Lexicographica Graeca



Lexicographica Graeca

Contributions to the lexicography of Ancient Greek

JOHN CHADWICK

CLARENDON PRESS · OXFORD

This book has been printed digitally and produced in a standard specification in order to ensure its continuing availability

OXFORD

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide in

Oxford New York

Auckland Bangkok Buenos Aires Cape Town Chennai Dar es Salaam Delhi Hong Kong Istanbul Karachi Kolkata Kuala Lumpur Madrid Melbourne Mexico City Mumbai Nairobi São Paulo Shanghai Taipei Tokyo Toronto

Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

Published in the United States by Oxford University Press Inc., New York

© John Chadwick 1996

The moral rights of the author have been asserted

Database right Oxford University Press (maker)

Reprinted 2003

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this book in any other binding or cover And you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

ISBN 0-19-814970-0

PREFACE

I AM surprised at my own boldness—temerity might be a better word—in embarking on the compilation of this collection of notes, and even more so at the results. I had long been aware of the defects in the famous Lexicon of Liddell–Scott–Jones, but I did not expect that my efforts to improve the treatment of a few words would lead me to propose new interpretations of many passages. Some of these suggestions will inevitably be rejected and may even be disproved, but I believe the method which underlies them is still valid. It is time to stop worshipping this ancient monument and to replace it with more modern structures.

I have expressed my view of lexicography in the Introduction which forms the first part of this book. All I need to do here is to record my grateful thanks to all those who have contributed, wittingly or unwittingly, to these notes. My major debt is to my friend and colleague of many years, Dr John T. Killen, who has spent many hours discussing with me the problems I have encountered; and has then, at no little expense of time and labour, read through and criticised the drafts of this book. Without his encouragement and help I doubt if I should ever have ventured on this enterprise.

As I have explained, the book arose from working on the new supplement to Liddell and Scott as a member of the British Academy's Committee appointed to supervise the project. I am indebted to the other members of this Committee and especially to its Editor, Mr P. G. W. Glare, and his principal assistant Dr Anne Thompson; both of these spent a lot of time discussing problems with me, and called my attention to matters that would otherwise have escaped my notice. They are of course not in any way responsible for what appears in this book.

I have also been able to consult a wide range of colleagues, especially those at Cambridge. I should like to thank in particular Professor A. Morpurgo Davies, Professor P. E. Easterling, Mr G. P. Fitzgerald, Professor L. A. Moritz, Mr J. Morrison, and Dr D. N. Sedley.

Finally I am indebted to the Delegates of Oxford University Press for undertaking to publish a book which is not a dictionary but a contribution to Greek lexicography, and for allowing me to use the Supplements to Liddell and Scott, which are its copyright; and to Miss H. O'Shea and her staff, who have helped and encouraged me greatly in preparing this book and seeing it through the press.

JOHN CHADWICK

Faculty of Classics University of Cambridge August 1995

INTRODUCTION

Νᾶφε καὶ μέμνασ' ἀπιστεῖν. EPICHARMUS 13

This book is the product of a lifetime of Greek studies, but its immediate origin lies in work undertaken over the last ten years or so. During this period I have been a member of a Committee established in 1980 by the British Academy, to oversee their project for a new Supplement to the Greek Lexicon of Liddell and Scott. When drafts of this Supplement were circulated to the Committee for their comments, I read through these with some care and made a large number of suggestions for improvements. I am gratified to see that most of these have been accepted by the Editor, Mr P. G. W. Glare, and incorporated in the final version.

The nature of a Lexicon of course precludes any discussion of the validity of an entry. Dictionaries are inevitably compiled on a 'take-it-or-leave-it' principle; either you accept what you are told or you do not. Lack of space precludes discussion of doubtful points, nor can all the argumentation on which the entry is based be included. Thus a new suggestion which I made sometimes appears in the New Supplement, but without the arguments which justify it. It therefore seemed to me appropriate to write for publication a longer note explaining and commenting on the bald statement in the Lexicon. A good example is my note on Fay'avω, a mysterious Boeotian word which has baffled commentators for a long time.

In other cases I objected to the interpretation offered by the Supplement entry. These were often entries taken over from the 1968 Supplement, which I have been forced to evaluate as amateurish and in places incompetent. All too often the information given is incomplete, inaccurate or misleading, and great efforts have been made in the New Supplement to check and revise these entries. Some of these corrections formed the subject of notes which I wrote, and some of the more interesting ones have been included in this book.

But even more frequent were the cases where the alterations proposed by the Supplement led me to study carefully the article in the main Lexicon. It became clear, as I had long suspected, that many of the longer articles were unsatisfactory and needed to be rewritten. In writing my comments for the Supplement I duly noted this fact, and in some cases recommended suppressing a correction in the Supplement, not because it was wrong, but because it did little but draw attention to underlying defects in the main Lexicon. I have selected a number of these words for a more extended treatment. I am not, however, so much concerned to demonstrate the faults as to offer suggestions on how the treatment of the word could be improved. Some of these notes amount to little short of a revision of the whole article; but it must always be remembered that a complete rewriting would demand far more time than I have been able to give.

The list of words treated is inevitably eclectic. It would be possible to increase the size of this book many times, if time and energy permitted. Thus the absence of a note does not imply that I approve the treatment the word has received in the lexica, nor are all the notes complete in themselves. It is my hope that the demonstration of the faults to be corrected and the methods to be employed in their correction will serve others as an example and a spur to future work of this kind.

In order to trace the more remote origins of this book I feel the need to insert an autobiographical digression. I hope I may be forgiven for approaching this subject from a personal angle, but I believe this may be not uninteresting and at the same time demonstrate how the strong ideas I hold on the subject developed. I have observed that lexicographers are regarded by scholars in general in two ways. In rare cases the author of an outstanding dictionary is treated with the utmost marks of distinction, as having achieved something beyond the powers of ordinary mortals. I need only instance Sir James Murray, the first editor and in large measure progenitor of A New English Dictionary, now known as The Oxford English Dictionary. To this class we may perhaps assign Henry George Liddell and Robert Scott, who established the leading dictionary of ancient Greek. On the other hand, the lexicographer is more often regarded as a competent, but not original researcher, whose business it is to discover what others have written about a word and organise their opinions into a form easy to consult. This divergence is partly due to the two different

methods of making a dictionary, which will be the main subject of this preliminary essay.

My first acquaintance with large-scale dictionaries was the result of an excellent classical education which I received at my public school. For the last three years of my school career I was constantly to use the Greek-English Lexicon of Liddell and Scott, in the seventh or eighth editions, and the Latin Dictionary of Lewis and Short. The shortcomings of the latter were in some cases only too apparent, and we struggled to overcome them; but I have no doubt we were often misled in our attempts to write Latin by their treatment of certain words, especially those with many meanings. At the time the ninth edition of Liddell and Scott's Lexicon was still in progress, and could only be used for the earlier part of the alphabet. But I think we had already observed, or had it pointed out to us by our admirable Greek teacher. Mr (later Professor) G. E. Bean, that this was rarely superior to the eighth edition, except by its inclusion of many obscure authors, papyri, and inscriptions which are of little use to students of classical literature. It was not until after 1946 that I began regularly to consult the ninth edition, at a time when my interests in Greek had begun to range more widely.

In the meantime my undergraduate years at Cambridge were interrupted by five years of war service in the Royal Navy, a part of which was devoted to manual labour of a sort unconducive to any kind of study. But at a later stage I was fortunate enough to be recruited by the intelligence division of the Navy. It can hardly still be regarded as a betrayal of a state secret to reveal that in this I acquired a practical knowledge of low-grade cryptography. Much has been published about the British success in breaking the system of high-grade encipherment used by the German armed forces, which enabled our intelligence apparatus to predict enemy dispositions and operations. Relatively little has been said about the penetration of the low-grade ciphers used by all combatants for local messages, usually of little more than transitory importance. However, even these, if they can be deciphered fast enough, may provide information of tactical significance, and occasionally allow inferences to be made about more important matters.

For these more immediate messages a method of encipherment needs to be employed which can be used on a small ship or in the field, where a cipher-machine might be difficult to employ and would run the risk of falling into enemy hands. A frequent method is to have a code-book, a kind of small dictionary containing the words most often needed for the purpose envisaged, which equates each word with a numerical group, usually of four or five digits. The message is encoded by substituting the numerical groups for the words of the original. The code-book also includes a decoding section, in which the groups are listed in numerical order with the meaning for each. In practice such code-books are rarely used in this simple fashion, except for the lowest grade of operational messages; the encoded text is normally reciphered by another procedure, which does not need to be described here. The first task of the cryptographer is therefore to strip off the recipherment and recover the plain encoded text.

When confronted with this situation I could not at first see how it was possible to proceed to the second stage, the reconstruction of the code-book so as to recreate the original message. But having seen it done, I found myself able to apply the method and make progress towards the decipherment of an unknown code-book. The essence of the method is simply to study the contexts in which each group, that is, word, is used; this frequently leads to a determination of the class of word being studied and eventually to recognition of the actual word. Now it should be obvious that the same method can be applied to an unknown language, and, provided there are enough texts and enough time, it is always (in theory) possible to reconstruct the meaning of texts written in an unknown language. A fortiori the contextual method can be employed to determine the meaning of an unknown word in a known language. All that is needed is a sufficient number of examples of the word in different contexts.

Before I left the Intelligence organisation at the end of the war in 1945, I had begun to look around for possible employment, once I had been able to return to Cambridge and complete my first degree. At this stage I came across an officer in the Army Intelligence Corps, Captain James M. Wyllie, who had in peacetime been a lexicographer. He had been working in Oxford on a completely new Latin Dictionary, and needed to recruit suitable scholars for a resumption of this project once the war was over. He was sufficiently interesting for me to enter into an understanding that on finishing at Cambridge I would go to Oxford to work for the Clarendon Press under his direction.

Thus in the summer of 1946 I became a professional lexicographer and continued in this employment for six years. The Oxford Latin Dictionary was eventually published in parts between 1968 and 1982. By working on it I not only learned a great deal about the Latin language and its literature, but what I have always regarded as even more important, the practice of lexicography.

Wyllie was perhaps not the ideal teacher, but I should like to take this opportunity of recording my debt to the training in lexicography I received from him. He had himself been trained by Sir William Craigie, one of the editors of the Oxford English Dictionary, and was thus an inheritor of the Oxford lexicographic tradition. Strangely, none of the great English lexicographers of that period seems to have thought it worth while to compile a manual for the use of their successors; the tradition was handed down by word of mouth and is now in danger of becoming lost. At all events, it is clear that the discoveries and methods of the English lexicographers were never studied by classical scholars, and when the results appeared in the Oxford Latin Dictionary few classicists were able to appreciate the changes. Our task was not to revise Lewis and Short, but to create a new dictionary by the study of vast numbers of examples of usage which had already been collected. Needless to say it was often necessary to refer to the Greek Lexicon, and to the trained eve some of its faults were obvious.

But it was also clear to me that, despite the manifest differences imposed by the language being recorded, the principles to be applied remained the same, whatever the language. At one period I organised a Seminar at Cambridge on lexicography, which attracted the interest of several linguistic faculties, and this too confirmed the universality of the principles I was advocating. Indeed, the editor of an Italian dictionary lamented that I had not given these talks much earlier, since I had supplied the answers to some of the problems she and her colleagues had been forced to solve by trial and error. On another occasion I was asked by a publisher to write a report, strictly from the lexicographic point of view, on a dictionary of Malay, a language of which I knew nothing. At the same time, and without my knowledge, the same request was made to the editor of a German dictionary. When the publisher received our reports, he was surprised to find that we had both picked on the same points to criticise.

Nor does it matter, as is often asserted, whether the dictionary

is unilingual, with its definitions in the same language, or bilingual, with definitions framed in another language. Of course a pocket dictionary will show a great deal of difference; but in any major dictionary the definition needs to be spelled out fully, and a series of translation-equivalents must be considered inadequate. Herein lies one of my major criticisms of Liddell and Scott.

The discrimination of senses and the framing of accurate definitions are the essentials of the semantic classification of words; and the way in which words are extended to new contexts and transferred to new situations appears to be the same in all languages. I am fortunate in having been able to test this outside the Indo-European family of languages, since at one stage I acquired an elementary acquaintance with Japanese.

But it was my training in Oxford which enabled me to see the faults of LSJ. I regretted that we were not working on a similar dictionary of classical Greek; but I was well aware that this would have taken an even greater organisation than had been assembled for Latin, since Greek literature is far more extensive and covers a much longer period.

Another result of this period of my life was the discovery of the fascination of lexicography. Contrary to the general opinion that it is a laborious and repetitious task, I soon found that it was one of the most interesting occupations I have ever tried, so that even now I cannot let it alone. Every word is different and raises different problems requiring difficult judgments and decisions. After leaving Oxford for a teaching post at Cambridge I was able to continue my interest in the Latin Dictionary; and at a later stage I compiled a special lexicon to a Neo-Latin writer of the eighteenth century, which is I believe the first attempt to produce any dictionary of the modern use of Latin.

When in 1979 the subject of a new supplement to Liddell and Scott's *Greek-English Lexicon* was mooted, I pointed out that what was more needed than another supplement was a thorough revision; but although my objections fell on deaf ears, I was included in the Committee set up to oversee this project. It will not therefore be surprising if I say that I have reservations about the value of this work, useful as it will be to editors of papyri and inscriptions.

* * * * *

But at this point we need to rehearse briefly the history of Greek lexicography in England from the first edition of Liddell and Scott's *Greek-English Lexicon* in 1843. It is recorded that an Oxford bookseller realised the need for a Greek-English lexicon and persuaded two brilliant young graduates to make a translation of the Greek-German Lexicon of Passow. In doing so they began to notice its deficiencies and read some of the standard authors to add more examples and improve the discrimination of senses. Thus even their first edition was an improvement on the original. Further editions followed, and these culminated in the publication in 1882 of the seventh edition. The eighth edition of 1897 was little more than a corrected reprint of this.

It was a remarkable achievement of Victorian scholarship and has served generations of students as a guide to a very rich and complex language. It is safe to say that no two scholars are ever likely to undertake again such a daunting task; and we must remain for ever indebted to them for having pioneered an extended lexicon with full references to all the quotations. But Greek studies made rapid progress around the end of the last century and the beginning of the present by the discovery and publication of vast numbers of papyri and inscriptions. Progress was also made in the editing and elucidation of the literary texts, and in the understanding of the history of the language and its dialects.

The mantle of Liddell and Scott was now assumed by H. (later Sir Henry) Stuart Jones, who began collecting materials for a new edition. But after his election to the Camden Chair of Ancient History at Oxford, he found an assistant in the person of Roderick McKenzie, Fellow of St John's College. It seems likely, and I have been told it as a fact, that the bulk of the laborious task of preparing the ninth edition fell to him. It appeared in parts between 1925 and 1940 under the name of H. Stuart Jones 'with the assistance of R. McKenzie', and is known Germanically as Liddell–Scott–Jones. The abbreviation LSJ is now hallowed by usage, so it is adopted here, though I have often wondered whether LSM might not be fairer. Stuart Jones died before the last part was published, and McKenzie too did not live to see it published.

I have discussed LSJ in a long article published in *BICS* 1994, 1-11, and I do not propose to repeat my strictures here. The basic fault was the decision to treat the sheets of the eighth edition as a

first proof, and to make corrections and insertions on these. This effectively prevented any attempt to modernise the style or to recast the structure of the articles; only such corrections and additions as could be entered in the margins were admitted. The results are everywhere obvious, and the increase in both the number of entries and the diversity of senses over the immense period regarded as Ancient Greek render the new lexicon less rather than more serviceable for the average user. It is all too often forgotten that a dictionary is a tool, and needs therefore to be designed with the user in mind; what is suitable for a student will not serve an advanced scholar and vice versa.

Another fault of LSI was the editors' failure to keep the etymological notes up to date. By 1925 a great deal was known on that subject which could not have appeared at least in the earlier editions of Liddell and Scott. One can only suppose that Stuart Jones and McKenzie were not interested in this aspect of their work; but since it often has implications for the development of senses, it was obviously important not to keep quoting discarded theories. The same attitude to linguistic matters is to be seen in the treatment of defective verbs, which are only used in certain tenses. LSJ still offers an article with the impossible lemma *είδω to contain the aorist είδον; but the perfect οίδα was given its own entry because of its specialised meaning. Equally modern Greek is ignored, and one has the impression that the editors were probably ignorant of it, since it sometimes explains late forms which puzzled them. In this at least the New Supplement is an improvement, and I have commented in these notes on a few points where the modern language is helpful.

But the relentless pressure of newly published material did not abate, and in due course a Supplement was put in hand under the direction of E. A. Barber. He was assisted by a small team, which at one time included Paul Maas, one of the distinguished band of classical scholars forced to leave Germany by the Nazi government. Since I knew him personally during my employment in Oxford, I have no doubt that he cannot have been responsible for the faults of this Supplement, which appeared, after his death, in 1968. I have also criticised this Supplement in the article just mentioned as an incompetent production, unworthy both of Liddell and Scott and of the Oxford tradition of lexicography. Some of its faults will become evident in the notes which make up

this book, and the alert reader will have no difficulty in discovering more for himself.

However, I was not myself aware of the general level of incompetence it displayed, when work began on a new Supplement, since I assumed that the errors I had detected were not typical. The Committee rightly took the decision to incorporate the old Supplement in the new, rather than give the user three alphabets to consult. What we did not realise was that by so instructing the Editor, we laid upon him the obligation to mix his work with another's and thereby to assume responsibility for both. When drafts of the new work combined with the entries of the old Supplement were circulated, it quickly became apparent that many of the old entries required amendment, and most of them needed to be fully checked and revised. Thus the scale of the Editor's task was more or less doubled; and the Committee was unable to provide him with the expert assistance he needed to complete the job in the time allotted.

Essentially a Supplement is intended to add new information to that contained in the main dictionary; it cannot and should not attempt to correct major faults in the original. Here we encounter the problem that its Editor cannot ignore a minor mistake when he can easily print a correction. This can often be effected by saying 'for ... read ...' or perhaps 'transfer this example from sense 2 to sense 3'. But suppose he now wishes to delete an example; it is easy enough to make the entry 'delete ...', but this will leave the reader wondering what has caused this change of opinion. Sometimes it is possible to add 'see ...', referring the reader to a different lemma, where the form in question is now treated. Lack of space normally prevents giving references to discussion of the point. So in reading drafts of the Supplement, I have often noted against such entries 'Why?'

An alternative method is to write an article to replace the existing one. In the New Supplement these are introduced with the sign + preceding the key-word. These must be written in the same 'style' as the main dictionary; by style we mean the standard arrangement of the article, what information is given and in what order and what abbreviations are used. Any significant change may confuse the user, and will cause problems if at a later stage the new entries are merged with the main text. Now that dictionaries are beginning to be published in electronic form on a disk that can

be read by a computer, this is a real possibility, and thought needs to be given to the direction which further developments should take.

The temptation is to include every new piece of information instead of evaluating its usefulness and discarding those which cannot justify the space they occupy. I will quote one sample from the 1968 supplement:

'×προσ[**]απλομένου, part. of unknown verb, rely upon, οὐδενὶ τεκμηρίω, UPZ 161. 67 (ii BC).'

It seems to be true that there is no known verb which could be restored so as to make sense in this context, and rely upon is a possible meaning for the medio-passive form. If the reading were complete, it would therefore merit consideration for inclusion, though its very incompleteness makes it impossible to enter in the alphabetical sequence in such a way that, if it subsequently appears complete, it could easily be matched with this entry. But when a completely new word appears in a papyrus, the wary editor will consider whether it may not be the result of a mistake. In this case a plausible emendation would be to transpose two letters and to fill the gap with one instead of the presumed two letters, to restore it as προσθαλπομένου. The active προσθάλπω is quoted by LSJ from Josephus as meaning comfort, encourage, a sense well attested for the simple $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \pi \omega$; and 'encouraged by no evidence' will clearly make sense. I should not recommend adding this to the evidence for $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\theta\acute{a}\lambda\pi\omega$, but this possibility is enough to prove that the Supplement entry relies upon no satisfactory evidence. This is a blatant example of the inclusion of virtually worthless information, but there are many more entries of very questionable value. I shall discuss a possible method of dealing with such material in the last section of this Introduction (pp. 27-30).

A special problem is posed by the words and forms now known from the Greek dialects. Where a word is also used by the $\kappa o \nu \eta$, it is normally entered under that form, and the variants are then listed, with cross-references where necessary. But there are cases where a dialect uses, not a variant form, but a different lexical item to express the same concept. For instance, $\pi \epsilon \delta \acute{a}$ in some dialects replaces $\mu \epsilon \tau \acute{a}$, not only as a preposition, but also in compounds. The temptation, to which LSJ at least partly succumbed, is to treat $\pi \epsilon \delta \acute{a}$ as a dialect form of $\mu \epsilon \tau \acute{a}$ —a solution which might be

acceptable in a small-scale dictionary, but ought never to appear in a major work.

Another problem is that of deciding where a new form should be entered. The editor of the 1968 Supplement found evidence for the West Greek form of $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\iota\sigma\tau\delta\varsigma$ written in inscriptions as $K\Lambda AIKTO\Sigma$, and entered it as $\kappa\lambda\alpha\ddot{\iota}\kappa\tau\delta\varsigma$. This is a possible interpretation of the spelling, since Attic $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ is contracted from * $\kappa\lambda\alpha\digamma\dot{\iota}\varsigma$, a form now attested by a Mycenaean compound. However, the West Greek form of $\kappa\lambda\epsilon\dot{\iota}\varsigma$ is $K\Lambda AI\Xi$, and evidence that it contracted to a long diphthong is available from Theocritus (15. 33). It is therefore likely that $K\Lambda AIKTO\Sigma$ should be treated alphabetically according to LSJ's rules as $\kappa\lambda\alpha\kappa\tau\delta\varsigma$; and in fact it is entered in LSJ as such with reference to the same two inscriptions, though in one case to a different edition of it.

Words which have no equivalent in Attic or the $\kappa o w \acute{\eta}$ are very difficult to handle. An addition to the vocabulary is the Cretan word $FA\Delta A$, a noun from the root of $\acute{a}v \delta \acute{a}v \omega$ meaning 'decision.' There is a rule, which I believe should now be changed, that F is ignored in the alphabetical ordering of words. So should this appear as * $\acute{a}\delta \acute{\eta}$, which is what we should expect if it occurred in Attic; or as * $\acute{a}\delta \acute{a}$, or even * $\acute{a}\delta \acute{a}$? Anyone encountering the word for the first time will not know where to look for it, and the simplest solution would be to allow F the status of a letter, inserted in the alphabet after E, and place $Fa\delta \acute{a}$ there, unless and until a form without F is found.

It is obvious that most of the entries in LSJ are basically sound. We might wish to improve the typography and style, to bring the references into line with modern editions, and so on. In a number of cases the wording of the equivalents is old-fashioned (and unnecessarily prudish); but the translations offered are for the most part acceptable. This is because the majority of entries relate to special terms with restricted usage. A minority of words, regarded as dictionary entries, are those which have a high frequency of occurrence in a running text, and it is these which have the largest number of uses or meanings. It is my considered judgment that most of the longer entries in LSJ now require more than cosmetic surgery, and many need to be completely rewritten.

If I have not attempted this, it is because it would require far more time and resources than I have at my disposal. Even the task of looking up all the references given by LSJ is very tedious. To extend the search to other sources would vastly increase the time needed, and would require the assistance of a number of highly trained helpers. Nor am I convinced that the results would always justify the expenditure of energy. But I hope that enough new ideas are presented here to demonstrate that lexicography, so far from being a derivative science, can lead to new interpretations.

The idea of the lexicographer as innovator may seem to contradict his basic function as a recorder. He takes a particular language at a particular period and records the usage of its vocabulary as evidenced by the literature or other materials on which it is based. If the material is restricted, as for instance to a single author, there is no reason why he should not list every example, though even here the commonest words will demand a more summary treatment. In most cases he has to make a selection, and to arrange the different usages into a coherent pattern. The nature of the dictionary demands that these be reduced to a unidimensional sequence; but he knows very well that the true relationship of the senses is too complex to be represented by less than a three-dimensional model. The figure of a tree, with a root sending up a trunk which branches in all directions, each branch sending out smaller boughs and finally twigs, would be hard enough to represent in a linear sequence. But the senses of a complex word can sometimes be shown to have undergone mutual influence, as if the branches have not simply diverged, but at a later stage merged again. In his arrangement at least the lexicographer may be forced to innovate.

The essential factor to be considered here is that senses do not exist in isolation. The average speaker of a language is often unaware that he is using words in different senses; he may unwittingly extend the meaning of a word, and at this point he may be accused of misusing it. But if his extension of the meaning serves a useful purpose, it is likely to be imitated and a new sense will develop; but it will be related to other senses of the word, and the arrangement of the senses in a dictionary should, so far as possible, mirror the word's development. If the material is plentiful enough it may be possible to show the developments taking place chronologically; but in many cases several senses had developed before the language was recorded, and we can only arrive at an archetypal meaning by conjecture. I shall revert to this later.

There is, however, another way in which the lexicographer can be an innovator. But the discussion of this must be postponed until we have dealt with the two basic methods of making a dictionary.

The first, the traditional and almost universal method is take another man's dictionary and use it as the basis for one's own. It may need to be expanded to allow a wider scope, or abbreviated by the omission of matter judged irrelevant to a more limited purpose. But in essence the framework is taken over and used as the scaffolding on which to build. Unless he practises outright plagiarism, he is unlikely to be accused of infringing copyright; and it is often possible to use dictionaries which have lost this protection. Raids on other dictionaries will usually go undetected, and the resulting compilation (a revealing word to those who know its etymology) will seem all the larger and more impressive.

One obvious consequence of this proceeding is the emergence of what are called *ghost-words*. This term was coined in the 19th century to describe words which appear in dictionaries, being often copied from one to another, but have never had any real currency in the language. The original entry is usually the result of a mistake of some kind, but once accepted it continues to appear in lexica until someone has the patience to re-examine the evidence. I believe I have detected one such in LSJ under the heading ηρυς, on which a note will be found in this book. But I have no doubt that there are many more awaiting exposure.

A similar chain of events has been initiated by Hesychius and the other ancient lexicographers. We are often indebted to him for information that we should otherwise never have had. The Mycenaean word e-ru-mi-ni-ja would have remained obscure, but for his entry: ἐλύμνιαι δοκοὶ ὀροφηναί. It must have remained in use late enough for Hesychius' source to have recorded it; but it has not so far been detected in any literary text or inscription. But undoubted successes like this must not induce us to believe everything Hesychius tells us. Some entries are plainly wrong, or partially wrong, as when he gives a series of synonyms, only some of which appear to be correct. And the text is often too corrupt for any emendation to carry conviction. In this case the accent is improbable, and should be corrected, as in the new Supplement. It is regrettable that Latte's edition has proved little more satisfactory than its predecessors. I have noted under ἐνδαής an instance

where his emendation is unnecessary and in my judgment unconvincing.

There is now a tendency to record every word or meaning found in the ancient lexicographers as if it were a valid addition to our knowledge of the language. Of course the advanced scholar needs to know what the ancients said about the word; but he also needs to be able to compare this with the positive evidence for the word's usage. LSJ has all too often entered the opinion of an ancient scholar as a positive fact, when research and judgment lead us to believe that it was an erroneous or at least misleading view. Moreover the meanings offered in antiquity have often been allowed to influence the structure of the Lexicon entry. It is my contention that the structure of meanings must be educed by the lexicographer from the material at his disposal, otherwise a preconceived pattern may distort the interpretation of passages. It must never be forgotten that the recording of dubious material takes up a great deal of space, which might be better occupied by clearer definitions and examples.

A much more intractable problem is posed by difficult passages in well-known authors. It is clear that the lexicographer will here consult the collective wisdom of commentators and translators; and if they disagree, he must make a choice. Sometimes it is possible to add the note: 'also interpreted as ...'; but if he regularly hedges his bets in this way, it will increase the length of the lexicon without any compensating advantage, for the average user, especially the student, will be less well placed to make a judgment than the lexicographer. Moreover, he will encounter passages where his experience and overview of the word's usage lead him to adopt an interpretation differing from that of the experts. A number of such passages are discussed in this book, and I have been able to be audacious precisely because this is not a dictionary, but a collection of contributions towards the production of an improved Greek lexicon. Some of my suggestions will undoubtedly be rejected by the consensus of opinion; but it will have done no harm to initiate a discussion. Some expert on animal behaviour may be able to explain what Homer meant by applying the epithet apaios to wolves' tongues; but until it has been shown that it is unlikely to mean narrow, the commentators will continue to accept that meaning.

Perhaps I may quote here an example of a problem which

frequently confronts the lexicographer, and especially when revising an earlier edition. From time to time new interpretations of familiar passages are published, and these obviously need to be considered for inclusion in the Lexicon. It is easy enough to copy a correction proposed; it is far more difficult to decide whether the correction is plausible enough to be given the support of a major dictionary.

I should like to take as a specimen a well-known passage in Hesiod, which has given both ancient and modern commentators much trouble. It is the description of a winter's day:

ηματι χειμερίω ὅτ' ἀνόστεος ὅν πόδα τένδει ἔν τ' ἀπύρω οἵκω καὶ ήθεσι λευγαλέοισιν. Hes. Op. 524-5.

The traditional view is that $\frac{\partial v \delta \sigma \tau \epsilon o s}{\partial \tau}$ is a poetic expression or kenning for the octopus or squid, who in winter 'gnaws his foot in his fireless house and wretched home' (H. G. Evelyn-White, Loeb). Much debate has raged over the natural history of these creatures, and this has been allowed to obscure the absurdity of calling its undersea lair 'a fireless house', not to mention the improbability of a landsman such as Hesiod being familiar with the curious behaviour of sea creatures. It is at least clear that $\tau \epsilon v \delta \epsilon u$ nowhere else occurs in Greek literature, except in passages which are clearly imitations of this, so that we cannot verify the assertion of ancient scholars that it means 'gnaw'. Nor has much attention been paid to the use of ∂v with $\pi \delta \partial a$, though it is well known that Greek idiom demands that 'his foot' be expressed with the definite article, unless it is emphatic. Does Hesiod therefore imply that in summer the octopus has other feet to nibble?

Now in 1986 an Italian scholar, E. Campanile, published a new interpretation of this passage in the Festschrift presented to E. Risch of Zürich (O-o-pe-ro-si, ed. A. Etter (Berlin, 1986) 355-62). Building on the demonstration by C. Watkins, Étrennes Lejeune (Paris, 1978) 231 ff. that 'the boneless one' is well known in other languages as a poetic expression for the membrum virile, he showed that the passage makes good sense on this basis, if $\tau \acute{e}\nu \delta \epsilon \iota$ means 'stretches' (cf. $\tau \acute{e}\acute{u}\nu \omega$, Latin tendo) and $\pi \acute{o}\delta \alpha$ means 'extremity' as it does in other contexts. As he points out, it was an ancient belief, to which Hesiod subscribed (cf. Op. 582-8), that sexual activity was strongest for males in the winter and for females in the summer. Whether this reinterpretation is right or not need not

concern us here. But the lexicographer has to make up his mind when he comes to the relevant words. Should he delete the old explanation of each and insert the new; leave it unchanged, thus tacitly dismissing the new one; or hedge his bets with some such formula as 'Previously taken as ... but now interpreted as ...'? In various forms this problem is constantly arising; for other examples see my notes on $\partial y a \pi \eta \tau \delta s$, $\tau \delta \lambda a s$.

Another hazard encountered is the notes sent to the publisher by well-meaning amateurs. By 'amateurs' I mean of course people with no lexicographic training; they may be, and often are, scholars of distinction in their own fields. The 1968 Supplement suffered badly at the hands of an expert on the Septuagint, who seems to have assumed that the Greek word selected by the translators of iii BC must have the meaning which modern scholarship attributes to the corresponding Hebrew expression. No allowance seems to have been made for simple misunderstanding of the Hebrew, with the consequence that the Greek word has been tortured to make it conform to the Hebrew, and a number of non-existent senses have been created for the Greek words. In such cases the editor of a supplement must be prepared to investigate these cases for himself, and to form his own judgment about the meaning intended by the writer, which may or may not accurately reflect the meaning of the original. The lexicographer is always grateful for a note of new references, and he will note what is said about them; but if he is wary, he will not accept anything he is told until he has verified it for himself.

There is, however, also the problem of the editorial mistake. If the first publication of a new document incorrectly identifies a word, it is very hard for the lexicographer to escape from the wrong path. An amusing example which I have included in this book is the verb $\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\nu\rho\epsilon\omega$, alleged to occur in one inscription. The solution is obvious enough when pointed out, but it involves supposing a grammatical blunder by the writer, for which I have not yet found a parallel. No wonder, since if it occurred in a transmitted text it would have been eliminated by a copyist or editor; it will therefore be difficult to parallel until we have all inscriptions and papyri available for electronic search.

It is the function of the lexicographer to record how the vocabulary of a language is normally used. He cannot predict the abnormal, catachrestic or poetic uses to which a word may be put. He ought not therefore to make his articles collections of famous cruces, though he cannot afford to ignore them. When the Oxford Latin Dictionary was in progress, we discussed whether a special sense of lacrima should be inserted to accommodate Vergil's sunt lacrimae rerum; it was decided that it should be treated as a poetic usage, which did not acquire any subsequent currency in the language. Had it done so, it would have required a new sense.

I turn now to the second method of making a dictionary. This is the only method which can be used in a case where there is no previous dictionary to use as a basis, but it is equally applicable to cases where such predecessors exist. It consists of two steps.

The first step is to assemble a representative collection of examples of each word. In the case of a lexicon to a single author, this will comprise all the examples in the corpus in question. In the case of a larger corpus some selection is inevitable, and the texts need to be read by someone able to judge whether a usage has already been adequately exemplified. But if, as in the case of ancient Greek literature, the corpus is enormous, then the collection of examples will become an immense task, only to be attempted by a major project employing a large staff. However, in the case of ancient Greek we already have an excellent collection, because LSJ draws on the stock of examples built up over a century and a half of research. There are, however, still a number of technical works, especially of later date, which have not been adequately covered. For some words the examples quoted give an inaccurate picture of the word's usage; for instance, if it is common in early verse, it may then not be sufficiently exemplified from prose authors. I have noted some cases where later developments have been ignored, but I do not claim to have searched later literature for them.

The collecting of examples has now been much facilitated by the IBYCUS computer system, and further improvements are promised which will allow inflected forms to be recovered. The danger here is the weight of material thus made available; it can easily take a day's work to scan the material for one word. It is therefore best to keep this weapon in reserve and use it selectively, as I have done in compiling these notes. It is also possible to make use of the special lexica to individual authors; but I think it is dangerous to allow these to determine the interpretation of passages, since their editors inevitably have a restricted view of the language.

It is theoretically possible to use a computer merely to record the next stage, the sorting of the examples. There is no way the computer can perform this operation, though programs may be devised in future which will assist the expert judgment which constantly needs to be applied. The difficulty here is to have a large number of examples simultaneously available for inspection, which would require a large screen, and the ability to move rapidly forwards and backwards. I was taught to perform this operation by the use of paper slips, each containing one example of the word, which can be shuffled and rearranged manually. The manipulation of a large pile of slips can be simplified by the use of a sorting box: a box with slots of appropriate size to hold the slips, arranged with the top slanting away from the user, so that the upper part of the top slip in each compartment is visible. Any notes and the apparent meaning can then be written at the top of the slip, and further examples of the same usage can be filed behind this, so that the heading remains always visible. It would be interesting to see if the experts can devise a computer program which would replicate this simple device.

It is most useful to arrange the slips in the chronological order of authors; obviously, the productive period of one author's life may overlap that of another, so that the order will be in part arbitrary. This will enable the examples as quoted in the dictionary to follow the chronological order, but only once they have been separated into their proper senses. It has become fashionable for dictionaries to be 'constructed on the historical principle'. While this is a desirable aim for the purpose of historical linguistics, it is not clear that this is the need most often to be met by a general dictionary. The order of the senses should be that which displays most easily to the user the various ways in which the word is used, and it will often be best served by making the earliest uses stand first in the final ordering of senses.

But the historical principle must never be allowed to take precedence over utility. A dictionary is a tool, and it must be adapted to the needs of its users. A lawn-mower is not a suitable tool for cutting hair, nor is a pair of scissors a suitable tool for mowing grass. I am a confirmed utilitarian in my view of dictionaries, and this implies that their merits are relative. Long ago I devised a simple formula for estimating the efficiency of a dictionary:

EFFICIENCY = USEFULNESS WEIGHT

This implies that a book weighing 2 kilograms must be twice as useful as one weighing I kilogram to be as efficient, a condition rarely met by the dictionaries which go on increasing in size, like Liddell and Scott. The concept of USEFULNESS also involves the prospective user. The multi-volume major dictionary is no use to the traveller who wants to discover what is on the restaurant menu: nor is the pocket dictionary he needs much use to the translator of technical works. Part of the trouble with LSJ, and even more with its Supplements, is that no attempt has been made to define the user for whom the work is created. The editor of a new inscription or a papyrus may well want to know whether such a word, form or spelling has ever occurred elsewhere; but much of the information he needs is useless for the average reader of Greek texts, and ought to be consigned to a special lexicon of record. Inclusion of material of this kind simply makes the Lexicon too big to handle and too expensive for all but the experts to buy. I shall have something to say on the future of Greek lexicography at the end of this essay.

But to revert to the historical principle, it is often apparent that such respected works as the Oxford English Dictionary produce improbable results by its over-rigid application. For example, the adjective soft happens to be used in Anglo-Saxon as an epithet of sleep, and this has caused the dictionary to place first in its order of senses producing agreeable or pleasant sensations. It so happens that the purely physical sense yielding to the touch is attested only much later; but I should have thought it was self-evident that the first sense is a transference to the immaterial world of the second, purely material, sense. This can be contrasted with the more intelligent arrangement adopted by LSJ s.v. μαλακός, where μαλακώ ... ὕπνω (Il. 10. 2) is correctly placed in sense II. In an ideal world, the material sense would also have appeared in extant Anglo-Saxon literature; but it is still possible for the lexicographer to modify the data produced by his chronological arrangement so as to present a logical ordering of senses, in which each can be seen to develop from a preceding sense. As I said before, it is not possible to represent the full range of meanings in a single linear order, for the real development is almost always more complex.

The lexicographer confronted by a pile of examples illustrating a particular word must begin by assuming that he does not know its meaning. He is thus in the same position as the cryptographer engaged in breaking a code-book. He must determine the meaning by reference to the context. If enough examples are available, he will in due course discover what meaning will fit all the contexts; if some offer a wide range of possibilities, others will narrow it down, until a satisfactory definition emerges.

If the word has only one meaning, what is sometimes called monosemy, this may emerge from only a few examples. But this is rare, since polysemy, the simultaneous existence of a number of meanings, is the general rule. Where the word is used in a few quite different contexts, it will then be useful to sort the examples by context. But context alone is sometimes inadequate to reveal the true meaning; LSJ often groups together examples which share the same context, although the specific meaning may be different. It is often also possible to sort by construction (e.g. the grammatical case or the preposition used with a verb, or the class of substantive such as noun of action, abstract, material object, etc.) Here too the grammatical classification needs to be subordinated to the semantic one. LSJ all too often gives a separate section to the middle voice of a verb, although many of the examples repeat senses already shown to exist in the active or passive. In dealing with prepositions which are construed with three cases, this may be a useful first division; but even here some overlapping of senses is inevitable. Generally speaking, words which have a basic physical or material sense tend to acquire by transference non-physical or metaphorical senses. One of LSJ's frequent faults is a failure to distinguish these, especially when a corresponding English term has the same extension.

It is often necessary to go through the examples a number of times, looking for the groupings which will gradually emerge. At this stage a provisional definition needs to be framed. This should be, if necessary, a lengthy phrase which accurately defines the examples grouped together and excludes all others. It is the failure to observe the second part of this prescription which so often vitiates the definitions found in a dictionary. It must be observed that LSJ rarely attempts to give a real definition; it is more often

content to pile up English words which will serve as translationequivalents, and then when other examples are found which require a different translation to add this, even if the new quotation does not in fact fall under the definition to be deduced from the earlier ones.

One feature of the definition has long been recognised as essential: that the phrase adopted be capable of insertion into any of the examples without changing the meaning of the whole. Thus an adverb must not be defined by an adjectival expression (even though English usage may require that substitution in translating), an active verb must not be defined in its passive application, and so on. This seems too obvious to be worth mentioning, but the rule is in fact all too often overlooked.

Equally the definition must not contain elements which are represented by other features in the context. A fault in LSI is failure to allow for the semantic value of the present-tense system, which was perhaps less well understood in the nineteenth century. For an example, see ἐμβατεύω in LSI; this is correctly defined as step in or on. But then the meanings frequent and haunt are added, because they are called for by some of the contexts in which the verb is used in the present tense. Where it is in the agrist, this sense is inappropriate, and new translations such as enter have been added. The correct method is of course to begin with the meaning as deduced from the aoristic use, which is aspectually neutral. Then, if needed, a special sense can be created for uses confined to the present system. Some of the problems raised by LSI's treatment of ἀγοράζω are due to this failure to observe the component of meaning conveyed by the aspect of the verb (see the note on this word). Similar aspectual considerations underlie the problem of defining the meaning of οπυίω, which has been much misunderstood (see the note on $\beta w \dot{\epsilon} \omega$).

The contextual method alone can take us far, as my cryptographic experience proved to me. But in real languages there is another way of approaching the meaning, at least in the great majority of cases. There are very few words which are lexically isolated, words such as English kiosk, penguin, zenith. Nearly all words belong to 'families'; verbs normally have nouns of action derived from them, like $\pi\rho\hat{a}\xi\iota s$ from $\pi\rho\hat{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$, and nouns often have denominative verbs, like $\delta o\nu\lambda\delta\omega$ from $\delta o\hat{\nu}\lambda s$ or $\beta a\rho\hat{\nu}\nu\omega$ from $\beta a\rho\hat{\nu}s$. In fact a language which had many words not belonging to a

family would be extremely hard to learn or understand. Compound words are a special case, since they belong to two (or more) families. Not all compounds beginning $\dot{v}_{\pi 0}$ - have the same component of meaning; but there is at least a restricted range of meanings which this prefix can convey.

It follows that the determination of meaning in most cases depends upon combining the contextual with the kinship clues, so as to find an acceptable meaning. If a word has a sense which appears to be unconnected by any plausible line of development from another established sense, it is at once suspect. But it is not therefore to be discarded as incorrect. It may have acquired its sense by the suppression of the links which led back to another attested sense; it is not easy to find evidence for the stages by which $\kappa o \nu \tau \delta s$ acquired the sense of hymn required by the derivative κοντάκιον of modern Greek. Some words have been borrowed from other languages, or simply invented. A stock example of invention is gas, a term coined to express a new concept by a Dutch chemist, though he admitted that even this is distantly related to Greek yaos (since Dutch $g = [\gamma]$), so it is not entirely isolated; and it has of course acquired its own family in modern usage. A good example of a Greek word which, although belonging to a numerous family, displays even at the earliest stage three quite separate lines of development is $\sigma \tau a \theta u \delta s$. In my discussion of this I have endeavoured to suggest a possible starting-point from which these are derived, though this must remain conjectural.

The family resemblance may of course be misleading. Dictionaries often assume that a word must have an 'etymological' meaning; that is to say, the meaning which other members of the family suggest, or in the case of a compound, its two members. Although this is generally true, there are plenty of words which do not obey the rule. For instance $\sigma\nu\kappa \phi\dot{\phi}\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\eta s$ ought to be the equivalent of $\dot{\delta}$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}\kappa\alpha$ $\dot{\phi}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\omega\nu$; in practice it never is, though why it developed the sense of 'informer' we shall probably never know. The ancient explanations are fairly obviously ad hoc inventions. LSJ often begins an article with this theoretical meaning but without quoting any example.

Another hazard which interferes with the logical inference from kinship is the fortuitous resemblance between two words which have in fact no affinity. An excellent example of this is the English word *outrage*. Most English-speakers would have no hesitation in relating this to out, which forms compounds such as output, and rage, thus suggesting a meaning of excessive fury. In fact the word is a loan from French, where outrage is the phonetic development of late Latin *ultraticum (cf. Italian oltraggio).

These are less easy to detect in Greek, but I have pointed out an example in $\epsilon l \kappa \hat{\eta}$, which has a sense in which it acquires the same meaning as $\epsilon l \kappa \acute{o} \tau \omega s$, although its etymology is fairly certainly different. A much more complicated example is $\epsilon \chi \omega$, which is probably a conflation of two verbs: one from *segh- meaning hold, and another from *wegh- meaning carry. Once the digamma of $f \epsilon \chi \omega$ had been lost, and the operation of Grassmann's law had removed the initial aspirate of * $\epsilon \chi \omega$, the two verbs became indistinguishable, and the senses hold and carry could be conflated, as in expressions like $\hbar \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \omega \nu$.

It happens not infrequently that in the early stages of contextual analysis, one or two examples are recalcitrant and cannot be fitted into the emerging pattern of meanings. Such cases may of course be a warning that the pattern is false and needs to be modified; but there is also the possibility that the interpretation of these examples is incorrect. Some of the notes in this book will illustrate the way in which taking the lexicographic view, that is to say, regarding the example in the light of all the other information about the word's usage, may lead to a reinterpretation. The lexicographer is, if not better equipped to interpret a particular text, at least in a special position as having in front of him a much larger collection of examples than is normally available to the editor of the text. It is rather the difference between an aerial view and one which is confined to a single viewpoint on the ground.

A constant problem to guard against is the proliferation of meanings. It might be possible to defend the thesis that every time a word is used its meaning is minimally different; but even if true, this would hardly be helpful to the users of a dictionary. In practice many examples of a word's use are so much alike as to be virtually identical, and it is this which enables the lexicographer to group the examples under mutually exclusive definitions. It is often tempting to create a new sense to accommodate a difficult example, but we must always ask first, if there is any other way of taking the word which would allow us to assign the example to an already established sense. We need the lexicographic equivalent of Occam's razor: sensus non sunt multiplicandi praeter necessitatem.

As I have remarked in several of my notes, there may be no reason why a proposed sense should not exist, but is there any reason why it must exist?

I have no illusions about the popularity of my work, for I have frequently had occasion to attack long-established interpretations and eminent commentators. I am not unaccustomed to presenting unpopular views, and I have lived long enough to see some of them adopted as the current orthodoxy. I have therefore no hesitation in putting forward my own interpretations, even though I am well aware that other scholars will reject them. But I think some at least will survive criticism, and it will have done no harm to open up the debate. But at this point I should like to record an experience that I had more than forty years ago, when I was working on the Oxford Latin Dictionary.

It fell to me to prepare the first draft of the article on *ineptus*, a fairly straightforward word applied to persons or their actions and roughly corresponding to English *foolish*. However, there was one passage which did not fit this meaning, the famous poem of Catullus (17) which begins:

O colonia quae cupis ponte ludere longo et salire paratum habes sed uereris inepta crura ponticuli axulis stantis in rediuiuis ne supinus eat ...

The omission of any punctuation is deliberate. Inepta is interpreted by virtually all dictionaries and commentaries as meaning badly fitted together, which is the expected 'etymological' sense; as the Thesaurus Linguae Latinae puts it, 'i.q. male aptus.' But here my principle came into effect; why are there no other examples of this meaning? Could it have any other sense here? The answer is that so long as inepta is associated with crura in the next line, this must be the meaning. However, I observed that there was an idiom in which ineptus was closely associated with a verb and in agreement with its subject, to describe the folly of the action indicated by the verb. A good example, although rather later in date, is from Persius, who states that freedom is not conferred by the wand waved by the lictor in the ceremony of manumission:

hic hic quod quaerimus, hic est, non in festuca, lictor quam iactat ineptus.

Pers. 5. 175.

This does not mean 'in the wand waved by the fool of a lictor', but 'in the wand which the lictor, fool that he is, waves'. There are other examples of this idiom. Once this is appreciated, we can see that it will fit very neatly in Catullus too; *inepta* is not accusative plural neuter, but nominative singular feminine agreeing with *colonia*. Thus it means 'you are afraid, fool that you are, of the supports of the bridge'.

I was very pleased with this discovery, and wrote it up in a note which I intended to send for publication to one of the periodicals. But before doing so, I took the precaution of showing it to a few Latinists of my acquaintance, and was shocked to find that they all rejected it out of hand. They had been reading Catullus for years. and they knew that he used the word in this out-of-date sense. I, as a young and unknown lexicographer, could not possibly know better than the distinguished line of commentators who had long ago decided the correct interpretation. Now in publishing this book I am aware that I am in the same position again, except that I am now rather better known, and people are more inclined to treat my opinions seriously. Even so, I prophesy with assurance that many of the new ideas to be found in these pages will be attacked and rejected simply because they are not what everyone expects to find. I believe, however, that there are enough unprejudiced scholars around to study my work on its own merits, and to decide for themselves whether or not my ideas are, if not a final solution, at least a contribution towards that end.

I must say a few words about the presentation of these notes. In most cases they arose from observing a fault in LSJ or the Supplements, but all too often it proved impossible to correct one fault without discovering others. In some cases I was simply unhappy with the information given, without having any specific complaint, and the easiest way to satisfy myself was to attempt a reconstruction of the article in the form imposed by the material. Accordingly I took all the references in LSJ and transferred them to separate slips; these were then sorted by author and arranged in a roughly chronological sequence. This had the effect of shuffling the slips and removing the former order, so that I could devise my own without prejudice. Each passage had to be looked up and a sufficient quotation written out on the slip, with enough context to ensure the meaning could be grasped.

These quotations then formed the basis of the note, being suitably abbreviated.

Where coverage by this means appeared to be inadequate I supplemented the references by resorting to special lexica, the IBYCUS machine, and other sources. But I make no claim to have been exhaustive in my search for new examples, for to achieve complete coverage would have been a task beyond my powers and resources. I submit, however, that I have in some cases improved considerably on LSJ, finding sense which its editors had failed to discover.

The quotations are given at greater length than is possible in a lexicon. LSJ gives vast numbers of references without any quotation, and in such cases I have supplied these; where I have given only a reference, it may be assumed that this is merely a further example of a usage already exemplified. But I do not claim that this is the main purpose of my discussion; I am merely presenting the evidence which is not visible in LSJ to justify the new analysis which I am presenting.

As in LSJ the reference is to the line or point at which the word in question occurs, even if several lines are quoted. I have copied the system of abbreviations familiar from LSJ, not because I think it is a good one, but because it would be laborious to the writer and tedious to the reader to change it. In a few cases I have expanded the abbreviation to make it clearer or corrected strange aberrations like its practice of writing Lxx for *Septuaginta*. I have in many cases supplied references to more up-to-date editions, but I have not attempted the enormous task of imposing a new standard throughout. I have added in some cases the initial of the Editor to demonstrate the edition I used. I have in appropriate cases added subsection numbers to the references.

I have not concerned myself with questions of authenticity, though this is a subject which needs to be investigated for any new lexicon. I have, generally speaking, followed the conventions of LSJ and thus continue to refer to, e.g., the *Prometheus Vinctus* as A. Pr. or the Rhesus as E. Rh., without meaning to imply that I accept these works as authentic. Likewise it must always be remembered that Hp. stands for the Hippocratic Corpus and it must not be interpreted as the work of the historical Hippocrates. In a few cases where it might be significant, I have enclosed the abbreviation of the author's name in square brackets. All abbrevi-

ations should be resolved by the use of the appropriate indexes in LSJ. I have occasionally quoted inscriptions from L. H. Jeffery, *The Local Scripts of Archaic Greece* under the abbreviation *LSAG*.

It is generally agreed that the etymological notes of LSJ, mostly copied from earlier editions, are unreliable and sometimes worthless. I have not attempted to put a broom to this corner of the stables. Where I have inserted notes on etymological matters, this is because I think there is something to be added to what the reader will find in the standard etymological dictionaries, in particular, H. Frisk, Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch, (GEW) and P. Chantraine, Dictionnaire étymologique de la langue grecque, (DELG). Some of my suggestions in this field are very tentative and must not be taken as representing anything but my own opinion; but they are included in the hope that they will stimulate debate and illustrate the possibility of further improvements by the use of my methods.

In consulting these notes the reader will need to have the appropriate page of LSI before him, and I have not repeated information, such as that on forms, which is to be found there. My purpose has been primarily to investigate the semantic range of the word, not to rewrite the Lexicon. If these notes have any virtue, it is that of being independent of the centuries of tradition which have choked the free exercise of judgment and cluttered our editions with useless erudition. As I have said, I have a poor opinion of most of the notes on words which have been handed down to us from antiquity, and I believe they have exerted far too great an influence on modern commentators. The effort of making an unprejudiced analysis of the meanings of a word is considerable; small wonder that most scholars have found it easier to rely on another's opinion, especially if enshrined in the dense print of a lexicon. But the iconoclast runs the risk of damaging fine structures; it is easier to pull down false idols than to erect noble images in their place. These notes are not finished pieces of a new lexicon, but drafts in usum lexicographorum, which will, I hope, be of interest to Greek scholars generally.

What still needs to be done in Greek lexicography? Or more practically, what should be the aims of the present generation of Greek scholars? Many projects have been started which never got beyond alpha. The Lexicon der frühgriechischen Epos started out on such a

scale that it would have taken a century or more to complete, and it would have produced a virtually unusable book. Vast indexes of this sort can now be better accommodated on electronic systems equipped with rapid retrieval devices. But even so, the concept of a *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae* is flawed, simply because the resultant work, however stored, will be too large and complex for the average user to consult. Here again, the dictum that the dictionary is a tool must not be forgotten.

It is difficult to see how any increase in the length of LSJ can be justified. It is already less efficient than the eighth edition of Liddell and Scott; and the combination of LSJ with a large Supplement will make it still more unwieldy. The new Greek-Spanish Dictionary of F. R. Adrados (DGE) is also adding to its length by including many proper names; this is still too incomplete to have been much used in this book. The extra entries in the New Supplement will for the most part be of use only to a few epigraphists, papyrologists, and linguists. There is no case at all to be made for an indefinite expansion of the book in printed form, but a master index of the total vocabulary of the language is certainly needed. Thus we have arrived at the parting of the ways: the total lexicon must henceforth be kept in electronic form, so that additions and corrections can be regularly entered, and the dubious words which have so far failed to gain acceptance can be listed with references, in the hope that they may be matched with new discoveries. A continuously progressive lexicon should be created, probably at one location with on-line facilities for consultation at a distance. There need be no limit on its size, provided that access always remains rapid.

But whatever the future of personal computers, it is hard to imagine that we shall ever be able to dispense with the printed book. For most purposes small-scale dictionaries will always be needed. This means that the Lexicon must become more selective, and since the principal users will be students, its coverage needs to be slanted to give prominence to the authors most often studied in schools and university courses. There will always be some users whose needs are different, and a number of different lexica might be edited to serve particular needs. Theological students might require a lexicon which treats especially the Septuagint and the New Testament. Most students of classics concentrate on early epic and lyric, Attic tragedy, Herodotus, Thucydides, Xenophon,

Aristophanes, and the Attic orators, together with Plato and a selection of other fourth- and third-century writers. Some works of Aristotle are widely read, but I suspect that most of that vast corpus is rarely read except by specialists. The later historical writers, such as Polybius and Plutarch's *Lives*, are needed, but probably not Josephus and Strabo. It must constantly be remembered that every author who is added to the list will add several pages to the volume, and the resulting enlargement must be valuable enough to justify the additional weight (i.e. length, work needed for editing, and price).

It is relatively easy to reduce the scale of a good large dictionary, but there is no way a good dictionary can be created out of a bad one. There is now a project to produce a revised edition of the *Intermediate Greek Lexicon* compiled by Liddell himself and published in 1889. This was of course based on the seventh edition of the main lexicon, with only slight additions for the benefit of students. It is to be hoped that in revising this some attention will be paid to the structure of the major articles, which naturally share the faults of their model. A really good lexicon to the major classical authors will do more to keep alive the study of ancient Greek than a dozen supplements, thesauri or other large-scale works. It is my hope that the notes in this book will provide, not only a framework which can be adapted for a few of the more difficult words, but also a model which can be followed by my successors.

Lexicography is not, or should not be, a solo effort. It needs the co-operation of a team which can work together following the same principles and methods. Major projects on ancient Greek are now probably beyond the resources of any one country. We may applaud the valiant attempt of our Spanish colleagues to produce a new Greek-Spanish Lexicon; it will be most welcome, if it is ever completed, but I know I shall not live to see that day. We need to concentrate on smaller projects which can be realised in a more practical period; though good lexicography being the hard taskmaster that it is, we must not expect good work to be produced to a demanding schedule.

Whatever the future holds, I hope that these notes will provide an incitement and a starting point for further work, perhaps not only on ancient Greek, but other languages too. In conclusion I can only revert to the quotation from Epicharmus, which I put at the head of this essay. The essential precept to bear constantly in mind is the need for exercising sober judgment, and adopting a sceptical attitude towards every assertion which cannot be proved by satisfactory evidence. This is true of all forms of scholarship, but it is never more necessary than in the practice of Greek lexicography.

άάατος 31

ἀάατος

- There appear to be only four examples of the word, one in the Iliad, two in the Odyssey in identical contexts, and one in Apollonius Rhodius. In the Iliad the third syllable has a long vowel, elsewhere it is short; but Homer has often enough variations in scansion for this not to be a good argument for a different meaning. The problem therefore is to find a meaning which satisfies all four contexts, and suits the etymology; or if this is impossible, suspicion must fall on the passage in Apollonius, since this is likely to be the result of a misunderstanding of a Homeric use.
- 2 It is generally agreed that $d\dot{\alpha}a\tau os$ is a negative adjective formed from $d\dot{\alpha}\omega$; and whatever its etymology this verb always means lead into error, mislead. The sense hurt, damage is quoted by LSJ without any example and appears to be a purely etymological speculation. Thus $d\dot{\alpha}a\tau os$ ought to mean which cannot be led into error, infallible. This is evidently true of the water of Styx, which will invariably detect a false oath.

άγει νῦν μοι ὅμοσσον ἀάατον Στυγὸς ὕδωρ. Il. 14. 271.

So too the contest of the bow is an infallible test for the suitors, because only the true owner has the knack of stringing it.

```
κατ' αὐτόθι τόξα λιπόντε,
μνηστήρεσσιν ἄεθλον ἀάατον. Od. 21. 91; 22. 5.
```

(The first reference is defective in LSJ).

3 This meaning, however, is impossible in the fourth passage.

```
πυγμαχίην, ή κάρτος άάατος ή τε χερείων. Α. R. 2. 77.
```

The sense here must be *invincible*, probably due to a misinterpretation of the context in the Odyssey. This note explains the rewriting of this entry in the New Supplement.

ἀγαπητός

- The adjective appears first in Homer and continues in use down to the present day. It cannot be divided from the verb $d\gamma a\pi d\omega$, which never occurs in the Iliad, but only in the Odyssey (21. 289; 23. 214). The more common Homeric verb is $d\gamma a\pi d\zeta \omega$. But the obvious explanation of these verbs as denominatives from $d\gamma d\pi \eta$ runs into the difficulty that the noun does not seem to be attested before iii BC, though its restriction to Judaeo-Christian writers has been disproved (see C. H. Turner, in 3 below). It has therefore been suggested that $d\gamma d\pi \eta$ is a back-formation from the verb, though this seems unlikely, when verbs in $-d\omega$ are mainly restricted to denominatives from a-stems.
- 2 $A\gamma \acute{a}\pi \eta$, perhaps under the influence of Hebrew $\grave{a}h^ab\bar{a}h$ (Schwyzer, Gram. i. 39), became the ordinary word for love of all kinds. But it may, as the derivatives show, have originally been restricted to non-sexual love, especially parental affection. It would seem to have replaced the old-fashioned word $\sigma\tau \rho\rho\gamma\dot{\eta}$, more or less restricted to poetry and high-flown prose. Similarly $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\omega$ is replaced by $\grave{d}\gamma a\pi \acute{a}\omega$, and $\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\kappa\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$ (only once, in Sophocles OT 1338) by $\grave{d}\gamma a\pi \eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$. Thus $\grave{d}\gamma a\pi \acute{a}\omega$ took over not only the basic meaning of $\sigma\tau\dot{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\omega$ feel affection for (a person), but also the secondary meaning tolerate cheerfully, be content with (a situation). This therefore is to be expected also in $\grave{d}\gamma a\pi \eta\tau\dot{\epsilon}s$:

```
κακὸν ἀγαπητὸν ἐν ἐκείνω τῷ χρόνω. And. 3. 22. 
ἀγαπητὸν δ' ἦν τὸ μὴ καὶ προσαπολέσθαι σεσυλημένον.

J. BJ 5. 10. 3 [=5. 438].
```

3 So far there is no problem. But when we turn to LSJ we find as sense I of $\partial \gamma a\pi \eta \tau \delta s$ 'that wherewith one must be content ... hence of only children.' It should be observed that the corresponding meaning of $\partial \gamma a\pi \delta \omega$ only appears as sense III; but if the verbal adjective showed this semantic development, we should expect to find the same in the verb. Part I of LSJ was published in 1925, but a possible explanation of this inversion did not appear until 1926, when C. H. Turner published an article entitled $\partial \delta \tau \delta s \delta \sigma \tau \omega \delta \omega \delta \delta \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \delta s$ in Journal of Theological Studies, 27. 113–29. He began by quoting the new Lexicon, which he regarded as justifying his claim that the Gospel phrase meant 'This is my only son.'

It should be observed that LSJ did not in fact say this; it appears merely to have called attention to the obvious fact that only children are especially dear to their parents, and it is therefore not surprising if in many instances $d\gamma a\pi\eta\tau \delta s$ is used of only children. Indeed it could be argued that LSJ did not intend their formulation to be read as implying the sense only, since they were at pains to define it as that with which one must be content, and it would be absurd to suppose that God regarded Jesus Christ as 'the son I have to be content with'. DGE goes so far as to begin its meanings with 'único y amadísimo, querido de hijos únicos'.

4 The early examples of ἀγαπητός confirm that it does not mean only (of children). Eurycleia addresses Telemachus:

πῆ δ' ἐθέλεις ἰέναι πολλὴν ἐπὶ γαῖαν μοῦνος ἐων ἀγαπητός. Od. 2. 365.

The epithet $\mu o \hat{v} v o s$ would be otiose, if $d \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \delta s$ meant the same. It is evident that it means 'being greatly loved because you are an only son'. Turner quotes a number of later passages where $d \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \delta s$ is coupled with $\mu o v o \gamma \epsilon v \eta s$: e.g. a variant text in LXX $\mathcal{J}d$. 11. 34 has:

καὶ αὕτη (sc. ἡ θυγάτηρ) μονογενὴς αὐτῷ ἀγαπητή, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν αὐτῷ πλὴν αὐτῆς.

Another reading omits $\partial_{\gamma}a\pi\eta\tau\dot{\eta}$, but if it is read this is precisely similar to the Homeric example; $\mu\rho\nu\rho\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\eta}s$ gives the reason why she was beloved. Turner quotes a number of similar passages, apparently being unaware that the presence of another word meaning only demonstrates that $\partial_{\gamma}a\pi\eta\tau\dot{\delta}s$ cannot have this sense. In order to prove his contention, he would need to produce an example where $\partial_{\gamma}a\pi\eta\tau\dot{\delta}s$ alone means only; and this he fails to do. It is a good example of what my old lexicographic mentor, J. M. Wyllie, named 'contextual contamination'. It is all too easy to suppose that a word has a meaning which is in fact conveyed by other elements in the context.

5 Take for example the New Testament use:

ἔτι ἔνα είχεν, υίὸν ἀγαπητόν. Ευ. Marc. 12. 6.

There too the presence of ένα proves that ἀγαπητόν does not

convey that meaning. It is also interesting to compare Matthew's quotation with the original passage of Isaiah, as given by the Septuagint:

τὸ ἡηθὲν διὰ Ἡσαίου τοῦ προφήτου λέγοντος, Ίδού, ὁ παῖς μου, ὃν ἡρέτισα ὁ ἀγαπητός μου, εἰς ὃν εὐδόκησεν ἡ ψυχή μου. Εν. Matt. 12. 18.

Ισραήλ δ ἐκλεκτός μου, προεδέξατο αὐτὸν ή ψυχή μου. LXX Is. 42. 1.

The Septuagint appears to ignore the phrase δ ἀγαπητός μου.

The explanation of the curious and misleading arrangement in LSJ would appear to be the 'historical principle', which was trumpeted by the New English Dictionary as its major achievement. It is the simple theory that if you place first in a dictionary the senses which are attested earliest, you will get a chronological picture of the word's semantic development. Given a common word and large quantities of material for all periods, this is broadly true. But alas, we rarely have enough material for the earliest periods, and it is quite possible that Homer used the word only in a sense which must logically have been secondary. The discovery of Mycenaean Greek has demonstrated that words which we have otherwise only attested from post-Homeric sources were in fact in use five centuries before Homer. It is therefore important that the lexicographer should arrange the senses in a logical order of development, rather than following blindly the historical principle.

ἀγοράζω

I have already discussed this word briefly (BICS 1994, 4), but I believe it requires a longer discussion, especially to correct a false impression of its use in Thucydides 6. 51. 1. I observed that two of the senses given by LSJ were in fact identical: $\mathbf{1}$ is 'frequent the dyopd', $\mathbf{3}$ is 'haunt the dyopd'. It is hard to see how these can be distinguished. In fact sense $\mathbf{3}$ appears to have started from a scholiast on Ar. Ach. 720, who defines dyopddew as $dv \tau \hat{\eta} dyopd doatpibew$, adding that Corinna criticised Pindar for using it on the grounds that it was an Atticism. From this note LSJ constructed a sense

which it attributes to Corinna and Pindar, although in neither case are the actual words given by the scholiast. The only genuine quotation is that from Ar. Eq. 1373, which is certainly not to be distinguished from sense 1 (see 5 below).

- 2 No one doubts that hanging around the $\partial \gamma \rho \rho \dot{\alpha}$ was a common enough activity in Greek cities. As the main open space at the heart of a city, it was there that men gathered to talk, in much the same way as today the same function is discharged by the cafés surrounding the main square. But it is far from certain that this meaning was ever conveyed by the verb $\partial \gamma \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, for the leading example of this use quoted by LSJ is, to say the least of it, highly questionable.
- 3 Herodotus in a passage describing how the Egyptians invert all the normal customs of human behaviour says:

αί μὲν γυναίκες ἀγοράζουσι καὶ καπηλεύουσι, οἱ δὲ ἄνδρες κατ' οἴκους ἐόντες ὑφαίνουσι. Hdt. 2. 35. 2.

There is a contrast between the women going out and the men staying at home, whereas in Greece women stay at home and do the weaving, and men go out and engage in small-scale trade $(\kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \epsilon \acute{v}ov\sigma \iota)$. What does $\grave{d}\gamma op\acute{a} \acute{\zeta}ov\sigma \iota$ add to this picture? Surely it makes the point that in Egypt it is the women who do the shopping, the implication being that in Greece this is done by men. Strange as it may seem to us, it was the inevitable consequence of the strict rule that respectable women were not seen in public unless escorted. So what Herodotus is saying here is that Egyptian women go to market and engage in trade. It is certainly no evidence for a sense = $\grave{\epsilon}\nu \acute{a}\gamma op\acute{a}$ $\delta\iota\alpha\tau\rho i\beta\epsilon\iota\nu$.

4 LSJ give a second reference for this alleged sense:

παρὰ τοῦτον (sc. the king of Barca) ἀπικνέεται, καί μιν Βαρκαῖοί τε ἄνδρες καὶ τῶν ἐκ Κυρήνης φυγάδων τινὲς καταμαθόντες ἀγοράζοντα κτείνουσι. Hdt. 4. 164. 4.

Here too it is possible that $\partial \gamma \rho \alpha \delta \zeta \rho \nu \tau \alpha$ means 'spending time in the market-place.' But it is equally plausible to imagine that his enemies were informed ($\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \theta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$) that he was shopping in the market, and took the opportunity to assassinate him. The

assumption seems to have been made that anyone as important as a tyrant, even when fleeing for his life, would not have done his own shopping. But without knowing what he was shopping for, we cannot judge this argument. It is surely possible that he was in the $dyop\acute{a}$ for the normal purpose of making a purchase.

5 The same argument applies to the prohibition of ἀγοράζεω by boys under the age of puberty in Aristophanes:

```
οὐδ' ἀγοράσει γ' ἀγένειος οὐδεὶς ἐν ἀγορᾳ.
— ποῦ δῆτα Κλεισθένης ἀγοράσει καὶ Στράτων;
Ατ. Εq. 1373-4.
```

6 Even clearer is the example from Aristophanes' Acharmans:

```
έγω δὲ κηρύττω τοις Πελοποννησίοις ...
πωλειν ἀγοράζειν πρὸς ἐμέ, Λαμάχω δὲ μή. Ar. Ach. 625.
```

Here the proclamation is that they are to sell and buy $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\epsilon\mu\epsilon$. In other words $\epsilon\gamma\rho\rho\delta\zeta\epsilon\nu$ is treated as a verb of motion, go and buy.

7 Very similar is the following:

```
οἷον τοῦ ἐλθεῖν ἀπὸ τύχης εἰς τὴν ἀγορὰν καὶ καταλαβεῖν ὅν ἐβούλετο μὲν οὐκ ὤετο δέ, αἴτιον τὸ βούλεσθαι ἀγοράσαι ἐλθόντα. Arist. Ph. 196°5.
```

The motive which took him to the market-place was his wish to buy something, and meeting the man he was looking for there was an accident. There is no evidence here for a sense frequent the market-place.

8 Less certain, but I think clearly of the same type, is another line of Aristophanes:

```
καὶ φορήσω τὸ ξίφος τὸ λοιπὸν ἐν μύρτου κλαδί, ἀγοράσω τ' ἐν τοῖς ὅπλοις έξῆς Ἀριστογείτονι.
Αr. Lys. 633.
```

It would be possible to understand $\xi i\phi os$ as the object of both verbs, but it is more likely that $\partial \phi \phi \delta \sigma \omega$ is here intransitive, 'do my shopping'.

- 9 The New Supplement adds an unusual example of the verb being used to mean hold a meeting, meet in assembly. An Athenian tribe is to be asked to vote $\delta \tau a \nu$ $\delta \gamma o \rho \delta \zeta \epsilon \iota$ (= $-\zeta \eta \iota$) SEG 3. 115. 18 (iv BC).
- So far in all examples $\partial \gamma o \rho \delta \zeta \omega$ is intransitive, but the more frequent use is as a transitive verb. This can have two meanings, one of which develops naturally from the other. The earlier sense logically must be to go to market for. This occurs frequently in military writers, of soldiers obtaining their provisions. Ancient armies had no standing arrangements for commissariat, and the troops were expected to feed themselves by purchasing, or in some circumstances seizing, local produce. The presence of $\partial \kappa$ in the following example demonstrates the sense the verb must bear:

```
έκ ταύτης (τῆς πόλεως) οἱ στρατιῶται ἦγόραζον τὰ ἐπιτήδεια.
Χ. Αn. 1. 5. 10.
```

The troops were in the habit of going to get supplies from this city. Greek as usual says *from*, where in English we should say *in*. The middle voice is also employed with hardly any distinction in sense:

```
τὰ δ' ἐπιτήδει' ἀγοράζεσθαι (ἡ δ' ἀγορὰ ἦν ἐν τῷ βαρβαρικῷ στρατεύματι) καὶ συσκευάζεσθαι. Χ. Απ. 1. 3. 14.
```

Here the parenthetic remark about the location of the market shows clearly that the verb means to go to market for.

From this naturally arises the use when the verb describes merely the act of purchase. This is the sense in which the word has come down to Modern Greek, and it answers exactly to English buy. This predominates in usage, at least from iv BC, and hardly needs to be exemplified:

å αὐτῆ τῆ ἀνθρώπω ἠγοράσθη. D. 59. 46. ἔξον αὐτῆ βελτίω πρίασθαι τῆς αὐτῆς τιμῆς, τοῦτον ἠγόρασεν. D. 21. 149.

It can even be used of buying immaterial things, such as contracts:

οί μὲν γὰρ ἀγοράζουσι παρὰ τῶν τιμητῶν (sc. the Roman censors) αὐτοὶ τὰς ἐκδόσεις. Plb. 6. 17. 4.

12 In the light of this revision of the usage we can now approach the disputed passage:

καὶ λέγοντος τοῦ Άλκιβιάδου, καὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει πρὸς τὴν ἐκκλησίαν τετραμμένων, οἱ στρατιῶται πυλίδα τινὰ ἐνωκοδομημένην κακῶς ἔλαθον διελόντες, καὶ ἐσελθόντες ἦγόραζον ἐς τὴν πόλιν. Th. 6. 51. 1.

The situation described is the effort made by the Athenian expedition in Sicily to bring Catana over to their side in the attack on Syracuse. The Catanians refused to admit the army to the city, but they received a delegation of its officers, and gathered in the Assembly to hear a speech by Alcibiades. While he was speaking, the troops discovered a postern gate which had been poorly walled up, and managed to get it open without attracting attention. Herwerden proposed deleting $\hat{\epsilon}_S \tau \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \nu$ as superfluous; Gomme, Andrews, and Dover thought $\hat{\epsilon}_{OE} \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon_S$ might be deleted. But $\hat{\epsilon}_{OE} \gamma \rho \delta \delta \omega$ can be used as a verb of motion, so no change is required: 'on entering they went shopping in the town.'

13 At least it is clear that $\partial \gamma \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ is here intransitive, and is used with $\dot{\epsilon}_S$ implying motion, exactly like $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha}_S$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ in Ar. Ach. 625 (see 6 above). There would be no difficulty in guessing the purpose for which the troops entered the city, were it not for the tradition, faithfully reported by LSJ, that $\partial \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ here means to occupy the market-place. Now if this were a clandestine attempt to capture the city while the citizens were engaged in holding an assembly, it is curious that Thucydides does not make this clear. In fact, the whole tone of the narrative implies that this was a casual operation conducted by the troops on their own initiative, while their officers were absent. The imperfect $\partial \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \zeta \sigma \nu$ implies that it took place

άλανής 39

gradually, as small numbers of men made their way in. It should now be clear that this was no military operation, but the usual habit of troops on campaign to go looking for provisions in the local market. Their aim was not to exert pressure on the Catanians, for if so, they would have moved quickly to surround the $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \eta} \hat{\sigma}(\alpha)$; they merely made their way to the market to obtain $\tau \hat{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \eta} \hat{\sigma}(\alpha)$. The result of this action was misinterpreted by the Syracusan party in Catana, which fled at once, leaving the city in the hands of the pro-Athenian party.

- 14 The senses of this verb should therefore be arranged as follows:
 - I (intr.) go to market, go shopping.
 - 2 (tr.) go to market for, buy in a market.
 - 3 (tr.) purchase, buy.
 - 4 (intr.) hold a meeting, meet in assembly.

ἀλανής

- I Hesychius has the entries: ἀλανές· ἀληθές and ἀλανέως· ὁλοσχερῶς· Ταραντῖνοι. The adverb is found in an Elean inscription (Schwyzer 412) of vi BC in the form ἀρλανέος.
- 2 The etymology of this word is worth a comment, since it could well be identical with $do\lambda\lambda\eta$ s. This is believed to be from *d- $Fo\lambda\nu\eta$ s with Aeolic $-o\lambda$ from -l- and $-\lambda\lambda$ $< -\lambda\nu$ -, cf. $d\phi\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$. The base form will be a neuter s-stem *welnos, so the compound *sm-wlnēs will account for both $do\lambda\lambda\eta$ s and $d(F)\lambda\alpha\nu\eta$ s. Elean is a psilotic dialect.
- 3 The same etymon may perhaps survive in Latin *uolnus*, which might represent an earlier *uelnos. A semantic development would need to be postulated, the basic meaning pressure developing to the result of pressure, bruising, and then extended to any kind of injury. A possible Greek cognate is $oi\lambda\acute{\eta}$, which might be an earlier * $fo\lambda\sigma\bar{a}$. Both would be enlargements of the root *wel-, cf. $\epsilon i\lambda\acute{\epsilon}\omega$, probably from * $f\epsilon\lambda\nu\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ (see DELG).

ἄμαχος

This is defined by LSJ as meaning 'without battle. I with whom no one fights, unconquerable ... irresistible ... II Act., not having fought, taking no part in the battle ... 2 disinclined to fight, not contentious.' This needs regrouping under the following definitions:

- **1** that cannot be fought, irresistible, used of persons, hands, natural forces, etc.; also of grief, behaviour, etc.: ἄλγος Α. Ag. 733; ἄμαχος τρόπος Men. Dysc. 869.
- **b** (of places) unsuitable for fighting, impregnable: ἀπότομός τε γάρ ἐστι ταύτη ἡ ἀκρόπολις καὶ ἄμαχος Hdt. 1. 84. 3.
- 2 unrivalled in its class, unsurpassable: ἐγὼ γάρ σε συγκατεῖρξα τούτῳ τῷ ἀμάχῳ πράγματι (of a woman) Χ. Cyr. 6. 1. 36; γεωργός Men. Dysc. 775.
- 3 not fighting: X. Cyr. 4. 1. 16, HG 4. 4. 9; not given to contention: I Ep. Ti. 3. 3.; Ep. Tit. 3. 2.
- 4 (of a victory) gained without fighting: νίκη Eun. VS p. 472B.

ἄνερμα

The New Supplement contains the entry:

ἄνερμος, ον, unexpld. wd., neut. pl. subst., ἄνερμα τοῦ $\hat{\iota}[\epsilon\rho]$ οῦ ἀργύρου IG 2².1544.24 (Eleusis, iv BC)

The explanation of this word was proposed by me some years ago, but has not been accepted by the Editor. It appears in a catalogue of objects dedicated in a temple, the previous entries being of silver and each followed by a weight. Here too a weight is given, so it is presumably a silver object. But there is nothing to confirm that it is neuter plural; it might just as well be singular, in which case it will fit into the common class of neuter nouns in $-\mu a$ derived from verbs. The verb may well be compounded with $\partial \nu a$, so what is needed is a verb with the root $-\epsilon \rho$. Since presents in $-\omega$ are largely the product of a suffix *- $y\bar{o}$, the present tense may be $\epsilon i \rho \omega$ (cf. e.g. $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$, $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a$), listed by LSJ as $\epsilon i \rho \omega$ (A) and almost certainly for * $\epsilon i \rho \omega$ (cf. Lat. series, etc.). In fact the compound $\partial \nu \epsilon i \rho \omega$ exists, defined by LSJ as 'fasten on or to, string'; and there

is an example from a Delos inscription of ἐνώτια ἀργυρᾶ ἀνειρμένα. It should be obvious that ἄνερμα is that on which objects are threaded, here probably a silver chain or wire used to attach the objects to a temple wall.

ἀντίον, ἀντία

- 1 The use of the neuter of $d\nu\tau ios$ as an adverb requires some comment, especially in view of the discussion to which it has given rise in Hes. Op. 481. It is sometimes constructed with a genitive or less often dative, as if it were in the process of becoming a preposition. But semantically this usage is not really distinct from the purely adverbial, and the examples can be conveniently discussed together.
- 2 The primary sense is in a position opposite the face of the speaker:

αὐτὸς δ' ἀντίον lζεν. Od. 14. 79, cf. 17. 334.

Closely allied to this is its use with verbs of speaking:

τὸν δ' αὖ Νεστορίδης Πεισίστρατος ἀντίον ηὔδα. Οd. 15. 48 et passim.

With genitive, opposite to, facing:

ἀντίον ἀκραέος Ζεφύρου τρέψαντα πρόσωπα. Hes. Op. 594. τὰς δὲ καμήλους ἔταξε ἀντία τῆς ἵππου. Hdt. 1. 80. 4. ἀντίον δὲ τοῦ μεγάρου. Hdt. 5. 77. 3.

3 A more developed sense is where it means not simply facing, but in the presence of (Latin coram). With genitive:

μέγα δὲ δμῶες χατέουσι ἀντία δεσποίνης φάσθαι καὶ ἕκαστα πυθέσθαι. Od. 15. 377. ἐντειλάμενος μηδένα ἀντίον αὐτῶν μηδεμίαν φωνὴν ἱέναι. Hdt. 2, 2, 2; cf. 7, 209. 2.

4 A natural development is to mean in opposition to, against:

η πολύ λώϊόν έστι κατά στρατόν εὐρὺν Άχαιῶν δῶρ' ἀποαιρεῖσθαι ὅς τις σέθεν ἀντίον εἴπη. Il. 1. 230.

This clearly means not 'in your presence', but 'in opposition to you'. Similarly:

πῶς δὲ σὺ νῦν μέμονας, κύον ἀδεές, ἀντί' ἐμεῖο στήσεσθαι; ΙΙ. 21. 481. Μεγάβυζος, ὃς ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ ἀντία Ἀθηναίων καὶ τῶν συμμάχων ἐστρατήγησε. Hdt. 3. 160. 2.

With dative:

Λακεδαιμόνιοι δὲ ἢν ἴωσι ἀντία Πέρσησι ἐς μάχην. Hdt. 7. 236. 3. οὖκ ἐρίζων ἀντία τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς. Pi. P. 4. 285; cf. N. 1. 25.

5 We can now turn to the Hesiod passage mentioned, which describes the unsatisfactory results of ploughing too late in the season:

ἥμενος ἀμήσεις ὀλίγον περὶ χειρὸς ἐέργων, ἀντία δεσμεύων κεκονιμένος, οὐ μάλα χαίρων, οἴσεις δ' ἐν φορμῷ. Hes. Op. 481.

The traditional interpretation of this passage is: 'You will do your reaping sitting down [because the stalks are so short] and gather little in your hand, binding [the sheaves] with their ends facing opposite ways, covered in dust, no pleasant task, and you will bring them [home] in a skep.' (A skep is the technical term for the large wicker basket used by farmers for carrying bulky loads, so this implies that there will be not enough for a waggon-load.) The meaning attributed to ἀντία here, facing opposite ways, comes from the Scholia vetera, quoted by M. L. West ad loc.: τὰ γεννήμα(τά) σου ἀντ(ί') ἀλλήλων δεσμεύσεις διὰ τὴν βραχύτητα τὸ γὰρ ἐπίμηκες ἐν τῶ μέσω δεσμεῖται. Here ἀντία plainly means 'facing each other', but the presence of ἀλλήλων is crucial. It is less clear why the shortness of the stalks makes this necessary. But West has an answer: 'The ἐλλεδανοί with which they were bound ... would slip off if the straw was too short, unless the sheaf was made with ears at both ends.' This might be true, but it does not fit the following clause of the Scholion: 'for an elongated object is tied in the middle'. Whether the ears are at one end or both, the sheaf will still be ἐπίμηκες. Perhaps the idea is that by this alternating arrangement the effective length of the sheaf will be increased.

ἄντομος 43

- 6 But just because the editors have, in some cases reluctantly, accepted this ancient interpretation, this does not make it the correct one. We must ask ourselves: is this a plausible meaning for $d\nu\tau i\alpha$, and are there other examples to confirm it? It would seem clear that there are no parallels, at least in early Greek. So perhaps we should look for a simpler explanation.
- I believe the solution to this problem lies in determining where the emphasis falls. Obviously $\eta \mu \epsilon vos$ at the beginning of 480 is emphatic: 'you will sit down to reap'; and ἐν φορμῷ in 482: 'you will do your carting in a skep' [i.e. one man will be able to carry the whole crop]. The curious sense given to avtla assumes that it too is emphatic; but I think the emphasis falls better on κεκονιμένος: 'you will get covered in dust as you do your binding'. If we suppose that ἀντία merely describes the normal (indeed, only) way to bind sheaves, we can give it its proper meaning: in a facing direction, straight ahead. If you are sitting down with the stalks of corn across your knees, there is only one way you can bind them, at right angles to the way they lie, which is correctly described from the speaker's point of view as straight ahead. It is therefore unnecessary to invent a new and unparalleled sense for the word on the basis of this passage. Of course, if satisfactory parallels can be found, this may change the balance of probability. But I believe that the simple, straightforward and obvious meaning must always be chosen, until it can be shown to be inappropriate to the passage.

ἄντομος

This word is known from only two sources, the *Tabulae Heracleenses* (Schwyzer 62, 63), a long inscription of iv BC recording the operations of boundary commissioners, and the lexicon of Hesychius. There would have been no problem in determining its meaning and etymology, but for the information supplied by the lexicographer. It is certainly true that Hesychius preserves for us a number of rare words which would otherwise be unknown. But he often offers for his entries meanings which cannot be supported by known usage, and these must remain doubtful. Indeed, it is often possible to see that his synonyms are, if not wrong,

44 ἄντομος

sometimes only half-right. Thus we ought in a case like this to rely much more on the evidence of usage than on ancient testimony.

2 The sense of the word is easy to deduce from passages such as these:

συνεμετρήσαμες δε άρξάμενοι ἀπὸ τῶ ἀντόμω τῶ hυπερ Πανδοσίας ἄγοντος τῶ διατάμνοντος τώς τε hιαρὼς χώρως καὶ τὰν Γιδίαν γὰν ἐπὶ τὸν ἄντομον τὸν ὀρίζοντα τώς τε τῶ Διονύσω χώρως καὶ τὸν Κωνέας ho Δίωνος ἐπαμώχη.

1. 12, 13

τετάρτα μερὶς ἀπὸ Γικατιδείω μᾶκος μὲν ἀπὸ τῶ ἀντόμω τῶ ἐς πόταμον ἄγοντος ποτὶ τὰν πόθοδον τὰν πὰρ τὰς ἀμπέλως ἄγωσαν, εὖρος ... κτλ. 2.93.

These make it clear that an $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$ is a visible linear feature separating one plot from another, and distinguished from an access road ($\pi\delta\theta\sigma\delta\sigma\sigma$). As such, we might think of a fence or hedge; but in open country it is the usual practice simply to leave a narrow strip of uncultivated ground to serve as a marker. This is presumably what $\tilde{a}\nu\tau\sigma\mu\sigma\sigma$ means, and its technical name in English is baulk.

3 The etymology is also clear. It is a dialect form of *åváτομος, with apocope of the preposition, probably an adjective in origin, implying a suppressed substantive. But the meaning cut off or dissected is inappropriate. More likely therefore we should treat the compound as active in sense, meaning divider; cf. $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ II 4 in LSI and section 16 of my note on this word.

4 The Hesychius entry reads:

ἀντόμους σκόλοπας Σικελοί.

Pointed stakes might of course make up a fence, and these too would serve to mark a boundary. But it is unlikely that the lands described in the Tabulae would all be fenced. Rather it seems as if this is an etymological deduction from $\tilde{a}\nu\tau o\mu os$ as meaning cut up, i.e. sharpened. Whether such a sense existed or not, it is impossible to tell. But the attested use of the word can now be accepted, and the lexicographer's note held in limbo until an example is found to confirm it.

ἀπέχω 45

ἀπέχω

I LSJ gives as sense IV 'have or receive in full'. The examples quoted are:

έπειδη δε ανεγνώσθη (ή έπιστολή), Άπέχετε, έφην, την απόκρισιν, καὶ λοιπὸν ὑμιν ἐστι βουλεύσασθαι.

Aeschin. 2. 50.

έπεσσομένοισιν δράσθαι ή γρηΰς μαστῶν ὡς ἀπέχει χάριτας. Call. Epigr. 50 Pf. τὸ χρέος ὡς ἀπέχεις, Ἀσκληπιέ ... γιγνώσκειν. Ibid. 54 Pf.

ἀμὴν λέγω ὑμῖν, ἀπέχουσιν τὸν μισθὸν αὐτῶν. Ev. Matt. 6. 2.

ό γὰρ ἐν γάμῳ παρορῶν τὸ καλὸν οὐ τέκνων ἔνεκα δῆλός ἐστιν, ἀλλ' ἡδονῆς ἀγόμενος γυναῖκα, τόν τε μισθὸν ἀπέχει, καὶ παρρησίαν αὐτῷ πρὸς τοὺς γενομένους οὐκ ἀπολέλοιπεν, οἷς αὐτὸ τὸ γενέσθαι πεποίηκεν ὄνειδος. Plu. Sol. 22. 4.

ωστε καὶ αὐτὸν ... δμολογήσαι τὸν καρπὸν ἀπέχειν τῶν ... ποιηθέντων. Plu. Them. 17.

τὸ δ' ἀπέχοντα τῆ φύσει τὸ μέτριον καὶ πεπληρωμένον ἐπιδράττεσθαι τῶν τοιούτων. Plu. 2. 124e.

 ${\it a}\pi {\it \epsilon} \chi \omega \ \pi {\it a} \rho' \ {\it b} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \ {\it t} \hat{\it o} \nu \ \phi \acute{\it o} \rho \it o \nu \ {\it t} \it o \hat{\it u} \ {\it e} \grave{\it h} \it a [\iota] \it o \upsilon \rho \gamma \acute{\it t} \it o \upsilon .$

BGU 612. 2 (i AD).

The New Supplement adds:

Θηβαίοι μὲν ἀπέχουσι τὰ οἰκεία, Φωκείς δὲ ἄπαντα ἀπολωλέκασι. D. fr. 23 S.

2 There is nothing in any of these examples to suggest that payment is made in full. But in every case the present tense describes the result of a past action, and it is clear that in Plu. Sol. 22. 4 and in the new example from the fragment of Demosthenes it is coupled with a perfect. In other words $\delta n \delta \chi \omega$ functions as the perfect of $\delta n \delta \delta \chi \omega \omega$, just as $\delta \chi \omega$ often means 'to have acquired' and so 'to possess'. The definition should therefore be emended to read: to have received.

46 ἁπλόος

άπλόος

I An inscription from Miletus of iii AD (SEG 30. 1352) mentions that:

της ἐπιγραφης ἀπλοῦν ἀπετέθη είς τὸ ἀρχεῖον.

Clearly this means that a text of the inscription was deposited in the archives; but what sort of text is so described? Surely it was the original, from which the inscription was engraved.

2 LSJ quotes:

χαρίσασθαι μέν αὐτῆ τὰς ἐκ Περγάμου βιβλιοθήκας, ἐν αἶς εἴκοσι μυριάδες βιβλίων άπλῶν ἦσαν. Plu. Ant. 58.

This can hardly mean, as LSJ state, 'rolls containing a single author', since each major author would have been represented by a number of rolls (Homer by 48, Thucydides by 8, for instance). It is more likely to mean 'excluding duplicates', because a large number could easily be achieved by counting separately each copy of a book. The modern equivalent would be '200,000 titles'.

ἀραιός

- The first sense given by LSJ is 'thin, slender ... narrow ... meagre'. This is a remarkably vague sense for a word which is usually much more precise. Sense II then begins 'later, of the substance of bodies, of loose texture'. What does 'later' mean? Sense I includes examples from Homer, Hesiod, Xenophon, Aristotle, Nicander, and Plutarch. So it can hardly mean later than all of these writers, since it begins with the Presocratics, Anaximenes, Melissus, and Anaxagoras. Any attempt to sort out this muddle needs to begin by choosing clear and unambiguous examples; then, once the pattern has been established, we may be able to see where the passages cited in sense I fit.
- 2 There can be little doubt that the predominant sense of the word is having gaps or intervals, for as LSJ rightly records it is frequently used as the opposite to $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\delta$. The Presocratics used it as a technical term for rarefied forms of matter, and this usage continues in later Greek, as for instance:

άραιός 47

άναθυμίασις ... νέφους άραιοτέρα. Arist. Mu. 394°21.

In more general terms it means of loose texture, for instance in the medical writers of parts of the body:

τὰ δὲ πυκνά τε καὶ τεθηλότα, τὰ δὲ σπογγοειδέα καὶ ἀραιά. Ηρ. VM 22.

δκόσοισι δὲ (δέρματα) χαλαρὰ καὶ ἀραιά, σὺν ἴδρωτι τελευτῶσιν. Ηp. Aph. 5. 71.

άπαλὸν γὰρ καὶ ἀραιὸν χρῆμά ἐστιν ὁ πλεύμων. Hp. Nat. Puer. 24.

It can be used of anything loosely structured, for instance:

εἴρια, ἄτε ἀραιά τε καὶ μαλθακὰ ἐόντα. Hp. Mul. 1. 1. σπόγγοις ἀραιοῖς. D. S. 3. 14.

Here it is not merely an epithet of sponges, but designates those which are especially loose. Of plants:

τὰ μὲν στερεὰ καὶ πυκνὰ ἐν τοῖς ξηροῖς φυτεύειν, τὰ δ' ἀραιὰ καὶ ὑγρὰ ἐν τοῖς μαλακωτέροις καὶ ἐφυγροτέροις.

Thphr. CP 2. 4. 7.

3 Arising naturally from this is the use in the plural to mean having gaps between them, widely spaced, sparse:

καὶ γὰρ ἀσθενεῖς αἱ τρίχες καὶ ἀραιαὶ καὶ βραχεῖαι τὸ πρώτον ἄπασιν ἐπιγίγνονται τοῖς παιδίοις. Arist. Col. 797⁶27.

καὶ γὰρ ταῦτα (sc. water and air) εἶναι δοκεῖ μέλανα, βάθος ἔχοντα, διὰ τὸ παντελῶς ἀραιὰς ἀνακλᾶσθαι τοὺς ἀκτῖνας.

Ibid. 791°27.

νέφεσι δὲ πυκνοῦσι τὸν οὐρανὸν καικίας μὲν σφόδρα, λὶψ δὲ ἀραιοτέροις. Arist. Mete. 364⁵25.

The last passage is translated by H. D. P. Lee (Loeb) 'Caecias fills the sky with thick clouds, Lips with thinner.' But πυκνοῦσι goes with both winds; 'both Caecias and Lips cover the sky thickly with clouds, but in the case of Lips these are more widely spaced.' There is a good example from Pollux quoting Aristotle:

Άριστοτέλης δέ φησι ... τοὺς μὲν ἔχοντας πυκνοὺς καὶ συνεχεῖς τοὺς ὀδόντας, μακροβίους τὸ ἐναντίον δὲ, ὅποσοι ἀραιούς. Poll. 2. 94.

4 As noted by LSJ (II 2), apaios is used by military writers to mean in open order:

τό τε ἀραιότατον, καθ' ὁ ἀλλήλων ἀπέχουσι κατά τε μῆκος καὶ βάθος ἔκαστοι πήχεις τέσσαρας καὶ τὸ πυκνότατον ...
. Ascl. Tact. 4. 1.

But as we shall see, further examples of this use have already appeared in sense I.

5 It is a general rule that words which describe spatial arrangement can also be used to show arrangement in time; for instance, before, $\pi\rho\delta$, etc. mean both in front of and previous to. Thus we should expect to find $d\rho\alpha\iota\delta\varsigma$ meaning also (of events) occurring at intervals, intermittent. Again the medical writers offer the best examples:

τούτω πνεῦμα διὰ τέλεος, ὥσπερ ἀνακαλεομένω, ἀραιόν, μέγα. Hp. Epid. 1. 26. a'.

This describes a comatose patient in a terminal illness: 'his breathing throughout was as if he had to summon it up, with pauses between deep breaths.' So of the pulse:

εὶ μὲν οὖν μείζους εἶεν οἱ τῶν ἡσυχιῶν χρόνοι τοῦ κατὰ φύσιν, ἀραιὸν ὀνομάζουσιν τὸν σφυγμόν· εἰ δὲ ἐλάττους, πυκνόν.

Gal. 9. 444.

In other contexts:

τοιαύτας καὶ τὰς φωνὰς συμβαίνει γίγνεσθαι προσπιπτούσας πρὸς τὴν ἀκοὴν, οἶον ἀραιὰς ἢ πυκνὰς, ἢ μαλακὰς ἢ σκληρὰς, ἢ λεπτὰς ἢ παχείας. Arist. Aud. 803⁶28.

This is listed by LSJ in sense IV under the heading scanty, few and far between, together with the hair of young children and the rays reflected off water, both of which are spatial.

6 Having established this pattern, we can now turn to LSJ's sense I, and some other alleged senses. In the Iliad ἀραιός is four times used of parts of the body; three of these are easy, the fourth is more difficult to explain. Athena is being bitchy about the wound Aphrodite has received from Diomedes, and cattily suggests it was a scratch from a brooch:

πρὸς χρυσ $\hat{\eta}$ περόνη καταμύξατο χείρα ἀραιήν. Il. 5. 425.

άραιός 49

This is not slender (LSJ) or dainty (Rieu), but is strongly derogatory, more like English flabby. It also has a suggestion of weakness, and this too is obvious in the description of Hephaistos with his brawny arms but withered legs:

ύπὸ δὲ κνῆμαι ῥώοντο ἀραιαί. Il. 18. 411, cf. 20. 37.

7 The fourth example is different. It occurs in the long simile about the wolves which have brought down a deer and then go in a pack to drink at a spring:

καί τ' ἀγεληδον ἴασιν ἀπο κρήνης μελανύδρου λάψοντες γλώσσησιν ἀραιῆσι μέλαν ὕδωρ, ἀκρόν, ἐρευγόμενοι φόνον αἵματος. Il. 16. 161.

The translators and commentators offer such versions as narrow, slender, thin (Willcock). But wolves' tongues are not, so far as I can discover, notably narrow. They might be described as thin in the sense of lacking thickness, or we might suppose that the word is again used in the sense of flabby. But Homeric similes are usually the result of careful observation and accurate description. What would be most noticeable about the tongues of a pack of wolves which were lapping the surface of a pool? Surely the fact that they were in constant motion, coming and going. They would thus be intermittent, a sense we have already established for this word at a later date. Perhaps the best translation would be flickering.

8 We come now to the only example of the word in the Odyssey, where it occurs in the description of the harbour of the Laestrygonians:

ένθ' ἐπεὶ ἐς λιμένα κλυτὸν ἤλθομεν, ὅν πέρι πέτρη ἤλίβατος τετύχηκε διαμπερὲς ἀμφοτέρωθεν, ἀκταὶ δὲ προβλῆτες ἐναντίαι ἀλλήλησιν ἐν στόματι προὔχουσιν, ἀραιὴ δ' εἴσοδός ἐστιν. Οd. 10. 90.

The scene is clear: the harbour is surrounded by high cliffs on both sides, and headlands jut out facing each other at its mouth, and the entrance is $d\rho ai\eta$. The description goes on to relate how the water inside was never rough, so all the other captains brought their ships inside; only Odysseus had his ship tied to a rock outside, so that when they were attacked he could cut the rope and escape.

50 ἀραιός

The translation *narrow* looks at first sight inescapable. But if the original meaning was, as I have suggested, *having gaps*, then perhaps it was intended to mean 'the entrance is the gap between them'. The passage can hardly be assigned to the same sense as Aphrodite's hand or the wolves' tongues.

9 Hesiod uses the adjective once, to describe ships. The context is unhelpful, because it occurs in his calendar of lucky and unlucky days:

τετράδι δ' ἄρχεσθαι νη̂ας πήγνυσθαι ἀραιάς. Hes. Op. 809.

This is not a stock epithet, but it too has been taken as meaning narrow. But what are these narrow ships? Certainly not the ordinary merchant ship of Hesiod's time. The epithet would only be suitable for a warship, which cannot be Hesiod's meaning. It is so inappropriate as a descriptive epithet it must surely have some more functional meaning. Now πήγνυσθαι is certainly the word for building a ship; cf. the noun ναυπηγός. But its basic meaning is fasten together, the vital carpentry which creates a ship. The first stage is lay a keel, then to fit to this ribs and connect them with stringers, the longitudinal members; at this stage the outline of the ship is clearly visible, but it lacks the planking of the hull. It is therefore a mere skeleton, which could guite properly be described as having gaps. It would make sense if Hesiod recommended the fourth as a suitable day for making a start on constructing the framework of ships. Even if this explanation seems too far-fetched, narrow cannot be right, nor is Mazon's sveltes (Budé) any better.

There is another passage in early epic ignored by LSJ, but which certainly requires a commentary. This is in the Homeric hymn to Hermes, and is part of the description of how he carried off the cattle of Apollo. Being well aware that they would leave tracks, especially in the sandy place they had to cross, Hermes used short baulks of timber to lengthen his legs, so that he would not leave tracks recognisable as those of a small boy. It is necessary to quote a long section:

τὰ δ' ἄρ' ἴχνια δοιὰ πέλωρα οἶά τ' ἀγάσσασθαι καὶ ἀγαυοῦ δαίμονος ἔργα. τῆσιν μὲν γὰρ βουσὶν ἐς ἀσφοδελὸν λειμῶνα ἀντία βήματ' ἔχουσα κόνις ἀνέφαινε μέλαινα

άραιός 51

αὐτὸς δ' οὖτος ὁ δεκτός, ἀμήχανος, οὔτ' ἄρα ποσσὶν οὕτ' ἄρα χερσὶν ἔβαινε διὰ ψαμαθώδεα χῶρον· ἀλλ' ἄλλην τινὰ μῆτιν ἔχων διέτριβε κέλευθα τοῖα πέλωρ' ὡς εἴ τις ἀραιῆσι δρυσὶ βαίνοι. h. Merc. 349.

The beginning of line 346 is corrupt and some editions print autòs δ' ἐκτὸς ὁδοῦ, τις, but whatever the reading it will not affect the general picture. Hermes took care not to walk on his feet or his hands when crossing the sandy spot. But he pressed deeply tracks such as a monster might make $(\pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \rho \alpha)$, 'as if someone walked on άραιῆσι timbers.' This clearly refers, though I have been unable to find this explanation in translations and commentaries, to the use of wooden stilts. The effect of these is to lengthen the stride and, owing to the extra weight, to make deep impressions (διέτριβε); thus anyone studying the tracks might well conclude that the cattle-rustler was not a boy, but a large monster. The epithet άραιῆσι is transferred from the prints to the objects which made them, but it clearly means widely spaced, a characteristic of tracks left by a person on stilts. This explanation of διέτριβε seems to me preferable to that offered by the New Supplement, for I do not see how 'space out tracks' could be extracted from this verb, although it would suit my interpretation of the passage.

We come now to the later examples assigned by LSJ to sense I. In Nicander the adjective is applied to the belly:

έπεὶ διὰ μητρὸς ἀραιήν γαστέρ' ἀναβρώσαντες ἀμήτορες ἐξεγένοντο. Nic. Th. 133.

There seems no reason why this should not refer to the flabby structure of the belly, as in the medical examples quoted above; and the same would hold for LSJ's sense V, where the feminine is apparently used alone with the same sense (Ruf. Onom. 171). In fact Nicander is fond of åpaiós; there are five more examples in the Theriaca, only one of which is quoted by LSJ (II 1 b) as meaning empty when applied to blisters. Here too there is no reason why it should not mean flabby, which empty blisters are.

12 In this section LSJ add with 'cf.' a passage of Theocritus which calls for discussion:

έγω δέ σε τον καλον αινέων ψεύδεα ρινός ὕπερθεν άραιῆς οὐκ ἀναφύσω. Theoc. 12. 24. The sense is plainly: 'if I call you beautiful, I shall not be proved a liar.' The scholia tell us that $\psi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\delta\epsilon\alpha$ are spots or pimples which appear on the faces of liars. Gow (ad loc.) debates whether $\ddot{v}\pi\epsilon\rho\theta\epsilon\nu$ means on the nose or above the nose, and opts for the latter. But if it means on the forehead there is little point in adding an epithet to the nose; so I should prefer to take it as meaning on, i.e. sticking up from, the nose. Gow has a learned note, expanded in JHS 71 (1951), 81, explaining that a sharp nose was regarded as a sign of honesty, and $d\rho\alpha\dot{\nu}\eta$ meaning thin might be regarded as the same as $d\xi\epsilon\hat{\iota}\alpha$. However, as we have seen, there is no evidence for the sense thin, slender, but we have for flabby. Surely this is the meaning intended; spots are typical of loose, flabby flesh.

13 I discussed earlier the military use of ἀραιός to mean widely spaced of men in battle formation. It is hard to understand why LSJ inserted in sense I instead of II 2 the examples from Xenophon and Plutarch, which must be quoted:

αί δὲ παραγωγαὶ ὤσπερ ὑπὸ κήρυκος ὑπὸ τοῦ ἐνωμοτάρχου λόγω δηλοῦνται ⟨καὶ⟩ ἀραιαί τε καὶ βαθύτεραι αὶ φάλαγγες γίγνονται. Χ. Lac. 11. 6.

άραιὰν τὴν φάλαγγα τῶν ὁπλιτῶν ἐπὶ πλεῖστον ἀνάγων τοῦ πεδίου. Plu. Crass. 23.

These appear to be classified as narrow by LSJ, which might fit the Xenophon passage, if as they suggest $\beta a\theta \dot{\nu}\tau\epsilon\rho\alpha\iota$ is taken as its direct opposite. But it is clear from the passage of Asclepiodotus quoted above (4) that the spacing of men in a *phalanx* can vary laterally and longitudinally. The line becomes sparse by increasing the distance to left and right of each man, deeper by increasing the distance between the lines. The Plutarch example cannot of course mean narrow; the line was extended so as to cover most of the plain.

This leaves us with only one further example of sense I:

χρη δε καὶ τὰς εγκύους επιμελεῖσθαι τῶν σωμάτων, μη ραθυμούσας μηδ' ἀραιᾳ τροφή χρωμένας.

Arist. Pol. 1335b13.

It is of course possible that Aristotle meant meagre as LSJ translates; but since this sense has not been proved to exist, it would άραιός 53

obviously be preferable to assign it to a well-attested sense, namely *intermittent* (see 5 above). Pregnant women are not to get slack and skip meals.

There remains sense VI 'of the voice, thin' in Theocritus. If meagre of food were established, thin or feeble of the voice would be possible. But without that support I think we should look for another explanation of the passage in the Hylas epyllion:

τρὶς μὲν "Υλαν ἄυσεν, ὅσον βαθὺς ἤρυγε λαιμός·
τρὶς δ' ἄρ' ὁ παῖς ὑπάκουσεν, ἀραιὰ δ' ἴκετο φωνά
ἐξ ὕδατος, παρέων δὲ μάλα σχεδὸν εἴδετο πόρρω.
Τheoc. 13. 59.

Here the last clause obviously means that a person standing very close perceived the voice as distant; hence the idea that $d\rho\alpha id$ means faint. But would Theocritus have repeated the idea so blatantly? Surely it is much better if we can give a different sense to $d\rho\alpha id$, so that the last clause adds something more to the picture. Since the call came and was answered three times, it is quite possible that the adjective means sounding at intervals, i.e. with a gap in time between each reply. A new sense at least needs far better evidence than this to be acceptable and an isolated example should in preference be attached to a well-known sense.

16 In conclusion I give the scaffolding on which I would construct a new Lexicon article on this word. The numbers in brackets refer to the sections above where the examples are quoted and discussed.

1 containing spatial discontinuities or gaps, loosely structured, of loose texture (2). b of parts of the body, lacking firmness, flabby (6, 11, 12). c of a gap, narrow.

- 2 in plural, having gaps intervening, widely spaced, sparse (3, 10). b in military use, in open order (4, 13).
- 3 occurring at intervals of time, intermittent (5, 14, 15). **b** appearing and disappearing, flickering (7).
- 17 Finally, a speculation about the etymology. The scansion in Homer suggests that the word may have had Fα-, though no proof of this has been found. If so, it is tempting to think that Latin uarius might be a cognate, if it means discontinuously coloured,

patchy. Greek does not show this development, which is covered by another word, αἰόλος. The connexion has not hitherto been evident, owing to the failure to grasp the basic meaning of ἀραιός.

ἀργύριος

LSJ recorded this as an Aeolicism in Alcman (the up-to-date reference is 1.55 P). It is well known that Aeolic has adjectives of material with the suffix -105 replacing the more usual -605. In the 1968 Supplement Aeolic was changed to Laconian, in accordance with the modern view that most of Alcman's dialect forms are taken from early Laconian. But the editor failed to remember that in Laconian $\epsilon_0 > \iota_0$, so that this is not a separate formation, but merely a dialect modification of the familiar $d\rho\gamma\psi\rho\epsilon_0$, $d\rho\gamma\nu\rho\sigma\hat{\nu}_0$. In the New Supplement it has been moved to this article. It should also be added that $d\rho\gamma\psi\rho\iota\sigma\nu$ $\pi\rho\delta\sigma\omega\pi\sigma\nu$ can hardly mean a silver face, but it is a poetic extension, probably shining like silver, silvery.

άρπακτός

LSJ gives as sense 2 'to be caught, i.e. to be got by chance, hazardous' in Hesiod. The passage runs:

εἰαρινὸς πέλεται πλόος· οὔ μιν ἔγωγε αἴνημ', οὐ γὰρ ἐμῷ θυμῷ κεχαρισμένος ἐστίν ἀρπακτός· χαλεπῶς κε φύγοις κακόν. Hes. Op. 684.

The translators and commentators are unanimous in agreeing that άρπακτός here means snatched, i.e. executed rapidly in the intervals of bad weather. See Sinclair, Evelyn-White; 'il faut en saisir l'instant' Mazon (Budé). West's note on line 320 emphasises correctly that the word means snatched, and not to be snatched as Mazon's translation seems to imply, but fails to explain in what respect, since in the other use it is applied to property. It is obvious that LSJ's explanation is unsatisfactory and needs to be changed as in the New Supplement.

ἀσσκονικτεί

This word appears on a åλτήρ found at Olympia and inscribed in the Laconian alphabet of vi BC. It is clear from parallels that this form is a variant of the regular ἀκονιτεί, meaning 'without raising the dust', i.e. 'without much effort'. The problem is to explain the form, which Hansen (CEG 372) regards as not yet solved. The full text reads:

Ακματίδας Λακεδαιμόνιος νικον ανέθεκε τα πέντε ασσκονικτεί.

- There are two details which require explanation: the $-\sigma$ which apparently precedes the root of $\kappa \delta \nu \iota s$, and the presence of an intrusive $-\kappa$ before $-\tau \epsilon \iota$. The doubling of the $-\sigma$ in this position is frequent in inscriptions; cf. $A\sigma\sigma\kappa\lambda\eta\pi\iota \delta s$. But there seems to be no trace of a form $\sigma\kappa\delta\nu \iota s$, with the doubtful exception of the Modern Greek $\sigma\kappa\delta\nu\eta$. However, variants with and without this 'movable' s-do occur, often visible only in cognates in other languages, such as Gk. $\sigma\chi\iota \zeta \omega$, Sanskrit *khidati*. But this is usual only where Sanskrit has h, h answering to Gk. χ , ϕ . Attempts have been made (as in the 1968 Supplement to LSJ) to invoke $\sigma\kappa\sigma\rho\alpha\kappa\iota \zeta\omega$ as a parallel; but this is simply due to the loss of an initial vowel in allegro pronunciation, being derived from ϵs $\kappa\delta\rho\alpha\kappa\alpha s$ as an imprecation. A similar oath ϵs $\kappa\delta\nu\nu$ might perhaps have been used by wrestlers attempting to throw their opponent, but remains unattested.
- 3 But whatever the origin of the σ-, the -κ- also demands explanation. Adverbs of this type are formed from adjectives in -τος, though ἀκόνιτος is recorded only at a late date (Q. Smyrnaeus 4. 319). So ἀσσκονικτεί demands a stem *σκονικ- meaning dust. This can be explained by supposing that in Laconian the i-stem had been extended by a velar. This is exactly what happened in West Greek to *κλαρίς, which can be reconstructed both from Mycenaean ka-ra-wi-po-ro = |klāwiphoros| and from the probable Latin loan-word of very early date clāuis. This in Attic-Ionic received a dental extension (κλείς, κλειδός, κληίς, κληίδος). At Epidaurus and elsewhere we have κλαιξ, κλαικος, probably with a long diphthong (i.e. κλậξ). It would thus seem likely that the Laconian for dust had the form *σκόνιξ, σκόνικος.

ἀτεχνῶς

The adjective $\partial \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s$ is hardly recorded before late Greek, so it has been generally assumed that $\partial \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega s$ is not the adverb from it, but is from $\partial \tau \epsilon \chi \nu s$ (Plato onwards), with shift of accent. See e.g. Schwyzer, Gram. ii 414. The new example of Ionic $\partial \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \epsilon \omega s$ in an inscription of iv BC from Olynthus (TAPhA 65 (1934), 105; see the New Supplement) must reopen this question, for this can only come from $\partial \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta s$. It is thus clear that its absence from the record of classical Greek is probably an accident, and the theory of an aberrant accent can be abandoned.

ἄχυρον

- I There is considerable confusion about this simple word due to a faulty definition in LSJ, which reads: 'chaff, bran, husks left after threshing or grinding'. This omits to mention the chief waste product of threshing, straw, but this is almost always what ἄχυρα means.
- 2 The clearest evidence can be found in a passage of Xenophon:

```
ἄν μὲν βραχὺς ἢ ὁ κάλαμος τοῦ σίτου, ἔγωγ', ἔφην, κάτωθεν
ἄν τέμνοιμι, ἵνα ἱκανὰ τὰ ἄχυρα γίγνηται. Χ. Oec. 18. 2.
```

In a discussion about reaping the question is how near the ground the stalk should be cut; so if the stalks are short, the cut should be made low, so as to yield sufficient *straw*. It is obvious that *chaff* makes no sense here. Similarly:

```
συνάξει τὸν σίτον αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν ἀποθήκην, τὸ δὲ ἄχυρον κατακαύσει πυρὶ ἀσβέστω. Ευ. Matt. 3. 12.
```

A disputed passage of Theocritus needs to be mentioned here.

```
σίτον ἀλοιῶντας φεύγειν τὸ μεσαμβρινὸν ὕπνον·

ἐκ καλάμας ἄχυρον τελέθει τημόσδε μάλιστα.

Theoc. 10. 49.
```

This passage has caused commentators and lexicographers much perplexity; see Gow ad loc. and the New Supplement. It is generally agreed that the sense of the couplet is that threshing is ἄχυρον 57

best done at midday. As Gow notes, the same idea is expressed by Vergil:

et medio tostas aestu terit area fruges. G. 1. 298.

The main purpose of threshing is to separate the edible grain from the by-product, straw; hence this is what we should expect ayupov to mean in this context. If so, it is at first sight surprising that the grain is not given prominence. In fact it is the first word, but Gow misses the point by translating 'thresh the corn'. Now if σῖτος here is grain, it follows that the verb must mean 'produce by threshing', a perfectly proper sense for a denominative verb. The first line will therefore translate 'when threshing out the grain forgo your sleep at midday'; and the second line will give the reason for this. Since τημόσδε μάλιστα implies that this is the best time of day for the operation, it follows that the first four words of the second line must be an 'elegant variation' on the theme of threshing. If axupov means, as this note will demonstrate, straw, the line will translate: 'this is the best time of day to get the straw from the stalk' or 'turn the stalk into straw'. In either case this simply repeats the idea expressed by σίτον άλοιῶντας. The καλάμα, like the κάλαμος in the Xenophon passage quoted above, will refer to the stalk as cut still bearing the ear. For this cf.

```
ής τε πλείστην μέν καλάμην χθονὶ χαλκὸς ἔχευεν,
ἄμητος δ' ὀλίγιστος. Il. 19. 222.
```

There is therefore no need to invent a new sense to accommodate this passage.

3 This sense can be confirmed by the various uses to which this material is put. A primary use is as fodder for animals. Theophrastus discussing the effect of a certain crop on horses:

οί ἵπποι ἐσθίοντες τὸ πρώτον διεφθείροντο, κατὰ μικρὸν δὲ οὖν ἐθισθέντες ἐν ἀχύροις οὐδὲν ἔπασχον.

Thphr. HP 4. 4. 9.

For sheep:

```
πιαίνει δὲ τὰ πρόβατα θάλλος, κότινος, ἀφάκη, ἄχυρα ὁποῖα
ἄν ἢ. Arist. HA 596°25.
```

Theophrastus similarly remarks on the difference between barley and wheat straw as fodder: ίδιον δὲ καὶ τὸ ἄχυρον τοῦ κριθινοῦ τὸ πύρινον, ἐγχυλότερον γὰρ καὶ μαλακώτερον. Thphr. HP 8. 4. 1.

In the Septuagint:

καὶ λέων ώς βοῦς φάγεται ἄχυρα. LXX Is. 11. 7.

4 Another obvious use is in the making of mud-bricks, again well exampled from the Septuagint, e.g.:

διδόναι ἄχυρον τῷ λαῷ εἰς τὴν πλινθουργίαν. LXX Εχ. 5. 7

This is necessary equally to explain a reference in Hippocrates:

κροκύδας ἀπὸ τῶν ἱματίων ἀποτιλλούσας καὶ καρφολογεούσας καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν τοίχων ἄχυρα ἀποσπώσας.

Hp. Prog. 4.

And the same applies to the derivative ἀχύρωσις applied to the making of swallows' nests:

οίον πρώτον ἐπὶ τών ὀρνίθων ἡ τῆς χελιδόνος σκηνοπηγία· τῆ γὰρ περὶ τὸν πηλὸν ἀχυρώσει τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει τάξιν.

Arist. HA 612b22.

In all these cases straw rather than chaff is clearly meant.

5 It can also be used to deaden sound. Though here chaff is a possible meaning, one wonders if it would have been available in sufficient quantities, whereas straw plainly would have been.

όταν τελευτήση βασιλεύς ... ἀχύρω ἡ ἀγορὰ καταπάσσεται. Arist. fr. 611. 60.

Cf. the use of ἀχυρόω:

διὰ τί, ὅταν ἀχυρωθώσιν αἱ ὀρχῆστραι, ἦττον οἱ χόροι γεγώνασιν; Arist. Pr. 901 $^{\rm b}$ 30.

6 The same argument holds when it is used as stuffing; Herodotus describes how at the funeral of a Scythian king human victims and horses are sacrificed:

έξελόντες αὐτῶν τὴν κοιλίην καὶ καθήραντες ἐμπιμπλᾶσι ἀχύρων καὶ συρράπτουσι. Hdt. 4. 72. 2.

Or again when in a fable of Aesop the mice made spears and chariots of this material: οί δὲ μύες δόρατα καὶ ἄρματα ἐξ ἀχύρων λαβόντες. Aesop. 40.

7 In a fragment of Antiphanes we have a case where it appears to be used to adulterate porridge:

τὸ δεῖπνόν ἐστι μᾶζα κεχαρακωμένη ἀχύροις, πρὸς εὐτέλειαν ἐξωπλισμένη. Antiph. 225. 2 K-A.

Here we might expect *chaff* to be used, but the strange word κεχαρακωμένη equipped with sharp stakes surely implies that pieces of straw were also included in the unappetising mixture.

8 The best evidence for chaff or bran is in the derivatives:

τοῦ ἀλεύρου τὸ ἀχυρωδέστατον ἀποκαίεται. Arist. Pr. 928*20.

This certainly refers to the waste product of grinding or milling, not threshing. It would appear that the Greeks did not clearly distinguish these.

- 9 Other derivatives point clearly in the direction of straw. An ἀχυροβολών is unlikely to be a barn for chaff (LSJ), but must be for straw. Similarly such words as ἀχυρηγέω refer to the carting of straw.
- 10 We may thus conclude that *straw* ought to be the first meaning, with *chaff* not clearly distinguished from it. The same inference can be drawn from Aristophanes' figurative use:

τούς γάρ μετοίκους ἄχυρα τῶν ἀστῶν λέγω. Ar. Ach. 508.

This is simply the worthless part of anything.

βάπτω

The danger of using translations instead of definitions is well demonstrated by LSJ's treatment of this word. The New Supplement has done much to improve this, but the easiest way to show how to rearrange it is to sketch out a replacement article. 2 The basic sense is to plunge or dip (in a yielding medium, usually but not necessarily a liquid):

ώς ὅτ' ἀνὴρ χαλκεὺς πέλεκυν μέγαν ἢε σκέπαρνον εἰν ὕδατι βάπτη ψυχρῷ μεγάλα ἰάχοντα φαρμάσσων. Od. 9. 392.

With eis:

είς πῦρ αὐτὸ ἐντίθησιν, μετ' ἐκεῖνο δὲ εἰς ὕδωρ βάπτει. Pl. Τi. 73e.

τὸν χόρτον εἰς μέλι βάπτοντες διδόασιν ἐσθίειν. Arist. HA 605°29; cf. de An. 435°2.

3 This leads naturally to the use which emphasises the result of immersion, to make wet:

τάρια | βάπτουσι θερμώ. Ar. Ec. 216.

Here the absence of a preposition indicates that $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\varphi}$ is the instrument: 'they wet the fleeces with hot water.' Likewise:

ή μελαγχόλους ἔβαψεν ἰοὺς θρέμμα Λερναίας ὕδρας. S. Tr. 574.

So with no dative:

χιτώνα τόνδ' έβαψα. S. Tr. 580.

Possibly also:

κουράλλιον ... έξαλον γινόμενον καὶ βαπτόμενον ήτοι πηγνύμενον. var. lectio in Dsc. 5. 121.

LSJ take this as meaning become hard; but it is more likely that it refers to hardening $(\pi\eta\gamma\nu\dot{\nu}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu)$ as a result of being alternately exposed to the air and then wetted again. Also with $\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$ introducing the instrument:

καὶ βάψει ὁ ἱερεὺς τὸν δάκτυλον ἀπὸ τοῦ αἵματος τοῦ μόσχου. LXX Le. 4. 17.

A special sense of this means to baptize:

ὅταν δ' ἀναλάβη τὸ πάθος τὸ τοῦ βεβαμμένου καὶ ἡρημένου, τότε ... ἔστι τῷ ὅντι ... Ἰουδαῖος. Arr. Epict. 2. 9. 20. 4 Both of these usages reappear in a group of examples where the object is a weapon. One can *plunge* a weapon in an enemy's body:

φάσγανον εἴσω

σαρκός έβαψεν. Ε. Ρh. 1578.

ό μεν είς τὰ πλευρὰ βάψας τὴν αἰχμήν, ὁ δ' είς τὰς λαγόνας.

D. H. 5. 15. 2.

But one can also wet one's sword with blood:

μαρτυρεί δέ μοι φάρος τόδ' ώς έβαψεν Αἰγίσθου ξίφος. Α. Cho. 1011.

Here there is also an allusion, as so often in poetry, to another sense (see 6 below).

γυνή ...

δίθηκτον ἐν σφαγαῖσι βάψασα ξίφος. Α. Pr. 863.

Even clearer is this example:

έβαψας έγχος εὖ πρὸς Άργείων στρατώ; S. Aj. 95.

i.e. 'did you get your spear properly bloodied?' LSJ has of course failed to see the distinction, and added the Septuagint example quoted in 3 above because blood is involved; but there of course the object is a finger.

5 Another special use is where the object is a bucket or similar container, which is dipped in a liquid in order to draw it up:

σὺ δ' αὖ λαβοῦσα τεῦχος, ἀρχαία λάτρι, βάψασ' ἔνεγκε δεῦρο ποντίας ἀλός. Ε. Hec. 610.

Cf. Antiph. 25, Thphr. Char. 9.8. A different sense, but clearly derived from this is: to draw (a liquid) by dipping:

ἄνθ' ὕδατος τᾳ κάλπιδι κηρία βάψαι. Theoc. 5. 127.

6 Equally special is the use: to dip in a colouring medium, dye:

είματα ... βεβαμμένα. Hdt. 7. 67. 1.

οί βαφης, ἐπειδὰν βουληθῶσι βάψαι ἔρια ὥστ' εἶναι άλουργά. Pl. R. 429d.

κύλικες ... βάπτονται ές τὸ δοκεῖν εἶναι ἀργυραῖ. Ath. 11. 480e. This is also used humorously in a figure by Aristophanes, with internal accusative:

ΐνα μή σε βάψω βάμμα Σαρδιανικόν. Ar. Ach. 112. βέβαπται βάμμα Κυζικηνικόν. Ar. Pax 1176.

7 The intransitive uses, listed by LSJ under B, have the general sense: to plunge oneself:

έὰν βάπτωσιν (ἐγχελείς) εἰς ψυχρόν. Arist. HA 592*18.

Of a ship:

καὶ ναῦς γὰρ ἐνταθεῖσα πρὸς βίαν ποδὶ ἔβαψεν, ἔστη δ' αὖθις, ἢν χαλᾶ πόδα. Ε. Οr. 707.

Of the sun:

εί δ' ό μεν ἀνέφελος βάπτη ρόου έσπερίοιο. Arat. 858.

Also in the middle voice:

η που καὶ ποτάμοιο ἐβάψατο (κορώνη). Arat. 951.

It is also used with an accusative, presumably internal rather than objective:

νη̂α ... βάπτουσαν ... κῦμα κυρτόν. Babr. 71. 2.

βαρέω

- I Here is a case where the historical principle would be helpful, if correctly applied. The earliest use is the aberrant perfect participle $\beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\rho\eta\dot{\omega}s$, followed by the corresponding perfect passive $\beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\rho\eta\dot{\omega}s$. The finite verb does not appear until much later, apart from the example quoted from Sappho, which needs further discussion (see 5 below). It would seem best to deal with this problem by making separate entries for the perfect participles, with cross-references. The meaning is regularly oppressed, and needs no further discussion.
- 2 The verb $\beta \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega$ seems to have arisen by back-formation from $\beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$. It is found in the perfect indicative passive in the Placita Philosophorum under the name of Democritus, but the

βαρέω 63

wording is probably due to Plutarch, and cannot be used to prove an early date. There are a number of entries throughout LSJ quoted from fragments of early writers which are not in fact verbatim quotations, but later paraphrases. The failure to distinguish between these and genuine quotations seems to be a feature of the ninth edition. There is another example in the present passive in Hippocrates, but in the fourth book $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ $\nu o i\sigma\omega\nu$, which is certainly not one of the earlier parts of the Corpus, and is very hard to date. A more reliable example, again passive, is in Parthenius (i BC) and I have not found the active before Josephus (i AD); it becomes common from ii AD. This chronological distribution strongly supports the idea that it arose from the regular use of the perfect passive $\beta\epsilon\beta\alpha\rho\eta\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma s$.

3 The verb is occasionally used literally to mean weigh down:
οἱ μέν τινες αὐτὴν μίτραις ἀνέδουν, οἱ δὲ ζώναις αἷς βαρηθεῖσα ἡ παῖς διὰ πλῆθος τῶν ἐπιρριπτομένων ἀπεπνίγη. Parth. 9. 8.

In the two following examples the context is figurative:

καὶ τὸν τῦφον ἀπόρριψον ... καὶ τὴν ὑπεροψίαν βαρήσει γὰρ τὸ πορθμεῖον συνεμπεσόντα. Luc. D. Mort. 4. 14.

έπλανᾶτο περιφερομένη πανταχόσε ή της δίκης ροπη ὅπη αν αὐτην βαρήσας ὁ πλείων χρῦσος ἀνθέλκειν ἰσχύοι.

Procop. Arc. 14. 10.

4 The normal sense is oppress, make uncomfortable, bother; in the passive:

τὴν κεφαλὴν βαρέεται. Hp. Morb. 4. 49. οὔκουν ἐκάτερον ὑμῶν ἰδίᾳ δεῖ βαρεῖσθαι δι' ἡμᾶς. Diog. Oen. 64.

οὖτοι, οἶς βαροῦνται. Μ. Ant. 8. 44. αὐτὸς δὲ βαροῦμαι τῷ ἐκφορίῳ. P. Giss. 6. 7 (ii AD). ὁ παραπλοῦς ... ὀχληρότατός ἐστιν καὶ καθ' ἐκάστην ἡμέραν βαροῦμαι δι' αὐτόν. P. Oxy. 525. 3. (ii AD).

In the active:

οὐδὲ τὸ πᾶν ἔθνος ἐβάρει ταῖς εἰσφοραῖς. J. BJ 2. 14. 1. τὰ γὰρ ἔτερα ἀναλώματα ... ἑαυτοῖς ἐλογισάμεθα, ἵνα μὴ τὴν πόλιν βαρῶμεν. IG 14. 830. 15 (Puteoli). τὸ δημόσιον ἰσχυρῶς τῆ τῶν χρημάτων ... αὐξήσει βεβαρηκέναι ἔφη. D. C. 78. 17. 3.

There is an example of the middle quoted from Herodian the historian of iii AD:

έβαρούντο ... αὐτών αὐτὴν τὴν εὐγένειαν. Hdn. 8. 8. 1.

The verb continued in use as late as vi AD, and survives into Medieval and Modern Greek, where however it has developed the sense of *strike*.

5 The only item in the inventory which does not fit this pattern is the alleged example from Sappho:

πόλλα δὲ ζαφοίταισ', ἀγάνας ἐπιμνάσθεισ' Άτθιδος ἰμέρω λέπταν ποι φρένα κ[.]ρ~-βόρηται. Sappho, Supp. 25 (= fr. 96) 17.

This has traditionally been explained as an Aeolic form for $\beta a \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau a \iota$, with $o \rho$ for $a \rho$ and athematic inflexion. LSJ quotes it as $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho$... $\beta \delta \rho \eta \tau a \iota$, which can be translated 'the heart is oppressed', but it leaves $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu a$ with no plausible construction. Moreover, as D. L. Page (ad loc.) points out, words from this root appear in Lesbian poetry in the form $\beta a \rho$ -, not $\beta o \rho$ -. There has been much discussion of this passage and various reconstructions have been proposed. But perhaps the verb is incomplete and it is the end of a compound such as $\theta \nu \mu o \beta o \rho \epsilon \omega$, though this is found only in the active. At all events this is hardly sufficient evidence to prove that the development of the finite verb, which all other evidence places relatively late, occurred as early as the beginning of vi BC. This is one of numerous cases where the Lexicon ought to suspend judgment until clearer evidence is available, and it should at any rate only be quoted as a dubious suggestion.

βαρύς

I An adjective as common as this is peculiarly difficult to handle, for there must be thousands of examples of the word in Greek literature, and to analyse them all would be immensely timeβαρύς 65

consuming; hence all I can attempt is a re-ordering of the material supplied by LSJ. Taken individually each example might suggest a plausible meaning; but the result of such an approach is to spread the range of meanings so wide it becomes extremely vague. LSJ not only used the English heavy in a number of different senses, but adds (inter alia) bushy, pregnant, slow, grievous, oppressive, unwholesome, indigestible, violent, grave, ample, severe, stern, overbearing, important, difficult, strong, deep, bass, low. Most of these sound appropriate in the context in which they appear; but the user of the Lexicon may well wonder what is the difference between grave and stern, oppressive and overbearing and so on. We all know that Homer uses the word to describe groaning; but does he mean low-pitched or violent or what? The only way to elucidate the many problems LSJ has left unresolved or solved in an arbitrary fashion is to analyse again the whole collection of material. The treatment of adverbs is always difficult. In a small dictionary it is sufficient to list the forms under the adjective and leave the exact meaning to be inferred. But in a major dictionary, and especially where the usage of the adverb has special features, I believe that adverbs should have separate entries. I have therefore kept βαρέως with its comparative and superlative separate; but the adverbial use of the neuter can still appear under the adjective.

2 A simple principle may be used to find the starting-point: we need to choose the simplest and most obviously material sense, from which the others may have arisen by transference. Hence we shall agree with LSJ in beginning with heavy in weight. It is interesting that under its opposite, κοῦφος, LSJ allowed the historical principle to outweigh logic; light in weight appears only as sense I 4, because it is absent from Homer and early literature. I should add to the definition the word relatively; a heavy child may still weigh less than a light man. The examples of this sense are few in LSJ, and the first offered (Hdt. 4. 150. 3) does not belong here; see 6 below. It is often paired with κοῦφος:

βαρύτερα πρὸς κουφότερα καὶ θάττω πρὸς τὰ βραδύτερα. Pl. R. 438c; cf. Tht. 152d; Arist. Cael. 310^b25.

δ δ' Αμίλκας ήγε μεν την πορείαν πρώτους έχων τοὺς ελέφαντας ... τελευταία δε τὰ βαρέα τῶν ὅπλων.

Plb. 1. 76. 3.

This last example was placed by LSJ in sense II 3 'of soldiers, heavy-armed'. But since it refers to $\delta \pi \lambda a$ it cannot fall under that heading; the fact that 'heavy weapons' imply the men who carried them does not give $\beta \alpha \rho \dot{\nu} s$ here a new sense. As we shall see, the alleged military sense does not exist.

3 Another clearly physical sense is when it is used of parts of the body to mean well developed, heavy:

ή καὶ ἐπ' ἀργυρέη κώπη σχέθε χεῖρα βαρεῖαν. Il. 1. 219. τοὺς μὲν ὑπερσιτήσαντες ὀφρύς τε δηλώσει βαρεῖα καὶ κοῖλον ἄσθμα. Philostr. Gym. 48.

Both of these are included by LSJ under I I. See also under II below.

- 4 To this can be attached as a sub-sense the use of the feminine to mean *pregnant*. There appears to be only one example so far recorded, but it would not be surprising; cf. Latin *grauida*: P. Goodsp. Cair. 15. 15 (iv AD).
- 5 Closely allied to this, but distinct enough to rank as a subsense, is of heavy construction, massive. This is not recognised by LSJ, and the first example is new; there are probably more to be found:

τὸ ἥκιστα ἡμῖν σύμφορόν ἐστι νέας ἔχουσι βαρυτέρας καὶ ἀριθμὸν ἐλάσσονας. Hdt. 8. 60. a.

όρῶντες δὲ τὴν τῶν Ἀκραγαντίνων πόλιν εὐφυεστάτην οὖσαν πρὸς τὰς παρασκευὰς καὶ βαρυτάτην ἄμα τῆς αὑτῶν ἐπαρχίας, εἰς ταύτην συνήθροισαν τά τε χορήγια καὶ τὰς δυνάμεις.

Plb. 1. 17. 5.

The second example is quoted by LSJ (II 2) as meaning important, powerful, but no supporting evidence is quoted for such a meaning, and it is not clear why a politically influential city should be preferred as a base of operations for an army. What a general would look for is a city with good fortifications enclosing a large space, and this is precisely what Akragas offered. According to Walbank 'it contained 900 acres'.

6 Another physical sense is when it is used of persons or their attributes to indicate lack of agility (as opposed to κοῦφος in the sense of nimble). It might be defined as weighed down, heavy-laden, burdened:

πρεσβύτερός τε ήδη εἰμὶ καὶ βαρὺς ἀείρεσθαι 'slow to stir myself'. Hdt. 4. 150. 3.

καὶ ζῶντα καὶ θάλλοντα κοὐ νόσφ βαρύν. S. Tr. 235.

βαρείαν ἄψοφον φέρει βάσιν. S. Tr. 966.

οί δὲ σὺν γήρα βαρείς. S. OT 17.

κελεύω τοὺς θωρακοφόρους ἡγεῖσθαι ὅτι τοῦτο βαρύτατόν ἐστι τοῦ στρατεύματος. τοῦ δὲ βαρυτάτου ἡγουμένου ἀνάγκη ῥαδίως ἔπεσθαι πάντα τὰ θᾶττον ἰόντα. Χ. Cyr. 5. 3. 37.

In the last example $\beta a \rho \acute{\nu} \tau a \tau o s$ was twice emended by Hirschig to $\beta \rho a \delta \acute{\nu} \tau a \tau o s$, a conjecture adopted by Marchant in OCT. One cannot help feeling that if this sense had been properly identified, there would have been no temptation to emend. LSJ places this in the military sense heavy-armed (II 3), a sense which now depends upon one passage, which will be shown to be at least very dubious (see below). There are two other examples of this attributable to old age (Ael. VH 9. 1, App. Mac. 14), and one to drink:

βαρείς ύπὸ τῆς μέθης ὄντες. Plu. 2. 596a.

7 A different but still physical sense is causing physical distress, offensive, unpleasant. These examples are not distinguished by LSJ from those where the distress is mental (sense I 2):

όδμὴν παρεχόμενον βαρείαν. Hdt. 6. 119. 3. νόσου βαρείας. S. Ph. 1330. λέγεται βαρὺ τὸ χωρίον (sc. τὰ ἀργύρεια) εἶναι. Χ. Mem. 3. 6. 12.

To this might be added: of food heavy on the stomach:

ό δ' ἐγκρυφίας ἄρτος βαρὺς δυσοικονόμητός τε διὰ τὸ ἀνωμάλως ὀπτᾶσθαι. Ath. 3. 115e.

Here LSJ gives the meaning as indigestible (I 2) ignoring its own translation of δυσοικονόμητος as hard to digest. It is reasonable to assume that if a Greek writer coupled two epithets he intended them to convey a more precise meaning than if he had used only one.

8 Next we shall place causing mental distress, hard to bear, grievous, burdensome. There are too many examples to quote in full, but I give a selection:

Ζεύς με μέγα Κρονίδης ἄτη ἐνέδησε βαρείη. ΙΙ. 2. 111; cf. 10. 71.

ἔριδα ρήγνυντο βαρείαν. Il. 20. 55.

Κλώθες ... βαρείαι. Od. 7. 197.

βαρεία μὲν κὴρ τὸ μὴ πιθέσθαι, βαρεία δ' εἰ τέκνον δαίξω.

A. Ag. 206; Pers. 1044; Th. 332, 767; Supp. 415.

βαρείαν ὁ ξένος φάτιν

τήνδ' εἶπ'. S. Ph. 1045.

βαρείαν ήδόνην νικάτέ με

λέγοντες. S. OC 1204.

ἀπέχθειαι ... χαλεπώταται καὶ βαρύταται. Pl. Ap. 23a.

πόλεμος ... ὅμορος καὶ βαρύς. D. 18. 241.

άφήκατε τὰ βαρύτερα τοῦ νόμου. Ev. Matt. 23. 23.

9 As applied to persons (or gods), severe, stern, difficult:

ώς ούπιτιμητής γε τῶν ἔργων βαρύς. Α. Ρr. 77.

Ζεύς τοι κολαστής των ύπερκόμπων άγαν φρονημάτων έπεστιν, εύθυνος βαρύς. Α. Pers. 828.

τοιαῦτ' ἀνὴρ δύσοργος, ἐν γήρα βαρύς

τοιαυτ ανηρ ουσοργος, εν γηρα ραρυς έρει, προς ουδεν είς έριν θυμούμενος. S. Aj. 1017.

This last is placed by LSJ with the other examples of old age producing physical infirmity, but the context makes it clear that this refers rather to the severity of judgment which often marks old age.

δυσμενή γὰρ καὶ βαρύν σ' ηὔρηκ' έγώ. S. ΟΤ 546.

Here too surely belongs the following new example, Aeschines' description of Demosthenes:

άφόρητον ὄντα καὶ βαρὺν ἄνθρωπον. Aeschin. 2. 21.

ήττον έση βαρύς τοις συνούσι καὶ ήμερώτερος. Pl. Tht. 210c.

οί δυνάμενοι ... καὶ σεμνότεροι καὶ βαρύτεροι.

Arist. Rh. 1391*27.

Κύπρι βαρεία,

Κύπρι νεμεσσατά, Κύπρι θνατοῖσιν ἀπεχθής. Theoc. 1. 100. ἐπεὶ δ' οὐκ ἐμετρίαζον ἀλλ' ἦσαν ὑπερήφανοι καὶ βαρεῖς. Plu. 2. 279c.

10 When applied to actions rather than agents, it can be defined as causing concern, alarming, serious:

δεισάντων φθόγγον τε βαρύν αὐτόν τε πέλωρον. Od. 9. 257.

The voice of the Cyclops might well have been basso profundo; but in the context it is surely its effect on the hearers that is important.

όρκος γὰρ οὐδεὶς ἀνδρὶ φηλήτη βαρύς. S. fr. 933. ἀγγελίαν ... φέρων ... καὶ χαλεπὴν καὶ βαρεῖαν, ἣν ἐγώ ... ἐν τοῖς βαρύτατ' ἄν ἐνέγκαιμι. Pl. Cri. 43c. βαρέα αἰτιώματα καταφέροντες. Act. Ap. 25. 7; cf. 2 Ep. Cor. 10. 10.

11 A different line of development, harking back to the material senses, can be placed next: *intense*, *violent*, *profound*. I should place here the examples of groans and other lamentations.

βαρέα στενάχοντα. II. 8. 334; Od. 8. 95, 534. βαρὺ δ' ἀμβόασον οὐράνι' ἄχη. A. Pers. 572. βαρεῖα τηλόθεν αὐδά. S. Ph. 208. ἠχὼ βαρεῖα προσπόλων ἀφίκετο. Ε. Ηἰρρ. 791.

Perhaps we should place also here the things or actions which display violence, such as hands or blows:

οὕ τις ... σοὶ ... βαρείας χεῖρας ἐποίσει. ΙΙ. 1. 89. τυπάδι βαρεία. S. fr. 844.

It is used of anger:

δργή βαρεία. S. Ph. 368. μήνιν βαρείαν. S. OC 1328.

Here too I think we should place a rather difficult example:

αί γὰρ βαρεῖαι πλησμοναὶ τῶν σκυλακίων διαστρέφουσι τὰ σκέλη, (τοῖς) σώμασι νόσους ἐμποιοῦσι. Χ. Cyn. 7. 4.

LSJ places this example in I 2, between unwholesome and

indigestible; but it is evident that overfeeding is bad for puppies for the reasons given. The effect of $\beta a \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} a \iota$ is surely to intensify the force of $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu o \nu a \iota$. Here too we may place:

λάθρη μέν γελάοισα, βαρύν δ' ἀνὰ θυμὸν ἔχοισα.
Τ'heoc. 1. 06.

if $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s here means 'anger'. But if it means 'the seat of the emotions', 'heart', then perhaps this should be referred to the sense described in 9 above, though this seems to be otherwise restricted to persons. Here too we must apparently place the following; translated *ample* by LSJ (I 4):

βαρυτάτην εὐδαιμονίαν τοῖς ἀρχομένοις παρέξειν. Hdn. 2. 14. 3.

But this seems to me such an extraordinary expression, verging on an oxymoron, that I should be tempted to emend to $\beta \alpha \theta \nu \tau \acute{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$.

- Then we come to another physical sense, but of a quite different type, where it is applied to sounds. In this case its opposite is not κοῦφος but ὀξύς, which shows clearly that it means low-pitched, deep. LSJ's addition of strong as the first equivalent in this sense is unfortunate, because it suggests loudness. The problem here concerns the nature of the Greek word-accent. It is now generally accepted that the accent of Attic Greek and hence the κοινή was one of pitch, not stress. But we know that in the course of time pitch was replaced by stress, though it is extremely hard to fix an accurate date for this change. Much of the confusion in the language used by grammarians may be due to their description of the contemporary stress accent by the terms inherited from their predecessors to describe pitch. A βαρύς τόνος was in origin a syllable pronounced with no rise in pitch, so that every unaccented syllable could be (and sometimes was) marked with the grave accent. Since the subject has been fully discussed and elucidated by W. S. Allen in Vox Graeca (Cambridge, 1968) 106-24, I do not propose to do more than quote a few examples of this use of $\beta \alpha \rho \psi s$.
- 13 Of sounds, low-pitched, deep. Explaining the difference between $\Delta \iota \iota \dot{\iota} \phi i \lambda o s$ and the proper name $\Delta i \dot{\phi} \iota \lambda o s$ Plato says:

ἀντὶ ὀξείας τῆς μέσης συλλαβῆς βαρεῖαν ἐφθεγξάμεθα. Pl. Cra. 399b; cf. Prt. 332c.

Of a musician:

όξυτάτην καὶ βαρείαν χορδην ποιεί. Pl. Phdr. 268e.

Hippocrates notes the association of low-pitched voices with damp climates:

φθέγγονται βαρύτατοι ἀνθρώπων. Hp. Aër. 15.

Aristotle speaks of the variations in pitch by an orator:

πῶς ... δεῖ χρῆσθαι ... τοῖς τόνοις, οἶον ὀξείᾳ (φωνῆ) καὶ βαρείᾳ καὶ μέση. Arist. Rh. 1403 $^{\text{b}}$ 30.

In word-accent again, he explains the difference between of and of, remarkably ignoring the presence of the aspirate in one:

οὐ γὰρ ταὐτὸ σημαίνει ὀξύτερον, τὸ δὲ βαρύτερον ἡηθέν.
Arist. SE 178*3.

This example proves that he is not talking about what we call an acute accent, but about the pitch of a circumflex accent, which rises higher than an unaccented syllable.

14 The adverb βαρέως is not common, but it is used in its literal sense:

ἐρόμενος εἰ οἶόν τε ταχὺ βραδέως ἢ κοῦφον βαρέως ... γίγνεσθαι. Pl. Tht. 189d.

It is also found meaning with difficulty:

είδως ὅτι τὰ παλαιότατα τῶν νοσημάτων ... βαρύτατα ὑπακούει. Hp. Prorrh. 2. 39.

This example is placed by LSJ, with no indication that it is adverbial, in sense **III I** 'of sound', where it is quoted simply as 'βαρύτατα ὑπακούειν, of diseases'. It is hard to imagine how they translated the passage, where ὑπακούω plainly means 'respond to treatment'. Another example, given by LSJ a special section (**III** 3) and defined as slowly, probably belongs here:

ΐνα ... της λείας βαρέως ἐπισπωμένης ταχεῖα παραγωγή γίνηται. Hero, Aut. 26. 6.

If the counterweight ($\lambda \epsilon ia$) is pulled hard, the picture unrolls quickly. (Incidentally neither this sense of $\lambda \epsilon ia$ nor its use in geometry appears in LSJ.)

15 An adverbial sense corresponding to the sense of $\beta a \rho \dot{\nu}_S$ discussed in 11 above is to be expected, *intensely*, *violently*. The only example offered by LSJ (III 1) is:

έκ δη τούτων ἐπένθει βαρύτατα καὶ δριμύτατα ήλγει. Ael. VH 12. 1.

I suspect that further research would produce more examples.

16 A sense corresponding to 7 above is not unexpected, severely, sternly:

αιεί γὰρ βαρέως είχε πρὸς τὴν ὁμιλίαν. Arist. Pol. 1311bg.

Perhaps we can place here the dubious reading:

ό δὲ τὴν ὕβριν ἀταράχως καὶ βαρέως ἔφερεν. D. S. 26. 2.

Here $\beta a \rho \epsilon \omega s$ is a conjecture for $.a \rho \epsilon \omega s$, but other emendations have been proposed. LSJ quotes it as meaning 'bear with dignity'.

17 In other contexts it appears to mean with annoyance, angrily: οἰ δὲ Ἑλληνες βαρέως μὲν ἤκουσαν ... Χ. Αn. 2. 1. 9. βαρυτέρως ἐναντιωθῆναι. LXX 3 Ma. 3. 1.

This is also used in the phrase $\beta \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega s$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$:

Δαρείος δὲ κάρτα βαρέως ἥνεικε ἰδὼν ἄνδρα δοκιμώτατον λελωβημένον. Hdt. 3. 155. 1.

βαρέως φέρων είπε ... Hdt. 5. 19. 1.

τὸ γὰρ πληθος οὐχ οὕτω τῶν ἀρχῶν ἀγανακτεῖ στερούμενον ώς ἔχει βαρέως ὑβριζόμενον. Arist. Rh. Al. 1424^b5.

ό Πόπλιος βαρέως μὲν ἔφερεν ἐπὶ τῷ μὴ ... παρηρῆσθαι τὴν χορηγίαν. Plb. 15. 1. 1.

- 18 Naturally the adverb is used of the pitch of sounds, low: οἰ αἰάζοντες βαρύτερον αὐλοῦσιν. Arist. GA 788^a22.
- Equally with respect to word-accent, i.e. without rise of pitch: ἀμυγδάλην μὲν (λέγει) τὸν καρπὸν βαρέως, ὁ ἡμεῖς οὐδετέρως ἀμύγδαλον λέγομεν. Ath. 2. 53b.

βινέω 73

- 19 Finally a conspectus of the meanings as they might appear in a revised Lexicon. The numbers refer to the paragraphs above.
 - I relatively heavy in weight (2).
 - 2 of parts of the body, well-developed, heavy (3); of women, pregnant (4). b of heavy construction, massive (5).
 - 3 weighed down, heavy-laden, burdened (6).
 - 4 causing physical distress, offensive, unpleasant (7).
 - 5 causing mental distress, hard to bear, grievous (8).
 - 6 of persons, severe, stern, difficult (9).
 - 7 causing concern, alarming, serious (10).
 - 8 intense, violent, profound (11).
 - 9 of sounds, low-pitched, deep (12, 13).
- 20 βαρέως, adv.
 - 1 heavily (14).
 - 2 with difficulty (14).
 - 3 intensely, violently (15).
 - 4 severely, sternly (16).
 - 5 with annoyance, angrily (17).
 - 6 at a low pitch (18).

βινέω

- There is an excellent formula to be found in English dictionaries for dealing with the notorious 'four-letter' words: 'not in polite use'. LSJ never thought of making such a comment, being content as a rule with their sens. obsc., which is appropriate to call attention to a double entendre, but fails to indicate the register of speech to which the word belongs. There is no doubt that βινέω was avoided by all respectable writers; it is not merely absent from all serious verse and histories; it does not occur in such works as Theophrastus' Characters or the Mimes of Herondas. It was even banished from Menander, who appears only to have used a derivative (ὑποβινητιῶντα βρώματα, fr. 462. 11). It is at home only in Aristophanes, Archilochus, and Hipponax.
- 2 Explicit evidence for the opprobrium attached to the word comes from no less an authority than Cicero:

74 βινέω

cum loquimur 'terni', nihil flagitii dicimus; at cum 'bini', opscenum est. Cic. ad Fam. 9. 22. 3.

He goes on to point out that obscenity is, as we should say, language-specific. If you think only in Latin, there is nothing improper about bini; but if you know also Greek, it can be interpreted as $\beta i \nu \epsilon \iota$, which is. This remark is instructive as proving that $\bar{\iota}$ and $\epsilon \iota$ were to Cicero pronounced alike and could be equated with Latin long $\bar{\iota}$. The Greek form intended is presumably imperative, for in the indicative $\beta \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ the position of the Greek accent would have inhibited the comparison.

- 3 There are, however, two further points about this word which can be made without embarrassment. It is alleged by Solon fr. 52b R that it means to have illicit intercourse with (a woman), being opposed to $\partial \pi v l \omega$, which therefore means to have lawful intercourse with.
- 4 $O\pi\nu i\omega$ is defined by LSJ as 'of the man, marry, take to wife' with the qualification that it is used by Homer only in the present and imperfect tenses. This restriction appears to be generally observed, a fact which may arouse our suspicion, as it would then be synonymous with $\gamma a\mu \epsilon \omega$. A clue to its right meaning is supplied by a gloss of Hesychius, who equates an alleged form $\partial \pi \nu \delta \lambda a \iota$ with $\gamma \epsilon \gamma a \mu \eta \kappa \delta \tau \epsilon s$. If this is a derivative or corruption of some form of $\partial \pi \nu i \omega$, it is clear that it describes the state of being married, not the process of becoming married. This is abundantly clear in the description of Nausikaa's brothers:

οί δύ' όπυίοντες, τρεις δ' ήίθεοι θαλέθοντες. Od. 6. 63.

An apparent exception has been noted in the Gortyn Law Code, where $\partial \pi \nu i \epsilon \nu$ and the passive $\partial \pi \nu i \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ used of the woman have been taken to mean get married to. In fact, the translation be the husband (wife) of is in all cases equally appropriate and there is no good evidence for the usual view. The whole subject has been admirably analysed and discussed at length by G. P. Edwards (Minos 20–2 (1987) 178–81). Once this is appreciated, the attempt to oppose $\partial \pi \nu i \omega$ to $\beta \nu i \omega$ may be dismissed as a typical effort by a grammarian to see distinctions that never existed.

βινέω 75

5 A more important point concerns the etymology, not that I think it solves the problem. Graffiti of vi and v BC from the Athenian agora are reported by M. Lang (Athenian Agora C 2, C 14) with the spelling BEN- for βw . This has been compared with the alleged form in early Elean (Inscr. Olymp. 7 = Schwyzer 412):

αὶ δεβενεοι, ἐν τ' ἰαροῖ βοί κα θδά(δ)δοι καὶ κοθάρσι τελείαι.

It has been proposed to read at $\delta \epsilon \beta \epsilon \nu \epsilon o i \epsilon \tau$ tapoî, though it hardly seems necessary to envisage expiation being laid down for such a pollution of the temple. Other interpretations involving emendation have been proposed, and this example should surely remain suspect, since it is obviously not the kind of prohibition normally found in laws.

- 6 In early Attic (and for that matter in early Elean) BEN can stand for what would later have been written $\beta\eta\nu$, $\beta\epsilon\iota\nu$, or $\beta\epsilon\nu$. There is no good reason to suppose that $\beta\bar{\iota}\nu$ could have replaced $\beta\epsilon\nu$ -, so the choice is presumably between $\beta\eta\nu$ and $\beta\epsilon\iota\nu$ -. Now there are two words, and a few proper names, which have $\bar{\iota}$ even in early Attic, where we should expect $\epsilon\iota$: $\chi(\lambda\iota\iota\iota)$ and $\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\tau\iota\iota\nu$. The tendency to close both $\epsilon\iota$ and η seems to have been established at an early date, especially as a sub-standard feature, as is shown by schoolboys' spellings such as $\Delta\iota\mu\iota\iota\iota\iota\iota$. In a word which certainly belongs to the lower classes it is quite possible that what was etymologically $\beta\epsilon\iota\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ became pronounced and hence written too as $\beta\iota\iota\iota\dot{\epsilon}\omega$.
- 7 This does not solve the problem of the etymology. The β may well be the product of a voiced labio-velar, cf. β ios. But there is no obvious base of the type $*g^wen$ which will have yielded $\beta \epsilon w$ -. A connexion with $\gamma vv\dot{\eta}$ (Boeotian $\beta \alpha v\dot{\alpha}$) is semantically attractive, but the formation is still obscure; and in a 'popular' term of this kind an unpredictable semantic development is not to be excluded. The rhyme-words $\delta v\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ and $\kappa v\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ may in any case have played a part in its development.

βλεμεαίνω

The verb occurs five times in the Iliad; of Hector leading the Trojans:

Έκτωρ δ' έν πρώτοισι κίε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων. ΙΙ. 8. 337.

Similarly Il. 9. 237. Of Hephaistos:

Ήφαιστος δ' ἄμα τοῖσι κίε σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων. ΙΙ. 20. 36.

and of a wild animal:

κάπριος ἦὲ λέων στρέφεται σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνων. Il. 12. 42; cf. 17. 135.

οὕτε συὸς κάπρου ὀλοόφρονος, οὕτε μέγιστος θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσι περὶ σθένεϊ βλεμεαίνει. ΙΙ. 17. 22.

It is generally agreed that the verb is built upon an unattested neuter s- stem * $\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s (cf. $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\alpha\ell\nu\omega$). The same etymon is required by the negative adjective $\alpha\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\eta$ s found in Nicander Al. 82, Longinus 29. 1, and in the adverb in Panyasis (an obscure epic poet). $\alpha\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\eta$ s is glossed in Hesychius as:

άτολμος, άτερπής, παρειμένος, οι δε κακός.

Cf. $d\beta\lambda\epsilon\mu\dot{\epsilon}s$ · $d\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\dot{\epsilon}s$, $\phi\alpha\hat{\nu}\lambda\sigma\nu$. So if we could give the noun a meaning, we might solve the problem posed by its derivatives. Attempts have been made (see DELG) to explain d as d-, d- copulativum, but a negative would be much more likely.

2 If we suppose then a substantive * $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\mu os$, the β is likely to be the product of a labio-velar. This would allow us to associate it with the family of $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\omega$ ($<*g^wly\bar{o}$) cf. formations like $\pi\rho o\beta\lambda\dot{\eta}s$, as built on a hystero-dynamic form with the enlargement -em-. In fact the same type of enlargement will account also for $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\omega$, where the dialect form in $\gamma\lambda\epsilon\pi$ - may be a reminiscence of the labio-velar. So * $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\mu os$ might mean a look as something you throw. Sight was until quite recently regarded as a power residing in the eye, which sent out beams to detect an object, rather like radar. This is apparent in standard phrases like French jeter un coup d'œil, Mod. Gk. $\rho\dot{\epsilon}\chi\nu\omega$ $\mu\alpha$ $\mu\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\alpha$, and notice also phrases like $\beta\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\omega$ $\nu\hat{\epsilon}\pi\upsilon$. The intensive formation in $-\epsilon\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$ (cf. $\mu\epsilon\nu\epsilon\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\nu\omega$) ought therefore to mean darting fierce looks, glaring, a sense which fits the Homeric

γερός 77

passages admirably. Wild animals certainly can be seen behaving like this. See now the New Supplement.

- 3 The compound ἀ-βλεμής should therefore properly mean not glaring, which would evolve naturally to timid-looking. This is exactly what Hesychius' ἄτολμος means, and with a little more extension his παρειμένος slack and κακός, if this means cowardly.
- 4 But adjectives of this kind regularly have 'passive' as well as 'active' senses, so ἀβλεμής could equally mean not patently obvious. The Nicander passage is regrettably obscure; Gow at one time (CQ, NS I (1951), 97) thought it here meant ineffective. But I believe the sense insidious would fit as well, if not better, and this can be accommodated in the semantic pattern just established. Similarly the adverb ἀβλεμέως πίνων would mean drinking heedlessly, taking no thought for the consequences. In the Longinus passage: ἡ περίφρασις ... ἀβλεμὲς προσπίπτει will mean 'falls so as to escape notice'. This explanation accounts for all the evidence, with the exception of Hesychius' gloss ἀτερπής.

γερός

I An inscription of ii BC from Delos (*Inscr. Délos* 1417) has twice a phrase describing the condition in which a building is to be handed over by the contractor to the officials in charge of temples:

```
παραδώσει τοῖς ἐπὶ τὰ ἰερὰ γερὰ καὶ στεγνά. C 58.
καὶ παραδ[ώ]σει τοῖς ἐπὶ τὰ ἰερὰ πάντα γερὰ καὶ στεγνὰ καὶ
τεθυρωμένα. C 89.
```

The remainder of the formula clearly means waterproof, i.e. roofed, and in the second case fitted with doors. So what can the adjective $\gamma\epsilon\rho\dot{\alpha}$ mean? It must describe the building as in good condition for occupation. But DGE lists the word as of doubtful meaning, and the New Supplement only adds a reference to modern Greek $\gamma\epsilon\rho\dot{\delta}s$ meaning strong.

2 The modern word is explained by etymologists (as Andriotes, Ἐτυμολογικὸν Λεξικόν) as a development of ancient ὑγιηρός, which 78 γλεῦκος

is phonetically acceptable and semantically plausible. But it might also phonetically continue ancient ίερός, coexisting as a colloquial word with the learned form, which is restricted to the religious meaning. Now it is well known that in Hellenistic Greek y was developing its modern value, as witness such forms as ολίος for ολίγος and αγώριν for αώριον, the origin of the modern word αγόρι 'boy'. The compound γερουσιάρχης is found also spelled ερουσιάρχης. If this development had already occurred in colloquial speech by the middle of ii BC, it is possible that ίερά and γερά were both being pronounced alike; but in both these examples τοις ἐπὶ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ i $\epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$ precedes, and it would obviously be impossible to write $i\epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$ twice consecutively with different meanings. Hence the substitution of $\gamma \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$ where a special sense is required. The required sense has been demonstrated in my note on ίερός, paragraph 12. This will allow us to interpret γερά here as which ought not to be violated, i.e. safe from intruders, secure, which fits the context perfectly. The modern γερός may thus continue both ὑγιηρός and ἱερός in this special sense, either of which are consistent with the meaning strong.

γλεῦκος

The New Supplement has improved the treatment of this word, but by deleting the reference to sweet wine has concealed its etymological connexion with the adjective $\gamma\lambda\nu\kappa\dot{\nu}_s$. It is of course the parallel substantive, formed with the e-grade, as $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\epsilon\nu\theta_0s$ from $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\nu\theta\rho\dot{\delta}s$, $\beta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta_0s$ (the old form for $\beta\dot{\alpha}\theta_0s$) from $\beta\alpha\theta\dot{\nu}s$, etc. The Mycenaean form not only attests the antiquity of the sense grape-juice, but supplies valuable confirmation of the ultimate connexion of this adjective with Latin dulcis. There might therefore be a case, despite its late appearance, for putting sweetness as the first sense. However, in the passage quoted it appears to mean, not the abstract, but as might be expected, the sweet stuff.

διὰ τί τὰ γλυκέα ἥττον δοκεῖ γλυκέα εἶναι θερμὰ ὄντα ἢ ὅταν ψυχθῆ; πότερον ὅτι ἄμα δύο αἰσθήσεις γίνονται ἀμφοῖν ... ἢ ὅτι καὶ τὸ γλεῦκος θερμόν. Arist Pr. 931*18.

The juice of ripe grapes is of course sweet, until fermentation has converted the sugar to alcohol.

γράφω

1 At first sight the LSJ article looks fairly convincing, and I thought it would need only minor modification. But the further my research progressed, the more I found needing changes, and I came to the conclusion that it requires extensive treatment. Even so, I am not sure if I have discovered all the ramifications of its senses, and I have not attempted to deal with all LSJ's examples. LSJ begins with the only two examples of the simple verb in Homer (ἐπιγράφω also occurs, in two different senses). The original meaning must have been make a shallow cut in, scratch:

γράψεν δέ οἱ ὀστέον ἄχρις αἰχμὴ Πουλυδάμαντος. Il. 17. 599.

With this we can compare the compound:

Κτήσιππος δ' Ευμαιον ύπερ σάκος έγχει μακρώ ωμον επέγραψεν. Od. 22. 280.

I have been unable to find any further example of this use.

2 LSJ, however, apparently in the conviction that writing was unknown to Homer, places here two more disputable examples. First the other example of ἐπιγράφω:

ős μιν ἐπιγράψας κυνέη βάλε. Il. 7. 187.

This describes the casting of lots, where each man scratches a mark on his lot before putting it in the helmet. If ἐπιγράψας meant merely 'scratched', it would be difficult for him to recognise his scratch again; it must therefore mean 'made a mark on', which does not of course imply the use of an organised graphic system. But the other is the famous passage in the story of Bellerophon, which has been discussed at immense length; a good summary of the arguments can be found in A. Heubeck, 'Die Schrift', Archaeologia Homerica, X. 132-40.

πέμπε δέ μιν Λυκίηνδε, πόρεν δ' ο γε σήματα λυγρά, γράψας εν πίνακι πτυκτώ θυμοφθόρα πολλά. ΙΙ. 6. 169.

I disagree with LSJ's decision that this does not describe true writing for several reasons. There is now no doubt that knowledge of the Greek alphabet was widely diffused by the end of viii BC, so

the practice of writing must have been known to the poet we call Homer. Secondly, Proitos would have needed his message to be unambiguous, if he hoped it would secure Bellerophon's murder; moreover, he can hardly have risked the message being intercepted by the messenger breaking the seal, unless of course he knew Bellerophon to be incapable of reading it. Thirdly, and this is the most telling reason, the object of $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\psi\alpha_{S}$ is not $\sigma\dot{\gamma}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$, but $\theta\nu\mu\alpha\phi\theta\dot{\alpha}\rho\alpha$ $\pi\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}$, which must denote a written message. This can therefore be left to a later section (see 7 below).

3 Arising from the scratching on the lot we can see that $\gamma\rho\dot{\alpha}\phi\omega$ must have been used to mean make a mark by scratching, and this was then extended to make any kind of visual mark. So for example in geometry Euclid uses the phrase:

κύκλος γεγράφθω. Eucl. 1. 1.

to mean 'let a circle be described', i.e. let a circular figure be drawn.

κύκλον γράψαι. Gal. 1. 47.

But LSJ supplies no example of its use meaning to *draw* a letter of the alphabet. I have come across two and I have no doubt there are others to be found.

γράμματα γράφουσι καὶ λογίζονται ψήφοισι Έλληνες μὲν ἀπὸ τῶν ἀριστερῶν ἐπὶ τὰ δεξιὰ φέροντες τὴν χείρα.

Hdt. 2. 36. 4.

The sutures in the skull are described by Hippocrates as T-shaped.

ωσπερ γράμμα τὸ ταῦ γράφεται. Hp. VC 1 (p.183, 7 L.)

It is important to notice that at this stage we are still not talking about the use of a graphic system, where letters are used to write a word. See further 6 below.

4 It is a small step from drawing a line to using drawn lines to make a picture. Hence we can place next the examples given by LSJ, still in sense I I, under the heading 'represent by lines, draw, paint'. I think visually should be added to the definition, and perhaps delineate would be better than paint, for a reason which will

γράφω 81

appear. Visual lines may of course be made by the use of paint. But as usual LSJ has confused two different kinds of object. We must distinguish making a picture by drawing and making a picture of something. For the first:

```
μάλλον η γεγραμμένην εἰκόν' ἐστάναι. Ar. Ra. 538.

ὥσπερ γραφεὺς μηδὲν ἐοικότα γράφων. Pl. R. 377e.
```

if this means, as I believe, 'making drawings which in no way resemble (their subject)'. A more difficult example is:

```
εἰ ἡμᾶς ἀνδριάντα γράφοντας προσελθών τις ἔψεγε ...
Pl. R. 420c.
```

This is translated *paint* by LSJ s.v. ἀνδριάς, and the same translation was added by the 1968 Supplement s.v. γράφω. Since ancient statues were painted, this seems at first sight obvious. But this would be a surprising extension of the meaning. The nearest parallel seems to be:

```
τὰ ἐν γῆ εἴδη, ὁπόσα τοὺς λειμῶνας αί Ώραι γράφουσι.
Philostr. Jun. Im. Praef.
```

This may refer to colouring, but is perhaps more generally make into a picture. I prefer therefore to take the Plato passage as meaning delineate the features on, for the context shows that it refers not to the whole statue, but the treatment of the eyes.

The next sense will therefore be draw or paint a picture of, represent in a drawing. This seems to have started with the drawing of living creatures, as is clear in early Greek art, where things are only drawn as a background or as incidental features in the picture. The phrase $\zeta \hat{\varphi} a \gamma \rho \delta \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is actually used with a second accusative describing the subject of the painting:

```
ζώα γραψάμενος πάσαν τὴν ζεῦξιν τοῦ Βοσπόρου.
Hdt. 4. 88. 1.
```

From this the compound $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi os$ 'painter' developed and its denominative verb $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\epsilon} \omega$. Plato can still use this etymology as an argument:

```
εἰ ἐτύγχανόν σε ἐρωτῶν τίς ἐστιν τῶν ζωγράφων Ζεῦξις, εἴ μοι εἶπες ὁ τὰ ζῷα γράφων ... Pl. Grg. 453e.
```

More commonly $\gamma \rho \acute{a} \phi \omega$ in this sense is followed by a predicate, depict as:

κατά περ Έλληνες τὴν Ἰοῦν γράφουσι. Hdt. 2. 41. 2.
όρῶν γῆς περιόδους γράψαντας πολλούς ... οἱ Ὠκεανόν ...
ρέοντα γράφουσι πέριξ τὴν γῆν. Hdt. 4. 36. 2.
εἶδόν ποτ ἤδη Φινέως γεγραμμένας
δεῖπνον φερούσας (Γοργόνας). Α. Ευ. 50.
εἶτ οὐ δικαίως προσπεπατταλωμένον
γράφουσι τὸν Προμηθέα πρὸς ταῖς πέτραις.
Μεπ. fr. 718 (535 Κ.)
τίς ἦν ὁ γράψας πρῶτος ἀνθρώπων ἄρα
ἢ κηροπλαστήσας Ἔρωθ ὑπόπτερον;

6 The fully developed sense write, i.e. use a graphic system, arises naturally out of the sense defined in 3 above. But here too we must make a distinction ignored by LSJ. We need first to distinguish a usage cover with writing, inscribe:

Eub. 40.1 (ii p.164 Kock)

καὶ σκῦλα γράψεις πῶς ἐπ' Ἰνάχου ροαῖς; 'and how will you inscribe the spoils (dedicated) by the streams of Inachus?'

Ε. Ph. 574, cf. Tr. 1189.

γράμματα δ' έν φλοιῷ γεγράψεται. Theoc. 18. 47.

Here γράμματα means 'an inscription' and this must be separated from the use with the same object in D. 9. 41, where it means 'a document' (see 7 below).

τους έτι νηπιάχους γράψαν τεχνήμονες ἄνδρες αἰθομένω χαλκώ. Opp.C. 1. 326.

This may be used in the passive with a retained accusative:

έν τῷ προσώπῳ καὶ ταῖς χερσὶ γραφεὶς (i.e. branded) τὴν συμφοράν. Pl. Lg. 854d.

(Note that S. Tr. 157 cited by LSJ is actually an example of ἐγγράφω.) Also with predicate (cf. with 7 below):

έλαφον ... ἄν ποτε Ταϋγέτα ἀντιθεῖσ' Ὀρθωσίας ἔγραψαν ἱεράν. Pi. O. 3. 30.

Absolutely or intransitively, write:

τοις μήπω δεινοις γράφειν των παίδων. Pl. Prt. 326d.

γράφω 83

Also write on a specified material:

πολλοὶ τῶν βαρβάρων ἐς τοιαύτας διφθέρας γράφουσι. Hdt. 5. 58. 3.

7 Finally we come to the most common usage record in writing, write down. Here I would place first the Bellerophon passage (II. 6. 169) quoted in 2 above. Later:

γράψας ἐς βυβλίον τὰ ἐβούλετο. Hdt. 1. 125 .2.
περὶ μὲν τῶν ματαίων πολλὰ αὐτοῖς γέγραπται.
Χ. Cyn. 13. 2.
τὰ δικασθέντα ... ἐν γρυσῶ πίνακι γράψαντες. Pl. Ci

τὰ δικασθέντα ... ἐν χρυσῷ πίνακι γράψαντες. Pl. Criti. 120c. δς ἄν διαθήκην γράφη. Pl. Lg. 923c.

A proverbial expression for impermanence is 'to write on water':

οὖκ ἄρα σπουδῆ αὖτὰ ἐν ὕδατι γράψει μέλανι σπείρων διὰ καλάμου. Pl. Phdr. 276c.

ορκους έγω γυναικός εἰς ὕδωρ γράφω. S. fr. 811. παίζεις ... ἢ καθ' ὕδατος ... γράφεις. Luc. Cat. 21.

Equally it is used in a figure, as:

ἀνάγνωτέ μοι Άρχεστράτου παίδα, πόθι φρενὸς ἐμᾶς γέγραπται. Pi. O. 10. 3.

This sense is also used in the middle voice, with the usual implication of personal involvement of the subject:

γενομένου γὰρ τέρατος φυλάσσουσι γραφόμενοι τὼποβαΐνον 'they make a written note and await the outcome'.

Hdt. 2. 82. 2.

έγραψάμην ... τότ' εὐθὺς οἰκάδ' ἐλθὼν ὑπομνήματα. Pl. Tht. 143a.

συγγραφην έγράψαντο ύπέρ τούτων. D. 56. 6.

LSJ records the last of these as 'cause to be written', but there is no need to give this force to the middle. In figurative phrases:

τοιαῦτ' ἀκούων ... ἐν φρεσὶν γράφου. Α. Ch. 450. καὶ τοῦτ' ἐπίστω, καὶ γράφου φρενῶν ἔσω. S. Ph. 1325. With a predicate it means also record as:

τοῦτον αἴτιον γράφω. 'I record him as responsible.'

Hdt. 7. 214. 3.

κληρονόμον ον αν αξιώση γίγνεσθαι γραφέτω. Pl. Lg. 923c.

Again intransitively or absolutely, communicate in writing, write:

ἐπισταμένοις δ' ὑμῖν γράφω ὅτι βραχεῖα ἀκμὴ πληρώματος. 'You know well what I am telling you, that a crew is only at its peak for a short time.' Th. 7. 14. 1.

With eis:

θαυμάζειν ... πῶς οὐ καὶ εἰς Διονύσιον γράφει. Longin. 4. 3, cf. 1. 3.

λέγουσι ... τὴν Στρατονίκην ... γράψασαν ἐς τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦ Κομβάβου κατηγορέειν. Luc. Syr. D. 23.

A special sense is found in late papyri, be the recorder or scribe of:

τῷ γράφοντι τὸν Ὀξυρυγχίτ[ην]. Ρ. Οχγ. 239. Ι (i AD).

But as an intransitive verb there is a much earlier example, for which I am indebted to DGE:

τόνς δὲ στραταγόνς, οἶς γράφει Δαμέας, ἀνγράψαι ἐνς τὰνς στάλανς. Schwyzer 90. 10 (Argos, iii BC).

8 As a sub-sense we may further distinguish the use to mean compose, write a book, etc., where it sometimes means write in prose as opposed to $\pi o i \epsilon \omega$ write in verse:

δεί ... ἢ ποιεῖν ἢ γράφειν τι κεχαρισμένον τοῖς πολλοῖς.
Isoc. 2. 48.
τῶν πράξεων ὑπὲρ ὧν προηρήμεθα γράφειν. Plb. 1. 1. 4.

We are a secondistic switch a second transport when the world

9 We can now distinguish a special usage where the verb means lay down in writing, prescribe a command, rule, etc. This is particularly common in the perfect passive. This sense is ignored by LSJ and some of the examples quoted here are new ones:

αὶ δὲ οἱ τίται μὲ Γέρκσιεν δι ἔγρα(τ)ται (= γέγραπται) 'if the exactors have not acted as prescribed.'

Inscr. Cret. 4. 78. 7 (Schwyzer 175, v BC).

κατά τὰ ἐγραμμένα. Leg. Gort. 3. 21.

ἐπιστέλλω σοι περὶ Περσῶν ἦπερ γέγραπται. Χ. Cyr. 4. 5. 34.
 νόμοι ... οὖς τὸ πλῆθος συνελθὸν καὶ δοκιμάσαν ἔγραψε.
 Χ. Mem. 1. 2. 42.

τολμώντα παρά τὰ γραφέντα δράν. Pl. Plt. 295d.

With infinitive:

οὐκ εἰδότες ... ἄμμε πότμος ἄντιν' ἔγραψε δραμεῖν ποτὶ στάθμαν 'not knowing what line fate has ordained us to run along'. Pi. N. 6. 7.

10 Another special use is with a predicate where it means write down on a list, enrol. This is usually in the middle:

ένα τῶν μαθητῶν ... καὶ ἐμὲ γράφου. Pl. Cra. 428b.

In the passive, be enrolled:

ωστ' οὐ Κρέοντος προστάτου γεγράψομαι 'so I shall not be enrolled as under the protection of Creon'. S. OT 411. ἀφ' ἦς ἃν γράψηται 'from the time of his enrolment'. Pl. Lg. 850b.

In the active:

έμὲ μὲν οὖν ... γράφε τῶν ἱππεύειν ὑπερεπιθυμούντων. Χ. Cyr. 4. 3. 21.

11 Equally it is used of writing a formal proposal:

ψηφίσματ' οὖ γράφουσιν. Ar. Nu. 1429. ἔγραψε γνώμην κατὰ τὸ Καννανοῦ ψήφισμα κρίνεσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας δίχα ἔκαστον. Χ. HG 1. 7. 34.

But more often the word for proposal is omitted, so that it effectively means propose, with accusative and infinitive:

ἔγραψε Φιλοκράτης ἐξεῖναι Φιλίππω δεῦρο κήρυκα ... πέμπειν. Aeschin. 3. 62. ἀλλὰ τί ἐχρῆν με ποιεῖν; μὴ προσάγειν γράψαι τοὺς ἐπὶ τοῦθ' ἥκοντας ...; D. 18. 28; 1. 19; 24. 83.

Also with περί:

οὕτως ... ἐδόκει γράφειν καὶ νομοθετεῖν περὶ τούτων.

D. 24. 48.

12 A further extension or sub-sense of this is with accusative, propose a course of action:

γράφειν πόλεμον. D. 10. 55. γράφοντας εἰρήνην. D. 19. 55. γράφοντα παράνομα 'making an illegal proposal'. D. 18. 13.

This is also found in the middle:

έχρην σε ... πρώτον μέν πρόσοδον γράψασθαι πρός την βουλήν, είτα τῷ δήμω διαλεχθήναι. D. 24. 48.

With infinitive, in the active:

πολεμεῖν μὲν οὐ γράψεις. Din. 1. 70.

13 Then we have a usage which seems to be confined to the middle, though it can of course also be used in the passive. It begins as a special sense of put in writing, where the object is a formal accusation $(\gamma\rho\alpha\phi\dot{\eta})$ and the person accused is designated by a second accusative:

εἶτ' αὐτοὺς γραφὴν διωκάθω γραψάμενος. Ar. Nu. 1482. γραφὴν σέ τις, ὡς ἔοικε, γέγραπται. Pl. Euthphr. 2b. ἐπὶ τὴν Μελήτου γραφὴν ἥν με γέγραπται. Pl. Tht. 210d.

From this it is an easy step to make the subject of an accusation:

οὖτος ἐγράψατο τὴν Χαβρίου δωρειάν. D. 20. 146.

Then with an object clause replacing the accusative:

έγράψατο κύων Κυδαθηναιεὺς Λάβητ' Αἰξωνέα τὸν τυρὸν ἀδικεῖν ὅτι μόνος κατήσθιεν. Ar. V. 894. γεγραμμένος ταῦθ' ὡς οὖκ ἀληθῆ. D. 18. 59.

Absolutely δ γραψάμενος means the accuser:

τοὺς φεύγοντάς τ' ἐλεεῖν μᾶλλον τῶν γραψαμένων. Ατ. V. 881.

δ δε γραψάμενος Διώνδας το μέρος των ψήφων οὐκ ἔλαβεν.

D. 18. 222.

14 As a development from this the middle is used to mean accuse a person, with the charge given in the genitive:

αἰσχροκερδείας οὖν αὖτὸν γραψάμενος. Pl. Lg. 754e. ταῦτ' ἐστὶν ὧν οὐδὲν σὺ γέγραψαι. D. 18. 119.

In the passive with retained accusative:

γραφείς τὸν ἀγῶνα τοῦτον 'being accused on this charge'.

D. 18. 103.

- 15 A scheme for a rationally arranged article might therefore run thus:
 - I make a shallow cut in, scratch (1).
 - 2 make a visible mark, describe a line, etc. (3). b write a letter of the alphabet (3).
 - 3 make a visual representation (4).
 - 4 draw or paint a picture of (5).
 - 5 cover with writing, inscribe (6); (absol.) write on a specified material (6).
 - 6 record in writing, write down (7). b be the scribe of (7). c compose, write a book etc. (8)
 - 7 lay down in writing, prescribe, ordain a command, rule, etc. (9).
 - 8 write down on a list, enrol (10).
 - 9 write or make a formal proposal (11). b propose a course of action (12).
 - 10 (mid.) put in writing, lodge an accusation; (with two accusatives) bring an accusation against a person (13).
 b accuse a person.

διαβάλλω

- I The senses given by LSJ are as follows:
 - I throw or carry across. 2 intr. pass over, cross. 3 put through.
 - II throw with a play on sense V.
 - III set at variance, set against, bring into discredit; Pass. to be at variance with; to be filled with suspicion and resentment against; to be brought into discredit.

IV put off with evasions.

V attack a man's character, calumniate; accuse, complain of; reproach with. 2 misrepresent; speak or state slander-ously; give hostile information. 3 lay the blame for ... on. 4 disprove. 5 declare spurious.

VI deceive by false accounts, impose on, mislead.

VII divert from a course of action.

VIII Med., contract an obligation.

IX throw against a person (at dice).

It is fairly obvious that some of these senses, however justified in their context, do not form a coherent pattern and in some cases seem to overlap. Sense IX, if correctly so interpreted, belongs with the physical senses in I; and IV put off might well be grouped with VII divert, though in fact the existence of either of these is doubtful. VII has been deleted by the 1968 and the New Supplement, and various examples have been switched to other senses. Yet the overall picture is still very far from clear, and despite a good deal of research I am not confident that all the problems are solved. There still seem to be too many usages insufficiently exemplified, some perhaps because they belong to different periods in the development of the language. It is curious that the physical sense in classical Greek is more or less restricted to the nautical use, and was only later generalised.

2 We must begin with the physical sense, the earliest examples of which do not include any where it means literally throw across. The definition relocate on the other side, move across will cover the use in Herodotus:

```
διέβαλον ἐκ τῆς Χίου τὰς νέας ἐς τὴν Νάξον.
Hdt. 5. 34. 2; 5. 33. 1.
```

The third is more difficult:

```
καταγαγών εκ Χερρονήσου διαβαλών άγκυρίσας εἶτ' ἀποστρέψας τὸν ὧμον αὐτὸν ἐνεκολήβασας. Ar. Eq. 262.
```

Since the two following participles refer to holds in wrestling, it is hard to resist the conjecture $\delta\iota a\lambda a\beta \omega\nu$ 'grasping him round the waist.' If $\delta\iota a\beta a\lambda \omega\nu$ is kept, it is more likely to belong in the sense discussed in 5 below.

3 Sense 2 is correctly given as 'intr. pass over, cross', in other words the intransitive meaning corresponding to 2 above, e.g.:

έκ Πατρών ... πρὸς τὴν ἀντιπέρας ἤπειρον διαβάλλοντες ἐπ' Άκαρνανίας κατείδον τοὺς Άθηναίους προσπλέοντας.

Th. 2. 83. 3.

πρὶν λιπὼν Κάδμου πόλιν φυγῆ πρὸς Άργος διαβαλεῖν αὐθαίρετος. Ε. Supp. 931.

However, it is not so simple to add here 'c. acc. spatii', since it could be reasonably argued that the accusative is here an object, and it would be better to add another transitive sense, go across, traverse:

άθρόοις ἐπὶ ἄκραν Ίαπυγίαν τὸν Ίόνιον διαβαλοῦσιν. Τh. 6. 30. 1.

LSJ places this together with a very corrupt fragment of Aeschylus (fr. 69), a fragment of Old Comedy (Demetr. Com. Vet. 1) and the following:

πῶς δ' αὖ γεφύρας διαβαλοῦσ' ἱππηλάται, ην ἄρα μη θραύσαντες ἀντύγων χοάς; Ε. Rh. 117.

Here it seems simpler to take the verb as meaning construct or throw a bridge across. This could then be attached to the transitive uses in LSJ's I I (2 above). It is possible that the literal sense of throw is also present in Ar. Pax 643, but this is better treated later (see 10).

4 Finally we come to *place* or *put through*, *insert*. Interestingly this seems to be absent from classical Greek, though there is no apparent reason for this.

διαβαλόντα της θύρας τὸν δάκτυλον. D. L. 1. 118.

In passive participles:

τύλος διαβεβλημένος διὰ τοῦ ῥυμοῦ διαμπάξ. Arr. An. 2. 3. 7. αΐδε (sc. ἀλύσεις) εἰσὶν ἐκ κρίκων τινῶν κεχαλκευμέναι δι' ἀλλήλων διαβεβλημένων. D. Chr. 30. 20.

διαβληθέντων των άγκωνων διὰ μέσων των τόνων. Hero, Bel. 101. 12. 5 At this point the orderly development of senses is abandoned, and no sort of pattern seems discernible. As a first stage of metaphorical development we need a sense set on opposite sides of a contest or argument, make into an opponent, where $\delta \iota a$ - has the force of separation:

τούτου ένεκα ... τοῦ ἐμὲ καὶ Αγάθωνα διαβάλλειν. Pl. Smp. 222c; 222d.

μὴ διάβαλλε ... ἐμὲ καὶ Θρασύμαχον ἄρτι φίλους γεγονότας. Pl. R. 498c.

Then with other constructions set against:

τὸ διαβάλλειν ἀλλήλοις καὶ συγκρούειν καὶ φίλους φίλοις καὶ τὸν δῆμον τοῖς γνωρίμοις. Arist. Pol. 1313616.

So probably:

In this sense we can now include the examples of the middle quoted from Plutarch, where the contest is a game:

τούτοις γὰρ ὤσπερ ἀστραγάλοις ... παίζουσα χρῆται καὶ διαβάλλεται πρὸς τοὺς ἐντυχόντας. Plu. 2. 148d; 272f.

6 Just as we have an intransitive literal sense (3 above), so there is probably one developed from this transferred sense, be on the opposite side:

πέμπουσι ... οἱ Μυτινηαῖοι τῶν τε διαβαλλόντων ἔνα, ῷ μετέμελεν ἥδη, καὶ ἄλλους. Τh. 3. 4. 4.

This is translated by LSJ 'give hostile information, without any insimulation of falsehood'. But it is hard to see that it means more than 'one of the opponents, who had already changed his mind'. At least, having no object, it ought not to be placed under \mathbf{V} 2 misrepresent. It is also found in the middle voice, with $\pi \rho \delta s$:

πρὸς ἐπιβουλεύοντα διαβάλλονται 'they take opposite sides to anyone plotting against them'. Arist. Rh. 1404b21.

7 From this it is an easy step to the sense make an enemy of, denounce, discredit:

ἐλθόντες παρὰ βασιλέα διέβαλλον τοὺς Ἰωνας, ὡς δι' ἐκείνους ἀπολοίατο αἱ νέες. Hdt. 8. 90. 1. διαβαλών αὐτοὺς ώς οὐδὲν ἐν νῷ ἔχουσιν. Th. 5. 45. 3. ώς τότε δυνάμενος ἢ ώς νῦν διαβεβλημένος. Lys. 7. 27.

With dative:

Μεγαβάτη διαβεβλημένος. Hdt. 5. 35. 1; 6. 64.

ἵνα ... οἱ ... πολέμιοι τῷ Τισσαφέρνει ὧς μάλιστα διαβάλλοιντο. Th. 8. 81. 2.

οὐδὲν οὖν ἔτι ὑπολείπεται ὅτῷ ἄν μοι δικαίως διαβεβλῆσθε. And. 2. 24.

μή με διαβάλης στρατῷ

λέγονθ' ἃ μὴ δεῖ. S. Ph. 582.

έὰν δὲ ἀδύνατοι ἐκβάλλειν αὐτὸν ὧσιν ἢ ἀποκτεῖναι διαβάλλοντες τῆ πόλει. Pl. R. 566b; also Ev. Luc. 16. 1.

With είς or πρός:

Πελοποννησίους διαβαλεῖν ες τους εκείνη χρήζων Έλληνας 'desiring to discredit the Peloponnesians in the eyes of the Greeks in that area'. Th. 3. 109. 2.

διαβάλλων ... τοὺς Άθηναίους πρὸς τὸν Άρταφέρνεα. Hdt. 5. 96. 1; also Plb. 30. 19. 2.

It would seem possible to place among the first set of examples:

διαβάλλων με μίαν έκ μιᾶς. SB 5343. 41 (ii AD).

This is translated by LSJ as put off with evasions (IV), but there is no reason why it should not be taken as 'denouncing me day after day'.

8 Where the object is a statement or opinion, the translation denounce might still hold good with the addition of *criticise*. This appears to be confined to later Greek:

τοῦτο τὸ ἔπος διαβάλλουσι καὶ τὴν περὶ Μουνύχου μυθολογίαν. Plu. Thes. 34. 1.

δίκαιον γὰρ ἦν, οἶμαι, πρῶτον μὲν εἰπεῖν, ὑπὸ τίνων πιθανῶν ἀναπεισθεὶς ὁ Πλάτων οὕτως ἐδόξαζεν, ἔπειτα δὲ ἐξελέγξαι καὶ διαβαλεῖν αὐτά. Gal. 5. 289; cf. 5. 480.

9 A further development is to *deceive*, *mislead*. This is clearly to be distinguished from the sense in 7 above, though the choice in some cases is not easy. This will also provide a better explanation

of the use of $\delta\iota\acute{a}\beta o\lambda os$ to mean the *devil*; *deceiver* is surely a better starting-point than *slanderer*. Clear examples are:

τἆλλα ἐὼν σοφὸς καὶ διαβάλλων ἐκεῖνον εὖ, ἐν τούτῳ ἐσφάλη. Hdt. 5. 50. 2.

Θεμιστοκλέης μὲν ταῦτα λέγων διέβαλλε, Ἀθηναῖοι δὲ ἐπείθοντο. Hdt. 8, 110, 1.

διέβαλλέ μ ' η γρα \hat{v} s (the uncouth complaint of the τοξότης).

Ar. Th. 1214.

This can be used with things as subject:

μάλιστα δ' αὐτὸν διέβαλεν ἀνενεχθεῖσά τις ἐξ Άμφιλόχου μαντεία. Plu. 2. 563d.

In the passive:

εὶ γὰρ διαβέβληνται πανταχή τῷ σώματι. Pl. Phd. 67e. ἀλλ' ὅσαι δὴ ἔδοξαν πλείονα χρόνον δέκα μηνῶν ἔχειν ... κεῖναι διεβλήθησαν. Hp. Nat. Puer. 30 (p. 532 L).

The middle is used in much the same sense as the active, but as usual implying the involvement of the subject in the action, perhaps deceive to one's own advantage:

λέγων δὲ τοιάδε Εέρξην διεβάλετο. Hdt. 9. 116. 2. διαβάλλεταί σ' δ θείος, ὧ πόνηρε σύ. Ar. Av. 1648.

We can perhaps classify here the problematic use in the Gortyn Law-Code, which editors have doubtfully taken to mean *defraud*. The context only indicates that it is an action which is likely to leave an heir with an obligation to fulfil:

αὶ ἀν[δ] εκσάμ[ε] νος ενεικαμένο [ς ε ἐνκ] οι δτὰν ὀπέλον ε διαβαλόμενος εδια ειπάμενος ἀπο[θ] άνοι ... Leg. Gort. 9. 25.

There seems at least no reason to believe LSJ's doubtful suggestion (VIII) that it means contract an obligation; all the cases envisaged are of obligations, so something more specific must be intended.

10 To assert falsely, allege:

ή μὲν οὖν τότε συγχωρηθεῖσ' εἰρήνη διὰ ταῦτ', οὐ δι' ἐμέ, ὡς οὖτος διέβαλλεν, ἐπράχθη. D. 18. 20. With an internal accusative:

ει τι των άλλων ων νυνί διέβαλλε καί διεξήει. D. 18. 14.

εὶ δὲ βουλεύων ἐγὼ προσάγειν τοὺς πρέσβεις ὤμην δεῖν, τοῦτό μοι διαβάλλει. D. 18. 28.

ἃ μήτε προήδει μηδεὶς μήτ' ἃν ψήθη τήμερον ἡηθῆναι διαβάλλειν. D. 18. 225.

We can probably take in the same way this example from Aristophanes, though, as LSJ observes, the use of $\eta \sigma \theta \iota \epsilon \nu$ implies a new metaphor:

ή πόλις γὰρ ἀχριῶσα κἀν φόβῳ καθημένη, ἄττα διαβάλοι τις αὐτῆ, ταῦτ' ἄν ἥδιστ' ἤσθιεν. Ατ. Pax 643.

Perhaps we can add here an example where there is an object expressed, so that the verb means to make the subject of a false allegation, misrepresent:

ταύτην (sc. τὴν μαρτυρίαν) εἰς τὸν ὕστερον λόγον ὑπελίπετο, ώς διαβαλεῖν τὸ πρᾶγμα έξ αὐτῆς δυνησόμενος. D. 28. 1.

LSJ places this on a par with D. 18. 225 (see 10), but in this case the object is the allegation.

12 To blame a person (for something), with dative:

την ... ἀτυχίαν ή με διαβάλλουσι. Antipho 2. 4. 4.

With eic:

αὐτὸ τοῦτο κατηγοροῦντος αὐτοῦ καὶ εἰς κιναιδίαν διαβάλλοντος. Luc. Demon. 50.

With πρός:

τοὺς ... διαβεβλημένους πρὸς αὐτὴν (sc. τὴν φιλοσοφίαν) παυστέον. Isoc. 15. 175.

πρὸς τὴν ὤμότητα τοῦ υίοῦ διαβληθείς. Luc. Macr. 14. πρὸς τὴν κακίαν διαβαλοῦμεν αὐτούς. Plu. 2. 809f.

This last example is classified by LSJ as divert from a course of action, but it is the direction towards which is emphasised, if this is what $\delta\iota\alpha\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda\dot{\omega}$ means. There appears to be no good reason for separating this from the other two examples quoted. Also with $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\epsilon}$:

έπὶ βίω μὴ σώφρονι διαβεβλημένος. Hdn. 2. 6. 6.

In the middle:

διαβάλλεται ... ἐπὶ τῶν κυρτῶν κατόπτρων ὅταν ἐμφάσεις ποιῆ μείζονας ἑαυτῶν 'blame is laid on curved mirrors when they create enlarged images.' Plu. 2. 930b.

This last is given by LSJ in sense V 4 'disprove a scientific ... doctrine' as an example of the passive. I am unable to make sense of the passage on that basis.

13 As a sub-sense of this we can attach a late usage, to *lay the blame for* a thing on someone:

πολλοὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα ἐς βασιλέα διέβαλλον. Procop. Arc. 22. 19.

Used intransitively it seems also to mean to make a complaint:

περὶ ὧ ἀπέφαινεν ἠδικῆσθαι ὑπὸ σοῦ καὶ Δημητρίω ... ἠναγκάσθαι διαβαλεῖν. $P.\ Teb.\ 23.\ 4$ (ii BC).

- 14 We may recapitulate the main senses thus:
 - 1 relocate on the opposite side, move across (2). b construct or throw a bridge across (3).
 - 2 intr. pass over, cross; tr. go across, traverse (3).
 - 3 place or put through, insert (4).
 - 4 set on opposite sides of a contest or argument, make into an opponent, set against (5). b intr. be on the opposite side (6).
 - 5 make an enemy of, denounce, discredit (7).
 - 6 denounce, criticise an opinion (8).
 - 7 deceive, mislead (9). **b** defraud (9).
 - 8 assert falsely, allege (10).
 - 9 make the subject of a false allegation, misrepresent (11).
 - 10 blame a person for something (12). b lay the blame for a thing on someone (12).

δίζημαι

Hesychius lists διττάμενον· ἀρνούμενον (Latte). For this ἀρνύμενον has also been proposed. It is possible to interpret this as a Cretan (or less likely Elean) form with $-\tau\tau$ - as the product of -dy-, cf.

φροντίττω, $T\tau \hat{\eta} \nu a$, ἀττάμιος. Thus if, as generally accepted (Frisk, Chantraine) δίζημαι is from *di-dyā-, a participle διττάμενος is to be expected. The meaning as given is inaccurate, but there are contexts in which either 'seeking' or 'achieving' would make sense.

δισκυροῦσι

SEG 32. 637 is a manumission document from Macedonia of iii AD. Part of it runs:

ανεθόμην Άγα[θ]ήμερον κὲ Παράμονον παραμένουσί μοι τὸν τῆς ζωῆς χρόνον ὑπηρετούντων αὐτῶν τῆ θεῷ τὰς ἐθίμας ἡμέ[ρας]· τούτων οὐ δισκυροῦσι οὔτε μοι κληρονόμος οὔτε δανεισττής· εἰ δέ τις πειράσει, δίδωσι πρόστιμον ...

The editors have so presented the text, speculating about the meaning of the new verb $\delta\iota\sigma\kappa\nu\rho\hat{\omega}$. But a much easier solution is to divide the words

οὐδὶς (= οὐδεὶς) κυροῦσι

The singular subject with a plural verb is poor grammar, but $\tau o \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ $o \dot{\nu} \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} s$ 'none of these' is plural in sense, if not grammatically. So too in English, however much purists frown, we often hear 'none of these are ...'. But is $\kappa \nu \rho o \hat{\nu} s t$ from $\kappa \nu \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ or $\kappa \nu \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$? Although $\kappa \nu \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ is supposed to be a 'poetic' verb, it seems to offer better sense here, and it would not be the only example of a poetic word re-appearing in late prose. I suggest therefore the sentence might be translated: 'None of these are, as it happens, either a legatee of mine or a borrower from me.' This implies that the persons manumitted have no further claim on the manumitter or his estate.

ἔγρω

I This word is recorded by LSJ as 'later form of $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \omega$ ', which is true, but conceals the word's history. It is certainly so used by late authors, but it is surprising to find Homer (Il. 24. 789) added by the 1968 Supplement. The whole entry has now been deleted by the New Supplement. What has been overlooked is that the

normal epic (unaugmented) aorist middle of $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \omega$ is $\epsilon \gamma \rho \epsilon \tau \sigma$ and this form should not therefore have been quoted from Oppian as derived from $\epsilon \gamma \rho \omega$. The same remark applies equally to the imperatives $\epsilon \gamma \rho \epsilon \tau \omega$ and $\epsilon \gamma \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, which can and therefore presumably should be referred to $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \omega$. The infinitive $\epsilon \gamma \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$ equally belongs to $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho \omega$ (see LSJ), unless it is to be accented $\epsilon \gamma \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$.

2 The facts are easy to establish. The aorist ἔγρετο was misinterpreted as an imperfect, and a present ἔγρεται, ἔγρονται was built on it; and from this a present indicative active ἔγρει. This allowed forms such as ἔγρετο, ἐγρέτω, ἔγρεσθε to be interpreted as passive instead of middle; but there is often little semantic difference between 'rousing oneself' and 'being roused'.

εἰκῆ, εἰκῆ

I The meanings given in LSJ and the 1968 Supplement are as follows:

I without plan or purpose, at random, at a venture.

II in vain. 2 without cause.

III slightly, moderately.

IV willingly, readily.

The origin of the adverb is believed to be the dative of an obsolete substantive related to $\epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$. The spelling $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$ is common in later authors.

- 2 All the translations given will make sense in certain examples. But the question the lexicographer must ask is: is it necessary to create five different meanings for the one word, and if so, are these the necessary five? Can we be sure that these are the meanings which can be proved to exist?
- 3 The first, which I would define more closely as without plan, anyhow, at random, is well attested, e.g.:

ἔφυρον εἰκῆ πάντα. Α. Pr. 450. εἰκῆ κράτιστον ζῆν, ὅπως δύναιτό τις. S. OT 979. αἳ δ' ἐν δρυὸς φύλλοισι πρὸς πέδῳ κάρα εἰκῆ βαλοῦσαι. Ε. Βα. 686.

κράτιστον εἰκῆ ταῦτ' ἐᾶν ἀφειμένα. Ε. Εl. 379.

ωσπερ σάρμα εἰκῆ κεχυμένον ὁ κάλλιστος κόσμος.

Heraclit. 47.

όμοῦ ταράττων τήν τε γῆν καὶ τὴν θάλατταν εἰκῆ. Ar. Eq. 431.

ου μέντοι ... κεκαλλιεπημένους γε λόγους ... ἀλλ' ἀκούσεσθε εἰκῆ λεγόμενα τοῖς ἐπιτυχοῦσιν ὀνόμασιν. Pl. Ap. 17c.

οὐκ εἰκῆ αὐτὸν (sc. τὸν σίτον) ὅπου ἃν τύχωσιν ἀπέβαλον.

X. Oec. 20. 28.

πᾶσα φύσις ... οὐθὲν μὲν εἰκῆ ποιεῖ, ἔνεκα δέ τινος πάντα. Arist. Protr. 23.

κρέμαται δὲ σὺν ἱστίῳ ἄρμενα πάντα εἰκῆ ἀποκλασθέντα. Theoc. 22. 14.

4 The second well-attested meaning is ignored by LSJ, and only superficially represented by the 1968 Supplement, quoting a papyrus of i AD. This can be defined as without thought for the consequences, heedlessly, recklessly. Translators have frequently used such expressions in dealing with it. It seems to be especially common in the Attic orators, and I have not yet detected examples earlier than the end of v BC. A selection only is presented here:

οὐ χρὴ προσφέρειν τοῖς πλησίοισιν εἰκῆ τὴν χεῖρ'. Ar. Lys. 471.

φοβοίμην ἄν αὐτοὺς καὶ αἰσχυνοίμην ἀπολιπών ταῦτα εἰκῆ ἀπελθεῖν. Χ. Cyr. 5. 1. 23.

ή πόλις αὖ τούς τε νόμους ἀναγκάζει μανθάνειν καὶ κατὰ τούτους ζῆν κατὰ παράδειγμα, ἵνα μὴ αὐτοὶ ἐφ' αὐτῶν εἰκῆ πράττωσιν. Pl. Prt. 326d.

μή μοι οὕτως εἰκῆ, ὥσπερ τι ἠδικημένος ὑπό τινος, ἀλλὰ προσέχων ἐμοὶ τὸν νοῦν ἀπόκριναι. Pl. Hipp. 225b.

ό γὰρ εἰκῆ τοῦτο καὶ πρὸς πολλοὺς πράττων καὶ μισθοῦ ... Aeschin. 1. 52.

τοὺς εἰκῆ διδομένους στεφάνους. Aeschin. 3. 177.
τοὺς οὐδὲν ἀποδεξομένους τῶν εἰκῆ λεγομένων. Isoc. 4. 12.

πόλεις καὶ τηλικαύτας τὸ μέγεθος δυνάμεις οὕτως εἰκῆ τῷ βαρβάρῳ παραδεδώκαμεν. Isoc. 4. 136.

ὧν νῦν ἀλογίστως καὶ λίαν εἰκῆ πολὺν ἤδη χρόνον

καταφρονοῦμεν. Isoc. 8. 30.

τοις είκη και φορτικώς και χύδην ο τι αν επέλθη λέγουσιν. Isoc. 12. 24.

ίνα μηδείς άδική μηδένα των έμπόρων είκή. D. 33. 1.

η α έλεγες πρός τους δικαστάς, είκη ούτωσι λέγειν, η περί ών τὰς μαρτυρίας παρέσχον, οὕτως ἂν παρασχέσθαι.

D. 48. 43.

τὸ $\mu\eta\theta$ ὲν εἰκ $\hat{\eta}$ λέγειν ἀλλὰ μ ετὰ λόγου. Arist. EE 1216 $^{\rm b}$ 40.

As a development from this sense we can discern a special use with verbs of believing, where it means without good cause:

άλλ' οὐδὲ μετὰ πολλών μαρτύρων ἀποδιδούς εἰκῆ τις ἂν ἐπίστευσεν. D. 30. 20, cf. 28. 5.

μή τοις αιτιωμένοις είκή πιστεύειν. Isoc. 15. 157.

έκτὸς εί μη είκη έπιστεύσατε. 1 Ep. Cor. 15. 2.

This last example is listed by LSJ under the heading in vain (see 6 below), but it appears to be indistinguishable from the others. This also appears in later Greek with other verbs:

οὐ γὰρ εἰκή τὴν μάχαιραν φορεῖ (ἡ έξουσία). Ερ. Rom. 13. 4. ων οὐκ ἄν τις εἰκῆ καταφρονήσειεν. Plu. Cam. 6.

The sense in vain, to no purpose has been proposed for I Ep. Cor. 15.2 quoted just above. Clearer examples are:

τοσαθτα ἐπάθετε εἰκῆ; εἴ γε καὶ εἰκῆ. Εp. Gal. 3. 4. φοβούμαι ύμας, μή πως είκη κεκοπίακα είς ύμας. Ep. Gal. 4. 11.

είκη φυσιούμενος ύπὸ τοῦ νοὸς της σαρκὸς αὐτοῦ.

Ep. Col. 2. 18.

There remain a few examples that cannot easily be accommodated in this scheme, and I believe we therefore need to establish a new sense for them. It is quite clearly influenced by a paretymological connexion with εἰκός and corresponds closely in meaning to εἰκότως. Even if its true etymology is different, this does not preclude the development of its sense being influenced by a merely apparent kinship. I propose therefore to add a new sense as is likely, reasonably, probably. The best example is from the iv BC comic poet Antiphanes, listed by the 1968 Supplement to LSJ, but translated of one's free will.

ὥστε μηδ΄ ἂν, εἰ χαλκοῦς ἔχων μυκτῆρας εἰσέλθοι τις, ἐξελθεῖν πάλιν εἰκῆ, τοσαύτην ἐξακοντίζει πνοήν. Antiph. 216. 7 (K-A) (= Athen. 14. 623a.)

But it is clear that what is being said here is that if anyone went in even equipped with nostrils of bronze, he would not come out again $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$. Clearly he would want to come out, but would not be able to. $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$ serves to qualify the verb, stating that this would be the likely result; translate 'it is not likely he would come out again'. Once this sense is accepted other passages may be found to support it:

τοιαύτας ἐπιβουλὰς ... οὐδ' ἂν κατὰ τῶν ἐχθίστων τις εἰκῆ ποιήσαιτο. Aeschin. 2. 22.

Another possible example:

οἶσιν ἡ πόλις πρὸ τοῦ οὐδὲ φαρμακοῖσιν εἰκῆ ῥαδίως ἐχρήσατ' ἄν. Ar. Ra. 733.

8 It remains to examine the alleged example of the meaning slightly, moderately. The reference quoted is from Agatharchides, a geographer of ii BC, whose work is known only through two later summaries or paraphrases. It is therefore questionable whether the words quoted can legitimately be attributed to this author. Users of LSJ should always beware of believing in usages attributed to an author on the basis of a fragment. The passage in question runs:

άφ' αἵματος καὶ γάλακτος ζῶσιν, εἰς ταὐτὸ μίξαντες καὶ κυλίσαντες ἐν ἀγγείοις εἰκῆ πεπυρωμένοις. Agatharch. 61.

100 ἐκτός

meaning 'vessels fired anyhow', i.e. they are not experts in making pottery. At best, such examples should be kept in reserve, in case subsequently better evidence comes to light. They ought not to appear in a standard lexicon without some indication of their uncertainty.

- 9 I have no doubt that further research will disclose many more examples of the uses demonstrated above. I have checked out numerous other references without finding anything which could not be explained by the scheme of meanings given above. For convenience, I set forth here the complete scheme:
 - I without plan, anyhow, at random.
 - 2 without thought for the consequences, heedlessly, recklessly.
 - 3 (with verbs of believing) without good cause.
 - 4 to no purpose, in vain.
 - 5 as is likely, reasonably, probably.

ἐκτός

- LSJ divides this into three sections: I as preposition; II absolutely: III with verbs of motion. The first section is further divided into four senses: I out of, far from, beyond; free from, exempt from, 2 of time beyond, 3 except, besides, apart from (with an odd example used absolutely, which should have been in II). 4 without the consent of. It is difficult in such a case to decide whether construction or sense should have priority, especially as both prepositional and absolute uses occur in Homer (a fact concealed by LSJ). But it can hardly be doubted that historically ἐκτός was first an adverb, which came to be used with an ablatival genitive, so becoming a preposition. Since both usages remain common throughout antiquity, it would seem easier to make the principal classification semantic, and to subordinate construction to this. It is obvious that out of and exempt from belong to different senses, and further nuances will appear when we study the examples. It has here been necessary to supplement the material given by LSJ, and references preceded by an asterisk are not listed there.
- 2 We may start with the physical examples referring to situation on the outside as opposed to inside an area, building, etc.:

έκτός 101

ώς δὲ ἴδεν νεῦρόν τε καὶ ὄγκους ἐκτὸς ἐόντας. 'on seeing the thread and barbs (of the arrow) were outside (the wound)'.

*Il. 4. 151.

ἐκτὸς μὲν δὴ λέξο 'outside the tent or hut'. *Il. 24. 650.

Similarly:

οί μεν ἄρ' εκτός ἄμαξαν εΰτροχον ήμιονείην ὅπλεον. *Od. 6. 72.

πῶς ἔλεγον αίδε ...

ώς ἐκτὸς εἴης. 'away from home'. Ε. IT 1310.

οὐκ ὥρων οἱ ἐντὸς τοὺς ἐκτὸς ὅ τι ἔπρησσον. *Hdt. 6. 79. 2.

ἀνιὼν ἐκ Πειραιῶς ὑπὸ τὸ βόρειον τεῖχος ἐκτός.

*Pl. R. 439e.

The 1968 Supplement adds an example of $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\delta s$, $sc. \gamma\hat{\eta}$:

ἐκ τῆς ἐκτός. Didyma 25A 9, B 21 (ii BC).

3 We can place next the adverbial usage with expressions implying motion, to the outside, out:

ἐκτὸς οδσ' ἀγαλμάτων

εΰχου 'go away from the statues and pray'. A. Th. 265.

οὕκουν μ' ἐάσεις κάκτὸς εξ;—πορεύσομαι. S. ΟΤ 676.

σὺ δ' ἐκτὸς ήξας πρὸς τί; S. El. 1402.

ἔρριψεν ἐκτὸς αὐτόν. S. Tr. 269.

χώρει δέ, θύγατερ, έκτός. Ε. ΙΑ 1117.

τὸν δὲ Ἀρδιαῖον ... εἶλκον παρὰ τὴν ὁδὸν ἐκτὸς ἐπ' ἀσπαλάθων κνάμπτοντες. 'They dragged A. off the road mangling him on thorns.' Pl. R. 616a.

4 The prepositional use with genitive means therefore on the outside of, out of a designated area, building, etc. It may stand before or after the substantive.

παρὰ τάφρον ὀρυκτὴν τείχεος ἐκτός. *ΙΙ. 9. 67; 21. 608.

στη δ' έκτὸς κλισίης. ΙΙ. 14. 13.

ἔχε μώνυχας ἵππους

ἐκτὸς ὁδοῦ. *Il. 23. 424.

αὐλης ἐκτὸς ἐών. *Od. 4. 678; *23. 178.

102 ἐκτός

καπνοῦ καὶ κύματος ἐκτὸς ἔεργε

νη̂α. Od. 12. 219.

των δε εκτός τούτων (sc. ορων) οἰκημένων. *Hdt. 8. 47.

This too is used with expressions implying motion:

Ήρη δ' Απόλλωνα καλέσσατο δώματος ἐκτός. *Il. 15. 143. οὐδέ μιν ἐκτὸς ἀταρπιτοῦ ἐστυφέλιξεν. *Od. 17. 234.

(LSJ does not admit any Homeric use in the section on motion.)

καί σ' ἐκτὸς αὐλείων πυλῶν τοῦδ' οὕνεκ' ἐξέπεμπον. S. Ant. 18. οὐκ εἶ πατρώας ἐκτὸς ὡς τάχιστα γῆς. *Ε. Ηἰρρ. 1065. μή σ' ὁ θυμὸς ἀρπάσας ἐκτὸς οἴσει τῶν ἐλαῶν. Ar. Ra. 995.

5 The spatial sense may be used in a figurative phrase, thus opening the way for the development of transferred senses. The phrase $\vec{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\delta s$ $\vec{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\pi\delta\delta a$ means keep one's foot away, as we should say, keep clear:

καλὰ γινώσκοντ' ἀνάγκα ἐκτὸς ἔχειν πόδα. Pi. P. 4.289.

With genitive:

ἴσως ἃν ἐκτὸς κλαυμάτων ἔχοις πόδα. S. Ph. 1260.

6 The fully developed transference occurs when the reference is no longer simply to space, but includes the attendant circumstances, *outside*, *away from* a situation:

εἰ δ' ἐκτὸς ἔλθοις, πημονὰς εὕχου λαβεῖν. S. Tr. 1189.

The reference here is to transgressing an oath.

θεοῦ δὲ νόμον

οὐ παραβαίνομεν.

å δ' ἐκτός, ὄμμα τέρψει ... Ε. Ιοη 231.

τῶν δ' ἐκτὸς οὐδὲν περισκεπτομένη 'taking no notice of the circumstances.' Plb. 2. 4. 8.

With genitive:

καὶ γὰρ ἃν τὸν ἄριστον ἄνδρα ... ἐκτὸς τῶν ἐωθότων νοημάτων στήσειε. *Hdt. 3. 80. 3.

ἐκτός 103

ος δ' αν έκτος βαίνη τούτων (sc. των νόμων). *Pl. Prt. 326d. έκτος των νυν ήθων ... θρέψονται. *Pl. R. 541a.

7 Slightly different is the case where membership of a group is concerned, not belonging, outside a group or category:

ős ... οὐκέτι συντρόφοις ὀργαῖς ἔμπεδος, ἀλλ' ἐκτὸς ὁμιλεῖ. S. Aj. 640.

πρὸς τοὺς ἐκτός τε καὶ ἀλλοφύλους 'with regard to strangers and aliens'. Pl. Lg. 629d.

πολλά ... καὶ λέγειν καὶ ποιεῖν πρὸς τοὺς ἐκτός. Plb. 2. 47. 10.

Here οἱ ἐκτός means 'those not in the immediate circle,' 'the general public'. In LXX Si. prol. 4 the same phrase means 'those who are not Jews'. With genitive, apart from, not counting:

ὄπλα δὲ οὐ νομίζουσι ἔχειν ... ἐκτὸς ἐγχειριδίου (v.l. ἔξω ἐγχειριδίων). *Hdt. 7. 85. 1.

ταὐτὰ ἐσθίοντι καὶ πίνοντι βοΐ τε καὶ ἵππῳ καὶ πᾶσιν ἐκτὸς ἀνθρώπου. *Hp.VM 3 (p. 576 L.)

ἀπέκτειναν ἄπαντας τοὺς ἐκ Μιλήτου ἐκτὸς ὀλίγων.

X. HG 1. 2. 3.

ἔχεις τι ἐκτὸς τούτων λέγειν ...; Pl. Grg. 474d. καὶ ἐάν τις ἐκτὸς ὧν τῶν ὀλίγων συμβουλεύη. Pl. Prt. 322e. πάντας τοὺς ἐκτὸς τῶν ἀρχόντων. *Pl. R. 552d.

8 As a sub-sense we can add here going beyond, exceeding, with genitive:

έκτὸς ἐλπίδος γνώμης τ' ἐμῆς σωθείς. S. Ant. 330.

Cf. without genitive:

άλλ' ή γὰρ ἐκτὸς καὶ παρ' ἐλπίδας χαρά ἔοικεν ἄλλη μῆκος οὐδὲν ἡδονῆ. S. Ant. 392.

δοκημάτων έκτὸς ἦλθεν έλπίς. Ε. ΗΕ 771.

έπείτε δὲ κατέστη θόρυβος καὶ ἐκτὸς πέντε ἡμερέων ἐγένετο 'when the uproar died down and more than five days had passed'.

Hdt. 3. 80. 1.

LSJ gives this a special sense to itself 'of time'; but though true,

104 ἐκτός

the category of time is indicated by the reference to days, and it would be very surprising to find, e.g., $\epsilon \kappa \tau \delta s \delta \rho \theta \rho \sigma v$ meaning 'after dawn'.

9 Next we can place the sense, with genitive, having no share or part in, exempt from, untouched by:

σώφρονα θυμὸν ἔχων ἐκτὸς ἀτασθαλίης. Thgn. 754; cf. 744. ἐκτὸς αἰτίας κυρεῖς. Α. Ρr. 330.

ἐκτὸς μὲν ἔσεσθε πρὸς ἐκείνου αἰτίης, ἐκτὸς δὲ πρὸς ἡμέων. Hdt. 4. 133. 3.

έκτὸς ἄτας. S. Ant. 614. έκτὸς ὄντα πημάτων. S. Ph

ἐκτὸς ὄντα πημάτων. S. Ph. 504; fr. 724.

έκτὸς ὤν γε συμφορᾶς. *Ε. ΗF 1249.

οἰκεῖν ἐν πάση εὐδαιμονία ἐκτὸς κακῶν. Pl. Grg. 523b; R. 498c.

έκτὸς εἶ τοῦ μέλλειν ἀποθνήσκειν αὔριον. Pl. Cri. 46e. ἐκτὸς ἐὼν πάσης ἀδικίης ἑκουσίης καὶ φθορίης. *Hp. Jusj.

10 Slightly different is the use, with genitive, to mean not subject to, beyond the reach of:

πόλεις ... ὄσαι ἦσαν ἐκτὸς τῆς ἑαυτῶν δυνάμεως. *Th. 2. 7. 1.

So in the phrase ἐκτὸς ἑαυτοῦ out of one's own control, beside oneself: καὶ ἐκτὸς ἑωυτοῦ ἐγένετο. Hp. Epid. 7. 46; 7. 90.

11 A curious absolute use occurs with $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ in later Greek, to mean except in the case that, unless:

γνωρίζω δὲ ὑμιν ... τὸ εὐαγγέλιον ... τίνι λόγω εὐηγγελισάμην ὑμιν, εἰ κατέχετε, ἐκτὸς εἰ μὴ εἰκῆ ἐπιστεύσατε.

1 Ep. Cor. 15. 2.

(This passage is also discussed in the note on $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$.)

έκτὸς εἰ μὴ κατὰ τὸν Θάμυριν ... εἴη τὴν φύσιν 'unless he resembles Thamyris in nature'. Luc. Pisc. 6.

Similarly ἐκτὸς ὅτι means apart from the fact that:

έκτὸς γὰρ ὅτι φοίνικές τε ὑπερμήκεις ... Hld. 10. 5.

ἐλύς 10<u>5</u>

- 12 The senses might be re-arranged as follows:
 - I on the outside (2); with expressions implying motion, to the outside, out (3).
 - 2 w. gen. on the outside of, out of (4).
 - 3 ἐκτὸς ἔχειν πόδα, (fig.) keep one's foot away, keep clear (5).
 - 4 outside, away from a situation (6).
 - 5 not belonging, outside a group or category (7).
 - 6 w.gen. apart from, not counting (7). b going beyond, exceeding (8).
 - 7 having no share or part in, exempt from, untouched by (9).
 - 8 not subject to, beyond the reach of (10).
 - 9 ἐκτὸς εἰ μή, except in the case that, unless; ἐκτὸς ὅτι, apart from the fact that (11).

ἐλύς

The 1968 Supplement had an entry for this word which must be quoted in full:

ἐλύς, ύ, dub. sens., οἰκόπεδον ἐλὺ [κ]αὶ χέρ[σον] SEG 10. 238. 51 (Athens, v B.C.); cf. εἰλύ, ἕλος, ἐλεόθρεπτος (Suppl.)

It has been removed from the New Supplement. There is a gloss in Hesychius, quoted by LSJ: είλύ· μέλαν, and an entry είλύς = ίλύς mud, slime. Elos is of course the normal word for a piece of wetland or marsh, and this occurs in the compound ελεόθρεπτος, an epithet of σέλινον parsley (Il. 2. 776). This is changed by the Supplement to read $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon_0$, which agrees with the modern OCT. Since the aspirate in Homer is quite clearly the product of the tradition, East Ionic being a psilotic dialect, it is immaterial which breathing is used. The three words compared in this entry are therefore an adjective meaning black or dark, a substantive meaning marsh and a derivative of this. No wonder the Editor regarded the sense as doubtful. If he had included ιλύς, this too would point in the direction of wet ground; but if $\epsilon i \lambda \dot{\nu}$ is associated with $i \lambda \dot{\nu}_s$, this excludes the connexion with $\epsilon \lambda_{0S}$, a quite unrelated word. $E\Lambda Y$ in an Old Attic inscription can stand for είλ- or ήλ- as well as $\epsilon \lambda$ -; but it should not be interpreted $\epsilon \lambda$ -, etc., since this would be

106 ἐλύς

written $HE\Lambda Y$. The temptation to emend it to $\delta\delta \delta$ must also be resisted, not only for this reason, but because in the Old Attic alphabet Λ cannot be a misreading of Δ .

2 The context is which the word occurs is a lengthy inscription recording details of confiscated property. The relevant section is quoted by B. D. Merritt, *Hesperia*, 8 (1939) 93 thus:

[ο] ἰκό[πε]δον έλὺ [κ]αὶ χέρ[ρον]

and since this is reproduced by SEG, the quotation by the Supplement is inexact. There is of course no reason to replace Attic $\chi \acute{e}\rho\rho\rho\nu$ with $\chi \acute{e}\rho\sigma\rho\nu$. Merritt's commentary reads: "The traditional reading of this line is $[o]i\kappa\acute{o}[\pi\epsilon\delta]o\nu$ \acute{e} $\Lambda\nu\sigma\alpha\rho\chi\epsilon$ —. In the curious word $\Lambda\nu\sigma\alpha\rho\chi\epsilon$ — there is no trace of a sigma and the "rho" is certainly an iota. The reading should be $[\kappa]ai$ and not $\sigma\alpha\rho$, and this leaves the adjectives $\acute{e}\lambda\acute{\nu}$ and $\chi \acute{e}\rho[\rho\nu\nu]$ as descriptive epithets of the $oi\kappa\acute{o}\pi\epsilon\acute{o}\nu\nu$. The word $\chi\acute{e}\rho\rho\nu\nu$ means fallow or uncultivated, and raises no problem; the word $\acute{e}\lambda\acute{\nu}$ is known only from Hesychius (where it is written $\acute{e}i\lambda\acute{\nu}$) and supposedly means the same as $\mu\acute{e}\lambda\alpha\nu$ (cf. $\acute{e}i\lambda\acute{\nu}$ in Liddell & Scott).'

- 3 Against this it can be argued that $oi\kappa \delta n\epsilon \delta o\nu$ does not mean simply a piece of land, but specifically a piece intended for the construction of a building, what we term a building-plot. It is difficult therefore to reconcile the sense of barren, much less fallow, with this word. If $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu}$ means dark, this too is an inappropriate detail to record in this context. Of course a dry plot would be a commendation, and this may well be right; but it will then be necessary to give $\epsilon \lambda \dot{\nu}$ some other meaning.
- 4 I hesitate to differ from a noted epigraphist such as Merritt, and without autopsy I cannot comment on the actual reading of the stone. But I think there is good enough reason to question his restoration, given that the details are so uncertain. But there is a stronger argument which must be used. How probable is it that there existed in the Attic dialect of v BC a word which has left only one example in either literature or inscriptions and reappears only as a gloss, which may well be corrupt, in a late lexicographer? If the word had a plausible meaning and etymology, we might accept it; but so long as it remains isolated, I beg to suggest that it should

ένδαής 107

be banished to the growing limbo of dubious forms awaiting either rehabilitation or consignment to the dustbin.

ἐνδαής

Hesychius has the entry $\epsilon\nu\delta\alpha\gamma\epsilon\iota$ $\epsilon\mu\mu\alpha\nu\epsilon\iota$, which Latte suggested emending to $\epsilon\nu\delta\alpha\mu\epsilon\iota$ $\epsilon\mu\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\iota$. An easier emendation would be to suppose that Hesychius (or his source or his tradition) has confused, as often, Γ and F. If we read this as $\epsilon\nu\delta\alpha F\epsilon\iota$, it can be explained as the dative of an adjective compounded of $\epsilon\nu$ and $\delta\acute{a}os$ 'torch', which is well known to have lost a digamma; cf. $\delta\alpha\beta\epsilon\lambda\acute{os}$ $\delta\alpha\lambda\acute{os}$. $\Lambda\acute{a}\kappa\omega\nu\epsilon s$. 'Fired with a torch' by a simple extension of sense can be used for 'frenzied', and the gloss $\epsilon\mu\mu\alpha\nu\epsilon\iota$ may therefore be accurate.

έξης, έξείης

- The etymology of this word is not entirely clear, but it is generally agreed to be a case-form of a substantive formed on the root of ἔχω, but with the sense of 'cling' associated with ἔχομαι. The aspirate will be the consequence of a suffix -σ-, as in future έξω. The compound ἐφεξῆς strongly suggests a substantive in the genitive, meaning row, series, or the like. All words which denote some aspect of the concept of order are capable of a tripartite division of senses: with reference to space, time, and sequence as an abstract. For instance, if we say A comes before B, this might be realised in space in a written alphabet, or in time if it is recited; but the order exists irrespective of its realisation in time or space. We should certainly expect to find this principle underlying the arrangement of senses in the Lexicon. Curiously LSJ mentions 'of time, thereafter, next' only in I 4, and 'of place' then appears as 4 b. So how do we understand the meaning in a row placed and exemplified in I 1? It is evident that the article needs to be completely rewritten.
- 2 Another problem concerns adverbs which are constructed with substantives so as to become prepositions or quasi-prepositions. Should the article be divided into **A** adverb and **B** preposition? Or

is it more convenient to keep the semantic division as the leading discriminator and subordinate construction to it? In a short article like this, I would suggest that meaning is more important, and the A/B arrangement will involve unnecessary duplication.

3 I should therefore begin with contiguity in space. But at once it becomes evident that $\xi \xi \hat{\eta}_s$ covers two different ideas. We can define the first as in proximity, close by, near:

```
ἔνθα σ' ἐγὼν ἀγαγοῦσα ἄμ' ἠοῖ φαινομένηφιν
εὐνάσω έξείης. Od. 4. 408.
```

Menelaus is recounting an exploit of Odysseus, when Eidothee told him how to overcome Proteus, and volunteered to introduce him among the seals, so that he would be near enough to grip Proteus before he was fully awake.

```
Άτθίδας δ' ἄγων
έξήκοντα ναῦς ὁ Θησέως
παῖς έξῆς ἐναυλόχει. Ε. ΙΑ 249.
```

With dative:

```
αγοράσω τ' εν τοις ὅπλοις έξης Άριστογείτονι. Ar. Lys. 633.
Λάχητί τ' οἰκήσαιμι τὴν έξης θύραν. Ephipp. 16.4.
```

and with elliptical genitive:

```
σίτησιν αὐτὸν ἐν πρυτανείω λαμβάνειν 
θρόνον τε τοῦ Πλούτωνος ἐξῆς. Ar. Ra. 765.
```

4 But there is another spatial sense where the idea of contiguity refers to plural subjects, i.e. next to one another, in a row:

```
φῶκαι δ' ἐξ άλὸς ἦλθον ἀολλέες. αἱ μὲν ἔπειτα 
ἐξῆς εὐνάζοντο παρὰ ῥηγμῖνι θαλάσσης. Od. 4. 449.
```

έξης δ' έζόμενοι πολιην άλα τύπτον έρετμοις. Od. 4. 580.

LSJ begins the article with these examples, but unfortunately not all the others placed here belong to this sense. Th. 7. 29. 4 will be found in 7 below.

5 We can now proceed to proximity in time: next in time, immediately following:

τὸν ἐξῆς χρόνον. Pl. Plt. 271b. ἐγένετο δὲ ἐν τῆ ἐξῆς ἡμέρᾳ. Ευ. Luc. 9. 37; cf. 7. 11. εἰς τὸ ἐξῆς. P. Οχу. 474. 28 (ii AD).

This too can be used prepositionally with dative:

ότι τὸ έξης έργον τοις Μαραθώνι διεπράξαντο. Pl. Mx. 241a.

Also, with genitive:

βούλομαι τοίνυν ἐπανελθεῖν ἐφ' ἃ τούτων έξης ἐπολιτευόμην. D. 18. 102.

6 It is not always easy to distinguish between proximity in time and proximity in sequence, but we can see a difference in the following examples, where we can use the definition next in sequence:

τὸν έξης λόγον οὐδένες ... ἀποδοίεν. Pl. Ti. 20b.

This is not the next argument in time, but that which follows logically from what has gone before. So, I think, (notice the use of the present imperative):

λέγε μόνον έξης Pl. Plt. 286c. ἐὰν ὧσιν ὁσοιδηποτοῦν ἀριθμοὶ έξης ἀνάλογον. Euc. 8. 1. καὶ τὰ έξης 'and what follows'. Longin. 23. 4

With dative:

δοκεῖ τούτοις ἐξῆς εἶναί τι χρῆμα. Pl. Cra. 399d. οὐκ ὀρθῶς τὸ ἐξῆς ἐλάβομεν τῆ γεωμετρία. Pl. R. 528a.; cf. Longin. 9. 14.

With genitive:

τὰ τούτων έξης 'the following lines (in a poem)'. Pl. R. 390a. τούτων τοίνυν έξης ὀψόμεθα ἐάν ... Pl. Phlb. 42c.

7 This also has a relative sense, similar to the spatial one described in 4 above, in close succession, without intermission, one after another. Here too it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between succession in time and in sequence.

ό δ' ἔπειτα θεοῖς εὕχεσθαι ἀνώγει πάσας έξείης. ΙΙ. 6. 241. τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἐφόνευον φειδόμενοι οὕτε πρεσβυτέρας οὕτε νεωτέρας ἡλικίας, ἀλλὰ πάντας ἐξῆς. Th. 7. 29. 4. ὅστις δὲ πάσας συντιθεὶς ψέγει λόγω γυναῖκας έξῆς, σκαιός ἐστι κοὐ σοφός. Ε. fr. 672.

This can also be used with the singular of $\pi \hat{a}s$, since this is a virtual plural ('every' = 'all'):

οὖκοῦν ἄγξουσ' εὖ καὶ χρηστῶς έξης τὸν πάντα γέροντα διὰ τὴν ἄγνοιαν, ἐπεὶ καὶ νῦν γιγνώσκοντες πατέρ' ὅντα. Ar. Ec. 638.

- 8 I believe the structure proposed is adequate to accommodate all uses of this word, though it would obviously be impossible to make a categoric statement without assembling and analysing all the examples to be recovered from the whole of Greek literature. But some remarks are necessary on items which appear in LSJ and not here. I b is 'Math. ἐ. ἀνάλογον in continued proportion', quoted from Euclid with similar examples from other mathematical works. The Euclid example has been given above in 6, and I can see no reason why this should be accorded a special sense.
- 9 LSJ alleges the existence of a sense 'in a regular, consequential manner'. On its own admission δ $\xi \xi \hat{\eta} s \lambda \delta \gamma o s$, which is placed here, means 'the following argument', and this falls plainly under my definition. But it is just possible that in some of the examples quoted from Plato it means as a logical consequence. If certainly established, this would require a new sense. But although it is possible, it does not appear to me to be strictly necessary.
- 10 In grammar $\tau \delta$ έξη̂s is alleged to mean 'grammatical sequence' as opposed to $\delta \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \tau \delta \nu$ (I 3). This is clearly another special application of the sense defined under 6 above.
- II In II LSJ inserts under the prepositional use with the dative two curious examples:

οὖ γὰρ παρὰ τὸ έξῆς τῷ νοερῷ ζώῷ (ὁ θάνατος) οὖδὲ παρὰ τὸν λόγον τῆς κατασκευῆς. Μ. Ant. 4. 5.

This is translated 'that which befits ...', which is possible sense. But I do not see why in this case $\tau\hat{\omega}$ $\nu o \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ $\zeta \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$ is not placed between

ξσχάρα 111

 $\tau \acute{o}$ and $\acute{e} \xi \hat{\eta} s$. I should translate 'death is not for a thinking animal contrary to what comes next', i.e. if we think about the human condition, we shall recognise that death follows life in inevitable sequence. I think this is at least as acceptable as Farquharson's 'for it is not contrary to what is conformable to a reasonable creature', or C. R. Haines's 'for it is not out of keeping with an intellectual creature'. The other example is from a papyrus of i AD, which is a memorial to a magistrate complaining that the writer's wife has left him and taken away some of his property. The relevant part runs:

κα[ὶ ϵ]γὼ μὲν οὖν ἐπεχορήγησα αὐτῆ τὰ ἑξῆς καὶ ὑπὲρ δύναμιν. ἡ δὲ ἀλλότρια φρονήσασα τῆς κοινῆς συμβιώ[σεως] κατὰ πέρ[α]ς ἐξῆ[λθε] καὶ ἀπηνέ(γ)καντο τὰ ἡμέτερα ὧν τὸ καθ' εν ὑπόκειται. 'And I went so far as to make her a gift of the following, even beyond my means. But she was so estranged from the life we shared together that she went further and they carried off our property, the details of which are recorded below.' P. Oxy. 282. 7.

At the end, which is largely missing, there was a list of the property claimed. It seems obvious that $\tau \hat{a}$ $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s$ has its normal sense of the following. However LSJ translates this example 'made suitable provision for her'. I beg to suggest this sense is totally illusory.

ἐσχάρα

- I discussed the semantic history of this word in an article contributed to Ernst Risch's Festschrift (O-o-pe-ro-si, ed. A. Etter (Berlin, 1986) 515-23). In this I demonstrated that of the six main sections into which the article in LSJ is divided, five were already so defined in ancient lexica, and the sixth is an illusory sense which needs to be redefined. I do not propose here to repeat all the discussion, but shall confine myself to suggesting the pattern which is needed to disclose the original meaning and the lines of its semantic development. The New Supplement has largely followed my suggestions.
- 2 The earliest use was probably that of a place in which a fire can be made:

όσσαι μέν Τρώων πυρός έσχάραι. Il. 10. 418.

LSJ assign the meaning watch-fire to this example, but the addition of $\pi v \rho \delta s$ is against this, though in the context it is the reason for the fires. So in the Odyssey the word is used of the domestic hearth:

πῦρ μὲν ἐπ' ἐσχαρόφιν μέγα καίετο. Od. 5. 59; cf. 20. 123.

In the other examples it is the *hearth* as a place by which people sit:

ή δ' ήσται ἐπ' ἐσχάρη ἐν κονίησι πὰρ πυρί. Od. 7. 153.

Clearly there is here an overlap with έστία, from which ἐσχάρα was later distinguished. LSJ claim as a special sense sacrificial hearth quoting:

οί δ' ὖν εἰσῆγον μάλα πίονα, πενταέτηρον.
τὸν μὲν ἔπειτ' ἔστησαν ἐπ' ἐσχάρη, οὐ δὲ συβώτης
λήθετ' ἄρ' ἀθανάτων, φρεσὶ γὰρ κέχρητ' ἀγαθῆσιν.
ἀλλ' ὅ γ' ἀπαρχόμενος κεφαλῆς τρίχας ἐν πυρὶ βάλλεν
ἀγριόδοντος ὑός ... Od. 14. 420.

Again the purpose of the action was a sacrificial ritual, but the place where it was conducted was the ordinary domestic hearth. After Homer this sense is rare and uncertain:

ύψηλης δρυός κόρμους πλατείας ἐσχάρας βαλών ἔπι. Ε. Cyc. 384.

This describes the Cyclops making a fire in his cave, and the epithet $\pi \lambda a \tau \epsilon i a s$ supports the idea that it means simply a fire-place. Otherwise it seems only to occur in much later Greek, at a time when revivals of obsolete words and meanings were fashionable:

έν ταις έσχάραις έγραφε σχήματα των γεωμετρικών. Plu. Marc. 17. 11.

This clearly means in the ashes left by a fire on a hearth.

2 The normal classical sense is a portable container for a fire, brazier. A drawing of such an object in bronze is reproduced in my article. This was a small example suitable only for a charcoal fire, but larger ones clearly existed consisting of a metal basket with

ventilation to ensure a good draught for the fire. Such braziers were in use for cooking, and probably also for space-heating:

δμῶες ἐξενέγκατε τὴν ἐσχάραν μοι δεῦρο καὶ τὴν ῥιπίδα. Ar. Ach. 888.

In a list of kitchen utensils:

δοίδυκα τυρόκνηστιν ἐσχάραν χύτραν. Αr. V. 938.

A papyrus mentions:

ἐσχάρα σιδηρά ἀρτοπτρίς α΄. P. Cair. Zen. 692.

Specifically for space-heating, for which it was inadequate:

έν χειμώνι καὶ ψύχει τών φίλων τινὸς έστιώντος αὐτὸν, ἐσχάραν δὲ μικρὰν καὶ πῦρ ὀλίγον ἐσενέγκαντος, ἢ ξύλα ἢ λιβανωτὸν ἐσενεγκεῖν ἐκέλευσεν. Plu. 2. 180e.

3 As the last example implies, these utensils were also employed in religious rituals, especially to burn incense:

καὶ νυκτίσεμνα δείπν' ἐπ' ἐσχάρα πυρός ἔθυον. Α. Ευ. 108.

In this use it is distinguished from $\beta\omega\mu\delta$ s (= Latin ara), the solid table or pillar on which the $\epsilon\sigma\chi\delta\rho\alpha$ (= Latin altare) is placed:

βωμοὶ γὰρ ἡμὶν ἐσχάραι τε παντελεῖς πλήρεις ὑπ' οἰωνῶν τε καὶ κυνῶν βορᾶς. S. Ant. 1016.

βώμιοι γὰρ ἐσχάραι πέλας πάρεισι, κοὖκ ἔρημα δώματα. Ε. Ph. 274.

- LSJ translates the second example as 'structured altars'; but it surely means altars with braziers placed on top of them.
- 4 As a natural development from this we find ἐσχάρα used in the sense of altar:

... ὅτι ... ἐπὶ τῆς ἐσχάρας τῆς ἐν τῆ αὐλῆ Ἐλευσίνι ... ἱερείον θύσειεν. [D.] 59. 116.

These are often associated with the name of a god:

πρὸς ἐσχάραν

Φοίβου. A. Pers. 205.

Πυθικήν πρὸς ἐσχάραν. Ε. Andr. 1240.

Such altars might be portable, and again the implication is that they were metal artefacts:

καὶ πῦρ ὅπισθεν αὐτοῦ ἐπ' ἐσχάρας μεγάλης ἄνδρες εἵποντο φέροντες. Χ. Cyr. 8. 3. 12.

- 5 It is now possible to see the meaning of this word in Mycenaean Greek, where it appears in the spelling e-ka-ra in a list of objects apparently stored in a treasury. This can only be the portable metal object, so that although the Homeric sense of fire-place is logically anterior, the earliest attestation (xiii BC) has the value brazier.
- 6 From both these two fundamental meanings transferred senses developed. From the appearance of a place where a fire is regularly made, the word is used of the scab or cicatrice formed on wounds, especially those in which an area of the skin and underlying tissue is destroyed making a depressed scar. The Suda actually calls them $\kappa o \hat{\iota} \lambda a \ \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \eta$. The medical writers have preserved the term 'eschar' in English, especially when due to cauterisation, and given the basic meaning this may have been an original restriction:

καῦσον τὴν κεφαλὴν ἐσχάρας ὀκτώ. Hp. Morb. 2. (12(1.) 6). ταῦτα καίειν δεῖ, ὅπως ἡ ἐσχάρα ἐκεῖ πέση. Arist. Pr. 863°12.

7 Secondly, the word is understandably used for the hollow piece of wood in which a drill is rotated to make a fire.

δεῖ δὲ τὴν ἐσχάραν (πυρείου) ἐκ τούτων (sc. kinds of wood) ποιεῖν, τὸ δὲ τρύπανον ἐκ δάφνης. Thph. HP 5. 9. 7.

This is the example loosely and misleadingly translated by LSJ as fire-stick.

8 Thirdly, the word is used of the external female genitals:

καὶ μολύνων τὴν ὑπήνην καὶ κυκῶν τὰς ἐσχάρας. Ατ. Εq. 1286.

This is the point of the parody in Aristophanes of Euripides:

ώ χρόνιος έλθων σης δάμαρτος ές χέρας. Ε. Hel. 566.

Aristophanes repeats this line (Th. 912) with the last three

ἔτυς 115

syllables changed to $\epsilon \sigma \chi \acute{a} \rho \alpha s$. The double entendre of course depends on $\epsilon \sigma \chi \acute{a} \rho \alpha$ also having the meaning hearth.

9 The other line of development begins from the sense of brazier as a construction of basket type, so that it can be applied to anything made of a lattice of criss-crossing members. This is shown plainly by the epithet $\delta\iota\kappa\tau\nu\omega\tau\hat{\varphi}$ in the following example:

```
καὶ ποιήσεις αὐτῷ (sc. θυσιαστηρίῳ) ἐσχάραν ἔργῳ δικτυωτῷ
χαλκῆν. LXX Ex. 27. 4.
```

Thus it could be used for the framework on which a ballista was mounted; Vitruvius (10. 11. 9) calls it basis, quae appellatur eschara. There is a Greek example of the diminutive form in Polybius:

```
προσχωννύντες τὰς ἀνωμαλίας τῶν τόπων ἐπὶ τῆ τῶν ἐσχαρίων ἐφόδω. Plb. 9. 41. 4.
```

This form is also used of the 'cradle' used for launching ships.

ἔτυς

The 1968 Supplement has the following entry, which has been omitted from the new version:

```
'*ἔτυς, prob. one year old, ἔτυν δέλλιν SEG 2. 710. 13
(Pednelissus, i B.C.).'
```

Reference to LSJ discloses two words written $\delta \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota s$: one with genitive $-i\theta o s$ meaning a kind of wasp, the other with accusative $\delta \epsilon \lambda \lambda \iota \nu = \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi a \xi$ quoted from an earlier publication of the same inscription. The reference there was corrected in the Supplement to agree with this. My immediate reaction was one of disbelief. Simple adjectives in -v s are almost all oxytone, so why assume a paroxytone accent? And is it likely that such an inherited adjective corresponding to $\epsilon \tau o s$ would exist without being attested by more than one example and that a late one?

2 Further investigation revealed that this inscription contains a number of unusual features, and was regarded by the Editor of SEG 2 as a specimen of the Greek used in Pisidia. But although

apparently written in standard κοινή and otherwise correctly spelled, it has a number of otherwise unknown words and at least one common word used in a totally unknown and unlikely sense. Most of the ἄπαξ εἰρημένα vaguely resemble known words, and it was not difficult to guess their meaning. But there are no features which suggest the influence of a strange dialect, and I suspect another explanation is possible. During my years on the teaching staff of the Faculty of Classics at Cambridge I was never provided with the services of a shorthand-typist, except for a brief period when I was discharging an administrative office. At that time the faculty secretary was a lady who had long been resident in England, but was not a native English-speaker. She was quite well able to take dictation, provided it was for routine correspondence; but whenever the subject was unfamiliar or involved technical expressions, she found herself unable to read back her shorthand, so she adopted two strategies. Either she would substitute for the unreadable word another word somewhat resembling it, sometimes emending the context to make it sound a little more plausible; or she would make a rough phonetic transcription of her note, and assure me that was what I had said. As a result, after wasting a good deal of time solving these puzzles, I decided it was quicker and safer to type such letters myself.

3 Reluctant as I am to believe unlikely hypotheses, I cannot help thinking that this Pednelissus inscription was dictated to a secretary whose Greek education was limited to routine matters, and whenever he heard something unfamiliar he did not know how to transcribe it. For some reason, such as absence abroad or even death, the author never checked the written version until it had been inscribed. The more suspicious elements are as follows:

```
ἔως ὅσου = ἔως ὅτου 'until' (cf. Ev. Luc. 13. 8, an idiom which survives into Modern Greek)
ἐπηρασίαν = ἐπήρειαν

HAN = ἐάν

ETYN = ἔτειον

ΔΕΛΛΙΝ = δελφάκιον

EMH apparently = εἵματα
```

The last is perhaps the most remarkable; the priestesses are com-

manded μέλανα EMH περιβαλλέσθωσαν, to put on dark clothes. But there is no such word as ξμος or ξμος from the root of ξννυμι, and it is hard to see how there could be, since the μ of ξμα, etc. is part of the termination. I suspect therefore that ξίματα was sufficiently unfamiliar for the secretary to have written down EM and then made up a word to match it. Set in this context ETYN ΔΕΛΛΙΝ can be plausibly reconstructed as ξτειον δελφάκιον 'a one-year-old piglet.' Thus the new adjective ξτυς can be consigned to the rubbish-bin.

4 But before leaving this subject I am inclined to speculate about the word which is used in what I have no doubt now is the wrong sense. We find among the offerings to be made:

πυρών ίππέα καὶ άμυγδάλων ίππεις δ΄.

As the Editor saw, the word needed here is $\ell \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$. But how could this have been misheard, by however barbarous a scribe, as $\ell \pi \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$? Perhaps he consulted a better educated friend, who thought without knowing the context that the word he heard was the Latin equites and he explained this in Greek by $\ell \pi \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} s$, which the scribe therefore introduced into the text. We shall never know. But all the items specified in this note ought to be kept in limbo, until such time as their existence is confirmed by at least one other example.

Fάγανον

This word, which has caused quite unnecessary perplexity, occurs in a Boeotian inscription from Thespiae, dated to early iv BC. It is quoted by C. D. Buck, Greek Dialects³, No. 39 (p. 228); see SEG 24. 361, N. Platon-Feyel, BCH 62 (1938), 149-66, J. Tailardat, P. Roesch, Rev. de Phil. 40 (1966), 70-87. It is a simple catalogue of the public property of the people of Thespiae contained in the Heraeum. Each item is specified, followed by a numeral (unless this is one), with notes of the material as appropriate. Many of the entries are vessels of various types:

ύδρίαι hένδεκα 10 στάμνοι χάλκιωι τρîς φιάλα But it goes on to list cooking utensils of other types, such as meathooks ($\kappa\rho\epsilon\acute{a}\gamma\rho\alpha\iota$) and cheese-graters ($\tau\nu\rho\circ\kappa\nu\alpha\sigma\sigma\tau\acute{\iota}\delta\epsilon$ s). Immediately after this we have the entries:

Γαγάνω δύο πούραμα 20 φρυνοποπεῖον

2 It is obvious that $F\alpha\gamma\acute{\alpha}\nu\omega$ is a dual, which might therefore be from a masculine singular in -os or a neuter in $-o\nu$. It has been dismissed as a new word 'of unknown meaning' (Buck). But this should never have given any trouble, for the word is already in LSJ if you know where to look for it. It must mean some item of kitchen equipment. The initial F- can be discarded, since it will not appear in most dialects, and is in any case ignored by LSJ for alphabetical purposes. Since we do not know the quantity of either α , for the corresponding Attic–Ionic form there will be four possibilities: $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\nu$ -, $\mathring{\eta}\gamma\alpha\nu$ -, $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\eta\nu$ -, $\mathring{\eta}\gamma\eta\nu$ -. The first of these leads us to $\mathring{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\nu\acute{os}$, a poetic adjective of unsuitable meaning. The third and fourth produce nothing, but the second gives a highly satisfactory result. LSJ has the entry:

ήγανον, τό, Ion. for τήγανον, Anacr. 26.

τήγανον is a well-known word for a frying-pan, a sense wholly appropriate to the context. Thus we can mark the quantities as $f\bar{a}\gamma\check{a}\nu\omega$.

- 3 This, however, is not the end of the story. The more common name for a frying-pan was τάγηνον, of which τήγανον has been regarded as a variant; but the details of its formation are obscure. "Ηγανον could therefore have arisen by misdivision of τήγανον as τ' ἤγανον, exactly the reverse of τανηλεγέος from τ' ἀνηλεγέος, ἔχε νήδυμος from ἔχεν ἥδυμος, etc. (see Schwyzer, Gram. i. 413; M. Leumann, Homerische Wörter, 45). But the reality of ἤγανον, however derived, is confirmed by a gloss in Hesychius: ἢγάνεα· πέμματα τὰ ἀπὸ τηγάνου.
- 4 In view of the Boeotian form, which plainly demands a different etymology, one might now propose a different history. If there were two words of similar meaning Fάγανον > ήγανον and τάγηνον, contamination could have been responsible for τήγανον

ζῆλος 119

combining the initial τ - with the metric pattern of $\eta \gamma \alpha \nu \rho \nu$. Thus $\tau \eta \gamma \alpha \nu \rho \nu$ will have replaced both the original forms, and it is this which in the diminutive $\tau \eta \gamma \alpha \nu \nu$ survives into Modern Greek.

ζήλος

I LSJ's treatment of this word is more than usually confusing.
The article is arranged thus:

I jealousy; eager rivalry, emulation. 2 c. gen. pers. zeal for, emulation of; absol. passion. 3 c. gen. rei, rivalry, emulous desire for; pl. ambitions. 4 fervour, zeal. 5 personified. II pride, honour, glory. III spirit; pl. tastes, interests. 2 style.

Part of the difficulty with this word is that it refers to both welcome and unwelcome emotions, which suggests that its original meaning may have been any outburst of emotion, though in fact this sense only appears in later Greek. If the examples in **II** are defined as the state of being admired, success, it becomes easier to see how it arose. But **III** is a dubious collection of examples, which will need discussion below.

2 I should begin then with the positive emotion, strong admiration, or enthusiasm; with genitive, enthusiasm for.

ταῦτα ... ἃ ζῆλον πολὺν εἶχε καὶ φιλοτιμίαν ὑμῖν, ἦφάνισται. D. 22. 73.

έν σκότει καὶ πολλῆ δυσκλεία πᾶς ὁ πρὸ τοῦ ζῆλος τῶν Ἑλλήνων γέγονεν. [D.] 60. 24.

ἐναντίον γὰρ ζήλω καταφρόνησίς ἐστι. Arist. Rh. 1388^b22.

τοὺς πατρίους νόμους οἱ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις εἰς ζῆλον ἥκουσιν 'which have excited the admiration of all mankind'.

J. B7 7. 358.

With genitive:

οὖδείς ποτ' αὖτοὺς τῶν ἐμῶν ἄν ἐμπέσοι ζῆλος ξυναίμων, ὥστ' ἐμοῦ τρέφειν βία. 'No amount of admiration for my blood-relations would induce them to harbour them in my despite.' S. OC 943. ἔπειτ' ἐθρέφθην ἐλπίδων καλῶν ὕπο βασιλεῦσι νύμφη, ζῆλον οὐ σμικρὸν γάμων 120 ζῆλος

ἔχουσ', ὅτου δῶμ' ἐστίαν τ' ἀφίξομαι.

'Then again I was brought up with high hopes of being a king's bride, with no small enthusiasm for getting married, (to see) to whose home and hearth I should come.' E. Hec. 352.

This seems to me a much more likely explanation than LSJ's 'causing *rivalry* for my hand'; $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ does not ordinarily mean 'to cause'. It is closely paralleled by the first of these examples:

ΐνα μὴ Διόνυσος ἀκούσας τῶν Ἀριαδνείων ζῆλον ἔχοι λεχέων. ΑΡ 5. 228. 5. διὰ ζῆλον τῶν γεγενημένων καὶ φθόνον τῶν πεπραγμένων. Lys. 2. 48.

LSJ treats $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda_{0S}$ as a synonym for $\phi \theta \delta \nu_{0S}$, but it is surely more likely that a fine distinction is drawn between them, as here, 'admiration for past events and envy of their achievements'.

ζήλω των ἀρίστων καὶ φυγή των χειρόνων. Luc. Ind. 17.

Similarly with περί:

τοῦ δὲ περὶ τὰ στρατιωτικὰ ζήλον τά τε ὅχανα ποιοῦνται τεκμήρια καὶ τὰ ἐπίσημα. Str. 14. 2. 27.

With πρός:

τὸν πρὸς αὐτὴν ζῆλον. Phld. Rh. 2. 53. S.

3 A special development from this is where it is regarded from the point of view of the admired rather than the admirer; we might define this as the state of being admired, success, good fortune.

ίδετε τὴν ὁμευνέτιν Αἴαντος, ὃς μέγιστον ἴσχυσε στρατοῦ, οἵας λατρείας ἀνθ' ὅσου ζήλου τρέφει. S. Aj. 503.

Probably also:

οὐ ζήλω πολιτών καὶ τύχαις ἐπιβλέπων. S. ΟΤ 1526.

if this means 'not envying the success and good fortune of the citizens.' (The attribution of this line to Sophocles is insecure.)

τῷ μὲν στεφανουμένω τὸν αὐτὸν ἔχει ζήλον ὁ στέφανος, ὅπου αν ἀναρρηθή. D. 18. 120.

ζῆλος 121

ότε ταῦτ' ἐπράττετο καὶ ζήλου καὶ χαρᾶς καὶ ἐπαίνων ἡ πόλις ἡν μεστή ... D. 18. 217; cf. 273.

α καὶ ζῆλόν τινα καὶ τιμὴν φέρει τῆ πόλει ἡηθέντα. D. 23. 64. ὁ ἐν τῷ ὑμετέρῳ θεάτρῳ χρύσεος στέφανος ἐπιτεθεὶς ζήλου πρὸς τὸ ἄκρον ἦγεν. [Hp.] 9. 420. 19.

έν ὧι ποτε Παμφίλη ἥδε ζῆλον ἔχοσ' ὥικει τὸμ μακαριστότατον. IG 2. 4054 (Athens, iv BC).

ζήλου δὲ αὐτῷ γέμοντι ἐπὶ τούτοις τὸ δαιμόνιον ἐνεμέσησε τοῦ ζήλου, καὶ ὁ στρατὸς ἐστασίασεν. App. BC 5. 128.

Possibly we should place here the personification of $Z\hat{\eta}\lambda_{0S}$ in Hesiod, in view of the nouns with which it is associated:

Στὺξ δ' ἔτεκ' Ὠκεανοῦ θυγάτηρ Πάλλαντι μιγεῖσα Ζῆλον καὶ Νίκην καλλίσφυρον ἐν μεγάροισι καὶ Κράτος ἦδὲ Βίην. Hes. Th. 384.

4 A different line of development, seen again from the point of view of another, is where admiration turns to envy. We might define this as distress or anger provoked by another's success, envy. LSJ translates this 'jealousy (= $\phi\theta\delta\nu$ os)'; but since it is often coupled with $\phi\theta\delta\nu$ os, it is surely more likely that a distinction is intended, such as we can make between envy and jealousy.

ζήλος δ' ἀνθρώποισιν ὀιζυροῖσιν ἄπασι δυσκέλαδος κακόχαρτος ὁμαρτήσει στυγερώπης. Hes. Op. 195.

The uncomplimentary epithets leave no doubt of the sense here.

ἄλλος ἄλλον όρῶν καὶ εἰς ζήλον ἰων τὸ πλήθος τοιοῦτον αὐτῶν ἀπηργάσατο. Pl. R. 550e.

εί γάρ έστι ζήλος λύπη τις έπὶ φαινομένη παρουσία αγαθών έντίμων. Arist. Rh. 1388°32.

& μέλεαι ζήλοιό τ' έπισμυγερώς ακόρητοι. A. R. 1. 616.

Οἰνώνη δὲ χόλῳ ζέεν, ἔζεε πικρῷ ζήλῳ θυμὸν ἔδουσα. ΑΡ 2. 1. 216.

παυσαμένη ζήλου Διὸς ἂν φάτο σύγγαμος Ήρη. AP 9. 248. 5. ζήλος ἐπεὶ μανίης μεῖζον κακόν. AP 9. 345. 3.

μέλλω μαίνεσθαι· ζήλος γάρ μ' ἔχει καὶ κατακάομαι. P. Grenf. 1. 1. 13 (ii BC). Distinguished from $\phi\theta\delta\nu\sigma$ s, though the distinction is perhaps sometimes artificial:

ο δη φιλεί εκ των ανθρώπων εδ πράττουσι προσπίπτειν, πρώτον μεν ζηλος, από δε ζήλου φθόνος. Pl. Mx. 242a. οὕτε γὰρ ὕβρις οὕτ' ἀδικία, ζηλοί τε αδ καὶ φθόνοι οὐκ ἐγγίγνονται. Pl. Lg. 679c.

5 In later Greek, for I have found no early example which must be so taken, there develops the sense of *emulation*, *rivalry*:

κολοιὸς δὲ τοῦτον (sc. τὸν ἀετὸν) θεασάμενος διὰ ζῆλον μιμήσασθαι ἤθελε. Aesop. 2. 2.
πρὸς ἃ μιμητικὸς οὐ γίνεται ζῆλος. Plu. Per. 2.
κατὰ ζῆλον Ήρακλέους. Plu. Thes. 25. 5.
ώρμημένου δὲ αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐς τὴν Βρεταννίαν κατὰ τὸν τοῦ πατρὸς ζῆλον στρατεῦσαι. D. C. 49. 38. 2.
κατὰ ζῆλον τὸν πρὸς Κορινθίους. Luc. Demon. 57.

6 Another late use is perhaps due to an etymological association with $\zeta \epsilon \omega$, as appears in the collocation of the words in AP 2. 1. 216 quoted in 4 above. Here it seems to mean an outburst of strong emotion, a sense which was taken over into Latin and so reached English as zeal.

κῦμα τὸ πικρὸν Ἐρωτος ἀκοίμητοί τε πνέοντες ζῆλοι καὶ κώμων χειμέριον πέλαγος, ποῖ φέρομαι; ΑΡ 5. 190. 2.

Commonly in the Septuagint:

δ ζήλος Κυρίου τῶν δυνάμεων ποιήσει τοῦτο. LXX 4 Ki. 19. 31.

ἔως πότε, κύριε ... ἐκκαυθήσεται ώς πῦρ ὁ ζῆλός σου. LXX Ps. 78. 5.

οι και εζήλωσαν τον ζηλόν σου και εβδελύξαντο μίασμα αιματος αὐτῶν 'who were fired with zeal for your cause and abominated their pollution with blood'. LXX Ju. 9. 4.

Hence in the New Testament:

πυρός ζήλος ἐσθίειν μέλλοντος τοὺς ὑπεναντίους. Ερ. Hebr. 10. 27. η, η 123

7 Finally, we can perhaps attach here the use in which it refers to a style of oratory. LSJ defines it as 'esp. in Lit. Crit., style', but the only two examples quoted both refer to the $A\sigma\iota\alpha\nu\delta$ s $\zeta\hat{\eta}\lambda\sigma$ s, so perhaps it means rather the forceful style of oratory:

Ηγησίας ὁ βήτωρ, δε ήρξε μάλιστα τοῦ Άσιανοῦ λεγομένου ζήλου. Str. 14. 1. 41.

τῷ καλουμένω μεν Άσιανῷ ζήλω τῶν λόγων. Plu. Ant. 2. 8.

8 This leaves unaccounted for two curious uses given by LSJ in III 1. The first is:

αμφοτέροις τοίνυν ὁ ζηλος οὖτος της πολιτείας αἴτιος κατέστη τῶν μεγίστων συμπτωμάτων. Plb. 4. 27. 8.

According to LSJ it here means spirit, but much depends upon the meaning attached to πολιτείας. It may refer to an excessive zeal in pursuing a policy, in which case it might be placed under 6 above. However LSJ may perhaps be right in suggesting a general sense of style; if so, it would fit there. The other example is:

όταν γὰρ τοις ἀπὸ διαφόρων ἐπιτηδευμάτων βίων ζήλων ήλικιῶν λόγων ἔν τι ... ἄπασι δοκεί. Longin. 7. 4.

Here D. A. F. Russell in his edition takes $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega_i$ to mean tastes, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \omega_i$ periods of life, and speculates whether $\lambda \delta \gamma \omega \nu$ is corrupt. There seems to be no good reason why $\zeta \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega_i$ should not mean something like enthusiasms, in which case it could be assigned to 2 above. At least I can see no justification here for the general sense given by LSJ as III I, and we should await better examples before assuming this new development.

ή, ἡ

It is a fairly safe generalisation to assume that no one will look up these words in a major lexicon to find out their meaning. Beginners will very soon learn that $\tilde{\eta}$ is to be translated as or or than, and readers of Homer will not get far without discovering the two main uses of $\tilde{\eta}$. It follows that the function of the articles on such words must be to provide detailed evidence of their usage, especially any restrictions in terms of date, genre, etc. The majority of what is said in these articles in LSJ is unexceptionable;

124

but there are questions left unanswered and an important fact is concealed by its method of treatment. The present note is not an attempt to rewrite these entries, but to discuss some of the important points LSJ ignores.

η ψιλούμενον καὶ βαρυνόμενον δηλοῖ διαζευκτικόν, καὶ συναπτικὸν (ἴσον) τῷ εἰ. η ψιλούμενον καὶ περισπώμενον σύνδεσμον δηλοῖ παραπληρωματικὸν ἴσον τῷ δή, καὶ ἀντὶ ἀπορηματικοῦ τοῦ ἀρα. 'η with smooth breathing and barytone accent signifies a disjunctive and a hypothetical conjunction equivalent to εἰ. η with smooth breathing and perispomenon accent signifies an expletive conjunction equivalent to δή, and instead of ἀρα as marking doubt.'

The remark that it can have the force of ϵi is significant in view of the absence of this sense from LSJ, except in Cypriot (η (c) 1). The four main uses can be tabulated thus:

- A disjunctive; translation or; traditional accent $\ddot{\eta}$; epic variant $\dot{\eta}\dot{\epsilon}$.
- **B** comparative; translation than; accent $\ddot{\eta}$; epic $\mathring{\eta}\dot{\epsilon}$.
- C affirmative; translation truly; accent \$\eta\$; no variant.
- **D** interrogative; translation is it that...?; accent usually $\hat{\eta}$; no variant.

The restriction of $\hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon}$ to types **A** and **B** implies that either this is a suffixed form or that $\hat{\eta}$ is a contracted form of $\hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon}$ and therefore a different word from $\hat{\eta}$. In view of the differing views on accentuation of grammarians and manuscripts, not to mention modern editors, especially in Homer, I have decided in this note to ignore

the distinction and to print η (or $\eta\epsilon$) without even a breathing, to indicate that I am not prejudging the issue until the classification can be established by other means.

3 The accepted etymology of $\hat{\eta}\epsilon$ is from $\eta + F\epsilon$, an enclitic particle found also in Latin -ue, Sanskrit va (which is rare except in compounds, being replaced by $v\bar{a}$). This would imply that it is properly confined to the 'alternative' sense, a term I prefer to the grammarians' 'disjunctive' = $\delta\iota a\zeta\epsilon\nu\kappa\tau\iota\kappa\delta\varsigma$. It is certainly frequent in Homer in that use, where it is freely used for metric reasons as a variant of η . But it is also used in the comparative sense, though curiously LSJ quotes no example; e.g.

αίδομένων άνδρῶν πλέονες σόοι ηε πέφανται. ΙΙ. 5. 531.

It is more often reinforced with $\pi\epsilon\rho$:

ήδη γάρ ποτ' έγω καὶ ἀρείοσιν ηε περ ὑμῖν ἀνδράσιν ὡμίλησα. Il. 1. 260; cf. 16. 688.

The restriction to types **A** and **B** appears to be observed by other epic authors (as Hesiod, Homeric hymns) and by their Hellenistic imitators (Apollonius Rhodius, Callimachus). Now if $\eta \epsilon$ had been felt to be merely a metrical 'distraction' of η , it is unlikely that the later authors would have restricted its use, so this is an argument in favour of regarding the two forms distinguished by accent, that is, pronunciation, as having been originally separate words.

This raises the interesting possibility that wherever η of types **A** and **B** occurs, it represents a contraction of $\eta\epsilon$, with of course an adjustment of the accent due to its proclitic usage. We can compare the generation of $d\lambda\lambda d$ from $d\lambda\lambda d$. Until an example can be found in a dialect which retains intervocalic digamma, there is no way of checking this theory. But it is worth remarking that the Cypriot inscription known as the Idalian bronze (Schwyzer, 679. 10-1), which normally preserves F, has the form F in F and F and F and F are the observed that F is more often placed before a vowel than a consonant, so that in these cases it might represent F are thus indications pointing in either direction, but as will appear later, the theory which separates the two forms is more likely to be correct.

5 Another accentual problem should be mentioned here, the treatment of the phrase $d\lambda\lambda'$ η . Conventionally our texts print $d\lambda\lambda'$, thus implying that the elided word is $d\lambda\lambda d$. But when written divisim or with words intervening before η , we have always, so far as I can discover, $d\lambda\lambda$ 0: e.g.

οι τε γὰρ Λακεδαιμόνιοι ... ἄλλο οὐδὲν η ἐκ γῆς ἐναυμάχουν. 'The Lacedaimonians were engaged in what was really a seafight conducted from the shore.' Th. 4. 14. 3.

The phrase is thus quasi-adverbial, and this applies also to the phrase η ällo $\tau \iota$ (Pl. Alc. 1. 116d, Phdr. 258a). This is further evidence that the traditional accentuation cannot be wholly trusted, though no doubt it is not far from the truth.

6 Another problem concerns the grammatical definition of η as a part of speech. LSJ classifies types **A** and **B** as a conjunction, **C** and **D** as an adverb. The facts however, are more complicated, since conjunctions may be co-ordinating or subordinating, and there is good reason to believe that η developed from one to the other. But unlike many adverbs, η never developed to a preposition, since a substantive following it is in apposition to the preceding one, e.g.

οί δ' ἐπὶ γαίη κείατο, γύπεσσιν πολὺ φίλτεροι η ἀλόχοισιν. 'They lay on the ground, much more welcome to vultures than to their wives.' Il. 11. 162.

This is familiar from the use of Latin quam or English than; and modern Greek $\pi a \rho \acute{a}$, which has taken over this sense, behaves in the same way. The closest parallel in Greek is with $\acute{\omega}s$, which shows the same tendency to combine with enclitics ($\acute{\omega}s$ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ like η $\pi \epsilon \rho$, $\acute{\omega}s$ $\tau \epsilon$, etc.).

The co-ordinating use of alternative η (type A) is too familiar to require comment. Where the first of a pair or series of alternatives is introduced with η , it needs to be translated in English by either; but that this is not really a separate use is shown by the similar use of Latin aut ... aut, uel ... uel. The elements so introduced may be complete sentences, and this raises the question whether the first may sometimes be felt to be interrogative (type D), and it is often accented $\hat{\eta}$. But since the second part of a

double question is introduced by what is plainly alternative η , it is hard to separate this from the cases where the first part of the question too is introduced by this particle. Examples with other types of question:

ἔπος τί κε μυθησαίμην,
η αὐτὸς κεύθω; Od. 21. 194.

τίνες αὐτῷ
κοῦροι ἔποντ'; Ἰθάκης ἐξαίρετοι, η ἑοὶ αὐτοῦ
θῆτές τε δμῶές τε; Od. 4. 643.
ἤκουσας η οὐκ ἤκουσας, η κωφῆ λέγω; A. Th. 202.
τίνα ταύτην; η τὸ πλοῖον ἀφῖκται ἐκ Δήλου ...; Pl. Cri. 43c.

With the leading question introduced by η :

ηε τι Μυρμιδόνεσσι πιφαύσκεαι, η έμοὶ αὐτῷ, ηε τιν' ἀγγελίην Φθίης ἔξ ἔκλυες οἶος; ΙΙ. 16. 11-12.

πόθεν πλείθ' ύγρὰ κέλευθα;
η τι κατὰ πρῆξιν η μαψιδίως ἀλάλησθε
οἶά τε ληϊστῆρες ...; Od. 3. 72.
η τις ἁμετέρας χθονὸς
δυσμενὴς ὅρι' ἀμφιβάλλει
στραταγέτας ἀνήρ;
η λησταὶ κακομήχανοι
ποιμένων ἀέκατι μήλων
σεύοντ' ἀγέλας βίᾳ; B. 18. 5, 8.

The use of $\eta\epsilon$ in II. 16. 11-12 proves that in these cases this is not the interrogative η , which is never found in this sense. But the accentuation of such passages has caused much confusion. In Homer we usually find printed $\mathring{\eta}$ ($\mathring{\eta}\epsilon$) followed by $\mathring{\eta}$ ($\mathring{\eta}\epsilon$); but in the Bacchylides passage it is printed $\mathring{\eta}$... $\mathring{\eta}$. Whatever the tradition or the grammarians assert, it seems clear that in these cases we are dealing with the alternative η , and both should be accented $\mathring{\eta}$. The position is further complicated when η occurs introducing an indirect question; but we shall postpone consideration of this development until later (14).

8 There is an interesting idiom where η introduces a consequence of rejection rather than a genuine alternative. Here it may be translated or if this is not so, or else. LSJ quotes this ($\tilde{\eta}$ A I 3) from only one classical author:

128 ຖື, ຖື

είδέναι δεί περὶ οὖ αν ἢ ἡ βουλή, η παντὸς ἀμαρτάνειν ἀνάγκη. Pl. Phdr. 237c.

An even better example given by LSJ is:

μή με λυπείτε, η φεύξομ' ἐκ τῆς οἰκίας. Herod. 5. 74.

I find it hard to believe there are not other classical examples; here are two from Aristophanes:

ύπερβαλείσθαι σ' οἴομαι τούτοισιν, η μάτην γ' ἄν ἀπομαγδαλιὰς σιτούμενος τοσοῦτος ἐκτραφείην. Ar. Eq. 413. ἐγὼ νόθος; τί λέγεις; σὺ μέντοι νὴ Δία ὥν γε ξένης γυναικός. η πῶς ἄν ποτε ἐπίκληρον εἶναι τὴν Ἀθηναίαν δοκεῖς οὖσαν θυγατέρ', ὄντων ἀδελφῶν γνησίων; Ar. Av. 1652.

The presence of $\eta \epsilon$ in epic strongly suggests that the comparative use (type **B**) developed from the alternative. It is not impossible to derive as compared with from as an alternative, or perhaps a vaguer origin can be constructed for both. The first thing to note here is that there is no real difference between its use with the comparative and with expressions indicating difference or preference. If we define it as meaning the point of reference being, as compared with, this should be obvious. But LSJ does not sufficiently distinguish the examples where the point of reference is a point in time. In this sense it will often translate before or after.

Έλπηνορ, πως ήλθες ύπο ζόφον ήερόεντα; ἔφθης πεζὸς ἰων η ἐγω σὺν νηὶ μελαίνη. 'You got here on foot before I did with a ship.' Od. 11. 58.

So too with $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$:

ήν ἃν ὑμὶν ἐν δόμοις τὰ δρώμεν' ὑμῶν πρόσθεν η τὰ σώματα. S. El. 1333.

Meaning after:

τη ύστεραία η ή τὰ ἐπινίκια ἔθυεν. Pl. Smp. 173a.

Not surprisingly there are variant readings in the manuscripts, and η is omitted by some, which would change the analysis of η to the use discussed in 12 below.

Both the affirmative and the interrogative uses of η (types C and D) can be explained as developing from the exclamatory η , which is little more than a noise made to attract attention; see $\ddot{\eta}$ (B) in LSJ. The affirmative may be translated *truly*, *indeed*, or the like, but the force of the word is perhaps weaker than these expressions suggest. It should be observed that this η normally stands first in a sentence, though it may be preceded by a vocative:

```
όλβιε Λαέρταο πάϊ, πολυμήχαν' Όδυσσεῦ,
η ἄρα σὺν μεγάλη ἀρετῆ ἐκτήσω ἄκοιτιν. Od. 24. 193.
```

Common in epic and and copied by the Hellenistic imitators, it is also found in Attic tragedy, but rarely in prose. However it is probably not absent from the colloquial language, if we can judge by Aristophanes. It usually serves to emphasise the following word:

```
η μέγ' ἐνορῶ βούλευμ' ἐν ὀρνίθων γένει. Ar. Av. 162, cf. 13.

νὴ τὸν Δί' η 'γώ σου καταπαύσω τὰς πνοάς. 'I'll put a stop.'

Ar. Av. 1397.
```

Another idiom to be found in Aristophanes is the use of $d\lambda\lambda'$ η to mean to be sure:

```
άλλ' η τὸ πέος τόδ' Ἡρακλῆς ξενίζεται. Ar. Lys. 928. 
οὐκ ἔσθ' ὅπως ὁ δακτύλιός ἐσθ' ούτοσὶ 
ούμός· τὸ γοῦν σημεῖον ἔτερον φαίνεται, 
ἀλλ' η οὐ καθορῶ. Ar. Eq. 953.
```

This cannot mean 'I do not make out anything else' because of the preceding words 'the mark looks different'. Another passage where η is sometimes regarded as interrogative is:

```
    -- ἀλλ' η τριχόβρωτες τοὺς λόφους που κατέφαγον.
    -- ἀλλ' η πρὸ δείπνου τὴν μίμαρκυν κατέδομαι.
    Ar. Ach. 1111-12.
```

The interrogative use (type **D**) is common in Homer. It may be translated *Is it that...?*, but in many cases it corresponds to nothing but the which precedes a question in Spanish. In tragedy:

```
πῶς φής; ...

—Τροίαν Άχαιῶν οὖσαν· η τόρως λέγω; Α. Αg. 269.
```

This does not mean 'am I to speak plainly?' but 'am I making myself plain?'

τί δήτα χρήζεις; η με γής έξω βαλείν; S. ΟΤ 622.

It seems to be rare in Attic prose; a possible example is:

άλλὰ τίς σοι διηγείτο; η αὐτὸς Σωκράτης; Pl. Smp. 173a.

But here we might have the alternative η , and it is printed $\mathring{\eta}$ in the Oxford Text. If so, the implication is: 'the question is unnecessary if, as an alternative, it was Socrates himself.' But it is found in Aristophanes:

οὔκ, ἀλλὰ βραχέα σου πυθέσθαι βούλομαι. η μνημονικὸς εἶ; Ar. Nu. 483.

There is also the colloquial idiom $\eta \ \gamma \acute{a}\rho$, which is printed $\mathring{\eta}$, e.g.

οὐκοῦν ... οὖτος δήπου ποιεῖ ἃ δοκεῖ αὐτῷ· η γάρ; —ναί. Pl. Grg. 468d, cf. 449d, Tht. 160e.

Here the use of $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ implies that there is an ellipse of some kind, perhaps therefore as in the case of η où, which implies η où σ où $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$; In both of these expressions there is no reason to regard η as interrogative, since it is an alternative question. I therefore think that η $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ too is more likely to be alternative than interrogative, I am asking, for you may have an alternative.

There is no section in LSJ for η as a subordinating conjunction, i.e. followed by a subordinate clause. We saw above (9) that the suppression of $\tilde{\eta}$ would in some passages have the effect of bringing about this change. Where the sense is temporal, η will then mean from the point in time when, and may be translated after or before. There are examples from West Greek dialects, which are perfectly clear:

 $\bar{\epsilon}$ κ' ἀποθάν $\bar{\epsilon}$ ι ἀν $\bar{\epsilon}$ ρ $\bar{\epsilon}$ γυνά, αὶ μέν κ' ἐι τέκνα ..., τούτος ἔκε[ν] τὰ κρ $\bar{\epsilon}$ ματα. 'After the death of a man or woman, if there are children ..., they are to have the property.' Leg. Gort. 5. 9.

αὶ δέ κα κοσ[μ]ίον ἄγει ε κοσμίοντος ἄλλος, $\bar{\epsilon}$ κ' ἀποσται, μολέν 'to bring it to trial after he has resigned from office'. Leg. Gort. 1. 51.

έν ταις τριάκοντα ε κα Γείποντι 'in the thirty (days) after their declaration'. Leg. Gort. 8. 18.

αἴ κα μὴ περαιόσει η κα πρίαται ἐν ταῖς τριάκοντ' ἀμέραις 'if he does not complete the transaction in thirty days from the time of the purchase'. Schwyzer 181. 7. 15.

ἐν τῶι πέμπτωι καὶ δεκάτωι Γέτει ἀπὸ τῶ ποτεχεῖ Γέτεος η Άριστίων ἐφορεύει 'in the fifteenth year from that succeeding the year when Aristion is ephor'. Tab. Heracl. 1. 121.

It is also found in Arcadian:

ἐν τῶι ὕστερον Fέτ[ε]ι η Νικὴς ἐδαμιόργη 'in the last year when N. was damiorgos'. SEG 37. 340. 23 (Mantinea, iv BC).

εὶ δέ τι ἐκ τῶν ἔμπροσθε χρόνων η οἱ Ὀρχομένιοι Άχαιοὶ ἐγέ[νον]το Νεάρχ[ω]ι ἔγκλημα γέγονεν ...

Schwyzer 428. 13 (Orchomenos, iii BC).

13 Except for the last examples these are all from West Greek dialects, so that it may perhaps have been a specific development of that region, and the Arcadian usage is under their influence. But before we come to that conclusion, we need to consider carefully the use of the phrases $\pi\rho i\nu$ η , $\pi\rho i\sigma\theta \epsilon \nu$ η , etc. I do not think there can be any doubt that $\pi\rho i\nu$ was originally an adverb, which developed into a conjunction by the addition of a clause. But in the case of $\pi\rho i\nu$ η , although from a synchronic point of view it can be treated as an indivisible phrase, which LSJ does, η must here be the conjunction, just as much as in the examples at the end of section 12. Thus the preference of $\pi\rho i\nu$ for an infinitival construction, which is plainly a noun clause, can be seen as the starting-point for the development of the construction with a finite verb. A good example where $\pi\rho i\nu$ is separated from η in Homer:

ἀτὰρ οὖ μὲν σφῶΐ γ' ὀΐω πρίν γ' ἀποπαύσεσθαι, πρίν γ' η ἔτερόν γε πεσόντα αἵματος ἆσαι Άρηα. Il. 5. 288.

Later:

έλαύνειν ώς δύναιτο τάχιστα έπὶ τὰς Σάρδις, πρὶν η τὸ δεύτερον ἁλισθηναι τῶν Λυδῶν τὴν δύναμιν. Hdt. 1. 79. 1.

With indicative:

καὶ οὐ πρόσθεν ἔστησαν πρὶν η πρὸς τοῖς πεζοῖς ... ἐγένοντο. Χ. Cyr. 1. 4. 23.

With $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$:

σχεδόν τι πρόσθεν η σὺ τῆσδ' ἔχων χθονὸς ἀρχὴν ἐφαίνου τοῦτ' ἐκηρύχθη πόλει. S. ΟΤ 736. With subjunctive:

τοίσι δὲ ἡ Πυθίη ... οὐκ ἔφη χρήσειν, πρὶν η τὸν νηὸν ... ἀνορθώσωσι. Hdt. 1. 19. 3.

There is no reason to doubt that this is correctly accented \(\bar{\eta}\).

There are also examples of the subordinating use which seem rather to belong to the interrogative type, i.e. η introduces an indirect question and can be translated whether.

ὄφρα δαῶμεν η ἐτεὸν Κάλχας μαντεύεται, ηε καὶ οὐκί. Il. 2. 300.

μερμήριξεν
η ὅ γε φάσγανον ὀξὺ ἐρυσσάμενος παρὰ μηροῦ
τοὺς μὲν ἀναστήσειεν, ὁ δ' Ἀτρείδην ἐναρίζοι,
ηε χόλον παύσειεν ἐρητύσειέ τε θυμόν. Il. 1. 190.
ἀλλ' ἄγε μοι τόδε εἰπὲ καὶ ἀτρεκέως κατάλεξον,
η καὶ Λαέρτη αὐτὴν ὁδὸν ἄγγελος ἔλθω
δυσμόρω... Od. 16. 138.

In many cases the manuscripts vary between ϵl and η , and the former is for obvious reasons preferred by editors:

ὄφρα καὶ Έκτωρ εἴσεται εἰ καὶ ἐμὸν δόρυ μαίνεται ἐν παλάμησιν. Il. 8. 111.

So also Od. 13. 415, etc. This usage is found in West Greek:

τως δε πολιανόμως ... ἀμφίστασθαι, η κα πεφυτεύκαντι πάντα κὰτ τὰν συνθήκαν. 'The city-commissioners are to decide whether they have performed the planting in accordance with the contract.' Tab. Heracl. 1. 125.

διαψαφίξασθαι κατὰ τὸν νόμον, η δοκεῖ αὐτὸν στεφανῶσαι θαλλοῦ στεφάνωι. ΙG 12(3). 170. 12 (Astypalaea).

I am indebted to Professor A.-P. Christides for some further examples from the lead tablets found at Dodona, on which questions were submitted to the oracle. There are examples where η introduces a direct question, e.g.

τύχα ἀγαθά. η τυγχάνοιμί κα ἐμπορευόμενος ... Schwyzer 309.

Hence it is obvious that the use in indirect questions follows the same principle:

ἐπερώτη Ἀσκλαπιάδας τὸν Δία τὸν Ναὸν καὶ [Δ]ιώναν η λώιον καὶ ἄμεινον ἀπολυομένω παίδα. Μ 83 (iv BC).
 Εὐηίδας ἀνερώτη τὸν θεὸν η τυγχάνοι κα ποιῶν [Μ 188.
 ἐπερωτῶντ[ι Δ]ωδωναίοι τὸν θεὸν η ἀσφαλέως ἐστὶ μένειν.
 Μ 846.

15 A puzzling usage occurs in two identical passages of the Gortyn Law Code, where the meaning appears to be where, or more likely in the way in which, as:

μολλεν οπε κ' επιβάλλει, πὰρ τοι δικασται ε Γεκάστο εγρατται. 'to take action at law where may be appropriate, before the judge as prescribed in each case'.

Leg. Gort. 6. 31; 9. 23.

It is difficult to judge where this usage needs to be attached, but it is clearly an example of the subordinating use of η . If genuine, this sense might offer an alternative explanation of the obscure early Laconian inscription from Gythium:

μεδένα ἀποστρύθεσται· αἰ δὲ ἀποστρύ $[\theta]$ εται, ἀρατάται ε̄ ho δολος. Schwyzer 51.

No one knows the meaning of the verb which is the subject of this prohibition. But $d_f a \tau \hat{a} \tau a \iota$ is a dialect form from $d_f \tau \hat{a} \iota \mu a \iota$ used in the sense of 'suffers punishment'. It is generally assumed that the last three words stand for $\eta \hat{a} \hat{u} \hat{\tau} \hat{o} \hat{s} \hat{\eta} \hat{o} \delta \hat{o} \hat{u} \lambda \hat{o} \hat{s}$, but it would be remarkable if an offender were able to send his slave to suffer the punishment vicariously. If however η can here mean as, it would imply that the offender is to be punished as, i.e. in the same way as a slave, a much more drastic penalty. The article then will be in its generalising sense.

16 There is also a case where η appears to mean if introducing a conditional clause. It needs first to be observed that this is normal in Boeotian, where η is the regular spelling for $a\iota$, and these examples do not belong here. But it is found in Cypriot in the Idalian Bronze:

e-ke si-se o-na-si-lo-ne e-to-se ka-si-ke-ne-to-se ... e-xe o-ru-xe ... pe-i-se-i-o-na-si-lo-i ... (i.e. ξ κέ σις Όνάσιλον ἐ τὸς κασιγνέτος ... ἐξ ὀρύξξ ... πείσει Όνασίλδι ...) 'if anyone expels Onasilos or his brothers, he shall pay to Onasilos ...'

Schwyzer 679. 10.

ήμέτερος

A papyrus of iii AD, P. Oxy. Hels. 49. 12 has the form $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ for $\eta \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$. This is an interesting anticipation of the process by which $\eta \mu \epsilon i s$ appears in modern Greek as $\epsilon \mu \epsilon i s$. Once $\eta \mu \epsilon i s$ and $\delta \mu \epsilon i s$ had become homophones, it was necessary to change them to maintain the distinction. $\eta \mu \epsilon i s$ therefore became $\epsilon \mu \epsilon i s$ after $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$, and $\delta \mu \epsilon i s$ could then be remodelled as $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon i s$ after $\sigma \epsilon$ (or perhaps already $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon$). $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$ shows an early stage in the process, though of course it is probably a 'learned' form, since the adjective was very early abandoned in favour of the genitive of the pronoun.

ήμιεκτάνιον, ήμιοκτάνιον

1 This is an addition to the Greek vocabulary, found in an inscription written in the North Ionic of v BC on a lead sheet found at Pech-Maho in the Aude department of France (J. Pouilloux, M. Lejeune, CRAI (1988), 526-35). The expression τρίτον ἢμιεκτάνιον or ἢμιοκτάνιον (the inscription is presumably psilotic) occurs three times in this text, and it is common ground that in each case it denotes a sum of money. But beyond that point agreement breaks down, and my suggestion (ZPE 82 (1990), 161-6) that the two spellings are variants of the same word has not met with general acceptance. It is therefore appropriate to re-examine here the use of these spellings with a view to further elucidating their meaning.

2 The complete text runs as follows:

ἀκάτι[ον] ἐπρίατο [?Κύ]πρι[ος παρὰ τῶν] Έμποριτέων: ἐπρίατο τε[]

- 3 ἐμοὶ μετέδωκε τὤμισυ τ[ρίτ]ο ἢ[μι]οκτανίο τρίτον ἠμιεκτάνιον ἔδωκα ἀριθμῶι καὶ ἐγγυητήριον τρίτην αὐτός. καὶ κε-
- 6 ῖν' ἔλαβεν ἐν τῶι ποταμῶι τὸν ἀρραβῶν' ἀνέδωκα ὅκο τἀκάτια ὀρμίζεται. μάρτυρ(ες)· Βασιγερρος καὶ Βλερυας καὶ
- Γολο[.]βιυρ καὶ Σεδεγων. ο[ὖ]τοι μάρτυρες εὖτε τὸν ἀρραβῶν' ἀνέδωκα,
 εὖτε δὲ ἀπέδωκα τὸ χρῆμα τρίτον
 [ἠμ]ιοκτάνι[ο]ν [.]αυαρυας Ναλβ[..]ν.

My proposed translation would read: Kyprios(?) bought a boat [from the] Emporitans. He also bought [] He passed over to me a half share at the price of 2½ hektai (each). I paid 2½ hektai in cash, and two days later personally gave a guarantee. The former he received on the river. The pledge I handed over where the boats are moored. Witness(es): Basigerros and Bleruas and Golo.biur and Sedegon; these (were) witnesses when I handed over the pledge. But when I paid the money, the 2½ hektai, .auaras, Nalb..n.

- The question whether ημιεκτάνιον and ημιοκτάνιον are two separate words or variant spellings of the same word cannot be answered directly. The assumption must be that different spellings represent different words, until they can be proved to have the same meaning, so the onus of proof lies on those who claim identity. There are cases in all languages of two long words which differ by only one letter, like English dissimilation and dissimulation. But it will be found that, like homophones, they are not likely to be used in the same context. In particular, numerals are always carefully distinguished for obvious reasons. In languages known to me I can think of very few instances where any pair of numerals between one and ten is distinguished by only a single phoneme (French six/dix, Japanese ichi/shichi = 1/7). Indeed radiotelephony demands greater than normal discrimination, and nine is now replaced for this purpose by niner to avoid the vowel of five, German zwei by zwo to avoid confusion with drei. This observation is significant if the syllables εκτ and οκτ represent different numerals.

- 5 But the case is even more peculiar, if the syllables $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ and $o\kappa \tau$ are interpreted as meaning six and eight. We are apparently faced with the proposition that one sum is specified as $2\frac{1}{2} \times 6a$, the other as $2\frac{1}{2} \times 8a$. This is an incredible way of saying 15a and 20a.
- 6 But this is not the end of the surprises in store for those who separate these words. The syllable $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ can only refer to six if it is an ordinal numeral ($\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\sigma s$); in multiplicative compounds six is represented by $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi a$ (as $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi a\kappa \delta\sigma \iota o\iota$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi \acute{a}\gamma \omega \nu os$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi \acute{a}\pi o\lambda \iota s$, etc.) But $o\kappa\tau$ can only refer to the cardinal numeral $\tilde{o}\kappa\tau\dot{\omega}$, since the ordinal is (for whatever reason) $\tilde{o}\gamma\delta oos$. It follows that the relation between the units must be $\frac{1}{6}a:8a:1a:48a$. Thus the numbers indicated in the text must be $2\frac{1}{2}\times\frac{1}{6}=\frac{5}{12}a$ and $2\frac{1}{2}\times8=20a$. This difficulty is ignored by the French editors, but I still find it quite incredible.
- 7 These difficulties are at once solved, if we accept the two spellings as variants of the same word. But there still remains the problem of what is the unit designated by ἐ/ὀκτάνιον. Nothing of the kind seems to have been recorded, but there was a coin of Phocaea, the mother city of Massilia and therefore of the trading stations such as this on the coasts of France and Spain, known as ἔκτη one sixth, that is, of a stater. It is hard to separate this from the unit here mentioned, and I therefore proposed that the form -εκτάνιον was the 'correct' spelling, and -οκτάνιον was a spelling variant. It is true that ε and ο do not ordinarily alternate in this way, but it is perhaps possible that the effect of the preceding ι was to dissimilate ε to a sound written hesitantly as ο.
- 8 The effect of reading the two forms as variants is of course to cast doubt on the interpretation proposed by the first editors and accepted by H. van Effenterre and J. Vélissaropoulos-Karakostas (Revue historique de droit français et étranger, 69 (1991), 217-26) and R. A. Santiago (Faventia, 11 (1991), 163-79). I ventured to suggest a different interpretation in my article in ZPE (loc. cit.). In Revue archéologique narbonnaise, 21 (1988) 19-59 Lejeune proposed to remedy the objection made in 6 above by emending ημιεκτάνιον to ημιε(ξ)άνιον, surely a desperate remedy.
- 9 A final point concerns the ending of this word. No one seems to have commented on the formation -avior, yet I am unable to find

ἥρυς 137

any satisfactory parallel. The α must either be short, or a lengthened $\bar{\alpha}$ arising after the Ionic shift of $\bar{\alpha}$ to η . In the latter case $\bar{\alpha}$ might be due to loss of F after ν (cf. Ionic $\phi\theta\hat{\alpha}\nu\omega$, Attic $\phi\theta\hat{\alpha}\nu\omega$ < $*\phi\theta\hat{\alpha}\nu F\omega$). But this does not appear to offer any solution. Perhaps then it is a simple $-\bar{\alpha}\nu\iota\sigma\nu$ ending devised to denote a coin with the value of a $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\eta$, though it remains unparalleled.

ήρυς

- I have discussed this word in an article entitled $HPY\Sigma$ —A Greek Ghost-word' in B. Broganyi and R. Lipp (eds.), Historical Philology (Amsterdam, 1992), 99–102. It owes its existence to P. Kretschmer, who in Glotta, 15 (1927), 306–7 published some Greek funerary inscriptions from Marsala in Sicily. He attributed them to ii BC, but this date is almost certainly too early. In the case of males the formula $\eta \rho \omega s$ $d \gamma a \theta d s$ is used, but in two cases where females are recorded this is inflected as $\eta \rho v s$ $d \gamma a \theta d s$. The presence of $d \gamma a \theta d s$ rather than $d \gamma a \theta d s$ shows that this is not standard $\kappa o v v \eta$, and Kretschmer added the feminine $\eta \rho v s$ (or $\eta \rho v s$) as a dialect form. He assumed that $\eta \rho \omega s$ was the product of $\eta \rho \omega s$, the genitive being $\eta \rho \omega s$, and a reduced grade in the feminine could well appear as $\eta \rho v s$. Unfortunately we now know from Mycenaean, which has $\eta \rho \omega s$ in the compound $t i r i s e r o e = t r i s h \bar{e} r \bar{o} e i$ (dative) that this stem lacks the v element presumed by this etymology.
- V. Pisani, Ist. Lomb. 73 (1939-40), 490, questioned the existence of this feminine, suggesting that it was merely an ignorant spelling of the normal $\eta \rho \omega i s$. He supported this by a presumed phonological development of ωi to ωi diphthong and so to ωi , which in late inscriptions is often written ω . I suggested rather that $\eta \rho \omega i s$ was an unfamiliar word at least in its spoken form, so that written $HP\Omega I\Sigma$ might have been read as containing a long diphthong, and once this distinction was lost $-\omega s$ could have been written $-\omega s$. In either case the existence of a separate feminine form of this type must remain dubious, and this word too needs to be consigned to limbo until better evidence for its existence is forthcoming.

138 θάλασσα

θάλασσα

There are three small points to be added to the record of this word. One is due to a reference culled from the New Supplement, which quotes a Laconian inscription of v BC containing the clause:

hόπυι κα Λα[κεδαιμόνιο]ι hαγίδνται καὶ κα[τὰ γᾶν κ]αὶ καθάλαθαν. SEG 26. 461. 7.

The last word is plainly to be read as $\kappa \hat{\alpha}(\theta) \theta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha(\theta) \theta \alpha \nu$, geminate letters not being written. At first sight the form may not appear remarkable, especially as $\theta \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \theta \theta \alpha$ is already recorded as a late Cretan dialect form (Schwyzer 186. 9, ii BC). But Cretan differs from Laconian in its treatment of words containing $-\sigma \sigma$ - of Ionic, and there is no reason to expect anything but $-\sigma \sigma$ - in this word in Laconian.

- 2 However, from iv BC Laconian inscriptions show the graph Σ replacing Θ , e.g. $ANE\Sigma HKE$, and the same spellings are found in earlier literary sources, such as Alcman, Aristophanes' Lysistrata and the Spartan treaties in Thucydides (5. 77, 79). But it is possible that in literary texts these spellings were introduced by a later editor, who knew the current practice in Laconia at his own date. This feature of the dialect was particularly resistant to change, and it survived into the modern Tsakonian dialect of the region. It is generally agreed that Σ here indicates some kind of spirant pronunciation, and the obvious conclusion is that the development of t^h to a spirant $[\theta]$, which became general in later Greek, was anticipated by Laconian. But, as I have pointed out before, it is unlikely that this change coincided with the introduction of the standard alphabet. Thus the letter Θ presumably already had the value of a spirant in v BC, and this seems to be what this spelling of $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ proves. Whether the initial θ had the same value as the medial one may be doubted; there is after all a range of phonetic possibilities, and modern Greek makes do with a single graph Σ to represent both [s] and [f] in loan-words. At least we can now offer proof that the examples of Σ in non-Spartan literary sources in v BC are not anachronistic.
- 3 The form $\theta \acute{a}\lambda a \sigma \sigma a$, in fact $[\theta] \acute{a}\lambda a \sigma [\sigma a \nu]$ but the restoration is certain, has been quoted as Attic by L. Threatte (Grammar of Attic

θάλασσα 139

Inscriptions i. 538). It occurs in an inscription from the Acropolis of Athens (IG 22 236) which contains the text of the oath which Philip II required of all Greeks after the battle of Chaeroneia in 338 BC. It can hardly be distinguished in its dialect from normal Attic, except for this use of $-\sigma\sigma$ - which is confirmed by the similar $[\Theta \epsilon \sigma] \sigma \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. But it is hardly likely that in prescribing the exact wording of the oath Philip would have left each city free to adapt it to the local dialect, so it is much more likely to be a specimen of the Macedonian chancery's official language. This was based upon literary Attic, no doubt propagated by Athenian schoolteachers, and popularised by the performance of Attic tragedies in Macedonia. It thus became the basis of the κοινή. Confirmation of this early use by Macedonians comes from an inscription from Teos containing a letter of Antigonus I of about 303 BC (D. F. McCabe et al., Teos Inscriptions, 59). I have discussed this in a note published in Palaeographica et Mycenaea Antonino Bartoněk oblata (Brno, 1991), 13-16.

4 The last point is a semantic one. It has escaped the notice of LSJ that the specific sense of the word to mean a particular stretch of land-locked water is extended, possibly under Hebrew influence, in the Septuagint and the New Testament to include relatively small freshwater lakes. The obvious example is Lake Tiberias, known as the Sea of Galilee:

πέραν τῆς θαλάσσης τῆς Γαλιλαίας τῆς Τιβεριάδος. Ευ. Jo. 6. 1.

It now becomes plain why the same Hebrew term was applied to a small artificial pool in the Temple complex constructed by Solomon, and this was translated by $\theta \dot{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ in the Septuagint:

καὶ ἐποίησε τὴν θάλασσαν δέκα ἐν πήχει ἀπὸ τοῦ χείλους ἕως τοῦ χείλους αὐτῆς στρογγύλον κύκλω τὸ αὐτό· πέντε ἐν πήχει τὸ ὕψος αὐτῆς. LXX 3 Ki. 7. 23; cf. 2 Ki. 8. 8.

In the second reference we are told that it was of bronze, though it is not clear whether it was a free-standing vessel or partially sunk into the ground and lined with bronze plates. If raised above ground it would have required an immensely heavy substructure to support the weight of water in it. LSJ translated this as *laver*, giving the second reference only. There is, however, another example:

καὶ ἐποίησε θάλασσαν χωροῦσαν δύο μετρητὰς σπέρματος κυκλόθεν τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου ... καὶ διεπορεύετο τὸ ὕδωρ κύκλῳ τοῦ θυσιαστηρίου, καὶ τὴν θάλασσαν ἔπλησαν ὕδατος.

LXX 3 Ki. 18. 32, 35.

This was given a separate meaning, channel, by LSJ. In one way this is correct; the altar was surrounded by a channel full of water as a kind of moat. But the name $\theta \dot{a}\lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ was applied because the ring of water could also be seen as a circular pool with the altar on an island in the middle. So both of these usages can be brought together under a definition 'used of small artificial lakes, pool'.

θάλος, θάλεα

- I LSJ has two separate entries for these words, while admitting somewhat reluctantly that $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \epsilon a$ is 'in form and accent pl. of $\theta \acute{a} \lambda o s$.' It is not unheard-of for a noun to have a meaning in the plural which differs from that of the singular; and sometimes a new plural is formed for a particular meaning. Tres is a good example, for it seems in origin to be nothing but a plural to is, but it has been specialised in the sense of 'sinews'. But if the two numbers are admitted to be inflexional variants of the same word, then they are better treated in one article as separate senses restricted to singular and plural.
- The neuter $\theta \acute{a} \lambda o s$ is the 'abstract' substantive corresponding to an adjective * $\theta a \lambda \acute{v} s$, which is used only in the feminine $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \epsilon \iota a$. The masculine and neuter are supplied by $\theta a \lambda \epsilon \rho \acute{o} s$ (cf. $\gamma \lambda \upsilon \kappa \acute{v} s$, $\gamma \lambda \upsilon \kappa \epsilon \rho \acute{o} s$) and there is also some evidence for $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \epsilon \iota o s$ ($\theta a \lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} o \iota s$ $\sigma \tau \acute{e} \phi \epsilon \sigma \iota$ Emp. 112. 6), probably a back-formation from the feminine. The root also appears in $\theta a \lambda \acute{\iota} a$, $\theta a \lambda \lambda \acute{o} s$, and $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$. This shows that it denotes the growth of a healthy plant, and it is extended from that to other things, much like flourish in English.
- 3 It would therefore be expected that a neuter $\theta \hat{a} \lambda o s$ would mean flourishing condition, well-being, prosperity, and this sense has been noted only in the following examples:

εὐάμπυκες [ἀέ]ξετ' ἔτι, Μοῖσαι, θάλος ἀοιδᾶν. Pi. fr. 70a. 14. άλλα, Τύχα, τέκ[ν]οις μέν ἀεὶ θάλος, ἀνδρὶ δὲ βουλὰν σώφρονα, ματρὶ δ' ἐμᾳ κοῦφα πόροις δάκρυα. Epigram in BCH 85. 849 (Imperial date).

The plural, however, is used in this sense from Homer onwards, though it is only poetic and in restricted use:

εὕδεσκ' ἐν λέκτροισιν, ἐν ἀγκαλίδεσσι τιθήνης, εὐνἢ ἐν μαλακἢ, θαλέων ἐμπλησάμενος κῆρ. Il. 22. 504. καὶ κῆνος ἐν σάλεσσι πολλοῖς ἥμενος μάκαρς ἀνήρ. Alcm. 15 P.

τω μεν εγώ θαλέεσσιν ανέτρεφον. Call. fr. 337 Pf.

The two examples of the singular are enough to destroy the logic of LSJ's arrangement.

4 As frequently, a word denoting an abstract idea can be used in a concrete sense to mean 'an instance of this', i.e. the product of flourishing, the young shoot. In practice it seems to be used only of sons and daughters, but the idea of a *flourishing* offspring is always present. English scion is an adequate translation:

οὕ σ' ἔτ' ἔγωγε κλαύσομαι ἐν λεχέεσσι, φίλον θάλος, ὃν τέκον αὐτή. Il. 22. 87. λευσσόντων τοιόνδε θάλος χόρον εἰσοιχνεῦσαν. Od. 6. 157. κούρην τὴν ἔτεκον γλυκερὸν θάλος. h. Cer. 66; also 187.

τὸν μὲν ἐπὴν δὴ πρῶτον ἴδης θάλος ὀφθαλμοῖσι, γηθήσεις ὁρόων. h. Ven. 278.

There are several examples in Pindar and other lyric poets, but apparently none in tragedy:

Άδραστιδᾶν θάλος ἀρωγὸν δόμοις. Pi. O. 2.45 σεμνὸν θάλος Άλκαϊδᾶν. Pi. O. 6. 68; also N. 1. 2; I. 7. 24. Εὐρύαλε γλαυκέων Χαρίτων θάλος. Ibyc. 7 P. χαριτόφωνε θάλος (cj.) Ἐρώτων. Philox. 8 P. κάπρος ἡνίχ' ὁ μαινόλης ... Κυπρίδος θάλος ὥλεσεν. Lyr. Adesp. 111 P.

142 θᾶς

θâs

I This addition to the vocabulary was recorded by the new Supplement, since it now occurs twice in papyri of Alcaeus, having been emended to ås when first found.

```
δαπτέτω πόλιν ώς καὶ πεδὰ Μυρσι[λ]ω[
θᾶς κ' ἄμμε βόλλητ' Άρευς ἐπιτ[ Alc. 70. 8 (L-P).
...]ξη δὲ θᾶς κε Ζεῦς [
καὶ] μοῦρα ... Alc. 206. 6 (L-P).
```

In both examples it is followed by $\kappa\epsilon$, the verb, where it is preserved, is in the subjunctive, and the meaning is clearly until. The only problem is to explain the form; E.-M. Hamm, Grammatik zu Sappho und Alkaios (Berlin, 1957) 112, says simply ' θ - ungeklärt ist.' Ionic $\epsilon \omega_s$ represents the normal phonetic development of * δFos , cf. Skt. yāvat (with a different final consonant). This would contract, following the loss of intervocalic F in West Greek and Aeolic to δS . The problem therefore is to explain the initial θ -.

2 Ionic and Attic $\tau \epsilon \omega s$, the demonstrative correlate of $\epsilon \omega s$, is occasionally used as a conjunction to mean *until*; see LSJ I 2, e.g.

```
καὶ τέως μὲν ἄν παίδες ὧσιν ... φιλοῦσι τοὺς ἄνδρας.
Pl. Smp. 191e.
```

The original form can be reconstructed as * $\tau \hat{a} F o s$, cf. Skt. $t \bar{a} v a t$, so the Aeolic descendant should have the form * $\tau \hat{a} s$. The simplest explanation, that $\theta \hat{a} s$ owes its aspirate to the correlative $\hat{a} s$, will hardly serve, since Lesbian is a psilotic dialect, and our text of Alcaeus shows the absence of aspiration when a stop is exposed by elision: $\kappa a \tau \iota \sigma \delta \hat{a} v \epsilon \iota$, $\hat{\epsilon} \pi$, $\hat{a} \lambda \mu \nu \rho \sigma v$. I can only suggest that on the loss of F it became transformed into an aspirate before disappearing entirely, just as initial F- sometimes yields an aspirate, even where it is not the product of *s v - s v -

θυμός

- Whether or not LSJ is right in approving Plato's etymology for this word (Cra. 419e) as derived from $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$ (B) is still disputed. Chantraine (DELG) is inclined to support it, Frisk (GEW) is persuaded, probably rightly, that $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$ (A) and $\theta \dot{\nu} \omega$ (B) were in origin a single word, later distinguished by two diverging lines of development. This can be explained if its original meaning was be in violent movement, seethe, billow, and the transitive sense make a fire offering and hence sacrifice arose from that. Anything, whether flesh or incense, thrown on an open fire will produce billowing smoke. It is interesting that $\theta \dot{v}_{00}$ is found in Myceneaean (tu-wo, also plural tu-we-a) apparently meaning an aromatic substance. If so, $\theta v \mu \delta s$ may have started by meaning smoke or vapour, the sense preserved by its cognates in other languages (Sanskrit dhūmá-, Latin fumus and similar forms in Balto-Slavonic). Of this there is no direct trace in Greek, where its place is taken by καπνός, except for a few cases where it appears to mean breath (see 3 below); but this will provide a useful starting-point for our investigation.
- 2 Another feature of LSJ's treatment is the preponderance of quotations from the Iliad; no fewer than 54 references are given, out of a total of 434 in this work, but many of these of course are in repeated lines and formulas. Against this there are 13 references to tragedy, 5 to early lyric, and 23 to prose authors. At least a larger selection of prose would show that the word continued down to a late date in normal prose use, and in the sense of anger survives into modern Greek (together with the verb θυμοῦμαι).
- 3 The sense nearest to the presumed original meaning is that of breath, which is visible emerging from the body in cold weather, and can thus have arisen from the postulated sense of smoke or vapour. It is remarkable, however, that even in Homer it is only used in this physical sense in one, or more likely two ways. The first is breath as the characteristic of the living body (whether human or animal), and it may thus be translated life:

ής ὑπεροπλίησι τάχ' ἄν ποτε θυμὸν ὀλέσση. II. 1. 205. κάππεσεν ἐν Λήμνω, ὀλίγος δ' ἔτι θυμὸς ἐνῆεν. II. 1. 593.

καὶ τοὺς μὲν (ἄρνας) κατέθηκεν ἐπὶ χθονὸς ἀσπαίροντας, θυμοῦ δευομένους. ΙΙ. 3. 294.

αμφω θυμον απηύρα. Il. 6. 17.

θυμοῦ καὶ ψυχής κεκαδών. ΙΙ. 11. 334.

λίπε δ' ὀστέα θυμός. ΙΙ. 12. 386.

After Homer this seems to be very rare:

οὕτω τὸν αὐτοῦ θυμὸν ὁρμαίνει πεσών. Α. Ag. 1388.

This depends on the sense given to $\delta\rho\mu\alpha\dot{\iota}\nu\epsilon\iota$, which Denniston and Page regard as corrupt; but at least $\theta\nu\mu\dot{\delta}\nu$ is here likely to mean 'the breath of life'.

4 The other case where $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$ may have preserved its earliest sense of breath is more problematical. There are two passages in Homer where it is the subject of the verb $\pi \delta \tau a \sigma \sigma \epsilon$.

Έκτορί τ' αὐτῷ θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσι πάτασσεν. ΙΙ. 7. 216.

This describes Hector's reaction on realising he has to fight Ajax.

τοὶ δ' ἐλατῆρες ἔστασαν ἐν δίφροισι, πάτασσε δὲ θυμὸς ἐκάστου νίκης ἱεμένων. II. 23. 370.

This describes the charioteers taking part in an exciting race. So in both cases we may be sure that this event is the result of intense excitement. But what does $\pi \acute{a} \tau a \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ mean? LSJ says 'each man's heart beat high', which might pass as a poetic translation; but there is nothing to suggest that $\theta \nu \mu \acute{o}s$ can mean heart in the physical sense, and 'high' implies rather the $\theta \nu \mu \acute{o}s$ as the seat of the emotions, see 8 below. $\pi a \tau \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$ according to LSJ is used intransitively only in these two passages and a further Homeric example and one from late prose:

ἐν δέ τέ οἱ κραδίη μεγάλα στέρνοισι πατάσσει. Il. 13. 282. ἐκπλαγῆναι ἀνάγκη καὶ πατάσσειν αὐτῷ τὴν καρδίαν. Arr. Cyn. 15.

Elsewhere it is used transitively to mean 'strike, smite' (LSJ). Since II. 13. 282 must mean 'his heart beats hard', it is tempting to suppose that $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$ also means the heart, and this may be how LSJ arrived at its translation. But excitement, provoking an increase in

the secretion of adrenalin, not only raises the rate of the the heart-beat, but also the rate of respiration, so that breath is drawn in noisy gulps and expelled violently. This might therefore be the sense of $\pi \acute{a}\tau a\sigma \sigma \epsilon$; the word is very likely connected with $\pi \acute{a}\tau a\gamma os$ (despite the - γ -, cf. $\pi p\acute{a}\sigma \sigma \omega$ and $\pi p\^{a}\gamma os$) and thus refers to the knocking noise made.

5 If this interpretation is accepted, it may receive some support from another passage:

οὐδέ οἱ ἀτρέμας ἡσθαι ἐρητύετ' ἐν φρεσὶ θυμός 'nor was his θυμός checked so as to rest quietly in his φρένες'. Il. 13. 280.

If, as generally accepted, $\phi\rho\epsilon\nu\epsilon$ s denotes the diaphragm and the surrounding area of the body, then $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s can hardly mean anything but breath. But both of these words are often used in transferred senses, in which case this example can be assigned to the sense discussed in 7 below.

6 It is a little surprising to find that $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s is also used as the seat of consciousness. This is very likely the explanation of the use of $\phi\rho\eta\nu$ or $\phi\rho\epsilon\nu\epsilon$ s as meaning the mind. The diaphragm, if that is the correct anatomical identification of $\phi\rho\eta\nu$, is closely associated with the lungs and respiration; and there is good evidence that the ancients attributed consciousness ($\phi\rho\delta\nu\eta\sigma\iota$ s) to the presence of air in the body, since its absence certainly causes unconsciousness. This is neatly shown by Hippocrates:

δ δ' ές τὸν πλεύμονά τε καὶ τὰς φλέβας ἀὴρ συμβάλλεται ἐς τὰς κοιλίας ἐσιὼν καὶ ἐς τὸν ἐγκέφαλον, καὶ οὕτω τὴν φρόνησιν καὶ τὴν κίνησιν τοῖσι μέλεσι παρέχει. 'Air entering the lungs and tubes is distributed into the cavities [i.e. lungs] and the brain, and thus causes consciousness and the motor action of the limbs.' Hp. Morb. Sacr. 10.

Further confirmation can be found in the derivatives such as $\lambda \iota \pi o - \theta \upsilon \mu \iota a$, which continued in medical use down to recent times as the technical name for a faint. We can define $\theta \upsilon \mu \delta s$ in this sense as the conscious mind, attention:

ήδεε γὰρ κατὰ θυμὸν ἀδελφεὸν ὡς ἐπονεῖτο. ΙΙ. 2. 409. εὖ γὰρ ἐγὼ τόδε οἶδα κατὰ φρένα καὶ κατὰ θυμόν. ΙΙ. 4. 163.

As we have seen above (5), Homer regarded the $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \epsilon s$ as the seat of the $\theta \nu \mu \delta s$, so it is not surprising to find them coupled. Likewise:

φράζετο θυμώ. Il. 16. 646.

where φράζομαι may be etymologically related to φρήν.

σώφρονές τ' εγένοντο πινυτοί τε θυμόν. Pi. I. 8. 28.

This is commonly used in expressions with $\beta \acute{a}\lambda \lambda \omega$ and similar verbs meaning pay attention to, mark:

έν θυμῷ δ' ἐβάλοντο ἔπος. Il. 15. 566.

τούς έμους λόγους

θυμῷ βαλ'. A. Pr. 706.

μή νυν ἔτ' αὐτῶν μηδὲν ἐς θυμὸν βάλης. S. ΟΤ 975.

- οὐχὶ ξυνίης; - οὐδέ γ' ἐς θυμὸν φέρω. S. El. 1347.

ίδων ... των τινα Λυδων ... καταβάντα ... ἐφράσθη καὶ ἐς θυμὸν ἐβάλετο. Hdt. 1. 84. 4; also 7. 51. 3; 8. 68. γ.

7 Much more often $\theta\nu\mu\delta$ s comes to mean strength of mind, determination, spirit. We might sometimes translate in English by heart, but this is of course ambiguous.

ώς δὲ ἴδεν νεῦρόν τε καὶ ὅγκους ἐκτὸς ἐόντας ἄψορρόν οἱ θυμὸς ἐνὶ στήθεσσιν ἀγέρθη. Il. 4. 152.

It is clear that this does not mean 'he recovered consciousness', but when he realised that the wound was superficial his spirits rose again.

οἶσθα καὶ αὐτή, οἷον κείνου θυμὸς ὑπερφίαλος καὶ ἀπηνής. II. 15. 94. τάρβησαν, πᾶσιν δὲ παραὶ ποσὶ κάππεσε θυμός. II. 15. 280.

As we might say, their hearts were in their boots. If Il. 13. 280 does not belong with the examples in 4 (see 5 above), it must be placed here.

τείρετο δ' ἀνδρῶν θυμὸς ὑπ' ἐρεσίης ἀλεγεινῆς. Od. 10. 78.

πίνετε οίνον

είς ő κεν αὖτις θυμὸν ἐνὶ στήθεσσι λάβητε. Od. 10. 461.

μή μ' ἄσαισι μηδ' ὀνίαισι δάμνα, πότνια, θῦμον. Sapph. 1. 4.

κείνος αἰνείν καὶ τὸν ἐχθρόν παντὶ θυμῷ σύν τε δίκα καλὰ ῥέζοντ' ἔννεπεν. Pi. P. 9. 96.

ὥσπερ γὰρ ἵππος εὐγενής, κἃν ἡ γέρων, ἐν τοῖσι δεινοῖς θυμὸν οὐκ ἀπώλεσεν. S. El. 26.

θάρσει τε τούτου εΐνεκα καὶ θυμὸν έχε ἀγαθόν.

Hdt. 1. 120. 3; 3. 85. 2; 7. 52. 2.

έσσωμένοι ἦσαν τῷ θυμῷ 'they had been disheartened'.

Hdt. 8. 130. 3.

θυμ $\hat{\omega}$ καὶ ρώμη τὸ πλέον ἐναυμάχουν ἢ ἐπιστήμη 'they conducted the seafight with more spirit and brute force than skill'.

Th. 1. 49. 3.

οὐ γὰρ οὐδεὶς πώποτ' αὐτῶν τοὺς ἐναντίους ἰδῶν ἠρίθμησεν, ἀλλ' ὁ θυμὸς εὐθὺς ἦν Άμυνίας. Ar. Eq. 570. ἐστὶ θυμὸς ἵππω ὅπερ ὀργὴ ἀνθρώπω. X. Eq. 9.2.

In the plural, of people in general:

θωπείας κολακικάς, αι ... τοὺς θυμοὺς ποιοῦσιν κηρίνους. Pl. Lg. 633d.

8 We can place next the sense of $\theta\nu\mu\delta\varsigma$ as the seat of the emotions, where pleasure, grief, fear, anger, etc. are felt. LSJ places the seat of anger in the same section as anger itself, which is misleading. It clearly belongs here. The obvious English translation is again heart, but mind is also possible.

χωόμενον κατά θυμόν. ΙΙ. 1. 429.

έπεί μιν ἄχος κραδίην καὶ θυμὸν ἴκανεν. Il. 2. 171.

ἐμῷ κεχαρισμένε θυμῷ. Il. 5. 243.

δείσε δ' ὄ γ' ἐν θυμῷ. Il. 8. 138.

θυμός ἐνὶ στήθεσσι γεγήθει. ΙΙ. 9. 343.

 $\epsilon \tilde{v}voov$

θῦμον σκέθοντες. Alc. 129.10 (L-P).

γυνά ...

έκ θυμοῦ στέργοισα κασίγνητόν τε πόσιν τε. Theoc. 17. 130.

9 This needs to be distinguished from the mind as the spring of action, will. This is used by Plato to provide an etymology for ἐπιθυμία:

οὐδ' "ἐπιθυμία" χαλεπόν τῆ γὰρ ἐπὶ τὸν θυμὸν ἰούση δυνάμει δῆλον ὅτι τοῦτο ἐκλήθη τὸ ὄνομα. Pl. Cra. 419d.

Otherwise this use seems to be mainly confined to verse:

φεῦγε μάλ', εἴ τοι θυμὸς ἐπέσσυται. ΙΙ. 1. 173.

πιέειν ὅτε θυμὸς ἀνώγοι. ΙΙ. 4. 263.

βαλέειν δέ έ ιετο θυμός. ΙΙ. 8. 301.

ἔτι δ' ἤθελε θυμῷ

εἰσιδέειν ... φύλοπιν αἰνήν. ΙΙ. 16. 255.

τον Fον θυμον αιτιάμενος. Alc. 358 (L-P).

κώττι μοι μάλιστα θέλω γένεσθαι μαινόλα θύμω. Sapph. 1. 18 (L-P).

δη τότ' ές γαΐαν πορεύεν θυμός ώρμα Ιστρίαν νιν. Pi. O. 3. 25.

τὰ θυμῷ βουλόμενοι αὐτοὶ ἄν ἔχοιτε. 'if you really want them, you can have them.' Hdt. 5. 49. 4.

Μήδεια ...

έρωτι θυμὸν ἐκπλαγεῖσ' Ἰάσονος. Ε. Med. 8.

διέφαινεν ή κατήφεια τὸν θυμὸν οὐ τεταπεινωμένον. Plu. Mar. 41.6.

10 This needs to be distinguished from a particular impulse of the will, desire, appetite, wish:

ἔνα θυμὸν ἔχοντες. Il. 15. 710; cf. 22. 263.
 ἔτερος δέ με θυμὸς ἔρυκεν. Od. 9. 302.

So later θυμός ἐστί μοι and similar phrases meaning I have a wish to:

σε γάρ μοι

θῦμος ὔμνην. Alc. 308. 2(b) (L-P).

ἄρχ' αὐτὸς ὥς σοι θυμός. S. El. 1319.

ωνέεσθαι των φορτίων των σφι ήν θυμός μάλιστα.

Hdt. 1. 1. 4.

η άλλως σφι θυμός έγενέετο θεήσασθαι τὸν πόλεμον.

Hdt. 8. 116. 2.

βήξαί τε θυμός αὐτοῖς ἐγγίνεται. Hp. Prog. 8.

ἀπελαύνετε ὅποι ὑμῖν θυμός. X. Cyr. 3. 1. 37.

So with prepositions, ἀπὸ θυμοῦ, πρὸς θυμόν, as one might wish:

άλλ' ἀπὸ θυμοῦ

μάλλον έμοὶ έσεαι. Il. 1. 562. κήνων ὁ φύσγων οὐ διελέξατο πρὸς θῦμον. Alc. 129. 22 (L-P).

II In 8 above we have assembled the examples where $\theta v \mu \delta s$ means the seat of the emotions. From this it naturally extends to mean the emotion itself; but in practice it is not any emotion, but specifically anger, passion. LSJ, as noted above, confuses this with II 4 'the seat of anger'. The sense of anger is the predominant one in prose writers, and survives into modern Greek.

η το χόλον παύσειεν ερητύσειε τε θυμόν. II. 1. 193. θυμὸς δε μέγας εστὶ διοτρεφέων βασιλήων. II. 2. 196. ἀλλ', Άχιλεῦ, δάμασον θυμὸν μέγαν· οὐδε τί σε χρη νηλεες ήτορ εχειν. II. 9. 496. εἴξας ῷ θυμῷ.

II. 9. 598; cf. S. Ant. 718 (whether θυμοῦ or θυμῷ is read).
μὴ πάντα ἡλικίη καὶ θυμῷ ἐπίτρεπε, ἀλλ' ἴσχε καὶ κατα-λάμβανε σεωυτόν. Hdt. 3. 36. 1.

ονείδεα κατιόντα ανθρώπω φιλέει ἐπανάγειν τὸν θυμόν.

Hdt. 7. 160. 1.

εἰσὶ χἀτέροις γοναὶ κακαὶ καὶ θυμὸς ὀξύς, ἀλλὰ νουθετούμενοι φίλων ἐπῳδαῖς ἐξεπάδονται φύσιν. S. OC 1193.

οἱ λογισμῷ ἐλάχιστα χρώμενοι θυμῷ πλεῖστα ἐς ἔργον καθίστανται. Th. 2. 11. 7; cf. Plu. Publ. 9. 4.

θυμός δε κρείσσων των εμών βουλευμάτων. Ε. Med. 1079.

τὸν θυμὸν δακών. Αr. Nu. 1369; V. 567.

οί τῷ θυμῷ πραχθέντες φόνοι 'murders committed in anger'.

Pl. Lg. 867b.

σβέσαντες τὸν θυμόν. Pl. Lg. 888a.

πλεύσαντες έπὶ τὴν Λακωνικὴν ... ἐκτείναι τὸν θυμὸν, ἀρχὴν πολλῶν κακῶν. And. 3. 31.

ἐπλήσθησαν πάντες θυμοῦ ... ἀκούοντες ταῦτα. Ευ. Luc. 4.28.

150 ἱερός

πίεται ἐκ τοῦ οἴνου τοῦ θυμοῦ τοῦ θεοῦ. Αρος. 14. 10. ὁ μὲν Ρωμύλου θυμὸς εἰς ἔργον ἐξέπεσε. Plu. Rom. Thes. 3. 2.

The phrase ἀποπιμπλάναι or πληροῦν τὸν θυμόν means to satisfy or appease anger:

τά τε ἄλλα γάρ μιν κρίνειν εὖ καὶ δὴ καὶ τῷ ἐπιμεμφομένῳ ἐκ τῆς δίκης παρ' ἐωυτοῦ διδόντα ἄλλα ἀποπιμπλάναι αὐτοῦ τὸν θυμόν. 'that he was in other respects a fair judge and in particular satisfied the anger of one who complained about his judgment by giving him other things out of his own property'.

Hdt. 2. 129. 2.

θυμουμένοις τε οὖν ὑπείκειν δεῖ καὶ ἀποπιμπλᾶσι τὸν θυμὸν ... συγγιγνώσκοντα. Pl. Lg. 717d.

πληρών τὸν θυμόν. ΡΙ. R. 465a.

In the plural it will mean instances of anger:

περὶ φόβων τε καὶ θυμῶν καὶ πάντων τῶν τοιούτων. Pl. Phlb. 40e.

ἐπὶ τούτοις που οἴ τε θυμοὶ γίγνονται καὶ αἰ κολάσεις. Pl. Prt. 323e.

καὶ οἱ θυμοὶ ὀξεῖς μὲν ἀσθενεῖς δέ εἰσιν. Arist. Rh. 1390"11.

ίερός

It is not easy to see the pattern which underlies the various meanings of this word, and the haphazard arrangement adopted by LSJ is unhelpful. English sacred clearly covers many of the senses, but this needs to be more closely defined. I have chosen to start with the value which is most nearly 'concrete', where it is applied to property belonging to the gods. This has the advantage of being also the earliest proven value, since the Mycenaean texts have the expression

e-ne-ka ku-ru-so-jo i-je-ro-jo = ἔνεκα χρυσοῖο ίεροῖο Pylos Ac 303.

This must refer to gold in the ownership of a deity. This also seems to be the best starting-point from which to demonstrate the ἱερός 151

development of the known senses, and preferable to a metaphysical concept such as *holy*, since the general tendency of language is always to extend physical or concrete meanings to include mental or metaphysical concepts. However, it cannot be excluded that a word of this type may have started in the metaphysical sphere and been later extended to more mundane objects.

- It is believed that $i\epsilon\rho\delta s$ developed a generalised sense of mighty, powerful, etc. I hope to be able to show that although possible, this is not by any means necessary, and I shall therefore endeavour to explain all the examples known to me by reference to the startingpoint I have indicated. The diversity of meaning has caused the etymologists to speculate about the possibility that the word as we know it is in origin a conflation of two or even three separate words. Chantraine (DELG) has an excellent account of the question, to which I wish only to add that if I can show that it is unnecessary to suppose plural origins, some at least of this speculation can be set aside. But it is worth remarking that the dialectal diversity the word displays may well have resulted from this source. The form ίερός is found in Mycenaean, Attic, Arcadian, and Cypriot; ἱαρός in West Greek (not merely North-West Greek, where the change of ερ to αρ is regular); ίρός in Aeolic and some Ionic dialects. There is evidence that psilotic forms were in use where psilosis was not general; these may have been the result of *iharos not yet having shifted the aspirate to the initial position. There are also cases where the initial vowel is long, even though there is no obvious reason for metrical lengthening in a word of this shape. Sanskrit işirá- may correspond exactly to ίαρός, but cannot to ίερός. It is clear that in this case the etymological approach must be subordinated to the contextual.
- 3 Apart from Mycenaean the sense belonging to a deity, in divine ownership is attested by some of the earliest alphabetic inscriptions, frequently found on objects dedicated in a temple. It may be followed by the name of the owner in the genitive case.

```
hιαρὸν Ἀπόλ(λ)ōνος Καρυκεξίο.

LSAG pl. 7. 5 (Boeotia, vii BC).

τονυαλίο ἰαρά. LSAG pl. 26. 2 (Argos, vii BC).
```

So in Homer and later literature:

καὶ κλυτὸν ἄλσος ἵκοντο

ίρον Άθηναίης. Od. 6. 322.

ίερας ανα βήσσας

Κίρκης. Od. 10. 275.

ίεροις εν δώμασι Κίρκης. Od. 10. 426.

άντρον ... ίρον νυμφάων. Od. 13. 104.

ές όλιγωρίαν ετράποντο καὶ ίερῶν καὶ όσίων όμοίως.

Th. 2. 52. 3 (cf. note on ooios); X. Vect. 5. 4.

ἐάν τε ἱερὰ χρήματα ἢ ἐν τῆ πόλει, ταῦτα ἀναλώσει.

Pl. R. 568d.

χηνες ιεροί (on the Capitol at Rome). Plu. 2. 325c.

So of persons, i.e. ἱερόδουλοι:

ίερὸν τὸ σῶμα τῷ θεῷ δίδωμ' ἔχειν. Ε. Ιοη 1285. καὶ τῶν ἱερῶν σωμάτων ἐκλέλοιπε τὸ πλῆθος. Str. 6. 2. 6.

Humorously:

έγω δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς ἡγοῦμαι ὁμόδουλός τε εἶναι τῶν κύκνων καὶ ἱερὸς τοῦ αὐτοῦ θεοῦ. Pl. Phd. 85b.

4 An obvious development from the sense 'owned by a god' is to the more general one of associated with religious cult, religious:

άγειν δ' ίερὴν έκατόμβην. Il. 1. 99; 1. 431.

ίεροὺς κατὰ βωμούς 'at the altars of the gods'. Il. 2. 305.

θύρας $i\epsilon ροῖο δόμοιο$ 'the doors of the temple'. Il. 6. 89.

αλλων τ' άθανάτων ίερον γένος αίεν εόντων.

Hes. Th. 21; 57; 93.

διφασίοισι δὲ γράμμασι χρέονται (οἱ Αἰγύπτιοι), καὶ τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν ἱρὰ, τὰ δὲ δημοτικὰ καλέεται. Hdt. 2. 36. 4.

ἔστι δὲ περὶ αὐτῶν (sc. ἐρινέων εἰμάτων) ἱρὸς λόγος λεγόμενος. Hdt. 2. 81. 2; cf. Pl. Ep. 335a.

δαιμόνων

ἀγάλμαθ' ίερά. S. OT 1379.

ἐκλέλοιπ' ήδη τὸν ἱερὸν τρίποδα καὶ χρηστήριον Ξοῦθος ... ; Ε. Ιοη 512.

τὴν ἱερὰν ... τριήρη i.e. sent to Delos for the festival. D. 4. 34.

ίερός 153

ἔθεσθ' ἱερὸν νόμον αὐτῷ τῷ θεῷ περὶ τῆς ἱερομηνίας. D. 21. 35.

ότι ἀπὸ βρέφους ίερὰ γράμματα οίδας. 2 Ep. Tim. 3. 15.

The connexion with religion is sometimes remote:

Λακεδαιμόνιοι δὲ μετὰ ταῦτα τὸν ἱερὸν καλούμενον πόλεμον ἐστράτευσαν. Th. 1. 112. 5; Ar. Av. 556.

5 A special sense is where the word is applied to towns and other places which are regarded as under divine protection, and thus sacred, holy:

Τλιον ἰρήν. II. 5. 648; Alc. 424 (L-P).
ὄφρ' οἶοι Τροίης ἱερὰ κρήδεμνα λύωμεν. II. 16. 100.
Σούνιον ἱρόν. Od. 3. 278.
ἐς γουνὸν Ἀθηνάων ἱεράων.
Οd. 11. 323; Pi. fr. 75. 5; S. Aj. 1221.
Πύλου ἱερῆς. Od. 21. 108.
Θήβας ἐξ ἱερᾶς. Sapph. 44. 6 (L-P).
ὧ πολιοῦχε Παλλάς, ὧ
τῆς ἱερωτάτης ...

With a curious adaptation of the Homeric formula discussed in 9 below:

Άρτέμιδος χρυσαόρου ίερον ἀκτήν 'the holy shore of Artemis of the golden sword'. Oracle in Hdt. 8. 77. 1.

Used as part of a proper name:

μεδέουσα χώρας. Ar. Eq. 582.

έξ ὅρεος ἱροῦ. Hdt. 1. 80. 1. τὰς δὲ ἄλλας (νήσους) ... Διδύμην καὶ Στρογγύλην καὶ Ίεράν. Th. 3. 88. 2; Plb. 1. 60.3.

Τερὰ ἄκρα καὶ αἱ Χελιδόνιαι. Str. 14. 3. 8.

ίόντες ... τὴν ἱρὴν ὁδὸν διὰ Φωκέων τε καὶ Βοιωτῶν ἤισαν. Hdt. 6. 34. 2.

ἰοῦσι δὲ ἐπ' Ἐλευσῖνα ἐξ Άθηνῶν ἣν Άθηναῖοι καλοῦσιν ὁδὸν ἱεράν. Paus. 1. 36. 3.

154 ἱερός

6 An easy step from this is the development to mean more generally placed under divine protection, dedicated:

```
ώς δ' ἄνεμος ἄχνας φορέει ίερὰς κατ' ἀλωὰς ἀνδρῶν λικμώντων. Il. 5. 499.
```

It is a little surprising to find this epithet applied to anything as humdrum as a threshing-floor; but it is perhaps associated with the application to crops (see 9), and harvesting is often accompanied by religious rituals. Boundary marks are often inscribed $i\epsilon\rho\delta s$, possibly because they are all under the protection of $Z\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ $O\rho\iota\sigma s$, but so far as I know this word is only used when the adjacent land is the property of or otherwise consecrated to a deity. They are sometimes further defined as $\check{\alpha}\sigma\nu\lambda\sigma s$; e.g.

ορος ίερὸς ἄσυλος Άρτέμιδος Σαρδιανής.

See P. Hermann, Chiron 19 (1989) 133, and further L. Robert, Hellenica 6. 33ff. Even in modern times the Book of Common Prayer (1662) contains in the Commination Service for Ash Wednesday the imprecation 'Cursed is he that removeth his neighbour's land-mark'.

```
ὄρFos hιαρὸς τᾶς Ἀκρίας (sc. Ἡρας).

Schwyzer 135. 2 (Corcyra, v Bc).

τοὺς μὲν (ὄρως) ... ἐπιγεγραμμένως hιαρὼς Διονύσω χώρων.

Ταb. Heracl. 1. 74.
```

So we have Xenophon quoting a stele erected outside a temple:

```
ίερὸς ὁ χώρος τῆς Άρτέμιδος. Χ. Απ. 5. 3. 13.
```

In the examples quoted the boundary mark is delimiting the property of a deity, but it is possible that it may be used also where the area is placed under divine protection without legally being the property of a deity.

7 A proverbial expression describes advice $(\sigma \nu \mu \beta \sigma \nu \lambda \hat{\eta})$ as $i \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ Pl. Thg. 122b. This may be, as LSJ suggests, because it was regarded as a sacred duty. It is more likely that it was felt to be under divine protection, because, as a speaker reported by Xenophon said, advice was given under oath with the same rewards, if honestly, and penalties, if not honestly, given.

ίερός

ἐπευξάμενος εἶπεν ὧδε· Εἰ μὲν ξυμβουλεύοιμι ἃ βέλτιστά μοι δοκεῖ, πολλά μοι καὶ ἀγαθὰ γένοιτο· εἰ δὲ μὴ, τἀναντία. αὕτη γὰρ ἡ ἱερὰ ξυμβουλὴ λεγομένη εἶναι δοκεῖ μοι παρεῖναι· νῦν γὰρ δὴ ἄν μὲν εὖ ξυμβουλεύσας φανῶ, πολλοὶ ἔσονται οἱ ἐπαινοῦντές με, ἄν δὲ κακῶς, πολλοὶ ἔσεσθε οἱ καταρώμενοι.
Χ. Απ. 5. 6. 4.

Other references are Ar. fr. 33 (37 Bl, 104 D, 39 B); Pl. Ep. 321c; Luc. Rh. Pr. 1.

8 It is well known that the Greeks regarded most natural objects and phenomena as manifestations of divine power. Hence the term ιερός was freely applied in early Greek to water in its various forms, possibly as being essential for life, so frequently of streams and rivers, which were regarded as minor deities; but also of the sea, presumably because this was the domain of Poseidon. We may thus define its sense here as numinous.

ίερον ρόον Άλφειοίο. II. 11. 236. ἐκ θ' ίερῶν ποταμῶν. Od. 10. 351. σὰν λιπὼν ίερὰν λιβάδ'. S. Ph. 1215. ἱρὰς ἐξ ἀειρύτου χοὰς κρήνης ἐνεγκοῦ. S. OC 469. ἄνω ποταμῶν ίερῶν χωροῦσι παγαί. Ε. Med. 410.

Of rain:

τὸ μήτε γῆ μήτ' ὅμβρος ἱερὸς μήτε φῶς προσδέξεται. S. ΟΤ 1428. ἵνα δρόσοι τέγγουσ' ἱεραί. Ε. Ιοη 117.

Of the sea:

Έρυθρᾶς ἷερὸν χεῦμα Θαλάσσης. Α. fr. 323 Μ. μὰ τὴν Καλυψὼ τάς τε Νηρέως κόρας, τά θ' ἷερὰ κύματ' ἰχθύων τε πᾶν γένος. Ε. Cyc. 265; Hipp. 1206.

9 The idea of immanent divinity was extended to other natural products and phenomena. In a famous, if obscure, Homeric formula it is used of grain. Partly because of its scansion, it has been supposed that this is a different word; but the idea of the

156 Ιερός

crops as the gift of a deity has always been potent and is by no means dead today. Modern Greek poets have used the term 'blessed' of wheat (το βλογημένο στάρι).

ήδε μέλι χλωρὸν, παρὰ δ' ἀλφίτου ίεροῦ ἀκτήν. ΙΙ. 11. 631.

This was modified, perhaps ignorantly, by Hesiod to:

Δημήτερος ίερον άκτήν. Hes. Op. 597; 805.

as if leρόs could be used with two terminations only; for a further variant on this theme see 5 above. Likewise an olive-tree, as sacred to Athena:

ίερης παρά πυθμέν' έλαίας. Od. 13. 372.

Of a sea-bird:

ίαρὸς ὄρνις. Alcm. 26 P.

Rather different is its use of natural phenomena such as as day and night:

ὄφρα μὲν ἡὼς ἡν καὶ ἀέξετο ἱερὸν ἡμαρ. 11. 8. 66 ὅτ' ἂν φάος ἱερὸν ἔλθη. Hes. Op. 339. ἐπὶ κνέφας ἱερὸν ἔλθη. 11. 11. 194.

Note that in the last three examples the $\bar{\iota}$ is again long.

10 Another Homeric phrase which has spilled a sea of ink is the $i\epsilon\rho\delta s$ $i\chi\theta\delta s$; but it needs to be observed that the context is that of fishing. The fish is given to mankind as food by Poseidon, and this is enough to justify the use of this term:

ἔλκε δὲ δουρὸς ἐλὼν ὑπὲρ ἄντυγος, ὡς ὅτε τις φὼς
 πέτρη ἔπι προβλῆτι καθήμενος ἱερὸν ἰχθὺν
 ἐκ πόντοιο θυράζε λίνω καὶ ἥνοπι χαλκῷ. II. 16. 407.

The ancients thought that $i\epsilon\rho\delta s$ here indicated a particular species of fish and offered various guesses:

ὅπου δ' ἂν ἀνθίας ἢ οὐκ ἔστι θηρίον· ῷ καὶ σημείῳ χρώμενοι κατακολυμβῶσιν οἱ σπογγεῖς, καὶ καλοῦσιν ἱεροὺς ἰχθῦς τούτους. 'Where the anthias is, no savage creature is found; so using this as a sign, sponge-fishers dive and call these sacred fish.' Arist. HA 620b35.

ίερός 157

For more guesses on this subject see Ath. 7. 282e, Plu. 2. 981d, and Call. fr. 394 Pf.

II It now becomes clear how ἱερός developed the sense not to be used for profane purposes, inviolable, untouchable, sacred. For instance, the chariot driven by Automedon is so called because the horses that pulled it were divine, and it was able to cut through the battle unscathed, though the driver alone could not also hurl a spear:

οὖ γάρ πως ἦν οἶον ἐόνθ' ἱερῷ ἐνὶ δίφρῳ ἔγχει ἐφορμᾶσθαι καὶ ἐπίσχειν ὠκέας ἵππους. Il. 17. 464. τὰς δὲ θηλέας (βοῦς) οὕ σφι ἔξεστι θύειν, ἀλλ' ἱραί εἰσι τῆς Ἱσιος. Hdt. 2. 41. 1; 2. 42. 5. ἱερὸς γὰρ οὖτος τῶν κατὰ χθονὸς θεῶν ὅτου τοδ' ἔγχος κρατὸς ἀγνίσῃ τρίχα. (Mors loquitur) Ε. Alc. 75.

—δὸς σύ μοι τὸ τριβώνιον ... —μὴ δῆθ'· ἱερὸν γάρ ἐστι τοῦ Πλούτου πάλαι. Ar. Pl. 937. γῆ μὲν οὖν ἐστία τε οἰκήσεως ἱερὰ πᾶσι πάντων θεῶν. Pl. Lg. 955e.

έκ παντός ίεροῦ καὶ βεβήλου τόπου. D. H. 7. 8. 4.

This sense can be used of persons who are protected by their office, such as kings, princes, heralds, etc.

οί δὲ γέροντες ἥατ' ἐπὶ ξεστοῖσι λίθοις ἱερῷ ἐνὶ κύκλω, σκῆπτρα δὲ κηρύκων ἐν χέρσ' ἔχον ἠεροφώνων. Il. 18. 504. βασιλέες ἱεροί. Pi. P. 5. 97.

So perhaps:

ήκω γὰρ ἱερὸς εὐσεβής τε καὶ φέρων ὄνησιν ἀστοῖς τοῖσδ'. S. OC 287.

This will now produce an explanation for the formulae with is and $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ as a periphrasis for the person found in the Odyssey, since the three persons to whom they are applied are Telemachus, Alcinous, and Antinous.

ίερὴ τη Τηλεμάχοιο. Od. 2. 409 (and six other examples). ἱερὸν μένος Ἀλκινόοιο. Od. 8. 2 (five other examples). ίερός

ίερον μένος Άντινόοιο. Od. 18. 34.

The formula was then extended by a later poet to the sun:

ίερον μένος ήελίοιο. h. Ap. 371.

Later still $\iota \epsilon \rho \delta s$ was used to denote persons given special status as sacred:

Γναθίο το σφυχ $[\dot{\epsilon}]$ (= ψυχή) ὅλετ' ϵ[ν δαΐ]· hιερός ϵἰμι το Η $\bar{\epsilon}$ ροιάδο. IG τ². 920.

hιαρός Χαροπ[î]νος. Schwyzer 66 (Messenia, iv BC).

ό γραμματεύς τῶν συνέδρων τοὺς γενηθέντας ἱεροὺς ὁρκιξάτω παραχρῆμα. Schwyzer 74. 1 (Andania, i BC).

This too will perhaps explain the dialogue in the *Frogs* between Aeacus and the slave Xanthias, when Aeacus is testing his alleged divinity by beating him:

—τί τἀτταταῖ; μῶν ὦδυνήθης; —οὖ μὰ Δί' ἀλλ' ἐφρόντισα ὁπόθ' Ἡράκλεια τἀν Διομείοις γίγνεται. —ἄνθρωπος ἱερός. δεῦρο πάλιν βαδιστέον. Ar. Ra. 652.

This might of course mean pious, but in the absence of any evidence to support this, it would seem preferable to make it mean 'under divine protection'. Significantly the translators of the Res Gestae Divi Augusti chose iepós to translate Latin sacrosanctus.

ἴνα ίερὸς ὧ [καὶ] δ[ιὰ βίου] τὴν δημαρχικὴν ἔχω έξουσίαν. Μοπ. Απε. Gr. 5. 17.

So too:

έφη γὰρ ἱερὸν τὸν δήμαρχον εἶναι καὶ ἄσυλον. Plu. TG 15. 2.

In imperial times the superlative was used as an honorific title, being applied to imperial officials and institutions as well as the emperor himself.

τῷ ἱερωτάτῳ τοῦ κρατίστου ἡγέμονος Γαΐου Σεπτιμίου Οὖεγέθου βήματι. P. Hambr. 4. 8. (i AD).

έπὶ τοῦ ἱερωτάτου σου ταμείου ὡφελεία. SIG 888. 10 (iii AD).

12 It is not at first sight easy to distinguish the last sense from that which now follows. The criterion is whether the inviolability described is of a genuinely religious or purely human nature. It is ἱερός 159

twice used in Homer of sentries, where commentators have been inclined to take it as meaning merely strong; but the sense of inviolable or at least which ought not to be violated fits better.

αι κ' έθέλησιν

έλθειν ές φυλάκων ίερον τέλος ήδ' επιτείλαι. ΙΙ. 10. 56.

όπως Πρίαμον βασιλήα

νηών ἐκπέμψειε λαθών ἱερούς πυλαωρούς. ΙΙ. 24. 681.

Perhaps the same idea lies behind the description of the army at the funeral of Achilles in the last book of the Odyssey:

μέγαν καὶ ἀμύμονα τύμβον χεύαμεν Άργείων ἱερὸς στρατὸς αἰχμητάων. Od. 24. 81.

Again in a military context we find Plato using the superlative:

τοὺς νομοφύλακας συλλέξαντες εἰς χωρίον ὡς ἱερώτατόν τε καὶ ἱκανώτατον καθίσαι, χωρὶς μὲν τοὺς ὁπλίτας, χωρὶς δὲ τους ἱππέας ... Pl. Lg. 755e.

In contexts which seem to exclude religious dedication:

παρακαταθήκην είναι μεγίστην ήγούμενοι καὶ ίερωτάτην.

ἢ δέ τί κα πάθει Άθανοδώρα, παρμενῖ Άνδρικὸς τὸν περιττὸν χρόνον πὰρ Δωΐλον, ἔπιτα ἱαρὸς ἔστω μεὶ ποθίκων μειθενὶ μειθέν. 'If anything happens to Athanodora, Andricus is to remain for the remaining period with Doïlus, and then let him be inviolate, in no wise belonging to anyone.'

Schwyzer, 509. 19 (Lebadea, iii BC); cf. 511. 2.

For a possible development of this sense, see the note on $\gamma \epsilon \rho \delta s$.

13 In later Greek we find the expression ιερὰ ἄγκυρα as the name of the reserve anchor carried by a ship, and therefore used only in a dire emergency.

ἄγκυρα ίερὰ, ή χωρὶς ἀνάγκης οὐ χρώνται. Poll. 1. 93.

This is clearly an extension of the sense *inviolable*, *untouchable*. It is, however, most often used figuratively, of something which is the *last resort*.

τὴν ἐπίπονον καὶ μοχθηρὰν ὄντως ὥσπερ τινὰ ἱερὰν ἄγκυραν εἰς ἄπαντα πάθη διαρκῆ παραληψόμεθα λιμοκτονίαν.

Gal. 11. 182.

160 Ιερός

ἄκουσον ἥδη τὴν ἱερὰν, φασίν, ἄγκυραν καὶ ἣν οὐδεμία μηχανῆ ἀπορρήξεις. Luc. J. Tr. 51; Fug. 13.

So with σκεύος instead of ἄγκυρα:

μηδ' ὥσπερ ἐν πλοίῳ σκεῦος ἱερὸν ἀποκεῖσθαι τὰς ἐσχάτας περιμένοντα χρείας τῆς πόλεως καὶ τύχας.

Plu. 2. 812c; cf. 815d.

14 Rather similar is $l\epsilon\rho\delta\nu$ $\delta\sigma\tau o\hat{\nu}\nu = os\ sacrum$, the lowest of the vertebrae making up the spine. Many fanciful explanations have been offered of this name in both ancient and modern times. I rather like that attributed to Alex. Monro in 1732: 'from being offered as a dainty bit in sacrifice'. But it is clearly a special sense of *untouchable*, seeing that it is an essential part of the skeleton, so it belongs with the anchor.

ἀπὸ μὲν τοῦ ἱεροῦ ὀστέου ἄχρι τοῦ μεγάλου σπονδύλου. Hp. Art. 45.

Likewise the spinal canal:

ή μέντοι διὰ τῶν σφονδύλων πορεία, δι' ἢν ὁ μύελος τέταται, σωλὴν καλεῖται, καὶ ἱερὰ σῦριγξ. Poll. 2. 180.

In games and sports we can trace also the same sense of *last resort*, which we see in anchors. It appears in a list of throws of dice, Eub. 57. 1. The feminine $i\epsilon\rho\dot{a}$ (sc. $\gamma\rho\alpha\mu\mu\dot{\eta}$) was the name of a line on the gaming board, presumably that from which pieces were only moved by necessity. This at least is Eustathius' explanation of a fragment of Alcaeus:

κινήσαις τὸν ἀπ' ἴρας †πυκινὸν† λίθον. Alc. 351 (L-P).

In a figurative phrase:

ή βουλή (sc. the Roman senate), καθάπερ εν χειμώνι πολλώ καὶ κλύδωνι της πόλεως, ἄρασα την ἀφ' ίερας ἀφήκεν.

Plu. Cor. 32.

Likewise of victories or crowns, unattainable because of a dead heat:

ἀνδρίαντα ... ἐπιγραφὴν ἔχοντα τήν τε τῶν ἄλλων ἀγώνων μαρτυρίαν καὶ δηλοῦσαν ὑπὲρ τῆς ἱερᾶς, ἣν μόνος ἀπ' αἰῶνος ἀνδρῶν ἐποίησεν. SIG 1073. 48.

Ιπνός 161

άλλ' ώς ἄν ἀπαθῶς κἀήττητοί τινες ἄνδρες, ἱερὸν ἐποίησαν τὸν στέφανον. Plb. 1. 58. 5; 29. 8. 9.

16 I have left to last the famous leρà νόσος, because it is evident that the ancients themselves were uncertain how the disease acquired its name. It is well known that it was a popular name for epilepsy, but it does not seem in origin to have been restricted to that.

τήν τε οἴησιν ἱερὰν νόσον ἔλεγε (Ηράκλειτος) καὶ τὴν ὅρασιν ψεύδεσθαι. Heracl. fr. 46.

Here οἴησις is said to mean 'self-conceit'; Diehl translates Eigendünkel. But by the time of Herodotus the name was being used for epilepsy.

καὶ γάρ τινα καὶ ἐκ γενεῆς νοῦσον μεγάλην λέγεται ἔχειν ὁ Καμβύσης, τὴν ἱρὴν ὀνομάζουσί τινες. Hdt. 3. 33.

This was then adopted as the title of a famous Hippocratic work $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ $i\epsilon\rho\eta s$ $\nu o \nu \sigma o v$. But its author makes it plain that the name was not given because it was a divine visitation:

αὕτη δὲ ἡ νοῦσος ἡ ἱερὴ καλουμένη ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν προφασίων γίνεται ἀφ' ὧν καὶ αἱ λοιπαί. Hp. Morb. Sacr. 21.

It would therefore appear that it was more likely named as being untouchable, i.e. *incurable*, and then popularly misinterpreted as meaning 'sent by the gods'. At all events *epilepsy* became its normal sense; e.g. Thphr. HP 9. 11. 3; Call. fr. 75 Pf.

17 To sum up, the need for any sense not related to sacred has not been proved, though it is hardly possible to be sure now what exactly Homer meant in some of his formulae; he may not have known himself. I would suggest that this re-arrangement of the senses allows us to see coherent lines of development, and to understand better the way the uses came into existence.

ἐπνός

It is obvious that this word is associated with the domestic use of fire, but we must not fall into the trap of supposing that it meant the same thing to all Greeks at all periods. The earliest use is on a

Mycenaean clay tablet of xiii (?xiv) BC at Knossos (Uc 160.v), where the spelling *i-po-no* is followed by a schematic representation of a shallow bowl:

The most probable interpretation of this is of a coarse earthenware vessel which can be placed on a hearth inverted and covered in hot embers in order to bake its contents. This is the object known in later Greek as $\kappa\rho i\beta avos$ or $\kappa\lambda i\beta avos$; the variation in form may point to a foreign origin for the word. But evidence that it could in later times too be made of earthenware comes from the compound $i\pi vo-\pi\lambda i\theta os$ Pl. Tht. 147a. However, that passage distinguishes three types of clay, that used by potters, makers of $i\pi voi$, and brickmakers ($\pi\lambda iv\theta ov\rho yoi$), so this is something larger than an ordinary domestic utensil (see further 4 below). A passage of Hippocrates which refers to $i\pi voi$ $i\sigma t\rho a\kappa a$ (Hp. Morb. 2. 47, p. 68L) may perhaps be used to support this, but here again the reference is probably to a kiln.

2 It would be expected that with the development of kitchentechnology the simple 'Dutch oven' described above would be replaced by a larger structure, built into the kitchen and offering a safe place for lighting a fire and a closed area in which food could be placed for baking. The exact sense cannot be deduced from this passage:

μαρτύριον δέ οἱ εἶναι ὡς ἀληθέα ταῦτα λέγει, ὅτι ἐπὶ ψυχρὸν τὸν ἰπνὸν Περίανδρος τοὺς ἄρτους ἐπέβαλε. 'Periander had put his loaves into a cold oven.' Hdt. 5. 92. η. 2.

Nor am I sure what to infer from a curious comic fragment:

δρών μέν ἄρτους λευκοσωμάτους ἰπνὸν καταμπέχοντας ἐν πυκναῖς διεξόδοις, δρών δὲ μορφὴν κριβάνοις ἠλλαγμένους ...
Αntiphanes 176. 4 (= 174 K-A).

Similarly the following tell us only that it was used for cooking:

όμῶς κροκόδειλον ἂν ὀπτὸν δασσαίμην ἀπ' ἐπνοῦ, τερπνὸν παίδεσσιν Ἰώνων. Archestratus fr. 46. 4 (Ath. 7. 319d). τὰ δ(ἐ κάρυα) ἐν τοῖς ἐπνοῖς φρυγόμενα ὀλιγότροφα. Diphilus Siphnius (Ath. 2. 54a). Ιπνός 163

There are also references which make it plain that it contained some sort of stove or furnace, and I am indebted to Professor L. A. Moritz for the suggestion that the essential feature of a classical $i\pi\nu\delta\varsigma$ was that it contained its own source of heat. This is clear from the reference to soot $(i\sigma\beta\delta\lambda\eta)$:

οὕτε πρὸς ἰπνὸν ἀσβόλην ἀλευμένη ιζοιτ'. Semon. 7. 61.

Likewise:

καὶ αἰθάλην ἀπὸ τοῦ ἰπνοῦ τρίψασα. Ηρ. Mul. 1. 91 (8. 220. 4 L)

Used for heating:

προσιόντες είδον αὐτὸν θερόμενον πρὸς τῷ ἰπνῷ. Arist. PA 1. 5. 645°20.

For heating water:

ύπεράνω των ιπνων των βαλανείων. Dsc. 5. 88. 4.

3 A further collection of references suggests that an $l\pi\nu\delta s$ was a structure large enough for a person to get inside; but the complication here is that the word may also have been used for the room in a house containing the heating or cooking stove, i.e. it is equivalent to $l\pi\nu\omega\nu$. This certainly seems to be the meaning in the following:

οὐ γὰρ ὁ Λάβης ἀρτίως ὁ κύων παράξας ἐς τὸν ἰπνὸν ὑφαρπάσας τροφαλίδα τυροῦ Σικελικὴν κατεδήδοκεν; Ar. V. 837.

Cheese would hardly be kept in an oven, but it could have been stored in the stove-room to mature.

άδελφοὶ δὲ δύο ... ἐκρύπτοντο ὑπὸ ἰπνῷ. App. BC 4. 4. 22. ἄγε δὴ σὰ καὶ σὰ τὴν πανοπλίαν μὲν πάλιν ταύτην λαβόντε κρεμάσατον τυχάγαθῆ ἐς τὸν ἰπνὸν εἴσω πλησίον τοὐπιστάτου. Ar. Av. 437.

The ἐπίστατον will be the stand or base on which the stove rests. This sense is supported by numerous references in the later lexicographers; a particularly interesting example is:

Ηράκλειτος ὁ Ἐφέσιος καθήμενος ἐντός ποτε τοῦ ἰπνοῦ (ἰπνὸς δέ ἐστιν οἷον οἰκίδιον ἐν ῷ τοὺς ἄρτους ἔψομεν ...) Mich. in PA 1. 4 (3. 22 Hayduck). Likewise:

Λυκοῦργος ... μέρος τι τῆς οἰκίας οὕτω καλεῖται, τὸ λεγόμενον παρ' ἡμῖν μαγειρεῖον. Harp. (s.v. ἰπνός).

4 Clear evidence for the use of an *iπνός* as a *kiln* to fire pottery comes from the Hippocratic Corpus:

δ ἀπὸ τοῦ κεραμέου ἐπνοῦ καταπεσών. Hp. Epid. 4. 20 (p. 160 L).

I suspect therefore the ὅστρακα mentioned in I above may be sherds from a kiln.

5 Apparently in conflict with what we have seen so far are some examples where an ἐπνός is used as a source of light, and is thus misleadingly translated lantern. In the ancient world lighting out of doors was always a problem. Pine-torches were often used, especially in processions, but these produced also a good deal of smoke and smell. Oil lamps were obviously impracticable if exposed to the wind, and glass technology was hardly far enough advanced to make the design of satisfactory lanterns possible, or at least cheap enough for ordinary use. The solution adoped was to make a portable version of the ἐπνός, a vessel enclosing a pan of charcoal embers, which would glow the more brightly the stronger the wind. The clearest example is in Aristophanes' description of guests returning from a smart dinner party each carying an ἐπνός, so that they look from a distance like stars:

ἀπὸ δείπνου τινὲς τῶν πλουσίων οὖτοι βαδίζουσ' ἀστέρων ἀπνοὺς ἔχοντες, ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἐπνοῖσι πῦρ. Ατ. Pax 841.

Probably also:

ό δ' ἐπνὸς γέγον' ἡμιν ἐξαπίνης ἐλεφάντινος. Ar. Pl. 815.

So in a list of offerings to be made:

καὶ μέλιτος τέτορες κοτυλ[έ]αι, καὶ τυροὶ ὀίεοι δυώδεκα, καὶ ἐπνὸς καινός ...

SIG 1027. 13 (= Paton and Hicks 39. 13, Cos, iv/iii BC).

Made of bronze:

ιπνου [sic] χαλκείοιο θοὸν σέλας. Opp. Η. 5. 430.

Ισχυρός 165

Used in fishing at night:

γαλήνην είναι χρή, καὶ εἰ ταῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει, τῆς πρώρας τῶν ἀκατίων κοίλας τινὰς ἐξαρτῶσιν ἐσχαρίδας πυρὸς ἐνακμάζοντος· καὶ εἰσὶ διαφανεῖς, ὡς καὶ στέγειν τὸ πῦρ καὶ μὴ κρύπτειν τὸ φῶς· ἰπνοὺς καλοῦσιν αὐτάς. Ael. NA 2.8.

6 This accounts for all the usable material for the word known to me, but LSJ offers another sense: '= $\kappa o \pi \rho \dot{\omega} v$, dunghill, privy, Ar. Fr. 353, Hsch.' This is based upon the following passage of Hesychius; it should be observed that there is only one piece of evidence, and its meaning depends upon an unreliable source:

ἐπνός ... Άριστοφάνης δὲ ἐν Κωκάλω καὶ τὸν κοπρώνα οὕτως εἶπεν.

Without any context it is impossible to judge this, but it seems prima facie unlikely. The adjective ἴπνιος is quoted by Suidas from Callimachus:

λέγει δὲ τὴν κόπρον τῶν ζώων Καλλίμαχος σὺν δ' ἄμυδις φορυτόν τε καὶ ἵπνια λύματ' ἄειρεν. 'and altogether took the rubbish and the **** dirt'. (Call. Hec. 295).

But there is no reason to suppose on the basis of this quotation that this means 'animal dung'. This sense should at least be kept in limbo pending further evidence.

ἐσχυρός

If you belong to the school of thought that believes one English word is all that is needed to translate a Greek one, you may be content with LSJ's treatment of this word. The four senses distinguished are given as: strong; powerful; forcible; vigorous of literary style. The first begins with physical strength of persons, and moves on rapidly to 'things'; but the thing quoted is an arrow, so it here means powerful or forceful, and the quite common sense of things which are strongly made or robust is totally missing. The whole article is a strange congeries of different meanings, with little effort made to separate them out, and very incomplete coverage of standard authors. A minimum of research was enough to

add a number of useful examples, often much better quotations than those actually given. This also confirmed that the word is absent from early epic and Pindar; it seems to be first recorded in Alcaeus. New examples are marked with an asterisk.

2 It is obvious that the article should begin, as LSJ does, with physical strength. But LSJ quotes only two examples for the meaning possessing physical strength, powerful, vigorous:

ώς ἄνδρ' έλών μ' ἰσχυρὸν ἐκ βίας ἄγει. S. Ph. 945. ἀεὶ γὰρ ἄνδρα σκαιὸν ἰσχυρὸν φύσει ἡσσον δέδοικα τὰσθενοῦς τε καὶ σοφοῦ. Ε. fr. 290 (N).

εὶ δ' ἰσχυρὸς εἶ τί μ' οὐκ ἀπεψώλησας; *Ar. Ach. 591.

Σώφιλον τὸν παγκρατιαστήν (ἰσχυρός τις ἦν, μέλας, εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι γιγνώσκουσίν τινες ὑμῶν ὅν λέγω). *D. 21. 71.

εί τὸν εἰδότα ὅτι μικρός τε καὶ αἰσχρὸς καὶ ἀσθενής ἐστιν ἐπαινοίη λέγων ὅτι καλός τε καὶ μέγας καὶ ἰσχυρός ἐστιν.

*X. Mem. 2. 6. 12; *3. 9. 1.

It is also used of plants:

τάχυ ἃν καὶ ἰσχυρὸν τὸ φυτὸν ἡγοῦμαι βλαστάνειν. *Χ. Oec. 19. 10.

3 In military contexts lσχυρός means strong in fighting power. It is not always easy to distinguish this from the next sense (see 4 below), as for instance in the passages of Demosthenes quoted here.

όρῶντες οὐδὲν ἰσχυρὸν ἀπὸ τῶν Λεσβίων. Τh. 3. 6. 1; 5. 111. τὴν ἀκμὴν τοῦ ναυτικοῦ αὐτῶν ἀφείλετο γενομένην καὶ πάνυ ἰσχυράν. *Th. 8. 46. 5.

ώφελεῖν Άθηναίους Λακεδαιμονίους τρόπω ὁποίω ἂν δύνωνται Ισχυροτάτω. Treaty in Th. 5. 23. 1.

οὖκ ἔστιν ἰσχυροτέρα φάλαγξ ἢ ὅταν ἐκ φίλων συμμάχων ἠθροισμένη ἢ. Χ. Cyr. 7. 1. 30; *HG 6. 5. 18.

χαλεπωτέρω καὶ ἰσχυροτέρω χρησόμεθ' έχθρω. *D. 8. 50; *3. 28.

4 In a more general sense ἰσχυρός means imposing compliance, powerful, mighty; this may be used of gods or of men.

τί δήτα πρὸς ταῦτ' ἄλοχος ἰσχυρὰ Διός; Α. Supp. 302.

τοῦτον τὸν ἰσχυρὸν θεὸν

έγω ποιήσω τήμερον δοῦναι δίκην. Ar. Pl. 946.

ό δὲ ὀπίσω μου ἐρχόμενος ἰσχυρότερός μού ἐστιν.

Ev. Matt. 3. 11.

τὸ πολλὸν δ' ἡγέαται ἰσχυρὸν εἶναι. 'They believe strength lies in numbers.' Hdt. 1. 136. 1.

πῶς οὖν ἔτ' ἄν γένοιτ' ἄν ἰσχυρὰ πόλις ...; Ε. Supp. 447.

πολλοί παρ' ύμιν έπι καιρών γεγόνασιν ισχυροί. *D. 19. 297.

ἔστι Θηβαίους ταπεινοὺς ποιεῖν ἄνευ τοῦ Λακεδαιμονίους ἰσχυροὺς καθιστάναι. 'It is possible to humble the Thebans without making the Lacedaemonians powerful.' *D. 16. 24.

LSJ quotes also a dubious reading in [X.] Ath. 1.14, where most editors emend $l\sigma\chi\nu\rho\sigma\ell$ to $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\sigma\ell$; but if the manuscript reading is correct, it belongs here. It is also used with the infinitive to mean capable of, strong enough to.

πρός ὀργὴν καὶ πρὸς ἔχθραν ἰσχυρότατος ἦν ὑπὲρ τῶν δικαίων ἀντιστῆναι. *Plu. Arist. 4. 1.

5 Physical objects may also exhibit powerful effects, so we find a sense effective, potent:

τῷ μὲν οἴνῳ, ἔφην, ἔγωγε νομίζω τῷ ἰσχυροτάτῳ πλέον ἐπιχεῖν ὕδωρ. *Χ. Oec. 17. 9; Luc. Nigr. 5.

So of foods:

ἀφροδισίων τε ἀπέχεσθαι βρωμάτων τε λιπαρῶν καὶ κερχνωδέων, καὶ ἰσχυρῶν πάντων 'to abstain from sexual intercourse and fatty foods and those productive of hoarseness, and everything potent'. Hp. Art. 50.

LSJ translated the word in this passage as *indigestible*, which is clearly a possibility; the 1968 Supplement changed this to *heavy*. I am not sure what 'heavy' means in this context; but it seems much more likely that what is meant is everything that might have an intense effect. It is also used of plants, apparently meaning *having* a strong taste:

γένη ... τοις χυλοις δριμύτερα και ισχυρότερα. Thphr. HP 7. 6. 1. 6 A different class of things may be described as effective, such as binding contracts or oaths, compelling proofs or evidence. It is possible that a law might also be so described, but the examples seem rather to belong in the sense discussed in 10 below. The second example plays upon these two meanings, and the instance I classify here is underlined.

ισχυρότερος ές πειθώ λόγος πολλαχή γίνεται χρυσοῦ. Democr. 51.

ἄνευ γὰρ ἀναγκαίης ἰσχυρῆς συμβάσιες ἰσχυραὶ οὐκ ἐθέλουσιν συμμένειν. 'Strict agreements do not usually last except under the compulsion of necessity.' Hdt. 1. 74. 4.

ήγούμενος ούτως αν τον έλεγχον ισχυρότερον γενέσθαι τών τούτου λόγων. *Lys. 7. 34.

τάληθές ἰσχυρόν. *D. 19. 208.

μή τοις ισχυροτέραν νομίζουσι τών νόμων τήν αύτών βδελυρίαν είναι. *D. 42. 15.

ορκους ισχυρούς ωμόσαμεν άλλήλοις. *D. 48. 9.

δέον σε διομόσασθαι όρκον τὸν μέγιστον καὶ ἰσχυρότατον.

Antipho 5. 11.

τούτο ζαχυρὸν ήν αν τούτω πρὸς ύμας τεκμήριον ὅτι ... *D. 49. 58; SIG 685. 84.

τοῦτο γὰρ ισχυρον οιεταί τι προς το πράγμ' έχειν. Men. Epit. 347.

7 In all the previous uses the sense of ἐσχυρός is active, exerting force. These can be distinguished from a second group which are passive, i.e. where the term describes passive strength or resistivity. In a purely physical sense we find resistant to breakage, strongly made or constructed.

ἰσχυρόν ἐστιν (τὸ ἀγγεῖον) ὧγάθ', ὥστ' οὐκ αν καταγείη ποτ'. *Ar. Ach. 943.

διὰ τί οὕτ' ἰσχυροτέρους οὕτε πολυτελεστέρους τῶν ἄλλων ποιών τους θώρακας πλείονος πωλείς; *Χ. Mem. 3. 10. 10.

ωσπερ γάρ οἰκίας, οἶμαι, καὶ πλοίου ... τὰ κάτωθεν ισχυρότατ' είναι δεί. *D. 2. 10.

ώς είδε τὸ κέρας (τοῦ κριοῦ) ἰσχυρὸν καὶ στερεὸν ἐκ μέσου τοῦ μετώπου πεφυκός. *Plu. Per. 6. 2.

Used metaphorically with reference to literary style:

οὐκέτι λείας συλλαβάς άλλ' ἰσχυράς καὶ ἀντιτύπους θήσει.

D. H. Comp. 16. 10.

ταθθ' ὅτι μέν ἐστιν ἰσχυρὰ καὶ στιβαρὰ καὶ ἀξιωματικά.

D. H. Comp. 22. 12.

In a figurative phrase:

στάσεις λαμβάνειν ζσχυράς 'take up firm positions'.

D. H. Comp. 22. 1.

8 To this we can now attach a further military sense, of positions, fortifications, etc., meaning hard for an enemy to penetrate, defensible. The neuter is often used as a substantive to mean a stronghold.

ή δὲ Πτερίη ἐστὶ τῆς χώρης ταύτης τὸ ἰσχυρότατον κατὰ Σινώπην πόλιν. Hdt. 1. 76.

τείχος ἐπὶ λόφου ἰσχυρόν. *Τh. 3. 105. 1.

πρὸς ἰσχυρὰ χωρία καὶ ἀνθρώπους παρεσκευασμένους μάχεσθαι. Χ. Αn. 4. 6. 11.

οὐκ ἐσχυρὸν ἐτείχιζον. Τh. 4. 9. 3.

οί ... ἀποδόμενοι ... τὰ τῆς πόλεως ἰσχυρά. Aeschin. 3. 66; X. Eq. Mag. 8. 24.

In a rather more general sense:

ηλπιζον ... ηδη σφών ἰσχυρὰ τὰ πράγματα γίγνεσθαι 'they were hopeful that their situation was already becoming more secure'. *Th. 4. 24. 4.

9 Applied to a wide range of substantives λοχυρός denotes intense, extreme, severe:

σιτοδείην ἰσχυρήν. Hdt. 1. 94. 3.

λιμός ισχυρός. *Th. 3. 85. 2; Ev. Luc. 15. 14.

έν δὲ τοίσι ἰσχυροίσι ψύχεσι. Hdt. 4. 29.

τῷ ἰσχυρῷ χειμῶνι. *X. An. 5. 8. 14.

της κεφαλης θέρμαι ισχυραί ... μετὰ βηχὸς ισχυροῦ. Τh. 2. 49. 2, 3.

ην μη μέγα καὶ Ισχυρον τὸ νόσημα ή. Hp. Acut. (Sp.) 4.

γενομένης δ' ισχυράς τής ναυμαχίας. *Τh. 7. 72. 1.

έδίωκε καὶ ἰσχυρὰν τὴν φυγὴν τοῖς πολεμίοις κατέχων ἐποίει.

*X. Cyr. 1. 4. 22.

Of mental states:

τὴν ἰσχυρὰν ἐπιθυμίαν ἔρωτα καλοῦσιν. *Χ. Μεm. 3. 9. 7. ἰσχυρὰν ἔχθραν ἀναιρούμενος. Pl. Phdr. 233c. ἰσχυρᾶς παρὰ τῷ δήμῳ ὀργῆς ἐτύγχανεν. *D. 24. 133. ἰσχυρὰ καὶ ἄκρατος ἀπάθεια πρὸς γαμετήν. *Plu. Comp. Lyc. Num. 3. 2.

This needs to be distinguished from a sense where the substantive so qualified is not simply in an extreme state, but is applied rigorously, strict, severe; e.g. of laws, decisions, decrees, etc.

άρετη δὲ ἔπακτός ἐστι ἀπό τε σοφίης κατεργασμένη καὶ νόμου ἰσχυροῦ. Hdt. 7. 102. 1.

Μαρδονίου δὲ (γνώμη) ἰσχυροτέρη τε καὶ ἀγνωμονεστέρη καὶ οὐδαμῶς συγγινωσκομένη. Hdt. 9. 41. 4.

εἴ τῳ δοκεῖ μεγάλη ἡ ζημία καὶ λίαν ἰσχυρὸς ὁ νόμος.

Lys. 15. 9.

ἴστε ... ὅτι τὸ Καννωνοῦ ψήφισμά ἐστιν ἰσχυρότατον. *Χ. HG 1. 7. 20.

Of vengeance or punishments:

ώς ἄρα ἀνθρώποισι αἱ λίην ἰσχυραὶ τιμωρίαι πρὸς θεῶν ἐπίφθονοι γίνονται. Hdt. 4. 205; *Lys. 1. 31.

Of restraint:

ἐπεὶ δὲ ἔγνωσαν ἐαλωκότες ἰσχυρὰν καὶ ἄφυκτον ἄλωσιν. *Plu. Num. 15. 4.

καρχήσιον

This is defined in LSJ as I 'drinking-cup narrower in the middle than at top and bottom; II mast-head of a ship, through which the halyards worked; III triangular instrument used in carpentry; IV cage or chamber in a torsion engine; V crane for unloading ships.' It is very hard to envisage how a word for a drinking-cup could have come to possess the nautical meaning of sense II. Now the distinguishing feature of the cup called καρχήσιον is its hour-glass shape, a practical feature since it allows

the vessel to stand firmly without great risk of being knocked over, and one which in a more evolved form is still used in wine-glasses. The nautical $\kappa \alpha \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \sigma \nu$ must therefore be an object of similar shape. The Latin loan carchesium reproduces the same meanings.

From the references in the lexicographers it is evident that to them the καρχήσιον was not the mast-head itself, since it might be surmounted by the θωράκιον, a kind of top or crow's nest, but was a device secured near the mast-head in order to allow the yard carrying the sail to be raised or lowered. This device is well known, for all sailing vessels need one; its technical name in English is truck, and this should appear as the equivalent in any lexicon; it now appears in the New Supplement. The examples quoted below can all be so understood, though of course the actual form of the device may have changed with time, due to technological improvements in ship-design. Presumably the name was given because in its primitive form it resembled a cup of hourglass shape. I find it hard to imagine a structure of this kind surmounting the mast, since unless it were made of metal it would be hard to make it sufficiently strong or firmly secured to take the strain imposed by the yard. Perhaps therefore the καρχήσιον was originally a short wooden spar tapering from either end towards a narrower waist, which could be lashed transversely to the mast. If a shallow notch were cut in the mast to fit its smaller dimension, it could easily be made secure, and no metal would be required. The broad ends projecting either side of the mast could then be pierced to allow the halyards to be reeved through them. This crude device would serve well enough for small ships, though it was no doubt much improved later; but the name once given would automatically be transferred to any device serving the same purpose.

3 LSJ's first example of the sense mast-head is:

άνὰ δ' ἱστία τεῖνον πρὸς ζυγὸν καρχασίου. Pi. N. 5. 51.

This expression for 'set sail' must be literally 'stretch the sails up to the yoke of the καρχήσιον.' Clearly the sense here is the masthead bracket, and the resemblance to a yoke should be noted. The second is in a Hippocratic passage describing a treatment for

curvature of the spine due to injury. The patient is strapped to a ladder set up against a tower or mast.

ἀπὸ μέντοι τύρσιος ἀφιεὶς ἢ ἀπὸ ἱστοῦ καταπεπηγότος καρχήσιον ἔχοντος ἔτι κάλλιον ἄν τις σκευάσαιτο, ὥστε ἀπὸ τροχιλίης τὰ χαλώμενα εἶναι ὅπλα ἢ ἀπὸ ὄνου 'When it is let down from a tower, or from a mast fixed in the ground and provided with a truck, it is a still better arrangement to have a lowering tackle from a pulley or wheel and axle'

(E. T. Withington, Loeb). Hp. Art. 43.

Further examples are:

η τιν' ἄλλον ἐκ μηχανῆς θεὸν ἐπὶ τῷ καρχησίῳ καθεζόμενον. Luc. Merc. Cond. 1.

τὸ δὲ πρὸς τῷ τέλει (τοῦ ἱστοῦ καλεῖται) καρχήσιον. ἔχει δὲ τοῦτο κεραίας ἄνωθεν νευούσας ἐφ' ἐκάτερα τὰ μέρη, καὶ ἐπίκειται τὸ λεγόμενον αὐτῷ θωράκιον.

Asclep. Myrl. ap. Ath. 11. 474f.

κεὐθὺ τοῦ καρχησίου ἄνελκε τῆν γραῦν. Epicr. 9 (K-A).

In the plural:

άλλ' οὖ τάχ', ἡνίκ' ἄν σε ποντία νότις
—μῶν ναυστολήση γης ὅρους Ἑλληνίδος;
—κρύψη μὲν οὖν πεσοῦσαν ἐκ καρχησίων. Ε. Hec. 1261.
γλαῦκα δ' ὀφθηναι διαπετομένην ἀπὸ δεξιᾶς τῶν νεῶν καὶ τοῖς καρχησίοις ἐπικαθίζουσαν. Plu. Them. 12. 1.

4 In the other mechanical senses it would appear to be applied to a kind of universal joint; see F. Walbank on Polybius 8. 5. 10, quoting Hero Bel. 88. 5 – 89. 9 (Wescher). But in most cases it can refer to a drum on a winch or windlass, where again the resemblance to the drinking-vessel would be obvious.

καταλαμβάνω

1 The 1968 Supplement has under **V 1** 'after "Pass." insert "to be covered, χρυσίω LXX 2 Ch. 9. 20." Sense **V** in LSJ is 'hold down, cover, $\tau \hat{\eta}$ χειρὶ τὸν ὀφθαλμόν ... etc.' so it is obvious that this new sense is only connected by the use of the English word cover, the action described being very different. The passage reads in full:

καὶ πάντα τὰ σκεύη τοῦ βασιλέως Σαλαμὼν χρυσίου, καὶ πάντα τὰ σκεύη οἴκου δρυμοῦ τοῦ Λιβάνου χρυσίῳ κατειλημμένα. LXX 2 Ch. 9. 20.

The sense is evident: one lot of vessels was of gold, the other covered with gold. But this would be a most unusual sense for $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \acute{\alpha} \nu \omega$. It would, however, be a normal sense of $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \acute{\alpha} \iota \omega$, and the correction to $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \alpha$ is extremely easy; but it is not even an emendation, since it is in fact the reading of one manuscript.

2 The examples quoted by LSJ make it clear that in later Greek $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ was regularly used to mean wrap up, cover. The first (X. Eq. 10. 7) is doubtful and the passage has been emended. But there are clear examples of the perfect passive participle, as here:

ταινίαις κατειλημένος τὴν κεφαλήν. Luc. Symp. 47. ἄγαλμα ... τελαμῶνι προφυρῷ τὸν μηρὸν κατειλημένον. Paus. 8. 28. 6. ἔκαστον ἄγκιστρον δέλεαρ φέρει Λακαίνης πορφύρας κατειλημένον. Ael. NA 15. 10.

The Septuagint passage is thus by far the earliest example of this use; but that is much more probable than the implausible sense attributed in 1968 to $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \acute{a} \nu \omega$, and now withdrawn by the New Supplement.

καταχαρίζομαι

The basic meaning is quite clear: make a present of, give away something as a favour. Three applications of this can be distinguished, and it is really the second which is badly handled by LSJ. First, in the literal sense:

αιτιάσεται δέ Πολύευκτον και την γυναικ' αὐτοῦ, και φήσει πάντα ταῦθ' ὑφ' ἐμοῦ πεισθέντας καταχαρίσασθαι.

D. 41. 12.

φαίνονται δὲ καὶ καταδωροδοκούμενοι καὶ καταχαριζόμενοι πολλὰ τῶν κοινῶν. Arist. Pol. 1271*3.

τῶν τοῦ πολέμου λαφύρων οὐδεμίαν μοῖραν εἰς τὸ δημόσιον ἀήνεγκεν, ἀλλ' οἷς αὐτὸς ἐβούλετο κατεχαρίσατο.

D. H. 6. 30. 2; 7. 63. 3.

2 Secondly, this is naturally extended to immaterial objects such as justice, truth, if these are conferred as corrupt favours. LSJ defines this meaning as surrender corruptly, which might do as a translation in some cases; but the basic meaning is still to give away as a favour:

οὐ γὰρ ἐπὶ τούτῳ κάθηται ὁ δικαστής, ἐπὶ τῷ καταχαρίζεσθαι τὰ δίκαια, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τῷ κρίνειν αὐτά. Pl. Ap. 35c.

οὐ προδιδοὺς ὑμᾶς οὐδὲ τὸν ἀγῶνα καταχαριζόμενος.

Aeschin. 3. 53.

κατεγορήκαμεν ήμεις (οὐδεν) οὐδενὶ καταχαρισάμενοι τῶν κοινῶν δικαίων. Din. 1. 105; cf. J. AJ 4. 8. 14; Ael. VH 11. 9. ἐπαινείν χρὴ τὴν πόλιν μὴ καταχαριζομένην τάληθὲς τοις πολίταις. Ael. VH 14. 5.

Similarly with persons as objects:

οἵεται δεῖν καταχαρίζεσθαι ταῖς γυναιξὶ τοὺς προδότας καὶ πολεμίους τῆς πατρίδος 'he thought it necessary to make a present of the traitors and enemies of the state to their wives (i.e. by granting a pardon)'. Plu. Publ. 7.

3 Thirdly, used intransitively with the dative, show favour (to):

άδύνατον δὲ καὶ ὑμῖν ἐστι, περὶ τοιούτου πράγματος φέρουσι τὴν ψῆφον, ἢ κατελεῆσαι ἢ καταχαρίσασθαι Άνδοκίδη.

Lys. 6. 3.

μαλλον τοις έναντιουμένοις ταις υμετέραις γνώμαις προσέχειν τὸν νοῦν ἢ τοις καταχαριζομένοις. Isoc. 8. 10.

Also with no dative:

μὴ καταχαριζόμενον ἀλλὰ διαμαχόμενον. Pl. Grg. 513d. τὰ μὲν καταχαρίζεται, τὰ δὲ ψεύδεται. Ael. VH 1. 23.

καταχράομαι

I This compound with $\kappa a\tau a$ - can have two basic meanings, depending on whether the force of the prepositional element is intensive or pejorative. There are also differences in construction, which are less important than the semantic ones, and a special use of the active. In such cases it might appear more tidy to begin with

the active; but since the predominant use is in the medio-passive, it is preferable to keep the arrangement of LSJ in this respect. The following is a sketch of how I should like to see the article rearranged, but makes no claim to completeness.

2 If we start with the intensive value of $\kappa a \tau a$ -, we can follow LSJ in placing first the sense make good use of, exploit, but this needs to separated from apply (see below).

κενή προφάσει ταύτη κατεχρώ καὶ ψευδεί. D. 18. 150. ἄρ' ἄν οἴεσθε Λάκριτον ... τοὺς αὐτοὺς λόγους λέγειν οἶσπερ νυνὶ κατακέχρηται ...; D. 35. 44.

3 With expressions of purpose it may lack any intensive force and mean simply use (for a stated purpose):

ίνα καταχρήται αὐτὸς αὐτοῖς ἐπὶ τὸν σύνδεσμον τής πόλεως. Pl. R. 520a.

διὸ φαίνεταί μοι τούτῳ (sc. τῷ ῥῶ) πρὸς ταῦτα καταχρῆσθαι. Pl. Cra. 426e.

μάρτυσι καταχρώμαι πρὸς τὸ τινὰς ήδονὰς εἶναι δοκούσας, οὕσας δ' οὐδαμώς. Pl. Phlb. 51a.

With accusative:

οὐκ ἐξῆν ἄλλο εἰς ἄλλο καταχρῆσθαι μέλους εἶδος. Pl. Lg. 700c.

ἀποκειμένας παρ' αὐτῷ τετταράκοντα μνᾶς λαβὼν κατεχρήσατο. Lys. 19. 22.

διηγήσασθαι ύμιν, τά τε ὀφειλόμενα, καὶ εἰς ὅ τι ἔκαστον αὐτῶν κατεχρήσατο. [D.] 49. 4.

τὸ οὖν ἀργύριον τὸ πεπορισμένον τῷ Θεοφήμῳ ἀποδοῦναι ἐνταῦθα κατεχρησάμην. [D.] 47. 50.

In the passive:

ἀνάγκη γὰρ τὰ μὲν μέγιστ' αὐτῶν ἥδη κατακεχρῆσθαι, μικρὰ δ' ἔτι παραλελεῖφθαι. 'The most important topics must have already been exhausted.' Isoc. 4. 74.

μόχλοι ... κατεχρήσθησαν είς σφῆνας. IG 2². 1672. 304 (iv BC).

4 In a pejorative sense a distinction needs to be made between persons and things, thus, apply to a wrong purpose, misuse a thing.

μάλιστα δ' αν νικώμεθα καὶ ύμεῖς νικώητε, εἰ παρασκευάσαισθε τῆ τῶν προγόνων δόξη μὴ καταχρησόμενοι μηδ' ἀναλώσοντες αὐτήν. Pl. Mx. 247b.

οὐδὲ τὸ πιστευθήναι προλαβόντα παρ' ὑμῶν εἰς τὸ μείζω δύνασθαι κακουργεῖν καταχρήσθαι. D. 19. 277.

Άναξαγόρας δὲ καταχρήται τῷ ὀνόματι τούτῳ οὐ καλώς· ὀνομάζει γὰρ αἰθέρα ἀντὶ πυρός. Arist. Cael. 270624.

οὕτω δὲ τετράπλευρον μᾶλλον ἢ τρίπλευρον φαίη τις ἂν τὸ σχῆμα, τρίγωνον δ' οὐδοπωσοῦν, πλὴν εἰ καταχρώμενος.

Str. 5. 1. 2.

ει τὰς θυσίας τὰς προγεγραμμένας ει τῶν τοῦ κοινοῦ τι κακῶσαι ἢ διελέσθαι ἢ τοῦ ἀρχαίου τι καταχρήσασθαι.

Test. Epict. 8. 8.

5 With an object-clause, declare falsely, allege (that):

οἵτινες κατεχρώντο ώς τῷ Πολέμωνι ... οὐδεμία γένοιτο ἀδελφή. [D.] 43. 39.

κατεχρήσατο πρός τους δικαστάς ὅτι ἐγὼ τὴν οἰκίαν ... μεμισθωμένος εἴην παρ' αὐτοῦ. [D.] 48. 44.

6 With persons as object it means maltreat, and is sometimes used as a euphemism for put to death, kill. LSJ made a special sense for the first example, to which the new Supplement has added a further, precisely similar one.

οὐδ' ἥκω παραιτησόμενος ὑμᾶς, ἀλλὰ καταχρήσασθέ μοι, εἰ δοκῶ τοιοῦτος εἶναι. Aeschin. 1. 122; 2. 70.

As a euphemism:

τὸν δὲ ἔνα λέγουσι ... αὐτοῦ μιν ἐν τῆσι Θυρέησι καταχρήσασθαι ἐωυτόν. (i.e. committed suicide). Hdt. 1. 82. 8.

τέψ δη μόρψ τον παΐδα κατεχρήσαο;

Hdt. 1. 117. 2; 4. 146. 3.

ἐπεὶ δὲ κατεχρήσαντο μὲν ἀσεβῶς τοὺς αἰχμαλώτους, τροφῆ ταύτη χρώμενοι, κατεχρήσαντο δὲ τὰ δουλικὰ τῶν σωμάτων.

Plb. 1. 85. 1.

In the passive:

οί γὰρ Ἐλαιούσιοι τῷ Πρωτεσίλεῳ τιμωρέοντες ἐδέοντό μιν καταχρησθῆναι 'requested that he should be put to death'.

Hdt. 9. 120. 4.

κεφαλή

I have already mentioned the treatment of this word by LSJ in the article I contributed to F. Létoublon (ed.), La Langue et les Textes en grec ancien (Amsterdam, 1992), 281-8. Here I shall attempt a brief sketch of the kind of article I should expect to find. The starting-point is very clear: the highest or anterior part of a person or animal, which contains the brain and carries the principal sense organs. I cannot see how to avoid a long-winded definition which would serve equally for English head. But the details given are needed to explain some of the developed senses which will be discussed. It is hardly necessary to quote examples for the basic meaning, but since LSJ has dispersed them, one or two may be useful.

Έρύλαον ἐπεσσύμενον βάλε πέτρω μέσσην κὰκ κεφαλήν. Il. 16. 412.

LSJ places this, correctly on its own principles, under **I r b**, the phrase $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$. But there is here no special meaning attached to the phrase, as the epithet $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \eta \nu$ shows.

σφάξαντες δὲ (τὸ κτῆνος) ἀποτάμνουσι τὴν κεφαλήν. Hdt. 2. 39. 1.

2 What is more interesting is where the head is mentioned for one of its characteristic features. Thus, the head as the highest part of the body. As a measure of height:

ήτοι μὲν κεφαλή καὶ μείζονες ἄλλοι ἔασι. Il. 3. 168. μείων μὲν κεφαλή Άγαμέμνονος. Il. 3. 193.

More generally:

ἐκάλυψε νέκυν μεγάθυμος Άχιλλεὺς ἐς πόδας ἐκ κεφαλῆς. Il. 23. 169.

The fact that the body is lying down does not invalidate this classification; head and feet are chosen to represent the two extremities (cf. 10 below). So the phrase $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ($\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa$) $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ means 'down upon the head, over the head'.

έλων κόνιν αἰθαλόεσσαν χεύατο κὰκ κεφαλῆς. II. 18. 24. 178 κεφαλή

πορφύρεον μέγα φάρος έλων χερσί στιβαρῆσι κὰκ κεφαλῆς εἴρυσσε. Od. 8. 85.

ἔγχεε κέρναις ἔνα καὶ δύο πλήαις κὰκ κεφάλας. Alc. 346. 5.

Similarly κατά κεφαλήν overhead:

κατὰ κεφαλήν τὸ τεῖχος τῆς ἀκροπόλεως διώρυττον. Χ. ΗG 7. 2. 8.

ὅταν ... μὴ γένηται τὸ κατὰ κεφαλὴν ὕδωρ 'when there is no rainfall'. Thph. HP 4. 10. 7.

In a reference to trimming stone for masonry, on top (cf. with 11 below):

[ἐπικόπτων τὰ λιθολογήματα ὀρ]θὰ κα[ὶ κ]α[τ]ὰ κεφαλήν.

IG 2² 463. 42; 44.

Also ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς:

τὸν ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς αἰθέρ' ἰδέσθαι σπεύδω. Ε. fr. 308.

Likewise ἐπὶ κεφαλήν means on one's head, i.e. head first, headlong.

Περσέων ... δυώδεκα ... έλων ζωντας ἐπὶ κεφαλὴν κατώρυξε. Hdt. 3. 35. 5; 7. 136. 1.

Figuratively:

μὴ εὐθὺς ἐπὶ κεφαλὴν εἰς τὸ δικαστήριον βαδίζειν. D. 42. 12. ἐκ τῆς δ' οἰκίας

έπὶ κεφαλὴν ἐς κόρακας ὧσον τὴν καλὴν Σαμίαν. Men. Sam. 353.

And in a more general sense, precipitately, in a hurry.

οὐ βουλόμενος πολίτας ἄνδρας ἐπὶ κεφαλὴν εἰσπράττειν τὸν μισθόν. Hyp. Lyc. 17.

In the plural $\epsilon \pi i \tau a i s \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a i s means literally over their heads, but the English idiom would be shoulder-high.$

ώστε μόνον οὐκ ἐπὶ ταῖς κεφαλαῖς περιφέρουσιν αὐτοὺς οἱ έταῖροι. Pl. R. 600d.

3 A more significant development is where the head is used to mean the vital part of the body, hence damage inflicted on it is

liable to be fatal. LSJ goes so far as define this sense as life; but although in some contexts this might do as a translation, $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}$ has still here the sense of the head as a vital organ.

εἴ περ γάρ τε καὶ αὐτίκ' Ὀλύμπιος οὐκ ἐτέλεσσεν, ἔκ τε καὶ ὀψὲ τελεῖ, σύν τε μεγάλῳ ἀπέτεισαν, σὺν σφῆσιν κεφαλῆσι γυναιξί τε καὶ τεκέεσσιν. Il. 4. 162. οὕ τι τόσον νέκυος περιδείδια Πατρόκλοιο ... ὅσσον ἐμῆ κεφαλῆ περιδείδια, μή τι πάθησι, καὶ σῆ. Il. 17. 242. σφὰς γὰρ παρθέμενοι κεφαλὰς κατέδουσι βιαίως οἶκον Ὀδυσσῆος 'risking their own heads'. Od. 2. 237. ἀποβαλέεις τὴν κεφαλὴν, καί σε οὕτε ἐγὼ δυνήσομαι ῥύσασθαι οὕτ' ἄλλος. Hdt. 8. 65. 5.

4 To this may be attached the special use of the head as the part which is exposed to punishment or injury, especially in imprecations and wishes. The parallel use of *head* in English may be the result of its appearance in the New Testament.

τὰ μὲν γὰρ πρότερον ἐγώ τε ἔπρηξα καὶ ἐγὼ κεφαλῆ ἀναμάξας φέρω 'what I did formerly and is now imposed as a burden on my head'. Hdt. 1. 155. 3.

πολυπραγμοσύνη νυν ές κεφαλήν τράποιτ' έμοί. Ar. Ach. 833; Nu. 40.

λέγεις ἃ σοὶ ... οἱ θεοὶ τρέψειαν εἰς κεφαλήν. D. 18. 290; 294.

Often with an ellipse of the verb:

ές κεφαλήν σοί. Ar. Pax 1063; Pl. 526. σοὶ εἰς κεφαλήν. Pl. Euthd. 283e. τὸ αἷμα ὑμῶν ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν ὑμῶν. Act. Ap. 18. 6.

5 Since the head carries the distinguishing features, it can be used to identify a person; hence it comes to be used to mean something like *person*, *individual*. In some contexts it can be translated *self*.

τὸν ἐγὼ περὶ πάντων τῖον ἐταίρων, ἔσον ἐμῆ κεφαλῆ. Il. 18. 82. πολλὰς ἔφθίμους κεφαλὰς Άϊδι προϊάψειν. Il. 11. 55. ὄφρα φιλής κεφαλής όλετήρα κιχείω. II. 18. 114.
τοίην γὰρ κεφαλήν ποθέω μεμνημένη αἰεί. Od. 1. 343.
έξα κεφαλξα
έξοπίσω γέρας ἔσσεσθαι. Pi. O. 7. 67.
καὶ ταῦτ' ἔλεγ' ἡ μιαρὰ καὶ ἀναιδής αὕτη κεφαλή.
D. 21. 117.

6 This sense may also be used with a suitable epithet in addressing a person.

Τεῦκρε, φίλη κεφαλή. II. 8. 281; 23. 94. ὧ κακαὶ κεφαλαί. Hdt. 3. 29. 2. ἄπολλον, ὧ δία κεφαλά. Ε. Rh. 226. Φαΐδρε, φίλη κεφαλή. Pl. Phdr. 264a.

7 A special use in this sense is in counting, either with a numeral or in the phrase κατὰ κεφαλήν per head.

πεντακοσίας κεφαλάς τῶν Ξέρξεω πολεμίων λυσάμενοι. Hdt. 9. 99. 2. κατὰ κεφαλὴν ἔκαστος εἰσφέρει τὸ τεταγμένον 'each pays the allotted poll-tax'. Arist. Pol. 1272*14; LXX Ex. 16. 16.

8 Two more special uses are found referring to an artificial head of some kind. A κεφαλή περίθετος is apparently some kind of headdress.

ήδὶ μὲν οὖν κεφαλὴ περίθετος, ἣν ἐγὼ νύκτωρ φέρω. Ar. Th. 258.

And by a natural transference a head of Homer is used to mean a representation of a head, i.e. a portrait-bust.

οὖ φαυλῶς ἔστησα κατ' ὀφθαλμούς σε, Μένανδρε, τῆσδέ γ' Όμηρείης, φίλτατέ μοι, κεφαλῆς. ΙG 14.1183. 10.

9 Proceeding now to the transferred senses, where $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \dot{\eta}$ means something resembling a head, we can classify these by the feature which is picked out as the point of resemblance. First, the bulbous root of a plant:

φάρμακον καταπλαστὸν ἐνεχείρησε τρίβειν, ἐμβαλὼν σκορόδων κεφαλὰς τρεῖς Τηνίων. Ar. Pl. 718; Plb. 12. 6. 4. κεφαλή

181

ρίζαν μεγάλην, κεφαλάς έχουσαν πλείονας, στρογγύλας. Dsc. 3. 120.

The head formed by the seed-pod (cf. 11 below):

ἔστι δὲ ὁ ὀπισμὸς ἀπὸ τῶν καυλῶν ... ἢ ἀπὸ τῶν ῥιζῶν ἢ τρίτον ἀπὸ τῆς κεφαλῆς, ὥσπερ τοῦ μήκωνος.

Thphr. HP 9. 8. 2.

Of parts of the body:

μέχρι τῆς κεφαλῆς ἐκατέρου τοῦ ὅρχεως. Arist. HA 510*14; Gal. 4. 565.

χιτώνα, τὸν περικάρδιον ὀνομαζόμενον, ὅς ἐκ τῆς κεφαλῆς αὐτῆς ἐκφυόμενος ... τελευτῆ καὶ αὐτὸς εἴς τινα κώνου κορυφήν. Gal. UP 6. 16 (=3. 488); UP 7. 14 (=3. 568).

Of bones:

τὰς κεφαλὰς τῆς κάτω γνάθου. Hp. Art. 30; Poll. 2. 186.

10 In a less obvious transference, $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}$ can mean simply either extremity of a linear object. LSJ gets into difficulties with rivers, since in one case it refers to the sources:

Τεάρου ποταμοῦ κεφαλαὶ ὕδωρ ἄριστον ... παρέχονται. Hdt. 4. 91. 2,

in another to the mouth:

οίδα Γέλα ποταμοῦ κεφαλή ἐπικείμενον ἄστυ. Call. fr. 43. 46 Pf.

A similar problem arose in dealing with $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$, where in one case it appeared to mean not beginning but end; in English a rope has two ends, in Greek two $d\rho\chi\alpha\dot{\iota}$. Other examples of this usage are quoted by LSJ from papyri.

11 But this must be distinguished from the examples where the point of the resemblance is the head as the highest point, hence meaning summit, top.

έστηκότες ἐπὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς τῆς τάφρου 'at the top or lip of the trench'. X. Cyr. 3. 3. 66.

αΐγα, ἄτις ὑπὲρ κεφαλᾶς αἰεὶ τὸν ἀμολγέα πληροῖ. Theoc. 8. 87 (see Gow on 7. 147) ϵ πὶ τὰς κεφαλὰς τῶν στύλων. LXX 3 Ki. 7. 16; Poll. 7. 121. καὶ τοὺς κείονας μετὰ τῶν βωμο $[\sigma]$ πειρῶν καὶ κεφαλῶν κατ $[\epsilon]$ σκευακότα. CIG 2782. 31.

The last two examples are translated capital of a column by LSJ, but they do not prove that $\kappa\epsilon\phi a\lambda\dot{\eta}$ here had such a specialised meaning.

12 Closely allied to this is the use where it means the *leading* part. This occurs in military contexts, where the right wing of a phalanx is called $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \dot{\eta}$ and the left $o \dot{v} \rho \dot{a}$.

τὸ μὲν ημισυ τὸ ἐν δεξιᾳ, δεξιὸν καλεῖται κέρας καὶ κεφαλή, τὸ δ' ἐν ἀριστερᾳ, εὐώνυμον κέρας καὶ οὐρά. Arr. Tact. 8. 3.

This may reflect the natural tendency of spearmen to move towards the right, the hand holding the spear. LSJ places together with this the following passage, but translates *band* of men. However, the context suggests that it is a moving column which is meant, and a three-pronged attack is so described.

οί ίππεις ἐποίησαν ἡμιν κεφαλὰς τρεις καὶ ἐκύκλωσαν τὰς καμήλους. LXX Jb. 1. 17.

13 Finally moving from material to abstract objects, a distinction LSJ fails to make, $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}$ means either end of a process, period or like, and has further developments from this. We can see the beginning of the process in a figurative use of the phrase $\epsilon\kappa$ $\pi o\delta\hat{\omega}\nu$ ϵis $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}\nu$ from one end to the other.

ώς έγω τὰ πράγματα ἐκ τῶν ποδῶν ἐς τὴν κεφαλήν σοι πάντ' ἐρῶ. Ar. Pl. 650.

The fully developed sense, consummation, conclusion appears first in Plato.

ωσπερ κεφαλήν ἀποδοῦναι τοις εἰρημένοις. Pl. Phlb. 66d; Grg. 505d; Ti. 69b.

όταν άπασῶν τῶν ὀκτὼ περιόδων τὰ ... τάχη σχῆ κεφαλήν. Pl. Ti. 39d.

ώσπερ κεφαλήν έχουσα επιστήμη των τιμιωτάτων. Arist. EN 1141*19.

τὸ τοιοῦτον γὰρ ἀεί πως μέρος ἐπιπαίζεται, κεφαλὴ δὲ δείπνου γίγνεται. Alexis 178 (K-A).

14 A special use of this is to mean the *sum* or *total* of a number of figures, a concept more often expressed by the derivative $\kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a \hat{i} o \nu$.

κεφαλά πάσας έρρηγείας χίλιαι hενενήκοντα πέντε σχοΐνοι 'the total of all the arable 1095 skhoinoi'.

Tab. Heracl. 1.36; IG 12(9). 7. 4.

15 On the same principle as the rivers, it may also mean the starting-point.

Ζεὺς κεφαλή, Ζεὺς μέσσα, Διὸς δ' ἐκ πάντα τελεῖται. Orph. fr. 21a.

οί δ' ἐν τῆ λεγομένη κεφαλῆ τοῦ Κρόνου· αὕτη δ' ἐστὶ τῶν ἐπτὰ πλανητῶν. Placit. 2. 32. 2.

κινέω

- This is one of the cases where there is an obvious English equivalent, which has some of the same developed senses. But the diversity of usage presented in LSJ is alarmingly confused, with similar examples separated and differing ones juxtaposed, sometimes merely because the same translation could be used. This is an attempt to sort the more important examples into a more intelligible pattern.
- 2 The basic meaning is clearly set in motion, stir. This can be movement from one place to another or self-contained, i.e. vibratory, motion; the distinction is not really worth making, but can be exemplified by the first two of the following passages:

ώς δ' ὅτε κινήση Ζέφυρος βαθὺ λήϊον ἐλθών. Il. 2. 147. τῆ (sc. ῥάβδω) ῥ' ἄγε κινήσας, ταὶ δὲ (ψυχαὶ) τρίζουσαι ἔποντο. Od. 24. 5.

καὶ λύσσα καὶ μάταιος ἐκ νυκτῶν φόβος κινεῖ ταράσσει καὶ διωκάθει πόλεως χαλκηλάτω πλάστιγγι λυμανθὲν δέμας. Α. Ch. 289.

This last is assigned by LSJ to sense II disturb, but this is conveyed by ταράσσει, so κινεί must be taken literally.

ἀκτὶς ἀελίου ... φῶτα ... φυγάδα πρόδρομον ὀξυτόρῳ κινήσασα χαλινῷ. S. Ant. 109.

κινεῦντα μηδὲ κάρφος i.e. keeping absolutely still. Herod. 3. 67; 1. 55; so probably 3. 49.

ὅτε δὲ κλαίοι (τὸ παιδίον), τῆ προβοσκίδι τὴν σκάφην ἐκίνει (ἡ ἐλέφας). Phylarch. 36 (J) = Müller FHG 1. 343. χορδαὶ ἐν λύρα συμπαθῶς κινηθεῖσαι. Plot. 4. 4. 8.

κινέω

3 Special uses are, first, with parts of the body as object.

κινήσας δὲ κάρη προτὶ ὂν μυθήσατο θυμόν 'shaking his head'. Il. 17. 442.

οὐδέ τι κινήσαι μελέων ήν οὐδ' ἀναείραι. Od. 8. 298.

This is of Ares and Aphrodite caught in Hephaistos' trap.

κινεί γαρ άνηρ όμμα κανάγει κάρα. S. Ph. 866.

This is evidence that he is awake.

ὤστ' οὐκέτ' ἀρκεῖ τὰμά σοι σκέλεα κινεῖν. Herod. 5. 2.

This is, as LSJ rightly observes, sensu obscoeno; but it is not at all the same sense as in the other examples in this section (II; see 8 below). Of a plant used medicinally, move the bowels.

κινεί κοιλίαν. Dsc. 2. 6.

A very strange figure belongs here, where a ship is said to 'stir its returning foot' meaning 'begin a homeward voyage'.

ἐπεὶ νόστιμον ναῦς ἐκίνησεν πόδα καί μ' ἀπὸ γᾶς ὥρισεν Ίλιάδος. Ε. Hec. 940.

5 The passive is used in a reflexive sense, move oneself, with the same possibilities as in 2 above. The military sense move forward proposed by LSJ is illusory.

ἔκλαγξαν δ' ἄρ' ὀϊστοὶ ἐπ' ὤμων χωομένοιο αὐτοῦ κινηθέντος.

"The arrows rattled on his shoulders as he moved, so angry he was.' Il. 1. 47.

κινήθη δ' ἀγορὴ φὴ κύματα μακρὰ θαλάσσης. 'A ripple ran through the assembly.' Il. 2. 144; so probably Il. 16. 280.

εἴπερ οίδε κινοῦνται λόχοι πρὸς ἄστυ Θήβης. S. OC 1371; Ε. Ph. 107.

ποντία

θύελλα κινηθείσα. S. OC 1660.

τί κεκίνηται; Ε. Andr. 1226.

It proves to be the goddess Thetis.

Άρκαδίας. Plb. 2. 54. 2.

προειπεῖν ὡς μηδεὶς κινήσοιτο ἐκ τῆς τάξεως. Χ. HG 2. 1. 22.

So of suffering an earthquake, where we might think of the island being moved, but the Greeks probably thought of it as moving itself.

Δήλος ἐκινήθη ... καὶ πρώτα καὶ ὕστατα μέχρι ἐμοῦ σεισθεῖσα. Hdt. 6. 98. 1; Th. 2. 8. 3.

Here too we may place, without giving it a special section as in LSJ:

αἰσχύνονται μὲν κινεῖσθαι τῷ σώματι τὰ τοιαῦτα 'to perform such bodily movements', a description of dancing.

Pl. Lg. 656a.

In later Greek we find the active used intransitively in this sense.

καὶ ἐκίνησεν ἐκεῖθεν Άβραὰμ εἰς γῆν πρὸς Λίβα. LXX Ge. 20. 1.

αδθις έκ ποδὸς ἐκίνει ποιούμενος τὴν πορείαν ώς ἐπ'

6 Another special sense is to move a thing from its place, remove. The first example refers to moving a counter in a game.

κινήσαις τὸν ἀπ' ἴρας †πύκινον λίθον. Alc. 351.

τὸν ἱρέα ἀπέκτεινε ἀπαγορεύοντα μὴ κινέειν τὸν ἀνδριάντα.

Hdt. 1. 183. 3.

κινήσαντά τι τῶν ἀκινήτων. Hdt. 6. 134. 2.

μη κινείτω γης όρια μηδείς. Pl. Lg. 842e.

καὶ διώκειν και κινείν τὸ στρατόπεδον νυκτὸς οὐκ ἀσφαλὲς ἐδόκει είναι. Χ. Αn. 6. 4. 27.

In two special senses:

κινήσας δὲ θύρην προσέφη τροφὸν Εὐρύκλειαν. i.e. partly opening the door. Od. 22. 394.

σκληρά ... ή γη έσται κινείν τῷ ζεύγει. Χ. Oec. 16. 11.

As LSJ rightly says, this refers to ploughing, but the sense of κινέω is more general.

7 With persons (or animals) as object, rouse to action, excite, stir up. The reaction provoked may be physical or emotional.

τοὺς (sc. σφῆκας) δ' εἴ περ παρά τίς τε κιὼν ἄνθρωπος ὁδίτης κινήση ἀκέων. ΙΙ. 16. 264.

μη κίνει Καμάριναν, ἀκίνητος γὰρ ἀμείνων.

Orac. ap. St. Byz.

έγερτὶ κινών ἄνδρ' ἀνὴρ ἐπιρρόθοις κακοίσιν. S. Ant. 413.

πειράσατ' άλλ' ύμεις γε κινήσαι πατρός τὸ δυσπρόσοιστον κάπροσήγορον στόμα. S. OC 1276.

έξ υπνου κινείν δέμας. Ε. Βα. 690.

τί ... κινείς στρατιάν ...; Ε. Rh. 18.

καὶ ἐγὼ ... βουλόμενος ἔτι λέγειν αὐτὸν ἐκίνουν καὶ εἶπον ... Pl. R. 329e.

This last is translated by LSJ incite or stir one up to speak, but it is obvious that $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is governed by $\beta o \nu \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$.

ό Σωκράτης βουλόμενος κινείν τὸν Εὐθύδημον ... ἔφη ... Χ. Μεт. 4. 2. 2.

έάν με κινής καὶ ποιήσης τὴν χολὴν ἄπασαν ... ζέσαι ... Anaxipp. 2 (K-A); Pherecr. 75. 5 (K-A). ὥστε κινήσαντος τοῦ Δίωνος δρόμω χωρεῖν. Plu. Dio 27. κεινεῖσθαι (sic) παθητικώς. Phld. Rh. 1. 193 (S).

The perfect participle passive has the usual aspectual force of denoting a present condition of arousal. By itself it can mean 'having one's passions aroused'.

ώς πρὸ τοῦ κεκινημένου τὸν σώφρονα δεῖ προαιρεῖσθαι φίλον. Pl. Phdr. 245b.

Ζεὺς, Άρης, Έρμης πρακτικοὺς, θερμοὺς, κεκινημένους ἀποτελοῦσιν. Vett. Val. 44. 22 (P) = 1. 20. 18.

This may be further restricted with a prepositional phrase.

έξ ὧν μάντεις τε κατασκευάζονται πολλοί καὶ περὶ πᾶσαν τὴν μαγγανείαν κεκινημένοι 'as a result of which many are turned

out as soothsayers and people deeply involved in all kinds of magic'. Pl. Lg. 908d.

The Loeb edition (R. G. Bury) here emends unnecessarily to γεγενημένοι.

έκ τούτων αὐτὴν κεκινημένην μᾶλλον πρὸς τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν 'that she is rather more concerned about taking care'.

X. Oec. 8. 1.

8 This verb is sometimes used of sexual stimulation, but it probably began as a polite substitute for the rhyming word $\beta \omega \epsilon \omega$ (q.v.).

γυναίκ' ἐκίνουν. Eup. 247. 3 (K-A); AP 11. 7.

It is found as variant reading in Aristophanes (Ach. 1052) and may well be correct there. Also in an obscene sense:

έγω δε κινήσω γε σου τον πρωκτόν. Ar. Eq. 364.

So probably in the passive:

ὧ κινούμενοι, πρὸς τῶν θεῶν δέξασθέ μου θοἰμάτιον. Αr. Nu. 1103.

9 To bring into operation, set going, provoke (an action or a result).

πῶν χρημα ἐκίνεε 'he was trying everything.' Hdt. 5. 96. 1.

ήλίου σέλας

έῷα κινεῖ φθέγματ' ὀρνίθων σαφῆ. S. El. 18.

μη κινήσης

άγρίαν όδύνην πατρός. S. Tr. 974.

őπλα μὲν μήπω κινείν (i.e. take warlike action). Th. 1.82. 1.

τον έκει πόλεμον κινείν. Th. 6. 34. 3; Pl. R. 566e.

μη κινείν δόρυ. Ε. Andr. 607.

μήτηρ

κινεί κραδίαν, κινεί δὲ χόλον. Ε. Med. 99.

ή δὲ ρίζα ... ποθείσα οὕρησιν κινεί. Dsc. 2. 109. 2; 2. 127.

ό κινών αὐτὰ (sc. τὰ φαινόμενα) λόγος. S. E. M. 8. 360.

Έμπεδοκλέα μέν γὰρ ὁ Άριστοτέλης φησὶ πρώτον ἡητορικὴν κεκινηκέναι. S. E. adv. dogmat. 1. 6. (p. 191 B).

This last is quoted by LSJ as Arist. fr. 65, but the expression is more likely that of Sextus. In the middle:

τάχ' ἄν στρατὸς κινοῖτ' ἀκούσας νυκτέρους ἐκκλησίας. Ε. Rh. 139.

To raise the question of, bring up, put forward (a subject, argument, etc.).

οὖδ' ἐπαισχύνεσθε γῆς
οὕτω νοσοῦσαν ἴδια κινοῦντες κακά; S. ΟΤ 636.
ἐπεὶ δὲ κινεῖς μῦθον ... Ε. Εl. 302.
τούτου χάριν τὰ πολλὰ καὶ ἄτοπα ταῦτα ἐκινήσαμεν.
Pl. Tht. 163a.
πάντα κινεῖ λόγον. Pl. Phlb. 15e; R. 450a.

11 To make a change in, interfere with, upset, disturb. The implication is that change is always for the worse.

νόμαιά τε κινέει πάτρια καὶ βιᾶται γυναῖκας. Hdt. 3. 80. 5. τὸ κινεῖν τοὺς πατρίους νόμους.

Arist. Pol. 1268b28; Cael. 271b11.

έπὶ γοῦν τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιστημῶν τοῦτο συνενήνοχεν, οἷον ἰατρικὴ κινηθείσα παρὰ τὰ πάτρια καὶ γυμναστική.

Arist. Pol. 1268b35.

τὸ μὲν οὖν τὴν γραφὴν κινεὶν ἐκ τοσούτων ἐτῶν εὐδοκιμήσασαν περιττὸν ἴσως 'to change the formula'.

Str. 7. 3. 4.

τὰ μέντοι ἡήματα, οὐκ ὄντα δεκτικὰ πτώσεως ἢ μόνης εὐθείας, ἀκωλύτως τὸ τέλος ἐκίνει ἐν προσώποις, ἐπεὶ οὐκέτι τὰ τῆς πτώσεως ἀμφήριστα. A.D. Pron. 104. 15.

With a clause as object:

μὴ κινοίη τις τὸ τὰ ἄκρα τῆς Ἰνδικῆς ... ἀνταίρειν τοῖς κατὰ Μερόην. Str. 2. 1. 12.

κινήσομεν τὸ πᾶν ζώον ἐκ πάντων τὴν σύστασιν ἔχειν.

Plot. 2. 1. 6.

Absolutely:

έν Αιγύπτω μετά την τετραήμερον κινείν έξεστι τοίς ιατροίς. Arist. Pol. 1268^b35.

μένος

- Any attempt to determine the meaning of this word is liable to be influenced by the Indo-Iranian parallels. Not only is Vedic mánas-, Avestan manah- formally identical, but the parallel extends to the compound adjectives, $\delta \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} s = A \nu$, $d u \dot{s} m a n a h$. Skt. durmanas-, εὐμενής ≈ Skt. sumánas, and the presence of the same element is attested in proper names. But although we can trace a common semantic element, the Indo-Iranian words seem to have developed in a different direction from the Greek. The Sanskrit word is thus defined by Monier-Williams's Dictionary: 'mind (in its widest sense as applied to all the mental powers), intellect, intelligence, understanding, perception, sense, conscience, will.' This meaning appears to be totally absent from the Greek usage. Only later does Monier-Williams mention mood, temper, spirit, which come closer to the meaning in Greek. Readers may be puzzled to find that the meaning strength, often used by translators, does not appear as the basic sense. The semantic investigation should begin without any preconceived notion of the word's meaning, and judgment should be postponed until the whole article has been read.
- I should begin with $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$ regarded as a permanent characteristic, and it is evident that it refers to a mental, not a physical, state. It may be defined as determination to impose one's own will, resolve, spirit.

Τρωσίν, τῶν μένος αἰἐν ἀτάσθαλον, οὐδὲ δύνανται φυλόπιδος κορέσασθαι ὁμοιῖου πτολέμοιο. Il. 13. 634. μένος ἄσχετοι υἶες Άχαιῶν. Od. 3. 104. Αμφιτρύωνος υἱὸς μένος αἰὲν ἀτειρής. Od. 11. 270. σχέτλιος εἴς, Ὀδυσεῦ, περί τοι μένος οὐδέ τι γυῖα κάμνεις. Od. 12. 279.

Of a goddess:

μητρός τοι μένος έστὶν ἀάσχετον, οὐκ ἐπιεικτόν, Ήρης. II. 5. 892.

Τριτογένειαν ἶσον ἔχουσαν πατρὶ μένος καὶ ἐπίφρονα βουλήν. Hes. Th. 896.

As a characteristic of wild animals and monsters, fierceness, fury.

οὕτ' οὖν παρδάλιος τόσσον μένος οὕτε λέοντος οὕτε συὸς κάπρου. Il. 17. 20.

ηματι τῷ ὅτε μοι μένος ἄσχετος ήσθιε Κύκλωψ ἰφθίμους έτάρους. Od. 20. 19.

ταύρου ἐριβρύχεω μένος ἀσχέτου. Hes. Th. 832.

οὐ γάρ τοι ταύρων σχήσει μένος οὐδὲ λέοντος ἀντιβίην. Orac. ap. Hdt. 7. 220. 4.

Of Cassandra compared to a newly captured animal:

ἥτις λιποῦσα μὲν πόλιν νεαίρετον ἥκει, χαλινὸν δ' οὐκ ἐπίσταται φέρειν πρὶν αίματηρὸν ἐξαφρίζεσθαι μένος. Α. Αg. 1067.

3 As a temporary state of mind, aggressiveness, reckless courage, temper.

μένεος δὲ μέγα φρένας ἀμφὶ μέλαιναι πίμπλαντ', ὅσσε δέ οἱ πυρὶ λαμπετόωντι ἐῖκτην. Il. 1. 103. ἢ, καὶ σκηπανίω γαιήοχος ἐννοσίγαιος ἀμφοτέρω κεκοπών πλῆσεν μένεος κρατεροῖο. Il. 13. 60. ὁρμήθη δ' Άχιλεύς, μένεος δ' ἐμπλήσατο θυμὸν

άγρίου. II. 22. 312. εΐθαρ μὲν μένεος πληντο φρένες. Hes. Th. 688; Sc. 429.

This idiom reappears in later Greek, possibly as a distant echo of Homer.

ξυσταλείς εΰτακτος όργης καὶ μένους έμπλήμενος.

Ar. V. 424.

μένους μὲν τὴν ψυχὴν πληρουμένην. Alcid. ap. Arist. Rh. 1406°2.

οίον πληρωθείς μένους. Plot. 5. 5. 8.

This last is translated 'spiritual exaltation' by LSJ, but this ignores the effect of olov 'as if'. In other contexts:

ένθ' αὖ Τυδεΐδη Διομήδεϊ Παλλὰς Ἀθήνη δῶκε μένος καὶ θάρσος. Il. 5. 2; Od. 1. 321.

ως είπων ότρυνε μένος καὶ θυμόν έκάστου. ΙΙ. 5. 470.

In later Greek:

ύπὸ δὲ προθυμίας καὶ μένους καὶ τοῦ σπεύδειν συμμεῖξαι δρόμου τινὲς ἦρξαν. Χ. Cyr. 3. 3. 61.

οὕτω πολὺ μένος καὶ θάρρος τοῖς στρατιώταις φασὶν ἐμπεσεῖν. Χ. ΗG 7. 1. 31.

ὅτε ζέσειεν τὸ τοῦ θυμοῦ μένος. Pl. Ti. 70b.

It is also thought of as distinguished by heavy breathing, hence people are said to breathe $\mu \acute{e}vos$.

μένεα πνείοντες Άβαντες. Il. 2. 536; Od. 22. 203.

This will explain a curious passage which has puzzled commentators, of Odysseus' anger on finding Laertes reduced to the condition of a peasant farmer.

τοῦ δ' ἀρίνετο θυμὸς, ἀνὰ ῥῖνας δέ οἱ ἤδη δριμὸ μένος προὔτυψε φίλον πατέρ' εἰορόωντι. Od. 24. 319.

4 Of animals:

ώς εἰπὼν ἵπποισιν ἐνέπνευσεν μένος ἢΰ. Il. 17. 456; 17. 476. αἱ δ' (ἵπποι) ἐξηρώησαν, ἐπεὶ μένος ἔλλαβε θυμόν. Il. 23. 468. μένει δ' ἐχάρασσον ὀδόντες ἄγρια δερκομένω (δράκοντε). Hes. Sc. 235. αἱ (κύνες) δ' ὑπὸ χαρᾶς καὶ μένους προΐασιν. Χ. Cyn. 6. 15.

5 Similarly, the loss of $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ is the result of injury or defeat, or it may become milder if calmed down.

μή μ' ἀπογυιώσης μένεος, ἀλκής τε λάθωμαι. ΙΙ. 6. 265.

There is a variant reading $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu'$ ἀπογυιώσης, μ ένεος δ' ἀλκῆς τε λάθωμαι, but in either case μ ένος is distinguished from ἀλκή.

σχέτλιος, αἰἐν ἀλιτρός, ἐμῶν μενέων ἀπερωεύς. Il. 8. 361. ὀξεῖαι δ' ὀδύναι δῦνον μένος Ἀτρείδαο. Il. 11. 268. αὐτὰρ ἐπεὶ Ξάνθοιο δάμη μένος. Il. 21. 383. ἔρχεο Περσεφόνη παρὰ μητέρα κυανόπεπλον ἤπιον ἐν στήθεσσι μένος καὶ θυμὸν ἔχουσα. h. Cer. 361; cf. 368.

6 When the loss of $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ is in the context of death, it means little more than the force which keeps a person or animal alive, *life-force*.

ἀπὸ γὰρ μένος (ἀρνῶν) εἴλετο χαλκός. Il. 3. 294.
τοῦ δ' αὖθι λύθη ψυχή τε μένος τε. Il. 5. 296.
καὶ μὲν τῶν ὑπέλυσε μένος καὶ φαίδιμα γυῖα. Il. 6. 27.
λῦσεν δὲ βοὸς μένος. Od. 3. 450.
ἄξεις δ' ἐξ Ἀΐδαο καταφθιμένου μένος ἀνδρός. Emp. 111. 9.
ἔτι γὰρ θερμαὶ
σύριγγες ἄνω φυσῶσι μέλαν
μένος. S. Aj. 1412.

7 The sense of aggressiveness is sometimes realised as actual hostile activity, aggression, fighting.

οὐ γὰρ παυσωλή γε μετέσσεται, οὐδ' ἠβαιόν, εἰ μὴ νὺξ ἐλθοῦσα διακρινέει μένος ἀνδρῶν.

Il. 2. 387; Od. 16. 269.

σύν ρ' έβαλον ρινούς, σὺν δ' ἔγχεα καὶ μένε' ἀνδρῶν χαλκεοθωρήκων. ΙΙ. 4. 447.

οί δὲ μένος χειρῶν ἰθὺς φέρον. ΙΙ. 5. 506; 16. 602.

προφυγόντα μένος καὶ χείρας Άχαιῶν. Il. 6. 502; Od. 9. 457.

ούς τε Κρονίων

θυμοβόρου ἔριδος μένεϊ ξυνέηκε μάχεσθαι. ΙΙ. 7. 210.

őθι περ Τρώες καὶ Άχαιοὶ

έν μέσω αμφότεροι μένος Άρηος δατέονται. Il. 18. 264.

τῶν ἄμυδις μίχθη μένος, ὧρτο δ' ἀϋτή. ΙΙ. 20. 374.

τῷ κέ τεῳ στύξαιμι μένος καὶ χεῖρας ἀάπτους. 'I would make him dread my attack and invincible hands.' Od. 11. 502.

8 $M \notin \nu o s$ with the genitive of a personal name is used as a periphrasis for the person, but with the implication that he is a person who commands respect for his forcefulness.

ως έπεσ' Έκτορος ωκα χαμαί μένος έν κονίησι. ΙΙ. 14. 418.

την μέν Έχεκλησς κρατερον μένος Άκτορίδαο ηγάγετο προς δώματ', έπεὶ πόρε μυρία έδνα.

Il. 16. 189; 23. 837; Hes. fr. 252. 6.

ώς είπων δεσμον άνίει μένος Ήφαίστοιο. Od. 8. 359.

The masculine participle here is particularly noteworthy, though if the original text read $EI\Pi ON$ it is possible that $\epsilon i\pi \omega \nu$ is a later interpretation.

ίερον μένος Άντινόοιο. Od. 18. 34.

On the last see the note on $i\epsilon\rho\delta s$, section 11. In view of the differing sense of both noun and epithet, the equation of this phrase with Vedic $isir\epsilon na$ mánasā seems even more questionable, but a proper investigation of this must await a similar appraisal of the sense of the Vedic words.

The traditional view that $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$ means physical strength, might is not unjustified, although as demonstrated most examples refer to mental qualities, or at least may do so. But there are a small number where the physical sense is, if not strictly necessary, sufficiently plausible for this to be admitted as a possibility. One example relates to a spear-thrust which had lost its impetus, described as Ares losing his $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \varsigma$, but it is clear from the context that this is not the god, but simply martial spirit.

```
ένθα δ' έπειτ' ἀφίει μένος ὄβριμος Άρης. Il. 13. 444.
```

More persuasively, it is used to describe the effect of food and drink; this might have an effect on morale, but its obvious result is to give strength.

```
άλλὰ πάσασθαι ἄνωχθι θοῆς ἐπὶ νηυσὶν Άχαιοὺς
σίτου καὶ οἴνοιο· τὸ γὰρ μένος ἐστὶ καὶ ἀλκή. Il. 19. 161.
```

This suggests a similar meaning where it is coupled with provisions.

```
καί νύ κεν ήια πάντα κατέφθιτο καὶ μένε' ἀνδρῶν, εἰ μή τίς με θεῶν ὀλοφύρατο. Od. 4. 363.
```

Even clearer is its application to mules, where their stubbornness is hardly the point at issue.

```
κούρην δέ προτί ἄστυ φέρεν μένος ήμιόνοιϊν. Od. 7. 2.
```

So after Homer:

```
καρπαλίμως δ' ἄρ' ἔπειτα μένος καὶ φαίδιμα γυῖα
ηὕξετο τοῖο ἄνακτος. Hes. Th. 492.
παντὶ μένει σπεύδων. Hes. Sc. 364.
```

And perhaps:

λογισμός μέν ὧν ὁ κρατέων τᾶς γνώσιος, θυμὸς δὲ ὁ κρατέων τῶ μένεος. Theages ap. Stob. 3. 1. 117.

In Homer and early and classical Greek verse a number of natural phenomena are described as possessing $\mu \acute{e}\nu os$. This may be because strength or power can be attributed to them. But to the primitive mind these phenomena can be regarded as having a will of their own and being capable of inflicting harm, so that they are to some extent personified. Thus rivers (cf. Xanthos in Il. 21. 383, section 5 above):

ποταμῶν μένος εἰσαγαγόντες. Il. 12. 18. ἔνθα ποταμὸς ἐκφυσᾳ μένος κροταφῶν ἀπ' αὐτῶν. A. Pr. 720.

Wind or storm:

ὄφρ' εΰδησι μένος Βορέαο καὶ ἄλλων ζαχρειῶν ἀνέμων. II. 5. 524. οὕτ' ἀνέμων διάη μένος ὑγρὸν ἀέντων. Od. 5. 478. παύσεις δ' ἀκαμάτων ἀνέμων μένος. Emp. 11. 3. χειμῶνος ἐκφυγόντες ἄγριον μένος. Ε. Heracl. 428.

Of fire:

Χίμαιρα, δεινὸν ἀποπνείουσα πυρὸς μένος αἰθομένοιο. Il. 6. 182. πρῶτον μὲν κατὰ πυρκαϊὴν σβέσατ' αἴθοπι οἴνω πᾶσαν, ὁπόσσον ἐπέσχε πυρὸς μένος. Il. 23. 238; 24. 792; Od. 11. 220.

δεῦρο Μοῦσ' ἐλθὲ φλεγυρὰ πυρὸς ἔχουσα μένος. Ar. Ach. 665.

The sun or other heavenly bodies:

μὴ πρὶν μένος ἠελίοιο σκήλει' ἀμφὶ χρόα ἴνεσιν ἠδὲ μέλεσσιν. Il. 23. 190.

ό μὲν ποταμόνδε κατήϊεν ἐκ νομοῦ ὕλης πιόμενος δὴ γάρ μιν ἔχεν μένος ἠελίοιο. Od. 10. 160; h. Ap. 371; Hes. Op. 414.

ἄστρων θερμὸν μένος. Parm. 11. 3.

αἰθέριον μὲν γάρ σφε μένος πόντονδε διώκει. Emp. 115. 9.

This is then extended to an abstract concept by Aeschylus:

μέξ, μές 195

ποῖ καταλήξει μετακομισθὲν μένος ἄτης; Α. Ch. 1076.

11 From these cases it became extended to other things regarded as active forces, usually with the implication of being dangerous. The first is a difficult example, where we may suspect that personification is implied.

ένθ' οὕτ' ἠελίοιο διείδεται ὧκέα γυῖα οὐδὲ μὲν οὐδ' αἵης λάσιον μένος οὐδὲ θάλασσα. Emp. 27. 2.

This plainly means where neither the sun nor the earth nor the sea can be discerned. The $\omega\kappa\epsilon\alpha$ $\gamma\nu\epsilon\alpha$ of the sun obviously imply personification, and since $\lambda\epsilon\alpha$ properly means 'covered with hair' and only acquires by transference the sense of 'densely wooded', it is likely that the earth here is thought of as resembling a shaggy monster. If so, this passage should not be quoted by LSJ as evidence for the meaning 'overgrown'. There is in any case a further extension of the sense in the phrase $\lambda\epsilon\alpha$ quoted by LSJ, so that the sense of 'dangerous, menacing' may be present here too.

12 A clearer case for the meaning effectiveness, powerful force emerges from the following examples:

ύδωρ μεταποτέον ολίγον ήσσον γὰρ ἂν οὕτω τὸ ἀπὸ τοῦ οἵνου μένος ἄπτοιτο κεφαλής καὶ γνώμης. Ηp. Acut. 63.

τὸ γὰρ τοῦ λιμοῦ μένος δύναται ἰσχυρῶς ἐν τῆ φύσει τοῦ ἀνθρώπου. Hp. VM 9.

Aeschylus twice uses $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ to mean the controlling force of a bridle:

ἵππος χαλινῶν ὡς κατασθμαίνων μένει. Α. Τh. 393. βία χαλινῶν τ' ἀναύδω μένει. Α. Ag. 238.

μέξ, μές

The form $\mu \acute{\epsilon}_S$ is now listed by the New Supplement. It occurs in two Thessalian inscriptions of ii BC from Larissa:

γυμνασιαρχέντουν μές μέν τᾶς πετράδος τοι Όμολουίοι μειννός Νικασίμμοι ... κτλ. SEG 31. 577. 196 μέξ, μές

Since this is contrasted with $a\tau$ τas μa $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau as$ (= $a\pi a$ $\tau \eta s$ $\delta \epsilon$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \eta s$) it is clear that it means 'up to the fourth of the month'. Similarly:

γυμνασιαρχέντουν μές τᾶς πέμπ[τ]ας [τ]οι Όμολουίοι BCH 100, 163, B. 8.

In both these cases we can assume a sense as far as, up to (here a point in time). The same element is well known to occur in compounds in Thessalian $\mu\epsilon\sigma\pi\sigma\delta\iota$, a conjunction meaning 'until', and epic $\mu\epsilon\sigma\phi$ (preposition with genitive or accusative and conjunction); $\mu\epsilon\sigma\phi$ 'ès occurs in Callimachus (fr. Del. 47), later epic also $\mu\epsilon\sigma\phi\iota$, West Greek $\mu\epsilon\sigma\tau$ a, Arcadian $\mu\epsilon\sigma\tau\epsilon$ (conjunction).

- There is now a Thessalian inscription from Scotoussa of ii BC, recently published by V. Messailidou-Despotidou, ABSA 88 (1993), 187–217, which contains several times the form $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{s} \pi \hat{o} \tau$ followed by an accusative and once $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi} \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \tau a \mu \hat{o} \nu$. In each case $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{s}$ or $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi}$ is immediately followed by a preposition expressing motion, and it seems preferable to read them as independent words $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{s}$ and $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi}$. There can be no doubt that they both mean 'as far as', 'up to', in this case a point in space. The form $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi}$ is new, and opens up the possibility that $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{s}$ is the preconsonantal form of $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi}$. It is significant that apart from epic, where it may be of Aeolic origin, this word appears only in dialects which also show $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{s}$ as the preconsonantal form of $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{\xi}$, viz. Thessalian, Arcadian, and Cretan.
- 3 The various endings attached to this element do not show any consistent pattern. The new examples show it preceding a normal preposition ($\pi \delta \tau = \pi \sigma \tau i$, $\epsilon \nu + \text{accusative}$). The conjunction $\mu \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \omega$ $\delta \iota$ has been analysed as containing the reflex of a neuter relative $k^{\omega}od$ (cf. ϵls $\delta \kappa \epsilon$) and a deictic $-\iota$. The new form suggests that a corruption of $\mu \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \iota$ is also a possibility, but there is no way of verifying this. The elements $-\phi \alpha$, $-\phi \iota$ (if not really $-\sigma \phi \alpha$, $-\sigma \phi \iota$) are obscure, since although $-\phi \iota$ might be a case form, $-\phi \alpha$ cannot. The forms $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$ and $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ answer to Aeolic $\delta \tau \alpha$ and Arcadian $\delta \tau \epsilon$, with a dental and not a labio-velar suffix, since o-te is also Mycenaean.
- 4 There is, however, evidence for a Cretan form spelt *MET* in an inscription of v BC from Lyttos (H. and M. van Effenterre, *BCH* 109 (1985), 163). This has been plausibly taken by the editors as

μέξ, μές 197

representing $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau(\tau)$ ' since in both examples a vowel follows. The clearer one is:

åι å δδὸς ὖπανπέτιν μετ(τ)' ἐς Πυτ [B 8 'following the ascending road as far as Pyt[.'

The last word is presumably a place-name; the other is similar:

åι à όδὸς à εἰθεῖα μέτ[τ]' Ἀμαρτει[. .]ε B 5 'following the straight road as far as'.

Here the editors tentatively suggest restoring the place name as $\Lambda\rho\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon i[\alpha\zeta]\epsilon$ 'jusque vers (le lieu-dit) Les Fautes'. It is certainly hard to separate the examples with ϵ s from $\mu\epsilon\xi$ ϵ v and $\mu\epsilon\sigma\phi$ ' ϵ s. But there does not seem to be any way of reconciling these with the form $\mu\epsilon\tau\tau$ (a). Cretan assimilates $\sigma\theta$ to $\theta\theta$, but not $\sigma\tau$ to $\tau\tau$. It would only be possible to bring these forms together by reconstructing * $\mu\epsilon\kappa$ - $\tau\alpha$, which would be liable to develop to $\mu\epsilon\tau\alpha$; cf. $\Lambda i\tau\tau\sigma$ s < $\Lambda i\kappa\tau\sigma$ s.

- 5 Another form of the same meaning is the familiar $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$, with its variant $\ddot{a} \chi \rho \iota$. It has been doubted whether a- really represents the zero-grade with $*\eta$; but the parallel of $\ddot{a} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \nu \rho \rho \nu$ and Mycenaean me-re-u-ro = meleuron is some confirmation that this falls into the same pattern as $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \gamma a$ -/ $\mathring{a} \gamma a$ -. The possibility therefore arises that $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$ is the product of *meks-ri, though the termination is again obscure.
- 6 But what could $\mu \epsilon \xi$ represent? It is tempting to associate it with $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$, itself a problematic word. Merely as a suggestion I should speculate on the existence of an abstract neuter noun * $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$, exactly paralleled by Sanskrit mahah, meaning 'size', of which $\mu \epsilon \xi$ might be a reduced or syncopated form. (For my views on the effect of the Thessalian accent see Glotta 70 (1992), 2–14.) This would allow the explanation of its absence from later Greek as due to transference to the category of adjective (cf. Latin adjective uetus from a substantive = Greek $\epsilon \tau \alpha s$). It is surely significant that the only inflected forms are accusative $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$ and neuter $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$, the rest of the inflexion being supplied by the suffix $-\lambda \alpha s$. This would seem to be easier than the proposal to derive $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha s$ from $\mu \epsilon \gamma s$.
- 7 There remains the alleged Armenian cognate merj meaning 'near'; on this see now J. Clackson, The Linguistic Relationship

between Armenian and Greek, (Oxford: Blackwell, 1994), 152. Since it is not precisely parallel in sense, it might be related without giving any useful information about the precise form of this root. In view of the many uncertainties, all that is safe to say is that the etymology of this group of words needs to be revised in the light of the new evidence for a form $\mu \epsilon \xi$.

νέμω

- I LSJ divides its article into two major parts: A deal out, dispense; B pasture, graze. At first sight it is hard to discern any semantic link between these two senses, yet unless we have here a conflation of two different lexical items, there must at some stage have been an archetypal sense which can be reconstructed as the starting-point for all later developments. Both logic and experience dictate that archetypal senses are not vague and abstract, but specific and concrete. I believe therefore that a satisfactory starting-point can be found in the notion of providing with food, feeding. It is very easy to see that providing domesticated animals with food is normally achieved by driving them out to pasture, and the verb thus becomes a general expression for tending animals, a notion which will be constantly needed in an agricultural community. The other main sense is a little harder to explain, but may begin from the operation of feeding a human community, where the person in charge has to divide the food into portions and see that it is fairly distributed. The English words lord and lady likewise disclose a preoccupation with food, since both contain the Old English hlāf 'loaf', bread. It is then possible to see the sense of assign, distribute arising naturally from the process of doling out food.
- 2 For this reason a lexical treatment ought to begin with the 'pastoral' senses. Their arrangement is complicated by two factors: senses may be classified by the type of object with which the verb is used; and in certain senses the middle voice is employed. Thus I would place first pasture, graze a domesticated animal.

έθήτευον ... ὁ μὲν ἵππους νέμων, ὁ δὲ βοῦς, ὁ δὲ νεώτατος ... τὰ λεπτὰ τῶν προβάτων. Hdt. 8. 137. 2.

παίδες μέν οὖν μοι κλιτύων ἐν ἐσχάτοις νέμουσι μῆλα νέα νέοι πεφυκότες. Ε. Cyc. 28.

τὸν hιερεν πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι οἶς νέμεν καὶ ζεῦγος καὶ αἶγα.
'The priest may pasture 25 sheep, a yoke (of oxen) and a goat.'
Schwyzer 654. 1 (Tegea, iv BC).

καθάπερ ποιμένες κτήνη πλήγη νέμοντες. Pl. Criti. 109c.

In the passive:

παν γαρ έρπετον πλήγη νέμεται. Heraclit. 11.

ἔφασαν (ἴππους) νεμομένας άρπασθηναι ὑπὸ τῶν ἄνω Θρηίκων 'they claimed the mares had been rustled while out to pasture'.

Hdt. 8. 115. 4.

There seems to be no Homeric example of this transitive use, but it occurs used absolutely, tend animals.

μένομεν τέ μιν ἔνδο: ἥμενοι, ἦος ἐπῆλθε νέμων. Od. 9. 233.

lv Άλέαι μὲ νέμεν μέτε ξένον μέτε Γαστόν, εἰ μὲ ἐπὶ θοίναν hίκοντα. 'Neither stranger nor citizen may pasture his animals in Alea, unless attending a festival.' Schwyzer 654. 11.

εὶ μέλλομεν ἱκανὴν (χώραν) ἔξειν νέμειν τε καὶ ἀροῦν. Pl. R. 373d.

3 A natural development from this is where the object is not the animals, but the land on which they are pastured. We may define this sense as range over with animals, use land as pasture.

ή μὲν γὰρ (χώρη) πρὸς τὴν ἠῶ τῆς Λιβύης, τὴν οἱ νομάδες νέμουσι, ἐστὶ ταπεινή τε καὶ ψαμμώδης. Hdt. 4. 191. 3. μηδετέρους οἰκεῖν τὸ χωρίον, ἀλλὰ κοινῆ νέμειν 'neither party to settle the area, but to share the pasturage'. Th. 5. 42. 1. τί δ' ὑμεῖς ... ἐπεὶ ὄρη ἀγαθὰ ἔχετε, ἐθέλοιτ' αν ἐαν νέμειν ταῦτα τοὺς Άρμενίους ...; Χ. Cyr. 3. 2. 20.

In the passive, with the dative of the animals:

οὖκ ἄβατόν ἐστι τὸ ὅρος, ἀλλὰ νέμεται αἰξὶ καὶ βουσίν. Χ. Αn. 4. 6. 17.

The same sense is conveyed by the middle, i.e. use for oneself as pasture.

τὸ δὲ πρὸς τὴν ἢῶ ... νομάδες ἥδη Σκύθαι νέμονται, οὕτε τι σπείροντες οὐδὲν οὕτε ἀροῦντες. Hdt. 4. 19.

4 By a transference this sense is used to mean range over with fire.

πυρὶ δὲ καὶ ταύτας (sc. χώρας) νείμαντας. Hdt. 6. 33. 2.

In the passive:

ώς εἴ τε πυρὶ χθὼν πᾶσα νέμοιτο. Il. 2. 780. ἔδοξε γὰρ πυρὶ νέμεσθαι πολλῷ τὴν Μακεδόνων φάλαγγα. Plu. Alex. 18. 4.

5 This leads naturally to the use of the middle of animals graze, either absolutely or with an accusative of the pasture.

αι (βόες) ρά τ' εν ειαμένη έλεος μεγάλοιο νέμονται. ΙΙ. 15. 631.

> αί δὲ (σύες) νέμονται πί τε κούνη Άρεθούση

πὰρ Κόρακος πέτρη ἐπί τε κρήνη Ἀρεθούση ἔσθουσαι βάλανον. Od. 13. 407.

φανέντων δὲ αὐτῶν (sc. ὀφίων) οἱ ἵπποι, μετιέντες τὰς νομὰς νέμεσθαι, φοιτῶντες κατήσθιον. Hdt. 1. 78. 1.

κραγέται δὲ κολοιοὶ ταπεινὰ νέμονται. Pi. N. 3. 82.

δρεία τις ώς λέαιν' δργάδων

δρύοχα νεμομένα τάδε κατήνυσεν. Ε. ΕΙ. 1163.

6 By a transference from this it may be similarly used of other things which spread or extend.

έπὶ τοῦ μαστοῦ ἔφυ φῦμα, μετὰ δὲ ἐκραγὲν ἐνέμετο πρόσω. Hdt. 3. 133. 1.

πρὸς τὰ νεμόμενα έλκη. Thphr. HP 9. 9. 5; Philum. Ven. 17. 1.

With accusative:

τοῦτο τὸ ψεῦδος ἰὸν ἔχει, νέμεται τὴν ψυχήν. Plu. 2. 165a.

-unless the sense is 'devour', see 12 below.

7 It is not always clear whether pasturage or more general occupancy is intended. But we can certainly see examples where the middle is used to mean occupy as living space, live on.

οι θ' Υρίην ενέμοντο καὶ Αὐλίδα πετρήεσσαν. ΙΙ. 2. 496.

οί περὶ Δωδώνην δυσχείμερον οἰκί' ἔθεντο,

οι τ' άμφ' ίμερτον Τιταρησσον έργ' ένέμοντο. ΙΙ. 2. 751.

If $\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ means 'worked land', this example belongs here; but it might also be assigned to the sense *exploit* (see 8 below). So too:

οί δ' έθελημοί

ήσυχοι ἔργ' ἐνέμοντο σὺν ἐσθλοῖσιν πολέεσσιν. Hes. Op. 119. καὶ τέμενος νεμόμεσθα μέγα Ξάνθοιο παρ' ὄχθας.

Il. 12. 313; Od. 11. 185.

οι νεμόμεσθ' Ίθάκην εὐδείελον. Od. 2. 167.

ὄφρα σὺ μὲν χαίρων πατρώϊα πάντα νέμηαι ἔσθων καὶ πίνων. Od. 20. 336.

άγρούς τε πάντας, τοὺς ἀπούρας άμετέρων τοκέων νέμεαι. Pi. P. 4. 150.

ή δὲ εἶχε αὐτὴ τοῦ παιδὸς τὰ γέρεα ἐν Κυρήνη καὶ τἆλλα νεμομένη. Hdt. 4. 165. 1.

πόλιες μεν αθται αι τον Άθων νέμονται.

Hdt. 7. 23. 1; 7. 123. 1.

νεμόμενοί τε τὰ αὐτῶν έκαστοι ὅσον ἀποζῆν. Τh. 1. 2. 2.

This may well imply pasturage, but probably includes other forms of agriculture.

8 In examples which are plainly not agricultural we may establish the sense occupy for profit, exploit, enjoy. This sense survives into modern Greek.

ὄρος ... ἐν τῷ χρύσεά τε καὶ ἀργύρεα ἔνι μέταλλα, τὰ νέμονται Πίερες. Hdt. 7. 112; Th. 1. 100. 2.

καὶ μέχρ[ι] τ[οῦ] νῦν νέμομα[ι π]ροσόδους.

BGU 256. 9 (ii AD).

So also in the active:

 $\Gamma \hat{a}$... \hat{a} τὸν μέγαν Πa κτωλὸν εὔχρυσον νέμεις. S. Ph. 393.

The examples with $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma a$ mentioned in 7 above may belong here.

9 In both middle and active the verb can be used to mean *live* in specified circumstances.

άλλὰ παρὰ μέν τιμίοις θεῶν ...

ἄδακρυν νέμονται

alŵva. Pi. O. 2. 66.

ήσυχα τε νεμόμενος. Pi. P. 11. 55.

μεταμειβόμενοι δ' ἐναλλὰξ ἁμέραν τὰν μὲν παρὰ πατρὶ φίλω Δὶ νέμονται. Pi. N. 10. 56.

Two of these examples are quoted by LSJ as examples of spend, pass time, as if αἰῶνα and ἀμέραν were direct objects. In the active:

δς Συρακόσσαισι νέμει βασιλεύς. Ρί. Ρ. 3. 70.

10 To direct, guide, wield a physical object.

ἀσπίδ' εὔκυκλον νέμων (var. lect. εὖκήλως ἔχων) πάγχαλκον. Α. Th. 590.

γλῶσσαν ἐν τύχα νέμων 'using his tongue at random'.

A. Ag. 685.

οὐδ' εὖ πραπίδων οἴακα νέμων Α. Ag. 802.

Describing the bandaging of the head:

ἄγομεν τὴν ἐπείλησιν ... ἐπικάρσιον ... κατὰ τοῦ βρέγματος ... καὶ παρὰ τὰς ἐτέρας λεγομένας μετωπιαίας ἄνω νέμομεν. Sor. Fasc. 4.

In the middle:

έπὶ τὸ ἄνω νεμέσθω (τὸ ὀθόνιον) ἐπιδέων. Hp. Fract. 4; 16.

II From the notion of taking animals to pasture arises that of controlling generally; hence a meaning be in charge of, control, rule.

άλλ' & Κρόνιε παι Ρέας, έδος Όλύμπου νέμων. Pi. O. 2. 12.

This example might also be taken as meaning live in (7 above). More clearly:

τόνδε λαὸν ἀβλαβῆ νέμων. Ρί. Ο. 13. 27.

Perhaps:

εὶ δέ τις ἔνδον νέμει πλοῦτον κρυφαίον. Ρί. Ι. 1. 67.

δ πάντα νέμων ... Ζεύς. Α. Ρτ. 526.

έπί τε τοισι κατεστώσι ἔνεμε τὴν πόλιν κοσμέων καλώς τε καὶ εὖ. Hdt. 1. 59. 6; 5. 29. 2.

οι περ ένεμον τότε τὰς Ἀθήνας. Hdt. 5. 71. 2.

ώς τὰ σὰ

κράτη θανόντος καὶ δόμους νέμοιμι σούς. S. Aj. 1016. τοις τὴν σύνοδον νέμουσιν. OGI 50. 3 (Ptolemais, iii BC).

In the passive:

τό τε κατ' ὑμέας, τάδε πάντα ὑπὸ βαρβάροισι νέμεται 'and as far as you are concerned all this might be subject to barbarians'.

Hdt. 7. 158. 2.

καὶ μέχρι τοῦδε πολλὰ τῆς Ἑλλάδος τῷ παλαιῷ τρόπῳ νέμεται. Th. 1. 5. 3; 1. 6. 2.

12 As a different line of development the middle may be used of living creatures, have as food, feed on; cf. Latin uescor.

τοίσιν δ' (ἵπποῖς) ἀμβροσίην Σιμόεις ἀνέτελλε νέμεσθαι. II. 5. 777.

Polyphemos addressing his ram:

οὔ τι πάρος γε λελειμμένος ἔρχεαι οἰῶν, ἀλλὰ πολὺ πρῶτος νέμεαι τέρεν' ἄνθεα ποίης. Od. 9. 449. οὐ φορβὰν ἱερᾶς γᾶς σπόρον, οὐκ ἄλλων αἴρων τῶν νεμόμεσθ' ἀνέρες ἀλφησταί 'taking as food not the seed of holy earth, not of other things that we men feed on'. S. Ph. 709.

αἳ δὲ νεμομέναις χλόην μόσχοις ἐπῆλθον. Ε. Βα. 735. νεμόμεσθα δ' ἐν κήποις τὰ λευκὰ σήσαμα. Αr. Αv. 159.

As a metaphor from this we find $\nu \epsilon \mu o \mu a \iota$ used of fire, to devour (in the first example absolutely; cf. 4 above).

έν δὲ πυρὸς μένος ἦκε σιδήρεον, ὄφρα νέμοιτο. Il. 23. 177. τὰ περιέσχατα νεμομένου τοῦ πυρός. Hdt. 5. 101. 2.

13 A quite different line of development starts from the action of dividing up and distributing food and drink. Literally to *distribute*, *dispense* food and drink:

Πάτροκλος μὲν σῖτον έλὼν ἐπένειμε τραπέζη καλοῖς ἐν κανέοισιν, ἀτὰρ κρέα νεῖμεν ἄχιλλεύς. Il. 9. 217. οἱ δ' ἥδη μοίρας τ' ἔνεμον κερόωντό τε οἶνον. Od. 8. 470.

κρητήρα κερασσάμενος μέθυ νείμον πâσιν ἀνὰ μέγαρον. Οd. 7. 179.

ή δὲ τρίτη κρητήρι μελίφρονα οΐνον ἐκίρνα ήδὺν ἐν ἀργυρέω, νέμε δὲ χρύσεια κύπελλα. Οd. 10. 357.

 $v[\epsilon]\mu[\bar{\epsilon}]v$ $E_{\rho v}\theta_{\rho a}(\bar{\delta}v = [\tau]o[\hat{\iota}]s = \pi a \rho \hat{\delta}\sigma \iota[v = \tau \hat{\delta}v = \kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\delta}v = \tau] \hat{\delta}s$ $h_{\iota}\epsilon_{\rho o}\pi_{o}(\iota)\hat{\delta}s = \delta_{\rho}[a\chi]\mu\hat{\epsilon}v = [h_{\epsilon}\kappa]\hat{a}[\sigma]\tau \hat{\delta}\iota. = IG = \iota^{2}. = \iota o. = 3.$

14 Then later it is used generally to mean distribute, allot.

γεονόμος δὲ hελέσθ[αι] ... hοῦτοι δὲ ν $\bar{\epsilon}$ μάντ $[\bar{o}v \ \tau \dot{\bar{\epsilon}}v \ \gamma \hat{\epsilon}v]$.

οὐκ ἔστιν ὅτω μείζονα μοῖραν νείμαιμ' ἢ σοί. Α. Pr. 292.

Λύκω

τὸν ἀντίπλευρον κῆπον Εὐβοίας νέμει. S. fr. 24.

τρίτον μέρος νείμαντες των σκύλων τοις Άθηναίοις.

Th. 3. 114. 1.

In the perfect passive, with retained accusative, be divided or distributed:

λέγοντες ώς πλείστα μέρη ή οὐσία νενεμημένη εἴη. Pl. Prm. 144d.

τρίποδες ... κρεῶν μεστοὶ νενεμημένων. Χ. An. 7. 3. 21. $\dot{\epsilon}$ κ δὲ φυλῆς ἑκάστης ἦσαν νενεμημέναι τρίττυες μὲν τρεῖς ... Arist. Ath. 8. 3; 63. 4.

So in the middle, conduct the distribution of property.

πρὸς τὸν ἀδελφὸν δ' οὕτως ἐνειμάμην ὥστ' ἐκείνον πλέον ὁμολογεῖν ἔχειν ἐμοῦ τῶν πατρώων. Lys. 16. 10; 19. 46. ἔμ' οἴεσθ' ὑμῖν εἰσοίσειν, ὑμεῖς δὲ νέμεσθαι; D. 21. 203.

15 This is then naturally extended to abstract objects, confer, assign, devote. This sense is very common and only a few of the examples are quoted here.

Ζεὺς δ' αὐτὸς νέμει ὅλβον Ὀλύμπιος ἀνθρώποισιν. Od. 6. 188.

νέμων εἰκότως ἄδικα μὲν κακοῖς, ὅσια δ' ἐννόμοις. Α. Supp. 403. Ζεὺς τά τε καὶ τὰ νέμει. Pi. I. 5. 52; P. 5. 55.

τὸν πατρὸς φόνον

πράξαντα μητρός μηδαμώς τιμάς νέμειν. Α. Ευ. 624; 747.

 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{a}$ " $\sigma a \nu \epsilon \mu \acute{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ 'if the gods are even-handed'.

Hdt. 6. 11. 3; 6. 109. 5.

εί νέμοι τις αιρεσιν 'if anyone gave you the choice'.

S. Aj. 265; Tr. 57.

 $\hat{\eta}$ καὶ τὸ πιστὸν τῆς ἀληθείας νεμεῖς; 'will you also guarantee the truth of this?' S. Tr. 398.

τήνδ' οὖν ἐκείνω πᾶς τις αἰτίαν νέμει. S. Aj. 28.

μήτε οἴκτω πλέον νείμαντες μήτ' ἐπιεικεία 'making greater concessions neither to pity nor to fairness'. Th. 3. 48. 1.

τῷ τ' ὄχλῳ πλέον νέμεις. Ε. Hec. 868.

ιν' ό ποντο-

μέδων πορφυρέας λίμνας ναύταις οὐκέθ' όδὸν νέμει. Ε. Ηίρρ. 745.

κάμοὶ δεῖ νέμειν ὑμᾶς χάριν. Ar. Av. 384; cf. Gal. 6. 753.

ὅτι πενία καὶ πλούτω ... διαφέρουσαν οὐδ' ἡντινοῦν τιμὴν καὶ τροφὴν νέμετε. Pl. Lg. 696a.

The use of $\tau \rho o \phi \dot{\eta}$ here recalls the origin of this sense.

ἔλασσον ἔνειμαν ἂν τῷ τεθνηκότι τῶν ἐν τῷ νόμῳ κειμένων 'they would have paid less respect to the dead man than is laid down in the law'. Antipho 5. 10.

16 Used with a predicate it acquires the sense assign to a category, rank as.

καὶ τὸ μὲν ἀπ' ἡμέων οὕτω ἀκίβδηλον νέμεται ἐπὶ τοὺς Έλληνας. Hdt. 9. 7. a. 2.

σὲ δ' ἔγωγε νέμω θεόν. S. El. 150; 598.

φίλον σ' έγω μέγιστον Άργείων νέμω. S. Aj. 1331.

ημαρτον, εἴ τι τήνδ' αἵμαρτίαν νέμεις. S.Tr. 483.

νομοθέτη κολαστὴν τῶν ἁμαρτημάτων θάνατον ἀνάγκη νέμειν. Pl. Lg. 863a.

καὶ τοὺς μὲν μετοίκους τοιούτους εἶναι νομίζομεν, οἴους περ αν τοὺς προστάτας νέμωσιν 'we judge the character of metics by the kind of people they adopt as patrons'.

Isoc. 8. 53; Hyp. fr. 21; Arist. Pol. 1275 12.

17 It is easy then to see how this develops to mean assign to a list, register.

σὺ δ' ἐν θρόνοισι γραμμάτων πτυχὰς ἔχων νέμ' εἴ τις οὐ πάρεστιν ὃς ξυνώμοσεν.

'Note down if any of the conspirators are not present.'

S. fr. 144.

νείμαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους πρὸς τὴν λῆξιν ἑκάστην 'and to register the others as eligible for each selection by lot'.

Arist. Ath. 30. 3; 31. 3.

τὰς γυναῖκάς φασιν ἐν καλῷ τίθεσθαι ὅτι πλείστους νέμειν ἄνδρας 'they say that the women account it honourable to list as many husbands as possible.' Str. 11. 13. 11.

In the passive with adverb:

οὐδέ μοι ἐμμελέως τὸ Πιττάκειον νέμεται.

'Nor in my opinion is this properly assigned to the sayings of Pittakos.' Simon. 5. 9 (= 37. 12).

ἄχρι τέ[s] hoδô τέσδε τὸ ἄστυ τέιδε νενέμεται 'the city is recorded as extending here up to this road'. IG 1². 893.

The perfect participle passive thus means duly listed, entered on the register.

οὐδὲ ... τούς γε μὴ νενεμημένους ... παρίεμεν εἰς τοὺς ἀθλητικοὺς ἀγῶνας. Plb. 6. 47. 8.

I am well aware that this analysis of the pattern of development of this verb is at variance with the general opinion held on this subject. See for example the study by E. Laroche, Histoire de la racine *nem- en grec ancien (Paris, 1949). It is assumed that νέμω and νόμος are from the same base, and must therefore be given matching senses. There can be no doubt that νομός, νομή, νομεύς, νομάς, νωμάω all belong to the root of νέμω. But the question must be asked, how does νόμος with its derivatives such as νομίζω fit into this picture? The absence of both these words from Homer suggests that they may be of rather later origin, and could thus have arisen from one or more of the transferred senses of $\nu \in \mu \omega$. It is just possible to regard vóμος as that which is meted out, a legal decision, and thus what is acceptable to society; cf. $\theta \in \mu \cup \tau \in S$. This would explain the sense of νόμος meaning 'custom', which is alleged to occur in Hesiod. There is in fact room to dispute the earliest recorded sense of νόμος. In Hesiod's description of the Muses we read:

έρατὴν δὲ διὰ στόμα ὅσσαν ἱεῖσαι μέλπονται πάντων τε νόμους καὶ ἤθεα κεδνὰ ἀθανάτων κλείουσι. Hes. Th. 66.

It is not impossible here to give νόμους the regular musical sense of 'melody'. Likewise in a Homeric hymn:

```
πάντη γάρ τοι, Φοίβε, νόμος βεβλήατ' ἀοιδης. h. Ap. 20.
```

the peculiarity of having to make $\beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\eta} \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ singular has inspired the emendation to $\nu \dot{\phi} \mu \sigma \iota$; in any case the association with $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta}$ speaks in favour of music. Then again we have Alcman, clearly using the musical sense:

```
Fοίδα δ' ὀρνίχων νόμως πάντων. Alcm. 40. 1.
```

But by the time of Alcaeus and Pindar the sense of 'customary usage', 'rule of law' seems to be firmly established.

```
ἔνθα νόμος ... Alc. 72. 6.
οὐ κὰν νόμον ... Alc. 129 .25.
νόμος ὁ πάντων βασιλεύς. Pi. fr. 169.1 (=152. 1 B).
```

To sum up, it is certainly worth considering whether $\nu\delta\mu\sigma$ has not been wrongly associated with $\nu\epsilon\mu\omega$; and even if the connexion is proved, more research is needed on the history of the noun.

- 19 A conspectus of senses may be useful. The numbers in parentheses refer to the paragraphs above.
 - 1 pasture, graze animals; absolutely, tend animals (2).
 - 2 range over with animals, use as pasture (3). b range over with fire (4).
 - 3 mid. of animals, graze, absolutely or with pasture as object
 - (5). **b** transf., spread, extend (6)
 - 4 mid., occupy as living space, live on (7).
 - 5 mid., also act., occupy for profit, exploit, enjoy (8).
 - 6 mid. and act., live in specified circumstances (9).
 - 7 direct, guide, wield a physical object (10).
 - 8 be in charge of, control, rule (11).
 - 9 mid., have as food, feed on; metaph., devour (12).
 - 10 distribute, dispense food and drink (13).
 - 11 generally, distribute, allot (14).
 - 12 confer, assign, devote abstract objects (15).
 - 13 assign to a category, rank as (16). **b** assign to a list, register (17).

208 ὄβδη

őβδη

The only evidence for this word appears to be a single fragment of Callimachus, which reads:

Μούση γὰρ ἦλθον εἰς ὄβδην. Call. fr. 218 Pf.

The word is variously cited by grammarians, and also given as $\epsilon i \sigma \delta \beta \delta \eta \nu$ or $\epsilon \sigma \delta \delta \delta \eta \nu$. It is fairly obvious that the meaning is *into view*, and it has been correctly assigned to the group of $\delta \psi_{iS}$, $\delta \pi \omega \pi a$, etc. But the existence of such a substantive must be doubtful, and a much more plausible analysis is to regard the whole phrase as one word, an adverb of the same type as $\sigma \nu \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \beta \delta \eta \nu$, with the common ending $-\delta \eta \nu$ (see Schwyzer, Gram. i. 626). For adverbial compounds with $\epsilon i \sigma$ - cf. $\epsilon i \sigma \dot{\alpha} \pi a \xi$, $\epsilon i \sigma a \dot{\nu} \theta i S$. It would thus appear that, $\rho a c e$ Pfeiffer, the entry $\delta \beta \delta \eta$ can be banished from our lexica, and the adverb $\epsilon i \sigma \delta \beta \delta \eta \nu$ entered instead.

őδε

- I Every student of Greek knows that when the demonstratives $\delta\delta\epsilon$, $o\delta\tau os$, and $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{v}os$ are used as adjectives, in prose the article must be inserted before the substantive. This may be more a matter for grammars than dictionaries; but it is curious to find no mention of the fact in LSJ's article on $\delta\delta\epsilon$. Verse always retained the freedom to omit the article, and this also occurs occasionally in Herodotus. It would be interesting to know how much more widespread this usage was.
- 2 The New Supplement reports a sense which does not appear in LSJ, where $\delta\delta\epsilon$ is used as a substitute for a name or specification which the speaker leaves the hearer to fill in as appropriate. The nearest English equivalent is *this or that, such-and-such*. It can be quoted from two passages of Plato, where, however, other interpretations might be possible:

οί μὲν οὖν τοιοίδε ὑπὸ τῶν τοιῶνδε λόγων διὰ τήνδε τὴν αἰτίαν εἰς τὰ τοιάδε εὐπειθεῖς, οἱ δὲ τοιοίδε διὰ τάδε δυσπειθεῖς.

Pl. Phdr. 272a.

C. J. Rowe translates: 'So people of one kind are easily persuaded

őδε 209

for this reason by one kind of speech to hold one kind of opinion, while people of another kind are for these reasons difficult to persuade.' But the point is that the αἰτία in question has not been specified, and it should surely be taken to mean 'for such-and-such a reason'. It would be clumsy and confusing to have written διὰ τοιάνδε αἰτίαν. In another sentence just below we find:

ή προσοιστέον τούσδε ώδε τοὺς λόγους ἐπὶ τὴν τῶνδε πειθώ. 272a.

Here again the reference is not to specific arguments or people, but those to whom the hearer may choose to apply it. An even better example is quoted from the *New Testament*.

ἄγε νῦν οἱ λέγοντες, Σήμερον ἢ αὔριον πορευσόμεθα εἰς τήνδε τὴν πόλιν καὶ ποιήσομεν ἐκεῖ ἐνιαυτόν. Ερ. Jac. 4. 13.

Here too no specific town is meant; it is for the recipient of the letter to fill in any name he chooses. Another example is:

όπόσων δ' αν προσδέη, οίδε ήρημένοι νομοθέται ύπό τής βουλής αναγράφοντες έν σανίσιν έκτιθέντων πρός τους έπωνύμους σκοπείν τῷ βουλομένω, καὶ παραδιδόντων ταις άρχαις έν τῷδε τῷ μηνί. Decree in And. 1.83.

It would seem inevitable that there are many more such examples waiting to be discovered, but I can think of no easy method of locating them. Perhaps once the usage has been pointed out, others will be able to contribute examples.

3 This suggests that there is a possible new explanation of the expression δ $\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu a$, etc. It is clear that when used indeclinably the form is always $\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu a$, but it is also declined as a nasal stem, $\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu os$, $\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu \iota$, and similarly in the plural. These forms are all based on the assumption that $\delta\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu a$ is either the accusative singular or neuter plural of a consonant stem. It has been suggested that it began in the neuter plural *\tau\delta\ellipse\ellipse\ullet\ullet}\alpha\circ \text{cont}\ullet\ullet}\text{contracted from }\tau\delta\ellipse\ullet\ullet\ullet}\ullet a\text{second}\text{element being that assumed to be that required by the etymology of (\ellipse\ullet)\ullet\ulle

210 ὀλερός

mean 'such-and-such a one.' This explanation will hold good for the other inflected forms, but with a plausible shift of accent to agree with the accusative $(\tau o\hat{v} \delta \epsilon \hat{v} v s < *\tau o\hat{v} \delta \epsilon \epsilon v \delta s, \tau \hat{\phi} \delta \epsilon \hat{v} v i < *\tau \hat{\phi} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v} \hat{v})$.

ὀλερός

I Galen believed that he had found a new word in the Hippocratic Corpus:

όλερόν· δυσώδες ἢ μέλαν, ἀπὸ τοῦ τῶν σηπιῶν ὄλου. Gal. 19. 126.

There is no such word in our *Hippocratic Corpus*, but it sounds like a description of urine. This is quite often described as dark or foul-smelling; the two words $\delta \dot{\nu} \sigma \omega \delta \epsilon a$ and $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \nu a$ occur together at *Prognostic* 12. But the term which is often used of urine is $\theta \circ \lambda \epsilon \rho \delta s$ 'turbid'.

οὖρα ... θολερὰ δὲ καὶ οὐδὲν καθιστάμενα, οὐδ' ὑφιστάμενα. Hp. Epid. 1. 7.

This bears such a close resemblance to the alleged $\partial \lambda \epsilon \rho \delta s$ that one must ask whether it was not just a corruption in Galen's text, and in some such passage the θ was omitted. (The substantive $\theta o \lambda \delta s$ is used of 'the ink of the cuttle-fish' in Hippocrates and Aristotle.) The New Supplement adds a reference to Str. 1. 2. 21, where it is a conjecture, $\tau o \hat{v} \lambda o \iota \pi o \hat{v} N \delta \tau o v \delta \lambda \epsilon \rho o \hat{v} \pi \omega s \delta v \tau o s$ for $\delta \lambda o v E v \rho o v$.

2 However, it is perfectly possible for an adjective in $-\rho \delta_S$ to have been created on the basis of a substantive $\partial \lambda \delta_S$, just as $\theta o \lambda \epsilon \rho \delta_S$ is built on $\theta o \lambda \delta_S$. So we must enquire into the credentials of $\partial \lambda \delta_S$. It is quoted by LSJ meaning 'the ink of the cuttle-fish' in one passage of the *Hippocratic Corpus*.

τοῖσι πάνυ χολώδεσιν, ἐν πυρετοῖσι μάλιστα, ὀλῷ ἰκέλη (ὅλως ἐπὶ σκέλεα Littré) ἡ κάθαρσις. Ηρ. Ερίd. 4.20.

and 'prob. read by Gal. in Hp. Morb. 2. 73', where Littré reads $\delta \tau \epsilon$ $\delta \epsilon$ $\pi o \lambda \dot{\nu} \pi o \nu \theta o \lambda \dot{o} \nu$. There are also two references to lexicographers, Phryn. PS p. 19 B and Phot. ($\delta \lambda \dot{o} s$ · $\theta \dot{o} \lambda o s$) neither of which is enlightening. There is thus some reason to think that this is another

ghost-word, generated by the loss of θ -. LSJ also gives a second sense 'metaph. *blood*' quoting from an acrostic

 $\frac{\mathcal{O}}{\Lambda}$ λὸς οὔ με λιβρὸς ἱρῶν $\underline{\Lambda}$ ιβάδεσσιν οἶα κάλχη $\underline{\Upsilon}$ ποφοινίησι τέγγει. ΑΡ 15. 25. 1.

3 There is no easy solution to this problem, but the improbability of the existence of two words with such a specialised meaning differing in only one letter surely demands a high standard of proof. It is evident that the onus of proof is on those who believe that $\partial \lambda \delta s$ and $\partial \lambda \epsilon \rho \delta s$ were so used; but I think we ought to suspend judgment and banish these forms to limbo, until such time as better evidence can be adduced for them.

ὀξύς

- I The general sense of the word is so close to English sharp, that it might seem unnecessary to devote a note to a detailed investigation. However, on some points I disagree with the analysis of LSJ, so I have drafted an outline of the way I should revise the article, though without claiming to have covered it exhaustively.
- 2 The basic sense is clearly that of physical objects which are unpleasant to the touch, having a cutting edge, pointed, sharp.

ο δε δίν ... βέλος. II. 4. 185.

δξὺν ... βέλος. II. 10. 335.

ὅτερθεν δὲ σκολόπεσσιν

δξέσι ἠρήρει, τοὺς ἴστασαν υἶες Άχαιῶν. II. 12. 56; 64.

βάλε δ' Ἐκτορος ἡνιοχῆα

... μετώπιον ὀξέϊ λᾶϊ. II. 16. 739.

Πηλεΐδης δ' ἄορ ὀξὺ ἐρυσσάμενος παρὰ μηροῦ.

II. 21. 173; Hes. Sc. 457.

ἔκτοσθεν μὲν γὰρ πάγοι ὀξέες. Od. 5. 411.

μόχλον ... ὀξὺν ἐπ' ἄκρῳ. Od. 9. 382.

οἱ δὲ δύω σκόπελοι, ὁ μὲν οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν ἰκάνει

ὀξείη κορυφῆ. Od. 12. 74.

 $\lambda i\theta$ os $\delta \xi \dot{\nu}s$ $\pi \epsilon \pi o i \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o s$ (used as the tip of an arrow)

Hdt. 7. 69. 1; 3. 8. 1.

In a figurative phrase:

άκτὶς ἀελίου ὀξυτέρω κινήσασα χαλινώ. S. Ant. 108.

I find it hard to see how LSJ can be right in putting this under swift; but a better reading is $\partial \xi \nu \tau \delta \rho \omega$. The neuter is used as a substantive to mean a sharp end, point.

τοῦ Δέλτα δὲ τούτου κατὰ τὸ ὀξὺ περιρρήγνυται ὁ Νεῖλος. Hdt. 2. 16. 2.

δύο ὄρεα ες όξὺ τὰς κορυφὰς ἀπηγμένα. Hdt. 2. 28. 2.

κυρβασίας (a kind of headgear) ές δξὺ ἀπηγμένας ὀρθὰς είχον πεπηγυίας. Hdt. 7. 64. 2.

τὸ οξὲν τοῦ ψοῦ. Arist. GA 752^b8.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ ντα $\hat{\nu}\theta$ ' $\dot{\eta}$ καρδία τὸ ὀξὲν ἔχει. Arist. Resp. 478 $^{\rm b}$ 5.

The feminine $\partial \xi \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha$ occurs in a list of surgical instruments, presumably a fine point of some kind (*Hermes* 38. 282).

3 As a subsection I should add here the use in geometry of angles, acute.

όξεια δὲ (γωνία) ἡ ἐλάσσων ὀρθής. Euc. 1. Def. 12; Arist. Top. 107°16; Archim. Spir. 16.

4 From sharp-edged objects the word can be extended to other physical phenomena, which have a similarly unpleasant effect on the senses. Of light, painfully intense, blinding, dazzling.

οὖδ' ἄν νῶϊ διαδράκοι Ἡέλιός περ, οὖ τε καὶ ὀξύτατον πέλεται φάος εἰσοράασθαι. ΙΙ. 14. 345.

πέπτατο δ' αὐγὴ

η ελίου όξε îa. Il. 17. 372.

έλωρ μένος δξέος Ήελίοιο. h. Ap. 374.

Σείριος ... ὀξὺς ἐλλάμπων. Archil. 63 D.

ξειαν ὁ γενέθλιος ἀκτίνων πατήρ. Ρί. Ο. 7. 70.

So of white or coloured objects, bright, brilliant.

Αἴτνα, πανέτης

χιόνος ὀξείας τιθήνα. Ρί. Ρ. 1. 20.

(This example is understood differently by LSJ, but it is hard to say precisely how.)

τρεῖς λόφους ἔχοντα καὶ φοινικίδ' ὀξεῖαν πάνυ.
Ατ. Pax 1173; cf. Plu. Cat. Mi. 6. 3; Ael. NA 4. 46.
αἱ μὲν οὖν χροιαὶ σημαίνουσιν αἱ μὲν ὀξεῖαι θερμὸν καὶ ὕφαιμον. Arist. Phgn. 806^b 4.

5 It can also be applied to things which have similar effects on the other senses; of hearing, shrill, piercing.

ὧρτο δ' ἀὐτὴ ὀξεῖ' ἀμφοτέρωθεν. Il. 15. 313.
τελευταῖαι δ' ἐπηλάλαξαν Αραὶ τὸν ὀξὺν νόμον. A. Th. 954.
ἡ παῖς ὁρᾶται κἀνακωκύει πικρᾶς ὄρνιθος ὀξὺν φθόγγον. S. Ant. 424.
ἡνίκ' ἄν ὁ θεσπέσιος ὀξὺ μέλος ἀχέτας (sc. the cicada) θάλπεσι μεσημβρινοῖς ἡλιομανὴς βοᾶ. Ar. Av. 1095.

Contrasted with the basic sense (2 above):

οἷον τῷ ὀξεῖ ἐν φων $\hat{\eta}$ μὲν ἐναντίον τὸ βαρύ, ἐν ὄγκ ω δὲ τὸ ἀμβλύ. Arist. Τορ. 106 $^{\rm a}$ 13.

This is frequently used in the neuter, singular or plural, adverbially.

βῆ δὲ ... ὀξέα κεκληγώς, φλογὶ εἴκελος Ήφαίστοιο ἀσβέστω· οὐδ' υίὸν λάθεν Άτρέος ὀξὺ βοήσας. ΙΙ. 17. 88-9; 18. 71; 22. 141.

ιάχεσκε σάκος μεγάλῳ ὀρυμαγδῷ ὀξέα καὶ λιγέως. Hes. Sc. 233; 348.

όξέα κλάζων αἰετός. S. Ant. 112.

6 As a subsection we can attach here the special use to refer to musical sounds or the human voice, meaning *high-pitched*:

τοὺς τόνους τῆς φωνῆς ποιούμενον, ὀξύ, βαρύ, μικρόν, μέγα. Χ. Cyn. 6.20.

έπισταμένους ώς οδόν τε δξυτάτην καὶ βαρυτάτην χορδήν ποιείν. Pl. Phdr. 268d.

ὅσοι φθόγγοι ταχεῖς τε καὶ βραδεῖς ὀξεῖς τε καὶ βαρεῖς φαίνονται. Pl. Ti. 80a; Arist. Rh. 1403 b 29.

This is then used as the name of the rise in pitch indicated by the acute accent:

προσωδίας ... δξεῖαν βαρεῖαν περισπωμένην. S. E. M. 1. 113; D. T. 674b. 3; 14.

7 Likewise it is used of taste and smell, pungent.

ὄψα ... ὅσα ἐστὶν ὀξέα καὶ δριμέα καὶ άλμυρά.

X. Cyr. 6. 2. 31; Pl. Ti. 74c.

ἔστιν ἔνδον ὄξος ὀξύ σοι; Diph. 18. 1 K-A.

καὶ δριμεῖα καὶ αὐστηρὰ καὶ ὀξεῖα καὶ λιπαρά ἐστιν ὀσμή.

Arist. de An. 421°30.

Adverbially:

ὄζουσι χαὖται πρεσβέων ἐς τὰς πόλεις ὀξύτατον. Ar. Ach. 193.

8 So of feelings of pain, whether bodily or mental, intensely distressing, fierce, keen:

ώς δ' ὅτ' ἂν ἀδινοῦσαν ἔχη βέλος ὀξὺ γυναῖκα ... ῶς ὀξεῖ' ὀδυναὶ δῦνον μένος Άτρεΐδαο. II. 11. 268, 272 (note that LSJ quotes from line 272, but gives the reference as 268).

ἄχος ὀξύ. Il. 19. 125.

όξειαι μελεδώναι. Od. 19. 517.

δξείαν ἐπιμομφάν. Pi. O. 10. 9.

όξείαισι ... πάθαις. Pi. P. 3. 97.

Then of other phenomena, intense, severe.

μάχη ὀξέα περὶ τοῦ νεκροῦ γίνεται. Hdt. 9. 23. 1.

 $\ddot{\epsilon}$ στι δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\eta}$ φλ $\dot{\delta}$ ξ $\dot{\delta}$ ξυτ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ρα τούτων. Thphr. HP 5. 9. 3.

τούτοις ὀξύτατος ὁ πυρετὸς ἐκλάμπει.

Hp. VM 16; Gal. 9. 887.

όξείας δὲ νόσους ἀπαλάλκοι. Pi. O. 8. 85.

άπροσίκτων δ' έρώτων δξύτεραι μανίαι. Pi. N. 11. 48.

9 As applied to persons or animals, having keen sense perceptions.

This again can be divided according to the organ of sense involved. Of sight or the eye, keen, sharp:

κείνου γὰρ (sc. Λυγκέωs) ἐπιχθονίων παντων γένετ' ὀξύτατον ὄμμα. Pi. N. 10. 62.

ὄψις γὰρ ἡμῖν ὀξυτάτη τῶν διὰ τοῦ σώματος ἔρχεται αἰσθήσεων. Pl. Phdr. 250d.

This is most frequent in the adverbial use:

άετός, ὃν ῥά τέ φασιν ὀξύτατον δέρκεσθαι ὑπουρανίων πετεηνῶν. Il. 17. 675.

ό δ' ἄρα σχεδὸν εἴσιδε γαῖαν ὀξὺ μάλα προϊδών, μεγάλου ὑπὸ κύματος ἀρθείς. Od. 5. 393. βλέποντ' ἀποδείξω σ' ὀξύτερον τοῦ Λυγκέως.

Ar. Pl. 210; 1048; Lys. 1202.

τῷ ὀξύτατα καθορῶντι τὰ παριόντα. Pl. R. 516c.

10 When applied to living creatures, it means quick in movement, lively, active:

όφρα κε θάσσον έγείρομεν όξὺν Άρηα. ΙΙ. 2. 440.

There does not seem to be any reason for preferring a sense of quick to anger, passionate (LSJ).

θυμοῦ τ' αδ μένος ὀξὸ κατισχέμεν. h. Hom. 8. 14; cf.

είσὶ χἀτέροις γοναὶ κακαὶ καὶ θυμὸς ὀξύς. S. OC 1193.

ζευγνυμένους δὲ (τοὺς ἵππους) ὑπ' ἄρματα εἶναι ὀξυτάτους (s.v.l.) Hdt. 5. 9. 2.

διάφοροι γὰρ πλεῖστον ὄντες τὸν τρόπον, οἱ μὲν ὀξεῖς, οἱ δὲ βραδεῖς. Th. 8. 96. 5; Pl. Ap. 39b.

ύπερβολῆ δ' εἰσὶν οἱ ἀκρόχολοι ὀξεῖς. Arist. EN 1126°18; EE 1240°2.

From this it is extended to actions and other things:

κάκφυσιῶν ὀξεῖαν αἴματος σφαγήν. Α. Ag. 1389; cf. καὶ φυσιῶν ὀξεῖαν ἐκβάλλει ῥοὴν λευκῆ παρεία φοινίου σταλάγματος. S. Ant. 1238.

λαμπρᾶς γὰρ ἄτερ στεροπᾶς ἄξας ὀξὺς νότος ὡς λήγει. S. Aj. 258.

όξεια γάρ σου βάξις ώς θεοῦ τινος διηλθ' Άχαιούς. S. Aj. 998.

Here too belongs a late use, of a military command:

ΐνα ... ὑπ' ὀξὺ παράγγελμα πάντες ὧσιν ἐν τάξει. Onosander 10. 2.

Equally the use to mean the express post (P. Oxy. 900.7; 2115. 6, iv AD). When associated with the action of the verb, we might translate quick to.

ώς ἥδε μοι δξεῖα φοιτᾳ καὶ ταχεῖ' ἀπέρχεται. S. Ph. 808.

This example seems to me to be wrongly placed with diseases by LSJ (see 8 above); but the implication is surely that what is quick to come is also quick to depart.

οἱ δ' ἄν εἴξωσιν αὐτοῖς, κατὰ πόδας τὸ εὔψυχον ἐν τῷ ἀσφαλεῖ ὀξεῖς ἐνδείκνυνται. 'They are quick to display their courage in pursuit, when it is safe to do so.' Th. 4. 126. 6.

I believe the following adverbial use would be better placed here, though it is also possible to regard this as meaning simply 'high-pitched', 'shrill'.

ώς ὀξύ πρὸς τὰς ἰσχάδας κεκράγατε. Ar. Ach. 804.

The situation is that the Megarian is trying to sell off his daughters as piglets, and when the Athenian offers them chickpeas ($\epsilon \rho \epsilon \beta l \nu \theta o v s$), they respond, perhaps after being prodded, with a squeal ($\kappa o t \kappa o t$). But then when offered dried figs (and of course with a double entendre), they squeal again, and the Athenian says 'How quick you are to cry out at the mention of figs'.

II A further development is the use of opportunities as meaning short-lived, fleeting.

ό βίος βραχύς, ή δὲ τέχνη μακρή, ὁ δὲ καιρὸς ὀξύς, ή δὲ πεῖρα σφαλερή. Ηp. Aph. 1. 1.

ἵνα μὴ ἐν ὀξεῖ καιρῷ θορυβούμενοι ... μηδὲν ... ἀνύσωσι. Onosander 6. 1.

τότ' ἡνίκ' αν δξὺς ὁ καιρὸς ων διαμέλλειν τῷ γράφοντι μὴ διδῷ. Longin. 27. 2.

12 Finally we may place quick in perception, perceptive, keen, sharp:

δειλών τοι τελέθει καρδίη δξυτέρη. Thgn. 366; 1030.

σύ δε

όξεῖαν ἀκοὴν τοῖς ἐμοῖς λόγοις δίδους ... S. El. 30

οι τε φύσει λογιστικοὶ εἰς πάντα τὰ μαθήματα ώς ἔπος εἰπεῖν ὀξεῖς φύονται. Pl. R. 526b.

Πωλος δὲ ὅδε νέος ἐστὶ καὶ ὀξύς. Pl. <math>Grg. 463e.

τας ενθυμήσεις όξύν. Luc. Salt. 81.

Adverbially:

 ϵi μὴ ἄρ' $\delta \xi \hat{v}$ νόησε Διὸς θυγάτηρ Άφροδίτη 'if she had not been quick to notice'. Il. 3. 374.

ῶς ἔφατ', ὀξὺ δ' ἄκουσεν Ὀϊλῆος ταχὺς Αΐας. ΙΙ. 17. 256.

καὶ τὰ περὶ ταῦτα ὀξὺ μὲν ἀκούουσιν βλέπουσίν τε ὀξύ.

Pl. Lg. 927b.

With infinitive:

οί μέν γε νεωτεροποιοί καὶ ἐπινοῆσαι ὀξεῖς καὶ ἐπιτελέσαι ἔργῳ ἃ ἂν γνῶσιν. Τh. 1. 70. 2.

καὶ γνῶναι πάντων ὑμεῖς ὀξύτατοι τὰ ῥηθέντα. D. 3. 15.

ὀργάω

This is a favourite word in the Hippocratic Corpus, which has caused difficulty to translators, myself included. As LSJ indicates, it is used of wounds, ulcers, etc. which can be compared to fully ripe fruits, ready to burst open. It is also used with the infinitive to mean to be keen or anxious to.

οὐδ' ὀργậ τοῦτο δράν. Hp. Mul. 1. 57 (8. 114 L).

But the impersonal use seems to have been overlooked, though one example is given as a reference only.

πέπονα φαρμακεύειν καὶ κινείν, μὴ ἀμά, μηδ' ἐν ἀρχῆσιν, ἢν μὴ ὀργᾳ· τὰ δὲ πλείστα οὐκ ὀργᾳ. Ηp. Aph. 1. 22.

This is repeated in slightly different forms elsewhere in the

Corpus. It is of course possible to understand $\pi \epsilon m o \nu a$ as the subject of $\partial \rho \gamma \hat{q}$, which seems to be LSJ's interpretation. But this would be little more than a tautology, and there are other examples of the same phrase which make it clear that the verb is here impersonal, e.g.

τὰς κυούσας φαρμακεύειν, ἢν ὀργᾳ, τετράμηνα καὶ ἀχρὶ ἐπτὰ μηνῶν, ἦσσον δὲ ταύτας. 'Pregnant women can be purged, if ..., at four months and up to seven, but less in the latter case.'

Ηρ. Αρh. 4. 1.

φαρμακεύειν ἐν τοῖσι λίην ὀξέσιν, ἢν ὀργᾳ, αὐθημερόν· χρονίζειν γὰρ ἐν τοῖσι τοιουτέοισι κακόν. 'Purge in extremely acute cases, if ..., the same day; for delay is bad in such cases.

Hp. Aph. 4. 10.

ὅταν ὧδε ἔχη, διδόναι κοῦφα σιτία κὴν ὀργᾳ, φάρμακον πῖσαι κάτω. 'In such cases give a light diet; and if ..., administer a purgative draught for the bowels.'

Hp. Mul. 1. 37 (8. 90 L).

In all these passages the sense which fills the gap is 'if the need is pressing'. So in the first example we should translate: 'Purge and shift what is ripe, not what is unripe, nor at its beginning, unless the need is pressing; and it most cases it is not.'

ὀρχηστής

I Reluctant as I am to add to the voluminous literature on the subject of the Dipylon Vase (IG I Suppl. 492a = Schwyzer App. I.a = LSAG pl. 1. 1), I feel obliged as a lexicographer to put on record the reasons why I cannot share the current opinion about this inscription. Being possibly the earliest alphabetic Greek text known, it is difficult to judge in comparison with other similar texts, and it is always possible that it is as unique in subjectmatter as it is in date. For my purpose the exact date is unimportant, but I accept the opinion of experts that c.725 BC is a good estimate. It is worth commenting that it is in the Attic, not Ionic dialect. This is proved by the contracted genitive plural $\partial\rho\chi\bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\hat{\sigma}\nu$, where Ionic would have had $-\epsilon\omega\nu$ or $-\eta\bar{\sigma}\nu$, even if scanned as one syllable; cf. Naxian $d\lambda(\lambda)\eta\bar{\sigma}\nu$ scanned as a spondee in the famous Nicandre inscription (Schwyzer 758).

2 The reading of the first line presents no difficulties:

hòς νῦν ὀρχεστον πάντον ἀταλότατα παίζει ...

The next question raised by the second line is its length. It is not long enough to make even a hemistich up to the caesura, unless we adopt the second interpretation, which offers two and a half feet, but at the expense of a rather gratuitous $\delta \dot{\eta}$. I know of no completely preserved archaic metrical inscription which follows a complete line with only two feet at most of a second. We have very many instances of metrical inscriptions running to two or more lines; but nowhere do we find anything like the fragmentary lines of the Aeneid. I can only infer that the inscription is incomplete because the writer left his work unfinished. If so, we should be able to suggest some kind of supplement which would restore sense to the whole. Since no elegiacs are known of such archaic date, the line was most probably a hexameter. Now the most likely reason for abandoning an inscription scratched on a fired jar is the consciousness of a mistake. I should therefore propose the smallest correction possible, the change of a poorly written Λ into a N. (For the reading KAAMIN see M. Guarducci, Rendiconti dell' Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 4:3 (1993), 349-59.) This will give KANMIN, i.e. καν μιν ..., as the beginning of the final clause. Purely exempli gratia I might suggest:

το τόδε, κἄν μιν [ἔχει τεθνεότα δομ' Αΐδαρ.]

It is all too easily forgotten that the vase was found in a cemetery, and must have been used in the funeral rites, not just buried with the ashes. I am not alone in taking the text as unfinished; see for instance most recently Y. Duhoux, *Kadmos*, 30 (1991) 165.

4 We can now revert to line 1. The first point I want to make is that it is a statement of fact, not a potential. Although $\Pi AIZEI$ might theoretically be subjunctive, the absence of $\alpha\nu$ or any other particle ensures that the reader would take it for an indicative. It is thus clearly to be distinguished from such an apparent parallel as the Ischia cup (for a bibliography see A. Heubeck, 'Die Schrift', in Archaeologia Homerica, X 199-200):

hòs δ' ἂν τôδε πίεσι ποτερί $[\bar{o}]$ 'whoever drinks from this cup ...'

I propose to translate into Latin rather than English or any other modern language because this allows us to keep closer to a wordfor-word version.

Qui nunc saltatorum omnium delicatissime ludit, ejus hoc, quamuis eum [teneat mortuum domus Ditis.]

The temporal word $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ (which cannot be read $\nu\nu\nu$ for metrical reasons) must go closely with $\delta\rho\chi\bar{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\hat{\sigma}\nu$; i.e. the equivalent in prose would be $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$ $\delta\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ 'the dancers of the present day'. $A\tau\alpha\lambda\delta s$, probably an artificial back-formation from $\tau\alpha\lambda\delta\phi\rho\omega\nu$, has very much the semantic range of Latin delicatus. $\Pi\alpha(\zeta\epsilon)$ must not be translated 'performs'; it is quite specifically 'sports', and its connexion with $\pi\alpha\hat{\iota}s$ is never far from the mind. I submit therefore that such language can only be used by a lover to describe his youthful partner.

5 It is now clear that $\partial\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}s$ in such a context cannot refer to the victor in some dancing competition. In fact, the idea of presenting the victor with a previously inscribed cup is a familiar one to us, but has no parallels in antiquity. We have countless dedications by victors of their prize; but without exception these record the name of the dedicator and usually the deity to whom it is offered. Thus we must ask whether $\partial\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}s$ might refer to sexual activity; and the answer is provided by the series of archaic graffiti from Thera, e.g.

ὄσιος 22I

Βάρβακς ὀρκhεστά[s] τε ἀγαθός ... IG 12(3). 536ff. (Schwyzer 214).

Ένπεδοκλής ἐνεγόπτετο τάδε γὢρκετο μὰ τὸν Ἀπό(λ)λω. ΙG 12(3). 536.

Ευμηλος ἄριστος ὀρκ $\bar{\epsilon}$ στά[ς] Ibid. 540. II, cf. 546.

There is also in these graffiti a strange word $\kappa o\nu i a \lambda os$, which must be a form of $\kappa o\nu i \sigma a \lambda os$, glossed by Hesychius as meaning an obscene dance. The repeated presence of $\partial \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$ in these contexts creates a strong case for assuming a similar meaning was intended by the author of the inscription on the Dipylon Vase.

ὄσιος

- 1 Many users of LSJ must have been puzzled to find that a word which is translated *holy* can in certain contexts bear the meaning *profane*. It is therefore a prime candidate for more detailed investigation, for although a word can in the course of time come to mean its opposite, this is hardly likely to occur contemporaneously.
- 2 A preliminary point concerns the relationship of $\delta\sigma\iota\sigma_0$ to the substantive $\delta\sigma\iota\alpha$. It is simple to say with Frisk (GEW, accepted by Chantraine, DELG) that $\delta\sigma\iota\alpha$ stands for * $\delta\sigma\iota$ - $\iota\alpha$, but this does not explain why the substantive antedates the adjective by several centuries. $\delta\sigma\iota\alpha$, more often in its Ionic form $\delta\sigma\iota\eta$, is in the Odyssey and Attic tragedy, but is rare in prose; an example in Iamblichus is not likely to come from the normal language of the time. O $\sigma\iota\sigma$ does not seem to be attested before vi BC (Theognis), but it remains in good prose use for centuries. Possibly $\delta\sigma\iota\alpha$ and $\delta\sigma\iota\sigma\sigma$ are both derivatives of a stem which has been lost, very likely as the result of becoming a homophone of $\delta\sigma\sigma\sigma$, like $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\iota\alpha$ and $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma$ from $\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\sigma\sigma$. This might account for the fact that $\delta\sigma\iota\alpha$ does not mean exactly 'the quality of being $\delta\sigma\iota\sigma\sigma$ '. It will therefore be convenient to start with an analysis of the substantive.
- 3 The Homeric sense is clearly conduct pleasing to the gods, propriety, right:

οὐδ' δσίη κακὰ ῥάπτειν ἀλλήλοισιν. Od. 16. 423.

222 ὅσιος

οὐχ ὁσίη κταμένοισιν ἐπ' ἀνδράσιν εὐχετάασθαι. Od. 22. 412. φιλεῖ δέ σε μητίετα Ζεὺς ἐκ πάσης ὁσίης 'as is wholly proper'. h. Merc. 470.

In later epic:

σύ γ' ἀείση καὶ τά περ οὐχ ὁσίη. Call. Aet. 31. 5 (= 75. 7).

Elsewhere:

όσία κλυτὰν χέρα οἱ προσενεγκεῖν ήρα καὶ ἐκ λεχέων κεῖραι μελιαδέα ποίαν; Pi. P. 9. 36. καὶ ταύτης (τελετῆς) μοι πέρι εὔστομα κείσθω, πλὴν ὅσον ὁσίη ἐστὶ λέγειν. Hdt. 2. 171. 2. τοῖσι γὰρ οὐδὲ κτήνεα ὀσίη θύειν ἐστί. Hdt. 2. 45. 2. ὁσίας ἕκατι θεά θ' ὅπως τιμὰς ἔχη. Ε. IT 1461.

A similar expression, ὁσίας ἔνεκα, is found in a comic fragment variously attributed (Eub. 110 = 109 K-A, Ephipp. 15. 4; LSJ quotes these references as if they were separate examples).

καὶ οὕτε θεοὺς οὕθ' ὁσίαν οὕτ' ἄλλ' οὐδὲν ἐποιήσατ' ἐμποδών τοιούτῳ λόγῳ, οὕθ' ὤκηνσεν. D. 21. 104.

Humorously:

κάγω νομίσας πολλήν δσίαν τοῦ πράγματος. Ar. Pl. 682.

To this we may attach two examples in Euripides where δσία is personified:

Όσία πότνα θεῶν, Όσία δ' ἃ κατὰ γᾶν χρυσέαν πτέρυγα φέρεις τάδε Πενθέως ἀίεις; Ε. Βα. 370. ἀπέπτυσ' Όσία γὰρ δίδωμ' ἔπος τόδε. Ε. ΙΤ 1161.

4 It is easy to see how this general sense was then particularised to mean a specific religious rite or observance:

ώς γὰρ τὰ πρώτισθ' ὁσίη γένεθ', οἱ δὲ ἄνακτι εὕχονται. h. Ap. 237. ἐνθ' ὁσίης κρεάων ἠράσσατο κύδιμος Έρμῆς. h. Merc. 130; also 173.

καὶ λιτ $\hat{\eta}$ προσγελάσαις δσίη 'and may you smile upon our frugal rite.' AP 9. 91.

őσιος 22<u>3</u>

παρέμεινέ τε ἄχρι τῆς τελευτῆς αὐτῷ καὶ τὴν ὁσίαν ἀπεπλήρωσε. Iamb. VP 30. 184.

There is no need to follow LSJ in giving the last example a special sense *funeral rites*; it is obvious from the context what rite is meant.

5 With this introduction we can now turn to the adjective $\delta\sigma_{ios}$. Its primary sense is clearly of persons to mean observing the religious code, showing reverence for divine order. It is thus close to Latin pius, but English pious is rather misleading; perhaps righteous is the English word which comes nearest to its sense, and this will also cover the cases where it is applied to deities. The earliest example applied to persons seems to be in a Law of Solon about justifiable homicide quoted by Andocides:

ό δὲ ἀποκτείνας τὸν τοιαῦτα ποιήσαντα καὶ ὁ συμβουλεύσας ὄσιος ἔστω καὶ εὐαγής. And. 1. 96.

It is probably wrong to give this the legal sense of justified; as $\epsilon \hat{\nu} a \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ implies, it refers to freedom from religious guilt. In verse:

καὶ Zεὺς σωτὴρ τρίτος, οἰκοφύλαξ όσίων ἀνδρῶν. Α. Supp. 27.

φιλόξενοι δε χώσιοι περί ξένους; Ε. Cyc. 125.

Παλλάδος

δσίαν ήξεις πόλιν. Ε. El. 1320.

Ζεὺς ἐξ ἐμοῦ μὲν οὐκ ἀκούσεται κακῶς:

εί δ' έστὶν ὅσιος αὐτὸς οίδεν είς ἐμέ. Ε. Heracl. 719.

έγῷδ', ἀνόσιός εἰμι μητέρα κτανών,

ὄσιος δέ γ' ἔτερον ὄνομα, τιμωρῶν πατρί. Ε. Οr. 547.

δσίους ες θιασώτας. Αr. Ra. 327.

By a natural extension it can be used of hands or mouths:

πρώτον μὲν ἱρὰς ἐξ ἀειρύτου χοὰς κρήνης ἐνεγκοῦ, δι' ὁσίων χειρών θιγών. S. OC 470.

έκ δ' δσίων στομάτων καθαρήν δχετεύσατε πηγήν.

Emp. 3. 7.

In prose:

σεμνοὶ μὲν πρὸς τοὺς θεοὺς τῷ δικαίῳ, ὅσιοι δὲ πρὸς τοὺς τοκέας τῆ θεραπείᾳ, δίκαιοι δὲ πρὸς τοὺς ἀστοὺς τῷ ἴσῳ, εὐσεβεῖς δὲ πρὸς τοὺς φίλους τῆ πίστει. Gorg. 6. 224 ὅσιος

This shows clearly the idea of *pietas*, here expressed in reverence for parents.

ότι όσιοι πρὸς οὐ δικαίους ἱστάμεθα. Th. 5. 104.

The idea is that 'we have the gods on our side'.

καὶ ὅσους μὲν αἰσθάνοιτο ἐπιόρκους καὶ ἀδίκους ὡς εὖ ώπλισμένους ἐφοβεῖτο, τοῖς δὲ ὁσίοις καὶ ἀλήθειαν ἀσκοῦσιν ὡς ἀνάνδροις ἐπειρᾶτο χρῆσθαι. X. An. 2. 6. 25.

As the title of some priests at Delphi:

όσιωτῆρα μὲν καλοῦσι τὸν θυόμενον ἱερεῖον, ὅταν ὅσιος ἀποδειχθῆ. πέντε δ' εἰσὶν ὅσιοι διὰ βίου ...

Plu. 2. 292d; 365a.

There does not seem to be any reason to regard the meaning as specialised in the following example, though LSJ translates it 'the saints':

ψάλατε τῷ Κυρίω οἱ ὅσιοι αὐτοῦ. LXX Ps. 29(30). 4.

With a genitive, presumably meaning observant (of):

ίερῶν πατρώων δ' ὅσιος ὢν μομφῆς ἄτερ τέθνηκεν. Α. Τh. 1010.

6 From this it is naturally extended to actions and things used in actions:

οδο' δσίη, Κύρνε, μέμηλε δίκη. Thgn. 132. τρίποδ' ἀμφίπυρον λουτρῶν δσίων θέσθ' ἐπίκαιρον. S. Aj. 1405. ἐν ὅρεσσι βακχεύων δσίοις καθαρμοῖσιν. Ε. Βα. 77. δεῖ με δεύτερον μέλος χέρνιβι θεοσεβὲς ὅσιον ἐπιβοᾶν. Αr. Αυ. 898.

Neuter as substantive:

ἀποδίδοντες ποιέετε ὅσια. Hdt. 6. 86. a. 1. ὅσια μὲν ποιεῖν, ὅσια δὲ καὶ λέγειν. Hdt. 9. 79. 2. ὅσιά τε φωνεῖς ἔστι τ', ὧ τέκνον, θέμις. S. Ph. 662. ἢ τοὺς τεκόντας ὅσια δρᾶν δίκαιος ὤν. Ε. Ηἰρρ. 1081. Θεοὺς

ὄσιόν τι δράσας. Ε. Supp. 40.

τῶν δίκαια καὶ ὅσια πρασσόντων. Antipho 2. 2. 2.

As an abstract:

οὐ ταὐτόν ἐστιν ἐν πάση πράξει τὸ ὅσιον αὐτὸ αὑτῷ ... ; Pl. Euthphr. 5d; 12d.

"Οσιόν $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ + infinitive:

οὐ γάρ σοι θέμις οὐδ' ὅσιον ἐχθρᾶς ἀπὸ γυναικὸς ἱστάναι κτερίσματ'. S. El. 432. θιγεῖν γὰρ ὅσιόν ἐστ' ἐμοὶ μόνη. Ε. ΙΤ 1045. οὐ γὰρ ἂν νόμιμον οὐδ' ὅσιον ἂν εἴη. Pl. Lg. 861d.

In the comparative:

καὶ γὰρ ⟨ἄν⟩ δικαιότερον καὶ ὁσιώτερον καὶ πρὸς θεῶν καὶ πρὸς ἀνθρώπων γίγνοιτ' ὑμῖν. Antipho 1. 25.

With negative, où χ őoios is an alternative to $dv\delta\sigma ios = impious$, wicked:

αί ταφαὶ τοῦ οὐκ ὅσιον ποιοῦμαι ... ἐξαγορεύειν τοὔνομα. Hdt. 2. 170. 1.

ἀίεις οὐχ ὁσίαν ὕβριν ἐς τὸν Βρόμιον. Ε. Βα. 374. οὐχ ὁσίων ἐρώτων. Ε. Ηἰρρ. 764.

δέξαι θυσίας, ας ο παρ' ήμιν νόμος οὐχ όσίας ἀναφαίνει. Ε. ΙΤ 465.

καὶ πρὸς ἄπαντας ἀγαθῷ είναι οὐχ ὅσιον ἡγοῦμαι.

D. Ep. 5. 3.

7 By a further natural extension the adjective may be applied to anything which is sanctioned by divine law, due:

μηδ' ἐλινύσαιμι θεοὺς ὁσίαις θοίναις ποτινισομένα 'May I not cease approaching the gods with due banquets.' A. Pr. 529.

ῶ πότνι' Εἰλείθυι' ἐπίσχες τοῦ τόκου, ἔως ἂν εἰς ὅσιον μόλω 'γὼ χώριον. Αr. Lys. 743.

It is often used of money due for religious reasons:

 $\stackrel{\circ}{a}$ ργυρί $\stackrel{\circ}{o}$ hοσί $\stackrel{\circ}{o}$ [κεφάλαιο]ν. IG 12. 186.

εἰς δὲ τὸ ἀνάλωμα τὸν ταμίαν τῶν ὁσίων ὑ[πηρετῆ]σαι. SEG 1. 366. 58 (Samos, iii BC).

In the neuter ooua can have a more general sense of religious dues:

hόπο ξένον ὅσια λανχάνειν καὶ θύειν ἐξεῖμεν ἐπιτυχόντα. 'If he happens to be present he is to be permitted to receive religious dues and to sacrifice as a stranger.'

Schwyzer 362. 2 (Oeanthea, v BC).

οὐδὲ ὅσια τὴν ἐκείνου κτήσιν τῆ τοῦ θνητοῦ χρυσοῦ κτήσει συμμείγνυντας μιαίνειν. Pl. R. 416e.

There is often a reference to payments made for religious reasons, and thus a contrast between ὅσια religious revenues, and ἱερά religious possessions:

ές όλιγωρίαν ἐτράποντο καὶ ἱερῶν καὶ ὁσίων ὁμοίως 'they became equally contemptuous of the gods' property and their dues'. Th. 2. 52. 3.

τυραννίς, ἢ ... τἀλλότρια ... ἀφαιρεῖται, καὶ ἵερὰ καὶ ὅσια καὶ ικοὶ δημόσια. Pl. R. 344a; cf. Lg. 857b.

τὴν μὲν δημοκρατίαν οὕτω κοσμήσασαν τὴν πόλιν καὶ τοῖς ἱεροῖς καὶ τοῖς ὁσίοις. Isoc. 7. 66.

The only example where there might be a contrast between sacred and profane, as alleged by LSJ, is:

τὸν νόμον, δι' οὖ τῶν ἱερῶν μὲν χρημάτων τοὺς θεοὺς, τῶν δσίων δὲ τῆν πόλιν ἀποστερεί. D. 24. 9.

But the contrast is rhetorical rather than real. 'By this law he will deprive the gods of their property and the city of its religious dues.' He is probably thinking of profits such as the banking charges levied by Athena for holding the treasury. The meaning *profane* may thus be safely banished from our lexica.

παρθένος

I LSJ begins its article with 'maiden, girl', adding later 'virgin, opp. $\gamma \nu \nu \eta'$. This leads to sense 2 'of unmarried women who are not virgins'. One can almost feel the implied disapproval of the Victorian clerics. It was of course no different in antiquity, but women in epic times faced the additional hazard of being seduced

by a god, so it is strange LSJ did not attempt to mitigate their disgrace by making a separate section for virgins who gave birth to the children of gods; there is no lack of material. But a close reading of the passages where the word is used in early or classical times reveals that it is a *social* and not a *biological* term. Once correctly defined, the physical condition of the girl can be seen to be irrelevant.

2 I propose therefore a rather long-winded definition, but one I believe to be necessary to account for the observed facts: a female who has reached the age of puberty but remains a member of her father's household. The old-fashioned word spinster is perhaps the nearest English equivalent. For practical purposes the translation girl is usually adequate, but it must be observed that it cannot be used of younger children, as the English word can. The time of her marriage was the fundamental turning-point in a Greek woman's life; as the saying went

```
δύ' ἡμέραι γυναικός είσιν ἥδισται<sup>.</sup>
ὅταν γάμη τις, κἀκφέρη τεθνηκυΐαν. Hippon. 68 M.
```

At this point she left her father's house for her husband's, and was henceforth known by his name, since the given name of a respectable woman was never used in public. These two facts express the difference between a $\pi\alpha\rho\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu os$ and a $\gamma\upsilon\nu\acute{\eta}$, and this is neatly stated by Sophocles:

```
έως τις ἀντὶ παρθένου γυνὴ
κληθῆ, λάβῃ τ' ἐν νυκτὶ φροντίδων μέρος,
ἥτοι πρὸς ἀνδρὸς ἢ τέκνων φοβουμένη. S. Tr. 148.
```

3 The case of what we used call 'unmarried mothers'—probably an unacceptable term in these enlightened days—is of course covered by this definition. So Homer giving the genealogy of Askalaphos and Ialmenos:

```
οὓς τέκεν Άστυόχη δόμω Άκτορος Άζεΐδαο,
παρθένος αἰδοίη, ὑπερώϊον εἰσαναβᾶσα,
Άρηι κρατερῷ. Il. 2. 514.
```

She is respected $(ai\delta oi\eta)$ for being the choice of a god. So too Herakles in the *Trachiniae* commends his old flame Iole to his son Hyllos as $\pi a \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o s$:

—τὴν Εὐρυτείαν οἶσθα δῆτα παρθένον; —Ἰόλην ἔλεξας, ὥς γ' ἐπεικάζειν ἐμέ. S. Tr. 1219.

Disapproval in classical times is evident:

τὰς παρθένους οὖ φυλάσσουσι, ἀλλ' ἐῶσι τοῖσι αὐταὶ βούλονται ἀνδράσι μίσγεσθαι· τὰς γυναῖκας ἰσχυρῶς φυλάσσουσι. Hdt. 5. 6. 1.

Here too belongs a humorous use by Aristophanes, comparing himself on his début as a playwright to a $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o s$ who has left her child to be fostered by another.

κάγώ, παρθένος γὰρ ἔτ' ἦν, κοὖκ ἐξῆν πώ μοι τεκεῖν, ἐξέθηκα, παῖς δ' ἐτέρα τις λαβοῦσ' ἀνείλετο. Αr. Nu. 530.

4 The age at which a girl became $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{e} \nu o s$ is clearly defined for us by Hippocrates, for in his case-notes he records that a girl had her first menstruation during the course of her illness, adding the explanation:

παρθένος γὰρ ἦν. Hp. Epid. 3. 17. 12.

The end of the period is defined by marriage, as among the Sarmatians:

οὐ γαμέεται παρθένος οὐδεμία πρὶν τῶν πολεμίων ἄνδρα ἀποκτείνη. Hdt. 4. 117; cf. Hp. Aër. 17.

τῷ βασιλέϊ μοῦνοι τὰς παρθένους μελλούσας συνοικέειν ἐπιδεικνύουσι ἡ δὲ ἂν τῷ βασιλέϊ ἀρεστὴ γένηται, ὑπὸ τούτου διαπαρθενεύεται. Hdt. 4. 168. 2.

If she remained unmarried, the term $\pi a \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ could continue to be used.

ή παρθένος ή καλή ή τοῦ Νερίου ην μεν εἰκοσαέτης, ὑπὸ δε γυναίου φίλης παιζούσης ... ἐπλήγη. Ηρ. Ερίd. 5. 50.

5 I have been unable to discover any passage in classical Greek where $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{e} vos$ must have the biological sense of virgin, familiar as this is, both from the adjectival use (see LSJ II) and in compounds. The only example I have located is from Daphnis and Chloe, though I suspect a thorough search would produce more.

τὸν δὲ Δάφνιν ὁ Διονυσιφάντης ἀναστήσας μόνον ἀνέκρινεν εἰ παρθένος ἐστί. Long. 4. 31.

Where this sense is required in earlier Greek, an epithet must be added.

```
ώς η γ' αμφιπόλοισι μετέπρεπε παρθένος αδμής.

Od. 6. 109; 228.

παρθένω αδμήτη μέγεθος καὶ είδος δμοίη. h. Ven. 82.

νέαι παλαιαὶ παρθένοι τ' ἔτ' ἄζυγες. Ε. Βα. 694.
```

6 It hardly needs to be added that the virginity of the mother of Jesus Christ does not depend upon her description as $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o_S$, which here bears its normal sense; the misunderstanding has been attributed to a Greek Bible used by Matthew (1. 22) which translated the Hebrew word for 'young woman' as $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o_S$ (A. Richardson, An Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament (London, 1958), 173). In fact the translation is perfectly correct. But we have the express statement in Luke's Gospel.

πῶς ἔσται τοῦτο, ἐπεὶ ἄνδρα οὐ γινώσκω; Ευ. Luc. 1. 34.

She was of course taken as a wife by Joseph before giving birth, so that at this time she was no longer $\pi \alpha \rho \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu o s$ but $\gamma \nu \nu \acute{\eta}$.

πάσχω

The basic sense of this verb is easy enough to grasp, but in view of its passive meaning is not easy to define accurately. It can perhaps best be regarded as meaning be the recipient of an experience, have something happen to one, undergo, experience. It does not matter whether the experience is pleasant or unpleasant, but the nature of human life perhaps ensures that the latter type predominates, and this is the starting-point for a development of the sense (see 5 below). LSJ (I, II) attempts a distinction between 'have something done to one' and 'have something happen to one': but this is an unreal distinction, since it appears to separate events which are due to known causes from those which are the result of chance. There may be a difference of construction in that the first type may have an agent expressed, but the effect on the subject of the verb is no different. On the other hand there is a real difference between the transitive uses, where the experience is indicated as the object of the verb and the intransitive one where it is construed with an adverb. LSJ confuses these in III. I propose to quote only

a few examples of the more important usages, since the full coverage can be supplied from LSJ and other sources.

2 First then the basic sense as defined above:

```
πήματ' ἔπασχον. Il. 5. 886.
ἀλλὰ τίη νῦν οὖτος ἀναίτιος ἄλγεα πάσχει ...; Il. 20. 297.
καὶ κήδεά περ πεπαθυίη. Od. 17. 555.
οὐ πάθοντες οὐδάμα πῶσλον οὐ[δέ]ν. Alc. 69. 5; cf. Pi. P. 9. 89.
τάλαν γένος. —τάλανα παθόν. Α. Τh. 983.
ἴδεσθέ μ', οἶα πρὸς θεῶν πάσχω θεός. Α. Pr. 92.
ἐπ' αὐτὸν (sc. ὖν) ἐξελθόντες ποιέεσκον μὲν κακὸν οὐδὲν,
ἔπασχον δὲ πρὸς αὐτοῦ. Hdt. 1. 36. 1.
τερπνὸν εἴ τι που πάθοι. S. Aj. 521.
ὅπερ νῦν ὑμεῖς ... ἐς Λακεδαιμονίους πεπόνθατε. Th. 6. 11. 5.
τί χρῆμα πάσχεις ὧ πάτερ; Ar. Nu. 816.
πολλὰ γὰρ φιλικὰ ἔπαθον ὑπ' ἐκείνου. Χ. Cyr. 4. 6. 6.
καί τι ἔφη αὐτόθι γελοῖον παθεῦν. Pl. Smp. 174e.
```

3 There are two uses of the phrase $\tau i \pi \delta \theta \omega$; One is that which might be expected, namely, 'what am I to undergo?' or as we should say 'what is to become of me?'

```
ὤ μοι ἐγώ, τί πάθω; ΙΙ. 11. 404.
τί γὰρ πάθωμεν μὴ βουλομένων ὑμέων τιμωρέειν;
Hdt. 4. 118. 3.
```

τί γὰρ πάθω; σκάπτειν γὰρ οὖκ ἐπίσταμαι. Αr. Av. 1432.

But it is also used to mean 'what else am I to undergo?' or as we should say 'what else can I do?'

```
ώς παίδα ...
λούσω προθώμαί θ'—ώς μεν ἀξία, πόθεν;
οὐκ ἂν δυναίμην ώς δ' ἔχω—τί γὰρ πάθω—
κόσμον τ' ἀγείρασ' αἰμαλωτίδων πάρα ... Ε. Ηεс. 614.
ἢ οὐ σοὺς ώμολόγηκας αὐτοὺς εἶναι; —ώμολόγηκα, ἔφην τί
γὰρ πάθω; Pl. Euthd. 302d.
```

4 Intransitively with adverbs, be treated in a specified manner.
κακῶς πάσχοντος ἐμεῖο. Od. 16. 275.

ήτις ἐκ Διὸς πάσχω κακῶς. Α. Pr. 759. εὖ δρώσαν, εὖ πάσχουσαν, εὖ τιμωμένην. Α. Ευ. 868. ανθ' ὧν ἔπασχον εὖ τελεσφόρον χάριν δοῦναί σφιν. S. OC 1489. οὐ γὰρ πάσχοντες εὖ, ἀλλὰ δρῶντες κτώμεθα τοὺς φίλους. Th. 2. 40. 4.

However, $\epsilon \hat{v} \pi \hat{a} \sigma \chi \epsilon i \nu$ is also used with a genitive to mean have the enjoyment of:

τῶν αὐτοῦ κτεάνων εὖ πασχέμεν. Thgn. 1009. εόντων εὖ τε παθεῖν καὶ ἀκοῦσαι φίλοις έξαρκέων. Pi. N. 1. 32.

As indicated above, the verb develops the extended sense of undergo something unpleasant, suffer:

πόλλ' ἔπαθες καὶ πόλλ' ἐμόγησας. ΙΙ. 23. 607. παθών δέ τε νήπιος έγνω. Hes. Op. 218. ύπερ δε της αύτων πλεονεξίας ετοίμοις οὖσιν ότιοῦν πάσχειν. Isoc. 12. 133.

εἰκός τι πάσχειν. 'There must be something the matter with him.' Men. Kith. 49.

This is frequently used in a judicial context to mean incur a penalty:

ό γροφεύς ταὐτά κα πάσκοι, [αί τ]ιν' [άζ]ικέο[ι]. 'The scribe is to incur the same penalty, if he wrongs anyone.' Schwyzer 409. 8 (Elis, v BC).

έν ή (δίκη) αὐτὸν ἔδει κριθήναι ο τι δεῖ παθεῖν η ἀποτεῖσαι. X. Mem. 2. 9. 5; Pl. Plt. 299a.

Under this head we may classify the expression $\eta \nu \tau \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega$ and similar forms, where $\tau \iota$ is understood to mean something unpleasant, and this is used as a euphemism for dying, exactly like Latin si quid mihi acciderit and English if anything happens to me. It does not of course mean 'if I suffer death'; the whole point of the expression is that it avoids any specific mention of death.

Μαρδονίου δὲ, ἤν τι πάθη, λόγος οὐδεὶς γίνεται. Hdt. 8. 102. 3.

εί ... ἔπαθέ τι πρὶν καταπλεῦσαι δεῦρο. Lys. 19. 51; D. 4. 11.

 $\alpha i \delta' \epsilon'' \tau i \pi \alpha \theta \alpha i s$. Theor. 8. 10.

This can even be used of things, i.e. suffer damage, be lost:

έὰν δέ τι πάσχο, μελεδαίν $\bar{\epsilon}$ ν με, δ Σιγει $\hat{\epsilon}$ ς (monumentum loquitur). Schwyzer 731. B. 7 (Attic, vi BC).

ην τι ναθς πάθη. Ε. IT 755; Syngr. ap. [D.] 35. 13.

A similar meaning seems likely in the following passage, though the New Supplement appears to differ:

καλὸς ϵ αυτ $\hat{\omega}$ φανε $\hat{\epsilon}$ ς καὶ παθών τι πρὸς τὴν ὄψιν 'suffering damage to his face'. Plu. 2. 682b.

6 There remain, however, some examples where the object of the verb is not so much an experience as a state of mind. It is natural that this should be the case where the perfect aspect is employed, but other tenses are also found in this sense. It is not always easy to identify these, but the following may serve as specimens.

τοιαῦτα δὲ ὁ Εὔφημος εἶπεν. οἱ δὲ Καμαριναῖοι ἐπεπόνθεσαν τοιόνδε· τοῖς μὲν Άθηναίοις εὖνοι ἢσαν ... 'the effect on the Camarinaeans was as follows'. Th. 6. 88. 1.

οιδα. 'I do not know what effect my accusers have had on you.'

Pl. Ap. 17a; Phd. 98c.

In the present or aorist systems:

ύμεις τὰ αὐτὰ πρὸς ἐμὲ πάσχετε οιάπερ ἐγὼ πρὸς τὸν ἐμοὶ δοκοῦντα καλὸν είναι. 'you receive the same sort of impression from me as I do from one who appears to me to be beautiful'.

X Smb A II

ἀλλ' ἀδίδακτος ἃν εἰσῆλθεν ὁ χορὸς καὶ πράγματ' αἴσχιστ' ἂν ἐπάθομεν 'we should have received a most disgraceful impression'. D. 21. 17.

Absolutely $\delta \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega \nu$ means 'one who receives impressions, one who feels'.

ό μὲν γὰρ σώφρων ὁ μὴ πάσχων, ὁ δὲ ἐγκρατὴς ὁ πάσχων καὶ τούτων κρατῶν ἢ οἶός τε ὢν πάσχειν. Arist. MM 1203 b 21.

7 Homer has an idiom with the participle, $\tau \ell \pi \alpha \theta \omega \nu$, meaning 'how does it happen that ...'

Τυδεΐδη, τί παθόντε λελάσμεθα θουρίδος ἀλκῆς; 'what has happened to make us forget ...?' Il. 11. 313.
Αμφίμεδον, τί παθόντες ἐρεμνὴν γαῖαν ἔδυτε πάντες κεκριμένοι καὶ ὁμήλικες; Od. 24. 106.

8 It is also used where the subject is in no way concerned in the action of the verb, so that it can be used of things as well as people. This may be defined as have something happen in one's case, though we should naturally employ a different idiom in English.

πεπόνθασι δὲ οὔτι μοῦναι αἱ Ἰώνων ὁρταὶ τοῦτο, ἀλλὰ καὶ Ελλήνων πάντων ὁμοίως πᾶσαι ἐς τωὐτὸ γράμμα τελευτῶσι 'it is by no means only the Ionians' festivals of which this is true'. Hdt. 1. 148. 2.

εἰ ἐτησίαι αἴτιοι ἦσαν, χρῆν καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ποταμούς ... ὁμοίως πάσχειν καὶ κατὰ ταὐτὰ τῷ Νείλῳ. Hdt. 2. 20. 3. πάσχει δὲ ταὐτὸ τοῦτο καὶ τὰ κάρδαμα. 'The very same happens in the case of watercress.' Ar. Nu. 234.

οι τὰς έγχέλεις θηρώμενοι πέπονθας.

Ar. Eq. 864; V. 946, 947.

ὅτι ὑικὸν αὐτῷ δοκοίη πάσχειν ὁ Κριτίας. Χ. Μεm. 1. 2. 30. σχεδὸν οἷον τὰ γράμματα πεπονθότ' ἂν εἴη. Pl. Sph. 253a. δρᾶτε μὴ βουλόμενοι τοὺς πολεμίους τιμωρήσασθαι τὸ αὐτὸ πάθητε τῷ ἵππῳ. Arist. Rh. 1393^b20.

πέμπω

I LSJ distinguishes five main senses in the active and two in the middle, but devotes a great deal of space in sense I to the various constructions which may accompany it. Since these obviously cut across the semantic divisions, they are much better left to be exemplified under the different senses. As I shall demonstrate, sense II 'send forth or away' and sense III 'conduct, escort' conceal the existence of a sense provide with means of travel, which allows a regrouping of these examples into a better pattern. Nor is sense IV 'send as a present' properly distinguished; it comes under the heading of sending any tangible object, such as a letter, and is not

confined to presents. Sense V 'send up, produce' is a single poetic example which can be better interpreted in a way which allows us to relate it to at least one other example (see 3 below). I have therefore sorted the more important examples on semantic lines, ignoring in most cases the accompanying prepositions.

2 It will be as well to start with living creatures (thus including gods and animals as well as persons) who are able to move themselves. In this case $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ is semantically a causative verb and can be translated *make to go*, a definition we could hardly apply to sending a letter.

Έκτωρ δὲ προτὶ ἄστυ δύω κήρυκας ἔπεμπε. ΙΙ. 3. 116.

Here the destination is stated, and the fact that the next line contains two epexegetic infinitives does not affect the semantic analysis of the verb:

καρπαλίμως ἄρνας τε φέρειν Πρίαμόν τε καλέσσαι.

The use of the imperfect is interesting, for it is especially frequent with this verb, implying that the journey is regarded as a process, not an event; cf. $\pi o \rho \epsilon \acute{\nu} o \mu a \iota$. Similarly:

οί δ' ἄμ' Άχιλλῆϊ ἡηξήνορι πέμπον ἔπεσθαι Ίλιον εἰς εὔπωλον, ἵνα Τρώεσσι μάχοιτο. ΙΙ. 16. 575. τὴν μὲν Άχιλλῆος ἡηξήνορος υἰέϊ πέμπεν. Οd. 4. 5.

Poetically:

πέμπειν μιν Θάνατόν τε φέρειν καὶ νήδυμον Ύπνον 'send Death and sweet Sleep to carry him'. Il. 16. 454.

With the point of origin stated:

φράζεο μή τις ἔπειτα θεῶν ἐθέλησι καὶ ἄλλος πέμπειν ὃν φίλον υἱὸν ἀπὸ κρατερῆς ὑσμίνης. Il. 16. 447. ὣς εἰπὼν τὸν κριὸν ἀπὸ ἔο πέμπε θύραζε. Od. 9. 461.

Neither of these examples is placed by LSJ in sense II send forth or away. In later Greek:

τῶν δορυφόρων τινὰς πέμπει κελεύων φυλάξαι ὅ τι χρήσεται ἡ γυνή. Hdt. 5. 12. 3; 7. 15. 1; Th. 1. 129. 3. μήτηρ με πέμπει πατρὶ τυμβεῦσαι χοάς. S. El. 406.

With internal accusative:

βραδεῖαν ἡμᾶς ἆρ' ὁ τήνδε τὴν ὁδὸν πέμπων ἔπεμψεν. 'it was a slow journey then, this that we were sent on.' S. Aj. 739; El. 1163.

With accusative of destination:

έξικέτευσε τῆς ἐμῆς χειρὸς θιγὼν ἀγρούς σφε πέμψαι κἀπὶ ποιμνίων νομάς. S. OT 761; OC 1770.

The middle is used in the same way with the usual additional nuance of personal involvement.

πῶς δῆτά σ' ἂν πεμψαίαθ', ὥστ' οἰκεῖν δίχα; 'How then could they make you go to live apart (for their own advantage)?'
S. OC 602.

τί χρημ' ἐπέμψω τὸν ἐμὸν ἐκ δόμων πόδα; literally 'why did you make my foot come out of the house?' Ε. Hec. 977.

These two examples are quoted by LSJ as meaning send for; the second can certainly bear that meaning, but the first is surely not to be so taken. It does not appear to be very different from this:

ώς χρείη μ' ἐπὶ τὸν σεμνόμαντιν ἄνδρα πέμψασθαί τινα; S. ΟΤ 556.

This is taken by LSJ as meaning send in one's service or cause to be sent.

συνεχῶς πεμπομένη τὴν ἄβραν, ὡς ἐδάκρυσε καὶ ἐπηγρύπνησε 'continually sending her maid (to say on her behalf) that she had wept and stayed awake'. Luc. Tox. 14.

3 A remarkable poetic use needs more comment than 'of troops', since it is really a designation of origin, and so might be defined as be the origin of. The second is given a special section by LSJ.

ἄλλους δ' δ μέγας καὶ πολυθρέμμων Νεῖλος ἔπεμψεν. 'Others came from the mighty Nile.' Α. Pers. 34.

οσα πέμπει βιόδωρος αία. S. Ph. 1162.

4 As another special use we have expressions where the journey involves engaging in an activity or suffering a change of state. If a

man is sent to Troy, this describes his physical movement, as in Il. 16. 575 quoted just above (2), but it may also imply his participation in the Trojan war.

πέμπε δέ μ' ές Τροίην. II. 6. 207. πέμπε δέ μιν πόλεμόνδε. II. 18. 452. οὕτω δ' Άτρέως παίδας ὁ κρείσσων ἐπ' Άλεξάνδρω πέμπει ξένιος Ζεύς. A. Ag. 61.

This is especially found in expressions implying death.

őς μιν ἔμελλε πέμψειν εἰς Ἀΐδαο καὶ οὐκ ἐθέλοντα νέεσθαι. Il. 21. 48; Od. 9. 524.

ős με κασίγνητον συλậς Αΐδα πέμψας. Ε. ΙΤ 159.

Slightly different is the case where Achilles is conducting a funeral:

ὄπιθεν δὲ κάρη ἔχε δῖος Άχιλλεὺς ἀχνύμενος· ἔταρον γὰρ ἀμύμονα πέμπ' Άϊδόσδε. Il. 23. 137.

LSJ's spies also belong here:

σκοποὺς δὲ κἀγὼ καὶ κατοπτήρας στρατοῦ ἔπεμψα. Α. Τh. 37. τὸν οὖν παρόντα πέμψον ἐς κατασκοπήν. S. Ph. 45. οὓς ἐπεπόμφει Κῦρος ἐπὶ κατασκοπήν. X. Cyr. 6. 2. 9.

For other purposes:

εἰς διδασκάλων πέμποντες. Pl. Prt. 325d. ὅταν πέμπωσιν (οἱ θεοὶ) ... συμβούλους. Χ. Μεm. 1. 4. 15. γῆς μ' ὅπως πέμψεις ἄποικον. S. ΟΤ 1518. πέμψαι ... ἄνδρας οἵτινες ἀναγγελοῦσι σκεψάμενοι. Th. 1. 91. 2.

5 To be distinguished from these is the use where $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ means provide persons with means to travel, convey. In such cases the person is regarded as a passenger to be carried, and is little different from a parcel. Moreover, it is irrelevant whether those doing the conveying accompany the traveller or not; or rather, this

is inherent in the context and is not expressed by the meaning of the verb. So the alleged sense *escort* is unreal, for what the verb describes is only the provision of means, though of course this translation may still serve.

τὴν μέν γὰρ σὺν νηὶ θοῆ έλίκωπες Άχαιοὶ ές Χρύσην πέμπουσιν, ἄγουσι δὲ δῶρα ἄνακτι. Il. 1. 390. πέμπε δέ μιν πομποῖσιν ἄμα κραιπνοῖσι φέρεσθαι 'provided for him to be conveyed by swift escorts'. Il. 16. 681.

τὴν ἄρ' ὅ γ' ἔνθ' ἵπποισι καὶ ἄρμασι πέμπε νέεσθαι Μυρμιδόνων προτὶ ἄστυ περίκλυτον. Od. 4. 8.

 $\hat{\eta}$ ἄλλον πέμπωμεν ίκανέμεν, ős κε φιλήση 'or shall we send them to someone else to entertain'. Od. 4. 29.

οὐ γάρ οἱ πάρα νῆες ἐπήρετμοι καὶ ἐταῖροι οἱ κέν μιν πέμποιεν ἐπ' εὐρέα νῶτα θαλάσσης. Od. 4. 560.

ἐκέλευον

πεμπέμεναι τὸν ξείνον. Od. 7. 227.

Hercules loquitur:

τὸν (κύνα) μὲν ἐγῶν ἀνένεικα καὶ ἤγαγον ἐξ Ἀΐδαο. Έρμείας δέ μ' ἔπεμψεν ἰδὲ γλαυκῶπις Ἀθήνη. Od. 11. 626.

This is quoted as evidence for the sense *escort*, but it is irrelevant whether Hermes and Athene were actually present; the point is that they made his journey possible.

τύχησε γὰρ ἐρχομένη νηῦς ἀνδρῶν Θεσπρωτῶν ἐς Δουλίχιον πολύπυρον, ἔνθ' ὅ γέ μ' ἢνώγει πέμψαι βασιλῆϊ Ἀκάστῳ. Od. 14. 336. χρὴ ξεῖνον παρεόντα φιλεῖν, ἐθέλοντα δὲ πέμπειν. Od. 15. 74.

This can fairly be translated 'send on his way', but it is still covered by my proposed definition. Of the ferryman Nessus:

τοσόνδ' ὀνήση τῶν ἐμῶν, ἐὰν πίθη, πορθμῶν, ὁθούνεχ' ὑστάτην σ' ἔπεμψ' ἐγώ. S. Tr. 571.

In the passive:

ωσπερ δ (χορός) είς Δηλον πεμπόμενος. Χ. Μεm. 3. 3. 12.

6 This same sense can be used of things which serve as a means of conveyance:

ὄφρα σε τῆ πέμπωσι τιτυσκόμεναι φρεσὶ νῆες. Od. 8. 556.

κλειναὶ νᾶες, αἴ ποτ' ἔβατε Tροίαν ... πέμπουσαι χορούς. Ε. El. 434.

πλάτα ...

άχείματόν μ' έπεμπε σὺν πνοαίς. A. Supp. 136. κραιπνοφόροι δέ μ' έπεμψαν αδραι. A. Pr. 132.

In the passive:

σὺν Νότου δ' αὕραις ἐπ' Άξείνου στόμα πεμπόμενοι ηλυθον. Pi. P. 4. 203.

7 From this it is a short step to sending tangible objects which need to be carried: *have conveyed*, *despatch*. The first example combines animate and inanimate objects.

πέμψον ἐπὶ Θρηκῶν ἀνδρῶν ἵππους τε καὶ εὐνάς. ΙΙ. 10. 464.

εἵματα δ' ἐνθάδ' ἐγὼ πέμψω καὶ σῖτον ἄπαντα ἔδμεναι. Od. 16. 83.

τῷ μούνῳ Ξέρξης δῶρα πέμπεσκε. Hdt. 7. 106. 1.

πέρσεις τε Τροίαν, σκῦλά τ' ἐς μέλαθρα σὰ πέμψεις. S. Ph. 1429.

Κύρφ μὲν καὶ τῆ στρατιᾶ ἀπάση ξένια ἔπεμπε. Χ. Cyr. 3. 1. 42; An. 1. 9. 25.

χρὴ ... γράμματα πέμψαντα ἐμὲ ἐρέσθαι. Pl. Ερ. 310d; 323b.

In the passive, with the special sense send in a procession (cf. 9 below):

τὸν δ' ὧν φαλλὸν τῷ Διονύσῳ πεμπόμενον Μελάμπους ἐστὶ ὁ κατηγησάμενος. Hdt. 2. 49. 1.

ό ... πέπλος πεμπόμενος διὰ τοῦ Κεραμεικοῦ. Plu. Demetr. 12.

In another special use it resembles "ημι meaning discharge, hurl.

οι ρα τριηκοσίας πέτρας στιβαρέων ἀπὸ χειρών πέμπον ἐπασσυτέρας. Hes. Th. 716.

This may be extended to looks, though in the context the look is compared to an arrow, and to cries and noises.

καὶ παρθένων χλιδαῖσιν εὐμόρφοις ἔπι πᾶς τις παρελθὼν ὄμματος θελκτήριον τόξευμ' ἔπεμψεν ἰμέρου νικώμενος. 'cast a charming glance'.

A. Supp. 1005.

θνητὸς ὢν ἐς οὐρανὸν πέμπει γεγωνὰ Ζηνὶ κυμαίνοντ' ἔπη. Α. Τh. 443. ὧ φθέγμα ποθεινὸν ἐμοὶ πέμψας. S. Ph. 1445. πύλας ἀράξας καὶ ψόφον πέμψας ἔσω. Ε. ΙΤ 1308.

8 The next step is to abstract objects, where the sense may be defined as make to appear, send. But the first two examples are hardly to be distinguished from those placed in 2 above, since "Ovelpov" is apparently personified.

πέμψαι ἐπ' Άτρεΐδη Άγαμέμνονι οδλον Όνειρον. Il. 2. 6 πέμψον δ' οἰωνὸν, ταχὺν ἄγγελον, ὅς τε σοὶ αὐτῷ φίλτατος οἰωνῶν. Il. 24. 310.

In the second it is hard to say whether οἰωνόν means 'bird' or 'omen'. More clearly immaterial is:

τῶ ἔχεθ' ὅττι κεν ὕμμι κακὸν πέμπησιν ἐκάστῳ. ΙΙ. 15. 109.

Winds are hard to classify:

πέμψω δέ τοι οὖρον ὅπισθεν. Od. 5. 167.

But the object may be more abstract, even if conceived as appearing in human form:

ύστερόποινον πέμπει παραβασιν Έρινύν. Α. Ag. 59. ἀρωγὰς δ' ἐκ τάφου πέμπει πατήρ. Α. Ευ. 598; Ch. 477; S. OT 189. Φοΐβος δ' ὁ πέμψας τάσδε τὰς μαντείας. S. OT 149. ἐν θέρει δ' ὕπνον δι' ἀμφιτρῆτος αὐλίου πέμπει πνοή. S. Ph. 19.

9 With the cognate accusative $\pi o \mu \pi \eta \nu$ the verb means set in motion a procession. LSJ says conduct or take part in, since we have here the same situation as in 5 above; the subject of the verb may or may not be a part of the procession, though of course he usually does take part himself.

μετὰ δὲ ἀπειπάμενος τὴν ὄψιν ἔπεμπε τὴν πομπήν, ἐν τῆ δὴ τελευτậ. Hdt. 5. 56. 2.

In this case it is clear that he did take part as well as being in control of it.

έν ὅπλοις τῶν πολιτῶν τοὺς τὴν πομπὴν πέμψαντας άθρόους γενέσθαι. Th. 6. 56. 2.

In this case they were taking part, but not also in control.

οὔ τι μὴ Τέρωνι τῷ κήρυκι πομπὴν πέμπετε; Ar. Ec. 757. ἔπεμψαν οἱ ἐκ Πειραιῶς τὴν πομπὴν εἰς πόλιν. Lys. 13. 80; D. 4. 26.

In the passive:

τες δε πονπες hόπος [αν hος κάλ(λ)ιστα] πενφθει, ho[ι hι]εροπ[οι]οι επιμελόσθον. IG 1². 84. 27.

LSJ adds to these cases where the accusative is not cognate, but may imply a procession. For E. El. 434 see 6 above. A better example is:

μικρὰ Παναθήναι' ἐπει⟨δὴ⟩ δι' ἀγορᾶς πέμποντά σε, Μοσχίων, μήτηρ έώρα τῆς κόρης ἐφ' ἄρματος. Men. fr. 494.

10 From its frequent use of sending messengers arose the intransitive use where it means *send a message*. It may of course be accompanied by a statement of the content of the message.

ό Θεμιστοκλής τοις Άθηναίοις κρύφα πέμπει κελεύων ... κατασχείν. Τh. 1. 91. 3.

παρά τε Φορμίωνα ἔπεμπον κελεύοντες ἀμύνειν. Th. 2. 81. 1. ἐπέμψαμεν ὡς ὑμᾶς περὶ ἀποστάσεως. Th. 3. 13. 1; 8. 50. 2. καὶ εἰς Πέρσας ἔπεμπε πρός τε τὸ κοινὸν καὶ πρὸς Καμβύσην.

X. Cyr. 1. 5. 4; 6. 2. 10; An. 2. 3. 1.

καὶ πέμπεις έκων,

οὐ βία—μὴ τοῦτο λέξης—σῆ δάμαρτι, παΐδα σὴν δεῦρ' ἀποστέλλειν. Ε. ΙΑ 360.

άλλ' οὐ γὰρ ἔμαθε ταῦτ' ἐμοῦ πέμποντος. Ar. fr. 216. οὕτε τοὺς φρουροὺς ἀπέδοσαν πέμψαντος ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν ἐμοῦ πολλάκις. Epist. ap. D. 12. 12.

- This enclitic particle has been plausibly connected with the preposition $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, and since this in composition frequently has intensive value, great efforts have been made to discover such examples of $\pi\epsilon\rho$ too. It is a general rule that if you try hard enough to find something, you will succeed; but this runs counter to my lexicographical principle, that the meaning must be educed from the examples, and the examples must never be forced to conform to a preconceived notion. I hope I have been able to show that neither LSJ nor J. D. Denniston (The Greek Particles² (Oxford. 1954), 481-90) need to be followed in their belief in this intensive sense. The difficulty in handling a word of this type is that the meaning of many passages is open to several different interpretations; hence it is important to establish the meanings from clear examples, and then assign the doubtful ones to the pattern thus established. I have not attempted to quote every passage in Homer, simply because the word is too common; but I have examined every example quoted as evidence for a sense I do not recognise, and have convinced myself that this is a fair selection. In most cases I have appended a (sometimes abbreviated) translation, not as an elegant version, but to demonstrate how I think $\pi \epsilon \rho$ should here be understood. I observe that it is not infrequently ignored by translators, and like other such particles its force is often most naturally conveyed in English by tone of voice rather than specific words. In order to determine the classification of passages it is often necessary to study the wider context, which cannot be quoted in extenso here.
- 2 A preliminary point of order needs to be discussed. What is the historical development, from a qualifier of single words to a qualifier of participles, and from this to a qualifier of main clauses, or the reverse? Since all these uses are present in Homer, we have no objective criterion to apply. LSJ assumes that the participial use came first and the use with single words arose by the ellipse of the participle of $\epsilon i \mu i$, and it ignores the use with main clauses. I prefer the order set out above, as being at least as plausible, and on lexical grounds slightly more likely.
- 3 The particle generally serves to qualify an element in the sentence as one which might have been expected to invalidate it.

Translations might be offered such as in spite of being, even if, even though.

οὖκέτι νῶϊ

όλλυμένων Δαναῶν κεκαδησόμεθ' ὑστάτιόν περ.

'Are we no longer concerned about the Greeks perishing, even if this is the last chance?' II. 8. 353.

σφῶϊν δὲ πρίν περ τρόμος ἔλλαβε φαίδιμα γυῖα, πρὶν πόλεμόν τε ἰδεῖν ...

'Trembling seized their shining limbs, even though it was before they set eyes on warfare.' Il. 8. 452.

ἄνδρα φέριστον, ὃν ἀθάνατοί περ ἔτεισαν, ἦτίμησας.

'You dishonoured a very brave man, whom the gods revered, gods though they are.' Il. 9. 110.

μηδέ μεγαλίζεο θυμώ

άλλὰ καὶ αὐτοί περ πονώμεθα.

'Let us work on it even if we do it ourselves.' Il. 10. 70.

ἀρίγνωτοι δὲ θεοί περ. 'Gods are easily recognised for all that they are gods.' Il. 13. 72.

τί σευ ἄλλος δνήσεται δψίγονός περ; 'What profit will any other have of you, even if he is yet to be born?' Il. 16. 31.

περὶ Πατρόκλοιο θανόντος

σπεύσομεν, αι κε νέκυν περ Άχιλλῆϊ προφέρωμεν γυμνόν.

'Let us hasten to protect the dead Patroclus, to see if we can bring him as a present to Achilles, even though a corpse and stripped.' Il. 17. 121.

οὐδ' ὑμῖν ποταμός περ ἐΰροος ἀργυροδίνης ἀρκέσει.

'Nor will you find the river with its silver eddies enough to save you, even if it flows strongly.' Il. 21. 130.

σθένος ἀνέρος ἀμφότεροί περ σχῶμεν.

'Let us put a stop to this man's strength, even if it takes both of us to do it.' Il. 21. 308.

τῷ νῦν μή ποτε καὶ σὸ γυναικί περ ἤπιος εἶναι.

'Do not ever be mild to a woman, just because she is a woman.'

Od. 11. 441.

The exempla cited are Helen and Clytaemnestra.

αὐτὰρ ἐγὼ κλαῖον καὶ ἐκώκυον ἔν περ ὀνείρῳ 'even though it was in a dream'. Od. 19. 541.

έγὼ τόδε τοι

πέμπω μεμιγμένον μέλι λευκῷ

σὺν γάλακτι ...

 $\partial \psi \epsilon' \pi \epsilon \rho$ 'even though late'. Pi. N. 3. 80.

μένει τὸ θεῖον δουλία περ ἐν φρενί 'even though in a slave's mind'. A. Ag. 1084.

4 This use is frequently extended by adding a participle, sometimes with a preceding $\kappa a i$. This is of course the origin of the normal classical usage of the compound $\kappa a i \pi \epsilon \rho$.

χαλεποί τοι ἔσοντ' ἀλόχω περ ἐούση. ΙΙ. 1. 546

τέτλαθι, μῆτερ ἐμή, καὶ ἀνάσχεο κηδομένη περ, μή σε φίλην περ ἐοῦσαν ἐν ὀφθαλοῦσιν ἴδωμαι θεινομένην. ΙΙ. 1. 586-7.

With preceding καί:

οἱ δὲ καὶ ἀχνύμενοἱ περ ἐπ' αὐτῷ ἡδὺ γέλασσαν. Il. 2. 270. βέλτερον, εἰ καὐτή περ ἐποιχομένη πόσιν εὖρεν 'It would be better if she found a husband from elsewhere, even if she had to go in search of one herself.' Od. 6. 282.

In later Greek:

τάδε νῦν ἐπιδείξω πιστὰ τεκμήρια, γαιονόμοισι δ' ἄελπτά περ ὄντα φανείται. Α. Supp. 55.

γυνή περ οὖσα. Α. Τh. 1038.

καὶ θοῦρός περ ὤν. Α. fr. 199.2.

ἔργου εἴχοντο, ἐν ὀλίγῳ περ ἀπολαμφθέντες 'they set to their task, even though restricted to a narrow space'. Hdt. 8. 11. 1.

γενναίός περ ὤν. S. Ph. 1068.

ή σύμπασα θηρευτική, πολλή περ καὶ τεχνική γεγονυία.
[Pl.] Epin. 975c.

5 Instead of qualifying a single word or phrase $\pi\epsilon\rho$ may apply to a main clause; it will translate this notwithstanding, all the same,

nevertheless, still. In the first example it is the second $\pi\epsilon\rho$ which is classified here.

έπεί μ' ἔτεκές γε μινυνθάδιόν περ ἐόντα, τιμήν <u>πέρ</u> μοι ὄφελλεν Ὀλύμπιος ἐγγυαλίξαι Ζεὺς ὑψιβρεμέτης. 'Still Zeus ought to confer honour on me'.

Il. 1. 353.

οἴκαδέ περ σὺν νηυσὶ νεώμεθα, τόνδε δ' ἐῶμεν αὐτοῦ ἐνὶ Τροίη γέρα πέσσεμεν.

'Nevertheless let us go home with our ships, and leave him to stay in Troy and gorge on his privileges.' Il. 2. 236.

ἀλλά περ οἶος ἴτω Τελαμώνιος Αἴας 'but still let Ajax go alone'. Il. 12. 349.

τὸν δ' οὔ περ ἔχει θράσος ὅς κεν ἴδηται 'nevertheless, if any see it, boldness is not enough to hold him'. Il. 14. 416.

ἄλλοτέ περ καὶ μᾶλλον ὀφέλλετε ταῦτα πένεσθαι, ὁππότε τις μεταπαυσωλὴ πολέμοιο γένηται. (Achilles politely rejects Agamemnon's proposal) 'Nevertheless it is at a different time that you ought to do this.' Il. 19. 200.

εί δὲ θεός περ

ίσον τείνειεν πολέμου τέλος.

'nevertheless, if a god should hold the fortunes of battle equal'.

Il. 20. 100.

άγαθὸν δὲ γυναικί περ ἐν φιλότητι μίσγεσθ'.

'(How long will you mourn and abstain from food and bed?)
Despite your grief it is good to make love to a woman.'

Il. 24. 130.

αὐτὸν δ' ἐλέησον

μνησάμενος σοῦ πατρός· έγὼ δ' έλεεινότερός περ, ἔτλην δ' οἶ' οὕ πώ τις ἐπιχθόνιός βροτὸς ἄλλος.

'Remember your father and pity me; nevertheless I am more to be pitied.' Il. 24. 504.

This is Denniston's prime example of intensive $\pi\epsilon\rho$: I am far more to be pitied.' But it is perfectly possible to take this (and all his other examples) in a different sense, so I cannot accept that the intensive use is proved to exist.

οὐδέ νυ σοί περ

έντρέπεται φίλον ήτορ, Όλύμπιε.

'In spite of this your heart is not shamed.' Od. 1. 59.

τῶν δ' ἄλλων οὔ πέρ τιν' ἀναίνομαι οὐδ' ἀθερίζω.

'Nevertheless of the rest there is none I despise or take lightly.'

Od. 8. 212.

ή δ' ἐμὴ οὐδέ περ υἷος ἐνιπλησθῆναι ἄκοιτις ὀφθαλμοῖσιν ἔασε.

'Nevertheless my wife did not allow my eyes to have their fill of my son.' Od. 11. 452.

6 A rather different sense must be distinguished where it appears to mean if no other, if nothing else, at least.

άλλὰ, Ζεῦ, τόδε πέρ μοι ἐπικρήηνον ἐέλδωρ· αὐτοὺς δή περ ἔασον ὑπεκφυγέειν καὶ ἀλύξαι.

'Grant me at least this wish; let them at least get from under and escape.' Il. 8. 242-3.

εὶ δέ τοι Άτρεἴδης μὲν ἀπήχθετο κηρόθι μᾶλλον, αὐτὸς καὶ τοῦ δῶρα, σὲ δ' ἄλλους περ Παναχαίους τειρομένους ἐλέαιρε κατὰ στρατόν. 'Do you at least pity the other Greeks.' Il. 9. 301.

ό δὲ πείσεται εἰς ἀγαθόν περ. 'He will be persuaded at least if it is to his advantage.' Il. 11. 789.

ἀνὴρ δ' ὤριστος ὅλωλε

Σαρπηδών, Διὸς υίός ὁ δ' οὐδ' οὖ παιδὸς ἀμύνει.

άλλὰ σύ πέρ μοι, ἄναξ, τόδε κάρτερον ἔλκος ἄκεσσαι.

'Zeus' son is dead, but he does not even defend his own son. But at least do you, king Apollo, heal this grievous wound for me.' Il. 16. 523.

οὐκέτι νῶϊ

έλπομαι αὐτώ περ νοστήσεμεν έκ πολέμοιο.

'I no longer expect the two of us to get ourselves, let alone any other, home from the war'; the other is here the corpse of Patroclus. Il. 17. 239; 17. 712; 20. 300.

αι κέ ποθι Ζεύς

ἐξοπίσω περ παύση ὀϊζύος 'at least for the future'.

Od. 4.35; 18. 122.

ἀλλὰ σύ πέρ μοι εἰπέ, θεοὶ δέ τε πάντα ἴσασιν 'but do you, if no other, tell me—the gods know everything'. Od. 4. 379.

σὺ γὰρ αὖτε τά τ' ἄλλα περ ἄγγελός ἐσσι 'for you again are a messenger at least for other news'. Od. 5. 29; 15. 540.

νῦν δή πέρ μευ ἄκουσον, ἐπεὶ πάρος οὔ ποτ' ἄκουσας 'now at least listen to me, since you never did before'. Od. 6. 325. In later Greek:

-- ἡ καὶ δοκεῖτε τοῦ τυφλοῦ τιν' ἐντροπὴν
 ἡ φροντίδ' ἔξειν, αὐτὸν ὥστ' ἐλθεῖν πέλας;
 --καὶ κάρθ', ὅταν περ τοὔνομ' αἴσθηται τὸ σόν. S. OC 301.

7 To express exactness or identity, in fact, just.

κρείσσων εἰς ἐμέθεν καὶ φέρτερος οὐκ ὀλίγον περ ἔγχεϊ.

'You are stronger and not just a little braver with the spear.'

Il. 19. 217.

δίσκον μείζονα καὶ πάχετον, στιβαρώτερον οὐκ ὀλίγον περ. Od. 8. 187.

In later Greek of course this develops into the compounds with relative words such as $\delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$, etc. to emphasise identity. In Homer the elements can still be separated.

τιμήν, $\ddot{\eta}$ τ' ἄλλων περ ἐπιγνάμπτει νόον ἐσθλῶν 'the very same that ...' Il. 9. 514.

ταὶ δ' ἐκ μεγάροιο γυναῖκες ἥϊσαν, αι μηνστῆρσιν ἐμισγέσκοντο πάρος περ 'the same women who used previously to consort with the suitors'.

Od. 20. 7.

It is also possible to take this as qualifying $\pi \acute{a}\rho os$ 'who at least before used to ...' Denniston sees it as 'a grim hint that the intercourse will not continue much longer.' But I think it makes better sense to connect $\pi \epsilon \rho$ with the relative, as I have indicated.

ως μιν ἔρως πυκινὰς φρένας ἀμφεκάλυψεν, οἷον ὅτε πρῶτόν περ ἐμισγέσθην φιλότητι 'exactly as when I made love for the first time'. Il. 14. 295.

Again Denniston disagrees, taking $\pi\epsilon\rho$ with $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\tau o\nu$ 'the very first time'.

άλλ' έμὲ μὲν κὴρ άμφέχανε στυγερή, ἥ περ λάχε γιγνόμενόν περ. Il. 23. 79.

Here the repetition of $\pi\epsilon\rho$ creates difficulties. $\tilde{\eta}$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ must express identity 'the very same fate which befell me'. But what does $\gamma\iota\gamma\nu\delta\mu\epsilon\nu\delta\nu$ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ mean? I would suggest 'at the very moment I was

• ρέω
247

born'; it is well known that a person's fate is determined at the moment of his birth. So too with conditional particles:

ἐπεὶ σύ περ εὔχεαι οὔτω 'since this is your boast'.

Il. 13. 447.

ην μή περ ὁ πατὴρ αὐτὴν ἐγγυήση 'unless in fact her father has betrothed her'. Hdt. 6. 57. 4.

The later development of the compounds lies outside the scope of this note.

ρέω

- This is an attempt to remedy some of the manifest ambiguities of LSJ's treatment of this word, which has the disadvantage that English flow will translate many of its senses (cf. BICS (1994), 4-5). The obvious discriminant is the subject of the verb, but there are several other differences to be observed. There does not seem any reason to doubt that the matter of forms is adequately treated in LSJ; there seems to be no semantic difference implied by voice, and the variations are merely formal. I have added a number of examples to those cited by LSJ and the Supplements; these have * prefixed to their references. But I have no doubt that more might profitably be added.
- 2 We may begin therefore with rivers, streams, and the like, where the meaning is to contain running water, flow, run.

```
άλλ' ὅτε δὴ Τροίην ξέον ποταμώ τε ῥέοντε. *II. 5.773; 6. 172.
τῶν δέ τε πάντες μὲν ποταμοὶ πλήθουσι ῥέοντες. *II. 16. 389.
οὐδέ τέ μιν σθένεϊ ῥηγνῦσι ῥέοντες. *II. 17. 751; *21. 256.
ὄστις Έλλήσποντον ἰρὸν δοῦλον ὡς δεσμώμασιν ἤλπισε σχήσειν ῥέοντα. *A. Pers. 746. hόπος αν ἡέοσ[ιν οἱ ὀχετοὶ κάλλιστα]. IG <math>1^2. 54. 7.
```

3 A special use of this is to indicate the place or course of the stream.

```
αὐτὰρ ἐπὶ κρατὸς λιμένος ῥέει ἀγλαὸν ὕδωρ
κρήνη ὑπὸ σπείους. *Od. 9. 140.
```

248 ῥέω

I assume that κρήνη is here in apposition to ΰδωρ, but if ΰδωρ is an internal accusative it must be classified elsewhere (see 4 below); this usage, however, seems to be confined to Hellenistic Greek.

άμφὶ δ' ἴτυν ρέεν Ὠκεανὸς πλήθοντι ἐοικώς. *Hes. Sc. 314. Άλυος ποταμοῦ, δς ρέων ἀπὸ μεσημβρίης μεταξὺ Συρίων ⟨τε⟩ καὶ Παφλαγόνων ἐξίει πρὸς βορῆν ἄνεμον ἐς τὸν Ευξεινον καλεόμενον πόντον. *Hdt. 1. 6. 1; 1. 72. 2.

φαμένη τὸν Νείλον ρέειν ἀπὸ τηκομένης χιόνος. Hdt. 2. 22. 1.

This is perhaps a statement of the cause rather than the location, in which case it would come into the uses discussed at the end of 4 below.

ρεί γὰρ ἀπ' ἄκρας Πίνδου Λάκμου τ' ἀπὸ Περραιβῶν εἰς Άμφιλόχους. *S. fr. 271.1.

διὰ δὲ τῆς Θεσπρωτίδος Άχέρων ποταμὸς ῥέων. *Th. 1. 46. 4.

συμβαίνει δὲ τοὺς ποταμοὺς ρείν οὐκ ἐπὶ ταὐτὸν ἀεί 'do not always flow in the same direction'.

Arist. Mete. 356a16; 361a33; Str. 7. 5. 8.

4 Another special use is where the manner of flow is specified. This may be by an adverb or adverbial expression, but there is also an idiomatic use where an adjective is used in apposition to the subject. Adverbially:

άπ' Άξιοῦ εὐρὺ ρέοντος. ΙΙ. 2. 849. λέγεται ... τοὺς ποταμοὺς τούτους ρέειν ... οὐδὲν ήσσον η νῦν.

Hdt. 7. 129. 3.

With dative:

ή μὲν γὰρ (πηγή) θ' ὕδατι λιαρῷ ῥέει. Il. 22. 149; Od. 5. 70. φάραγγες ... ὕδατι χειμάρρῳ ῥέουσαι. Ε. Τr. 449.

With genitive in later Greek:

οί ποταμοὶ ... πολλοῦ τε ὕδατος καὶ θολεροῦ ἔρρεον καὶ ὀξέος τοῦ ρεύματος. Arr. An. 5. 9. 4.

With internal accusative:

Iμέρα ἀνθ' ὕδατος ῥείτω γάλα. Theoc. 5. 124; 5. 126.

καὶ οἱ βουνοὶ ῥυήσονται γάλα, καὶ πᾶσαι αἱ ἀφέσεις Ἰούδα ῥυήσονται ὕδατα. LXX Jl. 3(4). 18.

πρώτον μέν αίμα ποτάμιον ρυήσεται πηγαί τε πάσαι. Ezek. Exag. 133.

έφιστάμεθα ποταμώ οίνον ρέοντι. Luc. VH 1.7.

λέγεται δὲ τούτῳ (sc. for Midas) τὸν Πακτωλὸν χρυσὸν ῥεῦσαι. Sch. Ar. Pl. 287.

With adjective:

περὶ δὲ ῥόος 'Ωκεανοῖο

άφρῷ μορμύρων ῥέεν ἄσπετος. ΙΙ. 18. 403.

τέως δὲ οἱ μὲν ... ῥέουσι μεγάλοι, τοῦ δὲ θέρεος ἀσθενέες εἰσί. Hdt. 2. 25. 4; 4. 53. 2.

μέγας οὕτως ἐρρύη ὤστε τοὺς ἱππέας μὴ οἴους τε γενέσθαι διαβῆναι. Hdt. 8. 138. 2; Th. 2. 5. 2.

For an extension of this idiom see 10 below.

5 Where the subject is a liquid, the verb may mean flow forth, be shed.

καλ $\hat{\eta}$ ὑπὸ πλατανίστ ω , ὅθεν ῥέ $\hat{\epsilon}$ ν ἀγλαὸν ὕδ ω ρ. *Il. 2. 307.

ῶδέ σφ' ἐγκεφαλὸς χαμάδις ῥέοι ὡς ὅδε οἶνος.

II. 3. 300; *Od. 9. 290.

ρέε δ' ἄμβροτον αἷμα θεοίο. *ΙΙ. 5.339; 17. 86.

δάκρυα δέ σφι

θερμὰ κατὰ βλεφάρων χαμάδις ρέε μυρομένοισιν.
*Il. 17. 438; Od. 19. 204.

ρεί μοι τὸ δάκρυον πολύ. Ar. Lys. 1034.

ἔρρεε δ' ΐδρως

πάντοθεν έκ μελέων. *ΙΙ. 23. 688.

κεφαλής ἀμίαντον ἀπ' ἄκρης

αἰεὶ λευκὸν ὕδωρ ρεύσεται ήμετέρης. Thgn. 448.

ό μèν παις (sc. the statue of a boy), δι' οδ της χειρὸς ρέει τὸ ενωρ. Hdt. 1. 51. 4.

έρρύη δὲ περὶ αὐτὸ τὸ ἔαρ τοῦτο ὁ ῥύαξ τοῦ πυρὸς ἐκ τῆς Αἴτνης. Th. 3. 116. 1.

6 Where the subject is a person or a thing, the verb may mean produce a liquid, have a discharge.

πρώτη καὶ ὀγδοηκόστη Όλυμπιάδι φασὶ τὴν Αιτνην ἡυῆναι. Ael. fr. 2.

This is especially used in a medical context.

Φίλωνος γὰρ θυγάτηρ ἐκ ῥινῶν λάβρον ἐρρύη 'had a copious epistaxis'. Hp. Epid. 1. 19.

πρὸς τὰς κοιλίας τὰς ρεούσας φαρμάκω. D. S. 5. 41. 6.

Impersonally:

έρρύη γὰρ αὐτῷ ἐκ τῆς ρινὸς βληθέντι. Χ. Cyr. 8. 3. 30.

7 Of things or places with the dative, be covered with, run with a liquid.

ρέε δ' αίματι γαία. *Il. 4. 451; 8. 65.

ρεί δε γάλακτι πέδον, ρεί δ' οἴνῳ, ρεί δε μελισσᾶν νέκταρι. Ε. Βα. 142.

οἴνω γὰρ ἄπασ' ἔρρει χαράδρα. Telecl. 1. 4.

In the middle voice:

οί που (νηοί) νῦν ίδρῶτι ῥεούμενοι έστήκασι.

Orac. ap. Hdt. 7. 140. 3.

φόνω δε ναῦς ερρείτο. Ε. Hel. 1602.

ρεομένοις ίδρῶτι τοῖς ἵπποις. Plu. Cor. 3.

8 Used of things other than liquids, fall as if in a stream or shower, be shed abundantly.

ώς τῶν ἐκ χειρῶν βέλεα ῥέεν. Il. 12. 159.

τῶν δ' ἐκ μὲν μελέων τρίχες ἔρρεον. Od. 10. 393.

αί δέ νυ χαίται

ἔρρεον ἐκ κεφαλέων. Hes. fr. 133 M-W; Theoc. 2. 89.

ρέεσκε δε καρπός έραζε. Hes. fr. 204. 125 M-W.

περὶ τὸν καρπὸν, ὅταν ἀκμὴν ἄρχηται ῥεῖν. Plb. 12. 4. 14.

Of Zeus descending to Danae in a shower of gold:

ώς γενόμενος χρυσός ό Ζεὺς ἐρρύη διὰ τέγεος. *Men. Sam. 590.

ές Δ ανάην ἔρρευσας, Όλύμπιε, χρυσός. ΑΡ 5. 33(32).

οὐ μέλλω ῥεύσειν χρυσός ποτε. ΑΡ 5. 125(124).

ῥέω 251

9 Similarly of sounds (also, poetically, of a tongue), be uttered in profusion, flow forth.

τοῦ καὶ ἀπὸ γλώσσης μέλιτος γλυκίων ῥέεν αὐδή.

Il. 1. 249; Hes. Th. 39; 97; h. Ven. 237.

τοῦ δὲ ἔπε' ἐκ στόματος ῥεῖ μείλιχα. Hes. Th. 84.

ός οὐκ ἐάσει γλῶσσαν ἐργμάτων ἄτερ

ἔσω πυλών ρέουσαν ἀλδαίνειν κακά. A. Th. 557.

Similarly of reports or rumours, be divulged, spread.

τὸν μέν τε ρέει φάτις οὕνεκ' Άχαιὴ Δημήτηρ ἔβλαψεν. Nic. Th. 484.

10 The phrase $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}_S$ $\dot{\rho} \epsilon \hat{u} \nu$ (see 4 above) is also used metaphorically, either as meaning *come in large quantity*:

ρεί πολύς οδε λεώς πρόδρομος ίππότας. A. Th. 80.

or exercise a powerful influence, be in full flow:

Κύπρις γὰρ οὐ φορητὸς, ἢν πολλὴ ρύη. Ε. Ηἰρρ. 443. τότ' ἐγὼ μὲν τῷ Πύθωνι θρασυνομένω καὶ πολλῷ ρέοντι καθ' ὑμῶν οὐχ ὑπεχώρησα. D. 18. 136.

II Of things, move like a liquid, spread.

λοιμοῦ ῥέοντος διὰ τῆς βαρβάρου. Hp. Ep. 27 (9. 418 L). ἡ φλὸξ ῥυεῖσα καὶ διαζώσασα πανταχόθεν τὴν πόλιν διέλαμψε πολλή. Plu. Bru. 31. 2.

Poetically, run away, be lost (cf. 17 below).

άλλὰ ταῦτα μέν

ρείτω κατ' οὖρον. S. Tr. 468.

12 Of abstract things, progress, develop.

πολλάκι πὰρ δόξαν καὶ ἐλπίδα γίνεται εὖ ῥείν ἔργ' ἀνδρῶν. Thgn. 639.

ανω γαρ αν ρέοι

τὰ πράγμαθ' οὕτως, εἰ 'πιταξόμεσθα δή. Ε. Supp. 520.

άλλὰ δῆτ' ἄνω ποταμῶν ... πάντες οἱ περὶ πορνείας ἐρρύησαν λόγοι. D. 19. 287.

ρέων δὲ ὁ μῦθος ἦλθε μέχρις ἡρώων. Babr. 15. 3.

Of time, progress, run on.

οὐ πολλοῦ δὲ πάνυ ρυέντος χρόνου. Memn. 14. 1 J.

13 Metaphorically, with dative, abound in.

τῶν Φρυγῶν πόλιν χρυσῷ ῥέουσαν ἤλπισας κατακλύσειν δαπάναισιν. Ε. Τr. 995.

είτα Κρατίνου μεμνημένος, ός πολλφ <u>ρεύσας</u> ποτ' έπαίνφ διὰ τῶν ἀφελῶν πεδίων ἔρρει. Ar. Eq. 526.

The underlined example is that for which the passage is quoted.

14 Metaphorically, of persons, have a strong feeling towards or against.

πρὸς τὰ μαθήματα καὶ πᾶν τὸ τοιοῦτο ἐρρυήκασιν.

Pl. R. 485d.

οι αν ταύτη τύχωσι ρυέντες. Pl. R. 495b.

In a hostile sense:

ὄ γε δῆμος ... ὤσπερ σχολάζοντι τῷ θυμῷ πρὸς τὸν Άλκιβιάδην ὅλος ἐρρύη. Plu. Alc. 21. 5.

In a different metaphorical development, lose consistency, fall apart, collapse.

ώς δ' έθάλπετο

ρεί πᾶν ἄδηλον καὶ κατέψηκται χθονί. S. Tr. 698.

εὶ γὰρ ῥέοι τὸ σῶμα καὶ ἀπολλύοιτο ἔτι ζῶντος τοῦ ἀνθρώπου. Pl. Phd. 87d.

πάσης ἀνάπαλιν τῆς τοῦ σώματος φύσεως ἐξ ἀνάγκης ῥυείσης. Pl. Ti. 84c.

τοῦ τέγους εἴ σοι μέρος τι ῥεί. *Men. Sam. 593.

τήκεται δὲ καὶ ὁ λίθος ὁ πυρίμαχος ὥστε στάζειν καὶ ῥεῖν.
Arist. Mete. 383⁶6; Thphr. Lap. 9.

ώς δ' αὖτις ἦλθεν, ἦλίου δ' ὑπ' ἀκτίνων ἥδη ῥέοντα τὸν στάχυν θεωρήσας ... Babr. 88. 14. ῥέουσαν σύγκρισιν στῆσαι 'to stay a failing constitution'.

Herod. Med. ap. Orib. 5. 27. 1.

στιγμής γὰρ ρυείσης γραμμὴν φαντασιούμεθα ... γραμμής δὲ ρυείσης πλάτος ἐποιήσαμεν ... ἐπιφανείας δὲ ρυείσης στερεὸν ἐγένετο σῶμα. S. E. M. 7. 99.

σταθμός 253

This last example is given a special section by LSJ, as meaning 'run, of ink, metaph.', but it clearly refers to the geometric definition of a line as a moving point, a surface as a moving line, etc.

16 As a special use of this last we may classify, of boats or vessels leak.

```
προφασιζόμενοι ... τὰ πλοῖα ῥεῖν. Arist. fr. 554. ἀλλ' ὅταν ἐγχείης, φαίνεται τὸ ῥέον (ἀγγεῖον). Plu. 2. 782e.
```

17 Finally, we have an example of its transference to a purely abstract subject, fall apart, be destroyed.

```
τί δήτα δόξης, η τί κληδόνος καλής μάτην ρυείσης ωφέλημα γίγνεται; S. OC 259.
```

σταθμός

- I have briefly discussed this word in F. Létoublon (ed.), La Langue et les Textes en grec ancien, (Amsterdam, 1992), 283-7, and I had hoped simply to add some further comments. But as all too often, research only discloses the need for more research, and matters I had thought established prove to be still uncertain. I doubt whether this note is still an adequate treatment of the word, but I hope that it will at least serve to clear up some of the difficulties.
- 2 A preliminary discussion must be devoted to forms. LSJ records that $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$ has as well as $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$ a heteroclite plural $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu$. But there is also an article on $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$, and it is obvious that many inflected forms are common to these two words. In some such cases it is possible to discriminate on semantic grounds; thus if all neuter examples had a meaning not found in securely masculine ones, it would be safe to distinguish these as two lexical items. In this case, though there is certainly a preponderance of the neuter in one sense, neuter forms are widely distributed over the various senses. I believe therefore the correct solution for a lexicon is to make a single article in which the neuters are treated as formal variants, calling attention to the apparent restrictions as

necessary. If two articles are written, there will inevitably be a large number of ambiguous examples, which must be assigned on an arbitrary basis. LSJ ought at least to call attention to the problem; it is no help to our understanding to pretend that it does not exist. In all such cases failure to observe accurately the distinctions often leads to their loss, and the forms become interchangeable; thus the record is always likely to show examples which breach the rules laid down by grammarians. Here it is significant that Hesychius' entry for $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\omegai$ has no mention of weighing, the sense in which the neuter is predominantly used.

σταθμοί· ἐπαύλεις, ὅπου ἴστανται ἴπποι καὶ βόες· καὶ στρατιωτικὴ κατάλυσις· καὶ ἡ τῶν θυρῶν παράστασις· καὶ τὰ ἐκατέρωθεν τῶν θυρῶν ξῦλα, ἃ νῦν πήγματα καλοῦμεν.

3 It is obvious that $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$ s is in origin a verbal noun describing the action of the verb $i\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, so its etymological sense must be a 'standing'. But this is realised in three different ways. It is therefore somewhat arbitrary which we choose to put first, especially since all three branches appear to be attested in the Mycenaean documents of xiii BC. But we may assume its leading sense in Homer as a starting-point. In this it serves to mean a rustic building for sheltering sheep and cattle and their minders, steading, sheep-station, byre, stable.

κατὰ σταθμὸν ποιμνήϊον. Il. 2. 470. ἀλλὰ κατὰ σταθμοὺς δύεται, τὰ δ' ἐρῆμα φοβεῖται. Il. 5. 140. τὼ (λέοντε) μὲν ἄρ' ἀρπάζοντε βόας καὶ ἴφια μῆλα σταθμοὺς ἀνθρώπων κεραΐζετον. Il. 5. 557.

This does not imply that the $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu$ oí plundered by the lions were only for human habitation; $\partial \nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\omega\nu$ can mean 'constructed by' as well as 'used by men'.

ώς ὅτε μυῖαι σταθμῷ ἔνι βρομέωσι περιγλαγέας κατὰ πέλλας. ΙΙ. 16. 642.

Polyphemus addressing his ram:

πρώτος δὲ σταθμόνδε λιλαίεαι ἀπονέεσθαι ἐσπέριος. Od. 9. 451. ἐνὶ σταθμοῖσι συφορβών. Od. 14. 504.

σταθμός 255

οὐ γὰρ ἐπὶ σταθμοῖσι μένειν ἔτι τηλίκος εἰμί. 'I am no longer young enough to live on a farm.' Od. 17. 20.

 $\epsilon \delta \theta \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ($E \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta$) $\delta' \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o i \sigma i \sigma i \nu E \rho \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{\eta} i \delta' \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'she is good in the byres at helping the cattle'. Hes. Th. 444.

Certainly neuter:

ές τὰ Λαΐου σταθμά. S. OT 1139; E. Rh. 293.

For horses:

τὰ μὲν τοίνυν ὑγρά τε καὶ λεῖα τῶν σταθμῶν λυμαίνεται καὶ ταῖς εὐφυέσιν ὁπλαῖς. Χ. Εq. 4. 3.

By an understandable extension it can be used of a natural shelter used by a wild animal, *lair*, *den*.

εἴθισται δ' (ἡ ἔλαφος) ἄγειν τοὺς νεβροὺς ἐπὶ τοὺς σταθμούς· ἔστι δὲ τοῦτο τὸ χωρίον αὐταῖς καταφυγή, πέτρα περιρραγεῖσα μίαν ἔχουσα εἴσοδον. Arist. HA 578^b21; 611^a20.

4 Since a shelter of this kind is not normally a well-constructed, permanent building, it is easy to see how it came to be used for a simple lodging-place at which one might spend the night on a journey. These were constructed at regular intervals on the 'royal' roads of the Persian empire, and might of course be far more luxurious. It is doubtful whether the word developed the generalised sense of 'abode', as claimed by LSJ; there is always an implication of impermanence or at least poor quality. Thus we might define this sense as stopping-place, staging-post, lodging.

σταθμοί τε πανταχή εἰσι βασιλήιοι καὶ καταλύσιες κάλλισται. Hdt. 5. 52. 1.

ἀλλά σφεας τῆς Κισσίης χώρης κατοίκισε ἐν σταθμῷ έωυτοῦ. Hdt. 6. 119. 2.

πλησίον ἦν ὁ σταθμὸς ἔνθα ἔμελλε καταλύειν. Χ. Απ. 1. 8. 1. εἰς σταθμὸν κατέβη βασιλικὸν παραδείσους ἔχοντα θαυμαστοὺς καὶ κεκοσμημένους διαπρεπῶς. Plu. Art. 25. 1.

The phrase $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{\rho} \nu \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ thus means 'to make a stop', 'spend the night'.

σκήνη μὲν ἔσκε πεπηγυῖα έτοίμη ἐς τὴν αὐτὸς σταθμὸν ποιεέσκετο Ξέρξης. Hdt. 7. 119. 3.

256 σταθμός

It can also stand for the distance between stops.

ἐντεῦθεν ἐξελαύνει σταθμοὺς δύο παρασάγγας δέκα εἰς Πέλτας. Χ. Αn. 1. 2. 10.

In a more general sense, especially in verse:

εὖτ' ἄν αἰπεινῶν ἀπὸ σταθμῶν ἐς εὐδείελον χθόνα μόλη κλειτᾶς Ἰαολκοῦ. Pi. P. 4. 76.

ο τοι πτερόεις

ἔρριψε Πάγασος δεσπόταν ἐθέλοντ' ἐς οὐρανοῦ σταθμοὺς ἐλθεῖν. P. I. 7(6). 45.

Here the reference to the winged horse makes the word sound more natural, since the stables in the sky were where Pegasus wanted to go.

ὅταν ... εἰς Αΐδα σταθμὸν ἀνὴρ ἵκηται. Pi. O. 10. 92.

The abode of Hades might not be impermanent, but was certainly an inferior lodging for men. In the neuter form:

άλλ' ἢ πρὸς οἶκον τὸν σὸν ἔκσωσόν μ' ἄγων, ἢ πρὸς τὰ Χαλκώδοντος Εὐβοίας σταθμά. S. Ph. 489.

There is clearly a contrast between the οἶκος and the huts on Euboea.

[τὸν Θεο]πόμπου σταθμὸν ὅπως ἔχωμεν ἐνοικεῖν. P. Cair. Zen. 344. 2.

There are other examples in the papyri where it is part of an address, but LSJ does not appear to be justified in giving these a new sense, quarter of town.

5 A special use of this is to describe a building for housing ships, ship-shed, boat-house. In the neuter plural:

ναῶν πυρσοίς σταθμά. Ε. Rh. 43.

Ambiguously:

ναυλόχων σταθμῶν

πρόβλημα. Lyc. 290.

This is of course used in prose in the compound $va\dot{v}\sigma\tau a\theta\mu\sigma\nu$ or $va\dot{v}\sigma\tau a\theta\mu\sigma$ s.

6 We may now pass to the second major line of development, where it denotes an *upright member of a structure*, column, pillar, post. This occurs in two contexts, and it is not always possible to assign individual examples securely; those quoted are those where it seems clear. First, as the support of a roof:

στη ρ΄α παρά σταθμὸν τέγεος πύκα ποιητοῖο. Od. 1. 333 et alibi.

θριγκὸν εἰσιδεῖν δόμων πίτνοντα, πᾶν δ' ἐρείψιμον στέγος βεβλημένων πρὸς οὖδας ἐξ ἀκρῶν σταθμῶν. Ε. ΙΤ 49.

7 Secondly, as either of the uprights of a door-frame, door-post, jamb:

πυκινὰς δὲ θύρας σταθμοῖσιν ἐπῆρσε κληΐδι κρυπτῆ. II. 14. 167.

χρύσειαι δὲ θύραι πυκινὸν δόμον ἐντὸς ἔεργον ἀργύρεοι σταθμοὶ δ' ἐν χαλκέῳ ἔστασαν οὐδῷ ἀργύρεον δ' ἐφ' ὑπερθύριον, χρυσέη δὲ κορώνη. Od. 7. 89.
πὰρ δὲ δύ' ἀμφίπολοι ...
σταθμοῖϊν ἑκάτερθε. Od. 6. 19.
ἐν δὲ (οὐδῷ) σταθμοὺς ἄρσε, θύρας δ' ἐπέθηκε φαεινάς.
 Od. 21. 45.
πύλαι δὲ ἐνεστᾶσι πέριξ τοῦ τείχεος ἐκατόν, χαλκέαι πᾶσαι, καὶ σταθμοί τε καὶ ὑπέρθυρα ὧσαυτῶς. Hdt. 1. 179. 3.

μοχλοῖσιν ἐκβαλόντες. Ε. Or. 1474; HF 999.

A puzzling passage using the neuter plural, which has not in my opinion been correctly understood:

ἐπὶ πλατὺν οὐδόν, ὅθι σταθμὰ κοίλα θυράωνοἴκου. Theoc. 24. 15.

δόμων θύρετρα καὶ σταθμοὺς

There can be little doubt what $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\dot{\alpha}$ means here, but $\kappa\sigma\hat{i}\lambda\alpha$ has given trouble. I understand it as referring to the concave channel cut vertically into the door-posts, in which the rounded inside edge of the door fits so as to make a tight seal. This too may be what is meant in this inscriptional example:

τῶγ καταγλυμμάτων ἐν τοῖν σταθμοῖν. IG 4² (1). 103. 94 (Epidaurus, iv BC). 258 σταθμός

8 Arising from this we find it used, perhaps originally only in the plural, to mean *doorway*, *entrance*.

ἀλλ' εἰ σταθμοῖσι τοῖσδε μὴ 'κύρουν ἐγὼ πάλαι φυλάσσων. S. El. 1331. τουτὶ λαβὼν ἄπελθε λαΐνων σταθμῶν. Ar. Ach. 440.

The phrase is here probably mock-tragic. In the singular:

οί ίερεις οι φυλάσσοντες τὸν σταθμόν. LXX 4 Ki. 12. 9.

- 9 When we turn to the examples which relate to weighing, the difficulty of separating $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu \delta s$ and $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu \delta v$ becomes acute. Four senses can be distinguished: (a) weight in abstract as a property of objects; (b) a quantity measured by weight; (c) a weighing instrument, balance (in this sense apparently always masculine); (d) an object, usually a piece of lead, having a known weight and used as a standard of measurement, a weight (in this sense $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu \delta v$ is predominant and may be assumed where the form is ambiguous). It is difficult to see which of these was the earliest, and they will be treated in the order here shown.
- 10 Weight as a property of objects (only one of these examples can be proved to be masculine):

```
σταθμὸν ἔχοντες τριήκοντα τάλαντα 'weighing 30 talents'.
Hdt. 1. 14. 2.
```

ἀναθήματα ... ἴσα τε σταθμὸν καὶ ὅμοια τοῖσι ἐν Δελφοῖσι. Hdt. 1. 02. 2.

ἀπέφαινε δ' ἔχον τὸ ἄγαλμα τεσσεράκοντα τάλαντα σταθμὸν χρυσίου. Τh. 2. 13. 5.

These examples might all be neuter, and this is certain in the case of the numerous inscriptions which record weights; the phrase $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu \delta\nu$ $\tau o\dot{\nu}\tau \bar{\nu}\nu$ is common in early Attic inscriptions.

ὥσπερ γὰρ ἐν τῷ στόματι διαφέρουσι (ὑδάτων αἱ δυνάμιες) καὶ ἐν τῷ σταθμῷ. Ηp. Aër. 1.

τὸ μὲν πρῶτον ἁπλῶς ὁρισθὲν μεγέθει καὶ σταθμῷ. Arist. Pol. 1257° 39.

θύννος οὖ σταθμὸς μὲν ἦν τάλαντα πεντεκαίδεκα.

Arist. HA 607b32.

Since there were several standards of weight in use, $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \delta s$ is used to denote the *system of weights* (one example is clearly masculine).

τοῖσι μὲν αὐτῶν ἀργύριον ἀπαγινέουσι εἴρητο Βαβυλώνιον σταθμὸν τάλαντον ἀπαγινέειν. Hdt. 3. 89. 2.

μέτρον δὲ οὔτε ἀριθμὸν οὔτε σταθμὸν ἄλλον ... οὖκ ἂν εὕροις ἀλλ' ἢ τοῦ σώματος τὴν αἴσθησιν. Ηp. VM 9.

11 A quantity measured by weight, weight of. Again two examples are clearly masculine.

όπτοῦ σίτου σταθμὸς πέντε μνέαι ἐκάστῳ. Hdt. 2. 168. 2. μάλιστα, μυρίον γε δοὺς χρυσοῦ σταθμόν. Ε. Βα. 812. πλείω παρὰ τὸν σταθμόν. P. Cair. Zen. 782 (a). 141 (iii BC).

LSJ quotes four examples of the sense balance, to which is added the phrase $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\kappa\epsilon\iota\nu$ $\sigma\tau a\theta\mu\acute{o}\nu$, weigh so much. But it is evident that the object being weighed does not pull the balance, but the weight against which it is being measured. More seriously it attributes this sense to a passage in Homer (II. 12. 434), and in my earlier discussion I accepted this interpretation. I now believe I was wrong to do so, and I therefore postpone discussion of this now (see 13 below). The first example is then in Herodotus.

ξυρώντες τών παιδίων ἢ πάσαν τὴν κεφαλὴν ἢ τὸ ἤμισυ ἢ τὸ τρίτον μέρος τῆς κεφαλῆς, ἵστασι σταθμῷ πρὸς ἀργύριον τὰς τρίχας. Hdt. 2. 65. 4.

The simplest interpretation of this appears to be 'place the hair in the balance against silver', though it might conceivably mean 'in the process of weighing.' Unambiguous evidence is provided by the famous scene in Aristophanes' Frogs, where nothing but weighing-device, balance will make sense. Here the $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \delta s$ is distinguished from the two scale-pans, which are called $\pi \lambda \delta \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \gamma \epsilon$.

έπὶ τὸν σταθμὸν γὰρ αὐτὸν ἀγαγεῖν βούλομαι, ὅπερ ἐξελέγξει τὴν ποίησιν νῷν μόνον. Ατ. Ra. 1365.

Then a little later the contestants are told to speak $\epsilon is \tau \delta v \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \delta v$.

τοὔπος νῦν λέγετον εἰς τὸν σταθμόν. ibid. 1381.

Finally Aeschylus tells Euripides to get in the $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \delta s$ himself, together with his household and his library.

καὶ μηκέτ' ἔμοιγε κατ' ἔπος, ἀλλ' ἐς τὸν σταθμὸν αὐτὸς τὰ παιδί' ἡ γυνὴ Κηφισοφῶν ἐμβὰς καθήσθω, συλλαβῶν τὰ βιβλία. ibid. 1407. 260 σταθμός

In the second and third of these the sense of $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$ s is clear, and these carry the first with them. This then is likely to be the sense in the passage of Herodotus quoted above.

13 Finally we reach the sense which is or may be exclusively neuter. These examples therefore belong to the article $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta\nu$, but are not all placed there by LSJ. This admits no example earlier than v BC, so it will be necessary to examine carefully the Homeric instance, which, since it is accusative singular, might belong to either $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta$ or $\sigma\tau\alpha\theta\mu\delta\nu$. We may define this sense as an object having a known weight used as a standard of measurement, weight.

```
άλλ' ἔχον ὥς τε τάλαντα γυνὴ χερνῆτις άληθής,
ἥ τε σταθμὸν ἔχουσα καὶ εἴριον ἀμφὶς ἀνέλκει
ἰσάζουσ', ἵνα παισὶν ἀεικέα μισθὸν ἄρηται
ὡς μὲν τῶν ἐπὶ ἶσα μάχη τέτατο πτόλεμός τε. ΙΙ. 12. 434.
```

The meaning of χερνητις here has been disputed, largely because ancient sources give it a meaning 'poor', though this is probably only a reflection of the pittance (ἀεικέα μισθόν 435) she earns for her children. It is hard to see how $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta}_S$ will fit if this is the meaning: so it is more likely a compound of $\chi \epsilon i \rho$ 'hand' and means 'one who works with the hands', 'artisan'. The epithet $\partial \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$ must then mean effectively 'not cheating', 'honest', the opposite of $\psi \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\eta} s$. It would appear that τάλαντα here means the balance, and if so, σταθμόν must have some other meaning, pace LSJ, and this can hardly be other than the weight which the woman places on one scale and then balances by adding wool to the other. In order to see when they balance, she needs to lift the whole balance enough for the scales to leave the ground, and this is accurately described as ἀμφὶς ἀνέλκει, since she must grasp the beam by a support attached at its mid-point, so that the weight and the wool will be on either side of her. As always in Homeric similes, the picture is carefully observed and economically described.

Other examples of this sense often refer to the invention of weights attributed to Palamedes.

```
εύρων ... μέτρα τε καὶ σταθμά. Gorg. Pal. 30. οὖτος δέ γ' ηὖρε ... σταθμῶν ἀριθμῶν καὶ μέτρων εὐρήματα. S. fr. 432.
```

Euripides, as might be expected, rationalises.

σταθμός 261

καὶ γὰρ μέτρ' ἀνθρώποισι καὶ μέρη σταθμῶν Ἰσότης ἔταξε. Ε. Ph. 541.

There are also references to the public weights and measures established by law.

πρός τε τὰ ὑγρὰ καὶ τὰ ξηρὰ καὶ τὰ σταθμὰ ἀν[αγκ]αζέτω[σαν τοὺ]ς πωλοῦν[τ]άς τι ἐν τῆι ἀγορᾶι. IG 2². 1013. 8.

and a few lines below:

[χ]ρήσθαι τοι̂ς μέτροις καὶ τοι̂ς σταθμοι̂ς τούτοις. ibid. 10. νόμοις δὲ χρήσθαι τοι̂ς Σόλωνος, καὶ μέτροις καὶ σταθμοι̂ς.

Decret. ap. And. 1. 83; Arist. Ath. 10. 1.

15 The phrase $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \delta \nu$ each surely belongs in this division, since the action of pulling the weight must refer to the weighed object lifting the opposite scale off the ground. Thus this too, pace LSJ, must be treated as an example of $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \delta \nu$.

εἰκόνα ... ἔλκουσαν σταθμὸν τάλαντα δέκα. Hdt. 1. 50. 3.

- To sum up, it seems that the tripartite grouping of senses is very ancient, since the word appears in the spelling *ta-to-mo* on the Mycenaean documents in association with sheep, architecture and weighed commodities. The neuter singular is especially associated with the sense of weight used on a balance; the neuter plural is, however, widespread and seems to have been a convenient metrical alternative for poets. The lexical pattern might be established as follows (the numbers refer to the paragraphs above):
 - 1 rustic shelter, steading, sheep-station, byre, stable; also, lair, den of a wild animal (3).
 - 2 stopping-place, staging-post, lodging; the distance between stops (4). **b** housing for ships, ship-shed, boat-house (5).
 - 3 upright member of a structure, column, pillar, post: a as support of a roof (6). b either of the uprights of a door-frame, door-post, jamb (7).
 - 4 usu. plur. doorway, entrance (8).
 - 5 weight as a property of objects; system of weights (10).
 - 6 quantity measured by weight, weight of (11).
 - 7 weighing device, balance (12).
 - 8 probably always neuter, weight used on a balance; $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \delta \nu$ έλκε $\iota \nu$ have the weight of, weigh (13, 14, 15).

- I had no intention of writing about this word until my attention was called to an article by J. R. Wilson (American Journal of Philology, 92 (1971), 292–300), which claims that the etymological connexion with τόλμα favours the existence of a sense criminally daring, insolent. My reaction on reading this was that although a case can be made, the examples quoted are perfectly capable of being understood otherwise. It is in fact a good example of my general principle, that if you look hard enough for something you want to find, there will usually be some evidence to support your theory. I conclude therefore that this is going beyond what the material justifies; but at the same time LSJ's rather cavalier treatment, with the translations suffering, wretched, sorry, is also unsatisfactory. I believe three senses need to be distinguished, and as so often, other interesting details emerge.
- 2 The closest etymological connexion is undoubtedly with $\tau \lambda \acute{a}\omega$, and a basic meaning of *enduring misfortune*, *unlucky*, *unhappy* is a plausible starting-point.

ό τάλαις έγώ ζώω μοίραν έχων ἀγροϊωτίκαν. Alc. 130. 16 L-P. ἦλθες δή, Κλεάριστε, βαθὺν διὰ πόντον ἀνύσσας ἐνθάδ' ἐπ' οὐδὲν ἔχοντ', ὧ τάλαν, οὐδὲν ἔχων. Thgn. 512.

ἄ τάλας ἀνὴρ, ὅστις κακὸν τοιοῦτον ἀγκαλίζεται. Sem. 7. 76. οι ἀγὰ τάλαινα συμφορᾶς κακῆς, φίλοι. Α. Pers. 445; 517. σίγησον, ὧ τάλαινα, μὴ φίλον φόβει. Α. Th. 262; Ag. 1247. βᾶθί νυν, ὧ τάλαινα, ὅς σε κελεύομεν. S. Ph. 1196. δείξόν νυν, ὧ τάλαινα, σὴν νικηφόρον

ἀστοίσιν ἄγραν, ἡν φέρουσ' ἐλήλυθας. Ε. Βα. 1200; Or. 526. φόνον ταλαίναις χερσίν ἐξειργασμένων. Ε. Βα. 1245.

τάλαινα φρήν, παρ' ήμῶν λαβοῦσα τὰς πίστεις ήμέας καταβάλλεις; Democr. fr. 125 B.

κάγω μὲν ὁ τάλας νεκρὸν ἀντὶ νυμφίου ἐκομισάμην καὶ ἔθαψα. Χ. Cyr. 4. 6. 5.

ἄγε νυν, ὧ τάλαινα καρδία, ἄπελθ' ἐκεῖσε. Ar. Ach. 485.

3 The previous section includes not only persons but also parts of the body; but a further extension of meaning is detectable, when the adjective is applied to other things which can cause misfortune, though much the same translations can often be used. A formal definition might be having unfortunate effects, damaging.

```
βροτοὺς θρασύνει γὰρ αἰσχρόμητις τάλαινα παρακοπὰ πρωτοπήμων. Α. Αg. 223. βιᾶται δ' ά τάλαινα Πειθώ. Α. Αg. 385. οὐδ' ἀγύμναστόν μ' ἐᾶν ἔοικεν ἡ τάλαινα διάβορος νόσος. S. Tr. 1084. τὰ τῆς ταλαίνης νηδύος θρεπτήρια. S. OC 1263. τάνδε γαῖαν εἰς ἄνολβον ἔριν ἔριν τάλαιναν ἔθετο. Ε. Hel. 248. πέπονθα ... ἀπὸ δὲ συγγόνων τάλαν' ἄνομα πάθεα. Αr. Th. 1039.
```

It is not always easy to detect when the basic sense of unfortunate passes over into a term of opprobrium, implying a wish for someone's misfortune; but there are certainly clear examples, especially in colloquial usage. It is this which has, I believe, misled J. R. Wilson into identifying a sense of 'criminally daring'. In all cases its force seems to me to be considerably weaker. It may be coupled with $\kappa a \tau a \rho a \tau o$ (E. Hec. 1064-5, see 5 below), but it is a milder reproach, especially in the vocative. This is sufficiently distinct to call for separate treatment, so I will begin with the more general examples where I think it means rather more than unhappy and rather less than accursed. The nearest English equivalent is wretched.

τὸν αὐτοφόντην ἡμὶν ἐν κοίτῃ πατρὸς ξὺν τῆ ταλαίνῃ μητρί, μητέρ' εἰ χρεὼν ταύτην προσαυδᾶν. S. El. 273.

It is evident that Electra is not pitying her mother, but cursing her. So Menelaus on Helen:

```
ήκω δὲ τὴν τάλαιναν—οὐ γὰρ ἡδέως
ὄνομα δάμαρτος ἥ ποτ' ἦν ἐμὴ λέγω—
ἄξων. Ε. Τr. 869.
```

5 This is far more common in the vocative, and in fact the two earliest examples of this word, both from the Odyssey, belong here. It has been remarked that although not unused by men it is much more often put into the mouths of women. This is, I think, due to the fact that it is a relatively mild expression; strong language was never associated with women, and in a society where women were treated as inferiors it is not surprising that it should be a favourite word of theirs. The tone of the first example is given by the preceding line; in the second the context makes the feeling clear.

```
η ρ' Όδυση' ἐνένιπεν ὀνειδείοις ἐπέεσσι· ξεῖνε τάλαν, σύ γέ τις φρένας ἐκπεπαταγμένος ἐσσί.
Οd. 18. 327.
ἀλλ' ἔξελθε θύραζε, τάλαν, καὶ δαιτὸς ὄνησο. Οd. 19. 68.
```

Cassandra in her prophecy addressing Clytaemnestra:

```
ιω τάλαινα, τόδε γάρ τελείς; Α. Ag. 1107.
```

This is one of Wilson's prime examples; but even if the sense proposed is apposite, it is not strictly necessary. The blinded Polymestor:

```
τάλαιναι κόραι τάλαιναι Φρυγών,
ὧ κατάρατοι,
ποῖ καί με φυγᾳ πτώσσουσι μυχών; Ε. Hec. 1064.
ὧ καρδία τάλαινα, πρὶν μὲν ἐς ξένους
γαληνὸς ἦσθα καὶ φιλοικτίρμων ἀεί. Ε. ΙΤ 344.
σὺ γάρ νιν, ὧ τάλαινα,
θωΰξασ' ἔβαλες ἐξ ὕπνου. Ε. Οτ. 167.
```

In Aristophanes it is a frequent mode of address by women to men, usually expressing irritation.

```
τί δ'; οὐχὶ βινεῖται γυνὴ κἄνευ μύρου;
—οὐ δῆτα τάλαν ἔγωγε. Ατ. Εc. 526.
ἔπειτ' ὀμόσασα δῆτ' ἐπιορκήσω τάλαν; Ατ. Lys. 914.
μὴ σκῶπτέ μ' ὧ τάλαν, ἀλλ' ἔπου δεῦρ' ὡς ἐμέ. Ατ. Εc. 1005.
τοδὶ διέκυψε καὶ μάλ' εὕχρων ὧ τάλαν. Ατ. Τh. 644.
βούλει διὰ χρόνον πρός με παῖσαι; —ποῖ τάλαν. Ατ. Pl. 1055.
ταλάντατ' ἀνδρῶν οὐκ ἐδεδοίκεις τὸν θεόν;
Ατ. Pl. 684; 1046; 1060.
```

From one woman to another:

```
νὴ τὴν Άφροδίτην εὖ γε ταυταγὶ λέγεις.
—τάλαιν' Άφροδίτην ὤμοσας; Ατ. Εc. 190; 242; 919.
```

5 There is, however, a curious feature about this use which needs to be noticed. The vocative $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \nu$ or $\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \nu$ is used in Aristophanes by one woman addressing another, where we should expect $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \nu a$. LSJ treats this as a grammatical quirk, as if $\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda a s$ might be optionally used with two terminations; but such a feature is unlikely to have been strictly confined to the vocative singular. The ancient grammarians seem to have thought it might be neuter, and it is of course quite normal for neuter nouns to refer to human beings. But the most likely explanation is that this is a stereotyped expression, like expletives in modern languages, which are used in invariable form, and the speaker is unaware of any grammatical inconsistency. In the Frogs Plathane speaking to the $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \delta \kappa \epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \rho \iota a$:

μὰ Δί' οὐδὲ τὸν τυρόν γε τὸν χλωρὸν τάλαν ὅν οὖτος αὐτοῖς τοῖς ταλάροις κατήσθιεν. Ar. Ra. 559.

In Lysistrata, Callonice to Myrrhine:

ό γοῦν ἐμὸς ἀνὴρ πέντε μῆνας ὧ τάλαν ἄπεστιν ἐπὶ Θράκης φυλάττων Εὐκράτη. Ar. Lys. 102.

In Ecclesiazusae, the first woman to Praxagora:

σκέψαι τάλαν ώς καὶ καταγέλαστον τὸ πρᾶγμα φαίνεται. Ατ. Ες. 124.

6 A footnote might be added on the mysterious form $\tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta s$ which occurs twice in our text of Herondas. As a hyper-Ionicism it is not necessarily incorrect, since it is put in the mouths of women, though it is perhaps a mistake on the part of the writer rather than the speaker. But it would appear that, whatever its explanation, it serves here too as an invariable expletive, and this accounts for the neglect of the vocative. The two passages are:

τοῦτο, φημί, χἢ μάμμη, τάλης, ἐρεῖ σοι. Herod. 3. 35. τάχ' οὖν, τάλης, ἄξουσι σὺν τύχη πρός σε, μάλλον δὲ πάντως. Herod. 7. 88. Attempts have of course been made to emend it, e.g. to $\tau \hat{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$. There is also an instance of the normal $\tau \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha s$:

Πυρρίης, τάλας, κωφέ, καλεῖ σε. Herod. 5. 55.

τέλειος, τέλεος

- I Since this is the adjective derived from $\tau \epsilon \lambda o s$, it ought really to be studied in conjunction with that word. It is quite possible that a better arrangement of the senses would emerge from such a study. I have not investigated the various forms, beyond establishing that $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon o s$ is not merely a poetic variant, but is used frequently in prose including inscriptions. It is remarkable that the two forms have their appropriate superlatives, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \tau a \tau o s$ and $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \tau a \tau o s$. But there is no reason to believe that there is any difference in meaning, as will be evident from the examples quoted.
- 2 Of living things, whether persons, animals or plants, fully-grown, adult.

τοῦδ' ὁ παλαιὸς δριμὺς ἀλάστωρ ...

τόνδ' ἀπέτεισεν

τέλεον νεάροις ἐπιθύσας. Α. Ag. 1504.

έν μὲν (μέρος) παισίν, έν δ' ἐφήβοις, ἄλλο τελείοις ἀνδράσιν.

X. Cyr. 1. 2. 4.

πώλοις τε ἀβόλοις καὶ τελείων τε καὶ ἀβόλων τοῖς μέσοις καὶ αὐτοῖς δὴ τοῖς τέλος ἔχουσιν. Pl. Lg. 834c.

όπόσοιπερ αν ώσιν γυναικών είτε ανδρών τέλειοι.

Pl. Lg. 929c.

τὸ τέλεον ἀπεργάσασθαι τὸ γεννώμενον, εἴτ' οὖν φυτὸν, εἴτε καὶ ζῷον. Gal. 7. 677.

συνωρί(δι) τελεία. 'with a pair of full-grown horses'.

IG 42(1). 101. 47; IG 5(2). 549. 2.

δρόμος δὲ δύο ἵππων τελείων συνωρὶς κληθεῖσα.

Paus. 5. 8. 10.

τελείω ἄρματι καὶ συνωρίδι πωλικῆ. Luc. Tim. 50. πρόβατα τέλεια ἀριθμῶι $\overline{o\zeta}$ SB 5277. 5.

Of trees:

τελείων ὄντων τών δένδρων. Thphr. CP 3. 7. 5. ἀκάνθας τελείας δεκατέσσαρας. P. Oxy. 909. 18 (iii AD).

It is also used in scaling up a model, to mean full size.

έὰν ἀπὸ παραδειγματίου μικροῦ βουλώμεθα τέλειον ποιῆσαι. Ph. Bel. 13 D-S (= p. 55, 20 W).

The New Supplement adds some examples of full-sized αὐλοί.

3 The word is used especially of sacrificial victims, but the sense is not immediately apparent. No doubt it excluded young animals, but since there was an obligation to sacrifice unblemished animals (called $\partial \nu \alpha \sigma \kappa \bar{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} a$ in Tegea, Schwyzer 654. 6) it presumably means here not only full-grown, but complete in all respects. If so, these examples might be better treated under the sense discussed in 8 below.

άρνῶν κνίσης αἰγῶν τε τελείων. ΙΙ. 1. 66; 24. 34. βωμὸς μέγας, ἐπ' οὖ θύεται τὰ τέλεα τῶν προβάτων. Hdt. 1. 183. 2.

 $h\hat{\iota}$ κα τ $\hat{\iota}$ ι Μαχανε $\hat{\iota}$ θύ $\hat{\iota}$ μες τὸνς Γεξ $\hat{\epsilon}$ κοντα τελέονς ὄΓινς. 'when we sacrifice to Machaneus the sixty complete sheep'.

Schwyzer 83. B. 10 (Argos, v BC).

This occurs several times in the formula used in solemn oaths:

όμνύντων δὲ τὸν ἐπιχώριον ὅρκον ἔκαστοι τὸν μέγιστον κατὰ ἱερῶν τελείων.

Foed. ap. Th. 5. 47. 8; Lex ap. And. 1. 97; D. 59. 60.

4 As an epithet of gods, bringing about fulfilment of prayers, accomplishing.

Zε \hat{v} τέλει', αἰδ $\hat{\omega}$ δίδοι. Pi.O. 13. 115; P. 1. 67.

Ήβα τελεία παρὰ ματέρι βαίνοισ' ἔστι. Pi. N. 10.18.

Ζεῦ, Ζεῦ τέλειε, τὰς ἐμὰς εὐχὰς τέλει. Α. Αg. 973; 1432; Eu. 28.

ἄναξ ἀνάκτων, μακάρων μακάρτατε καὶ τελέων τελειότατον κράτος, ὄλβιε Ζεῦ. Α. Supp. 526; Th. 167. Ήραν δὲ τὴν τελείαν μέλψωμεν. Ar. Th. 973.

Απόλλωνος νομίσιο ἱερὸν ἁγνὸν, ξείνε, τελειστάτσιο θεοΐο. Theoc. 25. 22. Μοιρῶν τε τελείων. SEG 3. 400. 9 (Delphi, iii BC).

5 Hence of prayers, omens, dreams, etc, leading to fulfilment, reliable, true.

αὐτίκα δ' αἰετὸν ἦκε, τελειότατον πετεηνῶν.

Il. 8. 247; 24. 315.

έκ δὲ τέλειον

σύμβολον ἀθανάτων ποιήσομαι ἦδ' ἄμα πάντων πιστὸν ἐμῷ θυμῷ καὶ τίμιον. h. Merc. 526.

Some editors suppose a lacuna after τέλειον.

τοῖσι τέλειον ἐπ' εὐχᾳ κωμάσομαί τι παθών ἐσλόν. 'To them I shall sing in triumph at receiving a fine answer to my prayer.' Pi. P. 9. 89.

τελείαις ... εὐχωλαίς. Pi. fr. 122. 15.

ῶ μέλαινα καὶ τελεία γένεος Οἰδίπου τ' ἀρά. Α. Τh. 832.

ξυνευχόμεσθα τέλεα μὲν πόλει τέλεα δὲ δήμῳ τάδ' εὔνματα νενέσθαι. Αr. Ι

τάδ' εὔγματα γενέσθαι. Ar. Th. 352-3.

σε γαρ εγώ δι' όψιν ονείρου οὐ τελέην ήδίκεον. Hdt. 1. 121. τέλεον ἄρα ήμιν τὸ ενύπνιον ἀποτετέλεσται. Pl. R. 443b.

6 Of decisions, votes, syllogisms, etc., conclusive, decisive, final.

έπεὶ τελεία ψῆφος Άργείων, τέκνα, θάρσει. Α. Supp. 739.

ῶ παῖ, τελείαν ψῆφον ἆρα μὴ κλύων τῆς μελλονύμφου πατρὶ λυσσαίνων πάρει; S. Ant. 632.

καὶ τὸ θέθμιον τοῖς Ηυποκναμιδίοις Λογροῖς ταὐτᾶ τέλεον εἶμεν Χαλείοις 'and the ordinance for the Hypocnemidian Locrians is to be decisive in the same way for the Chaleians'.

Schwyzer 362. 47. (=IG g(1). 334. 47, v BC).

τέλειος μεν οὖν οὖκ εσται συλλογισμός οὖδάμως εν τούτω τῷ σχήματι, δυνατός δ' εσται. Arist. A. Pr. 27°1.

7 Of a person, effective, decisive.

ψῦχος ἐν δόμοις πέλει, ἀνδρὸς τελείου δῶμ' ἐπιστρωφωμένου. Α. Αg. 972.

The choice of the word is obviously to pave the way for the appeal to $Z\epsilon\dot{\nu}s$ $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota s$ in the next line (see 4 above). So of drugs:

φάρμακον ήμιν αὐτὸ τελειότατον καὶ ἄριστον φαρμάκων ἐπιστήμην εὐχόμεθα διδόναι.

Pl. Criti. 106b; cf. Scribonius Largus 177.

8 As applied to things, whether concrete or abstract, having no part missing, complete, entire, whole.

οἴκατε πανδαισίη τελείη ἱστιῆσθαι. Hdt. 5. 20. 4; 9. 110. 2.

ό δ' ἐμός γε (ἀνὴρ) τελέους έπτὰ μῆνας ἐν Πύλω.

Ar. Lys. 104.

ő γε τέλεος ἀριθμὸς χρόνου τὸν τέλεον ἐνιαυτὸν πληροῖ τότε. Pl. Ti. 39d.

βοΐ κα $\theta \bar{o} \dot{a}(\delta) \delta o \iota \kappa a \iota \kappa o \theta \dot{a} \rho \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \iota$ 'let him make expiation with an ox and complete purification.'

Schwyzer 412. I (Olympia, v BC).

τούτους δὲ κόρυζαι μὲν τέλειαι μάλιστα ἀπαλλάσσουσι, ἀφελέουσι δὲ καὶ πταρμοί. Hp. Prorrh. 2. 30 (9, 60 L).

έν ἀγορᾶι τελείωι. SEG 27. 124 (Delphi).

άλιαίαι ἔδοξε τελείαι. SEG 30. 355. 2 (Argos, iv BC).

συνθέσις τελείας λευκάς δεκατρεῖς 'thirteen complete white suits of clothes'. P. Hamb. 10. 14 (ii AD).

τελείαν ἀποζυγήν 'complete separation (of a married couple)'.

P. Grenf. 2. 76. 19 (iv AD).

9 It is used also in the superlative of qualities, approximating to the ultimate, complete, perfect.

τὴν τελέαν ἀδικίαν τελέας οὔσης δικαιοσύνης λυσιτελεστέραν φὴς εἶναι; Pl. R. 348b.

έπὶ τὴν τελεωτάτην ἀδικίαν. Pl. R. 344a.

καὶ τελεία μάλιστα ἀρετή, ὅτι τῆς τελείας ἀρετῆς χρῆσίς ἐστιν. Arist. EN 1129^b30; 1156^b34.

τελειοτάτη κακία. Gal. 16. 500.

10 Of persons, possessing the quality indicated in the highest degree, accomplished, perfect.

καὶ ἔστιν ... ὁ θεὸς τέλεος σοφιστής. Pl. Cra. 403e.

κινδυνεύει ... εἰκότως ὁ Περικλῆς πάντων τελεώτατος εἰς τὴν ρητορικὴν γενέσθαι. Pl. Phdr. 269e.

δεῖ τέλεον οὕτω γίγνεσθαι πρὸς ἀνδρείαν. Pl. Lg. 647d; 678b.

τὴν δὲ φύσιν ... πρὸς ... τοὺς λόγους οὔτε τελείαν οὔτε πανταχῆ χρησίμην. Isoc. 12. 9.

τούτους φημὶ καὶ φρονίμους εἶναι καὶ τελέους ἄνδρας καὶ πάσας ἔχειν τὰς ἀρετάς. Isoc. 12. 32; 242.

κατὰ πάντας ἰατρούς τε καὶ φιλοσόφους τοὺς τελείους δογματικούς. Gal. 15. 60.

ίστοριῶν συνγραφέα τέλειον. SEG 1. 400 (Samos, ii AD).

In the New Testament there is evidence of at least one sense unrecognised by LSJ, perfect in goodness. In some cases it appears to be used of true believers, and might perhaps indicate initiated.

έσεσθε οὖν ύμεῖς τέλειοι ώς ὁ πατὴρ ὑμῶν τέλειός ἐστιν.

Ev. Matt. 5. 48.

εὶ θέλεις τέλειος εἶναι, ὕπαγε πώλησόν σου τὰ ὑπάρχοντα καὶ δὸς πτωχοῖς. Ευ. Matt. 19. 21.

σοφίαν δὲ λαλοῦμεν ἐν τοῖς τελείοις. Ι Εp. Cor. 2. 6.

ὅσοι οὖν τέλειοι, τοῦτο φρονῶμεν. Ερ. Phil. 3. 15.

- 12 The pattern thus revealed may be tabulated as follows:
 - I of living things, whether persons, animals or plants, fully-grown, adult; also of things, full size (2).
 - 2 of sacrificial victims, full grown and complete (3).
 - 3 as an epithet of gods, bringing about fulfilment of prayers, accomplishing (4).
 - 4 of prayers, omens, dreams, etc, leading to fulfilment, reliable, true (5).
 - 5 of decisions, votes, syllogisms, etc., conclusive, decisive, final (6).
 - 6 of persons, effective, decisive (7).
 - 7 of things, having no part missing, complete, entire, whole (8).
 - 8 of qualities, approximating to the ultimate, complete, perfect (9).

τέμνω 271

- 9 of persons, possessing the quality indicated in the highest degree, accomplished, perfect (10).
- 10 In N. T., perfect in goodness; also perhaps, initiated (11).

τέμνω

- I devoted some space in my article in BICS (1994), 5-7 to a criticism of LSJ's treatment of this word, but I did not attempt to construct a replacement for it. The following is an outline of such an article, but it must not be taken as a full and definitive rewriting, which time and space prevent me from attempting. I have not, with one exception, concerned myself with the forms, since they do not affect the meaning. Nor have I specially noted the use of the middle voice, which appears to me to be in accordance with its usual value.
- 2 The first distinction to be made is between literal cutting with a sharp tool and figurative division. But even where the word refers to the literal action, there are many distinctions to be observed and special uses to be noted. We may begin with the simplest sense, make an incision in, cut open.

```
ἵεντ' ἀλλήλων ταμέειν χρόα νηλέϊ χαλκῷ.

Il. 13. 501 (= 16. 761).

σφάγι' ἔχων κάμηλον ἀ-
μνόν τιν', ῆς λαιμοὺς τεμὼν ... ἀπῆλθε. Ατ. Αυ. 1560.
```

Absolutely:

- είπεῖν γε μέντοι δεῖ σ' ὅπως κατέκτανες.
- —λέγω· ξιφουλκῷ χειρὶ πρὸς δέρην τεμών. Α. Ευ. 592.

τοὺς μὲν πρόσθεν ὀδόντας πᾶσι ζώροις οἴους τέμνειν εἶναι.

X. Mem. 1. 4. 6.

Of narrow bandages:

```
οί στενοὶ μὲν γὰρ (τελαμῶνες) τέμνουσι.
Sor. 1. 83 (= 2. 14. 6 I).
```

3 As a special use we can distinguish, ignoring LSJ's classification, cut open for remedial purposes, perform surgery on. This is especially used absolutely.

οὐ τεμέω δὲ οὐδὲ μὴν λιθιῶντας. Ηp. Jusj. ὁκόσοι ἦπαρ διάπυον καίονται ἢ τέμνονται. Ηp. Aph. 7. 44. φοβούμενος ὧσπερανεὶ παῖς τὸ κάεσθαι καὶ τὸ τέμνεσθαι. Pl. Grg. 470a.

έδόκ[ε]ι αὐτῶι ὁ θεὸς ποιτάξαι τοῖς ἐπομένοις ὑπηρέτα[ις συ]λ(λ)αβόντας αὐτὸν ἴσχειν, ὅπως τάμηι οὐ τὰν κοιλίαν. 'It seemed that the god ordered the attendants following him to take hold of him and hold him so that he could cut open his belly.' IG 4²(1). 122. 40 (= Schwyzer 109. 40, Epidaurus, iv BC).

Absolutely, especially coupled with cautery:

ήτοι κέαντες ἢ τεμόντες εὖφρόνως πειρασόμεσθα πῆμ' ἀποστρέψαι νόσου. Α. Αg. 849. καὶ γὰρ οἱ ἰατροὶ καίουσι καὶ τέμνουσι ἐπ' ἀγαθῷ. Χ. Αn. 5, 8, 18.

παρέχειν μύσαντα εὖ καὶ ἀνδρείως ὥσπερ τέμνειν καὶ καίειν ἰατρῷ. Pl. Grg. 480c.

4 A quite different type of surgery is where it means castrate, geld.

ἐρίφους τέμνειν καὶ πώεα μήλων. Hes. Op. 786; 791. ώς γάρ μιν ἡ Ρέη ἔτεμεν. Luc. Syr. D. 15.

5 In agriculture it may be used to mean prune.

έξ ἔτη τεμεῖς τὴν ἄμπελόν σου. LXX Le. 25. 3. καὶ ἀνήσω τὸν ἀμπελῶνά μου, καὶ οὐ τμηθῆ οὐδὲ μὴ σκαφῆ. LXX Is. 5. 6.

But also plough land.

ἵν' οὔτ' ἄροτρον οὔτε γατόμος τέμνει δίκελλ' ἄρουραν. Α. fr. 196.

6 Another special sense is where cutting is used to slaughter an animal, usually as a sacrifice. A simple example of *slaughter* is:

άγνοοῦντες ὅτι τῷ ὄντι ὤσπερ Ύδραν τέμνουσιν. Pl. R. 426e.

But elsewhere it is largely restricted to slaughter in sacrifice.

κάπρον έτοιμασάτω, ταμέειν Διί τ' Ήελίω τε. ΙΙ. 19. 197.

σφάγιά θ' ήτοιμασμένα ἔστηκεν οἷς χρὴ ταῦτα τέμνεσθαι θεῶν. Ε. Heracl. 400. ἐν ῷ δὲ τέμνειν σφάγια χρή σ', ἄκουέ μου. Ε. Supp. 1196.

The use with ὅρκια must have begun while this word still meant 'victims sacrificed to solemnise an oath' and some of the examples still seem to bear this sense; but as early as Homer ὅρκια τέμνειν had acquired the sense solemnise an agreement by a sacrifice.

ὄρκια πιστά ταμόντες. Il. 2. 124; 3. 73; Od. 24. 483.

With accusative:

θάνατόν νύ τοι ὅρκι' ἔταμνον. II. 4. 155.
αἷμα συμμίσγουσι τῶν τὸ ὅρκιον ταμνομένων. Hdt. 4. 70.
ἐπὶ τῆς κρυπτῆς τάφρου τάμνοντες ὅρκια, ἔστ' ἂν ἡ γῆ αὕτη
οὕτως ἔχῃ, μένειν τὸ ὅρκιον. Hdt. 4. 201. 2.
ἐπὶ τούτοισι οἱ Ἑλληνες ἔταμον ὅρκιον. Hdt. 7. 132. 2.
ἔταμον ὅρκια περὶ τούτων πρὸς τοὺς περὶ τὸν Ἀντίπατρον.
Plb. 21. 24. 3; 21. 32. 15.

With other terms for an agreement:

σπονδὰς τέμωμεν καὶ διαλλάχθητί μου. Ε. Hel. 1235. ἄρα φίλιά μοι τεμεῖ, καὶ τέκνοις ταφὰς ληψόμεσθα; Ε. Supp. 376.

7 We can pass naturally from slaughter to butchery, where $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ means cut in pieces, cut up.

έν δ' ἄρα νῶτον ἔθηκ' ὄϊος καὶ πίονος αἰγός, ἐν δὲ συὸς σιάλοιο ῥάχιν τεθαλυῖαν ἀλοιφῆ. τῶ ἔχεν Αὐτομέδων, τάμνεν δ' ἄρα δῖος Άχιλλεύς. Il. 9. 209.

ήε μιν ήδη ήσι κυσὶν μελεϊστὶ ταμὼν προὔθηκεν Άχιλλεύς. Il. 24. 409. τοὺς δὲ διὰ μελεϊστὶ ταμὼν ὁπλίσσατο δόρπον. Od. 9. 291.

In such lines it is always doubtful whether the verb should be treated as $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ or $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ with tmesis. In the middle voice:

ταμνομένους κρέα πολλά. Od. 24. 364. μαχαίρα τάμον κατὰ μέλη. Pi. O. 1. 49. ἡ δ' ἀντ' αὐτοῦ τάμνουσα ἰχθῦς παρέχει βορὴν τοῖσι θηρίοισι. Hdt. 2. 65. 4; 3. 42. 3. Cutting up may be for other purposes:

αὐτὸς δ' ἀμφὶ πόδεσσιν ἐοῖς ἀράρισκε πέδιλα, τάμνων δέρμα βόειον ἐϋχροές. Od. 14. 24. ἔκαστος οὖν ἡμῶν ἐστιν ἀνθρώπου σύμβολον, ἄτε τετμημένος ὥσπερ αἱ ψῆτται ἐξ ἑνὸς δύο. Pl. Smp. 191d.

8 Medicinal drugs were usually some part of a plant, which needed to be cut up for use; hence $\phi \acute{a} \rho \mu a \kappa o \nu \tau \acute{e} \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ came to mean administer a drug, apply a remedy. Thus the phrase can be used figuratively.

καὶ τί τέμνων φάρμακον τούτοις έκάστοις τοῦ τοιούτου κινδύνου διαφυγὴν εὐρήσει; Pl. Lg. 836b; 919b. τούτων δὴ χρὴ πάση προθυμία πάντας τοὺς Έλληνας τέμνειν φάρμακον. Pl. Ep. 353e.

εἴ τί σοι δυναίμαν ἄκος τῶν δυσλύτων πόνων τεμεῖν. Ε. Andr. 121.

This is probably the explanation of a possibly corrupt line in Aeschylus:

ἀμφυγᾶς τίν' ἔτι πόρον τέμνω γάμου λυτῆρα; Α. Supp. 807.

9 Another major division is where the purpose of cutting is to remove something: cut off, cut out.

άρνῶν ἐκ κεφαλέων τάμνε τρίχας. ΙΙ. 3. 273.

τάμνε μαχαίρη ὀξὺ βέλος περιπευκές. ΙΙ. 11. 844.

LSJ classifies this with the examples of surgery given in 3 above, but the essential difference is the nature of the object.

κεφαλὴν δέ έ θυμὸς ἄνωγε πῆξαι ἀνὰ σκολόπεσσι ταμόνθ' ἁπαλῆς ἀπὸ δειρῆς. Il. 18. 177.

δυοῖν δρακόντοιν εὐπετῶς τεμὼν κάρα. Α. Ch. 1047; S. Ph. 619.

αὔτως ὅπωσπερ τόνδ' ἐγὼ τέμνω πλόκον. S. Aj. 1179.

τεμούσα κρατός βοστρύχων ἄκρας φόβας.

S. El. 449; 901; E. Tr. 480.

τέμνω 275

τράχηλον σώματος χωρὶς τεμών. Ε. Βα. 241. τὸν δὲ λίθον ἔτεμνον ὑπὸ τῆς νήσου ... τέμνοντες δὲ ἄμ' ἦργάζοντο νεωσοίκους κοίλους. Pl. Criti. 116a.

10 As a special sense of this we may put cut down, fell trees or crops.

τάμνων δένδρεα μακρά. ΙΙ. 11. 88; 23. 119.

μελίη ως ...

χαλκῷ ταμνομένη. ΙΙ. 13. 180.

τῆ όδῷ ἣν πρότερον ἐποιήσατο τεμὼν τὴν ὕλην. Τh. 2. 98. 1.

η σης εμελλον γης τεμείν βλαστήματα

πλεύσαντες αὖθις; Ε. Hec. 1204.

οί ἄλλων σπειράντων καὶ φυτευσάντων τόν τε σίτον τέμνοντες καὶ δενδροκοποῦντες. Χ. Μεπ. 2. 1. 13.

This can usefully be distinguished from the examples in which the purpose of cutting is to acquire what is cut, especially gather, cull, reap plants. The middle is particularly used in this sense, i.e. gather for oneself.

ό δ' ἐρινεὸν ὀξέϊ χαλκῷ τάμνε νέους ὄρπηκας, ἵν' ἄρματος ἄντυγες εἶεν. Il. 21. 38.

αὐτὰρ ὁ τάμνετο δοῦρα. Od. 5. 243.

φιτρούς δ' αίψα ταμόντες. Od. 12. 11.

εἴ ποθί τοι ροπαλὸν τετμημένον ἐστί. Od. 17. 195.

ύλοτόμον τε ταμείν θαλαμήια δοῦρα νήιά τε ξύλα πολλά. Hes. Op. 807.

έπεὰν ... νομέας εἰτέης ταμόμενοι ποιήσωνται 'cut and make ribs of willow'. Hdt. 1. 194. 2.

ξύλα μὲν οὖν τεμόντες ἐκ τοῦ Κιθαιρώνος. Τh. 2. 75. 2.

φάσκων τέμνειν χάρακας έκ τοῦ ... Διὸς τεμένους.

Th. 3. 70. 4.

'Ιδαίαν ὅτε πρῶτον ὕλαν Ἀλεξάνδρας εἰλατίναν ἐτάμεθ'. Ε. Ηες. 634. ἢ τίς Ἑλλανίας ἀπὸ χθονὸς ἔτεμε τὰν δακρυόεσσαν Ἰλιω πεύκαν; Ε. Hel. 231. τὴν ὕλην τὴν τετμημένην πεπρακότα. D. 42. 30. ρίζα μέλαινα ... ἦτις τέμνεται φθινοπώρω. Dsc. 3. 132. 1.

Similarly, make by cutting.

σπαδίξας δὲ αὐτοῦ τὸ δέρμα ἱμάντας ἐξ αὐτοῦ ἔταμε. Hdt. 1. 194. 2.

Where it is used of stone, we should translate quarry.

ἐτάμνετο λίθους περιμήκεας. Hdt. 1. 186. 2. μεδὲ τος λίθος τέμνεν ἐκ το [Π]ελαργικο. IG 1². 76. 56. (Epidaurus, v BC).

πέτραν γὰρ ἔχει πολλὴν σιδηρῖτιν, ἣν τέμνουσιν ἐπὶ τὴν χωνείαν καὶ κατασκευὴν τοῦ σιδήρου. D. S. 5. 13. 1.

Where the object is land, $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ means clear of vegetation, lay bare. This may be an agricultural operation, but is much more often military, where we should translate ravage.

ἄλλους γῆν τέμνων πολυδένδρεον εἰς ἐνιαυτὸν λατρεύει, τοῖσιν καμπύλ' ἄροτρα μέλει. Sol. 13. 47. τήν τε γῆν αὐτῶν ἔταμνον καὶ προσέβαλλον πρὸς τὸ τεῖχος.

Hdt. 9. 86. 2.

περιιδεῖν αὐτὴν (sc. τὴν γῆν) τμηθεῖσαν. Th. 2. 18. 5; 2. 20. 2; And. 3. 8.

ἔτεμνον ... Έλευσίνα καὶ τὸ Θριάσιον πεδίον. Th. 2. 19. 2. τῆς τε γῆς ἔτεμον οὐ πολλὴν καὶ σίτον ἀνεκομίσαντο.

Th. 6. 7. 1.

13 Similar to this is where the object is a road, a channel, or a mine: open up by cutting, cut.

μυρίαι δ' ἔργον καλῶν τέτμανθ' ἐκατόμπεδοι ἐν σχερῷ κέλευθοι. Pi. I. 6. 22.

τοῦ Π ερσικοῦ ... ἐόντος πεζοῦ στρατοῦ καὶ τὰς ὁδοὺς οὐκ ἐπισταμένου ὥστε οὐ τετμημένων ὁδῶν. Hdt. 4. 136. 2.

όδοὺς εὐθείας ἔτεμε. Th. 2. 100. 2.

διάπλους ἐκ τῶν διωρύχων ... τεμόντες. Pl. Criti. 118e.

διὸ δὴ τῆς ἀρτηρίας ὀχετοὺς ἐπὶ τὸν πλεύμονα ἔτεμον.

Pl. Ti. 70d; 77c.

φήναντος γὰρ Λυσάνδρου τὸ Ἐπικράτους μέταλλον ... ⟨ώς⟩ ἐ⟨κ⟩τὸς τῶν μέτρων τετμημένον. Hyp. Eux. 35.

τέμνω 277

14 This naturally leads to the extension of sense where the object is the medium of travel or the route pursued. Where it is the sea or air, it is possible to regard this as a simple extension of the idea of cutting through, and we might translate *cleave*. But we find also examples with $\delta\delta\delta\nu$ and similar objects, where it seems to mean no more than *make one's way through*, *pursue*.

ηνώγει πέλαγος μέσον εἰς Εὔβοιαν τέμνειν. Od. 3. 175.

ώς ή ρίμφα θέουσα θαλάσσης κύματ' έταμνεν. Od. 13. 88.

βαθὺν ἠέρα τέμνον ἰόντες. h.Cer. 383.

καί κεν έν ναυσὶν μόλον Ἰονίαν τάμνων θάλασσαν.

Pi. P. 3. 68.

ό πεζὸς στρατὸς ἐπορεύετο ἐκ τῆς Ἀκάνθου τὴν μεσόγαιαν τέμνων τῆς ὁδοῦ. Hdt. 7. 124; 9. 89. 4.

ῶ τὴν ἐν ἄστροις οὐρανοῦ τέμνων όδὸν ...

Ήλιε. Ε. Ph. 1; Epigr. 2.

αλίμενον αἰθέρος αὔλακα τέμνων. Ar. Av. 1400.

διὰ μέσου γὰρ αἰθέρος τέμνων κέλευθον. Αr. Th. 1100.

Absolutely:

έπιπρὸ γὰρ αἰἐν ἔτεμνον ἐσσυμένως, λιαροῖο φορεύμενοι ἐξ ἀνέμοιο. Α. R. 2. 1244; 4. 771.

Figuratively:

αι γε μὲν ἀνδρῶν πόλλ' ἄνω, τὰ δ' αὖ κάτω ψεύδη μεταμώνια τάμνοισαι κυλίνδοντ' ἐλπίδες. Pi. O. 12. 6. ποίην τις βιότοιο τάμοι τρίβον; AP 9. 359. 1; 360. 1. οὐδὲ ἐγὼ πρῶτος ταύτην ἐτεμόμην τὴν ὁδόν. Luc. Pr. Im. 24.

15 A special use of this, in the examples always figurative, is the phrase $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$ or $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \nu \tau \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \epsilon \nu \nu$, pursue a middle course.

δέομαι ... μήτ' αὖ Πρωταγόραν ... φεύγειν εἰς τὸ πέλαγος τῶν λόγων ἀποκρύψαντα γῆν, ἀλλὰ μέσον τι ἀμφοτέρους τεμεῖν. 'I beg you ... both to keep to a middle course.'

Pl. Prt. 338a; Lg. 793a.

ἔντεχνον δὲ τὸ τὴν μέσην ἐν ἄπασι τέμνειν ἐμμελές τε.

Plu. 2. 7b.

278 τέμνω

16 Finally there are uses where no physical cutting is intended, but an analogous action: *separate*, *divide*. This may be by marking out an area.

καὶ μέν οἱ Λύκιοι τέμενος τέμον ἔξοχον ἄλλων. Il. 6. 194; 9. 580.

LSJ quotes under this heading:

τέμει δέ τε (ζυγόν) τέλσον άρούρης. ΙΙ. 13. 707.

But $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota$ is now better interpreted as the present to $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \rho \nu$ reach, so it does not belong to this word.

έλθων δ' είς τὴν ἀρχὴν καὶ λαβων αὐτὴν ἔβδομος, διείλετο έπτὰ μέρη τεμόμενος. Pl. Lg. 695c.

Also, by coming between so as to separate off:

ὧκα δ' ἔπειτα τάμνοντ' ἀμφὶ βοῶν ἀγέλας καὶ πώεα καλὰ ἀργεννέων οἰῶν. ΙΙ. 18. 528.

ρέει γὰρ ἐκ Λιβύης ὁ Νείλος καὶ μέσην τάμνων τὴν Λιβύην. Hdt. 2. 33. 2.

άμφὶ ποταμὸν Τάναον Άργείας ὅρους τέμνοντα γαίας Σπαρτιάτιδός τε γῆς. Ε. Εl. 411.

By making a division in a line or other things:

ὤσπερ τοίνυν γραμμὴν δίχα τετμημένην λαβὼν ἄνισα τμήματα. Pl. R. 509d.

τοῦτο τοίνυν ἔτι διαιρετέον ... πῶς οὖν τέμνομεν δίχα, λέγεις; Pl. Phlb. 49a; Plt. 287b; Sph. 223c.

τινèς μèν οὖν εἰς δύο μέρη τέμνουσιν αὐτὴν (sc. τὴν παράδοσιν). Sor. 1. 1.

17 It may also mean act violently upon so as to lessen, cut down.

έπεὶ δὲ καὶ τέμνει (ἡ πτισάνη) καὶ ὑγραίνει τὰ ἀναπτύσεως δεόμενα. Gal. 15. 507.

τούτοις γὰρ (φαρμάκοις) ἔργον ἐστὶν ... τέμνειν ... καὶ λεπτύνειν τὰ πάχεα τῶν ὑγρῶν. Gal. 6. 760; Sor. 1. 98.

τροφαί τε όμοίως διαχέουσαι καὶ τέμνουσαι τὸ φλέγμα. Gal. 14. 472; 6. 352. τοπαδειν 279

18 Used by poets and late prose in a transferred sense, put an end to, do away with, resolve.

έδόκησαν ἐπ' ἀμφότερα μαχᾶν τάμνειν τέλος. Pi. O. 13. 57. μέλλω καὶ ὑπὲρ δόμων ἰκετὰς ὑποδεχθεὶς κίνδυνον πολιῷ τεμεῖν σιδάρῳ. E. Heracl. 758. λόγῳ τὰ διάφορα τεμεῖν. Lib. Or. 18. 164.

I have already in the article quoted at the beginning of this note drawn attention to the new sense created by LSJ as **VIII** 'metaph. for $d\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma as$ ' on the evidence of a single line cited unmetrically from Empedocles:

κρηνάων ἄπο πέντε ταμόντ' (ἐν) ἀτειρέϊ χαλκῷ. Emp. 143.

While 'draw water' might seem a possible translation, a fuller context might well reveal a noun such as $\partial \chi \epsilon \tau o i s$ allowing $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ to have a normal sense (see 13 above). The emendation is far from certain, since $\partial \tau \epsilon \iota \rho \eta s$ may have an artificially lengthened first syllable on the (false) analogy of $\partial \kappa \delta \mu a \tau o s$, etc.

τοπαδειν

This word appears in an inscription of ii AD apparently erected by Herodes Atticus, which begins:

Μέμνων τοπαδειν Άρτέμιδος φίλος ... IG 2². 13196.

Various suggestions have been made, the most favoured of which is to regard it as a spelling of $\tau o \pi \acute{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, understood to mean 'as one may guess'. This has been rightly criticised by R. Merkelbach, ZPE 48 (1982), 218, who suggests that it is a spelling for $\tau o \pi \acute{a} \zeta \iota \upsilon \nu$. The diminutive of $\tau \acute{o} \pi a \zeta o s$ may well have been used as a term of endearment, and the spelling of $-\iota \iota \upsilon \nu$ as $-\iota \iota \nu$ or $-\epsilon \iota \nu$ is common. But on the change of consonant Merkelbach casually remarks: '- ζ - und $-\delta$ - gehen leicht ineinander über.' However, L. Threatte, The Grammar of Attic Inscriptions, i. 550, correctly states: 'The use of Δ for Z is foreign to Attic. There is a peculiar $\tau o \pi a \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (for

28ο τόπος

τοπάζειν) in [this text]; none of the other similar texts has τοπάζειν or any related word. There are rare cases of Δ for Z in graffiti from the Agora ...' A much simpler remedy would be to suppose an engraver's error, the omission of I. The mysterious word will then be read τὸ $\pi \alpha \langle \iota \rangle \delta \epsilon \iota \nu = \tau \grave{o} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota o \nu$. We know that Memnon died young.

τόπος

- There is a problem about the origin of this word, since it is absent from early epic and it appears first in Attic tragedy, where it is regularly used by all three tragedians. It is however rare in prose in v BC, and only becomes common and develops new senses from iv onwards. This distribution hardly supports Frisk's qualification of it as 'Wort der Alltagssprache' (GEW). The cognates proposed (see Frisk) are uncertain and semantically remote; there no evidence of any similar noun in other languages. Chantraine (DELG) has no hesitation in brushing them aside with the simple comment 'étymologie inconnue'. But these facts would be easily explained if the word were in fact a new coinage of Greek. perhaps an Atticism of the later vi century. I am therefore tempted to suggest, though very tentatively, that it began with a reply to a question containing the interrogative $\pi \circ \hat{v}$ with an expression such as $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \delta \pi o \hat{\nu} d\pi o \rho \hat{\omega}$. This might have been misinterpreted with a change of accent as the genitive of a noun $\tau \circ \pi \circ s$, which was thus created by back-formation. The new coinage filled a very useful function in the language, which down to this date appears to have had no single noun to describe location in space. For nouns created from interrogatives compare English whereabouts, the why and wherefore, Italian ubicazione.
- 2 The earliest uses seem to be fairly restricted. I have added a certain number of examples, prefixed with *, to those in LSJ; but I have ignored some of the very specialised meanings attributed to late authors. The simplest meaning appears to be a point or region in space, geographical locality, place, spot.

 $\chi\theta$ ονὸς γ ὰρ πᾶς πεποίμναται τόπος 'every spot on earth has been traversed'. A. Eu. 249.

τόπος 281

ἴσως γὰρ τόπον ἐσχατιᾶς προιδεῖν ἐθέλεις ὄντινα κεῖται. *S: Ph. 144.

είς ἐπόψιον τόπον. *S. Ant. 1110.

ώς δ' εν γαλήνη πάντ' εδερκόμην τόπον. *S. El. 899.

κοὐδεὶς ἐπισπᾶταί με συμμαθεῖν τόπος. *S. Aj. 869.

ην μεν Κιθαιρών, ην δε πρόσχωρος τόπος. *S. ΟΤ 1127.

εἴτε δὴ ὧν ἐς Σαρδὼ ἐκ τοῦ τόπου τούτου ἄγοι ἐς ἀποικίην. *Hdt. 5. 124. 2.

ἐν τόπῳ (prob. corrupt, perhaps for τρόπῳ) δέ τινι ἀφανεῖ. Th. 6. 54. 4.

ό δὲ τόπος οὖτος Άρμενία ἐκαλεῖτο ἡ πρὸς ἐσπέραν. Χ. Αn. 4. 4. 4.

23. 2111. 4. 4. 4.

ναυπηγησίμης ύλης ὁ τόπος ἡμιν τῆς χώρας πῶς ἔχει; Pl. Lg. 705c; 76oc; D. 4. 31.

ὥσθ' ὅλον τόπον καὶ πλεῖν ἢ μυρίους ... ὁπλίτας ... συμπαρεσκεύασεν. D. 19. 230.

Often qualified by a geographical term:

τον Κιθαιρώνος τόπον. *S. ΟΤ 1134.

τὸν αὐτὸν ἐς τόπον

Tροίας ἐπελθών. *S. Aj. 437.

τὸν μὲν τόπον τὸν Έλληνικόν. Isoc. 5. 107.

τὸν ἐπὶ Θράκης τόπον. Aeschin. 2. 9; 3. 73.

τὸν περὶ Θράκην τόπον. D. 20. 59.

So of places outside this world:

τὸν δὲ ὑπερουράνιον τόπον οὔτε τις ὕμνησέ πω τῶν τῆδε ποιητὴς οὔτε ποτὲ ὑμνήσει κατ' ἀξίαν. *Pl. Phdr. 247c. ἐλπίζομεν ... ὅτι ταχέως ἡμᾶς ἐλεήσει, καὶ ἐπισυνάξει ... εἰς τὸν ἄγιον τόπον. LXX 2 Ma. 2. 18.

3 A special use is found in the medical writers to indicate a particular part or area of the body.

δύο πόνων ἄμα γινομένων μὴ κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τόπον. Hp. Aph. 2. 46.

This occurs in the title of several works both in this Corpus and in Galen. LSJ records a further specialisation of this to mean *puden-dum muliebre*. This is alleged to occur in this passage:

282 τόπος

ἔπαρσις μὲν οὖν τοῖς θήλεσι (ζώοις) γίγνεται τῶν αἰδοίων, ὅταν πρὸς τὴν ὀχείαν ὀργῶσι, καὶ ὑγρασία περὶ τὸν τόπον. Arist. HA 572 $^{\rm b}$ 28.

But it is evident that $\tau \delta \nu \tau \delta \pi \sigma \nu$ here might mean 'the part in question', which is in fact $\tau \hat{a}$ $a \hat{i} \delta \hat{o} \hat{i} a$. But in a second reference it is clear that this specialised sense had in fact developed:

γίγνεται δὲ σημεῖον τοῦ συνειληφέναι ταῖς γυναιξίν, ὅταν εὐθὺς γένηται μετὰ τὴν δμιλίαν ὁ τόπος ξηρός.

Arist. HA 583a15.

The plural is frequently used in this sense by Soranus, e.g.:

ύδωρ δὲ θερμὸν χάριν τοῦ ἀποπλυθῆναι τοὺς τόπους. Sor. 2. 2 (= p. 51. 3 I).

4 When used in the plural it naturally acquires a wider meaning, vicinity, region, area. In later Greek the sense of region becomes frequent also in the singular, and this gives rise to some late uses, such as when it refers to an administrative district or $\tau \sigma \pi a \rho \chi i a$.

παλιρρόχθοις ἐν Αὐλίδος τόποις. Α. Ag. 191. χώρας ἐν τόποις Λιβυστικῆς. Α. Εu. 292. οὕτ' ἐν Σκύθησιν οὕτε Πέλοπος ἐν τόποις. Α. Εu. 703. πρόσθε Σαλαμῖνος τόπων. Α. Pers. 447. ἐν Ἑλλάδος τόποις. Α. Pers. 796. πρὸς ἐσπέρους τόπους. Α. Pr. 348. ἐν τοῖσι αὐτοῖσι τόποισι κατοικημένοι εἰσί. *Hdt. 4. 22. 2. ἀνὴρ κατοικεῖ τούσδε τοὺς τόπους σαφῶς. *S. Ph. 40. ἤ που τῆδ' ἢ τῆδε τόπων. *S. Ph. 204. ώδοιπόρεις δὲ πρὸς τί τούσδε τοὺς τόπους; *S. OT 1027; OC 64; OC 1020. οἰκητῆρα ... τόπων τῶν ἐνθάδ'. *S. OC 627.

μήθ' οὖ κέκευθε μήτ' ἐν οἷς κεῖται τόποις. *S. OC 1523. αὔρα

ήτις μ' ἀποικίσειεν ἐκ τόπων. *S. Tr. 955; Ε. Ph. 1027. ἐπ' ἐσχάτοις τόποις. *S. Tr. 1100. Θρήκης ἐκ τόπων δυσχειμέρων. Ε. Alc. 67; *Hipp. 53. πέραν γε πόντου καὶ τόπων Άτλαντικῶν. *E. Hipp. 1053.

τόπος 283

ή κατ' οἶκον; η ποίοις τόποις; *Ε. Βα. 1290.

ές τους περί Οινάδας τόπους. *Th. 2. 102. 6.

πολλοί γὰρ ἐν τούτοις τοῖς τόποις ὄνοι καὶ νῦν ἔτι γίγνονται.

X. Cyr. 2. 4. 20.

τινὰ δὲ ἐπισκευάζοντος τῶν κοινῶν τόπων. ΙΟ 42(1). 65. 8.

έκ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ἀσύλων τόπων. P. Teb. 5. 83 (iii BC).

έν τοις έξω τόποις. BGU 1114. 6 (i BC).

5 Position in space can be regarded as a property of an object, place occupied, position, location.

ές τον Έλλήνων τόπον. Α. Pers. 790.

τίς τόπος, η τίς έδρα, τίν' έχει στίβον. *S. Ph. 157.

ον δ' επιστείβεις τόπον

χθονός καλείται τησδε χαλκόπους όδός. *S. OC 56.

τὸν τόπον δ' ἵνα

χρήσται μ' έφευρείν, τούτο βούλομαι μαθείν. *S. ΟС 503.

άλλὰ μήτε μοι χοὰς

μήθ' αἷμ' ἐάσης εἰς ἐμὸν στάξαι τόπον (s.v.l.).

E. Heracl. 1041.

ἀπέραντος μὲν ἀριθμὸς ἀνθρώπων ἐλέγετο, κατὰ δὲ τόπους καὶ κώμας ... διενενέμηντο. Pl. Criti. 119a.

οὐ γὰρ τὸν τρόπον, ἀλλὰ τὸν τόπον μετήλλαξαν.

Aeschin. 3. 78.

καὶ γὰρ οἱ τόποι δύο, τὸ μέσον καὶ τὸ ἔσχατον. Arist. Cael. 312a8; IA 707b3; PA 666a15.

ίδε ὁ τόπος ὅπου ἔθηκαν αὐτόν. Ευ. Marc. 16. 6.

6 To this may be attached the phrases ἐπὶ τόπου οr τόπων, ἐν τόπω in that place, on the spot.

ίνα τὸ ... δίκαιον αὐτοῖς ἐπὶ τόπου διεξάγηται. Plb. 4. 73. 8.

ἐπὶ τῶν τόπων γενόμενος. CIL 3. 567. 3. (Delphi, ii BC).

ΐνα ἐπὶ τῶν τόπων τὸ τίμημα τούτων καταβληθείη.

P. Oxy. 2106. 24 (iv AD).

καταναλισκέτωσαν παραχρήμα καὶ ταῦτα ἐν τόπῳ. IG 12(7). 515. 63. 284 τόπος

7 In later Greek this use is extended to places which are allocated to persons, whether as a dwelling or to occupy at table, etc., place allocated, allotted place.

δώσω σοι τόπον, οὖ φεύξεται ἐκεῖ ὁ φονεύσας.
*LXX Ex. 21. 13.

εἰσάξουσιν (αὐτοὺς) εἰς τὸν τόπον αὐτῶν. *LXX Is. 14. 2. στενός μοι ὁ τόπος, ποίησόν μοι τόπον ἵνα κατοικήσω.

*LXX Is. 49. 20.

δώσω αὐτοῖς ἐν τῷ οἴκῳ μου καὶ ἐν τῷ τείχει μου τόπον οἰνομαστόν. *LXX Is. 56. 5.

ἀνέκλινεν αὐτὸν ἐν φάτνη, διότι οὐκ ἦν αὐτοῖς τόπος ἐν τῷ καταλύματι. *Εν. Luc. 2. 7.

έρει σοι, δὸς τούτω τόπον, καὶ τότε ἄρξη μετὰ αἰσχύνης τὸν ἔσχατον τόπον κατέχειν. Ευ. Luc. 14. 9.

πορεύομαι έτοιμάσαι τόπον ύμιν. *Ευ. Jo. 14. 2.

νυνὶ δὲ μηκέτι τόπον ἔχων ἐν τοῖς κλίμασι τούτοις.
*Ερ. Rom. 15. 23.

οὐδὲ τόπος εὐρέθη αὐτοῖς ἔτι ἐν τῷ οὐράνῳ. *Αρος. 12. 8; 20. 11.

ἴνα πέτηται (ή γυνή) εἰς τὴν ἔρημον εἰς τὸν τόπον αὐτῆς.

. .

δοῦναι δὲ αὐτῆ τόπον (for burial). IG 12(7). 401. 14.

This is quoted by LSJ as evidence for a sense 'burial-place' together with Ev. Marc. 16. 6 (for which see 5 above). There does not appear to be any very clear evidence for such a sense, though it would not be impossible.

8 Arising from this it was extended to mean a room in a building.

είς οἴκησιν αὐτῆς τόπον ἕνα ἄνευ ἐνο[ικίου.

BGU 896. 4 (ii AD).

θύρας καὶ κλεῖς πάντων τῶν τόπων. P. Oxy. 502. 34 (ii AD). μισθώσει ... οἰκίας τὸ ἐνὸν κατάγειον καὶ τὸν ἐπάνω τῆς ἐξέδρας τόπον. P. Oxy. 912. 13 (iii AD).

δύο τόπους ήτοι συμπόσια. Ρ. Οχγ. 1129. 10 (v AD).

9 Another extension is to mean a place for a thing, proper place. The phrase παρὰ τόπον means out of place. τόπος 285

ἐπειδὰν ὁ τόπος (sc. around a plant) ἡ κενὸς καὶ μηδὲν τὸ ἀντιστατοῦν. Thphr. HP 1. 7. 1.

καὶ ἢν ὁ τόπος τόπος κτήνεσι. *LXX Nu. 32. 1.

ἔστι γὰρ ἀργυρίω τόπος ὅθεν γίνεται. *LXX Jb. 28. 1.

καὶ ποῖος τόπος τῆς καταπαύσεώς μου; *LXX Is. 66. ι (Act. Ap. 7. 49).

ύπολιποῦ τόπον. 'leave a space' in writing.

P. Cair. Zen. 327. 83 (iii BC).

εὐθείας τόπος. In geometry, 'the space described by a straight line moving round a point'. Plu. 2. 1003e.

έξορχῆ παρὰ καιρὸν, παρὰ τόπον, ἄνευ θυμάτων, ἄνευ ἁγνείας. Arr. Epict. 3. 21. 16.

τοὺς Ήρακλέους ἄθλους (a statue) ... παρὰ τόπον κειμένους διὰ τὴν ἐρημίαν. Str. 10. 2. 21.

10 This can be used metaphorically to mean position in a list or class, place; so τόπον ἔχειν to be admitted to a class.

ό ἀναπληρών τὸν τόπον τοῦ ἰδιώτου. 1 Ep. Cor. 14. 16.

ό αίρεθεὶς ὕπατος εἰς τὸν τοῦ Κίννα τόπον. D. S. 38/39. 3.

έτερος είς τὸ ἐκείνου καθίσταται τόπον. D. H. 2. 73. 3.

τόπω των ἀπεζωσμένων. Hdn. 2. 14. 5.

φίλου οὐ δύνασαι τόπον ἔχειν. Arr. Epict. 2. 4. 5.

ουτ' ἐν λόγοις τόπον ἔχων ουτ' ἐν διαλόγοις. (The reference is to Plato's Apology of Socrates, which is neither a forensic speech nor a dialogue.) D. H. Dem. 23.

11 Opportunity for action, scope.

μὴ δῷς τόπον ἀνθρώπῳ καταράσασθαί σε. LXX Si. 4. 5. διὰ τὸ μὴ καταλείπεσθαι σφίσι τόπον ἐλέους μηδὲ συγγνώμης.

Plb. 1. 88. 2.

μηδὲ δίδοτε τόπον τῷ διαβόλῳ. Ερ. Ερh. 4. 27.

δότε τόπον τη ὀργή (sc. τοῦ θεοῦ).

Ep. Rom. 12. 19; Plu. 2. 462b.

μετανοίας γάρ τόπον οὐχ εὖρεν. Ερ. Hebr. 12. 17.

κυκλωθέντες καὶ οὐδὲ φυγῆς τόπον εὐμοιρήσαντες.

Hld. 6. 13. 3.

12 A matter under discussion, point in an argument, subject, topic. This tends to become a technical term of oratory.

ΐνα μηδεὶς αὐτῷ τόπος ἀσυκοφάντητος παραλείπηται. Aeschin. 3. 216.

τόπον ἴδιον καὶ παντάπασιν ἀδιεξέργαστον. Isoc. 5. 109. περὶ τὸν αὐτὸν τόπον διατρίβειν. Isoc. 10. 38.

οἷον ὁ τοῦ μᾶλλον καὶ ἦττον τόπος. Arist. Rh. 1358°14.

σχεδὸν μὲν οὖν ἡμῖν περὶ ἐκάστου τῶν εἰδῶν τῶν χρησίμων καὶ ἀναγκαίων ἔχονται οἱ τόποι. Arist. Rh. 1396^b30; 1397^a7. ἔστιν γὰρ στοιχεῖον καὶ τόπος εἰς ὁ πολλὰ ἐνθυμήματα ἐμπίπτει. Arist. Rh. 1403^a18; D. H. Comp. 1; Hermog. Prog.

6 (= 29 R); 11 (= 52 R). ἔνα δὲ τόπον ἀγωνιᾶν τὸν κατὰ τοὺς Ροδίους. 'that he was worried about one point, that concerning the Rhodians'.

Plb. 21. 18. 2; Ph. 2. 63 (= de Josepho 151).

13 Finally it may mean a place or passage in a book. If the first example is not valid, this is a late development.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ἄλλ ω δ $\dot{\epsilon}$ τόπ ω φησίν (τόπ ω is not needed here and has been deleted as an interpolation). X. Mem. 2. 1. 20.

κατὰ τόπους τινὰς τῆς ἱστορίας. Plb. 12. 25^f. 1.

ἀναπτύξας τὸ βιβλίον εὖρεν τὸν τόπον οὖ ἦν γεγραμμένον ... Ευ. Luc. 4. 17.

τραχαίοις

This is recorded as a new word by the editors of *P. Oxy.* 2728, a letter of iii/iv AD. The relevant passage runs:

τὴν τούτων οὖν τιμὴν ἀπόστειλόν μοι ἐν μαρσιππίῳ ἐσφραγισμένον ἐν τραχαίοις· χρία γάρ ἐστιν ἀργυρίου.

'Send me therefore the price, sealed in a purse in ...' The grammar of ἐσφραγισμένον is faulty, but no doubt the writer had ἀργύριον in mind. The editors' note on this passage reads: 'The next sentence suggests that he is asking either for cash or a quick delivery. τροχίας means a messenger (Hesych.) and τροχίας χαλκός means cast bronze (Poll. 7. 105). One of these may be relevant;

τρώγω 287

τραχύς seems not to be.' Their search of LSJ having proved disappointing, I should like to offer another suggestion.

- 2 At this date $a\iota$ and ϵ are alternative spellings; $\tau\rho\alpha\chi\alpha\iota$ os is equivalent to $\tau\rho\alpha\chi\epsilon$ os. This enables us to understand it as a new dative based on the neuter plural $\tau\alpha$ $\tau\rho\alpha\chi\epsilon$ a, no doubt part of the process by which u-stem adjectives were eventually eliminated. 'Heteroclitic o-stem forms appear occasionally in the gen. and dat. sg. [of u-stem adjectives]' (F. T. Gignac, Grammar of Greek Papyri, ii. 127). We can add on this evidence in the dative plural also. There is thus no problem about the form of this word.
- But if $\tau \rho \alpha \chi \alpha i o is$ is equivalent to $\tau \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon \sigma i$, what does 'rough ones' mean in this context? The answer is provided by the Latin asper, which was used of coins to mean 'having rough edges', i.e. not smoothed by wear but in mint condition. Such coins would of course still have their full value as silver; we hear that Nero insisted on being paid in them: exegitque ingenti fastidio et acerbitate nummum asperum Suet. Nero 44. This use was so familiar that it was borrowed by Greek as ἄσπρον, and indeed the plural ἄσπρα remains in use down to the present day meaning 'money'. This word was discussed at length by E. Schwyzer (IF 49. 1-45, see especially p. 29), and he quotes other late examples of τραχύς used of coins, such as δηνάριον τραχύ (Gloss. ii. 269. 57) and τραχέα νομίσματα in Byzantine Greek. Perhaps even more significant is the use of ἄσπρος at a date earlier than this papyrus: δώσει $\tau \hat{\eta}$ πόλε[ι] γρυσούς έκατὸν ἄσπρους IG 12(8). 569 (Thasos, ii/iii AD). The example of this adjective quoted by LSJ from Aelian (NA 1. 26) meaning 'rough' is probably unsound; it is emended to $\lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o \hat{i} s$ by modern editors.

τρώγω

I LSJ gives a fair enough picture of this word, but some notes need to be added. Sense **III** eat is correctly reported, $\tau\rho\dot{\omega}\gamma\omega$ having replaced $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\dot{\epsilon}\omega$ as present to $\ddot{\epsilon}\phi\alpha\gamma\sigma\nu$; but there is no hint that this is one of the important vocabulary changes which distinguish modern from ancient Greek, and therefore its appearance in the New Testament marks the beginning of the change. As so often, a

knowledge of modern Greek is indispensable for understanding the language of the gospels.

- 2 Again it is noted that the simple verb is not used in the aorist, either the inherited $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\rho\alpha\gamma\sigma\nu$ or the analogical $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\rho\omega\xi\alpha$. This strongly suggests that it properly denoted a continued or repeated action, and the compound $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\tau\rho\omega\gamma\omega$ was employed when it meant consume. For the one exception, see below.
- 3 The ancient grammarians observed that $\tau\rho\omega\gamma\omega$ was generally used of animals, and then went on to quote examples where it was used of human beings. It should be clear that this means it denoted an action typical of animals, but also on occasion taken by humans. Taken together with the aspectual point made in 2 above, it would appear that a satisfactory definition of its use in the present system would be take repeated small bites at, nibble, gnaw. This then explains the sense given by LSJ as II 'of men, eat vegetables or fruit'. It is not the object that defines the use, but the kind of eating. In any case the objects quoted range beyond fruit and vegetables to cakes and fish. It is predominantly used of consuming dessert after dinner, something which is usually taken in small quantities and eaten raw, especially to accompany drinks. It is therefore what we are accustomed to do at cocktail and similar parties.

πίνουσι καὶ τρώγουσιν, οἱ μὲν ἴτρια, οἱ δ' ἄρτον αὐτῶν, οἱ δὲ συμμεμιγμένους γούρους φακοῖσι. Sol. 38. 1.

The "τρια were apparently something made with sesame like the modern halvá; γοῦροι were some other kind of sweetmeat or cake. It is obvious that these are things nibbled for pleasure, not consumed as a food.

οὐ σῦκα ἔχουσι τρώγειν, οὐκ ἄλλο ἀγαθὸν οὐδέν. Hdt. 1. 71. 3; cf.

κάπευξαμένους τοίσι θεοίσιν διδόναι πλούτον τοίς Έλλησιν, κριθάς τε ποιείν ήμας πολλάς πάντας όμοίως οίνόν τε πολύν σῦκά τε τρώγειν. Ατ. Ραχ 1324. τρώγω 289

τούς τε γενομένους (κυάμους) οὔτε τρώγουσι οὔτε ἔψοντες πατέονται. Hdt. 2. 37. 5; cf. 2. 92. 4.

Here there is a clear contrast between nibbling beans raw and eating them in quantity when cooked. It might be tempting to assign the next example to the later sense *eat*, but the idea of eating uncooked food is still appropriate.

Λωτοφάγοι, οι τὸν καρπὸν μοῦνον τοῦ λωτοῦ τρώγοντες ζώουσι. Hdt. 4. 177.

εἰ δὲ δὴ τοῦτο (ὄψον) καὶ μετὰ δεῖπνον τρωξόμεθα.

X. Smp. 4. 8.

τρώγων ἐρεβίνθους ἀπεπνίγη πεφρυγμένους. Pherecr. 170 K-A.

κάν ποία πόλει

τοσοῦτος ζῶν) τὸ μέγεθος ἰχθῦς τρώγεται; Ευρ. 335 Κ-Α.

— μελίπηκτα δ' εἴ σοι προσφέροι; — τρώγοιμι καὶ ἀὸν δὲ καταπίνοιμ' ἄν. Antiph. 138. 4 Κ-Α.

We can explain the solitary example of an aorist:

μή μοι φακούς, μὰ τὸν Δί', οὐ γὰρ ἥδομαι ἢν γὰρ τράγη τις, τοῦ στόματος ὅζει κακόν. Pherecr. 73. 5 K-A.

The reason for not using $\phi \dot{a} \gamma \eta$ here must be the implication that lentils if eaten raw will make one's breath smell bad. There is a good example where it is used absolutely clearly meaning 'take delicacies with a drink'.

ταύτην (τὴν γυναῖκα) τὸ μὲν οὐτωσὶ πίνειν ἡσυχῆ καὶ τρώγειν ἠνάγκαζον. D. 19. 197.

The $\pi a \rho a \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa (a \nu)$ joke in the Clouds again depends upon the meaning being nibble at, rather than eat.

έκ πηριδίου γνώμας τρώγων Πανδελετείους. Ar. Nu. 924.

4 The example of eat quoted by LSJ in sense III from Batrachomyomachia belongs properly to the section dealing with animals, for which I have not thought it necessary to quote the examples. The speaker is a mouse, who claims significantly to be the son of $T\rho\omega\xi\acute{a}\rho\tau\eta_{S}$.

290 τυφλός

σοὶ μὲν γὰρ βίος ἐστὶν ἐν ὕδασιν· αὐτὰρ ἔγωγε ὅσσα παρ' ἀνθρώποις τρώγειν ἔθος. 'My habit is to nibble the same things as human beings have.' Batr. 34.

τυφλός

I This looks like a simple word, but as usual careful research and analysis disclose a rather different pattern. We begin obviously with the literal sense *unable to see*, *blind*.

καί μιν τυφλὸν ἔθηκε Κρόνου πάϊς. II. 6. 139.
τυφλὸς ἀνήρ, οἰκεῖ δὲ Χίῳ ἔνι παιπαλοέσση. h. Ap. 172.
τυφλὸς γὰρ ἐκ δεδορκότος. S. ΟΤ 454.
τυφλὴν γὰρ ὄψιν ἐκ σέθεν σχήσειν μ' ἔφη. Ε. Cyc. 697.
τυφλὸς δὲ τῶν ἄλλων ἀπάντων μᾶλλον δεξαίμην ἄν εἶναι.
Χ. Smp. 4. 12.
τυφλοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς ὄψιν ἐντιθέντες. Pl. R. 518c.

2 This is extended to cases where the adjective is applied to parts of the body or other objects which are not guided by sight.

ὄψη νιν αὐτίκ' ὄντα δωμάτων πάρος τυφλὸν τυφλῷ στείχοντα παραφόρῳ ποδί. Ε. Hec. 1050. ἤγου πάροιθε, θύγατερ· ὡς τυφλῷ ποδὶ ὀφθαλμὸς εἶ σύ. Ε. Ph. 834. πρόσθεν τυφλὴν χεῖρ' ἐπὶ πρόσωπα δυστυχῆ. Ε. Ph. 1699.

προσύεν τοφλήν χετρ' επι προσωπά συστοχή. Ε. Γπ. 1099 ---βάκτρω δ' ἐρείδου περιφερῆ στίβον χθονός.

—καὶ τοῦτο τυφλόν, ὅταν ἐγὼ βλέπω βραχύ. Ε. Ιοη 744.

πολεμίους ἀμύνεται τυφλοῖς ὁρῶντας οὐτάσας τοξεύμασιν. Ε. ΗF 199. τὰ τυφλὰ τοῦ σώματος καὶ ἄοπλα καὶ ἄχειρα.

X. Cyr. 3. 3. 45.

τυφλή δ' ἔδραμε πᾶσα τρόπις χοιράδας ἐς πέτρας. ΑΡ 9. 289. 4.

Neuter as adverb:

τυφλον φέρεσθαι πάγκαλον πέλει λίαν. Anon. ap. Suid.

3 These examples, which are literal, need to be separated from the next where the adjective is applied in a metaphorical sense to mean lacking mental perception, devoid of understanding. The transference can be seen in progress in the first example.

τυφλὸς τά τ' ὧτα τόν τε νοῦν τά τ' ὅμματ' εἶ. S. OT 371. δόλιον ἀγύρτην, ὅστις ἐν τοῖς κέρδεσιν μόνον δέδορκε, τὴν τέχνην δ' ἔφυ τυφλός. S. OT 389. τυφλὸς γὰρ, ὧ γυναῖκες, οὐδ' ὁρῶν ἄρης. S. fr. 838. καὶ μὴν ... καὶ τυφλῷ γε δῆλον ὡς μεταβαίνει. Pl. R. 550d. τυφλὸς δ' οὐκ αὐτὸς ὁ Πλοῦτος, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἀφρόντιστος Ἔρως. Theoc. 10. 19. ὡς τυφλόν ἐστι τοῦ μέλλοντος ἄνθρωπος. Plu. Sol. 12. 5. ἣν (τύχην) τυφλὴν λοιδοροῦμεν. Plu. 2. 98a.

Applied to mental powers or activities:

τυφλὸν δ' ἔχει ἦτορ ὅμιλος ἀνδρῶν ὁ πλείστος. Pi. N. 7. 23. τυφλὰς ἐν αὐτοῖς ἐλπίδας κατῷκισα. A. Pr. 250. ἆρ' ὅλβος αὐτοῖς ὅτι τυφλὸς συνηρετεῖ, τυφλὰς ἔχουσι τὰς φρένας ...; E. fr. 776 (= Phaëth. 167). ἡ μὲν γὰρ φύσις ἄνευ μαθήσεως τυφλόν. Plu. 2. 2b.

4 Acting without direction, unpredictable.

τυφλής ὑπ' ἄτης ἐκπεπόρθημαι τάλας. S. Tr. 1104.
τὸ δ' ἐς αὔριον αἰεὶ τυφλὸν ἔρπει. S. fr. 593. 6.
ἔμπορος ἢ τυφλοῦ κύματος ἰχθυβόλος. AP 7. 400.
ὧν (δοξῶν) αἱ βέλτισται τυφλαί. Pl. R. 506c.
τυφλὴν ὑπόνοιαν. Plu. 2. 687c; 975b.

5 In later Greek it is used of things which can be entered such as roads, straits, etc. having no (other) exit, blind.

τούς πορθμούς ύπονοήσαντες είναι τυφλούς στενωπούς.

Str. 1. 1. 17.

(ποταμός) εἰς λίμνας τυφλὰς καὶ ἐλώδεις ἀφανίζεται. Plu. Sull. 20. 5.

τὰ τυφλὰ καὶ δύσορμα τῆς 'Ωστιανῆς ἢιόνος ἀνακαθηράμενος. Plu. Caes. 58. 5.

ἀνὰ μέσον οὔσης τυφλῆς ῥύμης. 'separated by a blind alley.' $P. \ Oxy. \ 99.9$ (i AD).

292 ΰβρις

In anatomy, referring to the caecum:

καὶ τοῦ ἐντέρου τυφλόν τι καὶ ὀγκῶδες. Arist. PA $675^{b}7$; $676^{a}5$.

τὸ καλούμενον τυφλὸν ἔντερον. Ruf. ap. Orib. 7. 26. 25.

Of knots in trees:

είσι δε των όζων οι μεν τυφλοί, οι δε γόνιμοι. λέγω δε τυφλούς ἀφ' ὧν μηδείς βλαστός.

Thphr. HP 1. 8. 4; CP 3. 2. 8.

Of a hook, having a rounded end, blunt.

καὶ ἔτερον (ἄγκιστρον) τυφλὸν καθήσομεν. Orib. 45. 18. 9.

6 Invisible on the surface, hidden, concealed.

ἄλεσε Κρήτα καὶ Μαλέου τυφλαὶ καμπτομένου σπιλάδες Δάμιδος Άστυδάμαντα. ΑΡ 7. 275. τὴν σάρκα φοινίξαι τυφλῷ μώλωπι. Plu. Aem. 19. 9. τῶν δεσμῶν τυφλὰς ἐχόντων τὰς ἀρχάς. Plu. Alex. 18. 2; cf. ὡς τό γε τυφλὸν ἄμμα καλούμενον. Gal. 2. 669. τοῖς δ' ἄλλοις τυφλὸν εἶναι πάντη καὶ κρύφιον. Plu. 2. 983d.

Metaphorically:

άλλα το μεν εν Ρώμη τυφλον ην έτι κίνημα. Plu. Galb. 18. 3.

ΰβρις

This is a word every Greek scholar thinks he understands; indeed it is such a familiar concept as to have invaded the English vocabulary. A whole book has recently been devoted to showing that it does not mean what most of us think (N. R. E. Fisher, Warminster, 1992). But it may be worth while reviewing its lexical meaning, while leaving the philosophical ideas behind it for others to debate. LSJ attempts to divide the senses into I 'wanton violence', II 'an outrage', admitting that it is often difficult to distinguish the concrete sense from the abstract. This is of course a regular phenomenon in such abstract words, which acquire a plural when used to mean an instance of whatever is the basic

ΰβρις 293

meaning. While not ignoring this, it does seem that a further important distinction of meaning has been overlooked.

2 As a quality of persons or their behaviour $\tilde{v}\beta\rho\iota s$ is regularly used to mean conduct amounting to contempt, insolence, arrogance, a quality which renders the person so designated odious, especially to inferiors. For a more detailed analysis see the book by Fisher just mentioned.

μητρὸς ἐμῆς μνηστῆρες, ὑπέρβιον ὕβριν ἔχοντες. Od. 1. 368; 16. 86.

τῶν ὕβρις τε βίη τε σιδήρεον οὐρανὸν ἵκει. Od. 15. 329.

It is here coupled with $\beta i\eta$, the use of force, in a way which implies it is not simply (as LSJ says) 'wanton violence'. Similarly with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o s$:

οί δ' ὕβρει εἴξαντες, ἐπισπόμενοι μένεϊ σφῷ. Od. 14. 262. ἀνθρώπων ὕβριν τε καὶ εὐνομίην ἐφορῶντες. Od. 17. 487.

Here the opposite 'obedience to law' characterises it as the attitude of one who regards himself as above the law.

εἰ ἐτεὸν μνηστῆρες ἀτάσθαλον ὕβριν ἔτεισαν. Od. 24. 352.

It is curious that this sense is well represented in the Odyssey, whereas the specific instance (see 3 below) is the only way the word is used by the Iliad.

σὺ δ' ἄκουε δίκης μηδ' ὕβριν ὄφελλε. Hes. Op. 213.

δίκη δ' ύπερ υβριος ισχει

ές τέλος έξελθοῦσα. Hes. Op. 217.

άλλά νιν ὕβρις εἰς αὐάταν ὑπεράφανον

 $\hat{\omega}\rho\sigma\epsilon\nu$. Pi. P. 2. 28.

ἥν τ' ἐπὶ σωφροσύνην τρεφθῆ νόος ἥν τε πρὸς ὕβριν. Thgn. 379.

δεσμῷ ἐν ἀχλυόεντι σιδηρέῳ ἔσβεσαν ὕβριν. Epigram ap. Hdt. 5. 77. 4 (also preserved in fragmentary form IG 12. 394).

Of a horse, or perhaps rather its rider:

τῶν τις ἱρῶν ἵππων ... ὑπὸ ὕβριος ἐσβὰς ἐς τὸν ποταμὸν διαβαίνειν ἐπειρᾶτο. Hdt. 1. 189. 1.

λήξον δ' ύβρίζουσ'· οὐ γὰρ ἄλλο πλὴν ὕβρις τάδ' ἐστί, κρείσσω δαιμόνων είναι θέλειν. Ε. Ηίρρ. 474. This might be classified as an act, but attitude or behaviour also seems appropriate. Plato attempts a definition.

έπιθυμίας δὲ ἀλόγως έλκούσης ἐπὶ ἡδονὰς καὶ ἀρξάσης ἐν ἡμῖν τὴ ἀρχὴ ὕβρις ἐπωνομάσθη. ὕβρις δὲ δὴ πολυώνυμον.

Pl. Phdr. 238a.

τὰ μέν γὰρ (ἀγαθὰ) ὕβριν τοῖς πολλοῖς, τὰ δὲ (κακὰ) σωφροσύνην τοῖς πᾶσιν ἐμποεῖ. Χ. Cyr. 8. 4. 14.

άλλὰ διὰ τὴν ὕβριν ἢδίκου ἀνθρώπους μικροπολίτας.

X. HG 2. 2. 10.

3 When used with a plural it means an instance of such behaviour, insult, abuse.

 $\hat{\eta}$ ΐνα ὕβριν ἴδη Άγαμέμνονος Άτρεΐδαο; ΙΙ. 1. 203.

ύβριος εΐνεκα τῆσδε. ΙΙ. 1. 214.

οίσιν Άρηος

ἔργ' ἔμελε στονόεντα καὶ ὕβριες. Hes. Op. 146.

μᾶλλον δὲ κακῶν ῥεκτῆρα καὶ ὕβριν ἀνέρα τιμήσουσι. 'a man who is an example of arrogance'.

Hes. Op. 191.

οὖχ ὕβρις πίνειν ὁπόσον κεν ἔχων ἀφίκοιο οἴκαδ' ἄνευ προπόλου. Xenoph. 1. 17.

ύπο γυναικός ἄρχεσθαι ὕβρις εἴη αν ἀνδρὶ ἐσχάτη.

Democr. 111.

— ἄρ' οὐχ ὕβρις τάδ';
— ὕβρις, ἀλλ' ἀνεκτέα.
S. OC 883.
ταῦτ' οὐχὶ δεινῆς ἀγκόνης ἔστ' ἄξια,
ὕβρεις ὑβρίζειν ...;
E. Ba. 247; HF 741.
ταῦτ' οὐχ ὕβρις δῆτ' ἐστίν;
Ar. Nu. 1299; Ra. 21; Pl. 886.

αί τῶν νέων ἀκολασίαι τε καὶ ὕβρεις. Pl. Lg. 884a.

4 As an appendage to this we may add the adverbial expressions $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\epsilon\iota$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\epsilon\iota$ or $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\iota\nu$, by way of insult, offensively.

άλλ' οὐχ ὕβρει

λέγω τάδ'. S. El. 881.

άρνη κατακτάς κάφ' ΰβρει λέγεις τάδε; Ε. Or. 1581.

ἐμοὶ γὰρ δοκεῖς ... τὴν γραφὴν ταύτην ὕβρει τινὶ καὶ ἀκολασίᾳ καὶ νεότητι γράψασθαι. Pl. Ap. 26e.

οὐδ' ἐφ' ὕβρει τοῦτ' ἐποίησεν. D. 21. 38.

ὔβρει πεποιηκώς. D. 21. 42.

ΰβρις 295

ἵνα μὴ {με} ἐφ' ὕβρει με [ἐ]ξορκίζειν αὐτόν. P. Cair. Zen. 462. 9 (iii BC).

Τυδεύς δε καὶ πρὸς ὕβριν ἐκέλευσεν ἀποχωρεῖν. Plu. Alc. 37.

5 It is an easy step from threatening behaviour to actual physical violence, though it is not always clear from the context which is intended. I quote here examples where the mental attitude is less relevant and actual damage is inflicted. We may define this sense as physical violence, assault, attack.

 γ ελ $\hat{\alpha}$ θ' ὄρῶν ὕβριν ὀρθίαν κνωδάλων. (The beasts in question are asses.) Pi. P. 10. 36.

Similarly of snakes:

```
ἀπὸ στρωμνᾶς ὅμως ἄμυνεν ὕβριν κνωδάλων. Pi. N. 1. 50. ναυσίστονον ὕβριν ἰδὼν τὰν πρὸ Κύμας. Pi. P. 1. 72. καὶ τὰ πάντα σφι ὑπό τε ὕβριος καὶ ὀλιγωρίης ἀνάστατα ἦν. Hdt. 1. 106. 1.
```

The context here shows that the Scythians did not merely treat their subjects as inferiors but inflicted violence on them.

```
ἄρξασι Φρυξὶ τὴν κατ' Άργείων ὕβριν. S. fr. 368. 
ἀθάνατον Ήρας μητέρ' εἰς ἐμὴν ὕβριν. Ε. Βα. 9.
```

The reference is to the violent death of Semele.

```
τᾶς ὕβριος ποινὰς λαμβάνω[ν].

IG 4²(1). 122. 98 (= Schwyzer 109. 98, Epidaurus iv BC).
αἷμα δὲ ἐπιρραινούσης τῷ ἀφρῷ ἐκ τοῦ χαλίνου ὕβρεως.

D. Chr. 63. 5.
```

6 This sense was adopted as a legal term found in the Attic orators. The exact definition of the term was perhaps vague; for a discussion see Fisher, op. cit. But it would appear to have been a general term for all kinds of physical assault, as the first quotation shows.

```
τὸν τῆς ὕβρεως (νόμον), ὃς ἐνὶ κεφαλαίῳ ἄπαντα τὰ τοιαῦτα
συλλαβὼν ἔχει. Aeschin. 1. 15.
```

It was distinguished from $\beta\lambda\dot{\alpha}\beta\eta$ 'damage' and $\alpha\dot{\imath}\kappa\dot{\imath}\alpha$ 'maltreatment'.

ήν ὁ τῆς βλάβης ὑμῖν νόμος πάλαι, ήν ὁ τῆς αἰκείας, ήν ὁ τῆς ὕβρεως. D. 21. 35.

It is the circumstances of this speech against Meidias which prove the meaning of $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\iota s$. Meidias admitted having punched Demosthenes in the theatre while he was discharging his duties as $\chi o\rho\eta\gamma \delta s$. The point at issue in this case was whether it was simple assault (clearly defined as $\mathring{v}\beta\rho\iota s$ in the $\mathring{v}\pi\delta\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota s$ to this speech) or $\mathring{a}\sigma\epsilon\beta\epsilon\iota a$ 'impiety' as a result of the religious character of the office.

ἐνταυθὶ πόλλ' ἄττα καὶ δεινά μοι ἐγκαλεῖ· καὶ γὰρ αἴκειαν καὶ ὕβριν καὶ βιαίων καὶ πρὸς ἐπικλήρους ἀδικήματα. D. 37. 33.

The seriousness of the offence is clear from a passage in Isocrates, which recalls our distinction between civil and criminal actions.

έπειτα τῶν μὲν ἄλλων ἐγκλημάτων αὐτῷ τῷ παθόντι ὁ δράσας ὑπόδικός ἐστιν· περὶ δὲ τῆς ὕβρεως, ὡς κοινοῦ τοῦ πράγματος ὅντος, ἔξεστι τῷ βουλομένῳ τῶν πολιτῶν γραψαμένῳ πρὸς τοὺς θεσμοθέτας εἰσελθεῖν εἰς ὑμᾶς. Isoc. 20. 2.

πρὸς καταδίκην ἔρημον ὕβρεως 'condemned by default for assault'. P. Hib. 1. 32. 8 (iii BC).

7 The abuse of a woman or a child may obviously have sexual connotations, but it is a mistake to regard $"\beta \rho \iota s$ as actually meaning rape, even where this is probably the act intended. That it is a wider term is evident from this passage:

έάν τις ύβρίζη είς τινα, η παίδα η γυναίκα η ἄνδρα, τῶν ἐλευθέρων η τῶν δούλων, η παράνομόν τι ποιήση εἰς τούτων τινὰ γραφέσθω. ἐὰν δὲ ἀργυρίου τιμηθῆ τῆς ὕβρεως, δεδέσθω. Lex ap. D. 21. 47.

Clearly sexual offences are implied by the following:

ἔτι δὲ παίδων ὕβρεις καὶ γυναικῶν αἰσχύνας. Isoc. 4. 114. ταύτην τὴν ὕβριν ἄπαντες ἄνθρωποι δεινοτάτην ἡγοῦνται. Lys. 1. 2.

The crime here was the seduction of the speaker's wife.

καὶ ὅσα αἰσχύνονται οἱ ἀδικηθέντες λέγειν, οἶον γυναικῶν οἰκείων ὕβρεις ἢ εἰς αὐτοὺς ἢ εἰς υἰεῖς. Arist. Rh. 1373°35. ἐπὶ τὰς τῶν γυναικῶν ὕβρεις καὶ παίδων ἀρπαγάς.
Plb. 6. 8. 5.

It is also used to refer to voluntary sexual abuse in the case of prostitution.

τὸν γὰρ τὸ σῶμα τὸ ἐαυτοῦ ἐφ' ὕβρει πεπρακότα. Aeschin. 1. 29; 1. 116; 1. 188.

ύγρός

I The obvious starting-point is composed of liquid, running, watery.

```
ἐπέπλεον ύγρὰ κέλευθα. Il. 1. 312; Od. 3. 71. 
γίγνετο δ' ύγρὸν ὕδωρ καὶ δένδρεον ὑψιπέτηλον. Od. 4. 458.
```

Stock epithets may be obvious, but are surely never otiose; so presumably $\dot{\nu}\gamma\rho\dot{\rho}\nu$ here means something more than 'wet'. Hence I suggest that *running* as opposed to stagnant water is meant. In the following example the other possible sense of $\ddot{a}\lambda s$ justifies the epithet; but in the next two it is more difficult to see its force.

```
βλάστε μὲν ἐξ ἀλὸς ὑγρᾶς

νᾶσος. Pi. O. 7. 69.

ὑγρῷ πελάγει. Pi. P. 4. 40.

συντέμνει δ' ὅρος

ὑγρᾶς θαλάσσης. A. Supp. 259.
```

Here the word is so obviously otiose that I am tempted to understand it as a transferred epithet belonging rather with $\delta\rho\sigma$; and if so, it is also tempting to emend $\delta\gamma\rho\hat{a}s$ to $\delta\gamma\rho\hat{o}s$, for the corruption would be almost inevitable if the conjecture is correct; 'the watery boundary of the sea cuts it short.' Since a $\delta\rho\sigma$ would be expected to be on land, the epithet has immediate point. There is a point in the epithet of $\pi\hat{\omega}\mu a$ in the following passage, since as Dodds remarks it is based on the opposition between the dry and the wet.

```
ös δ' ἦλθ' ἔπειτ', ἀντίπαλον ὁ Σεμέλης γόνος
βότρυος ὑγρὸν πῶμ' ηὖρε κεἰσηνέγκατο
θνητοῖς, ὅ παύει τοὺς ταλαιπώρους βροτοὺς
λύπης. Ε. Βα. 279.
```

Applied to olive oil or pitch, it serves to distinguish the fluid from a solidified form.

```
őς σφωϊν μάλα πολλάκις ύγρὸν ἔλαιον
χαιτάων κατέχευε, λοέσσας ὕδατι λευκῷ.
Il. 23. 281; Od. 6. 79.
```

πίσσαν ὑγράν. Ι Cret. 1. 17. 17. 14 (Lebena, i BC).

Used of liquids in general:

τὰ μὲν ὑπὸ τοῦ ψυχροῦ τε καὶ ὑγροῦ πιεζόμενα 'some parts oppressed by coldness and wetness'. Hdt. 1. 142. 2.

ην δὲ μὴ ἔχωσι ὑγρὸν μηδέν 'if they have no liquid available'. Hdt. 4. 172. 4.

γη ύγρῷ φυραθείσα πηλὸς ἂν εἴη. Pl. Tht. 147c.

όταν ... τὸ ὑγρὸν θερμανθὲν λεπτότερον γένηται.

Hp. Loc. Hom. 9.

 π ερὶ ὑγρῶν χρήσιος. Hp. title.

ώς δ' έξερρύα συχνόν ύγ[ρό]ν.

Schwyzer 109. 4 (Epidaurus, iv BC).

The expression $\epsilon \phi'$ $\delta \gamma \rho \rho \hat{\rho} \hat{s} \zeta \omega \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \hat{v}$, Plu. 2. 759c, has been taken to mean 'paint on a wet surface' with fresco technique, and this view is adopted by LSJ. But since there seems to be no other example of $\delta \gamma \rho \hat{o} \hat{s}$ meaning 'having a wet surface', it is easier to take it as a variant of the idiom $\epsilon \nu \tilde{v} \delta \alpha \tau \iota \gamma \rho \hat{a} \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, Pl. Phdr. 276c, a proverbial expression for transience. The feminine as substantive is a poetic expression for the sea.

πουλύν έφ' ύγρην

ηλυθον ες Τροίην. Il. 10. 27.

οι μ' οισουσιν έπι τραφερήν τε και ύγρήν. ΙΙ. 14. 308; 24. 341.

Its reappearance in Aristophanes must be mock-epic.

πολλά μεν εν γῆ, πολλά δ' εφ' ύγρα πιτυλεύσας. Ατ. V. 678.

2 Abounding in moisture, wet.

μία γενομένη νὺξ ύγρὰ διαφερόντως γης αὐτὴν (sc. τὴν ἀκρόπολιν) ψιλὴν περιτήξασα πεποίηκε. Pl. Criti. 112a.

Neuter as substantive:

τὰ ... ὑγρά τε καὶ λεῖα τῶν σταθμῶν. Χ. Εq. 4. 3.

Neuter as adverb:

οὔτ' ἀνέμων διάη μένος ὑγρὸν ἀέντων. Od. 5. 478; 19. 440. ὄφρ' ἴσχωσ' ἀνέμων μένος ὑγρὸν ἀέντων.

Hes. Op. 625; Th. 869.

Perhaps here belong examples where it is applied to air.

γαῖά τε καὶ πόντος πολυκύμων ἦδ' ὑγρὸς ἀήρ. Emp. 38.3. ἐν δὲ τῷ ἔαρι ὑγρὸς (ὁ ἀήρ), ἐν δὲ τῷ μετοπώρῳ ἤδη ὑγραίνεται. Arist. Mete. $348^{\rm b}28$.

Of timber, unseasoned, green.

ὅτι ἐν τῷ ὑγρῷ ξύλῳ ταῦτα ποιοῦσιν, ἐν τῷ ξηρῷ τί γένηται; Ευ. Luc. 23. 31.

3 Used for liquids.

μέτρα ξηρά τε καὶ ύγρά. Pl. Lg. 746e.

Of animals, living in water, aquatic.

τὸν Νυμφῶν θεράποντα, φιλόμβριον, ὑγρὸν ἀοιδόν ... βάτραχον. ΑΡ 6. 43.

θηρες

ύγροὶ καὶ πεζοί. ΑΡ 9. 18.

τοις ὄρνισι τοις ύγροις. Philostr. Im. 1. 9. 1.

4 In the sense of *unstable* it is applied by grammarians to *sonant* consonants, not restricted to the modern significance of *liquid*.

ἀμετάβολα τέσσαρα· λ μ ν ρ. ... τὰ δὲ αὐτὰ καὶ ὑγρὰ καλεῖται. D. T. 632. 9 (p. 14 U).

δεῖ παρατηρεῖν μὴ τὸ μὲν πρῶτον (σύμφωνον) ἄφωνον ἢ, τὸ δὲ δεύτερον ὑγρόν (the rule of 'mute + liquid'). Heph. 1. 3.

But it is also used of vowels to mean variable in quantity.

τρία δὲ (φωνήεντα) κοινὰ μήκους τε καὶ βραχύτητος, α ι υ, ἄπερ δίχρονα καὶ ὑγρὰ καὶ ἀμφίβολα καὶ μεταβολικὰ καλοῦσιν. S.E. M. 1. 100.

5 Of sounds, uncertain in pitch, wavering, wailing; in the examples only used adverbially.

σαλπιγκτών ... πλήθος, παρὰ μέρος ύγρότατα καὶ πένθιμα μελωδούντων. App. BC 1. 106.

καί ρ' ὁ μὲν οἰνοβαρης ἔρπει πάρος ὑγρὸν ἀείδων, οὐ μάλα νηφάλιον κλάζων μέλος. Opp. H. 2. 412.

The second example is given by LSJ under the heading 'moist with wine, tipsy'; but the drunkenness is indicated twice in the rest of

the context, so it would make better sense if $\nu\gamma\rho\delta\nu$ here referred to the habit of drunks of singing out of tune. The sense alleged by LSJ probably does not exist; see 8 below.

6 Applied to living organisms ύγρός means supple, flexible.

ό δε (αιετός) κνώσσων ύγρον νῶτον αιωρεί. Pi. P. 1. 9.

χορῷ δ' ἔτερ-

πον κέαρ ύγροισι ποσσίν. Β. 17. 108.

δεῖ δὲ τὸν ἱππέα καὶ τὸ ἄνωθεν τῶν ἐαυτοῦ ἰσχίων σῶμα ὡς ὑγρότατον ἐθίζειν εἶναι. Χ. Εq. 7. 7.

καὶ μὴ ἔλκειν πρὸς τὸ γυμνάσιον σκληρὸν ἤδη ὄντα, τῷ δὲ δὴ νεωτέρῳ τε καὶ ὑγροτέρῳ ὄντι προσπαλαίειν. Pl. Tht. 162b.

νεώτατος μὲν δή ἐστι καὶ ἀπαλώτατος, πρὸς δὲ τούτοις ὑγρὸς τὸ είδος. Pl. Smp. 196a.

τραχήλους μακρούς, ύγρούς, περιφερείς. Χ. Cyn. 4. 1; 5. 31. διὰ τὸ ύγρὸς εἶναι καὶ πηδᾶν πόρρω. Arist. HA 580°30.

Of a plant:

πάντα δ' ἀμφὶ δέπας περιπέπταται ὑγρὸς ἄκανθος. Theoc. 1. 55.

Of movement:

ύγροτέραν τε γὰρ ἀναγκαῖον αὐτῶν εἶναι τὴν κίνησιν. Arist. PA $655^{\circ}24$.

Similarly in a transferred sense, of diction.

τραχείαν γὰρ ἔδει καὶ πικρὰν εἶναι (τὴν λέξιν) ... ἡ δ' ἔστιν ύγρὰ καὶ ὁμαλὴ καὶ ὤσπερ ἔλαιον ἀψοφητὶ διὰ τῆς ἀκοῆς ρέουσα. D. H. Dem. 20.

7 Yielding to the touch like a liquid, tender, pliant.

ές δ' ύγρὸν ἀγκῶν' ἔτ' ἔμφρων παρθένω προσπτύσσεται. S. Ant. 1236.

όρᾶς τὸν ὑψοῦ τόνδ' ἄπειρον αἰθέρα καὶ γῆν πέριξ ἔχονθ' ὑγραῖς ἐν ἀγκάλαις; Ε. fr. 941.

χώ μὲν οἰστὸς ἐκρύφθη λέοντος ὑγραῖς χολάσιν. Babr. 1. 10. πεσών δ' ἐφ' ὑγραῖς μητρὸς ἀγκάλαις. Babr. 34. 7. τροφὴν ἀπαλὴν νεοττοῖς ὑγροῖς. Ael. NA 7. 9.

τὸν ἐκ πυρὸς ὑγρὸν ἀείρας Ζεὺς βρέφος ... λόχευσε. Nonn. D. 1. 4.

Transferred to an abstract substantive:

θάλε γὰρ πόθος ὑγρὸς ἐπελθὼν νύμφη ἐϋπλοκάμω Δρύοπος φιλότητι μιγῆναι. h. Pan. 33.

So of looks, melting, languishing:

τὸ δὲ βλέμμα νῦν ἀληθῶς ἀπὸ τοῦ πυρὸς ποίησον, ἄμα γλαυκὸν ὡς Ἀθήνης, ἄμα δ' ὑγρὸν ὡς Κυθήρης. Anacreont. 15. 21. ὑγρὰ δὲ δερκομένοισιν ἐν ὅμμασιν. ΑΡ 7. 27. καὶ τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν δὲ τὸ ὑγρὸν ἄμα τῷ φαιδρῷ καὶ κεχαρισμένῳ. Luc. Im. 6.

The adverb is so used in Philostr. Ep. 33.

8 Free from tension, slack, loose.

κἀπιθεὶς ὑγρὰν χέρα φωνὴν μὲν οὐκ ἀφῆκεν, ὀμμάτων δ' ἄπο προσεῖπε δακρύοις. Ε. Ph. 1439.

τὰ γόνατ' ἔκτεινε καὶ γυμναστικῶς ὑγρὸν χύτλασον σεαυτὸν ἐν τοῖς στρώμασιν. Ατ. V. 1213.

The exact meaning of $\chi \dot{\nu} \tau \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \nu$ is unclear; perhaps the idea is 'pour yourself out slack in the blankets.'

εἰκάζοις ἂν καὶ ἱππεύοντα ύγρὰ ἔξειν τὰ σκέλη. Χ. Εq. 1. 6. αἴρει δὲ ἄνω τὰ σκέλη ὀργιζόμενος, οὐ μέντοι ύγρά γε. Χ. Εq. 10. 15.

καὶ τὸ σύμπαν σῶμα ὑγρὸν κείμενον. Hp. Prog. 3.

Of a bow:

αὐτὰρ ἐγὼ κέρας ὑγρὸν ἐλών. Theoc. 25. 206. τῶν δὲ πελαγίων (ἰχθύων αἱ σάρκες) ὑγραί εἰσι καὶ κεχυμέναι. Arist. HA 598 $^{\rm a}$ 9; 603 $^{\rm b}$ 32.

ύγρὸς σφυγμός ἐστιν ὁ ἁπαλὸς οὔσης καὶ τῆς ἐν αὐτῷ οὐσίας προσηνοῦς τῆ ἀφῆ ὑγρασίαν καί τινα προσβάλλων.

Gal. 19. 405.

I should be inclined to place in this section a well-known fragment of Heraclitus:

ανήρ δκόταν μεθυσθή, αγεται ύπο παιδος ανήβου σφαλλόμενος, οὐκ ἐπαΐων ὅκη βαίνει, ὑγρὴν τὴν ψυχὴν ἔχων. Heracl. 117.

There is no reason to assume that $\delta \gamma \rho \delta s$ here means 'tipsy'; the slackness of the mental faculties is the result of drink. The famous commendation of the 'driest soul' (fr. 118) no doubt has the same explanation. The same is true of the following passage.

τὴν διάνοιαν ὑγρὰν ὑπὸ τῆς μέθης καὶ ἀκροσφαλῆ γεγενημένον. Plu. 2. 713a.

There is thus no reason to invent a special sense 'tipsy'; for the Oppian passage see 5 above. The word is sometimes used in medical language of the $\kappa o \iota \lambda i a$, a regular term for the bowels, so it is difficult to distinguish here between slackness and a watery discharge.

όκόσοισι νέοισιν ἐοῦσιν αἱ κοιλίαι ὑγραί εἰσι, τούτοισιν ἀπογηράσκουσι ξηραίνονται. Ηρ. Aph. 2. 20.

τὴν μέντοι χροίαν ἔχει (ὁ πελλὸς ἐρωδιὸς) φαύλην καὶ τὴν κοιλίαν ἀεὶ ὑγράν. Arist. ΗΑ 617°1.

9 Easily influenced, compliant.

 $\epsilon \pi \alpha \imath \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ δ ϵ το $\epsilon \kappa \hat{\imath}$ Κίμωνος $\epsilon \kappa \hat{\imath}$ μελές καὶ ύγρον 'tact and complaisance'. Plu. Per. 5. 3.

παρὰ τὴν αὐτοῦ φύσιν ὑγρός τις εἶναι βουλόμενος καὶ δημοτικός. Plu. Mar. 28. 1.

With a construction, prone (to).

λεγόμενος μὲν ὑγρότατος ἐς ταῦτα ἀεὶ φῦναι. App. BC 5. 8. π ρὸς δὲ τοὺς συνήθεις ὑγρότερον τῷ γελοίῳ καὶ φιλοσκώ π την 'in dealing with familiar friends more given to laughter and fond of jokes'. Plu. Brut. 29. 1.

ό κόλαξ ... ύγρὸς ὢν μεταβάλλεσθαι. Plu. 2. 51c.

ΰλη 303

10 Given to pleasure, luxurious, soft. This definition is in Hesychius (ὁ εὐκαταφερής εἰς ἡδονάς).

πείραν ἐπεθύμουν θατέρου βίου λαβείν, ὂν πάντες εἰώθασιν ὀνομάζειν ὑγρόν. Alex. 206 K.-A. τὸ δ' οὖν εὐδάπανον καὶ ὑγρὸν πρὸς τὴν δίαιταν. Plu. Sol. 3. 1.

τὸν δ' ὑγρὸν τοῦτον (ἔρωτα) καὶ οἰκουρὸν ἐν κόλποις διατρίβοντα καὶ κλινιδίοις γυναικῶν. Plu. 2. 751a.

ΰλη

- There are several problems connected with this word, not least the absence of any satisfactory etymology; but there can be no doubt that the earliest examples all refer to wood. This may be growing vegetation or cut for use, but in either case it is generally distinguished from $\xi \dot{\nu} \lambda o \nu$, which is always wood from grown trees or timber (cf. however 6 below). This makes it clear that $\ddot{\nu} \lambda \eta$ is not in origin a general word for stands of trees or woods, though it later may have acquired that sense. Its primary use must have been to describe the dense, low vegetation which covers much of the mountainsides of Greece. This is technically known to botanists as garrigue. I have added a certain number of examples, marked with an asterisk, to LSJ's collection, and omitted a few which it cites.
- 2 The original use seems to have been as a collective noun, to refer to growing plants rather than the area they occupy, low, bushy vegetation, scrub, brush.

βάλλειν ἄγρια πάντα, τά τε τρέφει οὔρεσιν ὕλη. *Il. 5. 52. φύλλα τὰ μέν τ' ἄνεμος χαμάδις χέει, ἄλλα δέ θ' ὕλη τηλεθόωσα φύει. *Il. 6. 147.

ώς δ' ὅτε πῦρ ἀΐδηλον ἐν ἀξύλῳ ἐμπέση ὕλη. Il. 11. 155; *20. 491; *Hes.Th. 694.

δοχμώ τ' ἀΐσσοντε (σύε) περὶ σφίσιν ἄγνυτον ὕλην πρύμνην ἐκτάμνοντες. ΙΙ. 12. 148.

τω (sc. Hera and Hypnos) δ' ἐπὶ χέρσου βήτην, ἀκροτάτη δὲ ποδῶν ὕπο σείετο ὕλη. ΙΙ. 14. 285. ἔστι μὲν ὕλη παντοίη, ἐν δ' ἀρδμοὶ ἐπηετανοὶ παρέασι. *Od. 13. 246; 13. 351.

οὐ μὲν γάρ τι φύγεσκε βαθείης βένθεσιν ὕλης κνώδαλον. Od. 17. 316.

τῆμος ἀδηκτοτάτη πέλεται τμηθεῖσα σιδήρω ὕλη, φύλλα δ' ἔραζε χέει, πτόρθοιό τε λήγει. *Hes. Op. 421.

μέμυκε δὲ γαῖα καὶ ὕλη (sc. in a wind). *Hes. Op. 508; 511.

ἔθνεα ... ἀπ' ὕλης ἀγρίης ζώοντα. Hdt. 1. 203. 1.

γην νεμόμενοι πάσαν δασέαν ύλη παντοίη. Hdt. 4. 21.

φοιτᾶ γὰρ ὑπ' ἀγρίαν ὕλαν. *S. ΟΤ 477; *ΟC 349.

πασαν αικίζων φόβην

ύλης πεδιάδος. *S. Ant. 420.

θηρᾶν καθ' ὕλην. *Ε. Βα. 688.

ύλης ἐν βαθυξύλω φόβη. *Ε. Βα. 1138.

χλωρὰν δ' ἀν' ΰλην. *Ε. Η<math>ipp. 17.

εί δέ τι καὶ ἄλλο ἐνῆν ὕλης ἢ καλάμου, ἄπαντα ἦσαν εὐωδῆ ὅσπερ ἀρώματα. Χ. Απ. 1. 5. 1.

εἰ μέλλει ἀγαθὴ ἡ νεὸς ἔσεσθαι, ὕλης τε καθαρὰν αὐτὴν δεῖ εἶναι καὶ ὀπτὴν ... πρὸς τὸν ἥλιον. Χ. Oec. 16. 13.

καὶ ὕλη δὲ πολλάκις ὑπὸ τῶν ὑδάτων δήπου συνεξορμᾳ τῷ σίτῳ. Χ. Oec. 17. 12.

Distinguished from trees (cf. the end of 4):

καρποὺς δὲ ἀφθόνους εἶχον ἀπό τε δένδρων καὶ πολλῆς ὕλης ἄλλης. *Pl. Plt. 272a.

3 The plural is rare in early Greek:

ηιξ' ηυτε μαινάς όρος κάτα δάσκιον ύλης. h. Cer. 386.

It is perhaps not impossible that $\tilde{\nu}\lambda\eta$ should be read here; and in the following example we may doubt the accuracy of the citation.

οὔρεα ὑψηλὰ καὶ δασέα ὕλησιν. Hecat. 291 J.

More frequent in later Greek:

Βοιωτίδες δλαι. Mosch. 3. 88.

τόπος ὀρεινὸς καὶ τραχύς, συνηγμένος ταῖς ὕλαις.

Plb. 5. 7. 10.

έγκαθημένην ὕλαις

ἀηδόν' ὀξύφωνον. Babr. 12. 2.

αί δλαι τοσαύτην έχουσι βάλανον ώστε ... Str. 5. 1. 12.

έν ὕλαις καὶ νάπαις. D. H. Th. 6.

δασείαν ύλαις όδόν. Plu. Pyrrh. 25. 3.

ή γη δὲ ἄγριόν τι χρημα καὶ ἄμορφον, ὕλαις ἄπασα καὶ ταύταις ἀνημέροις λάσιος. Luc. Prom. 12.

ύπὸ ταις ἄγαν παλινσκίοις ὕλαις. Luc. Am. 12.

καὶ νοερῷ σείοντο τινάγματι θυιάδες δλαι.

Nonn. D. 3. 69; 3. 252; 16. 91.

4 The result of cutting such material is to produce quantities of twigs, brushwood. So Odysseus building his raft surrounds it with willow bulwarks and backs this with brushwood.

φράξε δέ μιν ρίπεσσι διαμπερες οἰσυΐνησι κύματος εἶλαρ ἔμεν· πολλὴν δ' ἐπεχεύατο ὕλην. Od. 5. 257.

In building the bridge of boats:

ποιήσαντες δὲ ταῦτα ὕλην ἐπεφόρησαν, κόσμω δὲ θέντες καὶ τὴν ὕλην γῆν ἐπεφόρησαν. Hdt. 7. 36. 5.

To reinforce a palisade:

έφοροῦν δὲ ὕλην ἐς αὐτὸ (sc. τὸ χῶμα) καὶ λίθους καὶ γῆν. Th. 2. 75. 2.

Distinguished from trees:

κόπτοντες τὰ δένδρα καὶ ὕλην. Τh. 4. 69. 2.

Of birds concealing their eggs by screening them with twigs:

έπηλυγαζόμενα ύλην. Arist. HA 559°2.

5 It has remained down to modern times the custom in Greece to cut brushwood from the hillsides for use as *fuel*. This may include branches from large trees.

τοὶ δ' ὁπλίζοντο μάλ' ὧκα, ἀμφότερον, νέκυάς τ' ἀγέμεν, ἔτεροι δὲ μεθ' ὕλην. Il. 7. 418; 23. 50; 23. 111.

For cooking:

φέρε δ' ὄβριμον ἄχθος ὕλης ἀζαλέης, ἵνα οἱ ποτιδόρπιον εἵη. Od. 9. 234.

For other purposes:

ως οὕτ' ἄναυδος οὕτε σοι δαίων φλόγα
ὕλης ὀρείας σημανεῖ καπνῷ πυρός. *A. Ag. 497.
ἐς πύργον ... καταφυγόντας ἰδιωτικὸν ὕλην περινήσας ...
ἐνέπρησε. Hdt. 4. 164. 2; 6. 80.
πολλὴν μὲν ὕλην τῆς βαθυρρίζου δρυὸς
κείραντα, πολλὸν δ' ἀρσέν' ἐκτεμόνθ' ὁμοῦ
ἄγριον ἔλαιον. *S. Tr. 1195.

The use of $\kappa \epsilon i \rho \omega$ here indicates that this is cropping the foliage, not cutting down the oak.

ὕλην παρατιθέντας αὔην καὶ ξηρὰν ἄφθονον. *Pl. Lg. 761c; *849d. ἰδοὺ ἡλίκον πῦρ ἡλίκην ὕλην ἀνάπτει. Ερ. Jac. 3. 5.

6 From the classical period $\mathring{v}\lambda\eta$ is also used to mean cut wood suitable for building, timber.

Ίδαίαν ὅτε πρώτον ὕλαν
Αλέξανδρος εἰλατίναν
ἐτάμεθ'. *Ε. Ηεc. 631.
ὅτ' οὖν δὴ τὰ νῦν οἶα τέκτοσιν ἡμῖν ὕλη παράκειται.
*Pl. Τί. 69α.
ναυπηγησίμης ὕλης ὁ τόπος τῆς χώρας πῶς ἔχει;
Pl. Lg. 705c.

7 Especially in later Greek this developed to denote *material* employed for any purpose or of which anything was made.

πρὸς ποῖα τῆς ὕλης ἐκάστη χρησίμη. Thphr. HP 5. 7. 1.

φάρμακά τε καὶ πάντα ὄργανα καὶ πᾶσαν ὕλην παρατίθεσθαι πᾶσιν. *Pl. Phlb. 54c.

περὶ ΰλης ἰατρικής. Diosc. Title.

βασιλικαίς ὕλαις καὶ παρασκευαίς περιουσιάζοντα τὸν ἀθλητήν. Ph. 1. 640 (= de Somniis 1. 126).

πληγαίς ύπακούουσαν ύλην ἄψυχον δημιουργοῦντες.

Plu. 2. 802b.

εἰς σύνοψιν ἀγαγεῖν ἠβουλήθην ἄπασαν τὴν πραγματείαν, ὡς μηδεμίαν ὕλην λαθεῖν. Gal. 6. 77; 6. 157.

τὴν ... τῆς ὕλης τῶν ἐμπυημάτων οὐσίαν. Gal. 18(2). 256.

πάσης δὲ ὕλης τμητικώτατός ὁ σίδηρος. Sor. 2. 11 (= 58, 14 I). ἐρεοῦς ... διὰ τὸ τῆς ὕλης προσηνές. Sor. 2. 14 (= 61, 14 I).

8 As an abstraction from this we find the philosophical use of $\ddot{\nu}\lambda\eta$ to mean *matter*.

ἔστι δὲ ὕλη μάλιστα μὲν καὶ κυρίως τὸ ὑποκείμενον γενέσεως καὶ φθορᾶς δεκτικόν. Arist. GC 320°2.
τὸ δ' ἐξ οῦ γίγνεται ἣν λέγομεν ὕλην.
Arist. Metaph. 1032°17.

9 Finally the subject-matter of a book, discussion, etc.

εὶ κατὰ τὴν ὑποκειμένην ὕλην διασαφηθείη (ἡ μέθοδος).

Arist. EN 1094 $^{\rm b}$ 12.

πᾶσαν δὴ τὴν τραγικὴν καὶ ταύτη προσεοικυῖαν ὕλην ... ὑπερθησόμεθα. Plb. 2. 16. 14.

εἰς ποιητικὰς ὕλας. Longin. 13. 4; 43. 1.

ύγρᾳ τινι παντάπασι καὶ εὐπλαδεῖ ὕλη. Iamb. Comm. Math. 4.

ύπομονή

I On reading this article in LSJ I was struck by two things. I observed that nowhere is the English word patience proposed as a translation; yet this is its normal significance in modern Greek, and most of the semantic developments of the present day are, if not exemplified, at least foreshadowed in late antiquity. Secondly, there is no reference to the New Testament, which is strange for a word which plays such a prominent part in Christian writings. It therefore appeared to be worth further investigation, especially as it has been variously translated where it occurs in the New Testament. I fully expect to be told I have misinterpreted some of the passages I have quoted, but a fresh approach seems to be needed here. Where $\hat{\upsilon}no\mu o\nu\hat{\eta}$ occurs in a list of virtues, it is hard to be sure what precise meaning is intended, but I feel obliged to question whether steadfastness is always the meaning.

2 LSJ begins rightly with the sense derived from the verb ὑπομένω, the act of remaining behind, stay.

έναντία ύπομονή ἀκολούθησις. Arist. Rh. 1410⁴4. Πελοποννησίων ύπομονής έν Ίταλία. D. H. 1. 44. 2.

But from this we can see a development to mean stay on earth, survival.

της δ' ύπομονης (sc. of a damaged tree) αἴτιον ή ύγρότης καὶ ή φύσει μανότης. Thphr. CP 5. 16. 3.

ώς σκιὰ αἱ ἡμέραι ἡμῶν ἐπὶ γῆς, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ὑπομονή. LXX 1 Ch. 29. 15.

3 There appears to be another sense which might be predicted from the verb, where it means the act of waiting for, expectation.

ύπομονητικοὶ πρὸς καιροῦ τὴν ὑπομονήν 'patient in waiting for the opportune moment'. Hp. Decent. 9. 228, 17.

Once recognised it offers a likely interpretation of other passages.

οἴτινες ἐν καρδία καλη καὶ ἀγαθη ἀκούσαντες τὸν λόγον κατέχουσι καὶ καρποφοροῦσιν ἐν ὑπομον $\hat{\eta}$ 'bring forth fruit by waiting patiently for it'. Ev. Luc. 8. 15.

ό δὲ κύριος κατευθύναι ὑμῶν τὰς καρδίας εἰς τὴν ἀγάπην τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ εἰς ὑπομονὴν Χριστοῦ 'towards the love of God and the expectation of Christ's coming'. 2 Ep. Thess. 3. 5.

Other interpretations have been given of these passages; for instance in the last the *New English Bible* has 'the steadfastness of Christ', presumably meaning 'as displayed by Christ'; but the expectation of the Second Coming is a constant theme of the New Testament.

4 More often the word is found with a genitive to mean endurance or tolerance of something unpleasant.

οί έκούσιοι πόνοι τὴν τῶν ἀκουσίων ὑπομονὴν ἐλαφροτέρην παρασκευάζουσι. Democr. 240.

καρτερία ὑπομονὴ λύπης ἔνεκα τοῦ καλοῦ· ὑπομονὴ πόνων ἔνεκα τοῦ καλοῦ. [Pl.] Def. 412c.

 $\dot{\eta}$ μ $\dot{\eta}$ ὑπομον $\dot{\eta}$ ἀτιμαζομένων. Arist. A. Po. 97^b24.

ή δὲ ἀπόνοιά ἐστιν ὑπομονὴ αἰσχρῶν ἔργων καὶ λόγων. Thphr. Char. 6.1. ύπομονή 309

LSJ gives this a separate section 'enduring to do', but this simply results from the genitives which follow it, 'tolerance of [doing] disgraceful deeds and [speaking] disgraceful words'.

```
της ύπομονης τοῦ πολέμου. Plb. 4. 51. 1. 
ἐν ὑπομονης τῶν αὐτῶν παθημάτων. 2 Εp. Cor. 1. 6. 
οὕτε γὰρ φυγὴ θανάτου μεμπτόν ... οὕτε ὑπομονὴ καλόν. 
Plu. Pel. 1. 4.
```

είς εὐχερη της ἀποτέξεως ὑπομονήν. Sor. 1. 46. 1.

Predicated of a thing, ability to resist:

τὴν τῆς μαχαίρας ὑπομονὴν τῶν πληγῶν 'the sword's tolerance of the blows (inflicted on it)'. Plb. 15. 15. 8.

5 It is easy to see how from this sense there could be a development where there is no genitive, but suffering is understood. We can define this as willingness to endure or calm toleration of adversity, patience, fortitude.

```
ἀπὸ ἀνανδρείας γὰρ ἢ δειλίας ἡ ὑπομονὴ καὶ τὸ μὴ ἀμύνεσθαι.
Αrist. Rh. 1384°21.
διὰ τῆσδε τῆς κακοπαθείας καὶ ὑπομονῆς τὰ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἆθλα οἴσομεν. LXX 4 Ma. 9. 8.
ἐν τῆ ὑπομονῆ ὑμῶν κτήσασθε (v. l. κτήσεσθε) τὰς վνιγὰς ὑμῶ
```

έν τῆ ὑπομονῆ ὑμῶν κτήσασθε (v. l. κτήσεσθε) τὰς ψυχὰς ὑμῶ v. Ev. Luc. 21. 19.

The meaning of this last sentence is far from self-evident. The words come at the end of a prophecy of disasters, followed by a promise that 'not a hair of your head shall be lost'. This might support the view that here too we have a promise rather than an injunction, and the future is the reading favoured by most modern translators and commentators. The difficulty lies in the second rather than the first part of the sentence, and if we can be sure of that the meaning of $\mathring{v}\pi o\mu o\nu \mathring{\eta}$ here may become clearer. It is questionable whether $\kappa \tau \mathring{\omega} \mu a\iota$ can ever mean 'possess', a meaning normally restricted to the perfect. However, LSJ quotes for this:

ἀποδεκατῶ πάντα ὅσα κτῶμαι. Ευ. Luc. 18. 12.

But this surely means 'I pay a tenth of my income', not 'of my property'. Thus we must doubt the translation 'possess' here, familiar though it is from the Authorised Version. So what does $\tau \dot{\alpha}s \psi \nu \chi \dot{\alpha}s \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ mean? The experts are inclined to give this an

eschatological interpretation 'immortal life'; e.g. 'by standing firm you will win true life for yourselves' (New English Bible). If correct, this appears to be a new sense of $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ which needs to be added to my note on this word, and to LSJ. I should therefore prefer to give it the sense discussed in section 10 of my note on this word, 'strength of character'. If so, there appears to be no reason why $\dot{v}\pi o\mu ov\dot{\eta}$ should not mean here 'endurance of adversity', 'patience'; $\dot{\epsilon}v$ as frequently in the New Testament will mean 'by means of'.

εἰδότες ὅτι ἡ θλῦψις ὑπομονὴν κατεργάζεται. Ep. Rom. 5. 3. εἰ δὲ ὁ οὐ βλέπομεν ἐλπίζομεν, δι' ὑπομονῆς ἀπεκδεχόμεθα 'but if our hoping is for what we do not see, we wait for it patiently'. Ep. Rom. 8. 25.

ἐν ὑπομονῆ πολλῆ, ἐν θλίψεσι, ἐν ἀνάγκαις... 2 Ερ. Cor. 6. 4.

έν πάση δυνάμει δυναμούμενοι ... εἰς πᾶσαν ὑπομονὴν καὶ μακροθυμίαν. Ερ. Col. 1. 11.

ύπομονής γὰρ ἔχετε χρείαν ἵνα τὸ θέλημα τοῦ θεοῦ ποιήσαντες κομίσθησθε τὴν ἐπαγγελίαν. Ερ. Heb. 10. 36.

την ύπομονην Ιωβ ηκούσατε. Ερ. Jac. 5. 11.

ὅτι ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου 'you have observed my command to be patient.' Αρος. 3. 10.

ῶδέ ἐστιν ἡ ὑπομονὴ καὶ ἡ πίστις τῶν άγίων.

Apoc. 13. 10; 14. 12.

6 In the Septuagint the word seems to be extended to mean that which gives strength to endure, support.

ή ύπομονὴ τῶν πενήτων οὐκ ἀπολεῖται εἰς τὸν αἰῶνα. LXX Ps. q. 10.

πλην τῷ $\theta \in \hat{\varphi}$ ὑποτάγη θ ι ἡ ψυχή μου, ὅτι παρ' αὐτοῦ ἡ ὑπομονή μου. LXX Ps. 61. 5.

καὶ ὑπομονὴν ἀνθρώπου ἀπώλεσας. LXX Jb. 14. 19.

ύπομονη Ίσαρηλ Κύριε, καὶ σώζεις ἐν καιρῷ κακῶν.

LXX Je. 14. 8; 17. 13.

7 LSJ alleges a sense of *obstinacy* on the basis of a single reference from Demetrius Lacon in a Herculaneum papyrus. The passage in question runs

ψυχή 311

τὸ μὲν] βλεπόμενον ὥς εἰσίν τινες ὑπομενετικαὶ διδασκαλίαι πολλῆς εὐοδίας ἡμᾶς κατὰ τὴν ἀνάγνωσιν πληρώσει, βλέποντας ὡς οὐχ ὁμολογεῖται τὰ ἄτοπα, δι' ὑπομονῆς δὲ τῆς τούτων ἐλέγχει τοὺς κα[τ]ὰ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον διακαμό[ν]τας.

Demetr. Lac. Herc. 1012. 69.

The Italian translation given by E. Puglia (Aporie testuali ed esegetiche in Epicuro, Naples, 1988) can be rendered into English thus: 'The fact of seeing that there are some teachings (of Epicurus) which firmly resist will fill us with great happiness while reading, because we see that the absurdities are not confirmed, and also by means of the firm resistance of these teachings, a fact which refutes those who expatiate at length in this direction.' This should be sufficient to disprove the existence of the alleged sense of obstinacy; it would appear that $i \pi \sigma \mu \rho \nu \gamma \gamma$ here has its usual sense of endurance, though here applied to something as abstract as a philosophical doctrine.

ψυχή

This is of course a word with very high frequency of usage at all periods, but it may be doubted whether this justifies the length of the article on it in LSJ, which runs to more than two columns. Contrast this with the partial synonym $\theta v \mu \delta s$, which is less than one column. The length of a dictionary entry should depend upon the diversity of usage, and since this is generally proportionate to its frequency, some correlation is to be expected. The existing article is not only too long, but goes into philosophical distinctions which are, in my opinion, the matter of philosophy, and no direct concern of the lexicographer. The concept of the ψυχή has of course been discussed in countless books and articles, and those who wish to study the subject will not think of turning to an article in a lexicon for enlightenment. The lexicographer's task is to present an overview of the different ways in which the word is used, so as to give an idea of the range of meanings which it was used to convey. For instance, the concept of the immortal soul does not appear to be a meaning conveyed by the word alone; thus it should not appear as one of the definitions. What is significant is that the soul was conceived as continuing to exist after separation from the mortal body; and this is an idea already well represented in Homer. It hardly needs to be added that all languages offer a subjective view of the world around us, as it appears to its speakers; so there is no need to become involved in arguments about the real existence of the soul.

2 The etymology of $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ indicates that it was originally a word for breath, but this is not in fact how it was used. The association of breathing with the living body had already led to a development in its meaning by the time of our earliest texts. It might therefore be defined as the entity which animates the living body and distinguishes it from a dead one. As I have said, it is unnecessary to speculate on the nature of such an entity; it was sufficient to inspect living and lifeless forms to infer that the living ones contained something which was absent from the dead or lifeless. We might therefore begin with a definition: animating principle, lifeforce.

```
τοῦ δ' αὖθι λύθη ψυχή τε μένος τε. II. 5. 196.
τοὺς μὲν Τυδεἴδης δουρικλειτὸς Διομήδης
θυμοῦ καὶ ψυχῆς κεκαδὼν κλυτὰ τεύχε' ἀπηύρα.

II. 11. 334; Od. 21. 154.
ψυχὴ δὲ κατ' οὐταμένην ἀτειλὴν
ἔσσυτ' ἐπειγομένη. II. 14. 518.
αὐτὰρ ἐπὴν δὴ τόν γε λίπη ψυχή τε καὶ αἴων.

II. 16. 453; Od. 9. 523.
τοῖο δ' ἄμα ψυχήν τε καὶ ἔγχεος ἐξέρυσ' αἰχμήν. II. 16. 505.
λίσσομ' ὑπὲρ ψυχῆς καὶ γούνων σῶν τε τοκήων. II. 22. 338.
```

This might be taken as the developed sense of *life* discussed in 4 below, but the fact that it is coupled with knees suggests that it is still thought of as a part, even if invisible, of the living body. It is used of animals as well as human beings.

```
τὸν (sc. δν) ἔλιπε ψυχή. Od. 14. 426. 
ἀμφὶ δὲ κάπροι
δοιοί, ἀπουράμενοι ψυχάς. Hes. Sc. 173.
```

This simplistic concept continues to be found in poetry, but in prose it usually develops to the more abstract idea of life. Significantly the early idea recurs in the Septuagint.

```
ψυχὰν ἀποπνέοντα. Simon. 52 (48 P).
```

ψυχή 313

ἀγχομένοις δὲ βρόχος ψυχὰς ἀπέπνευσεν μελέων ἀφάτων (of snakes). Pi. N. 1. 47; O. 8. 39.

In both these examples the original idea of breath might be present; but it seems more likely that the connexion with $\psi i \chi \omega$ had by this date been lost.

βλάβην ... τοὖμὸν ἐκπίνουσ' ἀεὶ ψυχῆς ἄκρατον αἷμα. S. El. 786; cf. καὶ τὰς πλευρὰς δαρδάπτουσιν καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν ἐκπίνουσιν. Ar. Nu. 712.

άλλ' έλευθέρως

ψυχὴν ἀφήσω. Ε. Οr. 1171.

πρὸς δὲ καὶ ψυχὴν σέθεν

ἔκτεινε. Ε. Tr. 1214.

One can hardly kill a person's life; something more concrete must be intended here. In prose:

τὰ ἄλλα ζῷα ὅσα ψυχὴν ἔχει. Anaxag. 4; Democr. 278. ἡ ψυχὴ, ἔως μὲν ἂν ἐν θνητῷ σώματι ἢ, ζῆ. X. Cyr. 8. 7. 19. παντὶ τῷ ἐρπετῷ ἔρποντι ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, ὅ ἔχει ἐν ἑαυτῷ ψυχὴν ζωῆς. LXX Ge. 1. 30.

3 In some cases the loss of the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ may be temporary, leading to unconsciousness, but not death. The basic sense here is unchanged, but the context shows the distinction.

τὸν δὲ λίπε ψυχή, κατὰ δ' ὀφθαλμῶν κέχυτ' ἀχλύς·
αὖτις δ' ἐμπνύνθη, περὶ δὲ πνοιὴ Βορέαο
ζώγρει ἐπιπνείουσα κακῶς κεκαφηότα θυμόν. Il. 5. 696.
τὴν δὲ (Ἀνδρομάχην) κατ' ὀφθαλμῶν ἐρεβεννὴ νὺξ ἐκάλυψεν,
ἤριπε δ' ἐξοπίσω, ἀπὸ δὲ ψυχὴν ἐκάπυσσε. Il. 22. 467.

This sense appears not to have survived into later Greek.

4 The $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ was believed to continue in existence after the death of the body, being carried to the realm of Hades, but in some circumstances capable of re-appearing on earth. In this sense it may be translated *spirit*, *ghost*.

πολλάς ἰφθίμους ψυχάς Άϊδι προΐαψεν. ΙΙ. 1. 3.

ψυχαὶ δ' Άϊδόσδε κατῆλθον. ΙΙ. 7. 330.

ῶ πόποι, ἡ ῥά τίς ἐστι καὶ εἰν Ἀίδαο δόμοισι ψυχὴ καὶ εἴδωλον, ἀτὰρ φρένες οὐ ἔνι πάμπαν. ΙΙ. 23. 104.

 $\hat{\eta}$ λθε δ' ἐπὶ ψυχ $\hat{\eta}$ Πατροκλήσς δειλοίο. II. 23. 65; Od. 11. 387.

κέλεται γὰρ έὰν ψυχὰν κομίξαι

Φρίξος. Pi. P. 4. 159; N. 8. 44.

σὺν Άγαμεμνονία

 $\psi v \chi \hat{q}$. Pi. P. 11. 21.

αἰθὲρ μὲμ φσυχὰς ὑπεδέχσατο. IG 12. 945.

πέμψατ' ἔνερθεν ψυχὴν είς φῶς. Α. Pers. 630.

εἴτ' ἄρα ἐν Ἅιδου εἰσὶν αἱ ψυχαὶ τελευτησάντων τῶν ἀνθρώπων εἴτε καὶ οὔ. Pl. Phd. 70c; Lg. 927b.

δὶς ἀποθανουμένη ψυχή (addressed to a ghost about to be speared). Anon. ap. Plu. 2. 236d.

The disembodied spirit might be regarded as immortal and able to inhabit other bodies, but the sense of the word remains unchanged.

ές τὸν ὕπερθεν ἄλιον κείνων ἐνάτῳ ἔτει ἀνδιδοî (Φερσεφόνα) πάλιν. Pi. fr. 133 (137T).

τόνδε τὸν λόγον Αἰγύπτιοί εἰσι οἱ εἰπόντες, ὡς ἀνθρώπου ψυχὴ ἀθάνατός ἐστι. Hdt. 2. 123. 2.

οὐκ ἤσθησαι ... ὅτι ἀθάνατος ἡμῶν ἡ ψυχὴ καὶ οὐδέποτε ἀπόλλυται; Pl. R. 608d; Men. 81b.

*ἔνθα καὶ εἰς θηρίου βίον ἀνθρωπιν*ὴ ψυχὴ ἀφικνεῖται.

Pl. Phdr. 249b.

ώσπερ ἐνδεχόμενον κατὰ τοὺς Πυθαγορικοὺς μύθους τὴν τυχοῦσαν ψυχὴν εἰς τὸ τυχὸν ἐνδύεσθαι σῶμα.

Arist. de. An. 407b22.

5 It is a short step from the simple sense (2 above) to the more abstract concept of $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ as meaning a person's continued existence, life. This is something that can be taken away, fought for, put at risk, granted to suppliants, and so forth.

οἷά τε ληϊστῆρες ὑπεὶρ ἄλα τοί τ' ἀλόωνται ψυχὰς παρθέμενοι. Od. 3. 74.

φροντίδες ανθρώπων ...

μυρόμεναι ψυχής είνεκα καὶ βιότου. Thgn. 730.

ψυχὰς ἔχοντες κυμάτων ἐν ἀγκάλαις. Archil. 23 (= 213 W).

ψυχέων μηκέτι φειδόμενοι. Tyrt. 10. 14; Sol. 13. 46.

λίσσεσθαι, χρήματα μέν σφι προϊέντα, ψυχὴν δὲ παραιτεόμενον.

Hdt. 1. 24. 2.

οι σ' αντι παίδων τωνδε και ψυχής, πάτερ, ίκετεύομεν. S. OC 1326.

έκείνον ... σωτηρίας αν τής ψυχής αποστερήσαι.

Th. 1. 136. 4.

έγὼ μὲν ... κἂν τῆς ψυχῆς πριαίμην ὥστε μήποτε λατρεῦσαι ταύτην. 'I would pay with my life to prevent her from being a servant.' X. Cyr. 3. 1. 36.

την ψυχην η την οὐσίαν η την ἐπιτιμίαν ἀφελόμενος.

Aeschin. 2. 88; Antipho 4. 1. 6; 4. 1. 7.

ἄχρις ή ψυχή

αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ χειλέων μοῦνον ἡ κακὴ λειφθή. Herod. 3. 3.

ή ψυχή μου ἐν ταῖς χερσί σου διάπαντος.

LXX Ps. 118. 109; 1 Ki. 19. 5; 1 Ki. 28. 21.

An example of this idiom in Xenarch. 4. 20 is unlikely to be genuine.

ζητοῦσι τὴν ψυχήν μου λαβεῖν αὐτήν. LXX 3 Ki. 19. 10.

οί ζητοῦντες τὴν ψυχὴν τοῦ παιδίου. Ευ. Matt. 2. 20.

τί γὰρ ἀφελεῖ ἄνθρωπον κερδήσαι τὸν κόσμον ὅλον καὶ ζημιωθήναι τὴν ψυχὴν αὐτοῦ; Ευ. Marc. 8. 36.

The phrase $\pi \epsilon \rho i \psi \nu \chi \hat{\eta} s$ accordingly means for one's life, at risk of death.

άλλὰ περὶ ψυχῆς θέον Έκτορος ἱπποδάμοιο.

Il. 22. 161; Od. 9. 423; 22. 245.

τρέχων περὶ τῆς ψυχῆς. Hdt. 9. 37. 2.

λόγων γὰρ οὐ

νῦν ἐστιν άγὼν, ἀλλὰ σῆς ψυχῆς πέρι.

S. El. 1492; E. Ph. 1330; Or. 847.

περί της ψυχης δι' έκείνους κινδυνεύοντι.

Th. 8. 50. 5; Antipho 2. 1. 4.

τὸν περὶ ψυ-

χη̂ς δρόμον δραμειν. Ar. V. 375.

But in the following example it means 'whether he is alive or not'.

τοὺς δὲ Μενέλεω ποθῶ

λόγους ἀκοῦσαι τίνας ἐρεῖ ψυχῆς πέρι. Ε. Hel. 946.

316 ψυχή

6 In one example it appears to mean the taking of life.

ος βούλοιτο ποινην της Αισώπου ψυχης ανελέσθαι. Hdt. 2. 134. 4.

7 As a periphrasis for a *living creature or person*, especially in counting.

Έλένα, μία τὰς πολλὰς, τὰς πάνυ πολλὰς ψυχὰς ὀλέσασ' ὑπὸ Τροία. Α. Αg. 1457; Ar. Th. 864. πρὶν φόνον φόνῳ ὁμοίῳ ὅμοιον ἡ δράσασα ψυχὴ τείση.

έξαγέτω ή γη ψυχὴν ζώσαν κατὰ γένος. LXX Ge. 46. 15; 1 Ep. Pet. 3. 20.

πασα ψυχή έξουσίαις ύπερεχούσαις ύποτασσέσθω.

Ep. Rom. 13. 1.

Pl. Lg. 873a.

8 A person's $\psi \nu \chi \dot{\eta}$ may be regarded as a sentient being resident within the body, thus capable of thought and feeling. Translations such as *personality*, self, heart, soul may be appropriate.

ψυχη διδόντες ήδονην καθ' ημέραν. Α. Pers. 841.

The conjecture ψυχήν ... ἡδονῆ seems to be unnecessary.

ψυχὴ γὰρ ηὔδα πολλά μοι μυθουμένη, τάλας, τί χωρεῖς οἶ μολὼν δώσεις δίκην; S. Ant. 227.

τής έμής ψυχής γεγώς 'born of myself'. S. El. 775. έμπαίει τί μοι ψυχή συνηθές ὅμμα. S. El. 903.

ῶ φιλτάτου μνημεῖον ἀνθρώπων ἐμοὶ ψυχῆς Ὁρέστου λοιπόν. S. El. 1127.

This obviously does not mean 'O memorial remaining of the life of Orestes'.

τὴν Φιλοκτήτου σε δεῖ ψυχὴν ὅπως λόγοισιν ἐκκλέψεις λέγων. S. Ph. 55. τί ποτ' ἔστι μαθεῖν ἔραται ψυχή; 'I long to know ...' Ε. Ηἰρρ. 173.

ώς ύπείργασμαι μέν εδ

ψυχὴν ἔρωτι.

'My soul is all made ready by desire' (W. S. Barrett).

E. *Hipp*. 505.

ψυχή 317

Έρως ... εἰσάγων γλυκεῖαν ψυχᾳ χάριν. Ε. Ηίρρ. 527.

ταῦτ' ἄρ' ἀκούσασ' αὐτῶν τὸ φθέγμ' ἡ ψυχή μου πεπότηται.

Ar. Nu. 319.

ὅτι σοι ἐκ τῆς ψυχῆς φίλος ἦν. Χ. Αn. 7. 7. 43; cf.

βόσκοιτ' εκ ψυχᾶς τὰς ἀμνάδας. Theoc. 8. 35.

τῷ δὲ ἡ ψυχὴ σῖτον μὲν οὐ προίετο, διψῆν δ' ἐδόκει.

X. Cyr. 8. 7. 4.

καὶ ἀνθρώπου γε ψυχή, ἢ ... τοῦ θείου μετέχει, ὅτι μὲν βασιλεύει ἐν ἡμῖν φανερόν, ὁρᾶται δὲ οὐδ' αὐτή.

X. Mem. 4. 3. 14.

πάνυ μοι ή ψυχή ἐπεθύμει αὐτῶν τινι συγγενέσθαι.

X. Oec. 6. 14.

σοφία μὴν καὶ νοῦς ἄνευ ψυχῆς οὐκ ἄν ποτε γενοίσθην.

λίχνω δὲ ὄντι αὐτῷ τὴν ψυχὴν μόνω τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει οὕτε ἀποδημῆσαι ἔξεστιν οὐδαμόσε ... 'however much he longs in his heart to do so, he alone in the city is not allowed to travel elsewhere ...' Pl. R. 579b.

άλλ' άλλο τι βουλομένη έκατέρου ή ψυχή δήλη έστίν.

Pl. Smp. 192c.

καὶ τὸ σῶμα ἀπειρηκὸς ἡ ψυχὴ συνεξέσωσεν 'the soul has rescued the body too when it had given up'. Antipho 5. 93.

οὐχ ἄδε πλούτου φρονέουσιν ὄνασις, ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν ψυχᾳ, τὸ δέ πού τινι δοῦναι ἀοιδῶν.

Theoc. 16. 24.

καὶ ἐρῶ τῆ ψυχῆ μου, ψυχή, ἔχεις πολλὰ ἀγαθὰ κείμενα εἰς ἔτη πολλά. Ευ. Luc. 12. 19.

 ϵ ls τὰ ὑπὸ ψυχῆς, οἷον ποίμνας, ἀγέλας (i.e. sentient beings). Μ. Ant. 6. 14.

9 The personality can be regarded from the point of view of its moral attributes, so that here $\psi v \gamma \hat{\eta}$ comes to mean *character*.

ἀπὸ πάμπαν ἀδίκων ἔχειν

ψυχάν. Pi. O. 2. 70.

κτεάνων ψυ-

χὰς ἔχοντες κρέσσονας ἄνδρες. Pi. N. 9. 32. διεπειράτο αὐτοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς. Hdt. 3. 14. 1; 5. 124. 1. ἀμήχανον δὲ παντὸς ἀνδρὸς ἐκμαθεῖν ψυχήν τε καὶ φρόνημα καὶ γνώμην. S. Ant. 176. ἀλλ' ἡ κακὴ σὴ διὰ μυχῶν βλέπουσ' ἀεὶ ψυχή νιν ἀφυῆ τ' ὅντα κοὐ θέλονθ' ὅμως εὖ προὐδίδαξεν ἐν κακοῖς εἶναι σοφόν. S. Ph. 1014. κράτιστοι δ' ἂν τὴν ψυχὴν δικαίως κριθεῖεν οἱ τά τε δεινὰ καὶ ἡδέα σαφέστατα γιγνώσκοντες. Th. 2. 40. 3. μηδ' ἄλλο μηδὲν τῆς ἐμῆς ψυχῆς πέρι λέξονθ' ὅθεν χρὴ δειλίαν ὀφλεῖν τινα. E. Heracl. 984. ψυχῶν σοφῶν τοῦτ' ἐστὶ φροντιστήριον. Ar. Nu. 94. μοχθηροὺς ὄντας τὰς ψυχάς. Χ. Oec. 6. 16. ἡ ἐν γῆ ἀργία ἐστὶ σαφὴς ψυχῆς κατήγορος κακῆς. Χ. Oec. 20. 15.

τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ἐπιμέλειαν. Isoc. 15. 304; 15. 290. ἐπιμελεῖσθαι ... τῆς ψυχῆς, ὅπως ἀρίστη ἔσται. Pl. Ap. 30b. ἀνάγκη ἄρα κακῆ ψυχῆ κακῶς ἄρχειν. Pl. R. 353e. ἤρετο ... τίνα ποτε ψυχὴν ἀξιοῖ ... τοιαύτῃ γνώμῃ χρῆσθαι. Lys. 32. 12.

τίν' οἴεσθε αὐτὴν ψυχὴν ἔχειν; D. 28. 21.

ἔστι δὲ ἡ κακολογία ἀγωγὴ τῆς ψυχῆς εἰς τὸ χεῖρον ἐν λόγοις. Thphr. Char. 28. 1.

ἔπειτα ἂν μὲν αἱ χάριτες αἱ τῆς λέξεως ἐπικοσμεῖν δοκῶσί μοι τὴν γραφὴν, τῆς Λυσίου ψυχῆς αὐτὴν τίθεμαι. D.H. Lys. 11.

10 As a positive quality, strength of character, courage, spirit. It is this sense which gave rise to the adjective μεγαλόψυχος.

οἵαις ἐν πολέμοιο μάχαις τλάμονι ψυχᾳ παρέμειν'. Pi. P. 1. 48. χερσὶ καὶ ψυχᾳ δυνατοί. Pi. N. 9. 39. θάρσει· σὺ μὲν ζῆς, ἡ δ' ἐμὴ ψυχὴ πάλαι τέθνηκεν, ὥστε τοῖς θανοῦσιν ὡφελεῖν. S. Ant. 559. ψυχήν τ' ἄριστε πάντων. Ar. Eq. 457. ὁ γὰρ λόγχην ἀκονῶν ἐκεῖνος καὶ τὴν ψυχήν τι παρακονᾳ 'the man who sharpens his spear also makes his spirit a bit sharper'.

δεῖ ὑπάρξαι αὐτῷ (sc. ἵππῳ) καὶ τὴν ψυχὴν μεγαλόφρονα καὶ τὸ σῶμα εὔρωστον. Χ. Εq. 11. 1.

X. Cyr. 6. 2. 33.

ψυχή 319

έν σμικρά πόλει ὅταν μεγάλη ψυχὴ φυῆ. Pl. R. 496b. ἡ πάσης τῆς Ελλάδος ἄρ' ἐλευθερία ἐν ταῖς τῶνδε τῶν ἀνδρῶν ψυχαῖς διεσώζετο. [D.] 60. 23.

II In the philosophers there are numerous attempts at defining the $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, most of which can be happily fitted into the scheme proposed here. But in a few cases it appears to refer to a sentient being external to the individual, hence some kind of divine or world-soul.

καὶ τὴν τῶν ἄλλων ἀπάντων φύσιν οὐ πιστεύεις Άναξαγόρα νοῦν καὶ ψυχὴν εἶναι τὴν διακοσμοῦσαν καὶ ἔχουσαν;

Pl. Cra. 400a; Arist. de An. 404a25.

ψυχή δ' έν πρώτοις γεγενημένη. Pl. Lg. 892c.

διὰ τίνα γὰρ αἰτίαν ἐν μὲν τῷ ἀέρι ἢ τῷ πυρὶ οὖσα ψυχὴ οὖ ποιεῖ ζῷον, ἐν δὲ τοῖς μικτοῖς ...; Arist. de An. 411°10.

ώς έν ζώον τὸν κόσμον μίαν οὐσίαν καὶ ψυχὴν μίαν ἐπέχον συνεχῶς ἐπινοεῖν. Μ. Ant. 4. 40.

τήν τε τοῦ κόσμου τήν τε τῆς ψυχῆς αὖτοῦ γένεσιν καὶ σύστασιν. Plu. 2. 1013e.

12 Since one's life is obviously one's dearest possession, the word can also be used as a type or model of what is dearest, and thus as a term of endearment or even a proper name, as in the case of Cupid's lover in Apuleius.

χρήματα γὰρ ψυχὴ πέλεται δειλοίσι βροτοίσιν. Hes. Op. 686.

πασι δ' ανθρώποις ἄρ' ήν

ψυχὴ τέκν'. Ε. Andr. 419.

As a term of endearment:

μὴ, φίλα ψυχά, βίον ἀθάνατον σπεῦδε. Pi. P. 3. 61.

 $\delta \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \alpha \psi \nu \chi \dot{\alpha}$. S. Ph. 712.

φεῦ, ὧ ἀγαθὴ καὶ πιστὴ ψυχή, οἴχη δὴ ἀπολιπὼν ἡμᾶς; Χ. Cyr. 7. 3. 8.

οἴμοι ψυχή, ὅτι ἀπόλωλεν εὐσεβὴς ἀπὸ τῆς γῆς. LXX Mi. 7. 2.

This is presumably what is meant in the Latin satirists:

quotiens lasciuum interuenit illud ζωή καὶ ψυχή. Juv. 6. 195; Mart. 10. 68. 320 ψυχή

ῶ φιλτάτη καὶ ψυχὴ ἐμὴ Χαρίκλεια. Hld. 1. 8. 4.

In a completely transferred sense $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ can be used to mean the animating force or life of other things.

πᾶσα πολιτεία ψυχὴ πόλεώς έστι. Isoc. 12. 138.

άρχη μέν οὖν καὶ οἷον ψυχη ὁ μῦθος της τραγωδίας.

Arist. Po. 1450a38.

ή γὰρ ψυχὴ πάσης σαρκὸς αίμα αὐτοῦ ἐστι.

LXX Le. 17. 11; De. 12. 23.

14 Finally, starting from the analogy between the emergence of the soul from the body, it comes to be used of the *butterfly* which emerges from the chrysalis.

γίγνονται δ' αι μὲν καλούμεναι ψυχαι ἐκ τῶν καμπῶν, αι γ γίγνονται ἐπὶ τῶν φύλλων τῶν χλωρῶν. Arist. γ

οἷον ἐκ κάμπης γίνεται χρυσαλλὶς εἶτ' ἐκ ταύτης ψυχή.

Thphr. HP 2. 4. 4.

ἔτερον πτερωθὲν δι' αὐτῆς τὴν καλουμένην ψυχὴν μεθίησιν (κάμπη). Plu. 2. 636c.

- 15 A table of the meanings discussed may be presented as follows.
 - animating principle, life-force (2). b as lost in unconsciousness (3).
 - 2 as surviving the body, spirit, ghost (4).
 - 3 continued existence, life (5). b the taking of life (6).
 - 4 living creature, person (7).
 - 5 personality, self, heart, soul (8).
 - 6 character (9).
 - 7 strength of character, courage, spirit (10).
 - **8** world-soul (11).
 - 9 as a type of what is dearest (12). b as a term of endearment (12).
 - 10 animating force of things (13).
 - II butterfly (14).

INDEX OF GREEK WORDS

Entries and references in bold type refer to the page on which the main notes in the book begin, the remainder to words which are discussed in passing.

ἀάατος	31	δέλλιν	115	θâs	142
ἀβλεμής	76	διαβάλλω	87	θυμός	143
άγαπητός	16, 32	διάβολος	92	θωράκιον	171
ἀγοράζω	21, 34	διατρίβω	51	•	•
ἀΓλανέδς	39	δίζημαι	94	ίερός	78, 150,
αἰκία	295	δισκυροῦσι	16, 95	tepos	70, 150 , 226
ἀλανής	39	•		โทธร	140
ảλλ' ή	126	ἔ γρω	95	is	157
ἄνερμα	40	€ίδον	8	ιπνός	161
ἀνόστεος	15	€ἰκῆ	23, 96	ίππεύς	117
ἀντία, ἀντίον	41	εἰκότως	98	ι σχυρός	165
ἄντομος	43	ἐκτός	100	το Χορος	103
ἀολλής	39	<i>ἐ</i> λύμνιαι	13	,	
ἀπέχω	45	έλύς	105	καίπερ ,	243
άπλόος	46	<i>ἐμβατεύω</i>	21	καρχήσιον	170
ἀραιός	14, 46	ἐμέτερος	134	καταλαμβάνω	172
ἀργύριος	54	<i>ξ</i> μη	116	καταχαρίζομαι	173
άρπακτός	54	ένδαής	16, 107	καταχράομαι	174
ἄσπρος	287	έξης, έξείης	107	κατειλέω	173
ἀσσκονικτεί	55	<i>ἐπηρ</i> ασία	116	κεφαλή	177
ἀτεχνῶς	56	ἐπιγράφω	79	κινέω	183
ἄχυρον	56	ἐσχάρα	III	κλακτός	11
		Ĕτυς	115	κοίλος	257
βάπτω	59	ἔ χω	23	κονίαλος	221
βαρέω	62	ἔ ως ὄσου	116	κοντός	22
βαρύς	64			κρίβανος	162
βεβαρημένος	62	Fάγανον	1, 117		
βινέω	21, 73,			μαλακός	19
	187	ζήλος	119	μέγας	197
βλαβή	295	ζωγράφος	81	μένος	157,
βλεμεαίνω	76				189
βλέπω	76	ή, ἡ (ἠέ)	123	μέξ, μές	195
βόρηται	64	ήάν	116	μέσποδι	196
βωμός	113	ήγανον	118	μέσφα	196
		ήμέτερος	134	μέττ(α)	196
γαμέω	74	ήμιεκτάνιον		μέχρι	197
γερός	77	(-οκτάνιον)	134	ναύσταθμον	256
γλεῦκος	78	ἥρυ ς	13, 137	νέμω	198
γράφω	79			νόμος	206
		θάλασσα	138		
δείνα	209	θάλος (θάλεα)	140	ξύλον	303

ὄβδη	208	προσθάλπω	10	τόλμα	262
őδε	208			τραχαίοις	286
οίδα	8	ρέω	247	τρώγω	287
όλερός (όλός)	210	•		τυφλός	290
ὀξύς	211	συκοφάντης	22	•	•
ὀπυί ω	21,74	σταθμός	22, 253	ΰβρις	292
ὀργάω	217	στέργω	32	ύγρός	297
ὄρκια	273	στοργή	32	ΰλη	303
ὀρχηστής	218			ὔπερθεν	52
ὄσιος (όσία)	221	τάγηνον	118	ύπομονή	307
οὐλή	39	τάλας	16, 262	101	
		τάλης	265	φθόνος	120-1
παίζω	220	τέλειος, τέλεος	266	φορμός	42-3
παρθένος	226	τέμνω	271	φρήν	146
πάσχω	229	τένδει	15	χάος	22
πατάσσω	144	τέως	142	χερνήτις	260
$\pi \epsilon \delta \acute{a}$	10	τήγανον	118	Xch, 11.13	200
πέμπω	233	τοπαδειν	279	ψεῦδος	52
περ	241	τόπος	280	ψυχή	311

INDEX OF GREEK CITATIONS

Inscriptions and papyri will be found at the end.

AELIAN		733	40	Pr. 77	68
NA 1.26	287	802	202	92	230
2. 8	165	849	272	132	238
4. 46	213	972	26g	250	291
7. 9	301	973	267	292	204
15. 10	173	1067	190	330	104
VH 1.23	174	1084	243	348	282
9. 1	67	1107	264	450	96
11.9	174	1247	262	526	202
12. I	72	1388	144	529	225
14. 5	174	1389	215	706	146
fr. 2	250	1432	267	720	194
AESCHINES		1457	316	759	231
	20.5	1504	266	863	61
1. 15	295	Ch. 289	183	Supp. 27	223
1. 29 1. 52	297	450	83	55	243
1. 52	97 297	477	239	136	238
1. 110	297 176	1011	61	259	297
1. 122	297	1047	274	302	167
2. 9	297 281	1076	195	403	204
2. 9 2. 21	68	Eu. 28	267	415	68
2. 22	99	50	82	526	267
2. 50	99 45	108	113	739	268
2. 70	45 176	249	280	807	274
2. 88	315	292	282	1005	238
3. 53	174	592	271	Th. 37	236
3. 53 3. 62	85	598	239	8o	251
3. 66	169	624	204	167	267
3· 73	281	703	282	202	127
3. 73 3. 78	283	747	204	262	262
3. 177	97	868	231	265	101
3. 216	286	Pers. 34	235	332	68
Ü	200	205	113	393	195
AESCHYLUS		445	262	443	239
Ag. 59	239	447	282	557	251
61	236	517	262	590	202
191	282	572	69	767	68
206	68	630	314	832	268
223	263	746	247	954	213
238	195	790	283	983	230
269	129	796	282	1010	224
385	263	828	68	1038	243
497	306	841	316	fr. 69	89
685	202	1044	68	196	272

AESCHYLUS fr. (c	ont.)	ANTHOLOGIA		ARCHESTRATUS	
199	243	PALATINA		46. 4	162
323	155	2. 1. 216	121-	ARCHILOCHUS	
353	165		2	23 (213 W)	314
AESOP		5. 33 (32)	250	63	212
2. 2	122	5. 125 (124)	250	· ·	212
40	59	5. 190 (189). 2	122	ARCHIMEDES	
•	39	5. 228. 5	120	<i>Spir</i> . 16	212
AGATHARCHIDES 61		6. 43.1	299	ARISTOPHANES	
	99	7. 27. 3	301	Ach. 112	62
ALCAEUS		7. 275. 2	292	193	214
69. 5	230	7. 400. 2	291	449	258
70. 8	142	9. 18	299	485	262
72. 6	207	9. 91	222	508	59
129. 10	147	9. 248. 5	121	591	166
129. 22	149	9. 289. 4	290	625	36,
129. 25	207	9. 345. 3	121		38
130. 16	262	9. 359. I	277	665	194
206. 6	142	9. 360. 1	277	720	34
308. 2(b)	148	11.7	187	804	216
346. 5	178	15. 25. 1	211	833	179
351	160,	ANTIPHANES		888	113
	185	138. 4	289	943	168
358	148	174. 3	162	1052	187
424	153	216. 7	99	1111-12	129
ALCMAN		225. 2	59	Av. 13	129
1.55	54	ANTIPHO		159	203
15	141	1. 25	225	162	129
26	156	2. I. 4	315	384	205
40. I	207	2. 2. 2	225	437	163
ALEXIS		2. 4. 4	98	556	153
178. 15	182	4. 1. 6, 7	315	898	224
206. 2	303	5. 10	205	1095	213
200.2	3~3	5. 11	168	1397	129
ANACREON		5. 93	317	1400	277
26	118			1432	230
411.400.001.000.4		APOLLONIUS DYS		1560	271
ANACREONTEA		Pron. 104. 15	188	1648	92
15. 21	301	APOLLONIUS RHO	DIUS	1652	128
ANAXAGORAS		1. 616	121	Ec. 124	265
4	313	2. 77	31	190	265
ANAXIPPUS		2. 1244	277	216	60
2	186	4. 771	277	242 526	265 264
ANDOCIDES		APPIAN		638	110
1. 83	209,	BC 1. 106	299	757	240
1. 03	261	4. 4. 22	163	757 919	265
1. 96	223	5. 8	302	1005	264
1. 97	267	5. 128	121	Eq. 262	88
2. 24	91	Mac. 14	67	364	187
3. 8	276	ARATUS		413	128
3. 22	32	858	62	431	97
3. 31	149	951	62	457	318
J. J-	. 12	75-		T31	J. 9

526	252	Ra. 21	294	EE 1216 ^b 40	98
570	147	327	223	1240 ^a 2	215
582	153	538	8 I	EN 1094 ^b 12	307
864	233	559	265	1126°18	215
953	129	652	158	1129 ^b 30	269
1286	114	733	99	1141819	182
1373	35-6	765	108	1156 ^b 34	269
1374	36	995	102	GA 752 $^{\mathrm{b}}8$	212
Lys. 102	265	1365	259	788°22	72
104	269	1381	259	GC 320 ⁸ 2	307
471	97	1407	259	HA 510°14	181
633	37,	Th. 258	180	551 ^a 14	320
°33	108	352-3	268	559°2	305
743	225	644	264	572 ^b 28	282
914	264	864	316	578 ^b 21	255
928	129	912	114	580°30	300
1034	249	973	268	583ª15	282
1202	215	1039	263	592ª18	62
Nu. 40	179	1100	277	596°25	57
94	318	1214	92	598a9	301
234	233	V. 375	315	603 ^b 32	301
319	317	424	190	605°29	60
483	130	567	149	607 ^b 32	258
530	228	678	298	61120	255
712	313	837	163	612 ^b 22	58
816	230	881	86	617"1	302
	289	894	86	620 ^h 35	156
924	187	938	113	IA 707 ^b 3	283
1103	•	946-7	233	Metaph.	203
1299	294	1213	301	1032 ^a 17	307
1369	149 85	fr. 33	155	Mete. 348 ^h 28	299
1429	86	216	240	356°16	248
1482	89,	353	165	361°33	248
Pax 643			3	364 ^h 25	47
0	93	ARISTOTLE	0	383 ^b 6	47 252
841	164	A. Po. 97 ^b 24	308	MM 1203 ^b 21	232
1063	179	A. Pr. 27 ^a 1	268	Mu. 394 ^a 21	47
1173	213	Ath. 8. 3	204	PA 645 ⁸ 20	163
1176	62	10. I	261		•
1324	288	30. 3	206	655°24 666°15	300 283
Pl. 210	215	31.3	206	675 ^b 7	_
526	179	63.4	204	,	292
650	182	Aud. 803 ^b 28	48	676°5	292
682	222	Cael. 270 ^b 24	176	Ph. 196°5	36
684	264	271 ^b 11	188	Phgn. 806 ^b 4	213
718	180	310 ^b 25	65	Po. 1450 ^a 38	320
815	164	312ª8	283	Pol. 1257 ^a 39	258
886	294	Col. 791° 27	47	1268 ^b 28	188
937	157	797 ⁶ 27	47	1268 ^b 35	
946	167	de An. 404°25	319	12713	173
1046	264	407 ^b 22	314	1272814	180
1048	215	411 ^a 10	319	1275 ^a 12	205
1055	264	421 ^a 30	214	1311 ^b 9	72
1060	264	435 ^a 2	60	1313 ^b 16	90

ARISTOTLE Pol. (cout l	BABRIUS		18. 14	93
1335 ^b 13	52	1. IO	300	18. 20	92
Pr. 863°12	114	12. 2	305	18, 28	8 5,
901 ^b 30	58	15. 3	251		93
928*20	59	34. 7	300	18. 59	86
931 ^a 18	78	71. 2	62	18. 102	109
Protr. 23	97	88. 14	252	18. 103	87
Resp. 478 ^b 5	97 212	•	•	18. 119	87
Rh. 1358 ^a 14	286	BACCHYLIDES 17 (16), 108	300	18. 120	120
1373°35	296	18 (17). 5, 8	127	18. 136	251
13/3 33 1384 ^a 21	309			18. 150	175
1388a32	121	BATRACHOMYO-	•	18. 217	121
1388 ^b 22	119	MACHIA		18. 222	86
1390°11	150	34	290	18. 225	93
1391 ^a 27	68	CALLIMACHUS		18. 241	68
1393 ^b 20	233	43. 46	181	18. 273	121
1396 ^b 30	286	75	161,	18. 290	179
1397°7	286	, ,	222	18. 294	179
1403°18	286	218	208	19. 55	86
1403 10	214	295	165	19. 197	289
1403 29 1403 ^b 30	71	337	141	19. 208	168
1403 30 1404 ^b 21	90	394	157	19. 230	281
1406°2	190	Aet. 31. 5	222	19. 277	176
1410°2	308	Del. 47	196	19. 287	251
Rh. Al.	300	Epigr. 50	45	19. 297	167
1424 ^b 5	72	54	45	20. 59	281
SE 178 ^a 3	71	DEMETRIUS COM	ICUS	20. 146	86
Top. 106 ^a 13	213	VET.	.000	21. 17	232
107°16	212	I	89	21.35	153,
fr. 554	253		•		296
611	58	DEMETRIUS LACO		21. 38	294
011	3"	Herc. 1012. 6	9 311	21.42	294
ARRIAN		DEMOCRITUS		21.47	296
An. 2. 3. 7	89	51	168	21.71	166
5.9.4	248	111	294	21. 104	222
Cyn. 15	144	125	262	21. 117	180
Epict. 2. 4. 5	285	240	308	21. 149	38
2. 9. 20	60	278	313	21. 203	204
3. 21. 16	285	DEMOSTHENES		22. 73	119
Tact. 8. 3	182	1. 19	85	23. 64	121
		2. 10	168	24. 9	226
ASCLEPIODOTUS TACTICUS		3. 15	217	24. 48	85-6
	48	3. 28	166	24. 83	85
4. I	40	4. 11	231	24. 133	170
ATHENAEUS		4. 26	240	28. I	93
2. 53b	72	4. 31	281	28. 5	98
2. 54a	162	4.34	152	28. 21	318
3. 115e	67	8. 50	166	30. 20	98
7. 282e	156	9. 41	82	33. I	98
7. 319e	162	10. 55	86	35. 13	232
11. 474f	172	12. 12	240	35. 44	175
11. 480e	61	16. 24	167	37⋅ 33	296
14. 623a	99	18. 13	86	41. 12	173

42. 12	178	Dem. 20	300	EURIPIDES	
42. 15	168	23	285	Alc. 67	282
42. 30	276	Lys. 11	318	75	157
43. 39	176	Th. 6	305	Andr. 121	274
47. 50	175	DIONYSIUS THRA	v	419	319
48. 9	168	632. q	299	607	187
48. 43	98	674b. 3, 14	299	1226	185
48. 44	176	0/45. 3, 14	214	1240	113
49. 4	175	DIOSCORIDES		Ba. 9	295
49. 58	168	Title	306	77	224
56. 6	83	2. 6	184	142	250
59. 46	38	2. 109. 2	187	241	275
59. 60	267	2. 127	187	247	294
59. 116 60. 23	113	3. 120	181	279	297
60. 24	319	3. 132. 1	276	370	222
Ep. 5. 3	119	5. 88. 4	163	374	225
fr. 23	225	5. 121	60	686	97
, ,	45	DIPHILUS		688	304
DINARCHUS		18. 1	214	690	186
1. 70	86		~.4	694	229
1. 105	174	EMPEDOCLES		735	203
DIO CASSIUS		3⋅ 7	223	812	259
49. 38. 2	122	11.3	194	1138	304
78. 17. 3	64	27. 2	195	1200	262
DIO CHRYSOST	•	38. 3	299	1245	262
30. 20	омоs 8g	111.9	192	1290	283
63. 5	,	112.6	140	Cyc. 28	199
	295	115.9	194	125	223
DIODORUS SICU		143	279	265	155
3. 14	47	EPHIPPUS		384	112
3. 22. 2	99	15. 4	222	697	290
5. 13. 1	276	16. 4	108	El. 302	188
5. 41. 6	250	10. 4	100	379	97_
26. 2	72	EPICHARMUS		411	278
38/39. 3	285	13	I	434	238,
DIOGENES LAEF	RTIUS	EPICRATES			240
1. 118	89	9	170	1163	200
DIOGENES		9	172	1320	223
OENOANDEN	SIS	EUBULUS		Epigr. 2	277
64	63	40. 1	82	Hec. 352	120
DIONYSIUS	3	57. I	160	610	6 r
HALICARNAS	CPNICIO	109. 2	222	614	230
I. 44. 2	308	EUCLID		631	306
2. 73. 3	285	I. I	80	634	275
5. 15. 2	205 61	1. Def. 12	212	868	205
6. 30. 2	173	8. I	100	940	184
7. 8. 4	157	0. 1	109	977	235
7. 63. 3	173	EUNAPIUS		1050	290
Comp. 1	286	<i>VS</i> p. 472 B	40	1064	263-
16. 10	169	EUPOLIS		1001	4
22. I	169	247. 3	187	1204 1261	275
22. 12	169	335	187 289		172
	109	333	209	Hel. 231	275

EURIPIDES Hel.	(cont)	Or. 167	264	6. 77	306
248	263	526	262	6. 157	306
566	114	547	223	6. 352	278
946	315	707	62	6. 753	205
1235	273	847	315	6. 760	278
1602	273 250	1171	313	7. 677	266
Heracl. 400	273	1474	257	9. 444	48
428	194	1581	294	9. 887	214
719	223	Ph. 1	277	11. 182	159
758	279	107	185	14. 742	278
984	318	274	113	15. 60	270
1041	283	541	261	15. 507	278
HF 199	290	574	82	16. 500	269
741	294	834	290	18. (2). 256	306
771	103	1027	282	19. 126	210
	-	1330	315	19. 405	302
999	257	1439	301	UP 6, 16	181
1249	104	1578	61	7. 14	181
Нірр. 17	304 282	1699	290	7. 14	101
53		Rh. 18	186	GORGIAS	
173	316		256	6	223
443	251	43 117	250 89	Pal. 30	260
474	293	139	188		- c .
505	316	226	180	HARPOCRATIO	164
527	317		255	HECATABUS	
745	205	293		201	304
764	225	Supp. 40	224	,	٠,
791	69	376	273 167	HELIODORUS	
1053	282	447	251	1. 8. 4	320
1065	102	520	89	6. 13. 3	285
1081	224	931 1196	273	10. 5	104
1206	155	Tr. 449	2/3 248	HEPHAESTIO	
IA 249	108	480	274	GRAMMATICUS	
360	240	86g	2/4 263	1. 3	299
1117	101	•		*· 3	~99
Ion 117	155	995	252 82	HERACLITUS	
231	102	1189		II	199
512	152	1214	313 166	46	161
744	290	fr. 290		47	97
1285	152	308	178	117	302
IT 49	257	672	110	118	302
159	236	776	291	HERMOGENES	
344	264	941	300	Prog. 6	286
465	225	EZEKIEL		I log. o	200
755	232	Exag. 133	249	HERO	
1045	225			Aut. 26. 6	71
1161	222	GALEN	0 -	Bel. 88.5-89.9	172
1308	239	1. 47	8o	101. 12	89
1310	101	2. 669	292	HEDODIAN.	
1461	222	3. 488	181	HERODIAN 2. 6. 6	0.4
Med. 8	148	3. 568	181 181	2. 0. 0 2. 14. 3	94
99	187	4. 565			70 285
410	155	5. 289	91	2. 14. 5 8. 8. 1	
1079	149	5. 480	91	0. 0. 1	64

HERODOTUS		2. 41. 2	82	4. 191. 3	199
1.1.4	148	2. 42. 5	157	4. 201. 2	273
1. 6. i	248	2. 45. 2	222	4. 205	170
1. 14. 2	258	2. 49. 1	238	5. 6. 1	228
1. 19. 3	132	2. 65. 4	259,	5. 9. 2	215
1. 24. 2	315		273	5. 12. 3	234
1. 36. 1	230	2. 81. 2	152	5. 19. 1	72
1. 50. 3	261	2. 82. 2	83	5. 20. 4	269
1, 51, 4	249	2. 92. 4	289	5. 29. 2	202
1. 59. 6	202	2. 123. 2	316	5. 33. I	88
1. 71. 3	288	2. 129. 2	150	5. 34. 2	88
1. 72. 2	248	2. 134. 4	314	5. 35. I	91
1. 74. 4	168	2. 168. 2	259	5. 49. 4	148
1. 76. 1	169	2. 170. I	225	5. 50. 2	91
1. 78. 1	200	2. 171. 2	222	5. 52. 1	255
1. 79. 1	131	3. 8. і	211	5. 56. 2	239
1. 80. 1	153	3. 14. 1	318	5. 58. 3	83
1. 80. 4	41	3. 29. 2	180	5. 71. 2	202
1. 82. 8	176	3. 33	161	5· 77· 3	41
1. 84. 3	40	3 · 35 · 5	178	5 77 4	293
1. 84. 4	146	3. 36. 1	149	5. 92. η. 2	162
	258	3. 42. 3	273	5. 96. I	91,
1. 92. 2 1. 94. 3	169	3. 80. 1	103	3. 7	187
1. 106. 1	295	3. 80. 3	102	5. 101. 2	203
	176	3. 80. 5	188	5. 124. 1	318
1. 117. 2 1. 120. 3	147	3. 85. 2	147	5. 124. 2	281
1. 120. 3	268	3. 89. 2	259	6. 11. 3	204
1. 121	83	3. 133. 1	200	6. 33. 2	200
1. 125. 2	167	3. 155. I	72	6. 34. 2	153
-	298	3. 160. 2	42	6. 57. 4	247
1. 142. 2		4. 19	199	6. 64	91
1. 148. 2	233	4. 19 4. 21	304	6. 79. 2	101
1. 155. 3	179	4. 22. 2	282	6, 80	306
1. 179. 3	257 267	4. 29	169	6. 86. a. 1	224
1. 183. 2	•	4. 36. 2	82	6. 98. 1	185
1. 183. 3	185	4. 53. 2	249	6. 109. 5	204
1. 186. 2	276	4. 53. 2 4. 70	249 273	6. 119. 2	255
1. 189. 1	293	• •	58	6. 119. 3	67
1. 194. 2	275 -	4. 72. 2 4. 88. 1	81	6. 134. 2	185
	6	4. 91. 2	181	7. 15. 1	234
I. 203. I	304		228	7. 23. I	201
2. 2. 2	41	4. 117	230	7. 36. 5	305
2. 16. 2	212	4. 118. 3	104	7. 51. 3	146
2. 20. 3	233	4. 133. 3	276	7. 52. 2	147
2. 22. I	248	4. 136. 2	176	7. 64. 2	212
2. 25. 4	249	4. 146. 3	•	7. 67. 1	61
2. 28. 2	212	4. 150. 3	65, 67	7. 69. 1	211
2. 33. 2	278	. 164.2		7. 85. 1	103
2. 35. 2	35	4. 164. 2	306 25	7. 05. 1 7. 102. 1	170
2. 36. 4	80,	4. 164. 4	35 201	7. 102. 1 7. 106. 1	238
	152	4. 165. 1 4. 168. 2	228	7. 112 7. 112	201
2. 37. 5	289	='	298	7. 112	255
2. 39. I	177	4. 172. 4	298 289	7. 119. 3 7. 123. 1	201
2. 41. 1	157	4. 177	209	/· 143· 1	201

HERODOTUS (cont)	213	293		105,
7. 124	277	217	293		107,
7. 129. 3	248	218	231		124,
7. 132. 2	273	339	156		165,
7. 136. 1	178	414	194		254
7. 140. 3	250	421	304	HIPPOCRATES	
7. 158. 2	203	481	41-2		
7. 160. I	149	508	304	Acut. 63	195
7. 209. 2	41	511	304	Acut. (Sp.) 4 Aër. 1	169 258
7. 214. 3	84	524-5	15		
7. 220. 4	190	582-8	15	15 17	71 228
7. 236. 3	42	594	41	Aph. 1. 1	216
8. 11. 1	243	597	156	1. 22	217
8. 47	102	625	298	2. 20	302
8. 60. α	66	684	54	2. 46	281
8. 65. 5	179	686	319	2. 40 4. I	218
8. 68. γ	146	786	272	4. 10	218
8. 77. i	153	791	272	5. 71	47
8. 90. ı	90	805	156	7· 44	47 272
8. 102. 3	231	807	275	Art. 30	181
8. 110. 1	92	809	50	43	172
8. 115. 4	199	Sc. 173	312	45 45	160
8. 116. 2	148	233	213	50	167
8. 130. 3	147	235	191	Decent.	107
8. 137. 2	198	314	248	9. 228. 17	308
8. 138. 2	249	348	213	Ep. 27	251
9. 7. a. 2	205	364	193	Epid. 1. 17	210
9. 23. I	214	429	190	1. 19	250
9. 37. 2	315	457	211	1. 26. α'	48
9.41.4	170	Th. 21	152	3. 17. 2	228
9. 79. 2	224	39	251	4. 20	164,
9. 86. 2	276	57	152	4	210
9. 89. 4	277	66	206	5. 50	228
9. 99. 2	180	84	251	7. 46	104
9. 110. 2	269	93	152	7. 90	104
9. 116. 2	92	97	251	Fract. 4	202
9. 120. 4	176	384	121	16	202
HERONDAS		444	255	Jusj.	104,
I. 55	184	492	193		272
	315	688	190	Liqu.	298
3⋅3 3⋅35	265	694	303	Loc. Hom. 9	298
3· 35 3· 49	184	716	238	Morb.	
3. 67	184	832	190	2. (12(1). 6)	114
5. 2	184	869	298	2. 47	162
5. 55	266	896	189	2. 73	210
5. 74	128	fr. 133	250	4. 49	63
7. 88	265	204. 125	250	Morb. Sacr. 10	145
,	3	252. 6	192	2 I	161
HESIOD		HESYCHIUS	13,	Mul. 1. 1	47
Op. 119	201		44,	1. 37 (8. 90)	
146	294		74,	1. 57 (8. 114)	
191	294		76,	1. 91 (8. 220)	-
195	121		94,	Nat. Puer. 24	47

		_			
30	92	2. 236	244	5. 886	230
Prog. 3	301	2. 270	243	5. 892	189
4	58	2. 300	132	6. 17	144
8	148	2. 305	152	6. 27	192
12	210	2. 307	249	6. 89	152
Prorrh. 2. 30	269	2. 387	192	6. 139	290
2. 39	71	2. 409	145	6. 147	303
VC 1	80	2. 440	215	6. 169	79
VM_{3}	103	2. 470	254	6. 172	247
9	195,	2. 496	200	6. 182	194
,	259	2. 514	227	6. 194	278
16	214	2. 536	191	6. 207	236
22	47	2. 751	200	6. 241	109
[9. 420. 19]	121	2. 776	105	6. 265	191
HIPPONAX		2. 780	200	6. 502	192
68	227	2. 849	248	7. 187	79
00	22/	3 · 73	273	7. 210	192
HOMER		3. 116	234	7. 216	144
Iliad		3. 168	177	7. 330	314
1.3	313	3. 193	177	7. 418	305
I. 47	184	3. 273	274	8. 65	250
ı. 66	267	3. 294	144,	8. 66	156
1. 89	69		192	8. 111	132
1. 99	152	3. 300	249	8. 138	147
1. 103	190	3. 374	217	8. 242-3	245
1. 131	243	4. 151	101	8. 247	268
1. 173	148	4. 152	146	8. 281	180
1. 190	132	4. 155	273	8. 301	148
1. 193	149	4. 162	179	8. 334	69
1. 203	294	4. 163	145	8. 337	76
1. 205	143	4. 185	211	8. 353	242
1. 214	294	4. 263	148	8. 361	191
1. 219	66	4. 447	192	8. 452	242
1. 230	41	4. 451	250	9. 67	101
1. 249	251	5. 2	190	9. 110	242
1. 260	125	5. 52	303	9. 209	273
1. 312	297	5. 140	254	9. 217	203
1. 352	243	5. 196	312	9. 301	245
1.353	244	5. 243	147	9. 343	147
1. 390	237	5. 288	131	9. 496	149
1. 429	147	5. 296	192	9. 514	246
1.431	152	5. 339	249	9. 580	278
1. 546	243	5. 425	48	9. 598	149
1. 562	149	5. 470	190	10. 2	19
1. 586-7	243	5. 499	154	10. 27	298
1. 593	143	5. 506	192	10. 56	159
2. 6	239	5. 524	194	10. 70	242
2. 111	68	5. 531	125	10. 71	68
2. 124	273	5. 557	254	10. 335	211
2. 144	184	5. 648	153	10. 418	112
2. 147	183	5. 696	313	10. 464	238
2. 171	147	5. 773	247	11.55	179
2. 196	149	5 · 777	203	11.88	275

HOMER Iliad	(cont.)	15. 710	148	18. 504	157
11. 155	303	16. 11–12	127	18. 528	278
11. 162	126	16. 31	242	19. 125	214
11. 194	156	16. 100	153	19. 161	193
11. 268	191,	16. 161	49	19. 197	272
	214	16. 189	192	19. 200	244
11. 272	214	16. 255	148	19. 217	246
11. 313	233	16. 264	186	19. 222	57
11. 334	144,	16. 280	184	20. 36	76
334	312	16. 389	247	20. 37	49
11. 404	230	16. 407	156	20. 55	68
11. 631	156	16. 412	177	20. 100	244
11. 726	155	16. 447	234	20. 297	230
11. 789	245	16. 453	312	20. 300	245
11. 844	274	16. 454	234	20. 374	192
12. 18	194	16. 505	312	20. 491	303
12. 42	76	16. 523	245	21. 38	275
12. 56	211	16. 575	234	21. 48	236
12. 64	211	16. 602	192	21. 130	242
12. 148	303	16. 642	254	21. 173	211
12. 159	250	16. 646	146	21. 256	247
12. 313	201	16. 681	237	21. 308	242
12. 349	244	16. 688	125	21. 383	191,
12. 386	144	16. 739	211	21. 303	194
12. 434	260	16. 761	271	21. 481	42
13. 60	190	17. 20	190	21. 608	101
13. 72	242	17. 22	73	22. 87	141
13. 180	275	17. 86	73 249	22. 141	213
13. 280	145-	17. 88-9	213	22. 149	248
13. 200	6	17. 121	242	22. 161	315
13. 282	144	17. 135	73	22. 263	148
13. 444	193	17. 239	245	22. 312	190
13. 447	247	17. 242	179	22. 338	312
13. 501	271	17. 256	217	22. 467	313
13. 634	189	17. 372	212	22. 504	141
13. 707	278	17. 438	249	23. 50	305
14. 13	101	17. 442	184	23. 65	314
14. 167	257	17. 456	191	23. 79	246
14. 271	31	17. 464	157	23. 94	180
14. 285	303	17. 476	191	23. 104	314
14. 295	246	17. 599	79	23. 111	305
14. 308	298	17. 675	215	23. 119	275
14. 345	212	17. 712	245	23. 137	236
14. 416	244	17. 751	247	23. 169	177
14. 418	192	18. 24	177	23. 177	203
14. 518	312	18. 71	213	23. 190	194
15. 94	146	18. 82	179	23. 238	194
15. 109	239	18. 114	180	23. 281	297
15. 143	102	18. 177	274	23. 370	144
15. 280	146	18. 264	192	23. 424	101
15. 313	213	18. 403	249	23. 468	191
15. 566	146	18. 411	49	23. 607	231
15. 631	200	18. 452	236	23. 688	249

23. 837	192	6. 19	257	11. 185	201
24. 34	267	6. 63	74	11. 220	194
24. 130	244	6. 72	101	11.270	189
24. 310	239	6. 79	297	11. 323	153
24. 315	268	6. 109	229	11. 387	314
24. 341	298	6. 157	141	11.441	242
24. 409	273	6. 188	204	11.452	245
24. 504	244	6. 228	229	11. 502	192
24. 650	101	6. 282	243	11.626	237
24. 681	159	6. 322	152	12. 11	275
24. 789	95	6. 325	245	12. 74	211
24. 792	194	7. 2	193	12. 219	102
Odyssey		7. 89	257	12. 279	189
1.59	244	7. 153	112	13.88	277
1.321	190	7. 179	203	13. 104	152
1.333	257	7. 197	68	13. 246	304
1. 343	180	7. 227	237	13. 351	304
1. 368	293	8. 2	157	13. 372	156
2. 167	201	8. 85	178	13. 407	200
2. 237	179	8. 95	69	14. 24	274
2. 365	33	8. 187	246	14. 79	4 I
2. 409	157	8. 212	245	14. 262	293
3. 27	153	8. 298	184	14. 336	237
3.71	297	8. 359	192	14. 420	112
3.72	127	8. 470	203	14. 426	312
3 · 74	314	8. 534	69	14. 504	254
3. 104	189	8. 556	237	15. 48	4 I
3. 175	277	9. 140	247	15. 74	237
3. 278	153	9. 233	199	15. 329	293
3. 450 4. 5	192	9. 234 9. 257	305 69	15. 377	41
4. 8	234 237	9. 290	249	15. 540 16. 83	245
4. 29	237	9. 291	273	16. 86	238
4. 35	245	9. 302	148	16. 138	293
4. 363	193	9. 382	211	16. 269	132 192
4. 379	245	9. 392	60	16. 275	230
4. 408	108	9. 423	315	16. 423	230
4. 449	109	9. 449	203	17. 20	254
4. 458	297	9. 451	254	17. 195	275
4. 560	237	9. 457	192	17. 234	102
4. 580	109	9. 461	234	17. 316	304
4. 643	127	9. 523	312	17. 334	41
4. 678	101	9. 524	236	17. 487	293
5. 29	245	10. 78	146	17. 555	230
5.59	112	10. 90	49	18. 34	158,
5. 70	248	10. 160	194	01	193
5. 167	239	10. 275	152	18. 122	245
5. 243	275	10. 351	155	18. 327	264
5. 257	305	10. 357	203	19. 68	264
5.393	215	10. 393	250	19. 204	249
5. 411	211	10. 426	152	19. 440	298
5. 478	194,	10. 461	146	19. 517	214
	298	11. 58	128	19. 541	242

HOMER Odyssey	(cont.)	fr. 21	205	Cat. 21	83
20. 7	246	IAMBLICHUS	_	Demon. 50	93
20. 19	190	Comm. Math.	4 207	57	122
20. 123	112	VP 30. 184	223	D. Mort. 4. 14	63
20. 336	201	IBYCUS	3	Fug. 13	160
21.45	257			Im. 6	301
21.91	31	7	141	Ind. 17	120
21. 108	153	ISOCRATES		J. Tr. 51	160
21. 154	312	2. 48	84	Macr. 14	93
21. 194	127	4. 12	97	Merc. Cond. 1	172
21. 289	32	4.74	175	Nig. 5	167
22. 5	31	4. 114	296	Pisc. 6	104
22. 203	191	4. 136	97	Pr. Im. 24	277
22. 245	315	5. 107	281	Prom. 12	305
22. 280	79	5. 109	286	Rh. Pr. 1	155
22. 394	185	7. 66	226	Salt. 81	217
22. 412	222	8. 10	174	Symp. 47	173
23. 178	101	8. 30	98	Syr. D. 15	272
23. 214	32	8. 53	205	23	84
24. 5	183	10. 38	286	Tim. 50	266
24. 81	159	12. 9	270	Tox. 14	235
24. 106	233	12. 24	98	VH 1.7	249
24. 193	129	12. 32	270	LYCOPHRON	
24. 319	191	12. 133	231	290	256
24. 352	293	12. 138	320	LYRICA ADESPOT	-
24. 364	273	12. 242	270		
24. 483	273	15. 157	98	111	141
h. Ap. 20	207	15. 175	93	LYSIAS	
172	290	15. 290	318	I. 2	296
237	222	15. 304	318	1. 31	170
371	158,	20. 2	296	2. 48	120
37	194	JOSEPHUS		6. 3	174
374	212	AJ 4. 8. 14	174	7. 27	91
h. Cer. 66	141	$B\mathcal{J}$ 2. 14. 1	63	7.34	168
187	141	5. 10. 3	32	13. 80	240
361	191	7. 357	119	15. 9	170
368	191	LIBANIUS		16. 10	204
383	277	Or. 18. 164	279	19. 22	175
386	304		279	19. 46	204
h. Hom. 8. 14	215	LONGINUS	0 .	19. 51	231
h. Merc. 130	222	1. 3	84	32. 12	318
173	222	4. 3	84	MARCUS ANTONIN	US
349	51	7. 4	123	4. 5	110
470	222	9. 14	109	4. 40	319
526	268	13. 4	307	6. 14	317
h. Pan. 33	301	23. 4	109 216	8. 44	63
h. Ven. 82	228	27. 2 29. I	76	MEMNON	
237	251	•	•	14. I	252
278	141	43. I	307	MENANDER	
•		LONGUS	_	Dysc. 775	40
HYPERIDES		4. 31	228	869	40
Eux. 35	276	LUCIAN		Epit. 347	168
Lyc. 17	178	Am. 12	305	Kith. 49	231

Sam. 353	178	Act. Ap. 7. 49	285	ORPHICA	
590	250	18. 6	179	fr. 21a	183
593	252	25. 7	69	PARMENIDES	3
fr. 462. 11	73	Ep. Rom. 5. 3	310	II. 3	104
494	240	8. 25	310	· ·	194
718	82	12. 19	285	PARTHENIUS	
MICHAEL EPHESI	IS	13. 1	316	9. 8	63
in PA 1.4	163	13.4	98	PAUSANIAS	
MOSCHUS	3	15. 23	284	1. 36. 3	153
3. 88	304	1 Ep. Cor. 2.	6 270	5. 8. 10	266
•	304	14. 16	285	8. 28. 6	173
NICANDER		15. 2	98,	PHERECRATES	
Al. 82 Th. 133	76		104	73. 5	289
	51	2 Ep. Cor. 1.		75· 5	186
484	251	6. 4	310	170	289
NONNUS		10. 10	69	PHILO	,
D. 1. 4	301	Ep. Gal. 3.4	98	Bel. 13	-6-
3. 69	305	4. 11	98	-	267
3. 252	305	Ep. Eph. 4. 27	285	PHILO JUDAEUS	
16. 91	305	Ep. Phil. 3. 15	270	1. 640	306
NOVUM		Ep. Col. 1. 11	310	2. 63	286
FESTAMENTUM		2. 18	98	PHILODEMUS	
$Ev.\ Matt.$		2 Ep. Thess.	0	Rh. 1. 193	186
I. 22	229	3. 5	308	2. 53	120
2. 20	315	1 Ep. Ti. 3. 3	40	PHILOSTRATUS	
3. 11	167	2 Ep. Ti. 3. 15		<i>Ep.</i> 33	
3. 12	56	Ep. Tit. 3. 2 Ep. Heb. 10. 2	40	Gym. 48	301 66
5. 48	270	10. 36		•	
6. 2	45	12. 17	310 285	PHILOSTRATUS JU	NIOR
12. 18	34	Ep. Jac. 3. 5	205 306	$Im.\ Praef.$	81
19. 21	270	4. 13	200	1. 9. 1	299
23. 23	68	5. 11	310	PHILOXENUS	
Ev. Marc.		1 Ep. Pet. 3. 20		8	141
8. 36 12. 6	315	Apoc. 3. 10	310	PHILUMENUS	•
16.6	33	12. 8	284	Ven. 17. 1	
Ev. Luc. 1. 34	283	12. 14	284	•	200
2. 7	229 284	13. 10	310	PHRYNICHUS	
4. 17	286	14. 10	150	<i>PS</i> p. 19 B	210
4. 28	149	14. 12	310	PHYLARCHUS	
7. 11	109	20. 11	284	36	184
8. 15	308	ONOSANDER	•	PINDAR	•
9. 37	109	6. I	216	O. 1. 49	
12. 19	317	10. 2	216	2. 12	273 202
13.8	116		210	2. 45	
14. 9	284	OPPIAN		2. 66	141 201
15. 14	169	C. 1. 326	82	2. 70	317
16. 1	91	H. 2. 412	299	3. 25	148
18. 12	309	5. 430	164	3. 30	82
21. 19	309	ORIBASIUS		6. 68	141
23. 31	299	5. 27. I	252	7. 67	180
Ev. Jo. 6. 1	139	7. 26. 25	292	7. 69	297
14. 2	284	45. 18. 9	292	7. 70	212

PINDAR O. (co	ont.)	I. 1. 67	202	12d	225
8. 39	317	5. 52	204	Grg. 449d	130
8. 85	214	6. 22	276	453e	81
10. 3	83	7. 24	141	463e	217
10. 9	214	7. 45	256	468d	130
10. 92	256	8. 28	146	474d	103
12. 6	277	fr. 70a. 14	140	479a	272
13. 27	202	75.5	153	48oc	272
13. 57	279	122. 15	268	505d	182
13. 115	267	133	314	513d	174
P. 1. 9	300	169. 1	207	523b	104
1. 20	212		•	Hipp. 225b	97
1.48	318	PLACITA		Lg. 629d	103
1. 67	267	PHILOSOPHORU		633d	147
1. 72	295	2. 32. 2	183	647d	270
2. 28	293	PLATO		656a	185
3. 61	319	Alc. 1. 116d	126	678b	270
3. 68	277	Αμ. 1. 1100 Αμ. 17a		679c	122
3. 70	202	17c	232	695c	278
3. 70 3. 97	214	•	97 68	696a	•
3. 97 4. 40	297	23a 26e		700c	205
4. 76	297 256		294	•	175
	250	30b	318	705c	281,
4. 150		35c	174		306
4. 159	314	39b	215	717d	150
4. 203	238	<i>Cra</i> . 399b	70	746e	299
4. 285	42	399d	109	754e	87
4. 289	102	400a	319	755e	159
5. 55	204	403e`	270	760c	281
5. 97	157	419d	148	761c	306
9. 36	222	419e	143	793a	277
9. 89	230,	426e	175	834c	266
,	268	428b	85	836b	274
9. 96	147	Cri. 43c	69,	842e	185
10. 36	295		127	849d	306
11.21	314	46e	104	850b	85
11. 55	201	Criti. 106b	268	854d	82
N. 1. 2	141	109c	199	857b	226
1. 25	42	112a	298	861d	225
1. 32	231	116a	275	863a	205
1. 47	313	118e	276	867b	149
1. 50	295	119a	283	873a	316
3. 80	243	120C	83	884a	294
3. 82	200	<i>D€f</i> . 412c	308	888a	149
5. 51	171	<i>Ep</i> . 310d	238	892c	319
6. 7	85	321C	155	9 0 8d	187
7. 23	291	323b	238	919b	274
8. 44	314	335a	152	923c	83-4
9. 32	317	353e	274	927b	217,
9.39	318	<i>Epin</i> . 975c	243		314
10. 18	267	Euthd. 283e	179	929c	266
10. 56	202	302d	230	955e	157
10. 62	215	Euthphr. 2b	86	<i>Men</i> . 81b	314
11.48	214	5d	225	Mx. 241a	109

2428	122	416e	226	Ti anh	
242a 247b	176	•	81	Ti. 20b	109
Phd. 67e	•	420c		39d	182,
	92	426e	272		269
70c	314	429d	61	69a	306
85b	152	438c	65	69b	182
87d	252	439e	101	70b	191
98c	232	443b	268	70d	276
Phdr. 233c	170	450a	188	73e	60
237c	128	465a	150	74¢	214
238a	294	485d	252	77 ^c	276
245b	186	495b	252	8oa	214
247c	281	496b	319	84c	252
249b	314	498c	90,	PLOTINUS	
250d	215		104	2. 1. 6	188
258a	126	506c	291		
264a	180	509d	278	4. 4. 8	184
268d	213	516c	215	5. 5. 8	190
268e	71	518c	290	PLUTARCH	
269e	270	520a	175	Aem. 19. 9	292
272a	208-	526b	217	Alc. 21. 5	252
	9	528a	109	37	295
276c	83,	541a	103	Alex. 18. 2	292
•	298	550d	291	18. 4	200
Phlb. 15e	188	550e	121	Ant. 2. 8	123
30c	317	552d	103	58	46
40e	150	566b	QI	Arist. 4. 1	167
42C	100	566e	187	Art. 25. 1	255
49a	278	568d	152	Brut. 29. 1	302
51a	175	579b	317	31. 2	251
66d	182	600d	178	Caes. 58. 5	291
Plt. 271b	109	608d	314	Cam, 6	98
272a	304	616a	101	Cat. Mi. 6. 3	213
286c	109	Smp. 173a	128,	Comp. Lyc.	213
287b	278	Smp. 1/3a		Num. 3. 2	
295d	8 ₅	1710	130		170
2930 299a		174e	230	Cor. 3	250
299a Prm. 144d	231	191d	274	32	160
Prt. 322e	204	191e	142	Crass. 23	52
_	103	192c	317	Demetr. 12	238
323e	150	196a	300	Dio 27	186
325d	236	222c, d	90	Galb. 18. 3	292
326d	82,	Sph. 223c	278	Mar. 28. 1	302
	97,	253a	233	41.6	148
	103	Thg. 122b	154	Marc. 17. 11	Į I 2
332c	70	Tht. 143a	83	41.6	148
338a	² 77	147a	162	Num. 15. 4	170
R. 329e	186	147c	298	Pel. 1. 4	309
344a	226,	152d	65	Per. 2	122
01	269	160e	130	5⋅ 3	302
348b	269	162b	300	6. 2	168
353e	318	163а	188	Publ. 7	174
373d	199	189d	71	9. 4	149
377e	18	210c	68	Pyrrh. 25. 3	305
390a	109	210d	86	Rom. Thes. 3. 2	150

PLUTARCH (cont	.)	POLYBIUS		46. 15	316
Sol. 3. 1	303	I. I. 4	84	Ex. 5. 7	58
12. 5	291	1. 17. 5	66	16. 16	180
22. 4	45	1. 58. 5	161	21.13	284
Sull. 20. 5	291	1. 60. 3	153	27. 4	115
TG 15.2	158	1. 76. 3	65	Le. 4. 17	60
Them. 12. 1	172	1. 85. 1	176	17. 11	320
17	45	1.88.2	285	25. 3	272
Thes. 25. 5	122	2. 4. 8	102	Nu. 32. 1	285
34. 1	91	2. 16. 14	307	De. 12. 23	320
2. 2b	291	2. 47. 10	103	Id. 11. 34	33
2. 7b	277	2. 54. 2	185	Ju. 9. 4	122
2. 51c	302	4. 27. 8	123	1 Ki. 19. 5	315
2. 98a	291	4. 51. 1	309	28. 21	315
2. 124e	45	4. 73. 8	283	2 Ki. 8. 8	
2. 148d	90	5. 7. 10	304	3 Ki. 7. 16	139 182
2. 165a	200	6. 8. 5	296		
2. 180e		6. 17. 4	38	3 Ki. 7. 23	139
2. 236d	113	6. 47. 8	206	18. 32, 35	140
2. 230G 2. 272f	314	8. 5. 10	172	19. 10	315
•	90 60	9. 41. 4	•	4 Ki. 12. 9	258
2. 279c	69		115	19. 31	122
2. 292d	224	12. 4. 14	250	1 Ch. 29. 15	308
2. 325c	152	12. 6. 4	180	2 Ch. 9. 20	172-
2. 365a	224	12. 25 ¹ . 1	286	N/	3
2. 462b	285	15. 1. 1	72	Jb. 1. 17	182
2. 563d	92	15. 15. 8	309	14. 19	310
2. 596a	67	21. 18. 2	286	28. 1	285
2. 636c	320	21. 24. 3	273	Ps. 9. 19	310
2. 682b	232	21. 32. 15	273	29(30). 4	224
2. 687c	291	29. 8. 9	161	61.5	310
2. 713a	302	30. 19. 2	91	78. 5	122
2. 727d	90	PROCOPIUS		118. 109	315
2. 751a	303	Arc. 14. 10	63	Si. prol. 4	103
2. 759c	298	22. 19	94	4. 5	285
2. 782e	253	•		Mi. 7. 2	319
2. 802b	306	QUINTUS SMYRN		H. 3(4). 18	249
2. 809f	93	4. 319	55	Is. 5. 6	272
2. 812c	160	RUFINUS		11.7	58
2. 815d	160	Onom. 171	51	14. 2	284
2. 930b	94	SAPPHO		42. I	34
2. 975b	29 I	I. 4	146	49. 20	284
2. 981d	157	1. 4	•	56. 5	284
2. 983d	292	44. 6	148	66. i	285
2. 1003e	285	96. 17	153	Je. 14. 8	310
2. 1013e	319		64	17. 13	310
2. 10130	3.9	SCHOLIA		2 Ma. 2. 18	281
POLLUX		Ar. <i>Pl</i> . 287	249	3 Ma. 3. 1	72
1. 93	159	SEMONIDES		4 Ma. 9. 8	309
2. 94	47	7. 61	163		
2. 180	160	7. 76	262	SEXTUS EMPIRICUS	S
2. 186	181	SEPTUAGINTA (adv. dogmat.	. 0
7. 105	286	_ `	,	1.6	187
7. 121	182	Ge. 1. 30 20. 1	313	M. 1. 100	299
		20. 1	185	1. 113	214

8. 360 187 406 SIMONIDES 432 5. 9 206 449	263 234 225 274 205 316	546 556 622 636 676	68 235 130 188
SIMONIDES 432 5. 9 206 449	225 274 205 316	622 636	130
5. 9 206 449	274 205 316	636	•
J.) = ===	205 316	·	188
EOX	316	676	
J-2 J-2	-	•	101
SOLON		736	131
12.46 215 700	313	761	235
12 47 001	294	975	146
28 1 288	281	979	96
fr 52b 520	274	1027	282
903	316	1127	281
4: 0	316	1134	281
	235	1139	255
	148	1338	32
	258	1379	152
	128	1428	155
	146	1518	236
•	101	1526	120
521 230 1492		h. 19	239
	283	40	282
0.4	282	45	236
	253	55	316
	157	144	28 I
	246	157	283
	304	204	282
	155	208	69
	223	368	69
	283	393	201
	235	489	256
	282	504	104
Ant. 18 102 883	294	582	91
	119	619	274
	282	662	224
	149,	709	203
	215	712	319
	68	808	216
	263	866	184
	186	945	166
	315	1014	318
	69	1045	68
	185	1068	243
	231	1162	235
	282	1196	262
	185	1215	155
	235	1260	102
	67	1325	83
	239	1330	67
	239	1429	238
	291	1445	239
	291 T	r. 57	204
	85	148	227
	290	235	67
150 205 477	304	269	101

SOPHOCLES Tr	. (cont.)	14. 3. 8	153	4. 4. 9	57
398	205			4. 10. 7	178
468	251	TELECLIDES		5. 7.1	306
483	205	1.4	250	5. 9. 3	214
571	237	TESTAMENTUM		5. 9. 7	114
574	60	EPICTETI		7. 6. I	167
580	60	8. 8	176	8. 4. 1	58
698	252		•	9. 8. 2	181
955	282	THEOCRITUS		9. 9. 5	200
966	67	1. 55	300	9. 9. 3	161
974	187	1. 96	70	Lap. 9	252
1084	263	1. 100	69	• •	454
1100	282	2. 89	250	THUCYDIDES	
1104	291	5. 124	248	I. 2. 2	201
1189	102	5. 126	248	1. 5. 3	203
1195	306	5. 127	61	1. 6. 2	203
1219	228	8. 10	232	1. 46. 4	248
fr. 24	204	8. 35	317	1. 49. 3	147
144	205	8. 87	181	1. 70. 2	217
271. I	248	10. 19	291	1. 82. 1	187
368		10. 49	56	1. 91. 2	236
-	295 260	12. 24	51	1. 91. 3	240
432		13. 59	53	I. 100. 2	201
593. 6	291	16. 24	317	1. 112. 5	153
724	104	17. 130	147	1. 129. 3	234
811	83	18. 47	82	1. 136. 4	315
838	290	22. 14	97	2. 5. 2	249
844	69	24. 15	257	2. 7. 1	104
933	69	25. 22	268	2. 8. 3	185
SORANUS		25. 206	301	2. 11. 7	149
1. 1	278	_	·	2. 13. 5	258
1. 46. 1	309	THEOGNIS		2. 18. 5	276
1.83	271	132	224	2. 19. 2	276
1. 98	278	366	217	2. 20. 2	276
2. 2	282	379	293	2. 40. 3	318
2. 11	307	448	249	2. 40. 4	231
2. 14	307	512	262	2. 49. 2, 3	169
Fasc. 4	202	639	251	2. 52. 3	152,
		730	314	: J: J	226
STOBAEUS		744	104	2. 75. 2	275,
3. 1. 117	194	754	104	/3	305
STRABO		1009	231	2. 81. 1	240
1. 1. 17	291	1030	217	2. 83. 3	89
1. 2. 21	210	THEOPHRASTUS		2. 98. 1	275
2. 1. 12	188	Char. 6. 1	308	2. 100. 2	276
5. 1. 2	176	17. 3	317	2. 102. 6	283
5. 1. 12	305	28. 1	318	3. 4. 4	90
6. 2. 6	152	CP 2. 4. 7	47	3. 6. 1	166
7.3.4	188	3. 2. 8	47 292	3. I3. I	240
7. 5. 8	248	3. 7. 5	267	3. 48. 1	205
10. 2. 21	285	5. 16. 3	308	3. 70. 4	275
11. 13. 11	206	HP 1. 7. 1	285	3. 85. 2	169
14. 1. 41	123	1. 8. 4	292	3. 88. 2	153
14. 2. 27	120	2. 4. 4	320	3. 105. 1	169
•		1. 1	J	J J	- 79

3. 109. 2	91	1. 8. 1	255	8. 7. 4	317
3. 114. 1	204	1. 9. 25	238	8. 7. 19	313
3. 116. 1	249	2. 1. 9	72	Eq. 1.6	301
4. 9. 3	169	2. 3. I	240	4. 3	255,
4. 14. 3	126	2. 6. 25	224		298
4. 24. 4	169	4. 4. 4	281	7. 7	300
4. 69. 2	305	4. 6. 11	169	9. 2	147
4. 126. 6	216	4. 6. 17	199	10. 7	173
5. 23. I	166	5. 3. 13	154	10. 15	301
5. 42. I	199	5. 6. 4	155	II. I	318
5 45 3	91	5. 8. 14	169	Eq. Mag. 8. 24	169
5. 47. 8	267	5. 8. 18	272	HG 1.2.3	103
5 · 77 ، 79	138	6. 4. 27	185	1. 7. 20	170
5. 104	224	7. 3. 21	204	1. 7. 34	85
5. 111. 2	166	7.7.43	317	2. I. 22	185
6. 7. ı	276	Ath. 1. 14	167	2. 2. 10	294
6. 11. 5	230	Cyn. 4. 1	300	4. 4. 9	40
6. 30. 1	89	5. 31	300	6. 5. 18	166
6. 34. 3	187	6. 15	191	7. 1. 31	191
6. 51. 1	34,	6. 20	213	7. 2. 8	178
	38	7⋅4	69	Lac. 11. 6	52
6. 54. 4	281	13. 2	83	Mem. 1. 2. 30	233
6. 56. 2	240	Cyr. 1. 2. 4	266	1. 2. 42	85
6. 88. т	232	1. 4. 22	169	1. 4. 6	27 I
7. 14. I	84	1.4.23	131	1.4.15	236
7. 29. 4	108,	1.5.4	240	2. 1. 13	275
	110	2. 4. 20	283	2. I. 20	286
7. 72. I	169	3. 1. 36	315	2. 6. 12	166
8. 46. 5	166	3. 1. 37	148	2. 9. 5	231
8. 50. 2	240	3. 1. 42	238	3. 3. 12	237
8. 50. 5	315	3. 2. 20	199	3. 6. 12	67
8. 81. 2	91	3. 3. 45	290	3. 9. I	166
8. 96. 5	215	3. 3. 61	191	3. 9. 7	170
THE A PLIC		3. 3. 66	181	3. 10. 10	168
TYRTAEUS	215	4. 1. 16	40	4. 2. 2	186
10. 14	315	4. 3. 21	85	4. 3. 14	317
VETUS TESTA	MENTUM	4. 5. 34	85	Oec. 6. 14	317
see SEPTUA	GINTA	4. 6. 5	262	6. 16	318
renovorino il il il Di	10	4, 6, 6	230	8. т	187
VETTIUS VALE	186	5. 1. 23	97	16. 11	186
44. 22	100	5.3.37	67	16. 13	304
XENARCHUS		6. 1. 36	40	17. 9	167
4. 20	315	6. 2. 9	236	17. 12	304
•		6. 2. 10	240	18. 2	56
XENOPHANES		6. 2. 31	214	19. 10	166
1. 17	294	6. 2. 33	318	20. 15	318
XENOPHON		7. 1. 30	166	20. 28	97
An. 1. 2. 10	255	7. 3. 8	319	Smp. 4. 8	289
1. 3. 14	37	8. 3. 12	114	4. 11	232
1. 5. 1	304	8. 3. 30	250	4. 12	290
1. 5. 10	37	8. 4. 14	294	Vect. 5. 4	152

INSCRIPTIONS

Buck, Gr. Dial.		12 (3). 170. 12	132	362. 47	268
39	117	12 (7). 401. 14	284	409. 8	231
CEG 372	55	12 (7). 515. 63	283	412. I	75,
CIG 2782. 31	182	12 (8). 569	287		269
		12 (9). 7. 4	183	412. 4	39
CIL 3. 567. 3	283	14. 830	63	428. 13	121
Didyma	101	14. 1183	180	509. 19	159
Dodona		Leg. Gort. 1.51	130	511. 2	159
Doaona	132	3. 21	84	654. 1, 11	199
Inscr. Cret.		5. 9	130	679. 10	125,
1. 1. 17. 14	298	6. 31	133	n	133
4. 78. 7	84	8. 18	130	731. B. 7	232
Inscr. Délos		9. 23	133	758	218
1417 C 58, 89	77	9. 25	92	Арр. І. а	218
		LSAG pl. 1. 1	218	SEG 1. 366. 58	226
IG 12. 10. 3	204	pl. 7. 5	151	1. 400	270
1 ² . 45. 7	204	pl. 26. 2	151	2. 710. 13	115
1 ² . 54. 7	247	Marsala	-	3. 115. 18	37
1 ² . 76. 56	276	Mon. Anc. Gr.	137	3. 400. 9	268
1 ² . 84. 27	240		0	10. 238. 51	105
12. 186	225	5. 17	158	24. 361	117
1 ² . 394	293	OGI 50. 3	202	26. 461. 7	138
1². 893 1². 920	206	Pech-Maho	134	27. 124	269
1°. 920 1°. 945	158	Schwyzer	01	30. 355	269
2. 4054	314	•		30. 1352	46
2. 4054 2 ² . 236	121 139	51 62, 63	133 43	31. 2. C. 46	219
2°. 463. 42, 44	178	66	43 158	32. 637	95
2°. 1013. 8, 10	261	74. I	158	37. 340. 23	131
2 ² . 1544. 24	40	83. B. 10	267	SIG 685. 84	168
2°. 1672. 304	175	90. 10	84	888. 10	158
2 ² . 13196	279	109. 4	298	1027. 13	164
4 ² . (1). 65. 8	283	109. 40	272	1073. 48	160
4 ² (1). 101. 47	266	109. 98	295	Tabulae Heracleer	ises
42 (1). 103. 94	257	135. 2	154	1. 12-13	44
4 ² (1). 122. 40	272	175	84	1. 36	163
42 (1). 122. 98	295	181. 7. 15	130	1.74	154
5 (2). 549. 2	266	186. 9	138	1. 121	131
9 (1). 334. 47	268	214	221	1. 125	132
12 (3). 536,		309	132	2. 93	43
540, 546	221	362. 2	226	TAPhA 65. 105	56

PAPYRI

BGU 256. 9	201	P. Grenf.		900. 7	216
612	45	1. 1. 13	121	909. 18	267
896. 4	284	2. 76. 19	269	912. 13	284
1114.6	283	D ** 1 0	0	1129. 10	284
P. Cair. Zen.	Ü	P. Hamb. 4. 8	158	2106. 24	283
	0	10. 14	269	2115.6	216
327. 83	285	P. Hib. 1. 32. 8	206	2728	286
344. 2	256	1 . 1110. 1. 32. 0	290		
462. 9	295	P. Oxy. 99.9	201	P. Oxy. Hels.	134
692	113	239	84	P. Teb. 5. 83	283
782(a). 141	259	282. 7	111		v
P. Giss. 6. 7	63	502. 34	284	23. 4	94
P. Goodsp. Ca	ir	525. 3	63	SB 5277.5	266
15 Goodsp. Cu	66	474. 28	109	5343. 41	91