


May the sleeping beauty within each woman awaken, and may the wise prince within each man put to rest the beast.



The Sleeping Beauty - by Henry Maynell Rheam

A MEN'S AND WOMEN'S LIBERATION UPDATE Christmas 2005 – Sam Fryman

Due to a kind member of the torrent site recently reposting a “Sam Fryman Compilation”, and the original works on Men’s and Women’s Liberation, Meditation and Kundalini being downloaded at this site and others many thousand times since I first posted the books in July this year, I feel it is on the basis of demand that I wish to make an “update statement” for the benefit of interested readers here of both genders.

Firstly, I would like to answer some of the critics of the works.

On one web site run by a group of Star Trek fans, the first book, “A Men’s Liberation Guide to Women” was regarded as “chauvinistic” when I pointed out how progressively the original series had become more and more feminised with eventually the captain’s chair being taken over by a woman in the Voyager series, and where men were shown such as Captain Picard, they were depicted to be ever more insecure and in need of female support and guidance.

That is to say, our traditional “male hero” – brave, fearless and so on, has been replaced by some kind of insecure, woman-addicted “new man”, who can’t make decisions any more without having some “wise women” whispering in his ear, telling him how and what he should think and feel, such as in The Star Trek Next Generation series, Counsellor Troi or Doctor Crusher.

It was also pointed out that I had made some minor errors in discussing the Star Trek series, such as mistaking the sexy hypnotic cyborg (or whatever the hell she is) “Seven” in the Voyager series for an alien, or getting the name of Riker wrong (spelling it “Reiker”) in the Next Generation Series.

That such petty non-material criticisms are made, is proof positive of the petty, female dominated mentality of those who made these criticisms.

On this particular site, were included even personal attacks on the author, such as implying he himself is “unsuccessful with women”, or that “he has a problem with women.”

Well, of course, like every other man on this planet, Sam Fryman has no problem with women whatsoever, *just so long as he does what he is told.*

But the problem he has, is that he will not do what he is told by members of either gender, unless it is coming from a good and wise place, which currently it rarely is, and as women are more and more dominating in society, the unwise “advice” (rather more honestly *demands* and *commands* from “her supreme highness” (more often *lowness*)) when she does not have his own interests or that of society in general at heart.

As men, we are faced with a race of women whose values have become distorted to the point where they are not technically speaking even sane.

But as more and more women take up positions in society as judges, “counsellors”, “psychologists” and so on, it is *they* who define what is right and wrong, what is “sane” and “insane.”

It is not far off the stage now, where merely to disagree with a woman will be regarded as a sign of mental imbalance in a man.

I would have liked to have given in my works example of sane, wise women active in public life, but sadly I am lost for such examples.

We have a few in “the arts” like Joni Mitchell, but as soon as they comment against the current “status quo”, they are silenced and ignored.

Rather, what we have is Madonna, who seems to be going through some kind of quasi-religious conversion at the moment, yet is still appearing in videos of nuns carrying whips and hiking up their cassocks to show sexy underwear.

What kind of religion or “spirituality” is that, but one of hedonism?

If anyone has seen the well made and beautifully acted Hammer Horror movie “The Devil Rides Out” they will recall a scene where the high grade black magician Mocata (brilliantly played by Charles Gray) is smiling smugly at the black magic ritual as all his followers are indulging in a wild orgy before his eyes, somewhat reminiscent of that in the movie Solomon and Sheba, where after falling for the charms of the Queen of Sheba, Solomon permits an orgy to take place worshipping a pagan idol, or for that matter, similar to that in the movie The Ten Commandments, where there is an equivalent orgy going on worshipping “the Golden Calf.”

And we put it to you that is the “religion” which we are being offered in this society, that of worship of “the golden calf”, i.e. materiality, and that society is being ruled effectively by “black magicians” - who preside smiling smugly as the devil over a race of people who are descending further and further into orgies of drink, drugs, increasingly perverse sex and material excess.

The issue here is not of *Puritanism* - Sam Fryman is not a killjoy, and admires the form of a beautiful woman just as much as the next man - it is about *excess*.

It is about the laws of evolution and biology, which the doctors and scientists in general are not aware of, but which when flouted by the individual and the society bring personal and collective disaster.

That is – according to Gopi Krishna, the mostly ignored by the New Age kundalini and yoga expert – it will be discovered sooner or later that excessive sex leads to physical and mental illness and weakness, ill health in general, and a twisted mental state in the leaders of society, who can therefore commit atrocities without conscience, as we see the Western leaders doing now, and the dictators they install in the various non-Western countries.

So where do women come into this equation and situation, why does Sam Fryman seem to be blaming women’s current “liberated” state of binge drinking, infidelity, promiscuity and materialistic and status obsessiveness?

Simply because these tendencies just listed are their own answer.

Promiscuity is the enemy of faithful relationships. A man who has a lot of affairs is a bad or weak man, but a woman who does that is and always will be regarded as a whore. Is such condemnation fair?

Well, the point is this – if women do not behave well – regardless of whether men do or not – there is **NOBODY** to hold society together, to care for children, to be responsible.

But women have said – “Enough is enough, men behave how they like, do not show responsibility, so **WHY SHOULD WE?**”

And as we have said, the answer is in two parts.

a) if women don't behave responsibly, society is finished.

b) Women have got to wise up and realise that they themselves are encouraging and creating irresponsibility in the male, by failing to allow men to impose discipline on children, even physically if necessary, so they grow up lacking stability and self-control; by accepting as sexual and marital partners immature men; and by presenting themselves as sex objects and having sex outside of stable relationships, which encourages unfaithful and immature men to continue in their ways.

The man with whom we are all familiar who flits from one woman to another, sowing wild oats wherever he may, and leaving a trail of "broken hearts" and neglected children of both genders in his wake - or else, even if he sticks around, he *violently* dominates his woman and children - the sad truth is that *modern women are accepting*.

If women did not accept him HE WOULD NOT EXIST.

But then women need to – instead of wasting their lives getting ever more sensual and "turned on" watching *Sex in the City*, *Desperate Housewives* and so on – *educate their minds* by reading such books as *Games People Play* by Eric Berne MD and the main works of Roy Masters, or to save themselves a whole lot of time, Sam Fryman's own works so that they finally *understand their own minds*.

For example, all egotistical human beings of either gender have a desire to feel that they are *in the right*.

So one psychological manoeuvre we are all are tempted to employ, is to find someone dumber or stupider or mentally inferior to us, and to feel superior by comparison, able to look down and laugh at them, and therefore *to feel "righteous" and "good about ourselves."*

And the sad fact is that when women become honest enough with themselves, each other, and their men, they will see that is exactly what many choose to do.

To get some easily manipulable "hunk", who faithfully goes out and does your bidding, goes out and fights in the world for you, beating other men in one way or another and bringing home the spoils - the chocolates, flowers, nice dresses, jewellery and the rest - is a very tempting prospect for too many millions of women.

And if he turns out to be violent, as so many do and will, then you can kick him out and feel superior, you can condemn men as all inferior, stupid and "all the same."

But if women raised male children to be responsible and wise, and allowed men to stop them getting out of hand also, such alternately physically bullying and emotionally weak men simply would not exist.

But women accept these men, because they think – persuaded by the crazy feminist leaders – that men are inferior beings, like children, who are meant to be mothered.

But they don't see that in their hearts, men believe they should lead, that it is their natural role, and when you get an adult male who has the body of an Arnold Schwarzenegger, but the mind of a petulant three year old, you have a real problem on your hands when it gets upset at being controlled and led around by the nose.

Yet instead of realising that male children are being raised in the wrong way – i.e. not talked to as adults and expected to act responsibly – women are perpetuating the production line of these wayward mentally three year old Arnold Schwarzeneggers, who on an off day might well murder them, their children or anyone else handy if they get sufficiently upset.

Women do not understand their own mentality which loves *the male gone wrong*.

Their mindset goes something like “yes, he’s unfaithful, he’s violent, he’s an alcoholic or a drug addict, but *I still love him, I can’t help myself.*”

When he comes to them in tears, even after maybe giving her a black eye or bedding her “best friend” or the woman next door, saying how sorry he is, she opens her arms (and often legs also - sorry, just being honest to make the point, no intent to be vulgar) and “loves him back” all over again, even though she knows even if one percent of her brain is still alive, that he is going to do exactly the same to her again the next week or month.

So it is necessary and in fact *essential* that those women *who are wise*, and who can understand, see this truth about themselves and the behaviour of other women, and start doing something about it *please*.

Nothing Sam Fryman said in his books was about discriminating against women, rather it was about protecting them.

No denial of women’s right to have jobs or careers was stated in any of the works, except those which by nature they are unfit for, for example *in most cases* front line service in police or the military, and again, *in most cases*, high positions in government.

Were *the wise women* in society allowed government positions, this might not apply, but it is our considered opinion that the noble and wise women – who may currently be teachers, doctors, writers, artists, musicians or whatever – are categorically NOT the varieties of women who are seeking and attaining power, but rather the insecure, ambitious and immature ones, who are good at pushing themselves forward and speaking hypnotically, like any good sales lady, but because of their mental instability and lack of humanity and vision are equally categorically *unfit* to assume positions in which they hold sway over the lives of millions and even billions, that is *over us all*.

Let us be specific.

We have for example Mrs Cherie Blair in England, a high court judge and prime minister’s wife who trades on her public position and takes huge fees for speaking events, even recently for *a charity*, and has earlier this year bought a several million pound property.

Is this the behaviour and outlook of a Florence Nightingale, a Virgin Mary, a Joan of Arc?

Let us give the example of Joni Mitchell again.

She has lines in her songs like “they paved paradise and put up a parking lot.”

“the gas leaks, the oil spills, sex kills, sex sells everything.”

She is clearly against the wrong things in society – pollution, exploitative business, and the use of women as sex objects to addict men to seeing women that way and be sexually overactive, and as a commercial tool to keep fuelling the capitalistic machinery and sell people things they don't need (certainly in such extravagant quantities) and just cause further unchecked environmental damage, and force people to labour to afford these things far more than is good for them.

So we imagine that if *she* got into power, she would do her best to rule with *true love* and justice, to care for the environment and the human living in it likewise.

But clearly, this is emphatically *not* the spirit which we see in most women who are seeking and in positions of power throughout the world, as neither do we see it in sufficient quantities in the men in power and politics.

So if the *idealistic caring* women would come forward to take positions of influence, neither Sam Fryman nor any other man could possibly object. But we regret that *currently* such is not the case, and rather, the *wrong* kinds of women are being encouraged to ambitiously seek power, just to meddle unwisely as puppets of the capitalist system, aggrandize their own egos, and fall in love with their impressive job titles and reflections in the mirror, *yes, just as men have always done*.

But just because so many men have always done bad things, does that mean that women should do the same? To try to make a right with *two* wrongs, one from either gender?

Again, that is what too many women think and feel. They have a personal grudge against men and society for not making them happy, and then they go around trying to set the world aright, just acting from their own personal grievance – e.g. about being abused as a child – rather than acting *impartially, objectively, for the good of all*.

If we want the proof of that, let us look at the behaviour of women in politics, in power, as was done in the books in the cases of e.g. Princess Diana, Mrs Cherie Blair, and Mrs Hilary Clinton.

We find ONE COMMON FACTOR in almost every case of these women seeking power – *abused in childhood*.

And *for them alone* that seems like *the only reality*.

They don't see the millions of very decent or relatively decent women who were *not* abused, and loved their mother and fathers.

It is THOSE WOMEN, those full of love and kindness, who are SECURE who if they desire to do so *for the right reasons*, should be in power, who are working from an agenda and position of LOVE AND SECURITY, instead of the ones whom we have now, busy compensating for – but usually refusing to admit to – the trauma and unhappiness of their own childhood.

But on the contrary, the secure, loving and loved and wise women WILL NOT in general, seek power we would point out.

Why?

Because no wise person of either gender would try to seek power in this dangerous society, when one is a constant target of hate and perhaps assassination, no matter how worthy and kind a human being one is.

As the great philosopher and arguably “guru” J Krishnamurti, whose fans and friends have included Bruce Lee, Aldous Huxley, Annie Besant of the Theosophical Movement, Richard Chamberlain and many others said:

“The wise do not seek power, and those who seek power are not wise.”

Just as the current author seeks no *power*, except *over himself*, and would only accept a position of influence with the greatest reluctance, just as kundalini guru Gopi Krishna turned down the position of a government minister of India he was offered.

Why?

Because Sam Fryman or Gopi Krishna or others of similar mentality, would see what an enormous and really terrifying responsibility it is to care for the lives of millions and billions, and how careful and wise they must be so that everyone must be cared for with the maximum consideration and the minimum harm.

Whereas what do we have now?

We have those who not only *seek* power, but hunger for it obsessively, and when they come into possession of it, they think nothing of treading on the lives of thousand or millions in any conceivable way, even to the extent of killing tens or hundreds of thousands, as in Iraq, if the mere lives of “unimportant” human beings lie between them and their likely unwise goals.

The maimed, limbless, blinded, grotesquely deformed and dead are known as “collateral damage.”

They don’t worry too much about it as they smile smugly at the podium, and when we express out concern about these so called “terrorists” who may choose to blow us up sometimes when we are forced to travel to work on a tube train, or shop in a city street, they tell us that these awful “terrorists” are “the enemy”, and *they themselves* are not the problem, but our “saviours”, and that this “war on terrorism” will never end, and that we are just going to have to learn to live with it.

They don’t say they will find out why these people are so upset with us they want to blow us up, and see if there is any way we can appeal to their humanity, and make peace with them, they just say “these are not human beings, they are monsters, they must be hunted and killed, etc. etc.”

And even when totally innocent people get killed in the crossfire, like the Brazilian boy who was shot dead in London as suspected of being a bomber, but actually only carrying an ordinary rucksack full of personal possessions, they show little remorse – more “collateral damage” you will appreciate.

So just how long is it till something awful happens to me or you?

In the UK, Mr Blair recently asked for powers to detain without charge any “terrorist suspect” for up to ninety days, or really, more or less indefinitely, and was only narrowly defeated in parliament on it *this time*.

So here, in tame little England, we are not far from a police state, in which whoever the government wishes can be locked up without any real evidence, or charges brought, more or less indefinitely.

And of course under the American jurisdiction, we have Guantanamo Bay.

So what has all this got to do with Men's and Women's liberation?

The answer is – the whole world is simply a collection of families.

That is all we are.

And every family is created by A MAN AND A WOMAN.

That RELATIONSHIP alone between each man and woman on planet earth is what makes our world, it is the key to and keystone of our whole local, national and global communities.

And when it is working properly, the whole society and world is at peace.

But when that man-woman relationship is A WAR or alternatively AN ENSLAVEMENT as it is now; when there is no real love, kindness, patience, consideration and understanding for one another, as it is now; when there is only disrespect, bullying, treachery, manipulation, and mad passion, as it is now; then THE WHOLE WORLD IS IN CHAOS AS A DIRECT RESULT.

As is it now.

But people do not confront this chaos. They merely live on in a docile state amidst the wars, the genocide, the violent crime, the fraud, the government corruption, the drug, gambling and sex addiction, the flying bullets of the drug gangs, the child abuse, the woman abuse, the man abuse, and the “terrorist” bombs, and do their very best to not “rock the boat”, and keep their heads as far as possible below the parapet.

And why do they, why do *we* not object?

Because instead of demanding FREEDOM and LOVE we settle for bread and circuses, we settle for spills and thrills, we settle for a sexually exciting enslavement to one another, for highs on drink, drugs, escapist TV, pornography and feeling egotistically secure with our possessions, our overeating, our positions in society and particularly in the case of men and boys, our obsessive worship of women and total enslavement to sex.

And great emphasis has been laid upon our sexual behaviour in the various books, because on the one hand, sex is in theory at least the thing we all want the most, but is also therefore the wise person will see, the thing with which we can be controlled the most easily, certainly as to men.

Many women revel in their power over men, there are books written like “How to Drive Your Man Wild in Bed”; “Cosmopolitan” magazine is more or less entirely based on this sexual domination and manipulation of men which puts the woman in charge, and able to get a man to perform for her, like a dog that eagerly runs a mile to chase the stick its master or mistress throws, for the pleasure of being fed by and licking its owner's hand.

And as for men, when enslaved by sex, temporarily able to gain relief from all our insecurities and anxieties and fears by burying ourselves in the female beautiful (or often not so beautiful) body, that owner is therefore a woman.

And if we let her, if we cannot resist this power she has, she rules over us as completely as if she were a goddess or a god.

And thus we pointed out, that the *traditional religion* which has been mocked and kicked out of society, especially by these low minded and egotistical kinds of women such as the feminist extremists, has kicked out any concept of a “god” with it.

And whether god exists or doesn't, and religion is rubbish or it isn't, *the logical consequence* of that situation, is that now man has no "god", all that is left is his enslavement to and worship of women.

Or if he is a scoundrel, he can pick on the decent or unwise women, and try to use and abuse them, and disappear into the sunset, leaving a bunch of neglected and traumatised children with no father in his wake.

And we have by kicking out any kind of god and "traditional ways" of dating and mating - such as the old fashioned courtship rituals, which protected both men and women, and made the relationship between the sexes respectful and beautiful, instead of chaotic, traumatic and ugly, as it is now - opened ALL WOMEN to such attacks, by saying that casual sex and serial relationships are "OK", are the way to happiness, and that such "cheap thrills" and shallow, fleeting, foundationless relationships are the normal way to live, and that this constant excitement and tease of chasing and being chased is what life is worth living for. That is what the gossip columns live on after all - who is "getting it on" with who.

So the governments do nothing. They don't care.

They support only the capitalist system which only wants to enslave us to work too hard and die.

In the UK they are now planning to raise the age of pension to sixty-seven for both genders, because THEY say that WE can't afford pensions for the old any more.

So just as predicted in the books, they want us to work until we die. The only retirement will be to a black or pine box *if they get their way*.

And we also pointed out that much of this "New Age" religion and "spirituality" and so on, which is very much female dominated, is really nothing of the kind, but a sort of "free for all" carnival of "spiritual" thrills and spills with which "the temple" has been filled, and should largely be rejected, just as Christ is alleged to have thrown the "money changers" out of the temple, as having no place in "the house of God."

And why?

Because so much of this "New Age" philosophy is about everything but the human qualities of kindness, patience, self-discipline, non-aggressiveness and so on, moral virtues which were expressed as always at the core of any *genuine* spirituality.

And with the understanding of Gopi Krishna's exposition of the *biological nature* of kundalini - the fact in other words, that only by moderating the sex desire, and being a good and self-controlled human being can *the body and brain* be safely attuned to "higher states of consciousness" - we have a *scientific and logical basis* for this position.

We have at last an explanation for all these prophets and religions, and also for our current crop of mentally ill people, and social malaise, which results from the neglect of following these "boring old principles" constantly reaffirmed by every true religious figure such as Christ, Moses, Buddha, Mohammed, Lao Tzu, Confucius and so on, but largely paid only "lip service" to by most of the "New Agers."

The mass of women have failed to see that happiness lies in *peace, love, harmony*, not in thrills and spills, which after enough overindulgence in only create havoc in their own bodies and brains, their relationships and then chaos in society in general.

I was written to some time ago by someone who said they had stopped watching TV altogether, knowing the hypnotic power it has, and how it constantly tries to sell us products and ideas which aren't good for us, and we don't need.

With TV's constant images of fictional women in power, who rarely act anything like the real women in society in power, but are feisty, somewhat principled and indestructible heroines; or on the other hand, the endless portrayal of women as sex objects and glamour pussies whom all men should fall at the feet of and unquestioningly worship, I'd say he had a point.

But then burying our head in the sand is not the answer, surely.

We have to take the good from society, just as we do from TV, and reject the rest.

We have to be discriminating.

The world we accept is the world we get. If we accept a relationship with a person who is abusive, we will get abused, just as if we accept a political leader or ruler who is abusive, we will get abused.

Whereas if we carefully assess other people, dip our toe in the water to test, carefully observe, watch how they respond to different situations and temptations *before ever committing ourselves*, then we will have a good relationship, a caring, respectful, loving and wonderful one.

And if we can't find that person, then it's really better we stay alone. Then even if we don't have harmony in our relationships, at least we can still have it in ourselves.

So the question we might ask ourselves is -do we want to be discriminating and careful people who will reject all the dross, the lies and damaging influences and relationships that the current misguided society offers; or are we going to be persuaded and bullied into being used and abused and denied the freedom and true blissful relationship we might have with others if we rejected the temptations offered us by the dark beings in society, and therefore like in the last scene of "The Devil Rides Out", Mocata and his coven were finally defeated and disappeared?

For when the devil finally rides out of town due to *no demand*, we can rest assured that the princes and the princesses and their good kings and queens will finally ride *back in*.

Marlene Dietrich, will give up her whoring and sassy ways, and be a good woman and wife, and marry Jimmy Stewart's "Destry."

Black Jake, will put his gun back into his holster, and either ride off to another town, or he'll find a girl too, and realise shooting people in the back and threatening them isn't the only way to live.

He'll give up robbing banks, running casinos and selling potions (i.e. drugs), and learn to live on the farm, learn to listen to his old man.

He'll realise that his future lies in the joy of right relationships, opening his heart, and living beyond the ambitions of Baker Street and the Yellow Brick Road.

But let's not look down on others.

None of us are too far from the devil, the bad guy, because it is simply a matter of how we deal with our own desires.

And the point that those who people most of modern “psychology” and “therapy”, which again is mostly now dominated by women and feminist thinking, have failed to recognise, is that when we kick “traditional morals” and “virtues” into touch, as outmoded and of no consequence, we set free “the Pandora’s Box” of desires, which amounts to creating and empowering a world full of devils.

And how on earth can a world full of devils be a happy or peaceful place?

When self-restraint, and self-denial are looked upon as only the behaviour of mugs and fools, as is so often the case now, the world can be nothing else.

When the word “no” to our desires is outlawed – whether to our sexual desires or anything else, the world can be nothing else, children will grow up into addicts of every variety, and like any addict, be prepared if necessary to mug, bully and even kill to get what the neglect of true parents has addicted them to need.

And thus also in our words and works we attacked the society that encourages women to work *against their will* and simultaneously kick the men out of the family who would help them care for *and control* their children, instead of leaving her stranded alone, with effectively her children put into the fundamentally uncaring hands of the state and its minions who “care” for her children not with love, but in order to be paid as child carers and social workers or feel important and respected by creating so called “child-care” facilities for her children, who only desperately want the care of *their own mothers and nobody else*.

But mothers gladly shove their children into childcare, because due to the lack of understanding of the sensitivity of the growing child’s mind – which we have in our works explained – and how it has to be carefully protected in its development phase, they put it into a traumatic situation on the grounds of fearing that otherwise it will be “different”, it won’t be properly “socialised”, it won’t “fit in.”

When in fact, they should be glad, delighted, and thrilled, if they find they have raised a child that doesn’t “fit in”, for who in their right mind would want to “fit in” to this zombie slave society, in which true individuality, true intelligence and true feelings are stamped on as systematically as an exterminator of bugs carries out his dirty work?

We have pointed out also, that these abused women in power and influence, cannot see what they do wrong, because they don’t know what it is to be loved, because it never happened to them, we are sad and sorry to say.

And thus, they know only how to inflict abuse on other women’s children, by forcing mothers to work and be separated from them, when they want to be with them and love them.

As we have pointed out, for example in the case of Princess Diana, who stood by and attended parties and so on after her own traumatic childhood and cruel separation from her family at age nine in a boarding school, allowing her own children to be looked after by nannies and sent off to boarding school just as happened to herself.

But this is not about *judgment or blame*.

This is simply *the mechanics of abuse*, it is purely objective and logical, which sadly, too many women (and unfortunately a growing number of men) are no longer able to be, due to being made to believe that indulging in and wallowing in out-of-control emotional states is a good thing, when it definitely is not, for either body or mind.

True love (pure, objective, concerned, respectful, deep, lasting, sincere, smiling, blissful) and the smothering and worshipping sentimentality based “love” we have got now (hopeless, helpless, over-indulgent, weak, suffocating, tearful, negligent, fake), are two so very different things, but these women and some men lost in their emotional states and hypnotically induced prejudice (e.g. man hate) cannot see that.

We are just pointing out calm, clear, rational observation, as any true scientist would, discussing *cause and effect*.

We separate children from their parents at an early age (cause). They grow up neglected and insecure (effect).

We fail to firmly say no to children’s wrong desires and actions (cause). They grow up bullying, out of control and become criminals and addicts (effect).

And so on. It’s in all the books the kind person has reposted the compilation of.

The world is undergoing its greatest ever challenge in recorded history.

When we look behind all the surface chaos, what we are seeing is that the world is going through a painful childhood or arguably adolescence.

In short, we are all being asked *by Nature*, to *grow up*.

This doesn’t mean just inventing a fabulous technology such as we have now got, and feeling like supermen, because no “superman” or “superwoman” can be regarded as grown up, as a member of a probably cosmic society of races, if it has the emotional control only of a two year old, and when it sulks, or screams, or weeps like a two year old every time it is denied something it wants.

And sadly, that is our state, some or us more than others, and some of us only some of the time, and some of us nearly all of the time.

But why, apart from some vague idea of membership of an interplanetary or intergalactic society should we wish to “grow up”, to control these desires?

Well firstly, if Gopi Krishna is right, as is the author’s own *experience* and belief, then *we will not survive without doing so*. We will all die in some kind of global horror of nuclear and biological and biochemical nature.

Or else we will just become the tortured slaves – and that means *literally* in many cases, it could happen to *anyone* – in some tyrannical global dictatorship, ruled by savage beasts who used the rest of us for their sick desires, like a race of child molesters, sadists and cannibals.

David Icke alleges there are herds of children being bred and kept in cages in secret places, and raised to be child sex slaves and so on, tortured and abused in the most grisly, perverted and inhuman ways, sacrificed in black magic rituals if need be and so on.

What we know as *fact* is that torture chambers are being manufactured and placed in every major and most minor cities under the banner of “the sex industry”, and who knows when it will be our turn to end up having our drink spiked or something, and wake up in chains and being sex abused or something, like happened to the characters in the supposedly “entertaining” movie *Pulp Fiction*.

Our blessings here go out to Quentin Tarantino for setting such a good example of human behaviour before the nations.

Perhaps any remaining women *in their right mind* should realise this could happen to them also, and therefore these legalised torture chambers should as soon as possible be outlawed, dismantled and shut down.

We are not saying Quentin Tarantino is a bad guy. He likes the *Kung Fu* TV series after all, with its genuine male hero, *Kwai Chang Caine*. But he does not see the consequences of what he is doing, and the fact that he might argue he is “only showing reality” just as all the soap opera creators do, is no excuse.

For *the reality* is that what he is doing is *promoting, advertising a minority reality*, and encouraging it to become *a majority reality*.

For we are shaped by EVERYTHING we see, hear and feel, and if that is not good, it *acts* upon us, as Krishnamurti explained.

Just one reading of a book or seeing of a movie with some perverted sex act in it, can lead to a lifelong obsession with such a thing, especially during our formative stage.

If we never saw it, or heard about it, we wouldn't be obsessed. We wouldn't even think about it.

If LSD and heroin and cocaine weren't advertised and glamourised, we wouldn't know about them, so we wouldn't take them.

As Greg Lake sang in “Epitaph”:

“*Knowledge* is a deadly friend, When no one sets the rules, The fate of all mankind I see, Is in the hands of fools.”

What we put out into the public domain, which now, thanks to the Internet, means ABSOLUTELY EVERYTHING, we unleash on the whole of society.

If we tell people HOW to make bombs, THEY MAKE BOMBS.

If we show people perverted sex, THEY HAVE PERVERTED SEX.

Thus in Islamic art, depictions of the human figure or even animals were forbidden, because they SAW the power such images had over the masses, and realised the enormity of the responsibility of what is allowed to enter the mass consciousness.

And the “dark beings” who rule our society know the same. They know that we are weak before temptation, we are seeking every new thrill we can find, they know that once traditional morals and religions are gone, and the idea of inhibition and self-control is mocked, we can be addicted to whatever they offer us.

And the greatest mistake of women has been, that their fundamentally caring and loving nature has been perverted by these “dark forces”, embodied in these extremist feminists, which is tapping into the resentment and hate against men they feel, through having an unloved and insecure childhood, and they have been made to serve this dark “god” of desires and ambitions and material things to compensate for the pain they feel inside themselves due to their neglected upbringing.

They do not see, that so called “feminism” is not remotely about liberating women, but has just been *used as a tool* by the dark forces and greedy rulers in society who want to destroy all morality, decency and proper family life.

They do not see what pathetic, angry *puppets* in somebody else’s much grander game they really are.

So PURELY OBJECTIVELY, WITH NO MALICE, has Sam Fryman tried to explain these forces, the dynamics of them, and show how this awful war between men and women, of whom children are the main victims, has been stirred up by wrong thinking people at everybody’s expense.

So in conclusion, I would urge those who see the truth in these words here, to look for the first time perhaps at the books I have posted for free here, which are not in any ways attributable to my own intelligence, but are the collected understanding and ideas I have compiled from numerous wise sources, including Gopi Krishna, J Krishnamurti and many others, put into a modern and readable form, and in so far as possible rendered in an entertaining and enjoyable way.

But make no mistake. The knowledge I have placed in these few works is genuine power. It is not to be found anywhere else to my knowledge in any single book, and has taken half a lifetime to acquire and compile.

Without this knowledge, it appears to me that no one, no man or woman could consider themselves truly educated, or in a position to have proper understanding of and control over their own self and future life.

So it is with only good wishes to all men and women, of all races, everywhere on the planet, that I have written and presented these works and words to you, and I sincerely hope that you will benefit from them even in the smallest way.

Best wishes

Sam Fryman England UK, Dec 2005