

## [Decalogue of Inconvenient Facts about the Holocaust \(so-called\)](#)

I In 1919, commiserating, Martin Glynn, Governor of the State of New York publicized in North America the "holocaust of six million Jews and eight hundred thousand Jewish children" caused by "the tyranny of war and lust for Jewish blood" in Poland and Ukraine, while *The New York Times* and other Jewish media reported that Jews were burned alive by the thousands in synagogues in Ukraine [while Jews and synagogues survived the war intact]. (Click on image at right to enlarge, or [here](#) to read the text). Thus, Roosevelt, Churchill, Eisenhower and Pius XII cognizant of this soon-forgotten-fiction, remained silent about the second, this time capitalized with "H", the six-million-victim Holocaust of WW II, a.k.a. Hubrn in Yiddish and Shoah in Hebrew.



II American, and German high resolution WW II [aerial reconnaissance photos](#) of the Nazi "Death Camps and massacre sites" reveal mass graves about one hundred to one thousand times smaller than claimed by survivors, authorities and/or Holocaust historians. For example, at the Babi Yar ravine in Kyiv (Kiev), Ukraine, the mass graves could contain at most 2,400 corpses (instead of 250,000), while at the [Treblinka II](#) camp near Warsaw, Poland, about 4,000 (instead of 900,000 to 3,500,000). Detailed, 1946 forensic archeology of [Treblinka II](#) ("for the Jews") disclosed various coins, including a dozen of American pennies, but failed to discover even one, of the expected 50,000 to 100,000, execution bullets.

III After the bullets (about 2,500,000 victims), [Diesel exhaust](#) is claimed to be the second killing agent of the WW II Holocaust (well over 2,000,000 victims), but it did not hurt 450 American children at a train, stuck for 90 minutes in the railroad tunnel under the US Capitol building, while US Environmental Protection Agency and forensic pathologists world-wide determined [Diesel exhaust as non-toxic](#), facts publicized by Patrick Buchanan in 1993 as "[\[Treblinka\] mass murder weapon that can't kill.](#)"

IV Zyklon B, common fumigant, used worldwide, left thick layer of blue ferrocyanides deposits on the walls of the delousing chambers at [Auschwitz](#), but almost none in the plaster and bricks of the alleged homicidal gas chambers. This refutes gassing of about 500,000 Jews in the two partially extant morgues of the Auschwitz-Birkenau crematories.

V Liberation footage of the German concentration camps taken by the Allies shows 98% of inmates in [perfect shape of nutrition](#) (some

obese) including Jewish orphans and plump Jewish babies. **Thus, the iconic plowing of emaciated corpses (not one showing starvation edema) into a mass grave at the Bergen Belsen camp by the British bulldozer, reflects mortality caused by English medical students treating victims of camp's typhus and dysentery with powdered milk, instead of with sugar cubes, grains of salt and boiled [decontaminated] water, as done by astute Nazi doctors.**

VI Liberation Day photos of Dachau taken by the US Signal Corps show hundreds of relaxed inmates, milling around and **ignoring** 3,000 loafs of military bread delivered by American lorry, but agile and clamoring



for American cigarettes dispensed by US Army officers. **The Liberation Day photos and film footage prove that there was no starvation at the Nazi concentration camps, and that the low level of extreme emaciation from the diarrheal dehydration was caused by the premeditated bombing of the German power stations and especially water filtration plants.** This contrasts with photos of starvation swellings and massive death rate from incredible overcrowding and lack of sanitation at the Union-run POW camps for Confederate soldiers in America (1863-65) [or Eisenhower's Death Camps "after" World War II (1945-1953)]. Click image above for details].

VII As late as in 1956 edition of the *Encyclopedia Britannica*, the venerable Rabbi Jacob Marcus, founder of the American Jewish Historical Society and the Dean of the Hebrew Union College, wrote that most of the European Jews were deported by the Nazis in inhuman conditions to work in the East, where many died in the process. However cognizant of the WW I "holocaust" propaganda, Rabbi Marcus ignored the genocidal claims of the Nuremberg International Military Tribunals of 1945-48.

VIII To forestall the mayhem of the Maquis Terror of the Franco-Prussian (1871) and of subsequent wars, the Articles of The Hague (1905) and Geneva (1921) Conventions on the conduct of war, authorized wartime summary executions of ununiformed civilians, caught using or carrying arms, along with scores of civilian "hostages" who, presumably, assisted the resistance fighters. Hence, the heart rending German film footage and photos show such publicly hung and/or shot offenders (including German youths shot [executed] by American MPs in vanquished Germany). Because these Articles were annulled by the Geneva Convention in 1949, thus American military allowed the South Koreans to machine gun their captured enemies by tens of thousands, including civilians and more recently the American government resorted to torture of 'terrorists' as in the photos from the Abu Ghraib prison, Guantanamo Bay and fly them for secret 'rendition' in third countries.

IX In 1979, Howard Stein, a Jewish-American Psychology Professor at the University of Oklahoma described Judaic "Group Fantasies of Martyrdom and Omnipotence" as a method of "Survival through Persecution." In 1991, the most recognized historian of the WW II Holocaust, Prof. Raul Hilberg, publicly dismissed the eyewitness testimony and declared to the *Cleveland Jewish News*: "I am the document man", while an illustrated essay in January 1, 1994 *New York Times* acknowledged the Holocaust Survivor Syndrome as the cause of false memories of WW II survivors. By 2009, [at least half a dozen](#) of WW II Holocaust memoirs writers were exposed as [hoaxters](#). Thus, by early 2009, there were over 5,000 postings on the Internet, critical of the near-religious beliefs in Holocaustianity and at least half a dozen highly publicized memoirs of survivors were exposed by the authorities as [Holocaust hoaxes](#).

X In 2003, Israeli demographers found that 58 years after the WW II, there were "1,092,000 needy survivors worldwide" awaiting distribution of "\$13.2 million annually in humanitarian funds," inferring, that at least two million of Jewish teenagers, children and babies, unfit for forced labor and thus allegedly singled out for immediate liquidation, survived the Holocaust. Assuming the average 70-year lifespan, actuarial tables indicate that 1,100,000 survivors were alive in 2003, had to be part of a cohort of over 15,192,089 Jews alive in 1945, whom Nazis never tried to exterminate. Thus, paradoxically, contemporary Israeli social workers and demographers became the authoritative Holocaust Deniers.

**Note:** All ten points are supported by non-revisionist sources.

Two new studies to determine the location of Jewish Holocaust survivors, for use in making future allocations to the most needy, differ widely on the number of survivors worldwide, *The Jewish Week* has learned. Sergio DellaPergola, a demographer for the Institute of Contemporary Jewry at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, found 1,092,000 survivors worldwide. Jacob Ukeles, a policy researcher in Manhattan, found 688,000. The Ukeles survey, an update of one he prepared in 2000 for the Conference on Jewish Material Claims Against Germany, found only minor differences from his previous effort. Lawrence Eagleburger, chairman of the International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, commissioned both surveys. Eagleburger had hoped to use the figures in determining how to distribute US\$13.2 million annually in humanitarian funds for needy survivors worldwide (*The Jewish Week* 11-28-2003).

One of the best arguments for the truth of the h-thesis is the question: "Where have all the Jews gone, if they have not been killed?"

Well, obviously they must have been killed, at least they disappeared. However, they did not disappear in 1941 or 1943, or, 1944, or, 1945. Some 4-5 million Jews disappeared between 1950 and 1955!

This defines an until now undescribed holocaust. If it has been executed in Auschwitz or any other former camp in then Poland, it must be the Soviets or the Poles who are the guilty perpetrators. The basis of this discovery is the estimate of the Jewish world population by the *World Almanac*, published by the "American Jewish Committee, USA".

From 1933 until 1949, each single volume of the yearly edition invariably estimates 15,000,000 to 18,600,000 Jewish persons worldwide. But in 1950 all of a sudden 4 million disappear, to reappear in 1951 and again disappear in 1955. Jewish figures include all Jews whether members of a synagogue or not.

<b>Jewish Authority</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>World Jewish Population</b>
The National Council of Churches, USA	1930	15,000,000
Jewish Encyclopedia, USA	1933	15,600,000
Daily Express (Jewish newspaper) March 24	1933	14,000,000
World Almanac, page 419, American Jewish Committee	1933	15,316,359
World Almanac, page 748	1936	15,753,633
American Jewish Committee Bureau of the Synagogue Council	1939	15,600,000
World Almanac of the American Jewish Committee, USA	1939	15,600,000
World Almanac, page 129	1940	15,319,359
World Almanac, page 510	1941	15,748,091
World Almanac, (Jews include Jews by race not religion)	1942	15,192,089
World Almanac of American Jewish Committee, USA	1945	15,192,089
World Almanac of American Jewish Committee, USA	1946	15,753,638
World Almanac of American Jewish Committee, USA	1947	15,698,259
World Almanac USA, page 748	1947	15,690,000
World Almanac of American Jewish Committee, USA	1948	15,500,000
The New York Times, USA (Jewish newspaper)	1948	15,700,000 -18,600,000
World Almanac, page 289	1949	15,713,638
World Almanac of American Jewish Committee, USA	1950	11,500,000
Statistical Handbook of Council of Churches USA	1951	15,300,000
The National Council of Churches, USA	1951	15,500,000
Encyclopedia Britannica 1955 Book of the Year	1955	11,627,450

1997 World Almanac	1996	14,117,000
1999 World Almanac	1998	14,890,000
2005 World Almanac	2004	14,551,000

### **There is clearly no shortage of holocaust survivors**

I've checked out Churchill's Second World War and the statement is quite correct—not a single mention of Nazi "gas chambers," a "genocide" of the Jews, or of "six million" Jewish victims of the war.

This is astonishing. How can it be explained?

Eisenhower's Crusade in Europe is a book of 559 pages; the six volumes of Churchill's Second World War total 4,448 pages; and de Gaulle's three-volume Mémoires de guerre is 2,054 pages.

In this mass of writing, which altogether totals 7,061 pages (not including the introductory parts), published from 1948 to 1959, one will find no mention either of Nazi "gas chambers," a "genocide" of the Jews, or of "six million" Jewish victims of the war ([Richard Lynn](#), Professor Emeritus, University of Ulster).

"To put it bluntly, Pope Pius XII did not believe then or at any later time that a program of extermination of Jews ever existed. In summary, if 20,000 or more people a day were being killed at Auschwitz, Pius XII, with his contacts there, would have known about it. In other words, if, in addition to the Jewish ordeal of World War II the Holocaust actually happened and he said nothing about it then he deserves our condemnation. However, if the reverse is true, that is, that there was no Holocaust, as the Allied aerial photography clearly indicates, then it is the Holocaust Fundamentalist accusers of the Beloved Pontiff who deserve our scorn." [globalfire.tv](#)

What proof exists that the Nazis killed six million Jews?

None. All we have is postwar testimony, mostly of individual "survivors." This testimony is contradictory, and very few claim to have actually witnessed any "gassing." There are no contemporaneous documents or hard evidence: no mounds of ashes, no crematories capable of disposing of millions of corpses, no "human soap," no lamp shades made of human skin, and no credible demographic statistics.

What evidence exists that six million Jews were *not* killed by the Nazis?

Extensive forensic, demographic, analytical and comparative evidence demonstrates the impossibility of such a figure. The widely repeated "six million" figure is an irresponsible exaggeration.

Did Simon Wiesenthal state in writing that "there were no extermination camps on German soil"?

Yes. The famous "Nazi hunter" wrote this in *Stars and Stripes*,

January 24, 1993. He also claimed that "gassings" of Jews took place only in Poland.

If Dachau was in Germany, and even Wiesenthal says that it was not an extermination camp, why do many American veterans say it was an extermination camp?

After the Allies captured Dachau, many GIs and others were led through the camp and shown a room alleged to have been a "gas chamber." The mass media widely, but falsely, continues to assert that Dachau was a "gassing" camp.

What about Auschwitz? Is there any proof that gas chambers were used to kill people there?

No. Auschwitz, captured by the Soviets, was modified *after the war*, and a room was reconstructed to look like a large "gas chamber." After America's leading expert on gas chamber construction and design, Fred Leuchter, examined this and other alleged Auschwitz gassing facilities, he stated that it was an "absurdity" to claim that they were, or could have been, used for mass executions.

If Auschwitz wasn't a "death camp," what was its true purpose?

It was an internment center and part of a large-scale manufacturing complex. Synthetic fuel was produced there, and its inmates were used as a workforce.

Who set up the first concentration camps?

During the Boer War (1899-1902), the British set up what they called "concentration camps" in South Africa to hold Afrikaner women and children. Approximately 30,000 died in these hell-holes, which were as terrible as German concentration camps of World War II.

How did German concentration camps differ from American "relocation" camps in which Japanese-Americans were interned during WWII?

One significant difference was that whereas the Germans interned persons on the basis of being real or suspected security threats to the war effort, the Roosevelt administration interned persons on the basis of ethnicity alone.

Why did the German government intern Jews in camps?

It considered Jews a threat to national security. (Jews were overwhelmingly represented in Communist subversion.) However, all suspected security risks—not just Jews—were in danger of internment.

What hostile measure did world Jewry undertake against Germany as early as 1933?



In March 1933,  
international Jewish





organizations declared an international boycott of German goods.

Did the Jews "declare war" on Germany?

Yes. Newspapers around the world reported this. A front-page headline in

the *London Daily Express* (March 24, 1933), for example, announced "Judea Declares War on Germany".

Was this before or after the "death camp" stories began?

This was years before the "death camp" stories, which began in 1941-1942.

What nation was first to practice mass civilian bombing?

Britain—on May 11, 1940.

How many "gas chambers" to kill people were there at Auschwitz?

None.

How many Jews were living in the areas that came under German control during the war?

Fewer than six million.

If the Jews of Europe were not exterminated by the Nazis, what happened to them?

After the war millions of Jews were still living in Europe, and 2,000 were still living normal lives in Hamburg. Hundreds of thousands (perhaps as many as one and a half million) had died of all causes during the war. Others had emigrated to Palestine, the United States, and other countries. Still more Jews left Europe after the war.

How many Jews fled or were evacuated to deep within the Soviet Union?

More than two million fled or were evacuated by the Soviets in 1941-1942, and thus never came under German control.

How many Jews emigrated from Europe prior to the war, thus putting them outside of German reach?

Perhaps a million (not including those absorbed by the USSR).

If Auschwitz was not an extermination camp, why did the commandant, Rudolf Höss, confess that it was?

He was tortured by British military police, as one of his interrogators later admitted.

Is there any evidence of American, British and Soviet policy to torture German prisoners to exact "confessions" for use at the trials at Nuremberg and elsewhere?

Yes. Torture was used to produce fraudulent "evidence" for the infamous Nuremberg trials, and in other postwar "war crimes" trials.

How does the Holocaust story benefit Jews today?

It helps protect Jews as a group from criticism. As a kind of secular religion, it provides an emotional bond between Jews and their leaders. It is a powerful tool in Jewish money-raising campaigns, and is used to justify US aid to Israel.

How does it benefit the State of Israel?

It justifies the billions of dollars in "reparations" Germany has paid to Israel and many individual "survivors." It is used by the Zionist/Israeli lobby to dictate a pro-Israel American foreign policy in the Middle East, and to force American taxpayer aid to Israel, totaling billions of dollars per year.

How is it used by many Christian clergymen?

The Holocaust story is cited to justify the Old Testament notion of Jews as a holy and eternally persecuted "Chosen People".

How did it benefit the Communists?

It diverted attention from Soviet war mongering and atrocities before, during and after the Second World War.

How does it benefit Britain?

In much the same way it benefited the Soviet Union.

Is there any evidence that Hitler ordered the extermination of Europe's Jews?

No.

What kind of gas was used in German wartime concentration camps?

Hydrocyanic gas from "Zyklon B," a commercial pesticide that was widely used throughout Europe.

For what purpose was "Zyklon B" manufactured?

It was a pesticide used to fumigate clothing and buildings to kill typhus-bearing lice and other pests.

Was this product suitable for mass extermination?

No. If the Nazis had intended to use poison gas to exterminate people, far more efficient products were available. Zyklon is a



slow-acting fumigation agent.

How long does it take to ventilate an area after fumigation with Zyklon B?

Normally about 20 hours. The whole procedure is very complicated and dangerous. Gas masks must be used, and only trained personnel are employed.

Auschwitz commandant Höss said that men would enter the "gas chambers" to remove bodies ten minutes after the victims had died. How do you explain this?

It can't be explained because had they done so they would have suffered the same fate as the "gassing" victims.

Höss said in his "confession" that men would smoke cigarettes as they pulled bodies out of gas chambers, ten minutes after gassing. Isn't hydrocyanic gas explosive?

Yes. The Höss confession is obviously false.

What was the exact procedure the Nazis allegedly used to exterminate Jews?

The stories range from dropping gas canisters into a crowded room from holes in the ceiling, to piping gas through shower heads, to "steam chambers," to "electrocution" machinery. Millions are alleged to have been killed in these ways.

How could a mass extermination program have been kept secret from those who were scheduled to be killed?

It couldn't have been kept secret. The extermination stories originated as wartime atrocity propaganda.

If Jews scheduled for execution knew the fate in store for them, why did they go along with the Germans without resisting?

They didn't fight back because they did not believe there was any intention to kill them.

About how many Jews died in the concentration camps?

The International Red Cross audit to January 16, 1984 records a total 282,077 registered deaths of all internees (of whom Jews were very much the minority) in all German Concentration Camps from all causes.

How did they die?

Mainly from recurring typhus epidemics that ravaged war-torn Europe during the war, as well as from starvation and lack of medical attention during the final months of the conflict, when virtually all road and rail transportation had been bombed out by the Allies.

What is typhus?

This disease always appears when many people are jammed together under unsanitary conditions. It is carried by lice that infest hair and clothes. Ironically, if the Germans had used more Zyklon B, more Jews might have survived the camps.

What is the difference if six million or 300,000 Jews died during the Second World War?

5,700,000.

Some Jewish "death camp" survivors say they saw bodies being dumped into pits and burned. How much fuel would have been required for this?

A great deal more than the Germans had access to, as there was a substantial fuel shortage during the war.

Can bodies be burned in pits?

No. It is impossible for human bodies to be totally consumed by flames in this manner because of lack of oxygen.

Holocaust historians claim that the Nazis were able to cremate bodies in about ten minutes. How long does it take to incinerate one body, according to professional crematory operators?

About an hour and a half, although the larger bones still remain intact.

Why did the German concentration camps have crematory ovens?

To dispose efficiently and sanitarily of the corpses of those who had died.

Given a 100-percent duty cycle of all the crematories in all the camps in German-controlled territory, what is the maximum number of corpses it would have been possible to incinerate during the entire period such crematories were in operation?

About 430,600.

Can a crematory oven be operated 100 percent of the time?

No. Fifty percent of the time is a generous estimate (12 hours per day). Crematory ovens have to be cleaned thoroughly and regularly when in heavy operation.

How much ash is left from a cremated corpse?

After the bone is all ground down, about a shoe box full.

If six million people had been incinerated by the Nazis, what happened to the ashes?

That remains to be "explained." Six million bodies would have

produced many tons of ashes, yet there is no evidence of any large ash depositories.

Do Allied wartime aerial reconnaissance photos of Auschwitz (taken during the period when the "gas chambers" and crematoria were supposedly in full operation) show evidence of extermination?

No. In fact, these photographs do not reveal even a trace of the enormous amount of smoke that supposedly was constantly over the camp, nor do they show evidence of the "open pits" in which bodies were allegedly burned.

What was the main provision of the German "Nuremberg Laws" of 1935?

They forbid marriage and sexual relations between Germans and Jews, similar to laws existing in Israel today.

Were there any American precedents for the Nuremberg Laws?

Years before Hitler's Third Reich, most states in the USA had enacted laws prohibiting marriage between persons of different races.

What did the International Red Cross report with regard to the "Holocaust" question?

An official report on the visit of an IRC delegation to Auschwitz in September 1944 pointed out that internees were permitted to receive packages, and that rumors of gas chambers could not be verified.

What was the role of the Vatican during the time six million Jews were allegedly being exterminated?

If there had been an extermination program, the Vatican would most certainly have been in a position to know about it. But because there was none, the Vatican had no reason to speak out against it, and didn't.

What evidence is there that Hitler knew of an on-going Jewish extermination program?

None.

Did the Nazis and the Zionists collaborate?

As early as 1933, Hitler's government signed an agreement with the Zionists permitting Jews to emigrate from Germany to Palestine, taking large amounts of capital with them.

How did Anne Frank die?

After surviving internment in Auschwitz, she succumbed to typhus in the Bergen-Belsen camp, just a few weeks before the end of the war.

Is the Anne Frank Diary genuine?

Evidence compiled by Dr. Robert Faurisson of France establishes that the famous diary is a literary hoax.

What about the familiar photographs and film footage taken in the liberated German camps showing piles of emaciated corpses? Are these faked?

Photographs can be faked, but it's far easier merely to add a misleading caption to a photo or commentary to a piece of footage. Piles of emaciated corpses do not mean that these people were "gassed" or deliberately starved to death. Actually, these were tragic victims of raging epidemics or of starvation due to a lack of food in the camps toward the end of the war.

Who originated the term "genocide"?

Raphael Lemkin, a Polish Jew, in a book published in 1944.

Are films such as *"Schindler's List"* or *"The Winds of War"* documentaries?

No. Such films are fictional dramatizations loosely based on history. Unfortunately, all too many people accept them as accurate historical representations. Oskar Schindler's wife repeatedly stated in the media that the movie *"Schindler's List"* was untruthful.

How many books have been published that refute aspects of the standard "Holocaust" story?

Dozens. More are in production.

What happened when the Institute for Historical Review offered \$50,000 to anyone who could prove that Jews were gassed at Auschwitz?

No proof was submitted as a claim on the reward, but the Institute was sued for \$17 million by former Auschwitz inmate Mel Mermelstein, who claimed that the reward offer caused him to lose sleep and his business to suffer, and represented "injurious denial of established fact."

What about the charge that those who question the Holocaust story are merely anti-Semitic or neo-Nazi?

This is a smear designed to draw attention away from facts and honest arguments. Scholars who refute the Holocaust story claims are of all persuasions and ethnic-religious backgrounds (including Jewish). There is no correlation between "Holocaust" refutation and anti-Semitism or neo-Nazism. A number of Jewish scholars openly admit the lack of evidence for key Holocaust claims.

What has happened to "revisionist" historians who have challenged the Holocaust story?

They have been subjected to smear campaigns, loss of academic

positions, loss of pensions, destruction of their property and physical violence.

Has the Institute for Historical Review suffered as a result of its efforts to uphold the right of freedom of speech and academic freedom?

The IHR had been bombed three times, and was completely destroyed on July 4, 1984, in a criminal arson attack. Numerous death threats by telephone have been received. Media coverage of the IHR has been overwhelmingly hostile.

Why is there so little publicity for the revisionist view?

Because for political reasons the Establishment does not want any critical discussion about the facts surrounding the Holocaust story.

Where can I get more information about the "other side" of the Holocaust story, as well as facts concerning other aspects of World War II historical revisionism?

The [Institute for Historical Review](#), PO Box 2739, Newport Beach, CA 92659, USA, carries a wide variety of books, cassette and video tapes on significant historical subjects.

### **Where are the Six Million Birth Certificates?**

The Second World War began in September of 1939 and drew to an end in May of 1945. In 1939, according to the records of the American Jewish Committee, bureau of synagogue council, there were 15.6 million Jews in the world.

**World Wide Jewish Population Data: 1800 - 1997**



In 1946, on the authority of: (1) the World Almanac and Book of Facts (1947 edition, page: 488), (2) the American Jewish Committee, Bureau of Synagogue Council, (3) the Jewish Statistical Bureau, and, (4) the Synagogue Council of America, the world Jewish population grew to 15.69 million.

With 9.4 million Jews living in Europe, 5 million in North America, 100,000 in South America, and 1.3 million in the rest of the world. (In marked contrast to the Jews—out of a population of 78 million, Germany suffered 3.5 million soldiers killed or missing, 4.6 million wounded, and, 2 million civilian deaths from 1939 to 1945.  $5.5 / 78 / 5 = 1.41\%$  killed per year of the war).

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels in reference to the Weimar Republic).

If, indeed, six million European Jews really died in a 'holocaust' (sic). The 3.4 million European Jews who survived (actually just 1.7 million females) would have to have given birth to 6.0 million children (3.5 children per Jewish female) in the 20 month period beginning in May, 1945 and extending on up to December, 1946. How does a woman get pregnant and deliver a baby three and a half times in twenty months? How could 1.7 million Jewish females all be of child bearing age? Where are the six million birth certificates? Note: The Jew will argue that census data is too gross to detect the systematic killing of one third of their population. Yet the graph shows an obvious dip in the years 1947-1950. The period during which Jews left Europe for Israel. How could both secular and Jewish

census figures conceal an act of genocide and at the same time reveal a period of diaspora? Duh?

### Reality Testing the Big Lie

Suppose, for the sake of argument, six million northern European Jews (two thirds of the total nine million proven by census reports) were killed by the Nazi's during the years from 1939 on up to 1945. Each one of these Jews would have to have had three birth certificates. One copy given to the family, a second kept in the hospital, and a third sent to the government headquarters of the province. Moreover, entries made in indelible ink in log books which were held safe from prying hands in the birth hospital and government records lockers in perpetuity.


Where are these records? If the Nazi's seized eighteen million birth certificates before they executed six million Jews. There would still be entries in the chronologic logs maintained in hospital and government records rooms. Where are the twelve million log book entries for these six million Jews, each entry not covered by a legitimate death certificate?

Now of course, the Jew will rub his hands and drool and lisp and lie that the records were all destroyed in the allied bombing raids. But if the Jews lost all their birth certificates in the air campaign. Then the Christians born in the same hospitals would have lost their birth certificates in the same bombing raids. And as Jews were outnumbered by Christians in Germany during the war years in a ratio of not less than twenty to one. The Jews would be able to produce one hundred and twenty million Germans, each German without a birth certificate. Where are the one hundred and twenty million Germans, each German lacking a legitimate birth certificate?


Did the allied bombers only drop bombs on Jewish hospitals? Did the bombs land on Christian hospitals but only destroy the Jewish birth certificates in the records rooms?

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

### Where are the Buried Remains of the Victims?







This examination of the grounds of Treblinka and Belzec to a depth of 60ft. with ground penetrating radar proves beyond a shadow of doubt that no Jews in those communities were victims of genocide during the Second World War. While it is true that Germany set up detention centers for enemies of the state, criminal, communist or homosexual, as America did for the Japanese. Only ten percent of internees were Jews. Loyal Jewish citizens continued civilian life or served their nation. In fact Jews went to the camps willingly to escape the allied bombing, raining terror to slaughter millions of civilians. Furthermore, they relocated in an effort to find paid employment. Auschwitz, offered jobs to thousands in the nearby synthetic rubber plant manufacturing tyres.

The BIG LIES of the Jew's Weimar Republic, which took over Germany after betrayal by the Allies following the Armistace, were denounced and exposed by Hitler in Mein Kampf and his Jewish propaganda minister, Joseph Goebbels, who said with reference to the Jew's Weimar Republic, "If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it." This practice continues to be employed by the same 'hidden hand' that rules the (once) Christian West.

### Where were the **RED** Holocaust-Corpses from CO and Cyanide!

Maritime Medicine:

**"Fig. 10.9.2: Bright red lividity on the dorsal side of the body as the expression of lethal carbon monoxide poisoning. Livor mortis is bright red in cases of carbon monoxide or hydrocyanide poisoning (or in cases where the corpse is kept in cold storage). Carbon monoxide is a colourless and odourless gas that arises, for example, from incomplete fuel combustion. Thus it is always necessary—primarily for self-protection—to consider or**

**exclude carbon monoxide poisoning, particularly when bodies with bright red lividity are found in engine rooms, after engine room fires (automatic carbon dioxide fire extinguishing system!) or in boiler houses."**

Note the total absence of any mention of the corpses having to age for as long as three hours before the red color appears as Roberto Muehlenkamp has insisted for years.

Many large piles of corpses have been shown



repeatedly since the war to falsely condemn Germany, but it has NOT even been claimed once that any of the corpses were RED in color. So what about all those "eyewitnesses" to alleged mass gassings of millions of Jews with carbon monoxide (from diesel or gasoline engines) in the Reinhardt camps (Treblinka, Belzec and Sobibor)? Wouldn't those "eyewitnesses" have noticed that spectacular coloring? Of course, they would have been stunned by that coloring. They would have certainly remembered it, even many years later, for any of countless anti-Nazi war crimes trials if they were not simply lying their heads off during those trials. (Image from the Textbook of Maritime Medicine).

It seems so unlikely that these "eyewitnesses" would have simply been given a brief peek-a boo at the red corpses shortly after death in the gas chambers and then shunted far away from the dead bodies. The "eyewitnesses" spent a great deal of time at those camps according to their own accounts. So where is testimony like: "the naked corpses were, or turned, cherry-pink after being piled up for burning" or "the naked RED corpses could be seen for miles in spite of the camouflage around the camp?" The fact is there never was any testimony even remotely like that in any of the trials or accounts by self-described "eyewitnesses." Clearly, the "eyewitnesses" lied bigtime!

A most important essay showing the nearly perfect correlation between intense RED coloring in corpses and fatal carbon monoxide poisoning is originally from Austria based on the examinations of 182 corpses of people who died from CO. Clearly, nearly all of the evidence about the supposed deaths of more than 2 million Jews in the Reinhardt camps is absolute rubbish. All of the "witnesses", from Kurt GERSTEIN on, lied about the most obvious kind of evidence for such claims! The "holocaust" really is a monumental Anglo-Jewish HOAX of epic proportions! (Friedrich Paul Berg, [Nazi Gassings Never Happened](#))!

## **Where Are The Cyanide Residues?**

A sad fact about Jews is that not only are they burdened down by weak minds, the average I.Q. in Israel is just 90 points, 10 points less than in the United States. But that all the genetic markers for mental illness discovered to date are original to and epidemic within the Jewish community.

*Hebephrenic Schizophrenia*, a condition in which the affected often 'forget' to bathe and surround them selves with ceiling high stacks of newspapers, and cardboard boxes filled with junk, is a common disorder among the jews. Poor hygiene as a character trait is the reason Jews were required to have their clothes deloused in giant room sized chambers. Zyklon B was a fumigant, a delousing agent, and a precursor to DDT. It was never used in any manner calculated to bring harm to the Jews.

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

## **Where are the Millions of Lamp Shades?**

From the very first moment of the Second World War, Jews all over the world flourished lamp shades made out of skin. The flesh crudely tattooed with a six pointed star and a number. In a raucous chorus they proclaimed the lamp shades had been made by the brutal Nazi's out of the skin of Jews. But now that we have DNA tests, able to tell the difference between the flesh of a pig and the flesh of a Jew. Each and every one of those lamp shades disappeared overnight. Where did the millions of lamp shades go?

## **What do the Official Red Cross Records Show?**

International Red Cross records make perfectly clear. The fact that only 271,301 Jews died in the thirteen detention centers built to house displaced persons over the years from 1939-1945. A mortality rate ( $271,301 / 9,400,000 / 5.0 \text{ yrs} = 0.58\%$  per year) completely consistent with natural causes of death such as: old age, typhus infections, syphilis, and so on and so forth. German death rate over the same period = 1.41%

## **A Factual Appraisal of the 'Holocaust' by The Red Cross:** **The Jews and the Concentration Camps: No Evidence of Genocide**

Beurkundete Sterbefälle von Häftlingen ehemaliger deutscher  
Konzentrationslager beim

Sonderstandesamt AROLSEN

	bis 31.12.1982	vom 01.01.1983 bis 31.12.1983	insgesamt bis 31.12.1983
Auschwitz	53 606	27	53 633
Bergen-Belsen	6 851	-	6 851
Buchenwald	20 671	-	20 671
Dachau	18 451	-	18 451
Flossenbürg	18 330	-	18 330
Groß Rosen	8 355	-	8 355
Lublin	7 245	426	7 671
Mauthausen	70 823	1	70 824
Mittelbau	7 463	-	7 463
Natzweiler	4 431	-	4 431
Neuengamme	5 705	-	5 705
Ravensbrück	2 120	2	2 120
Sachsenhausen	5 012	-	5 012
Stutthof	11 237	1 065	12 302
Theresienstadt	27 260	344	27 604
Verschiedene	4 643	-	4 643
	<u>280 212</u>	<u>1 865</u>	<u>282 077</u>

There is one survey of the Jewish question in Europe during World War Two and the conditions of Germany's

Zahl der Karteikarten in der Hauptkartei des  
Sonderstandesamtes über registrierte KL-Sterbefälle  
beim Sonderstandesamt und anderen Standesämtern  
zum 31.12.1983 373 468

(einschließlich Hinweisarten für  
- Todeserklärungen und Anfragen von Amtsgerichten  
betr. Todeserklärungen  
- verschiedene Schreibarten von Familiennamen usw.)

Die Beurkundungszahlen des Sonderstandesamtes lassen keine  
Rückschlüsse auf die tatsächliche Zahl der Toten in den  
Konzentrationslagern zu.

Arolsen, den 16.01.1984

Der Standesbeamte

*[Signature]*  
( Butterweck )



*Aufschlußreicher Bescheid von 1984.*

concentration camps which is almost unique in its honesty and objectivity, the three-volume Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross on its Activities during the Second World War, Geneva, 1948.

This comprehensive account from an entirely neutral source incorporated and expanded the findings of two previous works: Documents sur l'activité du CICR en faveur des civils détenus dans les camps de concentration en Allemagne 1939-1945 (Geneva, 1946), and Inter Arma Caritas: the Work of the ICRC during the Second World War (Geneva, 1947). The team of authors, headed by Frédéric Siordet, explained in the opening pages of the Report that their object, in the tradition of the Red Cross, had been strict political neutrality, and herein lies its great value.

The ICRC successfully applied the 1929 Geneva military convention in order to gain access to civilian internees held in Central and Western Europe by the Germany authorities. By contrast, the ICRC was unable to gain any access to the Soviet Union, which had failed

to ratify the Convention. The millions of civilian and military internees held in the USSR, whose conditions were known to be by far the worst, were completely cut off from any international contact or supervision.

The Red Cross Report is of value in that it first clarifies the legitimate circumstances under which Jews were detained in concentration camps, i.e. as enemy aliens. In describing the two categories of civilian internees, the Report distinguishes the second type as "Civilians deported on administrative grounds (in German, "Schutzhäftlinge"), who were arrested for political or racial motives because their presence was considered a danger to the State or the occupation forces" (Vol. 111, p. 73). These persons, it continues, "were placed on the same footing as persons arrested or imprisoned under common law for security reasons." (p. 74).

The Report admits that the Germans were at first reluctant to permit supervision by the Red Cross of people detained on grounds relating to security, but by the latter part of 1942, the ICRC obtained important concessions from Germany. They were permitted to distribute food parcels to major concentration camps in Germany from August 1942, and "from February 1943 onwards this concession was extended to all other camps and prisons" (Vol. 111, p. 78). The ICRC soon established contact with camp commandants and launched a food relief programme which continued to function until the last months of 1945, letters of thanks for which came pouring in from Jewish internees.

### **Red Cross Recipients were Jews**

The Report states that "As many as 9,000 parcels were packed daily. From the autumn of 1943 until May 1945, about 1,112,000 parcels with a total weight of 4,500 tons were sent off to the concentration camps" (Vol. III, p. 80). In addition to food, these contained clothing and pharmaceutical supplies. "Parcels were sent to Dachau, Buchenwald, Sangerhausen, Sachsenhausen, Oranienburg, Flossenburg, Landsberg-am-Lech, Flöha, Ravensbrück, Hamburg-Neuengamme, Mauthausen, Theresienstadt, Auschwitz, Bergen-Belsen, to camps near Vienna and in Central and Southern Germany. The principal recipients were Belgians, Dutch, French, Greeks, Italians, Norwegians, Poles and stateless Jews" (Vol. III, p. 83).

In the course of the war, "The Committee was in a position to transfer and distribute in the form of relief supplies over twenty million Swiss francs collected by Jewish welfare organisations throughout the world, in particular by the American Joint Distribution Committee of New York" (Vol. I, p. 644). This latter organisation was permitted by the German Government to maintain offices in Berlin until the American entry into the war. The ICRC complained that obstruction of their vast relief operation for Jewish internees came not from the Germans but from the tight Allied blockade of Europe. Most of their purchases of relief food were made in Rumania, Hungary and Slovakia.

### **The ICRC had special praise for the liberal conditions which**

**prevailed at Theresienstadt up to the time of their last visits there in April 1945. This camp, "where there were about 40,000 Jews deported from various countries was a relatively privileged ghetto" (Vol. III, p. 75). According to the Report, "The Committee's delegates were able to visit the camp at Theresienstadt (Terezin) which was used exclusively for Jews and was governed by special conditions. From information gathered by the Committee, this camp had been started as an experiment by certain leaders of the Reich . . . These men wished to give the Jews the means of setting up a communal life in a town under their own administration and possessing almost complete autonomy. . . two delegates were able to visit the camp on April 6th, 1945. They confirmed the favourable impression gained on the first visit" (Vol. I, p. 642).**

The ICRC also had praise for the regime of Ion Antonescu of Fascist Rumania where the Committee was able to extend special relief to 183,000 Rumanian Jews until the time of the Soviet occupation. The aid then ceased, and the ICRC complained bitterly that it never succeeded "in sending anything whatsoever to Russia" (Vol. II, p. 62). The same situation applied to many of the German camps after their "liberation" by the Russians. The ICRC received a voluminous flow of mail from Auschwitz until the period of the Soviet occupation, when many of the internees were evacuated westward. But the efforts of the Red Cross to send relief to internees remaining at Auschwitz under Soviet control were futile. However, food parcels continued to be sent to former Auschwitz inmates transferred west to such camps as Buchenwald and Oranienburg.

### **No Evidence of Genocide**

One of the most important aspects of the Red Cross Report is that it clarifies the true cause of those deaths that undoubtedly occurred in the camps toward the end of the war. Says the Report: "In the chaotic condition of Germany after the invasion during the final months of the war, the camps received no food supplies at all and starvation claimed an increasing number of victims. Itself alarmed by this situation, the German Government at last informed the ICRC on February 1st, 1945 . . . In March 1945, discussions between the President of the ICRC and General of the S.S. Kaltenbrunner gave even more decisive results. Relief could henceforth be distributed by the ICRC, and one delegate was authorised to stay in each camp ..." (Vol. III, p. 83).

Clearly, the German authorities were at pains to relieve the dire situation as far as they were able. The Red Cross are quite explicit in stating that food supplies ceased at this time due to the Allied bombing of German transportation, and in the interests of interned Jews they had protested on March 15th, 1944 against "the barbarous aerial warfare of the Allies" (Inter Arma Caritas, p. 78). By October 2nd, 1944, the ICRC warned the German Foreign Office of the impending collapse of the German transportation system, declaring that starvation conditions for people throughout Germany were becoming inevitable.

In dealing with this comprehensive, three-volume Report, it is important to stress that the delegates of the International Red Cross found no evidence whatever at the camps in Axis occupied Europe of a deliberate policy to exterminate the Jews. In all its 1,600 pages the Report does not even mention such a thing as a gas chamber. It admits that Jews, like many other wartime nationalities, suffered rigours and privations, but its complete silence on the subject of planned extermination is ample refutation of the Six Million legend. Like the Vatican representatives with whom they worked, the Red Cross found itself unable to indulge in the irresponsible charges of genocide which had become the order of the day. So far as the genuine mortality rate is concerned, the Report points out that most of the Jewish doctors from the camps were being used to combat typhus on the eastern front, so that they were unavailable when the typhus epidemics of 1945 broke out in the camps (Vol. I, p. 204 ff). — Incidentally, it is frequently claimed that mass executions were carried out in gas chambers cunningly disguised as shower facilities. Again the Report makes nonsense of this allegation. "Not only the washing places, but installations for baths, showers and laundry were inspected by the delegates. They had often to take action to have fixtures made less primitive, and to get them repaired or enlarged" (Vol. III, p. 594).

**Not All Were Interned** Volume III of the Red Cross Report, Chapter 3 (I. Jewish Civilian Population) deals with the "aid given to the Jewish section of the free population," and this chapter makes it quite plain that by no means all of the European Jews were placed in internment camps, but remained, subject to certain restrictions, as part of the free civilian population. This conflicts directly with the "thoroughness" of the supposed "extermination programme", and with the claim in the forged Höss memoirs that Eichmann was obsessed with seizing "every single Jew he could lay his hands on."

In Slovakia, for example, where Eichmann's assistant Dieter Wisliceny was in charge, the Report states that "A large proportion of the Jewish minority had permission to stay in the country, and at certain periods Slovakia was looked upon as a comparative haven of refuge for Jews, especially for those coming from Poland. Those who remained in Slovakia seem to have been in comparative safety until the end of August 1944, when a rising against the German forces took place. While it is true that the law of May 15th, 1942 had brought about the internment of several thousand Jews, these people were held in camps where the conditions of food and lodging were tolerable, and where the internees were allowed to do paid work on terms almost equal to those of the free labour market" (Vol. I, p. 646).

Not only did large numbers of the three million or so European Jews avoid internment altogether, but the emigration of Jews continued throughout the war, generally by way of Hungary, Rumania and Turkey. Ironically, post-war Jewish emigration from German-occupied territories was also facilitated by the Reich, as in the case of the Polish Jews who had escaped to France before its occupation. "The Jews from Poland who, whilst in France, had obtained entrance permits to the United States were held to be American citizens by the German occupying authorities, who further agreed to recognize



the validity of about three thousand passports issued to Jews by the consulates of South American countries" (Vol. I, p. 645).

As future U.S. citizens, these Jews were held at the Vittel camp in southern France for American aliens. The emigration of European Jews from Hungary in particular proceeded during the war unhindered by the German authorities. "Until March 1944," says the Red Cross Report, "Jews who had the privilege of visas for Palestine were free to leave Hungary" (Vol. I, p. 648). Even after the replacement of the Horthy Government in 1944 (following its attempted armistice with the Soviet Union) with a government more dependent on German authority, the emigration of Jews continued.

The Committee secured the pledges of both Britain and the United States "to give support by every means to the emigration of Jews from Hungary," and from the U.S. Government the ICRC received a message stating that "The Government of the United States . . . now specifically repeats its assurance that arrangements will be made by it for the care of all Jews who in the present circumstances are allowed to leave" (Vol. I, p. 649).

Biedermann agreed that in the nineteen instances that "Did Six Million Really Die?" quoted from the Report of the International Committee of the Red Cross on its Activities during the Second World War and Inter Arma Caritas (this includes the above material), it did so accurately.

A quote from Charles Biedermann (a delegate of the International Committee of the Red Cross and Director of the Red Cross' International Tracing Service) under oath at the Zündel Trial (February 9, 10, 11 and 12, 1988).

The above is chapter nine from the book "Did Six Million Really Die?"

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

## **The Lachout Document, Memo Number: 31 / 48**

Allied Military Police HQ, Vienna 1.10.48, Memo Nr: 31 / 48

The Allied Committee of Inquiry has to date proven that no poison gas was used to kill prisoners at the following camps: Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, Dachau, Flossenbürg, Gross-Rosen, Mauthausen and satellite camps Natzweiler, Nevegame, Niederhagen (Wewelsburg), Ravensbrück, Sachsenhausen, Stutthof, Theresienstadt.

In all cases where gassings were alleged, it could be proven that torture had been used to extract confessions and witnesses have lied.

Any former inmate who, during their debriefing continues to allege that poisoning as was used to murder people (in particular Jews), are to be reported to this office and if they insist further, they are to be charged with perjury. Signed: Major Miller, Commanding Officer Allied Military Police, Vienna, Witnessed by: Lieutenant Lachout MP Honestmediatoday (Judea Declares War on Germany).

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

## **Gigantic Profit Margins in the Holocaust-Hoax Industry**

[FoxNews.com-25 August 2008](#)

71 year old Misha Defonseca admits her autobiography—'*Misha A Memoir of the Holocaust Years*' is a complete lie, from first page to last. Under oath, in a jury trial Ms. Defonseca says she—"never lived with wolves to escape the Nazi's, never killed a German soldier in self defense, and, never walked three thousand miles across Europe in search of her parents".

Defonseca's slanderous fairy tale, published in 1997, became a best seller in Europe. It was translated into eighteen languages, and made the subject of a feature length movie in France.

Not surprisingly, in the United States her tall tale drew the interest of both the Disney Film Company and Oprah Winfrey. Note: As a public relations strategy, Defonseca has herself photographed with dogs to bolster the fantasy she grew to love wolves after living with them in caves for so very many soul-tortured years.

In a statement released by her attorneys Defonseca explains her sly, devious, typically Jewish behavior, as follows—"This story is mine, it is not actually reality, but my reality, my way of surviving." In America Defonseca's sad story—her reality, her way of surviving—fooled a jury into awarding her 32.4 million dollars in a suit in which she alleged 'mismanagement' against her American publishing company. How many millions of euros did she bilk from the people of northern Europe with her hate provoking lies? Holocaust Reparations, Or Blackmail?

According to the Journal of Historical Review (November—December 1998, (Volume 17, Number 6, page: 19)) from 1951 on up to 1998 the German Government paid out over 61.8 billion dollars in 'holoco\$t' damage claims to Israel. What in the world for? The Jewish population was 15.6 million going into and 15.69 million coming out of the war. Yet at this very moment Jews are winning law suits against the German people on a totally false and slanderous allegation of—'genocide' (sic). Could it be there are too many Jewish lawyers and too many Jewish judges in Germany? (See [ihr.com](http://ihr.com)).

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

Under the Holocaust Insurance Accountability Act of 2007 the federal laws of the United States have been amended. That any Jew living anywhere in the world may bring suit against any insurance company in the United States. For a triple damages settlement on an allegation of an unpaid insurance policy or annuity. (The policy or annuity taken out at any time from 30 January 1933 on up to 31 December 1945). Why would a Jew living in Germany during the Second World War, buy an insurance policy from a company in the United States? How many Jews old enough to shop for insurance in the years from 1939 to 1945 are alive at the present moment?

Conservative estimates of the financial burden on the American people for this Jewish Ponzi scheme range from a low of seventeen on up to a high of two hundred billion dollars (H.R. 1746).

### **What is happening to Blue Eyes?**

"About half of Americans born at the turn of the 20th century had blue eyes, according to a 2002 Loyola University study in Chicago. By mid-century the number had dropped to a third. Today only about 1 of every 6 Americans has blue eyes, said Mark Grant, the epidemiologist who conducted the study" (The Boston Globe, 17 October 2006).

Are white people really conspiring against minorities? Or are minorities, led by the Jews, conspiring to exterminate white people?

[Baba Kamma113a](#): Jews may use lies ("subterfuge") to circumvent the Gentile.

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it" (Joseph Goebbels referring to the Weimar Republic).

### **It is Now Official – there is No Actual Shortage of Holocaust Survivors**

'The Israeli Prime Minister's office recently put the number of "living Holocaust survivors" at nearly a million' (extract from *The Holocaust Industry* by Norman G. Finkelstein of the City University of New York, published by Verso, London and New York, 2000, p.83).

### **Elie Wiesel vs Encyclopaedia Britannica**

Wiesel has been one of the most prominent spokesman for the large group of people known as Holocaust survivors. Wiesel has chaired the US Holocaust Memorial Council and has been the recipient of a Congressional Gold Medal and Nobel Peace Prize (Time Magazine, March 18 1985): 'How had he survived two of the most notorious killing fields [Auschwitz and Buchenwald] of the century? "I will never know" Wiesel says. "I was always weak. I never ate. The slightest wind would turn me over. In Buchenwald they sent 10,000 to their deaths every day. I was always in the last hundred near the gate. They stopped. Why?"

Compare this with *Encyclopaedia Britannica* (1993), under 'Buchenwald':

"In World War II it held about 20,000 prisoners. Although there were no gas chambers, hundreds perished monthly through disease, malnutrition, exhaustion, beatings and executions". (Now compare this with the [International Red Cross Report](#)).

. ###

### **"A Terrible Fraud": Wiesel Ignores Palestinians**

[Washington Report on Middle East Affairs](#) | January/February 1999

To the Jerusalem Post, Oct. 9, 1998 (as submitted).

(from Prof. Daniel McGowan, Professor of Economics at Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, NY)

In your Oct. 9 article on Elie Wiesel, the American icon of Holocaust survivors, he is paid a special tribute as a "speaker of truth." This is the same Elie Wiesel who is continually referred to by Noam Chomsky and others as "a terrible fraud." What can explain such disparity of opinion?

Perhaps it is because Wiesel, who has written literally volumes Against Silence, remains silent when it comes to such issues involving Palestinians as land expropriation, torture and abrogation of basic human rights.

Perhaps it is because Elie Wiesel proclaims with great piety that "the opposite of love is not hate; it is indifference," while he remains totally indifferent to the inequality and suffering of the Palestinians. Perhaps it is because he enjoys recognition as "one of the first opponents of apartheid" in South Africa, while he remains totally silent and indifferent to the apartheid being practiced today in Israel.

Perhaps it is because he decries terrorism, yet never apologizes for the terrorism perpetrated by the Irgun at Deir Yassin on April 9, 1948. He refuses even to comment on it. He dismisses this act of terrorism in eight short words in his memoirs, *All Rivers Run to the Sea*. He remembers the Jewish victims at Kielce, Poland (July 1946) with great anguish, but ignores twice as many Palestinian victims of his own employer at Deir Yassin. The irony is breathtaking.

It is even more shocking that the world's best known Holocaust survivor can repeatedly visit Yad Vashem and yet keep silent about the victims of Deir Yassin who lie within his sight 1,400 meters to the north. He bitterly protests when Jewish graves are defaced, but has nothing to say when the cemetery of Deir Yassin is bulldozed. He refuses even to acknowledge repeated requests that he join a group of Jews and non-Jews who wish to build a memorial at Deir Yassin.

Elie Wiesel may profess modesty and claim he is "not a symbol of anything" but, unfortunately, he has become a symbol of hypocrisy.

Daniel A. McGowan, Director, Deir Yassin Remembered, Geneva, NY

Interesting arithmetic - the incredible numbers

Have a look at a typical account by one of the seemingly endless number of survivors: Olga Lengyel's *Five Chimneys: a woman survivor's true story of Auschwitz* (Granada/ Ziff-Davis, 1947, 1972).

According to Lengyel, 'After June, 1943, the gas chamber was reserved exclusively for Jews and Gypsies.. Three hundred and sixty corpses every half-hour, which was all the time it took to reduce human flesh to ashes, made 720 per hour, or 17,280 corpses per twenty-four hour shift. And the ovens, with murderous efficiency, functioned day and night. However, one must also reckon the death pits, which could destroy another 8,000 cadavers a day. In round numbers, about 24,000 corpses were handled each day. An admirable production record, one that speaks well for German industry.' (Paperback edition, pp80-81). [No trace of any remains of or in 'death pits' has been found].

This implies almost **100,000 corpses per four working days**, or a million in 40 days, or **six million in 240 days** (eight months). Notice the bald precision of the figures without any explanation, the utter confidence in the reader's credulity.

The blurb on the cover of the book quotes the New York Herald-Tribune: "Passionate, tormenting". Albert Einstein, the promoter of the US construction of the bombs used at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, is quoted as offering "You have done a real service by letting the ones who are now silent and most forgotten speak."

Could this claim be a misprint?

Kitty Hart, in spite of her name a Jewish survivor born in Poland, fully confirms these figures:

'Working around the clock, the four units together could dispose of about 18,000 bodies every twenty-four hours, while the open pits coped with a further 8,000 in the same period.' (p 118; *Return to Auschwitz* - paperback edition by Granada (1981, 1983).

According to the cover blurb, 'The subject of the award-winning

Yorkshire television documentary of the same name.' 'Both engaging and harrowing...an important addition to the growing holocaust literature, very little of which conveys so courageously both the daily torment and the will to survive'—Martin Gilbert, *The Times*.

'Sir' Martin Gilbert, indefatigable Jewish campaigner on behalf of the 'Holocaust' and biographer of Winston Churchill, adds to the rich flavour and makes his own numerical claims, certainly not without chutzpah:

In his book *Auschwitz and the Allies* (1981) he states:

'The deliberate attempt to destroy systematically all of Europe's Jews was unsuspected in the spring and early summer of 1942: the very period during which it was at its most intense, and during which **hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day** at Belzec, Chelmo, Sobibor and Treblinka.' (p.26). [This statement, first noticed by Professor Robert Faurisson, was then deleted (not corrected) from the next edition - no simple typo, no simple error with omission or spelling or grammar, just a case of being caught red-handed in blatant chutzpah deluxe.]

If we assume a minimum figure of 200,000 per day, this amounts to say a million a five-day working week, or 6 million in six weeks, and this does not include the amazing claims for Auschwitz put forward by Hart and Lengyel with Gilbert's blessing.

---

### [Fake "gas chambers"](#)

---

Kevin Käthers Fragen, vor denen sich die Holocaust-Zunft und Richter fürchteten Kevin Käther's *Questions That Caused Berlin District Court to Drop His Case*

Written by Kevin Käther  
Translated by J M Damon  
The [original German](#).

{Germanophiles everywhere are following the self-accusation trials of young dissidents such as Kevin Käther and Dirk Zimmermann with great interest, and we are encouraged by the growth of dissident sentiment in the judiciary of the "Federal Republic."}

As dissident attorney Sylvia Stolz remarked at her own sentencing: "NICHT ALLE RICHTER SIND SCHURKEN!" (Not all judges are scoundrels).}

Kevin Käther's most recent self-accusation trial began before Berlin District Court on 25 October 2010.

The background to his trials is posted on website along with his original trial reports.

Kevin had originally mailed CDs of Germar Rudolf's Lectures on the

Holocaust to the Berlin Attorney General, Lea Rosh, Professor Wolfgang Benz and Professor Ernst Nolte.

Under the provisions of Section 130 of the German Penal Code he then filed charges against himself, accusing himself of VOLKSVERHETZUNG (Incitement of the Masses) in order to compel a WAHRHEITSERHEBUNG (judicial truth ascertainment) of the official "Holocaust" narrative.

For this crime he was initially sentenced to eight months imprisonment without parole.

However, the Berlin Superior Court set aside this sentence, ruling that mailing CDs did not comprise incitement of the masses and was not a punishable offense. His case was scheduled for retrial on 25 October 2010.

This time, the persons to whom the CDs had been addressed were called as witnesses.

Kevin, who has doubts about the veracity of the official "Holocaust" narrative, welcomed an opportunity to be educated by the facts and the arguments of such an officially acknowledged "Holocaust" expert as Prof. Wolfgang Benz, who was summoned as a witness.

He welcomed the opportunity to be convinced that six million Jews had really been gassed under Hitler and that he, Kevin Käther, was in fact suffering under misapprehensions.

And he hoped for enlightenment from Professor Ernst Nolte as well.

The Court should have shown a lively interest in allowing the accused to be enlightened by these famous professors.

The defendant, a so-called "Holocaust Denier" was standing before the Court eager to be instructed.

He was prepared to abandon his heresies if presented with sufficient evidence of the veracity of "Holocaust."

Alas—his redemption was not to be!

Performing an abrupt about-face, the Court would not allow questioning of these knowledgeable witnesses by a defendant who was thirsting for knowledge and enlightenment.

After just two questions directed at Prof. Ernst Nolte, the Court terminated Kevin's questioning of witnesses.

On 1 November 2010, before Kevin could ask Prof. Wolfgang Benz a single question, the Court discontinued his trial.

In preparation for his trial Kevin had submitted the following questions for the witnesses in the form of an evidentiary motion which the Court apparently considered dangerous.

By discontinuing the trial, the Court was able to delete Kevin's



questions. They were not entered into the trial record as an evidentiary motion.

Thus the Inquisitorial Court of the so-called Federal Republic of Germany preferred to discontinue a "Holocaust" trial rather than expose its experts to the questions of a heretical Revisionist intent on enlightening himself!

Can anyone with any vestiges of a conscience believe anything this government says?

"Holocaust" experts such as Professor Benz, on whom the System so confidently relies as long as Revisionists are not allowed to put them through the wringer, have to be protected from the questions of an eager-to-learn defendant.

Such is the unmistakable reality in our Federal Republic of Germany.

Questions For Witnesses Ernst Nolte and Wolfgang Benz from Käther's Evidentiary Motion

From the above mentioned contents we must determine whether the present book sent through the mails, Germar Rudolf's LECTURES ON THE HOLOCAUST, meets the criteria for "Denying 'Holocaust'" or whether we are dealing with a scientific work that is based on empirical facts, and whose sending through the mails therefore cannot be proscribed.

In addition, questioning of the witnesses will reveal uncertainty regarding the ruling by the BUNDESGERICHTSHOF (Federal Supreme Court) on OFFENKUNDIGKEIT ("Manifest Obviousness") and necessitate additional evidentiary motions regarding the "Holocaust" complex.

In order to ascertain and debate these circumstances, all the witnesses must be asked the following questions:

1. Have you read the book that I sent to you, LECTURES ON THE HOLOCAUST, by the diploma chemist Germar Rudolf?

2. Did you read this book?  
-Yes or no?

3. Did you disseminate this book?  
-Yes or no?

4. Did you make this book available to a third person?  
-Yes or no?

5. Did you find any errors or mistakes in the book LECTURES ON THE -HOLOCAUST?  
-Yes or no?

\*\*\*\*\* 6. (Question for Witness Nolte).

Is the following quotation taken from your book "STREITPUNKTE"

applicable? [FOOTNOTE 1: ERNST Nolte, Streitpunkte, Ullstein, Frankfurt am Main—Berlin, 1993, p. 308]

"The widely held opinion that all doubt about the prevailing conceptions of 'Holocaust' with its six million Jewish victims is evidence of an inherently evil attitude and contempt for all mankind, and that it must be suppressed whenever possible, is completely unacceptable to science.

This is because of the fundamental and overriding significance of the maxim 'DE OMNIBUS DUBITANDUM EST' (Everything must be questioned) for the verification of truth.

We must reject the proscription of doubts about 'Holocaust' because such proscription is an attack on the very principle of freedom of scientific investigation."

7. (Question for Witness Nolte.)

Do you perceive an assault on freedom of scientific investigation in the conviction of Germar Rudolf by Mannheim District Court?

8. (Question for Witness Nolte.)

Is the following quotation, which is taken from your book STREITPUNKTE, relevant to the issue of freedom of scientific investigation?

[FOOTNOTE 2: Nolte, *ibid.*, p. 9]

"Although I felt more challenged by 'Revisionism' than did other contemporary German historians, I was soon convinced that this school was being treated unscientifically in mainstream literature.

It was treated solely with rejection, with suspicion of the motives of the authors, and by completely ignoring them."

9. (Question for Witness Nolte.)

In addition, you write the following in your work "STREITPUNKTE:"

"...Questions about the reliability of eyewitnesses, authenticity of documents, the scientific possibility or impossibility of certain processes and events, the credibility of statistics and the emphasis placed on certain circumstances are not only permissible, they are scientifically indispensable.

Every attempt to banish certain arguments and evidence by ignoring or proscribing them must be considered illegitimate."

- Prof. Nolte, in regard to this quotation, may we conclude that considerations and investigations by Revisionists should be considered legitimate and desirable?

10. (Question for Witness Nolte.)

In 1999, you published the work FEINDLICHE NÄHE in association with the leftist French philosopher Francois Furet.

Here is a quotation that you yourself wrote. [FOOTNOTE 3: François Furet - Ernst Nolte. "Feindliche Nähe". Kommunismus und

Faschismus im 20. Jahrhundert. Ein Briefwechsel. München (Herbig), 1998, pp. 74-79].

"If radical Revisionism were correct in its contention that a 'Holocaust,' in the sense of extensive and systematic extermination measures originating in the highest levels of government never existed, I would have to make the following confession: National Socialism was not a 'VERZERRTE KOPIE DES BOLSCHEWISMUS' (deformed copy of Bolshevism).

Rather, National Socialism was occupied exclusively with the survival struggle of a Germany that had been internationally forced onto the defensive.

No author willingly admits that his work is lying in ruins, and so I have a vital interest in demonstrating that Revisionism is incorrect -at least in its radical version."

Prof. Nolte, more than 12 years have now passed since the publication of FEINDLICHE NÄHE.

In the course of ongoing Revisionist findings, have you already had to admit or concede incorrectness in any of your earlier historical publications? If so, which ones?

11. (Question for Witness Nolte).

In your work DER KAUSALE NEXUS., you cover the "confession" of Rudolf Höß, Commandant of Auschwitz Camp, as well as the Gerstein documents and eyewitness testimony, and you write the following.

(FOOTNOTE 4: Ernst Nolte, DER KAUSALE NEXUS. ÜBER REVISIONEN UND REVISIONISTEN IN DER GESCHICHTSWISSENSCHAFT, Herbig. München, 2002, pp. 96-.)

"The confessions of the commandant of Auschwitz, Rudolf Höß, which undeniably contributed greatly to the collapse of the defense of alleged war criminals in the Nuremberg trials, were obtained by the use of torture.

Under the rules of Western jurisprudence, they would have been inadmissible in a court of law.

The so-called Gerstein Documents are so filled with contradictions, and include so many scientific impossibilities, that they must be dismissed as worthless. The greater part by far of witness testimony rests on hearsay and unsubstantiated assumptions.

The reports of the few eyewitnesses contradict one another, which creates doubt concerning their credibility. . .

In contrast to the investigations of the Katyn massacre following the Wehrmacht's discovery of mass graves in 1943, no international commissions of experts were allowed to scientifically investigate the allegations of homicidal gassings in German concentrations following World War II.

The responsibility for this lack of investigation rests with the Soviet and Polish Communists. . .

The widespread publications of photographs of crematoria as well as canisters with the label 'Cyclon B Poison Gas' have no evidentiary significance, since crematoria were necessitated by the recurrent epidemics and Cyclon B was the standard pesticide used to control typhus-bearing lice everywhere that large numbers of people lived under poor sanitary conditions. . .

For these reasons, it is vitally necessary to question postwar rulings that mass exterminations in homicidal gas chambers were "proven" by countless statements and unsubstantiated "facts" of which there are no doubts whatsoever.

Such questioning must be allowed, or else scientific truth in this area of history is ruled out—it is simply not a possibility."

Professor Nolte, did I state the passage correctly?  
If so, what information and insight can we now consider obvious and allowable in court?

12. (Question for Witness Nolte).  
In your book DER KAUSALE NEXUS (FOOTNOTE 5: E. Nolte, *ibid.*, p. 122) you write the following:

"At issue is the allegation that, on the basis of scientific evidence and matters of fact, there either were no mass killings by gassings or else they did not occur to the extent alleged.

Here I am referring to chemical investigations, including an expert report [the Rudolf Report] comparing residues of cyanide in delousing chambers at Auschwitz with residues in areas designated in construction plans as crematoria morgues. [The Rudolf Expert Report was commissioned by a German court during the trial of Gen. Remer in 1992 and carried out by Diploma Chemist Germar Rudolf of Max Planck Institute, who was subsequently sentenced to thirty months imprisonment.] These investigations were carried out by Leuchter, Rudolf and Lüftl. They also include extremely detailed studies by Carlo Mattogno that include burn-hours, coke consumption and similar matters.

It is impossible to objectively argue against the recurrent thesis that what is scientifically or technically impossible could not have taken place, notwithstanding hundreds of confessions and eyewitness accounts asserted the contrary.

Such a thesis cannot be scientifically argued, on principle.

Objectively and scientifically speaking, the admission is unavoidable that humanities scholars and ideologists should have no voice in such an argument [that pertains to the realm of the natural sciences]."

Prof. Nolte, please explain to us whether Rudolf erred and, in case his work contains mistakes, tell us what they are.

In 1993, 300 professors of anorganic chemistry were unable to find a single mistake in Rudolf's Expert Report, and Swiss expert witness Prof. Henri Ramuz attested to its correctness before Court Chatel-St. Denis on 18 May 1997.

13. (Question for Witness Nolte).

Shortly before his death in June 2006, the "Holocaust" specialist Raul Hilberg, who is frequently quoted by official historians, felt obliged to admit that a great deal of research is still required in "Holocaust" historiography.

Hilberg [author of the extensive three-volume DESTRUCTION OF EUROPEAN JEWRY] admitted, "We understand perhaps twenty percent of the Holocaust (DER STANDARD, Vienna, 10 June 2006, p. 42).

Jürgen Heynsel of the Warsaw Jewish Historical Institute endorsed this assessment: "The decisive stage of writing the history of the Holocaust is still before us." ("Kein Schindler" in NEUES DEUTSCHLAND, 13 October 2009).

Prof. Nolte - in view of Hilberg's and Heynsel's elucidations, do you consider the "Holocaust" to be a "manifestly obvious" fact that has no need of supporting evidence and further research?

14. (It is not stated for whom this question was intended; apparently it was intended for Prof. Wolfgang Benz.)

The Federal Republic of Germany has decreed that HOLOCAUST-OFFENKUNDIGKEIT (the Manifest Obviousness of "Holocaust") is unquestionable and the BUNDESGERICHTSHOF (Federal Supreme Court) has decreed that it is unchallengeable.

Since the Deckert trial, ". . . genocide committed against the Jews, primarily in gas chambers of concentration camps is a manifestly obvious historical fact." (Court Verdict 1 StR 179/94)

Why then was Daniel Goldhagen's book HITLER'S WILLING EXECUTIONERS not placed on the index of proscribed books and why was he not charged with a crime, since he writes in his book that gassing was a "minor event" in the murder of Jews?

15. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

Are you aware that both Rita Süßmuth (former president of the BUNDESTAG) and Fritjof Meyer (former editor of SPIEGEL magazine) reduced the number of Jewish victims gassed at Auschwitz to 356,000 and relocated the "murder weapons" or places of mass murder (the alleged "gas chambers" of official historiography) to two farm houses that stood outside Auschwitz Camp and no longer exist?

16. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

The office of the District Attorney of Stuttgart accepted these revisions as authentic and declined to prosecute author Fritjof Meyer, chief editor of SPIEGEL magazine, and former President of the BUNDESTAG Rita Süßmuth, publisher of the article "DIE ZAHL DER OPFER VON AUSCHWITZ - NEUE ERKENNTNISSE DURCH NEUE

ARCHIVFUNDE" (in the periodical OSTEUROPA, 5, 2002, pp. 631-.)

The District Attorney declined to prosecute Meyer and Süßmuth for violating Section 130 of the Penal Code - the corresponding Order to Stop Proceedings) was released on 28 May 2003 in Document 4 Js 75185/02.

In your opinion, is the principle of Manifest Obviousness still operative?

17. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

How can the obvious fact be explained that obviously the official number of "Holocaust" victims is continuing to melt like snow in the sun of springtime? Can acceptance of the evidence submitted by Fritjof Meyer be ascribed to the fact that the last camp commander of Auschwitz, Rudolf Höß, signed this confession with its fantastical numbers under torture—in the hope and expectation that the exaggerations would be recognized and attention directed to the circumstances under which the incriminating testimony was obtained?

18. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

The world-renowned Jewish "Holocaust" researcher and author Gitta Sereny stated in the London Times on 29 August 2001:

"Why in the world have these people made Auschwitz into a holy cow?

Auschwitz was a terrible place, but it was not an extermination camp!"

As a distinguished historian, can you explain why I, an independent researcher, should not believe the distinguished "Holocaust" historian Gitta Sereny, especially considering that according to official historiography, Auschwitz was supposed to be the center of "Jewish Extermination?"

19. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

In conjunction with the article by Fritjof Meyer and Rita Süßmuth as well as the statements of Hilberg, Sereny and Heynsel, do you consider an examination and revision of the official account of Auschwitz necessary?

20. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

What is your opinion of the findings of official historiography that after 1960, Dachau could no longer be considered the center of alleged National Socialist "exterminations"?

Also, what brought about the sudden recent findings that no homicidal gassings took place there at all?

21. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

On which facts and documents are the new findings based, that no one was gassed at Dachau, even though the Allied "factfinders" at Nuremberg alleged the exact opposite?

22. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

Why is it not explained in detail which "facts" were originally used to allege mass exterminations at Dachau, and what evidence was later used to prove that the opposite was true?

23. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

As an acknowledged expert, you can surely inform me as to which of the two following National Socialist verdicts in our so-called "nation of laws" is true and which false, as well as why it is true or false:

- a) "Concentration Camp Maidanek contained no gas chamber" (Verdict of Berlin District Court (8.5.1950, PKs 3/50) and
- b) "Mass gassings took place in Maidanek."

(Verdict of Düsseldorf District Court, 30.6.1981, XVII-1/75 S). In order to come right to the point, let me point out that both verdicts refer to the same period of time.

I sincerely request elucidation in this matter.

24. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

In addition, you can surely also instruct me in my quest for real truth as to which truth is correct: the truth proclaimed in the Nuremberg Tribunal or the truth proclaimed in Düsseldorf District Court.

Nuremberg Tribunal Document 3311-PS "proved" that hundreds of thousands of Jews were killed with steam at Treblinka, but decades later Düsseldorf District Court (K I Is 2/64) proclaimed that these victims were not steamed but rather gassed.

I sincerely request elucidation in this matter.

25. (Question apparently intended for Witness Benz)

Why does the so-called "Federal Republic" enact legal ordinances to protect narratives and allegations that even the government itself cannot coherently explain?

For lack of space, I have listed only a few isolated examples of these contradictions.

Why does the "Federal Republic" not allow free and independent historical research into the historical subject of "Holocaust?"

Why are historians who arrive at differing conclusions regarding "Holocaust" slandered, demonized and incarcerated, even though their findings have never been disproven in court?

[Kevin Käther](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

The translator is a Germanophile who makes German articles about Germany's historical plight accessible to those who do not read German.

Here's freedom to him who would speak,  
Here's freedom to him who would write;

For there's none ever feared that the truth should be heard,  
Save him whom the truth would indict!  
ROBERT BURNS (1759–96)

---

<http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/>