

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828




November 2012 No 657



NO MORE TRAVEL FOR FREDRICK TÖBEN

Toben grounded

Peter Kohn, *AJN*, October 19, 2012



IF Adelaide man Fredrick Toben has any more plans to travel to Iran, where he's previously taken part in Holocaust denial campaigns, they are likely to be thwarted, after he was declared bankrupt by a Sydney court.

The Holocaust denier did not appeal last month's bankruptcy declaration by the Federal Magistrates Court in Sydney by October 15, the final date he could lodge an appeal.

Under Australian law, bankrupts are required to surrender their passports to keep them in the country and make it easier for their estate to be administered.

Toben, who has been jailed for Holocaust denial in Germany, visited Iran in 2003 to give a speech denying the Holocaust and in 2006, when he took part in a Holocaust-denial conference sponsored by the Iranian regime.

The bankruptcy declaration was made after Toben failed to cover legal debts arising from a 2009 contempt case against him by Jeremy Jones.

Jones, as president of the Executive Council of Australian Jewry at the time, was the plaintiff in a

defamation case brought against Toben for rejecting the reality of the Holocaust and claiming Jews who were offended by his denial possessed "limited intelligence".

In 2002, the Federal Court found for Jones and ordered Toben to remove offensive, anti-Semitic materials from his website. In 2009, Toben was found guilty of contempt of court for continually uploading more of the same content in defiance of the court order. He served a three-month prison sentence in Adelaide.

A creditor's petition was made against Toben's estate for \$175,618.97, the taxed legal costs incurred by Jones during the contempt proceedings.

Toben told the court he was being victimised politically and that he had no assets.

In being declared bankrupt, Toben follows in the footsteps of British Holocaust denier David Irving, who was bankrupted as a result of the costs of his ill-fated defamation claim against US Holocaust historian Dr Deborah Lipstadt, which he launched after she described Irving's statements as Holocaust denial.

<http://www.jewishnews.net.au/toben-grounded/27971>

Retrial begins for South African doctor arrested on return to UAE

[Haneen Dajani, \[hdajani@thenational.ae\]\(mailto:Haneen.Dajani@thenational.ae\)](mailto:Haneen.Dajani@thenational.ae)

ABU DHABI // Two years after he fled the country, a 76-year-old doctor is on trial for negligence in the death of a patient.

The Criminal Court heard that the South African was arrested at the airport after returning to the UAE with a year still left on his original sentence, though it was not clear whether he had meant to turn himself in.

He had fled the UAE in 2010 and been sentenced in absentia to three years for forgery and six months for negligence and ordered to pay Dh200,000 in blood money to the family of the Arab woman whose death he caused. By law any verdict announced in absentia is subject to a retrial at the request of the defendant.

The doctor was working for a government hospital when the patient visited him with a complaint that required a blood transfusion.

Prosecutors claim the transfusion was never made, despite the doctor writing a report saying that it had been. The lack of such a transfusion is blamed for the patient's death.

A post-mortem report at the doctor's original trial showed the woman had not received a transfusion, which led the court to conclude the report he wrote was a forgery.

The doctor asked for bail, citing his old age and poor health, but the court refused, citing his previous attempt to escape justice.

<http://www.thenational.ae/news/uae-news/courts/retrial-begins-for-south-african-doctor-arrested-on-return-to-uae>

South African doctor granted bail in UAE manslaughter case

Cyril Karabus, an elderly doctor arrested in Dubai in August on manslaughter charges stemming from a 10-year-old case, was granted bail after the court appointed an independent inquiry into the charges, that Karabus denies.

By [Jeremy Gordin](#) | Oct.11, 2012 | 4:03 PM

Johannesburg – Cyril Karabus, an elderly Cape Town doctor held in Dubai on manslaughter charges stemming from a 10-year-old case and who was continually denied bail, was granted bail by a Dubai court on Thursday. According to a tweet sent out by Rahima Essop, the Cape Town representative of Johannesburg's Eyewitness News radio, "Good news for the Karabus family, Prof. Cyril Karabus has been granted bail in the UAE. He was arrested in August."

An attorney by the name of Michael Crowe, apparently representing Karabus in court, also announced the same news on Johannesburg-based e-News Channel Africa (eNCA).

Andrew Bristow of the University of Cape Town, where Karabus lectured in pediatrics and oncology, wrote on Karabus' Facebook page: "Bail granted. Will be released once posted."

Karabus, 77, of Claremont, Cape Town, has been imprisoned in Al Wathba, Abu Dhabi's central prison, on charges of manslaughter and falsifying documents. He was arrested on August 18, while in transit in Dubai to SA, from his son's wedding in Toronto, Canada.

While working as a locum 12 years ago at the Sheikh Khalifa Medical Centre in Abu Dhabi, the paediatrics and medical oncology specialist operated on a three-year-old cancer patient who later died of leukaemia.

He was tried and convicted in absentia in the UAE criminal courts. Karabus was sentenced to three years and six months in jail and required to pay "blood money" to the patient's family.

Lawyer and family spokesman Michael Bagraim said Karabus would not be able to leave Abu Dhabi until his trial is over. The trial resumes on the 22nd. Karabus has appeared in court six times since his detention.

Bagraim said there were "tears of joy" from his family and representatives when the judge granted the 77-year-old bail. Bail has been set at 100,000 dirhams, or R240 000 [US\$30,000].

Karabus' daughter, Deborah, said from Cape Town that the family was overjoyed that their father received bail and that it was "certainly the best news in eight weeks. For him, to be able to see and speak to his family again will be absolutely wonderful will surely lift his and our spirits tremendously."

Deborah Karabus said the court findings were that the judge granted an order for hospital to release the original medical file, gave defense team permission to review said file, and ordered an independent medical panel to be appointed to review the file.

<http://www.haaretz.com/jewish-world/jewish-world-news/south-african-doctor-granted-bail-in-uae-manslaughter-case.premium-1.469434>

*

Concern for doctor detained in Dubai

GARETH NARUNSKY, October 12, 2012

SOUTH African expats in Australia have added their voices to an international chorus of concern over the welfare of renowned Cape Town doctor Professor Cyril Karabus, who has been jailed in the United Arab Emirates.

The 78-year-old oncologist was arrested at Dubai International Airport on August 18 this year after being tried and convicted

in absentia on charges of manslaughter and falsifying medical documents.



The case relates to the death of a three-year-old leukaemia patient who was treated by Karabus 10 years ago, while he was working as a locum for five weeks at the Sheikh Khalifa Medical Centre in Abu Dhabi.

When his contract expired, he left the country unaware of any legal proceedings against him. The first that the former professor of paediatrics at the University of Cape Town knew of the charges and conviction was a decade later – when he was arrested while in transit at the airport eight weeks ago.

He was flying back to South Africa from Canada, where he had attended his son's wedding.

According to Karabus's lawyer, Michael Bagraim, not only was the child in question terminally ill and going to die regardless of treatment – a claim supported by other medical practitioners – but the documents his client is accused of falsifying can't be found. Having been refused bail, Karabus, who Bagraim describes as "old, frail and very sickly", remains detained in Abu Dhabi while awaiting a retrial.

Sydney paediatrician Mark Selikowitz, who trained under Karabus, said he remembered him as "a very devoted doctor who worked at the Red Cross War Memorial Children's Hospital in Cape Town, where he set up the haematology [and] oncology unit. I cannot think of a more dedicated and more committed professional," he said. "He was absolutely devoted to his patients and their parents."

Selikowitz said it was sad to hear stories of Karabus arriving at court hearings in a jumpsuit and shackles. "It seems a terrible way to be treating a person of his stature and reputation in what are his mature years."

St Ives GP Dr Abirah Lipschitz knew Karabus as a medical student in South Africa. "The whole thing is unbelievable. As a doctor myself, it's just really scary that you can be pulled up for doing something that sort of happened anyway."

Lower North Shore resident Vernon Katz went to the same boarding school as Karabus. "We all feel sorry that this has happened, and it's also a warning for anybody going to any of those Middle Eastern countries – they may seem civilised but perhaps there's something still missing."

An online petition calling for Karabus's release has already amassed almost 11,000 signatures.

To sign the petition visit

www.avaaz.org/en/petition/Release_of_Prof_Cyril_Karabus_detained_in_UAE.

<http://www.jewishnews.net.au/concern-for-doctor-detained-in-dubai/27888>

*

Fredrick Töben feels for this man because on 1 October 2008 he almost experienced the same fate had Töben not been detained after landing at Heathrow Airport instead of Dubai, which was his destination. From our archive we have the following item:

Australian holocaust denier Fredrik Töben given no hope for freedom

By: Peter Wilson, Europe correspondent, [The Australian](#) October 10, 2008 12:00 AM

HOLOCAUST denier Fredrik Töben, who is fighting extradition from Britain to Germany, has almost no chance of walking free from a London court today when he applies for bail.

Dr Töben, 64, an Australian citizen who is wanted in Germany, his country of birth, on charges of denying or playing down the Nazi slaughter of Jews, hopes to be released from prison today ahead of his extradition hearing next week.

Fellow Holocaust revisionist David Irving has offered to put Dr Töben up at his home in Windsor, west of London, and to guarantee that Dr Töben would turn up for next Friday's hearing.

But British immigration officials have killed off his chances of winning even temporary freedom.

Dr Töben's solicitor Kevin Lowry-Mullins said yesterday that British authorities had imposed an immigration control order on his Adelaide-based client, declaring that he was in Britain illegally.

"That means that even if he does get bail he will be transferred to an immigration centre, which is worse than being in (London's) Wandsworth prison," Mr Lowry-Mullins told *The Australian*.

"We will certainly be arguing for bail, but I must say that I do not want to see him being held in an immigration centre, especially at his age."

Dr Töben was arrested last week on a plane at Heathrow airport while in transit between the US and Dubai, sparking a debate in Britain about free speech and European extradition laws.

He was charged in Germany in 2004 with denying the Holocaust but that is not a crime in Britain or in Australia, where he uploaded his inflammatory opinions to the internet.

Dr Töben, who was jailed in Germany on similar charges in 1999, faces up to five years more in a German prison because of the views expressed on his Adelaide Institute website.

Senior Liberal-Democrat MP Chris Huhne and Britain's biggest-selling upmarket newspaper, *The Daily Telegraph*, have argued that he should not be detained in Britain for a "thought crime" that is not illegal in that country.

Mr Lowry-Mullins said the arrest raised important issues, not just of free speech but also of Britain's sovereignty and the fair operation of international extradition laws.

Dr Töben has been granted British government legal aid to retain the services of Mr Lowry-Mullins, one of the country's most successful extradition defence lawyers.

"I do not have a view about the Holocaust denial issue and Dr Töben's interpretation of history. That is not my concern," Mr Lowry-Mullins said.

"This case is about the fact that he is being illegally extradited, in effect by disguised deportation."

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/denier-given-no-hope-for-freedom/story-e6frq6no-1111117712231>

Thomas Goodrich's *Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany, 1944-1947*

Posted By J. A. Sexton On January 5, 2011 @ 12:00 am In North American New Right

What is hell?

I've often pondered what the concept "hell" entailed; what it means to be living in the absence of "God," the supreme creative force behind all life. After reading Thomas Goodrich's breathtaking and physically nauseating analytical narrative of the burnt offering – Holocaust – of Germany I now know what hell looks like and how its inhabitants live and behave.

Relentless, reckless, and senseless hate of a magnitude so profound, so immense, that I am still unable to understand it. And then the irony of it all: that former inhabitants of *Europe – Europeans* – were responsible for inculcating hell in their own *Heimat* (homeland).

Who but the Devil itself could make a *family* turn on itself, causing it to tear itself apart in such a murderous, inhuman fashion that the victims are left unrecognizable after all the torture, abuse, burning, systematic rape, and beatings subsides?

Who or what could inspire such madness? Thomas Goodrich answers this question silently, subtly, but matter-of-factly – the Jews in Communist Russia (the former USSR) and Capitalist America and Britain.

Hellstorm is the type of book that changes lives. Goodrich is the type of author who literally puts you, the reader, there in the midst of hell. And what is this

hell that he forces you to experience page after page, torture after torture, and rape after rape? One that has been all but forgotten; the only hell the modern age really knows:

The Allied Holocaust of National Socialist Germany The Propaganda

Goodrich describes the Allied-induced inferno in more detail than most need to know to gain an understanding of the depths of Allied criminality and hatred, but the detail is necessary. Without the detail no one will really know what hell is. Here's a taste of it.

A German woman has her jaws forced open by the filthy brutish hands of a Soviet serial rapist. He literally spits into her mouth and forces her to swallow his salivary filth as he rams her body again . . . and again . . . and again – until he's satisfied fulfilling his oath to Stalin and his chief Holocaust propagandist, Ilya Ehrenburg. Stalin officially sanctioned the systematic rape of German women. Ilya Ehrenburg, for his part as the lascivious advocator of rape of German women, helped the Red Army perpetrate the largest gynocide and mass rape in recorded history.

Commissar Ehrenburg's pamphlet – distributed in the millions among Red Army troops on the front lines of battle who were already intoxicated with hate and

vengefulness as a result of over two decades of Bolshevik oppression, mass murder of their families and mass collectivization — urged Soviet troops to plunder, rape and **KILL**.

The final paragraph of his pamphlet entitled “**Kill**” reads:

The Germans are not human beings. From now on, the word ‘German’ is the most horrible curse. From now on, the word ‘German’ strikes us to the quick. We have nothing to discuss. We will not get excited. We will **kill**. If you have not **killed** at least one German a day, you have wasted that day... If you cannot **kill** a German with a bullet, then **kill** him with your bayonet. If your part of the front is quiet and there is no fighting, then **kill** a German in the meantime...If you have already **killed** a German, then **kill** another one — there is nothing more amusing to us than a heap of German corpses. Don’t count the days, don’t count the kilometers. Count only one thing: the number of Germans you have **killed**.

Kill the Germans!... — **Kill** the Germans! **Kill!**

And in another leaflet:

The Germans must be **killed**. One must **kill** them...Do you feel sick? Do you feel a nightmare in your breast?...**Kill** a German! If you are a righteous and conscientious man — **kill** a German! . . . **Kill!**

Ehrenburg, like any skilled propagandist with a penchant for revenge and training in human psychology, appealed to the basest instincts of his men, urging them to rape and wantonly slaughter other human beings at will. There would be no penalties for this injustice as it was all officially sanctioned.

Ehrenburg:


Kill! Kill! In the German race there is nothing but evil; not one among the living, not one among the yet unborn but is evil! Follow the precepts of Comrade Stalin. Stamp out the fascist beast once and for all in its lair! **Use force and break the racial pride of these German women**. Take them as your lawful booty. **Kill!** As you storm onward, **kill**, you gallant soldiers of the Red Army.

The Gynocide

I went into Goodrich’s book expecting to read little more than I already knew about the worst gynocide and mass rape of womankind in recorded history, but I was in for a shock. As an individual who looks out for women’s interests, I was repeatedly overcome with emotion while reading of the indescribable genital mutilations, deliberate and systematic terrorism, gang-rape and wanton mass murder of women.

Goodrich:

From eight to eighty, healthy or ill, indoors or out, in fields, on sidewalks, against walls, the spiritual massacre of German women continued unabated. When even violated corpses could no longer be of use, sticks, iron bars, and telephone receivers were commonly rammed up their vaginas. (p. 155)



[1]

Thomas Goodrich

[**Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany, 1944–1947**](#) [2]

Sheridan, Colorado: Aberdeen Books, 2010

Brazilian German Leonora Cavoia:

“Suddenly I heard loud screams, and immediately two Red Army soldiers brought in five girls. The Commissar ordered them to undress. When they refused out of modesty, he ordered me to do it to them, and for all of us to follow him. We crossed the yard to the former works kitchen, which had been completely cleared out except for a few tables on the window side. It was terribly cold, and the poor girls shivered. In the large, tiled room some Russians were waiting for us, making remarks that must have been very obscene, judging from how everything they said drew gales of laughter. The Commissar told me to watch and learn how to turn the Master Race into whimpering bits of misery.”

The horror that ensued nearly defies written description, as no written description can actually make a reader of either sex *feel* and genuinely *know* the pain and suffering inflicted in this neverending horror show. The victims’ pain and suffering must have seemed like hours and hours . . . an entire lifetime . . . I can’t imagine. I try not to imagine it because about 2,000 women in the Nemmersdorf area alone suffered a similar fate.

“. . . Now two Poles came in, dressed only in their trousers, and the girls cried out at their sight. They quickly grabbed the first of the girls, and bent her backwards over the edge of the table until her joints cracked. I was close to passing out as one of them took his knife and, before the very eyes of the other girls, cut off her right breast. He paused for a moment, then cut off the other side. I have never heard anyone scream as desperately as that girl. After this operation he drove his knife into her abdomen several times, which again was accompanied by the cheers of the Russians.”

**Stop.
Picture it.
Imagine it.
Live it.**

Force yourself to see your own body mutilated in similar fashion; force yourself to picture a knife plunging into your abdomen again . . . and again . . . your short lifetime come to this end: you know you are about to die. You are being murdered; your body brutally tortured by a mob of brutal sadists. Try to imagine the horror and the helplessness you would feel as your person was mutilated and your very life bleeding away on a table.

Can a human being really suffer a worse injustice than this?

Now . . . step back out of the scene and analyze this needless, inhuman horror with the gift of hindsight. This victim was not just the victim of these Red Army men, reduced to base animal instinct and mentality, but she was also the victim of an ideology inspired by Judaism and a Jewish propagandist named Ilya Ehrenburg.

Leonora:

The next girl cried for mercy, but in vain—it even seemed that the gruesome deed was done particularly slowly because she was especially pretty. The other three had collapsed, they cried for their mothers and begged for a quick death, but the same fate awaited them as well. The last of them was still almost a child, with barely developed breasts. They literally tore the flesh off her ribs until the white bones showed.

Loud howls of approval began when someone brought a saw from a tool chest. This was used to tear up the breasts of the other girls, which soon caused the floor to be awash in blood. The Russians were in a blood frenzy. More girls were being brought in continually.

I saw these grisly proceedings as through a red haze.

Leonora tried to dissociate from the situation, which is one of the brain's foremost methods for dealing with psychological and physical trauma. But to no avail, the Russian and Polish "soldiers" disallowed it.

. . . Over and over again I heard the terrible screams when the breasts were tortured, and the loud groans at the mutilation of the genitals. . . . [I]t was always the same, the begging for mercy, the high-pitched scream when the breasts were cut and the groans when the genitals were mutilated. The slaughter was interrupted several times to sweep the blood out of the room and clear away the bodies. . . . When my knees buckled I was forced onto a chair. The Commissar always made sure that I was watching, and when I had to throw up they even paused in their tortures. One girl had not undressed completely, she may also have been a little older than the others, who were around seventeen years of age. They soaked her bra with oil and set it on fire, and while she screamed, a thin iron rod was shoved into her vagina . . . until it came out her navel.

In the yard entire groups of girls were clubbed to death after the prettiest of them had been selected for this torture. The air was filled with the death cries of many hundred girls" (pp. 156–57).

And this is where I have to stop transcribing.

The Holocaust

The thought of being burned alive is horrific, but the thought of being burned alive because you are trapped in melted asphalt and literally stuck by your own disfigured hands and knees and screaming — in either agony or for salvation from passers-by, or perhaps both — is worse; perhaps even worse than that is being boiled alive in the air raid shelters designed to keep you safe because steam pipes have burst open, unleashing their scorching wrath upon you — just one of millions of victims of Allied "morale bombing": Victims of your own White racial brethren driven to absolute base madness and inhumanity by Jewish propagandists in the "liberal democracies".

What did you do to be burned or boiled alive? What was your crime?

You supported Adolf Hitler, the man who dared to stand up to international finance and the Jewish system of systematic international monetary and spiritual enslavement.

THAT was your "crime" and the "crime" of millions of other "statistics" in Germany and Europe who were incinerated, melted, tortured, strafed, raped or blown into body parts by their own racial and cultural kindred in the USSR, Britain and America.

The core of the firestorms often reached 3,000 degrees Fahrenheit; the flames 1,300 to 1,800 degrees Fahrenheit. A Holocaust in the truest sense of the word: a burnt offering of the Germanic race — women, children, refugees, POWs, the elderly, and even animals at the Berlin Zoo — to the Christian-Jewish "god" Jahve. The truth is that this was the single largest burnt offering of human flesh to the Devil in recorded history. And for what? For what did hundreds of thousands of German victims suffer: international finance Capitalism. So that a few people, mostly ethnic Jews, could continue to make money from money; so that a handful of international "bankers" could continue to enslave and exploit hundreds of millions of human beings.

Western man literally burnt and buried his collective spirit, soul and value system in Germany. Germany became the tomb of the West.

The Viricide

Systematic murder of German women and female Axis collaborators was not the only European gendercide from 1944 to 1950. German men and their Cossack and Slavic collaborators became deliberate targets of Anglo-Soviet viricide in the postwar years. German men and boys were reduced to corpses or skeletons by the millions in Eisenhower's *Holodomor* (death by famine). Eisenhower's camps were designed with one purpose in mind: mass death. Millions of German men and boys died from starvation, disease, exposure, heat exhaustion, thirst, and of course torture, slave labor, random massacre, and systematic execution. After having served in the worst war in Western history, and

one of the worst in *world* history, German men came "home" to nothing more than rubble. Their wives, girlfriends, and children were dead, enslaved, mutilated, driven to madness, missing, lost, or had gone with the enemy to survive and prevent further systematic rape by Polish, Russian, and Mongolian "men." There were very few "homes" to return to, so thousands of men ended their lives in despair. They had survived six years of horror and warfare only to end it all in the street rubble once called "Germany." Why?

Because their own blood kindred in America, Britain, the British Commonwealth, and even much of Europe had betrayed them: had turned on them to please their Jewish overlords.

The Spiritual Slaughter

Soviet tanks drive right over German refugees who have survived hell and come so close to salvation, or so they think, in the Allied occupation zone – more aptly described as the Allied destruction zone. The refugees are now just bloodied pulps in the snow, flattened like dough by the tank treks. The Soviet tanks trudge on without even so much as a pause. A German refugee ship capsizes after it is hit by a Soviet torpedo or bombed in an American air strike. All aboard scream and struggle to stay alive; they've made it *so far*, but the vast majority are forced to call the sea their final resting place. Bodies are everywhere in the water. There are literally thousands. Mothers, brothers, sisters, cousins, POWs, and even tiny infants who have just transitioned to life outside the womb and have breathed air for the first time — all dead in a matter of minutes. Some drowned. Many were crushed or torn apart by the rudders. Others froze to death. The sea was awash in human blood and body parts after each and every one of these attacks on refugee ships. No German was innocent. Not one.

This happened to *numerous* refugee ships. Many aboard were Allied POWs and Jewish camp refugees who had been protected by the fleeing German SS and *Wehrmacht* men – murdered by their own nation; murdered by their own race.

American pilots swoop down on exposed civilians and refugees in the vast clearing below. They open fire. They actually shoot individual human beings as though they are hunting wild horses or wolves in order to cull them. Machine gun bullets rip into the backs of civilians who had just barely escaped with their lives from the fiery Holocaust that was the city. The holes are the size of baseballs. Hundreds are mowed down instantly or are injured by the fire and debris — nearly all are left to die slow, agonizing deaths in that clearing. All the while Churchill and Roosevelt assure their self-absorbed, apathetic, hedonistic publics, *We do not shoot civilians. We do not target civilians.*

An older German woman is approached by filthy Soviet soldiers. She knows what awaits her because Goebbels

did not lie. She tries to talk them out of it. She has children with her. They dispose of the children rapidly, viciously: their heads are rammed into the side of the building. The woman is gang-raped. What does she recall . . . the rape? No. The sound of a child's skull when it is crushed against a wall. She'll never forget that sound. Nor will I because I too can hear it. I too witnessed it. I witnessed it through Goodrich.

And then there were the death camps where over a million German men perished because Eisenhower hated Germans: "God I hate the Germans," he said. His racism and hate became official policy, a policy of genocide – an American orchestrated *Holodomor*. Countless thousands of German men were shipped off to Britain and Siberia to serve as slave laborers for the "victors". Victors of what? Total destruction.

They aren't paid and most die.

Most white American GIs rob the Germans, starve the Germans, plunder and destroy what remains of the German people's homes, gang-rape German women, and beat and kill German children and honorable SS men. In the meantime most African GIs act kindly and distribute candy and food to German women and children. It is a bitterly confusing and deplorable world when the alleged "monsters" are the kind ones, and the members of your own race — *your own blood brethren* — act like deplorable beasts with no conscience. And yet this was the reality of Germany after 1945: an unpredictable dichotomy; an alien world.

While this horror is unfolding, Roosevelt (and later Truman) and Churchill cheerily offer Stalin half of Europe. They are more than happy to accommodate nearly every demand drafted up by this "Man of Steel." The result of these Anglo accommodations nearly defies description: the greatest mass expulsion and deportation in history (upwards of 13 million); the mass murder of millions of Germans and their allies in Russian, French, Jewish, and Polish retribution camps and prisons dotted all throughout Europe and the USSR; the systematic mass rape and murder of German and collaborator women (an estimated two million); and the deliberate secret starvation of the Germanic race as spelled out by the Jewish advisor to Roosevelt and Truman, Henry Morgenthau.

The Toll

Between 20 and 25 million Germans and collaborators perished in the years **AFTER** the war had officially ended. It is a crime that will never be forgotten, and it is a crime that will forever stain the hands and national consciences of the former USSR, the United States of America, Great Britain and her Commonwealth nations, and perhaps more pointedly the Anglo and Slavic races of the White supra-race.

A little German boy holds a lantern as he sits in a wagon en route to the Allied lines in the bitter winter snow. He's with his mother. She's bleeding profusely; she's dying. The German doctor who the little boy was

lucky enough to hunt down is doing his best to perform a tamponade (a blockage) of her uterus. She was brutally, viciously raped. Did she survive? Goodrich doesn't say, but the prognosis and tone suggests she didn't make it. She was a German. She supported Hitler. She was a Nazi. She deserved it.

She deserved it.

So said the Allies in the years following the war: Germany merely got what she deserved. The 'morally superior' White nations of the globe had smashed ultimate evil: the Nazis; the German race.

Never has a greater lie been told. Never has so much hatred and vengeance been poured forth onto one people and one nation that had chosen not to abide by the laws of international bankers and financiers who wish only to enslave, plunder, steal and when necessary, kill. And most of the White races of the world were more than willing and eager to take up the flag of international Jewish money power and to smash the one White race that opposed it with such honor, valor and sheer might – so much so that it took all the best brain- and material-power of the *entire* White supra-race and all the monetary power of its Jewish financiers and overlords to break its back. And yet . . . and yet . . . it *still* was not broken. Goodrich ends the book with a tone of hope.

Beyond Hell

When all had been destroyed, when all seemed to have been lost forever in *Year Zero*, the Germans proved once again that such was just not the case. Brick by brick and hour by hour they rebuilt upon the ruins of God's Empire a new Germany. No Holocaust by fire, no gynocide, no viricide, no famine, and no other inhuman

atrocities could obliterate or subdue the Germanic element of the White race of humankind.

Even though Germany today is still an occupied nation with a hurting people, she still possesses that flicker of life and spirituality that the other White races and nations lost long ago when they sold their souls to Judaism and the Jewish "god" of hatred and revenge, Jahve. "Unbowed, unbent, unbroken." Such are the words of an album released by a European band named *Hammerfall*. And such are the words that describe the German people, the German folk, and the German race. The only ones who bear the burden of bloodstain and guilt are the Allies. No crimes in recorded human history surpass those inflicted against Germany and Europe by the United States, Great Britain and the former United Soviet Socialist Republics – all with Jewish spiritual, media and financial backing and support.

The death of National Socialist Germany was the death of Western man and everything he once stood for.

I must thank Thomas Goodrich. *Hellstorm* has changed my life.



<http://www.counter-currents.com>

URL:<http://www.countercurrents.com/2011/01/thomas-goodrichs-hellstorm/>

URLs in this post:

[1] Image:<http://www.counter-currents.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/hellstorm.jpg>

[2] Hellstorm: The Death of Nazi Germany, 1944–1947: <http://www.amazon.com/gp/product/097138522X?ie=UTF8&tag=countercurren-20&linkCode=as2&camp=1789&creative=390957&creativeASIN=097138522X>



Soldaten: On Fighting, Killing and Dying
by Sönke Neitzel and Harald Welzer – review

Conversations between German PoWs recorded by British intelligence reveal a chilling attitude to mass murder

[Ben Hutchinson](#) [The Observer](#), Sunday 30 September 2012



German prisoners of war clearing snow after blizzards in the Peak district, February 1947. Photograph: Keystone/Getty Images

Historians often dream of being able to eavesdrop on [history](#), but few can hope to obtain such spectacularly direct access as that presented in this major addition to the literature on the [second world war](#). Discovered in 2001 by the historian Sönke Neitzel, the transcripts of conversations between German prisoners of war, secretly recorded by the British and American intelligence services, offer a vivid and at times surprising insight into the mentality of the German military.

Organised into sections on various aspects of the wartime experience – "fighting, killing and dying", "sex", "technology" – the book focuses on reconstructing what the authors term the prisoners' "frame of reference". While Neitzel and Welzer – a social psychologist – quote extensively from the transcripts, much of the book is an analysis of the prisoners' fluctuating psychology.

The authors insist that what the transcripts document is not so much the historical facts (although discussions of troop movements and equipment were of considerable use to the allies) as the perception of these facts by the German military. Their study thus amounts to a lesson in hermeneutics: however repulsive rape and mass executions appear from a peacetime perspective, the tone of the conversations suggests that to most of the German soldiers, such things were simply the background to their lives. In order to understand their world view, condemnation is much less productive than a careful reconstruction of their attitudes and assumptions.

Particularly striking is the extent to which all combatants see themselves in terms borrowed from industrial labour. They focus on hitting "targets" and achieving "results", as an efficient way of rationalising violence and instrumentalising human casualties. Indeed, the authors conclude that the decisive factor in making atrocities possible was "a general realignment from a civilian to a wartime frame of reference". For

many of the recruits, war was simply the continuation of work by other means.

This attitude extends to their view of the [Holocaust](#). The transcripts prove once and for all that "practically all German soldiers knew or suspected that Jews were being murdered". The traditional argument, that it was only the SS who had any knowledge of the genocide, is simply untenable. However, the authors conclude that ordinary soldiers had strikingly little interest in the Holocaust, preferring to swap stories about medals and comrades.

Time and again, the prisoners display what the authors term "cognitive dissonance", whereby they hold seemingly contradictory views. The ever-more convoluted attempts to exculpate the Führer, despite the increasingly obvious fact that the war was lost, provide a striking example of this mechanism: the German military had simply invested too much emotional energy in Hitler to allow him to fail. The transcripts also suggest that some of the best-known clichés are unfounded: most of the soldiers needed no period of "brutalisation" – they simply transferred their work ethic to their new tasks. Nor do their discussions support the idea of a decline in morale towards the end of the war.

Deftly translated by Jefferson Chase, these transcripts constitute an invaluable historical document precisely because the prisoners did not know that they would become a "source". Unlike memoirs, interviews or legal records, there is no personal agenda, nor are these conversations prejudiced by the kinds of ex post facto knowledge that can distort retrospective discussions. Instead, they offer "live" commentary on how the war was unfolding from the German perspective. In this, they are a military counterpart to the civilian perspective recorded in the anonymous diaries published as [A Woman in Berlin](#).

The psychological analysis offered by the authors, meanwhile, is insightful and largely persuasive. If the psychologists Alexander and Margarete Mitscherlich famously diagnosed the postwar German "inability to mourn", this book presents an unprecedented source for understanding the ability to massacre.

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/books/2012/sep/30/soldaten-neitzel-welzer-holocaust-review>

[Amazing how those transcripts seem to appear out of nowhere.... The WWII conflict has not ended yet, not yet, until the German nation has been dissolved and Germans feel like a Jew. Read the next item and reflect on the poverty of thought that continues to rely on Adolf Hitler's 12-year reign as an impetus to formulating a narrative for today's times – ed. A.I.]

The Dark Charisma of Adolf Hitler, By Laurence Rees

What made Germany love the Führer?

Roger Moorhouse, Sunday, 14 October 2012

One of the most interesting recent developments in our understanding of Nazi Germany has been the recognition of Adolf Hitler as a charismatic "personality"; an apparently magnetic character instead of a malevolent, psychopathic void. Laurence Rees's book – and the forthcoming accompanying series for BBC2 – draw on this trend, to ask how such a fundamentally dysfunctional individual could have become a figure of adulation for so many millions of Germans.

It's a valid question, and Rees goes some way to providing an answer. He argues that Hitler's rise to power was attributable – at least in part – to an unholy fusion of his own peculiar nature and the urgent desire of the German people in the interwar years for a messiah figure to save them from their malaise. Germans, he suggests, desperately projected their desires on to Hitler, while his arrogant, inflexible nature appeared to confirm that he was, indeed, someone "on a mission".

The book is a useful vehicle for many of the first-hand accounts from eyewitnesses and participants which Rees has collected over his years as a television documentary film-maker. There are numerous interesting contributions from ordinary Germans; those transfixed by a Hitler speech, or unnerved by his

piercing blue eyes or unblinking stare. Skilfully employing such testimony, the book flows along briskly, and provides some illuminating perspectives along the way.

Yet, at more than 400 pages, the book feels padded and overlong. And with other venerable Hitler volumes available, it is arguable that the subject would have been better treated in a shorter, more essayistic style. It is also curious that the book comes to rather an abrupt halt, covering the last two years of the war in a final, breathless chapter, despite this being the time when – as Ian Kershaw has convincingly shown – Hitler's charismatic leadership was so instrumental in keeping the Third Reich fighting to the bitter end.

Hitler's charismatic appeal is an aspect of the story of the Third Reich that is well worth examining. Sadly, however, Rees's book does not quite do the job. It is too long, too unfocused and too obviously a TV tie-in. There is certainly a book to be written on the subject, but sadly, this isn't it.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/artsentertainment/books/reviews/the-dark-charisma-of-adolf-hitler-by-laurence-rees-8210242.html>

The Department of Homeland Security's New HQ is an Abandoned Insane Asylum

September 26, 2011 in [News](#)

The Center Building at St. Elizabeths, which once held an imprisoned Ezra Pound. Photo via Wikipedia.

Homeland Security operating without a real home ([Los Angeles Times](#)):

Five miles southeast of the gleaming Capitol dome, on a scenic bluff overlooking the confluence of the Potomac and Anacostia rivers, the future office of the secretary of Homeland Security sits boarded up and abandoned.

Four years ago, U.S. officials announced plans to renovate the dilapidated, castle-like structure — opened in 1855 as the **Government Hospital for the Insane** — to anchor Washington's largest construction project since the Pentagon was built 70 years ago.

The goal was to unite on a single campus the 22 agencies that were stitched together to form the Department of Homeland Security after the Sept. 11, 2001, terrorist attacks.

But the \$3.4-billion headquarters project stalled as Congress tried to cut the federal deficit. Lawmakers debated this month whether the nation could afford such a massive home-improvement project, and the House has voted to eliminate the funding in next year's budget.

As a result, Homeland Security employees remain scattered through more than 35 offices around Washington. Janet Napolitano, their boss, works from a former Navy radar facility that has all the comforts of a barracks. Plus, in traffic, she's a good 40 minutes from the White House.

"They need to get out of there as soon as possible," said Tom Ridge, who took over the former Navy

compound in early 2003 after he was named the first Homeland Security chief.

In the early rush to get settled, Ridge recalls, one of his assistant secretaries emptied out a broom closet and stuck a desk in it.

"It's hardly anything anyone can call palatial," he growled.

DHS holds fast to St. Elizabeths HQ plan ([Federal News Radio](#)):

Funding gaps and construction delays aren't swaying the Homeland Security Department from its goal of consolidating most of its Washington-based facilities on the campus of the former St. Elizabeth's hospital.

"The reduction in the funding stream has impacted our original plans," said DHS chief administrative officer Don Bathurst. Speaking of the future steps in the project, he said, "We're going to try to bring those on as quickly as fiscally allowable."

DHS's various Washington-area headquarters are spread throughout 50 buildings. Congress has criticized the agency for its lack of integration.

"Bringing the component operation centers together in the DHS operations center will resolve deficiencies in operational management, which was noted in various lessons learned reports and Government Accountability Office reports after Hurricane Katrina," Bathurst said during a hearing of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Subcommittee on Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation.

Coast Guard vice admiral John Currier and General Services Administration Public Buildings Service commissioner Robert Peck also testified. The Coast Guard is the first division of DHS scheduled to move into the facility.

St. Elizabeth's Hospital ([Wikipedia](#)):

After several decades in decline, the large campus could not be maintained. In 1987, hospital functions on the eastern campus were transferred from the United States Department of Health and Human Services to the District of Columbia government, with the federal government retaining ownership of the western campus. Several commercial redevelopment opportunities were proposed by the D.C. government and consultants, including relocating the University of the District of Columbia to the campus or developing office and retail space. However, the tremendous cost

of bringing the facilities up to code (estimated at \$50-\$100 million) kept developers away.

With little interest in developing the site privately, the Federal Government stepped in. Control of the western campus—home of the oldest building on the campus, the Center Building—was transferred to the General Services Administration in 2004. The GSA improved security around the campus, shored up roofs, and covered the windows with plywood in an attempt to preserve the campus until a tenant could be found.

After three years of searching for an occupant, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) announced on March 20, 2007 that it would spend approximately US\$4.1 billion to move its headquarters and most of its Washington-based offices to a new 4,500,000-square-foot (418,000 m²) facility on the site, beginning with the United States Coast Guard in 2010. DHS, whose operations are scattered around dozens of buildings in the Washington, D.C. area, hopes to consolidate at least 60 of its facilities at St. Elizabeths and to save \$64 million per year in rental costs. DHS also hopes to improve employee morale and unity by having a central location from which to operate.

The plans to locate DHS to St. Elizabeths have been met with criticism, however. Historic preservationists argue that the move will destroy dozens of historic buildings located on the campus and that other alternatives should be considered. Community activists have also expressed concern that the planned high-security facility will not interact with the surrounding community and do little to revitalize the economically depressed area.

A ceremonial groundbreaking for the DHS consolidated headquarters took place at St. Elizabeths on September 11, 2009. The event was attended by Senator Joseph Lieberman, DHS Secretary Janet Napolitano, DC Delegate Eleanor Holmes Norton, DC Mayor Adrian Fenty, and acting GSA Administrator Paul Prouty.

<http://publicintelligence.net/the-department-of-homeland-securitys-new-hq-is-an-abandoned-insane-asylum/>

Holocaust Scam Cohort Pleads Guilty To Stealing \$150K From Survivors by [Laura Vladimirova](#) on Oct 15th, 2012

A disturbing scheme that has been [running](#) since 1994, which bilked a Holocaust survivors fund of \$57 million, may finally be coming to a close. The scam was uncovered in 2009 after an FBI sting, and a year later the US Attorney's Office announced indictments against 11 employees of the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, or Claims Conference. Thirty-one people have been charged so far.

Several individuals from Brighton Beach collaborated with employees of the Claims Conference, which manages the funds, and submitted applications for victims of the Holocaust. The problem was that the claims that were submitted and approved were for victims who did not exist, or people who were not qualified to receive the funds.

The employees received kickbacks for approving the fraudulent applications and the ringleaders who submitted the falsified documents received unlawful Holocaust reparations.



Honoring real survivors at a Holocaust Memorial Park ceremony. Photo by Erica Sherman

Valentina Romashova, who stole \$150,000 from Holocaust survivors, has recently admitted her role in the scheme and plead guilty in court. She has been ordered to return the money and can serve up to five years in prison for her participation in the scheme, according to the [New York Daily News](http://www.nydailynews.com).

Romashova approved [almost 5,000 fake applications](http://www.nydailynews.com). An ex-employee of the fund program, Yevgeniya Abramovich, was also sentenced to a year in prison and ordered to pay back \$201,000, wrote the [Jewish Press](http://www.jewishpress.com). <http://www.sheepsheadbites.com/2012/10/holocaust-scam-cohort-pleads-guilty-to-stealing-150k-from-survivors/>

*

NYDailyNews.com
DAILY NEWS
Brooklyn woman guilty in Holocaust fund scam

ROBERT GEARTY, Wednesday, October 10, 2012

A Brooklyn woman charged with participating in a \$57 million scheme to defraud programs for impoverished Holocaust victims pleaded guilty Wednesday.



Valentina Romashova, 65, faces five years in prison for her role in defrauding...

Valentina Romashova, 65, faces more than five years in prison for her role in the scheme.

Romashova and others conspired with corrupt insiders at the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against

Germany, which administers the funds, by preparing fraudulent applications for nonexistent victims of the very real Nazi persecution of the Jews.

The scammers would get kickbacks in return.

http://articles.nydailynews.com/2012-10-10/news/34367526_1_brooklyn-woman-claims-conference-million-scheme

SHAMELESS

[Another Holocaust Claims Conference Schemer Convicted](#)

Another participant in the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany scandal has admitted her role in that \$57 million scheme.

By: [Jacob Edelist](#)

Published: October 11th, 2012



Shameless: Valentina Romashova stole \$150,000 from Holocaust survivors. Photo Credit: Yori Yanover

Another participant in the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany scandal has admitted her role in that \$57 million scheme to approve nearly 5,000 fraudulent applications, resulting in pay-outs to applicants who did not qualify for the programs, the NY Daily News reports.

The latest conspirator, Valentina Romashova, 65, from Brooklyn, pleaded guilty on Wednesday. She faces at least five years in prison for her role in the scheme.

Romashova and several others [worked in collaboration with employees of the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany](#), which administers the funds, by preparing fraudulent applications for nonexistent victims of the very real Nazi persecution of the Jews. The recipients then paid back part of their ill gains to the scammers.

Romashova will return the \$150,000 she was paid fraudulently.

The scam was discovered in 2010 and the FBI has so far charged 31 people.

A former fund employee, Yevgeniya Abramovich, 69, was sentenced to one year in prison on Wednesday.

The Ukraine born Abramovich will pay \$201,000 in restitution to the fund. She herself netted \$45,000 from a fraudulent application.

Romashova's sentencing will take place early next year.

<http://www.jewishpress.com/news/breaking-news/another-holocaust-claims-conference-schemer-convicted/2012/10/11/>

Bradley Smith
PO Box 439016
San Ysidro, California 92143
Email: bradley1930@yahoo.com
12 October 2012

Alejandro Baer
Director, Center for Holocaust & Genocide Studies
College of Liberal Arts
University of Minnesota.
Email: abaer@umn.edu

Professor Baer:

Congratulations on being named to the Stephen Feinstein Chair and the new Director of the Center for Holocaust and Genocide Studies (CHGS).

On the CHGS website <http://tinyurl.com/9yqvyyq7> it is noted that you are a distinguished scholar of Holocaust memory and testimony. On that page it is reiterated: you are interested in memory of the Holocaust, memory and Anti-Semitism, abuses of Holocaust memory, the transnationalization of memory, and memory of the Holocaust as portrayed in images.

Taking all this together, which is impressive, I would like to ask if you have treated with the memory of such men as Filip Muller and Abraham Bomba, each of which provided central eyewitness testimony from memory about gassing chambers, Muller about those at Auschwitz and Bomba at Treblinka. Or the memory of Irene Zisblatt where she recalls that for a year and a half at Auschwitz she routinely swallowed and defecated her family diamonds.

I have searched the Web looking for how you have treated with these three central figures of Holocaust memory but find nothing. It could be my lack of sophistication in how I use the search tools. I am interested in how you deal with such pivotal figures of Holocaust memory-and there are many, many others like these-who have demonstrably used memory to intentionally corrupt the historical record of those events in which they were caught up.

I take it as a given that you do not want false memory about the Holocaust to be taught to students at University of Minnesota, or to be ignored by their faculty for any professional or political reason.

I look forward to hearing from you, however briefly.

Bradley Smith

PS: You might want to watch Irene Zisblatt using memory to purposely demonize others. See: <http://tinyurl.com/9I7ofqv> Do you believe such use of memory regarding the Holocaust should be ignored?

NOTE: To date, Professor Baer has not responded. My letter to him was copied to some 480 faculty,

administration and student orgs on the Twin Cities campus.]

*

**Mistress Sara Bloomberg of the USHMM and
the Codoh Campus Project
Bradley Smith**

Ms. Sara Bloomfield, Mistress of the mighty United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, has sent an appeal nationwide asking for donations to "Confront Holocaust Denial." Mistress Bloomfield singles out the work of Bradley Smith and CODOH to establish, yet again, how the publication of simple advertisements in student newspapers puts at risk everything her monumental Holocaust-promoting institution is dedicated to serving. Mistress Bloomfield writes:

"The rising tide of Holocaust denial is starting to infiltrate our academic institutions. Every year, our Museum sponsors dozens of lectures and seminars on college campuses, including workshops to train future teachers in the most effective ways to bring the truth [ya think?] about the Holocaust to new generations of students.

"Last spring, one vocal denier published ads in student newspapers at three universities hosting our teacher workshops [I confess—it was me] and sent hate-filled letters to students and administrators, one of which called our programs 'a parody of history dressed up in the righteous trappings of Holocaust Education.'"



Mistress Sara Bloomfield

The letter containing this quote was written by our associate, David Merlin, a man with a real insight into the "truth" as it is being forwarded by the USHMM. At the same time, it is interesting to note that Mistress Bloomfield does recognize a good turn of revisionist phrase when she reads one.

The lady goes on: "[As our nation's young people go back to school, it is absolutely critical that we do everything in our power to educate them about the difference between free speech and hate speech.](#)"

CODOH is in perfect agreement with Mistress Bloomfield here. I look forward to working with the

lady. I have already taken the first small revisionist steps in our upcoming cooperation via student newspapers at University of Southern California and California State University at Northridge. More about that below.

"I wish I could tell you these are isolated incidents," Mistress Bloomfield writes, "but they are part of a disturbing trend highlighted by the US State Department's recent report of a global surge in antisemitism manifested in Holocaust denial, glorification, and relativism."

Is this good news or what? Not for the lady perhaps, but for us?

"Our educational programs are more important than ever to combat rising hate, but they are expensive to maintain. During this back-to-school season, we have set a goal of raising \$20,000 to cover the cost of one teacher training workshop in the coming year."

Twenty-thousand dollars to pay for one three-day teacher training workshop to teach a parody of history dressed up in the righteous trappings of Holocaust Education? These three-day workshops must be real blow-outs.

Well, let's move on here.

On Mistress Bloomfield's USHMM Website there is one page devoted to what it calls "Holocaust Denial Timeline." There are 31 entries in the USHMM Timeline

that stretch back 70 years to 1942 and list what the Museum terms "key events in the evolution of Holocaust denial." The last entry in this 70-year time line reads:

"2010: Bradley Smith places his first online Holocaust denial advertisement, which appears on the website of the University of Wisconsin's Badger Herald in February. The Internet—because of its ease of access and dissemination, seeming anonymity, and perceived authority—is now the chief conduit of Holocaust denial."

And now we have this new pitch from Mistress Bloomfield to raise funding for her Monumental Museum where she still sees Smith (who am I?) as a primary danger to her way of life. Why me? Because I go to a place where it is a norm, oftentimes honored in the breach but yet a norm, to honor the ideal of encouraging a free exchange of ideas while Mistress Bloomfield and her intellectual Arts Center people promote the opposite—must promote the opposite or they are finished. While students may not often reveal their interest in Smith or revisionism on campus, they are very much willing to go to the Internet, to Codoh, and privately read, search, question, and participate in a new media-world where it is impossible to smash free inquiry.

<http://www.codoh.com/sites/default/files/downloads/SR193.pdf>

German radio presenter fired over Nazi slogan

10 August 2012 - Last updated 06:57AM

A German radio presenter has been fired after saying "Work Sets You Free", a slogan used at some Nazi concentration camps and a symbol of atrocities committed by the Nazi regime, to listeners who had to work at the weekend, a Berlin newspaper said Thursday.



Photo: German radio presenter fired over Nazi slogan

Several listeners of the Munich-based Radio Gong 96.3 complained during the July 28 programme when the 39-year-old female freelance presenter made the remark, according to the BZ paper.

One couple filed charges after having reportedly been told by another radio employee to take the comment as a joke. . "Take it easy but with humor," the 19-year-old assistant was reported to have said on the phone.

Munich public prosecutors have opened preliminary proceedings on suspicion of inciting racial hatred, the paper said.

It quoted the radio station's head Georg Dingler as saying both women had been dismissed even if they have acknowledged their mistake.

"The station has long been committed to fighting the far right," Dingler told BZ.

<http://www.eju.org/news/europe/german-radio-presenter-fired-over-nazi-slogan>

Leaving post, U.S. official reflects on a new definition of anti-Semitism

Hannah Rosenthal, leaving her State Department position, says cleared obstacles en route to establishing a required 90-minute course on anti-Semitism at the Foreign Service Institute.

By JTA | Oct.17, 2012 | 12:10 PM

Anti-Semitism overseas is being noted with increasing frequency by U.S. State Department human rights reports, and Hannah Rosenthal says that's a good thing. Rosenthal, the State Department's second anti-Semitism monitor, says increased reporting reflects burgeoning awareness of the problem among U.S. diplomats.

The not-so-sexy part of what I've done has been what I've done inside the building, she said Oct. 5 in a phone interview from the State Department. It was her last day on the job before she assumes a new position -- president and CEO of the Milwaukee Jewish Federation.



Hannah Rosenthal, the state department's top official dealing with anti-Semitism, is preparing to take over the reins at the Milwaukee Jewish Federation. Photo AP

Rosenthal and her staff of six within the State Department's Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor cleared bureaucratic hurdles, she said, to establish a required 90-minute course on anti-Semitism at the Foreign Service Institute, the training school for diplomats, as well as a 341-word definition of anti-Semitism.

Our reporting has improved many times over -- 300 percent in the three years I've been here, said Rosenthal, 61, who took up her State Department post in November 2009. That doesn't mean anti-Semitism was increasing in all those countries."

Rosenthal, who attracted headlines for high-profile encounters overseas with foreign officials, says the intradepartmental achievements were no small matter.

That definition? It had to be cleared by a gazillion people, she said. But we were able to get a comprehensive definition that included not only traditional forms -- blood libel, stereotypes -- but newer forms like Holocaust denial and Holocaust relativism, and we were able to get included in there where legitimate criticism of Israel crosses into anti-Semitism."

Much of the definition straddles the delicate balance between legitimate criticism of Israel and anti-Jewish bias.

It incorporates the three D's first outlined by Natan Sharansky, the one-time prisoner of the Soviet gulag who now chairs the Jewish Agency for Israel, as the marks of Israel criticism that crosses over into anti-Jewish bias: demonizing, double standards and delegitimizing. The definition, which does not credit Sharansky, adds an italicized caveat: "However, criticism of Israel similar to that leveled against any other country cannot be regarded as anti-Semitic."

Rosenthal describes the definition as a breakthrough. "We have now a definition we can train people on, and we've been very aggressive in training foreign service officers," she said. The result: Whereas anti-Semitism received passing mentions in previous reports or was addressed separately, in recent years it has received extensive attention. In the most recent report on Ukraine, for instance, anti-Semitism earned its own chapter heading and 550 words among 15,000.

Jewish community professionals say the definition, training course and attention paid in the reports translate into a stakehold for the community in a department that historically

has suffered from a reputation of inattentiveness to anti-Jewish bias.

We've always tried to ensure that those foreign service officers who have the human rights portfolio were well briefed and had connections to our local communities, said Mark Levin, who directs NCSJ, the community body that deals with Jewish communities in the former communist world. What Hannah was trying to do and was beginning to succeed at was to make this a formal part of State Department protocol. She institutionalized the fight against global anti-Semitism.

Jewish officials also struck a note of pride in how one of their own -- Rosenthal in the 1990s and the early 2000s directed the Jewish Council for Public Affairs -- was speaking for the U.S. government. She brings candor and authenticity to the job, said Daniel Mariaschin, the executive vice president of Bnai Brith International. Coming from the community had made her an effective advocate."

If Rosenthal's intradepartmental achievements involved delicate bureaucratic dances, her job overseas was characterized by making clear that anti-Semitism was a U.S. government priority -- a job that required a degree of showmanship.

In some instances that meant taking her complaints directly to offenders. In April she met with Ilmar Reepalu, the mayor of Malmo in Sweden, who would not back down from his calls on the city's Jews to reject Zionism as a strategy for repelling violent attacks on the community. So Rosenthal took her case to the country's minister of integration, who issued a rare rebuke of a fellow public official.

Not only were we able to get people to publicly criticize him, there have been regular kippah walks, she said, referring to recent events in which the city's Jews and others have defiantly donned the head coverings on outings.

In 2011, Rosenthal confronted Saudi officials about anti-Semitism in their schoolbooks and asked Jordanian officials to introduce Holocaust studies into the curriculum.

The actions threw the weight of the U.S. government behind what for years have been efforts by Jewish groups to have governments confront anti-Semitism, Mariaschin said.

The position has profile, Mariaschin said. In particular, Bnai Brith was pleased when she visited Latin America."

Mariaschin noted Rosenthal's attention to Venezuela, where President Hugo Chavez has forged ties with Iran, attacked Israel and insinuated the existence of Jewish conspiracies.

The work of Rosenthal and her predecessor from 2006 to 2009, Gregg Rickman, who was the first to hold the congressionally mandated post, has cleared the way for more effective Jewish advocacy work, said Abraham Foxman, the national director of the Anti-Defamation League.

From our perspective, the fact that the U.S. government takes it seriously makes it easier for us for us to use our advocacy, Foxman said.

If her confrontations with government officials overseas have drawn Jewish community plaudits, the other leg of Rosenthal's overseas outreach -- promoting reconciliation between Muslims and Jews -- has received more mixed reviews.

Rosenthal helped organize and accompanied a trip in 2010 by eight American imams and Muslim leaders to the Dachau and Auschwitz-Birkenau concentration camps. That drew complaints from Foxman, who said the involvement of the State Department in an intercommunal matter was inappropriate.

Foxman and Rosenthal settled their differences, and he now lavishes praise on her for establishing the course on anti-

Semitism for diplomats, although he continues to be critical of her participation in the imams' tour.

It's not the job of this office to engage in kumbaya, he said. Its job is advocacy about anti-Semitism. Having Muslims speaking out about anti-Semitism, that's our job.

Rickman slammed her twice -- the first time even before she had formally assumed the job, when Rosenthal had chided Israel's ambassador to the United States, Michael Oren, for snubbing a 2009 conference by J Street, the liberal pro-Israel group.

More substantively, Rickman -- who now works for the American Israel Public Affairs Committee and would not comment for this article -- criticized her joint outreach program with Farah Pandith, the U.S. emissary to Muslim communities. In joint appearances, Rosenthal would decry Islamophobia and Pandith would condemn anti-Semitism.

Rosenthal seems to continue her stray from her main job fighting anti-Semitism, Rickman wrote on The Cutting Edge website in July 2010. Her consistent attention to Islamophobia

suggests a real sympathy for those very people who lead the way in attacking Jews in Europe.

Rosenthal was baffled by the criticism, saying that Rickman had not reached out to her before airing it.

Someone named Hannah Rosenthal combating anti-Semitism does not headlines make, she said. So whenever I stood up to criticize Islamophobia, I had someone with me to criticize anti-Semitism. I so resent people who want to get into dueling victimhoods. Where does it get us?

Filling in for Rosenthal until the president names a replacement will be Michael Kozak, a senior career diplomat.

Rosenthal is looking forward to returning to Wisconsin, her home state, and being close to family and the Jewish community. 'I feel that so much was accomplished but there's so much work to do,' she said. 'Unfortunately there will always be a need for the job.'

<http://www.haaretz.com/jewish-world/jewish-world-news/leaving-post-u-s-official-reflects-on-a-new-definition-of-anti-semitism-1.470569>

Twitter blocks neo-Nazi group's messages in Germany

This is the first time Twitter implemented its new 'Country Withheld Content' feature allowing the censoring of tweets considered illegal in specific countries.

By Reuters | Oct.19, 2012 | 12:19 AM

In response to a request by German authorities, Twitter on Thursday blocked messages in Germany posted by a right-wing group banned by local police.

Twitter's move marked the first time it has implemented a new feature, called "Country Withheld Content", that allows the service to narrowly censor tweets considered illegal in one specific country.

A Twitter spokesman confirmed Thursday that the account operated by the Besserer Hannover group and all of its content have been blocked for German users, but "remains visible to Twitter users in other countries".

As it rolled out its blocking tool for the first time, Twitter found itself caught in the crosswinds of public pressure, a situation likely to become frequent as the service, now boasting 140 million monthly active users and growing, continues to expand in reach and influence.

"I will not go so far as to call the policy good, but they're attempting to do the least damage" because Twitter's blocking tool only applies to users in one country," said Eva Galperin, a coordinator at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a digital free speech advocacy group.

Some Twitter users chimed in with concern.

"And now Twitter will censor accounts based on requests from governments," a user from Chicago named Brian Stanish tweeted. "What if this happened before the Arab Spring?"

The Anti-Defamation League praised Twitter's move, but said it needed to go further to ban offensive and hate speech in its terms of service. Compared to social media services like Google Inc's YouTube and Facebook, Twitter currently has one of the most lax terms-of-service restricting speech reflecting the company's long-stated position that it would rather err on the side of free speech.

Earlier this week, the ADL criticized the company for allowing the "unbonjuif" - or "a good Jew" - hashtag to circulate in France, which prompted a flurry of anti-Semitic tweets. Speech that incites hate toward people or groups based on religion, race or ethnicity may be prosecuted under French law.

Robert Trestan, the Cyber-hate Response group director at the ADL, said Twitter was increasingly the social media platform of choice for anti-Semitic groups compared to Facebook. "Twitter is the easiest way to spread that message and use those hashtags," Trestan said.

For its part, Twitter's top executives have long vowed to resist censorship except when it conflicts with local law. Alex MacGillivray, Twitter's General Counsel, declared in 2011 that Twitter would represent the "free speech wing of the free speech party".

Following the announcement that Twitter had blocked the neo-Nazi group Thursday, MacGillivray tweeted: "Never want to withhold content; good to have tools to do it narrowly transparently," and linked to a copy of the police letter.

The Twitter spokesman said the move to block messages Thursday by Besserer Hannover - which means "a better Hanover" - came at the request of police in the northern German city.

According to Hanover police, Besserer Hannover was banned on Sept. 25 and ordered to halt all its activities. Public prosecutors searched the homes of more than 20 suspected members of the group last month who are accused of forming a criminal organization with the intention of committing crimes.

Police said the group had 40 members and had recently distributed copies of its far-right magazine at schools.

Hanover Police President Axel Brockmann said in a statement last month he had pledged when taking office "to crush this group of neo-Nazi and far-right activists wherever it appeared". Authorities and residents across Germany have become more sensitive to the threat of far-right militants since revelations last year that a neo-Nazi cell waged a seven-year racist killing spree through the country, murdering nine people, mostly of Turkish origin.

<http://www.haaretz.com/jewish-world/jewish-world-news/twitter-blocks-neo-nazi-group-s-messages-in-germany-1.470863>

Russian journalists reacts over the ban of Iranian channels

Julia Lyubova, Press TV, Moscow, Thu Oct 18, 2012 3:26AM GMT

On Monday the European satellite companies announced that the European Union (EU) has banned the broadcast of several Iranian satellite channels, including Press TV in Europe.

Nineteen Iranian state-run television and radio stations were pulled off air in the European Union earlier this week.

Press TV is among these stations, together with Farsi-language channels for Iranian expatriates and Arabic-language news stations.

Eutelsat, the leading European satellite provider, says the decision was made after European officials imposed new sanctions against Iran. Journalists in Russia say that the move is hypocritical and undermines the core values of free media.

The EU has long been targeting Iran with sanctions hitting its banking and energy sector. Some journalists in Russia see the broadcasting ban as a worrying trend.

There will be financial consequences of the broadcasting cutoff and legal actions are sure to follow.

Press TV is still available via Eutelsat despite being denied access to broadcasting in Europe but not under the same frequency.

It's a common practice for many stations to broadcast in English, Arabic and other languages to reach a wider audience around the world. But when media is used as a political tool, it's the viewers who end up left in the dark.

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/10/18/267314/russian-journalists-reacts-over-the-ban-of-iranian-channels/>

[The following article from Kevin Barrett aims to equate Zionism with National Socialism, which is a nonsense designed to suggest that the current New World Order will not have to grapple with the uniqueness that manifested itself during 1933-45 – and it is not gas chambers either!]

Mind-controllers chant "anti-Semite" to prevent thought crime



ZIONISM

=



NAZISM

By Kevin Barrett, Tue Oct 16, 2012 6:42PM GMT

Slamming the Rothschild crime syndicate, Zionism, and/or Rothschild Zionism is NOT racism, any more than slamming Don Corleone is italo-phobia, or exposing the Ibn Saud crime family is Islamophobia. Blaming ordinary Jews, like the guy I met at the conference, for the actions of the Rothschilds, would be stupid, bigoted, maybe racist. But I don't do that. Hardly anyone does...except for the paid Mossad shills, doing their part to cover up the truth and protect the banksters by keeping alive the myth of widespread pernicious anti-Semitism."

I met a nice working-class Jewish guy while covering the Economic Democracy Conference in Madison, WI this weekend. When he figured out that I was Kevin Barrett, the "notorious 9/11 truth professor," he turned visibly pale. "Are you really a...a holocaust denier?" he asked, his voice trembling.

I reassured him that I have never denied any of the many holocausts and genocides. I explained that as soon as I became a well-known critic of the official myth of 9/11,

someone with a lot of money and power launched a defamation campaign against me, inventing a false story that I endorsed three "holocaust deniers," two of whom I had never even heard of. Despite the fact that this absurd story was invented out of whole cloth, "sourced" to an obscure posting on some crank's blog, Wikipedia refused to remove it.

"I - I lost my whole extended family in the holocaust," the guy sputtered. I said I was sorry to hear that.

But he still didn't seem to understand that I had been defamed, that someone was trying to smear 9/11 truth people as "holocaust deniers" in order to prevent people like him from looking into 9/11.

I patiently explained this to him. He still didn't get it. Deep inside his brain, some emotional core had been permanently branded with an indelible connection between "9/11 truth professor" and "holocaust denier." And he couldn't get past it. Like a person given a powerful post-hypnotic suggestion, he was no longer able to think about the issue. He could only feel

- wave after wave of anxiety. And those waves of anxiety washed away his capacity for independent, critical thought.

That is precisely what accusations of "anti-Semite" and "holocaust denier" are meant to do. Any time you hear these expressions (and their close relative, "conspiracy theorist") you can be sure that whoever is using them is trying to cover up dangerous thoughts - to prevent people from entertaining ideas that threaten the powers-that-be.

Yes, I recognize that not everyone who uses these expressions is consciously part of a thought-suppression campaign. Most are just parroting the words of the master hypnotists to whom they are in thrall.

The latest example: A handful of shills pretending to be pro-Palestine activists, and their legions of dupes, have smeared Greta Berlin with the magic words of calumny. Why? Because Greta supposedly posted a Tweet linking a Youtube of a speech by Eustace Mullins!

The weird thing is, none of the anti-Greta hit pieces even mention what the offensive Youtube WAS. They just say she "Tweeted an anti-Semitic movie."

How could anyone possibly understand whether or not Greta actually did anything wrong, without knowing precisely what movie she tweeted, and what was in it?

Philip Weiss and Adam Horowitz begin their hatchet-job on Greta:

"The important battle of explaining to people that opposing Zionism does not equal anti-Semitism was dealt a terrible blow this past week with the exposure of anti-Semitic tweets by a leader of the Free Gaza Movement, Greta Berlin. Wherever your line is for what's acceptable speech about Jews, Berlin's statements and attitude were over the line and have done great damage to the cause of ending the siege of Gaza and working towards justice in Israel/Palestine."

But what WERE her statements and attitude? How can we tell what lines she has or has not crossed, unless we know what she did? Weiss and Horowitz won't tell us!

I googled around and discovered that almost all of the anti-Greta hatchet jobs out there, pretty much cookie-cutter copies of Weiss and Horowitz, neglect to tell the reader what, precisely, Greta Berlin allegedly did.

Finally I found the Daily Beast, owned and run by the Israel lobby, at least had the decency to mention Greta's purported offense:

"On 30 September a tweet was made from the official Twitter account of the Free Gaza Movement which stated "Zionists Ran the Holocaust and the Concentration Camps."

"The tweet linked to a video of an anti-Jewish diatribe by notorious anti-Semite and conspiracy theorist Eustace Mullins."

And the upshot is, Greta may or may not have intended the Tweet to be posted on the Free Gaza Twitter account, and may or may not have posted it in order to endorse it, stimulate thought about it, or oppose it.

Yet for some reason, a hysterical mob, the same folks who witch-hunted Gilad Atzmon, want to throw Greta off the pro-Palestine boat. And now they've thrown Col. Ann Wright off the next boat to Gaza. Why? Col. Wright is somehow "guilty by association" - because she has not joined the goose-steppers denouncing Greta Berlin.

Whatever this is about, it obviously is not about racism.

Every major media outlet in the US is viciously, genocidally stealth-racist against Arabs and Muslims, far more than Eustace Mullins was ever racist against Jews. (The official story of 9/11, for example, plays on the ludicrous phantasm of vicious Arab Muslims slicing passengers' throats with box

cutters and smashing planes into buildings - an image carefully designed by psy-ops experts to reinforce racist tropes about Arabs and Muslims, an image that has nothing to do with reality, least of all the reality of the 9/11 false-flag attack.)

Philip Weiss himself used to work for the New York Times - the most important propaganda cheerleader for both the genocidal myth of 9/11 AND the Palestinian genocide. The Times isn't just a bunch of holocaust deniers - they're holocaust perpetrators, and the holocaust they're perpetrating is still happening. Weiss has never sharply denounced the genocide perpetrators he spent his life working for. He's like a German who spent his life working for Goebbels, then retired and offered some fairly mild criticisms of his former boss. Yet nobody is going to throw Weiss out of the pro-Palestine movement for those "racist associations."

Obviously there is a double-standard at work

The real issue is not racism - it's the dangerous ideas of Eustace Mullins. Though some of Mullins' ideas may have been false and racist (all racist ideas are false) those ones aren't the problem, aren't the reason the mob of rabidly genocidal Zionists at the National Post and Jerusalem Post, and their brainwashed mob of zombie pseudo-pro-Palestinian witch hunters is going crazy, denouncing Greta Berlin while fearing to even mention the evil name "Eustace Mullins" just in case someone might actually listen to him, might actually watch his YouTubes and read his books.

It's the 90% of Eustace Mullins' ideas that are true that are the real problem.

As Wikipedia tells us:

"Mullins completed the manuscript (of Secrets of the Federal Reserve) during the course of 1950 when he began to seek a publisher. Eighteen publishers turned the book down without comment before the President of the Devin-Adair Publishing Company, Devin Garrett, told him, 'I like your book but we can't print it...Neither can anybody else in New York. You may as well forget about getting the [...] book published.'"

Mullins' book was unprintable not because it was racist - it wasn't - but because it threatened the real owners of the USA: The international criminal cabal that dominates global finance. As Woodrow Wilson said, speaking of this cabal: "Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

Pro-Palestine activists need to know that the Zionist criminal cabal, the descendent of the moneylending crime cabals of medieval times, created Israel by murdering 100,000 Americans. Specifically, Baron Rothschild approached the British leadership in 1917 with an offer they couldn't refuse: Give us Palestine, and we'll make sure you defeat the Germans. Both sides made good on that promise.

The Rothschild Zionists used their domination of US media and finance to bring the US into World War I and defeat the Germans. In other words, the Zionists murdered more than 100,000 Americans, by dragging the US into a war it had no reason to fight, in order to launch the Palestinian genocide.

This information is true, widely admitted to be true by historians, but suppressed from the public consciousness. Eustace Mullins gives it a voice. The cabal responds by trying to silence him.

Did the same Zionist international banking cabal finance Hitler's rise to power, and thus indirectly create the Nazi

holocaust? According to Mullins, "Kuhn, Loeb & Co. and the House of Morgan were fronts for the Rothschilds...he also outlined how financial interests connected to the J. Henry Schroder Company and the Dulles brothers financed Adolf Hitler..."

The Zionists and the Nazis had the same goal: Get the Jews out of Europe. They had the same modus operandi: Whip up extreme nationalism by playing on feelings of victimization. They used the same tactics: Launch wars and hate campaigns using false flag attacks like 9/11 and the Reichstag Fire; find "racially inferior" enemies to demonize, and go out and kill them by the millions. (The Zionists are still doing that to Arabs and Muslims.)

Zionism and Nazism both inculcated racial superiority, by claiming to be the "master race" or the "chosen people." They both scorned existing national borders and launched endless wars for lebensraum - wars that could only end in their own inevitable total destruction.

The Nazis tried to create a "Greater Germany" embracing much of the European heartland; the Zionists are trying to create a "Greater Israel" stretching from the Nile to the Euphrates. In both cases, the only way to do that is perpetual war...war against an enemy with vastly greater population and resources...war that can only end in a gotterdammerung or armageddon.

No sane person can deny that Nazism and Zionism are mirror images of each other.

Mullins' claim that the Rothschild banking cartel that created Israel also created Hitler and his holocaust may or may not be true. But it is certainly worth investigating. And it is certainly not racist.

It isn't racist to say there's an Italian mob in your city, and to name the capos.

It would be racist, or at least bigoted, to say "all Italians are mobsters."

Slamming the Rothschild crime syndicate, Zionism, and/or Rothschild Zionism is NOT racism, any more than slamming Don Corleone is italophobia, or exposing the Ibn Saud crime family is Islamophobia. Blaming ordinary Jews, like the guy I met at the conference, for the actions of the Rothschilds, would be stupid, bigoted, maybe racist. But I don't do that. Hardly anyone does...except for the paid Mossad shells, doing

their part to cover up the truth and protect the banksters by keeping alive the myth of widespread pernicious anti-Semitism.

When the Israel lobby front group the ADL smeared me recently, for the second time, as an "anti-Semite," they did not even try to argue that I harbor any prejudice toward ordinary Jews, or towards Jews as Jews. Instead, they say (correctly) that I argue that the destabilization of Syria serves the interests of Zionism, that I am a critic of pro-Israel forces, that I argue that 9/11 was a coup d'état by a pro-Israel faction of the US government, and so on. In other words, they are trying to suppress my dangerous ideas, which happen to be true, by "skunking" them with the emotionally-repugnant odor of racism.

And they are trying to suppress Press TV, not because it focuses exclusively on these dangerous ideas, but because it allows them to be heard alongside other, competing viewpoints. The witch-hunters know they can't win a debate against Eustace Mullins' views of the Zionist international banking cabal, or my arguments about 9/11. Their only hope is to completely suppress such viewpoints, stigmatize them, paralyze people's brains so they can't even entertain such ideas.

That is their dirty little secret: By stigmatizing the work of Press TV, Eustace Mullins, and 9/11 truth supporters like me, the witch-hunters are not protecting ordinary Jews from racism. Instead, they are protecting the interests of the Zionist banker cabal, by using deep-seated emotional conditioning - Pavlovian aversion therapy - to suppress free thought and critical inquiry, to suppress the truth about what Israel really is.

And they need to keep suppressing the truth about the banksters for the same reason they need to keep suppressing the truth about 9/11. Such truths threaten to undermine the US and Western support that props up both Israel and the banker mob that owns and runs it...the same Israel-obsessed mob that has dominated the US since the Federal Reserve coup d'état of 1913, and completely controlled it since the coup d'état of September 11th, 2001.

KB/JR

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/10/16/267065/midcontrollers-chant-antisemite/>

EU denies ordering satellite companies to take Iran channels off air

Wed Oct 17, 2012 5:4PM

The European Union (EU) has denied claims by European satellite companies that it ordered the providers to take Iranian channels off the air, Press TV reports.

A European Council official told Press TV on Wednesday that there is no regulation within EU laws that prohibits the broadcast of satellite channels, and the companies have acted on their own accord.

"I have to tell you that this is a decision of Eutelsat and Arqiva and you have to ask them, it is their decision," Maja Kocijancic, spokeswoman for the EU foreign policy chief, Catherine Ashton, told Press TV.

"The EU on Monday has adopted new restrictive measures against Iran, but they focus on finance, energy, trade and transport, not telecommunication. And do not contain elements which could have forced Eutelsat to take such a step," Kocijancic added.

This is while both European satellite providers, Eutelsat and Arqiva, confirmed to Press TV that they terminated

their contracts with Iranian channels based on an order by the EU Commission.

Eutelsat SA has stopped the broadcast of several Iranian satellite channels, including Press TV, al-Alam, Jam-e-Jam 1 and 2, Sahar 1 and 2, Islamic Republic of Iran News Network, Quran TV, and the Arabic-language al-Kawthar following the order. The decision follows months of jamming of Iranian channels by European satellite companies.

Following the move by Eutelsat, Press TV launched a Facebook petition to protect the news channel across Europe.

Observers say the illegal move by Eutelsat SA is a step to silence all alternative news outlets representing the voice of the voiceless.

Press TV has also contacted the French media regulation authority, Conseil supérieur de l'audiovisuel (French Broadcasting Regulatory Body) (CSA) for comments regarding the ban. The CSA had said it would provide the network with a response but has so far failed to do so.

MYA/AZ/SS



Press TV ban: Human rights violation

Ban on Iranian channels challenges West claim of democracy: Cmdr.



Deputy Chairman of Iranian Armed Forces' Chiefs of Staff Brigadier General Massoud Jazayeri

A senior Iranian commander censures the ban imposed by the European officials on the broadcast of several Iranian satellite channels, saying the move posed a serious challenge to West's claims of democracy.

"The move will fail to prevent the spread of the [Islamic] Revolution and anti-Zionist discourse in the world but it poses a serious challenge to Westerners' claims about support for free flow of information and democracy," Deputy Chairman of Iranian Armed Forces' Chiefs of Staff Brigadier General Massoud Jazayeri said on Wednesday.

He added that media empire of the arrogance system and global Zionism seeks to rein in the spread of the message of the resistance and the fight against arrogance by implementing various plots, including removal of truth-telling channels of the Islamic Republic of Iran Broadcasting (IRIB).

However, world nations have been affected by the revolutionary culture and discourse of the Iranian nation, he pointed out.

On October 18, European satellite provider Eutelsat SA stopped the broadcast of several Iranian satellite channels on the order of the European Commission.

In a blatant violation of freedom of speech, the company ordered media services company, Arqiva, to take the Iranian satellite channels off one of its Hot Bird frequencies.

Jazayeri emphasized that the European Union exerted pressure on Eutelsat SA to take Iranian channels off the air following the IRIB's efforts for transparent dissemination of information.

The Iranian commander stressed the importance of adopting strategies to counter "media terrorism" of the arrogance and Zionism.

The Iranian commander stressed the importance of adopting strategies to counter "media terrorism" of the arrogance and Zionism.

The EU move proved that it has defined its existence on the basis of following up the Zionists' policies and demands and serving the US interests, he said.

Iranian news channels affected by the decision say they only sought to break the West's monopoly on news broadcast by reflecting the voice of the oppressed people to the world.

The illegal move by Eutelsat SA, therefore, is a step to silence all alternative news outlets representing the voice of the voiceless.

SF/MA <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/267373.html>

From the Archives:

Europe's new face of anti-Semitism

5 countries now ban production of kosher meat as synagogues burn, boycott of Israel continues

Published: 12/03/2002 at 1:00 AM

One of the first steps in Adolf Hitler's anti-Semitic drive in the creation of his Third Reich was instituting a ban on the kosher slaughter of animals.

Today, as a new wave of ugly, and sometimes violent, anti-Semitism sweeps through the European continent, at least five countries have banned kosher food production, and one of them is considering halting all import of kosher meat.

The latest nation to join the movement is Holland, where the move was guised in concern for cruelty to animals.

"They simply don't want foreigners and they don't want Jews," said Rabbi Michael Melchior, former chief rabbi of Norway, another European nation that bans kosher meat production. "I won't say this is the only motivation, but it's certainly no coincidence that one of the first things Nazi Germany forbade

was kosher slaughter. I also know that during the original debate on this issue in Norway, where *shechitah* has been banned since 1930, one of the parliamentarians said straight out, 'If they don't like it, let them go live somewhere else.'"

While animal-rights activists have indeed been at the forefront of the recent efforts to ban kosher slaughter, there is growing concern on the part of people like Melchior, now an Israeli official, that initiatives spreading through Europe are gaining popularity because of deep-seated anti-Semitism manifesting itself in many other ways, from Belgium to Germany to France and Switzerland.

*On Saturday, unknown assailants hurled a Molotov cocktail at a synagogue in the Belgian port city of Antwerp, where riots by Arab immigrants began a week ago following the shooting of a 27-year-old Moroccan immigrant. About 30,000 people of Arab origin live in Antwerp. It is also home to a long-established Orthodox Jewish community of about 20,000.

*Several weeks ago, Germany announced a decision to stop all arms sales to Israel. This comes at a time when attacks on memorials to Nazi-era victims are on the rise. In at least seven attacks this year, extremists destroyed a memorial plaque at Raben-Steinfeld, vandalized a memorial in Woebbelin and a memorial column in Lutterow, and drew a swastika on the grounds of the Sachsenhausen concentration camp on the Nov. 9 anniversary of Krystalnacht, or the Night of Broken Glass, when Nazis targeted Jewish businesses and synagogues in 1938.

*German police are investigating an incident last month where anti-Semitic disruptions occurred at a Berlin ceremony to restore a street name referring to Jews that was erased by Nazi officials in 1938. Hecklers at the event booed, whistled and shouted slogans including "Jews out" and "The Jews crucified Jesus," according to Germany's Central Council of Jews. Paul Spiegel, the group's head, said he was horrified and that the incident "reminds us painfully of the late 1920s," when the Nazis began their rise to power in Germany. The event re-established Juedenstrasse – an old German word for Jews' Street – in the western district of Spandau after years of deliberations by local officials. The name, dating back to the 16th century, recalls Spandau's former Jewish community. Under Nazi rule, the street was renamed for Gottfried Kinkel, a 19th-century poet and art historian who was once imprisoned in Spandau.

*Fiona Macaulay, public affairs director of the Board of Deputies of British Jews, says incidents of anti-Semitism have increased 400 percent in Britain since the start of the intifada in the fall of 2000.

*A one-day international conference on sanctions and divestment in London last week called for a boycott of Israel "not dissimilar to the campaign which contributed to the end of apartheid in South Africa."

Of course, it's not just Europe that is experiencing a wave of new anti-Semitism.

Avi Beker, the secretary-general of the World Jewish Congress, says in the past two years Jews around the world have experienced the worst anti-Semitism since World War II, primarily because of the effects of the Middle East conflict. In Canada, the U.S. and Europe, there have been attacks on synagogues and other Jewish centers as well as individual Jews, he says.

"Anti-Semitism, showing itself to be the most enduring and the hardest manifestation of the racism virus, has reared its ugly head once again," says Keith Landy, the Canadian Jewish Congress president. Landy said across the world Jewish people continue to face discrimination, harassment and violence because of their faith. It is a sad day for any religion when a security guard must be posted at the door of a place of worship so people may pray in safety – a common occurrence at many Jewish synagogues, he stated. "Instead of declaring 'never again,' we find ourselves painfully asking, 'will it ever end?'"

Since October 2000, there have been 300 anti-Semitic occurrences in Canada, he said. Also, he argued, the current international attack on Israel is clear anti-Semitism.

Australia's Jewish community is also experiencing the highest level of anti-Semitism since statistics were first collected 57 years ago, figures released recently by the Executive Council of Australian Jewry showed.

Council President Jeremy Jones told United Press International there were 593 reports of anti-Semitism in the year to Sept. 30, with incidents ranging from physical and verbal assaults to firebombs thrown at synagogues and community centers, telephone threats, hate mail and e-mail.

He said there are dozens of groups perpetrating hate crimes. The main ones are the Australian League of Rights, the Adelaide Institute, neo-Nazi fringe groups and the Citizens Electoral Councils, which are followers of U.S.-based Lyndon H. LaRouche Jr.

The man with the highest profile is historian Frederick Toben of the Adelaide Institute, who, like British historian David Irving, denies the existence of the Holocaust.

Jones also lamented what he calls horrific material from Muslims in Australia and singles out Sheik Taj al Din al Hilaly, spiritual leader of Australia's Muslims and one of the country's most contentious religious figures. After he arrived from Egypt in 1982, the government tried to expel him for making statements condemned as incitement to racial hatred. A Sydney Morning Herald journalist, Alan Ramsey, wrote that these included comments that Jews are the underlying cause of all wars, use sex and abominable acts of sodomy to control the world, and that Jews had a malicious disposition toward all mankind.

But it is in Europe where anti-Semitism is getting the most attention – perhaps because the Holocaust occurred just a generation earlier in the continent.

When there was an effort by Jews in Switzerland to lift the century-old ban on the production of kosher meat, an anti-Semitic backlash erupted earlier this year.

"This is a trend that is very much worrying us," said Beker. He points out that a movement in Sweden, another European nation that bans kosher slaughter, attempted to ban ritual circumcision – the quintessential rite of passage for Jewish males. "We regard this as interference in Jewish religious practices."

Abraham Foxman, the national director of the Anti-Defamation League, said bans on kosher slaughter are the result of activism between animal-rights extremists "aided and abetted" by anti-Semitic politicians.

"Sometimes anti-Semites will use this as a vehicle to try to isolate the Jewish community by reaching out to those who are so preoccupied with animal rights," he told Jewish Week. "The key is whether or not there is a history in that country. ... What other issues of animal rights have they engaged in to prohibit cruelty? When they begin and end with kosher slaughter, that's when I become suspect."

While the Holland ban offers some loopholes to the Jewish community in the country, the Swiss ban on *shechitah* may go even further. The government earlier this year considered a ban on the import of kosher meat, and the Swiss Animal Association is calling for a national referendum on barring the import of such products. A poll shows 76 percent of the population would support such a move.

"It's ominous," said Rabbi Menachem Genack, the kashrut administrator for the Orthodox Union, the largest kosher-certifying organization in the world. "This kind of legislation in Europe has to be understood in the context of European history. A person would have to be extremely naive not to think that this is linked to anti-Semitism."

Melchior makes the case that kosher slaughter is actually more humane than the practices in slaughterhouses.

"The Torah forbids cruelty to animals, and the *shechitah* process ensures that the animal loses consciousness immediately," he explains. "We have been dealing with this issue for many years, and there are many scientific studies that back us up."

<http://www.wnd.com/2002/12/16088/>

Sheep's clothing: The plot to silence Iran

By Gordon Duff, Thu Oct 18, 2012 1:19PM



This week, Iran is still silent in Europe but not out of ignorance. The truth is known, a plot, the Mossad and their pet companies and the activist "hasbara" that was caught blaming the European Union, clearly an organization with unclean hands and thus an easy target, but an organization without "this blood" to answer for."

This week, a plot was exposed. The victims were the broadcasters of Iran, and their European listeners, cut off by what some said was a governmental organization. That was a lie, one of so many.

What was also discovered was that people wear masks and those that "talk the talk" are often wolves in sheep's clothing, poisoners of men's souls sent to serve their masters in Tel Aviv, their masters on Wall Street and Zurich, each with their 30 pieces of silver in their pockets.

An endless series of activists, many liberals, some claiming scholarly credentials, others disguising themselves behind organizations claiming to support the poor and abused against the powerful and capricious were caught this week, caught by Press TV, caught by *Veterans Today*, Gordon Duff, this author and Mike Harris.

It is really a simple story, "game theory warfare" being plied among those many consider colleagues and friends, those who, superficially at least, seem to stand for peace, for truth, for disclosure, for honest dialog but are, and have been for decades, spies, saboteurs and traitors.

Many of us knew this all along. Decades of experience with counter-intelligence, working three continents, makes such things easy for me. With that, access to all passport and corporate databases and working with top intelligence officers from a half dozen nations, the US, Russia, South Korea, South Africa, France, Britain, really more than I can ever admit, makes the childish attempts to pass off lies as truth laughable. This week, there was one simple lie that exposed a nest of spies.

This week, an army of phony "do gooders," the smiling faces ready to stick the knife into the backs of their friends, were there when Iran's telecommunications, their news agencies, their voice, was silenced in the European Union.

Every visible activist said the same thing, what those who work in "C-I," (counter intelligence) call "talking points." The press knows talking points but when they are part of open warfare, when they are designed to bring about violence and suffering, when they are aimed at altering world affairs by promulgating violence, they are the acts of spies, saboteurs, disinformation agents and traitors.

The story is a simple one. The question is simple as is the answer.

Was Iran silenced, removed from news satellites by Eutelsat and Arqiva by order from the European Union and the French government as was claimed by so many, those tasked with blaming the US government or, in one case, the fiendish threat to world peace, Portugal?

If not them, then who was really responsible and why have so many lied about it?

This week, prior to a broadcast by Press TV which included radio host Mike Harris, I ran a background check using full counter-intelligence resources on who and why Iran had been silenced and whether any process within the European Union or pressure from any nation brought about what had been claimed by the companies involved as "sanctions."

No such meeting had occurred, no statements were made, no contact was initiated by officials of any nation or group of nations to the satellite providers serving Iran's networks, Press TV and 15 others.

Neither the EU nor any government had any part in attacking Iran's news and media capabilities in Europe. In fact, before we are finished, we can clearly show that "free speech activists" are at the top of those to be blamed.

Instead, when the ownership and control of the corporations was audited, the history of the corporations political contacts and the nature of the personnel who made decisions for the

companies that "pulled the plug" on Iran was found, it was learned that they took orders directly from the Mossad and were, as was claimed on two TV broadcasts by *Veterans Today* correspondent.

Our "heroic free speech activists" were and are, in fact, acting against Iran on behalf of the government of Israel. The companies to blame were only part of the picture.

Exposing these companies as two of many that are, in fact, military and intelligence agencies of Israel tasked with psychological warfare against Iran is one thing. That literally dozens of "liberal activists" are very much part of the same ring of vipers and snakes, some avoiding mentioning Israel, some continually crying for the suffering people of Gaza while putting a knife in their back, this surprised some.

Others of us who read Jeff Gate's book, *"Guilt by Association"* or knew "the great game" had seen it all along. These aren't geniuses that sell out their friends for a handful of silver.

Those who serve Israel, the endless internet trolls, the Wikipedia editors, the screamers of "anti-Semite" and "holocaust denier" are only a portion of an army, one that can be seen when and if one takes the time and knows what to look for.

What is most sad is those who are betrayed. The snakes couldn't survive, couldn't betray trust without there being trust.

They are trained, so many of them, some taken to Israel, others in the US, Britain and France, schooled by "Psyops" professionals in the rules of the game, getting close, steering movements into dead ends, building dissension within the groups they infiltrate, introducing wild theories, while discrediting anyone near them.

To them it is a profession, the flawed, the weak intellects, the broken of spirit, the same sewer of humanity a serial killer would seek their acolytes from; this is where the "hasbara" are found.

This week, however, something different happened. Yes, the debates between the "controlled opposition" and the genuinely outspoken and truthful were aired. But something else happened.

Press TV actually contacted the European Union and verified that the stories they had heard, the articles the debates, the news items from the activists, some thought to be "rabid anti-Zionists" were, in fact, total fabrications.

Portugal is not mobilizing to attack Iran nor is the European Union or Baroness Catherine Ashton, EU Foreign Policy Chief, plotting to silence Iran.

It was all Israel and, working at the side of the Mossad, an army of "hasbara" helpers, all claiming to be "9/11 truthers" and defenders of the rights of Palestinians.

"No, it wasn't the Mossad that murdered Ambassador Stephens in Benghazi; it was the CIA, the Freemasons and the Council on Foreign Relations."

Even American politics, a sickening mess at best, is misinterpreted. For the first time in decades, there is a clear break between the White House and Pentagon and the leader of Israel. American newspapers talk of little else, describe it as "hate" and continually site Obama as the biggest enemy Israel has ever had.

To prove the point, over a billion dollars has been donated from Israel, much of it illegal, much more from Israeli Americans Sheldon Adelson and the Koch Brothers, the worst of the worst when it comes to militant extremism and war mongering, these are the friends and supporters of Romney.

Every email from the Israel lobby, every pro-Israel publication attacks President Obama and begs for money for Romney.

There has never been anything this clear in American politics, Romney is the hand-puppet of Netanyahu, promised to deliver an invasion of Iran and President Obama and his military and intelligence leaders have, one after the other, told Israel there will be no attack, no bombings, no invasion, no "red line," no timetables.

Moreover, there is a wide movement within the United States, Christians, Jews and Muslims, to reevaluate the millions of dollars given to Israel and to take a new look at the old lies Americans have bought for decades, where Israel can do no wrong.

Actually, it seems, only the pro-Palestinian groups, the majority of them, the "whacko-leakers" and the "anti-imperialists" that all Americans despise are the game players and "place holders," standing in the way of real movement toward freeing America from Israeli influence and, perhaps even control.

This week, Press TV asked the EU who the real liars were. Since 9/11 it has been this way. Any search for the truth attracts spies and saboteurs, the heavily financed arm of tens of thousands of traitors that, as one writer always says, "that tiny nation" seems to be able to buy.

What kind of people are for sale? Too many? Why can a certain tiny nation buy them? Does having control of world banking, 90% of the world's press and 40% of the world's money while controlling .0001% of the world's arable land surprise anyone?

When I think back to the efforts of, the suffering, and the dedication of the "Occupy movement" and how many of its supporters, the "anti-imperialists" and "liberal activists" were on the same payroll as the police with their clubs and pepper spray, I wonder if anger is enough or if simply exposing monstrous wrongdoing is adequate.

What we forget is that this is real life, that those who sell their souls, those who betray what is good and decent for money are monsters.

This isn't a joke. There are millions dead and those who stand among us, smile and shake our hands yet betray all to the enemies lack humanity and are worse than any criminal.

Those of us who learned to fight and kill perhaps understand what is at risk better than some. We can all pretend we live in a safe world of universities and television studios, we can write our articles, drink our coffee, play with our computers, look at the photos of dead children and, for some, smirk to themselves at how successful they are as deceivers.

After all, they have billions of dollars behind them, Israel, the ADL, AIPAC, the SPLC, the Mossad and its killers, and the armies of mindless reporters and legislators, all either bought and paid for or fearful and cowardly.

There are few truth tellers who live long, remain out of prison, manage to hold teaching positions or avoid nasty traffic accidents or oddly timed illnesses.

Kipling once talked about cowards and how they die a thousand times. Little was known of the malignant narcissist or sociopathic personality then.

Much is known now, and they make themselves easy to see, always telling people what they seem to want to hear.

Mitt Romney is one.

Others dwell among us, some have vast followings, many are well respected as it is in the nature of man to follow and not to examine very closely.

This week, Iran is still silent in Europe but not out of ignorance. The truth is known, a plot, the Mossad and their

pet companies and the activist "hasbara" that was caught blaming the European Union, clearly an organization with unclean hands and thus an easy target, but an organization without "this blood" to answer for.

Now we will look for others, the real culprits and we don't have far to go.

GD/HGH

... more archival stuff - Antisemitic incidents almost double the average

Australia / 03-12-2008

Australian Jews suffered a record 652 anti-Semitic incidents in the 12 months to October, almost twice the average of the past 18 years. The 2007-08 tally was two per cent above that of the period ending September 30 last year.

These unsettling figures were revealed to the Executive Council of Australian Jewry (ECAJ) annual conference in Sydney this week by researcher Jeremy Jones, who submitted his annual report on antisemitism in Australia.

Jones, who is director of community affairs for the Australia/Israel & Jewish Affairs Council, said he was particularly concerned about anti-Jewish propaganda from extremist organizations, much of it published in fringe publications and on the internet.

In his report to the ECAJ, he noted *"particular concern at the negative impact of material from a variety of overseas sources which has as its thesis an eternal enmity of Muslims towards Jews"*.

"During the 12 months in review, the combined number of incidents involving physical assault, property damage and direct, face-to-face harassment was almost three times the previous average," Jones stated.

He said online communities, Facebook and YouTube *"have been the venues of crude and intense anti-Jewish prejudice being expressed openly and unashamedly. While the sum total of reports of each and all such behaviour is not sufficient to suggest that it is rampant, it is nevertheless cause for genuine concern"*.

On a brighter note, Jones said mainstream debate surrounding last year's federal election was reasonably free of antisemitism *"despite efforts by anti-Jewish groups and individuals"*.

The same applied to communal reactions to the extradition request by Hungary for alleged Nazi war criminal Charles

Zentai, the Federal Court contempt hearing under the Racial Hatred Act concerning Fredrick Toben and Parliament's motion congratulating Israel on its 60th anniversary, Reports of threats conveyed to the Jewish community by phone and mail were less than a third of the average over the previous 18 years.

Phone calls, which often contained extreme anti-Semitic abuse, were at the lowest rate in 19 years and hate mail was at the third lowest rate in 19 years.

"But abusive and threatening mail continued to be received at private homes and by Jewish institutions."

Jones said that during the review period, graffiti incidents were the second lowest in eight years and at 80 per cent of the average for all years.

On interfaith relations, he said the Jewish and Islamic communities in Australia *"enjoy a generally positive relationship and there is little evidence that anti-Jewish sentiment is widespread. At the public, leadership level, Muslim and Jewish Australians regularly meet, and promote understanding and tolerance"*.

Jones said otherwise excellent relations between Australian Jews and Christians were sometimes marred by "derogatory references to the Jewish religion" from some church figures.

"There was a concern expressed by some members of the Jewish community and others sympathetic to it, that the staging of a re-enactment of the Stations of the Cross, during the Papal visit to Sydney, would reinforce or encourage anti-Jewish stereotypes and prejudice, but there were efforts made by the Catholic Church and the Jewish community to minimise this potential harm, apparently successfully."

<http://antisemitism.org.il/article/26890/antisemitic-incidents-almost-double-average>

