# HOBBES

INTRODUCTION BY A. D. LINDSAY



# LONDON J. M. DENT & SONS LTD NEW YORK E. P. DUTTON & CO INC

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

All rights reserved by J. M. DENT & SONS LTD Aldine House - Bedford Street - London Mide in Great Birtan et The Aldine Press - Letchworth - Herts

First published in this edition 1914

# INTRODUCTION

THOMAS HOBBES was born at Malmesbury on April 5, 1588. His father, vicar of Charlton and Westport, near Malmesbury. was, says Aubrey, "one of the ignorant Sir Johns of Queen Elizabeth's time: could only read the prayers of the Church and the homilies; and valued not learning, as not knowing the sweetness of it " A little after the burth of his son, he struck a man, " being provoked," and was forced to fly for it. The family were brought up by an uncle, Francis Hobbes, a glover of Malmesbury. Thomas was sent to school at Westport Church and thence went to Magdalen Hall at Oxford in 1603. His career at school was the more distinguished. At the University "he did not much care for logic yet he learned it. and thought himself a good disputant. He tooke great delight there to go to the bookbinders' and stationers' shops. and lye gaping on mappes." After he had taken his BA. degree, the Principal of Magdalen Hall recommended him to the Cavendish family, who wanted a tutor for William Cavendish, afterwards second Earl of Devonshire. Hobbes staved with him till 1628, first making the grand tour with his pupil and then living for some eighteen years as a member of the family. Aubrey tells us that " he was his lordship's page and rode a hunting and hawking with him and kept his prive purse. By this way of life he had almost forgott his Latin. He then bought him bookes of an Amsterdam print, that he might carry in his pocket (particularly Cæsar's Commentaries). which he did read in the lobby or antechamber, whilst his lord was making his visits:" also that "about these times Mr. Thomas Hobbes was much addicted to music and practised on the bass-viol." He knew Bacon and used to act as his secretary. "His Lordship (viz. Bacon) would often say that he better liked Mr. Hobbes's taking his thoughts than any of the others, because he understood what he wrote."

The second earl ided in 1628, and Hobbes was thrown out of employment. He became traveling tutor to the son of Sir Gervase Climton for eighteen months. It was at this time, necording to Ambrey, that the first incident occurred wildo took him from the classics the head already writter through not

published his translation of Thucydides) to science and philosonhy. "He was forty years old before he looked on geometry which happened accidentally : being in a gentleman's hbrary Euclid's Elements lay open, and it was the 47th Proposition Lib. I. So he reads the proposition. 'By God.' says he, 'this is impossible.' So he reads the demonstration of it, which referred him back to another which he also read, et sig demosts that at last he was demonstratively convinced of that truth. This made him in love with geometry." Probably somewhere about the same time occurred another incident which set the train of his thoughts. He was at a sathering of learned men when the question was asked. What is sensation? Hobbes, thinking over the question came to the conclusion that the only differences in things could be differences in their motions, and that therefore sensation must be a kind of movement. This threw him back again to geometry. In 1631 he was invited to return from Paris. where he had been staying, to be tutor to the third Earl of Devonshire, then a boy of fourteen He travelled with this youth in 1614 and met on this journey the famous scientists on the Continent. He saw Galileo and became accuainted with Mersenne, the friend of Descartes. Hobbes retained his connection with the Cavendish family to the end of his life. He returned to England in 1637. In 1640 the troubles which led to the summoning of the Short Parliament turned Hobbes' attention from mathematics to politics. He was prepared, as we shall see, to apply his mechanical principles to politics as to all other subjects. He "wrote a little treatise in English, wherein he did sett forth and demonstrate that the save power and rights were inseparably annexed to the sovereignty, which sovereignty they did not then deny to be in the King; but it seems understood not, or would not understand that inseparability. Of this treatise, though not printed, many gentlemen had copies, which occasioned much talk of the author, and had not his Majestie dissolved the Parliament, it had brought him in danger of his life " Bishop Mainwaring was put in the Tower for preaching absolutism. "Then, thought Mr. Hobbes, it is time now for me to shift for myself, and so went into France, and resided at Paris." There he stayed for eleven years. He took part in controversy with Descartes, little to the satisfaction of either disputant, but most of his time was devoted to politics. "For ten years together his thoughts were much, or almost altogether, un-

hinged from the mathematiques; but chiefly intent on his De Cive, and after that on his Leviathan, which was a great putt-back to his mathematicall improvement." The De Cive was the first elaboration of his political pamphlet, the Leviathan the second. Aubrey tells us how the latter book was written. "He walked much and contemplated, and he had in the head of his cane a pen and inkhorn, carried always a notebook m his pocket, and as soon as a thought darted, he presently entered it into his booke, or otherwise might have lost it He had drawne the designe of the book into chapters, and knew whereabout it would come in. Thus that booke was made." In the meantime Paris became the home of English refugees. Hobbes was appointed mathematical tutor to the young Prince of Wales. The Leviathan was published in London in 1651. When Charles returned to Paris after Worcesler. Hobbes presented him with a manuscript copy of the Leviathan "engrossed in velume in a marvellous fair hand." For all that, the Levigthan got Hobbes into tomble That is not surprising. The last part of the Leviathan consists of violent abuse of the Roman Catholic Church under the pleasing title of the "Kingdom of Darkness," The fantastic theology of the third part is, to say the least, not orthodox. Even the political doctrines were no longer so pleasing to the Royalist party as they had been in 1640, not because Hobbes had changed, but because the Royalists had been beaten. Hobbes' doctrine is a vindication of the absolute rights of whatever government happens to be in power, not one to suit with the divine right of kings under the Commonwealth. "All honest men here," says a Royalist in Paris at the time, "are very glad that the king has at length banished from his court that father of atheusts, Mr. Hobbes, who, it is said, hath rendered all the queen's court and very many of the Duke of York's family atheists, and if he had been suffered, would have done his best to have likewise poisoned the king's court."

Hobbes fiel to England and made has submission to the Council of State. He lived quietly in England for the test of his life, making his peace with the king after the Restoration. He aven came wito favour at court. "Its magestly favours were recluitingerated to hum, and order was given that he should have free accesses to his magestly, who was always much dolighted in his witt and smart repartees. The writs at court were wont to bayte him, but he would make his part good and the of them. The king would call him the Beare: \* a Here comes the Bases to be boyled." This honour did not lagt for long. After 1660 times were nurmanings against his athesim. A committee of the parliament wers instructed to recover information on the *Lovainkan*. "There was a report," says Aubrey, " that some of the bishops made a motion to have ine good odd gentieman burned for a benetique." Nothing happened except that Hobbes burned area benetique." Nothing happened except that Hobbes burned some of his papors in a modules alarm, that the publication of *Hekemoli*, his book on the causes of the Cavil War, was forbidden, and that Pepys in 1688 had to pay treenty-four shillings for a second-hand copy of the *Lovatkan*, as the bishops would not allow it to be reported.

Mammule Hobbes had been developing his scientific and phalosophical doctrines. The De Corpore, the exposition of his scientific materialism, was publicled in 1652. Unifortunately is contained a rash mathematical adverture, Hobbes' claum to have squared the circle, which drew him into a long and facere controversy with the Savilian professor of mathematics at Oxford—Walls. Hobbes was wrong from the beginning, but he kept up the fight with pamphlet and counterparphilet, giving hunsifi away more and more hopelessipit as he went on, this howedge.

In 1675 he left London for good, and spent the next four years, till his death, at Chatsworth and Hardwick.

His character is revealed in the Liviakam, "He had a sharp witte," ways Aubrey. The Liviakam is ful of pithy sayings, of a rather genul sarcasm. "Words are wise men's counters, they do but reaches by them; but they are the money of fooles, that value them by the authority of an Aristole, a Cheen, or a Thomas, or may other doctor whichower if but a man." "Arastotic in the inst booke on the Poinipute, for a foundation of his docture, makeds men by Nature, some more worthy to command meaning the where sort (such as he thought himself bath for the poinced by these to soft sophers as he)." "It is with the mysteries of our objects, as with wholesome pills for the soft, which swollneed whole have the virtues to cure, but chowed are for the most part cast up saon without effect."

He was, as he himself says with a certain complacency, naturally timorous. The *Loviathan* is based on the assumption that the first law of man's nature is to seek peace and

that there is nothing for which it is worth while even to risk one's life His main virtue, as also his supreme defect, is his realism, if we use that term of a capacity of seeing with great clearness and honesty everything in human behaviour which one without faith or emotion can see. He was almost overwhelmingly sensible. "Being mostly of a cheerful and pleasant humour, he affected not at all austerity and gravity and to look severe. He considered gravity and heavinesse of countenance not so good marks of assurance of God's favour as a cheerful, chatitable, and upright behaviour, which are better signes of religion than the zealons maintaining of controverted doctrines" It was like Hobbes to remember of doctrines only that they were controverted. He was, as we have seen in love with geometry and had a passion for reasoning, but for all that he wrote his autobiography in Latin elegaic verse he had not much postry in him. "He had alwayes bookes of prick-song lying on his table--s.g. of H. Lawes' songs-which at night, when he was abed, and the doors made fast, and was sure nobody heard him, he sang aloud (not that he had a good voice) but for his health's sake; he did believe it did his lunges good, and conduced much to prolong his life " The only passages in the Leviathan that come near poetry are those inspired by fear. "Every man, especially those that are over-provident, are in an estate like to that of Prometheus. For as Prometheus (which interpreted is the prudent man) was bound to the hill Gaucasus, a place of large prospect, where, an eagle feeding on his hver, devoured in the day as much as was repaired in the night; so that man, which looks too far before him, in the case of future time, bath his heart all the day long gnawed on by feare of death, poverty, or other calamity, and has no repose, nor pause of his anxiety. but in sleep." He had Meredith's Comic Spirit with the poetry out of it and fear "sitting crowned on the grave thereof."

The Lovadkaw has often been disnissed as a book written to justiy a particular and temporal purpose. We are often told nowadays that'it was written to <u>defend Strast absolutism</u>. His ensuites accused film of writing it " to finitger. Cliver." Both accussions are unjust Hobbes pleased neither party, for his purpose was to carry out what he calls "the first and fundamental Lay of Nature, which is to seek pace and follow it." "There would have been no Civil War, he was step if, if mand known the truth of the doctrings he had

discovered / The Levialhan, indeed, was allowed to have an immediate occasion. Published in 1651, it justified those of the king's party who had given in to parliament after Worcester when the king's cause was hopeless, a thoroughly Hobbesian purpose. But whether that or the wider and nobler purpose of saving England from civil war in the future was uppermost in Hobbes' mind, he would never have allowed that the arguments of the Leviathan had been in any way wrested to suit a purpose. For his account of the state was meant to be essentially scientific, deduced from the eternal nature of man, depending on immutable laws of nature. His principles were for urgent immediate application only because they had been so lamentably neglected They were as true of the states of Greece and Rome as of the modern nation state of the seventeenth century. "For though in all places of the world," he says, "men should lay the foundation of their houses on the sand, it could not thence be inferred that it so ought to be. The skill of making and maintaining commonwealths consisteth in certain Rules, as doth Arithmetique and Geometry, not (as tennis play) on practise only, which Rules, neither poor men have the lessure, nor men that have had the leisure, have hitherto had the curiosity or the method to find out." Yet at the same time, if the main argument of the Lowathan is meant to be of universal application, its expression is determined largely by temporal circumstances and by Hobbes' opposition to contemporary doctrines, which, as he thought, were endangering the state. The sixteenth and seventeenth centuries saw the birth of the modern state. The Reformation had destroyed the basis of the medieval. That had rested on a religious basis, on the common acceptance on the part of kings and people of one religion. Obedience to law was a part of men's obedience to God. The ruler had behind him the authority of God ; but if he issued commands which were clearly against the law of God, he was clearly not acting as ruler, and might be disobeyed. The ruler was above his own laws but under God's or under the law of nature. Obviously the political importance of this doctrine will depend on who is to sav what is and what is not according to the law of nature. The law of nature had found formulation in two ways; Firstly, in the principles of law enunciated and followed in the courts, and secondly, in the declarations of the Church The political importance of the first was seen in the claims of common law to overnde statute law; the courts would not

recognise a command of the sovereign which was against the law of nature as formulated in common law; of the second in the claim of the Church to the right to dispense subjects of their duty of obedience to the sovereign. These checks were salutary so long as all inhabitants of the state recognised a common authority After the Reformation, however, the state was threatened by the control of an alicn Church The changing times demanded new legislation and new powers for the government, which suited ill with the predominance of common over statute law. Further, the doctrine of the right of provate indement introduced by the Reformation gave rise to new and serious complications. For if it is the right of each and every individual to decide for himself what is and what is not according to the law of nature. he must decide for himself when he is and when he is not to obey the law of the state and if the state is to respect his decusions, any perverse individual may hold up the government. We find Cromwell, for example, complaining to the Levellers that the doctrine of the law of nature may be carried too far.

Hobbes is well aware of all these dangers to the modern state. The great common lawyers like Coke had been on the valiament side. Hobbes is therefore earnest in his account of the laws of nature, in Chapters XIV., XV., and again in Chapter XXVI, to insist that the laws of nature are only hinding when they are also commands of the sovereign. He first gave clear enunciation to the necessary supremacy of statute over common law, which is now a common place of government. He is continually girding at the individuals who pretend that their conscience forbids them to obey the law. "If men were at liberty to take for God's commandements their own dreams and fancies, or the dreams and fancies of private men, scarce two men would agree upon what is God's commandement; and yet in respect of them, every man would despise the Commandements of the Commonwealth." "The Diseases of a Commonwealth proceed from the poison of seditious doctrines; whereof one is That every private man is Judge of Good and Evill actions. This is true in the condition of their Nature. when there are no Civill Lawes, and also under Civill government, in such cases as are not determined by the Law. But otherwise it is manifest, that the measure of Good and Evill actions, is the Civill Law; and the Judge the Legislator, who is alwayes Representative of the Commonwealth. From this false doctrine, men are disposed to debate with themselves and

dispute the commands of the Commonwealth; and afterwards to obey, or disobey them, as in their private judgements they shall think fit. Whereby the Commonwealth is distracted and Weakened. Another doctrine repugnant to Civill Society, is that whatspever a man does against his Conscience, is Sinne : and it dependeth on the presumption of making himself judge of Good and Evill." A thurd is, "That Faith and Sanctity are not to be attained by Study and Reason, but by supernatural Inspiration or Infusion," or again, "When Christian men take not their Christian sovereign for God's Prophet. they must either take their owne Dreames, for the Prophecy they mean to be governed by, and the tumour of their hearis for the Spirit of God : or they must suffer themselves to be lead by some strange Prince; or by some of their fellow subjects, that can bewitch them by slaunder of the government, into rebellion, without other miracle to confirm their calling, than sometimes an extraordinary successe, and Impunity; and by this means destroying all laws, both divine and humane, reduce all Order, Government and Society, to the first Chaos of Violence and Civill Warre "

There must, therefore, be some authority to declare among the diversity of men's opinions what is right and what is wrong, what is and what is not in accordance with the law of nature. If that authority be other than the sovereign, there will be conflict between it and the sovereign. The claim to junsdiction over spiritual matters is the head and front of the Church's offending. " There he also that think there may be more soules (that is more Soveraigns) than one in a Commonwealth; and set up a Supremacy against the Soveraienty -Canons against Lawes; and a Ghostly Authority against the Civill ; working on men's minds, with words and distinctions. that of themselves signific nothing, but bravery (by their obscurity) that there walketh (as some think invisibly) another Kingdome, as it were a Kingdom of Favries, in the dark, . . . For notwithstanding the insignificant distinction of Temporall and Ghostly, they are still two Kingdomes, and every Subject is subject to two Masters. For seeing the Ghostly Power challengeth the Right to declare what is Sinne, it challengeth by consequence the right to declare what is Law (Sinne being nothing but the transgression of the Law:) and again the Civill Power challenging to declare what is Law, every Subject must obey two Masters, who both will have their Commands he observed as Law which is impossible.

for, if it be but one Kingdome, either the *Givill*, which is the power of the Commonwealth, must be subordinate to the *Gostiy* and then there is no *Soveraisigy* but the *Ghostly*: or the *Ghostly* must be subordinate to the *Temporall*, and then there is no *Soverancey* but the *Temporall*."

√Robbes in prepared to assert for the sovereign all the powers that the most extreme Papalist ever claimed for the Pope Hois therefore a thoroughgoing Erastian. The Charch is to be controlled entrely and absolutely by the Sovereign in spite of all that Roman Catholic church here not be claimed of the Roman Catholic Church here nakes uncessing becauses, that to obtain dominion over men in this present word, and eavour by dexing other and erroneous Doctimes, to exting ush in them the Light, both of Nature and of the Gospeli, and so to diprepare them do rot her Idea of God to come.<sup>3</sup>

" As often as there is any repurgnancy between the Politicall designs of the Pope and other Christian Princes, as there is very often, there ariseth such a mist amongst their subjects, that they know, not a stranger that thrusteth himself into the throne of their lawfull Prince, from him whom they had themselves placed there, and in this Darknesse of mind, are made to fight one against another, without discerning their enemies from their friends, under the conduct of another man's ambition."

All these dangerous doctrines rested on the accepted doctrine of the law of nature, and with this Hobbes deals in characteristic fashion. He gives a list of the laws of nature in Chapters XIV, and XV,, adding two of his own, but with him the expression "laws of nature" has an entirely new implication. The doctrine depended on the unquestioned assumption that the moral law was binding on all men both as individuals and as state functionaries. It implied that law depends upon morality. Hobbes tries to show that morality rests on law. He was not prepared to allow that morality could be the ultimate basis of the state, for men differed so in their opinions that no secure basis could be found in their moral opinions and were yet so self-confident that they would tust ho man to tell them what was right and wrong. But though men differ in their opinions and their respect for authority, they are all alike in their desires. They all desire self-preservation, and all agree that it is better to be alive than dead. /To the ordinary list of the laws of nature, Hobbes

prefaces two of his own. "The first and fundamentall law of nature is to seek peace and follow it. The Second the Summeof the Right of Nature is By all means we can to defend ourselves." / Now these are obviously not laws in the sense of commands or of principles of how we ought to act. They purport to be rather what we should call scientific laws, statements of how human nature necessarily behaves. What are ordinarily called moral laws are, according to Hobbes, merely methods of achieving the end of self-preservation which is fundamental in man. " These dictates of Reason, men use to call by the name of Lawes, but improperly; for they are but Conclusions or Theoremes concerning what conducety to the conservation and defence of themselves." "The Laws of Nature are Immutable and Eternall: For Injustice, Inguittude, Arrogance, Pride, Iniquity, Acception of persons, and the rest can never be made lawfull For it can never be that Warre shall preserve life and Peace destroy it." It is in fact a truth of nature that if you behave in certain ways to other people, they will resent it and the result will be disturbing to you, and for that reason such kinds of conduct are unreasonable and against the law of nature. The complementary doctrine holds that there is no point in a man's obeying the laws of nature. i.e. behaving in a friendly way to other people, unless they are going to do the same to him. " For he that should be modest. and tractable, and performe all he promises, in such time and place, when no man els should do so, should but make himself a prev to others, and procure his own certain ruine, contrary to the ground of all Lawes of Nature, which tend to Nature's preservation." Hobbes' doctrine, then, is that we should all desire to live at peace with our neighbours. / "The Lawes of Nature oblige in foro interno ; that is to say, they bind to a desire they should take place." But it would be reckless madness to bind oneself to actions according to the laws of nature without a guarantee that other men will also be bound. Where can we get a guarantee? Not in our trust of them, For it is man's nature, according to Hobbes, to be diffident and distrustful. We would act well, only we distrust them; they would act well, only they distrust us, or in language suited to the present day, "We, conscious of our peaceful intentions, would disarm, but we distrust the aggressive intentions of the Germans: while the Germans, conscious of their peaceable intentions, would disarm, but they are distructful of the aggressive intentions of the English." From this vicious

circle there is no escape, according to Hobbes, unless there be some third power which will impartially restrain us both That power is the civil authority. I can act morally if I know that, if other people do not reciprocate, they will be punshed by the law. The institution of a government. then. which punishes wrongdoing makes morality possible. Without it morality is foolish recklessness; with it, it is the dictate of reason Therefore, only those laws of nature are binding which the law will enforce and hence it is the office of the sovereign to interpret the law of nature "For though it is naturally reasonable yet it is by the Soveraign Power that it is law." From all this it follows that if there is ever a conflict between a law of nature and the state's law, the state's law must be obeyed, for the only point of obeying the laws of nature is that they conduce to security, and the maintenance of the state is the first and most essential condition of security. /If the king violates a law of nature, and Hobbes is quite prepared to admit that he probably will, we may not, therefore. disobey him. For though the king by so doing will diminish security, our disobedience will only make matters worse. It becomes, therefore, the very essence of the law of pature that we should not appeal to it against the sovereign. Was ever doctrine more completely turned unside out?

Hoppes would indignantly deny the accusation that he is immoral. He is prepared to give high honour to morality in its place. If only men will give up the notion that it can ever be their duty to disobey the sovereign, he will be the first to emphasise the advantages of moral behaviour. He is even ready to admit that the sovereign is bound by the laws of nature in the sense that if he acts against them, he is bound to pay for it. Only, he would have added, let not the subjects therefore think that he is responsible to them. Hobbes would agree with all that men say about the eternal consequences of good and evil behaviour both in men and states. His failure was that he thought that morality was nothing but a means of obtaining desirable consequences. " There are some." says Nietzsche, "who think it virtuous to say. 'Virtue is necessary.' but in truth they only believe that police are necessary." If a man's supreme duty is to preserve his own life, as Hobbes and some more modern writers have held, then Hobbes is right. He quotes in favour of his interpretation of the Laws of Nature, " that Law of the Gospell : Whatsoever you require that others should do to you, that do we to them." He would not

have dared to quote, "Whosoever will save his life shall lose it."

Hobbes' attack on the ordinary doctrine of the law of nature brings out part of his own distinctive theory. The rest is contained in his treatment of another contemporary political doctrine, that of the Social Contract. This was the other great theory besides that of the law of nature which men used to sustify resistance to the state's authority. The theory was founded on feudal practice, reinforced by the part played by covenant in the Old Testament and by the importance of contract in Roman Law. The authority of the king was thought of as resting on a contract made between him and his people that they would obey and that he would perform the duties of his office. If the contract was broken by either party, the other was naturally absolved from fulfilling his share. If the king disregarded the fundamental laws of the realm in accordance with which he had promised to govern, his subjects were absolved from their obedience. Hobbes must obviously have regarded such a doctrine as dangerous Milton, in his pamphlet on "The Tenure of Kings and Magistrates " uses the theory to defend the execution of Charles I At the same time there was much in it that was congenial to Hobbes, for it represented the state as resting not on authority but on each man's doing what seemed reasonable to himself / He proceeded, therefore, to turn it to his own purposes. The Social Contract theory, as it had ordinarily been held, rested on a moral basis, on the assumption that if you had made a promise you were bound to keep it./It had also an obvious political defect. It provided no means of determining when the contract had been broken. Hobbes attacks both these points. No man would make a covenant or contract with another without some guarantee that the other man will keep his part of the bargain. It is the essence of a contract that the different parties fulfill their shares at different times, and therefore that it implies confidence. But what guarantee, Hobbes asks as before, have we for such trust. None, unless there is some impartial third party which will punish whichever of the contracting parties defaults VThere can, therefore, be no contract between kings and people because there can be no third party to punish either king or people if they default. Similarly, there can be no third party to say when the king or the people have broken their contract. If there were, that third party would be set

over both king and people and would be really sovercise. The sovereign then cannot be a party to the/contract? What then is left of the Social Contract theory ? There is a contract, savs Hobbes, between the individuals of a state to obey some one cise, the sovereign, on whom they then devolve all their rights. Hobbes' account of the social contract is deduced from his view of human nature / Men naturally seek their own preservation, but being naturally apprchensive of danger from all sources and distrustful of each other, they are driven on to seek power and control over others. " All passions may be reduced to the Desire of Power." "The object of man's desire is not to enjoy once only and for one instant of time, but to assure for ever the way of his future desire. And therefore the voluntary actions and inclinations of all men tend. not only to the procuracy, but also to the assuring of a contented life." From this arises " a general incimation of mankind, a perpetuall and restlesse desire of Power after power, that ceaseth only in death " All men acting in this way, the result is naturally war, a war " of every man against every man." " no Arts, no Letters, no Society; and which is worst of all continuall fears and danger of violent death; and the life of man. solitary, poore, nasty, brutish and short." The restless desire of every man for assurance has led to most complete insecurity. Their reason bids them seek some way out It might seem at first sight that they might agree to live at peace having found how disagreeable war is. That will not satisfy Hobbes Men are too distrustful and competitive for that. General dislike of the increasing burden of armaments in Europe at the present day does not make men agree to disarm. Their distrust of one another prevents it Men, therefore, according to Hobbes, realise that there is no use making an agreement "that a man be willing, when others are so too, as farre forth, as for Peace, and defence of himselfe, he shall think it necessary, to lay down his right to all things, and be contented with so much liberty against other men, as he would allow other men against himselfe " unless they at the same time set up some common power to punish breaches of the agreement. "Covenants, without the Sword, are but Wolds and of no strength to secure a man at all Therefore notwithstanding the Lawes of Nature, if there be no Power erected, or not great enough for our security; every man will, and may lawfully rely on his own strength and act for caution against all other men."

There can then be no social contract unless it is one to set up a power excluded from the contract quarks twhen, therefore, the contract gives no appeal. "The only way to erect such a Common Power, as may be able to defend them from the threeby to sociare them is noted by their own mdustrie, and by the fraites of the Earth. they may nonrial themselves and live contentiable: is to confere all their power and strength upon one man or upon toes assending of men, nor Will. ... This does, the multitude so united in one Person, is called a Commonwealth, in Latanc Civitas. This is the queneration of that great Lowathan or rather (to speek more revenuity) of that Movial God, to which we owe under the Immediated Getour person and defence."

Thus is the second argument by which men sought to excuse rebellion turned against themselves.

Hobbes' treatment of both these arguments depends obviously on his view of human nature. That is the foundation of his doctrine. It might be thought that this needs no refutation. When we read the ingenious comparison bctween bees and ants and men in Chapter XVII, which is very much to the advantage of the insects, we may feel that it is not worth while arguing with such an old cynic. Hobbes, however, cannot be thus lightly disregarded. His account of human nature purports to be scientific, not an empirical judgment which we might think biassed by cynicism as he would think ours biassed by sentimentality. He can be refuted only if we can show that his account is plainly inconsistent with facts he hinself acknowledges. Hobbes, as we have seen, was convinced that all things were to be explained by motion. He was a thorough-going exponent of a mechanical view of the universe and of man. All science, if properly worked out, will be seen to be mathematical, politics as much as physics. He is, therefore, a determinist. All men are actuated by the same principles. They have no control over their desires and their desires are not right or wrong, good or bad, any more than are the motions of the stars. But men, unlike the stars, are endowed with reason, which is nothing but seeking into Causes. It forces them to extend the scope of their desires and thus to come into conflict with one another. Hence is produced what Hobbes calls the state of nature. We must notice that Hobbes' argument does not require that this

state of nature even historically existed. It is an abstraction. It is what would exist at any moment if the sovereign power were removed:  $\checkmark$ 

Can such men form a society ? Hobbes thinks that they can. They all have in common the desire for security. If they reason they will see that the only way to obtain it is to crect a common power to whom they give all their power and whose coeveron holds the state ingether. This common power cannot be resisted: for resistance to the sovereign diminishes security and no man can wish to do that. This is why the covenant which forms society cannot be broken, for to do so would be contraductory. If we roply that men obviously have resisted the sovereign. Hobbes' answer is that that is because. misled by various false doctrines, and as yet unilluminated by him, they did not know what they were doing. The only occasions on which men may lightly resist are occasions when they may reasonably resist. 1.4, when the state of nature, bad as it is is better than what threatens them from obedience. They may resist if the sovereign threatens to take their lives even if he proposes to make them serve in the army : for such a life is as insecure as the state of nature. Further, of course they may resist if the sovereign does not protect them, for then the covenant is dissolved. There is therefore a stage in every rebellion at which men are justified, indeed bound, to go over to the other side. " The obligation of subjects to the sovereign is understood to last as long and no longer than the power lasteth by which he is able to protect them "

This last admission, though it is one which Hobbes was bound to make, wrecks the theory. For, we may ask in Hobbe,' own words, who is to say when the sovernion is maintaining order or not. Hobbes hunself in Chapter XVIII. affirms that "men that are so remissely governed, that they dare take up Armes, to defend or introduce an Opinion, are still in Warre." In other words the Leviathan, that state in which the sovereign ensures perfect security against other men to all who obey him, has never existed, and the obligations incident to it do not exist either. Hobbes' theory depends on the assumption that men desire security above all things, that there is nothing for which men would think it worth while to risk their lives. He thinks that men would never rehel if they thought they would lose their lives in the process. Society is never in danger from such men. It is men who will die rather than tolerate what they hold to be

an nijustice who endanger the state. If men were as carful of thus hves as Hobbes makes out, there would be no need of a sovereign power. If they were restless, except when they had perfect security, there would be no possibility of one. On Hobbes' own showing, what security have they against the sovereign? Only the probability that it will not be wouth his while to kill them if they do whatever he tells them. No one who had studied history could take that probability for a certainty.

The fearch for perfect security thus defeats its own ends. Playing for staty alone is the most dangeous way to live. Society is only possible through mutual confidence, and mutual confidence is possible ordly because much do not wait to behave morally until they are absolutely certain that they will meet with the same behaviour again, because, in other words, some kind of moral behaviour is more elementary than government.

This criticism of Hobbes' position may be worked out in a present-day example, to which we have already referred. The mutual rivalry in annaments of the great nations of Europe has produced at the present day a condition not unlike Hobbes' state of nature. No one likes it and yet no one can escape from it owing to their distrust of one another. How can we hope to escape from this condition ? Hobbes' answer is, only if all the nations of Europe come together and agree to transfer all their nowers, say, to the United States or to Montenegro, as though their distrust of one another would not prevent their doing that. Surely the right answer is, only by so increasing the feelings of mutual trust and fuendship among nations that men would take the risk of disarmament. This does not mean that they need be sure that each and every nation will be worthy of their trust, but that there will be sufficient desire for the continuance of mutual understanding to produce a combination that will restrain the possible desires of any one to violate it.

Similarly, without mutual understanding and confidence there can be no state, but in no state is that mutual understanding complete. There are always some men who act only for their own self-interest and who care orthing for the standards of the rest of society. These men are restrained by the coercure opeware of the state, and Hobbes describes rightly their relation to the state. But if all men were as Hobbes despribes them, there could be no state. The sovereign cau by its force, restrain men from burglery, but only because most men do not want to burgle. Let a government pass a law which the great mass of the people are determined to disobey and the authority of the state, so far as that law is concerned, is nothing.

We must conclude by saying something of Hobbes' doctrine of sovereignty. Divested of its connection with the social contract theory, that doctrine has had since Hobbes a memorable history. He preached that sovereignty is indivisible and unlimited, that there must be one and only one authority in a state, and that the power of that authority cannot be · lunited by appeals to the law of nature, to the declaration of a church or the voice of conscience Law is to be obeyed because it is the command of the sovereign, and that is sufficient reason, /Hobbes was here expressing the demand of the modern state that it should be set free from the trammels of common law and of the church. His theory of sovereignty was followed by Austin, and is still widely prevalent. It is put into practice in the British Constitution. For the development of constitutional liberty since Hobbes' time has not been mainly an assertion of the limits of the sovereign power, but rather a transference of sovereignty from the crown to the people. That is not inconsistent with Hobbes' view. He always maintained that the sovereign might be a man or an assembly of men.

At the same time, though we have followed Hobbes, other nations have not always done the same, and it is obvious that the theory of sovereignty has not the universality which Hobbes and Austin claimed for it. For, as we have seen, the ultimate basis of the state cannot be the executive or legislative power. It is something at once wider and vaguer, whether we call it the mutual understanding of the nation on the general will. The truth of the doctaine of sovereignty is that this ultimate basis needs some definite organ of expression, that any state implies an agreement on the part of its members as to how the common will shall find expression and that questions of law must be determined by reference to that definite organ. Whether men hold that the ultimate basis of law is morality or that it is the general will, it is impossible to allow individuals to obey or disobey any particular law because they think it immoral or because they think it does not represent the general will. That would produce chaos. If Conservatives, who thought that the Budget of 1910 did not

represent the will of the people, were thereby absolved from the necessity of paying moment tax, Liberals might claim similar ecomption on other similar occasions and anarchy would be the result. To have orderly government men must agree to respect the authority of law, because it is the command of those whose interpretation and expression of the general will they have agreed to recognise. Whether these persons be a Xing, or Parliament, or House of Compuns, to faw dowled people voting in a paticular way does not, as Hobbes said, essentially matter, but some such recognition of authority there must be.

Vet it does not follow that sovereignty is necessarily, as Hobbes maintains, indivisible or unlimited. Hobbes' areument for the indivisibility of sovereignty depends on his saving that if two authoraties be sovereign and they quarrel. there is no one to decide between them. That only means that if they guarrel, we must trust that they will arrive at some agreement. If the state as a whole depends upon its citizens understanding and trusting one another, it may also without too much risk, depend upon different groups of its citizens doing the same. Lastly if Hobbes is right in mantaming that without some authority there can be no state, it is equally important to remember, what he forgets, that the nower of the sovereign, even though it is legally unlimited. actually depends upon the skill with which it gives expression to the general will, and that if the sovereign disregards the general will, there will come a point at which no amount of legal or constitutional machinery will avert disaster. Spinoza stated this clearly in criticism of Hobbes, when he declared that a sovereign has right in so far as he has might and he has might in so far as he rules in such a way that his subjects regard rebellion as a greater evil than obrdience.

A. D. LINDSAY.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Eight bookes of the Peloponnesian Warre, translated into English, 1629; Elementorum Philosophia, sectio tertia, de Cive, 1642, De corpore político. or, the elements of law, moral and politick, 1650; Humane Nature: or the fundamental elements of policis, 1650 (second edition, augmented and corrected. 1651): Leviathan, or the Matter, Forme and Power of a Commonwealth, ecclestasticall and covil, 1651 [reprinted in 1680, 1881, 1883 (Morley's Universal Library), 1885, 1904 (text edited by A. R. Waller), 1909 (re-printed from the edition of 1651, with an essay by W. G. Pogson Smith)]. A Latin version was published in 1670; Philosophicall Rudiments concerning Government and Society : containing the Elements of Civill Politic in the agreement which it hath both with Naturall and Divine Lawes, etc., 1651; alorements which is nath out with that is the Dorint Sawa, and the food Elementorum Philosophies, sectio prima, de corport, 2655; A Brefe of the Art of Rhetorapue, 1655; (1); Examinato et emendatio Malhematica hadierno quals explicative in librs Johannis Wallissi geometrica professoris Sputians in Academia Oxonenist distributa in sex dualogos, 1660; Dialogus Physicus, sure de Natura aris conjectura sumpta ab experimentas nuber London's habitis in Collegio Greshamensi Item de duplicatione cubi, 1661; Mr Hobbes considered in his lovalty, religion, reputation and manners. By way of a letter to Dr Wallis (in reply to Wallis's Hobbius Heauton-ismorumenos), 1662, Problemata Physica. Adjecta sunt propositiones due de applicatione cubi et dimensione curculi, 1662; De principus et Rationnatione Geometrarum, 1666; De Merabilibus Pecci, etc., 1666 (?), Rossium Geo-metricum, sive propositionis aliquot frustra antenac ientata. Cum censura mariann, swe projections angene preser anesene actional. Can construct how doctine dellastance de douis (rot, Threes pagere conclusing altempted refutations of certain geometrical fleavernes of Dr. J. Wallis (presented to Members of the Royal Society), (757): Los malhematics accession collisionidow J. Wallissi ... d. J. Hobbess. ... tolograf for della del inter browler explorate of demonstrating, 167; Hone's Hads, translated into English, 1676; A Letter about Liberty and Necessity, 1676; The Iluads and the Odyszey of Homer, Lanslated out of Great into English, 1677, Daa meron Physiologicum or ten dialogues of natural philosophy. To which is added the proportion of a straight line to half the arc of a quadrant, 1678, De Mirabilious Peces being the wonders of the Peak in Derbyshire, in De Miraohitous Pecci<sup>1</sup>, being ins monitari of use rean yn Lerzynwr, yn Breglink and Lainn werse Lainn by T. H., 16/5 (Lilli edition, corrociel, 1653), Bolennells or an Beitome of the Caul War of England from 164-66, 1659, 1656 edition purged from arrors of former editions; edition edited by P. Maaren, 2813, an edition entitled Boheneth, or the Long Parlianest, edited for the fast time from the organis MS by F. Tonues, 2850). Thoma Hobbesis Malmesburgensis Vita, authore seipso (in. veise), 1670 (translated into English, 1680). An Historical Narration concerning Hereine (Hindhafia indo Langash, 1969), An Historicai Nerration concerning Hereise mainte positismon Bared, 1969, The Art of Reterror, suita a decombra on the available providence and the second second second second second with (Vita Hobbane andorous (By R Blackborne). Vita commune esperana, androse sepos). Edited by R. Blackborne, 1961; Trates containing I. Behench; D. An answer to Arch-Ethiop Branhallt book, celled the achieved seposition devention of the second second second I. Behench; D. An answer to Arch-Ethiop Branhallt book, celled the achieved seposition devention of the second second second II. An harrow in anternation and the second second second II. An harrow in anternation and the second seco of haresie; IV. Philosophical problems, etc., 3 pt., 1682; Trapos in three assources: First, Human Nature, second, De Carpore Palitico; third, of Life and Necessity, third edition, 1684. Historia Ecclesiastica carmine XXV

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

clegace concumula, 1688; an English translation of Aristolle's Art-of Rkdorick, elc., 1759, Thucydides translated into English (the greater part by T. H], 1824.

COLLECTID EDVIONS, WTC. Oper philosophica, qua Latine scriptu, omia , screentise schin, Gold, Moral and philad with screen bigors cellected together to which us profiled by D. Blackbourd, 1750; Oper philosophica humai, and found his supplement by D. Blackbourd, 1750; Oper philosophica School and School and School and School and School and School Svaha, 1839, 45; The Kreighth works non first collected by Sar W. Melsmorth, 1 vola, 1239-15; So cala DT Melsmonth Jan.

LIFR: G. C. Robertson, 1886; Sir Leslic Stephen, 1904; Alfred Edward Taylor, 1908.

Anyon, 1999. Cerricisa: G. B. Catlin. Hobbes as Philosopher, Publicssi, Man of Letters, etc., 1924; J. Land' Hobbes, 1934, L. Struus: The Political Philosophy of Hobbes, 1935, G. P. Gooch: Hobbes (Institute Academy Letture, 1946); D. G. James, The English Augustans (1], 1949; J. Bowle' Hobbes and has Grinter, 1951.

# CONTENTS

# THE FIRST PART

## OF MAN

CITAP,	PACE
[NTRODUCTION	I
1, OF SENSE	3
<ol> <li>OF IMAGINATION Memory—Dreams—Apparitions or Visions—Understanding.</li> </ol>	4
<ol> <li>OF THE CONSEQUENCE OR TRAIN OF IMAGINATIONS Trayne of Thoughts inguided—Trayne of Thoughts regu- lated—Remembrance—Prudence—Signes—Conjecture of the time past</li> </ol>	8
4. OP SPERCH Original of Speech—The use of Speech—Abuses of Speech— Namos Proper and Common—Universal—Necessity of Definitions—Subject to Names—Use of Marces Policive— Negative Names with their Uses—Words insignificant— Understanding—Inconstant names.	13
5. OP REASON AND SCIENCE Reason what it aReason definedRight Reason where The use of ReasonOF Error and AbsurdityCauses of absurditeScienceProtence and Saptrace, with their difference-Sapare of Science.	18
<ol> <li>OF THE INTERIOUR BEGINNINGS OF VOLUNTARY MOTIONS COMMONLY CALLED THE PASSIONS, AND THE SPEECHES</li> </ol>	
BY WHICE THEY ARE EXPERSIO Motion VIII and Atumin-Enderwork-Appetite-Desire - Hunger-Think-Averation-Low-Hote-Contempti- tion and the second second second second second - Unplexisme - Unput holds: Delight - Displassme- Pleasace-Offsnon-Pleasare of sense-Pleasare of the Mild-Op-Platic-Offshole-Higt-Depayin-Pleas- Motion-Pleasare-Offshole-Higt-Depayin-Pleas- Contempt - Higt-Depayin-Pleasare exton-Pleasare-Offshole-Higt-Depayin-Pleasa- Contempt - Higt-Depayin-Pleasare and the Pleasare - Good Nature-Covetosuses- Ambition - Pleasing - Maganiminy - Valou - Liberally - Depayare - Sudder Glay - Laghter - Sudden Depenon - Valous - Sudare Glay - Laghter - Sudden Depenon - Valous - Sudare Glay - Hagadama - Nity - Wayi - Sudare - David Hugadama - Nity - Wayi - Sudare Sudare - Laghter - Sudare - Nity - Wayi - Sudare Clay - Laghter - Sudare - Nity - Wayi - Sudare Clay - Laghter - Sudare - Nity - Wayi - Sudare Clay - Laghter - Sudare - Nity - Wayi - Sudare - Sudar	23

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# Leviathan

Deliberation—The Will—Formes of Speech, in Fassion— Good and Evill apparent—Felicity—Praise—Magnifica- tion—gasagenetic
<ol> <li>OF THE ENDS OR RESOLUTIONS OF Discourse Judgement, or Sentence final-Doubt-Science-Opinion- Conscience-Beliefe-Faith</li> </ol>
8. OF THE VERJUSS, CONNORY CALLED INTELLECTIONAL AND THEIR CONTRARY DEFECTS Insiderual Vertus defined-Wit, Nstural, or Acquired- Nstural With-Good Vit, or Fang-Cood Judgementa- ness - Madnesse - Rage - Melancholy - Insgnificant Speech
9. OF THE SEVERALL SUBJECTS OF KNOWLEDGE
D. OF POWER, WORTH, DIGNITY, HONOUR, AND WORTHINESSE Power — Worth — Dignity — To Honour and Dishonour — Honour-Bile—Dishonourable—Costs of Armes—Titles of Honour-Worthunesse—Fitnesse.
c. OF TILL DIFFERENCE OF MANNERS What is here mean's by Anners-A excluses during of Power, in all mem-Lave of Contamion from Competition-Covit observations from lave of Bases-Frank stare of Dorsh, or where of Pass-Flat, from difficulty of Regulting for low of Pass-Flat, from difficulty of Regulting for Here of Pass-Flat, from difficulty of Regulting for the of one sub-Value underlanding from Values/Tensor press, values of the marks of Manners-Anner geness values of the marks of Manners-Conditions in odders low press values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press, values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press, values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press, values of the marks of Walesman Anners-Conditions in odders low press, values of the marks of Walesman Anners and the starts of the starts and the starts of the start of the starts of the

great valuing is small matters—condense in outers from [gnorance of the marks of Wiscome and Kindesse—And from Ignorance of natural causes—And from want of Understanding—Adhrence to Caustom From Jgnorance of the nature of Rugit and Wrong—Adhrence to purvate men, From Ignorance of the Causes of Proce—Craduity from Ignorance and the Causes of Proce—Craduity from Ignorance Anture—Currouty to know, from Care of future time—Natural Reliation from the same.

12. OF RELIGION

Rappo, in Man coshy-Fort, fond ise denir of knowing Canasai-Form has observation of the Regarding of things-Form has observation of the Sequenting of the asteroll Gaussie of Religion, the Arviety of the time to compare-had suppose them incomposal-Bulk show not the wey how they affect any visual-Bulk show more then we how they affect any visual-Bulk show more restar-Forms time, Natural and the Sequen-Made events-Forms time, Natural and the Sequen-Made restar-Forms of the Authors of the Sequence Made the angle of the Authors of the Sequence of the Feather. The targets of the Authors of the Sequence of the Sequence the of Lingues, and the lawser of Gods longithme the same-The causes of Change in Relignon-Holesgem 54

32

41 43

P4GF 30

## Contents

CHARA	PAGE
13. OF THE NATURALL CONDITION OF MANKIND AS CONCERN- ING THEIR FELICITY AND MISERY	63
Men by nature Equal—From Equality proceeds Diffidence- Prom Diffidence Warrs-Out of Gvrl States, fibre is alwayes Warra of every one agains, every one-The in- commoditions of such a War-In such a Warr, nothing is Unjust-The Passons that incluse men to Peace.	
140 OF THE FIRST AND SECOND NATURALL LAWES AND OF CON-	
TAACT . TAACT . A set of the s	66
gation.	
15. OF OTHER LAWES OF NATURE The third Law of Nature, Justice and injustice what—Justice and Propriety begin with the Constitution of Commonwealtip—Justice not Contrary to Reason—	74

Covenants not discharged by the Vice of the Person to whom they are made-Justice of Men, and Justice of Actions what-Justice of Manners, and Justice of Actions -Nothing done to a man, by his own consent can be lajury -Justice Commutative, and Distributive-The fourth Law of Nature, Gratitude-The fifth, Mutuall accommo-dation, or Compleasance-The sixth, Facility to Pardon-The seventh, that in Revenges, men respect onely the future good-The eighth, against Conturnely-The minth, against Price-The tenth, against Arrogance-The eleventh Equity-The twelfth, Equal use of thenes Common-The thirteenth, of Lot-The fourteenth, of Framogensture, and First seising-The fiftcenth, of Mediators-The sixteenth, of Submission to Arbitrement-The seventeenth, No man is his own Judge-The eighteenth, no man to be Judge, that has in him a natural cause of Partiality-The nineteenth, of Witnesses-A Rule, by which the Laws of Nature may easily be examined-The Laws of Nature oblige in Constience alwayes, but in Effect then onely when there is Security-The Laws of Nature are Eternal, And yet Easie -The Science of these Lawes, is the true Morall Philosophy

CRAP

16. OF PERSONS, AUTHORS, AND THINGS PERSONATED

A press what—Jeros Natural and Artingall—The word Person, whence—Actor, Autor, Authority—Covenants by Authority, bind the Author—Bit und the Actor—The Authority is to be shewn—Thing presented, inanimate —Irrestonal; Fales Goti; The true God—A Multitude of nm, how are Verson—Derv on s A Author—An Actor ing, how are Verson—Derv on s A state-An Actor Uppresentatives, what he number is even, upportfable— Negative verso.

# THE SECOND PART

## OF COMMON-WEALTH

- A7. OF THE CAUSES, GENERATION, AND DEFINITION OF A COM-MON-WEALTH
  - The End of Common-wealth, particular Security: Which is not to be had from 16-Law of Nature. Nor from a great Multituda, unless directed by one updgement: And that continually—Why certain orms unres without reason, or speech, provide the common-wealth—Severagme, and Subject, what.
- 18. OF THE RIGHTS OF SOVERAIGNES BY INSTITUTION The act of Instituting a Common-wealth, what-The Consequences to such Institution, are r. The Subjects cannot change the forme of government-2. Soveraigne Power cannot be forfeited-3 No man can without injustice protest against the Institution of the Soveraigne declared by the major part-4. The Soveraigns Actions cannot be justly accused by the Subject-5. Whatsoever the Soveraigne doth, is unpurshable by the Subject-6. The Sove-raigne is judge of what is necessary for the Peace and Defence of his Subjects-And Judge of what Doctrines whereby the Subjects may every man know what is so his owne, as no other Subject can without in ustice take it from him-8. To him also belongeth the Right of all Judicature and decision of Controversies. 9. And of making War, and Peace, as he shall think best; 10. And of choosing all Counsellours, and Misisters, both of Peace, and Warres 17. And of Rewarding, and Punishing, and that (where no former Law hath determined the measure of it) arbitrary: 12. And of Honour and Order-These Rights are indivisible-And can by no Grant passe away without direct renouncing of the Soveraign Power-The Power and Honour of Subjects vanisheth in the presence of the Power Soveraign-Soveraigne Power not so hurtfull as the want of it, and the hurt proceeds for the greatest part from not submitting readily, to a lesse,

90

87

PAGE

10. OF SEVERALL KINDS OF COMMON-WEALTH BY INSTITUTION; AND OF SUCCESSION TO THE SOVERAIGN POWER

The different Formes of Common-wealths but three-Tyranny and Oligarchy, but different names of Monarchy, and Aristocracy-Subordinate Representatives dangerous -Comparison of Monarchy, with Soveraign Assemblycs-Of the Right of Succession-The present Monarch hath Right to dispose of the Succession-Succession passet: by expresse Words, Or, by not controlling a Custome; Or, by presumption of naturall affection—To dispuse of the Succession, though to a King of another Nation, not unlawfull.

#### 104 20. OF DOMINION PATERNALL AND DESPOTICALL

- A Common-wealth by Acquisition-Wherein different from a Common-wealth by Institution-The Rights of Soveraignty the same in both-Doramon Paternall how attained-Not by Generation, but by Contract; Or Education; Or Preca-dent subjection of one of the Parents to the other-The Right of Succession followeth the Rules of the Right of Possession-Despoticall Dominion how attained-Not by the Victory, but by the Consent of the Vanquished-Difference between a Family and a Kingdom-The Rights of Monarchy from Scripture-Soversign Power ought in all Common-wealths to be absolute.
- #1. OF THE LIBERTY OF SUBJECTS .

CHAP.

 Interty what we subspace to be free—Frare and Library consistent—Liberty and Necessity consistent—Aluficial Bonds, or Covenants—Liberty of Subject consistent Library from covenants—Liberty of the Subject consistent with the unlimited power of the Subject consistent building and the library of the Subject consistent with the unlimited power of the Subject consistent which writers prayers is the doverager that in the of private men-IAberty of Subjects how to be measured-Subjects have Liberty to defend-their own budies, even against them that lawfully invade them; Are not bound to hort themselves; Nor to warfare, unlesse they voluntarily undertake n-The Greatest Liberty of Subjects, dependedis on the Silence of the Law-In what Cases Subjects are absolved of their obedience to their Soveraign-In case of Captivity-In case the Soversign cast off the government from humself and his Heyrs,-In case of Banishment-In case the Soversign render himself Subject to another,

#### 22. OF SYSTEMES SUBJECT, POLITICALL, AND PRIVATE

The divers sorts of Systemes of Populo-In all Bodies Politique the power of the Representative is Lamited-By Letters Patents: And the Lawes-When the Representative is one man, his unwarranted Acts are his own oucly-When it is an Assembly, it is the act of them that assented onely-When the Representative is one man, if he borrow mony, or ows it, by Contract; he is lyable onely, the members not-When it is an Assembly, they onely are liable fliat have assented-If the debt be to one of the Assembly, the Body onely is obliged-Protostation against the Decrees of Bodies Politique sometimes lawful, but against Soveraign Power never-Badars Folitique for PAGE oń

CHAP.

Government of a Province, Colony, or Town-Bodies Politique for ordering of Trade-A Bodie Politique for Counsel to be given to the Soveringio-A Regular Frivate Body, Lawiuli, as a Family--Private Bodies Regular, but Uniawhill-Systomes Irregular, such as are Private Leagues-Secret Cabala-Fouds of private Families--Facions for Covenancet

- 23. OF THE PUBLIQUE MINISTERS OF SOVERAIGN FOWER . 126 Publique Munister Whom-Ministers to the general Administrainen-For special Administration, as for Occonomy-For instruction of the People-Tory Juncheuter-Fore Execution-Counsellers without other employment then to Advise also at Publique Ministers.
- 24. OF THE NUTRITION, AND PROCREATION OF A COMMON-WEALTH
- 130

174

140

\*\*\*\*\*

- The Normshines of a Common-wealth consistent in the Commodilies of Ses and Land. And the right Distribution of them—AD private States of Land proceed organity from the arbitrary Distribution of the Soversign—Bropricty of a Subject excludes not the Dynamian of the not to be doted—The Prises and matter of Trailing depend, as their Distribution, on the Soversign—The Javes of transitivity proving the Boys and the Soverdary and Way of more to be Distribution with—The Charter of a Common wealth Colours
- 25. OF COUNSELL .
  - Counsell what-Differences between command, and Counsell-Exhortation and Dehortation what-Differences of fit and unfit Counsellours.
- 26, OF CIVILL LAWES
  - Civil, Law what-The Sovenum is Legulator-And not Subject to Civil Law-Use, a law not by verse of Time, but of thi Sovenum consent-The-Law of Matter, and the second second second second second second second not made by Castons, but yets Sovenum, Powse-Some fooling opinions of Lawyers concerning the making is don't but winds, if not able to the second second list don't but winds, if not able to the second transformer second second second second is not sevlinaritien Laws are all of them Laws of Natur-Northing is Law winds; the classification cannot be hownunwitten Laws are all of them Laws of Natur-User and the second second second second second second Registres-Point Laws are all interpretion and the Tub Registres-Point Laws are all interpretion of Laws and that down there. The latter preter of the Law is large large grant sections in the bond hum, or another Judge rows have another to the latter and the another. Judge the latter mather was all constants and the difference between the Latter and Dawy second laws and Dawies and the "Dubles" of the Laws -Duble Laws and Enforce between the Latter and Dawy second laws and Dawies and Daw - Downe Dawy second laws and Dawies and

- PAGE CHAP. Positive Law how made known to be Law-Another division of Laws-A Funiamental Law what-Difference between Law and Right: And between a Law and a Charter.
- 27. OF CRIMES, EXCUSES, AND EXTENUATIONS . Smne what-A Crune what-Where no Civili Law is, there is no Crime--Ignorance of the Law of Nature excuseth no man-Ignorance of the Civill Laws excuse h sometimes-Ignorance of the Soveraign excuseth not-Ignorance of the Penalty excuseth not-Punishments declared before the Fact, excuse from greater punishments after it-Nothing can be made a Crime by a Law made after the Fact-False Principles of Right and Wrong causes of Crime-False Teachers mis-interpreting the Law of Nature, And false Inferences from true Principles, by Teachers-By their Passions; Presumption of Riches, And Friends; Wisedome-Hatred, Lust, Ambition, Covetousnesse, causes of Crime-Fear sometimes cause of Crime, as when the danger is neither present, nor cor-poreali-Crimes not equal-Totall Excuses-Excuses against the Author-Presumption of Power, aggravateth -Evill Teachers, Extenuate-Examples of Impunity, Extenuate-Premeditation, Aggravaleth-Tacite appro-bation of the Soveraign, Extenuates-Comparison of Crimes from their Effects-Lass Malestas-Bribery and False testimony-Depeculation-Counterfeiting Authority-Crimes against private men compared-Publique Crunes what.
- 28. OF FUNISHMENTS, AND REWARDS
  - The definition of Punishment-Right to Punish whence derived-Private infuries, and revenges no Publishments: Nor denvali of preferment. Nor pain inflicted without publique hearing: Nor pain inflicted by Usurped power: Nor pain inflicted without respect to the future good----Naturall evil consequences, no Punishments-Hurt inflicted, if lesse than the benefit of transgressing, is not Punishment-Where the Punishment is annexed to the Law, a greater hurt is not Punishment, but Hostility-Hurt inflicted for a fact done before the Law, no Punishment-The Representative of the Commonwealth Unpunishable---Hurt to Revolted Subjects is done by right of War, not by way of Punishment-Punishments Corporall --Capitall-Ignominy-Imprisonment-Exile-The Punishmedt of Innocent Subjects is contrary to the Law of Nature-But the Harme done to Innocents in War, not so: Nor that which is done to declared Rebels-Reward is either Salary, or Graco-Benefits bestowed for fear, are not Rewards-Salaries Centain and Casuall
- 29. OF THOSE THINGS THAT WEAKEN, OR TEND TO THE DIS-SOLUTION OF A COMMON-WEALTH Dissolution of Common-wealths proceedeth from their Imperfect Institution-Want of Absolute power-Private Judgement of Good and Evil-Erroneous conscience-Pretence of Inspiration-Subjecting the Soversign Power to Civill Lawes-Attributing of absolute Propriety to Sub-B 691

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

154

:64

CHAF.

PAGE

- ects-Dividing of the Soveraign Power-Instation of Neighbour Nations-Imitation of the Grocks, and Romans --- Mixt Government---Want of Mony----Monopolies and abuses of Publicans-Popular men-Excessive greatnesse of a Town, multitude of Corporations-Liberty of dis-puting against Soveragen Power-Dissolution of the Common-wealth.
- 30. OF THE OFFICE OF THE SOVERAIGN REPRESENTATIVE . 178 The Propagation of the Good of the People-By Instruction and Lawes-Against the duty of a Soveraign to relinquish any Essential Right of Soversignty. Or not to see the people taught the grounds of them-Objection of those that say there are no Principles of Reson for absolute Soveraignty-Objection from the Innepacity of the vulgar-Subjects are to be taught, not to affect change of Government. Nor adhere (against the Soveraign) to Popular mon, Nor to Dispute the Soveraign Power. And Nor adhere (against the Soveraign) to to have dayes set apart to learn their Duty. And to Honour their Parents-And to avoyd doing of Injury: And to do all this sincerely from the heart-The use of Universities-Equal Taxes-Publique Charity-Prevention of Idlenesse-Good Lawes what-Such as are Necessary ---Such as are Perspicuous-Punishments-Rewards-Counsellours-Commanders.
- 31. OF THE KINCDOME OF GOD BY NATURE The scope of the following Chapters---Who are subjects in the kingdome of God---A Threefold Word of God, Reason, Revelation, Prophecy---A twofold kingdome of God, Naturali and Prophetique-The Right of Gods Soveraignty is derived from his Omnipotence-Sinne not the cause of all Affliction-Divinc Laws-Honour and Worship what-Severall signes of Honour-Worship Naturall and Arbitrary-Worship Commanded and Free-Worship Publique and Private-The and of Worship-Attributes of Divine Honour-Actions that are signed of Divine Honour-Publique Worship consistent in Uniformity-All Attributes depend on the Lawes Cwill-Not all Actions -Naturali Punishments-The Conclusion of the Second Part.

## THE THIRD PART

# OF A CHRISTIAN COMMON-WEALTH

190

32. OF THE PRINCIPLES OF CHRISTIAN POLITIOUES The Word of God delivered by Prophets is the main principle of Christian Politiques-Yet is not naturall Reason to be renounced-What it is to captivate the Understanding-How God speaketh to men-By what marks Prophets are known-The marks of a Prophet in the old law, Miracles, and Doctrine conformable to the law-Miracles ceasing, Prophets cease, and the Scripture supplies their place

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

CHAP.

PAGE

3. Or PIR NUMBER, ASTIGUTT, SCORE, ACTRONETY, AND INTERPRETES OF THE BOOKS OF HOLY SCHTTPUE. - 300 Of the Books of Holy Scipture—Ther Astiguty—The Petateach act writes by Mosce-The Book of John writes in the science of the Science - The Book of John writes and the science of the Kings, and the Chronices-Ears and house alter the Captivity—The like of the Bookes dS small —The Books of the Kings, and the Chronices-Ears and house and the Captivity—The science - The Prophysic—The New Testament—There Scope—The question of the Authority of the Scipture stated—Their Authority and Interprettion.

Body and Spirit how taken in the Scripture-The Spirit of God taken in the Scripture constitutes for a Wind, or Breath-Secondly, for extraordinary gaits of the Understanding --Thirdly, for extraordinary Affections-Fourthly, for the grit of Prediction by Dreams and Visions --Filty, for Line-Sirth, for a subordination to a subord what.

- 35. OF THE SIGNIFICATION IN SCRIPTURE OF THE KINGDOME OF GOD. OF HOLY. SACRED. AND SACRAMENT
  - The Kingdom of God taken by Divines Metaphorically, but in the Scriptures properly—The originall of the Kingdome of God—That the Kingdome of God is properly his Civil Soveraignty over a peculiar people by pact—Holy what— Sacrod what—Degrees of Sancity—Sacrament.
- 30. OF THE WORD OF GOD, AND OF PROPHETS

Word what-Tra words packan by God, and concerning God, both are called God Word is Serpitare-The Word of God metapilonally used, inst, for the Decress and Power of the words of the same and equity-Dovers acceptions of the word of Trophet-Perathetical of fatter contingents, and the Prophet-Perathetical of fatter contingents, and the Prophet-Decrement of the Serberg of the Od Tastament he spake by Dreams, or Videos-To Prophets of perpetual Calling, and Supreme, God spake in the Od Tastament he spake by Dreams, or Videos-To Prophets and the Scriptere-To Prophets of perpetual Calling, he suberfunds, God spake by Les Synth-God superior and also guade by Lotz-Devery run coght to examine the prohthe Soverspires Prophet is low examine the proh-

219

CITAP

 OF THE SIGNIFICATION IN SCRIPTURE OF ETERNALL LIFE, HEL, SALVATION, THE WORLD TO COME, AND RE-DEMPTION

р<u>а</u>се 240

261

266

The piner of Adams Eistnryl if he had not maned, had been the terretail provides-fast conterning the pince of life Eistrall, for Beisers-Astronom into heaves-The biase after Jodgmant, of those where server at the Kingbiase after Jodgmant, of those where server at the Kingter and the server of the server of the server of the The congregation of Gints-Lake of First-Uter Darkmens-Orthogram, and Tophet-Othe Install sense of the Strepture concerning Heil-Satus, Devil, not Froper amore, but Appellations-Tomaton is Heil-The Joyes of the server of the same thing-Satus of the server of the same thing-Satus of Saturation-Redemption.

#### 39. OF THE SIGNIFICATION IN SCRIPTURE OF THE WORD CHURCH 251

Church the Lords house—Ecclesia properly what—In what sense the Church is one Person—Church defined—A Christian Common-wealth, and a Church all one.

40. OF THE RIGHTS OF THE KINGDOME OF GOD, IN ABRAHAM, MOSES, THE HIGH PRIESTS, AND THE KINGS OF JUDAH 253

The Scorenzy Right of Abraham—Akraham had the sole power of obtaining the Addigoto also now people-No poztaneous and the Addigoto also now people-No poztaneous and the Addigoto also now people-None was the authority of Mome witerous grounded—Mones was though Aaron had the Direktower Adder Mone was command to the physical Direktower and the Scoreregiment to the spirit of Mones-Adder source the Scoreregiment on the spirit of Mones-Adder Score the Scorete Kungs of scare-Trajectories Outpremay in Relation, was not in the time of the Kanga, according to the Kapi Commun-weakling depression of the Score and the Scoref Score and the Score and Score and Score and Score and Score and Score and the Score and Score a

41. OF THE OFFICE OF OUR BLESSED SAVIOUR . . .

Three parts of the Office of Christ-Hin Office is a Redeemer--Christ Kungdome not of the world-The End of Chrus commy was to reserv the Covenut of the Kingdome of the second part of the Software Christ nation that second parts of the Software The preaching of Christ nat contrary to like their like of the Fers, nor of Cassar-thard part of his Office west to be King (much shi Fatther) of the Software Software Christian Software Software et al. Software Software Software Software Software the Software Software Software Software Software is the Person propresented by Moses, and by Christ.

42. OF POWER ECCLESIASTICALL . . .

Of the Holy Spirit that fel on the Apostles-Of the Trinity-The Power Ecclesiastical is but the power to teach-An argument thereof, the Power of Christ himself From the name of Regeneration: From the comparison of it, with CHAP.

PAGE

319

Fishing, Leaven, Seed-From the nature of Faith: I the Authority Christ hath left to Civil Princes-What Christians may do to avoid persecution-Of Martyrs-Argument from the points of their Commission-To Preach -And Teach-To Baptize, And to Forgive, and Retain Sinnes-Of Excommunication-The use of Excommunication without Civill Power, Of no effect upon an Apostate-But upon the faithfull only-For what fault lyeth Excommunication-Of persons hable to Excommunication-Of the Interpreter of the Scriptures before Civil Soveragns became Christians-Of the Power to make Scripture Law-Of the Ten Commandements-Of the Judiciall and Leviticall Law-The Second Law-The Old Testament when made Canonicall-The New Testament began to be Canonicall under Christian Soveraigns-Of the Power of Councells to make the Scriptures Law-Of the Right of constituting Ecclesiasticall Officers in the time of the Apostles-Matthias made Apostle by the Congregation-Paul and Bamabas made Apostles by the Church of Antioch-What Offices in the Church are Magisterial-Ordination of Teachers-Ministers of the Church what: And how chosen Of Ecclesiasticall Revenue, under the Law of Moses-In our Saviours time, and after-The Ministers of the Gospel lived on the Benevolence of their flocks-That the Civill Soveraign being a Christian hath the Right of appointing Pastors-The Pastorall Authority of Soveraigns only is de Jure Duvino, that of other Pastors is Jure Couls-Christian Kings have Power to execute all manner of Pastoral function-The Civill Soveraigne if a Christian, is head of the Church in his own Dominions-Cardinal Bellarmines Books De Summo Pontifice considered-The first book-The second Book-The third Book-The fourth Book-Texts for the Infallibility of the Popes Judgement in points of Faith-Texts for the same in point of Manners-The question of Superiority between the Pope and other Bishops-Of the Popes Temporall Power

- 43. OF WHAT IS NECESSARY FOR A MANS RECEPTION INTO THE KINGDOME OF HEAVEN
  - The difficulty of obeying God and Man both at once, Is none to them that distinguals between what at, and what is not to them that distinguals between what at, and what is not becoming a strain of the strain of the strain of the strain becoming in the Person belowed—The starses of Christians where the strain of the Person belowed. The starses of the strain of the Person belowed—The starses of Christian Attale of Christians Path; Prove the Starses of the Starses of the Destrate. Then is the star of the Starses in the starsess of the Destrate. Then is stars of the Starses —In what sense other Attales may be called Neckersy Shythen—What each of them contributes thermatostrate, whether Christian Christian Starses and the star Shythen—What each of them contributes thermatostrate, whether Christian Christian Starses and non-

YYYYIII

# Leviathan

## THE FOURTH PART

#### OF THE KINGDOME OF DARKNESSE

CUAP.

44 OF SPRITUALL DARNESSE FROM MISHTEFFEITATION OF SCRITTOR The Kingdom of Derivative what—The Church soft yet faily the Kingdom of Derivative the Scripters, coherening the Kingdoms of God—As that the Kingdoms of God is the Resolution of God—As that the Kingdoms of God is the Resolution of Cod—As that the Kingdoms of Cod is the Resolution of Cod—As that the Kingdoms of Cod is the Resolution of Cod—As that the Kingdoms of Cod is the Resolution of Cod—As that the Kingdoms of Cod as the Pattern are the Coge—Briter for ministing Big and the Gosteenstor of Pateom—Briters the Dostrine of The Torix Subject for the Dostrine of Sector The Torix Subject for the Dostrine of Sector Science and the Gosteenstor of Dostrine of Sector The Torix Subject for the Dostrine of Sector The Torix Subject for the Dostrine of Sector Science and Subject for the Dostrine of Sector The Torix Subject for the Dostrine of Sector Sector Sector Subject for the Sector Sector Sector The Torix Subject for the Sector Torix Torix Sector S

The Text's sledged for the Dottines aforemantional have been answered before—Anvere to the text on which Beas methods and the state of the state of the state of the rest of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state state of the state

45. OF DEMONOLOGY, AND OTHER RELIGUES OF THE RELIGION OF THE GENTILES

The Original of Dæmonology-What were the Dæmons of the Ancients-How that Doctrine was spread-How far received by the Jews--Why our Saviour controlled it not----The Scriptures doe not teach that Spirits are Incorporeali----The Power of Casting out Devills, not the same it was in the Primitive Church-Another religue of Gentalisme. Worshipping of Images, left in the Church, not brought into it-Answer to certain seeming texts for Images---What is Worship-Distinction between Divine and Civili Worship - An Image what - Phantasmes - Fictions-Materiall Images-Idolatry what-Scandalous worship of Images-Aaswer to the Argument from the Cherubins, and Brazen Serpent-Painting of Fancles no Idolatry, but abusing them to Religious worship is-How Idolatry was left in the Church-Canonizing of Saints-The name of Pontifex-Procession of Images-Wax Candles, and Torches lighted.

46. Of DARKNESSE FROM VAIN PRILOSOPHY, AND FABULOUS TRADITIONS

What Philosophy is—Prudence no part of Philosophy—No false Doctrine is part of Philosophy: No more is Revelation supernaturall. Nor learning taken upon tredit of Authors—Of the Beginaings and Progresse of Philosophy

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

349

PAGE

c

4

HAP.	PAGE
— Of the Schools of Philosophy amongst the Athennas- Of the Schools of the Jews-The Schools of the Granuan unport table-The Schools of the Jews unproficible- take the School of the Jews and the School of the Jews Aratolist Michighysquest-Errors concerning Atstract Evences-Nunestama-One Body in many pickes, and many Doders meen pices alcone-Abendicist a natural Philosophy and a school of the School of School Philosophy and a school of the School of School or and the School of Appention-Will, the Cause of Willing-I- norance an occili Cause-One makes the thing's Incon- rument, and Organization-Law over the Consense- Prints Interpretation of Law-Language of School Division-Fires toon Tradition-Supprevious of Reasen. Division-Fires toon Tradition-Supprevious of Reasen.	
7. OF THE BENEFIT PROCEEDING FROM SUCH DARKNESSE, AND TO WHOM IT ACCREWRTH . He that received Benefit by a Faci, is presumed to be the	376

Is that received Beneili by a Fact, is presumed to be the Author—That the Church Militant is the Kingdome of God, was first taught by the Church of Rome—And main-taned also by the Presivery—Infallibity—Subjection of Bistops—Exemptions of the Clergy—The names of Saco-dows and Sacringcos—The Sacramentation of Marriage dots and SAGINGS-ins SaGamentation or marrage-The single lie of Prests-Auroular Confession-Canoniza-tion of Sonits, and declaring of Martyrs-Transubstan-tiation, Premaine, Absolution-Purgatory, Indugances, Externall works-Deemonology and Exoreism-School-Divinity-The Authors of Spiritual Darkinsse, who they be-Comparison of the Papacy with the Kingdome of Favries

A REVIEW AND CONCLUSION . 385

## TO MY MOST BONOR'D FRIEND Ms. FUANCIS GODOLPHIN of Godolphin

#### Honor'd Sir.

Youn most worthy Brother Mr. Sidney Godoluhin, when he lived. was pleas'd to think my studies something, and otherwise to obliga me, as you know, with reall testimonies of his good onmion, great in themselves, and the greater for the worthingsse of his person For there is not any vertue that disposeth a man, either to the service of God, or to the service of his Country, to Chyll Society, or private Friendship, that did not manifestly appear in his conversation not as acquired by necessity, or affected upon occasion, but inherent, and shining in a generous constitution of his nature Therefore in honour and gratitude to him, and with devotion to your selfe. I humbly Dedicate unto you this my discourse of Commonwealth. I know not how the world will receive it, nor how it may reflect on those that shall seem to favour it. For in a way beset with those that contend, on one side for too great Liberty, and on the other side for too much Authority, 'tis hard to passe between the points of both unwounded But yet, me thinks, the endeavour to advance the Civill Power, should not he by the Civill Power condemned: nor private men, by reprehending it, declare they think that Power too great. Besides, I speak not of the men, but (in the Abstract) of the Seat of Power, (like to those simple and unpartial creatures in the Roman Capitol, that with their novae defended those within it, not because they were they, but there,) offending none. I think, but those without, or such within (if there be any such) as favour them. That which perhaps may most offend, are certain Texts of Holy Scripture, alledged by me to other purpose than ordinarily they use to be by others. But I have done it with due submission, and also (in order to my Subject) necessarily; for they are the Outworks of the Enemy, from whence they impugne the Civil Power. If notwithstanding this, you find my labour generally deerved, you may be pleased to excuse your selfe, and say I am a man that love my own opinions, and think all true I say, that I honoured your Brother, and honour you, and have presum'd on that, to assume the Title (without your knowledge) of being, as I am.

### Sm.

Your most humble, and most

obedient servant,

THO. HOBERS.

Paris. Aprill 18. 1651.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# THE INTRODUCTION

NATURE (the Art wherehy God hath made and governes the World) is by the Art of man, as in many other things, so in this also imitated, that it can make an Artificial Animal. For seeing life is but a motion of Limbs, the begining whereof is in some principall part within; why may we not say, that all Automata (Engines that move themselves by springs and wheeles as doth a watch) have an artificiall hie? For what is the Heart, but a Spring, and the Nerves, but so many Strings; and the Jounts, but so many Wheeles, giving motion to the whole Body, such as was intended by the Artificer ? Art goes yet further, imitating that Rationall and most excellent works of Nature. Man. For by Art is created that great ~ LEVIATHAN called a COMMON-WEALTH, or STATE, (in latine CIVITAS) which is but an Artificiall Man; though of greater stature and strength than the Naturall, for whose protection and defence it was intended, and in which, the Soveraignty is an Artificiall Soul, as giving life and motion to the whole hody: The Magistrates, and other Officers of Judicature and Execution, artificial Jounts: Reward and Punishment (by which fastned to the seate of the Soveraignty, every joynt and member is moved to performe his duty) are the Nerves, that do the same in the Body Naturall, The Wealth and Riches of all the particular members, are the Strenoth: Salus Populi (the peoples safety) its Businesse; Counsellors, by whom all things needfull for it to know, are suggested unto it, are the Memory: Equity and Lawes, an artificial Reason and Will; Concord, Health; Sedition, Sicknesse; and Civill war, Death Lastly, the Pacts and Covenants, by which the parts of this Body Politique were at first made, set together, and united, resemble that Fiat, or the Let us make man, pronounced by God in the Creation.

To describe the Nature of this Artificiall man, I will consider

First, the Matter thereof, and the Artifleer; both which in Man. Secondly, How, and by what Covennas it is made; what are the Kights and just Poncer or Authority of a Soveraigne; and what it is into grovered and dissolved it Thirdly, what is a Christian Common-wealth. Lastly, what is the Kinakome of Darkness.

Concerning the first, there is a saying much surged of lata. Tits. Wiesdows is sourced, not by reading of Books, but of Mrs. Consequently whereunto, those persons, that for the most part can give no taber proof chours wirs, take great delight to abow what they think they have read in men, by uncharitable consures of one another bhink that books. But there is another saying not of

\*B 661

late understood, by which they might learn truly to read one another, if they would take the pains; and that is, Nosce terpsum, Read thy self: which was not meant, as it is now used, to countenance, either the barbarous state of men in power, towards their inferiors: or to encourage men of low degree, to a sawcie behaviour towards their betters; But to teach us, that for the similitude of the thoughts, and Passions of one man, to the thoughts, and Passions of another, whoseever looketh into himself, and considereth what he doth, when he does think, opine, reason, hope, feare, &c, and upon what grounds; he shall thereby read and know, what are the thoughts, and Passions of all other men, upon the like occasions. I say the similitude of Passions, which are the same in all men, desire, feare, hops, &c; not the similitude of the objects of the Passtons, which are the things desared, feared, hoped, &c: for these the constitution individuall, and particular education do so vary, and they are so easie to be kept from our knowledge, that the characters of mans heart, blotted and confounded as they are, with discombling, lying, counterfeiting, and erroneous doctrines, are legible onely to him that searcheth hearts. And though by mens actions wes do discover their designe sometimes; yet to do it without comparing them with our own, and distinguishing all circumstances, by which the case may come to be altered, is to decupher without a key, and be for the most part deceived, by too much trust, or by too much diffidence: as he that reads, is humself a good or evil man.

But lot one man read another by his actions never so perfectly, it serves him only with has any univariance, which are but for. Ho that is to govern a whole Nation, must read in himself, not this, or that matched must be Marking's which discuss the hard to do hardref that to learn any Language, or Sciencer yet, which i shall hardref that to learn any Language, or Sciencer yet, which i shall a socker, will be ought to consider, if it walls on in out to assume in himself. For this kind of Dostrana, similateth no other Demonstratour.

# PART I .-- OF MAN

## CHAP. I

#### Of SENSE

Concensures the Thoughts of man, I will consider them first simply, and atternaris in Transac, or depandence upon one anchine. Singly, they are every one a Representation or Apparence, of some quality, or other Accollent of a holy wildowt tay, within its commonly called not of the body of the start of the simple of the diversity of Ansennesses.

The Original' of them all, is that which we call SENSE; (For there is no conception in a mans mind, which hath not at first, totally, or by parts, been begotten upon the organs of Sense ) The rest are derived from that original.

To know the naturall cause of Sense, is not very necessary to the business now in hand; and I have elsewhere written of the same at large. Nevertheless, to fill each part of my present method, I will briefly deliver the same in this place.

The cause of Sonse, is the Externall Body, or Object. which presseth the organ proper to each Sense, either immodiatly, as m the Tast and Touch: or mediately, as in Seeing, Hearing, and Smelling: which pressure, by the mediation of Norves, and other strings, and membranes of the body, continued inwards to the Brain, and Heart, causeth there a resistance, or counter pressure, or endcayour of the Heart, to deliver it self; which endeavour because Outward, seemeth to be some matter without. And this seeming, or fancy, is that which men call Sense; and consisteth, as to the Eye, in a Light, or Colour figured; To the Eare, m a Sound; To the Nostrill, in an Odour: To the Tongue and Palat, in a Savour: And to the rest of the body, in Heat, Cold, Hardnesse, Softnesse, and such other qualities, as we discern by Feeling. All which qualitics called Sensible, are in the object that causeth them, but so many several motions of the matter, by which it presseth our organs diversely. Neither in us that are pressed, are they any thing else, but divers motions; (for motion, produceth nothing but motion.) But their apparence to us is Fancy, the same waking, that dreaming. And as pressing, rubbing, or striking the Eye, makes us fancy a light; and

pressing the Bace, produced: a dinac; see do the bedies also yee see, or hear, produce tho same by brick strong, though unobserved actions. Tor if thuse Colours, and Sounds, were in the Bodies, or Objects that cannot be an over our dinated the second from them, are within the bing we see, is in one place; the apparame, in another. And though as some certain diffusions, bits real, and very object seem invested with the fancy it begets in us. Yet still the object is conting, the bings or favoir is another. Not that Seeme and Lease, presents, that is, by the noise, or determined things upon our Ryes. Earst, such observing origination origination.

But the Philosophy-schooles, through all the Universities of Christendome, grounded upon certain Texts of Aristofle, teach another doctrine: and say. For the cause of Vision, that the thing seen, sendeth forth on every side a visible species (in English) a visible shew, apparition, or aspect, or a being seen; the receiving whereof into the Eve, is Seeing And for the cause of Hearing, that the thing heard, sendeth forth an Audible species, that is, an Audible asped, or Audible being seen; which entring at the Eare, maketh Hearing. Ney for the cause of Understanding also, they say the thing Understood sendsth forth intelligible species, that is, an intelligible being seen; which comming into the Understanding, makes us Understand. I say not this, as disapproving the use of Universitios: but because I am to speak hereafter of their office in a Common wealth. I must let you see on all occasions by the way, what things would be amended in them; amongst which the frequency of maignificant Speech is one.

# CHAP. II

# Of Imagenation

That when a thing lies still, unless concerning desting the (t, t) will be still for ever, is a trath this to non and outins d. But that when a bing as in motion, it will sternally be un motion, unless concerning a star still sternally be the stars, (naturely, that notking, on change it selfs, is note one solid star stars, the start, the start, the start, the start, the start start is the start is the start, the start start is the start i

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

Knowledge of what is good for their conservation, (which is more than man has) to things inanimate, absurdly.

When a Body is one's m motion, it moves it unless something dehinder it) terrestally; and which source inducts it, is cannot in an instant, hur in time, and by degrees quite extinguish it. And as we see it has water, hough the wind monotone in the set of the oblight of the set of the set of the set of the set of the probability of the set of the set of the set of the set of the probability of the set of the the set of the the set of the the set of the the set of the the set of the the set of the set

The decay of Sense in men waking, is not the decay of the motion made in sense; but an obscuring of it, in such manner, as the light of the Sun obscureth the light of the Starres: which starrs do no less exercise their vertue by which they are visible, in the day, than in the night But because amongst many stroaks, which our eyes, earse, and other organs receive from externall bodies, the predominant onely is sensible; therefore the light of the Sun being predominant, we are not affected with the action of the starrs. And any object being removed from our eyes, though the impression it made in us remain; yot other objects more present succeeding, and working on us, the Imagination of the past is obscured, and made weak; as the voyce of a man is in the noyse of the day. From whence it followeth, that the longer the time is, after the sight, or Sense of any object, the weaker is the Imagination. For the continuall change of mans body, destroyes in time the parts which in sense were moved: So that distance of time, and of place, hath one and the same effect in us. For as at a great distance of place, that which wee look at, appears dimme, and without distinction of the smaller parts; and as Voyces grow weak, and inarticulate: so also after great distance of time, our imagination of the Past is weak: and wee loso (for example) of Cities wee have seen, many particular Streets; and of Actions, many particular Circumstances. This decaying sense, when wee would express the thing it self. (I mean fancy it solfe.) wee call Imagination, as I said before: But when we would express the decay, and signific that the Sense is fading, old, and past, it is called Memory So that Imagination and Memory are but one thing, which for divers considerations hath divers names.

Much memory, or memory of many things, is called Experience. Agains, Imagination being only of blace bings which have been formerly perceived by Sense, either all at once, or by parts at severall times; The former, (which is the imagining the whole object, as it was presented to the sense) is similar Emaintarizing at

when one imagineth a man, or horse, which he hath seen before. The other is Compounded: as when from the sight of a man at one time, and of a horse at another, we conceive in our mind a Centaure, So when a man compoundable the image of his own person, with the image of the actions of an other man; as when a man imagina himselfe a Hercules, or an Alexander, (which happeneth often to them that are much taken with reading of Romants) it is a compound imagination, and properly but a Fiction of the mind. There be also other Imaginations that rise in men. (though waking) from the great impression made in sense: As from gasing upon the Sun, the impression leaves an image of the Sun before our eves a long time after: and from being long and vehemently attent upon Geometricall Figures, a man shall in the dark, (though awake) have the Images of Lines, and Angles before his eyes: which kind of Fency hath no particular name: as being a thing that doth not commonly fall into mena discourse.

The imaginations of them that sleep, are those we call Dreams. And these also (as all other Imaginations) have been before, either totally, or by parcells in the Sense. And because in sense, the Brain, and Nerves, which are the necessary Organs of sonse, are so benummed in sleap, as not easily to be moved by the action of Externall Objects, there can happen in sleep, no Imagination: and therefore no Dreame, but what proceeds from the agitation of the inward parts of mans body : which inward parts, for the connexion they have with the Bravn, and other Organs, when they be distempered, do keep the same in motion; whereby the Imaginations there formerly made, appeare as if a man were waking: saving that the Organs of Sense being now benummed, so as there is no new object, which can master and obscure them with a more vigorous impression, a Dreame must needs be more cleare, in this silence of sense, than are our waking thoughts. And hence it cometh to passe, that it is a hard matter, and by many thought impossible to distinguish exactly between Sense and Dreaming. For my part, when I consider, that in Dreames, I do not often, nor constantly think of the same Persons, Places, Objects, and Actions that I do waking; nor remember so long a trayne of coherent thoughts, Dreaming, as at other times; And because waking I often observe the absurdity of Dreames, but never dream of the absurdities of my waking Thoughts: I am well satisfied, that being awake, I know I dreame not; though when I dreame, I think my selfc awake.

And seeing dramms are caused by the datatempter of some of the invard parts of the Body, drawer distances must needs cause dufferent Dramm. And hence it is, that lying cold breadsh Dreams of Fares, and results the thought and Image of some feasiful object (the motion from the brain to the inner parts, and from the same parts to the Dram binding reinformation). And that as danger caused along, the over heating of the same parts caused, Anger, and reinform when the languistic on a Racmay. In the same manerer as natural kindness, when we are awake onuseth desire; and desire makes heat in cortain other parts of the body; so also, too much icat in those parts, while we also, rauseth in the brain an imagination of some kindness shewn. In summe, our Dreams are the reverse of our waking Imaginations; The motion when we are awake, beginning at one each; and when we Dream, at another.

The most difficult discerning of a mans Dream, from his waking thoughts, is then, when by some accident we observe not that we have slept: which is easie to happen to a man full of fearfull thoughts : and whose conscience is much troubled; and that sleepeth, without the circumstances, of going to hed, or putting off his clothes, as one that noddeth in a chayre For he that taketh pains, and industriously layes himself to sleep, in case any uncouth and exorbitant fancy come unto him, cannot easily think it other than a Dream. We read of Marcus Brutus, (one that had his life given him by Julius Casar, and was also his favorite, and notwithstanding murthered him,) how at Philippi, the night before he gave battell to Augustus Corsor, hee saw a fearfull apparition, which is commonly related by Historians as a Vision: but considering the circumstances, one may easily judge to have been but a short Dream. For sitting in his tent. pensive and troubled with the horrour of his rash act, it was not hard for him, slumbering in the cold, to dream of thist which most affrighted him; which feare, as by degrees it made him wake, so also it must needs make the Apparition by degrees to vanish. And having no assurance that he slept, he could have no cause to think it a Dream, or any thing but a Vision. And this is no very rare Accident: for even they that be perfectly awake, if they be timorous. and supporstitious, possessed with fearfull tales, and alone in the dark, are subject to the like fancies; and believe they see sourits and dead mens Ghosts walking in Church-yards; whereas it is either their Fanoy onely, or ols the knavery of such persons, as make use of such superstitious feare, to passe disguised in the night, to places they would not be known to haunt.

From this ignorance of how to distinguish Dreams, and other strong Fancies, from Vision and Sense, did arise the greatest part of the Religion of the Gentiles in time past, that worshipped Satyres, Fawnes, Nymphs, and the like; and now adayes the opinion that rude people have of Faynes, Ghosts, and Goblins; and of the power of Witches. For as for Witches, I think not that their witchcraft is any reall power; but yet that they are justly punished, for the false beliefe they have, that they can do such mischiefe, joyned with their purpose to do it if they can: their trade being neerer to a new Religion, than to a Craft or Science. And for Fayries, and walking Ghosts, the opinion of them has I think been on purpose, athor taught, or not confuted, to keep in credit the use of Exorcisme, of Crosses, of holy Water, and other such inventions of Ghostly men. Neverthelesse, there is no doubt, but God can make unnatural! Apparitions: But that he does it so often, as men need to feare such things, more than they feare the stay, or change, of the course of Nature, which is also can sky, and change, is no point of Ciritian fails. But evel lines under pretent that God and as avy bling, are so bold as to say any thing when it serves their curs, though luoy further, then tright reason makes that which they say, appear credible. If this supersitions fast of Spirile were taken away, and with it, Prognations from Dreams, fails probables, and many other things depending thereon, by which, analy ambring and many other things depending thereon, by which, and/y ambrinos persons are for will Obselments.

And this aught to be the work of the Schooles: but they rather norms and Adortans. For (nod knowing what Imagination, or the Scnees are), what they receive, they teach: some saving, that inaginations rate of themselves, and have no cause: Olicer that they rise most commonly from the Will, and Chat Good thoughts are blown (mayered) into a man, by God; and D'all thoughts by the Drevili or that Good thoughts are powred (infised) into a man, by God, and D'all one by the Diversil. Now as yit is Scneer stores the Common Scneegher them over to the Nacoy, and the making of the Memory and the Memory to the Judgement, like handing of the Memory, and the Memory to the Judgement, like handing of things from one to another, with many words making nothing understool.

The Imagention that is raysed in man (or any other creature induced with the isolarly of insigning by works, or other voluntary figures, as that we generally sail Dubershouldary, and is estimate the or the sating of its Matters and so will hancy other Beats. That Understanding which is peculiar to man, is the Understanding not oncy his will, but his conceptions and thoughts, by the sequeland contexture of the pince of things into Affirmation, Megdens, and entexture of the isometry of this kinds or Understanding that have been the second of this kinds of Understanding that have been the second of this kinds of Understanding

## CHAP. III

#### Of the Consequence or TRAYNE of Imaginations

By Consequence, or TRAYNE of Thoughts, I understand that succession of one Thought to another, which is called (to distinguish if from Discourse in words) Merical Discourse.

When a man thinkeds on any thing wintscover, His next Thought atter, is not allogather so cashall sat weems to be. Not every Thought to every Thought succeeds inhiftmently. But as wee have no languagiton, wheread we have not formerly had Sense, in whola, or in parts; so we have no Transwitch from one Imagination to another, wheread we have and the like before in our Sense. The

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

reason whereod is this. All Functes are Moticns within us, reliques of these made in this Sense. And these motions that numeriatively successful one another in the sense, continue also together after Sense. In a mouth as the formut ourmong capits to take places, and moved, in such manness, as water upon a plan. Table's id shown which way any one part of it is a guided by the finger. The theorem the sense, to one and the same thing perceaved, sunctimes one thing, sametimes another successful, it counts to passe in time, that in this languing of any thing; there is no certainty what we shall successful successful, it could be able to the same the shall successful the successful in time or another.

This Trayne of Thoughts, or Mentall Discourse, is of two sorts. The first is Unruided, without Designe, and meanstant: Wherein there is no Passionate Thought, to govern and direct these that follow, to it self, as the end and scope of some desire, or other passion: In which case the thoughts are said to wander, and seem impertinent one to another, as in a Dream Such are Commonly the thoughts of men, that are not onely without company, but also without care of any thing; though even then their Thoughts are as busic as at other times, but without barmony, as the sound which a Lute out of tune would yeeld to any man; or in tune, to one that could not play. And yet in this wild ranging of the mind, a man may off-times perceive the way of it, and the dependance of one thought upon another. For in a Discourse of our present civill warre, what could seem more importment, than to ask (as one did) what was the value of a Roman Penny? Vet the Coherence to me was manifest enough For the Thought of the warre, introduced the Thought of the delivering up the King to his Enemies; The Thought of that, brought in the Thought of the delivering up of Christ: and that again the Thought of the 30 ponce, which was the price of that treason: and thence easily followed that malicious guisstion; and all this in a moment of time; for Thought is quick

The second is more constant; as being regulated by somo desire, and designs. For the impression made by small timega as were desire, or fearce, as strong, and permanent, or, (if it coases for a tarme) of quick returns to attract the Thought of scena means we have seen prome below of this whence, as do hindre and from the thought of this, the thought of means to this mean; and so continually, till we come to accume beginning within our own, power. And because the associate that the through of power. And because the associate the target of the impression, comes of them to means the scenario that the presence of the impression, comes from molecule have a start within observed by one of the scenario regular molecule have given men this presence, look often upon value' you would have, such the thing that directs all your throughts in the way to attain it.

The Trayn of regulated Thoughts 18 of two kinds, One, when of

an effect imagined, wee seek the causes, or means that produce it; and this is common to Man and Beast. The other is, when imagining any thing whatspever, wee seek all the possible effects, that can by it be produced; that is to say, we imagine what we can do with it, when wes have it. Of which I have not at any time seen any signe, but in man onsiv: for this is a curiosity hardly incident to the nature of any living creature that has no other Passion but sensual! such as are hunger, thirst, lust, and anger. In summe, the Discourse of the Mind, when it is governed by designe, is nothing but Seeking, or the faculty of Invention, which the Latines call Sagacitas. and Soleriza: a hunting out of the causes, of some effect, present or past; or of the effects, of some present or past cause. Sometimes a man seeks what he hath lost; and from that place, and time. wherein hee misses it, his mind runs back, from place to place, and time to time, to find where, and when he had it; that is to say, to find some certain, and limited time and place, in which to begin a method of seeking. Again, from thence, his thoughts run over the same places and times, to find what action, or other occasion might make him lose it. This we call Remembrance, or Calling to mind; the Latines call it Reminiscentic, as it were a Re-conning of our former actions.

Sometimes a man knows a pikeo determinate, within the compasse whereof he is to seek; and then his thoughts run over all the parts thereof, in the same manner, as one would sweep a room, to find a jewell; or as a Spaniel ranges the field, till he find a sent; or as a man should run over the Alphabet, to start a rime.

Sometime a man desires to know the event of an action: and then he thinketh of some like action past, and the events thereof one after another, supposing like events will follow like actions. As he that foresees what wil become of a Criminal, ro-cons what he has seen follow on the like Grime before: having this order of thoughts. The Crime, the Officer, the Prison, the Judge, and the Gallowea. Which kind of thoughts is called Foresight, and Prudence. or Providence: and sometimes Wisdoms; though such conjecture. through the difficulty of observing all circumstances, be very fallacious. But this is certain: by how much one man has more experience of things past, than another: by so much also he is more Prudent. and ins expectations the seldomer faile him. The Present onely has a being in Nature: things Past have a being in the Memory onely, but things to come have no being at all; the Future being but a fiction of the mind, applying the sequels of actions Past, to the actions that are Present; which with most certainty is done by him that has most Experience: but not with certainty enough. And though it be called Prudence, when the Event answereth our Expectation; yet in its own nature, it is but Presumption. For the foresight of things to come, which is Providence, belongs onely to him by whose will they are to come. From him onely, and supernaturally, proceeds Prophecy. The best Prophet naturally is the best guesser; and the best guesser, he that is most versed and studied in the matters he guesses at: for he hath most Signes to

 $\stackrel{\sim}{A}$  Signs, is the Frent Antecedent, of the Consequent; and contrainly, the Consequent of the Antecedent, when the like Consequences have been observed, before: And the offner they have been bear out, the less uncertain is its Signs. And therefore be that has most experience in any kind of businesse, has most Signs, whereby to guesse at the Fluture time, and consequently is the most prodent: And so nucl more prudent than be that is now in that kind of business, as no to be equalled by any advantage of naturall and extemporary wit: though perhaps many young men think the ontherare.

Neverthelesso it is not Prudence that distinguisheth man from beast. There he beasts, that at a year old observe more, and pursue that which is for their good, more prudently, than a child can do at ten.

As Prudence is a Presentation of the Pattere, contracted from the Experience of time Prast: So there is a Presentation of things Past taken from other things (not future but) past also. For ise that hold seen by what courses and degrees, a fourishing State lath first come into civil warre, and then to ruine, upon the sight of the ruines of any other State, will guesse, the Bite warre, and the Bite or and the State of the State of the State of the State Incertainty almost with the empiriture of the Future: both being grounded ondy upon Experiment.

There is no other set of many mind, that I can remember, naturally planted in fins, so, as to need to other thing, to the excreme of it, but to be born a man, and live with the use of his five Sense. Those other Franklikes, of which I hall ipsak by and thy and which seem proper to man only, are conjuned, and encreased by induction, and proceed all from the investion of Words, and Spiech. For one of the sense is a sense of the sense of the sense of the other moder and the sense of the sense of the sense of man has no other models. Hough by the high of Spiech and Mothed, the same Faculty as may be improved to such a height, as to olistingial and from from all other living Constances.

Whatdevers we imaging is Finit. Therefore there is no lates, or conception of any tiding we call Lifefric. N means can have in his mind an image of infinite magnitude; nor conceive infinite writeness, infinite into, or infinite fore, or infinite power. When we are usy thing is fifthile, we signific only, that we are not able to conception of the thing, but of our own madility. And therefore Canception of the thing, but of our own madility. And therefore Lineary the mathematical and bounds and concerts imaging the line both that we may henour them. Also because whateover (at I and befort), we concervely, has been provided if the size of the line a conce, or by parts; a man can have no thought, presenting any thing, not subject to sume. No man therefore our concerve are thing, but he must conceive it is more phase; and indust with some determinates magnitude; and which may be divided unto parts; nor that any thing is all in this phase, and all in another phase at the same bines nor that two, or more things ease be in one, and the same place at once: For pone of these things ever have, or can be invited to Songie; lut are abated speceher, they upon credit (without any signification at all, from decived Philosophers, and decived, or docevine Schoolenen.

#### CHAP. IV

## Of Sphech

The Invention of Printing, though ingenious, compared with the invention of Letters, is no great matter. But who was the first that found the use of Letters, is not known. He that first brought them into Greece, men say was Cadmus, the sonne of Agenor, King of Phanicia. A profilable Invention for continuing the memory of time past, and the conjunction of mankind, dispersed into so many. and distant regions of the Earth, and with all difficult, as proceeding from a watchfull observation of the divers motions of the Tongue. Palat, Lins, and other organs of Speech; whereby to make as many differences of characters, to remember them But the most noble and profitable invention of all other, was that of SPRECH, consisting of Names or Appellations, and their Connexion; whereby men register their Thoughts: recall them when they are past; and also declare them one to snother for mutual utility and conversationwithout which, there had been amongst men, petther Commonwealth, nor Society, nor Contract, nor Peace, no more than amongst Lyons, Bears, and Wolves The first author of Speech was God himself, that instructed Adam how to name such creatures as he presented to his sight; For the Scripture goeth no further in this matter. But this was sufficient to direct hun to adde more names. as the experience and use of the creatures should give hum occasion; and to jown them in such manner by degrees, as to make himself understood: and so by succession of time, so much language might he gotten, as he had found use for; though not so copious as an Orator or Philosopher has need of. For I do not find any thing in the Scripture, out of which, directly or by consequence can be gathered, that Adam was taught the names of all Figures, Numbers, Mensures, Colours, Sounds, Fancues, Relations; much less the names of Words and Speech, as Generall, Speciall, Affirmative, Negative, Interrogative, Optative, Infinitive, all which are usefull; and least of all, of Entity, Intentionality, Quidady, and other insignificant words of the School.

But all this language gotten, and augmented by Adam and his posterity, was again lost at the tower of Babel, when by the hand

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

of (doi, every man was stricters for this rebuildon, with an oblivion of his forms ingurage. And being haveby forced to disperse themadves into severall parts of the work, it must needs be, that the diversity of Tongues that now is, proceeded by degrees from thom, in such manner, as need (the molers of all uventions) taught them; and in that of time grew every where more contents.

The generall use of Speech, is to transferre our Mentall Discourse, into Verbal: or the Travne of our Thoughts, into a Travne of Words; and that for two commodities: whereof one is, the Registring of the Consequences of our Thoughts: which being apt to alip out of our memory, and put us to a new labour, may again he recalled, by such words as they were marked by. So that the first use of names, is to serve for Markes, or Notes of remembrance. Another is, when many use the same words, to signifie (by their connexion and order.) one to another, what they conceive, or think of each matter; and also what they desire, feare, or have any other passion for. And for this use they are called Signes. Special uses of Speech are these: First, to Register, what by cogitation, wes find to be the cause of any thing, present or past; and what we find things present or past may produce, or effect; which in summe, is acquiring of Arts. Secondly, to shew to others that knowledge which we have attained ; which is, to Counsell, and Teach one another. Thirdly, to make known to others our wills, and purposes, that we may have the mutual help of one another. Fourthly, to please and deboht our selves, and others, by playing with our words, for pleasure or ornament, innocently.

To these Uses, there are also four correspondent Abuses. First, when men register that indepits wrong, by the monstancy of the signification of their works; by which they register for their conceptions, that which they never concered; and a docever themselves. Secondly, when they use works metapheneally; that is, in other sense than the they are ordered for and thereby deceive of any. Thirdly, when they use them to grave one another: which is not. Evently, when the infragreesawes, some with betch, some abund of Specch to grave that is for a model of the set of the abund of Specch to grave the the tograve, number with betch, some whom we are obliged to govern; and then it is not to grive, but to correct and annot.

The manner how Speech serveth to the remembrance of the consequence of causes and effects, consistent in the imposing of Names, and the Connerviow of them,

Of Namos, some are Proper, and singular to one ondy thing; as Petr, John, This man, this Tree: and some are Common to many things; as Man, Horse, Tree; every of which though lut one Name, is nevertheless the manne of duvers particular thinget in Name, is nevertheless the manne of the set particular thinget in the set of the northology in the world Universal but Names, for the thinge mannel, are every one of them. Individual and Singular and the set of the One Universali name is imposed on many things, for their similitude in some quality, or other accident. And whereas a Proper Name bringeth to mind one thing onely; Universals recall any one of these many.

And of Neines Universall, some are of more, and some of lease extent; the integer comparisoning the less larger and some again of equall extent, comprehending each other reciprosally. As for example, the Name Mory is of larger signification than the word Man, and comprehended it; and the names Man and Ratemard, are of equal state, comprehending mutually one another. But here were must take notice, that by a Name is not shrave under, low the north state model, the state of the state of the low order of the state of the state of the state of the low of the state of the state of the state of the state low of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the states of the state of the state of the state activate observed is one word, state.

By this imposition of Names, some of larger, some of stricter signification, we turn the reckoning of the consequences of things imagined in the mind, into a reckoning of the consequences of Appellations. For example, a man that hath no use of Speech at all, (such, as is born and remains perfectly deale and dumb.) if he set before his eyes a triangle, and by it two right angles, (such as are the corners of a square figure,) he may by meditation compare and find, that the three angles of that triangle, are equal to those two right angles that stand by it. But if another triangle be shown him different in shape from the former, he cannot know without a new labour, whether the three angles of that also be equall to the same. But he that hath the use of words, when he observes, that such equality was consequent, not to the length of the sides, nor to any other particular thing in his triangle; but onely to this, that the sides were straight, and the angles three; and that that was all, for which he named it a Triangle; will boldly conclude Universally, that such equality of angles is in all triangles whatsoever; and register his invention in these generall termes, Every triangle hath its three angles equal to two right angles. And thus the consequence found in one particular, comes to be registred and remembred, as an Universall rule; and discharges our mentall reckoning, of time and place; and delivers us from all labour of the mind, saving the first; and makes that which was found true here, and now, to be true in all times and places.

But the use of works in registrang our thoughts, is in nothing so synchrons in in Numbring. A natural fools that could never learn by heart the order of numerall works, so one, how, and dree, may but can never know "hash heart is strikes. And it is seens, here was a time when those names of number were not in use; and mon were fayn to apply their fingesc of one or both hands, to those things they desired to keep estemation of and this thence it proceeded, this to first, and then they been again. And it is that con tail on it, if we regit shown out of order, will loss himselfs, and not know when he has doner. Much less will he vable to side, and subtrast, and performe all other operations of Arthmotiques. So that without words, there is no possibility of recioning of Numbers; much lesse of Magnitudes, of Swithness, of Force, and other things, the recionings whereof are possessary to the being, are well-builty of man kind.

When two Names are joyned together into a Consequence, or Alternation; on two, at may is a tiong creatory: or thus if be be man, he so a living creatory. If the later name Living creatory, signifial thas the former name Mare significity, from the edifmention, or consequences is true: otherwase filts. For True and False are intrlibeles of Speech, not of Things. And where Speech is not, three is proved the which shall not be; or suspect which has not been but no pather sees on a man be charged with Gartuit.

Sening than that truck consentable in the right ordering of names in our affirmations, a main that seekelin precisiontruch, had provide to remove be what every name he uses stands for, and to place it a second righty; or else he will be himselive standardiged in words; as hird in linear trugger, the more he straggles, the more belimist. And therefore there is a bird on the straggles, the more belimist. And therefore indicates the strategies are been as a bird in linear linear to a birder on maximal mon length it setting the significations of their words; which astling of significations, they call Defentions and hole below that be beginning of there recoording

By this it appears how necessary it is for any man that aspires to true Knowledge, to examine the Definitions of former Authors, and either to correct them, where they are negligently sot down; or to make them himselfe. For the errours of Definitions multiply themselves, according as the reckoning proceeds; and lead men into absurdities, which at last they see, but cannot avoyd, without reokoning anow from the beginning; in which lyes the foundation of their errours. From whence it happens, that they which trust to books, do as they that cast up many hitle summs into a greater, without considering whother those little summes were rightly cast up or not; and at last finding the errour visible, and not mistrusting their first grounds, know not which way to eleere themselves; but spond thue in fluttering over their bookes; as birds that entring by the chimney, and finding themselves inclosed in a chamber, flutter at the false light of a glasso window, for want of wit to consider which way they came in. So that in the right Definition of Names. lves the first use of Speech; which is the Acquisition of Science: And in wrong, or no Definitions, lyes the first abuse; from which proceed all false and sensionse Tanets; which make those men that take their instruction from the authority of books, and not from their own meditation, to be as much below the condition of ignorant men, as men endued with true Science are above it. For between true Science, and erroneous Doctrines, Ignorance is in the mid la Natural sense and imagination, are not subject to absurdity. Nature it selfe cannot erre: and as men abound in conjousnesse of

language; so they become more wise, or more mad than ordinger, Nor is it possible without Letters for any main to become either excellantly wise, or (makes his memory be hurt by disease, or ill constitution of engand) excellently foolish. To works are wise means fooles, that values have by the authority of an *Artsofic*. a *Chemo*, or a *Themas*, or any other Docher wisksforeer, if but a man.

Subject to Names, is whatsoever can enter into, or be considered in an account: and be added one to another to make a summer or substracted one from another, and leave a remainder The Latines called Accounts of mony Rationes, and accounting, Environmeticand that which we in bills or books of account call Hems, they called Nomina: that is, Names: and thence it seems to proceed, that they extended the word Ratio, to the faculty of Reckoning in all other things. The Creeks have but one word hoves, for both Speech and Reason: not that they thought there was no Speech without Reason. but no Reasoning without Speech: And the act of reasoning they called Syllogians; which signifieth summing up of the consequences of one saving to another. And because the same things may enter into account for divers accidents; their names are (to shew that diversity) diversity wrested, and diversified. This diversity of names may be reduced to foure generall heads.

First, a thing may enter into account for Matter, or Body; as laving, entrible, rationall, hot, cold, moved, guiet; with all which names the word Matter, or Body is understood; all such, being names of Matter.

Secondly, it may enter into account, or be considered, for some consider of quality, which we consider to be in its a for forge moved, for being we (reg. for letting lett,  $d_{\rm ext}$ , and then, of the name of this thing it sails, by a little charge or versing, we we make a name for that accelent, which we consider; and for intemp put into the account  $d_{\rm ext}$  for moved, makes, are letting to the source of the And all such Names, are the names of the accidents and properties, by which on Matter, and Borly is distinguished from another. These are called memory Alorati, because severed (not from Matter, to () from the count of Matter.

Thirdly, we bring into account, the Properties of our own holies, whereby we make such distinction: as when any thing is Sean by us, we reckon not the thing it adler, but the sight, the Colour, the Afsea of it in the Sancy: and when any thing is Asera, we reakon it not, but the Aserary are seared onely, which is our fancy or conception of it by the Eace: and exch are asmas of fancios.

Fourthly, we bring into secount, consider, and give names, to Names transferves, and to Speedes: For, general, missesal, greedal, aquivecal, are names of Names. And Affrandon, Iratoropation. Commandement, Naralkan, Spilogians, Barmon, Oridon, vanisty of Names Pashies; which are put to make somewhat which is in Nature, or more be feigured by the might of map, as Bolies that are, or may be conceived to be; or of bodies, the Properties that are, or may be feigned to be; or Words and Speech.

"There is also other Konnes, called Nopetics; which are notes to signife that aword is not the name of the thing in queston; at these words Nothnay, no man, infinite, indexide, three scan forcer, and the like; which are novertibleless of use in reakoning, or in correcting of reakoning; and call to mind our past oughtations, though they be Name not tribled words."

All other Names, are but insignificant sounds, and those of two sorts. One, when they are new, and yet their meaning not explained by Definition; whereof there have been aboundance coyned by Schoole-men, and pusied Philosophers.

Another, when men make a name of two Names, where significations are contractionry and incomittent; as this mano, an incorportal dod, or (which is all one) an incorporal subinase, and a grean number more. For whineover any affranciano is alias, its two manes of which it is composed, put longelute and much one, signific outling at all. For example, it is to slave framework of collary, but as more scout. So likewise of its bolks of the space works, and therefore the subinary of its bolks, to any has retained and therefore you all hardy means the submarkangle and therefore you all all hardy means with a smalless and insignificant word, this is not made up of scone Latan or Greek names. A Prenchama science means our Service relevant appredention once, but that can be its later, the other Freech.

When a man upon the hearing of any Speech, hat those thoughts which the words of this Speech, and their connection, were ordinated and constituted to signific Then he is said to understand it: Understanding being confing side, hot conception caused by Speech. And Heari to Understanding positive to him a base And therefore or them is Understanding positive to him a base. And therefore or be no Understanding to hope the standard and therefore or be no Understanding; though many think they understand, then, when they do but repeat the words softly, or ong them in their main.

What kinds of Speeches signific the Appetites, Aversions, and Passions of mans mind; and of their use and abuse, I shall speak when I have spoken of the Passions.

The names of such thungs as affect un, thut m, which please, and displase us, because all more how to alike affected with the same thing, nor the same man at all times, are in the common discourses of man, of invokation signification. For severing all names are in posed to signific our conceptions; and all our affections are bat hardly arroyd different naming of them. For though the names of that's we concerve, be the same; yet the direction of our recording of that we concerve, be the same; yet the direction of body, and perjudices of the same several opming, gives avery thing a tincture of our different passions. And therefore in reasoning, a near must take heed of reading which bendes the signification of what we magine of their nature, have a signification lado of the nature, disposition, and interest of the speaker; such as are the names of Vertuse, and Vices; For even man callels if widners, what another called in farst; and the called if widners and the output of the second second second and provide vision another of specific second second second interest of the second second second second second second interest of approximation of a specific second second second Mataphace, and Torope of a pools: but these are less fangenous because they moves had in comparison which the output do not have a mataphase the provides had in constances which the output do not have the specific second second second second second second second mataphase the provides had in constances which the output do not have a mataphase the provides had in constances which the output do not have the specific second seco

### CHAP. V

### Of REASON, and SCIENCE

WHEN a man Reasoneth, hee does nothing else but conceive a summe total), from Addition of parcels; or conceive a Remainder, from Substraction of one summe from another: which (if it he done by Words,) is conceiving of the consequence of the names of all the parts, to the name of the whole; of from the names of the whole and one part, to the name of the other part. And though in some things, (as in numbers,) besides Adding and Substracting, men name other operations, as Multiplying and Dividing; yet they are the same; for Multiplication, is but Adding together of things equall; and Division, but Substracting of one thing, as often as we can. These onerations are not meident to Numbers onely, but to all manner of things that can be added together, and taken one out of another. For as Arithmeticians teach to adde and substract in numbers ; so the Geometricians teach the same in lines, figures (solid and superfiniall.) angles, proportions, times, degrees of swiftnesse, force, power, and the like: The Logicians teach the same in Consequences of words: adding together two Names, to make an Affirmation; and two Affirmations, to make a Syllogisme; and many Syllogismes to make B Demonstration; and from the summe, or Conclusion of a Syllogisme, they substract one Proposition, to finde the other. Writers of Politiques, adde together Pactions, to find mens duties; and Lawyers, Lawes, and facts, to find what is right and wrong in the actions of private men. In summe, in what matter scever there is place for addition and substraction, there is also place for Reason; and where these have no place, there Reason has nothing at all to do.

Out of all which we may define, (that is to say determine,) which that is, which is meent by this word *Reason*, when we ere reckon it amongst the Facultize of the mind. For *REASON*, in this sense, is nothing but *Reciensing* (that is, Adding and Substatability) of the *Consequences* of generall names agreed upon, for the marking and *simplying* of our thoughts; I say marking them, when we reckon

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

18

by our selves; and signifying, when we demonstrate, or approve our reckonings to other mon.

And as in Arithmetique, unpractised mon must, and Professors themselves may often erre, and east up false; so also in any other subject of Reasoning, the ablest, most attentive, and most practised men, may decuve themselves, and inferre false Conclusions: Not but that Reason it selfe is alwayes Right Reason, as well as Arithmetique is a certain and infallible Art: But no one mans Reason, nor the Reason of any one number of men, makes the certaintie: no more than an account is therefore well cast up, because a great many men have unanimously approved it. And therfore, as when there is a controversy in an account, the parties must by their own accord, set up for right Reason, the Reason of some Arbitrator, or Judge, to whose sentence they will both stand, or their controversie must either come to blowes, or be undecided, for want of a right Reason constituted by Nature; so is it also in all debates of what kind soever; And when men that think themselves wiser than all others, clamor and demand right Reason for judge; yet seek no more, but that things should be determined, by no other mens reason but their own, it is as intolerable in the society of men. as it is in play after trump is turned, to use for trump on every occasion, that suite whereof they have most in their hand. For they do nothing els, that will have every of their passions, as it comes to hear sway in them, to be taken for right Reason, and that in their own controversies: bewraving their want of right Reason, by the claym they lay to it.

The Use and End of Reason, is not the finding of the summe, and truth of one, or a few consequences, remote from the first definitions, and settled significations of names; but to begin at these; and proceed from one consequence to another. For there can be no certainty of the last Conclusion, without a certainty of all those Affirmations and Negations, on which it was grounded. and inferred. As when a master of a family, in taking an account, easieth up the summs of all the bills of expense, into one sum; and not regarding how each bill is summed up, by those that give them in account; nor what it is he payes for; he advantages himself no more, than if he allowed the account in grosse, trusting to every of the accountants skill and honesty; so also in Reasoning of all other things, he that takes up conclusions on the trust of Authors, and doth not fatch them from the first Itoms in every Reckoning, (which are the significations of names settled by definitions), loses his labour; and does not know any thing; but onely beleeveth.

When a man reakons without the use of words, which may be done in partecular things, as when upon the sight of any one thing, were conjecture what was likely to have preceded, or is likely to follow upon it; if that which he thought hikely to follow, followas not; or that which he thought they to have preceded it, hath not preceded it, this is called Examp; to which even the most prudent men are subject. But when we Resson in World of generall ingenification, and fall upon a perfermit inference which is falser though the commonly called *Brow*: it is indeed an Ansururry, though the commonly called *Brow*: it is indeed an Ansururry, the anomewine in pack, or to come; of which, blowgh, it were not pack, or not to come; yet three was no impossibility discoverable. But when we make a general assertion, unlesse it is a structure and the second structure of the second *Based*, *Jougnatice*, and *Morensee*. And therefore it a mashould talk to me of a round *Quadrangle*; or accedence of Brast in *Cheese; or Howard in West*. Anow, or of *A for Subject*. *A forse*. Will, or any *Tres*, but free from being hindred by coperition 1 should monitor: that is to sec. About. that has veclous were without

I have such before, (in the second chapter,) that a Man (id) excell all other Anumah in this iscally, that when be conserved any thing whateoever, ho was and to anguine the consequences of it, and what effects he could do with it. And now I fadd the uscher degree of the same excellence, that he can by words reduce the consequences he findes to general Rules, called *Theoremse*, or *Aphicience*; that is, he can Reason, or resicon, not only in number; but in all other things, whereof can may be added thos, or maburcasie from another.

But this privilege, is alwayed by another: and that is, by the privilege of Marrily; to which no living exacture is analyzed, but man consty. And any more those are of all most endperts in the order of the manufacture is the start of the start of the of them assume there is that there can be nothing so abound, but may be found in the books of Fallesophers. And the reason is manifestfor there is not or of them that begins his randomiation from the Definitions, or Explications of the motion (top are to user which is clauses have thereby been mode indisputable.

The first cause of Absurd conclusions I ascribe to the wart of Method; in that they begin not their Ratiosination from Definitions; that is, from settled significations of their words: as if they could cast account, without knowing the value of the numerall words, one, kuo, and three

And whereas all bodies enter into account upon divers considerations, (which I have mentioned in the precedent chapter;) these considerations being diversity named. divers absurdates proceed from the confusion, and unfit connexion of their names into assertions. And therefore

The second cause of Alsurd assoritons, I ascribe to the giving of names of bodies, to accidents; or of accidents to bodies; As they do, that say, Faith as infuted, or meperal; when nobling can be powerd, or breathed into any thing, but body; and that, extension is body; that phantames are sparia; do.

The third I ascribe to the giving of the names of the accidents

of bodies without us, to the accidents of our own bodies; as they do that say, the colour is in the body; the sound is in the ayre, &c.

The fourth, to the giving of the names of bodies, to names, or opeches; as they do that say, that there be things universall; that a living creature is Genus, or a generall thing, &c.

The fifth, to the giving of the names of accidents, to names and speeches; as they do that say, the nature of a thing is its definition; a mans command is his will; and the like.

The sith, to the use of Mosphers, Tropes, and other Ristorcall figures, in stead of words proper. For though it be lawful to say, (for example) in common speech, the way goth, or landsh hilker, or hilker, The Proceed says this or that (whereas wayses cannot go, nor Proverbe speak;) yet in realconing, and seeking of truth, such speeches are not to be admitted.

The seventh, to names that signific nothing; but are taken up, and learned by rote from the Schooles, as hypostatical, transubstantiate, consubstantiate, cternal. Now, and the like canting of Schoolemen.

To him that can avoyd these things, it is not easie to fall into any abundty, numes it is by the largth of an account; wherein he may pertaps forget what word before. For all men by nature reason alkin, and well, when they have good principles Por who is so stund, as built to matike in Geometry, and also to pendat in the wing nonliker detects his server to hum?

By this it appears that Reason is not as Sense, and Memory, boing with us; nor gotten by Experience onely, as Prudence is, but attayned by Industry; first in apt unpoung of Names; and secondly by getting a good and orderly Method in proceeding from the Elements, which are Names, to Assortions made by Connexion of one of them to another, and so to Syllogismes, which are the Connexions of one Assertion to another, till we come to a knowledge of all the Consequences of names apportaining to the subject in hand; and that is it, men call SCIENCE. And whereas Sense and Memory are but knowledge of Fact, which is a thing pest, and prevocable; Science is the knowledge of Consequences, and dependance of one fact upon another: by which, out of that we can presently do, we know how to do something else when we will, or the like, another time: Because when we see how any thing comes about, upon what causes, and by what manner, when the like causes come into our power, wee see how to make it produce the like effects

Children therefore are not endedd with Reason at all, thi they have attained the use of Speech: but are called Reasonable Creatures, for the possibility apparent of having the use of Reason in time to cound. And the most part of men, though they have the use of Reasoning a little way, as in numbring to some degree; yet is avoves them to little use on common lind, is which they govern itemselves, some botter, aroue worse, according to them differences of experience, outcomesse of memory, and indinations to severall ends; but specially ascording to good or evil fortanc, and the errors of one another. For as for Sorience, or certain rules of thick actions, they are so farce form it, that they know not what it is, Geometry they have blocked bony through the provided Solences, they who have not been taught the hegizmings, and some generated, are is this point like children, that hence is to be of generation, are made bailwo by the women, that their brokers and issues not been, they have been the sole of the brokers and issues are not been, the found in the partice.

But you they that have no Science, are in hetter, and nobler condition with their natural Tradence, that men, that by misreasoning, or by trusting them that reason wrong, fall upon false and alourit general raises. Rev physications of exusts, and of raise, and taking for exuses of what they aspire to, these that are not es, but rather exuses of the outtary.

To conclude, The Light of himmane minds is Perspicators Words, but by east definitions first suffer, and purget from ambunity; *Reason* is the pace; *Rescaves of Science*, the way, and the Mendie of mars.knd, the end. And on the contextry, Metaphone, and upon them, is wandering amongst immumenthe sharefulles, and their end, contendor, and selfition, or contempt.

As much Experience, is Prudracts so, is much Science, Sopience, Tor though we waitly have one mane of Wiesdown for them builty rue the Lidnes this kinetyse distinguish between Prudrain and Bas to track that i difference approach more clearly, it is us appose one man endued with an excellent satural use, and deterriny in handling his services, and anoluce to have added to this dustitivity, as a souther to have added to this dustitivity of format, would be to the sailly of the latter, services to this dustitivity built used to the sailly of the latter, services to subtionate the same of the latter infailable. But they fast trusting only to the attrusting to the latter infailable. But they dust trusting have been used and the latter infailable. But they can be refured have been used and the latter infailable. But they can be refured bins that trusting to the latter get the latter, a prule latter of latter, and have been used and the latter infailable. But they can be refured with the same trusting to the latter get the latter, and they can be added the latter trusting to the latter get the latter defined to be added to the latter defined to be added to the latter defined to be added to be adde

The signes of Science, are some, certain and infallible, some, uncrtain. Certain, when he this preteadeds the Science of any thing, can teach the same: that is to say, demonstrate the truth thereof perspicously to acoustic. Uncertain, when calely toose particular events asswers to his pretence, and upon many consenso prove so as he asyses they much. Signess of predence are all uncertain, because to observe by experience, and means the there there is a structure of the second second second set before the second second second second second second set before the second second second second second second by 1 to forsake his own neutral judgement, and be guided by gennal exclusions reading a subject to many exception, in a agene of folly, and generally sourced by the name of Pedanty-And even of those men themselves, that in Cromeolia of the Commonwealth, love to show them reading of Politiques and History, very four do it united fromestions affantes, where fasting particular interest is conserved, lawing Fructures enough for their privati interestion, the saucesses of particless businesses.

# CHAP. VI

### Of the Interiour Beginnings of Voluntary Motions : commonly called (he PASSIONS. And the Speeches by which they are expressed

THERE he in Animals, two sorts of Motions pecultar to them: One called Vitall; begun in generation, and continued without intermution through their whole life: such as are the course of the Bloud, the Pulse, the Breathing, the Concoction, Nutration, Excretion, &c; to which Motions there needs no help of Imagination: The other is Annual motion, otherwise called Voluntary motion: as to go, to swak, to move any of our limbes, in such manner as is first fancied in our minds. That Sense, is Motion in the organs and interiour parts of mans body, caused by the action of the things we See. Henre, d.c. And that Fancy is but the Reliques of the same Motion, remaining after Sense, has been already sayd in the first and second Chapters. And because going, engating, and the like Voluntary motions, depend slwayes upon a precedent thought of whither, which way, and what: it is evident, that the Imagination is the first internall beginning of all Voluntary Motion. And although unstudied men, doe not conceive any motion at all to be there, where the thing moved is invisible; or the space it is moved in, is (for the shortnesse of it) insensible, yet that doth not hinder, but that such Motions are. For let a space be never so little, that which is moved over a greater space, whereof that little one is part, must first be moved over that. These small beginnings of Motion. within the body of Man, before they appear in walking, speaking, striking, and other visible actions, are commonly called ENDRAVOUR.

This Endesvour, when it is toward something which cances it, is called APERTING O Darkins, the lates, being the general name; and the other, often-times restrayned to signifie the Desure of Food, namely Houge and Third A and whon the Endesvour is fromward annothing, it is generally called Armentor. These world a foreits, and Areview where from the Caliest, and they loth of them afguing the motions, one of approximing, the other of rediting. So for Nature is leaded as one proventing, the other of rediting. So for Nature is leaded as often proves upon men hane trutch, which afformatis, when they look for somewing beyond Nature, they stumble at. For the Schoole find in meres Appendix to go or more no actuall Motion at all: but because some Motion they must acknowledge, they call is Metaphoricall Motion; which is but an absurd speech: for though Words may be called metaphoricall; Rodrae, and Motions cannot.

That which men Desire, they are also sayd to Lover: and to Harr those things, for which they have Aversion. So that Desire, and Love, are the same thing; save that by Desire, we alwayes signific the Absence of the Object; by Love, most commonly the Presence of the same. So also by Aversion, we signifie the Absence; and by Hate, the Presence of the Object.

Of Appeling, and Aversion, some are born will men; as appetite of lood, Appetite or excretion, a disconstation, twithin may also and more properly be called Aversions, from somewhat they field in thich Bodies; and some other Appetites, not namy. The rest, which are Appetites of particular things proceed from Exponence, and trial of them effects upon themselves; or other men. For of things woo know not at al, or believe not to be, we can have no further. Definity, than to test and thy. Bat Aversion wee have for things not onely which we know have hurt us; but also that we don be how whether they will have us, or not.

These things which we nother Desira nor Hote, we are said to Contemme: Contrastr being unbing else but an immobility, or contumnay of the licart, in remarking the action of excitain things; and proceeding from that the Heart is already moved otherwise, by other more potent objects; or from want of experience of them.

And because the constitution of a mans Body, is in continual mutation; it is impossible that all the same things should alwayes cause in him the same Appetites, and Averaiops: much lesse can all men consent, in the Dearc of almost any one and the same Object.

But whattoever as the object of any mane Appentics or Dorino, that wit, what he hofe his part calleft Good. And the object of his Hate, and Aversion, Boulf, And of his Contempt, Vile and Incomestarble. Tore these works of Good, Bvill, and Contemptible, are ever used with relation to the person that useful content of Good and Dvill, to be taken from the mature of the objects thumselves; and the second second second second second second second or (in a Commenveulth), from the Pescon that represents it or from an Arbitrator or Judge, whom mon disagreeing shall by concent setup, and make his sectione the Relat three.

The Lating Toggue has two words, whose significations approach to these of Good and Evell has are not precessly the same; And these are Pulchwas and Twrpt. Whereof the former signifies that, which by scores appacent signers promised be Cool; and the later, this, which promised Brul. But in our Torgue we have not so operall names to express them by. But for Pulchwas, we say in some thangs, Fayre; in others, Boutifull, or Handsone; or Gallant, or Homewalds, or Consult; and for Turpes, Peuks Deformed. Uply, Base, Neuesons, and the bike, as the subject abili require. All which words, as these proper places signifimaking as, but the Miss, or Countenance, that promission Good and Evil. So that of Good there be three kunds; Good in the Promiss, that is Pulcivan; Good in Effect, as the end desired. Which is called Journalism, Designtfull; and Good as the Meana, which is called Journalism, Designtfull; and Good as the Meana, which is called Journalism, Designtfull; and Eood as the Meana, which is called Usike, Profitable; and as many of Evil; Nor Evil, in Promase, as that they call "Tarper Serii in Effect, and Erd, is Moletanu, Unpleasant, Troublesone; and Evill in the Meana, Instite, Unworkshie, Hurthul.

As, in Sense, that which is really within as, as (a I have sayd blord) onely Motion, caused by the action of acternal lopicet, but in apparence; to the Sight, Light and Colour; to the Ears, Sound; to the Nostill, Olour, der: any when the sation of the same object is continued from the Eyre, Earse, and other organs to the Haut; the real effect there is mothing but Motion, or Enhaetvar; which But the apparence, or sease of that motion, is that were either call Dattorer, or Toxons dor Marco.

This Motion, which is called Appette, and for the apparence of it Delayit, and Pleasure, seconds to be, a correboration of Vitall motion, and a help therecurto: and therefore such things as caused Dolgth; were not improperly called Journad, (a Justanda,) from helping or fortilying; and the contrary, Molesta, Offensive, from hindering, and troubling the motion vitall.

Pleasive therefore, (or *Delight*,) as the apparence, or sense of Good; and *Molestation* or *Displeasave*, the apparence, or sense of Evill. And consequently all Appetite, Desire, and Love, is accompanied with some Delight more or lesse; and all Hatred, and Aversion, with more or lesse Displeasave and Offence.

Of Pleasarca, or Delights, some arise from the sense of an object Present, And these may be called *Pleasarca* of Sense, Thes work essensial, as it is used by knose early that condemn them, having Econocotones of the hodry, as also all bads is pleasant, in the Style Hearnary, Sensil, Tada, or Touch: Others areas from the Expectation, that proceeds from foreight of the Endl, or Consequence of things; whether those things in the Sense Please or Displease: And these sers Pleasarces of the Andra of inn that drawed these consequences; are worse in the Sense, and assile Parsy: a character, Despleasarce, are worse in the Sense, and assile Parsy: a character of Expectation to consequences, and are called Garme.

These simple Passion called Appendix, Desire, Long, Aterabay, Rate, Joy, and Griefo, have their names for diverse considerations diversified. As first, When they one succeed another, they are diversify subject from the opinion men have of the likelihood of diversified. This is the consideration of many of their bired or hated. Thirdly, from the consideration of many of their bired or burble, form the Alteration consocerion is sefect.

C 691

For Appetite with an opinion of attaining, is called HOPE

The same, without such opinion, DESPAIRE.

Aversion, with opinion of Hurt from the object, FEARE.

The same, with hope of avoyding that Hart by resistonce, Courage.

Sudden Courage, ANGER.

Constant Hope, CONFIDENCE of our selves.

Constant Despayre, DIFFIDENCE of our selves.

Anger for great hurt done to another, when we conceive the same to be done by Injury, INDIGNATION

Desire of good to another, BENEVOLENCE, GOOD WILL, CHARTY, If to man generally, GOOD NATTRE.

Derive of Rulnic, Coverousnessen: a name used alwayes in signification of blamo; because men contending for blam, are displeased with one sanchters attaining them; through the desire in it selfe, be to be blaned, or allowed, according to the means by which these Riches are scought.

Desire of Office, or precedence, Ambirion: a name used also in the worse sense, for the reason before mentioned.

Desire of things that conduce but a little to our ends; And fear of things that are but of little hindrance, PUSILLANIMITY.

Contempt of little helps, and hindrances, MAGNANIMITY,

Magnanimity, in dauger of Death, or Wounds, VALOUR, FORFITUDE.

Magnonimity, in the use of Riches, LIBERALITY.

Pusillanimity. in the same WHRTCHEDNESSE, MISERAELENESSE; or PARSIMONY; as it is liked, or disliked.

Love of Persons for society, KINDNESSE.

Love of Persons for Pleasing the sense onely, NATURALL LUST.

Low of the same, acquired from Rummation, that is, Imagination of Pleasure past, LUXURY.

Love of one singularly, with desire to be singularly beloved, THE PASSION OF LOVE. The same, with fear that the love is not mutuall, JEALOUSER.

Desire, by doing hurt to another, to make him condemn some fast of his own, REVENCEFULNESSE.

Detric, to know why, and how. Contourny; such as is in no living creature but Maess: so that Man at a distinguished, not oncir by bit Reason; but also by this singular basion from other Asimols; m whom the appetite of lood, and other pleasures of Sensa, by prachommance, takie away the care of knowing causes; which is a Luse of the mind, that by a preservance of delight in the continual and individuable generable. A consider the source of the sour

Foure of power invisible, foigned by the mind, or imagined from tales publiquely allowed, RELIGION; not allowed, SUFERSTITION. And when the power imagined, 15 truly such as we imagine, TAUE RELIGION.

Feare, without the apprehension of why, or what, PANIQUE

Tegenca; called so from the Fables, that make Pan the author of them; whereas in truth, there is alwayes in him that so leareth, first, some apprehension of the cause, though the rest run away by Example; every one asyptoming his fallow to know why. And therefore this Fassion happens to none but in a throng, or multitude of people.

Joy, from apprehension of novelty, ADMIRATION; proper to Man, because it excites the appetite of knowing the cause.

Joy, sensing from insignation of a mass own power and ability, is that emission of the mind which is addled GoANTNO: which it grounded upon the experience of his own former actions, is the same which Confidence is whif grounded on the flattery of others; or could supposed by himself, for didight in the consequences of it, is called VAIN-GOANT. which must be properly giver; because a well grounded Confidence begutth Attempt; whereas the supposing of power does not, and a therefore rightly called Vorise.

Griefs, from opinion of want of power, is called DEJECTION of mind.

The vain-glory which consistent in the feigning or supposing of abilities in our selves, which we know are not, is most incident to young men, and nourished by the Histories, or Fictions of Gallant Poreous; and is corrected oftontimes by Age, and Employment.

Sudden Glory, is the passion which maketik those Grimzoze called LAUGUTHE, and is caused either by some audom and of their own, that plassth them; or by the apprelimition of some deformed thing is another, by comparison whereof they calledniy applied themeleves. And is is includent most to them, that are conscious of the forest abusins in themselver; who are forced to keep themeleves that own for our by background and the set of the order of the forest abusins in themselver; who are forced to keep themeleves that own for our by background and the set of the approximation of the set of the set of the set of the approximation of the set of the set of the set of the approximation of the set of the set of the set of the set we only with the most able.

On the contrary, Saddan Dejecton, is the passion that easueth WERFUCS; can it can be avoid by the Macoldant, as attaching the saves name subject to it, of a source prop clubent power: And lays are an even when and clubter. Therefore some Werp for the losses of Friends; Others for their unkindnesse; others for the sudden stop made to their thoughts of verse, by Reconcillation But is all cases, both Langiter, and Weeping, are sudden motions; or Werpen for a tool calaning. , For no man Langing as a foll jestice or Werpen for a lost calaning.

Griefs, for the discovery of some detect of ability, is SHAME, or the passion that discover this soft in HINTSURG; and consided in the approlumion of some thung dishonourable; and in young men; is a signe of the lower of good reputation; and commendable; In old men it is a signe of the same; but because it comes too late, n it commendable. The Contempt of good Reputation is called IMPUDENCE.

Origi, for the Calimity of another, is Prrrr; and arisesh from the imagnetic that the blue calaxity may befall himselfer, and therefore is called also Coursessons, and in the phrase of this present time a PERLOW symmetry of therefore for Channey arriving from greats Theorem and therefore for Channey arriving from greats Theorem and the same may phrase heats They and for the channeys, those heats Phry, that that themselves heat channess are same may phry, that that thereafter a heat channess are same and the same

Contenst, or little sense of the calamity of others, is that which men call CENTERT; proceeding from Security of their own fortune. For, that any man should take pleasure in other mens great harmes, without other end of his own, I do not conceive it possible.

Griefe, for the successe of a Competitor in wealth, honour, or other good, if it be joyned with Endeavour to enforce our own abilities to equal or exceed him, is called EMULATION: But joyned with Endeavour to supplent, or hinder a Competitor, EXVID.

Therefore of things past, there is no Debberation; because manifestly impossible to be changed: nor of things known to be impossible, or thought so; because new know, or think each Debberation wein. But of things impossible, which we think possible, we may Deliberate; not knowing it a in vain. And it is called Debberation; because it is a putting an each to the Lieber we had of dding, or omitting, seconding to our own Appetite, or Averano.

This alternate Succession of Appetites, Aversions, Hopes and Fears, is no lesse in other hving Creatures then in Man: and therefore Bogats also Deliverate.

Every Deliberation is then sayd to End, when that whereof they Deliberate, is utified done, or thought impossible; because till then wee retain the liberty of doing, or omitting, according to our Appetite or Aversion.

In Diddension, the last Appetite, or Aversion, immediately adhering to the action, or to the constain thereof, it that we call the Wirz; the Act, fort the faculty, of Willing. And Beaste that have Diddention, must necessarily also have Will. The Didnition of the Will given commonly by the Schooler, that it is a Diddention of the Will given commonly the Schooler, that it a Diddention of the Will, and the Schooler, that it approxed that the Will, and no other. But if is need of a Datomain of the Will, and no other. But if is need of a Datomain of the Will, and no other. But if is need of precedent Deliberation, then the Definition is the same that I have given here. Will therefore it do kad Appetite in Deliberating And though we say in common Discourse, a man had a Will case to do a king, that nevertabless he forbore to do, yret that is properly but so Indination, which makes no Astion Voluntary; because the action depends not of it, but of the last Indination, or Appetite For if the intervenient Appetites, make any action Voluntary; then by the same Reson all intervenient Aversions, should make the same action Involuntary; and so one and the same action, should be both Voluntary & Involuntary.

By this it is manifest, that not only actions that have their beginning from Covetoumeses, Ambition, Lust, or other Appetites to the thing propounded; but also those that have their beginning from Aversion, or Feare of those consequences that follow the omistion, are solutions, actions.

The formes of Speech by which the Passions are expressed, are partly the same, and partly different from those, by which wee expresse our Thoughts. And first, generally all Passions may be expressed Inducatively; as I love, I feare, I joy, I deliberate, I will, I command; but some of them have particular expressions by themselves, which neverthelesse are not affirmations, unlesse it be when they serve to make other inferences, besides that of the Passion they proceed from. Dehberation is expressed Subjunctively; which is a speech proper to signifie suppositions, with their conse-quences; as, if this be done, then this will follow, and differs not from the language of Reasoning, save that Reasoning is in generall words; but Dehberation for the most part is of Particulars. The language of Desire, and Aversion, is Imperative; as Do this, forbeare that: which when the party is obliged to do, or forbeare, is Command; otherwise Praver: or els Counsell. The language of Vain-Glory, of Indignation, Pitty and Revengefulness, Optature: But of the Desire to know, there is a peculiar expression, called Interropative; as, What is it, when shall it, how is it done, and why so ? other language of the Passions I find none: For Cursing, Swearing, Reviling, and the luke, do not signific as Speech; but as the actions of a tongue accustomed.

These formes of Speech, I say, are expressions, or roluntary significations of our Passions: but certain signs they be not; because they may be used arbitrarily, whether they that ase them, have such Passions or not. The best suggest of Passions present, are either in the contatemence, motions of the body, actions, and ends, or arms, which we otherwise know the main to have.

And because in Deliberation, the Appetites, and Aversions are inder by foresign of the good and will concerptences, and sequels of the auton whereof we Deliberate, the good or will effect thereof dependent on the forms high of a four global of conservations, of which appeared the the four share of a four global of conservations, the second second second second second second second second at a trans meeth, if the Good in these conservators, he greater than the Evil, the whole obtains is that which Writters call Apparent, or

PART 1

Seaming Good. And contrarily, when the Livil exceededs the Good, the whole is Apparent or Seaming Evill: so that he who hash by Experience, or Reason, the greatest and surest prospect of Comesquences, Deliberates best himselfe; and is able when he will, to give the best counsell unto others.

<sup>C</sup>Ontennell measure in obtaining these things which a man from time to time defects, that is to any, continual propering, in the man call Figure 7. It means the fielding of this life. For these hears because life is field in the Macon, and can more the without Desire, bocause life is field in the Macon, and can more the without Desire, no without Faver, no more that without Sense. What khad of Fellenge to do had not find to them that devoutly hears ling, a man shall no second know, that enjoy, being joyes, that new Yake's number lightle.

The forms of Speech whereby man signific their option of the Goodness of any time, is Pausa. That whereby they signife the power and greatness of any thing is MARTFILS. And that whereby they ignific the option have place of a mana Pelicity, out tongue. And thus much is sufficient for the present purpose, to have been stall of the Passors.

#### CHAP. VII

### Of the Ends, or Resolutions of DISCOURSE

Or all Discourse, governed by desire of Knowledge, there is at last an End, either by attaining, or by giving over. And in the chain of Discourse, wheresoever it be interrupted, there is an End for that time.

If the Discourse be meerly Montali, it consistents of thoughts that the thing will be, and will not be, or that it has been, and has not been, alternately. So that whereacover you broke will be down of a mana Discourse, you levels tim in a Prassenguion II is unit. And that which is alternatic Appendix the starb and the which is alternatic Appendix. The Discoursing comparison of the truth of Past, and Future. And as the last Appendix and the Will, so the base Ophican in the Exception of the truth of Past, and Future. And as the last Appendix and the Hamiltonian and the Will, so the base Ophican in section of the truth of Past, and Future, in called the discourse, or Reoshet and Pasto Sciences of the truth of Discourses, or Reoshet and Discourse of Last Appendix and Discourse of Bad, is called Discourse of Bad, is called Discourse of Pasto, in the guestion of Truce, or Flacks, is called Doror.

"No Discourse whatsoever, can End in absolute knowledge of Fact, past, or to come. For, as for the knowledge of Fact, it is

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

30

orgunally. Sense: and ever after, Memory. And for the knowledge of Consequence, which I have said before is called Science, it is not Absulted, but Contitionall. No man can know by Descurse, that this, or that, is, has been, or will be which is to know absulted but onely, that if This hey. That is; if This has been, That has been; if This shall be, That shall be which is to know conditionally; and that not the consequence of one thing to another; but of our name of a bline, to another name of the same thing.

and therefore when the Discourse is put into Sueech, and hegins with the Definitions of Words, and proceeds by Connexion of the same into generall Affirmations, and of these again into Syllogismes; the End or last summe is called the Conclusion; and the thought of the mind by it signified, is that conditionall Knowledge, or Knowledge of the consequence of words, which is commonly called SCIENCE. But if the first ground of such Discourse, be not Definitions: or if the Definitions he not rightly joyned together into Syllogames, then the End or Conclusion, is sgain OPINION, namely of the truth of somewhat said, though sometimes in absurd and senslesse words, without possibility of being understood. When two, or more men, know of one and the same fact, they are said to be Conscious of it one to another: which is as much as to know it together. And because such are fittest witnesses of the facts of one another, or of a third; it was, and ever will be reputed a very Evill act, for any man to speak against his Conscience; or to corrupt or force another so to do: Insomuch that the ples of Conscience. has been alwayos hearkened unto very diligently in all times. Afterwards, men made use of the same word metanhorically, for the knowledge of their own secret facts, and secret thoughts, and therefore it is Rhetorically said, that the Conscience is a thousand witnesses. And last of all, men, vehemently in love with their own new opinions, (though never so absurd ) and obstinately heat to maintain them, gave those their opinions also that reverenced name of Conscience, as if they would have it seem unlawfull, to change or speak against them; and so pretend to know they are true. when they know at most, but that they think so.

When we mans Discourse beginnesh not set Desimitant, it beginnesh either at some other contempliation of his own, and then it is still called Option; Or it beginnesh at some saying of another, or whose shifty to know the truth, and or whose konsety in not discoving the truth of the truth of the source of the source of the and LATUT: Fork, which can be able to the source of the and LATUT: Fork, and the answer the other of his source list of the truth of what he sayou. So that in Dislefe are two opticolor; one of the saying of the many, signified the same thing; namely, signifiath concy to option of the source list of the source list of source to observe that the Persons, I believe in a solar to be the other of his source list of source (and in, or frast is, or believe a sam, signified the same thing; applicitly concy, around the person of the same truth of the source of the same of the to observe that the Person, I believe in a sain the LATUR. Cread or; and the Oreas, zeroker, are nower used but in the writings of Divines. In stead of them, in other writings are put, I believe him; I trust him; I have fuith is him; I rely on him; and in Liftin, Oredo ill; sho ills: and in Greek, worked where and that thus singularity of the Euclessnatique use of the word hath raised many dismutes about the right object of the Cirisian Path.

But by Belessng is, as is is in the Greed, is meant, not trust in the Person; but Confermion and anknowledgement of the Dottine. For not early Unitsikan, but all manuer of men do no believe in God, as to hold if for truit they hears hun say, which is they maderin any person winknower: But they do not all believe the Dottine of the Greed Statement and the Statement and the Statement of the Greed Statement and the Statement and the Statement of the Greed.

From whence we may inferre, that when wee believe any saving whatsoever it be, to be true, from arguments taken, not from the thing it selfe, or from the principles of naturall Reason, but from the Authority, and good opinion were have, of him that hath save it; then is the speaker, or person we believe in, or trust in, and whose word we take, the object of our Faith; and the Honour done in Believing, is done to him onely. And consequently, when wee Believe that the Scriptures are the word of God, having no immediate revelation from God hunselfe, our Beleefe, Faith, and Trust is in the Church; whose word we take, and acquiesce therein. And they that believe that which a Prophet relates unto them in the name of God, take the word of the Prophet, do honour to hun, and in him trust, and believe, touching the truth of what he relateth, whether he be a true, or a false Prophet. And so it is also with all other History For if I should not believe all that is written by Historians, of the glorious acts of Alexander, or Casar: I do not think the Ghost of Alexander, or Casar, had any just cause to be offended: or any body else, but the Historian. If Lawy say the Gods made once a Cow speak, and we believe it not; wee distrust not God therein, but Lioy. So that it is evident, that whatsoever we believe. upon no other reason, then what is drawn from authority of men onely, and their writings; whether they be sent from God or not, is Faith in men onely.

#### CHAP, VIII

#### Of the VBRTURS commonly called INTELLECTUALL; and their contrary DEMOSTS

Versevre generally, in all sorts of subjects, is sumewhat that is valued for eminence; and consistent in comparison. Por if all things were equally in all men, nothing would be prized. And by Vertice IFVELACTUALL, are alwayes understood such abilityes of the mind, as men prases, value, and desire should be in theomelves; and go commonly under the name of a good wit; though the same word Wir, be used also, to distinguish one certain ability from the rest:

"These Periose are of two sorts, Natural, and Acquired. By Naturall, I mess on, that which a must hash from hus Birkh: no that is nothing else but Some: wherean men differ so Little one from anolber, and from brite Ibanki, at all is not to be reached smorph, and Experience; without Method, Culture, or Instruction. This Naturaku: Wr., constateth principally in two things; Coering or Image Adding detection to some approved and O the Contrary a month diddy detection to some approved and the Contrary a month of all diddy detection to some approved and the Contrary a month of all Directories, *Elegado*, and sometimes by other momently alleid Directories, *Elegado*, and sometimes by other momently alleid Directories, *Chepdob*, and sometimes by other

And this difference of quicknesse, is caused by the difference of mens passions; that love and dishke, some one thing, some another: and therefore some mens thoughts run one way, some another: and are held to, and observe differently the things that passe through their magination. And whereas in this succession of mens thoughts, there is nothing to observe in the things they think on, but either in what they be like one another, or in what they be unlike, or what they serve for, or how they serve to such a purpose. Those that observe their simulatudes, in case they be such as are but rarely observed by others, are sayd to have a Good Will; by which, in this occasion, is meant a Good Fancy. But they that observe their differences, and dissumilitudes: which is called Distinguishing, and Discerning, and Judning between thing and thing; in case, such discerning be not easie, are said to have a good Judgement: and particularly in matter of conversation and businesse; wherein, times, places, and persons are to be discorned, this Vertue is called Discretion. The former, that is, Fancy, without the help of Judgement, is not commended as a Vertue but the later which is Judgement, and Discretion, is commended for it selfe, without the help of Fancy. Besides the Discretion of times, places, and persons, necessary to a good Fancy there is required also an often application of his thoughts to their End: that is to say, to some use to be made of them. This done: he that hath this Vertue, will be easily fitted with similitudes, that will please, not onely by illustration of his discourse, and adorning it with new and apt metaphors, but also, by the rarity of their invention. But without Steddmesse, and Direction to some End. a great Fancy is one kind of Madnesso; such as they have, that entring into any discourse, are spatched from their purpose, by every thing that comes in their thought, into so many, and so long digressions, and Parantheses, that they utterly lose themselves: Which kind of folly, I know no particular name for; but the cause of it is, sometimes want of experience; whereby that seemeth to a man new and rare, which doth not so to others: sometimes Pusillanimity; by which that scems great to him, which other

\*c 691

men think a triffe: and whatsoever is new, or great, and therefore thought fit to be told, withdrawes a man by degrees from the intended way of his discourse.

In a good Poem, whother it be Epique or Dramatique; as also in Sonato, Epiqranz, and other Picces, both Judgement and Fancy are required; But the Fancy must be more eminent; because they please for the Extravagancy; but ought not to displease by Indiscretan

In a good History, the Judgoment must be eminent; because the goodnesse consistent, in the Method, in the Truth, and in the Choyse of the actions that are most profitable to be known. Fancy has no place, but onely in adoming the stile.

In Orations of Frayse, and in Invectives, the Fancy is predominant; because the designe is not teuth, but to Honour or Dishonour, which is done by noble, or by vilo comparisons. The Judgement does but suggest what stroumstances make an action laudable, or cuipable.

In Hortatives, and Pleadings, as Truth, or Disguise serveth best to the Designe in hand; so is the Judgement, or the Fancy most required.

The Demonstration, in Councell, and all rigourous search of Trutu, Judgement docs all; except armetimes the understanding have need to be opened by some apt similitude; and then there is so much use of Fancy. But for Metaphors, they are in this case uttarly excluded. For seeing they openly professe decoupt; to admit them anto Councell, or Researing, were manifest foily.

And in any Discourse whatsoever, if the defect of Discretion be apparent, how extravagant scover the Fancy be, the whole discourse will be taken for a signe of want of wit, and so will it never when the Discretion is manufest, though the Fancy be never so ordinary.

The secret thoughts of a man run over all things, holy, prophane, clean, obscene, grave, and light, without shame, or blame; which verball discourse cannot do, farther than the Judgement shall approve of the Time, Place, and Persons. An Anatomist, or a Physitian may speak, or write his judgement of unclean things; because it is not to please, but profit; but for another man to write his extravegant, and pleasant fancies of the same, is as if a man. from being tumbled into the dirt, should come and present himselfe before good company. And 'tis the want of Disorction that Again, in profest remissnesse of mind, makes the difference. and familiar company, a man may play with the sounds, and monivocall significations of words; and that many times with encounters of extraordinary Fancy: but in a Sermon. or in publique. or before persons unknown, or whom we quight to revergage, there is no Gingling of words that will not be accounted folly: and the difference is oncly in the want of Discretion. So that where Wit is wanting, it is not Fancy that is wanting, but Discretion. Judgement therefore without Fancy is Wit, but Fancy without Judgement not.

When the thoughts of a man, that has a designs in hand, mutuing over a multitude of thing, observes how they confices to that designs; or what designs they may conduce untry; if his observations be such as are not easie, or usual, This wit of his is called PAIDTRNCT: and dependent on much Experience, and Memory of the like things, and their consequences herefolder. In which there is not so much difference of Men, as there is in their Sanoss and Judgements; Decause the Experience of man equal in aga, is not much menpual, as to the quantity; but lyes in different consistony; oray can having this graved beingers. They chance, but different sorts of lusinessor, no more then to draw a potence in Hills, or as prove, or practs then the life, no different degrees of Art. A plan having hand man is more Prudent in affinies of his own house, then a Pravy Counselly in the silitates of an own man.

To Fruchence, if yow adde the use of mujust, or dedocrest means, such as usually are prompted to usen by Forar, or Whati, you have that Crocked Windows, which is called Carr; which is a tigene of Putilianning. For Magnanizing is contempt of unjust, or dihomest helps. And that which the Latinss call Foraxia, (translated into English, Skiffwig), and is a puting off of a present danger or incommolity, by canging into a greater, as when a man robos one to pay another is bot a shorter aghted Carlt, called Foraxia, from Foraroa, which signifies taking mony at usure, for the present apparent of interest.

As for acquired Wri, (I mean acquired by method and instruction,) there is none but Reason; which is grounded on the right use of Speech; and produceth the Sciences. But of Reason and Science, I have alreedy spoken in the fifth and sirth (hapters.

The causes of the difference of Witts, are in the Parsions: and the difference of Messions, proceedship partly from the difference Constitution of the body, and partly from different bineation. The organs of Second, the second second second second the organs of Second, these sectemetric or interior, there would have and least difference of men in their Sight, Hearing, or other Seconds, that near their Fandres and Disrettons. It proceeds there would have least difference of men in their Sight, Hearing, or other Seconds, the Tables of the second second second second second second second to their Fandres and Disrettons. It proceeds the interfore from the Tables of the second second second second second second solucition, but show from the difference of ensumes, and

The Passions that most of all cause the differences of Wit, are principally, the more or lesse Desire of Power, of Ruches, of Knowledge, and of Honour. All which may be reduced to the first, that is Desire of Power. For Riches, Knowledge and Honour are but severall sorts of Power.

And therefore, a mon who has no great Passion for any of these things; but is as mon terms it indifferent; though he may be so fairs a good man, as to be from giving offence; yet he cannot possibly have either a great Panory, or much Judgement. For the Thoughts, are to the Desires, as Souts, and Spire, to range baroad.

PART 1

and find the way to the things Devized: All Stellinesse of the minds motion, and all quicknesse of the same, proceeding from thence. For as to have no Denue, is to be Dead: no to have weak Passions, is Dolumess; and to have Easiens indifferently for every thing, webennets Passions for any thing, than is ordinarily seen in others, is that which me cell MAXPERSE.

Whereof there is a knowl as many kinds, as of the Passions themselves. Sometimes the extraordinary and extrawagant Passion, proceeded: from the eval constitution of the organs of the Body, or harms does them; and ionuclines the hard, and indipedition of the Passion. But in both cases the Madnesse is of our and the same nature.

The Passion, whose violence, or continuance maketh Madnesse, is either great wains-Glory; which is commonly called Pride, and self-concept; or great Dejection of mind.

Price, subjected is a main to Anger, line excesses whereas, is the Machases called RAM, and PURX. And thus it sorms to passes that excessive desire of Reverges, when it boomses habitauit, hurieth the organs, and becomes Rage; That excessive copy, while jakousic, becomes also, Rage: Excessive organize of a mans over selfs, for becomes battericality, and Giddinatese. The same, joyned with Ray, Rage: Velocement opmism of the truth of any thing, contradicted by others, Rage.

Defection, subjects is mon to counselesse fourt; which is a Madnesse commonly called MartAronor, Apparent also in diverse manages as in hearing of solitudes, and gaves; in supertitious belaviour; and is fearing some one, some another particulate thing. In summe, all Passions that produce withings and transmit behaviour, are called Manasee, be that would take the paraset, might arow the algo-And if the Discense he madnesse, there is no doubt but the Passions thannelves, but they und to EWMI, are dogress of the same.

(For example) Though the effect of folly, in them that are possessed of an option of being inspired, be not within lawayes in one man, by any very extravagant action, that proceededs from such Passion; yet when many of them compute together, the of Mainsses can there be granter, than to obtain or, stitks, and throw stores at our best fricted? Yet while secondrah isose than such and throw the processing of the secondrah isose than such actions that the second is the secondrah isose than such actions that the second is the secondrah isose than such actions that the second is the secondrah isose than such a multitude will do. For they will elamour, fight against, and destroy these, by whem all this life-inte holers, they have been prototical, and secured from mjury. And if this be Mainsess in heat of the sector methins, being a second sector the second past of the verter methins, yet has a weat any other parts conributes at much to the Nationiz of the Sea, as any other parts conributes as more than the second secon

which seized onely the young Maidens; and caused many of them to hang themselves. This was by most then thought an act of the Divel But one that suspected, that contempt of life in them, might proceed from some Passion of the mind, and supposing they did not contemps also their honour, gave counsell to the Magistrates, to strip such as so haug'd themselves, and let them hang out naked. This the story saves cured that madnesse. But on the other side. the same Gracians, did often asoribe madnesse, to the operation of the Eumenides, or Furyes; and sometimes of Ceres, Phaebus, and other Gods; so much did men attribute to Phantasmes, as to think them aereal living bodies; and generally to call them Spirits, And as the Romans in this, held the same opmion with the Greeks; so also did the Jewes; For they called mad-men Prophets, or (according as they thought the spirits good or bad) Dæmoniacks; and some of them called both Prophets, and Damoniacks, mad-men, and some called the same man both Dæmoniack, and mad-man. But for the Gentiles, 'tis no wonder: because Diseases, and Health; Vices, and Vertuos; and many naturall accidents, were with them termed, and worshipped as Damons. So that a man was to understand by Dismon, as well (sometimes) an Ague, as a Divell. But for the Jewes to have such oninion. is somewhat strange. For neither Moses, nor Abraham pretended to Prophecy by possession of a Spirit; but from the vovce of God; or by a Vision or Dream; Nor is there any thing in his Law, Morall, or Coremoniall, by which they were taught, there was any such Enthusiasme; or any Possession When God is sayd, Numb 11. 25. to take from the Spirit that was in Moses, and give to the 70. Elders, the Spirit of God (taking it for the substance of God) is not divided. The Scriptures by the Spirit of God. in man, mean a mans spirit, enclined to Godlinesso. And where it is said Exod. 28. 3. Whom I have filled with the spirit of wisdome to make garments for Aaron, is not meant a spirit put into them, that can make garments; but the wisdome of their own spirits in that kind of work. In the like sense, the spirit of man, when it produceth unclean actions, is ordinarily called an unclean spirit; and so other spirits, though not alwayes, yet as often as the vertue or vice so stiled, is extraordinary, and Eminent. Neither did the other Prophets of the old Testament pretend Enthusiasme; or, that God spake in them; but to them by Voyce, Vision, or Dream; and the Burthen of the Lord was not Possession, but Command. How then could the Jewes fall into this opinion of possession ? I can imagine no reason, but that which is common to all men; namely, the want of curiosity to search naturall causes; and their placing Felicity, in the acquisition of the grosse pleasures of the Senses, and the things that most immediately conduce thereto. For they that see any strange, and unusuall ability, or defect in a mans mind; unlesse they see withall, from what cause it may probably proceed, can hardly think it naturall; and if not naturall, they must needs thinks it supernaturall; and then what can it be, but that either

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# 38

God, or the Divell is in him ? And hence it came to passe, when our Saviour (Mark 3. 21.) was compassed about with the multitude, those of the house doubted he was mad, and want out to hold him: but the Soribes said he had Belzebab, and that was it, by which he cast out divels; as if the greater mad-man had awed the lesser. And that (John 10, 20.) some said, He hath a Divell, and is mad; whoreas others holding him for a Prophet, sayd, These are not the words of one that hath a Divell. So in the old Testament he that came to anoynt Jehu, 2 Kings 9. 11. was a Prophet; but some of the company asked Jehu, What came that mad-man for ? So that in summe, it is manifest, that whosoever behaved himselfe in extraordinory manner, was thought by the Jewes to be possessed either with a good, or avill spirit; except by the Sadduces, who erred so farre on the other hand, as not to believe there were at all any spirits, (which is very neare to direct Atheisme;) and thereby perhaps the more provoked others, to terme such man Demoniacks, rather than mad-men.

But why then does our Saviour proceed in the curung of them, as if they were possest; and not as if they were mad? To which I can give no other kind of answer, but that which is given to those that urge the Scrinture in like manner against the opinion of the motion of the Earth. The Scripture was written to shew unto men the kingdome of God, and to prepare their mindes to become his obedient subjects; leaving the world, and the Philosophy thereof, to the disputation of mon, for the exercising of their natural Reason. Whether the Earths, or Suns motion make the day, and night; or whether the Exorbitant actions of men, proceed from Passion, or from the Divell, (so we werehip him not) it is all one, as to our obedience, and subjection to God Almighty: which is the thing for which the Scripture was written As for that our Saviour speaketh to the disease, as to a person: it is the usuall phrase of all that cure by words onely, as Christ did, (and Inchanters pretend to do, whether they speak to a Divel or not.) For is not Christ also said (Math. S. 26.) to have rebuked the winds? Is not he said also (Luk. 4. 39.) to rebuke a Fever ? Yet this does not argue that a Fovor is a Divel. And whereas many of those Divels are said to confesso Christ; it is not necessary to interpret those places otherwise, than that those mad-men confessed him. And whereas our Saviour (Math. 12. 43.) speaketh of an unclean Spirit, that having gone out of a man, wandreth through dry places, seeking rest, and finding none; and returning into the same man, with soven other spirits worse than himselfe; It is manifestly a Parable, alluding to a man, that after a little endeavour to quit his lusts, is vanguished by the strength of them; and becomes seven times worse than he was. So that I see nothing at all in the Scripture, that requireth a beliefe, that Damoniacks were any other thing but Mad-men.

There is yet another fault in the Discourses of some men; which may also be numbred amongst the sorts of Madnesse; namely, that abuse of words, whereof I have spoken before in the fifth chapter. by the Name of Absurdity. And that is, when men speak such words, as put together, have in them no signification at all; but are fallen upon by some, through misunderstanding of the words they have received, and repeat by rote; by others, from intention to deceive by obscurity. And this is incident to none but those, that converse in questions of matters incomprehensible, as the Schoolemen: or in questions of abstruse Philosophy. The common sort of men seldome speak Insignificantly, and are therefore, by those other Egregious persons counted Iduots. But to be assured their words are without any thing correspondent to them in the mind. there would need some Examples; which if any man require, let him take a Schoole-man into his hands, and see if he can translate any one chapter concerning any difficult point, as the Trunty: the Deity: the nature of Christ: Transubstantiation: Free will. de, into any of the moderne tongues, so as to make the same intelligible: or into any tolerable Latine, such as they were acquainted withall, that lived when the Latine tongue was Vulgar, What is the meaning of these words. The first cause does not necessarily inflow any thing into the second, by force of the Desentiall subordination of the second causes, by Which it may help it to worke? They are the Translation of the Title of the sixth chapter of Sugrez first Booke, Of the Concourse, Motion, and Help of God. When men write whole volumes of such stuffe, are they not Mad, or intend to make others so? And particularly, in the question of Transubstantiation; where after certain words spoken, they that say, the Whitenesse, Roundnesse, Magnitude, Quality, Corruptibility, all which are incorporeall, dec. go out of the Wafer, into the Body of our blessed Saviour, do they not make those Nesses, Tudes. and Ties, to be so many spirits possessing his body? For by Spirits, they mean alwayes things, that being incorporeall, are neverthelesse moveable from one place to another. So that this kind of Absurdity, may rightly be numbred amongst the many sorts of Madnesse: and all the time that guided by clear Thoughts of their worldly lust, they forbear disputing, or writing thus, but Lucide Intervals. And thus much of the Vertues and Defects Intellectual

#### CHAP. IX

## Of the Severall SUBJECTS of KNOWLEDGE

That are of KNOWINDON two kinds; where of one is Knowledge of Fat; the other Knowledge of the Consequence of one Affermation to another. The former is nothing else, but Same and Menory, not Scholter Knowledge, as when we see a Fact doing, or remember it done: And this is the Knowledge required in a Winnesse. The later is called Science; and is Conditionall; as when we know, that, If the figure showne be a Circle, thes any decay like through the Center shall divide it us to accound parts. And this is the Knowledge required in a Philosopher; that is to say, of him that pretorind to Reasoning

This Register of Knowledge of Fact is called History. Wherea there be two sorts: one called Natural History; which is the Hastary of such Facts, or Effects of Nature, as have no Dependance on Mans Will; Such as are the Ulstornes of Medils, Plants, Animals, Regions, and the like. The other, is Civill History; which is the History of the Voluntary Actions of men in Common.veciths

The Registers of Science, are such Books as contain the Demonstrations of Consensationness of one Affirmation, to another; and are commonly called Books of Fhiosphy: whereas the sorts are many, according to the diversity of the Matter; And may be divided in such manner as I have divided them up the following: Table.

PHILOSOPHIA PRIMA.	GEOREERY.	ARTWRNETIGUE.	Astronout,	GZOGRAFILY.	Serence of Bucknesse,	NAVIGATION	MErkokatau Serenarian	ASTROLOGY.			Orrigues Mosigne:		Ermpuns.	POSTSY.	Recretengue. Lourgue. Just and Just and	realiza
Consequences from Quantity, and Motion indeterminete: which being the J Durracents. Peracules, as first foundation of Philosophy, is called Philosophia Proves. [PRLMA.	from Quantity, By Vigure, . Matks.	determined. By Number, wittgues.	uces from the Motion and Consequences and and the Motion, and Quantity of and	the groat parts Goswography, of the World, as the Earth and	بت	Consequences from the Qualities of Bodyes [ Body. ]	es from the 2 offen of the 3	¥€	Convergences Consequences from the Qualities of four the Minerals, as Stones, Medale, &c	Barth, that Consequences from the Qualities of Vole- Consequences from the Qualities of Vole-		Arimala in generali	Consequences Consequences	Amends Qualities of	afrectual	
5		are Own-	tty, and Metion.	e Ac-	Notues Notues Which is called	PRILO- PRILO-		S	from the Qualitans of Beches Per-			Consequences [ from the Ac-	ridents of t. Of Consequences from the Institution	~		
Remeets (but for the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign of the foreign the foreign of the														,		

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# CHAP. X

# Of POWER, WORTH, DIGNETY, HONOUR, and WORTHINESSE

THE POWNE of a Man, (to take it Universally.) is his present means, to obtain some future apparent Good. And is either Original or Instrumental.

Metaral Power, is the eminence of the Foculties of Body, cr Much: as extraordiary Strength, Porme, Produce, Arts, Efoquence, Liberality, Nobility, Isstramental are those Powers viola acquired by these, or by fortune, are measu and instruments to acquire more, as Eachas, Reputation, Friends, and the secret of Power, sin this point, Libe to Pome, increasing as it proceeding or like the motion of heavy bodies, which the further they go, make will the more heat.

The Greatest of humans Powers, is that which is compounded of the Power of most men, united by consent, in one person, Naturall, or Gvill, that has the use of all their Powers depending on his will; most as the Power of a Common-wealth: Or dependon and the second second second second second second or of divers hadrons languad. Therefore to have servants, is Power; To have fitteds, is Power; for here sectors the aution.

Also Riches joyned with liberality, is Power; because it procure thrends, and servants: Without liberality, not so; because in this case they defend not; but expose men to Envy, as a Piev.

Reputation of power, is Power; because it draweth with it the adherence of those that need protection.

So is Reputation of love of a mans Country, (called Popularity,) for the same Reason.

Also, what quality scever maketh a man beloved, or feared of many; or the reputation of such quality, is Power; because it is a means to have the essentance, and service of many.

Good successe is Power; because it maketh reputation of Wisdome, or good fortune; which makes men either feare hum, or rely on him.

Affability of men already in power, is encrease of Power; because it gaineth love,

Reputation of Prudence in the conduct of Peace or War, is Power; because to prudent men, we commut the government of our selves, more willingly than to others.

Nobility is Power, not in all places, but onely in those Commonwealths, where it has Proviedges: for in such priviledges consistent their Power.

Eloquence is power; because it is seeming Prudence.

Forme is Power; because being a promise of Good, it recommendeth men to the favour of women and strangers.

[PART 1

The Sciences, are small Power; bocause not enument; and therefore, not acknowledged in any man; nor are at all, but in a fow; and in them, but of a few things. For Science is of that mature, as nono ean understand it to be, but such as in a good measure have attayned it.

Arts of publique use, as Fortification, making of Engines, and other instruments of Warr, because they conferre to Defence, and Vietory, are Power: And though the true Mother of them, be Science, namely the Mathematiques; yet, because they are brought into the Light, by the band of the Artificer, they be esteemed (the Mdwide passing with the vulgar for the Mother), as his iren.

The *folice*, or WORRER of a main, is as of all other things, his Price; that is to say, so much as would be given for the use of his Power: and therefore is not absolute: but a thing dependent on the need and judgement of another. A nable conductor of Souldiers, is of great Price in time of War present, or imminent; but in Feace on 48 so. A learned and uncorrupt Judge is much worth in time of Peace, but not so much in War. And as in other things, so in mann (as most mend do), rate other when the highest White they can yet their true Value as no more than it is extended by others.

The manifestation of the Value we set on one another, is that which is commonly called Honouring, and Dishonouring. To Value a man at a high rate, is to *Honour* hum; at a low rate, is to *Dishonour* him. But high, and low, in this case, is to be understood by comparison to the rate that each man settich on himselfe.

The publique worth of a man, which is the Value set on him by the Cummon-wealth, is that which men commonly call Dunkiry. And this Value of him by the Common-wealth, is understood, by affices of Command, Judiesture, publike Employment; or by Nemes and Fulles, introduced for distinction of such Value.

To pray to another, for ayds of any kind, is to HONOUR; because a signe we have an opinion he has power to help; and the more difficult the ayde is, the more is the Honour.

To obey, is to Honour; because no man obeyos them, whom they think have no power to help, or hurt them. And consequently to disober, is to Dishonour.

To give great gifts to a man, is to Honour him; because 'tis buying of Protection, and acknowledging of Power. To give little gifts, is to Diskonour; boccuso it is but Almes, and signifies an opinion of the need of small helps.

To be sedulous in promoting anothers good; also to flattor, is to Honour; as a signe we seek his protection or syde. To neglect, is to Dishonour.

To give way, or place to another, in any Commodity, is to Honour; being a confession of greater power. To arrogate, is to Dishonour.

To shew any signe of love, or feare of another, is to Honour; for both to love, and to feare, is to value. To contemne, or lesse

to love or feare, then he expects, is to Dishonour; for 'tis undervaluing.

To praise, magnific, or call happy, is to Honour: because nothing but goodnesse, power, and felicity is valued. To revile, mock, or mitty, is to Dishonour.

To speak to another with consideration, to appear before him with decenoy, and humility, is to Honour him; as signes of fear to offend. To speak to hum rashly, to do any thing before him obsecute, inputiently, is to Dishonour.

To believe, to trust, to rely on another, is to Honour him; signe of opinion of his vertue and power. To distrust, or not believe, is to Dishonur.

To hearken to a mans counsell, or discourse of what kind scover, is to Honour; as a signe we think him wise, or eloquent, or witty. To sleen, or go forth, or talk the while, is to Diskonour.

To do those things to another, which he takes for signes of Honour, or which the Law or Custome makes so, is to Honour; because in approving the Honour done by others, he acknowledgeth the power which others acknowledge. To reture to do thera, is to Dishonour.

To agree with in opinion, is to Honour; as being a signe of approving his judgement, and wisdome. To dissent, is Diskonour; and an opbraiding of errour; and (if the dissent be in many things) of folly.

To imitate, is to Honour; for it is vehemently to approve. To imitate ones Enemy, is to Dishonour,

To honour those another honours, is to Honour him; as a signe of approbation of his judgement. To honour his Enemies, is to Dishonour him.

To employ in counsell, or in actions of difficulty, is to Honour; as a signe of opinion of his wisdome, or other power. To deny employment in the same cases, to those that seek it, is to Dishonour.

All these wayes of Honouring, are naturall; and as well within, as without Common-wealths. But in Common-wealths, where he, or they that have the supreme Authority, can make whatsoever they please, to stand for signes of Honour, there he other Honours.

A Soverages doth Honour a Subject, with whatsoever Title, or Office, or Employment, or Action, that he himselfe will have taken for a signe of his will to Honour him.

The King of Persia, Hanoured Merideau, when he especiated its should be controled through the stress in the Kinge Garman, uppon before the Kinge Stress, with a Chown on the heat work of the stress of the stress with a stress of the stress kinge will heave. And yet an outbork King of Persia, or the same another time, to one that descuded its years great serves, to water one of the Kinge robes, pare hin laves to b doy. In at with weak one of the Kinge robes, pare hin laves to b doy. In at with it was Dialonous. So that of Civil Honour, the New Schlaffer the person of the Common-weakh, and dependent on the Will of

(PART I

the Soversigne; and is therefore temporary, and called *Uvull Honour*; such as an Magistraoy, Offices, Fitles; and in some places Coats, and Soutchions painted: and men Honour such as have there, as having so many tignes of fevour in the Common-wealth; which favour is Power.

Honourable is whatsoever possession, action, or quality, is an argument and signo of Power.

And therefore To be Honoured, loved, or feared of many, is Honourable; us arguments of Power. To be Honoured of few or none, Diskonourable.

Dominion, and Victory is Honourable; because acquired by Power; and Servitude, for need, or fearo, is Dishonourable.

Good fortune (if lasting), Honourable; as a signe of the favour of Good. III fortune, and losses, Dathonourable. Riches, are Honourable; for they are Power. Poverty, Debonourable. Magaarinaty, Liberality, Hope, Gourage, Confidence, are Honourable; for they proceed from the conscience of Power Pusillanimity, Pareimony, Pear, Difficience, are Dishonourable.

Timely Resolution, or determination of what a man is to do, is theoremails: as being the contempt of esmall difficulties, and dangers. And irreadition, Dishonourable; as a signer of too much volume of https://www.astation.org/astations/ volume/astation.org/astations/ not, the difference of weights is buil little, and therefore the reader not, ac orversales little things, which is I wallianimity.

All Actions, and Speeches, that proceed, or seem to proceed from much Experience, Science, Discretion, or Wit, are Honourable; For all these are Powers. Actions, or Words that proceed from Erron, Ignorance, or Folly, Diskonourable.

Gravity, as fare forth as it seems to proceed from a miniemployed on some thing else, it Honourable, because employment is a sages of Power. But if it seem to proceed from a purpose to appear grave, it is Dakanourable. For the gravity of the former, the later, like the steedimense of a Ship ballsted with Sand, and other truck.

To be Conspiouous, that is to say, to be known, for Wealth, Office, great Actions, or any eminent Good, is Honourable; as a signe of the power for which he is conspiouous. On the contrary, Obscurity, is Dishonourable.

To be descended from conspicuous Parents, is Honourable; because they the more easily attain the aydes, and friends of their Ancestors. On the contary, to be descended from obscure Parentsee, is Diahonourable.

Avions proceeding from Equity, joyned with losse, are Honourshle; as signes of Magnanimity: for Magnanimity is a signe of Power. On the contrary, Craft, Shifting, neglect of Equity. is Diskoncurable.

Covetousnesse of great Riches, and ambition of great Honours, are

Monourable: as signes of power to obtain them. Coverousnesse, and ambution, of little gaines, or preferments, is Dishonourable.

"Wher does it alter the Tunk of Honour, which an actual (so it has press and difficult, and concernantly a signe of much power." Development and the statement of the signed of the statement of

Also anongst men, till thore were constituted great Commonvenlis, i være klongt in oglikhnamer ib ne a Pyrale, og a Righ-way Theofo; but rather a lævfull Trada, not onely amongst the Greak, but abs-senegret Hi Oher Arkolffs; se is mandet by the Histories of antent time. And at this day, in this part of the world, private buda ore, and alwayes will be Horouznik, hungit unlævt rötes, and Ignoning for them this make the Gaulage. For Dues at it alwayes Strangth or Skull, which are bower? Through and the alwayes Strangth or Skull, which are bower? Through and the alwayes Strangth or Skull, which are bower? Through and the or bound strangth or Skull, which are bower? Through and the alwayes Strangth or Skull, which are bower? Through and the new part they berfficts of and spoking, and of the for at Dishonour, in one, or both the Combaints, who engaged by rashnesse, are driven into the lists to averd disgrace.

Soutchions, and Coats of Armes hæreditary, where they have any emment Priviledges, are Honourable; otherwise not; for their Power consisteth either in such Priviledges, or in Riches, or some such thing as is equally honoured in other men. This kind of Honour, commonly called Gentry, has been derived from the Antient Germans. For there never was any such thing known. where the German Customes were unknown. Nor is it now any where in use, where the Germans have not inhabited. The antient Greek Commanders, when they went to war, had their Shields painted with such Devises as they pleased; insomuch as an unnainted Buckler was a signe of Poverty, and of a common Souldier: but they transmitted not the Inheritance of them. The Romans transmitted the Marks of their Families: but they were the Images, not the Devises of their Ancestors. Amongst the people of Asia, Afrique, and America, there is not, nor was ever, any such thing. The Germans onely hed that custome; from whom it has been derived into England, France, Spain, and Italy, when in great numbers they either ayded the Romans, or made their own Conquests in these Westerne parts of the world.

For Germany, being antiently, as all other Countries, in their beginnings, divided amongst an infinite number of little Lords, or Masters of Families, that continually had wars one with another: those Masters, or Lords, principally to the end they might, when they were Covered with Arms, be known by their followers; and partly for ornament, both painted their Armor, or their Scutchion, or Cost, with the picture of some Beast, or other thing; and also put some eminent and visible mark upon the Crest of their Heimets. And this ornament both of the Armes, and Crest, descended by inheritance to their Children; to the eldest pure, and to the rest with some note of diversity, such as the Old master, that is to say in Dutch, the Here-alt thought fit. But when many such Families. joyned together, made a greater Monarchy, this duty of the Herealt, to distinguish Soutchions, was made a private Office a part. And the issue of these Lords, is the great and antient Gentry; which for the most part bear living creatures, noted for courage, and rapme: or Castles, Baltlements, Belts, Weapons, Bars, Palisadoes, and other notes of War; nothing being then in honour, but vertue military. Afterwards, not onely Kings, but popular Commonwealths, gave divers manners of Scutchions, to such as went forth, to the War, or returned from it, for encouragement, or recompence to their service. All which, by an observing Reader, may be found in such antient Histories, Greek and Latine, as make mention of the German Nation, and Manners, in their times.

Titles of Honour, such as are Duke, Count, Marquis, and Baron, are Honourable; as signifying the value set upon them by the Soversigne Power of the Commonwealth: Which Titles, were in old time titles of Office, and Command, derived some from the Somans, some from the Germans, and French. Dukes, in Latine Duces, being Generalls in War: Counts, Comstes, such as bare the Generall company out of friendship; and were left to govern and defend places conquered, and pacified: Marquises, Marchiones, were Counts that governed the Marches, or bounds of the Empire. Which titles of Duke, Count, and Marquis, came into the Empire, about the time of Constanting the Great, from the customes of the German Multua. But Baron, seems to have been a Title of the Gaules, and signifies a Great man; such as were the Kings, or Princes men, whom they employed in war about their persons; and seems to be derived from Fir, to Ber, and Bar, that signified the same in the Language of the Gaules, that Fir in Latine; and thence to Baro, and Baro: so that such men were called Berones, and after Barones: and (in Spanish) Varones. But he that would know more particularly the original of Titles of Honour, may find nt. as I have done this, in Mr. Seldens most excellent Treatise of that subject. In processe of time these offices of Honour, by occasion of trouble, and for reasons of good and peaceable government, were turned into meer Titles: serving for the most part, to distinguish the precedence, place, and order of subjects in the Common-wealth: and men were made Dukes, Counts, Marquises, and Barons of Places, wherein they had neither possession, nor command: and other Titles also, were devised to the same end.

WORTHINKSH, is a thing different from the worth, or value of a

man; and also from his merit, or desert; and consistent in a particular power, or ability for that, whereof he is said to be worthy: which particular ability, is usually named FITNESSE, or Aptitude.

For fai by Worthiest G has Confinenteler, to be a Judge, or to have any often chrage, that is bed fulled, with the qualities required to the well discharging of it; and Worthiest of Riches, that has the qualities under requiring of the well using of them: any of which qualities being absent, one may neverthieste be a Worthy man, nor valuable for some thing gels. Again, a man may be Worthy of Roches, Office, and Employment, that have done well be add to merit or discrets. It, Ford Merit, presupposed have a right, and that the thing desarred is due by promise: Of which I shall say more breakter, when i shall provide I contracts.

### CHAP. XI

#### Of the dafference of MANNERS

By MANNERS, I mean not here. Decency of behaviour; as how one man should salute another, or how a man should wash his mouth, or pick his teeth before company, and such other points of the Small Moralls; But those qualities of man-kind, that concern their living together in Peace, and Unity. To which end we are to consider, that the Felicity of this life, consisteth not in the repose of a mind satisfied. For there is no such Finis ultimus. (utmost avme.) nor Summum Bonum, (greatest Good.) as is sooken of in the Books of the old Morall Philosophers. Nor can a man any more live, whose Desiros are at an end, than he, whose Sonses and Imaginations are at a stand. Felicity is a continuall progresse of the desire, from one object to another, the attaining of the former, being still but the way to the later. The cause whereof is. That the object of mans desire, is not to empy once onely, and for one instant of time; but to assure for ever, the way of his future desire. And therefore the voluntary actions, and melinations of all men, tend, not onely to the procuring, but also to the assuring of a contented life; and differ onely in the way; which ariseth partly from the diversity of passions, in diversiment; and nartly from the difference of the knowledge, or opinion each one has of the causes, which produce the effect desired.

 $\sqrt{80}$  (iast in the first place, I put for a generall inclusion of all mankind, a preprivall and realisss obside of Power atter power, that essestic onely in Death. And the cause of thus, us not alwayse that a man hopes for a more intensive delight, than ha has already attained to; or that he same the context with a moderate powerbut because he cannot assure the power and means to live well.

which he hath present, without the acquisition of more. And from bence it is, that Kings, whose power is greatest, forn their endeavours to the assuring it at home by Lawes, or abroad by Wars: and when that is done, there succeeded a new desire- in some, of Fame from new Conquest: in others, of case and sensual! nleasure: in others, of admirstion, or being flattered for excellence in some act, or other ability of the mind

Commetition of Riches, Honour, Command, or other power, epclineth to Contention, Ennity, and War: Because the way of one Competitor, to the attaining of his desire, is to kill, subdue, supplant, or repell the other. Particularly, competition of praise, enclineth to a reverence of Antiquity. For men contend with the living, not with the dead; to these ascribing more than due. that they may obscure the slory of the other.

Desire of Ease, and sensuall Delight, disposeth men to obey a common Power: Because by such Desires, a man doth abandon the protection might be hoped for from his own Industry, and labour. Fear of Death, and Wounds, disposeth to the same; and for the same reason. On the contrary, needy men, and hardy, not contented with their present condition; as also, all men that are ambitions of Military command, are englined to continue the causes of warre; and to stirre up trouble and sedition; for there is no honour Military but by warre; nor any such hope to mend an ill game, as by causing a new shuffle.

Desire of Knowledge, and Arts of Peace, enclineth men to obey a common Power: For such Desire, containeth a desire of leasure: and consequently protection from some other Power than their own.

Desire of Praise, disposeth to laudable actions, such as please them whose judgement they value; for of those men whom we conterna, we conterna also the Praises. Desire of Fame after death does the same. And though after death, there he no sense of the praise given us on Earth, as being joves, that are either swallowed up in the unspeakable joyes of Heaven, or extinguished in the extreme torments of Hell: yet is not such Fame vain: because men have a present delight therein, from the foresight of it, and of the benefit that may redound thereby to their posterity; which though they now see not, yet they imagine: and any thung that is pleasure in the sense, the same also is pleasure in the imagination,

To have received from one, to whom we think our selves equali, greater benefits than there is hope to Requite, disposeth to counterfeit love: but really secret hatred; and puts a man into the estate of a desperate debtor, than m declining the sight of his creditor. tacitely wishes him there, where he might never see him more. For benefits oblige; and obligation is thraldome; and unrequitable obligation, perpetuall thraldome; which is to ones equall, hatefull, But to have received benefits from one, whom we acknowledge for superiour, enclines to love; because the obligation is no new depression: and cheerful acceptation, (which men call Gratitude,)

as such as hencour done to the obliger, as is taken generally for restitution. Also to receive bandist, shough from an equal, or inferiour, as long as there is hope of requital, disposed to love; for in the intention of the receiver, the obligation is of ayd, and service mutuall; from winscee proceedsth an Emulsion of who shall serced in hearding; it most holds and profitable contention possible; wherein the victor is pissad with his victory, and the obler revenged by condensing it.

To have done more hurt to a man, than he can, or is willing to explate, enclined the doer to have the sufferer. For he must expect revenge, or forgivenesse; both which are hatefull.

Feare of oppression, disposeth a man to anticipate, or to seek and by society: for there is no other way by which a man can secure his life and liberty.

Mon that district their own sublify, are in tunnils, and sediton, better disposed for victory, than they that suppose themselves wise, or crafty. For these love to consult, the other (fearing to be communemend), the origine first. And in antificion, non heing sloways communements, the origin first, and in a settion, non heing sloways of force, is a better stealagen, than any that can proceed from sublify of Wit.

Vain-glorions men, such as without being consious to themselves of great sufficiency, dolight in supposing themselves gallant men, are enclined onely to ostentiation; but not to attempt: Because when danger or difficulty appears, they look for notions but to have their insufficiency discovered.

Yain-glorious mon, such as estimate their sufficiency by the flattery of other men, or the fortune of soome precodent action, without assured ground of hope from the true knowledge of themaleves, are easiliant to rash engagenc, and in the epproach of danger, or difficulty, to retire if they can: because not seeing the way of safety, they will rather heard their honour, which may be solved with an excess; than their lives, for which no salve is sufficient.

Men that have a strong opunon of their own wisdome in matter of government, are disposed to Ambliton. Because without publique Employment in counsell or magnetacoy, the honour of ther wadome to lock. And therefore Eloquent speakers are enclined to Ambliton; for Eloquence seemeth wisedone, both to themselves and others.

Posilianmity disposed men to Irresolution, and consequently to loss the occurs of additional states of the states of the men have been in deliberation till the time of action approach, it is not them mainter what is best to be done. 'Its a age, the Therefore not to resolve then, is to less the consiston by weighting of triffees which is Paulianuty.

Frugality, (though in poor mon a Vertue,) maketh a man unapt to atchieve such actions, as require the strength of many men at once: For it weakeneth their Endeavour, which is to be nourished and kept in vigor by Reward.

Riquence, with flattery, disposed mere to confide in them that have it, becauses the former's seeming Windows, the latter seeming Kindnesse. Adde to them Mültary reputation, and it disposed men to adhære, and subject themselves to those men that have them. The two former, having given have caution against danger from him; the later given them caution against danger from others.

Want of Science, that is, Ignorance of causes, disposeth, or rather constrainet a man ho redy on the advise, and authority of others. For all men whose the truth concernes, if they redy not on their own, must redy on the opunion of some other, whose than think wisce than themselves, and see not why he should deceive them.

Ignorance of the signification of words; which is, want of understanding, disposeth men to take on trust, not onely the truth they know not; but also the errors; and which is more, the non-acuse of them they trust: For neither Error, nor non-meme, can without a pericet understanding of words, be detected.

From the same it proceeded, that men give different names, to one and the same thing, from the difference of their own passnos: As they that approve a purvate opinion, call it Opinion; but they that misike it, Heresie: and yet herease signifies no more than private opunion; but has onely a greater thacture of choler

From the same also is proceeded, that here cannot distinguish, without study on greet understanding, between one action of many men, and many actions of one unditude; as for example, between the one cattor of all the Senators of Rome at Rilling Column; and the many actions of a number of Senators in killing Column; and therefore are dropeed to take for the action of the people, that which is a multitude of actors done by a multitude of men, led perhaps by the perswance of one.

Ignorance of the causes, and originall constitution of Right. Equity, Law, and Justice, disposeth a man to make Custome and Example the rule of his actions, in such manner, as to think that Unjust which it hath been the custome to punish: and that Just. of the impunity and approbation whereof they can produce an Example, or (as the Lawyers which onely use this false measure of Justice barbarously call it) a Precedent: like little children, that have no other rule of good and evill manners, but the correction they receive from their Parents, and Masters; save that children are constant to their rule, whereas men are not so; because grown strong, and stubborn, they anneale from custome to reason, and from reason to custome, as it serves their turn; receding from custome when their interest requires it, and setting themselves against reason, as oft as reason is against them : Which is the cause, that the doctrine of Right and Wrong, is perpetually disputed, both by the Pen and the Sword; Whereas the doctrine of Lines, and Figures, is not so; because men care not, in that subject what

52

be trulh, as a thung that croases no mans ambition, profit, or least for I doubt not, but if it had been a thing contrary to any mans right of dominion, or to the interest of most that have dominion. That the titres double of a Truther, should be equal to its outrafts of the interest of the state of the state of the state of the property of all books of Geometry, suppressed, as fares as he whom it concerned was able.

Toncance of remote causes, disposatio men to attribute all events, to the causes immediate, and Instrumentall, For these are all the causes they percove. And hence it course to passe, that in all places, men thats are givered with payments to the Publicup, dscharge their anger upon the Publicans, that is to say, Farmesa, Collectors, and other Officers of the publice Revenue; and almore to such as find italk with the publice Government; and thereby, when they have engaged themselve beyond hope of justification, fail also upon the Supreme Authority, for feare of punishment, or singue of resviring parked.

Igorance of mainful causes disposed a man to Cradility, so are to believe many times impossibilities. For such know nothing to the contrary, but that tiry may be true, being inable to detok the impossibility. And Grednity, because man how to be harkened unto an teomptay, du solution to the service of the such and the superior of the service of the service of the light hum: and sequetions also to invest them.

Anxiety for the future time, disposeth men to enquire into the causes of things: because the knowledge of them, maketh men the better able to order the present to thair best advantage

Curiosity, or love of the knowledge of causes, draws a man from nonsideration of the effect, to seek the cause; and again, the cause of that cause: till of necessity he must come to this thought at last. that there is some cause, whereof there is no former cause, but is eternall, which is it men call God. So that it is ampossible to make any profound enquiry into naturall causes, without being enclined thereby to believe there is one God Eternall; though they cannot have any idea of him in their mind, answerable to his nature. For as a man that is born blind, hearing men talk of warming themselves by the fire, and being brought to warm humself by the same. may easily conceive, and assure himselfe, there is somewhat there, which men call Fire, and is the cause of the heat he feeles; but cannot imagine what it is like; nor have an Idea of it in his mind such as they have that see it: so also, by the visible things of this world, and their admirable order, a man may conceive there is a cause of them, which men call God; and yet not have an Idea, or Image of him in his mind.

And they that make little, or no enqury into the naturall eauses of things, yet from the feare that proceeds from the ignorance at selfs, of what it is that hash the power to do ikem much good or harm, are enclined to suppese, and feign unto themselves, severall kinds of Dowers Invisible; and to stand in awe of their over imaronations; and in time of distress to invoke them; as also m they import of an expected good successe, to give than thenks; making the creatures of their own hancy, their Gods. By which means if high come to pass, that from the innumerable variety of Faury, near have created in the world immunerable starts of Gods. And this Feere of things invisible, is the neutral Second that, which every one in himself calleth Relignant and in them that worklin, or four other Power otherwise than the work, Samestition.

And this used of Relignon, having been observed by many; some of those that have observed it, have been onclined thereby to nourish, dresse, and forme it into Lawes; and to adde to it of there your invention, any opinion of the acuses of future ovents, by which they thought they should best be able to govern others, and make unto themselves the greatest use of their Povers.

## CHAP. XII

#### OF RELIGION

SEELNG there are no signes, nor fruit of *Religion*, but in Man onely; there is no sense to doubt, but that the seed of *Religion*, is also onely in Man; and consisteth in some peculiar quality, or st least in some eminent degree thereof, not to be found in other Living creatures.

And first, it is psculiar to the nature of Man, to be inquisitive into the Causes of the Events they see, some more, some lesse; but all men so much, as to be curious in the search of the causes of their own good and crill fortune.

Secondly, upon the sight of any thing that hath a Beginning, to thunk also it had a cause, which determained the same to begin, then when it tidd, rather than sconer or later.

Thirdly, whereas there is no other Felicity of Beasts, hot the enjoying of this quotkinn Food, Base, and Lasts; an kaving little, or no lowsight of the time to come, for want of observation; and memory of the code, consequence, and dependence of the diage tiley see; Man observeth how one Front bash been produced by another; and remainvish in their Antenedence and Consequence; And when he enamot searce himselfs of the true causes of things, [for the causes of good and error.] for the other searce are angestech; or tructure to the Antendence and consequences thinks to be his fixed, and waves than himselfs.

The two first, make Anxiety. For being assured that there be causes of all things that have arrived hitterion, or shall arrive horeafter; it is impossible for a man, who continually endeavoureth to secure himself against the well is feared, and argument the good he desireth, not to be in a perpetual soliditate of the time to come; So that very man, especially those that are over provident, are m an estate like to that of Prometheus. For as Prometheus, (which integrated, as, The prudent many, was bound to the hill Gauzasas, a place of large prospect, where, an Eagle feeding on the liver, decourd in the sky, as much as was repayred in the night. So that man, which looks too far before him, in the care of future tims, hath his heart all the day long, ganved on by feare of death, poverty, or other calamity; and has no repose, nor pause of his axider, but in eleo.

This perpetuall feare, alwayes accompanying mankind in the ignorance of causes, as it were in the Dark, must needs have for object something. And therefore when there is nothing to be seen, there is nothing to accuse, either of their good, or evill fortune, but some Power, or Agent Invisible: In which sense perhaps it was, that some of the old Poets said, that the Gods were at first created by humane Feare: which spoken of the Gods, (that is to say, of the many Gods of the Gentiles) is very true. But the acknowledging of one God Eternall, Infinite, and Omnipotent, may more easily be derived, from the desire men have to know the causes of naturall bodies, and their severall vertues, and operations; than from the feare of what was to befall them in time to come. For he that from any effect hee seeth come to passe, should reason to the next and immediate cause thereof, and from thence to the cause of that cause, and plonge hypselfo profoundly in the pursuit of causes; shall at last come to this, that there must be (as even the Heathen Philosophers confessed) one First Mover; that is, a First, and an Eternal cause of all things; which is that which men mean by the name of God: And all this without thought of their fortune; the solicitade whereof, both encluies to fear, and hinders them from the search of the causes of other things; and thereby gives occasion of feaming of as many Gods, as there be men that feigne them

And for the matter, or substance of the Invisible Agents, so fancyed; they could not by naturall cogitation, fall upon any other concept, but that it was the same with that of the Scule of man; and that the Soule of man, was of the same substance, with that which appeareth in a Dream, to one that sleepeth; or in a Lookingglasse, to one that is awake: which, men not knowing that such apparitions are nothing clee but creatures of the Fancy, think to be reall, and externall Substances; and therefore call them Ghosts; as the Latines called them Imagines, and Umbra; and thought them Spirits, that is, thin asreall bodies; and those Invisible Agents. which they feared, to bee like them; save that they appear, and vanish when they please. But the opinion that such Spirits were Incorporeall, or Immatoriall, could never enter into the mind of any man by nature; because, though men may put together words of contradictory signification, as Spirit, and Incorporeall; yet they can never have the imagination of any thing answering to them: And therefore, men that by their own meditation, arrive to the acknowledgement of one Infinite, Omnicotcat, and Eternall God. choose rather to confesse he is Incomprehensible, and above their

understanding; than to define his Nature by Sparit Incorporal, and then conferes their definition to be univaliallyhilo; or if they give him auch a tatle, it is not Doymatically, with intention to make the Divine Nature understood; but Piceasky, to honcar him with attributes, of arguifications, as remote as they can from the grossaness of Bodies Visible

Then, for the way by which they think these Invisible Agents wrought their offects; that is to say, what immediate causes they used, in bringing things to passe, men that know not what it is that we call causing, (that is, almost all men) have no other rule to guesse by, but by observing, and remembring what they have seen to precede the like effect at some other time, or times before, without seeing between the antocedent and subsequent Event, any dependance or connexion at all: And therefore from the like things past, they expect the like things to come; and hope for good or evill luck, superstitiously, from things that have no part at all in the causing of it. As the Athenians did for their war at Leganto, demand another Phormao; The Pompeian faction for their warre in Afrique, another Scimo: and others have done in divers other accosions ainco In like manner they attribute their fortune to a stander by, to a lucky or unlucky place, to words spoken, canedially if the name of God be amongst them; as Charming, and Conjuring [the Leiturey of Witches;) insomuch as to believe, they have power to turn a stone into bread, bread into a man, or any thing, into any thing,

Thirdly, for the workip which naturally men exhibite to Powers avsible, it can be no other, but rank arguments of that reverence, as they would use towards men; Gifts, Petitions, Thanks, Shubsiston of Body, Considerate Addressos, ableve Rehaviour, pramaditated Words, Swearing (that is, assuming one another of their promises, by myoking them. Beyond that reason suggested nathing; but leaves them either to rest three; or for furthor eeemons, to rely on those tuby biolice to be work that themselves.

Lastly, concerning how these Invisible Powers declare to men the things which shall hereafter come to passe, especially consorring their good or will fortune n general, or good or ill successes in any particular understaling men are availably at a stant is never that using to conjecture of the dime to come, by the lines past, they are very app, more made to ach be the binding. After some the viscouries the the standard optimized optimized optimized optimized optimized the Regressions from other men, of whom they have once conserved a good optimin

And in thise fours things, Opinion of Ghosts, Ignorance of second cases, Devices towards which men fors, and Taking of things Causal for Prognostiques, consistent, the Naturall seed of *Religions*, which by research of the different *Randes*, *Judgements*, and *Pasicos* which by research the other than the second second second these which are used by one man, are for the most part reliables to another.

For these seeds have received culture from two sorts of men.

One sets have been they, that have nourlisted, and ordered them, according to their own investion. The other, have done it, by Gade commandement, and direction: but both sorts have done it, with a purpose to make those men that cloud on them, its more appt to Obeliance, Lawer, Pesco, Charly, and uvill Society. Soand technish part of the duty which Battly Kings require of their Subjects. And the Negloon of the later sort is Divine Pollisques and containst. Precepts to those that have yealed themselves subjects in the Kingdome of God. Of the former sort, were all the fonders of Common-walking, and the Law-givers of the Gentlies: Of the later sort, were Abraham, Mass, and our Divingdom of God.

And for that part of Religion, which consisted in opinions concerning the nature of Powers Invisible, there is almost nothing that has a name, that has not been estormed amongst the Gentules, in one place or another, a God, or Dival; or by their Posts fedgmed to be inspirated, inhabited, or possessed by some Smitr or other.

The uniormed matter of the World, was a God, by the name of Chaos

The Heaven, the Ocean, the Planets, the Fire, the Earth, the Winds, were so many Gods

Men, Women, a Bird, a Crocodile, a Calf, a Dogge, a Snake, an Onion, a Leeke, Deified. Besides that, they filled almost all places, with spirits called Diemons; the plains, with Pan, and Panises, or Satyres: the Woods, with Fawnes, and Nymphs: the Sea, with Tritons, and other Nymphs; every River, and Fountavn, with a Ghost of his name, and with Nymphs; every house, with its Lares, or Familiars, every man, with his Genzus; Hell, with Ghosts, and spiritual Officers, as Charon, Cerberus, and the Furies; and in the night time, all places with Larva, Lemures, Ghosts of mon deceased, and a whole kingdome of Fayrics, and Bugbears. They have also ascribed Divinity, and built Temples to meer Accidents, and Qualities; such as are Time, Night, Day, Peace, Concord, Love, Contention, Vertue, Honour, Health, Rust, Fever, and the like; which when they prayed for, or against, they prayed to, as if there were Ghosts of those names hanging over their heads, and letting fall. or withholding that Good, or Evill, for, or against which they prayed. They invoked also their own Wit, by the name of Muses; their own Ignorance, by the name of Fortune; tacir own Lust, by the name of Cupid; their own Rage, by the name Furges; their own privy members by the name of Priapus; and attributed their pollutions, to Incubi, and Succubo: insomuch as there was nothing, which a Poet could introduce as a person in his Poem, which they did not make either a God, or a Dwel.

The same authors of the Religion of the Gentiles, observing the second ground for Religion, which is mons Ignorance of causes; and thereby their aptnesse to attribute their fortune to causes, on n 59: which there was no dependence at all apparent, took constin to obtrack on their ignorance, at latead of second causes, a kand of second and ministerial Gods; ascrining the cause of Paceundity, to Franz; the cause of Arts, to Apolo, of Sublity and Coatt, to Afore the coatter of the second cause of a second the second of the second cause of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the second of the second cause of the sec

And to the Worshup, which naturally men conceived fit to has used towards their Gods, namely Oblations Prayers Thanks and the rest formerly named: the same Legislators of the Gentiles have added their Images, both in Picture, and Sculpture: that the more ignorant sort. (that is to say, the most part, or generality of the people.) thinking the Gods for whose representation they were made. were really included, and as it were housed within them, mucht so much the more stand in fears of them: And endowed them with lands, and houses, and officers, and revenues, sot apart from all other humano uses: that is, consecrated, and made holy to these their idels; as Caverna, Groves, Woods, Mountains, and whole Hands: and have attributed to them, not onely the shance, some of Mon. some of Beasts, some of Monsters, but also the Faculties, and Passions of men and beasts; as Sense, Speech, Sox, Lusi, Generation, (and this not onely by mixing one with another, to propagate the kind of Gods, but also by mixing with men, and women, to beget mongrill Gods, and but inmates of Heaven, as Bacchus, Hercules, and others:) besides, Anger, Revenge, and other passions of living creatures, and the actions proceeding from them as Fraud, Theit, Adultery, Sodomie, and any vice that may be taken for an effect of Power, or a cause of Pleasure; and all such Vices, as amongst mon are taken to be against Law, rather than against HOUGHT

Lastly, to the Promostiques of time to come, which are naturally, but Conjectures upon the Experience of time past; and supernaturally, divine Revelation; the same authors of the Religion of the Gentiles, partly upon pretended Experience, partly upon pretended Revelation, have added innumerable other superstitious waves of Divination, and made men believe they should find their fortunes, sometimes in the ambiguous or senslesse answers of the Priests at Delphi, Delos, Ammon, and other famous Oracles: which answers, were made ambiguous by designe, to own the event both wayes; or absurd, by the intoxicating vapour of the place, which is very frequent in sulphurous Cavernes; Sometimes in the leaves of the Studis: of whose Propheoves (like those perhaps of Nostradamus: for the fragments now extant seem to be the invention of later times) there were some books in reputation in the time of the Roman Republique: Sometimes in the insumificant Speeches of Mad-men, supposed to be possessed with a divine Spirit. which Possession they called Enthusiasme, and these kinds of foretelling events, were accounted Theomanoy, or Prophecy: Sometimes in the aspect of the Starres at their Nativity, which was called Horos-

copy, and esteemed a part of judiciary Astrology: Sometimes in their own hopes and foures, called Thumomaney, or Presage: Sometimes in the Prediction of Witches, that pretended conference with the dead; which is called Necromancy, Conjuring, and Witchcraft; and is but juggling and confederate knavery: Sometimes in the Casuall flight, or feeding of birds; called Augury: Sometimes in the Entravies of a sacrificed beast: which was Aruspicina: Sometimes in Dreams: Sometimes in Croaking of Ravens, or chattering of Birds: Sometumes in the Lineaments of the face; which was called Metoposcopy; or by Palmistry in the lines of the hand, in casuall words, called Omina: Sometimes in Monsters, or unusuall accidents; as Ecclinees, Comets, rare Meteors, Earthquakes, Inundations, uncouth Births, and the like, which they called Portenta, and Ostenta, because they thought them to portend, or foreshew some great Calamity to come: Sometimes, in meer Lottery, as Crosse and Pile; counting holes in a sive; dipping of Verses in Homer, and Vugil; and innumerable other such vaine concepts. So easie are men to be drawn to behave any thing, from such men as have gotten credit with thom; and can with gentlenesse, and dexterity, take hold of their fear, and ignorance.

And therefore the first Founders, and Legislators of Commonwealths amongst the Gentiles, whose ends were only to keep the people in obedience, and peace, have in all places taken care; First, to imprint in their minds a beliefe, that those precents which they gave concerning Religion, might not be thought to proceed from their own device, but from the dictates of some God, or other Spirit: or else that they themselves were of a higher nature than mere mortalls, that their Lawes might the more casily be received : So Numa Pomphus pretended to receive the Ceremonies he instituted amongst the Romans, from the Nymph Egena: and the first King and founder of the Kingdome of Peru, pretended himselfe and his wife to be the children of the Sunne; and Mahomet, to set up his new Religion, pretended to have conferences with the Holy Ghost, in forme of a Dove. Secondly, they have had a care, to make it believed, that the same things were displeasing to the Gods. which were forbidden by the Lawes Thirdly, to prescribe Coremonies, Supplications, Sacrifices, and Festivalls, by which they were to believe, the anger of the Gods might be appeased; and that ill success in War, great contagions of Sicknesse, Earthquakes, and each mans private Misery, came from the Anger of the Gods: and their Anger from the Neglect of their Worship, or the forgetting, or mistaking some point of the Ceremonies required. And though amongst the antient Romans, men were not forbidden to deny. that which in the Poets is written of the paines, and pleasures after this life: which divers of great authority, and gravity in that state have in their Hasangues openly derided; yet that behere was alwaies more cherished, than the contrary,

And by these, and such other Institutions, they obtayned in order to their end, (which was the peace of the Commonwealth.)

£.

that the common people in their misfortunes, laying the fault on neglect, or errours in their Ceremonics, or on their own disobelines to has have, were the lesses apt to mutiny against their Governors. And being metrication with the penn, and nutations of Pestivalla, and publike Games, much in honour of the Gods, needed nobling class but bread, to keep them throm discontert, human commotion against the State. And therefore the Roman, take had compared the greatest part of the func known of Model, made no scrupic of tublensing any Religion ministence in the City of *Home*, there Grill Governments, nor also we read, that any Pesigionis theres forbidden, but that of the Jawes, who (being the poculiar Kingdone of Cool) thought it univaliable to acknowling assignments to any mostell King or State whatewore. And thus you see how the Religion, of the Goulies was a part of their Folloy.

But where God himselfs, by supernotural Reviolation, planted Relignori, three has has made to himselfs a personal Kargadones, and gave Lawes, not only of behaviour towards immediate, but also towards one analysis, and Laweshy in the Kingsheime of God, its distinction of Temporall, and Spiritual Davaination, back there on place. It is true, that God is King of all the Barth Yet may be be King of a possilar, and chosen Nation. For there is no more incompany of his end, has that has the general contrast, of the whole Army, should have withall a peculiar Regiment, or Company of the source. God is Rigs of the Barth Yet may be incompany of his contrast, and the second state of the source of the super state of the source of the source of the source of the source of the more largely of the Kingdone of God, both by Nature, and Covenant, I have in the following discourse segment an other place.

From the propagation of Religion, it is not hard to understand the causes of the resultion of the same not not its first meeds, or principles; which are only an ophican of a Delty, and Power invatible, and supernaturality late same news be abclusted out of human or the same of the same same same same same result of the same same same same same same same same in reputation.

For seeing all formed Religion, is founded at first, upon the firsh within a molitude hash in some one person, whom diay belore not only to be a wise man, and to labour to procure then happiness, but is loo to be a holy man, to whom God himselfs voncisatest to declare has will separaturally. If followeth necessarily, whom hay that have the Government of Religned hashes and have either the withformer of sharp man, then all some to have stiffle Revision of the Religned have any probabile behave of Dring Revision in that the Religno which have done to uphole, must be suspended likewise; and (without the faser of the Avil) Sward contradiction rejected.

That which taketh away the reputation of Wisedome, in him that formeth a Religion, or addeth to it when it is allready formed,

is the entypring of a bolefa of contradictories. For both parts of a contradictor ennot possibly be trave, and therefore to enjoyne the beliefs of thore, is as a argument of ignorance, which detects the author in that; and descretilts thin in all things ease he shall propound us from revealation appendium. Which reveals that a shall propound us from revealation appendium. The interval of motion appendium of many diluge above, but of motion against matural measure.

That which takeds away the reputation of Sincetity, is the doing, or saying of such things, as appeare to be signed, that what they require other men to belove, is not believed by themselves; all which doings, or sayings are therefore called Scatadious, because they be stambling blocks, that make men to fail in the way of Religion: as injusies, Cruthy, Producess, Arzier, and Luzzur, Yeur who can believe, that be that doit ordinarily such actions, as proceed from say of these torbes, believed; there is any such 1vable Power to be feased, as he afrighteth other men withall, for lease faults?

Thus which taketh away the reputation of Lore, is the being detented of private ends: as when the bolicat takey require of others, conduceth or seemeth to conduce to the acquiring of Dominion. Richew, Dignity, or source Pleasure, to themselves onely, or expectilly. For that which man reap benefit by to themselves, they are thought to do for their own sakes, and not for love of others.

Lastly, the testamony links men can rendro of dirance Calling, can be no other, than the operation of Minneless or the Prophecy, (which also is a Minnele) or extraordinary Felicity And therefore, to these points of Koligion, which have been researed from them that their such Minneles, these that are added by such, as approve not their Calling by young Minnel, or their many concer below sciences of the such and the such as the such as a science of the such as a such as the such as a supervised science of have strongly into them. For a in natural, blings, men of judgement erguine mixtual lignes, and arguments, so on supernatural, thenge, they require signes superparatural, (which are Minneles). Interest they consent invaridy, and from their hearts.

All which causes of the weak-map of mone fails, do manifestly appear in the Skamples follows: First, who have it to Example of the children of Israel, who when Moss, that had approved has Calling to them by Miracka, and by the happy conduct of them of the true God, recommended to them by him; and setting up a Goden Calle for their God, relayed in the the Chicker of the Egyptimus; from whom they had been so hatby followed. And again, after Moss, Jacon, Joshua, and that generation which had again, after Mossel, and the generation which had and served Most. So it their Miracka Synthyr, Falth alou failed.

Again, when the sons of Samuel,<sup>3</sup> being constituted by their father Judges in Bersabee, received bribes, and judged unjustly,

<sup>1</sup> Exod. 32. 7, 2. <sup>3</sup> Judges 2. 11. <sup>3</sup> I Sam. 5. 3

the people of Israel refuted any more to have God to be their King, in other menner than he was King of other people; and therefore oryed out to Somuel, to choose them a king after the manner of the Nations. So that Justice faying, Fath also fayled: Insonuch, as they deposed their God, from regamp over them

And whereas in the planting of Christian Religion, the Oracles ceased in all parts of the Roman Empire, and the number of Christians encreased wonderfully every day, and in every place by the preaching of the Apostles, and Evangelists; a great part of that successe, may reasonably be attributed, to the contempt, into which the Priests of the Gentiles of that tame, had brought themselves, by their uncleannesse, avarian and ingling between Princes Also the Religion of the Church of Rome, was partly, for the same cause abohahed in England, and many other parts of Christendome; insomuch, as the faving of Vertue in the Pastora, maketh faith fails in the People: and partly from bringing of the Philosophy. and doctrine of Aristotle into Religion, by the Schoole-men: from whence there aross so many contradictions, and absurdities, as brought the Clergy into a reputation both of Jenorance, and of Fraudulant intention: and enclined people to revolt from them. either against the will of their own Princes, as in France, and Holland: or with their will, as in England.

Lastly, amongst the points by the Church of Rome declared necessary for Salvation, there he so many, manifestly to the advantage of the Pope, and of his spiritual subjects, residing in the territories of other Christian Princes, that were it not for the mutual emulation of those Princes, they might without warre, or trouble, exclude all forraign Authority, as easily as it has been excluded in Regland. For who is there that does not see, to whose benefit it conduceth, to have it behaved, that a King hath not his Authority from Christ, unlesse a Bishop crown hum? That a King, if he be a Priest, cannot Marry? That whether a Prince be born in lawfull Marnage, or not, must be indged by Authority from Rome? That Subjects may be freed from their Alleageance, if by the Court of Rome, the King be judged an Heretique? That a King (as Chilperious of France) may be deposed by a Pope (as Pope Zachary.) for no cause: and his K mgdome given to one of his Subjects? That the Clergy, and Regulars, in what Country soever, shall be exempt from the Jurisduction of their King, in cases criminall? Or who does not see, to whose profit redound the Fees of private Masses. and Vales of Purgatory; with other signes of private interest, enough to mortifie the most hvely Faith, if (as I sayd) the civil Magistrate, and Custome did not more sustain it, than any opinion they have of the Sanctity, Wisdome, or Probity of their Teachers? So that I may attribute all the changes of Religion in the world, to one and the same cause; and that is, unpleasing Priests; and those not onely amongst Catholianes, but even in that Church that bath presumed most of Reformation

#### CHAP. XIII

#### Of the NATURALS. CONDITION of Mankind, as concerning their Felicity, and Missry

Nerrors half, made men so equal, in the facilities of body, soid, mand; as that though there has bond one mus convenies manifeatly stronger in body, or of quarker mind than another; yet whom all is recknoch orgether, the difference balvesen man, and rana. Is and so considerable, as that one mean can thereupon claim to himself any benefit, to which another may not protected, as well as its. For a property of the source of these strongest, either by source machemism, or by confedence with others, that are in the source durance with himselfs.

And as to the faculties of the mind, (setting aside the arts grounded upon words, and especially that skill of proceeding upon generall, and infallible rules, called Science: which very few have, and but in fow things: as hence not a native taculty, born with us: nor attained. (as Prudence.) while we look after somewhat els.) I find yet a greater equality amongst men, than that of strength. For Prudence, is but Experience; which equall time, equally bestowes on all men, in those things they equally apply themselves unto That which may perhaps make such equality mercenible, is hut a vain conceipt of ones owne wisdome, which almost all men think they have in a greater degree, than the Vulgar, that is, than all men but thomselves, and a few others, whom by Fame, or for concerning with themselves, they approve. For such is the nature of men, that howsoever they may acknowledge many others to be more witty. or more eloquent, or more learned; Yet they will hardly helieve there be many so wise as themselves: For they see their own wit at hand, and other mens at a distance. But this proveth rather that mon are in that point couall, than uncouall. For there is not ordinarily a greater signe of the equal distribution of any thing, than that every man is contented with his share.

Examplify a plain of the second secon

And from this digitizence of one another, there is no way for any man to secure hissingle, so reasonable, as Articipation; this to, by protect, or wrice, to master the present of all neet he can, so long, till he see no other power grate decough to endanger thim. And this is no more than his own conservation required, and is generally allowed. Allo because there be some, that taking pleasure in contempliting their own power is the state of conguest, which they pursue farther than there seeming requires; it should not wave would be glob to be at ease writin modest bounds, should not by statuding only on their defence, to sublisht. And it is conceptures, work suggestation of dominan over term, being necessary to a mans construction, it could to be allowed jum

Againe, min have no pleasars, (ust on the contary a great deals of griefoi in knowing company, where there is no power able to correave them all. For overy man looketh that his componion should value him, at the same rate is ease upon himselfield. And agon all signes of contempt, or undervaluing, naturally endeavoors, as fas for the same the same the same same the same same same factor and the same same same same same same same deep them in public, is for stronght to make show destro such others, box stort a greater value from his contenners, by domonage; and from obsers, by the scan, plo.

<u>So that in the nature of man, we find three principall causes of anarrell.</u> East, Competition; Secondly, Diffidence, Thirdly, Glary.

"The first, maketh men mrucks for Gam; the second, for Saldy; and the third, for Reputation. The first user Volence, to make themselves Masters of other meca persons, wives, shiftern, and calkel; the second, to defaud then; it ho third, for thins, as a word, either direct in their Persons, or by reflexion in kich Kindred, their Friends, their Naton, their Trofession, or third Naton.

Hereby it is manifest, that during the time men line without a common Power to keep them all in awe, they are in that condition which is called Warrey, and such a warre, as is of every man, against a start of the second second second second second second by Battall is sufficiently known: and therefore the matine of Wen is to be considered in the nature of Warely set is in the nature of Weather. For as the nature of Fouls weather, lysth not is a shown of two of rain; but is an inclusion between the matter of the State mature of Ware, consistent not many days together; State mature of Ware, consistent not many days together; State mature of Ware, consistent not many days together; State mature of Ware, consistent not may also be searcher to the contary. All other time & Facos.

Whatsoever therefore is consequent to a time of Warre, where every man is Ecceny to every man; the same is consequent to the time, wherein men live without other security, than what their own strength, and their own investion shall furnish them withall. In such condition, there is no place for Industry, because the fruit

"It may seem strange to some man, that has not well weighed these things; that Nature should thus dissociate, and render men ant to invade, and destroy one another: and he may therefore, not trusting to this Inference, made from the Passions, desire perhaps to have the same confirmed by Experience. Let him therefore consider with himselfe, when taking a journey, he armes himselfe, and seeks to go well accompanied; when going to sleep, he locks his dores: when even in his house he locks his chests: and this when he knowes there bee Lawes, and publike Officers, armed, to revenge all injuries shall bee done him; what opinion he has of his fallow subjects, when he rides armed; of his fellow Citizons, when he looks his dores; and of his children, and servants, when he locks his chests. Does he not there as much accuse mankind by his actions. as I do by my words? But neither of us accuse mans nature in it. The Desires, and other Passions of man, are in themselves no Sin. No more are the Actions, that proceed from those Passions, till they know a Lew that forbids them; which till Lawes be made they cannot know: nor can any Law be made, till they have agreed upon the Person that shall make it.

It may pendremature be thought, there was sever such a tima, nor condition of warrs at this and I believe it was nover generally so, over all the world: but there are many places, where they live is now. For the savege people in many places of diverse, except the government of small Families, the concord what of dependent on networld have, have no government as all; and thou at the day, in that bruits manner, as I and before. However, it may be common Hower to faster, by the manner of lim, which are no time have formerly lived under a passeful government, use to degenerate ito, in a origin Ware.

"Dist though there had never been any time, wherein particularmen were in a condition of warre one against acoustic, in the state independency, are in continual isolations, and in the state and posture of Gladistore, having their wargons pointing, and their symp fixed one another; that is, their Ports, Gauranos, and Guay, upon the Fronteers of their Kingdomes; and continual Syme warred one another; that is a posture of War. But housand they upolondisour; which is posture of War. But housand they upolondisour; which are posture of War. But housand follow from it, that misery, which secondances the Liberty of follow from it, that misery, which secondances the Liberty of

\*n 691

To thus wares of every man against every man, this also is consequent; that such this can be Curues. The noises of Right-and Wrong Jankao and Injurice larve there no place. Where there is Prees, and Frank, as in the two Gardmall Perrors, and Frank, as in wares the two Gardmall Perrors, and Frank, as in wares the two Gardmall Perrors, and Frank, as in ware the two Gardmall the the same condition, thest three he are perror to the Domaine, no dire and Flane distinct, thet oney that to be every mans, that he can get and for so long as is can keep it. And thus much the the person, partly in the meson the two Gardmall the two Gardmall the transmitting partly in the two Gardmall the transmitten the transmittent of the two Gardmall th

The Paissons that encline men to Peace, are Pearo I Deathy, Desire of analy binning as are necessary to commoldous brings; and a Mope by bleit Industry to obtain thism. And Reason suggestable convenient Archiel of Peace, input which men may be drawn to be also the lawse of Nature: whereof I shall speak more particularly, in the two following Chaptures.

## CHAP. XIV

Of the first and second NATURALL LAWES, and of CONTRACTS

'The Runn OF NATURI, which Writers commonly call Jest Naturals, is the Laberty each man hath, to use his own power, as he will himselfe, for the preservation of his own Nature; that is to say, of his own Lide; and consequently, of doing any thung, which in his own Judgement, and Reason, hes shall conceve to be the anicet means thereunto."

<sup>7</sup> By LIERRY, is understood, according to the proper signification of the word, the absence of external Impediments: which Impediments, may oft take away part of a mass power to do what lees would; but eannot hinder him from using the power left him, according as his judgement, and reason shall diotate to him

A Law Or Naruni, (Lez Mahradis) is a Presont, or generall Ruio, found out by Reason, by which a mn w forbidden to do, that, which is destructive of his He, or taketh away the means of preserving its same, and to omit; thus, by which is thinkesh if may be beet preserved. For though they that speak of this subject, use to confined *I* was and *Lexy*, *Hoyle* and *Law*, yet they ought to be distinguished; because Kigurr, consisted in liberry to do, or to forbare; Whereas Law, determinet, and bindret to om of them;

66

so that Law, and Right, differ as much, as Obligation, and Liberty; which in one and the same matter are inconsistent.

And because the condition of Man, (as hath been declared in the precedent Chapter) is a condition of Warre of every one against every one; in which case every one is governed by his own Reason; and there is nothing he can make use of, that may not be a help unto hum, in preserving his life against his enemyes; It followeth, that in such a condition, every man has a Right to every thing; even to one anothers body. And therefore, as long as this natural Right of every man to every thing endureth, there can be no security to any man. (how strong or wise scever he be.) of hving out the time, which Nature ordinarily alloweth men to live. And consequently it is a precept, or generall rule of Reason, That every man, ought to endeavour Peace, as farre as he has hope of obtaining it; and when he cannot obtain it, that he may seek, and use, all helps, and advantages of Warre./ The first branch of which Rule, containeth the first, and Fundamentali Law of Nature: which is, to seek Peace, and follow il. The Second, the summe of the Right of Nature; which is, By all means we can, to defend our selves

From this Fundamental Law of Nature, by which men are commanded to endervour Passo, is devired this second Law; Phati, a mark be utility, when other are as too, as forre-forth, as for Pace, and define of fundal for head link it streasory, to had yours his right, to the hang; the shall have it streasory, to have a stream man holder this Right, of doing any time to historic as long are all mon in the condition of Warre But of other near will not have down their Right, as well as head by then there is an Reason for any own, to devest himselfs of his: For that were to express himselfs down their Right, as well as head to have set to a though as a lown their Right, as well as head to have the down the starting that the down their Right, as well as head the have set to express himselfs to three, which a though the hard to be them. And that Law of all mon, four divertions we also reform.

To kay down's mans Rayki to any kang, is to down kimistified of the Loberty, of hindring another of the benefit of hin own Right to the same. For he that reconclusion, or paneta sawy has Rayki, greeth and there is nothing to which every man had not Right by Nature has to say any same the same same same same same from monther. So that the effect which redominds to the amount from souther. So that the effect which redominds to the amount imperfamelia to the use of his own Right company.

Right is layd axid, either by einply fenouncing it; or by Transferring it to another. By Smyby RENOUNCENC, when he cares not to whom the benefit thereof redoundeth. By TRANSTRAINTS; when is intradech the benefit thereof to some certain person, or persons. And when a man hath in either manner shandowed, or granted away his Flucht; then is he cand to be OBLIGED, or BOUND, not to hinder those, to whom such Right is granted, or abandoned, from the benefit of it: and that he Ought, and it is his DUTY, not to make youd that voluntary act of his own: and that such hindrance is INJUSTICE, and INJURY, as being Sine Jure: the Right being before renounced, or transferred. So that Injury, or Injustice, in the controversies of the world, is somewhat like to that, which in the disputations of Scholers is called Absurduty. For as it is there called an Absurdity, to contradict what one maintained in the Beginning: so in the world, it is called Injustice, and Intury, voluntarily to undo that, which from the beginning he had voluntarily done. The way by which a man either simply Renounceth, or Transferreth his Right, is a Declaration, or Signification, by some voluntary and sufficient signe, or signes, that he doth so Renounce, or Transferre: or hath so Renounced, or Transferred the same, to him that accepteth it. And these Signes are either Words onely, or Actions onely; or (as it happeneth most often) both Words, and Actions. And the same are the Boxps, by which men are bound, and obliged: Bonds, that have their strength, not from their own Nature, (for nothing is more easily broken then a mans word.) but from Feare of some evill consequence upon the rupture.

Whensoever a man Transforreth his Right, or Renounceth it; it is either in consideration of some Right reciprocally transferred to himselfe; or for some other good he hopeth for thereby. For it is a voluntary act: and of the voluntary acts of every man, the object is some Good to himselfe. And therefore there be some Rights, which no man can be understood by any words, or other signes, to have abandoned, or transferred. As first a man cannot lay down the right of resisting them, that assault him by force, to take away his life; because he cannot be understood to ayme thereby, at any Good to hunselfe. The same may be save of Wounds, and Chayns, and Imprisonment; both because there is no benefit consequent to such patience; as there is to the patience of suffering another to be wounded, or imprisoned: as also because a man cannot tell, when he seeth men proceed against him by violence, whether they intend his death or not, wand lastly the motive, and end for which this renouncing, and transferring of Right is introduced, is nothing else but the security of a mans nerson. in his life, and in the means of so preserving life, as not to be weary of it. And therefore if a man by words, or other signes. seem to despoyle himselfe of the End, for which those signes were intended: he is not to be understood as if he meant it, or that it was his will; but that he was ignorant of how such words and actions were to be interpreted.

The mutual transferring of Right, is that which men call

There is difference, between transferring of Right to the Thing; and transferring, or tradition, that is, delivery of the Thing it selfs. For the Thing may be delivered together with the Translation of

the Right; as in buying and selling with ready mony; or exchange of goods, or lands; and it may be delivered some time after.

Again, one of the Contrastors, may deliver the Thing contracted for on this part, and lave the other to perform his part at some determinate time after, and in the mean time be tratefoil, and then the Contract on this part, is called PAcr, or Conversary: Or both parts may contract now, to perforts hereither: in which cases, he has it to perform on time to como, being tratefoil, this performance is called <u>Kompto of Pacuage</u>, or Faith; and the faying of performence (if it how contracty) *Veolutica of Lead*.

When the transferring of Right is not mutuall; but one of the parties transferreds, in hops to gain thereby friendship, or service from another, cer from his friends; or in hops to gain the reputation of Chardty, or Magniminity; or to baltwer his musi from the pain the force, Famo curr, Gaacas: which words signific one and the same thing.

Signess of Contract, are either Segresse, or by Inference. Inpresses, are words spoken with understanding of what they signific-And such words are either of the time Present, or Part; as, force, I Crant, I Ause Orison, I Ause Crantel, I will that his be yours: Or of the future; as, I will Orise, I will Grant - which words of the future, are called Proxense.

Signes by Inference, are sometimes the consequence of Words; sometimes the consequence of Silence; sometimes the consequence of Actions; sometimes the consequence of Forbearing an Action: and generally a signe by Inference, of any Contract, is whatsoever enfoldently argues the will of the Contractor.

Words alone, if they be of the time to come, and contain a bare promise, are an insufficient signe of a Free-guit and therefore not obligatory. For if they be of the time to Come, as, To morrow I will Give, they are a signe I have not given yet, and consequently that my right is not transferred, but remainsth till I transferre it by some other Act. But if the words be of the time Present, or Past, as, I have given, or do give to be delivered to morrow, then is my to morrows Right given away to day: and that by the vertue of the words, though there were no other argument of my will. And there is a great difference in the signification of these words. Volo hoc turn esse cras, and Cras dabo; that is, between I will that this be thine to morrow, and, I will give it thes to morrow : For the word I will, in the former manner of speech, signifies an act of the will Present: but in the later, it signifies a promise of an act of the will to Come: and therefore the former words, being of the Present. transferre a future right; the later, that be of the Future, transferre nothing. But if there be other signes of the Will to transferre a Right, besides Words; then, though the gift be Free, yet may the Right be understood to passe by words of the future. as if a man propound a Prize to him that comes first to the end of a race. The gift is Free; and though the words be of the Future, yet the Right

passeth: for if he would not have his words so be understood, he should not have let them runne.

An Contracts, the right passeth, not onely where the words are of the time Present, or Past, but also where they are of the Future: because all Contract us mutuall translation, or change of Right, and therefore he that promises ho main discusse he init already recovered the benefit for which he promises he init already recovered the Neght should passe. Afor unlesses he had been contant to have his words so understood, the other would not have performed his part first. And hor that cause, in baying, and selling, and there acts of Contract, a Fromme is equivalent to a Covenant, and there acts of Contract, as promise is equivalent to a Covenant, and there acts of Contract, as promise is equivalent to a Covenant, and there acts of Contract, as promise is equivalent to a Covenant,

He that performeth first in the case of a Contract, 18 said to MERIT that which he is to receive by the performance of the other: and he hath it as Due. Also when a Prize is propounded to many. which is to he given to him onely that winneth; or mony is thrown amongst many, to be enjoyed by them that catch it: though this be a Free gift; yet so to Win, or so to Catch, is to Merit, and to have it as DUE. For the Right is transferred in the Propounding of the Prize, and in throwing down the mony: though it he not determined to whom, but by the Event of the contention. But there is between these two sorts of Merit, this difference, that In Contract, I Ment by vertue of my own power, and the Contractors need, but in this case of Free gift. I am enabled to Merit onely by the benignity of the Giver: In Contract, I merit at the Contractors hand that he should depart with his right. In this case of Gilt. I Merit not that the giver should part with his right; but that when he has parted with it, it should be mine, rather than anothers. And this I think to be the meaning of that distinction of the Schooles. between Meritum congrui, and Meritum condumi. For God Almighty, having promised Paradise to those men (hoodwinkt with earnall desires,) that can walk through this world according to the Precents, and Limits prescribed by him; they say, he that shall so walk, shall Merit Paradise Ez congruo. But because no man can demand a right to it, by his own Righteousnesse, or any other power in himselfe, but by the Free Grace of God onely; they say, no man can Merit Paradise er condigno. This I say, I think is the meaning of that distinction: but because Disputers do not agree upon the signification of their own termes of Art, longer than it serves their turn: I will not affirme any thing of their meaning: onely this I say, when a gift is given indefinitely, as a prize to be contended for, he that winneth Meriteth, and may claime the Prize as Due.

If a Covenant be made, wherein neuther of the partnes performe presently, but trues one another; in the condition of meer Nature, (which is a condition of Warre of every man against every man), upon any reasonable suspition, is is Yoyd: But if there be a common Power set over them both, with right and force sufficient to compell performance; it is not Yoyd. For he that performeth first, hes no sevances the other will perform after; because the bonds of words are too weak to bridle mous sambiton, savide, anger, and other Dasance, willout the faces of some coccurve Power; which in the conduction of meer Nature, where all mean are qualit, and judges of the justnesse of their own faces, cannot possibly be supposed. And therefore be willow performed in fract, door but better, junxed to the sensor; contrary to the Right (the can never abandon) of defcnding has life, and means of living.

But in a orull estate, where there is a Power set up to constrain those that would otherwise violate their faith, that fearcus no more reasonable; and for that cause, he which by the Covenant is to perform first, is obliged so to do.

<sup>6</sup> The cause of fears, which maketh such a Covenant invalid, must be alwayses southing arrang after the Covenant made; as south new fact, or other signe of the Will not to performer, else it cannot make the Covenant voyd. For that which could not hunder a man from promising, ought not to be admitted as a hindrance of performing.

He that transformation any Hight, transformath the Means of enjoying it, as fare as Upsh in his gover. As he that see lelek Lond, us understood to transferre the Hechage, and whatsoever growes upon it; Nor can he tast sells a Mill turn swy the Stream that drives it. And they that give to a man the Right of government in Soveragativa, are understood to guyo him, this right of loyving many to maintain Souldiers; and of appointing Magistrates for the adminitation of Justice.

To make Covenants with bruit Beasts, is impossible; because not understanding our speech, they understand not, nor accept of any translation of Right; nor can translate any Right to another: and without nuotual acceptation, there is no Covenant.

To make Covenant will God, is impossible, but by Mediation of euch as God speaketh to, either by Karekaino supernatural, or by hu Laceteanist bat govern under hun, and in his Name. For otherwise was known not wighter our Covennets to accopted, or not. And threafore they that Yow any thing contrary to any law of Nature, Yow in vain; as being a thing unjust to pay each Yow. And if it is as thing commanded by the Law of Nature, it is not the Yow, but the Switch them.

The matter, or subject of a Covenant, is alwayes someting that fallet under deliberation, (For to Covenant, is an act of the Will; that is to say an act, and the last act, of deliberation;) and as therefore alwayes understood to be something to come; and which as judged Possible for him that Covenanteth, to performe.

And therefore, to promise that which is known to be Impossible, in <u>O</u> Characan. But if that prove impossible atterwards, which before was thought possible, the Ovenannt is vahd, and hundeth, (kough not to the thing it selfs), yet to be value; or, if that also be impossible, to the unfeigned endersour of performing as much as is possible. For to more no man can be obliged. Men are freed of their Covenants two wayes; by Performing; or by being Forgiven. For Performance, is the naturall end of obligation; and Forgivenesse, the restution of Hiberty; as being a re-transferring of that Right, in which the obligation consisted.

Covenants entred into by fear, in the condition of mear Nature. are obligatory. For example, if I Covenant to pay a ransome, or service for my hife, to an enemy; I am bound by it. For it is a Contract, wherein one receiveth the benefit of life; the other is to receive mony, or service for it; and consequently, where no other Law (as in the condition, of meer nature) forbiddoth the performance. the Covenant is valid. Therefore Prisoners of warre, if trusted with the navment of their Ransome, are obliged to pay it; And if a weaker Princo, make a disadvantageous peace with a stronger, for fears; he is bound to keep it; unlesse (as hath been sayd before) there ariseth some new, and just cause of feare, to renew the war, And even in Common-wealths, if I be forced to redeem my selfe from a Theefe by promising him mony, I am bound to pay it, till the Civill Law discharge me. For whatsoever I may lawfully do without Obligation, the same I may lawfully Covenant to do through feare: and what I lawfully Covenant, I cannot lawfully break.

A former Covenant makes voyd a later. For a man that hath passed away his Right to one man to day, hath it not to passe to morrow to another: and therefore the later promise passed in o Right, but is null.

À Covenant not to defend any selfe from force, by force, is dwayser vojič. For (as 1 have sheved before) no man can transferre, or hay down his Right to asse binnedle from Desti, Wounds, and Imprisonment, (the avyoriding witzeroid is the onely Each of laying down any Right, and method any self the source obligant. For those and the rest of the self of the self of the source obligant. For those and the overant thins, Unless I do so, or es, jelf met, the cannot Covenant than, Unless I do so, or so, I will not residing and this is granted of law. For rain by nature obligant, the self work which is drager of death in resisting; takker than the greater, which is granted to be an or so is the residing of the self of the self being, with anned in notwithreading that such Oriminals have consented to the Law. by which they are condermed.

A Cyvenant to accuse ones solis, without assurance of pardon, is likewiss invalide. For in the condition of Matror, where every man is Judge, there is no piace for Accusation: and in the CWI State, the Accusation is allowed with Fundament, which being of the Accusation of through by where Contempations man falls into misery; as of a Faller, With or Bisenfactor.

For the Testimony of such an Accuser, if it be not willingly given, is presumed to be corrupted by Nature; and thorefore not to be received; and where a mans Testimony is not to be oredited, he is one bound to give it. Also Accumutions upon Torture, are not to be reputed as Tournonies. For Torture is to be used but as mease of conjecture, and light, in the further examination, and search of curit, and visit is in that cause contesed; intendies to the case of him that is Tortured; not to the informing of the Torturers: and therefore onght not to have the credit of a sufficient. Testmonry: for which is in diver himselfs by trans, or false Accumustion, he does it by the Richt of tracestria has own life.

The force of Words, being (as I have formerly noted) too weak to hold men to the performance of their Covenants: there are in mans nature, but two imaginable helps to strengthen it And those are either a Fears of the consequence of breaking their word; or a Glory, or Pride in appearing not to need to breake it. . This later is a Generosity too rarely found to be presumed on, especially in the pursuers of Wealth, Command, or sensuall Pleasure; which are the greatest part of Mankind. The Passion to be reakoned upon, 18 Fear; whereof there he two very generall Objects: one, The Power of Spirits Invisible; the other, The Power of those men they shall therein Offend. Of these two, though the former be the greater Power, yet the feare of the later is commonly the greater Feare. The Feare of the former is in every man, his own Religion, which hath place in the nature of man before Civill Society. The later hath not so: at least not place enough, to keep mon to their promises: because in the condition of meer Nature, the incousing of Power is not discerned, but by the event of Battell. So that before the time of Civill Society, or in the interruption thereof by Warre, there is nothing can strengthen a Covenant of Peace agreed on, against the temptations of Avarice, Ambilion, Lust, or other strong desire, but the feare of that Invisible Power, which they every one Worship as God: and Feare as a Revenger of their perfidy. All therefore that can be done between two men not subject to Civill Power, is to put one another to swear by the God he feareth: Which Swearing, or OATH, is a Forme of Speech, added to a Promise; by which he that promiseth, signifieth, that unlesse he performe, he renounceth the mercy of his God, or calleth to him for venocance on himselfe. Such was the Heathen Forme. Let Juniter kill me else, as I kill this Beast. So is our Forme, I shall do thus, and thus, so help me God. And this, with the Rites and Coremonies. which every one useth in his own Beligion, that the feare of breaking faith might be the greater.

By this it appears, that an Osht taken according to any other Forms, or Tile, then his, that swareth, is in varis, and no Osht. And that there is no Swareting by any thing which the Swaret thinks and that there is no Swareting by a substantiation of the Swaret by there Kings, for fasts, or fastery; yet they would have it thereby understood, they skirolic to them Dirich houser. And has the sware would be a singular to be the Swareting beam by other things, as men do in common dimourse, is not Swareting but an improve Gurdona, potter but too much reheatence of taking.

# Leviathan

It appears also, that the Oath addes nothing to the Obligation. For a Covenant, if lowfull, binds in the sight of God, without the Oath, as much as with it: if unlawfull, bindeth not at all; though it be confirmed with an Oath.

## CRAP. XV

## Of other Lawes of Nature

Texon that law of Nature, by which we are obliged to transferre to another, such Rights, as being retained, hinder the peace of Mankind, there followeth a Third, which is this, *That men performs there Coeseauch made* without which, Covenants are in vain, and but Empty words; and the Right of all nes to all things remaining, we are still in the condition of Warre.

And in this law of Nature, consistent the Fourtain and Original of Juryton. For whore no Covenant hash preceded, there hash no Right been transferred, and every man has right to every thing; such consequently, no notion can be Unives. But where a Covenant is model, then to the intu Universit and the definition of Durrerscon, and the such as the set of not University is  $\Psi_{\rm MS}$ .

But because Covenants of mutuall trust, where there is a feare of not performance on either part, (as hath been said in the former Chapter.) are invalid: though the Originall of Justice be the making of Covenants; yet injustice actually there can be none, till the cause of such fears be taken away; which while men are in the naturall condition of Warre, cannot be done. Therefore before the names of Just, and Unjust can have place, there must be some coercive Power, to compell men equally to the performance of their Covenants, by the terrour of some punishment, greater than the benefit they expect by the breach of their Covenant; and to make good that Prontiety, which by mutuall Contract men acquire, in recompence of the universall Right they abandon; and such power there is none before the erection of a Common-wealth. And this is also to be gathered out of the ordinary definition of Justice in the Schooles: For they say, that Justice is the constant Will of giving to every men his own. And therefore where there is no Own, that is, no Propriety, there is no Injustice; and where there is no coerceive Power erected, that is, where there is no Common-wealth, there is no Propriety; all men having Right to all things: Therefore where there is no Common-wealth, there nothing is Unjust. So that the nature of Justice, consisteth in keeping of valid Covenants: but the Validity of Covenants begins not but with the Constitution of a Civill Power, sufficient to compell men to keep them: And then it is also that Propriety begins.

The Foole hath sayd in his heart, there is no such thing as Justice;

and sometimes also with his tongue: seriously allcaging, that every mans conservation, and contentment, being committed to his own care, there could be no reason, why every man might not do what he thought conduced thereunto: and therefore also to make, or not make: Keen, or not keep Covenants, was not against Reason, when it conduced to ones herefit. He does not therein deny, that there be Covenants: and that they are sometimes broken, sometimes kent: and that such breach of them may be called Injustice, and the observance of them Justice: but he succioneth, whether Injustice, taking away the feare of God, (for the same Foole hath said in his heart there is no God.) may not sometimes stand with that Reason, which dictateth to overy man his own good; and particularly then, when it conducatin to such a benefit, as shall put a man in a condition, to neglect not onely the distraise, and revilings, but also the nower of other men. The Kingdome of God is gotten by violence: but what if it could be gotten by unsust violence? were it against Reason so to get it, when it is impossible to receive hurt by it? and if it be not against Reason, it is not against Justice: or else Justice is not to be approved for good. From such reasoning as this, Successfull wickednesse bath obtained the name of Vertue: and some that in all other things have disallowed the violation of Faith, yet have allowed it, when it is for the getting of a Kingdome. And the Heathen that believed, that Saturn was deposed by his son Juniter, believed neverthelesse the same Juniter to be the avenuor of Injustice. Somewhat like to a piece of Law in Cokes Commontaries on Litteton; where he sayes, If the right lience of the Crown be attainted of Treason: yet the Crown shall descend to him, and so instants the Attevador he youd : From which instances a man will be very prone to inferre: that when the Heire apparent of a Kingdome, shall kill him that is in possession, though his father; you may call it Injustice, or by what other name you will: yet it can never be against Reason, seeing all the voluntary actions of men tend to the benefit of themselves; and those actions are most Reasonable, that conduce most to their ends. This specious reasoning is neverthelesse falso.

For the question is not of promises mutuall, where there is no case sensity of performance on entire side, as where there is an CAUP Power serviced over the parture promising: for such promines are no Operating. The delter where not of this particle has performed is the question whether it is enganat casson, that is, against the beacht of the other to performe, or not. And I say it is not against that when a main dork a thing, which new this mutually and the wave and dork a thing, which new this case, attribut, may can be foreison, and reliconed on, tendort to his over destruction, howevere some exclusion which is could not argue, attribut, may or wisely done. Secondly, that no condition of Warra, wherein every must to very man, for want of a common Power to: keep them all in awe, is an Rnamy, there is no man can hope by his own strength, or wit, to defend himselfe from destruction, without the help of Confederates; where every one expects the same defence by the Confederation, that any one else does: and therefore he which declares he thinks it reason to deceive those that help hum, can in reason expect no other means of safety, than what can be had from his own single Power. He therefore that breakoth his Covenant, and consequently declareth that he thinks he may with reason do so, cannot be received into any Society, that units themselves for Peace and Defence, but by the errour of them that receive hun; nor when he is received, be retayned in it, without seeing the danger of their errour; which errours a man cannot reasonably reckon upon as the means of his security; and therefore if he be left, or cast out of Society, he perisheth; and if he live in Society, it is by the errours of other men, which he could not foresee, nor reckon upon; and consequently against the reason of his preservation: and so, as all men that contribute not to his destruction. forbear him onely out of ignorance of what is good for themselves.

As for the Instance of gaining the secure and perpetual felicity of Heaven, by any way; it is firvolous: there being but one way imaginable; and that is not breaking, but keeping of Govenant.

And for the other Instance of attaming Soverafiguty by Reballion; it is manifest, that though the event follow, yet because it connor reasonably be expected, but rather the contrary; and because by gaining its o, others are tangit to gain the same in like manner, the attempt thereof is against reason. Justice therefore, that is to asy, Keeping of Coverant, is a Kine of Reason, by which we are forbuilden to do any thing destructive to our life; and consequently a Law of Nature.

• These be some that proceed further; and will not have the Law of Nature, to be those Rules which conducts to the preservation of mans life on earth; but to the attaining of an eternall ficility affect deak; to which they fisht, the breaked of Covenant may conduce; and consequently be just and reasonable; (such are they finds think it as work of merrit to kill, or degoes, or robeil against, the Soveragine Power constituted over them by their own consent). Bot because these is no naturall knowledge of mans restate site. The the super site is no mixture in the order of the state of the site of Rule; but condy a beliafe grounded inpo other mans asying, that they know it supernaturally; Breach of Takit a know colled a Protect of Rules, nature to Rules, nature to called a Protect of Rules, nature to Rules, nature.

Others, that allow for a Law of Natura, the keeping of Faith, do neverthiclose make exception of cortain persons; as Heretiques, and such as use not to performe their Covenant to others: And this halo is against reason. For if any fault of a man, be sufficient to discharge our Covenant made; the same ought in reason to have been sufficient to have hindred the making of it.

The names of Just, and Injust, when they are attributed to Men,

signific one thing; and when they are attributed to Actions, another. When they are attributed to Men, they signific Conformity, or Inconformity of Manners, to Reason. But when they are attributed to Actions, they signifie the Conformity or Inconformity to Reason, not of Manners, or manner of life, but of particular Actions A Just man therefore, is he that taketh all the care he can, that his Actions may be all Just: and an Unjust man, is he that neglectoth it. And such men are more often in our Language stiled by the names of Righteous, and Unrighteous; then Just, and Unjust; though the meaning be the same. Therefore a Righteous man, does not lose that Title, by one, or a few unjust Actions, that proceed from sudden Passion, or mistake of Things, or Persons: nor does an Unrighteous man, lose his character, for such Actions, as he does, or forbeares to do, for feare: because his Will is not framed by the Justice, but by the apparent benefit of what he is to do. That which gives to humane Actions the relish of Justice, is a certain Noblenesse or Gallantnesse of courage. (rarely found.) by which a man scorns to be beholding for the contentment of his life, to fraud, or breach of promise. This Justice of the Manners, is that which is meant, where Justice is called a Vertue, and Injustice a Vice.

But the Justice of Actions denominates men, not Just, Guillesse: and the Injustice of the same, (which is also called Injury,) gives them but the name of Guilty.

Again, the Injustice of Manners, is the disposition, or aptitude to do Injurie; and is Injustice before it proceed to Act; and without supposing any individual person infored. But the Injustice of an Action, (that is to say Injury.) supposeth an individuali person Injured; namely him, to whom the Covenant was made: And therefore many times the injury is received by one man, when the dammage redoundeth to another. As when the Master commandeth his servant to give mony to a stranger; if it be not done. the Injury is done to the Master, whom he had before Covenanted to obey: but the dammage redoundeth to the atranger, to whom he had no Obligation; and therefore could not Injure him. And so also in Common wealths, private men may remit to one another their debts; but not robberies or other violences, whereby they are endammaged: because the detaining of Debt, is an Injury to themselves: but Robbery and Violence, are Injuries to the Person of the Common-wealth.

Whatevever is done to a man, conformable to his own Will liquified; typke door, is no liquity to him. For if he that doeth it, hach not papled away his original right to do whint he please, by some Anticodicut Ovenant, have is no breach of Covenant, and have it done being signified, is a viewe of that Covenant; and so signit there is no liquity done him.

Justice of Actions, is by Writers divided into Commutative, and Distributive ; and the former they say consistent in proportion Arithmeticall: the later in proportion Geometricall. Commutative therefore, they place in the conslity of value of the things contracted for: And Distributive, in the distribution of equal benefit, to man of oquall merit. As if it were Injustice to sell dearer than we hav: or to give more to a man than he merits. The value of all things contracted for, is measured by the Appetite of the Contractorsand therefore the just value, is that which they be contented to give. And Merit (besides that which is by Covenant, where the performance on one part, moniteth the performance of the other part, and falls under Justice Commutative, not Distributive ) is not due by Justice; but is rewarded of Grace onely. And therefore this distinction, in the sense wherein it useth to be expounded, is not right. To speak properly, Commutative Justice, is the Justice of a Contractor: that is a Performance of Covenant, in Buying and Selling: Hiring, and Letting to Hire: Londing, and Borrowing: Exchanging, Bartering, and other acts of Contract.

And Distributive Justice, the Justice of an Arbitrator; that is to say, the act of adming what is Just. Wherean, (heing trusted by them that make him Arbitrater,) if he performe his Trust, he is and to distribute to every man bis own: acd this is medeal Just said to distribute to every many bis own: acd this is medeal Just and to distribute the second second second second second Justice, but more properly Equity; which also is a Law of Nature, ashell be alseven in the place

As Justice dependent on Antecedent Covenant: so does GRATITUDE depend on Antecedent Grace; that is to say, Antecedent-Free-Gift: and is the fourth Law of Nature: which may be conceived in this Forms, That a man which receiveth Benefit from another of meet Grace. Endeavour that he which giveth st. have no reasonable cause to revent him of his good will. For no man giveth, but with intention of Good to himselfe; because Gift is Voluntary; and of all Voluntary Acts, the Object is to every man his own Good: of which if men see they shall be frustrated, there will be no beginning of benevolence, or trust; nor consequently of mutuall help: nor of reconciliation of one man to another; and therefore they are to remain still in the condition of War; which is contrary to the first and Fundamentall Law of Nature, which commandeth men to Seek Peace. The breach of this Law, is called Ingratitude; and hath the same relation to Grace, that Injustice hath to Obligation by Covenant.

A fifth Law of Nature, is CONTINGENT, that is to say, That serry man strive to accommodate shamelf to the rest. For the understanding wheread, we may consider, that there is in mone aptenses to Sonisty. a diversity of Nature, thing from their diversity of Affections; not unlike to that we see in stones through together for unling of an Edifies. For a that stone which by the appenty, and irregularity of Figure, takes more room from others, than it effe fills; and for the hardrosse, earnot be easily made plan, and theready hindereth the building, is by the builders east away as unproficially, and tocubiecomes; eo sine, a man that by appending of

# 78

Nature, will strive to retain those things which to himselfs are superfluous, and to others nonsensary, and for the stubbornness of har Bassions, cannot be corrected, is to be left, or east out of Society, a combinement birroundo. The Matrix, as supposed to endescour all he can, to obtain that which a necessary hor his conservation; to that shall oppose himselfs exginist 1, for things superflower, signify a discours of the ware that thereupon is to follow; and therefore doth hat, which is set? Face. The observers of this Law, may be contacted in set? Face. The observers of this Law, may be Stubbor. Incoursely. Interaction, Interaction

A with Law of Nature, is this, Take upon carbon of the Fature time, a non couplet to pardon the offence pard of them that repeating, dears at. For Parbon, is nothing but granting of Presc; which though granted to them that persenters an that postility, he not future time, a signs of an aversion to Pesso, and therefore contrary to the Law of Nature.

A second by That us Recogon, (that is, retribution of Svel for Svel) Men look of at the produces of the end park, but the gradnesses of the good to follow. Whereby we are forbidden to influt pursishment with any chief elergent, than for secretarion of the offendactbidden in the second second second second second second before it, that commandeth Pardon, upon security of the Pature trans. Besides, Recenço without respect to the Exception, and gooring on orall; (for the End is always sumwhat to Consoline, tending to out reason, tendeds to the autochoiden of Warrey, which is against the Law O Nature; and is commonly stilled with sense of Credity.

And because all agnes of hatred, or contempt, provoks to fight, insomuch as most men choces rather to harmar? thair life, than not to be revenged; we may in the eighth place, for a Law of Nature, sot down time Precept, That so man by deed, word, consintenance, or gesture, designe Hanted, or Contempt of another. The breach of which Law, is commonly called Continued;

The question who is the batter man, his no place in the condition of meer Nature, where, (as has been shown before, before, all ince are equal). The inequality that now h, has blin introduced by the Lawse erroll. It know that stricted in the first bolos of inse Politiques, worthy to Connaeod, meaning the wise root (such as he thought immaffs to be for his Philosophy) others to Server, (meaning three that had strong bolies, but were not Philosophera as her) as d' Moster and Server and were not introduced by constant of men, into the other and the strong bolies, but were not philosophera as her) as d' Moster and Servers, that be out only against reasor; but sino against governe themaleux, that be out read by others to Server the first out only against prevene thready with the strong by others. In their own conceil, contend by force, with them who distrust four owns without, of they alwaise, or oliton, or almost at any time, get the Victory. If Nature therefore have made men equall, that equality is to be acknowledged: or if Nature have made men mension into most the band the head of the source made and mension in the source of the Nature, I put this, That every mens acknowledge ofter for his Equal by Nature, I put this, That every mens acknowledge ofter for his Equal by Nature, I be seend of this Precent is Fride.

Ou this law, dependeth another, That at the entrance into conditions of Peace, no man require to reserve to himselfs any Right, which he is not content should be reserved to every one of the rest. As it is necessarv for all men that seek peace, to lay down certaine Rights of Nature: that is to say, not to have abertie to do all they list: so is it necessarie for mans life, to retaine some; as right to governe their owne bodies; enjoy aire, water, motion, wates to go from place to place; and all things else without which a man cannot live, or not live well. If in this case, at the making of Peace, men require for themselves, that which they would not have to be granted to others, they do contrary to the precedent law, that commandeth the acknowledgment of naturall equalitie, and therefore also against the law of Nature. The observers of this law, are those we call Modest, and the breakers Arrogant men. The Greeks call the violation of this law macarefia: that is, a desire of more than their shure.

Also if a man be trusted to pudge between scan and man, it is a present of the Law of Narree, fult he deals Payully between theor. For without that, the Controversses of mon earnot be determined but by Warrs. The therefores that be partial is pudgement, doth what un harm lies, to deterve meet from the use of sludges, and Arbitratory ("square of Warrs." ("square the fundamental Laws of Tokirovia) is the cause of Warrs.

The observance of this law, from the equal distribution to each man, of that which in reason belongeth to him, is called Equiry, and (as I have sayd before) distributive Justice: the violation, Acception of persons, reserved with

And from this followeth another low, That such things as cannot be divided, be enjoyed in Common, if it can be; and if the quantity of the thing sermet, valloud Stint; otherwise Proportonably to the number of them that have Right. For otherwise the distribution is Unequal, and contrary to Routise.

But some things there he, that can neither be divided, nor onjoyed in common. Then, The Law of Nature, which prescribed Equity, requireth, That the Entire Right; or stes, (making the use alterate), the First Possession, be deformined by Lot. For equal distribution is of the Law of Nature; and other means of equal distribution cannot be imagined.

Of Lots there be two sorts, Arbitrary, and Naturall. Arbitrary, is that which is agreed on by the Competitors: Naturall, is either

CHAP. 15]

Primogeniture, (which the Greek calls Κληροτομία, which signifies, Given by Lot;) or Fust Seisure.

And therefore those things which cannot be sujoyed in common, nor divided, ought to be adjudged to the First Possessor; and in some cases to the First-Borne, as acquired by Lot

It is also a Law of Nature, *That all men that mediate Peace*, be allowed safe Conduct. For the Law that commandedh Peace, as the End, commandeth Intercession, as the Means; and to Intercession the Means is safe Conduct.

And because, though men be nover so willing to observe those Laws, there may nevertheless are quasitions concerning a mansnotion; Parsi, whether it were dono, or not done; Secondly (if dono) whether quarks that Law, or not gams the law; the former whereof, is called a question ( $\mathcal{G}Fad$ ; the later a question ( $\mathcal{M}Hapli)$ , therefore unless the parties to the question,  $\mathcal{Coronanti mutually}$ to stand to the sentence of snollow, they are as fare from Huseo Amyrnaron. Automorphic is of the Law of Nature, That they that are at controverse, submit they Right to the judgment of an Arbitrator.

And seeing every man is presumed to do all things in order to bie own benefit, no man is a bit Arbitrator in his own cance: and if ho were never so fit; yet Equity allowing to each party equal benefit; if one is admitted to be Judge, the other is to be admitted alon; and so the cuntroversis, that is, the cause of War, remann, segmins the Luw of Nature.

For the same reason no man in any Cause ought to be received for Arbitrator, to whong greater profit, or honour, or pleasure apparently areach out of the valory of one party, than of the other: for hes hald taken (though an unnoverblab) within, yred) a briho, the state of the state of the state of the state of the value, and the condition of War remains the source to be Law of Nature.

And in a controversie of Fac, the Judge being to give no more oredit to one, than to the other, (if there be no other Arguments) must give credit to a third; or to a thurd and fourth; or more: For size the question is undecided, and left to force, contrary to the Law of Nature

These are the Lawes of Nature, dictating Peace, for a means of the conservation of men in multitudes; and which orely concern the dottrine of GYrill Society. There be other thungs tanding to the destruction of partchair near, is Drankareness, and all other parts of Intemperance; which may therefore also be reckoned amongst those binage which the Law of Nature shaft forbidden; but are not necessary to be mentioned, nor are perturent enough to this place.

And though this may seem too subtile a deduction of the Lawes of Nature, to be taken notice of by all man; whereof the most part are too busis in getting food, and the rest too negligent to understand; yet to leave all men unarcasable, they have been contrasted into one case serm, intelligible, even to the meanest capacity and that is, Do not that to avoke, who have would set not a done to dry selfs; within thereach hum, that ha has no more to do in learing the Lavee of Nature, but, when weighing the notions of other part of the ballonce, and his oven into their place, that his own passions, and self-lover, may adden to othing to the weight, and then there is one of these Laves of Nature that will not appear unto have ray the source love.

The farms of Neture oblige on fore merses; that is to any, they bind to a dense they should take place, but n fore extrance, that a, to the putting them in each, not alwayses. For he that should be modest, and treatedble, and performe all ho promises, in such time, and places, where no man els should do as, kinnik but make immedie a perior to chers, and present his low conscitant rules, contrary to the ground of all Lawset of Nature, which lead to Natures preservation. Observe the same Lawset for variable, observed the not not himself seeketh not Pesce, but War; & consequently the destruction of his Nature by Yolgence

And whataoever Lawes biai in *foro* suferno, may be broken, not onely by a fast contrary to the Law, but also by a fast according to it, in case a man blink it contrary. For though lus Action an this case, be according to the Law; yoi his Purpose was against the Law; which where the Obligation is in *foro* interno, is a breach.

The Lawes of Nature are Immutable and Eternall; For Injustice, Ingratitude, Arrogance, Prida, Iniquity, Acception of persons, and the rest, can never be made lawfull. For it can never be that Warro shall preserve bito, and Peace destroy it.

The [same] Lawes, because they oblige onely to a desure, and endowrour, I mean an unfergned and constant endeavour, are easie to be observed. For in shat they require nothing but endeavours in that endeavoursch their performance, fulfilleth them; and he tiat fulfilleth the Law, is Just.

And the Science of them, is the true and onely Marcl Philosophy. For Marcl Philosophy as noting also but the Science of what is  $Good_{i}$  and  $Eud_{i}$  as the conversation, and Scolety of markind.  $Good_{i}$  and  $Eud_{i}$  are names that similations on Appetite and Averations, while in the same of the similation of Appetite and Averations, the sames of what is pleasant, and unpleasant to the fast, markle hearing, touch, and sight; but also of what is conformable, or diagreeable to Reason, at the satisfies of control He. Nay, the sames man, in driven times, differs from himselfly; and one tume priority, could, and sight; but also of what is conformable, or diagreeable to called Good, what another times in the displayability as last War. And therefore as long a min as in the condition of mere Nature, (which is a conflict time). is the measure of Good, and Evili. And consequently all men agree on this, that Peaces is Good, and therefore a low of the way, or means of Packer, Beyley, Merey, & then reast of the Laws of Nature, are good: that is to say, Maruil Verlacy and their contrare Vices, and therefore the true Dortimo of the Jaws of Nature, is the fun-Moral Philosophie. But the Writers of Moral Philosophie, though they acknowledge the same Verlace and that they rome to be parisely as the means of pacendia, and the true starting and the number of pacendia, and the same the Moral Philosophie. But the Writers of Moral Philosophie, though wherea consisted their Goodnesse; not that they once to be parisel, as the meanse of pacendia, socialis, and confortiable but the Deprior of daring, made Furthunio, or not the Cause, but the Quantity of a gifts made Liberality.

These distance of Reason, non-use to call by the name of lawest but murporperty: for they are but Conclusions, or Theoremes concerning what conducts to the conservation and defense of theoremisters; whoreas Law, properly is the word of thin, the same Theoremes, an delivered in the word of ded, that by right commanded at Unique theore they properly called Lawes.

#### CHAP. XVI

### Of PERSONS, AUTHORS, and things Personated

A PERSON, is he, whose words or actions are considered, either as his own, or as representing the words or actions of an other man, or of any other thing to whom they are attributed, whether Truly or by Fictan.

When they are considered as his owns, then is he called a Natural' Person: And when they are considered as representing the words and actions of an other, then is he a Fegned or Artificial person.

The word Person is lattice: unsteed "whereof the Greight have spheres, which signifies the Face, as Person is lation eignifies the dispute, or cultural appearance of a man, counterficial on the Stage; and smoothines more particularly this part and the stage, had ignited the ison, as a Mask or Visard; And from the Stage, had ison its maintain to any Represented of specch and and scion, as awill an Thiornalls, as Theaters. So that a Person, is the same that an Thiornalls, as Theaters. So that a Person, is the same that an encount, is is of the Stage and in some on Coversation; and the that atelia another, as add to base this Person at other; and he that atelia another, as add to base this Person at other; and he that Person z : Mai, Adversarit, & Judices 1. House three Persons; Mai, Soron, my Adversarie, and the Judices 1. Adversarit, a Gradue and Stage 1. Solutions in the Stage Sta occasions, diversity; as a Representer, or Representative, a Lieutenant, a Vicar, an Attorney, a Deputy, a Procurator, an Actor, and the like.

Of Persons Artificiall, some have their words and actions Owned by those whom they represent and that the the Persons is the Adverand he that owneich his words and actions, is the Avrence. In which, case the Actor acteth by Authority, For that which an specific of goods and possessions, is called an Owner, and in Islain Domman, in Greeke eview; specking of Actions, is called Author. And as the Right of possession, is called Dominion; so the Right of doing any Action, is called Aurinourts. So that by Authority, is always understood a Right of doing any act: and done by Authority, done by Commission, or Lionene form him whose right is is.

From hence it followeak, that when the Actor makeds a Overnant by Authority, be binded thereby the Author, no lesse than it he had made it himselfer, and no lesse subjected him to all the consequences of the same. And therebra all task hat been said formerly, (*Cheg* 14.) of the mature of Corenants between man and man in them natural capacity, is true also when they are made by theyr Actors, Representers, or Procurstors, that have authority from them so far-forth as is in their Commission, but no farther.

And therefore he that maketh a Corenant with the Actor, or Representer, not knowing the Authority he hash, doth it at his own perill. For no mass is obliged by a Corenant, whereof he is not Author; nor consequently by a Covenant made against, or beside the Authority he gave.

When the Actor doth any thing against the Law of Nature by command of the Author, if he be obliged by former Covenant to obey him, not he, but the Author breaked! the Law of Nature, the Action be applicable to Law of Nature, yet it is not his; but contrarily, to refuse to do it, is against the Law of Nature, that forbiddet breach of Covenant.

And he thet maketh a Coremant with the Author, by mediation of the Actor, not Knowing what Authority he not made manifest unto hum upon demand, is no longer obliged: For the Covenant made with the Author, is not wallo, without his Contex-assumace. But if he has no Coremandels, knew before hund he was to expect no outse because the Actor in this case maketh himmelis the Author. And therefore, as viscon the Authority is evident, the Covenant obligand, the Author, no the Authority is evident, the Covenant obligand, the Author, not the Autority is evident, the Covenant obligand, the Author, not the Autor or when the Authority is fagund, it is obligant the Actor contry; these being no Autor but kinesdie.

There are few things, that are uncapable of being represented by frotion. Inaminate things, as a Church, an Horpital, a Bridge, may be personated by a Rector, Master, or Overseer. But things Inaminute, cannot be Authors, nor therefore give Autionity to their Actors: Yet the Actors may have Authority to procure their maintenance, given them by those that are Owners, or Governours · CHAP. 16]

of those things. And therefore, such things cannot be Personated, before there he some state of Civil Government.

Likewise Caldren, Fooles, and Madamu that have no use of Reason, may be Personated by Guardanas, or Carators; but can be no Anhuns (during that time) of any actand cane by them, longer then (vines they shall recover the use of Reason) they shall judge the same reasonable. Yet during the Folly, he that bath right of governing them, may give AutionPit to the Caraton. Bath time same name name of the same sectors and extra, there is no Domuno of Persons 1

An Idol, or mer Figment of the brain, may be Personatoi: as worn the Gode of the Higshore, which by such Officers as the State appointed, were Personated, and held Possessions, and other Goods, and Lugits, which mer from time to time deiunated, and conseented unto them. But Idols earnot be Authors: for an Idol is noting. The Authority proceeded from the State: and therefore before introduction of Chvill Government, the Gods of the Heathen could not be Personated.

The true God may be Personsted. As he was; first, by Mose; who poverme the franchise, (thus were not his, but Gods pooplo), not an he own mane, with *Hoc dioit Moses*; bot in Gods Name, with *Hoc dicit Monies*. Scondly, by the Son of man, he own Son, our likesed Saviour Jesse Giridi, that same to reduce the Jewes, and induce all Nations moto the Kingdonc of har Pather; not as of himselfs, hot as seast from his Father. And thirdly, by the Iody Giost, or Conference, speaking, and vorking in the Aroliter, while Holy Ghost, was a Conforter that same not of himselfs; but was sent, and proceed from the both.

A Multiude of men, are made One Person, when they are by one man, or one Person. Represented; so that is do not with the consent of every one of that Multiude in particular. For st is the Unity of the Representer, not the Dirivy of the Represented, that makeds and but one Person: And Unity, Gannot otherware be understood in Multiude.

And because the Multitude naturally is not One, but Mangy, they cannot be understood for once, but mang Anthons, of every thing them Representative south, or doth in their same; Berey man giving their common Representer, Authority from himselfs in particular; and overing all the sationat the Representer dott, in particular; and overing all the sationat the Representation they init them in what, and how tarro the said represent them, none of them ownedth more, than they gave him commission to Act.

And if the Representative consist of many men, the voyce of the greater number, must be considered as the voyce of them all. For if the lesser number pronouse (for example) in the Afirmative, and the greater m the Negative, there will be Negatives more than enough to destroy the Afirmatives; and thereby the excesse of Negatives, standing uncontradicted, are the onely voyce, the Representative hath.

And a Representative of even turnber, specially when the number is not great, whereby the contradictory royces are of continueequal, is therefore of the static structure of the structure of the special structure of the structure of the structure of the special structure of the structure of the structure of the instructure of the structure of the structure of the special structure of the struc

Or if the number be odds, as three, or more, (men, or searchiles;) whereof every one has by a Again's Yore, sailority to take away the effect of all the Afirmative Voices of the rest, This number as on Representative, because by the divergity of Opirions, and nonsequences, a mute Pewore, and unspt, as for many thinge data, consequences a mute Pewore, and unspt, as for many thinge data, or the government of a Multidude, exceeding un time of Ware.

Of Arthors there be two norts. This first simply so called; which I have before defined to be him, that ownshit has action of another samply. The second is he, that ownshit has action of another another conditionally, that is it os say, he undertached to do it, it the other doth it not, at, or before a certain time. And times Authors conditional, are generately called Supersystem, it and Pidepusserse, and Sponsores; and particularly for Debt, Prezder, and ter Appearation before Judge, or Migniture, Judes.

# PART II.-OF COMMON-WEALTH

# CHAP XVII

# Of the Causes, Generation, and Definition of a COMMON-WEALTH

This final (Lass, End, or Designe of men, (who naturally love Llavery, and Dominion over others). In the introvioution of that restants upon themselves, (in which we see them live in Commonwealths) as the foreight of three rown preservation, and of a more constant (ive thereby, that is to any, of getting themselves or from that miscrobio contition of Warrs, which is necessarily consquent (is both been above) to the naturall Fasions of men, when of punctions to the performance of their Covership, and obtions of these Laves of Nature set down in the fourteenth and fiberaul Charlers.

For the Lawes of Nature (as Justice, Equily, Modesty, Mercy, and (in summe) doing to others, as use would be done to.) of themselves, without the terrour of some Power, to cause them to be observed, are contrary to our naturall Passions, that carry us to Partiality, Pride, Rovenge, and the hka. And Covenants, without the Sword, are but Words, and of no strength to secure a man at all. Therefore notwikkstanding the Lawes of Nature, (which every one hath then kent, when he has the will to keep them, when he can do it safely.) if there be no Power erected, or not great enough for our security: every man will, and may lawfully rely on his own strength and art, for caution against all other men. And in all places, where men have hved by small Families, to robbe and spoyle one another, has been 4 Trade, and so farre from being reputed against the Law of Nature, that the greater spoyles they gained, the greater was their honour; and men observed no other Lawse therein, but the Lawes of Honour, that is, to abstain from cruelty, leaving to men their lives, and instruments of husbandry. And as small Familyes did theu; so now do Cities and Kingdomes, which are but greater Families (for their own security) enlarge their Dominions, upon all pretences of danger, and fear of Invasion, or assistance that may be given to Invaders, endeavour as much as they can, to subdue, or weaken their neighbouis, by open force, and secret arts, for want of other Caution, justly; and are remembred for it in after uses with honour.

Nor is it the joyning together of a small number of men, that gives them this scourity; because in small numbers, small additions on the one side or the other, make the advantage of strength so great, se is sufficient to carry the Victory; and therefore gives encouragement to an Invasion. The Multiude sufficient to confide in for our Scentrity, is not determined by any certain number, but by comparison with the Encary we feare; and is then sufficient, when the oddle of the Encary is not of so visible and conspioncom moment, to determine the overal of warre, as to move hun to attempt.

And be there never so great a Multitude, yet if their actions be directed according to their particular judgements, and particular aposities, they can expect thereby no defence, nor protection. neither against a common enemy, nor against the injuries of one another. For being distracted in opinions concerning the best use and application of their strength, they do not help, but hinder one another; and reduce their strength by musual opposition to nothing: whereby they are casely, not onely subdued by a very few that agree together: but also when there is no common enemy, they make warrs upon each other, for their particular interests. For if we could suppose a great Multitude of men to consent in the observation of Justice, and other Lawes of Nature, without a common Power to keep them all in awe; we might as well suppose all Man-kind to do the same: and then there neither would be, not need to be any Civill Government, or Common-wealth at all: because there would be Peace without subjection.

Nor is it enough for the security, which men descre should has all the time of their life, that they be governed, and draveded by one judgment, for a limited time; as in one Battel, or one Warz. For though they obtain a Vulory by their unanimous enderwour against a fortaign enemy; yet afferwards, when either they have no common menny, on the tab by one part is held for a nemery, is by another part held for a friend, they must needs by the difference of their interest disolver, and fail eagin into a Warze semonyt thusaleve.

It is true, that contain living orestimes, as Beens and Anta, live solubly one with monitor, (which are barefore's by Aristella numbred amongs Politicall orestimes), and yet have no chier discoitor, finds their particular judgements and appetitus; not speech, wherehy the common benefit, and therefore some main may period discoitor, to know, with Vana, ind campot do the searc. To which I answer,

First, that men are continually in competition for Honour and Dignity, which these creatures are not; and consequently amongst men there ariseth on that ground, Envy and Hatred, and finally Warre; but amongst these not so.

Secondly, that immongst these creatures, the Common good differenth not from the Frivate, and being by nature enclined to their private, they procure thereby the common benefit. But man, whose Joy consistent in comparing himselfs with other men, can relish nothing but what is eminent.

Thirdly, that these creatures, having not (as man) the use of reason, do not see, nor think they see any fault, in the administration of their common businesse: whereas amongst near, there are very

many, that thinks themselves wiser, and abler to govern the Publique, better than the rest; and these strive to reforme and innovate, one this way, another that way; and thereby bring it into Distruction and Gvill warre.

"Pourthly, that these creatures, though they have some use of voice, in mating knowns to case another three desires, and other affostlone, yet they want that art of words, by which some mer can progress to others, that which is Good, in the Eleconses of Full; and Evil, in the Eleconses of Good, and agreent, or dimutik the thould be the set of the set of the set.

Fitty, irrational evaluates ename distinguish between  $I_{\rm Systy}$ , and Dammapy and therefore as long as timely be at easy, they are not offended with their followses: whereas Man is then most troublesome, when he is most at cases for them it is table be lower to abaw him Widoma, and controlle the Actions of them that governe the Common-workh.

Lastly, the agreement of these orestures is Naturall, that of mom, is by Covenant only, which is Artifoaall; and therefore it is no wonder it there be somwhat else required (beades Covenant) to make then Agroment constant and instrug; which is a Common Power, to keep them in awe, and to direct their actions to the Common Borefit.

"The only way to erect such a Common Power, as may be able to defend them from the invasion of Forraigners, and the injuries of one another, and thereby to secure them in such sort, as that by their owne industrie, and by the finites of the Earth, they may nonrish themselves and hve contantedly. is, to conferre all their power and strength upon one Man, or upon one Assembly of men, that may reduce all their Wills, by plurality of voices, unto one Will which is as much as to say, to appoint one Man, or Assembly of men, to beaus their Person: and every one to owne, and addnowledge himselfo to be Author of whatsoever he that so bearoth their Person, shall Act, or cause to be Aeted, in these things which concerne the Common Peace and Safelie: and therein to submit their Wills, every one to his Will, and their Judgements, to his Judgment. This is more than Consent, or Concord; it is a reall Unitie of them all, in one and the same Person, made by Covenant. of every man with every man, in such manner, as if every man should say to every man, I Authorise and give up my Right of Governing my seife, to this Man, or to this Assembly of men, on this condition. that thou give up thy Right to him, and Authorise all his Actions in like manner. This done, the Multitude so united in one Person, is oslied & COMMON-WEALTH, in latine CIVITAS. This is the Generation of that great LEVIATHAN, or rather (to speake more reverently) of that Mortall God, to which wee owe under the Immortall God. our neace and defonce. For by this Authoutic, given him by every particular man m the Common-Wealth, he hath the use of so much Power and Strength conferred on him, that by terror thereof, he is 12 691

finables to forme the wills of them all, to Peace at home, and mutually any dapainst their examples abroad. And by hum consistent the Essence of the Common-wealth; which (to define it,) is One Peecer, of values d.t.ds a great M shillaids, by mutuall Covernals one with modilar, of values d.t.ds a great M shillaids, by mutuall Covernals one with modilar, a strength and means of them all, as he shell these supplies for their Peace and Common Defonse.

And he that carryeth this Person, is called SOVERAIGNE, and said to have Soverages Power; and every one besides, his SUBJECT

The attaining to this forwaring Power, is by two waysa. Ona, by Natural Horses as when a man maketh its alifera, to submit timenolyses, and their etilates to big overnment, as being able to destroy them it thuy retuxes; or by Warre subduels has nonuces to his winn man signor amongst themselves, to submit to kown Man, is when man signor amongst themselves, to submit to kown Man, is when man signor amongst themselves, to submit to kown Man, this against all others. This have, may be colled a Folianal Common-wealth by *Ladquestand*. And first, I shall speak of a Common-wealth by *Institution*.

## CHAP. XVIII

# Of the RIGHTS of Soveraignes by Institution

A Common-weakly as said to be Instituted, when a Multitude of men do Agree, and Contensate, areary one, walk every one, that to whatsoever Man, or Assembly of Man, shall be given by the major part, the Right Deresent to Ferson of them all (this is to say; this be that, Eugensentatives) every one, as well he that Voids for al, Judgements, of the Man, or Assembly of saws, in the same manner, as if they were his own, to the ead, to live peaceably amongst themselves, and be notoextend agarts and them man.

From this Institution of a Common-wealth are derived all the Rights, and Faculty of him, or them, on whom the Soveraigne Power is conferred by the consent of the People assembled.

1 First, because they Covenant, it is to be understood, they are not foldinged by former Govenant to any thing requipment hereints. And Consequently they that have already Instituted a Common vession, means of one, cannot lawfully make a new Covenant, sancages thannelives, to be obscillent to any other, in any thing whiteover, without his permission. And therefore, they that are subject to a Monarch, names without his leave sets of Monaroby, and return Person from Ming that benefits to sorther Mann on other Assembly. of men: for they are bound, every man to every man, to Own, and be reduted Anthor of all, that he that already is their Soveraigne, shall do, and judge fit to be done: so that any one man dissenting all the rest should break their Covenant made to that man, which is injustice: and they have also every man given the Soveraignty to him that beareth their Person ; and therefore if they depose him, they take from him that which is his own, and so again it is injustice. Besides, if he that attempteth to depose his Soveraign, be killed, or punished by him for such attempt, he is author of his own punishment, as being by the Institution, Author of all his Soveraign shall do: And because it is injustice for a man to do any thing, for which he may be punished by his own authority, he is also upon that title, unjust. And whereas some men have pretended for their disobedience to their Soversign, a new Covenant, made, not with men, but with God; this also is unjust; for there is no Covenant with God, but by mediation of some body that representeth Gods Person; which none doth but Gods Licutenant, who hath the Soveraignty under God. But this pretence of Covenant with God, is so evident a lye, even in the pretenders own consciences, that it is not onely an act of an unjust, but also of a vile, and unmanly disposition.

Secondly, Because the Right of bearing the Person of them all, is given to him they make Soveraigne, by Covenant onely of one to another, and not of hum to any of them: there can happen no breach of Covenant on the part of the Soversigne; and consequently none of his Subjects, by any pretence of forforture, can be freed from his Subjection. That he which is made Soveraigne maketh ne Covenant with his Subjects before-hand, is manifest, because either he must make it with the whole multitude, as one party to the Covenant; or he must make a severall Covenant with every man. With the whole, as one party, it is impossible; because as yet they are not. one Person: and if he make so many severall Covenants as there be men, those Covenanis after he hath the Soveraignty are yoyd, because what act scever can be pretended by any one of them for breach thereof, is the act both of himselfe, and of all the rest, because done in the Person, and by the Right of every one of them in particular. Bouldes, if any one, or more of them, pretend a breach of the Covenant made by the Soveraigne at his Institution; and others, or one other of his Subjects, or himselfe alone, pretend there was no such breach, there is in this case, no Judge to decide the controversie: it returns therefore to the Sword again; and every man recovereth the right of Protecting himselfe by his own strength. contrary to the designe they had in the Institution. It is therefore in vain to grant Soversignty by way of precedent Covenant. The opinion that any Monarch receiveth his Power by Covenant, that is to say on Condition, proceedeth from want of understanding this essie truth, that Covenants being but words, and breath, have no force to oblige, contain, constrain, or protect any man, but what it has from the publique Sword; that is, from the untyed hands of

that Man, or Assembly of mon that hath the Soversignoy, and whose actions are avourbed by thismal, and performed by the steegach of them all, in him united. But when an Assembly of men is much Soversignoy. Hen no mans imaginghet hay shall correnate to have the Dropho of Borne, made a Correnant with the Romans, to hadd him Soversigntoy on each or such conditions, which not performed, the Roman might lawridly depose the Norman propher. That gene see not the reason to be shalls on addition, which are Kinder to the proceeding for the theorem of the start of the Soversigntoy on each of the start proceeding for the start of the start of the Soversigntoy on the start of the start

Thurdly, because the ranger part liable by consisting rejone declared a Soverainey, the that dissented must now consent with the rest; that is, be contented to avow all the actions he shall do, or else insty be destroyed by the orac. For it he voluntarily entered into the Congregation of them that were assembled, he sufficiently calcard thereby has will (and thereicors tackley correanted) to stand to what the major part should endwate and therefore if he Omerses, he does contrary to his Covenant, and therefore unjustly. And whether ha be of the Congregation, or not; and whether his consent to asked, or not, he must either submit to their deorees, or be left in the condition of warro he was in before; wherein he ught without instales he descred by the orac where he maght without instales he descred by the same whethere he

• "Fourthy, because every Subject is by this Institution Author of all the Addions, and Judgments of the Soveraigne Instituted; it followes, that whatsover he doth, it can be no injury to any of his Subjects, nor capit is to be by any of them accused of injustice. To characterize the state of the sta

/ Eiffug, and consequently to that which was sayd last, no man that hat Boversigne power can instly be put to death, or otherwise in any manner by his Subjects punished. For seeing overy Subject By Author of the actions of his Soversigue; he punsheth another, for the actions committed by himselfe.

And because the End of this Institution, is the Peace and Defence of them all; and whosever has right to the End, has right to the Means; is belongeth of Right, to whatsower Man, or Assembly that hath the Soversignty, to be Judge both of the means of Peace and Defence; and also of the hindrances, and disturbances of the

same; and to do whateoever he shall think nacessary to be done, both before hand, for the preserving of Peace and Security, by prevention of Discord as hone, and Riostility from abroad; and, when Peace and Security are lost, for the recovery of the same. And therefore.

Sixtly, it is annexed to the Soversignty, to be Judge of what Opinions and Doctrines are averse, and what conducing to Peace; and consequently, on what occasions, how farre, and what, men are to be trusted withall, in sneaking to Multitudes of people; and who shall examine the Doctrines of all bookes before they be published. For the Actions of men proceed from their Opinions: and in the wel governing of Opinions, consisteth the well governing of mens Actions, in order to their Peace, and Concord. And though in matter of Doctrine, nothing ought to be regarded but the Truth: yet this is not repugnant to regulating of the same by Peace. For Doctrine repugnant to Peace, can no more be True, than Peace and Concord can be against the Law of Nature. It is true, that in a Common-wealth, where by the negligence, or unskilfullnesse of Governours, and Teachers, false Doctrines are by time generally received: the contrary Truths may be generally offensive: Yet the most sudden, and rough busing in of a new Truth, that can be, does never breake the Peace, but only somtimes awake the Warre. For those men that are so remissely governed, that they dare take up Armes, to defend, or introduce an Opinion, are stall in Warre; and their condition not Peace, but only a Cessation of Armes for feare of one another; and they live as it were, in the procincts of battails continually. It belongeth therefore to him that hath the Soveraign Power, to be Judge, or constitute all Judges of Opinions and Doctrines, as a thing necessary to Peace: therby to prevent Discord and Civill Warre

Swrenthly, is annexed to the Sovenigniti, the whole power of prescriptus (Ba Falles, whereby every man may know, which Goods io may support, and what Astona he may tick, without being modeled for the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength proversion of the strength where: and therefore this Perputish, being necessary to Peace. and strength of the strength of the strength of the strength where: and therefore this Perputish, had not strength of the strength of the strength of the strength of the strength where is and the strength of the strength in the action of Subjects, are the Civil, Lawrey; thus it to say, the Lawre of each Commonweal Strength of the strengt

Eightly, is annexed to the Soveraigntie, the Right of Judicature; that is to say; of hearing and deciding all Controverses, which may arise concerning Law, either Civil, or Naturall, or concerning Fact ' For without the decision of Controversies, there is no projection of one Schjeck, egsinst the injuries of another; the Lawes concerning Means and Team are in varies, and to every man remainstich, from the maturall and necessary appelite of his own conservation, the right of protesting himselfer by his private strongth, which is this so onlition of Warre; and contrary to the end for which every Common-wealth is instituted.

Nuttiky, is ansect to be Soversigny, the Bight of making Wares, and Peace with other Nettons, and Common-weaklas; that is to say, of Judgmg when it is for the publique good, and how great foreware to be assembled, among and puy it for that enti, and to the Power by which the people are to be defined, consisted in their Armise; and the strength of an Array, in the onion of the strength under one Command; which Command the Soversign Instituted, therefore hash, bocause the command of the Mitter, without other Institution, makelik han their lath it Soversign Instituted, thereast is always doesn't be and the strength of the Mitter Armise; a power is always concentioned.

Tentify, is annexed to the Soversignty, the choosing of all Counsellours, Ministers, Magistentes, and Officers, both in Peace, and War. For seeing the Soversign is charged with the End, which is the common Peace and Defence; he is understood to have Power to use such Means, as its shall think most fit for ha idecharge.

Eisronklip, to the Soveralips is committed the Power of Herarding with risks, or known; and of Punshing with orporal, or peuniary punkhanent, or with ignoring every Subjoot according to the Law he inkih formerity makes or if Here is no Law make, given to serve the Common and the orderring of them from damg discarryics to the same.

Lastly, considering what values men are naturally apt to set upon themselves: what respect they look for from others, and how little they value other men; from whence continually arise amongst them. Emulation. Quarrells, Factions, and at last Warra, to the destroying of one another, and diminution of their strength against a Common Enemy; It is necessary that there be Lawes of Honour, and a publique rate of the worth of such men as have deserved, or are able to deserve well of the Common wealth; and that there be force in the hands of some or others, to put those Lawes in execution But it hath already been shown, that not onely the whole Multure, or forces of the Common-wealth; but also the Judicature of all Controversies, is annexed to the Soversignty. To the Soversign therefore it belongeth also to give titles of Honour; and to appoint what Order of place, and dignity, each man shall hold; and what signes of respect, in publique or private meetings, they shall give to one another.

These are the Rights, which make the Essence of Soveraignty; and which are the markes, whereby a man may discern in what Man, or Assembly of men, the Soveraign Power is placed, and resideth. For these are incommunicable, and insenarable. The Power to covn Mony: to dispose of the estate and persons of Infant heires; to have preemption in Markets; and all other Statute Prærogatives, may be transferred by the Soversign; and yet the power to protect his subjects he retained. But if he transferre the Militia, he retains the Judicature in vain, for want of execution of the Lawes: Or if he grant away the Power of raising Mony; the Militia is in vain; or if he give away the government of Doctrines, men will be frighted into rebellion with the feare of Spirits. And so if we consider any one of the said Rights, we shall presently see, that the holding of all the rest, will produce no effect, in the conservation of Peace and Justice, the end for which all Commonwealths are Instituted. And this division is it, whereof it is said, a Kingdome divided in it selfe, cannot stand: for unlesse this division precede, division into opposite Armies can never happen. If there had not first been an opinion received of the preatest part of England, that these Powers were divided between the King and the Lords, and the House of Commons, the people had never been divided, and fallen into this Civill Warre; first between those that disagreed in Politiques: and after between the Dissenters about the liberty of Religion: which have so instructed men in this point of Soveraign Right, that there be few now (in England,) that do not see, that these Rights are inseparable, and will be so generally acknowledged, at the next return of Peace; and so continue, till their miseries are forgotten; and no longer, except the vulgar be better taught than they have betherto been.

And because they are essential and inseparable Rights, it follows necessarily, that in whatsoever words are of them seen to be granted away, yet if the Soversign Power it selds be not in direct tormas removable, and the name of Soversigns on more given by the source of the second second second second second second has granted all be can, if we grant back the Soversignty, all is restored, as incomparably anomed thereants.

Leffic great Authority being Indivisible, and inseparably annexed to the Soversignt, there is little ground for the copinson of them, that any of Soversign Kings, though they be singuize majors, of greater Forset than newry one of their Subjects, yet they be Universe minors, of issue power than them all together. If for if by all kgether, they mean not be collective body as ene pericos, then all kgether, and newy one, applie the sense; and the speech is about. Durit by all kgether, why understand them as one Person (which person which all the source that any and so again the speech is about, which the Soversign power; and so again the speech is about in which aboundity by eace well enough, when the Soversignt is not aboundity of the people; but in a Menarch they see it not; and yet the power (Soversignt is the same in whomeover it he placed).

And as the Power, so also the Honour of the Soversign, cught to be greater, than that of any, or all the Subjects. For in the Soversignty is the fountain of Honour. The dignities of Lord, Earls, Doke, and Frince are his Creatures. As in the presence of the Master, the Servarias are equall, and without any honour at all; So are the Subjects, in the presence of the Soveraign. And though they aims some more, some issue, when they are out of his sight; yet in his presence, they shine no more than the Starres in presence of the Sun.

But a man may here object, that the Condition of Subjects is very misorable; as being obnoxious to the lusts, and other irregular passions of him, or them that have so unlimited a Power in their hands. And commonly they that live under a Monarch, think it the fault of Monarchy: and they that live under the government of Democracy, or other Soversign Assembly, attribute all the inconvenience to that forme of Commonwealth; whereas the Power in all formes, if they be perfect enough to protect them, is the same; not considering that the estate of Man can never be without some incommodity or other; and that the greatest, that in any forme of Government can possibly happen to the people in general, is scarce sensible, in respect of the miseries, and horrible calamities, that accompany a Givill Warre: or that dissolute condition of masterlesse man, without subjection to Lawes, and a coercive Power to tye their hands from rapine, and revenge: nor considering that the greatest pressure of Soveraign Governours, proceedeth not from any delight, or profit they can expect in the dammage, or weakening of their Subjects, in whose vigor, consisteth their own strength and glory; but in the restiveness of themselves, that unwillingly contributing to their own defence, make it necessary for their Governours to draw from them what they can in time of Peace, that they may have means on any emergent occasion, or sudden need, to resist, or take advantage on their Enemies, For all men are by nature provided of notable multiplying glasses, (that is their Passions and Selfo-love,) through which, every little payment appeareth a great grievance; but are destitute of those prospective glasses, (namely Morall and Civill Science,) to see a farre off the miseries that hang over them, and cannot without such payments be avoyded.

# CHAP. XIX

#### Of the severall Kinds of Common-wealth by Institution, and of Succession to the Soveraigne Power

This difference of Common-weaklas, consistent in the difference of the Soversign, or the Person representative of all and every one of the Multitude. And because the Soversignty is either in one Man, or us a sensibly of more than one; and into that Assembly more distinguished from the rest; it is manifest, there can be but Three kinds of Common-weaklith. For the Representative must needs be One man, of More: and if more, then it is the Assembly of Ad or but of a Part. When the Representative is One man, then is the Common-wealba & Moranour: when as Assembly of All that will omet together, then it is a Desconsary, or Popular Commonwealbit: when as Assembly of a Part ordy, then it is called an Amstronauxor. Other kind of Common-wealth there can be nonefor either Ora, or More, or All, must have the Soversign Power (which I have shown to be indivisible entire.

There he other names of Government, in the Histories, and books of Policy: as Tyremens, and Okyerohy. But they are not the names of other Formes of Government, but of the stars formes calls Gynemy, and they that are displaced with Aridorzeg, called it Okyerohy: So hao, they which find themselves grieved under a Denorcey, call it Araroly (which signifies wards of Government, any new kind Government: not by the same reason caught hey is any new kind Government. not by the same reason caught hey it, and another, when they mislike it, or are oppressed by the overnour.

It is manifest, that men who are in absolute liberty, may, if they please, give Authority to One man, to represent them every one; as well as give such Authority to any Assembly of men whatapever: and consequently may subject themselves, if they think good, to a Monarch, as absolutely, as to any other Representative. Therefore, where there is already erected a Soveraion Power, there can be no other Representative of the same people, but onely to certain particular ends, by the Soveraign limited. For that were to eract two Soversigns; and every man to have his person represented by two Actors, that by opposing one another, must needs divide that Power, which (if men will live in Peace) is indivisible; and thereby reduce the Multitude into the condition of Warre, contrary to the end for which all Soveraignty is instituted. And therefore as it is absurd, to think that a Soversign Assembly, inviting the People of their Dominion, to send up their Deputies, with power to make known their Advise, or Desires, should therefore hold such Deputies, rather than themselves, for the absolute Representative of the people: so it is absurd also, to think the same in a Monarchy. And I know not how this so manifest a truth, should of late be so little observed: that in a Monarchy, he that had the Soveraignty from a descent of 600 years, was alone called Soveraign, had the title of Majesty from every one of his Subjects, and was unquestionably taken by them for their King, was notwithstanding never considered as their Representative; that name without contradiction passing for the title of those men, which at his command were sent up by the people to carry their Petitions, and give him (if he permitted it) their advise. Which may serve as an admonition, for those that are the true, and absolute Representative of a People, to instruct men in the nature of that Office, and to take \*# 6q1

head how they admit of any other generall Representation upon any occasion whatsoever, if they mean to discharge the trust committed to them.

The difference between these three kindes of Commonwealth. consisteth not in the difference of Power; but in the difference of Convenience, or Aptstude to produce the Peace, and Scourity of the neonle: for which end they were instituted. And to compare Monarchy with the other two, we may observe; First, that whose ever bearoth the Person of the neople, or is one of that Assembly that bears it, beareth also his own naturall Person. And though he be carefull in his politique Person to procure the common interest; vet he is more, or no lesse carefull to procure the private good of himselfe, his family, kindred and friends; and for the most part, if the publique interest chance to crosse the private, he preferrs the private: for the Passions of men. are commonly more potent than their Reason. From whence it follows, that where the publique and private interest are most closely united, there is the publique most advanced. Now in Monarchy, the private interest is the same with the publique. The riches, power, and honour of a Monarch arise onely from the riches, strength and reputation of his Subjects. For no King can be rich, nor glorious, nor secure: whose Subjects are either poore, or contemptible, or too weak through want or dissention, to maintain a war against their enemies: Whereas in a Democracy, or Aristocracy, the sublique prosperity conferres not so much to the private fortune of one that is corrupt, or ambitious, as doth many times a perfidious advice, a treacherous action, or a Civill warre.

Secondly, that a Monarch received scanned of whom, when, and where he pissents: and consequentity may have the optimizen of meaversed in the matter should which he deliberates, of what rank or quality nearcy and as long here the time of a data, and with as need of Counsell, more are admitted, but such as have a highly thereto from the beginning: which for this most part are of three who have beene versed more in the acquisition of Wealth than of Knowledge, and are to give their advice in long discusses, which may, and do commonly scatte men to astion, but not governe than in t. For the Outdonnaforing to put in fainse of the Taskasian, accur and do here the state of the three any place, at tune, therein and the data of the state of the state of the theory for any faint of the data of the state of the state of the state of the state orare Multitude.

Thirdly, that the Resolutions of a Monarch, are subject to no other inconstance, than that of Humans Nature; but in Assemblas, bounds that of Nature, there arise that an Inconstancy from the Number. Yor (thas absence of a free, that would have the Resolution anco taken, continue firmer, (which may happen by security, neglgence, or private impediments), or the dilgent explanations of a foror other you be a start of the security of the context of the output of the context optimized and the security of the security optimized and the security optimized and the security sectory. Fourthly, that a Monarch cannot disagree with himselfs, out of envy; or interest; but an Assembly may; and that to such a height, as may produce a Civill Warre.

Bifthly, that in Monarchy there is this inconvenienco; that any Subject, by the power of one man, for the enriching of a favourite or flatterer, may be deprived of all he possesseth; which I confesse is a great and inevitable inconvenience. But the same may as well happen, where the Soveraigne Power is in an Assembly: For their power is the same: and they are as subject to svill Counsell, and to be seduced by Orators, as a Monarch by Flatterers: and becoming one an others Flatterers, serve one anothers Covetousnesso and Ambition by turnes. And whereas the Favorites of Monarohs, are few, and they have none els to advance but their owne Kindred; the Favorites of an Assembly, are many: and the Kindred much more numerous, than of any Monarch. Besides, there is no Favourite of a Monarch, which cannot as well succour his friends, as hurt his enemies: But Orators, that is to say, Favourites of Soveraigne Assemblies, though they have great power to hurt, have little to save. For to accuse, requires lesse Eloquence (such is mans Nature) than to excuse: and condemnation, than absolution more resembles Justice.

Suxtly, that it is an inconvenience in Monarchie, that the Soveraigntie may descend upon an Infant, or one that cannot discerne between Good and Evill: and consisteth in this, that the use of his Power, must be m the hand of another Man, or of some Assembly of men, which are to governe by his right, and in his name; as Curators, and Protectors of his Person, and Authority, But to say there is inconvenience, in putting the use of the Soveraign Power, into the hand of a Man, or an Assembly of men: is to say that all Government is more Inconvenient, than Confusion, and Civill Warre. And therefore all the danger that can be pretended. must arise from the Contention of those, that for an office of so great honour, and profit, may become Competitors. To make it appear, that this inconvenience, proceedeth not from that forme of Government we call Monarchy, we are to consider, that the precodent Monarch, hath appointed who shall have the Tuition of his Infant Successor, either expressely by Testament, or tacitly, by not controlling the Custome in that case received: And then such inconvenience (if it happen) is to be attributed, not to the Monarchy. but to the Ambition, and Injustice of the Subjects: which in all kinds of Government, where the people are not well instructed in their Duty, and the Rights of Soveraignty, is the same. Or else the precedent Monarch, hath not at all taken order for such Tuttion; And then the Law of Nature hath provided this sufficient rule. That the Tution shall be in him, that hath by Nature most interest in the preservation of the Authority of the Infant, and to whom least benefit can accrue by his death, or diminution. For seeing every man by nature seeketh his own benefit, and promotion; to put an Infant mto the power of those, that can promote themselves,

by his destruction, or dammage, is not Tuition, but Trechery. So that sufficient provision being taken, against all just quarrell. about the Government under a Child, if any contention arise to the disturbance of the publique Peace, it is not to be attributed to the forme of Monarchy, but to the ambition of Subjects, and ignorance of their Duty. On the other side, there is no great Common-wealth, the Soversignty whereof is in a great Assembly, which is not, as to consultations of Peace, and Warre, and making of Lawes, in the same condition, as if the Government were in a Child. For as a Child wants the judgement to dissent from counsell given him, and is thereby necessitated to take the advise of them, or him, to whom he is committed: So an Assembly wanteth the liberty, to dissent from the counsell of the major part, he it good, or had. And as a Child has need of a Tutor, or Protector, to preserve his Person. and Authority: So also (in great Common-wealths,) the Soveraign Assembly, in all great dangers and troubles, have need of Custodes libertatis; that is of Dictators, or Protectors of their Authoritic; which are as much as Temporary Monarcha: to whom for a time. they may commit the entire exercise of their Power; and have (at the end of that time) been oftner deprived thereof, than Infant Kings, by their Protectors, Regents, or any other Tutors.

Though the Kinds of Soveraigntie be, as I have now shewn, but three; that is to say, Monarchie, where One Man has it; or Democracie, where the generall Assembly of Subjects hath itor Aristocracie, where it is in an Assembly of certain persons nominated, or otherwise distinguished from the rest: Yet he that shall consider the particular Common-wealthes that have been, and are in the world, will not perhaps easily reduce them to three, and may thereby be melined to think there be other Formes, arising from these mingled together. As for example, Elective Kingdomes: where Kings have the Soversigne Power put into their hands for a time; or Kingdomes, wherein the King hath a power limited: which Governments, are nevertheles by most Writers called Monarchie. Likewise if a Popular, or Aristocraticall Common-wealth, subdue an Enemies Countrie, and govern the same, by a President, Procurator, or other Magistrate; this may seeme perhans at first sight, to be a Democraticall, or Aristocraticall Government. But it is not so. For Elective Kings, are not Soveraignes, but Munsters of the Soveraigne; nor limited Kings Soveraignes, but Ministers of them that have the Soveraigne Power: Nor are those Provinces which are in subjection to a Democracie, or Aristographic of another Common-wealth. Democratically, or Aristocratically governed, but Monarchically.

And first, consorring an Elective King, whose power is limited to his Hie, as it is in many places of Christeendome at this day; or to cortaine Yearse or Monelas, as the Diotators power amongst the Romans; If he have Right to appoint his Successor, he is no more Elective but Hereditary. But if he have no Power to elect has Successor, then there is some other Man, or Assembly known,

which after his docease may elect a new, or also the Common-wealth dich, and disolver's with him, and restructs to the the condition of Warrs. If it be known, who have the power to give the Soveralgard's after his dosh, it is known, abe totat the Kowenighter was in them to possess, and keep to themolows, it they think good. But if there be none that can give the Soveraignito, after the docease of him that was first elected; then has be power, nay he is obliged by the Law of Natter, to provide, by establishing hall Soccessor, to keep thous that had traited hum with the forement, from reingburger of the source of the source of the source of the source of the to was whon elected. Soveraigned when a docease puerly how was whon elected. Soveraigned when the source of

Secondly, that King whose power is limited, is not superiour to bind, or them that have the power to limit it; and he that is not superiour, is not supreme, that is to any not Soversign, The Soversigny therefore was advance in that Assembly which had the Right to Limit him; and by consequence the government not Monorchy, but either Demonscrep, or Aristocrary, as of old time in Spars; where the Kings had a privilege to lead their Armies; but the Soversignty was in the Spars.

Thirdly, whereas heretoices the Roman Poople governed the land of Judes (to example) by a l'reislent, yet was not Judes therefore a Demonstory; because they were not governed by any Assembly, to concerv, hereaux leaves the governed by any Asambly, into which, any man could enter by their Election: but hiery were governed by one Person, which though as to the people of Zower was an Assembly of the people, or Demonsey; yet as to people of was any assembly, chosen by their Election: but hiery wore governed by one Person, which though as to the people of was any assembly, chosen by themselves out of their own number, the governed by an election between the people are governed are governed by an Assembly, not of their own shoulding. "Is a over another poople. The origin was not how any in the spople are governed by an Assembly, not of their own shoulding." Is a over another poople.

Of all these Formes of Government, the matter being mortall, so that not order Monarchis, but also whole Assemblia dry, it is necessary for the conservation of the peace of men, that as there was order taken for an Artificial Man, so there boards and taken for an Artificial Elemity of life; without which, men that are governed by an Assembly, should return into the conductor of as soon as their Governoor dyean. This Artificial Elemidy, is that which men and the Light of Succession.

There is no perfect forme of Government, where the disposing of the Succession is not in the present Soversign. For if it be in any other particular Man, or private Assembly, it is in a person subject, and may be assumed by the Soversign at his pleasure; and consequently the Right is in himselfe. And if the bein on particular man, but left to a new choyce; then is the Common-wealth dissolved; and the Right is m him that can get it; contrary to the intention of them that did Institute the Common-wealth, for their perpetuall, and not temporary security.

In a Democracy, the whole Assembly cannot fails, unlesse the Multitude that are to be governed fails. And therefore questions of the right of Succession, have in that forme of Government no piace at all.

In an Aristorney, when any of the Assembly dysch, the election of another in the income biologuith to the Assembly, is set its Sversign, to whom belongeth the choosing of all Counsellours, and Offseen. For that which the Representative obly, as done were you of elsatisfies to oblave the other than the Sversign Assembly, may give Power to oblave the other than the another than and by the same it may (when the publique shall require it) be realled.

The greatest difficultie about the right of Succession, is in Monarchy: And the difficulty ariseth from this, that at first sight. it is not manifest who is to appoint the Successor; nor many times. who it is whom he hath appointed. For m both these cases, there is required a more exact ratiocination, than every man is accustomed to use. As to the question, who shall appoint the Successor, of a Monarch that hath the Soversion Authority; that is to say, who shall determine of the right of Inheritance, (for Elective Kings and Princes have not the Soveraign Power in propriety, but in use only.) we are to consider, that either he that is in possession, has right to dispose of the Succession, or else that right is again in the dissolved Multitude. For the death of him that hath the Soveraign power in propriety, leaves the Multitude without any Soveraign at all; that is, without any Representative in whom they should be united, and be capable of doing any one action at all; And therefore they are incapable of Election of any new Monarch; every man having equal right to submit himselfs to such as he thinks best able to protect him; or if he can, protect himselfe by his owns sword, which is a returne to Confusion, and to the condition of a War of every man against every man, contrary to the end for which Monarchy had its first Institution. Therfore it is manifest, that by the Institution of Monarchy, the disposing of the Successor, is alwaies left to the Judgment and Will of the present Possessor.

And for the question (which may arise sometimes) who it is that the Monarch in possession, hath designed to the succession and inheritance of his power; it is determined by his expresse Words, and Testament; or by other taute signes sufficient.

By expresse Words, or Testament, when it is declared by him in his life time, vice roce, or by Writing; as the first Emperours of Roms dealared who should be their Heires. For the word Heire does not of it selfs imply the Children, or nearest Kindred of a man; but whomsover a man shall say way dealare, he would have to

succeed him m his Estate. If therefore a Monarch declare expressly, that such a man shall be his Heure, either by Word or Writing, then is that man immediatly after the decease of his Predecessor, Invested in the meht of being Monarch.

But where 'Instantont, and expresses Words are wanting, other neutrall signs of the Will are to be followed: where of the one's functional anisatively supported by the best of the start functional anisatively supported by the start of the start possion had been otherware, he might easily have desired the same in high them. And Rickwise where she Cutatoron is, that the next of the Mais Kindtud succeedeth, there also the right of Succession is in bits of the start of the start of the start reason of the Mais Kindtud succeedeth, there also the right of Succession is in bits of the start of the start of the start start of the start of the start of the start of the start is an anturall start bits of the start of the start of the start is a notatively start bat Cutatoms that the start of the start.

But where selfher Customs, nor Testament hatt preceded, there is to be underscool, Jirst, that a Monareha will, in the opperament remain Monarchiell; because he infla approved that government, in Mineidle Scoolfy, that a Child of the own Malo, or Flemaha, be privated to the sense man are presented the children of other men: and of their own rather a Malo than a Female, because man, are naturally filter than women, for actions of labour and danger. Thirdly, where his own Passenade in the a Brother than a stranger; and so still the nessee in blond, rather han the more remote: because the is always presumed that the remerce always, by referring the stranger of the remote the greatment remote on lawyes, by referring the set is many are presented that a temp

But if it he lawful for a Monarch to dispose of the Succession by words of Contract, or Testament, man may perhaps object a great inconvenience: for he may sell, or give his Right of governing to a stranger: which because strangers (that is, men not used to live under the same government, nor speaking the same language) do commonly undervalue one another, may turn to the oppression of his Subjects; which is indeed a great inconvenience; but it proceedsth not necessarily from the subjection to a strangers government, but from the unskilfulnesse of the Governours, ignorant of the true rules of Politiques. And therefore the Romans when they had subdued many Nations, to make their Government digestable. were wont to take away that grisvance, as much as they thought necessary, by giving sometimes to whole Nations, and sometimes to Principall men of every Nation they conquered, not onely the Privileges, but also the Name of Romans; and took many of them into the Senate, and Offices of charge, even in the Roman City. And this was it our most wise King, King James, avmed at, in endeavouring the Union of his two Realms of England and Scotland. Which if he could have obtained, had in all likelihood prevented

the Givill warres, which make both those Kingdomes, at his present, mescala. It is not therefore any injury to the populo, for a Monarch to dispose of the Sacossion by Will; though by the fault of many Prances; it hat been sometimes frond incorvenient. Of the lawfulnesse of it, this also is an argument, that wintscover isomovenience con mirrly by giving a Kingdome to a stranger, may arrow also by so marying with strangers, as the Right of Succession may descent quot them: yet this yed a une is accounted lawful.

# CHAP. XX

#### Of Dominion PATERNALL, and DESPOTICALL

A Common-meally by Acquisition, is that, where the Soversign Power is acquired by Force; And it is acquired by force, when men singly, or many togetizer by plurality of voyces, for fear of death, or bonds, do authornes all the actoms of that Man, or Assembly, that hat their lives and libert in his Power.

And this kind of Dominion, or Soversignty, differeth from Soversignty by Institution, onely in this, That men who choose their Soveraign, do it for fear of one another, and not of him whom they Institute: But in this case, they subject themselves, to him they are afraid of. In both cases they do it for fear; which is to be noted by them, that hold all such Covenants, as proceed from fear of death, or violence, word: which if it were true, no man, in any kind of Common-wealth, could be obliged to Obediance. It is true, that in a Common-wealth once Instituted, or acquired, Promises proceeding from fear of death, or violence, are no Cove nants, nor obliging, when the thing promised is contrary to the Lawes; But the reason is not, because it was made upon fear, but because he that promiseth, hath no right in the thing promised. Also, when he may lawfully performe, and doth not, it is not the Invalidity of the Covenant, that absolveth him, but the Sentence of the Soversign. Otherwise, whensoever a man lawfully promiseth, he unlawfully breaketh: But when the Soveraign, who is the Actor, acquitteth him, then he is acquitted by him that extorted the promise, as by the Author of such absolution.

But the Rights and Consequences of Soversignty, are the same in both. His Power cannot, without his consent, be transferred to another: He cannot Forfait it: He cannot be Accused by any of his Schjecks of Injury. He cannot be Pumikhed by them: He is Judge of winst is necessary for Peace, and Judge of Dostrues: He is Sole Legislator; and Supreme Judge of Controversies; and of the times, and Occasions of Warre, and Peace: to bin it belongesh to choose Magnitzako, Cunnelloure, Commanders, and all other Officese, and Ministers; and to determine of Rewards, and Pumikhnesh, Honoux, and Order. The reasons whereast, are

the same which are alledged in the precedent Chapter, for the same Rights, and Consequences of Soversignty by Institution.

Dominion is acquired two wayes; By Generation, and by Conquest. The right of Dominion by Generation, is that, which the Parent hath over his Children; and is called PATERNALL And is not so derived from the Generation, as if therefore the Parent had Dominion over his Child because he begat him; but from the Childs Consent, either expresse, or by other sufficient arguments declared. For as to the Generation, God hath ordsined to man a helper; and there be alwayes two that are equally Parents: the Dominion therefore over the Chuld, should belong equally to both; and he be equally subject to both, which is impossible; for no man can obey two Masters. And whereas some have attributed the Dominion to the Man onely, as being of the more excellent Sex; they misreckon in it. For there is not alwayes that difference of strength, or prudence between the man and the woman, as that the right can be determined without War. In Common wealins, this controversie is decided by the Civill Law: and for the most part, (but not alwayes) the sentence is in fayour of the Father: because for the most part Common-wealths have been erected by the Fathers, not by the Mothers of families. But the question lyeth now in the state of meer Nature; where there are supposed no lawes of Matrimony; no lawes for the Education of Children; but the Law of Nature, and the naturall inclination of the Seres, one to another, and to their shildren. In this condition of meer Nature, either the Parents between themselves dispose of the dominion over the Child by Contract; or do not dispose thereof at all. If they dispose thereof, the right passeth according to the Contract. We find in History that the Amazons Contracted with the Men of the neighbouring Countries, to whom they had recourse for issue, that the issue Male should be sent back, but the Female remain with themsolves: so that the dominion of the Females was in the Mother.

If there is no Contract, the Dominion is in the Mahler. For in the condition of mess Nature, where there are no Matrimonial lawse, it examples the incover who is the Pather, unlesses it is declared dependent on the will, and it exceeds the Again, seeling the Infant is first in the power of the Mother, so as also may either mortable, or expose it; if also mortish is, it owerk it all for to the Mother; and is therefore obliged to obey her, takker than any the argument is the star of the Mother in the Dominion is in him that nourhische it. For it coght to obey him by whom it is preserved; hocease preservation of like being the end, for which are because mother to anolare, revery man is supposed to them.

If the Mother be the Fathers subject, the Child, is in the Fathers power: and if the Father be the Mothers subject, (as when a Soveraign Queen marrieth one of her subjects) the Child is subject to the Mother; because the Father also is her subject.

If a men and a woman, Monarches of two several Kingdonae, have a Child, and contrast concerning who shall have the Domnion of him, the Right of the Domnion passets by the Contrast If they contrast not, the Donnion followith the Domnion of the place of has residence. For the Soveraign of each Country hath Domnion over all that reside threin.

He that hath the Dominion over the Child, hath Dominion also over the Children of the Child; and over their Childrens Children. For he that hath Dominion over the preson of a man, hath Dominion over all that is his; without which, Dominion were but a Title, without the effect.

The Right of Succession to Paternall Dominion, proceedeth in the same manner, as doth the Right of Succession to Monarchy; of which I have alrandy sufficiently spoke in the precedent chapter.

Dominion acquired by Conquest, or Victory in war, is that which some Writers call DESPOTICALL, from According which significath a Lord, or Master; and is the Dominion of the Master over his Servant. And this Dominion is then acquired to the Victor, when the Vanguished, to avoid the present stroke of death, covenanteth either in expresse words, or by other sufficient signes of the Will. that so long as his life, and the liberty of his body is allowed hun. the Victor shall have the use thereof, at his pleasure. And after such Covenant made, the Vanquished is a SZRVANT, and not before; for by the word Servant (whether it be derived from Service, to Serve. or from Sensare, to Save, which I leave to Grammanians to diamital is not meant a Captive, which is kent in prison, or bonds, till the owner of him that took him, or bought him of one that did, shall consider what to do with him: (for such men, (commonly called Slaves.) have no obligation at all; but may break their bonds, or the prison: and kill, or carry away captive their Master, justly;) but one, that being taken, hath cornorall liberty allowed him; and upon promise not to run away, nor to do violence to his Master, is trusted by him.

It is not therefore the Vietory, that gives the right of Dominico over the Vanguishel, but his own Covenant. Nor is ho obliged because ho is Conquered; that is to say, heaten, and taken, or put to flight; but because he comment hn, and Stabmitteth to the Victor; Nor is the Victor obliged by an exemise reading himeads, (without promise of Hig), to spare him for this has yeedling to discretion; which obliges not the Victor longer, than in his own discretion hose shall think fit.

And that which mee do, when they demand (as it is now called) Grader, twich the Grocks called Zoyein, *akony alives*, list a evade the present fary of the Viotor, by Submission, and to compound for their life, with Hansome, or Service: and therefore ho that hath Gunter hath not his life given, but indiscred till farther deliberation. And For it is not an yreaking on condition of life, but to discretion. And then onely is his life in security, and his service due, when the Victor hath trusted him with his corporall liberty. For Slaves that work in Prisons, or Fetters, do it not of duty, but to avoyd the cruelty of their task-masters.

The Mester of the Servant, is Master also of all he hath: and may eract the use thereof: that is to say, of his goods, of his labour, of his servants, and of his children, as often as he shall think fit. For he holdoth his life of his Master, by the covenant of obedience; that is, of owning, and authorising whatsoever the Master shall do. And in case the Master, if he refuse, kill hum, or cast him into bonds, or otherwise punish him for his disobedience, he is himselfe the author of the same; and cannot accuss hum of miury.

In summe, the Rights and Consequences of both Paternall and Desnoticall Dominion, are the very same with those of a Soversign by Institution: and for the same reasons: which reasons are set down in the precedent chapter. So that for a man that is Monaroh of divers Nations, whereof he hath, in one the Soveraignty by Institution of the people assembled, and in another by Conquest, that is by the submission of each particular, to avovd death or honds: to domand of one Nation more than of the other, from the taile of Conquest, as being a Conquered Nation, is an act of ignorance of the Rights of Soveraignty. For the Soveraign 15 absolute over both alike: or else there is no Soveraienty at all; and so every man may Lawfully protect himselfe, if he can, with his own sword, which is the condition of way.

By this it appears, that a great Family if it be not part of some Common-wealth, is of it self, as to the Rights of Soversignty. a little Monarchy; whether that Family consist of a man and his children: or of a man and his servants: or of a man, and his children, and servants together: wherein the Father or Master is the Soveraign. But yet a Family is not properly a Commonwealth: unlesse it be of that power by its own number, or by other opportunities, as not to be subdued without the hazard of war, For where a number of men are manifestly too weak to defend themselves united, every one may use his own reason in time of danger, to save his own life, either by flight, or by submission to the enemy, as hee shall think best; in the same manner as a very small company of souldiers, surprised by an army, may cast down their armes, and demand quarter, or run away, rather than be put to the sword. And thus much shall suffice; concerning what I find by apeculation, and deduction, of Soversign Rights, from the nature, need, and designes of men, in erecting of Common-wealths, and putting themselves under Monarchs or Assemblies, entrusted with power enough for their protection

Let us now consider what the Scripture teacheth in the same point. To Moses, the children of Israel say thus. 1 Speak then to us, and we will heare thee ; but let not God speak to us, lest we due, This is absolute obedience to Moses. Concerning the Right of

1 Exod. 20, 10,

Kings, God himself by the mouth of Samuel, saith, 1 This shall be the Right of the King you will have to reigne over you. He shall take your sons, and set them to drive his Chariots, and to be his horsemen. and to run before his chariots : and other in his harvest : and to make his engines of War, and Instruments of his chariots : and shall take your daughters to make perfumes, to be his Cookes, and Bakers. He shall take your fields, your vine-yards, and your plive-yards, and give them to his servents. He shall take the tyth of your corne and wine, and give it to the men of his chamber, and to his other servants 110 shall take your man-servants, and your maid-servants, and the choice of your wouth, and employ them in his businesse. He shall take the toth of your flocks : and you shall be his servants. This is absolute rower, and summed up in the last words, you shall be his servants Againe, when the people heard what power their King was to have. vet they consented thereto, and say thus, 2 We unli be as all other nations, and our King shall judge our causes, and poe before us, to conduct our wars. Here is confirmed the Right that Soversigns have, both to the Militia, and to all Judicature; in which is conteined as absolute power, as one man can possibly transferre to another. Again, the prayer of King Salomon to God, was this. <sup>2</sup> Give to the servant understanding, to judge thy people, and to discerne between Good and Evill. It belongeth therefore to the Soveraione to bee Judge, and to prescribe the Rules of discerning Good and Rvill: which Rules are Lawes: and therefore in hun is the Leonslative Power. Saul sought the life of David: yet when it was in his power to slay Saul, and his Servants would have done it, David forbad them, saving, "God forbid I should do such an act goginst my Lord, the anounted of God. For obedience of servants St. Paul saith, 5 Servants obey your masters in All things; and, 6 Children obey your Parents in All things. There is simple obedience in those that are subject to Paternall or Despotucal Dominion. Again, 7 The Scribes and Pharisees sit in Moses chayre, and therefore All that they shall bid you observe, that observe and do. There again is simple obedience. And St. Paul, 8 Warn them that they subject themselves to Princes, and to those that are in Authority, & obey them. This obedience is also simple. Lastly, our Saviour himselfe acknowledges, that men ought to pay such taxes as are by Kings unposed. where he sayts. Give to Casar that which is Casars: and navel such taxes himselfe. And that the Kings word, is sufficient to take any thing from any Subject, when there is need; and that the King is Judge of that need: For he himselfe, as King of the Jewes. commanded his Disciples to take the Asse, and Asses Colt to carry him into Jerusalem, saving, 9 Go into the Village over against you, and you shall find a shoe Asse tued, and her Colt with her, univ thom, and bring them to me. And if any man ask you, what you mean by it. Say the Lord hath need of them : And they will let them go. They

1 I Sani, 8 11, 12, doc.	<sup>2</sup> Verse 19, &c.	* I Kings 3. 9.
* I Saut. 24. 9.	4 Coll. 3. 20.	Verse 22.
1 Math. 23. 2, 3.	Tit 3. 2.	* Mat. 21. 2, 3.

will not ask whether his necessity be a sufficient title; nor whether he bejudge of that necessity; but acquiesce in the will of the Lord.

To these places may be added also that of Genesis, 1 You shall he as Gods, knowing Good and Evill, And verse 11. Who told thee that thou wast naked ? hast thou eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thes thou shouldest not sat ? For the Cognisance or Judicature of Good and Evill, being forbidden by the name of the fruit of the tree of Knowledge, as a triall of Adams obedience: The Divel to enflame the Ambition of the woman, to whom that fruit already seemed beautifull told her that by tasting it, they should be as Gods. knowing Good and Evall. Whereupon having both eaten, they did indeed take upon them Gods office, which is Judicature of Good and Exill: but acquired no new ability to distinguish between them aright. And whereas it is savd, that having eaten, they saw they wore paked; no man hath so interpreted that place, as if they had heen formerly blind, and saw not their own skins: the meaning is plain, that it was then they first judged their nakednesse (wherein it was Gods will to create them) to be uncomply: and by being ashamed, did tacitoly consure God himselfe. And thereupon God saith. Hast thou eaten, &c. as if he should say, doest thou that owest me obcdience, take upon thes to judge of my Commandements? Whereby it is clearly, (though Allegorically,) signified, that the Commands of them that have the right to command, are not by their Subjects to be consured, nor disputed.

So that it appeared plainly, to my understanding, both from Resson, and Scripture, that its Soremaign Forew, whither placed in One Man, as in Monarchy, or in one Assembly of men, as m Popular, and Aritorarical Common results, is as creat, as possibly man can be imaginod to make it. And though of so unlimited a Power, mon may faroy many or will consequences, by ethe consequences of the wast of it, which is perpetual warre of every man against its neighbor, are much works. The condition of man in the common-walk has great lacourenisme, but what person in no Common-walk has great lacourenisme, but what person from which the Common-walk hash the being. And whoesever thinking Soversing Power to oprove, will so the to also it lossed is used subject binnelfe, to the Power, this can lake it leases, must subject binnelfe, to the Power, that can limit it; that is to say, to a greater.

The prestest objectum is, that of the Practice; when mon ade, where, and when, such Power has by Subjects beam encoursingled. But one may ask them again, when, or where has there been a Kaupdonen long first from Soliton and Civill Warre. In those distoryed, but by forsign warre, the Subjects never did signate of the Soversign Power. But knowserver, an argument from the Practice of men, that have not sifted to the bottom, and with exact reason weighted the causars, and nature of Common-weakhas, and

1 Gen. 3. 5.

suffer daily those misrcus, that proceed from the ignorance thereof, is invalal. For blongh in all places of the world, mon should kny the foundation of their houses on the stard, it could not thereos be inferred, that is traght to be. The shall of making and maintaining Common-weaking, consistent in certain Rules, as doth Arthamadian and Commony, not it as Touring Pelyo on Prachise angly had the bismer, have hitherto had the curnaity, or the method to find out.

# CHAP. XXI

# Of the LIBERTY of Subjects

Interr, or Framous, signifield (repeat) the alsence of Oppositon; (by Opposition, 1) mean external Impodiments of motion) and may be applyed no less to Irrational, and Iradimate crastners, than to Railonal. For valuescore is as tyse, or environed, self cannot move, but within a certain space, which space is determined by the opposition of some arternal body, we say it hath not Liberity to go further. And so of all living creatures, whilest they are without it is kopt in by londs, or eversion, and of the water without it is kopt in by londs, or eversit, and of the outer without it is kopt in by londs, or eversit, but otherwise n this appression of the strengt reason, with out any, they run impodiments they would. But when the impedement of motion, is in the constitution of the fining it selfs, no use not easy, it was the Liberty, but the Power to move; as when a stone lyoth still, or a man is fastened to his body to solomesn.

And according to this proper, and generally received meaning of the word. A FREE-MAN, is he, that in those things, which by his strength and wit he is able to do, is not hindred to doe what he has a will to. But when the words Free, and Laberty, are applyed to any thing but Bodies, they are abused: for that which is not subject to Motion. is not subject to Impediment: And therefore, when 'tis said (for example) The way is Free, no Liberty of the way is sumified, but of those that walk in it without stop. And when we say a Guift is Free, there is not meant any Liberty of the Guift, but of the Giver, that was not hound by any law, or Covenant to give it. So when we sneak Freely, it is not the Liberty of voice, or pronunciation. but of the man, whom no law hath obliged to speak otherwise then he did. Lastly, from the use of the word Free-Will, no Liberty can be inferred of the will, desire, or inclination, but the Liborty of the man; which consisteth in this, that he finds no stop, in doing what he has the will, desire, or inclination to dos.

Feare, and Liberty are consistent: as when a man throweth his goods into the bear for feare the ship should sink, he doth it neverthe lesse very willingly, and may retures to doe it is he will: It is therefore the action, of one that was free: so a man sometimes pays his debt, only for farse of Imprisonment, which because no body hindred him from dotaining, was the action of a man so *klochy*. And generally all actions which men doe in Common-wealths, for farse of the law, are actions, which the doers had *iberty* to omit.

Liberty and Necessity are consistent: As in the water, that hath not only liberty, but a necessity of descending by the Channel: 50 likewise in the Actions which men voluntarily doe; which, because they proceed from their will, proceed from liberty; and yet, because every act of mans will, and every desire, and inclination proceedeth from some cause, and that from another cause, in a continuall chaine, (whose first link is in the hand of God the first of all causes.) they proceed from necessity. So that to him that could see the connexion of those causes, the necessity of all mens voluntary actions, would appeare manifest. And therefore God, that seeth, and disposeth all things, seeth also that the liberty of man in doug what he will is accompanied with the necessity of doing that which God will, & no more, nor lesse. For though men may do many things, which God does not command, nor is therefore Author of them; yet they can have no passion nor appetite to any thing, of which annetite Gods will is not the causo. And did not his will assure the necessity of mans will, and consequently of all that on mans will dependech, the laberty of men would be a contradiction, and impediment to the omnipotence and liberty of God. And this shall soffice, (as to the matter in hand) of that natural laberty, which only is properly called hberty.

But is mon, for the atteyning of peace, and conservation of themselves thereby, have made an Artificial Man, while we call a Common-weakly, so also have shay made Artificial (Main, Main) and (Main) (Andrew, which it may themselves by a multial) discretization have failured as one and, to the lips of that Man, or Assembly, to have a failured at one and, to the lips of that Man, or Assembly, to to their own Rest. These Bonds is there are multi-attended and may neverthaless be made to hold, by the danger, though not by the difficulty of breaking them.

In reflation to these Danak only it is, that I am to speak now, of the *Lakety of Subjects.* For scenario that on companies of common-weak in the world, wherein there be Rules enough set down, for the regulating of all the sations, and world of mon, the being a tailing the laws predemuties, more have been been been been been own reasons that Beggenk, for the most profitable to themselves. For if were take Lakety in the proper sense, for corporal Lahety; that is to say, resident from chains, and prices, it were very abaut for more to chance as they doe, for the Liberty they so manifestly enjoy. Agains, if we take Liberty, for an a semption from Laive, beard so its, this is it they demand not knowledge that the tay. effects of it also be the same. For as amongst masterlesse men, there is perpetual war, of every man against his neighbour; no inheritance, to transmit to the Son, nor to expect from the Father; no proprioty of Goods, or Lands; no security; but a full and absolute Libertie in overy Particular man: So in States, and Common-wealths not dependent on one another, every Common-wealth, (not every man) has an absolute Libertie, to doe what it shall judge (that is to say, what that Man, or Assemblic that representath it, shall judge) most conducing to their bencht. But withall, they live in the condition of a perpetuall war, and upon the confines of buttel, with their frontiers armed, and canons planted against their neighbours round about The Athenians, and Romanes were free; that is, free Common-wealths; not that any particular men had the Libertie to resist their own Representative; but that their Representativo had the Libertie to resist, or invade other people. There is written on the Turreis of the city of Luca in great characters at this day the word LIBERTAS: yet no man can thence inferre, that a particular man has more Libertie, or Immunitie from the service of the Commonwealth there, than in Constantinople, Whother a Common-wealth be Monarchicall, or Popular, the Freedome is still the same.

But it is an casy thing, for men to be deceived, by the specious name of Labertie; and for want of Judgement to distinguish, mistake that for their Private Inheritanco, and Birth right, which is the right of the Publique only. And when the same errour is confirmed by the authority of men in reputation for their writings in this subject, it is no wonder if it produce sedition, and change of Government. In these westerne parts of the world, we are made to receive our opinions concerning the Institution, and Rights of Common-wealths, from Aristotle, Occero, and other men, Greeks and Romanes, that living under Popular States, derived those Rights, not from the Principles of Nature, but transcribed them into their books, out of the Practise of their own Common-wealths, which were Popular; as the Grammarians describe the Rules of Language. out of the Practise of the time, or the Rules of Poetry, out of the Poems of Homer and Virgil. And bocause the Athenians were taught. (to keep them from desire of changing their Government,) that they were Freemen, and all that lived under Monstchy were slaves; therefore Aristotle puts it down in his Politiques, (15, 6, cap. 2.) In democracy, Liberty is to be supposed for 'tis commonly held, that no man is Bree in any other Government, And as Aristotle, so Cicero, and other Writers have grounded their Civill doctrine, on the opinions of the Romans, who were taught to hate Monarchy, at first, by them that having deposed their Soveraign, shared amongst them the Soveraignty of Rome; and afterwards by their Successors. And by reading of these Greek, and Latine Authors, mon from their childhood have gotten a habit (under a false shew of Liberty.) of favouring tumults, and of licentious controlling the actions of their Soversigns; and again of controlling those controllers, with

the effusion of so much blood; as I think I may truly say, there was never any thing so deerly bought, as these Western parts have bought the learning of the Greek and Latine longues.

To come now to the narticulars of the true Laborty of a Subjectthat is to say, what are the things, which though commanded by the Soveraign, he may neverthclesse, without Injustice, refuse to do: we are to consider, what Rights we passe away, when we make a Common wealth: or (which is all one,) what Liberty we deny our selves, by owning all the Actions (without exception) of the Man, or Assembly we make our Soveraign. For in the act of our Submission. consisteth both our Obligation, and our Liberty; which must therefore be inferred by arguments taken from thence: there being no Obligation on any man, which ariseth not from some Act of his own; for all men equally, are by Nature Free. And because such arguments, must either be drawn from the expresse words. I Authorize all his Actions, or from the Intention of him that submitteth himselfe to his Power, (which Intention is to be understood by the End for which he so submittethal The Obligation and Liberty of the Subject, is to be derived, either from those Words. (or others equivalent;) or else from the End of the Institution of Soveraignty: namely, the Peace of the Subjects within themselves. and their Defence against a common Enemy.

First therefore, seeing Soveralgarty by Institution, is by Covenant of every one to every one, and Soveralguity by Acquisition, by Covenants of the Vanquished to the Vister, or Child to the Parenty, is manifest, that every Bubbyer has Laberty in all those things, the base of the second second second second second second second wan hold, are voy. Therefore, (..., and the second second second wanhold, are voy. Therefore, (..., and the second second

If the Soversign command a man (though justly condenred.) to kill, wound, or mayme himselfe; or not to resist those that assault him; or to abstain from the use of food, ayre, medicine, or any other thing, without which he cannot live; yot hath that man the Liberty to disologr.

If a man be interrogated by the Soveraign, or his Authority, concorning a crime done by himselfe, he is not bound (without assurance of Pardon) to confesse at; because no man (as I have shewn in the same Chapter) can be obliged by Covenant to accuse himselfe.

Again, the Consent of a Subject to Sveraign Power, is contained in these works, I subscripts, or take upon me, all his actions; in which there is no restriction at all, of his own former neutral Liberty. For by allowing hists to Kill me, i can not hound to kill my selfs follow, if you pleases another thing to may, I will kill my selfs, or my follow. If showsh therefore, that

No man is bound by the words themselves, either to kill himselfe, or any other man; And consequently, that the Obligation a man may sometimes have, upon the Command of the Soveragen to arcoute any dangerous, or diskonourable Office, dapendeth not on the Words of our Submission; but on the Intention; which is to be understood by the End thereof. Whon therefore our refusall to obey, funstrates the End for which the Soveraignty was ordained; then there is no Laborty to refuse. otherwise there is.

Upon this ground, a man that is commanded as a Souldier to fight against the enemy, though his Sovorason have Right enough to punish his refusall with death, may neverthelesse in many cases refuse, without Injustice, as when he substituteth a sufficient Souldier in his place: for in this case he desertein not the service of the Common-wealth. And there is allowance to be made for naturall tungrousnesse, not onsly to women, (of whom no such dangerous duty is expected.) but also to men of feminine courage. When Armus fight, there is on one side, or both, a running away. yet when they do it not out of trechery, but fcar, they are not estremed to do it unitiatly, but dishonourably. For the same reason, to avove battell, is not Injustice, but Cowardise. But he that invowleth humselfe a Souidier, or taketh imprest mony, taketh away the excuse of a timorous pature: and is obliged, not onely to go to the battell, but also not to run from it, without his Captaines knyp. And when the Defence of the Common-wealth. requireth at once the help of all that are able to bear Arms, every one is obliged: because otherwise the Institution of the Commonwealth, which they have not the purpose, or courage to preserve. was in vain

To resist the Sword of the Common-wealth, in defence of another man, guilty, or unocent, no man hath Liberty, because such Liberty, takes away from the Soveraign, the means of Protecting us; and is therefore desiructive of the very essence of Government But in case a great many men together, have already resisted the Soveraign Power unjustly, or committed some Capitall crime, for which every one of them expectath death, whether have they not the Laberty then to joyn together, and assist, and defend one another ? Certainly they have: For they but defend their lives. which the Guilty man may as well do, as the Junccent. There was indeed injustice in the first breach of their duty, Their bearing of Arms subsequent to it, though it be to maintain what they have done, is no new unjust act. And if it he onely to defend their persons, it is not unjust at all But the offer of pardon taketh from them, to whom it is offered, the plca of self-defence, and maketh their perseverance in assisting, or defending the rest. unlawfull.

 $\frac{1}{MA}$  for other Jybuius, they dopend on the Silence of the Law, In cases where the Systemuch has presented an orule, here the Subject bath the Laberty to do, or (orbears, neceding to his own discretion. And diverders such Liberty is in some places more, and in norme lesse; and in some times more, in other times lesse, according at they that have the Systemyzity shall think most convenient. As for Example, there was a time, when in *Brajand* a nam nught enter in to his own Land, (and discossense goals as yrongrhup) possessed it,) by force. But in after times, that Liberty of Foroible Entry, was taken away by a Statute made (by the King) in Parilament. And in some places of the world, men have the Liberty of many wives: in other places, such Liberty is not allowed.

., It a Subject have a controversie with his Soverangen, of dehy, or of right optosemsion of lands or goods, or concerning any service required at his hands, or econorming any penalty, composil, or penuminy, gounded on a pencedent Law, his hash the same Laberry to san for his right, as if it were against a Subject. For assing the Soveraign demandels hy force of a format Law, and no bry vertue of his Power; he dealtest thiereby, that he requiresh as source of the Soveraign demandels hy force of a format Law, and no more, than shall appear to be due by that Law. The suit thesefere is not contrary to the will of the Soveraign; and consequently he Subject that the Liberry to demand the basing of has Cause, and sentence, according to that Law, tydint if he demand, or take any thing by presence of the Fourt Views Upth, in that case, is done by the action against the Soveraign trang it against himselfs"

If a Mönnreh, or Söversagn Assembly, grant's Löberty to all, or any of his Subjecks which Grant standing, he is übeled to provide for hair safey, the Grant is voyd; unless he drecely reacomo, or transfere the Soverskeyt to another. For in that the might openpl, (if it had been his will), and in plain terms, have remounded, or immaternet it, and did not, if it is to be understood it was not his will, but that the Grant proceeded from ignorance of the representive the standard of the straining theorem, and theofore which are measured that the organizing theorem, such the Porce of Ware, and Pence, of Judicatine, of appointing Offsers, and Connellours, of levying Mony, and the rest named in the IStù Chapter.

The Obligation of Subjects to the Sovernign, is understood to last as long, and no longer, that the power lasteth, by which has is kible to protect them. Nor the right zero have by Nature to protect themastrew, shim more also easy protect them, and by no Orwanni which core departed from the Body, this membra doe no more versive their motion from it. The end of Obelience as Protection; which wheresover a man seeb it, either in his own, or in anothers word. Nature gipylets his obelience to the add his endeavour to maintain it. Maid though Sovernighty, in the intertion of them subject to right death. Thering mark also the output the importance, and passions of man, it hash in it, from the very minitation, many seeds of a staral minitative N Intesten Discord's

If a Subject be taken prisoner in war; or his person, or his means of life be within the Guards of the enemy, and hath his life and corporal Libertia given him, on comition to be Subject to the Victor, in such Libertie to accept the comitance, and inverg accepted it, is the subject of him, that took him, because he had no other way to preserve himself. The case is the same, if he be dotained on the same termes, m a foreign country. But is man be had in pricen, or bonds, or is not trusted with the hereit of his bodne, he cannot be enderstood to be bound by Covenant to subjectan; and thereore may, it he can, make his secare by any meases whateover.

If a Monarch shall relacquish the Soveraignty, both for kinesit, and his heires, His Subjects returne to the subolate Libertia of Nature; besase, though Nature may declate who are his Sons, and who are this mearst of his Kin; yee's dependent on his own will, tas hat been said in the preoclamt chapter, and the his Heyr. I therefore how ill have no Hoyre, there as no Soverangury, nor and without declaration of his Heyre. For then these can no Heire be known, and consegnative par Subjection he dan.

If the Soveraign Banab his Subject, during the Backhanns, he is not Subject. But he that is ment on a message, or taki leave to travell, is still Subject; but is n, by Contract between Soveraages, not by workt and the overand of Subjection. The missioner calcula he have a privalege by the amity of the Soveraigne, or by special lecence.

If a Monards subclued by war, reader kinasif Subject to the Votor; his Subjects are districted from incir farmer obligation, and become obliged to the Visior. But if he be held prisoner, or have not the likery of his own Body, ho sn not understood be have given away the Right of Soversigntis; and therefore has Subjects are obliged to yold obediance to the Magatrates formerly placed, governing not in their own name, but in its. Hor, has Right remain. the Magitrates and Officers; which he have not inscars to have, he is supposed to approve these, which he himself had furmerly appointed.

# CHAP. XXII

# Of Systemus Subject, Politicall, and Private

HAVEN spoken of the Generation, Forms, and Power of a Common veshill, I am in order to reach zers of the opart theread, And first of Systemes, which resemble the similar parts, or Manshes of a Body naturall. By Stramsky: I indertand any rounds as men pyroad in one Interest, or one Business. Of which, some are Regular, and some Irrayiar. Regular are those, which one Man, or Assembly of men, is constituted Representative of the whole number. All other as *Progular*. Of Rogular, some are Absolute, and Independent, subject to mone but their own Representative: such are only Common-wealths; Of which I have spoken already in the 5. last precodent chapters Others are Dependent; that is to say, Subordinate to some Soveraign Power, to which every one, as also thair Representative: s Subject

Of Systemes subcilinates, some are Politizal, and some Frinze. Political (otherwise Calical Bodies Polityne, and Persone is Law), are thene, which are made by authority from the Sovreading Power of the Common wealth. Pruve, are those, which are constituted of the Common wealth. Pruve, are those, which are constituted of another, is rebulique there, but Private

And of Prirate Systems, some are Lacylul; some Unlawful: Lacylul, are theore which are a clowed by the Common-wealthall olter are Unlawful. I propulse Systems, are those which having no Representative, consist only in concourse of Popely, which if and forbidden by the Common-wealth, nor made on evil designs, (such as are occuritor of Poople to markets, or ethews, or any other harmlesse end.) are Lawful. But when the Intention is evill, or if the number be considerable) unknown, they are Unlawful.

In Bodies Politique, the poiver of the Regissentative is alwaves Limited: And this when presentative is alwaves Limited: And this when presentative is a booleta Soveraign. For Power Unlimited, is abolate Soveraignt, And the Sovereign, more yet Commonweakle, as the abolate Regresentative of all the subjects; and therefore no other, can be Regresentative of any part of them, but on far forth, as he shall give lawer. And to give leave to a Body Polityue of Subjects, to have an absolute Regresentative of all mixets and purposes, were to abandon the government of som and of the Commonweakle, and to Sovenign example be understood to day, by any ("Carnt, that does not planly, and directly dissharge them of their subjection. For consequences are signes of the contrary; but rather signes of across, and mareedonning; to which all mankind is to prome.

The bounds of that Power, which is given to the Representative of a Bodie Politique, are to be taken notice of, from two things. One is their Writt, or Letters from the Soversign: the other is the Law of the Common-wealth.

For though in the Institution or Acquisition of a Comman-wailia, which is undependent, there needs on Writing, because the Power of the Representative has there no other bounds, but such as are set on it by the unwritten Law of Matter; yet in subtorfunde holding. Here are such diversities of Limitation necessary, concerning their Latters, nor them onlys of, unlasses such Latters, but have they may be read to them, and wichail saded, or testified, with the Reals, or other permanent angrees of the Authority Soversign.

And because such Limitation is not alwaies easie, or perhaps

possible to be described in writing; the ordinary Lawes, common to all Subjects, must determine, what the Representative may jawfully do, in all Cases, where the Letters themselves are silent. And therefore

In a Body Politique, if the Representative be one man, wintancever is does in the Presson of the Body, which is now warranded in his Lattern, nor by the Lawes, is his own est, and not the set of the Body, nor of any other Mamber theread bolies this model. Because further than his Letters, or the Lawes limit, he represented in on mana person, but is own. But which he does according to itsue, is the set of every one Far of the Act of the Neural Market is the set of every one Far of the Act of the Neural Market of hum data receives not from the Letters of the Screening, is the act of the Storening, and therefore overy member of the Body is Author of rt.

But if the Representative be an Assembly; whatsoever that Assembly shall Decree, not warranted by their Letters, or the Lawes, is the act of the Assembly, or Body Politique, and the act of every one by whose Vote the Decree was made, but not the act of any man that being present Voted to the contrary; nor of any man absent, unlesse he Voted it by procuration. It is the act of the Assembly, because Voted by the major part; and if it be a crime, the Assembly may be punished, as farre-forth as it is capable, as by dissolution, or forfeiture of their Letters, (which is to such artificiall, and fictitious Bodies, canitall.) or (if the Assembly have a Common stock, wherain none of the Innocent Members have prontiety.) by necuniary Mulot. For from corporall penalties Nature hath exempted all Bodies Politique But they that gave not their Vote, are therefore Innocent, because the Assembly cannot Represent any man in things unwarranted by their Letters. and consequently are not involved in their Votes.

If the person of the Body Politique being in one man, borrow mony of a stranger, that is, of one that is not of the same Body, (for no Letters need limit berrowing, seeing it is left to mens own inclinations to limit lending) the debt is the Representatives. For if he should have Authority from his Letters, to make the members pay what he borroweth, he should have by consequence the Soversignty of them; and therefore the grant were either word. as proceeding from Errour, commonly incident to humane Nature. and an unsufficient signe of the will of the Granter; or if it be avowed by him, then is the Representer Soveraign, and falleth not under the present question, which is onely of Bodies subordinate No member therefore is obliged to pay the debt so borrowed, but the Representative himselfe; because he that lendeth it, being a stranger to the Letters, and to the qualification of the Body, understandeth those onely for his debtors, that are engaged; and sooing the Representer can ingage himselfe, and none else, has him onely for Debtor; who must therefore pay him, out of the common stock (if there be any), or (if there be none) out of his own estate,

If he come into debt by Contract, or Mulot, the case is the same.

But when the Representative is an Assembly, and the debt to a stranger; all they, and only they are responsible for the dolt, that give their votes to the borrowing of it, or to the Contract that made i like, or to the fact for which the Multi was imposed; because every one of them in voting did sugges includes for the payment. For other which edut, though which we have no like the second of the which edut, though whom word be are one, he be definitated

But if the dails is to one of the Assembly, the Assembly only us obliged to the payments, out of their common stock (if they have any:) For having liberty of Vote, if he Vote the Mony, shall be borrowed, he Vote is shall be used in the state of the shall not borrowing is contradicted his former Vote, and is obliged by the lates, and becomes both borrower and lender, and consequently common Teasures both borrow and payment, and consequently ananot demnad payment from any particular man, but from the common Teasure only which having he has has no remethy, nor Assembly, and to their measure to pay, and but height both the Assembly, and to their measure to pay, and but height and down.

It is manifest by this, that in Bodes Folizage subordinates and mineta to a Soversiag hower, it is somethere and oracle lowidal, but expedient, for a parioulter must be make open protestation spannet the dorense of the Representation example, and cause their dimensirent and the state of the state of the state of the state may be obliged to pay debia contrasted, and he responsible for crimes committee by older most built is divergingly a debia better Soverslapping; and also because whatsowers in commanded by their Soverslapping; and also because whatsowers in commanded by their Soverslapping; and also because whatsowers is owned only and the state of God) justified by the Comment; for of each command the state of God) justified by the Comment; for of each commander sovery Subject in the Author.

The variety of Bodies Politique, is almost infinite: for they are not onely distinguished by the severall affaires, for which they are constituted, wherein there is an unspeakable diversitie, but also by the times, places, and numbers, subject to many limitations And as to their affaires, some are ordained for Government; As first, the Government of a Province may be committed to an Assembly of men, wherein all resolutions shall depend on the Votes of the major part; and then this Assembly is a Body Politique, and their power lumited by Commission. This word Province signifies a charge, or care of businesse, which he whose businesse it is, committeth to another man, to be administred for, and under him: and therefore when in one Common-wealth there be divers Countries, that have their Lawes distinct one from another, or are farre distant in place, the Administration of the Government being committed to divers persons, those Countries, where the Soveraign is not resident, but governs by Commission, are called Provinces. But of the government of a Province, by an Assembly

residing in the Province st selfe, there be few examples. The Romans who had the Soveraignty of many Provinces: yet governed them alwaies by Presidents, and Prietors; and not by Assemblies, as they governed the City of Rome, and Territories adjacent In like manner, when there were Colonies sent from England, to Plant Virginia, and Sommer Ilands: though the government of them here, were committed to Assemblies in London yet did those Assemblies never commit the Government under them to any Assembly there; but did to each Plantation send one Governour; For though every man, where he can be present by Nature, desires to participate of government: yet where they cannot be present, they are by Nature also enclosed to commit the Government of their common Interest rather to a Monarchicall, then a Popular form of Government: which is also evident in those men that have great private estates; who when they are unwilling to take the paines of administring the Imsinesse that belongs to them, choose rather to trust one Servant, then an Assembly either of their friends or servants. But howsocver it he in fact, yet we may suppose the Government of a Province, or Colony committed to an Assembly: and when it is, that which in this place I have to say, is this: that whatsoever debt is by that Assembly contracted; or whatsoever unlawfull Act is decreed, is the Act onely of those that assented, and not of any that dissented, or were absent, for the reasons before alledged. Also that an Assembly residing out of the hounds of that Colony whereof they have the government, cannot execute any power over the persons, or goods of any of the Colonie, to seize on them for debt, or other duty, in any place without the Colony it selfs, as having no Jurisdiction, nor Authoritie elsewhere, but are left to the remedie, which the Law of the place alloweth them And though the Assembly have right, to impose a Mulct upon any of their members, that shall break the Lawes they make; yet out of the Colonie it selfe, they have no right to execute the same. And that which is said here, of the Rights of an Assembly, for the government of a Province, or a Colony, is appliable also to an Assembly for the Government of a Town, an University, or a College, or a Church, or for any other Government over the persons of men.

And generally, in all Bodies Politique, it ary particular number construct humself Injuried by the Alory is a soft, the Cogmanne of this caute belongsth to the Noversign, and those the Soversign bath ordined for Julges in asche causes, or shall ordinio for that particular cause; and not to the Body is saft. For the whole Body is in this see his follow subject, which in a Soversign Assembly, is otherwise. for these, if the Soversign to not Julge, though in his own cause, there can be no Judge at all.

In a Bodie Politique, for the well ordering of forraigne Traffique, the most commodious Representative is an Assembly of all the numbers; that is to say, such a cose, as every one that adventurels his mony, may be present at all the Delherinthous, and Resolutions of the Budy, if they will themselves. For proof whereof, we are to consider the out, for which meen that are Merchanat, and may buy

p 691

and sell, export, and mmort their Morchandise according to their own discretions, doe neverthelesse bind themselves up in one Corporation. It is true, there he few Merchants, that with the Merchanduse they buy at home, can fraight a Ship, to export it: or with that they buy abroad, to bring it home, and have therefore need to joyn together in one Society; where every man may either participate of the gaine, according to the proportion of his adventure: or take his own, and sell what he transports, or imports, at such prices as he thinks fit. But this is no Body Politique, there being no Common Representative to oblige them to any other Law, than that which is common to all other subjects. The End of their Incorporating, is to make their gaine the greater: which is done two waves; by sole buying, and sole selling, both at home, and abroad. So that to grant to a Company of Merchants to be a Corporation, or Body Politique, is to graat them a double Monopoly, whereof one is to be sole buyers: another to be sole sellors. For when there is a Company incorporate for any particular formion Country, they only export the Commodities vendible in that Country: which is sole buying at home, and sole selling abroad. For at home there is but one buyer, and abroad but one that selleth: both which is gainfull to the Merchant, because thereby they buy at home at lower, and sell abroad at higher rates: And abroad there is but one buyer of forraign Merchandise, and but one that sels them at home: both which agains are samfull to the adventurers.

Of this double Monöpcly one just is disadvantageous to the people at home, the other to forrargater. For at home by this sole appriations they need what price they please on the brakandry, and handyplease on all forring commodities the people have need of home, which are ill for the people. On the contrary, by the sole selling of the rative commodities at how, and and be price of those, and have on the price of the people. On the contrary, by the sole selling of the rative commodities at how, and when but the rest sells, the Merchandle at the description of those, and have but new selled, the Merchandle at the description of the output one buyeth the desaper: Such Corporations therefore are no other than Monopoles, though they would be very profibile for a Common wealth, if hemp bound up lato one body is forragen at what mick be could.

The ead then of bices Bodies of Merchants, being not a Common binnells to the whole Body, which have to this case so common stock but what a deducted out of the particular adventures, for building, buying, vitualing and manung of Shipa), but the particular pairs of every adventures, it is reason that every one be acquainted with the engloyment of his overy, that is, that every one be of acquainted with their accounts. And therefore the Representative of such a Body must be an Assembly, where every member of the Body muy be greats at the consultations, if he will.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

If a Body Politope of Merchants, contract a debt to a stranger by the act of them Regresentative Assembly, every Member is lyable by humself for the whole. For a stranger can take no noice of their protect Laws, but condition the mass so many particular men, obligad every one to the whole payment, till payment made you or dechargenities it debter in the whole to humself, and exampt therefore demand his debt, but only from the common stock, if there he any.

If the Common-wealth impose a Tax upon the Body, it is understood to be layd upon every Member proportionally to his particular adventure in the Company. For there is in this case no other common stock, but what is made of their particular adventures.

If a Mulat be layd upon the Body for some unlawfull act, they only are lyable by whose votes the act was decreed, or by whose essistance it was executed; for an none of the rest is there any other orime but heng of the Body; which if a crime, (because the Body was ordevened by the authority of the Common-weakle), is not has

If one of the Members is indebted to the Body, he may be such by the Body, but has good ennote be taken, nor this person amprianced by the anthority of the Body; but only by Anthority of the Common-weakls: for it they can does the their own Authority, they can by their own Authority give judgement that the debt is does which is as much as to be Judge in their own Cause

These Bodies made for the government of Men, or of Traffique, be either perpetuall, or for a time prescribed by writing But there be Bodies also whose times are limited, and that only by the nature of their businesse. For example, if a Soveraign Monarch, or a Soveraign Assembly, shall think fit to give command to the towns. and other severall parts of their territory, to send to him their Deputies, to enforme him of the condition, and necessities of the Subjects, or to advise with him for the making of good Lawes, or for any other cause, as with one Person representing the whole Country, such Deputies having a place and time of meeting assigned them, are there, and at that time, a Body Politique, representing every Subject of that Dominion; but it is onely for such matters as shall be propounded unto them by that Man, or Assembly, that by the Soveraign Authority sent for them; and when it shall be declared that nothing more shall be propounded, nor debated by them, the Body is dissolved. For if they were the absolute Representative of the people, then were it the Soveraion Assembly: and so there would be two Soveraign Assemblies, or two Soveraigns. over the same people; which cannot consist with their Peace. And therefore where there is once a Soveraignty, there can be no absolute Representation of the people, but by it. And for the limits of how farre such a Body shall represent the whole People, they are set forth in the Writing by which they were sent for. For the People cannot choose their Deputies to other intent, than is in the Writing directed to them from their Soversign expressed.

Private Eodies Regular, and Lawfull, are those that are concituted without Lettera, or other writes Authority, asying the Lawse common to all other Subjects. And because they be united in one Person Representative, they are held for Regular; and a see all Pamilles, in which the Father, or Misster ordereth the whole Family. For housing this Gilderon, and Servanits, as fare as the Law permitted, though not further, because none of tham are found to obelique his Gilderon, and Servanits, and are as the domestique government, they are indice to their Fathers, and domestique government, they are indice to their Fathers, and domestique government, they are indice to their Fathers, and and Marier, being before the Institution of Common-wealth, abalute Soverniges in their own Fanhles, they lose afterward no more of their Authority, than the Law of the Common-wealth tackel from them.

Privato Bodies Require, but Dulaviti, are those that unte themelves into one person. Representative, without any publiquo Authority at all; such as are the Corporations of Begging, and stealing; and the botter to order thoir trade of begging, and stealing; and the Corporations of man, that by Authority from any formign person, units themselves in anothers Domuion, for the easier propagation of Dootness, and for making a party, against the Power of the Common weakth.

Irregula: Systemes, un their nature, but Leagues, or smortimes mere concourse of people, without union to any particular designs, not by obligation of one to another, but proceeding onely from a similation of our site and inclinations, become Leavilla, or Universitä, mana designe therein: And his designe is to be understood by the occasion.

The Leagues of Subjects, (because Leagues are commonly made for mutuall defence,) are in a Common-wealth (which is no more than a League of all the Subjects together) for the most part unnecessary, and sayour of unlawfull designe; and are for that cause Unlawfull, and go commonly by the name of Factions, or Conspiracies. For a League being a connexion of men by Covenants, if there be no power given to any one Man, or Assembly (as in the condition of meer Nature) to compell them to performance, is so long onely valid, as there ariseth no just cause of distrust; and therefore Leagues between Common-wealths, over whom there is no humane Power established, to keep them all in awe, are not onely lawfull, but also profitable for the time they last. But Leagues of the Subjects of one and the same Common-wealth, where every one may obtain his right by means of the Soveraign Power, are unnecessary to the maintaining of Peace and Justice, and (in case the designe of them be svill, or Unknown to the Common-wealth) unlawfull. For all uniting of strength by private men, 18, if for eval intent, unjust: if for intent unknown, dangerous to the Publique, and unjustly concealed,

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

If the Soversign Power he is a great Assembly, and a number of men, part of the Assembly without anthonizy, consult a part, to contrive the guidance of the rest; This is a Taction, or Congleacy unawhile, as being a fravillone schward of the Assembly for their pacterials. In a straight the Assembly make as many firmed as the straight of the Assembly make as many firmed as the case, in him it is to Injustee. Decause in this case he is no part of the Assembly. And though he hirs such friends with mony, (unlease there be an expresse Law against Li, yet is in not liquide. For constinues, as many manner at 0, Justee cannot be list 'writeen and liquide.

<sup>1</sup> In all Common-wealths, if a private man antertain more servants, than the government of his stark, and is write morphyment ho has for them, reguires, it is Foction, and unlawfull. For having the private force. And whereas in Nations not theremelty evidence surreal numerous Families have iticed in continual hostility, and that they have done unjustly; or else that they had no Commonwealth.

And as Factoms for Kindred, so also Factions for Government of Religion, as of Pspists, Protostants, de. or of State, as Patrioians, and Plebeians of old timo in *Rome*, and of Aristocratacalls and Domonstrikulls of old timo in *Grees*, are unjust, as being contrary to the pasce and safety of the people, and a taking of the Sword out of the Shord of the Soveraica

Concourse of poopla, is an irregular Systema, the lawfulnesse, or unlawfulnesse, where of depondent on the constain, and on the number of them that are assembled. If the oceanon be lawfull, and randiest, the Concourse is lawfull; as the usuall meeting of men as Church, or at a publique Show, in usuall numbers for if the numbers be extraordizarily great, the oceasion is not evident; and consequently he that cannot render a particular and good account of his lowng emonget them, is to be judged connectous of an unlawfull, and tumulatous dosigns. It may be lawfull for a thousand mee, to joyn in a Potton to be defured to a Judge, or tumur Assembly, because there not not be that purpose. But in such cases as these, is not a set number that makes the Assembly Unlawfull, but such a number, as the present Officers are not able to supresse, and being to Judge.

for teaching the neople such doctrine, as was against their Religion. and Trade. The occasion here, considering the Lawes of that People, was ust: yet was their Assembly Judged Unlawfull, and the Magistrate reprehended them for it, in these words, 'If Demelvius and the other work-men can accuse any man, of any thing, there be Pleas, and Deputies, let them accuse one another. And if you have any other thing to demand, your case may be yudged in an Assembly Lawfully called. For we are in dancer to be accused for this dayes sedition. because, there is no cause by which any man can render any reason of this Concourse of People. Where he calleth an Assombly, whereof men can give no just account, a Sedition, and such as they could not answer for. And this is all I shall say concerning Systemes, and Assemblyes of People, which may be compared (as I said.) to the Similar parts of mans Body; such as be Lawfull, to the Muscles; such as are Unlawfull, to Wens, Biles, and Apostemos, engendred by the unnatural conflux of evill humours.

#### CHAP. XXIII

# Of the PUBLIQUE MINISTRES of Soveraign Power

In the last Chapter I have spoken of the Similar parts of a Common-wealth: In this I shall speak of the parts Organicall, which are Publique Ministers.

A PUBLIQUE MINISTER, is he, that by the Soversign, (whether a Monarch, or an Assembly.) is amployed in any affaires, with Authority to represent m that employment, the Person of the Common-wealth. And whereas every man, or assembly that hath Soversignty, representeth two Persons, or (as the more common phrase is) has two Capacities, one Naturall, and another Politique. (as a Monarch, hath the person not onely of the Common-wealth but also of a man; and a Soveraign Assembly hath the Person not onely of the Common-wealth, but also of the Assembly); they that be servants to them in their naturall Capacity, are not Publiquo Ministers: but those onely that serve them in the Administration of the Publique businesse. And therefore neither Ushers, nor Sergeants, nor other Officers that waite on the Assembly, for no other purpose, but for the commodity of the men assembled, in an Aristocracy, or Democracy; nor Stewards, Chamberlains, Cofferers, or any other Officers of the houshold of a Monarch, are Publique Ministers in a Monarchy.

Of Publique Ministers, some have charge committed to them of a general Administration, either of the whole Dominica, or of a part thereof. Of the whole, as to a Protector, or Regent, may bee committed by the Predecessor of an Intark tung, during his minority, the whole Administration of his Kingdome In which case, every 'A kots 19. 40.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

Subject is no fac obliged to obscilence, as the Ordinance he shall unke, and the commands he shall give be in the Kings name, and not inconsistent with his Soveraign Aesembly, shall give the ground incarge thereof to a Governori, Lieutenian, Leutenian endines in the stall don an the soveraign Aesembly, shall give the obliged to all he shall don an the norm of the Soveraign, and that not necompatible, with the Soveraigns Right. For such Proteoms, Nos-Roys, and Governori, Just en o older right, but what depends on the Soveraigns Will; and no Commission inits can be given theory and he integrated for a bolantion of the will to transform the purpose. And this knod of Publique Ministers resenvibils the Averag, and Pontana that moves the reserval limits of a holy natural.

Obsers have special Administration; that is to any, charge of some special businesse, either at homa, or abroad: As at longer. First, for the Gecomony of a Common-weakh, They that have Authority concerning the Treasers, as Tributes, Impositions, Rents, Theo, or wintracever publique treasers, and the treasers and the state of the Account Interest, are Publique Ministers Ministers, and the Account Interest, are Publique Ministers Ministers, against ha Command, nor without his Authority. Publipus, because deposerse (into in the Publica) Garactive.

Secondly, thay that have Authority concerning the Militic; to have the study of Arms, FURS, FORT; to Levy, Pay, or Conduct Soldiers, or to provide for any necessary thing for the use of war, either by Land or Sea, see publicary Ministers, Bat a Souliser without Command, though ho fight for the Common-wealth, does not therefore represent the Person of the bosuse there is more to represent it. To get overy one that bath command, represents it to time not mentioner spring the Common of the theory of the common of the commender.

They also that have sublicity to teach, or to enable others to teach the people their dayt to the Sovering Power, and instruthem in the knowledge of what is just, and unjust, thereby to render them source the second second second second second second in that they doe it not they determine a second second second and Publica, because they doe it (or should derive) by no duthcriny, but that of the Soveraign. The Monarch, or the Soveraign Assembly only hash immediate Authority from God, to teach and instructhe people; and no man but the Soveraign, restered in power they derive their second second second second second second of a disk or more the second second second second second of a disk or may be their second second second second of a disk or may be their second second second second and their Soveraign; at a Monarchy Dei grade & Reysi, or Dei provident & evelentate Reysi.

They also to whom Jurisdiction is given, are Publique Ministers. For in their Seats of Justice they represent the person of the Spreraugn; and them Sentence, is his Sentence; For (as hath been before declared) all Judicature is essentially annuxed to the Soveraignty; and therefore all other Judges are but Ministers of him, or them that have the Sovernign Fower. And as Controversies are of two sorts, namely of Fact and of Law; so are Judgements, some of Fact, some of Law; And consequently in the same controversis, there may be two Judges, one of Fact, another of Law.

And in both these controversies, there may arise a controversie between the party Judged, and the Judge; which because they be both Subjects to the Soveraign, ought in Equity to be Judged by men agreed on by consent of both; for no man can be Judge in his own cause. But the Soveraign is already agreed on for Judge by them both, and is therefore either to heare the Cause, and determine it himself, or appoint for Judge such as they shall both dree on. And this agreement is then understood to be made between them divers wayes; as first, if the Defendant be allowed to except against such of his Judges, whose interest maketh him suspect them. (for as to the Complaynant he hath already chosen his own Judge.) those which he excepteth not against, are Judges he himself agrees on. Secondly, if he appeale to any other Judge, he can appeale no further; for his appeals is his choice. Thirdly, if he appeals to the Soveraign himself, and he by himself, or by Delegates which the parties shall agree on, give Sentence; that Sentence is finall; for the Defendant is Judged by his own Judges, that is to say, by himself

These properties of just and rationall Judicature considered, I cannot forhears to observe the excellent constitution of the Courts of Justice, established both for Common, and also for Publique Pleas in England. By Common Pleas, I means those, where both the Complayment and Defendant are Subjects: and by Publique. (which are also called Pleas of the Crown) those, where the Complaynant is the Soveraign. For whereas there were two orders of men, whereof one was Lords, the other Commons; The Lords had this Priviledge, to have for Judges in all Capitali crimes, none but Lords: and of them, as many as would be present; which being ever acknowledged as a Priviledge of favour, their Judges were none but such as they had themselves desired. And in all controversies, every Subject (as also in civill controversies the Lords) had for Judges, men of the Country where the matter in controversie lay: against which he might make his exceptions, till at last twelve men without exception being agreed on, they were Judged by those twelve. So that having his own Judges, there could be nothing alledged by the party, why the sentence should not be finall. These publique persons, with Authority from the Soveraign Power, either to Instruct, or Judge the people, are such members of the Commonwealth, as may fitly be compared to the organs of Voice in a Body naturall.

Publique Ministers are also all those, that have Authority from the Soversign, to procure the Execution of Judgements given; to publish the Soversigns Commands; to suppressed Tumults; to apprehend; and imprison Malsfactors; and other acts tending

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

to the conservation of the Peace. For every act they doe by such Authority, a the act of the Common-wealth; and their service, answerable to that of the Hands. un a Bodie natural.

Publique Ministers abroad, are those that represent the Person of their own Sovaraign, to forraign States. Such are Ambassadors, Meszengers, Agents, and Heralds, sent by publique Authoritie, and on publique Businesso.

But such as are sent by Authorsize caly of some private partie of a troubled State, though they be received, are neither Publique, nor Private Ministers of the Common-wealth, because none of their actions have the Common-wealth for Anthor. Likewise, an Ambassador sent from a Prince, to congratulate, condole, or to assist, at a solemnity, though the Authority be Publique, yet because the businesse is Private, and belonging to him in his natural canacity: is a Private person. Also if a man be sent into another Country, secretly to explore their counsels, and strength, though both the Authority, and the Businesse be Publique: yet because there is none to take notice of any Person m him. but his own: hn is but a Private Minister: but yet a Minister of the Commonwealth; and may be compared to an Eve in the Body naturall. And those that are appointed to receive the Petitions or other informations of the People, and are as it were the publique Eare, are Publique Ministers, and represent their Soversign in that office.

Neihne a Conneilor (nör a Conneil of Stata, if we consider it with no Autority of Judistature or Command, how endy of giving Advance to the Suversign when it is required, we of offering if when it to the Suversign when it is required, we of offering if when the superscription of the superscription of the superscription be represented to him, by acotion. But a Body of Counsellors, are never without some other Athenticity, either of Autosature, or of immediate Administration: As in a Monarchy, they represents the new without some other Athentic, either of Autosature, or of their deliberations to the people, as a Councell; but when they appoint Judges, or have: Counses, or give Autosature has and in a matching it is in the quality of a Minuter of the People Am II in a fill and five counsel to none but themselves.

\* p 691

# CHAP. XXIV

# Of the NUTRITION, and PROCEELTION of a Common-wealth

THE NUTRITION of a Common-wealth consistent, in the Pienty, and Distributions of Materials conducing to Life: In Concetion, or Preparation; and (when concocted) in the Consequence of it, by convenient conduits, to the Publique use.

As for the Plenty of Matter, it is a thing limited by Nature, to those contmodities, which from (the two breasts of our common Mother) Land, and Ses, God usually either freely giveth, or for labour selleth to man-kind.

For the Matter of this Nutriment, consisting in Animals, Vegetals, and Minerals, God hash freely layd them before us, in or near to the face of the Earth; so as there neededh no more but the labour, and industry of recouring them. Instomuch as Plenty dependent (next to Gods favour) meety on the labour and industry of men

This Matter, commonly called Commodities, is partly Native, and partly Forraign: Native, that which is to be had within the Territory of the Common-wealth: Formion, that which is imported from without. And because there is no Territory under the Dominion of one Common-wealth, (except it be of very vast extent.) that produce th all things needfull for the maintenance. and motion of the whole Body, and few that produce not something more than necessary: the superfluous commodities to be had within. become no more superfluous, but supply these wants at home, by importation of that which may be had abroad, either by Exchange. or by just Warre, or by Labour; for a mans Labour also is a commodity exchangeable for benefit, as well as any other thing: And there have been Common-wealths that having no more Territory. than hath served them for habitation, have neverthelesse, not onely maintained, but also encreased their Power, partly by the labour of trading from one place to another, and partly by selling the Manifactures, whereof the Materials were brought in from other places

 Anothery or leave to his Guidren. And agam: Take atomy the Civill Lan, and no man knows what is the keron, and what assidher mean-Sening therefore the Introduction of *Propriety* is an effect of Common-walkin, which can do colling but by the Person time. Represents 1, it is the act onely of the Sprewarger, and contaited in the Lawer, which nones can make that have no the Sprewarger (that is to any, Dastrobion), which we call Law; and defined Jasties, by dub Waing to very map have one.

In this Distribution, the First Law, is for Division of the Land it selfe: wherein the Soveraign assigneth to every man a portion, according as he, and not according as any Subject, or any number of them, shall judge agreeable to Equity, and the Common Good. The Children of Israel, were a Common-wealth in the Wildernesse: but wanted the commodities of the Earth, till they were masters of the Land of Promise; which afterward was divided amongst them, not by their own discretion, but by the discretion of Eleazar the Priest, and Joshua their Generall: who when there were twelve Tribes, making them thirteen by subdivision of the Tribe of Joseph: made neverthèlesse but tweive portions of the Land; and ordained for the Tribe of Levi no land; but assigned them the tenth part of the whole fruits; which division was therefore Arbitrary. And though a People comming into possession of a Land by warre, do not alwaies exterminate the antient Inhabitants, (as did the Jewes,) but leave to many, or most, or all of them their estates: yet it is manifest they hold them afterwards, as of the Victors distribution; as the people of England held all theirs of William the Conguerour.

From whence we may collect, that the propriety which a subject hath in his lands, consistenth in a right to exclude all other subjects from the use of them; and not to exclude their Soversign, he it an Assembly, or a Monarch. For seeing the Soveraign, that is to say, the Common-wealth (whose Person he representeth,) is understood to do nothing but in order to the common Peace and Scourity, this Distribution of lands, is to be understod as done in order to the same: And consequently, whatsoever Distribution he shall make in projudice thereof, is contrary to the will of every subject, that committed his Peace, and safety to his discretion, and conscience; and therefore by the will of every one of them, is to be reputed voyd. It is true, that a Soversign Monarch, or the greater part of a Soversign Assembly, may ordain the doing of many things in pursuit of their Passions, contrary to their own consciences, which is a breach of trust, and of the Law of Nature: but this is not enough to authorise any subject, either to make warre upon, or so much as to accuse of Injustice, or any way to speak evill of their Soveraign; because they have authorised all his actions, and in bestowing the Soveraign Power, made them their own. But in what cases the Commands of Soversigns are contrary to Equity, and the Law of Nature, is to be considered hereafter in another place.

In the Distribution of land, the Common-wealth it selfe, may be

conceived to have a portion, and possesse, and improve the same by their Representative: and that such portion may be made sufficient. to mateine the whole expense to the common Peace, and defence necessarily required: Which were very true if there could be any Representative conceived free from humane passions, and infirmities. But the nature of mon being as it is the setting forth of Publique Land, or of any certaine Revenue for the Common-wealth, is in vaine; and tendeth to the dissolution of Government, and to the condition of meers Nature, and War, as soon as ever the Soversion Power falleth into the hands of a Monarch, or of an Assembly, that are either too negligent of mony, or too hazardous in ongaging the publique stock, into a long, or costly war. Common-wealths can endure no Diet: For seeing their expense is not limited by their own appetite, but by external Accidents, and the appetites of their neighbours, the Publique Riches cannot be limited by other limits. than those which the emergent occasions shall require. And whereas in England, there were by the Conquerour, divers Lands reserved to his own use, (besides Forrests, and Chases, either for his recreation, or for preservation of Woods,) and divers services reserved on the Land he gave his Subjects; yet it scents they were not reserved for his Maintenance in his Publique, but in his Naturali capacity: For he, and his Successors did for all that, lay Arhitrary Taxes on all Subjects Land, when they judged it necessary. Or if those publique Lands, and Services, were ordained as a sufficient maintenance of the Common-wealth, it was contrary to the score of the Institution; being (as it appeared by those ensuing Taxes) insufficient, and (as it annearcs by the late small Revenue of the Crown) Subject to Alienation, and Diminution. It is therefore in vaine, to assign a portion to the Common-wealth; which may sell, or give it away; and does sell, and give it away when tis done by their Representative.

As the Distribution of Lands at homes; to also to assigne in what phases, and for what commonlise, the Stytest shall traffique abroad, holorgeth to the Soverangen. For if it did belong to private percent to use that's own didention therein, some of them would be alraws for game, both to furnish the meany with meases to hurt the Commonwealth, and hort it themselves, by importing much higgs, as pleasing mean appeties, be nevertheless noxious, or at least unprofitable to them. And therefore it behougeth to the Common-wealth, (that is, to the Soveraign only), to approve, or disapprove both of the places, and matter of forring Taffique.

Further, seeing it is not enough to the Sustaintation of a Commonweakh, that every man have a propriety in a portion of Land, or in some few commodities, or a naturall property in some useful art, and there is no art in the work), but is necessary either for the being, or well being almost of every particular man; it is necessary, that new distributies that which they can spars, and transferre them propriety therein, mutually one to another, by schalage, and mutuall contract. And therefore it belonged to the Common

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

wealth, (that is to say, to the Soversign, it o appoint in what manner, all hinds of construct between Subjects, (as hurging, selling, scrobarging, borrowng, lending, letting, and taking to hirs), are to be made; and by what words, and signes they hull be understood for ralif. And for the Matter, and Distribution of the Northinness, scherrer the nucleic of the whole are the indicated the considered of the matter is a scherrer in the schere is a scherrer.

By Concoction, I understand the reducing of all commodities, which are not presently consumed, but reserved for Nourishment in time to come, to some thing of equall value, and withall so portable, as not to hinder the motion of men from place to place; to the end a man may have in what place soever, such Nourishment as the place affordeth. And this is nothing else but Gold, and Silver, and Mony. For Gold and Silver, being (as it happens) almost in all Countries of the world highly valued, is a commodious measure of the value of all things else between Nations; and Mony (of what matter scever covned by the Soveraign of a Common-wealth.) is a sufficient measure of the value of all things else, between the Subjects of that Common-wealth. By the means of which measures, all commodities, Moveable, and Immoveable, are made to accompany a man, to all places of his resort, within and without the place of his ordinary reisdence; and the same passeth from Man to Man, within the Common-wealth; and goes round about, Nourishing (as it passeth) every part thereof: In so much as this Concoption, is as it were the Sangulfication of the Common-wealth; For naturall Bloud is in like manner made of the fruits of the Earth: and oirculating, nourisheth by the way, every Member of the Body of Man.

And because Silver and Gold, have their value from the master is andly, they have first his privileg, that the value of them cannot be altered by the power of eas, nor of a fow Common-weakling as being a common measure of the commodities of all places. But have the privilege to make Common values more, and stretch out had armse, when need a, into forming Countries; and supply, nor any grive Eulect that truty, but also when Armise with Maker, part of the Subject that truty, but also when Armise with Maker, part for the Subject that truty is an experiment Maker, part for the Subject that truth one only, when also far inform Maker, part of the subject that truth is an experimental Maker, part of the Subject that truth one only. where also his subject to the charge of arx, hand thereby to inver the value similarished, to the priprime may times of those that have it.

The Conduits, and Wayes by which is is conveyed to the Publique use, are of two sarts; One, that Conveyed it to the Publique Offers; The other, that Issaeht the same cut agains for publique paymentat. Of the first scatter, are Collators, Roceivers, and Transforms; of thesecond are the Transform agains, and the Officers sepolated to payment of several publique or priorite Ministers. And in this akes, the Artificial Man mantains his resemblance with the Natural; whose Veins requiring the Blond from theseveral Parts of the Body, carry it to the Heart; where being made Vitall, the Heart by the  $\Delta$ rtories sends it out again, to enlyeen, and enable for motion all the Members of the same.

The Proprestion, or Children of a Common-wealth, are those we call Plantations, or Colonies; which are numbers of men sent out from the Common-wealth, under a Conductor, or Governour, to inhabit a Forraign Country, either formerly voyd of Inhabitants, or made youd then, by warrs. And when a Colony is setled, they are either a Common-wealth of themselves, discharged of their subjection to their Soveraign that sent them, fas hath been done by many Common-wealths of antient time,) in which case the Common-wealth from which they went, was called their Metropolus, or Mother, and requires no more of them, then Fathers require of the Children. whom they emancipate, and make from their domestance government, which is Honour, and Friendship: or else they remain united to the Metropolis, as were the Colonies of the people of Rome: and then they are no Common wealths themselves, but Provinces and parts of the Common-wealth that sont them So that the Right of Colonies (saving Honour, and League with their Metropolis,) dependeth wholly on their Licence, or Letters, by which their Soversign authorised them to Plant.

#### CHAP, XXV

### Of COUNSELL

How fallacions it is to judge of the nature of things, by the ordinary and inconstant use of words, appeareth in nothing more, than in the confusion of Counsels, and Commands, arising from the Imperative manner of speaking in them both, and in many other occasions besides. For the words Doe this, are the words not onely of him that Commandeth; but also of him that giveth Counsell; and of him that Exhorteth: and yet there are but few, that see not. that these are very different things; or that cannot distinguish between them, when they perceive who it is that speaketh, and to whom the Speech is directed, and upon what occasion. But finding these phrases in mens writings, and being not able, or not willing to enter into a consideration of the orcumstances, they mistake somatimes the Precents of Counsellours, for the Precents of them that Command; and sometimes the contrary; according as it hest acceeth with the conclusions they would inferre, or the actions they approve. To avoyd which mistakes, and render to those termes of Commanding, Counselling, and Exhorting, their proper and distinct significations. I dofine them thus,

COMMAND is where a man saith, Doe this, or Doe not this, without expecting other reason than the Will of him that sayes it. From this it followeth manifestly, that he that Commandeth, pretendeth

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

thereby his own Banofit: For the reason of his Command is his own | Will body, and the proper object of every mans Will, is some Good to himselfe.

Courseint, is where a man saith, Doe, or Doe not this, and deduceth his reasons from the bonefit that arrively by it to him to whom he saith it. And from this it is evident, that he that given Coursell, pretendedt, onely (whatsoever he intendeth) the good of him, to whom he growth it

Therefore between Connsell and Command, one great difference is, that Command in directed to a mass own heredit; and Command to the benefit of auchter man. And from this arasch another difference, this is man may be oblighed to do with the is Commanded, as when he hath ocurvanented to obey. But he easnot be oblighed to do as he is Commanded, heredit differences between timed into the matter of a Command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a Command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a Command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a Command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a Command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a command. A third differences between Command, the senter of a command. A third differences between Command, the senter of the command between the senter by it to himmelfer, but to domain differ to Coumel another, argues as will be know the designer, or to gain some older Good to himmelfer, which (as I asid beford) as of every mans will be propore object

This also is incident to the nature of Counsell; that whatsoever it he, he that asketh it, cannot in equaty accuse, or punish it; For to sak Counsell of another, is to permit him to give such Counsell as he shall think best; And consequently, he that giveth counseli to his Soversion, (whether a Monarch, or an Assembly) when he asketh it, cannot in coulty be punished for it, whether the same be conformable to the opinion of the most, or not, so it be to the Proposition in debate. For if the sense of the Assembly can be taken notice of, before the Debate be ended, they should neither ask, nor take any further Counsell: For the Sense of the Assembly, is the Resolution of the Debate, and End of all Deliberation. And Generally he that demandeth Counsell, is Author of it: and therefore cannot punish it; and what the Soversign cannot, no man else can. But if one Subject giveth Counsell to another, to do any thing contrary to the Lawes, whether that Counsell proceed from evil intention, or from ignorance onely, it is punishable by the Commonwealth; because ignorance of the Law, is no good excuse, where every man is bound to take notice of the Lawes to which he is subject.

Exitorarritors, and Dimotorarritors, is Commell, accompanied with eigens in hus that grave hit of viscomend desires to have it. (clowed) or to any it more buckly. Conneall websending grassed. For he that Exhibited, to hit not deduce the consequences of which is deviated to be done, and by himselfs therein to the right of the consensing. A determine the thermal state of the state of the state of the determine the non-it. And therefore they have in their speaches, a regard to the common Passions, and opinons of mon, in deducing there reasons: and makes uses of Similativa, Mexapora, Francing, and other tooles of Oratory, to perswade their Hearers of the Utility, Honour, or Justice of following their advise.

Them whence may be inferred, First, that Exhautation and Debratatom, a directed to the Good of him that gives the Counsell, not of him that saketh is, which is contrary to the duty of a Counsellought but the definition of Counsell ought but to regard, not his own benefit, but his whom is advised. And that he directed his Counsell to his wown benefit, is malfaste anough, by the long and valuences arging, or by the artificial giving identify which being no environ is directed principally to how both durits on the site is directed principally to how both durits on but accidentarily to the good of him that is Counselled, or not so all.

Secondly, that the use of Exhortation and Dehortation lytch ordy, where a man is to preak to a Multiduck; because when the Speech is addressed to one, he may interrupt luna, and examine the reasons more rigorously, than can be done in a Multiduc; which are too many to enter into Dispute, and Dialogue with him that speakch indiferently to them all at once.

"Thirdly, this they that Exhot and Dehort, where they are required to give Consuell, are correctly Consultance, and a it were bribbed by that own interest. For though the Conneal they give honevers good, when that gives it, is no more agood Consulton, than he that givesh a Just Excitotes for a reward, is a Just Judge. But where a man may lawfolly Command, as a Facher in his Family, or a Lender in an Jury, his Exhortstone and Dehortstorm, see not onely lawfoll, but here necessary, and landhis: But then for Demonths of sourn black. Command, and the set for Demonths of sourn black. Command, and the set humanity regritted to be sevened in the deliver, ly emcouragement, and in those and phrase of Coursell, rather than an horther language of Command.

Examples of the difference between Command and Counsell, we may take from the formes of Speech that expresse them in Holy Scripture. Have no other Gods but me ; Make to thy selfe no graven Image : Take not Gods name in pain : Sanctifie the Sabbath : Honour thy Parents ; Kill not ; Steale not, &c. are Commands; because the reason for which we are to obey them, is drawn from the will of God our King, whom we are obliged to obey. But these words, Sell all thou hast ; give it to the poore ; and follow me, are Counsell; because the reason for which we are to do so, is drawn from our own benefit; which is this, that we shall have Treasure in Heaven These words. Go unto the Village over against you, and you shall find an Asse tued, and her Colt : loose her, and bring her to me, are a Command: for the reason of their fact is drawn from the will of their Master: but these words, Revent, and be Baptized in the Name of Jesus, are Counsell; because the reason why we should so do, tendeth not to any benefit of God Almighty, who shall still be King in what manner scever we rebell: but of our selves, who have no other means of avoyding the punishment hanging over us for our ana.

As the difference of Counsell from Command, hath been now deduced from the nature of Counsell, consisting in a deducing of the benefit, or burt that may arise to him that is to be Counselled. by the necessary or probable consequences of the action he propoundeth; so may also the differences between apt, and mept Counsellours be derived from the same. For Experience, being but Memory of the consequences of hise actions formerly observed, and Counsell but the Sneech whereby that experience is made known to another: the Vertues, and Defects of Counsell, are the same with the Vertues, and Defects Intellectuall. And to the Person of a Common-wealth. his Counsellours serve hun in the place of Memory, and Mentall Discourse. But with this resemblance of the Common-wealth, to a naturall man, there is one dissimultude joyned, of great importance: which is that a naturall man received his experience, from the naturall objects of sense, which work upon him without passion, or interest of their own; whereas they that give Counsell to the Representative person of a Common-wealth, may have, and have often their particular ends, and passions, that render their Counsells alwayes suspented, and many times unfaithfull. And therefore we may set down for the first condition of a good Counsellour. That his Ends, and Interest, be not inconsistent with the Ends and Interest of him he Counselleth.

Secondly. Because the office of a Counsellour, when an action comes into differentian, is to make manifest the consequences of h, in such manner, as he that is Counselled may be truly and evidently formed; he coupled to perposent his advise, in such forme of speech, as may make the truth and evidentian exposer. Later a to be, the second second second second second second second behavior of the second seco

Thirdly, Because the Ablify of Counselling proceedent from Hyperions, and long study, and no man is presumed to have unperisons in all those things that to the Administration of a great Common-weak has a coessary to be known, No man is greasmed to be a good Counsellour, but its such Businesse, as he had not onely been wuch were its, but hat had no much mediated on, and considered. The people the Decause is the Counsellour is the business the super the Decause is the counsel of the superscript invasion, we shall find, it requires greats knowledge of the disposition of Manakind, of the Rights of Government, and of the nature of Regury, Law, Justies, and Honour, not to be attained without study: And of the Strongth, Commodities, Places both of their own Country, and their Neighbours: as also of the inclinations and designes of all Nations that may any way annoy them. And this is not sitained to, without much experience. Of which things, not onely the whole summe, but every one of the particulars requires the age, and observation of a man in years, and of more than ordinary study. The wit required for Counsel, as I have said before (Chap, 8.) is Judgement. And the differences of men in that point come from different education, of some to one kind of study, or businesse, and of others to another When for the doing of any thing, there he Infallible rules, (as in Engines, and Edifices, the rules of Geometry.) all the experience of the world cannot erual his Counsell, that has learnt, or found out the Rulo. And when there is no such Rule, he that hath most experience in that particular kind of businesse, has therein the best Judgement, and is the best Counsellour.

Fourthly, to be able to give Coursell to a Common-weakh, in a binknesse the hist reference to another Common-weakh, or is necessary to be acquisited with the Intelligences, and Letters that come from intence, and wind all the records of Transke, and aller transactions of State between them; which none can doe, but such as the Regressentive shall think fit. By which we may see, that they who are not called to Counsell, can have no good Conneell in anot nests to obtuide.

Fifthly, Supposing the number of Counsellors equall, a man is better Counselled by hearing them apart, then in an Assembly: and that for many causes. First, in hearing them apart, you have the advice of every man; but in an Assembly many of them deliver their advise with I. or No. or with their hands, or feet, not moved by their own sense, but by the eloquence of another, or for feare of displeasing some that have spoken, or the whole Assembly, by contradiction: or for fears of appearing duller in apprehension, than those that have applauded the contrary opinion. Secondly, in an Assembly of many, there cannot choose but he some whose interests are contrary to that of the Publique: and these their Interests make passionate, and Passion eloquent, and Eloquence drawes others into the same advice. For the Passions of men. which asunder are moderate, as the heat of one brand, in Assembly are like many brands, that enflame one another, (especially when they blow one another with Orations) to the setting of the Commonwealth on fire, under pretence of Counselling it. Thirdly, in hearing every man apart, one may examine (when there is need) the truth, or probability of his reasons, and of the grounds of the advise he gives, by frequent interruptions, and objections; which cannot be done in an Assembly, where (in every difficult question) a man is rather astonied, and dazled with the variety of discourse upon it. than informed of the course he cught to take. Besides, there cannot be an Assembly of many, called together for advice, wherein there he not some, that have the ambition to be thought elequent,

and also learned in the Politiques; and give not their advices with once of the businesse programatic, but of the applians of third modify rankmas, made of the diverse colored threads, or stronds of Authory: which is an Importeneous of least, that takes away the time of serious Consultation, and in the secret way of Counselling spark: is easily avoided. Fourthy, in Deliberation that cought to be keep secret, (whereof there he many consistent in Publics Decrement) and the constraints of the secret way of the secret to complete the secret of the secret way consistent in Publics Decrement is the secret of the secret of the secret way of the Decrement is the secret of the secret of the secret of the secret to complete the failure to lease numbers, and of each persons as armonic versel, and in whose failing's the secret on second and

To conclude, who is there that so far approves the taking of Counsell from a great Assembly of Counsellours, that wisheth for, or would accept of their pams, when there is a question of marrying his Children, disposing of his Lands, governing his Household, or managing his private Estate, especially if there be amongst them such as wish not his prosperity? A man that doth his businesse by the help of many and prudent Counsellours, with every one consulting apart in his proper element, does it best, as he that useth able Seconds at Tennis play, placed in their proper stations. He does next best, that useth his own Judgement only: as he that has no Second at all. But he that is carried up and down to his businesse in a framed Counsell, which cannot move but by the plurality of consenting opinions, the execution whereof is commonly (out of envy, or interest) retarded by the part dissenting, does it worst of all, and like one that is carried to the ball, though by good Players, yet in a Wheele-barrough, or other frame, heavy of it self, and retarded also by the inconcurrent judgements, and endeavours of them that drive it; and so much the more, as they be more that set their hands to it, and most of all, when there is one, or more amongst them, that desire to have him lose. And though it he true, that many eys see more then one; yet it is not to be understood of many Counsellours; but then only, when the finall Resolution is in one man. Otherwise, because many eyes see the same thing in divers lines. and are apt to look asquint towards their private benefit; they that desire not to misse their marke, though they look about with two eyes, yet they never ayme but with one: And therefore no great Popular Common-wealth was ever kept up; but either by a forraign Enemy that united them; or by the reputation of some one eminent Man amongst them; or by the secret Counsell of a few; or by the mutuall feare of equal factions; and not by the open Consultations of the Assembly. And as for very little Commonwealths, be they Popular, or Monarchicall, there is no human wisdome can uphold tham, longer then the Jealousy lasteth of their potent Neighbours.

#### CHAP. XXVI

### Of CIVILI. LAWES

BY Grg11 Lawes, I understand the Lawes, that mus are there fore bound to observe, because they are Members, not of this, or that Common-wealth in particular, but of a Common-wealth. For the incovalege of particular Lawer belongsto to them, this professe the study of the Lawes of tisks averall Countries, but the knowledge of Cwill Law in general, to any man. The antient Law of *Rome* was called their Crief Law, from the word *Grunds*, which signifies a Common-wealth. That these Countries, which insing heen under the Roman Empire, and governed by that Law, retains and mode the Roman Empire, and governed by that Law, the Gru1 that is not 1 Lineard to speak of here: my designe being not to how what is Law hore, and there, but what I Law: as *Plato*, *Arssofte*, *Oteron*, and divers others have done, without taking upon tions in our how and sets of these how done, without taking upon tions the prove and dower others have done, without taking upon

And first it is manifest, that Law in generall, is not Counsell, but Command; hor a Command of say man to any nan; but only of hum, whose Command is addressed to one formerly obliged to obey hum. And as for Civil Law, it added nouly the assue of the person Commanding, which as *Persons Civistatis*, the Person of the Commonwealth.

Which considered, I define (Wull Law in this manner. CVILL Law, Is to every Subject, those Rules, which the Common-wealth hath Commanded him, by Word, Writing, or other sufficient Sym of the Will, to make use of, for the Distinction of Right, and Wrong ; that is to say, of what is contrary to the Rule

In which definition, there is nothing that is not at first apply evident. For every man sets, that some Laws are addressed to all the Subjects in generall; some to particular Man; and asy, to particular Vocations; and some to particular Man; and asy, and to none che. As also, that Laws are the Eules of Just, and Unjust; nothing being reputed Unjust, that is not contrary to some Law. Lickwise, that none can make Lawse but the Common reality because our Subjection is to the Common-wealth only; and that Commands, are to be signified by sufficient Signs; because a man knows not colserwise how to chey disc. And therefore, deduced, ought to be acknowledged for truth. Now I deduce from it this the full diversh.

 The Legislator in all Common-wealths, is only the Soveraign, be he one Man, as in a Monarchy, or one Assembly of men, as in a Democracy or Aristocracy. For the Legislator, is he that maketh the Link. And the Common-weakle only, preseribes, and commandsh the observation of these rules, which we call Law: Therefore the Common-weakle in the Legislator. But the Commonweakle is no Person, nor has capacity to doe any thing, but by the Representative, (data is, he Soveragar) and therefore the Soveragar Law made, but the Soveragar) team here a Law is not abrogated. Law made, but the Soveragar, heannes a Law is not abrogated, but by a problem Law, that forhidded it to be put in execution.

2. The Soveraign of a Common-weakin, be it an Assembly, or one Man, not Subject to the Ciril Laws. For thering power to make, and repeak Laws, he may when he pleaseth, free himselfe from that subjection, by regesting those Laws that touble him, and making of news, and consequently is was free before. For he are free, ho to bound to himselfe thereas the mark touble himself, and the subject of the second to the second to himself the second to himself the second to himself the himself the second to himself the second to himself the himself

3. When long Use obtaineth the authority of a Law, it is not the Soveraign agained by his silence, (for Silence is sometimes an argument of Constent), and it is no longer Law, then the Soveraign question of Right grounded, not upon his present Will, hut upon the Laws, formering match is longiful of Line shift bring no projudico to his Right; grounded, not upon his present Will, but upon the source of Right grounded, not upon his present Will, but upon the source of the s

4. The Law of Nature, and the Civill Law, contain each other, and are of equal extent. For the Lawes of Nature, which consist in Equity, Justice, Gratitude, and other morall Vertues on these depending, in the condition of meer Nature (as I have said before m the end of the 15th Chapter,) are not properly Lawes, but qualities that dispose men to peace, and to obedience. When a Commonwealth is once settled, then are they actually Lawes, and not before: as being then the commands of the Common wealth : and therefore also Civill Lawes: For it is the Soveraign Power that obliges men to obey them. For in the differences of private men, to declare, what is Equity, what is Justice, and what is morall Vertue, and to make them hinding, there is need of the Ordinances of Soveraion Power, and Punishments to be ordained for such as shall break them. which Ordinances are therefore part of the Civil Law. The Law of Nature therefore is a part of the Civill Law in all Common-wealths of the world. Reciprocally also, the Civill Law is a part of the Dictates of Nature. For Justice, that is to say, Performance of Govenant, and giving to every man his own, is a Dicate of the Law of Natara. But every subject in a Common-wealth, hash covenanted to obey the GWII Law, (either one with another, as when they assumble to make a common Representative, or which the Representative is also one by one, whose subliced by the Sword Oxidinos to the Ovull Law is part lates of the Law of Natara Govenant Carill, and Natural Law are not different lands, but different parts of Law, where one part lates of the law of Nature, Carill, and Natural Law are not different law be singled, and restriction law (bits) and by the GWII Law is high and the start of the site of Nature, Natarall. But the Right of Nature, that is, the natural Law the single and restriction; may be the SWII Law is is no other, but such Auf Law is bridged of particular mer, in such manner, as they might not bint, the resting the subset of particular mer, in such manner, as they might not bint.

5. If the Soveraign of one Common-wealth, subdue a People that have lived under other written Lawes, and afterwards govern them by the same Lawes, by which they were governed before, yet those Lawes are the Civill Lawes of the Viotor, and not of the Vancuished Common-wealth. For the Legislator is he, not by whose authority the Lawes were first made, but by whose authority they now continue to be Lawes. And therefore where there be divers Provinces within the Dominion of a Common-wealth, and in those Provinces diversity of Lawes, which commonly are called the Oustomes of each severall Province, we are not to understand that such Customes have their force, onely from Length of Time; but that they were antiently Lawes written, or otherwise made known, for the Constitutions, and Statutes of their Soveraigns: and are now Lawes, not by vertue of the Prescription of time, but by the Constitutions of their present Soversigns. But if an unwritten Law. in all the Provinces of a Dominion, shall be generally observed, and no inequity appear in the use thereof; that Law can be no other but a Law of Nature, equally obliging all man-kind.

6. Scoing then all Laws, writes, and unwritten, have their Arthority, and force, from the Vill of the Common-wealth; that is to any from the Will of the the presentative, which is a Monarday Marchard and Common and the state of the stat

where a Parlament is Systematry, if it should assemble never so many, or to wise more, from the Countres subject to them, for winsdower cause; yet there is no man will believe, that such an Assembly high thereby acquired to thomselves a Longitaive Power lizer, that the two arms of a Commonwealth, are Force, and Justie ; the first belowed in an far King ; the older deposited in the heats do Forces were in any hard, which Justies had not the Authority to commond end covern.

7. That Law can never be against Reason, our Lawyers are acreed; and that not the Letter, (that is, every construction of it,) but that which is according to the Intention of the Legislator, is the Law. And it is true: but the doubt is, of whose Reason it is, that shall be received for Law. It is not meant of any private Reason: for then there would be as much contradiction in the Lawes, as there is in the Schooles: nor yet, (as Sr Ed. Coke makes it.) an Artificiall perfection of Reason, notien by long study, observation, and experience, (as his was.) For it is possible long study may encrease, and confirm erroneous Sentences; and where mon build on false grounds, the more they huld, the greater is the rune: and . of those that study, and observe with equal time, and diligence, the reasons and resolutions are, and must remain discordant: and therefore it is not that Juris prudentia, or wisedome of subordinate Judges; but the Reason of this our Artificiall Man the Commonwealth, and his Command, that maketh Law: And the Commonwealth being in their Representative but one Person, there cannot easily arise any contradiction in the Lawes: and when there doth. the same Reason is able, by interpretation or alteration, to take it away. In all Courts of Justice, the Soversign (which is the Person of the Common-wealth.) is he that Judgeth: The subordinate Judge, ought to have regard to the reason, which moved his Soveraign to make such Law, that his Sentence may be according theraunto: which then is his Soveraigns Sentence: otherwise it is his own, and an unjust one.

8. From this, that the Law is a Command, cad a Command constatil in deducation, or manuflectuation of the Will of him that commandels, by voyes, writing, of some other aufinish argument weakly in the source of the source of

PART 2

not; And to speak property, that Law is no Law to him. It is therefore necessary, to consider in this place, what arguments, and signs be sufficient for the knowledge of what is the Law; that is to say, what is the will of the Soveraign, as well in Monarchnes, as in other formes of government.

And first, fit is  $\overline{\phi}$  Low that obligs all the Subjects without exception, and in not written nor otherwise published in each places as they may take notice thereof, it is a Low of Mater. For whats, or order, but every one from his over means, much bench as it agrees able to the reason of all menes: which no Low can be but the Low of Water. The Low of Water therefore need on any publishing, nor Proclamation; as being contained in his one Sentence, approved able to the one is worker to the set.

Secondly, if it he a Law that obliges only some condition of men. or one particular man, and be not written, nor published by word, then also it is a Law of Nature: and known by the same arguments. and signs, that distinguish those in such a condition, from other Subjects. For whatsoever Law 18 not written, or some way published by him that makes it Law, can be known no way, but by the reason of him that is to obey it; and is therefore also a Law not only Civill, but Naturall. For Example, if the Soversign employ a Publique Minister, without written Instructions what to doe; he is obliged to take for Instructions the Dictator of Reason: As if he make a Judge. The Judge is to take notice, that his Sentence ought to be according to the reason of his Soversign, which being alwaics understood to be Equity, he is bound to it by the Law of Nature: Or if an Ambassador, he is (in all things not conteined in his written Instructions) to take for Instruction that which Reason dictates to be most conducing to his Soversigns interest; and so of all other Ministers of the Soveraignty, publique and private. All which Instructions of naturall Reason may be comprehended under one name of Fidelity; which is a branch of natural Justice.

The Law of Nature excepted, it belongs h to the ossence of all to the Laws, to be made known, to every man that shall be obliged to obey them, either by word, or writing, or some other ask, known bound be undered, basic bound two. For the or by raintener taken from his scope and purpose, which in the person of the Common-weak, is to be significant time, before letters was in common use, the Laws wave many times put into verse; that the rude people taking pleasure in anging, or rothing them, night the more solvieth, no bill the Commandenments 'upon his ten furgers. And for the Law which Mess gave to the people of formit furgers. And for the Law which Mess gave to the people of formit furgers. And for the Law which Mess gave to the people of formit furgers.

1 Prov 7. 3.

Deut, 11. 10

Children, by discoursing of it both at home, and apon the way; at going to bed, and at using from bed; and to write it upon the nosis, and dores of their houses; and ' to assemble the people, man, woman, and child, to heare it read.

Nor is it enough the Law be written, and published; but also that there be manifest signs, that it proceedoth from the will of the Soveraign. For private men, when they have, or think they have force enough to secure their unjust designes, and convoy them safely to their ambitious ends, may publish for Lawes what they please, without, or against the Legislative Authority. There is therefore requisite, not only a Declaration of the Law, but also sufficient signes of the Author, and Authority. The Author, or Legislator is supposed in every Common-wealth to be evident, because he is the Soveraign, who having been Constituted by the consent of every one, is supposed by every one to be sufficiently known. And though the ignorance, and accurity of men be such, for the most part, as that when the memory of the first Constitution of their Commonwealth is worn out, they doe not consider, by whose power they use to be defended against their onemies, and to have their industry protected, and to be righted when injury is done thera: yet because no man that considers, can make question of it, no excuse can be derived from the ignorance of where the Soveraignty is placed. And it is a Dictate of Naturall Reason, and consequently an evident Law of Nature, that no man ought to weaken that power, the protection whereof he hath hunsolf demanded, or wittingly received against others. Therefore of who is Soveraign, no man, but by his own fault, (whatsoever evill men suggest,) can make any doubt. The difficulty consisteth in the evidence of the Authority derived from him; The removing whereof, dependeth on the knowledge of the publique Registers, publique Counsels, publique Ministers, and publique Seales; by which all Lawes are sufficiently verified; Verifyed. I say, not Authorised: for the Verification, is but the Testimony and Record: not the Authority of the Law: which consisteth in the Command of the Soversign only.

If therefore a man have a question of Injury, depending on the Law of Nature; that is to say, on common Equity; the Sentence of the Judge, that by Commission hath Authority to take cognisance of such causes, is a sufficient Verification of the Law of Nature in that individual case. For though the advice of one that professeth the study of the Law, he usefull for the avoyding of contention: yet it is but advice: tis the Judge must tell men what is Law. upon the hearing of the Controversy.

But when the question is of injury, or orime, upon a written Law; every man by recourse to the Registers, by himself, or others, may (if he will) be sufficiently enformed, before he doe such injury, or commit the crime, whither it be an injury, or not: Nay he cught to doe so: For when a man doubts whether the act he goeth about. be just, or injust; and may informe himself, if he will; the doing is

1 Deut. 91, 12.

unlawfull In like manner, he that supposed himself injured, in a case detormined by the written Law, which he may by humself, or others see and consider; if he complaine before he consults with the Law, he does unjustly, and bernareth a disposition rather to vox other men, than to demand his own right.

If the question he of Obelsenes to a publique Officer; To have seen his Commussion, with the Tublique Seale, and heard it read, or to have had the means to be informed of it, if a man would, is a enficient Verification of his Authority. For every man so bilged to doe his best endesvour, to informe himself of all written Lawes, that may concerne his nown future soitons.

The Lapislator known; and the Lawse, either by writing, or by tought of Nawa, sufficiently publicled; there such a year of the not itse Lottes, in the Mindmitter of Manufar, but is to say, the Lapislation of the state of the state of the state therefore the Large state of the state of the Law consisted; And therefore the Large state of the Law consisted; And therefore the Large state of the Law consisted; And therefore the Large state of the Law consisted; And therefore the Large state of the Law consisted; And therefore the Large state of the Law consisted; And the Large state of the Law state of the Law consisted; And the Large state of the Law state of the Law state State state of the Large state state of the Law state State state state state state of the Law state state state and the Large state state state state state state state state and the Large state sta

All Lawes, written, and unwritten, have need of Interpretation. The unwritten Law of Nature, though it he casy to such, as without partiality, and passion, make use of their naturall reason, and therefore leaves the violaters thereof without excuse; yet considering there be very few, perhaps none, that in some cases are not blinded by self love, or some other passion, it is now become of all Laws the most obscure; and has consequently the greatest need of able Interpreters. The written Laws, if they be short, are easily misinterpreted, from the divers significations of a word, or two: if long they be more obscure by the diverse significations of many words: in so much as no written Law, delivered in few, or many words, can be well understood, without a perfect understanding of the finall causes, for which the Law was made: the knowledge of which finall causes is in the Legislator. To him therefore there can not be any knot in the Law, insoluble; either by finding out the ends, to undos it by, or else by making what ends he will, (as Alexander did with his sword in the Gordian knot,) by the Legislative power: which no other Interpreter can doe.

The Interpretation of the Lawse of Nature, in a Common-wealth, dependent not on the books of Mozal Philosophy. The Authority of writers, without the Authority of the Common-wealth, makeh mot thirt opinous Law, be they never so true. This which I have written in this Treatise, concerning the Morall Vertuse, and of their necessity, for the procuring, and maintaining present, though its written in the writer in the part of the Civil Jaws: For Common wealths in the world, it is part of the Civil Jaws: For though it be naturally reasonable; yet it is by the Soveraigne Power that it is Law: Otherwse, it were a great errour, to call the Laws of Nicture ounvitten Law; whereas twee so es nanary volumes published, and in them so many contradictions of one another, and of themselves.

The Interpretation of the Law of Nature, is the Sentence of the Judge constituted by the Soversign Authority, to have and determins such contraversites, as depend thereout; and constituted in the explication of the Law to the present case. For in the ast of Judge of the purple, be concendent and reason, and further the density of the purple, be concendent to attract the the set of Judge Sentence he given h, is therefore the Interpretation of the Law 2 is high private Sentence, the Interpretation of the Law 2 Soversing, whereby it becomes the Soversings Sentence; which is Law for that time, is the Automity of the Soversings.

But because there is no Judge Subordinate, nor Soveraign, but may erre in a Judgement of Equity; if afterward in another like case he find it more consonant to Equity to give a contrary Sentence. he is obliged to doe it No mans error becomes his own Law, nor obliges hum to persist in it. Neither (for the same reason) becomes it a Law to other Judges, though sworn to follow it For though a wrong Sentence given by authority of the Soveraign, if he know and allow it, in such Lawes as are mutable, be a constitution of a new Law, in cases, in which every little circumstance is the same; yet in Lawes immutable, such as are the Lawos of Nature, they are no Lawss to the same, or other Judges, in the like cases for over after Princes succeed one another; and one Judge passeth, another commeth; may, Heaven and Earth shall passe; but not one title of the Law of Nature shall passe; for it is the Eternall Law of God. Therefore all the Sentences of procedent Judges that have ever been, cannot all together make a Law contrary to natural Equity: Nor any Examples of former Judges, can warrant an unreasonable Sentence, or discharge the present Judge of the trouble of studying what is Equity (in the case he is to Judge,) from the principles of his own naturall reason. For example sake, 'Tis against the Law of Nature. To punish the Innocent; and Innocent is he that acquitteth himselfe Judically, and is acknowledged for Innocent by the Judge. Put the case now, that a man is accused of a capitall orime, and seeing the power and malice of some cnemy, and the frequent corruption and partiality of Judges, runneth away for feare of the event, and afterwards is taken, and brought to a legall triall, and maketh it sufficiently appear, he was not guilty of the orme, and being thereof acquitled, is neverthelesse condomned to lose his goods; this is a manifest condemnation of the Innocent. I say therefore, that there is no place in the world, where this can be an interpretation of a Law of Nature, or be made a Law by the Sentences of precedent Judges, that had done the same, For he that judged it first, judged unjustly; and no Injustice

can be a pattern of Judgement to succeeding Judges. A written Law may forbid innocent men to fly, and they may be punished for flying. But that flying for feare of minry, should be taken for presumption of guilt, after a man is already absolved of the orme Judicially, is contrary to the nature of a Presumption, which hath no place after Judgement given. Yet this is set down by a great Lawyer for the common Law of England. If a man (saith he) that is Innocent, be accused of Felony, and for feare flyeth for the same: albeit he sudicially acquitteth himselfe of the Felony ; yet if it be found that he fied for the Felony, he shall notwithstanding his Innocency. Forfeit all his goods, chattells, debts, and duties. For as to the Forfeiture of them, the Law will admit no wroofe against the Presuma. tion in Law, grounded upon his flight. Here you see. An Innocent man, Judicially acquitted, notwithstanding his Innocency, (when no written Law forbad him to fly) after his acquittall, upon a Presummar in Law, condemned to lose all the goods he bath If the Law ground upon his flight a Presumption of the fact. (which was Capitall,) the Sentence ought to have been Capitall: if the Presumption were not of the Fact, for what then ought he to lose his goods? This therefore is no Law of England; nor is the condemnation grounded upon a Presumption of Law, but upon the Presumption of the Judges. It is also against Law, to say that no Proofe shall be admitted against a Presumption of Law. For all Judges, Soveraign and subordinate, if they refuse to heare Proofe, refuse to do Justice: for though the Sentence be Just, yet the Judges that condemn without hearing the Proofee offered, are Unjust Judges, and their Presumption is but Prejudice: which no man ought to bring with him to the Seat of Justice, whatsoever precedent judgements, or examples he shall pretend to follow. There be other things of this nature, wherein mons Judgements have been perverted. by trusting to Precedents: but this is enough to shew, that though the Sentence of the Judge, he a Law to the party pleading, yet it is no Law to any Judge, that shall succeed hum in that Office.

In like manine, when question is of the Meaning of written Lawa, he is not the interpreter of them that written Lacomentary upon them. For Commentaries are commonly more subject to eavil, than the Text; and therefore need other Commentaries, and as there will be no and of such Interpretation. And therefore unlaws the subject of the bind other Judges, in like sease to subject is a judge must be the subject of the Subject of

In written Lawes, men use to make a difference between the Letter, and the Sentence of the Law: And when by the Letter, is

meant whatscever can be gathered from the bare words. 'tis well distinguished For the significations of almost all words, are either in themselves, or in the metaphonicall use of them, ambiguous; and may be drawn in argument, to make many senses: but there is onely one sense of the Law. But if by the Letter, he meant the literall sense, then the Letter, and the Sentence or intention of the Law, is all one. For the literall sense is that, which the Legislator intended, should by the letter of the Law he signified. Now the Intention of the Legislator is alwayes supposed to be Equity: For it were a great conturnely for a Judge to think otherwise of the Soversigne. He ought therefore, if the Word of the Law doe not fully authorise a reasonable Sentence, to supply it with the Law of Nature: or if the case be difficult, to respit Judgement till he have received more ample authority. For Example, a written Law ordaineth, that he which is thrust out of his house by force, shall be restored by force: It happens that a man by negligence leaves his house empty, and returning is kept out by force, in which case there is no speciall Law ordained. It is evident, that this case is contained in the same Law: for else there is no remedy for him at all; which is to be supposed against the Intention of the Legislator. Again, the word of the Law, commandeth to Judge according to the Evidence: A man is accused faisly of a fact, which the Judge saw himself done by another: and not by him that is accused. In this case neither shall the Letter of the Law he followed to the condemnation of the Innocent, nor shall the Judge give Sontence against the evidence of the Witnesses, because the Letter of the Law is to the contrary: but procure of the Soversion that another be made Judge. and himself Witnesse. So that the incommodity that follows the bare words of a written Law, may lead him to the Intention of the Law, whereby to interpret the same the better; though no incommodity can warrant a Sentence against the Law. For every Judge of Right, and Wrong, is not Judge of what is Commodious, or Incommodious to the Common-wealth.

The abilities required in a good Interpreter of the Law, that is to say, in a good Judge, are not the same with those of an Advocate; namely the study of the Lawes. For a Judge, as he ought to take notice of the Fact, from none but the Witnessee: so also he ought to take notice of the Law, from nothing but the Statutes, and Constitutions of the Soversign, alledged in the pleading, or declared to him by some that have authority from the Soveraion Power to declare them; and need not take care before-hand, what hee shall Judge; for it shall bee given him what hee shall say concerning the Fact, by Witnesses; and what hee shall say in point of Law, from those that shall in their pleadings shew it, and by authority interpret it upon the place. The Lords of Parlament in England were Judges. and most difficult causes have been heard and determined by them; yet few of them were much versed in the study of the Lawes, and fewer had made profession of them; and though they consulted with Lawyers, that were appointed to be present there for that

purpose; yet they alone had the authority of giving Sentence. In like number, in the ordinary trials of Right, Twelve musi of the common People, are the Jadges, and gree Sentence, not onely of the Data, but of the Right, and gree Sentence, not onely of the the Datamating List is to say, are Judges not onely of the distance of the Datamatic Sentence and the sentence of the distance of the Datamatic Sentence and the sentence distance with the data of the data of the data of the Murder, Homicale, Palong, Azawal, and the like, which are distaminations of Law: Fut because they are to Judge of. But yet if therefore the patchnic taxes they are to Judge of. But yet if therefore to any penality; unless it he made appear, they did it therefore to any penality; unless it he made appear, they did it herefore the reactions are obten corrupted by reward.

The things that make a good Judge, or good interpreter of the Lawes, are, first, A right substanding of the principal Law of Nature called Equity, which depending not on the resulting of thire meas Writings, but on the goodness of a marse own natural Reason, and Mediation, is presented to be in shows more that have had most listener, and had the most inclusion to mediate thereon. Secondly, Contempt of unscensory Robes, and Preferences. Thirdly, To be and compassion. Fourthy, and lastly, Fadicance to hears: Addigent attention is hearing ; and issues yo retain, dayset and apply what he had heard.

The difference and division of the Laws, has been made in divers memory, according to the different methods, of those men that have written of them. For is as thing that depended have not be on the scope of the Witter; and is subscriptication of Matern proper method. In the Institutions of Audmann, we find aven notic to be a straight of the straight of the straight of the openies was in him. Like these, are the Proolamations of the Kings of Bayland.

2. The Decrees of the whole people of Rome (comprehending the Senata, when here yerse put to the Queston by the Senat. These were Lawes, at first, by the vertex of the Soversign Power residing in the people; and such of them as by the Surgerours were not abrogated, remained Lawes by the Atthonty Imperial. For all Lawes that black, are understood to be Lawes by his antiprity that has power to ropeal them. Somewhat like to these Lawes, are the Asto Graden.

3. The Decress of the Common People (exclusing the Senste.) when they were put to the question by the Tribuse of the people. For such of them as were not abrogated by the Emperours, remainded Lawes by the Authority Imperial. Like to these, were the Orders of the Honse of Commons in Expland.

 Senatize consulta, the Orders of the Senate; because when the people of Rome grew so numerous, as it was inconvenient to assemble

them; it was thought fit by the Emperour, that men should Consult the Senate, in stead of the people; And these have some resemblance with the Acts of Counsell.

 The Edicts of Protors, and (in some Cases) of the Ædiles: such as are the Chiefe Justices in the Courts of England.

0. Response Prudeatum, which were the Santheness, and Opinions of those Lavyres, to show the Bimperour gave Authority to interpret the Low, and to give answer to such as in matter of Low demained obligad by the Constitutions of the Emperod to observe: And should hol like the Reports of Cases Judged, if older Judges do the Councon Law of England, are not persperily Judges, but Jersé and the Galaxies, Law of Sangland, are not persperily Judges. Authority and the first state of Law of England, are not persperily Judges. In Jersé Authority, are in columnot file Automative and Automative Terms and the Council and Automative Terms and a state and and a state an

 Also, Unwritten Customes, (which in their own nature are an imitation of Law,) by the tacite consent of the Emperonr, in case they be not constrary to the Law of Nature, are very Lawes.

Another division of Lawes, is into Naturall and Positor. Naturall are those which have been Lawes from all Brenitys and ance called not onely Naturall, but also Morail Lawes; consisting in the Morail Vertues, se Justice, Rauity, and all habte of the mind that conduce to Peace, and Charity; of which I have skready spoken in the fourteenth and fifteenth Chaptera.

Positive, are those which have not been from Eternity; but have been made Lawee by the Will of those that have had the Soveraign Power over others; and are either written, or made known to mon, by some other argument of the Will of their Legislator.

Again, of Positive Lawes, some are Humane, some Divine : And of Humane positive lawes, some are Distributive, some Penal, Distributive are those that determine the Rights of the Subjects, declaring to every man what it is, by which he acquireth and holdeth a propriety in lands, or goods, and a right or liberty of action: and these speak to all the Subjects. Penal are those, which declare, what Penalty shall be inflicted on those that violate the Law; and speak to the Ministers and Officers ordamed for execution. For though every one ought to be informed of the Punishments ordained beforehand for their transgression; neverthelesse the Command is not addressed to the Delinquent, (who cannot be supposed well faithfully punish himselfe,) but to publique Ministers appointed to see the Penalty executed. And these Penal Lawes are for the most part written together with the Lawes Dustributive; and are sometunes called Judgements. For all Lowes are generall Judgements, or Sentences of the Legislator, as also every particular Judgement, is a Law to him, whose case is Judged.

Divine Positive Laues (for Naturall Lawes being Rternall, and Universall, are all Divins) are those, which being the Commandments of God, (not from all Eternity, nor universally addressed to all men, but onely to a certain people, or to certain persons) are

declared for such, by those whom God hath authorised to declare them But this Authority of man to declare what he those Positiva Lawes of God, how can it be known? God may command a man by a supernaturall way, to deliver Lawes to other men. But because it is of the essence of Law, that he who is to be obliged, be assured of the Authority of him that declaroth it, which we cannot naturally take notice to be from God. How can a man without supernaturall Revelation be assured of the Revelation received by the declarer 7 and how can be bound to obey them ? For the first question, how a man can be assured of the Revelation of another, without a Revelation particularly to himselfe, it is evidently impossible: For though a man may he induced to believe such Revelation, from the Mirscles they see him doe, or from seeing the Extraordinary sanotity of his hie, or from seeing the Extraordinary wisedome, or Extraordinary felicity of his Actions, all which are marks of God[s] extraordinary favour: yet they are not assured evidences of special Revolation. Miracles are Maryellous workes: but that which is maryellous to one, may not be so to another. Sanctity may be feigned; and the visible felicities of this world, are most often the work of God by Naturall, and ordinary causes. And therefore no man can infallibly know by naturall reason, that another has had a supernaturall revelation of Gods will: but only a beliefs: every one (as the signes thereof shall appear greater, or lesser) a firmer, or a weaker belief.

But for the second, how he can be bound to obey them; it is not so hard. For if the Law declared, be not against the Law of Nature (which is undoubtedly Gods Law) and he undertake to obey it, he is bound by his own get. bound I say to obey it, but not bound to believe it; for mens beliefe, and interiour cogitations, are not subject to the commands, but only to the operation of God, ordinary, or extraordinary. Faith of Supernaturall Law, is not a fulfilling, but only an assenting to the same: and not a duty that we exhibite to God, but a gift which God freely giveth to whom he pleaseth; as also Unbelief is not a breach of any of his Lawes: but a rejection of them all, except the Laws Naturall. But this that I say, will be made yet clover, by the Examples, and Testimonies concerning this point in holy Scripture. The Covenant God made with Abraham (in a Supernatural manner) was thus, This is the Covenant which thou shalt observe between Me and Thee and the Seed after thee. Abrahams Seed had not tins revelation, nor were yet in being; yet they are a party to the Covenant, and bound to obey what Abraham should declare to them for Gods Law; which they could not be, but in vertue of the obedience they owed to their Parenta: who (if they be Subject to no other earthly power, as here in the case of Abraham) have Soversign power over their children, and servants. Againe, where God south to Abraham. In thes shall all Nations of the earth be blessed ; For I know thou will command thy children, and thy house after thee to keep the way of the Lord, and to observe Righteousnesse and Judgement, it is manifest, the obedience of his Family, who had no Revelation, depended on their former obligation to obey their

Severaign. At Mount Sizai Moses only went up to God; the people were forbidden to approach on pame of death; yet were they bound to obey all that Moses declared to them for Gode Law. Upon what ground, but on this submission of their own. Speak thou to us, and we will hears thee ; but let not God speak to us, lest we due ? By which two places it sufficiently appeareth, that in a Common-wealth, a subject that has no certain and assured Revelation particularly to himself concerning the Will of God, is to obey for such, the Command of the Common-wealth: for if men were at liberty, to take for Gods Commandements, their own dreams, and fancies, or the dreams and fancies of private men: scarce two men would agree upon what is Gods Commandament: and yet in respect of them, every man would despise the Commandements of the Common-wealth. I conclude therefore, that in all things not contrary to the Morall Law. (that is to say, to the Law of Nature.) all Subjects are bound to obey that for divine Law, which is declared to be so, by the Lawes of the Common-wealth. Which also is evident to any mans reason: for whatsoever is not against the Law of Nature, may be made Law in the name of them that have the Soveraign power; and there is no reason men should be the lasse obliged by it, when the propounded in the name of God. Besides, there is no place in the world where men are permitted to pretend other Commandements of God, than are declared for such by the Common-wealth. Christian States punish those that revolt from Christian Religion, and all other States, those that set up any Religion by them forbidden. For in whatsoever is not regulated by the Common-wealth, tas Equity (which is the Law of Nature, and therefore an eternal! Law of God) that every man equally enjoy his liberty.

There is also another distinction of Laws, into Fundamentall, and not Fundamentall: but I could never see in any Author, what a Fundamentall Law signifieth. Neverthelesse one may very reasonable distinguish Laws in that manner.

For a Fundamentall Law in every Common-wealth is that. which being taken away, the Common-wealth faileth, and is utterly dissolved; as a building whose Foundation is destroyed. And therefore a Fundamentall Law is that, by which Subjects are bound to uphold whatsoever power is given to the Soveraign, whether a Monarch, or a Soversign Assembly, without which the Commonwealth cannot stand; such as is the power of War and Peace, of Judicature, of Election of Officers, and of doing whatsoever he shall think necessary for the Publique good. Not Fundamentall is that. the abrogating whereof, draweth not with it the dissolution of the Common-Wealth; such as are the Lawes concerning Controversies between subject and subject. Thus much of the Division of Lawes.

I find the words Lex Civilis, and Jus Civile, that is to say, Law and Right Civil, promiscuously used for the same thing, even in the most learned Authors; which neverthelesse ought not to be so. For Right 18 Liberty, namely that Liberty which the Civil Law leaves us: But Cwill Law is an Obligation; and takes from us the Liberty which C 601

the Law of Nature gave us. Nature gave a Right to every man to soure himselfs by his own strength, and to nurate a subjected neighbour, by way of prevention - but the Civill Law takes away that Laberty, in all cases where the protestion of the Law may be safely stayd for. Insemned as Lex and Jus, are as different as Obligation and Laberts.

Likewise Large and Chorters are taken promisenously for the same thing. Yet Charters are Donations of the Soveragin; and not Lawes, but exemptions from Law. The phrase of a Law is Jubo, Tyimaya, J command, and Enjoya: the phrase of a Charter is Dait, Concessi, I have Giese, I have Granted: but what is given or granked, to a man, is not fored upon him, by a Law. A Law may be master is high 141 the bulgerst of a Countons-wealth: a Liberty, to say all the people of a Common-wealth, have Liberty in any case whatsoever; is to say, that in such case, there bath learn a Law made; or cive having been made, as now abrogated.

## CHAP, XXVII.

# Of CRIMES, EXCUSES, and EXTENUATIONS

A Sinne, is not onely a Transgression of a Law, but also any Contempt of the Legislator. For such Contempt, is a breach of all his Lawes at once. And therefore may consist, not onely in the Commission of a Fact, or in the Speaking of Words by the Lawes forbidden, or in the Omission of what the Law commandeth, but also in the Intention, or purpose to transgresse. For the purpose to breake the Law, is some degree of Contempt of him, to whom it belongeth to see it executed. To be delighted in the Imagination onely, of being possessed of another mans goods, servants, or wife, without any intention to take them from him by force, or fraud, is no breach of the Law, that sayth, Thou shall not covst: nor is the pleasure a man may have in imagining, or dreaming of the dosta of him, from whose life he expecteth nothing but dammage, and displeasure, a Sinne: but the resolving to put some Act in execution. that tendeth thereto. For to be pleased in the fiction of that, which would please a man if it were reall, is a Passion so adherent to the Nature both of man, and every other living creature, as to make it a Sinne, were to make Sinne of being a man. 'The consideration of this, has made me think them too severe, both to themselves, and others, that maintain, that the First motions of the mind. (though sheeked with the fear of God) be Sinnes. But I confesse it is safer to erre on that hand, than on the other.

A CRIME, is a sinne, consisting in the Committing (by Deed, or Word) of that which the Law forbiddeth, or the Omission of what

is halp commanded. So that every Cinne is a since; but not every since a Crune. To intend to teals, or kull, as a mnne, though it never appears in Word, or Facts: for God that seat: the thoughts of man, can lay it to his charges; but till it sphere by some bling done, or sead, by which the intention may be argued by a lumange light, it has the the same of Cinne; which distincts, who of the form, or (which is translated Simae) significant any sources for the law which solve two later, (which are translated Grine), signific that sinue onely, whereof one man may source another. But of Intendors, which sever space by any outward on them by Montenne who have showed by outward out, there is no place for humane accusation. In his manner the values of processing, what is Spine, ignifical manner of deviations which signifies to perscire), hay mean onely such same, same the such and another before a Julicy: and therfore ser not more intentions.

From this relation of Sinne to the Law, and of Orime to the Civill Law, may be inferred. First, that where Law ceaseth, Sinne ceaseth. But because the Law of Nature is eternall. Violation of Covenants. Ingratitude, Arrogance, and all Facts contrary to any Morall vertue, can never cease to be Sinne. Secondly, that the Civill Law ceasing, Crimes cease: for there being no other Law remaining, but that of Nature, there is no place for Accusation: every man being his own Judge, and accused onely by his own Conscience, and cleared by the Uprightnesse of his own Intention. When therefore his Intention is Right, his fact is no Sinne: if otherwise, his fact is Sinne; but not Crime. Thirdly, That when the Soveraign Power ceaseth, Crime also ceaseth; for where there is no such Power, there is no protection to be had from the Law; and therefore every one may protect himself by his own power: for no man in the Institution of Soveraign Power can be supposed to give away the Right of preserving his own body; for the safety whereof all Soveraignty was ordained. But this is to be understood onely of those, that have not themselves contributed to the taking away of the Power that protected them: for that was a Crime from the beginning.

The source of every Chime, is some defact of the Understanding; o some ercor in Ressoning; or some studied force of the Passions. Defect in the Understanding, is Ignorance; in Ressoning; Brrnscess Optimion, square, Ignorance is of three series; of the Law, and of the series of the second of the series of the series of the Resonance of the second of the series of the series of the Resonance of the second is supported to thous, is ought both of to another, what he would not have done to himselfs. Therefore into what law, it is a Chime. If a man come from the Indee hilter, and provide the series to nuclear a new Hellgion, of teach them any provides must be the teacher and the law of which he is an even will preserved of the wheth though he is an ever and may be justify purchaded for the same, not only because his doctine is false, but also because he does that which he would not spiprove in another, namely, that somming from hence, he should endosrout to albe the Religion there. But ignorance of the Givil Law, shall Excuse a man an a strange Country, ull is be desired to jum; because, ill then no Civil Law is binding.

In the like manner, if the Civill Law of a mans own Country, be not so sufficiently declared, as he may know it if he will; nor the Action against the Law of Nature; the Ignorance is a good Excuse: In other cases Ignorance of the Civil Law, Excuseth not.

Ignorance of the Soversign Power, in the place of a mans ordinary residence, Excuseth him not; because he ought to take notice of the Power, by which he hash been protected there.

Ignorance of the Penalty, where the Law is declared, Excused no main: For in breaking the Law, which without a fear of gennily to follow, were note a Law, but which has undergooth the punality, doth say action, accepted a like known, conceptores of it, but Punalitanet is a known consequence of the rolation of the Laws, in every Common.wealth, which punishment, if it be determined already by the Law, he is subject to that, if mot, then is be subject to Arbitrary punaliment. For it is reason, that he winch does affect punaliment without other limitation, data that of his Will whose Law is thereby violated.

But when a penalty, is either annexed to the Crime in the Law it effects on this been usually infinited in the bits cases, there the Dainquent is Excessed from a greater penalty. For the pundhment and the second second second second second second second in universempt to its because when men compare tabe bounded of them Iojatuce, with the harm of their punkhment, by necessity of Nature they choose that which appeare the best for themeleves: and therefore when they are punkhment more than the Law had semp Ormer, its is the Law that them tempote, and decorrent hier.

No Law, made after a Fact done, can make it a Crime: because if the Fact be against the Law of Natura, the Law was before the Fact; and a Posilave Law examot be taken notice of, before it be made; and therefore cannot be Obligatory. But when the Law that forbiddath a Fact, is made before the Fact be done; yet he that dorth the Fact, is implied to the Penalty ordained after, in case no lesser Penalty were made known before, neither by Writing, nor by Example, for the crosson numerilativ before alledged.

From defect in Reasoning, (that is is only from Errour) means reprome to violate the Lawes three ways. First, by Preumption of hise Principles: as when mean from having observed have in all phases, and the lawes, names Actions have been authorised, by the forces and when the observe his have been prime at the result, and then the sector sector and those that have a been prime at the result of the deserved at the sector and the sector have the sector sector. been esteemed the oney Criminals; have theremore taken for Principles, and grounds of their Reasoning. *That Justice is but a* sum nord. *That whatever a mart can pet by his own. Industry, and hards, is his own: That the Francisco of all Notions cannot be waynet* : *again*; and many more of that kind: Which being granted, no Act and the control of the start of the start of the start, and any start, and many more of that kind: Which being granted, no Act in is cide can be a Crimo, bet must be madd so (not by the Law, but) by the successe of them that commit it; and the same Fact be variance, or visions, as Fortare pleaselt; no that what Marias makes a Crima, Spike shall make meticitom, and *Oceany* (the same happen of the Person of the Common-veshib).

Secondly, by false Teachers, that either mis-interpret the Law of Nature, making it thereby repugnant to the Law Givili; or by teaching for Lawes, such Doctrines of their own, or Traditions of former times, as are inconsistent with the duty of a Subject.

Thirdly, by Broneous Informess from True Principles; which happens commonly to men this are havy, and presignates in concluding, and resolving what to do; such as are they, this have both a great opusion of their own valenstanding, and believe that things or a second state of the second state of the second state and the second state of the second state of the second more than the second state of the second state of the long study. And of those deforts in Reasoning, there is none that case Excess (though some of them may Extended, second the second state of the second state case is the second state of the second state of the second may like presend to the Reason, upon the want whereof they would ground their Excess.

Of the Deasions that most frequently are the causes of China, one, is Vanisloty, or a foolish over-rating of their own worth, as if difference of worth, were an effect of List with, or rates, or bload, or some other naturall quality, not depending on the Will of those that have the Eoversign Astholoty. From whence proceeded is a Pregenerally to all Subjects capth to to be indicated as them, with dosame rigour they are indicated on the poors, obscure, and simple more, comprehended under the name of the Pulger.

Therefore it happeneth commonly, that such as value themselves by the greatnesse of their wealth, adventure on Crimes, upon hope of escaping punishment, by corrupting publique Justice, or obtaining Partion by Mony, or other rewards.

And that such as have multitude of Potent Kindred; and popular men, that have ganned reputation amongst the Multitude, take courage to valate the Lawes, from a hope of oppressing the Power, to whom it belongeth to put them in execution.

And that such as have a great, and false opinion of their own Wisedome, taks upon them to reprehend the actions, and call in

opestion the Authority of them that govern, and so to unsettle the Lowes with their publique discourse, as that nothing shall be a Cume, but what their own designes require should be so. It happenetic also to the same men, to be prope to all such Crimes, as consist in Craft, and in deceiving of their Neighbours; because they think their designes are too subtile to be nemetved. These I say are effects of a false presumption of their own Wisdome. For of them that are the first movers in the disturbance of Common-wealths. (which can never happen without a (Svill Warre.) very few are left alive long enough, to see their new Designes established: so that the hepefit of their Crimes, poloundeth to Posterity, and such as would least have wished it- which argues they were not so wise, as they thought they were. And those that deceive upon hope of not being observed, do commonly densive themsalves, (the darknesse in which they believe they lye hudden, being nothing clas but their own blindnesses) and are no wiser than Children, that think all hid. by hiding their own eyes.

And generally all vam-glorious men, (unlesse they be withall timorous), are subject to Anger; as being more prone than others to interpret for contempt, the ordinary liberty of conversation: And there are few Crimes that may not be produced by Anger.

As for the Passions, of Hats, Lust, Ambition, and Covetousnesse. what Crimes they are spt to produce, is so obvious to every mans experience and understanding, as there needsth nothing to be said of them, saving that they are infirmities, so annexed to the nature. both of man, and all other living creatures, as that their effects cannot be hindred, but by extraordinary use of Reason, or a constant severity in punishing them. For m those things men hate, they find a continuall, and unayoydable molestation; whereby eather a mans patience must be everlasting, or he must be eased by removing the power of that which molesteth him: The former is difficult; the later is many times impossible, without some violation of the Law. Ambition, and Covetousnesse are Passions also that are perpetually incumbent, and pressing; whereas Reason is not perpetually present, to resist them: and therefore whensoever the hope of impunity appears, their effects proceed. And for Lust, what it wants in the lasting, it hath m the vohemence, which sufficeth to weigh down the apprehension of all easie, or uncertain punishments.

<sup>5</sup>Di all Possons, that which codingth nices least to break the Lawes, is Faar. Nay, (excepting some generous natures,) it is the onely thing, (when there is apparence of nroßt, or pleasure by breaking the Lawes,) that makes men keep them. And yet in many cases a Grime mey be committed through Faste.

For not every Fear justifies the Action it producesh, but the fear only of corporali hurt, which we call Boddy Fear, and from which a man cannot see how to be delivered, but by the action. A man is assaulted, fears present death, from which he sees not how to except, but by wounding him that assaulteth him; If he wound him to death, this is no Grime; because no man is approach at the

making of a Common-wealth, to have abandoned the defence of his life, or limbes, where the Law cannot arrive time enough to his assistance. But to kill a man, because from his actions, or his threatnings, I may argue he will kill me when he can, (seeing I have time, and means to domand protection, from the Soversign Power,) is a Crime. Again, a man roceives words of disgrace, or some little injuries (for which they that made the Lawes, had assigned no punishment, nor thought it worthy of a man that hath the use of Reason, to take notice of.) and is afraid, unlesse he revenge it, he shall fall into contempt, and consequently be obnoxious to the like injuries from others; and to avoyd this, breaks the Law, and protects himselfe for the future, by the terrour of his private revenge. This is a Crime: For the hurt is not Corporeall, but Phantasticall, and (though in this corner of the world, made sensible by a custome not many years since begun, amongst young and vain men.) so light, as a gallant man, and one that is assured of his own courage, cannot take notice of. Also a man may stand in fear of Spirits, either through his own superstation, or through too much credit given to other men, that tell him of strange Dreams and Visions; and thereby be made believe they will hurt him. for doing. or omitting divers things, which neverthelesse, to do or omit, is contrary to the Lawes; And that which is so done, or omitted, is not to be Excused by this fear; but is a Crime. For (as I have shown before in the second Chapter) Dreams be naturally but the fancies remaining in sleep, after the impressions our Senses had formerly received waking; and when men are by any accident unassured they have slept, seem to be reall Visions; and therefore he that presumes to break the Law upon his own, or anothers Dream, or pretended Vision, or upon other Pancy of the power of Invisible Spirits, than is permitted by the Common wealth, leaveth the Law of Nature, which is a certain offence, and followeth the imagery of his own, or another private mans brain, which he can never know whether it signifieth any thing, or nothing, nor whether he that talls his Dream, say true, or lye; which if every private man should have leave to do, (as they must by the Law of Nature, if any one have it) there could no Law be made to hold, and so all Common-wealth would be dissolved.

From three different sources of Crimes, it appeares already, that all Crimes are not (as the Stoited of dd time annihitation) of the same alay. There is place, not only for Excuss, by which that which seemed a Crims, is proved to be none at all, but also for Excussorares, by which the Crime, that seemed greet, in made lesse. For though all Crimes dee equally desares the name of higher the Stoine repetition of the second second second which all the Stoine equality desares the name of Comme are equally unjust, to more than that all concellenges equally cocked; which the Stoides not observing, held it as great a Crime, to kill alle, against the Law, as to kill more Ryther.

That which totally Excuseth a Fact, and takes away from it the

[PART 2

asture of a Crime, can be none but that, which at the same time, takeh away the obligation of the Law. For the Fact committed once against the Law, if he that committed it be obliged to the Law, can be no other than a Crime.

The want of means to know the Law, totally Excuseft. For the Law whered a man has no means to enformed humefic, is not objectory. But the want of diligence to engune, shall not te connected as a want of means: Nor shall nay man, that pretoaded to vanis means to know the Laws of Matter because they are known by the reason he pretends to: only Children, and Madman are Escusef from Offeces against the Law Northell.

Where a man is capture, or in the power of the energy, (and he is then in the power of the energy, when his person, or has means of living, is so,) if it is writhout has own fault, the Ublightion of the Law casely. Is because he must obey the energy, of they, and consequently use the second second second second second second second the own.

If a man by the sorrour of present death, be compelled to doe a fact against but Law, he is totally Extunded, because no Law can oblige a man to abandon his own preservation. And supposing use a Law even obligatory when the main would be the sort of the prime of the prim of the prime of the prime of the prime of the prime of th

When a man is destitute of food, or other thing mecessary for his file, and cannot preserve himselfs any other way, but by some fact against the Law; as if m a great famine he take the food by force, or stealth, which he cannot obtame for mony, nor chartly; or in defence of his life, susch away another many Sword, he is totally Equessel, for the reason next before alloged.

Again, "Secte done against the Law, by The authority of enoties, ease by that sufficiently Encused against the Author; because no man oright to necuse his own fact in another, that is but his instrument. but its and Encased against a kind person thereby higherd, are Orhinalla. Them hence it holloweds that when that Man, or Assembly, that shat the Sovereign Power, commandeth a man to do that which is contrary to a former Law, the doing of it is stully Encased. For he engine not hence it hullevelt because he is the Author; and what connot justly be conformed by the Soveragion, ensure lower, but methed by any other, against his own former Law, the Command, as to that particular lack, is an abrogation of the Law.

If that Man or Assembly, that hath the Sovernign Power, disclaims any Right essential to the Sovernignty, whereby there accrucit to the Subject, any liberty inconsistent with the Soveraign

Power, that is to say, with the very being of a Common-waith, if the Subject shall refuse to obey the Command in any thing, contrary to the hiberty grantod, thus is neverthelesse a Sinne, and contrary to the duty of the Subject; for he ought to take notice of what is incommistent with the Soversignty, because it was receted by his own consult, and for has own defence; and that such likerty as is incomistent with it, was granted through ignorance of the orill consequences thereof. But if hen to onely disclose, but also resists public plinowing, registed, when the other of the origin of the second provide the state of the second and the second of the second provide the second of the second of the second of the second term complications righted, (which as your breach of the Second)

The Degrees of Crime are taken on divers Scales, and measured, First, by the malignity of the Source, or Cause: Secondly, by the contagion of the Example: Thirdly, by the mischiefe of the Effect, and Fourthly, by the congourrence of Times, Places, and Persons.

The same Paci done against the Law, if is proceed from Presemption of strength, riches, or friends to result those that are to execute the Law, is a greater Chime, than if it proceed from hope of not bing discovered, or of escape by flight: For Presumption of impunity by force, is a Root, from whence symmetry, as all times, and upon all temptations, a contempt of all Laws; witcreas in the lister case, the apprehension of danger, that makes a nonthe symmetry of the symmetry of the symmetry of the symmetry has a law of the symmetry of the symmetry of the symmetry bats it is lawfull: For the that committeed it against his own oursingno, presumentia on his forces, or other power, which encourages hum to commit the same again: but hat dorh it by errour, after the errour shown him, is conformable to the Law.

Here, whose errour proceeds from the authority of a Tencher, or an Interpreter of the Law publication authority of a Tencher, or as her window for our proceeding. The participation of the tencher hy publicas authority, the Common-walk treatment, and hash a resemblance of Law, till the same Authority contould his, and nail Citation and the linear Advary of the Sversign here the provided has authors, on the participation description according to the restuint, or cross in the participation description according to the restuint, or error unit interface to stand, or fail.

The same Foct, if it have been constantly purahed in other men, is a greater Group, than if there have been many precedent Examples of impurity. For these Examples, are so many hopes of impurity grown by the Soversagin humself: And because he withit furnishes grown by the Soversagin humself: and because he withit furnishes that the other source of the source of the source of the formation of the source of the source of the source of the bars to often it. The source of the source of the source of the source the offender with the whole.

A Crime arising from a suddan Passion, is not so great, as when the same ariseth from long meditation: For in the former case there is a place for Extenuation, in the common infimily of himsne nature: but he that doth it with praemeditation, has used circumspection,

\*G 691

and east his eye, on the Law, on the pursubment, and on the consequence thereof to humane security; all which in committing the Came, here hath contenaned, and postposed to his own apposite fur, there is no endefancess of Passion and/enset for a totall lignume. For all the time between the first knowing of the Law, and the Commission of the Zeet, shall be taken for a time of dailberstoin; because he cought by meditation of the Law, to rectifie the irregulative of has Passions.

Where the Law is publicatly, and with associative, before all the people read, and unterpreted; a find done against it, is a greater frame, than where non a roleft without such instruction, to engine of is with differently, uncertainty, and instruction of their Galings, and be informed by private men: for in this case, part of the facility is discharged upon commann affinitually; but in the former, there is apparent negligence, which is not without some contempt of the Soremain Power.

Those facts which the Law expresiv condemneth, but the Law, maker by other manifest signes of his will tacitly approveth, are lesse Crimes, than the same facts, condemned both by the Law, and Law-maker. For scoing the will of the Law-maker is a Law, there appear in this case two contradictory Lawes; which would totally Excuse, if men were bound to take notice of the Soveraigns annro. bation, by other arguments, than are expressed by his command. But because there are punishments consequent, not onely to the transgression of his Law, but also to the observing of it, he is in part a cause of the transgression, and therefore cannot reasonably impute the whole Crime to the Delinquent. For example, the Law condemneth Duells; the punishment is made capitall; On the contrary part, he that refuseth Duell, is subject to contempt and scorne, without remedy; and sometimes by the Soveraign himselfe thought unworthy to have any charge, or preferment in Warre-If thereupon he accept Duell, considering all men lawfully endeavour to obtain the good opinion of them that have the Soveraign Power. he ought not in reason to be rigorously punished; seeing part of the fault may be discharged on the nunisher: which I say, not as wishing liberty of private revenges, or any other kind of disobedience; but a care in Governours, not to countenance any thing obliquely, which directly they forbid. The examples of Princes, to those that see them, are, and ever have been, more notent to govern their actions, than the Lawes themselves. And though it be our duty to do, not what they do, but what they sav: yet will that duty never be performed, till it please God to give men an extraordinary, and supernaturall grace to follow that Precept.

Again, if we compare 'Grimes by the machine's of their Effects, Furst, the same fact, when it redounds to the dammage of many, is greater, than when it redounds to the hurt of few. And therefore, when a fact hurteft, not oncefy in the present, but also, (by example) in the future, it is a greater Grime, than if it hurt ondy in the present for the former, is a fartile Grime, and multiplyres to the burts of many;

the later is barren. To mantain destines contrary to the Religion exhabilised in the Common weakle, is a greater fault, in an a attributes Preacher, than me private person: So also is it, to live prophenely, incontinuity, or do any includous act whatoaver. Elkowas in a Prophene is the making of the Sovereign Prove, is a greater China, than in another man. Also me man that bath as and reputation for wardone, as that has counsells are followed, or his actions imitted by many, his fact against the Law, is a greater China, but teach fact in another: For such men not onely commit Crune, but seach that of another. For such men not onely commit Crune, but seach ting phanes to the weak, that look not so much up to the same simulting-blacks to the weak, that look not so much upon the way.

Also Facts of hostility against the present stale of the Commonwealth, are greater Chrms, than the same acid done to private men: For the daminage extends it selfs to all: Such are the betraying of the strengths, or revealing of the scenter of the Commonwealth to an Enemy: also all attempts upon the Representative of the Common-wealth, be its A Monarido, or an Assembly; and all endeavours by word, or deed to diminish the Authority of the annosiders in the present time, or in necession: which Chimes the Latines understand by Crimite Lasse Mojetatis, and consist in designs, or act, contrary to a Fundamental Law.

Likewase ihose Crimes, which render Judgements of no effect, are graster Crimes, than lupited shows to any or a fow persons; as to recover mony to give False judgement, or testmony; is a graster Crime, than otherwise to deserve a man of the like, or a graster ments; bat all Judgements are rendred assisse, and occument ministrat to force, and private revenges.

Also Robbery, and Depaculation of the Fublique treasure, or Revenues, is a greater Crime, than the robbing, or defrauding of a Private man; because to robbe the publique, is to robbe many at once

Also the Counterfait usurpation of publique Ministery, the Counterfeiting of publique Seales, or publique Coinc, than counterfeiting of a private mans person, or his seale; because the fraud thereof, extendeth to the dammage of many.

Of fasts against the Law, dono to private men, the greater Gruns, is that, where the dammage in the common opinion of men, is most sensible. And therefore

To kill against the Law, is a greater Crime, than any other injury, life preserved.

And to kill with Torment, greater, than simply to kill.

And Mutilation of a limbe, greater, than the spoyling a man of his goods.

And the spoyling a man of his goods, by Terrour of death, or wounds, than by clandestine surreption. And by clandestine Surreption, than by consent fraudulently obtained.

And the violation of chastity by Force, greater, than by flattery.

And of a woman Married, than of a woman not married.

For all these things are commonly so valued; though some men are more, and some losse sensible of the same offence. But the Law regardeth not the particular, but the generall melinstion of markind,

And threefore the offence men take, form contamely, in words, or gesture, when they produce no ofter harms, than the present griefs of him that is reproached, hash been neglected in the Lawse of the forests. Fouries, and of the both and only and modern Commontion of the state of the state of the state of the state the contumely, (which taken no hold upon men conscions of there on vertex), but in the Paellaminity of him that is offended by it.

Also a Crime squares a private man, is much aggravated by the percon, ture, and place. Prot to kill one Stevent, is a greater Crime, than to kill another: for the Parent ought to have the Howard of Soveraign, (Hacagh he have summadrid his Power to the Givill power man, is a greater Crime, than to rubbe a rich man; breame the to the nonce a more semible dammage.

And a Crime committed in the Time, or Place appointed for Devotion, is greater, this if committed at another time or place: for it proceeds from a greater contempt of the Law.

Many other cases of Aggravation, and Extenuation might be added: but by these I have set down, it is obvious to every man, to take the altitude of any other Cruno proposed.

Lastly, because m almost all Crimes there is an Injury done, not onaly to some Pravate man, but icle to the Common-wealth; the same Crime, when the necessation is in the name of the Commonwealth, is satisfied Publique Crimes, and when in the name of a Private man, a Private Crime; And thes Pleas according thereutito called Publicus, Justice Publique Crimes of the Cornor, Crimera Pratability, a Justice Publique Crimes of the Cornor, Crimera Prapha as a Private plex; if the accuse be the Soversign, the plea is a Publique pleas.

# CHAP. XXVIII

## Of PUNISEMENTS, and REWARDS

A PUNISHMENT, is an Evil inflicted by publique Authority, on him that kath done, or consisted that which is Judged by the same suitority to be a Transgression of the Laws; to the end that the will of men may thereby the better be disposed to obedience.

Before I inferre any thing from this definition, there is a question to be answered, of much importance; which is, by what door the Right, or Authority of Punishing in any case, came in. Fir by

that which has been said before, no man is supposed bound by Covenant, not to resist violence; and consequently it cannot be intended, that he gave any right to another to law violent hands mon his person. In the making of a Common-wealth, every man giveth away the right of defending another; but not of defending himselfe. Also he obligeth himselfe, to assist him that beth the Soveraignty, in the Punishing of another; but of himselfe not. But to covenant to assist the Soversion, in doing burt to another. unlesse he that so covenanteth have a right to doe it himselfe, is not to give him a Right to Punish. It is manifest therefore that the Right which the Common-wealth (that is, he, or they that represent it) bath to Punish, is not grounded on any concession, or gift of the Subjects. But I have also shewed formerly, that before the Institution of Common-wealth, every man had a right to every thing. and to do whatsoever he thought necessary to his own preservation; subduing, burting, or killing any man in order thereunto. And this is the foundation of that right of Punishing, which is exercised in every Common-wealth. For the Subjects did not give the Soveraign that right; but onely in laying down theirs, strengthned him to use his own, as he should think fit, for the preservation of them all: so that it was not given, but left to him, and to him onely; and (excepting the limits set him by naturall Law) as entire, as in the condition of meer Nature, and of warre of every one against his neighbour.

From the definition of Punishment, I inferre, First, that neither private revenges, nor injuries of private mue, can properly be stilled Punishment; because they proceed not from publique Authority.

Secondly, that to be neglected, and unpreferred by the publique favour, is not a Punishment; because no new evill is thereby on any man inflicted; he is onely left in the exist on the series in before.

Thirdly, that the evil inflicted by publique Authority, without precedent publique condemnation, is not to be stilled by the name of Punishment; but of an hostile sol; because the fact for which a man is Punished, ought first to be Judged by publique Authority, to be a transgression of the Law.

Fourthly, that the evill inflicted by usurped power, and Judges without Authority from the Soveraign, is not Punishment; but an act of hostility; bocause the acts of power usurped, have not for Author, the person condemned; and therefore are not acts of publique Authority.

Pithily, that all orall which is inflicted without intention, or possibility of disposing the Delinquent, or (by his example) other mee, to obey the Lawes, is not Funishment; but an act of hostility; because without such an end, no hurt done is contained under that name.

Sixthly, whereas to certain actions, there be annexed by Nature, divers hurtfull consequences; as when a man in assaulting another, is humselfs slain, or wounded; or when he falleth into sixfareese by the doing of some unlawfull act; such hurt, though in respect of God, who is the author of Nature, it may be said to be inflicted, and therefore a Punishment divine; yet it is not contancd in the name of Punishment in respect of men, because it is not inflicted by the Authority of man.

Seventhy, If the harm inflated be less than the benefit, or contentants that naturally followeds the crime committed, that harm is not within the defailton; and is rather the Prose or Redemption; than the Problemmet of a Crime; Beaussi is a of the nature of any which and (if it he less than the innell of the two obly the is statistic through the constant effect.

Eightlight II is Punishment be determined and prescribed in the Law i selfs, and after the crime commuted, three be a gratest Panishment inflicted, the accesse is not Punishment, but an act of boolility. For evening the agua of Punishment unknown, is taken away by the declaration of a great. Punishment unknown, is no part of the Punishment. But where there is no Punishment the nature of Punishment. For the that goes about the volume of a Law, wherein no penalty is determined, appendent has indeterments, that is to say, an aristmer Punishment.

Nindly, fiscure inficient for a fact done before there was a Law that fortwal it, is not Punishment, but an act of Hostilly: For before the Law, there is no transgression of the Law: But Punishment' supposed ha fact picked, to have been a transgression of the Law; Therefore Harme inflicted before the Law made, is not Punishment, but an act of Hostilly.

Tenthly, Kurt inflicted on the Representative of the Commonwealth, is not Punishment, but an act of Hostility: Because it is of the nature of Punishment, to be inflicted by publique Authority, which is the Authority only of the Representative it self.

Lastly, Harme inflicted upon one that is a declared enemy, fals not under the name of Punishment: Because sceing they were either never subject to the Law, and therefore cannot transgresse it: or having been subject to it, and professing to be no longer so, by consequence deny they can transgresse it, all the Harmes that can be done them, must be taken as acts of Hostility. But in declared Hostility, all infliction of evill is lawfull. From whence it followeth, that if a subject shall by fact, or word, wittingly, and deliberatly dony the authority of the Representative of the Common wealth, (whatsoever penalty hath been formerly ordained for Treason,) he may lawfully be made to suffer whatsoever the Representative will: For in denying subjection, he denves such Punishment as by the Law hath been ordained; and therefore suffers as an enemy of the Common-wealth; that is, according to the will of the Representative For the Punishments set down in the Law, are to Subjects, not to Enemies; such as are they, that having been by their own act Subjects, deliberately revolting, depy the Soversign Power,

The first, and most generall distribution of Punishments, is into Dirans, and Humane. Of the former I shall have occasion, to speak, in a more convenient place hereafter.

Humane, are those Punishments that be inflicted by the Commandement of Man; and are either Corporall, or Pecuniary, or Ignoming, or Imprisonment, or Exile, or mixt of these.

Corporal Punishment is that, which is inflicted on the body directly, and according to the intention of him that inflicteth it: such as are stripes, or wounds, or deprivation of such pleasures of the body. as were before lawfully enjoyed.

And of these, some be Capitall, some issues than Capitall. Capitall, is the Infliction of Beaki, and that sither amply, or with torramet. Lesse than Capitall, are Stripes, Wounds, Chains, and any other copycall Peins, not in its own matter mortall. For it upon the Infliction of a Panshament death follow not in the intention of the Inflicter, the Poushament death follow not in the intention of the Inflicter, and poushament is not to be settemed. Capitall, though the harme prove mortall by an accident not to be foreseen; in which case don'th not inflicted, but hardened.

Pecuniary Punishment, is that which consisted not only in the deprivation of a Summe of Mony, but also of Lands, or any other goods which are usually bought and sold for mony. And in case the Law, that ordaineth such a punishment, be made with design to gather mony, from such as shall transgresse the same, it is not properly a Punishment, but the Price of priviledge, and exemption from the Law, which doth not absolutely forbid the fact, but only to those that are not able to pay the mony: except where the Law is Naturali, or part of Religion: for in that case it is not an exemption from the Law, but a transgression of it. As where a Law exacteth a Pecuniary mulot, of them that take the name of God m vaine, the payment of the mulot, is not the price of a dispensation to sweare, but the Punishment of the transgression of a Law undispensable. In like manner if the Law impose a Summe of Mony to be payd, to him that has been Injured; this is but a satisfaction for the hurt done him; and extinguisheth the accusation of the party injured, not the crime of the offender.

<u>Invalue</u>, is the finiteton of such Nvill, as is anade Disbonrable; or the depirition of such Good, as as made homorable by Maiure; as the effect of Coursey, Magnelinghiny, Steength, Wildone, and either shiftse of body and mind; Öthers made Honorable by the Common-wealth, as Badger, TURE, Offices, or any other singular make of the Sourcesigns favour. The former, (Elough they may filled by nature, or acclient), issues to babeta ways by a Law; and the shiftse of the sourcesign favour. The former, (Elough they may filled by nature, or acclient), issues to babeta ways by a Law; and and are yroperly Turishments. Such are degrading men condenual, of their Badges, Titles, and Offices; or declaring them uncapable of the bike in the to come.

Imprisonment, is when a man is by publique Authority deprived

of liberty: and may happen from two divers ends: whereof one is the safe custody of a man accused: the other is the inflicting of name on a man condemned. The former is not Punishment; because no man is supposed to be Punisht, before he be Judicially heard, and declared guilty. And therefore whatsoever hurt a man is made to suffer by bonds, or restraint, before his cause be heard, over and above that which is necessary to assure his custody, is against the Law of Nature. But the later is Punishment, because Evill, and inflicted by publique Authority, for somewhat that has by the same Authority been Judged a Transgression of the Law, Under this word Imprisofn]ment, I comprehend all restraint of motion, caused by an externall obstacle, be it a House, which is called by the general name of a Prison; or an Iland, as when men are said to be confined to it: or a place where men are set to worke, as m old time men have been condemned to Quarries, and in these times to Gallies, or boit a Chaine, or any other such immediment.

Exde. (Banishment) is when a man is for a orme, condemned to depart out of the dominion of the Common-wealth, or out of a certaine part thereof: and during a prefixed time, or for ever, not to return into it: and seemeth not in its own nature, without other ercumstances, to be a Punishment; but rather an escape, or a publique commandement to avoid Punishment by flight. And Cicero sayes, there was never any such Punishment ordained in the City of Rome: but cals it a refuge of men in danger. For if a man banished, he neverthelesse permitted to enjoy his Goods, and the Revenue of his Lands, the meer change of ayr is no Funishment; nor does it tend to that benefit of the Common-wealth, for which all Punishments are ordained, (that is to say, to the forming of mens wils to the observation of the Law;) but many times to the dammage of the Common-wealth. For a Banished man, is a lawfull enemy of the Common-wealth that banished him: as being no more a Member of the same. But if he be withall deprived of his Lands, or Goods, then the Punishment lyeth not in the Exile, but is to be reakoned amonest Punishments Pecuniary.

All Funcienteents of Innocent subjects, be they great or lithin, are accurate the Law, and Waters for Purishment is only for Transgreastics of the Law, and Waterson the subject of the subject of the Innocent. It is therefore a violation, First, of that Law of any thing but some future good: Nor there can artire no good to the Common-wealth by Funishing the Innocent. Secondary, of thest, which forbiddeth Ingratitude: For seeing all Soversign Powe, is originally given by the consent of every one of the Subjects, to the end they should as long as they are obelient, he probated thereby: the Fundament of the Innocent. Is even of Fill is to asy, an equal distribution of Justice; which in Fundaments

But the Infliction of what evill scever, on an Innocent man, that

is not a Subject, if it be for the benefit of the Common-wealth, and without violation of any former Covenant, is no breach of the Law of Natura. For all men that are not Subjects, are either Enemics, or else they have ceased from being so, by some precedent covenants. But against Enemies, whom the Commonwealth judgeth capable to do them hurt, it is lawfull by the originall Right of Nature to make warre: wherein the Sword Judgeth not, nor doth the Victor make distinction of Nocent, and Innocent, as to the time past; nor has other respect of mercy, than as it conduceth to the good of his own People. And upon this ground it is, that also in Subjects, who deliberatly deny the Authority of the Common-wealth established, the vengeance is lawfully extended, not onely to the Fathers, but also to the third and fourth generation not yet in being, and consequently innocent of the fact, for which they are afflicted: because the nature of this offence, consisteth in the renouncing of subjection; which is a relapse into the condition of warre, commonly called Rebellion; and they that so offend, suffer not as Subjects, but as Enemies. For Rebellion, is but warrs renewed.

REWARD, is either of Gift, or by Contract. When by Contract, is is called Salary, and Wages; which is benefit due for service performed, or promised When of Guit, it is benefit proceeding from the grace of them that bestow it, to encourage, or enable men to do them service. And therefore when the Soveraign of a Commonwealth appoints ha Salary to any publique Office, he that receiveth it, is bound in Justice to performe his office; otherwise, he is bound onely m honour, to acknowledgement, and an endeavour to requitall. For though men have no lawfull remedy, when they be commanded to gut their private businesse, to serve the publique, without Reward. or Salary; yet they are not bound thereto, by the Law of Nature, nor by the Institution of the Common-wealth, unlesse the service cannot otherwise be done; because it is supposed the Soveraign may make use of all their means, insomuch as the most common Souldier, may demand the wages of his warrefare, as a debt.

The bonofits which a Soveraign bestoveth on a Subjock, for four of some power, and ability he hash to do harts to the Commonwealth, are not properly Rewards; for they are not Salaryes; oliged airmainty not to do the Common-wealth disserver, not zero they Graces; bocease they be extorted by fear, which cough not to be indicate to the Soversup (Power: but are raised Socifices, which the Soveraign Considered in his natural person, and not in the person of the Common-wealth) maker, for the sopressing the dissentent of obselines, but on the constary, to the continuous, and mercusing obselines, but on the contrary, to the continuous, and mercusing of further extortion.

And whereas some Salaries are certain, and proceed from the publique Treasure; and others uncertain, and casual, proceeding from the secontion of the Office for which the Salary is ordained; the later is an some cases furtfull to the Common-wealth, as in the case of Judicature. For where the baseful of this Judges, and Ministers of a Court of Justice, anseth for the multitude of Cases that are brought to lutic cognisance, there must needs follow two Inconveniences: One, is the nourishing of suites, for the more suites, the greater benefit; and another that depend on that, which is contention about Justicitietien; each Court deswing to it selfs, as many Causes at it cass. But in offlies of Execution there are not areased by any endersvour of their own. And thus much shall suffice for the naiver of Punchmonet, and Rewardly which are, as it were, the Nerves and Tendons, that move the limbes and joynts of a Common-wealth.

Hitherto I have set forth the nature of Man, (whose Fride and other Passions have compelled hint to submit himselfs to Governments) together with the great power of his Governion, whom I compared to Lexishan, taking that comparison out of the two last the great power of Lexastan, called him King of the Frond. There is unching, saith he, en scrift, be compared with har. In it is made so es not b be afraid. Hose self every high thing below then; and is subject to decay, as all other Earthly creatures are; and because there is that in herves, (though not on scrift) has to should stand following Claapters speak of his Diseases, and the course of his Mortality; and or what Lawse of Nature to is bound to hory.

## CHAP. XXIX

#### Of those things that Weaken, or tend to the DISSOLUTION of a Common-wealth

Throug nothing can be unmortall, which mortals make; yet, if men had the use of reason they pretend to, their Common-weakins might be secured, as least, from perishing by internall diseases. For some state of their Institution, they are adapted to the two sets of the nature of their Institution, they are adapted to the disease. If the the two sets the two sets the Mortan and the two gives them like. Therefore when they come to be diseaved, not by external violance, but intestine disorder, the fault is not in man, a they are the Matter, but as the two are the Mortan, and indexes of the Lagrange and the set of the set of the set of the two theraselyne into the fault with the like is best to for the masslyne into one form and all saturg edifice, to for want, both of the adapted the set one their actions by and also them like, and methene, to suffic the radions of moberone points of

their present greatnesse to be taken off, they cannot without the help of a very able Architest, be compiled, into any other than a crasis building, such as hardly lasting out their own time, must assuredly fall upon the heads of their posterity.

Amongst the Infirmities therefore of a Common wealth, I will reckon in the first place, these that arise from an Imperfect Institution, and resemble the diseases of a naturall body, which proceed from a Defectuous Programmed.

Of which, this is one, That a man to obtain a Kingdome, is sometimes content with lesse Power, than to the Peace, and defence of the Common-wealth is necessarily required. From whence it commeth to passe, that when the exercise of the Power layd by, is for the publique safety to be resumed, it hath the resemblance of an unjust act; which disposeth great numbers of men (when occasion is presented) to rebell: In the same manner as the bodies of children. gotten by diseased parents, are subject either to untimely death, or to purge the ill quality, derived from their vicious conception, by breaking out into biles and scabbs. And when Kings deny themselves some such necessary Power, it is not alwayes (though sometimes) out of ignorance of what is necessary to the office they undertake; but many times out of a hope to recover the same again at their pleasure: Wherein they reason not well: because such as will hold them to their promises, shall be maintained against them by forraign Common-wealths; who in order to the good of their own Subjects let alin few occasions to weaken the estate of their Neighbours. So was Thomas Becket Archhishop of Canterbury, supported against Henry the Second, by the Pope; the subjection of Ecclesiastrques to the Common-wealth, having been dispensed with by William the Conquerour at his reception, when he took an Oath, not to infringe the liberty of the Church. And so were the Barons, whose power was by William Rufus (to have their help in transferring the Succession from his Elder brother, to humselfe,) encreased to a degree, inconsistent with the Soveraign Power. maintained in their Rebellion against King John, by the French.

Nor does this happen un Monaroly ondy. For whereas the still of the antimit Round Common Common weak, was, The Scene, and Popul of Rome, nuither Senate, nor Pople pretended to the whole Power; which first cancel the Sections, of Diversis Gradowice, Guide Gradowic, Lucius Gaternamus, and others; and aftermark the warron between the Senate and the Pople, under Maries and Spills; and again under Pomyeg and Caser, to the Extinction of their Democraty, and the setting of Monaroly.

The people of Alkers bound themselves but from one onely Action, which was, that no muon on pain of dest should propound the recovering of the warre for the Islands of Solarnis; And yes thereby, if Solar Bad not easued to be given out he was mad, and pounded it to the People that flocked about him, they had had an pounded it to the People that flocked about him, they had had an enony perpetually in readiness, even at the gates of their Güis; such dammage, or shifts, are all Common-wealths forced to, that have their Power never so little limited.

In this second pilos, I öberret bla Diesses of a Common.wealth, that proceed from the payson of selfitout activates, where of our is, That avery practe mar is Judge of Good and Built actions. This is true in the conduction of meet Nature, where there are no Critic detormized by the Law. But otherwas, it is munifiest, that the measure of Good and Swill actions is the Cavil Law; and the Judge the Jagiatice, who is always Representative of the Commonwealth. From this fails down, men are disposed to debate with and Anternation to obey, or diskour thum, as in their pirites judgement short shall this fails to obey the Common-wealth future to advected.

Another doctrine repugnant to Gvill Society is, that whateover a mar does against his Constance, so Sinne; and it dependent on the presemption of making humself judge of Good and Evil. For a man Conscience, and its Judgements is the same thing; and as the Joseph he that is subject to no Gvill Low, simmeth in all he does against hat Gomeiners, because he has no other rule to follow but his own reason; yet it is not so with hum that hrees in a Commonweakh; because the Law as the publique Conscience, by which he hath already undertaken to be guided. Otherwise in such diversity, to okey the Sovereign Power, farther than it shall seem good in his his own ress.

It hath been also commonly taught, That Faith and Sanctity. are not to be attained by Study and Reason, but by supernaturall Inspiration or Infusion, which granted, I see not why any man should render a reason of his Faith; or why every Christian should not be also a Prophet; or why any man should take the Law of his Country, rather than his own Inspiration, for the rule of his action. And thus wee fall again into the fault of taking upon us to Judge of Good and Evili; or to make Judges of it, such private men as pretend to be supernaturally Inspired, to the Dissolution of all Civill Government. Faith comes by hearing, and hearing by those aceidents, which guide us into the presence of them that speak to us; which accidents are all contrived by God Almighty; and yet are not supernaturall, but onely, for the great number of them that concurre to every effect, unobservable. Faith, and Sanctity, are indeed not very frequent; but yet they are not Miracles, but brought to passe by education, discipline, correction, and other natural waves, by which God worketh them in his elect, at such time as he thinketh fit. And these three opinions, permissions to Peace and Government, have in this part of the world, proceeded chiefly from the tongues, and pens of unlearned Divines; who joyning the

words of Holy Scripture together, otherwise than is agreeable to reason, do what they can, to make men think, that Sanctily and Natural Reason, cannot stand together.

A fourth opinion, repugnant to the nature of a Common-wealth, is thus, That he that fails die Soversign Power, is enbyzet to the Coull Lausse. It is true, that Soversign Asser all subject to the Lawse of Common-wealth is a solution of Divine, and ensand by any man, or Common-wealth is a solution. But to those Lowes in an intering the solution of the term of the solution of the term is not ablied. For to be subject to Lawse, is to be subject to the Common-wealth, that is to the Soversign Representative, that is to humselfer, which is not subject to Lawse. It to be subject to the Common-wealth, that is to the Soversign Representative, that is to humselfer, which is not subject to Lawse. Above the Soversign, which a rour, because is setted this Lawse above the Soversign, is to make a use Soversign; and a given to push hum, which is to make a use Soversign; and again for the succe result.

A fifth doctime, thus tendeth to the Dissolution of a Commonwealth, is, Their energ priora tens has an abouth Propriety in his Goods; such, as exclusion that Erghts of the Sourraign. Every man has indeed a Propriety thats evaluates the Right of every other Subjects And he has it oncly from the Sourrenign Power; without the protection whereas, every other man should have equal Right to the same. But if the Right of the Sourrenign also be excluded, he cannot performe the offices they have put him into; which is, to defend them both from forrange enemas, and from the injuries of one another; and consequently these is no longer a Common-wealth.

And if the Propriety of Subjects, exclude not the Right of the Soversign Representative to their Goods; much lesse to their offices of Judicature, or Execution, in which they Represent the Soversign Aimsolic.

There is a Sixth doctrine, plainly, and directly against the sensor of a Common-wellky; and the thin, *That the Seconsign Power may be divided.* For what is it to divide imputably destroy each other, but to Discover, it, for Powers divided imputably destroy each other, that making profession of the Lawse, endavour to make there depend your their own learning, and not your the Lossphitre Power.

And as Plass Dostrins, no also other times the Txanpie of different Government m. a neighbouring Mution, disposet men to alteration of the forme already selfed. So the people of the Jerns were stirred up to reject God, and to call upon the Prophet Samuel, for a King stret the manner of the Nations: So also the lesses Cities of Grees, were continually discussion, while self-to all most controls, and Denorphile lactors; now part of almost every controls, the Muticas, And Londo ton, but rany tree, have been control to see the last trunche in *Insights*, out of an initiation of the Low Constraints, here ended no more to grow rich, then to change, as they had done, the form of their Government. For the constitution of mans nature, is of it selfs exhicit to desire novelly. When therefore they are provoked to the same, by the neighbourhood also of these that have best carried by it, it is almost impossible for them, not to be content with those that solids them to change; and how the first beginnings, though they having gotten the subt, there when such their own nayles, thil they exe endure the spart to longer.

And as to Robellion in particular against Monarohy; one of the most frequent causes of it, is the Reading of the books of Policy. and Histories of the antient Greeks, and Romans: from which, young men, and all others that are unprovided of the Antidote of solid Resson, receiving a strong, and delightfull impression, of the great exploits of warre, atchieved by the Conductors of their Armies. receive withall a pleasing Idea, of all they have done besides; and imagine their great prosperity, not to have proceeded from the semulation of particular men, but from the vertue of their popular forme of government: Not considering the frequent Seditions. and Civill warres, produced by the imperfection of their Policy. From the reading, I say, of such books, men have undertaken to kill their Kings, because the Greek and Latine writers, in their books, and discourses of Policy, make it lawfull, and laudable, for any man so to do: provided before he do it, he call him Tyrant. For they say not Regicide, that is, killing of a King, but Tyrannicide, that is, killing of a Tyrant is lawfull. From the same books, they that live under a Monarch conceive an opinion, that the Subjects in a Popular Common-wealth enjoy Liberty; but that in a Monarchy they are all Slaves. I say, they that live undor a Monarchy conceive such an opinion: not they that live under a Popular Government: for they find no such matter. In summe, I cannot imagine, how any thing can be more prejudiciall to a Monarchy, than the allowing of such books to be publikely read, without present applying such correctives of discreet Masters, as are fit to take away their Venime: Which Venime I will not doubt to compare to the biting of a mad Dogge, which is a disease the Physicians call Hydrophobia, or fear of Water. For as he that is so bitten, has a continuall torment of thirst, and yet abhorreth water; and is in such an estate, as if the poyson endeavoureth to convert him into a Dogge: So when a Monarchy is once hitten to the quick, by those Democraticall writers, that continually snarle at that estate; it wanteth nothing more than a strong Monarch, which neverthelesse out of a certain Turannophobia, or leare of being strongly governed, when they have hum, they abhorre.

As there have been Doutors, that hold there he three Soules in a man: so there he sloot hat think there may be more Soules, (that is, more Soversigns,) than one, in a Common-wealth; and set up a Supremary against the Soversignity; Comos against Lance; and a Globaly Authority against the Oistil; working on mens minds, with

words and distinctions, that of themselves signific nothing, but hewray (by their obscurity) that there walkoth (as some think invisibly) another Kingdome, as it were a Kingdome of Faynes, in the dark. Now seeing it is manifest, that the Civill Power, and the Power of the Common-wealth is the same thing; and that Supremacy, and the Power of making Canons, and granting Faculties unplyeth a Common-wealth; it followeth, that where one is Soveraign, another Supremo; where one can make Lawes, and another make Canons; there must needs be two Common-wealths, of one & the same Subjects; which is a Kingdome divided in it selfe, and cannot stand. For notwithstanding the insignificant distinction of Temporall, and Ghostly, they are still two Kingdomes. and every Subject is subject to two Masters For seeing the Ghostly Power challengeth the Right to declare what is Sinne it challengeth by consequence to declare what is Law, (Sinne being nothing but the transgression of the Law;) and again, the Civill Power challenging to declare what is Law, every Subject must obey two Masters. who both will have their Commands be observed as Law; which is impossible. Or, if it be but one Kingdome, ather the Citall, which is the Power of the Common-wealth, must be subordinate to the Ghosilu, and then there is no Soversignty but the Ghosily; or the Ghostly must be subordinate to the Temporall, and then there is no Supremacy but the Temporall. When therefore these two Powers oppose one another, the Common-wealth cannot but be in great danger of Civill warre, and Dissolution. For the Gwill Authority being more visible, and standing in the cleaver light of naturall reason, cannot choose but draw to it in all times a very considerable part of the people: And the Spirituall, though it stand in the darknesse of Schoole distinctions, and hard words; yet because the fear of Darknesse, and Ghosts, is greater than other fears, cannot want a party sufficient to Trouble, and sometimes to Destroy a Common wealth, And this is a Disease which not unfitly may be compared to the Epilepsie, or Falling-sicknesse (which the Jewes took to be one kind of possession by Spirits) in the Body Naturall. For as in this Disease, there is an unnatural spirit, or wind in the head that obstructeth the roots of the Nerves, and moving them violently, taketh away the motion which naturally they should have from the power of the Soule in the Brain, and thereby causeth violent, and irregular motions (which men call Convulsions) in the parts; insomuch as he that is soized therewith, falleth down sometimes into the water, and sometimes into the fire, as a man deprived of his senses, so also in the Body Politique, when the souritnall nower, moveth the Members of a Common-wealth, by the tercour of punishments, and hope of rowards (which are the Nerves of it,) otherwise than by the Civill Power (which is the Soule of the Common-wealth) they ought to be moved; and by strange, and hard words sufficiates their understanding, it must needs thereby Distract the people, and officer Overwhelm the Common-wealth with Oppression, or east it into the Fire of a Civill warre.

Sometimes also in the meerly Civili government, there be more than one Soule: As when the Power of levying mony, (which is the Nutritive faculty.) has depended on a generall Assembly; the Power of conduct and command, (which is the Motive faculty.) on one man; and the Power of making Lawes, (which is the Rational) faculty,) on the accidentall consent, not onely of those two, but also of a third; This endangereth the Common-wealth, somtimes for want of consent to good Lawes; but most often for want of such Nourishment, as is necessary to Lafe, and Motion. For although few perceive, that such government, is not government. but division of the Common-wealth into three Factions, and call it mixt Monarchy: yet the truth is, that it is not one independent Common-wealth, but three independent Factions; nor one Representative Person, but three. In the Kingdome of God, there may ba three Persons independent, without breach of unity in God that Reigneth; but where men Reigne, that he subject to diversity of opinions, it cannot be so And therefore if the King bear the nerson of the People, and the generall Assembly bear also the person of the People, and another Assembly bear the person of a Part of the people, they are not one Person, nor one Soveraign, but three Persons, and three Soveraigns.

To what Disease in the Naturall Body of man I may exactly compare the irregularity of a Common-wealth, I know now. But I have seen a man, that had another man growing out of his side, with an head, armes, broast, and stonnod, of his ovar. If he had had another man growing out of his other side, the comparison might then have been exact

Hitherto I have named such Discases of a Common-wealth, as are of the greatest, and most present danger. There be other, not so great; which neverthelesse are not unfit to be observed. At first, the difficulty of raising Mony, for the necessary uses of the Common-wealth; especially in the approach of warre. This difficulty ariseth from the opinion, that every Subject hath of a Propriety in his lands and goods, exclusive of the Soveraigns Right to the use of the same. From whence it commeth to passe, that the Soveraign Power, which foreseeth the necessities and dangers of the Common-wealth, (finding the passage of mony to the publique Treasure obstructed, by the tenscity of the people,) whereas it ought to extend it selfe, to encounter, and prevent such dangers in their beginnings, contracteth it selfe as long as it can, and when it cannot longer, struggles with the people by strategems of Law, to obtain little summes, which not sufficing, he is fain at last violently to open the way for present supply, or Perish; and being put often to these extremities, at last reduceth the people to their due temper; or else the Common-wealth must perish. Insomuch as we may compare this Distemper very aptly to an Ague; wherein, the fleshy parts being congealed, or by venomous matter obstructed. the Veins which by their naturall course empty themselves into the Heart, are not (as they ought to be) supplyed from the Arteries, whereby there succeeded at first a cold contraction, and termoling of the imboy and Astruvate a took, and storage endewour of the Heart, to force a passage for the Bload; and before it can do that, contentiat, it will owith the small refersionment of such things as the continuety of the parts obstructed, and dispatch the venome into average of the parts obstructed, and dispatch the venome into average of (I Nature be too weak) the Pattern dyets.

Again, there is sometimes in a Common-weakly, a Disasse, which resemblet the Plourisse; and that u, when the Treasure of the Common-weakle, flowing out of its due sourse, as gathered together into much houndances in one, or a few pravate men, by Monopulies, or by Farmes of the Publique Revenues; in the same manner as the Blood in a Plourisia, getting into the Memonse of the breast, breadeh there an Inflammation, accompanied with a Fover, and onight listices.

Also, the Topularity of a potent Subject, funcieses the Commonwalth have very good caution in find(it); as dangeoun Disease; because the people (which should receive shelr motion from the Authority of its Storemiz), by the flattery, and designed they have spetaken the store of the store of the store of the store of the Lawes, to follow a mun, of whose vertice, and designed they have spotken to follow a mun, of whose vertice, and designed they have spofrequencies. The store of the store of the store of the store downleting. And this is commonly of more danger in a Popular Government, than in a Monaroby; because an Army so do great freque. By this means it was, that Julias Gazar, who was set pop the Popula against the because, the use to instable the or by the Popula against the because, the use to instable the People. And the proteeting of popular, and emplifuence mon, is abin Robellion; and may be resulted to the destor of Witcheraft.

Another infirmity of a Common-wealth, is the immodences greatcase of a Youn, when is is able to turnish out of its own (Freuz, the number, and expense of a great Army: As also the great, number of Corporations; which are as its ware many bases? Common-wealths in the bowlet of a greater, like worms, the infine entrayies of a natural man. To whom may be added, the Liberty of Disputing against bases of the most partial the Lease of all Produces; which though bod for the most partial the descent of the formal work of Palse Doctrines, are propentially mellung with the rendmanneal Laves, to the moleration of the Common-wealth; like the little Worms, which Physicana call Accords.

We may farther adds, the installe appetite, or Bulinno, of enlarging Dominion; with the instrable Worads thereiny many times received from the enemy: And the Worst, of united conguests, which are taxiny times a burthen, and with lesse dange loss, than kept; As also the Lehargy of Ease, and Consumption of Riot and Vain Expense.

Lastly, when in a warre (forraign, or intestine.) the enemies get a finall Victory; so as (the forces of the Common-wealth keeping the field no longer) there is no farther protection of Subjects in their logality, then is the Common-weakth Dissortram, and every man as lifetry to protect himsel by setucit courses as his own develop shall angent and him. For the Normality, as the publicue Sould, giving Members are governed by it no more, than the Carsase of a man, by he departed (though Immortal) Sould For though the Raght of a Soveraign Nonavity cannot be extinguished by the acto another yest in Objection of the manipus man. The the another yest in Objection of the manipus man, but the could be also the state of the source of the source object of an Assembly is once suppressed, the Right of the manipus of an Assembly is once suppressed, the Right of the same periods in the Protection so long as he is able. But when the Power of an Assembly is once suppressed, the Right of the same periods in the Soveraignty to east the Soveraignty to resolve.

#### CHAP. XXX

#### Of the Ovfice of the Soveraign Representative

This Overage of the Soveraign, the it a Monarch, or an Assembly, consider in the end, for which to was trusted with the Soveragin Power, namely the pronuntion of *Us active of the specific*, to which he is obliged by the Law of Nature, and to render an account thereof to God, the Author of that Law, and to none hut hum. But by Safety hack, is not meant a bare Preservation, but is also all other Contentments of *He*, which every man by hardful Jadustry, willout danger, or hur to the Common weakh, shall account to himsdis

And this is intended should be done, not by care applyed to Individually, further than their protection from mignics, when they shall complain: but by a generall Providence, contained in publique Interaction, both of Doctine, and Example: and n the making, and executing of good Lawes, to which individuall persons may apply their own cases.

And because, if the essential Rights of Soversignty (pendicid before in the digitement Capter) be taken away, the Common would and calamity of warr with every man returneh into the confluenand calamity of warr with every other man, (which is the greatest will that can happen in this life; it is the Office of the Soversign, to manitark these Rights outtiers and consequently against his diry; First, to transferre to another, or to lay from himselfs any of tiem. The is that describe the first, and consequently against his diry; Rist, to transferre to another, or to lay from himselfs any of tiem. The is that describe the Maxa, described the Ends; and he describe the Means, that bring the Soversign, asknowlaged and Saystems Afoliations, or of making Wares, or Freen by his core Athority; or of Judging of the Necessitis of the Common-would);

own considence he shall judge necessary; or of making Officers, and Ministes boin of Wars, and Pessoy; or of appointing Techers, and examining what Doctrines are conformable, or contrary to the Defense, Pesce, and Good of the people. Secondly, it is against ha Duty, to list the people be ignorant, or ma-informed of the grounds, not reasons of thresh the securital Rights; because thereby men are easis to be seduced, and drawn to resist him, when the Common-weakh shall recurre they use and exercise.

And the grounds of these Rights, have the rather need to be diagently, and truly tanght; because they cannot be maintained by any Gvill Law, or terrour of legall punkhment. For a Gvill Law, that shall forbid Robeling (and such as all resistance to the essential Rights of Soversugnty) a not (as a Civil Law) any obligation, but by vertise ensity of the Law of Nature, that forbiddels the violation of Faithy, which natural obligation if mon know maketh. And for the Punkiment, they take to but for an east of Hostily; which when they think they have strength enough, they will enderscore they acts of Mostily, to avoid.

As I have heard some say, that Justice is but a word, without substance: and that whatsoever a man can by force, or act, acouire to himselfe, (not onely in the condition of warre, but also in a Common-wealth.) is his own, which I have already shewed to be false: So there he also that maintain, that there are no grounds, nor Principles of Reason, to sustain those essential! Rights, which make Soversignty absolute. For if there were, they would have been found out in some place, or other; whereas we see, there has not hitherto been any Common-wealth, where those Rights have been acknowledged, or challenged. Wherein they argue as ill, as if the Savage people of America, should deny there were any grounds, or Principles of Reason, so to build a house, as to last as long as the materials, because they never yet saw any so well built. Time, and Industry, produce every day new knowledge. And as the art of well building, is derived from Principles of Reason. observed by industrious men, that had long studied the nature of materials, and the divers effects of figure, and proportion. long after mankind began (though poorly) to build: So, long time after men have begun to constitute Common-wealths, imperfect, and ant to relapse into disorder, there may, Principles of Resson be found out. by industrious meditation, to make their constitution (excepting by external violence) everlasting. And such are those which I have in this discourse set forth: Which whether they come not into the sight of those that have Power to make use of them, or be neglected by them, or not, concerneth my particular interest, at this day, very little. But supposing that these of mine are not such Principles of Reason; yet I am sure they are Principles from Authority of Scripture; as I shall make it appear when I shall come to speak of the Kingdome of God, (administred by Moses,) over the Jewes, his peculiar people by Covenant,

But they say again, that though the Principles be right, yet Common people are not of capacity enough to be made to understand them. I should be glad, that the Rich, and Potent Subjects of a Kingdome, or those that are accounted the most Learned, were no lesse incapable than they. But all men know, that the obstructions to this kind of doctrine, proceed not so much from the difficulty of the matter, as from the interest of them that are to learn. Potent men, digest hardly any thing that setteth up a Power to bridle their affections; and Learned man, any thing that discovereth their errours, and thereby lesseneth their Authority : whereas the Commonpeoples minds, unlesse they be tainted with dependance on the Potent, or scribbled over with the opinions of their Doctors, are like clean namer, fit to receive whatspever by Publique Authority shall be imprinted in them. Shall whole Nations be brought to acquiesce in the great Mysteries of Christian Religion, which are above Reason: and millions of men be made believe, that the same Body may be in innumerable places, at one and the same time, which is against Reason; and shall not men be able, by their teaching, and preaching, protected by the Law, to make that received. which is so consonant to Reason, that any unprejudicated man. needs no more to learn it, than to hear it? I conclude therefore, that in the instruction of the people in the Essentiall Rights (which are the Naturall, and Fundamentall Lawes) of Soveraignty, there is no difficulty, (whilest a Soveraign has his Power entire.) but what proceeds from his own fault, or the fault of those whom he trusteth in the administration of the Common-wealth; and consequently, it is his Duty, to cause them so to be instructed: and not onely his Duty, but his Benefit also, and Security, against the danger that may arrive to himselfe in his naturall Verson, from Rebellion.

And (to descend to particulars) the People are to be taught. First, that they ought not to be in love with any forme of Government they see in their neighbour Nations, more than with their own, nor (whatsoever present prosperity they behold in Nations that are otherwise governed than they,) to desire change. For the prosperity of a People ruled by an Aristocraticall, or Democraticall assembly, commeth not from Aristocracy, nor from Democracy, but from the Obedience, and Concord of the Subjects: nor do the people flourish in a Monarchy, because one man has the right to rule them, but because they obey him. Take away in any kind of State, the Obedicpoo, (and consequently the Concord of the People,) and they shall not onely not flourish but in short time be dissolved. And they that go about by disobedience, to doo no more than reforme the Common-wealth, shall find they do thereby destroy it; like the foolish daughters of Peleus (in the fable;) which desiring to renew the youth of their decrepit Father, did by the Counsell of Medea, out him in ploces, and boyle hum, together with strange herbs, but made not of him a new man. This desire of change, 18 like the breach of the first of Gods Commandements: For there God saves. Non habebis Deos alienos: Thou shalt not have the

181

Gods of other Nations; and in another place concerning K:nqs, that they are Gods.

Secondly, they are to be taught, that they ought not to be led with admittation of the vertue of any of their fellow Subjects, how high access the stand, nor how conspiciously source he thins in the Common-veshift, nor of any Assembly, (except the Soversign Assembly) has as to deferre to them any obsidiance, or honour, but such as is correspind by them from the Soversign Atthenty. For that Soversign, exampted a constraint of the soversign object of the soversign and them, but sufficts them by the fattery of the such as is correspind by them, but sufficts them by the fattery of the such as is correspind by them, but sufficts them by the fattery of the such as is one factors of them, but sufficts them by the fattery of the such as is not solve on of them, but sufficts them by the fattery of the fatter Booken by Preachers; and by publishing the same in the open streast, which may filly be compared to the violation of the second of the test Oormanadements.

Thindly, in consequence to thus, they ought to be informed, how great a fault is, to speak eval of the Soverang Representative, (whether One mae, or and Assembly of must) or to argue and dispute his Power, or any way to use its Name, reversently, whereby he may be brought mto Contempt with has Poople, and their Obelganes (in which the stately of the Common-weakin consistent) alakemed. Which dootting the third Commandement by resemblance pointsth to.

Fourthly, seeing people cannot be taught this, nor when 'tis taught, remember it, nor after one generation past, so much as know in whom the Soveraum Power is placed, without setting a part from their ordinary labour, some certain times, in which they may attend those that are appointed to instruct them: It is necessary that some such times be determined, wherein they may assemble togother, and (after prayers and praises given to God, the Soveraign of Soversigns) hear those their Duties told them, and the Postuve Lawes, such as generally concern them all, read and expounded, and be put in mind of the Authority that maketh them Lawes. To his end had the Jewes every seventh day, a Sabbath, in which the Law was read and expounded: and in the solemnity whereof they were put in mind, that their King was God; that having created the world in six dayes, he rested the seventh day; and by their resting on it from their labour, that that God was their King, which redeemed them from their servile, and painfull labour in Egypt, and gave them a time, after they had rejoyced in God, to take joy also in themselves, by lawfull recreation. So that the first Table of the Commandements, is spent all, in setting down the summe of Gods absolute Power; not onely as God, but as King by pact, (in peculiar) of the Jewes: and may therefore give light, to those that have Soversign power conferred on them by the consent of men, to see what doctrine they Ought to teach their Subjects.

And because the first instruction of Children, dependeth on the

care of their Parents; it is messeary that they should be obelient to them, whilese they are onder their tuilor; and not ordy so, but that also afterwards (as gratitude requireds), they acknowledge the benefit of their extenders, by external agues of homore. To which end they are to be taught, that congradly the Facher of every nam was also hills Soverzight and while we over this of life and death, and their they required that absolute Power, yet it was never intended, they required that absolute Power, yet it was never intended, they required that absolute Power, yet it was never intended, they associated that absolute Power, yet it was never intended, they associate that absolute power, yet it was never intended, they associate that absolute power, yet it was never intended. They should bese the homore doe nots savely to Bo sociation. The trainquist social right, was not necessary to Bo why any man should desaw to have oblidtem, or make the case to sociatio from thom, that from other men. And this seconded with the fifth Ogmannedement.

Again, every Soveraign Ought to cause Justice to be taught. which (consisting in taking from no man what is his,) is as much as to say, to cause men to be taught not to deprive their Neichbours. by violence, or fraud, of any thing which by the Soveraign Authority is there. Of things held in propriety, those that are deerest to a man are his own life, & limbs; and in the next degree (in most men,) those that concern conjugall affection; and after them riches and means of living. Therefore the People are to be taught, to abstain from violence to one anothers person, by private revenges; from violation of conjugall honour: and from forcable range, and irrudulent surreption of one anothers goods. For which purpose also it is necessary they be shewed the evill consequences of false Judgement, by corruption either of Judges or Witnesses, whereby the distinction of propriety is taken away, and Justice becomes of no effect; all which things are intimated in the sixth, seventh, sighth, and ninth Commandements.

Lastly, they are to be taught, that not onely the unjust last, but the designess and intentions to do them, (though by excited) thirdred), are injustice; which consists in the pravity of the well, as well as in the irregulative of the set. And thus it has metation of the tauth Commandments, and the summe of the account Table; which as the dotted of the set of the account Table; which as the dotted of the set of the set of the set of the set of the dotted of the set of the last of the set of the set of the set of the set of the last of the set of the set of the set of the reduced to the loss of God; whom they had then newly received as their Kins.

As for the Marns, and Conduits, by which the people may receive this Instruction, were are to search, by what means so many Opinions, contrary to the peace of Mankind, upon weak and false Principles, have nevertheless beens of deeply rotted in thom. I mean those, which I have in the proceeding Chapter specified: as That non shall which I have in the proceeding that is to only the horn on process but by ther own Considence, that is to only the horn process Judgements: That Subjects simes in obeying the Command of the Common-wells, unless they the these there is not process.

he iswfull: That their Propriety in their riches is such, as to exclude the Dominion, which the Common-wealth hath over the same: That it is lawfull for Subjects to kill such, as they call Tyrapts: That the Soveraign Power may be divided, and the like: which come to be instilled into the People by this means. They whom necessity, or covetousnesse keepeth attent on their trades, and labour; and they, on the other side, whom superfluity, or sloth carrieth after their sensuall pleasures, (which two sorts of men take up the greatest part of Man-kind.) being diverted from the deep meditation. which the learning of truth, not onely in the matter of Naturall Justice, but also of all other Sciences necessarily requireth, receive the Notions of their duty, chiefly from Divines in the Pulpit, and partly from such of their Neighbours, or familiar acquaintance, as having the Faculty of discoursing readily, and plausibly, seem wiser and better learned in cases of Law, and Conscience, than themselves. And the Divines, and such others as make shew of Learning, derive their knowledge from the Universities, and from the Schooles of Law, or from the Books, which by men eminent in those Schooles, and Universities have been published. It is therefore manifest, that the Instruction of the people, dependeth wholly, on the right teaching of Youth in the Universities. But are not (may some man say) the Universities of Bnoland learned enough already to do that? or is it you will undertake to teach the Universities? Hard questions. Yet to the first. I doubt not to answer: that till towards the later end of Henry the eighth, the Power of the Pope, was alwayes upheld against the Power of the Common-wealth, principally by the Universities; and that the doctrines maintained by so many Preachers, against the Soveraign Power of the King, and by so many Lawyers, and others, that had their education there, is a sufficient argument, that though the Universities were not authors of those false doctrines, yet they knew not how to plant the true. For m such a contradiction of Opmions, it is most certain, that they have not been sufficiently instructed: and 'us no wonder, if they yet retain a relish of that subtile liquor, wherewith they were first seasoned, against the Civill Authority. But to the later question, it is not fit, nor needfull for me to say either I, or No: for any man that sees what I am doing, may easily nerceive what I think

The safety of the People, requirely further, from hum, or them that have the Sovenign Pewer, this Justice be equally administred to all degrees of People; that is, that as well the rick, and mighty, them; to a utbe grade, may have no greater hope of impubly, when they doe violence, dishonour, or any Injury to the manner sort, than when one of these, does the lake to nee of them: For in this consistent. Equipy, to which, as being a Precopt the Law O Making, 8 Soverlaght is as much ablock, as any of the meaner of Naking, 8 Soverlaght or an unch ablock, as any of the meaner O Making, 8 Soverlaght or an unch ablock as any of the meaner Ormonoveshih: but there be some, that are also against prince Ormonoveshih: but there be common result onely, any without breach of Equity be pardoned, for every man may pardon what is done against himselfe, according to his own discretion. But an offence against a private man, cannot in Equity be pardoned, without the consent of him that is injured; or reasonable actisfaction.

The Inequality of Subjects, proceedent from the Acts of Soveraign Power; and therefore has no more place on the presence of the Soverange: that is to say, in a Coart of Justice, then the Inequality between Kings, and their Subjects, in the presence of the King of Kings. The honour of great Persons, is to be valued for their beneficience, and the synch they give to men of inferenze rank, or not as tail. And the violatore, oppressions, and injuries they do, are of estimated, but aggerowide by the greatmens of that persons; of this partiality towards the great, proceed in this manned. Inpunty maketh Inscience, insciences and contumellong greatness, though with the runse of the Common-walth.

To Equal Justice, apparteineth also the Equal imposition of Taxes; the Equality whereof dependeth not on the Equality of riches, but on the Equality of the debt, that every man oweth to the Common-wealth for his defence. It is not enough, for a man to labour for the maintenance of his hie; but also to fight, (if need be,) for the securing of his labour. They must either do as the Jewes did after their return from captivity, in re-edifying the Temple, build with one hand, and hold the Sword in the other; or else they must hire others to fight for them. For the Impositions, that are layd on the People by the Soveraign Power, are nothing else but the Wages, due to them that hold the publique Sword, to defend private men in the exercise of severall Trades, and Callings. Seeing then the benefit that every one receiveth thereby, is the enjoyment of life, which is equally dear to poor, and rich; the debt which a poor man oweth them that defend his life, is the same which a rich man oweth for the defence of his; saving that the rich, who have the service of the poor, may be debtors not enaly for their own persons. but for many more. Which considered, the Equality of Imposition, consisteth rather in the Equality of that which is consumed, than of the riches of the persons that consume the same. For what reason is there, that he which laboureth much, and sparing the fruits of his labour, consumeth little, should be more charged, then he that living idlely, getteth little, and spendeth all he gets: seeing the one hath no more protection from the Common wealth, then the other? But when the Impositions, are layd upon those things which men consume, every man payeth Equally for what he useth: Nor is the Common-wealth defrauded, by the luxurious waste of private men.

And whereas many men, by accident unevitable, become unable to maintain themselves by their labour; they ought not to be left to the Charity of private persons; but to be provided for, (as farforth as the necessities of Nature require, by the Lawes of the Common-wealth. For as it is Uncharitablenesse in any man, to neglect the impotent, so it is in the Soveraigu of a Common-wealth, to expose them to the hazard of such uncertein Charity.

But for such as have strong bolies, the case is otherware, they are to be forced to work; and to ary work the access of not finding semployment, there ought to be such Lawres, as may encourage all manner of Manifacture that requires labour. The multitude of poor, and yet strong pools still successing, they are to be transplated into Countrase our sufficiently inhabitod: where neverthickess, they are not to exterminate these they find there; but contained in the unlabit abase together, and not range a great deal of ground, to instatdent instruments, the strange a great deal of ground, to instating the third instrumences of the second. And when all the world a overcharged with hisbitants, then the last remedy of all is Warrs; which provided for ever man, by Victory, or Desh.

To the case of the Soremugn, belongeds the making of Good Laws. But what is a good Law? By a Good Law; I mean not a Just Law: for no Law can bo Unjust. The Law is made by the Sorwagn Power, and all that is done by such lower, is warranted, and owned by every one of the people, and that which every maa will have us, on man one may in mugnit. It is in the Laws of a Commonlaw on, is Injustice to more of them. A good Law is that, which is vessivil, in the Good of the Poole, and withal Perencous.

For the use of Lawres, (which are but Rules Authorited) is not to the the Popel from all Voluntary notions; but to direct and keep them in such a motion, as not to hard themselves by their own of the start is the such as the second start is the second start of the start Prevelles, but to keep them in the way. And therefore a Law that is not Needful, having not the tree End of a Law, is not Good. A Law may be considered to be Good when at is for the benefit of the Sorenign; though it is not Necessary for the Neopley be separated. It is a weak Sorenign; that has weak Subjects; and a weak People, whose Sorenign that has weak Subjects; Many, which where the right of Sorenign; Power is acknowledged. Many: which where the right of Sorenign Forwer is acknowledged, Many; which where the right of Sorenign Forwer is acknowledged, action of the off of Sorenign for the sore is the sort of the start is not acknowledged, unantificent to altern the sort.

The Perspioity, consistent not so much in the words of the Law it selfs, as in a Declaration of the Causes, and Moirvas, for which it was made. That us it, thus showes us the meaning of the Lagislator; understood by for, than many words. For all words, nose mbject to ambiguity; and therefore multiplication of words in the body of the Law, is multiplication of ambuguity. Basicis it seems to imply, (by too much diligence), that whosever can wrads the words, no when the second set of many innerseasy Processes. For when I counder how short were the Laws of astanti times; and how they gree by degrees still longer; me thinks I see a contention between the Penners, and Pleaders of the Law; the former seaking to circumscribe the later; and the later to wrule their arcummangtann; and that the Pleaders have on the Viscory. I belongesh therefore to the Oflice of a Legalstor, (such as is in all Common-weakla the Suprome Representative, her is one Man, or an Assembly); to make the reason Prospionous, why the Law was made; and the Body of the Law it selic, as short, but is as proces, and significant terms, as may be

It belongeth also to the Office of the Soveraign, to make a right application of Punishments, and Rewards. And seeing the end of punishing is not revenge, and discharge of choler: but correction. either of the offender, or of others by his example; the severest Punishments are to be inflicted for those Crimes, that are of most Danger to the Publique; such as are those which proceed from malice to the Government established; those that spring from contempt of Justice: those that provoke Indignation in the Multitude: and those, which unnunished, seem Anthorised, as when they are committed by Sonnes, Servants, or Favorites of men in Authority: For Indignation carrieth men, not onely against the Actors, and Authors of Injustice: but against all Power that is likely to protect them; as in the case of Tarquin; when for the Insolent act of one of his Sonnes, ho was driven out of Rome, and the Monarchy it solfe dissolved. But Crimes of Infirmity; such as are those which proceed from great provocation, from great fear. great need, or from ignorance whether the Fact be a great Crime. or not, there is place many times for Lenuty, without prejudice to the Common wealth; and Lenity when there is such place for it, is required by the Law of Nature. The Punishment of the Lea 'ers. and teachers in a Commotion: not the poore seduced People, when they are punished, can profit the Common-wealth by their example. To be severe to the People, is to pupish that generance, which may in great part be imputed to the Soveraign, whose fault it was, they were no better instructed.

In like manner ti helongsh to the Office, and Duty of the overage, to apply its Revards alwayes so, as these may rare from them benefit to the Common-wealth: where the accession of the search of the second second second second second second as is possible, so well recompensed, as others thereby may be encouraged, both to serve the same as faithing its they can, and to study the arts by which they may be enabled to do it better. To buy with Mony, or Prefermant, from a Popular and the influence of the Popula, has nothing of the nature of Reward ; (which is ordinated has from making all impressions in the mindes ordinated has for the server be part;) and a short to predict the Publics. It is a contention with Ambition. the bighest Councell of State by Inheritances; it is derived from the Conqueste of the anisiest Germans; wherein many absolute Lords pryming together to conquer other Netions, would not enter in for the Confederacy, without such Privileigns, as might be marks of difference in time following, between their Posterity, and the Posterity of them Subjects; which Privileigns being inconsistent with the Soverage Power, by the favour of the Soveraign, they may seem to keep; but contending for them as their Right, they must needs by degrees let them go, and have at last no further honour, then adhared hastorally to their abilities.

And how able scover be the Counsellours in any statist, the benit of their Counsell is greater, when they give every one his Advice, and the reasons of H spart, than when they do 1 in an Assembly, by way of Orations: and when they have parametitated, than when they speak on the sudder; both because they have more time, to surry the occursed, and are also also have the set of the varies of a surry of the occurs and are less subject to be earlied away to contradiction, through Envy, Emulation, or other Passion arising from the difference of opinion.

The base Commell, in these things this concern not other Nations, but only the case, and benefit the Subject may emjoy, by Lawes that look onely inward, is to be taken from the general informations, and complained of the people of each Peovines, who are best sequainted with their own warts, and ought therefore, when they immund noting in decogation of the semential Rights of Soversignty Rights, (as I have often before said,) the Common-wealth cannot at all subject

A Commander of an Army in chiefs, if he be not Popular, shall not be belowed, not itsard as the ought to be by his Army; and consequently cannot performs that office with good success. He must interefore be industroux, Valant, Affahle, Liberall, and Down the shall be and the start of the shall be and be an another than the shall be and the shall be and be and the shall be an another than the shall be bed desire, and courage, to recommend themselves to his isvour; and protects the severity of the Generall, in punking (when need is the Mutimons, or negligues Souldiers. But thus love of Souldiers, (if cautions he not given of the Commanders fielding) is a dangerous thing to Severagin Power, expecially when it is in the safety of the People, both that they be good Conductors, and fulfahling Michaels, to whom the Severagin Commits his Annues.

But when the Soveraign himselfs is Yopular; that is, revenued and beloved of his Poople there is no danger at all from the Populating of a Subject. For Souldies are never as generally unjust, as to side with their Captain, though the ylove linn, against their Soveraign, when they love not onely has Person, but also his Causo. And therefore hones, who by violence have at any time suppresed the Power of their lawfull Soveraign, before they could estile themlowen in his jusce, have been survey put to the trouble of contraving

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

their Tilles, to save the People from the shame of receiving them. To have a known Right to Soversing Power, is so popular a quality, as he that has it needs no more, for his own part, to turn the heate of his Subject to him, but that they see han able assolutely to govern his own Family. Nor, on the part of his ensuines, but a dishadning of their Armass. For the grastest and most active part of Mankind, has never betherio been well contented with the orsent.

Concerning the Offices of one Soveraign to another, which are compreheaded in that Law, which is commonly called the Law of Nations, I need not say sny thing in this place: because the Law of Nations, and the Law of Nature, is the same thing. And every Soversign hath the same Right, in procuring the safety of his People. that any particular man can have, in procuring the safety of his own Rody. And the same Law, that diotateth to men that have no Civil Government, what they ought to do, and what to avoyd in regard of one another, dictateth the same to Common-wealths, that is, to the Consciences of Soveraign Princes, and Soveraign Assemblies: there haing no Court of Naturall Justice, but in the Conscience onely; where not Man, but God raigneth, whose Lawes, (such of them as oblige all Mankind,) in respect of God, as he is the Author of Nature. are Naturall; and in respect of the same God, as he is King of Kings, are Lawes. But of the Kingdome of God, as King of Kings, and as King also of a peculiar People, I shall speak in the rest of this discourse.

## CHAP. XXXI

#### Of the KINGDOME OF GOD BY NATURE

THAT the condition of meer Nature, that is to say, of absolute Liberty, such as is theirs, that neither are Soveraigns, nor Subjects, is Anarchy, and the condition of Warre: That the Præcepts, by which men are guided to avovd that condition, are the Lawes of Nature: That a Common-wealth, without Soversign Power, is but a word, without substance, and cannot stand: That Subjects owe to Soversigns, simple Obedience, in all things, wherein their obedience is not repugnant to the Lawes of God, I have sufficiently proved, in that which I have already written. There wants onely, for the entire knowledge of Civill duty, to know what are those Lawes of God. For without that, a man knows not, when he is commanded any thing by the Civill Power, whether it be contrary to the Law of God, or not: and so, either by too much civil obedience, offends the Divine Majesty, or through fears of offending God, transgresses the commandements of the Common wealth. To avovd both these Rocks, it is necessary to know what are the Lawes Divine. And seeing the knowledge of all Law, dependeth on the knowledge of the Soversign Power; I shall say something in that which followeth, of the KINGDOME OF GOD.

God is King, let the Barth rejouce, saith the Paulmist. And again. God is King though the Nations be angry; and he that sitteth on the Cherubins, though the earth be moved. Whether men will or not, they must be subject alwayes to the Divine Power. By denying the Reistence, or Providence of God, men may shake off their Esso but not their Yoke. But to call this Power of God, which extendeth it selfe not onely to Man, but also to Beasts, and Plants, and Bodies manimate, by the name of Kinedome, is but a metaphoricall use of the word. For he onely is properly said to Raigne, that governs his Subjects, by his Word, and by promise of Rewards to those that obey it, and by threatning them with Punishment that obey it not. Subjects therefore in the Kingdome of God, are not Bodies Inanimate, nor creatures Irrationall: because they understand no Precepts as his: Nor Atheists; nor they that believe not that God has any care of the actions of mankind; because they acknowledge no Word for his, nor have hope of his rewards, or loar of his threatnings. They therefore that believe there is a God that governeth the world, and hath given Precents, and pronounded Rewards, and Punishments to Mankind, are Gods Subjects; all the rest, are to be understood as Enemies

To rule by Words, requires that such Words be manifestip made known; for elet they are no Larves: For to the nature of Laves belongeth a sufficient, and clear Promulgation; such as may take away the excuse of ignorance, which in the Lawse of men is but of one onely kind, and that is, Produmtion, or Promulgation by the voyces of man. But God declareth his Lawse three wayres; by the Distates of Natural Restor, by Reselation, and by the Voyce form man, to whom by the operation of America, he promotic decl. Restored, hearing, and the sufficient of the sufficient credit which the test. Then hence there arised is a triple Word of God, Restored, hearing, and Products a to which of the sufficient of the sufficient of the sufficient of the sufficient As for Stane Superindural, which consistent in Revelation, conlongination, there have not been any Universal Laves as given, because God speaketh not in that manner, but to particular porsons, and to divers things.

Trons the differences between the other two kiels of Gook Word, Raionall, and Prophetings, there may be attinued to Gook, a twoload Kingdoms. New of M., and Prophets. New Software Software Heat and Software Software Software Software Software Heat attail Dockstes of Higher Resson; And Frophetique, wherein having chosen out one pocular Nation (the Jewes) for his Subjects, for by Positive Lawes, which he gives them by the mouth of the positive Lawes, which he gives them by the mouth of the in this Chipper Chip Network Regionsoft Cool i iteratio to speak in this Chipper Chip Network.

The Right of Nature, whereby God reigneth over men, and punisheth those that break his Lawes, is to be derived not from his

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

Creating them, as if he required obedience, as of Gratitude for his henefits: but from his Irresistible Power. I have formerly shewn, how the Soveraign Right ariseth from Pact. To shew how the same Right may arise from Nature, requires no more, but to shew in what case it is never taken away. Seeing all men by Nature had Right to All things, they had Right every one to roigne over all the rost. But because this Right could not be obtained by force, it concerned the safety of every one, laying by that Right, to set up men (with Soverairy Authority) by common consent, to rule and defend them: whereas if there had been any man of Power Irresistible; there had hean no reason, why he should not by that Power have ruled, and defended both himselfe, and them, according to his own discretion. To those therefore whose Power is irresistible, the dominion of all men adhereth naturally by their excellence of Power; and consequently it is from that Power, that the Kingdome over men, and the Right of afflicting men at his pleasure, belongeth Naturally to God Almighty: not as Creator, and Gracious; but as Omnipotent. And though L'unishment be due for Sinne onely, because by that word is understood Affliction for Sinne; yet the Right of Afflicting, is not alwayes derived from mons Sinne, but from Gods Power.

This question, Why Evill men often Prosper, and Good men suffer Adversity, has been much disputed by the Antient, and is the same with this of ours, by what Right God dispenseth the Prosperities and Adversities of this life: and is of that difficulty, as it hath shaken the faith, not onely of the Vulgar, but of Philosophers, and which is more, of the Saints, concerning the Divine Providence. How (Good (suith David) is the God of Israel to those that are Unright in Heart ; and yet my feet were almost game, my tradeings had well-nigh signt for I was prived at the Wieked, when I saw the Unpodly in such Prosnerity. And Job, how earnestly does he expostulate with God, for the many Afflictions he suffered, notwithstanding his Righteousnesse? This question in the case of Job. is decided by God himselfe, not by arguments derived from Job's Sinne, but his own Power For whereas the friends of Job drew their arguments from his Affliction to his Sinne, and he defended humsolfe by the conscience of his Innocence. God himselfe taketh up the matter, and having justified the Affliction by arguments drawn from his Power, such as this. Where wast thou when I land the foundations of the earth. and the like, both approved Job's Innocence, and reproved the Erroneous doctrine of his friends. Conformable to this doctrine is the sentence of our Saviour, concerning the man that was born Blind, in these words, Neither hath this man sinned, nor his fathers : but that the works of God might be made manifest in him. And though it be said, That Death entred into the world by sinne, (by which is meant that if Adam had never sinned, he had never dyed, that is, never suffered any separation of his soule from his body,) it follows not thence, that God could not justly have Afflicted him, though he had not Sinned, as well as he afflicteth other living creatures, that cannot sinns.

Having spoken of the Right of Gods Soversignty, as grounded only on Nature; we are to consider next, what are the Divine Lawsa, or Diotates of Antarall Reason; which Lawse concer, either the natural Duties of one ment to enother, or this Honour naturally due to our Divine Soversign. The first are the same Lawse of this Freetise; namely, Equity, Justico, Merry, Himilly, and the other of which Have spoken and ready in the Astronal G. Chapters of this Freetise; namely, Equity, Justico, Merry, Himilly, and the what Freetise; as efficient of the Astronal Reason onely, which other word of God, touching the Honour and Worship of the Divine Meister.

Honour consisteth in the inward thought, and opinion of the Power, and Goodnesse of another: and therefore to Honour God, is to think as Highly of his Power and Goodnesse, as is possible. And of that opinion, the externall signes appearing in the Words, and Actions of men, are called Worship; which is one part of that which the Latines understand by the word Cultus: For Cultus signifieth properly, and constantly, that labour which a man bestowes on any thing, with a purpose to make benefit by it. Now those things whereof we make benefit, are either subject to us, and the profit they yeeld, followeth the labour we bestow upon them, as a naturall effect; or they are not subject to us, but answer our labour, according to their own Wills. In the first sense the labour bestowed on the Earth, is called *Culture*: and the education of Children a Culture of their mindes. In the second sense, where mens wills are to be wrought to our purpose, not by Force, but by Compleasance, it signifieth as much as Courting, that is, a winning of favour by good offices; as by praises, by acknowledging their Power, and by whatsoever is pleasing to them from whom we look for any benefit. And this is properly Worship: in which sense Publicola, is understood for a Worshipper of the People; and Cultus Dei, for the Worship of God.

From internall Bonout, consisting in the opinion of Power and Goodnesse, rate three Passeous J. zow, which hash reference to Goodnesse; and Hors, and Pear, that relate to Power: And three parts of external working. Princis, Magnifying, and Basang: The analytic of Prailes, being Goodnesse; the subject of Magnifying, and Bissing, being Power, and the effect thread Philipit. Praise, and Magnifying are signified both by Words, and Actions: By Words, when we say a much Good, or Great: By A ottions, which we thank him for his Bounty, and ebey his Power. The opinion of the Happingses of another, can oney be occreased by words.

Three be some signess of Honorn (both in Attributes and Actions), that be Naturally so, as amongst Attributes, Good, Jusz, Luberal, and the like; and amongst Actions, Prayers, Thanks, and Obeliance. Others are so by Institution, or Customs of men; and in some times and places are Honourable; in others Diahonourable; in others Indiferent: soch as are the Gestures in Saltation, Prayer, and Thanksgiving, in different times and places, differently used. The former is Naturall, the later Arbitrary Worship.

"And of Arbitrary Worship, there bee two differences: For commensate is a Commander, sometimes. Volumitary Worship: Commanded, when it is such as hes requiresh, who is Worshipper thinks fit, when it is such as the Worshipper thinks fit. When it is Commanded, not the words, or gesture, but the obscince is the Worship. But when Free the Worship constitues in the opinica of the beholden: for if to them the worsh, or actions by which we intend monary, some influences, and tending to commany, they Honoury, because a signer is not a signs to him that given it, but to bin to whom this made, that is, to the noncator.

Again, there is a Publique, and a Private Worship. Publique, is the Worship that a Common wealth performati, as one Person. Prysta, is that which a Private person exhibiteds. Publique, m respect of the whole Common-wealth, is Preve: but m respect of Paritular men it is not so. Private, us in secret Free; but in the sight of the multitude, it is never without some Restraint, ather from the Lawres, of From the Opinion of men; which is conteavy to the parture of Liberty.

The End of Working samongst men, is Power. For where a man seth another workinged, is supposed him powerful, and a this readies to obey him; which makes his Power greater. But God has no Ender: Hiw working work of him, proceeds from our duty, and is dirested according to our caspacity, by those rules of Honour, that Reason distation to be done by the weak to the encore potent men, in hope of benefit, for fear of dammage, or in thankfulnesse for good sincard recovered from them.

That we may know what worship of God is taught us by the light of Nature, I will begin with his Attributes. Where, First, it is manifest, we ought to stribute to him *Existence*: For no man can have the will to honour that, which he thinks not to have any Beeing.

Secondly, that those Philosophers, who sayd the World, or the Soule of the World was God, spake unworthily of him; and denyed has Existence: For by God, is understood the cause of the World; and to say the World is God, us to say, there is no cause of it, that is, no God.

Thirdly, to say the World was not Greated, but Etsenall, (seeing that which is Eternall has no cause,) is to deny there is a God.

Fourthly, that they who attributing (as they think) Ease to God, take from him the care of Man-kind; take from him his Honour: for it takes away mens love, and fear of him; which is the root of Honour.

Fifthly, in those things that signific Greatnesse, and Power; to say he is *Finite*, is not to Honour him: For it is not a signe of the Will to Honour God, to attribute to him lesse than we can; and

\*H 694

Finite, is lesse than we can, because to Finite, it is easie to adde more.

Therefore to attribute Figure to him, is not Honour; for all Figure is Finite:

Nor to say we conceive, and imagine, or have an Idea of him in our mind: for whatsoever we conceive is Finite-

Nor to attribute to him Parts, or Totality; which are the Attributes onely of things Finite:

Nor to say ho is in this, or that Place: for whatsoever is in Place, is bounded, and Finite:

Nor that he is Moved, or Resteth: for both these Attributes ascribe to him Place:

Not that there be more Gods than one, because it implies them all Finite: for there cannot be more than one Infinite:

Nor to sacribe to hum (unlesse Metaphoroally, meaning not the Passion, but the Effect) Passions that partake of Griefe; as Repentance, Anger, Maray: or of Want; as Appeile, Hope, Deare; or of any Passive faculty: For Passion, is Power humited by somewhat else.

And therefore when we ascribe to God s Will, it is not to be understood, as that of Man, for a *Rationall Appetite*; but as the Power, by which he effecteth every thing

Lakewise when we satzibute to him Sight, and other acts of Somer; a sake Knowledge, and Underdanding; which in us an othing else, but a tumult of the mind, raised by arternall things that presse the organical parts of mans body." For there is no such thing in God; and being things that depend on naturall causes, ennot be attributed to him.

Hese that will stirbuies to God, nothing but what as warranted by naturall Reason, must either use such Agazive Attributes, as Infants, Eternall, Incomprelensable; or Superialivies, as Most High, nost Great, and the like; or Infantinis, as Godd, Joss, Holdy, Creator; and m auch sense, as if hese means not to declare what he is, for that were to decourselbe him within the limits of our Fanoy, but how much were admired him, and how ready was would be to dop Minia we can. For there is but one Name to signific our Conception of hum Nature, and that's I. As: and hat one Name of him Relation to us, and that is God; in which is contained Father, King, and Lord.

Concerning the scitons of Drine Workhy, it is a most generall Precept of Reason, that they be signs of the Intention to Honour God, such as are, First, Proyers: For not the Carvers, when they made Images, were thought to make them Gods, but the People that Prayed to them.

Secondly, Thumkeyweing; which differents from Prayer in Divine Worshing, no otherwise, than that Prayers proceeds, and Thanks succeed the benefix; the end both of the one, and the other, being to acknowledge God, for Author of all benefits, as well past, as future.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

194

Thirdly, Gifle; that is to say, Sacrifices, and Oblations, (if they be of the best,) are signes of Honour: for they are Thanksgivings.

Fourthly, Not to sustar by any but God, is naturally a signe of Honour: for it is a confession that God onely knoweth the heart; and that no mans wit, or strongth can protect a man against Gods reageance on the perjured

Fifthly, it is a part of Rationall Worship, to sneak Considerately of God; for it argues a Fear of him, and Fear, is a confession of his Power. Hence followeth, That the name of God is not to be used rashly, and to no purpose; for that is as much, as in Vain: And it is to no purpose unlesse it be by way of Oath, and by order of the Common-wealth, to make Judgements cortain; or between Commonwealths, to avoyd Warre. And that disputing of Gods nature is contrary to his Honour: For it is supposed, that in this naturall Kingdome of God, there is no other way to know any thing, but by naturall Reason; that is, from the Principles of naturall Science; which are so farre from teaching us any thing of Gods nature, as they cannot teach us our own nature, nor the nature of the smallest creature living. And therefore, when men out of the Principles of naturall Reason, dispute of the Attributes of God, they but dishonour him: For in the Attributes which we give to God, we are not to consider the signification of Philosophicall Truth; but the signification of Pious Intention, to do him the greatest Honour we are able. From the want of which consideration, have proceeded the volumes of disputation about the nature of God, that tend not to his Honour, but to the honour of our own wits, and learning: and are nothing else but inconsiderate, and vain abuses of his Sacred Name.

Sirthy, in Prayers, Thankayioing, Offmanga, and Sacrifica, it is a Dictate of natural Reason, list they be every one in his knut the bost, and most significant of Honour. As for example, that Prayers, and Thankaying, be made in Words and Phanese, not sudden, nor light, nor Flebenar, but beautiful, and well composed; For else we do not God as much honour as we can. And therefore the Healtheas did absurdly, to wonking Images for Godar. But then doing it in Weas, and with Matsida, both of Yores and Interformeda, the Gifts they offend, and their notions in Workingping, were full of submation, and commonsciptor of bonefat received, was according to reason, as proceeding from an intention to honour hom.

Seventhly, Reason directeth not onely to worship God in Secret; but also, and especially, in Publique, and in the sight of mon: For without that, (that which in honour is most acceptable) the procuring others to honour him, is lost.

Lastly, Obedience to he Lawes (that is, in this case to the Lawes of Nature,) is the greatest worship of all. For as Obedience is more acceptable to God than Sacrifice; so also to set light by his Commandements, is the greatest of all contuncies. And these are the Lawes of that Divine Worship, which naturall Reason dictateth to private men.

For saming a Common-weaklt is but one Poesen, is onglet also to artibists to God but one Workhip; which then it doth, whon it commandeth it to be exhibited by Private men, Publiquely. And this is Publique Workhip; he property wheread; is to be Uniformer Nor those automs that are done differently, by different men, eannot be said to be a Publique Workhim. And therefore, where many of Private men, is cannot be said there as any Publique Workhim por that the Common-weaklt is of any Rolupon et all.

And because works (and consequently the Attributes of Got) have their signification by agreement, and coastuttion of men, those Attributes are to be hald significative of Honour, that men intend shall so be; and whatsever may be done by the will so the particular men, where there is no Law but Restern, may be done by the will of the Gommon-weaking, by Laws Could. And because a Common-weaking hading no Will, nor makes no Laws, but those that it followesh, task those Attributes which the Severaign ordinating, used for such by private man in their publicate Workin.

But because into all attoms are signed by Constitution; but some serv Naturally signed of Honour, others of Continuely, taken later (which are those that men are schanned to do in the sight of them working) not the former (into) as are dones), models, humble Belaviour) ever he separated from it. But whereas there he as infinite number of Asticas, and Gestures, of an indifferent nature, such of them as the Common evaluat shall ordain to be Publiquely and Universally in one, as signed of Monta and David Odden that which is such in the Scripture, I is during and the signed that which is such in the Scripture, I is during the Vancer.

Having thus briefly explem of the Natarall Kingdome of God, and his Natarall Laves. I will adde oncy to this Chargtes a short doministion of his Natarall Panishments. There is no settion of mangeneous, as to humano *Erroritano*, at high enough, to give a man a prospect to the end. And in this Charge, and the set of the both plessame and unplessing events; in such mannet, sa he that will do any king for his plesares, must engage himselfs to suffer Panishment of those satiron, which are the beginning of more Barme than Good. And hereby it comes to passe, that Interpretanol, it is a the Violance of Emerica, Paths, with Machances; Injustos, with the Violance of Emerica, Paths, with Ances, with Recolling in and Kabellin, with Einschrer. Tore seeing Punishments are consequent to the breach of Lawes; Naturall Punishments must be naturally consequent to the breach of the Lawes of Nature; and therfore follow them as their naturall, not arbitrary effects.

And thus farre concerning the Constitution, Nature, and Right of Soversigns; and concerning the Duty of Subjects, derived from the Principles of Naturall Reason And now, considering how different this Doctrine is, from the Practise of the greatest part of the world, especially of these Western parts, that have received their Morall learning from Rome, and Athens: and how much depth of Morall Philosophy is required, in them that have the Administration of the Soveraign Power; I am at the point of beheving this my labour, as uselesse, as the Common-wealth of Plato; For he also is of opinion that it is impossible for the disorders of State, and change of Governments by Civill Warre, ever to be taken away, tall Soveraigns be Philosonhers. But when I consider again, that the Science of Natural Justice, is the onely Science necessary for Soveraigns, and their principall Ministers, and that they need not be charged with the Sciences Mathematicall. (as by Plaio they are.) further, than by good Lawes to encourage men to the study of them; and that neither Plato, nor any other Philosopher hitherto, hath put into order, and sufficiently or probably proved all the Theoremes of Morall doctrine. that mon may learn thereby, both how to govern, and how to obey; I recover some hope, that one time or other, this writing of mine. may fall into the hands of a Soveraign, who will consider it himselfe, (for it is short, and I think clear.) without the help of any interessed. or envious Interpreter: and by the exercise of entire Soveraignty, in protecting the Publique teaching of it, convert this Truth of Speculation, into the Utility of Practice.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# PART III

## OF A CHRISTIAN COMMON-WEALTH

### CHAP. XXXII

## Of the Principles of CHRISTIAN POLITIQUES

I nave derived the Rights of Soveraigne Power, and the duty of Subjects hilders, from the Principles of Nature only: such as Experience has found tray, or Consent (concerning the use of words) has made so: that is to say, from the facture of Mar, kown to use by Experience, and from Definitions (of each words as are Essential to all Political resoluting in the Nature and Rights of a Superstand Revelation of Wills of Ood (the Production of the Superstand Revelation of Will of Ood); the product of Porohead (the Production of the Natural Word of God, but also the Production of the Natural).

Neveritelesses, we are not to transmoto our Senses, and Expensence: nor (that which is the undoubled Word of God) our naizural Reason. For they are the talents which he hath put into our handt to negotiate, tall this counting again of our bissed Saroury; and therefore not to be folded up in the Majkin of an Implacts Path, but mphyperin in the purchase of Justees, Pases, and true Realized. For though which many thrugs in all words which along admonstated, or conducting yet there is nothing contrary to it; but when it seems has, the fault is either in our unskilful Listerprotation, or enroneous Ratiocauston.

Therefore, when any thing therein written is too hard for our examination, we are bidden to capture to our understanding to the Words; and not to labour in sliting out a Philosophical trath by Logick, of each nyesteries as are not comprehensible, nor fail under any rule of natural access. You is awith the mysteries of our Rólgion, as with wischosm palls for the said, which awallowed whole, have the varius to cure; but shewed, are for the nost part east up spain without effect.

But by the Captivity of our Understanding, is not meant a Submussion of the Intellectual faculty, to the Opinion of any other man; but of the Will to Obedience, where obedience is due. For Sense, Memory, Understanding, Reason, and Opinion are not in our power to change; but alwaise, and processcily such, as the things we see, hear, and commer suggest nucles us; and therefore nore not effect of our Will, but our Wild of them. We then Captivate our Understanding and Reason, when we for these contamination; when we so preak, as (by lawioll Authority) we are commanded; and when we live accordingly; which he sum? Is trust, and Faith respect in him that apprach, though the mird be incapable of any Keton at all from the word's socken.

When God speaked to man, it must be ether immediately, or predisition darother man, to whom he had formerly spoken by himself immediately. How God speaketh to a man immediately, may be understool by those well smough to whom he hath so hard. If not impossible to know. For if a man pretent to res, thus both if not impossible to know. For if a man pretent to res, thus God hath spoken to him supervaturally, and il make doubt of it, I connot essily perovise what argument is can produce, to object a but not to think any otherwise them portent, to object a but not to think any otherwise them or essible to desire I bothere's has not be act or word to desire I bothere's han not; but not to think any otherwise them ore ma, shall protent the same, there is outhing that exoscieth either bother, or obselince.

For to say that God hath spoken to him in the Holy Scripture, is not to say God hath spoken to him immediately, but by mediation of the Prophets, or of the Apostles, or of the Church, in such manner as he speaks to all other Christian men. To say he hath spoken to him in a Dream, is no more then to say he dreamed that God spake to him; which is not of force to win beleef from any man, that knows dreams are for the most part naturall, and may proceed from former thoughts; and such dreams as that, from selfe conceit, and foolish arrogance, and false opinion of a mans own godimesso, or other vertue, by which he thinks he hath merited the favour of extraordinary Revelation. To say he hath seen a Vision, or heard a Voice, is to say, that he hath dreamed between sleeping and waking: for in such manner a map doth many times naturally take his dream for a vision, as not having well observed his own slumbering. To say he sueaks by supernatural Inspiration, is to say he finds on ardent desire to speak, or some strong opinion of himself, for which hee can alledge no naturall and sufficient reason. So that though God Almighty can speak to a man, by Dreams, Visions, Voice, and Inspiration; yet he obliges no man to beloeve he hath so done to him that pretends it: who (being a man) may erre, and (which is more) may he.

How then each he, to whom God hath never revealed his Will immediately leaving by the way of natural reason) know when he is to obsy, or not to obey his Word, delavered by him, that says ho is a Prophet? Of 400 Prophets, of whom the K. of Javad asked counsel, concerning the ware he mado against Ramoth Giazd, only Micain was a true one. The Prophet hat was sent to prophecy

summat the Altar set up by Jerobaam, though a true Prophet, and that by two miracles done in his presence appears to be a Prophet sent from God, was yet deceived by another old Prophet, that perswaded him as from the mouth of God, to est and drink with him. If one Prophet deceive another, what certainty is there of knowing the will of God, by other way than that of Reason? To which I answer out of the Holy Scripture, that there be two marks, hy which together, not sounder a true Prophet is to be known. One is the doing of miracles: the other is the not teaching any other Religion that that which is already established. Asunder (I say) neither of these is sufficient. If a Prophet rise amonast you, or a Dreamer of dreams, and shall pretend the doing of a miracle, and the muracle come to passe, if he say. Let us follow strange Gods, which thou hast not known, thou shalt not hearken to him, do. But that Prophet and Dreamer of dreams shall be put to death, because he hath spoken to you to Revolt from the Lord your God. In which words two things are to be observed: First, that God will not have miracles alone serve for arguments, to approve the Prophets calling; but (as it is in the third verse) for an experiment of the constancy of our adherence to himself. For the works of the Equation Sorcersts. though not so great as those of Moses, yet were great miracles. Secondly, that how great soever the miracle he, yet if it tend to star up revolt against the King, or him that governath by the Kings authority, he that doth such miracle, is not to be considered otherwase than as sent to make triall of their allegiance. For these words. revolt from the Lord your God, are in this place equivalent to revolt from your King. For they had made God their King by pact at the foot of Mount Sinai: who ruled them by Moses only: for he only snake with God, and from time to tame declared Gods Commandements to the people. In like manner after our Saviour Christ had made his Disciples acknowledge him for the Messiah, (that is to say, for Gods anointed, whom the nation of the Jews daily expected for their King, but refused when he came.) he omitted not to advertise them of the danger of miracles. There shall arise (suith he) false Christs, and false Prophets, and shall doe great wonders and miracles, even to the seducing (of it were possible) of the very Elect. By which it appears, that false Prophets may have the power of miraclas; yet are wee not to take their docirin for Gods Word. St. Paul says further to the Galatians, that if himself, or an Angell from heaven preach another Gospel to them, than he had preached, let him be accursed. That Gospel was, that Christ was King: so that all preaching against the power of the King received, in consequence to these words, is by St. Paul accursed. For his speech is addressed to those, who by his preaching had already received Jesus for the Christ, that is to say, for King of the Jews.

And as Miracles, without preaching that Doctrine which God hath established; so preaching the true Doctrine, without the doing of Miracles, is an unsufficient argument of immediate Revelation. For if a man that teacheth not false Doctrine, should pretend to

bee a Prophet without showing any Miracle, he is never the more to bee regarded for his pretence, as is ovident by Deut. 18. v 21, 22, If thou say in thy heart, How shall we know that the Word (of the Prophet) is not that which the Lord hath spoken. When the Prophet shall have spoken in the name of the Lord, that which shall not come to passe, that's the word which the Lord hath not spoken, but the Prophet has spoken it out of the pride of his own heart, fear him not. But a man may here again ask. When the Prophet hath forefold a thing, how shal we know whether it will come to passe or not? For he may foretel it as a thing to arrive after a certain long time, longer then the time of mans life; or indefinitely, that it will come to passe one time or other; in which case this mark of a Prophet is unusefull; and therefore the miracles that oblige us to beleave a Prophet, ought to be confirmed by an immediate, or a not long deferr'd event. So that it is manifest, that the teaching of the Religion which God hath established, and the shewing of a present Miraele, joined together, were the only marks whereby the Scripture would have a true Prophet, that is to say, immediate Revelation to be acknowledged: neither of them being singly sufficient to oblige any other man to regard what he saith.

Semig therefore Mirzcless row crease, we have no sign left, whereby to extensivelges the upsetweldt Revealations, on transportations of any private mans, now colligations to prive set to any Doubrins, fasther of our Garours, rapply the place, and 'sufficiently recompress the want of all other Prophezy; and from which, by wise and learned frierpretations, and caroful reinformation. If all rules and precords messager to the knowledge of our duty both to God and multinities and accord in reinformation. The set of the set deduced. And this Scriptore as h, out of which I and to take the Principles of my Discourse, concerning the Rights of these that are the Supresm Governors on certain, of Christian Gomman-wather, and of the duty of Christian Schleter towards their Kownedges, writens, Score and Authority of the Biblo.

#### CHAP, XXXIU

#### Of the Number, Antiquity, Scope, Authority, and Interpreters of the Books of Holy Scripture

By the Books of Holy SCHFTURE, are understood those, which ought to be the Cannor, that is to say, the Rules of Christian life. And because all Rules of life, which men are in conscience bound to observe, are Laws; the question of the Scripture, is the question of what is Law throughout all Christendome, both Natural, and

## Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

(Syill. For though it be not determined in Scripture, what Laws every Christian King shall constitute in his own Dominions; yet it is determined what laws he shall not constitute. Seeing therefore I have already proyed, that Soveraigns in their own Dominions are the sole Legislators: those Books only are Canonicall, that is, Law, in every nation, which are established for such by the Soveraign Authority. It is true, that God is the Soveraign of all Soveraigns; and therefore, when he speaks to any Subject, he cught to be obeyed, whatsoever any earthly Potentate command in the contrary. But the question is not of obedienes to God, but of when, and what God hath said : which to Subjects that have no supernatural revelation, cannot be known, but by that naturall reason, which guided them. for the obtaining of Peace and Justice, to obey the authority of their severall Common-wealths; that is to say, of their lawfull Soversigns. According to this obligation. I can acknowledge no other Books of the Old Testament, to be Holy Scripture, but those which have been commanded to be acknowledged for such, by the Authority of the Church of England. What Books these are, 18 sufficiently known, without a Catalogue of them here; and they are the same that are acknowledged by St. Jerome, who holdeth the rest. namely, the Wisdome of Solomon, Ecclesiasticus, Judith, Tobias, the first and the second of Maccables, (though he had seen the first in Hebrew) and the third and fourth of Esdras, for Anorrypha. Of the Canonicall, Josenhus a learned Jew, that wrote in the time of the Emperout Domisian, reckoneth twenty two, making the number agree with the Hebrew Alphabet. St. Jerome does the same, though they reckon them in different manner. For Josephus numbers five Books of Moses, thirieen of Prophets, that writ the History of their own times (which how it acrees with the Prophets writings contained in the Bible wee shall see hereafter), and four of Humnes and Morall Precents, But St. Jeroms reckons five Books of Moses, eight of Prophets, and nine of other Holy writ, which he calls of Hagiographa. The Septuagint, who were 70, learned men of the Jews, sent for by Ptolemy King of Equal, to translate the Jewish law, out of the Hebrew into the Greek, have left us no other for holy Scripture in the Greak tongue, but the same that are received in the Church of England.

As for the Books of the New Testament, they are equally acknowledged for Canon by all Christian Churches, and by all Sects of Christians, that admits any Books at all for Canonicall.

Who were the original writers of the several Books of Holy Soripure, has not been made originate by any sufficient testimoury of older History, (which is the only proof of matter of fact); nor can be by any arguments of astartill Researcs for Resean server only to convince the truth (not of fact, but) of consequences. The light is hold out unto a from the Books themselvers. And this light, thought is down us not the writer of every book, yets it is not unsetful to give an knowed ope of the server writen.

And first, for the Peniateuch, it is not argument enough that they were written by Massa because they are called the five Books of Massa: no more than these titles. The Book of Joshua, the Book of Judges, the Book of Ruth, and the Books of the Kings, are arguments sufficient to prove, that they were written by Joshur, by the Judges, hy Ruth, and by the Kings For in titles of Books, the subject is marked, as often as the writer. The History of Livy, denotes the Writer: but the History of Scanderbeg, is denominated from the subject. We read in the last Chapter of Deuteronomic, yer, 6, concerning the sepulcher of Moses, that no man knoweth of his semulcher to this day, that is, to the day wherein those words were written. It is therefore manifest, that those words were written after his interroment. For it were a strange interpretation, to say Moses snake of his own sepulcher (though by Prophecy), that it was not found to that day, wherein he was yet hving. But it may perhaps be alledged, that the last Chapter only, not the whole Pentateuch, was written by some other man, but the rest not: Let us therefore consider that which we find in the Book of Genesis, chap. 12. ver. 6. And Abraham passed through the land to the place of Sichem, unto the plain of Moreh, and the Canasante was then in the land: which must needs bee the words of one that wrote when the Canaanste was not in the land, and consequently, not of Moses, who dyed before he came into it. Lakewise Numbers 21, ver. 14. the Writer citeth another more ancient Book, Entituled, The Rook of the Warres of the Lord, wherein were registred the Acts of Moses. at the Red-sea, and at the brook of Arnon. It is therefore sufficiently evident, that the five Books of Moses were written after his time, though how long after it he not so manifest.

But though Moses did not compile those Books entirely, and in the form we have them: yet he wrote all that which hee is there said to have written: as for example, the Volume of the Law, which is contained, as it seemeth, in the 11 of Deuteronomie, and the following Chapters to the 27, which was also commanded to be written on stones. In their entry into the land of Canagn. And this did Moses himself write, and deliver to the Priests and Elders of Israel, to be read every seventh year to all Israel, at their assembling in the feast And this is that Law which God commanded, that of Tabernacles their Kings (when they should have established that form of Government) should take a conv of from the Priests and Levites: and which Moses commanded the Priests and Lovites to lay in the side of the Arke; and the same which having been lost, was long time alter found again by Hilkiah, and sent to King Josias, who causing it to be read to the People, renewed the Covenant between God and them,

That the Book of Joshua was also written long after the time of Joshua, may be gathered out of many places of the Book is self. Joshua had set up twelve stones in the middest of Jordan, for a monument of their passage; of which the Writer sait thus, They are there such this day; for tests this day, is a phrase that significht a time past, beyond the memory of man. In like meaner, upon the saying of the Lord, that he had rolled off from the people the Repreach of  $D_{\rm CPM}$ , the Writer smith, *The place is called Gillal wate* this day; which to have easid in the time of *Joshua* hud been improper. So also the name of the Yalley of *Advan*, from the touble that *Advan* raised in the Camp, the Writer suith, *Pennicula* and *Joshua*. Arguments of thus kind there be many other; as *Josh*, 8, 89, 13, 13 it 14, 15, 53.

The same is manifest by like arguments of the Book of Judges, chap 1, 21, 26, 6 24, 10 4 15, 19, 17, 6, and Zudh 1, 1, but especially Judg, 18 30, where it is said, that Jonathan and his some sure Priess to the Twhe of Dan, until like day of the capitonia of the land.

That the Books of Samuel work also written after has own firms, there are the loss arguments, 1 Samo, 5 A. 7, 11, 18, 7 A. & 29, 02, where, store Durind had adjudged equal part of the spokes, botten as the store Durind had adjudged equal part of the spokes, botten as the store of the store of the store of the store of the spokes Again, when Dorvid (happensed, that the Lordi had shin Usaab, for putting out has lead to sensition the  $\lambda A_{2}^{2}$  set of the spokes Usaab With With the store of the spoke of the spoke of the spoke Usaab the With the store of the spoke of the spoke of the spoke Usaab the spoke of the spoke of the spoke of the spoke of the spoke that has hors given by the sub of the spoke of the spok

As for the two Books of the Krings, and the two Books of the Chowicks, basing the glasses which methon such mountments, as the Writer auth, tremand till his own hays, such as an 1 Krogsas  $1.5 \times 1.5 \times$ 

The Books of *Estras* and *Nehemiah* were written certainly after their return from captivity; because their return, the re-additation of the walls and houses of *Jerusalem*, the renovation of the Covenant, and ordination of their policy are therein contained.

The History of Queen Esther is of the time of the Captivity; and therefore the Writer must have been of the same time, or after it.

The Book of Job hath no mark in it of the time "hardeni it was written: and through tappers at Milenutly (Beckel 14.14, and James 5.11) that he was no famod person; yet the Book it sail second in an other to be a linker, but a l'tendies concerning a question in ancient time and good men have been addicated; and it is the more probabil, because from the beground, the vesse of the three second second second second from the beground to be a second the complaint of Job beginnesh, the Hornewis (as St. Joroma testiling) in process, and from thermote to the sixt verse of the last chapter in Hornsneter Verses, and the rest of that chapter signing in proces. So that the disjutt is all in verse, and the process us added, buck as a Preface in the beginning, and an Epulogue in the end. Dut Verse is on usual stit of such, as where are thermasters in great pairs, as Job; or of such as counts to comfort them, as his frimmit; but for Phylosonite, meaning it multihosonity. In ancient time treemest.

The Paines were written the most part by David, for the use of the Quir. To these are added some Songe of Mose, and other holy men; and some of thom after the roturn from the Copievity, as the 137, and the 130, whereby it is manifest that the Painter was compiled, and put into the form it now hath, after the return of the Jees from Jabuban.

The Proceeds, being a Collection of wree and godly Sayings, party of Solomos, party of Apar the son of Jacks, and party of the Mother of King Lemmel, cannot probably be throught to have been collected by Solomos, rather than by Apar, or the Mother of Lemmel, and that, though the extenses be thems, yet the collection or compling them the theorem is the second of some other godly muse, that here Mar through the them the second solution of the second solutio

The Books of Ecclerosates and the Consticle have nothing that was not Solomona, except it be that filles, or Inscriptions. For The Works of the Pracher, the Son of David, Kung as Jerusalem; and The Song of Songray, which is Schumer's, some to have been made for into one body of the Law; to the end, that not the Dockrine only, but the Authors also might be extant.

Of the Prophers, the most sament, are Sophonial, Jonas, Amos, Roses, Jeans And Michains, who lived in the tune of Amasuah, and Astriah, otherwise Oxios, Kings of Judah. But the Book of Jonas is not properly a Register of his Prophery, flor that is contanned in these few words, Fourie days and Ninivy shall be dashoged). Use a History or Narthaut the informations which disposition for the History or Narthaut the time information of the Managed Author, seeing the is the subject of it. But the Book of Amos is his Prophery.

Jeremiah, Abduas, Nahum, and Habakkuk prophecyed in the time of Josiah.

Ezekiel, Daniel, Aggeus, and Zacharias, in the Captivity.

Whan Joel and Makada propherysed, is not evident by them Weitings. But considering the Insertions, or Thiles of their Bools, it is manifest mough, that the whole Scripture of the Old Testament, was set forth in the form we have it, after the sorth of the Joen from their Capitrity in Johylon, and batter the tame of Pholoneus Jong, which we set thin out of Josés for data purpose. And it the Books of Aporyptic (which are recommended to us by the Church, though the for Cancellary Jeet for profitshe Books for can instruction) may in this point be credited, the Scriptire was set forth in the form we have it in, by Edirar; at may oppone by that which he humaelf suth, in the second book, chapt. 14 verse 21, 22, dow where speaking to God, he sath thus. Tay larse so that : itseefore to man knowski his things minks thous hast doma, or the work that are to hear. But if I have found force before the, send down the holy Spriri min, which were under that mind that hear down in the work since the beginnamy, which were under that mind the hear down in the buff, and that thay which will like an the later down, any law data werking down it cannot by pass who he forth going source laplificadown the buff, and the thay which will like an the later down, may law data werking down it cannot by pass who he forth going source laplificaource in the second to pass who hear that the sath werking down the start werking down there we has he forth going source laplificaource in the second to pass who hear that the sath werking down the heart buff down the second to pass at the twise mount for any law that the working and unworking may read 4; but keep the second provide. And thus much concerning the time of the writing of the Bookes of the OII betasance

The Writers of the New Testament lived all in lesse then an age after Christs Ascension, and had all of them seen our Saviour, or been his Disciples, except St Paul, and St Luke; and consequently whatscever was written by them, is as ancient as the time of the Apostles. But the time wherein the Books of the New Testament were received, and acknowledged by the Church to be of their writing, is not altogether so ancient. For, as the Bookes of the Old Testament are derived to us, from no higher time then that of Esdras, who by the direction of Gods Spirit retrived them, when they were lost: Those of the New Testament, of which the copies were not many, nor could easily be all in any one private mans hand, cannot hee derived from a higher time, than that wherein the Governours of the Church collected, approved, and recommended them to us, as the writings of those Apostles and Disciples; under whose names they ro. The first enumeration of all the Bookes, both of the Old and New Testament, is in the Canons of the Apostles, supposed to be collected by Clement the first (after St. Peter) Bishop of Rome But because that is but supposed, and by many questioned, the Councell of Laoduces is the first we know, that recommended the Bible to the then Christian Churches, for the Writings of the Prophets and Apostles: and this Councell was held in the 364, year after Christ. At which tame, though ambition had so far prevailed on the great Doctors of the Church, as no more to esteem Emperours, though Christian, for the Shephards of the people, but for Sheep; and Emperours not Christian, for Wolves: and endeavoured to passe their Doctrine, not for Counsell, and Information, as Preachers; but for Laws, as absolute Governours; and thought such frauds as tended to make the people the more obedient to Christian Doctrine. to be pious, yet I am perswaded they did not therefore falsifie the Scriptures, though the copies of the Books of the New Testament, were in the hands only of the Ecclesiasticks; because if they had had an intention so to doe, they would surely have made them more favorable to their power over Christian Princes, and Civill Sovernighty, than they are. I see not therefore any reason

to doubt, but that the Old, and New Testament, as we have them now, are the true Registers of those things, which were done and said by the Prophets, and Apostles. And so perhaps are some of those Books which are called Appervaba, if left out of the Canon. not for meonformity of Doctrine with the rest, but only because they are not found in the Hobrew. For after the conquest of Asia by Alexander the Great, there were few learned Jews, that were not parfect in the Greek tongue. For the seventy Interpreters that converted the Bible into Greek, were all of them Hebrews; and we have extant the works of Philo and Josephus both Jews, written by them eloquently in Greek. But it is not the Writer, but the authority of the Church, that maketh a Book Canonicall. And although these Books were written by divers men. vet it is manifest the Writers were all indued with one and the same Spirit, in that they conspire to one and the same end, which is the setting forth of the Rights of the Kingdome of God, the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, For the Book of Genesis, deriveth the Genealogy of Gods neonle. from the creation of the World, to the going into Egypt: the other four Books of Moses, contain the Election of God for their King. and the Laws which hee prescribed for their Government: The Books of Joshua, Judges, Ruth, and Samuel, to the time of Saul, describe the acts of Gods people, till the time they cast off Gods voke, and called for a King, after the manner of their neighbour nations: The rest of the History of the Old Testament, derives the succession of the line of David, to the Captuvity, out of which line was to suring the restorer of the Kingdome of God, even our blessed Saviour God the Son, whose coming was foretold in the Bookes of the Prophets, after whom the Evangelists writt his life, and actions. and his claim to the Kingdome, whilst he lived on earth; and lastly, the Acts, and Epistles of the Apostles, declare the coming of God. the Holy Ghost, and the Authority he left with them, and their successors, for the direction of the Jews, and for the invitation of the Gentiles. In summe, the Histories and the Prophecies of the old Testament, and the Goapels and Epistles of the New Testament. have had one and the same scope, to convert men to the obedience of God: 1, in Massa and the Priests: 2, in the man Christ: and 3. in the Anostles and the successors to Apostolicall power. For these three at several times did represent the person of God: Moses. and his successors the High Priests, and Kings of Judah, in the Old Testament: Christ himself, in the time he lived on earth: and the Apostice, and their successors, from the day of Peniecost (when the Holy Ghost descended on them) to this day.

It is a question much disputed between the divers source of Christian Rolgion, From where the Scriptures device thir Authority, which question is also propounded sometimes in other terms, as, How use from that he late M'Ford of Grad, or. Why use believe than he he so between the between the question is used in the source presses of the words witners in the question is still in counted. For it is believed on all hands, that the input and support them is

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

God; and consequently the question disputed, is not that. Again, is manifest, that none can know they are Gods Word, (Hough all true Christians belever it.) but those to whom God himself bath recordent is supermaturally; and therefore the guestion is not rightly moved, of our *Ensoletige* of it. Lastly, when the question is propounded of our *Ensoletige* of the supermatrix of the first set of pounded of any *Elefost*, because some are moved to belever be for our answer for them all. The question truly stated is, *By olst Authority Hay are made Lan.* 

As far as they differ not from the Laws of Nature, there is no olubly but they nor the Law of God, and carry ther Authority with thom, hegible to all men that have the use of naturall reason: but this us no other Authority; then that of all other Morall Dottine consonnt to Reason; the Dictates whereof are Laws, not made, but Bienall.

If they be made Law by God himselfe, they are of the nature of writton Law, which are Laws to them only to whom God hath so sufficiently published them, as no man can excuse himself, by saying, he knew not they were his.

He therefore, to whom God hath not supernaturally revealed. that they are his, nor that those that published them, were sent by him, is not obliged to obey them, by any Anthority, but his, whose Commands have already the force of Laws: that is to say, by any other Authority, then that of the Common-wealth, reading in the Soversign, who only has the Legislative nower. Again, if it be not the Legislative Authority of the Common-wealth, that giveth them the force of Laws, it must bee some other Authority derived from God, either private, or publique: if private, it obliges onely him, to whom in particular God hath been pleased to reveale it. For if every man should be obliged, to take for Gods Law, what particular men, on pretence of private Inspiration, or Revelation, should obtrude upon him, (in such a number of men, that out of pride, and ignorance, take their own Dreams, and extravagant Fancies, and Madnesse, for testimonies of Gods Spirit; or out of ambition, pretend to such Divine testimonies, falsely, and contrary to their own consciences.) it were impossible that any Divine Law should be acknowledged. If publique, it is the Authority of the Common would , or of the Church. But the Church, if it be one person, is the same thing with a Common-wealth of Christians; called a Common-wealth, because it consisteth of men united in one person. their Soveraign; and a Church, because it consisteth in Christian men, united in one Christian Soveraum. But if the Church be not one person, then it hath no authority at all; it can neither command, nor doe any action at all; nor is capable of having any power, or right to any thing: nor has any Will, Reason, nor Voice: for all these qualities are personall. Now if the whole number of Christians be not contained in one Common-wealth, they are not one person; nor is there an Universall Church that hath any authority over them: and therefore the Scriptures are not made Laws, by the

Guiversall Church: or if is bes one Common-wealth, then all Gaussian Monarcha, and States are private persons, and subject to been judged, depende, and punished by an Universal Reversages of Soriphares, is reduced to thus, *Hadbert Christian Elipse*, and the Soversigne Assemblies in Christian Common-usculta, be should in More rem. Terrisons, inmediately under Goi ; on subject to an View of Christ, constituted new like Unswersall Church : to be yield of Christ, constituted new like Unswersall Church : to be yield of Christ, constituted new like Unswersall Church : to be yield of Christ, constituted new like Unswersall Church : to be yield newsamin for key common wood beach, on the shall that expellent, or the common wood beach, on the shall that expellent, or the common wood beach, on the shall that expellent, or the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that the expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that the shall beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the common wood beach on the shall that expellent on the shall beach on the shall that expellent on the shall be a shall

Which question cannot bee resolved, without a more particular consideration of the Kingdome of God; from whence also, we are to judge of the Auchority of Interpretug the Scripture. Por, whosever planth a lawful power over any Writing, to make it Law, hath the power also to approve, or disapprove the interpretation of the same.

## CHAP. XXXIV

#### Of the Signification of SPIRIT, ANGEL, and INSPIRATION in the Books of Holy Scripture

Struves the foundation of all true Resiscientation, is the constant Signification of vorkis, which in the Dostrine following, dependent has a single state of vorkis, which in the Dostrine following, dependent in the Scripture, it is necessary, before I proceed any further, for determine, out of the Bible, the meaning of such words, as by their ambiguity, may remiter what I are to inform upon them, observed, or disputable. I will begin with its words Booxy, and Struttry, which and Incorporate the Schole the transformed the Schole Schole Schole and Incorporate the Schole set terms of Jubiases, Corporation and Incorporate

The Word Body, in the most general acceptation, signified that which fillstin, or occupyets assoc certain room, or imagnitud places and dependent not on the imagination, but is a reall part of that we call the Unterest. For the Dwirest, buting the dapproption of all buting properly a Body, intar is not also part of that Aggregator of all Body and Universe. The same also, becures Bodyet, to your center, as sometimest to be Mored, sometimes are subject to obange, that is to say, to variety of apparence to the sense of living creatron, is called Substrees, that is to asy, Molect, to various sendents; as sometimest to be Mored, sometimes to the shaft Stilly and to seem to our senses sometimese Edits, sometimes Gui, some And this diversity of Seeming, (produced by the diversity of the operation of bodies, on the organs of our energy of the terms of our sense of our entrest on the out of the diversity of the operation of bodies, on the organs of our energing the sense of our sense of the diversity of the sense of our energing the sense of the diversity of the operation of bodies, on the organs of our energing the diversity of the operation of bodies, on the organs of our energing the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the operation of bodies, on the organs of our energing the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the sense of the diversity of Seeming (produced by the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the sense of the diversity of the di

## Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

alterations of the Bodies that operate, & call them Accidents of thoses Bodies. And according to this acceptation of the word, Substance, and Body, signifie the same thing; and therefore Substance incorportal are words, which when they are joined together, destroy one another, es it a man should saw, an Incorporal Body.

But in the sense of common people, not all the Universe is called Body, but only such parts thereof as they can discern by the sense of Feeling, to resist their force, or by the sense of their Eves, to hinder them from a farther prospect. Therefore in the common language of men. Arre, and geriall substances, use not to be taken for Bodics, but (as often as men are sensible of their effects) are called Wind, or Breath, or (because the same are called in the Latine Spiritus) Spirits; as when they call that aerial substance, which in the body of any living creature, gives it life and motion, Vitall and Animall spirits. But for those Idols of the brain, which represent Bodies to us, where they are not, as in a Looking-glasse, in a Dream, or to a Distempored brain waking, they are (as the Apostle south generally of all Idols) nothing: Nothing at all, I say, there where they seem to bee; and in the brain it self, nothing but tumult, proceeding either from the action of the objects, or from the disorderly agitation of the Organs of our Sense. And men, that are otherwise imployed, then to search into their causes, know not of themselves, what to call them; and may therefore easily be perswaded, by those whose knowledge they much reverence, some to call them Bodies, and think them made of airs compacted by a power supernaturall, because the sight judges them corporeall; and some to call them Spirits, because the sense of Touch discerneth nothing in the place where they appear, to resist their fingers: So that the proper signification of Spirit in common speech, is either a subule, fluid, and invisible Body, or a Ghost, or other Idol or Phantasme of the Imagination. But for metaphoricall significations, there he many: for sometimes it is taken for Disposition or Inclination of the mind; as when for the disposition to controwl the sayings of other men, we say, a spurit of contradiction: For a disposition to uncleannesse, an unclean spirit; for perversenesse, a froward spirit; for sullennesse, a dumb spirit, and for inclination to and invesse, and Gods service, the Spirit of God: sometimes for any eminent ability, or extraordinary passion, or disease of the mind, as when oreat wisdome is called the spirit of usidome; and mad men are said to be possessed with a Spirit.

Other signification of Sport I find no where say; and where nore of these can satisfie the sense of that word in Scripture, the place falleth not under humans Understanding; and our Faith therein constated in our in our Opinion, but in our Schwission, as in all places where God is said to be a Spirit; or where by the Spirit of homebox, the is our syne understand nothing of what be is, but only that fix as, and therefore the Attributes we give him, are not to tell one another, what fix is, not to signific our opinion of his Nature, but our desire to honour him with such names as we concerve most honorable amongst our scives.

Term i. 2. The Sprint of God mozed upon the face of the Weters, Here it by the Sprint of God mozed upon the face of the Weters attributed to God, and consequently Place, which are intelligible and of bodies, and not of which showed incompositi, and as the place and the showed of the showed of the showed of the showed changes not place, or that has not dimension; and which ever the showed of the showed of the showed of the showed atomation, in Pool. But the meaning of those words is best understood by the like place, Gen. 8. 1. Where when the earth was covered with Wetters, as in the beginning. God intending to abate bhem, and again to discover the dry lead, used the like words, *I will bring my forther spon the Earth, and the catter shall be similated*; in which moved), which might be called (as in the former place) the Sprint of God, because the work.

Gen. 31. 38. Pharash calleds the Wisdoms of Joseph, the Sprit of God. For Joseph having advised him to look cut a wise and discrete man, and to set him over the land of Egypt, he sath thus, Genue sfind along having advised him to look out a wise having the same start and the set of the same start of the same kinetic set of the same start of Wisdoms, to make Jaron Gramonis, to consecrete him. Where extraordinary Understanding, though but in making Garaments, as being the Gyl of God. Start of Sprit of God. The same is found again. Mood. 81. 8, 4, 6, 6, and 85, 90. which will be the same is found again. Mood. 81. 8, 4, 6, 6, and 85, 90. which The same is found again. Mood. 81. 8, 4, 6, 6, and 85, 90. which The same is found again. Mood. 81. 8, 4, 6, 6, and 85, 90. which The Sprit of the Look while bodie body avone him. We Sprit of windown end understanding, the Sprit of conneal, and forthade; and for Sprit of the four C. White mainterly is means, not so many Qkosts, but so many eminent graces that God would give ham.

In the Book of Judges, an extraordinary Zeal, and Courage in the defines of Godes people is called the Spirit of God; as when it sectied Pthoniel, Gidson, Jephtha, and Samson to deliver them from scrittacle, Judge 3, 10, 63, 84, 11, 20, 13, 25, 14, 64, 61, 8. And of Szuk, upon the news of the involves of the Ammonites towards the mem of Jabesh (Hack, it is said (Jacsmit 1, 6), that *The Spirit of God came upon Sauk and kis Anger* (or, as it is in the Latino, hir *Farg*) use kindle groups. Where it is not privabile was mean a Gleese. In like meanner by the Spirit of God, that came upon Sauk, when here was amongst the Propher that praised God in Songs, and Musak (J.Som, 10, 20), is to be understood, not a Ghess, but an unexpected and ruddm axed to join with them in their droution.

The false Prophet Zedekich, sailt to Micaich (1 Kinge 22. 24.) Which may used the Spirit of the Lord from nue to speak to the  $\tilde{r}$ Which cannot be understood of a Ghasi; for Micaich declared before the Kings of Israel and Judah, the event of the battle, as from a Vision, and not as from a Spirit, speaking in him. In the same manner it appeareds, in the Books of the Prophets, that though they spake by the Spirid of God, that is to say, by a special groos of Prediction; yet their knowledge of the future, was not by a Ghost within them, but by some supernatural Dream or Yvelon.

Gen. 2. 7. It is said, God made man of the dust of the Earth, and breather into his most its (spinoulum witce) the breath of life, and masuar made a living soul. There the breath of his (and loose a signifies no more, but that God gave him life; And (Jod 71. 3), as long as the Spirit of God is in my natrix; is no more then to say, a long as the Spirit of Sod is any natrix; is no more then be as a long as live. So in Each : 100 the Spirit of life was not the wheels, is equivalent to, the wheels were slive. And (Each 2 30.) the Spirit error and set no on my feet, that is, I recovered my utill strength; not that any Ghost, or uncorporeal substance entred into; and possessed the body.

In the 11 chap. of Numbers verses 17.1 will sake (saith (cd)) of the Spirst, shick is spon files, and soling and upon show, and they shall bear the Spirst, shick is spon file, and soling and upon the seventy Efferts: whereauton two of the seventy arm said to prophere it the samper, of whom some complianed, and Joshma desired Mases to that Joshua Hawe must though and reserved anthouty so to do, and prophereyed according to the mind of Mases, that is to say, by a Spirit, or Authority substitution to his own.

In the lake sense we read (Deut 34, 9.) that Joshua was full of the Spirit of wisdome, because Moses had laud his hands upon him: that is, because he was ordinized by Moses, to prosecute like work hee had himselfe begun, (namely, the bringing of Goils people into the promised land), but prevented by death, could not finish.

In the like sense it is said, [*Rom.* 3. 9. 1) *If any man have not the Spreif of Christ, he is more of hir: not meaning thereby the Ghost of Christ,* but a submission to his Doctrine. As also (1 John 4. 2) *Hereby you shall know the Sprit of Oct (First, Section 2)*, which are more the *Sprit of Christ, is of God*; by which a mean the *Sprit of Unital* Christianity or submission to that main Article of Christian Linear Linear Christ, which cannot be interpreted of a Ghost.

Likewise these words (Lake 4. 1). And Jewu fall of the Holy Ghos (that us, as it is express, Mat 4. 1, and Mar. 1. 12. of the Holy Spirit), may be understood, for Zeal to doe the work for which here was find historic for so rot Schwinzrupt. You will be a first which may be a solution of the solution of the solution in heaven, nor earth, but the Imaginary inhabitants of manu brain, nearming to but this I say, the word Spirit in the tot agniful no such thing; but shifts property a reall subtance, or Mangholi and the spirit, but this I say, the word Spirit in the tot agniful no such thing; but shifts property a reall subtance, or Mangholi Body.

The Disciples of Christ, seeing hum walking upon the sea, (Mat-14.26 and Marke 6.49.) supposed him to be a Spirit, meaning thereby an Aeriall Body, and not a Phantasme: for it is said, they all saw him; which cannot be understood of the delusions of the brain. (which are not common to many at once, as visible Bodies are: but singular, because of the differences of Fancies), but of Bodies only. In like manner, where he was taken for a Spirit, by the same Apostles (Luke 24, 3, 7.); So also (Acts 12, 15.) when St. Peter was delivered out of Prison, it would not be believed; but when the Maid said he was at the dore, they said it was his Angel: by which must be meant a corporeall substance, or we must say, the Disciples themselves did follow the common opinion of both Jews and Gentiles, that some such apparitions were not Imaginary, but Reall; and such as needed not the fancy of man for their Existence: These the Jawa called Spirits, and Angels, Good or Bad; as the Greeks called the same by the name of Damons And some such apparitions may be reall, and substantiall; that is to say, subtile Bodies, which God can form by the same power, by which he formed all things, and make use of, as of Ministers, and Messengors (that is to say, Angels) to declare his will, and exceute the same when he pleaseth, in extraordinary and supernaturall manner. But when hee hath so formed them they are Substances, endued with dimensions, and take up roome, and can be moved from place to place, which is peculiar to Bodies; and therefore are not Chosts incorporeall, that is to say, Ghosis that are in no place; that is to say, that are no where; that is to say, that seeming to be somewhat, are nothing. But if Corporeall be taken in the most vulgar manner, for such Substances as are perceptible by our externall Senses; then is Substance Incorporeall, a thing not Imaginary, but Reall; namely, a thin Substance, Invisible, but that hath the same dimensions that are in grosser Bodies.

By the name of ANDHL, is signified generally, a Messenger; and most often, a Messenger of God: And by a Messenger of God, is signified, any thing that makes known he extraordinary Presence; that is to say, the extraordinary manifestation of his power, espoolally by a Dresen, or Vision.

<sup>6</sup>Concerning the irreduced Angels, there is nothing delivered in the Scriptures. That they are Spirits, is often repeated: but by the names of Spirit, as signified totis in Scripture, and vulgarly, both anongs Jews, and Gentles, sometimes this Bedles; as the Aire, the Wind, the Spirits Vitall, and Antmall, of living creatures; and Sometimes the Innege that rise in the fanoy in Dreams, and Visions; which are not reall Substances, nor last any longer then the Dream; Scharzone, hut Andelens of the brany, ret Wene God mustle the Spharzone, hut Andelens of the brany, ret Wene God mustle the appendix the Scharzone of the Aire Scharzone and the Scharzone hut Scharzone hut Neil day are not unpropedy termed Goids Messengers, thas is to away the Argode

And as the Gentiles did vulgarly conceive the Imagery of the brain, for things really subsistent without them, and not dependent on the fancy: and out of them framed there optimums of Demons, Good and Neural which because they seemed to asbeint really, they called *Massacreaster* and the second set of the second second target of the second second second second second second target of the second second second second second second generally an opticlon, (except the sect of the Sudduces) that these separations (which is pleased God nonstances to provide on in the fances of neural net in own of views and therefore solided them in sciences of neural net in the second second second second second second of neural net in second second second second second second of neural net in the second second second second second creatures of God, whereas these which they thought were good to them, they estemate the Angels of God, and these they thought were would have them, they called Madd Angels, or EMI Sprint's nuch as were the Sprint of Lython, and the Sprint of Mad, men, of Lanaiques, sech dimension, they called thread of Mad, men, or Lanaiques, sech dimension of the Sprint of Lython, and the Sprint of Lython they thought were sech dimension.

But if we consider the places of the Oki Testament where Angels are monitored, we shall find, that in most of them, there can nothing size is understood by the word Angel, but some image nased (appendancing) in the flavoy, to signific the presence of Oci in the second second second second second second second second where their manies is not express, it may be understood in the seam manner.

For we read Gen 18 that the same apparition is called, not oncly an Angel, but God; where that which (verse 7.) is called the Angel of the Lord, in the tenth verse, such to Agar. I will multiply the seed exceedingly, that is, speaketh in the porson of God. Neither was this apparition a Fancy figured, but a Voice. By which it is manifest, that Angel significal there, nothing but God himself, that caused Agai supernaturally to apprehend a voice from heaven, or rather, nothing clas but a Voice supernaturall, testifying Gods speciall presence there. Why therefore may not the Angels that appeared to Lot, and are called Gen. 19 13. Men; and to whom, though they were two. Lot sneaketh (ver. 18) as but to one, and that one, as God, (for the words are, Lot said unto them, Oh not so my Lord) be understood of images of men, supernaturally formed in the Fancy: as well as before by Angel was understood a fancyed Voice? When the Angel called to Abraham out of heaven, to stay his hand (Gen 22 11.) from slaying Isaac, there was no Apparition, but a Voice, which neverthelesse was called properly enough a Messenger, or Angel of God, because it declared Gods will supernaturally, and saves the labour of supposing any permanent Ghosts. The Angels which Jacob saw on the Ladder of Heaven (Ges. 28, 12 ) were a Vision of his sloon; therefore onely Fancy, and a Dream; yet being supernaturall, and signs of Gods speciall presence, those apparitions are not unproperly called Angels. The same 18 to be understood (Gen 31. 11.) where Jacob saith thus, The Angel of the Lord appeared to mee in my sleep. For an apparition made to a man in his sleep, is that which all men call a Dreame, whether such Dreame be naturall, or supernaturall. and that which there Jacob calleth

an Angel, was God himselfe; for the same Angel saith (verse 13) I am the God of Bethel.

Also ( $\operatorname{Bood}^2$  [4, 9] the Argel that went before the Army of Irnel to the Red Bos, and then cause behind it, is (recent 10,) the Lord braueli, and he appeared not in the form of a breatfull man, but in form (by Age) of a puller of clouds, and (by night) in form of a puller of first, and yet bih Filler was all the apparation, and Argel promused to Mosses (*Bool*, 14, 9) for the Armise guider. For this cloudy pillar, is said, to have descended, and stood at the other of the Thebranels, and to have alleled with Moss.

There you see Moion, and Speech, which are commonly staributed to Angela, staributed to a Clond, because the Cloud served as a sign of Goda presence; and was no lesses an Angel, luen if it had labe form of SAma, or Child of news as greated besaty; or Wings, and the second star and the shape; but their use, that makes them angels. But letter use is to be significations of Goda presence in supernatural operations; As when Mones (Exod 33. 14) had denred God to goe along with the Campo (as ha had done alwakes before the making of the Golden Cale, ) God dit not answer, J wilf go, nor with then.

To mention all the places of the Old Testament where the name of Angel 18 found, would be too long. Therefore to comprehend them all at once, I say, there is no text in that part of the Old Testament, which the Church of England holdeth for Canonicall. from which we can conclude, there is, or hath been created, any nermanent thing (understood by the name of Spirit or Angel.) that hath not quantity, and that may not be, by the understanding divided; that is to say, considered by parts; so as one part may bee in one place, and the next part in the next place to it: and, in summe, which is not (taking Body for that, which is some what, or some where) Corporeall; but in every place, the sense will bear the interpretation of Angel, for Messenger; as John Raptist is called an Angel, and Christ the Angel of the Covenant: and as (according to the same Analogy) the Dove, and the Fiery Tongues, in that they were signes of Gods special presence, might also be called Angels. Though we find in Daniel two names of Angels, Gabriel, and Michael; yet it is cleer out of the text it selfe, (Dan. 12. 1.) that by Michael is meant Christ, not as an Angel, but as a Prince: and that Gabriel (as the like apparitions made to other holy men in their sleep) was nothing but a supernatural phantasme, by which it seemed to Daniel, in his dream, that two Saints being in talke, one of them said to the other, Gabriel, let us make this man understand his Vision: For God needeth not, to distinguish his Celestiall servants by names, which are usefull onely to the short memories of Mortalls. Nor in the New Testament is there any place, out of which it can be proved, that Angels (except when they are put for such men, as God hath made the Messengers, and Ministers

of his word, or works) are things permanent, and withall incorporeall That they are permanent, may bee gathered from the words of our Saviour himselfe, (Mat. 25, 41.) where he saith, it shall be said to the wicked in the last day. Go be cursed into everlasting fire prepared for the Devil and his Angels: which place is manifest for the permanence of Evill Angels, (unlesse wee might think the name of Dovill and his Angels may be understood of the Churches Adversaries and their Musisters.) but then it is requirant to their Immateriality: because Everlasting fire is no punishment to impatible substances. such as are all things Incorporeall. Angels therefore are not thence proved to be Incorporeall. In like manner where St. Paul saves (1 Cor. 6 3.) Know ve not that wee shall judge the Angels ? And (2 Pet. 2. 4.) For 1 God spared not the Angels that sinned, but cast them down into hell. And (Jude 1, 6.) And the Angels that kept not their first estate, but left their owne hubitation, hee hath reserved in everlasting charges under darknesse unto the Judament of the last day; though it prove the Permanence of Angelicall nature, it confirmeth also their Materianty. And (Mat. 22, 30) In the resurrection men doe neither marry, nor own in marriage, but are as the Angels of God in heaven: but in the resurrection men shall be Permanent, and not Incorporeall: so therefore also are the Angels.

There be divors other places out of which may be drawn the like conclusion. To men that understand the signification of these words, Substance, and Incorporeall, as Incorporeall is taken not for subtile body but for not Body, they imply a contradiction; insomuch as to say, an Angel, or Spirit is (in that sense) an Incorporcall Substance, is to say in effect, there is no Angel nor Spirit at all. Considering therefore the signification of the word Angel in the Old Testament, and the nature of Dreams and Visions that happen to men by the ordinary way of Nature; I was enclined to this ominion, that Angels were nothing but supernaturall apparitions of the Fancy, raised by the special and extraordinary operation of God, thereby to make his presence and commandements known to mankind, and chiefly to his own people. But the many places of the New Testament, and our Saviours own words, and in such texts, wherein is no suspicion of corruption of the Scripture, have extorted from my feeble Reason, an acknowledgment, and beleef. that there be also Angels substantiall, and permanent. But to beleeve they be in no place, that is to say, no where, that is to say, nothing, as they (though indirectly) say, that will have them Incorporeall, cannot by Scripture bee evinced.

On the signification of the word Spirit, dependeth that of the word INSPIRATION: which must either be taken properly; and then it is nothing but the blowing into a man some thin and subtile aire, or wind, in such manner as a man filloth a bladder with his broath; or if Spirits be not corporeall, but have their existence only in the fancy, it is nothing but the blowing in of a Phantasme; which is improper to say, and impossible; for Phantasmes are not, but only seem to be somewhat. That word therefore is used in the Scripture 1 691

metanhorically onely: As (Gen 2, 7.) where it is said, that God unspired into man the breath of life, no more is meant, then that God gave unto him vital motion. For we are not to think that God made first a hving breath, and then blaw it into Adam after he was made, whether that breath were reall, or seeming; but only as it is (Acts 17, 25.) that he gave him life, and breath: that is, made him a living oreature. And where it is said (2 Tim. 3, 18) all Scripture is owen by Inspiration from God, speaking there of the Soripture of the Old Testament, it is an essie metaphur, to signific, that God enclined the spirit or mind of those Writers, to write that which should be usefull, in teaching, reproving, correcting, and instructing men in the way of righteous living. But where St. Pater (2 Pet 1, 21.) saith, that Pronhecy came not in old time by the will of man, but the holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Sparit, by the Holy Spirit, is meant the voice of God in a Dream. or Vision supernaturall, which is not Insuration: Nor when our Saviour breathing on his Disciples, said, Receive the Holy Spirit. was that Breath the Spirit, but a sign of the spurituall graces he gave unto them. And though it be said of many, and of our Saviour himself, that he was full of the Holy Spirit; yet that Fulnesse is not to be understood for Infusion of the substance of God, but for accumulation of his gifts, such as are the gift of sanotaty of life, of tonques, and the like, whether attained supernaturally, or by study and industry; for in all cases they are the cits of God. So likewise where God saves (Joel 2, 28 ) I will poure out my Spirit upon all flesh. and your Sons and your Daughters shall providely, your Old men shall dream Dreams, and your Young men shall see Fisions, wee are not to understand it in the proper sense, as if his Spirit were like water. subject to effusion, or infusion; but as if God had promised to give them Propheticall Dreams, and Visions. For the proper use of the word unfused, in speaking of the graces of God, is an abuse of it; for those graces are Vertues, not Bodies to be carryed hither and thither, and to be nowred into men, as into harrels.

In the same mainer, to take Inspirators in the propresense, or to say that Good Spirits entered into men to make them prophery, or Evill Spirits into those that became Phremetique, Lamatique, or Evill Spirits into those that became Phremetique, Lamatique, or Evillapitance, is not to take the served in the second of the Schuptures to na unknown. As also (Acts 2, 2) the wind, that is there said to flut the house wherein the Apoullas were assembled on the day of Pentecest, is not to be understood for the Holy Spirit, which is the Daty it self; but fire an Kirtmanil dign of Gods speciali working vertues has thought requisits for the performance of their Apostiship.

### CHAP. XXXV

#### Of the Signification in Scripture of KINGDOME OF GOD, of HOLY, SACRED, and SACREMENT

The Xingdome of God in the Writings of Dirinos, and specially in Semana, and Tratises of Devotion, is taken most commonly for Externall Felloty, stret this life, in the Highest Heaven, which they also call the Kingdome of Glory; and sometimes for (the extensi of that felloity) Sauctification, which they terms the Kingdome of Grass- but never for the Monachy, that is to say, the Soveragn Power of God over any Subjects acquired by their own consent, which is the proper signification of Kingdome.

To the contrary, I find the Kramponic or Goo, to signifie in most places of Soriyura, a Kingdown sporefy so meed, constituted by the Votes of the People of Israel in peculiar manner, wherein they above God for their King by Corenant maked with him, upon Gods promising them the pearsesion of the land of Danam; our storm; the output the New Texamponic, because such a Dominion se that, every Subject shall have in the Kingdown of God, and without projuices to the Soversign.

From the very Creation, God not only regreted over all mensaturally by his might; but also had pessible Subjects, whom he commanded by a Voice, as one man speaketh to another. In which instants he required over Adams, and gave him communitements to when he obspeed not, but tasting thereof, took upon him to be as God, indiging between God and STW, not by his Creators commandoment, but by his own scenes, his pomihimmi was a privation of the estate of Neural He's, wherein Cod land at first created lime: And afterwards God pumbled ha postenty, for their vices, all but edgin the them Neural He's, whereas, his meas edgit do consist the them Neuralmost of Cod

After this, it pleased God to speak to Abraham, and (Gen 17 7, 8) to make a Governnet with hum in these works I hull stabilish my Governmet between the strength of the stabilish my Governmet between my strength of the stabilish of the stabtic day seed of given theor, and a bit will give such does, and to they seed after theor, the limit whereas theor art a stranger, all the land of Gomman for an exclusion gassession. In this Corrector, Abraham geomidelk for humself and has part premoval, to dely and Gomman ham: and God nake part premoval, to dely and Gomman ham: and God nake part premoval, to dely and Beiland and Gomman ham is and God nake part premoval, to dely and the land train of Command, the ordinaryth (yeare 11) the Scenamit of Gomman courson. This is it within it sould the fold Gomman for Gomman dely and the whether the strength of the st and containshi a Contract between God and Åbrakam; by which Abraham oblighed himself, and his posterity, ine specular manner to be subject to God's positive Law; for to the Law Morall he was obliged before, as by an Oak to Allegiance. And though the name of King be not yet given to God, nor of Kingdowe to Abraham and has esci, yet the thing is the same, mandly, an Institution by pact, of God possible Soversingury over the seed of Abraham. Sind, is the manalyme of a prominer Kingdowe of God braham is Sind, it is not abraham (not of Mozee) St. Peni sath (Rom 4. 11), that he is the Failer of the Failefail's that, is of those that are loyal, and doe not violate their Allegiance seven to God, then by Graunnisho, and Atterwards in the New Oorsenst by Expanse.

This Covenant, at the Foot of Mount Singl, was renewed by Moses (Excd 19, 5.) where the Lord commandeth Moses to speak to the people in this manner, If you will obey my voice indsed, and keep my Covenant, then yee shall be a peculiar people to me, for all the Barth 18 mine : And wee shall be unto me a Sacerdotall Kinodome, and an holy Nation. For a Peculiar people, the vulgar Latine hath, Peculium de cunctis populis: the English Translation made in the beginning of the Reign of King James, bath, a Peculiar treasure unto me above all Nations; and the Geneva French, the most precious Jevel of all Nations. But the truest Translation is the first. because it is confirmed by St. Paul himself (Tut. 2. 14.) where he saith, alluding to that place, that our blessed Saviour guve himself for us, that he might purifie us to himself, a peculiar (that is, an extraordinary) neople; for the word is in the Greek mencodoror, which is opposed commonly to the word excessions; and as this signifieth ordinary, quotidian, or (as in the Lords Prayer) of daily use; so the other signifieth that which is overplus, and stored up, and enjoyed in a speciall manner; which the Latines call Peculium: and this meaning of the place is confirmed by the reason God rendereth of it, which followeth immediately, in that he addeth. For all the Earth is mine, as if he should say, All the Nations of the world are mine; but it is not so that you are mine, but in a special manner: For they are all mine, by reason of my Power; but you shall be mine, by your own Consent, and Covenant; which is an addition to his ordinary title, to all nations.

The same is again confirmed in expresses words in the same text, For shall be one a Sacardotal Kingdome, and an Abaly Jation. The Vulgar Latine hath is, Reynam Sacardotale, to which served it the the shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be Prioritod; so also the institution itself, by which no man might engule into the Sandraton Sacardorm, black is to say, no man might engule the shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be the shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be the shall be a shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be also a shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be shall be been shall be be strained on the High privation. never any but the High priest onely, that was to informe the People of Gods Will; nor any Convocation of Prests ever allowed to enter into the Sanctum Sanctorum.

Again, the tiel of a *Holy Nation* confirmes the seame: for *Holy* springs, that which is Gods by speciall, not by general Right. All the Earth (as is said in the text) is Gods; but all the Earth is not called *Holy*, but that on dy which is set apact for his sepsoial service, as was the Nation of the Jews. It is therefore manifest strongels by this one place, that by the *Kingdone of God*, is a properly meant a *Common-wealth*, instituted (by the common and he regulating to be subject thereo) for their *Covin Bovermanni*, and the regulating to be subject thereois (or their *Covin Bovermanni*, and the regulating towards once another in point of justice, and towards other Nations both an passe and warrs, which properly was a Kingdonn, wherean (God was King, and the *Hugh* priest was to be (after the dash of Moses) bis solo Viercey, or Lisentemant.

But there be many other places that clearly prove the sense. As first (1 3ms, S. 7) when the Selficer of Isnei (greved with the corruption of the Sense of Samuel) demanded a King. Samuel dappleased therewith, praved unter the Lordt, and the Lordt cavering said unto hum. Harriers must fix weise of the Poorla, for they next not O'fout of which is a vortismic, that the Ood humel was then their King, and Samuel did not command the poorle, but only delivated to them that which God from time to time appointed hum.

Aggin, (1 Sam 12, 12,) where Stinuel estit to the People, When yee saw that Nahash Kwag of the Children of Ammon came against you, ye said unto me, Nay, but a King shall reign over us, when the Lord your God was your King: It is manifest that God was ther Kung, and governed the Civil State of their Common reselth.

And stree the framewites had repected God, the Prophess difference in the restruction: as ( $d = aba \delta 2$ , d > b Then ike Moon shall be confounded,and the Sun ashamed, when the Lord of Hons shall renge in MountZonn, and is dreadler, where he sepondsh appropriately of his KapusZonn, and is dreadler, where he sepondsh appropriately of his KapusZonn, and is dreadler, where he sepondsh appropriateAnd dba Lord shall reign one from in Mount Zonn. This Mount Zonnin Jarvandour pour his Barth, And (forke Do. 3), 44 L lies, ashiftthe Iord God, varify with any hybrad, and a stretched out arms, andund fary gourse of . If will reign over yous, and makes or 1, 1 will causegous to gause under the rod, and I will bring you and the bond of theCommand; that Is vill reign over yous, and makes you to feature inyour reduction spinots from the days of Stanuck, and In your obstratein a version.

And in the New Testement, the Angel Gabriel saith of our Saviour (Luke 1. 22, 33.) He shall be great, and be called the Son of the most High, and the Lord shall give him the throne of his Father David, and he shall reign over the house of Jacob for ever; and of hes Kingdome there shall be no end. This is also a Kingdome upon Barth, for the claim whereof, as an enemy to Casar, he was put to death: the title of his crosse, was, Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews; hee was crowned in scorn with a crown of Thornes: and for the proclaiming of him, it is said of the Disciples (Acts 17, 7.) That they did all of them contrary to the decrees of Casar, saying there was another King, one Jesus. The Kingdome therefore of God, is a reall, not a metaphoricall Kingdome; and so taken, not onely in the Old Testament, but the New: when we say. For thine is the Kingdome, the Power. and Glory, it is to be understood of Gods Kingdome, by force of our Covenant, not by the Right of Gods Power: for such a Kingdome God alwaies hath: so that it were superfluous to say in our prayer. Thy Kingdome come, unlesse it be meant of the Restauration of that Kingdome of God by Christ, which by revolt of the Israelites had been interrupted in the election of Saul. Nor had it been proper to say. The Kingdome of Heaven is at hand: or to pray. The Kingdome come, if it had still continued.

Three be so many other places that confirm this interpretatom, that is were a wonder there is no greater notice taken of it, but that it gives too much light to Chratian Kings to see hieir right of Eccelematical Overenment. Thus they have observed, that in stead of a Sacerdotal Zangdome, translate, a Kingdome of Press: for they may as well translate a Longell PriestRode (as it is un Sk. Peter) into a PriestRode of Kings. And whereas, for a peculiar people, they put a priestRode of Kings. And whereas, for a peculiar people. Heyer, or the Pressure.

In short, the Kingdome of God is a Civill Kingdome: which consisted, first in the obligation of the people of Israel to those Lows, which Moses should bring unto them from Mount Sinai; and which afterwards the High Pricat for the time being, should deliver to them from before the Cherubins in the Sanctum Sanctorum: and which Kingdome having been cast off, in the election of Saul, the Prophets foretold, should be restored by Christ; and the Restauration whereof we daily pray for, when we say in the Lords Prayer, Thy Kingdome come; and the Right whereof we acknowledon, when we adde. For thine is the Kingdome, the Power, and Glory, for ever and ever. Amen: and the Proclaiming whereof, was the Preaching of the Apostles; and to which men are prepared, by the Teachers of the Gospal: to embrace which Gospel, (that is to say, to promise obedience of Gods government) is, to bee in the Kingdome of Grace, because God hath gratis given to such the power to bee the Subjects (that is, Children of God hereafter, when Christ shall come in Majesty to judge the world, and actually to govern his owne people, which is called the Kingdome of Glory. If the Kingdome of God (called also the Kingdome of Heaven, from the gloriousnesse, and admirable height of that throne) were not a Kingdome which God by his Lieutenants, or Vicars, who deliver his Commandements to the people, did exercise on Earth; there would not have been so much contention, and warre, about who it is, by whom God speaketh

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

to us, neither would many Priests have troubled themselves with Spiritual Jurisdiction, nor any King have denied it them

Out of this literall interpretation of the Kingdoms of God, ariseth also the true interpretation of the word Horx. For it is a word, which in Gods Kingdome answereth to that, which men in their Kingdomes use to call Publique, or the Kings.

The King of any Country is the Publyage Passan, or Representative of all har own Subjects And God the King of Israel was the TADy one of Iranel. The Nation which is subject to one earthly Soverenign, as the Nation of that Soveragin, that is, of the Publique Person So the Jeves, who were Godis Nation, were called (*Fred* 19 d) a *Halv* Nation. For by *Holy*, as alwars understood, either God humselfor, or that which is Gods in propriety, as by Publique, is alwase snear toricher the Person of the Common-would it, said, or semathing that is so the Common-would is, as no private person can clana any monorshy therem.

Therefore the Sabhath (Gode day) is a Holy day; the Temple, (Goda houve) a Holy hours, Sacrifiens, Tituka, and Ofternay (Gode tribute) Holy dutes. Probests, Prophets, and analisted Kinga, under Chrast (Godd Muttern) Holy mer, the Coulestial mustring Sputie (God Messenger) Holy Angels, and the lite: and wheresover the word Holy is taken properly, there is still something agailing of Propriety, goton by consent. In sying Followed be day name, we having no data God but hum. Markund is Godh Shaton in propriety but the Jows only were a Holy Pation. Wire, but because they because they provide by consent?

Of Holmesse there be degrees: for of those things that are set part for the serves of God, there may bee some set apart again, for a nearer and more especial servers. The whole Nation of the installies were a phople figly to God; yet the tribs of Levy was present were yet more Holy: and amongst the Preside, the high Present was the most Holy. So the Land of Judnes was the Hold. Land; but the Holy City wherein God was to be worshipped, was more Holy; and again, the Temple more Holy than the City; and the Sanchrum Sanchrum more Holy than the rest of the Temple.

A SACRAMENT, is a separation of some visible thing from common use; and a consecration of it to Gods service, for a sign, either of our admission into the Kingdome of God, to be of the number of his peculiar people, or for a Commonoration of the same. In the Old Testament, the sign of Admission was Cwcumeision; in the New Testament, Baptisme. The Commemoration of it in the Old Testament, was the Enting (at a certaine time, which was Anniversary) of the Paschall Lamb; by which they were put in mind of the night wherein they were delivered out of their bondage in Egypt; and in the New Testament, the celebrating of the Lords Supper; by which, we are put in mind, of our deliverance from the bondage of sin, by our Blessed Saviours death upon the crosse, The Sacraments of Admission, are but once to be used, because there needs but one Admission; but because we have need of being often out in mind of our deliverance, and of our Alleagance, the Sacraments of Commemoration have need to be reiterated. And these are the principall Sacraments, and as it were the solemne oathes we make of our Alleageance. There be also other Consecrations. that may be called Sacramente, as the word implyeth onely Conseeration to Gods service; but as it implies an oath, or promise of Alleageance to God, there were no other in the Old Testament, but Circumcision, and the Passeover; nor are there any other in the New Testament, but Bantisme, and the Lords Supper.

# CHAP. XXXVI

#### Of the WORD OF GOD, and of PROPHETS

Wram there is mention of the Word of God, or of Man, it doth not signifie to part of Speech, such as Grammatians call a Norm, or a Verb, or any simple votes, without a contexture with other words to make it aggringhedly. Benetick, promiseit, hyrometalledly, and the Speech, Discourge, a Revelly, and the Speech, Discourge, a Speech, Speech and Speech, Speech and Speech, Speech and Speech, Speech and Speech

Again, if we say the *Word of God*, or of Man, it may bee understood sometimes of the Speaker, as the world stude God hast aports, or that a Man hash apoken: In which sense, when we say, the Goupel of Sh. Matthew we understand Sk. Matthew to be the Writer of it: and sometimes of the Spilest: In which sense, when we say the Object is Dilbe, The words of the Spilest in which sense, when we can in the Bible, The words of the days of the Kings of I sense, or Juda, it meant, that the acts that were done an those days, were the Subjects of those Words; and in the Greak, which (in the Spiriture) relaming

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# 224

many Hebraismes, by the Word of God is oftentimes meant, not that which is spoken by God, but concerning God, and his government; that is to say, the Dockrine of Religion: Insomuch, as it is all one, to say here from and Theologia; which is, that Doctrine which were usually call Divinity, as is manifest by the places following [Acts 13 46.] Then Paul and Barnabas waved bold, and said. It was necessary that the Word of God should first have been spoken to you, but seeing you put it from you, and judge your selies unworthy of everlasting life, loe, we turn to the Gentiles That which is here called the Word of God, was the Doctrine of Caristian Religion: as it appears evidently by that which goes before. And [Acts 5. 20.] where it is said to the Apostles by an Angel, Go stand and speak in the Temple, all the Words of this life: by the Words of this life, is meant, the Doctrine of the Gospel; as is evident by what they did in the Temple, and is expressed in the last verse of the same Chap. Daily in the Temple, and in every house they ceased not to teach and preach Christ Jesus: In which place it is manifest, that Jesus Christ was the subject of this Word of life: or (which is all one) the subject of the Words of this lafe elernall, that our Saviour offered them. So [Acts 15. 7.] the Word of God is called the Word of the Gospel, because it containeth the Doctrine of the Kingdome of Christ: and the same Word [Rom. 10, 8, 9.] is called the Word of Faith: that is, as is there expressed, the Doctrine of Christ come, and raised from the dead. Also [Mat. 13 19.] When any one heareth the Word of the Kingdome; that is, the Doctrine of the Kingdome taught by Christ. Again. the same Word, is said [Acts 12. 24.] to grow and to be multiplyed; which to understand of the Evangelicall Doetrine is easie, but of the Voice, or Speech of God, hard and strange. In the same sense the Doctrine of Devils, signifieth not the Words of any Devill, but the Doctrine of Heathen men concerning Domons, and those Phantasms which they worshipped as Gods.

Considering these two significations of the Wonp or Gon. as it is taken in Scripture, it is manifest in this later sense (where it is taken for the Doctrine of Christian Religion.) that the whole Scripture is the Word of God: but in the former sense not so. For example, though these words, I am the Lord thy God. do, to the end of the Ten Commandements, were spoken by God to Moses; yst the Preface, God spake these words and said, is to be understood for the Words of him that wrote the holy History. The Word of God, as it is taken for that which he hath spoken, is understood sometimes Properly, sometimes Metaphorically Properly, as the words, he hath spoken to his Prophets: Metaphorically, for his Wisdome, Power, and sternall Decree, in making the world; in which sense, those Frate, Let their be light. Let there be a firmament. Let us make man, de [Gen. 1.] are the Word of God And in the same sense it is said [John 1, 3.] All thanks were made by it, and without it was nothing made that was made. And [Heb. 1, 3.] He upholdeth all thongs by the Word of his Power: that is, by the Power of his Word: that 18, by his Power: and [Heb. 11. 3.] The worlds were framed by the \*1 612

Word of God; and many other places to the same sense. As also amongst the Latines, the name of Fate, which signifield properly The cond spoken, is taken in the same sense

Secondly, for the effect of his Word; that is to say, for the thing it self, which by his Word is Affirmed, Commanded, Threatned, or Promised; as [Pszim 105 19.] where Joseph is said to have been kept in prison, till his Word uns come; that is, till that was come to nasse which he had [Gen. 40, 13,] foretold to Pharaoha Butler, concerning his being restored to his office: for there by his word tous come, is meant, the thing it self was come to passe. So also [1 King. 18. 36 ] Elijah saith to God, I have done all these thy Words, in stead of I have done all these things at thy Word, or commandement: and [Jer. 17. 15.] Where is the Word of the Lord, is put for, Where is the Ewill he threatned. And [Ezek. 12 28.] There shall none of my Words be prolonged any more, by words are understood those things, which God promised to his people. And in the New Testament [Mat. 24. 35.] heaven and earth shal pass away, but my Words shal not pass away; that is, there is nothing that I have promised or foretold, that shall not come to passe. And in this sense it is, that St. John the Evangelist, and, I think, St. John onely calleth our Saviour himself as in the flesh the Word of God [as Joh. 1. 14.] the Word was made Flesh; that is to say, the Word, or Promise that Christ should come into the world ; who in the beginning was with God; that is to say, it was in the purpose of God the Father, to send God the Son into the world, to enlighten men in the way of Eternall life; but it was not till then put in execution, and actually meannate; So that our Saviour is there called the Word, not because he was the promise, but the thing promised. They that taking occasion from this place, doe commonly call him the Verbe of God, do but render the text more obscure. They might as well term him the Nown of God: for as by Noun, so also by Verbe, men understand nothing but a part of speech, a voice, a sound, that neither affirms, nor denies, nor commands, nor promiseth, nor is any substance corporeall, or spirituall; and therefore it cannot be said to bee either God, or Man; whereas our Saviour is both. And this Word which St. John in his Gospel south was with God, is [in his 1 Enistle, verse 1.] called the Word of life, and [verse 2.] the Eternall life, which was with the Father: so that he can be in no other sense called the Word, then in that, wherein he is called Eternall life; that is, he that hath procured us Eternall life, by his comming in the flesh. So also [Apocalypse 19. 13.] the Apostle speaking of Christ, clothed in a garment dipt in bloud, faith; his name is the Word of God : which is to be understood, as if he had said his name had been. He that was come according to the purpose of God from the beginning, and according to his Word and promises delivered by the Prophets. So that there is nothing here of the Incarnation of a Word, but of the Incarnation of God the Son, therefore called the Word, because his Incarnation was the Performance of the Promise; In like manner as the Holy Ghost is called the Promise.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

There are also places of the Scrupture, where, by the Word of God, is eignified such Words as see consonant to reason, and equity, though pocken sometimes neither by Prophet, mor by a holy masticle structure of the second structure of the second structure of the second structure of the second structure of the match against Carchenish, are said to have proceeded from the month of God, and that Josiah not backthring by the empty of the second structure of the second structure of the that as the second structure is the second structure of the Phatash, by Greenund space these worlds to decide, from the mouth of Hatash, by the second structure of the Scrupture Phatash, by Weiten unit the Aborevine.

The Word of God, is then also to be taken for the Diotates of reason, and equity, when the same is said in the Scriptures to bee written in mans heart; as *Psaim* 30 31. Jersm. 31. 33. Dest. 30. 11, 14. and many other like places.

The name of PROPHET, signifieth in Scripture sometimes Prolocutor ; that is, he that speaketh from God to Man. or from man to God: And sometimes Pradictor, or a foretellar of things to come: And sometimes one that speaketh incoherently, as men that are distracted. It is most frequently used in the sense of speaking from God to the People. So Moses, Samuel, Elijah, Isaiah, Jeremiah and others were Prophets. And in this sease the High Priest was a Prophet, for he only went into the Sanctum Sanctorum, to enquire of God; and was to deplace his answer to the people. And therefore when Caiphas said, it was expedient that one man should die for the people, St John south [chap. 11. 51.] that He spake not thus of himselfe, but being High Priest that year, he prophesied that one man should due for the nation. Also they that in Christian Congregations taught the people [1 Cor. 14.3.] are said to Prophecy. In the like sense it is, that God saith to Moses [Ezod 4, 16.] concerning Agron. He shall be thy Spokes-man to the People; and he shall be to thee a mouth, and thou shalt be to him instead of God: that which here is Spokes-man, is [chap. 7. 1.] interpreted Prophet: See (saith God) I have made thee a God to Pharoah, and Aaron thy Brother shall be thy Prophet. In the sense of apeaking from man to God. Abraham is called a Prophet [Genes. 20. 7.] where God in a Dream, speaketh to Abimelech in this manner. Now there fore restore the man his wife. for he is a Prophel, and shall pray for thes; whereby may be also gathered, that the name of Prophet may be given, not unproperly to them that in Christian Churches, have a Calling to say publique prayers for the Congregation. In the same sense, the Prophets that came down from the High place (or Hill of God) with a Psaltery, and a Tabret, and a Pipe, and a Harp [1 Sam, 10, 5, 6.] and [vers, 10 Saul amongst them, are said to Prophecy, in that they praised God, in that manner publiquely In the like sense, is Muriam [ Erod. 15.20.] called a Prophetesse. So is it also to be taken [1 Cor. 11. 4, 5.] where St. Paul saith, Every man that prayeth or prophecyeth with his head covered, &c. and every woman that prayeth or prophecyclh with her Acai encoursed: For Propheny in that plane, significat no nore, but pressing God **B** winnes, and Holk Sonjer, which women might doin the flurch, though is were not lawfull for them to speak it to its Compression. And is this signification it is, that the Forder of the bonner of their Gods, were called *Vates* (Prophet) as is well enough known by all that are versed in the Booky of the certains, that a Prophet of there owner add, they were Liser; not that Sk. Taal hold their from the Schwarz and the Schwarz and the Sk. Taal hold their browness and they were Liser; not that Sk. Taal hold their browness and they mer Liser; not that Sk. Taal hold their browness and they mer Liser; not that Sk. Taal hold their browness and they much a similar elevence is been prophet of the order to equal the laws that elevence is been prophet.

When by Prophecy is meant Pradiction, or foretalling of future Continents: not only they were Prophets, who were Gods Spokesmen, and foretoki those things to others, which God had foretold to them; but also all those Impostors, that pretend by the helpe of familiar spirits, or by superstitious divination of events past, from false causes, to forctell the like events in time to come;" of which (as I have declared already in the 12, chapter of this Discourse) there he many kinds, who gain in the opinion of the common sort of men, a greater reputation of Prophecy, by one casuall event that may bee but wrested to their purpose, than can be lost again by never so many failings. Prophecy is not an Art, nor (when it is taken for Prediction) a constant Vocation; but an extraordinary, and temporary Employment from God, most often of Good men, but sometimes also of the Wicked. The woman of Endor, who is said to have had a familiar spirit, and thereby to have raised a Phantasme of Samuel, and foretold Saul his death, was not therefore a Prophetesse; for neither had she any science, whereby she could raise such a Phaniasme; nor does it appear that God commanded the raising of it; but onely guided that Imposture to be a means of Sauls terror and discouragement; and by consequent, of the discomfiture, by which he fell. And for Incoherent Speech, it was amongst the Gentiles taken for one sort of Prophecy, because the Prophets of their Oracles, intoxicated with a spirit, or vapor from the cave of the Pythian Oracle at Delphi, were for the time really mad, and spake like madmen; of whose loose words a sense might be made to fit any event, in such sort, as all bodies are said to be made of Materia prima. In the Scripture I find it also so taken [] Sam. 18, 10.] in these words. And the Bull spirit came upon Saul, and he Prophecyed in the midst of the house.

And although licers has so many significations in Scripture of the word Projder year is that be most Forement, in which is is taken for ham, to whom God speaked himmediately, that which the Prophet is to asy from lim, to some other man, or to the people. And herougon a question may be asked, in what manner God speakedth to such a Projder. Can it (may some say) be properly said, the adult a voigner or other organs, as a man? The Prophet Parity

arough thus. Shall be that made the eve, not see? or he that made the ear, nor hear? But this may be snoken, not (as usually) to signifie Gods nature, but to signific our intention to honor him. For to sse, and hear, are Honorable Attributes, and may be given to God. to declare (as far as our capacity can conceive) his Almighty power. But if it were to be taken in the strict, and proper sense, one might argue from his making of all other parts of mans body, that he had also the same use of them which we have; which would be many of them so uncomely, as it would be the createst contumely in the world to ascribe them to him. Therefore we are to interpret Gods speaking to men immediately, for that way (whatsoever it be), by which God makes them understand his will: And the waves whereby he doth this, are many; and to be sought onely in the Holy Sorinture: where though many times it he said, that God snake to this, and that person, without declaring in what manner; yet there he egain many places, that deliver also the signes by which they were to acknowledge his presence, and commandement: and by these may be understood, how he spake to many of the rest.

In what manner God spake to Adam, and Eve, and Cain, and Noah, is not expressed : nor how he spake to Abraham, till such time as he same out of his own countrey to Sichem in the land of Canaan; and then [Gen. 12. 7.] God is said to have appeared to him. So there is one way, whereby God made his presence manifest; that is, by an Apparition or Vision. And again, [Gen. 15. 1.] The Word of the Lord came to Abraham in a Vision; that is to say, somewhat, as a sign of Gods presence, appeared as Gods Messenger, to speak to him. Again, the Lord appeared to Abraham [Gen. 18, 1.] by an apparition of three Angels; and to Abimelech [Gen. 20 3.] in a dream: To Lot [Gen. 10, 1.] by an apparition of two Angels: And to Hagar [Gen. 21, 17.] by the apparition of one Angel: And to Abraham again [Gen. 22. 11] by the apparition of a voice from heaven: And [Gen. 26. 24.] to Isaac on the night; (that is, in his sleep, or by dream). And to Jacob [Gen. 18. 12.] in a dream; that is to say (as are the words of the text) Jacob dreamed that he saw a ladder, dec. And [Gen. 32. 1.] in a Vision of Angels: And to Moses Exed. 3. 2.1 m the apparition of a flame of fire out of the midst of a bush: And after the time of Moses, (where the manner how God snake immediately to man in the Old Testament, is expressed) hee spake alwaiss by a Vision, or by a Dream; as to Gideon, Samuel, Eliah, Elisha, Isaiah, Ezekiel, and the rest of the Prophets; and often in the New Testament, as to Joseph, to St. Peter, to St. Paul. and to St. John the Evangelist in the Apocalypse.

Only to Mass hese make in a more extincations, manner in Mount Sivas, and in the Taleracades, and to the High Prices in the Taleracade, and in this Sondawn Sondorum of the Tample. But Mossa, and start limit the High Prices were trophets of a more approxy working declaration, that to other Prophets hese make in Drawn and Velona, but to has server Mossa, in such manner as a man and Velona, but to has server Mossa. sneaketh to his friend. The words are these [Numb, 12, 6, 7, 8] If there be a Prophet among you, I the Lord will make my self known to him in a Vision, and will speak unto him in a Dream. My servent Moses is not so, who is faith full in all my house: with him I will sneak mouth to mouth, even apparently, not in dark speeches; and the similatude of the Lord shall he behold. And [Erod. 33. 11.] The Lord spake to Moses, face to face, as a man speaketh to his friend. And yet this speaking of God to Moses, was by mediation of an Angel, or Angels as annears expressely. Acts 7, ver. 35, and 53 and Gal. 3, 19 and was therefore a Vision, though a more cleer Vision than was given to other Prophets, And conformable hereunto, where God saith (Deut. 13, 1.) If there arise amongst you a Prophet, or Dreamer of Dreams, the later word is but the interpretation of the former. And [Joel 2. 28.] Your sons and your daughters shall Prophecy: your old men shall dream Dreams, and your young men shall see Visions: where again, the word Prophecy is expounded by Dream. and Vision. And in the same manner it was, that God spake to Solomon, promising his Wisdome, Riches, and Honor: for the text, saith, [1 Kings 3, 15.] And Solomon awook, and behold it was a Dream: So that generally the Prophets extraordinary in the Old Testament took notice of the Word of God no otherwise, than from their Dreams, or Visions: that is to say, from the imaginations which they had to their sloep, or in an Extasis: which imaginations in every true Pronhet were supernaturall; but in false Prophets were either naturall, or frigned.

The same Prophets were nevertheless said to speak by the Spirit; as (Zaok, 7.12) where the Prophet speaking of the Joves, saith, They made they hearts hard as Admenns, test they should hear the law, and the words subock the Lord Of Hosts had hear the his Spirit by the former Prophets. By which it is resulted, that epocking by the Spirt, or rispiration, was not a particular manner of Gods speaking, different from Yimon, when they that were suid to speak by tho Spirit, were sourcedrave Townerson, or (which is all one) a new Decean, or Vision.

Of Prophets, that were so by a perpetual Calling in the OH Testannes, some were sayrers, and some selvariate: Sayrene were first Moses; and after hum the High Priests, every one for his turns, as long as the Driesthood was Royall; and since the people than, these Kings which submitted themselves to Good government, were also ina chief Prophets; and the High Priests office became Ministerial. And when God was to be consulted, they put long the hum, and were deprived of their office, as the King comranded them, and were deprived of their office, when the King longht fit. For King Saul 15.8 m. 18. N is commanded the barrie to bring the Ark new Him, and (ver. D) again to let it alon, because to bring the Ark new Him; and (ver. D) again to let it alon, because Stul asketh counsell of God. In like manner King David, after his heing anomted, though before he had possession of the Kingdome, is said to enquire of the Lord [1 Sam. 23 2.] whether he should fight against the Philistines at Keikak: and [verse 10.] David commandeth the Priest to bring him the Ephod, to enquire whether he should stay in Keilah, or not. And King Solomon [1 Kings 2 27.] took the Priesthood from Abiathar, and gave it [verse 35.] to Zadoc. Therefore Moses, and the High Priests, and the pious Kings, who enquired of God on all extraordinary occasions, how they were to carry themselves, or what event they were to have, were all Soversign Prophets. But in what manner God snake unto them, is not manifest To say that when Moses went up to God in Mount Sinai, it was a Dream, or Vision, such as other Prophets had, is contrary to that distinction which God made between Moses, and other Prophets, Numb. 12 6, 7, 8 To say God spake or appeared as ho is in his own nature, is to deny his Infinitenesse, Invisibility. Incomprehensibility. To say he spake by Inspiration, or Infusion of the Holy Spirit, as the Holy Spirit signifieth the Deity is to make Moses equal with Christ. in whom onely the Godhead [as St Paul speaketh Col 2. 9.1 dwelleth bodily. And lastly, to say he spake by the Holy Spirit, as it signifieth the graces, or gifts of the Holy Spirit, is to attribute nothing to him supernaturall. For God disposeth men to Piety, Justice, Mercy, Truth, Faith, and all manner of Vertue, both Morall, and Intellectuall, by doctrine, example, and by severall occasions, naturall, and ordinary.

And as these ways cannot be applyed to God, in his speaking to Moses, at Monn's frina; so eaks, they cannot be applyed to hum, un his speaking to the High Prests, from the Mory-Sett. Therefore un what manner God spake to those Soverang, Prophets of the Old Testament, where office it was to enquire of him, is not intelligible. In the time of the New Testament, there was no Soverang Prophets, but our Saviour; who was both God that spake, and the Prophet to whom its apake.

To subordinate Prophets of perpetual (Caling, I find not any place that proveh (Ga packs to time supernstantily) ruto only in wuimanner, as naturally he nolineth men to Piety, to Belevi, to Rightsourcesse, and to other vertices all other Carsiana men-Which way, though it consist in Constitution, Instruction, Relavation, and the coexisions and unrelements men have to Christian vertures; you it is truly attributed to the operation of the Spirit of God, or Kidy Spirit, (which we is our language call the Budy Chost): Tor there is no good indication, that is not of its operation of God. Bud have operations as not here arguing and L. When there we are to indications in one host that is needed according to God we are to indication on none, but that is needed according to God will, dealard by the anyones Prophet. For the next common acceptation of the word Spirit, is in the signification of a mans intontion, man, or discontino.

In the time of Moses, there were seventy men besides himself,

that Prophecyed in the Campe of the Israelites. In what manner God spake to them, is declared in the 11 of Numbers, verse 25. The Lord came down in a cloud, and spake unto Moses, and took of the Spirit that was upon him, and gave it to the seventy Elders. And it came to passe, when the Spirit rested upon them, they Prophecyed, and did not cease. By which it is manifest, first, that their Prophenving to the people, was subservient, and subordinate to the Propheeving of Moses: for that God took of the Spirit of Moses, to put upon them; so that they Pronhecyed as Moses would have them; otherwise they had not been suffered to Prophecy at all, For there was [verse 27] a complaint made against them to Moses: and Joshua would have Moses to have forbidden them; which he did not, but said to Joshua, Bee not realous in my behalf. Secondly, that the Spirit of God in that place, signifieth nothing but the Mind and Disposition to obey, and assist Moses in the administration of the Government. For if it were meant they had the substantiali Spirit of God; that is, the Divine nature, inspired into them, then they had it in no lesse manner then Christ himself, in whom onely the Spirit of God dwelt bodily. It is meant therefore of the Gift and Grace of God, that guided them to co-operate with Moses; from whom their Spirit was derived. And it appeareth (verse 16) that, they were such as Moses himself should appoint for Elders and Officers of the People: For the words are, Gather unto me seventy men. whom thou knowest to be Elders and Officers of the people; where, thou knowest, is the same with thou appointest, or hast appointed to be such. For we are told before [Exod. 18.] that Moses following the counsell of Jethro his Father in-law, did appoint Judges, and Officers over the people, such as feared God; and of these, were those Seventy, whom God by putting upon them Moses spirit, inclined to aid Moses in the Administration of the Kungdome: and in this sense the Spirit of God is said [1 Sam. 16, 13, 14.] presently upon the anointing of David, to have some upon David, and left Saul; God giving his graces to him he chose to govern his people, and taking them away from him, he rejected. So that by the Spirit. is meant Inclimation to Gods service; and not any supernaturall Revelation

God spake also many times by the event of Lots, which were cricked by such as he had put in Authority over his people. So was read that God manifasted by the Lots which Saul cursed to be drawn [1 3cm, 14, 43, 10 fe attit that Jonathan had committed, in eating a hongy-comb, contrary to the sauh taken by the people. And Joos, 18, 10, 10 dd without the lot Gomaan amongst his Irreslite, by the lots that Jonkva did cast before the Lord in Shichs. In the same manner it seemeth to be, that God discovered [Jonkwa 7, 10, de ] the orime of Ashan. And these are the wayse where'y God declared in Will in the Old Testament.

All which ways he used also in the New Tostament. To the Virgin Mary, by a Vision of an Angel: To Joseph m a Dream: again to Paul in the way to Damascus in a Vision of our Saviour: and to Peter in the Vision of a sheet let down from heaven, with drowns sorts of fiesh, of clean, and unclean beasts; and in prison, by Vision of an Angel: And to all the Aposties, and Writsers of its New Testament, by the graces of his Spirit; and to the Aposties agan (at the chocking of Matthias in the place of Judas Jecoriol b) yok.

Seeing then all Prophecy supposeth Vision, or Dream, (which two, when they be naturall, are the same.) or some especial gift of God. so rarely observed in mankind, as to be admired where observed: And seeing as well such gifts, as the most extraordinary Dreams, and Visions, may proceed from God, not onely by his supernaturall, and immediate, but also by his naturall operation, and by mediation of second causes: there is need of Reason and Judgment to discern between naturall, and supernaturall Gifts, and between naturall. and supernaturall Visions, or Dreams. And consequently men had need to be very circumsneet, and wary, in obeving the voice of man. that pretending himself to be a Prophet, requires us to obey God in that way, which he in Gods name telleth us to be the way to happinesse. For he that pretends to teach men the way of so great felicity, pretends to govern them: that is to say, to rule, and reign over them; which is a thing, that all man naturally desire, and is therefore worthy to be suspected of Ambition and Imposture: and consequently, ought to be examined, and tryed by every man, before hes yeeld them obedience; unlesse he have yeelded it them already. in the institution of a Common-wealth, as when the Prophet is the Civill Soversion, or by the Civil Soversion Anthorized. And if this examination of Prophets, and Spirits, were not allowed to every one of the people, it had been to no purpose, to set out the marks, by which every man might be able, to distinguish between those. whom they ought, and those whom they ought not to follow. Seeing therefore such marks are set out [ Deut. 13, ], &c. ] to know a Prophet by: and [1 John 4. 1, &c.] to know a Spirit by: and seeing there is so much Prophecying in the Old Testament; and so much Preaching in the New Testament against Prophets; and so much greater a number ordinarily of false Prophets, then of true; every one is to heware of obeying their directions, at their own puril And first. that there were many more false then true Prophets, appears by this, that when Ahab [] Kings 12.] consulted four hundred Pronhets. they were all false Impostors, but onely one Michaiah. And a little before the time of the Captivity, the Prophets were generally lyars, The Pronhets (saith the Lord by Jeremy, cha. 14, vorse 14.) pronhecy Laes in my name. I sent them not, neither have I commanded them, nor make unto them, they prophecy to you a false Vision, a Using of naught ; and the decent of their heart. In so much as God commanded the People by the mouth of the Prophet Jeremian [chap. 23, 16] not to obey them. Thus saith the Lord of Hosis, hearken not unto the words of the Prophets, that prophecy to you. They make you vain. they speak a Vision of their own heart, and not out of the month of the Lord

Seeing then there was in the time of the Old Testament, such

quarrells amongst the Visionary Prophets, one contesting with another, and asking, When departed the Spirit from me, to go to thes? as between Michaiah, and the rest of the four hundred: and such giving of the Lyc to one another, (as in Jerem, 14, 14.) and such controversies in the New Testament at this day, amongst the Spiritual Prophets: Every man then was, and now is bound to make use of his Naturall Reason, to apply to all Propheny those Rules which God hath given us, to discern the true from the false Of which Rules, in the Old Testament, one was, conformable doctring to that which Moses the Soveraign Prophet had taught them: and the other the miraculous power of forstelling what God would bring to passe, as I have already shewn out of Deud 13. 1. dec. And in the New Testament there was but one onely mark; and that was the preaching of this Doctring. That James 18 the Christ, that is, the King of the Jews, promised in the Old Testament. Whospever denved that Article, he was a false Prophet, whatsoever miracles he might seem to work: and he that taught it was a true Prophet. For St. John [1 Epist 4 2, &c ] speaking expressely of the means to examine Spirita whether they be of God, or not: after he had told them that there would arise false Prophets, south thus, Hereby know ye the Spirit of God. Every Spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh, is of God; that is, is approved and allowed as a Prophet of God: not that he is a godly man, or one of the Elect, for this, that he confesseth, professeth, or preacheth Jesus to be the Christ: but for that he is a Prophet avowed. For God sometimes speaketh by Prophets, whose persons he hath not accepted; as he did by Baslam; and as he forefold Sanl of his death, by the Witch of Endor. Again in the next verse, Every Sparit that conferred not that Jeses Christ is come in the flesh, is not of Christ And this as the Spirit of Antichrist. So that the Rule is perfect on both sides: that he is a true Pronhet, which preacheth the Messiah already come, in the person of Jesus: and he a false one that denyeth him come, and looketh for him in some future Impostor, that shall take upon him that honour falsely, whom the Apostle there properly calleth Antichrist. Every man therefore ought to consider who is the Soversign Prophet; that is to say, who it is, that is Gods Vicegerent on Earth; and hath next under God, the Authority of Governing Christian men: and to observe for a Rule, that Doctrine. which, in the name of God, hee hath commanded to bee taught; and thereby to examine and try out the truth of those Doctrines. which pretended Prophets with miracle, or without, shall at any time advance: and if they find it contrary to that Rule, to doe as they did, that came to Moses, and complained that there were some that Prophlecyed in the Campe, whose Authority so to doe they doubted of; and leave to the Soveraign, as they did to Moses to uphold, or to forbid them, as hes should see cause; and if hes disavow them, then no more to obey their voice, or if he approve them. then to obey them, as men to whom God hath given a part of the Spirit of their Soversigne. For when Christian men, take not their Christian Soveraign, for Gode Prophet; they must either takes their overse Dreamed, for the Prophery they man to be govorned by, and the turmour of their own hearts for the Spint of God; or they must suffer themeelves to be lead by some strange Prince; or by some of their fellow subjects, that some bwilch them, by shandler of the government, into robelion, without other min-club to confirm their calling, then constimue an extraordinary mesoresse, and Impunity; and by this means destroying all laws, both divino, and Impunity; and by this means destroying all laws, both divino, and Impunity; and by this means destroying all laws, both divino, fame of Vinberg, and Grill wave.

## CHAP. XXXVII

# Of MIRACLES, and their Use

By Miracle are signified the Admunable works of God. is therefore they are also called Worders. And because they are for the most part, done, for a signification of his commandement, in such constant, as which them have not apply to don't following their private maturall reasoning), what he hash commanded, and what senses, at they are called by the Lations, *Oldents, and Postens*, from showing, and fore-signifying that, which the Almighty is about to bring to papes.

To understand therefore what is a Minciel, we must first undertand what works they are, which mean wonders at an deal Adminibul. And there be but two things which make men wonder at any ovent: The one is, if it is terange, that as to say, such, as the like of it hash never, or very rarely beam produced: The other is is produced, we cannot imagine it to have here done by natural means, but only by the immediate hands of God. But when we has been done; or if the like have here done by matural severe is but oning the natural means thereof, we no more wonder, nor estem; it to a Mincio.

Therefore, if a Horns, or Cow should speak, if were a Miraole; because both then ing is strange, at the natural mass diffusible to imagin: So also were is, to see a strange deviation of nature, in the production of some new shape of a hving creating the strange of the man, or toker Animal, suggeders his like, though we know no nors how this is done, than the other; yet because its usual; it is an or into a pillar, it is a Miracle, because strange: but if a proof of you do so changed; booms we see it offent, it is no Miraden and yet we know no more, by what operation of God, the one is brought to other. The flot familow that was een in the world, was a furned, because the first, and consequently straines; and accred for a sign from God, placed in heaver, to assure his people, there should be no more an universal destuction of the world by Water But at this day, because they are frequent, they are not Minades, nother to blenn that have bud ratural submits, not to know their mot. Again, there be many rare works produced by the at favor should be means how know are donn, we constitute the Minades, because not wrangelt by the immediate hand of God, but by medication of humanse Industry.

Furthermore, seeing Admiration and Wonder, is consequent to the knowledge and experience, wherewith men are endued, some more, some lesse; it followeth, that the same thing, may be a Miracle to one, and not to another. And thence it is, that ignorant, and superstitious men make great Wonders of those works, which other mon, knowing to proceed from Nature, (which is not the immediate, but the ordinary work of God.) admire not at all: As when Ecclipses of the Sun and Moon have been taken for supernaturall works, by the common people; when neverthelesse, there were others, could from their naturall causes, have foretold the very hour they should arrive: Or, as when a man, by confederacy, and secret intelligence, getting knowledge of the private actions of an ignorant, unwary man, thereby tells him, what he has done m former time; it seems to him a Miracalous thing; but amongst wise, and cautelous men, such Miracles as those, cannot easily be done.

Again, it belongeth to the nature of a Miracle, that it he wrought for the procuring of credit to Gods Messengers, Ministers, and Prophets, that thereby men may know, they are called, sent, and employed by God, and thereby be the better melined to obey them. And therefore, though the creation of the world, and after that the destruction of all living creatures in the universall deluge, were admirable works; yet because they were not done to procure credit to any Prophet, or other Minister of God, they use not to be called Miracles. For how admirable secver any work be, the Admiration consisteth not in that it could be done, because men naturally beleave the Almighty can doe all things, but because he does it at the Prayer, or Word of a man. But the works of God in Egypt, by the hand of Moses, were properly Miracles, because they were done with intention to make the people of Israel beleave, that Moses came unto them, not out of any design of his owne interest. but as sent from God. Therefore after God had commanded him to deliver the Israelites from the Egyptian bondage, when he said, They will not believe me, but will say, the Lord hath not appeared unto me, God gave him power, to turn the Rod he had in his hand into a Sorpent, and again to return it into a Rod; and by putting his hand into his bosome, to make it leprous; and again by pulling it out to make it whole, to make the Children of Jarael beloeve (as it is verse

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

5) that the God of their Fathers had appeared unto him: And if that were not enough, he gave him nower to turn their waters into bloud. And when hee had done these Miracles before the people, it is said (verse 41.) that they beleeved ham. Neverthelesse, for fear of Pharaoh, they durst not yet obey him. Therefore the other works which were done to plague Pharaoh and the Ecyptians, tended all to make the Israelites beleave in Moses, and were properly Miracles. In like manner if we consider all the Miracles done by the hand of Moses, and all the rest of the Prophets, till the Captivity; and those of our Saviour, and his Apostles afterward; we shall find, their end was alwaies to baget, or confirm beleefe, that they came not of their own motion, but were sent by God. Wee may further observe in Scripture, that the end of Miracles, was to beget beleef, not universally in all man, elect, and reproducts: but in the elect only: that is to say, in such as God had determined should become his Subjects. For those miraculous plagues of Egypt, had not for end, the conversion of Pharaoh: For God had told Moses before, that he would harden the heart of Pharach, that he should not let the people goe: And when he let them goe at last, not the Miraolos perswaded him, but the plagues forced him to it. So also of our Saviour, it is written, (Mat. 13, 58.) that he wrought not many Miracles in his own countrey, because of their unbeleef; and (in Marke 6. 5.) in stead of, he wrought not many, it is, he could work none. It was not because he wanted power; which to say, were blasphomy against God: nor that the oud of Miraoles was not to convert incredulous men to Christ; for the end of all the Miracics of Moses, of the Pronhets, of our Saviour, and of his Apostles was to adde men to the Church, but it was, because the end of their Miracles, was to adde to the Church (not all mon, but) such as should be saved; that is to say, such as God had elected. Seeing therefore our Saviour was sent from his Father, hee could not use his power in the conversion of those, whom his Father had rejected. They that exponeding this place of St. Marke, say, that this word. Hee could not, is put for. He would not, do it without example in the Greek tongue, (where Would not, is put sometimos for Could not, in things manimate, that have no will; but Could not, for Would not, never.) and thereby lay a stumbling block before weak Christians; as if Christ could doe no Miracles, but amongst the credulous.

From this which I have here act down, of the nature, and use of a Miraele, we may definite it thus, A MIRACIA, is a work of God, (besides his operation by the way of Nature, ordeniced in the Oreation), done, for the making manifest to his elect, the mission of an extraordinary Minister for their salvation.

And from this definition, we may inferre: First, that in all Minucles, the work, done, is not the effect of any vertue in the Prophet; because it is the effect of the innershits hand of God; that is to say, God hath done it, without using the Prophet therein, as a subordinate cause.

Secondly, that no Devil, Angel, or other created Spurt, can do a

Minacla. For it must either be by yertise of some natural science, or by Insantation, that is, yortue of words. For if the Inchanters do if by their own power independent, there is some power that proceeded how from God; which all mer dery: and if they doe it by power given them, then is the work not from the immediate hand of God, but naturall, and consequently no Minale.

There be some texts of Scripture, that seem to attribute the nower of working worders (equal) to some of those immediate Miracles. wrought by God hunself.) to certain Arts of Magick, and Incantation. As for example, when we read that after the Rod of Moses being east on the ground became a Serpent, the Manicians of Royal dul the like by their Enchantments; and that after Moses had turned the waters of the Egyptian Streams, Rivers, Ponds, and Pooles of water into blood, the Magicians of Egypt did so likewise, with their Enchantments: and that after Moses had by the nower of God brought from upon the land, the Masicians also did so with their Enchantments, and brought up frogs upon the land of Equpt; will not a man be apt to attribute Miracles to Enchantments; that is to say, to the efficacy of the sound of Words: and think the same very well proved out of this, and other such places ? and yet there is no place of Scripture that telleth us what an Enchantment is. If therefore Enchantment be not, as many think it, a working of strange effects by spells, and words; but Imposture, and delusion, wrought by ordinary means, and so far from supernaturall, as the Impostors need not the study so much as of naturall causes, but the ordinary ignorance, stupidity. and superstation of mankind, to due them; those texts that seem to countenance the power of Magick, Witcheraft, and Enchantment. must needs have another sense, than at first sight they seem to bear

For it is evident enough, that Words have in effect, but on those tisks anderstand them, and thou holes have no other, but to signific the interactions, or passions of them that meaks, and thereby produce, hope, foar, or other passions, or conceptions in the hoars. Therefore wine a Rod seemsta a Surpent, or the Watern Blond, or any other Mirals seemsh done by Exhantrament; if it is on to the addination of dood of the horize the section of the section but the Special section. So that all the Mirals of most horize the the Biochement is set of the Mirals of most her a section in the Special section of the but the Special section. So that all the Mirals of constraint in this, that the Enclaver has descrived a many which is no Miraele, but a very easie matter to doe.

For such is the ignorance, and aptitude to error generally of all mon, but especially of them that have not numbe knowledge of naturall enuses, and of the nature, and interests of mon; as by immuneable and easist tricks to be abused What opinion of minnolous power, before it was known these was a Science of the course of the Starn, might a mach have gained, that should have told the people, This hour, or day the Stan should be darkned? A Juggler by the innelling of his pobles, and other trinkets, it is were not now ordinarely practised, would be thought to do his wonders by the power at least of the Doril. A man that hat high gatalleed to the power of heart of the Doril. A man that hat in gatalleed to

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

speak by drawing in of his breath. (which kind of men in antient time were called Ventriloqui,) and so make the weaknesse of his voice seem to proceed, not from the weak unpulsion of the organs of Speech, but from distance of place, is able to make very many men beleeve it is a voice from Heaven, whatsoever he please to tell them. And for a crafty man, that hath enquired into the secrets and familiar confessions that one man ordinarily maketh to another of his actions and adventures past, to tell them him again is no hard matter; and yet there be many, that by such means as that, obtain the reputation of being Conjurers. But it is too long a businesse, to reckon up the severall sorts of those men, which the Grocks called Thaumaturgi, that is to say, workers of things wonderfull; and yet these do all they do, by their own single dextenty. But if we looke upon the Impostures wrought by Confederacy, there is nothing how impossible seever to be done, that is impossible to bee beleeved. For two mon consouring, one to seem lame, the other to ours him with a charme, will deceive many: but many conspiring, one to seem lame, another so to cure him, and all the rest to bear witnesse; will 'ieceive many more.

In this aptitude of mankind, to give too hasty beleefe to pretended Miracles, there can be no better, nor I think any other caution, then that which God hath prescribed, first by Moses, (as I have said before in the precedent chapter,) in the beginning of the 13. and end of the 18. of Deuteronomy; That wes take not any for Prophets, that teach any other Religion, then that which Gods Lieutenant, (which at that time was Moses.) hath established; nor any, (though he teach the same Religion,) whose Prædiction we doe not see come to passe. Moses therefore in his time, and Aaron, and his successors in their tumes, and the Soveraign Governour of Gods people, next under God himself, that is to say, the Head of the Church in all times, are to be consulted, what doctrme he hath established, before wes give credit to a pretended Miracle, or Prophet. And when that is done, the thing they pretend to be a Muracle, we must both see it done, and use all means possible to consider, whether it be really done: and not onely so, but whether it be such, as no man can do the like by his naturall power, but that it requires the immediate hand of God. And in this also we must have recourse to Gods Lieutenant; to whom in all doubtfull cases, wes have submitted our private judgments. For example; if a man pretend, that after certain words sooken over a peece of bread, that presently God hath made it not bread, but a God, or a man, or both, and neverthelesse it looketh still as like bread as over it did; there is no reason for any man to think it really done; nor consequently to fear him, till he enquire of God, by his Vicar, or Lieutenant, whether it be done, or not. If he say not, then followeth that which Moses south, (Deul. 18. 22) he half spoken it presumptuously, thou shalt not fear him. If he say 'tis done, then he is not to contradict it. So also if was see not, but onely hear tell of a Miracle, we are to consult the Lawini Church; that is to say, the lawful Head thereof, how far we are to give credit to the relators of it. And this is chiefly the case of men, that in these days live under Christian Soveraigns. For in these times, I do not know one man, that ever saw any such wondrous work, done by the charm, or at the word, or prayer of a man, that a man endued but with a mediocrity of reason, would think supernaturall: and the question is no more, whether what wee see done, be a Miracle; whether the Miraole we hear, or read of, were a reall work, and not the Act of a tongue, or pen; but in plain terms, whether the report be true, or a lye. In which question we are not every one, to make our own private Reason, or Conscience, but the Publique Reason. that is, the reason of Gods Supreme Lieutenant, Judge, and indeed we have made him Judge already, if wes have given him a Soveraign nower, to doe all that is necessary for our peace and defence. A private man has alwaiss the liberty, (because thought is free,) to beleave, or not beleave in his heart, those acts that have been given out for Muracles, according as he shall see, what benefit can accrew by means belief, to those that pretend, or countenance them, and thereby conjecture, whether they be Miracles, or Lies. But when it comes to confession of that faith, the Private Reason must submit to the Publique; that is to say, to Gods Lieutenant. But who is this Lieutenant of God, and Head of the Church, shall be considered in its proper place hereafter.

### CHAP. XXXVIII

### Of the Signification in Scripture of Etrenall Lipe, Hell, Salvation, The World to come, and Redemption

The manitenance of Givil Scolety, depending on Justices and Justice on the power of Life and Dack, and other less Rowards and Punishments, residing in them, that have the Soveralguty of the Common-walks. It is impossible a Common-walks is should stand. The stand the standard standard standard standard stand research than Life, and of inficting greater punchments, than Desh. Now seeing Birnall Life is a greater reveal, than the desh of Nature, It is sing workly to be well considered, stall met mitdentic (by obeying actichority) to avoid the eakanites of Common, and Civil way, which is master in thely Schperson J. July Birnall, committed, men are to be Birnally tormstoff, and for what actions, how are to observe the strength tormstoff, and for what actions, they are to obtain Birnall kernested is and for what actions,

And first we find, that Adam was created in such a condition of life, as had he not broken the commandement of God, he had enjoyed it in the Paradise of Eden Everiastingly. For there was the *Tree* of life; whateof he was so long allowed to eat, as he should forbear

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

## 240

to eat of the tree of Knowledge of Good and Evill: which was not allowed him. And therefore as seen as he had eaten of it. God thrust him out of Paradise, lest he should put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and life for ever. By which it seemsth to me. (with submission neverthelesse both in this, and in all questions, whereof the determination dependeth on the Scriptures, to the interpretation of the Bible authorized by the Common-wealth. whose Subject I am.) that Adam if he had not smned, had had an Eternall Life on Earth, and that Mortality entred upon himself. and his posterity, by his first Sm. Not that actual Death then entred: for Adam then could never have had children: whereas he lived long after, and saw a numerous posterity ere he dyed. But where it is said. In the day that thou eatest thereof, thou shall surely dre, it must needs bee meant of his Mortality, and certitude of death. Seeing then Eternall life was lost by Adams forfeiture in committing sin, he that should cancell that forfaiture was to recover thereby, that Life again. Now Jesus Christ hath satisfied for the sins of all that beleave in him; and therefore recovered to all beleavers, that ETHRNAM LIFE, which was lost by the sin of Adam. And in this sense it is, that the comparison of St. Paul holdeth (Rom. 5, 18, 19.) As by the offence of one. Judament came upon all men to condemnation. even so by the righteousnesse of one, the free gift came upon all men to Justification of Life. Which is again (1 Cor. 15 21, 22.) more perspicuously delivered in these words. For since by man came death. by man came also the resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.

Concerning the place wherein men shall enjoy that Eternail Life, which Christ hath obtained for them, the texts next before alledged seem to make it on Earth. For if as in Adam, all die, that is, have forfeited Paradise, and Eternall Life on Earth, even so in Christ all shall bee made alive; then all men shall be made to hve on Earth; for else the comparison were not proper. Hereunto seemeth to agree that of the Psalmist, (Psal, 133, 3.) Upon Zion God commanded the blessing, even Life for evermore: for Zion, is in Jerusalem, upon Earth: as also that of S. Joh. (Rev. 2, 7.) To him that overcommeth I will give to eat of the tree of lafe, which is in the midst of the Paradise of God. This was the tree of Adams Eternall life; but his life was to have been on Earth. The same seemsth to be confirmed again by St. Joh (Rev. 21. 2) where he saith, I John caw the Holy City. New Jerusalem, coming down from God out of heaven, prepared as a Bride adorned for her husband : and again v. 10. to the same effect: As if he should say, the new Jerusalon, the Paradase of God, at the coming again of Christ, should come down to Gods people from Heaven, and not they goe up to it from Earth. And this differs nothing from that, which the two men in white clothing (that is, the two Angels) said to the Apostles, that were looking upon Christ ascending (Acts 1.11.) This same Jesus, who is taken up from you into Heaven, shall so come, as you have seen him to up into Heaven. Which soundeth as if they had said, he should come down to govern them

under his Father, Eternally here; and not take them up to govern them in Heaven; and is conformable to the Restauration of the Kingdom of God, instituted under Moses; which was a Political government of the Jews on Earth. Again, that saying of our Saviour (Mat. 22 30) that in the Resurrection they neither marry. nor are given in marriage, but are as the Angels of God in heaven, is a description of an Eternali Life, resembling that which we lost in Adam in the point of Marriage. For seeing Adam, and Eve, if they had not sinned, had lived on Earth Eternally, in their individualI persons; it is manifest, they should not continually have procreated their kind. For if Immortals should have generated, as Mankind doth now; the Earth in a small time, would not have been able to afford them place to stand on The Jews that asked our Saviour the question, whose wife the woman that had married many brothers. should be, in the resurrection, knew not what were the consequences of Life Eternall: and therefore our Saviour puts them m mind of this consequence of Immortality; that there shal be no Generation, and consequently no marriage, no more than there is Marriage, or generation among the Angels. The comparison between that Eternall life which Adam lost, and our Saviour by his Victory over death hath recovered : holdeth also in this, that as Adam lost Eternal Life by his sin, and yet lived after it for a time; so the faithful Christian hath recovered Eternal Life by Christs passion, though he die a natural death, and romaine dead for a time; namely till the Resurrection. For as Death is reckoned from the Condemnation of Adam, not from the Execution; so Life is reckoned from the Absolution, not from the Resurrection of them that are elected in Christ.

That the place wherein men are to live Eternally, after the Resurrection, is the Heavens, meaning by Heaven, those parts of the world, which are the most remote from Earth, as where the stars are, or above the stars, in another Higher Heaven, called Colum Empureum, (whereof there is no mention in Scripture, nor ground in Reason) is not easily to be drawn from any text that I can find. By the Kingdome of Heaven, is meant the Kingdom of the King that dwelleth in Heaven; and his Kingdome was the people of Israel. whom he ruled by the Prophets his Lieutenants, first Moses, and after him Eleazar, and the Soveraion Priests, till in the days of Samuel they rebelled, and would have a mortall man for their King, after the manner of other Nations. And when our Saviour Christ, by the preaching of his Ministers, shall have perswaded the Jews to return, and called the Gentiles to his obedience, then shall there be a new Kingdom of Heaven: because our King shall then be God, whose throne is Heaven; without any necessity evident in the Scripture, that man shall ascend to his happinesse any higher than Gods footstool, the Earth. On the contrary, we find written (Joh. 3, 13.) that no man hath ascended into Heaven, but he that came down from Heaven, even the Son of man, that is in Heaven. Where I observe by the way, that these words are not, as those which go immediately before, the words of our Saviour, but of St. John himself; for Christ

was then not in Heaven, but upon the Earth. The like is said of David (Acts 2 34.) where St. Peter, to prove the Ascension of Christ, using the words of the Psalmist, (Psal. 16. 10.) Thou will not leave my soule in Hell, nor suffer thine Holy one to see corruption, saith, they were anoken (not of David, but) of Christ; and to prove, it, addeth this Reason, For David is not ascended into Heaven. But to this a man may easily answer, and say, that though their bodies were not to ascend tall the generall day of Judgment, yet their souls were in Heaven as soon as they were departed from their bodies; which also seemoth to be confirmed by the words of our Saviour (Luke 20 37, 38.) who proving the Resurrection out of the words of Moses, saith thus, That the dead are raised, even Moses shewed, at the bush, when he calleth the Lord, the God of Abraham, and the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob. For he is not a God of the Dead, but of the Living; for they all live to him. But if these words be to be understood only of the Immortality of the Soul, they prove not at all that which our Saviour intended to prove, which was the Resurrection of the Body, that is to say, the Immortality of the Man. Therefore our Saviour meaneth, that those Patriarchs were Immortall: not by a property consequent to the essence, and nature of mankind; but by the will of God, that was pleased of his mere grace, to bestow Eiernall is fe upon the faithfull. And though at that time the Patriarchs and many other faithfull men were dead, yet as it is in the text, they lived to God, that is, they were written in the Book of Life with them that were absolved of their sinnes, and ordained to Lafe eternall at the Resurrection. That the Soul of man is in its own nature Eternali, and a living Creature independent on the body: or that any meer man is Immortall, otherwise than by the Resurrection in the last day, (except Enos and Elias,) is a doctrine not apparent in Scripture. The whole 14. Chapter of Job, which is the speech not of his friends, but of himselfe, is a complaint of this Mortality of Nature: and yet no contradiction of the Immortality at the Resurrection. There is hope of a tree (saith hee verse 7.) if it be cast down, Though the root thereof wax old, and the stock thereof due in the ground. yet when it senteth the water it will bud, and bring forth boughes like a Plant. But man dyeth, and wasteth away, yea, man giveth up the Ghost, and where is he ? and (verse 12.) man lusth down, and riseth not, till the heavens be no more. But whon is it, that the heavens shall be no more? St. Peter tells us, that it is at the generall Resurrection. For in his 2. Epistle, 3. Chapter, and 7 verse, he saith, that the Heavens and the Earth that are now, are reserved unto fire against the day of Judgment, and perdition of ungodly men, and (verse 12.) looking for, and hashing to the comming of God, wherein the Heavens shall be on fire, and shall be dissolved, and the Blements shall melt with fervent heat. Neverthelesse, we according to the promise look for new Heavens, and a new Earth, wherein dwelleth righteousnesse. Therefore where Job saith, man riseth not till the Heavens be no more; it is all one, as if he had said, the Immoriall Lafe (and Soule and Lafe in the Scripture, do usually signifie the same

thing) beginneth not in man, till the Resurrection, and day of Judgement; and hath for cause, not his specificall nature, and generation; but the Promise. For St. Peter saiss not, Wee look for new hacebes, and a new certh, (from Nature,) but from Promue.

Lastly, seeing it hath been already proved out of divers evident places of Scripture, in the 35, chanter of this book, that the Kingdom of God is a Civil Common-wealth, where God himself is Soveraign, by vertue first of the Old, and since of the New Covenant, wherein he reignoth by his Vicar, or Lieutenant; the same places do therefore also prove, that after the commune again of our Saviour in his Majesty, and clory, to reign actually, and Eternally; the Kingdom of God is to be on Earth But because this dootrine (though proved out of places of Scripture not few, nor obscure) will appear to most men a novelty: I doe but propound it: maintaining nothing in this. or any other paradox of Religion: but attending the and of that dispute of the sword, concerning the Authority, (not yet amongst my Countreymen decided.) by which all sorts of doctrine are to bee approved, or rejected: and whose commands, both in speech, and writing, (whatsoever be the opinions of private men) must by all men, that mean to be protected by their Laws, be obeyed. For the points of doctrine concerning the Kingdome [of] God, have so great influence on the Kingdome of Man, as not to be determined, but by them, that under God have the Soversign Power.

As the Kingdome of God, and Eternal Life, so also Gods Enemies, and their Torments after Judgment, appear by the Scripture, to have their place on Earth. The name of the place, where all men remain till the Resurrection, that were either buryed, or swallowed up of the Earth, is usually called in Scripture, by words that signific under ground; which the Latines read generally Infernus, and Infers. and the Greeks dops; that is to say, a place where men cannot see and containeth as well the Grave, as any other deeper place. But for the place of the damned after the Resurrection, it is not determined, neither in the Old, nor New Testament, by any note of situation; but onely by the company; as that it shall bee, where such wicked men were, as God in former times in extraordinary, and miraculous manner, had destroyed from off the face of the Earth: As for example, that they are in Inferno, in Tartarus, or in the bottomelesse pit; because Corah, Dathan, and Abirom, were swallowed up alive into the earth. Not that the Writers of the Scripture would have us beleave, there could be in the globe of the Earth, which is not only finite, but also (compared to the height of the Stars) of no considerable magnitude, a pit without a bottome; that is, a hole of infinite denth, such as the Greeks in their Domonologic (that is to say, in their doctrine concerning Damons.) and after them the Romans called Tartarus; of which Virgill sayes,

> Bis patet in proceps, tantum tenditque eub umbras, Quantus ad æthereum cosli suspectus Olympum

for that is a thing the proportion of Earth to Heaven cannot bear:

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

but that were should beleeve them there, indefinitely, where those men are, on whom God inflicted that Exemplary pupishment.

Again, because those mighty men of the Lorth, that lived in the time of Nosh, before the flowed, (which the Greeks called Horse, and the Scriptore Giands, and both any, wave begates, by corrulation of the ohidform of Ood, while the children of theme), wave for their winded line destroyed by the general delayer, the place of the original delayer of the second delayer is a second delayer. The delayer of the second Canati, as Proverbell 11, A. The max flow tour delay delayer of understanding, abait remains in the compression of the set decourd Gaustic, as Proverbell 11, A. The max flow tour delay that deell with flows. Here the place of the Dannen, is under the water. And Jonah 14.0, Did 14 is provided nois to neet deer, (that is, the King of Tabyloo) and will displace the formet for their and by under water.

Thirdly, because the Cities of Sodom, and Gomorrah, by the extraordinary wrath of God, were consumed for their wickednesse with Fire and Brimstone, and together with them the countrey about made a stinking bituminous Lake: the place of the Damned is sometimes expressed by Fire, and a Fiery Lake: as in the Anocalunse on, 21, 8. But the innorous, incredulous, and abominable. and Murderers, and Whoremongers, and Sorcerers, and Idolaters, and all Lyars, shall have their part in the Lake that burneth with Fire, and Brimstone: which is the second Death. So that it is manifest, that Hell Fire, which is here expressed by Metanhor, from the real Fire of Sodome, signifieth not any certain kind, or place of Torment; but it to be taken indefinitely, for Destruction, as it is in the 20, Chapter, at the 14. yerse; where it is said, that Death and Hell were cast into the Lake of Fire; that is to say, were abolished, and destroyed; as if after the day of Judgment, there shall be no more Dying, nor no more going into Hell: that is, no more going to Hades (from which word perhaps our word Hell is derived.) which is the same with no more Dving.

Fourthly, from the flogue of Darknesse inflicted on the Ryppians, of which it is written (Ease). Log 23 (Freq uses of one another, neither rose any man from his pices for three days; but all the Uhidrea of Iread had light to their defilings; the place of the wilded after Jodgment, is called Uler Darknesse, or (as it is in the original). Darkness without, And so it is expressed (Mat 22.13), where its her King on his Wedding persent, and is cast him out, o't is early able to Redenal Darknesse, or Darknesse without: which though translate Uler darknesse, does not signific how great, hut where that darknesses is to be; namely, without the habilitation of Gost Rich.

Lastly, whereas there was a place near Jerusalem, called the Valle of the Children of Humors; in a part whereof, called Topker, the Jews had committed most grievous Idolatry, sacrificing their children to the Idol Moloch; and wherein also God had afflicted his ensuits with most gravous punishments; and wherein Jongs had burnt the Presst of Mlobal upon their own Altars, as appeared at large in the 2 of Kings chap 23, the place served afterwards, to receive the fills, and gardage which was carried 'dithiler, out of the City: and there used to be first mode, from time to time, to punfe theories, and take away the steach of Carrion. From time about able place, the Jown used over filter to call the place of the Dammed, is that word, which is swardly now translated Filtz, and from the first from time to time there burning, we have the notion of *Ever*lation, and *Damenehole* First.

Semign now there is mana, that so interprets the Scripture, as that after the day of Judgmont, the wielded are all Edwardly to be pursished in the Valley of Himony; or that they shall so rise again, as to be ever a fart under ground, or under water; or that if or the Resurrection, they shall no more see one another; nor tair from ene phere to another; it followeds, are minke, very necessarily, that that which is thus said concerning Red Dive, is sphere metaphoreally; (for of all Metaphore thrue is soon call ground, that may be expressed in proper words) both of the Place of Held, and the nature of Heldin Yourset, and Turnametre.

And fraif for the Torsenters, we have their nature, and properties exactly and properly delivated by the name of The Sareng, or Stature The decaser, or Diabolus; The Destroyer, or Abeddom. Which significant names, Satar, Devil, Adadon, set not forth to us any Individual person, as proper names use to doe; but orsely an offles, or quality; and as thesefort Appendixtus; which and the does been left untranslated, as they are, in the Lation, and Midden Philes; and man are the name easily science in does but orsely and Devilsy which at that tume was the Religion of the Gentiles, and contrary to that of Moses, and O Christ.

And because by the *Brenny*, the *Accuser*, and *Destroyer*, in means, the Brenny of them that shall have in the Kingdome of God, iterefore if the Kingdome of God after the Resurrection, bee upon the Earth, fas in the former Chapter I have sidern by Scriptenet is seems to be). The Brenny, and his Kingdome must be on Earth also. For ea also we have been been been been been also deposed beam of the weather that the Brenny, and consequently by Scient, is meant any Kingdomes of the Emeny, and onesequently by Scien, is miant any Kingdomes of the Emeny.

The Torranetta of Hell, are expressed sometimes, by usering, and granshape of text, as Mat. 8. 12 Sometimes, by the norm of Conscience; as I as 60, 24, and Mark 9, 44, 46, 45; sometimes by Fre, as in the place now quoted, where the worm dynk not, and the first is not purched, and mary places bounds, more than by shows of the Earth, and Hawko, some to Everlaghten bits; and earte the and of the Earth, and Hawko, some to Everlaghten bits; and earte to shama.

and sverlasting contempt. All which places design metaphorically a grief, and discontent of mind, from the sight of that Eternal felicity in others, which they themselves through their own incredulity, and dischedience have lost. And because such felicity in others, is not sensible but by comparison with their own actual miseries; it followeth that they are to suffer such bodily paines, and calamities. as are incident to those, who not onely live under syill and cruell Governours, but have also for Enemy, the Eternall King of the Saints, God Almighty. And amongst these bodily paines, is to be reckoned also to every one of the wicked a second Death. For though the Scripture bee clear for an universall Resurrection ; yet wee do not read, that to any of the Reprobate is promised an Eternall life. For whereas St. Paul (1 Cor. 15, 42, 43) to the question concerning what bodies men shall rise with again, saith, that the body is soun in corruption, and is raised in incorruption : It is sown in dishonour. it is raised in alory ; it is sown in weaknesse, it is raised in power ; Glory and Power cannot be analyed to the bodies of the wicked: Nor can the name of Second Death, hee applyed to those that can never die but once: And although in Metaphoricall speech, a Calamitous life Everlasting, may bee called an Everlasting Death yet it cannot well be understood of a Second Death. The fire prepared for the wicked, is an Everlasting Fire: that is to say, the estate wherein no man can be without toriure, both of body and mind, after the Resurrection, shall endure for ever; and in that sense the Fire shall be unquenchable, and the torments Everlasting: but it cannot thence be inferred, that hee who shall be cast into that fire, or be tormented with those torments, shall endure, and resist them so, as to be eternally burnt, and tortured, and yet never he destroyed, nor die And though there be many places that affirm Everlasting Fire, and Torments (into which men may be cast successively one after another for ever: yet I find none that affirm there shall bee an Eternall Life therein of any individuall person: but to the contrary, an Everiasting Death, which is the Second Death : For after Death, and the Grave shall have deimered up the dead which were in them, and every man be rudged according to his works : Death and the Grave shall also be cast into the Lake of Fire. This is the Second Death. Whereby it is evident, that there is to bee a Second Death of every one that shall bee condemned at the day of Judgement, after which hee shall die no more.

The joyse of L46 Distant), are in Surjenze comprehended all under thorname of SLAVIEND, or being sensed. To be average, is to be secured, efficier respectively, against special IDNIE, or absolutely, against all boxuze man was evented in a conductor functional, not subject to coverprion, and consequently to nothing that tendeds to the discolution of bin satury, and fail from that happrismes by the sin of Adams it followeds, take to be sever from Sin, is to be saved from all the theoretics, and follow the meaning of the severed from all the theories on the box generation of the second severe for all the theories on the box generation of the second second severe it followeds, take to be severed from Sin, is to be saved from all the theories on the box generators. from Detak and Misery, is the same thing, as I appears by the vortis of our Esviour, who having courd a mon stack of the Palsey, by saving, (*Mat*, 9, 2). Son be of good elser, thy Sins be forguene like; and however that the Scribe toxic for blashiency, that a max should pretend to forgure Sins, stack of them (v. 5) indelser it serve assure to thereby, thus it was all one, as to the saving of the end, to saving form of speech, endy to share have to the saving of the end, to saving from of speech, endy to share have both gover to forgures Bins. And it wis beates evident in teason, that succe Death and Mesey, were the prominiments of Kin, the diedarger of Sinsa, must also be a discharger faithful act to enzy after the day of -bitgment. by the power, and feature of the one, then, who for that the saves is called our SKY100T

Concerning Pertucaker Selvations, such as are understood. J & Sam, 14, 30, set  $h_{cod}$  burk that austil J avaid, that is, from their temporary enemies, and 2 & Sam. 32; 4. Thou art my Sensour, flow greater and promotionerst, and 2 & Kawy 15. 6. Code greate the I remedias a second that the second second second second second second second perturbation of the second second second second second second meterset, to corrupt the interpretation of toxic of that kind.

But concerning the General Baltration, because it must be in the Kingdime of Heaven, there is great difficulty concentrage the Place. On one and, by *Kingdone* (which is an existe ordanned by men for their perpetial is sociuly against encurs, and ward; it seemati that this Salvation should be on Barth. For by Salvaton is see forth unout do a, a goirone Reign, of our King, by Conquest; not a stately by Escape: and therefore there where we hoad for Salvation, we must book also for frummy, and theiror Trimmy, it seematic states and the second state of the state of the second support, shall be in Heaven. But how good sorver this reason may be, I will not trust to it, without very evident places of Sorupture. The state of Salvakion is described at large, *Lemax* 33, ver 30, 21, 82, 32, 34.

Look upon Zion, the City of our solemnities; thins eyes shall see Jerusalem a quat habitation, a tabernacie that shall not be taken down; not one of the stakes thereof shall ever be removed, neuther shall any of the cords thereof be broken.

But there the glorwous Lord will be unto us a place of broad rivers, and streams; wherein shall goe no Gally with ourse; neither shall guilant ship passe thereby.

For the Lord is our Judge, the Lord is our Lawgiver, the Lord is our King, he will save us.

Thy tacklings are loosed, they could not well strengthen their mast; they could not spread the sail : then is the prey of a great spoil divided; the lame take the prey.

And the Inhabitant shall not say, I am sicke ; the people that shall dwell therein shall be forgiven their Iniquity.

In which words wee have the place from whence Salvation is to

proceed, Jerusalem, a guid habitation; the Eternity of it, a tabernacle that shall not be taken down, dec. The Saviour of it, the Lord, their Judge, their Lawgiver, their King, he wall save us; the Salvation, the Lord shall be to them as a broad mote of swift waters, dec. the condition of their Enemies, their tacklings are loose, their musts weak, the lame shall take the spoil of them. The condition of the Saved, The Inhabitant shall not say, I am sick: And lastly, all this is comprehended in Forgivenesse of sin, The people that dwell therein shall be forgiven their iniguity. By which it is evident, that Salvation shall be on Earth, then, when God shall reign, (at the coming again of Christ) in Jorusalem: and from Jerusalem shall proceed the Salvation of the Gentiles that shall be received into Gods Kingdome: as is also more expressly doplared by the same Pronhet, Chen. 65, 20, 21. And they (that is, the Gentiles who had any Jow in bondage) shall bring all your brethren, for an offering to the Lord, out of all nations, woon horses, and in charets, and in lillers, and woon mules, and woon swift beasts, to my holy mountain, Jerusalem, saith the Lord, as the Children of Israel bring an offering in a clean vessell into the House of the Lord. And I will also take of them for Priests and for Levites, south the Lord. Whereby it is manifest, that the chief coat of Gods Kingdome (which is the Place, from whence the Salvation of us that were Gentiles, shall proceed) shall be Jerusalem : And the same is also confirmed by our Saviour, in his discourse with the woman of Samaria, concerning the place of Gods worship, to whom he saith, John 4 22 that the Samaritans worshipped they knew not what, but the Jews worship what they knew, For Saluation is of the Jews (ex Judges, that is, begins at the Jews): as if he should say, you worship God, but know not by whom he wil save you, as we doe, that know it shall be by one of the trice of Judah, a Jew, not a Samaritan, And therefore also the woman not impertmently answered him again. We know the Messias shall come. So that which our Saviour saith, Saluation is from the Jews, is the same that Paul saves (Rom. 1. 16, 17.) The Gospel is the power of God to Salvation to every one that beleeveth ? To the Jew first, and also to the Greek. For therein is the righteousnesse of God revealed from fasth to fuils; from the faith of the Jew, to the faith of the Gentile. In the like sense the Prophet Joel describing the day of Judgment, (chap. 2. 30, 31.) that God would shew wonders in heaven, and in earth, bloud, and fire, and pillars of smoak. The Sun should be turned to darknesse, and the Moon into bloud, before the great and terrible day of the Lord come, he addeth verse 32, and it shall come to passe, that whospever shall call upon the name of the Lord, shall be saved. For in Mount Zion, and in Jerusalem shall be Salvation. And Obadiah vorse 17. saith the same, Upon Mount Zvon shall be Deliverance, and there shall be holmesse, and the house of Jacob shall possesse their possessions, that is, the possessions of the Heathen, which possessions he expresseth more particularly in the following verses, by the mount of Esau, the Land of the Philistines. the fields of Ephraim, of Samaria, Gilead, and the Oities of the South, \$ 601

and concludes with these words, the Kinodom shall be the Lords. All these places are for Salvation, and the Kingdoms of God (after the day of Judgement) upon Earth. On the other side, I have not found any text that can probably be drawn, to prove any Ascension of the Saints into Heaven; that is to say, into any Calum Empyreum, or other otheriall Remon: saving that it is called the Kingdome of Heaven: which name it may have, because God, that was King of the Jews, governed them hy his commands, sent to Moses by Angels from Heaven; and after their revolt, sent his Son from Heaven, to reduce them to their obedience: and shall send him thence again, to rule both them, and all other faithfull men, from the day of Judgment, Everlasungly: or from that, that the Throne of this our Great King is in Heaven: whereas the Earth is but his Footstoole. But that the Subjects of God should have any place as high as his Throne, or higher than his Footstoole, it seemeth not sutable to the dignity of a King, nor can I find any evident text for it in holy Scripture.

From this that hath been said of the Kingdom of God, and of Salvation, it is not hard to interpret what is meant by the WORLD TO COMP. There are three worlds mentioned in Scripture, the Old World, the Present World, and the World to come. Of the first, St. Poter speaks, 11 God spared not the Old World, but saved Noah the eighth person, a Preacher of righteousnesse, bringing the flood upon the world of the ungodly, dec. So the first World, was from Adam to the generall Flood. Of the present World, our Saviour speaks (John 18. 36 ) My Kingdome is not of this World. For he came onely to teach men the way of Salvation, and to renew the Kingdome of his Father, by his doctrine. Of the World to come, St. Pater speaks, Neverthelesse, we according to his promise look for new Heaven's, and a new Earth. This is that WORLD, wherein Christ coming down from Heaven, in the clouds, with great power, and glory, shall send his Angels, and shall gather together his elect, from the four winds, and from the uttermost parts of the Earth, and thence forth reign over then, (under his Father) Everlastingly.

Saluation of a sinner, supposeth a precedent REDEMPTION: for he that is once guilty of Sin, is obnoxious to the Penalty of the same; and must pay (or some other or him) such Ransome, as he that is offended, and has him in his power, shall require. And seeing the person offended, is Almighty God, in whose power are all things; such Ransome is to be paid before Salvation can be acquired, as God hath been pleased to require. By this Ransome, is not intended a satisfaction for Sin, equivalent to the Offence, which no sinner for himselfe, nor righteous man can ever be able to make for another: The dammage a man does to another, he may make amends for by restitution, or recompence, but sin cannot be taken away by recommence: for that were to make the liberty to sin, a thing vendible. But sins may bee pardoned to the repentant, either gratis, or upon such penalty, as God is pleased to accept. That which God usually accepted in the Old Testament, was some Sacrifice or Oblation. To forgive sin is not an act of Injustice, though the punishment have been threatned. Even amongst men, though the promise of Good, bind the promiser; yet threats, that is to say promises of Evill, bind them not; much lesse shall they bind God, who is mfinitely more merciful then men. Our Saviour Christ therefore to Redeem us, did not in that sense satisfie for the Sins of men, as that his Death, of its own vertue, could make it unjust in God to punish sunners with Eternall death; but did make that Sacrifice, and Oblation of himself; at his first coming, which God was pleased to require, for the Salvation at his second coming, of such as in the mean time should repent, and beleeve in him. And though this act of our Redemption, be not alwaies in Scripture called a Sacrifice, and Oblation, but sometimes a Price; yet by Price we are not to understand any thing, by the value whereof, he could claim right to a pardon for us, from his offended Father; but that Price which God the Father was pleased in mercy to demand.

# CHAP. XXXIX

#### Of the signification in Scripture of the word Church

Thus word Church, (Ecclosic) signifiest in the Bools of Holy for future diverse things. Sometimes (though not often) it is taken for Gold House, that is to any, for a ("emplo, wherein Christian Large words the problem of the Chardect with the in Metaphorically put, for the Congregation there assembled; and hat been suce and for the Edition is set; to distinguish between the Tomples of Christians, and Holassed Prayer; and as any Editor definited by Aoses, and the Rossed of Prayer; and as any Editor definited by Greak Pathers and it Kapara, *The Lords houses*, and therefore the Greak Pathers and it Kapara, *The Lords houses*, and therefore the Largenge it cannot be to called *Larger*, and *Christian*.

Church (when not taken for a House) significit the same that Ecclosis signified in the Grosian Common-walking, that is to any, a Congregation, or an Assembly of Chiteona, called forth, to hear the Magintus space and the same show the state space was called *Ecclosata*, Anthority, it was an electronic product a state space was called *Ecclosata*, Anthority, it was *Ecclosal capture*, a *Longiture* of *Chiteona Ecclosal and the state space was electronic and the state space Ecclosed*, and *Ecclosal capture*, a *Longiture Ecclosed*, *Ecclosed*, *appendiced*, *appendiced*,

It is taken also sometimes for the men that have right to be of the Congregation, though not actually assembled; that is to say, for the whole multitude of Chnstian men, how far scover they be dispersed; as (Act. 8.3) where it is said, that Saul made hayook of the Church: And in this sense is Christ said to be Head of the Church And sometimes for a serial part of Christmass, sat (Cd. 4, 15.) Solidat the Church dust is in this france. Komenimum also for the Diese hog, and wildow Hamidia's which is meant of the Church Arimsphant, or, Church to come. Sometimes, for a Congregation assembled, or professors of Christianuty, whiches these productions in true, or commercist, as it is understood, Mat. 18 17 where it is said. Toll is a of dentitio, relations:

And in this last sense only it is that the Church can be taken for one Person, that is to say, that it can be said to have power to will. to pronounce, to command, to be obeyed, to make laws, or to doe any other action whatsoever: For without authority from a lawfull Congregation, whatspever act be done in a concourse of people, it is the particular act of every one of these that were present, and gave their aid to the performance of it; and not the act of them all in grosse, as of one body, much lesse the act of them that were absent, or that being present, were not willing it should be done. According to this sense, I define a CHURCH to be, A Company of men professing Christian Religion, united in the person of one Sovernion. at whose command they ought to assemble, and without whose authority they ought not to assemble. And because in all Common-wealths that Assembly, which is without warrant from the Civil Soveraign, is unlawful; that Church also, which is assembled in any Commonwealth, that hath forbidden them to assemble, is an unlawfull Assembly.

It followeth also, that there is on Earth, no such universall Church. as all Christians are bound to obey: because there is no power on Earth, to which all other Common-wealths are subject; There are Christians, in the Dominious of severall Princes and States: but every one of them is subject to that Common-wealth, whereof he is himself a member; and consequently, cannot be subject to the commands of any other Person. And therefore a Church, such a one as as canable to Command, to Judge, Ahsolve, Condemn, or do any other act, is the same thing with a Civil Common-wealth. consisting of Christian men; and is called a Givil State, for that the subjects of it are Men; and a Church, for that the subjects thereof are Christians. Temporall and Spiritual Government, are but two words brought into the world, to make men see double, and mistake their Lawfull Soveraign. It is true, that the bodies of the faithfull. after the Resurrection, shall be not onely Spirituall, but Eternall: but in this life they are grosse, and corruptable. There is therefore no other Government in this life, neither of State, nor Religion, but Temporall: nor teaching of any doctrine, lawfull to any Subject. which the Governour both of the State, and of the Religion, forhiddeth to be taught: And that Governor must be one, or else there must needs follow Faction, and Civil war in the Common-wealth. between the Church and State; between Spiritualists, and Tempendias, between the Saord of Juster, and the Shidd of Fault, and (which is more) in every Christian mans own loves, balween the Ghristen, and the Man. The Doctors of the Church, are called Pastors; so also are Curil Soveraigness: Rui if Pastors is not subordinate cone to anothers, so as that there may bee one shiel Pastor, men will be taught contrary Doctrines, whereof both may be, and one must be failes. Who that one chief Pastor is, according to the New of Nature, hat here already slewen; namely, thus it is the Givil Soversign: And to whom the Screptere hash assigned that Ofice, we shall see in the Chapters Following.

### CHAP. XL

#### Of the RIGHTS of the Kingdome of God, in Abraham, Moses, the High Pricets, and the Kings of Judah.

The Fabler of the Faithfull, and first units Kingdome of God by Cortenant, weak advaluem. For with hum was the Cortenant first made; wherein he obliged himself, and his seed after him, to acknowledge and obey the commands of God in our onely such, as he could take notice of, (as Morall Laws,) by the light of Nature; but also such, as God Bould in special manner reliver to hum by Dreams, and Vintens. For as to the Morall kaw, they worse already obliged, and meeded nucleave been contracted withaily hypormise of the Land of Cannam. Not was there any Contract, that could adde to, or bound naturally to abey God Alumphyr. And therefore the Cortmant which Abraham made with God, was to take for the Commandement of God, that which in the name of God was commanded hum, in a Dream, or Vision, and to deliver it to his family, and cause them to observe the ame.

In this Contract of God with Abraham, were may observe three points of important consequence m the government of God spale only to Abraham, and therefore contracted not with any of his family, or seed, otherwise then as their wills (which make the searce of all Covanants) were before the Contract mediced in the will of Abraham, with were therefore supposed to have had a lawful power, to multe them perform all that he covenanted for them. According whetherm (dense its han, Dr have high a lawful power, the March Abult house the search of all boost him that the all cover the March Abult house the search own him that the all cover the boost house the search have had a lawful house the way of the Lord. From whence may be concluded this first point, that they to whom God hait not spaken immédiately, are to receive the positive commandemants of God from their Soveragin, as the family and seed of Abraham did from Abraham their Father, and Lord, and Civill Soveraign. And consequently in every Common-wealth, they who have no supernatural! Revelation to the contrary, cught to obey the laws

of their own Soversign, in the external losts and profession of Religion. As for the invarial through, and boled of mon, which humane Governours can take no notion of, (for God onely knoweth the heard) they are not volumitary, nor the effect of the knows, but of the unrevealed will, and of the power of God; and consequently fall not under oblication.

From whence proceeded another point, that it was not uninwhill for Absham, when any of his Subjects should pretend Frivate, Vision, or Spirit, or other Revelstion from God, for the countennaning of any docering which Abshams should forbid, or when tige followed, or adhered to any such pretender, to push them; and county and the his lawful nove for the Screening to puphia, any histo the same place in the Common-wealth, that Alexaham hod on his own Family.

There ariseft also from the same, a third point; this is mone but Abrahum in his fatually, so more but the Spreaching in a Clinitann Common-wealth, can take notice what is, or what is not the Word of Good. For God packs onely to Abrahum; and it was be notely, that was able to know what God said, and to Interpret the same to his family: And therefore also, they that have the place of Abrahum in a Common-wealth, are the onely Interpreters of what God haits reaches.

The same Corecant was represed with Isaac; and atherwards with Jacob; but atterwards no more, will be Larsalites were freed from the Egyptians, and arrived at the Foco of Mount Simi: and then it was renoved by Moss (ed. I have saik before, chan, 36.), in such manner, as they became from that time forward the Founiar Kingdone of God; whose Latermant was Mosse, for his owns finceand the succession to that office was satisf apond and his heirs after bin, to bes to God a Scatefold in Kingdone for each densi after bin, to bes to God a Scatefold in Kingdone for each

By this constitution, a Kingdome 5 sequired to God. But seeing Mosts had no subtrivity to govern the israelites, as a successor to the right of Abraham, instance is could not takin it by infinitance, indicating the second second second second second second to the right of Abraham, instance is a successful to the second second second second second second second taking the second second second second second second had of his Stantity, and the reality of his Conferences with God, which he propounded to them railing of his Conferences with God, which he propounded to them in Gods name, We are therefore to consider, which take reality of the second second second him. For it could not be the contensions we do the following of God him. For it could not be the contensions of God that result is a reality of Marse himself. And our Savour estim of himself. If I have unlinease of my self, my unlinease is not frac; much lesse if Moses have virtuess of humselfs, (expectally in a chaim of Kingly power over Goda people) ought his testmony to be received. His authority therefore, as the a throught of all of the Princes, must be producted to the base. For the Pripel (Eard 20, 18) when they are the Thunderings, and the Loghtman, and the songer of the Thumpering, and the noundrine smoothing, ranceed, and stood a for 0. And they said with while a loss is the same of the site of the Armyter (Eard 20, 18) when the songer the same of the same of the Constraints emostion, the same of the same of the Constraints of the said with while the same of the same of the same of the said with while the same of the Constraints of the Same of should believe unto them for the Commandment of God.

And notwithstanding the Covenant constituteth a Sacerdotall Kingdome, that is to say, a Kingdome hereditary to Aaron; yet that is to be understood of the succession, after Moses should bee dead. For whatsoever ordereth, and establisheth the Policy, as first-founder of a Common-wealth (be it Monarchy, Aristocracy, or Democracy) must needs have Soveraign Power over the people all the while he is doing of it. And that Moses had that power all his own time, is evidently affirmed in the Scripture First, in the text last before cited, because the people promised obedience, not to Aaron but to him. Secondly, (Erod. 24. 1, 2.) And God said unto Moses, Come up unto the Lord, thou, and Aaron, Nadab and Abihu. and seventy of the Elders of Israel. And Moses alone shall come neer the Lord, but they shall not come nigh, neither shall the people goe up with kim. By which it is plain, that Moses who was alone called up to God, (and not Aaron, nor the other Priests, nor the Seventy Elders, nor the People who were forbidden to come up) was alone he, that represented to the Israelites the Person of God: that is to say, was their sole Soveraign under God. And though afterwards it be said (verse 9.) Then went up Moses, and Aaron, Nadab, and Abihu, and seventy of the Elders of Israel, and they saw the God of Israel, and there was under his feet, as it were a paved work of a suphire stone, dec, yet this was not till after Moses had been with God before. and had brought to the people the words which God had said to him. He onely went for the businesse of the people; the others, as the Nobles of his retinue, were admitted for honour to that special grace, which was not allowed to the people; which was, (as in the vorse after appeareth) to see God and live. God laid not has hand upon them. they saw God, and did eat and drink (that is, did live). but did not carry any commandement from him to the people, Again, it is every where said. The Lord spake unto Moses, as in all other occasions of Government; so also in the ordering of the Ceremonies of Religion, contained in the 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 Chanters of Exodus, and throughout Levilicus: to Aaron seldome. The Calfe that Aaron made, Moses threw into the fire. Lastly, the question of the Authority of Aaron, by occasion of his and Miriams mutiny against Moses, was (Numbers 12.) judged by God himself for Moses. So also in the question between Moses, and the People.

who had the Bight of Governing the People, when Corab. Dathan. and Abicam, and two hundred and fifty Princes of the Assembly outhered themselves together (Numb. 16. 3.) against Moses, and against Agron, and said unto them. Ye take too much upon you, seeing all the congregation are Holy, every one of them, and the Lord is amonast them, why lift ye your selves above the congregation of the Lord ? God caused the earth to swallow Corah. Dathan, and Abram with their wives and children alive, and consumed those two hundred and fifty Princes with fire. Therefore neither Aaron, nor the People, nor any Aristocracy of the chief Princes of the People, but Moses alone had next under God the Soveraignty over the Israelites: And that not onely in causes of Civill Policy, but also of Religion: For Moses onely spake with God, and therefore onely could tell the People, what it was that God required at their hands. No man upon pain of death might be so presumptuous as to approach the Mountain where God tailed with Moses. Thou shalt set bounds (saith the Lord, Engl 19, 12) to the people round about, and easy. Take heed to your selves that you are not up into the Mount, or truch the border of it uphosoever toucheth the Mount shall surely be out to death. And again (verse 21) Gos down, charge the people, lest they break through unto the Lord to gaze. Out of which we may conclude, that whose over in a Christian Common-wealth holdeth the place of Moses, is the sole Messenger of God, and Interpreter of his Compandements. And according hereunto, no man ought in the interpretation of the Scrupture to proceed further then the bounds which are set by their several Soveraions. For the Soriotares since God now speaketh in them. are the Mount Sinsi; the bounds whereof are the Laws of them that represent Gods Person on Earth. To look upon them, and therein to behold the wondrous works of God, and learn to fear him is allowed; but to interpret them; that is, to prv into what God saith to him whom he appointeth to govern under him, and make themselves Judges whether he govern as God commandeth him, or not. is to transgresse the bounds God hath set us, and to gaze upon God irreverently.

There wise no Prophet rn tho time of Moses, nor pretender to the Spirit of God, but such as Moses had approved and Autorizate. For there were in his time but Seventy men, that are said to Propherey by the Spirit of God, and these were of all Moses his electonic concerning whom God said to Moses (Numk. 11. 16) Gades to mee Seventy of the Electon of the Spirit of God, is the Spirit of God, and the seven of the Spirit of God is the Spirit of God, and the seven of the Spirit flat conspiral Moses and Spirit of God. The Spirit of the Spirit flat conspiral Moses and Spirit of God. The Spirit of the Spirit flat conspiral Moses and Spirit Spirit of the Spirit flat conspiral Moses and Spirit Spirit Spirit flat conspiral Moses and Spirit Spirit Spirit Spirit Spirit Spirit same of the place is no other than this, that God endued them with a mith conformable, and subcontinues to that of Moses, and by his might Prophesy, that is to say, speak to the people in God mane, in

anthority) such dectrine as was agreenable to Mosen his doctine. For they were but Ministers, and when two of them Prophenyed in the Gamp, it was thought a now and unlawfull thing; and as its is in the 27, and 28, versue of the same Chapter, they were accused of it, and Joshim adruned Mosen to forbid them, as not knowing its manniest, than in Subject coghts to pretent the Propheny, or to the Sphith; in opposition to the doctaine stabilished by him, whom God hach use in the place of Moses.

Aaron being dead, and after him also Moses, the Kingdome, as being a Sacerdotall Kingdome, descended by vertue of the Covenant, to Aarons Son, Eleazar the High Priest: And God declared him (next under himself) for Soveraign, at the same time that he appointed Joshua for the Generall of their Army For thus God saith expressely (Numb. 27 21.) concerning Joshua; He shall stand before Eleazar the Priest, who shall ask counsell for him, before the Lord, at his word shall they goe out, and at his word they shall come in, both he, and all the Children of Israel with him; Therefore the Supreme Power of making War and Peace, was in the Priest. The Supreme Power of Judicature belongeth also to the High Priest: For the Book of the Law, was in their keeping; and the Priests and Levites onely, were the subordinate Judges in causes Civill. as appears in Deut. 17. 8, 9, 10. And for the manner of Gods worship, there was never doubt made, but that the High Priest till the time of Saul, had the Supreme Authority. Therefore the Civili and Ecclesiasticall Power were both jouned together in one and the same person, the High Priest; and ought to bee so, in whoseever governeth by Divine Right; that is, by Authority immediate from God.

After the death of Joshus, till the time of Saul, the time between is noted frequently in the Book of Judges, that there was in those dayes no King in Israel; and sometimes with this addition, that every man did that which was right in his own eyes. By which is to bee understood, that where it is said, there was no King, is meant, there was no Soveraign Power in Israel. And so 15 was, if we consider the Act, and Exercise of such power For after the death of Joshua, & Eleazar, there arose another generation (Judges 2, 10.) that knew not the Lord, nor the works which he had done for Israel. but did evil in the sight of the Lord, and served Baalim. And the Jaws had that quality which St. Paul noteth, to look for a sign, not onely before they would submit themselves to the government of Moses, but also after they had obliged themselves by their submission. Whereas Signs, and Miracles had for End to procure Faith, not to keep men from violating it, when they have once given it; for to that men are obliged by the law of Nature. But if we consider not the Exercise, but the Right of Governing, the Soversign power was still in the High Priest. Therefore whatscever chedience was yeelded to any of the Judges (who were men chosen by God extraordinarily, to save his rebellious subjects out of the hands of

\*K 691

I

the enemy, it cannot bee drawn into argument against the Right the High Prices that to be downing rows and matter, both of Policy and Roligion. And neither the Jurdges, nor Sannah himselfs and an ordinary, but extraordinary calling to the Government; and were obeyed by the Israelites, not out of duty, but out of reverences to their favour with God, especting in their wisdoms, courage, or felicity. Ritherto therefore the Right of Regulating both the Policy, and the Reignon, were insearchie

To the Judges, succeeded Kings: And whereas before, all authority, both in Religion, and Policy, was in the High Priest; so now it was all in the King. For the Soversignty over the people. which was before, not onely by vertue of the Divine Power, but also by a particular pact of the Israelates in God, and next under him, in the High Priest, as his Vicegerent on earth, was cast off by the People, with the consent of God hunselfa. For when they said to Samuel (1 Sam. 8, 5.) make us a King to sudge us, like all the Nations. they signified that they would no more bee governed by the commands that should bee laid upon them by the Priest, in the name of God, but by one that should command them in the same manner that all other nations were commanded; and consequently in deposing the High Priest of Royall authority, they deposed that peculiar Government of God. And yet God consented to it, saving to Samuel (verse 7.) Hearken unto the voice of the People, in all that they shall say unto thee; for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected mee, that I should not reign over them. Having therefore rejected God, in whose Bight the Priests governed, there was no authority left to the Priests, but such as the King was pleased to allow them; which was more, or lesse, according as the Kings were good, or evill. And for the Government of Civill affaires, it is manifest, it was all in the hands of the King. For in the same Chapter, verse 20. They say they will be like all the Nations: that their King shall be their Judge, and goe before them, and fight their battells: that is, he shall have the whole anthority, both in Peace and War. In which is contained also the ordering of Religion: for there was no other Word of God in that time, by which to regulate Religion, but the Law of Moses, which was their Civill Law, Besides, we read (1 Kings 2. 27.) that Solomon thrust out Abiathar from being Priest before the Lord: He had therefore authority over the High Priest, as over any other Subject; which is a great mark of Supremacy in Religion. And we read also (1 Kings 8.) that her dedicated the Temple: that he blessed the People; and that he himselfs in person made that excellent prayer, used in the Consecrations of all Churches, and houses of Praver; which is another great mark of Supremacy in Religion. Again, we read (2 Kings 22.) that when there was question concerning the Book of the Law found in the Temple, the same was not decided by the High Priest, but Josish sent both him, and others to enquire concerning it, of Hulds, the Prophetesse: which is another mark of the Supremacy in Religion. Lastly, wee read (1 Chron. 26. 30.) that

David made Hashahiah and has brothren, Hobranites, Officers of Israel among them Westward, in all buriesses of the Lord, and in the service of the King. Lakewise (verse 32.) that hee music other Hobranits, rulers over the Revolutes, the Galidates, and the half or be of Manaseh (these were the rest of Israel that dwelt beyond Jordan) for every matter pretaining to Gal, and Agirs of the King. Is not this full Power, toth temporal and spirituall, as they call it, that would dwide it 1. To comindus; from the first fructuation of Gode King and the King is a second of the King is not this full Bower, both temporal and spirituall, as they call it, that would be King is a second of the King is not fully with that of the Griff Bavernighty, and the Private office after the decision of Saul, was not Massignering, but Ministerial.

Notwithstanding the government both in Policy and Religion. were joined, first in the High Priests, and afterwards in the Kings, so far forth as concerned the Right; yet it appeareth by the same Holy History, that the people understood it not: but there being amongst them a great part, and probably the greatest part, that no longer than they saw great miracles, or (which is equivalent to a miracle) great abilities, or great felicity in the enterprises of their Governours, gave sufficient credit, either to the fame of Moses, or to the Colloquies between God and the Priests; they took occasion as oft as their Governours displeased them, by blaming sometimes the Policy, sometimes the Religion, to change the Government, or revolt from their Obedience at their pleasure: And from thence proceeded from time to time the owill troubles, divisions, and calamities of the Nation. As for example, after the death of Eleazar and Joshua, the next generation which had not seen the wonders of God, but were left to their own weak reason, not knowing themselves obliged by the Covenant of a Sacerdotall Kingdome, regarded no more the Commandement of the Priest, nor any law of Moses, but did every man that which was right in his own eyes; and obeyed in Gwill affairs, such men, as from time to time they thought able to deliver them from the neighbour Nations that oppressed them; and consulted not with God (as they ought to doe.) but with such mon, or women, as they guessed to bee Prophets by their Prædictions of things to come; and though they had an Idol in their Chappel, yet if they had a Levite for their Chaplain, they made account they worshipped the God of Israel.

And afterwards when they demanded a King, after the manner of the nations; yet it was not with a design to depart from the mandel, anoint acother King, samely, David, to take its mocesson from his here: Bohoboans was not Adokser, but when this pools in the second second second second second second second term in the second second second second second second whole History of the Krang, as well of Judah, so of Linsol, there were Prophets that avails contributed the King, of transpressing the Religion; and contentimes also for Zircurs of State; as 4 clossly as resported by the Prophet Jelau, for siding the King of Jacob against the Syrina; and Herstich, by Jasiah, for thering in treasures to the Ambassakor of David, for the whole the spectral the Syrina; and Herstich, by Jasiah, for the whole is a possentia, that though the power bolk of State and in the use of the transpression sector their own material abilities; on folicities. So that from the practice of their own material abilities on no segments be draw, this the Bight of Supremery

there can no segment be drawn, that the Right of Sapremany in Religiour was not an the King nucleose we places i. In the Prophety, and conclude, that because Herskinh praying to the Lord before the Cherubian, was not answered from theore, not then, but altewards by the Prophet Issiah, therefore Issiah was supreme fixed of the Church or because Joshie consulted Hiddh has Prophetese, con-Church or because Joshie consulted Riddh has Prophetese, con-Priset, but Holds the Prophetese had the Supreme authority run matter of Religion, which if thehe is not the continuon of any Deolor.

During the Captivity, the Jews had no Common-wealth at all: And after their return, though they renewed their Covenant with God, yet there was no promise made of obedience, neither to Esdras, nor to any other: And presently after they became subjects to the Greeks (from whose Customes, and Dismonology, and from the doctrine of the Cabalists, their Raligion became much corrupted); In such sort as nothing can be gethered from their confusion, both in State and Religion, concerning the Supremacy in either. And therefore so far forth as concerneth the Old Testament, we may conclude, that whoseever had the Soveraignty of the Commonwealth amongst the Jews, the same had also the Supreme Authority in matter of Gods external worship; and represented Gods Person; that is the person of God the Father: though he were not called by the name of Father, till such time as he sent into the world his Son Jesus Christ. to redeem mankind from their sins, and bring them into his Everlasting Kingdome, to be saved for evermore. Of which we are to speak in the Chapter following.

## CHAP. XLI

## Of the CIPTOR of our BLESSED SAVIOUR

We find in Holy Scripture three parts of the Office of the Mestici-The first of a Reference, or Source: The second of a Patter, Gousellow, or Teocher, that is, of a Prophet sent From God, to convert work and the televel to Solvation: The Mend of the Irrey, an were in their severall times. And to these three parts are correpondent three tumes. For our Redenpitoh he wrought at his first coming, by the Starifice, wherein he officers up himself for our sinces upon the Crease our Conversion be wrought partly them in his own Ferson; and partly worketh now by his Ministers; and will confidence been that his for force from our bia fields. Ministers; and will confidence been that his force force more this fields, which is to last sternally.

To the Office of a Redeemer, that is, of one that payeih the Ransome of Sin, (which Ransome is Death.) it appertaineth, that he was Sacrificed, and thereby hare upon his own head, and carryed away from us our iniquities, in such sort as God had required. Not that the death of one man, though without sinne, can satisfie for the offences of all men, in the rigour of Justice, but in the Mercy of God, that ordained such Sacrifices for sin, as he was pleased in his mercy to accept. In the Old Law (as we may read, Levatcus the 16.) the Lord required, that there should every year once, bee made an Atonement for the Sins of all Israel, both Priests, and others; for the doing whereof, Aaron alone was to sacrifice for himself and the Priests a young Bullock: and for the rest of the people, he was to receive from them two young Goates, of which he was to sacrifice one: but as for the other, which was the Scape Gost, he was to lay his hands on the head thereof, and by a confession of the iniquities of the people, to lay them all on that head, and thon by some opportune man, to cause the Goat to be led into the wildernesse, and there to escape, and carry away with him the iniquities of the people. As the Sacrifice of the one Goat was a sufficient (because an acceptable) price for the Ransome of all Israel; so the death of the Messiah, is a sufficient price, for the Sins of all mankind, because there was no more required. Our Saviour Christs sufferings seem to be here figured, as cleerly, as in the oblation of lease, or in any other type of him in the Old Testament: He was both the sacrificed Goat, and the Scape Goat; Hes was oppressed, and he was afflicted (Essy 53. 7.); he opened not his mouth ; he is brought as a lamb to the slaughter, and as a sheep is dumbe before the shearer, so opened he not his mouth : Here he is the excriticed Goat. He hath born our Griefs. (ver. 4.) and carried our sorrows; And again, (ver. 6.) the Lord hath laid upon him the iniquities of us all: And so be is the Scape Goot. He was cut

world, to what end was his first coming ? It was to restore unto God. by a new Covenant, the Kingdom, which being his by the Old Covenant, had been out off by the rebellion of the Israelites in the election of Saul. Which to doe, he was to preach unto them, that he was the Messiah, that is, the King promised to them by the Prophets: and to offer himselfe in ascrifice for the sinnes of them that should by faith submit themselves thereto; and in case the nation generally should refuse hun, to call to his obedience such as should beloove in him amongst the Gentiles. So that there are two parts of our Saviours Office during his aboad upon the Earth: One to Proclaim himself the Christ: and another by Teaching, and by working of Muracles, to perswade, and prepare men to live so, as to be worthy of the Immortality Beleevers were to enjoy, at such time as he should come in majesty, to take possession of his Fathers Kingdome. And therefore it is, that the time of his preaching, is often by himself called the Regeneration; which is not properly a Kingdome, and thereby a warrant to deny obedience to the Magistrates that then were, (for hee commanded to obey those that sate then in Moses chaire, and to pay tribute to Casar; but onely an earnest of the Kingdome of God that was to come, to those to whom God had given the grace to be his disciples, and to beleeve in him : For which cause the Godly are said to bee already m the Kingdome of Grace, as naturalized in that heavenly Kingdome.

Hitherto therefore there is nothing done, or taught by Christ, that tendeth to the diminution of the Civill Right of the Jewes, or of Cæsar. For as touching the Common-wealth which then was amongst the Jews, both they that bare rule amongst them, and they that were governed, did all expect the Messiah, and Kingdome of God; which they could not have done if their Laws had forbidden him (when he came) to manifest, and declare himself. Seeing therefore he did nothing, but by Preaching, and Miracles go about to prove himselfs to be that Messiah, hes did therein nothing against their laws. The Kingdome hee claimed was to bee in another world: He taught all men to obey in the mean tune tham that sate in Moses seat: He allowed them to give Casar his tribute, and refused to take upon himselfe to be a Judg. How then could his words, or actions bee seditions, or tend to the overthrow of their then Civill Government ? But God having determined his sacrifice, for the reduction of his elect to their former covenanted abadience. for the means, whereby he would bring the same to effect, made use of their malice, and ingratitude. Nor was it contrary to the laws of Casar. For though Pilate himself (to gratifie the Jews) delivered him to be crucified; yet before he did so, he pronounced openly, that he found no fault in him : And put for title of his condemnation, not as the Jews required, that he pretended to bee King; but simply, That hee was King of the Jews; and notwithstanding their clamour, refused to alter it; saving, What I have written, I have written.

As for the third part of his Office, which was to be King, I have already shewn that his Kingdome was not to begin till the Resurrec-

tion. But then he shall be King, not onely as God, in which sense he is King already, and ever shall be, of all the Earth. in vertue of his omnunctence; but also peculiarly of his own Elect, by vertue of the pact they make with him in their Baptisme. And therefore it is, that our Saviour south (Mai, 19 28.) that his Apostles should set upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel. When the Son of man shall sut in the throne of his glory: whereby he signified that he should reign then in his humane nature; and (Mat. 16 27) The Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father, with his Angels. and then he shall reward every man according to his works. The same we may read, Marke 13. 26 and 14. 62, and more expressely for the time, Luis 22, 29, 30. I annoint unio you a Kanadoms, as my Pather hath appointed to mee, that you may eat and drink at my table in my Kingdome. and sit on thrones judging the twelve trubes of Israel. By which it is manifest, that the Kingdome of Christ appointed to him by his Father, is not to be before the Son of Man shall come in Glory. and make his Apostles Judges of the twelve tribes of Israel. But a man may here ask, seeing there is no marriage in the Kingdome of Heaven, whether men shall then eat, and drink; what esting therefore is meant in this place? This is expounded by our Saviour (John 6 27.) where he saith, Labour not for the meat which perisheld, but for that meat which endureth unto everlasting lafe, which the Son of man shall give you. So that by eating at Christs table, is meant the cating of the Tree of Life; that is to say, the enjoying of Immortality, in the Kingdome of the Son of Man. By which places, and many more, it is evident, that our Saviours Kingdome is to bee exercised by him in his humane nature.

Again, he is to be King then, no otherwise than as subordinate. or Vicegerent of God the Father, as Moses was in the wildernesse; and as the High Priests were before the reign of Saul: and as the Kings were after it. For it is one of the Prophecies concerning Christ, that he should be like (in Office) to Moses: I will raise them up a Prophet (saith the Lord, Deut. 18. 18.) from amongst their Brethren, like unto thes, and will out my words into his mouth, and this similitude with Moses, is also apparent in the actions of our Saviour himself, whilest he was conversant on Earth. For as Moses chose twelve Princes of the tribes, to govern under him: so did our Saviour choose twelve Apostles, who shall sit on twelve thrones, and judge the twelve tribes of Israel: And as Moses authorized Seventy Elders, to receive the Spirit of God, and to Prophecy to the people. that is, (as I have said before,) to speak unto them in the name of God: so our Saviour also ordained seventy Disciples, to preach his Kingdome, and Salvation to all Nations. And as when a complaint was made to Moses, against those of the Seventy that prophecyed in the camp of Israel, he justified them in it, as being subservient therein to his government; so also our Saviour, when St. John complained to him of a certain man that cast out Devills in his name. justified him therein, saying, (Lake 9. 50.) Forbid him not, for hee that is not against us. 15 on our part.

Again, our Saviour resembled Moses in the institution of Sacraments, both of Admission into the Kingdome of God, and of Commemoration of his deliverance of his Elect from their miserable condition. As the Children of Israel had for Sacrament of their Recontion into the Kingdome of God, before the time of Moses, the rite of Curcumcision, which rate having been omjitted in the Wildernesse, was again restored as soon as they came into the land of Promise: so also the Jews, before the coming of our Saviour, had a rule of Bantazing, that is, of washing with water all those that hence Gentiles, embraced the God of Israel. This rite St. John the Baptist used in the reception of all them that gave their names to the Christ, whom hes preached to bee already come into the world: and our Saviour instituted the same for a Sacrament to be taken by all that beleaved in him. From what cause the rite of Baptisme first proceeded, is not expressed formally in the Scripture: but it may be probably thought to be an imitation of the law of Moses. concerning Leprousie: wherein the Leprous man was commanded to be kept out of the campe of Israel for a certain time ; after which time being judged by the Priest to be clean, hee was admitted into the campe after a solemne Washing. And this may therefore bee a type of the Washing in Baptisme; wherein such men as are cleansed of the Leprousie of Sin by Faith, are received into the Church with the solemnity of Baptisme. There is another conjecture drawn from the Ceremonies of the Gentiles, in a certain case that rarely happens: and that is, when a man that was thought dead, chanced to recover, other men made seruple to converse with him, as they would doe to converse with a Ghost, unlesse hee were received again into the number of men, by Washing, as Children new born were washed from the uncleannesse of their nativity, which was a kind of new birth. This ceremony of the Greeks in the time that Judga. was under the Dominion of Alexander, and the Greeks his successors. may probably enough have crept into the Religion of the Jews. But seeing it is not likely our Saviour would countenance a Heathen rite, it is most likely it proceeded from the Legall Ceremony of Washing after Leprosie. And for the other Szcrament, of cating the Paschall Lambe, it is manifestly imitated in the Sacrament of the Lords Supper, in which the Breaking of the Bread, and the nouring out of the Wine, do keep in memory our deliverance from the Misery of Sin. by Christs Passion, as the eating of the Paschall Lambe, kept in memory the deliverance of the Jewes out of the Bondage of Egypt. Seeing therefore the authority of Moses was but subordinate, and her but a Lieutenant to God: it followeth, that Christ. whose authority, as man, was to bee like that of Moses, was no more but subordinate to the authority of his Father. The same is more expressely signified, by that that hee teacheth us to pray, Our Father, Let thy Kingdome come; and, For thine 10 the Kingdoms, the Power, and the Glory; and by that it is said, that Hee shall come in the glory of his Father; and by that which St. Paul saith, (1 Cor. 15. 24.) then cometh the end, when hee shall have

delivered up the Kingdome to God, even the Father; and by many other most expresse places.

Our Saviant therefore, both in Tesching, and Reigning, representable is Mosses dight be Person of Gody which God from that time forward, but not before, in called the Father; and being still one and the same substance, is one Penen as represented by Mosse, and another Person as represented by his Soune the Carist. For Person being a relative to a Represent; it is consequent to plurality of Representers, that there bee a plurality of Porsons, though of one and the same Solutance.

## CHAP. XLU

## Of POWER ECCLESIASTICALL

For the understanding of Power Sociasiasmontr, what, and in whom it is, we are to distinguish the time from the Asconiston of our Savioar, into two parts: one before the Conversion of Kings, and man endned with Soversign Gvill Power, the other atter than and man endned with Soversign Gvill Power, the other atter than or Gvill Soversign eminance, and publiquely allowed the teaching of Christian Reignon.

And for the time between, it is manifest, that the Power Ecclesiasticall, was in the Apostles; and after them in such as were by them ordained to Preach the Gosnell, and to convert men to Christianity. and to direct them that were converted in the way of Salvation; and after these the Power was delivered again to others by these ordained, and this was done by Imposition of hands upon such as were ordained; by which was signified the giving of the Holy Spirit, or Spirit of God, to those whom they ordained Ministers of God. to advance his Kingdome. So that Imposition of hands, was nothing else but the Seal of their Commission to Preach Christ, and teach his Doctrine; and the giving of the Holy Ghost by that ceremony of Imposition of hands, was an imitation of that which Moses did. For Moses used the same ceremony to his Minister Joshus, as wee read Deuteronomy 34. ver. 9. And Joshua the Son of Nun was full of the Spirit of Wisdome : for Moses had laid his hands upon him. Our Saviour therefore between his Resurrection, and Ascension, gave his Spirit to the Apostles; first, by Breathing on them, and sound (John 20, 22.) Receive yes the Holy Spirit: and after his Ascension (Acts 2, 2, 3.) by sending down upon them a mighty wind, and Cloven tongues of fire; and not by Imposition of hands; as neither did God lay his hands on Moses: and his Apostles atterward, transmitted the same Spirit by Imposition of hands. as Moses did to Joshua. So that it is manifest hereby, in whom the Power Ecclesiasticall continually remained, in those first times, where there was not any Christian Common-wealth: namely, in them that received the same from the Apostles, by successive laying on of hands.

Here wee have the Person of God horn now the third time. For as Moses, and the High Priests, were Gods Representative in the Old Testament; and our Saviour himselfe as Man, during his abode on earth: So the Holy Ghost, that is to say, the Apostles, and their successors, in the Office of Preaching, and Teaching, that had received the Holy Spirit, have Represented him over since. But a Person, (as I have shewn before, chapt. 13.) is he that is Represented. as often as hee is Represented: and therefore God, who has been Represented (that is, Personated) thrace, may properly enough be said to be three Persons: though neither the word Person. nor Trinity be ascribed to him in the Bible. St. John indeed (1 Enist 5. 7.) saith, There be three that bear uninesse in heaven, the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit ; and these Three are One. But this disagreeth not, but accordeth fitly with three Persons m the proper signification of Persons: which is, that which is Represented by another. For so God the Father, as Represented by Moses, is one Person; and as Represented by his Sonne, another Person: and as Represented by the Anostles, and by the Doctors that taught by authority from them derived, is a third Person: and yet every Person here, is the Person of one and the same God. But a man may here ask, what it was whereof these three hare witnesse, St. John therefore tells us (verse 11.) that they bear witnesse, that God hath given us sternall life in his Son. Again, if it should bee asked, wherein that testimony appeareth, the Answer is easie: for he bath testafied the same by the miracles he wrought. first by Moses; secondly, by his Son himself; and lastly by his Apostles, that had received the Holy Smrit: all which in their times Represented the Person of God: and either prophecyed, or preached Jesus Christ. And as for the Apostles, it was the character of the Apostleship, in the twelve first and great Apostles, to bear Witnesse of his Respirection: as appeareth expressely (Acts 1. ver. 21, 22.) where St. Peter, when a new Apostle was to be chosen in the place of Indas Iscariot, useth these words. Of these men which have companied with us all the time that the Lord Jesus went in and out amongst us, beginning at the Baptisme of John, unto that same day that hee was taken up from us, must one bee ordained to be a Witnesse with us of his Resurrection: which words interpret the bearing of Witnesse, mentioned by St. John. There is in the same place mentioned another Tripity of Witnesses in Earth. For (ver. 8.) he saith, there are three that bear Witnesse in Earth, the Spirit, and the Water, and the Bloud ; and these three agree in one: that is to say, the graces of Gods Spirit, and the two Sacraments, Baptisme, and the Lords Supper, which all agree in one Testimony, to assure the consciences of beleevers, of eternall life; of which Testimony he saith (verso 10.) He that believeth on the Son of man hath the Witnesse in himself. In this Trinity on Earth, the Unity is not of the thing: for the Spirit, the Water, and the Bloud, are not the same substance.

though they give the same testimony. But in the Trinity of Heaven the Persons are the persons of one and the same God, though Represented in three different times and occasions. To conclude, the doctrine of the Tranity, as far as can be gathered directly from the Sorinture, is in substance thus: that God who is always One and the same, was the Person Represented by Moses: the Person Represented by his Son Incarnate: and the Person Represented by the Anostles. As Represented by the Apostles, the Holy Spirit by which they snake, is God: As Represented by his Son (that was God and Man), the Son is that God: As represented by Moses, and the High Priests, the Father, that is to say, the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, is that God: From whence we may gather the reason why those names Father, Son. and Holy Spirit in the signification of the Godhead, are never used in the Old Testament. For they are Persons, that is, they have their names from Representing: which could not be, till divers men had Represented Gode Person in rulng. or in directing under him.

Thus we see how the Power Ecclesiantrali was left by our Soviour to the Apostless, and how they were (to the end they might the better exercise that Power,) eacland with the Holy Spirit, which is therefore called sometime in the New Testament Paracettes which squafistih and the second second second second second second second translated , Compton and the low consistent the Power it sails, what it was and over whom.

Cardinal Beliarmine in his third general Controversie, hash handled a great mary questions concerning the Ecolesistical Power of the Pope of Rome; and begins with this, Whether it ought to be Monarchanell, Aristocratical, or Demonschiell. All which appear, that there is no Goewine Dewer Herr, and the second appear, that there is no Goewine Dewer Herr, and the parameter of the State of the State of the State and Good councell, to teach them that have submitted, what they are to do, that they may be received into the Kingdom of Corist, and to persynde mon to submit themselves thoreantor; and thy precepts and good councell, to teach them that have submitted, what they are to do, that they may be received into the Kingdom of God when to comes; and that the Agoaties, and other Minster of the Gorgel, not Laws, but wholesome Councells; then were all that disputs in van.

I have absent already (in the last Chapter, that the Kingdome of Christ is not of thus workl: therefore anish the name. Youlesse they be Kings, require obeliance in his name. For if the anihority can obedience be required to his Officent? As my Fakter anihority can obedience be required to his Officent? As my Fakter sent to present the last of the thermatic the observation and the sent to be sentence that the sent to redge in Majsty, to restrict the Kingdome of his Fakter, and not to redge in Majsty, no not, as his Fakter Lastentsch, till ble der of Judgmund,

The time between the Ascension, and the generall Resurrection, is called, not a Reigning, but a Regeneration; that is, a Preparation of men for the second and glorious commg of Christ, at the day of Judgment; as appearent by the words of our Saviour, Moi. 19, 28. You that have followed me in the Regeneration, when the Son of manshill sit that the threes of his glory, yous shall also at yous to holds. Thromes: And of Sh. Pani (Byhes 6, 15, Hawing your feet shod with the Preparation of his Goreal of Passe.

And is compared by our Savion:, to Flahing; that is, to winning men to obclements, not by Costrola, and Pranishng; but by Perswasion: and therefore he said not to his Apostles, here would make them so many Ninnoid, Husters of mar, but Fahers of men. It is compared also to Leaven; to Sowing of Sect, and to the Multiplantion of a grain of Mustard.escit by all which Compution as accluded; and consequently there san in that time he no actual is a Proj. Mustard and a preparation for his second comming; as the Brangeliantion of John Baptist, was a preparation to his frast coming.

Again, the Óffice of Christa Ministers in this world, is to make near Belevo, and have Paith in Christ: But Faith instin no relation to, nor dependence as all upon Compulsion, or Commandement, but nord upon extrainty, or probability of Arguments dirawa from Monsters of Christi in this world, have no Fover by that tith, to Pumih any man for not Beleverug, or for Contradicting what they ary: they have I say no Fover by that tutle of Christs Ministers, to Pumih sey may may be Sveraign GWI Fover, by politick institution, then they may indeed havdily Pumih any Contradiction to thar have witabeover: And St. Faith Chamselfs and other the Christian Ministers of Christs In this present hardon for Paith, but ore Hidger of year Joy.

world have no right to Commanding, may be drawn from the lawfull Authority which Christ hath left to all Princes, as well Christians, as Infidels, St. Paul saith (Col. 3. 20 ) Children obey your Parents in all things ; for this is well pleasing to the Lord. And ver 22. Servants obey in all things your Masters according to the flesh, not with eye service, as men-pleasers, but in singlenesse of heart, as fearing the Lord: This is spoken to them whose Masters were Infidells; and yet they are bidden to obey them in all ilungs. And again, concerning obedience to Princes, (Rom. 13. the first 6. verses) exhorting to be subject to the Higher Powers, he saith, that all Power is ordained or God: and that we qualit to be subject to them, not onely for fear of incurring their wrath, but also for conscience sake. And St. Peter, (1 Enist, ahap. 2, ver. 13, 14, 15,) Submit your selves to every Ordinance. of Man, for the Lords sake, whether it bee to the King, as Supreme, or unto Governours, as to them that be sent by him for the punishment of evill doers, and for the praise of them that doe well ; for so is the will of God. And again St. Paul (Tit. 3. 1.) Put men in mind to be subject to Principalities, and Powers, and to obey Magistrates. These Princes. and Dowers, whereas its, feters, and St. Faul here speak, were all infidds; much more therefores we are to obey than Ontsituan, whom field hash ardialated to have Stovensign Prover over un. How command in its does any shing outrinary to the Command erit King, or other Stovensign Representant of the Command-weakly, whereof we are manifest, adus Vainis hash not left to his Minister Authority of Command Otto program. Set with Command weak Authority to Command Otto program.

But what (may some object) if a King, or a Senate, or other Soveraion Person forbid us to beleeve in Christ? To thus, I answer, that such Forbidding is of no effect; because Belsef, and Unbeleef never follow mens Commands. Faith is a gift of God, which Man can neither give, nor take away by promise of rewards, or menaces of torture. And if it be further asked. What if wee bee commanded by our lawfull Prince, to say with our tongue, wee beleave not: must we obey such command? Profession with the tongue is but an externall thing, and no more then any other gesture whereby we signifie our obedience; and wherein a Christian, holding firmely in his heart the Faith of Christ, hath the same liberty which the Prophet Elisha allowed to Naaman the Syrian. Naaman was converted in his heart to the God of Israel; For hee saith (2 Kungs 5, 17.) Thy servant will henceforth offer neither burnt offerings. nor sacrifice unto other Gods but unto the Lord. In this thing the Lord pardon thy servant, that when my Master goeth into the house of Remmon to worship there, and he leaneth on my hand; and I bow my selfe in the house of Rimmon: when I how my selfe in the house of Rimmon, the Lord pardon thy servant in this thing. This the Prophet approved, and bid hun Goe m Peace. Here Naaman beleeved in his heart: but by bowing before the Idel Rimmon, he denved the true God in effect, as much as if he had done it with his lips. But then what shall we answer to our Saviours saving. Whosever denyeth me before men. I will deny him before my Father which is in Heaven 7 This we may say, that whatsoever a subject, as Naaman was, is compelled to in obedience to his Soveraign, and doth it not in order to his own mind, but in order to the laws of his country, that action is not his, but his Soveraigns; nor is it he that in this case denyeth Christ before men, but his Governour, and the law of his countrey. If any man shall accuse this doctrine, as repugnant to true, and unfeigned Christianity; I ask him, in case there should be a subject in any Christian Common-wealth, that should be inwardly in his heart of the Mahometan Religion, whether if his Soveraign command him to bee present at the divine service of the Christian Church, and that on pain of death, he think that Mahometan obliged in conscience to suffer death for that cause, rather than to obey that command of his lawfull Prince. If he say, he ought rather to suffer death, then he authorizeth all private men, to disobey their Princes, in maintenance of their Religion, true

or faise: if he say, he ought to bee obedient, then he alloweth to himself, that which he edenyce the on other, contrary to the words of our Swriour, Whatsoever ye would flast men should doe undo you, that doe ye want beam, and contrary to the Law of Nature, (which is the induitinable everiasting Law of God) Do not to another, that which thou wouldant on the should doe wrot these.

But what then shall we say of all those Martyrs we read of in the History of the Church, that they have needlessely cast away their lives ? For answer hereunto, we are to distinguish the persons that have been for that cause put to death ; whereof some have received a Calling to preach, and professe the Kingdome of Christ openly, others have had no such Calling, nor more has been required of them than their owne faith. The former sort, if they have been put to death, for bearing witnesse to this point, that Jesus Christ is risen from the dead, were true Martyrs, For a Martyr is, (to give the true definition of the word) a Witnesse of the Resurrection of Jesus the Messiah: which none can be but those that conversed with him on earth, and saw him after he was risen: For a Witnesse must have seen what he testificth, or else his testimony is not good. And that none but such, can properly be called Martyrs of Christ, is manifest out of the words of St. Peter, Act. 1. 21, 22. Wherefore of these men which have companyed with us all the time that the Lord Jesus went in and out amonast us, beginning from the Baptisme of John unto that same day hee was taken up from us, must one be ordained to be a Martur (that is a Witnesse) with us of his Resurrection: Where we may observe, that he which is to bee a Witnesse of the truth of the Resurrection of Christ, that is to say, of the truth of this fundamentall article of Christian Religion, that Josus was the Christ, must be some Disciple that conversed with him, and saw him before, and after his Resurrection; and consequently must be one of his originall Disciples: whereas they which were not so, can Witnesse no more, but that their antecessors said it, and are therefore but Witnesses of other mens testimony; and are but second Martyrs, or Martyrs of Christs Witnesses.

He that to maintain every doctrine which he biaself drawed, out of the H10xry of our Savious H08, and d the Acts, or Rpiets of the Aparthes; or which he belservish upon the suffactivy of a private max, of 10 proper the Laws and Authority of the Chrill State. The one Article on pay, which he observes the merical sectors have a strain or a strain of the Article at the M1 and Laws and Chris; that is to say, Ho that hack redserves the arabidized sectors. To find our every incus that served it he ambidion, or profits of the Chry, so at a service, and serves the ambidion, or profits of the Chry, so at the argument in an is in the Daws at the Witnesse, but the Twentown, and other and the laws with Witnesse, whether he be put to death for his testimory on one.

Also he that is not sent to preach this fundamental article, but

takoh it upon him of his private authority, though he be a Witnesse, and consequently a Mattyr, either primary of Christ, or secundary of his Aposita, Daschles, or thir? Scccessors; yet's she not obliged to suffer death for that cause; because being not called thereto, the not required at his hander; nor ught hee to complain, if he lossit the reword he expectish from those that preve set him on work. None therefore can be a Martyr, number of the first, nor second degree, which have not a warrant to prevend. Christ course in the field. It has to any, none, but such as are sent to the conversion of Infides. For one may a Warrant bor prevend. Christ course in the field, that is to any, none, but such as are sent to the conversion of Infides. For heard h. Christ such as are sent to the conversion of Infides. For heard h. Christ such as a possible, and his Svenity Dusciples, with heard h. Christ sent has Aposles, and his Svenity Charles are subhorized to presch. He sent to all this Deleved! And he sent them to unbelievees; J zend you (mith he) as sheep amongst voluce; not as sheep to ther sheep.

Lastly, the points of their Commission, as they are expressely set down in the Gespel, contain none of them any authority over the Compression.

We have first (Mat. 10.) that the twelve Aposties were sent to the lost sheep of the house of Israel, and commanded to Preach, that the Kingdome of God was at hand. Now Preaching in the originall, is that act, which a Crier, Herald, or other Officer useth to doe publiquely in Proclaiming of a King. But a Crier hath not right to Command any man. And (Luke 10 2.) the seventy Disciples are sent out, as Labourers, not as Lords of the Harvest; and are bidden (verse 9.) to say, The Kingdome of God is come night unto you: and by Kingdom here is meant, not the Kingdome of Grace, but the Kingdome of Glory; for they are hidden to denounce it (ver. 11.) to those Cities which shall not receive them, as a threatning, that it shall be more tolerable in that day for Sodome, than for such a City. And (Mat 20, 28.) our Saviour telleth his Disciples. that sought Priority of place, their Office was to minister, even as the Son of man came, not to be ministred unto, but to minister. Preachers therefore have not Magisteriall, but Ministeriall power. Bee not called Masters, (saith our Saviour, Mat. 23 10.) for one is your Master, even Christ.

Apphare point of their Commission, is, to Teach all nations; is it is mind \$2.19. or at in \$8. Mark 10.1 \$6 Ge into all the world, and Prach the Goopel to surge creature. Teaching therefore, and Praching is the same thing. Tor they that Proclaim the comming of a King, must withall make known by what right is commethy if they meas men shall ababin themselves much thin: A \$8. Feal did to the Jewn of Thessialonics, when three Subbath dayse be reasond with them out of the Scriptore, opening, and alloging that Christ must needs have suffering and rises again from the dout, and that the substant the test of the Scriptor to the the Manuarest thing Jenne and the Them of the Scriptor to the the Manuarest this Jenne and the American that the scriptor of the the Manuarest the Jenne and the American the Jenne and commando of their Screeniers. but that they shall doe wisely, to expect the coming of Christ hereafter, in Patience, and Faith, with Obedience to their present Magnitudes.

Another point of their Commission, is to Bantize, in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghust. What is Baptisme? Dipping into water. But what is it to Dip a man into the water in the name of any thing? The meaning of these words of Baptasme is this. He that is Baptized, is Dipped or Washed, as a sign of becomming a new man, and a lovall subject to that God, whose Person was represented in old time by Moses, and the High Priests. when he reigned over the Jews: and to Jesus Christ, his Sonne, God, and Man, that hath redeemed us, and shall in his humans nature Represent his Fathers Person in his sternall Kingdome after the Resurrection; and to acknowledge the Doctrine of the Apostles, who essisted by the Spirit of the Father, and of the Son, were left for guides to bring us into that Kingdome, to be the onely, and assured way thereunto. This, being our promise in Baptisme; and the Authority of Earthly Soveraigns being not to be put down till the day of Judgment; (for that is expressely affirmed by S. Paul 1 Car. 15 22, 23, 24, where he saith. As in Adam all die, so in Christ all shall be made abve. But every man in his owne order. Christ the first fruits, afterward they that are Christs, at his comming, Then commeth the end, when he shall have delivered up the Kingdome to God, even the Father, when he shall have put down all Rule, and all Authorsty and Power) it is manifest, that we do not in Baptisme constitute over us another authority, by which our external actions are to hee governed in this life: but promise to take the doctrine of the Anostles for our direction in the way of life eternali.

The Power of Remission, and Relention of Sinnes, called also the Power of Loosing, and Binding, and sometimes the Keyes of the Kingdome of Heaven, is a consequence of the Authority to Baptize, or refuse to Baptize. For Baptisme is the Sacrament of Allegeance, of them that are to be received into the Kingdome of God; that is to say, into Eternall life; that is to say, to Bamission of Sin; For as Eternall life was lost by the Committing, so it is recovered by the Remitting of mens Sins. The end of Baptisme is Remission of Sins: and therefore St. Peter, when they that wore converted by his Sermon on the day of Pentecost, asked what they were to doe, advised them to repeat, and be Baptized in the name of Jesus, for the Remission of Sans. And therefore seeing to Bapture is to declare the Reception of men into Gods Kingdome; and to refuse to Baptize is to declare their Exclusion, it followeth, that the Power to declare them Cast out, or Retained in it, was given to the same Apostles, and their Substitutes, and Successors. And therefore after our Saviour had breathed upon them, saving, (John 20, 22) Receive the Holy Ghost, hes addeth in the next verse, Whose seever Sins ye Remit, they are Remitted unto them; and whose soever Sins ve Retain. they are Relained. By which words, is not granted an Authority to Forgive, or Retain Sins, simply and absolutely, as God Forgiveth

or Retaineth them, who knoweth the Heart of man, and truth of his Penitence and Conversion: but conditionally, to the Penitent: And this Forgivenesse, or Absolution, in case the absolved have but a feigned Repentance, is thereby without other act. or sentence of the Absolvent, made youd, and hath no effect at all to Salvation. but on the contrary, to the Aggravation of his Sin. Therefore the Apostles, and their Successors, are to follow but the outward marks of Repentance; which appearing, they have no Authority to deny Absolution; and if they appeare not, they have no authority to Absolve The same also is to be observed in Baptisme: for to a converted Jew, or Gentile, the Apostles had not the Power to deny Bantisme: nor to grant it to the Un-penitent. But seeing no man is able to discern the truth of another mans Repentance, further than by externall marks, taken from his words, and actions, which are subject to hypocrisis; another question will arise. Who it is that is constituted Judge of those marks And this question is decided by our Saviour himself; If thy Brother (saith he) shal trespasse against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee, and him alone; if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy Brother. But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one, or two more. And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the Church; but if he neglect to hear the Ohurch, let him be unto thee as an Heathen man, and a Publican. By which it is manifest, that the Judgment concerning the truth of Repentance, belonged not to any one Man, but to the Church, that is, to the Assembly of the Faithfull, or to them that have authority to bee their Representant. But besides the Judgment, there is necessary also the pronouncing of Sentence: And this belonged alwaies to the Apostle, or some Pastor of the Church, as Prolocutor; and of this our Saviour speaketh in the 18 verse, Whateoever ye shall bind on earth, shall be bound in heaven; and whatsoever ye shall loose on earth, shall be loosed in heaven. And conformable hereunto was the practise of St. Paul (1 Cor. 5. 3, 4, & 5.) where he saith, For I versity, as absent in body, but present in spirit, have determined already, as though I were present, concerning him that hath so done this deed: In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ when ye are gathered together, and my spirit, with the power of our Lord Jesus Christ, To deliver such a one to Satan; that is to say, to east him out of the Church. as a man whose Sins are not Forgiven. Paul here pronounceth the Sentence: but the Assembly was first to hear the Cause, (for St. Paul was absent;) and by consequence to condemn him. But in the same chapter (ver. 11, 12.) the Judgment in such a case is more expressely attributed to the Assembly: But now I have written unto you, not to keep company, if any man that is called a Brother be a Fornicator, de. with such a one no not to eat. For what have I to do to judg them that are without ? Do not ye judg them that are within ? The Sentence therefore by which a man was put out of the Church. was pronounced by the Apostle, or Pastor; but the Judgment concerning the merit of the cause, was in the Church: that is to say, (as the times were before the conversion of Kings, and men that had

Soveraign Authority in the Common-wealth.) the Assembly of the Christians dwelling in the same City; as in Cornth, in the Assembly of the Christians of Cornth.

This part of the Forwar of the Keyes, by which men were threat out from the Kingdom of God, is that which is called Zzoomenuscation; and to eccommunicate, is in the Original, drawudyney reach, as word drawn from the castom of the Jews, to cast out of Heli rapions, as Legent were by the Law of Mones espectated from the compression of Lenen, till such time as they should be by the Priest procedured.

The Use and Effect of Excommunication, whilest it was not yet strengthened with the Civili Power, was no more, than that they, who were not Excommunicate, were to avoid the company of them that were. It was not enough to repute them as Heathen, that never had been Christians; for with such they might eate, and drink; which with Excommunicate persons they might not do; as appeareth by the words of St. Paul. (1 Cor. 5, ver. 9, 10, dc.) where he telleth them, he had formerly forbidden them to company with Fornicators; but (because that could not bee without going out of the world,) he restrained it to such Fornicators, and otherwise vicious persons, as were of the brothren: with such a one (he saith) they ought not to keep company, no not to eat. And this is no more than our Saynour saith (Mat. 18. 17.) Let him be to thee as a Heathen, and as a Publican. For Publicans (which significant Farmers, and Receivers of the revenue of the Common-wealth) were so hated. and detested by the Jews that were to pay it, as that Publican and Sumer were taken amongst them for the same thing: Insomuch, as when our Saviour accepted the invitation of Zacchaus a Publican; though it were to Convert him, yet it was objected to him as a Crime. And therefore, when our Saviour, to Heathen, added Publican, he did forbid them to est with a man Excommunicate

As for keeping them out of their Synagogues, or phose of Assembly, they had no Power to do it, but that of the owner of the phace, whether he were Christian, or Heathen. And because all phoses are by right, in the Dominion of the Common-weather as well here that was Excommunicated, as here that mover was Baptized, as Land here his conversion, entried not beth Synagogues as Damaseus, to apprehend Christians, mon and romen, and to carry them bound to Desensalen, by Commission from the High Priest.

By which is appears, that upon a Christian, that should become an Apostate, in a place where the CyuHI Power (di purseente, or not assist the Church, the effect of Excommanication had nothing in hy indice of disample in this word, not of iterour. Note of iterour, hereby into the favour of the world; and in the world to come, were to be in no even estate, then they which aver had believed. The dammage redounded rather to the Church, by provocation of them they cast out, to a freer exceution of their malice.

Excommunication therefore had its effect onely upon those, that believed that Josus Christ was to come again in Glory, to reign over, and to judge both the quick, and the dead, and should therefore refuse entrance into his Kingdom, to those whose Sins were Retained: that is, to those that were Excommunicated by the Church. And thence it is that St. Paul calleth Excommunication, a delivery of the Excommunicate person to Satan. For without the Kingdom of Christ, all other Kingdomes after Judgment, are comprehended in the Kingdome of Saten. This is it that the faithfull stood in fear of, as long as they stood Excommunicate, that is to say, in an estate wherein their sins were not Forgiven. Whereby wee may understand, that Excommunication in the time that Christian Religion was not authorized by the Civill Power, was used onely for a correction of manners, not of errours in opinion: for it is a numshment. whereof none could be sensible but such as beleeved, and expected the coming again of our Saviour to judge the world; and they who so beleeved, needed no other opinion, but onely uprightnesse of life. to be saved.

There with Excommunication for Injustice: as (Mat. 18) If thy Brother offend thee, tell it him privately; then with Witnesses; lastly, tell the Church; and then if he obey not, Let him be to thee as an Heathen man, and a Publican. And there liath Excommunication for a Scandalous Life, as (1 Cor. 5, 11.) If any man that is called a Brother, be a Fornicator, or Covetous, or an Idolater, or a Drunkard, or an Extorioner, with such a one yes are not to eat. But to Excommunicate a man that held this foundation, that Jesus was the Christ, for difference of opinion in other points, by which that Foundation was not destroyed, there appeareth no authority in the Scripture, nor example in the Apostles. There is indeed in St. Paul (Titus 3, 10.) a text that seemeth to be the contrary. A man that is an Haretique, after the first and second admonition, reject. For an Heretique, is he, that being a member of the Church, teacheth neverthelesse some private opinion, which the Church has forbidden; and such a one, S. Paul adviseth Tutue, after the first, and second admonstion, to Reject. But to Reject (in this place) is not to Excommunicate the Man; But to give over admonishing him, to let him alone, to set by disputing with him, as one that is to be convinced onely by himselfe. The same Apostle saith (2 Tim. 2, 23.) Foolish and unlearned questions avoid: The word Avoid in this place, and Reject in the former, is the same in the Originall, mapairov: but Foolish questions may bee set by without Excommunication. And again, (Tit. 3, 9.) Avoid Foolish cuestions, where the Originall readerage, (set them by) is equivalent to the former word Reject. There is no other place that can so much as colourably be drawn, to countenance the Casting out of the Church faithfull men, such as believed the foundation, onely for a singular superstructure of their own, proceeding perhaps from a good & pious conscience. But on the contrary,

all such places as command avolding such disputes, are written for a Lesson to Bactos, (such as Timolity and Timus word) not to make new Articles of Faith, by determining every small controversu, which oblig means to a needless burkten of Conscience, or provole them to break the union of the Church. Which Lesson the Apoelles themelieve observed well S. Peter, and S. Paul, though their controversie were great, (as we may read in *Gal*, 21, 11), yet kuy did not cast one another out of the Church. Neverthelesse, during the Apoelles times, there were other Bactors that observed in not, A and the Apoelle state of the Church and the Apoelle state of the Apoelle Apoelle times, there were other Bactors that observed in not, A Join himself buoght if to be recovered into the Join of a prefe be took in Preseminence; so carly it was, that Yan.glory, and Ambition had found entrance into the Church of Church.

That a man be hable to Excommunication, there be many conditions requisite; se First, that he be a momber of some Commonsity, that is to say, of some lawfull Assembly, that is to say, of some Caritatan Church, that had power to judge of the cause for which there can be no Excommonication; nor where there is no power to Judge, can there be any power to give Sentence.

Trom hence is followidh, that one Church exampt be Excommunicated by another: For either they have equal power to Excommunicate each other, in which case Excommunication is not Discipline, nor an eat of Autority, but Schiene, and Discolation of charity, or one is semborilinate to the other, as that they hold have but nos voce, and then they be bot one Church; and high part Excommunicated, is no more a Church, but a dissolute number of individual persons.

And because the sentence of Excommunication, importeth an advice, not to keep company, por so much as to eat with him that is Excommunicate, if a Soveraign Prince, or Assembly bee Excommunicate, the sentence is of no effect. For all Subjects are bound to be in the company and presence of their own Sovernian (when he requireth it) by the law of Nature; nor can they lawfully either expell him from any place of his own Dominion, whether profane or holy: nor so out of his Dominion, without his leave: much lesse (if he call them to that honour.) refuse to eat with him. And as to other Princes and States, because they are not parts of one and the same congregation, they need not any other sentence to keep them from keeping company with the State Excommunicate; for the very Institution, as it uniteth many men into one Community: so it dissociateth one Community from another: so that Excommunication is not needfull for keeping Kings and States asunder: nor has any further effect then is in the nature of Policy it selfe; unlesse it be to instigate Princes to warre upon one another.

Nor 1s the Excommunication of a Christian Subject, that obeyeth the laws of his own Soveraign, whether Christ an, or Heathen, of any effect. For if he beleve that Jesus 1s the Christ, he halt the Spirit of God, (1. Joh. 4. 1.) and God dwelleth in him, and he in God, (1.1oh. A. 16). But has that the Spirit of God; hese that dwelleth in God; hese in whom God dwellst, can reserve on harm by the Excountruisation of men. Therefore, he that beloeved Josus to be the Christ, is free from all the dangest threathed to persons Excommuneate. He that beloeved hit not, is no Christian. Therefore a true and undigred Christian is not hable to Excommuniation: Nor is also that is a professed Christian, till he Hyporrity appear in hit Storersign, which is the rate of Manneer, and which Christ Church anneer, bring of Manneer, but by strength Actions, which and the Storersign, which is the rate of Manneer, and which Christ Church anneer, brings of Manneer, but by strength Actions, which Actions can power be unlawfull, but when they are against the Law of the Common-vesitio

If a mane Father, or Mother, or Master hee Excountinuisets, yet are not the Ghildren forbidden to keep them Company, nor to fat with them; for that were (for the mess part) to obligs them not to east as all, for want of means to get food; and to authorise them to disology their Parents, and Masters, contrary to the Precept of the Apostles.

In summe, the Power of Excommunication cannot be extended further than to the end for which the Apostles and Pastors of the Church have their Commission from our Saviour; which is not to rule by Command and Coastion, but by Teaching and Direction of men in the way of Salvation in the world to come. And as a Master in any Science, may abandon his Scholar, when hee obstinately neglecteth the practise of his rules; but not accuse him of Injustice. because he was never bound to obey him; so a Teacher of Christian doctrine may abandon his Disciples that obstinately continue in an unchristian life; but he cannot say, they doe him wrong, because they are not obliged to obey him: For to a Teacher that shall so complain may be applyed the Answer of God to Samuel in the like place, They have not rejected thee, but mee. Excommunication therefore when it wanteth the assistance of the Civill Power, as it doth, when a Christian State, or Prince is Excommunicate by a forain Authority, is without effect; and consequently ought to be without terrour. The name of Fulmen Excommunicationis (that is, the Thunderbolt of Excommunication) proceeded from an imagina. tion of the Bishop of Rome, which first used it, that he was King of Kings, as the Heathen made Jupiter King of the Gods; and assigned him in their Poems, and Piotures, a Thunderbolt, where with to subdue, and punish the Giants, that should date to deny his power: Which imagination was grounded on two errours; one, that the Kingdome of Christ is of this world, contrary to our Savioura owne words, My Kingdome is not of this world; the other, that hee is Christs Vicar, not onely over his owne Subjects, but over all the Christians of the World; whereof there is no ground in Scripture. and the contrary shall bee proved in its due place.

St. Paul coming to Thessalonica, where was a Synagogue of the Jews, (Acts 17. 2, 3.) As his manner was, went in unio them, and three

Sabbath dayes reasoned with them out of the Scriptures. Ovening and alledayng, that Christ must needs have suffered and risen again from the dead : and that this Jesus whom he preached was the Obrist. The Scriptures here mentioned were the Scriptures of the Jews, that is, the Old Testament. The men, to whom he was to prove that Jesus was the Christ, and risen again from the dead, were also Jews, and did beleeve already, that they were the Word of God. Hereunon (as it is verse 4.) some of them beleaved and (as it is in the 5 ver.) some beleeved not. What was the reason, when they all beleeved the Scripture, that they did not all beleeve alike: but that some approved, others disapproved the Interpretation of St. Paul that aited them: and every one Interpreted them to himself? It was this; S. Paul came to them without any Legall Commission, and in the manner of one that would not Command, but Perswade: which he must needs do, either by Miracles, as Moses did to the Israelites in Egypt, that they might see his Authority in Gods works; or by Reasoning from the slready received Scripture, that they might see the truth of his doctrine in Gods Word. But whoseever perswadeth by reasoning from principles written, maketh him to whom hee speaketh Judge, both of the meaning of those principles, and also of the force of his inferences upon them. If these Jews of Thessalonica were not, who else was the Judge of what S. Paul alledged out of Scripture? If S. Paul, what needed he to quote any places to prove his doctrine? It had been enough to have said. I find it so in Scripture, that is to say, in your Laws, of which I am Interpreter, as sent by Christ. The Interpreter therefore of the Sorinture, to whose Interpretation the Jews of Thessalonica were bound to stand, could be none: every one might beleeve, or not beleave, according as the Allegations seemed to himselfe to be agreeable, or not agreeable to the meaning of the places alledged. And generally in all cases of the world, hee that pretendeth any proofe, maketh Judge of his proofe him to whom he addresseth his speech. And as to the case of the Jews in particular, they were bound by expresse words (Devt. 17.) to receive the determination of all hard questions, from the Priests and Judges of Israel for the time being. But this is to bee understood of the Jews that were yet unconverted.

For the conversion of the Gentiles, there was no use of alledging the Scriptures, which they beleaved not. The Apositis therefore laboured by Resson to contint their Idolstry: and that done, to perswade them to the sixth of Christi, by their statutomy of has IAR and Resurrection. So that there could not yet bee any contromant was obligating his including to a follow the state of the mass resolution of any Scripture, accepts has Soversigns Integretation of the Low of his country.

Let us now consider the Conversion it self, and see what there was therein, that could be cause of such an obligation. Men were converted to no other thing then to the Beleef of that which the Aposles preached: And the Aposles preached nothing, but that Jesus was the Christ, that is to say, the King that was to save them. and reign over them eternally in the world to come; and consequently that hee was not dead, but risen again from the dead, and gone up into Heaven, and should come again one day to judg the world, (which also should rise again to be judged,) and reward every man according to his works. None of them preached that himselfe, or any other Apostle was such an Interpreter of the Scripture, as all that became Christians, ought to take their Interpretation for Law. For to Interpret the Laws, is part of the Administration of a present Kingdome, which the Apostles had not. They prayed then, and all other Pastors ever since, Let thy Kingdome come; and exhorted their Converts to obey their then Ethnique Princes The New Testament was not yet published in one Body Every of the Evangelists was Interpreter of his own Gospel: and every Apostle of his own Epistle; And of the Old Testament, our Saviour himselfe saith to the Jews (John 5, 39) Search the Scriptures: for in them yee thinke to have eternall life, and they are they that testific of me. If hee had not meant they should Interpret them, hee would not have bidden them take thence the proof of his being the Christ: he would either have interpreted them himselfe, or referred them to the Interpretation of the Priests.

When a difficulty arcse, the Apostles and Eiders of the Charch seemblet themselves together, and determined what should bee preached, and taught, and how shoy should Interpret the Scriptures to here propher to took not further Poople the locity or prod, and the Charches, and charce writings for their instruction; which had been in vain, if they had not allowed them to Interpret, that is, to consider the meaning of them. And as it was in the Apostle time, it must be fill such time as there should be Phaton, that could be should be of the should be the Main systems of the Posters Kings.

There be two senses, wherein a Writing may be said to be Commonil; for Graoms, signification, a Duk; and a Kulo is a Precept, by which a man ag nided, and directed in any action whatoverr. Such Precepts, through given by a Teacher to his Disciple, or a Counsellor to his fitted, writhout power to Compell him to observe them, are neverthelesse Cannory, because they are Ruise: But when they are given by one, whom he that received hem, but Laws: they are those Cannor, hot onely Ruise, but Laws: The question therefore here, is of the Power to make the Scriptures (which are the Rules of Cannitar Patish).

That part of the Scripture, which was first Law, was the Ten Commandements, written in two Tables of Stone, and delivered by |God himselfs to Mosse; and by Mosse made known to the people. Before that time there was no written Law of God, who sey thay ng not chosen any people to bee his peculiar Kingdome, had given no Law to men, but the Law of Nature, that is to say, the Precents of Natural Reason, written in every mans own heart Of these two Tables, the first containeth the law of Soveraignty: 1. That they should not obey, nor honour the Gods of other Nations, in these words. Non habebis Deos alienos coram me, that is, Thou shalt not have for Gods, the Gods that other Nations worship; but onely me; whereby they were forbidden to obey, or honor, as their King and Governour, any other God, than him that spake unto them then by Moses, and afterwards by the High Priest, 2. That they should not make any Image to represent him: that is to say, they were not to choose to themselves, neither in heaven, nor in earth, any Representative of their own fancying, but obey Moses and Aaron. whom he had appointed to that office. 3 That they should not take the Name of God in vain: that is, they should not speak rashly of their King, nor dispute his Right, nor the commissions of Moses and Aaron, his Lieutenants 4. That they should every Seventh day abstain from their ordinary labour, and employ that time in domg him Publique Honor. The second Table containeth the Duty of one man towards another, as To honor Parents : Not to Kill ; Not to Commut Adultery ; Not to steale ; Not to corrupt Judament bu talse witnesse: and finally. Not so much as to designs in their heart the doing of any injury one to another. The question now is. Who it was that gave to these written Tables the obligatory force of Lawes. There is no doubt but they were made Laws by God himselfe; But because a Law obliges not, nor is Law to any, but to them that acknowledge it to be the act of the Soveraign; how could the people of Israel that were forbidden to approach the Mountain to hear what God said to Moses, he obliged to obedience to all those laws which Moses propounded to them? Some of them were indeed the Laws of Nature, as all the Second Table ; and therefore to be acknowledged for Gods Laws: not to the Israelites alone, but to all people: But of those that were peculiar to the Israelites, as those of the first Table, the question remains; saving that they had obliged themselves, presently after the propounding of them, to obey Moses, in these words (Exod. 20, 19.) Speak them to us, and we will hear thee ; but let not God speak to us, lest we dye. It was therefore onely Moses then, and after him the High Priest, whom, (by Moses) God declared should administer this his peculiar Kingdome, that had on Earth, the power to make this short Scripture of the Decalogue to bee Law in the Common-wealth of Israel. But Moses, and Aaron, and the succeeding High Priests were the Civill Soveraigns Therefore hitherto, the Canonizing, or making of the Sompture Law, belonged to the Civill Soveraigne

The Judichill Law, that is to say, the Laws that God prescribed to the Maginetres of Lerad, for the rule of their administration of 1 Justice, and of the Sente ress, or Judgments they should prenounce, in Please between man and man; and the Levitcail Law, that is to say, the rule that God prescribed touching the Rites and Corresonies of the Presists and Laws(is, were all distributed to the Mores oncly; and therefore also became Laws, by vortue of the 1.64 same promise of obcilionce to Moses. Whether these laws were then written, or not written, but identated to the Poople by Mone-(after nis forty dayes being with God in the Mount) by word of moth, is not carperssed in the Text, but they were all positive Laws, and equivalent to holy Scripture, and made Canonical by Moces the Cityll Soversign

After the feracities were come into the Plains of Moah over against Jericho, and ready to enter into the land of Promise, Moses to the former Laws added divers others: which therefore are called Deuteronomy: that is, Second Latos, And are (as it is written, Deut. 29. 1.) The words of a Covenant which the Lord commanded Moses to make with the Children of Israel, besides the Covenant which he made with them in Hareb. For having explained those former Laws, in the beginning of the Book of Deuteronomy, he addeth others, that begin at the 12. Cha, and continue to the end of the 26 of the same Book. This Law (Dest. 27. 1.) they were commanded to write upon great stones playstered over, at their passing over Jordan; This Law also was written by Moses himself in a Book; and delivered into the hands of the Priests, and to the Elders of Israel, (Deul. 31. 9.) and commanded (ve. 26.) to be vut in the side of the Arke: for in the Ark it sells was nothing but the Ten Commandements This was the Law, which Moses (Deuleronomy 17, 18.) commanded the Kings of Israel should keep a copie of: And this is the Law, which having been long tune lost, as found again in the Temple in the time of Josiah, and by his authority received for the Law of God. But both Moses at the writing, and Josiah at the recovery thereof, had both of them the Civil Soversignity. Hitherto therefore the Power of making Scripture Canonicall, was in the Civill Soveraign.

Besides this Book of the Law, there was no other Book, from the time of Moses, tall after the Captivity, received amongst the Jews for the Law of God. For the Prophets (except a few) lived in the time of the Captivity it selfe; and the rest lived but a hitle before it; and were so far from having their Prophecies generally received for Laws, as that their persons were persecuted, partly by false Prophets, and partly by the Kings which were seduced by them. And this Book it self, which was confirmed by Josiah for the Law of God, and with it all the History of the Works of God, was lost in the Cantivity and sack of the City of Jerusalem, as appears by that of 2 Esdras 14, 21, Thy Law is burnt; therefore no man knowsth the things that are done of thes, or the works that shall begin. And before the Captivity, between the time when the Law was lost, (which is not mentioned in the Scripture, but may probably be thought to be the time of Rehobeam, when 1 Shishak King of Egypt took the spoile of the Temple.) and the time of Josiah, when it was found againe, they had no written Word of God, but ruled according to their own discretion, or by the direction of such, as each of them esteemed Prophets.

1 I Kings 14. 26.

From bence we may infare, that the Scriptures of the OR Testssent, which we have at this day, were not Cancolal, nor a Law unto the Jown, till the renormation of their Gremenant with God at their return from the Caphvirg, and restauration of their Commonwealth under Eastras. But from that time forward they were accounted the Law of the Jews, and for such translated into Green by Strendty Zillers of Judies, and put into the Library of Fohemy Eastraw wet the High Priest, and the High Priest was their Grout Sorraington, it is manifest, that the Scriptures were never made Law, but by the Sorraing, Grill Rover.

By the Writings of the Fathers that lived in the time before the Christian Religion was received, and authorized by Constantine the Emperour, we may find, that the Books wee now have of the New Testament, were held by the Christians of that time (except a few, in respect of whose paucity the rest were called the Catholigue Church, and others Heretiques) for the dictates of the Holy Ghost; and consequently for the Canon, or Rule of Faith; such was the reverence and opinion they had of their Teachers; as generally the reverence that the Disciples bear to their first Masters, in all manned of doctrine they receive from them, is not small. Therefore there is no doubt, but when S Paul wrote to the Churches he had converted; or any other Apostle, or Disciple of Christ, to those which had then embraced Christ, they received those their Writings for the true Christian Doctrine. But in that time, when not the Power and Authority of the Teacher, but the Faith of the Hearer caused them to receive it, it was not the Apostles that made their own Writings Canonicall, but every Convert made them so to himself.

But the question here, is not what any Christian made a Law, or Cenon to himself, (which he might again reject, by the same right he received it;) but what was so made a Canon to them. as without injustice they could not doe any thing contrary, thereunto. That the New Testament should in this sense be Canonicall, that is to say, a Law in any place where the Law of the Common-wealth had not made it so, is contrary to the nature of a Law. For a Law, (as hath been already shewn) is the Commandement of that Man, or Assembly, to whom we have given Soveraign Authority, to make such Rules for the direction of our actions, as hee shall think fit; and to punish us, when we doe any thing contrary to the same. When therefore any other man shall offer unto us any other Rules, which the Soveraign Ruler hath not prescribed, they are but Counsell, and Advice; which, whether good, or bad, hee that is counselled, may without mustice refuse to observe: and when contrary to the Lawes already established, without injustice cannot observe, how good scever he conceiveth it to be. I say, he cannot in this case observe the same in his actions, nor in his discourse with other men; though he may without blame beleeve his private Teachers, and wish he had the liberty to practise their advice: and that it were publiquely received for Low. For internal

Faith is in its own nature invisible, and consequently exempted from all humane jurisdiction: whereas the words, and actions that proceed from it, as breaches of our Civil obedience, are injustice both before God and Man. Seeing then our Saviour hath denved his Kingdome to be in this world, seeing he hath said, he came not to judge, but to save the world, he hath not subjected us to other Laws than those of the Common-wealth: that is, the Jews to the Law of Moses. (which he saith (Matt. 5.) he came not to destroy, but to fulfill.) and other Nations to the Laws of their severall Soveraigns. and all men to the Laws of Nature; the observing whereof, both he himselfe, and his Anostles have in their teaching recommended to us. as a necessary condition of being admitted by him in the last day into his eternall Kingdome, wherein shall be Protection, and Life everlasting Seeing then our Saviour, and his Anostles, left not new Lawes to oblige us in this world, but new Doctrine to prepare us for the next; the Books of the New Testament, which contained that Doctrine, untill obedience to them was commanded, by thom that God had given power to on earth to be Legislators, were not obligatory Canons, that is, Laws, but onely good, and safe advice. for the direction of sinners in the way to salvation, which every man might take, and refuse at his owns perill, without injustice.

Again, our Saviour Christs Commission to his Anostles, and Disciples, was to Proclaim his Kingdome (not present, but) to come; and to Teach all Nations: and to Baptize them that should believe. and to enter into the houses of them that should receive them. and where they were not received, to shake off the dust of their feet against them; but not to call for fire from heaven to destroy them. nor to compell them to obedience by the Sword. In all which there is nothing of Power, but of Perswasion. He sent them out as Sheep unto Wolves, not as Kings to their Subjects. They had not in Commission to make Laws; but to obey, and teach obedience to Laws made: and consequently they could not make their Writings obligatory Canons, without the help of the Soveraign Civili Power. And therefore the Scripture of the New Testament is there only Law. where the lawfull Civill Power hath made it so And there also the King, or Soversign, maketh it a Law to hunself; by which he subjecteth himselfe, not to the Doctor, or Apostle that converted him. but to God himself, and his Son Jesus Christ, as immediately as did the Apostles themselves.

That which may seem to give the Now Testament, in respect of those that have embraced Christian Doction, the force of Laws, In the times, and places of persecution, is the decrees they made selle of the Connecil of the Aporties, the Elders, and the whole Church, in this manner, it seemed good to be Holy Ghour, and b us, to jou yoor goot no persite buffeen flown flews excessory things, dc. which is a still that significant a Dover to by a burthese on them that woment his same that is obligger, and therein the holes of that

Councell were Laws to the then Christians. Neverthelesse, they were no more Laws than are these other Precepts, Repeat; Be Baptized; Keep the Commandements; Beleeve the Gospel; Come unto me; Sell all that thou hast; Give it to the poor; and, Follow me; which are not Commands, but Invitations, and Callings of men to Christianity, like that of Esay 55 1. Ho, every man that thirsteth, come yee to the waters, come, and buy wine and milke without money. For first, the Apostles power was no other than that of our Saviour, to invite men to embrace the Kingdome of God; which they themselves acknowledged for a Kingdome (not present, but) to some: and they that have no Kingdome, can make no Laws And secondly, if their Acts of Councell, were Laws, they could not without sin be disobeyed. But we read not any where, that they who received not the Doctrine of Christ, did therein sin; but that they died in their sins; that is, that their sins against the Laws to which they owed obedience, were not pardoned And those Laws were the Laws of Nature, and the Civil Laws of the State, whereto every Christian man had by pact submitted himself. And therefore by the Burthen, which the Apostles might lay on such as they had converted, are not to be understood Laws, but Conditions, proposed to those that sought Salvation; which they might accept, or refuse at their own perill, without a new sin, though not without the hazard of being condemned, and excluded out of the Kingdome of God for their sins past. And therefore of Infidels, S. John saith not, the wrath of God shall come upon them, but the wrath of God remainsth upon them; and not that they shall be condemned: but that they are condemned already. Nor can it be conceived, that the benefit of Faith, is Remission of sins, unlesse we conceive withall, that the dammage of Infidelity, is the Retention of the same sins.

But to what end is it (may some man aske), that the Apostles, and other Pastors of the Church, after their time, should meet together, to agree upon what Doctrine should be taught, both for Faith and Manners, if no man were obliged to observe their Decrees ? To this may be answered, that the Apostles, and Elders of that Councell. were obliged even by their entrance into it, to teach the Doctrine therein concluded, and decreed to be taught, so far forth, as no precedent Law, to which they were obliged to yeeld obedience, was to the contrary, but not that all other Christians should be obliged to observe, what they taught. For though they might deliberate what each of them should teach, yet they could not deliberate what others should do, unless their Assembly had had a Legislative Power; which none could have but Civil Soveraigns. For though God he the Soveraign of all the world, we are not bound to take for his Law, whatsoever is propounded by every man in his name; nor any thing contrary to the Civill Law, which God hath expressely commanded us to obey.

Seeing then the Acts of Councell of the Apostles, were then no Laws, but Connsells; much lesse are Laws the Acts of any other Doctors, or Councells sume, if assembled without the Authority of the Civil Soveraign. And consequently, the Books of the New Testsment, though most perfect Rules of Christian Doctrine, could not be made Laws by any other authority then that of Kings, or Soveraign Assemblics.

The first Councell, that made the Sconptures we now have, Canon, is not extant? For that Collection of the Canoni of the Apostles, attributed to Clemens, the first Bishop of Roma after S. Feter, is reachand up; yet these works, four easier and the Clerick at Larke reachand up; yet these works, four easier and the Clerick at Larke Lark we note use so news 5. Net easier and the first Councel for ceeding the Canonicall Scripture, that is extant, is that of Lancies (are, 30) which torbuils the reacting of other Bocks then these in the Candidane, but to those only that had authority to read any thing Candidane, but to those only that had authority to read any thing

Of Ecclesisatical Officera in the time of the Apoxies, some were Magisteriall, some Ministernal, Magisteriall, were the Offices of preaching of the Gospal of the Kingdom of God to Infidia; administrug the Garamanuta, and Divine Service; and of teaching the Kulles of Faith and Manures to these tist were converted, appointed to the administration of the secula measures of the Church, at such time at they lived upon a common stock of mory, raised out of the voluntary conclusionions of a heightful

Amongst the Officers Magisteriali, the first, and principall were the Apostles; whereof there were at first but twelve; and these were chosen and constituted by our Saviour himselfe; and their Office was not onely to Preach, Teach, and Baptize, but also to be Martyrs, (Witnesses of our Saviours Resurrection.) This Testimony, was the specificall, and essentiall mark; whereby the Apostleship was distinguished from other Magistracy Ecclesiasticall; as being necessary for an Apostle, either to have seen our Saviour after his Resurrection, or to have conversed with him before, and seen his works, and other arguments of his Divinity, whereby they might be taken for sufficient Witnesses. And therefore at the election of a new Apostle in the place of Judas Iscanot, S. Peter saith (Acts 1. 21, 22.) Of these men that have companyed with us, all the time that the Lord Jesus went in and out among us, beginning from the Baptisme of John unto that same day that he was taken up from us. must one be ordained to be a Witnesse with us of his Resurrection: where, by this word must, is implyed a necessary property of an Anostle, to have companyed with the first and prime Apostles in the time that our Saylour manifested himself in the flesh.

The first Apostic, of those which were not constituted by Christ in the time he was upon the Earth, was Matthias, chosen in this manner: There were assembled together in Jerusalem about 120 Christians (Acts 1. 15.) These appointed two, Joseph the Just, and Mathhics (ver. 23.) and caused lots to be drawn; and (ver. 26.) the Let fell on Matthus, and he was numbred with the Apastles. So that here we see the ordination of this Apastle, was the act of the Congregation, and not of St. Peter, nor of the eloven, otherwise then as Members of the Assembly.

After him there was power any other Apostic ordinatod, but Paul and Barnhusz, which was done (as wo read Acti 81.1, 2, 3.1) in this manner. There user is the Church that uses at Antioch, certains Prophets, and Tacolari as a Lamadas, and Shanen had use called Ngier, and Lorinso of Antioch. A state grant the state of the the profile of the Antiorech, and Shan. A state grantistic state to 1.2 only of the Antioch Action and Antioca : which had been brough the Antioch Action and Antioch and Antioch and the 1.2 only for the works shortwards of the antioch and the state that the fact of the works shortwards of the Antio on them, And when they had facted, and regued, and laid them Annals on them, Mey sout them wards.

By which it is manifest, that though they were called by the Holy Ghost, their Calling was declared unto them, and their Mission authorized by the particular Church of Antioch And that this their calling was to the Apostleship, is apparent by that, that they are both called (Acts 14, 14.) Anostles: And that it was by vertue of this act of the Church of Antioch, that they were Apostles, S. Paul declareth plainly (Rom. 1, 1.) in that hes useth the word, which the Holy Ghost used at his calling: For hes stilled himself. An Apostle separated unto the Gospel of God; alluding to the words of the Holy Gnost, Separate me Barnabas and Saul, do. But seeing the work of an Apostle, was to be a Witnesse of the Resurrection of Christ, a man may here aske, how S. Paul, that conversed not with our Saviour before his passion, could know he was risen. To which is casily answered, that our Saviour himself appeared to him in the way to Damascus, from Heaven, after his Ascension; and chose him for a vessell to bear his name before the Gentiles, and Kings, and Children of Israel: and consequently (having seen the Lord after his passion) was a competent Witnesso of his Resurrection: And as for Barnabas, he was a Disciple before the Passion It is therefore evident that Paul, and Barnabas were Apostles: and yet chosen. and authorized (not by the first Apostles alone, but) by the Church of Antioch: as Matthias was chosen, and authorized by the Church of Jerusalem.

Biblop, a word formed in our inspunge, out of the Greek Episcopus, equivalent and excesser, or Superintendent of any businesse, and particularly a Pastor, or Shepherti, and thence by metaphor was taken, not only amongst the Jeres that were company Shepherd but also amongst the Henthen, to signific the Oliford of a King, or any other River, or Guido of People, withether is rule by Jaws, or Doutrine. And so the Aposiles were the first Christian Sheboys, instituted by Christian timesity: any which seems that Apostability to there were constituted Eldenia in the Christian Churches, with always to guide Christian Gold y their doctrine, and affords; these Rillers were also called Hishops. Timothy was an Elder (which word Edde, in the New Textance) is a mene O'Olfor, and will see face). yet he was also a Bishop. And Bashops were then content with the Title of Editors. Nave S John himselfs, the Apostle beloved of our Lord, hegimmeth his Besond Rostle with these words, *The Rider* to the *Elect Lords*, *By* which it is evident, that *Bishop*, *Paston*, *Rider*, *Dector*, that is to eavy, *Teucher*, were but so many divers names of the same Oblics in the time of the Apostles. For three was then an government by *Coersion*, but only by *Doctrine*, and *Paswallan* could be no an editority to competing in any Ontrine, all theorem of wealth had embaned the Caristan Fasty, end consequently no diversity of Autoorty, though there were diversity of Manphorgeneta.

Besides these Magisterial employments in the Church: namely, Anostles, Bishons, Elders, Pastors, and Dontors, whose calling was to proclaim Christ to the Jews, and Infidels, and to direct, and teach those that believed we read in the New Testament of no other. For by the names of Evangelists and Prophets, is not signified any Office, but severall Gifts, by which severall men were profitable to the Church: as Evancelists, by writing the life and acts of our Saviour: such as were S. Matthew and S. John Augstles, and S. Marks and S. Luke Disciples, and whospever else wrote of that subject, (as S. Thomas, and S. Barnabus are said to have done, though the Church have not received the Books that have some under their names.) and as Prophets, by the gift of interpreting the Old Testament; and sometimes by declaring their special Revelations to the Church. For neither these gifts, nor the gifts of Languages, nor the gift of Casting out Devils, or of Curing other diseases, nor any thing else did make an Officer in the Church, save onely the due calling and election to the charge of Teaching.

As the Apostles, Matthias, Paul, and Barnabas, were not made by our Saviour humself, but were elected by the Church. that is, by the Assembly of Christians; namely, Matthias by the Church of Jerusalem, and Paul, and Barnabas by the Church of Antioch: so were also the Presbyters, and Pastors in other Cities, elected by the Churches of those Cities. For proof whereof, let us consider, first, how S. Paul proceeded in the Ordination of Presbyters, in the Cities where he had converted men to the Christian Faith, immediately after he and Barnabas had received their Apostleship. We read (Acts 14, 23.) that they ordained Elders in every Church: which at first sight may be taken for an Argument. that they themselves chose, and gave them their authority: But if we consider the Originall text, it will be manifest, that they were authorized, and chosen by the Assembly of the Christians of each City. For the words there are, reportorbrarres durbes rest furtheous sar' exchapiar, that is, When they had Ordained them Elders by the Holding up of Hands in every Congregation. Now it is well enough known, that in all those Cities, the manner of choosing Magistrates, and Officers, was by plurality of suffrages; and (because the ordinary way of distinguishing the Affirmative Votes from the Negatives, was by Holding up of Hands) to ordain an Officer in any

of the Citics, was no more but to bring the people together, to elect them by alurality of Votes, whether it were by alurality of elevated hands, or by plurality of voices, or plurality of balls, or beans, or small stones, of which every man cast in one, into a vessell marked for the Affirmative, or Negative: for divers Cities had divers customes in that noint. It was therefore the Assembly that elected their own Elders: the Apostles were onely Presidents of the Assembly to call them together for such Election, and to pronounce them Elected, and to give them the benediotion, which now is called Consecration. And for this cause they that were Presidents of the Assemblies, as (in the absence of the Apostles) the Eldors were, were called mover rares, and in Latin Antistites; which words signifie the Principall Person of the Assembly, whose office was to number the Votes, and to declare thereby who was chosen: and where the Votes were equal! to decide the matter in question, by adding his own; which is the Office of a President in Councell. And (because all the Churches had their Presbyters ordained in the same manner.) where the word is Constitute, (as Titus 1, 5.) ina saragrifont sara wokin motoSurfpour. For this cause left I thee in Grete, that thou shouldest constitute Elders in every City, we are to understand the same thing: namely, that hes should call the faithfull together, and ordain them Presbyters by plurslity of suffrages. It had been a strange thing, if in a Town, where men perhaps had never seen any Magistrate otherwise chosen then by an Assembly, those of the Town becomming Christians. should so much as have thought on any other way of Election of their Teachers, and Guides, that is to say, of their Presbyters. (otherwise called Bishops.) then this of plurality of suffrages, intimated by S Paul (Acts 14. 23.) in the word Yeigerouterauters; Nor was there ever any choosing of Bishops, (before the Emperors found it necessary to regulate them in order to the keeping of the peace amongst them.) but by the Assemblies of the Christians in every severall Town.

The same is also confirmed by the continuall practise even to this day, in the Election of the Bishops of Rome. For if the Bishop of any place, had the right of choosing another, to the succession of the Pastorall Office, in any City, at such time as he went from thence, to plant the same in another place; much more had he had the Right, to appoint his successour in that place, in which he last resided and dyed; And we find not, that ever any Bishop of Rome appointed his successor. For they were a long time chosen by the People, as we may see by the sedition raised about the Election. between Damasus, and Ursicinus; which Ammianus Marcellinus saith was so great, that Juventus the Prefect, unable to keep the neace between them, was forced to goe out of the City; and that there were above an hundred men found dead upon that occasion in the Church it solf. And though they afterwards were chosen, first, hy the whole Clergy of Rome, and afterwards by the Cardinalls; yei, never any was appointed to the succession by his predecessor. If therefore they pretended no right to appoint their own successors.

\*L 69t

I think I may reasonably conclude, they had no right to appoint the successors of other Bahops, without receiving some new power; which none could take from the Church to bestow on them, but such as had a iswfull authority, not onely to Teach, but to Command the Clurch; which none could doe, but the Civil Soversign.

The word Minister m the Original Address, significth one that voluntarily doth the businesse of another man; and differeth from a Servant onely in this, that Servants are obliged by their condition. to what is commanded them: whereas Ministers are obliged onely by their undertaking, and bound therefore to no more than that they have undertaken: So that both they that teach the Word of God, and they that administer the secular affairs of the Church are both Ministers, but they are Muusters of different Persons. For the Pastors of the Church, called (Acts 6, 4.) The Ministers of the Word, are Ministers of Christ, whose Word it is: But the Ministery of a Deacon, which is called (verse 2, of the same Chapter) peroma of Tables, is a service done to the Church, or Congregation: So that neither any one man, not the whole Church, could ever of their Pastor say, he was their Minister: but of a Descon, whether the charge he undertook were to serve tables, or distribute maintenance to the Christians, when they lived in each City on a common stock. or upon collections, as in the first times, or to take a care of the House of Praver, or of the Revenue, or other worldly businesse of the Church, the whole Congregation might properly call him their Minuster.

For their employment, as Descons, was to serve the Congregation : though upon occasion they omitted not to Preach the Gosnel, and maintain the Doctzine of Christ, every one according to his sifts. as S. Steven did; and both to Preach, and Baptize, as Philip did; For that Philip, which (Act. 8, 5.) Preached the Gospell at Samaria. and (verse 38.) Baptized the Eunuch, was Philip the Deacon, not Philip the Apostle. For it is manifest (verse 1.) that when Philip preached in Samaria, the Apostles were at Jerusalem, and (verse 14.) when they heard that Samaria had received the Word of God, sent Peter and John to them, by imposition of whose hands, they that were Baptized, (verse 15.) received (which before by the Baptisme of Philip they had not received) the Holy Ghost. For it was necessary for the conferring of the Holy Ghost, that their Baptisme should he administred, or confirmed by a Minister of the Word, not by a Minister of the Church. And therefore to confirm the Baptisme of those that Philip the Deacon had Baptized, the Apostles sent out of their own number from Jerusalem to Samaria, Peter, and John: who conferred on them that before were but Bantized, those graces that were signs of the Holy Spirit, which at that time did accompany all true Belsevers; which what they were may be understood by that which S. Marke saith (chap. 16 17.) These signes follow them that beleeve in my Name ; they shall cast out Devills ; they shall eyeak with new tongues ; They shall take up Serpents, and of they drink any deadly thing, it shall not hurt them . They shall law

Annis on the sick, and May shall recover. This to doe, was it that Philip could not give; but the Aposites could, and (as appears by this place) effectually did to every man that truly believed, and was by a Minister of Christ himself haptracel, which power ethics Christa Ministers in this ago sannot confere, or else there are very fow true Beleevers, or Christ halve yery few Ministers.

That the Descoirs were chosen, not by the Apostles, but by a Congregation of the Disciples; that is, of Christian men of all sorts, is manifest out of Adds 6 where we read; that the Twolecadd the number of Disciples was multipled; all called them together, and the second of Disciples was multipled; all called them together, is all the the Adds of the Adds of the Adds and the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of the Adds of the Adds of the Adds is all the Adds of t

Under the Old Testament, the Tribe of Lovi were onely capable of the Priesthood, and other inferiour Offices of the Church. The land was divided amongst the other Tribes (Levi excepted.) which by the subdivision of the Tribe of Joseph, into Ephraim and Manasses, were still twelve. To the Tribe of Levi were assigned certain Cities for their habitation, with the suburbs for their cattell: but for their portion, they were to have the tenth of the fruits of the land of their Brethren. Again, the Priests for their maintenance had the tenth of that tenth, together with part of the oblations, and sacrifices. For God had said to Aaron (Numb. 18 20.) Thou shalt have no inheritance in their land, neither shalt thou have any part amongst them. I am thy part, and thine inheritance amonast the Children of Israel. For God being then King, and having constituted the Tribe of Lovi to be his Publique Ministers, he allowed them for their maintenance, the Publique revenue, that is to say, the part that God had reserved to himself; which were Tythes, and Offerings: and that is it which is meant, where God saith. I am thing inheritance. And therefore to the Levites might not unfitly be attributed the name of Clergy from KASper, which signifieth Lot, or Inheritance; not that they were heirs of the Kingdome of God. more than other: but that Gods inheritance, was their maintenance. Now seeing in this tame God himself was their King, and Moses. Aaron, and the succeeding High Priests were his Lieutenants; it is manifest, that the Right of Tythes, and Offerings was constituted by the Civili Power,

After their rejection of God in the demanding of a King, they enjoyed still the same revenue, but the Right thereof was derived from that, that the Kings did never take it from them. Ior the Pollique Revenue was at the chapseing of hum that was the Pollique Person; and that (iii the Capitrity) was the King. And again, after the return from the Capitrity) was the King. to the Priest. Hitherto therefore Church Livings were determined by the Civill Soveraign.

Of the maintenance of our Saviour, and his Apostles, we read onely they had a Purse. (which was carried by Judas Iscariot:) and, that of the Apostles, such as were Fisher-men, did sometimes use their trade: and that when our Saviour sent the Twelve Apostles to Preach, he forbad them to carry Gold, and Silver, and Brasse in their purses, for that the workman is worthy of his hirs: By which it is probable, their ordinary maintenance was not unsuitable to their employment; for their employment was (ver. 8) freely to give, because they had freely received, and their maintenance was the free gift of those that beleeved the good tyding they carryed about of the coming of the Messiah their Saviour. To which we may adde, that which was contributed out of gratitude; by such as our Saviour had healed of diseases; of which are mentioned Certain women (Lake 8, 2, 3.) which had been healed of evil spirits and infirmities: Mary Magdalen, out of whom went seven Devills ; and Joanna the wife of Chuza, Herods Steward ; and Susanna, and many others, which ministred unto him of their substance.

After our Saviours Ascension, the Christians of every City lived in Common,' upon the mony which was made of the sale of their lands and possessions, and laid down at the feet of the Apostles, of good will, not of duty: for whilest the Land remained (with S. Peter to Anapias Acts 5. 4.) was it not thins ? and after it was sold. was it not in thy power ? which showeth he needed not have saved his land, nor his money by lying, as not being bound to contribute any thing at all, unlesse he had pleased. And as in the time of the Apostles, so also all the time downward, till after Constantine the Great, we shall find, that the maintenance of the Bishops, and Pastors of the Christian Church, was nothing but the voluntary contribution of them that had embraced their Doctrine. There was yet no mention of Tythes: but such was in the time of Constantine, and his Sons, the affection of Christians to their Pastors, as Ammianus Marcellinus saith (describing the sedition of Damasus and Ursicinus about the Bishopricke,) that it was worth their contention, in that the Bishops of those times by the liberality of their flock, and especially of Matrons, lived splendidly, were carryed in Coaches, and were sumptuous in their fare and apparell.

But here may some ask, whether the Pastor were laten hound to live open roluntary contribution, as upon almer, For who (sath 8. Paul 1 Cor. 9. 1, goeth to ear at his own chargest  $\sigma$  or who feedshs a lack, and atth not of the multic of the field. And again, Doey not know blat they which minister about holy hungs, live of the things of the Tample; and hey which wait is the Altra partice with the Altra i; that it to say, have part of that which is offered as the Alta for their multitaname? And then his concludely. Here no hold the Core of the things which graves the Goegel should live of the Goegel. From which place may be inferred indeed, that the Bactors of the Courch negative to

1 Acts 4. 34.

maintained by their flocks: but not that the Pastors were to determme, either the quantity, or the kind of their own allowance, and be (as it were) their own Carvers. Their allowance must needs therefore be determined, either by the gratitude, and liberality of every particular man of their flock, or by the whole Congregation. By the whole Congregation it could not be, because their Acts were then no Laws: Therefore the maintenance of Pastors, before Emperours and Civill Soveraigns had made Laws to settle it, was nothing but Benevolence. They that served at the Altar lived on what was offered So may the Pastors also take what is offered them by their flock; but not exact what is not offered. In what Court should they sue for it, who had no Tribunalls? Or if they had Arbitrators amongst themselves, who should execute their Judgments, when they had no power to arme their Officers? It remains th therefore, that there could be no certaine maintenance assigned to any Pastors of the Church, but by the whole Congregation; and then onely, when their Decrees should have the force (not onely of Canons, but also) of Laws; which Laws could not be made, but by Emperours, Kings, or other Civill Soversignes. The Right of Tythes in Moses Law, could not be applyed to the then Ministers of the Gospell; because Moses and the High Priests were the Civill Soveraigns of the people under God, whose Kingdom amongst the Jews was present; whereas the Kingdome of God by Christ is yet to come.

Hitherto hath been shewn what the Pastors of the Church are; what are the points of their Commission (as that they were to Preach, to Teach, to Bantize, to be Presidents in their severall Congregations:) what is Ecclesiastical Censure, viz. Excommunication, that is to say, in those places where Christianity was forbidden by the Civill Laws. a putting of themselves out of the company of the Excommunicate, and where Christianity was by the Civill Law commanded, a putting the Excommunicate out of the Congregations of Christians: who elected the Pastors and Ministers of the Church. (that it was, the Congregation), who consecrated and blessed them, (that it was the Pastor): what was their due revenue, (that it was none but their own possessions, and their own labour, and the voluntary contributions of devout and gratefull Christians). We are to consider now, what Office in the Church those nersons have. who being Civill Soveraignes, have embraced also the Christian Faith.

And first, we are to remember, that the Right of Judging what Doctrinous set for Peace, and to be tanght he Subjects, is in all Common-wealths inseparably annexed (as fasth been already proved shows a start of the start of the start been already proved shows a start of the start of the start been already proved shows a start of the start of the start of the start base of the fourth of the start of the start of the theore of the fourth or Start of the start of the start demnsity of the start of the start of the start of the demnsity of the start of the demnsity of the start of the start of the start of the start of the demnsity of the start of the hurtfull to them, than their disobolizance, will disoby the Laws, and thurby overthrow the Common-weakle, and introduve contribution, and Givill war; for the avoiding whereas, all Civill Government was collamed. And therefore in all Common-weakles of the Heathen, the Swar pro Subject the could chairs of the Fernils, heating their remainsion and subject.

This Right of the Hashter Kings, cannot bee thought taken from them by this conversion to the Tath of Christ, who never ordained, that Kings for belowing in hum, should be deposed, that is, subject to any but humself, or (which is all onc) be deprived of the power necessary for the conservation of Pasce amongst short Subjects, and for the device a spatial program for the start of the form and for the device and spatial program for the start have power to ordain what Pasters they please, to teach the Church, that is, to teach the People computed to the damage.

Again, let the right of choosing them he (as before the conversion of Kinus) in the Church, for so it was in the time of the Apostles themselves (as bath been shown already in this chapter); even so also the Right will be in the Civill Soveration. Christian For in that he is a Christian, he allowes the Teaching; and in that he is the Soversign (which is as much as to say, the Church by Representation.) the Teachers hes elects, are elected by the Church. And when an Assembly of Christians choose their Pastor in a Christian Common-wealth, it is the Soveraign that electeth him, because tis done by his Authority; In the same manner, as when a Town choose their Major, it is the act of him that hath the Soveraion Power: For every act done, is the act of him, without whose consent it is invalid. And therefore whatsoever examples may be drawn out of History, concerning the Election of Pastors, by the People, or by the Clergy, they are no arguments against the Right of any Civil Soveraign, because they that elected them did it by his Authority.

Seeing then in every Christian Common-wealth, the Civill Soveraign is the Supreme Pastor, to whose charge the whole flock of his Subjects, is committed, and consequently that it is by his authority, that all other Pastors are made, and have power to teach, and performe all other Pastorall offices; it followoth also, that it is from the Civill Soveraign, that all other Pastors derive their right of Teaching, Preaching, and other functions pertaining to that Office: and that they are but his Ministers; in the same manner as the Magistrates of Towns, Judges in Courts of Justice, and Commanders of Armies, are all but Ministers of him that is the Magistrate of the whole Common-wealth, Judge of all Causes, and Commander of the whole Militia, which is always the Civill Soveraign. And the reason hereof, is not because they that Teach, but because they that are to Learn, are his Subjects. For let it be supposed, that a Christian King commit the Authority of Ordaining Pastors in his Dominions to another King, (as divers Christian Kings allow that power to the Pope;) he doth not thereby

constitute a Pastor over himself, nor a Soveraign Pastor over his People, for that were to deprive himself of the Civill Power: which depending on the opinion men have of their Duty to him, and the fear they have of Punishment in another world, would depend also on the skill, and loyalty of Doctors, who are no lesse subject, not only to Ambition, but also to Ignorance, than any other sort of men. So that where a stranger hath authority to appoint Teachers, it is given him by the Soveraign in whose Dominions he teacheth. Christian Doctors are our Schoolmasters to Christianity; But Kings are Fathers of Families, and may receive Schoolmasters for their Subjects from the recommendation of a stranger, but not from the command; especially when the ill teaching them shall redound to the great and manifest profit of him that recommends them: nor can they be obliged to retain them, longer than it is for the Publique good; the care of which they stand so long charged withall, as they retain any other essentiall Right of the Soveraignty.

If a man therefore should ask a Paster, in the execution of his Office, as the chief Priests and Elders of the people (Mat. 21 23.) asked our Saviour. By what authority dosi thou these thangs, and who gave thee this authority: he can make no other just Answer, but that he doth it by the Authority of the Common-wealth, given him by the King or Assembly that representeth it. All Pastors, except the Supreme, execute their charges in the Right, that is by the Authority of the Civill Soverage, that is, Jure Civili. But the King, and every other Soveraign, executeth his Office of Supreme Pastor, by immediate Authority from God, that is to say, in Gods Right, or Jure Divino. And therefore none but Kings can put into their Titles (a mark of their submission to God onely) Dei gratid Rev. &c. Bishops ought to say in the beginning of their Mandates. By the favour of the Kings Majesty, Bishop of such a Diocesse; or as Civill Ministers, In his Majesties Name. For in saving, Divind providentia, which is the same with Dei gratia, though disguised, they deny to have received their authority from the Civill State; and shely slip off the Collar of their Civil Subjection, contrary to the unity and defence of the Common-wealth.

Usi if every Chratian Soveraign be the Supreme Pastor of his own Bublocis is essensiti that he such a thotoiny, not only to Praseh (which perhaps no man will deryr) bet also to Exprise, and to Administre the Sacarmanic of the Lords Supper; and to men deny, partly because they use not to do it; and partly because the Administration of Sacarmanet, and Conservation of Percus, and Places to holy uses, required the Hampeline of the Monister Aposites have been cationed to the like Minustery. For proof likesfore that Christian Kings have power to Dapite, and to it, and how, without the ordinary estematory of Imposition of hands, they are made capable of doing it, when they will.

There is no doubt but any King, in case he were skilfull in the Sciences, might by the same Right of his Office, read Lectures of them himself, by which he authorizeth others to read them in the Universities. Neverthelesse, because the care of the summe of the businesse of the Common-wealth taketh up his whole time, it were not convenient for him to anniv himself in Person to that particular. A King may also if he please, sit in Judgment, to hear and determine all manner of Causes, as well as give others authority to doe it in his name: but that the charge that lyeth upon him of Command and Government, constrain him to bee continually at the Helm. and to commit the Ministeriall Offices to others under him. In the like manner our Saviour (who surely had power to Baptize) Bantized none 1 himselfe, but sent his Apostles and Disciples to Baptize. So also S Paul, by the necessity of Preaching in divers and far distant places. Bantized few: Amongst all the Corinthians he Baptized only 2 Crispus, Cajus, and Stephanus; and the reason was, because his principall <sup>3</sup> Charge was to Preach. Whereby it is manifest, that the greater Charge, (such as is the Government of the Church,) is a dispensation for the lesse. The reason therefore why Christian Kings use not to Baptize, is evident, and the same, for which at this day there are few Baptized by Bisbons, and by the Pope fewer.

And as concerning Imposition of Hands, whether it be needfull, for the authorizing of a King to Baptize, and Consecrate, we may consider thus.

Imposition of Hands, was a most ancient publique ceremony amonest the Jews, by which was designed, and made certain, the person, or other thing intended in a mans prayer, blessing, sacrifice, consecration, condemnation, or other speech. So Jacob in blessing the children of Joseph (Gen. 48, 14.) Laid his right Hand on Ephraim the younger, and his left Hand on Manasseh the first born; and this he did withingly (though they were so presented to him by Joseph. as he was forced in doing it to stretch out his arms acrosse) to design to whom he intended the greater blessing. So also in the sacrificing of the Burnt offering, Aaron is commanded [Exod, 29, 10.] to Lay his Hands on the head of the bullock; and [ver. 15.] to Lay his Hand on the head of the ramme. The same is also said again, Levit. 1. 4. & 8. 14. Likewise Moses when he ordained Joshua to be Captain of the Israelites, that is, conscorated him to Gods service, [Numb 27, 23.] Land his Hands upon him, and gave him his Charge, designing, and rendring certain, who it was they were to obey in war. And in the consecration of the Levites [Numb. 8, 10,] God commanded that the Children of Israel should put their Hands upon the Levites. And in the condemnation of him that had blasphemed the Lord [Levit. 24. 14.] God commanded that all that heard him should Lay their Hands on his head, and that all the Congregation should stone hom. And why should they only that heard him, Lay their Hands upon him, and not rather a Priest, Levite, or other Minister of

<sup>1</sup> John 4. 2. <sup>1</sup> I Cor. 1. 14, 16 <sup>5</sup> 7 Cor. 1. 17.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

Justics, but that none else were able to design, and demonstrato to the eyes of the Congregation, who it was that had blasphemed, and ought to dis? And to design s man, or any other thung, by the Hand to the Eye, is lesse subject to mistake, than when it is done to the Eare by a Name.

And so much was thus even composition at none, which cannot be done by Laying on of Hands, yet, Arrow [Leve 0. 22] joid if yet pher Hand towards the people when he bicesed them. And we read also of the line coverage of Conservation of Temples amongst the Headism, as that the Priest laid non Headie on conce poor of the Comple, at line withole way individual thing, rather by the Hand, to assure the Nyes, than by words to inform the Facs in matters of Gods Publics exercised on words to inform the Facs in matters of Gods Publics exercise.

This ceremony was not therefore new in our Saviours time. For Jaurus [Mark 5. 23.] whose daughter was suck, becought our Saviour (not to heal her, but) to Loy his Hands upon her, that shee mught bee healed. And (Math. 19. 13.) they brought such him little children, that her should Put his Hands on them, and Pray.

According to this ancient Rite, the Apostles, and Presbyters, and the Presbytery it self, Laid Hands on them whom they ordained Pastors, and withall praved for them that they might receive the Holy Ghost; and that not only once, but sometimes oftner, when a new occasion was presented: but the end was still the same, namely a punctuall, and religious designation of the person, ordefined either to the Pastorall Charge in general, or to a particular Mission: so [Act. 6. 6.] The Apostles Prayed, and Laid their Hands on the seven Deacons: which was done, not to give them the Holy Ghost, (for they were full of the Holy Ghost before they were chosen, as appeareth immediately before, verse 3.) but to design them to that Office. And after Philip the Descon had converted certain persons in Samaria, Peter and John went down [Acts 8, 17.] and Laid their Hande on them, and they received the Holy Ghost. And not only an Apostle, but a Presbyter had this nower: For S. Paul adviseth Timothy [] Tim. 5. 22.] Lay Hands suddenly on no man; that is, designe no man rashly to the Office of a Pastor. The whole Presbytery Laid their Hands on Timothy, as we read 1 Tim. 4. 14, but this is to be understood, as that some did it by the appointment of the Presbytery, and most likely their mover by, or Prolocutor, which it may be was St. Paul himself. For m his 2 Epist. to Tim. ver. 6 he saith to him. Stirre up the gift of God which is in thee, by the Lawing on of my Hands: where note by the way, that by the Holy Ghost, is not meant the third Person in the Trinity, but the Gifts necessary to the Pastorall Office. We read also, that St. Paul had Imposition of Hands twice: once from Ananias at Damascus [Acts 9, 17, 18,] at the time of his Baptisme: and again [Acts 13. 3.] at Antioch, when he was first sent out to Preach. The use then of this ceremony considered in the Ordination of Pastors, was to design the Person to whom they gave such Power.

But if there had been then any Christian, that had had the Power of Teaching before: the Baptizing of him, that is, the making him a Christian, had given him no new Power, but had onely caused him to preach true Doctrine, that is, to use his Power aright; and therefore the Impontion of Hands had been unnecessary: Baptisme it. selfs had been sufficient. But every Soversign, before Christianity, had the nower of Teaching, and Ordaining Teachers: and therefore Christianity gave them no new Right, but only directed them in the way of teaching Truth; and consequently they needed no Imposition of Hands (bendes that which is done in Bantisme) to authorize them to exercise any part of the Pastorall Function, as namely, to Baptize and Consectate. And in the Old Testament, though the Priest only had right to Consecrate during the time that the Soveraignty was in the High Priest; yet it was not so when the Soversignty was in the King: For we read [1 Kings S.] That Solomon Blessed the People, Consecrated the Temple, and pronounced that Publique Prayar, which is the pattern now for Consecration of all Christian Churches, and Chappels: whereby it appears, he had not only the right of Ecclesiasticall Government: but also of exercising Ecclesinational Eunctions

From this consolidation of the Right Pollityue, and Ecoleastippe in Christian Soveragin, it is ordered, they have all meaner of Power over their Subjects, that can be given to man, for the government of meas extremal actions, both in Polley, and Radigion, and may make such Laws, as thereastwas shall noige fitset, for the government of their on Subjects, both as they are the Churnon, weakin, and as they are the Church: for both State, and Church are the same men.

If they please therefore, they may (as many Christian Kings now doe) commit the government of their Subjects in matters of Religion to the Pope; but then the Pope is in that point Subordinate to them. and exerciseth that Charge in anothers Dominion Jure Cimit, in the Right of the Civill Soveraign; not Jure Divino, in Gods Right; and may therefore he discharged of that Office, when the Soversion for the good of his Subjects shall think it necessary. They may also if they please, commit the care of Religion to one Supreme Pastor, or to an Assembly of Pastors; and give them what power over the Church, or one over another, they think most convenient: and what titles of honor, as of Bishops, Archbishops, Priests, or Presbyters, they will; and make such Laws for their maintenance, either by Tithes, or otherwise, as they please, so they doe it out of a sincere conscience, of which God onely is the Judge. It is the Civill Soversign, that is to appoint Judges, and Interpreters of the Canonicall Scriptures: for it is he that maketh them Laws. It is he also that give h strength to Excommunications: which but for such Laws and Punishments, as may humble obstinate Libertines. and reduce them to union with the rest of the Church, would bee contemned. In summe, he hath the Supreme Power in all causes, as well Ecclesiasticall, as Civill, as far as concerneth actions, and

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

words, for these onely are known, and may be acoustly, and of that which cannot be accused, there is no Judg at all, but God, that known the bast. And these Rights are modeat to all Soveraigns, whether Monarchia or Assemblato: for they that are the Representants of a Caristika Poople, are Representants of the Church: for a think.

Though this that I have here said, and in other places of this Book, seem elever enough for the assorting of the Suptrem Ecclesisatical Power to Christian Soretaignai, yet because the Pope of Romes challenge to that Power universaily, kall been mantained chiefly, and I think as strongly as as possible, by Cardinall Bellamme, in his Controversio *De Summo PontArgot*. I have thought u necessary, as briefly as I can, to examine the grounds, and strangth of his Discourse.

Of five Books he hath written of this subject, the first containeth three Questions: One, Which is simply the best government, Monarchy, Aristocracy, or Democracy: and concludeth for neither. but for a government mixt of all three: Another, which of these is the best Government of the Church; and concludeth for the mixt, but which should most participate of Monarchy: The third, whether in this mixt Monarchy, St Peter had the place of Monarch. Concerning his first Conclusion, I have already sufficiently proved (chapt. 18.) that all Governments, which men are bound to obey, are Simple, and Absolute In Monarchy there is but One Man Supreme; and all other men that have any kind of Power in the State, have it by his Commission, during his pleasure; and execute it in his name: And in Aristocracy, and Democracy. but One Supreme Assembly, with the same Power that in Monarchy belongeth to the Monarch, which is not a Mixt, but an Absolute Soversignty. And of the three sorts, which is the best, is not to be disputed, where any one of them is already established; but the present ought siwales to be preferred, maintained, and accounted best; because it is against both the Law of Nature, and the Divine positive Law, to doe any thing tending to the subversion thereof. Besides, it maketh nothing to the Power of any Pastor, (unlesse he have the Civili Soversignty.) what kind of Government is the best; because their Calling is not to govern men by Commandement, but to teach them, and perswade them by Arguments, and leave it to them to consider, whether they shall embrace, or reject the Doctrine taught. For Monsrehy, Aristoersey, and Democracy, do mark out unto us three sorts of Soveraigns, not of Pastors: or, as we may say, three sorts of Masters of Families, not three sorts of Schoolmasters for the children.

And therefore the second Combinsion, concerning the best form of Government of the Church, is nothing to the question of the Popes Power without his own Dominions: For in all other Commonwealths has Power (if hee have any st all) is that of the Schoolmaster onely, and not of the Master of the Family.

For the third Conclusion which is, that St. Peter was Monarch of the Church, he brangeth for his chiefe argument the place of S. Matth. (chap. 16, 18, 19.) Thou ari Peter. And upon this rock I will build my Church, dec. And I will nive these the keyes of Heaven, subatsoever thou shalt bind on Earth, shall be bound in Heaven, and whatsoever thou shalt loose on Easth, shall be loosed in Heaven. Which place well considered, proyeth no more, but that the Church of Christ bath for foundation one onely Article: namely, that which Peter in the name of all the Apostles professing, gave occasion to our Saviour to speak the words here cited: which that wes may clearly understand, we are to consider, that our Saviour preached by himself. by John Bantiet, and by his Apostles, nothing but this Article of Fauls, that he was the Christ: all other Articles requiring faith no otherwise, than as founded on that. John began first. (Mat. 3. 2.) preaching only this. The Renodome of God 18 at hand. Then our Saviour himself (Mat. 4. 17.) preached the same: And to his Twelve Anostles, when he gave them their Commission (Mai. 10, 7.) there is no mention of preaching any other Article but that. This was the fundamentall Article, that is the Foundation of the Churches Faith. Afterwards the Anostles being returned to him, he asketh them all. (Mat. 16, 13) not Peter onely. Who men said he was: and they answered, that some said he was John the Baptist, some Elias, and others Jeremias, or one of the Prophets: Then (ver. 15.) he asked them all again, (not Poter onely) Whom say yet that I am ? Therefore S. Peter answered (for them all) Thou art Christ. the Son of the Living God; which I said is the Foundation of the Faith of the whole Church; from which our Saviour takes the occasion of saving, Upon this stone I will build my Church: By which it is manifest, that by the Foundation-Stone of the Church, was meant the Fundamental Article of the Churches Faith. But why then (will some object) doth our Saviour interpose these words, Thou art Peler? If the originall of this text had been rigidly translated, the reason would easily have appeared: We are therefore to consider, that the Apostle Simon, was surnamed Stone, (which is the sumification of the Syriscke word Cenhas and of the Greek word Petrus). Our Saviour therefore after the confession of that Fundamentall Article. alluding to his name, said (as if it were in English) thus, Thou art Stone, and upon this Stone I will build my Church: which is as much as to say, this Article, that I am the Christ, is the Foundation of all the Faith I require in those that are to bee members of my Church: Neither is this allusion to a name, an unusual thing in common speech: But it had been a strange, and obscure speech, if our Saviour intending to build his Church on the Person of S. Peter, had said. thou art a Stone, and upon this Stone I will build my Church, when itewas so obvious without ambiguity to have said, I will build my Church on thes: and yet there had been still the same allusion to his name

And for the following words, I will give the the Keyes of Heaven, &c. it is no more than what our Saviour gave also to all the rest of

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

his Disciples [Matth. 18. 18.] Wintnesser yes shell base on Earth, shell be bound in Hausen. And substasser yes shell base on Earth, shell be tound in Hausen. But however this be interpreted, there is no doub but the Fower have new granted belongs to all Supreme Paston; such as are all Cirkitan Cirkil Sovrezignes in their own had converted any of them to believes him, and to acknowledge his Kingdome: yeb because his Kingdome is not of this world, he had left the supreme cave of converting his subjects to anneo world, we had he light be thereafting is inspirately anneal and the mach an have been the Monarch Unreweal of the Converting, base its down, and the Charles in the world.

The second Book hack two Conclusions: One, that S. Peter was Bashop of Roma, and there dyed: The other, hat the Popeor of Rome are his Successors – Both which have been dispated by others. But supposing them true: yet if y Bishop of Rome, bee understood Silvester, but Constantine (who was the first Christian Emperory) was that Bishop; and as Constantine, so all other Cirnetian Emperors were of Right suprema Enhops of the Roman Empury (asy of the Roman Empiry) not of all Caristenioners For other torios, as to an Office searching in the Storengoty. Which shall serve for carsers to this second Book.

In the third Book, he handleti, the questaon whether the Pope be Antichrist. For my part, lese no argument that proves he is so, in that sense the Scrupture usefu the name: nor will I take any argument from the quality of Anticharsk, to contrain the Authority he exercisedh, or hath heretofore exercised in the Dominions of any other Prince, or State.

It is evident that the Prophets of the Old Testament foretold, and the Jews expected a Messiah, that is, a Christ, that should re establish amongst them the kingdom of God, which had been rejected by them in the time of Samuel, when they required a King after the manner of other Nations. This expectation of theirs, made them obnoxious to the Imposture of all such, as had both the ambition to attempt the attaining of the Kingdome, and the art to deceive the People by counterfeit miracles, by hypocriticall life, or by orstions and doctrine plausible. Our Saviour therefore, and his Apostles forewarned men of False Prophets, and of False Christs. False Christs, are such as pretend to be the Christ, but are not, and are called properly Antichrists, in such sense, as when there happeneth a Schisme in the Church by the election of two Popes, the one calleth the other Antisana, or the false Pope. And therefore Antichrist in the proper signification hath two essentiall marks: One, that he denucth Jesus to be Christ: and another that he professeth himselfe to bee Christ. The first Mark is set down by S. John in his 1 Epist, 4, ch. 3, ver. Every Smirit that confesseth not that Jenus Christ is come in the flesh, 18 not of God . And this is the Spirit of Antichrist. The other Mark is expressed in the words of our Saviour. (Mat. 24, 5.) Many shall come in my name, samua, I am Christ: and again. If any man shull say unlo you. Loe, here is Christ, there is Christ, beleeve it not. And therefore Antichrist must be a False Christ, that is, some one of them that shall pretend themselves to be Christ. And out of these two Marks to denn Jesus to be the Christ, and to affirm himselfs to he the Christ, it followeth, that he must also be an Adversary of Jesus the true Christ, which is another usuall signification of the word Antichrist. But of these many Antichrists, there is one speciall one. & Artiverros. The Antichrist. or Antichrist definitely, as one certains person: not indefinitely as Antichrist Now seeing the Pone of Rome, acither pretendeth himself, nor deriveth Jesus to hee the Christ, I perceive not how he can be called Antichrist: hy which word is not meant, one that falsely pretendeth to be His Licutenant, or Vicar generall, but to be Hee. There is also some Mark of the time of this speciall Antichrist, as (Mat. 24, 15.) when that abominable Destroyer, spoken of by Daniel,' shall stand in the Holy place, and such tribulation as was not since the beginning of the world, nor ever shall be again, insomuch as if it were to last long, (ver. 22.) no firsh could be eaved; but for the elects sake those days shall be shortened (made fewer). But that iribulation is not yet come: for it is to be followed immediately (ver 20) by a darkening of the San and Moon, a falling of the Stars, a concussion of the Heavens, and the glorious coming again of our Saviour in the cloudes. And therefore The Antichrist is not yet come: whereas, many Popes are both come and zone. It is true, the Pope in taking upon him to give Laws to all Christian Kings, and Nations, usurpath a Kingdome in this world, which Christ took not on him: but he doth it not as Christ, but as for Christ, wherein there is nothing of The Antichrist.

In the fourth Book, to prove the Pope to be the supreme Jung in all questions of Fith and Mannews, (which is a wanch as to be the absolute Momerth of all Christians is the world) is bringeth three Propositions: The find, that hu Jungments are itstallible: The humm mut. The third, that our Saviour conferred all Jurisdiction Ecolemisatical to the Pope of Rome.

For the infallibility of hus Judgments, he silvelgedt the Scriptures: confirst, that of Luke 29, 21 Some, Stiven, Steiner, State had a desire you that her may aff you to subcat; but I have prayed for the, that My Judy Aff Aff in etc., and submit Mous articles that the first seven here that and submit thous articles expendition, is, that Chief gave here and the seven here the state of the seven here the ball, nor the Falls of any of here subcations the foreign the hey nor any of his successors should ever disfine any point concorning + Dan  $\rightarrow$  27.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

302

Faith, or Manners erroneously, or contrary to the definition of a former Pope: Which is a strange, and very much strained interpretation. But he that with attention readeth that chanter, shall find there is no place in the whole Scripture, that maketh more against the Popes Authority, than this very place. The Priests and Soribes seeking to kill our Saviour at the Passequer and Judas possessed with a resolution to betray him, and the day of killing the Passeover being come, our Saviour celebrated the same with his Apostles, which he said, till the Kingdome of God was come hes would doe no more: and withall told them, that one of them was to betray him: Hereupon they questioned, which of them it should be; and withall (seeing the next Passeover their Master would calebrate should be when he was King) entred into a contention, who should then be the greatest man. Our Saviour therefore told them, that the Kings of the Nations had Dominion over their Subjects, and are called by a name (in Hebrew) that signifies Bountifull; but I cannot be so to you, you must endeavour to serve one another: I ordain you a Kingdome, but it is such as my Father hath ordained mee; a Kingdome that I am now to nurchase with my blood, and not to possesse tall my second coming: then yee shall est and drink at my Table, and sit on Thrones, judging the twelve Tribes of Israel: And then addressing himself to St. Peter, he saith, Simon, Simon, Satan seeks by suggesting a present domination, to weaken your faith of the future: but I have praved for thee, that thy faith shall not fail: Thou therefore (Note this.) being converted, and understanding my Kingdome as of another world, confirm the same faith in thy Brethren: To which S. Peter answered (as one that no more expected any authority in this world) Lord I am ready to nos with thes, not onely to Prison, but to Death. Whereby it is manifest, S. Peter had not onely no jurisdiction given him in this world, but a charge to teach all the other Apostles, that they also should have none. And for the Infallibility of St. Poters sentence definitive in matter of Faith, there is no more to be attributed to it out of this Text, than that Peter should continue in the beleef of this point. namely, that Christ should come again, and possesse the Kingdome at the day of Judgoment; which was not given by this Text to all his Successors: for wee see they claims it in the World that now is.

The second place is that of Math. 16. Thes art Peter, and upon fus rock I will build my Ghrach, and the gates of field hold to precuil against it. By which (as I have aircady absen in this chapter) as proved no nore, than that the gates of Hell shall not prevuil against has confession of Poter, which gave occasion to that speech, namely this, that Jeans & Christ Me Some of Gol.

The third Text is John 21, ver. 16, 17, Feed my shopp: which contains no more but a Commission of Teaching: And if we grant the rest of the Aposlies to be contained in that name of Sheep; then it is the supreme Power of Teaching: but if was onely for the time that there were no Christian Soveraigns already possessed of that Supremacy. But I have already proved, that Christian Soveraigne are in their owns Dominions the sequence Pastors, and instituted thereto, by versue of their bung Baptized, hungh without other Imposition of Hands. Nor such Imposition being a Gromony of the Down of Chashage was Doctrine it evall, by his hashittion to an Absolute Power over his Subjects. Nor as I have proved before, Soversigns are sequences (in generall) by their Subjects and interface obligs this matters (by futer Baptemos) to teach the Doctrine does it at the peril of their own souls, for it is at this hand of the Heads of Families that God vill require the account of the instruction of his Children and Servants. It is of Abraham binnell, not of a hisberface and Servarias. It is of Abraham binnell, not of a hisberface that come and Servarias.

This fourth place is this of Azod. 83. 30. Then while put in the Densatylist of Vadenaci, fit Uver, and the Thummin; which hee saith is interpreted by the Septusgint Spherer sai Myfean, that is, Rvidence and Truth, and thence considuation, God had given Forsiones, and Truth, (which is almost faithlibility) for the High Presk. But bis is Evidence and Truth it selfs that was given to be it to Madamentized to the Prios to endeavour to inform humsoit Jeeffy, and given judgment nguighty yet ut hakit was given to the High Pries. But be is Evidence and Truth it selfs was given to the High Pries, it was given to the Drink Evenager. For such accuand is an argument for Zwidness can Truth, thus it was given and is an argument for Zwidness can Truth, thus far the Bedenstical Suptemacy of Grill Soveraigns over their own Subjects, hee bringeth for the Infaibility of the Judgement of the Poop, in point of Faith.

For the Infallability of his Judgment concerning Manners, hee bringeth one Text, which is that of John 16. 13. When the Smirit of truth is come, her will lead you into all truth: where (saith he) by all truth, is meant, at least, all truth necessary to saluation. But with this mitigation, he attributeth no more Infallibility to the Pone. than to any man that professoth Christiansty, and is not to be damned: For if any man erre in any point, wherein not to erre is pecessary to Salvation, it is impossible he should be saved; for that onely is necessary to Salvation, without which to be saved is impossible. What points these are, I shall declare out of the Scripture in the Chapter following. In this place I say no more but that though it were granted, the Pope could not possibly teach any error at all, yet doth not this entitle him to any Jurisdiction in the Dominions of another Prince, unlesse we shall also say, a man is obliged in conscience to set on work upon all occasions the best workman, even then also when he hath formerly promised his work to another.

Besides the Text, he stgueth from Reason, thus. If the Pope could erro in necessaries, then Christ hath not sufficiently provided

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

for the Churches Salvation, because is hath commanded her to follow the Popes directions. But this Reason is invalid, unlesses he shew when, and whene Christ commanded that, or took at all any notice of a Pope: Nay granting whatesers was given to S. Peter, was given to the Pope; yet sering thate is in the Saripture no command to any man to okey St. Peter, no man can bee just, that obsynth him, when his commands are contrary to those of his lawful Sovenign.

Losity, it hall not been dealaced by the Glurnh, not by the Pope himselfs, that his is the Ovill Boveraign of all itse Corrisions on the world; and therefore all Christians are not bound to acknowledge ising Jarushitton in point of Manners. For the Ovill Boverargivs, and suprems Judicators on controversize of Manners, are the same thing: And the Makers of GVII Laws, are not constrained by Bealares, but also Makers of the justees, and unpettee of actions; there being nothing on mean Manners take makes them righteoux, carangitoson, but there conformity with the Law of the Soversign. And functions but there conformity with the Law of the Soversign. And functions but starts are being and the GVII Soversign; which is an erroneous Doctrine, contrary to the many presents of our Soviour and his A notice, diviewed to us make Sprinters.

To prove the Dopo has Pover to make Laws, he alledgeth many places, as first, Doit 17.12. The man that wall dee presemptions and will not hardware used the Priori, (bid standah to Minister there holy on the Lord BC off, or and to Musch, pleen that musch all dis, and holy may be all the standard standard to Minister there holy may be all Boyersagn; and all Judges were to be constituted by hum. The words alledged sound threadow thus. The man that will present the disk Boyersagn for the time bring, or any of the Officiers in the scenario for the limb bring, or any of the Officiers in the scenario for the stand the time bring.

<sup>5</sup>Secondly, ho alledgeds that of Math. 10. Whateseer yoe shall block does and meterystein its for such baseling as is a staticuted (Math. 23, 4) to the Scribes and Pharisess, They had heary borthess, and primous to be own, and algo them on meas shoulders; by which is means (he sayes) Making of Laws; and concludes themes, this the Pope san make chase. But thus also maked nonly for the Legalative power of Chrill Strengings. For the Scribes and Entropy Interference and therefore one Scribert and the Scribest Populo I funct, and therefore one Scribert concluded them to for all that they should any, bur not all that they should do. That is, to obey their Laws, bur those their Example.

The third place, is John 21 16. Feed my sheep; which is not a Power to make Laws, but a command to Teach. Making Laws belongs to the Lord of the Family; who by his owne discretion shoresth his Chanlain, as also a Schoolmaster to Teach his shildren.

The fourth place John 20, 21, is against him. The words are,

As my Father sent me, so send I you. But our Saviour was sent to Redeem (by his Death) such as should Releave; and by his own, and his Apostles preaching to prepare them for their entrance into his Kingdome: which he humself saith, is not of this world, and hath taught us to pray for the coming of it heresiter, though her refused (Acts 1, 6, 7.) to tell his Anostics when it should come: and in which, when it comes, the twalve Apostles shall sit on twelvo Thrones (every one nethans as high as that of St. Peter) to indge the twelve tribes of Jamel Seeing then God the Father sent not our Saviour to make Laws m this present world, were may conclude from the Text, that neither did our Saviour send S. Peter to make Laws here but to perswade men to expect his second comming with a stediast faith: and in the mean tune, if Subjects, to obey their Princes; and if Princes, both to believe it themselves, and to do their best to make their Subjects don the same: which is the Office of a Bishop. Therefore this place maketh most strongly for the joining of the Ecclesissional Supremacy to the Civill Soveraionty contrary to that which Cardinall Bellarmine alledgeth it for.

The fift place is Acts 15. 28 It half seemed good to the Holy Smirit. and to us, to law woon you no areater burden, than these necessary things that we abstaine from meats offered to Idols, and from bloud, and from things strangled, and from fornication Here hee potes the word Lawng of burdens for the Legislative Power But who is there, that reading this Text, can say, this stile of the Apostles may not as properly he used in giving Counsell, as in making Laws? The stile of a Law 18. We command: But. We think good, is the ordinary stile of them, that but give Advice; and they lay a Burthen that give Advice, though it bee conditionall, that is, if they to whom they give it, will attain their ends: And such is the Burthen, of abstaining from things strangled, and from bloud; not absolute, but in case they will not erre. I have shown before (chap. 25.) that Law. is distinguished from Counsell, in this, that the reason of a Law, is taken from the designe, and benefit of him that prescribeth it; but the reason of a Counsell, from the designe, and benefit of him. to whom the Counsell is given. But here, the Apostles sime onely at the benefit of the converted Gentiles, namely their Salvation; not at their own benefit; for having done their endeavour, they shall have their reward, whether they be obeyed, or not. And therefore the Acts of this Councell, were not Laws, but Councells.

The sitt place is that of Rom. 13. Let every Soul be subject to the Higher Possers, for there is an Quene but of Godd, which is meant, he said not early of Secular, but also of Ecolositatical Primes. The those that are also GAH Borcerignes, and their Principalities encode not the compasse of their Civil Sovernignty: without those bounds though they may be reserved for Doctors, they cannot be admovinged for Ermons. For if the Apoutb had meant, we should targit us a cloating, which Ghutts insmitt lack todd on a Impossible, namely, to serve two Masters. And though the Aposthe say in another place, i write fluxes throng being scient, its broing present I Abuild use shorpnesse, according to the Power which the Lord such given mi; it is not, that he challengest a Power either to put to death, imprison, hamish, whip, or fine say of them, which are Punishments; but onely to Excommanicate, which (without the Givill Power) is no more but a leaving of their company, and having no more to doe with them, than with a Hesteen may, or a Publics; which in many coessons might be a greater pain to the Excommunicant, than to the Excommunicate.

The seventh place is 1 Cor. 4, 21 Shall I come suce you sold a Rod, or m low, and the spring (fully) T at here again, it is not the Power of a Magistenste to purnch affenders, that is meant by a Rodi to encly the Power of Exconneumination, which is not in its owner nature a Punkhment, but onely a Denouncing of punkhment, but Christianil influence, when he shall be in powsenso of har Kingdome, at the sing of Judgment. Nor then also shall it hese property a Punksus upon no Themary, or Boroliter, that danyot the Rights of our Saviour to the Kingdome: And therefore this proveth not this Logelsture Power of any Bishory, that hes not also the Cruid Power.

The engith place is, *Transhy* 3: 2. A Bishop sears be the hashand but of ore suffy, explaint, show, do which he suffy was a Law. I thought that none could make a Law m the Church, but the Monarch of the Church, S. Petter. But suggeons that Percept made by the authority of Ss. Petter. But more than a way to call it a Law. Disciple of S Paul; nor the fact when any to call it a Law. Disciple of S Paul; nor the fact when the theorem of Thinnby, his Subjects in the Kingdoma, but has Scholmar in the Schoole of Christi al the Precepts he gived; Through, yak and the school of Christale's Am Prink no longer catter, but use a little wires for thy heallib sole's the the Subjection to a Sereon, that smaled his Precepts and an intervent Subjection to a Sereon, that smaled his Precepts a law.

In like wanner, the ninth place, I Tim. 5. 19 Against an Elder receive not an accumtion, but before two or three Witnesses, is a wise Precept, but not a Law.

The tenth place as, Luke 10. 16. He that hearth you, hearth mesand he that dispetised you, depared hum. And there is no doubt, but he that despised the Counsell of those that are sent by Christ, despised the Counsell of those that are sent by Christ, Authority 1 and who are lawfully ordinated, that are not ordined by the Correlage Destor. I and who he columns by Lawfully ordinated that are not ordinated to compare authority of the Sorreling theorem of the Counsel authority of the Sorreling theorem of the Counsel authority of the Sorreling theorem of the Counsel followeds, that he which hearted his Sorreling being a Christian, hearth Christ, and hes that devises the Douberthe which his King being a Christian, subhorizeth, despiseth the Doctmee of Christ (which is not that which Relatrons intended) there to prove, but the contenry). But all this is nothing to a Law. New more, a Christian King, as a Pastor and Tasekere of this Subjects, makes shough as a Chrill Soveranga he may make Laws subhole to hough as a Chrill Soveranga he may make Laws subhole to his Doctrino, which may oblige moto certain outcome, and contentions to such as they would not otherwase do, and which he ought not command; and yet when they are commuticed, they are Laws; and the external actions fone in obdence to them, without the invarid agrobation, are the actions of the Soveraign, and not of any method for the owne at all; because God listh commanded to obey them.

The eleventh, is every place, where the Apostle for Counsell, putteth some word, by which men use to signifie Command: or calleth the following of his Counsell, by the name of Obedience And therefore they are alledged out of 1 Cor. 11 2 I command you for keeping my Presents as I delivered them to unu. The Greek 18. I commend you for keeping those things I delivered to you, as I delivered them. Which is far from signifying that they were Laws, or any thing else, but good Counsell. And that of I These 4. 2. You know what commandements we save you: where the Greek word is rase yrehias towayer, equivalent to mapeousayer, what wer delivered to you, as in the place next before alledged, which does not prove the Traditions of the Apostles, to be any more than Counsells; though as is said in the 8 verse, he that despiseth them, despiseth not man, but God: For our Saviour himself came not to Judge, that is, to be King in this world; but to Sacrifice himself for Sinners, and leave Doctors in his Church, to lead, not to drive men to Christ, who never acceptsth forced actions, (which is all the Law produceth.) but the inward conversion of the heart: which is not the work of Laws, but of Counsell, and Destrine.

and that of 2 These 3, 14. If any man Obsy not our used by the Epistel, not fast marm, and have no company with hum, that is may be advanted, where from the word Obsy, he would inferce, that thus Emparoum verse mixed Laws. It therefore the Episite of S. Paul were also a Law, they were to obsy two Masters. But its word obsy, as it is in the Greek scale, signified hearfang to; or puting in practice, not only that winni is Commanded by him that the Counsell for our good; and therefore SR. Paul does not bid full him that disobeys, nor beak, nor imprison, nor ancress him, which that disobeys, nor could be avoid his company, that he may be satisfied; whereby it is ardient; it was not the Empirics of a Apollo, satisfies the market of the Chapter of the Chapter of a Apollo satisfies the satisfies the could be Chapter of the Chapter of the satisfies the could be could be could be Chapter of the Chapter of the satisfies the could be could be Chapter of the Chapter of the satisfies the could be could be could be Chapter of the Samplino data spotto in are of ...

The last place is that of Heb. 13. 17. Obey your Leaders, and submit

your selves to them, for they watch for your souls, as they that must give account: And here also is intended by Obedience, a following of their Counsell: For the reason of our Obedience, is not drawn from the will and command of our Pastors, but from our own benefit, as being the Salvation of our Souls they watch for, and not for the Exaltation of their own Power, and Authority. If it were meant here, that all they teach were Laws, then not onely the Pone, but every Pastor in his Parish should have Lemslative Power. Again, they that are bound to obey, their Pastors, have no power to examine their commands. What then shall were say to St. John who hids us (1 Epist, chap. 4 ver. 1.) Not to believe every Spuit. but to try the Spirits whether they are of God, because many false Prophets are gone out into the world? It is therefore manifest, that wee may dispute the Doctrine of our Pastors; but no man can dispute a Law. The Commands of Civill Soveraidas are on all sides granted to be Laws: if any else can make a Law besides himselfe. all Common-wealth, and consequently all Peace, and Justice must cease; which is contrary to all Laws, both Divine and Humane. Nothing therefore can be drawn from these, or any other places of Scripture, to prove the Degrees of the Pope, where he has not also the Civill Soveraignty, to be Laws,

The last point hee would prove, is this, That our Saviour Christ has committed Reclesiastical Jurisdiction immediately to none but the Pone. Wherein he handleth not the Onestion of Supremacy between the Pope and Christian Kings, but between the Pope and other Bishops. And first, he sayes it is agreed, that the Jurisdiction of Bishops, is at least in the generall de Jure Divino, that is, in the Right of God; for which he alledges S. Paul, Ephes. 4, 11, where hee saves, that Christ after his Ascension into heaven, gave gifts to men, some Apostles, some Prophets, and some Evangelisis, and some Pastors, and some Teachers: And thence inferres, they have indeed their Jurisdiction in Gods Right: but will not grant they have it immediately from God, but derived through the Pope, But if a man may be said to have his Jurisdiction de Jure Divino, and vet not immediately; what lawfull Jurisdiction, though but Civili, is there in a Christian Common-wealth, that is not also de Juve Divino? For Christian Kings have their Civill Power from God immediately; and the Magistrates under him exercise their severall charges in vertue of his Commission: wherein that which they doe. is no lesse de Jure Divino mediato, than that which the Bishops doe, in vertue of the Popes Ordination. All lawfull Power is of God immediately in the Supreme Governour, and mediately in those that have Authority under him: So that either hee must grant every Constable in the State to hold has Office in the Right of God: or he must not hold that any Bishop holds his so, besides the Pope himselfe.

But this whole Dispute, whether Christ left the Jurisdiction to the Pope onely, or to other Bishops also, if considered out of those places where the Pope has the Civil Soveraignty, is a contention d- lans Gepring: For none of them (where they are not Sovensigns) has any Jurivisition stall. To Jurimitation is the Power of hearing and determining Canses between man and man; and non bolony to non, but thin taka that Dower to present be Brules of Right and Wong; that s, to make Laws; and with the Sword of Justice to compail may to obey his Decisions, promonoed either by humself, or by the Judges he extained; theremute; which none can lawfully do, but the GYII Sovenizo.

Therefore when he alledgeth out of the 6 of Lake, that our Saviour called its Davidgets together, and choose twerte of them which he named Apostics, he proveth that he Elected them (all, except Matthias, Paul and Barnalasa), and gave then Power and Command to Preach, but not to Judge of Causes botween man and manfree. My Kenyone which he erisated to take upon humelfs, saying, Who made me a Judge, or a Divider, amongs gas / and in another pace. My Kenyone is not of divis world. But he that hat he the Power to have, and determine Cause between man and mintor, the same and the second of the second second second pace of the world, supposing they were not by their own kernful parts of the world, supposing they were not by their own kernful paces, and paces, have no sundry places expressely commanded us m all thinges to be obclicit.

The arguments by which he would prove, that Bishops receive their Jurisdiction from the Pope (seeng the Pope in the Dominions of other Princes hath no Jurisdiction himsell, are all in vain. Yet because they prove, on the contrary, that all Bishops receiver Jurisdiction when they have it from their Cavil Soveraigns, I will not omit the recital of them.

The first, is from Number 11, where Mores not being able sione to medgego the Whiele burthen of originitisting the shafts' of the Popple of Izerad, God commanded him to choose Seventy Elicer, and took part of the spill of Mores, to put it upon those Seventy Elicer, for that had not eased him at all; but that they had all of them their subtority from him, y where it is don't had, and ingenously integret that place. But seeing Mores had the entire Soversignity up the Common-well of the Jerry ii is marifast, but it is increased, and integrotes that places provide the that they had all of event and integrotes that places provide, that Babago in every Christian and fine state that places provide, that Babago in every Christian and fine when Pape in his own Tecritories only, and not in the Territories of are other Shate.

The second argument, is from the nature of Monarchy; wherein all Authority is no no Man, and in othese by deviation from him: Bot the Government of the Church, he says, is Monarchisall. This also makes for Christian Monarchas. For they are really Monarchs of their own people; that is, of their own Church (for the Church is the same thang with a Ciristian people; whereas the Power of the Pope, though hee were S Peter, is neither Monarchy, nor hath any thing of *Archicals*, nor *Cratical*, but onely of *Didactical*; For God accepteth not a forced, but a willing obsciences.

The third, is, from that the  $\delta ka$  of 8. Peter is called by 5. Optimal, the *Hask*, the Source, the *Bock*, the *Sur*, from whence the Authority of Bislopui is derived. But by the Law of Nature (which is a botter Promptio of Hight and Wrong, than the word of any Doote that is but a man) the Grull Sovernign in every Common-wealth, is this *Hask*, the *Source*, the *Bock*, and the *Sur*, from which all Jurisdiction is derived. And therefore the Jurisdiction of Bislops, is derived from the Grull Sovernign.

The fourth, is taken from the Inconality of their Jurisdictions: For if God (saith he) had given it them immediately, he had given aswell Equality of Jurisdiction, as of Order: But we see, some are Bishops but of [one] Town, some of a hundred Towns, and some of many whole Provinces; which differences were not determined by the command of God: their Jurisdiction therefore is not of God. but of Man, and one has a greater, another a lesse, as it pleaseth the Prince of the Church. Which argument, if he had proved before, that the Pope had had an Universall Jurisdiction over all Christians, had been for his purpose. But sceing that hath not been proved, and that it is notoriously known, the large Jurisdiction of the Pope was given him by those that had it, that is, by the Emperours of Rome, (for the Patriarch of Constantinople, upon the same title, namely, of being Bishop of the Capitall City of the Empire, and Seat of the Emperour, claimed to be equall to him,) it followeth, that all other Bishops have their Jurisdiction from the Soveraigns of the place wherein they exercise the same: And as for that cause they have not their Authority de Jure Divino: so neither hath the Pope his de Jure Divino, except onely where hee is also the Civill Soveraign.

His fift argument is this. If Bishops have their Jurisdiction immediately from God, the Pope could not take it from them, for he can doe nothing contrary to Gods ordination : And this consequence is good, and well proved. But (saith he) the Pope can do this, and has done it. This also is granted, so he doe it in his own Dominions, or in the Dominions of any other Prince that hath given him that Power; but not universally, in Right of the Popedome: For that power belongeth to every Christian Soversign, within the bounds of his owne Empire, and is inseparable from the Soveraignty. Before the People of Israel had (by the commandment of God to Samuel) set over themselves a King, after the manuer of other Nations, the High Priest had the Civill Government; and none but he could make, nor denose an inferiour Pricet; But that Power was afterwards in the Kmg, as may be proved by this same argument of Bellarmine: For if the Priest (be he the High Priest or any other) had his Jurisdiction immediately from God, then the King could not take it from him; for he could doe nothing contrary to Gods ordinance: But it is certain, that King Solomon (1 Kings 2 26.) deprived Abiathar the High Priest of his Office, and placed Zadok (verse 35.) in his rooro. Kings therefore may in the like manner Ordaine, and Deprive Bishops, as they shall thinke fit, for the well governing of their Subjects.

"His mitth argument is this, if Bahons have their Juriadiston of Jure Dirio (that is, munedicitely from God) they that maintains is, should bring some Worl of God to prove it: But they can bring none. The argument is good: I have therefore nothing to any against is. But it is an argument no lease good, to prove the Pope immedit to have no Jurasification in the Dominion of any other Prince.

Lastly, hee bringsth for argument, the testimony of two Popes, Imnosent, and Levi and I doubt not but hee mights have alleigad, with as good reason, the testimonies of all the Popes almost since S. Peter: For consulting the lows of Power naturally implanted in mankind, whosever were made Pope, he would be tempted to upheld the same opinion. Nevertheeses, they should therein but doe, as Innocent, and Leo idd, hear witness of themselves, and therefore their vitness should hot be good.

In the fift Book he hath four Conclusions. The first is, That the Pone is not Lord of all the world: The second. That the Pone is not Lord of all the Christian world: The third, That the Pope (without his owne Territory) has not any Temporall Jurisdiction DIRECTLY. These three Conclusions are easily granted. The fourth is, That the Pope has (in the Dominions of other Princes) the Supreme Temporall Power INDIRECTLY: which is denved, unlesse hee mean by Indirectly, that he has gotton it by Indirect means: then is that also granted. But I understand, that when he saith he hath it Indirectly. he means, that such Temporal! Jurisdiction belongeth to him of Right, but that this Right is but a Consequence of his Pastorall authority, the which he could not exercise, unlesse he have the other with it: And therefore to the Pastorall Power (which he calls Spirituall) the Supreme Power Civili is necessarily annexed: and that thereby hes hath a Right to change Kingdomes, giving them to one, and taking them from another, when he shall think it conduces to the Salvation of Souls.

Before I come to consider the Arguments by which hese would prove this Doctine, it will not be anaase to lay open the Consequences of it; tiss Princes, and States, that have the Givil forwardingly in initiat wereful Common weathing, may be think thembore of their Subjects, of whom they sets to give an account at the day of Judgment, to admit the same.

When it is said, the Pope hath not (in the Territories of other State) the Supreme CVIII Power Directly: we are so understand, he doth not challenge it, as other Givill Sovereigns dong, from its original anhanismin thereto of those that are to be governand. For demonstrated, that the Right of all Soveragon, is derived originally from the contest of every one of those that are to be governand; whother they that choose him, doe it for their common defence against an Enemy, as when they agree amongst themselves to suppoint a Man, or an Assembly of men to protect them: or whether they doe it, to save their lives, by submission to a conquering Enemy. The Pope therefore, when he disclaimeth the Supreme Civili Power over other States Directly, denyoth no more, but that his Right comoth to him by that way: He ceaseth not for all that, to claume it another way. and that is, (without the consent of them that are to be governed) by a Right given him by God, (which hee callath Inducedly.) in his Assumption to the Papacy But by what way soover he protond, the Power is the same; and he may (if it bee granted to be his Right) decose Princes and States, as often as it is for the Salvation of Soules, that is, as often as he will; for he claimetb also the Sole Power to Judge, whether it he to the Salvation of mens Souls, or not. And this is the Doctrine, not oncly that Bellarmine here, and many other Doctors teach in their Sermons and Books, but also that some Councells have decreed, and the Poues have accordingly, when the occasion hath served them, put in practise. For the fourth Councell of Lateran held under Pope Innocent the third, (in the third Chap, De Hæreticis.) hath this Canon. If a King at the Popes admonition, due not purge his Kingdome of Havetiques, and being Excommunicate for the same, make not satisfaction within a veer, his Subjects are absolved of their Obediance. And the practice hereof hath been seen on divers occasions: as in the Deposing of Chilperioue, King of France; in the Translation of the Roman Empire to Charlemaine: in the Oppression of John King of England; In Transferring the Kingdome of Navarre; and of late years, in the League against Heary the third of France, and in many more occurrences. I think there be few Princes that consider not this as Injust, and Inconvenient; but I wish they would all resolve to be Kings, or Subjects. Men cannot serve two Masters: They ought therefore to case them, either by holding the Reins of Government wholly in their own hands: or by wholly delivering them into the hands of the Pope; that such men as are willing to be obedient, may be protected in their obedience. For this distinction of Tomporall, and Spirituall Power is but words. Power is as really divided, and as dangerously to all purposes, by sharing with another Indirect Power, as with a Direct one. But to come now to his Arguments.

The first is this, The Ortill Power is subject to the Spirituall: Therefore to black that the Superme Power Spirituall, but right to command Pemporal Princes, and Suppose of their Temporalis is order to the Spiritual. As for the distinction of Temporalis, and Spirituall, list un consider in what mane it may be said intelligibly, that the Temporal, or Ctell Power is which to the Spiritual. There boso huit two ways that those words can be made sense. For which we what have which the one pit words by the the the the choreor the time one Power is to the other, as the means to the end. For  $\psi(t)$ .

wee cannot undetstand, that one Power bath Power over another Power, or that one Power can have Right or Command over another: For Subjection, Command, Right, and Power are accidents, not of Powers, but of Persons: One Power may be subordinate to another, as the art of a Sadler, to the art of a Rider If then it bes granted, that the Civill Government be ordained as a means to bring us to a Sparitual felicity: yet it does not follow. that if a King have the Civill Power, and the Pope the Spinitual! that therefore the King is bound to obey the Pove, more then every Sadler is bound to obey every Rider. Therefore as from Subordination of an Art, cannot be inferred the Subjection of the Professor: so from the Subordination of a Government, cannot be inferred the Subjection of the Governor. When therefore he saith. the Civil Power is Sobject to the Spiritual, his meaning is, that the Civill Soveraien, is Subject to the Spirituall Soveraien. And the Argument stands thus, The Civil Soveraign, is subject to the Smrituall : Therefore the Sniritual Prince may command Temnorall Princes. Where the Conclusion is the same, with the Antenedent he should have proved. But to prove it, he alledgeth first, this reason. Kings and Pones, Clergy and Laity make but one Common-wealth : that is to say, but one Church : And in all Bodies the Members depend one woon another : But things Spirituall depend not on things Temporall ; Therefore Temporall depend on Spirituall. And therefore are Subject to them. In which Argumentation there be two grosse errours: one is, that all Christian Kings, Pones, Clergy, and all other Christian men, make but one Common-wealth; For it is evident that France is one Common-wealth. Spain another. and Vepice a third, &c. And these consist of Christians; and therefore also are severall Bodies of Christians: that is to say, severall Churches: And their severall Soveraigns Represent them. wherehy they are canable of commanding and obeying, of doing and suffering, as a naturall man ; which no Generall or Universall Church is, till it have a Representant: which it hath not on Earth: for if it had, there is no doubt but that all Christendome were one Commonwealth, whose Soveraign were that Representant, both in things Spiritual and Temporall: And the Pope to make himself this Representant, wanteth three things that our Saviour hath not given him, to Command, and to Judge, and to Punish, otherwise than (by Excommunication) to run from those that will not Learn of him; For though the Pope were Christs onely Vicar, yet he cannot exercise his government, till our Saviours second coming: And then also it is not the Pope, but St. Peter himselfe, with the other Apostles, that are to be Judges of the world.

The other error in this has first Argument is, that he sayes, the Manbers of every Common-wealth, as of a naturall Body, depend one of another: It is trus, they others together, but they depend onely on the Soversign, which is the Scall of the Common-wealth; which failing, the Common-wealth is distolved into a Crill war, no one man so much as othering to another, for want of a common

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

Dependance on a known Soveraign; Just as the Members of the naturall Body dissolve into Earth, for want of a Soul to hold them together. Therefore there is nothing in this similitude, from whence to inferre a dependance of the Lasty on the Clergy, or of the Temporall Officers on the Spirituall; but of both on the Civill Soveraign; which ought indeed to direct his Civill commands to the Salvation of Souls; but is not therefore subject to any but God himselfe. And thus you see the laboured tallacy of the first Argument, to deceive such men as distinguish not between the Subordination of Actions in the way to the End; and the Subjection of Persons one to another in the administration of the Means. For to every End, the Means are determined by Nature. or by God himselfe supernaturally; but the Power to make men use the Means, is in every nation reagned (by the Law of Nature, which forbiddeth men to violate their Faith given) to the Civill Soveraign.

Has abcord Argument in this, Bierg Common-world, because si is asposed to be preficient and sufficient in stelly may command any other Common-would, not subject to it, and forms it to change the administration of the Gorenment ; may depend the lithing, and a single the has room, j it cannot otherwise duffend it also against the spin-resh agost command a Threneval more than the administration of the other the has command a three and the administration of the other ment, and may dapone Prince, and ventuals others, when they cannot otherwas differed the Spinistral Gord.

That a Common-weakin, to defend it safe against injures, may lardily do all that bohahi hereadi, is very vice, and hash elseradi ardily do all that bohahi hereadi, is very vice, and hash elseradi Common-weakih, dashtat from a Gvill Common-weakih, them might the Prince theready upon injury done him, or upon wast of eachion that injury ba not doos him in time to come, topaire, and secure binsmelf by Warrey withis its ammon, deposing, tilling, or suddings, or doing any dat of Hostility. But by the same reason, it would be blowed, box miles warre upon the Sphrinull Streaming, million blower, is more than Gathanil Belarmine would have inferred from here warre topon the Sphrinul Streaming, which it belower is more than Gathanil Belarmine would have inferred from here warre topon the Sphrinul Streaming.

But Spiritianil Comcone-wealth there is none in this world: for its a the same thus with the Kingmone of Charty, which he binneed saith, is not of this world; hus shall be in the next world, as the Resurrection, where they that hyars lived justicy, and belowerd that he was the Christ, shall (though they died Natural bolder) riss of printed bolders; and her its is, that our Savior shall plage the world, and compute his data scatters, and makes Spiritean all bolders are gain-mailed; the scatters and here are bold and bolden are Spiritual it, share east on Spiritual Common wealth amongst men that are yet in the Essi; and prepare mes for their respirot that harve Commission to Tando, and prepare mes for their respirot into the Kingdome of Christ at the Resurrection, a Common-wealth; which I have proved already to bee none.

The third Argument v this: It is not lauful for Christians to locate an infield, or Horstical Ifing, at case he endeason to draw them to his Horseit, or Infidelity. But to judge whether a King draw is majoris to Harseit, or not, belongeth to the Pope. Therefore lath the Pope Right to determine whether the Prince be to be deposed, or not denoend.

To this 1 narver, that both these smeritons are fairs. For Christians, for mo of wake Raijchar sover, if they block not their King, whatseever law her maleth, through it bee concerning Religion, doe violate their faith, contrary to the Driven Earn, bolh Natural and Zonkase: Nor is three naw Judge of Horreso amongs Subjects, but that or one CUH Sovereign: the Regression table of the Public Public Percent, the Regression to the Commonant ophism, distinguish guarational, confirmery to the ophism which the Public Percent that is to any the Regression to the Commonan ophism publicandy appointed to be taught, earned be Russien or the Sovereign Phines that authorze them, Harvitynes, Tor Hereingus are none but private mon, that stablocraly deland some

But to prove that Christians are not to tolerate Infidell, or Hieraticall Kings, he alledgeth a place in Deut, 17, where God forbiddeth the Jews, when they shall set a King over themselves, to choose a stranger: And from thence inferroth, that it is unlawfull for a Christian, to choose a King, that is not a Christian. And 'tis true, that he that is a Christian, that is, hee that hath already obliged himself to receive our Saviour when he shall come, for his King, shal tempt God too much in choosing for King in this world. one that hee knoweth will endeavour, both by terrour, and perswasion to make him violate his faith But, it is (saith hee) the same danger, to choose one that is not a Christian, for King, and not to denose him, when hee is chosen. To this I say, the question is not of the danger of not deposing; but of the Justice of deposing him. To choose him, may in some cases bee unjust; but to depose him, when he is chosen, is in no case Just. For it is alwaics violation of faith, and consequently against the Law of Nature, which is the eternall Law of God. Nor doe wee read, that any such Doctrine was accounted Christian in the time of the Apostles: nor in the time of the Romane Emperours, till the Popes had the Civill Soversignty of Rome. But to this he hath replyed, that the Christiana of old. deposed not Nero, nor Dioclesian, nor Julian, nor Valens an Arrian, for this cause onely, that they wanted Temporall forces. Perhaps so. But did our Saviour, who for calling for, might have had twelve Legions of immortall, invulnerable Angels to assist him, want forces to depose Green, or at least Pulate, that unjustly, without finding fault in him, delivered him to the Jews to hee crucified ? Or if the Apostles wanted Temporall forces to denose Nero, was it therefore necessary for them in their Epistles to the new made Christians,

## CHAR. 42] A Christian Common-Wealth 317

to teach them (as they did) to obey the Powers constituted over them, (whereof Nero in that time was one.) and that they ought to obey them, not for fear of their wrath, but for conscience sake? Shall we say they did not onely obey, but also teach what they meant not, for want of strength? It is not therefore for want of strength, but for conscience sake, that Christians are to tolerate their Heathen Princes, or Princes (for I cannot call any one whose Doctrine is the Publique Doctrine, an Hierotaque) that authorize the teaching of an Errour. And whereas for the Temporali Power of the Pope, he alledgeth further, that St. Paul (I Cor. 6.) appointed Judges under the Heathen Princes of those times, such as were not ordained by those Princes; it is not true. For St. Paul does but advise them, to take some of their Brethren to compound their differences, as Arbitrators, rather than to goe to law one with another before the Heathen Judges: which is a wholsome Precept, and full of Guarity. fit to be practised also in the best Christian Common-wealths. And for the danger that may arise to Religion, by the Subjects tolerating of an Heathen, or an Erring Prince, it is a point, of which a Subject is no competent Judge; or if hes bee, the Popes Temporall Subjects may judge also of the Popes Dootrine. For every Christian Prince, as I have formerly proved, is no lesse Supreme Pastor of his own Subjects, than the Pope of his.

The fourth Argument, is taken from the Baptismo of Kingg, wherean, that they may be made Canstinas they automit their Scepters to Christ; and premuse to keep, and defend the Christian Palls. This is tree; for Christian Kings are so more hat Christs they are Supreme Pasion of their over Schlester, and the Pope is no more but King, and Paster, over an Rome is cells.

The fifth Argument, is drawn from the words spoken by our Saviour, Feed my sheep; by which was given all Power neoossary for a Pastor; as the Power to chase away Wolves, such as are Heretiques: the Power to shut up Rammes, if they be mad, or push at the other Sheep with their Hornes, such as are Evill (though Christian) Kings: and Power to give the Flock convenient food; From whence hoo inferreth, that St. Poter had these three Powers given him by Christ. To which I answer, that the last of these Powers, is no more then the Power, or rather Command to Teach. For the first, which is to chase away Wolves, that is, Harstiques, the place hen quoteth is (Matth. 7. 15.) Beware of false Prophets which come to you in Sheene clothing, but inwardly are ratening Wolves But neither are Heratiques false Prophets, or at all Prophets: nor (admitting Hæretiques for the Wolves there meants) were the Anostles commanded to kill them, or if they were Kings, to depose them; but to beware of, fly, and avoid them: nor was it to St. Peter, nor to any of the Anestles, but to the multitude of the Jews that followed him into the mountain, men for the most part not yet converted, that hee gave this Counsell, to Beware of false Prophets: which therefore if it conferre a Power of chasing away Kinca, was

given, not onely to purvate man; but to men that were not at all Christians. And as to he Power of Separating, and Shutting up of furious Runnmes, (by which her meansful Christian Kings that relues to submit thereasives to the Roman Parton,) our Saviour refused to take upon hum that Power in this world himself, but advised to the down and Tures grow up together till the day of Judgment; much lasse did hee give it to St. Peter, or can S Peter prev it to the Pores. St. Peters, and all other Partons, are blidden to extern these Corrisions that dispery the Charch, that 5, (that disper to them mon challenge to the Parton are world House Princes, them mon challenge to the Parton are world House Princes, they ought to challenge none over those that are to bee asterned as Headlen

But from the Power to Teach onely, here inferreth also a Coercive Power in the Pope, over King. The Postor (skith he) must give his fock convenient food: Therafore the Pope may, and ought to compil Kings to doe tieft duty. Out of which it followeth, that the Pope, as Pastor of Christian men, is King of Kings: which all Ciristian Kings ought indeed either to Confess, or else they onghit to take upon themselves the Supreme Pastorel! Charge, every one in his own Dominon.

His sixth, and last Argument, is from Examples To which I answer, first, that Examples prove nothing: Secondly, that the Examples he alledgeth make not so much as a probability of Right. The fact of Jebolada, in Killing Athahah (2 Kings 11 ) was either by the Authority of King Joash, or it was a horrible Crime in the High Priest, which (over after the election of King Saul) was a more Subject The fact of St. Ambrosa, in Excommunicating Theodosius the Emperour, (if it were true hee did so,) was a Capitall Orime, And for the Popes, Gregory 1. Greg. 2 Zachary, and Leo 3. their Judgments are void, as given in their own Cause; and the Aota done by them conformably to this Doctrine, are the greatest Crimes (especially that of Zachary) that are incident to Humane Nature. And thus much of Power Ecclesigaticall: wherein I had been more brisic, forbearing to examine these Arguments of Bellarmine, if they had been his, as a Private man, and not as the Champion of the Panacy, against all other Christian Princes, and States.

## CHAP. XLUI

## Of what is NECESSARY for a Mans Reception into the Kingdome of Heaven

THE most frequent pratext of Sedition, and Civill Warre, in Christian Common wealths hath a long time proceeded from a difficulty, not yet sufficiently resolved, of obeving at once, both God, and Man, then when their Commandements, are one contrary to the other. It is manifest enough, that when a man receiveth two contrary Commands, and knows that one of them is Gods, he curft to obey that, and not the other, though it he the command even of his lawfull Soversign (whether a Monarch, or a soversign Assembly.) or the command of his Father. The difficulty therefore consisteth in this, that men when they are commanded in the name of God, know not in divers Cases, whether the coromand he from God, or whether he that commandeth, doe but abuse Gods name for some private ends of his own. For as there were in the Church of the Jews, many false Prophets, that sought reputation with the people, by feigned Dreams, and Visions; so there have been in all tumes in the Church of Christ, false Teachers, that seek reputation with the people, by phantasticall and false Doctrines. and by such reputation (as is the pature of Ambition.) to govern them for their private benefit.

But this difficulty of obeying both God, and the Civill Soverange on earth, to those that can distinguish botween what is Necessary, and what is not Necessary for their Reception into the Kingdome of God, is of no moment. For if the command of the Civili Soveraism bee such, as that it may be obeyed, without the forfeiture of life Eternall: not to obey it is unjust; and the precept of the Apostle takes place: Servants obey your Masters in all things; and, Children obey your Parents in all things: and the precept of our Saviour. The Soribes and Pharisees sit in Moses Chaire, All therefore they shall say, that observe, and doe. But if the command be such, as cannot be obeyed, without being damned to Eternall Death, then it were madnesse to obey it, and the Counsell of our Saviour takes place, (Mat. 10, 28.) Fear not those that kill the body, but cannot kill the soule All men therefore that would avoid, both the punishments that are to be in this world inflicted, for disobedience to their earthly Soveraign, and those that shall be inflicted in the world to come for disobedience to God, have need be taught to distinguish well between what is, and what is not Necessary to Eternall Salvation.

All that is NECESSARY to Salvalian, is contained in two Vertues, Faith in Christ, and Obedience to Laws The latter of these, if it were perfect, were enough to us. But because were are all guilty of disobedience to Gods Law, not onely originally in Adam, but also schalp by our own innergensions, there is required at our hands now, not onely Oddience (or the rest of our lands), but also a *Remission* of sins for the time past; which Remission is the reward of our Faith in Christ. That nothing elso a Necessarily required to Salvaton, is manifest from thus, that the Kingdome of Heaven is that to nome but to Simnory; that is to say, to the disobedient, or transgreasors of the Jaw; nor to then, in case they Repent, and Beleve all the Artucles of Circuitan Taith, Novessary to Salvation.

The Obedience required at our hands by God, that accenteth in all our actions the Will for the Deed, is a serious Endcavour to Obey him ; and is called also by all such names as signifie that Endeavour. And therefore Obedience, is sometimes called by the names of Charity, and Love, because they imply a Will to Obey; and our Saviour himself maketh our Love to God, and to one another, a Fulfilling of the whole Law; and sometimes by the name of Righteousnesse; for Righteousnesse is but the will to give to every one his owne, that is to say, the will to obey the Laws: and sometimes by the name of Repentance; because to Repent, implyeth a turning away from sinne, which is the same, with the return of the will to Obedience Whoscever therefore unfeignedly desireth to fulfill the Commandements of God, or repenteth him truely of his transgressions, or that loveth God with all his heart, and his neighbor as himself, hath all the Obedience Necessary to his Reception into the Kingdom of God: For if God should require perfect Innocence. there could no flesh be saved.

But what Commandements are those that God hath given us? Are all those Laws which were given to the Jews by the hand of Moses, the Commandements of God? If they hee, why are not Christians taught to Obey them? If they be not, what others are so, besides the Law of Nature? For our Saviour Christ hath not given us new Laws, but Counsell to observe those wee are subject to: that is to say, the Laws of Nature, and the Laws of our severall Soveraions: Nor did he make any new Law to the Jews in his Sermon on the Mount, but onely expounded the Laws of Mosce, to which they were subject before. The Laws of God therefore are none but the Laws of Nature, whereof the principall is, that we should not violate our Faith, that is, a commandement to obey our Civill Soveraions, which wee constituted over us, by mutuall pact one with another. And this Law of God, that commandeth Obedience to the Law Civill, commandeth by consequence Obedience to all the Precepts of the Bible; which (as I have proved in the precedent Chapter) is there onely Law, where the Civill Soversign bath made it so; and in other places but Counsell; which a man at his own perill, may without injustice refuse to obey.

<sup>6</sup> Knowing now what is the Obedicate Necessary to Salvakian, and to whom it is due; we are to consider next concerning Fauth, whom, and why we beleave; and what are the Articles, or Points necessarily to be beleaved by them that shall be saved. And first, for the Person whom we beleave, because it is impossible to beleave any

Person, before we know what he saith, it is necessary he be one that we have heard speak. The Person therefore, whom Abraham, Isnac. Jacob. Moses, and the Prophete beleaved, was God humself. that spake unto them supernaturally: And the Person, whom the Apostles and Disciples that conversed with Christ beleaved, was our Saviour himself. But of them, to whom neither God the Father, nor our Saviour ever snake, it cannot be said, that the Person whom they beleeved, was God. They beleeved the Anostles, and after them the Pastors and Doctors of the Church, that recommended to their faith the History of the Old and New Testament: so that the Faith of Christians ever since our Saviours time, hath had for foundation, first, the reputation of their Pastors, and alterward, the anthority of those that made the Oid and New Testament to be received for the Rule of Faith; which none could do but Christian Soversignes; who are therefore the Supreme Pastors, and the onely Persons, whom Christians now hear speak from God; except such as God speaketh to, in these days supernaturally. But because there be many false Prophets gone out into the world, other men are to examine such Spirits (as St John adviseth us, 1 Epistle, Chap. 4. ver. 1.) whether they he of God or not. And therefore, seeing the Examination of Doctrines belongsth to the Supreme Pastor, the Person which all they that have no speciall revelation are to believe. is (in every Common-wealth) the Supreme Pastor, that is to say, the Civil Soveraigne.

The causes why men beleeve any Christian Doetrine, are various; For Faith is the gift of God; and he worketh it in each several man, by such wayes, as it seemeth good unto himself. The most ordinary immediate cause of our beleef, concerning any point of Christian Faith, is, that were beleave the Bible to be the Word of God. But why wee beleave the Bible to be the Word of God, is much disputed, as all questions must needs bee, that are not well stated. For they make not the question to be. Why we Beleeve it, but. How wee Know it: as if Belassing and Knowing were all one. And thence while one side ground their Knowledge upon the Infallibility of the Church. and the other side, on the Testimony of the Private Spirit, neither side concludeth what it pretends. For how shall a man know the Infallibility of the Church, but by knowing first the Infallibility of the Scripture? Or how shall a man know his own Private spirit to be other than a belcef, grounded upon the Authority, and Arguments of his Teachers: or upon a Presumption of his own Gifts? Besides, there is nothing in the Scripture, from which can be inferred the Infallibility of the Church: much lesse, of any particular Church; and least of all, the Infallibility of any particular man.

It is manifest therefore, that Christian men doe not know, but onely beleeve the Scripture to be the Word of God; and that the means of making them beloeve which God is pleased to afford men ordinarily, is according to the way of Nature, that is to say, from their Teachers. It is the Doctrine of St. Paul concerning Christian Faith in generall, (Rom. 10, 17.) Fasth cometh by Hearing, that is, \*M 691

by Hearing our lawfull Pastors. He satis also (ver. 14, 15, of the same Chapter How shall they belows in hin of whom they have muheard 7 and how shall they here subhard a frencher 7 and how shall they Prook, coursel fary for east? Whereby its reviolent, that the ordinary cause of belowing tint the Scriptures are the Word of God, is the same with the cause of the belowing of al other Articles of our Faith, namely, the Feering of these that are by the Law allowed and appointed to Teach us, as our Prenets in their Hennes, and our Pastors in the Chirachen. Which also is made more mainlest in Christian Chamonownikis all mone since belower, or at least professe the Scripture to be the Word of God, and in other Gommonvalues are say to that hai. Christian Common-weakils stay are targibt if from their infanoy; and in other places they are taught otherwise!

But if Teaching is the eases of Fails, why doe not all belever t it is certain therefore that Fails is the grid of GoA and he given it is own how will. Neverthelesse, because to them to whom he gravels it, be given its by the most of Teachers, the manchine, and some profit, others profit and, the oases of learning in home that profit, is he Matter y get it cannot be thece inferred, that learning is not the grit of GoA. All good thingy proceed from GoA; yet cannot all math have intern, get by our of learning in them that profit, is he Matter y get it cannot be thece inferred, that learning is not the grit of GoA. All good thingy proceed from GoA; yet cannot all math have intern, get byte our learning the subject to thus by the Courte.

Bat whether men Know, or Belees, or Grant the Scriptures to be the Word of God; if out of such places of them, as are without obscurity. I shall show what Articles of Faith are necessary, and onely necessary for Salvation, these nen must needs *Know*, Beleere, or Grant the same.

The (Urawa Necessarusa) One's Article of Farth, which the Sorphure makes imply Neessary to Salvation, it iss, hit Jarsa is run Okarsa. By the same of Christ, is understood the King, which Goh and before promosel by the Prophese of the Old Testament, to send into the word, to reign (over the Jews, and over such of other nations as should belver in him) under himself eternally and to give them that elsernall life, which was lost by the sin of Adam. Which when I have proved out of Sorptures, I will further elsew when, and m whas sense some other Artueles may bee also called Necessary.

For Proof this the Beleef of this Article, Jesue is the Chrast, is all the Faith required to Salvasian, my first Argument shall bee from the Soppe of the Evengeistar; which was by the description of the Bie of our Sovieur, to establish that one Article, Jesue is the Christ, Bie of the Sovieur, to establish that one Article, Jesue is the Christ atomk of Daved, Born of a Vargan, which are the Maxim of the true Christ; That the Mari came to workshap him as King of the Jewa; That Herod for the same cause sought to kill him: That John Baptist proclaimed him: That he preached by himselfs, and his Apostles that he was that King: That he taught the Law, not as a Scribe, but as a man of Authority: That he cured diseases by his Word onely, and did many other Miracles, which were forefold the Christ should doe: That he was saluted King when hee entred into Jarusalem: That he fore-warned them to heware of all others that should pretend to be Christ: That he was taken, accused, and put to death. for saving, hee was King: That the cause of his condemnation written on the Crosse, was JESUS OF NAZARETH, THE KING OF THE JEWES. All which tend to no other end than this, that men should beleave, that Jesus is the Christ. Such therefore was the Scope of St. Matthews Gospel. But the Scope of all the Evangelists (as may appear by reading thom) was the same. Therefore the Scope of the whole Gospell, was the establishing of that onely Article And St John expressely makes it his conclusion, John 20. 31. These things are writien, that you may know that Jesus in the Christ, the Son of the living God.

My second Argument is taken from the Subject of the Sermons of the Apostles, both whilest our Saviour lived on earth, and after his Ascension. The Apostles in our Saviours time were sent. Luke 9. 2, to Preach the Kingdome of God: For neither there, nor Mat. 10. 7 giveth he any Commission to them, other than this, As ye go, Preach, saying, the Kingdome of Heaven is at hand; that is, that Josus is the Messiah, the Christ, the King which was to come. That their Preaching also after his ascension was the same, is manifest out of Acts 17. 6. They drew (saith St. Luke) Jason and certain Brethren unto the Rulers of the City, crying, These that have turned the world upside down are come hither also, whom Jason hath received. And these all do contrary to the Decrets of Casar, saving. that there is another King, one Jesus: And out of the 2. & 3. verses of the same Chapter, where it is said, that St. Paul as his manner was, went in unto them ; and three Sabbath days reasoned with them out of the Scriptures ; opening and alledging, that Christ must needs have suffered, and risen agains from the dead, and that this Jesus (whom hee preached) is Christ.

The third Argument is, from those places of Sofytrur, by which all the Faith regrited to Salvation is declared to be State. For it an inward sesant of the mind to all the Doctmes concerning Christian Faith now tangki, (where the gravitasy part are singuled.) were necessary to Salvation, there would be noting in the "order to bard, as to be a Christian. The Filled poor the Crease theory reporting, could not have been saved for saving. Low researcher at which the commond into the Wordson is which has testified no bloeded of any staid let is blend. 11, 201 that Christe pole is family and having and the it is blend. 11, 201 that Christe pole is family and having bard of the Christe pole is family and the Marking of Nor could Sk. Paul have said (1 Cor. 1, 201). If pleased God by the Polishness of creating, is part them that before: Nor could Sk. Paul himself have been saved, much lesse have been so great a Doctor of the Church so suddenly, that nover perhaps thought of Transubstautiation, nor Purgatory, nor many other Articles now obtructed.

The fourth Argument is taken from places expresse, and such as receive no controversie of Interpretation; as first, John 5. 29. Search the Scriptures, for in them we thinks we have eternall life : and they are they that lestifie of mee. Our Saviour here speaketh of the Scriptures onely of the Old Testament: for the Jews at that time could not search the Scriptures of the New Testament, which were not written. But the Old Testament hath nothing of Christ, but the Markes by which men might know him when hee came; as that he should descend from David; be born at Bethlem, and of a Virgin; doe great Minacles, and the like. Therefore to beloeve that this Jesus was He, was sufficient to sternall life: but more than sufficient is not Necessary, and consecucitly no other Article is required. Again, (John 11 28.) Whoseever liveth and beleeveth in mee, shall not die elernally, Therefore to beleave in Christ, is faith sufficient to eternall life; and consequently no more faith than that is Necessary, But to beleeve in Jesus, and to beleeve that Josus is the Christ, is all one, as appeareth in the verses immediately following For when our Saviour (verse 26.) had said to Marthy, Beleevest thou this? she apswereth (verse 27.) Yes Lord, I belove that thou art the Obrist, the Son of God, which should come into the world: Therefore this Article alone is faith sufficient to life eternall: and more than sufficient is not Necessary. Thirdly, John 20 31. These things are written that yee might beloeve, That Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God, and that beleeving use might have life through his name. There, to beleeve that Jesus is the Christ, is faith sufficient to the obtaming of life; and therefore no other Article is Necessary. Fourthly, 1 John 4. 2 Every Spirit that confessely that Jerus Chuist is come in the flesh, is of God. And 1 Joh. 5, 1. Whoseever beleeveth that Jesus is the Christ, is born of God. And verse 5. Who is hee that overcommeth the world, but he that beleeveth that Jesus is the Son of God ? Fiftly, Act. 8, ver. 36, 37. See (south the Ennuch) here is water, what doth hinder me to be baptized? And Philip wid, If thou believest with all the heart thou mayst. And he answered and said, I beleave that Jesus Christ is the Son of God. Therefore this Article beleeved, Jesus is the Christ, 18 sufficient to Baptisme, that is to say, to our Reception into the Kingdome of God, and by consequence, onely Necessary. And generally in all places where our Sayiour saith to any man, Thy fash hath saved thee, the cause he saith it, is some Confession, which directly, or by consequence, implyeth a beleef, that Jesus is the Christ.

The last Argument is from the places, where this Article is made the Foundation of Baith: For he that holdeth the Foundation shall bee saved. Which places are first, Mat. 24. 23. If any man shall bee saved. Which places are first, Mat. 24. 23. If any man shall say mbo you, Loe, here is Christ, or there, beleave it not, for three shall arise false Christ, and false Prophels, and shall sheav preci signes and arise false Christ, and false Prophels, and shall sheav preci signes and

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

## 324

conders. do. Here was see, this Article Jesus is the Christ. must bee held, though hee that shall teach the contrary should doe great miraoles. The second place is, Gal. 1. 8. Though we, or an Angell from Heaven preach any other Cospell unto you, than that wes have preached unto you, let him bes accursed. But the Gospell which Paul, and the other Apostles, preached, was onely this Article, that Josus is the Christ: Therefore for the Beleef of this Article, we are to reject the Authority of an Angell from heaven; much more of any mortall man, if he teach the contrary. This is therefore the Fundamentall Article of Christian Faith. A third place is, 1 Joh. 4. 1. Beloved, beleeve not every spirit. Hereby yes shall know the Spirit of God ; every spirit that confesseth that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh, is of God. By which it is evident, that this Article, is the measure, and rule, by which to estimate, and examine all other Articles; and is therefore onely Fundamentall. A fourth is, Matt. 16 18, where after St. Peter had professed this Article, saving to our Saviour, Thou art Christ the Son of the living God, Our Saviour answered. Thou art Peter, and upon this Rock I will build my Church: from whence I inforre, that this Article is that, on which all other Doctrines of the Church arc built, as on their Foundation. A fift 18 (1 Cor. 3, ver. 11, 12, &c ) Other Foundation can no man lay, than that which is laid, Jesus is the Christ. Now if any man build upon this Foundation, Gold, Silver, pretious Stones, Wood. Hay, Stubble ; Every mans work shall be made manifest : For the Day shall declare it, because it shall be revealed by fire, and the fire shall irv every mans work, of what sort it is. If any mans work abide, which he hath built thereupon, he shall receive a reward : If any mans work shall be burnt, he shall suffer losse; but he himself shall be saved, yet so as by fire. Which words, being partly plain and easis to understand, and partly allegoricall and difficult; out of that which is plain, may be inferred, that Pastors that teach this Foundation, that Jesus is the Christ, though they draw from it false consequences, (which all mon are sometimes subject to,) they may neverthelesse bee saved; much more that they may bee saved, who being no Pastors, but Hearers. beleeve that which is by their lawfull Pastors taught them. Therefore the beleef of this Article is sufficient; and by consequence, there is no other Article of Faith Necessarily required to Salvation.

Now for the pars which is Allegorical, as That the first shaft ray every mans every family the part of the start of the start of the start list consistence which I have drawn from the oblic works, this are disconsistence which I have drawn from the oblic works, this are oblic works, the start of the start argument taken, to prove the first of Furgatory, I will also here offer argument taken, to prove the first of Furgatory, I will also here offer of the start of the Prophet Cashary (Ch. 13, 8, 6, who specify of the list works of the Prophet Cashary (Ch. 13, 8, 6, who specify therein shall be cat off, and dis, but he that shall be the offer of while the start of the start of the start of the start of the start decomption. Silver is refined, and will try them as Gold is tryed : they shall call on the name of the Lord, and I will hear them. The day of Judgment. is the day of the Restauration of the Kingdome of God; and at that day it is, that St. Peter tells us1 shall be the Conflueration of the world, wherein the wicked shall perish; but the remnant which God will save, shall passe through that Fire, unhurt, and be therein (as Silver and Gold are refined by the fire from their drosse) tryed, and refined from their Idolatry, and be made to call upon the name of the true God. Alluding whereto St. Paul here saith. That the Day (that is, the Day of Judgment, the Great Day of our Saviours comming to restore the Kingdome of God in Israel) shall try every mans doetrine, by Judging, which are Gold, Silver, Pretious Stones, Wood, Hay, Stubble: And then they that have built false Consequences on the true Foundation, shall see their Doctrines condemned: neverthelesse they themselves shall be saved, and passe unhurt through this universall Fire, and live cternally, to call upon the name of the true and onely God. In which score there is nothing that accordeth not with the rest of Holy Scripture. or any glimpse of the fire of Perestory.

But a man may here aske, whether it bes not as necessary to Salvation, to beleeve, that God is Omnipotent; Creator of the world, that Jesus Christ is visen; and that all men else shall rise again from the dead at the last day; as to beloeve, that Jesus is the Christ. To which I answer, they are: and so are many more Articles: but they are such, as are contained in this one, and may be deduced from it, with more, or lesse difficulty. For who is there that does not see, that they who beleeve Jesus to be the Son of the God of Israel, and that the Israelites had for God the Omnipotent Creator of all things, doe therein also beleeve, that God is the Omnirotent Creator of all things? Or how can a man beleque, that Jesus is the King that shall reign sternally, unlesse hes beleave him also risen again from the dead? For a dead man cannot exercise the Office of a King In summe, he that holdeth this Foundation. Jesus is the Christ, holdeth Expressely all that hee seeth rightly deduced from it, and Implicitely all that is consequent thereunto, though he have not skill enough to discern the consequence. And therefore it holdeth still good, that the belsef of this one Article is sufficient faith to obtaine remission of sinnes to the Perstent, and consequently to bring them into the Kingdome of Heaven.

Now that I have shewn, that all the Obedience required to Salvation, consisteth in the will to obey the Law of God, that is to say, in Repentance; and all the Faith required to the same, is comprehended in the beleef of this Article Jesus is the Christ; I will further alledge those places of the Gospell, that prove, that all that is Necessary to Salvation is contained in both these joined together. The men to whom St. Peter preached on the day of Pentecost, next after the Asconsion of our Saviour, asked him, and the rest of the Apostles, saying (Act. 2. 37.) Men and Brethren what shall we doe ? 1 2 Pet 9 V 7, 10, 12.

To whom St. Peter answered (in the next verse) Repeat, and be Baplized every one of you, for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Chost. Therefore Repentance, and Baptisme, that is, beleeving that Jesus is the Christ, is all that is Necessary to Sulvation. Again, our Saviour being saked by a certain Ruler, (Luke 18 18.) What shall I doe to inherite eternall life? Answered (verse 20.) Thou knowest the Commandements, Doe not commit Adultery, Doe not Kill, Doe not Steal, Doe not bear false witnesse, Honor thy Father, and thy Mother: which when he said he had observed, our Saviour added, Sell all thou hast, give it to the Poor, and come and follow me: which was as much as to say. Relye on me that am the King; Therefore to fulfill the Law, and to beleeve that Jesus is the King, is all that is required to bring a man to eternal life, Thirdly, St. Faul saith (Rom. 1, 17.) The Just shall live by Faith; not every one, but the Just; therefore Faith and Justice (that is, the will to be Just, or Repentance) are all that is Necessary to life eternall And (Mark 1 15.) our Saviour presched, saying, The time is fulfilled, and the Kingdom of God is at hand, Repent and Beleeve the Evangule, that is, the Good news that the Christ was come. Therefore to Report, and to Beleeve that Jesus is the Christ, is all that as required to Salvation.

Seeing then it is Necessary that Faith, and Obedience (implyed in the word Repontance) do both concurre to our Salvation; the question by which of the two we are Justified, is impertinently disputed. Neverthelesse, it will not be importingnt, to make manfest in what manner each of them contributes thereunto; and in what sense it is said, that we are to be Justified by the one, and by the other. And first, if by Righteousnesse be understood the Justice of the Works themselves, there is no man that can be saved; for there is none that bath not transgressed the Law of God. And therefore when wee are said to be Justified by Works, it is to be understood of the Will, which God doth alwaies accept for the Work it selfe, as well in good, as in evill men. And in this sense onely it 15, that a man is called Just, or Unjust; and that his Justice Justifies him, that is, gives him the title, in Gods acceptation, of Just; and renders him canable of living by his Faith, which before he was not. So that Justice Justifies in that sense, in which to Justifie, is the same that to Denominate a man Just; and not in the sugnification of discharging the Law; whereby the punishment of his sins should be unjust,

But a man is then also said to be Justified, when his Ples, hough in it selfs unsaidlensi, is accorded; as when we Plesd our Will, our Endewrout to fulfill the Law, and Repear us of our failings, and God acceptable is for the Performance tiselfer. And because God acceptate not the Will for the Deed, but onely in the Faithfull, it is therefore and the second second second second second second second second and the second secon

Having thus shown what is Necessary to Salvation; it is not hard to reconcile our Obedience to God, with our Obedience to the Cavill Soveraigp; who is either Christian, or Infidel. If he bee a Christian, he alloweth the beleefs of this Articlo, that Jesus is the Christ; and of all the Articles that are contained in, or are by evident consequence deduced from it: which is all the Faith Necesserv to Salvation. And because he is a Soveraign, he requiretly Obedience to all his owne, that is, to all the Civill Laws; m which also are contained all the Laws of Nature, that is, all the Laws of God: for besides the Laws of Nature, and the Laws of the Church, which are part of the Civill Law, (for the Church that can make Laws is the Common-wealth.) there bee no other Laws Divine Whosoever therefore obeyeth his Christian Soveraign, is not thereby hindred, neither from beloeving, nor from obeying God. But suppose that a Christian King should from this Foundation Jesus is the Christ, draw some false consequences, that is to say, make some superstructions of Hay, or Stubble, and command the teaching of the same; yet seeing St. Paul says, he shal be saved: much more shall he be saved, that icacheth them by his command; and much more yet, he that teaches not, but onely beleeves his lawfull Teacher. And in case a Subject he forbidden by the Civill Soveraign to professe some of those his opinions, upon what just ground can he disobey ? Christian Kings may erre in deducing a Consequence, but who shall Judge? Shall a private man Judge, when the question is of his own obschence? or shall any man Judg but he that is appointed thereto by the Church, that is, by the Gylll Soveraign that representeth it? or if the Pope, or an Apostle Judge, may he not erts in deducing of a consequence? did not one of the two. St Peter, or St. Paul erre in a superstructure, when St. Paul withstood St. Poter to his face? There can therefore be no contradiction between the Laws of God, and the Laws of a Christian Commonwealth.

And when the Civill Soversign is an Infidel, every one of his own Subjects that resisteth him, sinneth against the Laws of God (for such as are the Laws of Nature.) and receateth the counsell of the Apostles, that admonisheth all Christians to obey their Princes, and all Children and Servants to obey their Parents, and Masters, in all things. And for their Faith, it is internall, and invisible; They have the licence that Nannan had, and need not put themselves into danger for it. But if they do, they sucht to expect their reward in Heaven, and not complain of their Lawfull Soveraign; much lesse make warre upon him. For he that is not glad of any just occasion of Martyrdome, has not the faith he professeth, but pretends it onely, to set some colour upon his own contumacy. But what Infidel King is so unreasonable, as knowing he has a Subject, that waiteth for the second comming of Christ, after the present world. shall bee burnt, and intendeth then to obey him (which is the intent of beloeving that Jesus is the Christ,) and in the mose time thinketh himself bound to obey the Laws of that Infidel King, (which all

Christians are obliged in conscience to doe,) to put to death, or to persecute such a Subject ?

And thus much shall soffice, concerning the Kingdome of God. and Policy Ecclesiasticall. Wherein I pretend not to advance any Position of my own, but onely to shew what are the Consequences that seem to me deducible from the Principles of Christian Politiques. (which are the holy Scriptures.) in confirmation of the Power of Civill Sovereigns, and the Duty of their Subjects. And in the allegation of Scripture. I have endeavoured to avoid such texts as are of obscure, or controverted Interpretation: and to alledge none. but in such sense as is most plan, and agreeable to the harmony and soons of the whole Bible, which was written for the re-establishment. of the Kingdome of God in Christ. For it is not the bare Words. but the Scope of the writer that giveth the true light, by which any writing is to bee interpreted; and they that insist upon single Texts, without considering the main Designe, can derive no thing from them clearly; but rather by casting atomes of Scripture, as dust before mens eyes, make every thing more obscure than it is; an ordinary artifice of those that seek not the trath, but their own advantage.

# PART IV

## OF THE KINGDOME OF DARKNESSE

### CHAP. XLIV

#### Of Spirituall Darknesse from MISINTERPRETATION of Scripture

BESIDES these Soveraign Powers, Divine, and Humane, of which I have hitherto discoursed, there is montion in Scripture of another Power, namely, 1 that of the Rulers of the Durknesse of this world, 2 the Kingdome of Salan, and 3 the Principality of Beelzebub over Dormons, that is to say, over Phantasmes that appear in the As: For which cause Satan is also called 4 the Prince of the Power of the Arr: and (because he rulet); in the darknesse of this world) 5 The Prince of this world: And in consequence hereunto, they who are under his Dominion, in opposition to the faithfull (who are the Children of the Light) are called the Children of Darknesse. For seeing Beelzebub is Prince of Phantasmes, Inhabitants of his Dominton of Air and Darknesse, the Children of Darknesse, and these Demons, Phantasmos, or Spirits of Illusion, signific allegorically the same thing. This considered, the Kingdome of Darknesse, us it is set forth in these, and other places of the Serioture. is nothing sive but a Confederacy of Deceivers, that to obtain dominion over men in this present world, endeavour by dark, and erroneous Doctrines to extenguish in them the Light, both by Nature, and of the Gospell; and so to dis-prepare them for the Kingdome of God to come.

As mon that are utterly denrived from their Nativiry, of the high of the bodily Eqs. have no idde as tail, of any such high; and no man conceives in his imagination any greater light, than he hash de some tune, or other, pereivel by has cutwent Senser; so also is is of the light of the Gospel, and of the light of the Understanding, that no man our conteive there is any greater angeres of at, than that which he hash already statistical units. And from hences it comes to Datamons, but nowly by reseming from the such forces mechanism, that befail them in their ways. The Datasit part of the Kingdom of Saten, is that which is without the Church of God; that is to say, that therefore the Church enjoyeth (as the han of Goshen) ali

1 Eph. 6, 12.	<sup>8</sup> Mat. 12, 26,	Mat. 9. 34
<ul> <li>Eph. 6, 12.</li> <li>Eph. 2, 2.</li> </ul>	John 16, 11,	
	111	

### Leviathan

the high, which to the performance of the work mijoland as by God, is necessary. Wheneo comes  $t_i$  that in Criticationne three has been, almost from the time of the Apostes, such justing of one number out of their places, both by forming, and Crill way. Such simulating at every fittle superity of that own fortune, and every fittle summor of that of other must and such diversity of ways in running to the same mark, *Peincelly*. If it be not Night amongs us, or at least a MSFY were are therefore with in the Dark.

The Enemy has been here in the Night of our natural Ignorance. and sown the targe of Spiritual Errors; and that, First, by abusing, and putting out the light of the Scriptures: For we erre, not knowing the Scriptures. Secondly, by introducing the Dæmonology of the Heathen Poets, that is to say, their fabulous Doctrine concerning Domons, which are but Idols, or Phantasms of the braine, without any reall nature of their own, distinct from humane fancy; such as are dead mens Ghosts, and Fairies, and other matter of old Wives tales Thirdly, by mixing with the Scripture divers reliques of the Religion, and much of the vain and ecroneous Philosophy of the Greeks, especially of Aristotle. Fourthly, by mingling with both these, false, or uncertain Traditions, and fained, or uncertain History. And so we come to erra, by giving head to seducing Spirits, and the Demonology of such as speak lies in Hypocrisie, (or as it is in the Originall, 1 Tim. 4, 1, 2, of those that play the part of lyars) with a seared conscience, that is, contrary to their own knowledge. Concerning the first of these, which is the Seducing of men by abuse of Scripture, I intend to speak briefly in this Chapter.

The greatest, and main abuse of Scripture, and to which almost all the rest are either consequent, or subservient, is the wresting of it, to prove that the Kingdome of God, mentioned so often in the Scripture, is the present Church, or multitude of Christian men now living, or that being dead, are to rise again at the last day: whereas the Kingdome of God was first instituted by the Ministery of Moses. over the Jews onely; who are therefore called his Peculiar People; and ceased afterward, in the election of Saul, when they refused to he governed by God any more, and demanded a King after the manner of the nations; which God himself consented unto, as I have more at large proved before, in the 35. Chapter. After that time, there was no other Kingdome of God in the world, by any Pact, or otherwise, than he ever was, 18, and shall be King, of all men, and of all creatures, as governing according to his Will, by his infinite Power. Neverthelesse, he promised by his Prophete to restore this his Government to them again, when the time he hath in his secret counsell appointed for it shall bee fully come, and when they shall turn unto him by repentance, and amondment of life; and not onely so, but he invited also the Gentiles to come in, and enjoy the happinesse of his Reign, on the same conditions of conversion and recentance; and hee promised also to send his Son into the world, to expiste the sins of them all by his death, and to prepare them by his Doctrine, to receive him at his second coming: Which

second coming not yot being, the Kingdome of God is not yet come, and we are not now under any other Kings by Paot, but our Givil Kovernigues, asving onaly, that Christian men are already in the Kingdome of Grace, in as much as they have already the Promise of Lengr received at has comming assime.

Consequent to this Trivar, this the present Charch is Christs Kingdom, there ought to be some one Man, or Assenbly, by whose mouth our Saviour (now in heaven) speaketh, giveth law, and which presented his Person to all Christians, or diverse Man, or divers The power Regal under Christ, being chainlenged, universally by the Pope, and in patroniar Common-realita by Assemblies of the Pastors of the place, fwiren the Scripture gives it to nose but for Hill Soversigns, cames to be no passionstary disputed, that is putted not be hight of Natarce, and cameeth so great a Debrase have enzyset their obstimute.

Consequent to this claim of the Pope to Vicar Generall of Christ in the present Church, (supposed to be that Kingdom of his, to which we are addressed in the Gospel.) is the Doctrine, that it is necessary for a Christian King, to receive his Grown by a Bishop; as if it were from that Ceremony, that he derives the clause of Des gratid in his title, and that then onely he is made King by the favour of God, when he is crowned by the authority of Gods universall Vicegerent on earth; and that every Bishop whoseever be his Soveraign, taketh at his Consecration an eath of absolute Obedience to the Popp. Consequent to the same, is the Doctrine of the fourth Councell of Lateran, held under Pope Innocent the third, (Chap. 3. de Hæreticis.) That if a King at the Powes admonition, doe not purge his Kinadome of Harresies, and being excommunicate for the same. doe not give satisfaction within a year, his Subjects are absolved of the bond of their obedience. Where, by Hæresies are understood all opinions which the Church of Rome hath forbidden to be maintained. And by this means, as often as there is any repugnancy between the Politicall designes of the Pope, and other Christian Princes, as there is very often, there ariseth such a Mist amongst their Subjects, that they know not a stranger that thrusteth hunself into the throne of their lawfull Prince, from him whom they had themselves placed there; and in this Darknesse of mind, are made to fight one sgainst another, without discerning their enemies from their friends, under the conduct of another mans ambituon.

From the same opinion, that the present Charob is the Kingdome of God, is proceed take Pastoura, Deacoas, and all other Munaters of the Church, take the name to themselves of the Chary; giving to other Chrastians the name of Lizity, that is, simply Pogle. For Clergy signifies those, whose rasintentono is that Revenan which God having reserved to himmeld eduring its Region over the Iszealites, assigned to the tribe of Levi (who were to be his publique Ministers, and had no portion of land set them out to live on, as their

brethren) to be their inheritance. The Pope therefore, (pretending the present Church to be, as the Realme of Israel, the Kingdome of God) challenging to hunselfe and his subordunate Ministers, the like revenue, as the Inheritance of God, the name of Clergy was suitable to that claime. And thence it is, that Tithes, and other tributes paid to the Levites, as Gods Right, amongst the Israelites, have a long time been demanded, and taken of Christians, by Ecclesiastiques. Jure divino, that is, in Gods Right. By which meanes, the people every where were obliged to a double tribute: one to the State, another to the Clergy; whereof, that to the Clergy, being the tenth of their revenue, is double to that which a King of Athens (and esteemed a Tyrant) exacted of his subjects for the defraving of all publique charges: For he demanded no more but the twentieth part; and yet abundantly maintained therewith the Commonwealth. And in the Kingdome of the Jewes, during the Sacerdotall Reigne of God, the Tithes, and Offerings were the whole Publique Revenue.

From the same instaking of the present Charch for the Kingdom of God, cance in the driskation between the *Courth* and the *Chano* Laws: The Civil Law being the Acts of *Sourenigns* in their own Dominions, and the Canon Law being the Act as of *Sourenigns* in their same Dominions, which *Canons*, though they were but Canons, that is, *Thile Frynnelle*, and Out voluntarily record by Carnina worth, at the torsee of the *Toph constant*. Of the *Court* worth, at the torsee of the *Toph constant*, and the *Courter* worth, at the torsee of the *Toph constant*. The *Court of the Court* worth, the torsee of the *Toph constant*, and the *Court* worth, the top population of the *Toph constant*.

Prom hence t is, that in all Dominions, where the Topes Exclusiatical power is entirely neariest, Jewes, Turkes, and Gentile, are in the Konna Church Iolerstef in their Roligion, as fare forth, as in the exceive and profession their coler bay offend not against the ovail power: whereas in a Christian, though a stranger, not to be of the Norma Roligion, is Capitall; because the Prope predended that all Christians are his Subjects. For otherwise it were as much against the law of Nations, to presente a Christian stranger, nor professing the Roligion to All bio vace country, as an Infideli; or rather more, in as much as they that are not against Christian zer with him.

From the same it is, that in every Christian State there are certain mon, that are oxempt, by Ecolesistacell herey, room the tributes, and from the tribunals of the Givil State; for so are the secular Gergy, beside Monks and Flater, which in many places, bare are greak a proportion to the common people, as if need ware, there might be raised out of them alone, an Army, sufficient for any ware the Church militant should imploy tham in, against their owne, or other Frinces.

A second generall abuse of Scripture, is the turning of Consecration into Conjuration, or Enchastment. To Consecrate, is in Scripture, to Offer, Give, or Dedicate, in picus and decent language and gesture, a man, or any other thing to God, by separating of it from common

use; that is to say, to Sanctifie, or make it Gods, and to be used only by those, whom God hath appointed to be his Publike Ministers, (as I have already proved at large in the 35. Chapter;) and thereby to change, not the thing Consecrated, but onely the use of it, from being Profane and common, to be Holy, and peculiar to Gods service. But when by such words, the nature or qualitie of the thing it selfe. is pretended to be changed, it is not Consecration, but either an extraordinary worke of God, or a vaine and impious Conjuration. But seeing (for the frequency of pretending the change of Nature in their Consecrations,) it cannot be esteemed a work extraordinary, it is no other than a Conjugation or Incantation, whereby they would have men to beleeve an alteration of Nature that is not, contrary to the testimony of mans Sight, and of all the rest of his Senece. As for example, when the Priest, in stead of Conscorating Bread and Wine to Gods neculiar service in the Sacrament of the Lords Supper, (which is but a separation of it from the common use, to signifia, that is, to put men in mind of their Redemption, by the Paesion of Christ, whose body was broken, and blood shed upon the Crosse for our transgressions,) pretends, that by eaving of the words of our Saviour, This is my Body, and This is my Blood, the nature of Bread is no more there, but his very Body; notwithstanding there appeareth not to the Sight, or other Sense of the Receiver, any thing that appeared not before the Consecration. The Egyptian Conjurers, that are said to have turned their Rods to Serpents. and the Water into Bloud, are thought but to have deluded the senses of the Spectators by a false shew of things, yet are esteemed Enchanters: But what should wee have thought of them, if there had appeared in their Rods nothing like a Serpent, and in the Water enchanted, nothing like Bloud, nor like any thing else but Water, but that they had faced down the King, that they were Serpents that looked like Rods, and that it was Bloud that seemed Water? That had been both Enchantment, and Lying And yet in this daily act of the Priest, they doe the very same, by turning the holy words into the manner of a Charme, which produceth nothing new to the Sense: but they face us down, that it hath turned the Bread into a Man; nay more, into a God; and require men to worship it, as if it were our Saviour himself present God and Man, and thereby to commit most grosse Idolatry. For if it bee enough to excuse it of Idolatry, to say it is no more Bread, but God; why should not the same excuse serve the Egyptians, in case they had the faces to say, the Leeks and Onyons they worshipped, were not very Leeks. and Onyons, but a Divinity under their species, or likenesse. The words. This is my Body, are equivalent to these. This signifies, or represents my Body; and it is an ordinary figure of Speech: but to take it literally, is an abuse; nor though so taken, can it extend any further, than to the Bread which Christ himself with his own hands Consecrated. For hes nover said, that of what Bread soever, any Priest whatsoever, should say, This is my Body, or, This is Christs Body, the same should presently be transubstantiated. Nor did the

Church of Rome ever establish this Transubstantiation, ill the time of Innovast the third; which was that and the start of the start the Power of Poper was at the Highest, and the Darknesse of the time growns or grant, an end discate that the start discate the stantistic start of the start of the start of the start of the stantistic, not onely into the Body of Clinitic, but sho into the Wood of this Cleven and that the work as both togetier in the Sorangan.

The like Incantation, in stead of Consecration, is used also in the Sacrament of Baptisme. Where the abuse of Gods name in each severall Person, and in the whole Trinity, with the sign of the Crosse at each name, maketh up the Charm: As first, when they make the Holv water, the Priest south, I Conjure thes, thou Orcature of Water. in the name of God the Father Almighty, and in the name of Jesus Christ his onely Son our Lord, and in vertue of the Holy Ghost, that thou become Conjured water, to drive away all the Powers of the Enemy. and to eradicate, and supplant the Enemy, do. And the same in the Benediction of the Salt to be mingled with it; That thou become Conjured Soll, that all Phantasmes, and Knavery of the Devills fraud may by and depart from the place wherein thou ari sprinkled; and every unclean Spirit bes Conjured by Him that shall come to judg the quicke and the dead. The same in the Benediction of the Oyle, That all the Power of the Enemy, all the Host of the Devill, all Assaults and Phantasmes of Satan, may be driven away by this Creature of Oyle. And for the Infant that is to be Baptized, he is subject to many Charms: First, at the Church dore the Priest blows thrice in the Childs face, and sayes, Goe out of him unclean Swirit. and give place to the Holy Ghost the Comforter. As if all Children, till blown on by the Priest were Dæmoniaques: Again, before his entrance into the Church, he saith as before, I Conjure thee, dec. to goe out, and depart from this Servant of God: And again the same Exorgisme is repeated once more before he be Baptized. These, and some other Incantations, are those that are used in stead of Benedictions, and Consecrations, in administration of the Sacraments of Baptisme, and the Lords Supper: wherein every thing that serveth to those holy uses (except the unhallowed Spittle of the Priest) hath some sat form of Exoreisme.

Nor are the other rites, as of Marriage, of Extreme Unstin, of Visitation of the Sick, of Concercating Churches, and Church-yards, and the like, scrempt from Charma; in as much as there is in them the use of Enchanted Oyie, and Water, with the abuse of the Crosse, and of the holy word of David, Appendes me Domine Hyseop, as thines of efficacy to drive avay Phantasmes, and Imeniary Spirite.

Another general Error, is from the Muinterpretation of the words Bernall Life, Eventsative Duckt, and tas Second Douts. To though we read plainly in holy Soripture, that God created Adam in an estate of Living for Ever, which was conditional, that is to say, if he disobered not his Commandement; which was not essential to Human Nature, but consequent to the verties of the Tree of

quantly of the walking abroad, especially in places Consecrated. Solitary, or Dark, of the Ghosts of men deceased; and thereby to the pretences of Exoroisme and Conjutation of Phantasmes: as also of Invocation of men dead; and to the Doctrine of Indulgances. that is to say, of exemption for a time, or for ever, from the fire of Purgatory, wherein these Incorporeall Substances are precorded by burning to be cleansed, and made fit for Heaven. For men being generally possessed before the time of our Saviour, by contagion of the Demonology of the Greeks, of an opinion, that the Souls of mon were substances distinct from their Bodies, and therefore that when the Body was dead, the Soule of every man, whether godly, or wicked, must subsist somewhere by vertue of its own nature, without acknowledging therein any supernaturall gift of Gods; the Doctors of the Church doubted a long time, what was the place, which thoy were to abide in, tall they should be re-united to these Bodies in the Resurrection; supposing for a while, they lay under the Altars: but afterward the Church of Rome found it more profitable, to build for them this place of Purgatory; which by some other Churches in this later age, has been demolished.

Let us now consider, what texts of Scripture seem most to confirm these three generall Errors. I have here touched As for those which Cardinall Bellarmine hath alledged, for the present Kingdome of God administred by the Pope. (than which there are none that make a better shew of proof.) I have already answered them; and made it evident, that the Kingdome of God, instituted by Moses, ended in the election of Saul: After which time the Priest of his own authority never deposed any King. That which the High Priest did to Athaliah, was not done in his owne right, but in the right of the young King Joash her Son: But Selomon in his own right deposed the High Priest Abiathar, and set up another in his place. The most difficult place to answer, of all those that can be brought, to prove the Kingdome of God by Christ is already in this world, is alledged, not by Bellarmine, nor any other of the Church of Rome: but by Beza: that will have it to begin from the Resurrestion of Christ. But whether hee intend thereby, to ontitle the Presbytery to the Supreme Power Ecolesiasticall in the Commonwealth of Geneva, (and consequently to every Preebytery in every other Common-wealth.) or to Princes, and other Civill Soversigns, I doe not know. For the Presbytery hath challenged the power to Excommunicate their owne Kings, and to bee the Supreme Moderators in Religion, in the places where they have that form of Church government, no lesse then the Pope challengeth it universally.

The works are (Marke 0. 1,  $V \operatorname{erily} 1$  say unto you, that there be some of them that stand here, which defined not as default, hill they have some the Kingdome of God come with power. Which works, if taken grammatically, make it excitate, that either some of those men that stood by Christ at that time, are yet alive; or ease, that the Kingdome of God must be now in this present work. And then there is

another place more difficult: For when the Apostles after our Saviours Resurrection, and immediately before his Ascension, asked our Saviour, saving. (Acts 1, 6.) Wilt thou at this time restore again the Kunndome of Israel, he answered them. It is not for you to know the times and the seasons, which the Father hath put in his own nower ; But we shall receive power by the comming of the Holy Ghost upon you. and yee shall be my (Marturs) witnesses both in Jerusalem. & in all Judga, and in Samaria, and unto the uttermost part of the Earth : Which is as much as to say, My Kingdome is not yet come, nor shall you foreknow when it shall come; for it shall come as a theefe in the night; But I will send you the Holy Ghost, and by him you shall have power to hears witnesse to all the world (by your preaching) of my Resurrection, and the workes I have done, and the dootring I have taught, that they may beleeve in me, and expect eternall life, at my comming agame: How does this agree with the comming of Christs Kingdome at the Resurrection? And that which St. Paul saios (1 Thessai. 1. 9, 10.) That they turned from Idols, to serve the hving and true God, and to waite for his Sonne from Heaven: Where to waite for his Sonne from Reaven, is to wait for his comming to be King in power; which were not necessary, if his Kingdome had beens then present. Agame, if the Kingdome of God began (as Bezz on that place (Mark 9. 1.) would have it) at the Resurrection; what reason is there for Christians ever since the Resurrection to say in their prayers, Let thy Kingdom Come? It is therefore manifest, that the words of St. Mark are not so to be interpreted. There be some of them that stand here (south our Saviour) that shall not test of death till they have seen the Kingdome of God come in power. If then this Kingdome were to come at the Resurrection of Christ, why is it said, some of them, rather than all ? For they all lived till after Christ was risen.

But they that require an exact interpretation of this text, let them interpret first the like words of our Saviour to St. Peter concerning St. John, (chap. 21, 22.) If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thes ? upon which was grounded a report that he should not dye: Neverthelesse the truth of that report was neither confirmed, as well grounded; nor refuted, as ill grounded on those words: but left as a saving not understood. The same difficulty is also in the place of St. Marke. And if it be lawfull to conjecture at their meaning, by that which immediately followes, both here, and in St. Luke, where the same is againe repeated, it is not unprobable. to say they have relation to the Transfiguration, which is described in the verses immediately following; where it is said, that After six dayes Jesus taketh with him Peter, and James, and John (not all, but some of his Disciples) and leadeth them up into an high mountains apart by themselves, and was transfoured before them. And his rayment became shining, exceeding white as snow ; so as no Fuller on earth can white them. And there appeared unto them Elias with Moses. and they were talking with Jesus, &c. So that they saw Christ in Glory and Majestie, as he is to come: insomuch as They were sore  $\sigma_fmid$ . And thus the promise of our Seviror was accompliable by way of Viscon: For it was a Viscon, as may probably bee interest out of Sk. Luke, that reactesh the same story (ch. 9, vo. 28), and addl, that Peter and hery that were with him, were havry with alsop: But most certainly out of Math. 17. 9, (where the same igain related) for our Seviror allorgid them, saying, Tell on morfact Fusion math the from the fusion of the same transmission for Viscon math the form of the same strengt them, saying the same the Kinethem of God taketh benchman by Signama to Junce math.

As for some other texts, to prove the Poper Power over dvill Soveragnes (beinder thas of BATERING) as that the two Sworts that Christe and has Apotten had amongst thean, were the Spirituall and the Tompoord Bwort, which they are SR. Peter had given him by Day, and the text the King. One might as well infine out of the first seven of the King. One might as well infine out of the first verse of the Bible, that same in fashion after the sing awards might be the King. One might as well infine out of the first verse of the Bible, that same in fashion after the sing of Christer seven growne so secure of their greatness, as to contame al Christian King; and Tesching on the necks of Lingments, but Thou shall Trans verse the ford, the first seven and the Abient Thous shall Trans by the first.

As for the rites of Consecration, though they depend for the most part upon the discretion and judgement of the governors of the Church, and not upon the Scriptures; yet those governors are oblured to such direction, as the nature of the action it selfe requireth; as that the ceremonies, words, and gestures, be both decent, and significant, or at least conformable to the action. When Moses consecrated the Tabernacle, the Altar, and the Vessela belonging to them, (Exod. 40.) he anointed them with the Oyle which God had commanded to bee made for that purpose; and they were holy: There was nothing Exorcized, to drive away Phantasmes. The same Moses (the civil Soversigns of Israel) when he consecrated Aaron (the High Priest.) and his Sons, did wash them with Water. (not Exorcized water,) put their Garments upon them, and anointed them with Oyle; and they were sanctified, to minister unto the Lord in the Priosts office; which was a simple and decent cleansing, and adorning them, before hee presented them to God, to be his servants. When King Solomon, (the civill Soveraigne of Israel) consecrated the Temple hee had built, (2 Kings 8.) he stood before all the Congregation of Israel; and having blessed them, he gave thankes to God, for putting into the heart of his father, to build it; and for giving to himselfe the grace to accomplish the same: and then praved unto him, first, to accept that House, though it were not suitable to his infinite Greatnesse; and to hear the prayers of his Servants that should pray therein, or (if they were absent.) towards it: and lastly, he offered a sacrifice of Peace offering, and the House was dedicated. Here was no Procession; the King stood stall in

his first place; no Exorcised Water; no Aspergas me, nor other impertiment application of words spoken upon another consisting but a decent, and rationall speech, and such as in making to God a greent of his new built frouse, was most conformable to the occasion.

We read not that Sc, John did Excercise the water of Jordam; nor Think pike Wester of the new, wherean he baptized the Runnah; nor that any Paster in the nume of the Apostles, did take his emitting and put it to the nonse of the present to be Baptired, and easy, fa adorsm susvitats, that is, for a sense succur unit the Lord; wherein methet the Coremany of Spitch, for the nucleancese, nor the septilcation of that Scripture for the levity, can by any authority of man be institled.

To prove that the Soulo separated from the Body, levels termally, not onely the Soules of the Elset, by especial grave, and restauration of the Elevandi Life which Adam loss by Sinne, and our Saviour restored by the Sourifoe of hinseld, by the Fulfill, but has the Soules of Reproducts, as a property naturally consequent to the sense of makingh, without other graves of God, but that which is but more straight given as all monthly for three the transformation of the comparison of the source of the source of the source of the term to make the source of the source of the source of the outper source with that which have before (Dayler SA) allocing out of the 14 of Job, seem to more much more subject to a divers interpretation, that has works of Job.

And first there are the words of Solomon (Ecclesiastes 12. 7.) Then shall the Dust return to Dust, as it was, and the Spirit shall seturn to God that gave it. Which may bear well enough (if there be no other text directly against it) this interpretation, that God onely knows, (but Man not, ) what becomes of a mans spirit, when he expireth; and the same Solomon, in the same Book, (Chap. 3. ver. 20, 21 ) delivereth the same sentence in the sense I have given it: His words are. All gos (man and heast) to the same place ; all are of the dust, and all turn to dust again ; who knoweth that the spirit of Man meth unward, and that the spirit of the Reast goeth downward to the earth ? That is, none knows but God ; Nor is it an unusuali phrase to say of things we understand not, God Knows what, and God Knows where. That of Gen. 5, 24 Enoch walked with God, and he was not, for Gad took him; which is expounded lieb, 13, 5, He was translated, that he should not die; and was not found, because God had translated him. For before his Translation, he had this testimony, that he pleased God, making as much for the Immortality of the Body, as of the Soule, proveth, that this his translation was peculiar to them that please God; not common to them with the wicked; and depending on Grace, not on Nature. But on the contrary, what interpretation shall we give, besides the literall sense of the words of Solomon (Eccles. 3. 19.) That which befalleth the Sons of Men, befalleth Beasts, even one thing befalleth them ; as the one dyeth, so doth the other ; yea, they have all one breath (one spirit;) so that a Man hath no proteminence above a Reast, for all 18 vanity. By the literall sense, here is no Naturali Immortality of the Soule: nor yet any requestions with the lafe literall, which the Block and ency by Conce. And (clust  $4 \le 3$ ), Beffer is is dark hadron may be less, four both days that is, than they that it we, or have literation, if the School el litera that has been bired, even immortall, were a hard exping; for then to have an Immortall Scole, were wrone than to have no Scole at all. A that had agune, (Clust, 9 < 3) 7 He initionshave they shall day, but the dark norms are normalinga block measurements of the body.

Another place whole seems to make for a Natural Immortality of the Souky, not kny where our Saviour saith, that Abrahan, Baso, and Jacob aro living: hub that is spoken of the promise of God, and of their certificate to rise again, not of a fide then enauly, and in the same sense that God said to Adam, that or the day hee should cate of the forbidder furth the should certainly deer from that time forward he was a dead man by sentences, but not by executon, thi were alive by promus, then, when Crisits packs; hub are not solvedly till the Restruction. And the History of Duers and Lezara, make nothing against the, if we take it (set is) for a Fauble.

But there he other places of the New Testament, where an Immortality seemsth to be directly attributed to the worked. For it is evident, that they shall all rise to Judgement. And it is said besides in many places, that they shall goe into Everlasting firs, Everlasting torments, Everlasting punishments ; and that the worm of conscience never ducin ; and all this is comprehended in the word Everlasting Death, which is ordinarily interpreted Everlasting Life in torments . And yet I can find no where that any man shall live in torments Everiastingly. Also, it seemeth hard, to say, that God who is the Father of Mercies, that doth in Heaven and Earth all that hee will: that hath the hearts of all men in his disposing, that worketh in men both to dos, and to will; and without whose free gift a man hath neither inclination to good, nor repentance of evill, should punish mens transgressions without any end of time, and with all the extremity of torture, that men can imagine, and more. We are therefore to consider, what the meaning is, of Everlasting Fire, and other the like phrases of Scripture.

I have a herived a levedy, that the Kingdome of God by Christ beginneth at the day of Judgment: That in that day, the Fakitahi shall rise again, with glorous, and spiritual Bodies, and bee his Subjects in that his Kingdome, which shall be Etermall: That they shall notified marry, nor is given in marriage, nor east and risk, as they did in their startual bodies: In their start were in the marked presons, without the specifical sternity of generation: And that the Bog did is their startual bodies: Delay, which shall be lative in the start of the specifical sternity of generation: And that the specifical start and the specifical sternity of generation. And that the specifical start is the specifical sternity of generation in the start start and make spiritual. Bodies in the bodies is the bodies of the Regrobate, who make the Kingdome of Stara, shall also be glorons, or or spiritual bodies, or that they shall bo as the Angels of Godi. neither sating, nor dirnking, nor engendring; or that their life shall be Eternal in their individuall persons, as the life of every faithfull man is, or as the life of Adam kad been if hee had not samed, there is no place of Scripture to prove it; save onely these places concerning Eternal! Oroments, which may otherwise be interpreted.

From whence may be interest, has as the Elect after the Resurrection shall be restored to the stark, whereau Adam was before he had simed; so the Reproduct shall be in the setter, that Adam, and in posterity were in after the san committeed; asyring that God in him, and report; but not to them that should die in their sins, as do the Reproducts.

These things considered, the texts that mention Eternall Fire, Elernall Tormenis, or the Worm that never disth, contradict not the Doctrine of a Second, and Everlasting Death, in the proper and natural sense of the word Douth. The Fire, or Torments prepared for the wicked in Gehenna, Tophel, or in what place souver, may continue for ever: and there may never want wicked men to be tormented in them: though not every, nor any one Eternally, For the worked being left in the estate they were in after Adams sin, may at the Resurrection live as they did, marry, and give in marriage, and have grosse and corruptible bodies, as all mankind now have, and consequently may engender perpetually, after the Resurrection, as they did before: For there is no place of Scripture to the contrary. For St Paul, speaking of the Resurrection (1 Cor 15) understandeth it onely of the Resurrection to Lafe Eternall; and not the Resurrection to Punishment. And of the first, he saith that the Body is Sown in Corruption, raised in Incorruption . sown in Dishonour, raised in Honour ; soun in Weaknesse, raised in Power ; sown a Naturall body, raised a Smirituall body : There is no such thing can be said of the bodies of them that rise to Punishment. So also our Saviour, when hee speaketh of the Nature of Man after tha Resurrection, meaneth the Resurrection to Life Eternall, not to Punishment. The text is Luke 20. verses 34, 35, 36. a fertile text The Children of this world marry, and are given in marriage, but they that shall be counted worthy to obtaine that world, and the Resurrection from the dead, neither marry, nor are given in marriage : Neither can they die any more ; for they are equall to the Angells, and are the Children of God, being the Children of the Resurrection : The Children of this world, that are in the estate which Adam left them in, shall marry, and be given in marriage: that is, corrupt, and generate successively; which is an Immortanty of the Kind, but not of the Persons of men: They are not worthy to be counted amongst them that shall obtain the next world, and an absolute Resurrection from the dead, but onely a short time, as inmates of that world; and to the end onely to receive condign punishment for their contumacy. The Elect are the onely children of the Resurrection : that is to say. the sole hears of Eternall Life: they only can die no more: it is they that are equall to the Angels, and that are the children of God; and

not the Reprodute To the Reproduct there remainsth after the Resurrection, a Second, and Extend Deskit. Is bettern which Resurrection, and their Second, and Extendid deskit, is but a time of Punishment and Toremett: and to has by succession of annase thereanno, as long as the kind of Man by propagation shall endure; which is Reemally.

Upon this Doctrine of the Naturall Eternity of separated Soules. is founded (as I said) the Doctrine of Purgatory. For supposing Eternall Lafe by Grace onely, there is no Lafe, but the Life of the Body: and no Immortality till the Resurrection. The texts for Parentory alledged by Bellarmine out of the Canonicall Scripture of the old Testament, are first, the Fasting of David for Saul and Jonathan, mentioned (2 Kungs, 1. 12.); and againe, (2 Sam 3. 35.) for the death of Abner. This Fasting of David, he seath, was for the obtaining of something for them at Gods hands, after their death: because after he had Fasted to procure the recovery of his owne child, assoone as he knew it was dead, he called for meate. Seeing then the Soule bath an existence separate from the Body, and nothing can be obtained by mens Fasting for the Soules that are already either in Heaven, or Hell, it followeth that there be some Soules of dead men, that are neither in Heaven, nor in Hell; and therefore they must bee in some third place, which must be Purratory. And thus with hard straining, her has wrested those places to the proofe of a Pargatory: whereas it is manifest, that the ceremonies of Mourning, and Fastung, when they are used for the death of men, whose life was not profitable to the Mourners, they are used for honours sake to their persons; and when tis done for the death of them by whose life the Mourners had benefit, it proceeds from their particular dammage: And so David honoured Soul, and Abner, with his Fasting; and in the death of his owne child, recomforted himselfe, by receiving his ordinary food.

In the other places, which he alledgeth out of the old "Unstances, there is not so much as any show, or colour of proofs. He brings in every text wherein there is the word Age, of Fire, of Burning, or Pariging, or Ginness, in case any of the Falches have but in a biolowed. The first wrate of Palance, 37. O Lord relaxies near the proof of the states of the interval of the falches to Purgatory, if Augustane and Interval in the relation of the states of the states of the states of the to Purgatory, the optimizer to that of Purgatory? And what is to bringener, and Alox Incoupled as the state place of the states write and there is not place to the state of the states of the vertice of there. States on a state of the states of the states write the States of the states of the states of the states was been been been been bring of the states of the states write the States of the states write of the States write of the States of the

But he alledgeth other places of the New Testament, that are not so casis to be answered: And first that of Math 12 32 Whosever opeaketh a word against the Sonne of man, it shall be forgiven him ; but uphosever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven

him neither in this world, nor in the world to come : Where he will have Purgatory to be the World to come, wherein some sinnes may be foreiven, which in this World were not foreiven: notwithstanding that it is manifest, there are but three Worlds, one from the Creation to the Flood, which was destroyed by Water, and is called in Scripture the Old World, another from the Flood, to the day of Judgement. which is the Present World, and shall bee destroyed by Fire; and the third, which shall bee from the day of Judgement forward, overlasting, which is called the World to come . and in which it is agreed by all, there shall be no Purgatory: And therefore the World to come, and Purgatory, are inconsistent. But what then can bee the meaning of those our Saviours words? I confesse they are very hardly to bee reconciled with all the Doctrines now unanimously received: Nor is it any shamo, to confesse the protoundnesse of the Scripture, to bee too great to be sounded by the shortnesse of humane understanding. Neverthelesse, I may propound such things to the consideration of more learned Divines, as the text it selfe suggesteth. And first, scoing to speake against the Holy Ghost, as being the third Porson of the Tripity, is to speake against the Church, in which the Holy (Host resideth; it seemeth the comparison is made, betweene the Easinesse of our Saviour, in bearing with offences done to him while hes himselfs taught the world, that is, when he was on earth, and the Severity of the Pastors after him. against those which should deny their authority, which was from the Holy Ghost: As if he should say, You that deny my Power: nay you that shall crucifie me, shall be pardoned by mee, as often as you turns unto mee by Repentance. But if you deny the Power of them that teach you hereafter, by vertue of the Holy Ghost, they shall be inexorable, and shall not forgive you, but persecute you in this World, and leave you without absolution, (though you turn to me, unlesse you turn also to them.) to the punishments (as much as lies in them) of the World to come: And so the words may be taken as a Prophecy, or Prædiction concerning the times, as they have along been in the Christian Church : Or if this he not the meaning. (for I am not peremptory in such difficult places.) perhaps there may be place left after the Resurrection for the Repentance of some sinners: And there is also another place, that seemeth to avree therewith. For considering the words of St. Paul (1 Cor. 15, 29.) What shall they doe which are Baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all ? why also are they Baptized for the dead ? a man may probably inferre, as some have done, that in St Pauls time, there was a sustome by receiving Baptisme for the dead, (as men that now beleeye, are Surctice and Undertakers for the Faith of Infants, that are not capable of beloaving.) to undertake for the persons of their deceased friends, that they should be ready to obey, and receive our Saviour for their King, at his coming again; and then the forgivenesse of sins in the world to come, has no need of a Purgatory, But in both these interpretations, there is so much of paradox, that I trust not to them; but propound them to those that are thoroughly × 697

rensel in the Scriptors, to equire if there is no cleaver place that contradicat time. One-jot of thus much, I are serial Scripture, to perswade me, that there is mether the word, nor the thing of Pergators, naibler in this, no are you bett text; no are nay thung that can prove a necessary of a place for the Scule without the Body; neither in the Scule of them which the Romane Church pretend to be to: memory and the second second second second second second methods and the second second second second second second renor his incating of other other and the second second renor his incating of other other second second second renor his incating of other other second second second and inmortal Body.

Another place is that of 1 Cor. 3. where it is said, that they which built Stubble. Hay, &c. on the true Foundation, their work shall perish; but they themselves shall be saved ; but as through Fire ; This Fire, he will have to be the Fire of Purgatory. The words, as I have said before, are an allusion to those of Zach, 13. 9. where he saith, I will bring the third part through the Fire, and refine them as Silver is refined, and will try them as Gold is irved : Which is spoken of the comming of the Messiah in Power and Glory: that is, at the day of Judgment, and Conflagration of the present world ; wherein the Elect shall not be consumed, but he refined; that is, depose their erroneous Doctrines, and Traditions, and have them as it were sindged of; and shall afterwards call upon the name of the true God. In like manner, the Apostle saith of them, that holding this Foundation Jesus is the Christ, shall build thereon some other Doctrines that be erroneous, that they shall not be consumed in that fire which renewsth the world, but shall passe through it to Salvation: but so. as to see, and relinquish their former Errours. The Builders, are the Pastors; the Foundation, that Jesus is the Chuist; the Stubble and Hay, False Consequences drawn from it through Ignorance, or Frailing; the Gold, Silver, and pretions Stones, are their True Dockrines; and their Refining or Purging, the Relinquishing of their Errors. In all which there is no colour at all for the burning of Incorporeall, that is to say, Impatible Souls.

A third place is that of 1 Cor. Its, before mentioned, concerning Engismes for the Dead out of which be eccentrated, first, that Trayers for the Dead are not supportable, and out of that, that there interpretations of the word Baytimum, he approved this in the form place, that by Baytiano is meant (motion of the state of the place, that by Baytiano is meant (motion for the Dead, and Prayer there is no start the start of Baytimum of the start of the form is a start of the start of Baytimum of the start of the start of the start of Baytimum of the start of large and gree Almess and so Baytimum of the start of the form is no start start, each of Baytimum of the start of large and start and the start of the Scripture no the start of the Scripture. The word Baytimum is used and cope of the Scripture. The word Baytimum is used and the start 12 doi. Jor bayte Dipped in one own bloud, as Christ was upon him. But its had to say, that Prayer, Estima and Almen, have any similated with Dipping. The same is used also, Mat 3, 11, (which seconds to make somewhat for Paraging have metioned, is its same where of the York Eachery speaked holes, 13, v, 6, 1I sull bing the third part through the Forc, and will there have,  $5c_1$ I sull bing the third part through the Forc, and will there have,  $5c_2$ I sull bing the third part through the Forc, and will there have,  $5c_2$ which is much rome provide that  $6c_2$  and  $c_2$  such that  $b_2$  and  $b_2$  such that  $b_2$  and  $b_2$  such that  $b_2$ 

Another interpretation of Reptisme for the Dead, is that which I have before mentoned, which he preferresh to the second place of probability. And thence also he inferreth the utility of Prayer for the Dead. For if after the Resurresion, such as have not head deams, it is not in vain, after their dash, that their friends should pray for them, if they should be ciscs. But granning that God, at the prayers of the faitfull, may convert unto him come of knose that have not head. Christ preached, and consequently cannot have rejected Christ, and that he chainful of men in that point, cannot be blaned; yet hus considued nothing for Prayetary, because to Life is another, as being a fiding from Life to Life, from a Life in formere to a Life in joy.

A touch place is this of Mat 5. 25. Agree with thme Adversary guickly, while then art in the avery with kin, lead and any time the Adversary deliver these to the Judge advert these to the Officer, and these teach is interprised. The Mat and Mathematical In which Allogory, the Officander as the Software Post and the Judge is God, the Way is this Lofe; the Thinn is the Grave, the Officer, Death, from which, the same shall not rise tagain to the external, to is second Death, the hor paid the timesar Hamilton and the same shall not rise tagain to the external, hor to a second Death, the hor may had the timesar Hamilton, or Chi mapped in the mathematical second second Hamilton, or Chi mapped the mathematical second second Hamilton and the same shall not rise tagain to the theorem the second Death and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the Adversary and the same shall not rise tagain to the same shall not rise tagain the same shall not rise tagain to the same

The fift pheor, is that of Math. 5 22. Whosever is carry with his Brother vibrate cases, shall be guilty on Jadgment. And whosever shall any to his Brother, RAOHA, shall be guilty on the Councel But without words he inferrent, large sorte of Sins, and three words of publicly words he inferrent, large sorte of Sins, and three words of publicly words he lifter and cancellargeneity that shall the results of the sorte of the sorte of the sorte of Sins, and three sortes of publicly with here inferrent has a sorte of Sins, and three sortes of publicly with here inferrent has a sorte of the sortes of is occupied and the sortes of the sortes of the sortes of is occupied and the sortes of the sortes of the sortes of the sortes is occupied and the sortes of Shall there he a distinction after this life of Courts of Justice, as there was amongst the Jews in our Saviours time, to hear, and determine divers sorts of Crimes; as the Judges, and the Councell ? Shall not all Judicature appertain to Christ, and his Apostles ? To understand therefore this text, we are not to consider it solitarily, but jointly with the words precedent, and subsequent. Our Saviour in this Chapter interpreteth the Law of Moses; which the Jows thought was then fulfilled, when they had not transvessed the Grammaticall sense thereof, however they had transgressed against the sentence, or meaning of the Legislator Therefore whereas they thought the Sixth Commandement was not broken, but by Killing a man; nor the Seventh, but when a man lay with a woman, not his wife: our Saviour tells them, the inward Anger of a man against his brother, if it he without just cause, is Homioide: You have heard (saith hee) the Law of Moses. Thou shall not Kill, and that Whospever shall Kill, shall be condemned before the Judges, or before the Session of the Seventy: But I say unto you, to be Angry with ones Brother without cause; or to say unto him Racha, or Fools, 18 Homicide, and shall be punished at the day of Judgment, and Session of Christ. and his Apostles, with Hell fire; so that those words were not used to distinguish between divers Crimes, and divers Courts of Justice, and divers Punishments: but to taxe the distinction between sin, and sin. which the Jews drew not from the difference of the Will in Obeying God, but from the difference of their Temporall Courts of Justice; and to show them that he that had the Will to hurt his Brother. though the effect appear but in Reviling, or not at all, shall be cast into hell fire, by the Judges, and by the Session, which shall be the same, not different Courts at the day of Judgment. This considered, what can be drawn from this text, to maintain Purgatory, I cannot imagine.

The sixth place is Luke 10.9. Make yes/fixeds of the surrightous Mommon, that when yes fait, day may rectively you use Develating Teternacies. This he sledges to prove Invocation of Saints doparted. But the sense is placin. That we should make friends with our Riches, of the Poors, and thereby obtam their Fryner Lorin, they live. He that yinch to be Poors, length to the Lorin.

The seventh is Luke 22 42 Lord remember me when thou comment into thy Kingdome: Therefore, saith hea, there is Remission of sins after this life. But the consequence is not good. Our Saviour them forgave him; and at his contining agains in Glory, will remember to raise him agains to Life External;

The Eight is  $Acts 2 \otimes k$ , where  $\Im k$ . Peters with of Christi, that God hard raised him we, and loosed the Parins of Death, because it was not passible As should be holders of it: Which here interprets to be a descent of Christian that Purgutary, to loose some Schule there from loosed; it was here that could not be helder of Death, or the Graver, and not the Soulis in Purgatory. But it that which Beas says in

his notes on this place be well observed, there is none that will not see, that in stead of *Paynes*, it should be *Bands*; and then there is no further cause to seek for *Pargatory* in this Text.

### CHAP. XLV

#### Of DEMONOLOGY, and other Reliques of the Religion of the Gentiles

The impression made on the organs of Sight, by lucido Bodies, either in one direct line, or in many lines, reflected from Quague, or refracted in the passage through Diaphanous Bodies, produceth in hving Creatures, in whom God hath placed such Organs, an Imagination of the Object, from whence the Impression proceedeth; which Imagination is called Sught: and seemeth not to bee a meet Imagination, but the Body it selfe without us: in the same manner, as when a man violently presseth his eye, there appears to him a light without, and before him, which no man perceiveth but himselfe: because there is indeed no such thing without him, but onely a motion in the interiour organs, pressing by resistance outward, that makes him think so And the motion made by this pressure, continuing after the object which caused it is removed, is that we call Imagination. and Memory, and (in sleep, and sometimes in great distemptr of the organs by Sieknesse, or Violence) a Dream: of which things I have already spoken briefly, in the second and third Chanters.

This nature of Sight having never been discovered by the ancient pretenders to Naturall Knowledge, much lesse by those that consider not things so remote (as that Knowledge is) from their present use; it was hard for men to conceive of those Images in the Facey, and in the Sense, otherwise, than of things really without us; Which some (because they vanish away, they know not whither, nor how.) will have to be absolutely incorporeall, that is to say Immateriall, or Formes without Matter: Colour and Figure, without any coloured or figured Body; and that they can put on Aiery bodies (as a garment) to make them Visible when they will to our boddy Eyes; and others say, are Bodies, and living Creatures, but made of Air, or other more subtile and athereall Matter, which is, then, when they will be seen, condensed. But Both of them agree on one generall appellation of them, DEMONS. As if the Dead of whom they Dreamed, were not Inhabitants of their own Brain, but of the Air, or of Heaven, or Hell; not Phantasmes, but Ghosts; with just as much reason, as if one should say, he saw his own Ghost in a Looking-Glasse, or the Ghosts of the Stars in a River; or call the ordinary apparition of the Sun, of the quantity of about a foot, the Dæmen, or Ghost of that great Sun that enlighteneth the whole visible world: And by that means have feared them, as things of an unknown, that is, of an unlimited power to doe them good, or harme:

and consequently, given coccasion to the Governour of the Heathen Common-wealth to regulate the thar fact, by weakbinning that Dawnovctoor (in which the Poets, as Principal Prints of the Haddan Religion, users specially employed, or revenend) to the Publique Penos, and in the Obselfence of Subjects necessary thereunots; and to make some of them God Damons, and others Full; the one as a Sparre to the Observance, the other, as Reines to withhold them from Violation of the Law

What kind of things they were, to whom they attributed the name of Dammes, appeareth partly in the Genealogie of their Gods, written by Hened, one of the most ancient Posts of the Graciaus; and partly in other Histories; of which I have observed some few before, in the 12. Chapter of this discourse.

The Gracians, by their Colonies and Conquests, communicated their Language and Writings into Asia, Egypt, and Italy; and therein, by necessary consequence their Damonology, or (as St. Paul calles it) their Doctrines of Devils: And by that meanes, the contagion was derived also to the Jewes, both of Judgu, and Alcandria, and other parts, whereinto they were dispersed But the name of Damon they did not (as the Gracians) attribute to Spirits both Good, and Evill; but to the Evill onely; And to the Good Damons they gave the name of the Spirit of God; and esteemed those into whose bodies they entred to be Prophets. In summe, all singularity if Good, they attributed to the Spirit of God; and if Evil, to some Dæmon, but a saxoôdipur, an Evill Dæmon, that is, a Devil. And therefore, they called Domoniaques, that is, possessed by the Devill, such as we call Madmen or Lunatiques; or such as had the Falling Sicknesse: or that spoke any thing, which they for want of understanding, thought absurd: As also of an Unclean person in a notorious degree, they used to say he had an Unclean Spirit; of a Dumbe man, that he had a Dumbe Devill; and of John Baptist (Math. 11, 18) for the singularity of his fasting, that he had a Devill; and of our Saviour, because he said, hee that keepeth his sayings should not see Death in æternum, Now we know thou hasi a Devill; Abraham is dead, and the Prophets are dead: And again. because he said (John 7 20.) They went about to kill him, the people answered, Thou hast a Devill, who goeth about to kill thee ? Whereby it is monifest, that the Jewes had the same opinions concerning Phantasmes, namely, that they were not Phantasmes, that is, Idols of the braine, but things reall, and independent on the Fanoy.

Which doctrine if it is not tran, why (may some say) did not our Savour contradict is, and teach it contrary 1 any why does ho use on diverse occasions, such forms of speech as seem to confirm if 1 To this I savers that first, where Confrast and it. A gravit Rohn And Rohn and Dong, though hes shew that there be Spirits, you hes choices not that thay are Bodies 1 and thereo Sk. Fast lass. We shall resspiritual Bodies, he acknowledgedh the nature of Spirits, but that they are Bodie Bpirits ; which is not difficult to understand. For Air and many other things are Bodies, though not Flesh and Bone, or any other grosse body, to bee discerned by the eve. But when our Saviour speaketh to the Devill, and commandeth him to go out of a man, if by the Devill, be meant a Disease, as Phrenesy, or Lunany, or a cornereal Snirit, is not the speech improper? can Diseases hears? or can there be a cornorcall Spirit in a Body of Flesh and Bone, full already of vitall and animall Spirits ? Are there not therefore Spirits, that neither have Bodies, nor are meer Imaginations? To the first I answer, that the addressing of our Saviours command to the Madnesso, or Lunacy he cureth, is no more improper, then was his rebuking of the Feyer, or of the Wind, and Sea: for neither do these hear. Or than was the command of God, to the Light, to the Firmament, to the Sunne, and Starres, when he commanded them to bee: for they could not heare before they had a beeing. But those sneeches are not improper, because they signifie the power of Gods Word: no more therefore is it improper. to command Madnesse, or Lunscy (under the appellation of Devils, by which they were then commonly understood.) to denart out of a mans body. To the second, concerning their being Incorporeall, I have not yet observed any place of Scripture, from whence it can be gathered, that any man was ever possessed with any other Corporeall Spirit, but that of his owne, by which his body is naturally former

Our Saviour, immediately after the Holy Ghost descended upon hum in the form of a Dove, is said by St. Matthew (Chapt. 4, 1) to have been led up by the Smit into the Wildernesse, and the same is recited (Lake 4, 1.) in these words, Jesus being full of the Holy Ghast, was led in the Spirit into the Wildernesse: Whereby it is evident, that by Spirit there, is meant the Holy Ghost. This cannot be interpreted for a Possession: For Christ, and the Holy Ghost, are but one and the same substance: which is no possession of one substance, or hody, by another And whereas in the verses following, he is said to have been taken up by the Devill into the Holy Cuty, and set upon a pinnacle of the Temple, shall we conclude thence that hee was posseased of the Devil, or carryed thither by violence? And again, carryed thence by the Devill into an exceeding high mountain, who shewed him them thence all the Kingdomes of the world: Wherein, wee are not to beleave he was either possessed, or forced by the Devill; nor that any Mountaine is high enough, (according to the literall sense.) to shew him one whole Hemisphere. What then can be the meaning of this place, other than that he went of himself into the Wildernesse: and that this carrying of him up and down, from the Wildernesse to the City, and from thence into a Mountain, was a Vision ? Conformable whereunto, is also the phrase of St. Luke, that hee was led into the Wildernesse, not by, but in the Spirit: whereas concerning His being Taken up into the Mountaine, and unto the Pinnacle of the Temple, hee speaketh as St. Matthew doth. Which suiteth with the nature of a Vision

Again, where St. Luke sayes of Judas Iscariot, that Satan entred

inh him, and diversion that he used and communed with the Chief Prints, and Outprints, how he might being Chiris and them: It may be answered, that by the Shifting of Safara (that is the Brenny) into him. In meant, the bottles of Leaterous interfinit on estilling bit Cort and Master. For as by the Holy Glossi, is frequently in Serupture understood, the Geness and good IndinaSons grient by the Holy Glossi; so by the Entring of Safara, may be understood the wided Organizations, and Dergins of the Adversaries of Chiris, and its Jonda, Hefore he had any such hostile designed; so it is imperimention say, be such fits Chirtis Borny in his heart, and that the Derlin entro in hum Alerwardts. Therefore the Zukling of Satan, and his Wished Procees, was one can't the same thing.

But if there he no Immateriall Spirit, nor any Possession of meas bodies by any Spirit CorporeaR, it may again be asked, why our Saviour and his Apostles did not teach the People so; and in such cleer words, as they might no more doubt thereof. But such questions as these, are more currous, than necessary for a Christian mans Salvation. Blen may as well aske, why Christ that could have given to all men Faith, Fiety, and all manner of morall Vertues, gave it to some onely, and not to all; and why he left the search of naturall Causes, and Sciences, to the naturall Reason and Industry of men, and did not reveal it to all, or any man supernaturally; and many other such questions; Of which neverthelesse there may be alledged probable and pions reasons. For as God, when he brought the Israelites into the Land of Promise, did not secure them therein, by subdning all the Nations round about them; but left many of them, as thornes in their sides, to awaken from time to time their Piety and Industry: so our Saviour, in conducting us toward his heavenly Kingdome, did not destroy all the difficulties of Naturall Questions; but left them to exercise our Industry, and Reason; the Scope of his preaching, being onely to show us this plain and direct way to Salvation, namely, the belesf of this Article, that he was the Christ, the Son of the living God, sent into the world to sacrifice himselfe for our Sins, and at his comming again, gloriously to revyn over his Elect. and to save them from their Enemies elernally; To which, the opinion of Possession by Spirits, or Phantasmes, are no impediment in the way; though it be to some an occasion of going out of the way, and to follow their own inventions. If wee require of the Scripture an account of all questions, which may be raised to trouble us in the performance of Gods commands; we may as well complaine of Moses for not having set downe the time of the ereation of such Spirits, as well as of the Creation of the Earth, and Sea, and of Men, and Beasts. To conclude, I find in Scripture that there be Angels, and Spirits, good and evill; but not that they are Incorporeall, as are the Apparitions men see in the Dark, or in a Dream, or Vision: which the Latines call Spectra, and took for Domons. And I find that there are Spirits Corporeall, (though subtile and Invisible;) but not that any mans body was possessed.

or inhabited by them; And that the Bodies of the Saints shall be such, namely, Spiritual Bodies, as St. Paul calls them.

Neverthelesse, the contrary Doctrine, namely, that there he Incorporcall Spirits, both hitherto so prevailed in the Church, that the use of Exorcisme, (that is to say, of election of Devills hy Conjugation) is thereinon built: and (though rarely and faintly practised) is not yet totally given over. That there were many Demoniaques in the Primitive Church, and few Mad-men. and other such singular diseases: whereas in these times we hear of, and see many Mad-men, and few Diemoniaques, proceeds not from the change of Nature; but of Names. But how it comes to passe, that whereas heretofore the Aportles, and after them for a time, the Pastors of the Church, dol cure those sugular Diseases, which now they are not seen to doe: as likewise, why it is not in the power of every true Beleaver now, to doe all that the Faithfull did then, that is to say, as we read (Mark 16, 17.) In Christs name to cast out Devills, to sneak with new Tonques, to take up Scruents, to drink deadly Poison without harm taking, and to cure the Sick by the lawing on of their hands, and all this without other words, but in the Name of Jesus, is another question. And it is probable, that those extraordinary gifts were given to the Church, for no longer a time, than men trusted wholly to Christ, and looked for their felicity onely in his Kingdome to come: and consequently, that when they someht Authority, and Riches, and trusted to their own Subtility for a Kingdome of this world, these supernaturall gifts of God ware again taken from them.

Another rehoue of Gentilisme, is the Worship of Images, neither instituted by Moses in the Old, nor by Christ in the New Testament, nor yet brought in from the Gentiles; but left amongst them, after they had given their names to Christ. Before our Saviour preached, it was the generall Religion of the Gentiles, to worship for Gods, those Apparences that remain in the Brain from the impression of external Bodies upon the organs of their Senses, which are commonly called Ideas, Idols, Phantasmes, Conceits, as being Representations of those external Bodies, which cause them, and have nothing in them of reality, no more than there is in the things that seem to stand before us in a Dream: And this is the reason why St. Paul says. Wee know that an Idol is Nothing: Not that he thought that an Image of Metall, Stone, or Wood, was nothing: but that the thing which they honored, or feared in the Image, and held for a God, was a meer Figment, without place, habitation, motion, or existence, but in the motions of the Brain. And the worship of these with Divine Honour, is that which is in the Scripture called Idolatry, and Rebellion against God. For God being King of the Jews, and his Lieutenant being first Moses. and afterward the High Priest; if the people had been permitted to worship, and pray to Images, (which are Representations of their own Fancies.) they had had no farther dependence on the true God. of whom their can be no simulatude, nor on his prome Ministers, \*~ 697

Moses, and the High Priests; but every man had governed himself according to his own appetite, to the utter cression of the Commonwealth, and their own destruction for wart of Union. And therefore the first law of Ged was, They should not take for Odds, atmos conclusion of commens with Moses, and by mis of the their inva and directions, for filter passe, and for their salution from their mennes. Lampt the Worksport Offlare nucleons. For its the same deposing of a King, to minute on other King, whether he be set up by a maghbar mation, at br our seven

The phases of Scripture pretended to constranance the setting up of Images, to workship therm, or to set them up at all mits phases where God is workshiped, are Trink two Eramples; one of the Scoodly, const tasks wheren'y we nee commanied to workship crists Scoodly, const tasks wheren'y we nee commanied to workship the Scoodly, const tasks wheren'y we nee commanied to workship the And lastly, isome other tests, by which is asthormed, a religious housening of Holy things. But before I samine the force of these is to be understood by Workshipping, and with at y funges, and Idds

I have already shown m the 20 Chapter of this Discourse, that to Hono; it to value highly the Power of any periods, and that such values measured, by our comparing him with others. Both because them is noting to be compared with Ged m frows, we Honor them Shonor is properly of its own maters, ascrets, and internall in the heart. But the inward thoughts of measures, and internall in the heart. But the inward thoughts of measures, and internall in the pear. But the inward thoughts of measures, and internall in the pears of the second second second second second second second Paray to, to Swear by, to Obey, to be Dilignt, and Officious in Offend or Desire Dilense and Yorahy, whole these we have actions be names, or foigned: and because they appear as signed of theoring, second below.

The Working we exhibite to those we esteem to be but men, as to Knap, and men if a kathority, a fould Working. Each sevenity we exhibite to that winds we think to bes God, whatewere the working examine a seven of other a kathority, a four the sevenity we down the sevenity of the sevenity of the sevenity of the Circli Working. And is that but putted in of his hat in the Clurch, for this cause, that he thinked in the down the down of the with Durae Working. They this seek the distinction of Dirities and Circli Working, not in the metation of the Moreking Sevenity in the Working Solid, and X-rpris, device themselven. For this are absolution of the Moreking the Moreking Solid is of the and and their Beauxy in the gover of the Mission within a of the more absolution of the Mission of the Mission of the Solid war, and their Beauxy makes bodies are not in their own power, (then three dopending on the Wild of them Matsex, in such manner as to

forfeit them upon the least dischedience.) and that are bought and sold as Beasts, were called Asolve, that is properly, Slaves, and their Service Aughtiz: The other, which is of those that serve (for hire, or in hope of benefit from their Masters) voluntarily; are called Obres: that is, Domestione Servants: to whose service the Masters have no further right, than is contained in the Covenants made betwixt them. These two kinds of Servants have thus much common to them both, that their is appointed them by another: And the word Advess is the generall name of both. signifying him that worketh for another, whether, as a Slave, or a voluntary Servant: So that Auroria signifieth generally all Service; but Assist the service of Bondmen onely and the condition of Slavery: And both are used in Scripture (to signific our Service of God) promiscuously, Aouheta, because we are Gods Slaves; Aarosia, because wee Serve him: and in all kinds of Service is contained, not onely Obedience, but also Worship; that is, such Actions, gestures, and words, as signific Honor.

An INAGE (in the most strict signification of the word) is the Resemblance of some thing visible: In which sense the Phantasticall Formes, Annaritions, or Seemings of visible Bodies to the Sight, are onely Images: such as are the Shew of a man, or other thing in the Water, by Reflexion, or Refraction; or of the Sun, or Stars by Direct Vision in the Air: which are nothing reall in the things seen. nor in the place where they seem to bee; nor are their magnitudes and figures the same with that of the object; but changeable, by the variation of the organs of Sight, or by classes; and are present oftentimes in our Imagination, and in our Dreams, when the object is absent: or changed into other colours, and shapes, as things that depend onely upon the Fancy. And these are the Images which are originally and most properly called Ideas, and IDOLS, and derived from the language of the Gracians, with whom the word Elow significth to See. They are also called PRANTASMES, which is in the same language. Apparitions. And from these Images it is that one of the faculties of mans Nature, is called the Imagination. And from hence it is manifest, that there neither is, nor can bee any Image made of a thing Invisible.

It is also evident, that there can be no Irage of a thing Infinite: for all he Images, and Phartmanne that are mult by the Impresson of things visible, are figured : but Figure is a quantity every way determined : and therefore there can be an on Image of God; nor of the Soule of Man; nor of Spirits; but onely of Bodies Visible, that is, Bodies that have light in themselves, or are by such milphened.

And whereas a man can fancy Shapes he never saw; making up a Figure out of the parts of divers cestures; as the Posts make their Containes, Chimana, and other Monsters sever sem: So can be long join Matter to these Shapes, and make team in Wood, Chy or a bar of the start of the seven in the seven in the start of the seven several thing, but for the smembhanes of some Planterial Inholitants of the Plance. Dut in these Idols, as they are originally in the Brain, and as they are painted, carved, monifed, or mouthern in matter, there is a similited of the one to the other, for which the Materiall Body made by Art, may be said to be the Image of the Phantasticall Idoll made by Nature.

But in a larger use of the word Image, is contained also, any Representation of one thing by another. So an earthly Soveraign may be called the Image of God: And an inferiour Magistrate the Image of an earthly Soversign. And many times in the Idolatry of the Gontiles there was little regard to the similitude of their Materiall Idol to the Idol in their fancy, and yet it was called the Image of it. For a Stone unkown has been set up for Neptune, and divers other shapes far different from the shapes they conceived of their Gods. And at this day we see many Images of the Virgin Mary, and other Saints, unlike one another, and without correspondence to any one mans Fancy; and yet sorve well enough for the purpose they were erected for: which was no more but by the Names onely, to represent the Persons mentioned in the History, to which every man applyeth a Mentall Image of his owne making, or none at all. And thus an Image in the largest sonse, is either the Resemblance, or the Representation of some thing Visible; or both together, as it happeneth for the most part.

But the name of Idoll is extended yet further in Scripture, to signific also the Sunne, or a Starre, or any other Creature, visible or invisible, when they are worshipped for Gods.

Having shown what is Worship, and what an Image; I will now put them together, and examine what that Inclarex is, which is forbidden in the Second Commandement, and other places of the Scripture.

"To working an Image, is voluntarily to doe these evternal acts, which are signed benoring ishes the matter of the Image, which is Wood, Stone, Matall, or some other vusble creature; or the Plantaram of the brank, for the resemblance, or representation one animate Body, composed of the Matter and the Plantamene, as of a Body and Scale.

To be uncovered, hefore a man of Fower and Authority, or before the Throne of a Prince, or in such char places as here orialisath to that purpose in his alsence, is to Worship that man, or Prince with Cull Worship, as being a signer, not of homoring the stoole, or place, approximation of the theory of the theory of the stoole of a stool suppose the Scole of the Prince to be in the Scol, or sloudy present > Point to the Scole, it were Divine Worship, and Idoluty.

To pray to a King for such things, as here is able to doe for us, though we prostate our selves before him, is but Civili Working, broanse we acknowledge no other power in him, but humane: But voluntarily to put unto him (or far weakler, ar for any thing which other side, if a King compell a man to hiy the terrour of Death, no other side, if a King compell a man to hiy the terrour of Death, poting rest correctal punishment, its not Idolatery: For the Womhin which the Soversign commandet, to bee done unto himself by the terrour of hu Laws, is not a sign that he that objecth him, does mwardly honour him as a God, but that he is desirons to save himselfs foun death, or from a misenable lifts and that which is not a sign of internal honor, is no Workhip, and therefors no Holotry Wither can to be easil, that her that does it, seand/arteth or layed any stumbing block before his Brother; because how wiss, or larred sover a be that workhippeth in that manner, scother man cannot from thoreo argue, that he approver hi; but that he doth it for fear; and that is no this act, but the act of his Soversign.

To worshin God, in some necaliar Place, or turning a mans face towards an Image, or determinate Place, is not to worship, or honor the Place, or Image; but to acknowledge it Holv, that is to say, to acknowladge the Image, or the Place to be set apart from common use: for that is the meaning of the word Hole; which implies no new quality in the Place, or Image: but onely a new Relation by Appropriation to God; and therefore is not Idelstry; no more than it was Idolatry to worship God before the Brazen Serpent; or for the Jews when they were out of their owns countrey, to turn their faces (when they prayed) toward the Temple of Jarusalem; or for Moses to put off his Shoes when he was before the Flaming Bush. the ground appertaining to Mount Sinai; which place God had chosen to annear in, and to give his Laws to the People of Israel. and was therefore Holy ground, not by inherent exactity, but by separation to Gods use; or for Christians to worship in the Churches, which are once solemnly dedicated to God for that nurpose, by the Authority of the King, or other true Representant of the Church. But to worship God, as insulmating, or inhabiting, such Image, or place: that is to say, an infinite substance in a finite place, is Idolatry: for such finite Gods, are but Idols of the brain, nothing reall; and are commonly called in the Sompture by the names of Vanity, and Lues, and Nothing. Also to worship God, not as inanimating, or present in the place, or Image; but to the end to be put in mind of him, or of some works of his, in case the Place, or Image be dedicated, or set up by private authority, and not by the authority of them that are our Soveraien Pastors, is Idolatry. For the Commandement is. Thou shalt not make to the selfe any graven Image. God commanded Moses to set up the Brazen Serpent; hee did not make it to himselle; it was not therefore against the Commandement. But the making of the Golden Galfe by Aaron, and the People, as being done without authority from God, was Idolatry; not onely because they held it for God, but also because they made it for a Religious use, without warrant either from God their Soveraign, or from Moses, that was his Lieutenant.

The Gentlis's worshipped for Gods, Jupiter, and others; that living, were men perhaps that had done great and glorious Acte; and for the Children of God, divers men and women, supposing them gotten between an Immortall Delty, and a mortall man. Thus was Idolarty, because they made them so to themselves, having

no authority from God, neither in his sternall Law of Reason, nor in his positive and revealed Will. But though our Saviour was a man, whom wee also beloeve to bee God Immortall, and the Son of God; yet this is no Idolatry; because wee build not that beleef upon our own favoy, or judgment, but upon the Word of God revealed in the Scriptuces. And for the adoration of the Eucharist, if the words of Christ, This is my Body, signific, that he hamselfe, and the seeming bread in his hand, and not onely so, but that all the seeming morsells of bread that have ever since been, and any type hereafter shall bee consecrated by Priests, bee so many Christs bodies, and yet all of them but one body, then is that no Idolatry, because it is authorized by our Saviour: but if that text doe not signific that, (for there is no other that can be alledged for it,) then, because it is a worship of humane institution, it is Idolatry. For it is not enough to say, God can transubstantiate the Bread into Christs Body: For the Gentiles also held God to be Omnipotent: and might upon that ground no lesse excuse their Idolatry, by pretending, as well as others, a transubstantiation of their Wood, and Stone into God Almighty.

Whereas three be, that pretend Drive Inequintion, to be a supercontrail entring of the forly Ghost host a man, and stort of Good graves, by donting, and study, I think they are an a very believe to be as unpixed, they full into impleys, an not activity Gods supernatural Presnos. And again, if they worship them, they commit Holdstry, for the Aposles would ever permit themashes to be a warshipped. Therefore the astor way is to helever, Carles Forsthring on them, when the spectra of the Hold Starashes to be a warshipped. Therefore the astor way is to helever, Carles Forsthring on theor, when he gave them to Hold Ghou, and by the giving of is by Imposition of Hands, are understood the signess which God hub hem pleased to use, or ordinit to be susted. It for the Ediving to others, when their induct to be Sandeloud.

Beddes the Idolatron Working of Images, there is also a Sondalous Working of them, who is sho a sin, but not Idolatry. For Idolatry is to working by signs of an internal, and reall boour: the Sondalous Working is the Stealing Working, and may some the Image and with Full internal, and may find the source internal states and the source of the state of the state of the source of the source of the state of the state gravous panishment, and is novertholesses a sin in hem that a working, in case they be new whole actions are loaded at by others, as light to guide them by; because following their ways, shey concot the statemings, and fail in the way of Haigingt. Whereas the to our own diligness and caution; and consequently are no causes of our stalling.

If therefore a Pastor lawfully called to teach and direct others,

or any other, of whose knowledge there is a great optaion, doe externall honor to an Idol for fear: unlesse he make his feare, and unwillingnesse to it, as evident as the worship; he Scandalizeth his Brother, by seaming to approve Idolatry. For his Brother arguing from the action of his teacher, or of him whose knowledge he esteemeth great, concludes it to bee lawfull in it selfe. And this Scandall, is Sin, and a Scandall given. But if one being no Pastor, nor of eminent reputation for knowledge in Christian Doctrine. doe the same, and another follow him: this is no Scandall given: for he had no cause to follow such example: but is a pretence of Scandall which hee taketh of himselfe for an excuse before men: For an unlearned man, that is in the power of an Idelatrous King, or State, if commanded on pain of death to worship before an Idoll, hee detesteth the Idoll in his heart, hes doth well: though if he had the fortitude to suffer death, rather than worship it, he should doe hetter. But if a Pastor, who as Christs Messenger, has undertaken to teach Christs Doctrine to all nations, should doe the same, it were not onely a sinfull Scandall, in respect of other Christian mens consciences, but a perfidious forsaking of his charge.

The summe of that which I have said hitherto, concerning the Worship of Images, is this, that he that worshippeth in an Image, or any Creature, either the Matter thereof, or any Fancy of his own. which he thinketh to dwell in it; or both together; or beleeveth that such things hear his Prayers, or see his Devotions, without Ears, or Eyes, committeth Idolatry: and he that counterfecteth such Worship for fear of punishment, if he bee a man whose example hath power amongst his Brethren, committein a sin: But he that worshippeth the Greator of the world before such an Image, or in such a place as he hath not made, or chosen of himselfe, but taken from the commandement of Gods Word, as the Jewes did in worshipping God before the Cherubins, and before the Brazen Serpent for a time, and in, or towards the Temple of Jerusalem, which was also but for a time, committeth not Idolatry.

Now for the Worship of Saints, and Images, and Reliques, and other things at this day practised in the Church of Rome, I say they are not allowed by the Word of God, nor brought into the Church of Rome, from the Dootrine there taught; but partly left in it at the first conversion of the Gentles: and afterwards countenanced. and confirmed, and augmented by the Bishops of Rome.

As for the proofs alledged out of Scripture, namely, those examples of Images appointed by God to bee set up; They were not set up for the people, or any man to worship; but that they should worship God himselfe before them: as before the Cherubins over the Ark. and the Brazen Serpent. For we read not, that the Priest, or any other did worship the Chernbins; but contrarily wee read (2 Kings 18, 4.) that Hezekiah brake in pieces the Brazen Serpent which Moses had set up, because the People burnt incense to it. Besides, those examples are not put for our Imitation, that we also should set up Images, under pretence of worshipping God

before them; because the words of the second Commandement. Thou shalt not make to thy selfe any graven Image, &c. distinguish between the Images that God commanded to be set up, and those which wee set up to our solves And therefore from the Cherubins, or Brazen Serpent, to the Images of mans devising; and from the Worship commanded by God, to the Will-Worship of men, the argument is not good. This also is to bee considered, that as Hezekiah brake in pieces the Brazen Serpent, because the Jews did worship it, to the end they should doe so no more; so also Christian Soversigns ought to break down the Images which their Subjects have been accustomed to worship; that there be no more occasion of such Idolatry. For at this day, the ignorant People, where Images are worshipped, doe really beleeve there is a Divine Power in the Images: and are told by their Pastors, that some of them have spoken; and have bled, and that miracles have been done by them; which they apprehend as done by the Saint, which they think either is the Image it self, or in it. The Israelites, when they worshipped the Calfe, did think they worshipped the God that brought them out of Egypt; and yet it was Idolatry, because they thought the Calfe either was that God, or had him in his belly And though some man may think it impossible for people to be so stunid, as to think the Image to be God, or a Saint; or to worship it in that notion; yet it is manifest in Scripture to the contrary; where when the Golden Calfe was made, the people said,' These are thy Gods O Israel ; and where the Images of Laban \* are called his Gods. And wee see daily by experience in all sorts of Peoule, that such men as study nothing but their food and case, are content to beleeve any absurdity, rather than to trouble themselves to examine it: holding their faith as it were by entaile unabenable, except by an expresse and new Law.

But they inferre from some other places, that it is lawfull to paint Angels, and also God himselfe: as from Gods walking in the Garden ; from Jacobs seeing God at the top of the ladder; and from other Visions, and Dreams. But Visions, and Dreams, whether naturall, or supernaturall, are but Phantasmes: and he that painteth an Image of any of them, maketh not an Image of God, but of his own Phantasm, which is, making of an Idol. I say not, that to draw a Picture after a fancy, is a Sin; but when it is drawn, to hold it for a Representation of God, is against the second Commandement; and can be of no use, but to worship. And the same may be said of the Images of Angals, and of men dead: unlesse as Monuments of friends, or of men worthy remembrance; For such use of an Image, is not Worship of the Image: but a civil honoring of the Person, not that is, but that was: But when it is done to the Image which we make of a Saint, for no other reason, but that we think he heareth our prayers, and is pleased with the honour wee doe him when dead, and without sense, wee attribute to him more than humane nower: and therefore it is Idolatry,

\* Exod. 32, 2. Gen. 37, 30.

## CHAP. 45] The Kingdome of Darknesse 361

Securg therefore there is no sufficient, neuther in the Law of Mozes, nor in the Gorgel, for the religious Worship of Images, or other Representations of God, which mats set up to themselvers; or for the Worship of the Image of any Constance in Research or East, no Representation of God, are not to be worshipped by the Subjects Representants of God, are not to be worshipped by the Subjects, and the Gorgel and the Subject of Subjects and the Subject Representant of God, are not to be worshipped by the Subjects, the Subject of International Subject of Subjects and Subject Representants of God, are not to be worshipped by the Subjects, the Subject of International Subject of Subject of Subject Representant of the Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of the Gorgel Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of the Gorgel Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of the Gorgel Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of the Gorgel Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of Subject of the Gorgel Subject of Subjec

The cause whereof, was the immoderate esteem, and prices set upon the workmanship of them, which made the owners (though converted, from worshipping them as they had done Religiously for Deemons) to retain them still in their houses, upon pretence of doing it in the honor of Christ, of the Viroin Mary, and of the Anosiles, and other the Pastors of the Primitive Church; as being ease, by giving them now names, to make that an Image of the Virgin Mary, and of her Sonne, our Saviour, which before perhaps was called the Image of Venue, and Camid: and so of a Juniter to make a Barnabas, and of Mercury a Paul, and the like. And as worldly ambition creeping by degrees into the Pastors, drew them to an endeavour of pleasing the new made Christians; and also to a hking of this kind of honour, which they also might hope for after their decease, as well as those that had already gained it; so the worshupping of the Images of Christ and his Apostles, grew more and more Idelatrous: save that somewhat after the time of Constanting, divers Emperors, and Bishops, and generall Councells observed, and opposed the unlawfulnesse thereof; but too late, or too weakly.

The Caronicaire of Sainta, is another Relique of Gantilimen: It is nother a misundestanding of Soripara, nor a new unvection of the Roman Church, but a contone as another as the Common-wealth of Rome it sail. The first that severe was canonical at Knost, was been as the Sainta severe as a solution of the Sainta severe by him, ho dredt in Bravera, and react motion of the Sainta severe of him, ho dredt him Bravera, and reach the Sainta Sainta Sainta Sainta sever malding astaintain of the Sainta Sainta Coster, and other Engenerate sites that, had the Massachi and reas assured by the solution of the Sainta Sainta Sainta Coster, and other Engenerate sites that, had the Massachi and the Sainta Sain

It is also from the Roman Heathen, that the Popse have received the name, and power of Powertraw MAXING. This was the name of him that in the ancient Common-wealth of Rome, had the Supremo Anthority under the Sanate and Pople, of regulating all Ceremonies, and Dostrines concerning their Religion: And when Augustue Green changed the State into a Monarchy, he took to

himselfs no more but this office, and that of Tribune of the People. (that is to say, the Supreme Power both in State, and Religion:) and the succeeding Emperant enjoyed the same. But when the Emperor Constantine lived, who was the first that professed and authorized Christian Religion, it was consonant to his profession. to cause Religion to be regulated (under his authority) by the Bishon of Rome: Though it doe not appear they had so soon the name of Pontafer: but rather, that the succeeding Bishops took it of them. selves, to countenance the power they exercised over the Bishops of the Roman Provinces. For it is not any Priviledge of St. Peter, but the Priviledge of the City of Rome, which the Emperors were alwaics willing to unhold, that gave them such authority over other Bishops: as may be evidently seen by that, that the Bishop of Constantmople, when the Emperour made that City the Seat of the Empire, pretended to bee equal to the Bishon of Rome: though at last, not without contention, the Pope carryed it, and became the Pontifex Maximus; but in right onely of the Emperour; and not without the bounds of the Empire: nor any where, after the Emperour had lost his power in Rome: though it were the Popp himself that took his power from him. From whence wee may by the way observe that there is no place for the superiority of the Pone over other Bishops, except in the territories whereof he is himself the Civill Soveraign; and where the Emperour having Soveraign Power Civill, hath expressely chosen the Pope for the chief Pastor under hunselfe, of his Christian Subjects.

The carrying about of Images in Procession, is another Heique of the Religion of the Greeke, and Romanes: For they also carried their Idols from place to place, an a kind of Charot, which was peculiarly dedicated to that use, which the Latures called Thesas, and Vehevium Doorsen; and the Image was placed in a frame, or Smrae, which they called Fercusian: And their which they called Promps, is the same that now is manned Procession: According whereundo, amongst the Durine Honors which were given to fusias Censer by the Secate, this was one, that in the Promps for Procession; a scored Carafor, and a Shriney which were favore for the score a scored down as a Godi. Just sat this day the Popes are carried by Switzers under a Cancel.

To these Freesensions also belonged the bearing of burning Torches, and Caalde, before the Image of the Gods, both anongst the Greeks, and Romans. For afterwards the Emperors of Rome resolved the same honor; as we read of Colgingle, that is the reception to the Empire, he was carried from *Missenme* to *Rome*, in the minist of a throng of People, the ways best with Alma, and Boats for Sacriden, and burning *Torchest*. And of *Orneralia* that we resolved devices, and burning *Rowtest*. And of *Orneralia* that we not sacriden, and burning *Rowtest*. And of *Orneralia* that we resolved devices, that is, with *Torchest*, for Andelogs, were they that samongst the Greeks carried Torches lighted in the Processions of their Gods', and in processe of time, the devote, that ignores

People, did many times honor their Bishops with the like pompe of Wax Candles, and the Images of our Saviour, and the Saints, constantly, in the Church it self. And thus come in the use of Wax Candles; and was also established by some of the ancient Councells.

The Heathens had also their Aqua Lustrahs, that is to say, Holy Water. The Church of Rome imitates them also in their Holy Dayes. They had their Bacchanalia; and we have our Wakes, answering to them: They their Saturnalia, and we our Carnevalls. and Shrove-tuesdays liberty of Servants: They their Procession of Prianus: wee our fetching in, erection, and dancing about Mauroles: and Dancing is one kind of Worshin: They had their Procession called Ambarvalia; and we our Procession shout the fields in the Romation week. Nor do I think that these are all the Ceremonies that have been left in the Church, from the first conversion of the Gentiles: but they are all that I can for the present call to mind; and if a man would wel observe that which is delivered in the Histories, concerning the Belgious Rites of the Greeks and Romanes. I doubt not but he might find many more of these old empty Bottles of Gentilisme, which the Doctors of the Romane Church, either by Negligence, or Ambition, have filled up again with the new Wine of Christianity, that will not faile in time to break them.

#### CHAP. XLVI

Of DARKNESSE from VAIN PHILOSOPHY, and PABULOUS TRADITIONS

By Purnceerer, a undertood the Knowledge acquired by Reasonmay, from the Marsner of the Generation of any thing, to the Properties, or from the Properties, to some possible Way of Generations of the same; to the end to be sold to produce, and for so matter, and humans for general, each Effects, os humans life requireds. So the Generativitain, from the Construction of Figure Induced and Tomary Properteen the second second second second second second second to the second second second second second second second the Reasoning; to the end to be able to measure tada, and Watter and for infinite other used. So the Astronomer, from the Riang, Harrans, finite out the Cause of Day, and Duph, and of the different Scavene of the Very, whereby he keepeth an account of Three: And the loss of the Scavenes.

By which Definition it is wrident, that we are not to account is any part thereof, that original knowledge called Expenses, any which consistent Predence: Because it is not attained by Reasoning, bot found as well. In Brute Beast, as in Man; and is but a Memory of accessions of events in tunes part, wherein the omission of every likel eiromatance olitering the effect, frastratieth the expectation of the most Prudent: whereas nothing is produced by Reasoning arith, but general, esternal, and immutable Furture. Nor are we therefore to give that name to any false Conclusions: For he that Reasoneth aright in words he understandeth, can never conclude an Error:

Nor to that which any man knows by supernaturall Revelation; because it is not acquired by Reasoning:

Nor that which is gottom by Reasoning from the Authority of Books; because it is not by Reasoning from the Cause to the Effect, nor from the Effect to the Cause; and is not Knowledg, but Faith.

The faculty of Reasoning being consequent to the use of Speech. it was not possible, but that there should have been some generall Truthes found out by Reasoning, as ancient almost as Language it selfe. The Savages of America, are not without some good Morall Sentences: also they have a httle Arithmetick, to adde, and divide in Numbers not too great: but they are not therefore Philosophers. For as there were Plants of Corn and Wine in small quantity dispersed in the Fields and Woods, before men knew their vertue, or made use of them for their nourishment, or planted them apart in Fields, and Vinewards; in which time they fed on Akorns, and drank Water: so also there have been divers true, generall, and profitable Speculations from the beginning; as being the naturall plants of humane Reason: But they were at first but few in number; men lived upon grosse Experience; there was no Method; that is to say, no Sowing, nor Planting of Knowledge by it self, apart from the Weeds, and common Plants of Errour and Conjecture: And the cause of it being the want of leasure from procuring the necessities of life, and defending themselves against their neighbors, it was impossible, till the crecting of great Commonwealthe, it should be otherwise. Leasure is the mother of Philosophy: and Commonwealth, the mother of Peace, and Leasure; Where first were great and flourishing Critics, there was first the study of Philosophy. The Gymnosophists of India, the Magi of Persia, and the Priests of Chaldre and Egypt, are counted the most ancient Philosophers; and those Countrevs were the most ancient of Kingdomes. Philosophy was not risen to the Gravans, and other people of the West, whose Common-wealths (no greater perhaps then Lucca, or Geneva) had never Peace, but when their fears of one another were equali; nor the Leasure to observe any thing but one another At length, when Warre had united many of these Gracian lesser Cities, into fewer, and greater; then began Seven men, of severall parts of Greece, to get the reputation of being Wise; some of them for Morall and Politique Sentences; and others for the learning of the Chaldwans and Ecuptions, which was Astronomy, and Geometry. But we hear not yet of any Schools of Philosophy.

After the Aftennase by the overthrow of the Persian Armies, had gotten the Dominons of the Seas, and thereby of all the Islands, and Maritime Cities of the Archipelage, as well of Asia as Burops; and were grown wealthy; they that had no employment, neither at home, nor abroad, had little else to employ themselves in, but either fas St. Luke saws, Ads 17 21. In stillary and hearing neared, or un disconting of Philosophy publiquely to the youth of the City, Every Master took some place for this parpose. Plate in certain publique Walks called *Academia*, from one *Academus*. *Aristéle* in the Walk of the Temple of Para, called Lyzeum: others in the Sito, or covered Walk, wherein the Marchania Goods were brought to land: others in other places. Where they pacet the trans of the many place, where they could get the youth of the Sito (pacether to any place, where they could get the youth of the Sito (pacether to when he was Ambaseadour: which source of corrupting the namese of they young mon that designted to hear him speak (as they thought) fine things.

From this is was, that the place where any of them taught, and deputed, was called Solida, which in their Tongons signifieds *Leasure*, and their Unputations, *Distribu*, that is to say, *Passing of the line:* of them from these their School For they that followed *Platics* Dottino, were called *Academynes*: The followers of *Aristics*, *Perjectorgens*, from the Walk he taught in; and those that *Econ* tangits, *Solipsis*, from the *Solie*, as if we should denominate more tangits, *Solipsis*, from the *Solie* and *Distribution*.

Neverthelesse, men were so much taken with this custome, that in time it spread it solfs over all Europe, and the best part of Afrique; so as there were Schools publiquely erected, and maintained for Lectures, and Disputations, almost in every Common-wealth.

Three ware also Solocols, anciently, both beform, and atter the time of our Sorviru, annorate the Jense but they were Solocols of their Law. For through they were called Symapopure, that is to are, Compregations of the People's year is as much as the Law was avery not in nature, but in the name onely from Publique Scholer, and were not onely in Jernakom, but in every Givy of thers at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault interd, to presearch Three were chars at Antion's Avernito Fault and Theselowing, wherein the restrict, to dispute And and of Areas, that were strangers in Jernakow. And of this Schole they were that disputed (Ar. C. 9.) with Saind Steen.

But what has been the Utility of those Schools' what Science is there as this day sequired by their Bendings and Disputings! That were have of Cormetry, which is the Mother of all Naturall Science, we are not indelvied for its the Schools. For the base Philosopher of the Greeks, forhad mutramee into his Schools, to all that were not already in more measure Genometrican. Three were many that studied that Sciences to the greet advantage of mukingt. but there is no moeting of their Schools, nor wes three any Sect of Geometricians; nor did they then passe under the name of Philosophers. The natural Philosophy of those Schools, was rather a Dream than Science, and set forth in senselesse and insignificant Language: which cannot be avoided by those that will teach Philosophy, without having first attained great knowledge in Geometry. For Nature worketh by Motion: the Wayes, and Degrees whereof cannot be known, without the knowledge of the Proportions and Properties of Lines, and Figures. Their Morall Philosophy is but a description of their own Passions. For the rule of Manners, without Civill Government, is the Law of Nature: and in it, the Law Civill; that determineth what is Honest, and Dishonest; what is Just, and Unsust; and generally what is Good, and Evill: whereas they make the Rules of Good, and Bad, by their own Liking, and Disliking; By which means, in so great diversity of taste, there is nothing generally agreed on; but every one doth (as far as he dares) whatsoever seemsth good in his owns eves, to the subversion of Common-wealth. Their Logique which should bee the Method of Reasoning, is nothing else but Captions of Words. and Inventions how to puzzle such as should goe about to pose them. To conclude, there is nothing so absurd, that the old Philosophers (as Cicero south, who was one of them) have not some of them maintained. And I beloeve that scarce any thing can be more absurdly said in naturall Philosophy, than that which now is called Aristotles Metaphysiques: nor more repugnant to Government, than much of that hes hath said in his Politiques; nor more ignorantly, than a great part of his Ethiones.

The Schoole of the Jews, was originally a Schoole of the Law of Moses: who commanded (Dead, 31, 10.) that at the end of every seventh year, at the Feast of the Tabernacies, it should be read to all the neople, that they might hear, and learn it: Therefore the reading of the Law (which was in use after the Captivity) every Subbath day, ought to have had no other end, but the sequainting of the people with the Commandements which they were to obey, and to expound unto them the writings of the Prophete. But it is manifest, by the many reprehensions of them by our Saviour, that they corrupted the Text of the Law with their false Commentaries. and vain Traditions; and so little understood the Prophets, that they did neither acknowledge Christ, nor the works he did, of which the Prophets propherved. So that by their Lectures and Disputations in their Synagogues, they turned the Doctrine of their Law into a Phantasticall kind of Philosophy, concerning the incomprehensible nature of God, and of Spirits; which they compounded of the Vain Philosophy and Theology of the Grecians, mingled with their own fancies, drawn from the obscurer places of the Scripture, and which might most easily bee wrested to their purpose; and from the Fabulous Traditions of their Ancestors.

That which is now called an University, is a Joyning together, and an Incorporation under one Government of many Publique Schools, in one and the same Town or City. In which, the principal Schools

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

were ordained for the three Professions, that is to say, of the Romane Region, of the Romane Law, and of the Art of Medisins. And for the extudy of Thilosophy it bath no otherwise place, then as a hand maid to the Romane Relignon: And a final study is not properly Follosophy, (the nature where dispondent not on Authora) that Artistechtigt. And notherwise is a contrast the study is not properly Follosophy, (the notherwise is a contrast the final study is not properly follosophy, the notherwise is a contrast the study is not properly follosophy in the initial transformer is a contrast the study of the study of

Now to descend to the particular Tenets of Vam Philosophy, derived to the Universities, and thence into the Church, partly from Aristotle, partly from Blindnesse of understanding; I shall first consider their Principles. There is a certain Philosophia prima, on which all other Philosophy ought to depend: and consistette principally, in right limiting of the significations of such Appellations, or Names, as are of all others the most Universall: Which Limitations serve to avoid ambiguity, and sequivocation in Reasoning; and are commonly called Definitions; such as are the Definitions of Body, Tune, Place, Matter, Forme, Essence, Subject, Substance, Accident, Power, Act, Finite, Infinite, Quantity, Quality, Motion. Action. Passion, and divers others, necessary to the explaining of a mans Conceptions concerning the Nature and Generation of Bodies. The Explication (that is, the setling of the meaning) of which, and the like Terms, is commonly in the Schools called Melaphysiques; as being a part of the Philosophy of Aristotla, which hath that for title: but it is in another sense: for there it significath as much, as Books written, or placed after his natural! Philosophy: But the Schools take them for Books of supernatural Philosophic for the word Metanhumaues will bear both these senses. And indeed that which is there written, is for the most part so far from the possibility of being understood, and so renugnant to natural Reason, that whoseever thinketh there is any thing to bee understood by it, must needs think it supernaturall.

Trun times Mitaphysiques, which are minjed with the Scripture to make School Dirinity, we are solid, there be in the world ortical Ensences separated from Boches, which they call *Abstract Brannes*, and *Substantial Format*. Tor the Interpreting of which Jargar, black and a state of the second second second second second black and the period of these that are not used to this kind of Discourse, for applying my selfs to those that are. The World, (I mean not the Earth onely, that docominates the Lovers of it Worldy sen, out the *Graverse*, that is, the whole massed all things that are just compared. The second second second second of Magnitude, nonerly, Length, Rushl, and Dguits, she every part consequently every part of the Universe, in Bochy, and that which is not Pody is to part of the Universe. In Bochy, and that which a All, that which is no purt of it, is Nedbarg; and concequently as where. Nor close it follow from heres, that Squire are adding: for itely have dimensions, and are therefore really Zedérs; though that name in common Speech begiven to such Bottiss only, as are reliable, or palpable, that is, that have some degree of Opadity: But for Spirits, layer all them incomposed by the such sources bottiss, and are prevention of the second second

To know now upon what grounds they say there be Essences Abstract, or Substantiall Formes, wee are to consider what those words do properly signific. The use of Words, is to register to our selves, and make manifest to others the Thoughts and Conceptions of our Munds. Of which Words, some are the names of the Things conceived; as the names of all sorts of Bodies, that work upon the Senses, and leave an Impression in the Imagination: Others are the names of the Imaginations themselves: that is to say, of those Ideals, or mentall Images we have of all things wee see, or remember: And others agains are names of Names; or of different sorts of Speech: As Universall, Plurall, Singular, are the names of Names; and Definition, Affirmation, Negation, True, False, Sullagisme, Interrogation, Promise, Covenant, are the names of certain Forms of Speech. Others serve to shew the Consequence, or Repugnance of one name to another: as when one south, A Man is a Body, hee intendeth that the name of Body is necessarily consequent to the name of Man: as being but several names of the same thing. Man: which Consequence is signified by coupling them together with the word Is. And as wen use the Verbe Is: so the Latines use their Verbe Est, and the Greeks their Bors through all its Declinations. Whether all other Nations of the world have in their severall langnaces a word that answeret's to it, or not. I cannot tell: but I am sure they have not need of it: For the placing of two names in order may serve to signifie their Consequence, if it were the oustome, (for Custome is it, that give words their force.) as well as the words Is or Ree, or Are, and the like.

And if it were iso, that there were a Language without any Verb answershie to Zei, or I, or Zei, yie the mon shat used it would be not a jot the lesse capable of Inferring, Concluding, and of all kind of Reasing, than were the Greak, and Latinae. But what then would become of these Tirms, of Zeiting Zestane, Essential, Kassa-Kabuy, that are actived from it, and of many more that depend on these, applyed as most commonly they are! They are therefore no Names of Things, but Signes by what were make horows, that were as when we say, a Man, us, a living Zeiting, were mean not that the Man a one thing, the Leving Zeity another, and the I, or Rearg third's but that the Man, and the Living Zeidy, is the same thing because the Consequence, if the Seit a Man, Next is inving Zeity, are

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

true Consequence, signified by that word Is. Therefore, to bee a Rody, to Walke, to bes Speaking, to Live, to See, and the like Infinitives; also Corporeity, Walking, Speaking, Life, Sighi, and the like, that signific just the same, are the names of Nothing; as I have elsowhere more amply expressed.

But to what purpose (may some man say) is such subtility in a work of this nature, where I pretend to nothing but what is necessary to the doctrine of Government and Obedience? It is to this purpose. that men may no longer suffer themselves to be abused, by them, that by this doctrine of Separated Essences, built on the Vain Philosophy of Aristotle, would fright them from Obeying the Laws of their Countrey, with empty names; as men fright Birds from the Corn with an empty doublet, a hat, and a crocked stick. For it is upon this ground, that when a Man is dead and buried, they say his Soule (that is his Life) can walk separated from his Body, and is seen by night amongst the graves. Upon the same ground they say, that the Figure, and Colour, and Tast of a peece of Bread, has a being, there, where they say there is no Bread And upon the same ground they say, that Faith, and Wisdome, and other Vertues are sometimes powered into a man, sometimes blown into him from Heaven: as if the Vertuous, and their Vertues could be asunder. and a great many other things that serve to lesson the dependance of Subjects on the Soveraign Power of their Countrey. For who will endeavour to obey the Laws, if he expect Obcdicace to be Powred or Blown into hun ? Or who will not obey a Priest, that can make God, rather than his Soveraign, nay than God himselfe? Or who, that is in fear of Ghosts, will not bear great respect to those that can make the Holy Water, that drives them from him? And this shall suffice for an example of the Errors, which are brought into the Church, from the Entities, and Essences of Aristotle: which it may be he knew to be false Philosophy; but writ it as a thing consonant to, and corroborative of their Religion; and fearing the fate of Socrates.

Being once fallen into this Error of Senarated Essences, they are thereby necessarily involved in many other absurdities that follow it. For seeing they will have these Forms to be reall, they are obliged to assign them some place. But because they hold them incorporeall, without all dimension of Quantity, and all men know that Place is Dimension, and not to be filled, but by that which is Corporeall; they are driven to uphold their credit with a distinction. that they are not indeed any where Circumscriptive, but Definitive: Which Terms being meer Words, and in this occasion insignificant, passe onely in Latine, that the vanity of them may bee concealed. For the Circumscription of a thing, is nothing else but the Determination, or Defining of its Place; and so both the Terms of the Distinction are the same. And in particular, of the Essence of a Man, which (they say) is his Soule, they affirm it, to be All of it in his little Finger, and All of it in every other Part (how small soever) of his Body; and yet no more Soule in the Whole Body, than in any one absurdities? And yet all this is necessary to beleeve, to those that will beleeve the Existence of an Incorporeall Soule, Separated from the Body.

And when they come to give account, how an Incorporeall Substance can be capable of Pain, and be tormented in the fire of Hell, or Purgatory, they have nothing at all to answer, but that it cannot baknown how fire can burn Soules

Again, whereas Motion is change of Place, and Incorporeall Substances are not espable of Place, they are troubled to make it seem possible, how a Soule can goe hence, without the Body to Heaven, Hell, or Purgatory: and how the Ghosts of men (and I may adde of their clothes which they annear in) can walk by night in Churches, Church-yards, and other places of Sepulture. To which I know not what they can answer, unlesse they will say, they walke, definitive, not circumscriptive, or spiritually, not temporally: for such erregious distinctions are equally applicable to any difficulty whatsonver.

For the meaning of Elernicy, they will not have it to be an Endlesse Succession of Time; for then they should not be able to render a reason how Gods Will, and Freeordaining of things to come, should not be before his Proscience of the same, as the Efficient Cause before the Effect, or Agent before the Action; nor of many other their hold opinions concerning the Incomprehensible Nature of God. But they will teach us, that Eternity is the Standing still of the Present Time, a Nunc-stane (as the Schools call it;) which neither they, nor any else understand, no more than they would a Hic-stans for an infinite greatnesse of Place.

And whereas men divide a Body in their thoughts, by numbring parts of it, and in numbring those parts, number also the parts of the Place it filled; it cannot be, but in making many parts, wee make also many places of those parts; whereby there cannot bee conceived in the mind of any man, more, or fewer parts, than there are places for: yet they will have us beleeve, that by the Almighty nower of God. one body may be at one and the same time in many places: and many bodies at one and the same time in one place: As if it were an acknowledgment of the Divine Power, to say, that which is, is not: or that which has been, has not been. And these are but a small part of the Incongruities they are forced to, from their disputing Philosophically, in stead of admiring, and adoring of the Divine and Incomprehensible Nature: whose Attributes cannot signifie what he is, but ought to signifie our desire to honour him. with the best Appellations we can think on. But they that venture to reason of his Nature, from these Attributes of Honour, losing their understanding in the very first attempt, fall from one Inconvenience into another, without end, and without number; in the same manner, as when a man ignorant of the Ceremonies of Court, comming into the presence of a greater Person than he is used to speak to, and stumbling at his entrance, to save himselfe from falling,

lets slip his Cloake; to recover his Cloake, lets fall his Hat; and with one disorder after another, discovers his astonishment and rusticity.

Then for Physiques, that is, the knowledge of the subordinate, and secundary causes of naturali events; they render none at all, but emoty words. If you desire to know why some kind of bodies sink naturally downwards toward the Earth, and others goe naturally from it: The Schools will tell you out of Aristotle, that the bodies that sink downwards, are Heavy; and that this Heavinesse is it that causes them to descend. But if you ask what they mean by Heavinesse, they will define it to bee an endeavour to goe to the center of the Earth: so that the cause why things sink downward, is an Endeavour to be below: which is as much as to say, that bodies descend, or ascend, because they doe. Or they will tell you the center of the Earth is the place of Rest, and Conservation for Heavy things: and therefore they endeavour to be there: As if Stones, and Metalls had a desire, or could discern the place they would bee at, as Man does; or loved Rest, as Man does not; or that a peece of Glasse were lease safe in the Window, than falling into the Sireet.

If we would know why the same Body seems prator (without adding to i) one time, than another, they say, when it soems less the is *Gundesset*; when greater, *Bartefal* What is that *Condenset*, and *Dardefal*. Condensed, is when there is in the very same Mitter, the same the same set of the same set of the same set of the constil be Maiter, that had not some determined Qhantity; when Quantity is nothing set but the Determination of Matter; that is no say of Body, by which we say one Body is greater, or isseer than another, by thus, of then much. One said is Body were made withour throw the same that afterwards more, or hease were put Dense.

For the cause of the Soule of Man, they say. Oreatur Infundendo, and Oreando Infunditur: that is, It is Greated by Powring it in, and Powred in by Greation.

For the Cause of Sanse, an ubiquity of Species; that is, of the Sheue or Apparitions of objects; which when they be Apparitions to the Eye, is Sighl; when to the Eare, Hearing; to the Polate, Tast; to the Nostell, Smelling; and to the rest of the Body, Feding.

For cause of the Will, to doe any particular action, which is called Volito, they assign the Facaelly, that is to asy, the Capacity in general, that men have, to will sometimes one thing, sometimes another, which is called Voluntas; making the Power the cause of the Act: As if one abould assign for cause of the good or evill Acts of men, that Ability to doe them.

And in many occasions they put for cause of Natural events, their own Ignorance; but digguing tin other words: As when they say, Fortnos is the cause of things contingent; that is, of things whereof they know no cause: And as when they stribute many Bietos to occul qualities; that is, qualities not known to them; and therefore also (as they thinks) to an Man else. And to Sympathy, Anapathy,

[PART 4

Antiperistasis, Specificall Qualities, and other like Termes, which signific neither the Agent that produceth them, nor the Operation by which they are produced.

If such Metaphysiques, and Physiques as this, be not Vain Philosophy, there was never any; nor needed St. Paul to give us warning to avoid it.

And for their Morall, and Civil Philosophy, it hath the same, or greater absurdings. If a man doe an scino of Injustion, that is to say, an action contexry to the Law, God they say is the prime cause of the Law, and also the prime cause of that, and all to then Actions; but no causes at all of the Injustice; which is the Inconformity of the Action to the Law. This is while Philosophy. A man might saw this way, that one man maketik both a streight line, and a concler maked is then Incompany. A man might of all most have realized that Incompany, show they know their hearth and a streight of the Double of the Streight lines and the Streight of all most have realized that Incompany, show they know their hearth and the Articulus of Morano to make Athibuste of Nations; as this distinction was made to maintain the Doctrine of Free-Will, that sing of a Will or man not subject to the Will Of God.

Aristotle, and other Heathen Philosophers define Good, and Evill. by the Appetite of men; and well enough, as long as we consider them governed every one by his own Law. For in the condition of men that have no other Law but their own Appetites, there can be no generall Rula of Good, and Evill Actions. But in a Common-wealth this measure is faise: Not the Appetite of Private men, but the Law, which is the Will and Appetite of the State is the measure. And yet is this Doptrine still practised; and men judge the Goodnesse, or Wickednesse of their own, and of other mons actions, and of the actions of the Common-wealth it selfe, by their own Passions: and no man calleth Good or Evil, but that which is so in his own eves. without any regard at all to the Publique Laws; except onely Monks, and Friers, that are bound by Vow to that simple obedience to their Superiour, to which every Subject ought to think hunself bound by the Law of Nature to the Civil Soveraion. And this private measure of Good, is a Doctrine, not onely Vain, but also Pernicious to the Publique State

It is also Vais and fake Philosophy, to say the work of Marriage is ropurcant to Chashity, or Continense, and by one-equence to make them Morall Vices; as they doe, that prefered Chastity, and Continense, for the ground of denying Marriage to the Gargy. For they confesse it is no more, but a Constitution of the Garbary, and and admunstration of the Encharsts, a continuull Abstitute from Purity. The the name of continuul Chastify, we want of Chastity, and admunstration of the Encharsts, a continuul Abstitute from Purity. The the name of continuul Chastify, we want of Chastity, and continence; and so make Marriage a Sin, or at least a thing so inpure, and uncleas, as to render a man quift for the Alts If the law were made because the use of Wires & Incontinence, and contrary to Chastity, then all Marriage is vices it becausen it is thing too impure, and unclean for a man consecrated to God; much more should other naturell, necessary, and daily works which all men doe, render men unworthy to bee Priests, because they are more unclean.

But the secret foundation of this prohibition of Marriage of Priests, is not likely to have been laid so slightly, as mon such errours in Morall Philosophy; nor yet upon the preference of single life, to the estate of Matrimony; which proceeded from the wisdome of St. Paul, who netceived how inconvenient a thing it was, for those that in those times of persecution were Preachers of the Gospel, and forced to fly from one countrey to another, to be closed with the care of wife and children; but upon the designe of the Popes, and Priests of after times, to make themselves the Clergy, that is to say, sole Heirs of the Kingdome of God in this world; to which it was necessary to take from them the use of Marriage, because our Saviour said, that at the coming of his Kingdome the Children of God shall neither Marry, nor bee uven in Marriage, but shall bee as the Angels in heaven; that is to say, Spirituall. Seeing then they had taken on them the name of Snirituall, to have allowed themselves (when there was no need) the propriety of Wives, had been an Incongruity.

From Aristotles Civill Philosophy, they have learned, to call all manner of Common-wealths but the Popular, (such as was at that time the state of Athons.) Turanny All Kings they called Tyrants: and the Aristocracy of the thirty Governours set up there by the Lacedemonians that subdued them, the thirty Tyrants. As also to call the condition of the people under the Democracy, Liberty. A Turant originally signified no more simply, but a Monarch: But when afterwards in most parts of Greece that kind of government was abolished, the name began to signifie, not onely the thing it did before, but with it, the hatred which the Popular States bare towards it: As also the name of King became odious after the deposing of the Kings in Rome, as being a thing naturall to all men, to conceive some great Fault to be signified in any Attribute, that is given in despicht, and to a great Enemy. And when the same men shall be displeased with those that have the administration of the Democracy, or Aristocracy, they are not to seek for disgracefull names to expresse their anger in; but call readily the one Anarchu, and the other. Oligarchy, or the Twranny of a Few. And that which olfendeth the People, is no other thing, but that they are governed, not as every one of them would himselfe, but as the Publique Representant. be it one Man, or an Assembly of men thinks fit; that is, by an Arbitrary government: for which they give evil names to their Superiors: never knowing (till perhaps a little after a Civill warre) that without such Arbitrary government, such Warre must he pernetuall: and that it is Men. and Arms, not Words, and Promises. that make the Force and Power of the Laws.

And therefore this is another Errour of Aristotlee Politiques, that in a wel ordered Common-wealth, not Mon should govern, but the Laws. What man, that has his naturall Screes, though be can neithar write nor read, does not find himself growrned by them he fours, such beloeves ona kull or hurts him when he obsyrth not? or that beloeves the Law can hurt han; that an, Words, and Paper, without the Hands, and Swockb of ment ? And this so if he number of permissions from they induce man, as of as they like not to think it having to raise warre against them: And yot days are many times decided from the Polint, by the Garev.

There is another Errour in their Civill Philosophy (which they never learned of Aristotle, nor Cicero, nor any other of the Heathen.) to extend the power of the Law, which is the Rule of Actions onely. to the very Thoughts, and Consciences of men, by Examination, and Inquisition of what they Hold, notwithstanding the Conformity of their Speech and Actions: By which, men are either numshed for answering the truth of their thoughts, or constrained to answer an uptruth for fear of punishment. It is true, that the Civili Magistrate, intending to employ a Munister in the charge of Teaching. may enquire of him, if hee bee content to Preach such, and such Doptrines: and in case of refusall, may dopy him the employments But to force hum to accuse humselfe of Opinions, when his Actions are not by Law forbidden, is against the Law of Nature: and especially in them, who teach, that a man shall bee damned to Eternall and extream torments, if he die m a false opinion concerning an Article of the Christian Faith. For who is there, that knowing there is so great danger in an error, whom the naturall care of himself, compelleth not to bazard his Soule upon his own judgement. rather than that of any other man that is unconcerned in his damnation ?

Tora Prvate pman, without the Aethority of the Common vesitin, that is to say, without permission from the Representant thereof, to Interpret the Law by his own Spirit, is another Error in the Politynes; but no drawn from Antstolf, nor from any other of the Instation Philosophers. For none of them deny, but that in the Power of making Laws is comprehended also the Power of the Antary Law and the Article and the Article of the Power of making Laws is comprehended also the Power of the Opencor - work and the Article of the Article of the Common-work has not consensult, a part of the Oriell Law 'I the Oriell of th

Of the same kind it is also, whice any bait the Soveraign vertual in any man that power which the Common-weakin hait not restrained; as they do, that impropriate the Preaching of the Googell to one certain Orist of an enry, where the Lows have left bit free. If the State give mean same for the mean transmission of the free state of the state of the state of the state of the free state of the state of the state of the state of the free state of the state of the state of the state of the free state of the state of the state of the state of the Orders from Rome I or which I have preached, shall not I answer their doubts, and expound the Scriptures to them; that is, shall I and Teach. I kit for this may some say, as also for administing

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

## CHAP. 46] The Kingdome of Darknesse 375

to them the Samanamuta, the necessity shall be esteemed for a selficient Mission, which is trues how this is true also, thus for winkstower, a dispensation, when there as no Law that for this far Missioner to dependence. When there are no Law that for this fit. Therefore to deay these Functions to them, to whom the Cwill Soveralgeo Inthicontrary to the Doether of CWII for versal which a contrary to the Doether of CWII for versals.

More arxamples of Vain Philosophy, brought into Religion by the Doctors of Shotole Divancy, might be produced; but other men may if they please observe them of themselves. I shall onely adde this, that the Writings of School-Divines, are nothing leads for the most part, but insignificant Traines of strange and bachavous words, or words otherwise used, them in the common use of the Lalient tongues such as would pose Green, and Varo, and all the Grammvian of ancient Rom. Which if any max would see proved, let him (as I have said once before) new whatler he can translate any Schoolsoider cognous harginger, for that which cannot in most of these by ande Intuligible, is not Intelligible in the Latin. Which Insignifanger of Language, though I sanch note at for fake Philosophy; yet it hat a quality, not onely to hide the Truth, but also to make ince hink they are it, and denis from further search

Lastly, for the Errors brought in from false, or uncertain History. what is all the Legend of fictitious Miracles, in the lives of the Samia: and all the Histories of Apparitions, and Ghosts, alledged by the Doctors of the Romane Church, to make good their Doctrines of Hell, and Purgatory, the power of Exorcismo, and other Doctrines which have no warrant, neithor in Reason, nor Scripture, as also all those Traditions which they call the unwritten Word of God; but old Wives Fables ? Whereof, though they find dispersed somewhat in the Writings of the ancient Fathers; yet those Fathers were men, that might too easily beloeve false reports; and the producing of their opinions for testimony of the truth of what they beleeved. hath no other force with them that (according to the Counsell of St. John 1 Epist. chap. 4, verse 1 ) examine Spirits, than in all things that concern the power of the Romane Church, (the abuse whereof either they suspected not, or had banafit by it,) to discredit their testimony, in respect of too rash beleef of reports; which the most sincere men, without great knowledge of naturall causes, (such as the Fathers were) are commonly the most subject to: For naturally, the best men are the least suspicious of fraudulent purposes, Gregory the Pope, and S. Bernard have somewhat of Apparitions of Ghosts, that said they were in Purgatory; and so has our Beda; but no where, I beloeve, but by report from others. But if they, or any other, relate any such stories of their own knowledge, they shall not thereby confirm the more such vain reports; but discover their own Infirmity, or Fraud.

With the Introduction of False, we may joyn also the suppression of True Philosophy, by such men, as neither by lawfull authority. nor sufficient study, are competent Judges of the truth. Our own Navigations make manifest, and all men learned in humane Sciences. now acknowledge there are Antinodes: And every day it appeareth more and more, that Years, and Dayes are determined by Motions of the Earth. Neverthelesse, mon that have in their Writings but supposed such Doctrine, as an occasion to lay open the reasons for, and against it, have been punished for it by Anthority Forlesiasticall. But what reason is there for it ? Is it because such opinions are contrary to true Religion? that cannot he, if they be true. Let therefore the truth be first examined by competent Judges, or confuted by them that pretend to know the contrary Is it because they be contrary to the Religion established ? Let them he alenced by the Laws of those, to whom the Teachers of them are subject; that is, by the Laws Civill For disobedience may lawfully be punished in them, that against the Laws teach even true Philosophy. Is it because they toud to disorder in Government, as countenancing Rebellion, or Sedition ? then let them be sflenced, and the Teachers punished by vertue of his Power to whom the care of the Publique quiet is committed; which is the Authority Civill. For whatsoever Power Ecclesiastiques take upon themselves (in any place where they are subject to the State) in their own Right, though they call it Gods Right, is but Usurpation.

#### CHAP. XLVII

#### Of the BENEFIT that proceedeth from such Darknesse, and to whom it accreweth

Other maketi hoporable monthon of one of the Cashi, a severe Judge samaget the Romans, for a custome be hom, in Critanian auster, (when the testimony of the witnesser was not sufficient) or other Contentions, the socured obtained, or copiedd by the Fact. For amonget Presumptions, there is none that as evidently desired in the Aubor, as dott the Brazerr of the Action. By the same rule Index] is this place to termine, who have any the that inter Dorthme, contrary to the Presenble Societies of Maximod

And furt, to this Error, that the present Overch were Militant on Earch, is the Xinghemes of God, thus, is the Kinghome of Olery, or into Land of Promise; not this Kinghome of Grace, which is host a Promise of the Lond), are namered these worklight Benefits: Prints, that the Postore, and Texenbers of the Church, are entitled thereby, as God's Politone Ministere, to a Right of Governing the Church; and Goar Politone Ministere, to a Right of Governing the Church is same Ferson b to is Berchers and Governous of the Councontestiant of the Church, and Councon-senaith are the same Ferson b to is Bercher, and Governous of the Councon-

wealth. By this title it is, that the Pone prevailed with the subjects of all Christian Princes, to beleave, that to disobey him, was to disobey Christ himselfey and in all differences between him and other Princes. (charmed with the word Power Spirituall.) to abandon their lawfull Soveraigns; which is in effect an universall Monarchy over all Christendome. For though they were first invested in the right of being Supreme Teachers of Christian Doctrine, by, and under Christian Emperors, within the limits of the Romane Empure (as is acknowledged by themselves) by the title of Pontifex Maximus. who was an Officer subject to the Civil State; yet after the Empire was divided, and dissolved, it was not hard to obtrude upon the people already subject to them, another Title, namely, the Right of St. Peter; not onely to save entire their pretended Power: but also to extend the same over the same Christian Provinces, though no more united in the Empire of Rome. This Benefit of an Universall Monarchy, (considering the desire of man to bear Rule) is a sufficient Presumption, that the Popes that pretended to it, and for a long time enjoyed it, were the Authors of the Doctrine, by which it was obtained; namely, that the Church now on Earth, is the Kingdome of Christ. For that granted, it must be understood, that Christ hath some Ligutenant amongst us, by whom we are to be told what are his Commandements.

After that cortain Churches had remonnced this universal Power of the Pope, one would crynet the mean, that the Givill Sovrarigas in all those Churches, should have recovered so much of it, as (buffer they had unadrivedly let it good was their own Right, and in their own hands. And in England it was so in effect; saving that they, by whom the Kinge administed the Government of Religion, by maintaining their imployment to be in Gode Right, segment in unray, and they had seened to unray of it is an annum to they admonledged a Right in the King, to deprive them of the Exercise of their Punctions at his pleasare.

But m those places where the Presbytery took that Office, though many other Doctrame of the Glumonio di Rome were forbiddin to be taught; yet this Doctrino, that the Kingdrame of Christs is already come, and that it begans at the Resurreator of our Starour, was still retained. But est lowo? What Profit did they ergest from 14 The same which the Propes acpredict : to have 8 Sorrading. Power over the Respin. For what is it for man to excommunates their Bavill King, but to keeps him from all places of Gode publices Service in his own Kingdrant : and with force to resist him, when he Authority from the Ordil Sorreagin, to excommunate any presenbut to take from him hit Lawfull Liberty, that is, to using an unadvall Dover over their Beelerart ? The Authon therefore of this Darknesse in Roligion, are the Romane, and the Presbyterian Clerger.

To this head, I referre also all those Doctrines, that serve them to O fur keep the possession of this splritual Soveraignty after it is gotton. As first, that the *Pope* in his publique capacity cannot ere. For who is there, that beleving this to be true, will not readily obey him in whatsoever he commands?

Becourdly, that all other Bishops, in what Common-wealth searce, have not then Right, nubber immoditably from God, not moliative from their Civill Sovensigns, but from the Poye, is a Doctrue, by which there emerges to be in every Classical Common-wealth smap, potent may, fore so are Bishops.) that have their dependence on the by which means the size sho, each back does many trangel for each a Civill War against the State into submit on the side to be governed according to be pleasare and interest

Thirdly, the examption of these, and of all other Priests, and of all Mankes, and Myres, from the Source of the CVIII Laws. York that this means, there is a great part of every Company-weakh, thus, ency the henelis of the Laws, and and prototed by the Power of the Grill Stats, which nevertheless pay no part of the Publique sequence; nor are lyable to the powerlaws, as other Subjects, due to thur crimes; and consequently, stand not in face of any man, but this Pope, and adhere to him concet, to unbold the surfareal Monarchy.

Fourthly, the giving to their Priess's (which is no more in the New Testament but Presbytes, that is, Edders) the name of Sazerides, [ that is, Sacriflores, which was the title of the Civil Soversign, and has publique Ministers, anongst the Jews, whiled God was thuir King. Also, the making the Lords Supper a Sacrifler, served ho make the Recepto between the Hore has the same power over all make the Recepto between the Hore has the same power over all all Power, both Cavill and Reclementional, as the High Priest then had.

Fiftly, the teaching that Matrimony is a Sacrament, gives to the Cargy the Judging of the lawfulnesse of Marriages; and thereby, of what Children are Legitimate; and consequently, of the Right of Succession to hereditary Kingdomes.

Sixtly, the Deniall of Maringo to Priests, serveth to assure this Power of the Pope over Kings. For if a King be a Priest, he cannot Marry, and transmit his Kingdoms to his Postenty: If he lo not a Priest, then the Pope pretendeth this Authority Ecolesiasticall over him, and over his people.

Seventhly, from Auricular Confession, they obtain, for the assurance of their Power, better intelligence of the designs of Princes, and great persons in the Civill State, than these can have of the designs of the State Ecclesizational.

Eightly, by the Canonization of Sants, and declaring who are Markyrs, they assure than: Power, in that they induce simple meninto an obstinacy against the Laws and Commands of their Gvul Soverages even to donk it by the Popes eccommunication, they be dealered Heretiques or Enemies to the Church; that is, (as they interpret it,) to the Pope. Ninthly, they assure the same, by the Power they ascribe to every Priest, of making Cirist; and by the Power of ordaining Pennaace; and of Remitting, and Retaming of sina.

Tonthly, by the Doctrine of Purgatory, of Justification by externall works, and of Indulgences, the Clergy is entroped.

Eleventhly, by their Damonology, and the use of Exercisme, and other things appertaining thereto, they keep (or thinke they keep) the People more in awa of their Power.

Lastly, the Metaphysiques, Bibliques, and Politiques of Aristolie, the frivolue Distantions, barbarous Terms, and obscure Language of the Schoolmen, taught in the Universities, (which have been all erected and regulated by the Popes Anthorizy,) serve them to keep these Brorns from being detocted, and to make such mistake the Jours fotuse of Vain Philosophy, for the Light of the Goupell.

To these, it they enfined ioi, might be aikhed other of their deck Dortneys, the profit whetero (tokundeth manifestly), to the setting up of an unlawfull Power over the lawfull Boverages of Chrustian Popile, or for the sensitaing of the sense, when it sets up; or to the worldly Richas, Honour, and Authority of these that entitian is. And therdoub by the aformatic rule, of Out how, we may justly provo Rouse for the Authors of all this Spiritual Darkness, the Days, in the minister of non this recognous Dovietion, that the Ourch row on Earth, is that Kingdome of God mentioned in the Old and New Testument.

But the Emperours, and other Christian Soversigns, under whose Government these Errours, and the like encroschments of Ecclesiastiques upon their Office, at first crept in, to the disturbance of their possessions, and of the tranquillity of their Subjects, though they suffered the same for want of foresight of the Secuel, and of insight into the designs of their Teachers, may neverthelesse hee estcemed accessaries to their own, and the Publique dammage: For without their Authority there could at first no sedutious Doctrine have been publiquely preached. I say they might have hindred the same in the beginning: But when the people were once possessed by those spiritual men, there was no humane remedy to be applyed, that any man could invent: And for the remedies that God should provide. who never faileth in his good time to destroy all the Machinations of men against the Truth, wee are to attend his good pleasure, that suffereth many times the prosperity of his enemies, together with their ambition, to grow to such a height, as the violence thereof openeth the eyes, which the warmesse of their predecessours had before sealed up, and makes men by too much grasping let goe all, as Peters net was broken, by the struggling of too great a multitude of Fighes: whereas the Impatience of those, that strive to resist such encroachment, before their Subjects eyes were opened, did but encrease the power they resisted. I doe not therefore blame the Emperour Frederick for holding the stirrop to our countryman Pope Adrian; for such was the disposition of his subjects then, as if hee had not done it, hee was not likely to have succeeded in the Empire. But I bians those, that in the Begming, whone thour power was maken, by suffering such Doctrines to be forged in the Universities of their own Domines, have holds has Bistron to all the accoseding of their own Domines, but they have the Thrones of all Christian Royans, while they mounted into the Thrones of all Christian Royans, while they mounted into the Thrones of all Christian Royans, while they mounted into the Thrones of all Christian Royans, while they mounted into the Thrones of all Christian Royans, while they mounted into the Thrones of the Royan Royans, which they mounted the Royans, and the Royans, and Royans, and the Royans, and the Royans, and the Royans, and and Royans, and Royans,

But as the Inventions of men are woven, so also are they ravelled out: the way is the same, but the order is inverted: The web becaus at the first Elements of Power, which are Wisdom, Humility, Sincerity, and other vectues of the Apostles, whom the people converted, obeyed, out of Reverence, not by Obligation: Their Consciences were free, and their Words and Actaoas subject to none but the Civill Power. Afterwards the Presbyters (as the Flocks of Christ enormased) assembling to consider what they should teach. and thereby obliging themselves to teach nothing against the Decrees of their Assemblies, made it to be thought the people were thereby obliged to follow their Dootrine, and when they refused. refused to keep them company, (that was then called Excommunication.) not as being Infidels, but as being disobedient: And this was the first knot upon their Liberty. And the number of Presbyters encreasing, the Presbyters of the chief City or Province, got themselves an authority over the Parochiall Presbyters, and appropriated to themselves the names of Bishops: And this was a second knot on Christian Liberty. Lastly, the Bishop of Rome, in regard of the Imperiall City, took upon him an Authority (partly by the wills of the Emperours themselves, and by the title of Pontifez Maximus. and at last when the Emperours were grown weak, by the priviledges of St. Peter) over all other Bishops of the Empire: Which was the third and last knot, and the whole Synthesis and Construction of the Pontificiall Power.

And therefore the Analysis, or Resolution is by the same way; but beginneth with the knot that was last typed; as wee may see in the dissolution of the prester-political Church Government in England. First, the Power of the Popes was discolved totally by Queen Elizabeth: and the Bishops, who before exercised their Functions in Right of the Pope, did afterwards exercise the same in Right of the Oneen and her Successours: though by retaining the phrase of Jure Divino, they were thought to demand it by immediate Right from God: And so was untyed the first knot. After this, the Presbyterians lately in England obtained the putting down of Episcopacy: And so was the second knot dissolved: And almost at the same time, the Power was taken also from the Presbyterians: And so we are reduced to the Independency of the Primitive Christians to follow Paul, or Cephas, or Apollos, every man as he liketh best: Which, if it be without contention, and without measuring the Doctrine of Christ, by our affection to the Person of his Minister, (the fault which the Apostle reprehended in the Corinthians,) is perhaps the best: First, because there ought to be no

Power over the Consciences of men, but of the Word it selfe, working Faith in every one, not alwayes according to the nurpose of them that Plant and Water, but of God himself, that giveth the Increase: and secondly, because it is unreasonable in them, who teach there is such danger in every hitle Errour, to require of a man endued with reason of his own, to follow the Reason of any other man, or of the most voices of many other men : Which is little better, then to venture his Salvation at crosse and pile. Nor ought those Teachers to be displeased with this losse of their antient Authority: For there is none should know better then they, that power is preserved by the same Vartues by which it is acquired; that is to say, by Wisdome. Humility, Clearnesse of Doctrine, and sincerity of Conversation; and not by suppression of the Naturall Sciences, and of the Morality of Naturall Reason: nor by obscure Language: nor by Arrogating to themselves more Knowledge than they make appear; nor by Pious Frauds: nor by such other faults, as in the Pastors of Gods Church are not only Faults, but also scandalls, apt to make men atumble one time or other upon the suppression of their Authority.

But after this Doctrine, that the Okurch now Militant is the Kingdome of God spoken of in the Old and New Testament, was received in the World; the ambition, and canvasing for the Offices that belong thereunto, and especially for that great Office of being [ Christs Lieutenant, and the Pompe of them that obtained therein the principall Publique Charges, became by degrees so evident. that they lost the inward Reverence due to the Pastorall Function: in so much as the Wisest men, of them that had any power in the Civill State, needed nothing but the authority of their Princes, to deny them any further Obedience. For, from the time that the Bishop of Rome had gotten to be acknowledged for Bishop Universall by pretence of Succession to St. Peter, their whole Hierarchy, or Kingdome of Darknesse, may be compared not unfitly to the Kingdome of Fairies; that is, to the old wives Fables in England, concerning Ghosts and Spirits, and the feats they play in the night. And if a man consider the originall of this great Ecclesiasticall Dominion, he will easily perceive, that the Papacy, is no other, than the Ghost of the deceased Romane Empire, sitting crowned upon the grave thereof: For so did the Papacy start up on a Sudden out of the Ruines of that Heathen Power.

The Language also, which they use, both in the Churches, and in their Publique Acts, being Latine, which is not commonly used by any Nation now in the world, what is it but the *Ghost* of the Old *Romane Language*?

The Fairies in what Nation server they converse, have but one Universall King, which some Poets of ours call King Oberon: but the Scripture calls Beekzoub, Frince of Domona. The Eccleance iques likewise, in whose Dominions server they be found, acknowledge but one Universall King, the Pore.

The Ecclesiastiques are Spiritual men, and Ghosily Fathers. The Fairies are Spirits, and Ghosis Fairies and Ghosis inhabite

[PART 4

Darknesse, Solitudes, and Graves. The Ecclesiastiques walke in Obscurity of Dectrine, in Monasteries, Churches, and Church-yards.

The *Recircustiques* have their Cathedral Churches; which, in wint Towns scover they be encoded, by vertue of Holy Water, and estain Charmes called Exoreismes, have the power to make those Townes, Citese, that is to say. Seats of Rmples. The Parise also have their enchanted Castes, and action Organizous Ghosts, that domineor over the Regions round about them.

The Farmes are not to be solved on; and brought to answer for the burt they do So also the *Ecclesiastiques* vanish away from the Triburals of Civil Justice.

The *Becketisatignes* take from young men, the use of Beason, by certain Charms compounded of Meiaphysiques, and Miraeles, and Traditions, and Abusel Beripture, whereby they are good for nething else, but to accrude what they command them. The *Pairise* shange them into Naturall Foods, which Common people do therefore call *Bites*, and are easy to maxibili.

In what Shop, or Operatory the Fairies make their Enchantment, the old Wives have not determined. But the Operatories of the Olergy, are well enough known to be the Universities, that received their Dissiping from Authority Pontificiall.

When the Farres are displeased with any body, they are said to send their Hows, to pinch them. The Ecclessistiques, when they are displeased with any Civill State, make also their Elves, that is, Supersticuos, Ranknated Subjects, to pinch these Princes, by presching Sedition; or one Prince enchanted with promises, to pinch another.

The Pairies marry not; but there be amongst them Incubi, that have copulation with firsh and bloud. The Priests also marry not.

The Ecclesiastques take the Cream of the Land, by Donations of ignorant men, that stand in aw of them, and by Tythea: So also it is in the Fable of Fairies, that they enter into the Dairies, and Feest upon the Cream, which they skim from the Milk.

What kind of Money is current in the Kingdome of Fairies, is not recorded in the Story. But the *Ecclemaniques* in their Receipts accept of the same Money that we doe; though when they are to make any Payment, it is in Canonizations, Indulgences, and Masses.

To this, and such like resemblances between the Popers, and the Kingdome of Parices, may be added this, that as the Fairsis have no existence, but in the Panoise of ignorant people, rising from the Popel (without the bounds of this own GYHI Dominion) commutation analy must be set that Secured people stand in, or their Excommunications; upon hearing of faise Mrandes, faise Traduions, and faise Interpretations of the Seruptive.

It was not therefore a very difficult matter, for Henry 8. by his Excretence; nor for Qu. Elizabeth by hers, to east them out. But who knows that this Split of Rome, now gone out, and walking by Missions through the dry places of China, Japan, and the Indies, that yeed him Hilds for the, may not return, or rather as Assembly or Sports worse than he, enfer, and inhabite this clean eweed house, and make the Eod thereof worse than the Beginning? Tor it is not the Normace Charge analy, that postend the Kingdome of God from that of the Voill State. And this sail Hond a designe to any, concerning the Double to the POLITIQUES. Which when I have reviewed, is also Windje worse to the concurrent my Country.

Downloaded from https://www.holybooks.com

# A REVIEW, and CONCLUSION.

FROM the contrariety of some of the Naturall Faculties of the Mind, one to another, as also of one Passion to another, and from their reference to Conversation, there has been an argument taken. to mferre an impossibility that any one man should be sufficiently disposed to all sorts of Civill duty. The Severity of Judgment, they say, makes men Censorious, and unapt to pardon the Errours and Infirmities of other men; and on the other side, Celerity of Fancy, makes the thoughts lesse steddy than is necessary, to discern exactly between Right and Wrong. Again, in all Deliberations, and in all Pleadings, the faculty of solid Reasoning, is necessary: for without it, the Resolutions of men are rash, and their Sentences unjust: and yet if there be not powerfull Elequence, which procureth attention and Consent, the effect of Reason will be little. But these are contrary Faculties; the former being grounded upon principles of Truth; the other upon Opinions already received, true, or false; and upon the Passions and Interests of men, which are different, and mutable

And amongst the Passions, Courage, (by which I mean the Contempt of Wounds, and violent Death) endlicht men to private Rovenges, and sometimes to endeavour the unseting of the Publique Peace: And *Timorowaness*, many times disposible to the desertion of the Publique Defence Both these they say cannot stand together in the same person.

And to consider the contrariety of mens Opinions, and Manners in generall, It is they say, impossible to entortaun a constant Civil Amity with all those, with whom the Businesse of the world constrans us to converse: Which Businesse, consisteth almost in notiing else but a perpetual contention for Honor, Raches, and Authority.

To which I ariver, that these are indeed great difficulties, but not impossibilizes. For by Education, and Davighine, they may boo, and are sometimes reconciled. Judgment, and Fancy may have place in thesemu many, but by turnes; as the oed which he simeth at required. As the Israelites in Egypt, were sometimes fusioned to their labour of making Bricks, and other times were ranging abroad upon one certain Consideration, and the Fancy at another time windring about the world. So also Reason, and Royumes, (though not perinaps in the Naturall Sciences, yet in the Morally may stand very wall together. For whereasever there as place for adorning and prefering of Erroux, there is much more place for adorning and prefering of Erroux, there is much more place for there any requipmancy beloween feeting the Laws, and not feating a publique Energy: nor batween obstaining from Injury, and pardoning it in others There is identified to the international of Humane Nature, with Cwill Dutties, as easn think. I have known electroses of Jodgment, and largeness of Fancy; strength of Reason, and greedell Electrolicits, a Conserving for the Ware, and a Feet for the Laws, and all eminerally in one many and that was any most man, nor hated of any, seat undertunately shalon in the beginning of the lates of colling and the Publique quartell, by an undiscensed, and an undiscenting hand

To the Law of Neture, dealard in the 15. Chapter, I would have this added, Their story man a board by Neture, as wanks as in kin lish, to protect an Ware, the Authority, by which he is himself protected in time of Pacas. For he this protected in Right of Nature to preserve his owne body, cannot pretend a Hight of Nature to destroy that of humself. And though the Law may be destroy of the quenos, from some of those that are three already mentioned, yet the Three require to have it incurated, and

And because I find by divers English Books lately printed, that the Civill warres have not yet sufficiently taught men, in what point of time it is, that a Subject becomes obliged to the Conquerour; nor what is Conquest, nor how it comes about, that it obliges men to obey his Laws: Therefore for farther satisfaction of men therein. I say, the point of time, wherein a man becomes subject to a Conquerour, is that point, wherein having liberty to submit to him, he consenteth, either by expresse words, or by other sufficient sign, to be his Subject. When it is that a man hath the liberty to submit, I have shewed before in the end of the 21. Chapter; namely, that for him that hath no obligation to his former Soveraign but that of an ordinary Subject, it is then, when the means of his life is within the Guards and Garrisons of the Enemy; for it is then, that he hath no longer Protection from him, but is protected by the adverse party for his Contribution. Seeing therefore such contribution is every where, as a thing inevitable, (notwithstanding it be an assistance to the Enemy.) esteemed lawfull; a totall Submission, which is but an assistance to the Enemy, cannot be esteemed unlawful. Besides if a man consider that they who submit, assist the Enemy but with part of their estates, whereas they that refuse, assist him with the whole, there is no reason to call their Submission, or Composition an Assistance; but rather a Detriment to the Enemy But if a man, besides the obligation of a Subject, hath taken upon him a new obligation of a Souldier, then he hath not the liberty to submit to a new Power, as long as the old one keeps the field, and giveth him means of subsistence, either in his Armics, or Garrisons; for in this case, he cannot complain of want of Protection, and means to live as a Souldier: But when that also failes, a Souldier also may seek his Protection wherescever he has most hope to have it; and

may lawfully submit humself to his new Master. And so much for the Tune when he may do it lawfully, if here will If therefore he doe it, he is undoubtedly bound to be a true Subject: For a Contract lawfully made, cannot lawfully be broken.

By this also a man may understand, when it is, that men may be said to be Conquered; and in what the nature of Conquest, and the Right of a Congnerour consisterh: For this Submission is it implyeth them all. Conquest, is not the Victory it self; but the Acquisition by Victory, of a Right, over the persons of men. He therefore that is slain, is Overcome, but not Conquered: He that is taken, and put into prison, or chaines, is not Conquered, though Overname: for he is still an Enemy, and may save himself if hee can: But he that upon promise of Obedience, hath his Life and Liberty allowed him, is then Conquered, and a Subject; and not before. The Romanes used to say, that their Generall had Pacified such a Provence, that is to say, in English, Convuered it; and that the Countrey was Pacified by Victory, when the people of it had promixed Imperata facere, that is, To doe what the Romane People commanded them: this was to be Conquered. But this promise may be either expresse, or tacite: Expresse, by Promise: Tacite, by other signes. As for example, a man that hath not been called to make such an expresse Promise, (because he is one whose power perhaus is not considerable.) yet if he live under their Protection openly. hee is understood to submit himselfe to the Government. But if he live there secretly, he is lyable to any thing that may bee done to a Spie, and Enemy of the State. I say not, hee does any Injustice, (for acts of open Hestility bear not that name); but that he may be justly put to death. Likewise, if a man, when his Country is conquered, be out of it, he is not Conquered, nor Subject: but if at his return, he submit to the Government, he is bound to obey it. So that Conquest (to define it) is the Acquiring of the Right of Soveraignty by Victory. Which Right, is acquired, in the peoples Submission, by which they contract with the Victor, promising Obedience, for Life and Liberty.

In the 20. Chapter I have set down for one of the causes of the Dissolutions of Common-vealths, their Imprefers Generators, construing in the wants of an Absolute and Arbitrary Legislative Power; for want whereof, the Cuill Scovenigi is if an to handle the Swort of Jasties unconstantly, and as if it were too hot for imm to hold: One reason whereof (which I have not there zomationed) is thus, That they will all of them justifie the War, by which their Power was at fing toten, and whereon (as they thus): their Eight dependent, and not on the Powession. As if, for example, the cause of Willman the Outpretour, and poor their havel, and there est Descent from him. I by which means, there would perlaps be note of the Silvest obediments to their Soveriging at this day in all the world; wherem whilest they needleestly think to justifie themsers, they justifie all the successful Rebellious that Ambitton

### Leviathan

shall at any time raise against bien, and their Successora. Therefore I put down for one of the most effectual seeds of the Death of any State, that the Conquerors require not onely a Submission of mean actions to them for the future, but laids on Approbations of all their actions past; when there is scarce a Common-wealth in the world, whose beginnings can in conseince to justified.

And because the mane of Tyranny, signifielt nothing more, nor less, that the name of Soversignty, be it in one, or many men, aving that they that use the former word, are understood to be anyy with them they call Tyrants; I think the toleration of a professed hatred of Tyranny, is a Toleration of latted to Commonwhelh in general, and another well seed, not differing most from the theme. To the thouse of the Compared, is for the most necessary, the thick and the compared, is for the most necessary, the thick and second the Compared, is for the compared compared. And thus much I have thought fit to alw upon the Review of the first and second next of this Discorts.

In the 35. Chapter, I have sufficiently declared out of the Scripture, that in the Common-wealth of the Jewes, God himselfe was made the Soveraign, by Pact with the People: who were therefore called his Peculiar People, to distinguish them from the rest of the world, over whom God reigned not by their Consent, but by his own Power: And that in this Kingdome Moses was Gods Lieutenant on Earth; and that it was he that told them what Laws God appointed them to be ruled by. But I have omitted to set down who were the Officers appointed to doe Execution; especially in Capitall Punishments: not then thinking it a matter of so necessary consideration. as I find it since. Wee know that generally in all Common-wealths, the Execution of Corporeall Punishments, was either put upon the Guards, or other Soldiers of the Soveraign Power; or given to those, in whom want of means, contempt of honour, and hardnesse of heart, concurred, to make them sue for such an Office. But amongst the Israehtes it was a Positive Law of God their Soveraign, that he that was convicted of a capitall Crime, should be stoned to death by the People; and that the Witnesses should cast the first Stone, and after the Witnesses, then the rost of the People. This was a Law that designed who were to be the Executioners, but not that any one should throw a Stone at him before Conviction and Sentence. where the Concregation was Judge The Witnesses were neverthelesse to be heard before they proceeded to Execution, unlesse the Fact were committed in the presence of the Congregation it self, or in sight of the lawfull Judges; for then there needed no other Witnesses but the Judges themselves. Neverthelesse, this manner of proceeding being not thoroughly understood, hath given accasion to a dangerous opinion, that any man may kill another, in some cases, by a Right of Zeal: as if the Executions done upon Offenders in the Kingdome of God in old time, proceeded not from the Soveraign Command, but from the Authority of Private Zeal: which, if we consider the texts that seem to favour it, is oute contrary.

First, where the Levites fell upon the People, that had made and worshipped the Golden Calfe, and slew three thousand of them; it was by the Commandement of Moses, from the mouth of God; as is manifest, Ered. 32, 27. And when the Son of a woman of Israel had blasphemed God, they that heard it, did not kill him, but brought him before Moses, who put him under custody, till God should give Sentence against him; as appears, Levit. 25, 11, 12. Again, (Numbers 25, 6, 7.) when Phinehas killed Zimri and Cosbi, it was not by right of Private Zeale: Their Crime was committed in the sight of the Assembly; there needed no Witnesse; the Law was known, and he the heir apparent to the Soveraignty; and which is the principall point, the Lawfulnesse of his Act depended wholly upon a subsequent Ratification by Moses, whereof he had no cause to doubt. And this Presumption of a future Ratification, is sometimes necessary to the safety [of] a Common wealth; as in a sudden Rebellion, any man that can suppresse it by his own Power in the Countrey where it begins, without expresse Law or Commission, may lawfully due it, and provide to have it Ratified, or Pardoned, whilest it is in doing, or after it is done. Also Numb 35. 30. it is expressely suid. Whospever shall kill the Murtherer, shall kill him upon the word of Witnesses: but Witnesses suppose a formall Judicature, and consequently condemn that pretence of Jus Zeloiarum. The Law of Moses concerning him that entireth to Idolatry. (that is to say, in the Kingdome of God to a renouncing of his Allegiance (Deut, 13.8.) forbids to conceal hum, and commands the Accuser to cause him to be put to death, and to cast the first stone at him; but not to kill hum before he be Condemned. And (Deut. 17. ver. 4, 5, 6.) the Processe against Idolatry is exactly set down: For God there speaketh to the People, as Judge, and commandeth them, when a man is Accused of Idolatry, to Enquire diligently of the Fact, and finding it true, then to Stone him; but still the hand of the Witnesse throweth the first stone. This is not Private Zeale, but Publique Condemnation. In like manner when a Father hath a rebellious Son, the Law is (Deut. 21. 18.) that he shall bring him before the Judges of the Town, and all the neople of the Town shall Stone him. Lastly, by pretence of these Laws it was, that St. Steven was Stoned, and not by pretence of Private Zeal: for before hee was carried away to Execution, he had Pleaded his Cause before the High Priest. There is nothing in all this, nor in any other part of the Bible, to countenance Executions by Private Zeal; which being oftentimes but a conjunction of Ignorance and Passion, is against both the Justice and Peace of a Common-wealth.

In the 30. Chapter I have said, that it is not dealared in what manner God apake supermutrically to Mosses: Not that he apake not to him semetimes by Dreams and Vaions, and by a supertustrall Voice, as to other Prophets: For the manager how in spake anto these words, Frenc that time forward, when Mosse anteric into the Haderoated of the Congregation to specia with God, he hard a Voice

### Leviathan

which agals such him from over the Mcruy-Satia, which is our the Arise of the Testimony, from bettere the Chruhush he spike unto hum. But it is not declared in which consisted the presentations of the manner of Gode speaking to Moses, showe that of this speaking to other Prophets, as to Samuel, and to Abruham, to whom he also spike by a Vices (Ista z, by Vision). Unless the holdfreeme consulgrate by a for (Ista z, by Vision). Unless the holdfreeme consul-Mouth, eagned be likenily understood of the Infiniteerse, and Incomprehensibility of the Driven Nature.

And as to the whole Doctrine, I see not yet, but the Principles of it are true and proper; and the Ratiocination solid. For I ground the Civili Right of Soversigns, and both the Duty and Liberty of Subjects, upon the known natural Inclinations of Mankind, and men the Articles of the Law of Nature: of which no man that pretends but reason enough to govern his private family, ought to be ignorant. And for the Power Ecolesiasticall of the same Sovernians, I ground it on such Texts, as are both evident in themselves, and consonant to the Scope of the whole Scripture. And therefore am nerswaded, that he that shall read it with a purnose onely to be informed, shall be informed by it. But for those that by Writing, or Publique Discourse, or by their eminent actions, have already engaged themselves to the maintaining of contrary oumions, they will not bee so casily satisfied. For in such pases, it is naturall for men, at one and the same time, both to proceed in reading, and to lose their attention, in the search of objections to that they had read before: Of which, in a time wherein the interests of men are changed (seeing much of that Doctrine, which serveth to the establishing of a new Government, must needs be contrary to that which conduced to the dissolution of the old,) there cannot choose but he very many.

In this part which treated of a Ciritian Common-wealth, there are some new Pootrines, which, it may be, in a Sitte wire, the contrary were already fully determined, were a fault for a Subject without laws to dirules, as being an astraption of the phase of a Teacher. But in this time, that new call not endy for Feese, but also for Truth, to offer ano Doritics as 1 thuin True, and that mainfestly tend to Feese and Loyalry, to the containerstim of those has any sym differentian. Some that the WW must be approach that then, when Kovelty are hered to truths nor disorder in a Sitze, men are not persently to much handle to the reveneous of Antiquity, as to preferre Ancest Errors, before New and well proved Truth.

There is nothing I distrust more than my Elocation; which nevertheless I am confident (scenering the Mixohances of the Preses) is not obsurts. That I have neglected the Ornament of quoting ancient Poets, Oractors, and Philosophers, contrary to the watsourof late time, (whether I have dones well or II an it.) proceeded from my indigener, grounded on many reasons. For first, ell Truth of Dostrine dependeth either upon Reason, or upon Scripture: both which give credit to many, but nover receive it from any Writer. Secondly, the matters in question are not of Fact, but of Right, wherein there is no place for Witnesses. There is scarce any of those old Writers, that contradicteth not sometimes both himself, and others, which makes their Testimonics insufficient. Fourthly, Such Opinions as are taken only upon Credit of Antiquity, are not intrinsecally the Judgment of those that cite them, but Words that passe (like gaping) from mouth to mouth. Fiftly, it is many times with a fraudulent Designe that men stick their corrupt Doctrine with the Cloves of other mens Wit. Sixtly, I find not that the Ancients they eite, took it for an Oranment, to doo the like with those that wrote before them. Seventhly, it is an argument of Indigestion: when Greek and Latine Sentences unchewed come up again, as they use to doe, unchanged. Lastly, though I reverence those men of Ancient time, that either have written Truth perspicuously, or set us in a better way to find it out our selves; yet to the Antiquity it self I think nothing due. For if we will reversioe the Age, the Present is the Oldest. If the Antiouity of the Writer, I am not sure, that generally they to whom such honor is given, were more Ancient when they wrote, than I am that am Writing: But if it has well considered, the praise of Ancient Authors, proceeds not from the reverence of the Doad, but from the competition, and mutuall envy of the Living.

To conclude, there is nothing in this whole Discourse, nor in that I writ before of the same Subject in Latino, as far as I can perceive. contrary either to the Word of God, or to good Manners; or to the disturbance of the Publique Tranquillity. Therefore I think it may be profitably printed, and more profitably taught in the Universities. in case they also think so, to whom the judgment of the same belongeth. For seeing the Universities are the Fountiums of Civill, and Morall Doctrine, from whence the Proachers, and the Gentry, drawing such water as they find, use to sprinkle the same (both from the Pulmi, and in their Conversation) upon the People, there ought certainly to be great pare taken, to have it pure, both from the Venime of Heathen Politicians, and from the Incantation of Deceiving Spirits. And by that means the most men, knowing their Duties, will be the less subject to serve the Ambition of a few discontented persons, in their purposes against the State; and be the lesse gneyed with the Contributions necessary for their Peace, and Defence: and the Governours themselves have the lesse cause, to maintain at the Common charge any greater Army, than is necessary to make good the Publique Liberty, against the Invasions and Encroachments of formalgn Enemies.

And thus I have brought to an end my Dissource of Civill and Ecclesisational Government, occasioned by the disorders of the present tame, without partiality, without application, and without other dasgme, than to set before mens eyes the mutuall Relation between Protection and Obedience; of which the condition of

## Leviathan

Humane Nature, and the Laws Dirune, (hoth Naturali and Positive) require an invisible iokerstain. And though in the revolution of States, there can be no very good Constellation for Truths of this mature to be horm under, (as having an anyr apparet from the dissolvers of an oil Government, and seeing but the backet of them that erects a new ij very 1 cannot (hish will be condenaued at this time, either by the Publique Judge of Doctrine, or by any that desires the continuance of Fublique Posses. And in this heap I reserve to any any state of the public the second state of the second provide the first the first second state of the second provide the first second state of the second state of the provide the second state of the second state of the second states of the second state of the second state of the second states of the second state of the second state of the second states of the second state

#### 392