicity. Also, the data transfer acknowledge (DTACK) timing does not appear on this figure for the same reason.



**Figure 2.10 DRAM Interface to a Motorola-Type Bus.** 

*50 Embedded Microprocessor* **System** 

The address is presented to the DRAM through a multiplexer. At the start of the cycle (see Figure 2.10), the low-order address bits **(A0** through A8) are passed through to the DRAM and -RAS is pulsed, latching the row address into the DRAM. After the address hold time is met, the SEL line to the multiplexer is toggled, causing the high-order address (A9 through A17) to be presented to the DRAM. After the column address setup time is met,  $-CAS$  is pulsed, latching the column address. Data from the DRAM is available after the CAS access time.

The direction signal  $(R/W)$  is passed directly to the DRAM. If the WE pin on the DRAM is low when -CAS goes low, the DRAM will start a write cycle. If WE goes low *ufte-CAS* goes low, the DRAM will do a read cycle, driving read data onto the data bus, followed by a write cycle. This is called a *read modify write* (rmw) *cycle*. Write data is latched on the leading edge of -WE or -CAS, whichever is later. Few embedded processors execute rmw cycles. The reason this timing is important is because you need to avoid bus contention for processors where the write signal may be later than -CAS. Note, however, that the data is latched and must be stable before -WE or -CAS, whichever occurs later.

Figure 2.11 shows a method of implementing the timing logic for Figure 2.10. The address setup time from the processor, prior to the leading edge of -DS, meets the DRAM row address setup time, so -RAS can go active with -DS.

After Delay 1, which is the row address hold time, the select signal to the multiplexer changes states, which switches the DRAM address inputs from the row to the column address. After Delay **2,** which is the column address setup time, -CAS is driven low. -RAS goes back high after Delay 3, which is the row address hold time. -RAS could be held active throughout the entire memory cycle, but removing -RAS after -CAS is asserted makes it easier to meet the -RAS precharge time.



**Figure 2.11 Typical DRAM Timing Logic.** 

When  $-DS$  goes inactive,  $-CAS$  is removed immediately. The DRAM drives the data bus **as** long as -CAS is active, so allowing the *-CAS* inactive state to propagate through the delays could cause bus contention. The delays in Figure **2.11** may be implemented with delay lines or synchronous logic. In either case, you must make sure that the inactive state of -DS has propagated through all delays before the next cycle starts. This circuit is simplified since it does not include a provision for separate refresh, but it shows the timing principles involved.

Because DRAM has **two** address setup/hold times and **two** address strobes in one cycle, it is slower than equivalent SRAM parts. The example in Figure **2.11** did not start the DRAM cycle until the data strobe from the processor occurred. This may require the addition of wait states, depending on processor and DRAM speed. In some designs, you can start the cycle early. On Intel-type processors, the -RAS signal can be generated when *ALE* goes active. With a Motorola-type bus, the address strobe can be used to start the cycle. In both cases, the address decoding must be fast enough to ensure that the RAM is not falsely selected. Also, the address multiplexer adds an additional level of delay that must be taken into account; the row address must be stable prior to the leading edge of  $-RAS$ .

*Refresh* Dynamic RAM must be refreshed. The storage capacitor loses its charge fairly quickly, typically in **15** milliseconds (ms) or less. Refresh is accomplished by accessing each row in the DRAM. Internal logic in the DRAM restores the charge on the capacitor. Note that accessing any row refreshes all columns in that row. For example, a **256K** DRAM typically has **256** rows and **1024** columns. Any read or write cycle refreshes the entire row, but the catch is that *all* rows (that is, all row addresses) must be refreshed within the refresh interval.

Unless refresh was accomplished with an actual data read, early DRAMS required that the user generate a refresh address and a -RAS signal every **15** microseconds **(ps)** or so. On a **256K** DRAM, this refreshes all **256** rows in about 4ms. This scheme required an external counter and a way to multiplex the count onto the address lines. The timing logic had to recognize a refresh request and generate a refresh cycle, arbitrating it with processor cycles. Newer DRAMS can still use this -RASonly refresh, but they make refresh easier by also supplying an internal refresh address counter. Each time the DRAM is refreshed using a special refresh cycle, the counter increments to the next address.

The internal refresh cycle is started by reversing the order of *-CAS* and -RAS. -CAS is driven low first, followed by -RAS. The DRAM recognizes this condition and refreshes the internal row, then increments the refresh counter. The data bus is not driven during the refresh cycle.

While an external counter is not required for the internal refresh cycle, refresh still poses some problems. First, an external timer must generate a request for refresh at regular intervals. Second, the interface logic must interleave the refresh cycles with the processor access cycles. What happens if the DRAM is in the middle

of refreshing and the processor wants to start a read cycle? There are several ways to handle the conflict between processor and refresh cycles:

**Use wait states.** If the processor wants to use the DRAM, it must wait until the current refresh cycle is completed. This probably is the most common method of handling refresh.

**Synchronize** refresh **to the processor.** Allow refresh to occur only for cycles that do not use the DRAM. This can be dangerous if the processor is executing code from the DRAM, which may never permit refresh to occur. However, if the DRAM will be used only for data, this approach may be feasible. A slow processor may permit the entire refresh cycle to be performed without affecting normal operation, such as during the ALE time.

**Use the** direct **memory access (DMA) capability of the processor.** DMA can **be** used for refresh by allowing the refresh logic to request a hold and do the refresh cycle when the processor acknowledges the hold request. The disadvantage of this is that it usually takes a few clocks for the processor to get in and out of hold.

**Use** built-in **refresh.** Many microprocessors, such as some versions of the 80C186, have built-in refresh logic. This consists of an internal timer that generates refresh requests at regular intervals. Processors that generate refresh requests internally also provide the refresh row address, so that -RASonly refresh cycles may be performed.

If the internal refresh capability of the DRAM is to be used, the DRAM timing logic must detect the refresh condition and generate the CAS-before-RAS cycle. DRAM timing logic may be implemented using discrete logic or programmable logic devices (PLDs) . The required delays may be generated using delay lines or a clock. Either way, all the DRAM timing constraints must be met. Probably the most common mistakes in DRAM design are failing to meet the setup/hold times and failing to meet the precharge times, especially when switching between refresh and processor access to the part.

Some memory ICs, such **as** the Toshiba TC59LM814, have a self-refresh capability. This function handles all the timing, addressing, and control necessary to refresh the memory. The only drawback is that the CPU cannot access the memory while refreshing, and the CPU must command the self-refresh to begin. The TC59LM814 has two control bits that select self-refresh and other modes of operation.

*DRAM Controller ICs* If you do not want to roll your **own** timing logic, a number of controller ICs simplify the task of interfacing to and controlling DRAMs. Typical examples are the DP8421 and **DP8422** from National Semiconductor. Some programmable logic vendors also have FPGA-based designs for DRAM controllers.

However, the decision to use DRAM implies a considerable increase in the cost and complexity of a design; you should consider it carefully to determine whether it is necessary.

This section has been a lengthy discussion of connecting memory to a microprocessor and calculating the worst-case timings, but it is important because the timing of all other peripherals is calculated in the same way. The foregoing information is based on the assumption that the designer will use worst-case numbers. Some manufacturers provide a table or other information that indicates the memory speed needed for a specific clock rate. However, if it is not specified in that way, assume the worst-case timing scenario eventually will happen.

One last note about timing calculations: They are straightforward to do with a calculator, but a number of timing analysis programs for PCs will do the calculations, display the resulting waveform on the screen, and even highlight problem areas in red. **An** example is *Timing Diagrammer Pro* from Synapticad. These programs typically include libraries of microprocessors and other parts, including the timing parameters, *so* you need not even look up the worst-case parameters on the data sheets. The program does all the calculations for you **and** you can print out a timing diagram that can be included in the board specifications or other documentation.

# **VO**

The entire point of an embedded microprocessor is to monitor or control some real-world event. To do this, the microprocessor must have 1/0 capability. Like a desktop computer without a monitor, printer, or keyboard, an embedded microprocessor without  $I/O$  is just a paperweight.

The 1/0 from an embedded control system falls into **two** broad categories: digital and analog. However, at the microprocessor level, all I/O is digital. (Some microprocessor **ICs** have built-in **ADCs,** but the processor itself still works with digital values.) The simplest form of  $I/O$  is a register that the microprocessor can write to or a buffer that it can read. Figure **2.12** illustrates these two implementations. When the microprocessor performs a read to the address of the **74AC244,**  the decoding logic produces a read strobe, and the **74AC244** outputs are enabled onto the microprocessor data bus. Similarly, a write to the address of the **74AC374**  generates a write strobe that clocks the data bus value into the **74AC374.** The input bits to the **74AC244** could be switch contacts, a temperature sensor, comparator outputs, or any other digital information. The **74AC374** outputs could drive LEDs, a relay, or other logic. The decoding logic to generate the strobe signal can be implemented with PLDs, discrete logic, or demultiplexers such **as** the **74AC138/** 



**Figure 2.12** 

Simple Input and Output **Ports.** 

139. The decoding logic should produce output strobes that follow the microprocessor **-RD** and -WR signals.

Figure 2.13 illustrates three decoding circuits. The first, an &input NAND gate, decodes address lines A8 through A15, the upper eight lines on a 16bit address bus. When the microprocessor accesses any location in the (hex) range FFOO to FFFF, A8 through A15 will all be high, producing a low at the NAND gate output. Of course, a wider address bus or a need to decode to greater resolution will require a wider NAND circuit.

The second circuit in Figure 2.13 is a 74AC138. This circuit produces output strobes that follow the data strobe from the microprocessor and are suitable for clocking data into a register or for enabling a buffer. The select inputs (A, B, and C) are connected to the microprocessor address bus, bits A1 through *A2.* One enable is connected to a range decode (such as the NAND gate later in Figure 2.14), and a second enable is connected to the microprocessor data strobe. The unused enable is pulled up. As indicated in Figure 2.13, the eight outputs of this circuit each go active at a different offset from the start of the range decode. For example, if the range decode was active from addresses FFFO to FFF7, the outputs of the 74AC138 would go active at addresses FFFO, FFF2, FFF4, and *so* on. One drawback to this circuit is that each strobe goes active for either a read or a write. To get independent read/write strobes, **two** 74AC138 circuits are used. Instead of the data strobe input, one 74AC138 is enabled with -RD and the other with -WR.


It is necessary to gate the **I/O** strobes with the -RD or -WR signals because the address typically is held longer than the data for a write. If a write strobe was just an address decode (not gated with **-WR),** the register would not get a clock until the after data were gone. If the read strobe were not gated with **-RD,** an output buffer would be enabled too long, and there may be bus contention at the end of the bus cycle when the next one starts and the microprocessor tries to drive the data bus. A second reason for gating the strobes is that while the address is changing at the start of a bus cycle, the address lines may not all change at the same time. Consequently, the wrong address may momentarily appear on the address lines, and the wrong device could be selected. The decoding logic could produce a short pulse on a write strobe signal, clocking garbage data into a register. Gating read and write strobes with the control signals makes sure the strobes go active only when address and data signals are stable. Figure **2.13** shows this timing.

The last circuit in Figure **2.13** shows how a **22V10** (or other PLD) can be used to generate address decodes and read/write strobes from a single IC. This example decodes a 16-bit (64K) address space, producing a 32K EPROM chip select from<br>addresses 8000 through FFFF, an 8K RAM chip select from 0000 through 1FFF, and a peripheral chip select from 3000 through 3FFF. Read strobes are generated at **4000** and **4004,** and write strobes are generated at 4000, 4001, and **4002.** Since the EPROM, RAM, and our hypothetical peripheral IC have their own -WE and -0E inputs, the chip selects for these parts will not be gated with the -RD and -WR signals from the microprocessor. The read/write strobes will be gated with the control signals, however, because they are intended for clocking data into a latch or for enabling a buffer. The following equations implement this PLD in CUPL/ABEL format (& is the logical AND function,  $\#$  is the logical OR function, a ! prefix indicates a low-true signal, and a double slash  $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$  precedes comments).

**IEPROMCS** = **A15;** // 8000-FFF'F **!RAMCS** = **lA15** *Be* **1814** *Be* **1A13;** */I* **0000-1FF'F !PERIPRCS** = **1A15** & **!A14** & **A13** & **A12;** // **3000-3F'FF !WSTBl** = **1A15** & **A14** & **IA13** & **!A12** *Be* **lAll** & **lAlO** & **!A9** & **1A2** & **IAl** *Be* **!A0** *Be* IWR; // **4000 IWS"B2** = **!A15** & **A14** & **lA13** & **IA12** & **lAll** & **lAlO** & **IAQ** *Be* lA2 *Be* **1Al** & **A0** & IWR; // **4001 IA9** *Be !A2* & **A1** & **IAO** & !WR; // **4002 !RsTBl** = **lA15** & **A14** & **IA13** *Be* **1A12** & **lAll** & **!A10** & **!A9** & lA2 *8e* **!A1** & **!A0** & **ID;** // **<sup>4000</sup> IAQ** & **A2** *Be* **1Al** *Be* **IAO** & IRD; // **4004 lWS"B3** = **1A15** *Be* **A14** & **1A13** & **IA12** *Be* **lAll** & **lAlO** & **IRsTB2** = **1A15** *Be* **A14** *Be* **1A13** *Be* **IA12** & **lAll** *Be* **lAlO** &

# *Peripheral UO Integrated Circuits*

The advantage of using discrete latches and buffers for 1/0 is simplicity. The disadvantages are:

**Unidirectional operation.** The latch outputs cannot be read to determine whether a particular bit is set.

**Not programmable.** The inputs are always inputs; the outputs are always outputs. If you need nine inputs instead of eight, but only seven outputs, you cannot use a latch output **as** an input-you must add another 74AC244 buffer.

**PC board real estate.** Each new set of eight inputs or outputs requires another IC and another output from the decoding logic.

**Interface.** The requirement for discrete read/write strobes to each device complicates interface with 68000- or Z8-type processors that generate a common data strobe and direction signal.

In addition to these, another problem is that this type of discrete  $I/O$  is limited to just that-digital 1/0 bits. A design often requires other functions, such as a timer, serial interface, or ADC, which cannot be implemented with simple latches.

# *Peripheral ICs*

Most microprocessors intended for multichip designs have peripheral ICs as part of the product family. These include timer/counters, serial interface chips, and port expansion. A few examples are described here.

# *Timers*

A timer peripheral consists of a counter that decrements or increments at some clock rate. The processor can read the count, and the timer may generate an interrupt or pulse an output pin when the count rolls over to zero. Some timer ICs allow one timer to be cascaded from another for long delays. The timer output varies with the particular IC used; many have outputs that can be programmed for a square wave, single pulse on output, or variable duty cycle. In addition to the count, the processor can control timer start/stop and modes of operation. Typical uses for a timer IC are to generate a delay, usually for scheduling some real-time event, controlling motors (DC PWM or stepper), and generating a regular timekeeping interrupt. We'll cover timers in more detail in Chapter 3.

# *UO Ports*

These ICs provide a multichip design with the same programmable 1/0 port capability **as** a microcontroller. A typical 1/0 port IC may provide three or four &bit ports. Some port ICs include hardware handshaking that permits a port to be used for interprocessor communication in multiprocessor systems. The processor can control the direction of each port (sometimes to the bit level, depending on the part) and all modes of operation. 1/0 port ICs are also called *pmt* expanders.

# *Interface ICs*

These provide standard interfaces, such **as** SCSI, IEEE-488, asynchronous serial **I/O,** Ethernet, or "Firewire." Many of these parts handle more than one interface. Some UARTs (universal asynchronous receiver/transmitters) , for example, can handle multiple serial protocols, relieving the processor from the burden of handling each received byte.

# *Interrupt Controllers*

Interrupt controllers simplify adding interrupts to processors. We'll discuss this in more detail in Chapter *5.* 

# *IC Functions*

In many cases, an IC may combine **two** or more functions. Table 2.1 is a brief list of typical peripheral ICs designed for microprocessor I/O.

There are fewer peripheral ICs on the market now than there were a few years ago. This is the result of several factors. First, shrinking die sizes and power dissipation allow more features to be integrated onto the CPU chip itself. Second, the increasing complexity and decreasing cost of programmable logic devices such as **CPLDs** and FPGAs make it more attractive to put peripheral functions on those parts.



**Table 2.1 Typical Peripheral I/O ICs.** 

Finally, the increasing power of microcontrollers makes them attractive where simple 1/0 is needed. A typical design a few years ago might include an **80186 CPU,**  memory, some kind of parallel **I/O,** and perhaps an ADC. Timer, interrupt control, and serial interface could reside on the **CPU** chip (depending on which model) or **as** external peripheral **ICs.** The same design today, with the same performance, might use a microcontroller with everything embedded on-chip.

Although peripheral ICs are fewer now than in past years, they still exist and are still useful in many designs. Most parts that are designed for a specific family of processors can interface fairly painlessly to parts in that family. However, sometimes the designer needs a function that is performed by a peripheral part from another family. For example, you might want an interface between a Motorola peripheral and an Intel processor. Although showing every possible combination of peripherals and microprocessors is impractical, some representative examples are illustrated here.

*Intel 80188 to Motorola 68230* Figure 2.14 shows a simplified timing diagram for the Intel **80188** and the Motorola **68230.** On a read cycle (the address multiplexing does not appear in the figure for simplicity), the **80188** generates a -RD strobe and the peripheral is expected to have data available at the trailing edge of **-RD** (actually at the clock edge that precedes the end of **-RD).** For a write cycle, the **80188** produces a -WR strobe and the write data is stable some time before the trailing edge of -WR.

The **68230** has five register select inputs that are used to address internal registers. These are connected to the microprocessor address lines. The **68230** expects a **-CS** signal, which is a logical AND of the address decode and data strobe. The register select (address) inputs, the R/W signal, and the write data (for a write



**Figure 2.14 Intel 801 88 Versus Motorola 68230 Timing.** 

**60** *Embedded MicroprocRFsor Systems* 





**Connecting a 68230** to **an 801 88.** 

cycle) all must be stable prior to the leading (falling) edge of -CS. The **68020** also expects the processor to hold -CS active until it returns -DTACK. While the **80188**  has stable address and read/write status at the leading edge of the -RD or -WR signals, the write data is not stable at the leading edge of -WR. Also, the 80188 does not use a -DTACK signal to terminate the bus cycle.

Connecting a **68230** to an **80188** requires the following changes: The -RD and -WR signals must be converted to a single -DS data strobe. For a write cycle, the synthesized -DS signal must be delayed until the data on the bus is stable. The 80188 must be forced to hold the bus signals active until the **68230** returns -DTACK.

Figure **2.15** shows how this conversion can be performed. One of the internal **80188** chip selects (PCSO through PCS5) generates the range decode and **80188**  address lines A0 through A4 drive **68230 RS1** through RS4. The -RD and -WR signals from the **80188** are gated together to produce a single -DS to the **68230.**  The -WR signal is delayed by one half clock cycle to ensure stable data at the leading edge of -DS. The **80188** DT/R signal is inverted to drive the **68230** R/W input. Finally, the -DTACK signal is returned **as** a -WAIT signal to the **80188** ARDY input. ARDYis driven low (not ready) **as** long as the **68230** is selected and -DTACK is false.

*Intel to Zilog* The Z8536 and Z8530 peripherals are popular parts. Interfacing these to an Intel processor is simpler than interfacing to **68000** family peripherals.



**Figure 2.16** 

**Connecting a** *Z85xx* **to an 80188.** 

The Z853x parts have separate -RD and -WR inputs but, like the 68000 family parts, the write data must be stable at the leading edge of -WR.

Figure 2.16 shows the circuitry necessary to connect a 28530 or 28536 to an 80188 or other Intel processor. Like the 68230 interface, the Z853x interface uses a PCS (Peripheral Chip Select) line for the range decode and delays the -WR signal until write data are stable. One important addition is the AND gates between the -RD and -WR signals from the 80188 and the corresponding inputs to the Z853x. This is added because the Z853x parts interpret assertion of both -RD and -WR **as**  a reset condition. The AND gates drive both inputs to the Z853x low when the RESET output from the 80188 goes active.

The circuitry in Figure 2.16 could be used with a PIC17C4x processor as well, except that the -WR delay is not required. The -WR signal connects directly to the -WR AND gate, like the **-RD.** 

*Intel Peripheral to Motorola CPU* This is less common than the other examples, but Figure 2.17 shows how it is done. The -DS signal firom the Motorola processor is split into separate -RD **and** -WR signals using the R/W signal. A data strobe with  $R/W$  high produces a  $-RD$  to the peripheral, and a data strobe with  $R/W$  low produces a -WR. The 68000 family parts require a -DTACK to terminate the cycle; this is generated with a pair **of** 74AC74 flip-flops. The -DTACK is returned when -RD or -WR occurs with **-CE,** indicating an access to the peripheral. Two 74AC74 gates





**Connecting an Intel Peripheral** to **a Motorola Processor.** 

are shown; the actual delay required to guarantee proper operation depends on the relative speeds of the processor and peripheral. Of course, like any of the examples in this chapter, this circuitry could be embedded in a PLD.

### *Data SetupAioId Time Problems*

Wait states, which were covered earlier, will extend a read or write cycle enough to allow a fast microprocessor (such **as** a **20MHz 80Cl86)** to interface with a slower peripheral (such as a **6MHz 28530).** But wait states alone will not solve all mismatch problems. Some peripherals require longer setup or hold times for address or data than the processor provides. Addition of wait states does not affect these timings because wait states extend only the processor cycle without affecting the timing of asserting and removing the control strobes. If there is a mismatch in this area, additional logic must ensure that all parameters required by the peripheral and the processor are met. This can be determined only by examining the published timing information for the processor and the peripheral. In most cases, setup and holdtime problems can be fixed by a combination of wait states and manipulation of -RD and -WR or **-DS** before the signals reach the peripheral, either by delaying them or terminating them early. The following example will illustrate this.

# *Extended Data Hold Time*

Occasionally you run into a peripheral IC that requires write data to be held for some time after the WRITE strobe goes away. A typical example is the LM628 motor controller IC from National Semiconductor. Most microprocessors do not guarantee a data hold time long enough for parts that need an extended data hold time. There are **two** ways to implement an interface to parts like this. The first method is to latch the data and leave the buffer enabled to the peripheral device (see Figure 2.18). This provides the fastest transfer speed since the processor timing is unaffected. However, it requires that you implement a bidirectional latching data buffer for the peripheral part. Also, the data latch works only if the processor cannot do another write quickly enough to change the latch contents too soon and violate the



Figure 2.18 Extended Data Hold Time.

**64** *Embedded Micr@rocessm Systems* 

timing requirements. You might see this if the peripheral with extended hold requirements is memory-mapped and the processor performs a word write **as** a pair of back-to-back byte writes.

The second, and simpler, method for extending the data hold time also is shown in Figure 2.18. Here, a wait state is used to extend the processor write cycle. The -WR pulse to the peripheral device does not connect directly to the processor -WR signal but instead goes through some intermediate logic. This logic terminates the -WR signal to the peripheral early in the cycle when the wait request is removed. Since the processor will extend the cycle one clock past this point, the data will be held on the bus for the peripheral device. This ensures that the data hold-time requirement is met. It also guarantees that the processor will not perform a second write that violates the chip timing.

### *8- Versus 16-Bit interfaces*

Some processors are available with both 8- and 16-bit external interfaces. For example, the 80188 uses the same microprocessor core **as** the 80186. However, the external interface to memory and 1/0 is only 8 bits wide on the 80188, versus 16 bits on the 80186. Similarly, the 68008 microprocessor (now obsolete) had a 16-bit 68000 CPU core but interfaced to external memory and I/O devices via an 8-bit bus. The 68001 has a selectable 8- or 16-bit bus. The Siemens/Infineon C167 can be programmed for either 8- or 16-bit external memory operations.

The drawback to using an 8-bit bus is performance. While the internal CPU is the same **as** the 16-bit sibling, external access is slower. A processor with an &bit external interface requires **two** memory cycles to get a 16-bit word, whereas the 16 bit bus can get a word in one cycle.

So why would anyone want to use a processor with an 8-bit bus? Cost. Using a 16-bit bus requires two of everything. EPROMs and RAM must be 16 bits wide. Your program may require only **1K** of code space and 256 bytes of RAM, but you still need a 16-bit interface to the processor, which means two (or more) RAM and EPROM chips.

Some RAM and ROM ICs feature 16-bit data buses, but they typically are more expensive than their &bit counterparts. In addition, many peripheral ICs only have an 8-bit bus, which gives the 16-bit processor less of an edge. On the other hand, some peripherals require 16-bit interfaces, which precludes using an &bit proces sor. *An* &bit processor could be used, but only with data latches and external logic to turn two &bit cycles into a single 16-bit cycle at the peripheral.

The 16-/8-bit concept also applies to other bus widths. The Intel 8OC960SA is a l6bit multiplexed-bus version of the 32-bit 80C960. The 386EX is a l6bit bus version of the 386 processor, optimized for embedded applications. Both the 80C960 and the 386EX use the same processor core **as** the larger parts they are derived from; only the external bus is narrower.

# *16-Bit Considerations*

The interface examples shown *so* far have been for 8-bit processors. Interfacing to 16-bit processors is similar except for the wider bus width. However, some 16-bit and wider processors require somewhat more complex interfacing since they can execute both 8- and 16-bit cycles.

The 80186 has a 16-bit bus, but if a word-wide (16-bit) memory access is performed to an *odd* address, the processor will perform two back-to-back &bit cycles to access the word. This is important because the processor expects to operate on only 8 bits at a time; the remaining 8 bits are unused. Say that memory location 0006 contains the value 1B2C. The CPU may access this **as** a 16-bit value or it may access either the high (1B) or low (2C) bytes. The CPU can write the lower byte to 3D, leaving the result 1B3D.

Two signals **(A0** and BHE) on the 80186 control which byte of memory or 1/0 is accessed (low, high, or both). If the odd-address example happens to be a write and the memory design assumes that all accesses will be 16 bits wide, each **of** the two 8-bit writes will write invalid data to 1 byte of the memory word. The memory logic must decode the BHE and **A0** signals to determine whether just 1 byte is being written. In the example just given, if the logic does not properly decode the **A0** and BHE signals, writing 3D to the least significant byte of the word will result in xx3D instead of 1B3D. The xx is an unknown value—the most significant byte will be whatever data is on the bus when the write occurs.

Other processors have similar characteristics. The 386EX is a 32-bit processor with a 16-bit external bus, but it also can perform 8-bit cycles. When designing with a processor that has 16 (or more) bits and can perform byte-oriented cycles, be sure the memory design handles these operations correctly. If you are using 2-bytewide ICs to implement a 16-bit-wide memory, you can gate the write signal to each memory IC with the appropriate byte select signal. On the 80186, BHE would be used to gate the most significant byte, and **A0** would be used to gate the least significant byte. Figure 2.19 shows the gating needed to control the -RD and -WR lines when **two** SRAMs are connected to an 80186 processor.

In Figure 2.19, the chip selects for both RAM ICs come from the 80186 -LCS output, and both chip selects are connected together. The logic enables the low RAM IC when **A0** is low and the high RAM IC when BHE is low. When both signals are low, both devices are enabled. Note that the address inputs to the RAM ICs get address lines **A1** through **A15.** This is a typical usage of the address lines on processors that have 16-bit or wider data buses. When interfacing to the 8-bit bus of the 80188, the RAM and ROM ICs will get all the address lines, including **AO.** On the 16-bit 80186, **A0** is used **as** a byte selector. Note that both processors can access the same amount **of** memory (1 MB), but the 186 can access 16 bits at a time.

Microprocessors that can access memory in cycles that have fewer bits than the bus width (16-bit CPU doing &bit cycles, 32-bit CPU doing 16-bit cycles) will have





status bits that tell the memory decoding logic what size the access is. A 16-bit CPU that can perform &bit accesses needs 2 bits (high byte, low byte, both bytes) to determine what portion of the bus is used. *As* mentioned, on the x86, these are BHE and AO.

A 32-bit processor that can access 8,16, or 32 bits would typically have seven pos sible states (byte 0, 1, 2, or 3, low word or high word, 32 bit access), so three status signals would be needed. Other combinations theoretically exist, such **as** a lGbit word composed of bytes 0 and 2, but they are not used.

The decoding logic must decode the status lines and route the correct data to the data bus. This is especially important when writing to memory. Just like the earlier example of writing a single byte of a 16-bit word, the CPU may want to write to only 1 byte of a 32-bit-wide memory device. It is important not to corrupt the other 3 bytes during that write cycle. In many cases, a 16-, 32-, or 64bit processor will interface to an &bit device. **An** example of this is the 16550 UART, which is common in the PC world. To simplify the design, the hardware normally is designed

to support only &bit access to the device, using 8 bits of the data bus. If the software attempts to perform a word write or a byte write to the wrong address, the result is undefined. Interfaces like this normally do not attempt to cover all the cases, since the software can be written to avoid invalid accesses.

### *Data Bus Loading*

A microprocessor is specified to drive a particular DC loading (sourcing or sinking current) and a particular capacitance loading. A common mistake is to ignore these parameters and assume that the processor will drive the bus. This is a dangerous practice, especially if a failure is likely to result in the engineer having to fix it in an unsavory place, like an oil field or a country where you should not drink the water. Bus loading problems can result in much the same sort of symptoms **as** setup/hold-time violations. In fact, bus loading problems can *cause* setup and hold-time problems because they change processor timing. A microprocessor is specified to meet its performance characteristics with maximum DC sink and source currents and with a maximum load capacitance. AMD's version of the 80C188, for example, specifies a sink current of **2** mA and a capacitance drive capability of 1OOpF. If you exceed these numbers, the performance of the part starts to degrade.

When the standard interface logic was **LSTTL** or FITL, I would usually find that loading problems in designs I reviewed revolved around DC loading issues. Now that the world has shifted primarily to CMOS, I see more problems with capacitance. I think designers look at the extremely low leakage of CMOS inputs and just forget that those inputs have capacitance. Some parts, such **as** the 8OC188, have a derating chart for capacitance, which shows how much the outputs are slowed by added capacitance beyond the specified value. However, in all cases, regardless of whether it is specified, excessive loading can cause problems.

To calculate DC loading, add the maximum sink and source currents required by all inputs and compare them to all the outputs (including bidirectional devices). The sum of the input currents must not exceed the capability of the device with the smallest output drive capability. On CMOS devices, check not only the output current capability but what sink current does to the output voltage. The output current of some CMOS devices is specified at TTL level voltages. If one of those devices is driving an IC that requires CMOSlevel input voltages, there may be a problem. If the total DC loading pulls the output of the first device down, the second part may not see the correct value. Capacitance loading is similar. Add up the input capacitance (sometimes specified **as** 1/0 capacitance for bidirectional devices) and compare it to the drive capability for each device that must drive the bus. The total capacitance should be less than what the device with the lowest drive

specification can tolerate. If derating curves are provided, they can be used to determine whether access times are degraded enough to be a problem.

If a loading problem is discovered, the simplest fix is to add a buffer to the data or address buses. This isolates the processor bus from the peripherals that load it. The problem with a buffer is that it adds delay to the system. If timing is marginal, a faster EPROM, for example, may be required.

Note that adding a buffer may just move the problem around. All the peripherals have DC and capacitance loading specifications, too, and adding a buffer may prevent a processor problem but leave a peripheral IC with a problem.

In the case in which a buffer fixes a problem with the processor but leaves a peripheral with a problem, the bus may need to be split. This means that **two** or more separate data buses are needed, each with a separate buffer. One simple way to split the bus is to have output-only drivers. This technique is useful if there are a large number of discrete output registers. All the registers are tied to one common bus, which is buffered from the processor bus with a unidirectional buffer. The processor sees only the load of the buffer, and the buffer is selected to be able to drive the register bank. The advantage to this method is that the buffer can be enabled all the time, eliminating the control logic.

Figure **2.20** shows a multichip design that uses a split bus and a unidirectional buffer. For fastest access, the EPROM and RAM are connected directly to the processor data and address buses. Lowdrive peripherals are grouped with the EPROM and RAM on the processor bus. A second group of peripherals is connected to a second bus through a bidirectional buffer. A bank of registers is driven from a unidirectional buffer.

Regardless of what kind of buffers are used, the following rules must be obeyed:

- Adding buffers requires additional control logic to enable the buffers and control the direction of data flow. Be sure that the logic, especially if it's in a PLD, has all the inputs needed to determine when to turn a buffer on and change the direction.
- Whether using one buffer or multiple buffers, be sure that the control logic allows each buffer to drive the bus only when the peripherals it controls are accessed. Simultaneously enabling **two** buffers causes bus contention, which can cause intermittent operation and even failure of the buffer ICs.
- Bus contention also can be caused if a buffer is enabled while the processor or a peripheral is driving the data bus. On a processor with a multiplexed data bus, driving the bus with a buffer while the processor is trying to latch a PROM address can be disastrous. Avoid that condition. Check the logic that enables the buffers to be sure they are not enabled at the wrong time, and check buffer output turnoff time to ensure that it is not too slow for the processor.
- The data bus must propagate through the buffer, so add the propagation delay of the buffer to EPROM, RAM, and peripheral access time calculations. When



### **Figure 2.20 Buffering a Microprocessor Data Bus.**

using a buffer between the processor and a peripheral that requires write data to be stable at the *leading* edge of the write strobe, make sure that propagation delay through the buffer does not delay data far enough to cause problems.

Although usually fewer devices are tied directly to the processor address bus (especially with a multiplexed bus), the same considerations apply. Use buffers if the load exceeds the processor's capacity. One simplifying factor in these cases is that the address bus usually needs only unidirectional buffers.

# *Nonvolatile Memory*

In many designs, it is necessary for the processor to remember certain parameters when the power is removed. Typical examples are the calibration parameters for some kinds of sensors, the enable/disable code for a burglar alarm, and the last channel selected on a television. In a multichip design, this can be accomplished by either nonvolatile memory, described earlier, or with an EEPROM. The



**Figure 2.21 1% and Microwire Timing.** 

EEPROM can be written by the processor but acts like a PROM in that it remembers its contents when power is removed. Some singlechip microcontrollers have built-in EEPROM just for these applications. However, general-purpose single-chip designs are at a disadvantage when nonvolatile storage—or any external peripheral for that matter-is required. Accessing conventional external memory uses up the 1/0 pins that are the primary reason for using a microcontroller in the first place. Some standard interfaces make not only nonvolatile memory but a number of other peripherals accessible to the designer using a microcontroller. Figure 2.21 shows two of these interfaces: the Inter IC (IIC or I<sup>2</sup>C) and Microwire.

# *1% Bus*

The I<sup>2</sup>C bus is well suited to microcontroller applications. It uses two pins: SCL (SCLock) and SDA (SDAta). SCL is generated by the processor to clock data into and out of the peripheral device. SDA is a bidirectional line that serially transmits all data into and out of the peripheral. A microcontroller needs to supply only these two signals to communicate with any I<sup>2</sup>C peripheral. Several peripherals can share the same two-wire bus.

Since everything is communicated over *two* wires, the interface has every state and transition very well defined. For data transfers, the SDA signal is allowed to change only while SCL is in the low state. Transitions on the SDA line while **SCL**  is high are interpreted as start and stop conditions. If SDA goes low while SCL is high, all peripherals on the bus will interpret this as a START condition. SDA going high while SCL is high is a STOP or END condition.

Figure 2.21 illustrates a typical data transfer. The processor initiates the START condition, then sends ADDR 1. This is the peripheral address, which is 7 bits long and tells the devices on the bus which one is to be selected. Most  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  devices have address pins that are used to set part of the peripheral address. Next comes a single bit to select a read or write operation (1 for read, 0 for write).

After the read/write bit is sent, the processor programs the 1/0 pin connected to the SDA bit to be an input and clocks in an acknowledge bit. The selected peripheral will drive the SDA line low to indicate that it has received the address and read/write information.

After the acknowledge, the processor sends ADDR 2, which is the internal address within the peripheral that the processor **wants** to access. The length of this field varies with the peripheral. After ADDR 2 is another acknowledge, then the data bits are sent. For a write operation, the processor clocks out 8 data bits; for a read operation, the processor treats the SDA pin **as** an input and clocks in 8 bits. After the data comes another acknowledge. Some peripherals permit multiple bytes to be read or written in one transfer. The processor repeats the data/acknowledge sequence until all the bytes are transferred.

A number of manufacturers, including Xicor and Philips, make EEPROM devices for the I<sup>2</sup>C bus. They have application notes that describe I<sup>2</sup>C solutions. Most microcontroller manufacturers have application notes that show how to interface  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  to their processors, including code. In addition to EEPROMs, Philips makes other I<sup>2</sup>C peripherals, including 8-bit port expanders and LED drivers.

EEPROMs, whether serial or conventional, have a limitation on the maximum number of write cycles that can be performed on the device. Early parts typically had a 10,000 write-cycle limit. Newer parts allow around 1 million write cycles. However, even without this limitation, EEPROM write times are too slow for use as general-purpose RAM. If you need EEPROM, it will have to be in addition to, not in place of, general-purpose RAM. In using the serial EEPROMs, the simplest approach is to set aside a portion of RAM, load the EEPROM contents into it at power-up, and store data back to the EEPROM only if something changes.

One additional advantage of the serial EEPROMs is expandability. If you find sometime in the development cycle that more EEPROM is needed than was originally planned for, just plug in a larger device. The pinouts are the same. However, don't get extravagant. Typical serial EEPROM densities are 256 **x** 8, 1K **x** 8, and so on.

One drawback to the  $I^2C$  bus is speed—the clock rate is limited to about **1OOkHz.** That limitation is not a severe speed penalty for a microcontroller that is toggling the lines in software, but faster interfaces are available. Philips, which originally developed the I<sup>2</sup>C bus concept, also released a fastmode I<sup>2</sup>C bus that operates to 400Kbits/sec. In 1999, Philips announced a high-speed mode with operation to 3.4 Mbits/sec. High-speed and fast-mode devices are capable of operating in older systems **as** well, although older peripherals are not useable in a higherspeed system.

High-speed and fast-mode I<sup>2</sup>C also support a 10-bit address field, so up to 1024 addresses can be supported. Of course, to use the high-speed mode, you cannot control the interface using software; you need a processor that has a built-in  $I^2C$ interface. Since the total capacitance on the bus can reach  $400pF$ , high-speed  $I^2C$ requires active pull-ups; fast-mode requires active pull-ups if the total capacitance exceeds 200 pF.

 $1<sup>2</sup>C$  also supports a multimaster mode that we'll discuss in Chapter 8.

## *Micro wire*

Microwire is a three-wire serial interface used by National Semiconductor in its COPS processor family. The three signals are SI (serial input), SO (serial output), and SK (serial clock). SI and SO are input to and output from the processor, respectively. The processor clocks data to the peripheral on SO and receives data on SI. Data in both directions is captured on the rising clock edge. Peripheral devices that transfer data in only one direction (such **as** display drivers that are only written, never read) may implement only one data line, SO or SI.

Unlike  $I<sup>2</sup>C$ , the Microwire protocol has no device addressing built into the serial bit stream. Microwire peripherals require a separate chip select input, one per device. This allows data to be transferred more quickly since address information is not needed. It requires more port bits, however, since one chip select, using one port bit, is needed per peripheral.

Each Microwire peripheral has a unique protocol based on the application. The number of bits and the meaning of each bit varies. National's Microwire EEPROMs, for example, have a 4bit command followed by an address (7 to 12 bits, depending on memory size), followed by data (8 or 16 bits). The commands are erase, read, program, enable programming, and so on.

Microwire can transfer data faster than the original  $I<sup>2</sup>C$ , typically at MHz rates. The SPI bus, used by Motorola on its 68HC11 family, is similar to Microwire, and many peripheral ICs are specified **as** being compatible with either.

Both SPI and Microwire are implemented in their respective processors with hardware, which simplifies programming. However, peripherals using these buses can be interfaced to any general-purpose microcontroller using software-controlled  $I/O$ . Generally, the same types of peripherals available with the  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  interface also are available with SPI or Microwire. A summary comparison between SPI/ Microwire and  $I^2C$  is shown in Table 2.2.

Note that many Microwire devices have both data-in and data-out pins. In some cases, clocking data into a Microwire device will also clock data out of the output pin. On those devices, you must read the output bit after each bit is clocked into the device; otherwise, the output bit will be lost. The Maxim MAX3100 UART is a typical example.

#### **Table 2.2**

Summary Comparison Between SPI/Microwire and I'C.



Also note that many SPI/Microwire devices perform operations, such as latching previously written data, on the rising edge of -CS. Consequently, the -CS signal must remain stable throughout the access cycle.

# *Other Serial Interfaces*

Some manufacturers sell peripherals with a proprietary serial interface. Analog Devices, for example, has several ADC and DAC parts with simple serial data/clock schemes. These devices require three or more signals and can be interfaced to any general-purpose microcontroller.

# *DMA*

DMA (direct memory access) is a means of having **two** or more processors share the same bus. When a secondary processor (or other DMA device) wants control of the bus, it notifies the first processor, which gives up the bus. The second processor then drives the address, data, and control lines and accesses the memory and peripherals just like the first processor. Typical examples of DMA uses are to permit **two** processors to communicate through a common memory, to refresh dynamic RAM, or to transfer data from an 1/0 device (such **as** a serial port) directly to processor RAM. Figure 2.22 illustrates a typical DMA transfer.



**Figure 2.22 DMA Operation.** 

Processors that support DMA provide one or more inputs that the bus requester can assert to gain control of the bus and one or more outputs that the processor asserts to indicate it has relinquished the bus. When designing with DMA, address buffers must be disabled during DMA so the bus requester can drive them without bus contention. This means the design must use buffers with tristate outputs. On the 80188, for example, the HLDA (HOLD Acknowledge) signal indicates that the processor is acknowledging a DMA request. It can be connected to the address latch output enable pins, which will tristate the outputs when the processor is in a hold state. If data bus buffers are used, a similar mechanism is needed to disable them.

Figure **2.23** shows an 80188 CPU using HLDA to disable external address bus buffers so a DMA can drive them. Note that the lower 8 bits of the address bus are driven from an address latch that captures the lower 8 address bits from the multiplexed address/data bus of the CPU. The latch has tristate outputs, which are



Figure **2.23**  Driving Address Bus During DMA.

disabled (driven to the high-impedance state) by driving **-0E** high. The upper 8 address bits are driven with an unlatched tristate data buffer. In both cases, the HLDA signal asserted by the CPU disables the buffer outputs.

To avoid bus contention, the bus buffer used by the DMA device must not drive the address bus until after the HLDA signal has disabled the CPU buffers, and it must stop driving the bus before the CPU drives HLDA back low. The diagram also shows pullup resistors on the -RD and -WR signals after the buffers. These prevent the signals from going to an invalid state and possibly affecting memory during the brief interval when neither the CPU nor the DMA controller is driving the signals. Most systems that use DMA will need some type of pullup or termination on control lines such as  $-RD$ ,  $-WR$ ,  $-DS$ , and so on.

This example is specific to the 80188 and shows only 16 address bits for simplicity. *An* application using a wider address bus would, of course, require additional buffers for the extra bits. The external buffers shown in the example may not be required if you don't need the external address latches and if both the CPU and DMA device have sufficient drive capability for everything on the bus.

Other CPUs that support external DMA have similar arrangements to disable external buffers. The Intel 80C960 family uses a HOLD/HLDA scheme that is nearly identical to the 80188, although the clocks are considerably faster. In all cases, it is up to the designer to make sure that the DMA device does not drive the address and data buses until the CPU has tristated its drivers.

### *DMA Controllers*

In a DMA scheme, the second processor may not be a processor but instead a dedicated DMA controller. This peripheral device takes control of the bus but does no actual processing of instructions. Instead, the DMA controller performs memory and 1/0 read and write cycles to move data between another peripheral device and the microprocessor's memory. A DMA controller contains counters that automatically increment to the next address after each transfer so blocks of memory can be moved. **An** example DMA controller would be the one in your PC that moves data from the hard disk controller into memory. DMA controllers permit the microprocessor to be performing some other operation while a data transfer happens in the background. The microprocessor just sets up the DMA and processes the entire block of data when the transfer is complete. A DMA controller is typically configured to generate an interrupt when the DMA transfer is complete. Figure 2.24 shows how a DMA controller could be used to transfer data to and from a peripheral device such **as** a UART.

In Figure 2.24, the UART generates a DMA request when a byte of data is received. The DMA controller requests the bus and, when the bus is granted, it performs a read from the UART address, followed by a write to memory. The counter





that addresses memory is incremented at the end of the cycle, so the next byte received will be placed into the next memory location. Transferring data from memory to the UART transmitter works the same way except that the memory read cycle occurs first.

In this example, the **DMA** controller performs **a** read and a write cycle during one **DMA HOLD/HLDA** cycle. You can also design the system so that the **DMA**  controller performs one **HOLD/HLDA** cycle for each read and write.

Another technique is called a "flyby" transfer; it is used by the **DMA** controller in desktop PCs. This method works only if the CPU and  $I/O$  bus support a separate 1/0 space with separate -RD and -WR signals for 1/0 transfers. On the original PC, for example, the memory read and write signals were -MEMR and -MEW. The 1/0 read and write cycles were -1ORD and **-1OWR.** To perform a flyby transfer, the **DMA** controller will generate the -1ORD signal to the peripheral and then perform a memory write cycle (-MEMW low) while the -IORD signal is still active. This permits the entire **DMA** transfer to be performed in one cycle, but it requires that the 1/0 devices recognize a **DMA** cycle and respond appropriately. Since the address presented to the bus during a flyby cycle is the memory address, the I/O peripheral must ignore the address and rely only on the **DMA** acknowledge and the control signals to drive data onto the bus.

Whether the **DMA** device is a **DMA** controller or another CPU, the **DMA** device must generate the address, data, and control signals just like the CPU does in order to transfer data to and from memory. This may have an impact on system design. For example, you might design a peripheral circuit that uses *ALE* in some way. In that case, the **DMA** device must also generate an ALE signal or the circuit will not work as intended. If you implement **DMA** with a controller that does not generate ALE (or generates it with significantly different timing), you must synthesize the ALE signal using timing logic. Similarly, read and write strobes must have timing sufficiently similar to the timing produced by the CPU that the memory and peripherals will respond correctly.

### *DMA Timing Issues*

One common mistake in designing with **DMA** is illustrated by the following scenario:

- Processor 2 requests bus from Processor 1.
- Processor 1 gives up bus.
- Processor 2 does whatever **DMA** operation it wants to do.
- Processor 2 notifies Processor 1 that **DMA** is done.
- Processor 2 requests bus from Processor 1 again. Sees bus acknowledge still asserted, takes bus.
- Processor 1, still coming out of first **DMA** acknowledge state, takes the bus.
- Bus contention or garbage data transfer results.

**THE PROBLEM:** 



**Figure 2.25 DMA Timing Problem.** 

Figure 2.25 illustrates this scenario, which can be avoided by not allowing the bus requester to request the bus a second time until the other processor has reacquired the bus after the first request.

#### *Internal DMA Controllers*

Some processors, such as the **80186** and **386EX,** have internal **DMA** controllers. These include address counters and logic to handle the **DMA** request inside the processor. On the **186** and **386EX, two** pins are provided for peripherals to request a DMA transfer. The DMA controller requests the bus, does the transfer, and gives the bus back to the processor. The DMA controllers are programmable and can transfer data from memory to memory, from memory to  $I/O$ , from  $I/O$  to memory, and from 1/0 to I/O. Most importantly, all the arbitration is handled inside the microprocessor, which saves on hardware and ensures that all the timing is correct. In addition, an internal DMA controller can usually transfer data to and from internal RAM (internal to the CPU IC), which an external DMA controller cannot do.

DMA requests can come from a source that needs to send data (such **as** a UART that has received a byte and needs to transfer it to memory) or from a destination device that needs to receive data (the same UART may have just transmitted a byte and needs a new one). In some systems, it does not matter whether the DMA requester is a source or destination device. In other cases it does.

The 80186/80188 DMA controllers support either source or destination requests, which are referred to on the datasheet **as** source or destination synchronization. In practice, either device can be the requester since source/destination synchronization is programmed into the DMA controller by the firmware. However, the choice of source versus destination synchronization affects the timing. Source synchronization permits faster transfers but requires that the DMA request be removed before the end of the DMA write cycle. Destination synchronization is slower but has more relaxed timing for removal of the DMA request.

The Motorola MCF5407 ColdFire processor provides an internal four-channel DMA controller. Two channels support DMA requests from external devices and **two** permit the internal UARTs to transfer data to memory.

As mentioned earlier, DMA can be used for dynamic memory refresh. This is accomplished by using a timer to request a periodic DMA cycle at the memory refresh rate. The DMA controller is programmed to read the memory and write the contents to a non-existent memory or  $I/O$  location. The result is a periodic read from memory that refreshes memory contents.

## *Watchdog Timers*

A microprocessor executes instructions from memory. If a nearby electrical discharge occurs, the processor data bus may be momentarily upset, and the processor can get a bad byte from the PROM. Or a software bug can result in a stack overflow, and the processor gets garbage when it tries to return from a subroutine. In either case, the processor will usually go "into the weeds," which is a shorthand way of saying that it will begin executing code in some unpredictable way, usually resulting in a system crash.

If this happens to the keyboard controller in your PC, you can just turn the power off and back on, and everything will be fine. If it happens to the processor that

controls the rudder on a passenger jet, the results can be disastrous. To prevent this scenario, many embedded systems use a watchdog *timer* (WDT). The WDT is a circuit that must be triggered by the microprocessor on a regular basis. If that does not happen, the WDT resets the microprocessor. In most cases, if motors or other potentially dangerous equipment are connected, these are turned off at the same time.

The simplest WDT is a retriggerable monostable multivibrator, or one-shot. This flip-flop is latched by a trigger and stays in the latched state until some time has elapsed (determined by external timing components); the output then goes inactive. *As* long **as** the trigger keeps occurring before the circuit times out, the output stays active.

While many designers still design their own WDT circuits, several manufacturers make ICs that contain a WDT circuit. These parts also frequently contain other logic, such as power-on resets. Maxim, for example, makes a number of these parts. Many WDT circuits include circuitry that will generate a reset on power-up or if the logic voltage goes too low.

Watchdog timers are straightforward to use. The time constant is usually around 0.5 to **2** seconds. The WDT can be triggered by a port pin or a write to a particular address. The time constant can be a resistorcapacitor combination (on ordinary one-shots) or a digital delay from a constant clock.

One danger in using a WDT involves making sure the processor is actually running correctly. For example, a software bug may leave the processor executing a very tight loop, doing nothing but still servicing interrupts. If the WDT trigger is put in **an** interrupt routine, it does not generate a reset even though the processor essentially is locked up. If the WDT trigger is put in the polling loop, a software bug could disable interrupts, but the processor continues to go through the polling loop and still there is no WDT timeout.

In systems where safety or reliability concerns make it essential that the WDT reset the system any time a fault occurs, a more sophisticated WDT is needed. One method to make sure that both interrupts and the polling loop are running is to have one process set a flag location in memory each time that it executes (say, each time the interrupt occurs). The other routine (in our case, the polling loop) does not trigger the WDT unless the flag is set. Each time it *does* trigger the WDT, it resets the flag.

In systems where even this is not enough, a more sophisticated WDT can be designed in which each key process must write a particular value to the hardware before the WDT will trigger.

Some microcontrollers, such as the PIC17Cxx series, have a built-in WDT. On some other processors, you can wire a timer to generate a reset when it times out. The processor, instead of toggling a port or an 1/0 strobe, resets the timer count periodically.



**Figure 2.26 Watchdog Timing.** 

Figure 2.26 shows the basic operation of a WDT. Note that the write strobes from the microprocessor need not be evenly spaced **as** long **as** they are always shorter than the timeout period. The WDT in Figure **2.26** is shown as a simple block; it could be implemented as a one-shot IC, a digital divider, or **as** part of **an** off-theshelf IC that includes other supervisory functions, such **as** a power-up reset. Whatever method is used, the WDT needs to remove the reset output once the processor has been reset, or else the processor will be held in reset forever.

# *In-Circuit Programming*

As mentioned in Chapter 1, sometimes the ability to reprogram the memory incircuit is a useful feature. If you are using flash memory external to the microprocessor, incircuit programming is fairly straightforward. You can treat the flash like a slow RAM. Usually, a sequence of data writes is required to enable programming. You usually want to use a memory device with block erase so you can leave the programming code in one portion of the memory while reprogramming the rest of the device.

If you are using a microcontroller with internal flash memory, some extra considerations are required. The device pins needed for programming typically are shared with other functions. *As* an example, look at the Microchip PIC 16F84. The 16F84 has 1K of internal flash memory. To program the device, data are loaded

and read serially using **two** of the port pins, RB6 and RB7. The MCLR pin is used to provide the +12V programming voltage.

The problem with this approach is that the 16F84 has only 18 pins; in many designs you would need RB6 and RB7 for some other function. Thus, you must make these pins do double duty, functioning **as** normal 1/0 pins and also as incircuit programming pins. This is complicated by the fact that RB7 is bidirectional in programming mode.

Figure 2.27 shows one way to implement in-circuit programming with the 16F84. The two programming pins are driven with open-collector buffers. When not prcgramming, the inputs to the opencollector buffers are high, making the outputs float. The pins then can be driven by (or drive) external circuitry. For programming, the external logic must be turned off and the programming buffers drive the pins. The external device doing the programming must have separate data-in and data-out pins to make this work.

In Figure 2.27, RB6 is an input in normal operation; **RB7** is an output. Of course, you must be sure that the external logic does not get confused when programming data is applied to the device pins.

An alternative way to do this is to connect RB6 and RB7 through a PLD and switch the programming function on and off with a control input. The +12V for programming comes from an external supply that can be switched off or switched between +12V and **+5V.** 

This example is specific to the PIC processors, but you find similar situations with other processors that have flash memory and in-circuit programming capability. For example, the NEC µPD78F9026A processor allows you to use either the



**Figure 2.27 In-Circuit Programming of a PIC16F84.** 

**84** *Embedded Mieropocessm Systems* 

asynchronous serial input or a three-wire synchronous serial interface for in-circuit programming. Either way, you must do something similar to the PIC arrangement if these functions are used on the board in normal operation.

## *Internal Peripherals*

A number of processors and microcontrollers have built-in peripherals. These usually are the peripherals commonly used in many embedded designs. Nearly every processor intended specifically for embedded systems includes at least one timer. Other common peripherals include serial ports, DMA controllers, watchdog timers, and interrupt control functions. Be sure you take into account specific restrictions of the peripheral device in the microprocessor you are using.

As an example, the Atmel AT90S8515 processor includes an 8-bit and a 16-bit timer. Both timers include a prescaler that can divide the input signal by 8,64,256, or 1024. Both timers can be clocked from the internal processor clock or an external pin. When using the external clock input, the signal is internally synchronized with the CPU clock. Thus, the maximum frequency you can input to these timers is about half the CPU clock. If you are using an 8MHz 9088515, the maximum timer frequency is a little less than 4MHz. If you're using an 8MHz 90S8515 but running it at GMHz, the maximum timer input frequency is about 3 MHz.

Contrast that with the Microchip PIC16C62. The PIC16C62 also has timers that can operate from **an** external clock. The internal clock on the PIC devices is one quarter the external input, so it would appear that the PIC clock rate (20 MHz in/ 4MHz CPU) limits you to a slower input clock rate than the fastest Atmel AT9OS clock rate (8 MHz) . If the external clock input is synchronized to the CPU clock, that is true. However, the PIC devices have a mode in which the timer clock is not synchronized to the internal CPU clock. In this mode, the clock input frequency can be as high as 50 MHz. However, in this mode, the timer cannot be used for any operations that require synchronization, such as PWM or capture/compare.

Most embedded processors include pins that can be used **as** external edgesensitive interrupts. Like the timers, these usually are synchronized to the internal processor clock, which limits the minimum pulse-width that will be reliably recognized **as** an interrupt request.

### *Design Shortcuts*

It is sometimes possible to simplify a design by taking some shortcuts with the hardware. We'll discuss a few such shortcuts in this section.

# *Partial Address Decoding*

Say a microprocessor with a 20-bit address bus (1Mbit space) needs an  $8K \times 8$ EPROM at location FEOOO. Decoding the entire range of addresses would require that **6** bits (A14 through A19) be decoded. If only A16 through A19 are decoded using a four-input NAND gate, the EPROM will be addressed anytime the processor accesses anything in the range **FOOOO** through FFFFF. This works **as** long **as**  nothing else needs to go in that range. The EPROM may be accessed in the address space starting at F0000, F2000, **F4000,** and *so* on.

# *Linear Address Decoding*

Assume that a microprocessor with a 16-bit address bus (64K space) needs an 8K EPROM and a **2K** RAM. The EPROM goes at location *0.* To decode this, connect A15 from the processor to the EPROM -CE input. Connect A14 through an inverter to the RAM -CE input. Now the EPROM is accessed anytime that A15 is *0,* which is anywhere in the lower 32K. The RAM is selected any time that A14 is 1, which occurs from 4000 to **7FFF** and from COOO to FFFF. The first range also enables the EPROM, causing a bus conflict. However, if the software addresses the EPROM from *0000* to lFFF and the RAM from *COOO* to **C7FF,** the EPROM will be deselected and no bus conflict occurs. This principle can be expanded to **as** many decodes as there are available address lines.

# *Buffer Always Enabled*

When using data bus buffers, it is not always necessary to enable and disable the buffer's tristate outputs. Instead, the buffer can be enabled all the time, usually by grounding the enable pin, and the direction can be controlled. In this scheme, the processor side of the buffer normally is the input and the peripheral side normally is the output. The direction is reversed only when the processor reads from the peripheral. When using this technique, the buffer must switch directions to drive the processor data bus only when the processor is not driving it and must switch back only after the peripheral has stopped driving it. Otherwise, you will get bus contention.

# *EMC Considerations*

Most embedded systems end up in products that require certification to EMC standards. In the United States, the Federal Communications Commission **(FCC)** has limits on how much RF energy a product can emit. The European Community also has standards for EMC compatibility, and they include susceptibility to external RF fields and to electrostatic discharge (ESD) . Entire books have been written on the subject of designing for EMC, so here we concentrate only on those aspects of EMC design that bear directly on embedded systems.

The first consideration for EMC design is limiting RF emissions. Since microprocessors use crystals and those crystals operate at RF frequencies, an embedded system radiates at the processor frequency. Embedded systems are digital, so there usually are emissions at the odd harmonics of the processor crystal frequency. In addition, regular signals such **as** ALE or address lines can radiate at some frequency other than the processor clock frequency. RF energy can be radiated from PC board traces and wires that interconnect the system. Multiprocessor systems that have more than one processor operating at the same frequency are a particular problem because usually at some point in the test the power from the oscillators will sum, causing considerable energy to be radiated.

# *Controlling EMC Emissions*

The following are a few guidelines for controlling EMC emissions from your system.

- Put a small (50- to 75-ohm) resistor in series with oscillator outputs and signal lines with more-or-less regular signals, such **as** ALE. This both matches the output to the PC board, reducing ringing, and dampens the rise time of the waveform, reducing the effect of the odd-order harmonics.
- Put board-mounted EMC filters on each I/O line. Of course, if you have a very fast interface (such **as** video or **100MHz** Ethernet) you cannot do this, **as** it will affect **the** signals you want to have. Shield the processor board and all interconnected electronics. Sandwich clock lines between the power and ground planes.
- In multiprocessor systems, if you have multiple processors on a single board, all operating at the same frequency, do not give each processor a separate oscillator. Have a single oscillator and distribute it to the various processors. If your multiple processors are on different boards (or you cannot distribute a single clock for some reason), see if you can stagger the oscillator frequencies slightly. For instance, instead of running all the processors at 20MHz, run one at 19.966 MHz, one at 20MHz, and one at 20.0333 MHz. This will push the third harmonics apart by 1OOkHz.
- Pick a processor with lower EMC emissions. To run a Microchip PIC processor at 5MHz, you must put in a 20MHz clock since the PIC divides the clock internally by four. The third harmonic of a square wave usually contains considerable energy, and the third harmonic of 20MHz is 60MHz, right in the worst part of the radiated emissions test spectrum. On the other hand, if you use a processor in the Atmel AT90S family, you can run at  $5MHz$  with a  $5MHz$  input---there is no internal clock division. This clock rate will have lower radiated emissions in the spectrum that is tested for radiated emissions. Of course, you do not want to

let EMC considerations completely drive the design, but **if** it matters little otherwise, you might think about EMC in choosing a processor.

# *ESD Protection*

Protecting a system against ESD involves many of the same techniques used for preventing RF emissions problems. ESD interference often takes the form of RF energy, and the same things that keep RF in a box tend to keep it out.

**Shielding.** Shield the system and use shielded cables where appropriate.

**Filters.** EM1 filters on signal lines will help keep ESD off the processor board.

**Grounding.** Make sure ESD energy has a low-impedance path from the discharge point (usually on the chassis or other operator-accessible areas) to ground. If the lowest-impedance path for ESD is through the ground plane on your board, that is where it will **go.** Avoid having ground loops through your board wherever possible. Do not ground the CPU board to the chassis through the mounting standoffs. Instead, have a single wire return to the DC power supply and have a single point connection to chassis there.

**Interfaces.** Unfortunately, the embedded system often must talk to other devices. The interfaces often require a ground reference at both ends to operate correctly **as,** for example, RS232. Consequently, you are forced to design in ground loops just because of the interface requirements. You may need ferrite beads or EMC filters where the interface signals enter and leave the board. This will attenuate the high-frequency energy of an ESD pulse.

**Watchdog timer.** Add a watchdog timer to your circuit so that, if ESD corrupts program execution, the system can recover.

**Isolation.** Use optical isolation where it makes sense, especially if you are connecting to a system with more severe ESD requirements than your own.

# *Other EM1 Considerations*

*Ground Loops* It is increasingly common for embedded systems to be controlled from an external computer. If the external computer is connected to a different AC power source than the embedded system (such as a 120V computer connected to a 280V, three-phase machine), you may get ground loops between the **two**  systems. Be sure the grounds are common. If you cannot make the grounds the same (maybe because the customer controls where the computer plugs in), optically isolate the interface.

**Differential Interfaces** Differential interfaces, like RS-485 or LVDS, can reduce susceptibility to ground noise and other types of electrical noise. However, while differential interfaces are good at noise rejection, they must be built from real parts, and those parts usually have a maximum common-mode offset voltage that differential receivers can tolerate. An RS-485 interface with correct *differential* voltages but with a 20V ground offset between the two systems is not going to work. And remember that **two** grounds that are the same most **of** the time are not necessarily the same all of the time. I have seen interface drivers and receivers actually destroyed when an air conditioner switched on, yanking the ground on **an** embedded system many volts away from the ground of the system it was communicating with.

*Radiated Susceptibility* Interference from external RF sources such as cellular telephones and walkie-talkies can affect an embedded system. The interference may directly affect the processor circuitry or cause problems through secondary effects.

To minimize the possibility of susceptibility, use small value pullups on unterminated lines. A **lOOK** pullup on a CMOS input makes the input impedance about **lOOK** and potentially capable of picking up strong RF signals. Use **10K** or add an R/C terminator to the signal.

A sensor such **as** a strain gauge may pick up the RF and produce erroneous outputs. Protect against this by performing sanity checks in the software. **An** input that is picking up an external **RF** signal may go berserk and produce random interrupts. Protect against these by checking for a continuous string of faster-thannormal interrupts.

Since embedded systems usually control something in the real world, and those things often involve motors, be sure you do not build a selfdestroying system. A rotating, insulated pulley driving an insulated belt can become a fairly good staticelectricity generator. I saw a system once with an insulated plastic drum running against a Mylar strip that could create a half-inch arc-not good for the microprocessor that was controlling everything.

In a system with DC motors, it is a good idea to have a separate path for the motor voltage to return to the power supply. This prevents the startup and braking surge currents in the motor from yanking the ground on the electronics boards around.

Finally, in designing for EMC, keep in mind what a certification engineer I **know**  used to say, "First it has to work." In other words, it is not a good idea to make changes or design compromises that let the system pass the EMC tests but degrade performance in the real application. I have seen so much inductance added to a clock line in an attempt to reduce emissions that the circuitry receiving the signal could not operate reliably. I have seen video cables in a high-speed imaging system with huge ferrite beads added. This fixed the emissions problem but killed the video signal. First it has to work.

## *Microprocessor Clocks*

I mentioned crystals and ceramic resonators earlier in the chapter. The selection of a crystal reference for a microprocessor often seems to be a source of mystery, so this section will try to clear it up a little.

Most microcontrollers and many microprocessors have an internal oscillator like that shown in Figure 2.28. This usually is a high-gain, inverting amplifier stage. The crystal is connected with external capacitors C1 and C2 to make an oscillator. Figure 2.28 also shows the oscillator circuit with the equivalent circuit of the crystal. At resonance, the crystal looks like a series LC circuit with some series resistance and some parallel capacitance. A crystal oscillator of this type is called a *Pierce oscillutur.* A Pierce oscillator will always resonate at the fundamental frequency of the crystal unless external components are added to force operation at a different frequency.

### *Load Capacitance*

Capacitors C1 and C2 are needed to allow the oscillator to start. C1 and C2 are typically between 20pf and 100pf. Parallel resonant crystals are specified with a particular load capacitance. Ideally, the load capacitance of the circuit will match the specified load capacitance of the crystal. The circuit load capacitance is given by the equation: ded to allow the oscillator to<br>
E. Parallel resonant crystals<br>
ly, the load capacitance of<br>
the crystal. The circuit loa<br>
Cload =  $\frac{CI \times C2}{CI + C2}$  + Cs<br>
in the circuit, usually arour

$$
Cload = \frac{C1 \times C2}{C1 + C2} + Cs
$$

Cs is the stray capacitance in the circuit, usually around 5pF. Thus, if you have a crystal that is specified with a load capacitance of 30pF, C1 and C2 each would be about 50pF. However, the optimum values for C1 and C2 are a tradeoff between frequency stability and startup time. If C1 and C2 are too large, the oscillator will not start. If they are too small, the oscillator theoretically will not start, although stray circuit capacitance often is enough to make it work anyway.

### *Series Versus Parallel*

Microprocessor crystals come in **two** basic varieties, series or parallel. A series crystal is intended for use in a circuit with no reactive components (no C1 or C2), whereas a parallel crystal is intended for use in a circuit with these components. For the purposes of embedded applications, the difference is that the resonant frequency of a parallel crystal is achieved when the crystal is installed in an inverting circuit, like that shown in Figure 2.28. A series crystal is used in a circuit with a noninverting amplifier. A series crystal can be used in a parallel circuit and vice-versa, but the frequency will be off by about 0.02 percent.



**Figure 2.28 Crystal Oscillator.** 

The stability of a Pierce crystal oscillator usually is in the range of 0.1 percent. This is accurate enough for many applications, but if you are designing something that must keep track of the time of day, this will not be close enough. A 0.1 percent error is a drift of almost 1.5 minutes per day. For applications that are extremely sensitive to frequency, you will need a very accurate oscillator design, an external oscillator, or a means to adjust the crystal frequency.

# *Fundamental Mode*

I got a call from the factory one day. The company had a batch of processor boards that worked, but everything worked funny. The response was slow and the machine would not function correctly, although all the operator screens looked okay. It turned out that the 24MHz crystals installed on the boards were overtone crystals. In our circuit, they operated at their fundamental frequency of 8MHz. Make sure you specify the right crystals and the right circuit around the crystals. This most likely is a problem with AT-cut crystals in the 20MHz to 30MHz range, where you can get both types. Below 20MHz, most crystals are fundamental; above 30MHz, most crystals are overtone. This is because the crystal blank gets very thin when you try to make a high-frequency, fundamental-mode crystal.

# *Ceramic Resonators*

A ceramic resonator is less expensive than a crystal but less accurate as well. Ceramic resonators typically have a frequency accuracy about one tenth that of crystals.

# *External Oscillators*

The two considerations for using an external oscillator are the drive level and the processor connection. Most modern microprocessors have CMOS technology, *so*  an oscillator with CMOS drive levels must be used. Since most microcontrollers provide two pins for a crystal, you drive only one when using an external oscillator. Make sure you drive the right one and terminate the other as specified by the manufacturer. Some processors need the unused pin to be floating, some want it tied low, and *so* on.

# *Hardware Checklist*

The following is a summary of the information scattered through the chapter.

- Verify EPROM, RAM, and peripheral access times. Add wait states if necessary.
- Verify that setup and hold times are met, both to the peripheral device and to the microprocessor.
- Make sure the crystal type matches the system specifications. If you are using an external oscillator, make sure it matches duty cycle and drive-level requirements of the microprocessor.
- Verify that bus loading is not exceeded.
- Verify that loading of 1/0 port pins is not exceeded (either sinking or sourcing current).
- Venfy that inputs to timers meet frequency and duty-cycle limitations of the part. This usually depends on the microprocessor or timer IC clock speed.
- If bus buffers are needed, make sure that each buffer is enabled only when the peripherals it is accessing are selected. Watch for bus conflicts from turning the buffer on too early or turning it off too late. Account for buffer delays in timing calculations.
- Avoid bus contention between peripherals.
- Make sure wait-state timing gets wait requests asserted in time.
- Make sure that the WDT functionality matches system requirements.
- Make sure the internal peripherals are compatible with your requirements.
- Make sure the DMA controller logic can handle back-to-back accesses.
- Make sure you take EMC requirements into consideration.

Chapter 3 will cover additional hardware design topics **as** well **as** a hardware specification outline.

# *Hardware Design 2* **3**

# *Dynamic Bus Sizing*

The previous chapter mentioned %bit versus 16-bit and 32-bit operations and the need for hardware to support access to 16-bit or 32-bit data on a byte basis. Some microprocessors provide for dynamic hus *sizing* in which the peripheral or memory tells the CPU the bus width.

A typical device that implements dynamic bus sizing is the Motorola MC68HC16, which is a microcontroller with external bus capability. As Figure 3.1 shows, the MC68HC16 uses a bus interface similar to the 68000 but with two acknowledge signals instead of one. An 8-bit peripheral or memory asserts -DSACK0 to terminate the cycle, and a 16-bit device asserts -DSACKl.

To simplify the CPU hardware, the MC68HC16 requires that %bit devices connect to data-bus lines 8 through 15, whereas 16-bit devices use all 16 data lines.

## *Fast Cycle Termination*

Another feature implemented on the MC68HC16 is fast cycle termination. One problem with any bus structure that requires **an** acknowledge for each cycle is that for maximum speed, each peripheral must assert the acknowledge in a timely fashion. The normally-not-ready structure imposes a speed penalty in integral clocks for any peripheral that is slow to generate the acknowledge. Plus, as mentioned in the last chapter, every peripheral must have logic to generate **an** acknowledge signal back to the CPU.

In some designs, you want bus speeds more like the normally-ready bus of the Intel processors. The MC68HC16 provides a means for this in its internal chip select unit. The chip select unit, in addition to providing chip select signals to external devices, can also generate an acknowledge. This internal acknowledge



**Figure 3.1 Dynamic Bus Sizing.** 

can be programmed to add from zero to 13 wait states to the cycle. Programming zero wait states is the equivalent of a normally-ready bus, if the peripheral can keep up.

Note that on the MC68HC16 and similar processors, the external acknowledge signals are still monitored when the internal acknowledge is enabled. If you program 13 wait states and some external device asserts one of the -DSACK signals after 6 wait states, the CPU will terminate the cycle early. When designing with a normally-not-ready bus and using internal acknowledge generators, be sure no other peripherals inadvertently drive the acknowledge signals.

## *Bus Sizing at Reset*

The MC68HC16 also allows the external bus to be set to either 8 or 16 bits when the processor is reset. By tying two pins (-BERR and DATAl) high, the CPU will configure the external data bus as 8 bits wide after a reset. This frees more pins on the device for use **as** 1/0 signals.

Another Motorola microcontroller with a similar capability is the MC68EZ328. This device, one of the Motorola Dragonball family of parts, has a single pin that is tied low (8 bit) or high (16 bit) to indicate the external bus width. The CPU reads the state of the pin at the trailing edge of the RESET input. On this particular device, the software can reprogram the functionality of the internal chip select logic so that external accesses corresponding to specific chip select signals can be either 8 or 16 bits wide. Like the MC68HC16, 8-bit transfers must use the upper eight data bits **(D8** through **D15).** 

This programmable capability of the chip selects allows you to mix low-cost 8-bit peripherals with higher-performance 16-bit devices in the same design.

# *Clock-Synchronized Buses*

Figure **3.2A** shows a typical microprocessor bus read cycle. This is an Intel type bus, such **as** in the 80186. The CPU generates a stable address, generates ALE to allow the external hardware to latch the address, and generates **an** -RD strobe to direct the external peripheral to place data on the data bus.

All microprocessors use internal logic that is synchronized to the internal clock. In Figure **3.2A, -RD,** ALE, and the address/status signals are all synchronized to the processor clock. The signals may have varying internal delays that make them appear unsynchronized if you look at them with an oscilloscope, but they are in fact synchronized to the clock. Even though the peripheral enables data onto the bus with the -RD strobe, the processor captures data on the clock edge. If this were a write cycle instead of a read cycle, the output data from the CPU would also be synchronized to the clock.



**Figure 3.2 Clock-Synchronized Bus.** 

Knowing this, you can eliminate the -RD and -WR signals (-DS on a Motorola-style bus) and just synchronize everything to the processor clock. As long as the peripheral knows what clock edge to use and meets the setup and hold-time requirements, everything will work the same as if the strobe signals were there.

Figure 3.2B shows such a clock-synchronized bus. This example is based on the timing for the Intel i960 microprocessor. The address and status signals are driven onto the bus and the -ADS signal indicates a valid address. The address and status signals include all the status signals, including the address lines, DMA indication, -LOCK signal, and read/write signal. Essentially, everything the peripheral device needs to determine what kind of bus cycle is being started is available while -ADS is low.

The peripheral decodes the address and status signals, capturing them on the rising clock edge that occurs while -ADS is low. Before the next rising clock edge (-ADS has gone high), the peripheral places data on the data bus and the CPU captures it on the rising clock edge. This scheme allows all the decoding logic to be synchronous. The catch is that the decoding logic or the peripheral must keep track of when the CPU expects data. The last cycle shown in Figure 3.2B illustrates a bus cycle that is extended by a wait state. The peripheral (or the decoding logic) must keep track of which clock it is on and drive the data lines on the right clock edge.

Processors that support such a clock-based bus often provide a burst mode of operation that is ideal for interfacing to burst-mode memories such as DRAM. The i960 supports such a burst mode, as shown in Figure 3.2C. The first cycle looks like the ones shown in Figure 3.2B, but subsequent cycles can transfer one word from memory per clock cycle (assuming appropriate memory speed). Although not shown in Figure 3.2C, the i960 has **two** additional address signals that are cycled through when the burst mode is used, allowing up to four words to be accessed in this mode.

The i960 also supports a pipelined mode. In this mode, each data transfer takes **two** clock cycles, like the bus cycles in Figure 3.2B. However, the cycles overlap so that while the CPU is reading data for one address, it is placing the address and status information for the next bus cycle on the bus. This allows one word to be transferred per clock cycle, just **as** in burst mode. Obviously, the decoding logic must detect this condition, capturing the address/status information and enabling the right peripheral or memory at the right time.

Intel is not the only manufacturer whose processors use a clock-synchronized bus. The Motorola Power PC uses a bus that has different signal names but timing that is very similar to the i960. Many processors have a clock-synchronized bus structure of some type.

Interfacing memory and peripherals to a clock-synchronized microprocessor is similar to interfacing to an ordinary microprocessor. The same considerations apply for setup, access, and hold timings. The differences are that, first, the times typically are much shorter due to the higher clock rates. Second, the interface is *syn*chronous, so normally you will use some type of PLD, FPGA, or ASIC. The interface logic must keep track of the type of bus cycle (burst, pipelined, and so on) and insert wait states for peripherals that need them.

When interfacing to a synchronized bus, you are likely to find that you need a wide variation in bus cycle times. **You** may use a fast DRAM or SDRAM that matches the CPU speed, needing few or no wait states, and a slower peripheral that needs three or four wait states. With a synchronized bus, the propagation delays in the decoding logic become significant, although that is somewhat alleviated by the *syn*chronous nature of the design. Finally, to achieve maximum performance, the interface logic must recognize and support special features of the bus, such as the burst mode.

You will typically design a single logic block to control timing to the bus. This logic block will take the address inputs (or **outputs** from an address decoder) and generate appropriate wait states for all devices. Of course, you may have a different number of wait states for different memory ranges. When interfacing to a **16** or 32-bit processor, the appropriate byte read/write signals must be asserted so that a write to one byte of a memory doesn't change the other bytes in the same word.

The most likely area for timing problems will be at the beginning and end of cycles. Check the timing carefully to ensure that there aren't any race conditions caused by logic delays that will produce a brief pulse on one or more write signals. Also check to ensure that bus release time at the end of a cycle, after all the logic delays, is adequate to prevent bus contention at the beginning of the next cycle.

Processors such **as** the i960 series provide a signal such as -AS to indicate valid address and status information. **You** can use ordinary transparent latches such **as**  the 74xx373 to hold this information stable, or you can embed the latches in a PLD with the timing logic. In the case of the i960 CPU and a  $74xx373$  latch, the  $-AS$ signal would need to be inverted before being applied to the latch.

# *Built-in Dynamic Ram Interface*

Some sophisticated microcontrollers have built-in support for dynamic RAM. This allows you to take advantage of the higher densities of DRAM without the cost and board real estate required for an external DRAM controller. The Motorola MC68EZ328 is one microcontroller that provides onchip support for DRAM. Features of this device include:

- Support for CASbefore-RAS refresh cycles and self-refresh mode
- Supports 8- and 16-bit DRAM

- Supports fast page and **ED0** modes
- Programmable refresh rate
- Supports **two** banks of DRAM
- Programmable row and column address size
- Supports  $256K \times 8$  through  $4M \times 16$  DRAM

The device pins used to control the DRAM are, like most other external functions on the chip, shared with the 1/0 ports. If you use the internal DRAM controller, you must give up Port A on the device. However, the controller handles all functions of interfacing to the DRAM, including address multiplexing and refresh.

All DRAM controller features are programmed by writing to internal registers. The MC68EZ328 supports about any DRAM you want to use, and even includes a control bit that extends the -RAS precharge time for slower DRAM devices.

Although an on-chip DRAM controller greatly simplifies microprocessor circuit design, you still need to keep a few things in mind:

- Choose a DRAM configuration (size x word width) that is supported by the CPU.
- Make sure you can give up the pins needed for the DRAM interface.
- Be sure the CPU supports the speed/setup time/modes of the DRAM you plan to use. This includes features such as the extended -RAS precharge time of the MC68EZ328.

# *Combination ICs*

Most microprocessor designs that use external memory require both ROM/PROM and SRAM. Many manufacturers produce ICs that combine both flash ROM and SRAM in a single package. The Toshiba TH50VSF0302 is one such part, combining  $1 M \times 8$  flash with  $128 K \times 8$  SRAM. The Toshiba part is designed for 2.7V to 3.3V operation, comes in a 48-pin ball grid array (BGA) package, and is available with either a top or bottom boot block (see Chapter 2). The SRAM and flash share a common data and address bus and the device has an access time of 100ns.

Toshiba and other manufacturers make other combination parts that include bus widths up to 16 bits and memory densities to 2 MB (flash) and 512K (SRAM). Interfacing these parts to a microprocessor is identical to interfacing other memories. You must keep in mind that **the** timing parameters for the RAM and flash memory may not be the same. The Toshiba TH50VSF3680/3681, which has 8MB of flash and 1MB of SRAM, is a typical example. The SRAM in this part has a 45 ns output enable access time, whereas the flash memory has 40 ns. The address access times on the part are identical, 90ns.

The TH50VSF3680/3681 also has a configurable memory configuration feature. Two pins determine the memory size versus bus width:



ST Microelectronics takes the combination chip concept a step further. Its PSD8xx/9xx family of parts interfaces to most 8-bit processors. The PSD813F contains 128K of flash ROM, 2K of SRAM (optional, not on all versions), a decode PLD, a CPLD, and 27 **I/O** pins.

The decode PLD is used to decode the flash ROM, RAM, and other peripherals. It also can generate chip select outputs for other devices in the system. The CPLD can be used to implement general-purpose logic, including counters. The 1/0 pins can be used **as** output ports from the microprocessor, outputs from the PLD, or latched address outputs.

As mentioned, the PSD8xx/9xx family has multiple parts, including some that include an OTP memory, and 256Kbits of EEPROM.

### *Digital-to-Analog Converters*

Figure 3.3 shows a simple resistor ladder with three switches. The resistors are arranged in an  $R/2R$  configuration. The actual values of the resistors are unimportant; R could be 10K or lOOK or almost any other value.

Each switch, SO through S2, can switch one end of one 2R resistor between ground and the reference input voltage, VR. Figure 3.3 shows what happens when switch S2 is ON (connected to VR) and **S1** and SO are OFF (connected to ground). By calculating the resulting series/parallel resistor network, the final output voltage (VO) turns out to be .5 **x** VR. If we similarly calculate VO for all the other switch combinations, we get this:





Embedded Microprocessor Systems

If the three switches are treated as a 3-bit digital word with  $ON = 1$  and  $OFF = 0$ , the output voltage is an analog representation of a digital input. This three-switch digital-to-analog converter (DAC) has eight possible states, and each voltage step is VR/8.

We could add more  $R/2R$  pairs and switches for more resolution. Four switches would give us 16 steps of VR/16 volts each. Eight switches would give us 256 steps of VR/256 volts each. If we replace the mechanical switches in the schematic with electronic switches, we have a true DAC.

# **Analog-to-Digital Converters**

Analog-todigital converters (ADCs) do the exact opposite of DACs-they output a binary word that is a digital representation of an analog voltage or current. An &bit ADC converts an input into 256 steps. A 10-bit ADC produces 1024 steps.

DACs and ADCs interface to a microprocessor just like other peripheral ICs. Parts are available with different bus interface types, including SPI and  $I<sup>2</sup>C$ . While the microprocessor side of a DAC or an ADC is the same **as** other parts, there are some special considerations when dealing with these analog devices, which we'll discuss in this section.

#### *Reference Voltage*

The reference voltage is the maximum value that the ADC or DAC can convert. *An*  &bit ADC can convert values from OV to the reference voltage. This voltage range is divided into 256 values, or steps. The size of the step is given by the following equation:

Reference Voltage  $=$   $\frac{5V}{256}$  = .0195V, or 19.5mV

This is the step size of the converter. It also defines the converter's resolution. Note that no ADC or DAC can be more accurate than its reference. If your reference is a zener diode with a 10 percent tolerance, it doesn't matter how many bits of resolution you have, your product will have a 10 percent variation between units unless you perform some kind of calibration **as** part of production.

Some microcontrollers have internal ADCs. Many of these permit you to provide an external reference, or they let you use the supply voltage as the reference. This typically frees the reference pin for use as another analog input. Microchip 16C7xx parts have this feature.

If the supply voltage is used **as** a reference and the supply voltage is 5V, measuring a 3V input would produce the following result:

Digital word =  $(\text{Vin/Verf}) \times 255 = (3 \text{V}/5 \text{V}) \times 255 = 153_{10} = 99_{16}$ 

However, the result depends on the value of the 5V supply. If the supply voltage is high by 1 percent, it has a value of 5.05V. Now the value of the  $A/D$  conversion will be:

$$
(3V/5.05V) \times 255 = 151_{10} = 97_{16}
$$

So a 1 percent change in the supply voltage causes the conversion result to change by two counts. Typical power supplies can vary by 2 or 3 percent, so power supply variations can have a significant effect on the results. The power supply output can vary with loading, especially if there is any significant drop in the cabling that connects the power supply to the microprocessor board. Thus, if your design needs all the analog inputs and cannot use an external reference, be sure power supply variations will not cause accuracy problems. One way to minimize such errors is to power the measured signal from the microcontroller supply.

#### *Resolution*

The resolution of an ADC or DAC is determined by the reference input and by the word width. The resolution defines the smallest voltage change that can be converted. *As*  mentioned earlier, the resolution is the same **as** the smallest step size and can be calculated by dividing the reference voltage by the number of possible conversion values.

For the example we've been using so far, an &bit ADC with a 5V reference, the resolution is .0195V (19.5mV). This means that any input voltage below 19.5mV will result in **an** output of zero. Input voltages between 19.5 mV and 39 mVwill result in an output of 1. Between 39 mV and 58.6 mV, the output will be 3.

Resolution can be improved by reducing the reference input. Changing from 5V to 2.5V gives a resolution of 2.5/256, or 9.7mV. However, the maximum voltage that can be measured is now 2.5V instead of 5V.

The only way to increase resolution without changing the reference is to use an ADC with more bits. A 10-bit ADC using a 5V reference has  $2^{10}$ , or 1024 possible output codes. Thus, the resolution is  $5V/1024$ , or  $4.88$  mV.

The resolution also has implications for system design, especially in the area of noise. A O-to-5V, 10-bit ADC with 4.88mV resolution will respond to 4.88mV of noise just like it will to a DC input of  $4.88$  mV. If your input signal has  $10 \text{ mV}$  of noise, you will not get anything like 10 bits of precision unless you take a number of samples and average them. This means you either have to insure a very quiet input or allow time for multiple samples.

#### *Cumulative Accuracy*

The accuracy of your ADC or DAC system is the cumulative accuracy of all the parts. This means that the accuracy of your measurements (for an ADC) or output voltage

(for a DAC) will be no better than the cumulative errors of the ADC/DAC, the reference, and any analog amplification. Using *5* percent resistors in the front end will completely defeat the purpose of having a 10-bit ADC.

Even though the accuracy of results is limited to accuracy of the components, you can sometimes achieve better accuracy by calibrating each system. An ADC system might be calibrated by driving the analog input with a **known,** precise voltage and letting the microprocessor store a calibration constant that is used to correct all future measurements. This sort of calibration can compensate for part-to-part variations, but it won't compensate for things like aging or temperature drift. And, of course, this is an extra and possibly expensive manufacturing step.

To perform calibration, the system will need:

- A means to input a calibration signal. This must be processed using all the same parts that the system will use in normal operation (input amplifiers, filters, and so on) to insure an accurate result.
- Nonvolatile memory to store the calibration results.
- Sufficient processing power to perform the required correction in normal operation.
- Software for calibration. This can be permanently part of the operating software, or it could be special software that is loaded only during the calibration operation.
- If field replacement of parts must be supported, there must be a means to calibrate field replacements before they are shipped or a means to calibrate them in the field.

Of course, calibration cannot compensate for temperature drift unless it is performed at various temperatures. In addition, calibration cannot compensate for EM1 or other noise inputs that cannot be duplicated during the calibration step.

### *Internal Analog-to-Dlgffal Converters*

Many microprocessors include an internal analog-todigital converter. The Microchip PIC16C7x series is a typical example. The parts in this family have an internal 8-bit  $A/D$  converter. The parts have from four to eight analog inputs. There is only one A/D converter, but an internal analog multiplexer allows the A/D converter to process any of the inputs, one at a time. Any microprocessor design that uses an A/D converter, whether internal or external, must take into account some considerations.

The Microchip A/D converter handles multiple inputs by selecting one at a time under software control. Once an input is selected, a settling time must elapse before the A/D conversion can start. If the conversion is started immediately, the result will be incorrect. The software must take this delay into account.

# *SPuMicrowire in Multichip Designs*

Chapter 2 described the SPI/Microwire bus. Many microcontrollers have a Microwire interface implemented in the hardware. In other cases, you can implement Microwire using port bits and generating the signals in software. Sometimes you need to interface a Microwire device to a microprocessor that does not have a built-in interface and for whatever reason you don't want to use discrete I/O. Figure 3.4 illustrates a means to interface SPI/Microwire to any processor with the capability to access external memory.

The interface uses a tristate buffer and a "D" flip-flop. The Microwire SCLOCK signal is connected to a decoded write strobe, and the enable input of the tristate buffer is connected to a decoded read strobe (see Chapter **2).** 

The chip select signal comes from a port bit or other digital output. Before accessing the Microwire device, the chip select signal is driven low. The width of the decoded write strobe must be sufficient to meet the SCLOCK low specification of the Microwire peripheral.

The microprocessor writes data serially to the Microwire device. Each write to the device address strobes the data on bit DO into the device and clocks the con-



**Figure 3.4 Microwire Interface.** 

**106** *Embedded Microprocessor* **Systems** 

tents of Data Out into the "D" flip-flop. Only DO is used to transfer data; the other bits are unused.

After writing a bit to the device, the **CPU** must read the contents of the flip flop to avoid losing that bit. After all the bits are transferred, the chip select signal is driven high to terminate the cycle. Note that reading the data bit from the flip-flop does not generate a clock to the Microwire device; only write cycles do that.

If you don't want to read the data after each bit is written, you could replace the "D" flip-flop with a shift register and read the entire register when the entire data stream has been written. The length of the shift register must be the same **as** the number of bits to be written/read from the device.

If you are writing to a device that is write-only, you would not need the "D" flipflop or the tristate buffer. Although this approach still requires that the **CPU** do bit-by-bit transfers, it is somewhat faster than requiring the **CPU** to generate each signal transition **as** would be required in a port-bit implementation.

## *Timer Basics*

Timers are a crucial part of many embedded systems. Figure **3.5A** shows a simple timer like you might find in a microcontroller. This timer consists of a simple, loadable %bit counter. You could build this from a couple of **74HC161** counters or equivalent PLD logic.

The microprocessor can write a value to the timer that is transferred to the counter outputs. If the counter is an **UP** counter **(as** shown), it counts up. A DOWN counter counts down. A typical timer embedded in a microcontroller or in a timer **IC** will have some means to start the timer once it is loaded, typically by setting a bit in a register. The clock input to the counter may be a derivative of the microprocessor clock or it may be a signal applied to one of the external pins. A real timer will also provide the outputs of the counter to the microprocessor so it can read the count, but for simplicity that has been left off Figure **3.5A.** 

If the microprocessor loads this timer with a value of OxFE and then starts the timer, it will count from FE to **FF** on the next clock. On the second clock, it will count from **FF** to *00* and generate an output. The output of the timer may set a flip-flop that the microprocessor can read, or it may generate an interrupt to the microprocessor, or both. The timer may stop once it has generated an output, or it may continue counting from *00* back to **FF.** The problem with a continuously running timer is that it will count from the loaded value the first time it counts up, but the second time it will start from *00.* 



MICROPROCESSOR CLOCK OR EXTERNAL CLOCK **D** - 8-BIT PWM



B - RELOADING 8-BIT TIMER



C - INPUT CAPTURE 8-BIT TIMER





 $108$ 

# *Reloading Timer*

Figure 3.5B shows a more complex reloading timer. This timer has an 8-bit latch to hold the value written by the microprocessor. When the microprocessor writes to the latch, it also loads the counter. **An** OR gate also loads the timer when it rolls over from FF to *00.* For this example, we will assume that the logic in the **IC** gets all the polarities and timings of the load signal correct so that there are no glitches or race conditions.

The way this timer works is that the microprocessor writes a value to the latch (also loading it into the timer) and then starts the timer. When the timer rolls over from FF to 00, it generates an output (again, either a latched bit for the microprocessor to read or an interrupt). At the same time that the output is generated, the timer is loaded from the latch contents. Since the latch still holds the value written by the microprocessor, the counter will start counting again from the same point it did before. Now the timer will produce a regular output with the same accuracy as the input clock. This output could be used to generate a regular interrupt, to provide a baud rate clock to a UART, or to provide a signal to any device that needs a regular pulse.

A variation of this feature used in some microcontrollers does not load the counter with the desired count value but instead loads it into a digital comparator. The comparator compares the counter value to the value written by the microprocessor. The counter starts at zero and counts up. When the count equals the value written by the microprocessor, the counter is reset to zero and the process repeats. The effect is the same as the timer just described.

#### *Input Capture Timer*

Figure **3.5C** shows an input capture timer. In this case, the timer counts from zero to FF. When a pulse occurs on the capture input pin, the contents of the counter are transferred to an &bit latch and the counter is reset. The input pulse also generates an interrupt to the microprocessor. The diagram shows the timer clear connected directly to the input pin; in an actual circuit, of course, there will be some gating and synchronizing logic to make sure all the timing is right. Similarly, the capture pin will not connect directly to a microprocessor interrupt but will be passed through some flip-flops, timing logic, interrupt controller logic, and *so* on.

This configuration is typically used to measure the time between the leading edge of **two** pulses. The timer is run at a constant clock, usually a derivative of the microprocessor clock. Each time an edge occurs on the input capture pin, the processor is interrupted and the software reads the capture latch. The value in the latch is the number of clocks that occurred since the last pulse.

Some microcontrollers do not reset the counter on an input capture but let the counter free run. In those configurations, the software must remember the

previous reading and subtract the new reading from it. When the counter rolls over from FF to *00,* the software must recognize that fact and correct the numbers; if it doesn't, negative values will result. Many microcontrollers that provide a capturetype timer also provide a means for the counter to generate an interrupt when it rolls over, which can simplify this software task.

### *PWM*

Figure 3.5D shows a simple PWM scheme, similar to that implemented on the Atmel AT9OS microcontrollers. An 8-bit up/down counter counts from *00* to FF, then back down to *00.* An &bit comparator compares the value in the 8-bit latch to the counter value. When the two values are equal, the comparator clocks the "D" flipflop (again, timing logic makes sure everything works correctly). If the counter is counting up, a "1" is clocked into the "D" flip-flop. If the counter is counting down, a *"0"* is loaded. The flip-flop output is connected to one of the microcontroller output pins.

Say the microprocessor writes a value of WE into the latch. The counter counts from *00* to FE, where the PWM output goes to "1" because the counter bits match the latched value. The counter continues to FF, then back down through FE to zero. When the counter passes through FE, the PWM output goes to zero. **So** in this case, the PWM output is high for **two** counts (FE and FF) out of 256, or about .78 percent duty cycle. If the microprocessor writes OxFO to the latch, the PWM output will be high from FO to FF and back to FO, for a total of 30 counts or 11.7 percent duty cycle.

A more sophisticated PWM timer would include a second latch and comparator *so* the counter can reverse direction at values other than FF. In such a timer, this comparator would set the frequency of the PWM signal while the other comparator would set the duty cycle.

Some microprocessors provide other means to generate PWM. The 80188 does not use an up/down counter but instead provides two comparators. After the first count value is reached, the counter is reset and the second comparator is used to indicate end-of-count. The output pin indicates which comparator is being used *so*  a PWM output can be generated by controlling the ratios of the comparator values.

## *Other Counter Features*

Most microcontrollers provide a means to prescale the clock applied to the counter. For instance, the 8-bit clock in the Atmel AT9OS8515 can be incremented with the CPU clock, or the CPU clock can be divided by 8, 64, 256, or 1024. In addition, the clock can be supplied by either the rising or falling edge of the external pin on the device. All of these features are software-selectable by writing to registers.

The 16-bit timer in the AT90S8515 can be programmed to control an output pin when it times out. The pin can be programmed to toggle each time the timer times out (rolls over), or it can be set to "1" or **"0."** Like the prescaling function, these features are software-selectable.

Most devices that provide an input capture function permit the input capture pin to be programmed to capture the timer count on either the rising or falling edge of the input signal. Some permit you to select rising, falling, or either edge, and some provide an input noise filter to prevent false captures if the input signal is noisy.

Some devices permit you to connect an external crystal instead of providing a clock signal. This crystal is independent of the clock source for the microprocessor itself (although it often is internally synchronized to it). **A** typical application for this feature is to implement a real-time clock using an external 32.768kHz crystal.

Some devices have an input that gates the timer on and off. The 80188 microprocessors have inputs that can be programmed to run the timer when the input is high and stop the counter when the input is low.

This section has focused on 8-bit timers to keep the figures simple. The same concepts apply to larger timers as well, and other timer lengths are provided on many microprocessors. The Atmel AT9OS8515, for example, provides a simple &bit timer as well as a 16-bit timer with input capture and PWM capabilities.

### *Using Timers*

*Time-Based Temperature Measurement* **An** example that illustrates some of the important issues you must consider when using timers involves measurement of temperature. The Maxim MAX6576 is an IC that measures temperature. *As*  shown in Figure 3.6, the MAX6576 has a single wire output and produces a square wave with a period that is proportional to temperature in degrees Kelvin. The MAX6576 can operate from **-40°C** to +125"C. **By** connecting the **TSO** and TS1 inputs to ground or Vcc in various combinations, the MAX6576 can be configured so that the period varies 10, 40, 160, or  $640\mu s$  per degree. In the configuration shown, the period will vary by  $40\mu s$  per degree. At  $25^{\circ}$ C, the period will be:

 $(25 + 273.15) \times 40 = 11,926$  microseconds, or 11.926 ms

Say you connect this to an Atmel AT9OS8515 microprocessor using input capture mode. Figure 3.6 shows a block diagram of this configuration. Here we are operating the AT9OS8515 with a 4.096MHz crystal and using a prescaler of 256, *so* the timer gets a clock of 4.096MHz/256, or 16,000Hz. The counter increments every 62.5 **ps.** For this application, it doesn't matter whether the input capture occurs on the rising or falling edge of the MAX6576 output.

How accurately can you measure temperature with this arrangement? Since the MAX6576 changes  $40 \mu s$  per degree and the clock to the counter is 16,000 Hz, each increment of the counter corresponds to 62.5/40 or 1.56 degrees. This is the best



**Figure 3.6** 

**MAX6576 Timing Input Example.** 

resolution you can get. If the temperature of the sensor is 25"C, the captured count value will be  $11.926/62.5 = 190.8$ . Since the counter can only capture integral values, the actual count will be 190 (the .8 is dropped). For the count to be less than 190, the temperature must go to 23.7"C. Any changes between these two values cannot be read **by** the microprocessor.

**If** we decide that this is insufficient accuracy for our application, we might change the prescaler to 1, making the counter clock the same as the CPU clock, 4.096MHz. Now the counter increments every 244.1ns, and the resolution is  $244.1 \text{ ns}/40 \mu s$ , or .0061 degrees per counter increment. This is much better accuracy than the sensor itself has.

What happens in this configuration if the temperature goes from  $25^{\circ}$ C to  $125^{\circ}$ C? The count value will **go** from 11,926 to 15,926. This will result in a captured count of 65,232. The timer is 16 bits wide, *so* this is not a problem, but it is very close to the 65,535 upper limit of the counter.

What happens at 125<sup>°</sup>C if we take the accuracy of the sensor itself into account? The MAX6576 has a typical accuracy of  $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C at 125 $^{\circ}$ C, but the maximum error is  $\pm 5^{\circ}$ C. This means that, at 125<sup>o</sup>C, the output may actually indicate up to 130<sup>o</sup>C. At 130°C, the output period is 16126ms. This corresponds to a count value of 66,052, which means the timer we are using would roll over from 65,535 to zero while sampling. The actual count that would be captured would be 517, indicating a much lower temperature than the MAX6576 is actually sensing.

There are several solutions to this specific problem: The timer prescaler could be changed, the configuration of the MAX6576 could be changed, or even the microprocessor crystal could be changed. You could leave the hardware as-is and handle the error in software by detecting the rollover. The important point is to perform this type of analysis when you use timers in microprocessor designs.

Another issue that arises from this example is that of sampling time. The system can only sample the temperature at a rate equal to the period of the output. As the temperature goes up, the time between samples also goes up. If several samples need to be averaged, the sampling rate goes down proportionally. While a worstcase sample time of 16ms is probably not unreasonable for a temperature measurement system, an analysis of the effects of sample time should be performed in cases where the input rate of a signal affects it.

*Motor Control* Say you have a DC motor that is part of a microprocessor control system. The motor has an encoder that produces 100 pulses per revolution, and the microprocessor must control the speed of the motor from 10RPM to 2000RPM. Some undefined external source provides a command to the microprocessor to set motor speed.

At lORPM, the microprocessor will get pulses from the motor encoder at the following frequency:

$$
10 \frac{\text{Rev}}{\text{Min}} \times 100 \frac{\text{Pulses}}{\text{Rev}} \times \frac{1}{60} \frac{\text{Min}}{\text{Sec}} = 16.6 \frac{\text{Pulses}}{\text{Sec}}
$$

A similar calculation results in a frequency of 3333.33 pulses/sec at 2000RPM. If the input capture hardware is configured to generate an interrupt when the input pulse occurs, then the processor will get an interrupt every 60ms at lORPM, and every 300 **ps** at 2000 RPM.

Say we want to calculate motor speed by using a microcontroller with input capture capability to measure the time between encoder pulses. If the input capture is measured with a 1MHz reference clock, then the input capture registers will contain 1 MHz/16.6Hz or 60,024 at 10RPM. Similarly, the registers will contain a value of 300 at 2000RPM.

The lOOcount encoder produces one pulse every **3.6** degrees of rotation **(360/100).** This is true at any motor speed. However, the input capture reference clock is fixed, so its accuracy (in degrees of rotation) varies with the motor speed. At **lORPM,** each reference clock corresponds to:

 $16.66 \frac{\text{Encoder Pulses}}{\text{Sec}} \times 3.6 \frac{\text{Deg}}{\text{Encoder Pulse}} \times \frac{1}{1,000,000} \frac{\text{Seconds}}{\text{Reference Clock}}$  $= 60 \times 10^{-6}$  degrees per reference clock

At **2000RPM,** this becomes **.012** degrees. While either of these is probably adequate for a motor control application, the principle is important; at faster RPM, the accuracy of the reference clock with respect to the input signal is less.

*PWM Output* Similar considerations apply to timer outputs. If you are using an 8-bit timer to generate a PWM signal, the output duty cycle can only be changed by one timer count, or 1 in **256.** This results in a duty cycle resolution of **.3** percent. Note, though, that this applies only if the timer is allowed to run a full **256** counts. If you are using **an** 8-bit timer but only **100** counts for the PWM period, then one step is **1** percent of the total period. In this case, the best resolution you can get is I percent. This is sufficient for many applications but is inadequate for others. In **an** application in which you vary the PWM period and duty cycle, you need to be sure that the resolution at the fastest period (least number of timer counts per cycle) is adequate for the application.

**Count Ambiguity** Any time you are using timers to measure a period, you must take into account the ambiguity in the result. Say you have a timer with a 1 millisecond resolution and you are using input capture to measure the time between events. Two events occur, one measuring 51 counts and the other measuring **52**  counts. That means the **two** events occurred a millisecond apart, right? Well, maybe not. **As** Figure **3.7** shows, the two events could be nearly simultaneous. One occurs just before the count rolls over from **51** to **52,** and one occurs just after the rollover. Any time you measure period with a timer, you can only assume that the value you read has an accuracy of plus or minus the timer resolution. e you are using timers to measu<br>
cuity in the result. Say you have<br>
are using input capture to meas<br>
ne measuring 51 counts and the<br>
events occurred a millisecond apa<br>
two events could be nearly simulater<br>
from 51 to 52, a





**114** *Embedded MicrOprocessor* System

**Timer Design Considerations** When working with timers, be sure to consider all the following:

- Does the timer have sufficient resolution for the application?
- Does the timer have sufficient range? Will it overflow in the worst-case scenario?
- If the timer can overflow, is there a hardware/software mechanism to handle that condition?
- Are the accuracy and drift of the timer clock adequate for the application?
- Can the software keep up with the selected timer period, capture rates, and *so*  on?
- If interrupts are used, can the software keep up with the interrupt rate over the full range of input/output values?

## *Example System*

Appendix A contains the schematic of the pool pump timer system I mentioned in the last chapter. **An** 80C31 microcontroller is used, with external ROM and 1/0 ports. Because there is only one read and one write port, no address decoding is needed. The read buffer (a 74HC244) is directly enabled by **-RD,** and the write register is clocked by -wR. A 74LS123 one-shot provides a watchdog timer (WDT) . The user key inputs connect to  $J2$  and are switch closures to ground. Switch inputs are debounced in software by the 250Hz interrupt code. The display, not shown, consists of four seven-segment displays and three high-intensity LEDs. The display is multiplexed in software *so* only one seven-segment drive register is required. Input power and the pump relay coil are connected via a four-terminal barrier strip.

# *Hardware Specifications Outline*

The following is a generic outline for the hardware specifications.

**Overview. A** brief description of what the outline covers.

**Related Documents.** ANSI and **IEEE** specs. May also include a reference to the product requirements document.

**Board Description.** A brief description of the hardware and what it does. For example, The *xyz* board controls the three-axis robotic motors for the robotic arm. This includes the stepper and DC servo control and the related limit switches. The *xyz* board is controlled by a **10MHz** 80C188 microprocessor. DC

servo motion is implemented using the PID algorithms developed by the Motion Control Research Group.

**External Connections.** Describe what the board connects to or controls:

X- and Z-axis DC servo motors and encoders, and Y-axis stepper motor. RS-232 interface to system control computer. Limit switch inputs (3).

Common emergency stop switch.

**Circuit Description.** This describes the circuitry and what it does: The *xyz*  board is implemented with an 80C188 operating at 10MHz (20MHz input). DC motors (X- and Zaxis) are controlled by LM629 motion control ICs. The Y-axis stepper is controlled with the timer 1 output of the 80C188. Limit and emergency stop switches are read via an 8-bit status register. An 8-bit command register provides discrete control bits, including stepper direction. The RS232 interface to the system controller is implemented with a 16550 UART.

**Software Interface.** This is where you tell the software engineers everything they need to know to use the board.

#### Memory:

256K flash, selected with 8OC188 UCS signal. 256K RAM, selected with 8OC188 LCS signal.  $1 K EPPROM$ , decoded at 3000 h (h = hexadecimal). *I/O: Address: 00 Read:* Status register: Bit 0: Emergency stop switch  $(1 = stop)$ Bit 1: X-axis limit switch  $(0 =$  limit reached) Bit 2: **Y-axis** limit switch *(0* = limit reached) Bit 3: Z-axis limit switch  $(0 =$  limit reached) Bits **4-7:** Unused *Write:* Command register: Bit 0: Y-axis stepper direction (1 = forward) Bit 1: X-axis brake input to power driver  $(1 = \text{brake on})$ Bit 2: Z-axis brake input to power driver  $(1 = \text{brake on})$ Bits 3-7: Unused *Address 02, 03:* X-axis LM629 *Address 04,* 05: Z-axis LM629 *Address* 06, 07: 16550 UART *Interrupt usage:* Describe how the interrupt inputs are connected: INTO: X-axis LM629 interrupt INT1: Z-axis LM629 interrupt

INT2: 16550 interrupt INT3: Unused

*Port* bits: Does not apply to the example used here, but in a microcontroller design, you would describe the usage of the port bits:

RBO: Interrupt from external pushbutton

RB1: Turns on diagnostic LED  $(0 = LED \text{ on})$ 

RB2-RB7: Unused

RCO-RC7: Communication FIFO data bus

This description also would apply to a multichip design that used a PI0 chip or had discrete 1/0 ports implemented in a PLD. Similar descriptions are needed for other software-controlled functions. If the design includes ADCs or DACs, specify the range in bits and how it corresponds to what is being measured or controlled. For example, an &bit ADC measuring pressure might be specified as representing 1OOpsi at full scale.

**Interface Protocol.** If the board communicates with an external system, this describes the protocol used.

**Appendix.** If there are calculations that went into the design, such as required motor torque or interface throughput requirements, these are collected into an appendix.

# *A Note About Electronic Parts*

This chapter and the previous chapter have covered numerous ICs that can be used to interface to microprocessors. The electronics world seems to be defined by shorter product lives, bigger memories, and faster processors. Although the specific techniques described here may be implemented in a CPLD, FPGA, or ASIC and access times get ever shorter, the basic design principles still apply.

# *Software Design 4*

Some will be surprised that this chapter is shorter than the hardware chapters. However, this one chapter cannot be a complete course on software development any more than the hardware chapters can be a course on logic design. The goal of this chapter is to present some basic software concepts while concentrating on the embedded environment. **I** have tried to leave general software concepts to the books written for that purpose, except where those concepts have a unique bearing on embedded design.

**As I** mentioned in Chapter 1, software for embedded systems has different requirements from software running on a PC or workstation. Computer users will not even notice if your software takes a few tens of milliseconds to respond when they press a key. However, if the electronic ignition in their car delays firing the spark plugs by the same amount, they will be very upset as the car stutters to a stop alongside the interstate. Embedded systems work in real time.

Likewise, when users use their microwave, they do not want to see a message telling them that thawing the meat requires installation of an additional 32MB of memory. Embedded systems are self-contained, with memory and other resource limitations. Software for embedded systems must work within these constraints, and that is what sets it apart from the software in a PC.

As I mentioned in Chapter 1, there are a number of ways to document software for an embedded system. The one unforgivable crime is to leave undocumented code behind you. The level of documentation required depends on the customer and the complexity of the system.

Before going into the various documentation methods, **I** want to give you some background on the pool timer software, which will be used for illustration throughout this chapter. The pool timer software has a polling loop and a chunk of code that processes the **250Hz** timer interrupt. The timer code will be examined more closely in the chapter on interrupts. For now, think of it as a "black box" that passes certain information to the rest of the code.

The polling loop exists in some form in nearly all embedded systems (systems that use an RTOS are an exception and will be covered in a later chapter).

**119** 

Sometimes called an *idle loop, superloop,* or *background loop,* the polling loop is where the software spends its time when not processing interrupts. The polling loop determines how the various tasks are scheduled and executed. While everything in an embedded system usually works in real time, the polling loop holds those tasks that do not need immediate attention. An example of this in the pool timer is the code to handle the push buttons. The timer code tells the polling loop when the button is pressed. The polling loop may be slow getting to it, but not slow enough for the user to notice. In a completely interrupt-driven system, the polling loop may be a one-instruction jump to itself. (I did this once with a digital signal processor [DSP] design.) But in most systems, the polling code does some actual processing.

The pool timer polling loop continuously checks these processes:

- The motor control code, which handles motor on/off control.
- The powerfail code, which blinks the display after power-up until the user presses the SET button.
- The normal timekeeping code, which keeps track of when the time changes from ON to OFF and handles on/off/override.
- The time set mode, which allows a new ON or OFF time to be entered.

The polling loop sequentially checks for each event (timeout, button press, and so on) and takes the necessary action.

### *Data Flow Diagram*

There are a number of ways to describe the software design, depending on what information is to be conveyed. One method is a *dataflow diagram.* The data flow diagram shows each process **as** a block (or circle). Lines connect the blocks, showing what information is passed between the processes.

Figure 4.1 shows a data flow diagram for the pool timer. The timer interrupt tells the motor control code if the water level is low and tells the other three processes if the SET button is pressed. The time set code passes updated time back to the normal timekeeping code so that time rollovers will result in the correct initial time. The other paths can be seen on the diagram. This diagram shows the most important data items; a complete diagram could be drawn that shows every flag and data byte.

Data passed to and from the hardware is not shown in this figure. If it did, a box would represent the keypad with push-button information going into the interrupt code and another box would represent the display accepting time data from the interrupt code. Some engineers draw the hardware as just another process; others denote it with a special symbol.



**Figure 4.1 Pool Timer Software Data Flow Diagram.** 

### *State Diagram*

Figure **4.2** shows state *diagrams* for the pool timer. The state diagram shows each possible state for the software and what inputs cause a change to another state. Figure **42A** is an overall state diagram that shows user inputs. **As** the figure illustrates, the user only presses the SET button to go back and forth between normal and timeset modes. The ON and OFF buttons switch between ON override, OFF override, **and** normal timekeeping mode. Note that there is no way to go from override to timeset. This is by design, and the state diagram clearly shows that there is no path for that operation. There is also no path between the **two** override modes without going back through normal timekeeping.

In a more complex system, each input that can cause a state change is shown, pointing from the old to the new state. Figure **4.2B** shows the states within the time set state. When setting on time, pressing the OFF button switches to off time set, and vice versa. Pressing SET returns the timer to the normal timekeeping state. Although not shown here, you can add comments under the state blocks, showing what each state does. Many engineers draw states as circles; I like blocks with room for text.

Figure **4.2C** shows the states within the normal timekeeping state. The state diagram could consist of multiple pages, with the first page showing high-level states or processes and subsequent pages showing the state transitions within the high-level states.

The pool timer software is simple enough that the details of the time set and normal timekeeping states could be shown as part of the overall state diagram. In

*Software Design* **121** 



#### **Figure 4.2**  Pool **Timer State Diagram.**

a more complex system, each state may require a page or more to describe, and several parallel processes can be going on at once, each in a different state.

One thing not shown by these diagrams is what happens inside the boxes. In some systems, you can have independent states. In the pool timer, this is not the case. For instance, in ON and OFF override modes, normal timekeeping still goes on even though rollovers of normal time do not turn the pump on or off. If the user selects an hour of ON override when there are still three minutes of OFF time left, the OFF time will expire while the system is in ON override and the system will exit ON override to normal timekeeping with the pump ON. In the pool timer, the state diagram really shows the functionality of the display and user pushbuttons.

The examples shown here use fairly descriptive terms such as "ON pushbutton pressed." In the actual code, the pushbutton press is indicated by a flag byte. The state diagrams could be drawn using the actual variables ("ONFLAG true" instead of "ON pushbutton pressed"). This makes the state diagrams less readable but makes it easier to connect the diagrams to the code.

One thing that state diagrams are very good for is showing what variables, user inputs, and *so* on are actually used in each state. One problem with state diagrams is the difficulty of showing all the states on a reasonable number of pages, especially for a complex system. One way to simplify the state diagram for an embedded system is to show the state of the outputs. This provides a consistent way to determine what it takes to change the outputs from one state to another, as long as the number of possible combinations isn't too large. In the pool timer, this would take the form of describing the state of the discrete LEDs, the information sent to the display, and the state of the pump (ON or OFF).

# *Flowcharts*

Another form of documentation shown in Appendix A is the flowchart for the pool timer. To save space, only the polling loop is shown. Flowcharts seemed (to me, anyway) to lose favor for a while, but they are coming back into popularity since tools now simplify the process of creating and maintaining them. While flowcharts are good at showing a single thread of execution, they don't show preemption or interrupts very well. Still, the flowchart is a good graphical way to show the execution of sequential code.

Flowcharts, state diagrams, and data flow diagrams all have the advantage of being visual and relatively easy to follow. This is also one of their drawbackssince these diagrams are not part of the source code, they are less likely to be updated when the code is changed. Some software manufacturers offer an integrated development environment that supports graphical documentation such as state diagrams. A typical example is BetterState from Wind River Systems. However, these products are typically available for development on more complex processors. Few such tools exist for simpler processors such as *8-* and 16-bit microcontrollers.

#### *Pseudocode*

Another method of documenting the software, and one that I prefer, is to use pseudocode. Appendix A shows two listings. The first is a high-level functional or logical description. This listing describes in concise English exactly what the software is going to do. I like it because it is a good way to see whether I understand everything I need to know before coding.

The next level down is actual pseudocode, also shown in Appendix A. The pseudocode is still a description in structured English, but additional text describes what flags, variables, and other elements are manipulated to implement the described functions. The advantage of this method of documentation is that the

functional description can be written, with details filled in as the design progresses, eventually turning into pseudocode. The pseudocode and description then become detailed comments for the actual code.

Functional descriptions and pseudocode are useful for simple systems written by a single programmer. If multiple programmers will work on a project, and especially if multiple processors will be used, then some means should be implemented to fully describe what information is passed between the processes and/or functions done by each programmer.

Timing information for real-time functions is crucial. For example, function **X**  must be called within Y milliseconds of function Z or the stepper motor will lose sync.

The following pseudocode is a description of the software I implemented in a simple protocol converter. The system accepted serial **RS232** data from a host system, did some processing, buffered the data, and sent it to a second system via a different interface. **XON/XOFF** protocol was used to control the data flow:

If serial data available, read the data,

do some proprietary stuff I cannot reveal, then store the data in a flrst in, flrst out **(FIFO)** buffer.

- If the buffer gets too full, and if **XOFF** was not sent yet, send XOFF to the host.
- If there are data in the **FIFO** buffer, and if the output interface **is** ready, send a byte from the FIFO buffer to the output.
- If the buffer gets close to empty, and if XON was not sent yet, send XON to the host.

The software just went around and around this loop. The actual system did some error checking and other **tasks** that I left out to simplify the description. Note that the input and output processes are mostly independent of each other. The input stores data in the FIFO buffer without knowing what the output is doing. Similarly, the output process sends whatever is in the FIFO buffer if the output device is ready for it. If the output is not ready, the code just waits until the next pass through the loop and checks again. The only way one process knows what the other is doing is by how full the FIFO buffer gets. This is a simple example that illustrates processes that are parallel and (mostly) independent.

The more independent processes are (that is, the less data they share in common), the more predictable each piece of code is. If processes are completely independent, some unexpected flaw in one process will not cause an unexplained failure in another. However, there are exceptions to this, which we will look at later.

One drawback to pseudocode is that it isn't graphical. To use an example we looked at earlier, the state diagram makes it obvious that there is no path from override mode to timeset mode without going through the normal timekeeping mode. This characteristic would not be immediately obvious looking at pseudocode.

Saying that, the primary advantage to pseudocode also is that it isn't graphical, *so* you can write it with the same text editor you write code with. In addition, you can embed the pseudocode-at whatever level it is written-into the final source code as comments. This may be important down the road if the graphical charts will be lost somewhere along the way but the source code won't.

There is no reason you can't use a combination of techniques to document your code. You could use a high-level state diagram, like the ones shown for the pool timer code, to provide a clear graphical representation of software states. At some lower level, you can switch to pseudocode that eventually becomes part of the source code comments.

In a complex system, it is a good idea to have multiple levels of documentation. An overall block diagram shows what data are transferred in and out of the system, another diagram shows what is passed between subsystems or boards or the major firmware functions, and pseudocode or state diagrams describe how each of the functions works.

# *Partitioning the Code*

The charts and diagrams shown *so* far assume that you already know how the code will be functionally partitioned. The process for determining this breakdown and developing the code is the same **as** for any software, but with a few additional considerations for embedded systems:

- In a PC, an operating system controls access to the disk drive, display, and other peripherals. While there are real-time operating systems, which we'll cover in Chapter 9, most simple embedded designs have none. In these cases, some mechanism must arbitrate access to peripherals and memory. Two serial transmit routines cannot both be filling the same buffer, for example. The simplest way to do this is to have each resource (serial **I/O,** interface buffers, and *so* on) controlled by only one piece of code. This seems obvious, but it is easy to cheat when sending a byte over the serial interface is **as** simple as an assembly language move instruction. In cases where this rule cannot be followed, usually because of throughput, make sure that conflicts do not occur.
- Since the code is stored in programmable read-only memory (PROM), selfmodifying code is out. This is considered bad practice anyway, but it is nearly impossible in an embedded system. *Self-adapting* code, however, is possible if nonvolatile storage is available.
- Some software engineers write code for maximum maintainability, some for maximum efficiency, and some for minimum space. Some embedded systems can

*Software Design* **125** 

be written only for speed or they will not work. Many embedded systems cannot tolerate a function that just "goes away" for a long time, say, to sort data in a table. The definition of a "long time" depends on the system, but may range from seconds down to milliseconds (even microseconds in a DSP-based design).

- An embedded system is not a general-purpose computer. There often is no display to handle errors. There may be no human within miles, **so** "Hit Any Key to Continue" generally is not an option. Errors must be handled and often operation must resume after they occur.
- **A** real-time system handles asynchronous external events. This means that a switch can be closed at any time and an interrupt can occur between any pair of instructions. The code must handle all timing combinations without error. (We'll discuss this further in Chapter 5.)
- There is no nanny to be sure the hardware is in a known state. The embedded code must initialize *everything* at power-up. We'll discuss more about this at the end of the chapter.

Other considerations include the following.

#### *Safety*

If the code in a PC goes "off into the weeds," the disk-drive spindle motor cannot reach out and grab the user's tie. In some real-time systems, an unsafe scenario is a real possibility. When in doubt, *makz it safe.* Turn the motors off. Shut down the high voltage.

Another safety issue has to do with limits. There have been numerous accidents in which a software-controlled system gave bad information to the operator because the software engineer assumed that everything would remain in "normal" conditions. For example, if you have a sensor that measures temperature in a vat of water, you know that the water temperature can't ever be higher than IOO'C, right? But what happens if the water all boils away or someone seals up a pipe and makes the vat into a pressure cooker? In any safety-related system, it is always risky to give the operator or other subsystems false information. It is safer to either give the operator the right temperature or indicate that the temperature reading is suspect. Any time that you have a safety-related input to an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and the ADC is saturated at one end or the other, it should be indicated in some way. In our temperature example, if **a** saturated ADC output indicates that the temperature is **120°C,** don't just indicate the temperature. Indicate the temperature and make it flash or light a light or do something to tell the operator that the temperature is not only high, but probably off-scale.

**A** common way to eliminate noise on analog inputs is to average several samples. This does a good job of eliminating noise, but it can also mask problems. If you have a pressure sensor with a normal reading of **100,** you might average readings of 95, 105, 98, and **102** to get a reasonable result. On the other hand, **if** the

readings are 50, 150, **48,** and 152, you will get the same average. The second set of numbers, however, may indicate a dangerous oscillation somewhere that will cause something to burst. When you use averaging, be sure it doesn't cause your software to ignore a potential problem.

# *Hardware Damage*

This is a less serious version of the safety issue. An example is control of a direct current (DC) or stepper motor using an H-bridge. If the software directly controls all four transistors in a motor H-bridge, it can turn on the wrong pair and destroy the transistors. Situations like this must be dealt with in embedded systems, either through hardware protection or careful software design.

# *Mechanical Delays*

In a PC, the operating system takes care of the delay between turning on a disk spindle motor and waiting for the disk to come up to speed. Similarly, embedded system software must take into account the fact that mechanical systems are often much slower than the processor. A real-world example involves AC motors controlled by *two* relays. A run relay provides AC for normal operation. For faster stopping, a second relay provides a momentary pulse of DC to brake the motors. Relays have a delay of many milliseconds from when voltage is applied to the coil until the contacts close. There is a similar (usually longer) delay from the time the coil voltage is removed until the contacts open. So when switching from running to braking, the software must introduce a delay between turning off the run relay and turning on the brake relay. Without the delay, the run relay will fail in a quite spectacular manner, accompanied by blue sparks and smoke. A second delay is required to inhibit run startup after the brake relay is opened. Since the braking relay is a large contactor with an opening time in the tens of milliseconds, the second delay must be much longer than the first.

# *Recovery Time*

Many peripheral integrated circuits (ICs) have a recovery time. You cannot perform a read or write cycle until *so* many clocks have elapsed since the last cycle. Be sure to check for and abide by these. If you do not, the resulting problems can be intermittent and difficult to find.

# *€MI*

Although EM1 considerations normally are considered a hardware design issue, there are some areas that software must control. For example, in a system with multiple software-controlled motors, it may be possible for the software to self-induce EM1 problems. DC motors have a larger current draw at startup, possibly three times

*Software Design* 127

the normal running current. If the software simultaneously starts multiple motors, the resulting current surge may disrupt the system electronics or even the processor itself. It may be necessary for the software to sequence motor startup and braking to prevent these problems. Software also may need to filter sensors that are susceptible to radiated interference. In general, it is better to provide such protection in hardware, but sometimes the nature of the sensor makes it impossible to filter the interference. This might happen if the sensor must sense very lowlevel signals or has to sense over a long cable. In cases like these, the software may need to perform some filtering.

Other similar cases include turning off PWM-controlled devices while making sensitive **ADC** measurements. This includes motors, solenoids, heaters, and **so** on. Even **DC** devices may need to be turned off. **A** typical example would be a heater that draws enough current to produce a significant **DC** drop in the wiring, and where the heater ground is shared with a temperature sensor such as a thermocouple or thermistor. In a case like this, it **may** be necessary to turn the heater off *so* an accurate temperature reading can be made.

#### *Interrupt Protection*

Sometimes the software needs to protect itself against spurious interrupts. One realworld case involves a motor with a reflective strip on the shaft (see Figure **4.3).** The strip is sensed with a reflective optical sensor to count and time motor revolutions. In some cases, the motor would stop with the reflective strip right on the edge **of**  where the sensor could detect it. Vibration when the machine was running would then cause the sensor output to switch at a high rate, flooding the processor with interrupts. The problem was complicated by the fact that the resulting error code indicated that the processor was running out of time to complete its tasks-which it was, since it was spending enormous amounts of time in the interrupt routine. **A** similar case can occur when an operator does not quite close a hood with a sensor on it or if a sensor is not securely mounted, leaving it susceptible to vibration. Any



#### **Figure 4.3 Unstable Interrupts from an Optical Sensor.**

128 *Embedded Microp-ocessor Systems*
time you have moving objects detected by sensors, you run the risk of unstable output.

## *Bus Width*

Sometimes **an** 8-bit device is connected to a **16,** 32-, or 64bit processor. As I mentioned in Chapter **2,** an example would be a 16550 UART connected to an **x86**  processor. In most cases, the hardware is not designed to decode all the possible accesses to the device, *so* the software must not try to perform writes that are wider than the device. In some cases, this is no problem, as the unused bits will be discarded. However, if the hardware is designed *so* that the other bits write to a control register, you can get unexpected results. For instance, if a device is located at address 03F0 (hex), and the lower 8 bits are connected to a data register while the upper 8 bits are connected to a status register, a word write will change both registers. Another case in which this can cause problems is on a read: If you read a 16-bit word from the 8-bit device, the unused bits usually will be undefined because those bits are floating. If you do not mask them off in software, the results are indeterminate.

## *Software Architecture*

There are only *so* many ways to connect a flash memory to a processor, but there are numerous ways to implement almost any software function. However, embedded software usually is built on only a few architectural frameworks, as described in this section.

## *Single Polling Loop*

In this method, a single piece of polling code loops continuously, checking for input from interrupt routines and external devices (such **as** a keypad) and executing whatever subroutines are necessary to implement the functionality. This method of coding assumes that all functions are available all the time. For example, it might check all the key switches all the time, even if some switches are not used in particular modes. This was the method that I used to implement the protocol converter described earlier. That design did not even have any interrupts-everything was done in the polling loop.

## *State Machine*

The software is in one state at a time. Only those functions that pertain to the current state are monitored. I designed a burglar alarm this way once. The system

*Software Design* 129

had states that included armed, waiting for arm, triggered, and alarm on. This method has the advantage of compartmentalizing the functionality. You need not worry about some process getting confused as to what state everything is in because every state has its own unique code. And you can change the code for a particular state without affecting the code for any other state. The disadvantages to this method are that there usually is a lot of duplication. **A** keypad, for example, must be monitored regardless of the state, so the key monitoring code (or at least a call to the subroutine) is duplicated in the code for every state that needs it. In addition, if a number of parallel processes can be in different states, you need a unique state for every combination, which makes the code grow exponentially. State machine architecture is best suited for designs that perform a single function.

## **Multiple State Machines/Polling Loop**

In this variation on the state machine architecture, each process can have unique states. **A** polling loop goes to each process, which then branches to code for the particular state it is in. When done, the process code exits to the next process (or to the polling loop, which goes to the next process). The pool timer uses this method. The polling loop checks the motor state to see whether the motor should be on or off, and then checks for timer rollover. What happens after that depends on whether the code is in powerfail, time set, or normal timekeeping mode (state). For example, if the code is in powerfail mode, the code for the other modes is not even executed.

#### *Incremental State Machine*

Each process executes a few steps of whatever operation it performs in whatever state it is in; it then transfers control to the next process. Each process also keeps track of where in its internal sequence it is. The next time the process is executed, it takes up where it left off and executes a few more steps. This gives all processes the appearance of executing simultaneously, albeit slowly. I once had to find a bug in one of these that was written by someone else, and I am not fond of this method.

#### *RTOS*

Real-time operating systems (RTOSs) warrant a dedicated overview in their own chapter. Basically, a RTOS allows the code to inanage tasks by starting and stopping them based on priority or time. For example, the code to communicate with another system might be activated only if there are data to send.

## *The Development Language*

It has been said that you cannot consider yourself a true embedded programmer unless you can code in assembly language. While this may be an exaggeration, it is true that many embedded systems have some code written in assembler. Part of this is because high-level languages (HLL) often assume that things like the stack are initialized. Since function calls in any language need the stack, which usually is in RAM, you cannot do a function call to the function that initializes the stack or the RAM chip select logic. The other reason is speed. The best code optimizers still are not quite as good as a human at generating fast assembly code.

That said, high-level languages for embedded applications are becoming more sophisticated, more efficient, and better able to handle the unique hardware that embedded systems must control. The choice of development language, like every other engineering tool, is driven by tradeoffs such **as** cost, ease of use, and utility.

I know that the following statement will make some software engineers throw this book across the room in disgust, but a simple project may be well served with just assembly language. Many small projects are not completed any faster by using an HLL. Some manufacturers (Microchip and Atmel, for example) provide free assemblers on their Web sites. But cost is not necessarily a factor in choosing assembler over an HLL. Sometimes the issue is speed. Sometimes assembly language is the only way to get everything to run fast enough. This is particularly true when using a DSP or other hardware-intensive processor. And, if most of the code is dedicated to flipping bits on 1/0 ports or loading timers, much of the design effort goes into calculating things like the timer values. The actual code may be fairly simple. Of course, the drawback to using assembler is that whoever must maintain the code in the future must learn the architecture and instruction set of the processor.

I once used assembly for a small microcontroller project because I could download the assembler from the manufacturer's Web site and get the project going faster than I could get the paperwork through the purchasing department to order a C compiler.

As the complexity of a project grows and labor becomes a larger part of the software design, assembly language looks less attractive. The time spent debugging the code becomes more of a factor, and the ability to write code for more than one processor without learning a new language is important. However, some of this advantage often is lost on simple microcontrollers. The high-level languages for those devices often have limitations, such as lack of floating-point capability, that limits code portability. In addition, special instructions are frequently needed for controlling device-specific hardware (timers, 1/0 ports, and *so* on) that will not port to another processor.

High-level languages are also important if there is a possibility that a future version of the product may use a different microprocessor or if there is a chance the processor selection will change during the design process. While many designs will use processor-specific functions in whatever language they are written in, a complex design is much easier to port to another processor if it is written in an HLL.

I will not attempt to recommend the best HLL for your application. Every software engineer has a preference. What I will do is list some things that should be considered in the decision.

#### *Processors Supported*

**C** has become almost a universal language, available for nearly any microprocessor. C<sub>tk</sub> is not currently available for small microcontrollers, although it is popular for microprocessor-based designs. *As* processor speeds increase, Java will increase in popularity for systems that can afford the **CPU** performance and memory requirements.

*As* I already mentioned, be aware that HLL versions for microcontrollers may not support the full language. Some things that are normal for an HLL on your desktop computer are just not possible with 128 bytes of RAM. Also, be sure that the compiler supports the version of the processor you use. An extreme example in which this could be a problem is if you are developing code for a Pentium-class processor with a compiler that generates only 8086 code. You would be unable to take advantage of the added features in the Pentium **CPU.** 

#### *Emulator Support*

Most current emulators, instead of displaying hex addresses, can display labels from the source code (called *source-level debug).* Instead of single-stepping through one machine instruction at a time, you can step through one **C** (or whatever) instruction at a time. You can even step through entire functions as if they were a single statement. You can set breakpoints the same way. This can reduce debug time *enormously.* However, the emulator software must have a table of addresses versus labels. Be sure your language and emulator are compatible. This process is simplified somewhat by the emergence of standard file formats for this data, such as UBROF. However, the emulator needs to handle whatever file format the compiler produces if you want to do source-level debug. Ideally, you want the debugger to display the data in the correct format, recognizing &bit character variables, integers, and other data types.

#### *CoddStorage Size*

Some compilers are extremely inefficient in their use of PROM and RAM resources. Be sure the compiler will not require enormous increases in hardware cost to support its free-spending ways. The same goes for speed: Some compilers just cannot seem to generate fast code.

#### *Optimization*

Optimizing compilers attempt to produce the most efficient code and can make the difference between an application that works and one that does not. **An** optimizing compiler works by eliminating unnecessary machine code. For example, if the code tests a variable to see whether a bit is set and then tests the variable again to check a different bit, a nonoptimizing compiler might read the variable twice. An optimizing compiler might read the variable once, store it in a register, and knowing that the variable has not been changed, use the value in the register when the second check is done. But beware; this can cause problems. If the variable actually is a hardware register, a change between the first and second checks would go undetected in the optimized version. For this reason, most optimizing compilers allow optimization to be turned off for sections of code, and they allow you to define memory-mapped hardware that is treated differently than ordinary RAM. Some compilers, especially for microcontrollers, permit you to optimize for speed or size, but there sometimes is a catch: You can optimize only the entire program. No compiler directives let you turn optimization on and off. To offset that, microcontroller compilers typically have a way to define hardware-specific addresses, such as I/O ports, forcing the compiler not to optimize when accessing these locations.

If your application requires floating-point calculations, be sure the floating-point libraries are small enough to fit the available space and that they run fast enough. If you have a hardware floating-point processor, of course, be sure the compiler can take advantage of it.

#### *Assembly Support*

Many applications still require assembly language for things like initialization, interrupts, or specialized (fast) I/O. The development compiler should make it fairly easy to include assembler files (possibly as inline code) into the software.

Another assembly-language related issue occurs when using microcontrollers. Due to the limited stack size, high-level languages typically do not pass parameters to assembly functions using the stack but instead use an area in RAM. This usually is an overlay area shared with other functions—the information no longer is needed when the function is finished. When writing a function in the HLL, the compiler takes care of getting dynamic variables out of the RAM overlay area, initializing the variables within the function, and restoring everything when the function is finished. If you must link assembler functions into HLL code, you need to take care of all these details. Thus, be sure the HLL compiler has a well-defined interface to the assembler and a well-documented procedure for defining the functions

in the HLL and then writing the functions in assembler. You can waste a lot of time trying to get this to work if the vendor does not document things well.

Another problem with microcontroller-based compilers is that the compiler tends to generate code that is generic—the code produced for a do/while loop may not take advantage of instructions that can speed up the code considerably if you are ending when a variable reaches zero or something similar.

An example involves a design I did using a Microchip PIC device. The processor functions as a smart sensor, communicating with an external PLD that collects time-based data. To make the PLD interface work, the processor needs one loop to run very fast. The C compiler I was using simply would not generate any kind of loop that terminated with a DECFSZ instruction, which decrements a memory location, stores the result, and branches if the result is zero. In addition, a feature of the PIC that involves a shift-and-test operation was implemented inefficiently. To make matters worse, the assembly language interface for that compiler was poorly documented, making the task of linking an assembly module very difficult.

The original code, prototyped in assembly, took less than a day to write, debug, and verify. Attempting to write a C version and then to merge the original assembly version with the final C program took three days and numerous email exchanges with the technical support group at the vendor that supplied the compiler.

While the language is the tool that actually implements a design, other tools document it. Flowcharting tools simplify flowchart generation, with linkages between blocks "rubberbanding" as the blocks are moved around on the page. Some tools offer a complete development environment, from flowchart to finished code.

#### *Debugging Tools*

When selecting tools, give some thought to how the design will be debugged. If you are developing an embedded system based on the PC architecture, you probably will get some kind of debugger with the compiler. However, on a smaller design, there are other considerations. The most severe restrictions occur when you are dealing with microcontrollers. For example, some debugger software for microcontrollers requires using the onchip serial port for communication with a host PC. If your design uses the serial port to communicate with other processors in the system, you must find another debugger solution.

Even some emulators require system resources (such as serial ports) that you may need for your design. Check into this carefully before you choose your development environment. Make sure you do not paint yourself into a corner.

Debugging systems on very small microcontrollers presents special challenges. The best solution is an emulator. However, sometimes an emulator is not available for cost reasons. I have worked on microcontroller-based designs in which an emulator simply would not fit in the space available or the controller board was on a moving robotic arm and attaching an emulator was out of the question.

In cases like this, you must make special concessions. Most small microcontrollers have a *simulator* available. This is software that runs on a PC and emulates the microcontroller. **A** simulator allows you to develop code and test it right at your desk. Of course, simulators have one serious drawback-they cannot predict the real world. **A** simulator can only simulate with the inputs you provide, and if you cannot predict all the real-world timings, neither can the simulator software. On the other hand, if your microcontroller design is doing something that is predictable and not dependent on real-world timing, a simulator may be the only debug tool you need.

The real environment, with moving motors and clicking solenoids, is where most embedded designs end up, and this is where the limitations of a simulator are really revealed. If a simulator is not adequate and if you cannot get or use an emulator, then you must turn to other debugging techniques, such as those we'll discuss in Chapter **6.** 

## *Microprocessor Hardware*

An embedded system has unique hardware constraints that must be accommodated by the software.

#### *The Stack*

The stack, common to nearly all microprocessors, is where the software can temporarily store values until they are needed. When a subroutine is called, the processor saves the return address on the stack. The stack **is** a last in, first out (LIFO) buffer-the last value placed "on" the stack is the first value removed, much like spring-loaded stacks of plates in a restaurant buffet line.

**Most** (but not all) microprocessors have PUSH and POP instructions (or some equivalent) that can add values to or remove values from the stack. This allows the programmer to save registers during an interrupt and restore them later. The stack in your PC can hold millions of bytes of information. The stack in an embedded design is not always as flexible:

- First, the stack in some microcontrollers is limited. The PIC17C42, for example, has a 16-level stack and no PUSH or POP instructions. The stack is used **for** return addresses only.
- In processors that have a hardwired stack (implemented **as** fixed registers in the microprocessor IC), you cannot have several levels of subroutines; the stack will overflow. *As* already mentioned, you cannot pass parameters on the stack (at least, not very many) for the same reason.

*SofhUare Design* **135** 

In processors that *do* not have a stack limited by hardware, there is still a limitation: the size of the system RAM. Make sure that the code cannot make the stack grow into the area where variables are stored. This problem can be hard to find.

Getting around a limited stack sometimes requires programming finesse that bends the normal rules a bit. The usual practice for a subroutine is to save all the registers on the stack; some high-level languages do this automatically. This can be impractical if there are several subroutines.

The simplest workaround is not to save anything. The polling loop just knows which registers are used by the subroutine and assumes they will be changed. Values can be returned in registers **as** well. The pool timer code has subroutines that do not save registers.

In cases where registers must be saved, they can be stored in RAM as variables. Each subroutine has a block of RAM set aside for storing registers. Each register that must be saved is stored in a unique RAM location on entry to the subroutine and retrieved on exit. Of course, this method prevents the subroutine from being reentrant.

Some processors make provision for a context switch. The Analog Devices ADSPBlOl family, for example, has **two** complete register sets. Either may be selected by a single instruction. The **8051** has four register banks. Two bits in an internal register control which register bank is in use. In processors like these, each subroutine can have a unique register set. However, there is a drawback as well: The Analog Devices parts have only one extra register set, so only one subroutine can be handled without saving registers into RAM. The **8051** has four register sets, which limits the code to four unique environments. Many compilers can take advantage of these features of the CPU.

#### *Chip Select*

Some processors have internal chip selects that can be programmed. If this hardware is used, it must be initialized first. *As* an example, look at the **80188** again. This part has a signal, -UCS, which is a chip select to upper memory, intended to select an EPROM or flash memory. After a reset, **-UCS** is programmed to access the upper  $1 K$  of memory from FFC00 to FFFFF. If the actual EPROM is  $32 K \times 8$ , the software at the reset vector location (FFFFO) must initialize -UCS to select the full **32K** before jumping to any location below the upper **1** K. Otherwise, the EPROM will not be selected and the processor will go off into the weeds. Staying with the 80188 example, the signal -LCS is intended to select RAM from location 00000 up. Reset turns this signal off, and it must be programmed before it will be active.

The implication of this is that, in a system using -LCS and -UCS, *no* subroutine calls can be made, nor can any interrupts be serviced, until both signals are initialized. If the code that initializes **-LCS,** for example, is a subroutine call, an attempt is made to push the return address onto the stack before the RAM (where the stack is located) can be accessed. The return at the end of the subroutine ends up with a garbage address and the processor goes off into the weeds. The same arguments apply to any programmable chip select that affects PROM or RAM.

## *RAM*

Most high-level languages allow local variables, which are completely local within a subroutine or function. Other processes know nothing of those variables and have no access to them. In a PC, memory-management hardware can even tell the operating system if an ill-behaved program steps outside its predetermined boundaries. In an embedded system, local variables often are an illusion. *As* already pointed out, embedded systems have RAM size constraints. A variable is just one or more memory locations. All variables are stored in the same RAM space, often in the same RAM IC. Each variable has a unique location, but nothing will prevent a berserk piece of code or an incorrect pointer from changing the wrong location or even writing all through memory and trashing everything. Assembler programmers are familiar with this concept since variables in assembly language (especially with simple assemblers) are often global. Unless there is hardware memory management, all variables are potentially global to incorrect code.

When using languages that support reentrant code and genuine local variables saved on the stack, be sure there is enough stack space and initialize all variables. In some nonembedded applications, you can initialize variables such as tables when the code is loaded. This is not true in an embedded system. Initialize everything.

One last note about RAM and PROM: **HLL** compilers for embedded use often require that you tell the compiler (or, actually, the linker) where the RAM and PROM are located, what size they are, and where the stack is to be (if used). This information usually is used by the linker, a program that links together various code modules and produces a single output file. Some compiler/linkers are capable of calculating the required stack size (or at least the worst-case stack size) and automatically setting the stack pointer appropriately.

#### *uo Ports*

Programming hardware 1/0 ports for a microcontroller varies depending on the particular part used. Some microcontrollers, such **as** the PIC17Cxx, have control registers that control the direction of each port bit. Others, such as the 8051, make every port bit an input at reset and the software makes the port bit an output by writing to it. 1/0 ports for **LSI 1/0 ICs** usually have a direction register.

*Sofhoare Design* **137** 

#### *Switch and Other Contact Closures*

**A** switch closure such as relay contacts or a push-button switch will "bounce." Always. **A** switch "bounces" when opening or closing and takes the form of repeated openings and closings of the contacts. If a switch is not debounced in hardware, it usually will need to be debounced in software. Most mechanical switches finish bouncing in 10 to 20 milliseconds (ms). The logic to debounce a switch is as follows:

Switch closure detected:

Wait 30 ms.

Check switch again. If open, it was bounce on opening. If still closed, it was a valid switch closure.

## *Hard Deadlines Versus Soft Deadlines*

An old saying in the embedded world is that the right answer, late, is the wrong answer. Nearly all embedded systems have some type of deadline within which all tasks must be performed. These deadlines can be broadly divided into two categories: hard deadlines and soft deadlines.

*A hard deadline* is something that absolutely must occur by a certain time or things just won't work right. Examples include reading a byte from a UART before the next byte is received and overwrites it. If the software doesn't make it in time, the first byte will be lost.

*A soft deadline* is one that can be missed occasionally, but the average rate must keep up with the requirement. An example of this would be a UART that has a large FIFO to store incoming bytes. The software doesn't have to get every byte out of the FIFO before the next byte is received. **As** long as the *average* read rate is faster than the rate at which bytes are received, the system will work correctly. Note that the soft deadline can become a hard deadline if things fall behind. Once the UART FIFO gets full, at least one byte must be read before the next byte is received or data will be lost.

Another example of a soft deadline is things that can be imprecise without affecting system operation. **A** 30ms switch debounce can vary quite a bit and still work. If your software is a few milliseconds late updating a display, the user isn't going to notice.

## *Dangerous Independence*

**An** earlier example showed a protocol converter with parallel, independent processes. Independent processes are common in embedded systems, but it is also **ITEMS IN HOPPER. AWAITING FEED** 



**Figure 4.4 Conveyor Belt System.** 

important to know when the processes should not be independent. A real-world example will illustrate this: I worked on a motion control subsystem, developed **by**  a contractor, that feeds items into a moving transport system (see Figure 4.4). The system has two requirements that are relevant here.

The first requirement is to meet a particular throughput in items per minute. The second requirement is to maintain a minimum spacing between any two successive items. Two independent processes run these **two** functions. The first process controls a terminal motor speed to maintain throughput, and the second process performs instantaneous adjustments to the motor speed to maintain minimum spacing between individual items. These corrections are small or large, depending on the predicted spacing error. The corrections reduce throughput but are expected to occur infrequently. After a correction, the motor ramped back up to the terminal speed.

The prototypes of the system worked well, but production units could not meet the throughput requirement. It turned out that the spacing process was performing a few corrections to **fix** spacing and this reduced throughput. The throughput process, not knowing about corrections, saw that throughput was too low and raised the terminal speed. This required even more corrections, which lowered throughput even more. The result was that the throughput process walked the speed up to the maximum value, while the spacing process corrected more and more items, until it was finally correcting (or overcorrecting) nearly every item. The reason this showed up only in production was that the mechanical adjustments in the prototype allowed the system to "balance" and run without problems.

The solution for this problem was fairly simple: If too many corrections were performed, terminal speed was lowered instead of raised. **The** amount of speed reduction was weighted by the degree and number of corrections that had

*Software Design* **139** 

occurred. If a problem with the mechanical components or the condition of the items processed caused a lot of corrections, throughput went down until things stabilized again. The processes no longer were independent, but that was necessary to prevent positive feedback.

By now, some readers are pointing out that these two software processes were not truly independent, and that is true. They were coupled, but only through the mechanical characteristics of the system, and that kind of problem can be very difficult to isolate.

## *Software Specifications*

The software specifications tend to be the one document that is never produced or is produced at the end of the project and in a hurry. This is for several reasons:

- **1.** There is no "customer" for the software specs like there is for the hardware specifications. The hardware specs are needed in some form *so* the software can be written, but there often is no corresponding user for equivalent software information.
- 2. The software often is the last part of the project started, and it often starts late. The software engineers may be late because a previous project ran late, and the rest of the project may have had changes right up to the very end. Since functional changes often are implemented in software, it is difficult to finish the code while the project is still in a state of flux.
- **3.** Tools are available that document a software design from flowchart through release. If you can describe the actual software as you go, why not just use that for documentation?

Since there often is no downstream "user" for the software specification, what are the reasons to create one? Who will read it? The following list presents a number of reasons:

- The software specifications serve as an overview of the code for the software engineer who must maintain it. This is important if the code is maintained by someone other than the person who wrote it. After a year or so, it will **also** become important to the person who wrote the code.
- On a multiprogrammer project, software specifications help coordinate the effort.
- Software specifications are useful for design reviews and for checking that the software functionality matches up with the hardware capability.
- Software specifications can uncover oversights and conflicts in the preceding documents (hardware specs, requirements, and *so* on).

Software specifications can help clear up confusion regarding actual functionality, such as how the operator interface works.

## *Software Specifications Outline*

The following is a generic outline for software specifications:

**Overview. A** brief description of what the specifications cover.

**Related documents.** ANSI and **IEEE** specs. May also include a reference to the product requirements document.

**Description.** A brief description of the software and what it does. Typical sections include:

- *Operator interface.* A detailed description of operator interaction with the system. How a keypad is used, what screens are displayed, what keys are locked out in which modes, and so on.
- communication. Proprietary protocols should be spelled out in detail, with opcodes defined, checksum/CRC methods defined, sizes of data packets specified, and *so* on. Spell out things like how word-wide data is transferred over byte-wide interfaces (LSB first or MSB first?). Standard protocols (such as TCP/IP) can just be referenced. *Interfaces to other systems.* Includes a description of protocols used for
- specifications also cover it. However, the software specifications should detail how the hardware will be controlled. Specific algorithms should be specified. If the algorithm is very complex, it may be included in an appendix and referenced here. Assumptions about the hardware should be included, such as the following examples: *Hardware controlkd.* This may appear redundant since the hardware
	- Does the motor controller have hardware protection against shootthrough when the motor changes direction or must this be done in software?
	- Is a software interlock required to prevent two relays from overlapping? How much time does the software allow for the relay to open before checking status?
	- To what state is the hardware assumed to be initialized?
	- How long is the software-generated reset pulse held?
	- Will the software need to insure a write recovery time for any hardware devices?
- *Interrupt/task priorities.* Unique requirements for priorities of interrupts or tasks should be documented. Nested interrupt requirements should be specified.

*Software Design* **141** 

- *Interrupt usage.* How interrupts are used, which ones are used for what, which ones are edge versus level sensitive, and so on.
- *Memory usage.* How the memory will be organized, how much is required for data buffers, how much is needed for storing acquired samples, and so on. Tools. What software tools will be used for development.

**Special requirements.** For example, if the software will perform a lot of floating-point calculations, this should be spelled out. If there is no hardware **FPU,** you will want to prove that the system throughput will still be adequate.

**Appendix.** The appendix should include any calculations that go into the software design, such as the following:

- *Maximum interrupt latency for critical interrupts.* How long interrupts can be turned off.
- *Service time calculations.* To verlfy that processing does not fall behind. Things like processing time for serial data to be sure a byte is not missed.
- *Interface speed.* Calculations to verify that, say, the Ethernet can keep up with the data.
- *Data movement.* If you move a lot of data around, calculations to venfy that the PCI bus, for example, has sufficient bandwidth to handle all the data.

**Customer-driven requirements.** In some designs, there will be documentation requirements provided by the customer. A military customer may want specific documents describing how the design will actually be implemented. A medical application may require a section addressing revision control. A product to be used in a hazardous environment or to control hazardous equipment may require a section that addresses safety.

In Chapter *5,* we'll look at interrupt hardware and software, which is typically what makes an embedded system into a real-time system.

# *Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **5**

I have deliberately left discussion of interrupts out of the preceding chapters, treating interrupt code **as** a "black box" that produces certain outputs. Part of the reason for the brevity of Chapter **4** is that the real-time aspect of an embedded system often depends on interrupts; such software is covered here. Interrupts in a real-time system require a tight relationship between software and hardware.

## *Interrupt Basics*

An interrupt is an input to a microprocessor that temporarily redirects the program flow. An interrupt can notify the processor when an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) has new data, when a timer rolls over, when a direct memory access (DMA) transfer is complete, when another processor wants to communicate, or when almost any asynchronous event happens. The interrupt hardware is initialized and programmed by the system software. When **an** interrupt is acknowledged, that process is performed by hardware internal to the processor and the interrupt controller integrated circuit (IC) (if any). Figure 5.1 shows the sequence of events performed by the hardware and software in response to an interrupt. When an interrupt occurs, the on-chip hardware performs the following functions:

- It saves the program counter (the address the processor was executing when the interrupt occurred) on the stack. Some processors save other information **as** well, such as register contents.
- It executes an interrupt acknowledge cycle to get a vector from the interrupting peripheral, depending on the processor and the specific type of interrupt.
- It branches to a predetermined address specific to that particular interrupt.

The destination address is the *interrupt service routine* (ISR, or sometimes ISP for interrupt service process). The ISR performs whatever functions are required and



## Figure 5.1

Interrupt Processing.

then returns. When the return code is executed, the processor performs the following tasks:

- It retrieves the return address and any other saved information from the stack.
- It resumes execution at the return address.

The return address, in nearly all cases, is the address that would have been executed next if the interrupt had not occurred. If the hardware and software engineers do everything right, the code that was interrupted will not even **know**  that an interrupt occurred. The hardware part of this process occurs at hardware speed-microseconds or even tens **of** nanoseconds for a fast **CPU** with a high clock rate.

Interrupt input to microprocessors comes in various flavors. Some processors have dedicated interrupt input pins that send the processor to a specific address. Other processors have only one interrupt pin, and the interrupting device must supply an *interrupt vector* that tells the processor where the ISR is located. Some processors have both kinds of input.

## *Interrupt Vectors*

All processors require an interrupt vector when **an** interrupt is acknowledged. The interrupt vector tells the processor where to go to service the interrupt. On some processors, the vector can be **an** actual instruction that is executed just as if it occurred in ordinary code. Other processors expect a number that is translated by the processor into an address.

Figure **5.2** shows the three methods of generating **a** vector to the processor: from an external interrupt controller, from an internal interrupt controller, or from the peripheral itself. On some processors that use an internal controller, the internal hardware may not produce a separate interrupt cycle with **a** true vector, but **the**  effect is the same.



## **Figure 5.2**

**Interrupt Vector Generation.** 

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **145** 

## *Edge- and Level-Sensitive Interrupts*

An interrupt input can be edge or level sensitive. A level-sensitive interrupt is recognized by the processor whenever the interrupt pin is in the active state. An edge-sensitive interrupt means that the processor responds to a rising or falling edge on the interrupt pin. Some processors and interrupt controllers have interrupt inputs that can be programmed **as** either level or edge sensitive. We'll address edge- and level-sensitive interrupts in more detail later in the chapter.

## *Interrupt Priority*

Interrupts usually have a priority. The priority determines when an interrupt is serviced. A higher-priority interrupt takes precedence over a lower-priority one if both are asserted at the same time. Some processors permit *nested* interrupts. When enabled by software, nested interrupts allow an ISR itself to be interrupted by a higher-priority device. Interrupts from lower-priority devices are ignored until the higher-priority ISR is completed.

Different types of processors have different priority schemes. The 68000 family parts, for example, allow a peripheral requesting an interrupt to assert its own priority; it is up to the hardware engineer to make sure there are no conflicts. The Intel 8259 interrupt controller has several interrupt input pins, with programmable priority. The priority of interrupts inside an embedded processor sometimes is fixed, sometimes programmable.

#### *Interrupt Hardware*

Hardware to implement interrupts varies with the processor and the peripheral doing the interrupting. We will look at the simplest cases first and work up.

The simplest interrupt is a single pin on the microprocessor. The 80188, for example, has four interrupt inputs: INTO, INTl, INT2, and INTS. (There is a fifth, NMI, which we'll discuss later.) These interrupts may be programmed to be either level or edge sensitive. Each pin, when activated, causes the processor to vector to a specific address, **as** shown in Table 5.1.

The code at the interrupt address is usually a jump to the actual ISR somewhere else. The vector, as mentioned earlier, is provided by an interrupt controller inside the 80188.

Interrupt	<b>Vector Address</b>
<b>INTO</b>	00030h
INT <sub>1</sub>	00034h
INT <sub>2</sub>	00038h
INT <sub>3</sub>	0003Ch

**Table 5.1 801 88 Interrupt Vector Addresses.** 

The software may enable any, all, or none of these four interrupts, and it determines whether the interrupts are level or edge sensitive. In some processors, such as the PIC 17C4x, interrupt pins can be used for functions other **than** interrupts, and this is under software control as well. In general, most processors have the ability not only to enable and disable specific interrupts but also to disable all interrupts at the same time.

The second type of interrupt is generated by internal peripherals. The 80188 internal peripherals that can generate interrupts include **two** DMA controllers and three timers. Other processors and other versions of the 80188 have different internal peripherals, such as universal asynchronous receiver/transmitters (UARTs) .

Internal interrupts work much the same way **as** external interrupts. Some event, such as a timer rollover, occurs, and an interrupt is generated to the processor. Like the external interrupts, these usually have a predetermined interrupt vector address. The software must enable the peripheral device and enable interrupts from the device. Like interrupts from the external pins, these interrupts are handled and the corresponding vectors produced by the internal interrupt controller.

Sometimes internal interrupts are shared. That is, multiple devices may share a single interrupt source and vector. The timers in the 80188 work this way-all three timers use the same interrupt. The ISR must read the timer status bits to determine which timer (or timers) generated the interrupt. Similarly, the PIC 17C42 has several internal peripherals that can generate interrupts but only three interrupt vectors, so several peripherals must share an (internal) interrupt signal and vector. When a peripheral interrupt occurs on the shared line, the ISR must poll the interrupt status bits to see which peripherals are requesting service. The PIC 16C6x series has only one interrupt vector-all interrupts require polling to determine the source unless only one source is enabled.

The next level of interrupt complexity involves a vector provided by the peripheral. In this scheme, the peripheral interrupts the processor, and the processor acknowledges the interrupt. When the acknowledge occurs, the peripheral places a vector value on the data bus. In some early processors, such **as** the 8085 and 280, the vector was actually an instruction. These processors include several 1-byte instructions that force the processor to a specific address in low memory, and the interrupt controller typically would provide one of those instructions in response to an interrupt acknowledge. Other processors expect a number, which is used as a pointer into a table, usually in low memory, that contains the jump instructions to the IS&. (The 280 actually supports both schemes.) The 8086 family, for example, reserves the first 1024 bytes of code space, from *00000* to 003FF, for the interrupt table.

Using external vectors on processors that have that capability is the most flexible interrupt scheme, but it also requires more hardware than other methods. Each peripheral in this scheme must include the hardware to recognize interrupt cycles and provide the correct vector.

## *Interrupt Bus Cycles*

When using an external vector, most processors perform an interrupt acknowledge cycle that is similar to other bus cycles, but with different control or status signals. The 8086, for example, performs a bus read cycle but with the interrupt acknowledge (-INTA) signal replacing **-RD** and with a different status indication. The 68000 asserts -DS normally, and only the status bits indicate that the bus cycle is an interrupt acknowledge.

Some designs use an interrupt IC that has several discrete interrupt inputs but interfaces to the single processor interrupt line. These ICs produce the interrupt request to the processor and, when the interrupt is acknowledged, return the interrupt vector corresponding to the highest-priority interrupt pin activated. The 8259, typical of interrupt controller ICs, has eight interrupt inputs and is designed to interface to Intel processors.

#### *Daisy-Chained Interrupts*

Some designs use daisychained priority interrupts, which are illustrated in Figure 5.3. The interrupt input in this scheme, usually open collector, is driven by all the interrupting peripherals. When any peripheral needs to interrupt the processor, it activates the interrupt line. Each peripheral also has a priority in and a priority out. The priority in is connected to the next highest peripheral in the daisy chain or tied active for the highest-priority device. The priority out is connected to the next lowest peripheral. If any particular peripheral is not requesting an interrupt, its



#### **Figure 5.3**  Daisy-Chained Priority Interrupts.

priority out follows priority in. If the peripheral is requesting an interrupt, its priority out is blocked, which also forces all lower priority-out pins to be blocked. This prevents lower-priority peripherals from generating an interrupt vector. When the processor generates an acknowledge, the highest-priority peripheral that is requesting an interrupt returns the vector.

The advantage of daisy-chained interrupts is that fewer interrupt lines are needed-one instead of one per peripheral. The disadvantage is the priority structure. In this method, the first device has the first chance at acknowledging the interrupt, even if it asserted the interrupt after a lower-priority device.

If several higher-priority devices (that is, higher in the acknowledge chain) assert interrupts, it may be some time before the interrupt from a lower-priority device is serviced. The priority of each device in a daisychained scheme is fixed by the peripheral's position in the chain-the software cannot change it.

## *Other Types of Interrupts*

The 68000 family of processors can support a fairly sophisticated interrupt scheme. The processor has three interrupt input signals that are encoded into seven priority levels (the eighth level occurs when all the inputs are inactive). Any device can request an interrupt by driving these inputs with its priority level. A priority circuit inside the processor checks the input priority against the current processor priority. If the input priority is higher, the interrupt is acknowledged. The interrupt vector may come from the interrupting device or may be generated internally. If

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **149** 



#### **Figure 5.4**

**Gating Used to Enable and Disable** NMI.

the interrupt request input is of a lower priority than the current processor priority, the interrupt is ignored.

The interrupt inputs are often driven by opencollector devices so that multiple peripherals can share them. Multiple peripherals can share the same priority level, but each peripheral can provide a different vector to the CPU.

In addition to ordinary interrupts, many processors also have a nonmaskable interrupt (NMI) input. As its name implies, NMI is not maskable (cannot be ignored) by the software and always will be serviced by the processor even if interrupts are off. It normally is used for things such as pending power shutdown, memory parity error, or some fatal error in the system. Many embedded designs do not use NMI but terminate it in the inactive state so it can never occur. NMI *can* be used just like any other interrupt, but remember that it cannot be ignored; when NMI occurs, the processor always responds. If you are using NMI as a generalpurpose interrupt, be sure that you know when it can occur or make provisions to disable it with external hardware. Make sure the NMI cannot occur before the interrupt vector and stack are set up.

Figure **5.4** shows how the NMI can be gated with external hardware using a **two**input AND gate. One input of the gate goes to the interrupt signal; the other input goes to a control signal, which is a port bit or register bit that can be written by the CPU. The output of the gate goes to the NMI input on the CPU. To enable the interrupt, the CPU sets the control bit to a 1. This allows the interrupt input to drive the NMI line. To disable the interrupt, the CPU sets the control line to a 0. This example assumes a high true interrupt source and a high true NMI; obviously, the logic would be different if the input or NMI were low true.

## *Using Interrupt Hardware*

This section describes some guidelines for interfacing to the interrupt hardware.

#### *Level-Sensitive Interrupts*

When using level-sensitive interrupts, remember that the processor will see an interrupt when *and* only when the level-sensitive interrupt pin is in the active state. This can be an advantage or a disadvantage. If the interrupt gets stuck in the active state, the processor will service the interrupt, exit the ISR, and immediately reenter the ISR. On most processors, nothing else will get done, as the processor loops continuously in the interrupt code. When using level sensitive interrupts, make sure they cannot get stuck. If a level-sensitive interrupt comes from an external device or system, be sure that turning off the power to that device will not leave the interrupt in the active state.

Devices that generate level-sensitive interrupts usually need some mechanism that allows the processor to clear the interrupt request before exiting the ISR. In some cases, this may happen automatically, such **as** when the processor reads a byte from the peripheral. In other cases, the software may have to clear the interrupt by writing to an address, toggling a port bit, or performing some other operation.

The reverse of a stuck interrupt also can occur with a level-sensitive interrupt. If the interrupt is asserted and removed before the processor services it, the interrupt (usually) will never be recognized. This can occur if the interrupt is not latched and the processor has interrupts disabled or if the processor is busy servicing a higher-priority interrupt that takes longer to handle than the active time of the missed interrupt.

Level-sensitive interrupts can be useful if multiple devices share the interrupt. The devices can each assert the interrupt when necessary. If two devices assert the interrupt at the same time, the processor will service the first one, exit the ISR, reenter the ISR, and service the second. Note that in processors that have a single interrupt input and an external controller or that use daisy-chained interrupts, the single interrupt input is level sensitive.

#### *Edge-Sensitive Interrupts*

Edge-sensitive interrupts are ideal for counting events. The processor accepts the interrupt only on the edge. Some processors have a requirement that the interrupt go to the active state and stay in that state until serviced. For these devices, pulsing the interrupt to the *inactive* state generates the interrupt. For example, the 80188 interrupt inputs, when programmed to be edge sensitive, must go high and remain high until serviced by the processor. The interrupting device can leave the line high and pulse it *low* to generate **an** interrupt. The line can be left high between interrupts. If you use this technique, be sure that the inactive pulse width meets the minimum requirement for the processor or interrupt controller IC. This time usually is measured in clock cycles.

Figure 5.5 illustrates the difference between edge- and level-sensitive interrupts.

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **151** 



#### Figure 5.5

Edge- Versus Level-Sensitive Interrupts.

Edge-sensitive interrupts are ideal for applications in which the peripheral needs to interrupt the processor without waiting to see whether the interrupt actually is acknowledged. In addition, edge-sensitive interrupts have no problem with continual interrupts if they get stuck, but they have the opposite problem. If the interrupt gets stuck in the active state, the processor does not continuously service it but instead ignores subsequent interrupts. If two devices share an edge-sensitive interrupt and one device generates an interrupt request followed by the second device, the second interrupt usually will be missed since the processor (or interrupt controller) saw only one edge. For this reason, edge-sensitive interrupts are rarely shared. Figure *5.6* illustrates this condition.

If edge-sensitive interrupts must be shared, there are ways around the missed interrupt problem. The simplest method is to have a status buffer that can be read by the processor to see which devices are requesting an interrupt. Each peripheral must set its bit in the status buffer when it requests an interrupt and leave the bit set until the interrupt is serviced. The software services the first interrupt, enables the interrupt (if disabled), then checks the register. If more interrupt requests are pending, the processor services the second interrupt *before* exiting the **ISR.** 



#### **Figure 5.6 Shared Edge-Sensitive Interrupts.**

Another method to handle this problem is to arbitrate the interrupt input so that no device can request an interrupt while the line is active. Each interrupting device must hold the line active by using a resettable flip-flop or something similar until the processor clears it. The software does not clear the interrupt line until it has serviced the interrupt and is ready to accept another. Most edge-sensitive interrupt circuits permit the internal interrupt to be cleared before another actually is enabled, which keeps the ISR from being a reentrant.

A single device connected to an edge-sensitive interrupt can have the same missed interrupt problem as multiple devices if it generates interrupts at a rate faster than the processor can service them.

Many processors, such as the 80188, have timer inputs that can be used as interrupts. The timer is programmed to count external edges on the input pin and generate an interrupt on rollover. The timer is then loaded with a count that is one less than the rollover value. The first edge causes a rollover and generates an interrupt. The ISR must reload the counter for the next interrupt. If the timer function is not needed, the input can function as an interrupt. Even if the extra interrupt is not needed because you ran out of interrupt inputs, using a timer input as an interrupt can be useful in some applications. If it is essential to know if an interrupt is missed, this can be determined by looking at the timer in the ISR. If no interrupts are missed, the timer will have rolled over to zero. If one or more interrupts were missed, the timer will keep incrementing them and the value will be greater than zero.

If you have a use for this technique, remember that some timers can be programmed either to keep incrementing after a rollover or to roll over and stop. Be sure you program the timer to keep going after a rollover. Figure 5.7 shows a timing diagram of the use of a timer as an interrupt source.



Figure 5.7

Using Timer Input as an Interrupt.

#### *Externally Vectored Interrupts*

Using externally vectored interrupts, where the interrupting device generates the interrupt vector, is fairly straightforward. When using **an** interrupt controller IC, choose one that is compatible with the processor family and program it accordingly. You must select a version of the interrupt controller IC that matches the speed of the processor. Be sure that you know the quirks of the part before using it. Some interrupt controller ICs, for example, can have all interrupt inputs be edge or level sensitive, but the individual inputs are not programmable.

In cases where there is no interrupt controller but the peripheral itself generates the vector, the design is a bit more complicated. First, the peripheral device must generate a vector that is compatible with the processor. In many peripheral ICs, this is programmable, but make sure there are no conflicts with other devices that might use the same vector. Also, the timing must be compatible with the proces sor—setup time, hold time, and so on. When interfacing a peripheral that generates vectored interrupts, be sure that other logic does not mistake an interrupt acknowledge cycle for a normal bus cycle. For example, if an address decode generates a read strobe to an input buffer, be sure it decodes the processor status lines and does not go active when an interrupt cycle is executed.

If daisy-chained interrupts are used and peripherals are not all from the same family, make sure that the priority in/out signals and timing delays are compatible.

When interfacing a peripheral that expects **an** INTA signal (such **as 8086** family parts) to a **68000** or similar part that does not generate an INTA, make sure the INTA signal is synthesized by decoding the -DS and the processor status bits. Be sure that the decoding logic never mistakes a normal processor cycle for **an** interrupt cycle.

Daisy-chained interrupts have one disadvantage—they cannot be individually masked at the processor. If the peripheral logic has no provision to mask the interrupt, there is no way for the processor to ignore that interrupt when performing a high-priority task that cannot be interrupted. Be sure this is not a problem with the system design. If it is, some mechanism must be added to allow the processor to individually mask the peripheral interrupts.

The *68000* family parts, **as** mentioned earlier, have encoded interrupt lines. Most peripheral ICs have only one interrupt output. If you are interfacing just one of these to a 68000 family processor or other processor with encoded interrupt lines, you can wire-OR the lines to get the priority that you want. However, if there is more than one peripheral and you need more than one priority level, you must drive the lines individually, through buffers.

Some peripheral ICs (such as the **Z853x** parts) require that INTA or other signals be synchronized to a clock. Be sure you work out this timing and add wait states if necessary.

#### **Interrupt Software**

More than any other single thing, the handling of interrupts is probably what sets real-time embedded software apart from other microprocessor-based software.

If interrupts are used, the software must initialize the hardware. This means enabling the interrupts, loading the interrupt vector table into RAM (if required), programming vectors (in peripherals with that feature), and selecting any other relevant parameters (such **as** the edge/level mode). It is important that interrupts not actually be enabled until everything else is set up. If an interrupt occurs before the vector table is loaded into RAM, the results will not be good.

#### *Interrupt Service Mechanics*

When an interrupt occurs, the ISR is executed. All ISRs must perform three actions:

- Service the hardware that generated the interrupt.
- Enable the system to accept further interrupts.
- Return control to the point at which the interrupt occurred.

The only exceptions to this are terminal interrupts, such as NMI, that can be used to signal the processor to stop due to an error.

Servicing the hardware that generated the interrupt means clearing the interrupt request, if necessary, and processing whatever caused the request. The pool

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* 155

timer system has one interrupt, a timer interrupt that occurs 250 times per second. Servicing that interrupt involves the following sequence (Appendix A lists the full pseudocode) :

- $\bullet$  Increment the 1/250-second time counter.
- If the display should blink, do it at half-second intervals.
- At the 1-second rollover, decrement the current time.
- If the current time rolls over to *OO:OO,* set a flag to tell the polling loop about it and load the next (off or on) time.
- Write the next display digit to the display and enable the proper digit. (This scans the display.)
- If keys are pressed on the keypad, debounce them and set the appropriate flag for the polling loop.

On returning from the ISR, enabling the system to accept further interrupts may be as simple as executing an interrupt return instruction that is similar to the ordinary subroutine return but also reenables interrupts. Other processors require a separate interrupt enable (reenable) instruction prior to an ordinary subroutine return instruction.

Some interrupt controllers, both those inside and outside the processor IC, need to be told when interrupt processing is complete by writing a value to some address. In this case, just returning from the ISR is insufficient. Returning to the code that was interrupted also means restoring the state of the machine. Any registers that were used in the interrupt should have been saved on the stack and restored before returning.

When an interrupt occurs, the processor saves the return address on the stack (usually). (The stack was described in Chapter **4.)** The usual practice for entering an ISR is to save all the processor registers, just like any other subroutine. However, as Chapter 4 discussed, limitations on stack size sometimes make this impractical.

An ordinary (non-ISR) subroutine can be called with the knowledge that some registers will be changed during execution. An ISR cannot do this, as there is no way to know what registers are being used by the polling loop or a higher-priority ISR when the interrupt occurs, The ISR must save all registers that it uses unless the software engineer can be sure that a particular register is used nowhere else. This is a dangerous practice unless you can dedicate certain registers only to the ISR.

In addition to limiting stack size, some processors make it impossible to save registers on the stack. The PIC17C42, for example, has a 16-level stack and no PUSH or POP instructions. The stack is used for return addresses only. Values that must be saved, such as register contents, must be stored in discrete RAM locations as described for subroutines in Chapter 4.

In processors that have a hardwired stack, you must limit the levels of ISRs or the stack will overflow. You cannot pass parameters on the stack (at least not very many) for the same reason.

The context switching registers described in Chapter **4** can be used for interrupts. For example, the **8051** has four independent register banks. One could be used for the polling loop, one for subroutines, and **two** for interrupts. For proces sors that have this capability, remember that common registers must be saved just like in a subroutine. Going back to the 8051, for example, there is only one accumulator register, **so** the **ISRs** must push it onto the stack. Of course, any register save method that works for a subroutine will work for an ISR.

#### *Nested Interrupts*

Any time an interrupt occurs, there is a possibility that a second interrupt will occur right after the first ISR begins executing. If this happens, the second ISR cannot execute until the first ISR finishes executing. Say you have a system with **two**  asynchronous, unrelated interrupts. One of the interrupts does nothing but toggle a port bit. **If** you hook an oscilloscope to that port bit, you will find that the edgetoedge timing varies by **an** amount equal to the execution time of the first ISR (plus any time that interrupts are disabled for other reasons).

*As* I mentioned earlier, some processors allow interrupts to be nested, which allows an ISR to itself be interrupted by another interrupt. The simplest method of interrupt nesting is to allow any ISR to be interrupted by any other. The other, more complex, method is to allow an ISR to be interrupted only by a higherpriority interrupt.

Interrupt nesting normally is used when a high-priority interrupt cannot wait. Without nesting, the lowest-priority interrupt becomes the highest while it is executing. Many microprocessors and microcontrollers disable all interrupts as soon as **an** ISR is executed. **To** use nested interrupts, the interrupt routines that you want to make interruptible must reenable interrupts as soon as they begin execution.

If your design requires interrupt nesting, there are some special considerations:

**Stack Size.** The first consideration is stack size, which becomes important if interrupts are nested. If you have an eight-level stack and nine levels of interrupts, you have an obvious problem.

**Limited Register Sets.** Context switching, as **I** mentioned earlier, can be a problem, depending on how many levels you have. I have designed several boards using the ADSP-2101 family parts, and all these designs use the

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* 157

alternate register set for interrupts. On these designs, **I** did not need interrupt nesting, so **I** always know that the alternate set is available to an **ISR** when it is executed. By definition, any previous **ISRs** have completed before the next one begins. However, if you need to nest interrupts and you have more interrupts than you have alternate register sets, then you must use another method to save the context.

Jitter. Nesting interrupts does not eliminate jitter (variation in interrupt response time caused by latency of other interrupts), but it does reduce it. Jitter is not completely eliminated because there is still some time required for the hardware to execute the interrupt and for the first **ISR** to save the CPU context and then reenable interrupts. In effect, the amount of time that interrupts are disabled is not the execution time of the **ISR,** but the execution time of the **ISR** up to the point that interrupts are reenabled.

Priority Tradeoff. Suppose you have that two-interrupt system we mentioned a few paragraphs back and you decide to make the port bit toggle more stable by nesting it with the first interrupt. You reenable interrupts inside the first **ISR** so the port bit **ISR** gets to execute if its interrupt occurs. You look at the port bit on an oscilloscope and see that it is now more stable than before. However, what you can't see is that the first interrupt now has increased latency. The first **ISR** must stop executing if the second interrupt occurs, and does not resume until the second **ISR** is finished.

#### *Passing Data to or from the ISR*

When it occurs, an interrupt is an asynchronous event. The polling loop can be doing anything, executing any instruction, at the time. Unlike a subroutine call, the **ISR** cannot pass information to the polling loop in a register, unless that register is unused by the polling loop *and* by all other interrupts. The usual method of passing information from the **ISR** is via buffers and semaphores. The pool timer, for example, uses the flags shown in Table **5.2** to pass information from the interrupt to the polling loop.

The interrupt routine sets these flags and the polling loop resets them after they are recognized. The pool timer does not pass specific parameters from the polling code to the **ISR,** but the **ISR** does use values set by the polling loop: the initial ON and OFF times, loaded when time rolls over, for example.

Table 5.2 Pool Timer Flags

Flag	<b>Function</b>
<b>ONFLAG</b>	Set when the ON key is pressed by the user
<b>OFFLAG</b>	Set when the OFF key is pressed by the user
<b>SEFLAG</b>	Set when the SET key is pressed by the user
<b>FCFLAG</b>	Set when the FCN key is pressed by the user
<b>MTFLAG</b> <b>TFLAG</b>	Set when the water low switch is closed Set when time rolls over to 0:0



## Figure 5.8

Interrupt Stackup.

## *Some Real World Dos and Don'ts*

## *Interrupt Stackup*

Figure 5.8 shows a system with three interrupts and three ISRs. The code is executing in the background when interrupt 1 occurs. During execution of ISR 1, interrupt 2 occurs. As soon **as** ISR 1 is finished, ISR 2 will be executed. During ISR 2, interrupt 3 occurs, so ISR 2 is followed by ISR 3. The background code stops execution for the sum of the time it takes ISR 1, ISR 2, and ISR 3 to execute. Note that the interrupts do not have to occur simultaneously to stack up and *appear* simultaneous to the non-ISR code.

*In any system with more than one active interrupt, eventually, the interrupts will stack up. Count on it.* 

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **159** 

The only exception is when you know some interrupts are mutually exclusive. For example, if you are using a half-duplex serial interface, you know you will not have receive and transmit interrupts simultaneously. Similarly, if your system uses either an Ethernet or **RS232** interface but never both, you can probably assume that you don't have to worry about those interrupts stacking up.

#### *Stuck interrupts*

*As* mentioned earlier, a stuck level-sensitive interrupt can cause the processor to hang up in the ISR. It is possible to detect a stuck interrupt (say, *so* you can shut off the motors when it occurs). If you know that the interrupt rate is slow enough that the polling loop should execute several times between interrupts, set a flag on each pass through the polling loop. The ISR checks the flag and if it is not set, the interrupt must be stuck. If the interrupt can occur more than once for each pass through the polling loop, add a counter to the ISR and increment the count each time that the ISR executes when the flag is not set. If the count ever reaches a value higher than should occur in normal operation, the interrupt is stuck. With either approach, be sure to take into account other **ISRs** that may delay execution of the polling loop.

#### *The Shared Memory or VO Trap*

Look at the following numbered lines of pseudocode:

- 1. Read location xyz.
- 2. OR the value 01 with the data from *xyz.*
- **3.** Store the result back at *xyz.*

Now look at the following interrupt code:

OR the value 2Oh into location *xyz.*  Return.

If *xyz* contains, say, 00, and the first code (steps **1** to **3)** is executed, followed by the interrupt, the result will be **21** in *xyz.* If, however, the interrupt occurs while the processor is between lines 1 and 2 or 2 and **3,** the result will be 01 in *xyz.* The operation performed by the interrupt is overwritten by the code. You can see this if you rewrite the original pseudocode with the **ISR** code in the middle:

- 1. Read location *xyz.* **(CPU** reads *00)*
- 2. OR the value 01 with the data from *xyz*. (Data = 01, but not stored in *xyz* yet.)

-Interrupt occurs here-

OR the value 20 h into location *xyz.* (This is ISR code; ISR leaves 20 h in *xyz.)* 

*3.* Store the result back at *qz.* (CPU now stores 01 in *9%.* ISR operation **is**  overwritten.)

This is a contrived example, but I have seen this exact scenario occur with an 1/0 register more than once. Avoid this pitfall. It can be extremely difficult to find, as it can be very intermittent. This leads to what I call the first rule of interrupts:

## *Wherever possible, avoid having interrupts that write memory or VO locations that are also written by the polling loop or by other interrupts. Locations written by the polling loop should be read by the ISR and vice versa.*

The exception is certain semaphores. The pool timer ISR, for example, sets semaphores when a key is pressed. If the polling loop does not clear the semaphore (if it is in a mode in which that key is ignored), the ISR resets the semaphore when the key is released. This is a "safe" violation of the rule since the key press will never be *so* fast that the polling loop misses it. It is safe to violate this rule on occasion, but be sure you know it is really safe. Again, problems in this area can be very hard to find.

In cases where you must violate this rule because of hardware constraints (an 1/0 expander IC shared between the polling loop and the interrupt code, for example), disable interrupts before the write operation and reenable interrupts after the write. This will keep an ISR from altering the contents in the middle of a write. The following is the original pseudocode sequence, bracketed by the disable/enable:

Disable interrupts.

- 1. Read location xyz.
- 2. OR the value 01 with the data from *xyz*.
- *3.* Store the result back at *xyz.*

Enable interrupts.

This, by the way, is the reason why common registers such as the 8051 accumulator must be saved in the ISR. If the polling loop just did an AND operation on the accumulator to check a bit and then the ISR changes the accumulator, the polling loop would make the wrong decision.

One way to avoid problems with shared hardware is to have a pair of mask bytes. For example, say an %bit register or output port is written by the software. The lower **4** bits are connected to status **LEDs** and the upper 4 bits turn four solenoids on and off. Let us also say that the polling loop controls the LEDs and an ISR controls the solenoids. This is an obvious case where a potential conflict can occur.

*Intermpts in Embedded Systems* 161

One solution to this is for the polling code to have a mask byte in RAM. The polling loop turns bits on and off in the LED mask but never writes to the hardware register. The ISR writes to the register, ORing the LED mask byte into its own solenoid control value. This could be reversed, of course, with the polling loop controlling the hardware and the ISR having a solenoid mask byte.

A related problem can occur on many peripheral ICs. The complexity of modern peripheral parts often requires them to have a number of internal registers. Rather than using a large block of processor address space, these parts sometimes have two addresses: register select and data. In operation, the processor writes the number of the internal register it wants to read or write to the peripheral's register select address. It then reads or writes the data address to modify the selected internal register. If a process in the polling loop or in a lower-priority ISR tries to access such a peripheral, and an interrupt occurs between register selection and data transfer, and if the ISR also uses the peripheral IC, the original process will access the wrong register when it regains control. This happens frequently. It is an easy problem to overlook, and it is not always possible to avoid having the ISR and another task access the same peripheral IC.

#### *Race Condition*

A software race condition exists when a process tries to use data before the data really are ready. Say that a system has a process in the polling loop and a regular interrupt ISR. The polling loop process gets data from some external device such **as** an ADC or maybe commands via an **RS-232** link to an external controller. The polling loop process places the input data in a memory location, DATABYTE. It also sets a flag (semaphore) byte, FLAGBYTE, to tell the ISR data are available. Each time the ISR executes, if the flag byte is set, it reads the data from DATABYTE and does something with that data, then resets the flag byte. Now look at the following code description for the polling loop process:

- 1. If input data are available,
- 2. Set FLAGBYTE,
- 3. Store input data at DATABYTE.

If the interrupt occurs between Steps 2 and 3, the ISR sees the flag set and processes the data. However, because the polling loop process **has** not yet written the data, the ISR uses whatever value is in DATABYTE, which probably is the *pevious* data value. In addition, the actual data byte is never processed because the flag was reset by the ISR.

The simple fix for this problem is to swap lines 2 and 3 in the code description or disable interrupts around those lines of code. Note that an optimizing compiler (see Chapter **4)** can create a race condition under the right circumstances.

## *Cumulative lime Errors*

Imagine a system in which a timer generates an interrupt. Inside the ISR, the software reloads the timer for the next interrupt. This might be done because the timer, for whatever reason, is incapable of generating a regular output or because the time between interrupts needs to vary with external events. Look at the following ISR code description:

ISR entry. Save registers on stack. Calculate new timer value. Store value to timer.

When the timer interrupt occurs, a varying amount of time will pass before the ISR actually is executed, depending on what the CPU is doing. If a higher-priority ISR is executing or if interrupts are not nested, then the variation in this delay can be quite large. Figure **5.9** illustrates this situation. In the figure, the CPU loads and starts the timer at the beginning of each crosshatched area. The timer generates an interrupt and stops counting at the end of the crosshatched area.

Assume that the delay between assertion of the interrupt **and** execution of the instruction in the ISR that loads the counter is 300 microseconds ( $\mu$ s) (area A on Figure **5.9)** and the next interrupt must occur 10 milliseconds (ms) after the current one. Since it takes  $300\mu s$  to load the counter, the time before the next interrupt actually will be 10.3ms. This error accumulates; each interrupt interval is off by **300ps.** More important, since other factors can cause this value to vary, you cannot just subtract the error from the timer load value. For example, the delay indicated by area B on Figure **5.9** might indicate a case in which the normal delay



#### **Figure 5.9**

**Variation in Interrupt Servicing (Latency).** 

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* **163** 

is increased because the CPU was doing something (such as a DMA transfer) that had precedence over the interrupt. The third delay, area C, might be where the CPU was executing another ISR when the timer interrupt occurred.

In this particular case, the best solution is to use a timer that can generate regular interrupts. A more likely scenario in which that would not be possible is if the time between interrupts must vary. In that case, this particular problem can be fixed by using a timer with a holding register that can be loaded with a new count while it is running and that starts using the new count when the current count expires. When the interrupt is generated, the timer automatically starts the next count and the ISR calculates the following count and loads it into the timer's holding register.

This example concentrated on timers, but the basic principle applies to any system in which the software causes a timed value to vary. The basic rule is that, if a cumulative error is unacceptable, the timing function (or at least the timebase) must be performed in hardware. **An** example of **an** area in which cumulative timing error does not create a problem is an **RS232** interface implemented in software. Since the receiver resynchronizes on each byte, a small error in bit-to-bit sample timing is acceptable. On the other hand, in a timekeeping application, where time of day must be maintained, an error of  $1$  count in  $10,000$  results in the time being off by a full minute at the end of a week. This is unacceptable in most such applications.

The time accumulation rule does not preclude software operation of functions such as a real-time clock. It just means that the timebase, which generates the regular timekeeping interrupts, must be maintained in hardware. This latency in servicing interrupts limits the precision with which software can perform any timing operation and must be taken into account in the design.

#### *Multiple Reads*

Suppose our polling loop has a piece of code that does this:

Read location X If  $X = 0$ , do something Read location X again If  $X = 1$ , do something different Read location X again If  $X = 2$ , do a third thing and so on

The intent is that only one operation will be performed for each pass through the loop because  $X$  is not expected to change. Then suppose that an interrupt occurs between the first and second read and that the ISR changes the value of X
The result could be a path through the decision tree that appears impossible when you try to debug it. In cases where an ISR can change a memory location, never assume it will be the same on **two** separate reads outside the ISR. If the polling loop (or another ISR, if nested interrupts are used) needs to use the value twice, read it once, then store it in a register or a temporary memory location for subsequent use:

Read location X Store it in location Y If  $Y = 0$ , do something Read location Y again If  $Y = 1$ , do something different Read location Y again If  $Y = 2$ , do a third thing and so on

Now changes to  $X$  do not affect what the loop does. This scenario may seem unlikely, but remember that some simple microcontrollers have no comparewith-immediate-data instruction. On these processors, the only way to test for a specific value is to exclusive-OR the variable  $(X \in \mathbb{R})$  in this case) with the desired value and check for a zero result. Then X must be read again for the next test.

## *HigWLow Pairs*

Sometimes you have a counter that is reset outside the ISR and incremented inside the ISR (this violates the rule about having the variable written by both ISR and non-ISR code, but sometimes it must happen in this way). Consider the following code, which might be used to keep track of the position of a rotating wheel. This wheel has a sensor in one spot, which indicates the home position. Wheel position is calculated with reference to home.

#### **Won-ISR** *code:*

When home sensor detected, Reset **high** byte of position count Reset low byte of position count

#### **ISR code:**

Increment low byte of count If low byte rolled over from **FT** to 00, increment high byte of count.

This is typical of a l6bit counter implemented on an 8-bit processor, although the same principle applies to a 32-bit counter on a 16-bit processor. Suppose the

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* 165

counter is at OlFF and the interrupt occurs between the two instructions that reset the bytes of the count. The high byte gets reset to *00* by the non-ISR code and then the interrupt occurs. The low byte is incremented from FF to 00, causing the high byte to be incremented to 01. The resulting count is 100 instead of 200 or 0000, and the position count is completely off.

You can solve this problem by protecting the two resets with interrupt disable/enable pairs. However, I worked on a system once where this solution introduced an unacceptable delay in interrupt servicing. The fix in that case was to reset the low byte first. Then, if the interrupt occurred between the resets, the low byte would increment from *00* to 01, not affecting the high byte when the non-ISR code resumed execution. This same principle applies any time you have two values that are dependent on each other. Note that some compilers can produce this scenario when working with values that are wider than the word width of the machine. You can sometimes run into this problem with hardware that is wider than your word width, such as a 16-bit timer connected to an 8-bit processor.

Another way to fix this specific problem is to have the interrupt code perform both the reset and the increment. When the counter is to be reset, the non-ISR code sets a flag. When the ISR is executed, it checks the flag. If the flag is not set, it increments the count. If the flag is set, the ISR code zeros the count and clears the flag. Note that this fix doesn't work in some situations in which the two values are in hardware registers.

#### *Minimizing Low-Priority Interrupt Service Time*

Figure 5.10 shows a dualdigital signal processor (DSP) system, implemented using a pair of Analog Devices ADSP-2101s. The ADSP-2101 has a high-speed serial inter-



# **Figure 5.10**

**Dual DSP System with Communication Interrupts.** 

**166** *Embedded Micropfocessm* **Systems** 

face that can be used to communicate between two **DSPs.** In this system, which is a simplified diagram of an actual design, both **DSPs** had to service a regular, high-priority interrupt every few microseconds. **DSPl** controlled some high-speed (proprietary) circuitry. **DSP2** processed the output from that circuitry and communicated with a host processor on another board. The two **DSPs** communicated via the serial link, sending 16 bits of data at a time.

I wanted to use the high-speed serial link for interprocessor communication, but processing the regular interrupt could not be delayed more than a few clock cycles. Since the **ADSP-2101** has only one alternate register set, which I already was using, I did not want to use nested interrupts. The normal method of servicing the receive interrupt would be to read the word from the input register, check for errors, put the received word in a buffer, and then return. I could not afford to delay this long before servicing the regular interrupt.

One detail about the design that made the problem solvable was that the serial interface was not receiving or sending a continuous stream of data. Information was sent over the serial link intermittently. The solution was to add an edgesensitive acknowledge interrupt from **DSPl** to **DSP2** and vice versa. When a byte is received by **DSP2** from **DSP1,** the receive interrupt code just sets a flag and returns. The polling loop checks the flag once per pass, and if data are available, it reads and processes the received word.

The acknowledge interrupt is used to prevent data overruns if **DSPl** wants to send more than one word. When **DSPl** transmits, it clears a semaphore, TXRDY. It will not transmit again until TXRDY is set, which happens when **DSP2** sends back the interrupt. **DSP2** does this when it has processed a received byte. If the polling loop gets busy processing host data and does not get to the received byte, **DSPl** just waits. If necessary, the FIFO buffer can continue to fill with pending transmit data, although this rarely occurred in the actual system.

Since the acknowledge interrupt and receive interrupt merely set flags, they need not save any registers. The **ISRs** consist of two instructions: one to set the flag and an interrupt return. The pseudocode for the polling loop and interrupts follows **(DSP2** is shown; **DSPl** is the same without the host processing part):

```
start of polling loop: 
If RXRDY is set (Rx serial data available), 
      Read data byte 
      Send acknowledge interrupt to DSPl 
      Process Rx data. 
If host data available, 
      Read host data 
      Process host data. 
      Write data to transmit FIFO buffer. 
If transmit data available for DSPl ,
```
*Intmpts in Embedded Systems* **167** 

If transmit **FIFO** has data, If *TXRDY* **flag** set, Reset TXRDY **flag**  Transmit a word to other **DSF!**  Rx serial data **ISR:**  Set RXRDY Return. Set TXRDY Return **End** of **polling** loop. Transmit acknowledge **ISR** 

# *When to Use lnterrupts*

This topic almost makes more sense at the beginning of the chapter, but I wanted to talk about how interrupts work before discussing why they are not always a good idea.

To begin with, sometimes there is no choice but to use interrupts. The previous dual **DSP,** for example, had to use an interrupt for receiving because there **is** no internal status bit that can be checked by the polling loop to determine whether data are available.

Chapter **1** stated that designers sometimes overuse interrupts. Although it is dangerous to lay down universal rules like this, in general, interrupts should be used for three reasons:

- **1.** To generate a regular, repeatable event. **A** timer interrupt on a regular basis for timekeeping is a good example.
- **2.** When a peripheral absolutely must be serviced immediately or something will go wrong (for example, a UART receiving a continuous stream of data that will overrun the receiver if each byte is not taken out before the next one is received). Be careful, though, about assuming that cases like this require **an**  interrupt. The protocol converter described in Chapter **4** used no interrupts. The program loop was short enough and fast enough, even with subroutine calls, to guarantee that no received bytes were missed.
- *3.* To save on hardware. For example, a processor might get an interrupt from the shaft encoder of a motor. Each time the interrupt occurs, the processor increments a position count. This saves adding a hardware counter. The pool timer uses an interrupt to multiplex the display, saving the cost of external display registers and multiplexing logic.

Interrupts also are used as a means of scheduling tasks through a real-time operating system, which we'll cover in Chapter 9.

Remember, though, that interrupts make debugging more difficult. When you set a breakpoint using a monitor program or emulator, interrupt inputs keep coming. You cannot always single-step code when using interrupts because continuous interrupts keep the processor hung up in **an** ISR. And when something goes wrong because a high-priority interrupt did not get the priority it needed, the source of the problem can be very hard to find.

The polling loop **is** sometimes called the *background loop* because it is the lowestpriority task-everything else can interrupt it. The background is executed when no interrupts are being serviced. Designers sometimes assume that the polling loop therefore is the worst place to put anything that needs priority attention. However, keep in mind that a low-priority interrupt may be just as bad as the polling loop. If servicing a device such as a UART in the polling loop means that the worstcase stackup of interrupt service times will prevent the device from being serviced in time, making the device a low-priority interrupt may be no better. Look carefully in a case like this to see whether there is a basic throughput problem when interrupts stack up.

In some cases, a device such as a UART may have a momentary problem with interrupt service time stackup, but you know that this is a temporary and infrequent condition. In those cases, you may be able to solve the problem by using a buffer such as a FIFO buffer. Our example UART, with either an internal or external FIFO buffer, may receive several bytes before the polling loop gets to it. If you really (really, really) know that the problem is a temporary one, the polling loop should be able to process the data before the FIFO buffer fills up. However, remember that when the software gets to the UART, it will take longer to process the data since there are more bytes. Make sure the throughput problem really is temporary and that the polling loop will get through with its delayed processing before the next crunch occurs.

#### *lnterrupts and the Real World*

Chapter **4** briefly mentioned switch debouncing. The algorithm for that was as follows:

#### **Switch closure detected:**

Wait 30ms.

Check switch again. If open, it was bounce on opening. If still closed, it was a valid switch closure.

Someone who is new to embedded systems and who needs to debounce a switch might be tempted to just put a 30ms delay into the code. This would produce an unacceptable delay in most real-time systems. **A** more appropriate way to do this, now that we have looked at interrupts, is **as** follows:

*Interrupts in Embedded Systems* 169

#### Timer **ISR code:**

If switch closed and if switch pressed flag not set, increment debounce counter.

If debounce counter = maximum value, set switch closed flag.

If switch not closed, clear debounce counter and clear switch closed flag.

For this to work, the timer ISR must be based on a regular, repeating timer event. The non-ISR code ignores the state of the switch; it just looks at the state of the switch-closed flag, which is a memory location (or even a bit in a memory location). The way this code works is that when the switch contacts are bouncing (intermittently making and breaking), the debounce counter will increment a few counts, then get reset, then increment a few counts, and so on. Once the contacts have settled, the counter will increment to its maximum value and the switch-closed flag is set.

The maximum value of the debounce counter depends on the interrupt rate and the required debounce time. If the timer ISR occurs every millisecond, then a maximum count value of 30 would produce a 30ms debounce time.

If you are only debouncing one switch, you can use the counter itself as an open/closed flag. If the counter is at maximum value, the switch is closed; otherwise, it is open. The ISR code must stop incrementing the counter when the maximum count is reached, of course.

If you need to debounce both switch opening and closing (such **as** when debouncing a door interlock), you can have **two** debounce counters: One counter debounces opening, and one debounces closing. When the switch indicates open, the close counter is reset and vice versa. You only change the state of the open/closed flag when the appropriate counter reaches maximum value.

Although this is a lengthy explanation of a simple situation, it illustrates how interrupts allow an embedded system to keep up with real-time requirements while doing things at human-compatible speeds. Similar techniques can be used for things like:

- Blinking status LEDs at a rate people can see them.
- Refreshing a display on a regular basis.
- Blinking a character on the same display for emphasis.
- Implementing long delays, such as turning off the illuminated keypad on a cell phone after 5 seconds.
- Generating audio alerts.
- Filtering noisy signals such as **an** optical sensor exposed to ambient light.

The software, hardware, and interrupt structure are the primary components of the embedded system. Chapter 6 explains adding hardware and software to simplify the debug process.

# *Adding Debug Hardware and Software*

In designing the hardware and software for an embedded microprocessor system, we typically give most of our attention to the actual application. However, all embedded systems must be debugged eventually. The addition of selected hardware and software can simplify the debug process.

Almost any embedded system can benefit from the strategic addition of hardware and software for debugging. Depending on cost and size constraints, the hardware may not be installed but instead connected to the circuit only when data collection actually is required. However, the software must support the functionality regardless of whether the hardware is installed or not.

This chapter focuses on adding debug capability that allows operation of the software to be traced to provide a history of what has happened when something goes wrong. Developers who cannot use an emulator, for whatever reason, will see the obvious utility of the techniques to be presented in this chapter. Users who have access to sophisticated emulators may question why additional debug tools should be incorporated into the design. There are several reasons:

**Operation** in **"Live" Environment.** The equipment may have a subtle bug that shows up only in a customer's environment where an emulator cannot be connected or where use of the emulator would stop critical **work.** Some types **of** equipment cannot be stopped while the software engineer looks through the emulator traces to find what caused a problem. In cases like this, when an error occurs, the data are captured and the machine must be restored to operating condition immediately. The data must be examined later. Similarly, it may be impractical to stop a processor that is controlling a robotic arm or other motordriven device where physical damage can occur if the processor **stops** but the object it is controlling doesn't.

**Macro History.** Built-in debug tools give a macro history of system operation. Embedded real-time systems often control mechanical devices, which can have a significant lag between an error and the event that caused the error. An emulator can give very detailed information around the error itself, but its

*6* 

trace buffer often is not deep enough to allow operation around the *cause* of the error to be examined. One real-world example of this is a document processor that I worked on. This machine moved checks and other paper at a rate of over 1600 documents per minute. An 8031-based subsystem (described earlier in Chapter **4)** was having problems controlling document spacing. The error could not be detected until about 150 milliseconds (ms) after the event that caused the error. On an 8031, 150ms is about 150,000 instructions, and the emulator just could not store that much data. Fortunately, I did not need to see every instruction to determine what was wrong. Using a technique similar to the ones described in this chapter, I was able to capture just the pertinent information on a logic analyzer and locate the actual problem.

**Limited Resources.** Some systems have limited resources, such as those found in single-chip microcontroller systems. If you have only 2K of program space to work with, it may be difficult to fit a full debugger into the chip along with the application code. Simple debug tools let you see what is going on inside the code when you lack the room for a full development environment.

**Emulator Won't Work.** Occasionally, you run across a problem that won't show up with the emulator connected. This is usually a symptom of a hardware problem, but not always.

**Lack of Physical Connection.** You may need to add debug tools because you cannot physically connect an emulator to your system. If your processor uses a BGA (Ball Grid Array) or other surface-mount package, it may be impossible to connect an emulator. You can debug your system on a board with a socket adapter or other means to connect the emulator, but once you go to production, you have no way to make the emulator connection.

**Correlation.** Sometimes you need to correlate software execution with outside events such as a motor position, sensor output, or analog input voltage.

## *Action Codes*

The key to adding debug tools to an embedded system is determining what to save. In general, I like to keep track of the following **as** a minimum:

- Each entry to an interrupt routine.
- Each exit from an interrupt routine.
- Each time a major function is performed (such as execution of a command from a higher-level controller).
- Each time a message or command is passed to the outside world.

I call these data outputs action *codes.* They are useful for debug and as a means of correlating software execution with the real world **as** captured on a logic analyzer or digital storage oscilloscope (DSO) .

There are many ways to capture the action codes. They center around **two** basic techniques: generating the data for hardware that may or may not be connected and storing the information in memory for later retrieval. Both techniques have advantages and drawbacks.

### *Hardware Output*

The hardware output technique depends on getting debug data (action codes) to the outside world in some simple 8-bit, 16-bit, or even 32-bit format. Ideally, the firmware will not check to see whether the debug hardware is connected. This is because reliable debug depends on the firmware operating the same, regardless of whether the debug hardware is connected. In the 8031 example mentioned earlier, the processor used external read-only memory (ROM) and I/O ports. I connected



**Figure 6.1 Example** of **8051 Trace Output Logic.** 

*Adding Debug Hardware and Softuare* **173** 

Value (hex)	Meaning
01	Throughput too high—ramping down
02	Throughput too low-ramping up
03	Document detected at sensor 1
04	Document detected at sensor 2
40	Document spacing error detected
80	Timer interrupt entry
81	Timer interrupt exit
82	Command interrupt entry
83	Command interrupt exit

**Table 6.1 Action Code Output Values for a Typical Embedded System.** 

an unused 1/0 decode to the clock **of** the analyzer and captured the 8-bit 8031 data bus as illustrated in Figure 6.1. The firmware was modified to write data to the 1/0 port when certain events occurred. Using the logic analyzer, the sequence of events and the time between them could be examined. **A** typical table of output values for a document processing subsystem like the 8031 system might look like Table 6.1.

This is just a sample of the sort of thing I collected for that problem. The actual table **of** output data was longer, had more interrupt points, and some of the actual debug definitions would make little sense here without a lengthy explanation of how the code worked. The significant events are indicated by an output to the data port. Note that not every instruction or even every subroutine call is captured, just major events.

Interrupt entry and exit are captured *so* the time spent in the interrupt routine can be measured. In Table 6.1, the interrupt routines use data values of 80h and above. This is because most logic analyzers can be programmed to ignore data using a binary mask. If no mask is used, all the action codes are captured. If you know the interrupts are not involved in the problem, you can configure the analyzer to ignore any action codes where bit **D7** is set. The interrupt entry and exit codes are not stored, *so* there is more room in the buffer to capture all the other codes. In the actual situation, I did exactly this-I captured everything until I could verify that the interrupts were not a factor, then I ignored the interrupt trace values after that.

One of the tasks this 8031 performed was to control the speed of a motor. I wanted to monitor certain parameters about the motor-speed control algorithms as well as capture the debug data. Unfortunately, the data could be any value, *so* I could not mix it with the trace debug data. I would have had no way to tell the difference between data and action codes.

Since the 1/0 decoding logic used partial address decode (see Chapter 2), I actually sent the action codes to two different port addresses, specifically 3 and 4. Address 3 got the action codes as just described and address 4 got the motor-speed parameter. Both port addresses activated the 1/0 strobe that generated the capture clock, but A0 was different for the **two** addresses. By connecting A0 as an input to the logic analyzer, I was able to tell the data type of each captured byte.

This lengthy discussion about a specific 8031 system illustrates the kind of trace information that can be captured using this type of debug method. Other methods can be used to generate the trace information as well.

#### *Write to ROM*

# *In the followlng sections, ROM is used as a generic identifier for ROM, PROM, or Flash memory.*

In some systems, there are no spare  $I/O$  decodes. If the processor uses external ROM, it often is possible to write debug information to the ROM space.

Most systems do not enable the ROM when it is written to, so there will be no bus conflict, and usually nothing else is in the ROM address space. The circuit in Figure 6.2 shows write to ROM circuits for both Intel-type **(RD/WR)** buses and Motorola-type (strobe/direction) buses. A low-going strobe is generated when the



#### **Figure 6.2**  Logic **for Write** to ROM.

*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* 175

firmware writes anything to the ROM space. This technique is useful when all the available 1/0 decodes are used up and trace capability needs to be added after the hardware is finalized. The one drawback to this method occurs in systems that have flash or other writable memory, where a careless write sequence actually could change the data.

Like the write to an  $I/O$  port, this method can use different addresses for different data types. Note that if the Motorola-type bus is used, a DTACK must be generated for the debug write cycle.

### *Read from ROM*

Some microcontrollers, such as the 8051, lack the capability of writing to the ROM space. However, they do have instructions that can read from ROM to do things like table lookups. Figure **6.3** shows a circuit that can be used to generate trace data by this method. A low-going strobe is generated when a read is performed from any PROM address above 8000 h. When using this circuit, the trace data is captured from the low-order *address* lines, **A0** through A7, and the data lines are ignored. The circuit shown in Figure **6.3** assumes that the ROM is located in the lower 32K. If the ROM is larger than **32K,** a wider NAND gate can be used to further decode the address bits, generating a strobe only for the upper **1K** or less. The following code shows how this would be implemented on an 8051 family microcontroller:

MOV DPTR,#8000 h ; starting address-upper **32** <sup>k</sup> MOV A,#CONSTANT ; constant is the trace value MOV A,@A+DFTR ; output the value.

This method can use different addresses to identify different types of trace data, but both addresses must be in the upper 8 bits of the address field, since the lower



#### **Figure 6.3**

Generating Trace Data **by** Read from ROM.

**176** *Embedded Microprocessor* **Systems** 

bits are used for the data. For example, you might use address 8000 for trace opcodes and COO0 for data. Of course, this only works if the ROM is external.

## *Getting Data Off the Board*

There are several methods to get the action codes out of the hardware. Write-to-ROM and read-from-ROM could be picked up with a DIP (Dual Inline Package) clip or PLCC (Plastic Leaded Chip Carrier) extender. Since DIP packaging is all but obsolete, action codes on a surface mount design will be difficult to connect to if the hardware wasn't designed for it from the beginning. One way to bring the action codes off the board is to add a simple pin header. On one design, I added a row of pads to the board and connected to them with spring-loaded "pogo" pins.

# *Software Timing*

Although many emulators can perform this function, action codes can be used for software timing. The software returns a trace value at the entry and exit of each major subroutine, function call, or whatever level of code is to be analyzed. Using a logic analyzer with time-tagging capability, the trace values are captured, and you can determine how long the code spends in each routine. I used this technique when working with a software engineer on a problem where the software would just "go away" for a while. It did not lock up; it just took too long and other things quit working because of the delay. We added trace points to the software and discovered that the problem occurred in a function that parsed data received from another system. When the error occurred, we clearly saw action codes generated from entering the routine and the exit from that routine occurring much too late.

Of course, this technique also can be used to determine how much time the software is spending in each routine or how long it spends servicing each interrupt. The disadvantage of this technique is that you must change the code any time you want to capture something different. *An* emulator with time-tagging and source-level debug capability will let you capture different timing information with ease.

# *Software Throughput*

Sometimes it is necessary just to have an idea of how busy the processor is while it is running to know if there is a problem with throughput. One technique is to set

*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* **177** 

a port bit each time an interrupt or timecritical task occurs, then have it continuously reset by the idle loop. If interrupts are nested (see Chapter *5)* or if one timecritical task calls another, the bit will be set twice, which would not affect it. The idea is to get the bit to be low only when the code is in the idle loop. The ratio of high to low time, as seen on an oscilloscope, gives a fairly good representation of the utilization. The changing ratio can be monitored while the system runs. Since modern emulators can perform this function very well, I would only recommend the port-bit method if an emulator is unavailable or cannot be used for one of the reasons mentioned earlier.

# *Circular Trace Buffers*

Sometimes it is impractical to add debug hardware or trace in real time. In these cases, some trace capability can be implemented with a rotating trace buffer. This is an area of memory written by the software with action codes, similar to the hardware trace function.

The data area is configured **as** a circular buffer. When data are written to the last location, the pointer wraps around to the first position. The size of the buffer depends on how many trace points are needed and how far back the history needs to go. Table **6.2** shows a short (16-byte) trace buffer that might be used to debug a simple system. The table shows 8-bit hex codes and comments indicating what each code is for.

In this example, the code writes a byte to the table for each trace value, then writes OFFh to the next table location. When the last table location (000F) is reached, writing wraps back around to location *0000.* In this example, location **0004**  contains FF, so the last entry in the table is 01, timer interrupt entry, at location **0003.** The history goes back from there. Note that the timer interrupt occurred between the start and end of output processing at locations *OOOC* through OOOF.

There usually will be a table pointer in another location, and it also can be used to keep track **of** the end of the table. Either way, the engineer or some software must analyze the pointer or table values to find the end of the table. If this technique is used, it is best implemented with a single subroutine that writes to the buffer and updates the pointer or pointers. When the pointer reaches the end of the buffer, it must be wrapped back to the beginning. Using a circular buffer means you do not have the data captured in a logic analyzer, where it could be correlated with real-world events.

Data can be time tagged in the trace buffer if a clock is available. One way to do this **is** to have a free-running hardware timer and store the count in the trace buffer with each action code. Some subtraction will determine relative times. Of course, the timer eventually will roll over, so you must take that into account as well.



This technique is useful if the only access to the outside world is via a serial port, which is not fast enough to handle the data rate of sending the action codes in real time. One caution though: If a regular time interrupt is used in the system, beware of adding it to the trace buffer. If an error occurs and the timer keeps running, it can quickly fill the buffer, and the information you need will be lost.

**A** last note about trace buffers: Some software debuggers provide an event capture function that can be called from the application code. This function typically is passed information that is time-tagged and stored in a trace buffer. These debuggers, in effect, provide the circular buffer with very little extra work. Of course, the debugger software must be resident for this to work. In the final product, that can mean additional license fees.

## *Monitor Programs*

**Table 6.2** 

**Short Trace Buffer.** 

**A** software monitor/debugger, mentioned in Chapter 1, is a program that usually resides in **PROM** and allows the user to examine memory, registers, and 1/0 ports. Many software engineers write their own monitor programs, although this is less

common than it used to be. Most monitor programs have some basic capabilities in common:

- The ability to examine and alter memory.
- The ability to read and write I/O ports, if any.
- The ability to set breakpoints in the code.
- The ability to download code from a host PC.
- The ability to interrupt code execution from the keyboard.
- The ability to communicate via an **FS-232** serial port, Ethernet port, or other interface.

The monitor program generally gets control on power-up. In the early days, the user interface was a dumb terminal, but a dedicated PC is used universally today. The user generally will download code into the target system RAM and execute it there. The reason the code must run out of RAM is so that breakpoints can be set. A breakpoint is a branch instruction (usually a one-byte or one-word instruction) that replaces the instruction that normally would appear at a particular location. The branch returns control to the monitor program.

To use a monitor program, the user puts a breakpoint at some useful place in the code, runs the program, and then examines memory and registers when the breakpoint occurs. Breakpoints can be placed in error routines to determine whether the error occurs.

As I mentioned earlier, some modern processors have hardware debug on-chip. In those parts, you can use a debugger that runs out of ROM or flash memory and still have limited breakpoint capability.

# *Logic Analyzer Breakpoints*

Since embedded systems are connected to the real world, you often need to know what the processor is doing when specific real-world events occur. This may be because the event represents an error condition or just because you need to know how the software handles it (not how it is supposed to handle it, but how it really does). Such events may occur when a sensor changes state, when a waveform passes through a particular voltage, or when a rotating shaft reaches a particular position. You may be able to capture the event on a logic analyzer or DSO, but how do you take a "snapshot" of what the software is doing at that time? In many cases, you can take advantage of the trigger output port of your logic analyzer or DSO. This **tup**  ically is a BNC connector with a logic level signal that goes high or low, or pulses, when the analyzer or DSO triggers it.

If you are using an emulator, often there is an external input that you can breakpoint on. By connecting the trigger output of the logic analyzer or DSO to this external input, you can force a breakpoint.

If you are not using an emulator or have no external breakpoint input, you can stop the software by using an otherwise unused interrupt, using a timer as an interrupt (see Chapter *5),* or even using an NMI. Another possibility is to use the reset input, but you need a means to keep the software from reinitializing everything after the reset. Using the reset technique will not let you see what the software does after the event, but it will let you look at the state of the software just before the event.

Finally, you can use this technique to capture the contents of a rotating trace buffer when a real-world event occurs. If you use any of these techniques to connect a logic analyzer or DSO trigger to your system, be sure the trigger output is compatible. You may need to invert the polarity or change the pulse width to make everything work.

## *Memory Dumps*

I once worked with a consultant who was interfacing an add-on to our equipment for a third-party reseller. My job was to make sure that nothing we did or failed to do would keep him from doing his job. This individual had an interface subsystem that consisted of dual microcontrollers communicating via hardware FIFO buffers. Every now and then, the entire thing would lock up for no apparent reason. He had no tools except a fairly simple logic analyzer and the stare-at-the-code method of debug. At that time, no good emulators were available for the processor he was using. The entire project got so far behind schedule that the reseller sent out its ace troubleshooter to get things going. The troubleshooter was aggressively proactive and carried a custom-made wooden briefcase. He even went so far as to order, on the company credit card via overnight delivery, an emulator that was supposed to be the best there was. That was when we found out nothing was suitable.

The consultant told me that if he could view the internal memory contents, he could fix the problem. We went over an inventory of what he had available, which was little. The board had no spare output ports but one unused interrupt input. We solved the problem by connecting a push-button switch to the interrupt. When the button was pressed, generating an interrupt, the processor produced **as** output three sync bytes in a sequence that would never occur in normal operation. Then the processor sequentially wrote the entire contents of the internal RAM to an external register. The logic analyzer, connected to the register, waited for these

*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* **181** 

three bytes (FF FF FF, if memory serves) then triggered and captured everything that came afterward. The register contents were trashed by this procedure, but we had to reset everything after the lockup anyway *so* it did not matter. The analyzer, like most analyzers, numbered the states. Due to the triggering setup, the state number was the same as the memory address, *so* finding a particular byte was easy.

Another way to handle this, if a spare output port bit is available, is to write a serial output routine that would transmit the data over the port bit asynchronously (implementing a bit-banging transmitter in software). The data could be converted to RS-232 and captured on a dumb terminal or a **PC.** 

Although examining memory may seem like a throwback to the days when mainframe computers produced what was known as a *cm-e dump,* the technique can be very useful, In a simple microcontroller design, there usually is not all that much memory to examine and only certain locations are important. You can combine the memory dump with the logic analyzer breakpoint described earlier and capture the contents of memory when an external event occurs.

## *Serial Condition Monitor*

Sending data to an external logic analyzer typically requires **8** data bits and a write strobe. In a microcontroller design, especially a small one, not enough **1/0** pins may be left over to collect diagnostic data. However, if one port pin is available, it can be used to generate diagnostic information serially. The processor sets the pin to 1, then serially sends a 4bit diagnostic word. The diagnostic word typically is a byte in memory, with bits set or reset by various routines to indicate the state of the code. For example, DO might be set to indicate the state of flow control (ON or OFF), D1 might indicate when a servo motor is at the home position, D2 tells when a particular buffer has data to send or receive, and *so* on.

To use this method, the processor will return the diagnostic once each time it goes through the polling loop or once each time a regular timer interrupt is serviced. The output data are captured on an oscilloscope or logic analyzer. An oscilloscope should be set to trigger on the first sync or start bit. (The start bit is needed *so* you can tell which of the other bits are set.) The variable sweep control can be adjusted *so* that each output bit takes one or **two** divisions. This method can be extended to any number of bits, but trying to do more than about four makes it difficult to determine which bits are set.

Figure **6.4** shows the waveform that would be generated using this method for data patterns of 10 **(OA** hex) and 11 **(OB** hex). The bits can be captured in a shift register by using logic that starts on the sync bit and samples in the middle of each bit.



#### **Figure 6.4**

**Serial Condition Monitor for Use with Oscilloscope.** 

This method has some limitations. Since the data is sent on a regular basis, it cannot be used to determine the relative time between processes or events. However, it is useful for getting a running pictorial view of overall system status.

I have used this technique to send up to **17** bits of status information, although it is not useful to display it on **a** 'scope. Instead, I built an external circuit, using a CPLD, which picks up the serial data and captures it in a shift register. When all 17 (or whatever) bits are finished, the circuit generates a strobe to clock the diagnostic word into a logic analyzer.

This technique also works with self-clocking data. In this scheme, each data bit starts with a clock bit, and then there is a pulse in the middle of the bit time if the bit is a 1, and no pulse if the bit is 0. Figure 6.4 also shows the waveform for this technique. This is useful if you cannot turn the interrupts off long enough to send an entire diagnostic word. Because each bit is self-clocking, you can turn interrupts on momentarily between bits without upsetting the external hardware.

The asynchronous data can be received with a UMT, either a discrete UART **IC**  (if you need only 8 bits of data) or a UART implemented in a **CPLD. I** usually use

*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* **183** 



#### Figure 6.5

Circuit to Receive Data from a Self-Clocking Serial Diagnostic Output.

a CPLD, since I normally send more than 8 bits when I use a circuit like this. If you use a UART, you need not run at a standard baud rate—you can give it a clock that matches whatever speed the processor is capable of sending. But keep in mind that some UARTs cannot be clocked fast enough to keep up with the data if you use a fast processor.

Figure 6.5 shows a block diagram for a circuit that will receive the self-clocking serial diagnostic output scheme. I implemented a circuit like this in a CPLD. The frequency of the reference clock depends on the type and speed of processor you use. The diagram does not show the power-up reset logic. The size of the bit counter and the output shift register depend on the number of bits transmitted from the processor you are testing.

Listing 6.1 shows assembly code for a PIC17C4x that generates the serial diagnostic data to Port D, bit 0. Listing 6.2 shows similar code for an 8051 using Port 0, bit 0. The 8051 version is simpler to implement because it has an instruction that allows the ALU carry status bit to be written directly to a port pin. Listing 6.3 shows the code to produce a 16-bit, self-clocking diagnostic string using a PIC processor, sending to Port B, bit 7.

These code snippets are in assembly, but any of them could be converted to C or another language. In most cases, unless the compiler produces exactly the same code, the timing will change.

### *Listing 6.1. PIC1 7Cxx Assembly Code for Serial Status Output*

; STATUS OUTPUT TO PORT D BIT 0. ; PORT D BIT 0 MUST BE CONFIGURED AS OUTPUT. ; CODE SENDS LEAST SIGNIFICANT FOUR BITS OF ; BYTE DIAG TO PORT. ; OUTPUT SEQUENCE IS: ; SYNC BIT (1) ; DIAG BIT 0 ; DIAG BIT 1 ; DIAG BIT 2 ; DIAG BIT 3 ; ZERO ; EACH BIT TAKES **ABOUT** 1.6 MICROSECONDS ON A PIC 17C43 ; WITH A **l2MHZ** CRYSTAL. MOVLB 1 BSF PORTD, 0; OUTPUT SYNC BIT (1) NOP NOP BTFSC DJAG,O ; DIAG BIT 0 SET? GOTO MSETO ; *YES,* OUTPUT A 1. BCF PORTD,O ; NO, **OUTPUT** A 0. GOTO M1 MSETO: BSF PORTD,O NOP M1: BTFSC DIAG, 1 ; DIAG BIT 1 SET? GOTO MSETl ; YES, OUTPUT A 1. BCF PORTD,O ; NO, OUTPUT A 0. GOTO M2 MSET1: BSF PORTD,O NOP M2: BTFSC DIAG,2 ; DIAG BIT 2 SET? GOTO MSET2 ; **YES,** OUTPUT A 1. BCF PORTD,O ; NO, OUTPUT A 0. GOTO M3 MSET2: BSF PORTD,O NOP M3: BTFSC DIAG,3 ; DIAG BIT 3 SET? GOTO MSET3 ; YES, OUTPUT A 1. BCF PORTD,O ; NO, OUTPUT A 0. GOTO MSDON

*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* **185** 

MSET3: BSF PORTD,O MSDON: NOP NOP BCF PORTD,O ; TERMINATE WITH **A** 0. MOVLB 0 ; END OF DIAGNOSTIC OUTPUT.

#### *Listing 6.2. 8051/8052 Assembly Code for Serial Status Output*

; THIS CODE FRAGMENT OUTPUTS A FOUR-BIT STATUS ; **VALUE,** DIAGNOSTIC, TO PORT 0 BIT 0 OF *AN*  ; 8051/8052 PROCESSOR. OUTPUT SEQUENCE IS: ; START BIT (1) ; DIAGNOSTIC BIT 0 ; DIAGNOSTIC BIT 1 ; DIAGNOSTIC BIT 2 ; DIAGNOSTIC BIT 3 ; ZERO. ; ON *AN* 8051 WITH *AN* 8MHZ CRYSTAL, ; EACH BIT WILL BE ABOUT 4.4 MICROSECONDS LONG. MOV ACC,DIAGNOSTIC SETB **PO.0** ; OUTPUT START BIT (1) RRC **A**  MOV PO.0,C ; OUTPUT DIAG BIT 0 RRC **A**  MOV PO.0,C ; OUTPUT DIAG BIT 1 RRC A MOV PO.O,C ; OUTPUT DIAG BIT **2**  RRC A MOV PO.O,C ; OUTPUT DIAG BIT 3 NOP NOP CLR PO.0 ; ALL DONE

## *Listing 6.3. PIC Assembly Code for Self-clocking Serial Status Output*

. ... , ; Synchronous serial diagnostic output on PIC. ; Sends 16-bit diagnostic word in DIAGLSB/DIAGMSB, ; to **RB7.** LSB of DIAGLSB is sent Arst, MSB of DIAGMSB ; is sent last. ; Output is clock bit followed by data clock if bit ; was a 'l', no data clock if bit was a *'0':* 

**186** *Embedded Microprocessor System* 

```
\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1: <u>--</u>/ \__/ \_
1 \cdot 0:7 
; This makes the data self-clocking. 
; Interrupts are momentarily enabled between bits 
; to minimize latency time. 
diagnostic: 
     movlw 8 
                        ; bit count, LS byte 
     movwf txbits 
                        ; store at bit counter 
     ; Transmit LS byte first 
diaglsblp: 
     bcf intcon, gie 
                        ; disable interrupt during bit 
                         ; output time. 
     bsf portb, 7 
     rrf diaglsb,f 
                        ; rotate DIAQLSB.0 into cy 
     bcf portb, 7 
                        ; clock bit falling edge 
     btfsc status,c ; check carry 
     bsf portb,? 
                         ; set data clock if bit = 1nop
     bcf portb, 7 
                      ; clear data clock, set or not. 
     bsf intcon, gie
                         ; enable interrupts again 
     decf sz txbits , f
                         ; done? 
     goto diaglsblp 
     movlw 8 
                         ; bit count, MS byte 
     movwf txbits 
                         ; store at bit counter 
     ;Transmit MS byte 
diagmsblp: 
     bcf intcon, gie 
                        ; clear interrupt during bit 
                         ; output time. 
     bsf portb, 7 
                        ; clock bit rising edge 
     rrf diagmsb,f 
                        ; rotate DIAGMSB.0 into cy 
     bcf portb, 7 
                        ; clock bit falling edge 
     btfsc status, c ; check carry
     bsf portb, 7 
                        ; set data clock if bit = 1nOP
```
*Adding Debug Hardware and Software* 187



Now that we have all the tools, in Chapter **7** we look at the process of integrating the software and hardware to produce a working system.

188 *Embedded Mam~ocessm* Systems

# **System Integration and Debug**

After the hardware PC board or a handwired prototype is built and the software compiles with no errors, it is time to plug in everything and watch the system come to life. Fifteen minutes after initial power-up and flawless operation, the hardware and software engineers knock off early for the day.

Well, not really. The actual scenario usually goes more like this: If smoke does not roll out when power is first applied, the engineers quickly discover that absolutely nothing works. After a brief argument in which the hardware engineer accuses the software engineer of forgetting to initialize the stack, a logic analyzer or emulator is connected. Investigation reveals that the PROM or the RAM or something else appears to be completely dead. The software engineer goes to lunch, smug in the assurance that it is a hardware problem.

After the hardware engineer fixes the chip select that had two devices enabled at the same time or the wiring error that had the data bus reversed end for end, debugging resumes. When the next problem stops all work, it turns out that an interrupt vector is in the wrong place or the internal processor peripheral area is uninitialized, and the hardware guy leaves early while the software engineer works until 8 p.m. to fix it.

This process repeats for the next four weeks as the project stumbles its way to completion. Two weeks after the design team breathes a sigh of relief and hands it off to the beta test customer, a bug is reported. The error occurs only under certain conditions and not every time at that. If the design team is lucky, it can reproduce it in the lab and work on it there. Otherwise, another argument ensues as to who has to take a midnight flight out to the beta site to fix it—hardware, software, or both?

While the utopian fantasy I first described is rare, the nightmare scenario need not be the only alternative.

Like the design part of a project, the test and integration part works best if there is a goal-a plan. Many large companies have formal test plan requirements that detail every test to be performed. These range from functional tests to safety agency approval tests to tests involving shock, vibration, and temperature (called by some shake and bake).

**189** 

The market- or customer-specific tests are outside the scope of this book. This chapter concentrates on the functional test side.

Before product functional testing can begin, the hardware and software must be made to work. Integration of the software and hardware in an embedded system is different from a completely hardware (logic) or completely software (as an application on a PC) design in **two** areas: isolating problems and fixing them.

In isolating problems, it is not always clear in which half of the system the problem lies-hardware or software. In a pure software design, the programmer knows that hardware problems do not affect the design-they just mean that the computer needs to be fixed before work can proceed.

This may seem obvious, but I have seen software engineers used to writing applications for a minicomputer or **PC** who just walked away when a problem was thought to be in the hardware. They were used to working on software-only systems. Hardware bugs were a job for the repair technician. In an embedded system, the software and hardware engineers usually have to work together far enough into the problem to determine what actually is happening. Many times a hardware problem that seems completely mysterious will be obvious when the software engineer tells the hardware engineer just exactly which status bit the software is having problems with.

Fixing problems in an embedded system has differences, too. For example, sometimes the fix for a hardware problem is best implemented in software. An example might be a mistake in the schematic that places a peripheral at the wrong address. The board could be altered, but that requires another layout/fab cycle. It is simpler just to change the software. The reverse also is true, although less common.

## *Hardware Testing*

Ideally, the software will not be tried until the hardware is completely checked out. In the real world though, that often is impractical. **A** decision must be made as to how the hardware will be tested and how far the testing will go before software is installed. In a real system in which the software controls real hardware, the hardware cannot be checked without some software to exercise it. Look at the hardware checkout list for the pool timer:

- **Test Processor and EPROM:** Write code that just loops, toggling a port bit on each cycle.
- **Test Switches:** Write special software to read switch/water low connector and echo result to Port 1. Test each switch and corresponding port bit by verifying that correct Port 1 bit goes active when switch is pressed.
- **Test Display:** Write special software that displays an incrementing number on both pairs of display digits. This requires working decimal-to-seven segment code.

After the software is completed, the interrupt processing time and overall throughput are verified. This is done by setting a spare port bit at the start of interrupt processing and resetting the bit when interrupt processing was completed. **An**  oscilloscope is used to verify the timing. Note that each of the hardware tests requires special software. In this case, the software is simple, but that will not always be true. At some point, writing special test software becomes less of an effort than just plugging in the real software and trying it out.

If an emulator is used for software debug, it can simpllfy hardware debug as well. Checkout of the pool timer hardware can be accomplished by plugging in the emulator, then verifylng that the correct port bit changes when a key is pressed. The motor relay can be checked out by manually setting and resetting the corresponding port bit. A UART could be checked by wrapping input to output, programming the baud rate and other parameters, and checking that characters written to the transmit register are echoed in the receive register.

Deciding what to test and what software is needed can be part of a comprehensive test plan or it can be a list made up by the hardware engineer over lunch on a simple design. Most designs have no comprehensive list of what specific hardware will be tested unless software engineering support is required for the special code.

# *Software Debug*

Keeping with the divide-andconquer strategy of debugging, we look at the process used to debug the software in the protocol converter described in Chapter **4.** 

Connect the serial side to a PC. Send a continuous string of characters when activated by grounding a spare port bit. This verifies that the setup code for baud rate, parity, and other settings works correctly.

Add code that handles serial I/O.

Add special code that echoes serial input to output. Verify, using the PC communication program, that echo works correctly for all baud rates and parity selections.

Add proprietary processing code and verify that the echoed data are correct.

Load special code to send a test string to an output device using the final output driver.

Finally, integrate the setup code, serial 1/0 code, processing code, and output code. Verify correct functionality and XON/XOFF operation.

This a somewhat extreme breakdown for a simple task, but the final code worked the first time. It illustrates the advantage of testing only portions of the code

wherever practical. When testing unknown code on unknown hardware, minimizing the size of the unknown speeds debugging. If using a monitor program or **an**  emulator, the key debugging tool usually is the breakpoint. The code is run with a breakpoint set in the area where a problem is thought to be. When the breakpoint occurs, registers and memory are examined to determine the problem. The catch, in a real-time system, is that interrupts keep coming, motors keep turning, and, in general, the real world keeps happening while the software engineer is trying to figure out what went wrong with the code. If regular edge-sensitive interrupts occur, all of them may be stacked up and waiting to execute when the code is resumed. While there is no silver bullet for this characteristic of real-time debugging, a few tricks can make this part of the task a bit easier:

- If the system will run this way, turn off all interrupts except those absolutely necessary to make the problem show up. If the system runs but the bug dis appears, turn the interrupts back on one at a time until the problem comes back.
- If a timer interrupt is used, slow it down enough that you can actually see what is happening.
- If using debug trace outputs or a circular trace buffer, as described in Chapter 6, pay careful attention to the trace values when an error occurs, looking for patterns. You may find that a problem occurs only when a particular interrupt code appears in the table twice in a row, indicating a possible problem with reentrancy. Or you may find that a particular interrupt always occurs between two polling loop trace points, indicating a potential "shared memory or  $I/O$  trap," as described in Chapter 5.
- Ask yourself, "Did it work before the last software change?" I spent a long time one day asking a software engineer if' she had changed the code since everything quit working. She kept telling me that she had not changed the code, then finally admitted to making changes, but insisted that the changes were not in the area that was not working. I finally convinced her to try the previous version and everything worked again. She had not realized that the new version required more stack space then she had allowed for.
- Determine whether the problem goes away when the emulator is connected. If so, this nearly always points to a hardware setup/hold-time problem or a race condition-but not always. I once worked on a problem that disappeared every time the emulator was connected. The problem turned out to be the "shared memory or 1/0 trap," described in Chapter 5. For some reason, the emulator timing kept the interrupt from occurring between the two critical instructions.
- If you can, determine what specific condition causes the software to fail. This may be difficult without an emulator. If the exact hardware condition that caused the problem can be isolated, a pattern may emerge. Or a logic analyzer may shed light on the conditions surrounding the fault.

During initial debug, disable the watchdog timer, disconnect any nonessential external systems, and force error sensors to a known state. Minimize the number of variables.

## *Debugging in RAM*

Chapter 1 described the use of logic analyzers, emulators, and monitor programs for debugging. Use of debugging breakpoints requires that the code be executed from RAM. A breakpoint replaces an instruction with different code that executes, essentially, a software interrupt. Many emulators have internal RAM, and the external ROM is ignored when the internal RAM is enabled. The code is loaded into the emulator RAM and executed there.

Monitor programs must have RAM to set breakpoints. I have worked on systems where the system RAM was large enough to handle both the RAM requirements and the program code, so the code was just loaded into system RAM for debugging. In other cases, the PROM was replaced with RAM (the write signal from the processor must be wired to it) or a second RAM integrated circuit (IC) was added to the system during development.

ROM emulators contain RAM but plug into a PROM socket. They usually interface to a PC via a serial port and can be loaded from the PC with the target code. Breakpoints can be downloaded **as** well. Because most ROMs aren't socketed any more, ROM emulators are less popular than they once were.

*As* processor speeds go up, emulators get harder to build. An emulator typically consists of some kind of pod that plugs into the processor socket, connected via a cable to additional emulator hardware, which then connects to a PC that controls everything. With processor clock rates exceeding 500MHz, it is difficult to get anything to work over any kind of cable. In addition, modern high-integration processors often come in high-density surface-mount packages. These parts do not use a socket, and there is no way to plug in an emulator. With leads on **0.03-** or 0.02-inch or smaller centers, it is nearly impossible to clip anything over the package, A BGA or PGA package, with the leads underneath the IC, further complicates addition of any kind of emulator.

The bottom line to all this is that, with increasing processor complexity, development tools have regressed from emulators back to software debuggers. Fortunately, some technology improvements make debugging easier. Many processors include on-chip debugging additions. Intel includes debugging registers on x86 processors from the 386 up. Four registers allow breakpoints to be set for reads, writes, or any (read/write) access to a specific location. The registers also allow 8-, 16, or 32-bit memory locations to be monitored. So your debugging software

**System** *Integration and Debug* **193** 

can get some of the features of an emulator without additional hardware even if your application is running out of ROM.

Motorola also supports on-chip debugging on many of its processors, including the 68K/Coldfire family and the 68HCxx microcontrollers. Some Motorola processors use a technique called *background debugging mode* (BDM). BDM uses a connection to an external controller (such as a PC) to set breakpoints and examine trace information. One advantage of the Motorola scheme is that the processor can continue running while memory and registers are examined. This is a useful feature when the system is controlling motors or other devices that can cause damage if the processor stops.

*As* I mentioned in Chapter 4, small microcontrollers often present a special challenge. In these devices, the processor, RAM, and program memory are selfcontained, and all the pins are used for  $I/O$  functions. Consequently, there is no way to see what addresses are being executed and what RAM locations are being accessed. Sometimes you can build a special version of the controller that executes from external memory. However, if your design uses all the controller's 1/0 ports, that is not an option. Many microcontrollers do not even support external memory. Accessing 64K of external memory requires at least 19 data, address, and control pins, assuming data is multiplexed with addresses. Microcontrollers such as the Atmel AT9OS2313 and many of the Microchip PIC devices come in 18-, 20-, or 28-pin packages and simply lack enough pins to attach external memory. In a small microcontroller design using one of these parts, you have five disadvantages:

- You cannot use external RAM.
- You cannot debug out of RAM (you must reprogram parts every time there is a change).
- You cannot set internal breakpoints (code is in PROM or flash memory).
- Often, not enough code space is left for a debugger, even if one were available.
- There is no way to see what internal addresses are being executed.

In a case like this, you are limited to using an emulator or adding debug techniques like those described in Chapter 6. Fortunately, the code for most of these designs is limited in complexity, if only because of limited code space, *so* debugging is less of a problem than for more complex processors.

#### *Functional Test Plan*

Once the software and hardware are thought to be working, a test should be performed to verify everything. A minimum test plan should describe every function to be tested. A minimal test plan for the pool timer might look like this:

Verify timekeeping. Verify keypad operation and sensing. Verify time rollover and on/off switching. Verify override operation. Verify water low sensor operation. Verify time set.

Fortunately, if you have a good requirements specification, you also have a good start on the functional test requirements. The first thing you must do in functional testing is make sure all requirements are met. Some of these are difficult to provethe "minimum switches and knobs" requirement for the pool timer is a good example-but the list of requirements is a good starting point for verifying the design. You can get software that tracks requirements in a document and you can carry the tracking through the test procedure to verify that the requirement was satisfied.

The problem inherent in any minimal test plan like this is that it often omits several important steps. When you verify timekeeping, do you verify only that the time decrements, or that it decrements at the right rate and rolls over at the right time? Do you check at least two cycles to be sure that nothing hangs up? A more comprehensive test plan might have the following tasks:

#### *Vmjj timekeeping:*

Verify that time decrements correctly.

Verify timekeeping rate (accuracy in a real product would probably be specified in the requirements).

#### *Vmfi keypad operation and sensing:*

Keys are sufficiently debounced. All keys except SET are ignored in powerfail mode.

#### *Vmjj time rollover and on/off switching:*

ON rollover, switch to OFF time. OFF rollover, switch to OFF time. Verify at least three cycles.

#### *Vhjj override operation:*

ON override in OFF timing. OFF override in ON timing. ON override in ON timing. OFF override in OFF timing.

*System Integration and Debug* 195

Override terminate in all modes. OFF time expiration while in ON and OFF override. ON time expiration while in ON and OFF override. Correct **LED** operation in all modes. Rollover time from **24:OO** to override exit in all modes. Override timekeeping in all modes.

*Verify water low sensor operation:* 

**LED** operation (blinking ON **LED).**  Motor does not start in normal or override. Normal operation resumes when level is **OK** again.

Verify time set:

Time increment and rollover, OFF and ON. Time saved correctly when either or both times changed. Override disabled for time set.

A functional test plan should cover not only normal operation of the system but abnormal cases as well, What if the operator presses the START button while the system is shutting down after someone pressed STOP? If you build enough systems, someone, somewhere, will try it. Trust me.

Sometimes special software can aid in this testing. For example, the pool timer software was modified to run the time at 20 times the normal rate *so* that the testing could proceed faster. There is danger here though, depending on how much must change. At some point, a test *of* some kind must be done with the final software.

It often is difficult for the software engineer to come up with all the possible scenarios that should be tested. A brainstorming meeting with other members of the project team, especially the software engineers, usually will produce a number of special conditions to check. If you are the only person on the project, bring in engineers from another project and have a code walkthrough/test scenario meeting.

## *Stress Testing*

A functional verification should include a thorough evaluation of the system under stress conditions. It is tempting to just prove that the essential functionality works in a controlled test and then go on to the next thing on **an** already full schedule. However, most systems benefit from stress testing, where an operator does things they wouldn't ordinarily do or where some part of the system is changed to simulate a failure. You will occasionally hear someone say they have so much confidence in their design that such testing is not necessary. My experience is that an unwillingness to test tends to betray a lack of confidence in the design.

On some systems, where safety is part of the embedded design, special tests may need to be performed to verify that safety systems work. For instance, to prevent a hazard, a system may need to shut down a motor if the operator opens a door on the instrument. It is not uncommon to have interlocks on such a safety-related part of the system to insure that a single point failure (one of the interlocks sticks in the "door closed" state) does not cause a safety problem. In this case, you probably need to design a verification test to ensure that either interlock will result in a motor shutdown. You might want to go a step further and test with one interlock working and the other one oscillating to simulate a bad connection in the wiring. In many cases, you will use a Failure Mode Effects Analysis **(FMEA)** or event tree to formalize the analysis of such failure effects. Although using these tools is outside the scope of this book, the results of such analysis will drive the verification requirements for your system.

## *Problem Log*

On most projects, the first round of functional testing shakes out some problems. I recommend a problem log for any project, especially for large projects. The log should list any errors encountered, how they were uncovered (what conditions or functionality was being tested), who is responsible for fixing the problem, and when it was fixed. An electronic log is sufficient, but a paper log near the test machine makes jotting things down easier. Do not try to use a problem log from the first day of debug, when the basic design is being debugged. The number and frequency of problems will make keeping the log up to date a chore, and it will not get done. This is especially true if you are working on multiple projects simultaneously, which, of course, you always are. A problem log might seem like overkill for a project with one or **two** engineers, but it keeps minor problems that were put on the back burner from being forgotten completely.

When a problem is fixed, there is a question: How much testing is needed to prove the fix and verify that the fix did not introduce new problems? In a highreliability system, it may be necessary to rerun the entire suite of tests. Some other systems may require only regression testing to verlfy the area of code or hardware that was changed. It is easy to say that only limited regression testing is needed, but be careful. A code change that seems innocuous may have far-reaching effects, especially if it interacts with other processes.

Once everything is working, the final step is to test the complete system against the original system requirements. This seems obvious, but sometimes a design team

*System Integration and Debug* 197

concentrates on the trees and forgets about the forest. The process **of** verifjmg the design at this level varies with the product, the company, and the customer and is beyond the scope of this book. It may include system testing that is several levels removed from the embedded control system, and it may involve testing in the field.

#### *A Real- World Example*

This example illustrates some of the issues involved in debugging embedded applications. It is based on the document feeding example used in Chapters **4** and **6.**  *As* described earlier, this system had two requirements: the documents had to be fed at a certain rate (the throughput) and the interdocument spacing had to be greater than a certain threshold to meet the requirements of downstream sorting mechanisms.

The original problem, described in Chapter **4,** involved coupling between the throughput and spacing correction code; a feedback loop was added to eliminate the spacing errors. Once this code was implemented, the error rate was reduced significantly, but there were still too many errors.

Figure 7.1 shows the mechanical and electrical arrangement **of** the system. Each document was fed into the transport by a feed wheel, driven by a DC motor, which was in turn controlled by the microcontroller. The motor had a shaft-mounted encoder to provide speed feedback to the motor driver and the microcontroller. The microcontroller commanded the motor driver to operate the motor at a particular speed and the motor driver hardware had a feedback loop to maintain that speed. There were actually three motors in the feed mechanism, but only one was involved in the problem.

Documents leaving the feeder were passed through an intermediate accelerator. This accelerator consisted **of** a spring-loaded wheel, turning at a constant speed, that would slip on the document as long as the document was held by the feed wheel. *As* soon as the trailing edge of the document left "pinch" of the feeder, it would be accelerated. **A** sensor was located after the accelerator. This sensor could detect the presence or absence of the document and was located so that a document of any width could be detected.

After the first sensor, another accelerator brought the document up to the final speed. After this accelerator, there was another sensor. This sensor was the first sensor after the document was at full transport speed and was used by the system to detect spacing errors. There was about **23** inches of track between the feeder and the second sensor. *As* mentioned in Chapter **6,** a document (or a given point on a document) took about 150ms to travel between the feeder and the second sensor.



**Figure 7.1 Document Spacing Example.** 

Since the first sensor was after the first accelerator (necessary due to the mechanical components in the feeder area), any given document was nearly out of the feeder by the time the spacing between that document and the preceding document (the one already in the transport) could be measured. The firmware had to predict what the final spacing would be after both documents were through the second accelerator. This prediction was based on the length **of** the space at the first sensor, the amount of acceleration (a constant), and the current motor speed.

If the predicted spacing was too short, the feed motor would be ramped down enough to "grow" the interdocument spacing enough to prevent an error. By slowing the feed motor, the document in the feeder was held back, allowing the preceding document to pull away, increasing the interdocument spacing. The amount of rampdown was based on the spacing at the first sensor and the current motor speed, and was intended to slow the document just enough to produce adequate spacing after acceleration.

The spacing prediction and motor rampdown calculations were made using a number of lookup tables because many of the calculations were well beyond the

*System Integration and Debug* 199

real-time capacity of the **8031.** The tables were calculated by software running on a PC, and the resulting tables were incorporated into the **8031** code.

The spacing errors occurred on every machine produced; it was not limited only to certain machines. There were several possibilities for why spacing errors still occurred after the original spacing/throughput problem was solved:

- The original fix had a bug (software problem).
- The **8031** was making an incorrect prediction about the size of the postacceleration spacing, and therefore not correcting some documents (software problem).
- The 8031 was making an incorrect calculation about the amount of correction needed to fix the spacing (software problem).
- The sensor hardware delivered an incorrect signal to the **8031,** resulting in an incorrect gap measurement (electrical hardware problem).
- The motor or motor driver was not responding correctly to the rampdown/ rampup commands (electrical or mechanical hardware problem).
- The first acceleration wheel was not always accelerating the documents correctly (mechanical hardware problem).
- The problem only occurred on documents that were physically damaged in some way and could not be controlled/sensed properly (media problem; possible specification problem if these were not taken into account in the design).

The first items checked were the media and the acceleration wheels. We found that most of the documents with incorrect spacing were not damaged so it was not a media problem. The acceleration wheel was more difficult to measure, but it was based on an earlier design and appeared to be working correctly. Had the problem not turned out to be elsewhere, we would have returned to this and examined it in more detail.

We measured a number of rampup and rampdown cycles and determined that the feed motor was working correctly, at least **as** far **as** the shaft encoder was concerned. Spacing measurements were made on a number of documents and it was determined that the variation in the output was minimal and insufficient to cause the problem.

With all the possible errors other than code eliminated, a means was needed to determine what was happening. Unfortunately, errors were not detected (at the second sensor) until 150ms after the spacing corrections had been made at the feeder. We did not have an emulator with a trace buffer that deep. This type of issue is worse with modern processors, in fact, because newer, faster processors will execute *more* instructions in a given time period, requiring an even deeper trace buffer for problems of this type.

Considerable work was done to verify that the original software fix was correct, verifymg the thresholds that determined the feedback characteristics. Eventually, **as** described in Chapter 6, action codes were added to the firmware to indicate mea-
sured gap spacing and motor speed. These were captured on a logic analyzer along with lead edge, trail edge, interrupt, and some other information. A number of documents were measured and the process used to generate a correction was checked when an error occurred. The problem turned out to be that one of the tables, used in the middle of the correction calculation, had an incorrect entry in the last position. We did not have access to the PC code used to generate the tables, but the math calculations were documented in the source code for the firmware, *so* we were able to determine what the correct value should be. Apparently, the program that generated that particular table did not calculate the final entry correctly. This error would propagate through the calculation process, resulting in an incorrect rampdown/rampup profile.

This example illustrates a number of issues common to many embedded problems:

- Two problems produced similar symptoms. The original problem produced both spacing and throughput errors. The second problem produced spacing errors only. However, the effects of the second error were much smaller and were invisible until the original problem was solved.
- The problem could potentially have been caused by software, electrical, mechanical, or even specification errors. A process of elimination was required to isolate the actual cause.
- The extreme lag between the creation of the error (in the spacing correction code) and detection of the error (at the second sensor), made this problem difficult to solve. Many emulators, even today, would not have sufficient trace capability to solve this without using action codes or some similar technique.
- The problem actually occurred only for certain combinations of document length and motor speed. That is, for a given motor speed, only a certain range of document lengths would create the problem. However, since motor speed was invisible to the operator, the problem appeared to be random until the actual cause was discovered.
- The error in the table did not produce disastrous results. It was not used on all documents and even when it was used, it was close enough to the correct value that the software did not "blow up" with some obviously incorrect value. In fact, on some documents, the incorrect table value resulted in a correction that **was**  close enough to actually work.

## *Emulators/Debuggers*

Although using action codes and logic analyzers was the only way to solve this problem at the time, some emulators could have made this task easier. With the

*System Integration and Debug* 201

right emulator, you don't need the logic analyzer to trace program flow; you can trace things like interrupt entry/exit (to provide timing information), document lead/trail edge detection, and the memory locations that keep track of current motor speed, document length, and the current interdocument spacing. Since you couldn't be sure that the firmware had good inputs, you might still use the logic analyzer to track the actual document lengths (as measured by the sensor) *so* you can compare the actual lengths to the lengths as measured by firmware.

Not all emulators, especially for smaller microcontrollers, have adequate trace capability for this sort of problem. Many emulators cannot trace reads/writes to specific addresses, although they might be able to breakpoint on such accesses.

Some modern debuggers provide additional tools that support system integration and debug. The **p** Vision2 debugger from Keil provides performance analysis capabilities for 8051-based designs. This feature monitors how much time the software spends in each routine, allowing you to measure the amount **of** timing margin you have in your design.

Chapter 8 will look at multiprocessor systems.

**202** *Embedded Microprocessor* **System** 

# *Multiprocessor Systems 8*

The preceding chapters focused on single-processor systems and subsystems. Many embedded designs, especially in industrial or commercial systems, use multiple processors. The design of multiprocessor systems allows computing power to be distributed among different processors for redundancy, speed, modularity, or to simplify coding.

This book is about embedded systems, *so* this chapter does not address processor arrays used for high-speed computation nor will it cover multiple processors used in redundant voting or backup schemes. This chapter focuses on systems in which multiple processors are used for distributed control of real-time events.

Multiple processors might be used in a project for a number of reasons. One reason is modularity. For example, a particular processor-based subsystem needs to be installed only if a particular option is installed. Distributed processors may simpllfy coding. Instead of one huge, complex, difficult-todebug-and-maintain piece of code, the software is broken into much more manageable independent functions on different **CPUs.** 

Although it seems counterintuitive, distributed processors may decrease costs. The processing horsepower to operate two tasks independently on separate processors may be considerably less than if one processor must do everything. For example, a system may have to simultaneously handle high-speed events that require little processing but need extremely fast response and message-level interrupts that occur less often but require extensive processing. An example of a high-speed event might be a motor shaft encoder interrupt. An example of a message-level interrupt might be a message packet from an **E232** interface or message passing in **an** RTOS environment. A single processor solution must be fast enough that the overhead of the message-level functions does not affect performance of the high-speed events and the repetition rate of the fast events does not slow down the more processing-intensive message functions.

A multiprocessor solution to this problem might involve a singlechip microcontroller for the motor control and a more powerful embedded processor for the



## **Figure 8.1 Simple Multiprocessor System.**

message-level tasks. This can be less expensive than a single processor powerful enough to do both.

Figure 8.1 illustrates a simple multiprocessor system for some undefined control application. CPU **1** handles the operator interface display and keypad. It communicates with CPU 2, which communicates with a higher-level host. CPU 2 also **talks**  to CPU **3,** which controls real-time motors and monitors event sensors. All three CPUs have their own PROM, RAM, and I/O.

In a real application, the display could be a liquid crystal display (LCD), lightemitting diode (LED), electroluminescent (EL), cathode-ray tube (CRT), or other type of display. CPU **2** communicates with a host. In a sorting application (moving plastic sheet, logs, documents, or anything else down a transport), CPU **2** might transmit the particulars about each item to a controlling host (data read from a document, size of a log, and so on) and receive decisions from the host **as** to what should be done with each item. CPU **3** might control motors that transport the items, or motors on an **XY** table, or the positioning of a tool in a numerically controlled (NC) machine tool. The event sensors could be position sensors (indicating item position), pressure sensors, safety interlock sensors, or sensors **of** almost any event that the system needs to monitor.

The communication link between CPUs depends on the application. Communication between CPU **1** and CPU **2** could be an **RS-232** connection since keypad/ display data rates usually are not high. Communication between CPU **2** and the host could be anything from a **slow RS232** link to an Ethernet, SCSI, or Firewire connection, depending on the data throughput requirements. Communication between CPU **2** and CPU **3** could be a direct connection if both are on the same board.

Deciding how many processor subsystems to use and how to distribute the tasks among them is usually based on three considerations:

- Interdependence or modularity of the software.
- Processor throughput.
- Physical location.

Interdependent functions, such **as** positioning something using a stepper motor and reading feedback from the position sensor, are well suited to sharing one processor. In general, whatever arrangement minimizes the interprocessor communication usually is a good distribution of functions. In the previous hypothetical example, it makes no sense for CPU 2 to handle communication from the host and CPU 3 to handle communication to the host, unless the two information streams are independent and unrelated.

Code complexity is an issue as well. If CPU 3 is eliminated from the example and CPU 2 does both jobs, the software could become complex and difficult to develop. For example, CPU 2 might need to work at a message level to talk to the host, but getting continuous interrupts from sensors and motors makes the interactions hard to predict. While CPU 2 might be able to handle the *average* processing load, momentary peaks in taking care of motors might cause host data to be missed or serviced late.

Physical location may determine the breakdown of tasks. CPU 3 may be located remotely, near the motors. Even though CPU 2 is primarily a communication processor (as opposed to device control processor), it might handle some minor sensor or I/O device located nearby.

In a system that has optional configurations, a processor might be dedicated to each option. The intelligence and cost to control the option (at least the low-level control) goes with the option.

# *Communication Between Processors*

For multiprocessor systems in which two or more processors are on the same board, several methods of interprocessor communication are possible.

#### *Communication Register*

Figure 8.2 shows probably the simplest mechanism communication between **two**  processors on the same board. Data is clocked into an 8-bit register with tristate outputs, such as a 74AC374 (or, of course, part of a PLD), using a decoded write strobe from CPU 1. CPU 2 reads the data with a decoded read strobe. In this scheme, the lower 7 bits in the register (DO through D6) are used to transfer data,





**Register-Based Multiprocessor Communication.** 

and D7 is used as a strobe. Each time **CPU** 1 wants to send data to **CPU** 2, it writes the data to the register, toggling the strobe line.

You will see in the timing diagram in Figure 8.2 that there actually are two writes by **CPU** 1. This is because there is a very small timing window where **CPU** 1 could be writing the register while **CPU** 2 is simultaneously reading the register. **CPU** 2 can then get bad data while the bits are changing. To prevent this, **CPU** 1 performs two write operations: the first one to change the data bits (DO through D6) and the second one to toggle the strobe. In the example shown in Figure 8.2, **CPU 1** wants to send 23 then 77 to **CPU** 2. **CPU** 1 actually writes 23 then A3 then E7 then 77. By performing **two** writes per byte transferred, the low-order 7 bits that contain the data are guaranteed to be stable when **CPU** 2 detects that new data has been written. **CPU 2** just polls the register, processing the new data every time the strobe bit changes state. The drawback to this method is speed; there is no feedback to tell **CPU** 1 that **CPU** 2 has read the data, **CPU** 1 cannot send data any faster than the *slowest* speed at which **CPU** 2 polls the register. Say that **CPU** 2 is using a polling loop and has interrupts. In that case, the fastest **CPU** 1 can send data is the sum of the longest path through the polling loop plus the maximum interrupt stackup latency.

Figure 8.3 shows an addition to the circuit that can speed up communication considerably. This method still uses an 8-bit register with tristate outputs, but now a flip-flop has been added to the circuit. When **CPU** 1 writes the register, it sets the flip-flop; **and** when **CPU** 2 reads the register, it clears the flip-flop. **So CPU** 1 writes **a** byte and monitors the output **of** the flip-flop (which indicates register **full).**  *As* long **as** the register remains full, **CPU 1** cannot write another byte. **CPU** 2,



#### **Figure 8.3**

**Register-Based Communication with Status Flip-Flop.** 

on the other hand, monitors the register full output; and when the register is full, CPU 2 reads the byte, clearing the register full bit and enabling CPU 1 to write another byte.

The register full bit can be monitored by both CPUs using any input port bit, including a tristate status buffer, a port bit if one of the CPUs is a microcontroller, or a port bit on an 1/0 expander integrated circuit (IC). This method speeds up the overall transfer rate since CPU 1 can send data any time the register is empty. Note that the slowest transfer rate is the same as for the simple register/strobe arrangement. This is because the longest time CPU 2 may take to read the register is unchanged. However, since the *average* polling rate usually is faster than the slowest possible rate, the average throughput will be higher.

To speed things up even more, the register full bit also can be connected to an interrupt input to either or both CPUs. In this case, CPU 2 gets an interrupt when the register is full (or when the register **goes** full if the interrupt is edge sensitive), and CPU 1 gets an interrupt when the register is or goes empty.

Now the average transfer rate can be quite high. The slowest rate is the sum of the worst-case interrupt latencies of both processors. However, both processors must service one interrupt per byte transferred. CPU 1 does not know what CPU 2 is doing and may flood it with data at an inopportune time. The software for either CPU may need to disable the interrupt when performing timecritical processing. If this is necessary, the decrease in worstcase transfer rate needs to be taken into account.

If you are using processors with built-in DMA, you can use this technique to implement a very fast communication scheme. The register full output is connected to the DMA request of CPU 2, and the inversion (register empty) is connected to the DMA request of CPU 1. CPU 1 puts the data it needs to send into a block of memory and programs the DMA controller to send it. CPU 2 programs its DMA

controller to read data from the register and put it in memory. Now the **two** DMA controllers handle the transfer, typically at very high rates.

The problem with DMA-controlled transfers is this: How does CPU 2 know how many bytes to transfer? Three solutions to this problem exist:

- 1. The first DMA technique is very simple. All transfers are a specified size, such as 256 bytes. If the data to be transferred are shorter than that, it is padded out (with zeros or some other constant value) to the block size.
- 2. The second technique involves a length byte. The first byte transferred by CPU 1 is a length value. CPU 2 sets up its DMA controller to transfer 1 byte and generate an interrupt when done. When the length byte is received, CPU 2 services the interrupt and sets up the DMA controller to receive the specified number of bytes. This method requires CPU 2 to service two interrupts for every transfer.
- **3.** The third technique requires a second interrupt path between the two proces sors. CPU **2** sets up its DMA controller to transfer more than the *maximum*  number of bytes in an actual message. If the longest message is 64 bytes, then CPU 2 sets up the DMA controller to transfer any value greater than 64 bytes. CPU **1** sets up the DMA transfer and, when it is completed, notifies CPU 2 via the separate interrupt path, CPU 2 reads the number of bytes transferred from its DMA controller and then processes the received data. Note that the CPU **2** DMA controller will never generate an interrupt since it never transfers the number of bytes programmed. You can use the DMA technique even if only one processor supports DMA. The non-DMA processor can poll the register to see when data are available. The speed is no higher than a polled register approach, but whichever processor has DMA is relieved of the need to poll for each byte.

Figure 8.4 illustrates a variation of this DMA method. This was designed for a dual430188 application where CPU **1** had both DMA channels used for something else. The DMA channels of CPU 2 were used for data transfer. This scheme uses two &bit registers for bidirectional communication. Register **1** transfers data from CPU 1 to CPU 2, and Register 2 transfers data from CPU 2 to CPU 1. The register full bit for Register **1** drives DMA channel 0 on CPU 2 and the register empty bit on Register 2 drives DMA channel 1 on CPU 2.

In addition, there is one interrupt from CPU 1 to CPU **2 and one** from CPU 2 to CPU 1. The CPU **1** to CPU 2 interrupt is set by CPU **1** and cleared by CPU **2.**  The other interrupt is set by CPU 2 and cleared by CPU 1. Both interrupts are available as status bits to both CPUs. Interrupt set and clear, of course, are decoded read/write strobes.

The sequence of events for transferring data from the CPU 1 to CPU 2 is as follows:



#### **Figure 8.4**

**Dual 80188 Communication Using a Single CPU DMA.** 

**CPU 2** sets up DMA channel 0 to transfer *256* bytes (or any value greater than the longest possible message).

**CPU** 1 sends the message. **CPU** 1 must allow sufficient time between successive bytes to permit the **DMA** transfer to complete.

**CPU** 1 sets the interrupt after the last byte is transferred.

**CPU** 2 services interrupt. This includes terminating the DMA transfer, reading the DMA controller to determine how many bytes were transferred, setting up the DMA to receive the next package, and determining whether the message must be processed immediately or if it can wait. After interrupt processing is complete and *the DMA is set up for the next transfer*, CPU 2 resets the interrupt. When the interrupt goes inactive, **CPU** 1 can send the next message.

The sequence of events for transferring data from CPU 2 to CPU 1 is **as** follows:

CPU 2 sets up DMA channel 1 to transfer the message from memory to the communication register. DMA is set up to interrupt CPU 2 at the end of message transmission.

CPU 1 reads each byte as it is available in the communication register. When the complete message is transferred, the DMA controller interrupts CPU 2, which then sets interrupt to CPU 1.

When CPU 1 clears the interrupt, CPU 2 can send the next message.

The only possible problem here is that CPU 1 must not transfer data too fast to CPU 2. One way to prevent this is to have CPU 1 poll the register 1 full bit and not transfer if the register is full. However, if CPU **2** is not performing operations that prevent the DMA from acquiring the bus or is not considerably slower than CPU 1, a minimal software delay should be adequate.

A problem can occur with any of the register and flip-flop methods if either CPU is considerably faster than the other, such as if one is a digital signal processor (DSP) and the other is a relatively slow microcontroller. If CPU 1 is faster than CPU 2, CPU 1 may detect the register full going inactive and write a new byte while CPU 2 still has its read strobe active to read the first byte. If CPU 2 is faster, it may detect the register full condition and read the byte while CPU 1 still has the write strobe active. In either case, the **SR** flop will end up in the wrong state, causing a byte to be missed or read twice.

Two solutions to this problem are to add a delay between register full/empty detection and the next read or write for the faster CPU. Another solution is to use a "D"-type register full flip-flop with both asynchronous set/reset and a clock input. The slower CPU drives the clock to set or clear the flip-flop. This ensures that the flip-flop is set or cleared (depending on which CPU is slower) at the *end* of the read or write cycle.

Figure 8.5 shows this problem. In Figure **8.5A,** CPU 2 is much faster than CPU 1 and polls the data flip-flop twice during the CPU 1 write. Consequently, CPU 2 thinks 2 bytes have been written instead of 1 byte. Note that since the data actually are not written to the data register until the end of the write cycle, the first byte that CPU **2** reads is the *previous* byte that was written. The diagram shows the dataready flip-flop going low during the CPU 2 read cycles, although real hardware may or may not do that, depending on what type of ready flip-flop is used. Figure **8.5B**  shows how using a D-type register, such **as** a 74ACT74, fixes this problem. Now the data ready goes low only after the end of the CPU 1 write cycle, and everything works **as** it should.

Of course, for two-way communication, these methods can be expanded by adding another communication register, written by CPU 2 and read by CPU 1. Wider registers can be used with **16-** or 32-bit processors. You can mix techniques



## **Figure 8.5**

**Fast/Slow CPU Communication Timing Problem.** 

as well. Say the **CPU** 1 to **CPU** 2 path requires a lot of data at high speed, but what comes back from **CPU** 2 to **CPU** 1 is infrequent single-byte status responses. In this case, you might use a DMA scheme to send data from **CPU** 1 to **CPU** 2 and a polled register and flip-flop for the reverse path.

The communication protocol for using a register of this type depends on the data that must be exchanged. If **CPU** 2 just gets simple commands like "Turn on motor 1" and "Turn off motor 2," each command can be a single byte or even a bit in a byte. If the commands need to be more complex, a string of bytes can be used where the first byte is **an** opcode that determines what **the** operation is and how much data follows. One opcode, for example, might be "Move up the **NC** head," with one or more subsequent bytes to determine how far the movement should be. In cases where the data length varies, the first byte can state the length, or the first byte can be an opcode and the second byte state the length. For any multibyte protocol, a checksum byte can be added to detect errors or missed bytes.

## *FIFO Devices*

A second method for interprocessor communication involves FIFO (first in, first out) buffers. Conceptually, this is the same **as** the register approach except that

FIFO buffers replace the register, with one CPU writing the FIFO buffer and the other CPU reading the FIFO buffer. The FIFO buffer holds the data, allowing CPU 2 to read the data in order at its convenience. Most FIFO buffers have a pin that tells when the FIFO buffer is empty. This can be monitored to determine when data are in the FIFO buffer (not empty = data available).

**A** FIFO can reduce the impact of communication on both CPUs. *As* long as messages are only a fraction of the FIFO depth, the sender can just write the entire message to the FIFO and go on about its business. The receiver can read the entire message or as many bytes as are available when it discovers that the FIFO is not empty.

One drawback to the FIFO is that the sender does not have byte-by-byte indication that the receiver has taken the message. **If** the receiver falls behind or even stops, the FIFO may have to get completely full before the sender knows the data were read. One way around this is to use a message-level indication: The sender sends an entire message at a time but doesn't send another message until the FIFO goes empty.

## *Dual-Port RAM (DPRAM)*

In cases in which a lot of data must be transferred between two processors, a dualport RAM (DPRAM) is common. DPRAM is shared between two processors. If both processors want to access the RAM at the same time, one has to wait until the other is finished.

Some DPRAM ICs handle arbitration internally. These devices have a signal to each processor to request a wait state for arbitration, or they use a *synchronous* dualport memory architecture that permits simultaneous access by both processors. The 709089 from Integrated Device Technologies (IDT) is a **64K x** 8 dual-port RAM. The IDT 7052 is a  $2K \times 8$  four-port device that can allow four processors to communicate using a common RAM area.

One drawback to using synchronous DPRAM ICs is possible data corruption. If one processor writes to a location while the other is reading, the write may not be completed correctly or the read data may be corrupted. For cost-sensitive designs, an inexpensive way to produce a DPRAM is to use the bus hold capability of one CPU.

The block diagram in Figure 8.6 illustrates a means to implement the hold based DPRAM. This example uses **two** Intel-style processors, such as the 80188, but the concept can be adapted to any processor that has bushold capability.

CPU 1 **has** an address decoder that selects its local RAM, ROM, I/O, and access to the other processor. For simplicity, the CPU 1 RAM, ROM, and 1/0 are not shown.



**Figure 8.6 Dual-Port RAM Using a** Bus **Hold.** 

**A** memory map for **CPU** 1 might look like the following:



When **CPU** 1 accesses locations 20000 through 27FFF, the address decoder generates a select signal **(XSEL)** to the programmable logic device **(PLD)** in Figure 8.6. The read and write strobes are generated **as** well. The **PLD** responds with a wait request to **CPU** 1 and asserts **HOLD** to **CPU** 2. **CPU** 2 releases its bus, tristating the address, data, and control lines. When **CPU** 2 releases its bus, it responds with **HLDA.** The **PLD** then enables the address and data buffers that connect the **CPU** 1 bus to the **CPU** 2 bus. After a setup delay, the **PLD** asserts the read or write strobe to the RAM and removes the WAIT request to **CPU** 1. During all this, **CPU**  2 is in a hold state and does not drive the bus. When **CPU** 1 completes the read or write cycle, the **PLD** tristates the read and write lines and removes **HOLD. CPU 2**  then removes **HLDA** and reacquires its local bus. This example uses a delay line for illustrative purposes; the same thing could be accomplished with a synchronous design.

The **CPU** 2 address decoding logic is not shown on Figure 8.6. This logic must recognize accesses from both **CPUs** and generate the RAM **-CE** signal. Note that the RAM does not need to be in the same place in the CPU 2 address space as it is in the **CPU** 1 space.

The PLD equations for **this** are **as follows:** 

// PIN DESCRIPTIONS

// *!XWR,!XRD:* EXTERNAL PROCESSOR READ *AND* WRITE STROBES.

// !XSEL: ADDR DECODE FROM EXTERNAL PROCESSOR.

// HLDA: FROM LOCAL 188.

// DL140: 140 NS OUTPUT OF DELAY LINE

// DL40: 40 NS OUTPUT OF DELAY LINE

// DIR: DIRECTION CONTROL FOR ACT245 BUS BUFFER.

// 0 = READ FROM LOCAL BUS TO EXERNAL BUS.

// !DEN: ENABLE FOR ACT245 BUS BUFFER

// !AEN: ENABLES **ADDRESS** BUPF'ERS FROM EXTERNAL ADDR BUS

// TO LOCAL ADDR BUS.

// !LRD: READ STROBE TO LOCAL BUS

// !LWR: WRITE STROBE TO LOCAL **BUS** 

// HOLD: TO LOCAL 188.

// *!XWAIT:* TO EXTERNAL CPU

// **DLD:** DELAY LINE DRIVE

// FF1, 2: MEMORY LATCH

// THIS PLD WILL ARBITRATE THE LOCAL BUS **FOR** EXTERNAL ACCESS.

// REQUESTS HOLD FROM 188, THEN **ALLOW** EXTERNAL BUS ACCESS

// WHEN HLDA IS RETURNED. TO PREVENT PROBLEMS WITH

// BACK-TO-BACK CYCLES. A SECOND ACCESS WILL NOT BE

// PERMITTED UNTIL THE **HOLD** ACKNOWLEDGE FROM THE FIRST ACCESS

// HAS BEEN REMOVED BY THE LOCAL 188.

*XWAIT* = XSEL *Be* IFF2

HOLD = *XWAIT* & XRD & !HLDA

# *XWAIT* & *XWR* & !HLDA

#HOLD&XRD

#HOLD&XWR

// AFTER HOLD AND HLDA BOTH TRUE, **TIMING CYCLE STARTS.** 

// EXTERNAL ADDRESS IS ENABLED FIRST. **AFTER** 40 NS SETW,

// LOCAL FtEAD/WRTTE IS ASSERTED. 100 NS **AFTER** THAT,

// *XWAIT* IS REMOVED TO COMPLETE CYCLE.

DLD = HOLD & HLDA & *XRD* & !FFl

**#HOLD** *Be* HLDA *Be* XWR & IFF1

 $FF1 = DL140$ 

# FF1 & IFF2

**214** *Embedded Micropocessm Systems* 

```
AEN = HLDA Be HOLD Be IFF2 
    # AEN & LWR
    #AEN&LRD 
DEFN = AENDIR = XRD # LRDFF2 = FF1 & IDLD & IDL140 
   # FF2 & HOLD 
LWR.OE = DEMLRD.OE = DEMLVQR = XWR & DL40 // FOLLOWS BUS WRITE 
    # LWR & DEN Be XWR 
LRD = XRD & DL40 // FOLLOWS BUS READ 
    # LRD & DEN & XRD
```
The one drawback to using this DPRAM technique is that both processors are slowed down by the access. A DPRAM IC or controller IC will place one processor in a wait state only if both attempt simultaneous access. In this design, CPU 1 must wait while CPU 2 gets into a hold state, so excessive access by CPU 1 can affect throughput of both processors. However, this can be a cost-effective design since the DPRAM can be the CPU 2 local RAM.

Transferring data between processors in a DPRAM can be accomplished in a number of ways. One method is to have one or more sequential buffers with semaphores. For example, RAM locations 1000 through 1100 (hex) might be configured into four buffers as follows:



and so on through buffer **4.** 

In operation, CPU 1 puts data in buffer 1 then sets semaphore 1. CPU 2 sees semaphore 1 set, processes the data, and clears semaphore 1.

The next block of data from CPU 1 goes in buffer 2, then buffer 3, then buffer **4,** and then back to buffer 1. If CPU 1 wants to put data in a particular buffer and the semaphore still is set, the buffer is not available **and** CPU 1 must wait.

If the messages have variable length, the semaphore may be replaced with a length byte (or word). CPU 1 places data in the buffer, then places the length at the first byte. CPU **2** clears the length to zero when it has processed the data. This makes more efficient use of the RAM since the buffer length is only **as** long as needed for a particular message, and subsequent messages can be strung together in memory, the length byte of one message immediately following the last byte of the previous message. However, it makes the code less efficient because the CPU must search through the buffers using the lengths to find the first unused one.

The length/semaphore must be set by the sending CPU only after the complete message is in the buffer, or the receiving CPU may see the length byte and try to read the message before it is completely written.

I have already mentioned data corruption in synchronous DPRAMs. **Any** type of DPRAM arrangement is susceptible to data corruption if the memory is managed poorly. In general, data buffers should be segregated into send and receive buffers. One CPU writes to the send buffers while the other CPU reads them, and the reverse is true for the other set of buffers. This arrangement is needed because, if the buffers are shared, both processors may try to simultaneously grab an empty buffer. If it is impossible to segregate the buffers this way, a protocol must be put in place to keep both processors from attempting to access the same buffers at the same time.

An additional problem can occur when using 8-bit DPRAM with 16-bit processors. If the semaphores and buffers are 16-bit words, the processors will have to do two 8-bit memory cycles to access a 16-bit semaphore. It is possible for one processor to access a memory location in the middle of the two write cycles from the other processor.

This problem can be avoided if the processors have a **LOCK** function, which can be used to lock out access to the **DPRAM** by the other processor. However, this will not work with a synchronous DPRAM design. In general, it is safest to have critical semaphores be 8 bits wide in these applications. Use 8-bit semaphores to control access to buffers, and, if necessary, use 16-bit counters and data values.

#### *Serial Communication*

Chapter **4** describes a method of communicating between a pair of ADSP-2101 processors using the built-in synchronous serial port. In that example, the serial interface sent 16 bits at a time. The low-order byte (DO-D7) was designated **as** data, D8 and D9 indicated the source of the transmission (up to four DSPs were possible in the system), D10 and D11 indicated the destination, and D12-Dl5 were an opcode that indicated what the data were for. While this scheme required 16 bits **to** be transmitted per byte, most opcodes require only **1** byte, and the mechanism allows multiple devices to share the bus.

The Microwire and  $I^2C$  buses described in Chapter 2 can be used for interprocessor communication, albeit somewhat slowly. In this scheme, one processor typically controls the bus as a master, and the other responds like a peripheral device. However, the I'C specification supports multimaster operation in a fairly unique way.

The problem with any shared multimaster bus is arbitration—which master gets the bus when **two** or more want it at the same time. Some arbitration schemes allow multiple masters to transmit, detect the bit errors, and resend the bad transmis sion.  $I^2C$  performs arbitration by allowing any master to send when the bus is idle. If **two** masters attempt to send at the same time, eventually a bit will be in the data stream where one master is sending a 1 and the other is sending a 0. Since the  $I^2C$ bus is an opencollector bus, the master sending the *0* will pull the data wire low. At this point, the other master is expected to sense that the state of the bus is different from what it is sending, and turn off its drivers.

There are a couple of interesting things about this arbitration method: First, it does not cost any time-transmissions proceed as they would in a single-master system. Second, no priority is assigned to the bus masters. Which master wins control of the bus is completely dependent on the data each is transmitting. Third, the point in a transmission where arbitration is decided is completely data dependent. If two masters attempt to send information to the same address, control of the bus may not be decided until well into the transmitted data fields. Figure 8.7 illustrates  $I^2C$  bus arbitration between two masters. The 3.4 Mbits/sec high-speed mode of I<sup>2</sup>C does not support multimaster transmissions.

Sending I<sup>2</sup>C over long distances is somewhat problematic: Both the SCL and SDA lines are bidirectional and open collector, *so* they cannot just be buffered with RS-485 buffers unless the bus is completely implemented in software. The usual



**Figure** *8.7*  **1% Bus Arbitration.** 

*Multi#n-ocessor Systems* **217** 

method of buffering an  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  bus involves a circuit that senses current flow to determine whether a device is trying to drive the line and turns on the right buffer to send data the right direction.

Some microcontrollers, such **as** the 8051, have synchronous serial ports that are suitable for interprocessor communication. These generally run at a fairly high sub multiple of the processor clock for fast data transfer. Since they usually are half duplex, a handoff protocol must be established for bidirectional communication.

# *Processors on Different Boards*

In systems where two processors on separate boards need to communicate, several methods are available. A serial RS-232 link has already been discussed. Some port expander ICs, such **as** the 28536, have a built-in communication mode where 8 data bits of one port and 2 or **4** bits of another port can be interconnected between two devices to make a byte-wide interface with interlocked handshake. If the communication distance warrants, the interface can be made differential or otherwise noise immune.

An asynchronous serial interface can be used without the RS-232 interface. Some high-speed UARTs can operate up to 1 Mbit per second. If an RS-485 differential interface is used as illustrated in Figure 8.8, several processors can be connected in a high-speed party line arrangement. Note that the RS-485 party line communication bus can be quite long and interconnect subsystems over significant distances. Although not covered in detail here, more complex communication schemes involve Ethernet, Firewire, or other standard, high-speed interfaces.

# *CAN Bus*

The CAN (controller area network) is a serial bus originally developed for use in motor vehicles. It is a multimaster bus that supports multiple, equal nodes. The nodes have no specific address. Address information is contained in the identifiers of the transmitted messages. Nodes may be plugged in and removed while the system is operating ("hot swapping").

The CAN bus is a 120-ohm differential serial party-line bus. Three bus speed ranges are available:

- 1. & 2. Low speed (ISO-IS 11519-2) defines a Class **A** bus with speeds up to IOkbps, and a Class B bus for speeds from lOkbps to 125kbps.
- **3.** The high-speed specification (ISO-IS 11898) defines a **bus** with speeds between 125 kbps and 1 Mbps.

CAN uses NRZ (nonreturn to zero) signaling, with bit-stuffing to allow resynchronization. The CAN differential lines have two states: In one state, both lines are driven to 2.5V and in the other state one line is driven to 1.2V and the other to 3.5V. This gives a differential voltage swing between 0 and 2V.



### **Figure 8.8**

**RS-485** Party **Line Multiprocessor Communication.** 

CAN messages consist of a start-of-frame bit, followed by an arbitration field consisting of 12 bits: The 1 1-bit identifier, which reflects the contents and priority of the message, and the remote transmission request bit. The arbitration field is used to arbitrate between transmitters. If multiple transmitters attempt to gain control of the bus at the same time, the nodes with lower-priority messages will drop out during the arbitration field, leaving the node with the highest-priority message in control of the bus.

Next is the control field, consisting of 6 bits. The first bit of this field is called the IDE (identifier extension) bit, and the next bit is reserved. The remaining 4 bits are the data length code (DLC); they specify the number of bytes of data contained in the message (0 to 8 bytes).

The data follows the control field and consists of however many bytes were defined by the DLC. After the data is a 15-bit CRC (cyclic redundancy check) for error checking. Following the CRC is an acknowledge field, where the receiving node drives an acknowledge bit onto the bus to notify the transmitter that the message was correctly received. Last, 7 empty bits complete the frame.

CAN error checking is performed by three methods:

- The **CRC** (a complex checksum method) is calculated and inserted into the message by the transmitter. The receiving node calculates the same **CRC** and compares it against the received **CRC** to detect transmission errors. If a **CRC**  error is detected, an error frame is generated to request retransmission.
- The second error check uses the acknowledge bit; the message is sent from the transmitter to the receiver, but the acknowledge bit is sent from the receiver to the transmitter. If no acknowledge bit is received, the message is retransmitted.
- Finally, a frame check is performed by the transmitter, in which it looks for an incorrect state during the **CRC** delimiter, acknowledge delimiter, end-of-frame and interframe space periods. An incorrect signaling value during these periods is an error.

There are **two** versions of CAN: Version 2.0A (Standard CAN) supports an 11-bit identifier field (supports **2047** message types) and version **2.OB** (Extended CAN) supports an 18-bit identifier extension, for a total 29-bit identifier field.

CAN interconnects can be up to 40m long at **1** Mbps. Longer cables can be used with lower bit rates. Up to **30** nodes may be connected to a single CAN bus. **A**  number of manufacturers make microcontrollers that interface directly to CAN bus. Examples are the Siemens **C167R** and Intel **87C196CB.** Intel also makes a communications controller, the **82527,** that provides a CAN interface for processors that lack embedded CAN capability. Figure 8.9 shows the data sequence and voltage levels for CAN.





**220** *Embedded Micr@-rocessm Systems* 

### *Open-Collector Serial Interface*

Figure 8.10 shows a simple means to provide interprocessor communication using an asynchronous serial port such as the one available on most microcontrollers. All the processors drive a common serial line with opencollector drivers. The common serial line is pulled up to +12V. Each processor has a comparator, referenced at +6V, to receive data.

With a 6V reference, the noise immunity of this approach is similar to that of RS-232, but the open-collector drive allows multiple devices to communicate over a single wire. Since the system uses standard asynchronous signaling, any type of processor can communicate on the bus.

To implement this system, one of the processors would be designated as the master, and the other processors would transmit only when requested to do *so* by the master. This avoids bus contention.

Figure 8.11 shows a variation on the open-collector serial communication method that allows a slave to request attention from the master. To implement this, the common serial line is pulled to +24V instead of +12V. The master has **two coni**parators, one referenced at 6V for the data and another referenced at +18V and driving an interrupt on the processor. The slaves can request attention by pulling the common serial line down with a 12V zener diode. When **no** slave is requesting attention, the common line swings between **0** and 24V. When a slave is requesting attention, the serial line swings between 0 and 12V. Thus, the master can monitor the request input when the serial line is idle to determine whether any slaves are requesting attention. The slave devices must be polled by the master to determine which ones need service.

The maximum baud rate for this method usually will be lower than **for the**  +12V-only system. At +12V, a 600-ohm resistor dissipates about 0.25W. But at 24V, a 2300-ohm resistor dissipates the same power. Thus, the 24V system typically will use a larger pullup, resulting in a lower maximum data rate. However, this communication method allows multiple processors to communicate, with an attention request capability, over a single wire (plus ground).

## *Parallel Port Interface*

Many single-board computers, such as PC/104 systems (see Chapter 10) include a parallel printer port, compatible with that found in the IBM PC clone world. In many embedded systems, this port is not needed to communicate with a printer. The standard printer port provides eight data lines, a strobe signal, four output lines, and *six* input lines. If your hardware already includes a printer port, this can be a simple way to implement communication with other processors.

Two computer boards can be interconnected using their printer ports. There is a standard interface for this, called Interlink, used to interconnect **PCs.** Off-theshelf software and cables are available to implement this interface. Interlink



# **Figure 8.10**

**Serial, Asynchronous Communication.** 

communicates using only four of the data lines because the data lines on the standard printer port are unidirectional, output only. You cannot tie the data lines of **two** printer ports together or you will get bus contention. However, many modern printer ports support various bidirectional modes of operation. You could use this capability to get full 8-bit-wide transfers between two computer boards, but you



#### **Figure 8.11**

**Serial, Asynchronous Communication with Attention Request Feature.** 

need some additional hardware to isolate them since both boards will come up in standard mode with the output drivers enabled.

A single printer port can be used to communicate between a master CPU and numerous slaves. If you implement such **an** interface, be sure that only one device at a time drives the data bus.

**Communication Protocol** Communication between processors can be implemented with a proprietary mechanism such as those described earlier, or a standard protocol can be used. **A** typical example of a standard protocol is MODBUS. MODBUS is a hardware-independent protocol that is used to communicate between a master CPU and numerous slaves. **A** MODBUS data package sent from master to slave includes the slave address *(0* to 247), a function code, data (if needed), and a checksum. The slave responds with a similar data packet to acknowledge the transmission. MODBUS data can be transmitted **as** binary data, in which case each transmitted data byte takes one byte to send, or as ASCII data, in which case each transmitted data byte is sent as two ASCII bytes.

Since MODBUS is interface independent, you can use it to communicate over **RS232** or **RS485** serial links, opencollector interfaces, or parallel port interfaces. Using a standard interface protocol, even on a proprietary hardware interface, provides some advantages. These include easier upgrades in the future and less confusion during development of the software on the various processors.

For more complex systems, an Ethernet interface using TCP/IP or UDP (User Datagram Protocol) can be used. This obviously requires a considerable step up in complexity of both the software and hardware, *so* it is not well suited to a system that must communicate with small microcontrollers.

## *Selection Criteria*

When selecting a communication bus and protocol for a multiprocessor system, the following factors should be considered:

- **Speed.** Will the bus be able to keep up with your data rate? Be sure to take into account polling in a master/slave architecture (see below).
- **Reliability.** Do you need two redundant buses for high-reliability applications? What about error checking? Can you assume that all commands are received correctly, or do you need a checksum on each block of data to prevent problems? Does the hardware need protection against the possibility that the field engineer will plug the interface cable into the wrong connector?
- **Standard/Proprietary. A** standard bus, such as Ethernet, lets you buy off-the-shelf cabling and use off-the-shelf boards and software, but it may be overly complex for a simple system. In some products, the ability to plug a standard device in is **an** advantage. In others, you want to keep your proprietary system proprietary.
- **OS Support.** If you are using an off-the-shelf operating system, including an RTOS, does it support the communication method and hardware you have chosen? If not, you will have to write device drivers for it.
- **Bidirectional/Unidirectional.** Sometimes a simple unidirectional interface is all you need. Will you have problems if the requirements change or if system integration reveals the need for **an** interface in the opposite direction? You must be sure no reverse path will be needed before choosing a unidirectional interface.
- **Master/Slave.** Will a master/slave protocol be fast enough? Some systems with one master and multiple slaves have poor response to attention requests because the master must poll each slave until it finds the one that made the request. Will the worst-case response time be fast enough for the last slave polled?
- **Network/Point-Point.** A network interface is more complex, both in hardware and software. **A** point-to-point interface requires a separate interface circuit for each communication path. **A PC** communicating with eight microcontroller slaves using **RS-232** requires eight serial interface channels.
- **Complexity. If** you choose Ethernet for a **PC** because it is fast and readily available, what does that do to the complexity of the microcontrollers that must communicate with the PC? Your interface needs to meet the needs of the entire system, unless your product cost budget is flexible enough to let the interface drive the design.

# *Acknowledge Timing*

In many multiprocessor systems, one higher-level controller passes commands to lower-level controllers. These commands usually cause the lower-level controller to perform some action-a command to "plane the block smooth" would be an example in the moving block scenario. One issue in any system of this type is how and when to acknowledge the command. There are four basic possibilities:

- 1. **No acknowledge.** In this scheme, the low-level controller does not acknowledge the command at all. However, there may be an acknowledge that the data were taken, such **as** the register empty/full bit associated with the communication register. The higher-level controller has no indication **of** when or how the command was carried out.
- 2. **Acknowledge on error.** The low-level controller sends an acknowledge indication only if there was an error in communication or in carrying out the command. For instance, if the command is to move a robotic arm to a certain position, **an** error would be returned if the arm is stuck.
- **3. Acknowledge on receipt of command.** The low-level controller acknowledges that it has received the command. If the communication protocol includes a checksum or other error check, the acknowledge will include an indication if there was an error. The higher-level controller still has no indication of when the command actually is executed.
- **4. Acknowledge on completion of command.** The low-level controller acknowledges when the command **has** been executed-when the arm has been moved, to use the robotic arm example. The higher-level controller now knows that the command was received and executed and when the command was complete. However, if the communication protocol does not allow multiple commands to be sent, then the higher-level controller is inhibited from sending additional commands until the previous command was executed and acknowledged.

These protocols can be combined. For instance, every command might be acknowledged when received, but an execution acknowledge is sent only if there is an error.

Any scheme that does not force the higher-level controller to wait for acknowledge of execution before sending additional commands must have a mechanism to handle errors. If an execution error occurs in command A, but commands B and C have already been sent, how does the higher-level controller know which command did not execute? What if command C depends on command A executing correctly? For example, our robotic arm might be told to move to a certain position (command A), insert a tool in a slot (command B), and turn it (command C). If the first command did not execute, then the last one is pointless and may even cause damage. So the protocol needs to specify what happens in case of an error. If commands can be "pipelined" (a new command sent before the old one is executed), you need to stipulate how many commands can be allowed to stack up so that the buffers do not overflow.

## *Design Piifalls*

*Multiple Measurements* Be careful of having two processors measure one thing. Because the "thing," whatever it is, will be measured with a digital system, there always is the possibility that the two processors will get different results. If they are measuring time, there will be at least one clock ambiguity in the measurement. If they are measuring a voltage, there always will be an ambiguity of at least one count in the two ADC output results. This can be a problem if there are fixed thresholds. For example, if you are moving wooden blocks down a conveyer system and one processor determines that the length of a block is just barely too long, be sure another processor will not declare it to be OK The first processer might skip sending the block to a planing process, while the second one proceeds with some other process that depends on a smooth surface. If there are fixed thresholds for what you measure (too short, too long, too heavy, voltage too high, and so on) be sure that the first processor that detects an error overrides the measurements of all subsequent processors. Or else be sure that a conflict does not cause problems later.

**Synchronization** Say you have a process controlled by multiple processors, like the wooden block example just mentioned. One processor cuts the blocks to size, the next one planes them smooth, the third one stamps a logo on the blocks, and so on. Say that everything in the system is synchronized to a clock that occurs once each time the conveyer system moves 0.1 inch. If data are passed between processors as each block moves between the regions controlled by each processor, there is a risk of a one-clock ambiguity in the timing. Be sure these cannot add up as the blocks move along. Either keep the time increment small enough that the

cumulative error is not a problem or resynchronize each processor to the leading edge of each block. This may require more sensors than otherwise would be required for system operation.

*Revisions* With a multiprocessor system, it often is possible to change the firmware for one processor without changing the others. Be sure this causes no problems **if** some function works differently than before. For instance, a new firmware revision might handle error messages from another processor with a different priority than the original firmware. Or the maximum buffer size might get changed in such a way that it is a problem only if certain errors occur. You may need additional regression testing of the combined system when firmware is changed.

It is not a bad idea to have a suite **of** tests that is run any time firmware changes are made to any of the processors in the system. This would need to test all the error conditions and all the communication paths, buffers, and types. Of course, this type of error can creep into a single-processor system as well, but it is easier to overlook in a multiprocessor system due to the isolation of the **CPUs.** 

*Error Handling* Be sure all the processors handle errors consistently. In the wooden block example, if a problem occurs, do not let one processor try to stop everything while another tries to keep the conveyer going so everything falls off the end.

*Berserk Processors* Where possible, handle the case of a berserk processor that writes all through memory or a frozen processor that will not communicate at all. Have timeouts on communication operations. You usually cannot operate normally, but at least make all the moving/rotating mechanisms safe. In cases where you have optional subsystems, the rest of the system may need to operate normally when something in the optional part is not working.

*Cumulative Time Errors* When sending data or timing signals from one processor to another, be aware that the clocks of the **two** processors will almost always drift slightly. Over a long period of time, this can accumulate to a significant time error. Say that **two** systems operate with crystals having a specified accuracy of **.003** percent (a typical value). These **two** systems both keep track of time in hours, minutes, and seconds. If one crystal is exactly correct and the other one is **off** by the maximum amount **(.003** percent), the **two** systems will be different by 2.6 seconds at the end of one day.

If your system depends on **two** or more processors remaining in synchronization, communication between processors should include synchronization information. Don't depend on the clocks staying synchronized well enough that **two** processors counting, say, 1 millisecond interrupt ticks, will stay together. You may have to send

**an** occasional synchronization message that says something like "processor 1 just counted tick number 1024" to keep everything together. Since clock drift is often temperature dependent, two processors that are remotely located with respect to each other will be more prone to cumulative errors.

*Extreme Isolation* In a multiprocessor design, it is tempting to isolate functions *so* that one processor handles all of one function, independent of the other processors in the system. This makes for a modular design. However, in a design where there is a chance that things might change, make provision for the master control CPU (if there is one) to alter parameters. In the wood block example, the planer might plane the blocks to a certain smoothness. However, once in production, it may be necessary to change that parameter. This might be because a new type of wood is encountered or because a sensor went out of production and the new sensor isn't quite identical.

In a case like this, it is a good idea to make the smoothness parameters (however they are measured) modifiable. You might have the system reset to the default parameters, but allow the master CPU to change them if necessary. Of course, it is difficult to predict what might change, but some effort in this area often pays off.

This approach is especially helpful in a system in which the master controller is a PC with software that can be downloaded or upgraded via CD-ROM, while the lower-level controllers are PROM-based microcontrollers. For many companies, changing the microcontroller code means sending out a service engineer (which is expensive), while the host PC code might be upgraded just by sending the software to the customer.

For the same reasons, you may want to consider adding hardware that would allow the master controller to reprogram the lower-level processors. This implies the use of microcontrollers that are capable of incircuit programming, of course.

*Locking Problems* I have already mentioned data corruption in DPRAM systems, but let's look at a specific example here. I got a call one day about a dual-processor system that had been designed by **an** outside design house. When the firmware was upgraded, an intermittent problem suddenly showed up. It did not take long to determine that the problem was corruption in the DPRAM. One processor was attempting to perform a read-modifj-write operation on a semaphore. Occasionally, the other processor would attempt to write to the semaphore in between the read and write operations of the first processor. This corrupted the memory.

The processors had a lock output that indicated when the CPU was attempting an operation that could not be interrupted; the DPRAM controller was supposed to lock out the second GPU while the first was accessing the memory. However, a design flaw in the controller allowed the second CPU access to the memory even though the LOCK signal was supposed to prevent it. It was supposed to work like this:

CPU 1 reads the semaphore, asserting the LOCK signal

CPU **2** requests access to memory and is put in a wait state due to the LOCK

CPU 1 writes to the semaphore.

CPU 2 is released from the wait state, reads the semaphore, finds the value that CPU 1 wrote.

It actually did this:

CPU 1 reads the semaphore, asserting the LOCK signal.

CPU 2 requests access to memory, gets memory, reads the semaphore, finds it is 0.

CPU 1 writes to the semaphore.

CPU 2 writes to the semaphore, overwriting the CPU 1 value.

The problem showed up when it did because the firmware change altered the relative timing of the two processors so that they occasionally conflicted in accessing the memory. Although this problem occurred because of a flaw in handling the LOCK signal, a similar circumstance can occur any time that two (or more) processors try to write to a single RAM location. The following are some guidelines for using multiport RAM:

- Wherever possible, do not have two processors that write to one memory location. *As* mentioned earlier in the chapter, segregate buffers *so* that one processor writes to a buffer and the other one reads.
- Never have a situation in which two processors can simultaneously check a memory location (such as a semaphore) and then write to it. This is a sure way to get contention. Have one CPU write a flag location to indicate that data are in the buffer, have the other CPU write the location only when it has taken the data. Then the two CPUs do not contend for the location at the same time.
- If you must have a resource (such as a buffer) that is shared between multiple processors, have a two-step arbitration protocol. In this scheme, each CPU writes a unique code to a semaphore to indicate it wants the resource (whatever the resource is). Then each CPU checks the semaphore (preferably twice) to ensure that its own code is written there. If a conflict occurs, whichever CPU's code is left in the semaphore wins.

The last guideline works like this (in this example, a nonzero value in the flag location indicates that the buffer is in use; a zero value indicates that the buffer is free) :

CPU 1 wants the buffer and reads the flag location to see if the buffer is free.

CPU 1 finds that the flag is 00, indicating that the buffer is free.

CPU 2 wants the buffer and reads the flag location to see if the buffer is free.

CPU 2 finds that the flag is 00, indicating that the buffer is free.

CPU 1 writes 01 to the flag location, indicating that it is taking the buffer.

CPU **2** writes 02 to the flag location, indicating that it is taking the buffer.

Now we have a conflict-each CPU thinks it has control of the buffer. But now we will add the second arbitration step:

CPU 1 checks the flag location again, finds that 02 is there instead of 01, knows it has lost the arbitration, and waits.

CPU 2 checks the flag location again, finds that 02 is there, and knows it has the buffer.

Of course, you must handle the case where CPU 2 is a little slow and writes the **02**  after CPU 1 has performed the second check. This might occur if CPU 2 has a slower clock or gets an interrupt between wanting the buffer and asserting control of the buffer. One way around this is to have a sufficient delay between writing and checking the buffer to ensure that all the writes are finished.

Another way around the contention issue is to have a three-value flag and interlocked handshake. Each CPU has a flag location for the common resource, and each is assigned a priority.

When one CPU wants the resource, it checks the flags for all the CPUs. Only if all the flags are zero can it request the resource, by writing 01 to its own flag location. Then it checks all the flags *again.* If a higher-priority CPU has requested the resource (by writing 01 to its flag location), the lower-priority CPU must wait. It indicates this by writing 02 to its flag location. If no higher-priority CPUs have requested the resource, it indicates ownership by writing 03 to its flag location.

If a higher-priority CPU wants the resource, it does the same checks before writing 01 to the buffer. If a lower-priority CPU has written 01 at the same time, the higher-priority CPU cannot take the resource until the lower-priority CPU writes either 02 or 03. If the lower-priority CPU writes 03, the higher-priority CPU was a little behind and must wait. If the lower-priority CPU writes 02, then the higherpriority CPU can write 03 and take the resource.

This complicated scheme is needed because there always is a possibility that one CPU will write 01 to its flag location after the other CPU has read the flags, found them zero, and written 01. The following are four possible contention scenarios and how this protocol handles them (CPU 2 has the highest priority in all these examples). In Scenario 1:

CPU 1 checks the flags and finds them all *0.* 

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds them all *0.* 

CPU 1 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 2 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 1 checks the flags again and finds that CPU 2 has set the flag.

CPU 2 checks the flags, finds that CPU 1 has set the flag, and waits to see what CPU 1 will do.

CPU 1 sets the flag to 02, indicating that it will wait.

CPU 2, polling the flags, sees CPU 1 indicate that it is waiting.

CPU 2 sets its flag to 03, indicating that it is taking the resource.

In Scenario 2:

CPU 1 checks the flags and finds them all *0.* 

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds them all *0.* 

CPU 1 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 1 reads the flags again and finds that its flag is the only one set.

CPU 2 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 1 writes 03 to its flag, indicating that it is taking the resource.

CPU 2 checks the flags again, finds 03 in CPU 2's flag, and waits.

In Scenario 3:

CPU 1 checks the flags and finds them all 0.

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds them all *0.* 

CPU 2 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds its flag is the only one set.

CPU 2 writes 03 to its flag, indicating that it is taking the resource.

CPU 1 writes its flag to 01 (CPU 1 was slow or delayed).

CPU 1 checks the flags, finds that CPU 2 has taken the resource, and waits

In Scenario **4:** 

CPU 1 checks the flags and finds them all 0.

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds them all 0.

CPU 2 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 2 checks the flags and finds its flag is the only one set.

CPU 1 writes its flag to 01.

CPU 1 checks the flags and finds that both flags are set.

CPU 2 writes **03** to its flag, indicating that it is taking the resource.

CPU **1** having lower priority, writes 02 to its flag and waits.

Of course, when a CPU is done with the resource, it must always reset its flag to *0* **so** the other CPUs know the resource is free.

## *Engineering Specifications*

As I mentioned briefly in Chapter 1, although not a requirement for most designs, the engineering specifications is a document I have found useful for large, usually multiprocessor designs. This document can cover the entire system, including mechanical design, or just the electrical and software part of the design. The engineering specifications should include the following:

**A** brief description of the product.

**A** description of how the design will be accomplished. This includes what parts of the design will be new and what will be reused from old designs.

Functional breakdown **of** the software and hardware. This includes what boards will be used, which functions they will perform, and what processor family will be used.

Interface definition. Interfaces to the outside world should be defined in the requirements document *so* they need to be only summarized in the engineering specifications. The interfaces between processors, both electrical and software, should be described in detail,

Board requirements for each board.

Software requirements for each processor, where appropriate.

The goal of the engineering specifications is that, from it, any engineer should be able to implement the design. While this level of description rarely is achieved in practice, it is a good target to aim at. The table of contents for a generic engineering specification might look something like this:

Scope Design approach Existing components that can be reused New designs required Electrical system block diagrams Subcontract work Electrical architecture Functional breakdown-board level Interboard/interprocessor communication interfaces

**232** *Embedded Micropocessm Systems* 

Software architecture

Interprocessor communication interfaces

HLL to be used

Board requirements documents

Software requirements documents

Since we focus on embedded systems in this book, mechanical design is ignored in this example. However, any electromechanical system also would require an equivalent section for mechanical design.

Chapter 9 provides an overview of real-time operating systems.

# *Real-Time Operating Systems 9*

The theory and use of a real-time operating system (RTOS) can and has taken entire books. This chapter provides an overview.

*As* embedded systems grow in complexity, they start to look more and more like their personal computer (PC) cousins. Software development for an embedded system often is complicated by the need to control system resources. In addition, some embedded systems need to connect to Ethernet interfaces, hard-disk drives, and other PC-like peripherals. If all the software is written from scratch, code must be written to interact with every device. For many standard interfaces, this is a duplication of the effort already expended by some other software engineer.

In a typical embedded system, each function or process handles its own resources, somewhat independent of the others. **A** process that interfaces to a host system over an Ethernet link, for example, has memory allocated for its buffers. **A**  similar process may have code and buffers for an **RS232** connection. The polling loop gives each process control, one at a time, and each checks for data to or from its respective interface. But say that, in this example system, the host uses either Ethernet or **RS-232,** never both. In that case, the system really does not need both pieces of code and both sets of buffers active at the same time. This system could get by with less RAM by managing the memory, allocating whichever buffer is not needed to other purposes.

In addition to memory management, all embedded systems must schedule processes in some manner. The polling loop method, sometimes called *sequential*  or *ruund-robin scheduling,* is probably the most common. In the pool timer example, each task (motor on/off control, time rollover handling, keypad processing) is given control one at a time. When motor control is finished, it passes control to the time rollover process, which subsequently hands it off to the keypad (mode control) code, which returns to the motor control code. Task scheduling **is** one big loop.

Although this method works well for simple systems like the pool timer, it has some drawbacks. In the pool timer example, each task runs until it is finished. The keypad processing code takes as much time as is needed to handle user inputs from

235

the keypad. Again, these tasks are very simple, and the longest processing time for the most complicated task is still too short to be a problem.

But imagine a system that is controlling an automated assembly line. There might be code that sorts the incoming material, adjusts the temperature of processes, regulates the speed of the motors that move objects down the line, and tests the finished products, rejecting any that are bad. In such a system, the temperature control might have a fairly long delay, so it could take a while to get the temperature right. If the temperature routine sets the temperature and then waits to see what happens, all the other functions are held up in the meantime. In other words, the processing time for one task affects the ability of others to do their jobs.

A second problem with sequential task ordering is that all tasks have the same priority. In the assembly line example just mentioned, imagine that the assembly line gets jammed. The code that handles the jam and shuts down the line should take priority over everything else.

Actual sequential scheduling systems, of course, do not really assign tasks that way. The temperature process would not keep control of the system but would adjust the temperature and check it again the next time it is executed. However, the concept is still valid-handling a jam may take priority over the temperature, regardless of how far out of tolerance it is.

A third potential problem with sequential scheduling is the sheer number of tasks. If the number of tasks in the system is too large, it may be impossible for the system to keep up with processing demands, even if each individual task takes little time. Each task in a sequential arrangement requires a certain amount of time to execute, even if it is just checking to find out that it has nothing to do. The communication protocol converter mentioned in Chapter 3 is an example of this. The output code checks for buffer not empty. If the buffer is not empty, it proceeds to check for interface ready. If the interface is ready, it sends a byte. If it has nothing to transmit or if the interface is not ready, the code passes control to the next task. But even if the process cannot send because there are no data or because the output device is not ready, checking for these conditions takes time.

That protocol converter had four very simple tasks: receive data processing, XOFF processing, output processing, and XON processing. One way to handle task scheduling would be to have each task active only when needed. Receive data processing might get a byte and put it in the first in, first out (FIFO) buffer. It then activates the output task. XON and XOFF are inactive. So the program loop trans fers control from receive to output and back, skipping over XON and XOFF and their minimal checks. Then suppose that enough receive data are placed in the buffer to require an XOFF be sent to the host. The receive process detects this condition and activates the XOFF process. The XOFF process remains active, waiting for the interface to the host system to be ready and then transmitting the XOFF byte. The program loop would then be receive-XOFF-output-receive and so on. Once XOFF completes its task (sending XOFF), it deactivates itself, and the loop




**Communication System with Scheduling Implemented.** 

returns to the receive-output sequence. If the output code empties the FIFO buffer, sending the last byte to the output device, the output code deactivates itself until more data are available. Figure 9.1 illustrates this process.

Suppose that the system were more complicated and the return link to the host were used to send other data in addition to the XON/XOFF flow control. Since sending XOFF is a high priority (failing to do *so* risks buffer overflow and missed data), XOFF may be activated **as** a higher priority than any other serial output **task.**  This ensures that the XOFF code gets the next available transmit slot on the serial interface.

Although this example illustrates the concept of scheduling, the protocol converter is much too simple to benefit from such a scheduling system. The code to handle scheduling would be longer than the code to do just a sequential loop. However, in complex systems, using an RTOS provides just this type **of** scheduling capability.

Like the operating system in your PC, **an RTOS** (sometimes called a *real-time executive* or *real-time kernel*) manages the limited resources of an embedded system. Your PC does not keep every program on the disk in memory at once. Programs are loaded and executed only when you select them. **RTOSs** have one characteristic that is key to use in real-time designs: They are deterministic. That is, the vendor supplies you with information as to how long it takes to perform specific

*Real-Time Operating Systems 237* 

operations, such **as** activating a task. Knowing this, you can predict the impact the RTOS will have on system performance.

An RTOS comes in two basic flavors: kernels and full operating systems. A kernel usually implements the basic task and memory management functions. A full operating system may have drivers for disk drives, serial ports, and other common resources. One common characteristic of RTOSs is that the system hardware must generate a regular interrupt (called a *timer tick* or just a *tick),* say, at **20Hz** (50ms). This is used for timekeeping, task scheduling, and other functions. Not all RTOSs require a system timer interrupt. Real-time operating systems typically support the following functions:

Multitasking, which includes:

Activation and deactivation of tasks Setting task priorities Scheduling tasks

- Communication between tasks
- Memory management

#### *Multitasking*

This is the process of scheduling tasks or processes so that they all appear to operate simultaneously. In the protocol converter example, the receive, XON, output, and XOFF processes appear to a human user to run simultaneously because the sequential, one-at-a-time operation is so fast. All the functions **of** task activation/deactivation, scheduling, and ranking are part of the multitasking function. In a sequential program, none of these operations, which are required for true multitasking, is implemented.

Multitasking also can be implemented by *time* slicing. In this method, tasks are switched every tick. Every time the interrupt occurs, a different task is given control, so each task gets to execute for one tick time (50ms for the **20Hz** tick example given previously). The overall execution speed for a given task depends on the number of tasks. Higher-priority tasks can be allowed to execute for more than one tick time. A task that needs less than a full tick to execute can terminate early, giving the remainder of its time to the next task.

Sequential scheduling and time slicing are essentially the same except that sequentially scheduled tasks run until finished and time-sliced tasks run until their time is up. Tasks operating under either scheduling scheme can voluntarily relinquish control before finishing. In that case, they can be restarted where they left off instead of starting over.



#### Figure 9.2

Sequential Versus Time-Sliced Operation.

Most RTOSs can support time slicing or sequential scheduling. Sequential scheduling also can check for and stop tasks that hog the CPU. In any scheduling system, of course, only one task at a time actually has control of the CPU. Figure 9.2 illustrates the difference between time slicing and sequential operation.

## *Preemptive Scheduling*

Preemptive scheduling is the most common method of scheduling tasks when using an RTOS, and it is one of the primary advantages of using an RTOS. Under preemptive scheduling, a task runs until it is finished or until a task of higher priority preempts it. Before going into more detail about preemptive scheduling, however, we should look at RTOS's task handling in general.

## *Activation and Deactivation of Tasks*

Tasks under RTOS can be ready or not ready. The RTOS keeps a list of tasks that are ready and what their execution priority is. **A** ready task is added to the task list and executed in sequence. When a task becomes not ready, it is removed from the list. Going back to the protocol converter example, the output task might go ready when there is data in the FIFO buffer and become not ready when the FIFO buffer is empty.

**A** ready task may be inhibited from running because something blocks further execution. For example, the protocol converter output task may be ready because

*Real-Tim Operating Systems* 2 **39** 

the FIFO buffer is not empty but blocked from doing anything because the output interface is not ready for the next byte. The RTOSbased system software in this case might deem the output task not ready (suspended) and replace it with a task that checks for output ready. When the output is ready, the check-for-output-ready task removes itself and deems the output task ready. Of course, this makes sense only if the output checker task takes less time to execute than the normal output task takes to check for output ready or if suspending the output task frees up the output interface for another device to use. The output device might be ready for one kind of data but not ready for another, so it can be used by another process instead of sitting idle.

Once given control, a task may run until it is finished or until it finds that it cannot execute further, like the output task condition just mentioned. In either case, the task transfers control back to the RTOS, which then passes control to the next task in sequence. In this respect, the RTOSbased system is like any sequential execution system, with the added ability to remove tasks from the sequence of execution. When a task is activated, the priority may be set at the same time, depending on the specific RTOS used.

#### *Event-Driven Scheduling*

The practice of adding and removing tasks from the task list based on changing circumstances is called *event-driven scheduling* and, with preemptive scheduling, is the method used in many, if not most, RTOSbased systems. Preemptive scheduling more closely models the real world; you might plan to go to work today, but a fender bender on the way will change your priorities, at least until the police report is finished, Once you get to work, you might have scheduled a project meeting, but **an** emergency staff meeting called by your boss takes priority.

In a preemptive, event-driven system, an event such as an interrupt or a task may determine that some other task needs to be activated. It may do this, for example, by setting a semaphore or placing data in a mailbox. The task, which was previously set up to be activated by the RTOS when this event occurred, is activated if it has a higher priority than the current task. If the protocol converter were preemptively scheduled, the priority might look like this:

Receive processing (highest priority) XOFF processing XON processing Output processing (lowest priority)

A single interrupt, generated by a byte in the serial input register, might drive the system. The receive task might ask to be activated when a particular semaphore is set. When a byte is received, the receive interrupt service routine (ISR) sets this semaphore, and the RTOS activates the receive task. The receive task reads the byte from the universal asynchronous receiver/transmitter (UART) register, processes the data, and places it in a buffer for the output task. The receive task has the highest priority because the system cannot afford to miss a serial input byte. Once the receive task has finished processing the received byte, it becomes not ready until the next receive interrupt occurs, by asking the RTOS to suspend it until the semaphore is set again.

When the buffer is near full, the XOFF task is activated (becomes ready) by the receive processing. XOFF could be "created" by receive processing, where the receive processing requests that XOFF processing be activated, or XOFF could have previously been set up to be activated by a semaphore like receive processing was. XOFF runs until it has successfully sent the XOFF signal to the host or until it is preempted by the receive task. If receive preempts XOFF, it gets control (from the RTOS), processes the receive data, and then control is returned to XOFF. Again, the highest-priority ready task is the one executed.

XON is next in priority. If output processing empties the buffer past a certain point, it activates XON. Output processing has the lowest priority, which is possible because the XOFF task prevents the buffer from overflowing, *so* no data ever are missed. Of course, if the receive data flow cannot be suspended with XOFF, then output processing would have to have a higher priority *so* the buffer does not overflow.

None of this happens by magic. The RTOS can activate a task, when a semaphore is set or a message is received, only if it was previously told to do so. Also, in an RTOSbased system, the interrupt service routines usually get control via the RTOS, *so* an ISR may not need to set a semaphore to start a task. Instead, the RTOS can schedule the task upon activation of the ISR itself.

A final note about scheduling: Both sequential and preemptive scheduling systems allow a task to run until finished. The difference is that, in a preemptive system, a task runs until finished or until preempted. Between two ready tasks of different priorities, the higher-priority task always preempts the lower-priority task and finishes first. If two tasks of equal priority are ready at the same time, a sophisticated RTOS usually activates the one that has been idle the longest.

A note about terminology: A task is considered active when it actually is running, when it has been given control of the CPU by the RTOS. A ready task is in the list of tasks waiting to run. A task can be not ready, such **as** the receive processing on the protocol converter while waiting for the start semaphore.

The RTOS knows about only those tasks it has been told about (those that have been created). The code for other tasks may reside in memory, but they are invisible to the RTOS until they are created.

*Real-Time Operating Systems* **241** 

# *Keeping Track of Tasks*

The RTOS keeps track of tasks with a *tusk control block* (TCB). This is where the RTOS saves information about tasks. One TCB entry is made for every task managed by the RTOS. The TCB must store the following:

- **Task ID.** This is typically the task number. Depending on the RTOS and the processor it is running on, there may be a maximum of 128 tasks, 256 tasks, 32,768 tasks, and so on. The maximum number of tasks usually is what can be identified with a byte/word/doubleword or whatever the word width of the processor registers.
- **Task State.** Ready, blocked, and so on.
- **Task** Priority. The priority level of the task; a numerical value, usually 0 to 127, 0 to 32,767, and so on.
- **Task Address.** Where in memory the code for the task is located.
- **Task Stack Pointer.** The microprocessor stack is used to pass variables and store the context for subroutine calls and interrupts. Each task needs to be able to perform subroutine calls and service interrupts (or at least save the return address for an interrupt). For this purpose, each task has its own stack. The TCB includes the value of the stack pointer when the task last executed (or the top of the task stack the first time the task executes).

The task stack is stored on the *microprocessor stuck.* As each task is given control, the microprocessor stack pointer is modified to point to the stack for that task. Each task must be allocated sufficient stack space to save the processor context, any dynamic/temporary variables stored on the stack, and any information stored to the maximum depth of subroutine calls. The processor context also may need to include things such as the context (registers) of a floating-point coprocessor or something similar. When a task stops running for any reason, the RTOS stores the stack pointer for the task in the TCB.

Once a task is ready to run again, the processor context needed to resume execution where it left off is stored on the task stack. The RTOS must get the stack pointer from the TCB, put that value into the microprocessor stack pointer, and return control to the task.

Depending on the RTOS, the TCB may contain additional information such as environment information for dynamically allocated tasks and the like. In addition to a stack for each task, the RTOS will have a kernel stack for use by the RTOS itself.

#### *Communication Between Tasks*

In the protocol converter example, the receive task puts output data in a common FIFO buffer. If an RTOS is used, the data normally are passed through the RTOS. The RTOS may support semaphores, buffers, queues, and mailboxes.

**An** RTOS semaphore is similar to the key-press flags or semaphores used in the pool timer. **A** task asks to set the semaphore, and another task can wait on the semaphore or possibly reset it. Setting a semaphore can activate a task. The difference in an RTOS system is that all access to the semaphore is passed through the RTOS. This is a distinct advantage over non-RTOS scheduling since it prevents possible race conditions and other timing problems from **two** processes trying to access the same communication memory locations at the same time.

An RTOS buffer is just like the FIFO buffer used in the protocol converter except that the RTOS manages it. If the protocol converter uses an RTOS, the receive task requests a buffer from the RTOS, puts the data to be transmitted in the buffer, **arid**  tells the RTOS to pass the data to the output task. The output task typically receives pointers to the buffer, telling it where the buffer is in memory and how many bytes (or words or whatever) there are.

**A** queue is a string of buffers. If the protocol converter worked on a message basis, outputting data only when a complete message is received, a queue could be used for this. The receive process could place a message in a buffer and pass the buffer to the output task. The output device might be busy when the next message is ready. Thus, the receive task asks the RTOS to put the next message into a queue, where the output task processes the messages in the order they were received once the output device becomes ready.

In a mailbox structure, a task typically receives mail from several other tasks, just like you do at home. The RTOS manages the mailboxes, storing messages for a task until the task **is** ready to read them. Like a physical mailbox, once a task sends a message, it cannot take it back. Depending on the RTOS, a task may check for mail and wait if there is none, like you did when you were a kid expecting a package to arrive. An RTOS usually supports multiple mailboxes per task, as if you had a mailbox at home and several boxes at the post office.

Figure **9.3** summarizes RTOS communication. In Figure **9.3A** (RTOS buffer), Process **A** transfers data to Process B via a buffer. In Figure **9.3B** (RTOS queue), Process **A** filled buffers (queues) **1** and '2 and is filling buffer **3.** Process **B** is taking data from buffer 1. Figure **9.3C** is an RTOS mailbox. Processes **A, B,** and **C** are placing data in a common mailbox for Process D. Each message from each process is stored separately, like physical letters, each in its own envelope. Like when you sort through your mail at home, opening important letters first, the RTOS typically allows the sending process to assign a priority **to** the message for the receiving task.

*Real-Time Operating Systems* **243** 



Figure **9.3**  RTOS Communication.

#### *Scheduling Tasks*

A **task** may be ready immediately after an event occurs or it may be scheduled to start later. *As* already mentioned, a task may be scheduled to start when a semaphore is set, perhaps **as** a result of a hardware event. It also may be scheduled to start after a number of ticks have elapsed or at a specific time of day in systems that maintain time of day.

## *Memory Management*

**As** I mentioned in the section about buffers and queues, a process requests memory from the RTOS when it needs a buffer. This allows the system to get by on less memory than otherwise would be required.

In the first example mentioned in this chapter, a system used either Ethernet or **RS232** to communicate with a host PC. Say that receive data needs 256K of memory for each interface and transmit needs the same. In a non-RTOS system, the

Ethernet and **RS-232** codes might each allocate **512K (25623** receive, **256K**  transmit) for a total memory requirement of 1MB. However, as I already mentioned, only one interface is used at a time. In an RTOSbased system, if each task requests only those buffers it actually needs, only one task will ever request buffers, making the memory requirement 512K. Furthermore, suppose the system is halfduplex, meaning that transmit and receive never occur simultaneously. In that case, the receive task allocates a buffer, the data is processed, and the transmit task is activated and it allocates a buffer. Because both buffers are never active at the same time, only **256K** of memory is needed.

The RTOS typically allocates memory as blocks, or chunks of contiguous memory of a minimum size. If the block size is lK, for example, a task that needs a l4byte buffer has to request a block and will get 1K allocated to it. Determining block size is important in the system design. A task that needs multiple blocks usually needs the memory to be contiguous, *so* the RTOS must find sufficient contiguous blocks of memory to meet the request. If blocks are too small, memory can become fragmented because blocks are not necessarily released by the task in contiguous order. On the other hand, if blocks are made too large, there will be too few blocks to meet all the memory requests of all the tasks. Figure 9.4 illustrates both problems in graphical form.

In Figure 9.4, a small memory is shown. In Figure 9.4A, when memory blocks are too small, memory becomes fragmented. Task **1** has allocated three blocks and then gave **two** back. Task **2** did the same. Task **3** has four blocks allocated; Task **4**  has **two** blocks. Now if a fifth task needs three contiguous blocks, there is a problem. Blocks 1, **2,** 5, 7, **8,** 13, and 16 are free, but no three of these are contiguous. Task 5 cannot get enough memory to run.

Figure 9.4B shows the opposite problem, when blocks are too large. Tasks 1 through 4 have each allocated one block, even though each task may need only a small portion of the allocated memory. When a fifth task needs a block of memory, none is left.

## *Resource Management*

Say our protocol converter has **two** possible types of data for the output device. Perhaps, in addition to the normal receive-to-output path, diagnostic messages also are sent to the output. In this case, an RTOS might manage the output interface resource. If the normal output task needs to send received data, it requests access to the output interface from the RTOS. The RTOS grants the request, and the output task starts sending data. In the meantime, the diagnostic task requests the interface as well. Since the interface already is allocated to the

*Real-Time Operating Systems* 245







output task, the diagnostic task must wait until the interface is released by the output code.

In the area of timers, the RTOS may provide system timers, implemented in software and counting timer ticks, that can be allocated like any other resource. These timers, of course, cannot time anything with a resolution less than the timer tick interval.

## *RTOS and Interrupts*

Obviously, an RTOS needs to handle interrupts if it is to manage a real-time operating system. When an interrupt occurs, the processor hardware handles the interrupt as it normally would, saving the return address and vectoring to the ISR. Assuming that a task is running when the interrupt occurs, the return address is saved on that task's stack. *An* RTOS usually will have special kernel services for interrupts. When the ISR gets control, it can use these special services.

The simplest ISR servicing technique **is** to set a semaphore, do whatever must be done to reset the hardware that generated the interrupt, and then exit. Consequently, an RTOS usually will provide at least three kinds of services for ISRs. The first service, interrupt entry, allows the ISR to notify the RTOS that the interrupt occurred. The interrupt entry routine may save the processor context or other information and may be a generic routine provided by the RTOS vendor. The second ISR service **is** to request a semaphore set. The third service is an exit service call that notifies the RTOS when interrupt servicing is complete.

Special RTOS services are provided for ISRs because the ISRs cannot use the normal RTOS services. The normal RTOS services usually do not allow reentry. If an interrupt occurs while the RTOS is executing and the ISR attempts to use the RTOS function that was executing, disaster usually will result.

When the ISR exits (via the RTOS), the RTOS may perform a task switch, giving another task higher priority than the one that was interrupted. In the serial communication example, the receive interrupt may cause the RTOS to switch to the receive **task.** When the receive task is done, the RTOS can return control to the interrupted task. Since the processor context was saved on the task stack, resuming the task after an interrupt essentially is the same process as resuming a task that has become unblocked.

## *Typical RTOS Communication*

Every RTOS is different, but the following is a list **of** RTOS services that would be typical (I made up descriptive names):

- **Define Task.** This defines a task to be executed. The typical parameters passed to the RTOS might include the task number, priority, and the task entry address.
- **Activate Task.** Requests activation of a task. The parameters passed to RTOS would include the task number.
- **Deactivate Task.** Deactivates a task. The parameters would include the task number.

*Real-Time Operating Systems* 247

- **Yield.** Tells the RTOS that the task is finished for now and that the next task on the list may be executed.
- **Define TimeSlice.** Defines the number of time-slice intervals that the task will be allowed to execute.
- **Allocate Memory.** Requests a specified number of memory blocks.
- **Mailbox** In. Receives a mailbox message. The parameters would include the task number and the mailbox number.
- **Send Mail.** Sends mail to a mailbox. The parameters could include the mailbox number, destination task number, and priority of the message.
- **Wait On.** Waits for the queue to fill, the semaphore to be active, or the mailbox to receive mail.

Of course, this is not a comprehensive list of RTOS services, it is just an indication of the kind of things an RTOS supports.

A few pointers if you are thinking about using an RTOS: Make sure that assump tions about memory are correct. The Ethernet/RS232 system assumed that transmit and receive were half-duplex. If this assumption turns out to be wrong; if both buffers are ever needed simultaneously, then there will be a memory allocation problem. This may be a minor problem, **as** a task waits until the memory is available. However, it can cause a lockup if the task that has the memory will not release it until the task requesting memory can execute.

Make sure the RTOS does not bog down the system operation. While an RTOS is deterministic, it still takes time to do things. Be sure this time is no problem. Also make sure that task priorities do not prevent a low-priority but essential task from ever executing.

# *Preemption Considerations*

Two considerations you must keep in mind when using a preemptive RTOS are that the RTOS manages the operation of the software and any RTOS function can perform a task switch. The idea is to get maximum use of the CPU, but it means you must take things into consideration that otherwise you need not. Say you have an analog-todigital converter *(ADC)* that requires you to read the result within 100 microseconds (ms) of starting a conversion. Also say you have a solenoid that is activated by the software, held for 20ms, and then turned off. The solenoid timing is performed by counting interrupts from a 1 ms timer. The polling loop activates the solenoid and sets a variable, SOLENOID, to 20. The 1ms ISR decrements SOLENOID as long as it is nonzero. When it decrements to zero, the solenoid is turned off. After the solenoid is turned off, a pump is started. The way this might work in a polled environment is:

Activate solenoid Start solenoid timer by setting SOLENOID to 20 Poll for 19ms, checking to see if SOLENOID went to 0 Polling loop finds that it is time to start an ADC conversion. Call ADC routine Start *ADC* conversion Wait for ADC to complete \*\*\* Right here, the timer interrupt occurs, so the **ISR** decrements SOLENOID. SOLENOID decrements to 0. Read/store ADC result Return to polljng loop Polling loop checks SOLENOID, **fhds** it has rolled to 0, turns off solenoid.

Now, in an RTOS environment, it might work like this:



The result here is that, sometimes, the analog-todigital conversion will be bad. There are a number of ways to fix this. The ADC task could be given higher priority than the solenoid/pump driver task. Or, before starting the conversion, the ADC task could tell the RTOS that it is about to begin a noninterruptible function (if the RTOS supports that). Or, the ADC task could ask temporarily to have its priority set higher than the solenoid task until the conversion is complete (again, assuming the RTOS supports it). The point is that, in **an** RTOS environment, any event that results in an RTOS function being executed can result in a task switch. An ISR does not necessarily return to the task that was executing when the interrupt occurred-at least not right away. You must take this into account in the software. You do not know when interrupts will occur, so you must assume they will occur at the worst possible time.

*ReabTime Operating Systems* **249** 

Use of an RTOS also can affect the hardware. In the previous example, the analog-todigital (AD) conversion was assumed to be polled in some manner by the AD conversion routine. In a preemptive RTOS environment, it may make more sense to have the ADC cause an interrupt when conversion is complete, allowing the ADC read to operate at the (high-priority) ISR level.

Another consideration to keep in mind is that any RTOS call can potentially cause a task switch. In a polling loop like that used in the pool timer, all the inputs (potential events) are checked once for each pass through the polling loop. Once a "task" gets control, it keeps control until it is finished. In an RTOS environment, if the task were to call an RTOS function that passes a semaphore to another task, the second task may become higher priority and take control. The first task would be suspended until it again becomes the highest-priority task. In this specific example, you would probably expect that to happen-passing a semaphore is expected to wake up the second task.

The same thing can happen if an interrupt occurs during execution of a taska higher-priority task may be waiting for that interrupt, and the RTOS may switch to the other task. The implications of this must be taken into account when designing with an RTOS, as code must be written with the assumption that a task may be preempted at any time. In addition, assigning priorities to tasks is important for the same reason.

Another possible preemption problem involves saving the context of the system. Suppose part of the context includes hardware, such as the state of registers in a peripheral IC. A task (call it "Z") saves the context, then is preempted. After enough time passes, the state of the hardware has changed and the original saved context is no longer valid. However, when Z again becomes the highest-priority task, it finishes whatever it was doing and restores the hardware context to the obsolete value. Although this can happen in a polled environment, an RTOS can open the window of opportunity due to the fact that a task may remain preempted for some time.

## *Applicability of RTOS*

An RTOS is not suited to every application. Specifically, an RTOS probably is not a good solution if the device must execute very-high-speed interrupts, such **as** a lowlevel motor controller, or if the system is simple enough to make **an** RTOS more work than a simple sequential or state machine design. This does not preclude the use of an RTOS if **an** occasional interrupt occurs that requires immediate service, but the closer the processor is to bit-level control of the hardware, the less sense an RTOS usually makes.

An RTOS typically is used when the system needs shared resources, needs to allocate memory, or when operation is at a sufficiently high level to justify the RTOS overhead. In general, if the system is complicated but tasks can be scheduled at the resolution of the timer tick, an RTOS may make sense. Even in simple systems, an RTOS may be used to structure code execution. An RTOS also makes sense if you need standard resources (disk drives, **VGA** display, and *so* on) for which you want off-the-shelf drivers.

An RTOS is suitable any time the number of tasks is such that sequential scheduling is unable to ensure that the highest-priority jobs are done first. Using preemptive scheduling, an RTOS can make sure that the important functions get done on time.

Many RTOSs are configurable-you start with the basic kernel and add whatever features you need. If you have disk drives, you might add the RTOS module that includes disk drivers. Ethernet support or TCP/IP might be another module. If you need features such as TCP/IP support, you might choose to use an RTOS just to simplify software development. **An** RTOS lets you write code that interfaces to the TCP/IP stack and to other devices at a higher level. You can avoid writing your own device drivers, interface protocol stacks, and **so** on. In many systems, this alone is sufficient reason to justify using an RTOS.

When you consider an RTOS, look at the cost. Some RTOSs have **a** one-time purchase fee, whereas others charge a license for every copy used. Sometimes you pay a sliding license fee, starting with a basic fee for the kernel and increasing as you add RTOS modules (such as TCP/IP support). License fees can get quite expensive, especially if your system has multiple processors needing an RTOS.

While the division between an RTOS and a kernel is not a fine line, generally, a kernel is smaller than a corresponding RTOS. While not providing all the features of the full RTOS, the kernel can provide scheduling and management functions suitable for small embedded systems that cannot support or do not need the overhead of a full RTOS.

Using an RTOS often means needing more memory, since each task will have its own stack. Some RTOSs are linked into your code, whereas others are like a PC operating system: The RTOS loads from a storage device and your program runs as an application. Which RTOS you choose can have a big impact on the hardware; you need whatever basic resources the RTOS requires to operate.

Communication standards also are important. Many RTOSs now support TCP/IP, for example. If you use a standard interface such as this, you can communicate with any other system that uses the same standard protocol, regardless of what operating system it uses.

Although most RTOSs are available only for high performance CPUs such as the Intel Pentium and Motorola PowerPC, there are some exceptions. CMX-RTX from **CMX** Systems is available for many microprocessors and microcontrollcrs,

including the Atmel AVR microprocessors, Microchip 18Cxxx family, the Motorola 68HCxxx family, and the 8051. CMX-RTX provides task management and communication, eventdriven architecture, and nested interrupts. It supports onchip queues, semaphores, and onchip UARTs. It includes CMXBug, an interactive debugger, and CMXTracker (a tool that tracks and logs RTOS operation). CMX-RTX is compatible with C compilers from a number of vendors.

CMX-RTX is compact; on an **AVR** microprocessor, the full operating system takes less than 6000 bytes of memory and has a context switch time of 188 processor cycles. On the Motorola 68HC11, CMX-RTX takes less than 4000 bytes of memory and has a context switch time of 115 cycles. CMX Systems also sells CMX-Tiny+, a smaller, simpler operating system for smaller microcontrollers. CMX-RTX and CMX-Tiny+ are both implemented **as** callable C modules that are linked into your application code.

Using an RTOS on a microcontroller presents special challenges: The code space is limited, the stack pointer may be implemented in hardware (making task stacks impossible to implement), and the RAM is very limited. Some features are necessarily limited on microcontroller implementations; for example, memory management in CMX-RTX is limited to supplying memory blocks to tasks from a pool of memory. Without hardware memory management features, it is impossible to implement sophisticated protection mechanisms that are found on more sophisticated processors.

Because microcontroller memories are so small, task switching using an RTOS takes a greater proportion of the memory than it does on a larger device. CMX-RTX requires 33 bytes of memory for each task when running on **an** Atmel AVR processor. This can add up fast when you have a lot of tasks. For this reason, managing the number of tasks in your architecture is more important in a microcontroller environment than it is when using something with more memory.

If you are looking for an RTOS for your application, you will want to know a few things about each candidate:

- Does the operating system support preemptive scheduling (assuming you plan to use it)?
- What is the longest task switch time?
- How long are interrupts disabled?
- How much memory (ROM and RAM) does the RTOS use?
- Does it function **as** an operating system or as callable routines linked to your code?
- Does it support hardware memory protection (if the CPU has the hardware)?
- Does it include drivers for the hardware you need to use? (Very important unless you don't mind writing device drivers)
- Does the RTOS vendor or another vendor provide **an** RTOS-aware debugger for this RTOS?
- *<sup>6</sup>*What is the cost?
- Is there a runtime license fee?

In some cases, you may not need to know all of these things; if you have relaxed real-time requirements and a fast CPU, for example, you may not care that much about task switch time or interrupt disable time.

# *&buggers*

When debugging your system in an RTOS environment, it is a good idea to have an RTOSaware debugger designed to work with the RTOS. It allows you to separate your application code from the RTOS functions, simplifying the debug process. Lauterbach makes a debugger that works with a number of **RTOSs,** including AMC, CMX, Nucleus, and VRTX. The Lauterbach product provides several features that can simplify debugging and system analysis, including:

- Display of kernel resources
- Performance monitoring, including maximum/minimum/average time spent in a task, how long a task was interrupted by another task, and *so* on
- Tracing of calls **to** RTOS
- Task stack usage

Many RTOS vendors provide a kernel-aware debugger for their operating system. EventAnalyzer from Green Hills Software provides debugging and performance analysis functions, as well **as** event analysis. This includes monitoring of:

- **6** Semaphores
- Interrupts and exceptions
- Message send/receive
- Userdefined events

Chapter 10 describes some industry-standard platforms that you can use when designing an embedded system.

# *Industry-Standard 10 Embedded Platforms*

*As* mentioned earlier, one characteristic of an embedded system is that it is selfcontained, requiring no user input to get started. There are some exceptions to that rule, which we'll look at in this chapter. A problem with developing all parts of an embedded system **is** that all the interfaces-Ethernet, FDDI, and **W232**  must be developed along with the system. You must design an interface circuit (or board) and cannot take advantage of off-the-shelf boards and driver software. One platform, however, allows you to use existing parts—the personal computer **(PC)** platform, in this case the IBM **PC/AT** and its derivatives.

If you design an embedded system around a PC, you can get interface boards, disk drive interfaces, A/D and D/A interfaces, and a number of other components from existing vendors and often with driver software.

## *Advantages of Using a PC Platform*

There are a number of reasons why some developers choose the PC platform for development.

#### *Speed of Development*

*An* embedded system designed from scratch requires that boards be designed, fabricated, and debugged. The software must be tested and debugged on the target system. If a **PC** platform is used, the boards are available and the software can be written and debugged in the same environment. In addition, **PC** hardware with high-speed buses like Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) takes more design effort to get right. **If** you buy the boards, someone else has done the job of making them work.

## *Development Cost*

Embedded systems based on a PC platform require no costly board design/ fabrication/debug cycles. PC tools usually are used for software development, eliminating the need to purchase emulators. As product development cycles get shorter, there is an incentive to buy proven, off-the-shelf components. Another factor driving the use of purchased hardware is increasing clock speeds. *As* CPU speeds pass a GHz, it is increasingly difficult for every company that needs a processor board to create its own designs. The tools are prohibitively expensive, partly because extensive simulation is required to ensure a good design.

## *Specialization*

Some embedded designs still can be accomplished using processors with clock rates in the low MHz range. However, **as** clock rates go up and development costs follow, more companies concentrate their efforts on the hardware and software that makes their products unique. Off-the-shelf CPUs, Ethernet boards, and similar components are treated as commodity parts, which they are. This is buying the "jellybean" parts of the design, leaving the company's engineers free to do the unique things. Since all modern, high-speed CPU boards essentially are the same, you pick a CPU, pick a chipset that supports it, and wire it accordingly. Why assign an engineer to spend three months developing a board that looks and works like a hundred other nearly identical designs?

## *Mass Storage*

Disk drives, interface boards, and driver software are standard parts of the PC platform. Some systems need mass storage to capture data; for example, a system that keeps a log of instrument readings from a fluid pipeline. If the system takes a reading every second, the storage requirements can add up quickly. Other applications where mass storage could be a requirement include storing bitmapped images and store-and-forward interface systems. And some real-time operating systems (RTOSs) are designed to operate with mass storage.

## *Standard Software*

You need not learn the interface to an RTOS with a PC-based system, as DOS, OS/2, Linux, and Windows NT are already available. Off-the-shelf software is available for communications, graphics display, and many other applications. New features, depending on what they are, may be bought instead of designed. If your application needs some kind of database, you can buy a database package instead of writing one.

# *Standard Hardware*

Off-the-shelf interface boards simplify hardware design. Boards that need software drivers usually come with them, simplifying development.

# *User Interface*

If the application needs a graphics display or keyboard input from the user, a PC already has the pieces in place.

# *Development Environment*

Standard debugging software is available. The development language is not limited by the hardware. **A** huge base of development software is available from a number of vendors. On the hardware side, the PC ISA (industry standard architecture) bus is well defined and easy to interface to. Even the PCI bus is a known standard, although more difficult to design for.

# *Flexibility*

Adding features or options can be as easy as plugging in **a** board and adding the necessary software.

# *Easy Updates*

Software updates involve loading new software from a floppy disk or CD-ROM. If a passive-backplane system is used, processor upgrades are simply a matter of plugging in a new CPU board (and, maybe, appropriate software).

# *Product Cost*

If your product is manufactured in relatively low volumes, it can be expensive to build your own CPU boards and other system components. By using off-the-shelf parts, you take advantage of the volume advantage that the board vendor has. The vendor is selling the same board to numerous other users, so the total production volume can be high enough to make the purchased boards cheaper than boards built in-house.

## *CPU Hardware*

With the PC architecture, you typically get a Pentium-class or better CPU. This brings with it all the Pentium-level hardware advantages, such as protected mode programming, hardware memory management, debug registers, and 1/0 protection. These improvements simplify multitasking and debugging.

*Protected Mode Programming* Intel x86 processors, from the 386 on, implement protected mode programming. In the **8086/8088** and the 80186/188, the only memory model available is the Real mode. The addressing scheme for these CPUs permits addressing of 1 MB of memory (20-bit address) , in 16 64K segments. The 386 and higher CPUs use a different addressing scheme that permits access to 4 GB of memory using 32-bit addresses.

*Hardware Memory Management* In the Real mode, every task has access to the entire 1 MB memory space. Any **task** can read or write to any address. In the Protected mode, the memory partitions may be protected so that a task cannot access memory outside its own segment. A segment of memory even can be shared between two tasks, so that one task can both write and read to the common area, while another **task** can only read it. Attempts by a task to execute or access memory outside its bounds cause the hardware to generate an exception condition that can be handled by the operating system.

*Hardware Debug Registers* The 386 and higher processors have registers that simplify debugging. The four address registers can be set to break on read, write, or read/write access. Debuggers can take advantage of these onchip resources to simplify debugging.

*PO Control* The x86 architecture has a 64KI/O space, separate from the memory space, that can be accessed with unique instructions. In the Protected mode, the  $I/O$  space can be protected so that only the operating system has access to  $I/O$ ports. This forces applications to use the operating system resources to access I/O.

# *Drawbacks of Using a PC Platform*

So, if it is this easy to design a PC-based system, why doesn't every system use a PC? This section discusses the few drawbacks to using a PC.

## *Product Cost*

This may not be **an** issue for low-volume applications or for systems in which the embedded control components are a small part of a much larger system (such as an automated assembly line), but for consumer and other cost-sensitive applications it is an issue. Imagine a microwave oven that must be sold with a PC attached. Enough said.

Another thing that drives product costs higher in PC systems is that you must pay for everything that comes with the PC architecture. If your application needs no disk drive or keyboard, you still have those interfaces on the **CPU** board that you buy. **CPU** board vendors do not carry a large number of **CPU** boards to fit every need. Since silicon is relatively cheap, they carry just a few boards that contain nearly everything a user might want. There is little choice about this since these boards must be designed using off-the-shelf chipsets (discrete logic would be slow, expensive, and consume enormous real estate). Most of the chipsets that interface to **x86** family processors contain standard **PC** peripheral functions. The idea is to shrink the standard functions to the smallest size/cost possible for **PC**  motherboards.

# *Hardware Development*

For standard interfaces, off-the-shelf boards are available. However, if a proprietary interface is required or if some unavailable function is needed, hardware must be designed anyway. **A** distributed system, with low-level motor controllers and interfaces, probably has a **PC as** a central controller, and everything else is custom-made. The more hardware that must be designed, the less leverage an off-the-shelf **CPU**  provides.

# *Keyboard and Monitor*

The standard **PC** has a keyboard and monitor attached. They are bulky and sometimes unnecessary for the specific embedded application, but they must be there.

# *Parts Availability*

Try to buy a **PC** motherboard, and then try to buy the same motherboard a year later. This is nearly impossible to do—the designs just change too often. This can be a real problem, especially if every iteration of the design requires new **EM1** or safety agency investigation.

## *Not Real Time*

**PC** operating systems, such as **DOS** and Linux, do not operate in real time. Some **PC** operating systems are multitasking, but that still does not mean they are real time. **PC** operating systems are not real time because they are not deterministicyou do not know how long an operating system function takes to execute. Some applications do not care if the operating system goes away for a quarter of a second to get something from disk; others do.

## *Mass Storage*

This is an advantage if you need it. If you do not, you still need the disk drive from which to load your operating system and your programs.

*Industry-Standard Embedded Platforms* **259** 

## *Design Problems*

Buying an off-the-shelf CPU means someone else has verified the design. However, if subtle timing problems turn up in the hardware, you are dependent on the board vendor to admit they exist and fix them. You have no schematics, programmable logic device (PLD) equations, or the other information necessary to debug the design yourself. And you do not want to; that is why you chose to buy instead of build.

## *Some Solutions to These Problems*

Some of these problems have been addressed and have solutions, but they all make the resulting system a little less compatible with the PC:

- BIOS. Kits are available that allow you to write a basic I/O system (BIOS) that eliminates the keyboard, monitor, and other standard peripherals.
- DOS in ROM. Although obsolete for desktop PCs, DOS and its variants still find occasional use in embedded systems. Development **kits** are available from companies such as Annasoft that allow DOS and your applications to be placed in PROM or flash memory, eliminating the requirement for a disk drive. However, not all operating systems can run from ROM or without a disk drive.
- **Passive Backplane.** The problem of parts availability sometimes can be solved by using a passive backplane. Essentially, this consists of the expansion slots from a PC motherboard without the motherboard. A CPU board plugs into one of the expansion slots; other standard boards can plug into the other slots. While these backplane/CPU board combinations typically are more expensive than a clone motherboard produced to the tune of 100,000 per month, they solve the problem of not being able to buy the same board twice. But these boards are not perfectthey still depend on availability of parts, such as PC chip sets, that may go out of production.
- RTOS. Real-time (that is, deterministic) operating systems that emulate DOS are available. Of course, all of them do not work *exactly* like DOS, which can cause problems. Some, however, are close enough to DOS that they advertise as being able to run Windows (or they did, before Windows 95/98/2000 replaced Windows 3.1). One problem with using a non-DOS, non-Windows operating system is that you will not always find drivers for every peripheral chipset for every RTOS. For instance, you may find that one vendor's motherboard uses an Ethernet chipset for which your RTOS vendor has no driver. Using an RTOS in a PC environment means you must make sure there is a match between the PC hardware and the RTOS. In addition, if your hardware becomes obsolete, you

must be sure the new hardware is compatible, too. Other options for embedded operating systems include Windows, the real-time version of Windows NT, and real-time operating systems as covered in Chapter 9.

# *ISA- and PCI-Based Embedded Boards*

Although ISA is obsolete on the desktop, it is still found in various forms in embedded systems. In PCs, ISA has been replaced with PCI. Boards available for ISA and PCI buses include digital and analog  $I/O$  cards, optically isolated 1/0 cards, and boards with relay closure outputs. Specialty cards include interfaces to charge coupled device (CCD) cameras and specialized communication boards.

This chapter *so* far has focused on the PC **as** a platform for embedded systems. In addition to the problems already mentioned, a number of other problems with using a PC for embedded applications exist. First, as mentioned, ISA is obsolete, replaced by PCI, USB, and possibly Firewire or Bluetooth. PCI is much faster than ISA but is more difficult to design for. *As* PCs need ever-faster peripherals, this transition makes sense. However, many embedded applications-even those that require a very fast CPU-do not need high **1/0** speeds. A PC is large and may be difficult to mount inside your product. Even the form factor of a PC motherboard is fairly large.

The average PC user will be running some version of Windows instead of an RTOS and does not need to know how to write drivers for the chipset and peripherals on the motherboard. The embedded developer, on the other hand, needs this information; not being able to get it can make development difficult. Some PCs have a Plug-and-Play (PnP) BIOS that makes it hard to control how the interrupts and other features will be allocated.

Implicit in all these characteristics of the PC architecture is complexity. If you are building a PC-based product, you are virtually forced into using the BIOS on the motherboard and some kind of operating system. This is because the chipsets and peripheral functions on the board are complex enough (and sometimes proprietary enough) that it is impractical to write drivers and initialization code for them-unless you have an enormous development budget and a huge software team.

Finally, PCs are not intended for embedded application, so the only flash memory they have is for the BIOS, and you may not be able to find out how to program that. To load your code, you are stuck with having a hard disk or floppy drive that you otherwise might not need.

## *Other Platforms for Embedded Systems*

# *PC/104 Bus*

The PC/104 bus compresses the PC architecture to a form factor better suited to small embedded systems. The PC/104 bus is almost electrically identical to the ISA bus but on a different form factor. The PC/104, instead of using a backplane to interconnect the boards, has a *stackthrough* connector on each board. The pins on the back of one board connect to the socket on the front of the next. Two or more boards are stacked into a "sandwich" (see Figure 10.1). PC/104 boards are approximately **3.5** x **3.75** inches.

The PC/104 bus comes in three versions:

- 1. An &bit bus that closely matches the signals and timing of the original 8-bit IBM PC expansion connectors.
- 2. A 16-bit version that follows the 16-bit ISA connectors. The PC/104 signals have slightly different drive specifications, which correspond to their use in embedded systems, typically with a limited number of boards.
- 3. A PCI-like bus for high-speed transfers-the PC/104-Plus.

The primary drawback to the PC/104 form factor is also one of its biggest advantages-small size. Little room is left for connectors, and the board spacing prevents the use of large heatsinks for power devices. PC/104 CPU boards are available with processors ranging from an 80188 to 586- and Pentiumclass processors.

One way that PC/104 CPUs can be used is as a smaller daughterboard on a larger 1/0 board. To drive a lot of motors, for example, you might have a large board filled with power ICs and motor drivers and controlled by a PC/104 CPU plugged into a connector in one corner.



**Figure 10.1 PC/104 Board Stacking.** 

**262** *Embedded Microprocessm Systems* 

Most PC/104 CPU boards provide a significant amount of flash memory, which usually can be configured as a virtual disk drive. This permits you to load an application and whatever operating system you use into silicon, with no need for a hard drive or floppy to get everything going. Many PC/104 CPU boards include an Ethernet connection, and you often can load the software directly from that. If your embedded controller is talking to an external PC via Ethernet, you can store the code in the PC and download it on power up. This makes it easy to send software changes to the field.

Many manufacturers, such as Ampro, make CPU boards that are larger than the PC/104 form factor but retain the PC/104 interface connectors. This approach allows more room for components without giving up PC/104 electrical compatibility.

One drawback to using a PC/104 CPU is the same **as** that for using a PC: You may pay for features you don't use. This occurs for the same reasons it does on a PC-standard chipsets. Even if your application does not need **VGA** display, keyboard, or IDE interface, you probably get them on the PC/104 CPU anyway. You *might* be able to design a board without those features for less, but remember that the PC/104 manufacturer spreads development and production costs over a larger volume than you can. Some PC/104 manufacturers sell a depopulated version of their boards. If you are not using a **VGA** controller, for example, they can leave off the video memory, making the board less expensive.

The introduction of the USB bus may help alleviate some of the size constraints on  $PC/104$ -based systems. Current  $PC/104$  CPU boards typically are covered with connectors. Implementation of floppy, keyboard, printer, serial, and other interfaces takes real estate on the board. Even though these functions are embedded in complex chipsets, IC real estate still is used, and interconnections must be made. Connector space is tight enough that some PC/104 CPU boards require a floppy drive from a notebook computer (which is expensive) because no room is left on the board for the larger, standard floppy connector.

Although I have yet to see one produced, **I** can imagine a PC/104 CPU board that does awaywith the floppy, keyboard, printer, IDE, and maybe serial connectors, using USB instead. Such a board would be targeted at applications that do not need those peripherals except during development. During development, a "black box" could be used to interface the USB to all these standard peripherals. This black box could even be fairly expensive since it would not affect product cost. During production, instead of having four to six unused connectors on the board, only the USB is unused. The board space preserved by this approach could be used for other interfaces or additional CPU functionality.

The pinout for the PC/104 bus is as shown on the next page.



**Note that the J2/P2 connector** starts **numbering the pins with 0. This can cause a problem for some PCB layout packages that expect the first pin** of **a device to be pin 1.** 

## *STD Bus*

Much older than the **PC/104,** the STD bus has been used in a large number of embedded systems. Originally based on timing signals from the Zilog 2-80 microprocessor, the STD bus is available in *8-* and 16-bit versions. The bus is based on a 56-pin edge connector, which originally supported a 64K (16-bit) address space and an %bit data bus, so going to wider buses with more memory addressing capability has required multiplexing some of the pins. The eight upper address lines are multiplexed with the lower 8 data bits to provide 24 address bits. If a 16-bit data bus is used, the upper 8 data bits are multiplexed with the upper eight address lines. The STD bus pinout follows:



**Note: Signal names separated by a slash** (/) **are multiplexed pins with** two **functions.** 

*Industry-Standard Embedded Platforms* 265



#### **Figure 10.2 STD Board Outline.**

*An* STD bus system consists of a passive backplane with (typically) 4 to 20 slots, a plug-in CPU, and peripheral boards. The STD bus originally was used mostly with proprietary (non-PC) CPU designs. *As* the PC architecture became more attractive, STD bus boards and systems became available with the same architecture as a PC and the ability to run DOS or Windows. The number and type of peripheral boards (timers, 1/0 controllers, standard interfaces, data conversion, etc.) available for the STD bus is about the same as for the PC/104 bus.

Figure 10.2 shows the outline of the STD bus boards, which are about  $4\frac{1}{2} \times 6\frac{1}{2}$  inches in size.

There is a newer version **of** the STD bus, STD-32, which supports 8-, 16, and 32-bit transfers and a 32-bit address space. STD-32 uses interleaved connectors, and a STD-32 backplane will support older STD cards, allowing a mix of 8- and 32-bit cards in a system.

## *VME Bus*

The VME bus was based on the Motorola 68000 signals. Using 96-pin DIN (a European standard) connectors, the backplane may be one to three connectors wide and up to 20 or so slots long. The VME bus supports daisy-chained interrupts. It normally is associated with larger and costlier systems.

VME boards come in two sizes: 3U and 6U. Both are approximately 6.3 inches (160 mm) deep, although there is a longer version used by some systems. 3U boards have a single 96-pin VME connector and are about 3.9 inches (100mm) wide. 6U boards have two connectors and are about 9.2 inches (233 mm) wide. **A** three-panelwide 9U board is used in some systems; the third connector is user defined.

# *CompactPC/*

A drawback to the standard ISA bus in a PC (and the similar PC/104 bus) is speed. ISA is limited to 16-bit transfers and, for compatibility reasons, limited in speed. The PCI bus in a PC overcomes some of these limitations with a high-speed bus that supports 64bit transfers and has a more flexible interrupt structure. The origmal 33MHz PCI bus supports burst transfer rates of 133MB/sec using a 32-bit mode, and 266MB/sec using a 64bit mode. However, the PCI bus, as implemented in a PC, still has drawbacks for industrial applications since it uses edge connectors and a single-screw hold-down mechanism similar to the ISA.

The CompactPCI adapts the PCI bus to industrial and embedded applications. Like VME cards, CompactPCI boards are based on the Eurocard industry standard. CompactPCI boards come in 3U and 6U sizes. The connector is a 5 row **x** 44 pin connector, with 2mm pin-to-pin spacing. The cards are held in place by a rail attached to the card-cage frame at each end with screws for secure mounting.

# *CPU on a Chip*

The **AMD** Elan SC520 Microcontroller provides a 32-bit, 100MHz, 586 CPU core with several integrated peripherals. These include:

- **e**  Integrated PCI host bridge
- *0*  SDRAM controller
- **e**  Programmable interrupt controller
- *0*  PGcompatible timer
- *0*  PGcompatible DMA controller
- **e**  Two 16550compatible UARTs
- **e**  Real-time clock with battery backup
- **e**  Three general-purpose timers
- *0*  Watchdog timer
- **e**  Synchronous serial interface
- **e**  Programmable address decoding (chip selects)
- **e**  32 general-purpose 1/0 pins

The Elan SC520 is optimized for embedded applications and provides a highly integrated solution when a PGcompatible embedded controller is needed.

# *Example Real Time PC Application*

When the original IBM PC was introduced, it was not well suited to embedded realtime control. With CPU clock rates of 5 or 12MHz and unpredictable operating system performance, you just couldn't be sure things would be done in a timely

*Industry-Standard Embedded Platforms 267* 



**Figure 10.3 Real-Time Embedded PC.** 

manner. As processor speeds have increased, the use of PC-based control systems becomes more feasible. However, the primary drawback to such systems is still the lack of repeatable, predictable timing.

Figure 10.3 shows an embedded system based on the PC architecture. This particular system is part of a document imaging application. Documents are imaged at a rate of about **24** per second. The microcontroller board interfaces to the transport electronics. It services a regular interrupt every 266 microseconds. In addition, the microcontroller processes optical and electromechanical interrupts that indicate document position and the state of the transport. **A** data packet from the transport electronics provides information about each document to be imaged. Outputs from the microcontroller include control signals to the lamps and other transport subsystems.

The PC has an interface to the imaging cameras using custom interface boards that plug into the PCI bus in the PC. The PC merges the data stream information with the document images and sends the resulting data to a host system.

The PC has sufficient memory and processing capability **to** buffer and process the images while managing the Ethernet connection to the host. The PC is not capable of handling the 266-microsecond timing requirements of the lower-level hardware, *so* the microcontroller handles that aspect of the system.

The microcontroller board contains a FIFO that provides an interface to the PC. Data passed to the PC include the document data packet, machine status, and timing information used to synchronize everything. Using this architecture, the PC only has to service the FIFO every couple **of** documents, about once every 100ms. The FIFO keeps the data packets and other information in the right order.

The PC does not need to know the specific timing of each event in the FIFO, although knowing the sequence of events is important. If timing information had been needed, the interface protocol could have been modified to accommodate it. For example, each data item in the FIFO could be accompanied by the contents of a 16-bit free running counter, or the amount of time between data items could be included.

The PC in this application is not capable of controlling the system at the lowest timing level, but it does act as a real time controller with hard real-time deadlines. These include receiving and processing the images in a timely manner and keeping up with the rate of data coming out of the FIFO. The operating system is not deterministic in that the time to check and read the data from the microcontroller is predictable. However, due to the speed of the CPU and the addition of the interface FIFO, the system is deterministic because it will always be fast enough. In this sense, it is truly real time.

In this design, the PC was chosen for the image-processing function for the following reasons:

- Standard operating system and drivers allow use of the standard C<sup>++</sup> development system as well **as** easy interface to Ethernet, video, disk, and *so* on.
- Any PC can be used by loading the right drivers-application software is independent of hardware implementation.
- Easy upgrade to faster CPU/more memory/bigger disk, and *so* on. No changes to application or operating system are required.
- Standard printer port for interface to microcontroller board makes this interface universal.
- The image interface boards required a standard PCI bus.

Chapter 11 will examine some advanced microprocessor concepts.

*Industry-Standard Embedded Platfwms* **269** 

# *Advanced Microprocessor Concepts*

 $11$ 

This chapter provides an overview of some features that are used to improve processor performance or to solve certain design problems.

# *Pipeline (Prefetch) Queue*

To speed execution, some processors implement a pipeline, sometimes called a *prejetch queue.* This is because many CPU instructions are fairly complex, taking many clock cycles to perform. Multiply and divide instructions are good examples. While the processor is executing multiple-clock instructions, the bus normally is idle. In a processor with a pipeline, the bus logic goes ahead and gets the next few instructions in preparation for execution. The Intel **80186/188** implements a pipeline by having the execution unit (EU) separate from the bus interface unit (BIU). While the EU is executing instructions, the BIU continues to fetch new instructions until the queue is full. If the next instruction in the pipeline happens to be one that can be executed very quickly, the one following already is in the pipeline and need not be fetched from memory.

A pipeline architecture keeps the CPU execution speed from being bogged down by slow memory. While the CPU is executing multipleclock instructions, the pipeline uses those clock cycles to fill up with instructions. However, the *average*  rate of instruction execution cannot exceed the memory bandwidth, or the pipeline will never get ahead of the CPU and so provides no advantage.

The Motorola Coldfire CPU series takes the pipeline concept further. A drawback to a pipeline architecture is that, if a branch instruction is executed, all the prefetched instructions must be discarded and the pipeline refilled from the new address.

The MCF5307 is a 32-bit, Coldfire-family processor that fetches and partially decodes the instructions in the pipeline. If the decoding logic detects certain branch instructions, the pipeline will begin fetching instructions from the *new* 

address in anticipation of the branch being taken. If the branch is conditional and *not* taken, then the new instructions are discarded and prefetching resumes from the addresses following the branch instruction. Of course, this type of decoding has limitations. Suppose that a branch instruction uses an indirect address, contained in a register, and the register contents depend on an instruction still in the pipeline. Obviously, the pipeline logic-for any processor-cannot prefetch data because the destination address is not **known.** 

#### *Interleaving*

Interleaving is used to allow a fast **CPU** to access slower memory without wait states. Figure **11.1** shows a simple timing diagram that illustrates the concept of interleaving. In this example, an Intel-type bus was chosen because the ALE signal provides a reference for the processor cycles.

Two memories are shown in the figure. Each has an access time longer than the bus cycle time. Ordinarily, this would require the insertion of wait states. However, if each memory is accessed on every other cycle, the **two** memories together can keep up with the **CPU.** Each memory access starts in a cycle when the other memory is being read. In Figure **11 .l,** Memory **1** is accessed on every even-numbered address and Memory **2** is accessed on odd-numbered addresses.

Interleaving works only as long as the processor executes sequential address cycles. The access time for one memory device starts in the bus cycle for the other device; thus, the next address for each device must be predictable. In the example shown, the **CPU** is accessing a hex address of AAOO then **AAOl** then AA02 (these are just arbitrary addresses chosen for this example). After reading location **AA02,**  the processor jumps to **AA14.** This memory access cannot be interleaved because the new address could not be predicted, so wait states must be inserted so that the





Embedded Microprocessor Syster


**Figure 11.2 ADC interleaving.** 

memory can catch up with **the** CPU. You can see this in Figure **11.1,** where the access to **AA14** is longer than the preceding bus cycles.

A form of interleaving is performed in many microprocessor designs when interfacing to slower peripherals. Figure **11.2** shows a microprocessor connected to an analog-to-digital converter (ADC) . When the microprocessor wants to read the ADC, it could start the AD conversion, then wait until the conversion is complete. However, this would waste time while the CPU is polling the ADC. Instead, the CPU starts a conversion, then goes away and does other things. At some regular interval, the CPU reads the ADC result and starts the next conversion. This technique can be applied to a number of different peripheral types. Two ADCs could be interleaved in the same way as memory accesses, permitting the conversions to overlap.

# *DRAM Burst Mode*

Many dynamic memories have some form of burst mode of operation that permits faster access. Figure **11.3** shows how burst mode operation compares to the normal mode of operation in a dynamic RAM (DRAM). In normal operation, each cycle is initiated by -RAS, followed by *-CAS.* The access time of the DRAM is the -RAS access time, and the fastest rate the device can be accessed is the random access cycle time (a parameter you will find on the DRAM data sheet).

Figure **11.3** also shows *page* mode, which is the simplest type of DRAM burst operation. In this case, the -RAS signal goes low to latch the row address, but it stays low. Subsequent locations are read by strobing -CAS to latch a new column address. The -CAS access time is faster than the -RAS access time, *so* subsequent

*Aduanced Mimoprocessw Concepts 273* 



#### **Figure 11.3**

**Burst Mode DRAM Access.** 

bytes can be read much more quickly than the first location. Any location in the selected row can be accessed in this way.

*As* soon **as** the CPU needs information from a different row, the -RAS line must be cycled and a new row address loaded. The access time for the first read from the new row is the -RAS access time, but subsequent reads from that row can be performed using burst mode access. A memory with a lOOns -RAS access time typically would have a *-CAS* burst access time of around 60ns. To take advantage of burst mode, the address decoding hardware must detect when the address changes to a different row (because the address bits from the CPU that make up the row address change). The -RAS signal must be cycled with the new row address. The first memory access is governed by the -RAS access time, and so the first bus cycle from the new row must be extended with wait states.

There are other enhancements to the page mode of operation, such **as** a fast page mode and extended data output **(EDO)** . These all enhance performance by changing the burst mode timing, essentially making the *-CAS* access time shorter so that successive burst cycles are faster.

# *SDRAM*

Synchronous **DRAM (SDRAM)** is a new type of **DRAM** that is optimized for highspeed microprocessors such **as** 586 and Pentium-class CPUs. **SDRAM** is a **DRAM,**  and so it must be refreshed to retain the memory contents. However, synchronous DRAM operates at higher speeds than traditional DRAM. The most important difference is that SDRAM is synchronized to the CPU using a clock signal.

A typical SDRAM is the Toshiba TC59SM716/08/04. This is a 128MB RAM, available as 32MB x 4 bits, 16MB **x** 8 bits, or 8MB x 16 bits. The TC59SM716 comes in a 54pin surface mount (TSOP) package, operates at 3.3V, and is capable of transferring up to 133 megawords/sec. The signals on this SDRAM integrated circuit (IC) are as follows:

Data lines (16) -CAS -RAs -WE  $-CS$ Clock DQM (data bus select) Bank select Address signals

SDRAM ICs have -RAs, *-CAS,* and -WE signals like normal DRAM ICs. However, these signals have a different meaning on SDRAM. In addition, SDRAM has clock, a chip select (CS), bank select (BS) signals, and data bus select signals. Finally, the address lines on an SDRAM are used both to address the device and to select certain parameters.

Figure 11.4 shows the basic timing of an SDRAM read cycle. Note that all the input signals are synchronized to the rising edge of the clock signal. In the waveform shown, the CPU has requested a burst read of multiple words. The command is issued on one clock edge, and three clocks later, the data are available at the SDRAM outputs. Once the first word has been read, subsequent words are read on



**Figure 11.4 SDRAM Timing.** 

*Advanced Mieroprocessm Concepts* **275** 

each clock cycle. Although not shown in the figure, accessing an SDRAM requires a -RAS cycle (also synchronous) to load the row address and activate the row.

Like an ordinary DRAM, the SDRAM uses a burst mode to read subsequent locations. In the case of SDRAM, a new location is read on each clock. The burst length is set with a Mode Register Set command. When this command is issued, the address bits are redefined **as** command bits. The meaning of the bits is as follows:

AO-A2: Burst length

A3: Addressing mode (sequential or interleaved)

A4A6: *-CAS* latency

A9: Write mode

The -CAS latency tells the DRAM how many clock cycles (two or three) should elapse between a command being issued and data being available. This allows the DRAM delay to be set so that it matches the **CPU** clock. A fast **CPU** would select a three clock-cycle latency; a slower CPU (with a corresponding slower clock signal to the DRAM) would select **two** clock cycles.

The -RAS, -CAS, and -WE signals select the command mode. A partial list of these commands is as follows:



As you can see, there is more than one interpretation for each command state. Which command is executed depends on the state of an address line and what state the SDRAM already is in. **An** SDRAM **IC** has 16 data lines. The data can be accessed in 8- or l6bit words; the DQM signals determine which bytes are read. DQM also functions as a mask when writing, allowing either or both bytes of the pair to be written. This permits a word-wide processor to perform byte-oriented operations on the device. Of course, the DQM signals on multiple devices can be manipulated so that a 32- or 64-bit-wide memory array can be accessed as bytes, 16-bit words, or 32-bit words.

**An** SDRAM data sheet consists of 50 or so pages of timing diagrams and tables. Due to the high clock rates (66 to 125 MHz) , SDRAM timing usually is accomplished with fast programmable logic or custom ICs. One advantage to SDRAM is the **syn**chronous nature of the interface. Traditional DRAM requires delay lines or other timing devices to get the -RAs and -CAS strobes correct. SDRAM synchronizes everything to the clock signal, which is a convenience since the control logic usually **is** synchronous anyway.

Some microprocessors, such as the AMD ElanSC520 microcontroller, include an SDRAM interface on-chip.

# *High-speed, High-Integration Processors and Multiple Buses*

Although the interfacing techniques introduced in Chapter 2 apply across all speed ranges, some special considerations are in order for interfaces to very fast processors. The AMD **Elan** SC520 is one example. The SC520 integrates a 586 CPU core with a number **of** peripheral functions. One is a fast interface to external flash memory. The 586 flash memory interface can run at **33** MHz, performing one fetch from the flash every 30ns. Because most flash memories cannot operate at this speed, the CPU needs wait states to access the flash. It might seem reasonable to simply run the CPU at a slower clock and avoid wait states. However, the SC520 has other integrated interfaces, including an SDRAM interface. Operating the flash with wait states allows the SDRAM to run at full speed. In many cases, when using a PCcompatible processor like the SC520, the flash is used only when starting the system; normal operating code is stored in RAM.

Figure 11.5 is a block diagram of the Intel i960 VH processor. The i960 is a highperformance microprocessor family. The **VH** version has **two** external buses: a local memory bus and a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus. The PCI bus is a standard interface bus in the IBM-PC world. The i960 VH incorporates a PCI con-



**Figure 11.5 Intel i960** VH.

*Advanced Microprocessor Concepts* 277

troller on-chip. The i960 also has a local memory bus for accessing **DRAM** or flash memory. The i960 **VH** has an internal 32-bit address space; the PCI bus can be made part of this address space, or the **VH** address space can be independent of the PCI bus. Integration of the PCI bus onto the chip provides a very high level of performance on a standard interface.

Although you can use the i960 PCI bus interface to create a PCI card slot into which you can plug standard PC peripheral cards, you can also implement a PCI bus on a circuit board with no connectors at all. This lets you use ICs designed for use on PCI bus cards on your embedded circuit board.

# *Cache Memory*

One problem that occurs **as** processors get faster and faster is the bottleneck of accessing memory. On-chip speeds inside the CPU always are faster than the speed of external buses. For example, the PGstandard PCI bus at 66MHz usually is driven by a CPU with a much faster internal clock. A 100MHz PCI typically is connected to a 300MHz or faster CPU. In addition, 100MHz **SDRAMs** connect to 350 or 400MHz CPUs.

The reason for this is that the logic delays inside the CPU are more controllable and more repeatable than those going off-chip. Also, signal paths inside the chip are only tiny fractions of an inch, versus longer traces on a PC board. This affects both the propagation delay and the transmission-line characteristics of the traces.

The bottom line is that a very fast CPU may be unable to execute instructions at full speed because it is starved for data from a memory that cannot keep up. One solution to this problem is the addition of cache memory. Cache *memory* is a fast memory located close to the CPU and operating closer to CPU speeds. Cache memory usually is implemented with very fast static RAM.

Cache memory is managed by a cache controller that fetches data from the main memory and stores it in the cache. Cache memory works because most microprocessor programs are repetitive in nature-the code loops around and around, executing the same string of instructions for some time before moving on to some other piece of code. When the CPU wants to execute code not in the cache, the cache controller gets the code from main memory (DRAM, usually) and moves it into the cache. Once in the cache, the code executes very quickly.

If cache is so fast, why not just make all the memory cache? The first reason is cost-building all main memory out of the super-fast cache SRAM would make the memory prohibitively expensive. Second, cache SRAM ICs are larger than equivalent DRAM due to the larger cell size and added number of pins required. Thus, making all main memory out of cache parts would make the memory array physically larger, which would limit speed due to trace lengths.

Many CPUs, such as Pentium-class processors, go a step further, integrating a small cache onto the CPU chip itself. This provides a very fast cache memory, capable of keeping up with the CPU at full speed. However, since SRAM takes a significant amount of real estate on the CPU die, on-chip cache memory typically is smaller than off-chip cache memory. Many designs include both types of cache memory for maximum performance.

# *Processors with Multiple Clock Inputs and Phase-Locked Loops*

Many microprocessors need more than one clock input. The **AMD** SC520 is an example of this. The SC520 requires **two** crystals (or external oscillators). One crystal runs at 32.768kHz and provides a signal to the real-time clock and **SDRAM**  refresh logic. The SC520 also has a 33MHz input, which provides clocks to the CPU, PCI bus, and other internal peripherals.

*As* processor speeds exceed 30MHz or so, it is difficult to get crystals to run the CPU. Fundamental mode crystals typically are unavailable above 30MHz. The SC520, in addition to the clocks mentioned, requires 66MHz for the **SDRAM**  logic and 18.432MHz for the UARTs. Clocks like this are often generated by a phase-locked loop (PLL) inside the microprocessor IC. While the complexities of PLL theory are beyond the scope of this book, a PLL can be thought of **as** a block of components that multiply a clock by some integer. Figure 11.6 shows a simplified block diagram of a PLL and a brief description of how the circuit works.



#### **Figure 11.6 PLL Block Diagram.**

*Advanced Micropomsor Concgbts* **279** 

A microprocessor may contain multiple PLLs to generate more than one frequency. The SC520 has a PLL that generates 1.1882MHz (for the programmable timers) and 18.432MHz (for the UARTs) from the 32.768kHz input. Another PLL produces 66MHz for the SDRAM interface from the 33MHz input. The CPU core has a PLL that multiplies the 33MHz input crystal by three or four to produce a 100MHz or 133MHz CPU clock.

# *Multiple-Instruction Fetch and Decode*

With the addition of on-chip cache memory to some microprocessors, a secondary performance improvement is possible. It is possible to build a microprocessor with a 32-bit interface to external memory, but a 64 or 128-bit interface between the internal cache memory and the internal CPU core. Figure **11.7** shows this arrangement.

Because the internal bus that interfaces the cache memory to the CPU is wider than the CPU word, it is possible to transfer multiple instructions to the CPU at once. With parallel hardware, the CPU can decode more than one instruction at a time, resulting in a very high level of performance. The Intel i960 does this, as does the Motorola Power PC. Of course, this greatly increases CPU complexity,



**Figure 11.7 Wide Cache Memory.** 

280 *Embedded Microprocessor Systems* 

as there must be some degree of parallelism and the instructions must be synchronized.

~ ~

# *MicrocontrolledFPGA Combinations*

Many microprocessor designs have a microprocessor and one or more programmable logic devices (PLDs). The PLDs usually function as address decoders, I/O peripherals, or even fairly complex state machines connected to the processor.

The Atmel FPSLIC (Field Programmable System Level Integrated Circuits) combines an AVR microprocessor core with Atmel's AT40K field programmable gate array (FPGA) architecture on a single chip. The FPSLIC devices are programmed at power up from an external memory, allowing you to create sophisticated microprocessor-based systems with just two chips. The FPGA part of the device is PCI compliant, *so* you can directly interface the **AVR** to a PCI bus.

The AVR microprocessor of the FPSLIC includes the AVR core, two UARTs, three timers, a watchdog timer, **and** a real-time clock. Sixteen 1/0 lines are available from the **AVR,** although **1/0** can also be implemented in the FPGA. The Atmel AT17 family EEPROM memories are compatible with the FPSLIC, storing both the AVR code and the FPGA configuration.

The **FPGA** part of the FPSLIC includes a hardware multiplier for fast DSP-like operations and a fast dual-port SRAM for communication with the AVR. The device includes 10,000 to 40,000 gates and 864 to 2,880 registers, depending on which specific chip you are using.

The AVR and FPGA are tied together via the dual-port RAM. In addition, four memory locations in the AVR are mapped into the FPGA, so you can build custom peripherals for the AVR with the FPGA logic. Finally, **16** interrupt signals pass from the FPGA to the AVR so the FPGA logic can generate interrupt requests to the microprocessor. The FPSLIC is available in packages ranging from an 84pin PLCC to a 352-pin BGA.

Another manufacturer offering microcontroller/FPGA combo chips is Cypres MicroSystems. Their CY8C25xxx family of programmable system-on-chip parts includes both configurable peripherals and analog blocks, as well as an 8-bit micrccontroller. The analog blocks can be configured to create ADCs, digital-to-analog converters (DACs), filters, and other functions.

In addition to FPGAs with embedded microcontrollers, you can also embed a microcontroller into a standard FPGA just like you would any other logic block. A microcontroller built this way may make less efficient use of chip real estate than a dedicated microcontroller IC, but it can simplify the overall system design. Xilinx has an application note that describes such a microcontroller.

*Advanced Microprocessm Concepts* **281** 

# *On-Chip Debug*

This topic has been mentioned in earlier chapters; it will be addressed in more detail here.

The addition of on-chip cache memory and high-speed processors complicates debugging. If instructions are executed from the on-chip cache, there is no external indication on the processor pins of what is going on. Prefetching causes problems as well; an instruction may be fetched from memory but never executed. An in-circuit emulator could monitor execution of these instructions, but the high clock rates of current processors make such an emulator difficult to build.

Another problem with emulators for high-performance processors is packaging. In the early days of microprocessors, all ICs came in DIP packages that could be socketed easily. The microprocessor could be removed from the socket and an emulator installed. Today, many microprocessors come in surface-mount packages that cannot be socketed. Removing the chip from the board to install an emulator is not possible, even if there were a way to attach the emulator to the board.

To simplify debug of high-performance processors, many manufacturers include on-chip debugging resources. As mentioned in Chapter 6, the x86 family of pre cessors, starting with the 386, includes onchip debug registers. Figure 11.8 shows the configuration of the x86 debug registers for the Pentium processor.

The Pentium has eight debug registers, DRO through DR7. All registers are **32** bits wide. DR4 and DR5 are reserved, so only six registers actually are used. DRO through DR3 **are** linear breakpoint address registers, written with the address



Figure 11.8 Intel Pentium Debug Registers.

**282** *Embedded Micr@rocessar Systems* 

of the breakpoint. This is an unsegmented, 32-bit address (if you do not know what *unsegmented* means, do not worry about it; it is a function of the x86 architecture).

Register DR7 controls what type of breakpoint is executed. Each address register has two **LEN** (length) and two R/W bits; the encoding of the **LEN** and R/W bits is shown in Figure 11.8.

The L0–L3 and G0–G3 bits individually enable the four breakpoints. L0–L3 are used for local breakpoints (cleared after a task switch) and GO-G3 are used for global breakpoints, which are not cleared after a task switch. This is needed because a task switch may put something else in the memory area pointed to by the address register, and the breakpoint would be invalid. Debug features such **as** these permit a software debugger to simulate some of the features of an incircuit emulator. A breakpoint can be executed if the processor writes to certain 1/0 addresses, for example, or if a particular variable is accessed.

The x86 family are not the only processors with onchip debug features. Most high-performance **32-** or 64bit processors include some type of on-chip debug. Motorola uses a method called background *&buggzng mode* (BDM) in some of its processors. BDM allows an external host PC (with appropriate software, of course) to monitor and control the target CPU. BDM uses three processor pins: a clock, data in, and data out. These pins perform more than one function, depending on the mode of the BDM interface. When transferring data, the BDM pins function similar to an SPI port. The BDM data word transferred to the PC is 17 bits long. BDM permits the user to read and write registers, read and write memory, and perform other basic debugging functions. Unlike the Intel scheme, BDM does not support breakpoints or other emulation-like features.

The Motorola MC68EZ328 has on-chip debug hardware that includes a single execution breakpoint and a single bus-cycle breakpoint. The execution breakpoint hardware generates a breakpoint when a specific address is executed. A buscycle breakpoint is generated when a read or write is performed to a specific address. Using the on-chip debug hardware requires a software monitor (debugger) program to communicate with the host and to set up the internal breakpoint registers. Having more than one instruction and one bus-cycle breakpoint requires external hardware.

In the past, on-chip debugging resources were available only on 16- to 64-bit microprocessors, not on smaller microcontrollers. For many microcontrollers, the on-chip debugging circuitry would be a significant portion of the IC die. However, Microchip has started adding in-circuit debugging to the PIC processors. The PIC 16F877 has added on-chip circuitry that permits a breakpoint to be set and memory to be examined. Compared to the resources on a Pentium or Power PC, these may seem inadequate. However, it is a big leap from where microcontroller debug was in the past. And since microcontrollers often are used in simple applications, extensive debug support is often not needed. A memory dump feature may be all that is required with only 256 bytes of on-chip RAM. The microchip debug capability is enabled by programming a bit when the microcontroller EPROM is programmed.

Many microprocessors implement a joint test action group (JTAG) interface for debugging. The ITAG interface is a standardized serial interface that permits automatic test equipment to serially read and write the contents of internal registers in the IC. The  $\vert$ TAG interface standard (IEEE 1149) is flexible enough that it also can support on-chip debugging capabilities.

The AMD SC520 uses the JTAG interface to provide debug support. An internal memory stores trace information about program execution. Of course, with a serial interface, there is no way to track every instruction in real time, so the trace information is partial. The software in the host PC must do some of the work of decoding the debug information from the chip.

# *Memory Management Hardware*

Many advanced microprocessors include hardware for memory management. The features provided by memory management include the following.

#### *Memory Protection*

As mentioned in Chapter 4, there is nothing to prevent a berserk program from writing all through memory. In a system with a memory management unit (MMU), each program is limited to its own area of memory and cannot corrupt memory allocated to other programs.

## *Write Protection*

Using an MMU, certain areas of memory can be set aside **as** read-only, even though they are physically implemented **as** RAM. The MMU detects any attempts to write to those memory areas.

## *Relocation*

A program may be written with absolute branch addresses and it may access absolute memory locations. Such programs cannot be relocated because the addresses would all be wrong. The MMU can translate the addresses, allowing the programs to be executed from any location in memory.

#### *Supervisor*

Processors that have an MMU also have multiple privilege levels. Supervisor is one of these. Among other things, the supervisor level allows the MMU to be programmed. Typically, programs that are not at the supervisor level cannot execute certain instructions, such as instructions that disable interrupts or modify the interrupt vector table.

*As* an example, let's take an overview look at the memory management scheme used by Intel for the x86 family. The Intel memory management scheme is an outgrowth of the original 8086 segmentation architecture.

**Segment Registers** Segment registers were introduced with the 8086 to permit the l6bit processor to access up to a megabyte of memory (which requires 20 address bits). The 16-bit segment register contents are shifted left four places and added to the l6bit offset to make a 20-bit address. The memory thus is divided into 64K segments. If a program wants access to two memory locations that are more than **64K** apart, **two** different values must be used in the segment register to do so. Similarly, if the program itself is bigger than 64K, the segment register that points to the code area must be changed when the program rolls over or jumps into a section of code that cannot be reached with the current segment register and program counter. For example, if the code segment register contains COO0 and the program counter contains FFFF, the current instruction will come from the absolute address CFFFF. **You** would expect the next instruction to come from D0000, but that is not what happens. Instead, the PC rolls over to zero while the code segment stays the same, so the next instruction comes from COOOO. The code segment register must be changed to reach anything above D0000.

The original 8086 provided four segment registers: code segment, data segment, stack segment, and extra segment. With the introduction of the 386 processor, a new method was needed. The 386 is a 32-bit machine, with a 32-bit address bus. To accommodate this architecture, the segment registers in the 386 (and above) processors are 32 bits wide and point to a table of descriptors. When the CPU wants to access memory, the segment (now called a *selector)* register is used to obtain a 64bit entry from the descriptor table. This entry contains:

- The absolute 32-bit start address of the segment
- The upper limit of the segment
- The status, privilege level, segment type, whether the segment is present, and the like

Thus, a program can be loaded anywhere in memory; accesses to memory (including code, data, and stack) are translated into absolute 32-bit addresses using the descriptor table.

*Privilege Levels* The Intel MMU provides for four privilege levels. Level *0* is the highest level and permits access to anything in the system, including the MMU itself and all instructions in the instruction set. The operating system kernel will be at level 0.

*Advanced Microprocessor Concepts* 285

Levels 1 through 3 have fewer privileges. The essential point is that the MMU will not permit any memory access that is off-limits to a program at a given privilege level. A memory segment can be set so that it is read-only for levels 1 and below. A program at privilege level 0 can write to that segment, but a program at level 1,2, or 3 can only read it. Other registers in the MMU control things like what privilege level is permitted to disable interrupts or to modify the MMU registers.

# *Motorola*

The Motorola memory management scheme on the 68060 is different from Intel's, but the result is the same-a table is used to translate a logical address to a physical address. The 68060 has seven key MMU registers. One register points to a descrip tor table for **the** supervisor level and one register points to the user descriptor table. One register controls various functions like page size (4K or **8K)** , and four registers provide translation information for code and data (two registers each).

# *Exception Handling*

What happens when a program tries to write to read-only memory or disable interrupts when its privilege level is not high enough? When this happens, an *exception*  is generated by the MMU. **An** exception is similar to an interrupt and handled much the same way. Exceptions are not disabled by disabling interrupts, although the MMU can be programmed not to generate exceptions. The exception handler, part of the operating system, decides what to do if an illegal operation is attempted.

# *Application-Specific Microcontrollers*

Traditionally, microcontrollers have been general-purpose devices, with port pins, timers, and other features that the designer could program for a specific application. Some newer microcontrollers are targeted at specific markets with specialized interfaces or other 1/0 features. A few brief examples follow:

- The Microchip rfPIC12C509AG/509AF is a PIC-family microcontroller with a 310 to 480MHz RF transmitter on-chip.
- Most microcontrollers have primarily digital **I/O.** Some devices provide limited analog 1/0 capability with **ADCs** or onchip comparators, but most of **the** 1/0 pins are still **digital.** The Microchip PIC16C781/'782 microcontrollers turn this around; these devices are designed **as** programmable analog controllers and include an %channel, &bit ADC, an &bit DAC, a programmable opamp, two programmable analog comparators, and a PWM output module.
- Other microcontrollers include onchip USB interfaces, LCD controllers, and in-CAN bus interfaces. All of these devices are intended to provide a low-cost solution to a specific class of design problems.

# *Appendix A Example System Specifications*

#### *System Description*

The system is a swimming pool timer that cycles the **AC** pump motor on a swimming pool. The power input is 9 to **12V DC** from a wall-mount transformer.

The pump is a 1/2-hp single-phase **AC** motor, controlled by mechanical relay. Relay is remote from control unit, located in weatherproof box near pool pump motor.

Provision is to be made for a switch closure input that prohibits pump operation if the water level is low.

The user can set the length of time the pump is on and off. **An** override is available to permit turning off the pump when it is on for maintenance and turning the pump on when it is off *so* that chemicals can be added.

On/off/override time is to be adjustable in 30-minute increments from one-half hour to **23** hours. **A** display will indicate the on/off condition of the pump, the time remaining, and whether the pump is in the override mode. The display also will indicate the condition of the water low monitor.

A minimum number of switches/knobs will be used.

# *User Interface*

Display. Four seven-segment digits: two digits for hours, **two** for minutes. Also three LEDs: SET, **ON,** and OVERRIDE. The LEDs are to be high intensity for daylight readability.

**Keypad.** There are four keys: SET, ON, OFF, **FCN.** 

**Operation.** The display will indicate the time remaining before pump switches on or off.

After reset, **ON** time will be set to 8 hours, **30** minutes. Off time will be set to 8 hours, 0 minutes.

Display will flash to indicate that power has been removed.

After power-up, **ON** and OFF override will not be allowed until SET has been pressed by user. Pressing ON will activate **ON** override. Pump will be turned on for 30 minutes, the display will show the override time, and the override and ON LEDs will be lit. Each successive push of the button will increment the override time. Normal time will continue to count

while in the override mode. When the override time expires, time keeping and display will revert to normal mode. Pressing OFF will activate the OFF override, with the same characteristics as the ON override.

Pressing OFF while in ON override or pressing ON while in OFF override will terminate override mode. Time keeping and display revert to normal mode. ON override may be used while the pump is on normally to extend the ON time to up to 24 hours. Similarly, the OFF override may be used while the pump is off normally.

# *Setting Time*

When user presses SET, the timer enters the time set mode. The set LED goes on. Pressing ON after SET will light the ON LED and show the current ON time, not the time remaining. Each press of ON will increment the time by 30 minutes until the time reaches 24 hours; the time will then roll over to 30 minutes. Pressing SET terminates the time set mode and stores the time, and the SET LED goes off. OFF time setting works in the same way as ON time setting.

While setting ON time, pressing OFF will save the ON time and change to the OFF set mode. Similarly, pressing ON while setting OFF time will save the OFF time and switch to the ON time set mode.

# *Water Low*

E a low-water condition is detected by closure of the water low switch, the pump will turn off if it is on. If the pump is already off, it will not be permitted to turn on. Any time that the low-water condition is detected and the pump should be on, the ON LED will flash to indicate the problem. The water low switch input will be filtered to prevent spurious transitions.

# *Example System Hardware Specifications*

#### *Initial Hardware Specification (Predesign)*

Display:

Four seven-segment LED displays (hours, minutes) ON LED (high intensity) SET LED (high intensity) OVERRIDE LED (high intensity)

Keys:

SET: Enables time set ON: On override, on time set OFF: Off override, off time set FCN: Undefined

Other inputs:

Water low switch closure Power: 9 to 12V DC input, using coaxial connector-onboard 5V regulator Polarity protected

Outputs:

Relay on/off/Relay powered by unregulated **DC** input

Other outputs:

Watchdog timer required

#### *Circuit Description (Postdesign)*

**CPU: 8031, 6MHz** input clock

EPROM: 8K x **8,** external **(2764);** no internal **ROM** 

**8031** port usage:

Ports 0,2: Address/data bus for external memory access Port 1: LED/display control Bit 0: Zero enables minutes, ones display digit Bit 1: Zero enables minutes, tens display digit Bit **2:** Zero enables hours, ones display digit Bit **3:** Zero enables hours, tens display digit Bit 4: One turns on override LED Bit *5:* Unused Bit **6:** One turns on set LED Bit 7: One turns on **ON LED**  Port **3:**  Bit 0: Unused Bit 1: One turns on motor relay Bit **2:** Toggle to trigger watchdog timer Bits **3** to **5:** Unused

Bits **6** and 7: External register access (RD/WR)

External registers: One read buffer, one write register. No address decoding—read from any external data memory address will enable the read buffer and any external write will clock the write register.

External read buffer:

DO, **D1:** Unused, read as 0 **D2:** 0 = **FCN** key **pressed D3:** 0 = **OFF** key pressed D4: 0 = **ON** key pressed  $D5: 0 = SET$  key pressed **D6:** Unused  $D7: 0 = Water Low switch closed$ 

External write register: LED segments, writing 1 turns on segment

DO: Segment A D1: Segment B D2: Segment C D3: Segment D D4: Segment E D5: Segment F D6: Segment G D7: Decimal point

LED segment definition



LEDs are not decoded-software directly writes LED segments. Numeric to seven-segment decoding must be performed in software.

Software must multiplex (scan) display digits.

Switch inputs are not debounced.

Watchdog timer has approximately a half-second timeout.

# *Example System Software Description*

## *Requirements*

Implement functionality as described in system definition. Implement additional functionality as described in hardware definition.

#### *CPU Resource Usage*

Timer 1: **250Hz** interrupt Timers 0 and 2: Unused Ports: *As* described in hardware definition. Bit 3.4 is reserved as diagnostic output for oscilloscope.

# *Functional Software Description for Pool Pump Timer*

This is a high-level logical description, one step above pseudocode.

**Reset logic:** 

Turn all display digits off. Set mode to power up. Clear all variables. Set ON time to 8:30. Set OFF time to 8:OO. Set current time to ON time. **(This will** turn the pump on.) Start of background Loop: If counting ON time or if in ON override, If water level OK, turn pump on. If counting OFF time, or if in OFF override or if water level low, turn pump off. If time rolled over from ON to OFF, Switch to oounting ON time. Set current time to OFF time. Switch to counting ON time. Set current time to ON time. If set pushbutton pressed, set mode to normal timekeeping. If ON pushbutton pressed (ON override) If time rolled over from OFF to ON, If mode is powerfail, If mode is **normal** timekeeping, If override time = *0:O* (first button press), Set to ON override mode Set override time to 0:30. If override time was  $> 0.0$ , If in ON override, add 30 to override time If override time = **24:0,** set override time to *0:O.*  Set override time to *0:O* (exit override). If override time = *0:O* (first button press), If in OFF override (ON pressed while in OFF override), If OFF pushbutton pressed **(OFF** override) Set to OFF override mode Set override time to *0:30.*  If override time was  $> 0.0$ , If in OFF override, add 30 to override time If override time = **24:00,** set override time to *0:O.*  Set override time to *0:O* (exit override). If in ON override (OFF pressed while in ON override), If SET pushbutton pressed, Set mode to time set Display ON time Set override time to *0:O.* 

If mode is time set, **If** SET pushbutton pressed, Set mode normal timing If we were setting ON time, set ON time to displayed time. If we were setting OFF time, set OFF time to displayed time. If ON button pressed, If setting ON time, increment displayed time. If setting OFF time, set OFF time to displayed time display ON time. If OFF button pressed If setting OFF time, increment displayed time. If setting ON time, set ON time to displayed time display OFF time.

End of background loop.

# *Example System Software Pseudocode*

## *Reset Processing*

Turn all displays **off.**  Set  $MODE = 0$  (power up mode). Initialize variables to 0. Set ON time to **8:30** (ONHOUR = 8, ONMIN = **30).**  Set OFF time to  $8:00$  (OFFHOUR =  $8$ , OFFMIN =  $30$ ). Set current time to ON time  $(HOUR = ONHOUR, MINUTE = ONMIN, ONOFF = 1)$ .

# *Background Loop*

If ONOFF set (ON timing), OR if in override mode and VOFLAG set (ON override mode), If not ONOFF (Off timing), OR if override time > 0 **and VOFLAG** not set (OFF override), If TFLAG (time rolled over), **If** MTFLAG = 0 (water level **ok),** Turn pump on. Turn pump off. Clear TFLAG. If ONOFF **(ON** timing, need to change to OFF timing), Clear **ONOFF**   $MINUTE = OFFMIN$  (current time  $= OFF$  time).  $HOUR = OFFHOUR$ 

```
Else (ONOFF was not set, OFF time, change to ON time), 
   Set ONOFF 
   HOUR = ONHOTJR 
   MINUTE = ONMIN (current time = ON time).
If powerfail occurred, switch to normal timing only if 
SET button pressed. 
If MODE = 0 (powerfail)
  If SEFLAG (SET PB pressed), 
   Clear SEFLAG 
   Set MODE = 1 (normal timing).
  If ONFLAG set (ON PB pressed),
If MODE = 1 (normal timing),
   Clear ONFLAG. 
   If Override time = 0:0 (OVMIN = OVHOUR = 0),
    (User has selected ON override) 
    Set VOFLAG 
    Set OVMIN to 30. 
    If VOFLAG (ON pressed in OFF override, cancel override), 
    Else (ON pressed while in ON override, increment time), 
   Else (Override time > O:O, user has pressed ON while in override), 
     Set OVMIN = OVHOUR = 0:O (override time = 0:O) 
     Add 30 to override time 
     If override time = 24:00, set override time to 0.
  If OFFLAG set (OFF PB pressed), 
   Clear OFFLAG. 
   If Override time = 0:0 (OVMIN = OVHOUR = 0),
    (User has selected OFF override) 
    Clear VOFLAG 
    Set OVMIN to 30. 
   If not VOFLAG (OFF pressed in ON override, cancel override), 
    Else (OFF pressed while in OFF override, increment time), 
   Else (Override time > 0.0, user has pressed OFF while in override),
     Set OVMIN = OVHOUR = 0:O (Override time = 0:O) 
     Add 30 to override time 
     If override time = 24:00, set Override time to 0.
  If SEFLAG (SET PB pressed), 
   Set MODE = 2 (time set)
   Set OVMIN = OVHOUR = 0:O (Override time = 0:O) 
   Set PRHOUR = ONHOUR
   Set PRMIN = ONMIN (display ON time)
   Set SEMODE = 1 (ON time set).
If MODE = 2 (time set),
  If SEFLAG (SET PB pressed, exit time set), 
   Clear SEFLAG. 
   If SEMODE = 1 (ON time set),
```

```
ONHOUR = PRHOUR 
   ONMIN = PRMIN (Store displayed time as ON time) 
   MODE = 1.OFFHOUR = PRHOUROFFMIN = PRMIN (OFF time = displayed time) 
   Mode = 1.
   Else (BEMODE = 0, OFF time set),
If ONFLAG (ON PB pressed while in time set mode), 
   Clear ONFLAG.
   If SEMODE = 1 (ON pressed in ON time set, increment display time), 
   Add 30 to displayed time. 
   If time = 24:00, set displayed time to 0:30. 
   Else (SEMODE = 0, ON pressed in OFF set, save OFF time), 
   OFFHOUR = PRHOUR
   OFFMIN = PRMIN (OFF time = displayed time) 
   SEMODE = 1PRHOUR = ONHOUR 
   PRMIN = ONMIN (displayed time = ON time).
  If OFTLAG (OFF PB pressed while in time set mode), 
   Clear OFFLAG.
   If SEMODE = 0 (OFF pressed in OFF time set, increment display time),
   Add 30 to displayed time. 
   If time = 24:00, set displayed time to 0:30. 
   ONHOUR = PRHOUR 
   ONMIN = PRMIN (ON time = displayed time)SEMODE = 0PRHOUR = OFFHOUR 
   PRMIN = OFFMIN (displayed time = OFF time).
   Else (SEMODE = 1, OFF pressed in ON set, save ON time),
```
**End of background** loop.

# *Timer lnterrupt Logic*

```
Trigger watchdog timer. 
Increment HUND. 
If HUND = 125 (1/2sec rollover), toggle BLFLAG.
If HUND = 250 (1 sec rollover),
  HUND = 0Increment SECOND. 
   If SECOND = 60.
    SECOND = 0.DECR time (MINUTE, HOUR)
   If time = 0:0, set TFLAG.
    If override time > O:O, decrement override time.
```

```
(Update display) 
Turn all displays off 
INCR DISPLY. 
If DISPLY = 4, DISPLY = 3 (DISPLY counts 0-3).
If MODE = 0 (Powerfail),
  If BLFLAG (Time to blink display), 
   If DISPLY = 0, convert minutes ones to \frac{7}{5}-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 1, convert minutes tens to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 2, convert hours ones to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 3, convert hours tens to 7-seg and write to display reg. 
If MODE = 1 (normal timekeeping),
  If override time = 0:0 (OVHOUR = 0VMIN = 0),
   If DISPLY = 0, convert minutes ones to \frac{7}{5}-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 1, convert minutes tens to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 2, convert hours ones to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 3, convert hours tens to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 0, convert OVMIN ones to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 1, convert OVMIN tens to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 2, convert OVHOUR ones to 7-seg and write to display reg. 
   If DISPLY = 3, convert OVHOUR tens to 7-seg and write to display reg. 
   If DISPLY = 0, convert PRMIN ones to \gamma-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 1, convert PRMIN tens to 7-seg and write to display reg.
   If DISPLY = 2, convert PRHOUR ones to 7-seg and write to display reg. 
   If DISPLY = 3, convert PRHOUR tens to 7-seg and write to display reg. 
  Else (Override time was > O:O), 
If MODE = 2 (time set),
(Now update the discrete status LEDs.) 
If MODE = 0 or 1 (Powerfail or normal timekeeping),
  Turn off SET LED. 
  If ONOFF (Timing ON time) 
  OR if OVTIME > 0 and VOFLAcf set (ON override), 
   If not MTFLAG (Water level OK),
   Else (MTFLAG set, water level low), 
    Turn on ON/OFF LED. 
    If BLF'LAG, Turn on ON/OFF LED (Makes LED blink). 
  If not ONOFF 
  OR if not VOFLAG, turn off ON/OFF LED. 
If MODE = 2 (time set),
  Turn on SET LED. 
  If SEMODE = 1,
   If not MTFLAG Water level OK), 
   Else (MTFLAG set, water level low),
  If SEMODE = 0, turn off ON/OFF LED.
    Turn on QN/OFF LED. 
    If BLFLAG, Turn on ON/OFF LED (Makes LED blink).
```

```
If PB switches all off, 
  Set DBCOUNT = 0Set ONFLAG = 0 
  Set OFFLAG = 0Set FCFIAG = 0Set SEFLAG = 0.
  If DBCOUN < 4,
Else (a PB is pressed), 
   Increment DBCOUN. 
   If DBCOUN = 4 (debounce done),
    Set flag corresponding to pressed switch. 
If water low switch active, 
   Increment MTCOUN 
   If MTCOUN = 255 (filter timeout), set MTFLAG. 
  If MTCOUN < 255,
Else (water low switch inactive) clear MTFLAG. 
End of 250Hz timer interrupt code.
```


# **Figure A.l**

Pool **Timer Polling** Loop **Flowchart.** 



**Figure A.2 Pool Timer Polling Loop Flowchart.** 



# **Figure A.3**

Pool **Timer** Polling Loop **Flowchart.** 



*Appendix A* 



Appendix A

Figures A.5<br>Pool Timer Schematic.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  **D** 

# *Appendix 6 Number Systems*

This book assumes knowledge of certain basic concepts. This appendix and the following **two** briefly review some of these concepts. This limited space cannot serve as a thorough treatment of these topics, but the essentials are covered.

#### *Number Bases*

Before looking at computer numbering systems, we will make a quick review of the decimal system. If we have a fourdigit number like **1234** we can write it this way:

 $(4 \times 1) + (3 \times 10) + (2 \times 100) + (1 \times 1000)$ 

As we move from right to left in a decimal number, each digit is the next power of 10. The least significant digit, in the ones position, is 4. This is multiplied by  $10^0$  ( $10^0$  = 1). The digit  $3$  is in the tens position and is multiplied by  $10<sup>1</sup>$ . The 2 is in the hundreds position, multiplied by  $10^2$ . Finally, the 1 is in the thousands position,  $10^3$ . As you can see, the exponent of 10 starts at zero in the rightmost digit and increases by one for every digit you move to the left. Ten is the base of the decimal system.

The digits in any decimal number can range from zero to 9. Since the decimal system is base **10,** there are **10** possible digits, including zero. This is necessary because any number system needs a unique character for every possible value in a single digit. When working with different number bases, it is common to use a subscript to indicate what the number base is. So **1,234** in decimal would be written **1234,,,.** 

Microprocessors use digital, or binary, logic, where everything is a one or a zero. **As** there are **two** digits in a binary system, the base is 2. A binary number looks like this:

#### **10011010010**

Each position, or digit, in a binary number is called a bit (binary digit). Just like the decimal system, each binary digit is an increasing power as you move from right to left. Only in this case, each position represents an increasing power of *two* instead of ten. The rightmost digit is in the ones position  $(2^0)$ , the next digit is the  $2$ 's position  $(2^1)$ , the next digit is in the  $4$ 's position **(2')),** and so on. We can rewrite the binary number to show what value each bit corresponds to as follows:



**So,** our example binary number can be calculated **as:** 

 $(0 \times 1) + (1 \times 2) + (0 \times 4) + (0 \times 8) + (1 \times 16) + (0 \times 32) + (1 \times 64) + (1 \times 128)$  $+(0 \times 256) + (0 \times 512) + (1 \times 1024)$ 

 $Or 2 + 16 + 64 + 128 + 1024$ , which is  $1234_{10}$ .

Computers typically work with binary values that are **8, 16, 32,** or **64** bits in length. Eight bits can represent a value from zero to **255** (1 + **2** + **4** + **8** + **16** + **32** + **64** + **128).** Sixteen bits can represent a value from zero to **65,535.** Thirtytwo bits can represent values up to  $4.29 \times 10^{9}$ , and 64 bits can go up to  $1.84 \times 10^{19}$ .

Obviously, writing numbers in binary is inconvenient for the human programmers who must use the computer, so computer values are typically written in hexadecimal format. In hexadecimal, usually abbreviated hex, the binary word is separated into 4bit groups. Our example value looks like this when grouped that way:

#### **10011010010** = **0100 1101 0010**

The two numbers are the same, but spaces were added to the second number to separate it into 4bit groups, like the way commas are sometimes added to decimal numbers. Note that an extra zero was appended to the left of the leftmost group to make it a full **4** bits wide. Now, remember what the value of each binary bit position was and you can calculate the number this way:

$$
10011010010 = 0100 1101 0010 =
$$
  
(0 × 1) + (1 × 2) + (0 × 4) + (0 × 8) = 2 = 2 × 1  
+ (1 × 16) + (0 × 32) + (1 × 64) + (1 × 128) = 82 = 13 × 16  
+ (0 × 256) + (0 × 512) + (1 × 1024) = 1024 = 4 × 256

This is the same **as** what we had before, except that we're finding the sum of each 4bit group **(2,82,** and **1024)** and then adding those sums together to get the total. So what about the factors at the end of each line? Why is it important that  $82 = 13 \times 16$ ? This is why:

$$
2 = 2 \times 1 = 2 \times 160
$$
  

$$
82 = 13 \times 16 = 13 \times 161
$$
  

$$
4 \times 256 = 4 \times 162
$$

So the word can be written:  $(4 \times 16^2) + (13 \times 16^1) + (2 \times 16^0)$ 

As you can see, when we break the binary word into 4bit groups, each group is an increasing power of **16 as** you move from right to left. Each 4bit group represents a digit **of** a base16 number. The 4bit groups make it base **16** because each 4bit group can represent a maximum value of  $15$   $(1 + 2 + 4 + 8)$ . Including zero, this makes 16 possible values for each digit. After **15,** the number carries over to the next digit, just like decimal digits do when you reach **9.** 

Now take another look at those 4bit groups. For the moment, we will treat each of these 4bit groups **as** individual binary numbers, and calculate them this way:

$$
0100 = 4 = (0 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 4) + (0 \times 8)
$$
  

$$
1101 = 13 = (1 \times 1) + (0 \times 2) + (1 \times 4) + (1 \times 8)
$$
  

$$
0010 = 2 = (0 \times 1) + (1 \times 2) + (0 \times 4) + (0 \times 8)
$$

Just to clarify what we are doing, we will rewrite the original grouped binary number with the corresponding values:



Notice that these are the same values we multiplied by the powers of 16 when we first broke the number into 4bit groups:



So our original binary number can be written as a 3-digit, base-16 number  $(4, 13, 2)$ .

The only problem with this is how to write the numbers. We need a single character to represent each digit, even those greater than 9. Otherwise we can't tell the difference between the digit value 13 and the **two** digits 1 and 3. Since the decimal system cannot represent digits greater than 9, the alphabet characters A-F are used for the extended digits, like this:



We can now write our number in three different **bases:** 

$$
1234_{10} = 10011010010_2 = 4D2_{16}
$$

Because many text editors (especially those from the early days of computers) can't handle subscripts, the numbers are often written without subscripts. Instead, a  $b$  suffix is used for binary, and *h* for hex, as follows:

#### $1.234 = 10011010010b = 4D2h$

Sometimes a lowercase *d* suffix is used to indicate decimal numbers, but if this method is used, the hex numbers must always use uppercase digits (ABCDEF). Otherwise, you can't tell if the d indicates a decimal number or the hex digit D.

It is important to remember that microprocessors do not operate with hex numbers; they operate in binary. Hexadecimal is just a convenient representation for people to use when working with binary numbers.

**In** the early days of computers, octal was often used. This was just another representation, where the binary numbers were divided into groups of 3 bits. Each group could range from zero to 7, and the digits went up by powers of 8  $(1, 8, 64,$  and so on).  $1234_{10} = 2322$ . Octal is rarely used now.

So why use 4bit groups? Why not create a number system that uses 5-bit groups, where the values ranged from zero to 31? You could, and it would let you represent numbers up to 1023 with two digits. But you would need 32 unique characters for the digits, and you would have to remember the values of all of them. More importantly, microprocessor data and (usually) address buses come in increments of 8 bits, so the hexadecimal system is more practical for real systems.

## *Converting Numbers Between Bases*

We often need to convert numbers between hex, decimal, and binary. The simplest way, of course, is to use a calculator that can convert between bases. However, it is important to understand the methods.

# *Hex to Binary*

Hex to binary conversions are easy. Start with  $\text{IDE6}_{16}$ . To convert this to binary, just write out the binary values that correspond to each hex digit:



If you want, take out the spaces: 0001110111100110

with 64bit numbers using binary. Now you can see why hex is easier to use. This is just a 16bit number. Imagine working

## *Binary to Hex*

Separate the binary number into 4bit groups, starting with the rightmost digit. If the rightmost group doesn't have 4 bits, append zeros to the *left* to make 4 bits:



Then convert each 4bit group to a hex digit:



# *Hex to Decimal*

Factor each hex digit by the corresponding power of 16 and sum the results:

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Hex: } 1\text{DE6} &= \left(1 \times 16^3\right) + \left(\text{D} \times 16^2\right) + \left(\text{E} \times 16^1\right) + \left(1 \times 16^0\right) \\ &= \left(1 \times 4096\right) + \left(13 \times 256\right) + \left(14 \times 16\right) + \left(6 \times 1\right) \\ &= 4096 + 3328 + 224 + 6 \\ &= 7654_{10} \end{aligned}
$$

# *Decimal to Hex*

Divide the number by 16 and write down the remainder. Divide the integer portion of the previous result by 16 and write down the remainder. Continue this process until the division results in zero. Write the remainders in reverse order for the hex equivalent of the decimal number.

7654/16 = 478 with a remainder of 6  
\n478/16 = 29 with a remainder of 14 (
$$
14_{10} = E_{16}
$$
)  
\n29/16 = 1 with a remainder of 13 ( $13_{10} = D_{16}$ )  
\n1/16 = 0 with a remainder of 1

Write the remainders, in hex, in reverse order: 1DE6

## *Math with Binary and Hex Numbers*

Binary numbers (and their hex representations) can be added and subtracted just like decimal numbers. Where most people get into difficulty is in the carry process. When you add two decimal digits, say **9** and **7,** you get **16.** However, the process of doing this addition involves a carry:

 $9 + 7 = 6$  with a carry of 1. The 1 carries into the next, or tens, digit.

Similarly, binary numbers have carry properties:

$$
0 + 0 = 0
$$
, no carry  

$$
0 + 1 = 1
$$
, no carry  

$$
1 + 1 = 0
$$
, with a carry to the next binary position

So, if we add 9 and **7** in binary, it looks like this:

$$
9 = 10012
$$
  
+7 = 0111<sub>2</sub>

We start by adding the least significant digits:

 $1 + 1 = 0$ , with a carry into the next digit

Adding the next pair of digits (in the 2's position):

$$
0 + 1 + 1
$$
 (the carry from the last add) = 0 with a carry  
4's digit:  $0 + 1 + 1$  (carry) = 0 with a carry  
8's digit:  $1 + 0 + 1$  (carry) = 0 with a carry

This is illustrated **as** follows:

$$
(1 + 0 + 1(\text{carry}) = 0 \text{ wi})
$$
  
Carry: 1111  

$$
1001
$$
  

$$
\frac{+0111}{10000} = 16.
$$

We can add hex numbers the same way:

 $9 + 7 = 0$  with a carry of 1, or  $10_{16}$  or  $16_{10}$ 

6.

In hex, a carry occurs when the sum of *two digits exceeds*  $F_{16}$ . The following are a couple of examples:

Carry: 100  
\n
$$
0205_{16} = 517_{10}
$$
\n
$$
+0E07_{16} = 3591_{10}
$$
\n
$$
100C_{16} = 4108_{10}
$$
\nCarry: 111  
\n
$$
2678_{16} = 9848_{10}
$$
\n
$$
+AAAA_{16} = 43,690_{10}
$$
\n
$$
D122_{16} = 53,538_{10}
$$

#### *Negative Numbers and Computer Representation of Numbers*

In the examples so far, we've worked with 4bit values and added digits **as** needed when a result grew beyond that. In a computer, numbers are represented as a multiple of hex digits usually 2,4, or 8 digits. The number of digits is dependent on the word size of the computer (Most microprocessors can concatenate words to make bigger values, but that is unimportant for this discussion). *An* &bit machine will use 2 hex digits, a 16-bit machine will use **4**  digits, and a 32-bit machine will use 8 digits. So the value  $2A_{16}$  would be represented as follows:

8 bit: *2A*  16 bit: 002A 32 bit: 0000002A

This may seem like an insignificant point since all three numbers are the same. The only difference between them is the number of leading zeros in front of the significant digits. However, the word width is important when dealing with negative numbers.
Binary and hex numbers can be subtracted in the same way that decimal numbers are. However, in computer hardware, subtraction is difficult to accomplish. Negative numbers are difficult to store since there is no place for a minus sign. In a computer, subtraction is usually performed by *adding* a *negative* number. A negative number is indicated by having the most significant bit **as** 1. This is why the word width is important. On an &bit machine,  $80_{16}$  is not the same as  $0080_{16}$  on a 16-bit machine. On the 8-bit machine,  $80_{16}$  represents a negative value.

Negative numbers can be represented in *one's complement* or in *two's complement* form. A one's complement number is formed by complementing all the bits in the number:

> 0010 0111 1011 0101 =  $27B5_{16}$ one's complement = 1101 1000 0100 1010 =  $D84A_{16}$

Note that the most significant bit is set, indicating that this is a negative number. You can do math with one's complement numbers as follows:

> Hex:  $3010_{16} - 27B5_{16} = 3010_{16} + D84A_{16} = 1085A_{16} = 085A_{16} = 2138$ Decimal:  $12,304 - 10,165 = 2139$

Two notes about this: When we did the addition, the result was 1085A, but we threw away the leading 1, leaving a result of 085A. This is because we're working with a 16bit (4 hex digits) value. In a real 16-bit computer, any carry beyond 4 digits would be lost. The second thing to note is that the actual result we got,  $2138<sub>10</sub>$ , is one less than the right answer, 2139.

What happens if we do a subtraction and the result is negative? Let's use the same example, but subtract the larger number from the smaller one:

$$
27B5_{16} - 3010_{16} = 27B5_{16} + CFEF_{16} = F7A4_{16} = -85B_{16} = -2139_{10}
$$

Note that the result of the addition, F7A4, had the most significant bit set, so we know it was negative. Taking the one's complement of the result gives us the answer, 2139.

The rules for one's complement math are as follows:

- A number to be subtracted is made negative and added.
- To make a number negative, invert each bit in the number.
- Add the **two** numbers.
- Throw away any carry beyond the number of digits you're using.
- If the most significant bit (MSB) of the result is set, the result is negative.
- If the MSB of the result wasn't set, add one to the (positive) result.

Because we had to add 1 to the original result to get the right answer, why not make that part of the number we're subtracting? That is exactly what two's complement is. To make a two's complement number, invert each bit in the number and add 1.

Two's complement: 27B5, inverted = D84A. Add 1, result = D84B.

Now do that subtraction again in two's complement:

$$
3010_{16} - 27B5_{16} = 3010_{16} + D84B_{16} = 1085B_{16} = 085B_{16} = 2139_{10}
$$

Try the version that gives a negative result:

*Appendix B* 309

 $27B5_{16} - 3010_{16} = 27B5_{16} + CFF0_{16} = F7A5_{16} = -85A_{16}$ (Inverting  $3010_{16}$  produces CFEF<sub>16</sub> and adding 1 gives CFF $0_{16}$ )

Notice that the answer, F7A5, is correct since it is the two's complement of  $2139_{10}$ . So with two's complement, we don't have to add 1 to positive results. The result is always right, no matter what.

What happens if we add **two** negative numbers? Try this example:

$$
-1010_{16} - 2010_{16} = EFF0_{16} + DFF0_{16} = CFE0_{16}
$$

CFEO is the two's complement of 12320, which is the right answer. The rules for two's complement math are:

- To make either number negative, invert all the bits and then add 1.
- Add the **two** numbers.
- If the MSB is zero, the result is positive and correct.
- If the MSB is 1, the result is negative and correct in two's complement form.

#### *Overflow*

As already mentioned, math on a computer is limited to the word width in use. If you try to add 60,000 and 60,000, you get 120,000. On a l6bit computer, you'll get the following:

$$
60,000_{10} = EA60_{10}
$$
;  $EA60_{16} + EA60_{16} = 1D4C0_{16} = D4C0_{16}$ 

What happened to the 1 in the most significant position? It was dropped because this is a 16-bit ( $4$ -digit) system and we can't represent numbers larger than 65,535. In fact, this addition turned the **two** positive numbers into a negative number. A computer that thinks it is working in two's complement will interpret this result (D4CO) as a negative number, specifically  $-11072_{10}$ . This is called *overflow*.

A 16-bit word can represent values from 0 to 65,535 (FFFF<sub>16</sub>). However, if the most significant bit is used as a sign bit, then the same 16-bit word can only represent values from  $-32,768$  (8000<sub>16</sub>) to +32,767 (7FFF<sub>16</sub>). There are still 65,536 values, but half of them are negative. Note that the most negative value isn't FFFF<sub>16</sub>. The most negative value is 8000<sub>16</sub>. **FFFF**<sub>16</sub> is negative 1, and it's what you get if you start with 0000 and subtract 1. Try it.

When you do math on a computer, the hardware doesn't necessarily know that you are using two's complement. When the MSB is treated as a sign bit, the number is said to be a *signed* number. When the MSB is part of the number, you can't have negative values, and the number is called an *unsigned* number. Thus, if you want to add 30,000 and 30,000, you can treat the result  $(EA60<sub>16</sub>)$  as an unsigned, positive result  $(60,000<sub>10</sub>)$  or as a signed, negative number  $(-5536_{10}$  in two's complement).

Of course, with a wider word (32 or 64 bits), the range of values-both positive and negative-is much greater.

#### *Number Suffixes*

One final word about the hexadecimal number system involves the abbreviation K (for kilo). When you see the suffix K attached to a number in electronics or finance, it implies a multiplier of 1000. A 1K resistor, for example, is 1000 ohms, and lOOK dollars is \$100,000. However, in the computer world, K means "multiply by 1024." So a 16-bit-wide word can have 65,536 possible values, or 64K (65,536/1024 = 64).

A similar rule applies to the term meg, or million. A I-meg resistor is 1,000,000 ohms. In computer lingo, a meg is 1024 **x** 1024, or 1,048,576.

## *Floating Point*

A limitation on any integer number scheme, regardless of the number of bits, is the difficulty in representing fractional numbers such as 2.54 or 3.3. When we looked at decimal numbers at the beginning of this appendix, we saw that they increase in powers of 10 as you move from right to left across the digits. As you move to the *right* of the decimal point, decimal numbers increase in *negative* powers of 10:





So we can write a decimal number, such as 2.54, in binary and hex:

 $2\,54 = 010.100010100011_2 = 2.8A3_{16}$ 

Note that in binary and hex, the number is a repeating value. Just like  $1/3$  is a repeating decimal in base 10 (but not in base 3), some fractional numbers cannot be exactly converted between bases.

We could represent fractional binary numbers in a computer by defining a 16-bit number as ranging from zero to 4095 instead of zero to 65,535. 4096 values can be represented by the upper 12 bits of the l6bit word. This leaves the lower 4 bits available to represent fractional values. For instance, the hex value 1002 would be interpreted as 100.2, or  $100_{16} + 2 \times 16^{-1}$ , or 256.125 in decimal.

Such an arrangement makes calculations fairly easy and keeps everything in an integer format. However, the resolution of the fractional part of the number is limited, and there is a tradeoff between the accuracy of the fractional part and the maximum size of the number. The more bits that are allocated to the fractional part, the smaller the maximum number can be. The fewer bits allocated to the fractional part, the less precision we have to represent numbers with.

A better means of representing fractional values would emulate the decimal system that we are already familiar with. If you have 4 decimal digits, you can write ,0001, 10, or 1000. All these numbers use  $4$  digits, but the decimal point can move, or *float*, to represent

*Appendix B* **31** 1

different values. This is the concept behind floating-point numbers. A floating-point number is typically represented in a computer in this format (16 bits shown here):

s eeeeee fffffffff where s is a sign bit  $(0 = positive, 1 = negative)$ eeeeee is the exponent (6 bits) fffffffff is the mantissa (9 bits), always positive

We can represent all the eeeeee bits collectively as E. We can represent all the ffffffff bits collectively as F. Then the value of the number is given by:

 $-1^5 \times F \times 2^E$ 

Now we can represent any number within the range of the exponent **(-31** to +31). Note that to represent fractional values, we must be able to use a negative exponent. The expe nent is biased so that a value of zero (in this example) represents an exponent of  $-31$ . *An* exponent of all ones **(111111)** represents +31. In effect, you take the binary value of the exponent field and subtract **31** from it to get the actual exponent. If we were using a 7-bit exponent, we could represent values from **-63** to +63, and we would have a bias of **-63.** This allows representation of negative exponents without needing to resort to two's complement math.

For our example, a zero in the exponent field represents an exponent of **-31,** a value of 25 represents an exponent of -6 (25 - **31),** and a value of **44** represents an exponent of **13.**  Remember, these are exponents of 2, not of 10.

So using 9 bits for F, we can represent our 2.54<sub>10</sub> in fractional binary as:

#### **10.1000101000112** = **10.1000101** (truncate at **9** bits)

When working in bases other than decimal, the decimal point is called a *radix point.*  We shift the value to the right so we always have a number of the form 1.xxxx and add an exponent:

#### $1.01000100 \times 2^{-1}$

We always arrange binary numbers so that they take the form l.xxxx. Because this is the case, we can throw away the 1 and gain another bit of precision to the right of the radix point:

#### **.010001010**

This is read as  $(1 + 2^{-2} + 2^{-6})2^{1}$  or 2.53<sub>10</sub>. The leading 1 is implied.

The obvious question is: How can we guarantee that the number can always be represented by **1.xxxxx so** we can drop that leading l? If you think of scientific notation in decimal, you can represent any decimal number as d.ddddd  $\times$  10<sup>*m*</sup>, where *d* stands for any decimal digit and yy is an exponent (positive or negative) of 10. Even very small numbers can be represented this way by using a large negative exponent. The same rules apply to binary. The only difference is that, in decimal, we have no way of knowing what the digit to the left of the decimal point *is.* In binary, we know it has to be 1. What if the entire number is zero? We'll get to that later.

Now we can create a 16-bit floating-point number from our example value:



sign exponent mantissa, leading 1 implied

The general steps for converting a decimal number in the form xxx.yyy to floating-point format are:

Convert xxx (digits to the left of the decimal point) to binary (call it aaaa). Convert yyy (digits to right of decimal point) to fractional binary, call it bbb. Write as a fractional binary number:

aaa.bbbb

• Shift the number to the right, keeping track of the exponent, until there is a single 1 to the left of the radix point:

a.aabbb (6bit example shown, works for any size number)  $exponent = 2$  (because we shifted two positions right)

Drop the leading 1 and calculate the exponent using the bias of the exponent field. If the number is positive, make the sign bit 0. Otherwise the sign bit is 1.

The **IEEE** has developed a standard for representation of floating-point numbers. The **IEEE** format defines single and double precision values. The **IEEE** single-precision format uses 1 sign bit, 8 exponent bits, and 23 mantissa bits, for a total of 32 bits. The double precision standard uses 64 bits: 1 sign bit, 11 exponent bits, and 52 fractional bits. The single precision exponent can range from -127 to +127, and the double precision exponent can range from -1023 to +1023.

Finally, what do we do to indicate zero? Zero can't be represented by 1.xxxx. The **IEEE**  standard defines zero as being represented when the exponent and mantissa are both zero. The sign bit can be either.

The **IEEE** standard also reserves the maximum exponent value (FF for single precision, 7FF for double precision) to indicate an overflow condition-numbers that are either too small or too large to be represented.

# *Appendix C Digital Logic Review*

This appendix reviews digital logic concepts. The review will not be comprehensive but will address those portions of the topic that are needed in the book. The concepts presented here refer to basic digital logic gates and functions, even though those functions usually are implemented in some **type** of programmable or configurable logic in modern designs.

The basic concept behind digital logic is ones and zeros. **A** digital logic signal is either one or zero, high or low, on or **off.** The high/low, on/off state may be defined in different ways. For TTL logic, high is anything over about 2.4V, while a low is anything below 0.8V. In between is an undefined region where the signal should never be.

For CMOS logic operating at 5V, the high/low cutoff is about  $2.5V$ —anything higher is considered "high"; anything lower is considered "low." An RS-232 signal, like the ones that come from the COM ports on a PC, swing both positive and negative. The high state **is**  anything above +3V and the low state is anything below -3V. **A** current-loop interface, like the MIDI signals that connect music synthesizers, defines *hzgh* as the absence of current flow in a pair of wires, and *low* as the presence of current flow.

Differential logic is unique in that the high/low state can be defined with only *two* signals. If one is at a higher voltage than the other, the resulting state **is** "high." If the *two* are reversed, the result is "low." **If** both are the same, the signal state is undefined.

Sometimes digital signals are described **as** true or active and false or inactive. In this case, the true/active and false/inactive states may be defined as either high or low. When working with microprocessors, it is quite common to find signals that are true or active in the low state.

**A** signal that is high usually is capable of driving (sourcing) some current into whatever is connected to it. **A** signal that is low usually is drawing, or sinking, current from the connected device. Typical digital logic circuits cannot sink current when in the high state or source current in the low state. In some cases, such as CMOS logic, the impedance of the receivers is very high and the amount of current is insignificant except when the signal **is**  changing states. However, the sourcing-while-high and sinking-while-low restriction still applies to the driving device, even if the receiving devices are neither using nor providing current. If two outputs are connected together and one is low while the other **is** high, the output is indeterminate. In real logic, the low output usually wins, but the voltage is not guaranteed to be a valid logic state. Whichever output wins, both will have considerable current flowing through them, and one or both often is damaged if the condition persists.

Connecting outputs in this way is not considered a valid design practice. When this condition occurs it is called *output contention* or *bus contention*. The term *output contention* usually refers to a single signal, and *bus* contention refers to a group of signals, such **as** a micre processor data bus.

Some digital devices can sink current in the low state but do not source current in the high state. These usually are the same as their current-sourcing siblings but without the transistor in the output stage that sources current. If the logic is a bipolar family, such **as**  TTL, these outputs are referred to **as** open-collector. If the logic family is CMOS, these outputs are called open-drain. Open-collector/-drain outputs are designed to be tied together. If one output goes low while the other is high, no damage will occur since the high output does not source current. Open-collector/drain signals normally are pulled high with a resistor so that the signal will be in a valid high state when none of the outputs is driving it low.

### *Basic Logic Functions*

#### *Simple Gates*

Figure C.1 shows some simple logic gates. The simplest digital logic gate is the inverter. **An**  inverter inverts whatever is applied to the input. If a 1 is applied to the input, a zero appears at the output and vice-versa. Note the "bubble" at the output of the inverter. This indicates that the signal is inverted. If no bubble were present, this symbol would indicate buffer, not an inverter, and the output would follow the input.

The AND gate is another logic function. It has two or more inputs. If both inputs are high, the output is high (A *and B*). If *either* input is low, the output is low. Although the figure shows a tweinput gate, the AND gate can have many inputs. However many inputs it has, the logic works the same way; all inputs must be high for the output to be high. If any input is low, the output is low.

The OR gate also has two inputs, but the output of an OR gate is high if either input is high (A  $\sigma$ B). The output is low only if both inputs are low. Like the AND gate, the OR gate can have many inputs. *As* long **as** one input is high, the output will be high.

Variations on the AND and OR gates are NAND and NOR gates. The NAND gate is an AND gate but with the output inverted. If any input is low, the output is high; if all inputs are high, the output is low. The NOR gate is an OR gate with the output inverted. If any input is high, the output is low; if all inputs are low, the output is high. Like AND and OR gates, NAND and NOR gates can have more than two inputs.

## *Don't Care*

Sometimes in digital logic, the *don't* cure state is a valuable designation. The don't care state, usually designated by *X* indicates that the state of the signal does not matter-it will not affect the output. With the AND gate shown in Figure C.1, input B is a don't care state **as**  long **as** input A is low (see table on page 318):



Appendix C

317



**X** = **don't care** 

You can see that the logic table is the same for both cases. As long as A is low, the output is low, regardless of what state B is in. Similarly, as long as B is low, the output will be low, regardless of A. What this illustrates is an inhibit capability-if input A is a signal that constantly switches between high and low, we can control whether the signal appears at the output by controlling input B. While B is high, the output follows A. While B is low, the output is low.

A similar don't care table can be created for the OR gate:



**X** = **don't care** 

In this case, holding B high forces the output high, and taking B low allows the output to follow A. All we did here was call 0 false and 1 true. These tables are the same as the original logic tables for the AND and OR functions.

### *Negative Logic*

Logic functions such as AND, NAND, and OR also can be used in an inverting configuration, where a true is 0 and false is 1. This typically is indicated with inversion bubbles at the input and output, as shown in Figure C.l. The logic of the invert-AND gate would be like this:

If A is low AND B is low, the output is low.

If either A OR B is high, the output is high.

Note that this is the same as the logic for the original OR gate. Negative logic reverses the function of the gates. A low/true AND function is implemented with an OR gate, and the low/true OR function is implemented with an AND gate.

## *Tristate*

One more basic logic function needs to be described: *tristute.* In the tristated (sometimes called *high-impedance*) condition, the driver does not drive the signal--it neither sinks nor sources current. The voltage floats to some unknown level, or if the signal is pulled up with a resistor, the signal will go to a high state. A tristate output has three states: high, low, and tristated.

Tristate is essential to microprocessor designs. A typical microprocessor will have a common group of 8, 16, 32, or 64 signals for reading and writing data. When signals are grouped this way, they are referred to collectively as a *bus.* When the processor wants to write data, it drives the data bus with the data it wants to write, and all other devices connected to the bus are expected to tristate their drivers so there is no conflict. When the microprocessor wants to read data from the bus, it tristates its own signals, and the device that it wants to read from is expected to drive the bus with the requested data. Tristate signals allow many digital outputs to be tied together, but the basic rule still applies—only one device at a time can drive the signal.

Tristate devices come in two flavors: unidirectional and bidirectional. A unidirectional device can send data in only one direction—to the output. The output can be either high, low, or tristated, but it is never an input. The outputs of a bidirectional device can also be tristated, but they double as inputs, allowing data back into the device. Again, when the outputs of a bidirectional device are tristated, they also act as inputs and can receive signals from another device that is driving the shared signal. Microprocessor data buses always are bidirectional since they are used for both reading and writing. Most microprocessor peripheral integrated circuits (ICs) are bidirectional as well.

A common use of bidirectional ICs in microprocessor circuits is as bus buffers. A microprocessor data bus will be connected to one side of a bidirectional driver IC (called a transceiver). Call that side A. Some other device will be connected to the other side of the transceiver, side B. When the transceiver is off, it drives neither bus. When side A (connected to the microprocessor) is enabled, the signals on side B appear on the microprocessor data bus and the microprocessor can read them. When the side B outputs are enabled, data from the microprocessor bus is passed to side B. Transceivers typically are available in *8-* or 16bit widths to accommodate common microprocessor buses.

### *True/False Notation*

*As* already mentioned, we can define the input and output as true and false instead of high and low. If we do this with the basic AND and OR gates, we get the following (table on page 320) :



#### *Multiplexers*

In microprocessor designs, multiplexers are normally used for address decoding. *As*  shown in Figure C.l, a multiplexer has multiple outputs, but only one at a time is active. Multiplexers normally have low-true outputs like the example in the figure, and they usually have an enable line that makes all the outputs false. The multiplexer in Figure **C.1** has four outputs, selected with **two** inputs (A and B). Multiplexers are also available with eight outputs and three select inputs. Of course, if a multiplexer function is implemented in a programmable logic device (PLD) or other configurable logic device, it may have any number of outputs with any polarity (even mixed) and the enable may not be required.

### **Set/Reset Flip-Flop**

These are *stmu@* devices. A flipflop remembers its state. **A** typical flip-flop will have **two**  inputs, **set** and reset, and an output, Q. When set goes low, Q goes high. Q then stays high regardless of which state the set input goes to. Q does not go low until the reset input goes low. Q then stays low until set goes low again. Flip-flops can be constructed with high/true or low/true inputs and inverted or noninverted outputs.

Figure C.2 shows the logic symbol and timing diagram for a set/reset flipflop. As indicated, this type of flipflop can be built using a pair of NAND gates. Only one output is shown in the figure, but the output of the other NAND gate also can be used and will be an inverting output. A pair of NOR gates wired the same way **as** Figure C.2 also will function **as**  a flip-flop, but the inputs will be high/true instead of low/true.

So what happens if both inputs to a NAND flip-flop go low at the same time? If you look at the logic, both NAND gates have one input low, so both outputs will go high. However, this condition is not latched, and when one input goes back high, the corresponding output will go back low. If both of the inputs go high at the same time, the final state of the output will be indeterminate. A similar result occurs in a NOR flip-flop; if **both** inputs are taken high, **both** outputs go low.

#### *Registers and Latches*

Microprocessor circuits invariably require some kind of registered logic. This often is embedded in the peripheral ICs connected to the processor. However, often some form of



**Figure C.2 SeVReset Flip-Flop.** 

registered logic must be included in a microprocessor circuit to latch an address or function as a latched output port.

Several types of registers and latches exist, but the types most commonly used in microprocessor circuits are D-type latches and D-type registers. A D-type device passes the data input to the output. The output may be noninverted, in which case the output will follow the input, or it may be inverted, in which case the output is the inverse of the input. In either case, the device exhibits storage, like a flip-flop. The output will "remember" what state it was in, even when the input goes away. Thus, if the input sent the output to a 1, the output will stay a 1 even when the input changes state.

The control over what the output does is performed with **a** latch or clock input. A D-type registered device has a clock input and will transfer the input to the output (called *capturing)* on the rising edge of the clock. When the clock is in any other state (low, high, or falling), the output does not change state, regardless of input changes. It is possible to build a D-type device that captures on the *fulling* edge of the clock, but these are not commonly used.

What happens if the input is changing while the clock is rising? This results in a *race condition,* and the output will be indeterminate. Actual devices have a minimum setup time, measured in nanoseconds, that the input must be stable at before the clock changes to guarantee a valid output.

A latched device has a latch input (commonly called G), and it will pass the input to the output **as** long as the latch is high. This is called the transparent mode. **Any** changes on the inputs will be reflected at the output while the latch input is high. When the latch goes low, the input is captured. The output does not change as long as the latch remains low. D-type latches typically are used to capture the address on a multiplexed microprocessor data bus. Like the registered device, the latched device requires that the data be stable for some





number of nanoseconds before the latch goes low. If this requirement is not met, the output will be indeterminate.

Figure C.3 shows the timing characteristics of the **two** types of latches. Registers and latches commonly are packaged in 8- or 16-bit versions to match microprocessor data buses. When packaged this way, all the latches or registers in the package are clocked to a common clock or latch pin.

Latches and registers also are available with tristate outputs, where a common output enable pin enables all the outputs in the package. There are even devices that combine a transceiver and latch into a single package, making a bidirectional, latched (or registered) transceiver.

# *Appendix D Basic Microprocessor Concepts*

A microprocessor is a compact computer. Early microprocessors were much simpler than the typical minicomputers and mainframes of the day, but many modern microprocessors are more complex and powerful than computers of that era. Dozens of different microprocessors are available from many manufacturers, and they **vary** in speed, power, size, and capability. Regardless of the complexity, though, the basic architecture at the heart of all microprocessors is the same.

#### A Simple Microprocessor

The core of a microprocessor is the *arithmetic logic* unit (ALU). The ALU takes in **two** values and produces a result. The result can be the sum of the **two** input values, the difference, a logical result (ANDing or ORing all the bits together), or some other operation. Which function is performed is determined by control inputs to the ALU. Figure D.l shows a simple ALU that operates on **two** inputs, X and Y, producing a result. The inputs and the output can be any number of bits, **1,4,** 8, or 16.

This ALU can perform four functions: addition, negation, logical AND, and logical OR. Addition is a simple, binary, mathematical addition. Negation inverts all the bits in the input variable, making zeros into ones and ones into zeros. The AND function ANDs the bits of the **two** variables, making any given output bit one only if both corresponding input bits are ones and zero otherwise. The OR function performs a bitwise OR, making the output bits one if either of the corresponding input bits is one, and zero only if both inputs are *0.* These operations are illustrated next with 4bit values:

Variable A: 1001 Variable B: 1100 A ORed with B: 1101 A ANDed with B: 1000 A negated: 01 10 B negated: 0011

Where do the numbers come from? Figure D.2 shows an expansion of the ALU concept, adding **two** banks of four registers each. Each of the eight registers has the same number of



#### **Figure D.l Simple ALU.**



## **Figure D.2**

**ALU with Register Banks.** 

bits as the inputs and output of the ALU. Now the ALU can get data from four registers on each side. It can add register YO to register 23 or AND Y2 with Z1. Two control inputs to each bank of registers allow selection of any register in the bank. If we were building this with discrete logic, each register would consist of an 8-bit D-type register with tristate outputs. All the DO bits would be connected together, all of the D1 bits connected together, and so on. To read any of the registers, the output enable would be driven low to place the register contents on the ALU input (but only one register at a time in each bank). However, what do we do with the output of the ALU? And how does data get put into the Y and Z register banks?

Figure D.3 shows an additional connection; the output of the ALU is connected to the inputs of the register banks. Now the ALU can add the contents of **two** registers and store the result back into one of the registers in either bank. Of course, to make this work, each register will need a clock. Figure D.3 shows a timing diagram **of** how such a system might work. As you can see, the register select inputs go to some value to select one register in the Y bank and one in the Z bank. The outputs of the selected registers are applied to the inputs of the ALU.



### **Figure D.3**

**ALU with Connection to Register Inputs.** 

At the same time as the register select signals go active, the ALU control signals go active to select which ALU function will be performed. After some propagation delay through the ALU, the output reflects the result of the selected operation. Some time after that, a clock signal clocks the result into one of the registers in the bank. Only one register at a time gets a clock.

## *Control Store*

So far, we have left out any discussion of where the control signals come from. Figure D.4 shows the addition of timing logic and a control *store.* The control store contains a sequential list of "instructions" that our simple computer operates on. In this simple system, the control store could have a bit assigned to each function. This would require **two** bits each for the ALU control bits and the register select for each bank. Three additional bits would be needed to select into which of the eight registers the result is to be clocked. The control store is like the Y and Z register banks in that it contains data and the input determines which register contents will be applied to the output. The difference is that the control store cannot be written to, only read from.

## *Addressable Memory*

The control store is one type of addressable memory. *An* addressable memory has an input and an output. The input is a binary number, and the output is a different binary number.



**Figure D.4** 

**Addition of a Control Store.** 

You can think of addressable memory as being like a row of apartments. Somebody named Tom lives in apartment number 1. Frank lives in apartment number 2, and Zoe lives in apartment number 3. If you stand at the end of the hall and shout for whoever lives in apartment 1 to come out, Tom will step into the hall. If you shout for the person in apartment 3 to come out, you will see Zoe.

Now suppose that the people in the apartments have numbers instead of names. Tom is 117, Frank is 145, and Zoe is 4567. Now if you shout for the person in apartment 1 to come out, number 117 will appear. Note that our hypothetical apartment complex can have only one person living in each apartment.

The apartment number in this simple example is equivalent to the address that is input to **an** addressable memory. Each location (apartment) in the memory has a number (person) stored there. When the address of a location is applied to the input of the memory, the number stored in that location appears at the output.

The numbers in the memory need not be unique. Just as you can have two Toms living in the same apartment complex, you can have multiple instances of the same number in different locations of **an** addressable memory.

One difference between apartment numbers and memory locations is zero-based addressing. Apartment and house numbers do not start with zero (although they could), but memory locations do. Remember that the input to an addressable memory is a binary number, and all zeros is **as** good a binary number **as** any other. In a microprocessor system, all the addresses are used, including zero.

The address and output need not be the same number of bits. For example, an address able memory may have a 10-bit address (1024 locations) and **an** &bit output (256 possible values). Real addressable memories have other inputs in addition to the address. We'll look at those later. The concept of addressable memory is key to understanding how microprocessors work.

### *Timing Logic*

The timing logic will not be examined in detail. It just makes sure that things happen at the right time, such **as** waiting until the **ALU** outputs are stable before clocking them into one of the registers.

## *Program Counter*

The control store is driven by a *progrum* counter. This is just a binary counter that counts from zero to however large the control store is. If the control store holds four instructions, the program counter needs to be 2 bits wide. If the control store holds 1024 instructions, the program counter needs to be 10 bits wide. The program counter is incremented each time an instruction is executed in order to select the next instruction in the control store.

### *Opcodes*

Say that the control store is 9 bits wide and we define the bits like this:

Bits 0, 1: select ALU function (00 = addition,  $01$  = negation,  $10 = AND$ ,  $11 = OR$ ). Bits 2, 3: Select Z-register  $(00 = Z0, 01 = Z1, 10 = Z2, 11 = Z3)$ Bits 4, 5: Select Y-register  $(00 = Y0, 01 = Y1, 10 = Y2, 11 = Y3)$ Bits 6, 7, 8: Select which register the result will be clocked into:  $000 = Z0$ ,  $001 = Z1$ ,  $010 = Z2$ ,  $011 = Z3$ ,  $100 = Y0$ ,  $101 = Y1$ ,  $110 = Y2$ ,  $111 = Y3$ 

The different combinations of bits that tell the machine what to do are called *opcodes.* Now, say we want to write a program that will execute the following **two** operations:

Add Y1 to 22, putting the result in Y2

AND Y2 with 23, putting the result in Y3

The control store would contain the following words, based on the preceding bit definitions:



The program counter starts at zero (remember, zerebased addressing), and the output of the control store causes the first operation to be executed. Then the program counter increments to one and the second operation is executed.

### *Branching*

Now we can write a program, up to the length of the control store, to do any operation that our simple machine is capable of. But what happens when we get to the end of the program? To handle this, we can expand our control store from 9 to 20 bits, as shown in Figure D.5.

Of the added 11 bits, 10 wrap back around to the program counter as inputs, and one is a branch control bit. When the branch control bit is 0, the program counter increments, just as it did before. But when the branch control bit is 1, the program counter is loaded



## Figure D.5 ALU with Branching Capability.

with the 10 branch address bits from the control store. Now we can write a program that loops:



After the first instruction is executed, the branch control bit is 0, so the program counter increments to location 1. However, after the second instruction, the branch control bit is 1, so the program counter does not increment. Instead, the branch address value, 0, is loaded into the program counter and the next instruction comes from that location. Our simple machine now will loop forever, executing these **two** operations.

## *Immediate Data*

Note that when the machine is not branching, the bits in the control store that contain the branch address are not used and the value does not matter. If we added more control bits, we could have an instruction that did not use the ALU but instead clocked 8 bits of the branch address value into one of the registers. Now we have an *immediate* data instruction that can initialize the registers directly from the control store.

# *Conditional Branching*

We can expand this concept by adding more branch control bits. Two bits would let us have four branch options, such as not branch, branch always, branch if all the ALU outputs are zero, or branch if the result of an addition overflowed. Of course, we would need additional logic to detect the zero and overflow conditions.

## *output*

We are almost finished with this example, but there is one more step. We have a machine that can execute up to 1024 instructions (10-bit program counter), but what do we do with the results? Figure D.6 shows a final addition to the machine that adds a simple output scheme. This change adds a bank of four output registers. If the ALU has &bit inputs and outputs, this bank of registers provides  $8 \times 4$  or 32 bits of output. The outputs from the timing logic that control clocking into the Y and Z registers are expanded to add clocks to the control register. We can control the added outputs by making the %bit field that controls which Y or Z register gets the ALU output into a 4bit field. The control store bit definition now looks like this:

Bits  $0, 1$ : Select ALU function  $(00 = addition, 01 = negation, 10 = AND, 11 = OR)$ 

Bits 2, 3: Select Z-register (00 = ZO, 01 = 21, **10** = 22, 11 = 23)

Bits **4,** *5:* Select Y-register **(00** =YO, 01 = Y1, 10 = Y2, 11 = *Y3)* 

Bits 6, **7,** 8, 9: Select which register the result will be clocked into:

 $0000 = Z0$ ,  $0001 = Z1$ ,  $0010 = Z2$ ,  $0011 = Z3$  $0100 = Y0$ ,  $0101 = Y1$ ,  $0110 = Y2$ ,  $0111 = Y3$ 1000 = ORO, 1001 = OR1, 1010 = OR2, 1011 = **OR3** 

Plus the 10 branch address bits and one branch control bit.

In a similar way, we could expand the bit fields that select the Y or Z inputs to the ALU **so** that we could enable one or more &bit tristate buffers instead of the internal registers. This would give the machine the ability to input information from the outside world.

Now we have a complete, although very simple, microprocessor. A real microprocessor works much the same way, but it includes the following improvements:

- Much more complex, capable ALU functionality. This typically includes more logic functions such as exclusive OR, logical shifts, and other capabilities.
- **A** larger program counter, 16 to 64 bits wide. (However, some microcontrollers with small internal **PROMS** may only have a 10- or 12-bit program counter, like our example.)
- More complex branching conditions. These might include branching on overflow, branching on ALU carry, branching on some input bit being 1 or **0,** and **so** on.
- More complex control store definitions. Our simple machine used a fixed-control-bit definition. For example, bits 2 and 3 always define which **Z** register will be used. A real microprocessor might have instructions that do not use some registers. An immediate instruction might load data directly from **the** control store to one of the registers. None of the bits that select which register drives the ALU is needed, nor are the ALU control bits needed. *So,* for those instructions, these bit definitions would change. **A** branch instruction might use the Z-register bits to determine what branch condition to test for (carry, no carry, zero, nonzero, and **so** on). We looked at a simple case of this, with the possibility of allowing the control store branch address field to double as a data value for nonbranching instructions. Making the control bits perform different functions for different instructions complicates the timing and control logic but allows the control store word to be implemented with fewer bits.



DOUTEUT



- A microcontroller may have an internal program store, like our example, but many processors provide the program counter outputs on an external address bus so the control store can be outside of the microprocessor IC.
- In addition to internal registers, a real microprocessor typically has a means to produce an address that allows an external register bank, or memory, to be accessed. This address bus usually is shared with the program counter address bus. Our simple example could simulate this by defining an output register as an address register and another output register **as** a data register. The machine would write a value to the address register, then write the desired data to a data register. A data register clock also would have to be provided, so the external memory knows when a write has occurred. If the output registers are 8 bits wide, this would permit access to an external memory of *256* locations. A real microprocessor typically can access a much larger external memory and allows the address to be part of the instruction. This is called an *immediate* address, similar to the immediate data field we looked at earlier. In our simple machine, this probably would be the part of the control store bits that hold the branch address. Obviously, in this case, a branch instruction could not be an immediate instruction and vice versa. It is up to the external memory device to decode the &bit address and determine to which specific register (or location) to write.
- A real microprocessor often can perform *indirect* operations, where the address of external memory or the external control store is derived from an internal register. These registers often are incremented or decremented automatically **as** part of the instruction.
- The ability to branch to an address contained in a register. In our simple machine, this would require another path from one of the register banks back to the program counter inputs.
- The ability to link **two** registers together for some operations. Two &bit registers may be linked to make a 16-bit-wide memory address register. Typically, increment and decrement operations operate on the register **as** a single l6bit value.

More complex microprocessors have other, more sophisticated features, but this covers the basic components that **go** into a modern microprocessor or microcontroller.

## *A More Complex Microprocessor*

Figure D.7 shows a block diagram of another, more complex, microprocessor that incorporates some of the preceding features. In this diagram, we have a microprocessor integrated circuit (IC) that contains an ALU, register bank, accumulator register, timing logic, instruction register, indirect address register, address mux, data mux, and program counter. Outside the microprocessor itself we have two devices: an external control store and an external memory.

The ALU is like the ALU in our simple machine. It performs arithmetic and logical functions on the values at the inputs. This is a 16-bit machine, with a 16-bit-wide ALU. The output of the ALU drives a register bank with four registers. Results of ALU operations can be clocked into any register of the bank, and any register in the bank can be used **as** one **of**  the operands in ALU operations.



#### **Figure D.7**

**A More Complex Microprocessor.** 

The other ALU operand always comes from the accumulator register. This is a single **16**  bit-wide register. The accumulator-based model is common in many simple microprocessor designs. Typically, other registers are in the microprocessor, but the accumulator will be the only one that **can** be directly tested for zero or parity or some other logical condition. In many microprocessors, the accumulator is the only register on which some operations can be performed, such **as** increment and decrement. Other microprocessors allow nearly any operation to be performed on almost any register.

The timing logic gets information from the instruction register and controls the timing of the other blocks. This includes loading and reading the registers, incrementing and loading the program counter, and selecting the address mux source.

The instruction register receives information from the external control store and memory. Instructions **as** well as data are stored here.

The indirect address register was not in the simple processor we looked at earlier. The indirect address register is a register that can be loaded with the results of an ALU operation. The output of the indirect address register drives one of the address mux inputs.

The address mux is a device with three 16-bit input buses, one output bus, and control inputs. The address mux is controlled by the timing logic and can place the program counter contents, the indirect register contents, or the instruction register contents onto the 16-bit external address bus.

The data out register just captures the contents of the **ALU** result bus to drive the external data bus for external write operations. This allows data to be written to the external memory.

Finally, the program counter is just like the program counter in the simple microprocessor, but it has the ability to be loaded from the ALU result bus.

This microprocessor has three external connections: a 16-bit address bus, a 16-bit data bus, and a control bus that consists of select signals for the external control store and the external memory. On a typical microprocessor, the individual address lines would be **A0**  through A15 and the data lines would be DO through D15. Note that the address bus is output only, but the data bus can both send data from the microprocessor and receive data from the external devices.

The external control store is just like the control store in the simple system, but it is outside of the microprocessor chip. The external memory is readable and writable. The control bus consists of two signals, /READ and /WRITE (the slash, /, indicates that the signals are true when low).

Figure D.7 also shows a timing diagram of how this microprocessor accesses the two external devices. Say that the program counter (PC on the diagram) starts out at location 0001. The timing logic, knowing that an instruction needs to be fetched, sets the address mux to place the contents of the program counter on the external address bus. After some setup time, the /READ signal is driven low, also controlled by the timing logic.

When the address was placed on the bus, the control store recognized that it was being selected. For simplicity, say that the control store recognizes any address from 0000 to 7FFFh, and the memory recognizes any address from 8000h to FFFFh. For now, ignore how the **two**  devices know to which address to respond. When the /READ signal goes low, the control store places the contents of location 0001 onto the external data bus, and the data are clocked into the instruction register at the end of the bus cycle. The program counter also is incremented so it now contains 0002.

Say that this instruction opcode tells the processor to get the 16-bit word of memory pointed to by the indirect address register (IAR) and load it into one of the registers in the register bank. The timing logic decodes the 16-bit value in the instruction register (the one loaded from address 0001 in the control store) and initiates this operation. First, the address mux is configured to pass the contents of the IAR register onto the external address bus. Say this address is A105h. The external memory recognizes this address so when /READ goes low, the memory places the contents of A105h onto the data bus. At the end of the bus cycle, the data is clocked into the instruction register. Now the next location in the program counter is passed to the bus and the contents of that location in the control store are clocked into the instruction register. At the same time, the contents of the instruction register, which was loaded from memory on the previous bus cycle, are clocked into whatever destination register they are supposed to go to.

This simple example is very similar to **a** real microprocessor. A few things are worth noting:

- First, the external address bus is **16** bits, so it can access **65,536 (64K)** locations. In our example, the control store uses half and the memory uses half, so each has **32,768** locations. However, there is no reason the control store could not be 48K in size and the memory **16K or** vice versa.
- The control store and the memory are identical except that the memory can be written to as well **as** read from. This means we could use one device to do both functions **as** long **as** we do not overwrite the area where the instructions are stored. Instead of a **32K** control store and a **32K** memory, we could use a **64K** memory with the instructions stored in the lower half and data stored in the upper half. In fact, this is what many systems do, including the **PC** you probably have on your desk. The **PC** has a small amount of memory that can only be read (like our control store) and a huge amount of memory that can be both read and written. The read-only memory is used to start everything, and then everything the computer needs to run is loaded from the disk drive and stored in the read/write memory.
- The external data bus can be either the contents of the IAR register, the contents of the program counter, or the contents of the instruction register. This implies that we can perform only one operation at a time (get an instruction, get data, write data, and so on). It is possible to build a microprocessor with multiple address buses that can perform more than one kind of bus cycle at a time to different storage devices.
- Although we did not walk through an example, the program counter can be loaded from the ALU output or from the instruction register. Thus, we could add two numbers and make the sum the address of the next instruction we execute. Or, we could have an instruction that is followed by a data byte, and the data byte is the new starting point for the program counter. This gives the microprocessor branching capability like the simpler machine we looked at earlier. We even could have an instruction that uses the contents of the IAR to get a data value that is the address of the next instruction. This would be **an**  indirect branch instruction.
- The contents of the instruction register can be placed on the ALU bus and loaded into one of the registers **or** the program counter. This implies the ability to tristate the outputs of the ALU. In a real microprocessor, the tristate function probably would be performed by a multiplexer like the address mux, because tristating buses inside the chip requires more logic. But the effect is the same.
- The second bus cycle used the contents of the IAR to address the external memory device. If the IAR had held a value between OOOOh and *7FFFh,* the control store would have been selected instead, and we would have read the data from there. *So* we could dedicate a portion of the control store to a table of data. This data could be almost anything that is constant, such as a degrees-to-sine conversion table or a table of atmospheric pressure versus altitude.

The timing logic is a complex digital system. It controls the following functions:

- Decoding the opcode in the instruction register.
- Selecting which source will be passed to the external address bus (based on the opcode) .
- Timing the external /READ and /WRITE signals and determining (based on the opcode) whether the external bus cycle will be a read or write cycle.
- Remembering whether the contents of the instruction register are an opcode or data that need to be put someplace.
- Determining (based on the opcode) which register in the register bank will provide an input to the ALU and which register will be clocked with the data on the ALU result bus at the end of the instruction (accumulator, register bank, IAR, or PC) .
- Determining (based on the opcode) which ALU operation will be performed.
- Incrementing and loading the program counter.

Finally, we look at the issue of how the **two** external devices knew to what addresses to respond. Real memories have read and (for writable memories) write inputs. They also have a signal that selects the memory. This signal can be generated by logic that decodes the address bus. In a simple system like this, the memories could just use the highest address bit (A15), as there are only two devices. The control store would respond when A15 is low, and the read/write memory would respond when A15 is high. In a more complex system, additional gating logic decodes the address bus and generates select signals for all the external devices.

#### *Addressing Modes*

Here we consolidate the various methods used to address memory in a microprocessor system, including those we already have looked at. Figure D.8 illustrates these addressing modes. For this section, we assume we have a simple microprocessor like the one in Figure D.7, with a l6bit data path and **64K** memory space. We look at the effects of various addressing and branching modes on the processor program counter (PC in the diagram) and on **two** internal registers, RO and *JAR.* 

In the example shown in Figure D.8, immediate data follows the instruction opcode in memory. Instructions that need no additional data are followed by another opcode. It is up to the microprocessor timing logic, which decodes the opcode, to remember that the following byte is data and not another opcode. For these examples, we do not worry about what the specific opcode values are, just what the opcodes do.

### *Direct Addressing*

In direct addressing, the instruction contains the information that will be used. In the example, the instruction opcode is followed by a data value that is loaded into the IAR. In this example, the opcode (at location 0000) says, "Load the immediate data value (following the opcode) into register IAR." The data value following the opcode (0010) is loaded into the IAR.



Appendix D

338

## *Indirect Addressing*

Indirect addressing uses a register to point to the data. Continuing with our example, the processor executes the instruction at location 0002. This is an indirect instruction that says, "Put the value addressed (pointed to) by IAR into register RO." Since IAR contains 0010 and location  $0010$  contains 12AB, we end up with the value 12AB in register R0.

# *Direct Branching*

Direct branching, like direct addressing, includes the destination address (new PC value) as part of the instruction. Our example system continues, executing the instruction at location 0003. This is a direct branch instruction that says, "Start executing at the location pointed to by the data value following the opcode." Because this data value is 0008, the processor loads the PC with 0008 and continues on.

## *Indirect Branching*

Again, like indirect addressing, indirect branching takes the destination address from a register. In our example, the processor executes the instruction at location 0008, which loads the IAR with a new value (0015). The instruction at location OOOA says, "Start executing at the location addressed by IAR." Because IAR contains 0015, the next instruction is fetched from there.

## *Indexed Addressing*

Indexed addressing uses two values to access a location. In the example, register RO is loaded with 0004 by the instruction at address 0012/0013. IAR already contains 0012 from the indirect branch instruction just executed. The instruction at 0014 is **an** indexed instruction that says, "Load R0 with the value addressed by  $[IAR + R0]$ ." Since  $IAR + R0 = 0012 + 0004 =$ 0016, the value from 0016 (2AC7) is loaded into RO. Note that we loaded the value into one of the registers used to calculate the address; we could have loaded it into another register in the processor. Some microprocessors support an indexeddirect instruction where one of the two index parameters is immediate data in the instruction.

# *Indexed Branching*

Although not shown in the example, indexed branching works the same way as indexed addressing, where a pair of registers are added to generate the destination address. A special case of indexed direct branching commonly is used in microprocessors where a direct data value is used as an index from the program counter. The direct data value usually is a signed 8- or 16-bit number, allowing branching of  $\pm 127$  (8-bit) or  $\pm 32K$  (16-bit) locations. For example, if the program counter is 12BC, a branch instruction that contains an %bit value of 06 would cause a branch to  $12C2 (12BC + 06)$ . On some microprocessors, this is the only kind of conditional branch available, and only unconditional branches have the ability to reach the entire address range of the CPU.

#### *The Real World*

Real microprocessors range from fairly simple devices, like this example system, to much more complex devices. Further enhancements that you might see on a real processor include:

- More registers. Some might have special functions, such **as** a stack pointer (discussed elsewhere in this book).
- Independent bus interface and execution units (the Intel x86 family has this). This permits the bus **to** fetch a new instruction while an old one is executing, improving overall performance.
- Internal peripheral devices such **as** timers.
- Interrupt capability, where an external event can temporarily redirect program execution.
- Capability for another processor to control the bus, allowing multiple processors to share a single bus.

## *Code Formats*

Getting instructions into the microprocessor means storing them in the control store memory in some way. The code (that is, the ones and zeros that get loaded into memory for the microprocessor to execute) is called *machine co&.* Of course, writing programs in machine code would be very tedious. Every branch address would have to be calculated by hand, and if you needed to insert an instruction between two existing instructions, you would have to recalculate all the addresses.

The next level up from machine code is *assembly.* Assembly code replaces the machine code with simple statements that are translated directly into machine code by assembler software. There is one assembly statement per microprocessor instruction.

The assembler allows branches to be defined with *labels* (names), and the assembler calculates branch addresses. Assembler statements usually are abbreviations of the instruction functions.

A machine instruction that moves data between two registers, R1 and **R2,** might use an assembler statement like this:

MOV **R1,** R2 (MOVe **R1** to R2)

A statement that moves an immediate value **of** 23 into register R1 might look like this:

MVI **R1, 23** (Move Immediate value **23** to **R1)** 

A branch instruction might look like this:

JMP label (JUMP to address of label)

*To* insert a new line of code, you must edit the *sourcejile,* which contains the assembly statements. The assembler is run and new machine code is produced, which then is programmed into the control store. Assemblers always allow you to insert comments into the code to explain what you are doing. These may be preceded by a semicolon (;), double slash  $\left(\frac{7}{1}\right)$ , or other characters.

Every microprocessor has a unique assembly language, although many manufacturers use a common language across a family of processors. The following is an example of assembler code and the corresponding machine code for an Atmel AVR series microcontroller:



Finally, high-level languages provide a simpler means of programming microprocessors. A high-level language such as C permits the programmer to write instructions that look like this:

 $x = y + z$ ; // Add two numbers

The compiler translates the instructions into machine code. Unlike assembly, there is not one high-level language statement per machine instruction. One high-level line of code may generate dozens **of** machine instructions. The preceding example might produce machine code instructions that do the following:

move memory location y into Register 1 move memory location **z** into Register **2**  Add Register 1 to Register 2, leaving the result in Register 1 Store the contents of Register 1 in memory location x

This simple C statement produced four lines of machine code. Using a high-level language, the software engineer need not worry about the specifics of the machine language or assembly language for the microprocessor. High-level languages permit better portability of the code across different microprocessors.

With this overview, you should be ready to tackle the material in the book.

# *Appendix E Embedded Web Sites*

The following is a list of Web sites for manufacturers and organizations that produce embedded products. Although this list is not comprehensive, we have done our best to ensure the accuracy of the following URLs at the time of this book's publication.

*Author's note:* Readers of the second edition will note that some Web sites included in that edition are missing from this edition. In some cases, the companies no longer exist. In other cases, such as Huntsville Microsystems, they have been acquired by or merged with other companies.

# *Organizations and Literature*

CompactPC1 systems: **http://www.compactpci-systems.com**  Embedded Systems: www.embedded.com Embedded Technology: www.embeddedtechnology.com PC/104 Consortium: www.pcl04.org PC/104 Supplier Page: www.pcl04.com PCI Industrial Computer's Manufacturer's Group: www.picmg.com VME Bus International Trade Association: www.vita.com

### *Manufacturers*

AMD: www.amd.com Atmel: www.atmel.com Cypress Microsystems: www.cypressmicro.com Dallas Semiconductor: (see Maxim-Dallas semiconductor was acquired by Maxim) Fujitsu: www.fujitsu.com Hitachi: www.hitachi.com Intel: www.intel.com

Maxim: www.maxim-ic.com Microchip: www.microchip.com Mitsubishi: www.mitsubishichips.com Motorola Semiconductors: www.mot-sps.com SGSThompson: www.st.com Sharp Microelectronics: www.sharpmeg.com Texas Instruments: www.ti.com Toshiba: www.toshiba.com Zilog: www.zilog.com

#### *Software, Operating Systems, and Emulators*

2500 AD Software/Avocet Systems: www.2500ad.com Accelerated Technology: **http://www.acceleratedtechnology.com**  American Arium: www.arium.com Annasoft: www.annatechnology.com Applied Microsystems: www.amc.com Bytecraft: www.bytecraft.com CAD-UL: www.cadul.com CMX Systems: www.cmx.com Green Hills Software: www.ghs.com Hi-Tech Software: www.htsoft.com Hitex: www.hitex.com IAR Systems: www.iar.com Kadak: www.kadak.com Keil Software: www.keil.com Microsoft: www.microsoft.com Nohau: www.nohau.com QNX: www.qnx.com SMX: www.smxinfo.com Synapticad: www.syncad.com Wind River: www.windriver.com
# *Glossary*

- **ADC (Analog-to-Digital Converter): An** integrated circuit or subsystem that translates a voltage or other analog value to a digital word.
- **Assembler: A** language that directly describes machine instructions such **as** move data to a register, jump to **an** address, add two registers, and so on. Each microprocessor has a unique machine language and therefore a unique assembler language.
- **Cache: A** secondary memory used to reduce the bottleneck of memory access to a fast CPU. Data are moved from main memory into a faster cache memory and fetched from there. When the CPU needs data that is not in the cache, it must be fetched from the main memory.
- CAN **(Controller Area Network): A** multinode network using a single twisted-pair cable and capable of operating at speeds from 10 kbps to 1 Mbps. *CAN* originally was developed for the automotive industry.
- **CISC (Complex Instruction Set Computer): A** computer that includes relatively complex instructions in the instruction set. CISC is a relative term. The instruction set of a CISC microcontroller may be much simpler and less flexible than that of a high-performance RISC CPU. **See** RISC.
- **Context Switch:** The context of a CPU usually refers to all the internal registers, including the stack pointer and instruction pointer. A context switch is the process of changing or restoring the CPU context to execute a different section of code (such **as** an interrupt service routine) and usually includes saving the current context.
- **CPLD (Complex Programmable Logic Device): A** large **PLD.**
- **CPU (Central Processing Unit):** Technically the computing core of a microprocessor; the term is commonly used to refer to the microprocessor itself.
- *Cross* **Compiler/Cross Assembler: A** compiler or assembler that runs on one computer but generates object code for another family of computers. **An** assembler that runs on a PC and generates code for a microcontroller is **an** example of cross assembly.
- **DAC (Digital-to-Analog Converter): An** integrated circuit or subsystem that translates a digital word to a voltage.
- **Daisy-chainea Interrupts An** interrupt prioritizing scheme in which the priority of each peripheral is determined by its position in the chain. Lower-priority devices may acknowledge an interrupt only when no higher-priority devices are requesting **an** interrupt.

**Debugger: See** Monitor.

- **Device Driver:** Software that provides an interface between the operating system and actual hardware, such as video display boards or printers.
- **DMA (Direct Memory Access): A** mechanism whereby a microprocessor temporarily gives up its external bus to another processor (or other controller) and permits the other processor to directly access memory. Some microprocessors have built-in DMA controllers.
- **DRAM (Dynamic RAM):** RAM that stores information as charge on a capacitor. It must be periodically refreshed to renew the charge and retain data.
- **DSP (Digital Signal Processor): A** microprocessor optimized for processing signals such as sound, video, or radio frequency. A DSP typically includes hardware such **as** single-cycle multiply hardware, barrel shifters, and other features that are designed to speed signal processing.
- **Edge-Sensitive Interrupt:** An interrupt that is recognized on a rising or falling edge.
- **EMC (Electromagnetic Compatibfity): A** general term for the measure of a device or system to operate in an environment with EMI. Usually used in relation to EMC testing or EMC standards.
- EMI **(Electromagnetic Interference): A** general term for interference caused by electrostatic discharge, radiated emissions, and magnetic interference.
- ultraviolet light. **EPROM (Erasable Programmable Read-only-Memory): A** PROM that can be erased using
- equipment. **ESD (Electrostatic Discharge):** Static electricity that is discharged to, inside, or around
- **Firmware:** Software in machine-readable form, embedded in a ROM, PROM, EPROM, flash memory, or other nonvolatile storage.
- **Flash Memory: A PROM** that can be electrically erased and reprogrammed.
- FPGA **(Field Programmable Gate Array): A** type of CPLD.
- **Harvard Architechue:** A microprocessor architecture in which the code (instructions) is in a separate memory area from the data. A given memory address typically references different physical memory locations for code than for data.
- HLL **(High-Level Language):** Any computer language that permits code to be developed above assembler. C, Pascal, and BASIC are high-level languages.
- **ICE (In-Circuit Emulator): A** device designed to plug into a circuit and replace the target processor. A typical ICE permits the code to be run, breakpoints to be set, and the registers and memory of the system to be examined.
- **Interrupt Controller:** An integrated circuit or internal part of a microprocessor that prioritizes interrupts and provides a vector to the processor.
- **IP (Internet Protocol):** The protocol used for transmission of data over the Internet. IP transmits a data packet from a source to a destination, and provides for breaking the data into smaller blocks for transmission and reassembling them at the destination. IP is normally used with TCP, the combination being called TCP/IP.
- **ISA (Industry-Standard Architecture):** The expansion bus and connectors used on the original IBM AT computer.
- **ISR (Interrupt Service Routine):** Code executed when an interrupt occurs; it handles interrupt-specific functions.
- **Latency (Interrupt):** The time from when an interrupt occurs to when it **is** serviced.
- **Level-Sensitive Interrupt: An** interrupt that is recognized while in the active state.
- **Machine Iauguage:** The binary ones and zeros that the microprocessor reads from memory and executes. **See** Assembler.
- **Microcontroller: A** microprocessor with internal RAM and 1/0 ports, sometimes including ROM.
- **Microprocessor: An** integrated circuit containing, at minimum, a central processing unit and a means to access external memory. Microprocessors also may include internal memory, 1/0 ports, or peripherals.
- $\mu$ s (Microsecond): One millionth of a second;  $10^{-6}$  seconds.
- **Modified Harvard Architecture:** A variation on the Harvard architecture in which there is limited ability to obtain data from the code space. Many single-chip microcontrollers use the modified Harvard architecture.
- **Monitor: A** program that executes on the target system and allows the engineer to examine memory and I/O, set breakpoints, and download code. It often supports other features as well. The term debugger **is** nearly synonymous with *monitor* and usually denotes a more sophisticated tool with advanced features.
- **ms (Millisecond):** One thousandth of a second;  $10^{-3}$  seconds.
- **Native Development:** Development of microprocessor code on the same family of CPUs as the code will be run on. Development of code on a PC to be run on a PC **is** native mode development.
- **Nested Interrupts:** Where interrupts are structured **so** that a lower-priority ISR can be interrupted by a higher-priority ISR.
- **NMI (Nonmaskable Interrupt): An** interrupt input, available on many processors, that cannot be masked off. If the interrupt occurs, the processor always will service it.
- **11s (Nanosecond):** One billionth of a second;  $10^{-9}$  seconds.
- NVRAM: **A** package housing a static RAM integrated circuit and a battery. The battery powers the RAM **so** that it will retain its contents when external power is off.
- **Object Code:** Code for a target system. It may be in binary or in some ASCII hex representation of the data, such as Intel or Motorola hex formats.
- **OTP EPROM:** One-time programmable EPROM. An EPROM without the erasure window. The OTP EPROM acts like a one-time programmable PROM but has an EPROM structure internally.
- **Overflow: A** condition that occurs when the result of a mathematical operation cannot be represented by the number of bits available.

*Glossary* **347** 

- **Passive Backplane: A** bus board that consists of only connectors, the interconnecting traces, and sometimes signal terminators. The CPU in a passive backplane system plugs into the backplane.
- **PC/104 Bus: A** bus architecture using pass-through pin/socket connectors. Electrically similar to the **ISA** bus.
- **Pipeline: A** method of increasing processor throughput by prefetching instructions and storing them for the CPU to execute. Pipelining takes advantage of the time that the CPU spends executing instructions to buffer one or more additional instructions.
- **PLD (Programmable Logic Device): A** programmable integrated circuit used to implement logic functions.
- **Preemptive Scheduling:** A scheduling technique in which each task is given control until it finishes or is superceded by a higher-priority task.
- **PROM (Programmable Read-only Memory): A** ROM that can be programmed, either by a PROM programmer or by the target system. Once programmed, acts **as** a read only memory (ROM).
- **Protected Mode: A** memory-management mode available on some x86 family processors that provides hardware memory protection and other features.
- **Race Condition:** Any condition in which **two** signals or events that happen simultaneously cause timing errors. The timings for hardware race conditions normally are measured in nanoseconds or microseconds. For software events, the timing can be any window within which the events appear simultaneous to the code.
- RAM **(Random Access Memory):** Memory that is both readable and writable and in which any location may be accessed at any time. Memory locations in RAM do not need to be accessed in any specific sequence.
- **Real Mode: A** memory management mode on x86 family processors that segments memory into a maximum of IMB, with 64K segments, and no hardware protection against invalid accesses.
- **Reentrancy:** The ability of a section of code to be reentered without first finishing. Reentrancy requires that variables used in the code to be stored on a stack or with some other mechanism that prevents them from being overwritten when the code is reentered. Reentrancy is typically needed *if* a routine can be interrupted and then called by the interrupt service routine.
- **RISC (Reduced Instruction Set Computer): A** computer that executes a simple, limited instruction set. The idea is that a simpler instruction set can be executed very fast, making up for the limited functionality with extreme speed. RISC is a relative term; a RISC microcontroller may be hundreds of times slower than a CISC computer. *See* CISC.
- **ROM (Read-only Memory): A** memory device that can be read from but not written to.
- **RTOS (Real-Time Operating System):** Firmware that provides task scheduling, memory allocation, and other services for a real-time application.
- **Sequential (Round-Robin) Scheduling: A** scheduling technique in which **tasks** are given control one at a time, in sequence, and each runs until finished.
- **Single Step:** A means, in either software or hardware, to cause a program to execute one instruction and then stop. Single stepping may be at the machine level, where one CPU instruction is executed, or at the level of a HLL, where one HLL statement (possibly many CPU instructions) is executed.
- **Skew:** The condition that occurs when grouped signals (such as a microprocessor data bus) do not all change at the same time. This term also applies to differences in the logic paths inside a device, such as an address decoder. Even if the external signals change at the same time, differences in the internal delays may cause the same effect as if the external signals changed at different times. Skew usually is measured in nanoseconds.
- readable data. **Software:** Computer instructions. This may refer to the source code or the actual machine-
- **SRAM (Static RAM):** RAM that is implemented as an array of flip-flops. Information is retained until overwritten or until power is removed.
- **STD Bus:** A bus architecture using a 56-pin edge connector. Originally intended for 8-bit, 64K processors, the STD bus has been expanded to include 16bit processors and expanded addressing. STD-32 supports 32-bit processors and addressing.
- **Target:** The system or microprocessor that an emulator is designed to install to or replace when debugging.
- **TCB (Task Control Block): A** memory area where **an** operating system stores information about tasks under its control.
- **TCP (Transport Control Protocol):** A transmission protocol for communication between multiple processors. TCP provides full duplex operation and reliable connections by venfylng delivery of data packets. TCP/IP is the protocol used for Internet communication.
- **Time Slicing:** A scheduling technique in which a central scheduler switches tasks at regular intervals, giving each task in sequence a specified number of time slices to execute before going to the next task.
- **UART (Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Tnulsmitter): An** integrated circuit or a circuit that provides an asynchronous serial interface.
- **UDP (User Datagram Protocol): A** transmission protocol, similar to TCP, that is used for simple, fast transfers. UDP does not include features to guarantee delivery of a data packet or to ensure that packets are received in the correct sequence.
- **Vector (Interrupt): A** number or instruction that is translated into an address, which then is executed to service an interrupt.
- **VME Bus:** A bus architecture based on one to three 96-pin DIN connectors. Originally designed around the Motorola 68000 processor timing.
- **Von Neumann Architecture: A** microprocessor architecture in which the code (instructions) can share the same memory space as the data. Most microprocessors intended for multichip designs use the von Neumann architecture.
- **WDT (Watchdog Timer): A** timing circuit that resets or otherwise notifies a microprocessor if it is not triggered at periodic intervals.

## *Index*

Access time for EPROM, 42-45 propagation delay considerations, 69-70 for RAM, 46-48 Acknowledge timing, 225-226 ACK signal, 37 Action codes, 172-173 Activate Task, 247 A/D converters. **see** Analog-todigital con-Address verters decoding circuits, 56f hold time, 46 immediate, 333 setup time, 46-48 Addressable memory, 327-328 Address bus description of, 33 DMA, 76f, 77 multiplexing of, 35f Address decoding linear, 86 partial, 86 Addressing direct, 337 indexed, 339 indirect, 339 Address latch, 43 Address latch enable signal, 33 Allocate Memory, 248 Analog-to-digital converters accuracy of, 104-105 calibration of, 105 description of, 103 interleaving and, 273, 274f internal, 105 Microchip, 105

Page numbers followed by "t" denote tables; those followed by **"f'** denote figures

reference voltage, 103-104 resolution of, 104 AND gate, 316, **317f**  Architecture of complex microprocessor, 333-337 evaluation of, 12 Harvard, 14-15, 15f pipeline, 271-272 software, 129-130<br>state machine, 129-130 von Neumann, 14-15, 15f Arithmetic logic unit, 325-327 Atmel AT90S8515, 110-111 **FPSLIC,** 281 Background debugging mode, 194,283 Background loop, 169. **see** also Polling Backplane, passive, 260 Binary numbers, 306-308 **BIOS,** 260 Branching  $loop(s)$ direct, 339 indexed, 339 indirect, 339 for debugging evaluations, 180-181, 192 definition of, 18 logic analyzer, 180-181 circular trace, 178-1 79 data bus, 47,69-70 enabled, 86 for **1%** bus, 217-218 last in, first out, 135 Breakpoint Buffers FIFO, 211-212

RTOS, 243 tristate, 106 DRAM, 273-274 SDRAM, 276 address, 33, 35f CAN, 218-220,220f clock-synchronized, 97-99 data Burst mode Bus buffers, 69-70, 86 description of, 33 loading, 68-70 &bit, 65, 129  $I^2C$ buffering of, 217-218 characteristics of, 71-72 development of, 72 for interprocessor communication in multiprocessor systems, 217-218 Microwire and, comparisons between, 74t schematic representation of, 71f, 71-72 speed of, 72 multiple, 277-278 normally-not-ready, 36-37 PCI, 267 16-bit, 65-68, 129 sizing at reset, 96 STD, 265 timing sequences, 32f, 34 USB, 263 **WE,** 267 wait states and, 36-38 width, 129 Bus contention, 69, 316 Bus cycles description of, 34 interrupt, 148 Bus interface unit, 271 PC/104,262-264 C, 132, 341 Cache memory, 278-279 CAN bus, 218-220, 220f Capacitance loading, 69 *CAS* access time, 49 Ceramic resonators, 92 Chip select, 136-137 Chopping rate, 9 Circular trace buffers, 178-179 Clock(s). **see** *also* Oscillators CPU, 110

multiple inputs, 279-280 phase-locked loop and, 279-280 Clock rate **vs.** processor speed, 11 Clock-synchronized bus, 97-99 CMOS, 92 Code CMX-RTX, 252 assembly, 339 formats, 339-340 machine, 339 for multiprocessor systems, 205 partitioning of, 125-129 self-adapting, 125 size of, 132-133 Column address hold time, 49 Column address setup time, 49 Communication between processors, in asynchronous serial interface, 218 asynchronous serial port, 221, 222f-223f CAN bus, 218-220, 22Of description of, 204 FIFO buffers, 211-212 message stackup problems, **212**  open-collector serial interface, 221 parallel port interface, 221-224 for processors on different boards, 218 registers multiprocessor systems with DMA-controlled transfers, 207-21 1 fast/slow communication problems, 210-211,211f with flip-flop status, 206-207, 207f with interrupt input, 207 principles of use, 205-211 selection criteria, 224–225 serial communication, 216-218 CompactPCI, 267 Compiler assembly support for, 133-134 C. 131 chip select and, 136-137 emulator support for, 132 function of, 341 microcontroller-based, 134 optimizing, 133, 162 RAM and, 137 Contact closure, 138 Context switching description of, 136 registers, 157 Controller ICs, 53-54 Control store, 327 Core dump, 182

*Index* 35 1

load capacitance, 90

Counters for timers count ambiguity considerations, 114 description of, 109-111 on a chip, 267 clock, 110 on a module, 267 single,  $24 - 25$ Crosscompiler, 18 Crystals CPU ceramic resonators and, comparisons fundamental mode, 92 schematic representation of, 91f series vs. parallel, 90, 92 Cyclic redundancy check, 219 Daisychained interrupts, 148-149, 155 Data bus between, 92 buffers, 69-70, 86 description of, 33 loading, 68-70 Data flow diagram definition of, 120 for pool pump timer system, 120f, 122f calculations of, 44, 48 for EPROM, *44*  extended, 64, 64-65 problems with, 63 description of, 46 problems with, 63 Data strobe, 62 Deactivate Task, 247 Deadlines, 138 Debouncing, 169 Debugger, 18 Debugging Data hold time Data setup time action codes, 172-1 73 background debugging mode, 194,283 breakpoint for evaluating, 180-181, 192 circular trace buffers, 178-179 difficulties associated with, 19 emulator use, 19, 171-172, 191,192-193, 201-202 example of, 198-201 hardware output for, 173-175 interrupt effects on, 169 levels of, 18 logic analyzer breakpoints, 180-181 memory dumps and, 181-182 monitor programs, 18, 179-180, 193 onchip, 282-284

process of, 18 in RAM, 193-194 read from ROM, 176-177 real-time operating system, 252 reasons for, 171-172 registers, 193-194, 282 serial condition monitor, 182-188 simulators for, 135 software throughput and, 177-178 software timing and, 177 source-level, 132 system integration of hardware testing, 190-191 overview of, 189-190 problem log, 197-198 RAM use, 193-194 software testing, 191-193 stress testing, 196-197 test plan, 194-196 tools for, 134-135 write to ROM, 175-176 circuits, 55-57, 56f linear, 86 partial, 86 Decoding Define Task, 247 Define Timeslice, 248 Design system development of costs, 19-20 environment, 10-11, 17-19 history, 17-18 distributed systems. **see** Distributed processor systems hardware requirements, 20-22 hardware/software partitioning, 22-24 microprocessor selection. **see** Microshortcuts, 85-86 software requirements, 20-22 steps involved in, 1-2 Development compiler. **see** Compiler Development language processor, selection criteria for considerations when selecting assembly support, 133-134 code/storage size, 132-133 debugging tools, 134-135 emulator support, 132 optimization, 133 processors supported, 132 description of, 131-132 high-level, 131-1 32 Differential interfaces, 88-89 Digital logic, 315-316

Digital-to-analog converters accuracy of, 104-105 calibration of, 105 description of, 101-103 reference voltage, 103-104 resolution of, 104 schematic diagram of, 102f Direct memory access. **see** DMA Distributed processor systems. **see** also Multiprocessor systems advantages of, 24-25 description of, 24, 203 address bus, 76f, 77 for communication in multiprocessor systems fast/slow communication problems, 210-211,211f principles of, 207-21 1 problems associated with, 208 scheme variations, 208, 209f DMA controllers, 77, 79, 81, 85 CPUs that support, 77 definition of, 74 description of, 10 designing with, 75 examples of, 74 flyby transfer, 79 schematic representation of, 75f timing, 79-80, 80f UmT, 77, 78f schematic representation of, 2f software data flow diagram, 120f, 122f flowcharts, 123 pseudocode, 123-125 state diagram, 121-123 Documentation types of, 1 Don't care state, 316 DOS real-time operating systems that emulate, ROM in, 260 address setup/hold times, 52 built-in interface, 99-100 burst mode, 273-274 characteristics of, 48-49 controller ICs, 53-54 description of, 45 disadvantages of, 49 refreshing of, 52-53 schematic representation of, 50f 260-261 DRAM

**SRAM** and, comparisons between, 49-50 synchronous, 274-277 timing, 49-50 timing logic, **51f,** 53 -DTACK, 62 D-type latches, 321 D-type registers, 321 Dynamic bus sizing, 95,96f Edge-sensitive interrupts characteristics of, 151-153 definition of, 146, 151 level-sensitive interrupts and, comparison shared, 153f description of, 41 **flash** memory and, 41 for  $I^2C$  bus, 72 serial, 72 write times for, 72 between, 151-153, 152f EEPROM &bit bus, 65, 129 Electromagnetic **interference/electromag-**EMC;. **see** EMI/EMC EMI/EMC certification, *34*  design considerations, 86-87 differential interfaces, 88-89 emission controls, 87-88 ground loops, 88 radiated susceptibility, 89 software considerations, 127-128 cost of, 19 debugging use, 19, 171-172, 191, development language and, 132 drawbacks to, 19 logic analyzer breakpoints and, 180-181 packaging for, 282 ROM, 193 definition of, 4 description of, 1 function of, 4, 232 for multiprocessor systems, 232-233 access time calculations, 42-45 benefits of, 12 components of, 39 costs of, versus ROM, 13 data hold time, 44 description of, 12, 39 netic compatibility. **see** EMI/EMC Emulators 192-193, 201-202 Engineering specifications EPROM. **see** also Flash memory

electrically erasable. **see** EEPROM erasing process, 41 inputs for,  $41-42$ memory, 38-39 output enable time, 44 schematic representation of, 38f Erasable programmable read-only memory. **ESD** see EPROM definition of, 87 protection methods, 88 Eventdriven scheduling, 240-241 Exception definition of, 286 handling of, 286 Failure mode effects analysis, 197 Fast cycle termination, 95-96 Field programmable gate **array,** 281 FIFO buffers, 211-212 Filters, for electrostatic discharge protection, 88 Firmware specifications, 1 Flash memory. **see** *also* EPROM access time calculations, 42-45 advantages of, 39 block-organized, 40 device manufacturer identification by, 40 erasing of, 39-40 in-circuit programming of, 39-40, 83-84 mechanism of operation, 40 programming of, 40-41 properties of, 39 SRAM and, 100 wait states and, 277  $^{4}$ D," 106-107 registers with, 206-207, 207f set/reset, 320 Flip-flop Floating-point calculations, 133, 311-313 Flowcharts description of, 123 for pool pump timer system example, 297f-299f Flyby transfer, 79 FPGA, 281 Functional requirements, 1 Gating logic, 67f Grounding, for electrostatic discharge protection, 88 Ground loops, 88

Hard deadlines, 138

Hardware memory management, 284-286 partitioning determinations, 22-24 requirements estimations, 20-22 specifications, 1, 20-22,25, 115-117 Harvard architecture, 14-15, 15f H-bridge, 127 Hex numbers, 306-308 High-level language, 131-135, 341  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  bus buffering of, 217-218 characteristics of, 71-72 development of, 72 for interprocessor communication in multiprocessor systems, 217-218 Microwire and, comparisons between, 74t schematic representation of, **71f,** 71-72 speed of, 72 combination, 100-101 controller, 53-54 description of, 58 functions, 59-63 interface, 59 peripheral. **see** Peripheral ICs RAM, 65 ROM, 65 SDRAM, 276 self-refresh capability, 53 timer, 58 Idle loop. **see** Polling loop (s) In-circuit programming description of, 14, 83 of flash memory, 39-40, 83-84 schematic representation of, 84f ICs Incremental state machine, 130 Input capture registers, 113 Input capture timer, 109-110 Instruction set, evaluation of, 11-12 Integrated circuits. **see** ICs Intel 80186, 65 80188 description of, 60-61 interfaces, 65 8OC96OSA, 65 i960 **VH** processor, 98, 277-278 timing for, 32-33 built-in, 99-100 description of,  $6-7$ differential, 88-89 Interfaces DRAM, 99-100

&bit, 65 electrostatic discharge protection, 88 **I'C** bus, 73 **ICs,** 59 JTAG, 284 microprocessor selection and, 6-7 Microwire, 73-74, 106f 16-bit, 65-68 32-bit, 280 Interleaving, 272-273, 274f  $Interrupt(s)$ acknowledge, 167 actions secondary to, 143-144 bus cycles, 148 daisy-chained, 148-149, 155 debugging effects, 169 definition of, 143 description of, 9 edge-sensitive, 146, 151-153 estimating requirements for, 9 external, 147 externally vectored, 154-155 function of, 9 hardware, 146-148 high/low pairs, 165-166 internal, 147 latencies, 11 latency, 163f level-sensitive, 146, 151 low-priority, 166-168 microprocessor selection and, 9 multiple reads and, 164-165 nested, 146, 157-158, 178 nonmasking, 150 overusage of, 9 prioritizing of, 146 protection against, 128-129 race condition and, 162 in real-time operating system, 247 reasons for using, 168 shared memory and, 160-162 software for, 155 stackup, 159, 159f stuck, 160 timer, 147, 153, 154f, 163-164, 178 when to use, 168 Interrupt controllers description of, 59, 145 vector response to, 145 Interrupt service routine actions secondary to, 155-156 data transfer to or from, 158 description of, 143-144, 147 mechanism of operation, 155-156

in real-time operating system, 247 timer resetting and, 163 Interrupt vectors address, 146 description of, 144-145 generation of, 145, 145f, 154 1/0 (input/output) control, 258 digital, 54, 286 microprocessor selection criteria and, peripheral integrated circuits, 58 pins, 5-6, 29 ports, 5-6, 58-59, 137 schematic representation of, 55f simple, 54-55, 55f strobes, 55-56 5-6 ISR. **see** Interrupt service routine JTAG interface, 284 Kernel, 237, 251 Language. **see** Development language; Latches High-level language D-type, 321 for extended data hold time, 64-65 for **I/O,** 58 packaging of, 321 Latch input, 321 LCD, 20 LED, 20 Level-sensitive interrupts characteristics of, 151 definition of, 146, 151 edge-sensitive interrupts and, comparistuck, 160 son between, 151-153, 152f Light-emitting diode, 20 Liquid crystal display, 20 Load capacitance, 90 Loading capacitance, 69 data bus, 68-70 breakpoints, 180-181 description of, 177 Logic analyzer Logic delays, 278 Logic functions don't care state, 316 negative logic, 318-319 set/reset flip-flop, 320 simple logic gates, 316, 317f

tristate, 319 true/false notation, 319 Logic gates, 316, 317f Mailbox In, 248 Mask bytes, 161 Maxim MAX6576, 111-113 Memory addressable, 327-328 allocation blocks, 245, 2461' cache, 278-279 dumps, 181-182 EPROM, 38 flash. **see** Flash memory management of, 244-245, 284-286 modes for addressing, 337-340 nonvolatile, 70-71 in real-time operating system, 244-245, 251 requirements assessment, 7-8 shared, 160-162 Message stackup, in FIFO buffer system, Microchip, 32f, 105, 286 Microcontrollers. **see** also Singlechip microprocessors application-specific, 286 description of, *5-6*  digital **I/O,** 286 FPGA and, 281 RAM usage limitations, 7 categorization of, 29 clock-synchronized bus, 97-99 complex, architecture of, 333-337 core of, 325 environmental requirements, 16 floating-point, 8 internal logic of, 97 justification assessments, 4-5 life cycle costs, 16-17 manufacturers of, 6 multichip designs. **see** *also* Multiprocessor systems 212 Microprocessor bus cycles, 34 components of, 32-33 data bus, 69, 70f single-chip design and, comparison between, 30f, 31-35 with multiple clock inputs, 279-280 operator training/competence, 17 power requirements, 15-16 programmable logic devices and, 281 "real" requirements, 17

selection criteria for development environment, 11-12 in-circuit programming, 14 interfaces required, 7-8 interrupts needed, 9 1/0 pins, *5-6*  memory architecture, 14-15. **see** also Architecture memory requirements, 7-8 nonvolatile storage, 14 overview of, 5 processing speed, 11-12 RAM, 7 real-time requirements, 9-10 ROM, 7-8 ROMability, 12-14 simple, architecture of addressable memory, 327-328 arithmetic logic unit, 325-327 branching, 329-330 conditional branching, 330 control store, 327 immediate data, 330 opcodes, 329 output, 331, 333 program counter, 329 timing logic, 328 designs, 29-30 elements of, 29-30 insufficiency of, 31 interface requirements, 7 multichip designs and, comparison schematic representation of, 30f timebase, 29-30 single-chip between, 30f, 31-35 SRAM connected to, 46f stack, 242 Zilog Z-80, 265 description of, 217-218 multichip designs, 106-107 schematic diagram of, 71f Microwire Monitor programs, for debugging, 18, Motorola 179-180, 193 68230, 60-61 68HC05,13 MC68EZ328,96,99 MC68HC16, 95 memory management scheme, 286 timing for, 32f Multichip designs bus cycles, 34

components *of,* 32-33 data bus, 69, 70f RF energy, 86-87 single-chip design and, comparison between, 30f, 31-35 Multiple buses, 277-278 Multiple-instruction fetch, 280-281 Multiplexer, 320 Multiplexing address bus, 35f, 41 description of, 33 input, 92 Multiprocessor systems. **see** also Distributed processor systems acknowledge timing, 225-226 code complexity for, 205 design pitfalls for berserk processors, 227 cumulative time errors, 227-228 error handling, 227 isolation, 228 locking problems, 228-232 multiple measurements, 226 revisions, 227 synchronization, 226 data corruption, 216, 228 data transfer methods, 215 drawbacks to, 215 guidelines for using, 229-230 mechanism of operation, 212 schematic representation of, 213f semaphore use, 215-216 engineering specifications, 232-233 interprocessor communication methods asynchronous serial interface, 218 asynchronous serial port, 221, CAN bus, 218-220, 220f FIFO buffers, 211-212 message stackup problems, 212 opencollector serial interface, 221 parallel port interface, 221-224 for processors on different boards, 218 registers dual-port RAM 222f-223f with DMA-controlled transfers, fast/slow communication problems, with flip-flop status, 206-207, 207f with interrupt input, 207 principles of use, 205-21 1 serial communication, 216-218 207-21 1 210-211,211f overview of, 203-204

reasons for using, 203 schematic representation of, 204f, 204205 Multitasking definition of, 238 event-driven scheduling, 240-241 preemptive scheduling, 239, 241, 251 tasks activation and deactivation, 239-240 time slicing and, 238, 239f NAND gate, 316, 317f Nested interrupts, 146, 157-158, 178 Noise, 127 Nonmasking interrupts, 150 Nonvolatile memory, 70-71 Nonvolatile storage, 14 NOR gate, 316, 317f Normally-not-ready bus, 36-37 Number systems binary numbers, 306-308 computer representation of numbers, 308-310 converting numbers between bases, 306-307 floating point, 311-313 hex numbers, 306-308 negative numbers, 308-310 number bases, 303-306 suffixes, 310-311 NVRAM. 45 On-chip debug, 282-284 One-time programmable devices, 12, 39 Opcodes, 329 Open-collector, 221, 316 Open drain, 316 Operator training/competence, for micro-Optimizing compiler, 133, 162 OR gate, 316, 31'7f Oscillators. **see** also Clock(s) crystal, 90, 91f external, 92 Pierce, 90, 92 processor, 17 Output contention, 316 Output enable time, 44 Page mode, of DRAM, 273-274, 274f Parallel port interface, for interprocessor communication in multiprocessor systems, 221-224

Partitioning code, 125-129 hardware, 22-24

software, 22-24 PC/104 bus, 262-264 PCI-based embedded boards, 261 PC platforms, for embedded systems advantages of, 255-258 disadvantages of, 258-260 Peripheral component interconnect, 251 Peripheral ICs data setup/hold time, 63 functions, 59-63 interface ICs, 59 interrupt controllers, 59 1/0 ports, 58-59 recovery time, 127 shared memory problem associated with, 162 timers, 58 280 peripherals, 61-62 Peripherals, internal description of, 85 DMA controllers, 77, 79, 85 interrupts generated by, 147 types of, 85 watchdog timer. see Watchdog timer Phase-locked loop, 279 Pierce oscillator, 90, 92 Pins  $I<sup>2</sup>C$  bus, 71  $I/O$ , 5-6, 29 Pipeline queue, 271-272 Platforms, for embedded systems CompactPCI, 267 description of, 255 ISA-based embedded boards, 261 PC advantages of, 255-258 disadvantages of, 258-260 PC/104 bus, 262-264 PCI-based embedded boards, 261 STD bus, 265 VME bus, 267 Polling  $loop(s)$ -DATA, 40 description of, 119-120, 235 function of, 120 length of, 11 multiple, 130 for pool pump timer system example, priority of, 169 registers and, 136 single, 129 data flow diagram, 121f, 122f 297f-299f Pool pump timer system

hardware specifications, 288-290 interrupts of, 155-156 pseudocode, 292-301 software description, 290-292 state diagram for, 122f system description, 287 Port expanders, 59, 218 Power, for microprocessor, 15-16 Prefetch queue, 271-272 Privilege levels, 285-286 Processors. see Microprocessor Product requirements, 1 Program counter, 329 Programmable logic devices, 53, 101, 281 Programmable read-only memory. **see**  Programming, in-circuit description of, 14, 83 of flash memory, 39-40, 83-84 schematic representation of, 84f compiler information regarding, 137 electrically erasable. see EEPROM erasable. see EPROM Harvard architecture and, 14 one-time programmable, 39 programmer, 18, 39 ROM. see ROM Protocol converter description of, 236 preemptive scheduling of, 241 advantages of, 123-124 description **of,** 123-125 example of, 124, 160 for pool pump timer system, 292-301 Pullups, for reducing RF susceptibility, 89 Pulse-width modulation PROM PROM Pseudocode description of, 6 outputs, 10 real-time events and, 9-10 schematic representation of, 9f PWM timer, 110, 114 Race condition, 162 RAM access time calculations, 45-48 compiler information regarding, 137 dual-port data corruption, 216, 228 data transfer methods, 215 drawbacks to, 215 guidelines for using, 229-230 mechanism of operation, 212

schematic representation of, 213f semaphore use, 215-216 dynamic. **see** DRAM estimation of, 7 **ICs,** 65 microprocessor selection and, 7 nonvolatile, 45, 48 requirements needed, 7 restrictions on, 137 static, 45 usage of, 7 **types** of, 45 RAS access time, 49 RAS/CAS precharge time, 50 RAS hold time, 50 -RD signal, 98 Read modify write (rmv) cycle, 51 Real-time events, 9-10 Real-time operating system applicability of, 251 application using, 267-269 buffers, 243 challenges associated with, 251 characteristics of, 237-238 communication in, 247-248, 251 costs of, 251 debugging, 252 description of, 130 DOS emulation, 260-261 full operating system, 238 functions supported by, 238 hardware effects, 250 interrupts and, 247 kernel, 237, 251 memory management of, 244-245 requirements, 251 microcontrollers, 252 microprocessors, 10-11, 251-252 multitasking definition of, 238 eventdriven scheduling, 240-241 preemptive scheduling, 239, 241, 251 tasks activation and deactivation, time slicing and, 238, 239f 239-240 overview of, 235-238 preemption considerations, 248-250 resource management, 245-246 scheduling in, 236 tasks in communication between, 243-244 scheduling of, 244 tracking of, 242

timers, 246 when to use, 251 Reference voltage, 103-104 Refresh cycle internal, 52 microprocessor and, conflicts between, self-refresh capability, 53 for communication in multiprocessor context switching, 157 debugging, 193-194, 282 D-type, 321, 322f hardware debug, 258 input capture, **113**  packaging of, 321 saved on stack, 156 segment, 285 types of, 320-321 DRAM, 52-53 53 Registers systems, 205-21 1 Reloading timer, 108f, 109 Requirements definition description of, 3-5 example of, 26-27 emissions control, 87-88 radiated susceptibility, 89 regulations on, 86 characteristics of, 42 RF energy ROM debugging information written to, 175-1 76 definition of, 42 DOS in, 260 emulators, 193 estimating requirements for, 7 **ICs,** 65 mask charges for producing, 13 microprocessor selection and, 7-8 trace data **for** debugging read from, 176-177 ROM 8031, 13 ROMability definition of, 12 microprocessor selection and, 12-14 Round-robin scheduling, 235 Row address hold time, 49 Row address setup time, 49 Row address strobe, 45 RTOS. **see** Real-time operating system

Scheduling, in real-time operating system eventdriven, 240-241

preemptive, 239, 241, 251 sequential description of, 236 time slicing and, 239 SCL, 71 SCLOCK, 106 SDRAM, 274-277 Segment registers, 285 Self-adapting code, 125 Semaphore, 161 Send Mail, 248 Sequential scheduling description of, 236 time slicing and, 239 Serial condition monitor, 182-188 Serial interfaces  $I^2C$  bus. *see*  $I^2C$  bus Microwire, 73-74 miscellaneous types of, 80-81 Set/reset flip-flop, 320 Shielding, for electrostatic discharge pro-Simple logic gates, 316, 317f Simple microprocessor. **see** Microprocessor, Simulator, 135 Single-chip microprocessors tection, 88 simple designs, 29-30 elements of, 29-30 insufficiency of, 31 interface requirements, 7 multichip designs and, comparison between, 30f, 31-35 schematic representation of, 30f timebase, 29-30 16-bit bus, 65-68, 129 Sleep current, 16 Soft deadlines, 138 Software definition definition of, 22 elements of, 22 architecture, 129-130 considerations for, 126-129 development language, 131-135 documentation methods data flow diagram, 120 flowcharts, 123 pseudocode, 123-125 state diagram, 122f Software design **EM1** issues, 127-128 hard deadlines, 138 hardware damage, 127 independence considerations, 138-140

interrupt protection provisions, 128-129 mechanical delays and, 127 microprocessor hardware, 135-138 overview of, 119-120 partitioning determinations, 22-24 recovery time considerations, 127 requirements estimations, 20-22 safety concerns, 126-127 soft deadlines, 138 specifications description of, 140 detailed types of, 21-22 estimating of, 21<br>example of, 141–142 reasons for creating, 140-141 summary overview of, 26 timing, 177 Specifications engineering definition of, 4 description of, 1 function of, 4, 232 for multiprocessor systems, 232-233 hardware, 1, 20-22, 25, 115-117 software description of, 140 detailed types of, 21-22 estimating of, 21 example of, 141-142 reasons for creating, 140-141 summary overview of, 26 Speed cache memory for improving, 279 **of 12C** bus, 72 of microprocessor estimating of, 11-12 pitfalls regarding, 11-12 SRAM characteristics of, 45 DRAM and, comparisons between, flash ROM and, 100 microprocessor connection, 46f nonvolatile, 45 write cycle timing, 47f definition of, 135 function of, 135-136 hardwired, 156 microprocessor, 242 registers saved on, 156 definition of, 121 for pool timer system, 122f 49-50 Stack State diagram

State machine (s) description of, 129-130 incremental, 130 multiple, 130 STD bus, 265 Stress testing of system, 19G-197 Strobes data, 62 read, 48, 49f, 54 write, 48, 49f Superloop. **see** Polling loop(s) Switch closure, 138 Switch debouncing, 169 Synchronization of distributed processor systems, 24 of multiprocessor systems, 226 Task control block, 242 Tasks, in real-time operating system. **see** *also*  Multitasking communication between, 243-244 scheduling of, 244 tracking of, 242 Task switch, 250 Test specifications, 1 Timer counters count ambiguity considerations, 114 description of, 109-111 description of, 107 design considerations for, 115 ICs, 58 input capture, 109-110 interrupts caused by, 147, 153, 154f, motor control, 113-1 14 for pool pump timer system example, PWM, 110, 114 in real-time operating system, 246 reloading, l08f, 109 schematic diagram of, 108f temperature measurements, 111-113 watchdog 163-164, 178 300f-301f description of, 81 electrostatic discharge protection secondary to, 88 functions of, 81-82 mechanism of operation, 82, 83f sophisticated types *of,* 82 Timer code, 120 Time slicing definition of, 238 sequential scheduling and, 239

Timing access for EPROM, 42 for RAM, 45-48 acknowledge, 225-226 calculations, 54 cumulative errors and, 163-164, 227-228 DMA, 79-80, 80f DRAM, 49-50, 51f interrupt effects, 156 Microwire, 71f schematic representation of, 43f SDRAM, 276 of software, 177 description of, 328 functions of, 337 TC59LM814,53 TH50VSF0302, 100 circular trace buffers creating, 178-179 read from ROM, 176-177 software timing and, 177 Timing logic Toshiba Trace data, for debugging Transceiver, 320 Tristate, 106, 319 True/false notation, 319 UART (universal asynchronous receiver/transmitters) , 59, 67-68, 77, 78f, 169, 183 Update rate, 4 Vector. **see** Interrupt vectors **VME** bus, 267 von Neumann architecture, 14-15, 15f Wait On, 248 Wait states bus types and, 36-38 description of, 35-36, 63 dual-port RAM and, 212 extended data hold time and, 65 flash memory and, 277 integral generators, 36 internal, 36 peripheral needing, 37 timing of, 34f, 36 Watchdog timer built-in, 82 description of, 81 electrostatic discharge protection secfunctions of, 81-82 ondary to, 88

**mechanism of operation, 82, 83f sophisticated types of, 82 Websites, 343-344 Z80186,81**  Websites, 343–344<br>Wide cache memory, 280f 280188, 81 -WR **path, 47 28530, 61-62**  -WR signal, 98

**XOFF processing, 236237 Zilog** *2-80,* **6, 32f, 34, 265 XON processing, 236-237** 

**Yield, 248** 

*280* **peripherals, 61-62** 

# Embedded Microprocessor Systems:<br>Real World Design  $n<sub>n</sub>$

#### Stuart  $R$

- Covers general principles that apply to all embedded system chips rather than limiting coverage to specific hardware
- . Learn how to cope with "real world" problems
- . Design embedded systems products that are reliable and work in real applications

**Embedded Microprocessor Systems: Real World Design is an introduction to the design of embedded microprocessor systems, from the ini**tial concept through debugging. Unlike many books on the subject, *Embedded Microprocessor Systems* is not limited to describing a particular microprocessor family, but covers general principles that apply to numerous processors.

Included throughout the book are numerous examples, tips, and pitfalls you can only learn from an experienced designer. You will find out not only how to implement faster and better design processes, but also how to avoid time-consuming and expensive mistakes. Stuart Ball's many years of experience in the industry have given him an extremely practical approach to design realities and problems. He describes the entire process of designing circuits and the software that controls them, assessing the system requirements, and testing and debugging systems.

The less-experienced engineer will be able to apply Ball's advice to everyday projects and challenges immediately with amazing results. In this new edition, the author has expanded the section on debugging to include avoiding common hardware, software, and interrupt problems. Other new features include expanded sections on interrupts, system integration and debug, clock synchronized buses, and industry-standard embedded platforms.

New material includes a section about combination microcontroller/PLD devices.

### Reviews:

"I'm *very impressed [Embedded Microprocessor Systems] covers mony ospects of developing embedded systems thot engineers new to the held* moy *not consider* " -Ken Davidson, Editor-in-Chief of *Circuit Cellor INK,* about the previous edition

*"This book will provide on excellent introduction for someone new to the art of embedding microprocessors into systems It is lobeled os on introduction to the design of*  embedded microprocessor systems, and I think it achieves this better than any other book I have seen. So can I recommend this book? Yes, very much, It is up to date, clear, *and full of helpful tips* "

-Dr. Alistair Armitage, *Meosurement* & *Control* 

*"Students ond engineers new to embedded work looking for* o *generol introduction to embedded system design will benefit from this book It IS suitoble for engineers coming*  from the software or the hardware side. Highly recommended." -Chris Hills, **C** *Vh* + **r\_r** 

## **Related titles:**

Analog Interfacing to Embedded Microprocessors **Stuart R. Ball ISBN 1878707981** 

The Art of Designing Embedded Systems **Jack Ganssle** ISBN 0750698691

I **1** IJ 11-I *1-1* **I I** <sup>1</sup> **Brian DeMuth and Dan Fisenreich ISBN 0750673397** 

**Embedded Systems Design, Second Edition Steve Heath ISBN 0750655461** 

**Programming Microcontrollers in C. Second Edition Ted Van Sickle ISBN 1878707574** 

--I **11-1** I17 **1-1 I** I I



