

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/





600101610F







AN ANALYSIS

OF THE

HISTORY OF THE REFORMATION,

WITH THE PRIOR, AND SUBSEQUENT HISTORY

OF THE

English Church:

WITH

QUESTIONS OF EXAMINATION.

ESPECIALLY INTENDED FOR THE UNIVERSITIES, PUBLIC SCHOOLS, AND DIVINITY STUDENTS IN GENERAL.

Second Edition.

BY THE REV. W. H. PINNOCK, B.C.L.

Author of the Analysis of Scripture History, Ecclesiastical History, New Testament, &c.

CAMBRIDGE:

PRINTED BY AND FOR HALL AND SON; SOLD ALSO BY WHITTAKER & CO. AVE MARIA LANE;

G. BELL, 186, FLEET-STREET, LONDON;

AND J. H. PARKER OXFORD.

MIDCCCLI. 110. d. 260

THE RIGHT REVEREND

LORD BISHOP OF ELY;

THE FOLLOWING PAGES,

Are, by Permission, Bedicated,

BY HIS LORDSHIP'S MOST RESPECTFUL

AND OBEDIENT SERVANT,

W. H. PINNOCK.

PREFACE

TO THE FIRST EDITION.

THE rise, progress, Reformation, and Establishment of the Church of England ought to engage the attention of every British Christian: more especially of the Divinity Student, and the aspirants of our Public Schools, and Universities. To assist these, therefore, the following pages have been put together in the hope of stamping upon the memory the more prominent and important facts connected with the 'Reformation of the English 'Church': while, at the same time, its prior and subsequent history have not been left unnoticed. The work, in fact, aims at including every important feature connected with the Church of England from the age of St Paul to the present time. Questions of Examination from University Papers have also been appended to each page in order to improve its usefulness.

The Authorities consulted have been very many; among them may be mentioned Gildas, Bede, Wilkin's Concilia, Strype's Ecclesiastical Memoirs, Collier's Ecclesiastical History, Bishop Sparrow's Collections, Wordsworth's Ecclesiastical Biography, Burnet's History of the Reformation, Burnet's History of his own Times, Twysden's Historical Vindication, Dr Cardwell on the Books of Common Prayer, and on the Conferences, Neal's History of the Puritans, Parker Society's Books, Bishop Short's History of the Church of England, and other histories, and individual biographies.

For further information, however, beyond what will here be found, the student is particularly directed to the perusal of *Bede's* Ecclesiastical History, *Professor Corrie's* edition of Burnet's History of the Reformation, and *Bishop Short's* History of the Church of England.

TO THE SECOND EDITION.

In putting this Second Edition to the Press the Author has been induced to add much additional matter in order to make it subservient to the Examinations for Ordination.

THE RECTORY, Somersham, Hunts.



CONTENTS.

		1	age
Part	I.	Prior to the Reformation	1
PART	II.	The Reformation	159
Part	III.	The Church of England as by Law Estab-	
		lished	385

ANALYSIS OF THE HISTORY

OF THE

Carly English Church.

PART I.

PRIOR TO THE REFORMATION.

CHAPTER I.

Britain under the Romans.—B. C. 55.

- *.* The questions in *Italics* are from the Cambridge University Examination Parkas, which will be found in the *Appendix*; those with capital letters annexed refer to the B.A. papers; and those with small letters refer to the *Voluntary Theological* papers.
- 1. POMPEY THE GREAT had not long aspired to universal dominion in the East, carrying his conquests through Judea (B. C. 63.) and leading multitudes of Jews captives into Rome, by which the first elements of Judaism, and a true knowledge of the Almighty Being were planted in the Imperial city, before Julius Cæsar imitated his ambition in the West, and after subduing Spain and Gaul, passed over into Britain, B.C. 55.
- 2. DRUIDS.—At the time of Cæsar's invasion, and for many years afterwards, the inhabitants of the British isles were idolaters. They, however, believed in a Supreme
- 1. What proceedings of Pompey and Julius Cæsar may have had some influence in introducing true religion into Rome and Britain? 2. What was the state of religion in Britain at the time, and for some period after Cæsar's invasion?

Deity, and in the immortality of the soul, retained possibly from the first immigrants of the patriarchal times; but encumbered with the fanciful imaginations of the ignorance and superstition of the future ages, which fused themselves into a religion, and flourished throughout the Celtic nations under the name of Druidism. One great feature of belief inculcated by the Druids was the transmigration of the soul; they believed that the soul advanced through various accretive stages of animal life till it reached its nobler essence in the human form; after which, it either went back again for its evil deeds into animal existence, or ascended for its good into higher en-

joyments of happiness and pleasure.

3. The DRUIDS were not only the ministers of religion, but also the sole repositories of learning: they assumed the power of foretelling future events, and of being the dispensers of light and fire, requiring the people to extinguish all their lights and fires every night, and come to them every morning for their fresh supply; which, doubtless, was a great source of Druidical revenue. The cruelty and superstition of their idolatry were conspicuous in not being satisfied with animal sacrifices, but requiring also human victims to appease the anger of their fabulous deities. The mistletoe, when it chanced to be a parasite of the oak, a rare occurrence, was held in high veneration. Their places of religious worship were chiefly consecrated groves; and the various rock-altars, cromlechs, cist-vaens, and other relics of antiquity bear testimony to the superstition of the age, and the ignorance of the worshippers.

4. BIETH OF CHRIST.—Thus did Druidism prevail, and Britain remain unmolested for nearly a hundred years following this visit of the Romans; its new masters were too much occupied with the cares and troubles of empire at home to think much of the distant and insignificant isle of Britain. In the mean time, when AUGUSTUS swayed the imperial sceptre of Rome, JESUS Augustus. CHRIST was born at Bethlehem in Judæa (Luke ii. 6.). A. U. C. 750. B. C. 4.; four years before the Vulgar

Æra.

3. What were the power and influence of the Druids? What peculiar doctrine did they hold? what was the nature of their religious rites? 4. How long did Britain remain unmolested after Cæsar's invasion? when was our Saviour born?

CENTURY I.

Tiberius, Roman Emperor.—A. D. 30.

- 5. MINISTRY OF CHRIST.—For nearly thirty years 'the Redeemer of the world' lived in retirement and obscurity, on attaining that age, however, He commenced His glorious ministry of 'bringing life and 'immortality to light.' TIBERIUS was then Tiberius. the Roman Emperor, having succeeded Augustus, and was in the fifteenth year of his reign.
 - a. d. 33.
- 6. ASCENSION OF CHRIST.—After a ministry of upwards of three years, Jebus Christ, our Lord was crucified on Mount Calvary near Jerusalem, and on the third day after, He rose from the dead; and having commissioned the Apostles to go and preach the Gospel to every creature He ascended into heaven (A. D. 33.) (Matt. xxviii. 19, 20. Luke xxiv. 51.). Ten days afterwards, according to our Saviour's promise, the Holy Ghost descended upon the Apostles, and 'they began to speak with other 'tongues as the spirit gave them utterance;' and were miraculously endowed with power and inspiration, and with the knowledge of different languages to disseminate the Gospel throughout the world. (Acts ii. 4.). The assembled multitudes, people from all nations, were astounded at the gifts

^{5.} When did Christ begin His ministry? 6. How long did our Saviour continue His ministry? when did he suffer death? What events followed our Lord's resurrection? What people witnessed the effects on the Apostles of the outpouring of the Spirit?

possessed by the Apostles, and among them were 'strangers of Rome, Jews, and proselytes,' (ii. 10.), some of whom may possibly have been instrumental in introducing Christianity into Britain.

A. D. 34.

7. Deacons. Stephen stoned.—The preaching of the Apostles was attended with so much success that they found it impossible to proceed satisfactorily without assistance, accordingly they appointed seven Deacons to assist them in their duties, and to administer particularly in temporal matters. One of these deacons was Stephen, who argued with the Jews in proof of the gospel so irresistily, that in the violence of their rage they cast him out of the city, and stoned him to death: and so hot 'a persecution was 'raised against the Church, that they were all scat'tered abroad.' Acts viii. 1, 2. This affords the first glimmer of the Gospel light reaching as far as Britain; for it is supposed that some of those who fled from this persecution may have travelled westward, and visited our shores.

Caligula, Rom. Emp.—A. D. 37—42.

8. The death of Tiberius raised Califolds. Gulla to the throne, whose first act was to banish Pilate to Vienne in Gaul. Christianity continued to flourish, yet nothing occurred in this reign affecting the position of Britain. St Matthew is considered by some to have written his Gospel about this period (A.D. 38.). A few years after, the Jews incurred the displeasure of the Emperor by refusing

^{7.} What befel Stephen the Deacon? How is this persecution supposed to affect the introduction of Christianity into Britain?

8. What events happened connected with religion during the reign of Caligula?

to pay him divine honors; upon which he Caligula. ordered his image to be set up in the temple at Jerusalem; and if resisted, to be effected by force of arms; this rash proceeding, however, Claudius. was arrested by the assassination of Caliquia. who was succeeded by CLAUDIUS. A. D. 42.

Claudius, Rom. Emp.—A. D. 43.

9. CLAUDIUS, on his accession to the empire, turned his attention to distant conquests, and sent over his lieutenant Aulus Plautius to take formal possession of Britain: he arrived himself soon after with reinforcements, and the fierce Britons disputed the Roman power in many a desperate battle.

A. D. 52.

10. CHRISTIANITY PROBABLY INTRODUCED.—For nine years the intrepid chieftain Caradoc (Caractacus) led on his fearless countrymen against their disciplined invaders, who were commanded by Ostorius Scapula; but defeat overtook him at last, and he was forced to find safety in concealment. From 'The 'Triads of the Isle of Britain,' (certain historical fragments in the Welch language), we learn that Caradoc (Caractacus) and his family were betraved into the hands of the Romans by his step-mother Aregwedd Foeddig (Cartismandua), and carried captive to Rome (A. D. 52.), where Bran (Brennus) his father, and others of the family were converted to Christianity; and on their being set at liberty seven years after, they returned home, and became the planters of Christianity in this island (A.D. 59.). It is thought that among those of the family of Bran left behind in Rome was Claudia, the wife of Pudens,

^{9.} What did Claudius in respect of Britain? 10. What is related respecting Caradoc, and the introduction of Christianity into Britain by his family in the Welsh Triads? Whom does Martial eulogize? What is said respecting Linus? Digitized by GOOGLO

the senator, eulogized by Martial as a British Claudius. lady of extraordinary beauty, wit, and virtue, (Epis. rv. 13. xi. 53.); and likewise her brother Cyllin, the Linus mentioned by St Paul together with Pudens and Claudia in 2 Tim. iv. 21., and who is considered to have been the first bishop of Rome. This Cyllin (Linus) is also said to have been the grandfather of Lleirwg, the king Eucius, who by some writers has the credit of introducing Christianity into Britain.

11. Jews expelled from Rome.—It was about this period that CLAUDIUS issued an edict 'commanding all Jews to depart from Rome,' (Acts xviii. 2.); a circumstance which has led many writers to suppose that some of these exiled Jews may have been the first to have brought a knowledge

of the Gospel into Britain.

Nero, Rom. Emp.—A. D. 54—57.

12. Gospel known in Britain.—Claudius did not long survive the banishment of the Jews; he died A.D. 54. and was succeeded by Nebo. Nero. Two or three years after the accession of this infamous prince, Tacitus informs us that a British lady, named Pomponia Gracina, the wife of Aulus Plautius, Claudius's lieutenant, and first Roman governor of Britain, was accused of indulging in a strange and gloomy superstition. A.D. 57. (Ann. XIII. 32.). This is considered to have been Christianity, and affords us some collateral proof of the knowledge of the Gospel among the natives of Britain.

A. D. 60.

13. Moreover, we learn from Gildas, the earliest

Digitized by Google

^{11.} What is supposed to have resulted from Claudius's banishment of the Jews from Rome? 12. What does Tacitus inform us respecting Pomponia Græcina? 13. What does Gildas advance in respect of the state of Chrisity about the time of the revolt of Boadicea?

of our British historians, that when Suetonius Paulinus, the Roman governor of the island at this period, was attempting to extirpate the Druidical order by an attack on Mona, (now Anglesey), the chief seat of the priestheod, the whole of the British tribes with Boadicea at their head, revolted against the Romans, and that then the Gospel was being preached throughout the island. (Epist. c. 1.)

A. D. 64.

14. All this information, however, being gathered from a mixture of legend and fable must be received with great caution; in short, the precise period when Christianity was introduced into the British Isles is not known; yet it is beyond all doubt that it found its way hither before the close of the first century.

15. Who the preacher was that brought the first message of the Gospel into Britain is also involved in great obscurity: there are indeed certain monkish legends which, aided by conjecture, have assigned the work to one or other of the Apostles,—some, we learn from Ussher,* give the honor to James, the son of Zebedee; some to Simon Zelotes, who is considered to have suffered martyrdom here (Cave's Apos.); others, particularly Gildas, Queen Elizabeth, and Archbishop Parker, give the honor to Joseph of Arimathea, who was sent hither by St Philip from Gaul with twelve companions, who established themselves at Glastonbury (the Isle of Avalon) where they are said to have founded Glastonbury Abbey;† and from being the author of the conversion of our Ancestors,

^{14.} How is all this information to be received? And what can be said as to the time when Christianity was introduced into Britain? 15. What do we gather from Monkish legends, and other doubtful authorities respecting the Apostles?

^{*} Brit. Eccl. Antiq. p. 3, 4. † See Malmest. de Antiq. Glast. Eccl. xv.—Strype—Spelman Conc. i. 17. But this is contradicted by Stillingsteet, (Antiq. Eccl. p. 6.), who considers it only a Monkish tradition.

to have led the British bishops at the Council of Basil to claim precedency, (Fuller): others again have assigned the honor to St Peter*, and

others to St Paul +.

16. The foundation of the opinion in respect of St Paul rests on the passages in his Epistle to the Romans (ch. xv. 24. 28.), where the Apostle expresses his intention of taking a journey into Spain; to this may be added the observation of Clemens Romanus, a writer of the first century, who, in his Epistle to the Corinthians (cap. v.), states that St Paul actually travelled 'to the utmost bounds of the west' (έπὶ τὸ τερμα τῆς δύσεως); which he is thought to have done after his release at this period from his first imprisonment at Rome: this opinion is supported by Camden, Bishop Godwin, and others. Theodoret makes mention of St Paul bringing salvation to the isles of the ocean; and St Jerom extends the Apostle's labours to the Western regions. (Hieron. in Amos ii. 5: Catal. Scrip. Eccl.)

Summary of the First Century.

- 17. It is beyond a doubt that *Christianity* was introduced into Britain during the first Century: but by whom is not known. It may have been either
 - By some of those who were scattered abroad in the persecution at the death of Stephen. (Acts viii.) Or
 - By some of the soldiers who had enlisted into the armies of Claudius when the famine was foretold by Agabus. (Acts xi.) Or
 - By some of the Jews (converts) who had been expelled from Rome by the edict of Claudius (Acts xviii.) Or
 - 4. By some of the family of Caractaeus when they returned from Rome. Or
 - By St Paul himself, should he have travelled 'to the utmost bounds of the West' as Clemens Romanus declares.
- 16. On what authority rests the opinion in regard to St Paul's visit to Britain? 17. What is the evidence respecting the planting of Christianity in Britain in the first century?

Digitized by Google

^{*} Ussher, Brit. Eccl. Antiq. Baronius. † Euseb. Dem. Evan. 111. c. 7.—Theodoret, Serm. 1x. de leg.; Psal. exvi.; 2 Tim. iv. 17.

CENTURY IL.

Hadrian—Antoninus Pius—Marcus Aurelius—Rom. Emps. A. D. 117—180.

18. THE historical information respecting the state of Christianity in Britain during the second century is as obscure as that of the preceding. The visit of the Emperor Hadrian, A. D. 117., Hadrian. seems to have given us nothing authentic respecting religion, though he was somewhat well disposed to the Christians; nor does the Antoninus reign of his successor, Antoninus Pius Pius. (A. D. 138.) contribute any information on this subject, notwithstanding the great favour he exhibited in behalf of the Church; but Marcus during the reign of MARCUS AURELIUS, Aurelius. his son, (A. D. 161.) there is a traditionary account put forth by Bede (i. 4.), that Lucius (Lleirwg) the son of one Coilus, and who was at that time king of the whole island, being anxious for the conversion of his people sent Fagan, and Dervan, (Ffagan and Dyfan), or as others say Eluan and Medwin, two learned men, to Eleutherius (A. D. 137.—199. Ussher.), the then bishop of Rome, for teachers to instruct himself and people in the truths of the Gospel; in consequence of which, two divines, Fugatius and Damianus, were sent over, who extirpated idolatry, and established Christianity in its room. This is rather an improbable story; for it is not likely that there should have been a king of the whole island at this period; nor was the profession of Christianity at that time of so encouraging a character as to be making many converts, for a very cruel persecution was then raging against the

^{18.} What was the state of Christianity in Britain during the second century?

Christians, particularly in the neighbouring country of Gaul, where Pothinus, the bishop of Lyons, and many others, suffered martyrdom. Lucius was most probably the

Latin name of some petty prince, who was among the earlier British converts of the latter half of the

second century.

19. It may, however, be considered certain that Christianity must have prevailed in this country during the second century; that it did so before the age of Tertullian (A. D. 150—200.), we may gather from his writings, for he observes that 'even those 'places in Britain hitherto inaccessible to the Roman 'arms, have been subdued by the Gospel of Christ.' (Adv. Jud. c. VII.) Origen also, who flourished about A. D. 240, declares 'the power of God our Saviour is 'even with them in Britain, who are divided from 'our world.' (Orig. Hom. VI. c. 1. in Luc.) And this is the whole of our information in respect of this century.

Summary of the Second Century.

On the authority of Bede, which is very doubtful, we learn that a British king, named Lucius, sent to Pope Eleutherius in the reign of Marcus Antoninus (A. D. 137—199.) for Christian teachers to introduce the Gospel among his people, and that he did so: (Bede I. 4.). Tertullian, and Origen inform us that Christianity prevailed in Britain during this century.

CENTURY III.

20. HISTORY, during the greater part of the third century, appears completely silent in respect of the affairs of Britain, both ecclesiastical and civil. After

^{19.} What authentic evidence have we in the Fathers as to the extent of Christianity in Britain before the close of the second century? 20. What do we know of the affairs of Britain during the third century?

the death of the Emperor SEVERUS at Eboracum (York) A. D. 211., and of his successor, CARACALLA, A. D. 217., there is a perfect blank till the time of DIOCLETIAN, when we read of Saxon pirates beginning to molest our shores (A. D. 288.), and of their being repelled by Carausius; and we read likewise of the appointment of Constantius Chlorus as Cæsar over Spain, Gaul, and Britain A. D. 292.

CENTURY IV.

Diocletian, Rom. Emp.-A. D. 305.

21. First British Martyr.—We arrive now at the beginning of a more authentic period of our ecclesiastical history, in which we find the hitherto secluded Britain standing forth as a constituent part of Christendom. The fierce persecution of DIOCLEhither; and the first British Martyr was St Alban. He was a native of Britain, and served in the Roman army; but having offered an asylum to one Amphibalus, a Christian priest, whom he concealed from his persecutors, the fervent devotion of his guest prompted him to become a convert. When his house was searched Alban assumed the dress of Amphibalus, and suffered himself to be led to the tribunal rather than betray his pious friend; the deception how-ever was discovered, and having confessed himself to be a Christian, he was put to the torture, and afterwards beheaded near the town of Verulamium, (Werlamcester) A. D. 305.;* on the spot he suf-

^{21.} What fierce persecution occurred at the beginning of the fourth century? Who was the first British martyr? Relate the circumstances of his martyrdom.

fered, a church was afterwards erected Diocletian. to his memory, and in later times a noble abbey; his name was also given to the town in lieu of Verulamium. The names of two others, Julius and Aaron, who suffered in the same persecution, are also preserved.—Gildas, VIII. Stillingfleet, Orig. Brit. 70.

22. Constantius Chlorus endeavoured to mitigate the bitterness of the persecution as far as his power could extend; the abdication of Diocletian, however, this same year having raised him to the imperial authority, he immediately withdrew the cruel edicts of his predecessor, and treated the Christians with distinguished favour.

Constantius Chlorus, Rom. Emp.—A. D. 306.

23. Constantius Chlorus however did not long enjoy his high dignity; he died this year at York, and his son, Constantine, afterwards 'the Great,' was appointed Cæsar, who imitated his father in his treatment of the Christians, and when emperor, A. D. 311., he soon after became himself a convert to the gospel faith: this circumstance has been attributed to the doctrines instilled into his early years by his mother, the empress Helena, a British Christian lady.

Constantine, Rom. Emp.-A. D. 314.

24. EPISCOPACY seems to have been established in Britain at this period; for at a council held at Arles in Gaul by order of Constantine to confirm the election of Cecilian to the See of Carthage, and check the increase of *Donatism*, we learn that three British

^{22.} Who was Cæsar in Britain during the Diocletian persecution, and what was his feeling towards the Christians? 23. Who succeeded Constantius Chlorus in Britain? and what was his treatment of the Christians? 24. What is the first council we read of British Bishops attending? Why was the council convened?

Bishops were present, and attached their signatures to the canons there Constantine. enacted: they were — Eborius, bishop of York; Restitutus bishop of London; and Adelphus bishop of Lincoln,* (or Richborough, or Colchester, or some

say, Caer-Leon.).

25. Donatism took its name and origin from the hatred of one Donatus to Cecilian, the newly elected bishop of Carthage, A. D. 312. whom he accused of giving up the sacred books to the Pagans from the fear of persecution, and therefore disqualified himself for the episcopal office. Donatus, not satisfied with the bare expression of his enmity, openly declared the Church to have erred: that it was not infallible, that baptism administered by heretics was invalid, and that he himself was to be the restorer of purity to the Church. He obtained many followers, who set up their own bishops, and despised all decisions of councils, and ecclesiastical censures; and for several centuries the Donatists continued to disturb the unity of the Church: they appear however to have become extinct in the seventh century.

A. D. 325.

26. Council of nice.—Representatives of the British Church are thought to have attended at the council of Nice in Bithynia, convened by Constantine the Great, to decide on: 1st—the Arian heresy; 2nd-the Meletian schism; 3rd-and the Paschal controversy: but this, from the difficulty of decyphering the ancient documents, requires confirmation; and the more so as the council is said to have been composed almost exclusively of members of the Eastern Churches: moreover, we find on the visit of St Augustine in A. D. 596, that the British Church was not in conformity with the Western

^{25.} What was the schism of Donatus? British bishops attend the Council of Nice? Why is it not probable? When, and for what was the Council of Nice

^{*} Dr. Gale in his notes on Antonine's Itinerary of Britain p. 96, proves it to be Lincoln, 'de civitate colonia Lindi or Londinensium.

practice of keeping Easter, as decided Constantine. by the Council of Nice.

27. I. The Arian heresy originated from one Arius, a presbyter of the Church of Alexandria, who denied that the Three Persons in the Trinity were of the same essence; and he affirmed that there was a time when the SON was not: the heresy was condemned by the council.

II. The *Meletian* schism sprung from an African bishop *Meletius*, who, after being deposed for burning incense to idols, assumed the power of ordination, and even beyond his own diocess. He was forbidden by the council ever to

ordain more.

III. The Paschal Controversy was, whether Easter should be kept on the 14th day of the moon, as practised by the Eastern Church: or whether it should be kept on the Sunday following the 14th day, as practised by the Western Church? The council decided that the Western practice should prevail.

а. d. 330-337.

28. During the remainder of the reign of Constantine the Great and his successors, Britain for some time very slowly advanced in Christianity. Druidical superstition and heathen follies were intermingled with the true faith: the removal also of the seat of empire from Rome to Constantinople, A. D. 330., had a deteriorating influence on the distant province of Britain. The death of Constantine likewise (A. D. 337.), and the decaying power of the Romans, exposed this country to the piratical attempts of the Saxons on the one side, and to the incursions of the Picts and Scots on the other, which destroyed that tranquillity under which the Christian Church had hitherto made some considerable advance.

^{27.} What was the Arian heresy? what, the Meletian schism? and what was the nature of the Paschal controversy? 28. What was the state of Christianity in Britain during the latter years of the reign of Constantine the Great?

Constantine II.—Constans—Constantius, Rom. Emps. A. D. 347—360.

29. Councils.-We learn, however, Constantine. that in the reign of CONSTANTINE II., Constans. Constantius. CONSTANS, and CONSTANTIUS, when the last two emperors convened a council at Sardica* in Illyria or Thrace (A. D. 347.) to settle the dispute between Arius and Athanasius; British bishops were also present (Ussher); and so were they likewise at the council of Ariminum (Rimini) in Italy, + convened by the same emperors in A. D. 360, to decide between the Catholics and Arians: and three only of the British bishops consented to accept the allowance offered by the emperor to defray their expenses. Arianism appears to have extended very widely in Britain at this period; and Monachism had now taken deep root: indeed the passion for a monastic life had prevailed so far as to be injurious to both Church and State.

Theodosius, Rom. Emp.—A. D. 380.

30. COUNCIL OF CONSTANTINOPLE.— Theodosius. In the reign of the emperor Theodosius, we read of the general council of Constantinople being convened (A. D. 380.), to condemn the heresy of Macedonius, who denied the personality of the Holy Ghost. It was attended by one hundred and fifty oriental bishops, but no mention is made of any from Britain. It is at this period that London is supposed to have been made a bishop's or archbishop's See by the usurper Maximus.

^{29.} When, by whom, and why was a council convened at Sardica? Were British Bishops present at that council? When, and why was the council of Ariminum called? Did British Bishops attend? 30. When, and why was the general council of Constantinople called? who attended?

^{*} Athanasius. Apol. 11. p. 720.

[†] Sulpicius, Severus. lib. II, p. 109., GOOGE

Honorius, Rom. Emp.-A. D. 395.

31. ROMANS ABANDON BRITAIN.—
During the remainder of this century little is known of religious matters in respect of Britain; the country continued to be harassed by its northern invaders, and the death of Theodosius the Great this year placed his son, the youthful Honorius, over the Western empire.

The Roman power was now fast waning: the victorius Goths were desolating its provinces; and the armies were withdrawn from the distant possessions for the defence of Italy. Britain was in consequence abandoned by its Roman masters, and

Summary of the Fourth Century.

left a prey to its wild and barbarous neighbours.

ST. ALBAN MARTYRED.—It may be supposed that during the 3rd century Christianity made quiet progress although unrecorded. At the beginning of the 4th century the *Diocletian persecution* prevniled, and reached even to Britain. ST. ALBAN was the *first British* martyr in the cause of Christ: he was beheaded near Verulam. A. D. 305.

Britain was Episcopal,—For at the council of Arles in France against Donatism, three British bishops attended A. D. 314. British bishops also are thought by some to have attended the council of Nice in Bithynia against Arianism convened by order of Constantine, A. D. 325.—And likewise the council of Sardica in Thrace A. D. 347.—and the council of Ariminum (Rimini) in Italy. A. D. 360.

During the reigns of the Constantines Christianity

flourished in Britain.

CENTURY V.

- 32. Picts Christianized.—The new century commenced very inauspiciously; in addition to ex-
- 31. What was the state of Britain on the succession of Honorius? and during the 3rd and 4th centuries? 32. What was the state of Britain at the beginning of the fifth century?

ternal enemies, Britain was exposed to all Honorius. the horrors of anarchy and intestinal strife: the country was split into numerous petty kingdoms, the princes of which indulged in a hatred against each other as bitter as against their common enemies. Christianity also shared in the anarchy; -religious controversy so flercely inflaming mens' minds as to recriminate the charge of heresy one against another. Yet it is reported by Bede, that St Ninyan, early in this century (a. d. d. 420), having just come from Rome, introduced Christianity to the southern Picts, and became bishop of Whithern (Candida Casa in Galloway or in Wigtonshire), where he founded a monastery, and died about twelve years after: and that one Fastidius, the author of a treatise 'De Vità Christiana, addressed to a Christian Lady named Fatalis, was then bishop of London.

trines of *Pelagius*, who was a native of Wales, (or of Ireland,*) named Morgan (sea-born), of which Pelagius (πέλαγος, the sea) is the classical appellation, were widely disseminated by his countrymen Agricola, and Celestius : and the British bishops not being able to oppose the advance of this formidable heresy, sent over to Gaul to solicit the assistance of some learned bishops of the Gallican Church. Germanus bishop of Auxerre, and Lupus bishop of Troyes, were sent over; they held a public conference with the Pelagians at Verulamium, and so forcibly did they refute the opinions of the heretics, that they brought them to abandon their erroneous doctrines. These

А. D. 429. 33. PELAGIANISM.-It was now that the doc-

bishops however did not confine their powers to religious controversy, but they possessed also so martial a spirit, that they led on a host of Britons, who, by

How did the British bishops meet the heresy of Pelagius? What was the conduct of Germanus, and Lupus? * Stillingfleet, 181.

shouts of "Hallelujah," overthrew a Honorius. Party of Picts then plundering the coast. After this victory, the bishops returned to Gaul.

A. D. 431.

34. Council at Ephesus.—At Valentinian III. this period the third general council Theodosius II. was convened at Ephesus by Theodosius II. was convened at Ephesus by Theodosius the younger, Emperor of the East, at the request of Nestorius, who had divided the person of Christ into two persons, and declared that the Virgin Mary was not to be called Θεοτόκος, 'the Mother of God,' but Χριστοτόκος, 'the Mother of Christ'; inasmuch as God could neither be born nor die; thus denying the Godhead of the Son. His opinions were condemned by the council.

35. IRELAND.—It was in this year also we have the first authentic accounts of the prevalence of Christianity in Ireland. When it was introduced there, is a matter of uncertain conjecture; but now Palladius was sent thither from Rome in the character of bishop, or perhaps archbishop. He however met with so strong an opposition, as to be obliged to retire to Scotland.

A. D. 432.

98 Sm D. ---- D.11 1:

36. St Patrick.—Palladius was succeeded by St Patrick (Succath), who was a native of Kirkpatrick and had been educated in Gaul; he was consecrated by Pope Cœlestine as the successor of Palladius, and on his arrival in Ireland obtained considerable success. (Collier, 1. cent. 5.) He is said by some to have retired to Glastonbury: but there is every rea-

Digitized by Google

^{34.} When, where, and for what purpose was the third general council convened? 35. When do we have the first authentic accounts of Christianity in Ireland? Who was the first bishop of Ireland; 36. Who was St Patrick?

son to believe that it was some other Patricius that died there.

A. D. 442.

37. PELAGIANS AGAIN.—The success of Germanus over the Palagians in his late visit was not of long continuance, the heresy again broke out, upon which he was requested to return once more to Britain. He came over with one Severus bishop of Treves this year, and brought with him two talented teachers, Dubricius and Illutus (Iltutus.) He was as successful as in his preceding visit, having completely refuted these doctrines; and with the object of preventing the re-appearence of Pelagianism at a future day he introduced the study of theology among the native bishops, and established seminaries of learning. He is also said to have founded a cathedral at Llandaff, and the monastery of Bangor-Iscoed on the Dee. It is imagined likewise that these bishops brought the Britons to adopt the Gallican Liturgy and ritual, which they derived from Irenæus and Polycarp; the latter being a disciple of St John, and a member of the Eastern Church: which may account for the difference of certain practices of the early English Christians from those of the Western Church—Bede; Ussher; Stillingfleet.

38. Pelagianism took its rise from Pelagius (Morgan), a man of some rank and learning, (see par. 33.) who travelled to Rome, and there became acquainted with Rufinus, a man deeply tinctured with Origen's peculiar opinions; he learned to doubt the doctrine of original sin, and put forth his own mischievous doctrines which his doubts engendered: being charged with heresy, he went to Africa, A. D. 410. visited Carthage, and passed thence to Jerusalem, but where he died is uncertain.—(Collier I. 41.) He denied original

^{37.} What led to Germanus's second visit to Britain? Mat resulted from it? 38. What were the doctrines of Pelagius? What remarkable heresy originated with a member of the British Church? [b. 2.] What council condemned it? [b. 2.] and what was its date? [b. 2.]

sin, and declared man capable of perfection, and that there was no need of spiritual grace for the performance of good works. His opinions were condemned by repeated councils at Carthage, the first was in A. D. 412; and also by the general council of Ephesus, A. D. 431. and subsequently by the council of Orange, A. D. 529. St. Austin wrote very powerfully against the doctrines of Palagius.

A. D. 449.

SAXONS INVITED---The victory effected by Germanus over the enemies of Britain was very inefficient in keeping off their piratical incursions; at length Vortigern, (Gwrtheyrn) king of Kent, and one of the chief British princes in the southern extremity of the island, took the momentous step of inviting the Saxons, a pagan people, into Britain to assist him in repelling these troublesome invaders, and with a view also of overthrowing the Roman party in the island. Accordingly two Saxon adventurers, who have been called Hengist and Horsa, came into Britain, A. D. 449., and ultimately planted the Saxon power in the isle of Thanet. A. D. 455. This proceeding brought down on Vortigern (Gwrtheyrn) the hatred of his nobles and of the clergy, who conspired to place his son Vortimer on the throne. He had also married Rowena (Rhonwen) the pagan daughter of Hengist, which brought upon him the reproof of Vodin, archbishop of London; but this admonition cost the prelate his life, for Hengist immediately slew him. On the death of Vortimer the command of the Britons devolved (Emrys Wledig.) A. D. 487. on Ambrosius.

Marcian, (of the East) Valentinian III. (of the West) Rom. Emps.— A. D. 451.

- 40. Council of Chalcedon.—In this year the
- 39. How came the Saxons to be introduced into Britain?
 40. When, by whom, and for what purpose was the fourth general council of Chalcedon called?

fourth general council was convened Valentinian. III. at Chalcedon by order of the em-

peror Marcian to refute the doctrines of Eutyches, and Nestorius. The Eutychian heresy confounded the Godhead and manhood of Christ in one nature: while the Nestorian, divided the Godhead and manhood into two persons. Both however were condemned.

Roman Empire in the West A. D. 476,—Destroyed by Odoacer .- A. D. 500.

41. Clovis, king of the Franks, at Odoacer. Emp. of West. this period established his power in Gaul, and put an end to the Roman rule in that province; ten years after he became a convert to Chris tianity, and was baptized by Remigius bishop of Rheims; an example soon followed by most of his subjects.

42. CHRISTIANITY EXPELLED.—Ambrosius (Emrys Wledig) who had taken the command of the Britons on the death of Vortimer, A.D. 487., gained many successes over the Saxons, and having restored partial peace, he immediately urged the clergy to the repair of their churches. Convening a council of Britons, he appointed Sampson to the vacant archbishoprick of York; and Dubricius (Dyvrig) to that of Caerleon, which the latter prelate held with that of Llandaff. The Saxons however ultimately prevailed, and gradually gained possession of the entire of Britain. Christianity was expelled the country, and the bishops and clergy compelled to escape into Cornwall, Wales, and other mountain districts, or to quit the country; and for a period of one hundred and fifty years the Gospel was banished from Britain,

^{41.} When, and by whom was the Roman power over-thrown in Gaul? 42. Who succeeded Vortimer? What was the melancholy result to Christianity of this introduction of the Saxons into Britain? Digitized by Google

and its place occupied by Saxon heathenism. At the close of the century Ambrosius was succeeded by his brother *Uthyr*, (some say his nephew *Arthur*.) A.D. 500.

Summary of the Fifth Century.

At the beginning of this century Christianity was introduced among the Picts by St Ninyan (A. D. 420.)-In the South the heresy of *Pelagius* so powerfully prevailed that the British bishops were obliged to send to Gaul for aid to check its progress: two learned Gallican bishops, Germanus bishop of Auxerre, and Lupus bishop of Troyes, came over, and completely refuted the heretical teachers: they also applied themselves to arms, and after repelling the excursions of the Picts, returned to Gaul. (A. D. 429.)—But a few years afterwards Germanus was summoned again, and he brought with him this time Severus, bishop of Treves, and two experienced teachers, by whose means after again refuting the Pelagian heretics, he established *monastic* institutions, and seminaries of learning, and introduced the Gallican Liturgy. (A. D. 442.). About this period, St Patrick was greatly advancing Christianity in Ireland. Soon after Vortigern having called in the Saxons to assist him in repelling the Picts and Scots (A.D. 449.); they de-termined on occupying Britain itself, Christianity was in consequence expelled the land, and the British Church was forced to find an asylum in Cornwall, Wales, and other mountain districts, and for a hundred and fifty years Saxon heathenism prevailed.

CENTURY VI.

Anglo-Saxons: Arthur.—A. D. 517—547.

- 43. St David.—The history of the Theodoric, British Church is now without a Emp. of West. record; the rule of Uthyr was occupied in perpetual
- 43. What occurred during the reigns of Uthyr, and his successor Arthur? Who was St David? when did king Arthur die? when was the Saxon Heptarchy completed?

conflicts with the Saxons, and on his death (A. D. 517.) he was succeeded by his son ABTHUR, who was crowned

Theodoric, Emp. of West.

king by Dubricius, archbishop of Caerleon. During Arthur's reign, two seats of learning were founded, one at Bangor Vawr (in Carnarvonshire) by Deiniol, which soon after became a bishopric, and he, its bishop; and one at Heullan on the Wye, by Dubricius. Dubricius soon after resigned his See to Dewi or St David: who, with the consent of king Arthur, removed his seat from Caerleon to Menevia, now St David's in Pembrokeshire. St David was held in such high esteem by his countrymen as to be regarded in after years as the patron saint of Wales: he is said to have founded twelve monasteries, and to have lived to the age of one hundred and forty-six years. Arthur, after a troubled reign, at length died, A.D. 542., and was buried at Glastonbury. In a few years after, the Saxon Heptarchy was completed in Britain A. D. 547.

Saxon Heptarchy .- A. D. 550-563.

44. Church in Wales, &c.—At this period flourished Gildas, the first British historian; he was a monk of Bangor, and for some time had charge of the abbey of Llancarvan. Kentigern (Cyndeyrn) abbot of Glasgow now came into Wales, and founded the abbey of Llanelwy in Flintshire, of which place he became the first bishop. He soon after resigned his see to his pupil Asaf, from whom it eventually acquired the name of St Asaph; St Petrock at this time also was flourishing in Cornwall; from whom is derived Petrocstow, or Padstow. St Columba was also distinguished at this period for his zeal and eloquence; he was a native of Ireland, where he was

^{44.} What learned men of Britain flourished about the middle of the sixth century? What is known in respect of St Columba?

born about A. D. 532., and applied himself to theological learning; having founded the monastery of Dearmach (the field of oaks), he crossed over into Scotland, where he preached to the Picts, and revived the ancient monastic order of Culdees. Bridius, the king, presented him with the island of Iona or Icolmkill, where he founded a monastery, which gave birth to many other similar institutions, all subject to that of Icolmkill; and in this island St Columba was buried A. D. 597.

Saxon Heptarchy.-A. D. 587-590.

CHRISTIANITY REVIVED IN KENT.—Britain was now in the full possession of the Saxons, three tribes of whom had come over from the continent to share in the spoil of the country; viz. the Angles, Jutes, and Saxons. The first mentioned tribe being more predominant, from them the country took the name of England; and it was at this period divided into seven kingdoms, known in history under the appellation of the Saxon Heptarchy. It was usual to appoint one of the kings as supreme ruler over the rest, who, for the time, enjoyed the title of Bretwalda (the Wielder or lord of the Britons). At this period ETHELBERT, king (oiscinga) of Kent, was the Bretwalda. He had married Bertha (Aldeberga) the daughter of Charibert (Cherebert), king of the Franks (of Paris), who was a Christian. Bertha stipulated for the free profession of her religion before she would quit her native country; she therefore brought over in her household a Gallican bishop named Liudhard, who regularly solemnized divine worship in the old British church of St Martin close

^{45.} What was the extent and nature of the Saxon rule at the time of the Heptarchy? What circumstances connected with the sovereign of Kent facilitated the introduction of Christianity into England by Augustine?

to Canterbury, the place of her residence, and who probably was instrumental in the Heptarchy.

conversion of many of the Saxons.

46. GREGORY AND THE SAXON SLAVES .-- It now happened, that as GREGORY, who was afterwards the Pope, was passing through the streets of Rome, he was attracted in the slave market by the appearance of some boys of very fair and most interesting countenances being exposed for sale. On learning that they came from Britain, where they were then involved in Pagan darkness, he enquired of what nation; and hearing that they were Angles; he replied 'well may they be so called, for they are 'like angels, and ought to be co-heritors with the 'angels in heaven.' When he heard also that they came from the province of Deira*; 'true indeed,' he replied, 'for from the wrath of God (de Dei ird) 'are they to be delivered and instructed in the mercy 'of Christ:' and when told that the king of Deira was Œlla; in the same significant manner he answered, 'that one day he trusted that not Œlla, 'but Œllelujah (Hallelujah) would be sung in those 'dominions.' - Bede 11. 1.

A. D. 596.

47. GREGORY'S DESIGN.—Gregory from that day was resolved on converting to Christianity the Anglo-Saxon race. Being prevented, however, by the attachment of every one with whom he had to do, from making a personal visit to the island for that favourite design, he at first directed a priest of Gaul, named Candidus, to buy some English lads of seventeen or eighteen, and educate them for missionaries

^{46.} What is said to have turned the attention of Gregory to the conversion of England? [e. 1.] 47. did Gregory send to England to convert the Anglo-Saxons to Christianity? [e. 1.]

^{*} The provinces of Deira and Bernicia then formed the kingdom of Northumbria. Digitized by GOO

among their countrymen; but finding The Heptarchy. missionaries from a Benedictine monastery he had founded at Rome, with Augustine (Austin) at their head A. D. 596. To provide for their favourable reception they were furnished with letters of introduction to the bishops and reigning princes of France, who also, in furtherance of their design recommended Augustine and his followers to the notice and protection of Bertha, the queen of ETHELBERT, and provided them with interpreters.

48. Augustine's Arception.—On the arrival of Augustine and his companions in the isle of Thanet A. D. 597; they were cautiously yet kindly received by the king, who after a brief parley assigned them a residence in Canterbury at his own expence. The favour of Bertha contributed considerably to their prosperity, and it was not long before Ethelbert, himself became a convert; and so high an example was soon followed by his principal subjects, to the

number of ten thousand.

49. CHRISTIANITY REVIVED.—Thus by the instrumentality of Augustine and his companions, at the instance of pope Gregory the Great, was Christianity revived in England, and its Anglo-Saxon race converted. The original British Church, however, had not been quite extinguished; it was still slumbering in Wales, retaining its independence of all foreign influence or control. It was hither that the British bishops had fled from the fury of their Saxon persecutors; indeed, it was only nine years, (A. D. 587.) before the arrival of Augustine that Theonus and Thadiocus, the archbishops of London

^{48.} What was the date of Augustine's arrival in England? [b. 1. e. 1.] 49. Through whose instrumentality was Christianity revived in England? What proof is there that a considerable church was already established in the island? [e. 1.]

and York, had retired into Wales to escape
the persecution. It is supposed that the Heptarchy.
bishoprics existing at the time of Augustine's landing
were these seven; viz: Tavensis Llandaff; Paternensis, Llanbadern (or Lan-Patern); Banchorensis,
Bangor; Elviensis, St Asaph; Vicciensis, Worcester; Herefordensis, Hereford; and Morganensis,
Morgan; which were all subject to the archbishopric
of Caer-leon (or Menevia, or St David's).* It is
thought that there were also some bishops in Cornwall, and in Somersetshire. All this sufficiently
proves that a church had existed in the island prior
to Augustine's arrival.

50. THE ANCIENT BRITISH CHURCH.—Some idea may be formed of the extent of the ancient British Church, and of the existence of Metropolitans of a very early date from the following list of archbishops or metropolitans, and bishops, whose names have been preserved to us+:—

A. D. Fagan, was the first British bishop whose name is known to us, he and Dervan executed the mission of king Lucius to Eleutherius, bishop of Rome. 178 (see par. 18.) It is supposed that Llandaff in Glamorganshire, the residence of the native princes, was made an Archiepiscopal see by king Lucius, which was the 180 first in Britain. In the reign of CONSTANTINE, York, is said to have been made an Archiepiscopal see. (Collier) 308 Eborius, bishop of York, Restitutus, bishop of London, > were at the Adelphus, bishop of Lincoln, council of Arles. (see par. 24.) 314 In the reign of MAXIMUS, London was erected into an Archiepiscopal See. (see par. 30.) 385

^{50.} Give instances of Metropolitans of still earlier date to that of Augustine. (b. 1.]

Galfrid. Mon. Hist. lib. viii. c. 4.—Powel Not. in Girald. Cambr. Itiner. Cambr. ii. p. 170.—Bingham lib. ix. c. 6.

[†] From Gildas; Bede; Collier; Soame; and others.

	L. D.
Fastidius, archbishop of London. (see par. 32.)	420
Ninyan, bishop of Whithern, or Candida Casa in Gallo-	
way, or Wigtonshire. (see par. 32.)	420
Dubricius, a companion of St Germanus in his second	
visit, was made bishop of Llandaff. (see par. 37.)	446
Vodin, archbishop of London, (see par. 39.)	456
Patrick, bishop of Armagh.	460
Sampson, archbishop of York. (see par. 42.)	487
Dyvrig, or Dubricius, archbishop of Caer-leon* (see	
par. 42.)	487
Devi, or St David, archbishop of Caer-Leon, who removed the See to Menevia, now St David's. (see	
moved the See to Menevia, now St David's. (see	
par. 43.)	521
Cyndeyrn, or Kentiyern, bishop of Glasgow founded	
the See of Llanelwy, in Flintshire. (see par. 44.)	550
St Asaph, bishop of Llanelwy, afterwards called after	
his own name St Asaph. (see par. 44.)	560
Theonus, archbishop of London. + (see par. 49.)	587
Thadiocus, archbishop of York. t (see par. 49.)	587
AUGUSTINE, first archbishop of Canterbury.	601

Summary of the Sixth Century.

The British Church kept up its existence in Wales and in Scotland; and late in this century Christianity revived in Kent in the family of Ethelbert its king, who had married Bertha a Christian princess of France, and who was permitted the practice of her own religion. (A.D. 587-590.). Not long after, Pope Gregory the Great anxious for the extension of his dominion designed the conversion of the Anglo-Saxon race, a knowledge of whom he had acquired from certain English slaves he had seen in the markets of Rome. He sent over a Benedictine monk named Augustine with forty other missionaries for this purpose; who on their arrival in the isle of Thanet met with a favourable reception from Ethelbert, and had a residence assigned them in Canterbury at the king's expense. A. D. 597. Thus, at the close of the Sixth Century, did Christianity acquire through the instrumentality of the Pope of Rome a footing in the midst of that Saxon idolatry, the ruthless violence of which had expelled from the land the ancient British Church.

^{*} Liandaff was the residence of the native British princes; and Caer-leon that of the Homan government. Under Dubricius the bishopric of Liandaff and the archbishopric of Caer-leon were united, he having being appointed to Liandaff in a. D. 446.

[†] These two archbishops fied from the Saxon persecution into Wales. (See par. 49.)

CHAPTER II.

From the arrival of Augustine to the Norman Conquest.

CENTURY VII.

Anglo-Saxons-A. D. 601-604.

51. CANTERBURY an ARCHBISHOPRIC.— The mission of Augustine proving so suc-Heptarchy. cessful, it was not long before he went over to the continent, and consulted with Virgilius, Archbishop of Arles,* as to the propriety of appearing as metro-politan of the English nation. The Archbishop seconded his views, and consecrated Augusting Metropolitan with the title of Archbishop of Canterbury. That city being the capital of Ethelbert's dominions, and the chief residence of Augustine and his followers, it was now for the first time made an Archiepiscopal See.

52. BRITISH ARCHBISHOPS.—Prior to this, the British Archiepiscopal Sees were those of London, York, and Caerleon, and under whose jurisdiction the British Church was confined at the period of

Augustine's arrival.

53. When the Archbishops of London and York fled into Wales on account of the Saxon persecution. the chief Metropolitan of the British Church was the

* Not Etherius, as quoted by Bede, for he was then Bishop of Lyons.

When was Canterbury first made an Archiepiscopal See? Who was its first Archbishop? 52. Under whose jurisdiction was the British Church confined at Augustine's arrival? [e. 1.] 53. Who mas the sole Metropolitan or head of the British Church? [b. 1. e. 1.] What number of bishops did Augustine find in England? [b. 1.]

Archbishop of Caerleon; and the number The of suffragan bishops was but seven, filling Heptarchy.

the Sees mentioned in par. 49.

54. AUGUSTINE and the BRITISH BISHOPS .- Pope

GREGORY being informed of the great progress made by Augustine, now sent him further instructions, with several assistants, together with many books* and other things necessary for the service of the Church. Among the instructions were (1) that the revenues should be distributed as in Rome; viz. one fourth to the bishop and his family; one-fourth to the clergy; one-fourth to the poor; and one-fourth to the repairs of churches: -(2) that a ritual should be compiled expressly for the English Church, and in accordance with the prevailing forms and practices:-(3) that Augustine was to superintend the British Bishops only, and not interfere with the Gallican: -(4) that the heathen temples should be converted into churches after they should be purified with holy water, and consecrated with relics; and that the ancient pagan festivals might be still observed, but only on the anniversaries of the dedication, or of the saints whose relics were there deposited. The British bishops, however, refused to acknowledge Augustine as their Archbishop, and denied his authority over them.

55. THE CONFERENCES.—In consequence of this, Augustine repaired to the borders of Wales, and invited the British bishops to a conference in order to prove to them his authority, secure their co-operation, and effect a complete uniformity in religious usages. In doctrine the two churches were identical; but not in discipline. This conference is thought to have been held in Worcestershire, (or perhaps at Aust in Gloucestershire, on the banks of the Severn), under an oak tree, since known as St. Augustine's oak, A. D. 601;

^{54.} What did Gregory on hearing of Augustine's success? Did the British bishops acknowledge his authority? 55. What step did Augustine take to bring over the British bishops?

The books sent were 1. a Bible, 2 vols.: 2. a Paalter: 3. a book of the Gospels: 4. another Paalter: 5. another book of the Gospels: 6. Apocryphal Lives of the Apostles: 7. Lives of Martys: 5. Expositions of certain Epistes and Gospels. Digitized by GOOGLE

the result was indecisive, notwithstanding Augustine appealed to a miracle to Heptarchy. settle the question: a second conference was therefore held shortly after, which was more numerously attended.

56. The British deputies consisted of seven bishops, and many learned monks from the monastery of Bangor in Flintshire; the abbot of which, named Dunawd or Dinoth, acted as the chief speaker. On arriving, Augustine did not deign to rise from his chair to receive them: this assumption of superiority operated strongly on the minds of the British prelates. and doubtlessly gave a tone to their arguments upon the points debated.

57. The points, which Augustine required the British Church to conform to, were these three: 1st, that they should keep Easter according to the usage of the Roman (or Western) Church; 2dly, that they should administer Baptism according to the Roman ritual; and 3dly, that they should join them in preaching to the Anglo-Saxons, and be in submission

to the Pope's authority.

58. The British deputies protested against all these propositions, and emphatically refused to acknowledge him for their Archbishop who would not rise from his seat to receive them. Augustine was enraged at this reply, and hastily rising, he threatened them with his vengeance. Not long after, about twelve hundred of the monks of Bangor were cruelly put to death on the field of battle by Ethelfrid, an Anglian chief, but whether at the instance

^{56.} Who were the British deputies at the conference with Augustine? How were the British deputies received? 57. What were the terms of union proposed by Augustine? [b. 1. e. 1.] 58. How did the British deputies receive the propositions of Augustine? What appears to have been the real cause of Augustine's failure? [e. 1.] When did this conference take place? Digitized by Google

of Augustine is not accurately known. The The conference took place A. D. 601. Heptarchy.

59. ANCIENT USAGES.—The ancient British Church appears to have been independent of Gallican, Roman, and all other Churches, governing itself by Synods of its own, without any foreign interference, yet holding brotherly communion with every other Church. It kept its Easter according to the usages of the Eastern Churches (see par. 27. §. 111.); evidently proving that the British Church derived its origin direct from Asia, and during the Apostolic age; the rite of baptism it deferred to the eighth day, and adopted ceremonies different from those of Rome; and it submitted only to its own Metropolitan.

60. AUGUSTINE'S SUCCESSOR.—Augustine did not survive this conference many years: he consecrated three of his companions to the episcopal office; making one Justus, bishop of Rochester; Mellitus, bishop of the ancient British See of London, which was the residence of Seern, the nephew of Ethelbert: and Laurentius was consecrated his successor in the See of Canterbury: and thus did Augustine lay the foundation of the Anglo-Saxon, or English Church, as distinguished from the British; he died A. D. 604.

A. D. 695.

61. THE ENGLISH BISHOPS RETIRE.—The first proceeding of Laurentius was an attempt to bring over the British Church to conform to the usages of

^{59.} What was the state of the ancient British Church at the time of Augustine's arrival? Shew that Britain did not receive Christianity first from the Church of Rome. [M. 1.] 60. What Sees were founded in England in the time of Augustine? [h. 1.] Who succeeded him in the See of Canterbury? From whom does the English Church as distinguished from the British take its date? [e. 1.] 61. What was the first proceeding of Laurentius? What interrupted the advance of Christianity in Kent and Resex?

the Roman; but it was in vain. Soon The after this, his attention was directed to Hepturchy. his own position; for on the death of Ethelbert (A. D. 613—6.), and Sebert, those two kingdoms relapsed into paganism. Mellitus, and Justus, were forced to quit the country. Laurentius prepared to take the same step, but pretending to have been chastised by St Peter for such intention, and exhibiting a lacerated back in order to work upon the superstition of the king; he by that means gained over Eadbald, the successor of Ethelbert, to the Christian faith, who then consented to recall Mellitus and Justus from the continent; the latter of whom on the death of Laurentius succeeded him in the See of Canterbury.

A. D. 627.

- 62. This Eadbald had a Christian sister Ethelburga, who had married Edwin, king of Northumbria, she had been allowed the services of Paulinus, as her chaplain, who was in consequence consecrated a bishop by Justus, now Archbishop of Canterbury; through his instrumentality Christianity was introduced into Northumbria at this period, and the king and many of his nobles converted, and baptized at York. At the death of Edwin, however, six years after (A. D. 633.) his kingdom was invaded; and falling into the possession of his pagan neighbours, it relapsed into heathenism; and Paulinus with his queen and family fled into Kent, where he shortly after became bishop of Rochester.
- A. D. 631.
 63. By the influence of Edwin, Redwald, king of East Anglia embraced Christianity. He was not firm in the faith, but he died soon after, and was succeeded by his eldest son Earpwald, who was a better Christian; but he met with an early death, and was

^{62.} How, and when was Christianity introduced into Northumbria? by whom? 63. When was Christianity established amongst the East Angles?

succeeded by his brother Sigebert, then The in Gaul. Sigebert hastened over, and Heptarchy. brought with him Felix, a bishop of Burgundy, for whom he established an episcopal see at Dunwich, in Suffolk.

A. D. 634.

64. It was now that the kingdom of Wessex was converted to Christianity by the preaching of Birinus, a Roman monk of Genoa, sent over by Pope Honorius. Cynegils, (or Rynegils), the ruling prince, fixed his See at Dorchester, near Oxford, (since transferred to Lincoln), of which place he was made bishop: his successor was Agilbert, a Frenchman, who had long studied in Ireland.

A. D. 635.

65. At this period the kingdom of Northumbria fell under the sway of Oswald, a Christian prince, who re-established Christianity throughout his dominions. Having been converted by the Scottish clergy, he sent thither for spiritual assistance, and ultimately Aidan, a monk of Iona, was despatched into Northumbria, and fixed his see at Lindisfarne or Holy Island, in preference to the see of York which had been vacant since the retirement of Paulinus.

a. d. 651.

66. The efforts of Aidan were more successful than those of St Augustine, and to him rather should be ascribed the title 'The Apostle of the English:' he cousin of king Edwin, who founded the abbey of Whitby. At his death in this year Finan, also a

^{64.} When, and by whom was Wessex converted to Christianity? 65. When, and by whom was Christianity re-established in Northumbria? 66. Who succeeded Aidan? Who converted Mercia? When? Who re-established Christianity in Essex? and who at this time filled the See of Canterbury?

monk of Iona, was appointed his successor in Northumbria. Through the instrumentality of the latter, Mercia (Cheshire) was added to the Church by the conversion of Peuda, its king. Diuma was consecrated its bishop, who came into the kingdom with three Saxon priests, one of whom named Cedda or Chad was sent into Essex to attempt the restoration of Christianity in that kingdom, in which he was very successful; this was about A.D. 654-6. Some authorities consider Diuma a Scot by birth. It is to Diuma and his three successors that the whole of the midland parts of England owe their conversion to Christianity. At this period Frithona, a Saxon priest, held the See of Canterbury under the name of Deusdedit (or God's gift.).

A. D. 664.

67. On the death of Finan, Colman obtained the diocese of Northumbria; and the successor of king Oswald was Oswy, who had married Eanfieda the daughter of Edwin. Oswy being a member of the ancient British Church, and Eanfieda of the Anglo-Saxon Church founded by the Roman missionaries, a difference of practice prevailed with the king and queen in the keeping of Easter, and other religious observances, which created much dissension and inconvenience. On this account, Oswy consented to call a council at Whitby at this period to effect if possible a uniformity in the two Churches. Colman, bishop of Northumbria, and Chad, bishop of Essex, advocated the British usages, and Wilfrid was deputed by Agilbert, the bishop of the West Saxons, to advocate those of the Roman; numbers of the clergy

^{67.} Who succeeded Finan in Northumbria? by whom, and for what purpose was the council at Whitby convened? and when? Who represented the British Church? and who, the Roman? What was the result of the discussion?

from various parts of England attended, The and the discussion ended in the majority Heptarchy. assenting to the adoption of the Roman customs.—Colman and his clergy immediately after retired into Scotland; and the Church of England now to a certain extent submitted to the foreign dominion of the Romish Church.

A. D. 666.

68. Papal influence.—Another important circumstance now occurred in king Oswy of Northumbria and Egbert of Kent, jointly appointing Wighard, a Saxon priest, to the See of Canterbury on the death of Deusdedit, (Frithona); he was sent to Rome to be consecrated: but having died before his arrival, the two kings requested Pope Vitalian to fill up the vacancy: some authors think Vitalian determined to appoint to the vacant see of his own accord.

A. D. 669.

69. Hertford Synon.—Vitalian fixed his choice on Theodore, an Asiatic of Tarsus in Cilicia, a man of great piety, and learning; and to keep him in his submission to the See of Rome, an Italian Abbot named Adrian, a native of Africa, was despatched with him as a kind of spy. On his arrival in England, Theodore was acknowledged by the entire Anglo-Saxon Church as their Metropolitan. At a Synod at Hertford, he imposed ten canons on the Church (A. D. 673.): which directed that the bishops and clergy should keep to their dioceses; and monks to their own monasteries; that priority of consecration should decide the precedence of bishops; that synods should be held twice every year; that Easter day should be kept according to the Roman practice; i. e. on the Sunday following the

^{68.} How was the successor of Frithona to the See of Canterbury appointed? 69. Who was Theodore of Tarsus? who accompanied him? what was his success?

first full moon after the vernal equinox; The and that divorces should not be allowed Heptarchy. for any other cause than that sanctioned by the Gospel. He also procured the first legal provision for the clergy, in the shape of a Kirk-scot or tax of one penny from every house of thirty pence year!vrent; prior to this, tithes had been a religious obligation and voluntary. Theodore founded a school at Canterbury, and introduced chanting in the churches: with the assistance of Adrian, he founded several other schools for the advancement of education, and he considerably extended the efficiency and influence of the Church by increasing the number of bishops, and promoting the formation of parishes by granting the patronage to the founders of their Churches.—Bede IV. 5; V. 45; Spelman I. 153; Wilkins I. 41.

a. d. 678.

70. Wilfrid, the great champion of the Roman Church at the Council of Whitby (see par. 67.), soon after that event, was appointed to succeed Tuda, who had followed Colman in the see of Northumbria; some affirm that the appointment was to the see of York as successor to Paulinus (A. D. 633.): he refused to receive consecration from the native bishops, from their not being in communion with Rome, as well as from Canterbury, as he considered the Archishop (Wighard) was in agreement with those schismatics; he therefore went over to Agilbert, formerly bishop of Wessex, but now bishop of Paris, to be consecrated. Continuing absent, however, two years, Oswy appointed Chad, the brother of Cedda the bishop of Essex, to fill the vacant see of York; who was consecrated by one Anglo-Saxon bishop, Wini, bishop of

^{70.} How was Wilfrid rewarded for his success at the council of Whitby? What circumstances attended the career of Wilfrid? Who supplied his place in the See of York? What was his style of living? Before whom did he lay his complaints?

Winchester, and two British bishops, Archbishop Theodore having not yet Heptarchy. arrived; and this was the first act in which the two Churchés held communion. On Wilfrid's return (A. D. 670.) he applied to Theodore for restitution, the Archbishop declared Chad had been uncanonically consecrated, in consequence of which, Chad resigned the see of Northumbria (York) to him, and was subsequently put by Theodore into the see of Lichfield, (Mercia) which he held till his death (A. D. 672.) Wilfrid, proud and ostentatious, rivaled his king in the style and extravagance of his living; this brought down upon him the displeasure of his prince, and led to the partition of his large diocese of Northumbria: a proposition originating with king EGFRID, and to which Archbishop Theodore consented. Wilfrid immediately set off for Rome to lay his complaints before Pope Agatho.

71. Under the advice of Theodore, the see of Northumbria was divided into those of York, and Hexham (Hugulstad), which latter was now erected into a bishopric; that of Lindisferne or Holy-Island was also restored; Ripon became the see of a bishop: a bishop was likewise appointed at Lincoln, and another at Withern in the more northern part of the kingdom. Wilfrid at length returned with a bull from the Pope in his favour, which was the first attempt at Papal jurisdiction made in England; the bull, however, as well as Wilfrid himself, were treated by the king and clergy with disdain; and he at last was confined in prison for nine months, and then banished from Northumbria, (A. D. 677.) Theodore shortly after called a Council at Hatfield, about the time of the Council at Constantinople (A. D. 680.), for enforcing religious uniformity: the first five general councils were received, as well as the one lately

^{71.} What additional bishoprics were erected by Theodore? Did the king and clergy submit to the authority of the Pope in the case of Wilfrid? What befol Wilfrid?

held at Rome A. D. 649., condemning the Monothelites.

Heptarchy.

A. D. 681.

72. Wilfrid wandered from one Saxon kingdom to another till he found a resting place in Sussex, the not yet thoroughly converted portion of the island; here he laboured diligently among the people, and successfully brought them over to the Christian faith, (A. D. 681.). Ædilwalch, the king of Sussex, had been baptized previously at the Mercian court. Wilfrid was ultimately restored to a part of his ancient see, and died bishop of Hexham. (A. D. 709.).

A. D. 692.

73. Archbishop Theodore having laboured many years in promoting the welfare of the Anglo-Saxon Church, and striven continually to keep it in conformity to the Church of Rome, yet from his oriental origin with a feeling of independence, now died at the advanced age of eighty-eight years, and was succeeded in the see of Canterbury by Berthwald, (Brihtwald) abbot of Reculver in Kent.

74. About this period a code of Ecclesiastical laws was drawn up by INA, king of Wessex, for the regulation of the clergy, which bear evidence to the discipline of the Church at that period; it is the first recorded code we have, and was probably a digest of previous enactments; among them were these

following :-

CHURCH RATES .- 'The Church scot (or rate) for the repair of churches, and supply of all things necessary for divine worship was to be paid by every house before Martin-

^{72.} When, and by whom was Sussex Christianized? What was the end of Wilfrid? 73. When did Theodore die? Who succeeded him? 74. What code of Ecclesinstical laws appeared at this time? by whom? Mention such as bear some testimony to the state and discipline of the Church. Digitized by Google

mas, according to a valuation made at Christmas: penalty forty shillings, or twelve times Heptarchy.
the amount due.'

SABBATH.—'If a slave work on the Sabbath by command of his lord, he shall be free, and the lord fined thirty shillings.'

'A slave working on his own account and by his own

will shall be whipped.'

'A freeman working shall be fined sixty shillings, or lose his liberty.'

INFANT BAPTISM .- 'If a child is not baptized within a

month after birth; fine forty shillings.'

'If a child die unbaptized; and the want of baptism is from the neglect of the parent, he shall forfeit his whole estate.'

Privilege of SANCTUARY was also given to Churches.

Summary of the Seventh Century.

75. The Roman missionaries, after taking up their abode in Canterbury, met with so much success among the Anglo-Saxon people that Canterbury was now made an Archi-episcopal see, and St Augustine consecrated its first Archbishop and the Metropolitan of England: A.D. 601. Prior to this no semblance of Papal authority had ever been claimed or exercised in the island. The ancient British Church still kept aloof from the Anglo-Saxon establishment, and firmly resisted the efforts of Augustine to effect a uniformity, yet the latter church continued to enlarge its influence; many additional Sees were founded, synods convened, the heathen temples turned into churches, and many of the pagan rites retained; at length, the whole country became converted. At a vacancy in the see of Canterbury, the Anglo-Saxon princes chose a Saxon priest named Wighard for the Archbishopric, and sent him to Rome to be consecrated; dying there, however, Pope Vitalian appointed Theodore of Tarsus in his place A. D. 669. This prelate effected many improvements in the Church, checked dissension, and enforced discipline. The progress of the Church during this century was much disturbed by the controversies respecting the keeping of Easter; and by the struggles of truth with false doctrines, and growing superstitions; great dissension also arose respecting the treble tonsure of St Peter, St Paul, and Simon

^{75.} What was the progress of the Church in the Seventh century?

the Sorcerer. Divine worship began to be performed in the Latin tongue to the exclu- Heptarchy. sion of the native Anglo-Saxon: and bells were introduced. The Endowment of Parish Churches was promoted by giving the right of patronage to their founders; Churchrates enforced; Privilege of Sanctuary allowed; and it is supposed that the payment of tithes was now established; having, as some affirm, been introduced by Augustine. The clergy as yet held themselves independent of Rome, for on an appeal made by Wilfrid to Pope Agatho against his Metropolitan, the papal bull enforcing the claims of Wilfrid was treated with contempt by the Archbishop and the Saxon princes, and Wilfrid himself put in prison. A. D. 676. But he was subsequently restored. The conversion of the Heptarchy was now completed A. D. 678. Shortly after, a code of Ecclesiastical Laws was drawn up by Ina. king of the West Saxons, A. D. 693.

CENTURY VIII.

The Heptarchy.—A. D. 705—716.

76. Anglo-Saxon Church.—About the beginning of this century the Anglo-Saxon Church comprised seventeen bishops under one Metropolitan, the Archbishop of Canterbury, who was generally elected by the monks of Canterbury. Cuthbert, lately abbot of Melrose, now filled the see of Holy Isle; and Aldhelm, nephew of Ina, king of Wessex, and lately abbot of Malmesbury, was now bishop of the new see of Sherborne. A. D. 705. Ina had wrested Glastonbury abbey from the Britons who still occupied Devon, Cornwall, and part of Somerset; and at the request of Aldhelm, it was rebuilt with great magnificence. The celebrated ecclesiastical historian, Bede, also flourished at this time.

77. Ina at length made a pilgrimage to Rome,

ъ2

^{76.} What was the state of the Anglo-Saxon Church at the beginning of the VIIIth century? 77. Where did Ina end his days? Whence is supposed to have originated

^{*} Kent in A. D. 597; Essex, 604; Northumbria, 627; East Anglia, 631: Wessex, 634; Mercia, 651; Sussex, 678-81.

according to the practice of the Anglo-Saxons, but the Britons made their pil- Heptarchy. grimages to Jerusalem; and he there founded a Saxon school, for the support of which it is supposed that the tax of Romescot was now first levied, of a penny from every householder in Wessex: this, subsequently, was annually demanded by the Popes from the whole kingdom, under the name of Peter's-pence; and for seven centuries, with occasional interruptions, it was collected and sent to Rome. In this city Ina ended his days. The learned Adrian, the friend of archbishop Theodore, a few years before this (A. D. 710.) died at Canterbury: among his pupils were BERTHWALD, (or Brihtwald) the successor of Theodore. Alcuin, subsequently abbot of Canterbury, and Aldhelm, bishop of Sherborne. Now also (A. D. 716.) the monks of Iona consented to conform to the Anglo-Saxon practice of keeping Easter, &c.

a. d. 735.

78. Bede was born in the diocese of Durham about A. D. 674. and placed in his childhood (A. D. 680.) under the cure of Benedict Biscop, abbot of Wearmouth; he was removed soon after, on the death of that learned man, to the neighbouring monstery of Jarrow, were he was educated under the care of the abbot Ceolfrid, Biscop's successor, and there passed the whole of his life in devotion and study. He was ordained a deacon at nineteen, and a priest at thirty: and life chief labour is his History of the English Church, from Augustine to his own time, compiled from ancient monuments, traditionary accounts, and personal knowledge. Besides his Ecclesiastical history, he wrote a translation of St

the tax of Peter's pence? When did the learned Adrian die? Who were among his pupils? When did the Church of Scotland begin to conform to the Anglo-Saxon time of keeping Easter, &c.? 78. Give some account of Bede.

John's Gospel in the Anglo-Saxon The tongue, which he completed but a few Heptarchy. minutes before his death. He died A.D. 735. He was buried at Jarrow, and his bones subsequently removed to Durham and enclosed with those of Cuthbert.

A. D. 747.

79. Council of Cloveshoo .- In this year a council was called at Cloveshoo (Cliffe's-hoe, or Cliff, at Hoo) in Kent, by CUTHBERT, archbishop of Canterbury, under the direction of Ethelbald, king of Mercia, in deference to the request of Pope Zachary: although it was principally at the instigation of Winifrid (Boniface) a native of Crediton in Devonshire, and a friend of Cuthbert's; his zeal for the Church procured Winifrid a pall, and the German archbishopric of Mentz. It was enacted at this council, that bishops should visit their dioceses annually; that the people should learn the Creed, and the Lord's Prayer in the vulgar tongue, and have the sacraments of Baptism, and of the Lord's Supper, explained to them in their own language; prayers also were ordered to be used for the dead : other canons were passed for the correction of morals and discipline: but no mention was made of submission to the See of Rome, a thing so much desired by Winifrid, then so hot a partisan of the Roman Pontiff; vet great deference was shown to Papal authority.

A. D. 750.

80. Conformity to Romish usages.—The wide extent and flourishing condition of the Anglo-Saxon Church led the ancient British Church at last to yield to the Roman time and practice of keeping

^{79.} When, and by whom was the council of Cloveshoo convened? What was then agreed to? 80. When did the ancient British Church conform to the practice of the Anglo-Saxon, and Roman Churches?

Easter &c., and to hold communion with the Church of England, still retaining its own independent government. Elvod, bishop of Bangor, introduced the practice into his diocese at this period; and South Wales followed the example a few years after. A. D. 777.

81. SEE of York.—It was now (A. D. 732-766.) that the city of York obtained great celebrity for its Archbishop Egbert (Ecbert) who had visited Rome, and obtained an archiepiscopal pall from the Pope, collected an extensive library, and diligently applied himself to teaching in the school attached to the monastery. He wrote a 'Penitential,' another work called 'Excerpts,' and several treatises on ecclesiastical discipline. He was succeeded in his see by Albert (or Elbert) a near relative, who emulated the good deeds of his predecessor, and obtained equal credit and renown: ultimately he retired into a monastery, and died two years after. (A.D. 780.) His successor in the see of York was Eanbald, formerly a pupil of the learned Alcuin (Flaccus Albinus), who supplied the place of Albert in taking charge of the school, and library.

82. ALCUIN was a native of York, where he was born of noble parents A.D. 735. He received his education from Egbert and Albert, and on the retirement of the latter he went soon after to Rome to solicit from the Pope the pall for *Eanbald*, who was elected the successor of Albert. Whilst abroad he became acquainted with Charlemagne, who invited him to the imperial court, where he took up his residence A.D. 782., and by his piety, learning, and address obtained such unlimited influence over the emperor as to direct the affairs of Europe. *Alcuin*, obtained permission to revisit his native country A.D.

^{81.} For what was the city of York at this time celebrated? Who were its noted Archbishops? 82. Who was Alcuin?

EARLY ENGLISH CHURCH.

790; and after a stay of three years he returned, and ultimately retired to his Abbey of St Martin at Tours, where he devoted his time to writing manuscript copies of the Scriptures, and died A. D. 804. Cuthbert Archbishop of Canterbury was succeeded by Bregwin, A. D. 759.; and he, by Lambert, A. D. 763.

A. D. 787-796.

83. A THIRD ARCHBISHOPRIC.—Offa, the sanguinary king of Mercia, being at this period at enmity with Alric the king of Kent, whom he subsequently slew in battle, and with Lambert archbishop of Canterbury because he had been striving to get foreign assistance for his royal master, determined to curtail the power of Lambert by creating Lichfield into an archbishopric; for this purpose Offa sent presents to Pope Adrian I. for his permission and assistance to found a third archbishopric. A council was in consequence held at Calcuith in Lancashire (or Challock, or Chalk in Kent A. D. 785-7.), which was attended by Gregory bishop of Ostia, and Theo-phylact bishop of Todi, as the Pope's legates, when Lichfield was made an archbishopric, and the pall assigned to Highert: at this Council also several ecclesiastical regulations were adopted, and the first six general councils admitted. OFFA soon after visited Rome, and proposed to the Pope the foundation of St Alban's Abbey; and at the same time he settled on the English College at Rome an annual payment of a penny from each family in his dominions: a similar imposition to that of Ina, a former king of Wessex, and which is thought to have been the origin of the tribute of subsequent times, called Rome-Scot or Peter's pence. Offa was an ambitious prince, and made the Church an instrument of

^{83.} Relate the circumstances of the Church during the reign of Offa, king of Mercia.

personal aggrandisement; he at last died A.D. 796. During his reign Alcuin was Heptarchy. permitted by Charlemagne to revisit England; during his stay the English bishops engaged him to write against 'the worship of images,' which the decrees of the SECOND COUNCIL OF NICEA (held A.D. 787.) had established:—a doctrine which the English Church declared to be 'accursed.' Alcuin's work is known as the celebrated 'Caroline Books.'

A. D. 800.

84. THIRD ARCHBISHOPRIC reduced.—On the death of Highert, the new archbishop of Lichfield, this year, Ethelhard, (Athelard) the successor of Lambert in the See of Canterbury, prevailed over Conwulf the new king of Mercia, who was now also possessor of Kent, to consent to the reduction of Lichfield to its ancient jurisdiction; and having obtained the assistance of Alcuin to prevail over Pope Leo III. Lichfield became once more a bishopric.

Summary of the Eighth Century.

During this century the Anglo-Saxon Church advanced to its highest state of intellectual eminence, having among its promoters INA, Bede, Aldhelm, Ecbert, Cuthbert, and Alcuin. The see of Sherborne was founded A. D. 705; of which Aldhelm was the first bishop. The venerable Bede flourished at this period, dying A.D. 735. York regained its Archiepiscopal position under Ecbert, which it had lost ever since the time of Paulinus (see par. 62.) A.D. 745. For the correction of morals and discipline a council was convened at Cloveshoo A. D. 747.; and literature and learning made great advances under the influence of the celebrated Alcuin, whose great piety and talents procured him the favour and patronage of Charlemagne. Offa, the Mercian king, becoming offended with Lambert, archbishop of Canterbury, procured from Pope Adrian, the erection of Lichfield into an Archi-episcopal see A. D. 785.; to confirm

^{84.} When was Lichfield reduced to its ancient jurisdiction of a bishopric? Give a summary account of the Ecclesiastical information of the eighth century.

which a council was called at Calcuith, at which for 'the first time Papal legates appeared, and took part in the points debated A. D. 785—7. Offa at length just before his death in a spirit of penitence for his great cruelties re-founded St Alban's Abbey, and visited Rome, where he endowed the English College with the tax upon his people called Rome-Scot. Image-worship, which had been established by the second Nicene Council, was rejected by the Anglo-Saxons, and by France A. D. 787., and at the close of the century Lichfield fell back into its ancient limited jurisdiction of a bishopric, thus restoring to Canterbury its lost privileges.

CENTURY IX.

Egbert.—A. D. 827—836.

85. THE HEPTARCHY REDUCED.—Early in this century another Council was held at Calcuith A. D. 816. under WULFRED, then Archbishop of Canterbury, and in the presence of CENWULF king of Mercia and Kent, when it was decreed, that instead of relics being deposited in a Church at its consecration as directed by the second Council of Nice, the consecrated elements might be employed; also, that a representation of the Saint in honour of whom a Church was built shall be commemorated on its wall; also, that Scottish clergymen should not be allowed to officiate, on the plea of uncertainty as to their orders, but really on account of their objecting to acknowledge the ascendancy of Rome; also, that no private monastic institutions should be allowed; also, that baptism should be administered by immersion, not by sprinkling; and other minor points. Soon after this the Saxon Heptarchy was brought to a close by the reduction of its several kingdoms to

^{85.} What were the troubles of the Church in the reign of Egbert? Who were his enemies? Who succeeded him

the power of EGBERT, king of Wessex, who was crowned at Winchester, king of all England, (i. e. all, south of the Humber), A. D. 827. He did not however long enjoy this high dignity, for the kingdom soon became a prey to the ravages of the Danes, who appear to have directed their fury especially against the clergy, the churches, and the monasteries; as well out of resentment for the cruelties inflicted on them in their native country by Charlemagne, and his successors, who forced Christianity upon them; as for affording them richer, and easier booty. Harassed by these continual wars Egbert at length died, and was succeeded by his second son Ethelwulph A. D. 836., the elder having lately died.

Ethelmulph.—A. D. 838.

ETHELWULPH had received his Ethelmulph. education in the cloister under Swithin, afterwards bishop of Winchester. He was a peaceful prince, having been prepared for a mitre rather than the crown, and far from fitted to resist the inroads of the Danes. He convened a synod of the Church towards the close of his reign at Winchester, (A. D. 855.) when at the instance of St Swithin he either made a grant of a tenth part of the royal domains, or some say of all England, as tithes to the church; or he may have only renewed their payment, as mention had been made of tithes before; or, as is thought by some, he may have merely exempted the church lands from all secular services and taxes. Soon after, out of reverence to the Holy See, he visited Rome, taking with him his son Alfred, then seven years old, which was indeed the child's second visit; and who now received the papal unction as the future sovereign of England: while there ETHELWULPH rebuilt Ina's

^{86.} What synod was convened by Ethelwulph? What grant did he make to the Church? What did his successors?

School, which had been destroyed by Ethelmulph. fire; settled an annual payment on the See of Rome of three hundred marks (mancuses); one hundred for the use of the Pope; and one hundred each for the churches of St Peter and St Paul for the supply of candles. Journeying through France on his return home, he espoused Judith, the youthful daughter of Charles the Bald; but his remaining days were embittered by his eldest son usurping the throne. He died shortly after, when he was succeeded, one after the other, by his three sons. Ethelbald in A. D. 856. Ethelbert in A. D. 860. and Ethelred in A. D. 866., during whose reigns the kingdom was incessantly harassed by the Danes, who spared neither age, sex, nor condition, and ruthlessly destroyed the finest monasteries in the country; among which were those of Beverley, Ripon, Whitby, Lastingham, Jarrow, Hexham, Lindisfarne, Bardney, Croyland, Peterborough, Ely, and others. At length ALFRED, the fourth son of Ethelwulph, succeeded to the crown, A. D. 871., at the age of 22 years.

Alfred the Great .- A. D. 871.

87. Danes defeated.—Alfred on his accession to the throne found his kingdom in a most lamentable position. The bishops and clergy had either been put to death or compelled to fly, the monastic establishments were broken up, and all the books and manuscripts within reach, the barbarous Danes had destroyed. The king himself was obliged to retire to Athelney, near Glastonbury, to escape from his persecutors (A. d. 878.); but fortune at length turned in his favour. The Danes were overthrown and compelled to submit to the terms of Alfred; which were, that they might settle in England on condition of their embracing Christi-

^{87.} What was the state of the kingdom on the accession of Alfred?

anity, and paying tithes, Rome-shot, light-shot (church-shot), plough-alms (a kind of rent-charge), and all other dues of the Church as paid by his own people.—They agreed, and were

baptized with Guthrum (Godrun), their king.

88. Having now more leisure and better opportunity, ALFRED, guided by his uncle, St Neot, a monk of Glastonbury, set about repairing the injuries done to the Church. He raised new edifices, invited learned monks from abroad to settle in the kingdom; and improved the temporal government of his people by compiling a code of laws from the enactments of his predecessors. He restored a school at Oxford which had been founded by archbishop Theodore, or perhaps by the ancient British Church; though some affirm, that he founded a College there (University College in A. D. 872.). Grimbald, from St Omer, was appointed one of its teachers, who built the church of St Peter's in the East in that city, of which nothing now remains but the crypt. He is also said to have built a cathedral at Winchester, a monastery at Athelney, and a nunnery at Shaftesbury.

89. From the ignorance of the clergy the Latin language was almost unknown; but Alfred himself was by no means deficient in learning, nor in an acquaintance with Latin; to encourage literature, therefore, with the assistance of his friend and biographer Asser, who was subsequently bishop of Sherborne, and Plegmund afterwards archbishop of Canterbury, and Werferth bishop of Worcester, he translated into his native tongue various portions of the Scriptures; Bede's Ecclesiastical History; the Consolations of Boethius (a work of the sixth century); Orosius; and the Pastoral of Pope Gregory, which last was a manual of directions for the clergy; in

^{88.} What did Alfred after subduing the Danes? 89. What did Alfred to encourage literature and learning?

this work various penances were enjoined. but the practice was now creeping into the Church of avoiding them by pecuniary fines: the king was also engaged in a Version of the Psalter, which, however, he left unfinished. Image Worship, which in the time of Alcuin had been held accursed by the English prelates, was now gaining considerable ground, for in the 'Ten Commandments' prefixed by Alfred to his laws, the second is omitted; yet the tenth stands 'Thou shalt not make to thyself gods of gold and 'silver.' Alfred's philanthropy led him to urge the manumission of slaves; and his Christianity extended to foreign countries, even to India, whither he sent the bishop of Sherborne with presents to supply the wants of the Syrian Christians, who were then in great distress. (Turner 11. 148.) The bishop returned A.D. 884. The king, notwithstanding his early predilections for Rome, knew nothing of the supremacy of Rome, nor did he admit the doctrine of transubstantiation: but he allowed the right of sanctuary, entertained a high regard for relics, and favoured penance.

A. D. 886.

90. ERIGENA.—At this period died John Scotus Erigena (John, the Irish-born Scot) who lived in the court of Charles the Bald of France; he was very zealous in opposing the doctrine of transubstantiation then strongly advocated by Paschasius Radbert, abbot of Corby, a French monk; but who was also controverted by Ratramn (Bertram), a monk of the same convent. Scorus, however, laid the foundation of the scholastic system of Theology, and translated the spurious works of Dionysius, the Areopagite. Having offended his patron Charles, he accepted the

What works did he write? What was the state of the Church in his reign? 90. Who was John Scotus Erigena? What was his learning?

invitation of Alfred to reside in England as a teacher: he obtained great renown both at Oxford and Malmesbury; but from the sternness and severity of his discipline he is said to have died a violent death at the hands of his pupils. To the close of this century, nothing further of moment occurred affecting the progress of the English Church.

Summary of the Ninth Century.

During this century some slight advantages were gained by the Romish party towards establishing the ascendancy of the Papal power. The Roman Easter became generally adopted: and the Scottish clergy, on account of their ideas of ecclesiastical independence, derived from their ancestors, Aidan, and others, were not allowed to officiate south of the Humber. The clergy obtained under Ethelwulph exemption from all secular services and taxes; but the irruptions of the Danes greatly interfered with the progress of Christianity. Alfred, the Great rescued his people from the thraldom of the Danes, and did great service to literature, and legislation, and much for the welfare of the Church, but his early affections for Rome led him to encourage some of the superstitious practices of the Papacy, particularly IMAGE-WORSHIP. During this century (A.D. 820—836.), the False Decretals (the Pseudo-Isidorian) were published by some member of the Gallican Church for the express purpose of advancing the Papal power. They appeared as by Isidore of Seville, who had in A.D. 635. revised the collection of Dionysius the Less; containing the canons and decretals of the Popes since SIRICIUS, to his own time (A. D. 384-525.). The Forged Diecreals, however, professed to contain the canons and decretals of the Popes before Siricius up to the remotest period; and have for their object the depreciation of the metropolitan and temporal power, the exaltation of the Papal Supremacy, and the establishment of the independence and infallibility of the Roman Church. At this period also the doctrine of Transubstantiation was prominently put forward by Paschasius Radbert.

What was the progress of the Church in the Ninth century?



CENTURY X.

Edward the Elder, -A. D. 901-925.

91. Edward the Elder. — The beginning of the tenth century brings with it the death of the good king Alfred, who died at the age of 52 years A.D. 901. He was succeeded by his son Edward the Elder, who, according to Collier, erected three new bishoprics, those of Wells, Crediton in Devon, and Padstow or St Petrock in Cornwall: by some authorities this is considered to have been done in consequence of a threatening Epistle from Formosus, Bp. of Rome, but this is not sufficiently authenticated. He also divided the diocese of Sherborne into two, forming out of it the see of Wilton: and likewise corrected certain abuses that had crept into the Church. He died A.D. 925. and was succeeded by Athelstan.

Athelstan .-- A. D. 925 .-- 940.

92. ATHEISTAN. — The reign of Athelstan is conspicuous for its great deeds. This king reduced all Wales and Cornwall to subjection, and gained many very important victories over the Danes. He established, moreover, at the council of Grateley many regulations of a legislative character, among which were trial by ordeal; he also enforced church shot (church-rates), and the payment of tithes of live stock, as well as of produce. Dissensions however sprung up in the Church upon the subject of the restoration of the monasteries between the bishops and Secular clergy on the one side, and the monks, called the Regulars, on the other side. The monks ultimately

^{91.} When did Alfred die? Who succeeded him? What did Edward the Elder for the Church? 92. What dissensions occurred in the reign of Athelstan?

prevailed, for we find that ATHELSTAN Athelstan. restored many religious houses, and founded others: at length he died, and was suc-

ceeded by his son Edmund A. D. 940.

93. SECULARS, AND REGULARS.—Up to the reign of ATHELSTAN, Monachism in its strictest sense had not prevailed to any very great extent in England; the monasteries were, generally speaking, Colleges for the education of youth. The most numerous and most influential body of men at this period were the secular clergy (or Seculars), who were the parish priests, living according to the practice of the world, unrestricted by any monastic discipline, and were such as our present clergymen. They and their bishops were in possession of the monasteries, from which the Regulars (monastic orders) had either been expelled by the Danes, or put to death; and also of the cathedrals, where they performed daily service; and in the precincts of which they resided with their wives and families. It was to regain possession of these monasteries, and acquire their lost influence in ecclesiastical affairs, that the Regulars now contended with the Seculars, and with a bitterness of feeling that disturbed the peace of the Church for several hundred years, ending only at the Reformation. The main-spring of this contentious strife was the ambition of the See of Rome, which-looking on the Regulars as belonging to their order and not to their country, and as aids to its usurpation-backed them in their claims, and exempted them from all ecclesiastical jurisdiction, hoping thus to overthrow the influence of the Secular clergy, who were the means of keeping the national churches independent of the Papal power.

MONACHISM .- The monastic life seems to have had

^{93.} What was the most influential body of men up to the reign of Athelstan? What gave rise to the dissensions between the Seculars and Regulars? 94. Give an account Digitized by GOOGLE

its rise in the middle of the third century, when the persecutions of the Church drove many a Christian of noble birth, and great learning, to find safety in the seclusion of the wilderness, or retirement of the desert. The most noted founders of solitary life, whence the appellations monk and monachism, monastery, and minster (from μονος, solus, alone), were the Egyptians, PAUL of Thebes, and St ANTHONY. The former, who is the first recorded hermit. fled from the Decian persecution (A. D. 250) into solitude, in which he lived ninety years. Twenty years afterwards (A.D. 270) the celebrated St Anthony retired into the Egyptian desert, and ultimately to the Upper Thebaid. where he practised the severest abstinence and the most painful austerities: his illustrious example produced numerous disciples. But as time advanced, and their numbers increased, these recluses began to form themselves into communities or bodies, living together according to certain regulations, and having all things in common; and thus originated Monasteries, and the appellation of Canobites (from κοινός βιος, vita communis.) The first Cænobium or Monastery was built by PACHOMIUS in Egypt A. D. 340.; who established certain rules for dress, labour, conversation, and devotions, which he professed to have received by revelation: and many similar institutions very quickly followed in other countries. But it was not till the time of St Basil, (A. D. 378.), that Monachism was reduced to a system, and enforced by yows. He laid down certain laws for the regulation of monastic life, derived chiefly from those of Pachomius, and which were ultimately adopted throughout Asia; the most important rule was celibacy. The West was not far behind; the austerities of St Anthony were introduced into Syria and Palestine by his disciple Hilarion A.D. 340: and Athanasius, about the same time, taught the Anchorets (avaywontai) of Rome and Italy to live in societies: Ambrose at Milan, Jerom at Rome, and Martin at Tours, were zealous patrons of Monachism about A.D. 390. St Benedict of Nursia, however, (about A. D. 530.), imitating the example of St Basil, prescribed a set of rules, which were as universally received in the West, as those of the

of the rise and progress of monachism. Whence are 'monachism' and 'monk' derived? What was the origin of monasteries? What is the meaning of Cænobites? By whom was monachism first reduced to a system in the East, and in the West? What was the discipline of the monastery? Whence sprung the various orders?

latter were in the East. He required every Monk to pass through a period of probation (novitiate): that all shall be bound by oath to a perpetual residence in their monastery; to render implicit obedience to their superior; to live in accordance with prescribed law; and to occupy themselves in study and manual labour, particularly agriculture; and in the education of youth. The various members of these societies conformed to the rule of celibacy, which was first prescribed by law by SIRICIUS bp. of Rome. A. D. 385., and lived in strict subordination to their superiors: every ten monks were in subjection to the Decanus (or Dean), and every hundred to the centenarius, while these were in submission to the Patres or fathers, called abbates or abbots (from aBBas a father); certain monasteries, however, differed from others in their system of rules, whence sprung various orders taking their distinctive names either from their founders, from their guardian saints, or from some other circumstance either of place, occupation, or of dress.

95. It must be remembered that originally all Monks were laymen, and necessarily so; for in the seclusion of a desert there could be no opportunity for the exercise of the clerical function; and their peculiar and retired life also engaged them to celibacy. In course of time, however, when these monks joined themselves into societies, and were so distant from a Church as to be unable to attend public worship, one or more monks were ordained for the purpose of performing divine service among themselves. further, the Monasteries becoming from their nature, the great repositories of science, and schools of learning, many men were chosen from among them by the bishops for the service of the Church, and then the monastery was abandoned by them. Monks therefore are not to be confounded with the clergy, nor are they to be placed on a level with the laity. From their peculiar rule of life they were distinguished by the name of Religiosi or Regulares, (Canonici) i. e. living according to certain rules, regulæ. Such monks as were ordained to the priesthood were called Clerici Requiares in contradistinction to the Clerici Seculares, (secu-

^{95.} What were monks originally? How came they to receive ordination? What is the distinction between Seculars, and Regulars? [S. 3; F. F. 1.] What is the origin of the terms Friar, and Mendicant? [S. 3; X. 1.] Whence is the appellation of Nun?

laris, living according to the custom of the times. Athelstan. seculum), who were the Parish Priests, and such as were charged with the cure of souls, and had the privilege of marrying. At the end of the 4th century, the monastic life was commonly considered as the preparation for the clergy. The Monks who were not ordained to the holy office were known in after years under the name of Friars, (from fratres, brothers, hence freres, friars): and from their obtaining their support by charity and begging. they were called Mendicants (from mendicus, a beggar): these, however, subsequently intrenched upon the duties of the priesthood, which occasionally excited much disturbance. It must be mentioned, likewise, that there were societies of Females, who had taken the vows of monastic life and perpetual virginity; from which circumstance they acquired the name of Nuns (from nonna, a word derived from the Ægypto-Greek voviç, a virgin. Hospmian de Mon.) so early as the time of St Anthony; and the rules of Pachomius were applied to both sexes.

Edmund .- A. D. 941-946.

96. One was now archbishop of Canterbury having been preferred by Edmund from the bishopric of Sherborne. He was the son of a Dane, and a Benedictine monk, and therefore gave great encouragement to the pretensions of the Regulars. Odo endeavoured to improve the discipline of the Church by publishing certain canons; among which marriage within certain degrees was prohibited; and to increase the power of the Clergy he effected the release of Church property from taxation.

97. Dunstan.—It was also in the reign of Edmund that the celebrated Dunstan rose into importance. He was born near Glastonbury about A.D. 925., and is said to have been educated there by some learned Irish pilgrims, who were attracted thither by an erroneous tradition that the bones of St Patrick lay buried within or near the abbey.

^{96.} Who was Odo? 97. What celebrated man rose into importance in the reign of Edmund? What is known of the early years of Dunstan? What did Dunstan effect at Glastonbury?

Through the influence of his uncle, ATHELM Edmund. the archbishop of Canterbury, Dunstan was introduced to the court of Athelstan, where he soon became a favorite, and almost as soon was banished from the court. Taking umbrage at this treatment, by the advice of his relative Elphege, bishop of Winchester, he determined to take to the cloister. and therefore went over to Fleury, on the Loire near Rouen, where he took the monastic vow, and acquired a thorough knowledge of the Benedictine discipline: on his return he retired to Glastonbury. From the wonderful versatility of his talents, his varied accomplishments, and the eccentricity of his living, he imposed on the vulgar mind, and gained credit for performing numerous miracles. On the accession of EDMUND, however, he regained the royal favour, became the King's chaplain, and was made Abbot of Glastonbury (A. D. 943.): which was now restored at the wish of Dunstan by the liberality of the King. Here he introduced the Benedictine rule, and became the first ABBOT of that order in England: whence his name of the 'Father of English Benedictines.' The King also granted him a charter by which he had equal authority with the monarch in the domains attached to Glastonbury. Three years after, EDMUND died, and was succeeded by his brother Edred, A. D. 946. with whom Dunstan retained the same favour, as with his predecessor.

Edred.-A. D. 946-955.

98. The influence of *Dunstan* over the feeble Edred now led that ambitious man to make his bold attempt of re-modelling the Anglo-Saxon Church: having the countenance and assistance of *Odo*, the Primate, he began by requiring the clergy to put away their wives: he next

^{98.} What was the conduct of Dunstan during the reign of Edred?

expelled the Secular priests; who, as the practice was, resided with their bishop; and having supplied their places with monks, he next, with the additional sanction of Oswald Archbishop of York, and Ethelwold bishop of Winchester, his two great co-adjutors throughout his whole career, directed the Benedictine rule to be observed in all monasteries: which insisted on the celibacy of the clergy, implicit obedience to their superior, and rigid conformity with the rules of discipline. At the instance of Edgiva, the mother of EDRED, another Benedictine monastery was founded on the Royal domains at Abingdon, and Ethelwold made its Abbot. career of Dunstan, however, was somewhat checked by the death of EDRED A. D. 955., and the opposition of his successor Edwy.

Edny.—A. D. 955.

99. The opposition of the youthful Ednu. EDWY originated in the insolent treatment he met with at the hands of Dunstan, who had compelled him by force to return to the scenes of revelry and intemperance held in honor of his coronation from which he had retired in disgust; but Dunstan's resentment brought against the king, and his queen Elgiva, the violent hatred of Dunstan, and his party. The monarch was treated with the greatest insult and indignity, but it awakened a resolute spirit which recoiled on the haughty abbot, and forced him to fly the kingdom. EDWY, and his queen now became objects of the most cruel persecution at the hands of Odo, the Primate, and the whole body of the monks; ELGIVA was divorced by Odo as being within the prohibited degrees, and after being cruelly branded on the face was banished; not long after, she ventured to return, but the virulence of

^{99.} What was the conduct of Dunstan towards Edwy and Elgiva? What befel Dunstan? What was the end of Edwy?

Odo's hatred overtook her; he ordered the tendons of her legs to be cut, when death released her from her sufferings. A competitor for the crown was also set up in the person of Edgar; till at last, the King for his own security was forced to recall Dunstan, who was appointed by Edgar bishop of Worcester, and then of London, which he held with it; not long after, the see of Canterbury became vacant by the death of Odo, Edwy hastened to fill it up by appointing Elsin, he dying on his way to Rome to receive the pall, Brithelm was nominated in his stead; immediately after, the troubles of the youthful king were put an end to by a violent death. A. D. 959.

Edgar.—A. D. 959—974.

100. EDGAR on his accession being but 16 years of age, became an easy prey to the wiles of Dunstan, and very early showed himself a great supporter of the Benedictines. He directly set Brithelm aside, and appointed Dunstan, Archbishop of Canterbury; who went to Rome and received the pall from Pope John XII.; and immediately on his return, applied his whole influence and power for the exaltation of the Monks. He imposed on the king a seven years penance for violating the laws of chastity, and the sanctity of the cloister, (A.D. 962.); and the marriage of the clergy was forbidden under pain of deprivation: violent disputes in consequence sprung up between the married clergy, and the monks, which latter had a powerful advocate in the person of Dunstan. Collegiate Chapters were turned into Benedictine fraternities, and where there was opposition, rival churches were ordered by Oswald to be set up under the especial patronage of the 'Virgin'; hence, the term 'Oswald's Law; and to uphold the

^{100.} Which side did Edgar take in the disputes between the Seculars and the Regulars? What was the conduct of Dunstan and his party? What ecclesiastical regulations were enacted during the reign of Edgar?

credit of particular monasteries recourse was had to fraud, trick, and lying miracles. At a synod held at Winchester A. D. 968. to settle the dispute between the Seculars and Regulars, the argument going in favour of the former, sounds were heard to come from a crucifix on the wall repeating "God forbid it to be done," upon which, Dunstan and his party came off triumphant.* The king was wholly in the hands of the monks; who engaged to defend him from the devil, and his angels, in return for which, he pledged himself to protect them from their opponents. EDGAR at length died, and was succeeded by Edward the Martyr, A. D. 974. During this reign 48 monasteries were either erected or re-organised on the Benedictine principle; and several ecclesiastical enactments were made: every priest was to learn a trade,-all accusations against a priest were to be heard before a synod of the clergy—the clergy were to preach every Sunday, and especially to enforce sound loyalty.-Children were to be baptized before they were six weeks old; -and no person could be admitted to the Eucharist, or receive Christian burial &c., unless he could say the Creed, and the Lord's prayer :- Church dues were to be regularly paid, in default to be recovered by summary process, and a penalty. Confession, restitution, 'deed bote', and penance, were strictly enforced; but in some cases commutation (an indulgence) was allowed. It was in the reign of Edgar that Dunstan excommunicated a powerful earl for an incestuous marriage; the latter applied to the Pope, who commanded Dunstan to restore the Earl to communion, but Dunstan rejected the Pope's authority, and refused so long as the Earl continued in his sin.

[.] Some affirm this event to have taken place in the succeeding reign?



Edward the Martyr.-A. D. 974-978.

101. During the reign of EDWARD. Edward. Dunstan and the monks continued in the ascendant: a second synod was convened for adjusting the differences of the clergy, but it ended fruitlessly. A more important one, however, was held soon after at Calne, in Somersetshire, in a large upper room (A. D. 978.). The advocate of the Seculars was a Scottish prelate, named Beornhelm: Dunstan answered his subtle arguments by declaring that 'he committed the cause of his Church to Christ himself, as judge.'-Immediately by previous stratagem part of the floor gave way, and precipitated to the ground beneath the Secular clergy, while Dunstan and the monastic party remained unhurt: the decision consequently ended in favour of the Regulars. In the following year, EDWARD met a violent death at the hands of an assassin at Corfe Castle, hired by the perfidious Elfrida, his step-mother, in order to open the throne for the succession of her own son Ethelred, A. D. 978. Ethelred.—A. D. 979—991.

102. THE DANES.—During the minority of ETHELRED, the Secular party seemed to have the advantage: the violence and the extensive influence of Dunstan, however, maintained the position of the Regulars. But a more formidable enemy to the advance of religion than party strife now presented itself in the renewed ravages of the Danes. Men's minds took alarm; ETHELRED (nicknamed the 'Unready') made such feeble opposition as to tempt rather than dismay his enemies. Dunstan now died (A. D. 988.) and was buried at Canterbury. He left a name of high celebrity for his talents, his justice, and his powers of administration;

^{101.} What was the state of the clergy during the reign of Edward the Martyr? Who succeeded Edward? 102. What important events occurred during the reign of Ethelred affecting the Church? When did Dunstan die. What unwise step did Sigeric recommend?

in these respects none equalled him since the days of Theodore; in other matters, however, he held and acted on the principle 'the end will justify the means.' Ethelgar succeeded him, and he was followed in the next year by Sigeric, (or Siric), who, on the next incursion of the Danes (A.D. 991.), unwisely recommended the king to buy off the enemy: consequently the tax, called Danegeld, was now first imposed, and paid to the Danes. This kind of premium gave birth to fresh and continued incursions, each more exacting than its forerunner.

A. D. 995-1000.

103. ÆLFRIC.—Sigeric (Siric) was now succeeded in the see of Canterbury by Aluricius: but there flourished at this period the great Saxon Homilist, Ælfric (or Elfric), abbot of Cerne in Dorsetshire: he subsequently became abbot of Peterborough A. D. 1023, and two years afterwards he was appointed by Canute to the see of York, which he held till his death. He was educated at Winchester in the school of Ethelwold, and became a very talented man, and did much good for the Church, having translated several portions of the Scriptures, written two volumes of Homilies, eighty in number, a book of Ecclesiastical Laws and Canons, a Latin Grammar in his native tongue, and several Hortatory Epistles. Ælfric being a monk, expected in the clergy full obedience to the rule of Benedict; he enforced the celibacy of the clergy, held relics in high esteem, and put faith in acts of penance, and in the intercession of saints; but he was no favourer of the doctrine of transubstantiation, nor of Papal supre-

^{103.} What is known of Elfric, the Archbishop of York? What number of orders were there in the Anglo-Saxon Church at the close of the tenth century? Describe them, and give a Summary of the events of this century.

macy. Among his canons are to be found seven orders enumerated, who are all en-

joined to observe the seven canonical hours, to possess themselves before ordination of a psalter, epistle book, Gospel book, mass book, song book, hand book, gerim (calendar), pastoral, penitential, and reading book (lectionary). The vestments, and vessels used in divine service were directed to be of the best materials; the Gospel was to be explained in English to the people every Sunday, and festival, and no fees were to be demanded for Sacramental Services. Consecrated oil was to be always kept at hand, both for infants, and for the sick; and the unction was to be confined to extreme cases (in extremis), as the Romanists now do. Ælfric was also instrumental in founding the see of Durham at this period by bishop Aldhun. Notwithstanding, however, the distinguished learning of Ælfric, and the testimony he bears to the state of the Anglo-Saxon Church at the period in which he lived, the influx of Normans shortly after, and the introduction of another language, together with the policy of Lanfranc the great advocate of Papal supremacy, caused his name and writings to be soon forgotten. Ælfric is by some considered to have been Archbishop of Canterbury.

Summary of the Tenth Century.

This century brought with it the death of Alfred the Great; his successor, Edward the Elder, established the three new bishoprics of Wells, Crediton, and Padstow, and corrected certain abuses in the Church. But in the reign of Athelstan, and his successors, an important change was made in Church matters by the introduction of the Benedictine orders, and the planting of regular monasteries throughout the kingdom, through the instrumentality of Odo, and Dunstan. This proceeding was not effected without a violent struggle between the Secular Clergy, and the Regulars or Monastics; which ended only at the Reformation. By means of Dunstan many important regulations affecting Ecclesiastical discipline were made, and the payment of tithes, and other church dues legally enforced:

he also resisted the attempt of the Roman See to have jurisdiction in this kingdom. After the death of Dunstan, however, to the close of the century, the irruptions of the Danes engaged nearly the entire attention of the monarch, and the people. Bp. Aldhun founded the See of Durham, and from Archbishop Ælfrie's canons we learn, that there were in his time, and at the close of this century, the following seven orders in the Anglo-Saxon Church, viz.

1. The Ostiary, who kept the doors, and rang the bells;

something similar to the modern sexton.

2. The Exorcist, who by certain prayers cast out devils.

3. The Lector or Reader, who read the lessons in the Church.

4. The Acolyth, or Acolythist, who attended on the ministers, holding the candles during the reading of the Gospel, and the celebration of the mass.

5. The Sub-deacon, who prepared the holy vessels, and

attended the deacon at the altar.

6. The *Deacon*, who assisted the priest, laid the oblations on the altar, baptized children, and gave the eucharist to the people.

7. The Priest or Presbyter, who preached, baptized,

and consecrated the Eucharist.

To the latter may be added of the same order, but of higher honor, the Bishop, and the Archbishop.

CENTURY XI.

ETHELRED continued .- A. D. 1001.

104. The Danes.—The beginning of this century brought with it increasing troubles from the Danes, who soon laid waste the whole kingdom. ETHELRED had now formed an alliance with Richard, duke of Normandy, by marrying his sister Emma, A.D. 1002.: the same year closed with an act of the deepest atrocity; viz. the sudden and complete

104. What was the progress of the Danes in the beginning of the eleventh century? What did Ethelred?

massacre of the Danish population through—
cut the island. A fearful retribution followed;
for Sweyn, king of Denmark, determined on a deadly
revenge, and hastening to England, he ravaged the
country from one end to the other, and ultimately was
declared king of all England. A. D. 1013. ETHELRED
abandoned the country to his opponents; but the sudden death of Sweyn, only six weeks after gaining
possession of the kingdom, tempted him to return.
Canute was declared king by the Danish army, and
consequently there was a continued struggle for the
mastery between the rival monarchs. During one

of these contests, when Canterbury was besieged by the Danes, Elphege, who had succeeded to the archbishopric, boldly attempted to restrain the cruelty of the enemy exercised on the defenceless women and children; but he was taken captive, and after seven months' imprisonment barbarously murdered. ETHEL-BED at length died, (A. D. 1016.), and was succeeded

by his son, Edmund Ironside.

105. COUNCIL.—During the reign of ETHELRED, a council was held at Eanham (Ensham) in Oxfordshire, (about A. D. 1008.), where canons were put forth for the government of the Church; from which we have some idea of the Church dues at that period. Tithe of produce was to be paid at Allhallows. Tithe of young at Whitsuntide — Romeshot at St Peter's mass—Soul-shot when a grave was opened (this appears to be the origin of burial fees)—Light-shot three times a year—and Plough-alms, a fortnight after Easter: also, that there was to be no trading, nor public meetings on Sundays.

Edmund Ironside.—A. D. 1016.

106. EDMUND IRONSIDE made several Edmund bold, yet futile, attempts to expel the Ironside.

Who was proclaimed king? Who succeeded Ethelred? 105. What were the dues of the Church as shewn by the council of Eanham? 106. What was the success

Danes, which at length ended in a Edmund compromise between himself, and Canute, to share the kingdom together. The latter reigned in the northern part of the island, and the former in the southern. But Edmund Ironside two months after was murdered by one of his nobles, and Canute then became sole monarch. A. p. 1017.

Canute-Harold-Hardicanute.-A. D. 1017-1042.

107. CANUTE soon after his accession was made a convert to Christianity, and became devoted to the interests of the monastic orders: by the advice of Agelnoth, (or Ærthelnot) the Archbishop of Canterbury, and Alfric, he collected the old laws affecting both Church and State, and gave efficiency to them by some new enactments: among which is to be found one bearing on Church Rates. which runs thus:- 'All people are bound of right 'to assist in repairing the Church.' (Can. 63. Lambarde.) Beyond this, during his reign, which closed A. D. 1035. and those of his sons, HAROLD, and HARDICANUTE, who both showed an open contempt for the Christian religion, nothing of importance affecting the Church is rerecorded. HARDICANUTE dying without issue (A. D. 1042.), Edward, the Confessor, a surviving son of Ethelred, and who had been for some time an exile in Normandy, was called to the throne; and in him was the Saxon line restored.

Edward the Confessor .- A. D. 1042.

108. EDWARD, the Confessor, had Edward no sooner become possessed of the the Confessor. throne than from his indiscreet partiality for the

of Edmund Ironside against the Danes? 107. What is known of the state of the Church during the reigns of Canute, and his sons? 108. What did Edward the Confessor on his accession to the throne?

French he inundated the country with his Norman followers, placing them in the highest offices both of Church and State. Robert Gemeticensis, a monk of Junieges, was made bishop of London, and soon after advanced to the see of Canterbury: William, and Wulfhelm (or Ulf), two other Normans, were appointed to the sees of London, and Dorchester; and crosiers, and abbots' staffs were liberally bestowed on foreign favorites: in fact, Norman fashions, both in dress, and style of living; and Norman writing, and the Norman language, in deeds, parchments, and law proceedings became the order of the day: indeed it was not long before the Anglo-Saxon language was branded with vulgarity, and the speaking in French a mark of superior breeding. A. D. 1050. About this period (A. D. 1051.) died the celebrated Ælfric, Archbishop of York; he was buried in the Abbey at Peter borough.

а. р. 1054—1066.

109. PRIORIES.—Robert did not long continue in the see of Canterbury: having incurred the enmity of Earl Godwin, through his influence he was obliged to retire into France. Indeed the national antipathies, and a stirring jealousy awakened so powerful a combination against these foreign adventurers that most of them were driven back to the continent. Stigand, bishop of Winchester, was now raised to the see of Canterbury. A. D. 1054: but to his reproach he held both sees together; yet to his credit, it is to be said, that he never presented himself at the Papal court to sue for the pall, thus proving the independence of our Church. It was during this reign that Priories were established

What customs prevailed? 109. When was Stigand made Archbishop of Canterbury? When were Priories introduced into England?

throughout the kingdom, which were Convents (conventus, convenire), or religious houses subject to an abbey.

Edward the Confessor.

which directed its government and discipline, and appointed one of its monks as its superior under the name of Prior. There were many of these societies founded by the king, who attached them to foreign monasteries, whence they were called alien Priories. The power of the monks by these foundstions became considerably increased, as well also did foreign influence. The king likewise re-founded Westminster Abbey in commutation of the performance of a vow to visit Rome, which was his last act; for, a few days after its consecration, he died. A. D. 1066.

110. CODE OF LAWS .- EDWARD the Confessor, is said to have left us an admirable code of laws, collected from those of his predecessors, particularly Ethelbert, Ina. and Alfred, and to have caused many ecclesiastical enactments to be made: but they are said by others to have been compiled after William had conquered England, and were merely an authorized digest of the laws existing during the reign of Edward the Confessor: from them among other things we learn, that Peter-pence was to be paid to the Pope; that tithes of sheep, pigs, bees, &c., were to be paid to the Church; that law-suits were to be stayed on certain festivals as well as Sundays; that the bishop's proctor in all cases should have the first hearing; that the ordeal of fire and water should be discretionally applied; and that criminals flying to the Church should have privilege of sanctuary, escape the civil power, and fall under the jurisdiction of the bishop, and his clergy. Mention is also made of the Jews being under this king's protection.

^{110.} What civil, and ecclesiastical laws do we derive from Edward the Confessor? Digitized by Google

Harold.--- A. D. 1066.

111. On the death of Edward the Confessor, HAROLD, the son of Earl Godwin. was universally proclaimed king; Edgar, the Atheling, the rightful heir, being set aside on account of his bodily, and intellectual weakness. Harold two years previous to his accession had founded the Abbey of Waltham for the Secular Canons, which in no small degree excited the jealousy of the Monks; he did not, however, long keep possession of his kingly dignity. WILLIAM, Duke of Normandy, laid claim to the kingdom as his by the will of the deceased Edward: and in support of which he invaded the island. Duke William sought assistance from every quarter, and obtained a holy licence from the Pope (Alexander II.) for carrying on the expedition, who also blessed his host, and consecrated his banners; for this high favour he promised, if successful, to hold the kingdom as a fief of the Church. WILLIAM landed his troops on the coast of Sussex, and was met by HAROLD at Senlac (Battle) near Hastings: a desperate battle ensued in which Harold was slain, and the kingdom fell into the possession of WILLIAM, Duke of Normandy, 'The Conqueror,' Oct. 14th, 1066; thus terminating the Anglo-Saxon rule.

A Summary.

112. The Anglo-Saxon Church.—At this period the Church of England embraced the greater number of the parishes now existing, and comprised two archbishoprics, and thirteen bishoprics; viz.

Archbishoprics.

Canterbury

York

111. Who succeeded Edward? Who opposed the claim of Harold? What assistance did Duke William obtain? What battle decided the question? 112. What Archbishoprics, and bishoprics, did the Church of England comprise at the Norman conquest?

Bishoprics.

Dorchester Durham
(Oxfordsh.) Rochester
Elmham Salisbury
Exeter Selsey
Hereford Wells
Lichfield Winchester
London Worcester

113. The Clergy had some share in the government of the kingdom; for the Archbishops, Bishops, and Abbots, had seats in the great council of the kingdom, called the Wittenagemot, ever since Saxon conversion; and the Bishop also sat with the earls as judges in the county courts. In respect of the Doctrines of the Anglo-Saxon Church compared with those of Rome, she allowed Confession, prayers and masses for the dead, and penance; and was advancing towards the admission of the worship of images, purgatory, and the invocation of the Virgin Mary, and of Saints: but she rejected Transubstantiation, the Papal supremacy, and all approach to the later doctrine of attrition, (the Viaticum.) The Anglo-Saxon Church, however, held that respect to Rome as might be due from a daughter to a mother Church, but no more; for as yet the Roman bishop was nothing beyond the Patriarch of western Europe, presiding among his equals. Such was the state of the Church at the Norman Conquest.

^{113.} What share had the clergy in the government of the kingdom?

CHAPTER III.

From the 'Norman Conquest' to the beginning of the 'Reformation' in the reign of Henry VIII.

William I.—A. D. 1066.—1087.

Popes; Alexander II. A. D. 1061—72. Gregory VII. A. D. 1073—85. Victor III. A. D. 1086—7.

114. WILLIAM, the Conqueror, had William I. no sooner gained possession of the country than he called on Stigand, the archbishop of Canterbury, to place the crown upon his head. This prelate, however, refusing, recourse was had to Aldred, archbishop of York. A new æra now commences in the ecclesiastical as well as civil polity of our country; and the relations between England and the Papal See, from the sanction given by the Pope to William's invasion, become more intimate. leading policy of William, however, was to depress the ecclesiastical power: he proceeded to remove Stigand, who, in a synod held at Winchester for this purpose, was deposed by the Pope's legate, and the see of Canterbury transferred to Lanfranc, a professor of laws of Pavia, whom the King had brought from Normandy, where he held the Abbacy of Caen, A. D. 1070. Lanfranc immediately set about the reformation of the Church; and began by the substitution of a foreign for a native clergy. English bishops

Digitized by Google

^{114.} What befel Archbishop Stigand on the accession of William the Conqueror? Who succeeded him? What were the first proceedings of Lanfranc?

were deprived, and the English clergy William I. displaced to make room for crowds of Normans, and Italians, who soon occupied all the principal sees, and benefices. About this period Pope Alexander II. died A. D. 1073.

115. The Papacy resisted. — The subtle and imperious Hildebrande succeeded Pope Alexander under the title of GREGORY VII.; one of his first acts was to summon the King to do homage for the possession of England. The proud Norman peremptorily refused; yet he admitted the Pope's legates into the country; allowed the payment of Peter's-pence as a benefaction, but not as a tribute. He also declared, that no decision of any Synod should be carried into execution without his permission; that no Papal letters should be published before he had seen them; that no Pontiff should be acknowledged in his dominions without his sanction; and, that no tenant of the crown should be impleaded in the Ecclesiastical courts, or excommunicated without his permission. He confirmed the right of the clergy to great and small tithes, but subjected all the Church lands, which amounted to seven-fifteenths of the kingdom, to the payment of taxes. He also tenaciously retained the right of Investiture, (i. e. by the delivery of a ring and staff, symbols of their pastoral office and union with their Churches, he gave to the bishops livery and seizin of their temporalities, who as feudal barons paid homage and fealty to the King in return): thus he preserved his independence of the Pope, and maintained his authority over the Church; but in other respects he

^{115.} How did William resist the demands of the Pope? [A.A. 1.] What authority did the king exercise over the Church? What enactments did he make? How was uniformity in the public worship secured? By whom was it drawn up? What other alterations were effected in the Service? What was the condition of the Norman bishops? Who succeeded William the Conqueror?

considerably promoted the increase of Romish errors, and the advance of Romish power. We must observe likewise that there was a great want of Liturgical uniformity in the public worship, which produced continued disturbance: at last a form was established by authority, drawn up by Oswald, (or Osmund) bishop of Salisbury, based on those of Rome, and which became universal throughout the realm. It was called the Salisbury Missal, (In Usum Sarum), and was in Latin. A. D. 1078. At length the monarch died, and was succeeded by his son WILLIAM RUFUS. A. D. 1087.

116. Gregory VII. HILDEBRANDE.—A little before the death of William I., the celebrated Pope GREGORY VII. died. A.D. 1085. It is singular that the Anglo-Saxon age introduces us to one noted Gregory, and the Anglo-Norman to another, of equal, if not greater note. It was GREGORY VII. who laid the foundation of the exalted claims of the Papacy to Supremacy, and Infallibility in things spiritual and temporal. He maintained that the sceptre of the universe belonged to the successors of St Peter, as Vicegerent of the Almighty. To prove this bold and magnificent conception, he referred to certain Decretal Epistles, since known as the 'FORGED DECRETALS,' which profess to be the decrees and letters of the first bishops of Rome from the time of Clement, the companion of St Paul, A.D. 69. to Pope Deusdedit. A.D. 615.

117. Forged Decretals.—The history of these Decretals is this:—In the Latin Church there was no tolerably correct chronological collection of the canons until that made after the council of Chalcedon (A. D. 451.), and since known as the Prisca Translatio. A larger collection, and better translation was afterwards made by Dionysius Exiguus, (or 'the Less') about A. D. 510—25; to which was added a collection of the Papal decress. In Spain there had been a very early collection of the decrees of the Synods, which from time to time was enlarged, and on the model of that by Dionysius, a collection of Papal

^{116.} Who was Hildebrande? Forged Decretals?

^{117.} What were the Digitized by Google

decrees added. This was afterwards known as the collection of ISIDORE, archbishop of Hierapolis A. D. 636. When, however, the weakness and disunion of the successors of the great Charlemagne opened the way for new pretensions to authority and power, the Popes seized the opportunity, and to give these pretensions to universal swav an historical basis. there gradually appeared another Isidorian collection, containing the original copy, with many spurious additions, and False Decrees. They were written, probably, between A. D. 829 and A. D. 845, by a member of the Gallican church: Benedict Levita of Mentz is the suspected author. It consisted of three parts.—(I) contained 61 Decretal Epistles of the Popes of the first three centuries from Clement A. D. 91 or 93 to Sylvester, who succeeded Melchiades. A. D. 314. (In this part, two of the Epistles from Clement to James had appeared before, the remaining 59 are new, and Pseudo-Isidorian).—(II) contained the Canons of the Councils, chiefly from the genuine Isidorian collection.—(III) contained the Decretal Epistles from Sylvester to Gregory, the Great, A. D. 590, or to Deusdedit (or Deodatus). A. D. 615. (Of these, 35 are Pseudo-Isidorian; the rest are mostly from the Isidorian collection). In this collection, which began to be used by Pope Nicholas I. A. D. 858., is found for the first time the pretended edict 'Edictum Domini Constantini Imp.,' or the 'Donatio Constantini, which was afterwards acknowledged a fiction by the Emperor Otho III. A. D. 999., and proved to be so by Nicolas Cusanus. A. D. 1432, and by Laurentius Valla A. D. 1457. In these it is declared, that in consideration of the Spiritual authority of St Peter Constantine the Great ceded to Pope Sylvester, and his successors, the Empire of Italy and the West: a donation that long claimed reverence as the undoubted charter of an unalienable temporal sovereignty: and further, in these Decretals it is affirmed that the whole world, in virtue of our Lord's promise to St Peter, then acknowledged the Church of Rome as the chief of all the churches: that she had the care of the whole flock of Christ: that every other bishopric was founded and emanated from Rome, and therefore must be in obedience to it: that no council or any other power on earth, whether Ecclesiastical or Civil, could in any way judge, question, or interfere with these rights and privileges of the Bishop of Rome; that without his sanction nothing done by princes, bishops, or councils, civil or religious, could have any force or authority; and that all authority was dependent on the Roman See. and crowns and mitres at its disposal: these principles Hildebrande presumed to advance. In certain of his William I. Epistles, he claims on the authority of these Decretals the sole right of convoking, presiding over, and dissolving councils; of annulling decisions and decrees by whatever authority pronounced; of deposing bishops, kings, and princes; and of absolving subjects from their allegiance, and fidelity. This ambitious man was of mean origin, the son of a carpenter; he afterwards became a monk of Clugni; and for five and thirty years was the confidential adviser of four successive Popes: eventually he acquired for himself the Papal chair, and consolidated that vast spiritual despotism and political power, which he so long had endeavoured to establish by the feeble hands of his predecessors. His first great experiment as Lord of the Universe and God's Vicegerent was upon the person of Henry IV., Emperor of Germany, whom he excommunicated and deposed for rejecting the Papal authority. But the daring assumptions of GREGORY VII, ultimately recoiled upon himself: a rival Pope, factious cardinals, and the revenge of his exasperated enemy Henry IV., drove him from the Pontificate, and left him to die, a lamentable spectacle of disappointed ambition, and dejected grandeur.

118. Legates are persons acting for and in the name of another, but here refer to those deputed by the Pope. There are three kinds of Legates, viz. (1) Legatus a latere, a cardinal sent from the side or immediate presence of the Pope, invested with many of his great and important functions, such as to hear appeals, fill up vacant dignities, call synods, absolve the excommunicated, and grant dispensations. Of this kind were Cardinal Wolsey, and Cardinal Pole.—(2) Legatus natus, was he who by virtue of his office (ex officio) held the legatine commission. Such were usually employed by the Pope; and of this kind were the Archbishops of Canterbury before the Reformation .-(3) Legatus datus, was a legate given on extraordinary occasions to hold authority from the Pope by special commission. He was superior to the two preceding, and held pro tempore almost unlimited authority, which was generally

displayed with the most consummate arrogance.

Summary of the reign of William I.—20 years, from A. D. 1066 to 1087.

119. The Papal power made many encroachments during

118. What is a Legate? 119. Give a summary of the reign of William I.

this reign, and a Legate was allowed to exer-William I. cise authority; but the King maintained the Royal supremacy, and the national independence; the Pope demanded homage for his crown, but William I. peremptorily refused to concede it. Barons and Abbots were barons of parliament, ministers of state, and military chiefs, and yielded homage, fealty, and military service, and other feudal obligations to the crown: bishops were elected by the chapters; approved by the King. who insisted on the right of Investiture, but they were confirmed by the Pope;—the civil and ecclesiastical courts were separated, by which the clergy were exempted from the secular power :- Dioceses were divided into archdeaconries corresponding with our counties, and into rural-deaneries similar to our hundreds ;-and all Papal interference with the civil authority was rejected. The adoption of the Salisbury Missal, a compilation from the Romish and other Liturgies, effected uniformity in Divine Worship; but the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and the whole Church Service was now performed in the Latin language: the celibacy of the clergy was imposed; and the doctrine of transubstantiation insisted on by the Primate. On the continent this doctrine was strongly but unsuccessfully opposed by Berenger, (Berengarius) archdeacon of Angers, in France. A. D. 1079. On being brought before Gregory VII. however, he recanted. The Canon law was also introduced; and no Saxon was allowed to hold any dignity ecclesiastical or civil. There were 45 Monasteries founded in this reign.

William II.-A. D. 1087-1093.

Pope; Urban II.—A. D. 1088—99.

120. WILLIAM II, on his accession to the throne paid a certain degree of deference to the learned Lanfranc: but the aged primate was hastening to the grave, and died A. D. 1089. To this celebrated man England is indebted for the restoration of letters, the introduction of the Canon law, and likewise for the first submission of this country to the Papal yoke. He rebuilt Canterbury

^{120.} When did Lanfranc die? For what are we indebted to him? Who was Berengarius? What was the conduct of Rufus on the death of Lanfranc?

cathedral with stone brought from William II. Caen; and procured the removal of the bishops' sees, from decayed villages to populous towns; Sherborne was removed to Sarum, Selsey to Chichester, Dorchester (Oxfordshire) to Lincoln, Thetford to Norwich, Lichfield to Chester, afterwards to Coventry. But he shared in the deceitful practices of the Romish Church, by which he effected the appointment of Anselm, about of Bec, in Normandy, as his successor. A little before the death of Lanfranc, the celebrated Berenger died. A. D. 1088. Berenger (or Berengarius) was archdeaeon of Angers, and a disciple of Bertram Rabanus, and John Erigena: he emphatically denied the corporal presence in the Eucharist advanced by Paschasius, but admitted that 'the body and 'blood of Christ was verily and indeed taken, and received by the faithful in the Lord's Supper.' He was looked upon as a heretic, and met with the most violent opposition. Several successive Popes fulminated the thunders of the Vatican against him; and synod after synod was held, in which strong decrees were passed in his condemnation. Berenger was unable to contend against this array of opposition, and often therefore recanted; but wherever he could he maintained and taught the doctrine which he drew from the Scriptures; he had numerous followers who were called Berengarians, and he died in peace at Tours. Immediately on the death of Lanfranc, Rufus by the advice of his minister, Ralph Flambard, seized the revenues of Canterbury, and kept the see vacant for four years; other benefices and abbacies were treated in the same way to gratify his extravagance and rapacity.

A. D. 1093-1100.

121. A dangerous illness, however, brought upon the King a feeling of remorse, and he therefore at once nominated Anselm to the see of Canterbury.

^{121.} Who succeeded Lanfranc in the See of Canterbury? What was the conduct of Anselm? How did Rufus behave in the matter? Who were the rival Popes at this period? When did Rufus die?

A. D. 1093. The new Primate now William II. called on the King to fill up the vacant benefices, and restore the church-lands he had misappropriated. This proceeding met with the King's displeasure; Anselm also questioned the king's power to invest him with the Primacy. There were now two Popes, URBAN II., and CLEMENT III.; and Anselm demanded permission of Rurus to proceed to Rome to procure the pall from Urban. This was in violence of the laws passed in the last reign, which forbid the clergy to leave the kingdom, or to acknowledge the authority of the Pope. The King called a council of the nobility and prelates at Rockingham, who declared the conduct of Anselm illegal; RUFUS then demanded them to depose him, but they replied that that could not be done without the authority of the Pope. A compromise was at last effected: by which. Rurus agreed to acknowledge Urban II. as Pope, if he would depose Anselm. Pope's legate arrived, the King's acknowledgement was received, but instead of deposing Anselm, the legate immediately confirmed him in the Primacy. A. D. 1095. Anselm now left the kingdom to escape the anger of the irascible monarch, and proceeded to Rome, where he was well received by Urban. He attended the Lateran synod, where the memorable anathema was pronounced against all who should either give or receive lay investiture: and then retired to Lyons. He remained abroad till the death of WILLIAM, who was accidentally shot by Sir Walter Tyrrel, A. D. 1100. During this reign the first Crusade was preached by Peter the Hermit, A. D. 1095. A few years after Pope Urban II. died, and was succeeded by Pascal II. A. D. 1099.

122. Architecture.—The Norman style of ar-

^{122.} When did the Norman style prevail? When did the Anglo-Saxon style prevail?

chitecture prevailed at this period; and was distinguished by the semicircular arch, the massive pillars, and the chevron, or zig-zag moulding: it flourished from the middle of the eleventh century to the latter part of the twelfth. Its predecessor was the Saxon or Anglo-Saxon style, which prevailed from the time of Augustine, at the close of the sixth century, to the middle of the eleventh century: its distinguishing features were the semicircular arch, walls of rubble or rag-stone stuccoed over, with courses of hewn stone at the angles, and narrow ribs of square-edged stones running vertically up the building.

Summary of the Reign of William II.—13 years, from A.D. 1087 to 1100.

123. WILLIAM RUFUS followed in the footsteps of his father. Anselm was at length appointed to succeed Lanfranc in the see of Canterbury: the new Primate offended the king, and retired to Rome: the first Crusade was preached in this reign at the instance of Pope Urban II. and Ecclesiastics prohibited to do homage or fealty to their sovereigns. There were 29 Monasteries founded in this reign.

123. Give a summary of William the Second's reign?



CENTURY XII.

Henry I. A. D. 1100.—1135.

Pope; Pascal II.—A. D. 1099—1118.

124. HENRY I. surnamed Beauclerc, Henry I. succeeded the late King, and began his reign by conciliating the clergy and the people. To strengthen his defective title the King sought the favour of the Papal See, and therefore recalled Anselm. who was received with great honor, but on being required to do homage for the possessions of his see, he refused. HENRY appealed to the Pope, (Paschal), who decided in favour of Anselm; upon which the King ordered the Primate to do homage. or quit the kingdom: Anselm defied him; a second appeal was therefore made to the Pope; and a third, in which last Anselm went in person; the result was the following compromise, 'that no lay-man should 'invest by delivery of the ring and crosier into any 'spirituality, but that Prelates should do homage for 'their temporalities.' A. D. 1107.

125. **Investiture.** The right of *Investiture*, or putting bishops into possession of their spirtualities was claimed as the prerogative of the Pope by *Gregory* VII. (Hildebrande), who pronounced an anathema against every lay-man that invested, and against every one who received investiture from a lay-man; yet he allowed the bishop elect to do homage for his temporalities to the king. *Urban* II. went further at the council of Clermont (A. D. 1095.); he forbade bishops and priests to do homage; i.e. take the oath of allegiance to any king, or lay-man. Hitherto *Investiture* had been enjoyed by the King, but

^{124.} What was the cause of difference between Anselm and Henry I.? How was it settled? 125. What was the right of Investiture, and the nature of the disputes respecting it? [8.1.] In whom did it rest?

Henry yielded up a part of his prerogative, requiring only homage for the temporalities. For several years, however, there was a continual struggle for supremacy between the Popes and Prelates on the one side, and the temporal princes on the other.

126. Anselm was a zealous supporter of the Papal power, and a strenuous advocate for the ecclesiastical discipline of his predecessor Lanfranc. He deprived several abbots, and refused consecration to the bishops nominated by the King as being un-canonically appointed. The Primate also, at a council held in London A. D. 1103., strove to enforce the celibacy of the clergy, but his efforts were unsuccessful; and at last Pope Paschal dispensed with the canon, and allowed Anselm 'to ordain and ad-'vance the sons of clergymen.' A. D. 1107. Two years after, Anselm died (A. D. 1109.), and HENRY was in no haste to fill the see of Canterbury, which he kept vacant five years; when Rodolph (Radulphus, or Ralph) was translated from the see of Rochester to that of the Primacy without any notification to the Pope. The Pontiff expostulated against this freedom, and also censured the bishops for calling synods, and deciding on ecclesiastical matters without his sanction; he at the same time complained of no appeals being sent to Rome. The see of Elu was now founded by separating the county of Cambridge from the see of Lincoln. Shortly after BERNARD, the bishop of St David's, who had refused submission to the see of Canterbury, was compelled by Papal censures to submit. A. D. 1115.

^{126.} What was the conduct of Anselm? Who succeeded Anselm as Primate?

A.D. 1118-1135.

Popes; Gelasius II.; Callixtus II.—A. D. 1118; Honorius II.—A. D. 1123.; Innocent II.—A. D. 1130.

127. The order of Knights Templars was Henry I. instituted about this period. The amiable Matilda, the King's consort, now died; she founded the hospitals of St Giles, and of Cripplegate. A. D. 1118. A few years after Archbishop Rodolph died, and was succeeded in the Primacy by William Corboyle, A. D. 1123. Honorius II. now occupied the Papal chair, and by this Pope the Archbishop of Canterbury was for the first time invested with full legatine powers. Three years after a Legate a latere in the person of Cardinal John de Crema, a mere presbyter, was allowed to enter the kingdom, and convene a Synod at Westminster, A.D. 1126, where in his legatine capacity he took precedence of the Primate, and passed several canons for the regulation of discipline, particularly for enforcing the celibacy of the clergy. No sooner, however, was the Synod dissolved than the immoralities of the foreign legate compelled him hastily to quit the country. Repeated Synods were called to enforce celibacy, but the King troubled himself little with the execution of the decrees, but rather by the imposition of a tax on clerical marriages connived at the indulgence. The Cistercian order of monks was established at this period A.D. 1128., and Pope Honorius was succeeded shortly after by Innocent II. A. D. 1130. At length after a long and prosperous reign HENRY I. died, and was succeeded by Stephen. A. D. 1135.

Summary of the Reign of Henry I.—35 years, from A. D. 1100 to 1135.

128. HENRY I. having but a defective title endeavoured to conciliate the Pope by recalling *Anselm* to Canterbury. This prelate extended the Papal encroachments, and in a Synod at Westminster endeavoured unsuccessfully to enforce

^{127.} What else occurred in respect of the Church during the reign of Henry I.?

128. Give a summary of the reign of Henry I.?

the celibacy of the clergy. Henry, still anxious Henry I. to maintain the Papal favour, gave up the right of Investiture of the ring and crosier as affecting the spiritualities, and merely reserved to the crown the receiving of homage for the temporalities. The monastery of Ely became a bishopric by the abstraction of Cambridgeshire from the see of Lincoln: and on the death of Anselm the Primacy remained vacant five years: Rodolph was then appointed to Canterbury; at whose death the independence of the English Church expired also. A. D. 1123. His successor, William Corboyle, gave up his archiepiscopal right to call synods, enforce discipline, and enact canons, by receiving from Pope Honorius a legatine commission for that authority. Thus was the door opened for the admission of a foreign legate to supersede the archiepiscopal authority; and which occurred shortly after by the appearance of Cardinal John de Crema in England as a Legate a latere. This Papal functionary exhibited his power by convening a Synod at Westminster, and enacting certain canons. Cistercian order was established about this period; and Carlisle was erected into a bishopric. Shortly after HENRY I. died. There were 143 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Stephen.—A. D. 1135—1154.

Popes; Innocent II. A. D. 1130—1143; Celestine II. A. D. 1143; Lucius II. A. D. 1144; Eugenius III. A. D. 1145; Anastasius IV. A. D. 1153; Adrian IV. A. D. 1154.

129. Stephen having obtained the crown by usurpation, to strengthen his position, he paid court to the clergy: whatever the Prelates required, or Rome demanded, Stephen, was ready to grant: the Pope therefore readily sent him letters of confirmation. Archbishop Corboyle soon after died; upon which Alberic of Ostia was sent over as Legate by the Pope, who convened a Synod at Westminster A. D. 1138, and promulgated several canons solely on the Pope's authority: by his directions Henry, bishop of Winchester, Stephen's brother, was also made Legate. The King in some dispute having

^{129.} What advantages did the Papal power acquire during the reign of Stephen? What was the state of Church discipline? Who succeeded Stephen?

offered violence to two of the bishops, the Legate (Henry, bp. of Winchester) assumed for the Church the right of appointing and deposing kings, and then declared in favour of the Empress MATILDA and her son, the rivals of STEPHEN. Theobald, abbot of Bec in Normandy, was now elected to the see of Canterbury, and consecrated by Alberic. Soon after, the Canon law in all its force was introduced into England. (A. D. 1140.) The death of Pope Innocent affected materially the influence of his legate the bishop of Winchester; and the legatine commission was now granted in perpetuity to the See of Canterbury. Under the Primacy of Theobald, the celibacy of the clergy was more rigorously enforced; their submission to a foreign Legate insisted on; many abbeys exempted from episcopal jurisdiction; and appeals in causes ecclesiastical were allowed to be carried to Rome. Thus did STEPHEN permit the encroachments of the Papacy to undermine what little independence there was remaining in the English Church; and he suffered himself to be but a vassal and tributary to the Papal See; which was now occupied by Adrian IV. (Nicholas Breakspear) the only Englishman that ever obtained the Papacy. A second Crusade was set on foot, A. D. 1147.: and at length Stephen died, and was succeeded by Henry II. A. D. 1154.

Summary of the Reign of Stephen-18 years, from A. D. 1135 to 1154.

130. The reign of STEPHEN is memorable for yielding almost every thing to the Papal power. Foreign legates were admitted, without question; and appeals to Rome readily allowed. Theobald was consecrated Archbishop of Canterbury by the Papal legate; and Ireland separated from allegiance to the See of Canterbury; the second Crusade was also at this time proclaimed. STEPHEN at length died. There were 146 Monasteries founded in this reign.

^{130.} Give a Summary of the reign of Stephen.

Henry II.—A. D. 1154—1162.

Popes; Adrian IV. A. D. 1154—9; Alexander III. A. D. 1159—98.

131. HENRY II. (Plantagenet) com-Henry II. menced his reign by repairing the misgovernment of his predecessor, and relieving the oppressions of the people. He had an able counsellor in his chancellor, Thomas & Becket, who had been introduced at court by Theobald, the Archbishop, and made by that prelate archdeacon of Canterbury. and provost of Beverley. His next step was to correct the abuses of the ecclesiastical power, and diminish its authority, for it had become so independent of the state as to nullify all his attempts to secure the administration of justice. On the death of Theobald, therefore, he immediately by a peremptory mandate raised Becket to the Primacy, (A. D. 1162.) as the fittest and ablest instrument for overthrowing the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts, and bringing the clergy under the secular power: being only in deacon's orders. Becket was at once ordained priest, and on the next day consecrated Archbishop. But HENRY had mistaken his man, for Becket directly turned round and devoted himself to the cause of the Church in opposition to the King. He resigned his secular office of Chancellor as incompatible with his new spiritual duties, and began to demand the restitution of the Church lands, and to require the homage formerly paid for certain manors. These proceedings were powerfully supported by Pope Alexander III.: but the King looked at this conduct with suspicion, and thought that if the chancellorship was incompatible with his Archbishopric so was the holding of his archdeaconry. HENRY therefore called upon Becket to resign it: the latter reluctantly obeyed.

^{131.} What were the first proceedings of Henry II. after his accession? Whom did he raise to the Primacy?

132. Guelphs and Chibelines. Henry II. - At this period existed two rival Popes, ALEXANDER III., and VICTOR IV. originating from the struggle between the Guelphs and Ghibelines. The Guelphs (Guelfs, or Welfs) were Dukes of Bavaria, who contended with the house of Hohenstauffen for pre-eminence: the latter had an important fortress called Weiblingen, which is thought to have given them the name of Ghibelines. From a mere German feud the contention advanced to a long and bitter struggle between the temporal and spiritual power; for the Ghibeline party becoming the ruling power in Germany under FREDERIC I., that monarch invaded Italy to assert the rights of the Empire. The Pope sided with the Guelphs, and became in fact the head of the Guelphic faction; in consequence of such great influence the latter party ultimately prevailed. Hence the appearance of the Guelphine Pope ALEXANDER III. and the rival Pope VICTOR IV. set up by the Ghibeline party.

A. D. 1163.

133. Becket.—The first thing required of Becket by Henry II. was that ecclesiastical persons guilty of heinous crimes should be delivered over to the secular power for punishment; as of late by the leniency of the clerical courts they had escaped with a reprimand; and thus given great countenance to profligacy and crime. The bishops met at Westminster, and under the influence of their primate, consented that clergymen should only be amenable to the civil courts on the perpetration of a second offence. Henry, somewhat irritated, demanded

^{132.} Who were the rival Popes during the reign of Henry II.? What was the contest between the Guelphs and Ghibelines? 133. What reformation in Church matters did Henry require Becket to effect? What was the conduct of Becket?

that the ecclesiastical power should obey the ancient custom of the realm.' BEC-KET, and the prelates gave their consent, 'saving the privileges of their order.' The King's anger was roused at this reservation, and his mind resolved; wherefore the prelates in some alarm urged Becket to make further concessions. At the instance of the Pope he yielded to their remonstrances, and a reconciliation with Henry was effected, but Becket was required to repeat his promise before a great council of the nation, which was held soon after at Clarendon, a large palace near Salisbury.

A. D. 1164.

134. The **Council of Clarendon** was held in the January of this year, and comprised the King, the two Archbishops, twelve bishops, and forty-two barons, and the various points of dispute which the King required the council to assent to were comprised in sixteen canons or enactments, which have been called the 'Constitutions of Clarendon:' the substance of them was.—

That in all civil and criminal causes the clergy should be arraigned in the King's courts—that appeals should lie from the archdeacon to the bishop—from the bishop to the Archbishop, and from the Archbishop to the King—that no appeals should be carried to the Pope without the King's consent—that no Archbishop, bishop, or dignified clergy—man should quit the kingdom without the King's leave—that no tenant or officer of the crown should be excommunicated, or his lands put under interdict without the sanction of the King, or grand justiciary—that presentations to royal livings remain in the King—that the revenues of all vacant preferments fall to the King—that election to a benefice be made by the King's writ, and with his consent—that before consecration homage and fealty be paid to the King.

135. The prelates and barons gave their assent,

^{134.} When was the Council of Clarendon held? What were the Constitutions of Clarendon? 135. How were these constitutions received by Becket, and his Prelates?

but Becket withdrew his promise, and peremptorily refused. At the persuasion Henry II. of his friends, who dreaded the violence of the King, he ultimately yielded, but the insincerity of his acquiescence was soon evinced by his objecting to put his signature to the Constitutions as not implied in his promise, and by acting in perfect defiance of The enraged monarch was now bent on Becket's destruction; and determined to ascertain whether the ecclesiastical or secular power should henceforth be dominant; he therefore summoned a council at Northampton, where the primate was charged with high-treason and contumacy, and found guilty. Becket now discerned the danger of his position, and fled immediately into France: where he retired to the Cistercian monastery at Pontigny.

A. D. 1170-1174.

136. Becket's Death.—The Pope advocated the side of the Primate, and condemned 'the Constitutions.' For six years did Becket remain in exile; during the whole of which the fierce and vindictive wrath both of Becket and the King burned unceasingly: the former excommunicated all who maintained the Constitutions, and threatened to excommunicate the King also: the latter proceeded to confiscate the property of the archbishop, and to persecute his relatives and friends. Several attempts were made at reconciliation, but none were effectual till A.D. 1170. when Becket at last returned to England. Fresh disputes, however, again arose, and the violence of Becket, returned; at last, when the bishops he had excommunicated for officiating at the coronation of the young prince without his sanction, went to the King to solicit his protection; HETHY, in his exasperation against the Primate, dropped such words as led to

^{136.} What became of Becket? Did he become reconciled to the king? How did the Pope behave in the

Becket's assassination in his Church at Henry II. Canterbury, in the December of this year:

thus terminating the bitter contest so long maintained to establish the ecclesiastical over the Regal power. The indignation of the Pope, clergy, and the people was roused against the supposed author of this deed; but the King made his peace with the Pope by an asservation of his innocence, and by permitting appeals to be made to Rome; with the Clergy, by making large grants to the Church, freeing them from secular jurisdiction, and restoring the temporalities of the see of Canterbury; and with the people, by suffering his person to endure great severities at Becket's shrine at Canterbury by way of penance, A. D. 1174.

137. Thomas a Becket was born in London A. D. 1119, and was educated at Oxford, Paris, and Bologna: through the influence of Archbishop Theobald he was made archdeacon of Canterbury and provost of Beverly; subsequently Chancellor A. D. 1158; and at last Archbishop of Canterbury A. D. 1162. After great vacillation in assenting to the Constitutions of Clarendon, which brought upon him the anger of the King, and his conviction of high-treason, he fled to Pontigny in France: after an absence of six years he became reconciled to *Henry* and returned A. D. 1170. but fresh quarrels ensuing, he was murdered in the December of the same year in his Church at Canterbury.

138. St Bernard.—About this period flourished the celebrated Cistercian, ST BERNARD, abbot of Clairvaux: he was born of noble parents at Fontaine, a village of Burgundy A. D. 1091, and died about A. D. 1153. Bernard was a very talented writer, of great sanctity of life, and possessed very extraordinary influence over the Popes, and princes of his day. Though he spent much time in elucidating the Scriptures, and was evangelical in his teaching, and bold and vehement in exposing the vices of the clergy and monks, and their superstitions, yet he was very zealous

matter? What was the end of Becket? What was the conduct of the King afterwards? 137. Give the Life of Becket. 138. Who was St Bernard? Digitized by GOOG

for the authority of the Pope, and too much addicted to Monachism, the service of the Virgin, and the doctrine of Purgatory. He is considered the last of the Fathers, and is said to have founded 160 monasteries, and was canonized by Pope Alexander III. He opposed the heretical doctrines of the noted Abelard, a disciple of Arnold, of Brescia, and procured his condemnation. Many wonderful relations exist of his miracles, visions, and appearances after death, which are very carefully preserved by the historians of the Romish Church. (Spanheim).

139. **Heresy punished.**—It is in this reign we have to record the first instance of *Punishment for Heresy*. About thirty Germans came into England under one GERHARD (or Gerard), and attempted to make proselytes to their new doctrines. They are said to have held the doctrines of the *Cathari*, (pure) a branch of the old Manichæan heresy: they rejected the Sacraments of baptism, and the Lord's Supper, opposed marriage, and glorified in suffering. They were brought before a synod at Oxford (in A. D. 1160.) and condemned to be branded on the forehead, and to be sent into the country to starve. (*Collier*.)

Waldenses.—It is in this reign also we 140. have to record the rise of the WALDENSES. Their origin is somewhat involved in obscurity. According to Mosheim, this sect originated from one Peter Waldo, (or Waldus) a rich merchant of Lyons, surnamed Valdenses, or Falidisius, from Vaux or Waldum a town in the marquisate of Lyons: being dissatisfied with the then existing translations of the Scriptures, he engaged a learned priest to retranslate the four Gospels, and other portions into French. After a careful perusal of these, he found the prevailing Roman religion totally different from that inculcated by Christ, and His disciples. He soon after, (in A.D. 1180.) abandoned his mercantile employments, gave his riches to the poor, and turned a public preacher of the Gospel, in its truth and simplicity. He gathered round him a large association of

^{139.} When did the first punishment for heresy take place in England? What was this heresy? 140. Who was Peter Waldo? [C. 1.] Give some account of the Waldenses, and their probable origin. [D. D. 1.] Why were they so called? What other appellations had they? Were they successful? In what way may their doctrines kave reached England? [C. 1.]

pious men animated with the like zeal From Henry II. the first appearance of this sect in Lyons, its members were called, The Poor Men of Lyons, or Lyonists; and from the meanness of their dress, and from wearing wooden shoes (Sabots), on which was marked a cross, they acquired the name of Sabbatati, or Insabbatati, (Mosh. cent. XII. vol. 3.). They encountered the opposition of several successive Popes, who excommunicated them, whence they travelled into various countries, some to Bohemia, others to Albi in the south of France, and some perhaps to England, propagating their doctrines wherever they went. Peter Waldo at length died in Bohemia, the

place of his exile. A. D. 1197.

141. Other authorities, however, declare that the Waldenses are of earlier origin, having dwelt a long time before in the valleys of the Cottian Alps, between Italy and Provence, which were called Vaux; hence Vaudois, and in Latin Valdenses or Waldenses. These were the ancient Subalpini and Paterines. The Waldenses, let them have originated how they will, started simply with going about preaching the Gospel after the manner of the primitive Apostles, and had no idea of separating from the Church until they were forbidden by Papal authority to preach the Among the tenets of the Waldenses, were their adherence to the simple doctrine originally delivered by Christ to his Apostles; the rejection of an established succession of the priesthood, and the prevailing characteristics. of the priestly office; the denying of the high Catholic doctrine of the Sacraments, believing in the sufficiency of Scripture; that there is but one Mediator, and therefore no need of invocation of saints; that salvation is by gracethrough faith alone; that there are but two Sacraments, Baptism, and the Lord's Supper; they deny also the Papal Supremacy; and reject purgatory, masses for the dead, adoration of images, and relics, indulgences, and other increasing abuses; and they protested also against oaths, warfare, law-suits, and the accoumulation of wealth. (Milner: Faber.)

A. D. 1174-1189.

142. After the death of Thomas à Becket, there

^{141.} What other origin has been assigned them by other authors? Give some account of the doctrines and discipline of the Waldenses? [C. 1.] 142. What other matters affecting the Church closed the reign of Henry II.?

were some differences between HENRY Henry II. and the Pope respecting the appointment of a successor to the see of Canterbury; but an arrangement was effected, by which Richard was consecrated at Rome Archbishop (A. D. 1174.), and in the following year Cardinal Hugh appeared in England as Papal Legate: not long after, a council was held at Northampton (A.D. 1176.), when the Constitutions of Clarendon were somewhat modified. About this period (A.D. 1179.) the third LATERAN COUNCIL was held, which anathematized the Albigenses as heretics, and forbid their interment in consecrated ground. Archbishop Richard died (A. D. 1184.); and Baldwin bishop of Worcester was appointed his successor. On the death of HENRY II, he was succeeded by Richard 'Cœur de Lion.' A. D. 1189.

Summary of the Reign of Henry II.—34 years, from A. D. 1154 to 1189.

143. Henry II, throughout his reign endeavoured to depress the ecclesiastical power, and make it subservient to the Royal authority. On the death of Theobald, archbishop of Canterbury, he raised Becket to the Primacy in order to carry out his purpose: but the new archbishop advocated the claims of the clergy in opposition to those of the King. Constitutions, however, were enacted at Clarendon to assert the superior jurisdiction of the crown, which Becket at first swore to obey, and afterwards retracted his assent; this produced a violent contest between himself and HENRY. and caused the Primate to retire for six years into France: and although a reconciliation was ultimately effected, yet fresh disputes arose, which ended with the murder of Becket. A. D. 1170. After some time Richard was appointed the successor of Becket in the see of Canterbury, A. D. 1174; who was followed by Baldwin A.D. 1184. The Archbishop of York was now declared inferior in point of rank to the Archbishop of Canterbury, and at last HENRY submitted to the Papal exactions, and virtually abrogated the 'Constitutions of Clarendon; for the observance of which he had maintained so long and violent a struggle. He died. A. D. 1189. There were 163 Monasteries founded in this reign.

^{143.} Give a summary of the reign of Henry II.?

Bichard I.—A. D. 1189—1199.

Popes; Clement III. A. D. 1188—91; Celestine III. A. D. 1191—8; INNOCENT III. A. D. 1198—1216.

144. The reign of RICHARD I. was Richard I. chiefly occupied in foreign wars; among which most prominently stands the Third Crusade, where he was the great hero. The ecclesiastical party being his chief supporters, the King allowed them their own way, and permitted the Pope to decide all important causes at his pleasure. Baldwin, Archbishop of Canterbury, having died in the Holy Land (A.D. 1191.), Reginald Fitz-Joceline was elected his successor, but he having died before the pall arrived from Rome, *Hubert*, bishop of Salisbury, was elected A.D. 1193. The Papal power about this period had almost reached its height under Innocent III., who succeeded Pope Celestine A.D. 1198. He exercised stern authority over Richard, and threatened him with excommunication, if Hubert, the then Primate, did not desist from constructing at Lambeth, his rival establishment to that of Canterbury, which from motives of jealousy he was fast completing. (A.D. 1198.).

145. Albigenses.—In this reign the new sect of the Albigenses was rising into importance in the south of France. The origin of the name is uncertain: some ascribe it to the town of Albi in Languedoc, where it took rise, or to which place rather a colony of the Waldenses had settled themselves a few years before. (See par. 140.). Others ascribe it to the Latin name of Narbonnese Gaul (Albigensium), where the sect flourished. The Albigenses were protected by Raymond, count of Toulouse; but Inno-

^{144.} To what extent did the Pope exercise his authority in England during the reign of Richard I.? 145. Who were the Albigeness? Who succeeded Richard 1.38

CENT III. determined on their extirpa-Richard I. tion, and raised up the Dominican order of Friars for his purpose, as will appear in the succeeding century:—and it was now that the Pope issued a bull, imposing a tax of onefortieth of all ecclesiastical revenues for carrying on the fourth Crusade. RICHARD, however, now died A. D. 1199, and was succeeded by his brother John. During this reign very few events occurred of importance affecting the history of the Church. There were, however, 52 Monasteries founded in this reign; which lasted 9 years, from A.D. 1189 to 1199.

146. Architecture.—During this century the Semi-Norman, or Transition style of architecture. distinguished by the intermixture of the pointed, and semicircular arch, prevailed during the latter part of the twelfth century. Prior to this, the Norman style prevailed. (See page 79.)

CENTURY XIII.

John.—A. D. 1200—1209.

Pope; Innocent III,-A. D. 1198-1216.

147. Contest with the Pope.—Soon John. after the accession of John, Hubert, the Primate, who had been instrumental in raising him to the throne, to the exclusion of his nephew, Arthur, the rightful heir, died. The monks of Canterbury immediately and with great secrecy appointed

^{146.} What was the Semi-Norman or Transition style? When did it prevail? 147. Who succeeded Hubert in the Primacy? What circumstances attended the appoint-

Reginald, their sub-prior as his successor, and John. hurried him off to Rome for the Pope's acceptance. Reginald, however, revealed the secret, and on its reaching the King's ears, he in displeasure directly elected John de Gray, bishop of Norwich to the vacant See. The monks being thus dissatisfied with Reginald made another election; and three appeals were now hurried off to Rome. Innocent III. settled the differences by declaring all the appointments null and void, and at the same time desired the monks to make choice of Stephen de Langton, Cardinal of St Chrysogonus, and lately Chancellor of the University of Paris, for the new Archbishop. He was Englishman by birth, but had been brought up in France. John refused to acknowledge Langton; and to punish the monks for their conduct in the matter, he expelled them from Canterbury. and seized their possessions. The Pope taking offence at this proceeding laid the kingdom under an 'Interdict' (i. e. forbade the celebration of the sacred offices, no Church was opened, no services performed, and the dead were buried in unconsecrated ground, and without any religious ceremony, or remained unburied.) A. D. 1208. The intemperate monarch defied even the Interdict, and by way of reprisal, seized on the revenues of all the prelates that sided with the Pope. INNOCENT now excommunicated the King, by which he was deprived of the Sacraments, and of divine worship, and forbade the society and conversation of the faithful. A. D. 1209: none were allowed 'to eat, drink, talk, or associate with the King, and not even to do him service at bed, board, in church, hall, or stable.'

A. D. 1212-1215.

148. For some years this struggle continued,

ment of his successor? What was the conduct of king John? What was the bearing of the Pope toward the ç? 148. What was the end of the struggle between

when at last, John was deposed by the Pope, and his subjects freed from their allegiance; in the following year (A. D. 1213.) the kingdom was given to the king of France, Philip Augustus; who immediately made preparations for invasion. JOHN, in alarm, now made a hasty submission to the Pope, and surrendered his kingdom on May 15th, 1213, at Dover, to the Papal legate, Pandulph; who restored it to him however, on condition that he would bind himself to the annual payment of 1000 marks, as a token of vassalage to the Roman See, and renounce all right to the throne. Langton without further opposition was now duly installed into the see of Canterbury and the 'Interdict' removed. John now looked on the Pope as his protector, and was less scrupulous than before of infringing on the rights of his people; the end of which was a demand on the part of the barons, backed by Langton and the clergy, for the King's assent to the Magna Charta, the foundation of English liberty. Among its conditions was one, resigning to chapters and convents the nomination of their bishops, and abbots, reserving to the crown the conge d' elire. John was compelled to yield (A.D. 1215.); the anger of the Pope, however, was levelled against Archbishop Langton, whom he suspended for the part he took in the proceeding; and excommunicated the Barons, who also fell under the anathemas of the fourth Lateran Council for this proceeding, and at the same time declared the conditions of the Magna Charta null and void.

149. Fourth Lateran Council.—In this year (1215) was convened the Fourth Lateran Council with the prominent design of reforming certain ecclesiastical abuses. The doctrine of Transubstantiation was now declared to be a tenet of the

John, and the Pope? What occurred to Archbishop Langton for the part he took? 149. What was decreed in the fourth Lateran council?

Church. All lay-men were to confess their sins to a priest once a year—the Eucharist was to be received by every person, at least once a year under pain of excommunication;—and heresy was to be extirpated by persecution. All the feudal Sovereigns are bound under pain of Ecclesiastical censures, to exterminate all heretics and excommunicate persons; for neglect therein their subjects to be absolved from their allegiance, and their territory given to those who will. Bishops neglecting to purge their dioceses of heretics to be deposed. (Can. iii.)

A. D. 1216.

150. Mendicant Orders.—The Papal power had now reached its height, but corruption and luxury had kept pace with its march; the scandal of which gave birth to many powerful and zealous sectaries. To counteract these, Innocent sanctioned the establishment of the Mendicant Orders, who were to preach, and give instruction to the people in order to extinguish heresy, and keep up the character and efficiency of the Romish Church.—In this year, the Pope by an official bull allowed the Dominican Order to be founded under the direction of Dominic de Guzman, a Spanish presbyter, whence its name; it became the leading order, and was styled the 'order of preachers,' (Fratres Prædicatores): they were introduced into England in the following reign. St Dominic is generally thought to have invented 'The Rosary,' or Psalter of the Blessed Virgin in which the Lord's Prayer (Paternoster) is repeated 15 times, and the salutation of the angel (Ave Maria) 150 times, agreeing with the number of the Psalms, and which was esteemed a

^{150.} What was now the state of the Papal power? What gave rise to the establishment of the Mendicant Orders? Who, and what were the Dominicans? What Institutions for the promotion of learning sprung up in Europe in the thirteenth century? [W. 1.] Which took the lead? [W. 1.]

most efficacious prayer for every trouble and trial. John now died, A. D. 1216, and was succeeded by *Henry III*. Pope *Innocent III*. also died the same year, and was followed by *Honorius III*.

Summary of the reign of John—17 years from A. D. 1199 to 1216.

151. In the reign of JOHN the Papal power acquired its greatest height in England. At the vacancy in the see of Canterbury occasioned by the death of Archbishop Hubert: disputes having arisen as to the election of a successor, the Pope set the competitors all aside, and nominated Langton to fill the Primacy. A. D. 1207. JOHN refusing to acknowledge Langton, the Pope placed the kingdom under an Interdict (1208), excommunicated the King (1209), then deposed him, freed his subjects from their allegiance, and gave his crown to the king of France. JOHN was compelled to submit to the Papal authority, and on admitting Langton's title, he received his kingdom from the Pope's legate, Pandulph, on condition of his holding it as a fief of the Roman See (1213). John now turned upon his own people, and treated them with the most tyrannical oppression. The barons resisted, and aided by Langton and the clergy, they exacted of the King at Runnymede the 'Magna Charta. A. D. 1215. The King appealed to the Pope, who suspended Langton, excommunicated the barons, and placed London under an interdict; but these Papal fulminations were disregarded, and at length JOHN died. A. D. 1216. There were 81 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Henry III.—A. D. 1216—1224.

Pope; Honorius III. --- A.D. 1216-27.

152. During the feeble and inglorious reign of Henry III. the Papal influence was by no means diminished; the introduction of the Mendicant Orders into England at this period gave renewed vigour to its abuses and exactions. The Mendicants were itinerant preachers, independent of episcopal control, yet subject to the authority of the Pope. They acted as an under-current against the advance of sectarianism, which by its exposure of

^{51.} Give a Summary of the reign of John. 152. Who succeeded John! What was the effect of the establishment

the tyrannous superstition, and imposture Henry III. of the Romish Church, was beginning to undermine the Papal power. They were called Mendicants, or Begging Friars (Fratres) from their vow of perpetual poverty, and trusting only to daily charity for their daily bread. They went barefoot, were dressed in the coarsest garb, and passed from house to house to preach the Gospel. So different a kind of life from that of the monks, who were reproached for their luxury, and had become invidious for their wealth, contributed greatly to their success.

153. The **Dominicans**, or 'Preaching Friars,' were the first to make their appearance in England; having arrived, and taken up their residence in Oxford in A. D. 1221. They enjoined several austerflies, among which were perpetual silence, abstinence from flesh, extreme poverty, wearing of woollen, &c. They acquired the name of 'BLACK-FRIARS' in England from the colour of their dress; but in France they were called 'Jacobins,' from having their first establishment at Peris in the Rue St Jaques (St James' Street). The Pope gave encouragement to their institution by granting to them the right of preaching, and taking confessions; by which they soon interfered with the influence and prosperity of the secular clergy. (See par. 150.).

154. The **Franciscans.**—But the most numerous and most popular of the *Mendicant Orders* was that of the Franciscans or *Friars Minor or *Lesser Brethren: * who indeed were the first in point of time, and the most rigid in their adherence to the vows of poverty: they were to labour for their livelihood; never to receive money; nor have any possessions of their own. This order was founded by an Italian, *John Bernadoni*, the son of a rich merchant at Assisi in Umbria (about A. D. 1207.); and on account of his superior knowledge of the French language, he acquired the name of *Franciscans*: but, from motives of humility

of the Mendicant orders? [X. 1. CC. 1.] Why were they called Mendicants? What was their discipline? 153. What order of Friars first appeared in England? What name did they acquire? [F. F. 1.] 154. Who were the Franciscans? Mention the principal Mendicant Orders, the time of their arrival in England, and their conduct towards the monks and secular clergy. [J. 1.]

be assumed for his order the name of Friars Minorite. ST FRANCIS, in order to uphold his claim to superior sanctity, professed to have on his body five miraculous impressions (stigmata), corresponding with the five wounds of our blessed Lord; and by his followers he was held to be a type of Jesus Christ. The Franciscans arrived in England in A. D. 1224.; and from the colour of their dress acquired the name of 'GREY-FRIARS.' A general of the order of Minors named John of Parma, wrote a work entitled 'The Everlasting Gospel,' in order to advance the interests of his order, and which he declared to be more perfect than the Gospel of Christ. This order was subsequently divided into several fraternities as, the Observants, Conventuals, Minims, Capuchins, &c. FRANCIS also instituted the order of St Clare, for women, in honour of his friend Clara. It was not long before other Mendicant Orders were established; the chief of which were the Carmelites or White-Friars, and the Augustins.

155. The Carmelites took their rise in Syria about A. D. 1158, and fixed their abode on Mount Carmel, whence their name; but they themselves declare that the brotherhood had existed on that spot from the days of Elisha the prophet. They arrived in Europe about A. D. 1238. A more lax order, however, established itself about the fifteenth Century, assuming the name of Carmelites: adopting the rules of the earlier order in a very mitigated form: they, however, went barefoot, and in consequence were called

Carmelites Discalceated.

The Augustins (or Austins) were so called from their following certain pretended rules of St Augustine, which enjoined poverty, and enforced particular duties, and discipline. They made their appearance about

A. D. 1256.

157. Many other Orders were established. The Beguins of Flanders, the followers of Lambert le Begue, of Liege, A. D. 1207 (or 1226), believed men capable of perfec-tion, and to obtain so clear a view of God, that they were then freed from the obedience of any human laws, civil, ecclesiastical, Popes or Princes. But these four were the most important. They insinuated themselves by their

Which order is called Minorites? [X. 1.] Which, Black-Friars? [X. 1.] Derive the word 'Friar.' [X. 1.] 155. What were the Carmelites? 156. The Augustins? 157. How did the Beguins differ from other monks? [X. 1.] How were these disturbances adjusted ? lized by GOO

cunning, and pretended miracles, and the Henry III. assumption of poverty, humility, and of greater piety than the monks and secular clergy, into the favour of the great mass of the people. Having also claimed the right of taking confessions, and granting absolution without asking permission of the parish priest, or bishop, they quickly undermined the influence of the monks and clergy; for the people would rather confess to an itinerant Friar, who was a stranger, than to the resident priest; whence they soon abjured their vows of poverty, and became possessed very quickly by all kinds of extórtion, of immense wealth and property. Their interference with the duties of the monks and clergy produced great disturbances; an attempt was made at a compromise by Pope Martin IV. (A. D. 1282.) who directed that the people once a year should confess to their proper pastor. This, however, did not settle the dispute; at length Pope Boniface VIII. (A. D. 1294.) ordered that the bishop's sanction should be obtained before a Friar could administer the Sacrament of Penance.

A. D. 1227-1257.

Popes; Gregory IX. A. D. 1227.—Innocent IV. A. D. 1241.

158. After the death of the Primate, Langton, which occurred in this year, A. D. 1227, and, who had obtained from the King a confirmation of 'Magna Charta,' the encroachments and exactions of the Papacy became enormous. The King allowed the Pope to tax and tyrannize over the clergy as he thought fit; and to fill up the vacant benefices with a host of Italians, who resided abroad, and by withdrawing their revenues out of the kingdom greatly to impoverish the land. A tenth of the moveables Gregory also exacted to carry on his war against the emperor Frederic. In return, the Pope aided the King in depriving the chapters of the power of election, and in opposing the demands of his barons. Richard Wethershed succeeded Langton at Canterbury A.D. 1229; and at his death, the Pope after rejecting three nominations elected Edmund, a pre-

^{158.} What did the Popes in England during the reign of Henry III.? What was the conduct of Robert Grosteste, bishop of Lincoln?

bend of Sarum, to the vacant see,
A.D. 1233. The bishop of Lincoln,

Robert Grostete, (Greathead, Capito) a short time
after made a bold stand against the unjust exactions
of the Papacy (A.D. 1235.); and by his firmness
and zeal effected many reforms in the Church, and
enforced a stricter discipline: he resisted the introduction of the Roman Canon Law, and the
nomination of foreigners to English benefices; even
refusing to admit the Pope's nephew to a stall in
his cathedral; and although he submitted to the
reasonable authority of the Pope, yet on his deathbed (A.D. 1253.) he declared the Pope to be AntiChrist.

159. Inquisition. - About this period A.D. 1232, the Pope established at Toulose, and in other places on the continent, tribunals independent of the civil power for the examination and punishment of heretics. He ordered the seizure of suspected persons, the application of torture to exact confession, and the infliction of death. Thus originated the dreaded Inquisition; the jurisdiction of which extended to heresy, Judaism, Mahomedanism, and polygamy. The Dominicans were the chief Inquisitors. attempt was now made in England by the clergy at the synod at Merton, to introduce the Roman Canon Law in order not only to legitimize spurious issue by subsequent marriage, which was over-ruled by the barons, but also to prevent the interference of the secular power with ecclesiastical matters. A. D. 1236. Shortly after Cardinal Otho, came to England as Papal legate, and convened a synod at London; he subsequently demanded a fifth of all ecclesiastical revenues for the Roman See, which was also claimed from the other states of Europe, but his demand was not listened to in England. A. D. 1240. Archbishop

^{159.} When, and for what purpose was the Inquisition established? [W. 2.]

Edmund distressed and disgusted with the exactions of the Papacy, and the troubles of the times, resigned the Primacy, and retired into France A. D. 1242, where he soon after died. He was succeeded by a foreigner, Boniface, the uncle of the Queen, after the lapse of three years, during which the see was vacant. A. D. 1245. University College, Oxford, is said to have been founded a little later than this (in A. D. 1249.); and two years after St Peter's College, Cambridge, was founded. A. D. 1251. The University of Cambridge is said to have been founded in A. D. 1257. The celebrated College of the Sorbonne in Paris, was founded in A. D. 1268 under Cardinal Othobon for the correction of ecclesiastical abuses; and many of its canons are still the law of the Church.

A. D. 1257-1273.

Popes; Alexander IV. A. D. 1254; Urban IV. A. D. 1261; Clement IV. A. D. 1264; Gregory X. A. D. 1268-76.

160. **Communion** in one kind began to be advocated at this period by withholding the *Cup* from the laity, from an extravagantly superstitious regard being entertained for the elements employed in the Eucharist, and from the notion of the superior sanctity of the clergy. The doctrine of *Supercrogation* also was making great progress. (A. D. 1263.)

The **Commons** of the Realm now obtained the privilege of meeting in Parliament (A. D. 1265.); Westminster Abbey was rebuilt A. D. 1269; on the death of Archbishop Boniface, the see of Canterbury was bestowed on Robert Kilwarby, a Franciscan monk,

^{160.} When was the University of Cambridge founded? When, and why was the Communion in one kind enforced? When did the Commons enter Parliament? Who succeeded Henry III.?

by the Pope, without any election (A. D. 1272.); at length Henry III. died; and was succeeded by Edward I. A. D. 1273.

Summary of the reign of Henry III.—56 years, from A. D. 1216 to 1273.

161. The feeble-minded Henry placed himself very much in the hands of the Papal See, thinking by this means to check the increasing strength of the barons: he also offended the Ecclesiatical party by seconding the efforts of the Pope to wrest the election of bishops and abbots out of the hands of the chapters, which had been one of the privileges gained by the 'Magna Charta.' In return for the Papal assistance, the king suffered the richest benefices to be held by foreign absentees, and the country to be severely taxed for the benefit of the Roman See, and to be over-run with the new mendicant orders of Monks and Friars, who were the servile instruments of that encroaching power. There were now an immense number of Monastic institutions, and one-fifth of all the landed possessions of the kingdom had fallen into the hands of ecclesiastics. Many talented men inveighed against the libertinism and superstition of the Monks, and the tyranny and corruptions of Rome, particularly Robert Grostete (Capito) Bp. of Lincoln; but it was to little effect, for superstition increased, and the Papal authority maintained its ground. Louis IX., of France, however, is said to have effectually resisted these encroachments by the famous edict known as the 'Pragmatic' Sanction,' A. D. 1268, which forbid the collection of money in France merely to aid the ambitious designs of the Papacy. and maintained the independence of the Gallican Church. (Mosheim). Transubstantiation, Auricular Confession, the Adoration of the Host, and other matters were imposed at the 4th Lateran Council (1215); and the Inquisition was established. A. D. 1232 (or 1209). It was in the reign of Henry III., that the House of Commons first became a portion of the Legislative body of the kingdom. A. D. 1265. Scholastic Theology very much prevailed at this period: it was a kind of Divinity built upon the opinions and authorities of the Fathers to the exclusion of the Scriptures: the earliest promoters of this kind of teaching were Lan-

^{161.} Give a Summary of the reign of Henry III. What name was given to Thomas Aquinas? What was the chief subject of his teaching? [W. 1.]

franc, and Anselm, but especially Peter Lom- Henry III. bard, bp. of Paris: a second branch, however, sprung up under the teaching of Albert the Great, and his disciples Alexander Hales, the 'irrefragable doctor,' and Thomas Aquinas, the 'angelic doctor:' this second system of Christian Theology was built upon the metaphysics and philosophy of Aristotle, whose writings were preferred to those of the Fathers, and to the authority even of Scripture. Indeed, nothing was admitted true either in nature or divinity, which was not derived from his works: the consequence of which was the greatest ignorance of the Sacred Writings, and the depression of literature and learning, all tending to support the errors, superstitions, and encroachments of the Roman See. Thomas Aquinas was a Dominican friar, descended from the counts of Aquino in Calabria, Naples; he was born A. D. 1224, and died 1274: his doctrines procured him such great favour at the court of Rome that he was ultimately canonized. (A. D. 1323.). Another of this school was Thomas Bonaventura, a Franciscan monk, and general of his order; he very much promoted the worship of the Virgin Mary, and united the mystic and scholastic theology: he had the name of the 'Seraphic doctor;' and died A. D. 1274. Matthew Paris, a Benedictine monk of St Albans, flourished at this period; he is chiefly eminent for his 'History of the world from the creation to the Conquest,' but which he continued down to the year of his death. A. D. 1259. In this work he describes most accurately and forcibly the corruptions of the Popes, and of the monks, and clergy of the Romish Church. There were 211 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Edward I.—A. D. 1273.

Pope; Gregory X. A. D. 1268-76.

162. The warlike Edward immediately on his accession put forth his energies for the improvement of his kingdom. He amended the civil and criminal jurisdiction of the country; made the ecclesiastical power submissive to the secular; and exalted his prerogative over the claims of the Papacy: thus giving the first check to the encroachments of Rome.

^{162.} What was the conduct of Edward I on his accession to the crown?

A. D. 1274.

163. Four Orders.—On the continent, the *Mendicant Orders* had increased so enormously as to become prejudicial rather than obenefit to the Church; consequently, the Council of Lyons restricted them to the four important ones of the Francis-

cans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustins.

164. **Penance.**— Now also the doctrine of Works of Supererogation was prominently advanced by Thomas Aquinas, Albert, and Alexander of Hales; which they built upon the teaching of Peter Lombard, bishop of Paris, who flourished A.D. 1160. The latter taught that the sins committed after baptism received their punishment in purgatory; but that Christ had given power to His Church to commute this punishment after death into temporal chastisements during life: which chastisements also the Church might remit by a submission to certain demands of Penance, which were called Indulances.

165. Indulgences.—These Indulgences, however, he added, were available only to such as held sincere repentance, and a true and perfect faith: but such conditions were soon lost sight of, and the doctrine was abused into a belief that the pardon of all sins could be procured from the Pope either by the performance of certain services, or be purchased by money; hence originated the sale of Indulgences according to a certain established ratio or scale of

payment.

166. Supererogation.—A natural consequence resulting from this doctrine of Penance and Indulgence, or rather as an excuse for it, was a belief that our Saviour's sufferings were more than sufficient for the sins of the whole world, and that saints could and did perform many good deeds over and above what was necessary for their own salvation: so that these good deeds, or Works of Supererogation, would form an inexhaustible fund of superabundant merit at the disposal of the Pope, and which might be applied to make up any deficiency in the spiritual worth of others. This doctrine rapidly gained ground.

^{163.} To what number were the Mendicant orders restricted? 164. When did the doctrine of Works of Supererogation make its appearance? 165. What was the Popish doctrine of indulgences; and the foundation of the system? [B. 1.] 166. What was the doctrine of Works of Supererogation?

A. D. 1275-1285.

Eight successive Popes from A. D. 1276 to A. D. 1294.

167. Mortmain.—The first import- Edward I. ant act of EDWARD I. affecting the clergy, was the passing of a Statute at Westminster (3 Edw. I. c. 5.) at this period (A. D. 1275.), requiring all Churchmen accused of felony to be tried by the civil power before being delivered over to the Ordinary. Robert Kilwarby having resigned the Primacy A. D. 1278, the Pope set aside the nominee of the monks, and appointed a Franciscan, John de Peckham Archbishop in his room. Soon after, in consequence of the increasing diminution of the revenue, and the curtailments of the rights of the crown by the accumulation of landed property in the hands of the clergy, which became thereby exempt from certain services and taxes; and fell, as it were, into dead hands (mortua manus); the King compelled the passing of the Statute of Mortmain (7 Edw. I. st. 11.), by which the King's consent was necessary for the transfer of any property to the Church (A.D. 1279). The result of this law was the giving of another direction to the munificent bounty of the pious; which soon displayed itself in the erection of seats of learning at Oxford, and Cambridge. A synod was convened at Lambeth, A.D. 1281, for the correction of abuses; at which canons were passed against Pluralities, and Non-residence; and others for the better teaching of the people, and for enforcing the doctrine of Transubstantiation, and that the Sacrament was contained in the bread alone, the wine being added only for deglutition: and subsequently a Statute was passed A. D. 1285, defining more clearly the powers of the clergy, called, from its first words, 'Circumspecte Agais.' (13 Edw. I. st. IV. c. 1.)

^{167.} What was the first act of Edward affecting the rights of the clergy? What was the statute of Mortmain? Why, and when was it passed? What resulted from it?

A. D. 1290—1296.

Pope; Boniface VIII. A. D. 1294-1303.

Papacy resisted .- About Edward I. this period, the Jews from their excessive usury excited the hatred of the people, and were banished the kingdom, A. D. 1290. Shortly after this, Robert Winchelsey succeeded John de Peckham as Archbishop of Canterbury; and Boniface VIII. succeeded to the Papal chair on the resignation of Celestine V. A. D. 1294. The King continued to push on his successes over the ecclesiastical power; he would not suffer his bishops to attend General Councils without previously swearing they would not receive the Papal benediction. He contemned all Papal bulls and processes; attacked Scotland in defiance of the one which claimed it as a flef of Rome. and seized the temporalities of the clergy in the face of the other, because under its plea they refused the payment of his taxes. Moreover, one of his subjects having obtained a bull of excommunication against another, he ordered him to be executed as a traitor; but the sentence was afterwards commuted to transportation. The expenses of his wars having very much impoverished the king's treasury; he was urged to demand one half of the entire revenues of the Church (A. D. 1292.), notwithstanding they had cheerfully contributed their fifteenths, and twentieths. The clergy resisted, and adopted every means to escape the imposition; and as a last resource Archbishop Winchelsey obtained a bull from Pope Boniface, forbidding EDWARD, and all princes, under pain of excommunication, to tax the property of the Church without permission from Rome.
A. D. 1296. EDWARD defied the Pope's authority, and to enforce his claim, he outlawed all those eccle-

K

^{168.} What were the advantages gained by Edward III. over the ecclesiastical power?

siastics who refused obedience, and confiscated their property. These continued and vigorous measures soon brought the clergy to submission.

169. Roger Bacon, the 'Wonderful Doctor,' must not here be omitted, for he was the most surprising genius, and the most learned man of his age. He was a Franciscan monk, born at Ilchester in Somersetshire, A. D. 1214, and educated at Oxford, and Paris. His scientific attainments were such as to cause his ignorant contemporaries to impute them to magic: to him we are indebted probably for the discovery of the telescope, the camera-obscura, and of gunpowder, as well as for the reformation of the calendar afterwards made by Pope Gregory XIII. He looked on the boasted learning of his contemporary philosophers, the followers of Aristotle, with the most perfect contempt, saying, 'they have no care to 'acquire real knowledge, but only to seem knowing in the 'eyes of the senseless multitude.' Roger Bacon was a long time in prison, and died A. D. 1292. Bacon contended for the authority of Scripture as the foundation of truth against all opposition, and every opponent. His chief works are the 'Opus Majus.'

A. D. 1300.

170. In **Doctrinal** matters we find during the 13th century that the worship of the *Virgin Mary* most extensively prevailed; that *Transubstantiation* was enforced; and that the *bread* alone was taught to contain the Sacrament, the *wine* being merely for deglutition: the sale of *Indulgences* was gaining ground; *Pilgrimages* were enjoined by way of *penance*: and the doctrine of *Works of Supererogation* was making considerable way: but witnesses of the truth under the names of *Waldenses*, *Albigenses*, &c., were spreading themselves far and wide; but to check their progress, they were denounced by the dominant party as heretics, and subjected to the cruelties of the In-

^{169.} When did Roger Bacon live, and what part did he take in the philosophy of the age? [W. 1.] 170. What doctrines were prevailing during the thirteenth century?

quisitorial power, as decreed by the 4th Edward 1.

171. Architecture.—During this century Ecclesiastical Architecture made great advances upon the style of the preceding periods: it is distinguished by the introduction of the pointed arch, which was either lancet shaped, equilateral, or obtuse-angled, with certain other features of minor importance, and is known as the Early English style.

CENTURY XIV.

EDWARD I. (continued) A. D. 1301-1307.

Popes; Benedict XIII. A.D. 1303; Clement V. A.D. 1304.

172. Papal Contest.—During the remainder of the reign of Edward I. the struggle between the clergy and the crown continued. The King, however, found great occupation in his wars; he subjugated Wales, conquered Scotland, and obtained various successes over France. His energetic measures broke the Papal power in England, notwithstanding the celebrated decretal bull of Boniface VIII. known as the 'Unam Sanctam,' (A. D. 1302.) in which it is declared that the doctrine of the sovereign and unlimited power of the Pope, and that every human creature is subject to the Roman Pontiff, is an article of faith necessary to salvation; and that acknowledging the spiritual and temporal, as two independent powers, is falling into the Manichæan heresy of two principles (good and evil).

^{171.} What style of Ecclesiastical Architecture prevailed during the thirteenth century? How was it distinguished? 172. Who succeeded Edward I.?

This Pope was the first to assume the DOUBLE crown as a symbol of two-fold authority, holding both the spiritual and temporal sword. Philip the Fair, of France, however, contested more violently the authority of Boniface, by sending an armed force into Italy, which took the Pope prisoner at his country seat, and sent him to Rome ignominiously riding on a horse with his face to the tail. Stung with chagrin and despair at this treatment Boniface is said to have died raving mad. A. D. 1303. He was succeeded by Benedict XIII. who was followed in 1304 by Clement V. The latter took up his residence at Avignon.

173. **Ring's death.**— At a parliament held in Carlisle A. D. 1307, EDWARD passed many enactments supporting the royal prerogative: (35 Edw. I. St. I. caps. 1, 2, 3, 4.): and rejected the Papal claims to First-fruits; and prohibited Alien Priories, or priories acknowledging a foreign superior, from sending any portion of their revenues out of the kingdom, and from submitting to the authority and jurisdiction of any foreign power. At length EDWARD I. died; and was succeeded by his son Edward II. the first

Prince of Wales. A. D. 1307.

174. Convocation.—To this reign may be traced according to Lymode, the establishment of Convocation. At a Council held at Reading A. D. 1279, it was enacted that two representatives at least, chosen by the clergy of every diocese, should attend the national Synod in order to consult respecting the redressing of grievances, granting of subsidies; and to deliberate concerning the general welfare of the Church. It was an extension of the Synods of the higher orders of the clergy, which were of common occurrence. ('Item præcipimus ut veniant duo 'electi ad minus a clero episcopatum singulorum, qui auc-toritatem habeant unà nobiscum, tractare de his quæ 'ecclesiæ communi utilitati expediunt Anglicanæ, etiamsi 'de conturbatione aliquà vel expensis oportent fieri men-tionem.'—Lymvode.) It seems also from Wilkins' Con-



cilia, that the clergy were summoned to the 'Great Council of the Realm,' by a royal mandate directed to the two Archbishops, who intimated the same to the bishops and abbots, and these to their clergy.

Summary of the Reign of Edward I.—34 years, from A. D. 1273. to 1307.

175. Edward I. in the early part of his reign infringed considerably upon the powers of the clergy: by a statute at Westminster (3 Edw. I. c. 5.) he required them in cases of felony to submit to the civil power; and shortly after he compelled the passing of the Statute of Mortmain (7 Edw. I. St. II.) to prevent landed property from being made over to the Church without the royal permission, as in such case it became free from all services and taxes, much to the detriment of the exigences of the state. The Jews from their usurious practices fell under the King's displeasure, and were banished the kingdom. A. D. 1290. The clergy, however, were allowed full scope in spiritual matters, as may be judged from the Synod held in Lambeth 1281; when Transubstantiation, and the Sacrament in one kind were enforced. Pope Boniface VIII. was not willing to yield any portion of the authority exercised by his predecessors over England, and therefore contended with Edward for supremacy in matters temporal as well as spiritual; the King however defied all threats, and Papal instruments; he forbid compliance with the Roman bulls under pain of outlawry: and to meet the expenses of his wars he demanded a fifth of the revenues of the clergy, and would allow no money from Alien Priories to be sent out of the kingdom to their foreign superiors, nor even the first-fruits to the Papal See. These latter measures were embodied into Acts of Parliament in the last year of Edward's reign. A. D. 1307. The meeting of Convocation is considered to have originated in this reign; and 107 Monasteries to have been founded.

Edward II.—A. D. 1307—1327.

Popes; Clement V. A. D. 1304; John XXII. A. D. 1316.

176. The weak and lamentable reign of EDWARD II. affords nothing of mo-

175. Give a Summary of the reign of Edward I.? 176. What were the events connected with the Church during the reign of Edward II.? How is it supposed that the

ment affecting the Church, further than Edward II. the progressive advance of the secular power over the ecclesiastical; though he is accused of giving countenance to Papal bulls. Clement V. transferred the seat of the Papacy from Rome to Avignon in France, A. D. 1308.: where it continued for nearly seventy years; this step tended much to weaken the Papal authority; as it naturally fell under the dominion of French influence: soon after, the Pope commanded the suppression of the Order of Knight's Templars. A.D. 1311. Through the influence of Archbishop Winchelsey many acts were passed for the regulation of Tithes, he however died. and was succeeded in the Primacy by the bp. of Worcester, Walter Reynolds, A. D. 1313: the latter procured the passing of the Act entitled 'Articuli Cleri,' for the purpose of maintaining certain prerogatives of the Church against the temporal power. A.D. 1313. The succeeding Pope, John XXII., in order to advance the doctrine of Transubstantiation. and regard for the Eucharist, instituted the festival of 'Corpus Christi,' on the Thursday after Trinity Sunday, A. D. 1318. A few years after he prevailed on EDWARD to effect the suppression of the Templars in England; the Pope however took to himself the disposal of their vast estates. A. D. 1322. Religious truth had now a strong party in Bohemia, whither certain of the Waldenses had migrated, and from this place many came over to England, and planted those doctrines which were the precursors of the Reformation, and which a few years later were so powerfully defended by the celebrated John Wickliffe, who at this period (A.D. 1323-4.) was born. The mal-administration of government by the King now excited the hatred of his subjects. EDWARD was forced to resign his crown to his son, Edward

doctrines the Waldenses were introduced into England?

III. (A. D. 1327.); and before the close of the year, he was cruelly murdered

Edward II.

in Berkeley Castle.

177. The **Enights Templars** were a military order of monks, founded in the beginning of the twelfth century (A. D. 1118.) by an association of Knights for the protection of pilgrims on the roads in Palestine; afterwards their chief object was the protection of the Holy Sepulchre at Jerusalem against the Saracens. They followed the Benedictine rule, and took the vows of poverty, chastity, and obedience: but they soon forgot their bond of poverty, and became possessed of immense estates, and other property, chiefly situated in France. Their unbounded wealth, and haughty and independent demeanour brought against them the enmity of *Philip* of France, and Pope *Clement* V. through whose instrumentality the Order was suppressed (A. D. 1311—14. in England in A. D. 1322.)

Summary of the Reign of Edward II.—19 years, from A. D. 1307 to 1327.

178. The degenerate reign of Edward II. did very little in ecclesiastical matters worthy of record; the clergy, however, endeavoured to shake off the control of the secular power imposed on them by his predecesor; at last the king was compelled to resign his crown. A.D. 1327. There were 42 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Edward III.—A. D. 1327—41.

Popes; John XXII. A. D. 1316—34; Benedict XII. A. D. 1334—42.

179. The reign of EDWARD III. was chiefly occupied in his wars against Scotland, and France. He, however, improved the civil administration, gave a check to the power of the barons, and encouraged the rights of the Commons: the House of Commons was now separated from the House of Lords. Simon Mepham succeeded Reynolds in the see of Canterbury A. D. 1328; he was followed by John Stratford, who was translated from the see of Winchester. A. D. 1333. The great

^{177.} Who were the Knights Templars? 178. Give a summary of the reign of Edward II.? 179. How was the reign of Edward III. chiefly occupied? What was

expenses of the King's wars were a Edward III.

heavy burthen upon the people, who therefore looked with jealousy at the exactions of the Pope, whose claims were five times more than the taxes levied by the King: whence originated continual murmurings, and complaints against the venality, tyranny, imposition, and abuse of the See of Rome. The disposition had become willing, and it wanted but the power to shake off the Papal yoke, and achieve a great religious reformation. The King at first mildly expostulated against the encroachments of the Pope, but receiving menacing and contemp-tuous replies, he resolutely determined to curtail the Papal influence.

A. D. 1342-48.

Pope; Clement VI. A. D. 1342-52.

180. **Provisors.**—Among the oppressive claims of the Papacy fraught with abuse, and attended often with great disturbances, was not only the right of appointing to *vacant* benefices, but the right also of appointing a successor to a benefice whether vacant or not, as well as of subtracting a portion of the income as a provision for certain foreign cardinals; whence arose a grand source of revenue to the Papal treasury,—a scandal to religion, an injury to the country, and a robbery of the King. EDWARD wrote to the Pope (A. D. 1343.), and while protesting against such provisions and subtractions, he claimed the right of appointing to vacant sees, &c. as belonging to the crown. Moreover, to put an end to the pre-ferment by means of such provisions, the Statute of Provisors was now passed, (A. D. 1350.) which prohibited any one from making any reversionary grants

his conduct towards the ecclesiastical power? What was the demeanour of Edward III. to the Pope? 178. When was the Statute of Provisors enacted, and for what purpose? [C. 2.] Stat. 25 Edw. III. st. 6. (A.D. 1350.) 38 Edw. III. st., 3. c. 1, 2, 3, 4. (A.D. 1353.)

of benefices, or receiving, or executing any orders of provisions for preferments from the court of Rome under penalty of fine and ransom to the King at his will, and imprisonment till he renounce such provision. This act was followed by others of a like character which enacted severally that the See of Rome should not present to any bishopric or living in England.

A. D. 1349-52.

181. The resolute conduct of King EDWARD induced many of his subjects to speak out boldly against the abuses of the Romish church; among these was the pious and learned Bradwardine, the 'Profound Doctor,' and chaplain and confessor of the King. who was now made Archbishop of Canterbury, on the death of Stratford A. D. 1349.; the Pope at the request of the King had nominated Dr. Ufford, but he died before consecration. Bradwardine enjoyed his dignity but a few days, having died a week after. He wrote a very learned treatise, in which he advocated the doctrines of our Church against Pelagianism. He was followed in the see of Canterbury by Simon de Islip. Richard Fitzralph (Armachanus) also, the Archbishop of Armagh, who had been previously Chancellor of the University of Oxford, was conspicuous at this period (A. D. 1347-1360.) for his severe strictures against the abuses of the Mendicant Orders: particularly his 'Apology against the Friars,' called also the 'Defence of Curates,' (i.e. Parish priests), presented to the Pope at Avignon. This brought upon him the anger of the Pope, which entailed much suffering, and he died in exile. A. D. 1361. There also appeared an anonymous work, called 'The Ploughman's Complaint,' (or 'Visions of Piers Ploughman') A. D. 1352., which severely censured the errors of the

^{181.} What divines were the chief precursors of the Reformation? Who was Bradwardine? Richard Fitz-ralph? What was the nature of the work-called T Ploughman's Complaint? Who was Greathead?

times; condemning most sweepingly, Edward III. auricular confession, images, purgatory, indulgences, celibacy, and other prevailing doctrines and practices of the clergy, and the infamous conduct of the Popes. These, with Grosteste (Greathead), bishop of Lincoln, before alluded to (in par. 158), and John Wickliffe, who now made his appearance on the side of true Christianity, were the great precursors of the Reformation.

A. D. 1353.

Pope; Innocent VI. A. D. 1352-62.

182. **Præmunire.**—The consequence of the Statute of Provisors was an appeal by the parties aggrieved to the court of Rome, which produced great disturbance, expense, and litigation: to counteract this therefore the Statute of Præmunire was now passed, (27 Edw. III. st. 1. c. 1.) which enacted that whoever should take a plea out of the country from the King's courts, after two months warning, should be outlawed. The term is said to be derived from the initial word of the writ, Præmonere or Premunire † facias. It means, 'Cause A. B. to be forewarned that he appear, &c.' Subsequent Statutes have been passed under this title by succeeding monarchs; whence it is to be understood that whenever it is said that a person by any act incurs a præmunire, it is meant to express that he thereby incurs the penalty of being out of the King's protec-'tion; that his lands and tenements, goods and chattels, are forfeited to the King, and that his body shall 'remain in prison during the King's pleasure;' so that the party was in effect outlawed, yet the forfeitures never denoted a felony. The other Statutes inflicting a

^{182.} When was the Statute of Præmunire enacted, and for what purpose? [C. 2. Q. 1.] Whence is it so called? What is meant by a person incurring a presmunire?

præmunire were passed in the subsequent reigns of Richard II, Henry IV, and Henry VIII. (which see)

A. D. 1356.--66.

183. Wickliffe now commenced his career of attempting the reformation of the Church. He had been educated at Queen's College, Oxford, and was at this period Fellow of Merton College; his first attack was a treatise called 'The Last Age of the Church ;' in which he forcibly denounced the extortionate covetousness of the Papal See, and the profligacy of the Clergy; he next attacked the Mendicants (A.D. 1360.); and had on his side not only the University, but great numbers of the clergy. He became Master of Balliol College A. D. 1361.; and the Primate, Simon de Islip. gave such countenance to Wickliffe, as to appoint him soon after Warden of Canterbury Hall (A. D. 1365.), which the Archbishop had lately founded, and from which he removed the preceding Warden, a monk, on account of his unfitness. In the following year, however, Islip died: and his successor Simon Langham. taking part with the monks, ejected Wickliffe on account of his hostility to them (A. D. 1366.). Wickliffe appealed to Rome, but the following circumstance (par. 184.) caused the decision to go against him.

A. D. 1366-1370.

Pope; Urban V .-- A. D. 1362-70.

184. The statutes of 'Provisors,' and 'Præmunire,' which had been enacted just before the time of

^{183.} What was the first public act of Wickliffe against the abuses of the Church? What work did he publish? [D. 1.] What events of his life immediately followed? 184. What were the Statutes enacted for restraining the Papal power previous to the time of Wickliffe [I. 1.] What was their effect? [I. 1.] What demand was made by Urban V. upon Edward III.? How was it met by the king? What was the conduct Wickliffe?

Wickliffe to restrain the encroachments Edward III. of the Papal power (see pars. 180, 182), brought against the King the resentment of the Pontifical court; and Pope Urban V. who had assumed the triple crown, now attempted to revive the vassalage, and annual rent to which King John had subjected his kingdom and his successors. The demand was made, accompanied by a threat, that if refused, the King should be cited to the Papal court to answer for the default. EDWARD, who cared little for the Papal demand, immediately convened a parliament, and it was unanimously agreed that John's donation was null and void, being without the concurrence of parliament, and contrary to his coronation oath (Stat. 40. Edw. III.:) they further bound themselves, if the Pope should proceed to extremities, to support the King in resisting his demands (A. D. 1370.). The Papal claims were defended by a monk; whose treatise was answered by another from Wickliffe, now the royal chaplain, with far superior ability, and which made a great impression. This conduct of Wickliffe decided against his cause, which was then pending before the court of Rome. The Primacy was now occupied by William Wittlesey, who succeeded Langham A. D. 1368.

A. D. 1371-1376.

Pope; Gregory XI.—A. D. 1370—78.

185. This vote of Parliament against the Papal power, now led them to petition against the admission of Churchmen into secular offices. Wickliffe, who had now graduated as a D. D. and had thus become a Professor of Divinity* A. D. 1372., is thought to have been the originator of this petition: soon after, he formed one of a commission sent to Bruges to discuss with the Pope's nuncios certain grievances of the

^{185.} What were the next events of Wickliffe's life? What was the result of his mission to Bruges? How was Wickliffe rewarded for these services?

'In theory, every D. D. is S. T. P. 'Sancta Theologia Professor.'—Short.

Church, and to resist his presentation to English benefices A. D. 1374.; in this he

was successful; and during his stay he gained such an insight into the corruptions of the Papal court, as to lead him on his return home after a stay of two years (A. D. 1376.) boldly to denounce the Pope as Antichrist; deny his authority; and attack the doctrines and practices of the Romish Church. He at the same time declared that the Scriptures alone contained all things necessary to Salvation. In return for his services, Wickliffs was presented with the living of Lutterworth in Leicestershire, and the prebendal stall of Aust in the collegiate Church of Westbury. On the death of Archbishop Wittlesey, Simon Sudbury, bp. of London, was elected to the Primacy. A. D. 1375.

186. Wickliffe now fearlessly and openly preached, taught, and published his doctrines, and appealed to the Scriptures for their proof. Some of his opinions were erroneous, some were dangerous; but many were sound. He obtained a host of hearers, who received his discourses with great approbation; and his proselytes soon grew to a formidable number. They followed his example in going about preaching to the people, barefoot, and in plain frieze gowns. Their

distinguising name was that of Lollards.

187. **Lollards.** The term Lollards is of uncertain derivation: some consider it to have been applied to certain enthusiasts in the Netherlands from their practice of singing hymns, hence Lollards from the German word lollen, (lallen, or lullen) signifying 'to sing in a low murmuring strain' (identical with lallare of the Romans, and the lull of our own language); and hard, a common affix, as in the word beghard (one who prays); thence Lollard, Lollard, Lollardy, Lollardism (Mosheim,—Southey,—Short):

^{186.} How did Wickliffe now occupy himself? 187. What is the origin of the word Lollards? [K.1. M. 1.]

others consider the word to be derived from Walter Lolhard, (or Lolhart), Edward III. who was condemned at Cologne for heresy (A. D. 1315), and whose tenets those of Wickliffe are said to have resembled. Others again trace the origin to lolium, (tares, cockle,); such epithet having been applied to heretics, as 'tares among the wheat,' in the early ages of the Church. (Euseb. Eccl. Hist. IV. 24.)

A. D. 1377.

188. Wickliffe continued.—The bold teaching of Wickliffe, and the homely, yet energetic preaching of his disciples, the 'poor priests,' as they were called, made so wonderful an impression upon the public mind, that, according to a contemporary writer, (Knighton), 'you could not meet two people in the way, but one of them was a Lollard. It was not long therefore before the Monks applied themselves to stop the contagion. They accused him of heresy before the Papal court in nineteen Articles. The Pope, Gregory XI., immediately issued bulls to Simon Sudbury, the Primate, and to Courtney, the Bishop of London, to arrest him, and bring him to trial. A bull was also despatched to the University of Oxford, requiring that body to condemn the writings and teaching of Wickliffe; but the University disregarded the Papal demand in consequence of itself favouring the doctrines of the reformer. Wickliffe, however, appeared before a Papal synod convened by Sudbury, and Courtney at St Paul's, in London; where he had the powerful support of John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster, and Lord Percy, the Earl Marshal; the violent conduct of his patrons created so great a tumult that the assembly was dissolved without

^{188.} What was the success of Wickliffe and his disciples? What did the monks to oppose them? What Synods were convened to try him? What was the issue of them? Who were Wickliffe's supporters? When did Edward III. die? Who succeeded him?

coming to any decision. Similar conduct produced a like result at a subsequent meeting held at Lambeth for the same object. The death of Edward III., at this critical period, diverted the public mind for a short time to other considerations; and the King was succeeded by his

grandson Richard II. aged but eleven years.

189. Convocation in this reign assumed a more definite form than heretofore, and very analogous to the Parliament. As the House of Lords and House of Commons were separated into two distinct bodies, so now was the Upper house of Convocation separated from the . Lower. The Bishops and mitred Abbots as temporal Barons sat in the Upper House of Parliament, and in the Upper House of Convocation; but in the latter also sat the Heads of the other monastic institutions. The Lower House of Convocation consisted of Deans, Archdeacons, and Proctors of the Clergy, whether of Chapters, Colleges, or Parishes: and after the manner of the House of Commons it had its speaker or Prolocutor, who controlled the debates, defended its privileges, and was the organ by which it expressed its sentiments. In juridical questions the Upper House gave judgement, while the Lower prosecuted: and in the Lower House bills of subsidy, and grievances usually originated. The proctors of Con-vocation were elected similarly to Knights of the shire: and were styled 'The Commons Spiritual' of the realm. The Houses of Convocation usually met at the same time as the Parliament. (Atterbury's Rights &c. of an English Convocation. c. i. ii.)

Summary of the reign of Edward III. -50 years, from A. D. 1327 to 1377.

190. EDWARD III. although much engaged in wars with Scotland and France, yet made many improvements in the Legislation of the country, both temporal, and spiritual. He separated the *House of Commons* from the *House of Lords*, and allowed the *House of Comvocation* to assume a more important form. The expenses of his wars led him to resist the Papal claims to much of the revenue of the Kingdom, which stirred up a contest for supremacy between himself and the Papacy. The end was the passing of the

^{190.} Give a summary of the Reign of Edward III.

statutes of *Provisors*, and *Premunire*, and Edward III. some others, to restrain the encroachments of the Pope; and the appearance of John Wickliffe, and other learned men, defending the independence of the nation, and crying down the ambitious exactions, gross errors, and superstitions of the Romish Church, and the profligacy of the clergy. The Papal power was materially broken by the energetic measures of Edward III., but his death, A. D. 1307. stayed for a time the advantages now acquired. There were 74 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Richard II.—A. D. 1378.

Great Papal Schism, A. D. 1378-1417.

reign of Edward III.—During the reign of Edward III. the Papal tribute had been withheld; now, however, on the accession of a new monarch, the Pope was not tardy in demanding its immediate payment, on pain of ecclesiastical censures, if refused. The question was widely discussed, at length it was deferred to the opinion of Wickliffe; who decided, that the Pope could only claim it as an alms, and therefore, if the necessity of the state required it, it might be withheld. Here was fresh cause for the shafts of Papal vengeance. The death of Pope Gregory XI., however, this year, prevented a decree from being issued, and also dissolved the commission against him.

192. **Papal Schism.**—The death of *Gregory* XI. gave rise to the *Great Western Schism*, which shook the Papal power to its foundation. Two years before the death of *Gregory* XI., the Pope had found it necessary for the maintenance of his Italian states, and not without some motives of ambition, to remove his court from Avignon to Rome (A. D. 1376.), which had been deprived of its presence for seventy years. At his death, however, (A. D. 1378.), the Italian and French factions came into violent collision; the former insisted on the election of an Italian Pope, and by

^{191.} What demand did the Pope make immediately on the accession of Richard II? What was the decision of Wickliffe? 192. What did the death of Pope Gregory XI. give rise to? What advantage did Wickliffe take of this schism?

intimidation compelled the assembled Car-Richard II. dinals to elevate the Archbishop of Beri to the Pontificate, who assumed the title of URBAN VI. The French party, to the number of thirteen, feeling disgusted with this appointment retired to Anagi, and thence to Tondi in the territory of Naples, where they anathematized URBAN VI. as an intruder, and proceeded to the election of Robert, count of Geneva, to the Papal chair, who took the title of CLEMENT VII. The rival Popes proceeded to the most violent extremities, hurled awful anathemas at each other, and at last had recourse to arms, which ended in CLEMENT VII. being compelled to retire to Avignon. Each Pope esteemed his own acts right, and ordered those of the other to be considered wrong and profane. Each created cardinals, issued edicts, and exeroised all the rights of the Pontificate; and for nearly 40 years did this state of things continue. Italy, Germany, England, Denmark, Sweden, Poland, and Prussia, acknowledged the Pope of Rome; while France, Spain, Savoy, and Scotland, acknowledged the Pope at Avignon. Wickliffe seized the advantage thus offered to him of two infallible heads of the Church anathematizing each other with so much virulence and animosity; and in a small tract he opened the eyes of Christendom to their abuses and pretensions. He unflinchingly advocated the truth of Scripture, established a society of itinerant preachers, known as the Lollards (A. D. 1379.); and to afford proof to all, he translated from the Latin Vulgate the Old and New Testaments into the English language; which was the first entire version that had appeared, A. D. 1380; but the New Testament is the only portion that has ever been printed.

A. D. 1381-1384.

193. Wickliffe's death.—Wickliffe continued to preach against the encroachments of the Papacy, and certain of the Catholic Church, as well as

^{193.} What causes shielded Wickliffs from persecution; and from what cause did Wickliffs loss his popularity? [T. 1.] What was the conduct of the Primate Courtney?

against the union of secular and clerical Richard II. offices, and found encouragement in the government, and in the voice of the people, which doubtlessly shielded him from much persecution; but he now began to impugn the grand doctrine of Transubstantiation; in this the people placed implicit faith; while the Church relied on it for the support of its pretensions: the government, too, interfered not with matters exclusively of divinity. Consequently, Wickliffe lost the popular favor; the University prohibited his teaching such opinions under pain of imprisonment; and the King rejected his appeal. Sudbury, the Primate, having been killed in the insurrection of Wat Tyler, Courtney succeeded him, (A. D. 1381), and immediately cited Wickliffe to appear before a Council in London. (A. D. 1382.) Wickliffe refused: his doctrines, however, were condemned as heretical, and he was ultimately forced to retire to his living of Lutterworth, where he continued to write against the corruptions and abuses of the Church, and at length died, at the age of 60 years. A. D. 1384.

194. Life of Wickliffe.—It will be well to give a summary of the life of this first of our Reformers.—

John de Wickliffe (Wickliffe, Wycliffe, or Wickif), called the 'evangelic' or 'gospel doctor,' was born at a village of the same name on the Tees, near Greta-bridge, in Yorkshire. A. D. 1323—4. He studied at Queen's College, Oxford; and became a fellow of Merton: when he attacked the avarice and abuses of the Clergy, and the Papal See in a work entitled 'The last Age of the Church,' A. D. 1356; having an impression that the last age of the Church and of the world was at hand. He next wrote a forcible tract against the Mendicant Orders. A. D. 1360. He was shortly after appointed to the living of Fillingham, which was followed very quickly by his

What was the decision of the Council of London? 194. Mention the leading events in Wickliffe's life, [D. 1. 7. 1. Q. 1. N. 1.] What work did he publish? [D. 1.

election to the mastership of Balliol College. Richard II. He obtained the favour of Islip, the Primate, who appointed him Warden of Canterbury Hall. A.D. 1365. But the death of his patron in the following year placed an opponent in the see of Canterbury (Langham), who expelled him from the Wardenship. A. D. 1366. Wickliffe appealed to Rome, but the decision was against him, because he supported the King, Edward III. in his refusal to pay the tribute to the Roman Sec. (A. D. 1370.) which had been promised by King John. He now obtained his doctor's degree, and publicly taught and preached against the errors of the Romish Church, A. D. 1372; and severely reproved the prevailing practice of the English clergy of holding secular offices in conjunction with their sacred functions. He was next sent as one of seven ambassadors to Bruges, to discuss with the Pope's nuncios certain grievances of the Church, A. D. 1374. On his return he was rewarded with the living of Lutterworth. and the prebendal stall of Aust in the collegiate Church of Westbury. A. D. 1376. Wickliffe was now accused of heresy, and was cited to appear before a synod convened at St Paul's, and again at Lambeth by Sudbury, the Primate, and Courtney, bishop of London. A. D. 1377. The violent advocacy of his friends, the Duke of Lancaster, and the Earl Marshal, dissolved the meetings without coming to a decision; and the death of the Pope put an end to the commission. King Edward's decease also, turned the thoughts of his enemies to other topics. liffe having now given his decision in favour of Richard II. against the claims of the Papacy for the payment of the overdue tribute (A. D. 1378.); the violence of his enemies was renewed. He met them with his usual weapons; and published an entire translation of the Old and New Testaments in the English tongue, A. D. 1381, holding them forth as affording the proofs of his opinions. He next attacked the doctrine of Transubstantiation, which destroyed his popularity, and the favour of the University. He was cited by Courtney to appear at a Council in London (A. D. 1382.) which he refused; his teaching was therefore condemned as heretical, and he was ultimately obliged to withdraw from Oxford to his living at Lutterworth: where

N. 1.] When did Wickliffe live? [b. 3. N. 1. X. 2.] How did the Church of Rome eventually testify its opinion of Wickliffe, and his tenets? [b. 3. D. 1. N. 1. X. 2.]

for a short time he continued to write against Richard II. the abuses, and profligacy of the Clergy, and at length died at the age of sixty. A. D. 1384. For many years did the Roman See hold the most bitter enmity against Wickliffe, and his doctrines, which they considered most impious and heretical: in proof of which, we find the Council of Constance, held some years afterwards (A. D. 1414-1418.), with impotent rage condemning not only Wickliffe's writings and opinions, but his bones also to be exhumed, and burned; the latter part of the sentence was carried out about thirteen years after, and his ashes thrown into the brook, called the Swift.—See Mosheim,—Lewis.

Wickliffe's opinions. - The tide of 195. popularity now turned against the opinions promulgated by Wickliffe; and Courtney, backed by the King's authority, began to arrest and imprison all who maintained them, and strenuously exerted himself for the suppression of Lollardy. What the opinions of Wickliffe were may be gathered from the process of Courtney against heretics in the synod of London in A. D. 1382. before which Wickliffe was cited to appear. He was accused of affirming-

1. That Christ was not really and corporeally present

in the Sacrament of the Altar.

2. That no Clergyman in mortal sin could exercise the ministerial function.

That true penitence is enough, without outward confession.

That Christ never instituted the Mass.

That God must obey the devil.

That it is contrary to Scripture for churchmen to

have temporal possessions.

7. That the secular power may deprive churchmen of their property for delinquency, and that the people may correct their rulers.

8. That a man in mortal sin cannot perform the

magisterial function.

9. That tithes are pure alms, and may be withheld from a wicked Pastor, or be conferred on another.

^{195.} Give a summary of the opinions of Wickliffe, and state the points in which he differed from the doctrine and discipline of the Romish Church then prevailing. [E. 1. H. 1.7

10. That persons entering a monastery Richard II. do not belong to the Christian religion.

11. That friars are bound to labour for their living,

and not beg. (Wilkins' 'Concilia,' III. p. 157.)

Also

12. That it is presumptuous to say that infants dying without baptism will not be saved.

13. That the administering of 'Confirmation' is not

reserved to bishops.

14. That there are but two orders of the clergy; priests (or elders), and deacons. All the others are the proctors of Antichrist.

15. All things which happen absolutely, happen neces-

sarily. *Ibid.* III. p. 229.

16. That *subjects* are not bound to obey kings, or rulers while they are in a state of mortal sin. Ibid. 111. p. 229.

Also, condemned at Leicester, A. D. 1389.

17. That images or crosses ought not to be worshipped, nor candles burned before them.

18. That every layman may preach the Gospel everywhere.

19. That oblations ought not to be made at the obsequies of the dead.

20. That confession to a priest is unnecessary, for that every pious man is a priest. Ibid. III. p. 208.

He also denied—

1. The supremacy of the Pope, and all foreign interference, and declared the clergy subject to the civil power.

Objected to the celibacy of the clergy.
 And denied the utility of indulgences.

196. WICKLIFFE upheld the Seven Sacraments*, but in a very tolerant sense. His belief, however, that the wickedness of the priest vitiated his minis-terial acts; that bishops were unnecessary; that tithes were mere alms, and might be withheld; that church endowments given in perpetuity might be resumed; as well as in purgatory, and the utility

^{196.} In what particulars did Wickliffe differ from the dootrines of the Reformers properly so called? [b. 3.] and from the Church of England? [H. 1. BB. I. EE. 1.]

Of Baptism, the Lord's Supper, Confirmation, Penance, Orders, Matrimony, Extreme Unction. Digitized by Google

of prayers for the dead; are the great points in which he differed from the Reformers, properly so called, (see under A. D. 1554.), and from the Church of England.

A. D. 1384-96.

- 197. **Huss.**—A little before the death of Wickliffe, Richard II. married a princess of *Bohemia*; (A. D. 1382.) one of whose followers provided himself with the writings of Wickliffe, and returned with them accompanied by some students of Oxford to his native country. Here *John Huss* became imbibed with his principles, and not only boldly propagated them in the University of Prague, where he afterwards was professor of Theology; but at a subsequent period, heroically defended them before the Council of Constance, (A. D. 1418.), and at the stake. The queen however died in A. D. 1393. Three years after, on the death of Courtney, *Thomas Arundel* succeeded to the see of Canterbury. A. D. 1396.
- A. D. 1397—1399.

 198. **Lollards persecuted.**—The consort of Richard had afforded such protection to the followers of Wickliffe, as to give the *Lollards* courage enough to satirize the clergy, and to send a petition to parliament at this period against the existing abuses of the Church. The clergy became alarmed at the enthusiasm and formidable numbers of the *Lollards*, and now solicited the King to put them down. Richard supported the clergy; and the inquisitorial commission, issued a few years before, doubled its energies in searching after all who held heretical opinions: but *Arundel* incurred the enmity of the King, and was obliged to quit the kingdom.

199. Richard resigns.—The continued misgovernment, and profligacy of the King, however,

^{197.} How were the opinions of Wickliffe carried to Bohemia? 198. Who gave protection to the followers of Wickliffe? What side did Richard II. take? 299. What became of Richard II.?

excited the enmity of the barons, and the disgust of his people; and he was at length forced to resign his kingdom into the hands of *Henry*, surnamed *Bolingbroke*, the son of the Duke of Lancaster (A.D. 1399.) who was backed by *Arundel*; when *Richard* was imprisoned, and met a violent death in the year following.

Summary of the Reign of Richard II.—22 years, from A. D. 1377 to 1399.

During the reign of Richard II. the Papal Schism originated; and the celebrated Wickliffe died. The independence of the kingdom, however, was maintained against the encroachments of the Papacy by several enactments. An act was passed in A. D. 1379. (3 Rich. II. c. 3.) forbidding foreigners letting their benefices to farm: and another in A. D. 1383. (7 Rich. II. c. 12.) forbidding any foreigner to be presented to an ecclesiastical benefice. under penalty of the statutes of provisors. And by a subsequent statute of A. D. 1388. (12 Rich. II. c. 15.), all liegemen of the King accepting a living by any foreign provision were put out of the King's protection, and the benefice made void. This was strengthened in the following year, A. D. 1389, by a statute confirming the 25 Edw. III. st. 6., which (13 Rich. II. st. 2. c. 2.) added the penalties of banishment, and forfeiture of lands and goods; and further, (by cap. 3.) any person bringing over any citation or excommunication from beyond sea, on account of the exe-cution of the foregoing statutes of provisors shall be im-prisoned, forfeit his goods and lands, and suffer pain of life and members. To this succeeded the celebrated statute of Præmunire in A. D. 1392. (16 Rich. II. c. 5.), which is the one generally referred to by all subsequent statutes, and which enacts, that 'whoever procures at Rome, or elsewhere, any translations, processes, excommunications, bulles, instru-'ments, or other things which touch the King, against him, his crown, and realm, and all persons aiding, and assisting 'therein, shall be put out of the King's protection, their 'lands and goods forfeited to the King's use; and they

'shall be attached by their bodies to answer to the King

^{200.} Give a summary of the reign of Richard II. What enactments were passed during the reign of Richard II. for restraining the Papal power?

'and his council: or process of 'premunire 'facias' shall be made out against them, 'as in other cases of provisors.' (Blackstone). Hence the name of 'premunire' has been applied not only to the writ, but also to the offence of maintaining the Papal power. (See par. 182.). Winchester College was founded in this reign, A. D. 1887, by William of Wykeham, and likewise 21 Monasteries.

A. D. 1400.

201. State of the age.—Among the Ecclesiastical abuses that prevailed during this century, and which woke up the spirit of the Reformation, and gave voice to Wickliffe, were the encroachments of the Papacy in claiming for itself and the Church exclusive jurisdiction ;-the assumed right of the Pope to interfere with the affairs of the kingdom in a legislative capacity:—the claim of granting provisions, (i.e. the appointment to a benefice before vacant) :- the investiture of the bishops ;- the demand of annates or first fruits, which was a tax of the first year's income of a preferment, and of tenths;-the collection of Peter's pence :- and the right of taxing the clergy; all which drew vast riches out of the kingdom to the great impoverishment of the state. Also, the appeals to Rome, to fill still more the Papal coffers;—the right to send legates, and hold legatine courts; - the prerogative of Sanctuary, which gave impunity to crimes;—the exemption of the clergy from civil jurisdiction;—the pride, and luxury of the monks and clergy: the profligacy of some, the ignorance of others, and the secular employments of others:-the artifices, avarice, and impudence of the Mendicant orders, with their immense riches, and open iniquity. To these may be added the abused doctrines and errors of Transubstantiation ;-pilgrimages ;-sale of indulgences :-norship of images :-celibacy, and dispensations, which set at nought the laws of Church and State; -together with, the infallibility of the Pope;—and his tyrannical assumption of interdicts, and excommunications; and the right to depose the sovereign, and absolve the people from their allegiance. All these burthens, errors, tyranny, and abuses stirred up the minds of the people to desire a change; WICKLIFFE

^{201.} What were the ecclesiastical abuses of the fourteenth century, which may be said to have prepared a way for the Reformation? [F. 1. G. 1. 0. 1.] What were the circumstances of the times which served to protect Wickliffe [[b. 3.]

became the mouth-piece of the popular feeling, and found protection in the peculiar Richard II. circumstances of the times;—in that the civil power was bending the ecclesiastical to its submission, and two rival Popes were dividing Christendom by their anathemas one against the other; each striving rather to gain adherents and supporters by favour and leniency, than give strength to his opponent by an unnecessary interference or violent opposition.

202. Architecture.—The style of ecclesiastical Architecture prevailing during this century was the Decorated English; so called from the greater redundancy of ornament in this than in the preceding styles; it is esteemed the most beautiful; and one particular feature is, that the principal lines of composition run puramidically

rather than horizontally, or vertically.

CENTURY XV.

Henry IV.—A. D. 1400—1401.

203. Heretics burnt.—The defective title of Henry IV. of Lancaster urged him to conciliate the clergy to strengthen his position; he began therefore by the advice of Arundel to pass a law, (2 Hen. IV. c. 3.) that all persons accepting any provision from the Pope should be subject to the penalties of Præmunire; which is the last of any ancient statute touching this offence—(Blackstone); to give proof of his sincerity, he readily assisted them in the persecution of the now seditious Lollards; and passed an enactment (A. D. 1400—1.), forbidding any person to preach or teach anything contrary to the Sacraments, or the authority of the Church, under pain of being de-

202. What style of architecture prevailed during the fourteenth century? 203. What was the conduct of Henry IV. towards the Church? When was the law for burning heretics in England first passed? and when was it repealed? [D. 2.]

livered over to the secular arm to be burnt before the people; unless they recanted, and abjured their errors. (2 Hen. iv. c. 15.) The Diocesan alone was appointed judge, and might convict of heretical tenets. This is the first statute empowering the burning of heretics*, and was not repealed till A. D. 1677. (by 29 Car. 11. c. 9.).

204. Sautre.—It must de observed that at this period, the majority of the Lollards were carried away by their enthusiasm beyond a moderate and proper degree of reformation; aiming at the spoliation of Churches, destruction of monasteries, and confiscation of Church lands, declaring that the saints only should possess the earth; yet on the other hand, the severity of the clergy was unwise and unjust; and making transubstantiation the test of heresy was persecution. A victim was soon found to prove the new statute was no dead letter in the person of William Sautre (or Sautrey), rector of St. Osyth's in London, formerly a clergyman of Lynn, in Norfolk. He was brought before Archbishop Arundel, and refusing to worship the cross, and to believe in transubstantiation, he was condemned as an obstinate heretic, and delivered over to the civil power, and burnt in Smith-field (Feb. 19, 1401.) This was the first martyr in the cause of the Reformation.

A. D. 1404.

205. **Lollardy.**—This severe proceeding checked for some time the open profession of *Lollardy*, but the opinions were spreading secretly. The Commons (named the "Illiterate" or "Unlearned Parliament"

^{204.} What was the state of Lollardism at the accession of Henry IV.? Who was the first martyr in the cause of the Reformation? 205. What request did the 'Illiterate' Parliament' make to the king? What was the opinion of the University of Prague on the doctrines of Wickliffe?

Some lawyers affirm that the writ, de karetico comburendo, is a part of the ancient common law, but none were convicted under it prior to the fifteenth

A. D. 1404. now urged the King, who Henry IV. demanded a supply, to seize the revenues of the clergy, as occupying a third of the lands of the kingdom. They made the same request a few years later (in A. D. 1410.), and pressed for a repeal of the statute for burning heretics. The King, however, through fear of the clergy, rejected their petitions. We find that Wickliffe's doctrines were now condemned by the University of Prague, which contained twenty-thousand students, and where they had greatly extended through the preaching of Jerome of Prague, who had lately returned thither from Oxford.

A. D. 1407.-1410.

206. Thorpe. Badby. The next victim of the persecuting statute was WILLIAM THORPE, a priest distinguished for his learning and ability; he was condemned by Arundel, (A. D. 1407.) but escaped with imprisonment, in which he died. The second martyr at the stake, however, was John Badby, a tailor of Worcester in the diocese of Gloucester, who was condemned before Arundel of denying 'transubstantiation,' and sentenced to be burnt in Smithfield. The Prince of Wales was present at his execution, and in vain offered him a pension and pardon, if he would recant; he heroically refused, and died at the stake. A. D. 1410.

207. Papal Schism.—The schism in the Papacy was not yet healed: the Gallican Church attempted it a few years previously by renouncing all subjection to either Pope, A. D. 1397, but it was without avail. At length Gregory XII. at Rome, and Benedict XIII. at Avignon, made a vow to resign, should not the peace of the Church be established without;

^{206.} Who were William Thorpe; and John Badby? 207. Why, and when was the General Council of Pisa convened? How did it terminate?

but they both violated their compact, and at length the General Council of

and at length the General Council by Pisa was convened (A. D. 1409.) at the instigation of the cardinals of both parties, in order to put an end to the Papal schism, and effect a general reformation in the Church. The council terminated, however, in making the schism wider by passing condemnation on the rival Popes, and deposing them, and electing in their stead a third Pope, Alexander V. (Peter Philardus) who on his part put off the consideration of reform to a future council. The condemned Pontiffs treated the decrees of the Council with contempt, and continued to perform the functions of the Papacy. There were now three Popes, Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., who exercised their infallibility by loading one another with calumnies, maledictions, and excommunications. Alexander V. however, died at Bologna shortly after his elevation (A. D. 1410.); and was succeeded by John XXIII. (Balthassar Cossa.)

A. D. 1413.

208. **Henry IV's death.**—The reign of Henry IV., which had been chiefly troubled by pecuniary embarrassments, and the insurrections in favour of *Richard*, and the *Earl of March*, was now creded on the throne by his son *Henry* V.; called *Henry of Monmouth*. (A. D. 1413.)

Summary of the reign of Henry IV.—13 years, from A. D. 1399 to 1413.

209. The defective title of *Henry* IV. to the crown induced him to court the ecclesiastical party. He passed many laws in their favour, and allowed the persecution of heretics, particularly the growing society of *Lollards*. Chief among the persecuted were *Sautre*, *Badby*, and *Thorpe*. An endeavour was made at the *General Council*

^{208.} What enactments affecting the Church were passed in the reign of Henry IV? 209. Give a summary of the reign of Henry IV?

of Pisa, held during this reign, to heal the Papal Schism, but the attempt proved fruitless: and nothing further occurred of any importance to the Church until the following reign. The chief enactments affecting the Church were those of 2 Hen. IV. c. 3. (A.D. 1400.) inflicting the penalties of Præmunire on those who accepted any provision from the Pope. The 2 Hen. IV. c. 15. passed the same year for the suppression of Lollardy, by the burning of heretics. The 4 Hen. IV. c. 3. and c. 12. (A.D. 1404) confirming the rights of the Church, and enlarging the Statutes of Provisors, and Præmunire. And 7 Hen. IV. c. 8. (A.D. 1407.) declaring that no provision shall be granted of a benefice that is full. There were 12 Monasteries founded in this reign.

Henry V.—A. D. 1413.

210. Lord Cobham.—Henry V. Henry V. began his reign by joining the clergy in a virulent persecution of the Lollards. Starting as they did with the protection of John of Gaunt Duke of Lancaster, and Lord Percy, the Earl Marshal; then gaining the favour of Richard II's Queen; and the countenance of very many pious, and most learned divines, Lollardy had reached to such an extent as not easily to be eradicated. Sir John Oldcastle, LORD COB-HAM, was now looked upon as the chief supporter of these new doctrines, having expended large sums of money in circulating the writings of Wickliffe, and in maintaining the itinerant preachers; and Archbishop Arundel determined on his prosecution. Being a friend of the King's on account of his military prowess, and faithfulness, HENRY first desired to attempt his conversion, and to bring him to submit to the decisions of the Church; but growing angry with his obstinacy, he at last delivered him over to the ecclesiastical courts. Arundel now cited him to appear at the hall of the Black-Friars in Ludgate in

м 2

^{210.} How did Henry V. begin his reign? Who mere the principal supporters of the Lollards? [K. 1.] What befel Lord Cobham? Give a brief history of his life? Wher was he executed? [Y, 1.]

answer to the charge of holding heretical opinions: Cobham rejected the citation; upon which he was seized, and committed to the Tower. Having been brought before the Consistory Court, Arundel questioned him on the four points of—transubstantiation, penance, pilgrimages, and image-worship (some add, of confession, and of oaths). Cobham gave in a written reply, which not satisfying his judges, he was condemned of heresy, and sent back to the Tower, till the period of his execution should be decided on. Cobham, however, effected his escape, and retired into Wales; where he remained in concealment four years, when he was discovered through the vigilance of Lord Powis, and sent back to London. His original sentence now increased in severity, a fresh charge of treason was then put into execution (A. D. 1418.), and Lord Cobham was burnt in St Giles's Fields, hanging from a gallows by Chains, and is by many considered the 'Father of Political Dissenters.'

A. D. 1414-1418.

- 211. Sir Roger Acton, &c.—After the escape of Lord Cobham from the Tower, it is said that a party of Lollards to the extent of 25,000 excited an insurrectionary movement, and contemplated an attempt to surprise the King at Eltham. They were, however, soon dispersed: many were captured; and the ringleaders, to the amount of nine and thirty, were executed in St Giles's Fields, which had been the place of meeting; and after having been drawn and hanged as traitors, they were burned as heretics. Among them was Sir Roger Acton, a friend of Lord Cobham's.
 - 212. Lollards persecuted.—These proceedings

^{211.} What insurrectionary movement followed the escape of Lord Cobham from the Tower? 212. How did hese proceedings affect the profession of Lollardy? Who

gave rise to increased rigour against the Henry V. LOLLARDS. Soon after the arrest of Cobham, John Claydon, a furrier of London, was accused of Lollardy, condemned as a relapsed heretic, and burnt in Smithfield, A. D. 1415. Richard Turmin, a baker of London underwent the same fate. Also Wil liam Taylor a clergyman of Leicestershire A. D. 1419.: and Garentin, a monk, A. D. 1428. In fact Lollardy was now (by 2 Hen. V. c. 7.) made a temporal offence, and indictable in the King's courts; and in addition to the punishment of burning, all the land and property of the condemned heretic were confiscated to the King. Archbishop Arundel, now died, (A.D. 1414.) and was succeeded in the Primacy by Henry Chicheley (Chichele), a no better friend to the Lollards than his predecessor. His first act, however, was to satisfy the necessities of the King, who, in accordance with a petition from the Commons, praying him to shift the public burdens on to the ecclesiastical property, contemplated seizing the estates of the Church. This he effected by procuring for HENRY the alien priories in the kingdom, which were dependent on certain abbeys in Normandy; these were now suppressed, and their revenues given to the crown. Chicheley next obtained the authority of a provincial Synod (A. D. 1416.) for a 'Constitution' 'requiring all bishops and archdeacons 'twice every year at the least to examine persons 'suspected of heresy; and to take care that in all parishes suspected of heresy, three or more honest 'men of good report should swear that if they heard of any heretics, secret conventicles, or sus-' pected books in the English tongue, they would im-'mediately give information thereof to the bishop of 'the diocese, or his archdeacons.'

succeeded Arundel in the Primacy? What was Chicheley's first act?

Digitized by Google

213. Council of Constance.—At Henry V. this period (Nov. 5th, A.D. 1414.) was convened the GENERAL COUNCIL OF CONSTANCE, by John XXIII. at the instance of the Emperor Sigismund, in order to heal the Papal schism, and to reform the Church. It continued sitting three years and a half (till April 22, 1418.); and ended in deposing the three contending Popes, and appointing MARTIN V. (Otto de Colonna) to the Papal chair, thus terminating the Papal schism which had lasted nearly fifty years. It decreed also that the Pope was inferior and subject to the General Councils of the Universal Church; that the cup should be denied to the laity: and it also passed condemnation on the writings and opinions of Wickliffe, commanding them to be burnt, together with his bones. John Huss was condemned as a heretic by the same Council, and delivered over to the secular power to be burnt (A. D. 1415.): and Jerome of Prague was also seized and imprisoned; but having recanted, his life was saved. Not long after, he revoked his recantation, and died at the stake. A. D. 1416. It was also decreed that General Councils should be independent of the Pope, and meet every ten years.

A. D. 1419-1422.

Pope; Martin V.——A. D. 1417—1431.

214. Death of Henry V.—The greater portion of Henry Vth's time was occupied in military exploits, particularly in France; where his repeated conquests brought that kingdom to the verge of ruin. During this period, Chicheley continued his sweeping persecution of Lollardy; and so numerous were the accusations, that he found it necessary to substitute prolonged imprisonment, whipping, and other punishments for the extreme penalty of burning, reserving that only for those of the clergy, who might be convicted of holding the new opinions. At length

^{213.} When, and by whom was the General Council of Constance convened? What was the result of its meeting? How long did it sit? 214. How was Henry Vth's time chiefly employed? What was the conduct of Chicheley to the Lollards? Who succeeded Henry, V?

HENRY V. died; 1422: and was succeeded by his son, Henry VI., at the tender age of nine months.

Summary of the reign of Henry V.—9 years, from A. D. 1413 to 1422.

215. The military reign of Henry V. was remarkable for the assistance of the secular arm given to the ecclesiastical party in the persecution of heresy. Lord Cobham was the first martyr of the nobility for the cause of Lollardy, which was now considered treason as well as heresy. The Council of Constance sat in this reign for the settlement of the Papal schism; and Canons were passed for the suppression of heresy. The Commons of England tried to throw the burdens of the state upon the Church party, but Chicheley averted the attempt by procuring the revenues of the Alien-priories for the King's service. The enactments passed in the reign of Henry V. affecting the Church were, I Hen. V. c. 7., forbidding foreigners to hold benefices in England, and granting the property of the alien priories to the crown. The 2 Hen. V. c. 7., making Lollardy indictable in the King's courts, and adding confiscation of the property of heretics to the crown, in addition to the penalty of burning. And 3 Hen. V. c. 4., enacted that all provisions, licenses, &c. in respect of benefices not vacant shall be void. There were four Monasteries founded in this reign.

Henry VI.—A. D. 1422—1428.

216. **Papal** Interference. — The reign of Henry VI., involving a long minority, was occupied in an expensive and futile war to maintain the conquests of his father in France; and in the latter portion, by the desolating contests between the houses of York and Lancaster. Archbishop Chicheley continued his endeavours to suppress Lollardy; but he gave great offence to MARTIN V., by refusing to exert himself to effect the repeal of the statute of Pæmunire, designated by the

^{215.} Give a summary of the reign of Henry V? What enactments were passed during the reign of Henry V. affecting the Church? 216. What engaged the attention of Henry VI? How did Chicheley offend the Pope? What was the conduct of the bishop of Winchester?

Pope 'an execrable statute,' (execrabile illud statutum); and was in consequence suspended from his office and authority by a Papal bull. Chicheley, however, being backed by the King and Parliament, and by the University of Oxford, treated the bull with disdain. A. D. 1428. Subsequently, however, in order to make his peace with the Pope, he made a futile attempt to bring the Commons to accede to the Papal request. Cardinal Beaufort, the bishop of Winchester and Pope's legate, incurred the censure of Parliament at this time, for raising means to carry on the Papal war against the Hussites of Bohemia, who had risen in arms under Ziska, to demand the administration of 'The Communion' in both kinds.

A.D. 1431-1439.

Pope; Eugenius IV.—A. D. 1431—47.

Council of Basil.—We have now to record the sitting of the GENERAL COUNCIL OF BASIL (Basle), convened by MARTIN V. in accordance with a decree of the Council of Constance, that Councils should meet every ten years. The Pope, however, did not live till its assembly, and was succeeded by EUGENIUS IV. The great questions for consideration were (1) the Union of the Greek and Latin churches; and (2) the Reformation of the Church Universal both in its head (the Popes), and in its members (the bishops, priests, and monks). The assembled fathers proceeded resolutely with their duty. EUGENIUS took alarm, and twice attempted to dissolve the Council. The sitting members declared that the authority of the Council was superior to that of the Pope, who was bound to submit to its decrees, and that appeals lay from the Pope to a General Council. They continued the session; and proceeded to abolish annates, or first-fruits, (A. D. 1434.) i. e. the first years income claimed for the purpose of defending Christians from the infidels; and annul the

^{217.} When did the General Council of Basil sit? What did it effect? How did the Pope treat its proceedings? What was the consequence of the difference between the Pope and the Council of Basil? What did Charles VII. of France? What was the Pragmatic Sanction?

Papal impositions of Expectations, Reserva-Henry VI. tions, and Provisions; so that elections to vacant bishoprics and benefices were wrested from the Popes, and vested in the chapters, metropolitans, and local bishops (A.D. 1436.). Other measures of a like nature provoked the violent wrath of EUGENIUS, who determined on setting up an opposition Council (A. D. 1437.). The assembly of Basil cited the Pope to appear before them. EUGENIUS treated the summons with contempt: and immediately issued a decree dissolving the Council. They, by way of reprisal, pronounced a sentence of contumacy against the rebellious pontiff. EUGENIUS now (A. D. 1438.) summoned a council at Ferrara, where he excommunicated the members of the Council of Basil: and they, in their turn, deposed EUGENIUS from the Papacy, and elected in his room Amadeus (or Amadee), Duke of Savoy, under the title of Pope Felix V. (A. D. 1439.). Thus reviving the Papal schism which the Council of Constance had so lately set at rest. To support their decrees the Council of Basil solicited the protection of Charles VII. of France, who immediately summoned a council of his nobility and prelates, at Bourges, where they upheld the proceedings of the Council of Basil, with the exception of their appointing a rival Pope; censured the meeting of a Council at Ferrara; and laid the foundation of the liberties of the Gallican Church against the encroachments of the Papal See by wresting from the Popes the power of appointing to bishoprics and other benefices. The decrees passed at Basil were reduced into the form of an edict, and published under the title of the 'Pragmatic Sanction;' which the French monarch ordered to be inviolably observed.* (A. D. 1438-9.) -Burnet, Pt. III. b. 1.

A. D. 1439-1449.

Pope: Nicholas V. A. D. 1447-55.

218. The Council of Basil continued its sittings; while EUGENIUS held a counter assembly at Florence, and subsequently at Rome (A. D. 1442.). The death, how-

218. How was this new schism healed? Who succeeded

Eugenius IV?

The Pragmatic Sasction of historical eminence is the decree of the Emperor of Germany, Charles VI, who by that deed, from having no sons, settled his hereditary dominions on his cldest daughter Maria Theresa. A. D. 1713. A Pragmatic Sasction differs from a Recript in being delivered by a Prince in Council to a body of men: while a Recript in being delivered by a Prince in Council to a body of men: while a Recript is an answer to an individual. It is so called in being a solemn decision upon the business or question (πραγμα pragma) in consideration.

ever, of Eugenius in A. D. 1447. brought peace to the Church. He was succeeded by NICHOLAS V., who on the resignation of his rival, Pope Felix V. (A. D. 1449.), was acknowledged by the Council of Basil, then sitting at Lausanne, as sole Pope. NICHOLAS at the same time did his part towards healing the schism by giving his sanction and authority to the acts and decrees of the Council of Basil.

219. The Primacy.—In England, ecclesiastical matters continued much the same. Chicheley gave encouragement to learning by founding All Souls College in Oxford (A. D. 1437.); an example followed by Henry VI. a few years later when he founded King's College in Cambridge, and Eton College; in the same year we have to record the Invention of Printing (A. D. 1441.). Archbishop Chicheley soon after died (A. D. 1443.), and was succeeded by John Stafford, son of the Earl of Stafford, as Primate. Queen Margaret gave her countenance to literature by founding Queens' College, Cambridge (A. D. 1446.). Stafford was followed in the Primacy by Kemp (A. D. 1452.); and he soon after was succeeded by Thomas Bouchier, son of the Earl of Essex, as Archbishop. A. D. 1454. And at this period terminated the English power in France. Calliatus III. succeeded Nicholas V. in the Papacy. A. D. 1455.

A. D. 1457.

Pope; Callixtus III.-A. D. 1455-8.

220. **Reginald Pecock.**—It was not long before the new Primate gave strong evidence of his sentiments in respect of Church Reform. He brought a charge of heresy against Reginald Pecock (or Pococke) bishop of Chichester, a very learned and excellent man. He was born in A.D. 1390., and studied at Oxford, where he became a fellow of Oriel

^{219.} What Colleges were founded during the reign of Henry VI? 220. Who was Reginald Peoock? When did he live? [B. 2.] What accusations were brought

College (A. D. 1417.). He was subse-Henry VI. quently introduced at court by his patron Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester; and after a few years obtained the bishopric of St Asaph (A. D. 1444.). From this he was translated to the see of Chichester in A. D. 1449-50. His connexion with the unfortunate Duke of Gloucester probably excited the court party against him; and his published opinions, particularly a work called The 'Repressor of our over-much blaming the Church,' and his 'Treatise on Faith,' though far from professing Lollardy, exposed him to the vengeance of the Church; as he rejected in it the authority of the Pope, or a Council, to alter any article of the Creed, or exceed the rule of Scripture which was the only ground of faith. He was prohibited from occupying his seat in the House of Lords (A.D. 1457.); and was now cited to appear before the Primate at Lambeth Palace, to answer the charges against him. He was accused among other things of declaring, 'that a belief in our Saviour's descent into hell, in the Holy 'Spirit, in the Catholicity of the Church, in the 'Communion of Saints, in Transubstantiation, are 'not necessary to salvation;—that the Pope had a 'right to dispose of all benefices,—that the property of the clergy was exclusively their own, and not 'subject to the maintenance of the poor; -and that, (which was the head and front of his offending) the 'Church was not infallible.' He allowed the use of images to a certain extent; defended the religious orders; but objected to the celibacy of the clergy. Indeed, Proock was decidedly opposed to some of the tenets of the Lollards; his object was the reform and advancement of the Church, by reason and not by persecution, and was far from wishing its overthrow; for he neither joined its adversaries, nor withdrew from its communion. He was rather a mediator between the extreme opinions, and de-

Digitized by GOOMIC

sired to moderate the severity of persecution on the one side, and the rancour of opposition on the other. The result, however, was, that he was deposed from his bishopric, and forced to make a public recantation of his opinions at St Paul's Cross. Reynold Procock (or Reginald Pecock), now made application to the Pope for a bull of restitution to his bishopric; this proceeding exposed him to the penalties of Præmunire, and he was consequently arrested, and kept in solitary confinement in Thorney Abbey in Cambridgeshire, where after a few years he died.

221. Lollardy.—We should observe that Lollardy had now outrun the doctrines implanted by Wickliffe, and grown into a politico-religious theory: many of its extreme members making no scruple to decry monarchical government, and all episcopal authority as useless. They preached without authority or license from the bishop, in churches, church-yards, fairs, and markets; set at nought all prohibitions of their ecclesiastical superior; and openly called in question his appointment; they pronounced against ecclesiastical vestments, themselves wandering barefoot from town to town in russet gowns; and warmly censured the use of church-music. These opinions they constantly defended by Scripture quotations; and declared that the clergy ought to support themselves by labouring with their own hands, and follow the example of St Paul in every iota. Oaths they held to be unlawful; and religious vows to be the offspring of the devil .- Wordsworth's Eccl. Biog. 1. 170—182.



^{221.} How had Lollardy outgrown the teaching and opinions of Wickliffe?

A. D. 1457-1461.

Pope; Pius II.-A. D. 1458-64.

222. Henry VI. deposed.—The lamentable reign of Henry VI. was now drawing to a close; the furious contest between the 'Two Roses' had desolated the country with blood, and the imbecility of the king weakened the tenure of his regal possession: he was at last thoroughly defeated, and Edward, Duke of York, aided by Warwick, the king-maker, was proclaimed king, A. D. 1461.

223. **Moravians.**—On the continent, we find the persecuted *Hussites* gathered into a small fraternity under the name of the *Bohemian* or *Moravian Brethren*, (Unitas Fratrum) A. D. 1457.: while on the other hand, the Papal power was wielded by the celebrated *Œneas Sylvius*, under the title of Pius II.

224. Pius II. had been the secretary of the Council of Basil, and was then a violent opponent to the Papal claims of infallibility, and supremacy, &c.; but he no sooner attained to the Roman See himself (A. D. 1458.) than he became as powerful an assertor of its rights, as he had been an opposer; and for a short time regained its influence. He called a Council at Mantua (A. D. 1459.); where he denounced the proceedings at Basil, and proclaimed the 'Pragmatic Sanction' to be heretical. He at last, prevailed on Louis XI, the successor of Charles VII, on the throne of France, to abrogate it. A. D. 1461.

 $\mathsf{Digitized}\,\mathsf{by}\,Google$

^{222.} What was the close of the reign of Henry VI? How did his reign affect the Church? 223. When were the Moravian brethren established? 224. What was the conduct of Eneas Sylvius, afterwards Pius II? What became of the Pragmatic Sanction in his time?

Summary of the Reign of Henry VI.—38 years, from A. D. 1422 to 1461.

225. The troubled reign of Henry VI. left Henry VI. matters very much in the hands of the clergy. Pope Martin V. endeavoured to regain the Papal ascendancy in England, and procure the repeal of the Statutes of Provisors, and Præmunire, which so materially checked his power. Archbishop Chicheley attempted it but without effect. persecution of heretics continued with unrelaxed severity; and the chief martyr in this reign was Reginald (Reynold) Pecock, bp. of Chichester. Lollardy assumed at this period a different aspect from its commencement, becoming in a measure a political as well as a religious movement. The Council of Basil sat during this reign from A. D. 1431-49. for the correction of ecclesiastical abuses; and the Gallican Church obtained greater freedom by the Pragmatic Sanction. No enactments of any moment were passed in this reign affecting the interests of the Church: the struggle for the throne engaging so much of men's thoughts and interests, as to allow even an occasional respite to religious persecution. There were 33 Monasteries founded in this reign.

226. John Huss was born at Hussienitz, (or Hussinecz) in Bohemia, about A. D. 1373-6, of mean parentage, but of superior genius and industry. He became in after life rector and professor of theology at the University of Prague, and preacher at the chapel of Bethlehem in that city: shortly after he was appointed confessor to Sophia, Queen of Bohemia. A. D. 1400. A few years later some of Wickliffe's works were brought into Bohemia by a Bohemian gentleman named Jerome Faulfisch, who had just returned from Oxford, A. D. 1405.; and these met the eyes of Huss, who at first rejected the doctrines they inculcated, but subsequently yielded to the conviction of many of them. He became a Realist, and preached loudly against the abuses and errors of the Romish Church, particularly against their fulse miracles, and against the vices of the Clergy. Becoming by this means obnoxious to the Church party, and the numerous German Nominalists in his University, he was excommunicated, and forbidden to preach any more at Prague A. D. 1413, and ultimately was summoned before the Council of Constance; and thence before Pope John

^{225.} Give a summary of the reign of Henry VI? 226. What countryman was John Huss? Hom is it supposed that he became acquainted with Wichliffe's views? What were the chief grounds of his being persecuted? By

XXIII. Paletz professor of divinity at Prague. Henry VI. and Causis a parish priest of the same city, both Nominalists, were his accusers; they brought eight articles of heresy agianst him; but he vindicated himself against their charges with the greatest clearness. HUSS however was ordered to be imprisoned, notwithstanding the Emperor Sigismund had promised him safe conduct. The commissioners appointed to examine him endeavoured to oblige him to retract, but to no purpose. He was afterwards brought before the Council, and there at last after three hearings he was condemned as an obstinate heretic, degraded from the priesthood, subjected to much indignity, and ordered to be burnt, and his writings with him. A. D. 1415. JOHN HUSS was a man of the most irreproachable character, nor could it be proved against him that he held any point of doctrine absolutely distinct from the creed of his adversaries: his was a living practical faith, not a merely theoretical one; and among other things he strongly advocated communion in both kinds; but the chief accusation against him was his conforming to the doctrines of Wickliffe. The Emperor Sigismund is charged with perfidy in not protecting HUSS according to his promise; he appears however to have been conscience-stricken at his conduct, but he vielded to the voice of the Council, which decreed that 'faith ought not to be kept with a heretic.'

227. Jerome of Prague was the friend and companion of John Huss, and followed him to the Council of Constance; but when he witnessed the barbarous treatment to which his friend was subjected, he privately withdrew. Jerome was however pursued, and overtaken at Herschau, and brought back to Constance, where he was imprisoned for 343 days with no other sustemance than bread and water. He was at length induced to retract (in Sept. 1415.); but new accusations being brought against him, he withdrew his recantation, and launched forth into praises of Wickliffe, and Huss. The matter ended in his

being burnt at the stake. May 30; 1416.

what Council, and in what year was he condemned? Relate the manner of his death. 227. What fellow-country-man suffered death soon after Huss for holding the like opinions? [L. 1.]

Edward IV.—A. D. 1461.

228. Succession contested.— Edward IV. The rival claims of the houses of York and Lancaster continued their devastations, almost annihilating the ancient nobility by their sanguinary contests. In his first Parliament, however, the King confirmed the privileges and immunities of the clergy; and by charter exempted them from the purisdiction of the civil courts; and absolved them from the penalties of the statutes of Provisors, and Præmunire,

A. D. 1464-1470.

Pope; Paul II.-A. D. 1464-71.

229. **Henry Murdered.**—Henry, the deposed king, was at last taken prisoner, and confined in the Tower. (A. D. 1464.) Edward, however, having given offence to the Earl of Warwick, that nobleman marched against him, and took him also prisoner. (A. D. 1470.) Henry VI. was released, and resumed his government; but Edward, escaping from confinement six months afterwards, made an attack on London, re-imprisoned Henry in the Tower, and relieved himself of the enmity of Warwick by his victory in the battle of Barnet, where the Earl was slain. A. D. 1471. A few weeks afterwards, the hand of the murderer released the unfortunate Henry VI. from his troubles.

A. D. 1471-1483.

Pope; Sixtus IV.-A. D. 1471-84.

230. **King's death.**—Nothing worthy of record occurred during the remainder of the reign of EDWARD IV. *Printing* was introduced into England A. D. 1473. At length the King died, and was

^{228.} What was the conduct of Edward IV. towards the Clergy? 229. What became of Henry VI? What was the end of Warwick, the king-maker? 230. Who succeeded Edward IV?

succeeded by his son Edward V. at the tender age of thirteen years. A. D. Edward IV. 1483.

Summary of the Reign of Edward IV.—22 years, from A. D. 1461 to 1483.

231. The only events touching the Church in this reign were the exemption of the Clergy from the statutes of Præmunire, and Provisors, and from the jurisdiction of the civil courts in criminal cases. There were 15 *Monasterics* founded in this reign.

Edward V.-A. D. 1483.

The succession of Edward V. (April 9th) was maintained by his traiterous uncle, Richard, Duke of Gloucester, who assumed the 'protectorate' of the realm; but his cruel ambition soon unmasked his disguised loyalty. Having secured the youthful King, and his brother, the Duke of York, in the Tower, he gave secret orders that the two princes should be murdered; and in the mean while, by impugning their legitimacy, and inveigling himself into the good graces of the people, and more powerful nobility, through hypocrisy and dissimulation, he procured his own elevation to the throne, under the title of Richard III. (June 26.)

233. **Pragmatic Sanction.**—Louis XI. was this year succeeded on the throne of France by Charles VIII. who immediately enforced the adoption of the 'Pragmatic Sanction.' it continued to be observed during his reign of fifteen years (till A. D. 1498.), as well as during that of his successor Louis XII. who reigned eighteen years. (till A. D.

1515.).

^{231.} Give a summary of the reign of Edward IV?
232. What befel Edward V? Who succeeded him? 233.
What became of the 'Pragmatic Sanction' in the reigns r
the successors of Louis X1?

Bichard III.—A. D. 1483—1485.

Pope; Innocent VIII. - A. D. 1484-92.

234. Richard III. slain.—The usurper, Richard III. slain.—The usurper, Richard, soon found a competitor for the regal title in the person of Henry, Earl of Richmond, who, with the assistance of Louis XI. of France, and of his successor Charles VIII. landed in England with an armed force, and gave battle to Richard on Bosworth-field in Leicestershire. The numerous desertions in the King's army lost Richard the day, and he was slain desperately fighting to maintain his ill-acquired crown. The Earl of Richmond was immediately proclaimed King, and succeeded as Henry VII. (August 22nd, 1485.) Martin Luther was born in this reign A.D. 1483. at Eisleben in Saxony.

Summary of the Reigns of Edward V. and Richard III.— 2 years, from 1483 to 1485.

235. During the reigns of the youthful Edward V. and Richard III. religious persecution slumbered, while the abuses and superstitions of the Romish Church, and the corruptions of the clergy, attained their utmost licence. Transubstantiation, worship of saints, and images, processions, indulgences, and pilgrimages were the prevailing features of the religion of the community. The cup, however, was denied the laity; and the number of festivals considerably increased.

Henry VII.—A. D. 1485—1489.

236. Benefit of Clergy.—Henry VII., the first of the line of Tudor, had no sooner acquired his high dignity, than he married Elizabeth, daughter of Edward IV. and heiress of

^{234.} Who opposed the claims of Richard III? What was the issue of the contest? 235. Give a summary of the reigns of Edward V. and Richard III? What was the state of the Church during the reigns of Edward V. and Richard III? 236. What was the first proceeding of Henry VII. on his accession? How did he treat the Clergy?

the house of York, and thus united the Henry VII. two contending factions of York and Lancaster, and put an end to the desolating civil The clergy, he found subservient to his views, and zealous supporters of his claims; the King consequently employed them in the higher and more influential offices of the state; and also allowed them the exercise of their ancient privileges: he, more-over, sanctioned the renewal of the Lollard persecutions. Bouchier, the archbishop, whose death now occurred (A. D. 1486.), was succeeded by John Morton, bp. of Elv; during whose Primacy, the corruptions of the religious orders grew to so scandalous a height as to create great alarm in the heads of the church. The privilege of Sanctuary also had given so much encouragement to crime, that HENRY caused an enactment to be passed (A. D. 1489.), that all such laymen as were entitled to claim 'benefit of clergy,' (privilegium clericale) could do so but once, and then they should be distinguished by a brand on the brawn of the left thumb.' (4 Hen. VII. c. 13.).

A. D. 1489-1492.

237. **Papal Interference.**—The wealth, and profligacy, and secular style of living of the clergy continued to excite the popular outcry, which at last reached the ears of the Pope (Innocent VIII.), who despatched a bull to the Primate Morton, giving him authority to require the heads of the various religious bodies to reform themselves and their establishments; and if they refused, he was to proceed to more decided measures. (A. D. 1490.). These

What occurred during the Primacy of Morton? What enactment was passed by Henry VII. affecting the 'Benefit of Clergy?' 237. What instructions were sent to Morton by Innocent VIII. to improve the discipline of the clergy? Who was Pope Alexander VI. When, and by whom was America discovered?

Papal and Episcopal admonitions were however of no great avail; at last Innocent VIII. died (A. D. 1492.), and was succeeded by the infamous Roderic Borgia, as Pope Alexander VI.; a man notorious for his profligacy, perfidy, avarice, and cruelty; and in whom, it has been said, were consecrated the vices of all preceding Popes. Such an occupant of the Papal chair, more than arguments or general councils, served to overthrow the infallibility of Popes, and uproot their power, and influence, as time soon proved.—In this year, we have to record the discovery of America, by COLUMBUS.

A. D. 1494-1500.

Pope; Alexander VI.-A. D. 1492-1503.

at an end, religious persecution was resumed; and among the fresh victums we have to record the death of the first female martyr in England, Joan Boughton, a woman of quality, and great virtue; and who at the advanced age of more than eighty years was led to the stake at Smithfield, (A. D. 1494-8.). Her daughter, the Lady Young, suffered the same cruel death shortly after for maintaining similar opinions. A few old men under like charges of heresy heroically suffered at the stake towards the close of this century; but most of those who were condemned, which was above sixty in number, recanted, and merely endured public exposure to the faggots without the fire; compelled, however, to wear the badge of a faggot, worked on the left sleeve: and some of them to be branded on the cheek with a hot iron. A subsequent martyr, William Tylsworth, was burnt at Amersworth

^{238.} Who was the first female martyr in England? Who else suffered from the persecution about the close of the fifteenth century?

(Amersham); and his daughter, because suspected of heresy, was compelled to set fire to the faggots (A. D. 1506.). Similarly, the children of one **John Scrivener**, who suffered at Lincoln, were cruelly ordered to light the faggots, and witness their father's death. Such was the barbarity of the age of Henry VII.

A. D. 1500.

239. Religious belief.—The advance of religious truth, at the close of the fifteenth century, may be gathered from the charges brought against many of the heretics of that time; from which we learn that they denied the merit of good works; opposed image-worship; rejected the efficacy of penance, and pilgrimages; withstood the worship of saints, and of the Virgin Mary; objected to purgatory, and to transubstantiation; and refused the claims of the Popes to be the successors of St Peter. They maintained the grand doctrine of justification by faith;—that it was the free gift of God;—that faith was known by good works;—and that the rule of faith was the Holy Scriptures.

240. Architecture.—The prevailing style of ecclesiastical Architecture during this century was the Florid of Perpendicular; known by the profusion and minuteness of its ornamental detail; and distinguished by the perpendicular lines of the mullions of the windows, and of the divisions of panelwork running straight up to the head; which latter also had horizontal lines of division. The arch was complex, and four-centred, and was designated the Tudor arch. This style is generally considered to have flourished during the period from A. D. 1375. to 1539.: and one of the finest specimens extant is

^{239.} What was the state of religious doctrine at the close of the fifteenth century? 240. What style of architecture prevailed during the fifteenth century?

Henry VIIth's. Chapel at Westminster, built in this reign. To this may be added St George's Chapel Windsor, and the nave of Canterbury Cathedral.

CENTURY XVI.

Henry VII. (continued) A. D. 1501-1508.

Popes; Pius III. A. D. 1503; Julius II. A. D. 1503-13.

241. Nothing of any moment affecting the Church occurred during the remainder of Henry VIIth's reign, whose chief employment, beyond the putting down of impostors, and quelling insurrections, appears to have been the accumulation of immense wealth by exactions from his subjects: his instruments were the notorious lawyers, Empson, and Dudley. Archbishop Morton now died, A. D. 1501, and he was succeeded in the Primacy by Henry Dean; and he, shortly after, A. D. 1503, by William Warham, bp. of London. The King's mother, Margaret Countess of Richmond, was a great patroness of learning: she founded Professorships of Divinity in Oxford, and Cambridge (A. D. 1502.); and Christ's, (A. D. 1507.), and St John's Colleges, (A. D. 1508), both in Cambridge. On the Continent, the celebrated Martin Luther commenced his career by studying at the University of Erfurt. A. D. 1501, and shortly after, he became professor of philosophy in the University of Wittemberg,

^{241.} What encouragement was given to learning during the reign of Henry VII? What colleges were founded, and by whom? When did Luther begin his career?

A. D. 1508. The infamous Pope Alexander VI. now died a violent death by poison, A. D. 1503, which he, or his son, is thought to have prepared for one of the cardinals; and he was succeeded by Pius III., who only lived thirty days after, and he was followed by Julius II. A. D. 1503. At length Henry VII. died, far from being lamented by his people, and was succeeded by his son, Henry VIII. aged nearly eighteen. A. D. 1509.

Summary of the Reign of Henry VII.—23 years, from A. D. 1485 to 1509.

242. Henry VII. in order to secure his possession of the crown, sought the support of the clergy; and in return the King maintained their rights and privileges; and permitted the persecution of heretics. The corruptions of the religious orders, however, became so rampant, that a Papal bull was issued for their repression, and in consequence, a slight check was imposed upon them; but the avarice of the King enabled them to purchase exemption; and therefore they continued to indulge in the grossest profligacy and luxury. At the death of Henry VII.—

243. Ecclesiastical abuses generally had indeed become so enormous as to pave the way for the Reformation; among which may be especially mentioned: -as regarded ecclesiastics, the frauds of the mendicant friars; the great ignorance and profligacy of the monks and clergy: the privilege of sanctuary, and exemption from secular authority :- as regarded the Papacy, the supremacy of the Pope; his claim of jurisdiction in England; his right of appointing to vacant sees and benefices; and of taxing the clergy; his demand of first-fruits; and interdicts, excommunications, and the appeals to Rome:-as regarded doctrine, transubstantiation; communion in one kind; auricular confession, and absolution; penance; pilgrimages; purgatory; worship of the Virgin Mary; of angels; of relics; invocation of saints; canonization; supererogation; masses for the dead; indulgences; dispensations; unction for the sick; use of holy water; and the celibacy of the clergy. 244. The Papal influence in England may be traced

242. Give a summary of the reign of Henry VII? 243. What causes may be said to have brought about the Reformation? 244. Trace the Papal influence in England?

from the time of the Conquest; William I. admitted the Papal legate; Henry I. yielded the denation of bishoprics; Stephen allowed the right of appeal; Henry II. exempted the clergy from secular authority; John gave the kingdom to the Pope, and acknowledged it as a fief of the Roman See by the payment of a tax; lastly, Henry III. allowed the richest benefices to be held by absentee foreigners.

245. **Its decline.** Edward III. enacted the statutes of 'Provisors,' (25 Edw. III; 38 Edw. III.), forbidding foreign interference in the election to benefices; and that of 'Præmunire' (27 Edw. III.) forbidding appeals to Rome. The former were strengthened by Richard II. (by statute 18 Rich. II.); and the latter, by another statute (16 Rich. II.), forbidding the purchase of bulls from Rome,

and declaring the crown free from them.

246. The **Panal power**, however, at this period was free from internal dissension, and from external pressure: the magnificent fabric of St Peter's Church was rapidly progressing, aided by those scandalous acts which ere long shook Europe to the centre; and heresy seemed generally to have been repressed. The Papal authority also was effecting its ends more by the intrigues of diplomacy than by denunciation, and its open adversaries were but few and insignificant. yet there was a spark smouldering in the hearts of many of its supporters, produced by the wider diffusion of the Scriptures by means of the art of printing, and the revival of learning, which wanted but the opportunity to burst into a flame. And the young king of England, Henry VIII., ascended the throne a devoted son of the Papal see, little dreaming of the changes he would be the instrument to effect. Few Monasteries were established in the reign of Henry VII.



^{245.} Trace its decline? 246. What was the state of Papal power at the close of the 16th century?

PART II.

THE REFORMATION.

CHAPTER IV.

FROM THE ACCESSION OF HENRY VIII. TO THE ACCESSION OF ELIZABETH.

Henry VIII.—A. D. 1509.

Pope, Julius II.—A. D. 1503—13.

Henry VIII., immediately after his accession, completed his marriage with another of Arragon, his brother Arthur's widow; the propriety of which had been for some time a matter of serious deliberation, as being opposed to the Canon Law, which in this particular was framed in accordance with the Divine Will as put forth in Leviticus: but a bull from Pope Julius II. had set aside the canonical objections, as well as all the scruples of delicacy, that had hitherto retarded it. He next (A. D. 1510.) disgraced Empson, and Dudley, the rapacious ministers of his father's avarice, which procured him great popularity; and by his unbounded liberality and munificence, so different from his predecessor, the King raised among all his subjects the brightest hopes of happiness, and prosperity.

^{247.} What was the first step of Henry VIII., on accession? What befel Empson, and Dudley?

A. D. 1511-1512.

248. **Italian League.**—Henry now engaged with his father-in-law Ferdinand in the 'Italian league,' set on foot by the military Pope, Julius II. against Louis XII. of France. The Pope laid the kingdom of France under an interdict, and convened a general council at Rome, (Lateran) A. D. 1512. chiefly for the reproof of Louis. The 'Pragmatic Sanction,' which overthrew the Papal influence throughout France, was arraigned before the council; but the death of Julius II. prevented their coming to a decision.

A. D. 1513.

Pope; Leo X .- A. D. 1513-22.

249. Foreign Wars.—Cardinal John Medici, celebrated as LEO X., now succeeded to the Papal chair; and continued the sitting of the Lateran Council. HENRY formed a new alliance with the emperor Maximilian, and led an army into France in person, where he took Terounne, and Tournay; and a peace being soon after concluded, he returned home. During his absence the contest with Scotland was terminated by the victory of Flodden Field, in which James IV. of Scotland, and the chief of his nobility were slain.

250. Benefit of Glergy limited.—Some little contention having arisen between the ecclesiastical and civil courts in respect of the jurisdiction over the clergy; it was enacted that 'benefit of clergy' should be wholly denied to all murderers, and robbers; with a provision, that bishops, priests, and eacons should be exempted from its operation; and likewise, that the Act (4 Hen. viii. c. 2.) should only be in force till the next parliament. This measure

^{248.} In what war did Henry VIII. engage? What was the conduct of Pope Julius II? 249. When was Leo X. appointed to the Papal chair? What new alliance did Henry form? How were the French, and Scottish wars concluded? 250. What were the first proceedings of Henry VIII. in order to correct the crimes committed by Churchmen? [Q. 1.] How was this measure received?

was looked on with bitterness by the clergy, as an encroachment upon the privileges of Holy Church, and called forth their strong censure.

HENRY VIII. Leo X. Warham.

A. D. 1514.

251. Abbot of Winchelcomb.—The most prominent denouncer of this statute was the Abbor OF WINCHELCOMB, in Gloucestershire; who boldly preached against it at St Paul's cross; declaring it to be contrary not only to the franchises of the Church, but also to the laws of God; and that all who assented to it, spiritual or temporal, subjected themselves to ecclesiastical censure. These remarks he followed up by a book upon the same subject, wherein he argued that all 'clerks,' high or low, were sacred personages, and exempted from all punishment by the secular court, whatsoever were the crimes they might commit. This brought from the Parliament, a petition to the King to repress the growing insolence of the clergy. HENRY commanded that the question should be debated before him, and his lay councillors, and judges. Accordingly on the day appointed, the Abbot of Winchelcomb advocated the cause of the clergy, and Dr. Henry Standish, a Franciscan, and afterwards bishop of St Asaph, was the champion of the secular power. The strength of argument was on the side of Standish, and the discussion ended by the laity urging the bishops to require the Abbot to recant his opinions. This they refused to do, declaring they were bound to maintain the same opinions: the matter at length dropped; but the clergy were successful, for the enactment

^{251.} Who was the chief denouncer of this statute? What was the object of the Abbot of Winchelcomb's book on the immunities of the Clergy? [R. 1.] Give a brief account of the proceedings which immediately follower its publication? [R. 1.] Who advocated the cause of secular power?

was not renewed; yet the result gave HENRY VIII. rise to the most alarming contentions Leo X. Warham. between the secular power, and the Warham. Church; which indeed were heightened by the

following circumstance.

252. Hunne.—One Richard Hunne, (or Hun), a respectable citizen of London, having a misunderstanding with a parish priest in respect of a mor-tuary,* due on the death of his infant child, he was sued in the legate's court. Hunne immediately took out a writ of præmunire against the priest for suing him in a foreign court. The clergy, enraged at this bold conduct of the London citizen, quickly applied themselves to compass his destruction. A charge of heresy was brought against Hunne, founded on the circumstance of his having Wickliffe's Bible in his possession; and upon that he was arrested, and lodged in the Lollard's Tower at St Paul's. When brought before the bishop of London (Fitz-james), and questioned, he in some alarm admitted certain articles, and appealed for mercy, and 'favourable correction.' Instead, however, of enjoining penance, and setting him at liberty, as being his first offence, he was sent back to prison, because he continued to urge his suit of 'præmunire' against his parish-priest. Two days after, Hunne was found suspended from the ceiling with marks of violence upon his person. It was given out, however, that he had hanged himself. A coroner's inquest was held: when the fact was elicited that he had been murdered by the sumner,+

^{252.} Relate the case of Richard Hunne. What happened to Dr. Horsey, and his officials? Why was Hunne accused of heresy?

A Mortuary was originally an oblation made at a person's death; and in the Saxon times was a kind of fee, or funeral due, called pecunia sepulchratis, symbolum amma, or soul-abot. It was a right settled on the Church, and differed from a coree present, which was a voluntary oblation made at funerals. I Stillingdeet, 171-3.

[†] The Summoner or apparitor, who cited parties before the ecclesiastical ourts.

and the bell-ringer in the presence and HENRY VIII. under the direction of the bishop's Leo X. Warham. Chancellor, Dr. Horsey; the result was a verdict of wilful murder aginst the three accomplices, who were taken in custody. While this inquest was proceeding, the spiritual court issued a fresh process against Hunne's dead body, charging him with heresy upon thirteen articles made up from the preface to Wickliffe's bible, which he had possessed. He was found guilty, and his dead body was publicly burnt in Smithfield. His judges were the bishop of London, assisted by the bishops of Durham (Duresme), and Lincoln, and by many Doctors of Divinity, and of the Canon Law; whence the proceeding was held to be the act of the entire clergy, and gave rise to the most bitter feeling against them. The Parliament also favoured this victim of ecclesiastical persecution, and restored Hunne's property to his children, which his conviction had forfeited.

Dr. Standish. - The clergy attributed Hunne's suit, and all this violent opposition against them, to the arguments lately put forth by Dr. Standish in respect of their immunities; they therefore summoned Standish before the convocation to answer for those arguments, and for certain doctrines he had promulgated in his divinity lectures. The King, and Parliament sided with Standish; Archbishop Warham, Cardinal Wolsey, and the ecclesiastical party wished to refer the matter to the Pope. consulted Dr. Veysey, and at length came to this memorable determination, saying-'that he would 'maintain his rights, and would not submit them to 'the decrees of the Church, otherwise than as his

^{253.} What did the Clergy to Dr. Standish? What was the issue of the struggle? What memorable determination in connection with these proceedings was pro nounced by Henry VIII.? [R. 1.]

'ancestors had done.' Thus ended the affair of Dr. Standish; while that of Dr. Horsey was accommodated by his assenting to take his trial, and not stand upon his 'benefit of clergy,' but plead not guilty. The attorney-general was instructed to admit the plea, and the prisoner was discharged. The people were by no means satisfied with these proceedings, and the popular odium against the body of the clergy, thus strengthened, not long after lent its powerful aid to uproot the ecclesiastical tyranny.

A. D. 1515.

254. Francis I. — Louis XII. the king of France, who, the preceding year, had married the Princess Mary, the sister of Henry VIII. now dying, he was succeeded by Francis I. This King commenced his reign by engaging the favour of the Pope, with whom he had an interview at Bolognia, when Francis consented to suspend the objectionable 'Pragmatic Sanction,' and agree to an accommodation in its stead, which is known by the name of the 'Concordat.' The Pope's bull condemning the 'Sanction' was presented at the council of the Lateran, and approved; and the 'Concordat' was established.

255. The Concordat.—The independence of the Gallican Church from the exactions, and encroachments of the Papacy was secured by the 'Pragmatic Sanction' the 'Concordat,' however, effected a compromise between the Papal, and regal power, and made a partition of the spoil. The King was to appoint to vacant bishoprics within six months; if the nominee was objected to by the Pope, three months more were allowed; and if again objected to, the appointment was to lapse to the Pope. Certain conditions were also imposed on the King's appointment to vacant abbeys. All vacancies in the court of Rome were to be left to the nomination of the Pope; and all appeals were to be adjudicated in the places where they lay, except the greater causes which were to go to Rome. Other matters

^{254.} Who succeeded Louis XII. on the throne of France? What did Francis I. in respect of the Pragmatic Sanction? 255. What compromise was effected by the Concordat? Was the Concordat readily received?

were conformable to the 'Pragmatic Sanction,' but all mention of annates or firstfruits was omitted. Francis incurred

HENRY VIII. Leo X. Warham.

great difficulty in getting his Parliament, and the council to admit the 'Concordat,' which they considered as destructive of the liberties of the Gallican Church. The struggle between the King and his Parliament lasted some years; ultimately, however, the 'Concordat' was admitted, and established.

A. D. 1516.

256. Wolsey's rise.—We have now to record the rapid rise of Thomas Wolsey. He was the son of a butcher of Ipswich, born about A.D. 1471. He studied at Magdalene College, Oxford, of which he obtained a fellowship; and afterwards was appointed chaplain to Henry VII. Through the recommendation of Fox, bishop of Winchester, he was sent on an important mission into Germany. The success of Wolsey obtained for him the deanery of Lincoln, and the appointment of King's Almoner (A. D. 1503.). On the accession of HENRY VIII, his talent, and address, procured him the new King's favour; and he became successively bishop of Tournay (A. D. 1514.), bishop of Lincoln, and Archbishop of York (A.D. 1514.); and in the following year, he was made lord high Chancellor, and created by Leo X. a cardinal (A.D. 1515.), and subsequently legate-a-latere (A. D. 1516.).

A. D. 1517.

257. Reformation.—About this period Cardinal Wolsey designed some reformation of the Clergy, and for that purpose procured a bull from the Pope, which empowered him to dispense with all the laws of the Church for a certain time, and to visit the various Monasteries. These latter he contemplated

^{256.} Relate briefly the early rise of Cardinal Wolsev-What were the views of Wolsey at this time respect the Reformation of the Clergy? [R. 1.] dby GOOGIC

suppressing, and therefore made preparation by exposing their corruptions; very soon many were got rid of, and their revenues applied to the foundation of two schools of learning, one at Oxford the place of his education, and the other at Ipswich the place of his birth. The great suppression, however, was deferred till later in the reign, and was ultimately effected through the instrumentality of Cromwell, who was now Wolsey's secretary. Yet the first step of such reformation should doubtlessly have been in his own person, since he excelled all others in luxury, pride, and ostentatious display; out distancing all contemporaries in his style and state of living. This design of Wolsey's gave great offence to the Clergy.

258. Dean Colet.—What the corruptions and abuses of the clergy were about this period may be gathered from a sermon of John Colet's, dean of St Paul's, who was so favourite a preacher of the King's, as to be styled by HENRY his doctor.' He complained of the haughtiness, and avarice of the bishops, and clergy. Their greediness for the tem-poralities, and thirst for secular offices, and employments: and their obsequiousness, and sycophancy in the pursuit of worldly gain. He declaimed also against their ignorance, and irreligion; of the admission of men into orders, and into dignities through favour, and interest, instead of from their learning, piety, or worth: and how that the clergy run from benefice to benefice, looking after fat livings, and high promotions; and even when they have them, and many of them too, they do duty in none, but depute their care to ignorant, and wicked vicars: and he concluded by calling on the Convocation not to let their assembling pass off without

^{258.} What information do we derive from Dean Colet respecting the corruptions of the clergy in the early part of the reign of Henry VIII.?

effecting some reformation in the prac- HENRY VIII. tices of the clergy.

Leo X. Warham.

259. Luther.—It was now that the famous Martin Luther commenced his career of opposition to ecclesiastical abuses; an insight into which he had gained in a visit he paid to Rome when about 23 years of age on business connected with his monastery. He was an Augustine monk, and a professor of philosophy in the University of Wittemberg, lately founded by the Elector Frederick of Saxony, who was his patron, and protector. Up to this period he was a good Catholic, and an advocate of the various doctrines of the Romish Church; but the infamous Sale of Indulgences by Tetzel, lately arrived in that city, under a commission from Albert, Elector of Mentz, and Archbishop of Madgeburg, moved him to denounce so impious a traffic. These Indulgences, recently issued for sale over all Christendom by Leo X. to supply his extravagant prodigality, and complete the erection of St Peter's Church, professed to convey to any who purchased them, be they ever so wicked, a release from the pains of purgatory, and remission of all sins, past, present, and to come. *Luther* not only preached, and protested against this doctrine, but published *ninety-five* theses or propositions in support of his opposition, and challenged a public disputation; and which may be said to have given birth to the Reformation. The challenge was not accepted, but the theses were read with avidity throughout all Germany. *Luther* had become acquainted with Erasmus, and with his assistance he was now deeply learned in the Scriptures; and maintained the doctrine of 'justification by faith.'

^{259.} When did Luther commence his opposition to the Romish Church? What prompted him to this step? What did these Indulgences profess to procure? How did Luther protest against them? [V. 2.] Degliced by Google

260. **John Tetzel**, who hawked about the Papal Indulgences, was a Dominican Friar, born at Piern on the Elbe; he made his public appearance in Germany

HENRY VIII. Leo X. Warham,

first as commissioner of Arcimboldus, and then of the Elector Albrecht of Mayence, and carried on his traffic with the most impudent and shameless language; declaring that 'if 'a man were guilty of the most heinous sin he could buy of the Pope absolution; and that if the Pope forgave him. 'God also must forgive him:' also, 'if they made haste to give in their money and bought grace and absolution enough, all the mountains about Annaberg would become 'clear silver:' and further, 'as soon as the ring of the 'money was heard in his basin the soul for which it was put 'in would ascend out of purgatory into heaven.' He gave letters of indulgence for sins which men intended to commit: and such was the reverence in which the indulgences were held, that when Tetzel came into a city the bull was carried before him on a velvet or golden cloth, and all the priests, monks, the council, schoolmasters, scholars, the men, women, and children, went in procession with flags and torches to meet him; and then all the bells were rung, the organs played; and he was escorted into the Churches, where a red cross was erected in the midst, on which the Pope's banner was hung. The price of a plenary absolution of every sin was about ten shillings, and the purchaser was assured of a safe entrance into the regions of purity and holiness. The traffic met with the most surprizing success. and the chief pretexts for such a proceeding were—the expenses of building St Peter's Church; and the expedition against the Turks; with some other trifling reasons; but the true cause was the unbounded luxury and extravagance of Leo X., and his sister Magdalene. It was this disgraceful Sale of Indulgences, however, which roused the spirit of Luther, and other eminent men: and the indiscreet zeal of Tetzel brought upon him such severe rebuke from the Papal chair, that he is said to have died of a broken heart. A. D. 1519.

A. D. 1518-1519.

261. Luther at Augsburg.—Luther was sum-

260. What was the Mission of Tetzel? [V. 2.] was his success? [V. 2.] How was he received? What succeeding events resulted from this mission? [V. 2.] 261. What befel Luther by reason of his opposition? How did he escape?

moned by the Pope to appear at Rome within sixty days, and defend the position he had taken; at the request of Luther, and his friends, the place of his appearance was changed to Augsburg, where he confronted the Papal legate Cardinal Cajetan, who after much argument, at last summarily required him to recant. Luther refused; and considering the consequences, he secretly withdrew from Augsburg. Expecting no fair hearing, he appealed to a General Council, (A. D. 1519.) and now began to deny the supremacy, and certain other pretensions of the Roman See.

A. D. 1519.

262. **Lutherans** persecuted.—This year brings the death of the Emperor Maximilian, who was succeeded by Charles I. King of Spain, and nephew of Henry's Consort, Catharine, under the title of Charles V. Emperor of Germany. Luther now published his 'Commentary on the Galatians;' and Ulrich **Zuingle** (or Zwingle) made his appearance at Zurich in Switzerland at this time, as a denouncer of the sale of indulgences, and as an advocate for ecclesiastical reform. **Melanchthon** also took part in the controversy of the times. The writings of Luther found their way to England, and many were the adherents to his bold, and uncompromising doctrines. Henry, however, gave evidence of his zeal for the Romish Church by setting on foot a hot persecution against the disciples of Luther. A great many were brought to the stake; among whom may be mentioned six men, and one woman, who were

^{262.} Who succeeded the Emperor Maximilian? What Commentary did Luther now publish? When did Zuingle, and Melanchthon appear as Reformers? What persecution followed the appearance of Luther's doctrines in England?

burnt at Coventry in Passion week Henry VIII. for teaching their children the Creed, the Lord's Prayer, and the Ten Commandments in the vulgar tongue. Most of those convicted, however, abjured their errors.

A. D. 1520.

263. Luther excommunicated.—The Pope now issued his memorable bull against Luther, who had published a 'Tract against Popedom,' and shortly afterwards ' The Babylonish Captivity. In the latter he declared Rome to be a second Babylon, and after severely handling the Romish doctrines, he acknowledged but three Sacraments, Baptism, the Eucharist, and Penance. The bull declared his works to be heretical, and forbade all persons to read them under pain of excommunication; it also commanded every one having any of his writings in their possession to commit them to the flames. Luther himself, unless he recanted, and burned his books within sixty days. was declared excommunicated. This proceeding placed the parties at once in hostile array. Lather now wrote against the abuses of the various orders of the Church; denounced transubstantiation, and other doctrinal errors; proclaimed the Pope to be Anti-christ; and at the same time invoked all Christian Princes to throw off the Papal yoke. He also made a bonfire outside Wittemberg, into which he threw in contempt decretals, canon law, and bull.

A. D. 1521.

264. **Diet at Worms.**—The Emperor *Charles* V., supported the Papal power, and immediately convened a *Diet at Worms* to put down the new

^{263.} What memorable bull was issued by Leo X. against Luther? How did Luther meet the Papal censure? 264. When, and why, was the Diet at Worms convened? What was the edict of Worms? How did Luther escape?

opinions. Lather appeared, and defended himself; but the Diet, after declaring him to be an enemy of the Roman See, convicted him of heresy, and issued

Roman See, convicted him of heresy, and issued an *Edict* commanding him to be apprehended, and his books to be burned. By the assistance of his friend, the Elector of Saxony, he escaped, and was concealed for nine months in the fortress of Wartburg: during which he applied himself to the trans-

lation of the Scriptures into German.

265. Anabantists. - The sect of ANABAPTISTS sprung up at this period under the teaching of Munzer, John of Leyden, Knipperdoling, and other German enthusiasts, from a misinterpretation of the doctrine, 'A Christian is lord of all things and subject to nobody, which they found in Luther's work 'De Libertate Christiana. They held that all authority, whether ecclesiastical or civil, was usurpation, and that men under the Gospel dispensation ought to enjoy full liberty. This doctrine they so perverted as to despise all distinctions of birth, rank, or wealth, as contrary to the spirit of the Gospel which held all men equal; and therefore they maintained community of goods, polygamy, and that any one might preach and administer the Sacraments; and they rejected the authority of magistrates, and the use of oaths. They re-baptized their disciples, whence their name; and taught that the baptism of infants was invalid: they also rejected aspersion, holding immersion to be the only valid form of baptism. From these sprung shortly after the sect of Baptists.

266. Defender of the Faith.—HENRY VIII. was no quiet witness of these proceedings; he attempted also to check the advance of the doctrines of Luther, by writing a confutation of them in a Latin work entitled 'A defence of the Seven Sacraments 'against Martin Luther,' ('Assertio Septem Sacramentorum adversus Martin Luther &c.'). By the advice of Cardinal Wolsey, a copy of the book was

^{265.} What were the Anabaptists? 266. What side did Henry VIII. take when Luther first attacked the Papacy? [R. 1.] What title was conferred by the Pope on Henry VIII.? When was the title legally conferred on

splendidly got up, and presented to Henry VIII. Pope Leo X.; who, in grateful acknowledgement of the King's advocacy, bestowed upon Henry, the flattering title of 'Defender of the Faith,' (Fidei Defensor); subsequently, however, on account of the change of Henry's bearing towards the Papacy, the Pope deprived him of this title; but in the thirty-fifth year of his reign, the Parliament legally confirmed the title, and ever since it has continually been adopted by the sovereigns of England. This title had also been previously assumed by Richard II., in his proclamations against the followers of Wickliffe. Henry IV., likewise, (in A. D. 1411.) had been styled, 'The 'Champion, and chief Defender of the Orthodox 'Faith.'* And Henry III. had enjoyed the analagous title, of 'Defender of the Church.'

267. Leo L. dies.—Dissensions now arose between the Emperor, Charles V., and Francis I. of France, which matured into a war. The Pope sided with the Emperor; and Cardinal Wolsey was despatched by HENRY VIII. to Calais to act as mediator. The Cardinal, indulging the hope of succeeding to the Papacy on the next vacancy by the influence of the Emperor, secretly advocated his cause, and persuaded Charles into a marriage contract with the Princess Mary, Henry's infant daughter; although she had been solemnly engaged to Francis, or his son, four years before. It is not to be wondered at, therefore, that the negociations concluded with the alliance of HENRY, the Pope, and the Emperor against Francis. Before the year closed, however, Pope Leo X. suddenly died; and to the disappointment of Cardinal Wolsey, who stimulated the Emperor to fulfil his promise, Adrian, Cardinal of Tortosa,

the possessor of the English throne? [P. 3.] Was this title ever assumed by any sovereign of England before the time of Henry VIII.? [A. 2. P. 3.] 267. In the dissensions between Charles V. and Francis I. which side was advocated by the Pope, and Henry VIII.? What is thought to have guided Wolsey? When did Leo X. die? Who succeeded him?

and confidential minister of Charles Vth, was appointed to the Papal chair. (January, 1522.). Luther's "Now Testament" was published this year at Wittemberg.

HENRY VIII. Adrian VI. Warham.

268. Leo X., Giovanni de Medici, was the son of the celebrated Lorenzo de Medici, and born at Florence A. D. 1473. At the age of 11 years, he was created an Archbishop by Lous XI. of France; and at 14 years, Pope Julius II. invested him with the dignity of legate. He obtained the pontificate in A. D. 1513; and shortly after effected an agreement with the French King respecting his supremacy over the Gallican Church. He was a munificent patron of learning, and the arts; but as Spanheim observes, he was 'greedy of money, studious of military warfare, and devoted to pleasures, games, amours, hunt-'ing, convivial parties, and grand pontifical suppers, sur-'passing even Lucullus in luxury and splendour; and 'likewise, lavish in the extreme in his gifts to his friends.' To support his extravagance he started a Crusade against the Turks with the design of securing a portion of the contributions for his own necessities; and for the same end, but under the plea of completing the structure of St Peter's Church, he directed the Sale of Indulgences, which brought such reproach upon religion as to kindle a spark, which ended in the blazing light of the Reformation. Leo X. died A. D. 1521.

A. D. 1522-1523.

Pope; Adrian VI.-A. D. 1522-23.

269. Adrian VI. dies.—Henry now undertook a war against France in conjunction with the Emperor; the latter of whom lavished great bounties on Cardinal Wolsey. In the following year Pope Adrian VI. died (A. D. 1523.); and now Wolsey urgently pressed his claims for the Papacy upon the attention of the Emperor, in which he was backed by Henry VIII.; but he was again doomed to be

r2

^{268.} What was the character of Leo X.? [U. 2.] What acts of his tended to advance the Reformation? [U. 2.] 269. When did Pope Adrian VI. die? Who was his successor? How is Wolsey's disappointment hought to have operated on him? What appointments did Clement VII. confer on Wolsey?

disappointed, by the advancement of HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Giulio dei Medici to the Popedom Warham. under the title of Clement VII. Wolsey is now thought to have imbibed a feeling of revenge for this treatment from the Emperor, which prompted that change of councils which led to the events soon following. He was, however, appointed by the new Pope, Cardinal Legate for life, and charged with great authority, and with a commission to effect certain reforms, and suppress some of the religious houses in England. The new Pope sent his legate Campeggio to the Imperial Diet at Nuremberg now sitting, (A. n. 1522.) to demand the carrying out of the Edict of Worms against Luther and his followers, but the German princes referred it to a general Council as the only competent authority.

A. D. 1524-1525.

Pope; Clement VII.—A. D. 1523—34.

270. Alliance with the Emperor.—The struggle between the Emperor, and Francis I, continued for some time with various success, till at length the French monarch was defeated at the battle of Pavia, and taken prisoner (A. D. 1525.). HENRY had lately wavered in his attachment to the cause of Charles V.; but now, in the hope of sharing in the spoil, he sent over a complimentary embassy to the emperor, and made proposals for a joint invasion of France, and a participation of the kingdom. Cardinal Wolsey made demands on the clergy, and laity without the authority of Parliament for a subsidy to meet the charges of the war; but the people of all classes firmly resisted the extortion as illegal, and all men execrated the Cardinal, as a subverter of the laws, and liberty of England. The attempt was consequently abandoned; yet the King soon after levied money at his own will, which produced a slight insurrec-

^{270.} What was the issue of the first war between Charles V. and Francis I.? What was the bearing of Henry VIII.? What demands were made by Wolsey to meet the expenses of the war? How were they received? What was the effect of Charles's treatment on Henry VIII.?

tion, and he suppressed several of the smaller monasteries to add to his supplies. The Emperor, however, was not to be deceived by HENRY, and Wolsey; he com-

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

pletely altered his bearing towards the English monarch, which ended with HENRY breaking with Charles, and

forming a hasty alliance with France.

271. The Real Presence.—At this period the German Reformers entered into a long controversy respecting the Eucharist; which in some degree retarded the progress of the Reformation. The Romish doctrine of the miraculous change of the elements into the real body, and blood of Christ, called, Transubstantiation, was completely rejected.

Luther, however, maintained the real corporeal presence of Christ, in, with, and under the elements of the Lord's Supper (as fire is in heated iron); and which is known as Consubstantiation. CARLSTADT (or Carlostadt), in opposition, maintained that the Lord's Supper is but a commemoration of our Saviour's Sacrifice; this opinion was supported by Fabricius Capito, and Martin Bucer, at Strasburg. But ZUINGLE taught, that the body, and blood of Christ were not really present in the Eucharist, and that the elements were no more than external signs, or symbols, designed to excite in the minds of Christians the remembrance of our Saviour's death, and of the benefits derived therefrom. Erasmus appears to have pursued a middle course between Luther, and the Romish Church; at this period he completed his Paraphrase of the New Testament.

272. Munzer.—The fanatical sect of the Ana-

^{271.} What is the Romish doctrine respecting the Eucharist? [R. 3.] How far did Luther wish to reform it? [R. 3.] What was the opinion of Carlstadt? What was the difference between the opinions of Luther, and Zuingle? [R. 3.] What course did Erasmus pursue in respect of Luther? What Paraphrase did Erasmus publish? 272. Who was Munzer?

baptists from their perversion of the doctrine of Christian liberty, now set at defiance all governments, magistrates, and laws. Headed by Munzer, Stubner, Storck and others, the peasants of Suabia and the countries were stirred up into open reballion.

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

saws. Headed by Munzer, Studer, Storck and others, the peasants of Suabia and the surrounding countries were stirred up into open rebellion. They collected a numerous army, and were only dispersed by a pitched battle at Frankenhausen, waged by the Elector of Saxony and the German princes. Munzer was taken, and ignominiously beheaded. A. D. 1525. This affair is known as 'the Rustio War,' or 'War of the Peasants.'

A. D. 1526-1527.

273. 1st Diet at Spires .- In order to terminate religious differences, the German Princes called a Diet at Spires this year A.D. 1526., when it was determined to request the Emperor to call a General Council, till which, each state should regulate its own ecclesiastical affairs. In respect of the French monarch, Francis I. procured his liberation on certain hard conditions, which the Pope by a dispensation soon released him from fulfilling; he then entered into a league with HENRY VIII. against the Emperor Charles: Pope Clement also, becoming apprehensive of the growing power of Charles in Italy, joined himself to the league. A. D. 1526. The Emperor exasperated at this proceeding of the Roman Pontiff, immediately abolished the Papal authority in Spain, made war upon the Pope, besieged Rome, and blocked up Clement in the Castle of St Angelo, A. D. 1527. The city was sacked by his mercenary soldiers, and the Pope, and cardinals, treated with the greatest indignity, and severity. Wolsey's zeal led him to urge HENRY to send immediate succour to the imprisoned Pope; but the English monarch was now too much engrossed in

^{273.} How did Francis I. proceed after his liberation? What befel Pope Clement VII. in his war against Charles V.? What interfered with Henry's consideration in respect of the imprisoned Pope? Who were the chief potentates of

another matter to trouble himself deeply with Clement's misfortunes;

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

which was no other than divorcing Oueen Catharine in order to marry Anne Boleyn, of whom he had become desperately enamoured. He, however, made a faint demonstration by despatching Wolsey with an embassy to France to concert measures with Francis for the rescue of the Pope. This was the ostensible object; but Wolsey had a deeper design, generated by his hatred of the Emperor, which was to strengthen the alliance between the courts of England, and France by effecting intermarriages between the two sovereigns.-Henry with Renée, the daughter of the late King Louis XII., and sister of the French monarch, when he should be divorced from Catharine; and Francis, or his son,* the Duke of Orleans, with the Princess Mary, Henry's daughter. Wolsey likewise hoped to procure his own advancement to the position of a kind of Pro-pope during the restraint of Clement VII. It should be added, that Wolsey did not contemplate the possibility of HENRY's attachment to Anne Boleyn advancing to a marriage. Tyndal's English Translation of the New Testament was published about this time. A. D. 1526-7.

274. Concordat.—We must observe now that during the imprisonment of Francis I. in Madrid after the battle of Pavia, Louisa, the king's mother, and then Regent of France, declared his misfortunes to have arisen from his abandonment of the 'Pragmatic Sanction.' On the liberation of the king, therefore, she urged him to reinstate it: the Parliament also determined to support elections to vacant bishoprics, and abbys allowed by the 'Pragmatic,' instead of the Papal Provisions, and Royal

Europe at this period? [Z. 2.] What was Wolsey's real object in his negociations with Francis I.? 274. What attempt was made by the French parliament to restore the 'Pragmatic Sanction' after the liberation of Francis '

^{. &#}x27;Or his brother.'-Southey's Book of the Church,

nominations admitted in the 'Concordat.'
The king annulled the proceedings of Parliament, and obtained a bull from Clement

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

VII., suspending during the king's life the Warnam. special privileges of free elections then enjoyed by certain chapters, and abbeys. Chancellor du Prat, under pretence of examining these privileges, gained possession of the documents, and immediately burned them. Several attempts were afterwards made to reinstate the 'Pragmatic Sanction, in the place of the 'Concordat,' but they were fruitless; for the Popes obtained by it too much influence over France readily to abandon it, and the kings gained such increased power by the 'Concordat,' as to be no willing instruments in destroying it

275. The Divorce.—The divorce of Henry VIII, from his Queen, Catharine, was now the allabsorbing object of the King's thoughts, and proceedings. Catharine, the daughter of Ferdinand and Isabella of Spain, had been married to Arthur, the eldest son of Henry VII., in A. D. 1501., when he was sixteen years of age; five months afterwards, however, he died. The avarice of Henry VII. would not admit of his refunding the large marriage portion of Catharine, and sending her back to Spain; he therefore betrothed her to his second son (Henry VIII.), then twelve years of age, notwithstanding the remonstrances of Archbp. Warham, who declared such a proceeding to be contrary to the Divine Law, as expressed in Leviticus xviii. The old King immediately obtained from Julius II. a Papal dispensation for sanctioning the marriage, and averting any ecclesiastical censure. Prince Henry, two years after,

what was the conduct of the French king? What did Chancellor du Prat? What were the advantages of the 'Concordat' to the Popes, and to the kings? 275. Relate the circumstances connected with the marriage of Henry VIII. with Catharine of Arragon prior to his accession? When were they married? How many years did they live together before the question of their divorce arose? What issue had they? By whom were doubts put forthmary's legitimacy?

179

protested against the contract; and his father likewise, on his death-bed repented of the proceeding, and charged Henry to break off the engagement. Notwithstanding all this, however, within six weeks after his accession. Henry VIII. by the advice of

charged Henry to break off the engagement. Notwithstanding all this, however, within six weeks after his accession, Henry VIII., by the advice of his Council, made Catharine his queen. A. D. 1509. For eighteen years they lived together, and several children were the fruits of the marriage; but they all died in infancy with the exception of the Princess Mary. The King now (A. D. 1527.) began to entertain serious scruples as to the propriety of this union, which were strengthened by the doubts cast upon Mary's legitimacy by the French embassy, when treating for her marriage with Francis I., or his son, the Duke of Orleans. Henry was therefore

bent on procuring a divorce.

276. The reasons that prompted Henry to this step are said to have been—(1) the dread of incurring the threatenings of the Jewish law, which declares that 'if a man shall take his brother's wife 'it is an unclean thing,** and they shall be child-tless.' (Levit. xx. 21.);—or (2) the doubts of Mary's legitimacy advanced by the French ambassadors; and the consequent wars that might follow upon a doubtful title to the succession;—or (3) his desire for a male heir;—or (4) his excessive love for Anne Boleyn. CATHARINE, and the opposing party, attributed the whole proceeding to the revenge of Cardinal Wolsey against her nephew the Emperor, Charles V., for not assisting him in procuring the Papal chair.

277. Divorce discussed. - Henry sought

^{276.} Mention the reasons that are said to have prompted Henry VIII. to seek a divorce? What was the reason assigned by the opposing party? 277. What opinion was given by Wolsey on the legality of the marriage? What bishop upheld its legality? How did Henry express

out every argument that would HENRY VIII. second his own views, and wishes, Clement VIII. Warhant. and now required Wolsey to give his opinion on the legality of the marriage; but the Cardinal, rather than decide on his own judgment, and responsibility, convened a meeting of the bishops, and most learned ecclesiastics to discuss the matter. Archbishop Warham, and all the other prelates, with the exception of Fisher, bishop of Rochester, declared the marriage illegal, and recommended a reference to the court of Rome. Fisher openly upheld the legality of the marriage. HENRY himself had consulted his favourite author. Thomas Aquinas, on the subject; and afterwards wrote a treatise in defence of the divorce, which he handed to the learned Sir Thomas More for his criticism. Sir Thomas, being against the divorce, excused himself, as being no theologian; and recommended the King to consult Jerome, Augustine, and other ancient Fathers of the Church. At length, without further questioning, HENRY determined on a divorce, and despatched an embassy to the Pope to procure a dissolution of the marriage. All means were adopted for promoting his suit at Rome; but Clement was closely imprisoned by the Imperialists in the castle of St Angelo, and Charles had taken care to exact from the Pope, while in his power, a promise to oppose the divorce of his aunt Catharine. It was not long before Clement, in the disguise of a gardener, escaped from Rome, and took refuge in Ovieto, where he granted the King's messengers a favourable hearing; but, being still at the Emperor's mercy, he requested a little delay, when HENRY should have

his own opinion? What was the reply of Sir Thomas More? How was the King's embassy received at Rome? What was the position of Pope Clement VII. at this juncture, and his reply? What did Dr Knight obtain from the Pope?

all he asked for: at the same time,

Dr. Knight, Heney's Secretary, prevailed on the Pope to sign two documents—one emocycring Wolsey to decide t

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

ments,—one empowering Wolsey to decide the divorce; and the other, granting the King a dispensation to marry whom he pleased.

A. D. 1528.

HENRY was not fully satisfied with these powers, and therefore sent Dr. Gardiner and Dr. Ed. Fox to demand of the Pope more ample dispensations, and a decretal bull to empower Wolsey to declare his marriage with Catharine illegal, as being contrary to Divine law. Clement reluctantly com-plied. Wolsey, however, seeing that the responsi-bility would fall on his own shoulders, requested that Cardinal Campegio (or Campejus) bp. of Salisbury, should be joined with him in the com-mission. Campegio was accordingly sent to England with private instructions to procrastinate the proceedings as much as possible; and this he did right fully, for he neither hastened his journey, nor opened the court till the following year, eight months after his arrival. The Pope, in his anxiety to keep peace with HENRY, sent over Campana, one of his bed-chamber, to assure the King of his readiness to do all in his power; but he was secretly to urge Campegio to burn the bull, and adopt all methods for procuring delay. HENRY's desire for his marriage with Anne Boleyn now suggested to his mind two fresh schemes; and he hastened off Sir Francis Brian, and Peter Vannes to Clement for his sanction: this was 'whether, if the King, and queen took religious vows, which would annul their marriage. 'would the Pope give HENRY a dispensation from

^{278.} What additional powers did Dr. Gardiner, and Dr. Fox obtain? Who was joined with Wolsey in the commission to try the divorce? Why? What was the conduct of Campegio? Who was Campana?

'his vow? or, would he grant the 'King a license to have two wives?' The Pope was disposed to consent

HENRY VIII, Clement VII. Warham.

even to these propositions rather than expose himself to the Emperor's anger by sanctioning the divorce.

279. France.—In the mean time the French successes in Italy were followed by very disastrous reverses, which ended in the capitulation of the army of Francis to the Emperor. The Pope took fresh alarm, and now gladly negociated a peace with Charles, which by no means tended to advance Henry's suit: in addition to this, HENRY soon learned to his unspeakable wrath, that Francis I. also had formed a treaty of peace with the Emperor at Cambray. A. D. 1529.

A. D. 1529.

280. Divorce Trial.—Henex learning from Gardiner, then at Rome, that he could not expect much from the irresolute Pope, he grew exasperated at the delay, and determined now to hurry on the process at all hazards without waiting for further concessions from Rome. In his ungovernable rage he laid great blame on Wolsey for the continued procrastination; at length, the court met (May 31st), and the two legates summoned the King, and Queen before them. Henex appeared by proxy, and Catharine in person; the latter appealed against the Cardinals to the Pope. The court adjourned, and met again on June 21st, when both parties made their appearance; Catharine immediately on being cited threw herself at the King's feet, and made a powerful appeal to his feelings, and then hastily withdrew. As Catharine did not re-appear, she was pronounced

^{279.} What befel the army of Francis in Italy? What advantages resulted from this to the Emperor to the injury of Henry's suit? 280. When did the legates' Court meet for the trial? Give a brief account of the proceedings? What points were urged by the King's counsel for the divorce? [E. 2.] Was judgment given? What was the consequence?

contumacious, and the trial pro- HENRY VIII. ceeded. The King's counsel maintained in support of a divorce these

Clement VII. Warham.

three points: -(1) 'that the marriage between 'Catharine, and Prince Arthur, had been consum-'mated, which fact made her subsequent marriage 'with Henry unlawful and unnatural; -(2) that the 'dispensatory bull of Pope Julius II. had been ob-'tained under false pretences, and a concealment of facts;—and (3) that the Papal breve, which had been procured to prop the bull, was a manifest forgery. There being no reply, these points were held to be proved, and judgment was peremptorily called for. Campegio refused to comply without first having the opinion of the Pope, and therefore adjourned the court till October. The opposition on the part of Rome was based on the Papal infallibility; and that Clement VII. could not therefore annul the dispensation of his predecessor (Julius II.), who had allowed the marriage: and now in consequence of the appeal to Rome made by Catharine, the cause could be only heard before the Papal court. Among the learned the arguments advanced were various. See par. 286. The Italian legate quickly quitted England out of the way of the King: but Wolsey remained to bear the brunt of Henry's vindictive wrath, which was now bent on the Cardinal's destruction.

281. Wolsey disgraced.—Wolsey had latterly anticipated some coming storm, and had therefore hastened to complete the two colleges he had founded out of the revenues of the few monasteries he had suppressed; and made preparations for suppressing more, and converting them into bishoprics, and cathedral churches; a power which the legatine commission had conferred upon him. Now, how-

^{281.} What did Wolsey in respect of certain monasteries? Why was he sued in a premunire? What resulted? Who succeeded him as Lord High Chancellor?

ever, he was sued under the act of HENRY VIII. 'Præmunire' for having held the Clement VII. legatine courts by foreign authority. contrary to the English law; he confessed to the indictment, and accordingly his property was confiscated to the King, his temporalities all taken from him, and his person put out of the protection of the law. The great seal, which he so long held, was bestowed now upon a lay-man, Sir Thomas More. But the King soon after relented in some measure. and restored to Wolsev the temporalities of the sees of York, and Winchester, and some other property. When parliament met, a bill of attainder was put in against him in the House of Lords; in the Commons, however, it was thrown out through the influence of Thomas Cromwell, formerly his secretary; but his enemies procured an order for his retirement into Yorkshire, where, by his unusual affability, and extreme benevolence, he enjoyed for some time great popularity.

282. **Diet at Spires.**—About this period, after the reconciliation of Pope Clement with the Emperor, Charles convened a Diet at Spires in order to put a stop to religious controversy, and check the growth of the reforming doctrines. At the former Diet at Spires (in A. D. 1526.), it was unanimously decreed that the Lutheran princes and states of the empire should be allowed to direct ecclesiastical matters as they might think most expedient, until the assembly of the General Council, which had been so long promised. In the Diet held this year (1529.), the decrees of the last Diet by a majority of votes were revoked, all new changes made in the established religion before the meeting of the approaching

Did the King relent? How was he treated by the Parliament? What was his demeanor in Yorkshire? 282. When were the two Diets of Spires held? What were their decree? and the results? [AA. 3.] What was the conduct

General Council were decreed to be HENRY VIII. illegal; and the Edict of Worms was Clement VII. Warham. directed to be put in full force: it was also decreed that the Gospel should be preached only in the sense approved by the Church. The Lutheran princes* of Germany, who upheld the necessity of a reformation of the Church, supported by fourteen imperial cities, entered a solemn Protest against this decision of the Diet of Spires, and appealed to the Emperor, and to the next General Council. Hence originated the name of **Protes**tants, which from this period has been applied to such as renounce the superstitions of the Church of Rome. There was some little difference of opinion, however, amongst the Reformers in respect of the Eucharistical elements, to reconcile which, a conference was held at Marpurg at the instance of Philip landgrave of Hesse, when it was agreed, after some discussion between Luther, Melanchthon, Zuingle, and Œcolampadius to hold mutual toleration.

A. D. 1590.

283. Articles of Torgau.—The doctrines of Luther, and the other Reformers had not developed themselves into any kind of system until the Elector of Saxony now called on Luther, and other eminent divines to write out the chief articles of their religion as opposed to the doctrines of the Church of Rome. Luther accordingly drew up seventeen articles, containing the chief sentiments of the Reformers, both the doctrines they maintained, and wherein they differed from the Church of Rome, which he pre-

of the reforming princes? What is the origin of the term 'Protestant? and when? [E. 1.] Why was a conference held at Marpurg? What resulted? 283. Whence arose the 'Articles of Torgau?

^{*} John, Elector of Saxony; George, Elector of Brandenburg; Ernest and Francis, Dukes of Lamenburg; the Prince of Anhalt; and the Landgrave of Hesse.

sented to the Elector at Torgau, whence they were called The Articles of Torgau.

HENRY VIII. Clement VIII. Warham.

284. Diet of Augsburg.—Soon after this. the Emperor (Charles V.) convened the Diet of Augsburg in order to put an end to religious differences, when the Protestant party presented, and read out a Confession or summary of their faith, comprisdrawn up from the articles of Torgau in a more enlarged form; twenty-one representing the opinions of the Reformers, and the rest pointing out the errors of the Church of Rome. This is the famous 'Confession of Augsburg,' or 'Augustine Confession.' A confutation was put in in behalf of the Romanists by Faber, Eckius, and Cochleus, which met the Emperor's approbation; and after some discussion on this, and other matters, it was finally decreed by imperial edict, during the absence of many of the German princes, that all the Protestants should return to their allegiance to the See of Rome on pain of the Emperor's severe displeasure. This proceeding led the Reformers into the alliance known as the League of Smalcald. The Diet sat from June 25th, to November 19th.

285. **Cranmer.**—To return to Henry, we find that an accidental circumstance had thrown him upon a new method of accomplishing his divorce: **Dr. Cranmer**, a Fellow of Jesus College, Cambridge, having perchance during the preceding summer (1529.) met with *Gardiner*, and *Fox*, at Waltham, where he was Tutor in the house of Mr. Cressy in which they lodged; their conversation turned on the

^{284.} When was the Diet of Augsburg convened? and mhy? [U. 3.] What is the 'Confession of Augsburg? By mhom was it drawn up? What was the substance of it? [U. 3.] What were the decrees of the Diet? [U. 3.] 285. What accidental circumstances introduced Cranmer to the notice of Henry VIII.? What was his suggestion?

King's marriage. Cranmer based HENRY VIII. Clement VII. the question entirely on the meaning Warham. assigned to the passage in Leviticus,

and therefore suggested that an appeal should be made to the chief Universities, and divines of Europe, to give their decision on its legality upon the sole authority of the Word of God without any further reference to the Pope. If they should pronounce the marriage not lawful, it must be evident that the Pope's dispensation could not derogate from the Divine law, consequently the marriage must in-dubitably be null. This argument so pleased the King that Cranmer was sent for to Greenwich, and by his learning and sincerity soon gained the high opinion of HENRY, which ended in his rapid promotion. The King was now resolved, that if he should not be allowed the divorce, England should no longer be in submission to the See of Rome.

286. Universities consulted.—In accordance with Cranmer's suggestion, who was now appointed the King's chaplain, the Universities of Oxford, and Cambridge were consulted. These two learned bodies discussed the matter with some warmth, and came to a conclusion with some difficulty; for the Popish party believed both Cranmer, and Anne-Boleyn to be favourers of the Lutheran doctrines; there was the court interest however acting on the other side: at length, they came to the decision (1) 'that a 'marriage with a brother's widow was contrary to the law of God (Levit. xviii.), and therefore 'null; and (2) that the Pope could not by any dis-'pensation overrule the divine law.' The Universities of Italy, and France awarded the same decision,

[[]L. 2.] What was the first cause which led Henry VIII. to take part in the Reformation of the Church? [B. 3. DD. 2.] 286. What was the decision of the English Universities in respect of the lawfulness of Henry's marriage? What was the opinion of the foreign Universities.

though not without the suspicion of HENRY VIII. bribery; but those of Germany, in-Clement VII. Warham. fluenced probably by the Emperor, went against the decision. Luther, Melanchthon, and other German reformers are also said to have objected to the divorce. Calvin thought the marriage null. Erasmus was silent. Cajetan was the great advocate for the Pope, and the Emperor. According to Professor Blunt the arguments among the learned men were ;- 'On the one-hand, it was argued that the prohibition of such a marriage was clear in the Levitical 'law (Lev. xviii. 16. See also xx. 21.); and that such pro-'hibition was not to be considered as confined to the Jews, for that the violation of it is expressly numbered among the sins of the Canaanites by which the land was polluted, '(Lev. xviii. 24.), and therefore that it was of universal obligation; moreover, that John the Baptist declared of 'Herod, that it was 'not lawful for him to have his brother's 'wife' (Matt. xiv. 4.); that John therefore held the law of Moses upon this point to be still binding;—that in the same manner St Paul condemned the Corinthian convert of 'a fornication not so much as named among the Gentiles, 'in that he had his father's wife,' (1 Cor. v. 1.); which like the other was one of the degree's forbidden in Leviticus, and forbidden in the very same chapter of Leviticus as the relation in question (Lev. xviii. 8.); that St Paul therefore 'pronounced the Mosaical law, in these particulars, still to stand good .--- On the other side, it was replied, that the Levitical precept must be understood, of not taking a brother's wife whilst he was living, for that the brother was actually enjoined to take the brother's widow, he having died childless, and to raise up seed unto his brother, '(Deut. xxv. 5. See also Gen. xxxviii. 8; Matt. xxii. 24. * Ruth iii. 9.) ;-that with regard to Herod, he was guilty, in the case of Herodias, not of incest, but of adultery, Philip. as seems probable from Josephus, being yet alive ;-that

'the like must be said of the Corinthian delinquent; 'forni-'eation not to be named among the Gentiles,' implying, 'that the offence was committed in his father's life time.

and of the German Reformers? How did the Pope, and the Emperor receive Henry's deputation? Montion the arguments used by each party. [F. 2. EE. 2.]

'since otherwise the connection, however HENRY VIII. 'monstrous, was not unknown among the Clement VII. 'Persians; and that even amongst the Warham. Jews, Adonijah had desired Abishaq in 'marriage. (1 Kings ii. 17.).—To this it was rejoined,—that 'the exception in the general law proved only that God might dispense with His own ordinances for His own ends; and that the end in this case was, the preservation of a family in Israel, and care for the protection of the genealogy of the future Messiah, objects now accomplished, and the means thereto now superseded:—that in Herod's affair, it cannot be with certainty affirmed that when he married Herodias, Philip was living; that she certainly deserted her former husband, but that she was probably 'divorced from him; and that for aught which appears to the contrary, Josephus, who condemns her conduct as an 'infraction of the law, understands, when he does so, her ' marriage with her husband's brother, he not having left her 'childless. (Joseph. Antiq. lib. XVIII. \ 6. p. 807.)—that the case of the Corinthian does not admit of the interpre-'tation that he took his father's wife before his father's death, for that the seventh commandment alone was provision enough against such an abuse, and that the 18th chapter of Leviticus, in which this and similar abominations are forbidden, and to which St Paul has here an eye, must have contemplated something distinct from adultery, and does in fact contemplate the case of incestuous al-'liance. Much more was said: but the question was not 'debated upon Scriptural grounds only. The Fathers, the 'Schoolmen, and the Pope's Decretals, were all brought into the controversy, and a case, under no circumstances 'very simple, became immeasurably complicated.'—Hist. of the Reformation. HENRY now sent a deputation to the Pope with Cranmer at their head, and to Charles V. in order to obtain their consent; but the attempt was fruitless. Clement was in fear of the Emperor, and the Emperor's honour bound him to support the cause of Catharine, his aunt; in short, the Pope threatened to put the kingdom under an interdict, if HENRY proceeded to marry another.

287. Wolsey's fall.—It was now that the great

What was the last blow to the happiness of Wolsey? How did it end? When did Wolsey die?

Wolsey fell, through the hatred of HENRY VIII. Clement VII. his enemies: it was discovered that Warham. he held correspondence with the Pope, and with the King of France; yet of what tendency is not known. HENRY was made to believe that it was of a treasonable nature, and injurious to his marriage with Anne Boleyn; the Cardinal was in consequence arrested on a charge of high-treason while at dinner at Cawood near York, and conveyed towards London under the charge of the Earl of Northumberland: on reaching Sheffield, he was detained a fortnight by a violent attack of dysentery, which so reduced him, that when he resumed his journey, he was compelled from exhaustion to stop at Leicester Abbey. Here, shaken by disease, and overcome by misfortunes, he shortly after expired at the age of sixty, (Nov. 29, 1530.).

288. Wolsey's Life.—Such was the end of the celebrated Cardinal Wolsey: a man although of mean extraction, yet the most absolute of favourites, and most magnificent of subjects in ostentation, and

style of living.

Thomas Wolsey was the son of a butcher at Ipswich, where he was born, A. D. 1471. After receiving his education at Oxford where he obtained a fellowship at Magdalene College, he became rector of Lyminton, and vicar of Lyde: from his great learning and consummate address he was introduced to Henry VII., by whom he was despatched on an important mission to the Emperor Maximilian; his success in this affair procured him the Deanery of Lincoln: he was next made King's Almoner. On the accession of HENRY VIII., whose favour he soon acquired, it was not long before he became bishop of Tournay in Flanders, prebend of Windsor, and bishop of Lincoln. A.D. 1514. He was also dean of St Stephen's, Westminster, and in the following year he was made Archbishop of York. He enjoyed also the bishopric of Bath and Wells in commendam, and likewise the temporalities of the Abbey of St Alban's A. D. 1518. He next held the bishopric of Durham A. D.

1523., and afterwards that of Winchester A. D. 1529. He received besides, great pensions from the Emperor, Charles V., from Francis I., and from the Duke of

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

Milan; as well as the surplus revenues of the see of Worcester remaining after paying the fixed income allowed to the foreign incumbent. Wolsey became also a Cardinal, Pope's legate, and succeeded Warham as Lord Chancellor. He had all the qualities of a great minister, but he had with them all the vices of a royal favourite: his wealth was immense, and his establishment rivalled that of the King. He aspired to the Papacy, which once or twice was almost in his grasp; and his ambition, probably, led him to keep outward peace with the Emperor, and be lukewarm in his King's divorce; from this may be traced his ruin: HENRY found more ready instruments, and Wolsey, at last, was ordered to deliver up the 'great seal;' and afterwards was impeached in a 'præmunire' for having exercised legatins functions without the Royal sanction; his property was confiscated; and soon after he was charged with high treason. He however died on his journey to London at Leicester Abbey, aged sixty. Nov. 29, 1530.

289. Thomas Gromwell.—Henry VIII. was now cited by the Pope to appear at Rome, but he would not suffer the citation to be conveyed to him. He issued a proclamation, founded on the statutes of 'provisors,' and 'præmunire,' forbidding any person to procure, bring over, or publish any bull from Rome without his authority. Thomas Cromwell, late Secretary to Cardinal Wolsey, having insinuated himself into the King's favour by his bold counsels, at this juncture advised Henry to make the entire clergy dependent upon the crown instead of the Pope, and acknowledge the Royal supremacy. This palatable doctrine just suited the King, and Cromwell seemed the man to effect it; he was at once, therefore, sworn of his privy council.

Digitized by Google

^{289.} What proclamation was issued by Henry against the power of the Pope? [B. 3. J. 3.] What was the advice of Thomas Cromwell?

290. The Clergy sued.—To force the clergy into submission, the entire body at the close of this year, were by order of the King sued

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

year, were by order of the King sued in the King's Bench under the statute of 'præmunire,' for having acknowledged a foreign jurisdiction by attending, and suing in the legatine courts of Wolsey. Two Convocations were immediately assembled to consult measures for averting the King's anger.

A. D. 1531.

291. Submission of the Clergy.—Parliament was now sitting, and HENRY directed the various decisions of the Universities, and most learned men, as well as the several treatises written on the subject of his divorce to be read before both houses, that they might explain the state of the matter to their constituents. The same documents were read at the Convocation, and the clergy being now in thral-dom, without hesitation declared the King's marriage unlawful. They also offered to compound for their transgression of the 'præmunire,' by granting to the King a large subsidy of about £118,000 under the name of a benevolence in acknowledgement of the King's services to the Church, and zeal against heresy. HENRY refused all compromise unless they would acknowledge him to be the protector, and only supreme head of the Church and clergy of 'England, next immediately after Christ.' This the Convocation objected to; at length, through fear, they assented on condition of this limitation, quan-'tum per Christi leges licet.' (as far as is allowed by the laws of Christ.) Tonstal, bishop of Durham, and the clergy of the North protested against it, but

^{290.} What severe step was taken by Henry to bring the alergy into submission at the close of the year 1530.? [B. 3.] 291. What documents did Henry bring before his parliament, and the convocation? How did the clergy make

he ultimately gave in. The Convocation of York, after some little demur, also assented to the con-

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Warham.

ditions, and offered a subsidy to the like amount, or nearly so. HENRY accepted the terms, and yielded to their reservation; and thus were the clergy pardoned, and restored to the royal favour. This event has been since called the 'Submission of the CLERGY.

292. Convocation is an assembly of the bishops, and clergy convened by order of each Archbishop, and may be considered as a provincial council; while an assembly of the clergy, convened by a bishop, may be called a diocesan synod. These ecclesiastical assemblies were of the very earliest antiquity, and continued to be held till after the time of Henry VIII. Their original design was for the transaction of the spiritual affairs only of the Church; in the time of the Anglo-Saxons, however, and afterwards, when the clergy by their temporal possessions, and appointment to state offices became an important aid to the secular arm, the bishops were required to convoke their clergy to consent to the taxes, and subsidies required of them by the King. Edward I. contemplated making the clergy a third estate of the realm to regulate the spiritual body, as the lords and commons did the temporal body. Eventually, therefore, the two Archbishops were ordered to summon the bishops and clergy to a Convocation in each of their provinces of Canterbury, and York, to make laws for the guidance of their body, and give aids and taxes to the King; thus forming a kind of ecclesiastical parliament exercising spiritual, and temporal functions; and which was acknowledged as 'the Synod of the Church of England.' It was the custom for the Convocation of the two provinces to sit at the same time as the Imperial Parliament, and to be convened in the name both of the King. and of the Archbishop. This, however, did not set aside the power possessed by the Archbishops of calling a provincial synod when they thought fit.

peace with the King? What reservation was made by the convocation, when compelled to a partial recognition of King's supremacy? [J. 3.] 292. Explain what is m by a convocation?

HENRY VIII. 293. Royal Supremacy.— Clement VII. The rights claimed by the King in Warham. his proclamation, and by the suits of 'præmunire' were nothing new. Edward I. exercised the same privileges (see pars. 168, 172.); and likewise Edward III. (see pars. 180, 182, 184.); Richard II. (see par. 200.); Henry IV. (see par. 209.); and Henry V. (see par. 215.): between whom and the Popes continued disputes had arisen respecting investitures, presentation to benefices, appeals, establishment of courts independent of the secular power, benefit of clergy, and the supremacy. In the par-liamentary session of this year (1530—31) certain crimes were declared to be treason, (by 22 Hen. VIII. c. 9.); and were punished accordingly.

294. **Persecution.**—As if to prove the orthodoxy still of the King and court, religious persecution did not slumber at this period; many protestants were brought to the stake for holding the 'new opinions,' under the laws against heresy. Among these were **Thomas Bilney** a learned man of great esteem, and fellow of Trinity Hall at Cambridge; who, from the study of Erasmus's Testament, believed, and preached 'justification by faith,' and exposed many of the errors of the Papacy. He was accused before *Cuthbert Tonstal*, bishop of London, and was condemned: but having recanted, he escaped with bearing a faggot at St Paul's cross. Smitten with remorse at his denial of the truth, *Bilney*, two years after, renewed his preaching of the same doctrines, and not only in houses, but in the open air also. *Nix*, bishop of Norwich, ordered

^{293.} Had any preceding King ever exercised the rights enforced by Henry? [J. 3.] Had any disputes occurred between the Popes and Kings of England prior to the time of Henry VIII.? [I. 1.] 294. Relate what happened to Bilney, to Bainham, and to Byfield. What was the cruel death of Rouse?

confirmation.

his immediate apprehension on the HENRY VIII. Clement VII. charge of giving an English New Warham. Testament to a reclude of Norwich. and teaching heretical doctrines: he was in consequence convicted of heresy, and having confessed to the celebrated Latimer, who became a convert to his principles, he was publicly burnt not long after. James Bainham, a gentleman of Gloucestershire; Tewkesbury, a tradesman of London; and Byfield, a Benedictine monk, suffered a like death at Smithfield for similar conduct. One Bouse, a cook in the bishop of Rochester's (Fisher) kitchen, having put poison into some soup, of which two people had died, was attainted of treason, and condemned to be boiled to death. Some authors cast an imputation on Anne Boleyn as having instigated Rouse to attempt Fisher's death, but it requires

A. D. 1532.

295. Peace of Nuremburg.—Another rupture now took place between the Pope, and the Emperor, in respect of some territorial claims: Clement therefore united himself to France, and also resumed the consideration of Henry's divorce to keep in favour with that monarch; nothing decisive, however, resulted to the English king. But the Reformers were great gainers by this new position of affairs. had in the preceding year, on the passing of the Edict of Augsburg requiring every one to return to their allegiance to the see of Rome, formed a confederacy, known as the 'League of Smalcald,' to vigourously defend their religion, and liberties against the Pope, and the Emperor. The latter having now need of all the assistance he could procure to carry on his wars with the Turks, and angry at the breaking of

^{295.} What fresh rupture broke out between the Pope, and the Emperor? What benefit accrued to the Reformers by the peace of Nuremburg? When did Calvin first distinguish himself?

the Pope, concluded a treaty of peace with the Protestant princes at Nuremburg, which is called the 'Peace

HENRY VIII. Clement VII.

of Nuremburg;' by which, in consideration of their granting a subsidy, and acknowledging his brother Ferdinand as king of the Romans, whose title they had lately disputed, the Emperor agreed to annul the Edicts of Worms, and of Augsburg, and allow the Lutherans the free, and unmolested exercise of their religious tenets, until a rule of faith should be prescribed by the promised General Council. or by a Diet of the empire. This gave increased vigour to the cause of the Reformers, and scattered far and wide their imperishable doctrines. The celebated reformer, John Calvin, now began to distinguish himself at Paris in the arena of religious

controversy.

296. Annates refused.—Every fresh delay in the Papal consideration of Henry's cause seemed to urge the English king to additional acts of opposition to the Roman See. Parliament now (A.D. 1531-2.) passed an act against Annates (23 Hen. VIII. c. 20.), forbidding the payment of all 'first-fruits' to the Pope, under pain of confiscation of property, and the temporalities of the benefice; it was also provided that if the Pope should refuse to consecrate any bishop in consequence of this Act, the king could empower the Archbishop, or any two bishops to perform that office: and all Papal interdicts, excommunications, or censures arising out of obedience to this law were directed to be disregarded, and the rites and sacraments of the Church to be performed as usual. Appeals to Rome were likewise prohibited, (24 Hen. VIII. c. 12. A. D. 1532.)

297. Clergy restricted.—The parliament also finding so many Papal enactments had been intro-

^{296.} What important law was passed by Henry VIII. respecting the payment of Annates? 297. What was the complaint of Parliament respecting the canons of the Con-

duced by the Clergy to the great disparagement of the civil courts, complained of the power possessed by the Convocation of imposing laws (canons), independent of the state, as materially injurious to the kingdom at large. The assembled Clergy in order to ward off more violent attacks, consented that they would make no new enactments without the King's sanction upon matters 'not affecting the faith;' this decision, however, did not meet the approbation of Parliament, for it left open the right of introducing the laws of the Papacy. The Clergy, therefore, were ultimately compelled to agree that they would make no laws at all, without the Royal permission. Thus was the independent power so long possessed by the Church completely overthrown, and the full sub-

298. Sir Thomas More, a zealous adherent of the Church of Rome, yet an enemy to all Papal encroachments, foreseeing the dangerous issue of these proceedings, resigned his office of Lord High Chancellor into the hands of the King, and went into retirement. The seals were given to Sir Thomas Audley. About the same time, Latimer was cited before the Convocation for preaching certain heretical opinions, which he had derived probably from Bilney. He was condemned; and sentence of excommunication, and imprisonment was awarded him. Shortly after, however, he recanted some of his opinions, qualified others, and confessing that he had erred, Archbishop Warham ordered his dismissal.

mission of the clergy ensured.

299. Archbishop Warham's death.—The learned, and amiable Archbishop Warham now died,

R 2

vocation? What completed the submission of the clergy? 298. When, and why did Sir Thomas More resign his office? Who succeeded as Chancellor? What happened to Latimer at this time? 299. When did Archbishop Warham die? Who was the last Archbishop before Cremer? [c. 2.]

(August 23), under whose primacy,—but whether with his full concurrence is a matter of doubt,—the Church of England was freed from its subjection to the See of Rome. Henry resolved to bestow the vacant Primacy on Cranmer, who was now in Germany disputing in the King's cause, where he probably became acquainted with the leading Reformers. He was therefore immediately sent for. A little before Warham's death, a bull arrived from Rome granting the suppression of further monasteries, and the erection of new bishoprics out of them.

а. р. 1533.

300. Anne Boleyn's marriage.—At the beginning of this year, Henry's patience was exhausted in the matter of his divorce, and his former marriage having been declared null, he determined now to complete his marriage with Anne Boleyn. The ceremony was privately performed by Dr Rowland Lee, afterwards bishop of Lichfield and Coventry, on January 25th,* in the presence of the Duke of Norfolk, and the father, mother, and brother of Anne; it was, however, for some time kept secret.

301. Cranmer, Archbishop elect. — Cranmer having returned to England, the offer of the See of Canterbury was made him by the King, which he accepted, yet not without some show of modest reluctance; which was increased from the fact of his having married Osiander's niece. A difficulty now presented itself in respect of the Oaths, occasioned by the Church having denied the Pope's supremacy, and a clause in the late enact-

^{300.} When was Henry VIII. married to Anne Boleyn? Who performed the ceremony? 301. What difficulty presented itself to Cranmer's mind? What was the practice at the beginning of this reign with reference to the promotion to bishoprics? [A. 3. K. I.]

ment upon Annates (see par. 296.)
Which provided, in case of the Pope's refusal to consecrate, that the

consecration should be performed by the Archbishop,

or two bishops by command of the King.

302. Investiture.—This was greatly different from the manner of promoting to vacant bishop-rics, and abbeys practised at the beginning of this reign, and indeed ever since the reign of Henry I. (see pars. 124-5.) when investitures by ring and staff were taken out of the hands of Princes; and though the election was vested in the Chapter, the Pope however actually appointed, and sometimes by provision. Now, however, when a vacancy occurred, the King by writ of Chancery, seized all the temporalities, and 'granted a licence for an election, with a special recommendation of the person; which being returned, the royal as-'sent was given, and it was sent to Rome that bulls might be 'expedited, and then by warrant from the Pope, the bishop 'elect was consecrated, and invested in the spiritualities; after that, he came to the king, and renounced every clause in his letters or bulls that was contrary to the prerogative of the crown, or the law of the land, and swore feal-'ty, and allegiance to the king; and thus were the tempo-'ralities restored. Nor could bulls be sued out at Rome ' without a licence under the Great Seal; so that the kings of England had reserved the power to themselves of pro-'moting to ecclesiastical benefices, notwithstanding all the invasions the Popes had made on the temporal power of 'princes.'-Burnet, vol. I. p. 18. Collect.1.

303. The Oaths.—The two oaths required before the consecration of an abbot, bishop, or archbishop, were one to the Pope, and the other to the King, but very irreconcileable with each other. In their oath to the Pope they 'swore to be faithful and obedient to the Pope and his 'successors—to be no party to any design or act prejudicial to the Pope, or Church of Rome—to keep his counsels

^{302.} How was Investiture performed at the time of Warham's death? 303. Give the substance of the two oaths imposed on all bishops before the Reformation previous to their consecration. [J. 4.]

'secret—to defend and promote the rights,
'privileges, and authority of the Papacy,
'and the regalities of St Peter—to observe
'and maintain, and cause others so to do,

'the decrees, sentences, injunctions, reservations, provisions, '&c. of the Pope—to persecute and oppose (persequar et impugnabo) all heretics, schismatics, and rebels to the Roman See—to visit annually,* either in person or by proxy, the 'thresholds of the Apostles (at Rome), and give an account of their pastoral office, Church, clergy, and people—to honourably entreat the legates of the Apostolic See—and not to alienate or sell their possessions without the Pope's counsel.'—Burnet, vol. I. p. 200.—Wilhins' Conc. I. p. 683: Roman Pontif. Paris, 1664. p. 69.

In their oath to the King they swore—'to renounce all clauses, words, grants, &c., in the Papal bulls prejudicial to the rights, privileges, or dignity of the crown—to be faithful and true to the King—to worship, and to live and die with him—to keep his counsel—and to acknowledge to hold their bishoprics (abbeys, or archbishoprics) only of

' him.'—Burnet, vol. 1. p. 201.

304. Cranmer Archbishop.—Although an act had just been passed prohibiting all further bulls from Rome, yet HENRY did not wish to break with the Pope till he was forced to do so. He, therefore, sent to Rome for the usual bulls for Cranmer's consecration. The Pope, we can imagine, was no willing instrument in Cranmer's promotion, yet fearing to precipitate a rupture with Henry in the critical state of his position with the Emperor, and dreading to lose his supremacy in England, where it was now disputed, by withholding, he gave his consent, and forwarded the necessary bulls. They were, for the better furnishing the Papal coffers, eleven in number, and the last received in this reign; the 1st, to the King, confirms his nomination—the 2nd, to himself, directs him to accept the See-the 3rd, absolves him

^{304.} With what object did the Pope confirm Cranmer's election? [I. 2. e. 2.] What, and how many were the bulls sent for Cranmer's consecration?

[.] Formerly every three years.'-Wilk. Conc.

from all censures—the 4th, is to the suffragan bishops—the 5th, to the dean, and chapter—the 6th, to the clergy of the See—the 7th, to the laity of the See—the 8th, to all the tenants of the land belonging to the See, requiring them to receive, and acknowledge him—the 9th, requires him to take the oath, and appoints the bishops who are to consecrate—the 10th, gives him the pall—and the 11th, requires the Archbishop of York, and bishop of London to put it on him.

305. Cranmer, however, refused to take the oath to the Pope, but his legal advisers suggested that he should take the oath under a protest, by which he should declare that he was not bound by that oath to say or do anything contrary to his duty to his God, to his King, and to his country. Cranmer assented to the arrangement, with the addition of these wordsthat he did not intend by that oath, to restrict him-'self from saying and advising whatever might concern the reformation of religion, or the good of the 'state of England, or executing such reforms as 'should seem to be required in the English Church:' -This protest was repeated several times during the ceremony; and at last, Cranmer was consecrated Archbishop of Canterbury on March 30th, 1533, by the bishops of Lincoln (Longland), Exeter (Veysey), and St Asaph (Standish).

306. Council of Ephesus.—Had Pope Clement refused to have confirmed Cranmer's appointment, such a step could not have invalidated the legality of his consecration: for, by the decrees of the ancient Council of Ephesus (A. D. 431.), such confirmation was unnecessary.

^{305.} How did Cranmer act with reference to the oath to the Poppe? [1.2.] What protest did he mahe? [1.4. e.2.] Who were the bishops that consecrated Cranmer? [e. 2.] What is the date of Cranmer's consecration? [1.2.] 306. What ancient Council by its decrees shows that the Pope's confirmation was unnecessary. [e. 2.] What was the date of that Council? [e. 2.] Give the substance of that decree

By the Canon of that Council, which passed judgment upon the claim of the Bishop of Antioch to ordain in the province of Cyprus, it was decread—that

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Craumer.

vince of Cyprus, it was decreed-that, 'if no ancient custom has prevailed for the bishop of 'Antioch to ordain in Cyprus, then the bishops of the 'Cyprian Churches shall, in accordance with the decrees of the Holy Fathers, and with ancient practice, exercise the right of ordaining in the said Church, un-'molested and inviolable. And the same rule shall be observed in all other dioceses, and provinces whatso-'ever; so that no bishop shall occupy another province, which has not been subject to him from the begin-'ning; and if he shall have made any such occupation or seizure, let him make restitution, lest the canons of 'the Holy Fathers be transgressed.' (Con. Ephes. Act 7. Decret. de Cypr. Epis.). This decree was subsequently confirmed by the Council of Trullo, the fifth, and sixth (Quinisextum) General Council (Can. 39.) A. D. 692. History. however, informs us that this was the ancient liberty of the Britannic Church before the arrival of Augustine. time the seven bishops paid obedience to the Archbishop of Caer-leon, and declared to Augustine, through Dinoth their speaker, that 'they acknowledged no other superior in spirituals: and in respect of the Pope of Rome, they affir-'med that they owed him no other obedience than they did to every godly Christian, which was to love every one in 'his degree in perfect charity.' (See pars. 56-59.)—Bingham, b. 11. ch. XVIII. 2.

307. Since by the eighth Canon of the general Council of Ephesus, Cyprus is declared to be an autocophalus (αὐτοκέφαλος), or independent province, free from the jurisdiction of any patriarch except its own metropolitan is so is that right of independence,—hence technically called the 'Jus Cyprium,'—the property of the Church of England. To this may be added the authority of other General Councils. In the General Council of Nice, called by the Emperor Constantine (A. D. 325.), the limits of the several Metropolitan provinces of the Roman Empire were defined,

What subsequent Council confirmed this Ephesine decree? What was the ancient liberty of the British Church at the coming of Augustine? 307. What is the technical term by which the right of independence of Churches, as declared in that Council, is designated? [e. 2.] What is

and confirmed; and then the bishop of Rome's jurisdiction extended only to the Suburbicarian churches of middle and

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Cranmer.

southern Italy, Sicily, Sardinia, and Corsica (Canon 6.). There was no interference with authorized customs; the language of these Fathers was, ' Let the ancient 'customs prevail,' (τά αργαΐα έθη κρατείτω). In the second General Council, that of Constantinople, called by Theodosius (A. D. 381.), the limits of these jurisdictions were also determined, and appeals to Rome condemned (Canon 2.). In the third General Council, that of Ephesus, called by Theodosius the Younger (A. D. 431.): the 'Jus Cyprium,' was decreed (Art. 7.). as alluded to above: and in the fourth General Council, that of Chalcedon, called by Marcian (A. D. 451.), many canons were framed in respect of ecclesiastical government. By the 28th Canon, the claims of the See of Rome to universal episcopacy, and obedience were denied. Since the Doctrinal Canons of the first four General Councils have been universally received by the whole Church, their authority in matters of doctrine has been recognized by the Church of England, and likewise by the State; for in a subsequent statute (1 Eliz. c. 1.), it was enacted that 'nothing is to be adjudged heresy, but that which heretofore had been adjudged by the authority of the Canonical Scriptures, or the first four General ' Councils, or some other General Council wherein the same 'hath been declared heresy by the express word of Scrip-'ture.'-See Routh Rel. Sacr. III. p. 4 .- Church of Eng. Article XXI.

308. The Divorce.—The first act of Archbishop Cranmer was to proceed, by the King's authority, with the judicial consideration of Henru's divorce. He summoned a Court at Ampthill near Catharine's residence, which was attended by the bishops of Winchester (Gardiner), London (Stokesly), Bath and Wells (Clerk), Lincoln (Longland), and many learned doctors; after citing the King and

the authority of the first four General Councils on this subject? Name the first four General Councils; when, and by whom called. In what is the authority of the four General Councils recognized by the Church of England? [B. 4.] 308. What was the first act of Archbishop Cranmer? How did he decide in respect of the King's marriage? What title was Catharine required to assume?

Queen to appear, they went into a HENRY VIII. discussion on the subject; and at last Clement VII. Cranmer. pronounced, that the marriage was null from the beginning, as being contrary to God's law, which was in accordance with the decision of the Convocation. Cranmer next confirmed the King's marriage with Anne Boleyn, (May 28th): and she was crowned Queen, a few days after. Catharine was now desired to drop the title of royalty, and assume that of 'Princess Dowager of Wales,' as Arthur's widow; but she firmly refused to do so. Ambassadors were also sent to foreign courts to justify these proceedings; Bonner was despatched to Rome. The Emperor was enraged; Francis was cold in the matter; but the Pope, fearing the Imperialists, proceeded to annul all that Cranmer had done, and to threaten the King, and the Archbishop with excommunication, if things were not put into their former state. Without waiting for Henry's reply, the Pope was urged on by the Imperial party, to a precipitate sentence :- the King's marriage with Catharine was declared to be good, and HENRY was required to live with her as his wife, under pain of ecclesiastical censures .- This decision determined HENRY to shake off at once the Papal yoke.

A. D. 1534.

The King, and Parliament, now busied themselves in carrying out the Royal resolution of separating from Rome. Several Acts were passed this year, abolishing First-fruits, Peter-pence, and all other payments to the Pope (25 Hen. viii. c. 20; c. 21. A. D. 1533. 4); giving to the two Archbishops the power of granting

How were these proceedings received by foreign powers? What was the conduct of the Pope? Mention in order the facts that tended to bring about the Reformation in England previous to 1533. Why was that year remarkable [W.3. HH.1.] 309. What steps were now taken by the king, and parliament, to carry out the separation from Rome?

HENRY VIII. all ecclesiastical licences, and dispen-Clement VII. sations, not contrary to the law of Cranmer God, with the exception of some to be confirmed under the Great Seal: the Monasteries were also made subject to the King's visitation, and authority; and all the Papal privileges. and indulgences were to be open to the King in council to examine, and reform. Appeals to Rome, and the execution of any Papal censure, or process was prohibited. And Annates, and Tenths were also granted to the crown ; - offenders incurred the penalties of præmunire. The Sees of Worcester, and Salisbury, were also declared vacant, because held by foreigners, (by Jerome de Ghinucci and Campegio) who were non-resident.

310. The Succession.—Another Act was passed (25 Hen. VIII. c. 22. A. D. 1533—4.) securing the Succession of the crown to the King's issue by the present Queen; it confirmed the sentence of the divorce, and the King's marriage with Anne Boleyn; and declared all marriages within the degrees of the Levitical law illegal, and their issue illegitimate. It enacted also penalties against impugners of this second marriage, and of the issue thereof; and an oath of obedience to this 'Act of Succession' was to be taken by all of age throughout the realm;—offending against the law was high-treason, and the punishment accordingly.

311. **Heresy.**—An act was also passed (25 Hen. VIII. c. 14. A. D. 1533—4.), amending the law of 2 Hen. IV. c. 15. against heresy (see par. 203.); declaring that no one was to be accused for speaking against anything arising out of a Papal decree; the oath of two witnesses was required before commitment; bail might be taken; the trial was to be in open court; and if convicted, and the heretics refuse to

^{310.} What was the Act of Succession? 311. What amendment was made in the law of hereey?

abjure, they were to be burnt; but HE not without the King's writ.

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Cranmer.

312. Submission of the Clergy.—The Convocation of the Clergy now sent in their submission to the King, declaring (1) that they would in future meet only by the King's writ, and (2) that they would neither frame, nor (3) execute, any canon without the Royal sanction; (4) and not even then, if contrary to the Royal prerogative, the common, or statute law, or any custom of the realm. They also recommended the appointment of a committee of thirty-two to revise the existing canons, in order to abrogate or amend such as were contrary to the rights of the crown, and the statutes of the realm. All this was embodied in the law (25 Henry VIII. c. 19. A. D. 1533-4.), called 'The Submission of the 'Clergy Act,' in which they acknowledged the Royal supremacy in all ecclesiastical affairs in so far as is consistent with the laws of Christ (see par. 247.): thus, "the Sovereign of these realms is re-"garded as being over all persons, and over all "causes, ecclesiastical as well as civil, supreme." And the Commission of Thirty-two was confirmed by 27 Hen. VIII. c. 15. A.D. 1535. The law against Appeals to Rome was also amended, and an appeal allowed from the archbishop to the King.

313. Election of Bishops.—Very important clauses were embodied in the Act for the non-payment of First-fruits to Rome (25 VIII. c. 20. A. n. 1533—4.) respecting the Election and Consecration of Bishops, which indeed regulates the proceedings of the present day. They enacted that on every

^{312.} What was the conduct of the Convocation of the Clergy? What was their submission? When, and under what circumstances was the Royal Supremacy formally acknowledged by the Clergy? [G. 3.] What is the nature of the Royal Supremacy? [G. 3.] 313. What now law was passed for the election of bishops?

vacancy, the King should grant a congé d'elire, or license, as heretofore, to the Chapter to elect a new bishop, HENRY VIII. Clement VII.

with the usual letter missive, naming the individual to be chosen; if the Chapter refuse to elect the person named within twenty days, or the bishops to consecrate him, when elected, they should incur the penalties of præmunire: and moreover, all application to the Pope for confirmation of the appointment was prohibited.

314. Persecutions.—During these proceedings, and before the amendment of the law against heretics, several persons were condemned to the stake. The body of one William Tracy of Teddington, Gloucestershire, was exhumed, and burnt; because he had trusted in Christ, and rejected saint-worship, and purgatory, and so bequeathed no money for masses to have his soul prayed for. The next martyr was John Frith, who, on account of his learning and abilities had been removed by Wolsey from Cambridge to his college at Oxford. He was an associate of Tyndal's, and wrote a work against transubstantiation, and denied purgatory; in consequence of which, he was accused by Sir Thomas More of heresy, and condemned to the stake. Andrew **Elewet**, a young tailor, who advocated Frith's opinions, was also charged with heresy, and sentenced to be burnt with Frith. Soon after followed the attainder of Elizabeth Barton, called 'The Maid of Kent,' and her accomplices for high-treason. She was afflicted with hysterical fits, and had been led on by some designing priests to foretell the King's death if he proceeded to the divorce. The imposture was proved, and she, and five of her accomplices were condemned to be hanged. Fisher,

^{314.} What persons were condemned to the stake for heresy during these proceedings? What befel Tracy? Frith? and Hewet? Who was the 'maid of Kent?' What was charged against her? What was her death? Who were accused of abetting her in her treason?

bishop of Rochester, Sir Thomas More, and several friars were said to have been abettors of this treason.

HENRY VIII. Clement VII. Cranmer.

315. King. Supreme Head.—In the new Session of parliament at the close of the year, an act was passed (26 Hen. VIII. c. 1, A. D. 1534.) declaring the king 'Supreme Head on earth next under Christ of the English Church (Anglicana Ecclesia); which was followed by another Act (26 Hen. VIII. c. 3.) conferring upon him the annates and tenths formerly claimed by the Popes: by these important measures the Church of England was finally separated from the Church of Rome. A new valuation of ecclesiastical preferments was in consequence entered upon to secure correct payments, which is known as The 'King's Book,' (Liber Regis). Another Act (26 Hen. VIII. c. 13.) declared what things were treason; which included the denial of any of the King's titles, or calling him heretic, schismatic, or usurper. Twenty-six Suffragan, or Coadjutor Bishops were also appointed by another Act (26 Hen. VIII. c. 14.), to assist the bishops in their episcopal functions; certain places were appointed for their Sees, although not to reside in: the bishop selected two persons from whom the King was to make the nomination. They were similar to the ancient Chorepiscopi or Rural bishops, and derived their name from possessing the jus suffragii, or right of suffrage in the synods. The office. however, has been supplanted by our Archdeacon, rural dean, and vicar general. The next proceeding was a petition from the Convocation to the King, at the instance of *Cranmer*, for an English translation of the Bible. Henry by the persuasion of his new Queen, who was a favourer of the reformed doctrines, gave his consent.

^{315.} When, and by what act was the Church of England finally and formally separated from the Church of Rome? [A. 4. F. 2. AA. 4.] What is the nature of the Royal Supremacy? [J. 3.] What was the King's book? What were the suffragan bishops?

316. Clement VII. dies.-About this period, an important change occurred in the See of Rome.

HENRY VIII. Clement VII.

Pope Clement VII., the opposer of HENRY, and who had so long and so strenuously resisted the calling of a General Council from the fear of lessening his authority, as well as from the apprehension of being removed from the Papacy on account of his illegitimacy, suddenly died, and was succeeded by Alexander Farnese, under the title of Paul III.

A. D. 1535.

Pope: Paul III.-A. D. 1534-50.

317. Persecution.—All persons above sixteen years of age had now been called upon to take the oaths of Succession, and of Supremacy required by the late Acts; but Fisher, bishop of Rochester, and Sir Thomas More, late Lord Chancellor, with some few others refused. They did not object to the matter of the succession, but to the clause in the oath declaring the King's first marriage void. Fisher, and More, had made themselves obnoxious to the court by their countenance of the 'Maid of Kent;' this new symptom of disloyalty therefore exposed them to a bill of attainder for treason, and they were committed to the tower. Their refusal of the oath of Supremacy finished the matter. They were both condemned of high-treason, and soon after be-headed on Tower-hill;—Fisher on the 22nd of June, and More on the 6th of July. Many of the Monks also, particularly of the Carthusian, † and Franciscan

the same guilt?

This Clement VII., Pope of Rome, must not be confounded with the schismatic Pope, Clement VII. of Arignon, mentioned in par. 192.

† The Carthusian Order originated with one Brano of Cologue A. D. 1084, ; and from having their chief institution at Chartresse (Cartusia) pear Gernoble in France; their establishments were called Chartresse kouces, by corruption

^{316.} Who succeeded Clement VII. in the Papacy? and when? 317. Who refused to take the oaths of succession, and of supremacy? What befel Fisher, bishop of Rochester, and Sir Thomas More? What monks incurred

orders, refused to take the oath of Supremacy, and were consequently accused of treason, and condemned

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

to be drawn, hanged, and quartered at Tyburn. And several foreign Anabaptists were also brought to the stake.

318. Fisher. John Fisher, bishop of Rochester, was born at Beverley in Yorkshire about A. D. 1459. He became Confessor to Margaret, Countess of Richmond; and through his influence she founded St John's, and Christ's Colleges in Cambridge; and a Professorship of Divinity in Cambridge, and Oxford. In 1504, he was promoted to the See of Rochester: he was esteemed a learned and good man, and for many years, he zealously opposed the advance. of Lutheranism. It was sincere devotedness to the ancient faith that prompted him to oppose the divorce of Catharine, and to deny the King's supremacy, for which faithfulness it was one of the first acts of Pope Paul III. to make Fisher, a Cardinal. This conduct, however, procured for him the ill-favour of the king, and the hatred of Anne Boleyn, and finally led to his being charged with treason, and his decapitation June, 22nd, 1535.

319. Sir Thomas More.—Sir Thomas More, was the son of Sir John More, chief justice of the King's Bench, and was born in London, in A. D. 1480. He was educated at Canterbury College (now Christ Church), Oxford, and entered the profession of the law in 1499. On the accession of Henry VIII., he was called to the bar, and soon after made a judge. In 1518., he published his celebrated political romance, 'Utopia;' and enjoyed the lasting friendship of Erasmus. By the interest of Cardinal Wolsey he was knighted, and made a member of the Privy Council. In 1520. he was treasurer of the exchequer, and soon after chosen speaker of the House of Commons (1523). On the death of Wolsey, (1530), he succeeded as Lord Chancellor; but not coinciding with Henry VIIIth's views in respect of his divorce from Catharine, he resigned the seals of office (1532.). At last, refusing

^{318.} Give a brief account of Fisher, bishop of Rochester? 319. Give a summary of the life of Sir Thomas More?

Cherter houses, and themselves Carthusians: they were introduced into England
Henry II. about A. D. 1180.: and the Benedictine rule, with the addition of
's susterities, was their prescribed discipline.

to take the oath of the King's supremacy, he was committed to the Tower, where he was confined twelve months, and at length convicted of treason, and beheaded, July 6.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

1535. Thus fell this illustrious, and talented man; of high integrity and disinterestedness, and who only wanted a more tolerant spirit to have left his memory without a reproach.

S20. People instructed.—Hanny now directed that orders should be sent to all the clergy to expunge the Pope's name from all works of devotion, and to bid prayers before the sermon for the King, as 'Supreme Head of the Church;' and to preach against the abuses of the Papacy, and justify the King's marriage by displaying to the people the whole of the negotiations, and the artifices of the Pope. The King also despatched embassies to the several European courts to extenuate his conduct abroad.

321. During the late proceedings great progress had been made amongst the people in the advancement of religious truth by the dissemination of Tyndale's (Tindall) translation of the New Testament, which was printed in Antwerp, (in A. D. 1526.), and secretly introduced into England: it was now in the 3rd edition. A work, called, 'The Supplication of the Beggars,' made also a great stir at this time. It was written by one Simon Fish of Gray's Inn: and was a humorous attack on the vices of the clergy, and the abuses of the Pope. A similar contemporary production appeared from the pen of William Tyndale, entitled 'The Practice of Papistical Prelates,' An English Primer by Cuthbert Marshall was now published under the sanction of Cranmer. an exposition of the Ten Commandments, and the Creed; to which was annexed a paraphrase of the

^{320.} What was the nature of the bidding prayers directed to the clergy? 321. Whose translation of the New Testament was widely disseminated at this time? What was the 'Supplication of the Beggars?' and 'The Practice of Papistical Prelates?'

Lord's Prayer, followed by the Salutation of the Virgin Mary, the Seven Penitential Psalms, a Litany, and a HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

few Prayers, and Hymns. Payment of Tithes was also enforced (by 27 Hen. VIII. c. 20.)

322. Monks disaffected. - The violent deaths of *More*, and *Fisher*, had now created general disgust both at home, and abroad. The new Pope, seeing the spirit of the King, drew up a bull of excommunication; and the exasperated Emperor, Charles, began to contemplate an invasion. The Monks and Friars, who were the immediate subjects of the Pope, and the chief losers by this new state of things, were also stirring up discontent among the people, and openly preached against both the divorce, and the Royal Supremacy. To check this refractoriness of the regulars, and break the power of such domestic enemies; and to furnish himself, moreover, with the necessary supplies for the defence of his kingdom without an increased taxation of the people, and to create certain additional bishoprics as had been for some time intended, the King determined by the advice of *Dr. Leighton*, and Cromwell, to issue a commission for a Visitation of the Monasteries: in order to investigate the amount and application of their incomes, how far their regulations were observed, the number of their inmates, and what was their state of discipline and morality; expecting by this means according to general rumour to draw up such a statement of their irregu-larities, vices, and abuses as should justify their suppression. The Friars indeed at this period had almost superseded the ordinary clergy, hearing con-

What were the various motives that led Henry to contemplate the suppression of the monasteries? [AA. 5. FF. 2. Who seconded the King in his design? What was the general character of the Friars at this period? FS. 2.1 Digitized by GOOGLE

fessions, granting absolution, and exercising other of their functions.

They no longer kept their vows

HENRY VIII.

Cranmer.

of poverty; but extorted money on every possible pretext; insinuated themselves into the confidence of families, besieged death-beds, and at length became notorious for their intrigues, avarice, licen-

tiousness, and luxury.

323. Cromwell, Vicar General:—The fittest instrument for this task was the bold and vigorous Cromwell, who was now appointed to the new office of the king's 'Vicar General,' and 'Chief Commissary:' indeed, the conduct of ecclesiastical matters devolving upon the King from his new position of 'Supreme Head of the Church,' becoming somewhat burdensome, he soon invested Cromwell with all the spiritual authority belonging to the crown, under the title of Lord Vicegerent, and which was equal to that lately exercised by the Pope. About the same period the Convocation changed the Archbishop's title of 'Legate of the Apostolic See' to 'Metropolitan,' and 'Primate.'

324. The First Visitation of the Monasteries, professedly made for the advancement of religion, and for the reformation of discipline and manners, began in October; and in the course of it (in Nowember) six or seven of the smaller and poorer houses made a voluntary surrender to the crown; three in this year, and four in the following. An English translation of the entire BIBLE was introduced into England this year, edited by Myles Coverdale. Through the influence of Thomas Cromwell it was dedicated to Henry VIII., and a copy ordered to be

^{323.} To what new office was Cromwell appointed to carry on the Visitation of the monasteries? What change was made in the Archbishop's title? 324. When was the first Visitation? What was its result? When, and by whom was the first English Bible printed? (T. 3.)

provided in every parish Church. It contained *Tyndale's* New Testament, and the portions of the Old Testament the latter had translated.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

325. Reginald Pole now made himself conspicuous by his opposition to the King's cause. He was the son of Sir Richard Pole, by Margaret Countess of Salisbury, daughter of George Duke of Clarence, the unfortunate brother of Edward IV., and consequently second cousin to the King. HENRY allowed his claim of relationship, and therefore greatly befriended him in his youth; but during his latter years, which he had chiefly spent abroad, he had incurred his displeasure by his opposition to Henry's divorce, particularly while he was in Paris. Pole at this period, on hearing of the death of his friend More, published a work on Ecclesiastical Union' (De Unione Ecclesiastica), or 'a Defence of the Unity of the Church;' in which he severely censured the King's conduct in his rejection of the Papal power, and in the matter of his divorce, comparing him to Nebuchadnezzar: and at the close, he called on the Emperor to turn his arms against England rather than prosecute his war with the Turks. In consequence of this publication, HENRY summoned him to England; finding him refuse to attend, the King immediately divested him of all his dignities. Tonstal replied to Pole, which brought a letter of vindication to the King. HENRY, however, was not to be appeased: and the favours heaped on Pole by the Pope by way of reprisal, proceeding even to the gift of a Cardinal's hat, made the King more vindictive; he proclaimed him a traitor, and offered fifty thousand crowns for his head; but as

^{325.} Who was Reginald Pole? What was his conduct in respect of Henry VIII? How did the King express his feeling towards Pole? What work was published by Gardiner?

Pole was beyond his reach, HENRY vented his wrath upon his relatives.

Gardiner wrote a treatise on the

HERRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

other side of the question, called 'De Vera Obedientia;' the preface was by Bonner, who violently declaimed against the Papal encroachments, and upheld the King's supremacy.

A. D. 1536.

326. Catharine's death.—The beginning of this year brought with it the death of Queen Catharine (Jan. 8th), at Kimbolton, at the age of fifty. She was a devout, and excellent woman; and was prompted more in her opposition to the King in the subject of her divorce, from regard to the interests of her daughter, the Princess Mary, than from personal considerations. Her great grief induced by her misfortunes, there is no doubt, hastened her end.

327. Ist Suppression of Monasteries.—
The commissioners for the Visitation of the Monasteries having laid their report before the King and Parliament; in which the morals of the smaller abbeys and Priories were shown to be very vicious and depraved; this and the increased discontent among the people, arising from the seditious teaching of the Mendicant Friars, led the Parliament to pass a bill (27 Hen. VIII. c. 28.), for the Suppression of all Monasteries whose incomes were under £200. a year; these embraced the houses of the Friars, and the strongholds of Papacy. The inmates, however, were to be passed into the larger houses, which were better regulated, but the revenues were to be given to the King. The number suppressed amounted to

^{326.} What, and when, was the end of Queen Catharine? 327. When was the suppression of monasteries legalised by Parliament? From what cause? How many, and of what kind, were suppressed in 1536?

375; and the spoil was valued at £32,000. per annum; besides £100,000. from jewels, plate, and

HENRY VIII.
Paul III.
Cranmer.

other moveables. By this measure 10,000 persons were thrown upon the country, deprived of their usual subsistence, and had therefore to get their living how

they could.

328. The Protestant Princes unite.—The King was anxious now to strengthen his position abroad; and therefore sent over ambassadors to establish with the German Princes not only a commercial treaty, but also a religious league; the matter, however, passed off in negociation. But the Princes, and States connected with the Augsburg Confession, now renewed the celebrated Smalcaldic League, by which they bound themselves, and their heirs, for ten years to assist each other by arms and money in defence of their religion; and to act jointly in all matters connected with that religion.

329. Anne Boleyn's death.—Four months had scarcely elapsed since the death of Catharine, when the King's affections towards Anne Boleyn incurred a sudden, and complete change. Whether from disappointment at her late delivery of a stillborn son; or from jealousy; or from his new and strong attachment to Jane Seymour, one of the Queen's maids of honor, history does not exactly inform us. Anne was accused of adultery, and conveyed to the Tower; whither had preceded her, her brother Lord Rochford, with Norris, Weston, and Brereton of the King's privy chamber, and Smeaton a musician, as accomplices. By the confession of

^{328.} What was the nature of the Smalcaldic league? 329. What was the fate of Queen Anne Boleyn? Who were reputed her confederates? What was the fate of her brother, and those accused with him? What are supposed to have been Henry's reasons for such proceedings? Whom did he next marry?

HENRY VIII. Smeaton, who had been put to the torture, and therefore a testimony Paul III. Cranmer. not to be relied on, they were

all convicted of high treason, and sentenced to be hanged, drawn, and quartered. The unhappy Queen underwent the sentence of the law on the green in the Tower, May 19th; her marriage was declared null on the plea of a previous contract, and her offspring, the Princess Elizabeth, declared illegitimate.

330. Jane Seymour wedded .- On the following morning HENRY married Jane Seymour. Burnet considers it probable, that on the death of Catharine, HENRY may have contemplated a reconciliation with the Pope, and the Emperor; and that another marriage now would ensure him a legitimate and unquestionable issue; so long as Anne Boleyn survived. these ideas were impracticable; and the more so, as she was the great cause of all existing differences. Cranmer was the only one who continued her friend, and he made a fruitless intercession with the King in her behalf; yet he afterwards formally declared her marriage null. The witness, Smeaton, was hanged shortly after for the part he took in the matter.

331. The Succession.—After this sanguineous proceeding, Parliament was called upon to pass a new Act of Succession (28 Hen. VIII. c. 7.), in which the two preceding marriages were declared null, and their issue illegitimate; the succession was legalized in the issue, if any, of the present, or a subsequent marriage; and in failure of this, it should devolve on whom the King might appoint. The Princess Mary soon after was admitted into royal favour, and an establishment was set up for her; but it was on the hard condition that she should take the oath of

^{331.} What was the new act of succession? How did the Princess Mary return to favour? Digitized by Google

Supremacy, and subscribe a declaration that the King's marriage with her mother, *Catharine*, was incestuous and unlawful HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

332. Papacy further restricted.—The Pope, Paul III. now made overtures to Henry for a reconciliation; but far from being encouraged, it was met by the passing of two enactments by the legislature, which declared—1st, that all who acknowledged the Pope's authority in England incurred the penalties of præmunire, (28 Hen. VIII. c. 10.); so in Ireland (by 28 Hen. VIII. c. 13.); and 2nd, that all Papal bulls, dispensations, licenses, &c. and the privileges dependent on them were null and void: wherefore those who possessed any property on that tenure were required to provide themselves with a new grant from the Chancery court, (28 Hen. VIII. c. 16.)

333. **Religious Differences.**—The Convocation had been sitting some little time, and were much occupied in attempting to reconcile the differences of opinion in many religious points of Doctrine and of Discipline, which extensively prevailed; which was the more necessary as the King having abolished all Papal jurisdiction had determined that no English delegates should attend any General council convened through Papal influence. One Alexander Ales (or Alesse, or Hales) a Scotchman, was introduced into Convocation by Cromwell, who himself now demanded a seat in that assembly as the King's Vicar-General, and to whom Archbishop Crammer yielded place. This Ales was particularly conspicuous; he argued for the rejection of five out of the seven sacra-

^{332.} What two fresh enactments cut at the root of the Pope's authority, and of that of Papal bulls? 333. What was the state of parties in Convocation at this time? How did they compromise their religious differences? What was the argument of Alexander Ales?

ments, declaring that Christ instituted but two—'Baptism,' and the 'Lord's Supper.' He was approved

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

by Fox, and Cranmer: Stokesly answered on the other side. There appeared to be indeed two parties, arranged under each Archbishop; one urgent for a reformation of the Church, the other as zealously opposed to it. They were:—

REFORMERS.
Cranmer, Abp. of Canterbury.
Latimer, *Worcester.
Shaxton, *Salisbury.
Fox, Hereford.
Goodrich, Ely.
Hilsey, Rochester.
Barlow, St David's.

ROMANISTS.
Lee, Abp. of York.
Stokesly, London.
Tonstal, Durham.
Gardiner, Winchester.
Longland, Lincoln.
Kite, Carlisle.
Sherburn, Chichester.
Nix, Norwich.

In the Lower House, the Romanists were strongest, and they sent a protestation to the Upper House against certain erroneous opinions, which they specified to the number of sixty-seven. After much discussion, a compromise was effected by the declaration of certain articles sent for their consideration by the King, but which were satisfactory to neither party. They were the following:

334. The **Ten Articles** then agreed to, the first five of which evidently bear a Lutheran com-

plexion, were :-

In respect of *Doctrins*. (1) *That* Christian faith was comprised in the Bible, and the three Creeds; and was defined by the first four General Councils.

(2) That Baptism was necessary to salvation; and that children should be baptized for pardon of original sin, and

procuring the Holy Ghost.

(3) That Penance, -i. e. repentance, confession, and

334. What were the Articles agreed to in Convocation? Give the substance of them. [G. 2. CC. 3. LL. 2.] What

^{*} Latiner, and Shanton were promoted to the Sees of Worcester, and Salisbury, respectively, through the favour of Queen Anne. They had become vacant by an Act of Parliament which deprived Jerome de Shinnect, and Cardinal Cepagie of these Sees on account of their residence in Rome.

amendment. - was a sacrament: and con-HENRY VIII. fession to a priest necessary.

(4) That in the Eucharist, the corporal presence of Christ was to be believed.

Paul III. Cranmer.

(5) That Justification was remission of sins. and reconciliation with God; and a gift, through Christ's merits; vet holiness of life was necessary.

In respect of Ceremonies it was decided: - (1) That

Images were useful helps, yet must not be worshipped.

(2) That Saints were to be honoured as examples of life. (3) That prayers might be addressed to Saints as inter-

cessors, but they were not to be worshipped.

(4) That the existing Ceremonies, such as vestments, holy water, candles, palms, ashes, &c., were highly useful as leading to devotion.

(5) That prayers for souls departed were good and useful; although the existence of purgatory was uncertain. (See "Formularies of Faith, &c., during the reign of Henry ÌΠΙ.")

These TEN ARTICLES were signed by the chief members of Convocation, and by the King; and were en-

forced by a Royal proclamation.

335. Pastoral Injunctions to the clergy.— Soon after, in order to give greater authority to the Ten Articles, Cromwell, by virtue of his high office, issued the following injunctions in the name of the King :- The Clergy were to preach against the Papal authority, and vindicate the Royal Supremacy one entire quarter, and twice in the other quarters every year; to explain the Ten Articles lately put forth by the Convocation; to abrogate certain of the holvdays: to discredit images, relics, and pilgrimages, and advance charity in their stead; to teach the Lord's Prayer, Creed, and Ten Commandments in the vulgar tongue; and on occasions of absence their place was to be supplied by good Curates. Non-residents were to give a fortieth part of their income to the poor; out of every £100, a year they were to maintain a scholar

instructions were given to the Clergy by the Convocation? 335. What injunctions were imposed by Cromwell on the Clergy ? Digitized by Google

at some public School, or University; and a fifth of their income was to be laid aside for the repairs of the

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

parsonage: these were to be obeyed under penalty of suspension, and sequestration. Similar injunctions

were put forth by some of the bishops.

336. A General Council.—Pope Paul III., dissatisfied and alarmed at the proceedings of HENRY, and the advance of the doctrines of the Reformers. determined on summoning a General Council to meet at Mantua. He issued bulls revoking Henry's title of 'Defender of the Faith,' and cited him to appear at this General Council. The King however would not admit the authority of such a Council, which he declared was not rightly called; and that the time was inopportune, the Emperor, and Francis I. being at war. The Convocation delivered the same opinion.

337. Insurrections.—In consequence of the late suppression of the Monasteries, and the scattering of their inmates to the number of upwards of ten thousand throughout the country: these wanderers began to sow disaffection among the people; aided as they were by the hosts of idle beggars who used to live upon their bounty. The result of this was a wide-spread insurrection; which many Abbots and Priors, and several of the nobility are said have encouraged. Twenty thousand rose in Lincolnshire under the leadership of a priest in the disguise of a cobler, (Mackarel, Prior of Barling.). The King temporized with them, and threatened them with extreme vengeance if they did not disperse, offering them at the same time a free pardon if they would deliver up their ringleaders: these

^{336.} What General Council did Paul III. attempt in 337. What was the immediate result vain to convene? of the suppression of the monasteries? [H.2.] What i surrections were caused by the suppression of the mono

insurgents at last by means of bri- HENRY VIII. bery, and through the vaccillation of Paul III. Cranmer. their leaders separated, but they gave up fifteen of their chief partisans, who were executed as traitors. The more violent proceeded to the north, and joined the Yorkshire rebels, who had risen to the number of forty thousand men, headed by Robert Aske, and bearing a crucifix before them; these compelled the Archbishop of York (Lee), Lord Darcy, and some other noblemen to ioin them. They assumed a religious design, declaring their object to be the restitution of the Church, or really the Monasteries, and the removal of heresy; and so styled their march 'The Pilgrimage of Grace.' The King sent an army against them under the Earl of Shrewsbury, Duke of Norfolk, and Marquis of Exeter, which altogether amounted to about ten thousand men; provided also with secret service money. This latter weapon was more efficacious than arms: many of the leaders were bought over, who themselves, with those they compromised, were eventually executed; the Abbots of Woburn, and Burlington, are said to have been amongst the number: at length after the most severe retribution upon the deluded inhabitants of the towns and villages, who participated in the rebellion, and the promise of a general pardon, the insurrection was quelled. The monks, however, the great promoters of the movement, had to endure a revengeful persecution.

A. D. 1537.

338. 2nd Visitation of Monasteries.— Immediately after these insurrections were quelled,

ries? [C. 3.] How were they quelled? What was 'The Pilgrimage of Grace?' What befel the monks for the part they took in the affair? 338. What provision did the governors of the suppressed monasteries obtain from the King?

a new Visitation of the Monasteries was instituted, having for its object the investigation of the larger houses.

Henry VIII.
Paul III.
Cranmer.

the investigation of the larger houses.

The end in view being pretty well understood, many of the abbots were led to make a voluntary surrender of them to the King; particularly those who had given secret encouragement to the late risings; others were intimidated into resignation: while some were compelled to surrender by attaints of high-treason, among whom were the Abbots of Reading, Colchester, and Glastonbury. But the abbots, priors, or other governors, not attainted of treason, were allowed a pension in proportion to the value of their houses. Several very gross impostures were discovered for deceiving the people into pilgimages; shrines were laid open, and all their tricks, and devices exposed to public view.

339. Edward VI. born.—Jane Seymour, the Queen, now (October 12) gave birth to a son (afterwards Edward VI.), much to the joy of the King, and to the great encouragement of the Reformers, who knew that if Mary came to the throne all their work would be undone; the Queen died, how-

ever, twelve days after.

340. Books published.—The next event was the publication of another version of the Bible in English, partly from Tyndale, and Miles Coverdale; it was edited by John Rogers, superintendant of an English Church in Germany, under the feigned name of 'Thomas Matthew;' whence it was called 'Matthew's Bible;' it was dedicated to the King. The New Testament portion was Tyndale's, who a few years before had been arrested, and burnt for heresy in Flanders. In this year was also published with the sanction of all the bishops, a com-

^{339.} What was the issue of Jane Seymour the Queen? When did she die? 340. Whose Bible was published in

HENRY VIII. pendium of faith and practice entitled Paul III. The Godly and Pious Institution of Cranmer. a Christian Man: 'it was dedicated by the bishops to the King, hence its name of "The Bishop's Book.' It was founded on the Ten Articles put forth in the preceding year, and contained some explanatory matter in respect of the Church services; but was charged with the doctrinal errors of Rome. The 'corporal presence' of Christ in the Eucharist became a subject of much controversy, and gave rise to the distinguishing appellation of 'Sacramentaries,' which was applied to all that denied that doctrine. In the 'Bishop's Book,' the real presence was admitted, yet in such a shape as not to offend the Lutherans: the symbolic presence of Zuingle, and the Swiss Reformers was reiected.

A. D. 1538.

341. **Becket's Shrine.**—The suppression of the monasteries continued, the frauds practised at shrines were exposed, and their wealth seized. The richest of these was 'Thomas-à-Becket's, which was now dismantled of its gold and jewels, his tomb broken open, and a mock trial held over his bones, for the contempt he had shown for the Royal Prerogative. The relics of this sainted man were sentenced to be burnt, and the wealth of the shrine was forfeited to the King.

342. **Papal Interdict.**— This proceeding reached the ears of the Pope, who in hot indignation at such sacrilege, no longer delayed the issue of his bull, which he had some years ago prepared to

this year? What was the end of Tyndale? What was the nature of the 'Bishop's Book?' Who were the 'Sacramentaries?' 341. What was done to the remains of Thomas-Becket by Henry's order? why? 342. What did the 'pe in consequence?

Paul III. Paul III. cited HRNRY to appear at Rome within ninety days; put the kingdom under an interdict; absolved his subjects Cranmer. from their allegiance, and urged them to rebellion; required the clergy, except so many as were absolutely necessary for performing the requisite duties, to quit the kingdom within five days; released foreign princes from all their contracts, treaties, or engagements with England; and required all Christians to levy war against the English monarch. Cardinal Pole, the King's relative, was despatched to the Netherlands with a like message by the Pope. The times now, however, were altered, and the fulminations of Rome were of little force in shaking the

throne of HENRY. 343. John Lambert. - Notwithstanding the Papal opposition, the Reformation had been advancing rapidly; but the deaths of Anne Boleyn, and Jane Seymour, who were so favourable to its doctrines, was a severe check to its progress: Cranmer, moreover, is thought to have lost some little ground in the King's favour by his attempts to check the spoliation of the Church. The crafty Gardiner too, who was outwardly an advocate of the recent changes, yet in his heart a thorough Papist, was a favourite adviser of the King's; and an opportunity soon presented itself of shewing his Romish bias. One John Lambert (otherwise John Nicholson), a disciple of Bilney's, having been compelled to quit the country on account of his reforming doctrines, had taken up his abode at Antwerp, where he gained the friendship of Tyndale, and Frith, and became chaplain to the English residents there. At the request of Sir Thomas More,

^{343.} What circumstances now operated to retard the progress of the Reformation? Who was John Lambert? What befel him, and why? What were the circumstances of his death?

he had been seized, sent over to England, and accused of heresy before Archbishop Warham; but the

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

death of that prelate, and the changes brought about by the marriage of Anne Boleyn procured his escape. Now, however, happening to hear a sermon of Dr Taylor's in support of transubstantiation, he wrote very strongly against 'the real presence,' and was in consequence cited before Archbishop Cranmer. The Archbishop had not yet abandoned his belief in that doctrine, and he urged Lambert to recant his opinion. The latter, however, unfortunately appealed to the King. This quite suited HENRY's vanity; under the influence of Gardiner he convoked all the nobility and prelates to assemble at Westminster for the trial of a case of heresy. The King was judge, and the issue was a verdict of guilty; and Lambert was burnt at Smithfield as a 'Sacramentary' under circumstances of peculiar barbarity :- the fire was insufficient to consume him, and he was only indebted to the halberts of his guards for relief from suffering.

A. D. 1539.

344. **Reformation** declines.—There seemed now to be a turn in the advance of the Reformation from the want of high supporters; Cromwell counted on the aid of the deceifful Bonner, and procured therefore his promotion to the see of Hereford on the death of Fox; and then to London on the death of Stokesly. He also contemplated strengthening his party by effecting an alliance between the King and the German Princes by Henry's espousal of Anne of Cleves, who was falsely represented as a 'great beauty,' and likely therefore to captivate the King.

^{344.} What caused a change in the influence of the reforming party in 1539?

345. The 'Six Articles.'-HENRY VIII. Paul III. On the meeting of Parliament, an Cranmer. attempt was made to put an end to the diversity of religious opinions by the appointment of a commission of prelates &c., with Cromwell at their head, for framing a rule of faith to be enforced by law. Although the Popish party was in the majority, yet the commission could come to no decision. At length, the Duke of Norfolk, at the instance of the King, put forward 'The Six Articles,' which were passed into a law, on June 28th, known as the 'bloody statute,' (31 Hem. VIII. c. 14.); and which is said to have been the joint production of HENRY, and Gardiner. It enacted:-

1. That in the Sacrament after consecration the symbols are no longer bread and wine, but the real body and blood of Christ.—(upholding transubstantiation).

That communion in both kinds was not necessary to salvation; the flesh and blood being in each .- (against

communion in both kinds). 3. That priests by the law of God cannot marry.-

(celibacy of the clergy enforced).
4. That vows of chastity ought to be observed.—(vows of chastity to be kept).

5. That private masses for souls in purgatory are necessary, and ought to be maintained .- (private masses enforced).

That auricular confession is necessary, and ought to

be retained .- (auricular confession upheld).

The **Penalties** were, for writing or speaking against the first, to be burnt as a heretic without benefit of abjuration, and goods forfeited, -against the other five, imprisonment for life; the second offence, and wilful opposition to be punished with death. The non-observance of religious chastity,-for the first offence loss of benefice and property; for the second, death.

^{345.} How did Parliament attempt to check the diversity of religious opinions? Give an abstract of the Act of the Six Articles? [J. 2. P. 1.] When was it passed? [J. 2.] Who was its proposer? [J. 2.] What were the Penalties? [F. 3. EE. 3. KK. 2.] What bishops argued against the enactment? Digitized by Google

Cranmer raised his voice very senergetically against the enactment of the last five of these articles, and

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

was seconded by Goodrich (of Ely), Shaxton (of Salisbury), Latimer (of Worcester), Hilsey (of Rochester), and Barlow (of St David's); but the Popish party, embracing the Archbishop of York, the bishops of London, Durham, Winchester, Chichester, Norwich, and Carlisle, with the temporal Peers, Cromwell excepted, were for the enactment, and prevailed.

Other Acts. - The same Parliament passed an enactment (31 Hen. VIII. c. 8.), making a Royal Proclamation, with certain restrictions, of the same force as law; and brought in another bill legalizing the surrender of Monasteries, and giving additional powers in respect of their suppression, and resignation (31 Hen. VIII. c. 13.). An Act was also passed empowering the King to erect additional Bishoprics (31 Hen. VIII. c. 9.). Five only out of the fourteen contemplated by the King at the recommendation of Cranmer were ever founded; viz .-Chester, Gloucester, Oxford, Peterborough, and Westminster; and afterwards, that of Bristol was founded though not in the list designed by the King. nine never effected were those of St Albans, Bury St Edmunds, Dunstable, Fountains (for Lancashire), Launceston, Leicester, Shrewsbury, Waltham, and Welbeck (for Nottingham and Derby.). King's College Chapel at Cambridge was also erected; and assistance given to the foundation of Trinity College in the same University.

347. Bishops resign.—The immediate effect of the Act of 'THE SIX ARTICLES,' or the 'Whip

^{346.} What Acts were passed respecting royal proclamations, surrender of monasteries, and erection of bishoprics? What new bishoprics did the King design to make? Which of them were eventually founded? 347. What were the immediate effects of the Act of the Six Articles? [P. 1.] How long did it slumber?

with six strings' as it was called, was the resignation of Latimer (Worcester), and Shaxton (Salisbury), of Cranmer.

cester), and Shazton (Sainsoury), or their bishoprics; who were soon after imprisoned. Cranmer was in a precarious position in respect of the third Article, having a wife, the niece of the German reformer Osiander: he hastily sent her, and her children into Germany, and conformed to the Statute. Henry, however, still entertained a strong attachment for the Archbishop, and screened him from its severity. Many hundreds were committed to prison on account of the Act; but the King was induced to grant a general pardon rather than proceed against so great a number of his subjects; and the Act indeed slumbered till the fall of Cromwell raised the Papal party into the more influential places.

348. **Cranmer's Bible.**—The King, by the persuasion of *Cranmer*, gave his sanction this year for the publishing of an English translation of the Bible; *Cromwell* was invested with a license for the purpose, and letters patent were issued authorizing the free use of the Scriptures. *Cranmer* wrote a preface to it, and from coming out under his patronage, it was called '*Cranmer's Great Bible*:' although it was only a corrected edition of Matthew's Bible. There appeared also another edition from the labours of *Richard Taverner*, who was educated at Christ Church, Oxford, and patronized by Lord Cromwell.

349. **Precedency.**—An Act of Parliament was now passed for the regulation of *Precedency*, in which the Lord Vicegerent came next to the Royal family; then, among the clergy, the two Archbishops; after these, the bishops of London, Durham,

^{348.} What translation of the Bible was published by royal authority in 1639? 349. What was the Act of precedency in respect of the clergy?

Winchester as prelate of the Garter, and the rest in the order of their consecration. (31 Hen. VIII. c. 10.).

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

350. Pole's Family. - The vindictiveness of the King against the conduct of Cardinal Pole, procured the passing of a bill of attainder against Lord Montacute, the brother of Pole, and the Marquis of Exeter, (Courtney), his relative. These, with Sir Edward Neville, two priests named Croft, and Collins, and one Holland, a mariner, were convicted on the confession of Sir Geoffrey Pole, another brother of the Cardinal, and were executed as traitors. Not long after, the aged mother of the Cardinal, the countess of Salisbury, met the same fate; while the Marchioness of Exeter, and others of the family, were

cruelly persecuted.

351. Anne of Cleves.—The negociations for effecting the marriage of HENRY VIII. with Anne of Cleves were now busily set on foot. Hans Holbein, the celebrated painter, was sent over to take her portrait. Cromwell, and the Lutheran princes of Germany, advocated the match as a means of strengthening the Reforming party; while the Emperor, and the Romanists, did all they could to prevent it. France also was in the interest of Cromwell, hoping by such a measure to weaken the strength of Charles V. The portrait was at length finished and presented to the King, who was so satisfied with her beauty, that the match was concluded. She arrived in December of this year, and HENRY hastened to the coast to receive her; but unfortunately the likeness had been too flattering; the King no sooner saw her, than he was disgusted, not only with her appearance, but with her manners, and with the roughness

^{350.} What persecutions fell upon the family of Car-351. What reasons urged on the King's alliance with Anne of Cleves? What were the circumstances of the King's disappointment in respect of her?

of her Dutch speech; and he resolved if possible to break off the match.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

A. D. 1540.

- 352. The King marries.—Henry, upon reflection, seeing that he had gone too far to retract without endangering his foreign alliances, most reluctantly led his bride to the altar, and they were married on Jan. 6th; but with every appearance on the part of the King of extreme aversion. To this unfortunate marriage may be attributed the ruin of Cromwell; there was still, however, every external appearance of continued favour, and he was even advanced to the earldom of Essex; yet it was but brief.
- 353. Commissions.—At the meeting of parliament, on the motion of the Lord Vicegerent who simed at a mitigation of the severity of the late Act, a commission was appointed for the establishment of Uniformity in religious faith, which was composed of the two Archbishops, six bishops, and eleven learned divines, who were to settle the 'doctrine necessary for the erudition of a Christian man.' There was also another Commission of six prelates to consider what Ceremonies should be retained.

354. **Enights Hospitalers** suppressed.—The Knights of St John of Jerusalem having refused to deliver up their houses, an especial bill was brought into parliament to enforce their surrender (32 Hen. VIII. c. 24.). The design of this military brotherhood had been to guard and entertain all such as made a pilgrimage to the Holy Sepulchre at Jeru-

^{352.} What induced the king to complete the marriage? When were they married? What resulted from that marriage to Cromwell? 353. What commissions were appointed in respect of religion by order of Cromwell? 354. When were the houses of the Knights of St John of Jerusalem suppressed? Who were these Knights? And what was the original design of their foundation? Whence came their name?

salem; and to take care of poor and HENRY VIII. Paul III. sick pilgrims. In course of time Cranmer. they were expelled from Judea, and then retired to Cyprus, and afterwards to Rhodes. They were, however, driven from this Island; and at last by permission of the Emperor they settled at Malta, hence their name of the 'Knights of Malta.' Their great wealth, and possessions, and their close connection with the Pope and the Emperor, brought them under the vigilant eye of Cromwell and the King, and they were now legally suppressed; some provision, however, was made for their priors in the shape of pensions. Their original name was derived from the founders of their order having a church and monastery at Jerusalem, dedicated to St John the Baptist; while from their duties they acquired the name of 'Knights Hospitalers.

355. **Gromwell** executed.—The King's antipathy to his new wife, instead of decreasing, had advanced to such a pitch, as to determine him on procuring a divorce: moreover, he had become captivated with the charms of Catharine Howard, the niece of the Duke of Norfolk, the great prop and leader of the Papal party. This new attachment brought the Romanist leaders into favour, who did not scruple to throw all possible odium upon Cromwell, as the mover of the objectionable match; and who besides, having risen from low origin to the highest position in the state, had incurred the jealousy and enmity of the noble and powerful. The Duke of Norfolk, and the Lord Vicegerent, looked on each other with bitter hatred, and the opportunity now presented itself to the Duke and

^{355.} Did Henry become reconciled to his union with Anne of Cleves? What new attuchment interfered? How did this operate against Cromwell? What mas the fate of Cromwell? [F.F. 2.] 358. Give a brief memoir of the life of Cromwell.

his party for revenge: Norfolk had HENRY VIII. Paul III. gained the King's ear from his rela-Cranmer. tionship with Catharine Howard, and was backed on by the crafty Gardiner, HENRY consequently by their persuasions looked on Cromwell as the author of his present position, and calculated on the sacrifice of his minister in order to regain the affections of his people, which they said had been estranged by this connection; as well as to acquire the hand of Norfolk's niece. A bill of attainder was therefore suddenly procured against Cromwell. on a charge of treason and heresy, and he was committed to the Tower, June 13th. Cranmer was the only man who had the virtue to plead with the King in his favour; but the disgrace of a minister in those days was a prelude to his death. The accusations were numerous and false, but as in a bill of attainder, which he himself had been instrumental in enacting, no defence was permitted, Cromwell was accordingly condemned; and shortly after executed as a traitor on Tower Hill. July 28.

356. Thomas Cromwell was born at Putney in Surrey, and was the son of a blacksmith: in his early years he endured many trials, and much hardship: he was at one time a clerk in an English factory at Antwerp; and was once so reduced when abroad as to be compelled to beg his bread. At Florence a merchant named Frescobaldi took compassion on his appearance, and gave him a new suit of clothes, which enabled him with a little charity to return to England. The activity and address of Cromwell, and his knowledge of the Continent introduced him to Cardinal Wolsey, who made him his secretary; and by the influence of his patron he obtained a seat in the House of Commons. Wolsey's death in 1530 brought him in contact with the King, the knowledge of whose character, and his own ready address in bending it, led him ultimately to the highest offices in the state. As Vicar-general, and Lord Vicegerent, he wielded the newly acquired ecclesiastical power of HENRY; carried out the suppression of the monasteries; upheld the royal supremacy; advocated a reformation in the Church; and in all things endeavoured to satisfy the caprice of his royal master; but by

that caprice too fickle to be contented, and by the malice of his enemies, rather than from any fault of his own, *Cromwell* fell, and suffered the death of a traitor.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

357. Anne of Cleves Divorced .- The King now pushed on the dissolution of his marriage with Anne of Cleves: he desired the Parliament to consider it, and the Convocation to investigate it. In obedience to the King's known desire, the Convocation,—upon the ground that the King had married her against his will, and had not inwardly consented; and that the marriage had not been consummated, nor was likely to be,-authoritatively annulled the marriage, and declared both parties at liberty to marry again: this decision was sanctioned by Parliament; and the Queen consented to the proposal of the King that she should be considered to be his adopted sister, and have a pension of £3000. a year. She was required also to announce to her relatives, and the foreign courts, that she gave a willing acquiescence to these arrangements. Thus ended the fourth marriage of the King; which was followed shortly after by the marriage of Henry with Catharine Howard, who, besides being the niece of the Duke of Norfolk, was cousin-german to Anne Boleyn.

358. Six Articles Act altered.—Through the instrumentality of Cranmer, a bill was now passed through Parliament (32 Hen. VIII. c. 10.) to mitigate the severities of the Act of the 'Six Articles:' it bore especially on the clauses that related to the Marriage of priests, and the incontinence of the clergy; commuting the penalties of death

^{357.} What was the decision of the Convocation in respect of the king's marriage with Anne of Cleves? How was it dissolved? What offer was made to Anne of Cleves? Did she consent to it? Whom did Henry next marry? 358. What act was passed through Cranmer's exertions for mitigating the severity of the Act of the Six Articles? P. I.]

for those offences into confiscation HENRY VIII. Paul III. of property, and of the revenues of their benefices.

Persecutions. - Notwithstanding this, however, the spirit of persecution was violently abroad. Protestants, and Papists suffered alike; the former for heresy, in denying the 'Corporal Presence,' and the latter for treason, in denying the Royal Supremacy. Among the martyrs on the Protestant side was Dr Barnes, a friend of Cromwell's: some little time before, he had answered Bishop Gardiner, who had preached at St Paul's Cross against 'justification by faith without works,' and passed some scurrilous reflections upon his person: he was examined before the King, and compelled to recant, and ask pardon of Gardiner from the same pulpit ; but getting excited during the expression of his apology, he repeated and justified the very doctrines for which he had been condemned: he was accordingly sent to the Tower, together with Garret (or Gerard), and Jerome, two preachers, who held the same opinions: it is said indeed, that people hardly knew for what opinions they had been condemned. They were, however, brought to the stake three days after the execution of Cromwell: and as if to balance the scale, three Papists, Abel, Featherstone, and Powell, suffered at the same time for denying the King's Supremacy. They were dragged to Smithfield on a hurdle, a Protestant and a Romanist coupled together.

Bonner's Cruelty.—The notorious Bonner began now to unmask his hypocrisy; he had professed to be a reformer, and united himself to the opinions of Cromwell, and Cranmer; through whose recommendation, and on this account, he had been translated to the see of London: the

^{359.} What befel Dr Barnes? Who suffered with him? What was their accusation? 360. What especial act cruelty is recorded of Bonner?

death of Cromwell, and the leaning of the King towards the papal party, gave opportunity to Bonner, and he HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

gave opportunity to Bonner, and he now used every energy to bring all he could under the 'Six Articles' statute. His vindictive cruelty is conspicuous in dragging a boy of fifteen, named **Mckins**, before the courts on a charge of denying "the corporal presence:" two grand juries ignored the bill of indictment, but Bonner with violent rage and threatening insisted on their 'finding a true bill: the poor boy would have said and done anything for mercy, but such was not the temperament of Bonner: he barbarously ordered him to the stake; and there

he was burnt to death.

361. Jesuits founded.—It was at this period that the celebrated Order of Jesuits, or 'Society of Jesus,' had its beginning. The growing weakness of the Papal influence led Paul III. to confirm its establishment, and grant to its founder, Ignatius Loyola, a Spaniard, very important privileges. In return for which, the Order bound themselves to yield the most blind, implicit, and unlimited obedience to the Pope; adopt all means to support and promote the Roman Catholic religion; and go into any country as missionaries in behalf of the Papacy to which he may command. The chief associates of Louola were Faber. Xavier, Lainez, Rodriquez d' Arevedo, Salmeron, Aquaviva, and Nadal. Their great principle of action was not so much the advance of Christianity, as the extension of the Papal power; and in effecting this, their great maxim was 'the end will justify the means;' a maxim supported by the doctrine of mental reservation, and a conformity to the ways of the world, and the manners of the age; so that they would assume any position in society however low or menial; and outwardly teach and preach any doctrine

^{361.} When, and by whom was the Order of Jesuits founded? What was their policy? What was their great principle of action?

however false in order secretly and stealthily to promote the interests of the Papacy. Loyola died, July 31. 1556.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

A. D. 1541.

362. The Bible extended.—HENRY began this year with endowing the new bishoprics of Westminster, Gloucester, Peterborough, and Chester. A new impression of the Bible was also issued, and the King ordered a copy to be set up in all parish churches by All-Saints' day under penalty of forty shillings a month till it was done: instructions were also issued to the people, that in reading it, they were to read it reverently, and quietly, but not during mass, nor to the disturbance of the congregation. Cranmer, at the same time, issued certain injunctions to the bishops to restrain the wasteful expenditure of their tables, grown into a custom under the plea of hospitality, and which their incomes could not properly support; the intention, however, failed. Yet an Act was passed regulating the number of Horses the Archbishops and Bishops might keep. (33 Hen. VIII. c. 5)

363. **The Queen** accused.—An attempt was made in Yorkshire to revive the 'Pilgrimage of Grace,' but it died away from the want of supporters. Henry made a journey into the North towards the autumn with his young wife, in whom he expressed himself to be well contented. But a private communication was made by one Lascelles to Cranmer, accusing the Queen of incontinence prior to her union with the King. The Archbishop laid the facts, and the evidence before Henry.

^{362.} What new bishoprics did Henry begin to endow in 1541? What order did the king issue respecting the provision of a Bible in parish churches? What injunctions were put forth by Cranmer to economize the income of the bishops? 363. What charge was brought against queen Catharine (Howard)? Who were accused as accomplices?

The King was astounded at the information, and immediately ordered the arrest of all the parties concerned, and likewise of the Queen's relatives for concealing the facts. She was accused of leading a loose life with a Francis Dereham, and one Mannock, before her marriage with the King; and of infidelity after the marriage with one Culpepper, a near relation of her's. The infamous lady Rockford, infamous for her instrumentality in the ruin of Anne Boleyn, and of her own husband, gave teatimony in proof of the Queen's guilt with Culpepper.

A. D. 1542.

364. The Queen beheaded.—On the meeting of Parliament, a bill of attainder was procured against the Queen, and her accomplices. Catharine confessed to her irregularities before her marriage, but denied all guiltiness after her alliance with the King. Judgment at length was given against her, and those charged with her. Dereham, and Culpepper were executed; and Queen Catharine, and the lady Rochford, were beheaded on February 14th. The Queen's relatives were kept in close confinement for some time, but the King's anger cooling, they were at last pardoned.

365. Lord Howard, the Queen's brother, who was ambassador in France was now recalled, and his place supplied by Paget, who was instructed to negociate a treaty with the French king against the Emperor. The marriage of the Princess Mary with the duke of Orleans was the pivot on which the

negociation turned, but it came to nothing

366. The Bible.—At home, the bishops in Con-

364. What was the end of Catharine Howard, and those charged with her? 365. What negociation was now opened with the king of France? 366. What attempt was made by the bishops to check the reading of the Bible? How did Cranmer frustrate their design?

vocation attempted to check the general perusal of the *Bible*, and procure its being called in: to effect their

HENRY VIII.
Paul III.
Cranmer.

its being called in: to effect their design they set up the plea that the translation was inaccurate. Cranmer frustrated their intention of taking the revision into their own hands, by which they meant to withhold the Bible from the people as long as they thought fit, by procuring an order from the King to refer its revision to the two Universities. To give more encouragement to the popular desire for a knowledge of the true fundamentals of religion, a book of Homilies explanatory of the Epistles and Gospels now appeared. The year closed with a war with Scotland, which ended in the death of the Scottish king, and a renewal of peace.

367. Council at Trent.—It was also arranged at this period that a General Council should be held at Trent. The Pope had summoned it at Mantua, but France, and England would acknowledge no Council held in Italy. The Pope then tried to have it at Vicenza, but neither the Emperor, nor Francis, nor Henry would consent, and so Trent was fixed

on.

A. D. 1543.

368. **Reformation** impeded.—Early in the new year, Gardiner procured the passing of a law for the support of what he called 'true religion,' (34 and 35 Hes. viii. c. 1.) in which, however, Tyndale's translation of the Bible was prohibited; liberty to read the Scriptures confined to certain persons of rank, and respectability; tradesmen, apprentices, labourers, and husbandmen were forbidden to have a Bible in their possession; and no books were to be printed on religious subjects without a license.

^{367.} What arrangement was now effected in respect of a General Council? 368. What new law in support of religion was now brought in? How did it effect the punishment of heresy?

wav he chose.

Burnet says this act was framed by Cranmer; but this is not considered correct; Cranmer may probably have introduced certain modifications; for it also enacted that churchmen should not be burnt for heresy till their third conviction; and that the laity for the third offence should forfeit their goods and chattels, and be liable to perpetual imprisonment. The act of the 'Six Articles,' however, was confirmed, yet the King was empowered to limit the act in any

369. The King's Book.—This was followed soon after by the publication of 'The Necessary Doctrine and Erudition for any Christian man.' It was called the "King's Book" from containing a preface written by the King himself, and from coming out by Royal authority: it was the work of the Commissioners who had been lately appointed for the purpose; and was apparently arevision of the "Bishop's Book" published in 1537; with, however, some important additional matter. A comparison of the two clearly shows a falling back; for the "King's Book" did not lean so much to the doctrines of the Reformation as the 'Bishop's Book;' and this is easily accounted for in the fact that the Act of the 'Six Articles' was in operation, and Gardiner bp. of Winchester in power. In the preface there is a strong passage respecting the perusal of the Scriptures, which admits the necessity of reading them in those whose office it is to teach; but in those who are to be taught, their duty is only to hear; in support of which, this quotation follows—"Blessed "are they that hear the word of God, and keep it." The Reformers were by no means, satisfied with the book.

^{369.} What was the publication called the 'King's Book?' What state of religious feeling does it exhibit? What observation occurs in the preface respecting the reading of the Bible? How was it received by the Reformers?

but it was their policy to concur in it; and Cranmer reluctantly issued his orders for its adoption by the clergy as their infallible rule of doctrine.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

370. War with France.-The encouragement given to the Scots in their late attack upon England by the French monarch, and some few other trifling differences now produced an open rupture between HENRY, and Francis. War was declared, and a few forces sent over under Sir John Wallop.

371. Catharine Parr wedded. — HENRY, having become weary of his widowhood, now married Catharine Parr, widow to Neville, Lord Latimer. She was secretly partial to the reforming doctrines, which gave great joy to the Protestant party.

372. Cranmer in danger.—The Romanists were somewhat dismayed, and immediately laid a plan for the overthrow of Cranmer. Dr London, formerly a friend of Cromwell's, and an advocate of the new opinions, but now a truckler to the Papal party, laid a charge of heresy against a few men of Windsor for having in their possession books against the 'Six Articles.' They were *Pearson* a priest, *Test*wood, Marbeck, and Filmer. Through the influence of Gardiner, they were condemned, and, with the exception of Marbeck, publicly burnt. Dr London, and his attorney Simonds, contemplated other accusations involving certain high individuals about the court; but their documents being intercepted, they themselves were convicted of perjury, and

^{371.} Whom did Henry marry after Catharine Howard? What was the religious bias of Catharine Parr? 372. What charge was made against certain men of Windsor? by whom? how did it end? What occurred subsequently to Dr London, and his attorney? What charges were at this time got up against Cranmer? What share had Gardiner in the matter? What was the conduct of the king? and of Cranmer? Digitized by GOOGLE

publicly exhibited in the pillory, HENRY VIII. and about the streets. Dr London Paul III. was so affected by this disgrace that

Cranmer.

he died soon after. At the instance of Gardiner, this man had procured some informers to inculpate Cranmer, and his chaplains in the charge of heresy; and the articles of proof were sent to the King, whose ear, a little before, had been poisoned against the Archbishop by the crafty Gardiner. Cranner was sent for by Heney, and all the documents laid before him; but the real affection of the monarch for his amiable adviser, and *Cranmer's* honest sincerity, and confidence in his King, turned the tables in his favour. The injured Archbishop, of too meek and Christian a spirit for retaliation or revenge, forgave his enemies, and suffered the affair to drop.

A. D. 1544.

373. Laws affecting the Church.—The Parliament now passed several important Acts; one (35 Hen. VIII. c. 1.), allowing the King to secure the Succession with such limitations as he might think proper, first to Prince Edward, and his heirs, or to the heirs of the King's present wife; then to the Lady Mary; and then to the Lady Elizabeth. Another (35 Hen. VIII. c. 3.), ratifying the King's Title of 'Henry VIII., by the grace of God, King of 'England, France, and Ireland, Defender of the 'Faith, and of the Church of England, and also of 'Ireland, in earth the Supreme Head.' Another Act was passed through the influence of Cranmer, to mitigate the severities of the Act of the 'Six Articles ;' by which (35 Hen. VIII. c. 5.) there was to be no imprisonment without the King's warrant; no accu-

^{373.} What was the act of succession respecting Prince Edward, and the two Princesses? What other act was passed through Cranmer's influence to mitigate the severities of the 'Six Articles' Act? [P. 1.] Digitized by Google

sation upon a Sermon after forty days; and none upon words spoken after a year. By another Act, (35 Cranmer. Hen. VIII. c. 16.), a Commission was appointed

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

to revise the Ecclesiastical laws.

374. Regency.—HENRY now became engaged in a war with France in conjunction with the Emperor, and in another with Scotland: he made preparations for passing over to the Continent in person, appointing the Queen as Regent during his absence, with the assistance of Cranmer, and Lord Wriothesley, the keeper of the seals during the illness of Sir Thomas Audley, and at whose death, shortly after, he succeeded as Chancellor; he was a Papist, however; but his bias was counterbalanced by the appointment of Dr Petre, a friend of Cranmer's, and a Protestant, as Secretary of State: in addition to these, the Earl of Hertford had a post in the Regency.

375. Litany in English.—The King also desired Cranmer to translate the Litany, and a few Prayers into English for the benefit of the people: it very much resembled the present one, except that there were the invocation of Saints, and Angels, and the petition- from the tyranny of the bishop of 'Rome, and all his detestable enormities;' this followed the word 'conspiracy:' there were also added some devotional Prayers, Psalms, and an Exposition

or paraphrase of the Lord's Prayer.

376. The War.—The King proceeded to France, and got possession of Boulogne; but finding the Emperor fail in his assistance, he returned home satisfied with this limited piece of success. He would

^{374.} Who were in the Regency when the King went to the French war? 375. What did Cranmer to the Litany at the King's desire? 376. What prevented Henry from breaking with the Emperor on his failing to give him the promised assistance in his French war fized by GOOGIC

have quarrelled with Charles V., had it not been for the approach of HENRY VIII. Paul III. the GENERAL COUNCIL, which was Cranmer. now appointed to be held at Trent next year; and HENRY was desirous of his friendship at this juncture, lest the Pope, seconded as he now was by his legate, Cardinal Pole, might procure some injurious decree against him. The war in Scotland was somewhat unsuccessful.

A. D. 1545.

377. **Reformers** encouraged. — This year brought with it great encouragement to the Reformers, by the preferment of several divines favourable to the Protestant cause. Lee, Archbishop of York, being dead, his place was supplied by Holgate: Kitching (or Kitchen) succeeded Holgate in Llandaff; Heath was removed from Rochester to Worcester; Holbeach went to Rochester; Sampson, to Lichfield and Coventry; and Day supplied Sampson's place in Chichester. The King's Frimer, or a Book of Common Prayer in English, was published by authority; and is said to have been the compilation of the new Queen.

378. Scotland.—The Reformation had been introduced into Scotland in 1528; and now followed persecution. Patrick Hamilton, and Wishart who had been educated in Cambridge, were brought to the stake through the influence of Cardinal Beaton, the legate there; but the Roman prelate soon after met his own death, having been assassinated by some of his enemies, of which he had very many on account of his tyranny and overbearing insolence. The Reforming party now took up arms against the

^{377.} What great preferments in 1545 gave considerable encouragement to the Reformers? When was the 'King's Primer' first published? 378. What was the fate of Hamilton, and Wishart? Of Cardinal Beaton?

government: and the renowned John Knox began his preaching.

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

x 2

379. Other houses suppressed.—In the Parliament of this year all Colleges, chantries, fraternities, &c., were made over to the King; the two Universities, however, were excepted (37 Hen. VIII. c. 4.): thus completing the suppression of Religious Houses is energetically begun, and carried on by the

indefatigable Cromwell.

Visitation of the Monasteries. The first Visitation took place in October A. D. 1535. Enquiry was made as to their foundation? their statutes? their discipline? their worship? their vows? how their heads were chosen? their revenues managed? their duties performed? their time occupied? also, whether they lived according to the severities of their order? what hospitality was observed? what benefices they had, and how disposed of? how Nunneries were conducted? what was the state of their morality? &c. &c. The Visitors also were empowered to punish offenders, or cite them before the Visitor General. and to impose certain rules for their observance, and enforce on them the Royal Supremacy. The consequence of these enquiries was such a display of vice, corruption, knavery, and debauchery, that the public voice called for their reformation, and the avarice of the court for their suppression. The effect of this determination was, that in :--

1535. After the first Visitation, three houses surrendered.

(See par. 324.).

1536. Four other houses surrendered; and the suppression of all the Smaller Monasteries, whose incomes were under £200., was ordered by Act of Parliament. From this, 375 fell in to the King. (See par. 327.).

1537. Another Visitation was set on foot, which led to the surrender of many of the Larger Monasteries. (See par. 338.).

379. What was the final measure for the suppression of religious houses? 380. When, and in what way did the dissolution of monasteries take place? [H. 2.] Give an account of the steps by which the religious houses came into the hands of Henry VIII. [Q. 2. F. F. 2.]

1539. An Act for the seizure and sup-HENRY VIII. pression of the remaining Monas-Paul III. teries; and confirming those lately Cranmer. dissolved; granting also their revenues to the King. (See par. 346.).

The Knights of St John of Jerusalem suppressed, 1540. and their property confiscated to the King.

par. 354.).

pressed Monasteries:—

1542. Some Hospitals resigned, and their statutes an-

1545. The surrender of all Colleges, Chapels, Chantries, and Hospitals to the King (Oxford and Cambridge excepted). (See par. 379.).

Houses spared.—There were some few of the religious houses, against which it is said the visitors could bring no charges of vice, and immorality; among these were the Nunneries of Polesworth in Warwickshire, and of Godstow in Oxfordshire, and the abbeys of St Edmondsbury, Tewkesbury, and St Alban's.* And by the intercession of Sir Richard Gresham, St Bartholomen's, and St Thomas's Hospitals in London were preserved.

Number and Value of the Houses .-The whole number of religious houses suppressed amounted to about 930-of the larger 555, of the smaller 375.-And the total sum of their revenues reached to about £172,914. per annum: of this sum, the larger monasteries yielded £142.914., and the smaller £30,000. The Benedictines were the largest sufferers, losing 186 houses; then the Austins, who lost 173 houses; and next the Cistercians who lost 101 houses; the Knights of St John were the next.

From ' Tanner's Notitia' edited by Nasmith we have the following account of the revenue and number of the sup-

No. of Houses.	Orders.	Revenue. £. s. d.		
186	Benedictines	65,877 14 0		
20	Cluniacs	4.972 9 21		
9	Carthusians	2,947 15 4		
101	Cistercians	18,691 12 6		
316	Carried forward	92,489 11 04		

^{381.} What were the principal houses against which it is recorded the Visitors could bring no charges? [Q. 2.] 382. What was the amount in number, and value of the religious houses that were suppressed? ouppresseu:
• See Strype's Eccl. Memoirs, 388. by Google

No

. of Houses.	Orders.	Revenue.		
•		£.	s.	d.
316	Brought forward	92,489	11	01
173	Austins	33,027	1	11
32	Premonstratensians	4,807	14	1
25	Gilbertins	2,421	13	9
3 3	Fontevraud Nuns	825	8	6 <u>1</u>
3	Minoresses	548	10	
1	Bridgettines	1,781	8	94
2	Bonhommes	859	5	114
	Knights Hospitalers	5,394	6	5 I
	Friars		11	8
555	Larger Houses	142,914	12	9
375	Smaller Houses	30,000	0	0
930		2172,914	12	91
				m

383. Application of the Property.—The ostensible objects for which the vast revenues of these houses were given to the King were the erection of new Bishoprics, establishing some useful endowments, and the construction of harbours: it resulted, however, in the erection of only six bishoprics, viz.; Bristol, Chester, Gloucester, Oxford, Peterborough, and Westminster: fifteen Chapters, viz.; Bristol, Canterbury, Carlisle, Chester, Durham, Ely, Gloucester, Norwich, Peterborough, Rochester, Westminster, Winchester, Windsor, Worcester, and Wolverhampton: two Colleges, viz.; Trinity College, Cambridge, and Christ Church, Oxford; besides Christ's College, Newgate; and several Grammar Schools, and Hospitals. What was done for the improvement and construction of harbours, or other public works, is not known.

384. **The Result.**—The immediate result of this measure, in addition to what has been previously observed (in par. 383.) was the enriching of court favourites, and spendthrifts, at the expense of the Church, and to the injury of the poor, and the industrious agricultural tenantry throughout the country: thus originating Lay Impropriation. The upper classes also lost the means of providing for their younger children, and pensioning off their superannuated attendants. Lesses were destroyed, rents raised.

^{383.} What were the ostensible objects for which they were granted to the crown? [Q. 2.] and how far were those objects realized? [Q. 2.] 384. What was the immediate and what was the ultimate result of this measure? [H. 2.]

tenants oppressed, and the labourer out of employment; Moreover, the new Lay Impropriators reduced the stipends of their Vicars, and Curates to the lowest rate;

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

Vicars, and Curates to the lowest rate; Cranmer. and to save the pensions upon the property, the monks were put into the small livings. Many a presentation to a living was given to a surveyor, a steward, a gardener, a falconer, to goldsmiths, builders, and other traders, at the caprice of the patron. There was, however, an ultimate good resulting from all this: so vast a property, passing from a slumbering and inactive body into the hands of active and busy possessors, gave increased stimulus to trade, commerce, and internal industry, which soon led to the development of the great power and resources of the kingdom, and placed it on that pinnacle of greatness in the scale of nations, which England by an Almighty Providence now enjoys.

A. D. 1546.

385. The earliest event of importance this year was the death of the celebrated reformer, Martin Luther:—

Martin Luther was born at Eisleben, in Lower Saxony, in 1483.: his early education was at a school in Madgeburg, afterwards at Eisenach, and finally at the University of Erfurt (1501), where he took his degree (1503): his father intended him for the law, but his own prejudices led him to choose a monastic life, and he accordingly entered an Augustinian monastery at Erfurt (1505). While here, he became impressed with the utter uselessness of ascetic exercises, and looked for salvation only by faith in Christ. In 1507. he took priest's orders, and in the following year (1508) was appointed Professor of Philosophy, in the University of Wittemberg; and now he began to throw off the trammels of the schools, and assert the right of reason. He was sent on a deputation to the Pope (Leo X.) in 1510, when originated his disgust at the abuses and corruptions of the Roman Church. He returned, and took his degree of Doctor in Divinity, (1512), and exhibited wonderful eloquence, and a profound knowledge of Scripture truth. The disgraceful sale of indulgences by Tetzel, drew from Luther ninety-five arguments against the Papacy

^{385.} When did Martin Luther flourish? When, die? Give a shetch of Luther's life, and progress? [A.D.]

(1517). They were condemned as heretical HENRY VIII. by the Pope's legate (Cajetan) at Augsburg Paul III. (1518); but Luther would not recant. He Cranmer. held a conference with Miltitz, and afterwards in conjunction with Carlostadt disputed with Eck at Leipsic, and at last denied the Pope's supremacy (1519): he, in the same year published his 'Commentary on the Epistle to the Galatians.' Luther was now excommunicated (1510): he however burnt the Papal bull, and denounced the Pope as 'Antichrist.' He next presented himself at the Diet of Worms (1521), in defence of his opinions, but he was condemned by an especial edict, and escaped to Wartburg under the protection of the Elector, Frederic; where he set about his translation of the Bible: the New Testament he here completed; and soon after (1522), it was published at Wittemberg. He next wrote against Henry VIIIth's treatise on the Seven Sacraments. Luther now abandoned the monastic life (1524), and opposed Carlostadt's opinion on the Sacrament, declaring his own view of Consubstantiation. He next married Catharine de Bora, lately a nun (1525), by whom he had three sons; and wrote a treatise. 'De servo arbitrio,' in answer to Erasmus. He next published a German Liturgy (1526); and soon after, joined in the Protest put in by the reforming princes against the edicts of the Diet at Spires (1529). His doctrines were embodied into certain articles called the 'Articles of Torquu' (1530). which were enlarged by Melanchthon in the same year into the celebrated 'Confession of Augsburg.' Luther subsequently wrote against Masses; and on the Apostles' Creed; and a few expositions of parts of Scripture (1533): in the next year was published his translation of the Bible complete (1534). He had also a long controversy with Agricola of Eisleben against Antinomianism (1538). length, after writing various other treatises, worn out more by labour than age, this illustrious man died at his native

place, Feb. 18th, 1546.

386. **Persecution.**—Fresh persecutions were now set on foot against those who denied the 'corporal presence,' and otherwise became liable to the Six Articles; **Shaxton** was accused of denying the 'corporal presence,' and condemned to be burnt;

^{386.} What accusation was brought against Shaxton? What was his conduct? What befel Anne Ascough?

but he recanted, and escaped. Anne Ascough (Askew), a gentlewoman of good family, and in great favour

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

with the Queen, was also indicted on the same charge; she recanted at first, and was set at liberty; but when re-committed on some similar charge, she refused to abjure. The persecuting Chancellor (Wriothesley), and his Papistical party, thought to implicate through her certain high-persons at Court, and Anne Ascough was therefore put to the rack; the barbarous Wriothesley even applied his own hands to increase the torture. Nothing however was gained from her, and she was condemned to be burnt; others suffered with her, and the apostate Shaxton had the mortification to have to preach the sermon at their execution.

387. Cranmer and the Queen in danger.— Cranmer did not escape the virulence of these enemies to the reformation; he was openly accused to the King of being the fountain-head of all the heresy in the kingdom. Henry's partiality for the faithful Primate was not to be shaken, he furnished him with a ring as a token of appeal to himself if necessary; yet to bring Cranmer's enemies to a sense of shame, the King suffered them to proceed to a certain length, and then exposed their machinations, and rebuked their vindictiveness. Even the Queen was in like jeopardy with Cranmer, and narrowly escaped a violent death. She had been prone to argue with the King in behalf of the Reforming doctrines, which, as HENRY advanced in years, became troublesome, and objectionable; Gardiner, and Wriothesley, seized the opportunity thus presented by the King's peevishness, and the Queen's disfa-vour, to accuse her of denying the 'corporal pres-

Digitized by Google

^{387.} What accusation was brought against Cranmer? and afterwards against the Queen? How did they escape?

sence,' and of being in fact a general supporter of heresy; the tyrannical King at once gave his consent to her impeachment, and her committal to the Tower. She accidentally, however, discovered the plot before it was brought to maturity, and the impeachment completed; and by feigned explanations, and great dexterity she warded off her impending danger,

and turned the tables against Gardiner, who never

regained the royal favour.

388. The **Council of Frent** was now holding its first sitting (A.D. 1546-6.), to repress Lutheranism, reform the Church, and declare its Faith, but it consumed three sessions in mere preliminaries; and was attended at present by about 50 Cardinal Legates. Henry at the same time entered into a treaty with the King of France to alter the service of the **DEass** into a *Communion*; and *Cranmer* was directed to prepare a form of service; the two Kings, however, did not live to carry out their design.

S89. Fall of Norfolk.—The year closed with a violent rupture between the noble families of the Howards, and the Seymours. The Earl of Hertford (Seymour), who was the maternal uncle of Prince Edward, dreaded the influence of his powerful rival, the Duke of Norfolk (Howard), in the Regency of the young Prince, whose accession was soon expected. He therefore aimed at the removal of the Howard family by some means or other before Henry's death; the King also had a dread of this rivalry on the same account. Hertford set spies to work, and succeeded in getting up an accusation of treason against the Duke of Norfolk, and his son, the Earl of Surrey, and they were both committed to the Tower to await their trial.

^{388.} What was the intention of the Kings of England, and France, in respect of the Mass? When did the Council of Trent hold its first sitting? 389. What was the issue of the rupture between the Howards, and the Seymours?

A. D. 1547.

390. Surrey beheaded.—The Earl of Surrey was the first to be impeached, for he was most to be dreaded on account of his talent, energy, and wealth

impeached, for he was most to be dreaded on account of his talent, energy, and wealth; he was found guilty of treason for having borne the royal arms of Edward the Confessor, and was executed on the 19th of January. A bill of attainder was next introduced into parliament against the old Duke of Norfolk; the bill was hurried through both houses, for the King was dangerously ill: the royal assent was given by commission (Jan. 27th), and the Duke's execution ordered to be the first thing on the morrow; but before morning came Henry VIIIth was dead, and Norfolk was, in consequence, respited, yet remained in confinement.

391. **Henry VIII's Death.**—The King was in the 56th year of his age, and the 38th of his reign; and on his death-bed received the consolations of religion from *Cranmer* at his own request. *Jan.* 28th 1547. He was buried in St George's, Windsor, and was succeeded by his son, the young *Prince Edward*, a favourer of the Reformation. The French monarch *Francis* Ist died in March following; and was suc-

ceeded by Henry II. on the throne of France.

392. **Doctrine.**—During the reign of Henry three works were published by authority connected with the Reformation of the English Church, which give some idea of the standard of faith during, and at the close of this King's reign; viz. (1)—'Articles devised by the Kinges Highnes Magestie, to stablyshe Christen quietnes, and unitie amonge us,' in 1536.—(2) The **Bishop's Book**,

^{390.} What was the fate of the Earl of Surrey? How did the Duke of Norfolk escape the sentence of death? 391. When did Henry VIII. die? 392. What were the chief works relating to the Reformation published by authority under Henry VIII.? [a. l. c. 1. D. 3. K. 2. L. 3. 8. 4.]

or 'The Godly and pious Institution of a Christian man, in 1557.—and (3) The King's Book, or 'A necessary Doctrine and Erudition for any Christian

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

man, in 1543. To which may be added the **Exing's Primer.** A comparison of these enables us to trace in some measure the progress of the reforming doctrines.

393. The Articles put forward by the King were ten in number, five relating to Doctrine, and five to Ceremonies, and were the first confession of faith after the English Church had separated from Rome, (see par. 334.); they were inserted almost verbatim in the Bishop's Book,' and transferred thence into the 'King's Book,' with however certain alterations, and additions; by which we perceive that the Reformation was rather retrograde than progressive. Taking then the 'Ten Articles,' the 'Bishop's Book,' and the 'King's Book,' together, they successively explain the Creed, the Seven Sacraments, the Ten Commandments, the Lord's Prayer, the Ave Maria, the nature of Free Will, Justification, Good Works, and Prayers for the Dead. In them the Scriptures, the three Creeds, and the decrees of the first four General Councils bearing upon them, were made the standards of faith: the Romish Church added to this tradition, and an implicit faith in the teaching of the Church. Justification was declared to be the free gift of God by faith only through the merits of Christ: Good Works were made necessary to salvation, but not to justification; and these Good Works were said to consist rather in justice, mercy, and charity, than in image-worship, invocation of saints, or honor to priests; they included outward corporeal works, and inward spiritual works. The Bishop's Book allowed the Good Works of saints to benefit the whole body of Christians, and is much fuller on this subject than the King's Book: the Bishop's Book considered Christ's sufferings as propitiatory, the King's Book only exemplary. There must also be repentance (or penance), consisting of contrition, confession, stedfast purposes of amendment, and true faith; but this faith was divided into (a) a belief of the truth of the Gospel, and

^{393.} Give some account of the 'Bishop's Book,' and the 'King's Book.' [M.2. BB.3. FF.3. TT.2.] What was the nature of their contents? [c. 1. H.2.] And trace briefly the course of the Reformation as reflected in them. [s. 1. c. 1.] What was the change in respect of the doctrine of instification during this reign? [K.4.] of original sin? [K.4.]

(b) submission to the will of God; which HENRY VIII. included hope, love, and obedience. And Paul III. further, they declared that neither charity. Cranmer. nor faith were the cause of justification, for that was only to be ascribed to the merits of Christ; whereas the Romanists rested faith on an implicit belief in the teaching of the Church.—Original sin was admitted. and the corruption of man's heart said to be always remaining in him: the Bishop's Book is fuller on this than the King's Book. Free-will is explained in the King's Book. and very much in conformity with our Article: it is not in the Bishop's Book. Predestination was admitted in the Bishop's Book, but all inquisitiveness in the doctrine was condemned. Grace was said to be offered to all men. The King's Book did not enforce Predestination, as being obscure. The Authority of the Church was upheld as having the independent right to ordain what she considered necessary; there was a leaning however towards a communion with Rome. Prayers, Masses, and exequies for departed souls they thought good; the King's Book says for the quick and the dead;' but they were not to be abused to particular places, or before particular images. By the King's Book, Purgatory was not to be a subject of argument because of its uncertain existence, and Scripture not having declared where, or what the dead suffered. The use of Images was admitted in the Bishop's Book as helps, or books for the unlearned; and prayers and adorations might he made before them, but not to them, the worship was to God; hence a preference of one image to another, and the consequent pilgrimages were condemned. The invocation of Saints was admitted, under the notion of their being intercessors, but they were not to be prayed to for blessings; in the 'Articles' addresses were allowed to them to advance our prayers. The King's Book omits the subjects of devotion to images, saints, and masses for the dead. The five Sacraments are explained in the King's Book, but not in the Bishop's Book. Transubstantiation, and the denial of the cup to the laity are enforced in the King's Book; where is added 'the substance of the bread and wine do not remain after consecration; but in the 'Articles,' and Bishop's Book, a wider latitude was admitted. Baptism

Of the authority of the Church? [K. 4.] Of the condition of the soul after death? [I. 3.] Of the use of Images? [I. 3.] Of the Eucharist? [I. 3.]

was held necessary to salvation. In the King's Book, Auricular Confession was required as useful; and Penance (repen-

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

tance) declared necessary, provided the reliance was on the merits of Christ, and then the priest's absolution would be beneficial. Orders are allowed in the Bishop's Book, and King's Book to be but two, priests and descons. In the Bishop's Book, Bishops are not considered a divine institution: and the Papal Supremacy is completely rejected. Confirmation was admitted as being profitable, but not necessary to salvation; the chrism was also retained. Extreme Unction was affirmed to have been commanded by the Apostles. Matrimony is considered in the Bishop's Book to be commanded in some by God, and left free to all; in the King's Book there is an additional clause excepting priests, and others under vows of celibacy. The celibacy of the Clergy is omitted in the Bishop's Book, and only incidentally noticed in the King's Book. The Royal Supremacy is more strenuously enforced

in the King's Book than in the Bishop's Book.

394. After matters of doctrine, and the Apostle's Creed. the Ten Commandments were then explained; in the second Commandment, the Bishop's Book says, 'by these words we are utterly forbidden to make or to have any similitude 'or image, to the intent to bow down to it and worship it, '&c.;' in the King's Book it reads 'by these words we be 'not forbidden to make or to have similitudes or images, but only we be forbidden to make or to have them to the intent to do godly honor to them, as in Lev. xxvi.' Then was the Lord's Prayer explained; and in the King's Book the unlearned required to say the Paternoster in the vulgar tongue. Then came the Ave Maria, and the remark that the blessed Virgin is not an object of worship. After which were expositions of Free-will, Justification, and Good Works, in the King's Book but not in the Bishop's Book. After these things certain ceremonies were enjoined; but the King's Book had in addition, consecrating the font, the chalice, the corporale, the altar, and some other benedictions, and exorcisms.

395. The Bible.—Passing over the Translation of the Psalter by Richard Rolle, hermit of Hampole in the

^{394.} What matters besides doctrine were explained in 395. State the circumstances connected with the several translations of the Bible during the reign of Henry VIII. [G. 4.]

13th century; and a Version of a large portion of the New Testament of the same period; as well as Wickliff's translation of the Latin Vulgate, 1380; we come to

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

the printing of the HOLY SCRIPTURES:-the first appearance was that of the New Testament translated by Tyndale, aided by Joy, and Constantine; it was printed in Antwerp in 1526, and brought over to England; Fisher, and More bought up all the copies they could, and had them publicly burnt. Tundale reprinted it with some improvements in 1530, and these again were burnt by order of the Star Chamber. This translation was prohibited by the same Court in the following year, and was a third time burnt. Miles Coverdale, the associate of Tyndale, had the honor of completing the entire Bible, which he published in 1535. In 1537, a new edition of the Bible was published, edited by Rogers, who had the assistance of Coverdale: it was known as Matthem's Bible. This Bible was revised, and reprinted in 1538: it commenced in Paris, but the inquisition compelled the printers to desist, and it was completed in London, and had the Royal license; it was printed by Nicholson, and in the year following (1539) by Taverner. Soon after many of the copies were bought up, and burnt. In 1540, however, Cranmer's Great Bible appeared, which obtained the Royal sanction, and was ordered to be set up in churches. It was a revised edition of Matthem's Bible. and was printed at Paris under the auspices of Cromwell. and Coverdale, and Archdeacon Bonner, (afterwards Bishop) who assisted in its production. After the death of Cromwell, certain of the bishops prevailed over the King to prohibit the reading of the Bible, on the plea of there being many inaccuracies in it; hence in 1543 it was again suppressed .- Strype's Memoirs, b. I. c. 21.

396. **Primers.**—In addition to the preceding works appeared the *King's Primer* (in 1545), the first published by authority; it was a reprint of the second edition of *Marshall's Primer* of 1535, with certain omissions and alterations. It was intended as a first book of prayer and devotion: and contained a general Confession of sins; instructions for ordinary prayer, and for the Ave Maria; an exposition of the Lord's Prayer; with the Matins, Even-

song, and Litany in English; a Dirge, a kind of service for the dead: the seven penitential Psalms; the history of our Saviour from St John's Gospel; concluding with several excellent prayers for various occasions. It contained also the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and Ten Commandments in the vulgar tongue.

Summary of the Reign of Henry VIII.—38 years, from A. D. 1509 to 1547.

397. HENRY VIII. succeeded to the throne A. D. 1509; six weeks after his accession, he married Catharine, his brother Arthur's widow, having previously obtained a dispensation from Pope Julius II. against all ecclesiastical censures that such a proceeding might call forth. Continual struggles soon arose between the ecclesiastical and civil authorities respecting jurisdiction over the Clergy, which resulted in the abridgment of the privilege of 'benefit of clergy.' (1513) A slight attempt was made by Wolsey under the authority of a bull from Leo X, to reform the practices of ecclesiastics, and a few monasteries were then suppressed. (1519). Shortly after, the King entered the arena of controversy by writing a tract against Luther, which procured for him the title of 'Defender of the Faith.' (1521). But it was not long before Henry began to entertain scruples respecting the legality of his marriage with Catharine; which was strengthened by the objection of Spain and France to any matrimonial alliance with the Princess Mary on the plea of illegitimacy, in consequence of such marriage. The King therefore desired a divorce, the cause was tried at home before the Romish Legates without coming to a decision (1529), and was thence transferred to Rome. The procrastination and duplicity of the Papal court determined Henry to find a more expeditious way of deciding the question. Wolsey for his lukewarmness fell into disgrace, and was impeached. At length under the advice of Cranmer, the several Universities at home and abroad were called on to give their opinion on the case: they, in answer, declared the marriage illegal. The King now determined to set the Papal power at defiance. vocation having allowed the divorce (1533), Henry married Anne Boleyn, and in the same year sentence of divorce was formally pronounced by Cranmer, now Archbishop of Can-

^{397.} Give a summary of the reign of Henry VIII?

terbury; notwithstanding Pope Clement VII. declared against it. The determined opposition of the King to the Papal authority, and all who abetted it, induced

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

the clergy in convocation to acknowledge the Royal Supremacy with the limitation 'so far as the laws of Christ will allow,' (1531) :- This title was recognized by Parliament in the 'Submission of the Clergy' Act, (1534); which may be considered as the date of our separation from the Romish Church. Bishop Fisher, Sir Thomas More, and a few others of inferior standing refused to acknowledge the Royal Supremacy, and were in consequence beheaded, 1535. The Monks and Friers, who were a kind of standing army to the Pope, were the chief opponents to this measure: the King therefore contemplated the suppression of the monasteries, and gave orders for a General Visitation of those establishments to sanction by the discovery and exposure of their profligacy and abuses such an important step. In the course of a few years (1535-1540.) they were dissolved, and their revenues seized, and appropriated by the King. Thomas Cromwell, the Visitor-General, was the chief instrument in these proceedings; and with Cranmer, the two became the great promoters of the Reformation. Through their joint influence, Articles were promulgated declaring the Scriptures and the three Creeds to be the 'rule of faith,' 1536. Translations of the Bible were ordered to be circulated throughout the kingdom; the people to be taught the Lord's Prayer, the Ten Commandments, and the Apostles' Creed in the Vulgar tongue. The 'BISHOI'S BOOK,' or 'the Godly and pious Institution of a Christian Man, was published (1537); and six years after, appeared by royal authority the 'KING's BOOK,' or the Necessary Doctrine and Erudition of any Christian Man,' (1543): then followed the publication of the Litany in English (1544), and the 'King's Primer,' or a 'Book of Common Prayer,' (1545). Other circumstances favourable to the Reformation were the advocacy of Queen Anne Boleyn, and subsequently that of Queen Catharine Parr: to which may be added the preferment of several pious and enlightened men to bishoprics; the insurrection of the 'Pilgrimage of Grace,' (1536); and lastly, though not least with such a temperament as Henry VIIIth's, the citation of the King by the Pope (Paul III.) to appear before a General Council at Mantua, and the bull of excommunication against him for refusing to appear, (1538). The chief circumstances adverse to the Reformation were the prevalence of the superstitious errors of the Romish Church:

the Act of the Six Articles (1539) enforcing the celibacy of the clergy, transubstantiation, auricular confession, &c.; the disgrace and execution of Cromwell; the

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

marriage of Catharine Howard, and promotion of her relative the Duke of Norfolk, the chief lay patron of the Papal party; and the restrictions latterly imposed upon the

reading of the Bible.

State of Religion.-Although indeed no wonderful advance had been made in the Reformation during the reign of HENRY VIII., yet England, by the rejection of the Pope's supremacy, the suppression of the Monasteries, and the circulation of the Scriptures in the Vulgar tongue, had ceased to be a Popish country. HENRY was, doubtless, an educated man, and a man of good understanding; his natural disposition was liberal and generous, but the early acquisition of power taught him to become arbitrary and tyrannical: his will was law: and the flattery of courtiers made him vain, and dogmatic; his inheritance of vast wealth prompted him to extravagance. lust, and selfish dissipation, which degenerated into rapacity, and cruelty. All these qualities, however, fitted him to be the instrument, under Divine Providence, of rooting out the corruptions and abuses of the Papal power. great facility with which this was effected may in some measure be ascribed to the dissemination of the Scriptures in the vulgar tongue, which overthrew the charm of infallibility and supremacy claimed by the See of Rome, and exposed its superstitions and idolatries. Although indeed Henry VIII. by asserting and establishing the Royal Supremacy as the temporal head of the Church, and by his edicts for the publication and general reading of the Bible, may be considered as a Reformer; yet in all other respects he was a bigoted Romanist. The invention of Printing lent its powerful aid in giving growth to literature and encouragement to learning, which awakened the minds of the people, and kindled an ardent desire for improvement.

^{398.} What was the decided progress in the Reformation effected during the reign of Henry VIII? Give the character of Henry VIII. To what may be ascribed the great facility with which the changes connected with the Papal power in England were brought about? [L. 4.] What were the chief subjects of controversy among the advocates of the Reformation at the death of Henry VIII? [L. 2.]

and reformation; and it wanted but the strong and resolute spirit of a monarch to lead the way; and this spirit, the passions, and tyranny of HENRY VIII., readily supplied; and so he unitentionally passed

HENRY VIII. Paul III. Cranmer.

and tyranny of HENRY VIII., readily ortherest supplied: and so he unintentionally paved the way for the full admission of the Reformation; for it was indeed very far from his thoughts. But at this monarch's death, the Seven Sacraments of the Roman Church, the 'corporal presence' in the Eucharist, the denial of the cup to the laity, Auricular Confession, the celibacy of the clergy, and almost the whole ceremonial of the Mass, and other ancient forms, were retained in the Church, and formed the chief subjects of controversy among the advocates of the Reformation. In fact, the Doctrines and Ritual of the Romish Church may be said in this reign to have been thoroughly loosened from their foundation, but not removed.

Edward VI.—A. D. 1547.

399. Duke of Somerset. Pro-Edward VI. tector.—EDWARD VI. succeeded his father at the tender age of ten years: he was the issue of Queen Jane (Seymour), and was born October 12, 1537. The first proceeding was the appointment of the Earl of Hertford, (Edward Seymour), the King's maternal uncle, to the dignity of Protector of the kingdom, and governor of the King's person during his minority; restricted, however, to do nothing without the advice and consent of the fifteen appointed with him in the regency by the late King's will; who had nominated a Privy Council to assist in the management of state affairs. All that held offices were called on to swear allegiance to the new King, and the Prelates were required to take out new commissions, by which act they acknowledged that they held their bishoprics during the King's pleasure. At the approach of the coronation there was a large creation of Peers in conformity with Henry's last wish, by which the PROTECTOR,

^{399.} Who was Edward VI? Who was made Protector? What were the first proceedings of this reign? Who were excepted from the general pardon?

who was the leader of the Reforming party, was made Duke of Somerset ; and Lord Wriothesley, the ChancelEDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

lor, the leader of the Papal party, was created Earl of Southampton. Gardiner the greatest enemy of the Reformation, having incurred the displeasure of Henry VIII. was excluded by him from the Regency. Edward was crowned February 20, when a general pardon was proclaimed, from which however, the Duke of Norfolk, and Cardinal Pole, were

excepted.

400. **Injunctions.**—The first proceeding in respect of religion was an order from the Privy Council at the instance of Cranmer, appointing certain Commissioners to make a Visitation of all England, in order to enquire into the ignorance and scandalous lives of the clergy, which were now much complained of, and to note the rites and ceremonies practised, and the doctrines taught. Bp. Ridley paved the way for this Visitation by preaching at the Chapel Royal against the use of images, holy water, indulgences, and Papal usurpations; this led, however, to the violent and unauthorized removal of images in various parts of the country; and called forth from the pen of Gardiner a defence of these superstitions. Injunctions however were issued to the commissions, the same as those issued through Cromwell in the last reign; but with the addition that all images, shrines with their coverings, tables, candlesticks, pictures, paintings, and other monuments of feigned miracles, idolatry and superstition, whether in walls, glass windows, or elsewhere, in churches or houses should be removed and destroyed: that all processions, and pilgrimages should be discontinued: that the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and Ten Commandments be repeated in

^{400.} What were the Injunctions of Edward VI? [KK. 3.] Digitized by Google

English when there is no sermon; that the Epistle and Gospel be read in English at High-Mass, a chapter Edward VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

in English at High-Mass, a chapter out of the English Bible at matins and even-song: that the English Litany be said or sung kneeling in the midst of the Church; that a comely and honest pulpit be erected in every parish Church for the preaching of God's Word, either by Sermon or one of the Homilies lately put forth, every Sunday; and that the bidding prayer be used as prescribed. These Injunctions were to be observed by the clergy under the penalties of excommunication, sequestration or deprivation, according to the enormity of the case.—Cardwell's Doc. Ann.—Collier Ecc. Hist. II. 6. 4. During this Visitation, episcopal jurisdiction was suspended; and the bishops were required to preach at least four times in a year, and only in the cathedrals of their several dioceses, and to ordain none to Holy Orders but such as were duly qualified

401. **Exomilies.**—To provide for proper and correct teaching in points of faith and practice, adapted to the capacities of the people, the *First Book* of Homilies was published, under the direction of *Cranmer*; they were twelve in number. *Ridley*, who was appointed this year to the see of Rochester, *Latimer*, *Hopkins*, and *Becon* are said to have assisted *Cranmer* in the compilation of these Homilies. Those on 'Salvation' (iii), 'Faith' (iv), and 'Good Works' (v), have been particularly ascribed to Cranmer; that against 'Brawling and Contention' (xii), to Latimer; and that against 'Adultery' (xi), has been assigned to Cranmer's chaplain, *Thomas Becon*: the authority of the rest depends solely on

^{401.} When was the first book of Homilies published, and what was its design? [E. 4. N. 4.] What bishops objected to these proceedings? What was done to them? What happened to Wriothesley?

conjecture. They were ordered, in the Injunctions issued to the commissioners, to be read in Churches by

EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

such incumbents as could not write discourses of their own; and on 'any Sunday, and Holy-day, when there is no Sermon.' Erasmus's Paraphrase of the New Testament was also required to be set up in churches; the Gospels and the Acts were translated by Nicholas Udal under the patronage of the Queen Dowager, the Bidding Prayer before the Sermon was altered to nearly its present form, and the Lord's day was to be religiously observed. Pulpits were also now set up in churches. Bishops Gardiner, and Bonner, objected to the proceedings of the Visitors, and to the Homiles, particularly the Homily on Salvation; which Gardiner said had excluded charity from the work of justification. Gardiner, and Bonner were, for their refractoriness, placed under confinement in the Fleet Prison. Lord Wriothesley had also been deprived of the Chancellorship for illegally putting his office in commission, and applying the Great Seal thereto without authority from the Privy Council.

402. Scotch War.-The Protector engaged in a war with Scotland, in which he was victorious, and consequently procured great eclat from the

Reforming party.

War of Smalcald,—On the Continent, the Emperor had undertaken hostilities against the German Princes of the Smalcaldic League under a plea made to the Pope of extirpating heresy,-to the Germans, of putting down rebellion; but with the intention in his own mind of acquiring universal dominion. The Protestant Princes seeing the consequences of this union between the Pope and the

What was the result of the war with Scotland? 403. What hostilities were carried on against the Smal-

Emperor, assembled at *Ratisbon*, and there having protested against the authority of the decrees of the Coun-

Edward VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

cil of Trent, determined on resistance. The appeal to arms, however, ended in their overthrow; for Maurice, Duke of Saxony, betrayed the cause of his uncle John Frederick, the Elector, and his father-inlaw Philip, Landgrave of Hesse, by deserting to the Emperor; the two princes were imprisoned, and Maurice rewarded for his perfidy by being made Elector of Saxony. Thus was Lutheranism threatened with extirpation; but at this turn of affairs, the Pope took alarm for the safety of Italy from the successes of Charles; and under the pretext of the plague which then manifested itself, removed the COUNCIL OF TRENT to Bologna; whence it was consequently dissolved. Calvin, Bullinger, and Melanchthon, seeing the favourable progress of the Reformation in England, sent an address to Edward. and offered to place him at the head of the Protestant League, but it was declined. The troubles in Germany forced many of the Reformers to quit their native country; some retired to England. At the invitation of Cranmer, Peter Martyr, and Bernardinus Ochinus came to visit the Archbishop at Lambeth: these were followed soon after by Bucer, Tremellius, and Fagius. Ochinus did not survive long after his arrival. Martyr obtained the appointment of Professor of Divinity at Oxford; and Bucer, Fagius, and Tremellius, went to Cambridge, where the former was appointed Pro fessor of Theology.

404. Acts repealed.—CRANMER, disenthralled from the tyrannous caprice and subjection of *Henry*, and having the consent of the young

caldic league? What reformers fled into England at the invitation of Cranmer. 404. What Acts were passed beneficial to the reformers at the beginning of the reign of Edward VI? When was the statute of the Six Articles

EDWARD VI.

King, and a powerful coadjutor in the Protector, determined now to Paul III. Cranmer. push on the Reformation with energy, yet at the same time slowly, and with caution. Parliament was now sitting, and through their interest several enactments were passed beneficial to the Reformers. The Act of the 'Six Articles,' and those also passed in the last reign making certain things treason or felony, as well as the severe laws against Lollardy, were repealed (by 1 Edw. VI. c. 12.): in which act the upholding of the Pope's Supremacy was punished by confiscation of property for the first offence; for the second, by the penalties of præmunire; and the third offence was to be accounted treason. By another law (1 Edw. VI. c. 1.) Communion was to be administered to the laity in both kinds, and private Masses were forbidden. The subject of private Masses had been much argued lately in consequence of the late King having left £600. a year to the church at Windsor for priests to say daily mass for his soul; when living, Henry had shown his denial of their utility by the destruction of the Monasteries, which were supported by them, and also by his contemplated measure, in conjunction with the French monarch, of converting the Mass into a Communion Service. The decision of the Reforming party, now predominant in the state, prevailed in this important consideration.

405. Election of Bishops.—By another act, (1 Edw. VI. c. 2.) the Election of Bishops by Congé d' elire on the nomination of the King, and vested in the Chapters, was changed into a nomination by the King's Letters Patent, upon which they were to be consecrated; and all processes, or matters, not

repealed? and by whose influence? [J. 1.] What gave rise to a discussion on the subject of private Masses at the beginning of Edward the VIth's reign? 405. What Ac was passed respecting the election of bishops? and

purely spiritual, were to be carried on in the King's name instead of the Bishop's; and all transactions were to Edward VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

be done under the King's seal, instead of the Episcopal. This was followed by another statute (1 Edw. VI. c. 14.) granting to the King the revenues of the remaining Chantries, Fraternities, &c., not seized and absorbed by the late King. Cranmer in vain endeavoured to delay this measure till EDWARD came of age, in the hope that he might then be enabled to procure the application of their revenues as a fund for the benefit of the poorer clergy. The Roman party also were desirous of throwing out the bill, as the Chantries were great supporters of their doctrines of purgatory, and masses for the dead. But the private interests of the Protector, of some of the Executors of the late King, and others interested in the plunder, urged it on, and carried the bill through the House. The two Universities, and the foundations of Eton, and Winchester, were exempted from the operation of this Act; and there was a provision that the proceeds should be applied to the maintenance of Grammar Schools, and the increase of poor vicarages: but upwards of 2400 of the benefactions went to liquidate the late King's debts, and to satisfy certain profligate courtiers.

406. **Reformation** opposed.—By legal measures was the Reformation carried forward; it had, however, much to contend against in the hold upon the people possessed by the ejected Monks, who had been put into the vacant livings by the 'Court of Augmentations,' (which had been established for the management of the proceeds of the Monasteries granted for the augmentation of the

seizing of the remaining chantries, fraternities, &c.? 406. What circumstances in the reign of Edward VI. were unfavourable to the interests and progress of the reformed Church? [F. 3.]

King's income), in order to release the abbey lands granted to the nobility and others from the pensions EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

ity and others from the pensions Crumer. chargeable upon them till these monks should obtain preferment to a benefice: hence every opportunity was seized to advance them into the vacant benefices. The hostility, therefore, of this vast body of the clergy materially retarded the progress of the Reformation; moreover, so low were the fees, and other church dues reduced, that few men of talent and education were desirous of entering Holy Orders. To these drawbacks must be added the opposition of the *Princess Mary*, and the Papal party headed by *Tonstal* bishop of Durham, *Bonner*, and *Gardiner*, who were desirous that things should remain in the state left by the late King, till Enward should be of age to act, and think for himself.

A. D. 1548.

407. Cranmer now with the assent of Convocation procured certain orders in Council to be issued to put an end to several abuses, and superstitious ceremonies practised in the Church: Candles were forbidden to be carried on Candlemas day, Ashes on Ash-Wednesday, and Palms on Palm-Sunday: no one was allowed to preach without a license; and all images were to be removed from Churches, and Chapels.

408. **1st Communion Service.**—Next, a committee of bishops and divines examined the various services of the Church: a new Communion Office for the public administration of the Lord's Supper was put forth, similar to what is now used; and differed from the Roman method in that auricular confession was no longer compulsory, but optional: the Sacra-

^{407.} What orders in Council did Cranmer cause to be issued to put an end to certain superstitious ceremonies? 408. What new office was put forth in respect of the Communion Service? Mention some of the chief difference.

ment was to be given in both kinds; there was to be no elevation of the Host; and the greater part of the serEDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

vice was in English: the bread however was to be of the same form as had been previously used. The words at the distribution of the elements were 'The 'body of our Lord &c., preserve thy body;' and, the 'blood of our Lord &c., preserve thy soul.'—This is known as the FIRST COMMUNION OFFICE of Edward VI.

409. Cranmer's Catechism.—These regulations were soon after followed by the publication of an English Catechism by Cranmer. It was dedicated to the King, and entitled 'A short instruction' to Christian Religion for the singular profit of 'children, and young people;' it was not written in Question and Answer; but was a simple exposition of the Ten Commandments, the Creed, the Lord's Prayer, and the two Sacraments. It was originally written in German for the youth of Norinberg (Nuremberg), and put into Latin by Justus Jonas, who was resident in the Archbishop's palace, and thence translated into English under the direction of Cranmer. In this book the first two commandments were united, although accompanied with a declaration that they were anciently divided: a third Sacrament was also added viz.: that of Reconciliation to God.

410. English Liturgy.—A general Liturgy or 'Book of Common Prayer' was also prepared by a Commission of twelve divines, at the head of whom was Crunner, compiled from the different Romish offices used in the kingdom, such as the Use of

between the first Communion Service of Edward VI. and the Romish method. [L. 3.] What alteration was made in the mords of administration? [E. E. 4.] 409. What was the nature of Cranmer's Catechism? [E. 3.] 410. From what sources was the First Book of Common Prayer chiefly taken? [K. 3. DD. 3.]

Bangor, of Hereford, Lincoln, Sarum, and York, and Herman's 'Consultation.' Whatever was unexcen-

suitation. Whatever was unexceptionable was to be retained, and what was superstitious was to be laid aside; the prayers to the saints, and the romantic legends were to be expunged, and all was to be in the English tongue; and so as to form an Order for Morning and Evening Service, the Administration of the Sacraments, and other Divine Offices.

The Commission comprized :-

Archbishop Cranmer.

Goodrich, bishop of Ely.

Holbeach, bp. of Lincoln.

Day, bp. of Chichester.

Skip, bp. of Hereford.

Thirriby, bp. of Westminster.

Ridley, bp. of Rochester.

May, Dean of St Paul's.

Taylor, Dean of Lincoln.

Haynes, Dean of Exeter.

Redmayn, Master of Trinity College, Cambridge.

Coare, Dean of Christ Church, Oxford.

Robertson, Archdeacon of Leicester.

411. Gardiner imprisoned again.—During these proceedings Gardiner, who had lately been released from confinement by the Act of general pardon, got into fresh trouble. He was accused of countenancing opposition to the Royal Proclamations put forth in order to advance the reformation of the Church, and of animadverting on the recent alterations; he was consequently called upon to make a public declaration on the subjects in question in a sermon from St Paul's cross. His arguments, however, proved his assent in all that had been done, except that he asserted the 'corporal presence' in the Sacrament, which produced such disturbance, as to

^{411.} What circumstances caused Gardiner's second commitment to the Tower?

end in his being confined in the Tower, where he remained during Paul III.

the rest of the reign.

412. Lord Seymour.—The Protector, and his brother Seymour, the Lord High Admiral, had lately some little differences, occasioned by the latter having privately married the Queen Dowager, CATHABINE, and aiming at an equal share in the government with his brother. He endeavoured to obtain the appointment of Governor of the King's person, for which he was summoned before the Council, but he refused to attend; under the threat, however, of being sent to the Tower, he submitted to its decision, and the brothers became reconciled; but the ambition of Lord Seymour would not suffer him to remain long contented. His wife, the Queen Dow-ager, died soon after, but not without suspicion of being poisoned, and he immediately aspired to the hand of the Princess Elizabeth. The Protector, returned to the seat of war in Scotland, which was somewhat formidable on account of the assistance given to the Scots by France. But in the following vear the Admiral carried his ambitious projects so far that he was attainted of treason, condemned, and

executed.
413. **The Interim.**—On the Continent we find, that after the defeat of the Protestant party, the Emperor convened a diet at Augsburg, where he required the Protestant princes to assent to the decision of the Council of Trent in respect of the religious differences then prevailing: Maurice, the Elector of Saxony, anxious for the release of his father-in-law assented also. But when the Emperor saw that the removal of the Council from Trent to Bologna, and its consequent dissolution, put an indefinite period to its re-assembling, he determined in the interval, in order to

^{412.} What differences arose between the Protector, and his brother the Lord High Admiral? 413. Why was the Council of Trent transferred to Bologna? What was the 'Interim'? and why instituted?

preserve peace in religious matters, that a formulary should be drawn up, which should serve as a rule of faith and worship to the

EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

contending parties until a Council should be This being but a temporary appointment, and summoned. not of equal force with a permanent institution was called ' Formula ad interim,' or emphatically, 'The Interim.' This temporary compromise though more favourable to the interests of the Pontiff, yet was designed as an expression of the Emperor's anger against the Pope, as well as to

accomplish some political movement.

By the Interim (1) the 'Cup was allowed in the administration of the Lord's Supper, and (2) priests and clerks were permitted to enter into the married state; on the condition, however, in both these cases that such permission should endure only until the meeting of a General Council, when it might be confirmed, or annulled. This imperial creed, as may be supposed, satisfied neither party; but it was proclaimed by authority at a diet held at Augsburg, and maintained throughout the empire by force of arms. The Lutheran party, with Melanchthon at their head, held a conference at Leipsic under the sanction of the Elector Maurice, who had hitherto continued neuter, to consider the matter; when, by way of reconciling parties, the Interim was admitted as a rule in things indifferent (rites, and ceremonies), but not in essentials. This decision created so great a schism among the Lutherans, as to place the cause of the Reformation in the most perilous and critical position, yet its enemies had not the tact to take advantage of it. The schism was called the 'Adiaphoristic controversy' (from a διαφορος, indifferent.).

A. D. 1549.

415. The First Liturgy.—This year commenced with the publishing of the LITURGY, and the passing of an Act (2 and 3 Edw. VI. c. 1.) entitled an Act for the Uniformity of Divine Service' establishing its authority, and requiring its adoption throughout the kingdom in the place of the ancient

^{414.} What was allowed by the 'Interim'? How did it satisfy the two parties? What was decided at the conference of Leipsic? What was the effect? 415. When was the first Book of Common Prayer published? [K. 3]

Latin Mass Book: all who refused EDWARD VI. Paul III. to comply were to be imprisoned Cranmer. six months for the first offence, lose their benefices for the second, and endure perpetual imprisonment for the third. This statute is known as one of the 'Acts for Uniformity;'* and this Book of Common Prayer is called the First Litural of Edward VI. It comprised the public offices for Sundays, and Holy days; the services for the Communion, Baptism, Confirmation, Matrimony, Burial of the Dead, and a few others. It was somewhat similar to that now in use, except that it commenced with the Lord's Prayer, having none of the introductory sentences, nor the exhortation, confession, nor the absolution; and at the close of it there were no prayers for the King, Royal Family, nor the Clergy. (a) The LITANY was inserted, and contained a petition against the Papacy which is the only point in which it differs from our own, but there was no rubric directing its use on Sundays. The Litany, Collects, Epistles and Gospels following, were taken from the Salisbury Hours;-(b) The COMMUNION SERVICE of the preceding year was introduced with some slight alteration. The Ten Commandments were not inserted: but it began with an Introit or sanctus, i.e. a psalm chanted as the priest ascended to the altar. The praise of the Virgin Mary was omitted. At the consecration of the elements the priest made the sign of the Cross, and prayed that 'they might be sanctified with the Spirit of God; 'the 'corporal presence,' however, was not formally renounced. Water was ordered to be mixed with the wine: and at the time of communion, the first clause only of the sentences now used was adopted. (c) In the BAPTISMAL SERVICE exorcism was used to expel the evil spirit, the child was then anointed on the head, and a prayer used for the unction of the Holy Spirit, and it was clothed in a white robe or Chrisome symbolical of inno-

What was required by the Act of Uniformity? What services were comprised in this Book of Common Prayer?

cence. The water was consecrated every month, and the

The subsequent Acts for the Uniformity of Public Worship were 5, and 6
 Edw. VI. c. 1.: 1 Elis. c. 2.; and 18, and 14 Car. II. c. 4.

child was dipped three times :-- (d) CONFIR-EDWARD VI. MATION did not begin with the promise of Paul III. the Catechumen, but was simply performed Cranmer. by the laying on of hands with prayers, and signing the cross on the forehead. The Catechism formed part of this Service, and comprised merely an exposition of the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and Ten Commandments.—(e) In the MARRIAGE SERVICE the sign of the cross was used on the forehead of the newly married persons, and at the putting on of the ring, money was also given to the bride. -(f) In the VISITATION OF THE SICK after referring to Tobias and Sarah (in the Apocrypha), the sick man was anointed if he required it either on the forehead or breast. accompanied with prayer, and the signing of the cross; and then followed the absolution, which was ordered to be used at all confessions.—(g) In the BURIAL SERVICE prayers were used for the deceased then buried, and for the dead generally; and a communion was directed .- Rubrics as to VESTMENTS were added requiring in priests the surplice in parish-Churches; when preaching, and in Cathedrals, the hood also: at the COMMUNION he was to wear a plain white albe, and a cope; the assisting minister an albe and tunicle. The Bishop was to appear at the Communion with a pastoral staff, and to wear with his rochet, a surplice or albe, and a cope. In respect of CEREMONIES, which will be found in the Preface of our Common Prayer Book, we read 'as touching kneeling, crossing, holding up hands, knocking upon the breast, and other gestures, they may be used or left, as every man's devotion serveth, without 'blame.' By the same Act, Psalms and Hymns were allowed to be adapted from the Bible for the use of singing. Thomas Sternhold arranged this year fifty-one Psalms into metre. Some say Sternhold, translated 37 only, and that the rest were by Hopkins, and others: those with W. W. were by William Wisdom. The Version, however, was not completed till the next reign.

416. Acts were also passed repealing the laws against the Marriage of priests (2 and 3 Edw. VI. c. 21.), and enforcing the observance of Fast-days (2 and 3 Edw. VI. c. 19.). By this last Act great encouragement was given to the fishing trade, for

^{416.} What enactments were made in respect of the marriage of priests? and the observance of Fast-days?

during Lent, and on all Fridays, Saturdays, and Ember days meat was forbidden, and fish only al-

EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

lowed under severe penalties. Another Act (3 and 4 Edw. VI. c. 10.) was passed for the putting away and abolishing of Divers Books as Antiphoners, Missals, Grailes, &c., and Images. An Ecclesiastical Visitation was also appointed, to see that the Act of Uniformity was duly carried out, and to put an end to the many superstitious observances, which continued to be used: from their report the Book of Common Prayer was found to be universally received, except by a few of the Romish party, and the Princess Mary, who refused; the Council wrote to her on the matter, but by the interference of the Emperor she was for a short time indulged in her objection.

417. Rites and Coremonies, abuses in.—Further injunctions were issued, 'requiring that no minister do counterfeit the Popish Mass,* as to kiss the Lord's Table; washing his fingers, at every time in the communion; blessing his eyes with the paten or Sudary; or crossing his head with the paten; shifting of the book from one place to another; laying down and licking the chalice of the Communion; holding up his fingers, hands or thumbs joined towards his temples; breathing upon the bread or chalice; ringing or sacring bells; or setting any light upon the Lord's Table at any time; and finally, use no other ceremonies than are appointed in the King's Book of Common Prayer, or kneeling otherwise than is directed in the said book; and that no one be suffered to pray upon beads; nor maintain purgatory, invocation of Saints, the

^{417.} What were the abuses practised in the matter of rites and ceremonies?

The term Mass originally implied the whole of the Divine Service: in the Latin Church when the Catechumens who were not allowed to remain during the performance of the eucharist were dismissed, the phrase used was Ite Missa est Concio, (Go the assembly is dissolved); and this expression was subsequently used at the dismissal of the whole congregation just before the Eucharist; whence the word Mass (from Missa) came to be afterwards used to denote the consecration of the bread and wine into the body and blood of Christ, and offering it as an expistory sacrifice for the quick and dead.—Bp. Short.

^{**}The Rosery of beads strung together, to assist the memory in the repe-

Six Articles, bead-rolls, images, relics, lights, holy bells, holy beads, holy water, palms, ashes, candles, sepulchres, paschals, creeping to the cross, hallowing of the font, oil,

Edward VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

chrism, altars, or any other such abuses and superstitions.' Public Disputations.—In this year Public Disputations were held in Oxford and Cambridge on the subject of the 'corporal presence.' Martur maintained at Oxford for four days before Dr. Coxe, Dean of Christ Church, and Vice-Chancellor, against his opponents these three propositions; (1) In the Sacrament of the Eucharist there is no transubstantiation of bread and wine into the body and blood of Christ; (2) The body and blood of Christ are not corporally nor carnally in or under the species of bread and wine; (3) the body and blood of Christ are united to the bread and wine Sacramentally. At Cambridge Bishop Ridley and others maintained these two propositions against all opponents; -(1) Transubstantiation cannot be proved by the plain and manifest words of Scripture, nor necessarily collected from it; and it cannot be proved by the consent of the ancient fathers; (2) In the Lord's Supper there is none other oblation and sacrifice, than of a remembrance of Christ's death, and of Thanksgiving. In opposition to the Roman doctrine of transubstantiation, the Lutherans as we find in the 'Augsburg Confession' (Art. 10.) held Consubstantiation, i. e. with the bread and wine there was also the body and blood of Christ; the Zuinglians (or Helvetians) held that the body and blood of Christ were received by the faithful, and that the Sacrament

^{418.} What was the nature of the public disputations held at Oxford and Cambridge this year? What were the various doctrines in respect of 'the real presence?'

tition of prayers, has been assigned to the inventive mind of St Dominic, a great honourer of the Virgin, others ascribe it earlier. Ten Ace Maria, marked by ten small beads, was followed by a larger bead indicating a Paramonera. The decade thus repeated fiften times completed the round of mechanical devotion, and to which the Homaniats ascribed (the most wonderful benefits. Bead or Bede is a Saxon word for prayer.

was a commemoration of the death EDWARD VI. Paul III. and sacrifice of Christ. There were Cranmer. others, however, who with Calvin Cranmer. took a position between the Lutherans and Zuinglians, maintaining that there was as well as a Commemoration, a real, i.e. in their minds, an effectual presence. Bucer advocated the last doctrine. while Peter Martur upheld the Zuinglian doctrine: Cranmer caused an English Translation of the Book of Bertram (or Ratramn) 'on the Lord's Supper' to be published, which entirely accords with the doctrine of the Church of England; viz. that 'the bread and wine are the outward and visible signs of the body 'and blood of Christ, which are verily and indeed 'taken. i. e. received and eaten in a spiritual manner 'by the faithful in the Lord's Supper;' and wrote a very able treatise against Transubstantiation entitled, 'A Defence of the true and Catholic doctrine of the 'Sacrament of the Body and Blood of our Saviour 'Christ, with a confutation of sundry errors con-'cerning the same.' Gardiner, and afterwards Dr. Smith, attempted to answer him.

419. Anabaptists.—Some little disturbance was created at this time by certain Anabaptists from Germany, they were of two classes; the milder sort maintained principally that children ought not to be baptized; others, however, entertained more dangerous doctrines, denying the divinity of Christ, affirming that He took not flesh of the virgin, and rejecting the Trinity: these held also that there was no original sin, that a regenerate man cannot sin, that any man might preach and administer the Sacraments; they advocated likewise a communion of goods, polygamy, and divorce, and rejected oaths, magistracy, and held other similar doctrines. A Commission was ap-

What is the doctrine in the Book of Bertram? Does it accord with that of the Church of England? 419. What disturbance was excited by the anabaptists at this time?

pointed to inquire into their tenets, EDWARD VI. which ended in the committal of Paul III. Cranmer. one Joan Bocher, (Bouchier, or Butcher), called 'Joan of Kent,' on a charge of heresy, having denied after the manner of the Valentinians, that Christ 'took flesh of the substance of His mother.' She was convicted, and sentenced to be burnt to death; but as the laws imposing the punishment for heresy had been repealed, it is said by some, that it was requisite to obtain the King's writ for her execution, which the King granted with extreme reluctance; and with tears in his eyes reflected the guilt of such a cruel proceeding upon his adviser Cranmer. But the implication of Cranmer, and the King, in this measure has been contradicted, inasmuch as the writ is affirmed to have been issued from Chancery upon a warrant from the Council; and that Cranmer was absent from the Council when the warrant was ordered: indeed the whole story has been since considered as an invention of Foxe's. John Champneys, John Asheton, and Michael Thombe, were also severally accused of holding, and propagating certain dangerous doctrines, but they abjured and escaped. Some time after (in 1551), an Arian, George Van Paris, (or Parre), à Dutchman, held the same opinions as Joan Bocher, and was similarly condemned to be burnt to death .- Strype. Besides the Anabaptists there were certain religionists, who although they held no erroneous tenets, yet by the perversion and abuse of such as were true, and by the profligacy of their lives they brought great scandal to the Church: they were known under the name of Gospellers.

420. Insurrections in various parts of the

What were their tenets? What befel Joan Bocher? and why? John Champneys? John Asheton? Michael Thombe? and Van Paris? 420. What insurrections troubled the kingdom at this time? from what cause? what were their demands? What became of them?

country now arose in consequence of the Imparking and Inclosing of Commons, and other waste lands, Edward VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

and the scarcity of employment: in some parts, however, particularly in Devonshire they assumed a religious character, led on by one Humphrey Arundel, a gentleman of Cornwall, and demanded the return of the old Romish worship, the re-enactment of the Act of the 'Six Articles,' and that the Bible should be called in. The Protector felt that the people had been oppressed in the matter of the Inclosures, and therefore issued certain Proclamations for their relief: but he did so without consulting the Council, which not only brought him into great disodour with that important body; but it gave great encouragement to the rioters. The rebels in Devonshire were eventually dispersed by Lord Russell, and their ringleaders captured; those in Norfolk, who amounted to nearly 20,000, headed by one Ket, a tanner, for some time kept the Marquis of Northampton at bay; theirs was no religious movement; they aimed at destroying the nobility, and placing fresh advisers about the King: but after incurring severe losses they were finally subdued by the Earl of Warwick; news of this defeat caused the rioters in Yorkshire, and other parts, to disperse, but the promoters of these risings were taken and hanged.

421. Bonner imprisoned.—Bonner who had long been suspected of favouring every opposition to the new order of things, although he uniformly complied with the injunctions sent to him, yet it was in so careless and slovenly a manner that he was summoned before the Council, and called upon to preach at St Paul's Cross, against rebellion, wherein he was to enforce the authority of the King while a minor, and

^{421.} Why was Bonner deprived of his bishopric? Who succeeded him in the see of London?

prove his adhesion. Bonner preached, but purposely omitted all allusion to what was required of him; and when EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

what was required of him; and when
called upon for an explanation before the commission
appointed to examine him, which comprised Cranmer,
Ridley, the two Secretaries of State, and May, dean
of St Paul's, he behaved with so much insolence and
effrontery, that he was deprived of his bishopric, and
imprisoned; the celebrated Ridley, bishop of Rochester, was appointed to the see of London in his room;
and Bonner remained in confinement till the accession

of Mary.

422. Somerset disgraced.—Not long after this, the troubles at home, and the difficulties of the State in respect of its foreign relations, having an unsuccessful war with Scotland and France, led the Protector to propose a disadvantageous peace with the French monarch. His enemies seized on this circumstance for effecting the ruin of Somerset. His arbitrary proceedings without the sanction of the Council, and courting popularity for the purposes of personal aggrandizement, gained him the enmity of the Privy Council: his advocacy of the Reformation, and possession of many ecclesiastical manors had procured him the aversion of the whole Papal party; his high position, and his protection of the Commons, had purchased for him the envy and hatred of the nobility and gentry; and his instrumentality in the late execution of the Lord Admiral, his brother, with the erection of an ostentatious palace in the Strand, known as Somerset-House, by the demolition of several churches, and numerous other buildings, procured him the ill-will of the people. All these combined gave efficiency to the measures of the Privy Council, which aimed at the Protector's deposition.

^{422.} What circumstances led to the fall of the Protector? How did he provide against the threatened danger?

Somerset seeing this took possession of the King's person, and assumed the defensive. Charges were brought

EDWARD VI. Paul III. Cranmer.

against him of misgovernment, and of assuming sovereign power, and through the energetic proceedings of the Council, he was at last compelled to submit, and was sent to the Tower. The Earl of Warwick (Dudley), who had made overtures to the Romanists to gain their support, and who had been the chief mover in these proceedings conjointly with Lord Southampton (Wriothesley), the ex-chancellor, now directed the reins of government. Warwick was a man of no religion, and without any scruples. He therefore soon disappointed the Romish party, and studiously sided with the inclinations of the King against the corruptions of Popery, in order to strengthen his position. Wriothesley's ambition was consequently disappointed; who now therefore went into retirement, and died soon after.

A. D. 1550.

423. Ecclesiastical Laws.—As there was nothing but the Pontifical Law for the regulation of Ecclesiastical discipline, in the beginning of this year a bill was passed (3 and 4 Edw. VI. c. 11.) empowering the King, with the aid of his Privy Council, to appoint a Commission of thirty-two persons for the compilation within three years of a body of Ecclesiastical Laws; half of the individuals were to be spiritual men, four of them bishops; the remaining half were to be lay-men, and four of them learned in the law: but the subject died away till 1552.

424. Ordination Service.—A new Form of

Who succeeded him in the direction of the government? What became of Wriothesley? 423. What commission was appointed by the King in 1550? 424. What alterations were made in the Ordination Service this year? Who refused their assent to these alterations ? Google

Ordination was also ordered (by 3 EDWARD VI. Paul III. and 4 Edw. VI. c. 12.) to be pre-Cranmer.

pared by a committee of six Bishops, and six other divines. The alterations proposed were designed to reduce the Form of Ordination to its original simplicity. The anointing, and giving of consecrated vestments, was to be done away with; and there was to be no delivery of the Sacramental vessels, and the conferring of a power to offer sacrifices for the dead and the living. The proposed changes made the service nearly as it is now, acknowledging only the three Orders of Bishop, Priest, and Deacon; and confining it to prayer, and the imposition of hands: Heath, bishop of Worcester, was one of the prelates appointed to this task; he however refused to admit the alterations proposed; and was in consequence committed to the Fleet Prison, and deprived; so arbitrary were proceedings in those days. Day, bishop of Chichester, followed his example soon after, and was also deprived.

425. Somerset pardoned.—The Duke of Somer-set's case was now (February) brought before Parliament; he made a confession of his guilt, and was fortunate enough to escape with a heavy fine, confiscation of his goods, and deprivation from his offices. He vielded the most abject submission, and soon after obtained the royal pardon; and was even admitted again to court, and to take his place at the Council (April). About this time Pope Paul III. died, and was succeeded by De Monte, under the title of Julius III.; who, not long after, yielding to the solicitations of the Emperor re-convened the General Council at Trent. Very many votes were given for the election of Cardinal Pole to the vacant Papacy, but having no ambition for so high a

^{425.} What was the decision of the Duke of Somerset's case? Who succeeded Pope Paul? and when?

dignity, he suffered it to pass to a EDWARD VI.
competitor.

EDWARD VI.
Julius III.
Cranmer.

426. Change of Bishops.—The see of Westminster was this year united to that of London, to which Ridley was promoted in consequence of the deprivation of Bonner; and Thirleby, the bishop of Westminster, was removed to Norwich, which had become vacant by the resignation of Reps. Gardiner, continuing refractory, was deprived, and the see of Winchester fell to Poynet, a friend of Ridley's, and whom he had succeeded in the see of Rochester. Coverdale was made a suffragan to Veysey in the diocese of Exeter. John Lasco (or Alasco), a polish nobleman, and friend of Erasmus, was allowed the office of superintendent of the Dutch congregation in London, and Valferandus Pollanus of Strasburg was allowed to be the superintendent of a congregation at Glastonbury; both of whose flocks the persecutions in Germany had driven to seek shelter in this country. The see of Gloucester also became vacant, to which John Hooper was appointed.

427. Ecclesiastical Vestments.—It is to Hoopen may be traced the origin of the lamentable disputes in the Church respecting 'things indifferent.' The Vestments of the Church of Rome having been retained in the Church of England, Hooper on his appointment to Gloucester refused to wear the episcopal habit, which consisted then of a scarlet chimere or garment over the rochet, and not as now of black satin. Having retired to Germany during the oppression of the Act of the 'Six Articles,' Hooper, while at Zurich, had become connected with the Reformers of the Zuinglian, and Calvinistic

^{426.} What changes occurred in the bench of bishops at this period? 427. What was the controversy set on foot by Hooper in respect of the ecclesiastical habits?

schools, who considered the rites and EDWARD VI. ceremonies enjoined by 'The In-Julius III. Cranmer. terim' as intended to give strength to the cause of Popery; an opinion confirmed in his mind by the circumstance of the Episcopal Vestments (Aaronical Habits as he calls them) being consecrated with much superstition: he argued, that 'these Vestments were unsuitable to the simplicity of the Christian religion; were relics of Judaism; were part of the ceremonies condemned by St Paul 'as beggarly elements, and had been superstitiously consecrated, and used in the idolatrous service of 'the mass.' Cranmer, Ridley, as well as Bucer, and Peter Martyr, tried to persuade Hooper for the sake of unity and peace to conform, although they themselves objected to the distinction of Habits. however continued obstinate in his refusal, and was seconded by Bullinger, and Alasco; and not only in this point, but he objected likewise before the King in Council to this passage in the oath of Supremacy - swearing by God, the Saints, and the Holy Gospels'; affirming that God only ought to be sworn by, and therefore would not take the oath; the King is said to have yielded to his arguments, and to have struck out with his pen the objectionable words. Cranmer, however, refused to consecrate him so long as he persisted in his objections, and in the end Hooper was committed to the Fleet Prison. After a lapse of nine months, however, (in Jan. 1551.), he submitted, on these conditions 'that at Court, and in 'his Cathedral, he was to wear the Episcopal dress, 'but in all other places he might exercise his discre-'tion;' he was then consecrated.

428. Altars changed.—It is said that the Church

What was the opinion of Cranmer, Ridley, Bucer, and Peter Martyr,? How was the question settled? 428, When, and by whom were the Altars converted into communion Tables?

is indebted to Hooper for the sub-EDWARD VI. stitution of a TABLE, in the place of Julius III. Cranmer. an ALTAR. In a Sermon preached before the King, he said that 'the Magistrate would do well to turn the Altars into Tables, according to the first institution of Christ: that by this expedient the people would be cured of a false persuasion, which they had of a sacrifice to be done on the 'Altars; and that while Altars remained, both 'ignorant people, and ignorant priests would always 'dream of a sacrifice.' Ridley seems to have coincided in this view, for we find him in the visitation of his diocese in this year, among other things, directing the altars to be converted into Communion Tables, and to be put in some convenient part of the Chancel; with the design of removing from the popular mind the idea that an expiatory sacrifice was there offered by the priest. This order was confirmed by the Council soon after.

A. D. 1551.

Pope; Julius III.—A. D. 1550—1555.

429. Bucer.—This year brought with it the death of Martin Bucer. He was born at Schelestade near Strasburg about A. D. 1491; he soon had the misfortune to lose both his parents, and when but 15 years of age his guardians induced him to become a Dominican friar. He studied at Heidelberg, and was much attracted by the writings of Erasmus. In 1521 he attended the Diet of Worms, where he heard Luther make his bold defence, and ultimately became an associate of the Reformers; but he would not side with Luther's doctrine of 'Consubstantiation.' In 1548, he came over to England, and by the influence of Cranmer obtained the King's Professorship of Divinity at the University of Cambridge, which he held but three years. He died in extreme poverty, and was publicly buried at St Mary's with every mark of honor:

^{429.} When did Bucer die? What place did he hold at Cambridge? 430. What foreign divines were consulted in the revision of the Book of Common Prayer; [K. 8.]

where his bones remained till they were exhumed and burnt in the persecutions of the following reign. He was a man of very amiable temper, held the Sacrament in the

highest reverence; and had great regard for ecclesiastical order: but he was too eager in altering everything that had been once abused howsoever good it might be in itself.

Liturgy revised. — At the close of last year, a revision of the Book of Common Prayer had been considered, and Bucer's opinion was solicited in respect of that work. He made numerous objections to it, many of which are thought to have emanated from Calvin; and which he put into the form of a Treatise of twenty-eight chapters: nearly all his suggestions were subsequently admitted. Peter Martur also agreed with the Bucer, likewise, wrote a book by way of a new year's gift to the King, entitled, De Regno Christi Constituendo,—(Concerning the kingdom of Christ.) In it he pointed out what further Reformation was necessary both in doctrine, and in discipline. This so pleased the King that he himself set about preparing a scheme by which the discipline of the Church might be improved. Cranmer, also, at this time assisted by Ridley and others, began to frame certain ARTICLES OF RELIGION for the settlement of points of doctrine, at the direction of the King.

431. The **Princesses.**—The question of allowing the *Princess Mary* to continue the use of the Mass in defiance of the law, was now brought forward before the Council; communication was made to her of their disapprobation; in answer to which she expressed her determination of adhering to the religion of her father. A reply was sent to her in

What book did Bucer write as a new year's gift to Edward VI.? What did Cranmer begin this year in order to settle the doctrine of the Church? 431. What proceedings were taken in respect of the Princess Mary's refusal to conform to the reformed religion?

the King's name requiring her ob- EDWARD VI. edience to the law. Some of her domestics, including one of her chap-

Julius III. Cranmer.

lains (Mallet), were seized, and sent to the tower. It is said that Mary contemplated quitting the kingdom, but the Emperor, her uncle, espoused her cause, and threatened to declare war with England. if the court persisted in her submission to its dictates in this matter. The Council thought it prudent to wink at the obstinacy of the Princess, and after sending some divines to reason with her on the subject, and desiring her to have her Mass more privately said, the matter dropped. The King, however, was much cut up at his sister's opposition, and at being compelled to allow of 'such an impious way of worship' in his dominions. The Princess Elizabeth, on the contrary, under the judicious teaching of Dr Parker, in all things conformed to the law.

432. Somerset's fall.—The ambitions rivalry of the Duke of Somerset, and the Earl of Warwick now began to assume a most hostile aspect. Warwick had established friendly relations with France, and negociated also a matrimonial alliance between EDWARD. VI., and Henry's daughter, Elizabeth. He had procured moreover a large creation of Peers, by which he strengthened the attachment of his friends, and advanced himself a step higher, becoming now the Duke of Northumberland. He aspired even to connect his family in some way with the crown; but Somerset was in the way. He, however, gaining private intelligence of his rival having plotted a rising in the north in order to compass his overthrow, immediately ordered the arrest of the Duke of Somerset, and his duchess, and many ot his partizans, and had them conveyed to the Tower. He was charged with a design to seize the King's person, imprison Northumberland, and excite a rebellion. Somerset was found guilty of the last two charges, and sentenced to

^{432.} What circumstances occurred in respect of the Duke of Somerset, and the Eurl of Warwick? What charges were brought against Somerset? What befel Somerset? and his partizans? Digitized by Google

death. The King was induced to sign his uncle's death warrant from its being falsely represented to him that he intended to assassinate the peers that were hostile to him:

EDWARD VI. Julius III. Cranmer.

he was executed two months afterwards (Jan. 1552.). Somerset's partisans were also condemned: Stanhope, and Arundel were beheaded; and Partridge, and Vane hanged. Rich the Lord Chancellor was compromised in this conspiracy, but he lucklily escaped with deprivation of the Seals of office, which were granted to the Bishop of Ely (Goodrich); a secular employment which brought on the latter a censure from the Reformers, and a recrimination from the

Papists.

433. Irish Church.-In the preceding reign (in 1537) the Royal Supremacy was acknowledged by the Irish parliament, and several statutes passed for the suppression of the Papal authority in that Kingdom, the suppression of abbeys (28 Hen. VIII. c. 16), and taking away all appeals, payments &c. to Rome (28 Hen. VIII. c. 5, 6, 8, 10, 13.). George Browne, then Archbishop of Dublin, was the first reforming prelate of Ireland, having been appointed to that high position in 1535 by HENRY VIII, through the recommendation of Thomas Cromwell. In 1551 (on Easterday) by order of EDWARD VI, The ' English Book of Common Prayer' was introduced, with the sanction of the Synod held in Dublin, into all the Churches in Ireland; but not without strong opposition from the Romanizing party headed by the Primate Dowdall, Archbishop of Armagh. The refractoriness of Dowdall induced the King and Council of England (Oct. 20. 1551), to transfer the rank of precedency from the Archbishop of Armagh to the Archbishop of Dublin (Browne), conferring on the latter by Letters Patent the 'Primacy of all Ireland', whereas before he was only 'Primate of Ireland.' On the accession of MARY. however, the Romanists regained their Authority in the Church of Ireland; and in 1554 Archbishop Dowdall received the title of 'Primate of all Ireland,' which has ever since been attached to the see of Armagh.—Prior to the Reformation the Irish Bishops after the invasion of that Country by the Danes in the 11th century received consecration from Canterbury, and continued independent of the Papal power till 1152; at which period there were

^{433.} Give a brief sketch of the Church in Ireland pr to the reign of Mary. Digitized by GOOGLE

10 bishops in the province of Armagh, 5 in that of Dublin, 12 in Cashel and 7 in Tuam. The Archbishop of Armagh (Malachy o'Mor-

EDWARD VI. Julius. III. Cranmer.

gair) a little before that period (A. D. 1130— Cranner. 39) being appointed Papal legate, applied to Pope Innocent II. with the consent of the Synod of the Irish Church held at Holmpatrick (1148) for palls for four Archbishops. These arrived in 1152, and Gelasius, then Archbishop of Armagh, was declared 'Primate of all Ireland'. Henry II. becoming by force of arms "Lord" of Ireland in 1172 (or according to Leland, by a gift from the Pope), he as a right appointed a bishop of Waterford in 1175, notwithstanding the Synod of Cashel in 1172 had formally declared the Irish Church to be in conformity and submission to the Church of Rome. (Ussher). King John, however, yielded the right of nomination to Bishopries to the Papal See in 1206; but the right was generally contested between the King and the Pope in the subsequent reigns until the Reformation (1537).

A. D. 1552.

434. Second Liturgy of Edward VI.— CRANMER, and the Bishops, acting on the advice of Bucer, and Peter Martyr, in this year (some say in 1551.), reviewed the Book of COMMON PRAYER, by which it differed very little from its present appearance.

The chief points of difference between the first and

second Prayer Book were :--

I. In the Daily Service, the addition of the Introductory sentences, the Exhortation, the Confession, and the

Absolution.

- II. In the Communion Service, the Ten Commandments with the devotional sentences between them were introduced: but the Introit, the Prayers for departed souls, the name of the Virgin Mary, the thanksgiving for Saints, the sign of the cross, with the invocation of the Word and Holy Ghost at the consecration of the Elements, and putting water into wine, were omitted. The sentences used in presenting the elements were only the concluding clause
- 434. When was the review of the Common Prayer Book made? State the chief points of difference between the first and second Prayer Book; and to whom are the changes attributable? [F. 4.] What changes were made

of those now used; viz.:—'Take and eat ** with thanksgiving.'—'Drink this ** be thankful.' A Rubric was added explaining the reason of kneeling at the receiving of the elements.

EDWARD VI. Julius III. Cranmer.

III. In Baptism, the water was consecrated as now; but exorcism, anointing the child, chrisom, and the trine immersion were omitted.

IV. In Confirmation, the sign of the cross was

omitted.

V. In Matrimony, the sign of the cross, and the giving

of silver and gold were omitted.

VI. In the Visitation of the Sick, the names of Sarah and Tobias, anointing, and the instructions in respect of private confession were omitted.

VII. In the Burial Service, prayers for the dead, and the office for the Administration of the Sacrament at

funerals were omitted.

VIII. The Rubric in regard to *Dresses* was—'And 'here it is to be noted, that the minister at the time of 'the Communion, and at all other times in his ministration, shall use neither albe, vestment, nor cope; but being archbishop or bishop, he shall have and wear a 'rochet; but being a priest or deacon, he shall have and

'wear a surplice only.

IX. To all this was appended the new Ordination Service drawn up in 1549—50, with these slight alterations; in addition to the presentation of a Bible to a Priest it was ordered that he should receive also in the other hand a chalice with the bread; the Deacons were to be dressed in albes, and a tunic was to be worn by him who read the Gospel; and in regard to a Bishop, the Archbishop laid the Bible on his neck, and presented him with a pastoral staff, saying "Be to the flock of Christ a shepherd;" the other alterations extended only to a few words.

435. **New Statutes.**—Several Acts of Parliament advantageous to the reformation were now passed: The *Revision* of the Common Prayer Book,

in the Communion Service? [R. 3. E. E. 4.] in the office of Baptism? of Confirmation? of Matrimony? of the Visitation of the sick? in the Burial Service? What was the rubric in respect of Dresses? What other service was added? 435. What Acts of Parliament favourable to the reformation were passed in 1552?

being the Second Liturgy of Edward
VI. was ordered to be received, under
Julius III.

penalty of severe censures (by the Cranmer. Act for Uniformity' 5 and 6 Edw. VI. c. 1.); and likewise, the amended ORDINATION SERVICE. By another Act (5 and 6 Edw. VI. c. 3.), the proper observance of Sundays, Holydays, and Fastdays was enjoined, except in labourers, fishermen, and certain tradesmen, who in cases of necessity were permitted to work. Abstinence from flesh in Lent. on Fridays, and Saturdays, and all Fast days was enforced. An Act was also passed to prevent Quarrelling &c. in Churches and Church-vards. (5 and 6 Edw. VI. c. 4.). Another, restricting 'Benefit of Clergy. (5 and 6 Edw. VI c. 9. 10.) The Marriage of the Clergy was also made legal, and their issue declared legitimate (5 and 6 Edw. VI. c. 12.): this measure was to give sanction and authority to an act for the like purpose passed three years before (2 and 3 Edw. VI. c. 21.), but which had been thought to grant only a permission to marry. Another bill was passed directing Churchwardens to make collections for the Poor, and empowering bishops to prosecute such as refused to contribute (5 and 6 Edw. VI. c. 2.) An Act was brought forward for the prevention of Simoniacal Contracts, but it did not receive the roval assent.

436. **Tonstal deprived.**—An attempt was made by the new Duke of Northumberland to aggrandize his possessions in the north, but *Tonstal*, bishop of Durham, was in the way. Having, however, discovered a letter of his in the late *Duke of Somerset's* papers implicating him in some conspiracy, Northumberland accused the bishop before Parliament of misprision of treason, and ordered his committal to

^{486.} What befel Tonstal, bishop of Durham, at the instance of the Duke of Northumberland?

the Tower. The Parliament, however, threw out the bill of attainder: Cranmer also protested against the measure. Tonstal appealed to the affair ended in the deprivation of his bishopric, and his continued imprisonment; but the angry Duke immediately dissolved the Parliament for its

refractoriness in not entertaining the bill.

437. Reformatio Legum.—The design of digesting the Ecclesiastical Laws into one Code was now renewed, but a committee of thirty-two being too large to secure any progress, it was reduced to a sub-committee of eight to prepare the materials for consideration. The chief, if not all, that was done was the work of Cranmer: it however never became law, and was not published till the reign of Elizabeth, when it appeared under the title of 'Reformatio Legum Ecclesiasticarum.' The Latin translation was made by Dr Haddon, University Orator (some say 'Regius Professor of Civil Law') at Cambridge, and Sir John Cheke, tutor to Edward VI. The work was arranged into fifty-one Titles: the chief of which were these:—

Title (1) was on the Trinity; the Catholic Faith; the Appropria omitted from the canon of Scripture; the power of the Church, decisions of General Councils, and writings of the Fathers were to be subjected to the Scriptures. The denial of Christianity was to be punished with death;—(2) defined the various Heresies;—(3) regulated the trial, and judgment for Heresy;—(4) made Blasphemy obstinate heresy;—(5) on the Sacraments of Baptism, and the Lord's Supper: Confirmation; solemnization of Matrimony; Visitation of the sick; imposition of hands at Ordination;—(6) on Idolatry, and Witcheraft; to be punished summarily, or by excommunication:—(7) Preachers to be examined by the bishop, and an Annual

^{437.} What was the code of ecclesiastical laws compiled by Cranmer? When was it published? Under what title? What were the chief matters discussed it?

Visitation appointed;—(8) Marriages to be EDWARD VI. after the asking of banns, and according to the Book of Common Prayer; clandes-Julius III. Cranmer. tine or by force declared null; Seduction to be repaired by marriage, a fine, or be punished; Polygamy forbidden; Mothers to suckle their infants; -(9) the Levitical Degrees of marriage; -(10) the punishment of Adultery;—(11) against Simoniacal contracts; strict examination of Candidates for Holy Orders; Pluralities, and Non-residence forbidden;—(12, 13) on giving up, or changing Benefices;—(14) on purgation from crime;— (15-18) on Dilapidations; cathedral and college Elections; Collations to benefices;—(19) on the Divine Offices; the Morning Service, with the Communion Service was to be used; in cathedrals a Communion every holy-day. and a sermon in the afternoon only; in Churches a sermon only in the morning, except when there was a large congregation: all Communicants to give notice the day before, and be examined; on holy-days the Catechism to be explained in the afternoon for an hour; after Evening Bervice, the poor to be attended to, and the spiritual wants of the people in general; - (20) the duties of Church Officers, as Sextons, Churchwardens, Deacons, Priests, and Rural Deans (which last were annual); of Archdeacons, and of Bishops; the last were to hold yearly Synods, and triennial Visitations: -(21-29) on Churchwardens, Universities, Tithes, Visitations, Wills, Ecclesiastical Censures, Suspension, Sequestration, and Deprivation;— (30) on the process of Excommunication, the absolving of penitents, and their return to the church: - (31-51) relate to other matters connected with the Ecclesiastical Courts.

438. Treaty at Passau.—On the Continent the emperor Charles, having urged Pope Julius to re-assemble the Council at Trent, convened a diet at Augsburg to desire the neighbouring princes and states to make the necessary preparations for the approaching Council. It appears however that Maurice, Elector of Saxony, having now discovered

^{438.} What advantages did Maurice of Saxony procure for the reformers in Germany? Mention the part Maurice took in regard to the Reformation both before and after he became elector of Saxony? [Y. 6.]

the secret designs of the ambitious
Emperor Charles upon the liberties
Julius III. of Germany, and being anxious to Oranmer.
regain the esteem of the protestants of Saxony, which he had lost by his late perfidy, entered into a league with France, and the neighbouring princes, for the maintenance of the rights of the empire: he collected an army with great secrecy and despatch, and suddenly came upon the Emperor at Inspruck, who was so surprised as to readily accede to the conditions imposed by Maurice; a treaty was concluded at Passau, celebrated as "THE RELIGIOUS PEACE," by which it was agreed that (1) they were to lay down their arms; and be willing to assist Ferdinand against the Turks; (2) the Landgrave of Hesse was to be set at liberty; (3) the 'Interim' be revoked; (4) the Protestants allowed the free use of their religion until a meeting of the Diet, to be held within six months, determine amicably the existing disputes; (5) or till uniformity was established; (6) those banished on account of their alliance with the Smalcaldic League should be reinstated in their possessions, &c.; (7) that the Protestants should be on a like footing with the Catholics at the Imperial sitting at Spires; (8) and always a certain number of Lutherans admitted. Maurice, however, who was first an oppressor of the Protestants, and afterwards their restorer, did not live to see this compact carried out, having died from a wound in the battle of Siverhausen against Albert of Brandenburg.

A. D. 1553.

439. Episcopal changes.—In the Parliament of this year, Tonstal being deprived, and in

^{439.} What was proposed in respect of the bishopric of Durliam by Northumberland? What became of the See of Gloucester? Who succeeded Barlow in St David's? Who occurred to Ferrar?

confinement, NORTHUMBERLAND procured the suppression of the bishopric of Ducham and contamplated EDWARD VI. Julius III. Cranmer.

ric of Durham, and contemplated the creation out of it of two new Sees, one at Durham, and the other at Newcastle; the temporalities of the See were also to be converted into a county palatine, and given to Northumberland; the death of the King, however, stopped these designs from being carried out. The bishopric of Gloucester had lately been suppressed, being absorbed in that of Worcester, both of which Hooper had held together. Barlow, by the King's patent, had been removed from St David's to Bath and Wells; Ferrar succeeded him in the former see; but the latter, by his indiscretion in conducting processes in his court in his own name instead of the King's, exposed himself to a 'præmunire,' and was imprisoned. Harley on the death of Skip was now removed to the see of Hereford.

This year (in May) brought with it the appearance of The Articles of Religion, forty-two in number, which had been for some time prepared in order that the tenets of the 'Church of England' should be defined and promulgated so as to preserve the peace and unity of the Church. Cranmer, at the command of the King and Council, made the first draught of them in 1551; in this, the first five, and the 9th, 10th, and 17th were wanting; yet there was a clause against Consubstantiation in the 29th (28th of the xxxix.); after laying them before the bishops, they were sent to the Council in 1552. On Cranmer receiving them again, he put titles to them, and made some little alteration in their order, and number, and a few slight additions; and at the close of the year presented the book to the

^{440.} When were the Articles of Religion first drawn up? [0. 5.] What did Cranmer in respect of them? [Q. 4. U. 5.]

King. Six of the King's Prea- EDWARD VI. Julius, III. chers (viz. Harley, Bill, Horn, Grin-Cranmer dal, Perne, and Knox,) reviewed the work, and sent it with their report to the Privy Council, who returned it to the Archbishop for his last revision. Cranmer having made some further alterations forwarded it to the Council, in order that it might be published with authority. At the beginning of this year it is supposed that the ARTICLES obtained the sanction of the Convocation, since they were 'agreed on by the bishops, and other learned and 'godly men in the last Convocation at London in 'the year 1552—3;' and the work was at length published by Royal authority, and by the King's mandate commanded to be subscribed by the clergy: it was printed in Latin, and English. There is no doubt that Cranmer had the assistance of Ridley, Melanchthon, and others of the Reformers in this great work; and from its Lutheran complexion the 'Augsburg Confession' was probably before them, as a comparison of the Articles on Free-will, Original sin, and Justification may well lead us to suppose. These xlii ARTICLES are the basis of the present xxxix which were framed in A. D. 1562. when the following seven were omitted as being pointed against opinions which did not accord with the views of Cranmer, Ridley, and others of their time.

X. "Of Grace":—which goes to shew that no man can excuse his sins by alleging that he is constrained to sin

and therefore not liable to condemnation.

XVI. "The Blasphemy against the Holy Ghost": which is 'when a man out of malice and hardness of heart doth wilfully reproach and persecute in an hostile manner the truth of God's word manifestly made known unto him."

XIX. "All men are bound to keep the precepts of the Moral Law." The first clause of this Article is in the present VIIth (Of the Old Testament); the conclusion is against the 'pretending that whatsoever they preach is 'suggested to them by the Spirit.'

XXXIX. "The Resurrection of the Dead is not passed already:"—against teaching that only the soul would be

raised again.

XL. "The Souls of men deceased do neither (sleep nor) perish with their bodies." This was against the teaching

(1) that the soul slept till the day of Judgment:-(2) that the soul died with the body. and shall be raised therewith at the last

EDWARD VI. Julius III. Cranmer.

dav. XLI. "Of the Millenarians." This, declares the notion of the Millenium to be a fable derived from Jewish

tradition, and contrary to Scripture.

XLII. "All men not to be saved at last." Against the teaching that 'All men though never so ungodly shall at last be saved, when for a certain time, appointed by the Divine justice, they have endured punishment for their sins committed.'

Instead of these, there were added at the next review to form our XXXIX. (A. D. 1562.);—

V. "Of the Holy Ghost". XII. "Of Good Works".

"Of the Wicked which eat not the body of Christ XXIX. "in the Lord's Supper".

XXX. " Of Both Kinds".

441. The Larger Catechism .- In the same year was published a summary of the Christian faith for the use of the people, the " Second Catechism of Edward VI:" which was the last authorized formulary of this reign, and therefore may be supposed to convey the sense of the Church of England as then established. It was in Latin and English, and has been ascribed to the pen of Poynet (Poinet), bp. of Winchester. Cranmer recommended it to the King. who ordered it to be taught in Schools. This Catechism is in Question and Answer; and gives an exposition of the Ten Commandments, and the Creed, showing to Christians their duty, and that true service to God consists in hearing the Gospel, in using the Sacraments, and in prayer. The Sacraments are explained to be two, Baptism, and the Lord's Supper: then follows as a model of devotion, the Lord's Prayer with a paraphrase, and some excellent practical directions to the scholar. The sum of Christian religion is here reduced to (1) faith in God and His promises; (2) and Charity, which belongs to God, and to our neighbour.

Charities of Edward VI.—EDWARD VI. was now suffering from severe indisposition induced by violent colds upon a delicate constitution;

^{441.} Who wrote the Larger Catechism which appeared this year? 442. What foundations were established by Edward VI. at the instance of Ridley ! Digitized by GOOG

during his illness Ridley preached EDWARD VI. Julius III. before him, exhorting men, particu-Cranmer. larly such as were in high stations, to deeds of charity, and good works. The King was so impressed with the sermon, that he called on Ridley to advise him how to carry out such a duty. The good bishop, after consulting with the chief men of the city of London, recommended the foundation of three institutions, which EDWARD accordingly en-

dowed: these were, St Bartholomew's Hospital for the sick and wounded; the school of Christ Church near Newgate, for the education and protection of orphans; and Bridewell for the punishment of the idle, and for the support of the insane: in addition to which, further grants were also made to St Thomas's Hospital in Southwark.

443. The Succession. Edward's death.— The question of the Succession was now a matter of serious consideration: by the will of Henry VIII. it devolved after EDWARD, should be die without issue, on the Princesses Mary, and Elizabeth. The known prediliction of Mary for the ancient faith of Romanism caused some apprehension in the minds of the Reformers, and the ambition of Northumberland prompted a method of setting them aside in favour of his daughter-in law, Lady Jane Grey, the King's cousin, and who had lately been married to the Duke's fourth son, Lord Guildford Dudley. Lady Jane Grey was the grand-daughter of the Princess Mary, the sister of Henry VIII., who had been married first to Louis XII. of France, and afterwards to Charles Brandon, Duke of Suffolk; the children by whom were Margaret married to James IV. of Scotland, represented now by the infant queen of Scots., and Frances, the Duchess of Suffolk. Setting aside the infant child of the elder sister Margaret, after EDWARD there stood between the Lady Jane and the succession only the two princesses Mary and Elizabeth, who had both been bastardized by acts of Parliament still unrepealed. Northumberland, therefore, designed to secure the crown for the

^{443.} What were the proceedings for procuring the succession of Lady Jane Grey? When did Edward VI. die? [X.3] Digitized by Google

Ladu Jane. whose mother had now trans-EDWARD VI. ferred to her her own right. The King, after Julius III. some plausible arguments, was at last persuad-Cranmer. ed by the Duke to appoint his cousin as his successor. The crown lawyers and chief judges were ordered to draw up the proper legal instrument, which they at first refused to do without the consent of Parliament, as exposing them to impeachment for treason; but partly by threats, and partly by persuasion, the instrument was duly completed, had the Great Seal attached to it, and the signstures of all the lords of the Council, of most of the Judges, of the Attorney and Solicitor General, of Archbishop Crassmer, and certain others, (June 21). Cranmer, and Cecil at first objected, and only yielded at the earnest solicitation of the King. Judge Hales was the only one who resolutely

Summary of the Reign of Edward VI.—6 years, from A. D. 1547 to A. D. 1558.

refused. A few days after, the amiable and youthful ED-WARD VI. died from pulmonary Consumption in the 16th year of his age, and 7th of his reign; July 6, 1553.

During the short reign of Edward VI., the Reformation rapidly advanced through the zeal and activity of the Protector Somerset, Cranmer, Ridley, and the foreign divines who had been invited to England; amongst whom were Peter Martyr, Bucer, Fagius, and Tremellius, who were placed at the Universities. Injunctions were issued by the King in Council forbidding the practice of Romish errors and superstitions, and Commissioners appointed to see the Injunctions carried out. Public Disputations were also held with the Romanists at the Universities; and six able divines were nominated King's Preachers; four of whom were itinerants, who were publicly to maintain the doctrines of the Reformation. Several works were also published by the King's authority for the promotion and encouragement of the true faith. They were (1) Erasmus's Paraphrase of the Scriptures in 1547, placed with the BIBLE, in Parish Churches.—(2) The First Book of Homi-11es in 1547, in which is comprized the doctrine of the Church of England as now established; declaring that Salvation rests only upon a true and lively faith in the merits of Christ, and that no good works can be done without such faith: see page 282.—(3) First Communion Office

^{444.} Give a Summary of the Reign of Edward VI.

in 1548: see page 267.—(4) Cranmer's Catechism in 1548: see page 268.—(5)
The First English Liturgy or

EDWARD VI. Julius III. Cranmer.

BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER' in 1548, and which contained the new Offices: see page 271.—(6) The new Form of Ordination being the first Ordination Service, in 1550: see page 280.—(7) The Second Liturgy or Book or Common Prayer, with the second Ordination Service in 1552: see page 288.—(8) The Forty Two Articles of Religion in 1553: see page 294. -(9) The Larger Catechism in 1553: see page 296. The King's Primer (of 1545) was also reprinted in this reign: a Metrical Version of the Psalms begun by Sternhold and Hopkins; and a new code of Ecclesiastical Laws called " Reformatio Legum &c. was drawn up by Cranmer, but EDWARD's early death caused it to be laid aside, and ELIZABETH when Queen being unwilling to authorize it, it never became law. By all these means, and by the two ACTS FOR UNIFORMITY OF PUBLIC WORSHIP, and other statutes enacted by Parliament, the errors of the Church of Rome were gradually renounced by the authorities of the realm; and the doctrines of the Church of England at the death of the King were very nearly those now held and maintained. The 'corporal presence' was rejected; Communion of both kinds admitted; Purgatory, Invocation of Saints, and Image Worship, abolished: and the only subject that seriously interrupted the harmony of the Reforming divines was the controversy set on foot by Hooper respecting the Ecclesiastical Vestments. One important feature of this reign was that Bishops were to hold their Sees by Letters Patent, and at the King's pleasure. Notwithstanding all this, however, the majority of the people, and of the parochial clergy still adhered to the erroneous tenets of the Romish Church. Persecutions on account of Religion were but few: Bps. Gardiner, and Bonner, were deprived and imprisoned for their oppo-

What works relating to the Reformation were published under the authority of Edward VI? [a. 1. c. 1. D. 3. G. 3. FF. 3.] What was the nature of them; and how do they shew the progress of the Reformation? [a. 1. c. 1.] What changes were made in the reign of Edward VI. in respect of the Eucharist? Purgatory? Invocation of Saints? and Image worship? [0. 3.] Give a history of the different revisions of the Liturgy. [DD. 3.] What changes in Ecclesiastical

sition; and Joan Bocher of Kent, and George Van Paris or Parre, burnt for heresy. As to the Character of Edward VI. Julius III. Cranmer. he was a youth of high abilities, and possessed very great attainments, far indeed beyond his years; under the tuition of Dr Coxe, Dean of Christ Church, Oxford, and afterwards bp. of Ely; and Mr. Cheke, Greek Professor at Cambridge, assisted, (according to Strype,) by Sir Anthony Cook, he made rapid advances in the knowledge of languages, mathematics, and philosophy, and gained superior excellence in piety, and religion; so much so indeed, as to be termed 'the Josias of England.'

445. Cranmer's Acts.—Cranmer, at the accession of EDWARD, indulged high expectations of the success of the reformed doctrines, and he was by no means disappointed: some of his measures, however, have led him to be charged in some degree with Erastianism; i. e. with making the Institutions and Formularies of the Church to depend more on the civil magistrate than on Ecclesiastical authority. He began by making the Episcopal jurisdiction dependant by 'Letters Patent' on the life and will of the Prince, by requesting EDWARD to grant to him his Royal licence to continue to hold the authority of an Archbishop: the bishops were required to do the same. Cranmer officiated in the coronation of the King, and shortly after put forth the FIRST BOOK OF HOMILIES; he had such influence with the Parliament as to procure a Law for administering the Communion in both kinds; he next examined the Offices of the Church, published a CATE-CHISM, and 'A Confutation of the Unwritten Verities:' he answered the articles sent in by the rebels; brought out a new Form of Ordination; and the Book of Com-MON PRAYER. During the troubles in Germany. Cranmer invited many foreigners over to England, after consulting whom, he Reviewed the PRAYER BOOK. He wrote also 'A Defence of the True and Catholic doctrine of the Sacra-'ment of the body and blood of our Saviour Christ;' set about a reform of the Ecclesiastical Laws; and prepared

Digitized by Google

matters were introduced by authority in England between A.D. 1533, and the death of Edward VI? [M. 3. X. 3.] What was the character of Edward VI? Who were his tutors? 445. Give an account of Cranmer during the reign of Edward VI? [O. 3.]

the ARTICLES OF RELIGION: he opposed the new settlement of the crown urged on by the Duke of Northumberland, but at the persuasion of the King then approaching death, he set his hand to it, which was the last act in EDWARD VIth's reign.

Mary.-A. D. 1553.

446. Lady Jane Grey.—On the death MARY. of Edward, the Duke of Northumberland immediately brought his daughter-in-law Lady Jane Grey to London, and much against her inclination proclaimed her Queen (July 10th). The Council gave their outward consent; and in fact wrote in answer to Mary's communication to them asserting her right to the Crown, that the marriage of her mother had been pronounced invalid by the Ecclesiastical Courts according to the laws of God and the country, that the sentence of Divorce had been confirmed by successive Parliaments, and that she had been declared by the Statutes of the realm illegitimate, and consequently was incapable of succeeding to the There was however very little enthusiasm among the people to support the proceeding, for Northumberland had become very unpopular. Ridley, bishop of London, dreading the consequences to the new religion should the crown devolve on MARY, preached an eloquent sermon at Paul's Cross in favour of the right and title of the Lady Jane, and animated the people against her rival. Cranmer, however, appears to have declared in favour of MARY on the ground of legitimate, and hereditary right. The partizans of MARY were not idle; they collected numerous retainers, and made preparations for sup-

^{446.} Who was Mary's competitor for the throne? What was the issue of the contest? What was the conduct of the Duke of Northumberland? When was Mary proclaimed queen? What was done to the Lady Jane, Northumberland, Ridley, and others?

porting her cause by arms. Northum-MARY. Julius III. berland, finding himself in a dilemma, Cranmer. quitted London with a small force to defend the claims of Lady Jane. The Council immediately on his absence retraced the hasty steps they had taken, and declared for MARY. The great defections among Northumberland's soldiers, and the want of powerful supporters led him very soon to abandon the cause he had undertaken; and to save his neck, he hastened to the market-place in Cambridge, where he then was, being Chancellor of the University, and High Steward of the Town, and there proclaimed Queen Mary, July 20th, the day after her public proclamation in London: on the following day, however, he was arrested by the Earl of Arundel on a charge of treason, and conveyed to the Tower of London, where the Lady Jane was also imprisoned, and whither his relatives and friends soon followed him; Ridley among the number, and some of the judges. Thus ended the royalty of the unfortunate Lady Jane Grey, who but 'ten days personated a Queen.'

447. Roman Bishops restored.—The next proceeding of Marx was to liberate the Duke of Norfolk, Gardiner, Bonner, Tonstal, Day, Heath, and certain others confined in the Tower; and quickly after, the deposed prelates were restored to their bishoprics. Gardiner, through the influence of the Emperor, Charles V, and by a little intrigue, was made Lord Chancellor as well as restored to his see of Winchester. It was now ordered through the influence of Gardiner, who held the chief authority in Church and State, that no one should preach without a license, and of course none but Romanists could procure one; and all thus licensed were to preach

^{447.} Whom did Mary liberate from confinement? What did she promise in respect of religion? What was the end of Northumberland?

in any church or cathedral to which they might be sent: yet the Queen a few days after her accession had declared in Council, that although MARY. Julius III. *Cranmer*.

a few days after her accession had declared in Council, that although her own conscience was fixed in matters of religion, yet she was resolved not to compel or restrain others; and promised 'not to alter the religion set up in King Edward's days;' but there was this limitation 'till Public Orders should be taken in it.' Northumberland, and two of his associates, Gates, and Palmer, were now brought to trial, and beheaded (August 22).

448. Reformers imprisoned.—Not long after, Cranmer was called before the Council, and severely reprimanded for signing the late King's will in respect of the succession, and ordered to keep to his house. Gardiner attempted to screen Cranmer, from learning that MARY contemplated introducing Cardinal Pole in his place, who would be too great a rival for Gardiner's ambition. The Archbishop, however, foresaw the coming persecution, and ventured to declare in writing, which was surreptitiously made public by Scory, that the hand of the devil was visible in all these violent changes; and he also proceeded to declaim against the Mass; for this, he was committed to the Tower. (Sept. 14.) Latimer, soon followed him there; Hooper, and Coverdale were also put in prison for preaching without a license; Bradford, Ridley's chaplain, and Rogers, were likewise seized upon the accusation of creating resistance to the preaching of Bourn, Bonner's chaplain, at Paul's Cross; whereas, it was through their interference that Bourn was protected from the mob, who had become exasperated at his declaiming against King Edward. Judge

^{448.} What troubles now befel Cranmer, Latimer, and other reformers? What measures were adopted for the restoration of Romanism. [E. 4.] Why were Bradford, and Rogers apprehended? Judge Hales? and Montague? What became of Peter Martyr, and other foreigners? What English reformers escaped with them?

Hales, and chief justice Montague, although strenuous advocates for the rights of Marx, were even placed in confinement for declaring King Edward's laws to be still in force; or most probably, it was because they were encouragers of the Reformation. Peter Martyr retired from Oxford, and was allowed with A'Lasco, and the other foreigners to quit the kingdom; under cover of this permission, Coxe, Grindal, Horn, Sandys, and many other eminent divines fled to the Continent; in short, all the Protestant prelates with the exception of two were now either imprisoned, or had fled.

449. Acts of Edward repealed.-MARY Was crowned on October 1st, by Gardiner, and ten other bishops; and Day, bp. of Chichester, preached the Sermon. She was now anxious for a reconciliation with the Apostolic See, thinking her illegitimacy might be removed more effectually by Papal authority. Gardiner, however, recommended the Parliamentary authority as more secure and satisfactory; being anxious to avoid a reconciliation with Rome from the fear of losing power and authority. Four days afterwards Parliament began its sittings. Its first act under the influence of Gardiner was to declare the legitimacy of the Queen, and the divorce of Henry VIII. and Catharine, null and void. (by 1 Mary, Sess. 2. c. 1.) The next step was to overthrow everything that had been done in the preceding reign affecting religion, by repealing all the Acts passed by Edward respecting the administration of the Sacrament to the laity in both kinds, the Election of Bishops, the Uniformity of Public Worship, the Marriage of priests, the abolition of Missals, and removal of Images, the keeping of Holydays, and

^{449.} When was Mary crowned? What acts of Parliament were passed in order to restore the Roman Catholic religion? [C. 4. E. 3. N. 3.]

Fast-days, &c. It was also directed that Divine Service should again be performed according to the Roman situal as it was in the last year of MARY. Julius III. *Cranmer*.

ritual as it was in the last year of Henry VIII. (1 Mary, Sess. 2. c. 2.). Such were the proceedings

for restoring the Roman Catholic religion.

450. Jane Grey, and Granmer arraigned.—The Lady Jane Grey, and her husband (Guildford Dudley), with two of his brothers were now arraigned for treason; and in the same bill of attainder Cranmer was included. They all confessed to their indictments, and Cranmer was accordingly divested of his Archbishopric. The Pope at this time also began a correspondence with the Queen through one Commendone, with the design of reconciling England and the Papacy. Cardinal Pole was shortly after despatched to England at the request of the Queen with a legratine commission.

V. did not forget his interest in the accession of his niece, and made proposals for the marriage of his son *Philip* of Spain with Queen *Mary*. This last measure met the strong objection of the country. The Emperor, however, backed his designs by the transmission of large sums of secret service money for *Gardiner* to buy off the opposition; who readily executed the commission in order to keep *Pole* in the back ground, whom he considered his rival in

political power.

452. Convocation was sitting at this period; at which Bonner, bp. of London, was president, and Weston, dean of Westminster, was chosen prolocutor of the Lower House. There were only two Protes-

^{450.} What proceedings were taken against Lady Jane Grey, and her relatives, and Cranmer? 451. What circumstances occurred in respect of the Pope, and the Emperor? 452. What was the conduct of the Convocation in regard to the Book of Common Prayer, and the Catechism? What reformers opposed this proceeding?

tant prelates in attendance, viz:-MARY. Julius III. Taylor, bp. of Lincoln, and Harley, bp. Cranmer. of Hereford, but they were soon com-pelled to retire. In the Lower House, there were six reformers conspicuous for their opposition to the opinions of the dominant party, viz. Aylmer, Cheyney, Haddon, Philips, Philpot, and Young. Business began with a violent discussion in respect of the Book of Common Prayer, and the Catechism, which were both now declared to be heretical from their denial of Transubstantiaton. A day was fixed for public disputation upon the doctrine of the 'corporal presence; and which after some warm disputing, ended by Weston declaring in answer to the arguments of the Protestant party the expressive remark-' You have the Word, but we have the sword.' The Common Prayer, and the Catechism were condemned; and Transubstantiation, and all the essentialities of the Roman Catholic religion were re-established throughout the kingdom.

A. D. 1554.

453. **Insurrections.**—The year commenced with the consideration of the Queen's marriage with *Philip* of Spain; the treaty of alliance after some discussion in Parliament was ultimately concluded; (1 *Mary*, Sess. 3. c. 2.); and had it been carried out it would have proved highly beneficial to England: the whole Regal power and authority was to remain in *Mary*. The nation, however, was greatly dissatisfied with this alliance, and a general insurrection was the consequence. The *Duke of Suffolk*, Sir Peter Carew, and Sir Thomas Wyat were the chief instigators of this rebellion, but their ineffi-

^{453.} What disturbances were produced from the objection of the nation to the marriage of Mary with Philip of Spain? Who were the chief movers of the insurrection? what resulted from the failure of this movement?

ciency for such leadership brought MARY. Julius III. about their discomfiture. Carew es-Cranmer. caped out of the country; Suffolk, and Wyat, however, were captured. Very many of the nobility were compromised in the rebellion; and Lady Jane Grey, and her husband were in consequence hastened to execution. Cranmer, who was tried with them, was pardoned for his treason, but kept in confinement on a charge of heresy; which according to Roman principles, as he was an Archbishop, would have to be decided by Papal jurisdiction. Suffolk, and Wyat were brought to trial, and condemned to death; while upwards of fifty others suffered with them; and a host of the common people were forced to beg their lives with halters round their necks. The Princess Elizabeth was even arrested on suspicion of being connected with the late rising; and kept under strict surveillance: as well as Courtney. Earl of Devon, a favourite of MARY's, and who was thought to have designed to marry Elizabeth, and place her on the throne. Sir Nicholas Throgmorton, who had been imprisoned on a like charge, by the independent spirit of the jury was acquitted, but the jurymen were all heavily fined for indulging so honest a conscience.

454. Injunctions issued.—The Queen was not tardy in executing the enactments of her pliant Parliament for the re-establishment of Romanism; and availed herself of the Royal Supremacy so long as was necessary for that purpose. Severe proceedings therefore were now commenced against all favourers of the Reformation. Injunctions framed upon the new statutes were now issued to the Bishops, that the Ecclesiastical Courts should possess their

^{454.} What other measures were now adopted to restore the ancient religion? [C. 4. E. 4.] What prelates were removed in consequence of these Injunctions? How many vacancies arose !

ancient powers and jurisdictions inde-MARY. Julius III. pendent of the crown, and not be re-Cranmer. quired to act in the Queen's name; that the Celibacy of the clergy should strictly be maintained. and that all who were now married should be ejected from their livings, or be separated from their wives: that such as were 'uncanonically' ordained according to the Form of Service lately put forth by Edward VI. should go through the ancient ceremonies which that book had omitted; and that henceforth the oath of Royal Supremacy should no longer be exacted; that all the services were to be in the Latin tonque; and the ancient Ceremonies revived; that due vigilance be used for the detection and punishment of heretics; and all Schoolmasters and instructors of youth suspected of heresy were to be prohibited from teaching; and that Homilies be set forth for procuring uniformity of doctrine, and the people be compelled to attend Divine Service. In consequence of these injunctions, seven Prelates were at once removed; viz. the archbishop of York, and the bishops of Bristol, Chester, and St David's, for being married, and Gloucester, Hereford, and Lincoln, for teaching erroneous doctrines; others, taking alarm at the threatened dangers, resigned, so that sixteen vacancies arose in the Episcopal body, which very readily were supplied by zealous advocates of the Roman faith. Among the inferior clergy also an immense number were deprived on account of being married: Burnet, on the authority of Archbishop Parker, says 12,000 out of the census of 16,000; but probably a third part is nearer the number.

455. **Disputation** at Oxford.—The more conspicuous of the reforming divines did not escape this violent movement. Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer,

^{455.} What happened to Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer? Show the doctrines held by the Reformers? [U. 5.] Give an account of the disputation at Oxford between these Re-

who, from the numbers of the prisoners, had been put together in one apartment, were now removed by order of the Convocation from the Tower to Oxford, where they—in consequence of the complaints made by the friends of the Reformation that the controversy in

the Convocation from the Tower to Oxford, where they—in consequence of the complaints made by the friends of the Reformation that the controversy in the late Convocation had been conducted with gross partiality, and the ablest divines excluded by being detained in prison,—where they were to attend a public Disputation with certain picked delegates of the Universities; Dr Hugh Weston, dean of Westminster, presiding. The Questions proposed were drawn from the three Articles put forth, and subscribed by the present Convocation as the test of heresy:—

I. In Sacramento altaris virtute verbi divini a sacerdote prolati, præsens est realiter, sub speciebus panis et vini, naturale corpus Christi, conceptum de Virgine Maria; item naturalis ejus sanguis.—In the Sacrament of the altar by virtue of the Lord delivered by the priest, there is really present under the appearance of bread and wine, the natural body of Christ conceived of the Virgin Mary; and his natural blood. (i. e. Whether the natural body of Christ be really in the Sacrament or not, after consecration?)

II. 'Post Consecrationem non remanet substantia 'panis et vini, neque alia ulla substantia, nist substantia 'Christi, Dei et hominis.'—After Consecration, the substance of bread and wine does not remain, nor any other substance but the substance of Christ, God and man. (i. e. Whether any other substance than the Body and Blood of

Christ remains after consecration?)

III. 'In Missa est vivificum ecclesia sacrificium pro 'peccatis, tam vivorum, quam mortuorum, propitiabile.'— In the Mass is the life-giving sacrifice of the Church, propitiatory as well for the sins of the living, as of the dead. (i.e. Whether in the Mass there is a propitiatory sacrifice for the sins of the living and the dead?)

456. Two days only were allowed to the Reformers for

formers, and the University delegates? [0.4.] What were the questions submitted to them? [D. 4. 0.4.] Which of the reformers took the lead? [0.4.] 466. What were their several replies in respect of the corporal presence? How

preparation; and they were kept in different prisons, and denied the use of books and papers. Moreover, they had to appear singly in the disputation against a host of opponents.

MARY. Julius III. Cranmer.

in the disputation against a host of opponents. Cranmer was first brought in (April 16th), and on being called on to assent and to subscribe to the three Articles, he denied them all; in respect of the 1st, he said, 'Christ's true body is truly present, to them that truly receive Him, but 'spiritually;'-the 2nd he rejected wholly;-in respect of the 3rd he said 'that it was intricate, and enveloped in 'doubtful phraseology, and very different from the words of Scripture. He denied that Christ was again sacrificed by the priest, declaring, that 'Christ's blood shed upon the Altar of the Cross is the only sacrifice, and oblation for 'the sins of all mankind.' The chief opponents of Cranmer were Yonge, vicechancellor of Cambridge; and then Harpsfield. The proceeding was conducted with much insulting clamour, and confusion. Ridley appeared the next day (April 17), but his remarks were received with great violence, and hissing; he denied 'the presence of Christ's body in the natural substance of his human and assumed 'nature, but admitted the presence of the same by grace.' Dr. Smith was his chief opponent. The aged, and feeble Latimer followed the day after (April 18th), and was heard with similar confusion, and uproar. He affirmed that 'to a right celebration of the Eucharist, no other presence of 'Christ is required than a spiritual presence:-and this may be called a real presence, because to the faithful believer, there is the real or spiritual body of Christ.' Thus were the disputations conducted. The Reformers were silenced by violence and clamour, and their arguments declared to be overcome; after being required once more to subscribe to the appointed questions, which they refused to do, they were condemned, on Friday, April 20th, as obstinate heretics, and declared excommunicate. this sentence Cranmer appealed to the judgment of Almighty God; and they were now re-conducted to prison. Previous to this the charges against them were, in respect of Cranmer for high-treason, of Ridley and Latimer for sedition. A similar exhibition was contemplated at Cambridge; but the case being prejudged, Hooper, and his fellow prisoners, Taylor, Ferrar, Philpot, Bradford, and

were their observations received? What decision was come to against them?

others, published a declaration of their views, and declined any disputation except before the Queen and Council; or the Houses of Parliament.

MARY. Julius III. Cranmer.

Queen's Marriage. - The next important matter was the marriage of the QUEEN with her cousin *Philip*, who reached England in July. The ceremony took place in Winchester, and was performed by *Gardiner*, after which the court proceeded to London. Soon after his arrival, Philip interested himself in behalf of the State prisoners, for many of whom he obtained pardon; the Arch-bishop of York (Holgate) was amongst the number released, and likewise Courtney the Earl of Devonshire: he also sought popularity by the most prodigal liberality. The Princess Elizabeth, also, through his influence, obtained more favourable treatment. On the assembling of the Parliament, the bill of attainder of Cardinal Pole was repealed, which was necessary before he could return to his native country; and measures were also adopted for the reconciliation of England with the Church of Rome.

458. Cardinal Pole's return.—Pole after this quickly reached London from the Continent, charged with high powers and authority as Pope's legate; and immediately a council was called at Whitehall, to which Parliament was summoned to receive the Cardinal, and hear the purport of his legation; after thanking them for their favour, and making a set oration, and some few preliminaries had been gone through by Parliament, the Cardinal granted the entire nation a plenary absolution, and in accordance with the powers granted him received it again into the bosom of the Papal Church. All statutes, articles, and pro-

^{457.} When was Mary married to Philip of Spain? What state prisoners were released through the interest of Philip? 458. When did Cardinal Pole arrive in England? When was England formally reconciled to the see of Rome?

visions, which had been passed since the 20th year of Henry VIII., obnoxious to the interests of Rome, were now repealed; but to allay the fears of the temporal leaders, the settlements of the Church, and Abbey lands, that had been conveyed to the laity were allowed by the legate to be confirmed (1 and 2 Philip and Mary c. 8.). The old Acts against Heresy* were revived (by 1 and 2 Philip and Mary, c. 6.). The title of 'Supreme Head of the Church' used by the Sovereirn was ordered to be Church' used by the Sovereign was ordered to be omitted; and the Statutes of Mortmain were repealed for twenty years. Last year, matters were restored to the state in which they stood prior to the changes made by Edward VI.; and now they were brought back to the position in which they were prior to the alterations made by *Henry* VIII. in the 20th year of his reign. The Church of Rome was now established by law: yet Gardiner took care in affecting all these measures to steer clear of the two great springs of opposition; viz.; the dread of again becoming subject to the tyranny of Rome, and the loss of the Abbey lands.

A. D. 1555.

Popes; Julius III. Marcellus II.—A. D. 1555; Paul IV.—A. D. 1555—9.

459. **Reconciliation with Rome.**—The return of England to the See of Rome was commemorated by a grand religious procession; and as it occurred on the 25th of January, St Andrew's day; that day was ordered to be kept as an anniversary of the event under the name of the 'Feast of the Reconciliation.' An Embassy was now sent to the Pope

What additional measures were carried through Parliament for restoring the influence of the Pope? [E. 4.] 459. What was the Feast of the Reconciliation, and why instituted?

^{* 5} Rick. II. st. 11. c. 5; 2 Hen. IV. c. 15; 2 Hen. V. c. 7.

to offer the submission of England to the Holy See: the Pope confirmed the proceedings of his legate, MARY. Julius III. Cranmer.

and proclaimed a jubilee in honour of the event. By order of *Pole*, the bishops were now empowered to reconcile all persons to the Church, and to keep a registry of such as were brought in; the rest were to be proceeded against; and it need hardly be said that there was a vast body of the people found opposed to the return to Romanism. The *Cardinal* was an advocate for the gentler and more persuasive measures; *Gardiner*, being a man of a more cruel temper, and from rivalry to *Pole*, was for a severe persecution, and the strict execution of the laws enacted against heresy. The counsel of the latter, backed as it was by Mary and *Philip*, prevailed; and a hot persecution, which lasted four years, brought many once more to the stake.

460. Persecution.—A Commission sat for the trial of heretics, presided over by Gardiner, and the sentences of execution were ordered to be carried out at the place where the crime was committed. John Rogers was the first victim of the Marian persecution. He refused to submit to the Church of Rome, and was accordingly condemned to be burnt at Smithfield. (Feb. 4th.). Bishop Hooper was next condemned, and suffered a like death at Gloucester. (Feb. 9th.). Bishop Ferrar was burnt in the market place of Caermarthen, the chief town of his diocese of St David's (March 30th.), as a heretic for having married, denying transubstantiation, and maintaining justification by faith only. Dr. Rowland Taylor suffered a like death in his parish of Hadleigh, in Suffolk; and Laurence Saunders, at Coventry. At this period Gardiner seems to have felt some compunction: he had thought that the dread of the flames, and a few instances of severity would have brought all the rest of the Reformers to a compliance with the new state of things; finding his error, he got weary of his office, and resigned it to the 'bloody Bonner.'

^{460.} When did the violent persecution of the Reformers commence? Who were the chief instigators? What eminent divines suffered in 1555.?

Among the first brought to the stake by this ruthless prelate was John Bradford. (July 1st.); with whom suffered at the same time John Leaf, a tallow-chandler's apprentice: and after him numerous others.

MARY. Julius III. Cranmer.

461. John Bogers was educated at Cambridge. and became chaplain to the factory at Antwerp, where he assisted Tyndal, and Coverdale in translating the Bible into English. He subsequently brought out an edition of the Scriptures himself under the title of Matthewe's Bible. Having married he quitted Antwerp, and took charge of a Dutch congregation at Wittemberg, until the accession of Edward VI. when he returned to England. He obtained the patronage of Ridley, who appointed him a prebendary of St Paul's, and shortly after the chapter made him their lecturer in Divinity. On the accession of MARY, he was vicar of St Sepulchre; and before the repression of Protestantism, he preached a powerful sermon in favour of the Reformed faith; and notwithstanding the new Injunctions issued by the Council, he persisted in using the Communion Service of Edward VI, in the administration of the Lord's Supper, and refused to submit to the Church of Rome. For these things he was summoned before the Council, and being condemned of heresy, he was committed to Newgate, and shortly after was burnt at Smithfield. Feb. 14th, 1555.

462. John Bradford was born and educated at Manchester in the beginning of the 16th century. His readiness at figures procured him a government situation : but having embezzled a hundred and forty pounds of the crown money, he was dismissed. Conscience-smitten at the enormity of his offence he endeavoured to make all possible reparation. He next applied himself to the study of the Law, and soon afterwards prepared himself for the Church. He entered at Catharine Hall, Cambridge, and there obtained the patronage of Dr. Ridley, the Master of Pembroke Hall, who subsequently made him his chaplain, and a prebendary of St Paul's. He was next appointed one of the six Royal chaplains of Edward VI. On the accession of MARY, however, he was falsely accused by the Romanizing party of exciting a protestant mob against Dr. Bourn, bp. Bonner's chaplain, when preaching at St Paul's Cross, and sent to the Tower; where he met with the company of Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer. He was removed from prison to prison; and at length, at the renewal of the

^{461.} Who was John Rogers? 462. John Bradford?

persecution in A. D. 1555. he was burnt at Smithfield (July 1st) for rejecting Transubstantiation. Bradford was a very zealous, and eloquent preacher, but intemperate;

MARY. Julius III. *Cranmer*.

and maintained a warm controversy with his associate Reformers on election and predestination, in respect of which he

held supralapsarian views.

463. John Hooper was born in Somersetshire in 1495; and educated at Merton College, Oxford. On taking his degree in 1518 he became a Cistercian monk of Gloucester; but soon after advocating the doctrines of the Reformers, on the passing of the Act of the Six Articles he was obliged to leave the country. While abroad he married, and gained the friendship of Bullinger. On the accession of EDWARD VI., Hooper returned to England, and became chaplain to the Duke of Somerset. He was a very popular preacher, and soon after was appointed to the see of Gloucester. A. D. 1550. The prejudices that he had imbibed abroad led him to desire a substitution instead of a Reformation, and he therefore opened a controversy respecting Ecclesiastical Vestments. His opposition went so far as to cause him to be imprisoned; at length a compromise was effected. (See par. 427.). Subsequent to this, on the deprivation of Heath, he held the see of Worcester in commendam. When MARY succeeded, Hooper was deprived, although no definite charge was brought against him, and ultimately condemned to be He suffered at Gloucester Feb. 1555. held very extreme opinions, was zealous, and energetic, but forbidding in his manners, and deportment.

464. **Religious Mouses.**—The QUEEN under the impression that it was sinful to keep possession of the lands belonging to the Church, and that salvation would in consequence be denied her, resolved to give up all claimed by the Crown; and appropriate them to the founding of certain religious houses: she began with rebuilding the Franciscan convent at Greenwich. This caused great alarm among the holders of Abbey-lands; Gardiner, however, quickly removed their fears. At this period Pope Julius III. died, and was succeeded

^{463.} Who was John Hooper? 464. What did Queen Mary in respect of the Abbey-lands in the possession of the crown? Who succeeded Pope Julius III? When did Paul IV.

went to Flanders.

by Marcellus II. who did not live many days after his election, when he was followed by the ambitious, and insolent, John Peter Caraffa, as Pope Paul IV. He began his career by assuming the power of making Ireland a kingdom, and granting it to the crown of England: he required also the Abbey-lands to be restored to the Church, and Peter-pence to be collected again, and paid regularly to the Roman See. He had a dislike to Cardinal Pole, and by a bull annulled all that he had done in respect of confirming the possession of the Abbey-lands. By the Pope's influence, moreover, Inquisitorial powers were conferred on the justices of the peace in every parish in the kingdom for the discovery, and punishment of heretics. Philip had now become weary of his stay in England, and tired of his gloomy queen; he therefore quitted the country for the Continent, and

465. Ridley, and Latimer burnt.—The persecutions, and the burnings still went on; at length Cranmer, RIDLEY, and LATIMER, were proceeded against (Oct. 16th). The bishops of Bristol (Holyman), Gloucester (Brookes), and Lincoln (White), having received a special commission from the Pope, and a licence from the Queen, repaired to Oxford. The Bishop of Gloucester presided in the process against Cranmer as sub-delegate of the Cardinal de Puteo: but in the process against Ridley, and Latimer, the bishop of Lincoln presided as the representative of Cardinal Pole. Cranmer was first cited to appear, and after hearing the charges against him, and delivering his replies, he was ordered to answer personally at Rome within fourscore days; and then remanded to prison. Sep. 7th. RIDLEY, and LATIMER,

succeed to the Papacy? What were his first proceedings? When did Philip leave England? 465. When were Ridley, and Latimer burnt? How long after the discussion at Oxford?

were then proceeded against. The Commissioners after proceeding some

MARY. Paul IV. Cranmer.

time, ultimately exhorted the two Reformers to recent; but they firmly refused, and were accordingly led to the stake opposite Baliol College, eighteen months after the Disputation at Oxford. Ridley embraced his fellow-sufferer at Oxford. Midley embraced his fellow-sufferer saying, 'Be of good heart, brother, for God will either assuage the fury of the flame, or strengthen 'us to bear it.' The aged Latimer, while being chained, cried out, 'Be of good comfort, master 'Ridley, and play the man; we shall this day light 'such a candle, by God's grace, in England, as I 'trust shall never be put out.' Bags of gunpowder were fastened to their necks by a relative of Ridley's, which hastened the termination of their suffacious. which hastened the termination of their sufferings. The fortitude and constancy of these two martyrs were witnessed by a zealous and most bitter papist, Julius Palmer, a fellow of Magdalene College, who had been banished the kingdom in the late reign for his Romanism, but restored by MARY to his fellow-ship on her accession; and the scene had such an effect on him, that he became a convert to Protestantism, and the next summer saw him bound to the stake for the like faith. Cranmer's execution, by the policy of Gardiner, was deferred; he being anxious not to make the see of Canterbury vacant till he could secure it for himself.

466. **Micholas Ridley** was born at Wilmontswick in Northumberland; he received his early education at a school in Newcastle upon Tyne; from which he went to Pembroke College, Cambridge, where he graduated in 1522; two years after, he was elected fellow, (1524). He now travelled on the Continent, became acquainted with many Reformers, and pursued his studies at the Sorbonne. After

[[]O. 4.] What was the last observation of Ridley? What, of Latimer? Why was Cranmer's execution delayed? 466. Give a brief memoir of Ridley, and his services in the Reformation. [E. 3. L. 4.]

his return, he protested against the Papal Supremacy; and his great virtue and talent recommended him to Cranmer, who made him his Chaplein (1537) Bidlews he have the chapter of the chap

MARY. Paul IV. Cranmer.

Cranmer. him his Chaplain, (1537), Ridley also became Master of his College, and Chaplain to Henry VIII. (1540). He subsequently was appointed bishop of Rochester, (1547); and finally bishop of London. (1550). He persuaded Edward VI. to the foundation of these charitable institutions before alluded to (in par. 442.); but on the accession of Mary, he unadvisedly concurred in the proclamation of Lady Jane Grey, whence he was marked out as a victim of Papal hatred, and was quickly imprisoned. He was sent to the disputation at Oxford in (1554), where he was subsequently condemned as an heretic by the legatine commissioners, and burnt October 16th, 1555. He was one of the ablest promoters of the Reformation, and not only preached strongly against the use of images, holy water. transubstantiation, indulgences, and other Romish doctrines, and superstitions, but repressed them in his diocese; and was chiefly instrumental in changing Altars to Tables. He is said also to have assisted Cranmer in the composition of the Homilies, the two Liturgies, and the Articles of Religion. He wrote a treatise on 'Predestination, and Election;' and in answer to Bradford on this subject, he said, 'In those matters I dare not speak further than the texte 'dothe, as it wer, lead me by the hand.' Ridley was a man of superior birth, profound learning, sound judgment, and noble mien; to him the Church of England is chiefly indebted for reformation in respect of the 'corporal presence,' and the sacrifice of the Mass; and for her Apostolical form of Church Government.

467. **Hugh Latimer** was of more humble birth than his two compeers; being a small farmer's son. He was born at Thurcaston in Leicestershire in 1470: his father entered him at Christ's College, Cambridge, when about fourteen years of age. He became a disciple of Bilney's, and was twice brought into danger upon charges of heresy. The ascendancy of Anne Boleyn was favourable to Latimer; he became one of her Chaplains, and through her interest he was afterwards appointed bishop of Worcester, 1535. On the passing of the 'Act of the Six Articles' he resigned his See, 1539, and went into retire-

^{467.} Give a brief memoir of Latimer, and his services in the Reformation. [M. 4.]

ment: but at a subsequent visit to London. MARY. with his usual freedom he spoke severely Paul IV. against the Act, upon which he was com-Cranmer. mitted to prison, 1541, where he remained during the reign of Henry VIII. On the accession of Edward VI., 1547, he returned to preaching, and obtained the royal favour. Latimer also found an asylum in the house of Cranmer, but he could not be prevailed upon to resume his episcopal functions. Soon after the accession of MARY, his known predilictions and forcible preaching caused him to be cited before the Council, but refusing to submit to the Papal see, he was thrown into prison; and not long after sent with his friends Cranmer, and Ridley to the disputation at Oxford, (1554) where he was condemned as a heretic at the same time with Ridley, and burnt, October 16th, 1555. at the age of 85 years. Latimer was a very homely, and plain speaker, and an unsparing reprover of vice. His impressive earnestness, undoubted integrity, and humorous illustrations, and jokes, always procured him a large and attentive audience. He was honoured with the title of the 'Apostle of England;' and of all the Reformers, he best understood the tastes, and phraseology; as well as faults and failings of the people. When he was to preach at court, however, Cranmer, kindly cautioned him to avoid personalities, and not to let his discourses exceed an

hour, or an hour and a half.

468. Their Confession of Faith.—These three leading reformers, Cranner, Ridley, and Latiner, while in prison, fearful lest their Doctrines should be misrepresented by their enemies, drew up a Confession of Faith, comprised in Eight Articles; wherein they declared that the Scriptures were the true word of God; that the three Catholic Creeds should be received; that Justification was by faith only; that marriage was lawful in all orders of men; and that they rejected Transubstantiation, the Adoration of the elements, the denial of the Cup to the laity, Purgatory, the Invocation of Saints, and the use of Latin or any foreign tongue in the services of

Public Worship.

^{468.} What confession of faith was drawn up by Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer during their imprisonment?
[V. 5.]

was the information brought to Gardiner that Ridley and Latimer were burning at the stake, when he was taken with sudden indisposition; he managed, however, to attend parliament, which began sitting on October 21st; yet it was but on two occasions, for he gradually became worse, and at length died on November

Stephen Gardiner was born at Bury St Edmund's in Suffolk, in 1483: he was believed to be the illegitimate son of Dr Richard or Lionel Woodville, bishop of Salisbury, and brother of Elizabeth, the queen of Edward IV. He was educated at Trinity Hall, Cambridge, where be applied himself to the study of the civil and canon law, and graduated a D. C. L. (1850): from which he went into the family of the Duke of Norfolk, and subsequently became Secretary to Cardinal Wolsey. By this means he gained an introduction to *Henry* VIII. to whom he was useful in procuring his divorce from Catharine, and defending the Royal Supremacy; for which services he became Archdeacon of Norfolk, then Secretary of State, and at last, for his seal in procuring the decision of the Cambridge University in favour of the divorce, he was presented in 1531 to the see of Winchester. He was the means of introducing Cranmer to the notice of Henry VIII. by whom he was afterwards supplanted in the royal favour: and he endeavoured to conciliate his old patron, Wolsey, and the King. Although a learned man, Gardiner was yet artful, dissembling, ambitious, and proud; and in his opposition to the Reformation, cruel, and sanguinary. Being more of a politician than a divine, he was appointed ambassador to the French, and Papal courts; and on his return was a strong advocate for the Royal Supremacy which he defended, as well as the Reformation, in a work 'De Vera Obedientia.' Gardiner had been some time Master of Trinity Hall, and was next appointed Chancellor of the University. 1540. He endeavoured now to check the Reformation, and became the head of the Anti-reforming party. His growing jealousy of Cranmer, led him by means of the Act of the Six Articles, which he was instru-

^{469.} When did Gardiner die? Give a brief account of his life.

mental in enacting, to attempt the ruin of the Archbishop, and of queen Catharine Parr, but his designs were frustrated, and he himself diagraced. On the accession of Edward

MARY. Paul IV. Cranmer.

VI. 1547., his opposition to the Reformation procured him a residence in the Fleet prison, whence he was liberated; but shortly after an unsatisfactory sermon transferred him to the Tower, where he was kept during the remainder of the reign: and also deprived of his bishopric. Queen Mary set him at liberty, and restored him to his See, and likewise appointed him Lord Chancellor; after which, his mind was bent on the acquisition of the Primsoy, and the re-establishment of Popery by a bitter persecution of the Protestants. Death, however, removed him from his ambition, November

12th, 1555. at the age of 72 years.

470. Church Property restored.—The Archbishop of York, (Heath), was now appointed Chancellor in the place of Gardiner, and the administration of Ecclesiastical matters was resigned entirely to Pole. Heath, like his predecessor, encouraged the persecution of the Protestants. The activity of Bishop Bonner also increased; and amongst other sufferers John Philpot, archdeacon of Winchester, who had been assigned to his tender mercies by the deceased Gardiner for rejecting transubstantiation, and the Papal supremacy, was now burnt in Smithfield (Dec 18.). Recantation or Death was the dictum of Bonner. The Queen, in addition to her desire for restoring the Abbey-lands to the Church, now procured the sanction of Parliament for resigning the tenths, and first fruits, and all tithes, and impropriations of benefices, which by the dissolution of Monasteries had been invested in the Crown; a measure that met strong opposition, from the fear that those in possession of the laity would be required next.

471. **Pole's reforms.**—Cardinal Pole soon after convened a legatine Synod for the correction of

^{470.} Who succeeded Gardiner as Chancellor? What other advantages did Mary secure to the Church? 471. What measures were decreed in the synod convened b

certain abuses, and making canons; he MARY. Paul IV. took the precaution of doing this by the Queen's writ under the Great Cranmer. Seal for the protection of the clergy. He laid before this Synod a work entitled "The Reformation of England", an imperishable monument of his wisdom, piety, and moderation. Among other things it was decreed (1) that the ' Feast of the Reconciliation' should be solemnly observed, and alluded to in every Mass; the reading of all Heretical books should be forbidden: and that the Catholic faith was that declared in the exposition of Pope Eugenius sent from Florence to the Arminians; (2) Instructions were also given for the proper administration of the Eucharist; and for restraining the annual festivities of the dedications of Churches, (3) All Ecclesiastics were to give up secular cares; and Pluralities were to be resigned within two months, or be forfeited; (4) Bishops were to reside in their dioceses, preach, and visit them; (5) Bishops were to restrain their ostentatious and extravagant living, and were exhorted to works of charity; (6) Bishops were personally to examine candidates for Holy Orders; (7) Benefices were to be conferred on the most deserving, and residence insisted on ; (8) The next decrees were against giving advowsons of benefices before vacant; (9) against Simony; (10) against alienation of Church Property; (11) and others for the establishment of Schools in every Cathedral, to be supported by a fourth of the income of the clergy; (12) lastly, the duty of Visitations was enforced. The Cardinal also designed putting forth four books of Homilies; one, an exposition of the Controverted points; the second, exalained the Creed, the Ten commandments, the Lord's prayer, the Ave Maria, and the Sacraments; the third was on the Saint's days, Sundays, and Holy days, throughout the year, with an exposition of the Epistles and Gospels; and the fourth explained the Virtues, and Vices, and the Rites, and Ceremonies of the Church. - Burnet. b. 11. pt. 2.

A. D. 1556.

472. Charles V. abdicates.—Charles V., Emperor of Germany, had in the preceding year

Cardinal Pole for the Reformation of abuses in the Church? 472. Who succeeded the Emperor Charles V. on his abdication?

contemplated the abdication of his throne; he now perfected that design, leaving Spain, and certain other dominions to

his son Philip; and the German empire to Ferdinand; while he himself retired into private life near Placentia on the confines of Castile, and

Portugal.

473. Cranmer's death.—The trying period of Cranmer's life now arrived : being a metropolitan, and consecrated by the Pope, it was considered necessary that he should be tried by a special commission from the Roman See. Cardinal Puteo, the Pope's legate, directed last September that the bishop of Gloucester (Brooks), assisted by Martin, and Scory, as delegates, and in the King and Queen's name, should go down to Oxford, and proceed to judgment. Crammer was brought before them, and after denying the authority of Brooks, who came by virtue of a Papal order, he entered on his defence; it was at last decided in answer, that Cranmer should appear at Rome within eighty days. But the Archbishop was still kept a prisoner in the Bocardo; wherefore, at the expiration of that period, Dec. 14th A. D. 1555, the bishops of London (Bonner), and Ely (Thirlby), were sent down to degrade him for his contumacy, and then to deliver him to the secular power (Feb. 14th A.D. 1556.) His enemies, however, were extremely anxious that Cranmer should recant, which would be the strongest weapon for injuring the Protestant cause. All schemes, methods, and persuasions were adopted; hopes of life, and prospects of preferment were held out to him. Cranmer at last yielded to the persuasions of Henry Sydal, and John de Villa

^{473.} When, and by whom was Cranmer condemned? How was he treated? [L. 4.] What induced him to recant? What did he on his repentance? who preached his condemned sermon? When was he burnt? How long

Garcia (or Garcina) a Spanish Friar, MARY. Paul IV. sent to argue with him, and signed Cranmer. a recantation of his opinions, and formally renounced his faith; protesting at the same time that he did it freely, and for the satisfying of his conscience: but this was not enough, Cranmer was made to sign recantation after recantation to the number of six, and each one more humiliating than its predecessor. With malicious eagerness Bonner quickly published them to the world. Notwith-standing all this, however, he was doomed; the Queen was bent upon his destruction, she pardoned his treason, but was determined to punish his heresy; and a writ was issued for him to be burnt. Secret orders were transmitted to Dr Cole, Provost of Eton, to prepare his condemned sermon; and on the 21st of March, Cranmer was brought to St Mary's Church, and placed on a platform to hear his denunciation. Cranmer, however, had repented of his abjuration, and when called on to speak, he confessed his error, and declared that the hopes of life had tempted him to sign a recantation contrary to the truth, and in opposition to his conscience; he re-nounced the Pope, and all his doctrines, and gave a summary of his faith, and a confirmation of his belief in the Sacrament as he had expressed in print. Upon this he was hurried off to the place of execution, opposite Baliol College, and fastened to the stake: when the fire was kindled, he thrust the erring hand into the flames, and there held it till it was consumed.

repeatedly ejaculating—'This unworthy hand.' Thus died Cranner, about two years after his arrival in Oxford to attend the disputation, March 21st, 1556,

aged 67 years.

after the disputation at Oxford? [0.4.] Mention the chief circumstances connected with the imprisonment, and death of Cranmer. [LL.3.]

474. **Thomas Cranmer**, Archbishop of Canterbury, was born at Aslacton, in Nottinghamshire, July 2, 1489. He studied at Jesus College, Cambridge, of which

MARY. Paul IV. Cranmer.

Cranmer. at Jesus College, Cambridge, of which society he became a fellow; he married soon after, and was then appointed a lecturer at Buckingham College (Monks College, now Magdalen). It was not long, however, before his wife died, when he was re-elected fellow of Jesus College; and subsequently, after taking his D. D., Examiner in Theology 1526, in which office from requiring in the students a competent knowledge of the sacred volume he gained the appellation of "the Scripturist". On occasion of the plague visiting the University, two of his pupils, named Cressy returned home to Waltham Abbey, where they resided. and Cranmer with them. While here, an accidental interview with Fox, and Gardiner, to whom he gave his opinion on the matter of the King's divorce, brought him into the notice of *Henry* VIII., who entrusted to him the consideration of his marriage: by express desire he took up his abode at the house of the Earl of Wiltshire. the father of Anne Boleyn, where he applied himself to the question; and published a treatise on the subject, proving that the Pope had no authority to dispense with the Word of God. Soon after on the death of Warham he was made Archbishop of Canterbury (1533). He now pronounced sentence of divorce on Catharine, officiated at the coronation of Anne Boleyn, and procured the passing of Acts of Parliament, and decrees of Convocation for the abolition of the Papal Supremacy. 1534. He submitted, however, to a lay-man taking precedence of him as Archbishop in the person of Thomas Cromwell, 1535; but he zealously promoted the cause of the Reformation; aided in the suppression of the monasteries, and in procuring a translation of the Bible, and its use in churches. His learning and arguments were very influential in rooting out the Papal supremacy; in the Parliament of 1534-5, he made an able speech, in which he overthrew the Pope's claim of Divine Institution. The heads of his speech as given in Burnet's Reformation Abridged, are ;- 'that Christ had named no 'head of the whole Church, as God had named no head of

^{474.} Give a brief memoir of Cranmer. [G. 4. N. 2. S. 5. Y. 2. Z. 3.] What was Cranmer's opinion on the claim of Divine Institution in behalf of the Papal Supremacy? [R. 4.]

the world; but that grew up for order's sake, as there were archbishops set over provinces; yetsome Popes were condemned

MARY. Paul IV. Cranmer.

for heresy, as Liberius, and others. faith must be showed by works, the ill lives of most Popes of late shewed that their faith was to be suspected; and 'all the privileges which princes or synods granted to that 'See might be recalled. Popes ought to submit themselves to General Councils, and were to be tried by them: he 'showed what were the present corruptions of the Pope, and his court, which needed reformation. The Pope, according to the decree of the Council of Basil, was the Church's 'Vicar, and not Christ's, and so was accountable to it.' (vol. I. p. 115.). He went on to shew that Councils cannot decree anything beyond what the Scriptures allow, which are superior in authority to Councils; and that neither Councils, nor Popes can bind the world to put their belief in a common error: and that when discovered, every one was at liberty to shake it off, although they may have sworn to maintain it. Cranmer universally complied with Henry's will, which procured him the gratitude of the King, and saved him from the snares of his enemies. He sanctioned the divorce of Anne Boleyn, 1536; aided in the publication of the 'Bishop's Book,' and an authorized Version of the Scriptures, 1537; an edition of which he brought out himself in 1539, known as 'Cranmer's Great Bible.' The passing of the Act of 'The Six Articles' met his strong opposition, notwithstanding it had the support of Henry; but he is reproached for having shortly after assented to the divorce of Anne of Cleves. He defended Thomas Cromwell in his misfortunes, but he could not prevent his execution, Cranmer next frustrated the attempt of the Romanizing party to suppress the Scriptures on the plea of the translation being inaccurate, by causing its revision to be entrusted to the Universities, 1542. He was next engaged on 'The King's Book,' 1543; and in revising the Liturgical Services, which with the appearance of the Litany in English engaged his attention during the remainder of Henry VIIIth's reign. On the accession of Edward VI. (1547), at whose coronation Cranmer assisted, a Royal Visitation was enjoined for the purpose of carrying forward the Reformation, when Cranmer framed a book of 'Homilies' for the correction of abuses and superstitions; which was followed shortly after by the Catechism of Justus Jones, the Communion, and Ordination Services, and other offices, the wo Liturgies, and the 42 Articles. But he is charged with

Digitized by GOOGLE

vesting the appointment of Bishops in Letters MARY. Patent from the Crown; and with placing Paul IV. all Episcopal jurisdiction, and making all spiritual, and temporal processes to run in Cranmer. the King's name, and under the King's Seal. This circumstance gave rise to his being improperly charged with Erastianism, i. e. with maintaining that the authority of a Christian minister was only suasory, and was derived solely from the civil magistrate. He, however, resisted the further spoliation of the Church; and devoted considerable labour in preparing a New Code of Ecclesiastical Laws, the 'Reformatio Leaum.' in which he was aided by a commission of 32 divines, canonists, and lawyers; the project, however, was never completed. Cranmer has been censured also for encouraging persecution in assenting to the execution of Joan Bocher, and Van Paris (or Paies): when Mary came to the throne, his enemies were in the ascendant, and he soon became a victim to their hatred: he was arrested on charges of high treason, convicted, and deprived of his archbishopric, 1553; he was next taken to Oxford with his friends Ridley, and Latimer, to hold a disputation with the Romanizing party, 1554; and after witnessing the martyrdom of his two holy associates, 1555; he was himself cited before the legatine commission, tried for heresy, and sentenced to be burnt. In an unwary moment, however, tempted by the promise of pardon, he recanted: but remorse overtook him, and repenting of his error, he disavowed his recantation, and publicly proclaimed his conviction, and adherence to the truth of the reformed doctrines. His enraged adversaries, hearing these asseverations, hurried him off to the stake, where he met his death with the utmost fortitude, March 21, 1556. Cranmer may be charged with occasional unsteadiness of principles, yet he was gentle, affectionate, kind, and devout; and died with heroic fortitude. No man contributed so much as Cranmer to the establishment and independence of the Church of England; and later writers have defended him from the charges of Puritanism, and Erastianism. His private character was unimpeachable.

475. Religious Houses restored.—Cardinal Pole, two days after the death of Cranmer, was

^{475.} Who succeeded Cranmer in the See of Canterbury? What religious houses did Mary establish after the death of Cranmer?

appointed to the See of Canterbury, and invested with the pall by the bishops of Ely, and Worcester. The Queen continued her restoration of religious houses:

MARY.

she now founded one in London for the Franciscans, and another for the Dominicans; she also established a house at Sheen for the Carthusians, and a nunnery at Sion: the church of Westminster was likewise made an Abbey. The Jesuits now desired to obtain a footing in England, but this was

strongly resisted by Pole.

476. The exile Reformers who had fled from England to the amount of unwards of 1000, on account of the persecution, were now distracted by divisions: some had gone to Frankfort, others to Strasburg, Zurich, and to Geneva: three hundred had settled in Frankfort, where they were allowed the use of a church; but some of them imbibing the variou sentiments of the neighbourhood were for remodelling the English Church both in doctrine, and in discipline. Among the exiles were Poinet, bp. of Winchester, who died soon after his arrival at Strasburg; Barlow, bp. of Bath and Wells; Scory, bp. of Chichester; Coverdale, bp. of Exeter who had assisted Tindal and Rogers in translating the Bible; and Bale, bp. of Ossory: to these may be added Coxe, dean of Christ Church Oxford, who retired to Strasburg, and once more associated with his old friend Peter Martyr; Sandys, Vice-chancellor of Cambridge; Horn, dean of Durham; Jewel, Grindal, Alexander Nowell, John Knox, Reynolds, Whittingham, Aylmer, Haddon, and Cheke. These exiled Reformers did not meet with that kind reception abroad which they had anticipated; for in all the cities where Lutheranism prevailed they met with great persecution, and from many were expelled as being Sacramentarians. The more learned of the English divines took up their abode at Strasburg, Zurich, and Basil: but most of the exiles settled at Frank-

^{476.} What divisions arose among the exiles for religion during the reign of Mary? [L. 2.] How did they terminate? Digitized by Google

fort. In this last mentioned city, Whittingham, who was a Zuinglian, and not well disposed to the English Liturgy and ritual, had procured the alternate use of the French

MARY. Paul IV. Pole.

EE2

Church: where he introduced a new form of worship. setting aside the surplice, omitting the Litany, and allowing no responses to be said aloud; the term Minister was also to be used instead of Priest, and other alterations were His congregation invited their countrymen from the other cities to send ministers to preside over this primitive model of Divine Worship. The divines of Zurich in their reply required the use of the English Liturgy entire; while those of Strasburg were willing to abate somewhat, and compromise the matter; at length after some correspondence, three divines arrived to superintend the Congregation at Frankfort,—Know from Geneva, Haddon from Strasburgh, and Lever from Zurich. After much warm discussion and bitter contention, Knox assumed the direction of affairs, and backed by a communication from Calvin objecting to the English Liturgy, he insisted on the introduction of the Genevan Service: a temporary compromise was, however, effected by using parts of each, which was to last four months till something could be permanently settled. During this period, Dr Coxe and many of his friends arrived at Frankfort, who insisted on the full English Liturgy, and not only repeated the responses aloud, but took possession of the pulpit. The contest now became so warm between the Knoxians and the Coxians as to call for the interference of the magistracy, who threatened to close the Church if harmony was not soon restored. Glauberg, the Senator, referred the matter to Valerandus, the superintendant of the French Church; when it was insisted that the English should conform to the French Service: but Know having been accused by the Coxians of writing against the Emperor Charles in his work, 'An Admonition to all Christians,' he was ordered to leave the city. Knox, and his friends, retired to Geneva, where the English congregation embraced the discipline of Calvin. Coxe having settled the Church at Frankfort under the superintendence of Whitehead returned to Strasburg. Shortly after Whitehead was succeeded by Horn, who on certain dissensions having arisen soon retired to Geneva. Fox the Martyrologist had gone to Basil. Thus originated the Troubles of Frankfort, a contest, which, not long after, created so much warm discussion, and very bitter heart-burnings to all that were solicitous for the well-being, and peace of the English Reformed Church.

A. D. 1557.

477. Loss of Calais, &c. -MARY. Paul IV. In this year Philip paid a short visit Pole. to his Queen MARY, with the design rather of getting the co-operation of England in his war with France, than out of love. With great difficulty he succeeded, although much against the feeling of the nation, and some troops were sent over. The Spanish and English forces laid siege to St Quintin, which they took, and spread such alarm in France, as to induce the French monarch to recal the $\hat{D}uke$ of Guise from Italy, where he was obtaining vast successes over the Italian territories of Philip. This is all that Philip wanted; but the acquisition of St Quintin to the Spanish monarch was followed soon after by the loss of Calais, and two other forts to the English, for Guise on his return laid siege to Calais; the efforts to serve *Philip* had reduced its garrison and defences, and it soon fell into the hands of the French; the forts of Guisnes, and Ham (Hammes) were similarly lost (January 1558.).

478. **Pole** under Papal censure.—The retirement of the French forces from Italy, who were in alliance with the Pope, and the interference of Pole, so exasperated Paul IV. that he haughtily recalled all his legates from Spain, deprived Pole of his legatine power in England, and cited him to Rome. He appointed William Peyto, an English Franciscan, and Marr's confessor, a Cardinal, and made him legate in the place of Pole. The Queen, however, would not allow the Papal bulls to be opened, and prohibited Peyto from entering England, threatening

^{477.} What was the design of Philip's return to England? What resulted to the English in the war with France? 478. How was the Pope affected by these ontests? What was his treatment to England? and to

him with a 'præmunire.' Peyto died soon after; and Pole having despatched an ameliorating note to Rome

MARY. Paul IV.

by Ormaneto, and a treaty of peace following,

matters were made right again.

479. Sir John Cheke.—In this year died the eminent scholar Sir John Cheke. He had been Regius Professor of Greek at Cambridge, and tutor to Edward VI.. Taking the side of Lady Jane Grey on the accession of MARY, he was sent to the Tower. But his life was spared, and he immediately went abroad; he, however, gave fresh offence to the Queen, was seized at Brussels by order of *Philip*, and sent to England. He now unworthily renounced Protestantism, and was compelled to sit in judgment over his old associates. Among other works he left a ms. translation of St Matthew.

A. D. 1558.

480. Persecutions continued. — During these proceedings the violence of the persecution by no means diminished; a commission was sent by Pole, headed by Ormaneto, to visit the two Universities: when at Cambridge, they put the Churches under an interdict where Bucer, and Fagius were buried; and even exhumed their bodies, held a mock trial upon them, and after condemning them as heretics, they, and all the heretical books that could be found. were publicly burnt. At Oxford, the body of Peter Martyr's wife was exhumed, because she, having once been a nun, had broken her vow of chastity by marrying Martyr, and her bones were buried in a dunghill. The inquisitorial powers had latterly been much strengthened, and were so vigorously applied

^{479.} Who was Sir John Cheke? 480. What occurred to the remains of Bucer, and Fagius at Cambridge; and Peter Martyr's wife at Oxford! How many perished in the persecutions of this reign?

that vast numbers were brought to the stake, many more died in prison, and others suffered martyrdom from the severity of torture, and other hardship

MARY. Paul IV. *Pole*.

the severity of torture, and other hardships. The relentless Bonner was the chief mover in these cruel proceedings. During the four years the persecution was in full force, 284 perished by burning, according to Burnet; viz.:

J	From Burnet.	. From Short.	From Strype
in 1000 .	67	67	71
1556 .	79		89
1557 .	99	79	88
1558 .	39		40
	284	270	288

The accounts vary as to the total number of those led to the stake. Speed gives 277; enumerating among them, five bishops, twenty-one divines, eight gentlemen, fifty-five women, four children, and the rest, tradesmen, artificers, labourers, &c. Whiting-ham in his preface to Ridley's treatise on the Lord's Supper says, that more than 800 were put to death in the two first years of this reign. Fox makes it below this: but Cecil, in his work 'The Execution of Justice,' affirms that those who died from imprisonment, tortures, and fire, on account of their religion were nearly 400.

481. Mary's death. — The Queen's death, however, put a stop to these heart rending scenes, although, it may be said, that her fierce bigotry advanced perhaps more than any thing the cause of the Reformation; she was seized with a kind of influenza then prevailing, which was aggravated by the natural gloominess of her mind, by disappointment in the hope of issue, and the desertion of her husband; to which may be added a desponding melancholy at the loss of Calais, and the unfortunate

condition of state affairs in general: MARY. Paul IV. at length dropsy supervened, of which Pole. MARY died, November 17th, 1558.,

in the 43rd year of her age, and after a reign of nearly 51 years. With her ended the domination

of the Papal power in England.

482. **Pole's death.**—Sixteen hours afterwards Reginald Pole, the Queen's friend and kinsman, Cardinal, and Archbishop of Canterbury, labouring under malignant fever, also died, at Lambeth, aged 59 years. Pole was indeed a man of exemplary character, prudence, learning, and moderation; but he was deficient in the virtue of toleration. He was born at Stourton Castle in Staffordshire in 1500: and educated at Sheen Monastery, and at Magdalen College, Oxford. After obtaining Church preferment he retired to Italy, where he long resided: his opposition to the divorce of Henry VIII. from Catharine of Arragon urged that monarch to forbid him the kingdom. He was soon after made a Cardinal, and nothing but his want of ambition kept him out of the Papal chair. On the accession of MARY, Pole returned to England as Papal legate, and reconciled the kingdom to the Holy See; and on Cranmer's death he was made Archbishop of Canterbury, and shortly after Chancellor of both Universities. He entered very little into society, living chiefly with the two Italian friends he brought over with him, Priuli, and Ormaneto, who had long shared his confidence and his fortunes: to the former at his death he bequeathed his property, who liberally gave it away in charity.

> Summary of the Reign of Mary,-5 years, from A. D. 1553 to A. D. 1558.

483. Mary, the daughter of Catharine of Arragon, suc-

When did Cardinal Pole die? 483. Give a summary of the reign of Mary? Digitized by Google

ceeded her brother *Edward* VI, and was a bigoted Romanist. After issuing a proclamation that she would compel no man to change his religion, she very soon after prohibited

MARY. Paul IV. *Pole*.

all preaching without a licence from Gardiner, the new Chancellor; and all foreigners were commanded to leave the kingdom. The deprived bishops were now restored, and Cranmer, Ridley, Latimer, and others committed to the Tower. The pliant Parliament repealed all the statutes passed in the reign of Edward VI, and since the 20th of Henry VIII, opposed to Popery; and likewise the Mortmain act. And the Convocation pronounced the Liturgy, and the Catechism to be heretical. The Romish Ritual was restored; the married clergy ejected, and the Pope's authority re-established by act of Parliament. Cardinal Pole was admitted as the Pope's legate, who formally absolved the nation from its alleged heresy, and reconciled England with the Papal See. A commission was appointed for the suppression of heresy, headed by Gardiner and Bonner, who engaged in a fearful persecution. Bishops Ridley, Latimer, Hooper, and Ferrar; Archbishop Cranmer; Rogers, Dr Taylor, Saunders, and many other clergymen, and laymen were brought to the stake in different parts of the Country. number of victims is variously estimated from 270 to 800. MARY, however, restored many religious houses, caused a Visitation to be made of the Universities, and discharged the Clergy from the payment of first fruits, and tenths. Gardiner died in the midst of the persecution, and on the death of Cranmer, Cardinal Pole was raised to the Primacy. He, however, incurred the enmity of Pope Paul IV, who transferred the legatine authority with which he had been invested to Peuto, the Queen's Confessor, but MARY forbid the latter entering the kingdom. The Queen formed a matrimonial alliance with Philip of Spain, which was unsatisfactory to the nation, and turned out equally so to herself. Her disappointment in this, and at the loss of Calais, and other national reverses brought on her death. Mary possessed many virtues, but a melancholy temper, and her religious bigotry and superstition led her to sanction the grossest cruelty and injustice for the extirpation of heresy. Cardinal Pole died but a few days after MARY; and is said to have been averse to persecution. The exiled Reformers found it difficult to maintain uniformity. At Frankfort, and other places, disputes arose on the doctrine of Predestination; and a warm contest respecting Formularies, and the use of the English Liturgy; but they could arrive at no agree-

ment. Erastianism also was beginning to excite discussion.

MARY. Paul IV. Pole.

484. Thomas Erastus, M. D. was a native of Baden, and became Professor at Heidelberg. He taught that the Christian ministry was not of divine institution, and that Christ, and His Apostles had prescribed no particular form of Church Government, and therefore the Clergy had no power to enforce any acts of discipline, nor to refuse the Lord's Supper to any one that desired it. He maintained that the punishment of all offences, civil or religious, was vested in the civil magistrate alone; from whom also all authority was derived; thus renouncing the power of the Keys, and making the ministerial office merely persuasory. Cranmer's replies to the questions proposed to certain divines in 1540, it would appear, says Bishop Short, 'that his sentiments were nearly Erastian: he seems to esteem the whole of the clerical office as dependent entirely on the civil 'magistrate (Qy. 9.); that there was originally no difference between a bishop, and a priest (Qy. 10.); that the former or the people might make a priest for themselves (Qy. 11); for whom no consecration was necessary (Qy. 12); and that the power of excommunication depends entirely on the civil authority committed to a bishop (Qy. 16.). ' may be remarked that these opinions are not discoverable in the formation of our Church services which are almost entirely taken from those of the Roman ritual, yet a trace of them remains in those Articles which refer to the 'Church; and among which Art. XIX, XXI, 'might be subscribed by any one who held opinions purely 'Erastian.'—(History of the Church, of England p. 195.) Whence the religion taught by the Church of England as established in the reign of Edward VI, has been stigmatized as a Parliamentary religion.

484. What were the tenets of Erastus? How is Cranmer charged with Erastianism,

CHAPTER V.

FROM THE ACCESSION OF ELIZABETH, TO THE RE-TABLISHMENT OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

Elizabeth.—A. D. 1558.

485. THE accession of ELIZABETH ELIZABETH. Paul IV. was received by the people with great joy, and acclamation. She (void) graciously received all the bishops with the exception of Bonner; and sent messengers to the various courts of Europe to announce her succession. Paul IV. with his accustomed haughtiness took offence at her assumption of the royal dignity without his consent; yet if she would renounce her pretensions, he would show to her a fatherly affection and such favour as was consistent with the Apostolic Sec. ELIZABETH began her reign by ordering the release of all persons imprisoned on account of religion: and to stay the violent haste of the over zealous Reformers on the one hand, and check the angry jealousy of the Romanists on the other—to restrain the hasts and bitterness of the party spirit of the Evangelics, and the Papalins, as Strype calls them,—as well as to conciliate both the Papists and the Protestants, all preaching and teaching, as well as the introduction

^{485.} When did Elizabeth come to the throne? How was she received? What were her first proceedings in aspect of religion?

of innovations, were forbidden by a Royal Proclamation, until the assembling of Parliament; and (void) only the reading, without any exposition, of the Epistles and Gospels, Lord's Prayer, Creed, Ten Commandments, and the Litany in English, allowed; the elevation of the Host in the Mass was also prohibited.

A. D. 1559.

486. Her Council.—To preserve concord the Queen thought it advisable to intermix Protestants and Papists in her Council: among the former were Sir William Cecil, afterwards Lord Burghley, and Sir Nicolas Bacon. Sir William Cecil was the Queen's Secretary; the Great Seal was taken from the Archbishop of York (Heath), and handed over to Sir Nicholas Bacon, the father of the great Sir Francis Bacon. Dr Parker, master of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, formerly chaplain to Anne Bolleyn the Queen's mother, and some time tutor to Elizabeth, was chosen out for the see of Canterbury. And every preparation was now made for the Queen's coronation. On January 15th she was crowned according to the forms of the Romish pontifical by Oglethorpe, bishop of Carlisle, all the other bishops refusing to take part in the ceremony. The Parliament met ten days after, on January 25th.

487. Statutes passed.—After the settlement of peace with foreign powers; the first proceedings of Parliament were for the security of the throne, and the establishment of uniformity in religion. It began by restoring the 'Royal Supremacy' (1 Eliz. c. 1.): the Queen, however, preferred that the title of 'Suprema Governor of the Church, &c.' should be adopted in the Oath of Supremacy.

^{486.} Who was her Secretary? Who held the Great Seal? Who crowned Elizabeth? 487. What were the Statutes passed in the first Parliament of Elizabeth? [T. 4. KK. 3.]

instead of 'Supreme Head, &c.;' all appeals ELIZABETH. to Rome, and impositions, and exactions Paul IV. formerly paid to that court were prohibited: (void) the laws of King Edward VI., were revived, and that of 25 Henry VIII. for the election of bishops: the religious houses founded by the late Queen were also suppressed, and annexed to the crown. ELIZABETH was likewise empowered by this Act to appoint a commission for the settlement of all ecclesiastical causes, who were appointed by Letters Patent under the Great Seal, and were composed of either Churchmen, or laymen. Heresy was to be adjudged by the plain and express words of Scripture, or of the first four General Councils, and as might hereafter be determined by Parliament, and Convocation. It was called the 'HIGH COMMISSION COURT,' and from its judgment there was no appeal. A like arbitrary authority was formerly vested in one person, viz. Thomas Cromwell, when Lord Vicegerent. The next Act was the 'ACT FOR UNIFORMITY.' by which, the SECOND LITURGY of Edward VI. with a few slight alterations was ordered to be used. (1 Eliz. c. 2.). The Queen's succession was legally recognized. (1 Eliz. c. 3.). And First Fruits, and Tenths, (1 Eliz. c. 4.); and the unappropriated Benefices which Mary had given up, were restored to the crown. (1 Eliz. c. 19.). These Acts as it might be supposed met with the strong opposition of the Bishops.

488. Alterations in the Liturgy.—A Committee of divines composed of Parker, Grindal, Coxe, Pilkington, May, Bill, Whitehead, Sandys, Guest, and Sir Thomas Smith, were appointed to revise the Liturgy: the alterations made at this time in King Edward's Second Book of COMMON PRAYER were: in the Litany the words -'from the tyranny of the bishop of Rome, and all his detestable enormities, were omitted; and in the petition for the Queen was added-'strengthen in the true wor-'shipping of thee, in righteousness, and true holiness of There were also added prayers for the Queen, and cleray, which are now at the end of the Morning, and Evening Service; and the Collect beginning 'O God whose 'nature and property is ever to have mercy, &c.' One of the collects for Dearth and Famine was omitted. A selection of Lessons for Sundays, and Holy days was added. In the

^{488.} What were the alterations made in the Book of Common Prayer? [K. 3.]

Communion Service, at the presentation of ELIZABETH. the elements, the sentences of the First Book Paul IV. of Edward VI. were added to the sentences (void) of the Second Book : thus, ' The body of our 'Lord Jesus Christ which was given for thee, preserve thy 'body and soul unto everlasting life, Take and eat, &c.; 'and again-The blood of our Lord Jesus Christ, which was 'shed for thee, preserve thy body and soul unto everlasting 'life. Drink this, &c.' which makes them as they now stand: the Rubric explaining the act of kneeling at the communion was omitted. There was also an alteration in the Rubric directing in what part of the Church Divine Service should be read; and the Rubric in the FIRST BOOK.

giving directions as to the ornaments, and habits, was restored.

489. Papal protest.—The Convocation, which sat during this period, endeavoured to maintain the Papal changes that had been made during the reign of Mary; and with this view they drew up five Articles, which they forwarded by Bonner to the Lord Kéeper, in order that they might be laid before Parliament; but they obtained no answer; they were; -(1) maintaining the corporal presence; (2) that after consecration there is no longer bread and wine, but only the substance of God and Man; -(3) that the Mass is a propitiatory sacrifice for the living and the dead;—(4) that the lawful successor of St Peter, as Christ's vicar, is the supreme head of the Church; -(5) that the settling of matters of faith, the Sacraments, and discipline, belong only to the Pastors of the Church. Beyond this nothing else was done, and they dissolved in May. It resulted, however, in a public Conference being held at Westminster by command of the Queen, between the Romanists and the Reforming divines, eight on each side, in order to come to some settlement of their differences by mutual concessions.

^{489.} What attempts were made by the convocation in support of the Papacy? [N. 6] What articles did they draw up? 490. What public conference was held at Westminster? How did it terminate?

490. **The Disputation.**—The questions to be debated were:—

(1) Wheelers it was righted beat above.

(2001)

(1) Whether it was right that the

Public Worship should be in an unknown tongue?
(2) What was the power of a particular Church in decreeing Rites, and Ceremonies?

(3) Was the Mass a propitiatory sacrifice for the

dead and the living?

The challenge was accepted, and to secure order the Lord Chancellor presided; the two sides were composed of—

Romanists.

White, (bp. of Winchester).
Watson, (bp. of Lincoln).
Baine, (bp. of Lichtield).
Scot, (bp. of Chester).
Ogelthorpe, (bp. of Carlisle).
Harpsfield, (Archdeacon of Canterbury).
Cole, (dean of St Paul's).
Longdale.
Chedsey.

Protestants.

Scory, (late bp. of Chichester). Aylmer.
Cowe.
Horn.
Whitehead.
Grindal.

The Romanists, however, not concurring with the method of disputation ordered, which was that it should be carried on in writing; much confusion and violence arose, which ended in the bishops of Winchester, and Lincoln, threatening to excommunicate the Queen and Council, upon which they were committed to the Tower. The conference was therefore very soon dissolved, much to the advantage of the Reformers in the general opinion of the public.

of Supremacy, viz.;

491. The Oath refused.—Parliament being now dissolved, the new Oath of Supremacy was tendered:

Many, however, of the clergy combined together to refuse it. All the bishops refused, except Kitchin, (bishop of Llandaff.). Altogether out of 9,400 beneficed clergymen, there were, according to Burnet, 189; according to Camden, and Cardinal Allen, 243; but according to Strype, 192, who refused the oath

These were consequently **deprived.** The bishops were at first sent to prison; but were afterwards allowed their liberty, with the exception of Bonner, (of London); White (of Winchester); and Watson (of Lincoln); who had been the chief instruments of Mary's cruelties. Some went into retirement, others quitted the country. Tonstal, and Thirlby enjoyed the hospitality of the Primate; Heath, (Archbishop of York), and Brookes, (bp. of Gloucester) died peaceably; Bonner was confined in the Marshalsea; White, and Watson were released and pensioned, and left the country; the remaining prelates were consigned to the custody of their friends, or of the Protestant bishops.

492. **Injunctions.**—A general VISITATION was now appointed by the 'High Court of Commission,' and INJUNCTIONS issued 53 in number, similar in many respects to those of *Edward* VI., but with the additional design of—regulating the marriage of the

FF2

^{491.} Who, and what number refused the new oath of Supremacy? What was done to the refusing bishops? 492. What was the object of the general visitation now appointed? What were the Injunctions issued?

elergy, which had grown into some scandal;—directing the use of the habits, allowing chanting and sing-

habits, allowing chanting and singing, and an anthem at the beginning or end of Divine Service (Art. 49.);—enforcing the proper attendance at public worship, and the customary marks of reverence;—requiring also due reverence at the name of 'Jesus;' and that during the Litany, and other Collects, all were to kneel; substituting communion-tables for altars; under the inspection of the Minister, and Churchwardens, yet they might be indifferently used;—dispensing with the shape and form of a wafer in the bread used at the Sacrament;—enforcing the bidding prayer formerly used;—and explaining the oath of Supremacy, so as to divest it of any claim on the part of the Queen for

ministering in divine service.

493. Jewel was one of those appointed in the visitation, and he wrote to Peter Martyr expressing how well the change was received by the people; he complained, however, of the disputes constantly springing up about the Vestments, as if Christianity consisted in garments. He was himself against the Habits, looking on them as the relics of the Amorites, ridiculous trifles. JEWEL was a powerful advocate of the Reformation; in a sermon at Paul's cross (Nov. 26th.), in which he denied the antiquity of the Romish doctrines, he challenged his opponents to answer twenty-seven tenets, which he then put forth, beginning with the Mass, and ending with the position that 'ignorance is the mother of devotion;' and offered to yield and subscribe if they could be proved from any ancient father, primitive practice, General Council, or from Scripture, to be erroneous. He was subsequently answered by one John Harding,

^{493.} What was the conduct of Jewel at this time? Who accepted his challenge? What celebrated works did he write in reply?

an English divine who had relapsed into Popery in the reign of Mary, and had now retired to Louvain;

ELIZABETH. Paul IV. (void)

which called forth from JEWEL (in 1562) his celebrated 'Apology for the Church of England,' followed soon after by a longer treatise called a 'Defence of the Apology.' These obtained the approbation of the Queen.

494. Parker consecrated.—It was now time to fill up the vacant bishoprics, which had become very numerous from deaths, and deprivations. Matthew Parker, on the nomination of the Queen, was elected by the dean and chapter of Christ-Church, Canterbury, to the see of Canterbury, and much indeed against his inclination. The QUEEN issued her warrant to Tonstal (bp. of Durham), Bourn (bp. of Bath, and Wells), Poole (bp. of Peterborough), Kitchin (bp. of Llandaff), Barlow (bp. elect of Chichester), and Scory (bp. elect of Hereford), for his consecration. The three former refused to concur with the mandate, upon which a second warrant was issued to Kitchin, Barlow, Scory, Coverdale, (late bp. of Exeter), and Bale (bp. of Ossory), and two suffragan bishops, (Hodgkins of Bedford, and John of Thetford), to proceed to Parker's consecration. Four of these were in attendance, and after his election was confirmed in the church of St Mary-le-bow, London, (Dec. 9th.), Parker was consecrated Archbishop of Canterbury in the Chapel at Lambeth, on December 17th, by Scory, (late bp. of Chichester, and now bp. elect of Hereford); Barlow (late bp. of Bath and Wells, and now bp. elect of Chichester): Coverdale.

^{494.} To whom was the See of Canterbury entrusted on Queen Elizabeth's accession? [K. 5.] Who consecrated Archishop Parker? [e. 3.] What convulsion in the Church caused a difficulty? [e. 3.] Where was he consecrated? Ге. 3.1

(bp. of Exeter, but who was not reappointed); and by *Hodgkins* (suffragan of Bedford): the others declined Paul IV. Parker.

gan of Bedford): the others declined to assist. The ceremony was performed according to the Ordination Service of Edward VI., but the giving of the pastoral staff was omitted. 'All things, 'as Strype says, were rightly and canonically performed, as may be seen in the Register of Canterbury; and in MSS. in Corpus Christi College, 'Cambridge; and sufficient to confute the idle story of the Archbishop's Ordination at the Nag's Head 'Tavern in Cheapside, which some Papists had impudently invented, and spread abroad.' (Eccl. Mem.).

495. Nag's Head Story.—The story of the Nag's Head Tavern is, that the elect bishops met at that place, where it was usual for the 'Dean of the Arches, and the civilians to refresh themselves after any confirmation of a bishop; and that while there one Neale, Bonner's chaplain, peeped through the key-hole, and observed them all in great confusion importuning Kitchin, bishop of Llandaff, (some say Oglethorpe, bishop of Carlisle), to assist in the consecration; he however obstinately refusing, Scory made them all kneel down before him, and he laid the Bible on their heads or shoulders, saying, 'Take thou authority to 'preach the word of God sincerely', and so they all stood up bishops; and that this was the only ordination they ever had. This fabrication was mooted forty years afterwards with the view of invalidating the episcopal succession of the Church of England by a Jesuit, named Holywood, in the reign of James I., when it was thought all present at the ceremony were dead; but the old Earl of Nottingham, who was then alive, and who had witnessed Parker's conscoration in due form, testified to the falseness of the story: and in confirmation of the fact Abbot, the then Archbishop, produced the register of Canterbury.

496. New Bishops.—Invested with due power

^{495.} What is meant by the story of the Nag's Head? [K. 5.] Shew that it has no foundation? [K. 5.] How long after his consecration did the story originate? [e. 8.] 496. Who were appointed to the other vacant Sees!

and authority, Parker now proceeded to fill up the various sees, which were now all vacant with the exception of Llandaff (Kitchiu). This was no easy task, for the exiled divines had most of them returned with opinions strongly opposed to the doctrine and discipline of the English Church; and of the five Protestant bishops who had gone abroad Poines, was dead; Coverdale, and Bale now refused; and therefore only Barlow, and Scory were restored to the episcopal office. The other sees supplied during this, and the following year, were these:—

Canterbury, Archb.	Matt. Parker, 1559
York, Archb.	(vacant two years),
Asaph,	Richard Davis, 1559
Bangor,	Rowland Merrick, ib.
Bath and Wells,	Gilbert Berkley, ib.
Chichester,	William Barlow, ib.
David's,	Thomas Younge, ib.
Ely,	Richard Coxe, ib.
Exeter,	William Alley, 1560
Gloucester and (Richard Cheiney, 1562
Bristol,	Awara Chemey, 1902
Hereford,	John Scory, 1559
Lichfield and)	John Bentham, ib.
Coventry, {	•
Lincoln,	Nicolas Bolingham, ib.
London,	Edmund Grindal, ib.
Norwich,	John Parkhurst, 1560
Peterborough,	Edmund Scambler, ib.
Rochester,	Edmund Guest, 1559
Salisbury,	John Jewel, ib.
Winchester,	Robert Horn, 1560
Worcester,	Edwin Sandys, 1559
York, Archb.	William May, died before
consecrated: afterwards	Thomas Younge, 1561
Carlisle,	John Best, 1561
Chester,	William Downham, ib.
Durham,	James Pilkington, ib.
•	(from Strype's Eccl. Mem.)
(Llandaff,	John Kitchin,)

A. D. 1560-1561.

Pope; Pius IV. A. D. 1559-66.

497. The 'Eleven Articles.' ELIZABETH. -The imperious Paul IV. died the Pius IV. preceding year, and was succeeded in the Papacy by the more moderate Pius IV., yet a man of energy and principle: he attempted to conciliate the Queen by offers of a compromise in respect of the Liturgy, and Communion in both kinds, and her legitimacy, if she would acknowledge the supremacy of the Pope. ELIZABETH however rejected all his offers. On the continent Francis II. died (Dec. 5, 1560.), and was succeeded by his younger brother, Charles IX. The alterations now effected in England steadily advanced the cause of the Reformation; the English Common Prayer Book was universally adopted, and the Bible set up in Churches. A short profession of faith however was thought necessary to be put forth for the guidance, and instruction of the clergy and people until the meeting of Convocation: the Archbishop and Bishops therefore drew up 'Eleven Articles,' to which all incumbents on admission were to assent, and read twice every year in their Churches. As they form an excellent compendium of the doctrines now held, and which continued in force until the appearance of the XXXIX. Articles: they are here briefly enumerated:-(1) asserts the Trinity; -(2) the belief in the sufficiency of the Holy Scriptures, and in the three Creeds ;-(3) the authority of the Church in decreeing rites and ceremonies;-(4) excludes all from the ministry not lawfully appointed; -(5) asserts the Royal Supremacy;—(6) renounces all Papal jurisdiction;—(7) declares the Book of Common Prayer to be according to Scripture, and condemns praying in an unknown tongue;-(8) rejects exorcism, and the use of oil, salt, and spittle in Baptism ;-(9) rejects private Masses, and the idea of a propitiatory sacrifice in the Mass;—(10) enjoins Communion in both kinds;—(11) rejects images, relics, pretended miracles, pilgrimages, praying on beads, &c. The Geneva Bible

What was the progress of the reformation after e vacant sees?

was published at this time; so called from having been translated by the English exiles when at Geneva: ELIZABETH.
Pius IV.
Parker.

viz.:-Knox, Coverdale, Goodman, Gibbs, Samson,

William Cole, and Whittingham.

Reformation in Scotland.—The early Church of Scotland has been supposed to have been an offshoot of the ancient British Church. The Southern Picts were converted by Ninian about A. D. 429, who became bp. of Whithern in Galloway; and the Northern Picts by St Columba about A. D. 563, who established soon after a monastery on the island Iona, (or Icolm, or Icolmkill); which produced the learned Aidan. Finan. and Colman, who successively followed Paulinus as hishops of Northumbria, (York). These fixed their sees at Lindisferne or Holy Island. Colman was followed by Tuda, and Wilfrid, when A. D. 681. the diocese of Northumbria was subdivided into the sees of York, Ripon, Hexham, and Lindisferne, and Christianity rapidly extended northward. About A.D. 1150. a contest arose respecting the metropolitan jurisdiction of the Archbishop of York over the Scottish Church, which was not set at rest till Pope Innocent III. declared Scotland under his own immediate authority; in proof of which he put the kingdom under an interdict (A. D. 1216) for making war on his submissive vassal John, king of England. In 1480 St Andrew's was erected by Pope Sixtus IV. into an archiepiscopal see, and its holder declared 'Primate' of Scotland; and soon after (A. D. 1491) Glasgow was made an archbishopric with jurisdiction over Galloway, Argyle, and the Isles. Roman Catholicism continued the religion of the country; in 1528, however, Patrick Hamilton, abbot of Ferme, or Ferne, in Ross County, who had studied in the University of St Andrew's, and passed thence into Germany, where he became professor in the University of Marburg, and had acquired the friendship of Luther, Melanchthon, and others, returned to his native country, and began to expose the corruptions of Rome. He was immediately seized, and brought before the Hierarchy, who found him guilty of heresy, and ordered him to be burnt before the gate of St Andrew's University.

^{498.} Give a short account of the rise and progress of the Reformation in Scotland till the return of Mary from France in 1561? [A. A. 6.]

He was the first Scotch martyr to the Refor-ELIZABETH. mation. Alexander Alesse, a canon of the Pins IV. priory, had undertaken to reclaim Hamilton. Parker. but he himself was so shaken in his own faith, that very soon he became a convert to Protestantism. and escaped into England with a Dominican friar named Seton, A. D. 1534. Cardinal Beaton, who had succeeded his uncle in the Archbishopric of St Andrew's (1538), was a very violent persecutor of heresy. By him George Wishart. the Reformer, was brought to the stake A. D. 1546. This and other cruelties, excited the nation against him, whence he was himself soon after assassinated. The celebrated John Knox, who had (in 1542) a few years before this. entered the Protestant ranks, now sided with the murderers of Beaton, who held possession of the castle of St Andrew's. and gathered a congregation of his own. But the French troops in the service of the Regent got possession of the castle, and Knox with others was carried prisoner into France, where he remained till the close of 1549. He then obtained his release, and came over to England 1550; but soon after the accession of Mary he retired to Geneva 1554, and became an associate of John Calvin. He went thence to Frankfort, where he excited so much dissension among the English exiles that he was compelled to return to Geneva 1555, (See par. 476.); and he soon after revisited Scotland. He now called on the Protestants to separate entirely from the Roman party, without any compromise. Knox, however, was called again to Geneva, 1556; and in the following year he was invited to return to his native country. On his way home at Dieppe (1557), he received intimation of the unsteadiness of some of his supporters: he therefore wrote to his friends directing them to convene a meeting for the security of each other's fidelity, and he himself went back to Geneva. According to Knox's instructions the Protestant party met at Edinburgh, Dec. 3, 1557, and subscribed a common bond or covenant of mutual union and defence, in which they engaged to stand by one another in the maintenance of 'faithful ministers, truly and purely to minister Christ's Gospel and Sacraments to the

'people.' The adherents of this proceeding were denominated 'The Congregation,' (i. s. of the Lord, in contradistinction to that of the Church, styled by them 'the Congregation of Satan). The Lords of 'The Congregation' also issued an order requiring 'the Common Prayer of 'Edward VI. to be used in the Parish Churches, with the 'Leasons from the Old and New Testament conformed to

Digitized by Google

'the order of the Book of Common Prayer.' ELIZABETH. The English Prayer Book continued in use Pius IV. for seven years, when the Genevan Order was Parker. introduced. The Queen Regent (Mary of Loraine) from political motives for some time tolerated the Reformers, but she at length declared against them. Know now returned to Scotland (May 2nd, 1559), and proceeded to Perth where he harangued the people in language so powerful and stirring, that it ended in the populace plundering and destroying the monasteries, and the pro-perty of the established Church throughout the country. Religion was now the plea of rebellion. At last a compromise was effected, and Mary, Queen of Scotland, who was then in France, engaged to consider the grievances of 'The Congregation.' A free parliament was immediately called (August 1st, 1560), which, after ratifying their 'Confession of Faith,' (Aug. 17th), passed an Act (Aug. 23rd), for abolishing the Mass, enacting that all sayers and hearers for the first offence should incur forfeiture of goods and corporal punishment; for the second, banishment; for the third, death: and on Aug. 24th, Parliament abolished the Panal dominion, and repealed all laws opposed to Protestantism, and that favoured the Roman party. The Romanist clergy yielded with scarcely a struggle, and the 'Confession of Faith' now adopted, continued until superseded by the Westminster Confession' in (1642-7). After the dissolution of this Parliament, Know and five others drew up a form of Church polity called the 'First Book of Discipline'; and attempted to get all the Church property made over to them. At length, August 1561, MARY, Queen of Scots, arrived in her kingdom from France, but she met no kind reception from Knox, and his party.

A. D. 1562.

499. Articles reviewed.—Convocation now assembled (Jan. 12th) at the desire of the Queen, and the chief men in the realm, for the settlement of the doctrinal points of the Church; Alexander Nowel (Noel), dean of St Paul's, was appointed prolocutor of the Lower House. They began by reviewing the

^{499.} What articles were now reviewed by Convocation at the direction of Archbishop Parker? What mas the original number of the Articles of Religion? [K. 4.]

FORTY-TWO ARTICLES OF RELIGION put forth by Cranmer in the reign of Edward VI. (1553). Archbishop

ELIZABETH.
Pius IV.
Parker.

of Edward VI. (1553). Archbishop Parker.

Parker, with the assistance of Jewel, suggested considerable alterations in them; he omitted the 10th, 16th, 19th, and 41st, of the forty-two (see par. 440.), and introduced the 5th, 12th, 29th, and 30th, of the present thirty-nine; and altered seventeen others; many of which the Confession of Wirtemberg of 1551 suggested. The most important change was the omission of a long argument against the corporal presence, and simply stating 'that the body 'of Christ was given and received by faith in a 'spiritual manner.' This modification is said to have left a loophole for the admission of the real presence' which was favoured by the Queen. It was at-tempted also by Convocation in certain points of discipline to meet the views of the Puritans: for it was proposed to abrogate all Holydays except Sundays, and such as relate to Christ; that the use of the cross at baptism should be optional; that kneeling at the Sacrament should be left to the discretion of the ordinary; that the minister should turn his face to the people; and that organs should be removed. These propositions, however, were negatived by a majority of one. A 'Book of Discipline' was next introduced; but this met with no sufficient support. A new Translation of the Bible was appointed to be made: and the establishment of a Code of Ecclesiastical Laws, and taking the Government of the Church out of the hands of laymen, were subjects ordered for consideration. It was at this period that bishop

What were the chief differences between the original copy, and that finally adopted? [L. 5.] What were the most important changes? What were the heads of the omitted articles? [K. 4.] Who framed the Thirty-nine Articles? [K. 4.] and when? [U. 5.] What was the design of Jewel's Apology?

Jewel published his Apology for the Church of England, in which he exculpated the separation of ELIZABETH. Pius IV. Parker.

our Church from that of Rome; shewing it rather to be a return to its primitive state by the rejection of the modern errors introduced by Rome, than the establishment of Schism: this work tended more to the establishment of the Reformation than any other ever published. An Act was passed for the translation of the Bible, and the Liturgy into the Welsh tongue. (5 Eliz. c. 28.).

A. D. 1563.

The Articles reviewed.—Convocation having renewed its session (Jan. 11th), Archbishop Parker, after revising the Articles, presented them before the Convocation, who struck out the 40th, 41st, and 42nd; and made some alterations in six of the others; and in the title of the 16th. These four of the 'Forty-two Articles' were not admitted at all in the thirty-eight; viz.—(a) The resurrection of the dead is not yet brought to pass;—(b) The souls of those who depart this life do neither die with their bodies, nor sleep idly ;-(c) Of heretics called Millenarii; -(d) All men shall not be saved at the length. When printed, the 29th was omitted; they comprised now Thirty-eight, and appeared in Latin and English, and were signed by both houses of Convocation; by the Upper, January 29th; and by the Lower, on February 5th.

The Articles of Religion were chiefly framed 'for the 'avoiding of diversities of opinions, and for the establish-'ing of consent touching true religion;' aiming especially against the principal errors and corruptions of the Church of Rome, and the extravagant notions of certain Anabap-

^{500.} How did the Convocation of 1563 receive the revised Articles? What were the alterations in them? Q. 4.] With what view were the Articles of Religion framed? [P. 2,] What key can most readily unlock their

tists, and other heresies, prevailing at the ELIZABETH. time they were drawn up. They contain no Pius IV. enactment of any new doctrine, but they are Parker. only a declaration of what is old, and declare with authority the opinions of the Church of England; while at the same time, says Professor Blunt, 'they allow a latitude within certain limits." They were originally drawn up by Cranmer, assisted by Ridley, in 1553, from the Lutheran Confession of Augsburg, composed by Melanchthon; and when reviewed by Parker, he went to a similar source, the Confession of Wirtemburg of 1551. which was also Lutheran. Indeed, as the same author quoted above observes, 'the true key to the right understanding of the Articles (as was already observed in re-spect of the Homilies) is not so much the doctrine of 'Calvin, as of the schoolmen; the controversy lying chiefly between the Protestant, and Roman Catholic: thus, the 'Article of 'Original Sin' (ix.) is urged with a reference to the Scholastic dogma, that original sin was a mere defect 'of original righteousness, the latter being a quality, super-'induced, and not 'the fault and corruption of the nature ' of every man'-the Article of 'Works before Justification' '(xiii.) with a similar view to another theory of the subtle doctors, that by a certain meritorious meetness, a priori, ' for the reception of God's grace, the party claimed it as a right, de congruo. These opinions so calculated to puff up by making man the originator of his own justification, our Reformers would not tolerate, and framed their con-'fessions accordingly.' 'Hence they were not intended to determine the peculiar points of Calvinistic controversy 'either way; but for the avoiding of controversy, and the

'establishing of concord.'—Blunt's Reformation, p. 228. 501. Catechism: 2nd Book of Homilies.

—Nowel, at the recommendation of Cecil, at this time put forth a revised edition of the Larger Catechism, which met the approbation of the Lower House of Convocation; but it was not published till 1570. The Second Book of Homilies also now appeared,

true meaning? [K.4. N.4.] Instance this in the Article on Original Sin. [P.2.] and in that of 'Works before Justification.' [K. 4.] 501. What was Noel's Catchism? Give some account of the Second Book of Homilies? [H. 4.] When did it appear? [H. 4.] Mention the chief works relating to the Reformation published in the reign of

which was supposed to have been commenced, if not perfected, in the ELIZABETH. Pius IV. Parker. reign of Edward VI. It is considered by some to have been completed chiefly by sidered by some to have been completed chiefly by Parker, and Jewel. There is, however, much obscurity respecting the authorship of this Second Book. Many ascribing it to Cranmer, Ridley, and Latimer. These are the chief works published in the reign of Elizabeth upon which the fabric of the English Church is built, and by which the Reformation was completed. But the Reformation was seriously defective in the matter of discipline; in a provision for the church and the reach and in the provision for the education of the people; and in the want of adequate support for the inferior clergy. Cranmer drew up a code of Ecclesiastical Laws, which were published in this reign under the title of 'Reformatio Legum Ecclesiasticarum;' yet they were never legally adopted. Notwithstanding this, were never legally adopted. Notwithstanding this, Crammer has by some been claimed as a Puritan, because in the days of his trouble he set little store upon the trappings of his office; and in his vindication to Gardiner, he repudiated all idea of pride in assuming the title of 'Primate of all England,' declaring his little consideration of any title, name, or style. This may be refuted in his conduct in respect of Hooper, who objected to the Habits, in which Cranmer resolutely opposed the King's recommendation that the ceremonies in his conservation, wight he dispensed with and they say cration might be dispensed with; and they say, moreover, that he would have gone much further in the Prayer Book, had he not been restrained by Convocation.

Elizabeth, and what tokens do they afford of the progress of the Reformation? [a. 1. c. 1.] In what was the Reformation defective? [F. 4.] How has Cranmer been unfairly claimed by the Puritans? [K. 3.]

ed by **GOO**STC

502. Council of Trent closes.— ELIZABETH. It was now that the Council of Pius IV. Parker. Trent had resumed its sittings; in the preceding year, Pius IV., in his conciliatory message to ELIZABETH, invited her to send deputies to the Council: but this was unnoticed. At length, the sittings of this memorable Council were this year brought to a close, after holding twenty-five sessions, during a period of eighteen years (1545-63). It was convened for the purpose of correcting, explaining, and establishing on a firm and perspicuous basis the doctrines of the Church; to reform also the lives of its members; and to restore the vigour of its discipline. Its several Decrees, its Catechism, and the novel Creed put forth by Pius IV., contain a summary of the doctrines of the Roman Catholics, which have been maintained, and subscribed to the present day: and at this Council it may be said that the Church of Rome assumed the responsibility of authoritatively sanctioning heresy.

503. The New Creed. The new Creed of Pius IV. (' Professio Fidei Tridentina') comprises, in brief, these heterodoxies: -(1) The seven Sacraments; -(2) Trent dectrine of Justification, and Original sin; -(3) Propitiatory sacrifice of the Mass;—(4) Transubstantiation;—(5) Communion in one kind; -(6) Purgatory; -(7) Invocation of Saints; - (8) Veneration of reliques; - (9) Image worship; -(10) The Roman Church the mother, and mistress of all Churches;-(11) Swearing obedience to the Pope;-(12) Receiving the decrees of all Synods, and of Trent. The Oath to be taken by all Romish priests, lay and secular, and by all members of monastic orders declares, 'hanc veram Catholicam Fidem, extra quam nemo salvus

esse potest voveo, spondeo, et juro.' (A. D. 1564.).

^{502.} What message was sent from Pius IV. to Elizabeth in respect of the General Council? What was the date of the Council of Trent? [b. 4. V. 4.] What, its duration? [b. 4. V. 4.] By the name of what Pope is it known? [b. 4. V. 4.] 503. What were its decrees, and the chief Articles (in brief) of the Creed then agreed on, which may be accounted novelties? [b. 4. V. 4.] What resulted from it? [V. 4.]

504. The Rise of the Papacy. ELIZABETH. When Christianity had been widely dis-Pius IV. seminated throughout the various countries Parker. of the Roman world by the Apostles and their immediate disciples, the numerous heresies that troubled its even march led the Churches, which held to the true and ancient faith, to make common cause in the matter, and feel as one; hence originated the idea of a Catholic Church, as opposed to and excluding all heretics. Many churches in a city, or in a certain country district were united under one bishop: for mutual support, the Eastern Church introduced the practice at the close of the 2nd century, which was soon followed in the West, of convening Provincial Synods once or twice a year of the several bishops within a neighbourhood; and as they generally met in the principal city of the province, of course the bishop of that city presided, hence these bishops came to exercise a certain superiority over the others, and were called Metropolitans. Rome, Antioch, Alexandria, and afterwards Constantinople possessed in consequence a kind of pre-eminence they never enjoyed before. Yet these Metropolitans were as yet only equals among equals, and the only authority they possessed was that of summoning the bishops together to confer on matters touching the doctrinal unity of the Church. In the West, great regard was paid to the Church of Rome as the largest, as the only one founded by an Apostle, and as being that of the most important city of the empire, but no peculiar rights or authority were conceded to it. Thus did matters stand at the close of the 3rd Century, and here is the first appearance of any thing like pre-eminence acceded to the Roman See. When, however, the Emperor Constantine became a convert to Christianity A. D. 311. converts multiplied amazingly, the clergy increased, and wealth poured into the coffers of the Roman bishop so rapidly that all the distressed Churches of that vast empire applied thither for relief; and no small advantage was gained by the Papal liberality. The Metropolitan of the Eastern Church also could not but concede the highest place to the bishop of the first city in the world; so that at the council of Sardica (A. D. 347.) it was decreed that all bishops condemned of the Arian heresy should be allowed to appeal to the bishop of Rome; but as few availed themselves of this permission, the emperors Valentinian and Gratian at the instance of pope Damasus and a Roman Synod

(A. D. 372-378) issued a decree to the same ELIZABETH. purpose. As yet there was no recognition Pius IV. of spiritual supremacy, nor right of interfe-Parker. rence. In the 5th century, however, Valentinian enacted A. D. 435, that all the bishops of the Western empire should obey the bishop of Rome, in whom was vested the authority to decide all religious disputes: this was the first act of the Western Church recognizing the spiritual supremacy of the Pontiff. In consequence of this measure the Bishop of Constantinople, seconded by the council of Chalcedon A. D. 451., claimed the same privileges over the Eastern Church, as that enjoyed by the bishop of Rome over the Western Church. This excited the jealousy of Pope Leo I. and particularly of the patriarchs of Alexandria, and of Antioch; but the two latter soon threw the weight of their influence into the scale of the bishop of Rome by often soliciting his intervention in their favour against the tyranny of his rival. From this time forth the patriarchs of Rome and Constantinople vied with each other for pre-eminence. The names however Papa, Apostolicus, Vicarius Christi, Summus Pontifex, Sedes Apostolica, Patriarcha, were at this period applied to other bishops, as well as to the bishop of Rome. On the fall of the Western Empire, when the country had become a prev to the Goths and Vandals, the absence of Imperial authority now prompted the bishops of Rome to annex something of civil power to their ancient spiritual jurisdiction. At length A. D. 587, the ambition of the patriarch of Constantinople John IV. (the Jejunator, or Faster) induced that patriarch to assume the title of 'UNIVERSAL PATRI-ARCH' (or Ecumenical Bishop.) This excited the fierce indignation of Pope Pelagius II. and of his successor Gregory the Great, against John IV, as well as against Mauricius, the emperor of the East: eventually, however, Phocas, by the murder of Mauricius ascended the throne A. D. 602; and shortly after, at the persuasion of Pope Boniface III. he decreed that the title of ŒCUMENICAL BISHOP should belong in perpetuity to the Roman Pontiff, A. D. 666: and thus for the first time did the Roman bishop acquire the title of "Universal Bishop." The Pope began next to be possessed of temporal sovereignty by grants of territory from Pepin (in 756), and Charlemagne (in 787.), as appurtenances to the Roman see for ever; and which subsequent princes enlarged. Nothing seemed now wanting but to prove that the right to this high supremacy now acknowledged was derived from

the Divine Founder of Christianity, and had been always

Digitized by Google

recognized by the most ancient authorities: ELIZABETH. for this end recourse was had to certain Pius IV. DECRETALS (A. D. 829-45), which estab-Parker. lished the right from time immemorial; and these documents, although now established forgeries, and known by the name of 'the False Decretals' (see par. 117) exercised great influence in their time. Then came the CRUSA-DES first suggested by Pope Silvester II. A. D. 999. which continued down to the one (A. D. 1487) against the Waldenses. Next followed the establishment of the MONASTIC ORDERS, A. D. 1072, as emissaries of the Pope; the Benedictine being the only rule previously sanctioned by the Romish Church (A. D. 817-1072). Then came the levying of tribute as a mark of reverence to the Papal See from all the nations of Christendom; and lastly as a kind of seal to the claims of the Roman Pontiff the Council of Trent (A. D. 1545-63.) by its Decrees, Creed, and Catechism, declared, and the Church of Rome holds the same to this day, that there is no Salvation to such as refuse to acknowledge the Supremacy, and Infallibility of the Pope of Rome.

A. D. 1564--1565.

505. John Calvin.—This year (1564) brought with it the death of the celebrated JOHN CALVIN (or Chauvin, in Latin Calvinus, hence Calvin); he was born at Novou in Picardy in 1509; and was educated at Paris. He studied theology, and entered the Romish Church, but imbibing the principles of the Reformation he abandoned the Church for the study of the Law. He soon, however, returned to his former pursuits, and brought out his great work ' The Institutes of the Christian Religion.' 1535. His reforming views compelled Calvin more than once to retire from Paris: at length he settled in Geneva 1536-7, as a professor of Divinity, and there founded the Presbyterian form of religion; and by his arbitrary, dogmatic, and impetuous conduct, gained for himself the appellation of the Protestant Pope (1541). For some time his party identified themselves with the *Lutherens*, and held the Augsburg Confession, but leaning to the Zuinglian doctrine of the Eucharist, they acquired the name of a distinct sect. i. e. Calvinists (1561). Calvin was very intimate with Bucer, Melanchthon, and other reformers, but his inflexible character allowed no compromise in his opinions. He wrote many works, which engaged such intense application as seriously to impair his

Digitized by Google

health: after long indisposition he died in the May of this year: and he was succeeded at Geneva by his friend Beza. The most essential doctrines maintained by Calvin

ELIZABETH.
Pius IV.
Parker.

most essential doctrines maintained by Calvin were those of 'election' and 'reprobation': and all who would not admit absolute predestination, he would excommunicate, and persecute, till they should conform. The tenets of Calvin, termed the five points, are (1) predestination, i. e. some to eternal life, others to eternal death; (2) Original sin, i. e. the sin of Adam attaches to the whole human race, and is the source of all transgression; (3) particular redemption, i. e. Christ atoned for the elect only; (4) irresistible grace, i. e. the call of God is effectual; (5) the perseverance of the Saints, i. e. those effectually called can never fall away. But these tenets are differently interpreted by Calvinists themselves.

Mon-Conformists.—The outward ene-506. mies of the Reformation being now silenced; many of the Reformers began to open a serious dispute among themselves respecting ecclesiastical vestments, and certain rites, and ceremonies; originating in the new views of divine worship, acquired by those who had fled to Germany during the reign of Mary. So high indeed did the dissensions become, that ELIZA-BETH under the advice of Cecil, at length (1565) urged Parker, and the bishops, to enforce the 'Act of Uniformity; a latter clause of which enacted that 'all ornaments for churches, and the ministers 'thereof, shall remain as they were in the second 'year of Edward VI.' The greatest objections were raised to the square cap, and surplice. The 'Court of Ecclesiastical Commission' issued this year by the Queen's command certain canons or articles, for the enforcing of Uniformity in doctrine, and discipline; parties however about the court prevailed on the Queen to refuse to confirm them. They were called

^{506.} When was the first appearance of Nonconformists in England? What were the advertisements issued in the reign of Elizabeth?

'The Advertisements.' The majority of the clergy conformed, but there were a great many who would

Pius IV.

Parker.

not conform, hence their name of Nonconformists: among the latter were Sampson, dean of Christ Church, and Humphrey, President of Magdalene College, and Regius Professor of Divinity, Oxford. Notwithstanding the advice of Gualter, and Bullinger, whom they had consulted, they refused compliance: the former was imprisoned, and deprived; the latter, after the lapse of some years, submitted. George Withers, a preacher of Bury in Suffolk, declaimed against the dresses, and was silenced by Parker.

507. Puritans.—It was at this period that the name of Puritan took its rise: derived probably from the Puritani, or Cathari, of the 3rd century: it was first applied by way of reproach, but was afterwards assumed by the Nonconformists, advocates for a superior purity of doctrine and discipline. They maintained that they followed the Word of God alone, purified from all human inventions and superstitions, of which they believed the English Church had retained a considerable portion, notwithstanding its alleged reformation. They objected, in addition to the dresses, to many things in the Book of Common Prayer; to kneeling at the Sacrament; to the cross in the baptismal service, to sponsors, and to lay baptism; to the ring in marriage; to bowing at the name of Jesus: and to a custom then prevailing in the Churching of Women, when they appeared veiled, and in a particular seat: they objected particularly to episcopacy, and to forms of prayer, and many points of discipline; and disliked organs, church-music, and

chanting. Fox, the martyrologist, was also a non-conformist.

Pius IV.

Parker.

A. D. 1566-68.

Pope; Pius V. A. D. 1566-72.

508. 'The Advertisements,' or 'Injunctions,' put forth by the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, were now issued by Royal proclamation, and particularly required a uniformity of habit; for opposing which, thirty seven of the London clergy were de-prived, who afterwards separated themselves from the Church, and ultimately set up the Geneva form of worship in private meetings, and used the Geneva Service Book. An attempt was made to bring in an Act of Uniformity this year requiring the clergy to subscribe 'The Articles;' it passed the House of Commons, but the Queen caused it to be thrown out in the Lords, as an infringement on her Supremacy. Pope Pius IV. died this year (1566), and was succeeded by *Pius* V., who was very energetic in enforcing the enactments of the Council of Trent; for which purpose he issued a 'Catechism' of its decrees. Not long after, appeared the corrected edition of the ENGLISH BIBLE (in 1568). Archbishop Parker engaged the Bishops, and other learned men, to take each a portion for revision, which were now put together, and printed with short annotations: it was in consequence called Parker's, or 'The Bishops' Bible.'

A. D. 1569-1570.

509. Secession of the Romanists.—It was now, after a futile attempt at the restoration of Popery

^{508.} What happened to those who opposed 'The Advertisements?' Who succeeded Pope Pius IV.? When was the 'Bishops' Bible' published? Why was it so called? 509. When did the adherents of the Roman Church first form themselves into a distinct sect in this country? [I. 2.

had been made by the Earls of Nor-RLIZABETH. Pius V. thumberland, and Westmoreland, who Parker. strived to liberate Mary, Queen of Scots, the presumptive heir to the throne; that Pope Pius V. finding religious matters in England beyond reclaiming, issued a 'bull' of excommunication, forbidding allegiance to Queen ELIZABETH, who was styled a usurper, and a vassal of iniquity. This was affixed to the palace gates of the bishop of London by one Felton, for which he was hanged: from this time, the Roman Catholics formed themselves into a distinct sect. They had hitherto been in communion with the Church of England nearly eleven years, and now excited by the Papal bull they first seceded.

Oxford was at this time over-run with Papists:

while Cambridge was the seat of Puritanism.

510. Controversy .-- At the close of the following year (1570), a violent controversy sprung up in Cambridge between Cartwright, and Whitgift. subject of vestments, and other matters questioned by the Puritans, had for some time disturbed the quiet of the University, when Thomas Cartwright,-a fellow of Trinity College, who had lately taken offence at the Queen's preference shown to a competitor of his named Preston, and in disgust had visited Geneva, where he became acquainted with Beza the successor of Calvin, and who, on his return was elected by the puritanical party, Margaret Professor of Divinity, - openly lectured against episcopal government, the Liturgy, and the Habits: declaring that there ought to be no church government of Archbishops, Bishops, Archdeacons, Deans, and Chapters, but only what the Apostles sanctioned, and the Scriptures allowed; and that nothing should be retained that had been used under the Pope.

HH

R. 4. F. 4] What prompted them to this step? [V. 6.] 510. What was the nature of the controversy between Cartwright, and Whitgift?

chanti was a

508. tions, were 1. larly r which. prived. Church worship Service Act of subscri/ Commo in the i Pope P **cee**ded enforcin for which decrees. edition o Parker e to take put toge Bishops'

509. :

vertisement the Bish 509. Who form thems

Wolf's edition of 1563, with the ex-ELIZABETH. ception of the two words 'sive cære-Pius V. Parker. monias: and in numerous editions after 1579. It is generally believed to be authentic, and its omission, where it occurs, to have been the result of carelessness: its interpolation was subsequently charged on Archbishop Laud. In the same Convocation an attempt was made to impose certain ecclesiastical laws for the enforcing of discipline &c., but they were never ratified. In the Act, however, requiring subscription to the Articles, regulations were made in respect of the age for admission into Holy Orders, viz. 23 years of age for deacons, and 24 years for priests; and also in matters of Church property, declaring no lease should be granted for more than 21 years, or three lives (by 13 Eliz. c. 10.), and leases or tithes to be void if incumbent is non-resident for eighty-days (by 13 Eliz. c. 20.). 'The Acts, and Monuments' published by Fox, the martyrologist, were accredited by this Convocation.

512. Statutes.—Laws were passed against all who questioned the right or title of the Queen, or called her heretic, tyrant, &c. (13 Eliz. c. 1.),—also against the Roman Catholics, making such liable to the penalties of treason, or præmunire, who introduced any bulls, or documents from Rome. (13 Eliz. c. 2.). Also for the recovery of Dilapidations (13 Eliz. c. 10.), and the Act 'For the ministers of the Church to be of sound Religion,' requiring subscription to the XXXIX Articles. (13 Eliz. c. 12.). The English Universities were also now incorporated by Act of Parliament (13 Eliz. c. 29.); and provision

^{512.} What regulations were made in respect of the age for admission to Holy Orders? When were the Universities incorporated by Act of Parliament? When was the first assessment for the relief of the Poor? What Act was passed against the Roman Catholics in this year? Who suffered in consequence?

for the *Poor* by assessment first legalized by Parliament. (14 *Eliz.* c. 5.). These statutes more severely

ELIZABETH.
Pius V.
Parker.

pressed upon the Puritanical party, because they now assumed a bolder attitude. Bishop Jewel strongly animadverted upon their teaching, for he had found that the most popular preachers of the Puritanical doctrines were Papists in disguise, numbering amongst them the names of Heath, the Jesuit, a brother of the Archbishop of York; Button; Coleman; and Hal-

lingham. In this year bishop Jewel died.

513. John Jewel was born at Buden in Berinber, Devonshire, A.D. 1522., and educated at Corpus Christi College, Oxford, where he took the Protestant side in the discussions of the day. He gained the friendship of Peter Martyr, and continued at the University, till the accession of Mary, and the ascendancy of the Romish party compelled him to fly the country; in an evil hour, however, and under the threat of torture he just before recanted; but his opponents thirsted for his blood, and it was with the greatest difficulty that he escaped to Frankfort. (1556). On arriving here he publicly retracted his apostacy, and then followed his old friend Martyr to Strasburg, and to Zurich. On the accession of ELIZABETH he returned to England (1559), and was appointed one of the Visitation Commissioners for the establishment of religion. In 1560 he was made bishop of Salisbury, and immediately after challenged the Romanists from St Paul's Cross to a controversy. (See par. 493.). He next published his able 'Apology for the Church of England' (1562); followed soon after by way of reply to *Harding's* Confutation by his 'Defence of the Apology,' (1567). At length worn out by laborious study, and indefatigable zeal in the discharge of his episcopal functions, the excellent and pious bishop Jewel died at Monkton Farleigh, in Wiltshire. Sep. 1571. He left behind him numerous writings, testifying to his learning, industry, piety, and research.

A. D. 1572-4.

Pope; Gregory XIII. A. D. 1572-85.

514. The Puritans now formally separated

513. Give the life of Jewel. 514. What did the Puritans now?

from the Church, and established them-ELIZABETH. selves into various communities. A Pres-Gregory XIII. bytery was formed at Wandsworth. Those Parker. called Precisians held obedience to the civil government as a thing indifferent. The Brownists. followers of Robert Brown, (a contemporary of Cartwright, and a relative of Lord Burghley's, educated at Corpus Christi College, Cambridge), maintained that the Scriptures ought to be followed in all matters of discipline as well as doctrine; that every congregation was a church, independent, and with power to decree its own discipline, and government, whence they were called 'Congregational Brethren, ' Congregationalists,' and ' Independents.' They The violence of also declared episcopacy antichristian. Brown however, compelled him to retire to the continent, where he joined the congregation of Carturiaht at Middleburg. The Puritans next petitioned the House of Commons, setting forth their grievances in a treatise called 'An Admonition to the Parliament;' the violent language of the petition procured for the promoters commitment to prison. Another 'Admonition' followed from the pen of Cartwright, which was answered by Whitgift. Queen, becoming provoked by these proceedings, which questioned her supremacy, and the authority of the civil government, at length issued a proclamation for enforcing the Act of Uniformity; this brought the leading men before the Court of High Commission, by whom they were deprived. To escape the law, the Puritans under the guidance of Carturiakt, and Travers, now formed themselves into societies under the name of 'Prophesyings of the Clergy,' which were sanctioned by many of the Bishops. Parker, however, endeavoured to suppress them. About this period Pope Pius V. died, and was succeeded by

Gregory XIII.
515. Euguenot Massacre.—A violent religious war prevailed in France at this period. (1572). Upon a charge of conspiracy against the government, and taking part in the insurrection of Amboise in 1560, the French Protestants, called Huguenots, were suddenly set upon on the eve of St Bartholomew, and massacred to the extent of about 60,000. Their

^{515.} What was the massacre of St Bartholomew? Who were the Huguenots?

appellation is said to have originated from a corruption of the German word *Eidgenossen* (Huguenots), meanELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Parker.

ing Swiss Confederates, from their adopting the Calvinistic discipline, and being connected with Geneva, which republic was supported by the Swiss alliance. Gregory XIII. issued a bull for a jubilee on account of this wholesale butchery; and a medal was struck at Rome to commemorate it. England, however, mourned for them.

A. D. 1575.

516. Matthew Parker.—This year we have to record the death of the learned and accomplished Matthew Parker, Archbishop of Canterbury. He was born at Norwich in 1504, and educated at Corpus Christi College (Bene't, Benedict), Cambridge, of which society he subsequently became master. He was noticed by Archbishop Cranmer, and appointed chaplain to Queen Anne Boleyn (1533), who held him in such esteem as to entrust to him the care of her daughter Elizabeth, now Queen. On the death of his patroness, Henry VIII. appointed him his chaplain, 1537. He was made a prebendary of Ely in 1541; and master of his College in 1544. During the reign of Edward VI., he was made dean of Lincoln, and continued a stanch advocate of the Reformation. He very narrowly escaped burning during the reign of Mary, and only by eluding the vigilance of his persecu-Having assisted in the education of ELIZABETH. through her favour he was preferred to the see of Canterbury 1559, soon after her accession. The Nag's Head story of his consecration has been ably refuted (see par. 495.); particularly by Mason, Archdeacon of Norfolk, (temp. James I.) in his work on the English Ministry. After his consecration, he proceeded to fill the vacant sees; called together the Convocation for the revision of the Liturgy, and the establishing of the XXXIX Articles, which occupied several sessions from A.D. 1562. to 1571; as well as for the sanctioning of the Second Book of Homilies; and for providing a correct version of the Bible. which appeared as the 'Bishop's Bible.' In 1567, Parker founded three exhibitions in Corpus Christi College: in the year following, seven other scholarships, and two fellowships;

ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Parker.

he subsequently gave many valuable books, MSS., and other benefactions to his College, as well as to the University. By his learning, cautious discretion, and untiring seal, he effected the establishment of the 'Church of England' as it new is; his constant aim having been to reform the Church, not to make a new one; and therefore, while carrying out all necessary alterations, he endeavoured to conciliate the Romanists where canciliation was not injurious; and to repress the innovations, and encroachments of the Puritans. At length he ended an arduous yet pious life, Msy 17th, 1575, and was buried in his own ohapel at Lambeth. He left many works, and MSS. behind him bearing testimony to his great learning, and antiquarian lore. He was succeeded in the Primacy by Edmund Grindal.

517. Henry Bullinger died (Sep. 17th) in this same year, a few months after Archbishop Parker. He was born also in the same year as that distinguished prelate (1504) at Bremgarten near Zurich in Switzerland. He studied at Cologne, and acquiring an early prejudice against the schoolmen, and all patristic lore, he became attached to the principles of the rising Reformers, and ultimately a follower of Zuingle (1527); whom he succeeded in the pastorship of Zurich. Bullinger was a great controversialist. He confuted the wild Anabaptists; upheld the divinity of our Lord; and defended the Sacramentarians against the attacks of Luther. He also kindly received the English exiles in the time of Mary; edited the writings of Zuingle; and confuted the Papal bull excommunicating Queen Elizabeth. (1549). He also refuted Brentius, who maintained the ubiquity of Christ's body, although he himself was not orthodox on the subject of our Lord's presence. (1561). Bullinger was very bitter both against the Lutheran Protestants, and the Papists; and when consulted by the English non-conformists on the subject of the Habits, &c. his advice was, that although there was much of Popery in the Church of England, it was better to conform if only to keep out the Protestants, (Lutherans), and Papists. He wrote many works doctrinal, and controversial.

A. D. 1576-1582.

518. The Prophesyings .--ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Soon after the translation of Grindal. Parker. the Queen issued an Injunction to the Archbishops, and Bishops, to put a stop to the meetings called 'Prophesyings,' which were set on foot by the Puritans, and were now held throughout the country. These were Clerical meetings held in many of the dioceses nominally for the improvement of the clergy. The dioceses were subdivided into districts, and at the most convenient place in each, the clergy used to assemble once a fortnight in the Church under a moderator, who was appointed by the bishop, or his deputy, to discuss some subject in theology, or expound some passage of Scripture. These assemblies were called 'Prophesyings' from the expression of St Paul—'ye may all prophesy one by one, that all may learn, and all may be com-'forted.' 1 Cor. xiv. 31. ELIZABETH, however, fearing this privilege might be abused, and that questions of a political tendency, and touching her supremacy might become points of discussion, she directed that they should be suppressed: but while most of the bishops obeyed the Royal Injunction, the Archbishop prompted by the predilections he had imbibed while an exile in Germany during the Marian persecution, felt more disposed to encourage them than to put them down, upon which he was deprived for a time, and ordered to keep to his house. The 'Prophesyings' were, however, suppressed; and Grindal never after regained the Queen's favour, but on the petition of the Convocation he was restored to his see, about 1581-2. The followers of Robert Brown, who were the first seceders from the

^{518.} What were the Prophesyings mentioned in the reign of Elizabeth? What happened to Grindal for refusing to suppress them?

Church of England, not so much in doctrine as in discipline, now formed themselves into a distinct sect, known as 'Independents,' or 'Congregationa

ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Grindal.

as 'Independents,' or 'Congregationalists.' See par. 514.

519. Cuthbert Maine.—Among the sufferers under the Acts passed in 1571, but which were not put in execution till six years afterwards, was Cuthbert Maine, a Romish priest who obstinately maintained the supremacy of the Pope: he was executed at Launceston, Cornwall, 1577.

Opposing Parties.-While the Puritans 520. were striving on the one side to push the Reformation further and further till episcopacy should be rooted out, and vestments, ornaments, and ceremonies abolished; the Romanizing party on the other side, considering the Reformation to have gone too far already, were striving to go back to the old leaven. The Puritans applied themselves to the publication of satirical, and scurrilous pamphlets, which brought down upon them a statute, (23 Eliz. c. 2.), enacting that whoever should print or publish any thing tending to the defamation of the Queen's Majesty, or to the encouragement of insurrection should suffer death, and confiscation of property. (1581). Many were executed The Romanists by the intrigues of Jesuits, under this Act. and Seminary priests from abroad went about subverting the authority of ELIZABETH, declaring the Queen was no Queen, and that all Acts done by her since the bull of excommunication of Pope Pius V. were null and void; to meet these treasonable proceedings an Act was passed 'to 'retain the Queen's Majesty's subjects in their due Obedi-'ence.' (23 Eliz. c. 1.). And a proclamation was also issued requiring the relations of all children sent abroad for their education to recall them within four months. severe statutes against Jesuits, and Seminary priests were now put into force.

521. Jesuits, and Seminary Priests.—It appears that in order to provide a succession of English Roman Catholic priests, which the establishment of the Reformed Church would not admit of being done in England, Dr. William Allen (or Alan), who had been compelled to leave the country on account of his strong

^{519.} What occurred to Cuthbert Maine? 520. What were the proceedings of the Puritans, and the Papists? 521. Who was Cardinal Allen?

Romish bias, established a Seminary or College at *Douay* (in 1568) for the education of English youths for the Romish priesthood, who were to be sent into

ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. *Grindal*.

priesthood, who were to be sent into hostile, and schismatic feelings in the reformed Church. The jealousy of the people of Douay, however, compelled Allen to remove his Seminary to Rheims (1578); but it returned again to Dougy in 1593. During this period other colleges were founded for the like purpose, one at Rome in 1578, one at Valladolid in Spain 1580, one at Seville, and another at Madrid. For his services in this matter Allen subsequently received a Cardinal's hat. Allen was a native of Rossall in Lancashire, born in 1532. He was educated at Oriel College, Oxford, of which society he became a fellow, 1550; he was next appointed principal of St Mary Hall, 1556; and afterwards a canon of York 1558. In the beginning of ELIZABETH'S reign he went abroad, and founded the Seminary above mentioned. In 1587, when Philip II. of Spain contemplated the success of his expedition against England with his ' Spanish Armada,' and the restoration of the Romish doctrines, Allen was then made a Cardinal by Pope Sixtus V., for the purpose of superintending the affairs of the Church. Allen, however, became a traitor as well as a defender of treason, and on the failure of the invasion, he was rewarded with the Archbishopric of Mechlin. He resided in Rome in great wealth and splendour, where he had an eye even to the Papacy. He died October 6th, 1594, and not without suspicion of being poisoned. Among other works he assisted in the Roman Catholic translation of the Bible known as the 'Douay Bible,' the New Testament portion of which was published at Rheims. Going back to the time of which we are writing (1580-1), Allen being then in Rome on a visit to the Pope, he urged on Mercuriano, the General of the order of Jesuits, to send a mission into England to proselytize the people. Campian, and Persons, who had both been educated at Oxford in the reformed faith, but had become perverts to Romanism, and Jesuits, were sent immediately as missionaries; and a swarm of other Jesuits followed soon after. Alarm was thus excited, the penal statutes were put in force, and Campian, Sherwin, Bryant, and several others were apprehended, and executed for exciting treason, Dec. 1581: but Persons contrived to escape to the continent. It is said that the Queen was urged to these severe measures from the popular clamour against her encouragement of Digitized by GOOS

the matrimonial offer of the French Duke of Anjou; as being likely to lead to the 'religion being altered, and Popery tolerated.'

ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Grindal.

522. Gregorian Calendar.—Pope Gregory XIII. now reformed the CALENDAR by suppressing ten days in order to restore the equinox to the 21st of March, the day on which it fell at the time of the Council of Nice, in A. D. 325. To prevent the recurrence of a like inconvenience, he ordered the intercalation, which took place every fourth year, to be omitted in years ending centuries, as in the 100th, 200th, &c.; but the 400th year, and all years multiples of 400 were to be excepted: hence every year divisible by four without a remainder is a 'leap year' excepting the centesimal years, which are only leap years when divisible The Gregorian Calendar was received immediately, or shortly after its promulgation, by all the Roman Catholic countries of Europe. The Protestant states of Germany, and Denmark, adhered to the old Julian style till 1700. In *England* popular prejudice opposed its introduction till 1752, when an Act of Parliament abolished the Old Style of the Julian Calendar, and introduced the Gregorian or New Style, by ordering the day following the second of September in 1752 to be called and written as the fourteenth of September. The Old Style is still followed in Russia, and where the Greek Church prevails.

A. D. 1583.

523. Archbishop Grindal did not long survive his restoration to the Royal favour: becoming blind, and worn out by infirmity, he was called upon to resign his high office, but death overtook him in the present year 1583. Edmund Grindal was born at Hensingham, St Bees, Cumberland, 1519; he was educated at Magdalene College, Cambridge, and became chaplain to Ridley, when bo. of London, 1550. On the accession of Mary he fied to Strasburg 1553, where he acquired certain scruples about vestments, and ceremonies: immediately after Mary's death he returned to England. In 1559, he was made master of Pembroke Hall, and the same

^{522.} What was the reformation in the Calendar effected by Pope Gregory XIII? When was the New Style adopted in several countries of Europe? 523. Give a brief memoir of Archbishop Grindal?

year preferred to the see of London; in 1570, he was translated to the see of York, and in 1575, to that of Canterbury. He had now for some time been looked upon by

ELIZABETH. Gregory XIII. Grindal.

had now for some time been looked upon by the Puritans as their patron: it is not therefore surprising that he was sequestered in 1577, for refusing obedience to the Queen's injunctions to suppress the 'Prophesyings,' but was restored in 1581-2. He contributed to Faxe's 'Acts and Monuments,' and founded the school of St Bee's in Cumberland. He was a man of great learning, piety, and moderation; very amiable and kind-hearted. His successor in the Primacy was John Whitgift, bishop of Worcester, whom Elizabeth ordered to be more rigid than his predecessor in enforcing conformity.

A. D. 1584.

524. Three Articles. — The first proceeding of Whitgift was to require the clergy to subscribe to certain Articles, viz:—(1) the Royal Supremacy in matters ecclesiastical as well as temporal; (2) the Legality of the Common Prayer, and ordinal; (3) the conformity of the XXXIX Articles to the word of God; (these are in the 36th of the Canons of 1603;) as well as to answer certain Interrogatories ex officio mero, in order to test their conformity both now, and in future; and without assenting to which none were to be admitted to any benefice. Very strong opposition was raised to these proceedings: many who refused were suspended. Conferences were held between the two parties in the Church, but they ended in Whitgift's success. In the Convocation also, through his influence, regulations were promulgated on the subject of Ecclesiastical discipline: they were called 'Articuli pro clero.' Two Acts of parliament were passed this year against the Roman Catholics. from the discovery of a conspiracy against the Queen headed by Lord Paget: one for the security of the Queen's person, which chiefly aimed at the

^{524.} What were the proceedings of Whitgift? What were the Interrogatories ex afficio mero? and the articulti pro clero?

destruction of Mary Queen of Scots, ELIZABETH. now a prisoner; and the other, 'against Jesuits, Seminary Priests, Gregory XIII. and such like disobedient Persons. (27 Eliz. c. 2.). Several attempts were also made by the House of Commons to infringe upon the Episcopacy; but the bills were thrown out by the Lords.

A. D. 1585-1587.

Pope: Sixtus V. A. D. 1585-90.

525. **Mooker.**—The mastership of the Temple becoming vacant this year by the death of Father Alvie, two candidates appeared in the field in the persons of Travers, an advocate for the Geneva discipline, and the celebrated John Hooker, a stanch supporter of Episcopacy. By the influence of the Queen, and Whitgift, it fell to *Hooker*; the consequence of which was a long contest between Travers and Hooker on the subject of Church Government, which ended in the appearance of Hooker's famous work, entitled 'Ecclesiastical Polity.' (published in 1600.). The first English Settlements in North America were made in this year A. D. 1584. In the year following, on the death of Gregory XIII., Sixtus V. succeeded to the Papal chair. A. D. 1585.

526. Mary, Oueen of Scots.-In the following year, the conspiracy of Babington and other Roman Catholics, for the rescue of MARY, Queen of Scots, and the assassination of ELIZABETH was discovered; fourteen of the conspirators were put to death (1586.); and the Scottish Queen so far implicated as to lead to her being charged with treason, and beheaded Feb. 8, 1587. The Puritans made a bold attempt in the Parliament of this year (1587)

What was the contest between Travers and Hooker? What celebrated work did it call forth? 526. When was Mary Queen of Scots put to death? What attempt at reforming the Church was made by the Puritans in 1587 ? Digitized by GOOGLE

to bring in a bill for reforming the established Church, and introducing the Geneva discipline. The ELIZABETH. Sixtus V. Whitgift.

Queen, however, would not suffer her prerogative in ecclesiastical matters to be interfered with, consequently the more zealous of the anti-episcopalian members were sent to the Tower, much to the exasperation of the *Puritan* party. Trinity College, Dublin was founded about this time. (1587-8.)

A. D. 1588-1592.

Popes; Urban VII. A. D. 1590;—Gregory XIV.; Innocent IX.; Clement VIII. A. D. 1592—1605.

'Martin Marprelate.' - This violent proceeding on the part of the Queen and her Council stirred up the Puritan zeal to make fierce and continued attacks on the Episcopacy; several viru-lent works were surreptitiously printed and circulated to that end, among which was one entitled 'Martin Marprelate.' A proclamation was issued against such writings; their presses seized; and many of more moderate views brought into trouble. They were for some time imprisoned; Cartwright was amongst the number; he denied taking any active part in these measures of opposition, and through the influence of Whitgift he was subsequently liberated: many, however, forfeited their lives. Some blasphemous enthusiasts also disturbed the peace about this time; one Hacket pretended to be King Jesus, and with his two prophets Arthington, and Coppinger, excited a sedition: Hacket was executed, Arthington was subsequently pardoned, and Coppinger starved himself in prison. In the Parliament of 1589 an Act was passed correcting abuses in the presentation to benefices; and election of scholars. (31 Eliz. c. 6.)

^{526.} What gave rise to the publication of 'Martin Marprelate,' and such like works?

A. D. 1593-1594.

527. **Statutes.**—Many members of the House of Commons on ELIZABETH. Clement VIII. Whitgift. the Puritan side attempted to introduce measures infringing on the Queen's prerogative, but they were soon silenced: among those were Wentworth, Bromley, Morrice, and Beal. Several severe laws, however, were enacted in this Par-liament against the Nonconformists, affecting both Romanists, and Puritans. By the Act 'to retain subjects in their due obedience,' (35 Eliz. c. 1.), all persons above sixteen years of age refusing to attend the parish Church once a month were to be imprisoned; not conforming for three months, to be banished, and if found returning they were declared felons. Again, by another Act for keeping Romanists to one certain place of abode (35 Eliz. c. 2.), all Popish Recusants, going five miles from their habitations were to forfeit their possessions; those not having 20 marks per annum or £40. real value were to quit the kingdom. Many Roman Catholics, however, about this period were put to death for treasonable practices: as well as several Puritans on account of their seditious writings, among whom were Barrowe, Greenwood, and Penry.

528. Calvinism.—Hitherto the Church party, and the Puritans, had differed on points of discipline, about Habits, and Ceremonies; at this period, however, differences arose on points of doctrine. All the Puritans were Calvinists in doctrine as well as discipline; but very many of the Church party, strict adherents to Episcopacy, were Calvinists in doctrine, although far opposed to the Puritans on matters of discipline; holding this essential tenet of Calvin, that 'God from no other motive than His good pleasure 'and free will had predestinated from all eternity one part

^{527.} What Acts were passed against the Nonconformists in 1593-4? 528. What was the progress of Calvinism?

of mankind to everlasting happiness, and ELIZABETH. 'another to endless misery.' This doctrine Clement VIII. indeed very much preponderated in the Whitaift. Church of England in the early part of ELIZABETH'S reign: and the University of Cambridge was long the strong-hold of Calvinism. These tenets, however, although vainly opposed before Calvin's face by Castalio. and Bolsec in 1551, found very warm opponents in England, first in Dr Peter Baro, Margaret Professor of Divinity in Cambridge, 1574; who was born at Etampes, and educated at Bourges, but seeking an asylum in England his merits led the Chancellor of the University (Lord Burghley) to give him the above appointment. Next came on the same side Dr Samuel Harsnet, 1584, chaplain to Whitgift, and subsequently bp. of Norwich, 1619; and archbishop of York, 1628. He was followed on the continent by the celebrated ARMINIUS, 1591, whose own peculiar tenets were soon developed in England, and added fuel to the fire of controversy. At this period the Heads of Houses at Cambridge strongly favoured Calvinism; and its chief defenders were Perkins, a fellow of Christ's College, and Dr Whitaker, master of St John's, and Regius Professor of Divinity: to whom may be added Archbishop

Whitgift. Arminius. - Dr James Arminius, (a latinized **529**. form of Hermannsen, his original name) was born at Oudewater in Holland, in 1560. He was educated in the Universities, first of Marpurg, then of Leyden; from which place he went to Geneva, where he studied under Beza. and adopted the tenets of Calvin. In 1589, a dispute having arisen among certain Calvinists as to the doctrines of absolute predestination: one party maintaining, that 'God per-'mitted, and not pre-ordained, the fall of man, and then chose certain few individuals as objects of redemp-'tion, and left the rest in a state of condemnation': (the advocates of this doctrine were called SUBLAPSARIANS.) The other party or stricter Calvinists maintained, that 'before the fall of Adam God had ordained certain individuals to 'everlasting damnation': (these were called SUPRALAP-SARIANS.). The latter party engaged Arminius to defend their views. The professor applied himself honestly and diligently to the subject, and it ended in his finding that all that related to free-will, predestination, and grace. peculiar to the Calvinistic system was erroneous, and not to be supported by the Scriptures; and he asserted the doctrine of

ELIZABETH. Clement VIII. Whitgift.

'Universal Redemption.' On his proclaiming these opinions (1591), he was violently opposed by Plancius, and afterwards by Gomarus, a colleague in the University of Leyden, of which place Arminius was appointed Professor of Theology through his friends at Amsterdam in 1603. The doctrines Arminius now put forth relative to predestination, universal redemption, the corruption of human nature, conversion, and perseverance, in answer to Calvin's five points, brought upon the remainder of his days violent opposition, and much persecution: at length he died, 1609. The doctrines held by Arminius, and his followers were:-(1) God from all eternity determined to save all who He foresaw would persevere in the faith, and to condemn all who should continue in unbelief:-(2) Christ atoned for the sins of all mankind, but those only who believe partake of the benefit of that atonement:-(3) Man is of himself incapable of true faith; therefore regeneration by the Holy Spirit, given of God through Christ, is necessary :- (4) All good works are to be attributed to the grace of the Holy Spirit, which however does not force a man against his own inclination:—(5) God gives to the truly faithful, the means of continuing in this state: (a possibility of falling away was afterwards added.). The persecution that arose from the promulgation of these doctrines, led the Arminians to appeal to the States of Holland for protection 1610; whence they were called 'Remonstrants.' Their opinions were subsequently condemned by the Synod of Dort 1618. After which they were much modified.

A. D. 1595-1600.

530. The **Predestinarian Controversy** had now advanced to so great a height in Cambridge as to call for external interference. It originated in one *William Barrett*, a fellow of Caius College, preaching against the Calvinistic doctrines of predestination, reprobation, and indefectible grace. The Vice-Chancellor, and Heads of Houses called upon him to recant, but in his recantation he made matters worse by denying other doctrines of the Church.

Dr Baro, the Margaret Professor, ELIZABETH. .. Clement VIII. now renewed his attacks against Cal-Whitgift. vinism, and both preachers were silenced. Appeals were however made to Archbishop Whitgift, and to Lord Burghley, the Chancellor of the University; the latter sided with Dr Baro, whom he thought ill used; the former defended the University; and now the question arose as to the jurisdiction of the University over its own members. Dr Whitaker, the head of the Calvinistic party, accompanied by Tyndal, repaired to Whitgift at Lambeth: when, with the assistance of Fletcher, bp. of London, and other divines, certain articles upon the controverted points known as the Lambeth Articles were drawn up, to which conformity was required in order to secure peace to the University. The Queen, Lord Burghley, and the Court, however, so warmly disapproved of these Articles that they were not enforced; and to mark their sense more strongly, on the death of *Dr Whitaker* soon after, Dr Overal, an opponent of these high Calvinistic doctrines, was appointed to succeed him in the Regius Professorship of Divinity.

531. The Lambeth Articles were nine in number, and were subsequently attempted to be added to the XXXIX ARTICLES, but they were never the doctrine of the Church of England, and the mere desire of inserting them in the XXXIX ARTICLES clearly proves that our ARTICLES are not Cal-

vinistic. The Lambeth Articles were :-

(1) God hath from eternity predestinated some persons to life, others He hath reprobated to death;—(2) this predestination to life proceeds not from the faith, perseverance, good works, or any other quality in the predestinated, but from the sole will or pleasure of God;—(3) the number predesti-

^{531.} What was the nature of the Lambeth Articles? What softened the rigour of the high party against the Nonconformists at the close of the XVIth century 1/2

 nated is limited before, and cannot be in-ELIZABETH. creased nor lessened :--(4) those not predes-Clement VIII. tinated to life will of necessity be damned;-Whitaift. (5) true faith, and sanctification, in the elect never fails either in part or totally :- (6) an assurance of having justifying faith is certain of remission of sins, and of eternal salvation through Christ; -(7) saving grace is not conferred on all men, so as they may be saved by it if they will:—(8) no man can come to Christ unless it is given to him, and the Father draws him; but all men are not drawn by the Father :- (9) it is not in every one's will or power to be saved. The advancing age of the Queen, however. as well as that of the Archbishop, tended rather to restrain the rigorous enforcement of conformity; and some of the chief opponents of the Church in this Controversy, becoming convinced of their error in disputing her doctrines, returned again to her bosom. Among whom were Cartwright, and Robert Brown the founder of the 'Independents.' The Edict of Nantes at this period 1598, secured the

liberty of the French Protestants.

Richard Hooker .- The close of this century witnessed the death of the 'Judicious Hooker': he was born of humble parents at Heavitree, near Exeter 1554. Through the patronage of bp. Jewel, he was educated at Corpus Christi College, Oxford, of which society he became a Fellow: 1577. He made an unfortunate marriage not long after, and obtained the living of Drayton Beauchamp in Buckinghamshire, 1584. But the friendship of his late pupil Sandys, the son of the Archbishop of York, procured for him the Mastership of the Temple, 1585; here he encountered the violent opposition of Travers, the Puritan. the afternoon Lecturer, who expected to have been himself appointed Master. False charges of unsoundness in doctrine were publicly brought against him by his opponent, which ended in Travers being silenced by the High Commission Court, and in Hooker publishing a defence, which formed the basis of his celebrated work on 'Ecclesiastical Polity.' To perfect this work he solicited the Archbishop to remove him; he accordingly retired to the living of Boscomb, Wiltshire, with a prebendal stall in Salisbury Cathedral, 1591. In 1594 he published four books of his work; and in the following year he was presented to the living of Bishopsbourne in Kent. by the Queen; where

ELIZABETH. Clement VIII. Whitgift.

he ended his days, after publishing his fifth book of the 'Ecclesiastical Polity' in 1597, and completing the sixth, seventh, and eighth books, just before his death in 1600, at the age of 46 years. His most intimate and tried friend was Dr Saravia, and the writings of the excellent Hooker are considered 'a model of the true, settled, most improved, 'mature, and Catholic principles of the English Reformation.'

A. D. 1600.

533. Architecture.—The prevailing style of Architecture during the sixteenth century was in the beginning, till about 1540, the Florid or Perpendicular style; but after the suppression of the Monasteries, and other religious houses, the study of ecclesiastical Architecture lost its patrons, and its style became debased, which continued to about the middle of the seventeenth century. The taste of design was very inferior, and the mechanical execution bad; there being much intermixture of different Schools, and consequently little purity of style; hence its appellation of the 'Debased Style.'

534. Convocation.—During this century the power and authority of the Convocation incurred a great change. Prior to the Submission of the Clergy' (25 Hen. VIII. c. 19. 1533), each archbishop could himself summon the Convocation of his province; but since that Act he could only summon them by command of the crown: and when convoked they cannot proceed to business without a royal license; it is the want of this license which now deprives the Convocation of the liberty of action. The Convocation of Canterbury comprises all the bishops of the province, who compose the Upper House; and 22 deans, 53 archdeacons, 24 proctors of chapters, and 44 proctors for the parochial clergy, and one precentor (of St David's), who compose the Lewer House. The method of choosing the proctors of the clergy liffers in different places: some are elected by the archleacons, others by the incumbents. The Archbishop is president of the Convocation, and can under the crown

^{533.} What was the prevailing style of architecture during the XVIth century? 534. What was the change in the Convocation?

i

Ì

i

prorogue, or dissolve it. To attempt to convene a synod for the making of Canons without the permission of the crown would subject him to a præmunire. The

ELIZABETH. Clement VIII. Whitgift.

would subject him to a præmunire. The Lower House elect their 'Prolocutor,' who after being presented to the Archbishop operates in securing the attendance of the members, collects their votes, and intimates their resolutions to the Upper House. The Convocation of York is of much smaller extent: here two proctors are returned by each archdeaconry, so that the proctors of the parochial clergy equal in number those of the chapters, or it would be too limited for business. On extraordinary occasions the two provinces can act together by Commissioners sitting in the Convocation of Canterbury with full power to act for the whole body of York. Members of the Lower House can vote by proxy, and have the important privilege of negativing any measure of the Upper House. See par. 292.

535. The Canon Law: which was confirmed by the Act of Submission (25 Hen. VIII.) is embodied in a volume entitled ' Corpus Juris Canonici.' It forms a body of rules for ecclesiastical government compiled by an Italian Monk named Gratian in 1151, from Scripture, from the writings of the Latin Fathers, the decrees of General Councils, and the decretal Epistles and Bulls of the Holy See. contains, however, later additions, and in imitation of the arrangement of the Roman Civil Law, it consists of (1) the Decrees of Gratian, (2) the Decretals of Gregory IX, and others, being canonical Epistles of several Popes, determining various questions; (3) the Clementine Constitutions. being the Epistles of Clement V; and (4) The Extravagants of John XXII. twenty in number, and so called because not methodically arranged: to which may be added other Extravagants collected at a subsequent period by Gregory XIII. 1580 : all which, together with the Institute of Canon Law drawn up by John Lancelot in the time of Pope Paul IV, form the present Canon Law of the Church of To adapt the Canon Law to England, selections were made from this Collection from time to time by various Archbishops and Papal legates. Lyndwood made a collection of the Constitutions thus drawn up from the Canon Law by fourteen Archbishops, (from Langton 1206, to Chichele 1443), which shows what portion was received by the English Church previous to the Reformation; and it bears the appellation of the Provincials of Lyndwood.

536. The **Civil Law**, known as the *'Corpus Juris Civilis*,' was usually studied with the Canon Law as they mutually supported each other, the former is a collection

ELIZABETH. Clement VIII. Whitgift.

of the laws, edicts, and imperial decrees of the Roman empire; first compiled by private individuals, afterwards by THEODO-SIUS A. D. 438, and lastly by JUSTINIAN A. D. 533. It comprises (1) the Institutes or first principles of Roman Law; (2) the Digests or Pandects in fifty books, being the opinions of eminent lawyers; (3) a new Code or collection of Imperial Constitutions in twelve books; and (4) the Novels, or new Constitutions of succeeding Emperors. These were discovered at Amalfi in Italy in 1130. The Canon, and Civil Law, were gradually introduced into England from the time of Stephen, and as Ecclesiastics in that age were the repositories of learning it was usual to meet in one person the Canonist, the Civilian, and the Theologian. These laws under certain restrictions are still in the present day authorities in the Ecclesiastical, Admiralty, and University Courts.

CENTURY XVII.

ELIZABETH. (continued)-A. D. 1601-1603.

537. The Queen's death.—The declining years of ELIZABETH, and the consequent expectation of a change of policy by her death, produced a sort of lull in religious matters, as to afford nothing worthy of record; for some time the Queen suffered from hypochondria, and refused all medicine; at length she grew worse and worse, and died on March 25th, 1603, at the age of 70, and in the 45th of her reign. She was succeeded by her cousin James VI. King of Scotland, called James I. of England.

^{536.} What is the Civil Law? 537. When did Elizabeth die? Who succeeded Elizabeth?

Summary of the reign of Elizabeth.—45 years, from A. D. 1558 to A. D. 1603.

538. ELIZABETH commenced her reign ELIZABETH. with moderation, but with a determined Clement VIII. predilection for the Protestant doctrines. Whitaift. The Romanist party soon showed their apprehensions and opposition by refusing to assist in the Queen's coronation. The ceremony, however, was at last performed by Ogelthorpe, bp. of Carlisle; certain Injunctions were now issued by the Queen, and a new ()ath of Supremacy enjoined, but the refusal of the Romish bishops to obey the Injunctions, and to take the Oath, led them to be deprived: and on the appointment of Matthew Parker to the Primacy, their sees were filled by Protestant divines. (1559-60). The Liturgy of Edward VI. having been revised (1559), the XXXIX Articles were next agreed upon (1562-3); and the Second Book of Homilies published (1563). this period the Council of Trent closed its sittings. Vestment Controversy was revived in London, which ended in the suspension of 37 clergymen for non-compliance. (1566). Shortly after appeared the 'Bishop's Bible.' (1568). The bull of excommunication of Pius V. against the Queen having been introduced into England by one Felton, the zeal of the Romanists was stirred up, which awakened a counter seal in the Puritans. (1570). To ensure uniformity of doctrine the Convocation at this time enjoined subscription to the XXXIX Articles. (1571). A Presbytery was now first established at Wandsworth (1572), and Prophesyings' set on foot. ELIZABETH, however, directed Archbishop Grindal, who had succeeded Parker (1575), to suppress these 'Prophesyings,' but his backwardness in complying, caused him to be suspended. (1577). Papists, urged on by the Jesuits Campian and Persons, stirred up an ill-feeling against the Government (1580-1), which, however, was soon allayed. At this period the Calendar was reformed by Gregory XIII. (1582). Two years after, Whitgift succeeded Grindal in the Primacy (1584); in which year were established the first English Colonies in North America. (1584). The jealousy of ELIZABETH, increased by the conspiracy of Babington, led her to order the execution of Mary, Queen of Scots (1587): in the

538. Give a summary of the reign of Elizabeth? What was her character? How many Papists suffered during her reign? What gave rise to the great dissensions in

following year happened the defeat of ELIZABETH. the Spanish Armada. The 'Predesti-Clement VIII. narian Controversy' for some time dis-Whitaift. turbed the public mind; and many scurrilous writings appeared against the Queen, and Government, which ended in the Independents, Barrowe, Greenwood, Penry, and others, being executed (1593): and soon after appeared the 'Lambeth Articles' (1595), in order to stop the controversial spirit, but it was in vain. At length, Elizabeth died. 1603. Queen Elizabeth was an able governor, fond of power, and jealous of her prerogatives, particularly in the matter of her Supremacy, hence her rigorous measures against both Puritans, and Roman Catholics. The former she hated, probably from so much of insubordination and political intrigue being mixed up in their proceedings. During her reign it is said that 204 Papists were put to death, 90 died in prison, and 105 were expelled the kingdom. The great dissensions in religion sprung chiefly from Puritanical zeal, at first against the Habits simply, next Episcopacy, and lastly against the State itself. In respect of the bias of the two Universities, the guides in Theology, Oxford was Roman Catholic, and Cambridge Puritanical. The general assembly of the Scottish Church had now adopted the Presbyterian form of Church government, and Episcopacy was gradually set aside (1574-80), and their lands annexed to the crown. 1594.

religious matters? What was the bias of the two Universities?

PART III.

THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND AS BY LAW ESTABLISHED.

James L.-A. D. 1603.

539. JAMES I., of the house of Stuart, JAMES I. being the son of Henry Stuart, (Lord Clement VIII. Darnley), and Mary Queen of Scots, as-Whitaift. cended the throne of England with a high reputation for wisdom and moderation, which inspired the nation with flattering hopes of an equitable administration, and the abandonment of that conflicting rivalry which long had divided the two nations of Scotland, and England; a prospect also was indulged of a legislative union of the two kingdoms. JAMES, having not long before declared himself publicly in favour of Presbyterianism, excited a few misgivings among the English prelates, but in answer to the congratulatory address of the Clergy, he engaged to uphold the Church as established by Queen Elizabeth.

540. Millenary Petition.—The Puritans, however, entertained a hope that the new King would be disposed to make those changes in the establishment, which they had so long desired, and accordingly drew up a petition signed by a thousand ministers (only 750 or 800) of their party; whence it acquired the name of the 'Millenary Petition.' They objected (1) 'to the Church Service; to the cross 'at baptism, interrogatories to infants, baptism by women, and confirmation; the ring in marriage; and the cap, and 'surplice. They desired examination to go before communion, that the service might be abridged, Church 'music altered; that the Lord's day be not profaned; nor 'the observance of holydays enjoined; that there should be no bowing at the name of Jesus; and that the Apocry-'pha might not be read:—(2) that none but preaching

^{539.} What were the expectations under which James I. ascended the throne? 540. What were the nature and contents of the Millenary Petition? What Conference was convened in consequence? [FF. 4.]

'ministers be admitted: that non-resi-JAMES I. dency should not be allowed; and that Clement VIII. there should be subscription only to the Whitaift. 'Articles of Religion, and King's Su-'premacy according to law: - (3) that in respect of pluralities. Bishops leave their commendams; impropriations be given to preaching incumbents only; and that lay impropriations be charged with a sixth or seventh for clerical 'maintenance: that the statute of Edward VI. legalizing 'the marriage of the clergy be revived :- (4) in discipline. that excommunication and censure be not in the hands 'of laymen, nor be done without the Pastor's consent; that registrars, and others do not put their places out to farm; that sundry popish canons be revised; that the 'length of suits in ecclesiastical courts be restrained; that the oath of ex officio by which men are forced to accuse 'themselves, be more sparingly used; and licenses for marriages without banns be less frequently granted.' In answer to this petition JAMES consented to a Conference

541. **Hampton Court Conference.** — The CONFERENCE was summoned to attend at Hampton Court. on Jan. 14th. On the side of the Puritans were Dr Revnolds, and Dr Sparke from Oxford; and Mr Knewstubbs. and Mr Chatterion (or Chaderton) from Cambridge. Church was represented by Archbishop Whitgift, the bishops of London (Bancroft), Durham (Matthew), Winchester (Bilson), and five others, with ten learned divines. The Millenary deputies digested their petition into four distinct heads, (1) on points of doctrine; -(2) on the selection of proper ministers ;-(3) on remodelling the Prayer Book for the better promotion of true piety ;-(4) on Church-govern-The Conference lasted three days; the King himself was moderator, and after much argument, light, learned. and ludicrous, with an occasional intermixture of solemnity, it ended in allowing these few slight alterations in the LITURGY: (1) Absolution was defined by the addition of the words 'remission of sins' in the rubric; (2) Confirmation of children was termed an examination : (3) to the Catechism

being summoned to discuss the points urged in it.

^{541.} Who attended the Hampton Court Conference? [DD. 4.] What were the four distinct heads of the Petition? What was the conduct of the King at the Conference? What alterations were made in the Liturgy? [Q. 3. DD. 3.] What was the result? [DD. 4. FF. 4.]

was added the whole of the latter portion respecting the Sacraments; this was done by *Dr John Overall*: (4) all the *Thanks-givings*, except the general one, were in-

JAMES I. Clement VIII. Whitgift.

general one, were inserted; —(5) in the Dominical Lessons, 'Jesus said to them,' was twice substituted for 'Jesus said to his disciples:' (6) Baptism by women was forbidden, and only that by a lawful minister allowed: (7) no part of the Apocrypha apparently repugnant to Scripture was to be read; at the same time notice was given that a new translation of the Bible

should be prepared.

542. The Canons.—The Convocation now sitting was ordered to draw up a new body of Canons, which might confirm the decisions of the late Conference: the result of which was the appearance of the 141 Canons, which make up the present code of Ecclesiastical law affecting the Clergy. They were collected by Bancroft from the Articles, Injunctions, and Synodical decrees published in the reigns of Edward VI., and Elizabeth; and were ratified by the King; but not having been legalized by Parliament, they are not binding on the laity; except in so far as they are declaratory of previous laws. They have, however, in a great measure grown into desuetude, partly from some of them being superseded by later Acts of the Legislature, and partly from change of custom.

543. **Statutes.**—In the parliament, the statutes against the Jesuits, Seminary priests, and Recusants were renewed (1 James I. c. 4.): and alienation of Church property was forbidden (1 James I. c. 3.). Proclamations were also issued for enforcing the 'Act of Uniformity' against both Roman Catholics, and Puritans: these were rigorously carried out by the new Archbishop, Richard Bancroft, who succeeded Whitgift, on his decease this year. Whitgift held very high Calvinistic doctrines, and is said to have

been an Erastian.

544. **Socinians.** — At this period died FAUSTUS SOCINUS, an Italian, the nephew of *Lælius Socinus*, whose opinions he imbibed, and propagated in Poland with great zeal, and gave birth to the sect of *Socinians*. He held that there was no mystery in revelation, and that all could be

^{542.} When were the present Canons drawn up? What is the extent of their operation? 543. What statutes were passed this year against recusants, &c.? Who succeeded Archbishop Whitgift? 544. What are the tenets of the Soci

explained by the test of reason. He maintained the Unity of God; and in denying the divinity of the Word, and the Holy Ghost, he considered them merely as attri-

JAMES I. Clement VIII. Bancroft.

butes of the Supreme Being. Christ, he affirmed to be only a man, born however through the operation of the Holy Spirit, and being very highly exalted in consequence of his office, as the Saviour of the world, he ought to be worshipped. He declared that Christ was received into heaven before he began his ministry in order to inform him of those truths God commissioned him to reveal; and that his death was for the remission of sins, not their satisfaction. His tenets differ very little, if at all, from Unitarianism.

A. D. 1605.

Popes; Leo XI.; Paul V. A. D. 1605-1621.

Gunpowder Plot. - The bias exhibited by the King for the Church party gave great dissatisfaction to both Puritans, and Roman Catholics. The latter, on account of JAMES'S descent from Mary Queen of Scots, had indulged the expectation of having increased liberty in their religion, and being thus disappointed, a scheme was laid for the destruction of the King, Lords, and Commons, by blowing up the Houses of Parliament. The plot is said to have been laid by Catesby, Percy, and Digby, and was to have been executed by Guido Fankes, on Nov. 5th; but a letter of caution, forwarded to Lord Monteagle, developed the coming catastrophe, and thus frustrated their design. Four Jesuits were implicated in this proceeding, named Garnet, Gerard, Greenway, and Oldcorn, besides many other persons; the ringleaders were executed; and severe laws were passed in consequence against the Roman Catholics by the Parliament (3 James I. c. 4; c. 5.): the former contains the Oath of Allegiance. This attrocious attempt is known as ' Gunpowder Plot.'

A. D. 1606.

546. **Statutes.**—The above laws enacted by the Parliament of this session (1605—6) required the Papiets to receive the Sacrament once a year under penalty of £20. for the first offence; £40. for the second; and £60. for the third: recusants were made liable to the forfeiture of two-

^{545.} What was the Gunpowder Plot? When was it discovered? What became of the conspirators? 546. What laws operated upon the Papists in respect of the Sucrament by which they were debarred from civil offices?

thirds of their incomes : and were disqualified from holding offices, or practising any profession; they were also required to

JAMES T. Paul V. Bancroft.

take the Oath of Allegiance, renouncing the temporal power of the Pope in England, under penalty of a præmunire: and were subject to other restrictions, and severities. These enactments were carried out with extreme rigour, and many suffered for their recusancy; the Pope (Paul V.) indeed forbid the Roman Catholics to take the new Oath of Allegiance; but the Roman Catholic laity generally took the oath. The King this year restored Episcopacy to Scotland, and in the Parliament held at Perth, an Act passed conferring on the Bishops their

temporalities, and repealing the Act of Annexation.

547. The Authorized Version.—In this year the new translation of the Bible was printed, which is our present Authorized English Version. Fifty-four learned divines were appointed by the King's mandate to the Archbishop for this purpose in 1604; but before they began their labours, which was not till the spring of 1607, seven had died, the remaining forty-seven were arranged into six committees, who met at Westminster, and at the two Universities. A portion of the Bible was assigned to each committee, and each portion when finished was to be revised by the other committees. They completed their labours this year; and the work appeared under the care of Bilson, bp. of Winchester, with a dedication to King James, and a learned preface written by Mules Smith, afterwards bp. of Gloucester. This translation is known as 'King James's Bible.' The marginal references, and Chronological Index, were afterwards added by bp. Lloyd. In the preceding year, Archbishop Bancroft died, and was succeeded by George Abbot, as Primate.

A. D. 1618-1619.

Book of Sports.—The Puritans, and the Presbyterians had at this period by their teaching, and their practise, very much checked the amusements of the people, which they had long been allowed to indulge in on the Sunday; they advocated a very strict observance of the

^{547.} How was the present Authorized Version of the Scriptures drawn up? [U. 4.] How many were appointed to the task? What is the date of the present translation? [J. 4.] 548. What gave rise to the Book Sports? When was it published? ĸĸ2

Lord's day. Complaints were in consequence made to the King, who issued a proclamation granting them greater indulgence, and even published a 'Book of'

James I. Paul V. Abbot.

Sports, in which he pointed out what games and pastimes they might use on the Sabbath, and Holy-days, after Evening Service. This measure of the King's, however,

did not meet the approbation of the orthodox clergy.

Synod of Dort.—The attention of JAMES was now called to another subject: a warm dispute having arisen in Germany between the Calvinists, and Arminians, on certain points of doctrine occasioned by the nomination of Conradus Vorstius us the successor of Arminius in the University of Leyden: an edict of pacification from the pen of Grotius was put forth to compromise the differences, but without effect. At length a Synod was convened at Dort to put the question at rest. The Calvinists calculated on gaining the countenance and support of JAMES, and invited the English monarch to send deputies to that assembly. Without consulting the Church, JAMES sent over four divines, Carleton bishop of Llandaff; Hall, afterwards bishop of Exeter; and Davenant, and Ward. who were both heads of Colleges in Cambridge. The subjects of dispute were these five;—(1) predestination, election, and reprobation :- (2) the death of Christ, and the redemption obtained thereby, or particular redemption;-(3) human corruption, or original sin;—(4) irresistible grace, conversion to God, and the method of it; -(5) and on the final perseverance of Saints; whence it was called the 'Quinquarticular Controversy.' The questions were entered into, and, after much unbecoming disputation, the Arminians were silenced. A political movement was mixed up with this controversy, caused by the ambitious views of the Studtholder, Maurice, against the liberty of the Republic, which were discovered by Grotius and others of the Arminian party; these acquired the name of Remenstrants. and the Gomarist or Calvinistic party were called Contra-Remonstrants. JAMES was at first very bitter against the Arminians, but he afterwards allowed them toleration. Simon Episcopius led the Arminian party; and it is supposed that certain Socinian views entertained by Vorstius brought a stigma on the Arminian body, and weakened their numbers.

^{49.} What was the occasion of the Synod of Dort? t were the points of disputation? What was the

A. D. 1622-1625.

Popes; Gregory XV. A. D. 1621; Urban VIII.

550. The Ring's death.—As JAMES I. JAMES I. Gregory XV. and more indulgent to the Roman Cath-

olics, much to the disapprobation of his subjects in general: the Calvinistic doctrines after this began to decline; and therefore with the Puritans, Popery and Arminianism were convertible terms. He was probably led to this step from his design of effecting a matrimonial alliance between his son Prince Charles and the Infanta of Spain, and desired therefore the assistance of the Catholic party. To gratify the Spanish court, he issued a proclamation ordering the release of all the Popish recusants confined in prison on account of religion: the Spanish match, however, was broken off, and a new contract made with the French monarch for the hand of his daughter the Princess Henrietta: which was eventually completed. At length, JAMES I. was attacked with violent ague, increased by gout, and intemperance, of which he died. March 27th, 1625, in the 59th year of his age, and 23rd of his reign. He was succeeded by his son Charles I.

Summary of the reign of James I.—22 years, from A. D. 1603. to 1625.

551. James I. of England was James VI. of Scotland; and not long before his accession to the English throne he had declared himself a Presbyterian, but he shortly after advocated Episcopacy. His first proceeding was holding the Hampton Court Conference (1604) for the settlement of religious differences; which ended in making a few alterations in the Liturgy, and in the appearance of the present 141 Canons drawn up by the Convocation. The attempt at the King's life, and of the members of Parliament by the Gunpowder Plot of the Papists was frustrated (1605); and atrict measures adopted by the legislature against the Roman Catholics. In 1611 the Authorized Version of the Scriptures, called King James's Bible, was published; and a few years after, the 'Book of Sports' allowed on the Sabbath. 1618. The Synod of Dort was convened the same year to

550. From what cause did James I. become more indulgent to the Papal party? When did James I die? Wasseded him? 551. Give a summary of the reid James I.

settle the dispute between the Calvinists and Arminians, which terminated against the latter. The King next, in order to advance a Spanish alliance, turned a little

JAMES I. Urban VIII. Abbot.

advance a Spanish alliance, turned a little in favour of the Papists by issuing a proclamation for the release of all Popish recusants, 1622. He died soon after, 1625. He possessed a most vaccillating disposition; he was at first a violent *Presbyterian*, afterwards a zealous defender of *Episcopacy*: at one time warmly attached to *Puritanism*, subsequently its bitterest enemy; at another time a strong *Calvinist*, aftewards the most inflexible and ardent patron of the *Arminians*.

Charles I.—A. D. 1625—1626.

552. CHARLES I. had not long ascended CHARLES I. the throne before he became engaged in two Urban VIII. impoverishing wars, one with Spain, and Abbot. another with France. His exchequer becoming exhausted, he applied to Parliament for subsidies: the House of Commons, however, was not so lavish of the public money as his necessities required, consequently he adopted other means for recruiting his treasury, among them was (1) making the Clergy instrumental in procuring supplies by stirring up the people in their sermons to make contributions; (2) by exacting loans from the gentry of the kingdom; and (3) by compromising with the Papists. This employment of the Clergy was the advice of Laud, bishop of Bath and Wells, and the consequence of it was, that the Church was looked upon as an abettor of the King's arbitrary proceedings, and a tool of the court. CHARLES, on his accession, married Henrietta Maria, a bigoted Romanist, the daughter of Henry IV. of France. She stipulated to be allowed the practise of her religion, and the education of all her children till they were 13 years old: she brought over with her a whole train of Priests and Monks; this circumstance, and the evil counsels of the royal favourite, the Duke of Buckingham, were the chief causes of the King's ultimate ruin. The arbitrary state of legislation was also a source of much evil. The PrivuCouncil, the court of Star Chamber, and the High Commission Court, governed the country, and more by Proclama-tions than by Acts of Parliament. Two Acts were passed for the better observance of the Sabbath.

^{552.} What use did Charles I. make of the Clergy on oming to the throne? Who was his chief adviser? What at the Church esteemed in consequence?

A. D. 1627-1633.

553. Arminian Controversy. The controversy was now renewed in respect of Arminianism, between the bishops and certain divines. The opinions of the

CHARLES I. Urban VIII. A bbot.

Arminians prevailed among the higher clergy, and were the only doctrines that had any chance of preferment; such partiality, together with the increase of Popery, enlisted against the bishops and the court, the whole Calvinistic body, as well as the Parliament, who determined on the extirpation of Arminianism, as well as Popery. The King was enraged with the Parliament; suspended Abp. Abbot on account of his Calvinistic bias; and, by Laud's advice, all innovations in respect of religion were now forbidden. and a royal declaration was prefixed to the Thirty-nine Articles of Religion, forbidding them to be interpreted in any but the grammatical sense: to give, however, a show of fairness, the Arminian writings of Montague, and Mainwaring were suppressed.

554. Sabbatarian Controversy. - Another controversy sprung up (in 1630) in respect of the observance of the Sabbath day, and its appellation of Sabbath, Sunday, or Lord's-day, which was called the 'Sabbatarian Controversy.' The King, at the suggestion of Laud, who had now (1633) succeeded Abbot, as Archbishop of Canterbury, issued a proclamation reviving the permission of pastimes on Sundays, and requiring the Book of Sports' to be read in Churches. This peremptory injunction met with general disapprobation, and was followed by many scurrilous writings against the court, and the clergy. Prynne put forth a book called 'Histriomastix,' in which he libelled the high party, and the Queen, and the use of Sports; for which, by order of the Starchamber, he was struck off the Rolls, lost his ears, and was fined and imprisoned. Bastwick, Burton, and many others obnoxious to the Court suffered in the like manner.

555. Star-Chamber, &c. - The court of 'Star Chamber' was composed of the same persons as the Privy Council, only they sat in another room, whose ceiling was

^{553.} What was the Arminian Controversy? What, the Sabbatarian? What was the advice of Archbishop Laud? What sourrilous writings appeared in consequence? 555. What was the Star-Chamber? why so called? What was the court of High Commission? Digitized by GOOGIC

ornamented with stars; hence 'sitting in the star chamber.' It is mentioned as early as Edw. III; in the reign of Henry VII. power are grant for a dividing the power for a dividing the start for a

CHARLES I. Urban VIII. Laud.

were granted to it by Parliament for adjudicating on state offences, and misdemeanours of a public kind, without the assistance of a jury: the judges were four high officers of state, with power to join a bishop and a temporal lord of the Council, and two justices of the courts at Westminster: it became, however, in the present reign, of so arbitrary and so tyrannical a character as to destroy the liberty of the subject.—The 'Court of High Commission,' established in the reign of Elizabeth for the trial of Ecclesiastical offences, assumed also now enormous and most illegal powers, becoming a court for the trial of all manner of offences which might be construed as Ecclesiastical.

A. D. 1634-1639.

556. Scotch Kirk, &c .- Severities continued to be exercised for upholding the authority of the Church, and Court: and Laud is charged with introducing into the celebration of divine worship unusual pomp and splendour. All these matters enlisted against Laud not only the whole Puritan party, and the whole body of the separatists, but many of the established Clergy. He also aimed at introducing the Roman Canon, and Civil Law. The Archbishop likewise claimed the right of visiting the two Universities. which the King confirmed to him. CHARLES himself in a visit to his native country (Scotland) which he had lately made, attempted to enforce the English Liturgy upon the Church of Scotland; this produced an insurrection in Edinburgh, when the Scots signed a 'Solemn League and Covenant' against the government, and thus commenced a Civil War; this Covenant was a revision of the one signed by James VI. An inglorious peace, however, was ultimately concluded, by which all Ecclesiastical matters were to be determined by the General Assembly of the Scotch Kirk, and Civil affairs by Parliament, but Episcopacy was abolished.

A. D. 1640.

557. **Mew Canons.**—It was determined by the Privy Council this year to set aside the pacification with the Scotch

556. What right did Laud claim in respect of the two Universities? What did Charles I. attempt to impose on the Church of Scotland? what was the consequence? 557. What were the divisions in the Church just before the

Covenanters, and to renew the war; want of Charles I. supplies however induced Charles to convene Urban VIII. the Parliament : but the House refused assist-Land. ance. A voluntary loan, however, supplied the King's necessities. The arbitrary measures of the King, and his Church party, now materially strengthened the cause of the Presbyterians, and Puritans: the Church was split into many divisions; there were—(1) High Episcopalians - (2) Moderate Episcopalians; - Anti-episcopalians, or Presbyterians :- and (4) Puritans. Laud in the Convocation now assembled, passed several stringent Canons, some of which infringing upon the power of Parliament, made the breach between the Court and the people still wider. He procured from the Clergy a subsidy to be granted to the King; and it was ordered that every preacher once in a quarter should insist from the pulpit on the divine right of Kings, and on their prerogatives, in which the power of taxing was indirectly implied;— and that the day of the King's inauguration should be carefully observed. They were very severe against Pa-'pists, Socinians, and all Sectaries; and to counteract the 'efforts of the 'Solemn League,' and support the Church, an oath was imposed against innovations, in which the 'Clergy and all taking degrees were to swear-"that he "would not consent to alter the government of the Church "by Archbishops, Bishops, Deans, Archdeacons, &c.," a form sufficiently equivocal as to gain for it the name of the Et-cetera oath. It was also ordered that the Commu-'nion Table should be railed in, and stand as in the Cathe-'dral Churches, and that Communicants should come to it to receive. Preachers were also required to enforce twice 'a year conformity to the Rites and Ceremonies of the 'Church.'-Short's Hist, of the Church.

A. D. 1641.

558. Episcopacy overthrown, - The consequence of these measures of Convocation was, that petitions were sent to Parliament for the extirpation of Episcopacy; the Commons declared that the Clergy had no power to make Canons to bind either the Clergy or Laity, and that the Canons just framed were contrary to the laws of the

usurpation? What canons did Laud put forth at this period? 558. What was the consequence of these canons to the Convocation? and to Archbishop Laud? What beland: the subsidies granted by the Convocation were also declared illegal, and a bill was introduced against those persons who sat in that Convocation. Archbishop Land

CHARLES I. Urban VIII. Laud.

was impeached on a charge of high-treason, and imprisoned; the Bishops were declared to have no vote in Parliament, nor any authority in temporal matters, and no Clergyman should be in the commission of the peace: attempts were made to get rid of Collegiate and Cathedral corporations, and the voting of Bishops in the House of Williams, bp. of Lincoln, who had lately been imprisoned by the High Commission Court, and now just released by the Commons, conducted ecclesiastical affairs on the imprisonment of Laud. The King now yielded to the external pressure, and assented to a Bill, enacting that the Parliament should not be adjourned, prorogued, or dissolved without their own consent (16 Car. I. c. 7.): hence its name of the 'Long Parliament': an Act was also brought in to abolish the Star Chamber, and High Commission Court. (16 Car. I. c. 11. c. 27.). The Bishops remonstrated against these proceedings of Parliament, and declared all the legislative Acts passed during their absence from the House of Lords to be invalid. Twelve of the Bishops were seized, and committed to the Tower, on a charge of treason; and soon after were deprived of their votes, and of their property by the Parliament, who ap-pointed a Committee of its members for the removal of Scandalous Ministers,' and the settlement of religion. Episcopacy was now overthrown, and a Presbyterian government set up in its place, under the direction of an 'Assembly of Divines.' The King made matters worse by attempting to seize within the walls of the House of Commons five obnoxious members.

A. D. 1642-1645.

Pope; Innocent X. A. D. 1644-1655.

559. Civil War.—CHARLES still holding a threatening aspect, and refusing to resign the command of the militis, now brought matters to a crisis. In August this year (1642), the Parliament backed by the Scots, who aimed

came of the court of Star Chamber, and the High Commission Court? What happened to certain of the bishops? When was Episcopacy overthrown? 559. When did the civil war commence? How were religious matters conducted? at bringing both kingdoms to uniformity in religion, and abolishing Episcopacy for ever, took up arms against the King; thus

CHARLES I. Innocent X. Land.

commencing the Civil War: for some time the advantage was on the side of CHARLES, who had removed the Court to Oxford. The 'Assembly of Divines' met in Henry VIIth's Chapel at Westminster (1643) to assist the rebel Parliament with their counsels; and before the year closed they all subscribed the Scottish Covenant. or Engagement, to maintain Presbyterianism; and the Clergy were required to submit: 3000, however, refused, and were consequently ejected from their benefices: a fifth of their incomes, however, was allowed for their maintenance. In the following year (1644), ten members of 'The Assembly,' and thirteen Presbyters were directed to ordain Ministers: on July 2nd was fought the battle of Marston Moor, in which the Royalists were defeated, and the tide turned to the disadvantage of the King. Soon after this, the use of the 'Book of Common Prayer' was prohibited under severe penalties, and a 'Directory for Public Worship' put forth for the guidance of ministers. (1645). By this, the Creed, Lord's Prayer, and Ten Commandments were discontinued; the sign of the cross in Baptism omitted; and private and lay baptism disallowed: the use of the ring in marriage, the confession and absolution in the Visitation of the Sick, and the Burial Service were dispensed with. Saints' days, and Christmas-day were to be unobserved; and the Apocrypha, and use of Vestments discontinued: all pictures, crosses, crucifixes, roodscreens, and other 'monuments of superstition, and idolatry' were to be removed. This led to the rifling of Churches, and the destruction of the finest specimens of monumental, and ecclesiastical architecture. For guidance in respect of doctrine, the Assembly put forth a Larger, and a Shorter Catechism, and a Confession of Faith; which last was subsequently adopted by the Scotch Kirk. In all this, however, there were repeated contests between the Presbyterians, and Independents for supreme authority. The Commons, the day after the establishment of the Directory, directed Pym, Hampden, and Maynard, to draw up a bill of attainder against Archbishop Laud: the Lords at first rejected the bill, but being threatened by the Com-

What was 'The Directory?' and thereby ordered? What Digitized by GOOGLE befel Archbishop Laud?

mons, they were forced to yield, and Laud, more to propitate the Scots than any thing else, was condemned of treason, and executed January 10, 1645.

CHARLES I. Innocent X. Laud.

560. William Laud was the son of a clothier, and born at Reading. A. D. 1573. He was educated at St John's College, Oxford; and while there he imbibed a prejudice against the Ultra-protestant doctrines of Calvin, which had now taken the place of the Romish bias in that University: and he was particularly obnoxious to Dr. George Abbot, the leader of the Calvinistic party, and afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury. Laud obtained the Vicarage of Stamford in Northamptonshire in 1607, and after receiving other preferments he was elected president of his College 1611: and subsequently the King's Chaplain. He accompanied James I, into Scotland, and aided him in the restoration of the Episcopacy in that kingdom, 1612. A few years after, Laud was consecrated bp. of St David's, 1621. and in the following year he held a controversy with the Jesuit Fisher, (John Perse), which obtained for him great celebrity as a theologian. In 1626, he was translated to the bishopric of Bath and Wells; and in 1628, to that of London. Two years after he was elected Chancellor of Oxford: to which University he was a great benefactor in books, and valuable MSS. In 1663, he accompanied CHARLES I. into Scotland, who had gone with the same view as the late King, but with little better effect; on their return, Laud this same year was made Archbishop of Canterbury. By his exertions the Primate brought the Church of Ireland into conformity with that of England, 1636; but he was not so successful with that of Scotland: he possessed unfortunately great irritability of temper, and very extreme notions of the royal prerogative, and the supremacy of the Church; all which circumstances procured him numerous enemies, and repeatedly raised the popular cry of 'No Popery' against him; to this may be added the jealousy he created among the nobility by appointing Churchmen to high offices of state with the view of serving her cause. The unpopularity of Laud rapidly increased with the increasing strength of the Puritans; at length the rebellion in Scotland, 1640, and the independent proceedings of the Convocation in granting subsidies to the King, and enacting Canons without the sanction of Parliament, brought upon the head of the Archbishop the impending

storm. His life was now in danger from the Covenanters; and the whole Puritan zeal as well as Papistic intrigues were arrayed against him. He was impeached by

CHARLES I. Innocent X. Laud.

the Long Parliament, and committed to the Tower. 1641. After an imprisonment of three years he was at last brought to trial on charges of high treason drawn up by his personal enemy *Prynne*, 1644, and condemned to be hanged; but at his own request the sentence was altered to decapitation; the King sent him a full pardon under the Great Seal, but the Parliament refused to admit it, and *Laud* was consequently beheaded, January 10th, 1645.

A. D. 1646-1649.

561. Charles beheaded.—Every Parish was now put under the government of the Minister, and the Elders: and these were formed into classes to send deputies to the Provincial Assemblies, and these again appointed representatives for the National Assembly. The kingdom may be said to have been now divided into two great religious factions, the Presbyterians, and the Independents; the army was entirely composed of the latter: at length, on the 5th of May, King CHARLES, induced by his continued losses, and from the promise given him of security, surrendered himself to the Scottish army, at Newark, whence he was removed to Newcastle. In January (30th) following (1647), after various attempts had been made even by menaces, to force CHARLES to take the 'Solemn League, and Covenant,' and consent to the abolition of Episcopacy. the Scots, finding him resolutely opposed to such a step, in consideration of the sum of £200,000. as part of the arrears due to the Scottish army, delivered the King to the English Parliament, by whom he was subsequently tried, and convicted, 'as a tyrant, traitor, and murderer, and a public enemy;' and was beheaded, January 30th, 1649, aged 49, and in the 24th year of his reign. The Presbyterians, and the Independent's were now the rival sects; the latter repudiated all Liturgies, and every kind of Church government; the former, however, were the strongest party in wealth and numbers, yet they eventually succumbed to the master

^{561.} How were parish affairs managed by order of 'The Assembly'? What did the Scots when the King surrendered to them? What was the end of Charles I.? Who were the great rival sects? Which became 'ruling power?

spirits of their rivals, who indeed composed the army; and Crommell, and the Independents obtained the chief power. Within a few days after the King's death there appeared

CHARLES I. Innocent X. (vacant.)

a very extraordinary work entitled Eikon Basilike (Είκων Βασιλική), but by whom written is not known: it has been ascribed to the King.

Summary of the Reign of Charles I.—24 years, from A. D. 1625, to 1649.

Soon after the accession of CHARLES I., he stretched so far the Royal prerogative, in which he was backed by Laud, and the clerical body, that the House of Commons determined on opposition, and began to refuse the supplies. They also passed censure on the writings of Bp. Montague, and Dr Mainwaring, the advocates of the King's absolutism. (1625-8). Laud was translated to the Primacy (1633), and began to increase the pomps, and ceremonies of the Church, and to enclose the Communion Tables with rails: the Sabbatarian Controversy was renewed, which led to the 'Book of Sports' being re-issued by the King: the XXXIX Articles were also now received. The proceedings of Laud, however, stirred up the Puritan zeal; and many scurrilous pamphlets appeared against the King, and the clergy. Prynne, and others, were severely punished by the Star Chamber for these publications. 1637. The King by the advice of Laud attempted to impose the Liturgy on Scotland, which ended in the overthrow of Episcopacy in that kingdom; and the establishment of the Solemn League and Covenant against Popery, Prelacy, and Superstition.' 1638. To meet this opposition, which was widely spreading in England, Canons were issued by Convocation, 1640, calling on the clergy to preach in defence of the King's right, and against all attacks, and innovations upon Church Government, and take the new oath framed for the same objects (the Et cetera onth). The House of Commons now called for the removal of the Bishops from the House of Lords (1641); the people joined in the outcry, and the Bishops in vain protested; the King also demanded the obnoxious members of the House of Commons. Episcopacy was however declared abolished; and CIVIL WAR now began.

 Aug. 23. The King's standard raised at Nottingham.
 Oct. 23. Battle at Edge-kill with the Earl of Essex: the King retires to Oxford.

^{562.} Give a summary of the reign of Charles I.

'Assembly of Divines' meet at Westminster. To gain the aid of the Scots, the 'Covesast' is taken by both Houses of Parliament. The King summons a Parliament at Oxford, at which attend, 140 Commoners, and from 50 to 60 Peers.

Britol taken by Prince Rupert.

Battle of Nessbury (the first).
The Scots enter England. Loud brought to trial (20th).

Battle of Marston Moor, Rupert defeated by Cromwell. 1643. July 1. July 25.

July 2.

Shippon surrenders.

Battle of Nesbury (the second).

Laud beheaded. The 'Directory' introduced. The Self-Denying
Ordinance passed, allowing no Member of Parliament to hold Orandace passed, anowing no member of rariament to not any military post or duty; which leads to the supremacy of the Independents.

Underlight Treaty Italia.
Battle of Nosely, fatal to the Boyal cause.

Rupert surrenders Bristol.

Feb. 22. June 14.

Sep. 10. CHARLES abandons Oxford, and surrenders to the Scots at Newark.

1647. Feb.

CHARLES delivered by the Scots to the Parliamentary Commissioners, and imprisoned at Holdenby, Northamptonshire. Seized by Cornet Joyce, by Cromwell's orders; but the King escapes to the Isle of Wight (Nov. 13), where he is retaken and lodged in Carisbrooke Castle.

The Presbyterians debarred 'The House' Conference with the June 2

1648. King in the Isle of Wight.

Nov. 30. Charles removed to Hurst Castle, and thence (Dec. 23) to Windsor.

1649. Jan. 20. Charles tried at Whitehall.
30. Charles beleaded.
Feb. 5. House of Lords shollshed. The Independents under Cromsell are supreme

563. Ouakers.—About this time arose the religious sect of OUAKERS from the teaching of one George Fox. who felt dissatisfied with the Puritans as well as the Established Church. His great doctrine was that all religion was:in the inward operation of the Spirit, and far beyond the many observances of the various denominations. He, and his followers, rejected both the Sacraments, and appointed no orders of ministers, leaving it to any one of either sex in their meetings who might be impelled by the Spirit to preach, and expound. The Quakers are distinguished by great seriousness of deportment, uniform soberness in dress; and an aversion to all ceremony, which they consider but the offspring of flattery, and deception. They reject oaths, and refuse the payment of tithes. They are said to have derived the name of Quakers from their opponents: Fox having been imprisoned by the Puritans, and brought before Gervas Bennet, an Independent, the former bade his judge tremble at the word of the Lord, at which Bennet styled him, and his disciples 'Quakers,' which so struck the fancy of the people as to be applied to them ever after. George Fox was born at Drayton in Leicestershire. 1624, of humble parents, his father being a weaver; he

travelled through a great part of England propagating his doctrines, and, according to his biographer Sewell, working miracles. He also visited America, went to Holland

CHARLES I. Innocent X. (vacant).

twice; and at length, soon after his return home, he died. 1691.

The Usurpation.—A. D. 1649—1659.

Pope: Alexander VII. A. D. 1655-1667.

564. Cromwell.-The first proceed-Commonings of the rebel Parliament, after the execuwealth. tion of Charles I., were to abolish the House of Lords as useless and dangerous, and to declare a King to be unnecessary and burdensome. The oaths of Supremacy, and Allegiance, and the Covenant, were set aside by a new oath called 'The Engagement.' The Prince of Wales, who was at the Hague, assumed the title of Charles II., and soon after proceeded to Scotland, where he was crowned King at Scone, January 1, 1651, swearing at the time, to maintain Presbyterianism in that kingdom. Oliver Cromwell of the party of the Independents, and whose success in reducing Ireland had procured him great renown, now returned to England, and was appointed by the Parliament Captaingeneral of the forces, and ordered to march against the Scots. He defeated them in every engagement; and on hearing that Charles II. had entered England he pursued him, and came to an engagement at Worcester, which resulted in the complete overthrow of the Royal forces, and the flight of Charles to Normandy. Cromwell was now the greatest man in England; and it was not long before he gave evidence of his power: not being satisfied with the proceedings of the Long Purliament, he went down to the house with a body of armed men, and expelled the members by force, April 20, 1653: and before the year closed, OLIVER CROMWELL was declared ' Protector of England, Scotland, and Ireland.' He enjoyed his high dignity, which he exercised as a dictator, five years, when he died. Sep. 3, 1658. He insisted on general toleration, except of Episco-

^{564.} What were the first proceedings of the rebel Parliament? What steps were taken by the Prince of Wales? What was the conduct of Oliver Cromwell? Whither did Charles II. escape? What power was now acquired by Cromwell? How long did he enjoy it? Who succeeded him? When was Charles II. restored? 5000

palians; and for purposes of ordina-COMMONWEALTH. tion. Cromwell issued an ordinance of Alexander VII. Council, for appointing a Commission (vacant). of 38 of the leading men of the various sects, some ministers, and some laymen: any five could approve, and any nine reject a Candidate after trial of his qualifications, whence their appellation of TRIERS. 1654. This was followed by a 'Declaration' forbidding the clergy to be instructors of youth. This led to the ejection of many thousand of the episcopal clergy from their livings, and tutorships. Gauden in his appeal to Cromwell for a relaxation of this Declaration enumerates 8000. CROMWELL was appointed to succeed his father in the 'Protectorate;' but wanting the energy, and abilities of his predecessor, he resigned his office a few months after, May 25, 1659. The nation now grew weary of the anarchy consequent upon an unsettled and irresponsible government, and longed for the return of monarchy; and Sectarianism of all shades, and denominations was running riot throughout the land; at length, the Presbyterian party determined on inviting over the Royal exile, and the 23rd of May, 1660,

Charles II.—A. D. 1660.

saw CHARLES II. restored to his throne.

Episcopacy restored.—Among Charles II. the first proceedings of CHARLES II., who was guided by his minister Hyde, (Earl of Clarendon), was the re-establishment of Episcopacy, which had been stifled for nearly twenty years, and the restitution of Ecclesiastical property; only nine bishops had survived the Restoration, consequently many new ones were to be created; thirteen (some say but seven) were consecrated at the close of the year, and four more in January following; William Juxon was appointed to the See of Canterbury; and Dr. Accepted Frewen, to whom is ascribed the authorship of the celebrated work 'The Whole Duty of Man,' to the see of York. The beneficed clergy that had been deprived were also restored to their incumbencies, and all ecclesiastical property given back to its former rightful possessors. Liturgy likewise was re-adopted. From the Roman bias of the Queen mother, Charles II. was accused of being attached to Popery; he certainly had a bitter hatred for Presbyterianism.

565. What were the first proceedings of Charles II. after the Restoration?

566. The **Monconformists** were taken by surprise at these proceedings, especially from the fact of CHARLES having promised when at *Breda*, before his Re-

CHARLES II. Alexander VII. Juxon.

storation, to allow of universal toleration in matters of religion. They therefore presented a petition to the King against the use of the Liturgy, which they required to be revised; and to the observance of Holy-days; to ceremonies, such as kneeling at the Sacrament, the cross at baptism, bowing at the name of Jesus, use of the surplice: and to Church government; but at the same time in order to effect an adjustment of their differences, they expressed their agreement in the doctrines of the Church of England. and to certain portions of its Public Worship, and their willingness also to a modified Episcopacy ;-to such a one as was prescribed by Usher (in 1641), which was Synodical in all its parts, and in which the clergymen and churchwardens were to hold perochial synods to direct the affairs of the parish; suffragan or rural bishops to hold monthly meetings in each deanery for the regulation of general matters; these again to be under the control of diocesan synods; and these synods to be subject to the provincial, or national convocation, and the Church to be perfectly independent of the crown. This Nonconformist or Presby-terian party was headed by the Earl of Manchester, the Lord Chamberlain.

567. **The Declaration.**—The Bishops delivered a reply, in which they declared that these changes were by no means necessary; yet that they were willing to yield in such points as could be proved objectionable, and also to review the Liturgy. The King in answer to the prayer of the petition now issued a DECLARATION, the joint production of the Episcopal and Presbyterian party, in which great concessions were made in matters of discipline; the objectionable ceremonies of kneeling at the Sacrament, the cross in baptism, the ring in marriage, bowing at the name of Jesus, the surplice, subscription to the XXXIX Articles, and oath of Canonical obedience, were to be

^{566.} What was the conduct of the Nonconformists? What was the nature of their petition? What was the modified episcopacy of Archbishop Usher? 567. What was the reply of the Bishops? What was the King's answer? What concessions were offered in the Declaration?

optional till the review of the Liturgy should be made; and for which purpose, a Commission should be appointed of an equal number of both parties.

CHARLES II. Alexander VII. Juxon.

A. D. 1661.

Fifth Monarchy Men.—In the beginning of this year, London was disturbed by an insurrection excited by the Fifth-Monarchy Men. These fanatics were the principal support of Cromwell, during his Protectorate. They considered his usurpation as the commencement of the Fifth Monarchy, which should succeed to the Assyrian, Persian, Grecian, and Roman, and in which Jesus Christ should reign with the saints on earth for the space of a thousand years. They were headed by one Venner, a wine cooper, who maintained that they were not to wait for Christ to put the saints in possession, but that they were to proceed at once to take the kingdom by violence; their motto was 'King Jesus against all the powers of the earth.' After some severe fighting, the ringleaders were captured, and soon after executed. A proclamation was also issued forbidding the meeting of Sectaries in great numbers. which was confirmed by the Legislature. (16 Car. II. c. 4; 22 Car. II. c. 1.).

569. **Savoy Conference.**—The promised Commission for the *Review of the Liturgy* was now appointed by the King; there were twelve Bishops, and as many Presbyterian ministers, with nine assistants on each side.*

568. Who were the Fifth-Monarchy men? What insurrection did they cause? 569. What was the date, the object, and the result, of the Savoy Conference? [HH. 3.]

```
On the side of the PRESETTRIANS.
Bp of Norwich (Reynolds).
Mr Baxter.
Mr Calamy.
Mr Case.
Mr Clarke.
On the side of Episcopacy.
  Archby of York (Frewen).
          Bp .. Louden (Sheldon).
Bp .. Durham (Cosins).
          Bp .. Carlisle (Sterne).
          Bp .. Chester (Bryan Walton).
Bp .. Chichester (King).
                                                                         Mr Currae.
Dr Conant Reg. Prof. Div. Oxford.
Mr Jackson.
Dr Manton.
Mr Newcomen.
          Bp .. Exeter (Gauden).
Bp .. Lincoln (Sanderson).
         Bp .. Peterborough (Laney).
Bp .. Rochester (Warner).
                                                                         Dr Spurstow.
Dr Tuckney (Master of St John's Coll.
Cambridge.
Dr Wallis (Sav. Prof. Geom. Onford.
          Bp .. Salisbury (Henchman).
          Bp .. Worcester (Morley).
                               Also
         Dr Barwick.
Dr Earle.
Dr Gunning.
Dr Hacket.
Dr Heylin.
Dr Pearson.
                                                                                                      Also
                                                                         Dr Bates.
                                                                        Dr Bates.
Dr Collins.
Dr Cooper.
Mr Drake.
Dr Horton.
Dr Jacomb.
Dr Lightfoot.
Mr Rawlinson.
Mr Woodbridge.
          Dr Pierce.
          Dr Sparrow.
         Mr Thorndike.
```

The Conference was to be held at the Savoy, at the Bishop of London's (Sheldon) residence, and four months were allowed for deliberation, from March 25, to July 25.

CHARLES II. Alexander VII. Juxon.

Nearly a month was lost in preliminary arrangements, at length they met on April 15. But now, the Presbyterians asked so much, Baxter indeed drew up an entirely new Form of Prayer; whereas the power of the Commission extended only to make alterations, and additional forms to the existing Liturgy; at last, to bring the discussion to a close, Bp. Cosins called on the Nonconformists to point out what they considered inexpedient, and what, sinful: in the latter respect they declared the last eight points in the Liturgy as absolutely sinful, and contrary to the Word of God:—(1) the surplice;—(2) the cross in Baptism;—(3) calling all baptized persons regenerate; -(4) kneeling at the Lord's Supper;—(5) administering the Lord's Supper to the impenitent sick; -(6) the general Absolution; -(7) returning thanks on all indiscriminately in the Burial Service :-(8) requiring of preachers subscription to the Book of Common Prayer, and the XXXIX Articles. The Bishops saw no necessity for these, and so many other alterations that were suggested, many indeed very trifling, so that the Conference ended without anything being done, beyond a few concessions in the Liturgy, which were in consequence made by the Convocation.

570. Review of the Liturgy.—The alterations suggested in the Book of Common Prayer were laid before the Convocation now sitting, who had authority from the King to carry them out. This is the last revision of the Liturgy, and the alterations made were—(1) the separation of the forms of Morning, and Evening Prayer;—(2) the transfer of the five prayers from the end of the Litury to each of the above Services;—(3) the separation of the occasional prayers from the Litany; and the addition of those for the 'Ember weeks,' for 'Parliament,' and for 'All Conditions of Men;' with the 'General Thanksgiving,' and 'For Restoring Public Peace at Home;'—(4) a few new Collects were introduced, others modified, and some verbal alterations made in many;—(5) Church put instead of Congregation;—(6) The Exhortation to Communion altered, and directed to be read on the Sunday, or some

^{570.} What were the alterations made in the Book of Common Prayer? [Q. 3.] Was this the last review?

Holy-day previous; and Communicants CHARLES II. to give notice beforehand: the admonition Alexander VII. against transubstantiation was also re-Juxon. inserted: -(7) the 'Baptismal Service for those of Riper Years' was introduced :- (8) The ' Form of Prayer to be used at Sea' was added: (9) the last five prayers appended to the form of Visitation of the Sick, and the Absolution, left to the Curate's discretion; -(10) the Curate's assent requisite before Confirmation, yet may be dispensed with by the Bishop; and the Sacrament allowed to be received before Confirmation had;—(11) The Form for the Churching of Women allowed to be read from the Desk; and the Psalms changed; -(12) the Newly Married not required, but exhorted, to receive the Communion;-(13) the Font to be placed at the discretion of the Ordinary; -(14) In the Catechism, read, because they promise them both by their sureties, &c., instead of 'yes, they de 'perform them by their sureties, who promise and vow them both in their names, &c.;—(15) The Forms of Prayer for 'The Thirtieth of January,' and 'The Twenty-ninth of May, added (by 12 Car. II. c. 14. and 13 Car. II. c. 11.).—(16) Lastly, the Authorized Version of the Bible to be used, except in the Psalms, the Ten Commandments, and the sentences in the Communion Service. - These, with other slight alterations and additions, amounting altogether to six hundred, were completed and subscribed by the

Convocation, December 20, 1661; and confirmed by the A. D. 1662.

King, the Privy Council, and the Legislature. 1662.

571. Statutes.-The Parliament now passed the last Act for the Uniformity of Public Prayers, and 'the Administration of the Sacraments' (13 and 14 Car. II. c. 4.); which emanated indeed from the House of Commons. By this Act the Book of Common Prayer, as it now stands, became the law of the land, and every parson, vicar, or curate was then obliged to use, and to declare and subscribe 'their assent and consent' to the same before the feast of St Bartholomew (August 24th, 1662), upon pain of being ejected, 'ipso facto,' from their preferments. In addition to this, the Act of the late King abolishing Episcopacy was

^{571.} What was the last Act of Uniformity? What did it require? What was required by the Corporation Act? How many were ejected from their benefices for refusing to conform? Digitized by GOOGLC

repealed (by 13 Car. II. c. 2.), as well as all the Acts passed during the Usurpation.

'The Corporation Act' was also

CHARLES II. Alexander VII. Juxon.

passed, (13 Car. II. st. 2. c. 1.; confirmed by 13 and 14 Car. II. c. 1.), which required all officers of Corporations to take the oaths of Supremacy, and Allegiance, and within twelve months after coming into office to receive the Sacrament according to the rites of the Church of England. Before the time had expired allowed for subscribing to the 'Act of Uniformity,' 2000 Presbyterians and Independent Ministers refusing to conform were ejected from their preferments; many of which, however, they had obtained by the dispossession of the Episcopal Clergy during the Usurpation. Great severity was exercised towards the Nonconformists. Lightfoot, Wallis, and Conant; with Cudworth, Wilkins, and Ward, conformed: Baxter, and Calamy were imprisoned on some slight pretext. About this period Episcopacy was restored in Scotland under the direction of the Earl of Middleton, and Archbishop Sharp, Bishop Leighton, and others; and in Ireland under the direction of the Duke of Ormond, and Archbishop Bramhall, and Bishop Jeremy Taylor.

A. D. 1663-1666.

572. The King, under the influence of his family connexions, began now to to change his policy. The Earl of Clarendon was getting out of favour, and CHARLES was desirous of relaxing the penal laws against the Nonconformists with the view of favouring the Romanists. Archibishop Juxon, who for his faithful services to the late King had been raised to the See of Canterbury, died this year, and was succeeded by Gilbert Sheldon. In addition to the enactments lately passed operating against the Nonconformists; the proposal of the King to relax the penal laws, and rather by royal proclamation than legal enactment, led to the passing by the Commons of the 'Select Vestry Act,' by which a person was prohibited from being a Vestryman unless he abjured 'the Covenant,' swore not to take up arms against the King's majesty, and would conform to the Liturgy: for a similar reason, the Government in the subse-

^{572.} Who succeeded Archbishop Juxon? What was the Select Vestry Act? The first Conventicle Act? The Five Mile Act? When did the 'Plague' happen? When, the Great Fire of London?

quent Parliament (1664), caused the first Conventicle Act' (16 Car. II. c. 4.) to be passed, by which any meeting together in

CHARLES II. Alexander VII.

Sheldon. a house of five persons or more, beyond the occupiers, for the purpose of public worship, was punished with fine or imprisonment. These meetings (conventicles) might be restrained by force, or broken into to capture the offenders. The Meetings of the Quakers were comprised in this Act. To these may be added the 'Five Mile Act' (17 Car. II. c. 2.), by which all Nonconformist ministers were prohibited from coming within five miles of a corporate town, or where they had once ministered. This was passed in October, 1665, when the Plague was making great havoc among the population of London; but which, the 'Great Fire' in the following year (1666), perfectly expelled. Two-thirds of London were in ruins, and the property destroyed amounted to nearly seven and a half millions of About this period a change was made in the money. method of taxing the Clergy: they were originally free from taxation; but they were led to make voluntary subsidies by way of benevolence to aid the exigences of the state: at length Edward I., who was the first to raise legal contributions from the Clergy, induced them to tax themselves in their own Convocations: at the Reformation these subsidies were confirmed by Parliament, and payment enforced by temporal remedies; the pressure, however, becoming heavy and unequal, the Clergy now preferred being taxed by the Legislature with the rest of the people, and so resigned the important privilege of self-taxation.

A. D. 1666-1667.

Pope; Clement IX.—A. D. 1067—70.

573. Fall of Clarendon.—The expenses of the Dutch war, and the extravagances of the King threatening to involve him in difficulties, CHARLES thought to court popularity by favouring the Sectarians, and the Papists. The penal laws having originated with the Earl of Clarendon, the Lord Chancellor, the King, under the advice of the Earl of Bristol, the leader of the Papists, and others of that party, determined on the ruin of his upright and faithful minister. He was first deprived of the Great Seal, and shortly after his enemies determined on a Parliamentary

impeachment of high treason. He was committed to prison; and twenty-three Articles brought against him by the House of Commons; they were however rejected by

CHARLES II. Clement IX. Sheldon.

Commons; they were however rejected by the Lords. At length Clarendon's son-in-law, the Duke of York, was sent to induce him to withdraw from the kingdom; to this he ultimately acceded, and retired to Calais, and thence to Rouen, where he finished his immortal 'History of the Rebellion,' and composed his 'Reflections on the Psalms of David.'—Edward Hyde, Earl of Clarendon, was born at Dinton in Wiltshire in 1608. He was educated at Oxford, and was not only a staunch adherent of the Royalist cause, but contributed more than any other man to the Restoration. He was, as bishop Warburton observes, 'the best of writers, the best of patriots, and the best of men.' He died at Rouen 1674. His daughter Anne was married to the Duke of York, afterwards James II.

A. D. 1668-1677.

Popes; Clement IX. A. D. 1667;—Clement X. A. D. 1670;— Innocent XI. A. D. 1676—89.

574. The Prerogative, and Parliament. -After the removal of Clarendon, the King, and the Court party, made several ineffectual attempts to relieve the Nonconformists as well as the Papists. Proposals for a 'Comprehension' of the Presbyterians, and for a 'Toleration' of the Independents, were set on foot by bp. Wilkins, and Chief Justice Hale, and a Bill prepared for the sanction of the Legislature. The House of Commons, however, would not suffer it to be brought in, and to stem the current they saw running in that direction, they renewed the 'Conventicle Act,' which was now expiring, and added severer clauses for the suppression of all meetings for religious worship other than in conformity with the Liturgy. (22 Car. II. c. 11. 1670). CHARLES, and his Parliament, did not long after this keep in concord. Surrounded by a licentious Romish court, and profligate favourites, and influenced by a French alliance, he began to aim at arbitrary power. The Duke of York. the King's brother, had openly professed Romanism: and the King now (1672), under the evil advice of his corrupt Ministers, known from the initials of their several names

^{574.} What was the object of the second Conventicle Act? What declaration issued by the King was favourable to the dissenters? What was the conduct of the Commons?

under the appellation of the 'Cabal,'* and who are thought to have been in the pay of France, issued a 'Declaration of Indulgence,' suspending all the penal laws against

CHARLES II. Clement X. Sheldon.

every sort of Nonconformists, or Recusants, and granting general toleration, and liberty of conscience. After the lapse of twelve months, Parliament re-assembled (1673), the House of Commons proceeded immediately to pronounce this measure illegal, and a violation of the Constitution, and refused to pass any money bill until the King retracted his Declaration of Indulgence.' The necessities of Charles compelled him to yield; and the Commons seeing the advance of Popery, followed up their advantage by passing the 'Test Act' (25 Car. II. c. 2.), by which all officers civil and military, were required to take the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and to receive the Lord's Supper according to the rites of the Church of England, and make a declaration against transubstantiation, before they could Another futile attempt was subsequently be admitted. made at the 'Comprehension' of the Nonconformists (1674): and through the Lord Treasurer, Danby (Osborn), a bill was passed for the better observance of the Lord's Day (29 Car. II. c. 7. 1676.); and another, repealing the Act 'De Hæretico Comburendo' (by 29 Car. II. c. 9.): besides which, he effected a matrimonial alliance between Mary, the daughter of the Duke of York, and William, Prince of Orange, notwithstanding the opposition of the Duke. Matters continued thus for some time, at length the pious, and most charitable, Archbishop Sheldon died (1677), and was succeeded in the Primacy by William Sancroft.

A. D. 1678-1685.

575. **Titus Oates.**—A series of plots Protestant and Popish, now began to disturb the nation: one was discovered this year (1678), having for its object, it is said, the restoration of Popery, It is still a question whether the plot was real or fictitious: it is generally, however, thought to

What was the object of the 'Test Act?' When was Sanoroft made Archbishop? 575. What was the Titus Oates plot? How did it end? What was the consequence to the Roman Catholics? What happened to the Presbyterian party?

^{*} Sir Thomas Clifford, Lord Ashley, (afterwards the Earl of Shaftesbury) the Duke of Buckingham, Lord Arlington, and Lord Lauderdale.

have been a scheme of Lord Shaftesbury to regain his influence over the King. One Titus Oates, falsely representing himself to be a Roman Catholic, joined the

CHARLES II. Innocent XI. Sancroft.

society of the Jesuits; after which he declared himself a Protestant, and in conjunction with a Dr Tonge accused several Catholic lords and others of plotting the overthrow of the Protestant faith. Such was the credulity of the times, that many were brought to the scaffold, and he himself rewarded with a handsome pension, and a residence in Whitehall. On the accession of James II., however, he was indicted for perjury, and sentenced to stand five times a year in the pillory during his life, and to be publicly whipped. But in the reign of William III. Oates obtained his liberty, and a pension of £400. a year. He at last died, in 1705. In consequence of this proceeding, the Roman Catholics were forbidden to go five miles from their houses without a license; and an Act was passed renouncing the Romish tenets, and excluding them from sitting in Parliament. (30 Car. II. st. 2. c. 1.). A proviso was however obtained for the Duke of York, yet he was eventually forced to quit the kingdom. Shaftesbury succeeded now in supplanting the Earl of Danby, who was impeached: and eyes were turned to the King's natural son, the Duke of Monmouth, 1679. At the same period was passed the celebrated 'Habeas Corpus Act,' securing the subject against arbitrary imprisonment (31 Car. II. c. 2.). To throw, however, equal odium on the Presbyterian party, the Papists invented a counter plot charging them with conspiring the King's death; but the evidence of one Dangerfield exposed the attempt, and made the popular feeling more bitter against them than before.

576. **Bill of Exclusion.**—The Commons endeavoured to pass a Bill of Exclusion against the *Duke of York*, whose open profession of Papacy led them to dread his succession to the throne; but it was set aside by a dissolution of Parliament. The people were anxious for the meeting of Parliament again to secure the succession; the King and his party as anxiously dreaded it, and so continually postponed it. The promoters of each cause now

^{576.} What bill was attempted to be passed against the Duke of York? Why? When did Charles II. die? Who succeeded him?

gave birth to the two political parties called Whig, and Tory. The Whigs (which is a Scotch term) were for innovations, and reforms, the popular party, and low Church-

CHARLES II. Innocent XI. Sancroft.

men, low in their notions of the spirituality of the Church; their divines were latitudinarians, and their party republican. The Tories (an Irish term) were Conservatives, and for advancing the royal prerogative above the law: they were High-Churchmen, and maintained passive obedience and non-resistance. Parliament at last met, and the 'Bill of Exclusion' was again brought into the Commons by Lord Russell, and passed; but it was thrown out in the Lords by the stronger advocacy for hereditary monarchy. Soon after, the Duke of York was recalled, and taken into the King's Councils, and directed the affairs of government without a Parliament, and with the most arbitrary power. This conduct gave birth to plottings, and resistance; the most noted of which was the Rye-house plot for the assassination of the King, in which Lord Russell was falsely accused of being implicated, and sentenced to death. At length Charles II. suddenly died of apoplexy, and was succeeded by his brother the Duke of York, as James II. Feb. 6th, 1685, aged 55; and in the 25th year of his Restoration.

Summary of the Reign of Charles II.—25 years, from A. D. 1660 to A. D. 1685.

577. CHARLES II. commenced his reign with the reestablishment of Episcopacy: and shortly after, a fruitless attempt was made at reconciling religious differences by holding the Savoy Conference, 1661; when a few alterations were made in the Liturgy. An Act of Uniformity was then passed (1662) requiring consent to the Book of Common Prayer' thus reviewed; which was followed by the 'Corporation Act,' requiring all officers of Corporations to take the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and to receive the Lord's Supper. Numbers of Nonconformists were in consequence rejected. Episcopacy was also restored to Scotland, when Dr Sharp, previously a staunch Presbyterian, abandoned that cause for the Archbishopric of St Andrew's. This treacherous proceeding, coupled with his arbitrary conduct and cruelty, led to his assassination a few years after (in 1679). The 'Conventicle,' and 'Five Mile,' Acts were

next passed for the suppression of Sectarianism (1664-5); and the Convocation gave up their privileges of self taxation. The Planne committed great ravages in the me-

CHARLES II. Innocent XI. Sancroft.

Plague committed great ravages in the metropolis (1665); which was however stayed by the Great Fire of London (1666), erroneously ascribed to the Papists. Lord Clarendon incurring the Royal displeasure in not seconding the extravagence and licentiousness of the Court was banished (1667). Many seditious plots followed, particularly on the Duke of York publicly declaring himself a Papist. (1671). The advance of Popery called forth the 'Test Act' (1673), and gave birth to the Titus Oates Plot. (1678). CHARLES continued to struggle for arbitrary power, and an attempt was made by the House of Commons to pass a 'Bill of Exclusion,' to shut out the Duke of York from the succession; it was however rejected by the Lords. The Duke of York was now recalled, and the King governed without a Parliament. The Rye-houss plot followed (1683); and at length CHARLES II. died, and according to the Papists, and the affirmation of his successor, he died a Roman Catholic.

James II.—A. D. 1685—1686.

578. James II. commenced his reign with James II. the promise of 'supporting the government in Church and State as by 'law established:' he, however, held to the Roman faith and worship himself, and discharged from prison all recusants, whether Papists or Dissenters, who had not not taken the oaths of allegiance, and supremacy. Parliament having voted the King an immense revenue, in answer to an address JAMES issued a Proclamation enforcing all the severities against the Non-conformists. The Duke of Monmouth now landed in England from Holland, and called on the Nonconformist body to aid his pretensions to the throne; the Duke of Argyle made a similar invasion of Scotland in behalf of the Presbyterians. They were both defeated, taken, and beheaded. The King began to show great favour to the Popish party; and in order to get them into the civil and military offices of trust, he determined to procure the repeal of the ' Tests.' Conversions to Popery had greatly multiplied in England.

^{578.} How did James II. commence his reign? What prohibition was enforced against the clergy? What commission did he establish?

as well as on the Continent, where the Edict of Nantes, so favourable to the Protestant cause, was now revoked through the arguments of Bossuet, bp. of Meaux: this

JAMES II. Innocent XI. Sancroft.

event brought numerous Protestants over to this country. and stirred up the vigilance of the English nation. JAMES now called on Parliament to bring in a Bill to get rid of the 'Tests,' so as to allow the Romanists the free exercise of their religion. After long debating, the House not appearing disposed to comply, the King dissolved the Parliament, and determined to proceed in future without one. JAMES immediately suspended the 'Tests' by virtue of his prerogative (1686). The Bishops took alarm at this step, and urged their clergy to warn the people, and to preach against the doctrines of the Romish Church: upon this the King, published a 'Declaration' prohibiting the Clergy from preaching on the controverted points of religion: and thus originated an irreconcileable rupture between the King, and the Church of England. JAMES now made overtures to the Nonconformists, and proclaimed a universal and unrestricted toleration, thus hoping to introduce the practice of Poperv. By the advice of the cruel and infamous Jefferies, the Lord Chancellor, he also set up a new Court of Ecclesiastical Commission to complete the humiliation of the Clergy, granting to it full authority to decide on all Ecclesiastical matters without the restraint of Laws, canon, civil, or municipal. The Commissioners appointed were three divines, the Archbishop of Canterbury (Sancroft), the bishop of Durham (Crewe), and the bishop of Rochester (Sprat); and three lay-men, the Lord Chancellor (Jefferies), the Lord Treasurer (the Earl of Rochester, Hyde), and the Chief Justice of the King's Bench (Herbert); all tools of the King, except the Archbishop, who refused to sanction the legality of the Commission. Its first act was to suspend the bishop of London (Compton) from the exercise of his functions during the King's pleasure, because he had not proceeded against Dr Sharp, rector of St Giles, who had offended the Court party by some reflections in one of his sermons. JAMES had now joined the Jesuits, and he determined to fill the vacant sees with disguised Papists. Cartwright was put into the see of Chester on the death of Pearson, and Samuel Parker succeeded Fell in the see of Oxford; Parker was a well known controversialist; very violent and bitter against the Nonconformists, in which he was opposed by Andrew Marvel. The Archbishopric of York was kept open for the jesuit.

Father Petre, the King's confessor. These proceedings were followed by the issue of a 'Declaration for a general liberty of conscience,' first published in Scotland; and then in England in the following April.

JAMES II, Innocent XI. Sancroft.

А. D. 1687.

579. The Universities.—The King next endeavoured to impose Roman Catholics upon the two Universities. CAMBRIDGE, however, refused to admit a Benedictine monk, named Allan Francis, to his degree upon the Royal Mandate unless he took the usual oaths. JAMES issued the Declaration allowing 'liberty of Conscience' to all his subjects, dispensing with the penal laws and tests, and with the Oaths of Allegiance, and Supremacy required on admission into civil and military offices (April 4). The affair of CAMBRIDGE was now brought before the Court of Ecclesiastical Commission, and sentence of deprivation was passed against the Vice-Chancellor (Dr Pechell) for refusing the King's mandate. In Oxford, likewise, the King's nominee (Anthony Farmer, a papist) to the presidentship of Magdalen College was rejected, and Dr Hough chosen in his stead; consequently sentence of expulsion was declared against Dr Aldworth the vice-president, Dr Fairfax, and the remaining fellows, by the Ecclesiastical Commission; and Samuel Parker, Bp of Oxford, was made president, but dying a few months after, his place was supplied by a Roman Catholic bishop (Dr Giffard). During these proceedings. James carried on a secret correspondence with Rome, with the view of bringing the kingdom under the subjection of the Pope; and to advance the measure. Cardinal Dada arrived in England as Papal Nuncio. King also endeavoured to convert his daughter, the Princess of Orange, and her husband, to the Roman faith.

A. D. 1688-1689.

580. **Bishops sent to the Tower.**—King James now re-issued his 'Declaration of Liberty of Conscience,' with additions more objectionable; and required it to be read in all Churches during divine service, and that the bishops should see to its distribution throughout their

^{579.} How did James II. treat the Universities? How did he court the dissenters? What did he to Oxford University? 580. What remonstrance did the bishops make to James II? What happened to them in consequence?

respective dioceses. The Archbishop of Can-JAMES II. terbury (Sancroft), the bishops of St Asaph Innocent XI. (Lloyd), Ely (Turner), Chichester (Lake). Sancroft. Bath and Wells (Kenn), Bristol (Trelamney), and Peterborough (White), signed a Petition of remonstrance to the King, asking him to dipense with their executing this order, as they could not in conscience assent to it: the petition was approved by the bps of London, Norwich, Gloucester, Salisbury, Winchester, Exeter, and by Tillotson, Stillingfleet, Patrick, Grove, Tennison, and Sherlock: twelve other prelates, and the majority of the clergy refused to read the 'Declaration.' The remaining prelates leagued with the Court; viz. Cartwright, Barlow, Watson, Warner, Crewe, and Sprat. York, and Oxford were vacant. Immediately upon this, the subscribing prelates were summoned before the Privy Council, and then committed to the Tower on a charge of misdemeanour: they were soon after tried in Westminster Hall, and acquitted. amidst shouts of applause from the people, and acclamations from the soldiers. During this period, to the surprize of the

nation, the Queen gave birth to a Prince. 581. James abdicates.—James directly dismissed two of the Judges, and determined to try the Bishops before the Ecclesiastical Commission: this Court saw the danger of such a step, and instead sent injunctions to the inferior clergy to read the Declaration as ordered; they however refused; and the bp of Rochester withdrawing from the Ecclesiastical Commission, that Court was broken up. The King persevered in his vindictive and violent measures, and as it was evident he meant to subvert the Constitution, there was a general desire to depose him. He now saw his error; and attempted to retrace his steps, but it was too late: WILLIAM, Prince of Orange, son-in-law of the King, at the invitation of many of the nobility, invaded England; he landed at Torbay, Nov. 7, and proceeded to London: a wide-spread Revolution seconded his efforts; and he, and the *Princess of Orange*, were placed on the throne by the consent of Parliament, Feb. 13th, 1689. James II. having abdicated it by his flight to France on Dec. 23rd, 1688. This event is known as 'THE REVO-LUTION.

581. What did these arbitrary proceedings of James II. lead to? What was the end of the 'Revolution?'

Digitized by Google

Summary of the reign of James II.-4 years, from A. D. 1685 to A. D. 1689.

582. King JAMES, immediately after JAMES II. his accession, pressed the severities against Innocent XI. the Nonconformists: but his secret deter-Sancroft. mination to advance the Roman Catholic religion till it should become the religion of the state. prompted him to measures which ultimately brought him to ruin. He began with calling upon Parliament to repeal the ' Tests': so that the way might be opened for the admission of Papists into office: but their delay in this measure urged

the King to dissolve the Parliament, and get rid of the 'Tests' himself by his prerogative. JAMES issued a 'Declaration' allowing full liberty of conscience, and to strengthen this unconstitutional proceeding, made overtures to the Nonconformists. The Clergy, seeing the object, immediately began to preach against the errors of Poperv. The King met this attempt of the Clergy by forbidding all preaching on points of controversy, and by establishing a Court of Ecclesiastical Commission, for the trial of all causes Ecclesiastical: he also put Papists into the vacant bishoprics, and thrust them also upon the Universities of Cambridge, and Oxford (1687). The 'Declaration' was re-issued in the following year, and commanded to be read in Churches during Divine Service. Seven of the prelates drew up a remonstrance to the King, for which they were sent to the Tower:

much satisfaction, that James finding himself abandoned by William and Mary.—A. D. 1689.

but when tried, they were acquitted, which led the King to adopt more violent measures. These, with his refusal to call a Parliament, and other arbitrary proceedings, by assuming a dispensing power over the laws, led to the invitation of William, Prince of Orange, the son-in-law of the King, who came over to England, and was received with so

Pope: Alexander VIII. A.D. 1689-1691.

After WILLIAM and MARY were settled on the throne. Parliament proceeded to make the necessary alterations in the Oaths of Allegiance, and

every friend, abdicated his throne. 1688.

WILLIAM and MARY.

Supremacy (1 W. & M. c. 8.). Several of the bishops, how-

^{582.} Give a summary of the reign of James II. What gave rise to the Non-jurors? Who were they?

ever, and other members of both Houses. refused to take the new oath from the conscientious scruple of having sworn fidelity to James II.; whence they acquired the name of Non-Jurors.

WILLIAM and MARY. Alexander VIII. Sancroft.

Among these were the Archbishop (Sancroft), and eight bishops, viz. :

Turner (Elv). Lake (Chichester), Kenn (Bath and Wells). Lloud (Norwich). Thomas (Worcester) and

White (Peterborough) Frampton (Gloucester). About four hundred of the clergy followed their example. These were all ejected from their Sees, and deprived of

their benefices. Carturight retired with JAMES II. to France. Another Act was also passed for the removal of Papists from London, and its neighbourhood (1 W. & M. c. 9.); and disarming them (1 W. & M. c. 15.). WILLIAM was a Calvinist, and had entertained objections to the surplice, to the cross in Baptism, and to kneeling at the Lord's Supper: and he brought in his suite his chaplain, Gilbert Burnet, a native of Edinburgh, a moderate Episcopalian; who, from his strong party views, and mingling in the political intrigues of his day, had been compelled on the accession of James II. to seek peace on the continent, where he ultimately obtained an introduction to the court of the Prince of Orange. The first step of William was to raise Burnet to the bishopric of Salisbury. 1689. A Commission was issued to ten bishops, and as many other divines to make such alterations in the Liturgy, Canons, &c., as might conciliate the Diesenters, and conduce to unity. The matter, however, came to nothing, and has never been attempted since. The 'Toleration Act,' however, was passed in this year, by which all Protestant Dissenters had full liberty as to religious worship, provided they took the oaths of government, and subscribed to the doctrinal articles of the Church, and paid the tithes, and other Church dues (1 W. & M. c. 18.). By another Act the Presentations of Benefices belonging to Papists were vested in the two Universities (1 W. & M. c. 26.).

584. Bill of Rights.—The most important measure at this crisis was the celebrated 'Bill of Rights' (1 W. & M. sess. 2. c. 2.), re-establishing the liberties of the

What happened to them? What was the nature of the "Toleration Act?" 584. What was the 'Bill of Rights?"

"The Author of the "History of the Reformation," of the "Thirty-nine Articles," "Pastoral Care," and other works. Digitized by GOOGLE

English people, and the ancient laws and statutes of England, and declaring the conditions on which WILLIAM and MARY accepted the crown: viz. that (1) the pretended power of suspending the process of the crown of

WILLIAM and MARY. Alexander VIII. Sancroft.

ing laws is illegal; (2) and so is the dispensing with laws; (3) the Court of Ecclesiastical Commission is illegal: (4) and so the levying of money without the consent of Parliament; (5) it is the right of the subject to petition the King; (6) to keep a standing army in the time of peace without the consent of Parliament is illegal; (7) Protestant subjects may have arms for their defence suitable to their condition; (8) the election of Members of Parliament ought to be free; (9) the freedom of speech, and debates or proceedings in Parliament ought not to be impeached or questioned in any Court out of Parliament; (10) excessive bail ought not to be required; (11) jurors ought to be duly impanelled and returned; (12) all grants and promises of fines or forfeitures before conviction are illegal; (13) for redress of all grievances, and for amending, strengthening, and preserving the laws, Parliaments ought to be held frequently.

A. D. 1690-1700.

Popes; Innocent XII.—A. D. 1691; Clement XI.—A. D. 1700—1721.

585. The **Mon-jurors** continued their refusal to take the new oath of Allegiance upon the principle that James II. possessed the divine right by hereditary succession to the obedience of his subjects; consequently, it was impossible for them with consistency to submit to a monarch crowned only by authority of Parliament; passive obedience was their dootrine in this matter, and so far as they themselves were concerned they may be esteemed as peaceable and honest men; but many of their followers soon after became implicated in all the violence of the Jacobite faction. Episcopacy was at this time (1690) again abolished in Scotland. Sancroft was succeeded in the See of Canterbury by the mild and amiable Tillotson (1692). Two years after (1694) Queen MARY died, and likewise Tillotson, who was suc-

^{585.} Upon what principle did the Non-jurors act? Who succeeded Sancroft in the See of Canterbury? When may the Church of England be said to have been finally established?

ceeded in the Primacy by Tennison. In 1698, 'The Society for Promoting Christian Know-ledge was founded. The century was drawing to a close, and now the

WILLIAM and MARY. Clement XI. Tennison.

'CHURCH OF ENGLAND' may be said to have been authoritatively, and legally settled upon that basis, from which to the present time it never since has deviated.

CENTURY XVIII.

WILLIAM, AND MARY, (continued.)—A. D. 1701—1702.

586. At the beginning of this century, the 'Act of Settlement' was passed (12 Will. III. c. 2.), by which the crown, after the demise of King William, and the Princess Anne, is limited to the Princess Sophia of Hanover, and the heirs of her body, being Protestants. This act gave strength to the famous 'Bills of Rights' passed in the beginning of the reign (in 1689), by which the succession to the crown is settled to the exclusion of Papists. The Society for 'The Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts' was now incorporated by Royal Charter (1701): in the following year, WILLIAM III. died; March 8th, 1702, in the 55th year of his age; and 14th, of his reign. He was succeeded by Anne, Princess of Denmark, the only surviving child of James II.

Anne.-- A. D. 1702--1714.

587. ANNE ascended the throne with the reso-Anne. lution of maintaining the Protestant religion; the chief measure of her reign affecting religion was the establishing of a fund for the benefit of the poor clergy, since called 'Queen Anne's Bounty.' She sent a message to the Commons desiring that her revenue of the tenths and first fruits might be settled by Act of Parliament, for augmenting the maintenance of the poor clergy; which was accordingly done, (2 and 3 Ann. c. 11.) Feb. 7,

586. What was the 'Act of Settlement?' the 'Bill of Rights?' Who succeeded William III? was the chief measure of Anne's reign affecting religion? What is meant by Queen Anne's Bounty ? To what use is

1704. The income thus derived was £17,000 ANNE. a year, which has since been greatly increased by an annual grant of £100,000 Clement XI. Tennison. from Parliament, and by the benefactions of private individuals. It was in this reign also that the Union of England and Scotland was legally established under the title of the Kingdom of Great Britain, May 1st, 1707. (5 Ann. c. 8.). Proposals were made in 1711, for the erection of fifty new Churches in London, and its vicinity, under the direction of Sir Christopher Wren, the Architect, who sent in to the House of Commons an estimate of the entire expense. In the address to the Queen from Parliament, it was stated that such want of churches had contributed to the increase of schism, and irreligion. An Act was passed for this purpose. (10 Ann. c. 12.). Other Acts were also passed to prevent the growth of schism. (12 Ann. st. 2. c. 7. c. 14.) At length ANNE died from dropsy, August 1st, 1714, aged 50, and in the 13th of her reign. She was succeeded by George, Duke of Brunswick. and Elector of Hanover.

George I.—A. D. 1714—1727.

Popes; Innocent XIII. A.D. 1721; Benedict XIII. A.D. 1724—1780.

588. George I. in his new Parliament declared his determination to make the established constitution in Church and State the rule of his government. The affirmation of Quakers was now allowed instead of oaths. (1 Geo. I. st. 2. c. 6.). At the close of the following year Archbishop Tennison, died, and was succeeded in the see of Canterbury by William Waks, bishop of Lincoln, Dec. 17, 1715. Not long after, Dr Hoadley was created Bishop of Bangor. He was soon engaged in controversy with the lower House of Convocation, who accused him of having publicly denied in a Sermon on John xviii. 36. the divine institution of Episcopacy; after several very violent meetings upon the subject, the Convocation was at length prorogued by a special order from Court, and has never been suffered to resume its declarations; this is known

it applied? When was the Union of England and Scotland effected? What number of Churches was it proposed to erect in Anne's reign? Who was the Architect? When did Anne die? Who succeeded her? 588. Who succeeded Archbishop Tennison? What was the controversy with the bishop of Bangor? What befel the Convocation?

as the 'Bangorian Controversy.' The Convocation was indeed reduced to a mere form. but with every new Parliament the Con-

GEORGE I. Clement XI. Wake.

vocation of each province still assembles in obedience to the King's writ. The Bishops constitute the Upper House: the Deans, Archdeacons, proctors of the Chapters, and the two proctors for the clergy from each diocese compose the Lower House. After Divine Service and a Latin Sermon at the Cathedral, the members retire to the Chapter room, where the names are called over, and the Convocation formally opened by the Archbishop. The Lower House retire to elect their Prolocutor, who at the next Session is presented to the Archbishop for approval. An Address to the crown is then voted: and the Convocation is prorogued, and does not meet again during the continuance of Parliament. The Acts against occasional conformity were repealed (by 5 Geo. I. c. 4.), and certain clauses in the Test and Corporation Acts were attempted to be included in the bill, but they were thrown out, Dec. 13, 1718. Atterbury, bp. of Rochester, a most able, and eloquent divine, and a strong advocate of extreme high-Church principles had become obnoxious to the King, and was charged with holding correspondence with the Pretender: he was brought to trial in the House of Peers, found guilty, deprived of his bishopric, and banished. 1723. After a reign of nearly 13 years, GEORGE I. died, while on a visit to Germany, June 10th, 1727, aged 68 years. He was succeeded by his son, George II.

George II.—A. D. 1727—1760.

Popes; Clement XII. A. D. 1730: Benedict XIV. A. D. 1740; Clement XIII. A. D. 1758-1769.

589. Methodists.—Among the events George II. of this reign connected with religious matters was principally the rise of Methodism, which branched out into two denominations of sectaries under their respective leaders John, and Charles Wesley, and George Whitfield, who were regularly ordained priests of the Established Church; the former adopted the Arminian, the latter, the Calvinistic dogmas of Theology; the ground of separation from each

What Acts were repealed in the reign of George I? Who succeeded him? 589. What events connected with religion occurred in the reign of George II? When did Methodism arise?

other being the doctrine of absolute predestination. The design of both was to engraft on the parent establishment a stricter and more spiritual discipline. Wesley maintained

GEORGE II. Clement XII.

that through the merits of Christ his sins were forgiven, and he reconciled to the favour of God, and that this blessing is the 'gift of God, instantaneously, and sensibly conveyed.' Their new teaching began in 1731; and the first Methodist Society was established in 1739. The Church pulpits being denied him, Wesley preached in the open air, and

authorized lay preaching.

590. Jansenists. - France was much disturbed at this period by the continual controversial disputes between the Jansenists, and the Jesuits. The Jansenists were the followers of the opinions of Jansenius, a doctor of Divinity of the University of Louvain, and bishop of Ypres in France. He advanced certain opinions upon grace and predestination, founded on the sentiments of Augustine, in opposition to those entertained by the Jesuits; in support of which he wrote a treatise on grace entitled 'Augustinus,' which was published in 1640. The opinions had their beginning about 1567.—The Jesuits in reply accused Janean of holding dangerous and heretical opinions, and procured a bull from Pope Urban VIII. in condemnation of them in 1642. A long and heated controversy followed, till at length Pope Clement XI. in 1705. issued his celebrated bull, called 'Unigenitus' from its first word, in order to put an end to their doctrines; this, however, was not sufficient, they continued to distract men's minds, and enflame their passions till the day of the French Revolution.

The See of Canterbury became vacant at this period by the death of Archbishop Wake, who was succeeded by John Potter (1737). The latter held the Primacy ten years, when his death (1747) caused it to be bestowed upon Thomas Herring. In 1749, the Hutchinsonian philosophy, rejecting Newton's doctrine of gravitation, and maintaining the existence of a plenum on the authority of the Old Testament, which Hutchinson affirmed contained a complete system of natural philosophy as well as of religion, excited great controversy. An Act of Parliament passed at

^{590.} What was the Jansenist Controversy? Who was Jansen? 591. Who held the See of Canterbury during the reign of George II.? What was the Hutchinsonian controversy? When did George the II. die? who succeeded him? Digitized by Google

this period for the encouragement of Moravians, acknowledging them to be a Protestant Episcopal Church. (22 Geo. II. c. 30.)
1749. The reformation of the Calendar, effected by Gregory XIII., was now legally introduced into England (24 Geo. II. c. 23. 1751.), as mentioned in par. 522. Archbishop Herring died in 1757, when he was succeeded in the See of Canterbury by Matthew Hutton, who died in the following year, and was succeeded in the Primacy by Thomas Secker, 1758. The reign of George II. soon after terminated by sudden death from a rupture of the heart, October 25, 1760, aged 77 years, and in the 34th year of his

George III.—A. D. 1760—1772.

reign. He was succeeded by his grandson George III.

Pope: Pius VI. A. D. 1775-1799.

692. At the beginning of the reign of George III. there was much avowed scepticism among public characters, and public writers. Dr Johnson, and his satellites of rhetoricians, grammarians, and essayists, were pious; but Hume, Gibbon, and other philosophical writers were known infidels. The higher classes, however, were more exemplary in their religious profession than in former years, and the faith of their inferiors was cherished by Missionary societies, Bible societies, Tract societies, and others, of a like character. On the death of Archbishop Secker, the See of Canterbury fell to Frederick Cornwallis (1768). A petition was sent to Frederick Cornwallis (1768). A petition was sent to parliament in 1772, from several of the clergy and professional men against subscription to the XXXIX Articles; a warm debate followed, but nothing resulted from it.

A. D. 1776-1788.

593. One of the most important events of this reign was the declaration of **Independence** made by **America**, in which they abjured their allegiance to the crown of Britain, July 4, 1776. A long and expensive war followed, when at last the **American Independence** was acknowledged by England (in 1782). The severe

^{592.} What was the state of religion at the beginning of the reign of George III? 593. When was the American independence declared? what mitigation did the Roman Catholics, and Dissenters receive?

enactments against the Roman Catholics passed in the reign of William III. were considerably mitigated by a new bill (18 Geo. III. c. 60. May 28, 1778): this measure

GEORGE II. Pius VII. Cornwallis.

III. c. 60. May 28, 1778): this measure considered to the riots headed by Lord George Gordon. The Dissenting ministers were allowed to subscribe a declaration of Protestant faith, instead of the XXXIX Articles required by the 'Toleration Act. (19 Geo. III. c. 44. A. D. 1779). The death of Archbishop Cornwallis now (1783) caused the appointment of John Moore to the See of Canterbury. In 1787 an attempt was made in Parliament to repeal the Test and Corporation Acts, but the measure was lost by a majority of 78.

A. D. 1788-1800.

Pope: Pius VII. A. D. 1799-1823.

594. **Swedenborgians.**—The followers of one *Emmanuel Swedenborg*, a Swedish nobleman who died in 1772, were conspicuous at this period. They conceived themselves to be the 'Church of the New Jerusalem,' spoken of in Revelations; and imagined that they were gifted with peculiar insight into spiritual things. The *Swedenborgians* fancy they can see mentally, and hold conversation with spirits. They interpret Scripture by a system of correspondences, supposing it to have three distinct senses accommodated respectively to particular classes both of men and angels. They date the last judgment of the spiritual world, and the second advent of Christ from the year 1757.

595. As the century draws to a close the attention of the historianis chiefly directed to the state of the Continent, induced by the progress of the French Revolution, which Commenced about 1787. It advanced to a most frightful state of anarchy and bloodshed under Robespierre, and the Terrorists, when at length BUONAPARTE appeared upon the stage, and became First Consul of France in 1799. Our own energies were directed at this period to the quelling of the Rebellion in Ireland, which was excited by the disaffected Roman Catholics (in 1798); it ended, however, in their complete discomfiture, and in the Union

^{594.} What were the doctrines of the Swedenborgians? 595. When did the French Revolution occur? when was the Irish Rebellion? when was Ireland united to Great Britain?

of the Established Churches, and legislature of the two countries under the title of the **United Eingdom** of Great Britan and Ireland. The Act of **Union** took effect on January I, 1801. In the last year of this century (1800) the 'Church Missionary Society' was established.

CENTURY XIX.

GEORGE III. (continued)-A. D. 1801-1805.

596. A BILL was introduced into Parliament in the first year of this century (41 Geo. III. c. 63.) declaring persons in Holy Orders disqualified to sit in Parliament: this originated in a clergyman (Rev. J. H. Tooke) having been returned a member for Old Sarum. In the following year (1802) 'The Concordat' was imposed on France; by which the free exercise of the Roman Catholic religion was allowed; a new division of the French dioceses to be made: the bishops to be nominated by the First Consul. and to take an oath of fidelity to the Republic; the bishops to appoint the curés, but under the confirmation of the government; and Catholics permitted to make endowments to churches. In 1804, Buonaparte was crowned Emperor of France by the Pope (Pius VII.). In this year the British and Foreign Bible Society' was founded (1804). On the death of Archbishop Moore, Dr C. Manners Sutton (bishop of Norwich) was appointed to the See of Canterbury, February 23, 1805. An Act of Parliament was passed about this period (44 Geo. III. c. 43.) to prohibit the admission of any person to deacon's orders before he attain the age of 23 years; or to priest's orders before he is 24 years of age.

596. From what state position are Clergymen disqualified? what was the 'Concordat' imposed on France in 1802? who succeeded Archbishop Moore in the Primacy? what law was passed declaring the age for admission to Holy Orders?

A. D. 1811-1813.

597. The increased indisposition of the King led to the appointment of *The Record of Wales (1812). At the same period, *the *Mational Society* for the Education of the Poor in the principles of the Established Church was instituted under the auspices of the Regent (Nov. 11.). As time advanced, continued attempts were made in Parliament to introduce measures of relief for the Roman Catholics, and Dissenters, but they were as often rejected; the feeling of amelioration, however, was evidently increasing. The Dissenters, indeed, procured the passing of a bill for protecting their religious worship (52 Geo. III. c. 155.)

A. D. 1814.

598. The notorious **Johanna Southcott**, an ignorant female fanatic, who assumed the air of a prophetees and obtained a vast number of converts, now died (*Dec. 27*, 1814.). She described herself as the woman spoken of in Revelations, and put forth a mass of scribbling, which she dignified as prophetic inspirations, while she carried on a lucrative trade in seals, which were to be the passports to eternal salvation. At length, she was attacked with disease that had the outward appearance of pregnancy, when she boldly announced that she was destined to be the mother of the promised *Shiloh*: so deluded were her followers that they made the most splendid and expensive preparations for the reception of the miraculous babe. Death, however, put an end to her deceptions, and exposed her delusion.

A.D. 1815-1820.

599. Now came the overthrow of **Mapoleon Buo-naparts**, by the battle of *Waterloo*, and the restoration of *Louis* XVIII. A. D. 1815. The former was banished to St Helena. *Calcutta* was this year raised into a colonial

597. When was the Regency appointed? What measures were introduced for the relief of the Roman Catholics, and Dissenters? 598. What is recorded of Johanna Southcott? 599. When was the overthrow of Buonaparte? When did George III. die? who succeeded him.

bishopric. In 1818., Parliament granted a million of money for the building of additional Churches. (58 Geo. III. e. 45.)

*The Church Building Society was at this time established. At length, GEORGE III. died Jan. 29. 1820. in the 82nd year of his age, and 60th of his reign: and was succeeded by his son, George IV.

George IV.—A. D. 1820—1826.

Pope: Leo XII. A. D. 1823-1829.

600. The principal occurence of the reign of George IVth, affecting religion was the rise of the Irvingites, (1826), followers of a Scottish minister, Edward Irving. He had been expelled from the Presbyterian connection of Annan, on account of his novel doctrines bearing especially upon the Millenium, and the immediate advent of our blessed Lord: as precursors of this event, his followers pretended to miraculous gifts of tongues, of prophecy, of healing and of raising the dead; though he himself never advanced the more miraculous pretensions. He entertained, however, very strange ideas of a hierarchy of angels, Apostles &c.; and even went so far as to consider the nature of our Blessed Lord capable of sin. He is said to have confessed to a delusion in his more extravagant opinions: he died in 1834.

A. D. 1828-1830.

Pope: Pius VIII. A. D. 1829—1831.

601. The 'Test, and Corporation Acts' were at length repealed by the legislature, and a declaration in lieu of the oaths was to be used in future (by 9 Gro. IV. c. 17.). The Archbishop of Canterbury (Sutton) died in this year (July 21), and was succeeded by Dr William Homley, bishop of London. In the same year, the University of London was opened (Oct. 1.). The last measure of importance in this reign was the passing of the 'Roman Catholic Emancipation' bill (in April 1829); by which the Roman Catholics were eligible to seats in Par-

^{600.} Who, and what were the Irvingites? 601. When were the Test and Corporation Acts repealed? Who succeeded Archbishop Sutton? when? When was the University of London opened? When was the Roman Catholic Emancipation Bill passed? When did George IV. die? Who succeeded him?

liament, to voting at elections, and generally to enjoy all civil franchises, and offices, without religious test, further than to repudiate foreign interference, and not attempt to weaken or disturb the Protestant establishment. (10 Geo. IV. c. 7.). On the 26th of June, 1830. GEORGE IVth., died, aged 68 years, after reigning 10 years, and was succeeded by his brother, William IV.

William IV.-A. D. 1830-1837.

Pope; Gregory XVI. A. D. 1831-1846.

602. In the reign of William IV., the WILLIAM IV. most important occurrence was the passing of the Reform Bill (2 Will. IV. c. 45) in 1832. In the following year, by another Act (3 & 4 Will. IV. c. 37.), the number of Irish Bishops was reduced from twenty to twelve by the union of their Sees, in order to make a better application of their incomes. And in 1836, an Act was passed for the Reform of the Established Church (6 and 7 Will. IV. c. 77.), by which an Ecclesiastical Commission was appointed for a new distribution of episcopal dioceses, and incomes. Under this statute the bishopric of Bristol was to be united to that of Gloucester, and that of St Asaph to that of Bangor (since separated); and that of Sodor and Man to that of Chester; and two new bishoprics were to be erected, one at Manchester, and the other at Ripon. The income of the Archbishop of Canterbury was to be reduced to £15,000; that of the Archbishop of York to £10,000; of the bishop of London to £10,000; Durham to £8,000; Winchester to £7,000; Elv to £5,500; St Asaph and Bangor to £5,200; Worcester to £5,000; and the rest, varying from £4,000 to £5,000. Moreover, no ecclesiastical dignity or benefice was to be granted in future to any bishop to be held in commendam. In 1836, 'The Church Pastoral Aid Society' was established. WILLIAM IVth died, June 20th, 1837. aged 73 years, and at the close of the 7th year of his reign. He was succeeded by his niece, Her present Majesty, Victoria.

602. When was the Reform Bill passed? What was the Reform measure of the established Church? When did William IV. die? Who succeeded him?

Victoria.—A. D. 1837.

603. During this reign an Act passed VICTORIA. the legislature to abridge the holding of Pius IX. benefices in plurality, and to make better Howley. provision for the residence of the clergy; (1 & 2 Vic. c. 106.). And the whole or parts of a series of statutes from 54 Hen. III. to 33 Geo. III. imposing penalties and disabilities on account of religious opinion, and which had fallen into disuse, were now repealed (by 9 & 10 Vic. c. 59.). In 1847, four additional Colonial bishoprics were established, three out of the extensive diocese of Australia; viz. the bishopric of Newcastle (Tyrell), of Adelaide (Short), and of Melbourne (Perry), to be suffragan bishops to the See of Sydney (Broughton); and the bishopric of Cape Town (Gray). Shortly after, Manchester was erected into a bishop's See (by 10 & 11 Vic. c. 108.), to which the Rev. J. P. Lee was appointed. Not long after, by the death of the venerable Archbishop Howley, (Feb. 11, 1848), Dr John Bird Sumner, bishop of Chester, was translated to the See of Canterbury. (Feb. 22.). In 1850 the ambition of Dr Wiseman, and a few other Roman Catholic priests, led the Pope to intrench upon the Royal prerogative by establishing a Romish Hierarchy in this country, appointing Dr Wiseman, Cardinal and Archbishop of Westminster: and twelve others, Bishops of territorial sees. A Bill, however, was brought in by the Government on the meeting of Parliament to resist this Papal agaression, 1851.

THE EXAMINATION PAPERS.

• Want of space will not admit of the introduction here of the University Examination Papers: suffice it to say, that the References in the preceding pages in CAPITAL LETTERS refer to the Degree Papers, and the smaller Letters to the Voluntary Theological Examination Papers:—thus, A. B. C. D. E. F. G. to the several Senate-House Examinations in 1846.—H. I. J. K. L. M. N. to those in 1847.—O. P. Q. R. S. T. to those in 1848.—U. V. W. X. Y. Z. AA. to those in 1849.—BB. CC. DD. EE. FF. GG. to those in 1850.—HH. II. KK. LL. to those in 1851. So [a] refers to the Voluntary Theological Papers of 1843;—[b] to those of 1844;—[c] of 1846;—[c] of 1847;—[f] of 1848;—[g] of 1849;—[h] of 1850.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE

OF THE

CHIEF EVENTS IN THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH CHURCH.

CAMBRE D INVASION OF	Contury ATT.
BritainB. C. 55	A. D.
	Augustine first Arch- bishop of Canter-
Century IV.	bishop of Canter-
Ţ.	bury
Council of Arles A. D. 314	Archbishop Laurentius 604
General Council of Nice 325	Council of Whitby 664
Council of Sardica 347	Archbishop Theodore 669
Council of Ariminum 360	
	Century VIII.
General Council of Con- stantinople 380	Bede died 735
outstanding the treatment of	Council of Cloveshoo 747
Century V.	Council of Clovesido 141
Contain v.	Century IX.
Council of Carthage 412	Contury Las.
Pelagianism in Britain 429	FORPER bing of all Fra
Course Course 2	EGBERT king of all Eng- land 827
General Council of	ALFRED king 871
Ephesus 431	ALFRED KIUS 8/1
Arrival of the Saxons 449	# ==
General Council of Chal-	Century X.
cedon	
Dubricius Archbishop of	Archbishop Odo 938
Caerleon 487	Archbishop Dunstan 959
Century VI.	Century XI.
ARTHUR, king 517	EDWARD the Confessor 1042
Gildas flourished 550	Archbishop Stigand 1054
The Heptarchy 587	WILLIAM THE CON-
Augustine's visit 596	QUEROR, A. D. 1066
-	Digital Color of Colo

A. D.	Century XIV.
Archbishop Lanfranc 1070	A. D.
Gregory VII. (Hilde-	EDWARD II. A. D. 1307
brand) Pope 1073	Knights Templars sup-
WILLIAM II. A. D. 1087	pressed 1311
Archishop Anselm 1093	Edward III. a. d. 1327
Century XII.	Statute of Provisors 1343
HENRY I. A. D. 1100	Statute of Præmunire 1352 Wickliffe flourished 1356
STEPHEN A.D. 1135	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Archbishop Theobald 1138	RICHARD II. A.D. 1378
HENRY II. A. D. 1154	Urban VI., and Clem-
Carmelite Order found-	ent VII., rival Popes 1380
ed	Archbishop Courtney 1382
Archbishop Thomas ä Becket	Wickliffe died 1384
Becket 1162 Guelph and Ghibeline	Statute of Præmunire 1392
factions	HENRY IV. A. D. 1399
Council of Clarendon 1164	Statute of Præmunire 1400
Waldenses 1174	Century XV.
Richard I. a. d. 1189	
Innocent III. Pope 1198	Burning of Heretics
	Statute ib.
Innocent III. Pope 1198	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses 1199	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Al-
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Bene- dict XIII., and Al- exander V., rival
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410 HENRY V. A. D. 1413 Lollard Insurrection 1414
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410 HENRY V. A. D. 1413 Lollard Insurrection 1414 Archbishop Chicheley ib.
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410 HENRY V. A. D. 1418 Lollard Insurrection 1414 Arabbishon Chicheley ib.
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410 HENRY V. A. D. 1413 Lollard Insurrection 1414 Archbishop Chicheley ib. General Council of Constance ib.
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute ib. Council of Pisa 1409 Gregory XII., Benedict XIII., and Alexander V., rival Popes 1410 HENRY V. A. D. 1413 Lollard Insurrection 1414 Archbishop Chicheley ib. General Council of Constance ib. HENRY VI. A. D. 1422
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses	Statute
Innocent III. Pope 1198 Albigenses 1199 Century XIII. JOHN, A. D. 1201 Archbishop Langton. 1207 Franciscan order founded. 1215 Dominican Order founded. 1216 HENRY III. A. D. 1216 Mendicant Orders introduced 1220 Inquisition originated 1228 Cambridge University founded 1257	Statute

A. D. 1	A. D.
EDWARD IV. A. D. 1461	Diet of Augsburg 1530
Wolsey born 1471	Confession of Augs-
EDWARD V. A. D. 1483	burg' presented ib.
	Cranmer introduced to
RICHARD III. A.D. 1483	Henry VIII ib. Cardinal Wolsey died ib.
HENRY VII. A. D. 1485	Convocation acknow-
Archbishop Morton 1486	ledge Henry VIII.
Century XVI.	'Supreme Head of the Church' 1531
Luther flourished 1501	Calvin flourished 1532
Julius II. Pope 1503	Convocation allowed Henry's divorce 1533
Archbishop Warham ib.	Henry VIII. married
HENRY VIII. A. D. 1509	Anne Boleyn ib.
	Archbishop Cranmer 1533
Henry VIII. married Catharine of Ar-	Cranmer pronounced
ragonib.	the divorce ib.
Leo X. Pope 1513	Princess Elizabeth (af-
Richard Hunne tried 1514	terwards Queen) bornib.
The 'Concordat' 1515	born ib. Act of Succession passed 1534
Princess Mary (after-	Act of 'Submission of
wards queen) born ib.	the Clergy' passed ib.
Sale of Indulgences by Tetzel 1517	Act for 'Election of
Charles V. Emperor of	Bishops passed' ib.
Germany 1519	Act for legalizing the
Melanchthon flourished ib.	title of 'Supreme Head of the Church' ib.
Zuingle flourished ib.	Head of the Church' ib. Act for appointing 'Suf-
Diet at Worms 1521	fragan Bishops' ib.
Henry VIII. wrote	Sir Thomas More, and
against Luther ib. Title of 'Defender of	Bp. Fisher behead-
the Faith' confer-	ed 1535
red on Henry VIII. ib.	Tyndale's 'New Testa-
Adrian Pope 1522	ment' circulated ib. Paul III. Pope ib.
Clement VII. Pope 1523	Thomas Cromwell, 'Vi-
Trial of Catharine be-	car General' ib.
fore Wolsey, and	First Visitation of Mo-
Campeggio 1529 Diet at Spires ib.	nasteries ib.
Reformers called 'Pro-	Coverdale's Bible ib.
testants'ib.	Marshall's Primer pub. 40.
'Articles of Torgau'	
presented 1530	Ex-Queen Catharine died 1536

A. D.	1 A. D.
Act for Suppression of	Henry VIII. divorced
smaller Monasteries 1536	from Anne of
Queen Anne Boleyn be-	Cleves 1540
headedib.	Henry VIII. married
Henry married Jane	Catharine Howard ib.
Seymour ib.	Order of Jesuits origi-
Ten Articles of Religion ib.	_ nated
Reginald Pole opposed	Four new bishoprics
Henry VIII ib.	endowed 1541
The Insurrection called	Queen Catharine (How-
The Pilgrimage of	ard) beheaded 1542
Grace'	The 'King's Book,' or
Prince Edward (Ed-	The necessary doc-
ward VI.) born 1537	trine and Eru-
Queen Jane died ib.	action of any
'Matthew's Bible' pub. ib.	'Christian Man,'
'The Bishop's Book,' or	published 1543
'The Godly and Pious Institution	Henry VIII. married Catharine Parr 1543
of a Christian	
man'; published ib.	'King's Primer,' pub. 1545
man'; published ib. Another Visitation of	An Act passed granting
Monasteries ib.	twice he' to the
The 'Sacramentaries,'	Colleges, Chan- tries, &c. to the King to.
rise of	Council of Trent began
Henry VIII. excommu-	its sittings 10.
nicated by a bull	Luther died 1546
of Paul III 1538	Zumor wou ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
The Act of 'The Six	EDWARD VI. A. D. 1547
Articles' passed 1539	First Book of Homilies
An Act legalizing disso-	First Book of Homilies published
lution of Monas-	Act of 'The Six Articles'
teries, and granting	repealed ib.
the Revenues to	First Communion Office published 1548
the King ib.	published 1548
'Cranmer's Great Bible'	Cranmer's Catechism
published ib.	published 66.
'Taverner's Bible,' pub. 4b.	'The Interim' put forth ib.
Henry married Anne of	First Liturgy, or
Cleves 1540	Book of Common
Knights of St John	Prayer' 1549
suppressed A	'Act of Uniformity'
Cromwell made Earl of	passed
Essex	New Ordination Ser-
Cromwell beheaded ib.	vice' put forth 1550
	Digitized by GOOGLC

A. D.	A. D.
Julius III. Pope 1550	Emperor Charles V.
Hooker's Controversy	abdicated 1556
about the 'Habits' ib.	Cranmer burnt at Ox-
Bucer died 1551 Protector Somerset be-	ford ib.
headed ib.	Archbishop Pole ib. Bodies of Bucer, Fa-
Second Liturgy, or	gius, and Peter
Book of Common	Martyr's wife ex-
Prayer reviewed 1552	humed 1558
Larger Catechism (Poy-	ELIZABETH A. D. 1558
net's) published 1553	
Forty-two Articles of	'Act of Uniformity'
Religion put forth ib.	passed 1559
Mary, a. d. 1553	Book of Common
Cranmer, Ridley, Lati-	Prayer' altered ib.
mer, and others	Religion as in Edward
imprisoned ib.	VIth's reign re-
Acts of Edward VI.	stored
repealed ib.	Pius IV. Pope ib.
Convocation declare	Archbishop Parker ib.
the Book of Com-	Jewel's Apology pub 1562
mon Prayer, and the Catechism here-	Geneva Bible intro- duced 1562
tical 1553	Thirty-eight Articles
Lady Jane Grey, and	of Religion put
others beheaded 1554	forth 1563
Cranmer, Ridley, and	Noel's Catechism pub. ib.
Latimer attend a	Second Book of Homi-
Disputation at Ox-	lies published ib.
ford ib.	Council of Trent con-
Mary married to Philip	cludes its sittings ib. The Puritans 1565
of Spain	The Puritans 1565 The 'Advertisements'
England to the See	put forth 1506
of Rome 1555	The 'Bishops' Bible'
Persecution of Protest-	published 1568
ants began, Rogers,	Roman Catholics be-
Ferrars, Hooper, Taylor, Saunders,	came a Sect 1569
Taylor, Saunders,	The XXXIX. Articles
Bradford,&c. burnt ib.	put forth 1571
Marcellus II. Pope ib. Paul IV. Pope ib.	Subscription to them required by Law ib.
Ridley, and Latimer.	The Universities incor-
burnt at Oxford ib.	porated oct
Gardiner died ib.	First Poor-Law

A. D.	A. D.
Massacre of the Hu-	CHARLES II. restor-
guenots 1572	ed, A. D. 1660
Archbishop Grindal 1575	Archbishop Juxon 1653
The 'Prophesyings' sup-	Rising of the 'Fifth
pressed 1576	Monarchy Men' 1661
Gregorian Calendar put	Last Review of the
forth 1582	Last Review of the Liturgy ib.
Archbishop Whitgift 1583	Liturgy ib.
Mary, Queen of Scots,	Act of Uniformity, and use of the
beheaded 1587	Book of Common
Predestinarian Contro-	
versy 1595	PRAYER 1662
1015y 11111111 1000	Corporation Act ib.
Century XVII.	Archbishop Sheldon . 1663
Continuity and and	First Conventicle Act 1664
James I. a. d. 1603	Five Mile Act ib.
'Millenary Petition' ib.	The Plague 1665
Hampton Court Con-	Great Fire of London 1666
	Test Act
	Archbishop Sancroft. 1677
The present Canons framed ib.	Titus Oates Plot 1678
	Habeas Corpus Act ib.
Archbishop Bancroft ib.	T
Gunpowder Plot 1605	James II. a. d. 1685
The Authorized version of the Bible 1611	Archbishop, and six
	bishops imprisoned 1688
Synod of Dort 1618	Dishops imprisoned 1000
CHARLES I. A. D. 1625	WILLIAM and MARY
Arminian Controversy 1627	A. D. 1688
Sabbatarian Contro-	****
versy ib.	Non-jurors begin 1688
Archbishop Laud 1633	Toleration Act ib.
The Scotch 'Covenant,'	Bill of Rights passed 1689
and rebellion 1634	Archbishop Tillotson. 1692
The courts of Star	Archbishop Tennison. 1694
Chamber, and High	'Society for Promoting Christian Know-
Commission abol-	lege' founded 1698
ished 1641	leke lounden 1099
Episcopacy abolished ib.	
Civil war began 1642	Century XVIII.
Battle of Marston Moor 1644	
Archbishop Laud be-	Act of Settlement passed 1701
headed 1645	'Society for the Propa-
Charles I. beheaded 1649	gation of the Gospel
Usurpation ib.	in Foreign Parts'
Cromwell, Protector 1653	founded TONGIC. ib.

A. D.	A. D.
Anne, a. d. 1702	Buonaparte, Emperor
Queen Anne's Bounty 1704	of France 1804
Union of England and	'British and Foreign
Scotland 1707	Bible Society' es-
	tablished ib.
GEORGE I. A. D. 1714	Archbishop Sutton 1805
Ambhishan Wales 1815	Prince of Wales RE-
Archbishop Wake 1715	GENT 1812
GEORGE II. A. D. 1727	'National School So-
	ciety' founded \dots ib.
Methodism, rise of 1731	Johanna Southcott died 1814
Archbishop Potter 1737	Buonaparte banished to
Archbishop Herring 1747	St Helena 1815
Hutchiusonian Contro-	GEORGE IV. A. D. 1820
versy 1749	
The 'New Style' intro-	The Irvingites 1826
duced 1751	'Test, and Corporation
Archbishop Hutton 1757	Acts' repealed 1828
Archbishop Secker 1758	Archbishop Howley 1828
Granan III 1800	Roman Catholic Eman-
GEORGE III. A.D. 1760	cipation bill passed 1829
Archbishop Cornwallis 1768	WILLIAM IV. A. D. 1830
American Independence 1782	Reform Bill passed 1832
Archbishop Moore 1783	Reform of Established
Swedenborgians flourish 1787	Church bill 1836
French Revolution be-	
gan <i>ib</i> .	Victoria, a. d. 1837
Irish Rebellion 1798	'Pluralities' abolished ib.
	Four Colonial bishop-
Century XIX.	rics founded 1847
. •	Archbishop Sumner 1848
Union of Great Britain	Romish Hierarchy in-
and Ireland 1801	troduced 1850

WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

Analysis of

NEW TESTAMENT HISTORY

Embracing the Criticism and Interpretation of the Original Text, the Authenticity of its several Books; a Harmony *Chronologically* arranged, and a Historical Index.

with Questions for Examination, Second Edition. 18mo. 4s.

Analysis of

SCRIPTURE HISTORY:

Intended for Readers of OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY;

with Examination Questions.

Fifth Edition. 18mo. bds. 3s. 6d.

Analysis of

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY

From the Birth of Christ to the Council of Nice,
A. D. 325.

With Examination Questions. Third Edition.
18mo. bds. 4s.

Digitized by Google

Published by Ball & Son,

CAMBRIDGE:

SOLD ALSO BY WHITTAKER & Co., AVE MARIA LANE; AND G. BELL, FLEET-STREET, LONDON.

Questions and Answers on

THE GOSPEL OF ST MATTHEW.

By the Rev. W. TROLLOPE, M.A. 12mo. bds. 4s.

By the same Author.

A Commentary on

THE GOSPEL OF ST LUKE,

with Examination Questions,

accompanied by references to the Text at the foot of each page,

Annotations on

THE GOSPEL OF ST JOHN,

Critical, Philological, and Explanatory, By L. S. D. REES, B. A. 12mo. bds. 8s. 6d.

Annotations on

THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS,

Critical, Philological, and Explanatory. 12mo. bds. 4s.

PUBLISHED BY HALL & SON.

A Commentary on

THE ACTS OF THE APOSTLES,

with Examination Questions.

accompanied by references to the Text at the foot of each page, By the Rev. W. TROLLOPE, M. A. 12mo. bds. 5s. 6d.

An Analysis of

PEARSON ON THE CREED.

with Examination Questions;

By the Rev. J. GORLE, M. A. 18mo. bds. 4s. 6d.

Questions and Answers on the

XXXIX ARTICLES OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND,

By the Rev. W. TROLLOPE, M. A. Second Edition.
18mo. bds. 3s.

By the same Author.

Questions and Answers on the

LITURGY OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

Second Edition, 18mo, bds, 2s, 6d.

ORDINATION QUESTIONS,

As given at recent Examinations for Deacons and Priests;
With Instructions to Candidates. 18mo. served, 1s.

Digitized by Google



