

Unholy Hands on God's Holy Book David W. Cloud

Copyright © 1985, 1999 by David W. Cloud Third Edition 2001 Fourth Edition January 2005 Fifth Edition April 2006

ISBN 1-58318-012-5

COVER ARTWORK: The photos on the cover are, from left to right, J.J. Griesbach, Catholic cardinals, Ezra Abbott, and Bruce Metzger. We are thankful to our friend Brian Snider for the design.



Published by Way of Life Literature

P.O. Box 610368, Port Huron, MI 48061-0368 866-295-4143 (voice) • fbns@wayoflife.org (e-mail) http://www.wayoflife.org (web site) Canada:

Bethel Baptist Church, 4212 Campbell St. N., London, Ont. N6P 1A6 • 519-652-2619 (voice) • 519-652-0056 (fax)

CONTENTS

The Bible Societies' Strange History6The Bible Societies' Apostate Versions11The UBS Greek New Testament 1616The Revised Standard Version 3217The Today's English Version 4017The New English Bible 4857

UNHOLY HANDS ON GOD'S HOLY BOOK: A Report on the United Bible Societies

By David W. Cloud

By any standard the United Bible Societies are

enormously wealthy and are involved in quite an amazing amount of work. The first Bible society, the British and Foreign Bible Society, was formed in 1804. The American Bible Society was formed in 1814, and similar societies have multiplied throughout the world as the years have passed. The United Bible Societies was formed in 1946 and now coordinates the work of most of the world's Bible societies (Andrew Brown. The Word of God Among All Nations, p. 124). As of 2004, there are 142 member societies involved in the United Bible Societies. In 2003 the member societies of the UBS distributed more than 430 million Bibles, New Testaments, and Scripture portions, including 21.4 million Bibles and 14.4 million New Testaments. Nearly 80 percent of the world's Bibles are distributed through the UBS. As of 2001, the United Bible Societies were involved in translation work in 672 different languages.

The annual budget of the UBS is almost \$40 million, almost half of which is underwritten by the American Bible Society.

As we can see, the United Bible Societies are very influential. Take a close look at Christian work in practically any locality, and you will find that an affiliate of the United Bible Societies is actively involved, and especially will be found at the forefront of any ecumenical activity.

Not only do the Bible societies have a great influence around the world through their Bible translation and distribution activities, but their influence is increased by the fact that their Greek text and vernacular versions are used by most Christian groups, even many which are strongly Bible-based. The world's most commonly used Greek New Testament is the one published by the United Bible Societies. A majority of the new Bible translations produced this century has been based upon this Greek text (or one practically identical to it).

It should be kept in mind that there are many involved in Bible translation organizations and distribution that are not a part of the United Bible Societies. Among these are the Trinitarian Bible Society, Wycliffe Bible Translators, the International Bible Society, the Lockman Foundation, Lutheran Bible Translators, Living Bibles International, plus many church-based Bible printing centers, such as the Bearing Precious Seed ministries in the United States.

Some of these are New Evangelical in philosophy and are becoming increasingly ecumenical. Wycliffe is a prime example. Wycliffe is a very large organization, and though not a part of the United Bible Societies and not as liberal as the UBS, Wycliffe is extremely ecumenical and has close ties with the Roman Catholic Church. For example, according to an article in Eternity magazine, November 1971, page 22, "The Catholic Bible Association and the Lutheran Bible Translation Society sponsored the Wycliffe mission's celebration of their annual Bible Translation Day in Washington, D.C." Wycliffe uses the United Bible Societies' Greek text in their translations, and works closely with the UBS in many of their projects. We praise the Lord that there are faithful Bible publishers. Following are some of these:

Bearing Precious Seed, First Baptist Church, 1367 Woodville Pike, Milford, OH 45145. 513-575-1706 (voice), fbcm@one.net (e-mail), http://www.fbcm.org/toc.htm (web site).

Bible & Literature Missionary Foundation, 713 Cannon Rd., Shelbyville, TN 37160. 423-684-0304.

Mt. Pisgah Baptist Church, P.O. Box 341, Oliver Springs, TN 37840. 423-435-7782 (voice), MountPisgah@juno.com (e-mail).

Old Paths Scripture Press, Caprock Baptist Church, 3627 SE 29th St., Amarillo, TX 79103. 806-373-7575 (voice), KA5YKB@aol.com (e-mail).

Russian Bible Society, P.O. Box 6068, Asheville, NC 28816. 828-681-0370 (voice), 828-681-0371 (fax), http:// www.abraxis.com/ alligood/rusbiblesoc.htm (web site).

Trinitarian Bible Society Canada, 39 Caldwell Crescent, Brampton, Ontario, Canada L6W 1A2. 416-454-4688 (voice).

Trinitarian Bible Society England, 17 Kingston Rd., London, SW19 3NN, England. 081-543-7857 (voice), www.biz.ukonline.co.uk/ trinitarian.bible.society/ (web site).

Wyldewood Baptist Church, 3030 Witzel Ave., Oshkosh, WI 54904-9371. 920-235-5400 (church), 920-232-5560 (print shop), revrgk@vbe.com (pastor's e-mail), wbcts@juno.com (print shop's e-mail), http:// www.wyldewood.org (web site).

Without going any further, though, into the workings and doctrinal position of other Bible publishers, it is enough here to remind our readers that none of these should be confused with the United Bible Societies. For the purpose of this study, we are referring only to member bodies of the United Bible Societies when we use the term "Bible society."

The United Bible Societies' New President

The newly elected (August 2004) president of the United Bible Societies (UBS), George Barton, is a

member of the extremely liberal St. Ninians Uniting Church in Wellington, New Zealand. The church's statement of purpose includes "being open to and inclusive of all people" and "exploring a variety of theological perspectives." The church's pastor, Neil Kessing, sees his task as "enabling people to relate to Jesus and to the creative life force of the Universe who we call 'God'" (St. Ninians' web site). Kessing is "not afraid to question traditional dogma or to offer alternative ways of understanding the Bible." Barton was president of the Bible Society of New Zealand from 1996–98. The appointment of Barton as head of the UBS is not surprising in light of its longstanding apostasy, which we will document in this report.

THE BIBLE SOCIETIES' STRANGE HISTORY

The Bible societies have been leavened with apostasy from their very inception in the early 19th century. The destructive seeds of false doctrine and ecumenism were present from the earliest days.

The first Bible Society was formed in 1804 in England and named the British & Foreign Bible Society (BFBS). It was established on March 7, 1804, at London Tavern (The History of Christianity, Lion Publishing, 1977, p. 558). The BFBS, which was a founding member of the UBS in 1946, was deeply leavened with heresy from the beginning. Consider a few well-documented facts regarding this group's early history:

The British Bible Society Worked with Roman Catholic Priests

"Roman Catholics also enjoyed the support of the BFBS. Soon after its founding, the BFBS sent funds to Bishop Michael Wittmann [Roman Catholic] of Regensburg. When the Bavarian priest, Johannes Gossner prepared a German translation of the New Testament, he too was supported by the BFBS. The main Catholic agent of the BFBS was, however, Leander van Ess, a priest and professor of [Catholic] theology at Marburg" (The History of Christianity, p. 558).

"The policy of the United Bible Societies regarding the Apocrypha and interconfessional co-operation with Roman Catholic scholars on Bible translations was outlined in a booklet published by the American Bible Society in 1970 ... Referring to the interdenominational character of the Bible societies, [the booklet] states that Roman Catholics participated in the founding of some Bible societies in Europe, and that 'the British and Foreign Bible Society from the beginning cooperated with Roman Catholic groups.' It is also acknowledged that Roman Catholic churchmen were invited to participate in the founding of the American Bible Society in 1816" ("The Bible Societies," Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, Jan.-Mar., 1979, pp. 13-14).

The British Bible Society Invited Unitarian Participation

Most of the readers of this study will know that Unitarians, while claiming to be Christian, have no right to be called such. They deny the very Triune God of the Scriptures, the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. They scoff at Christ's Deity, vehemently denying that our Lord was very God and very Man. How, then, can they possibly be considered Christians? And yet, the British & Foreign Bible Society brought these heretics into its membership upon its founding at the turn of the 19th century. The shameful history is given briefly from firsthand accounts and historical documents quoted from the files of the Trinitarian Bible Society in London.

"When the constitution of the British and Foreign Bible Society was first formulated, it was understandably not foreseen that the question of Unitarianism would have much relevance to the society's work. Before long, however, UNITARIANS GAINED SUBSTANTIAL INFLUENCE UPON THE AFFAIRS OF THE BIBLE SOCIETY, PARTICULARLY IN EUROPE, WHERE SOME AUXILIARY SOCIETIES WERE RUN ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY BY PERSONS OF UNITARIAN BELIEFS" (Brown, *The Word of God Among All Nations*, p. 12).

It was the failure to secure a provision in the society's constitution to remove the Unitarian heretics which led to the formation of a separate organization in 1831, the Trinitarian Bible Society.

"The Trinitarian Bible Society was founded in 1831 after a period of controversy among supporters of the British and Foreign Bible Society regarding the constitution and policy of that Society. Deep concern was expressed over the lack of a Scriptural doctrinal basis sufficiently explicit to ensure that 'Unitarians' denying the Deity of the Lord Jesus Christ could not be admitted to membership or hold office in the Society. A motion recommending the adoption of such a basis was the subject of a prolonged and heated debate in Exeter Hall in the Strand, London, at the Annual Meeting. THE MOTION WAS REJECTED BY A LARGE MAJORITY, but those who were deeply convinced that the decision was wrong from 'Provisional Committee' ... When it became clear that there was no prospect of bringing this about [the changing of the BFBS's unscriptural policies], the 'Provisional Committee' convened a meeting to establish a Bible Society on Scriptural principles" (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, No. 475, April-June, 1981, p. 3).

One would certainly think that a Bible Society should be founded on "Scriptural principles"! As we have seen, though, such was not the case with the British and Foreign Bible Society, and such has not been the case with the other societies which have banded together to form the United Bible Societies. They translate and distribute the Bible, but they do not obey it.

The British Bible Society Did Not Allow Public Prayer Or Bible Quotations In Its Meetings!

The history of the British and Foreign Bible Society becomes even stranger. One compromise leads to another, as the Bible so solemnly warns. Let's take a closer look at the British and Foreign Bible Society around 1830, keeping in mind that the Unitarians were a strong presence by this time. There arose a question over the desirability of offering up prayer to God at meetings of the society, concerning which there was no provision in the society's constitution. Lack of such provision would perhaps not have led to serious disagreement were it not for the simultaneous problem about Unitarians. There was a feeling that public prayer to God, offered in the name of Christ, was being avoided for fear of giving offence to Unitarian members. ...

The committee was urged to call a special meeting of the society to settle the matter, but it refused to do so. Since the society's rules did not provide for the requisitioning of special meetings by the members, there was no option but to raise the matter at the next Anniversary Meeting, in May 1831. ... It was to be expected that, with these emotive issues occupying the minds of many people, the Anniversary Meeting would run into stormy weather. The meeting took place on Wednesday, May 4th, 1831, at the newly built Exeter Hall in the Strand. ...

On this occasion the annual report included a recommendation that oral prayer should not be introduced at meetings of the society, but made no explicit reference to the problem about Unitarians. ... At the conclusion of the seconder's speech, a degree of excitement seemed to pervade the Meeting ... J.E. Gordon immediately advanced from the northern end of the platform, and took his place on the right of the chair, amidst loud and continued applause. Several minutes passed before order was restored, and then Gordon spoke:

"If, instead of thus clapping your hands, you would lift up your hands to the throne of grace, I must take the liberty of saying, you would perform an act more becoming a Christian Society. ... The first portion which I seek to establish is, that the British and Foreign Bible Society is preeminently a religious and Christian Institution, and that no person rejecting the doctrine of the triune Jehovah. ..." —interrupted by thunders of applause, which lasted several minutes, BUT WHICH WERE IMMEDIATELY REPLIED TO BY MOST DETERMINED HISSING FROM VARIOUS PARTS OF THE MEETING.

When order was restored, Gordon resumed his speech: "...That no person rejecting the doctrine of the triune Jehovah can be considered a member of a Christian institution. Thirdly, that in conformity with this principle, the expression 'denominations of Christians' in the Ninth General Law of the Society, by distinctly understood to include such denominations of Christians only as profess their belief in the doctrine of the Holy Trinity."

He went on to say that he would not at present raise the question of opening meetings with prayer, as this would be an utter waste of time if the proposition about non-Trinitarians was not at first accepted. When he sought to justify his arguments by quoting from Scripture, HE WAS MET BY REPEATED INTERRUPTIONS AND HECKLING FROM PART OF THE AUDIENCE. THE CHAIRMAN, LORD BEXLEY, SIDED WITH THE INTERRUPTERS AND RESTRAINED GORDON FROM CITING SCRIPTURE, ON THE GROUNDS THAT TO COMMENT ON THE SCRIPTURE WAS "TO GO AGAINST THE PRINCIPLE OF THE INSTITUTION."

A general uproar ensued which the Rev. William Howels vainly tried to calm ... Gordon was seconded by the Rev. George Washington Philips ... Amid scenes of wild disorder, one speaker after another failed to make themselves heard. ... AT THE END OF THE MEETING, WHICH LASTED FIVE AND A HALF HOURS, GORDON'S PROPOSALS WERE VOTED ON BY A SHOW OF HANDS, AND REJECTED BY A MAJORITY ESTIMATED AT 6 TO 1 (Brown, *The Word of God Among All Nations,* pp. 12-16, quoting *The Record*, May 5th, 1831).

Could anything be stranger than this true history of the British Bible Society? What a shameful, sad account! Here we have professing Christians hissing at and heckling a man of God who had made a simple proposition that those who deny the Triune God should have no part in that God's business! Do not forget that these were supposed Christian leaders and men involved in Bible translation and distribution. Here we have a Bible Society refusing to allow the Bible to be quoted, saying such is against their principles! Here we have a Bible Society having to fight a great battle just to have public prayer allowed in their meetings! And here we have a Bible Society, within 30 years of its founding, voting 6 to 1 against separating from Bibleand Christ-denying Unitarians!

If any of our readers are confused at this, please understand that those causing the trouble at the

meeting discussed above were not true Christians in any sense. The Bible warns that there will be many who claim to be Christians, but who will be false Christians. The Lord Jesus Christ Himself warned of this many times: "And many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many" (Matt. 24:11). "Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves" (Matt. 7:15). Those who were in control of the Bible Society in Britain in the 1830s were the wolves in sheep's clothing lesus warned of. What could be more clever than for the Devil to take over the very production and distribution of the Bible! He has done exactly this during the past century and a half. Praise God that the gates of hell shall not prevail against the true churches (Matthew 16:18), and even in this day of awful apostasy (turning away) from the truth there are many churches and organizations continuing to translate and distribute the pure Word of God and who not only distribute the Bible but OBEY the Bible! The Word of God is not lost, and God's work is not confounded. At the same time, it is true that much of the work of Bible production has been taken over by heretics.

The Apostles added their voices to Christ's warning about false teachers. Paul foretold that conditions among professing Christians will grow increasingly corrupt: "This know also, that in the last days perilous times shall come. For men ... [will have] a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away. ... Ever learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of truth. ... Evil men and seducers SHALL WAX WORSE AND WORSE, deceiving, and being deceived" (2 Timothy 3:1, 5, 7, 13). What a perfect description of today's Bible Society "scholars," who are swept from one new theory of inspiration and textual criticism to another!

THE BIBLE SOCIETIES' APOSTATE VERSIONS

To produce and distribute the Bible is good only insofar as the Bible being distributed is an accurate translation of the preserved Word of God. The Devil has not kept his dirty hands out of the matters surrounding Bible production. As early as the first century A.D. we find the Apostle Paul warning that many evil men were already about the Devil's business of corrupting the Word of God. "For we are not AS MANY, WHICH CORRUPT THE WORD OF GOD: but as of sincerity, but as of God, in the sight of God speak we in Christ" (2 Corinthians 2:17). Note that the Apostle reported that MANY were then corrupting the word of God.

In fact, the attempted corruption of God's Word began at the dawn of man's history when the Devil questioned and openly denied the Word of God in his conversation with Eve. For her part, Eve changed the Word of God when she quoted it:

"And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, let ye die" (Genesis 3:2-3).

God did not say exactly what Eve quoted. God's exact command was this: "Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die" (Genesis 2:16-17). Note how Eve corrupted God's Word by adding her own thought about not touching the tree and by subtracting the word "freely." To change God's Word in any way is to corrupt it. If one takes away from God's Word or adds to it or changes the meaning, he corrupts it.

This dirty business of tampering with the Word of God has not ceased since that terrible day in the Garden of Eden. In the days of the prophets in Israel we are told that the false prophets "perverted the words of the living God" (Jer. 23:36). Yes, the Devil and his cohorts have been busy corrupting the Word of God throughout earthly history.

Roman Catholic Versions

The Bible societies have distributed many different kinds of versions in various parts of the world. They have actually distributed Roman Catholic versions, that is, versions produced by the Roman Catholic Church. An example comes from Canada.

The Canadian Bible Society [a member of the United Bible Societies] is prepared to make use of Roman Catholic versions like that of Ronald Knox, a modern English translation of the Latin Vulgate [Roman Catholic version]. Copies of this version have been circulated bearing on the front cover, 'The New Testament, presented by the Canadian Bible Society, an Auxiliary of the British and Foreign Bible Society.' The title page has the words, 'This New Testament is a gift from the Canadian Bible Society, an Auxiliary of the British and Foreign Bible Society.'... The Preface continues with commendations by Cardinal Griffin and Pope Pius XII. The title page bears the names of the publishers-Montreal: Palm Publishers.; London: Burns and Oates. Publishers to the Holy See [the Roman Catholic Vatican in Rome] (Perry F. Rockwood, God's Inspired Preserved Bible, pp. 39-40).

This business of distributing Roman Catholic Bibles began in the very earliest days of the Bible societies. We have already shown that the British and Foreign Bible Society supported versions produced by Roman Catholic priests in the early 1800s. These Roman priests were working with the Latin Vulgate, the officially approved Catholic version. Catholic Bibles had to contain the approved notes that taught Catholic heresies.

According to the Catechism prescribed by Pope Pius X in 1911:

Any translation of the Bible into our mother tongue may be read, if it has been approved by the Catholic Church ... and if it is accompanied by the explanations approved by the Church. If a Christian should be offered a Bible by a Protestant, or by some emissary of the Protestants, he ought to reject it with horror, because it is forbidden by the Church; and if he should have accepted it without noticing what it was, he should at once pitch it into the fire, or fetch it to his Pastor. The [Catholic] Church prohibits Protestant Bibles, because they are either altered and contain errors, or not having her approval and notes explaining obscure passages, they may be injurious to faith. For this reason the Church also prohibits translations of Holy Scripture which she has already approved, but which are reprinted without the explanations approved by her.

This policy was changed somewhat during the 1960s, but when we read that a Bible society sponsored the work of a Catholic priest prior to Vatican II we can be sure that the version was purely Roman Catholic.

That this was a great problem in the early days of the Bible societies is seen in the protests made against the policy by godly men. An illustration is found in two letters to the Trinitarian Bible Society, one in 1859 and one in 1860, by a missionary and Bible translator. He speaks concerning God's work among the Spanishspeaking people. Consider the wise things this man had to say about Bible distribution, and keep in mind that he is referring to the practice of the British and Foreign Bible Society in circulating Catholic versions of the Bible:

THE IMPORTANT QUESTION IS NOT THE MERE NUMBER OF COPIES [OF THE BIBLE] THAT ARE PUT INTO CIRCULATION, BUT THE CHARACTER OF THOSE COPIES AND THEIR FREEDOM FROM DOCTRINAL CORRUPTION. We should think but little of sermons preached, if we were only told that their number was very great, and we had reason to believe they did not set forth the Gospel of Christ, or if we knew that their object was to deny some foundation truth: one orthodox declaration of Jesus Christ crucified would be worth them all and more.

On the subject of the Romish versions, it seems however, to be peculiarly difficult to obtain a proper hearing, and to convince well-meaning persons that we are not justified in putting forth as the truth of God some known error in the hope of effecting some supposed extensive good (September 12, 1860).

THOSE WHO DEFEND THE CIRCULATION OF THE FALSIFIED ROMISH VERSION OF HOLY SCRIPTURE CONTINUALLY SPEAK AS IF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SUCH AND HONESTLY MADE TRANSLATIONS WERE SO SLIGHT THAT THE QUESTION IS ONE OF BUT LITTLE PRACTICAL IMPORTANCE....

We may well ask, Is it important whether we consider our Lord Jesus Christ to be the bruiser of the serpent's head, or attribute this to the Virgin Mary? Is it of no consequence that the second commandment be altered so as to make it only forbid the rendering of supreme worship to images? [Catholic doctrine makes this change in order to allow for the idolatry which goes on within Catholicism with its multitudes of statues, pictures, and holy trinkets which are worshipped by the followers of Romanism.] Are we to regard the substitution of penance in the place of repentance as of slight moment? [The Catholic versions make all of these corruptions in their official Scriptures, either in the text, or through their footnotes and "explanations." So I might go on with inquiry after inquiry, and THE RESULT WOULD BE THE PLAIN PROOF THAT THE DIFFERENCES ARE SERIOUS INDEED: FOR THEY SUBSTITUTE THE FALSE DOCTRINE OF MAN FOR THE TRUTH INSPIRED BY THE HOLY GHOST, AND THEY GIVE APPARENT SANCTION OF GOD TO THAT WHICH IS SO CONTRARY TO HIS HOLY WILL. Those who thus defend the corrupted versions show, that either they are really unacquainted with them, or else that they do not object to the false doctrine of Rome thus insidiously introduced. ...

But how do some engaged in circulating the Scriptures gain their experience? They would speak of copies sold, and of the individuals into whose hands they pass. But there is another kind of experience little known to such distributors or sellers, and the results of this I wish to state. Let anyone who intelligently knows the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ have to do not only with Bible distribution but also with the reading of Holy Scripture himself to Roman Catholics. ... HE WILL BE MADE TO FEEL, POINT BY POINT, THAT A SINGLE PERVERTED WORD BECOMES OF CONSEQUENCE. ... I HAVE BEEN REPEATEDLY SO CIRCUMSTANCED AS TO BE MADE TO FEEL THIS PAINFULLY. ... I SPEAK FROM AMPLE EXPERIENCE WHEN I SAY, THAT THERE IS NO REASONABLE GROUND FOR REGARDING THE DIFFERENCES AS SLIGHT, UNLESS, INDEED, WE SEEK TO PALLIATE ROMISH ERROR (Samuel Prideaux Tregelles, September 17, 1859, quoted by Brown, *The Word of God Among All Nations*, pp. 41-44).

A few comments on this honorable letter are in order. First, note the wise observation that "the important question is not the mere number of copies of the Bible that are put into circulation, but the character of those copies and their freedom from doctrinal corruption." We cannot forget this when considering the work of the United Bible Societies. Though they have done an amazing amount of work, they have done as much harm as good since great numbers of the Scriptures distributed corrupted. Second. beina are the missionary recognized that even seemingly "small" changes in the Bible are important and produce great harm. We agree wholeheartedly with his conclusion "a that single perverted becomes word of consequence." Amen and amen! We could only wish that the United Bible Societies had such a wonderful attitude toward the holy, eternal, God-breathed words of Scripture.

We have seen, then, that the Bible societies have distributed various kinds of versions, even purely Roman Catholic ones. Of course, they have also distributed accurate versions such as the King James Bible in English and the old Luther Bible in German, but the Bible reminds us that "a little leaven leavens the whole lump."

The apostasy of the Bible societies has come into full blossom in the 20th century. This appears in the form of their Greek New Testament and three versions taken from it, the Revised Standard Version, the Today's English Version and the New English Bible.

The copyright of the Revised Standard Version is held by the National Council of Churches in America, but it is widely distributed by the Bible societies. I saw hundreds of copies of the RSV at the Bible Society of India office in Calcutta in the early 1980s.

The Today's English Version (Good News Bible) was published by the American Bible Society, founding member of the United Bible Societies. This version has become the model for many of the so-called "common language" versions being produced throughout the world by the United Bible Societies (as well as by other organizations such as Wycliffe Bible Translators).

The New English Bible was produced by the British & Foreign Bible Society.

The United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament (or one of its earlier predecessors) is the basis for all of these translations.

THE UBS GREEK NEW TESTAMENT

The Third Edition of the United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament was published in 1975; it is also the 26th edition of the Nestle–Aland text. Its influence is incalculable. It is used for study and translation throughout the world, and can be found in practically every country, in pastor's libraries, on translator's desks, in Bible college classrooms. What sort of Greek text is this? We limit our comments to two basic observations:

The UBS Greek New Testament Was Produced by Heretics

The Bible forbids God's people to fellowship with heretics. Romans 16:17 says, "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them." 2 Timothy 2:16 commands us to "shun profane and vain babblings: for they will increase unto more ungodliness." 2 Timothy 3 describes the apostasy of the end times, and warns of those who have a form of godliness but deny the power thereof. From such God says to "turn away" (2 Tim. 3:5).

As we look at those who produced the UBS Greek New Testament and see their deep apostasy, we must keep these warnings in mind. God has commanded us to separate from heretics. It is clear that He would not use heretics to give us the Scriptures!

The editors of the UBS Greek New Testament are Kurt Aland, Matthew Black, Carlo M. Martini, Bruce M. Metzger, and Allen Wikgren. Eugene A. Nida also "took part in Committee discussions, especially those relating to major decisions of policy and method (The Greek New Testament, United Bible Societies, Preface to the First Edition, 1965). Not one of these men believes the Bible is the verbally inspired, infallible Word of God. Six of these men are theological Catholic modernists. The seventh is а Roman archbishop!

Jesuit cardinal CARLO MARIA MARTINI (1927-) is the Roman Catholic Archbishop of Milan. Since 1967, he has been a member of the editorial committee of the United Bible Societies Greek New Testament. His diocese in Europe is the largest in the world, with two thousand priests and five million "laity." He is Professor of New Testament Textual Criticism at the Pontifical Biblical Institute in Rome. He is also President of the Council of European Bishop's Conferences. Time magazine, December 26, 1994, listed him as а possible candidate in line for the papacy. Another Time article reported that Martini brought magazine together a syncretistic convocation of over 100 religious leaders from around the world to promote a one-world religion. In addressing new age, this meeting, Mikhail Gorbachev said. "We need to synthesize a new religion for thinking men that will universalize that religion for the world and lead us into a new age."

EUGENE **NIDA** (1914–) is the father of the blasphemous dynamic equivalency theory of Bible translation. Originally with Wycliffe Bible Translators, Nida has been associated with the American Bible Society and the United Bible Societies since 1943. "In addition to administrative responsibilities, his work involved field surveys, research, training programs, checking manuscripts of new translations, and the writing of numerous books and articles on linguistics, anthropology and the science of meaning. This work has taken him to more than 85 countries, where he has conferred with scores of translators on linguistic problems involving more than 200 different languages. Dr. Nida was also Translation Research Coordinator for the United Bible Societies from 1970 to 1980" (Record, American Bible Society, March 1986, p. 17). Though retired, Nida retains his relationship with the ABS and UBS as a Special Consultant for Translations, and is active in research, writing, and lecturing.

As to **his view of biblical inspiration**, Nida says, "...God's revelation involved limitations. ... Biblical revelation is not absolute and all divine revelation is essentially incarnational. ... Even if a truth is given only in words, it has no real validity until it has been translated into life. ... The words are in a sense nothing in and of themselves. ... the word is void unless related to experience" (Nida, Message and Mission, pp. 222–228).

The Psalmist did not hold to Nida's theories about the words of Scripture. He said, "The words of the Lord are pure words..." (Psalm 12:6). Throughout Scripture, it is the very words of the Bible that are said to be important, not just the basic meaning. The words of the Bible ARE something in and of themselves, regardless of whether they are related to anything else. Nida is wrong. The words of the Bible are intrinsically the eternal words of God. Nida's chief problem is his rejection of the doctrine of verbal, plenary inspiration.

"Nida states emphatically that the biblical revelation is not 'absolute' and applies Paul's statement that 'now we see through a glass, darkly' (1 Cor. 13:12) to the biblical revelation itself, which as the really incarnate Word can offer no absolute truth. Because it is a medium of communication within a limited cultural context, human language is unsuited as a vehicle for supernatural, eternal truths that would, in fact, need a language that is unhuman or divine" (Nida, *Message and Mission*, pp. 224-228, cited by Van Bruggen, p. 76).

"In a time when the Bible was thought to be written in a kind of Holy Ghost language, the only criterion to exegetical accuracy was the pious hope that one's interpretations were in accord with accepted doctrine. At a later period, when grammar was viewed almost exclusively from an historical perspective, one could only hope to arrive at valid conclusions by 'historical reconstructs,' but these often proved highly impressionistic. At present, linguistics has provided much more exact tools of analysis based on the dynamic functioning of language, and it is to these that one ought to look for significant developments in the future" (Eugene Nida, *Language Structure and Translation*, Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press, 1975, p. 259).

Nida is dead wrong in his views that the Bible is not absolute, is not eternal truth, and that it is written in imperfect language. Though written by imperfect men, the Bible is written in words chosen by God and settled forever in heaven. The Bible IS written in a language that is divine; it IS Holy Ghost language. The Bible's words are God's words and they have eternal validity whether or not they are "translated into life," whether or not they are understood by man!

Nida says the **accounts of angels and miracles** are not necessarily to be interpreted literally.

".. wrestling with an angel all have different meanings than in our own culture" (Nida, *Message and Mission*, p. 41).

The Bible's accounts of angels do not have different meanings for different cultures. They are infallibly recorded accounts of historical events. Jesus Christ believed in literal angels and interpreted the Old Testament miracles literally, and He is certainly a more faithful guide than Dr. Nida.

As to the atonement of Jesus Christ, Nida says, "Most scholars, both Protestant and Roman Catholic, interpret the references to the redemption of the believer by Jesus Christ, not as evidence of any commercial transaction by any guid pro guo between Christ and God or between the 'two natures of God' (his love and his justice), but as a figure of the 'cost,' in terms of suffering" (Eugene Nida and Charles Taber, Theory and Practice, 1969, p. 53). In A Translator's Handbook on Paul's Letter to the Romans, Nida (with co-author Barclay Newman) says, "...'blood' is used in this passage [Romans 3:25] in the same way that it is used in a number of other places in the New Testament, that is, to indicate a violent death. Although this noun [propitiation] (and its related forms) is sometimes used by pagan writers in the sense of propitiation (that is, an act to appease or placate a god), it is never used this way in the Old Testament."

Nida is wrong. The sacrifice of Christ was not just a figure: it WAS a placation of God, of His holiness and of the righteous demands in His law. Christ's sacrifice WAS a commercial transaction between Christ and God. and was NOT merely a figure of the cost in terms of suffering. The sacrifice of Calvary was a true sacrifice, and that sacrifice required the offering of blood-not just a violent death as Nida says. Blood is blood and death is death, and we believe that God is wise enough to know which of these words should be used. Romans 5:8-10 teaches us that salvation required BOTH the blood and death of Christ. Had Christ died, for example, by strangulation, though it would have been a violent death, it would not have atoned for sin because blood is required. Those, like Nida, who tamper with or reinterpret the blood atonement often claim to believe in the cross of Christ and in

justification by grace, but they are rendering the Cross ineffective by reinterpreting its meaning. There is no grace without a true propitiation. This word means "satisfaction" and refers to the fact that the sin debt was satisfied by the blood atonement of Christ. The great difference between the heathen concept of propitiating God and that of the Bible is this—the God of the Bible paid the propitiation Himself through His own Sacrifice, whereas the heathen thinks that he can propitiate God through his own human labors and offerings. The fact remains, though, that God did have to be propitiated through the bloody death of His own sinless Son.

Nida is a clever man. He does not openly assault the blood atonement and the doctrine of inspiration as his translator friend Robert Bratcher does. (Bratcher, translator of the Today's English Version, has coauthored books with Nida.) Nida uses the same words as the Bible believer, but he reinterprets key words and passages such as those above. This is called Neoorthodoxy. Beware.

Nida says **Bible language was not given of God** but was determined by the writers of the Bible.

"Nida and Taber state that Paul, if he had been writing for us rather than for his original audience, would not only have written in a different language-form, but also would have said the same things differently" (Jakob Van Bruggen, citing Nida and Charles Taber, *Theory and Practice of Translation*, p. 23, n. 3).

Nida does not believe the Bible's own confession about its nature. In 2 Peter 1:21 we read that "the prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost." Since the Bible writers did not choose their words, it is heretical to say they would write in a different language form if they were writing today. Paul's words did not arise from his own will and context but were Revelations from Heaven and were written in words chosen by God. "But I certify you, brethren, that the gospel which was preached of me is not after man. For I neither received it of man, neither was I taught it, but by the revelation of Jesus Christ" (Gal. 1:11–12). See also 1 Corinthians 2:10–13, where Paul states that the very words of New Testament Revelation are of God.

Nida says there are **no absolutes in Christianity** except God.

"The only absolute in Christianity is the triune God. Anything which involves man, who is finite and limited, must of necessity be limited, and hence relative. Biblical culture relativism is an obligatory feature of our incarnational religion, for without it we would either absolutize human institutions or relativize God" (Eugene Nida, *Customs and Cultures*, New York: Harper & Row, 1954, p. 282, footnote 22).

Nida puts everything which man has touched in the category of imperfection, even the Bible and the institutions of described in Scripture, such as the tabernacle, the priesthood, and the church. Nida is wrong. The Bible, though written by fallible man, is infallible Revelation.

Nida says **Bible translation is to be tested by the** response of non-christians and by youth.

"Nida and Taber describe the difference between an earlier concept of translating and their own concept as a shift of the focus from the 'form of the message' to the 'response of the receptor'; therefore the translator must now determine in particular the response of the receptor to the translated message (p. 1). Here it is not a matter of an abstraction, such as 'The English-speaking person,' but it is a matter of real individuals that appears when Nida and Taber desire that translations be attuned to non-Christians and to youth (pp. 31-32), and be tested by the potential users (p. 163)" (Van Bruggen, citing Nida and Taber, *Theory and Practice of Translation*).

Nida has things backwards. How could unsaved people and young people determine if a Bible is an accurate translation of the preserved Greek and Hebrew text of Scripture? They don't have the ability, spiritually or educationally, to make such a determination. The Bible plainly says the unsaved cannot understand God's Word (1 Cor. 2:12–14). It is the translator's job to make an accurate Bible translation. It is then the job of evangelists and teachers to help people understand the Bible.

Nida's erroneous view of the Bible is his foundational heresy, and this heresy alone is justification for God's people to mark and avoid him (Romans 16:17). It is very strange to see people who profess to accept the Bible as the inerrant Word of God following the teachings of men who deny this precious doctrine.

Another of the editors of the United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament is BRUCE MANNING METZGER (1914-). Metzger is George L. Collord Professor of New Testament Language and Literature, Princeton Theological Seminary, and he serves on the board of the American Bible Society. Metzger is the head of the continuing RSV translation committee of the apostate National Council of Churches in the U.S.A. The Revised Standard Version was soundly condemned for its modernism when it first appeared in 1952. Today its chief editor sometimes is invited to speak at Evangelical forums. The RSV hasn't changed. but Evangelicalism certainly has!

Metzger was the chairman for the Reader's Digest Condensed Bible and wrote the introductions to each book in this butchered version of the Scriptures. The Preface claims that "Dr. Metzger was actively involved at every stage of the work, from the initial studies on each of the sixty-six books through all the subsequent editorial reviews. The finished condensation has his full approval." The Condensed Bible received removed 40% of the Bible text, including the warning of Revelation 22:18-19! In the introductions to the books of the Reader's Digest Bible, Metzger guestions the authorship, traditional date, and supernatural inspiration of books penned by Moses, Daniel, and

Peter, and in many other ways reveals his liberal, unbelieving heart. Consider some examples:

Genesis: "Nearly all modern scholars agree that, like the other books of the Pentateuch, [Genesis] is a composite of several sources, embodying traditions that go back in some cases to Moses."

Exodus: "As with Genesis, several strands of literary tradition, some very ancient, some as late as the sixth century B.C., were combined in the makeup of the books" (Introduction to Exodus).

Deuteronomy: "It's compilation is generally assigned to the seventh century B.C., though it rests upon much older tradition, some of it from Moses' time."

Daniel: "Most scholars hold that the book was compiled during the persecutions (168-165 B.C.) of the Jewish people by Antiochus Epiphanes."

John: "Whether the book was written directly by John, or indirectly (his teachings may have been edited by another), the church has accepted it as an authoritative supplement to the story of Jesus' ministry given by the other evangelists."

1 Timothy, 2 Timothy, Titus: "Judging by differences in style and vocabulary from Paul's other letters, many modern scholars think that the Pastorals were not written by Paul."

James: "Tradition ascribes the letter to James, the Lord's brother, writing about A.D. 45, but **modern opinion is uncertain**, and differs widely on both origin and date."

2 Peter: "Because the author refers to the letters of Paul as 'scripture,' a term apparently not applied to them until long after Paul's death, **most modern scholars think that this letter was drawn up in Peter's name** sometime between A.D. 100 and 150."

Metzger's modernism was also evident in the notes to the New Oxford Annotated Bible RSV (1973). Metzger co-edited this volume with Herbert May. It first appeared in 1962 as the Oxford Annotated Bible and was the first Protestant annotated edition of the Bible to be approved by a Roman Catholic authority. It was given an imprimatur in 1966 by Cardinal Cushing, Archbishop of Boston, Massachusetts. Metzger wrote many of the rationalistic notes in this volume and put his editorial stamp of approval on the rest. Consider some excerpts from the notes:

INTRODUCTION TO THE OLD TESTAMENT: "The Old Testament may be described as the literary expression of the religious life of ancient Israel. ... The Israelites were more history-conscious than any other people in the ancient world. Probably as early as the time of David and Solomon, out of a matrix of myth, legend, and history, there had appeared the earliest written form of the story of the saving acts of God from Creation to the conquest of the Promised Land, an account which later in modified form became a part of Scripture. But it was to be a long time before the idea of Scripture arose and the Old Testament took its present form. ... The process by which the Jews became 'the people of the Book' was gradual, and the development is shrouded in the mists of history and tradition. ... The date of the final compilation of the Pentateuch or Law, which was the first corpus or larger body of literature that came to be regarded by the Jews as authoritative Scripture, is uncertain, although some have conservatively dated it at the time of the Exile in the sixth century. ... Before the adoption of the Pentateuch as the Law of Moses, there had been compiled and edited in the spirit and diction of the Deuteronomic 'school' the group of books consisting of Deuteronomy, Joshua, Judges, Samuel, and Kings, in much their present form. ... Thus the Pentateuch took shape over a long period of time."

NOTES ON GENESIS: "[Genesis] 2.4b-3.24 ... is a different tradition from that in 1.1-2,4a, as evidenced by the flowing style and the different order of events, e.g. man is created before vegetation, animals, and woman. ... 7:16b: The Lord shut him in, a note from the early tradition, which delights in anthropomorphic touches. 7:18-20: The waters covered all the high mountains, thus threatening a confluence of the upper and lower waters (1.6). Archaeological evidence suggests that **traditions of a prehistoric flood covering the whole earth are heightened versions of local inundations**, e.g. in the Tigris-Euphrates basin."

NOTES ON JOB: "The **ancient folktale** of a patient Job (1.1-2.13; 42.7-17; Jas. 5.11) circulated orally among oriental sages in the second millennium B.C. and was

probably written down in Hebrew at the time of David and Solomon or a century later (about 1000-800 B.C.)."

NOTES ON PSALM 22: "22:12-13: ... the meaning of the third line [they have pierced my hands and feet] is obscure." [Editor: No, it is not obscure; it is a prophecy of Christ's crucifixion!]

NOTES ON ISAIAH: "Only chs. 1-39 can be assigned to Isaiah's time; **it is generally accepted that chs. 40-66 come from the time of Cyrus of Persia (539 B.C.) and later**, as shown by the differences in historical background, literary style, and theological emphases. ... The contents of this section [chs. 56-66] (sometimes called **Third Isaiah**) suggest a date between 530 and 510 B.C., perhaps contemporary with Haggai and Zechariah (520-518); chapters 60-62 may be later."

NOTES ON JONAH: "The book is didactic narrative which has taken older material from the realm of **popular legend** and put it to a new, more consequential use."

INTRODUCTION TO THE NEW TESTAMENT: "Jesus himself left no literary remains; information regarding his words and works comes from his immediate followers (the apostles) and their disciples. At first this information was circulated orally. As far as we know today, the first attempt to produce a written Gospel was made by John Mark, who according to tradition was a disciple of the Apostle Peter. This Gospel, along with a collection of sayings of Jesus and several other special sources, formed the basis of the Gospels attributed to Matthew and Luke." [Editor: The Gospels, like every part of the New Testament, were written by direct inspiration of the Holy Spirit. This nonsense of trying to find 'the original source' for the Gospels is unbelieving heresy.]

NOTES ON 2 PETER: "The tradition that this letter is the work of the apostle Peter was questioned in early times, and internal indications are almost decisive against it. ... Most scholars therefore regard the letter as the work of one who was deeply indebted to Peter and who published it under his master's name early in the second century." [Editor: Those who believe this nonsense must think the early Christians were fools and the Holy Spirit was on a vacation.]

NOTES FROM "HOW TO READ THE BIBLE WITH UNDERSTANDING": "The opening chapters of the Old Testament deal with human origins. They are not to be **read as history** ... These chapters are followed by the stories of the patriarchs, which preserve ancient traditions now known to reflect the conditions of the times of which they tell, though **they cannot be treated as strictly historical**. ... it is not for history but for religion that they are preserved ... When we come to the books of Samuel and Kings ... Not all in these books is of the same historical value, and especially in the stories of Elijah and Elisha there **are legendary elements**. ... We should always remember the variety of literary forms found in the Bible, and should read a passage in the light of its own particular literary character. **Legend should be read as legend**, and poetry as poetry, and **not with a dull prosaic and literalistic mind**."

This modernistic babble is a lie. The Pentateuch was written by the hand of Moses and completed during the 40 years of wilderness wandering hundreds of years before Samuel and the kings. The Old Testament did not arise gradually from a matrix of myth and history, but is inspired revelation delivered to holy men of old by Almighty God. The Jews were a "people of the book" from the beginning. The Jewish nation did not form the Bible; the Bible formed the Jewish nation! In Metzger's "Introduction to the New Testament" in the New Oxford Annotated Bible, he completely ignores the inspiration of the Holy Spirit and claims that the Gospels are composed of material gathered from oral tradition. The Bible says nothing about this, but Jesus Christ plainly tells us that the Holy Spirit would guide the Apostles into all truth (John 16:7-15). The Gospels are divine revelation, not some happenstance editing of oral tradition.

Bruce Metzger is a heretic. He piously claims on one hand that the Bible is the inspired Word of God; but out of the other side of the mouth he claims the Bible is filled with myth and error. He denies the Bible's history, its miracles, and its authorship, while, in true liberal style, declaring that this denial does not do injustice to the Word of God, because, he says, the Bible is not "written for history but for religion" and is not to be read "with a dull prosaic and literalistic mind"!

Metzger has been called an Evangelical by some who should know better, but upon the authority of the man's own writings. I declare that Bruce Metzger is an unbeliever. He is a false teacher. He is an apostate. He is a heretic. Those are all Bible terms. Having studied many of the man's works. I am convinced those are the terms that must be applied to him. One Baptist writer cautiously defended Metzger to me with these words -"he did write a superb pamphlet in 1953 refuting the lehovah's Witnesses and defending the full and absolute deity of Christ." Even the Pope of Rome defends the full and absolute deity of Christ. A man can defend the deity of Christ and still be a false teacher. A man who denies the written Word also denies the Living Word. They stand or fall together. If the Bible contains error, Christ was a liar. If Christ is perfect Truth, so is the Bible.

In The New Testament, Its Background, Growth, and Content, which appeared in 1965, Metzger claims that "the discipline of form criticism has enlarged our understanding of the conditions which prevailed during the years when the gospel materials circulated by word of mouth" (p. 86). This is not true. Form criticism is that unbelieving discipline which claims that the Gospels were gradually developed out a matrix of tradition and myth. Form critics hold a variety of views (reflecting the unsettled and relativistic nature of the rationalism upon which they stand), but all of them deny that the Gospels are the verbally inspired. divinely-given, absolutely infallible Word of God. Metzger says, "What each evangelist has preserved, therefore, is not a photographic reproduction of the words and deeds of Jesus, but an interpretative portrait delineated in accord with the special needs of the early church" (Ibid.). Metzger is wrong. The Gospel writers indeed given us, by divine revelation, have а photographic reproduction of the words and deeds of Jesus Christ in precisely the form designed by the Holy Spirit to present Christ to the world. Praise God for it!

KURT ALAND (1915-) has served as coeditor of the Nestle-Aland Greek text since the 1940s. His wife, Barbara, is director of the Institute for New Testament Textual Research, Munster, Westphalia, Germany. With most Bible critics, Aland rejects verbal inspiration.

"This idea of verbal inspiration (i.e., of the literal and inerrant inspiration of the text), which the orthodoxy of both Protestant traditions maintained so vigorously, was applied to the Textus Receptus with all of its errors, including textual modifications of an obviously secondary character (as we recognize them today)" (Aland, *The Problem of the New Testament Canon*, 1962, pp. 6,7).

"The present state of affairs, of Christianity splintered into different churches and theological schools, is THE wound in the body. The variety in the actual Canon in its different forms is not only the standard symptom, but simultaneously also the real cause of its illness. This illness—which is in blatant conflict with the unity which is fundamental to its nature-cannot be tolerated. ... Along this road [of solving this supposed problem], at any rate, the question of the Canon will make its way to the centre of the theological and ecclesiastical debate. ... Only he who is ready to question himself and to take the other person seriously can find a way out of the circuus vitiosus in which the question of the Canon is moving today ... The first thing to be done, then, would be to examine critically one's own selection from the formal Canon and its principles of interpretation, but all the time remaining completely alive to the selection and principles of others. ... This road will be long and laborious and painful. ... if we succeed in arriving at a Canon which is common and actual, this means the achievement of the unity of the faith, the unity of the Church" (Aland, The Problem of the New Testament Canon, pp. 30-33).

Thus, we see that Aland does not believe in a settled, authoritative canon of Scripture. Everything is to be questioned; everything is open to change. He believes it is crucial that a new canon be created through ecumenical dialogue. He rejects verbal inspiration. Having considered the editors of the UBS Greek New Testament, we come now to the text itself.

The UBS Greek New Testament Differs Vastly from the Preserved Text

The United Bible Societies Greek New Testament is a revision of the Greek text introduced to the English Revised Version translation committee in the late nineteenth century. This text was produced by two of the members of the committee, Westcott and Hort, who preferred two Greek manuscripts (the Sinaiticus and the Vaticanus) to make hundreds of changes in the traditional Greek text that had been used up to that time. The Westcott-Hort Greek New Testament was a critically different text from the one used by Bible translators during the Protestant Reformation in the 16th and 17th centuries and by the missionary translators who produced versions in the major languages of the world during the 18th and 19th centuries.

Everett Fowler made extensive studies of the Westcott-Hort Text, the Nestle Text, the United Bible Societies (UBS) Text, and many of the modern English versions based upon these, comparing them with the Received Text and the King James Bible. When the UBS Greek New Testament (the revision of the Westcott-Hort Text that is the most popular Greek text today in Christian education and translation work) is compared with the Received Text, we learn the following:

2,625 words are omitted
310 words are added
18 entire verses omitted; 46 verses questioned by the use of brackets
221 omissions of names regarding the Lord God
318 other different omissions having substantial effect on meaning TOTAL WORD DIFFERENCES 8.674 (Fowler, Evaluating

Versions of the New Testament, p. 9).

The point is this: If the Bible Societies' Greek text (there are only 250 or so word differences between the

Westcott-Hort text and the United Bible Societies' text) is assumed to be the nearest to the verbally inspired original text, then the Received Text includes over 8,000 Greek words not inspired of God, including several dozen entire verses and portions of verses. The difference amounts to roughly the same amount of material as the books of 1 and 2 Peter combined.

The UBS New Testament deletes or questions more than 40 entire verses that are contained in the KJV and the other God-honored Protestant versions—Matt. 12:47; 17:21; 18:11; 21:44; 23:14; Mk. 7:16; 9:44,46; 11:26; 15:28 16:9-20; Lk. 17:36; 23:17; 24:12,40; Jn. 5:4; 7:53-8:11; Acts 8:37; 28:29; Rom. 16:24; and 1 Jn. 5:8. Large portions of other verses are deleted, including most of Matt. 5:44; 15:8; 19:9; 20:7; 20:16,22; 25:13; 27:35; 28:9; Mk. 6:11; 7:8; 9:49; 10:24; 11:10; 13:14; Lk. 1:28; 4:4; 9:55,56; 11:2-4; 21:4; 22:64; Jn. 5:3; Acts 2:30; 9:5-6; 23:9; 24:6-8; 28:16; Rom. 8:1; 11:6; 14:6; 1 Cor. 6:20; Gal. 3:1; Eph. 5:30; 1 Thess. 1:1; 1 Tim. 6:5; Heb. 2:7; 1 Jn. 5:13; Rev. 1:8,11; and 5:14.

Not only are the new texts and versions guantitatively different from the Received Text, but they are qualitatively different. Many of the differences are doctrinally significant. Many of the omissions in the UBS Greek New Testament affect important doctrines of the faith, including the Deity and Virgin Birth of Christ, the Atonement, and the Trinity. For example, the UBS Greek Testament deletes the word "God" in 1 Tim. 3:16, thus destroying one of the Bible's clearest testimonies to Christ's Divinity. The words "the Lord" are removed from 1 Cor. 15:47, thus destroying this testimony to Christ's deity. The words "by Himself" are removed from Heb. 1:3, thus deleting this powerful witness about Christ's atonement. The deletion of Acts 8:37 in the UBS Greek Testament destroys the effectiveness of this passage of Scripture as to the fact that faith must precede baptism. The omission of 1 John 5:7 removes from the Bible one of the plainest references to the Trinity.

We have included a detailed study of the doctrinal corruptions of the Westcott-Hort Greek text in the book Myths about Modern Bible Versions (1999, Way of Life Literature, P.O. Box 610368, Port Huron, MI 48061. 866-295-4143).

There can be no doubt that the UBS Greek New Testament is a significantly different text than that which underlies the King James Version and other great Protestant translations which have been so honored and singularly blessed by God for 400 years.

The Bible societies themselves admit that their Greek text is significantly different from the Bible text used in the centuries preceding ours. According to Bible society scholars, the Greek text of the Protestant Reformation is a corrupt text. They contend that it was not until the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries that the purest text and most accurate methods of discerning the correct textual reading were discovered. This is stated in the Preface to an American Bible Society edition of the Revised Standard Version:

The King James Version has GRAVE defects. By the middle of the nineteenth century [the 1800s], the development of Biblical studies and the discovery of many manuscripts more ancient than those upon which the King James Version was based, made it manifest that THESE DEFECTS ARE SO MANY AND SO SERIOUS as to call for revision of the English translation ... The King James Version of the New Testament was based upon a Greek text that was marred by mistakes, containing the accumulated errors of fourteen centuries of manuscript copying. ... Now we possess many more ancient manuscripts of the New Testament, and are far better equipped to seek to recover the original wording of the Greek text (Preface to the Revised Standard Version, American Bible Society edition, 1978 printing, pp. iii and iv).

Is this true? Is the King James Bible and its underlying Greek text gravely defected? No, it is not, but we see that the translators of the RSV did not accept the popular idea that there is no significant doctrinal differences between the texts and versions.

There are many reasons for rejecting the Bible society's position in this serious matter, but for the purposes of this study we want to focus on one, and that is God's promise of preservation. God has promised to preserve His Word from generation to generation. He gave a pure, holy Word, and He has promised to preserve that Word. I believe God has done just this.

Consider the following promises carefully:

"The words of the Lord are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O Lord, thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever." Psalm 12:5-6

"The counsel of the Lord standeth for ever, the thoughts of his heart to all generations." Psalm 33:11

"For the Lord is good; his mercy is everlasting; and his truth endureth to all generations." Psalm 100:5

"For ever, O Lord, thy word is settled in heaven. Psalm 119:89

Concerning thy testimonies, I have known of old that thou hast founded them for ever." Psalm 119:152

"Thy word is true from the beginning: and every one of thy righteous judgments endureth for ever." Psalm 119:160

"As for me, this is my covenant with them, saith the Lord; My spirit that is upon thee, and my words which I have put in thy mouth, shall not depart out of thy mouth, nor out of the mouth of thy seed, nor out of the mouth of thy seed's seed, saith the Lord, from henceforth and for ever." Isaiah 59:21

"For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass away, but my words shall not pass away." Matthew 24:35

"But the word of the Lord endureth for ever. And this is the word which by the gospel is preached unto you." I Peter 1:25

Because of these promises, I cannot accept any new Greek text which is different from the one that was throughout the spread world during great the Reformation Protestant and world missionary movement of the past four centuries. In light of God's promises regarding the preservation of His Word, it simply is not possible for me to believe that God would allow the purest text to be hidden away for hundreds of years (collecting dust in a heretical monastery at Mt. Sinai and in the Pope's library at the Vatican!), while a corrupted text in the form of the Received Text was being distributed throughout the world more widely than at any other time in history. (I deal more thoroughly with the doctrine of preservation in the book Myths about the Modern Bible Versions, available from Way of Life Literature.)

This is no light matter. If the Bible societies are correct in their assumption that the Bible of the Protestant Reformation was gravely defected, the great work of God during the hundreds of years prior to this century was based upon a corrupted Bible. If the Bible societies are wrong about this matter, it is their Greek text which is the corrupted one, and they are responsible for distributing to men a perversion of God's Word. What could be more serious? What could provoke the wrath of God more quickly, more certainly than the corruption of His blessed and Holy Word? It is our settled conviction that the Bible societies of our day stand guilty in this matter. They are using their vast resources to spread throughout the world a seriously corrupted Greek text.

THE REVISED STANDARD VERSION

Let us pass now from the Bible societies' Greek text to the English versions they are distributing, beginning with the Revised Standard Version.

The Revised Standard Version is copyrighted by the National Council of Churches in America and is widely distributed by the United Bible Societies. In South Asia, for example, where we have had our missionary work, the RSV is very popular due to the influence of the Bible societies. On a trip to India in 1983 I visited a Roman Catholic bookstore in Calcutta and was told by a nun there that the main version they distribute now is the RSV. I wanted to purchase a Roman Catholic translation, but they had only a few dusty copies of the Jerusalem Bible. The translation they were pushing was the RSV, and the copies they had were published by the Bible societies. On that same trip I visited the Calcutta branch of the Bible Society in India [a member of the United Bible Societies] and saw a large supply of RSV Bibles containing the apocrypha.

In addition, it should be pointed out that many translations made by the Bible societies are made from the RSV. This is true for the Hindi language, which is one of the two official languages of India and which is spoken by 31% of the more than 700 million people of that country. Practically all of the vernacular translations of the Bible in India are based either on the RSV, the Bible societies' Today's English Version, or the Bible societies' Greek New Testament.

What kind of Bible is the Revised Standard Version? Rather than giving a detailed analysis of the translation itself, I will share a brief study of the doctrinal position of the men who produced the translation. Keep in mind, also, that at least two of the editors of the United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament were involved in this shameful endeavor. These men are Bruce Metzger and Allen Wikgren. Tell me now, "Can two walk together, except they be agreed" (Amos 3:3)?

The RSV translators included some of the most notorious Modernists of this century. To demonstrate this we will not quote what someone else has said about them; we will give excerpts directly from their own books, which we have obtained at considerable expense. The heretical position on biblical inspiration held by these modern translators can be contrasted sharply with that of the men who produced the text and translations in the lineage of the King James Bible.

WILLIAM FOXWELL ALBRIGHT (1891–1971) served on the Old Testament committee of the Revised Standard Version.

"One cannot of course place John on the same level with the synoptic Gospels [Matthew, Mark, Luke] as A HISTORICAL SOURCE" (William Albright, *From the Stone Age to Christianity,* Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1957).

WALTER RUSSELL BOWIE (1882–1969) served on RSV New Testament committee. He also contributed to The Interpreter's Bible of 1951–57.

"According to the ENTHUSIASTIC TRADITIONS which had come down through the FOLKLORE of the people of Israel, Methuselah lived 969 years" (Walter Russell Bowie, *Great Men of the Bible*, New York: Harper & Brothers, 1937, p. 1).

"The story of Abraham comes down from ancient times; and how much of it is fact and how much of it is LEGEND, no one can positively tell" (Bowie, *Great Men of the Bible*, p. 13).

"The man of whom these words were written [Jacob] belongs to a time so long ago that it is uncertain whether its records are history or legend" (Bowie, *Great Men of the Bible*, p. 37).

"Men in ancient Israel could not anticipate, any more than other human beings could, the knowledge of the universe which has come through the patient thought and study of the centuries since. They could only draw the picture which their reverent IMAGINATION saw. ... The details of their story of Creation could not go beyond CONJECTURE ... Such was the picture of Creation coming probably from priests and scribes of the temple in Jerusalem some 2400 or 2500 years ago—as they conceived the Creation to have been. ...worshipful IMAGINATION ... FOLKLORE ... stream of TRADITION ... spontaneous IMAGINATION ..." (Bowie, The Living Story of the Old Testament, Prentice-Hall, 1964, p. 4-7). "We cannot tell in any sure way just how the Resurrection happened. We do not know just exactly in what form or at what time the risen Jesus appeared. ... The writers of the Gospels were trying to put into words an overwhelming experience that could not be expressed" (Bowie, *I Believe in Jesus Christ*, New York: Abingdon Press, 1959, p. 55).

Bowie was dead wrong. We know precisely the form of Christ's resurrection. It was bodily! We know precisely the time. It was three days after the crucifixion. The writers were not trying to describe the resurrection in their own words; they were writing words given by inspiration of the Holy Spirit. Their description of the resurrection was not a haphazard attempt to put the event into fallible human words. To claim such a thing is an absolute denial of biblical inspiration. Bowie's book is misnamed. It should have been titled "I Believe in the Jesus Christ of My Own Imagination."

MILLAR BURROWS (1889–c.1990), Yale University, served on the RSV New Testament committee as well as the Old Testament committee. He also helped produce the RSV Apocrypha.

"We cannot take the Bible as a whole and in every part as stating with divine authority what we must believe and do" (Millar Burrows, *Outline of Biblical Theology*).

HENRY JOEL CADBURY (1883–1974), Harvard Divinity School, served on the RSV New Testament committee. He also helped produce the RSV Apocrypha.

"As they [the first Christian authors] wrote with neither grammatical precision nor absolute verbal consistency, he [the modern translator] is willing to deal somewhat less meticulously with the data of a simple style that was naturally not too particular about modes of expression or conscious of some of the subtleties which some later interpreters read into it" (Henry Cadbury, Introduction, Revised Standard Version, 1952, p. 52).

"HE [JESUS CHRIST] WAS GIVEN TO OVERSTATEMENTS, in his case, not a personal idiosyncrasy, but a characteristic of the oriental world" (Henry F. Cadbury, *Jesus, What Manner of Man?*). "As to the miraculous, one can hardly doubt that time and tradition would heighten this element in the story of Jesus" (Cadbury, *Jesus, What Manner of Man?*).

"A psychology of God, IF that is what Jesus was, is not available" (Cadbury, *Jesus, What Manner of Man?*).

CLARENCE TUCKER CRAIG (1895–1953), Oberlin College, served on the RSV New Testament committee. He also helped produce the RSV Apocrypha.

"Revelation has sometimes been understood to consist in a holy book. ... Even on Christian soil it has sometimes been held that the books of the Bible were practically dictated to the writers through the Holy Spirit. ... I DO NOT THINK THAT THIS IS THE DISTINCTIVELY CHRISTIAN POSITION. If God once wrote His revelation in an inerrant book, He certainly failed to provide any means by which this could be passed on without contamination through human fallibility. ... The true Christian position is the Bible CONTAINS the record of revelation" (Clarence T. Craig, *The Beginning of Christianity*, New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1943, pp. 17,18).

"The mere fact that a tomb was found empty was CAPABLE OF MANY EXPLANATIONS. THE VERY LAST ONE THAT WOULD BE CREDIBLE TO A MODERN MAN WOULD BE THE EXPLANATION OF A PHYSICAL RESURRECTION OF THE BODY. ... The resurrection of Jesus did not mean the reanimation of a corpse for a brief continuation of fellowship with his friends. It meant that the new age of God had already begun. ... In order words, Paul was not talking about an event which could be photographed by eye-witnesses, but an event in the world of spiritual perception. ... It was not to be demonstrated by appeal to graves that were empty. It was a proclamation that must appeal to religious faith" (Craig, *The Beginning of Christianity*, pp. 135,36).

ROBERT CLAUDE DENTAN (1907–) is a translator for the New Revised Standard Version. He authored The Apocrypha, Bridge of the Testaments (Greenwich, Conn.: Seabury Press, 1969), Preface to Old Testament Theology (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1950), and The Design of the Scriptures: A First Reader in Biblical Theology (New York: Seabury Press, 1965). He also edited The Idea of History in the Ancient Near East (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1955).

"In accordance with the ecumenical perspective of the planning for the NRSV, the membership of the committee had been expanded to include ROMAN CATHOLIC SCHOLARS ... the presence of an eminent JEWISH SCHOLAR on the Old Testament committee, participating as a full contributing member, was intended as both an expression of good-will and an assurance that the NRSV translation of the Hebrew Scriptures ... WOULD CONTAIN NOTHING OFFENSIVE TO OUR JEWISH NEIGHBORS" (*The Making of the New Revised Standard Version of the Bible*, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1991, pp. 10,11).

EDGAR JOHNSON GOODSPEED (1871–1962), University of Chicago, was a member of the translation committee for the Revised Standard Version New Testament. He also published his own translation called the American Translation of the New Testament in 1923.

"The oldest of these elements [Genesis] was a Judean account of the nation's story from the beginning of the world to the conquest of Canaan by the tribes. ... BABYLONIAN MYTHS AND LEGENDS AND CANAANITE POPULAR TALES HE FREELY APPROPRIATED to his great purpose of enforcing morality and the worship of one God. Sometimes crude old SUPERSTITIOUS IDEAS still cling to some of these. The writer of this ancient record was a prophet ... He wrote his book about 850 B.C. in the Southern Kingdom of Judah. ... And IN THE CAPTIVITY IN BABYLONIA THESE BOOKS [THE FIRST SIX BOOKS OF THE BIBLE] WERE COMBINED INTO A GREAT COMPOSITE WORK of history and law ... So at last, not long after 400 B.C., arose the Hexateuch" (Goodspeed, The Story of the Old Testament, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1934, pp. 107-110).

"JESUS ... WAS FAR FROM GIVING TO THE OLD TESTAMENT AS A WHOLE THE UNQUALIFIED ASSENT natural to a Jew of his day. His attitude is a discriminating one, combining eager acceptance of its statements of enduring spiritual truth and free criticism of its moral imperfections" (Goodspeed, *The Formation of the New Testament*, 1926, p. 7). "The books of the New Testament show a decided development in the degree of regard which their several writers feel for the Old Testament. From the free critical treatment of it on the part of Jesus, the very modified authority which Paul ascribes to it, the Old Testament returns in the hands of later New Testament writers to its larger Jewish claims" (Goodspeed, *The Formation of the New Testament*, p. 8).

"Paul did not expect his letters to be preserved or collected, still less to be regarded as Holy Scripture" (Goodspeed, *The Formation of the New Testament*, p. 11).

"John ... In his great effort to restate Christian truth in Greek terms he departs widely from the positions of the earlier evangelists and he differs from them in many important historical particulars. ... He had no scruple about changing and correcting their material" (Goodspeed, *The Formation of the New Testament*, p. 14).

FREDERICK CLIFTON GRANT (1891–1974), Union Theological Seminary, served on the RSV New Testament committee. He also helped produce the RSV Apocrypha. Grant translated works by Neo-orthodox Rudolf Bultmann. One of these was Form Criticism: a new method of New Testament research; including the study of the Synoptic gospels by Rudolf Bultmann (1962).

"We may admit at once that the older view of Jesus' life and ministry was NOT ENTIRELY HISTORICAL" (Frederick Grant, *The Beginnings of Our Religion,* New York: Macmillan Co., 1934).

WALTER J. HARRELSON was a translator of the New Revised Standard Version. He authored Interpreting the Old Testament (New York: Hold, Rinehart and Winston, 1964) and contributed to Tradition and Theology in the Old Testament, edited by Douglas A. Knight.

"It is a genuine pleasure ... to be able to read the lessons appointed for the day in such a way as to ELIMINATE ENTIRELY MASCULINE REFERENCES TO THE DEITY, and to do so without having had to retranslate or reproduce the biblical lessons in advance. ... [the NRSV] is by far our most inclusive Bible..." (*The Making of the New* *Revised Standard Version of the Bible*, Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1991, p. 84).

RSV translator **H.G.G. HERKLOTS** made the following announcement of his modernism:

"But few scholars outside the Roman Church now believe that St. Matthew was the first Gospel: most are convinced that—as it exists to-day—it is essentially a Greek book, partly dependent upon two Greek sources, one of which has been lost, but the other of which is St. Mark; and that these two sources were also used by St. Luke" (Herklots, *How the Bible Came to Us*, New York: Oxford University Press, 1954, p. 75).

According to the Modernist, the Gospels are a hodgepodge of almost haphazard man-made writings. According to the Apostles, though, the Gospels were written under inspiration of the Holy Spirit.

WILLIAM ANDREW IRWIN (1884-1967), University of Chicago Divinity School, served on the RSV Old Testament committee.

"This phrase ['Thus saith the Lord'] is an almost unfailing mark of SPURIOUSNESS" (William Irwin, *The Problem of Ezekiel*).

"Only bigotry could bring us to deny an EQUAL VALIDITY WITH THE PROPHETS OF ISRAEL in the religious vision of men such as Zoraster or Ikhnaton or, on a lower level, the unnamed thinkers of ancient Babylonia" (Irwin, *The Problem of Ezekiel*).

FLEMING JAMES (1877–1959), dean emeritus of the School of Theology, the University of the South, Sewanee, Tenn., served (beginning in 1947) on the RSV New Testament committee.

"The narrative of calling down fire from heaven upon the soldiers sent to arrest him is PLAINLY LEGENDARY" (Fleming James, The Beginnings of Our Religion).

"What REALLY happened at the Red Sea WE CAN NO LONGER KNOW" (James, The Beginnings of Our Religion).

JAMES MOFFATT (1870–1944) was Yates Professor of Greek at Mansfield College, Oxford, and later Professor of Church History at the United Free Church College, Glasgow. From 1927–1940 he was Washburn Professor of Church History at Union Theological Seminary. He served on the translation committee for the Revised Standard Version New Testament. He also made two translations of his own: The first was The Historical New Testament in 1901. The second, The Moffatt Version New Testament, first appeared in England in 1913 and in the States in 1917. The Moffatt complete Bible was printed in 1926.

"But once the translator of the New Testament is freed from the influence of the theory of verbal inspiration, these difficulties cease to be so formidable" (James Moffatt, Preface, *New Testament: A New Translation*, 1913).

"The writers of the New Testament made mistakes in interpreting some of the Old Testament prophecies" (James Moffatt, *The Approach to the New Testament*).

WILLARD LEAROY SPERRY (1882–1954), professor at Harvard Divinity School, was on the RSV Old Testament committee.

• "WE DO NOT PRESS THAT GOSPEL [JOHN] FOR TOO GREAT VERBAL ACCURACY IN ITS RECORD OF THE SAYINGS OF JESUS" (Willard L. Sperry, *Rebuilding Our World*).

Truly, the prophecies of the Apostles regarding the coming of unbelieving teachers and prophets into the churches are being fulfilled as never before.

We can see that the Revised Standard Version was produced at least in large part by men who were not born of the Spirit, men who were unbelievers and apostates. This fact alone is sufficient reason for rejecting their work and the work of the Bible societies who promote this wicked translation. The Bible commands that God's people separate from those who are false in doctrine. Certainly this would mean we are to reject Bible texts or translations made by such men.

"Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them." (Romans 16:17)

"Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness? And what concord hath Christ with Belial? or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel? And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? for ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people. Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean thing; and I will receive you, and will be a Father unto you, and ye shall by my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty." (2 Corinthians 6:14-18)

"If any man teach otherwise, and consent not to wholesome words, even the words of our Lord Jesus Christ, and to the doctrine which is according to godliness ... from such withdraw thyself." (1 Timothy 6:3-5)

"O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called." (1 Timothy 6:20)

"But shun profane and vain babblings: for they will increase unto more ungodliness. And their word will eat as doth a canker: of whom is Hymenaeus and Philetus; who concerning the truth have erred, saying that the resurrection is past already; and overthrow the faith of some. ... If a man therefore purge himself from these, he shall be a vessel unto honour, sanctified, and meet for the master's use, and prepared unto every good work." (2 Timothy 2:16-21)

"Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof: from such turn away." (2 Timothy 3:5)

"Let us go forth therefore unto him without the camp [unregenerate religion], bearing his reproach." (Hebrews 13:13)

"Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son. If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed: For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds." (2 John 9-11)

We will do well, brethren, to obey these divine commands and to reject the works of unbelieving and apostate men. That means, of course, that we must have nothing to do with translations such as the Revised Standard Version. These are the works of the Devil. These verses also command that we separate ourselves from organizations such as the United Bible Societies, which produce and promote such wicked projects.

When we come to the section dealing with the Bible societies' affiliations with the Roman Catholic Church, we will see that the Revised Standard Version is often the basis for the so-called "interconfessional" Bible translation projects.

THE TODAY'S ENGLISH VERSION

As we pointed out earlier, the Today's English Version (TEV) is owned by the American Bible Society, a founding member of the United Bible Societies. Almost half of the money for the annual operating budget of the United Bible Societies comes from the American Bible Society (Christian News, Dec. 8, 1986, p. 22). That the TEV is fully a United Bible Society production is seen in the following notes:

"The Good News Bible extends to 1292 pages. ... The copyright of the whole production, with the exception of the twelve maps, is owned by the American Bible Society. ... The volume bears the imprint of 'The Bible Societies,' and includes a list of 99 societies" (*Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, Jan.-Mar. 1978, p. 16).

"In September 1966, the American Bible Society published *The New Testament in Today's English Version*, a translation intended for people everywhere for whom English is either their mother tongue or an acquire language. Shortly thereafter the United Bible Societies requested the American Bible Society to undertake on its

behalf a translation of the Old Testament following the same principles. ... Final approval of the text on behalf of the United Bible Societies was given by the American Bible Society's Board of Managers upon recommendation of its Translations Department Committee" (Preface, *Good News Bible*, edition published by Thomas Nelson Inc., publishers under license from the American Bible Society).

The popularity of the Today's English Version (otherwise known as the Good News for Modern Man) is phenomenal. The New Testament portion of the TEV was published in 1966. In its first three years, it sold 17.5 million copies (Parade Magazine, Nov. 2, 1969). By 1971, more than 30 million copies of the TEV New Testament had been sold (Jakob Van Bruggen, Future of the Bible, 1972, p. 19).

In 1973, the TEV whole Bible was published and the popularity of this paraphrase translation has continued unabated. From 1976 to 1987 the American Bible Society distributed more than 25 million copies of the TEV Bible (New Zealand Herald, Monday, May 4, 1987). By 1987, the TEV New Testament had sold more than 75 million copies (Focus, Oct. 1986, p. 5).

According to the Sowing Circle, a publication of the Bible Society of India, the distribution of the TEV has averaged six million copies per year (Sowing Circle, Oct.-Dec. 1986, p. 2).

The Today's English Version has become the most popular text of Scripture in Australia as well as in England (Undated brochure distributed by the Bible House, Australian Bible Society, Perth, West Australia, June 1988; Word in Action, Spring 1986, British & Foreign Bible Society, p. 5).

What Greek text was used for this new translation? The Preface to the Thomas Nelson edition of the Good News Bible gives the answer: "The basic text for the New Testament is the Greek New Testament published by the United Bible Societies (3rd edition, 1975), but in a few instances the translation is based on a variant reading supported by one or more Greek manuscripts."

We have already seen that the UBS Greek New Testament was produced by heretics. It represents the impure stream of corrupted texts.

Apostate Translator Robert Bratcher

The Today's English Version was translated primarily by Robert Bratcher. Again, rather than giving a detailed analysis of this popular version of the Bible, we will give some of the frightening facts about Robert Bratcher's life and doctrinal beliefs. Following the biblical principle that a bitter fountain cannot produce a sweet stream, we know that if the translator of a version is a heretic his version will be untrustworthy. For our look at Bratcher we will go back to the early 1950s, when we find him doing missionary work for the Southern Baptist Convention in Brazil.

serving as professor of Greek and While New Testament theology in a Southern Baptist Seminary in de Janeiro, Brazil, Dr. Bratcher edited Rio the "Questions and Answers" section of their paper, O Journal Batista. In this paper, July 9, 1953, Bratcher was asked how to reconcile Matthew 24:36 with John 14:9. His answer (in part): "This cannot mean however, that Christ retained in his incarnation all the attributes of Deity; rather he freely gave up those gualities he enjoyed in his eternal existence with the Father." In a letter to Julius C. Taylor, July 16, 1970, Bratcher said, "Of course I believe what I wrote in the Journal Batista of July 9, 1953" (Donald T. Clarke, Bible Version Manual, Sunbury, Pennsylvania: Bible Truth Institute, 1975, p. 95).

Dr. Bratcher held a question and answer session October 13, 1970, at the First Baptist Church, Spartanburg, South Carolina. Following is one of the questions and his answer:

Question: "Is Jesus Christ God, or the same as God?"

Answer: "Jesus is not the same personality as God" (Clarke, op. cit., p. 98).

We see that as early as 1953, Bratcher denied the deity of the Lord Jesus Christ. This would easily explain why the Today's English Version perverts the most important passages on the Deity of Christ.

Bratcher's most vicious attack, though, has been against the Bible itself. Isn't this an amazing testimony for a Bible translator! Consider some of the public statements Bratcher has made concerning the Bible:

"The New Testament scriptures were written to specific situations, at specific times, to specific groups or individuals and in response to some felt need. The New Testament writers probably never intended their work to be the gospel record of the future—so there is not a sterile order to the scriptures" (Robert Bratcher, *The Baptist Courier*, Feb. 22, 1968).

On November 5, 1970, after a lecture at Furman University, Dr. Bratcher talked with students: "You admit that the Bible has fallacies; then how is it valuable?" a student questioned. [Bratcher answered,] "IF WE BUILD OUR FAITH WHOLLY ON THE BIBLE, THEN WE ARE BUILDING OUR FAITH ON SHIFTING SAND. We must follow the facts or there is nothing to believe. We cannot literally follow Jesus, only go in his direction" (The Greenville News, Greenville, South Carolina, Nov. 8, 1970).

Though Bratcher's apostasy was evident before he translated the Today's English Version, little was known publicly about the man until 1981. In that year, Bratcher made some statements at a Southern Baptist Life Commission seminar in Dallas, Texas, which received close media attention. Following are quotes from Bratcher's speech:

ONLY WILLFUL IGNORANCE OR INTELLECTUAL DISHONESTY CAN ACCOUNT FOR THE CLAIM THAT THE BIBLE IS INERRANT AND INFALLIBLE ... To invest the Bible with the qualities of inerrancy and infallibility is to idolatrize it, to transform it into a false god. ... Often in the past and still too often in the present TO AFFIRM THAT THE BIBLE IS THE WORD OF GOD IMPLIES THAT THE WORDS OF THE BIBLE ARE THE WORDS OF GOD. SUCH SIMPLISTIC AND ABSOLUTE TERMS DIVEST THE BIBLE ALTOGETHER OF ITS HUMANITY and remove it from the relativism of the historical process. NO ONE SERIOUSLY CLAIMS ALL THE WORDS OF THE BIBLE ARE THE VERY WORDS OF GOD. If someone does so it is only because that person is not willing thoroughly to explore its implications....

THE WORD OF GOD IS NOT WORDS; it is a human being, a human life ... Quoting what the Bible says in the context of its history and culture is not necessarily relevant or helpful—and may be a hindrance in trying to meet and solve the problems we face....

We are not bound by the letter of Scripture, but by the spirit. EVEN WORDS SPOKEN BY JESUS IN ARAMAIC IN THE THIRTIES OF THE FIRST CENTURY AND PRESERVED IN WRITING IN GREEK, 35 TO 50 YEARS LATER, DO NOT NECESSARILY WIELD COMPELLING AUTHORITY OVER US TODAY. THE FOCUS OF SCRIPTURAL AUTHORITY IS NOT THE WORDS THEMSELVES. It is Jesus Christ as the Word of God who is the authority for us to be and to do.

As a biblical scholar, I VIEW WITH DISMAY THE MISUSE OF SCRIPTURES BY FUNDAMENTALISTS; as ... Christians we listen with alarm to the simple-minded diagnoses and the simplistic panaceas proposed with smug self-assurance by Moral Majority people intent on curing the evils of this age (Bratcher, cited by Dan Martin, *Baptist Courier*, a publication of the South Carolina Baptist Convention, April 2, 1981).

Here, then, we have the strange matter of a Bible translator who believes faith in the Bible is "shifting sand" and who utterly despises the doctrine that the Bible is the holy, infallibly inspired Word of God.

Didn't the Bible Society Fire Bratcher?

The American Bible Society (ABS) was embarrassed by Bratcher's remarks in Dallas because it cost them significant financial support. Bratcher issued an apology of sorts, saying, "I deeply regret the language I

used and I apologize to those who were offended by it." Note that he did not repent of or apologize for his heresies, only of offending people. Soon thereafter, the ABS issued public statement "completely а disassociating" itself from Bratcher's remarks, and within days Bratcher resigned from his position in the American Bible Society. This was only a duplicitous political move, though. Bratcher's apostate translation is still distributed by the ABS and the United Bible Societies, and many other Modernists whose views are as heretical as Bratcher's continue to work for the Bible societies. In fact, Bratcher himself still works with the Bible Societies chief translation's United as а consultant! (Bulletin of the United Bible Societies, No. 138–139, 1985). Thus, part of Bratcher's salary is still paid indirectly by the American Bible Society through its massive support of the UBS.

In light of what we have seen about Bratcher's life and beliefs, it is not surprising to learn that his translation perverted. For instance, in is several important passages the TEV weakens the doctrine of Christ's deity. See the TEV translation of John 1:1; Philippians 2:6; 1 Timothy 3:16; 6:14-16; Acts 20:28; Colossians 2:3; and Colossians 2:9 for examples. Also, in at least passages, the TEV deletes the word "blood," 12 referring to the precious blood of Christ which was shed for our sins and without which "there is no remission of sin." See the TEV translation of Acts 20:28; Romans 3:25; Romans 5:9; Ephesians 1:7; Ephesians 2:13: Colossians 1:14: Colossians 1:20: Hebrews 12:4; Hebrews 13:20; 1 Peter 1:19; Revelation 1:5; and Revelation 5:9. The Bible societies accept Bratcher's argument that to replace the word "blood" with the word "death" in these passages makes no difference in meaning or doctrine. Hebrews 9:22 gives answer to that lie by reminding us that without the shedding of blood there is no remission of sin. Christ's death was not sufficient in itself to atone for our sins: He had to shed His blood as well. Therefore, when speaking of Christ's atonement, it is wrong to replace the word "blood" with the word "death." Romans 5:9-10 explains the matter. Verse nine says we are justified through Christ's blood, and verse ten says we are reconciled through Christ's death. In other words, we are saved through the bloody death of Christ. Both were required for the Atonement. Only an unholy mind and unholy hands would make such changes in God's holy Word.

There are many other wicked changes that have been made in this unfaithful version. Yet, the United Bible Societies are distributing millions of copies of this translation throughout the world. This is a very evil thing. In many of the countries where the Bible societies work. Christians are uneducated and poorly trained. They lack the tools with which to discern the errors in the TEV and often do not have properly trained leaders who can protect them from perversions. The result is the weakening of the faith of multitudes of people.

Jesus Christ said even the very smallest details of the Scriptures are perfect and will be fulfilled (Matthew 5:17-18). He said the Scriptures cannot be broken, meaning they are unchangeable and infallible (John 10:35). The Apostles held the same view of Scripture. Paul said, "All Scripture is given by inspiration of God" (2 Timothy 3:16). Peter said, "Prophecy came not in old time by the will of man: but holy men of God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost" (2 Peter 1:20–21). Luke, the writer of the book of Acts, reminds us that the words of the Psalmists are not man's words, but God's! (Acts 4:25). In Psalm 12:6-7 we are told that God's words are absolutely pure and that God preserves His Word. The prophet David, in Psalm 19 and 119 exalts and glorifies the Word of God, the Scriptures, in the highest way, testifying, "Therefore I love thy commandments above gold; yea, above fine gold. Therefore I esteem all thy precepts concerning all things to be right; and I hate very false way" (Psalm 119:127,128).

Any concept of biblical inspiration lower than this is false. And any man or society that promotes a fallible view of biblical inspiration is to be treated as apostate. They are to be marked and avoided in obedience to the Word of God. Dr. Bratcher and his companions in the Bible societies who hold similar views are evil false teachers, wolves in sheep's clothing, and should be dismissed from their churches and denominations as such. It is sad that the Christians with whom they are associated are so weak, SO compromising, so undiscerning, so fearful of man that they will not do this. May God help us to have the courage to obey His Word.

Root Problem: Unbelief and Unregeneracy

Robert Bratcher held a question and answer session October 13, 1970, at the First Baptist Church, Spartanburg, South Carolina. Following are four of the questions and answers:

Question: 'Why did you leave out the blood of Jesus Christ in Romans 5:9 and 14 and other places?'

Answer: 'It is a matter of translation.'

Question: 'Do you know Jesus Christ as your personal Saviour?'

Answer: Dr. Bratcher would not answer this question.

Question: 'Is the human heart by nature Man-centered or God-centered?'

Answer: 'Let us stick with questions about translation' (Donald T. Clarke, *Bible Version Manual*, pp. 98-99).

On October 15, 1970, Bratcher held a question and answer session at the First Baptist Church, North Augusta, South Carolina. Before anyone could ask a question, the group was advised they could not ask Bratcher questions relating to his theology. Following is one question asked him:

Question: 'If you should die, do you know you would go to heaven?'

Answer: Dr. Bratcher would not answer this question (Clarke, *Bible Version Manual*, p. 99).

The Bible says, "Let the redeemed of the Lord say so..." It is VERY strange for a Bible translator to refuse to testify to his salvation. The problem with many Christian leaders today, though, is that they have no salvation of which to testify.

On a visit to Calcutta in about 1984, I sat before the desk of a leader of the Bible Society of India. His name was Mr. S. Biswas, and we were visiting the offices of the Bible Society. An evangelist friend, Maken Sanglir, was sitting beside me as we talked for several minutes with Mr. Biswas. During the course of our conversation. I briefly described how I was saved at age 23 after having grown up in a Christian home. I then asked Mr. Biswas when he was saved. He sort of chuckled and replied. "No. no. Not like that. In fact. I am a third generation Christian, as my grandfather as well as my own father were Christians." He had no personal testimony of the saving power of Jesus Christ in his own life. "Biswas" in the Hindi language means "faith." How sad that a man with such a name, a man who is a leader in a society that promotes the production and distribution of the Bible, has never been saved! Yet, as many others could testify, this is the sad condition of many Bible Society leaders and workers. They have "churchianity"; they have been baptized and confirmed; but they do not know Christ in His saving power.

Thus far, we have seen that the early buds of apostasy within the United Bible Societies have come into full blossom. This is reflected in their Greek New Testament, in their promotion of the Revised Standard Version, and in their ownership and distribution of the Today's English Version. It is also reflected in the New English Version, perhaps the worse Bible Society perversion of all.

THE NEW ENGLISH BIBLE

The New English Bible was produced by the British and Foreign Bible Society and the National Bible Society of Scotland. It was an ecumenical project involving Protestants and Roman Catholics in Britain in the 1950s and '60s. The New Testament portion was first published in 1961, and the entire Bible in 1970.

To illustrate the doctrinal perversion of The New English Bible we will look at some of the Old Testament Messianic passages. (For a more complete report, see The New English Bible by M.L. Moser, Jr., Little Rock, Arkansas: Challenge Press, 1971).

Genesis 3:15

KJV: "And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel."

NEB: "I will put enmity between you and the woman, between your brood and hers. They shall strike at your head, and you shall strike at their heel."

This ancient prophecy is Messianic, describing Christ as the seed of the woman who shall bruise the Devil's head. The "seed of the woman" points to Christ's virgin birth. The New English Bible's corrupt translation destroys the prophecy.

Isaiah 9:6

KJV: "For unto us a child is born, unto us a son is given: and the government shall be upon his shoulder: and his name shall be called Wonderful, Counsellor, The mighty God, the everlasting Father, The Prince of Peace."

NEB: "For a boy has been born for us, a son given to us to bear the symbol of dominion on his shoulder, and he shall be called in purpose wonderful, in battle God-like, Father for all time, Prince of Peace."

This is one of the most powerful testimonies in the Bible to the divinity of Jesus Christ. He is the mighty God, the everlasting Father! The New English Bible has destroyed this testimony by changing "The mighty God" to "in battle God-like" and "everlasting Father" to "Father for all time." Christ's title "Counsellor" is completely omitted.

Micah 5:2

KJV: "But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old, from everlasting."

NEB: "But you, Bethlehem in Ephrathah, small as you are to be among Judah's clans, out of you shall come forth a governor of Israel, one whose roots are far back in the past, in days gone by."

The eternal pre-existence of Christ is plainly described in the King James Bible, but the New English Bible denies that Christ is "from everlasting," claiming instead that he has "roots in days gone by." This corrupt translation supports the heresies of Modernists, Unitarians, Jehovah's Witnesses, and others that deny that Jesus Christ is God.

Psalm 45:6

KJV: "Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever: the sceptre of thy kingdom is a right sceptre."

NEB: "Your throne is like God's throne, eternal, your royal sceptre a sceptre of righteousness."

This Psalm is cited in Hebrews 1 as Messianic. It describes Jesus Christ as God. The NEB version removes this powerful testimony.

Zechariah 13:6

KJV: "And one shall say unto him, What are these wounds in thine hands? Then he shall answer, Those with which I was wounded in the house of my friends."

NEB: "'What', someone will ask, 'are these scars on your chest?' And he will answer, 'I got them in the house of my lovers'."

This passage refers to the crucifixion of Christ and prophetically describes the day when Israel will receive its Messiah. The NEB obliterates this Messianic prophecy by changing the "wounds in thine hands" to "scars on your chest."

Psalm 69:21

KJV: "They gave me also gall for my meat; and in my thirst they gave me vinegar to drink."

NEB: "They put poison in my food and gave me vinegar when I was thirsty."

This is a Messianic prophecy of Christ's crucifixion, but the NEB destroys it by changing "gall" to "poison." Matthew 27:34 plainly states that they offered Him "gall."

Psalm 22:16

KJV: "For dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked have enclosed me: they pierced my hands and my feet."

NEB: "The huntsmen are all about me; a band of ruffians rings me round, and they have hacked off my hands and my feet."

This is another prophecy of the crucifixion, but the NEB destroys it by changing the piercing of the hands and feet to hacking off the hands and feet! This is also in direct contradiction to the Scripture that says, "A bone of him shall not be broken" (John 19:36).

Isaiah 53:9

KJV: "And he made his grave with the wicked, and with the rich in his death; because he had done no violence, neither was any deceit in his mouth."

NEB: "He was assigned a grave with the wicked, a burialplace among the refuse of mankind, though he had done no violence and spoken no word of treachery."

This Messianic prophecy was fulfilled in the crucifixion and burial of Jesus Christ. He did make his grave with the wicked because He died as a sinner (though He was not a sinner) and was buried with sinners. His burial in the tomb of a rich man fulfilled the second part of the verse, "and with the rich in his death." Christ's sinlessness is attested in the last two parts of the verse. The NEB perverts the prophecy, falsely claiming that Christ had a burial place among the refuse of mankind. This is contrary to the Bible record (Matt. 27:57-60).

Psalm 2:12

KJV: "Kiss the Son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, when his wrath is kindled but a little. Blessed are all they that put their trust in him."

NEB: "Kiss the king, lest the Lord be angry and you are struck down in mid course; for his anger flares up in a moment. Happy are all who find refuge in him."

The translators of the NEB again deny Christ by their perversion of this verse. They replace the specific word "Son" with the general term "king," which could refer to any king. In this way, a powerful messianic prophecy is rendered impotent at the hands of these translators.

Genesis 49:10

KJV: "The sceptre shall not depart from Judah, nor a lawgiver from between his feet, until Shiloh come; and unto him shall the gathering of the people be."

NEB: "The sceptre shall not pass from Judah, nor the staff from his descendants, so long as tribute is brought to him and the obedience of the nations is his."

The NEB robs this verse of its prophetic fore view of Christ's Second Coming and the regathering of Israel.

This should be sufficient to show that the New English Bible is vile. These changes in the Word of God are shocking. Obviously the men involved were unbelievers and the entire translation was the work of the Devil. Well did the Lord Jesus Christ say of false teachers that they are of their father the Devil (John 8:44)! The Apostle Paul, speaking of the same type of men, said, "For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ. And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light. Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works" (2 Corinthians 11:13–15).

New English Bible Director C.H. Dodd

Charles Harold Dodd (1884–1973) directed the New English Bible project. The preface to the New English Bible (1970 edition) says: "As Vice-Chairman and Director, C. H. Dodd has from start to finish given outstanding scholarship, sensitivity, and an ever watchful eye." Dodd was also vice-president of the British and Foreign Bible Society. The Bible says, "...every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit." The following quotations from Dodd's books prove beyond doubt that the tree that produced the New English Bible was corrupt, and it no surprise that it is filled with heretical translations.

"The Bible itself does not make any claim to infallible authority for all its parts..." (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 15).

"It long ago became clear that in claiming for the Bible accuracy in matters of science and history its apologists had chosen a hopeless position to defend" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 13).

"The old dogmatic view of the Bible therefore is not only open to attack from the standpoint of science and historical criticism, but if taken seriously it becomes a danger to religion and public morals." (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 13).

"GOD IS THE AUTHOR, NOT OF THE BIBLE, but of the life in which the authors of the Bible partake, and of which they tell us such IMPERFECT HUMAN WORDS as they could command" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 16).

"Moses has left us no writings, and we know little of him with certainty" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 27).

"Jacob ... at the haunted ford, alone in the dark, meets a nameless Being in desperate conflict. Dawn comes, when all ghosts and goblins flee, and Jacob, surprised at finding himself alive after that night of terror names the place Peniel– presence of El" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, pp. 40,41).

"[MOSES] WAS A MAGICIAN, a medicine man, whose magic wand wrought wonders of deliverance and destruction. ... To separate history from LEGEND in the stories of his career is impossible and not very profitable" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 45).

"[Ezekiel] appears subject to trance and catalepsy. He feels himself like a psychic 'medium' lifted into the air and transported to distant places. The strange episode of the death of Pelatiah may perhaps be interpreted as a case of clairvoyance. No other of the great Prophets appears to display such definite symptoms of abnormality" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 46).

"In the ninth century B.C. JEHOVAH IS STILL CRUEL, CAPRICIOUS, IRRITABLE, UNJUST (by human standards of justice), AND UNTRUTHFUL. The prophets of the classical period brought the overdue advance in ideas of Jehovah's character. The prophets' remoulding of the idea of God is indeed, as we must frankly confess, partial" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 98).

"No one not BLINDED BY SUPERSTITIOUS BIBLIOLATRY could possibly accept for truth, as they stand, many elements in Old Testament prophecy" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 127).

"INSPIRATION DOES NOT CARRY INERRANCY, nor is it inerrancy that gives authority" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 129).

"Certainly THE PROPHETS WERE SOMETIMES MISTAKEN. That is why it behooves us to let them speak for themselves, with eyes open to the element of error in their teaching" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 128).

"There are SAYINGS [OF JESUS] (not many indeed) WHICH EITHER SIMPLY ARE NOT TRUE, in their plain meaning, or are unacceptable to the conscience or reason of Christian people" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 233).

"We need not doubt that JESUS shared the views of His contemporaries regarding the authorship of books in the Old Testament or the phenomena of 'demon possession'— views which we could not accept without violence to our sense of truth. We readily recognize that so far HE WAS A MAN OF HIS TIME" (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 237).

"In the fulness of time' Jesus came. Believing Himself called to be the 'Messiah' of His people, He gathered up their highest traditions..." (Dodd, *Library of Constructive Theology*, p. 254).

"The famous 'whale' or sea monster, is no zoological specimen. The ancient monster of chaos, the dragon of darkness, was a familiar figure in several MYTHOLOGIES of the ancient world ... When the Gospel of Matthew uses the story of Jonah as a symbol of resurrection from the dead, it is not very far from the original intention of the MYTH" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, Cambridge: University Press, 1960, p. 17).

"Critical analysis ... shows that THE FIRST CHAPTER OF GENESIS IS A RELATIVELY LATE COMPOSITION. We have in the second chapter an earlier, and cruder, Hebrew story of creation. The account in the first chapter was written after the prophets had done their great work towards a purer and more spiritual religion" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, p. 30).

"If Isaiah says, 'I saw the Lord,' Paul also says, 'Have not I seen the Lord?' ... The implication is that THE DISCIPLES' POST-RESURRECTION MEETINGS WITH OUR LORD MAY HAVE BEEN 'VISIONARY'" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, p. 102).

"Creation, the Fall of Man, the Deluge and the Building of Babel are symbolic MYTHS" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, p. 112).

"As every human being lies under God's judgment, so EVERY HUMAN BEING IS ULTIMATELY DESTINED, IN HIS MERCY, TO ETERNAL LIFE" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, p. 118).

"The strange LEGEND of the destruction of the cities of the plain has its vital centre in Abraham's encounter with God" (Dodd, *The Bible Today*, p. 150).

"It has long ago become clear that in claiming for the Bible accuracy in matters of science and history its apologists had chosen A HOPELESS POSITION TO DEFEND" (Dodd, *The Authority of the Bible*, p. 13)

"...the New Testament Revelation ... as a whole is sub-Christian in tone and outlook" (Dodd, *The Authority of the Bible*, p. 15).

"The Old Testament contains not only the epoch-making writings of the great prophets, but LEGENDS AND TRADITIONS which reflect the elementary piety of the common man" (Dodd, *The Authority of the Bible*, p. 139).

"For indeed THE BARE IDEA OF VICARIOUS EXPIATION [THE SUBSTITUTIONARY DEATH OF CHRIST IN THE PLACE OF SINNERS] IS NOT WHOLLY RATIONAL, and easily lends itself to fanaticism. After all, if God demands the suffering of one in order that the sins of others may be forgiven, a meaning is found for suffering, but at the expense of the rationality of God for which the prophets contended so vigorously" (Dodd, *The Authority of the Bible*, p. 215).

With the above quotations set before us, we see the prejudice of Dr. C. H. Dodd against the Bible. His words are blasphemous, yet he was selected chairman of the translation committee. A man who holds such heretical beliefs cannot be trusted with the Word of God. Jesus said in Matthew 7:18, "A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit."

The New English Bible is just one example of what happens to the Bible when the false teacher defiles it with his dirty hands. You cannot trust a Modernist or other heretic to be the translator of the Word of God. E. L. Bynum, pastor of the Tabernacle Baptist Church of Lubbock, Texas, has well said: "We might as well trust a lunatic for a lawyer, a quack for a physician, a wolf for a sheep dog, an alligator for a baby sitter, a rapist as a Girl Scout leader, or a communist for our President. No modernist can be trusted with the translation of the Word of God, or the proclamation of the Word of God!" The Bible is replete with warnings about men who "handle the word of God deceitfully" (2 Corinthians 4:2), and we are warned to beware of such.

For the United Bible Societies to be in fellowship with such apostasy inexcusable. Again, the Spirit-given words of Amos cry out, "Can two walk together, except they be agreed?" (Amos 3:3).

Have we forgotten the vivid warning of the destruction that shall come upon apostate men such as C. H. Dodd? To show their condemnation even more clearly, we will quote from their own translation. They have condemned themselves in their own translation!

"But Israel had false prophets as well as true; and you likewise will have false teachers among you. They will import disastrous heresies, disowning the very Master who bought them, and bringing swift disaster on their own heads ... But the judgment long decreed for them has not been idle; perdition waits for them with unsleeping eyes. God did not spare the angels who sinned, but consigned them to the dark pits of hell, where they are reserved for judgment. He did not spare the world of old ... but brought the deluge upon that world of godless men. The cities of Sodom and Gomorrah God burned to ashes, and condemned them to total destruction, making them an object-lesson for godless men in future days. ... These men are like brute beasts, born in the course of nature to be caught and killed. They pour abuse upon things they do not understand; like the beasts they will perish, suffering hurt for the hurt they have inflicted. To carouse in broad daylight is their idea of pleasure; while they sit with you at the table they are an ugly blot on your company, because they revel in their own deceptions. ... God's curse is on them! ... These men are springs that give no water, mists driven by a storm; THE PLACE RESERVED FOR THEM IS BLACKEST DARKNESS" (2 Peter 2:3-18, New English Bible).

Obviously, I have not quoted from the New English Bible to show my approval of its translation. Even in the verses quoted there are many mistranslations when compared to the faithful King James Bible. While Dodd and company did not given a pure translation of 2 Peter chapter 2, they did give a translation accurate enough to show their own frightful end—"the place reserved them is blackest darkness." Do you see, though, that these translators have left something out of verse 18? The King James Version reads, "to whom the mist of darkness IS RESERVED FOREVER." Perhaps the word "forever" was just too frightful for these Christ-denying heretics, so they simply left it out! But the heretic's eraser does not change the preserved Word of God. The punishment of heretics is the mist of darkness FOREVER!

May God's people not be found in fellowship with or in support of these wicked men, or found using their perverted Greek texts and Bible translations.

CHAPTER FOUR THE BIBLE SOCIETIES AND ECUMENISM

t should come as no surprise by now that the Bible

societies are in the very center of today's unscriptural ecumenical movement. The Bible societies' policy regarding cooperation with various Christian bodies was outlined in a booklet published by the American Bible Society in 1970.

"At that time there were 49 constituent member societies, each being fully autonomous in its own country and sharing with all the others in formulating global policy. ... Referring to the interdenominational character of the Bible societies, the article states that 'their sole concern is to recruit every believer, WHATEVER HIS PRIVATE CREED MAY BE,' to join in the urgent task of proclaiming the Gospel in every tongue. ... The Societies 'endeavor to serve the whole Church of Christ IRRESPECTIVE OF denominational divisions and CREDAL [DOCTRINAL] DISTINCTIONS'" (*Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, Jan.-Mar. 1979, pp. 13-14).

The Bible societies have thus acknowledged that they are unconcerned about doctrinal beliefs. How strange for those who publish the Bible to be unconcerned about the teachings of the Bible!

Illustrations of the ecumenical activities of the Bible societies and heretical beliefs of its members are easy to find. We have already considered the doctrinal heresy of several of the Bible societies translators and leaders. A few more examples will emphasize the point. "Norwegian theologian Gunnar Johan Stalsett, 50, is the new general secretary of the Lutheran World Federation. ... He has been general secretary of the Norwegian Bible Society. ... He is a member of the central and executive committees of the World Council of Churches, and of the general and executive committees of the United Bible Societies" (Ecumenical Press Service, Feb. 10-12, 1985).

Here we have a man who is a leader in the United Bible Societies and at the same time a leader in the totally apostate World Council of Churches.

In most countries, you will find the Bible societies in the very center of any ecumenical adventure, especially in national councils and fellowships. Hundreds of examples could be given. Consider the following:

"The ecumenical Council of Churches in Jamaica includes Anglicans, Baptists, Roman Catholics, Methodists, Presbyterians, Congregationalists, United Church of Jamaica, Moravians, Salvation Army, Disciples of Christ, African Methodist Episcopal, Quakers, Church Women United, YMCA, YWCA, Student Christian Movement, and the Bible Society of the West Indies" (*Foundation*, Volume V, Issue 1, 1984, p. 19).

Here we have an illustration of today's ecumenism at work in Jamaica. In one happy pot we see Catholics with their multitudes of heresies, Baptists, Anglicans, Disciples of Christ (who, like the Anglicans and Catholics, teach baptismal regeneration), pacifistic Quakers with their strange doctrines and practices based on mysticism and emotion, the revolutionary World Council of Churches' Church Women United, the radical Student Christian Movement which is almost wholly given over to liberation theology, and right in the midst of this theological confusion is the Bible Society.

According to the policy statement quoted earlier, the Bible societies ignore the doctrinal beliefs of the various denominations in order to proclaim the gospel as widely as possible. While it's a wonderful thing to preach the gospel, we must ask, "What gospel are you preaching?" The Bible warns that there are false gospels and that these false gospels result in cursing, not blessing (2 Cor. 11:1–4; Gal. 1:6–8). If we ignore the doctrinal beliefs of those with whom we work it is impossible to fulfill Christ's Great Commission. The will of Christ is that only the one true gospel of grace alone be proclaimed, but many groups with whom the Bible societies work preach false gospels. Many of the Bible societies' own leaders preach false gospels. Further, the Great Commission does not end with the proclamation of the gospel. Those who believe are to be taught "all things whatsoever I have commanded you" (Matthew 28:18–20). How can we do this when we are working with those who teach doctrines that are contrary to Christ's commands!

Another illustration of the Bible societies' ecumenical endeavors is seen in the following report of a meeting of the American Bible Society:

"[The American Bible Society meeting was] one of the most widely representative Christian gatherings in the U.S.A., or possibly in the entire world and included a Roman Catholic archbishop as speaker and on panel had a Seventh-day Adventist. There were representatives from 46 different denominations, including Roman Catholic, Greek Orthodox and even a Christian Scientist" (*Plains Baptist Challenger*, Sept. 1982).

Notice that a Christian Scientist attended this American Bible Society meeting. Christian Scientists deny practically every teaching of the Bible. They deny the Triune God, the deity of Christ, the inspiration, preservation and sufficiency of Scripture, the reality of Heaven and Hell and the Devil; they deny the fallen condition of man and his need for the new birth; they deny that Jesus Christ died for man's sins.

Greek Orthodox

Notice, too, that Greek Orthodox attended the American Bible Society meeting. The Bible societies often work closely with Greek Orthodox churches. For

those not be familiar with the teachings of the Orthodox Church, consider that Orthodoxy preaches a false gospel. According to Orthodox teaching, baptism (even of infants) is the means whereby an individual is born into Christ and becomes a Christian. This false gospel is quoted from one of their publications:

"Baptism is a new birth. It is being born to the life made new by our Lord Jesus Christ. It means to be alive in Christ. ... Through Holy Baptism all become Christ's. We become Christians and have the opportunity to inherit God's Kingdom. Why in the world would any parents who claim to be Christians want to put off making their offspring Christians as soon as possible? Don't they want their infants to share in the Kingdom of God? The baptized one becomes a member of Christ's body—His Church" (*One Church*, Russian Orthodox Church, 1981).

The Orthodox Church also advocates prayers to and for the dead, and the false idea that the living can aid in the salvation of the deceased through good works:

"But the soul of the deceased is aided by the prayers of the Church, of all those who knew and loved him, and also by acts of charity carried out for his sake. By doing good works for the sake of those who are dead, we are, as it were, completing what they left undone, paying their debts and offering our own sacrifice to the Merciful Lord on their behalf" (*The Journal of the Moscow Patriarchate*, No. 10, 1976).

In the Journal of the Moscow Patriarchate, No. 4, 1980, we find the following false teachings about Mary, salvation, and the Lord's Supper:

"When one asserts his faith in the Son of God, the Son of the Ever Virgin Mary, the Mother of God, [note the false Catholic doctrines that Mary is the Mother of God and a perpetual virgin, meaning that she had no other children after Jesus] he accepts first of all the words of faith into his heart, confesses them orally, sincerely repents of his former sins and washes them away in the sacrament of Baptism. Then God the Word enters the baptized one, as though into the womb of the Blessed Virgin and remains in him like a seed. ... By partaking of the Holy Eucharist, a Christian is made one with Christ" (*Foundation*, Nov.-Dec. 1980, p. 21). From these quotes, it is obvious that the Orthodox Church is heretical. It holds many of the same false beliefs as the Roman Catholic Church from which it divided in the ninth century.

Michael Ramsey

Former Archbishop of Canterbury Michael Ramsey was a president of the United Bible Societies. This man denied many of the Bible's teachings and was a leader in the back-to-Rome movement in the Church of England. He was president of the United Bible Societies when their conference was held in Driebergen in Holland in 1964.

"[This] Conference encouraged the preparation of a common text [referring to a joint endeavor between the United Bibles Societies and the Roman Catholic Church] in the original languages, and common translations of the Bible that may be published either in common [with the Roman Catholic Church] or separately as circumstances may require" ("The Bible Societies," *Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, Jan.-Mar. 1979, pp. 13-14).

In 1966, two years after this Bible society conference, Archbishop Ramsey, who was also one of the World Council of Churches presidents, made a visit to the Pope in an effort to rebuild bridges to Rome. Apart from Ramsey's predecessor, Geoffrey Fisher, no Archbishop of Canterbury had called on a Pope since 1397, long before Henry VIII broke with Rome. Ramsey addressed the Pope as, "Your Holiness, dear brother in Christ," and said, "It is only as the world sees us Christians growing visibly in unity that it will accept through us the divine message of peace." Pope Paul described the meeting as a rebuilding of "a bridge that for centuries had lain fallen between the Church of Rome and Canterbury; a bridge of respect, of esteem and charity." The two men sealed the symbolic reconciliation of the denominations by a "kiss of peace"—actually an embrace. The Anglican bishops and clergy of Canterbury's retinue bowed to kiss the

Pope's ring (Don Stanton, Mystery Babylon, Secunderabad: Maranatha Revival Crusade, April 1981).

The following year, 1967, Ramsey visited the United States. At one meeting in Little Rock, Arkansas, he mentioned his meeting with the Pope and described it in this way:

"The Pope and I walked arm in arm out in St. Peter's Basilica and there we bowed and dedicated ourselves in a common dedication, the task of unifying the church. We did not mean we were going to unify the Anglican Church and the Catholic Church only, but we meant we were going to unify all Christendom and all the churches of the world. By unifying them, we did not mean just establishing diplomatic recognition among denominations, but we were going to unify all of them into one church. That is the task that is before us today, to unify all Christendom into the Holy Catholic Church" (Michael Ramsey, former Archbishop of Canterbury, speaking at Christ Episcopal Church, Little Rock, Arkansas, Sept. 15, 1967, quoted by M.L. Moser, Jr., *Ecumenicalism Under the Spotlight*, Challenge Press, pp. 22-23).

In 1972, Ramsey made ecclesiastical history by preaching in Manhattan's Roman Catholic St. Patrick's Cathedral at a service attended by Catholic Cardinal Terence Cook and Archbishop Lakovos of the Greek Orthodox Church of North and South America. The Archbishop commented, "I can foresee the day when all Christians might accept the Pope as the Presiding Bishop" (Stanton, op. cit.).

Ramsey's unscriptural ecumenical activities illustrate the things that are happening in the United Bible Societies.

Michael Ramsey "denied the Virgin Birth of Christ, and said, 'Heaven is not a place for Christians only. ... I expect to see many present day atheists there'" (Daily Mail, London, Feb. 10, 1961).

Ramsey was pleased when a meeting was held in 1968 and the majority of 460 bishops of the Church of England voted that it is no longer required that leaders in the denomination be required to believe the Church's doctrinal statement. The Thirty-nine Articles of Religion have been the doctrinal backbone of Anglicanism across the centuries. The Religious News Service, August 30, 1968, reported the action taken by the 1968 Lambeth Conference in London:

"Assent to the 39 Articles—the Church of England's code of doctrine—is no longer to be required for clergy ordination. ... The decision was taken when the 460 Bishops—not without some division—approved an amendment to a resolution moved by Bishop George Luxton of Huron, Canada. He called assent to the Articles 'theological smog' and 'double talk'. ARCHBISHOP MICHAEL RAMSEY, titular head of the Church [and president of the United Bible Societies], SAID HE 'WAS VERY GLAD' THAT THE CONFERENCE HAD ENDORSED THE 'VALUABLE REPORT' drawn by the Commission AND THAT HE, HIMSELF, 'TOOK A RATHER MORE RADICAL LINE THAT THE REPORT DID"" (Harold Lindsell, *The Battle for the Bible*, Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1980).

The picture that emerges is this: While undermining the doctrinal position of this own denomination, Ramsey was busy trying to bring it under bondage to the oppressive Roman Catholic and Greek Orthodox churches. With men such as this at the helm, you can understand why the United Bible Societies have taken an unscriptural direction in this century.

The Bible societies distribute pamphlets of Scripture selections on various subjects, but I have yet to see one entitled "Scriptural Separation: Beware of False Teachers!" A lengthy pamphlet could be published containing Scripture passages dealing with this important theme. In light of the apostasy of the hour, if the Holy Spirit were truly in control of the Bible societies, you can be assured that such a pamphlet would be produced and circulated by the hundreds of thousands.

CHAPTER FIVE THE BIBLE SOCIETIES AND ROME

As we have noted, the Bible Societies have worked

with the Roman Catholic Church in various ways since the early 1800s. These joint endeavors have become commonplace today. We will briefly trace the history and some main facts of this affiliation. It should be noted that the growth of this partnership has coincided closely with the proliferation of the new texts and versions of Scripture.

1800s

"The British and Foreign Bible Society was formed in 1804 and was soon supporting Roman Catholic projects: Roman Catholics also enjoyed the support of the BFBS. Soon after its founding, the BFBS sent funds to Bishop Michael Wittmann of Regensburg. When the Bavarian priest Johannes Gossner prepared a German translation of the New Testament, he too was supported by the BFBS. The main Catholic agent of the BFBS was, however, Leander van Ess, a priest and professor of theology at Marburg. ... The energetic van Ess distributed more than 500,000 copies of his New Testament with the aid of the BFBS" (Lion's History of Christianity, pp. 557, 558).

"A booklet published by the American Bible Society acknowledged that Roman Catholics participated in the founding of some Bible societies in Europe. ... It is also acknowledged that Roman Catholic churchmen were invited to participate in the founding of the American Bible Society in 1816. This booklet was published in 1970" (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, Jan.-Mar., 1979, p. 13).

"In 1825 the German Bible societies began including in their editions of the Bible the Apocrypha, a collection of books written between the periods covered by the Old and New Testaments [which the Roman Catholic Church accepts as part of the canon of Scripture]" (Lion's History of Christianity, Lion Publishing, 1977, p. 558).

In 1816 the British and Foreign Bible Society published the Catholic Wujek Bible in Poland as well as the Protestant Danzig translation.

1960s

"The work of joint Bible translation and distribution between Protestants and Catholics was encouraged by the Driebergen conference of Bible societies in June 1964, which was attended also by Roman Catholics. The chief recommendations of the conference were: to prepare a 'common text' of the Bible in the original languages, acceptable to all Churches, including Roman Catholics; and to explore the possibility of preparing a 'common translation' in certain languages, which could be used by Protestants and Roman Catholics alike. It was further recommended that the Bible societies should consider translating and publishing the Apocrypha when Churches specifically requested it" (Andrew Brown, The Word of God Among All Nations, p. 122).

"In 1965, the Second Vatican Council set a seal of approval on this form of co-operation. In the Constitution on Divine Revelation it was stated that 'Easy access to sacred Scripture should be provided for all the Christian faithful.' ... Further: 'If given the opportunity and the approval of Church authority these translations are produced in co-operation with the brethren [non-Catholics] separated as well. as Christians will be able to use them.' The Documents of

Vatican II, translated in W.M. Abbott—J. Gallagher, 1966, 'Constitution on Divine Revelation' VI/22]. These provisions meant that new translations did not have to be based on the Latin Vulgate, and inter-confessional co-operation was permitted" (Brown, op. cit., p. 122).

"One result of Vatican II was the setting up in 1966 of the Vatican Office for Common Bible Work ... An example of the new spirit of co-operation was soon found, in the revision of the Bible in Swahili. It was reported in 1966 that the Roman Catholic Tanganyika Episcopal Conference had reached agreement with the British and Foreign Bible Society on the use of the text of the Union Version of 1952, with the understanding that the Apocrypha would be included as well as selected notes and comments from the Jerusalem Bible [a Roman Catholic Version]. ... The BFBS thus again abandoned its former policy of excluding the Apocrypha, and notes and comments" (The Bible Translator, United Bible Societies, April 1966; The Word of God Among All Nations, pp. 123–124).

1966 was also the year in which the Bible society's Today's English Version New Testament was first published. It gained almost immediate acceptance by the Roman Catholic Church:

"The best-selling Bible translation in history has been cleared for use by Catholics as well as Protestants. It's the so-called Today's English Version of the New Testament published by the American Bible Society. ... The translation has received the official approval or imprimatur, of Cardinal Richard Cushing, the Catholic archbishop of Boston. It was Cardinal Cushing who earlier gave an imprimatur to the Protestant-sponsored Revised Standard Version. Protestant and Catholic scholars in recent years have reached substantial agreement on the translation of the Bible into English, and Cardinal Cushing's expert consultants did not seek a single change in the text of the TEV before approving it for Catholic use" (United Press International report, Louis Cassels, Religious writer).

"In 1969 another development took place, with the formation of the World Catholic Federation for the

Biblical Apostolate. The object of this organization was to co-ordinate the Bible translation work of Catholic scholars and facilitate their co-operation with the United Bible Societies" (Brown, op. cit., p. 124).

In 1969 a Catholic edition of the Today's English Version was presented to Pope Paul VI ("American Bible Society Welcomes Pope," Assist News Service, April 15, 2008).

1970s

1975 was a big year for Bible distribution at the Vatican, and the Bibles being distributed were provided by the United Bible Societies.

"The Secretary of the Italian Bible Society reported that during 1975 Pope Paul VI distributed during his personal audiences 300,000 copies of the Epistle of James, specially prepared by the United Bible Societies and the World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate" (*Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, Jul.-Sep. 1978, pp. 6-8).

By 1976, in the decade following the Second Vatican Council, more than one hundred and thirty interconfessional translation projects had been undertaken, and more than fifty inter-confessional translations of the New Testament completed. Projects on complete Bibles included the Apocrypha. It also remained a requirement that translations prepared and published by Catholics "in co-operation with the 'separated brethren' should be accompanied by 'suitable explanations'" (The Word of God Among All Nations, p. 124).

It was in 1976 that the complete "common language" New Testament was published in Italian as a joint project of the United Bible Societies and a Catholic group with explicit Vatican approval.

"Bishop Ablondi said that two Catholic priests are working for the Italian Bible Society for the distribution of this New Testament, with the approval of their Bishop, and that the translation of the Old Testament started after a seminar held under the auspices of the United Bible Societies during June 1977. The Ludwigshafen assembly was informed that the Italian New Testament was presented to the Bishops attending the Synod in Rome as 'an example of modern dynamic equivalent translation [actually referring to a very loose and careless paraphrase!], and as a model of interconfessional cooperation'" (*Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, op. cit.).

In 1978, the World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate made the following report:

"By 1977 the World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate has become a major instrument of the Catholic Church in the realization of the goal [of ecumenical Bible distribution], in particular with regard to co-operation with the United Bible Societies. 'Each year witnesses to closer and more significant collaboration between these two organizations'" (Activities Report 1977, World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate).

Among the thirty-one Religious Orders associated with the World Catholic Federation are the "Oblates of Mary Immaculate" in Italy and Germany, and the "Sisters of Our Lady of Perpetual Help" in Korea (Activities Report 1977, World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate).

1977 also witnessed a Europe-wide Bible society conference attended by officials representing Catholic and Orthodox churches:

"Delegates from the whole of Europe met at the Ludwigshafen conference to discuss the future of the United Bible Societies. Monsignor Ablondi, Bishop of Livorno, Professor Tavares of the Catholic University of Lisbon, and representatives of the Greek Serbian and Rumanian Orthodox Churches, were present as full members of the assembly" (*The Biblical Apostolate*, VIII/ 2/78, quoted in *Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record*, Jul.-Sep. 1978, pp. 6-8).

1978 witnessed the completion of several "interconfessional" translation projects between the United Bible Societies and the Roman Catholic Church. In that year, translations were completed in Spanish, Portuguese, French, Dutch, and German. All of these were "common language" versions, meaning they were based upon or modeled after the Bible society's corrupt Today's English Version (Good News Bible). Some of these were published with the apocryphal book and the addition of marginal notes and comments acceptable to the Roman Catholic Church (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, Jul.-Sep. 1978, pp. 6-8).

In 1979, the head of the American Bible Society's translation department, Dr. Eugene Nida, said the burgeoning participation of Roman Catholics in its work was a "very important development" (Calvary Contender, Sept. 1, 1992).

Also in 1979, United Bible Societies leaders attending a Catholic conference in Mexico and pledged closer cooperation with Rome:

"The [Catholic] Third General Conference of the Latin American Episcopacy took place at Puebla, in Mexico, and was opened by Pope John Paul II. At the conference, representatives of the United Bible Societies participated in an ecumenical religious service, and also provided a Bible information stand and closely co-operated with the World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate. Regarding this co-operation, we are told: 'It signifies an official recognition of the services being offered by the UBS and announces the beginning of a new era and a new spirit of collaboration at the service of God's Word. It is the firm hope of the WCFBA [World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate] that this prophetic breakthrough has opened doors of communication and co-operation which will become a sign and instrument of the power of God's Word to renew the continent" (Word-Event, United Bible Societies, No. 36, p. 27).

1980s

As of 1981, there were over 200 interconfessional translation projects in progress (C.B. Hastings, "Looking Closely at Complex Catholicism," The Commission, Sept. 1982; The Commission is the

official missions publication of the Southern Baptist Convention).

By 1981, over 500,000 copies of the Good News Bible, with the Apocryphal Books added, had been published and distributed by the American Bible Society (Foundation, Jul.-Aug. 1981).

By 1982, one Vatican secretariat sponsored more than a hundred full-time scholars in cooperation with the United Bible Societies in Scripture translation in many lands (Hastings, The Commission, Sept. 1982).

By 1984, "the pace had quickened, and it was reported that out of a total of 590 translation projects of the United Bible Societies, as many as 390 were of the interconfessional type" (Word-Event, United Bible Societies, No. 56, p. 28).

1986 was a high water mark in relations between the UBS and Rome. That was the year the UBS presented a copy of the new Italian interconfessional Bible to the Pope:

The Italian Bible Society recently presented Pope John Paul II with a copy of a new Italian interconfessional Bible in a ceremony at the Vatican. Italian President Francesco Cossaga has also received a copy in the presidential palace. Both Protestants and Catholics co-operated in translating the new Bible, which is the result of 7 years' work. It has been published jointly by the Italian Bible Society and a Salesian publishing firm. ... The presentation of the Bible to Pope John Paul II was made by Luca Bertalot, the young grandson of the Italian Bible Society's general secretary Revd Dr. Renzo Bertalot. United Bible Societies was represented by consultant to the UBS, Revd Dr Laton E. Holmgren.

Addressing the Pope, Dr. Holmgren said, 'For the first time in four centuries the Bible is a bond of unity rather than a source of division. Despite differences of tradition, dedicated people are producing more and more common Bibles which are being used in scores of lands and languages.' Pope John Paul replied, 'Accept the warmest expression of my grateful appreciation for the result of your efforts. The task which you have undertaken is an important moment of collaboration. I ardently desire that it should not pass in vain, but that it truly produce a fertile rediscovery of our common base of origin. In returning to it, the entire Church cannot fail to benefit in rejuvenation, mutual cohesion and effective testimony to the world. I invoke the Lord's blessing upon all of you and upon your work.'

The edition presented to the Pope carries the imprimatur (official Catholic approval) of the Bishop of Turin. ... Also present at the Vatican ceremony was Bishop Alberto Ablondi of Livorno, Italy, who is a member of the United Bible Societies General Committee and president of the World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate. Members of the Bible translation team attended with him.

Copies of a new Catholic Study Bible, which uses the Good News Bible text, were presented to guests at the ceremony. The Bible contains notes on the text approved by the Catholic Church and has been published by American publishers Thomas Nelson ("Pope Receives New Bible," *Word in Action*, British and Foreign Bible Society, Spring, 1986, No. 49, p. 4).

The following report from 1987 describes the ecumenical activities of the Bible Society in India:

The Andaman, Nicobar and Lakshadweep islands on the east and west of India are yet to catch up with a full scale BSI work. The Auxiliary Secretary was requested to visit Andaman and Nicobar Islands. His report appended below will help us to have an idea of the potential BSI work that is ahead of us...

The Andaman and Nicobar are a group of 321 islands covering an area of 829 sq. Kms., spread over a length of 700 Kms. from North to South in the Bay of Bengal. I agree when people say that this group of islands is a paradise on earth where beauty and tranquility co-exist...

Out of these the Nicobarese are more advanced and educated. They occupy the Nicobar Islands. Large percentage of them are Christians. No one is allowed to enter these islands without special permission from the Government. They all belong to the Church of North India and Bishop Edmund Matthew is the Bishop of Andaman and Nicobar Diocese of C.N.I...

THERE ARE MORE THAN 20 DENOMINATIONS OF WHICH THE CHURCH OF NORTH INDIA AND ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH ARE THE MAJOR DENOMINATIONS. Only Roman Catholics run two schools and all other schools are run by Govt.

I was able to convene a meeting of Pastors of ALL DENOMINATIONS INCLUDING THE ROMAN CATHOLICS, local YMCA Secretary and the Manager of the only Christian Book Shop (Living Literature). One Methodist Pastor and another CNI Pastor helped me to convene this meeting in the CNI Bishop's House at Port Blair [Andaman and Nicobar Islands].

I was able to explain to them how the Bible Society could be used for the spiritual nurture and mission of the Church. All of them became so much interested that we were able to form a BSI Branch at Port Blair to take care of the local needs of the Churches and the interests of the Bible Society as well. THE BRANCH COMMITTEE GIVES REPRESENTATION TO ALL DENOMINATIONS INCLUDING ROMAN CATHOLICS. The first President of the BSI Branch is Rt. Rev. Edmund Matthew, CNI Bishop.... (*The Sowing Circle*, Bible Society of India, January-September 1987, Vol. 2, No. 6, pp. 8, 9).

The Tamil Nadu Old Testament translation project was "interconfessional" and had the objective of creating "one common Bible for the Roman Catholic and Protestant Christians" (The Sowing Circle, Bible Society of India, January-September 1987, Vol. 2, No. 6, p. 33).

It was in 1987 that the first interconfessional Japanese Bible was published. The following report describes the radical ecumenical nature of this project:

Prominent religious leaders from around the world representing the major branches of the Christian fellowship gathered in the great hall of the Tokyo Kaikan recently to dedicate the first interconfessional translation of the Japanese Bible. The translation is the result of 18 years of collaboration between 44 Protestant and Roman Catholic theologians following principles laid down jointly by the United Bible Societies and Vatican officials in 1968. Co-chairmen of the translations committee were Dr. Chitose Kishi, a Lutheran and President of the Japan Bible Society, and the Right Rev. Saburo Hirata, Roman Catholic Bishop of Osaka...

In addition to the United Bible Societies, the Vatican, the Orthodox churches, the World Council of Churches and the World Evangelical Fellowship were represented at the ceremony. Each received first edition copies of the new work which will provide the Japanese people with a translation of God's Word acceptable to all Christian traditions.

Dr. Holmgren, who from the very beginning has been involved in the development of the 'Guiding Principles for Interconfessional Cooperation in Translating the Bible' used by the translation committee, stated in his address that: `we are living in a time of extraordinary and miraculous grace when the Spirit of God is transforming old hostilities into new relationships of reconciliation and cooperation. Much of this is happening because men and women all over the world are for the first time together engaging in translating, studying and sharing the Scriptures... The United Bible Societies extends warm congratulations on this festive occasion and prays that this new interconfessional translation will make the Divine Word clearer and more compelling than ever before to the people of Japan.' "Eighty thousand copies of the new Bible were released, half of which were sold the first 10 days following publication ("New Japanese Bible Greeted With Great Enthusiasm," American Bible Society Record, December 1987, pp. 10-11)

1990-2008

1991 witnessed "interconfessional" translation projects in Romania and Thailand.

"A National Ecumenical Platform has been established in Romania to seek the cooperation of the churches whenever possible. This organization will be responsible for the creation of a Romanian Bible Society. An immediate task of the new Society will be to produce an interconfessional translation of the Bible with the participation of both Protestants and Roman Catholics. The Scripture needs in Romania are estimated to be around 5,000,000 Bibles" (*American Bible Society Record*, January 1991)

Note that the Roman Catholic Church will be involved in the production of the new "interconfessional" translation in Romania. This usually means that Catholic priests will be on the translation team, and that heretical Catholic interpretations will be included in the version.

The close relationship between the Bible Societies and Rome was also seen in Thailand:

"More than twenty representatives from Protestant and Roman Catholic schools in Bangkok met with the Thailand Bible Society staff to plan a Super Book Gospel Concert for young people to celebrate the 100th Anniversary of the Bible Society" (*American Bible Society Record*, January 1991)

The dedication ceremony for the Maasai Bible in Kenya in February 1992 was evidence of the United Bible Societies' commitment to the most radical kind of ecumenism. According to the American Bible Society Record for August/September 1992, Roman Catholic Bishop Lukanima started the February 23 meeting, while Anglican Bishop Gilbert Makundi preached the sermon. The leaders of six denominations participated.

UBS ecumenism was illustrated in the same edition of the Record by the report on Bible printing in Albania. Bibles there are being produced as a joint venture between the United Bible Societies, the Catholic Biblical Federation and OstPiesterhilfe, another Catholic organization. Further, the new vice president, Mr. Arthur Caccese, was introduced in this issue of the Record as follows: "Art is an active churchman who worships in an Episcopal Church and integrates a background of both Roman Catholic involvement and years in the evangelical Christian context."

issue of the ABS In the May 1996 Record, а biographical sketch appears of Father Robert I. Robbins, vice chairman of the ABS church relations and volunteer activities committees. The Record says that Robbins, a Catholic, "helps guide the American Bible Society in working with its vital network of church supporters and volunteers." The article continues, "An ABS Board member since 1991, Father Robbins also serves on the Committee on Trustees and on the Finance/Administration and Executive committees." In December 2000, the ABS mailed a letter written by Robbins to Roman Catholics in which Robbins urged fellow Catholics to support the ABS as a response to Pope John Paul II's plea for all baptized persons to participate in mission activity through the precious offering of prayers and suffering and with material aid.

The UBS-Rome connection was illustrated during the Pope's 1996 visit to the United States. The following is from the American Bible Society 1996–97 Catalog of Scripture Resources:

"When Pope John Paul II visited the United States last autumn, ABS was on hand to help celebrate. Over half a million specially produced commemorative editions of the Gospel of John in the Contemporary English Version were distributed at local churches and various sites where the Pope conducted Mass ... As the highlight of the Bible Society's celebration, ABS President Dr. Eugene Habecker PRESENTED THE POPE WITH A WHITE, LEATHER-BOUND CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH VERSION BIBLE and a commemorative Gospel of John at St. Joseph's Seminary in Yonkers, New York. The Contemporary English Version is now the translation used in the Lectionary for Masses with Children. An upcoming CEV BIBLE WITH DEUTEROCANONICALS AND APOCRYPHA IN TRADITIONAL CATHOLIC ORDER is scheduled for publication in the spring of 1997" (American Bible Society 1996-97 Catalog of Scripture Resources, p. 13).

In 1997 the Polish Bible Society published a new translation by Roman Catholic Bishop Kazimierz Romaniuk. A new Polish interconfessional Bible is in progress, and Romaniuk is the co-ordinator of the project. Ten or eleven denominations are participating, including Catholic, Orthodox, Lutheran, Reformed, Methodist, Baptist, Pentecostal, and Churches of Christ. The Seventh-day Adventist are also involved.

By 1997, 174 of the UBS translation projects were joint endeavors with the Roman Catholic Church.

During Pope John Paul II's visit to Cuba in 1998, Bible Commission Secretary Jose Lopez of the Cuban Council of Churches presented him with a United Bible Societies Bible. A UBS Special Report for November 1998 noted that "one of the most important aspects of Pope John Paul's visit to Cuba was that it helped lower barriers between Roman Catholics and Evangelicals." The same report described an ecumenical service that was held in 1996 during which "55 Catholics and 200 Evangelicals worshipped together."

In March 1998, an ecumenical meeting was held in Embu, Kenya, to observe the publication of the interconfessional gospel of Mark in the Kiembu-Kimbeere language. The Bible Society of Kenya is in charge of the project, which features Anglican and Roman Catholic translators. Catholic priest Gabriel Muverethi, Vicar General of the Catholic Diocese of Embu, spoke at the meeting.

In June 1998, eight Protestant and six Roman Catholic members of the Bible Society of Cambodia paid a visit to King Norodom Sihanouk to mark the launch of a new Khmer Common Language Bible. Among the participants was Catholic priest Francois Ponchaud. The king said he was pleased to see Protestants and Catholics united around the Bible.

In February 1999, the National Bible Society of Ireland published a Bible study by Catholic priest Pat Collins entitled Seeking with ... the Father.

In early June 1999, the translators of the new interconfessional Polish Bible were presented to Pope John Paul II at a special ecumenical service in Drohiczyn, Poland. On May 31, 1999, the Pope mentioned the new translation while speaking at the 46th Eucharistic Congress in Wroclaw, Poland. He praised the ecumenical spirit of the Bible societies and

said that once Christians are committed to the path of ecumenism there is no turning back.

In October 1999, the Bible Society of Burkina Faso (in Africa) joined hands with Roman Catholic leaders to dedicate the new Moore Catholic Bible. The ecumenical ceremony featured speeches by Catholic Archbishop Jean Marie Untaani Compaore, Pastor Flavien Tapsoba of the Federation of Evangelical Churches, and Antole Ouedraogo, board chairman of the Bible Society of Burkina Faso. Ouedraogo said "the Bible Society exists to serve all denominations." Three Catholic priests worked on the translation.

Also in 1999 the General Secretary of the Polish Bible Society, Barbara Enholc-Narznyska, delivered a lecture at the Roman Catholic University of Lublin in commemoration of the Wujek Bible, the first Catholic Bible in Poland.

In December 2000, the Austrian Bible Society coproduced a six-hour radio program entitled Long Night with the Bible, that featured Roman Catholic priest Wolfgang Schwartz, Jewish rabbi Chaim Eisenberg, and Lutheran Michael Bunker.

In 2001, the American Bible Society published the Jubilee Good News Bible, a Bible for black Roman Catholics. It features "an approved Catholic version," plus the Apocrypha books, and articles promoting Catholic doctrine. It includes stories of African popes.

In 2002 Pope John Paul II received 70 representatives of the United Bible Societies and Bible Societies of Europe and the Middle East and commended them for their ecumenical approach to Bible translation. "Commenting on the occasion, David Bedford, the UBS Head of Global Development, said that the audience --and the Pope's affirmation of the Bible Societies' mission -- had touched him deeply" (TBS Quarterly Record, Jan.-Mar. 2003). In July 2002 the United Bible Societies opened a joint exhibition in Rome with the Vatican Library, which traced the history of the printed Bible and its impact on culture and people. The grand opening was attended by Monsignor Raffaele Farina, Director of the Vatican Library, and UBS General Secretary Fergus Macdonald. One thing that was missing from this exhibit, of course, was the documentation of Rome's vicious inquisition and her millennia-long attempt to keep the Bible out of the hands of the people.

In April 2008 the American Bible Society printed a special edition of the Gospel of Luke for distribution at papal masses during the visit of Pope Benedict XVI. The booklet featured a picture of the pope on the cover ("American Bible Society Welcomes Pope," Assist News Service, April 15, 2008).

At the Vatican on October 7, 2008, delegates from the American Bible Society presented Pope Benedict XVI with a special Polyglot Bible. The 3,200-page Bible was created "in honor of the XII Ordinary General Assembly of the Catholic Bishops," which is currently in session at the Vatican ("American Bible Society," Christian Post, Oct. 7, 2008). Consisting of the Bible's text in five languages--Hebrew, Greek, Latin, English, and Spanish--the Polyglot Bible bears the seals of the Vatican and the American Bible Society. Dennis Dickerson, chairman of the board of trustees of the ABS, said, "It is with great pleasure and happiness we return to the Bible again and again to deepen our understanding of the Word of God and rekindle our love for it." In fact, they don't love the Bible at all, or they would cease to disobey it by affiliating with and blessing those who have exalted their own false tradition to the same level of authority as God's Word (Romans 16:17-18). Further, if they loved the Bible they would cease to pervert it through discredited manuscripts and the fearfully unfaithful Egyptian translation methodology of dynamic equivalency. (See

"Dynamic Equivalency: Its Influence and Error" at the Way of Life web site.)

Hundreds of other examples could be given. It is plain that the United Bible Societies are fully given to an unholy ecumenism. It matters not that the Roman Catholic Church preaches a false gospel that leads multitudes to Hell. It matters not that Roman Catholicism is filled with all sorts of doctrinal error. The UBS intends to "serve all churches" no matter what the Word of God says!

RCC-UBS Partnership in the Philippines

The Philippines gives us an illustration of the close partnership that has developed between the United Bible Societies and the Roman Catholic Church. The following comes from The Bible Distributor, a UBS publication, and though it is a lengthy quotation, we believe it is important enough to include:

The collaboration of the Philippine Bible Society (PBS) with Roman Catholics began in 1967. After the Vatican II Council, Roman Catholics approached the PBS to request permission to use existing Bible Society Scriptures in local languages. Aware of the inadequacy of these old texts for the young people at that time, the Bible Society proposed instead a cooperative venture to produce local language Bibles that could be used by Protestants and Catholics alike, and which would be in contemporary, or popular, language.

To date, there are Bibles in six out of the eight major languages in the Philippines, and work is under way in the other two languages.

Any material intended for Roman Catholics has to be carefully planned, discussed and approved by both parties to ensure effective and meaningful distribution. It involves consultation and coordination with the established commissions of the Roman Catholic Church.

SINCE 1967, WHEN SCRIPTURE TRANSLATION PROJECTS IN POPULAR VERSIONS BEGAN IN THE PHILIPPINES, THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH HAS BEEN DELEGATING REPRESENTATIVES TO THE PHILIPPINE BIBLE SOCIETY through the Episcopal Commission on the Biblical Apostolate (ECBA). Planning and preparation is much easier today because of the Roman Catholic representation on the PBS Board of Directors. This Roman Catholic representation did not happen overnight. Amendments to the PBS By-Laws were gradually introduced by the PBS Board of Directors as they saw and understood more clearly the mission of the Bible Society in the country. ROMAN CATHOLIC MEMBERSHIP ON THE PBS BOARD HAS INCREASED FROM ONE OUT OF 11 MEMBERS IN 1979 TO FIVE OUT OF 18 MEMBERS TODAY. These Roman Catholic Board members help pave the way of joint cooperation between the PBS and the Roman Catholic Church ...

A total of 655,000 Bibles with deuterocanonicals and 1,426,000 New Testaments with the Roman Catholic Imprimatur have been produced and distributed in the past six years.

Efforts have been made to provide English Bibles which are acceptable to Roman Catholics; e.g. the following Bibles have been produced locally: Good news Bible with Deuterocanonicals ... New American Bible ... Jerusalem Bible...

Other material being produced for Roman Catholics in the Philippines are the Roman Catholic Daily Bible Reading Guide (RC DBRG) and the Lectionary. ... READINGS FROM THE LECTIONARY PRODUCED BY THE PHILIPPINE BIBLE SOCIETY ARE BASED ON THE POPULAR VERSION TRANSLATIONS AND ARE USED BY THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CLERGY DURING THE MASS. THEREFORE, IT PROMOTES THE USE OF DYNAMIC EQUIVALENT TRANSLATIONS, thus making the Word of God available in a language that people can easily understand. In the past five years, the Philippine Bible Society has distributed a total of 4,100,000 RC DBRG and 21,000 Lectionaries. ...

Establishing an effective working relationship between the PBS and the Roman Catholic Church requires a clear understanding of the mission of the Bible Society in the country in which it is situated. ... Serving the churches is one of the missions of the Bible Society. The 'Church' refers to all Christian churches in the country (Nathanael P. Lazaro, "Serving Roman Catholics in the Philippines," *The Bible Distributor*, Oct.-Nov. 1986, pp. 8-11,13; Lazaro is Distribution Secretary of the Philippine Bible Society).

Roman Catholics in Leadership Positions within the United Bible Societies

In the 1970s a Catholic woman named Maria Teresa Porcile Santiso was employed full time by the United Bible Societies as directress of ecumenical affairs in the regional centre of Mexico (Word-Event, No. 36, p. 6).

"The new president of the WCFBA [World Catholic Federation for the Biblical Apostolate], the Right Reverend Monsignor **Alberto Ablondi**, is the Catholic Bishop of Livorno in Italy. Simultaneously he is a member of the General Committee and European Regional Executive Committee of the United Bible Societies, thus playing a part in the formulation and review of the UBS general policy" (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Report, Oct.-Dec. 1985, p. 24).

"Among the UBS Vice-Presidents will be found the name of Dr. **Francis Arinze**, who is not only a Roman Catholic archbishop (of Onitsha in Nigeria) but has also recently been made a Cardinal by the Pope" (Ibid., p. 25).

Carlo Martini, Roman Catholic Cardinal and retired Archbishop of Milan, was one of the editors of the United Bible Societies' Greek New Testament (beginning with the second edition) until his retirement in 2002.

In 2001, the Houston, Texas, office of the American Bible Society honored Catholic Bishop **Joseph Fiorenza**, president of the National Conference of Catholic Bishops, at a Leadership Awards Luncheon.

We see from these quotes and observations that the United Bible Societies has drawn very close to the Roman Catholic Church in its work of Bible translation and distribution. Surely, it is more than a coincidence that since the days of the production of the English Revised Version (with its preference for the Vaticanus manuscript), the reversal of the Protestant Reformation has developed with amazing rapidity.

In the above quotes we see how the Bible societies distribute Bibles that contain the Catholic apocryphal books, which should not be a part of the Bible at all as they give no evidence of being inspired of God and have never been considered canonical by Biblebelieving churches. On the trip to Calcutta mentioned earlier, I saw stacks of new Bibles that had recently arrived from America. They were published by the American Bible Society and contained the Catholic apocryphal books.

We also see that the Catholic Church has not given up its false teachings for the sake of these ecumenical Bible projects. The ecumenical movement is a one-way street as far as the Vatican is concerned, and that street leads straight to Rome! How clever the Roman Catholic leaders are! In these interconfessional translations, the United Bible Societies are providing money and personnel for the publication of Catholic Bibles, Bibles that contain the Catholic apocryphal books as well as notes promoting Roman doctrine.

One Catholic doctrine that is continually promoted is that of Mary. At the 1979 Third General Conference of the Latin American Episcopacy, opened by Pope John Paul II and attended by representatives of the United Bible Societies, the conference document contained a section entitled "Mary, the Mother and model of the Church." It described Mary's role in evangelism and mentions the false doctrines of Mary's "Immaculate Conception" and bodily Assumption to Heaven (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, Apr.–Jun. 1981, pp. 14–15).

These things present a sad and strange picture. Here are Bible societies dedicated to the publication of God's Holy Word bending over backward to serve the apostate Roman Catholic Church that kept the Word of God from men for so many centuries. Could anything be stranger!

The United Bible Societies have even provided Scripture portions that the Pope gives away in his endless papal audiences, during which this false teacher woos, flatters, and deceives the steady stream of blinded people who come for his blessing and advice.

The attitude of the United Bible Societies toward the Roman Catholic Church, for the most part, is summed up in the policy of the Canadian Bible Society. "THE CANADIAN BIBLE SOCIETY CONSIDERS ITSELF TOTALLY AT THE SERVICE OF CATHOLIC BIBLE WORK" (Trinitarian Bible Society Quarterly Record, Jul.–Sep. 1978, pp. 6–8). Even the Pope could not desire more than this!

In 2 John and again in Revelation 18:4 we are warned that to fellowship with and assist false teachers is to become partakers of their evil deeds. No born again Christian should give even the smallest offering toward the Bible society's work. If a born again Christian is in a church that fellowships with and supports the Bible societies, part of your tithes and offerings are going to support the evil we have been considering in this study.

"And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues" (Revelation 18:4).

THE BIBLE SOCIETIES ARE INTERCONNECTED: ALL MEMBER BODIES ARE THEREFORE PARTAKERS OF THESE EVILS

"The United Bible Societies organization was launched in 1946, and now coordinates the work of most of the world's Bible societies, including the British and Foreign Bible Society, the National Bible Society of Scotland, the American Bible Society, and the Netherlands Bible Society, among others. It is, in effect, the 'Bible society wing' of the World Council of Churches" (Brown, *The Word of God Among All Nations*, p. 124). There are 130 member societies that make up the United Bible Societies. All of these societies are tied together organizationally and spiritually. To support any one of the Bible societies is to support all of them. If a Christian in America supports the American Bible Society, he is not only aiding and abetting the error of that one society, but also that of other Bible Societies around the world.

I realize there are some born again people working with the Bible societies. Revelation 17–18 describes the apostate one world religion and one world government of the last hours of the church age. It is a picture of total apostasy and wickedness, yet the Bible says some true people of God are involved in these movements because God's call is "Come out of her, MY PEOPLE, that ye be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues" (Revelation 18:4). Consider three simple lessons from this passage:

1. There are some saved people in the apostate End Times "church."

2. God calls from Heaven to those who are saved, exhorting them to separate from the apostasy.

3. Those who ignore this call will be judged.

The decision is clear. The pressures of family, tradition, security, the unpopularity of a separate position, and many other things are brought to bear against the Christian who desires to be faithful to God in an apostate hour. God calls from Heaven and requires a complete separation from apostasy. Whom will we fear, God or man? To whose voice will we hearken, Heaven's or the world's?

"Look to yourselves, that we lose not those things which we have wrought, but that we receive a full reward. Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son. If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed: For he that biddeth him God speed is partaker of his evil deeds" (2 John 8-11).

I believe it is clear by now that Unholy Hands on God's Holy Book is an apt title for a report on the United Bible Societies.

CHAPTER SIX MISCELLANEOUS WARNINGS

AUSTRALIAN BIBLE SOCIETY FRIGHTFULLY BLASPHEMOUS

The Australian Beacon in 1988 reported an amazing fact that illustrates the apostasy of the United Bible Societies:

"The Australian Bible Society is currently sponsoring a 30minute show, in which GOD IS REFERRED TO AS 'MR. G.' The aim, we are told, is 'to speak to young Australian people... [and to] spread the Good News Version [TEV]."

This same Bible Society also published a special edition of Mark's Gospel in which JESUS CHRIST WAS PRESENTED AS "ACTION MAN." While visiting Perth in 1988, I obtained a copy of this blasphemous publication at the Bible Society office and I also learned that the deeply corrupted Today's English Version is the best-selling Bible in Australia.

AUSTRALIA BIBLE SOCIETY AND "THE AUSSIE BIBLE"

In 2006 the Bible Society of New South Wales in Australia released the "More Aussie Bible" by Kel Richards, a journalist and broadcaster and author of children's detective novels. This builds upon "The Aussie Bible," which focused on the life of Christ. "More Aussia Bible" deals with Genesis, Proverbs, and Scripture. other portions of Using Australian vernacular, Richard takes amazing liberties with the Word of God. It begins like this, "God was tinkering around in his workshop when out of the blue, God knocked up the whole bang lot." The account of the devil's temptation of Eve goes like this: "There was this sheila who came across a snake-in-the-grass with all the cunning of a con man. The snake asked her why she didn't just grab lunch off the tree in her garden.

God, she said, had told her she'd be dead meat if her fruit salad came from that tree, but the snake told her she wouldn't die. So she took a good squiz and then a bite and passed the fruit on to her bloke. Right then and there, they'd realised what they'd done and felt starkers." The account of the angel appearing to Mary is as follows: "G'day Mary. You are a pretty special sheila. God has his eye on you'. Mary went weak at the knees, and wondered what was going on. Then she said, 'My soul is as happy as Larry!'" The Bible Society claims that all of this is fine since "The Aussie Bible" is a "retelling" of the Bible rather than a translation, but a retelling of the Bible still must be faithful to the Bible. God has nowhere given man the liberty to change His Word in this manner. God rewards those who tremble at His Word (Isaiah 66:5), but this present strange generation takes it very, very lightly. "For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book. If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plaques that are written in this book" (Rev. 22:18).

AMERICAN BIBLE SOCIETY RAPPING AND CURSING

"For decades, the American Bible Society has been a prime perverter of God's Holy Word. We now see New York rappers featured in an ABS interactive computer program that translates Bible stories into language and images appealing to teenagers (August 16 Huntsville Times). A version of Mark 5:1–20 that 'would be at home on MTV' has cursing, rap music, and violent images" (Calvary Contender, Sept. 1, 1992).

BIBLE SOCIETY OFFICER BERATES FUNDAMENTALISTS

The following article is reprinted from The Fundamentalist Digest, Nov.-Dec. 1997:

In an article published in the Oct. 16, 1997 issue of *Baptists Today*, Barclay Newman, the senior translations officer for the American Bible Society, berated Biblical Fundamentalists by claiming that Fundamentalists place a

"claustrophobic framework" (p. 6) upon the Scripture. Insinuating that Fundamentalists have a deficient spiritual mentality, Newman writes: "Unfortunately the mentality of fundamentalism tends to foster a 'claustrophobic framework,' a literal, legalistic interpretation which often suffocates scripture and fails to see the 'larger picture' for their false notions of masculine superiority."

According to Newman, these "false notions of masculine superiority" are most evident in the Fundamentalist "manipulation of 1 Timothy 2:9-15 for the exploitation of women by forbidding them equal opportunity for ministry in the churches." Newman claims that Paul's admonitions in 1 Tim. 2 and also 1 Cor. 14:34-35 are "not for every situation" and that they "do not prescribe what must be done in every church of every generation. "In his conclusion, Newman pleads with his readers not to allow themselves to remain prisoners of "fundamentalism's claustrophobic framework" and "suffocating framework," which would "refuse half of the human race the opportunity for Christian ministry simply because of a certain birth defect by which they were born female."

The real problem listed above, however, is not fundamentalism's literalism, but Newman's liberalism. The dilemma is not fundamentalism's "claustrophobic framework" but Newman's catastrophic foolish words; it is not fundamentalism's "exploitation" of Scripture, but Newman's embezzlement of Scripture that is the issue. Biblical Fundamentalists simply believe that Paul wrote under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit, and that his words were inspired, infallible and inerrant (2 Tim. 3:16-17).

While the scripture teaches a divinely granted equality of persons (Gen. 1:26-27, Eph. 5:21), it also teaches a divinely given distinction of position. Two heads make a monstrosity! In the family women should be submissive to their spouse's leadership, and in the church they should be in subjection to the shepherd's leadership. Newman did not refer to 1 Tim. 3, where Paul stated that "If a man desire the office of a bishop, he desireth a good work." The qualification that a bishop must "be the husband of one wife" is impossible for a female to fulfill! (Unless one accepts the wicked sexual perversions being promulgated by apostate liberals.)

Newman's radical unbiblical views are not surprising, since according to the article, he "led the team which translated the Contemporary English Version [CEV] of the Bible in 1995." The CEV eliminates nearly every major doctrinal theme word in Scripture including atonement, propitiation, repentance, and even grace! (*The Fundamentalist Digest*, Nov.-Dec. 1997).

CHAPTER SEVEN FINAL CHALLENGE: A VOICE FROM THE PAST

Probably the greatest preacher of modern times

was Charles Haddon Spurgeon of England. Spurgeon, writing in his publication, The Sword and the Trowel, September 1888, warned against those who would alter and pervert the Word of God. What he wrote in 1888 is urgently needed today. Listen to this man of God:

Every motive that could move men to alter the Word of God has been fully delineated in various portions of the Bible. It shows that God was aware from the first of the reception that would be given to His truth; and it is as instructing to the humble believer as it is humiliating to the modern lover of penknife criticism.

The tendency to alter the Word of God is **HUMAN**. It is manifested in the first religious conversation on record. The Divine voice had asserted "Thou shalt not eat of it"; the human voice added "neither shall ye touch it." The addition was the precursor of the fall.

The desire to alter the Word of God is **DANGEROUS**. In the wilderness God Himself points this out. "Ye shall not add unto the Word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish ought from it" (Deuteronomy 4:2). The nations they were advancing to conquer had long cast aside their allegiance to their Maker, and the least tendency to question or alter God's Word might result in the same downfall for Israel. "Thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish from it." That idolatry does result from such daring rebellion is proved by the state of the Roman Catholic community today. The act of altering the Word of God is **SINFUL**. "Add not unto His words, lest He reprove thee, and thou be found a liar" (Proverbs 30: 5,6) "Every word of God is pure"; and he who essays to improve upon it imputes error to the Allwise. Only unholy minds could attempt it.

The desire to alter the Word of God is **WEAKNESS**. Jeremiah's was a terrible message, and even he might yield to feelings of pity for his race. God saw this, and in words that could not be misunderstood, He said to the prophet, "Diminish not a word" (Jer. 26:2). If God's message is diminished its power is lessened, and its results are consequently less certain. The authority, the power, the meaning, the terror of God's truth must be preserved in all their fulness if God's purposes are to be carried out.

The ambition to alter the Word of God is **PHARISAIC**. To break the perfection of the law and teach our own alterations or additions as if they were of God is vile indeed (Matthew 5:19,20). Our Lord reproved this spirit in scathing and unmistakable language. Why is it His Words are forgotten? "Ye have made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition," He says. "They teach for doctrines the commandments of men" (Matthew 15:6-9). The Pharisaic spirit thus renders impossible obedience to God the Supreme Teacher.

The craving to alter the Word of God is **ACCURSED**. Revelation 2:18-19 should be read with fear and trembling. Thus all down the ages God has warned men against this crime. He is a jealous God, and has determined to visit with the direst punishment all who dare to alter His completed and full revelation.

This is the crime of the present day: the Lord preserve us from it.