Money

David Hume(1752)

Money is not, properly speaking, one of the subjects of commerce; only the instrument which men have agreed upon to facilitate theof one commodity for another. It is none of the wheels of: It is the oil which renders the motion of the wheels moreand easy. If we consider any one kingdom by itself, it is, that the greater or less plenty of money is of no; since the prices of commodities are always proportioned the plenty of money, and a crown in HARRY VII's time served thepurpose as a pound does at present. It is only the public whichany advantage from the greater plenty of money; and that only inwars and negociations with foreign states. And this is the reason, all rich and trading countries from CARTHAGE to GREAT BRITAIN and, have employed mercenary troops, which they hired from their neighbours. Were they to make use of their native subjects, would find less advantage from their superior riches, and fromgreat plenty of gold and silver; since the pay of all theirmust rise in proportion to the public opulence. Our smallof 20,000 men is maintained at as great expence as a FRENCH armyas numerous. The ENGLISH fleet, during the late war, required asmoney to support it as all the ROMAN legions, which kept theworld in subjection, during the time of the emperors.

The greater number of people and their greater industry arein all cases; at home and abroad, in private, and in. But the greater plenty of money, is very limited in its use, may even sometimes be a loss to a nation in its commerce with.

There seems to be a happy concurrence of causes in human affairs, checks the growth of trade and riches, and hinders them fromconfined entirely to one people; as might naturally at first befrom the advantages of an established commerce. Where onehas gotten the start of another in trade, it is very difficult the latter to regain the ground it has lost; because of theindustry and skill of the former, and the greater stocks, ofits merchants are possessed, and which enable them to trade onmuch smaller profits. But these advantages are compensated, in some, by the low price of labour in every nation which has not ancommerce, and does not much abound in gold and silver., therefore gradually shift their places, leaving thoseand provinces which they have already enriched, and flyingothers, whither they are allured by the cheapness of provisions and; till they have enriched these also, and are again banished bysame causes. And, in general, we may observe, that the dearness ofthing, from plenty of money, is a disadvantage, which attends ancommerce, and sets bounds to it in every country, bythe poorer states to undersell the richer in all foreign.

This has made me entertain a doubt concerning the benefit of bankspaper-credit, which are so generally esteemed advantageous tonation. That provisions and labour should become dear by theof trade and money, is, in many respects, an inconvenience; an inconvenience that is unavoidable, and the effect of thatwealth and prosperity which are the end of all our wishes. Itcompensated by the advantages, which we reap from the possession ofprecious metals, and the weight, which they give the nation inforeign wars and negociations. But there appears no reason forthat inconvenience by a counterfeit money, which foreignersnot accept of in any payment, and which any great disorder in the will reduce to nothing. There are, it is true, many people inrich state, who having large

sums of money, would prefer papergood security; as being of more easy transport and more safe. If the public provide not a bank, private bankers will takeof this circumstance; as the goldsmiths formerly did in, or as the bankers do at present in DUBLIN: And therefore it is, it may be thought, that a public company should enjoy theof that papercredit, which always will have place in everykingdom. But to endeayour artificially to encrease such a, can never be the interest of any trading nation; but must layunder disadvantages, by encreasing money beyond its naturalto labour and commodities, and thereby heightening theirto the merchant and manufacturer. And in this view, it must be, that no bank could be more advantageous, than such a one asup all the money it received, and never augmented thecoin, as is usual, by returning part of its treasure into. A public bank, by this expedient, might cut off much of theof private bankers and money-jobbers; and though the statethe charge of salaries to the directors and tellers of this bank(for, according to the preceding supposition, it would have no profitits dealings), the national advantage, resulting from the low of labour and the destruction of paper-credit, would be acompensation. Not to mention, that so large a sum, lyingat command, would be a convenience in times of great publicand distress; and what part of it was used might be replaced at, when peace and tranquillity was restored to the nation.

But of this subject of paper credit we shall treat more largely. And I shall finish this essay on money, by proposing andtwo observations, which may, perhaps, serve to employ theof our speculative politicians.

It was a shrewd observation of ANACHARSIS the SCYTHIAN, who hadseen money in his own country, that gold and silver seemed toof no use to the GREEKS, but to assist them in numeration and. It is indeed evident, that money is nothing but the flabour and commodities, and serves only as a methodrating or estimating them. Where coin is in greater plenty; as aquantity of it is required to represent the same quantity of; it can have no effect, either good or bad, taking a nationitself; any more than it would make an alteration on a's books, if, instead of the ARABIAN method of notation, whichfew characters, he should make use of the ROMAN, which a great many. Nay, the greater quantity of money, like thecharacters, is rather inconvenient, and requires greater troubleto keep and transport it. But notwithstanding this conclusion, must be allowed just, it is certain, that, since the discoverythe mines in AMERICA, industry has encreased in all the nations of, except in the possessors of those mines; and this may justly ascribed, amongst other reasons, to the encrease of gold and. Accordingly we find, that, in every kingdom, into which moneyto flow in greater abundance than formerly, every thing takes aface: labour and industry gain life; the merchant becomes more, the manufacturer more diligent and skilful, and even thefollows his plough with greater alacrity and attention. This iseasily to be accounted for, if we consider only the influencea greater abundance of coin has in the kingdom itself, bythe price of Commodities, and obliging every one to pay anumber of these little yellow or white pieces for every thingpurchases. And as to foreign trade, it appears, that great plentymoney is rather disadvantageous, by raising the price of every kindlabour.

To account, then, for this phenomenon, we must consider, thatthe high price of commodities be a necessary consequence of theof gold and silver, yet it follows not immediately upon that; but some time is required before the money circulatesthe whole

state, and makes its effect be felt on all ranks of. At first, no alteration is perceived; by degrees the price, first of one commodity, then of another; till the whole at lasta just proportion with the new quantity of specie which is inkingdom. In my opinion, it is only in this interval orsituation, between the acquisition of money and rise of, that the encreasing quantity of gold and silver is favourable industry. When any quantity of money is imported into a nation, itnot at first dispersed into many hands; but is confined to theof a few persons, who immediately seek to employ it to. Here are a set of manufacturers or merchants, we shall, who have received returns of gold and silver for goods whichsent to CADIZ. They are thereby enabled to employ more workmenformerly, who never dream of demanding higher wages, but are glademployment from such good paymasters. If workmen become scarce, thegives higher wages, but at first requires an encrease of; and this is willingly submitted to by the artisan, who can nowand drink better, to compensate his additional toil and fatigue.carries his money to market, where he, finds every thing at theprice as formerly, but returns with greater quantity and ofkinds, for the use of his family. The farmer and gardener,, that all their commodities are taken off, apply themselves alacrity to the raising more; and at the same time can afford to better and more cloths from their tradesmen, whose price is theas formerly, and their industry only whetted by so much new gain is easy to trace the money in its progress through the whole; where we shall find, that it must first quicken theof every individual, before it encrease the price of labour.

And that the specie may encrease to a considerable pitch, beforehave this latter effect, appears, amongst other instances, from theoperations of the FRENCH king on the money; where it wasfound, that the augmenting of the numerary value did nota proportional rise of the prices, at least for some time. Inlast year of LOUIS XIV, money was raised three-sevenths, butaugmented only one. Corn in FRANCE is now sold at the same, or for the same number of livres, it was in 1683; though silverthen at 30 livres the mark, and is now at 50. Not to mention theaddition of gold and silver, which may have come into thatsince the former period.

From the whole of this reasoning we may conclude, that it is of noof consequence, with regard to the domestic happiness of a, whether money be in a greater or less quantity. The good policythe magistrate consists only in keeping it, if possible, still; because, by that means, he keeps alive a spirit ofin the nation, and encreases the stock of labour, in whichall real power and riches. A nation, whose money decreases, actually, at that time, weaker and more miserable than another, which possesses no more money, but is on the encreasing hand will be easily accounted for, if we consider, that thein the quantity of money, either on one side or the other, not immediately attended with proportionable alterations in theof commodities. There is always an interval before matters beto their new situation; and this interval is as pernicious to, when gold and silver are diminishing, as it is advantageousthese metals are encreasing. The workman has not the samefrom the manufacturer and merchant; though he pays the samefor every thing in the market. The farmer cannot dispose of hisand cattle; though he must pay the same rent to his landlord. The, and beggary, and sloth, which must ensue, are easily.

II. The second observation which I proposed to make with regard to, may be explained after the following manner. There are some, and many provinces in EUROPE,

(and all of them were once insame condition) where money is so scarce, that the landlord cannone at all from his tenants; but is obliged to take his rent in, and either to consume it himself, or transport it to placeshe may find a market. In those countries, the prince can levyor no taxes, but in the same manner: And as he will receive smallfrom impositions so paid, it is evident that such a kingdomlittle force even at home; and cannot maintain fleets and armiesthe same extent, as if every part of it abounded in gold and. There is surely a greater disproportion between the force of, at present, and what it was three centuries ago, than therein its industry, people, and manufactures. The AUSTRIAN dominions the empire are in general well peopled and well cultivated, and aregreat extent; but have not a proportionable weight in the balanceEUROPE; proceeding, as is commonly supposed, from the scarcity of. How do all these facts agree with that principle of reason, the quantity of gold and silver is in itself altogether? According to that principle wherever a sovereign hasof subjects, and these have plenty of commodities, he should course be great and powerful, and they rich and happy, independent he greater or lesser abundance of the precious metals. These admitdivisions and subdivisions to a great extent; and where the piecesbecome so small as to be in danger of being lost, it is easy to the gold or silver with a baser metal, as is practised in some of EUROPE; and by that means raise the pieces to a bulk moreand convenient. They still serve the same purposes of, whatever their number may be, or whatever colour they may beto have.

To these difficulties I answer, that the effect, here supposed to from scarcity of money, really arises from the manners and of the people; and that we mistake, as is too usual, aeffect for a cause. The contradiction is only apparent; but requires some thought and reflection to discover the principles, bywe can reconcile reason to experience.

It seems a maxim almost self-evident, that the prices of everydepend on the proportion between commodities and money, and that considerable alteration on either has the same effect, either ofor lowering the price. Encrease the commodities, they cheaper; encrease the money, they rise in their value. As, onother hand, a diminution of the former, and that of the latter, contrary tendencies.

It is also evident, that the prices do not so much depend on thequantity of commodities and that of money, which are in a, as on that of the commodities, which come or may come to, and of the money which circulates. If the coin be locked up in, it is the same thing with regard to prices, as if it were; if the commodities be hoarded in magazines and granaries, like effect follows. As the money and commodities, in these cases, meet, they cannot affect each other. Were we, at any time, toconjectures concerning the price of provisions, the corn, which farmer must reserve for seed and for the maintenance of himselffamily, ought never to enter into the estimation. It is only the, compared to the demand, that determines the value.

To apply these principles, we must consider, that, in the firstmore uncultivated ages of any state, ere fancy has confounded herwith those of nature, men, content with the produce of their own, or with those rude improvements which they themselves can workthem, have little occasion for exchange, at least for money,, by agreement, is the common measure of exchange. The wool offarmer's own flock, spun in his own family, and wrought by aweaver, who receives his payment in corn or wool, for furniture and cloathing. The carpenter, the smith, the, the tailor, are retained by wages of a like nature;

and thehimself, dwelling in the neighbourhood, is content to receiverent in the commodities raised by the farmer. The greater part ofhe consumes at home, in rustic hospitality: The rest, perhaps, disposes of for money to the neighbouring town, whence he draws thematerials of his expence and luxury.

But after men begin to refine on all these enjoyments, and livealways at home, nor are content with what can be raised in their, there is more exchange and commerce of all kinds, andmoney enters into that exchange. The tradesmen will not be paidcorn; because they want something more than barely to eat. Thegoes beyond his own parish for the commodities he purchases, cannot always carry his commodities to the merchant who supplies. The landlord lives in the capital, or in a foreign country; andhis rent in gold and silver, which can easily be transportedhim. Great undertakers, and manufacturers, and merchants, arise incommodity; and these can conveniently deal in nothing but in. And consequently, in this situation of society, the coininto many more contracts, and by that means is much morethan in the former.

The necessary effect is, that, provided the money encrease not innation, every thing must become much cheaper in times of industryrefinement, than in rude, uncultivated ages. It is the proportionthe circulating money, and the commodities in the market, determines the prices. Goods, that are consumed at home, or with other goods in the neighbourhood, never come to market; affect not in the least the current specie; with regard to itare as if totally annihilated; and consequently this method of them sinks the proportion on the side of the commodities, and the prices. But after money enters into all contracts and, and is every where the measure of exchange, the same nationalhas a much greater task to perform; all commodities are then inmarket; the sphere of circulation is enlarged; it is the same caseif that individual sum were to serve a larger kingdom; and, the proportion being here lessened on the side of the, every thing must become cheaper, and the prices gradually fall.

By the most exact computations, that have been formed all over, after making allowance for the alteration in the numeraryor the denomination, it is found, that the prices of all thingsonly risen three, or at most, four times, since the discovery of WEST INDIES. But will any one assert, that there is not much morefour times the coin in EUROPE, that was in the fifteenth century, the centuries preceding it? The SPANIARDS and PORTUGUESE frommines, the ENGLISH, FRENCH, and DUTCH, by their AFRICAN trade, by their interlopers in the WEST INDIES, bring home about sixa year, of which not above a third goes to the EAST-INDIES.sum alone, in ten years, would probably double the ancient stockmoney in EUROPE. And no other satisfactory reason can be given, whyprices have not risen to a much more exorbitant height, except which is derived from a change of customs and manners. Besidesmore commodities are produced by additional industry, the samecome more to market, after men depart from their ancient of manners. And though this encrease has not been equal toof money, it has, however, been considerable, and has preserved proportion between coin and commodities nearer the ancient.

Were the question proposed, Which of these methods of living inpeople, the simple or refined, is the most advantageous to theor public? I should, without much

scruple, prefer the latter, inview to politics at least; and should produce this as an additional for the encouragement of trade and manufactures.

While men live in the ancient simple manner, and supply all theirfrom domestic industry or from the neighbourhood, thecan levy no taxes in money from a considerable part of his; and if he will impose on them any burthens, he must takein commodities, with which alone they abound; a methodwith such great and obvious inconveniencies, that they needhere be insisted on. All the money he can pretend to raise, mustfrom his principal cities, where alone it circulates; and these, itevident, cannot afford him so much as the whole state could, didand silver circulate throughout the whole. But besides thisdiminution of the revenue, there is another cause of theof the public in such a situation. Not only the sovereignless money, but the same money goes not so far as in times of and general commerce. Every thing is dearer, where the goldsilver are supposed equal; and that because fewer commodities comemarket, and the whole coin bears a higher proportion to what is topurchased by it; whence alone the prices of every thing are fixeddetermined.

Here then we may learn the fallacy of the remark, often to be metin historians, and even in common conversation, that anystate is weak, though fertile, populous, and well, merely because it wants money. It appears, that the wantmoney can never injure any state within itself: For men andare the real strength of any community. It is the simpleof living which here hurts the public, by confining the goldsilver to few hands, and preventing its universal diffusion and. On the contrary, industry and refinements of all kindsit with the whole state, however small its quantity may: They digest it into every vein, so to speak; and make it enterevery transaction and contract. No hand is entirely empty of it.as the prices of every thing fall by that means, the sovereign hasdouble advantage: He may draw money by his taxes from every part ofstate; and what he receives, goes farther in every purchase and.

We may infer, from a comparison of prices, that money is not morein CHINA, than it was in EUROPE three centuries ago: Butimmense power is that empire possessed of, if we may judge by theand military establishment maintained by it? POLYBIUS tells us,provisions were so cheap in ITALY during his time, that in somethe stated price for a meal at the inns was a semis a head,more than a farthing! Yet the ROMAN power had even then subduedwhole known world. About a century before that period, theambassador said, by way of raillery, that no people livedsociably amongst themselves than the ROMANS; for that, in every, which, as foreign ministers, they received, they stillthe same plate at every table. The absolute quantity of themetals is a matter of great indifference. There are only twoof any importance, namely, their gradual encrease, andthorough concoction and circulation through the state; and theof both these circumstances has here been explained.

In the following Essay we shall see an instance of a like fallacythat above mentioned; where a collateral effect is taken for a, and where a consequence is ascribed to the plenty of money; to be really owing to a change in the manners and customs of people.