Norbert S. Schulz

From Dust to Stars

PR

Studies of the Formation and Early Evolution of Stars



From Dust to Stars Studies of the Formation and Early Evolution of Stars

From Dust to Stars

Studies of the Formation and Early Evolution of Stars



Published in association with **Praxis Publishing** Chichester, UK



Dr Norbert S. Schulz Research Scientist Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Space Research Cambridge Massachusetts USA

The large-scale view of the giant hydrogen cloud and starforming region IC 1396 on the front cover was observed in the summer of 2004 from Fremont Peak State Park in California. The composite digital color image recorded emission from sulfur (red), hydrogen (green), and oxygen (blue). Observation and image processing by R. Crisp and reproduced here with his permission. From: http://www.narrowbandimaging.com. The inset shows a snapshot in the evolution of a protostellar jet. Simulation and image processing by by J.M. Stone and reproduced here with his permission. From: http://www.astro.princeton.edu/~jstone.

SPRINGER-PRAXIS BOOKS IN ASTROPHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY SUBJECT ADVISORY EDITOR: John Mason B.Sc., M.Sc., Ph.D.

ISBN 3-540-23711-9 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York

Springer is part of Springer-Science + Business Media (springeronline.com)

Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek

Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available from the Internet at http://dnb.ddb.de

Library of Congress Control Number: 2004115080

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of research or private study, or criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, this publication may only be reproduced, stored or transmitted, in any form or by any means, with the prior permission in writing of the publishers, or in the case of reprographic reproduction in accordance with the terms of licences issued by the Copyright Licensing Agency. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside those terms should be sent to the publishers.

© Praxis Publishing Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2005 Printed in Germany

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover design: Jim Wilkie Project Management: Originator Publishing Services, Gt Yarmouth, Norfolk, UK

Printed on acid-free paper

To my family and friends

Preface

The formation and the early evolution of stars is one of many intriguing aspects of astronomy and astrophysics. In the first half of the last century great strides were made in understanding the many aspects of stellar evolution, whereas answers to the question about the origins of stars usually remained much in the dark. The last decades, though, changed this predicament and produced a wealth of information. Star formation and early evolution is today a well established and integral part of astrophysics.

While approaching the writing of this book, I began to reflect on some experiences during my first research projects in the field, and immediately remembered the obvious lack of a reference guide on star formation issues. There exist numerous review articles, publications in various scientific journals, and conference proceedings on the subject. Thus today one has to read hundreds of papers to capture the essence of a single aspect. An almost unlimited resource for many years has been the *Protostars and Planets* series. About every seven years a large number of scientists from all over the world contribute numerous reviews on most research topics. Volume III published in 1993 and volume IV in 2,000 combined approximately 3,000 pages of review articles. For scientists who are seriously involved in star formation research they clearly are a 'must have' on the bookshelf – but then again, it is still 3,000 pages to read.

To date, there are not many monographs in print that highlight the physics of star formation. Noteworthy exception within the last ten years certainly is L. Hartmann's 2nd edition of Accretion Processes in Star Formation published in 2000. Also a few lecture notes appeared, including the Physics of Star Formation in Galaxies by F. Palla and H. Zinnecker, published in 2002, and the Star Formation and Techniques in IR and mm-Wave Astronomy, by T. Ray and S. V. W. Beckwith, published in 1994. These books are highly recommended resources. The scope, though, has to be expanded and should include many more modern aspects such as the properties of the interstellar medium, turbulence in star formation, high-energy emissions and properties of star forming regions, to name a few. The formation and early evolution of stars today is a faster growing field of research than ever before and constitutes a frontier field of astronomy. It is not so much that the news of today will be out-dated tomorrow, but the appearance of more powerful and sensitive observatories as well as dataanalysis techniques within the last 30 years provided not only a wider access to the electromagnetic spectrum, but is now constantly producing new and improved insights with astonishing details. For someone like me, for example, who, more than a decade ago, entered the field from the today still novel perspective of X-ray astronomy, it was somewhat difficult to see the true power of X-ray data with respect to star formation research. At the time there was no cohesive reference book available which summarized the current understanding of the field. The last ten years provided me with sufficient experience to generate such a summary for the astronomy community.

The information in this book contains observations, calculations, and results from over 900 papers and reviews, the majority of which where published within the last ten years. There are, of course, many more publications in the field and I had to make a biased selection. For any omissions in this respect I apologize. The information is very condensed and emphasis has been put on simple presentations. Specifically, most figures are illustrations rather than the original data plots. This was intended in order to have a consistent appearance throughout the book, but also to encourage the reader to look up the corresponding publication in the case of further interest. It is not a textbook for beginners, even though it contains enough explanatory diagrams and images that may encourage young students to become more engaged. The book also abstains from lengthy derivations and focuses more on the presentation of facts and definitions. In this respect it is very descriptive and a large amount of results are put into a common perspective. Though the amount of information may sometimes seem overwhelming, it is assured that this book will serve as a reference for a long time to come. I sincerely believe that a wide audience will find this book useful and attractive.

There are many friends, fellow scientists, and colleagues here at MIT and elsewhere who I am indebted to in many ways. My gratitude goes to Joel Kastner at the Rochester Institute of Technology, who from the beginning of the project reviewed my efforts and offered well-placed criticism and suggestions. Many others, within and outside the star formation research community, offered reviews and useful comments about the manuscript or parts of it. H. Zinnecker (who sacrificed his Christmas vacation) and R. Klessen (both AIP) and T. Preibisch (MPI for Radioastronomy) reviewed the content of the first manuscript. G. Allen, D. Dewey, P. Wojdowski, J. Davis, and D. P. Huenemoerder (all MIT) proofread all or parts of the book and provided many detailed and much needed comments. Thanks also goes to F. Palla (Firenze), D. Hollenbach (AMES), and H. Yorke (JPL) for suggestions and the provision of updated material. My special appreciation also goes to my longtime friend Annie Fléche who, over several months, weeded out most of the improper grammar and language. It seems though, that, except from the view of Oxford English purists, I am not that hopeless a case.

Many scientists within and close to the field of star formation were willing to review my original book proposal. These included, besides some of the contributors mentioned above: E. Feigelson (PSU), C. Clarke (Cambridge University), T. Montmerle (Grenoble), J. H. M. M. Schmitt (Sternwarte Hamburg), and S. Rappaport (MIT). Their service is much appreciated.

The content of the book includes the contributions of a vast number of scientists and my own scientific inputs which, though I think they are great, are outweighed many times by all those who contributed. In this respect I am only the messenger. My thanks go to all who discussed the science with me on many meetings, conferences, and other occasions as well as to all the researchers contributing to the field. This includes all those who granted permission to include some of their published figures. It is needless to say that any remaining errors and misconceptions that may still be hidden somewhere (I hope not) are clearly my responsibility.

In this respect I also want to thank C. Horwood and his team at Springer-Praxis publishing for patiently making this book happen. Specifically John Mason provided many suggestions which improved the book in content and style.

Finally I want to thank all friends and colleagues who supported me during the project. This specifically includes C. Canizares (MIT), who, in the beginning, encouraged me to go through with the project. It includes also all members of the MIT Chandra and HETG teams for encouragements in many ways. My thanks also goes to the MIT Center for Space Research for letting me use many local resources – tons of recycling paper, printer toner and a brand new Mac G5 with cinema screen. Finally I need to thank the Chandra X-ray Center for tolerating my mental absence during many meetings and seminars.

One last remark: one manuscript reader and colleague asked me to emphasize somewhere in the book, how the modern view of stellar formation is no longer a boring story of the collapse of spheres, but includes exciting features such as accretion disks, outflows, magnetic fields, and jets. Well, I just did – and could not agree more.

Norbert S. Schulz,

Massachussetts November 2004

Contents

1	Abo	out the	e Book	1		
2	Historical Background					
	2.1	And 7	There Was Light?	7		
		2.1.1	From Ptolemy to Newton	8		
		2.1.2	Stars Far – Parallax	10		
		2.1.3	Stars Bright - Photometry	10		
		2.1.4	Star Light - Spectroscopy	12		
	2.2	The G	Quest to Understand the Formation of Stars	14		
		2.2.1	The Rise of Star Formation Theory	14		
		2.2.2	Understanding the Sun	17		
		2.2.3	What is a Star?	19		
		2.2.4	Stars Evolve!	20		
		2.2.5	The Search for Young Stars	21		
	2.3	Obser	ving Stellar Formation	22		
		2.3.1	The Conqest of the Electromagnetic Spectrum	22		
		2.3.2	Instrumentation, Facilities, and Bandpasses	23		
		2.3.3	Stellar Formation Research from Space	26		
3	\mathbf{Stu}	dies of	f Interstellar Matter	33		
	3.1	The I	nterstellar Medium	33		
		3.1.1	The Stuff between the Stars	33		
		3.1.2	Phases of the ISM	35		
		3.1.3	Physical Properties of the ISM	36		
		3.1.4	The Local ISM	37		
	3.2	Inters	tellar Gas	38		
		3.2.1	Diagnostics of Neutral Hydrogen	39		
		3.2.2	Distribution of Hydrogen	39		
		3.2.3	Distribution of CO	41		
		3.2.4	Diffuse γ -radiation	43		
	3.3	Colun	nn Densities in the ISM	43		

		3.3.1	Absorption Spectra	43
		3.3.2	Abundance of Elements	44
		3.3.3	X-ray Absorption in the ISM	46
	3.4	Interst	tellar Dust	48
		3.4.1	Distribution in the Galaxy	48
		3.4.2	The Shape of Dust Grains	49
		3.4.3	Interstellar Extinction Laws	50
		3.4.4	Other Dust Signatures	53
	3.5	The IS	SM in other Galaxies	54
4	Mo	lecular	Clouds and Cores	57
	4.1	Globa	l Cloud Properties	58
		4.1.1	The Observation of Clouds	59
		4.1.2	Relation to H II Regions	61
		4.1.3	Molecular Cloud Masses	63
		4.1.4	Magnetic Fields in Clouds	66
		4.1.5	More about Clumps and Cores	67
		4.1.6	High-Latitude Clouds	69
		4.1.7	Photodissociation Regions	70
		4.1.8	Globules	70
	4.2	Cloud	Dynamics	71
		4.2.1	Fragmentation	73
		4.2.2	Pressure Balance in Molecular Clouds	73
		4.2.3	Non-Zero Magnetic Fields	75
		4.2.4	Interstellar Shocks	78
		4.2.5	Turbulence	80
		4.2.6	Effects from Rotation	81
		4.2.7	Ionization Fractions	83
		4.2.8	Evaporation	86
	4.3	Dynar	nic Properties of Cores	87
		4.3.1	Critical Mass	87
		4.3.2	Core Densities	89
		4.3.3	Magnetic Braking	89
		4.3.4	Ambipolar Diffusion	90
5	Cor	ncepts	of Stellar Collapse	93
	5.1	Classie	cal Collapse Concepts	93
		5.1.1	Initial Conditions and Collapse	94
		5.1.2	Basic Equations	98
	5.2	Stabili	ity Considerations	99
		5.2.1	Dynamical Stability	99
		5.2.2	Dynamical Instabilities	100
		5.2.3	Opacity Regions	101
	5.3	Collap	se of Rotating and Magnetized Clouds	102
		5.3.1	Collapse of a Slowly Rotating Sphere	103

		5.3.2 Collapse of Magnetized Clouds	105
	5.4	Cores, Disks and Outflows: the Full Solution?	106
		5.4.1 Ambipolar Diffusion Shock	107
		5.4.2 Turbulent Outflows	108
		5.4.3 Formation of Protostellar Disks	109
6	Evo	olution of Young Stellar Objects	113
	6.1	Protostellar Evolution	114
		6.1.1 Accretion Rates	114
		6.1.2 Matter Flows	116
		6.1.3 Deuterium Burning and Convection	117
		6.1.4 Lithium Depletion	118
		6.1.5 Mass–Radius Relation	119
		6.1.6 Protostellar Luminosities	120
	6.2	Evolution in the HR-Diagram	122
		6.2.1 Hayashi Tracks	122
		6.2.2 ZAMS	124
		6.2.3 The Birthline	125
		6.2.4 PMS Evolutionary Timescales	126
		6.2.5 HR-Diagrams and Observations	127
	6.3	PMS Classifications	128
		6.3.1 Class 0 and I Protostars	130
		6.3.2 Classical T Tauri Stars	134
		6.3.3 Weak-lined T Tauri Stars	137
		6.3.4 Herbig–Haro Objects	138
		6.3.5 FU Orionis Stars	138
		6.3.6 Herbig Ae/Be Stars	140
	6.4	Binaries	141
		6.4.1 Binary Frequency	142
		6.4.2 PMS Properties of Binaries	143
		6.4.3 Formation of Binaries	143
7	Acc	cretion Phenomena and Magnetic Activity in YSOs	147
	7.1	Accretion Disks	147
		7.1.1 Mass Flow, Surface Temperature, and SEDs	148
		7.1.2 Disk Instabilities	153
		7.1.3 Ionization of Disks	154
		7.1.4 Flared Disks and Atmospheres	156
		7.1.5 Dispersal of Disks	159
		7.1.6 Photoevaporation of Disks	159
		7.1.7 MHD Disk Winds and Jets	162
	7.2	Stellar Rotation in YSOs	165
		7.2.1 Fast or Slow Rotators?	166
		7.2.2 Contracting Towards the MS	167
	7.3	Magnetic Activity in PMS stars	169

		7.3.1	Magnetic Fields in PMS stars						
		7.3.2	Field Configurations						
		7.3.3	The X-Wind Model172						
		7.3.4	Funneled Accretion Streams						
		7.3.5	Magnetic Reconnection and Flares						
		7.3.6	Origins of the Stellar Field						
0	U:a	h onor	191						
0	0 1	The V	$Y = \frac{122}{2}$						
	0.1	0 1 1	Detection of Voung Store 192						
		0.1.1	Completions and Identifications						
		0.1.2 0.1.2	Correlations and Identifications						
		0.1.0 0.1.4	V rev Temperatures 101						
		0.1.4 9.1.5	X-ray Flarog 102						
		8.1.5 8.1.6	A-lay Flates						
		8.1.0 8.1.7	The Search for Brown Dworfs 104						
	89	0.1.7 X row	s from Protostars						
	0.2	821	The Search for Protostars						
		822	Magnetic Activity in Protostars						
	83	V_rav	Spectra of PMS Stars 100						
	0.0	831	Spectral Characteristics 199						
		8.3.2	Modeling X-ray Spectra						
		8.3.3	Coronal Diagnostics						
		8.3.4	CTTS versus WTTS						
		8.3.5	Massive Stars in Young Stellar Clusters						
	8.4	γ -Rad	liation from YSOs						
0	Q4	. c	i n - Di 200						
9	Sta	r-Iorming Regions							
	9.1		The Account of ESCa						
		9.1.1	The Account of ESUS						
		9.1.2 0.1.2	Formation						
		9.1.3 0.1.4	Morphology						
	0.2	9.1.4 Conor	al Cluster Properties 216						
	3.4	0.2.1	Cluster Ago and HB diagrams						
		9.2.1	Cluster Distribution 210						
		9.2.2	Cluster Evolution 220						
		9.2.0 9.2.4	Super-Clusters 220						
	93	Well-s	studied Star-forming Regions 222						
	0.0	931	The Orion Begion 222						
		932	The Bho Ophiuchius Cloud 226						
		9.3.3	IC 1396						
	9.4	Forms	ation on Large Scales						
		9.4.1	The Taurus–Auriga Region						
		9.4.2	Turbulent Filaments						

		9.4.3	OB	Asso	ociat	ions	3	••••			•••	 •••	 	•••	. 234
10	Prot	to-solaı	r Sy	/stei	ms a	and	$ h\epsilon$	e Sui	1			 	 		. 237
	10.1	Protop	lane	etary	Dis	ks .						 	 		. 237
		10.1.1	Pro	plyd	s							 	 		. 240
		10.1.2	Disl	ks of	Dus	st						 	 		. 240
		10.1.3	HA	EBE	Dis	ks .						 	 		. 245
	10.2	The Ma	akin	ig of	the	Sun	ι					 	 		. 246
		10.2.1	The	Sun	's O	rigi	ns.					 	 		. 247
		10.2.2	The	Sola	ar N	ebul	la					 	 		. 248
		10.2.3	The	ТΤ	auri	He	ritag	ge				 	 		. 250
		10.2.4	Evo	lutio	on of	the	Sur	1			• • • •	 • • • •	 	•••	. 252
Α	Gas	Dynar	mics	s								 	 		. 257
	A.1	Tempei	ratu	re Se	cales	3						 	 		257
	A.2	The Ad	diab	atic	Inde	x						 	 		260
	A.3	Polytro	opes									 	 		261
	A.4	Thermo	odv	nami	ic Ec	mili	briu	m				 	 		262
	A.5	Gravita	atio	nal F	oter	ntial	and	l Mas	s D	ensi	tv.	 	 		. 263
	A.6	Conser	vati	on L	aws							 	 		. 265
	A.7	Hydros	stati	c Eq	uilib	oriur	m					 	 		. 267
	A.8	The Sp	beed	of S	ound	d						 	 		. 267
	A.9	Timesc	ales									 	 		. 268
	A.10	Spheric	cally	- Syn	nme	tric	Acc	retio	n			 	 		. 269
	A.11	Rotatic	on .									 	 		. 271
	A.12	Ionized	l Ma	atter								 	 		. 273
	A.13	Therma	al Io	oniza	tion							 	 		. 273
	A.14	Ionizat	ion	Bala	nce			••••	• • • •		• • • •	 •••	 • • •	•••	. 274
в	Mag	gnetic I	Fiel	ds a	nd	Pla	\mathbf{sm}	IS				 	 		. 277
	B.1	Magnet	tohy	droc	lyna	mic	s					 	 		. 277
	B.2	Charge	ed P	artic	les i	n M	agne	etic I	Field	s		 	 		. 280
	B.3	Bulk a	nd I	Drift	Mot	tions	s					 	 		. 281
	B.4	MHD V	Wav	es								 	 		. 283
	B.5	Magnet	tic I	Reco	nnec	tion	ι					 	 		. 284
	B.6	Dynam	\log .		•••							 	 		. 287
	B.7	Magnet	tic I	Disk	Inst	abili	ities	• • • •				 	 		. 291
	B.8	Express	sion	s	•••			••••	• • • •		•••	 • • • •	 	•••	. 293
\mathbf{C}	Rad	iative 1	Inte	erac	tion	s w	\mathbf{ith}	Mat	\mathbf{ter}			 	 		. 295
	C.1	Radiati	ive]	Equi	libri	um.						 	 		. 296
	C.2	Radiati	ion	Flux	and	l Lu	mine	osity				 	 		. 297
	C.3	Opaciti	ies .					••••				 	 		. 298
	C.4	Mean (Opa	cities	3							 	 		. 300
	C.5	Scatter	ing	Opa	citie	s						 	 	•••	. 301

	C.6	Continuum Opacities						
	C.7	Line Opacities						
	C.8	Molecular Excitations						
	C.9	Dust Opacities						
D	Spe	ctroscopy						
	D.1	Line Profiles						
	D.2	Zeeman Broadening						
	D.3	Equivalent Widths and Curve of Growth						
	D.4	Spectra from Collisionally Ionized Plasmas						
	D.5	X-ray Line Diagnostics						
\mathbf{E}	Abbreviations							
\mathbf{F}	Institutes, Observatories, and Instruments							
\mathbf{G}	Vari	variables, Constants, and Units						
References								
Index								

About the Book

The study of the formation and early evolution of stars has been an ever growing part of astrophysical research. Traditionally, often in the shadow of its big brothers (i.e., the study of stellar structure, stellar atmospheres and the structure of galaxies), it has become evident that early stellar evolution research contributes essentially to these classical fields. There are a few new items on the list of traditional astrophysical studies, some of which are more related to features known from the extreme late stages of stellar evolution. Examples are accretion, outflows, disks, and large-scale turbulence. The field today requires the most powerful and sensitive instruments and telescopes mankind is able to provide. It utilizes the fastest computers and memory devices to simulate jets, evolving disks and outflows. A network of researchers all over the planet invest resources and time to contribute to the steadily growing knowledge about the origins of stars and planets and ultimately the birth of the Solar System.

From Dust to Stars introduces the reader to a world of dense clouds and cores, stellar nurseries, the lives of young stellar objects and their interaction with the interstellar medium as we know it today. It attempts to provide a broad overview of the major topics in star formation and early stellar evolution. The book describes the complex physical processes involved both in the creation of stars and developments during their young lives. It illustrates how these processes reveal themselves from radio wavelengths to high-energy X-rays and γ -rays, with special reference towards high-energy signatures. Several sections are also devoted to key analysis techniques which demonstrate how modern research in this field is pursued.

The title of the book emphasizes the role of dust throughout star formation and, as the reader will realize early on, each chapter demonstrates that dust is present at all phases of evolution. Of course, as catchy as the title sounds, there are many other ingredients required for stellar formation. In fact, so many items contribute, one has to concede that the riddle of star formation is far from being solved. These items include gases and molecules at various densities, force fields such as gravity and magnetic fields, rotation, shocks and



Fig. 1.1. Star-forming environments are a turbulent mix of gas clouds, molecules and dust which interact under the influence of ionized material, magnetic fields, turbulence, and foremost gravity. In addition, cosmic rays, external radiation fields, and traveling shock waves add to the complexity. Advanced stages of evolution have to deal with the interaction with radiation and outflows from various generations of newly born stars.

turbulence, neutral and ionized matter, hot plasmas, cosmic rays, and various types of radiation fields, all playing in the symphony of stellar creation (see Fig. 1.1). In a sense this huge variety of physical entities provided a dilemma while writing this book. On the one hand, it is necessary to understand the underlying physics to be able to properly describe the manifold of different processes involved. On the other hand there is a story to tell about the current views on star formation and the effort and resources required in the course of research. In this respect, the reader will find most of the needed basic physics and other information condensed into a few appendices with only a few practical equations and derivations in the main text.

3



Fig. 1.2. Fragmentation is a multiscale process from the spiral arms of a galaxy down to protostars with characteristic lengths spanning from 10 kpc to 100 AU.

The book has otherwise a very simple outline. In order to fully grasp the immense historic achievement that ultimately led to todays views, Chap. 2 offers an extensive historical perspective of research and developments spanning from ancient philosophies to the utilization of space-based observatories. This historical background specifically illustrates the necessity of the accumulation of basic physical laws and astrophysical facts over the course of centuries in order to be able to study the evolutionary aspects of stars. It also outlines the conquest of the electromagnetic spectrum that allows researchers today to look into all aspects of star-forming regions the sky offers to the observer.

Fragmentation is a quite fashionable concept in the current view of the structure of matter in the Galaxy and one that may as well be valid throughout the entire universe. Chapters 3 to 5 capitalize on this concept and present matters with this in mind. Matter in the Galaxy appears fragmented from the large scales of its spiral structure to the small scale of protostars as is illustrated in Fig. 1.2. Chapter 3 deals with the distribution of matter in the Galaxy on large scales. This structure reveals itself as a huge recycling factory in which stars evolve and produce heavier elements in the main and last stages of their lives. During this entire life cycle matter is fed back into the medium to be further processed through the cycle. In progressing steps, starting with Chap. 3, the physical environments scale from the interstellar medium of the Galaxy to concepts of gravitational collapse in Chap. 5. Although there is vet a coherent picture of star formation to emerge, it seems that the main contributors and mechanisms have been identified. These will be described in some detail. Questions researchers face today relate to the definition of the circumstances under which these mechanisms regulate the star forming activity. As the reader will learn in Chap. 9, most stars do not form as isolated entities but from in more or less large clusters. Although the basic physics of an assumed isolated stellar collapse will likely not notably change for a collapse in a cluster environment, mechanisms that eventually lead to these events are more likely affected. Some of today's leading discussions revolve around the feasibility of either turbulence or magnetic fields as the dominant regulatory mechanism for star formation events, or the predominant existence of binaries and multiple stars and/or formation of high-mass stars. Modern concepts of stellar collapse and very early evolution include observational facts about density distributions in collapsing cores and the formation of accretion disks, winds and other forms of matter outflow. Some examples of numerical calculations addressing these issues can be found at the end of Chap. 5.

If there ever is a line to be drawn between the study of formation and early evolution of a protostar it likely has to be between Chap. 5 and Chap. 6. Such a division may arguably be artificial, but observational studies historically drew the line right there for a good reason. Even with the technologies available today it is still extremely difficult, if not impossible to observe the creation of the protostar and its earliest period of growth. Phases between prestellar collapse and protostars remain obscured by impenetrable envelopes, which then become the objects of study. Consequently, very early protostellar evolution is the subject of theoretical concepts which then have to connect to the point of first visibility. These issues are mostly addressed in Chap. 6, which introduces the reader to various existing early evolution concepts, some of which are still highly controversial. Concepts include the birthline of stars, their class 0 to III classification based on their IR spectral energy distributions, the ZAMS, as well as short descriptions of various young star phenomena. Figure 1.3 depicts a schematic view of the matter flow patterns around young stars. Angular momentum conservation and magnetic fields force inflowing matter into the formation of an accretion disk with the formation of jets and winds. The underlying physics of these phenomena is currently subject to intense study. Results from observations as well as computational studies are presented in Chap. 7, which goes on to emphasize star-disk magnetic configurations and the coronal activities of young stars. Much of the underlying physics for this chapter is presented in Appendix B.

The treatment of stellar magnetic fields is necessary in anticipation of the review of high-energy signatures of young stars, which are the subject of Chap. 8. Although throughout the entire book referrals to high energy activity in stellar evolution are made, Chapter 8 specifically demonstrates how highly X-ray-active young stars are. The immediate environment of young stars is extremely hot and temperatures are orders of magnitudes higher than in circumstellar envelopes of protostars and disks of young stars. X-ray astronomy has become a major part of the study of stellar evolution and in the light of new technologies it has evolved from the mere purpose of source detection to a detailed diagnostic tool to study young stellar objects.

The reader, up to this point, should now be familiar with many facts about the formation and evolution of young stars. The remaining Chaps. 9 and 10 then look back and reflect on two issues. First, in Chap. 9, the characterization of entire star-forming regions containing not only the prestellar gaseous, dusty and molecular clouds but also large ensembles and clusters of young stars at various young ages. Here properties of young embedded stellar clusters are



Fig. 1.3. Schematic view of matter flows expected in protostellar environments. The star still accretes from its primordial envelope, which feeds mass into an accretion disk with generally high accretion rates. How matter eventually reaches the protostar is relatively complex and unclear. In later phases the primordial envelope has gone and a star may still accrete matter out of the disk at very low rates. Specifically in early protostellar phases the system generates massive outflows, i.e., about 10% of the accreted material may by ejected through high-velocity winds. Some collimation may even be achieved as a result of acceleration in magnetic fields from the disk, resulting in jets.

presented, followed by an in-depth description of various types of star-forming regions, which include *Orion*, ρ *Ophiuchus*, and *IC 1396*. Second, an attempt is made to relate the early history of our Sun and the Solar System to the current knowledge of star formation and early evolution by investigating the *T Tauri* heritage of the Sun.

Last but not least, a series of appendices provide the reader with essential information. The first three appendices are devoted to important background physics covering gas dynamics, aspects of magnetohydrodynamics, as well as radiative transfer. Appendix D describes several examples of modern spectralanalysis techniques used in star formation research. The last three appendices

6 1 About the Book

then provide descriptions of abbreviations, instrumentation, and a description of the physical quantities used throughout the book.

Historical Background

It is a common perception that astronomy is one of the oldest occupations in the history of mankind. While this is probably true, ancient views contain very little about the origins of stars. Their everlasting presence in the night sky made stars widely used benchmarks for navigation. Though it always was and still is a spectacular event once a new light, a nova, a new star appears in the sky. Such new lights are either illuminated moving bodies within our Solar System, or a supernova and thus the death of a star, or some other phases in the late evolution of stars. Never is a normal star really born in these cases. The birth of a star always happens in the darkness of cosmic dust and is therefore not visible to human eyes (see Plate 1.1). In fact, when a newborn star finally becomes visible, it is already at the stage of kindergarten in terms of human growth. It takes the most modern of observational techniques and the entire accessible bandwidth of the electromagnetic spectrum to peek into the hatcheries of stars.

2.1 And There Was Light?

A historical introduction to stellar formation is strictly limited to the most recent time periods. Modern science does not recognize too many beliefs from ancient periods as facts. For example, timescales are specifically important for the physical mechanisms of the formation and early evolution of stars. Biblical records leave no doubt that the world was created by God in six days and the formation of the Sun and stars was a hard day's job. Allegorically speaking there is nothing wrong with that unless the attempt is made to match these biblical timescales with physically observed time spans. Then timescales from thousands to millions of years are relevant, whereas days and weeks hardly appear in this context. Today it is known that it takes about 100,000 years for a molecular cloud to collapse and more than many million years for most stars to contract enough to start hydrogen fusion, not to speak of creating solar systems and planets. One also realizes that planets take even longer to become habitable. In the case of the Earth it took billions of years.

The following few sections are an attempt to briefly summarize the road from ancient views to the point when the understanding of physical processes in connection with observations of stellar properties reached a level that permitted the first physical treatment of stellar formation and evolution. Though the presented material also introduces the reader to some basic facts of modern physics and astronomy, the emphasis of this chapter is not a substitute for introductory textbooks on physics and astronomy. The chapter resembles more an investigation of the developments and scientific milestones that led to the pursuit of modern star formation studies.

2.1.1 From Ptolemy to Newton

It took humankind until the dawn of the New Age to put basic pieces together and to accept proof over belief and superstition. The geocentric concept of Claudius Ptolemaeus, or Ptolemy, dominated the views of the world from ancient times to the 16th century. He walked the Earth approximately between the years 175 and 100 BC and, although he lived in the Egyptian city Alexandria, he was more a scientist of hellenistic origin and many of his views are based on the cosmological concepts of Aristotle (384 BC), a student of Plato. In his work 'Hypotheses of the Planets' Ptolemy describes a system of the worlds where Earth as a sphere reigns at the very center of a concentric system of eight spheres containing the Moon, Mercury, Venus, the Sun, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn. The eighth and last sphere belonged to the stars. They all had the same distance from earth and were fixed to the sphere. Constellations, as well as their size, consistency and color were eternal. The question about the structure of planets and stars was not pursued and the mystic element called 'ether' was introduced instead to fill the space within and between celestial entities – a concept that lasted until the 20th century. Sometimes stars were also referred to as 'crystalline'. For over 1,500 years Ptolemy's work was the main astronomical resource in Europe and the Orient.

After the medieval period, Earth resided uncontested at the center of the universe and the stars were still either lights fixed to the celestial sphere or little holes in a sphere surrounded by heaven's fire. How much the Ptolemaic scheme was imprinted into the most fundamental beliefs is shown in a picture from a bible print in the 16th century depicting the traditional Judeo-Christian view of the *Genesis*, the Bible's version of the creation in which God makes the Earth and the Cosmos in six days (see Fig. 2.1). Even after Nicolaus Copernicus published his famous book series *De Revolutionibus orbium coelestium libri sex* in 1543, which featured today's heliocentric system, Ptolemy's model prevailed for quite some time. The first indication that something could be missing in the Ptolemaic system came with the observation of



Fig. 2.1. Genesis view from Martin Luther's *Biblia*, published by Hans Lufft at Wittenberg in 1534. The impression (by Lucas Cranach) shows the Earth at the center and the Sun and stars in the *waters of the firmament* positioned at the inner edge of an outer sphere. Credit: from *Gestaltung religiöser Kunst im Unterricht*, Leipzig, Germany.

a supernova in the constellation Cassiopeia by Tycho Brahe in 1572. Following Brahe's legacy it was at last Johannes Kepler with his publication *De Harmonice Mundi* in 1619 and Galileo Galilei's 'Il Saggiatore' from 1623 that not only placed the Sun as the center of the solar system but also established observations as a powerful means to oppose the clerical dogma.

This was not only a triumph of science, it had specific relevance from the standpoint of stellar evolution as it was realized that the Sun and the planets are one system. When Isaac Newton published his *Naturalis philosophiae principia mathematica* in 1687 the formal groundwork of celestial mechanics was laid.

2.1.2 Stars Far – Parallax

A remaining issue with Ptolemy's picture which posed quite a severe problem for the Copernican system was the fact that Ptolemy postulated stationary stars pinned to the celestial sphere at equal distance. If, however, Earth moves around the Sun one should be able to observe an apparent motion in the star's positions on the sky.

The only way out of the problem was to postulate that stars are so far away that the expected yearly displacement is too small to measure. In fact, the angle between two observations at two fixed positions should give the distance to the stars. Such an angle is called *parallax*. For quite a long time it seemed that Ptolemy's postulate would prevail as all attempts to find this angle were unsuccessful. It was a rocky road from E. Halley's discovery in 1718 that stars do have proper motions to the first successful measurement of the parallax of 61 Cygni by F. W. Bessel at a distance of 11.1 light years. The angle measured was only a fraction of an arc second (0.31") and represented the first high-precision parallax measurement. Most recently the astrometry satellite *Hipparcos*, launched in 1989, determined parallaxes of over 120,000 stars with a precision of 0.001 arc seconds. Data from satellites like *Hipparcos* are essential for today's astronomical research (see Fig. 2.2).

2.1.3 Stars Bright - Photometry

All astronomy preceding the 20th century was related to the perception of the human eye. The 19th century marked a strong rise in the field of stellar photometry. About a hundred years before first attempts were made to define a scale for the brightness of stars, P. Bouguer published some of the earliest photometric measurements in 1729. He believed that the human eye was quite a good indicator of whether two objects have the same brightness and tested this by comparing the apparent brightness of the Moon to that of a standard candle flame. A more quantitative definition was introduced by N. Pogson in 1850. He defined a brightness logarithm on the basis of a decrease in brightness S by the relation



Fig. 2.2. (left) The Königsberger Heliometer Bessel installed in 1829 to perform parallax measurements with a resolution of 0.05 arc seconds. Credit: The Dudley Observatory, Drawing from the 1830s, Lith. Anst. v. J.G. Bach, Leipzig [209]. (right) An artist's impression of the astrometry satellite *Hipparcos* launched by ESA in 1989. The satellite allowed parallax measurements with 0.00097 arc seconds resolution. Credit: ESA/ESOC.

$$\frac{S_1}{S_2} = 10^{0.4(m_1 - m_2)} \tag{2.1}$$

for each step $\Delta m = m_1 - m_2$, which he called 'magnitudo'. One of the greatest astronomical achievements in the 19th century was the publication of various photometric catalogs by F. Argelander, E. Schönfeld and E. C. Pickering containing a total of over 500,000 stars.

It was K. Schwarzschild [765] who opened the door into the 20th century with the creation of the first photographic catalog containing color indices, i.e., photographic minus visual brightness, of unprecedented quality. The key element was the recognition that the color index is a good indicator of the color and thus the temperature of a star. The use of photoelectric devices to perform photometry was first pursued in the early 20th century [727, 324, 812]. The UBV-band system developed in 1951 [433] determines magnitudes in three color bands, the ultraviolet band $(U, \sim 3500 \text{ Å})$, the blue band $(B, \sim 4000 \text{ Å})$, and the visual band $(V, \sim 5500 \text{ Å})$. Today photomultipliers are used to determine magnitudes with an accuracy of less than 0.01 mag and effective temperature measurements of stars to better than 1 percent [858]. Figure 2.3



Fig. 2.3. Color-magnitude diagram for 41,704 single stars from the Hipparcos Catalogue with a color error of $\sigma_{B-V} \leq 0.05$ mag. The grayscale indicates the number of stars in a cell of 0.01 mag in color index B-V and 0.05 mag in absolute magnitude M_V . The magnitude was limited to < -5 in the sample. Data from: ESA, 1997, The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues, ESA SP-1200.

shows a color-magnitude diagram of over 40,000 stars from data obtained by Hipparcos.

2.1.4 Star Light - Spectroscopy

The 19th century also marked, parallel to the development in photometry, the beginning of stellar spectroscopy. Newton had already studied the refraction of light using optical prisms and found out that white sunlight can be dispersed into its colors from blue to red. However it was J. Fraunhofer in 1814 whose detection of dark lines in the spectrum of the Sun and similar lines in stars in 1823, represented a first step towards astrophysics. Not only was it remarkable that the Sun and stars showed similar spectra, but also that the strong lines in the solar spectrum indicated a chemical relation. After publishing the *Chemische Analyse durch Spektralbeobachtungen* in 1860, G. Kirchhoff and R. Bunsen established spectral analysis as an astrophysical tool (see Fig. 2.4).



Fig. 2.4. Kirchhoff's and Bunsen's apparatus used for the observation of spectra. The gas flame was incinerated with different chemical elements. A rotating crystal (F) at the center diffracted the light from the flame, the diffracted light was then observed with a telescope (C). Credit: Kirchhoff and Bunsen [468].

This discovery sparked a range of activities which ultimately led to an understanding of radiative laws and the classification of stellar spectra against the one from the Sun. What was needed were laboratory measurements to identify elements with observed wavelengths, stellar spectra, and magnitudes and a theory of radiation. When pursuing his spectral analysis in the 1860s Kirchhoff realized that there must be a relation between the absorption and the emission of light. He also noted that various colors in the spectral band correspond to different temperatures – the basis of modern UBV photometry. This led him to the formulation of what is known today as Kirchhoff's law (see Appendix C) which now is one of the most fundamental laws in radiative theory. Kirchhoff assumed 'Hohlraum Strahlung', radiation from a hollow body in thermodynamical equilibrium, which had a spectral shape of what is today simply referred to as a blackbody spectrum (see Fig. A.2).

Vigorous studies were pursued at the Harvard Observatory under E. C. Pickering and A. J. Cannon at the turn of the century and ultimately led to the *Henry Draper Catalogue* released between 1918 and 1924 which contained over 225,000 spectra [148]. This study led to the Harvard (O-B-A-F-G-K-M) classification (or Draper classification) used today. At the time there were some speculations that this classification sequence reflects an evolutionary sequence, though the aspect that stars could evolve had not been exploited yet (see below). E. Hertzsprung and H. N. Russell realized that these classes not only form a sequence, but also correlate with absolute stellar magnitude. This correlation is the very definition of the *Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) Diagram*, one of the most powerful tools in modern astronomy. It not only combines

photometry with spectroscopy, but also empirically allows us to make predictions of the size of stars. The Harvard classification shows that stars vary by orders of magnitude in their basic properties like mass, radius and luminosity. The Sun, for example, with a temperature of 5,700 K is then classified as a G2 star on the *main sequence*. It was quite obvious that stellar properties require more criteria in order to account for their position in the HR-diagram. One of them is detailed line properties in optical spectra, such as the hydrogen Balmer lines. In 1943, W. W. Morgan and P. C. Keenan at the Yerkes Observatory [594] also introduced luminosity classes 0 to VI, which feature sequences from class Ia-0 hypergiants to class VI subdwarfs (see Fig. 2.5). The main sequence in this classification is populated by class V dwarf stars and represents the beginning of the evolution of fully mature stars once their energy source is entirely controlled by nuclear fusion. In evolutionary terms, young stars are then called *pre-main sequence stars* recognizing that they have not reached the main sequence. The term pre-main sequence has been introduced since the first calculations of evolutionary tracks in the HR-diagram in the mid-1950s.

2.2 The Quest to Understand the Formation of Stars

The historic reflections presented so far have had very little to do with stellar formation but more with general aspects relevant to the history of stellar astronomy. Still, they are important ingredients for putting the following sections into a proper perspective.

2.2.1 The Rise of Star Formation Theory

The first comprehensive account of the formation of the Solar System was formulated by the German philosopher Immanuel Kant (1724–1804). In his nebular hypothesis (in German Allgemeine Naturgeschichte und Theorie des *Himmels*, 1755) he postulated that the Solar System and the nebulae form periodically from a protonebula (in German 'Urnebel'). The swirling nebula contracted into a rotating disk out of which, in the case of the Galaxy, stars contracted independently, as did the planets in the case of the Solar System. In 1796 the French mathematician Pierre Simon de Laplace, based on many new detections of fuzzy nebulae in the sky, formulated a similar hypothesis, which was long after referred to as the Kant-Laplace Hypothesis. There is a distinct difference between Kant's and Laplace's postulates. While Laplace takes possible effects of angular momentum into consideration (see Fig. 2.6), Kant remained more philosophical and envisaged a more universal mechanism which includes not only the Solar System but also features rudimentary concepts of today's galaxies and concluded that formation is an ongoing and recurrent process [452].

This nebula hypothesis in its main elements was not well founded in terms of detailed physical descriptions and calculations. However, Kant could build



Fig. 2.5. Schematic HR-diagram plotting visual magnitude M_V and spectral classes from the Harvard classification. The plot identifies the various stellar sequences as well as luminosity classes from the MK classification. The filled circles are various examples of stars, which are identified on the left side. The open circles mark young T Tauri stars and are identified on the right side .

on about 140 nebulous stellar objects known at the time through the works of C. Messier and F. Machain. The situation for Laplace was more comfortable as he could rely on F. W. Herschel in 1786, who created a list of over 1,000 such nebulae through observations with his giant telescope. For over 100 years not much happened with respect to stellar formation and most efforts were directed to deciphering the structure of these nebulae. Of course, the hundred-year period of spectroscopic and photometric advances contributed much to the physical understanding of stars (see above). The 19th century was dominated by the discovery of several thousands of these nebulae, and in 1864 J.



Fig. 2.6. Schematic comparison of the nebula hypotheses from I. Kant (left) and P. Laplace (right). Both start out with a rotating primordial nebula and both result in planets orbiting a central star. The difference is that Laplace assumes that angular momentum will catapult matter from the contracting cloud, which eventually condensates into planets. The outer planets thus have to be older than the inner ones. In Kant's picture everything, except the flattening, is done by gravity.

Herschel published the precursor of the New General Catalog which contained over 6,000 entries. Though at the time it was unknown, most of these entries were actually galaxies. A small fraction of these nebulae appeared peculiar in that they revealed a spiral nature. It was not until after the turn of the century that in 1936 E. Hubble's book *The Realm of the Nebulae* finally put an end to the discussion about the nature of the nebulae.

In the 19th century only one reference to stellar formation can be found which involves gravitation and thermal physics and this is the attempt by H. Helmholtz and W. Thomson (Lord Kelvin) to explain the energy radiated by the Sun through slow gravitational contraction (see Sect. 2.2.2). The start of the 20th century marked the beginning of intense activity to apply the laws of thermodynamics developed in the 19th century. At the forefront were contributions by J. Jeans, A. S. Eddington, and R. Emden. In his paper The Stability of a Spherical Nebula in 1902 Jeans first formulated what is known today as the Jeans Criterion describing the onset of gravitational instability of a uniform sphere of gas. After A. Einstein formulated his theory of special relativity in 1905, it was Eddington in 1917 who realized that the conversion of mass to energy could be key to the Sun's luminosity [215]. The physics of uniform gas spheres, specifically the mass versus luminosity relation of stars, was much debated, specifically between Jeans and Eddington. While Eddington published a series of manuscripts like The Internal Constitution of Stars in 1926 [216] or Stars and Atoms in 1927 [217] giving a first account of modern astrophysics, Jeans' work entitled Astronomy and Cosmogony in 1928 [429] is considered by many to be the first book on theoretical astrophysics. On the observational side contributions by J. Hartmann, H. Shapley, E. E Barnard and many others shaped the perception of what is today referred to as the interstellar medium (see Chap. 3).

In the end it was Eddington who basically formulated the right idea, that the age of the Sun must be scaled by the ages of the oldest known sedimentary rocks and the main source of the star's energy must be subatomic. The formulation of thermonuclear fusion of hydrogen as the main energy source for stars by H. Bethe a decade later in 1938 confirmed Eddington's speculation [82]. Here S. Chandrasekhar's famous book entitled An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure in 1939 nicely summarizes these developments and is highly recommended for further reading [159]. Though the physical and mathematical ground work was set by Kant's Nebula Hypothesis, it was another 30 years before R. Larson (see Chap. 5) performed the first numerical calculations of a gravitational collapse. One decisive ingredient, though already identified in galactic clouds, was then introduced into the discussion: dust. The importance of dust in the context of stellar formation cannot be underestimated, a point emphasized by the title of this book.

2.2.2 Understanding the Sun

The Sun is the star everyone is most familiar with as it is directly involved in daily life (see Fig. 2.7). As the central star of our Solar System it provides all the energy for the Earth's biosystem. Without the steady inflow of light, all life would perish almost instantaneously and the Earth's surface would reduce to a cold icy desert. Radiation from the Sun regulates the oceans and the climate, makes plants grow, warms all living beings and stores its energy in the form of fossil resources. Sunshine, or the lack of it, even affects our moods.

The Sun is the closest star and its shape and surface can be observed with the naked eye once dimmed behind clouds or in atmospheric reflections during sunset. The earliest recording of dark spots on the Sun's surface are from Chinese records from 165 B.C., whereas the first sighting in Europe was recorded during 807 A.C. [913]. These records must have been forgotten and with great surprise, shortly after the invention of the telescope, Galilei and others observed these dark spots in stark contrast to what had been taught since Aristotle, that the Sun's surface is pure and without fault. The existence of these spots led to many theories and speculations which ranged from interpretations such as solar mountain tops by G. Cassini to connections with climatic disasters like a devastating harvest in the 17th century by F.W. Herschel. However, the interest in Sun spots initiated a constant surveillance of the surface of the Sun, which lead to the discovery by S. Schwabe in 1826 that these spots appear regularly on the Sun's surface and their abundance and strengths underlies an eleven year cycle. The study of these spots not only showed that the Sun is rotating, it also led to the determination of its rotational axis and the discovery by R. Carrington between 1851 and 1863 that the Sun's rotation varies between the poles and the equator. This fact is enormously important for understanding the Sun and stars, as it is characteristic of rotating gas spheres with a dense core. Furthermore it is indicative of the dynamo in the Sun's interior. Today it is known that the sunspot cycle even correlates with plant growth on Earth and from carbon dated tree rings it can be concluded that this cycle has persisted for at least 700 million years.

This time span as well as the projected age for the Earth's existence of billions of years ascertained from geological formations, were in stark contrast with estimates of the Sun's age put forth by theories explaining the origins of solar energy. These theories evolved at a time when physicists like S. Carnot, R. Mayer, J.P. Joule, H. von Helmholtz, R. Clausius and W. Thomson formulated the laws of thermodynamics, and the concept of conservation of energy emerged [633]. Concepts like a meteoric bombardment or chemical reactions were dismissed on the basis of either problems with celestial mechanics or of the projection that the Sun could not have been radiating for more than 3,000 years. By the middle of the 19th century von Helmholtz suggested that the Sun's heat budget was derived from contraction of an originally larger cloud. This theory had two advantages. First, it would provide tens of millions of years of energy. Second, the contraction rate of the Sun would be too small to measure and thus the idea would stay around. One hundred years later, when the nuclear chain reactions were discovered that transform hydrogen into helium under the release of unprecedented amounts of energy [82], the secret behind the Sun's energy source was finally identified. However, although in the end the contraction theory proved to be incorrect in explaining of the Sun's heat, it marked the first time that the process of gravitational contraction of



Fig. 2.7. The Sun as photographed by the solar observatory satellite SOHO at ultraviolet wavelengths. Credit: SOHO/ESA/NASA.

a gas cloud was formulated in terms of detailed physical laws. Thus the lifetime of a star through gravitational contraction is called the *Kelvin–Helmholtz timescale* (see Sect. A.9).

2.2.3 What is a Star?

Since the developments during the 19th century and specifically since the systematic spectroscopic studies at the beginning of the 20th century, it has been recognized that the Sun and the stars are bodies of a kind. Although there is no simple definition of such an entity, a star has to fulfill at least two basic conditions to be recognized as such. It has to be self-bound by gravity, and it has to radiate energy which it produces in its interior. The first condition implies that there are internal forces that prevent the body from collapsing under self-gravity. The body has to be approximately spherical as the gravitational force field is radially symmetric. Deviations towards obliqueness may arise through rotation. Internal forces can stem from radiation, heat motions, or lattice stability of solids just to name a few factors. If stability under gravity and symmetry were the only condition, then planets and maybe comets and asteroids could be stars as well. Comets and asteroids of course can be ruled out as modern imaging clearly show them to be non-spherical. Historically the view that these bodies are stars of some kind persisted for centuries, as expressions like 'wandering star' for planets or 'guest stars' in connection with comets still testify. It must be the second condition that makes a star: there has to be an energy source inside the star making it shine. Planets, although they appear as bright stars in the sky, cannot do that and their brightness is due to illumination from the Sun. Of course, no argument is perfect and the two largest planets in the Solar System, Jupiter and Saturn radiate more energy than the Sun provides, indicating that there is in fact an internal energy source. In this respect one has to add to the condition that the internal source also provides the energy to sustain the force against gravity.

2.2.4 Stars Evolve!

If the discovery that stars burn nuclear fuel had any consequence to star formation research it probably was the immediate realization that stars must evolve within a certain lifespan. To be more precise, while the star fuses hydrogen, it probably undergoes changes in its composition, structure, and appearance. As V. Trimble, an astronomer from the University of California, wrote in 2000 [844]:

Stars are, at least to our point of view, the most important building blocks of the universe, and in our era they are its major energy source. In 1900 no one had a clue how stars worked. By mid-century we had them figured out almost completely.

The developments in the 1930s from Eddington to Bethe indeed laid the ground work for an almost explosive development in the field of stellar structure and evolution. Specifically, stars had to evolve as a strong function of their mass. Key were hydrogen fusion lifetimes. From early calculations it was easily recognized that low-mass stars can burn hydrogen for billions of years. On the other hand, massive stars radiate energy at a rate which is many orders of magnitude larger than low-mass stars. Since their mass is only a few ten times larger this means that their fusion lifetime is much smaller. In fact, for massive O stars it is of the order of ten million years and less. Stars burn about 10% of their hydrogen and as a rule of thumb one can estimate the lifetime of stars by:

$$t_{life} = 7.3 \times 10^9 \frac{M/M_{\odot}}{L/L_{\odot}} \text{yr}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where M_{\odot} and and L_{\odot} are the mass and luminosity of the Sun and M and L the same for the star [858]. Clearly, this development put an end to the perception that the Draper classification could resemble an evolutionary scale where early type O stars evolve into late type stars.

2.2.5 The Search for Young Stars

The short lifetimes of early type stars offers an opportunity to determine their birth places based on the argument that they could not have traveled far from their place of origin. As G. H. Herbig [384] remarks, it seems fairly surprising that the identification of stellar clusters containing OB stars as sites of on-going star formation did not occur until the early 1950s. By then A. Blaaw [88, 87] determined proper motions of early type stars with median velocities of 5 km s⁻¹ with some showing peak velocities of > 40 km s⁻¹. Today the argument is even stronger as dispersion velocities of the stars relative to the parent cloud are usually less than 1 km s⁻¹ (see [377]). An O star with 2 Myr of age would travel a distance of 2 pc; a fast one may reach 15 pc.

In 1947 B. Bok and his colleagues [108, 109] found small dark spots projected onto the bright H II region M8 and determined a size of less than 80,000 AU. The interpretation at the time was that these now called *Bok Globules* accrete matter from their environment and it is radiation pressure that forces them to contract. Bright H II regions, which of course contain O stars, would then have to be prime sites for stellar formation.

T Tauri stars were discovered in 1942 by A. H. Joy [441], but were not immediately identified as young stars. They got their name from T Tau, the first detected star of its kind. However, besides their strikingly irregular light curve, one obvious property was that they seem to be associated with dark or bright nebulosity [442]. Probably the first one to seriously suggest the notion that T Tauri stars are young, and not in these clouds by coincidence, was V. A. Ambartsumian [33] in 1947. It still took well into the 1950s until the fact was established [369, 34]. One of the earliest catalogs of young stars in star-forming regions was compiled by P. Parenago in 1955 [677]. But now help also came from the theoretical side. With the first calculations of positions of contracting stars in the HR-diagram in 1955 [366, 349] it became clearer that properties of T Tauri stars matched these predictions. In Fig. 2.5 a few examples are shown. Generally T Tauri stars possess significantly higher luminosities for their identified spectral class. According to C. Lada, decades later, these studies revealed that mature low-mass stars emerged from OB associations and were formed at a much higher rate than massive stars [498]. It was also suggested that star formation is still ongoing, even in the solar neighborhood.

The strong emission lines of T Tauri stars, specifically the Balmer H α line became their trademark in objective prism surveys, which scanned the sky up

to the late 1980s (see Sect. 6.3.2). The most systematic optical catalog of T Tauri stars was compiled in 1988 [382].

Today searches for young stars are pursued throughout the entire electromagnetic spectrum (see Sect. 2.3.1) as a result of the accelerating development of technologies. To be more specific, searches today are performed in the Radio, Sub-mm, IR, and X-ray bands (see Sects. 2.3.2 and 2.3.3).

2.3 Observing Stellar Formation

The fact that most research today is actually performed outside the optical wavelength band is for a very good reason. Collapsing clouds fully enshroud the newborn star within the in-falling envelope of dust and gas thus blocking the observer's view. Thus, if it were only up to the human eye and the spectral range it is sensitive to, then the process of stellar formation would be unobservable. In fact, as the course of the book will show, even if we could take a peek inside the collapse there might not be much to see as most radiation in the very early phases of the collapse happens at much longer wavelengths. The following three sections briefly outline the challenges that had to be overcome to finally reach broadband capability as well as to demonstrate the current and future technical advances necessary for stellar-formation research.

2.3.1 The Conqest of the Electromagnetic Spectrum

Newton, in the middle of the 17th century, found out that light is composed of a whole range of colors and that this spectrum spans from violet to blue, green, yellow, orange and red. It implies a wavelength range from about 400 to about 750 nanometers. Not much was known about the nature of light until 1690 when C. Huygens showed that light has a wave character. Over 100 years later this scale rapidly expanded at both ends. F.W. Herschel found in 1800 that the largest amount of heat from the Sun lies beyond the red color in the spectrum. One year later J. W. Ritter detected radiative activity beyond the violet color of the spectrum. Both events mark the discovery of infrared and ultraviolet light. J. Maxwell postulated in 1865 that light is composed of electromagnetic waves, a theory that was proved to be correct by H. Hertz in 1887, who also detected electromagnetic waves with very long wavelengths and thus added the radio band to the electromagnetic spectrum. In another milestone in 1905 W. C. Röntgen detected his *Röntgenstrahlung*, the mysterious X-rays which obviously rendered photoplates useless and which were able to penetrate soft human tissue. Seven years later M. von Laue finally demonstrated that these X-rays are electromagnetic waves of extremely short wavelengths. By then the wavelength range of the electromagnetic spectrum spanned from about 10^{-10} to 10^2 meters.

But the study of the nature of light so far was entirely confined to laboratory experiments. A similar conquest in the sky is an ongoing challenge. It


Fig. 2.8. The Earth's atmosphere does not allow for penetration of light from the entire electromagnetic spectrum to the ground-based observer. Throughout the spectral band, absorption in the atmosphere dominates over transmission. The dark region marks the wavelengths and heights through which light cannot penetrate. Some wavelengths, like sub-millimeter radiation or X-rays are absorbed only to an intermediate height and can be observed with high-flying balloons. Adapted from Unsöld [858].

requires high-flying balloons, rockets, and satellites as well as extremely sensitive electronic equipment. Electromagnetic radiation from stars and other cosmic objects can penetrate the Earth's atmosphere only in limited fashion depending on its wavelength. Figure 2.8 shows schematically how only radio, optical, and some of the near-IR wavelengths have broad observing windows, while, for example, the UV range is almost entirely blocked out and X-rays can only be reached by high-flying balloons for short exposures. Sub-mm observations can be made from the ground at elevated heights, though most bands are entirely absorbed by the atmosphere's water vapor.

2.3.2 Instrumentation, Facilities, and Bandpasses

Today searches for young stars are pursued throughout the entire electromagnetic spectrum as a result of the accelerating development of advanced technologies specifically for focal-plane instrumentation. Instrumental development is an essential part of stellar research, and astronomy in general always motivates the creation of new technologies. The goal is to gain increased sensitivity, increased spatial and spectral resolution, and increased wavelength coverage. Of course, one would like to achieve all this throughout most essential parts of the electromagnetic spectrum. For a short review readers are directed to J. Kastner's review on imaging science in astronomy [454]. In starformation research, observing efforts today engulf almost the entire spectral bandwidth from Radio to γ -radiation. In essence, it took the whole second half of the 20th century to conquer the electromagnetic spectrum technologically in its entire bandwidth for star-formation research. The following offers a very limited review of the use of instrumentation over this time period, and for various wavelength bands without providing technical specifications, which are outside the focus of this book. More detailed explanations and a description of instrumental acronyms in the following sections and chapters can be found in Appendix F.

Observations of young stars are predominately performed in medium to short-wavelength ranges:

Near-IR:	$3.5 \ \mathrm{\mu m} \lesssim \ \lambda \lesssim \ 0.8 \ \mathrm{\mu m}$	thermal continua,
		vibrational lines
Optical :	$0.8 \ \mu \mathrm{m} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 0.4 \ \mu \mathrm{m}(4000 \ \mathrm{\AA})$	molecular/atomic lines
Near-UV :	$4000~{ m \AA}~\lesssim\lambda\lesssim~1000~{ m \AA}$	molecular/atomic lines
Far-UV :	$1000~{ m \AA}~\lesssim\lambda\lesssim~150~{ m \AA}$	atomic lines, continua
X-ray :	$150~{ m \AA}~\lesssim\lambda\lesssim~1~{ m \AA}$	inner shell atomic lines

There are strong signatures at longer wavelengths as well but they are normally not understood in the context of the protostar itself. Dust envelopes around protostars are only visible in the far-IR and the sub-mm. Long wavelengths exclusively identify very young protostars in the context of thermal emission from dust and molecules and some non-thermal emission in the radio band [27]. Ranges are:

Radio :	$50 \text{ m} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 5 \text{ mm}$	non-thermal continua rotational lines
mm:	$5 \text{ mm} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 1 \text{ mm}$	rotational lines, dust
Sub-mm :	$1 \text{ mm} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 0.35 \text{ mm}$	rotational lines, dust
Far-IR :	$350 \ \mathrm{\mu m} \ \lesssim \lambda \lesssim \ 20 \ \mathrm{\mu m}$	rotational lines
Mid-IR :	$20 \ \mathrm{\mu m} \ \lesssim \lambda \lesssim \ 3.5 \ \mathrm{\mu m}$	vibrational lines

Optical telescopes can be used from the near-UV to the mm-bandpass. Observing capability is dominated by the transmission properties of the atmosphere and thus only a few windows outside the optical band are really visible from the ground, with some becoming visible at higher altitudes (see Fig. 2.8). At even higher altitudes IR and sub-mm waves become accessible, though mirror surfaces are increasingly sensitive to daytime to nighttime temperature changes and require specific accommodations. Thermal background noise irradiated by instrument components is reduced detector cooling. Throughout the IR band, variable thermal emission from the Earth's atmosphere is a problem growing with increasing wavelengths. Modern facilities thus use choppers or alternate beams to subtract atmospheric radiation and filter out the difference signal for further processing.

The 1940s and 1950s observed primarily older regions of stellar formation such as open clusters, OB, and T Tauri associations (see Sect. 2.2.5). The first systematic studies of young stars and star-forming regions were performed with optical telescopes. Though most of these studies occurred long after the 1950s when more advanced photomultipliers became available, hypersensitive photographic plates were used even into the early 1990s, which due to immense advances in photographic techniques had not much in common with the original plates [765]. To further enhance sensitivity the plates are sometimes submitted to a heating process (baking). The dynamical range of photographic plates is limited and so is their efficiency in comparison with photoelectronic devices. Thus some surveys used photographic plates specifically to cover wide fields, some used photoelectric detectors. Both methods produced uncertainties s ranging between 0.005 and 0.015 mag. Today large area charge-coupled devices (CCDs) with higher linear dynamic ranges and efficiencies have replaced most photographic plates. In order to obtain spectral information filter combinations [433] are applied. For higher resolution objective prism plates, objective grating spectrographs and slit spectrographs have been used [372, 376, 439, 2, 382, 493, 551]. Objective prisms had already been used early in the century [148] and were specifically useful for scanning extended stellar fields. Today grating spectrographs are used with edged gratings for Cassegrain spectrographs and Coudé spectrographs that allow spectral resolutions of up to 100,000. Similar results can be achieved with lithographic reflection gratings in Echelle spectrographs [314, 858].

Many near-IR observations have been performed throughout the 1970s, notably [574, 821, 175, 733], which together with optical observations provided a vital database for further studies of young stars. For wavelengths $\lesssim 3.5~\mu{\rm m}$ nitrogen cooled InSb-photodiodes are commonly used. Wavelengths $\lesssim 1.2~\mu{\rm m}$ can be observed with optical photomultipliers, though nitrogen cooled photo cathodes are needed.

Research in the 1980s also systematically began to survey star-forming regions in the mid-IR up to the mm-band as more advanced electronics became available. Specifically CO surveys provided a direct probe of molecular clouds and collapsing cloud cores (see Chaps. 3 and 4 for more details). For observations in the sub-mm and mm band nitrogen cooling becomes insufficient and superfluid helium cooling needs to be in place instead, forcing focal plane temperatures to below 2 K. S. Beckwith and collaborators, for example, used a He-cooled bolometer to measure 1.3 mm continuum emission with the *IRAM* 30 m telescope at an altitude of around 2,900 m on *Pico Valeta* in Spain [68]. The *IRAM* and *VLA* telescopes were used throughout the early 1990s to map molecular clouds, foremost the ρ Oph A cloud which hosts very recently formed stars with CO outflows [25]. Other surveys and observations of recently formed stars also involve dust emission from *Herbig Ae/Be stars* [75], objects in the ρ Oph cloud [26], H₂O emission in circumstellar envelopes of protostars [158], and from various collapsing cores with envelope masses $< 5 M_{\odot}$ [936], to name but a few out of hundreds of these observations performed to date.

Today many measurements are performed with SCUBA on the JCMT, which is a state-of-the-art facility located in Hawaii and which came into service in 1997. Another even more recent sub-mm facility is the 10 m HHT on Mt. Graham in the USA. Major recent, currently active, and future observing facilities like CSO, BIMA, and OVRO with short characterizations are listed in Appendix F.

2.3.3 Stellar Formation Research from Space

The benefits of space research with respect to stellar formation studies are undisputed. It should be noted, though, that space observatories are generally highly specialized, expensive, and usually suffer from much more limited lifetimes than their counterparts on the ground. In this respect modern starformation research could not survive without the contributions of an armada of ground facilities equipped with instruments sensitive to ground-accessible wavelengths (see above). Specifically ground observations in the radio and sub-mm domain still contribute most to studies of molecular cloud collapse and very early phases in the star formation process.

On the other hand, there are domains where the exploration from space is not only highly beneficial, but is simply the only way to observe at all. The former is certainly true for bandpasses in the IR and sub-mm range, the latter is exclusively valid for the high energy domain. These two spectral domains have specifically been proven to be most valuable for investigating the properties of very young stars. Another advantage of in-orbit observations is that they provide long-term uninterrupted exposures as well as a much larger sky accessibility. And finally, observations from space offer the possibility to provide various deep and wide sky surveys on fast timescales. From the late 1970s, several observatories were launched into space which contributed to the understanding of early stellar evolution in major ways. Table 2.1 gives an account of all space missions with an agenda for star formation research that have been concluded or are still ongoing. It shows that there has been little X-ray coverage in the 1980s.

With the launch of the X-ray observatory EINSTEIN in late 1978 a new era in early stellar evolution began as X-rays were detected from young low-mass stars [489, 278, 235] soon after the observatory went into service and pointed at the Orion Nebula Cluster (ONC). X-rays from stars were not unheard of, X-ray stars in binary systems were known to possess X-ray emission much more powerful than that of the Sun. Also at about the same time, X-rays were also detected from hot massive stars [788, 328]. Here a plausible model for the X-ray emission from hot stars was soon on the table [539], whereas X-rays from young low-mass stars remained a mystery for much longer and even today high-energy emission is not fully understood ([238], see Chap. 8).

Table 2	2.1.	Spa	ce ı	missions	s rele	evant fo	or star	form	ation :	research.	The b	andp	asse	s are
generall	y gi	ven	$_{ m in}$	waveler	ngth	units,	except	for	X-ray	missions	that	did	not	have
high sp	ectra	ul res	solv	ving pov	ver.	Here it	is mo	re co	mmon	to specif	y the	rang	e in	keV.

Mission	Bandpass	Mission	Major science impact
	spectral range	dates	in star-formation research
IUE	UV	Jan. 78–Sep. 96	UV spectra of young massive
	1150 - 3299 Å		stars, Herbig Ae/Be stars.
EINSTEIN	X-ray	Nov. 78–Apr. 81	Detection of X-rays from
	$1-20~{\rm keV}$		low-mass stars.
IRAS	IR	Jan. 83–Nov. 83	All-sky survey,
	$8-120~\mu\mathrm{m}$		photometry of young stars.
HST	Optical/IR	Apr. 90–	High-resolution images
	3000 Å– 3.5 μm		of star-forming regions.
ROSAT	X-ray	Jun. 90–Feb. 99	First X-ray all-sky survey;
	0.1 - 2.4 keV		identifications, distributions
			and luminosities of young stars.
EUVE	Far-UV	Jun. 92–Jan. 01	All-sky survey catalog,
	70 - 760 Å		coronal spectra of stars.
ASCA	X-ray	Feb. 93–Jul. 00	Hard X-rays from
	0.3 - 10 keV		star-forming regions.
ISO	IR/Sub-mm	Nov. 95–May 98	High-resolution images and
	$2.5 - 240 \ \mu m$	· ·	spectra of stellar cores
	•		young stars.
SWAS	Sub-mm	Dec. 98–	molecular line emission
	$487 - 556 \ \mu m$		$(i.e., O_2, H_2O, CO)$
	·		in star-forming regions.
FUSE	Far-UV	Jun. 99–	Molecular absorption lines
	905 – 1195 Å		in spectra of young stars.
Chandra	X-ray	Jul. 99–	High-resolution images and
	1.5 - 160 Å		spectroscopy of star-forming
			regions, line diagnostics.
XMM-Newton	X-ray	Dec. 99–	High-efficiency spectroscopy of
	6 - 120 Å		young stars.
SST	IR/Sub-mm	Aug. 03–	Imaging and spectroscopy of
	$3.6 - 160 \ \mu m$	0	star-forming regions
	•		with high precision.
SOFIA	$2.2 - 26 \ \mu m$	2004-	Permanent IR observatory
	·		flying at 13 km above ground.
JWST	Optical/IR	Approx. 2011	Images beyond HST.
	1 /	11	5 2
Herschel	Far-IR/Sub-mm	Feb. 2007	Star formation in galaxies
	,		interstellar medium.
GAIA	Optical	Mar. 2010	3-D mapping of the
	-		Galaxy.



Fig. 2.9. Model of *ROSAT* in flight configuration. Throughout the 1990s *ROSAT* observed major star-forming regions in X-rays. One of its major accomplishments was the completion of the first X-ray all-sky survey (*RASS*). Credit: Max Planck Institut für extraterrestrische Physik.

In the 1990s ROSAT [845] was the main X-ray observatory available for stellar evolution research. A model of the satellite in-flight configuration is shown in Fig. 2.9. It not only produced a large number of long exposed observations of star-forming regions but also conducted the first X-ray all-sky survey (Plate 1.2). This first survey provides a valuable resource for current and future missions and allows us to study wide distributions of young stars [815]. The currently active X-ray observatories *Chandra* (see Fig. 2.10) and *XMM*-*Newton* add a new quality to previous X-ray missions. One of them is the capability to perform high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy at resolving powers of 300 to 1,200. The high spatial resolution of *Chandra* with 0.5 arcsec adds another dimension to X-ray studies as the cores of dense very young stellar clusters, such as the Orion Nebula cluster, are now fully spatially resolved.

Space missions in the IR and sub-mm band took a similar path with time. The launch of IRAS in 1983, though its mission was only 10 months long, provided researchers with the first ever all-sky survey conducted in space in the IR. IRAS generated a database which still keeps researchers busy today, and made it possible to launch missions with more powerful and higher precision instruments like ISO in 1995 and SST (see Fig. 2.11) in 2003 to inspect regions of interest more closely.

This canonical development in the IR and X-ray bands is not accidental. Comparisons of *ROSAT* observations with IR images could demonstrate that observed activity in early evolutionary phases are quite complementary in

29



Fig. 2.10. Artists impression of the *Chandra* spacecraft, which is orbiting Earth in a highly excentric orbit (highest altitude is 139,000 km) since July 1999. Its currently projected tenure of operation leads into the year 2009. For more detailed information, see descriptions and links in Appendices E and F. Credit: NASA/CXC/SAO.

these bands and their simultaneous study is highly beneficial. The vast improvements in observational quality in these two wavelength bands is demonstrated in Fig. 2.12. It shows four images of the Orion Nebula region centered on the Orion Trapezium cluster. The very wide view of IRAS shows the large-scale structure of diffuse matter around the Nebula without being able to resolve many stars. The wide view of *ROSAT* shows that in X-rays only stars contribute to the emission. With a resolution of 25 arcsec of the PSPC (see Appendix F), however, ROSAT was still quite limited in resolving most point sources. In contrast the 2MASS image shows highly resolved point sources as well as diffuse gas within the IRAS bandpass. The 2MASSis a 2 μ m on-ground survey observatory operated by the University of Massachusetts. Wide-view ground observations now always complement today's space observatories. Finally the CHANDRA view complements these IR observations with X-ray images of unprecedented resolution. All stars in the ONC with the exception of close binary systems are now fully resolved in the IR and X-ray domain providing researches with a unique opportunity to study aspects of the early evolution of stars.



Fig. 2.11. Artists impression of the *Spitzer* spacecraft, which is in an unusual heliocentric, earth-trailing orbit. It was launched in August 2003 and has an anticipated lifetime of five years. For more detailed information, see descriptions and links in Appendices E and F. Credit: NASA/JPL/Caltech.

The future of star formation research from space is already well in progress. Table 2.1 mentions four exemplary missions, SOFIA as the successor of the airborne Kuiper Observatory of the 1980s and early 1990s, JWST as the successor of Hubble, and the space probes Herschel and GAIA. SOFIA and Herschel will already be operative within the first decade of the 21st century (see links in Appendices E and F). SOFIA is a free-floating IR telescope mounted into the body of a jumbo jet, which will engage in regular flights through the Earth's Stratosphere, thus avoiding the absorbing effects of vapor clouds. Herschel then has the rather unique objective of studying the formation of galaxies in the early universe and clues toward cosmic star formation histories.



Fig. 2.12. (top left:) Wide view of the Orion region with *IRAS* at 60 μ m. (top right:) Close up view of the same region focusing on the center of the Orion Nebula with the 2MASS observatory at 1–2 μ m. (bottom left:) Wide view with the ROSAT PSPC in the X-ray band below 2.5 keV (above 5 Å). (bottom right:) Close-up view with Chandra ACIS below 10 keV (above 1.2 Å). The two comparisons illustrate the huge advances in the field of IR and X-ray astronomy, as well as also the potential that exists to pursue wide-angle views as well as deep views.

Studies of Interstellar Matter

3.1 The Interstellar Medium

3.1.1 The Stuff between the Stars

Observational evidence that the space between the stars in our Galaxy is not empty came as early as the beginning of the 20th century with the discovery of stationary Ca II lines in spectra of the binary star δ Orionis by J. Hartmann in 1904. In 1922 E. Hubble concluded that dust clouds scatter the light of nearby cool stars, which are then detected as reflection nebulae, while hot stars excite the gas in emission nebulae. He concluded that diffuse clouds irradiated by a radiation field have kinetic temperatures of about 10,000 K and frequent collisions would establish Maxwellian velocity distributions for electrons and ions in space.

The realization that the spurious and sharp Ca II or Na I lines are the result of partially ionized layers of gas distributed throughout the entire Galaxy opened a new area in the field of celestial spectroscopy. Particularly important was the discovery that the ISM is mostly hydrogen and thus quite similar to what is observed in stellar atmospheres – a fact that has wide implications in the context of stellar formation. In 1927 E. E. Barnard published a photographic atlas of the Milky Way which showed silhouettes of dark clouds shadowing the background starlight. R. J. Trumpler also showed in 1930 that interstellar extinction of light is not only a phenomenon created by dark clouds, but also has to be taken into account in objects that are observed at a distance of only a few hundred parsecs through empty space.

Progressive methods in optical data analysis revealed small velocity components in interstellar absorption lines which ultimately were linked to clouds in the interstellar medium as kinematic units. Such clouds were then also discovered in the Galactic Halo [601] raising the issue of the stratification of the *interstellar medium (ISM)* in the gravitational potential of our Galaxy. Perhaps one of the biggest breakthroughs in the understanding of the ISM came in 1957 when the idea was formulated that the ISM is directly connected to stellar evolution and that stars and ISM coexist and evolve together [132].

Where does the ISM come from? To quite a large extent it is a remainder of the Big Bang once the universe had cooled down to sufficiently low temperatures to allow the formation of atoms. Here hydrogen and helium were formed with an abundance ratio of roughly 1000:85, with only traces of other, light elements. The ISM as it appears today is thus in part debris from primordial star formation. In fact, its composition today is not very much different from what it was a few billion years ago when the ISM was formed. However it is not just premordial debris as it is under constant reformation through on-going star formation, nucleosynthesis, and stellar mass loss.

The ISM is an extremely tenuous gas with an average density of 10^{-20} times the Earth's atmosphere near sea level and is mostly hydrogen with small amounts of carbon, oxygen, iron, and other higher Z elements. In this composition hydrogen contributes 90 percent of all interstellar matter in either its molecular, neutral, or ionized form. The ISM breaks down into the following components [802]:

- Hydrogen $(H_2, H I, H II, e^-)$.
- Helium (He I, He II).
- Trace elements (C, O, Ne, Mg, Fe and others, including ions).
- Molecules (CO, CS, and others).
- Dust.
- Cosmic ray particles.
- Magnetic fields.
- Radiation fields.

Stars are born from ISM matter and during their lifecycle they return some of that material back into the ISM. Figure 3.1 illustrates this recycling process. Giant molecular cloud cores collapse to form stars or whole stellar associations, removing mass from the parent cloud and thus the ISM. During the stars' lifetime matter is deposited back into the ISM. This can happen as a result of winds from massive stars or mass ejections from young or late evolutionary stages. Mass loss from massive stars (M > 20 M_{\odot}) is especially important for replenishing the ISM with re-processed material. Here, for example, we consider stars with mass-loss rates in excess of $10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, like W-R stars and most early supergiants. Also M supergiants are important and where they appear in larger concentrations in our Galaxy they actually return more material back to the ISM than W-R stars [446]. Mass-losing AGB stars return up to $3-6 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$ [445]. When massive stars die they return a large portion of their mass to the ISM, enriched by nucleosynthesis. In general, it is estimated that about 20 percent of the mass used up in star formation is fed back into the ISM after the star is born. There are also indications that the Galaxy is still accreting some material from the *intergalactic medium (IGM)*, but at the same time is losing some of it into the IGM through diffusion processes or in catastrophic galaxy collisions.

35



Fig. 3.1. The ISM is not just matter filling the space between stars, it is quite an active medium. Stars evolve from the ISM (A) and feed (in part) reprocessed matter back during their life cycle (B) and when they die (C). The ISM also accretes small amounts from, as some material diffuses into, the intergalactic medium (IGM). Adapted from an illustration by G. Knapp, Sky&Telescope 2001.

All in all, it is hard to believe that we have equilibrium conditions under these circumstances. In fact the ISM is far from any dynamic, physical, and chemical equilibrium and is under constant change. Much of these conditions are a consequence of the localized structures of the ISM, where 'point-like' stars provide the main energy sources. As a result the structure of the ISM is highly complex and temperature, density, and even velocity varies vastly across the Galaxy.

3.1.2 Phases of the ISM

Everything that fills the space between the stars within the Galaxy constitutes the ISM. This includes all radiation fields and magnetic fields, although this chapter will concentrate mostly on the matter part. There is gas, which consists of atoms, molecules, ions, and free electrons, and there is solid matter of a

Medium	Phase	H state	$n (cm^{-3})$	Т (К)	Heating signature	Comments
Molecular clouds, cores	Cold	H_2	> 1000	10-50	Cosmic rays	Icy dust
H I clouds	Cold	Н	30	100	Dust	Diffuse ISM
Warm H I	Warm	Н	0.1	8000	Dust	Diffuse ISM
Warm H II	Warm	H^+	0.03	10^{4}	Photo- ionization	Faint
H II regions	Warm	H^+	> 100	10^{4}	Photo- ionization	Transient, expanding
Hot ISM	Hot	H^+	10^{-3}	$10^{6.5}$	SNe shocks	Low mass
SNRs	Hot	H^+	Variable	10^{7}	Shocks	Dynamic

Table 3.1. Phases of the ISM. (From J. S. Mathis [558].)

wide range of sizes, from microscopic dust particles to larger, more complex, dust grains. Of interest are ISM characteristics such as temperature, mass density, abundances of elements, and chemical composition of molecules. The ISM appears in three phases, a cold phase consisting of molecular and atomic hydrogen gas and dust, a warm phase with atomic hydrogen and ionized hydrogen gas, and a hot phase with shocked gas from supernova explosions as well as what is referred to as coronal gas (Table 3.1).

3.1.3 Physical Properties of the ISM

The stars are spread so far apart that the average interstellar space is actually very cold with a mean temperature of only a few degrees above absolute zero. Thus the mean density is just one hydrogen atom per cm³. However depending on the location in space, the density of the ISM varies by many orders of magnitudes. Table 3.1 summarizes these properties. Observed temperatures range from near absolute zero to over 10^6 K, and densities range from 0.001 to over 10,000 atoms per cm³ in molecular cloud cores.

Molecular clouds are large condensations of cold gas with very high densities. They are quite ubiquitous thoughout the Galaxy. Their masses can reach up to one million Suns (see Chap. 4 for details). Most dominant throughout the ISM is atomic hydrogen which occupies about 50 percent of the volume. A large fraction of this hydrogen appears in cold clouds and warm diffuse gas. Cold atomic clouds are comparatively small, with sizes of around 10 pc and masses of about 1,000 Suns. The average distance between these clouds is about 150 pc. These clouds are embedded in a more tenuous and diffuse warm gas. The gas pressures of these phases can be described by the ideal gas law; see Sect. A.2 (i.e., they are proportional to temperature and number density). Since these pressures turn out to be quite similar the two media can coexist in a fairly stable manner. The hot ISM appears either as a coronal gas with an extremely low density of 0.001 hydrogen atoms per cm³ or as hot gas from shocks in supernova remnants (SNRs). Although its total mass is extremely small compared to the other phases, this hot ISM occupies almost 50 percent of the H I volume. In this respect the interplay of the three phases gives the ISM an almost 'sponge-like' structure.

3.1.4 The Local ISM

The Local ISM (LISM) is an example of how the ISM structure appears spatially distributed in the Galaxy. The LISM is the neighborhood of our Sun, within a radius of 460 pc (1,500 lyr). The Sun is about 8,500 pc away from the Galactic Center and is located in the Orion spiral arm of the Milky Way. Figure 3.2 shows the plane-projected locations of molecular clouds, stellar associations, and the diffuse LISM with respect to the Sun [268]. The Sun is surrounded by quite a number of gas clouds. These clouds, of course, are still thin enough so one can see right through them. The Sun is located in a void comprised of coronal gas, which we refer to as the *Local Bubble* [267, 678]. This void borders into molecular clouds near the Scorpius-Centaurus stellar association, which extends into the Aquila-Rift, into the Gum Nebula and the Vela-Remnant, into the Taurus cloud, and into the Orion stellar association with its molecular clouds (see also Chap. 10). Part of the latter is the famous Horsehead Nebula located near Orion's belt. The Gum Nebula is a complex region of ionized hydrogen. Many surrounding clouds and associations are regions of fairly recent star formation (< 10 - 50 Myr ago) and part of what is called the *Lindblad's Ring*, an expanding H I ring surrounding the Sun, and Gould's Belt. In fact the Local Bubble may not really be a bubble anymore as there are indications that these bordering star-forming regions are pushing into an older void formed by the Lindblad Ring. On the other hand the LISM may also still be under the influence of recent star forming activity. The filaments reaching towards the Sun in the diagram in Fig. 3.2 are warm, partly ionized gas shells resulting from star formation 4-10 Myr ago in the Scorpius-Centaurus association [268].



Fig. 3.2. A schematic view of the LISM. The baseline of the diagram is $\sim 1,500$ lyr. The black areas represent hot, low-density regions, the gray areas warm, more dense diffuse gas. The dark circles are molecular clouds and the hatched circles major stellar associations. Adapted from an illustration by L. Huff (*American Scientist*) and P. Frisch (U. Chicago), 2001).

3.2 Interstellar Gas

The ISM is largely gaseous and, as seen in the example of the LISM, appears in the form of clouds as well as gaseous streams. The physical properties of atoms and molecules are determined by the local temperature, density, volume and radiative environment. Dense H I clouds have to be cool, with kinetic temperatures usually between 50 and 200 K, in order to stay neutral. The warm, diffuse H I gas of the ISM has temperatures of over 1,000 K and thus appears as a very thin gas for the very same reason. The kinetic temperatures in this gas are for all atoms, not only hydrogen, as there always exists an equipartition of kinetic energy among other gas particles through elastic collisions. Modern research utilizes advanced diagnostic tools to analyze the distribution of neutral and molecular hydrogen. In the next sections large surveys are presented together with key conclusions.

3.2.1 Diagnostics of Neutral Hydrogen

Perhaps the most efficient means to survey the global distribution of hydrogen is by observation of the 21 cm line of neutral hydrogen. This emission originates within the hyperfine structure of the hydrogenic ground state:

Total spin
$$\mathbf{F} = 1$$
 (p^+ and e^- spin are parallel)
 $\Downarrow \nu = 1,420.4 \text{ MHz}$
Total spin $\mathbf{F} = 0$ (p^+ and e^- spin are antiparallel)

The energy difference between these two states is only $h\nu = 6 \times 10^{-6}$ eV and the corresponding line can be observed in the radio band at a wavelength of 21 cm. The oscillator strength of this transition is so small that it takes an average time of 1.1×10^7 yr for a photon to be emitted from one hydrogen atom. Such a rare transition can only be observed if there is an enormous abundance of neutral hydrogen.

Though H_2 is the most abundant molecule, it does not have any strong spectroscopic signatures in the radio or infrared band, which would allow us to survey its large-scale distribution, because of its lack of a dipole moment. Although there are molecular lines from H_2 in the ultraviolet, deeper observations are difficult because of extinction (see below). There is, however, an indirect way to measure the H_2 distribution. The carbon-monoxide molecule CO is the next most abundant molecule after H_2 , with a relative number density between CO and H_2 of about 10^{-4} . The classical moment of inertia of the CO molecule is orders of magnitudes larger than that for H_2 and thus its rotational transitions lie in the radio domain. Of interest is the transition from the first excited rotational state to the rotational ground state:

$\begin{array}{rll} & {\bf Rotational} & {\bf quantum number \ J=1} \\ {\bf 115.27 \ GHz \ (^{12}CO)} & \Downarrow \ \nu = \ 110.25 \ {\rm GHz \ (^{13}CO)} \\ & {\bf Rotational} & {\bf quantum number \ J=0} \end{array}$

The corresponding wavelengths are 2.60 mm for 12 CO (J = 1–0) and 2.72 mm for 13 CO (J = 1–0). Although the 12 CO isotope molecule is usually many times (~ 10²) more abundant, the transition from 13 CO becomes of interest once emission from 12 CO becomes optically thick in dense molecular clouds.

There are rotational and vibrational quadrupole (also called *forbidden*) transitions of H_2 in the infrared, which are related to the hot component of the H_2 gas in the ISM and are specifically of interest as they occur near regions of ongoing star formation.

3.2.2 Distribution of Hydrogen

Early H I surveys date back to 1951 [233] when the 21 cm radio emission was discovered and just a year later the first large-scale survey was completed

[166]. Since H I populates all portions of the ISM its 21 cm emission not only becomes detectable but can be used to reconstruct the basic spiral structure and kinematics of the Galaxy [137]. Advances in H I research are mainly based on large radio observatories such as the Arecibo Observatory, the NRAO 300-ft telescope, and, most recently, the VLA. Under the more recent activities are H I surveys by [199] and [709].

Plate 1.3 shows an all-sky survey of Galactic H I observed at a wavelength of 21 cm. The image center is also the Galactic Center and the Galactic plane runs horizontally through the image. Strikingly, the largest concentration of neutral hydrogen is within 250 pc of the Galactic plane, and the gas density is fairly constant between an inner radius of 4 kpc and and an outer radius of 14 kpc around the Galactic Center. Below and above this plane the density is slowly decreasing. This is clearly in contrast to the total mass density. It could be shown [307] that the ratio of the dynamically determined Galactic mass density and the H I density is fairly constant outside a radius of 5 kpc from the Galactic Center, but the H I density is much lower inside a radius of 4 kpc. This fact may indicate that inside this radius the gas has been depleted more during stellar formation in the early phases of the Galaxy.

This depletion within the central regions of the Galaxy is also seen for molecular hydrogen between 1 and 4 kpc, although the overall distribution of H₂ is very different from the H I density distribution. The global H₂ distribution is deduced from CO measurements (see Plate 1.4 and the section below). It shows a sharp peak between a radius of 5 and 6 kpc from the Galactic Center and decays sharply towards larger radii. Beyond 12 kpc H₂ is only found at very low densities. Figure 3.3 illustrates the radial distribution of neutral hydrogen. Although their density distributions are quite different, the integrated mass of H I and H₂ in the Galaxy is about the same, 2.5×10^9 M_{\odot} for H I, and 2.0×10^9 M_{\odot} for H₂ [307].

Plate 1.3 also shows that diffuse H I clouds with sizes of hundreds of light-years across cluster near the Galactic plane. This gas is not isotropic but forms arches and loops indicating that it is stirred up by stellar activity in the Galactic disk. In fact, if one takes the radial velocities in the 21 cm line into account, one can reconstruct the local density structure within the spiral arms of the Galaxy [137]. About 60 percent of H I atoms are contained in warm clouds with a temperature higher than 500 K, with some clouds denser than $10 - 10^3$ atoms per cm³. Under these conditions, most of the neutral hydrogen will stay atomic for several reasons: A pure H I gas will always have a non-zero probability such that a collision of two H atoms will form a H_2 molecule; the energy and angular momentum so gained is released by quadrupole radiation. This reaction is favored either at low temperatures and high volume densities or on dust grains (catalytic formation). On the other hand the H_2 molecule gets destroyed easily by photodissociation by UV photons. The equilibrium state of the hydrogen gas is thus a balance between formation and dissociation of molecular hydrogen, and the relative amount between H_2 and H I densities can vary greatly within the ISM.



Fig. 3.3. Schematic representations of radial distributions in the plane of the Milky Way of the volume densities of atomic and molecular hydrogen. The light shade indicates n(H I), the medium shade $n(H_2)$, the dark shade all hydrogen. Adapted from Gordon et al. [307].

Large local variations from the overall equilibrium are expected to exist not only in very cool and super-dense regions, but also in regions of high density and high UV flux. So-called *photodissociation regions (PDRs)* have been identified in the Galactic ISM both in the general diffuse gas as well as in dense regions near hot young stars [16].

3.2.3 Distribution of CO

CO surveys of the entire Galaxy are rare. One of the first composite surveys was compiled in the late 1980s [187]. Many previous surveys concentrated more on specific areas in the sky. A new, more recent, large-scale survey integrates almost half a million individual surveys into one highly resolved composite all-sky CO map [188](Plate 1.4). The map shows (like Plate 1.3 for H I) that most of the material is concentrated within 250 pc of the Galactic plane. However, in contrast to H I, the CO appears clumpy and concentrated within only half the radial extent around the Galactic Center. Although in the composite CO map there is a peak at the Galactic Center (the dark spot at the center of the

map is also the Galactic Center position), the radially integrated distribution also shows a peak around 5 kpc. Its shape looks similar to the one shown for H_2 [307] (Fig. 3.3).



Fig. 3.4. (top) Distribution of molecular clouds and star-forming regions based on the composite CO survey in Plate 1.4. (bottom) Corresponding radial velocity distribution in the Galactic plane. Adapted from Dame et al. [187] [188].

The power of CO (2.6 mm) surveys to find dense regions in the sky cannot be underestimated. The appearance of CO molecules directly traces the H_2 molecule and therefore also indicates the densest regions in the ISM (i.e., molecular or giant molecular clouds). Although these clouds are discussed in more detail in the next chapter, Fig. 3.4 presents a finding chart for clouds and associations based on the composite survey by [188]. The top panel is the velocity-integrated composite map. Each region of clouds has its own peculiar motion on top of the Galactic rotation and depending on its direction, the observed line appears blue or red-shifted indicating a radial velocity component. The map displays the locations of the most prominent star-forming regions in the Sun's neighborhood in Galactic coordinates. Figure 3.4 shows the radial velocity of these clouds with respect to Galactic latitude. It reveals the existence of a higher velocity molecular ring within 60 degrees of Galactic longitude that coincides with the peak in the hydrogen distribution.

3.2.4 Diffuse γ -radiation

The peak in the radial density distribution as observed in CO surveys has its counterpart in the distribution of the diffuse γ -radiation in the Galaxy. Observations with satellites in the mid-1970s showed the existence of a broad emission region along the Galactic plane that is directed toward the Galactic Center with a peak in the radial distribution at 5–6 kpc [486, 250, 813]. Plate 1.5 shows a false-color image of the Milky Way obtained with *EGRET* on board the *Compton Gamma-Ray Observatory (CGRO)* for energies larger than 100 MeV.

Besides bright unresolved point sources there is a diffuse component in the Galactic plane. The most likely mechanism responsible for the high-energy emission is the decay of π^o mesons in cosmic ray nucleons near interstellar gas nuclei [463]. The peak at 5–6 kpc thus coincides with the high gas density of CO and H₂ in the Galaxy where the highest mass density is found. Variations in the cosmic ray flux are presumably too small to create this peak and such an explanation is not supported by the Galactic distribution of supernova remnants nor by the strength of the non-thermal radio continuum.

3.3 Column Densities in the ISM

One of the centerpieces of ISM research is the analysis of absorption lines. The behavior of line equivalent widths on the *curve of growth (COG)* is one of the most powerful analysis tools (described in Appendix D). The following sections present some applications to absorption spectra.

3.3.1 Absorption Spectra

Neutral interstellar gas does not radiate in visible light. In the visible, it can be observed only through absorption of light from stars located behind the gaseous regions. Since interstellar atoms are usually in their ground state, most will not absorb photons at wavelengths larger than 3,000 Å [801]. However, ISM absorption from neutral atoms in the visible wavelength band are observed from Na, K, Fe, and Li, from (ionized) Ca II and Ti II, as well as from radicals of CN and CH.

There are various ways to detect such lines and distinguish them from stellar absorption lines. ISM lines are stationary as opposed to Doppler-shifted lines from, for example, stars in binary systems. Another characteristic is that interstellar absorption lines are extremely narrow compared to broad stellar lines. Figure 3.5 (left) shows an absorption spectral analysis performed in the oxygen K X-ray absorption band from spectra observed with *Chandra*. These observations are specifically useful for abundance studies. Similar studies in the wavelength range between 910 and 1,100 Å are under way with the *Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE)*. Bright continuum sources like



Fig. 3.5. The first curve of growth analysis performed in the X-ray band from high resolution spectra obtained with *Chandra*. (left:) ISM K resonance absorption of O I, O II, and O III in two bright X-ray sources. (right:) Curve of growth analysis for O I 1s-2p and 1s-2p absorption. From Juett et al. [443].

compact binaries in the X-ray band and early-type stars in the FUV are well suited for such observations as they do not show interfering intrinsic lines in these particular wavelength ranges.

3.3.2 Abundance of Elements

Hydrogen, as the most abundant element, produces the strongest of all the absorption lines at the Lyman α line wavelength of 1,215.67 Å [801]. For most stars radiation damping is the dominating source for line broadening as long as the observed star is not too close to the observer. A comparison of the Lyman α measures of the hydrogen column density with those derived from observations of the 21 cm radio emission revealed a rather poor correlation [745]. One of the suspected reasons for this discrepancy is that most early-type stars used for Lyman α surveys only show the nearby distribution of hydrogen, missing rich concentrations of interstellar material throughout the rest of the galaxy [801].

The relative abundance of elements with respect to hydrogen in the Galactic H I gas should be similar to solar (sometimes also referred to as 'cosmic') abundances (Table 3.2). A now classic study [801] determined the relative abundance of elements as measured in the direction of the early-type star ζ Ophiuchi. Early-type stars are most favorable for such an analysis as they do



Fig. 3.6. Depletion of various elements in the direction of identified clouds based on HST data. The different shades show average measurements of element depletion (scale by factors of 10) for cold Galactic disk gas, warm disk gas, and hot Galactic halo gas. Adapted from Frisch [269].

not have too many intrinsic spectral lines interfering in the area of interest. Figure 3.6 shows a more recent study using *HST* data. Here depletions for various lines of sight and clouds with different radial velocities are compared. By comparing these measured abundances relative to an abundance distribution we observe in the atmosphere of the Sun (Table 3.2), it appears that most elements, most prominently Al, Ca, Ti, Fe, and Ni, suffer from an underabundance by a large factor. Unless a solar distribution of elements is more the exception than the rule, this indicates that these elements are depleted in the cold ISM gas because they have coagulated with interstellar dust.

The atomic hydrogen distribution also contains Deuterium. D I was discovered by D. York with *Copernicus*, who measured the D/H ratio in the line of sight of the star β Cen to be $1.4\pm0.2\times10^{-5}$ [725]. The Deuterium is of primordial origin as only ~ 50 percent of the amount created during the Big Bang has been destroyed in stellar interiors ([269] and refereces therein). There are still large uncertainties in the D/H ratio and measurements in different lines of sight yield different results. These differences do not correlate with that one expected from ISM reprocessing in stellar interiors and their origin remains unresolved to date [269]. Recent measurements with *FUSE* suggest a slightly higher value of D/H = $(1.52\pm0.08) \times 10^{-5}$ [592].

Element	μ_Z	A_Z	A_Z	A_Z	$1-\beta_X$	$N_{H,Z}$	M_{Gal}
	(amu)	Sun	ISM	Cosmic rays	(-)	$atoms/cm^2$	M_{\odot}
$1 \mathrm{H}$	1	12.00	12.00	12.00	1.0	$1.0 \ 10^{22}$	$4.5 10^9$
$2 { m He}$	4	10.99	10.99	11.86	1.0	$9.8 10^{20}$	$1.8 \ 10^9$
6 C	12	8.60	8.38	9.59	0.5	$2.4 10^{18}$	$1.3 10^7$
7 N	14	7.97	7.88	8.98	1.0	$7.6 \ 10^{17}$	$4.9 10^6$
8 O	16	8.93	8.69	9.54	0.6	$4.5 \ 10^{18}$	$3.2 10^7$
$10 { m Ne}$	20	8.09	7.94	8.79	1.0	$8.7 \ 10^{17}$	$7.9 10^6$
11 Na	23	6.31	6.16	8.01	0.25	$1.4 10^{16}$	$1.6 10^6$
$12 { m Mg}$	24	7.59	7.40	8.83	0.2	$2.5 \ 10^{17}$	$2.7 10^6$
13 Al	27	6.48	6.33	8.03	0.02	$2.1 10^{16}$	$2.5 10^5$
$14 \mathrm{Si}$	28	7.55	7.27	8.73	0.1	$1.7 10^{17}$	$2.2 10^6$
15 P	31	5.57	5.42	7.36	0.6	$2.6 10^{15}$	$3.6 10^4$
$16 \mathrm{S}$	32	7.27	7.09	8.06	0.6	$1.2 \ 10^{17}$	$1.7 10^6$
17 Cl	35	5.27	5.12	7.28	0.5	$1.3 \ 10^{15}$	$2.0 \ 10^4$
18 Ar	40	6.56	6.41	7.76	1.0	$2.6 10^{16}$	$4.7 10^5$
20 Ca	44	6.34	6.20	7.93	0.003	$1.6 10^{16}$	$3.2 10^5$
22 Ti	48	4.93	4.81	7.82	0.002	$6.5 10^{14}$	$1.4 10^4$
$24 \mathrm{Cr}$	52	5.68	5.51	7.76	0.03	$3.2 10^{15}$	$7.6 \ 10^4$
$25 { m Mn}$	55	5.53	5.34	7.54	0.07	$2.2 10^{15}$	$5.4 10^4$
26 Fe	56	7.50	7.43	8.62	0.3	$2.7 \ 10^{17}$	$6.8 10^6$
27 Co	59	4.92	4.92	$<\!6.88$	0.05	$8.3 \ 10^{14}$	$2.2 10^4$
28 Ni	59	6.25	6.05	7.19	0.04	$1.1 10^{16}$	$2.9 10^5$

Table 3.2. Abundance and mass distributions.

3.3.3 X-ray Absorption in the ISM

Knowledge of the element abundance in the ISM is crucial in many ways. Xrays, for example, get absorbed by material in the ISM, modifying the X-ray flux and spectrum from 100 eV up to 10 keV depending on the interstellar column density in the line of sight towards an X-ray source. In this respect X-ray spectra can be used as a diagnostic of ISM abundance. The total photoionization cross section of the ISM is obtained by the sum over the contribution of relevant elements in the various phases of the ISM. In order to properly weigh these contributions one has to assume a certain abundance distribution of elements. Most widely used are solar abundances determined from the analysis of our Sun's photosphere and/or certain meteorites (see [787] and references therein). There also have been estimates for local ISM abundances [906] which include assessments of element depletion by dust.

The total photoionization cross section of the ISM is given by:

$$\sigma_{ISM} = \sigma_{gas} + \sigma_{mol} + \sigma_{grains} \tag{3.1}$$

where σ_{gas} represent the cross section of all gaseous phases, σ_{mol} the cross section of all molecules (which is dominated by H₂), and σ_{grains} the cross section of all solid material. Since hydrogen is by far the most abundant element in the ISM we are accustomed to normalize the number density of all elements with atomic numbers larger than unity to that of hydrogen. These cross sections incorporate the basic photoionization cross section modified by an abundance factor, ionization fractions, dust depletion factors, and assumptions about the chemical and physical composition of dust grains. X-ray absorption then can be described by an exponential law:

$$I_{observed}(E) = e^{-\sigma_{ISM}(E)N_H} I_{source}(E), \qquad (3.2)$$

where $I_{observed}(E)$ is the observed spectrum, I_{source} the original source spectrum, and E the energy of the X-ray photons.

 N_H is the equivalent hydrogen column density in atoms per cm². The column density is therefore all the material integrated along the line of sight between the observer and X-ray source:

$$N_H = \int_0^D n_H dl \tag{3.3}$$

where D is the distance to the source. In the X-ray band between 0.1 and 10 keV, absorption K and L edges are observed from the most abundant elements [595]. Figure 3.7 (left) shows how an X-ray spectrum is affected by the ISM (assuming there is no absorption intrinsic to the X-ray source) in the bandpass between 200 and 1,000 eV, which harbors the C K, N K, O K, Fe L, and Ne K edges. The optical depth of an edge is highly sensitive to the observed column density. Figure 3.7 (right) shows how the Fe L3 and L2 edges vary with increasing column densities between 0.1 and 1×10^{22} cm⁻². Photoionization cross sections were obtained from [481].

Table 3.2 lists the most abundant elements with their molecular weights (μ_Z) and their abundance fractions A_Z , from which one can calculate column densities using:

$$N_{H,Z} = N_H \times 10^{A_Z - 12.} \tag{3.4}$$

Table 3.2 provides a solar and ISM abundance distribution, as given by [906], and a cosmic ray abundance distribution [942]. The latter is of some interest as the main contribution of the Galactic component of the cosmic radiation comes from supernovae which are replenishing the ISM with newly formed elements. Primordial element distributions determined for metal-poor stars [570] are of less relevance. The depletion factors are taken from [906] again and serve as best guesses, as the exact amounts of element depletions are still not very well known. For a hydrogen column density of 10^{22} cm⁻², which is roughly the amount towards the Galactic Center, the element column densities listed in the second to last column of the table are obtained. With $4.5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ of hydrogen in the Galaxy this leads to a total mass (in M_{\odot}) for each element.



Fig. 3.7. (left) X-ray continuum spectrum (solid line) absorbed by an equivalent hydrogen column density of 1.0×10^{21} cm⁻², showing the absorption edges from C, N, Fe, and Ne. The unabsorbed X-ray continuum is indicated by the dotted line. (right) Simulated Fe L1 and L2 edges for various column densities, the numbers are in units of 10^{21} cm⁻².

Note that for the latter the amount, represented by the depletion factor β_X (see also Fig. 3.6), coexists in the form of dust.

3.4 Interstellar Dust

3.4.1 Distribution in the Galaxy

The density of dust between the stars is extremely low. In one billion cubic centimeters of space we find only a few dust particles. Dust particles usually have typical sizes of 0.01 to 1 μ m, and they become appearent by observing the extinction of light through large volumes. Because of the small size of the dust particles, more blue light will be scattered than red light and, as a result, the images from such a dusty environment appear more red than they were without dust. This effect is known as interstellar reddening. Figure 3.8 is an astonishing collage of observations of the southern Milky Way obtained by A. Mellinger. It impressively shows the distribution of dust in the Galactic plane. Dust in the ISM is much cooler than the stars that provide the background



Fig. 3.8. Dust in the southern Milky Way. Credit: Photography by A. Mellinger; from *http://home.arcor-online.de/axel.mellinger*

illumination in Fig. 3.8 and therefore radiate only infrared light. In the visible band we thus only observe dark dust patches, arcs, and loops of dust. This is quite similar to what we observe in H I gas and in fact gas and dust are well intermixed at all scales. Regions of high gas density are usually also regions of high dust density. In this respect [430] showed that there is a close correspondence between H I and reddening per unit distance and that indeed gas and dust are likely to be physically associated. Taking into account all hydrogen components they also found that the average ISM column density per magnitude of optical extinction is of the order of 7.5 $\times 10^{21}$ atoms cm⁻². This number has been revised many times using different observational techniques and the mean gas-to-dust ratio for all lines of sight is now established to be closer to 5.8 $\times 10^{21}$ atoms cm⁻². A similar value has been suggested fitting the size of scattering halos of bright X-ray sources throughout the Galactic plane [688].

3.4.2 The Shape of Dust Grains

The shape of dust grains is not well known, but has immense importance in stellar formation. Dust serves as a cooling agent during the stellar contraction phase (see Chap. 5). This cooling happens through radiation of light from a dust grain's surface and the cooling is more efficient the larger the surface is. By studying how dust absorbs, emits, and reflects light, one is able to deduce the geometrical properties of interstellar dust. This dust does not in the least resemble our normal house dust. Models of observed interstellar extinction [554] (see next section) over the wavelength range of 0.11 μ m and 1 μ m feature generalized particle size distributions of graphite, enstatite, olivine, silicon carbide, iron, and magnetite or combinations of these materials. The size distributions are power laws, which are monotonically decreasing towards larger sizes between 0.005 μ m and 0.25 μ m.

Figure 3.9 shows a grain of interplanetary dust caught by a high-flying U2type aircraft. Its size is about 10 μ m across and it is composed of glass, silicate minerals, and carbon. Models involving such shapes for dust grains are rare. Generally one approximates the shape of a grain to be spherical or cylindrical in order to more conveniently solve for electromagnetic field configurations. However, dust grains are likely not spherical. It is more likely that dust grains



Fig. 3.9. A grain of interplanetary dust likely dating from the early days of our Solar System. It is 10 μ m across and composed of glass, silicate minerals, and carbon. Credit: *NASA*, 2001. The inset shows, how interstellar dust, which is generally much smaller than 10 μ m, could appear by means of fractals. Credit: E. L. Wright (UCLA), 1999.

stick together, collide and shatter, leading to a rather random distribution of shapes. Figure 3.9 shows an attempt to illustrate the result of such a random conglomeration process. It assumes that dust grains are fractal, created from coagulation of smaller subunits called *monomers, dimers, tetramers,* and so on.

3.4.3 Interstellar Extinction Laws

Dust causes extinction of light. Extinction in the optical and near-IR is caused by absorption and scattering, which includes the reflection of light under various conditions. There is scattering by diffuse dust in the Galactic plane, reflection of light in dust-rich nebulae, or scattering by more or less dense dark clouds. Thus, not only dark clouds are responsible for the effect, for extinction of light is present everywhere in the Galaxy as there is dust distributed throughout interstellar space at various density levels. In this respect each line of sight has its own level of extinction. To make matters worse, extinction depends on wavelength as well. In practice the effects of extinction are observed during photometric measurement as a change in color (or outside the optical band, as a shift of the spectrum). Commonly, since there is no unique law to express such a color shift, the ratio of two colors is used :

$$E(\lambda - V)/E(B - V) = (A_{\lambda} - A_{V})/E(B - V) = (A_{\lambda}/E(B - V)) - R_{V} \quad (3.5)$$

where A_V is the visual extinction, i.e. the decrease of magnitude in the visual band, A_{λ} the same at a specific wavelength, and E(B - V) the color excess as measured from the ratio of B (440 nm) and V (550 nm) fluxes. The color excess is the difference between the actual measured B-V color of the object and its true, intrinsic color. From photometric studies (mostly in the near-IR) of objects where the intrinsic color is known there is an average relation between color excess and visual extinction that is commonly used:

$$A_V = (3.1 \pm 0.1)E(B - V), \qquad (3.6)$$

with $R_V = 3.1$. Unfortunately there is yet no unique perception of how the extinction of light by dust can be utilized to study not only the properties of dust itself, but also its concentration in dense clouds or in the diffuse medium. Many attempts are being made to study these properties continuously from the radio to ultraviolet wavelength bands.

The use of parameterized extinction data [253] led to the derivation of an average extinction law over the wavelength range of 3.5 µm to 0.125 µm [151]. The data included UV observations from the *International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE)* and optical to near-IR observations for many lines of sights through the diffuse ISM, H II regions, and molecular clouds. Ultimately a relation was determined between R_V and the relative extinction A_λ/A_V . Figure 3.10 shows three cases of such a mean extinction law. The line of sight towards the star BD +56 524 is specifically of low dust density with $R_V = 2.75$, while the line of sight toward Herschel 36, the central star of the H II region Messier 8, is particularly dense with $R_V = 5.30$. A standard mean extinction law uses $R_V = 3.2$ for the diffuse ISM (see also [746]).

Common in all these extinction laws is an excess at wave number $\lambda^{-1} = 4.6 \ \mu m^{-1}$, which corresponds to a wavelength of 2,175 Å. The peak wavenumber seems quite stable with respect to R_V . Since the excess is very strong it has to be related to very abundant materials with large absorption strengths, like C, N, O, Mg, Si, and Fe. Carbon seems very likely and it may not be a coincidence that graphite, a solid form of carbon, has a resonance close to 2,175 Å. The size of these graphite particles has to be smaller than 0.005 μm as larger sizes would shift the resonance peak. Observations suggested that amorphous carbon rather than graphite is injected into the ISM from stars [912]. This peak corresponds to a well known and broad absorption band due to amorphous carbon in the UV spectrum.



Fig. 3.10. Various extinction laws with respect to different lines of sight for a lowdensity case (R = 2.75), for close to the diffuse ISM (R = 3.52), and a high-density case (R = 5.30) from UV to IR. Adapted from Cardelli et al. [151].

Another important feature is the fact that extinction in the near-IR seems to be independent of R_V . This implies that the size distributions of the largest particles are the same independent of directions, which is a result also supported by interstellar polarization studies [557]. Near-IR photometry mostly uses the so-called Johnson filters, which are defined as the J, H, and K bands at 1.25, 1.65, and 2.20 μ m, respectively. A single relation for extinction from diffuse dust and outer cloud dust is of great important for the analysis of near-IR observations of young embedded stars.

Extinction affects light in the far-UV and X-ray band as well. Under the assumption that grains are mainly composed of silicates and graphite, absorption cross sections for particles of sizes between 0.003 and 1.0 μ m for wavelengths larger than 300 Å have been evaluated [205]. The UV opacity has a maximum at 17 eV (730 Å) and vanishes near 100 eV (124 Å). At higher energies the absorption from dust is basically X-ray absorption on neutral atoms (see Section 3.1.4) as long as the absorbing atom does not get ionized. Some X-ray emission is scattered by dust particles of various sizes, resulting in a characteristic halos to be observed around the source [650, 562]. Using *ROSAT*

data the shapes of several halo distributions with specific grain size distributions were fitted leading to the determination of dust column densities [688]. They indicate that some correlation exists between the measured dust and the column densities obtained from X-ray spectra, confirming again that gas and dust phases must exist co-spatially. Furthermore, these results were found in good agreement with the relation of column density and color excess derived by [745] from optical measurements of hot stars. From the X-ray analysis a refined relation between optical extinction and equivalent hydrogen columns emerged [688]:

$$A_V = 0.56N_H + 0.23 \tag{3.7}$$

where N_H is the equivalent column density in units of 10^{21} cm⁻².

3.4.4 Other Dust Signatures

The interaction of radiation with dust is highly complex and reveals itself not only through its traditional extinction properties. Various radiative absorption, emission, scattering processes, as well as polarization can indicate the presence of dust. For example, some of the scattered light can be observed as diffuse light in the Galactic plane, as reflective light near nebulae, and even as a faint glow around dark clouds. The latter can be seen best at high Galactic latitudes contrasting against the dark, out-of-plane sky.

Throughout the near UV, visual, and IR spectra there are broad interstellar absorption bands. The most prominent band in the UV at 2,175 Å has already been mentioned in this context and causes the distinct peak in the extinction law (Fig. 3.10). There are many other bands in the visual and IR, which are usually narrower and weaker leaving them unrecognized in the context of the mean broad band extinction laws. The next strongest band appears in the visual spectrum at 4,430 Å at a width of 30 Å, more than a factor 10 narrower than the one in the UV. The strongest bands, though, in the IR are at 3.1, 9.7, and 18 μ m. There are over 200 of these diffuse bands known, and it is established that because of their width only solid particles and large molecules are responsible for them. Not many identifications have been made so far and this field is still quite a challenge for the future.

Emission from dust is as complex as its absorption properties. First there are the so-called unidentified IR bands, which occur at 3.3, 6.2, 7.7, 8.6, and $11.3 \ \mu m$ and could be caused by heating due to UV absorption by PAHs.

There is also a red continuum from fluorescence emission that stems from the UV excitation of hydrocarbons. Many absorption processes (from stellar radiation fields) heat the dust to temperatures which are determined by an equilibrium of incoming and outgoing radiation. Depending on the size and nature of the grains, temperatures can reach up to 100 K near hot stars in H II regions. Note that in the same context grains start to evaporate at temperatures higher than 1,500 K. Dust in the diffuse UV radiation of the Galactic plane has temperatures of 50 K and less, and radiates at wavelengths longer than 100 μ m [205].

3.5 The ISM in other Galaxies

The evolution of the ISM is best studied through observations of other galaxies, specifically spiral galaxies like our own Milky Way. Like our own galaxy, the appearances of the chemical and dynamical states of the ISMs in other galaxies has roots in their star-forming histories. Important here is the relation between the existence of various stellar populations and interstellar gas and dust. The determination of abundances and metallicities give additional clues about the evolutionary stage of the galaxy. Observations of similar spiral galaxies, on the other hand, are helpful in understanding the evolution and star-forming history of our own galaxy.

Galaxies can be classified into relatively few categories [414]. Classification schemes that exist today [739, 740, 197] are more or less based on Hubble's scheme. Some researchers, for example, describe physical parameters of the *Hubble* sequence of galaxies and investigate correlations [724]. One correlation is total mass with optical luminosity, as it reflects the variation of the amount of neutral hydrogen in galaxies. Specifically the hydrogen mass relates to the integrated 21 cm line emission as:

$$\frac{M_{HI}}{M_{\odot}} = 2.3610^5 D^2 \int S dv$$
 (3.8)

where D is the distance of the galaxy in Mpc and $\int Sdv$ the 21 cm flux in Jy km s⁻¹. Note that sometimes the hydrogen mass to luminosity ratio M_{HI}/L_{bol} is determined, as well as the H I surface density σ_{HI} . Single (latetype) galaxies can have H I masses of over $10^{10}M_{\odot}$, while elliptical galaxies (E types) and S0 galaxies have considerably lower H I masses; E types usually have less than $10^7 M_{\odot}$. S0 types have quite a wide range of masses, between 10^7 and $10^9 M_{\odot}$, while others like Sc types have H I masses of around $10^9 M_{\odot}$.

Whether such a correlation implies a direct relation between H I mass and star formation is questionable, as it is molecular hydrogen that should show such a connection, and H I and H₂ have different spatial distributions. H₂, as observed through CO, also shows trends along the Hubble sequence, although these trends do not seem as obvious and direct as in the case of H I. Especially in the case of early-type galaxies [724], classification uncertainties add to the scatter in possible correlations. The mass ratio M_{H_2}/M_{HI} decreases with type from S0 to Sm, which seems mostly a consequence of the fact that M_{HI} increases and M_{H_2} is constant [736]. In this respect, if one considers the integrated mass of H I and H₂, then our own galaxy would be quite abnormal as both appear at near-equal proportions relative to the total mass.

55



Fig. 3.11. Four possible cases of *Norman-Ikeuchi chimneys* in galactic disks show how a cluster or association of O-stars blow holes and cavities into the regions of dense H_2 [15]. The diagram also indicates the different PDR structure across the galactic surface viewed from opposite directions.

There have been several case studies of spiral galaxies in recent years putting the relationship between atomic and molecular hydrogen in a different perspective. As an example, the argument that an appreciable fraction of H I found in spiral arms of disk galaxies may be produced by dissociation of H₂ through UV light was introduced for M83 [14] and M51 [835]. In a more recent detailed comparison of the distributions of H I, H₂, and 150 nm far-UV (FUV) continuum emission in the spiral arms of M81 (see Plate 1.6) [15] could show that bright H α line emission maxima are always associated with a maximum in the FUV emission. The observed morphology can be understood if one postulates chimneys [632] which commonly expose H₂ to FUV from PDRs and areas of vigorous star formation [405, 406]. A cartoon sketch (Fig. 3.11) displays several cases of how various Norman-Ikeuchi chimneys could occur in a spiral arm of a galaxy [15]. The transverse size scale, which is likely powered by a cluster or association of O stars, may reach a few 100 pc. A study of the high-mass stellar population in M51 registered over 1,300 H α emission regions of sizes typically between 10–100 pc [772]. Larger and more luminous regions seem likely to be blends of multiple regions. So even if the chimney picture offered by [15] is oversimplified, it is quite clear that photodissociation of H₂ plays an important role in the balance of H I and H₂.

The existence of significant amounts of dust in galaxies, as is impressively illustrated by the image of M81 observed with SST in Plate 1.6, is another important issue. Its physical properties and its interaction with light can be assumed to be quite similar to the ones observed in our own Galaxy and thus serves to illustrate how dust can act as an agent to catalyze the production of H₂, thereby countering photodissociation effects. This will result in a balance of H₂ production and the destruction in spiral arms of galaxies. Also, the energy removed by dust absorption in the optical and UV will be re-radiated in the IR and far-IR, making the assessment of mass through irradiated flux extremely difficult. Many studies dealing with dust opacities of, especially, star-forming galaxies are currently being pursued (see [140] for a review). These studies show that the dust contents of nearby galaxies depend not only on their morphologies but on their luminosities and activity levels as well.

Molecular Clouds and Cores

Of specific interest for stellar formation are regions where matter in the ISM appears most dense and in the form of clouds. Although there is no particular definition of the term *cloud*, it describes regions with a density larger than about 10 to 30 atoms per $\rm cm^3$. There are *diffuse* clouds, which do not reach these densities, and *self-gravitating* clouds in the range of the above densities. Some also define a third *unbound* category which are neither pressure nor gravity-supported and are probable clouds in the process of dispersal [225]. Clouds move as entities through the Galaxy with a peculiar velocity on top of the radial velocity due to the general rotation around the Galactic Center. Peculiar motions of such clouds usually have velocities of the order of 5 to 10 km s^{-1} . For a long time it was thought that two forces are at work to keep clouds stable: gravity to contract the cloud and internal (thermal) pressure and turbulence to disperse the cloud. However it has been recognized in recent decades that this view may not be sufficient and magnetic as well as rotational properties of molecular clouds are also important. This chapter describes the global properties of clouds in the Galaxy, outlines relevant physical processes that affect these clouds and then finally discusses initial conditions of cloud cores before they undergo a gravitational collapse.

Almost all of the cold neutral atomic hydrogen exists in clouds. In the Galactic plane along a 1 kpc line-of-sight there are usually about 5 to 10 H I clouds as can be estimated from the number of line components in stellar spectra. With an average extent of 10 pc and densities of ~ 30 atoms per cm³ their average mass is less than 100 M_{\odot} . At a temperature of 100 K and using (4.23) only clouds with masses of over ~1,000 M_{\odot} can become gravitationally unstable and thus most of these clouds are actually not very interesting for the process of stellar formation.

The Ophiuchus cloud complex (see Plate 1.6, bottom) serves in many ways as a prototype of molecular cloud structures. It is a nearby cloud at a distance of approximately 520 light-years and is comprised of filamentary clouds of lowdensity molecular gas with dense molecular cores interspersed. One of them, the westernmost cloud, contains a massive cloud fragment of size $\sim 1 \text{ pc} \times 2$ pc and a total estimated mass of 500 to 600 Suns [898]. It is called the ρ Oph cloud, one of the few active nearby star-forming regions (see Chap. 9).

4.1 Global Cloud Properties

Clearly, the densest regions in the ISM are molecular clouds. The temperatures in these clouds are typically 10 to 50 K (see Table 3.1) and the result of a balance of heating and cooling mechanisms. The heating is provided by cosmic rays and nearby stars. The cooling proceeds through absorption and collision processes of dust and gas particles in the clouds. The energy is finally released as infrared radiation (see reviews by [801, 786]). This process is key to our ability to observe these clouds and detect a large variety of molecules that exists within them. They appear in quite variable sizes between 1 and 200 pc with masses as small as 10 to 100 M_{\odot} and as large as $10^6 M_{\odot}$.

The formation of molecular hydrogen (H₂) is favored by the existence of dust grains. Ordinarily it is quite unlikely that molecular hydrogen would form through a simple merger of two atoms or radicals, as the newly formed molecule cannot radiate the gained binding energy away and will dissociate almost immediately. However, if this process happens near grains, this binding energy can be released without radiation onto a grain surface and the molecule remains stable. Also a shielding effect in cloud cores allows the fraction of H₂ to clearly dominate the amount of total hydrogen. Accordingly, H₂ can be found ubiquitously in clouds with large color excesses. At E(B-V) > 0.08 mag the fraction of molecular hydrogen starts to become traceable, at E(B-V) >0.15 mag about 75 percent of measured stars show over 10 percent of H₂.

 H_2 and other symmetrical molecules are hard to detect. They do not possess a permanent dipole field and therefore have no easily detectable rotational line spectrum at radio wavelengths. Some spurious lines observed in the infrared band originate from forbidden transitions. Infrared lines from H_2 hardly exist as the necessary high excitation energies are simply not available in molecular clouds. One notable exception is H_2 in shocks of jets and outflows. Ultraviolet lines cannot be observed at all as dust densities are too high in clouds. Densities in molecular clouds are thus determined indirectly though other molecules, foremost though collisional excitation of CO or CS with H_2 molecules (see [290]). Densities in molecular clouds vary between 10^2 and 10^6 H_2 molecules per cm³.

A notable property of molecular clouds is that they are highly *irregular* structures. Many clouds have filamentary shapes with wispy structures that include clumps, holes, tunnels, and bows. These structures have been described in the past in many illustrative ways with expressions like *elephant* trunks, coal sacks, and pillars of creation, just to name a few. These names all represent various familiar images describing the shape of the clouds. Though no real communalities have been found so far other than the frequent occurrence of string-like structures, this fact testifies to the dynamic and turbulent

nature of the matter involved in many of these clouds. The following sections give a phenomenological overview of various molecular cloud structures and star-forming activities.

4.1.1 The Observation of Clouds

Observations of molecular clouds are quite ubiquitous in literature and the reader will find observational accounts in most of the listed references. There are key diagnostical observation techniques that allow one to deduce specific physical properties. Thermal continua are related to temperatures below 100 K and observations are performed primarily at IR and sub-mm up to cm radio wavelengths (see Fig. A.2). Many molecular lines are observed at UV and optical wavelengths ($\sim 1,000 - 5,000$ Å), and more lines appear at infrared and radio frequencies. They offer a wide range of spectral windows to study dense clouds. Several lines have even been amplified as *masers* and provide excellent probes to search for luminous embedded protostellar cores. Last but not least, some non-thermal emission in the form of synchrotron radiation may be seen throughout radio wavelengths. So far there is no significant amount of UV or high-energy emission from infalling cores. X-rays from star-forming regions become important at later evolutionary stages.

The global distribution of matter is also useful in studying clouds. Specifically Section 3.2.3 has discussed the composite CO survey shown in Plate 1.4 in some detail [188]. Other surveys have been performed during the same period [741, 177, 798, 770]. These surveys locate most of the distributed mass and are resourceful data sets to map out the large-scale structure of molecular mass in the galaxy allowing us to study the global mass distribution of clouds [904, 230]. Here is a short overview of some of the major observational facilities used in the study of molecular clouds and collapsing cores.

The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) was launched in 1990 into a low Earth orbit to perform unperturbed sub-arcsecond resolution observations. Throughout the 1990s the two Wide Field Cameras (WFCs) were a major instruments used for observations relevant for stellar formation. Since 1997 they have been superseded by the Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NIC-MOS).

The Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), launched in 1983, scanned more than 96 percent of the sky and provided the first high-sensitivity celestial maps at mid- to far-infrared wavelengths [66]. Though not a survey by definition, the IRAS observation archive is a rich heritage of IR data (see Fig. 4.1). The data from the observatory archive contain flux densities from wavelength bands centered at $12 \ \mu m$, $25 \ \mu m$, $60 \ \mu m$, and $100 \ \mu m$ at a spatial resolution of about 1 arcmin. This, for example, allows us to determine the total measured infrared flux and thus luminosity and optical depth via:

$$S = \int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) \tau d\Omega d\nu = \int_0^\infty F_\nu d\nu \tag{4.1}$$


Fig. 4.1. A very large field of the sky that includes the Perseus molecular cloud complex (upper right), the Taurus–Auriga molecular cloud complex (upper left), and the Orion star-forming region (lower center), as observed with IRAS in the combined wavelength bands.

where τ is the optical depth and $d\Omega$ the solid angle subtended by the source. F_{ν} may be obtained by fitting some regression function to the data. IRAS found over 250,000 sources, which to a large extent are identified as emissions from distant galaxies and also as a large fraction of interstellar dust clouds and globules. This catalog allows for many types of surveys relevant for stellarformation research.

Two other prominent infrared observatories include the Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) which was launched in late 1995 and the Kuiper Airborne Observatory (KAO), created in 1974. ISO conducted nearly 30,000 observations at wavelengths between 2.5 and 240 μm . It was equipped with many instruments allowing for very high spectral resolution at wavelengths $\leq 45\mu m$. The KAO performed astronomical observations at a maximum height of about 14 km ensuring it to be above the atmosphere's water vapor. The KAO terminated its service in October 1995 and will be superseded by SOFIA in 2005.

The Two Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS) is a more recent and groundbased near-infrared digital imaging survey of the entire sky conducted at 1.25 μm , 1.65 μm and 2.17 μm wavelengths. A key science goal of the survey is the determination of the large-scale structure of the Milky Way.

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) is a long lived working facility for radio astronomy. It operates many facilities around the world including the new upcoming Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA), the Green Bank Telescope (GBT), the Very Large Array (VLA) (see Fig. 4.2), and the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA).

More details can be found in Table 2.1 and Appendix F. The latter also includes a comprehensive list of websites which offer many more details on these observatories as well as many more observational sites and facilities that allow for the performance of detailed imaging and spectroscopy which did not find any mention in this section.

4.1.2 Relation to H II Regions

Many dark molecular clouds are seen in connection with bright regions of ionized hydrogen, which are also active regions of star formation. Like H I clouds, H II clouds are much too hot and in the end not massive enough to become gravitationally unstable. Thus, they are not likely original sites of star formation. On the other hand, the reason why they glow bright in the sky is because they harbor young, and at their centers likely massive, stars. These stars not only ionize their environment giving rise to bright H II regions, they also erode the interface between this region and the dark molecular cloud through photoevaporation and photoionization.

This behavior can be demonstrated with a rough time scheme that allows for mainly three configurations as has been suggested by C. Lada in the 1980s and as is illustrated in Fig. 4.3:

- Configuration A: no H II region is associated with the molecular cloud. Depending on the mass and dynamics of the cloud this will remain the case as along as the star-forming activity does not produce massive stars (see Sect. 4.1.3).
- Configuration B: star-forming activity in the molecular cloud has begun and has produced one or more massive stars which ionize their environment forming blister-like structures. Massive stars have already reached the main sequence after a few times 10^4 yr and usually burn out their nuclear fuel on timescales of 10^7 yr. The *ionization age* of the H II region thus is



Fig. 4.2. The Very Large Array (VLA) is a collection of 27 radio antennas located at the NRAO site in Socorro, New Mexico. Each antenna in the array measures 25 meters (82 feet) in diameter and weighs about 230 tons. The VLA is an interferometer, which means that the data from each antenna can be combined electronically so that the array effectively functions as one giant antenna. Credit: NRAO/AUI/NSF.

short and most of the star-forming activity is still ongoing in the cloud. In this configuration the massive stars will carve out a large ionized region and expose the interface between the blister and the neutral cloud (see Sect. 4.1.7).

• Configuration C: Most of the molecular cloud has condensed into cluster stars and O stars, maybe even in different generations, ionizing the entire region leaving a few remnant cloud patches and globules. The system may now also be part of an association of early-type stars which also provide ionizing power from outside. Most of the initial star-formation activity has ceased and cluster stars evolve towards the main sequence (see Sect. 4.1.8).

Although this simple view covers many observational features it is controversial. The only dynamics involved in this picture are rather static gas masses affected only by progressing condensation into cluster stars as well as photoionization and photoevaporation effects from massive stars. Any details from ionization of the interstellar radiation field, internal cloud turbulence and velocity fields, and angular momentum and magnetic fields have been ne-

63



Fig. 4.3. Three cloud configurations showing GMCs (configuration A), a GMC with an H II blister (configuration B), and a giant H II region with molecular fragments (configuration C) with stars forming in all of them. Such a sequence of configurations was proposed in order to understand the formation of OB-associations [498].

glected. This clearly only affects molecular clouds at the top of the mass spectrum (see below). Molecular clouds with masses of less than $10^5 M_{\odot}$ are not likely to form massive stars. The limiting probability that a molecular cloud of mass M does not contain an O-star is shown in Fig. 4.4 [904]. Ultimately these configurations may serve as a formation scenario for OB-associations [498].

4.1.3 Molecular Cloud Masses

Clouds are transient structures and do not survive without radical changes for more than a few times 10^7 yrs [91, 514]. Since their discovery in 1970



Fig. 4.4. Molecular clouds associated with H II regions are usually found on the upper end of the mass spectrum. The probability that a cloud does not contain a star as early as 09.5-type limits the cloud mass to about $10^5 M_{\odot}$ with a probability of 50%. The Orion Nebula Cloud has a mass of about 1×10^5 and thus the probability that there would not be any O-star is 87%, in the Rosette Nebula region it is 99%. These clouds have produced massive stars in the past and thus these numbers project the likelyhood that they will produce new ones. Adapted from Williams and McKee [904].

through the 2.6 mm radio emission of CO (see Sect. 3.2.1) molecular clouds were seen as birth sites for stars and their lifetimes seem to be directly coupled to time spans of early stellar evolution. Some of the clouds have masses well in excess of $10^4 M_{\odot}$. These giant molecular clouds (GMCs) are among the most massive objects in our Galaxy even rivaling Globular Clusters. Our Galaxy hosts about 4,000 GMCs (see Table 3.2) accounting for a substantial fraction of the mass in the Galaxy.

Configuration A in Fig. 4.3 comes closest to the structure of GMCs. They are embedded in neutral hydrogen gas within the ISM and should be considered as dense condensations in a widely distributed atomic gas. The interface of dust and molecular gas often represents a rapid transition from the molecular gas to the surrounding atomic gas providing effective shielding from the interstellar radiation field. This transition from H to H_2 depends in a sensitive



Fig. 4.5. The distribution of cloud types in the mass-density diagram. Adapted from Elmegreen [225].

way on the pressure and ambient radiation field to such an extent that slight changes in either values can alter a whole cloud population [225]. It also (see Sect. 4.2.7) depends on the column density of material that provides shielding from UV radiation (see Sect. 4.2.7). Importantly, there is no requirement that a cloud is gravitationally bound and, therefore, the molecular content is not particularly an indicator of whether the cloud is gravitationally bound or not.

The average density inside such a cloud is a function of the cloud mass and external temperature and pressure conditions. Fig. 4.5 shows their relation for a given temperature and a pressure. Self-gravitating GMCs and MCs have a higher mass at lower densities. Cloud cores and globules are self-gravitating as well but because of their lower total mass require higher densities by an order of magnitude. Above a mass limit M_g even diffuse clouds become selfgravitating. From several CO surveys [798, 770, 186] accounting for about 40 percent of the molecular mass in the Galaxy, the mass function of GMCs was determined to be a power law with a -1.6 in slope. From these fits the cumulative number of molecular clouds within our solar neighborhood was modeled as [904]:

$$\mathcal{N}_c(>M) = 105[\frac{M_u^{0.6}}{M} - 1]$$
 (4.2)

The normalization of this function is still uncertain and depends on the galactic neighborhood. The upper end of the mass function is cut off at $M_u \sim 6 \times 10^6$ M_{\odot} . Probable origins for this upper mass limit could be the tidal field of the Galaxy or massive stars within the clouds. Other more recent models based on a fractal interpretation of the ISM structure find similar values for the slope [230].

4.1.4 Magnetic Fields in Clouds

Radiation from magnetized environments is likely to include some fraction of polarized light. Partial polarization from molecular clouds can be observed in absorption and emission. In this section only continuum emission and absorption is considered. As will be outlined below, magnetic fields play a major role in the dynamics of molecular clouds and ultimately in the stellar formation process and the observation of magnetic configurations is vital to the understanding of these processes.

Magnetic fields produce grain configuration changes, which can be observed in two different ways through absorption of a background continuum by preferably aligned dust particles and through thermal emission of such dust particles. There is also grain scattering which, however, is more applicable to circumstellar nebulae around young stellar objects than molecular clouds (see [891] for a review). Also, thermal polarized emission from individual protostellar objects will be discussed in Chap. 6.

There are various forms of alignment of dust particles with magnetic fields. The *paramagnetic alignment* of thermally rotating grains is based on the dissipation of rotational energy perpendicular to the magnetic field, causing the angular velocity component in this direction to vanish. This type of alignment is also called *Davis–Greenstein alignment* and depends strongly on the magnetic properties of grains as well as the gas–grain temperature ratio [191, 207]. Alignment of supra-thermally rotating grains is called *Purcell alignment* and is based on the fact that many grains rotate significantly faster than expected from the kinetic gas temperature. This alignment is thus independent of the gas–dust temperature ratio. Other mechanisms under study include *radia-tive* and *mechanical* alignment [521]. The latter authors suggested that dust grains preferably align with the local magnetic field with the shortest axis of rotation.

A. A. Goodman [305] compiled a few factors determining the polarization of background light. For example, when it comes to polarization, grain size matters. Grains with sizes of the order of $\lambda/2\pi$ are the most effective polarizers. Other sizes add towards extinction, but do not enhance polarization levels [802, 916]. Thus drawing information from polarization maps of molecular clouds is still very difficult and the process suffers from many selection effects. To observe polarization due to absorption of light from background stars restricts the measurement to optical and IR wavelengths (i.e., at wavelengths $<< 100 \,\mu m$). Observation of polarized thermal emission in clouds with temperatures of less than 50 K requires extreme column densities in line with the simple argument that the more extinction, the more dust and the greater polarization percentage. With currently available instrumentation one needs extinction values of $A_V \sim 25$ mag and higher (i.e., column densities of the order of 10^{23} cm⁻²) for detection of polarized emission at 350 μ m. However, most interesting for star formation are dense dark clouds, which usually have a range of extinction around 0.5 mag $< A_V < 10$ mag and where higher values are rare due to their limited size. Thus, measurements are almost entirely restricted to background light from stars rather than intrinsic thermal emission. Even in this case the sensitivity for polarization depends on the level of extinction and it could be shown that in dark clouds with extinction higher than $A_V = 1.3 \pm 0.2$ polarization from background light seems insensitive to magnetic fields [305, 291, 35].

This does not mean that optical and infrared polarimetry is entirely limited to low extinction. On the contrary, it was demonstrated in the late 1970s [897] that one can observe extinct areas by up to 40 mag over large scales. However, at shorter wavelengths one is subject to confusion along the line of sight as well as limited in flux at such high extinctions. At longer wavelengths (i.e., $> 100 \ \mu m$) the absorption and scattering cross sections are small and one observes exclusively thermal emission from aligned dust grains.

The molecular cloud in M17 at a distance of about 2.2 kpc [710] is one of the best studied objects with respect to optical, infrared, and far-infrared polarimetry. Others are L1755 in Ophiuchus [305] and the Orion Molecular Cloud (OMC-1) [749, 706]. M17 is associated with a PDR region and various condensations and young stellar objects resembling configuration B in Fig. 4.3. Optical polarization studied by [756] shows a quite incoherent pattern of polarization vectors uncorrelated with the nebula. At far-infrared wavelengths between 100 μ m and 800 μ m [304, 202, 862, 203] polarization vectors seem to smooth out. A combination of Zeeman line splitting [128], polarimetry maps of 350 μ m, and ion-to-neutral molecular line widths [412], have recently been used to determine the magnetic field configuration in M17. The result is shown in Fig. 4.6. The polarization map (left) shows a smooth distribution of polarization vectors with strong polarization in fair but not full complement of continuum flux. The right part of the figure shows the resulting magnetic field configuration with respect to the continuum flux. Clearly visible is the fact that there seems to be a poor correlation between the dense condensations and the overall cloud (see above).

4.1.5 More about Clumps and Cores

Dense cores in dark clouds have been extensively observed by P. C. Myers and colleagues and the results have been well documented in a series of key publi-



Fig. 4.6. (left) Polarization map of M17 at 350 μ m. The center position is at R.A. = 18h17m314, Dec. = -16°14'250" (B1950.0). The contours show the continuum flux levels. The gray scale gives the polarized flux according to the scale an the right. The thick lines illustrate the direction of the polarization vectors. The large circle is the beam width. (right) Orientation of the reconstructed magnetic field in M17. The vectors show the projected orientation. The length of the vectors represent the viewing angle as scaled in the right corner giving some 3-D information. From Houde et al. [412].

cations throughout the 1980s and 1990s [610, 611, 870]. Molecular Clouds are highly fragmented [91] and can be described in terms of *clumps* and *cores* (see Fig. 4.7). On a large scale this clumpiness satisfies a self-similar description of all ISM clouds [905] (i.e., with a mass spectrum that has a somewhat similar slope but a lower mass range). *Cores* are regions out of which single stars or binaries form and thus are assumed gravitationally bound. However, a large fraction of the GMC mass is in *clumps*, which are generally larger structures than cores. Specifically the more massive gravitationally bound clumps are thought to form stellar clusters. However, this also means that a large fraction of the mass occupies a relatively small volume compared with the total volume of the cloud, raising the the question: how is this mass actually bound? For regions that are in virial equilibrium, and which can be assumed to be gravitationally bound, [514] showed that observed internal dispersion velocities correlated tightly with the radii and masses in the form of power laws. For a large range of cloud radii a power law index of 0.38 was determined; others found indices of more like 0.5 (see [80] and references therein). This



Fig. 4.7. Sub-mm mapping of clumps in the Lagoon Molecular Cloud near M8. The pointers with labels are identifiers of detected cores and clumps. From Tothill et al. [842].

dependence is not so different from the turbulent ISM and it was asserted that the clumpy structure is at least in part the result of supersonic motions (see also Sect. 4.2.5). It was shown [80], on the other hand, that clumps are not in virial equilibrium in many other GMCs and instead are confined by the pressure of the surrounding medium.

It also seems that the mass distribution is not really an indicator for star formation. In a comparative study of two GMCs, the Rosette Molecular Cloud with a large ionizing luminosity [92] and high levels of star-formation activity, and G216-2.5 with a very low luminosity and no star-forming activity [546], almost 100 clumps were found in each of the clouds with a very similar mass distribution [902]. Thus, the shape of the mass distribution of clumps and cores in a GMC does not solely determine stellar formation; not every clump leads to a stellar cluster. In fact all clumps in G216-2.5 and many clumps in the Rosette Molecular Cloud turn out to be not gravitationally bound and thus would not form stars [903].

4.1.6 High-Latitude Clouds

Although most of the molecular clouds and dust concentrate close to the galactic equator due to the finite scale height of the galactic plane there are clouds at high (> ± 20 deg) galactic latitude [93, 547, 548]. These clouds behave like atmospheric clouds with wispy structures. They are highly transient and disperse as rapidly as they form. In this respect these galactic *cirrus* clouds have long been thought to be unfit for stellar formation as they provide strong turbulent support against self-gravity. Searches for young stars associated with these clouds remained unsuccessful for a long time [552].

Recently, this view has somewhat come under scrutiny, specifically since the detection of young stars with ROSAT in soft X-rays far away from any active star-forming region [630, 817]. More evidence for gravitationally bound cores in molecular cirrus clouds have come from CS observations [360, 613, 549] and emission lines from HC₃N [361]. These findings raise the question of how much turbulent support is important for stellar formation.

4.1.7 Photodissociation Regions

The observation of cloud cores in the form of *elephant trunks* has gained huge popularity through spectacular observations by the HST. One of the most famous observations in this respect is the heart of the Eagle Nebula (see Plate 1.7), which hosts column-like structures of dust illuminated by the light of background stars as well as by newborn stars [395]. More popularly labeled as *pillars of creation* these structures are generally not visible to the naked eye as they are normally deeply embedded. They are formed at the interface between the bright H II region and the molecular cloud through the process of *photoevaporation* (see Sect. 4.2.8). These *pillars* are thus huge dust columns hosting *evaporated gaseous globules (EGGs)*, which are molecular core remnants in the intense UV radiation field. Clearly, the evaporation effect is interrupting the star-formation process in *EGGs*; however it is entirely unclear to what degree. In fact it is not obvious how stable pillars should exist at all. So far, none of the existing models can reproduce the observed dust and gas velocities and densities [451].

PDRs (see also Sect. 3.5) are an integral part of the ISM of galaxies. These are predominantly neutral regions in which heating and chemistry are regulated by UV photons. An in-depth review of PDRs and their properties can be found in reviews by D. Hollenbach and A. Tielens [405, 406]. Whether it is the neutral hydrogen gas around MCs, EGGs, and pillars, the effects of radiation fields from massive stars as well as even stars in their youngest evolutionary stages (see Chaps. 6 and 7) are affecting the processes of stellar formation in many ways. Though on a global scale the entire neutral ISM, specifically the cold and the warm neutral phase (see Table 3.1), can be described as PDRs, models traditionally are applied to interstellar and molecular clouds, circumstellar disks and outflows, or even planetary nebulae, to name but a few. PDRs are primarily observed at IR and sub-mm wavelengths. These observations include lines of C and C⁺, O, rotational–vibrational lines of H₂, rotational lines of CO, and features from PAHs [406].

4.1.8 Globules

The first *globules* were detected in 1947 and named after their discoverer: *Bok Globules*. Today two groups of globules can be distinguished. The first

group are the Bok Globules, originally described as small dark spots projected onto bright H II regions with sizes of the order to 80,000 AU and less. The original assumption by Bok and Reilly that they accrete matter from their environment and collapse under the pressure of the ambient radiation field is incorrect. It seems more likely that they are fragmented remnants of the evaporation of an original GMC. As old cloud fragments they are probably not directly involved in the star-forming process but may host cores that form stars. The globule TC2 in the Trifid Nebula is one of a very limited number of globules where star-formation is ongoing while being evaporated by the radiative continuum of the early type stars central to the nebula. From the molecular emission it is suggested that the star-formation process was probably initiated much less than 1 million years ago and since the ionization time scale of this globule is of the order of 2 million years, the star inside will have enough time to evolve before the globule is entirely evaporated [524].

A subset of Bok Globules are the so-called *cometary globules*, which form when the recombining downstream side of the ionization front behind the irradiated cores is shielded against direct irradiation leaving the globule with a fragmented tail [711, 713]. The giant H II region IC 1396 hosts a large number of globules and cometary globules. One of the most spectacular examples of a cometary globule is van den Bergh 140 (IC 1396A). Fig. 4.8 shows a correlation between an optical image of globules IC1396 A and B overlaid by X-ray contours from a ROSAT observation [759]. Though the center of IC1396A hosts the young star double LKH α 349, IC1396B near the IRAS source IR21327+5717C does not identify with an X-ray source. Plate 1.8 shows a recent SST image of the globule. Infrared colors of up to 24 μ m detect several very young stellar sources, specifically of class I and 0 type (see Chap. 6). It is estimated that about 5 percent of the globule's mass is presently in protostars [708]. In general, Bok Globules do not necessarily have to contain an evolving star. However, recent observations of previously assumed starless globules with the SST revealed impressive signatures of hidden protostars. Examples are shown in Plate 1.1 and L1014 [937].

A second group of globules are not associated with bright H II regions and appear as dark regions in the sky only noticeable to the eye by extinction of the stellar background (see Chap. 3). These dark clouds have extents from a few 0.1 pc to maybe a few pc and contain 10 to a few 100 M_{\odot} . In contrast to Bok Globules these globules have a young star formation history and contain collapsing cores.

4.2 Cloud Dynamics

Molecular clouds may be formed by gravitational instabilities in a more or less clumpy ISM [224] and experience rapid changes with time due to their sensitivity to the local radiation field [225]. An immediate indicator of a high level of dynamics is their short lifetime of around 10^7 yr. Evidence comes not



LKHα 349

IRAS 21327+5717C

Fig. 4.8. A view of the cometary globules A and B in IC1396. The contours are X-rays from very young stars identified in the region. Globule A hosts the young stellar double LkH α 349, while globule B to the right (near but not associated with the source IR21327+5717C) does not sem to host a young star (from Schulz et al. [759]). An IR image of this globule observed by SST is also shown in Plate 1.8.

only from their obvious link to stellar formation but also from the fact that they appear chemically relatively unevolved. Models of gas-phase chemical dynamics [386, 292, 390] show that many commonly detected molecules reach their abundance peaks at timescales of $1-3\times10^5$ yr before reaching a steady state within around $10^7 - 10^8$ yr. Most observed abundances are not consistent with steady-state conditions. In this respect it seems warranted to assume that these clouds are young [807] and that they are subject to a dynamical evolution with frequent chemical recycling. It has been suggested that clouds undergo turbulent cycling between their interiors and exteriors [165] with dense clumps being formed and dispersed [686].

Most of all, the large mass and relatively compact appearance of molecular clouds pose a problem for the simple picture of static clouds. Supported only by thermal pressure these clouds notoriously exceed their thresholds for gravitational collapse (see Sect. 4.3.1) and thus they should all contract on a rapid scale producing stars at a far higher rate than observed. In reality the star-formation rate is only about 5 percent of this rate [904] and thus there has to be additional support against self-gravity. Thus, molecular clouds and cloud cores are not static entities, they are dynamic and subject to instabilities and velocity dispersion.

4.2.1 Fragmentation

The process that governs the global structure from large-scale properties of the ISM to stars is called *fragmentation*. It is a hierarchical process in which parent clouds break up into subclouds, which may themselves break into smaller structures. It is a multiscale process [218] that ranges from scales of:

- 10 kpc spiral arms of the Galaxy;
- 1 kpc H I super clouds;
- 100 pc giant molecular clouds;
- 10 pc molecular clouds;
- 0.1 pc molecular cores;
- 100 AU protostars.

Stars are the final step of fragmentation (see Fig. 4.9). The first four items are large-scale structures in terms of fragmentation and their stability is determined by thermal, magnetic and turbulent support. The last two items are small-scale structures and are predominantly supported by thermal and magnetic pressure. Open issues relate to questions of whether magnetic fields can really prevent or at least delay gravitational collapse. Others consider the role of cloud rotation towards the stability of clouds and the impact of turbulence on steller formation. The following sections lay out the underlying ideas that help to find some answers to these questions. Although the discussion will be focussed on fragments scaling 100 pc and less it has been long speculated that conclusions are also more or less valid at larger scales [230].

4.2.2 Pressure Balance in Molecular Clouds

The condition for a cloud (which is same for clumps and cores) to be gravitationally bound is inferred by the virial theorem (see Sect. A.7). An exhaustive treatment of basic cloud and subcloud theory can be found in [566, 80, 567]. The energy equation can be written as:

$$\frac{1}{2}\ddot{I} = 2\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{W} \tag{4.3}$$

Here I is a function describing the cloud's inertia which reflects changes in the cloud's shape. This term becomes important in the case of turbulence. \mathcal{T} is the net kinetic energy of the cloud reduced by the energy exerted by the pressure from the ambient gas, \mathcal{M} is the net magnetic energy with respect to the ambient field (see Sect. 4.2.3), and \mathcal{W} the gravitational binding energy of the cloud under the condition that the field is dominated by self-gravity only. All these terms are net quantities with respect to the ambient medium and in this respect they are somewhat dependent on the location in the Galaxy. Under the assumption that the cloud is in virial equilibrium, the left-hand side becomes negligible. Similarly, in the case of zero magnetic fields, \mathcal{M} vanishes as well. Using the gas laws ($\mathcal{T} = \frac{3}{2} \overline{P} V_{cl}$, where \overline{P} is the mean total (thermal



Fig. 4.9. A schematic illustration of the main processes that lead from molecular clouds to stellar entities. In (A) parts of the cloud fractionalize into cores, which then begin to collapse (B) into protostars (C). The latter evolve (D) towards the main sequence. Note that the illustration is idealistic as not all the cloud material winds up in newly formed stars.

and turbulent) pressure, P_0 the ambient pressure, and V_{cl} the cloud volume) the virial theorem can be written in pressure terms:

$$\overline{P} = P_0 + \frac{3\pi a}{20} G\Sigma^2 \tag{4.4}$$

Here the gravitational energy density:

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{3}{5}a\frac{GM^2}{R} \tag{4.5}$$

has been expressed by the mean projected surface density $\Sigma \equiv M/\pi R^2$. The numerical factor *a* measures the effects of non-uniform density distributions and the deviation from sphericity. Equation 4.4 shows that the mean pressure \overline{P} inside the cloud (clump, core) is the sum of the surface pressure P_0 and the weight of the material inside the cloud (clump, core).

The balance works the same with clumps and cores. The difference now is that the surface pressure of the these entities is the mean pressure inside the GMC and thus the virialized pressure of the clump is proportional to the sum of the squares of the surface densities of the GMC and clump. This implies that in the case where surface densities of clumps are much smaller than the surface density of the GMC, these clumps are confined by pressure rather than gravity. Only the most massive clumps eventually have surface densities exceeding that of the GMC and are able to form stars. Throughout all these considerations it should be kept in mind that equilibrium conditions is an idealized assumption. Clumps and cores do not trap energy as efficiently as a protostar and the equilibrium assumption may be a weak one (see Chap. 5).

4.2.3 Non-Zero Magnetic Fields

It has been long speculated that magnetic pressure offers a feasible solution to explain slow star-formation rates. Magnetic pressure efficiently aids thermal pressure against gravity. The standard theory formulates a scenario of star formation in which interstellar magnetic fields prevent the self-gravitation and eventual collapse of clumps and cores with an insufficient mass-to-flux ratio [777, 779]. The results are cores in magnetohydrostatic equilibrium.

The negligence of magnetic fields in the pressure balance is only justified for weakly magnetized clouds. In the case of stronger fields the magnetic energy term $\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$ has to be evaluated. This is a very complex process and the following offers only a schematic treatment. For the case that the ambient medium is of low density and the field is integrated over a large enough volume V_a , so that the field has dropped to the asymptotic value of the ambient medium B_{ism} , the net magnetic energy due to the cloud reduces to:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{V_a} \left[(\overline{B}^2 - B_{ism}^2]) \mathrm{d}V$$
(4.6)

where \overline{B}^2 denotes the mean field strength. This expression emphasizes the physical significance of the term as the total change in magnetic energy due to the pressure of the cloud, which is stored both in the cloud and the ambient medium [566].

The actual field configurations in clouds and cores are unknown and 3-D reconstructions from observations have been rare [612, 184, 412] (see Fig. 4.6). However, in the virialized frame (i.e., time-averaged and over large spatial regions) a *poloidal* field configuration is the simplest configuration, and in case of no ambient field \mathcal{M} scales roughly similar to R as the gravitational binding energy (4.5):

$$\mathcal{M}_{cr} \equiv \frac{b}{3}\overline{B}^2 R^3 = \frac{b}{3\pi^2} \frac{\Phi^2}{R} \tag{4.7}$$

The numerical factor b in the magnetic case, as for a in the gravitational case (see Sect. 4.2.2) is of the order of unity and contains information about the



Fig. 4.10. A schematic illustration of a cloud fragment with a poloidal magnetic field configuration. The dotted lines resemble the asymptotic field of the ISM or the intercloud gas. For simplicity it has been plotted as poloidal as well. If the cloud is magnetically subcritical, the magnetic field is frozen in (solid and dashed field lines) and moves with the bulk motion of the cloud, which is stable against gravitational collapse. In the supercritical case (fat dotted lines) this may not be the case and unless thermal pressure cannot counter self-gravity, it will collapse.

shape of the cloud and field topology. For a sphere b = 3/4 and for a thin disk $b = 1/\pi$, under the condition that $\mathcal{M}_{cr} = |\mathcal{W}_{cr}|$, one can deduce a magnetic critical mass independent of R that is directly related to the magnetic flux threading the cloud as (see Fig. 4.10)

$$M_{\Phi}^2 = \frac{5b}{9\pi^2 a} \frac{\Phi^2}{G}$$
 and $M_{\Phi} \sim 0.21 \frac{\Phi}{G^{1/2}}$ (4.8)

The right-hand numerical term was evaluated for the spherical case. Of course, reality is more complex and T. C. Mouschovias and L. Spitzer [599] have derived a lower and more realistic mass-to-flux ratio, which can be expressed as:

$$\frac{M_{\Phi}}{\Phi} < (\frac{M_{\Phi}}{\Phi})_{cr} = c_{\Phi} G^{-1/2}. \tag{4.9}$$

Here c_{Φ} is 0.13 for spherical clouds and 0.16 for a cylindrical region. In general these criteria hold for axisymmetric configurations. It has been shown that further developed they also apply for non-axisymmetric disks [783]. The ratio of the critical magnetic mass to the actual mass (i.e., $[(M_{\Phi}/M])^2$ [783]) is an invariant as long as the magnetic flux is frozen to the matter (i.e., the field lines move with bulk motions of the cloud mass). There are two cases to consider:

- For $M < M_{\Phi}$ the cloud is considered magnetically subcritical and the magnetic field dominates the stability of the cloud. As long as the field is frozen into the cloud there cannot be a gravitational collapse.
- For $M > M_{\Phi}$ the cloud is considered magnetically supercritical and either thermal pressure or gravitation dominates the stability of the cloud.

Equation 4.9 can be expressed more comfortably in terms of the mean density of the cloud ρ_{cl} and field strength B_{cl} of the cloud as [344]:

$$M_{\Phi} \sim 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \frac{B_{cl}^3}{G^{3/2} \rho_{cl}^2} \\ \sim 100 \left(\frac{B_{cl}}{[100\mu\text{G}]}\right)^3 \left(\frac{N_{H_2}}{[10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{-2} M_{\odot}$$
(4.10)

where the cloud is entirely composed of H₂. For typical cloud densities a 1 M_{\odot} cloud needs magnetic fields of at least 20 µG to remain subcritical.

More importantly these criteria also hold once thermal pressure is included. External pressure (P_g) leads to a maximum stable mass M_J to balance gravity (see Sect. 4.3.1). Thus the critical mass exceeds the critical magnetic mass due to the additional external pressure. As a result the ratio of magnetic to gravitational energy is now the square of the ratio of the magnetic critical mass to the total critical mass and the virial theorem can be written as:

$$\overline{P} = P_0 + P_g \left[1 - \left(\frac{M_{\Phi}}{M_{cr}}\right) \right].$$
(4.11)

There are several stable equilibria involving M_{Φ} and M_{cr} . These are equilibria for $M < M_{cr}$ and for $M_{\Phi} < M < M_{cr}$. There are none for $M > M_{cr}$ [836].

Furthermore, the value of the field can be evaluated by relating the ratio M/M_{Φ} to Σ/\overline{B} and finally N_H/\overline{B} [567]. This yields:

$$\overline{B} = 5.05 \left(\frac{N_{21}}{M/M_{\varPhi}}\right) \quad \mu \mathbf{G} = 10.1 \left(\frac{A_V}{M/M_{\varPhi}}\right) \quad \mu \mathbf{G}. \tag{4.12}$$

Figure 4.11 shows tracks of magnetic field values for various combinations of cloud mass and extinction. A typical value for cloud fields is $30 \ \mu\text{G}$ in contrast to the diffuse fields in the ISM, which are an order of magnitude smaller.



Fig. 4.11. A relationship between critical magnetical mass and extinction magnitude as it appears in (4.12).

4.2.4 Interstellar Shocks

The ISM is under the constant influence of perturbations. Most events that cause these perturbations are quite violent impacts of *supernova explosions*, *stellar winds*, and *cloud collisions*. Once a certain pressure is reached these events drive *shocks* through the ISM and through even denser clouds. Other events that can increase the pressure in clouds are photoionization and photoevaporation. The resulting shock wave is treated as a pressure-driven fluid-dynamical disturbance. As has been explained [206], these shocks are irreversible according to the second law of thermodynamics, as the kinetic energy of the gas is dissipated into heat thus increasing the entropy of the gas. In general, shock waves are always *compressive* and heat is actually dissipated. In dense neutral gas this can happen through molecular viscosity. In plasmas, depending on density, velocity gradients occur through either collisionless dissipation or friction. Specifically in partially ionized plasmas it can impose a so-called *ambipolar shock*, which is caused by a jump-like neutral-ion drift (see also Sect. 4.3.4).

A more comprehensive treatment of the physics of interstellar shocks can be found in [206]. Here some basic features are summarized. Calculations



Fig. 4.12. Temperature, density, and velocity dependence of a strong shock in a single fluid flow distinguishing between three shock zones: the pre-shock with radiative heating, the shock transition zone with jumps in temperature, density and velocity, and the post-shock zone which is cooling radiatively. Adapted from Draine and McKee [206].

distinguish between three wave modes, slow, intermediate, and fast [700]. One should also keep in mind that interstellar shocks are magneto-hydrodynamic (MHD) and thus tightly related to the interstellar magnetic field and the direction of the shock velocity relative to the magnetic field is important. Figure 4.12 schematically illustrates the structure of a strong single fluid shock wave in a gas flow of temperature T_0 , density ρ_0 , and a flow velocity relative to the shock front of v_s . The relevant quantities that define the compression ratio (i.e., $\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}$ in Fig. 4.12) are the Mach numbers:

$$\mathcal{M}_s = \frac{v_s}{c_s} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_A = \frac{v_s}{v_A}$$

$$\tag{4.13}$$

 \mathcal{M}_s is the ordinary Mach number and \mathcal{M}_A is the Alfven Mach number. For strong adiabatic shocks (i.e., $\mathcal{M}_s \gg 1$; $\mathcal{M}_A \gg 1$), which may be more relevant for stellar formation, the compression ratio reaches the limit of 4, while the equilibrium temperature at T_2 for a pure hydrogen gas can be approximated to:

$$T_2 = 1.38 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{v_s}{[100 \text{ km s}^{-1}]}\right)^2 \text{K}$$
(4.14)

(from [206]). For turbulent velocities that are typical of the ISM one could get shock temperatures of up to 10,000 K. In more violent environments like the acceleration zones of stellar winds where shock velocities reach over 1,000 km s⁻¹ the temperatures will exceed 1 million K and the cooling radiation may be observed in the X-ray band.

4.2.5 Turbulence

The view that self-gravitating clouds are supported magnetostatically is not uncontested and magnetic fields are certainly not the only means to aid pressure support of clouds. Turbulence and compressibility in interstellar clouds was first pointed out by C. F. von Weizäcker in 1951 [871]. By simply comparing the average thermal velocity of molecules $(1-10 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ to the much larger observed velocities of interstellar clouds $(10-100 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ he concluded that the motion of the interstellar gas in general must show the characteristics both of turbulence and compression.

Interstellar gas when viewed on a global scale, even with molecular clouds dispersed within them, appears scale-free and the gas dominates the dynamics [229]. However, when viewed in molecular surveys with intensity contours (see Chap. 3) it appears like a collection of clouds. This creates a distinct bifurcation in modeling of intercloud dynamics [230]. Scale-free models involve turbulence and self-gravity (see [473] for a review), while others feature collisions and cloud compression [770, 828].

In the simplest sense turbulence is caused by irregular fluctuations in velocity and compression means that these velocity fluctuations will cause density fluctuations in the gas. Compressibility of turbulence is a key issue. Turbulence in most terrestrial applications is considered incompressible. However, supersonic flows in a highly compressible ISM can create density perturbations that even lead to collapse events. The treatment is quite difficult as it has to include dissipation through shocks (see Sect. 4.2.4), non-uniformity, inhomogeneity, and the fact that the ISM is magnetized. Critical is the supersonic nature of turbulence. Former calculations of density spectra in turbulent magnetized plasmas applying the theory of incompressible MHD turbulence [533] [300] include the effects of compressibility and particle transport for Mach numbers of much less than unity. The Mach number is defined as:

$$\mathcal{M}_{rms} = \frac{v_{rms}}{c_s} \tag{4.15}$$

where v_{rms} is the mean velocity of the flow and c_s the speed of sound as defined in Sect. A.8. For supersonic flows (i.e., $\mathcal{M}_{rms} \gg 1$) reliable analytical models of strongly compressible MHD turbulence are still underdeveloped and most conclusions are drawn from numerical calculations. It was proposed [473] that stellar birth is regulated by interstellar supersonic turbulence through the establishment of a complex network of interacting shocks. This allows flows to converge and generate regions of high density, which eventually assume the form of clumps and cores. Observational evidence for supersonic flows in molecular clouds comes from large line-width measurements (see [94] for a review). Mach numbers here turn out to be 10 or higher.

An important parameter is the decay time of supersonic turbulence. If it is shorter than the free-fall time during stellar collapse, follow-up shocks will disperse the clump before it can form protostellar cores. It can be shown [820, 544] that this decay time τ_d can indeed be smaller than the free-fall time τ_{ff} in dense molecular clouds independent of the existence of magnetic fields:

$$\frac{\tau_d}{\tau_{ff}} \simeq 3.9 \frac{\lambda_d / \lambda_J}{\mathcal{M}_{rms}} \tag{4.16}$$

The ratio of the driving wavelength λ_d of the flow [544] and the Jeans wavelength λ_J (see Sect. 4.3.1) of the clump is smaller than unity to maintain gravitational support [527].

Recent numerical models show in this respect that compressible supersonic turbulence may be able to regulate the star-formation efficiency in molecular clouds (see [473, 545]). Figure 4.13 (see also Plate 1.9) shows a simulation [470] in which, on a global scale, the presence of high-speed turbulence inhibits stellar collapse by supplying support to average density structures. However, collapse cannot be prevented entirely on a local scale. Only in the case that the r.m.s. velocity of the flow is high enough to offer support for high-density shocked regions can local collapse be prevented. Another criterion is that the driving wavelength of the flow has to be smaller than the Jeans length. The full range of these effects and their implications still have to be investigated. Specifically intriguing are effects of enhanced star-forming activity inflicted by cataclysmic events like supernova explosions as is now observed with SST (see Plate 1.10).

4.2.6 Effects from Rotation

Molecular clouds carry angular momentum which originates from the ISM and ultimately from the rotation of the Galaxy. The coupling to the ISM and the intercloud medium is mostly achieved by magnetic fields, though some angular momentum transport may also be achieved through shocks [805]. First studies of rotational equilibria considered spherical isothermal clouds embedded in a tenuous intercloud medium [805, 465, 837]. Just as there is a maximum stable mass for magnetized (M_{Φ}) and self-gravitating (M_J) clouds, there is also a maximum stable mass due to rotation:

$$M_{rot} = 5.1 \left(\frac{\sigma J}{GM}\right) \tag{4.17}$$



Fig. 4.13. Simulation of local collapse events in a globally supported cloud. A sequence of density cubes applying a compressible supersonic turbulence model. In (a) the full hydrodynamical solution without self-gravity is shown. Once gravity is added to the cube (b) density fluctuations are generated through converging and interacting shock fronts. The central regions of some high-density clumps have undergone gravitational collapse. With increasing time (in units of τ_{ff}) up to 50 percent of the gas mass has collapsed and been accreted by stellar cores in (c) and (d). See also Plate 1.9. Credit: R. Klessen, AIP [471].

with angular momentum J and the velocity dispersion $\sigma^2 = \overline{P}/\overline{\rho}$. The calculations [805] showed that thermal support is essential for stable unmagnetized rotating clouds and therefore the rotational mass M_{rot} is limited by a few times M_J . The total critical mass involving self-gravity, magnetic fields and rotation can be expressed as:

$$M_{cr} \simeq \left[(M_J + M_{\varPhi})^2 + M_{rot}^2 \right]^{1/2} \tag{4.18}$$

in fair agreement with numerical results (see [837, 567]).

A common way to characterize the rotation of a cloud of radius R by the ratio of centrifugal to gravitational force is:

$$\alpha_{\Omega} \equiv \frac{\Omega^2 R^3}{GM} \tag{4.19}$$

A sufficient criterion for clouds to be primarily supported by gas pressure rather than rotation is $\alpha_{\Omega} < 0.5$ (i.e., $M_{rot} < M_J$). This parameter, although valid for spherical clouds only, seems to be fairly robust to some oblateness and differences are expected to be less than 20 percent [576]. Yet the importance of rotational support in molecular clouds is still under debate as some observations indicate larger values of α_{Ω} , while some do not ([301], see [358] for a review), and further observations are needed to determine the fraction of clouds that appear rotationally supported.

Typical angular velocities of molecular cloud cores are of the order of $\Omega \sim 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is consistent with the α_{Ω} and thus a reasonable choice. Since angular momentum is a conserved quantity this causes a problem once the core engages in free-fall collapse. A simple estimate illustrates the dilemma. During the course of the collapse (see Chap. 5) the radius of the cloud decreases by a factor 10^7 , which, since angular momentum scales with R^2 and only with Ω , implies that the final star would rotate within a few seconds of a period. Thus, even if rotation may not contribute much to the stability of the cloud, it has to be dealt with during collapse and contraction.

4.2.7 Ionization Fractions

The term 'molecular' usually implies that constituents are considered to be neutral. In reality this is hardly the case as a substantial interstellar radiation field is constantly pounding the molecular gas. This implies that these clouds have substantial *ionization fractions*. A quick glance at (A.53) shows that molecular clouds with temperatures of 50 K and below are hardly collisionally ionized. Therefore the fractions have to come from external and internal radiation fields. In general, ionized material is hotter and less compressible and thus works against gravitational collapse. However, interstellar clouds are not ionized isotropically and under the absence of effective convection the ionizing radiation can only penetrate the cloud on a limited scale giving rise to a layer of predominantly ionized hydrogen H I around the cloud (see Fig. 4.3 and [94]). Such a layer, once established, provides effective shielding from the interstellar radiation field. Its depth primarily depends on the strength of the radiation field as well as the gas density, but also on the amount of dust in the layer.

For example, the ionization radius in which all ionizing photons are effective is called *Strömgren radius* and can be written as:

$$R_{H\ II} = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi\alpha}\right)^{1/3} \mathcal{N}_{UV}^{1/3} n_e^{2/3} \tag{4.20}$$

where \mathcal{N}_{UV} is the rate of ionizing UV photons, n_e the electron density and $\alpha[\mathrm{cm}^3\mathrm{s}^{-1}] \simeq 2 \times 10^{-10} (T[\mathrm{K}])^{-3/4}$ [858] the temperature dependent recombination coefficient. For an O-star with a UV photon rate of 10^{49} photons s⁻¹, a typical temperature of 10,000 K for H II regions with a density of 100 cm⁻³, this radius is about 3 pc. This radius may be used to estimate the penetration of an ISM radiation field. With radiation rate of a factor 100 lower, a gas temperature of 10 K, and a density of 1,000 cm⁻³, this results in a penetration of about 0.1 pc.

On the other hand, the penetration of UV radiation into PDRs likely creates a stratification of dissociated layers. Figure 4.14 demonstrates this schematically on a slab of molecular gas which is illuminated by a typical radiation field from a massive star. Depending on column density, different penetrated layers constrain specific elements. Also depending on the flux-gas density ratio, PDRs are characterized by a foremost neutral layer of mostly neutral atomic hydrogen (see also Fig. 4.3) which extends to a depth of $N_H \sim$ $2-4 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻², and which in many cases can be preceded by H II gas and a thin H II/H I interface [406]. The layer is followed by an H I/H₂ interface and layers of C, C⁺, CO, H₂, O and O₂ with increasing depth, respectively. In this respect the PDR includes gas where hydrogen exists predominantly in a molecular form and carbon is mostly present in the CO form.

Inside the molecular cloud the total ionization fraction is very low. Observations of HCO⁺ and CO molecules indicate a fraction of 10^{-7} to 10^{-8} in some molecular clouds [922], and a limit of 10^{-6} may be inferred from deuterium fractionization reactions [185]. Using mechanisms discussed in Sect. C.8, charged grain reactions and ionization of incident cosmic rays [222] determined fractions well below 10^{-7} . For most considerations a range between 10^{-8} and 10^{-6} is applied in most cases [779].

However there are now several indications that the ionization fraction specifically in massive star-forming regions is much higher. In a recent study of two prototype H II blister regions, the Rosette Nebula and the Omega Nebula (M17), diffuse X-ray emission was detected [843] (Fig. 4.15). These X-rays are thought to be caused by thermalization of O winds through either wind–wind collisions or a termination shock against the surrounding matter. Since only small portions of these winds were recovered it is suspected that most of the energy flows without cooling into the low-density interstellar medium. This has two consequences. The notion of low ionization fractions may therefore not



Fig. 4.14. The possible structure of a PDR [406]. The PDR is illuminated through a radiation field penetrating the region. The dissociative impact at various increasing cloud densities creates a layered structure of predominantly existing atoms, ions and molecules. Specifically in the vicinity of a massive star the PDR is preceded by an H II layer which creates a thin atomic ($\Delta A_V < 0.1$) H II/H interface. Depending on depth there appear thin characteristic interfaces, where atomic hydrogen coexists with molecular hydrogen, atomic carbon with CO, and atomic oxygen with molecular oxygen. The density scales convert through (3.4.3). All scales, specifically the temperature scale are approximate. Adapted from Hollenbach and Tielens [406].

be true everywhere and the radial dependence of the ionization fraction may show a more stratified shell-like structure. Clearly, ongoing stellar formation in or near molecular clouds can increase the ionization fraction. Figure 4.16 indicates that the ionization rate within 0.1 pc of T Tauri stars is as high or even higher than the one from Cosmic rays [658]. For stellar densities of over 10^3 as they occur in embedded stellar clusters (see Chap. 9) this implies that ionization rates from X-rays can lead to a substantial contribution to the ionization fraction in the region.



Fig. 4.15. (left:) Optical image of the center of the Rosette Nebula. The squares mark the fields covered by X-ray observations. (right:) X-ray image of field 1, the central region of the Rosette, obtained with the Chandra X-ray Observatory. Besides the many bright X-ray sources in the field of which many are O-stars there is strong diffuse emission present indicating the existence of hot plasma and thus high ionization. From Townsley et al. [843].

4.2.8 Evaporation

The process of *photoevaporation* of molecular material and dust by the strong ultraviolet radiation field of O-stars has already been discussed in the mid-1950s [642]. It is the inevitable fate of the PDR. As shown in Fig. 4.14 the UV/X-ray radiation from a newly born O-star heats the dense cloud it is facing from less than 100 K to up to 10,000 K. This leads to a transfer of kinetic energy onto the dense material increasing the pressure and thus leading to high acceleration of cloud material [406]. The result is an evaporation effect as can be seen in the example of M16 (Plate 1.7).

The effective ionization lifetime of an early-type star is of the order of 10^6 yr. It has been shown that photoevaporation is actually a quite inefficient process and a single generation of O-stars can only affect a small fraction of the molecular cloud [892]. Calculations showed that the ionizing radiation can remove about $3-5 \ 10^{-3} \ M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$ which in the case of GMCs would take to a few times $10^7 \ yr$ and thus a several generations of O-stars to accomplish [932]. For small clouds (i.e., where the ionization lifetime becomes comparable with one stellar lifetime), modeling of the transition to a cometary configuration in which the embracing ionization front balances the pressure of the neutral gas was applied to the Rosette and Gum Nebula [79]. More about evaporation is presented in Chap. 7.



Fig. 4.16. Ionization rates in the vicinity of a T Tauri star as calculated by F. Palla [658]. Shown is the ionization rate (left scale) as well as a lower end projected ionization fraction for low cloud densities of 10^3 cm⁻³ against the distance from the T Tauri star in pc. The X-ray luminosity was assumed to be 10^{30} erg s⁻¹ for both cases, 0.2 and 1.0 keV spectra (see Chap. 8).

4.3 Dynamic Properties of Cores

In the sections above much has been said about the structure and dynamics of molecular clouds, and the sub-structural components and physical concepts that govern them. The following takes a closer look at the dynamical properties of self-gravitating cores and physical processes that will eventually lead to the initial conditions for gravitational collapse.

4.3.1 Critical Mass

The structures of non-magnetic, isothermal clouds were studied by W. B. Bonnor (1956) and R. Ebert (1955). The so called *Bonnor–Ebert spheres* are in equilibrium for a given external pressure up to a maximum mass M_{cr} . Similarly, at a given mass there is also a critical density that renders the sphere unstable, and as long as the consistency of the gas is not subject to change, the sphere can remain isothermal up to a large range of densities. Here traditional Bonnor–Ebert spheres have the trademark that dT/dr = 0. Some recent concepts allow for modified Bonnor–Ebert spheres and acknowledge the existence of a kinetic temperature gradient [232]. The concept of Bonnor–Ebert spheres is also very often applied to describe cores under collapse. Formally this is actually not quite accurate as the calculations for these conditions apply for a hot and much thinner gas in H I clouds rather than for cold and very dense gas in molecular cores.

Effectively the critical mass of a Bonnor–Ebert sphere, thus sometimes called the Bonnor–Ebert mass, is equivalent to the *Jeans mass*. By applying the the virial theorem using (A.23), (A.18), and (A.24) J. Jeans (1902) defined a critical wavelength (called *Jeans length*) where an infinite sized cloud is unstable to density perturbations: :

$$\lambda_J = \left(\frac{\pi c_s^2}{G\overline{\rho}}\right)^{1/2} = 0.2 \left(\frac{T}{[10\text{K}]}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{n}{[10^4 \text{cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{-1/2} \text{pc}$$
(4.21)

Thus for a sphere, this length corresponds to a critical radius $R_J \equiv \frac{1}{2}\lambda_J$ and a critical Jeans mass of:

$$M_{J} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{J}^{3} \overline{\rho}$$

= $\frac{\pi}{6} \overline{\rho} \lambda_{J}^{3}$ (4.22)
= $1.6 \left(\frac{T}{[10\text{K}]}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n}{[10^{4}\text{cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{-1/2} M_{\odot}$

Here $\overline{\rho}$ is the average uniform density. At a temperature of 10 K (the speed of sound then is about 0.2 km s⁻¹) and a number density for a cloud of hydrogen of $n \sim 10^4$ cm⁻³ the Jeans radius is 0.2 pc and the Jeans mass is 1.6 M_{\odot} . Thus typical small dense cores are always close to the onset of gravitational instability unless there are other influences. This is specifically true for large clouds of sizes of 100 pc, where the average density is much smaller and the temperature is higher, and the Jeans mass is around 250 M_{\odot} . GMCs therefore could not be stable if it were for thermal pressure and gravity alone. On a separate note, the Jean criterion holds in the case of rotation, that is, it provides the same length scale for the growth of perturbations. However, what changes is the rate at which density fluctuations grow in dependence of the angle towards the axis of rotation, forcing the infalling sphere into a disk.

Real clouds also feel some finite external pressure P_{ext} , which includes contributions from thermal pressure, turbulence and magnetic fields. Under these conditions the critical value of the gravitational stable mass can be expressed as [214, 105]:

$$M_{BE} = 1.18 \frac{c_s^4}{P_{ext} G^{3/2}}$$

= $0.35 \left(\frac{T}{[10K]}\right)^2 \left(\frac{P_{ext}}{10^6 k_b}\right)^{-1/2} M_{\odot}$ (4.23)

4.3.2 Core Densities

Critical are assumptions about the core densities. The singular isothermal sphere has a density profile that has a singularity at the center of the sphere (see Fig. A.10). A spherical gas cloud close to equilibrium between thermal pressure and gravity has a typical density profile of [161]:

$$\rho = \frac{c_s^2}{2\pi G r^2} \tag{4.24}$$

and thus the density grows to infinity at the center. The self-similar description in the standard model of stellar formation (for a review on self-similarity see [777] and [344]) identifies density regions of the infalling envelope once a hydrostatic core has developed at the center. There is a free-infalling envelope with a radius of $r_{inf} \equiv c_s t$ and a radial density profile of $\rho \propto R^{-3/2}$ due to a constant mass infall rate and a quasi-static outer envelope with $\rho \propto R^{-2}$ (see Fig. A.10).

The shape of initial density profiles plays a vital role in models for protostellar collapse. Such profiles have been suggested [365, 61] and there are many reasons to question the density profile deduced from the collapse of an isothermal sphere. One reason is that artifacts such as that the central density seems to approach infinity, or that the core radius itself extends to infinity as well. Observed density profiles suggest a flatter profile at the center with a power law index between 0.5 and 1.0, while the outer envelopes have indices between 2 and 4 (see [232] for a review). Others apply either more complex dependencies [827] or versions of Bonnor–Ebert spheres [19, 348, 704].

4.3.3 Magnetic Braking

One of the concepts studied to regulate the rotation in molecular clouds is the effect of *magnetic braking*. The basic process is based on the likely situation that the angular velocity of the cloud is larger than the one of the ambient medium. At the same time, the magnetic field strength in clouds is significantly larger with respect to the ambient medium as well. Combined this causes the launch of toroidal Alfvén waves into the cloud and the ambient medium (see [779] for a basic summary). These waves are able to transport angular momentum which eventually brings the cloud into co-rotation with the ambient medium. The observational account of the importance of this effect for molecular clouds is ambiguous, and as mentioned in Sect. A.11, rotation in large clouds may not seem very important. However, in collapsing

cores it can be an efficient mechanism to transport angular momentum and is thus an important ingredient of initial collapse conditions.

The characteristic timescale for magnetic braking depends on the mass-tocritical-magnetic-mass ratio M/M_{Φ} [600, 576]. For a spherical cloud embedded in a uniform magnetic field the ratio of the braking time t_b to the free-fall time t_{ff} is:

$$t_b/t_{ff} \simeq 0.3 \frac{M}{M_{\Phi}} (\frac{\overline{\rho}}{\rho_0})^{1/2}$$
 (4.25)

where ρ_0 is the density of the ambient medium, and $\overline{\rho}$ the average density of the core. Magnetically subcritical cores which are also not very dense have thus very short braking timescales. Magnetically supercritical clouds which are considerably more dense have long braking times. In the case that the magnetic field substantially exceeds the one in the ambient medium the density dependence basically vanishes and the braking time is entirely dominated by M/M_{Φ} [295, 576]. Magnetic braking in molecular cloud cores is quite effective and can reduce the specific angular momentum by two orders of magnitude [61].

4.3.4 Ambipolar Diffusion

The fact that molecular clouds have non-zero ionization fractions is an essential condition for magnetic fields to connect with the cloud gas. The magnetic field is directly felt by charged particles only. To invoke sufficient magnetic support within the cloud (see Fig. 4.10) a substantial number of charged particles pinned to magnetic field lines are required. Pinned matter drifts with field lines relative to the neutral particle population. The drag force that moves the charged particles with respect to neutral particles is proportional to the densities of the two populations. This process is called *ambipolar diffusion*. Thus for low ionization fractions there is a small drag force, high fractions would imply a large drift. The drag is also directly proportional to the difference of bulk velocities of the neutral and ionized particle population, which is called *ambipolar* drift velocity. According to [802] this velocity w_D relates to the magnetic field *B* as:

$$w_D = -\frac{1}{8\pi n_i n_H m_H < u\sigma >} \nabla B^2 \tag{4.26}$$

where the bulk of the neutral matter is assumed to be hydrogen. Electrons and ions are co-moving with a similar velocity distribution (see Sect. A.12). The factor $\langle u\sigma \rangle$ is the slow-down coefficient through collisions incorporating the the random velocity u of the ions and the momentum transfer cross section σ . Various values for $\langle u\sigma \rangle$ are tabulated in [802]. Most appropriate are C⁺–H collisions which give a value of 2.2 $\times 10^{-9}$ cm⁻³ s⁻¹. Thus, while the neutral gas contracts under gravitation, the pinned ion gas will expand relative to this contraction. For a simplified cylindrical configuration of contracting gas and magnetic field one can then define a characteristic diffusion time t_D as the ratio radius of the gas cylinder r to the drift velocity w_D , which then gives:

$$t_D = 5.0 \times 10^{13} \frac{n_i}{n_H} \text{yr} \tag{4.27}$$

Ambipolar diffusion acts like a fine-tuning device for collapsing cloud cores. For low ionization fractions, as they are believed to exist in cloud cores, magnetic support comes hand in hand with ambipolar diffusion (i.e., as soon as ions begin to couple with magnetic field lines, there will be a drift and vice versa [779]). The fact that the magnetic field is frozen-in actually makes it difficult for low-mass stars to form. This can be seen through (4.7) and (4.8). At a typical magnetic field in the range of $10 \,\mu\text{G}$ (see Fig. 4.11) for a core of solar mass and a core radius of 0.1 pc, the critical magnetic mass is substantially higher than the core mass and the core is strongly magnetically subcritical. Ambipolar diffusion slowly weakens the magnetic field and eventually the core mass becomes supercritical. Key is that the hydrostatic equilibrium is established within the diffusion time. Once the density of the core and thus the drag force becomes large enough matter decouples from the field and collapse can pursue. There is only a limited range of ionization fractions where this picture can actually work. For fractions much larger than 10^{-7} the diffusion time becomes very large and star-formation timescales would be uncomfortably long. In fact [397] observed much more rapid time scales in the Orion Nebula cluster of the order of 1 Myr. More details about ambipolar diffusion is presented in Appendix B.

Concepts of Stellar Collapse

One of the most fascinating aspects of stellar formation is the enormously vast change of physical parameters during the process of gravitational cloud collapse. A comparison of the initial density in a molecular cloud core with the average density in mature stars, for example, reveals a difference of twenty orders of magnitude. The typical density of such a cloud is $\sim 10^{-20}$ g cm⁻³, whereas the average density of a star like our Sun is of the order of 1 g cm⁻³. A change of this magnitude cannot be achieved by external forces but only through the self-gravitational collapse of matter. During this collapse the size of the object reduces from light years across to a few hundred thousand kilometers in radius with internal temperatures rising from a few K to 30 million K. The following sections outline the physics involved in the stellar-formation process from the onset of the collapse to what is generally considered to be a protostar and further describe its first approach towards the main sequence.

The process of gravitational collapse is part of the sequence illustrated in Fig. 5.1 that starts from giant molecular clouds which fragment into dense cores and then collapse. The result are protostars evolving over time toward the main sequence. The first step concerning the stability and fragmentation of a molecular cloud of critical density was the subject of the previous chapter. The last step, the evolution towards the main sequence, is the subject of Chap. 6. This chapter looks at the collapse of a dense cloud into a stellar core and highlights the physical processes involved.

5.1 Classical Collapse Concepts

Several researchers in the 1960s stated the complexity of stellar-formation processes and recognized the many phases involved. It was essential that at some point the collapse comes to a halt and internal pressure can counter the infall of matter. The resulting stellar core may then be in quasi-hydrostatic equilibrium (see Sect. A.7). It was soon realized that this simplistic view had



Fig. 5.1. Early comparison of the calculated luminosity of a collapsing protostar including dust opacities with infrared measurements of the Becklin–Neugebauer object in Orion obtained by R. B. Larson in the late 1960s [512]. The shaded region shows a spectrum that would only represent a simple blackbody.

fundamental problems. Early computations led to claims that for protostars greater than one-tenth of a solar mass hydrostatic equilibrium should not be possible [287], because all the energy is radiated away rather than contributing to the temperature required to build up the necessary internal pressure.

Fig. 5.1 shows the spectral energy distribution emitted by a collapsing core. The energy initially radiated from the infalling gas is absorbed by dust grains suspended in an optically thick core and then re-emitted as thermal radiation at IR wavelengths. The result seems to match well with IR observations of the Becklin–Neugebauer object in Orion. Clearly, absorption and scattering events specifically involving dust must play a pivotal role during the collapse.

5.1.1 Initial Conditions and Collapse

One of the first full calculations of the gravitational collapse of such a core was performed by R. B. Larson in 1969 [513] considering a non-rotating, nonmagnetic, spherically symmetric gas cloud. It has to be kept in mind that a collapse never occurs in isolation and external pressure and external radiation fields have to be considered. As long as the temperature in the collapsing sphere is of the order of the external temperature, the energy gained through the compression is irradiated away by dust particles in the gas flow and does not add to the thermal pressure. As a consequence the collapse happens on a free-fall timescale (see Sect. A.9) and, as has been pointed out in Chap. 4, depends on the initial core density distribution. In addition there is external pressure exerted by the matter surrounding the core, which initially does not take part in the collapse but provides a pool of material for subsequent accretion.

Typical mean properties of molecular cloud cores at the onset of collapse are (see Chap. 4) :

- Mass: $1 M_{\odot}$.
- Radius: ~ 0.1 pc.
- Temperature: 10 K.
- Mean core density: 10^{-19} g cm⁻³.
- Ionization fraction: $\sim 10^{-7}$.

These initial conditions indicate the collapse towards a low-mass object. Generally one considers *low-mass* objects as anything less than about 2 solar masses. This restriction stems from the fact that most protostellar calculations performed to date have been done most consistently for low-mass systems. Cloud cores are assumed to be isothermal and their density profile from the interior to the outer boundary has a functional dependence of r^{-2} . Such a core is unstable against gravitational collapse and its phenomenology is fairly independent of initial boundary conditions. Thus, many calculations with somewhat varying initial conditions (e.g., [513, 672, 777, 261, 365, 926]) lead to similar scenarios.

One qualitative description that has been introduced in this respect uses the perception that the gravitational collapse is highly *non-homologous*. The central parts of the sphere collapse much faster than the outer parts introducing pressure gradients almost instantaneously. While the inner parts collapse first, the outer parts follow only after being reached by an outward propagating expansion wave at the speed of sound [777]. The concept was developed by F. Shu in the late 1970s and is commonly referred to as an *inside-out-collapse* scenario. The model allowed an analytical treatment of stellar collapse that led to some estimates of the accretion rate as well as the size of the collapsing sphere. After a short time a small core supposedly forms and accretes with a constant rate:

$$\dot{M}_{acc} \sim \frac{c_s^3}{G} \tag{5.1}$$

with an infall radius of:

$$r_{inf} = c_s t \tag{5.2}$$

In this picture r_{inf} is the boundary between the collapsing inner envelope and the remaining outer envelope. One of the trademarks of this model, but likely also one of the flaws, is the constant accretion rate. In this respect there are some applications of this model applying a time-dependent modification of the form of [615]:

$$\dot{M}_{acc} = \frac{c_s^3}{G} e^{t/\tau} \tag{5.3}$$

with τ being a time constant for the case when the protostellar mass has reached its main-sequence value.

The concept of an outward propagating expansion wave is unique to Shu's standard model of star formation. Numerical treatments as persued by Larson are different and here one can identify several characteristic phases in the dynamical evolution of the collapse (see Fig. 5.2).

Free-fall phase (A). At central densities below 10^{-13} g cm⁻³ the collapse is fairly isothermal and matter falls in on a free-fall timescale. The central density rises most rapidly in the center of the collapsing sphere and less rapidly in the outer layers. The cooling is done effectively by mostly hydrogen molecules and grains radiating at IR-wavelength. As long as the temperature stays below ~ 200 K most hydrogen molecules are still in the rotational ground state (J = 0).

First core phase (B). Once the central density exceeds 10^{-13} g cm⁻³, the collapse is no longer isothermal as some inner layers of the sphere become optically thick and compressional energy cannot be radiated away. Internal temperature and thus pressure increases. Eventually a first stable core of a few astronomical units forms containing some tiny fraction of the initial cloud core mass. At temperatures of about 1,000 K most grains evaporate and thus cannot absorb and re-radiate energy and hydrogen molecules are fully rotationally and vibrationally excited.

Opacity phase (C). Once the internal temperature reaches 2,000 K, hydrogen molecules start to dissociate causing the first adiabatic exponent to drop below its critical value of 4/3. This causes a second collapse as the internal pressure gradient is not steep enough to counter the increasing gravitational load. Once a density of 10^{-2} g cm⁻³ is reached, the ionization fraction of hydrogen has increased sufficiently and the first adiabatic exponent drifts back over its critical value. Several contraction cycles may follow due to ionization of He and higher Z atoms. What is left is a core of about ~ 0.01 M_{\odot} .

Accretion phase (D). The remaining core now becomes optically thick and enters its main accretion phase. This is usually referred to as the zero age of a star. At some point it will develop an accretion disk. It is now that observations indicate the existence of a protostar. Low-mass stars will cease accretion before they reach the main sequence and the 'naked' star continues contracting until it reaches the main sequence and hydrogen burning ignites. Intermediate and massive stars probably reach the main sequence while still accreting.

Often phases B and C are combined and known as the *adiabatic phase* while phase D is sometimes also called the *hydrostatic phase*. There are many views
97



Fig. 5.2. Sketch of a collapse of an isothermal sphere which may be divided into roughly four phases. A free-fall phase in which density increases isothermally (A), a first core phase (B) where parts of the sphere become optically thick for the first time, a dissociation and ionization phase (C) where the collapsing core experiences a variety of critical changes in optical thickness, and (D) the accretion phase where the protostellar core finally remains optically thick and evolves towards the main sequence. The inward-pointing arrows illustrate inflow, the dotted outward-pointing arrows emission and re-emission, the white arrows at the centers represent trapped radiations, the circles represent mass density growing inwards, and the shades represent various opacities.

and solutions to the collapse problem and there has been much discussion about the validity of collapse calculations of singular isothermal stellar cores.

5.1.2 Basic Equations

The collapse toward a protostar is a hydrodynamical process and the collapsing gas is treated like a continuous fluid. Basic functions involved are:

- the mass density ρ ;
- the gas pressure P;
- the gas temperature T;
- the internal energy U;
- the gravitational potential ϕ ; and
- the radiated energy flux L.

These functions depend on parameters like the specific heat μ and opacity κ , which contain the information about chemical composition and ionization states.

The generalized forms of these equations, specifically the ones for the energy balance and the energy transport, are quite complex and are found in various forms depending on what the initial assumptions are [513, 846, 53]. For the simple case of spherical symmetry and constant opacity the equations can be written using only one spatial coordinate. This may either be the mass M_r , which is the mass included within a radius r, or the radius r itself. It is only then that the gravitational potential, defined by the *Poisson equation*:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G\rho \tag{5.4}$$

can also be expressed analytically.

The equation of continuity :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}r}{\mathrm{d}M_r} = \frac{1}{4\pi\rho r^2} \tag{5.5}$$

describes the conservation of mass. The collapsing sphere does not gain or lose mass.

The equation of motion:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -4\pi r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}M_r} - \frac{GM_r}{r^2} \tag{5.6}$$

is the expression for momentum conservation. It contains two external force terms, the force due to gas pressure and the gravitational force. Other terms can include viscous, radiative, and magnetic forces.

The energy equation resembles the 1st law of thermodynamics, that is:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}L_r}{\mathrm{d}M_r} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} - P\frac{\mathrm{d}(\rho^{-1})}{\mathrm{d}t}$$
(5.7)

It includes the total energy flux L_r through the surface of the sphere containing M_r , the change in the specific internal energy U and the work performed due to contraction or expansion. If energy were being conserved for the protostar, which is only possible if L_r is equal to zero, then all the work from compression or expansion would account for the change in internal energy.

Thus energy cannot be conserved for the protostar and one has to add an expression for the radiation field in order to obtain a full set of equations. The equation for the *energy flow* across the spherical surface, which is the irradiated luminosity [357], is given by:

$$L_r = -\frac{16\pi a c r^2 T^3}{3\kappa_R} \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} \tag{5.8}$$

(see also Appendix C). Here κ_R is the Rosseland mean of the absorption coefficient, c the speed of light, and a the radiation density constant $(4\sigma/c)$.

5.2 Stability Considerations

The process of stellar formation has many phases which are defined by specific modes of stability - all for the purpose of countering the force of infalling matter. In this respect, internal pressure has to depend strongly on density and temperature and changes in this dependence have profound impact on the dynamical behavior of the gas under collapse conditions.

5.2.1 Dynamical Stability

Once macroscopic motions are introduced the stability behavior of the system has to be investigated. Introducing a small perturbation into the balance between gravitational attraction and internal pressure will either force the system to counter the perturbation and to return to hydrostatic equilibrium or it will destroy the equilibrium. In a classical sense this is similar to a positive and negative feedback cycle around an equilibrium point. The response to such a perturbation is called *dynamical stability*. The repetitive process of contraction (expansion) and response back to equilibrium is called *quasi-hydrostatic equilibrium*.

A radial perturbation means that there is a small compression or expansion. In order to obtain core stability the first adiabatic exponent Γ_1 has to fulfill the relation:

$$\Gamma_1 > \frac{4}{3} \tag{5.9}$$

independent of internal degrees of freedom. This condition is of immense importance for any stable core during the protostellar evolution. The internal pressure needs to grow sufficiently in relation to the mean density in order to



Fig. 5.3. The first adiabatic index Γ_1 as a function of temperature from calculations during the first collapse phases. The arrows mark the onset of specific physical processes that change the index most dramatically causing instability in the newly formed stellar core. Adapted from data from Wuchterl [925].

provide enough force to counter the compression. Equation 5.7, on the other hand, limits Γ_1 to:

$$\Gamma_1 \le \frac{5}{3} \tag{5.10}$$

For the polytropic index n the above limits then require a range between 1.5 and 3.

5.2.2 Dynamical Instabilities

Changes of the ionization structure of the gas have profound impact on the stability of a gas. Figure 5.3 illustrates the development of the first adiabatic exponent Γ_1 as a function of gas temperature and density under exclusion of radiation effects. It illustrates various valleys of instabilities as the internal temperature increases from 10 to 2×10^6 K. The figure shows the temperature dependence for the case of $\sim 10^{-14}$ g cm⁻³. For densities also varying from left to right (i.e., from 10^{-14} to 1 g cm⁻³), the peaks and valleys in the diagram remain but with slight changes in their depths and height. For example, at higher densities the large Γ_1 -valleys due to H₂ dissociation and H ionization become somewhat shallower.

At 10 K the gas is assumed ideal and Γ_1 is equal to 5/3. The first shallow valley of instability is caused by rotational and vibrational excitation of H₂ molecules (and roughly corresponds to phase B in Fig. 5.2). At around 2,000 K the dissociation of H₂ molecules sets in causing a large second valley of instability followed by a large third valley due to the ionization of H and He (this happens through phase C in Fig. 5.2). One therefore has to realize that each time a particle species is excited, dissociated, or ionized, the density structure of the gas is changed. This alters the polytropic description of the gas and thus its stability.

5.2.3 Opacity Regions

It is crucial that opacity sources are available to create internal layers that are optically thick for radiation. If there are no opacity sources the internal energy and thus temperature to build up internal pressure cannot increase sufficiently to even reach hydrostatic equilibrium. Many calculations of the Rosseland mean opacity for a variety of gases have been carried out since the 1970s and include about 60 million atomic, molecular transitions from neutral elements and radicals including hydrogen, helium and various heavy elements, specifically iron [181, 418, 12, 13]. Absorption and scattering of various dust grain sizes are included as well. The international Opacity Project (OP) and the Opacity Project at Livermore (OPAL) (see [656] and references therein) now provide extended tabulations for temperatures above 8,000 K. Fig. 5.4 illustrates the development of the Rosseland mean opacity κ_R as a function of temperature and density [925]. At low temperatures and densities, changes in κ are due to the melting of the ice coatings of silicate dust particles and their eventual evaporation leaving only molecules as opacity sources. This causes a big drop in opacity until the ionization of alkali metals sets in, and H_2 dissociates. The large rise in opacity is then due to the ionization of H and He. This example shows once again the critical impact of the dissociation of H_2 molecules as well as ionization processes (see also Appendix B).

Rapid changes of opacity in connection with increasing density and temperature causes what is called *secular* instability. Another form of instability occurs once κ_R increases upon adiabatic compression, which is called *vibrational* instability. The evaluation of these instabilities is not as straightforward as in the case of dynamical instability which involved only the first adiabatic exponent Γ_1 . The conditions for secular and vibrational involve a complex interplay between the opacity gradients at constant temperature κ_P and pressure κ_T , the pressure gradients at constant temperature χ_T and density χ_{ρ} , and the temperature gradient at at constant entropy. In this study [925] other regions of secular instability for a core of density of 10^{-2} g cm⁻³ have been identified as well.



Fig. 5.4. The Rosseland mean opacity κ_R as a function of temperature from the same calculations shown in Fig. 5.3. It shows the behavior of opacity parallel to the changes in the first adiabatic index and thus how it can counter drifts into instability regions. Adapted from data from Wuchterl [925].

5.3 Collapse of Rotating and Magnetized Clouds

The previous sections considered the classical case, where thermal and gravitational pressures alone define the dynamics of the collapse. While the treatment of an unrotating and non-magnetized sphere provides the theoretical groundwork it falls short in accounting for many observed phenomena (see Chap. 6), which feature accretion disks, matter outflows, and a variety of magnetic field effects. The following sections discuss several cases which include rotation or magnetic fields or both in the collapse process. The inclusion of these effects requires abandoning perfect spherical symmetry. Nearly all calculations now adopt axisymmetric configurations and provide numerical solutions, because analytical solutions for most magneto-hydrodynamic equations are not known. The benefits are that these calculations introduce structures into the theoretical treatment that do not appear in the classical approach: the formation of accretion disks and even the possibility of mass loss.

The classical collapse phases as described in the previous sections are valid with or without rotation and magnetic field support of the the collapsing core. In simple terms this means rotation and magnetic fields eventually cannot prevent the collapse once the core reaches critical initial conditions. The collapse will reach the *first-core* phase and its dynamical contraction will proceed as well. What will differ substantially is the matter flow onto the core as well as the dynamics of the infalling gas. The following sections put specific emphasis on characteristic length scales under various scenarios. It also has to be kept in mind that because of the vast parameter space that has to be covered most treatments of the problem do not cover all collapse phases. Calculations covering a density range between 10^{-22} and 10^{-16} g cm⁻³ [201, 251, 252] describe the problem during quasi-static cloud contraction and possibly the onset of the *free-fall* phase thus covering strictly isothermal phases of the cloud. Some calculations go a little further toward the formation of a first core [60, 61, 62] but still assume isothermality in the collapsing cloud. Many authors thus make the distinction of pre- and post-*point mass formation (PMF)*. Some quite recent calculations include rotation and magnetic fields and are specifically valid for phases after PMF [935, 485, 840].

5.3.1 Collapse of a Slowly Rotating Sphere

The detailed impact of rotation on the gravitational collapse is quite complex. One of the difficulties lies in the fact that for most cases the simplification of spherical symmetry is no longer valid and axial (cylindric) symmetry has to be assumed instead. This makes analytic treatments almost impossible, because the gravitational potential cannot be easily expressed in analytic form. Some basic considerations, however, can be made by studying the case of slow rotation. It has been argued that, if the rotation is slow enough so that the cloud envelope rotates only a small fraction of a full turn during the collapse, then one can identify two regimes: an inner region that is distorted due to rotation and the outer envelope that stays roughly spherical [831]. Here the collapse is always in the pre-PMF phase.

The inner region is limited by the centrifugal radius r_c where centrifugal support of the cloud becomes important. This radius r_c depicts the location of a centrifugal shock at which the rotational velocity is equal to the velocity of the infalling material. At radii smaller than r_c the core is significantly distorted; at larger radii rotation may be considered a small effect. This radius has been calculated to be [831]:

$$r_c = 0.058 \Omega^2 c_s t^3 \sim 0.44 \left(\frac{\Omega}{10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{c_s}{0.35 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{t}{10^5 \text{ yr}}\right)^3 \text{ AU}$$
(5.11)

where the time should be small, more like a fraction of the free-fall timescale. Inside this radius the infalling matter encounters the centrifugal barrier in the equatorial plane and therefore this radius may define the dimensions of the seed accretion disk. The mass of the accreting protostar after an accretion time of 10^5 yr may then be expressed as:



Fig. 5.5. Illustration of a slowly rotating, collapsing core. The scales of the horizontal and vertical axis are in units of the centrifugal radius r_c . The horizontal axis is also artificially extended to the approximate location of the outer isothermal envelope r_{inf} . The contours are approximate isodensity curves, which close to r_{inf} are roughly spherical, close to r_c strongly non-spherical. The density between r_{inf} and r_c varies from 10^{-19} to 10^{-13} g cm⁻³. Adapted from Terebey et al. [831].

$$M_{star} = \left(\frac{16Rc_s^8}{G^3 \Omega^2}\right)^{1/3}$$

= $0.23 \left(\frac{R}{3R_{\odot}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\Omega}{10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}}\right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{c_s}{0.35 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{8/3} M_{\odot}(5.12)$

Since the radius r_c grows with angular velocity Ω^2 and $r_{inf} = c_s t$, it is clear that angular velocities cannot be too much larger than $\sim 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$, because r_c becomes of the order of r_{inf} and the free-fall envelope (see Fig. 5.5) cannot be assumed to be spherical any more. The region outside the free-fall envelope is stationary and isothermal, limited by the boundary r_{out} where the sound speed is equal to the rotational velocity (i.e., $c_s = \Omega r_{out}$). Cores that do not yet contain protostars should rotate at the same angular velocity as the outer envelope with typical values of $\Omega \sim 10^{-14} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Thus r_{out} may also be considered as the boundary between the collapsing sphere and the external medium.

For typical values of various parameters (M = 1 M_{\odot} , $\dot{M}_{acc} = 10^{-5}$ $M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$, $c_s = 0.35 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $\Omega \sim 10^{-13} \text{ s}^{-1}$ [4]) one finds for length scales $r_c = 6.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}$ (44 AU), $r_{inf} = 1.1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$ (7,400 AU), and $r_{out} = 3.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$ (23,400 AU).

5.3.2 Collapse of Magnetized Clouds

Collapse calculations which include magnetic fields in the initial conditions have been performed by many authors [769, 281, 282, 60, 617]. Of some interest is one example as it helps to illustrate several characteristic conditions in the magnized case [281, 282]. Here an unstable cloud core with a density distribution of an isothermal sphere is threaded by a uniform poloidal magnetic field (initial conditions as described in Chap. 4). The problem now is a MHD one and the basic set of equations has to be extended in order to account for magnetic field effects. This affects the system of equations in several ways. Magnetic forces act on the ionized material only and the matter density has to be specified for neutral and ionized material. The initial ionization fraction in the collapsing cloud is quite small (i.e., 10^{-7}) and forces due to gravitation and internal or external pressure can be neglected for ionized material. However, it is large enough to cause an ambipolar drift that weakens the coupling of matter to the magnetic field (see Sect. 4.3.4). Thus what is left is to add a generalized equation of motion for ions and neutrals as well as the induction equation and source equation for the magnetic field [281].

The dynamics of the collapse remains fairly unaltered. It still is nonhomologous, that is it qualitatively happens in an inside-out fashion. However, it is not symmetric any more as the outward moving expansion wave travels faster in the direction parallel to the magnetic field. The result that the accretion rate \dot{M}_{acc} hardly changes is a consequence of a rather unrealistic balance. The Lorentz force acts against gravity and effectively slows the gas flow. However, the expansion wave, though now not spherically symmetric, propagates faster outwards. As a result the outer envelope collapses faster. In the end the value for \dot{M}_{acc} remains roughly unchanged in this picture.

Quite dramatic are the changes in symmetry and thus in the gas flow. Magnetic pinching forces deflect the infalling gas toward the equatorial plane to form what is called a *pseudo disk* around the central protostar (see Fig. 5.6). Since there is no rotation it is not really an accretion disk which would be centrifugally supported. In this respect effects from rotation and pinching magnetic fields are not quite the same. However, in analogy to the centrifugal radius in the case of slow rotation, a magnetic radius can be defined as [282]:

$$r_b = k_b G^{2/3} B_o^{4/3} c_s^{-1/3} t^{7/3}$$

~ $540 \left(\frac{B_o}{30 \,\mu\text{G}}\right)^{4/3} \left(\frac{c_s}{0.35 \,\,\text{km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{t}{10^5 \,\,\text{yr}}\right)^{7/3} \,\text{AU} \quad (5.13)$

where B_o is the initial uniform magnetic field and k_b is equal to 0.12, determined numerically. Another characteristic radius is defined where magnetic equals thermal pressure in the initial state:



Fig. 5.6. Illustration of a collapse of a magnetic spherical, isothermal sphere fixed at about 2×10^5 yr after the start of the collapse and at the end of the *free-fall phase*. The solid lines are contours of equal density, the dashed lines are magnetic field lines, the thick arrows show the velocity field. The horizontal and vertical axes are in AU. The diagrams show the self-similar solution for the outside (right) and inside (left) case. Adapted from Galli and Shu [281, 282].

$$r_m = \frac{2c_s^2}{B_o\sqrt{G}}$$

= 2.1 × 10⁴ $\left(\frac{c_s}{0.35 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{B_o}{30 \ \mu\text{G}}\right)^{-1}$ AU (5.14)

This radius indicates when the magnetic pressure dominates over thermal pressure in the outer envelope. Fig. 5.6 illustrates the collapse environment in [281, 282] for roughly 2×10^5 yr into the collapse. The left-hand part of the diagram shows magnetic field lines, contours of equal density, and the matter inflow pattern for the self-similar treatment [281] and for the inside numerical treatment in [282], showing an increase of r_b to approximately 1,000 AU. As an interesting effect the infalling material actually pulls the magnetic field toward the central core and practically aligns with the infalling gas. Ambipolar diffusion is present but insignificant in these illustrations. For typical values of c_s , B_o , Ω , M, and \dot{M}_{acc} the radius r_b always exceeds the centrifugal radius r_c by one or two orders of magnitude. This nourishes the idea that in the case of additional rotation a smaller, centrifugally supported, accretion disk is fed equatorially through an outer pseudodisk.

5.4 Cores, Disks and Outflows: the Full Solution?

The cases discussed so far have more illustrative than astrophysical value as they show unique characteristics with respect to rotation or magnetic fields, but do not properly account for other characteristics such as *ambipolar diffusion*, *magnetic braking*, *turbulence*, and, as has been mentioned before, a realistic change of accretion rate with time. The importance of a proper treatment of ambipolar diffusion and magnetic braking has been emphasized by Mouschovias and colleagues as this process likely dominates the coupling of matter to the magnetic field during inflow [61, 62, 173, 196]. On the other hand, it seems that if, for example, magnetic braking is very efficient it may favor the formation of single stars [474], whereas observations of stars and clusters feature a substantial amount of YSOs in binaries and clusters. This issue is currently under discussion specifically with respect to the influence of turbulence and core interactions [169] during cluster formation (see [545] for a review). The following examples, though, concentrate more on issues that concern the formation of single stars.

In realistic collapse scenarios both rotation and magnetic fields are important. The two separate cases show that in both instances disks will form but on different length scales. However, neither of the two cases create any form of outflow. Thus, accretion disks and outflows are likely produced by the cooperation of magnetic and centrifugal forces. Many solutions of a gravitational collapse of rotating, isothermal, magnetic molecular cloud cores have been produced recently [201, 61, 935, 485, 840], where the ones that also include post-PMF phases are specifically of interest. In the following three, examples of the most recent calculations are presented, attempting to reproduce many observed phenomena that will later become important in early stellar evolution.

5.4.1 Ambipolar Diffusion Shock

The concept of ambipolar diffusion in molecular cloud cores has been introduced in Sect. 4.3.4. Sizeable ionization fractions in the presence of magnetic fields will lead to an ion-neutral drift force that can grow strong enough to decouple the matter from the magnetic field creating a shock. A semi-analytical scheme incorporates such an ambipolar diffusion shock and magnetic braking before and after PMF [485]. The limiting case of a non-magnetic rotational collapse simply results in a centrifugal shock (see also [737]) at a centrifugal radius of about 80 AU. The application of parameter values as they were used in Sect. 5.3.1 indicates consistency with such a solution. However the model remains limited to the pre-PMF phase unless one postulates a mechanism to remove angular momentum from the core like a stellar wind [778]. In a magnetic rotational collapse, angular momentum is not only removed by a wind but also reduced due to magnetic braking which ultimately allows for the formation of a single central mass.

The infall is magneto-hydrodynamic in the outer regions only until it passes through an ambipolar diffusion shock and subsequently a centrifugal shock. After 10^5 yr the centrifugal radius grows even beyond 100 AU with a central mass growing to $1 M_{\odot}$ and a disk mass of somewhat less than 10 percent of the central mass. Figure 5.7 shows the development of self-similar infall velocities (left) and surface densities (right) for non-magnetic rotation



Fig. 5.7. Self-similar solution of a collapse of a magnetic and rotating isothermal cloud at some time into the collapse. Drawn are unitless self-similar variables. The horizontal axis is the self-similar radius $(r/(c_s t))$ of the collapsing sphere. (left) The development of the infall velocities. (right) The corresponding surface densities. The dashed line shows the solution for a non-magnetic rotating sphere, the solid line one solution including magnetic braking and ambipolar diffusion. Adapted from Krasnopolsky and Königl [485].

and MHD infall with ambipolar diffusion. In the first case infall basically stalls at the centrifugal shock. In the second case the centrifugal shock region allows the creation of a strong, rotationally supported accretion disk and an increase in surface density towards the central core. The established disk will drive centrifugal outflows transporting angular momentum and mass.

5.4.2 Turbulent Outflows

While in the above model gravitational torques and instability-induced turbulence are not relevant, these turbulent outflows become more important in some numerical calculations [840] (see Fig. 5.8). The generation of possible outflows here can be qualitatively understood by the following argument. By introducing rotational motion into what is assumed to be a poloidal magnetic field configuration, toroidal fields are generated simply by the generation of poloidal currents through Ampere's law. The coexistence of toroidal and poloidal magnetic fields creates torques that allow angular momentum transfer along the magnetic field lines, which eventually lead to the ejection of matter. Axisymmetric MHD simulations result in a quasi-static first core that is separated from an isothermal contracting pseudodisk through developing accretion shocks. Magnetic tension forces transport angular momentum to the disk surface where matter finally gets ejected through bipolar outflows. Two types of outflow can be distinguished. A U-shaped outflow forms when



Fig. 5.8. The matter flow at the core of the infall after PMF in K. Tomisaka's calculations. The shades in the upper part of the diagram represent the ratio of the toroidal to the poloidal magnetic pressure, in the lower part the density distribution. Light shades are high values, dark shades low values. The solid lines are magnetic field lines and the arrows velocity vectors of the matter flow. From Tomisaka [839].

the poloidal field energy is comparable to the thermal energy in the contracting disk. The gas is accelerated by the cooperation of centrifugal forces and pressure from the toroidal field. A more turbulent I-shaped outflow is generated in regions where magnetic field lines and velocities are more randomly distributed. In both cases the outflow is launched by centrifugal forces.

5.4.3 Formation of Protostellar Disks

Another example of a recent hydrodynamical 2-D calculation is presented by H. Yorke and colleagues [935] (Fig. 5.9). In their calculations they focus on the



Fig. 5.9. Density and velocity structure during the growth of a protostellar disk calculated for an initial mass of 10 M_{\odot} . The stellar core has grown to about 6.5 M_{\odot} after 60,000 yr. After about twice the time, the stellar core has not significantly grown, but the size of the accretion disk has more than tripled. From Yorke and Bodenheimer [935].

evolution of a rotating protostar and include effects such as *radiative acceler*ation and angular momentum transport but do not consider magnetic fields. The fact that angular momentum transport takes place has been shown by many numerical models [5, 661, 44, 519] (see Chap. 7). Starting with a uniformly rotating and centrally condensed sphere their calculations follow mass accretion over typical protostellar lifetimes of the order of 10^7 yr, significantly longer than in earlier attempts [933, 934]. The model includes traditional disk parameterizations (see Sect. 7.1.1) as well as similar mechanisms for the introduction of gravitational torques. Another novelty in calculations of this extent is the inclusion of stellar masses up to 10 M_{\odot} . After PMF a warm, quasihydrostatic disk surrounding a central unresolved core forms, and grows in mass and size while it feeds matter onto the central object. One of the important aspects of these calculations is the growth in size of the accretion disk relative to the mass of the stellar core for different initial conditions. The radial growth of the disk is an expression of radial angular momentum transport which is achieved through tidally induced gravitational torques. More specifically, the radial growth of the disk is an expression of the insufficient angular momentum transport, and mass is loaded onto the disk faster than traditional momentum transfer mechanisms can handle. Eventually gravitational torques relax the transport problem.

Thus the growth of the disk does not depend on the initial mass but more on the initial angular momentum. During the modeled evolutionary time span quasi-static disks in excess of several thousand AU in radius can be produced. For a low mass star after 10^7 yr there is still about 35 percent of the mass in the disk. For high mass stars this is the case after a few times 10^4 yr but the disk still grows in size for another few 10^4 yr without significantly increasing the mass of the central star.

Evolution of Young Stellar Objects

This chapter decribes the evolution of stellar objects after the PMF phase when a young stellar object forms via accretion from its circumstellar cloud. It is difficult, if not impossible, to draw a line between the process of protostellar formation and the evolution of a protostar toward a mature star on the main sequence. The dense central object that forms during the collapse of a cloud core is not yet a star, but it is also not a molecular core anymore. One should define what is meant by the terms *protostar*, *PMS star*, and *young stellar object* as these expressions are common in the literature:

- *Protostar*: the optically thick stellar core that forms during the adiabatic contraction phase and grows during the accretion phase.
- *Protostellar system*: the entire young stellar system with its infalling envelope and accretion disk. The nomenclature includes binary and multiple systems. The extent of the system is fairly well described by its Jeans length.
- *PMS star*: the premature star (PMS = pre-main sequence) that becomes visible once the natal envelope has been fully accreted and which contracts towards the main sequence.
- *PMS stellar system*: the PMS stars with their accretion disks and their star/disk interaction regions.
- *YSO*: the entire stellar system (YSO = young stellar object) throughout *all* evolutionary phases. However, it is specifically used during the collapse phases and in the case of massive systems, where evolutionary phases cannot easily be distinguished.

In the light of the collapse phases introduced in Sect. 5.1.1 the birth of the protostar may be placed somewhere near the end of the adiabatic phase, when the core becomes optically thick toward radiation and enters the accretion phase. Section 6.1 thus describes the evolution of the protostar during its accretion phase. Early stellar evolution can be generically pursued and observed through the evolution of effective surface temperature and bolometric luminosity; their evolutionary aspects are reviewed in Sects. 6.2 and 6.11. Theoretical studies on protostellar evolution do not usually explicitly make a distinction between before and after accretion since the only difference between the two phases is the fact that the protostar ceases to accrete more matter from its primordial envelope, while it continues to contract quasi-hydrostatically. To address a common misunderstanding up front, 'after accretion' does not mean that the matter flow towards the protostar has stopped entirely. It simply means that the accretion disk discontinues to get predominantly fed by the envelope. In fact, accretion at very low rates continues well after, which may have some importance with respect to high-energy emission of PMS stars (see Fig. 6.1).

6.1 Protostellar Evolution

The previous chapter dealt with the gravitational collapse beyond the first PMF phase into the adiabatic phase. The calculations discussed there have established the order of magnitude of the mass accretion rate that now determines the growth properties of the protostar. During the adiabatic phase the collapsing system evolves into two regimes based on their opacity properties. In the core is the optically thick protostar and nearby infalling matter prevents any radiation from escaping. This protostar is nearly in hydrostatic equilibrium and continues to grow. Its mass, originally as low as ~0.001 M_{\odot} , increases quite rapidly by almost a factor of 10 to form an 'embryo' star (see phase D in Sect. 5.1.1). Since infalling matter is optically thick any accretion shock will heat the outer layers causing them to expand thereby increasing the size of the protostar to a few solar radii. During this expansion the opacity outside the accretion shock drops and photons can escape. The radiative energy loss prevents the protostar from further expanding and the radius of the star remains fairly constant throughout the accretion phase [553].

6.1.1 Accretion Rates

Details of the amount and variability of accretion rates specifically during adiabatic and accretion phases are still highly uncertain and probably vary greatly with time. From Chap. 5 it seems unclear how much rotation or magnetic fields affect the accretion rate. It is established, though, that they determine the geometry of the accretion flow which leads to the formation of an accretion disk. The time dependence of the accretion rate is likely a matter of angular momentum transport (see Chap. 7). More relevance may also be given to the density of the infalling envelope and the infall speed relative to the sound speed to determine the rate. It is sometimes useful to scale accretion rates by c_s^3/G (see (5.1)), which is the time independent solution obtained by Shu's standard model [777]. For an isothermal infalling envelope of ~ 10 K this would lead to a constant accretion rate of about $1.6 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Accordingly, it then takes about ~ 7×10^5 yr to form a 1 M_{\odot} star. Though this is not unreasonable more detailed calculations



Fig. 6.1. The diagram schematically shows the time development of the accretion rates as they appear in various calculations and in relation to observed phenomena. The dotted straight line resembles the result from standard star formation [777], which does not depend on time and is based on infinitely large radius and mass and thus appears as a flat line throughout the entire time interval. The hatched curve represents an approximation to the results of the many more realistic calculations. The thick line was adapted from Hartmann [344] and shows additional features such as outbursts of FU Ori type protostars and T Tauri disk accretion. All rates apply for typical low-mass (~ M_{\odot}) stars only.

for spherical collapse show [419, 893, 639], (see also [518] for a review) that typically the rate varies with an initial burst of rapid accretion lasting about 10^4 yr. Half of the envelope is accreted within 10^5 yr and nearly all of it within 10^6 yr. Axisymmetric collapse scenarios that include rotation and magnetic fields do not really change much of this picture. Calculations predict initial accretion rates of up to 50 c_s^3/G , which then rapidly and steadily decline with time [63, 737, 838, 559, 618]. Observations show in very late protostellar stages accretion rates of only 0.06 c_s^3/G , corresponding to rates of less than $10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. Figure 6.1 schematically illustrates the dependence of accretion rates with time. In general, observations so far are inconclusive about the order of magnitude of the accretion rate. A recent study of accretion in a large number of young and very low-mass objects from a variety of star-forming



Fig. 6.2. A spectacular image of the disk and jets of the YSO HH30 with high outflow activity in the jets. The outflow velocities are several hundreds of km s⁻¹. Adapted from Burrows et al. [136].

regions derives estimates of the accretion rate in very late spectral types between 10^{-9} and $10^{-12} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with a clear trend of decreasing accretion rate with stellar mass [609].

6.1.2 Matter Flows

Concepts that include magnetic fields, ambipolar diffusion, and rotation (see Chap. 5) predict various types of matter flows onto and from the protostar (Fig. 1.3, see also [840]). Flow motions can be seen as nearly spherical infall of the outer envelope and accretion from an inner accretion disk. The physics of the boundary where the inflow from the accretion disk connects with the growing protostar is highly uncertain. Recent detections of X-ray emission from these stars hint at the existence of strong magnetic activity in at least some of the protostars [589]. Observationally, the existence of accretion disks in very young protostars is difficult to verify as most of the time the star and its disk are hidden by dust and infalling gas. *IRAS* observations established that about 10 percent of the observed PMS population are protostars likely in their accretion phase [71, 72, 459].

Figure 6.2 also shows that there are outflows in the form of winds and jets. The calculations by [840] strongly suggest what has been suspected all along: these outflows are based on magnetic acceleration from the surface of a Keplerian disk.

6.1.3 Deuterium Burning and Convection

Deuterium is one of various elements that engages in nuclear fusion at temperatures between 10⁶ and 10⁷ K, and among all others (⁶Li, ⁷Li, ⁹Be, and ³He) it is the most abundant one. A protostar steadily accretes mass and though the pressure balance can be treated quasi-hydrostatically, its internal structure evolves with the amount of mass accreted [803, 804, 651]. Deuterium burning occurs at temperatures larger than 10⁶ K. For low-mass protostars $(M < 1 M_{\odot})$ this temperature is reached at its center setting off the process of sustained fusion of deuterium. The quantity of the mass accretion rate is therefore not only important for the star's final mass, it also determines the supply of deuterium to the hydrostatic core which leads to modification of the energy balance inside the star. A fast decline in the accretion rate with time will also lead to an early depletion of deuterium in the core assuring a short burning phase. In stars more massive than 2 M_{\odot} accretion rates stay high long enough that deuterium burning will continue beyond a phase of central depletion, resulting in shell type burning [651]. Thus, in these stars the interior is never thermally relaxed and quasi-static contraction may not proceed homologously [656].

In low-mass stars the amount of deuterium burned is of the order of the amount that is accreted from the outer envelope. This can only happen if the accreted deuterium is transported efficiently to the center of the star. This mechanism is *convection* and is effectively driven by deuterium burning. A treatment of convection in terms of a single element of turbulence (eddy) in adiabatic regimes can be found in [100]. More complex models involve entire distributions of eddies [149, 150]. These models parameterize convection in terms of a *mixing length* or *scale length* scaled to the solar radius and temperature. Once convection is established, accreted deuterium is rapidly transported into the stellar interior. The produced luminosity is proportional to the mass accretion rate and is given by:

$$L_{D_o} \simeq 12 L_{\odot} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{acc}}{10^{-5} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}} \right)$$
 (6.1)

where the magnitude is mainly determined by the deuterium abundance and the energy produced per reaction. It is important to realize that the amount of energy available from deuterium is of the same order of magnitude as the total gravitational binding energy. Deuterium thus regulates the mass-radius relation of the star. The proportionality assumption, however, only holds for a narrow regime of accretion rates and for very low-mass stars. Generally, more deuterium is consumed than is provided through accretion and thus the produced luminosity is:

$$L_D = L_{D_o} \left(1 - \frac{\mathrm{d}(f_D M)}{\mathrm{d}M} \right) \tag{6.2}$$



Fig. 6.3. The decrease in fractional deuterium concentration in low-mass protostars for various accretion rates. Adapted from Palla and Stahler [656].

where M is the mass of the star, f_D the fractional deuterium concentration and the product $f_D M$ the total deuterium content [656]. Under the assumption the star is fully convective, Fig. 6.3 shows the change of the fractional deuterium concentration f_D with protostellar mass for various accretion rates. It shows that for high accretion rates the drop in deuterium concentrations is shifted towards higher stellar masses.

6.1.4 Lithium Depletion

The interstellar abundance of $[\text{Li}/\text{H}] \sim 10^{-9}$ is about $\sim 7 \times 10^{-7}$ times smaller than the relative abundance of deuterium. Thus, it is clear that these elements do not contribute to the intrinsic energy balance of the star and are structurally unimportant. However their abundance can serve as tracers to test the internal structure of PMS stars as well as their evolutionary status. A good example of this is the depletion history of Li in low-mass stars [868]. Surface abundances provide a critical test for convection, rotation, and mass loss in young, but also evolved, stars. Often important is the ⁷Li isotope which is an order of magnitude more abundant than ${}^{6}\text{Li}$ – the main reaction under study is ${}^{7}\text{Li}(p,\alpha){}^{4}\text{He}$ at temperatures of about 2.5×10^{6} K [96].

A special case in this respect is the diagnostics of the Li abundance in brown dwarfs. Though these are objects at the final stage of stellar evolution, their study is critical for the study of PMS populations as well as for stars at the lowest end of the mass spectrum. They are stars with masses below $0.08 \ M_{\odot}$ down to about a few tens of Jupiter masses, which due to their low mass never engage in nuclear hydrogen fusion and thus never reach the main sequence. Some of the more massive ones manage to burn deuterium, but they also hardly produce temperatures hot enough to ignite Li burning in their interiors. The mass cut can be placed at around $0.06 \ M_{\odot}$. Atmospheres of brown dwarfs are thus Li rich as there is no convective motion to transport the element into the interior for eventual destruction.

6.1.5 Mass–Radius Relation

The evolution of radius and mass of a protostar is central to our understanding of the early evolution of stars. From (5.12) one can infer a simple relation for the mass-to-radius ratio which predicts protostellar masses of the order of less than 0.35 M_{\odot} with radii between 2 and 5 R_{\odot} . The future evolution is dominated by deuterium burning and previous histories will converge at the onset of deuterium burning [808]. Figure 6.4 shows the mass-radius relation for a protostar accreting at $\dot{M} = 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ as adapted from [808] and [652]. The curves represent snapshots at a late stage in the protostellar accretion phase. The left part of the figure highlights the mass range below 1 M_{\odot} . It shows that all mass-radius relations prior to deuterium burning converge to a point at roughly 0.3 M_{\odot} and 2.5 R_{\odot} . From this point the radius starts to expand to about 5 R_{\odot} as the mass grows to 1 M_{\odot} .

Development toward more massive stars is complex and still to a high degree uncertain. The grey area in Fig. 6.4 highlights the mass range in which the stellar core can be assumed to be fully convective due to deuterium burning. Toward more massive stars a radiative barrier develops which eventually leads to deuterium shell burning giving rise to a steep increase in the stellar radius of up to 10 R_{\odot} . Without deuterium shell burning, this rise would not occur and a more massive star would simply contract at that point (dotted line). The protostar is then in a range where it is radiatively dominated with convective zones near its stellar surface. After reaching the peak, more massive stars contract towards the main sequence, which is reached at 8 M_{\odot} . This means that massive stars reach the main sequence well into their protostellar phase.

The extent to which these predictions depend on initial boundary conditions, specifically for more massive stars, is also illustrated in Fig. 6.4. In the case of spherical infall and the development of an accretion shock the evolution is represented by the thick line. Whereas assuming photospheric conditions



Fig. 6.4. A snapshot in the evolution of the protostellar radius with mass at a steady accretion rate of $\dot{M} = 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The evolutionary state of stars following this mass-radius relation can be placed near the time when the stars become visible due to their surface luminosity. For example a 2 M_{\odot} star at that stage has a radius of about 5 R_{\odot} and, an 8 M_{\odot} star has less than 4 R_{\odot} . The left-hand diagram highlights the protostars of less than 1 M_{\odot} , the right-hand diagram for the entire mass range up to 8 M_{\odot} . The gray area indicates the range where stars are fully convective. The black circles (from left to right) mark the onset of deuterium burning, the point where stars are fully convective, the ignition of hydrogen (CN cycle) in the core, and the arrival at the ZAMS (see Sect. 6.2.2). The dotted line in the right-hand figure represents the case where no deuterium shell burning occurs and the thin line the mass-radius relation using different boundary conditions. Adapted from Stahler [808] and Palla and Stahler [652].

dominated by disk accretion one sees a slight delay in the onset of deuterium shell burning and thus less radius expansion.

6.1.6 Protostellar Luminosities

The total emitted luminosity of a protostellar system can be written as:

$$L_{tot} = L_{star} + L_{acc} \tag{6.3}$$

where L_{star} is the net luminosity emitted from the protostellar surface and produced by deuterium burning L_D , and contraction or expansion L_{gc} :

$$L_{star} = 4\pi R_{star}^2 \sigma T_{eff}^4 \tag{6.4}$$

 L_{acc} is the luminosity produced by mass accretion and infall and includes contributions from energy dissipation of infalling matter onto the accretion disk and onto the central star and radiative energy losses throughout the envelope and the disk.



Fig. 6.5. The mass dependence of luminosities within a protostellar system, for an accretion rate of $\dot{M} = 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For low-mass stars L_{acc} continuously dominates L_{star} . The luminosity from deuterium burning is also much higher than L_{star} . This situation causes the stellar radius to expand. The vertical dotted lines mark the intersections of the radiatively carried luminosity L_{rad} with the functions for L_{star} , L_D , and L_{acc} . Adapted from Stahler [808] and Palla and Stahler [653].

In observations of YSOs it is difficult to determine L_{star} for a large fraction of its evolution, because the protostar remains embedded in its natal envelope. Thus for L_{star} in protostars one depends heavily on theoretical models. This fact is nicely illustrated in Fig. 6.5 [653]. For up to about 4 $M_{\odot}L_{acc}$ is the dominating source of the total luminosity. Note, L_{star} is all the luminosity the star's surface can radiate under steady-state conditions as long as the excess luminosity from deuterium burning goes into radius expansion. Luminosity from radiative energy transport L_{rad} is insignificant unless the mass of the star exceeds about 2 M_{\odot} . Eventually the radiatively carried luminosity is the dominating source. At the condition where $L_{rad} = L_{acc}$ the surface temperature is also high enough to radiate all radiatively carried energy. From this point the star contracts homologously towards the main sequence [653].

6.2 Evolution in the HR-Diagram

It had been recognized early on that young stars can be identified by their location in the HR-diagram. The HR-diagram is a valuable tool in understanding stellar evolutions. Comprehensive introductions can be found in standard textbooks. The HR-diagram takes advantage of the fact that in the course of stellar evolution only specific paths of luminosity and effective temperature occur. The first calculations of pre-main sequence radiative tracks for contracting stars of less than $\sim 2 M_{\odot}$ were published in the 1950s [366, 349], although it was not yet obvious that T Tauri stars would meet these conditions [384].

The basic equations for stellar structure have already been introduced in Chap. 5. Ingredients discussed so far include opacities, deuterium burning, lithium depletion, convection, and mass accretion rates. As important as these ingredients are, evolutionary projections need boundary conditions as well as initial assumptions. An obvious condition at the center of the protostar is that both mass and luminosity have to vanish. Another set of conditions determines the dynamic properties of the photospheric surface of the star in terms of pressure and luminosity, i.e.:

$$M = M_{star} \to P_e = \frac{2}{3} \frac{GM_{star}}{R_{star}^2 \kappa} \qquad L = 4\pi R_{star}^2 \sigma T_{eff}^4 \tag{6.5}$$

These conditions reflect some implicit assumptions that are central to how these calculations are to be interpreted. One is that there is thermal equilibrium at the surface of the star, another one is that the star should be fully convective. The first condition seems reasonable and fairly consistent with observations though events like accretion shocks may lead to some departure from LTE. The second condition is more a working hypothesis and presumably not always valid. In stars much more massive than the Sun convection may not be as efficient as the star becomes more radiatively stable. In the case where the radiative luminosity L_{rad} is of the order of the luminosity provided by deuterium burning, the contraction timescale t_{KH} (see (A.38)) may be of the same order as the accretion timescale (see (A.39)) for a small range of masses [656], although observations clearly hint at a more complex picture.

6.2.1 Hayashi Tracks

C. Hayashi [356] already emphasized that in order to describe the evolution of protostars, its is useful to follow the rate of energy change at every point in the density-temperature diagram. He demonstrated that any star of a certain mass and radius has a minimum effective temperature. Simple arguments, for example as introduced by [356, 288, 311], show that there are zones of instability in the HR-diagram, which constrain the evolution of protostars. The boundary of these zones of instability is then determined by the evolution of a fully convective star.

PMS stars of masses $M < 2 \ M_{\odot}$ are considered fully convective. The HR-diagram can be used to display the development of the equation of state of the protostar. The zones of instability in this respect are areas where the first adiabatic exponent Γ_1 (see (A.9) and Sect. 5.2) drifts out of the range of stability. In convective zones this temperature gradient is close to adiabatic. Significant deviations from that condition will not maintain quasi-hydrostatic equilibria. From (A.7) and (6.5), as well as the above boundary conditions, one finds a relation between luminosity and effective temperature that traces a line in the HR-diagram for a specified stellar mass, called a *Hayashi track*. Though not true evolutionary paths, they represent *asymptotes* to these instability zones, also sometimes referred to as forbidden zones, in the HR-diagram. In Fig. 6.6 this region is located to the right of the tracks between lifetimes 1 and 2.

Of course, these evolutionary tracks should connect early with the outcomes of the collapse phases discussed in Chap. 5 with timescales discussed in Sect. A.9. The beginning of the Hayashi track thus should be at the adiabatic phase C and the track point mostly downwards. However, in the case of the Hayashi tracks it is mandatory that from the collapse of a gravitationally unstable and isothermal cloud a fully convective structure emerges. Under the assumption that all available energy released in the gravitational collapse was absorbed in the dissociation of H_2 and ionization of H and He, Fig. 5.4 indicates that the average internal temperature of the protostar in guasi-hydrostatic equilibrium is between 10^4 and 10^5 K almost independent of stellar mass and that the opacity is very high. Here the protostar may indeed be considered fully convective and this is usually where Hayashi tracks have their starting point (timeline labeled 1 in Fig. 6.6). When the opacity drops the internal temperature rises and the convective zone recedes from the center. This causes the evolutionary path of the star in the HR-diagram to move away from the Hayashi track toward higher effective temperatures. The protostar is now on the *radiative track* of the HR-diagram (timelines 2–5).

The details of Hayashi's argument on convectivity and stability have come under some more scrutiny in recent years [928]. The problem is not with Hayashi's argument itself, but with the assumption that stellar collapse phases automatically lead to *fully* convective conditions. These authors stress the importance of including the entire star-formation history in order to account for the true starting point of early stellar evolution (see Chapter 10). In other words, the situation that the initial conditions for early stellar evolution have to be redefined once evolution steps beyond the stellar collapse phases may be fundamentally flawed. Differences in the collapse and accretion history can lead to a significantly different temperature and luminosity evolution. M.



Fig. 6.6. Evolutionary paths in the HR-diagram for stellar masses ranging from 0.5 to 15 M_{\odot} (solid tracks, adapted from Iben [420]). These paths are marked by thin hatched lines marking time periods labeled 1 to 5. The thick hatched line to the left approximately indicates the location of the ZAMS. The line across the tracks is the stellar birthline approximated from [76] for an accretion rate of $\dot{M}_{acc} = 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Forestini [260] also suggested that a different mixing length in the treatment of convection leads to a shift of the Hayashi track (see below).

6.2.2 ZAMS

The zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) is the endpoint of PMS evolution. At the end of the evolutionary track it represents the first time when energy generation by nuclear reactions in the stellar core *fully* compensates the energy

${ m M}_{\odot}$	1-2 (Myr)	2-4 (Myr)	4-5 (Myr)	Δt_D (Myr)	t_{rad} (Myr)	t_{cZAMS} (Myr)	t_{ZAMS} (Myr)
0.5	160	_	_	0.25	83	160	98
0.8	-	-	_	0.25 0.015	2.5	92	$50 \\ 52$
1.0	8.9	25	16	< 0.010	1.4	50	32
1.5	2.4	8.1	3.0	-	-	18	20
3.0	0.21	1.2	0.28	-	-	2.5	2.0
5.0	0.029	0.35	0.068	-	-	0.58	0.23
6.0	-	-	-	-	-	0.42	0.040

Table 6.1. Classical evolutionary PMS lifetimes as calculated by [420] together with more recently derived lifespans for low-mass stars [656].

losses due to radiation from the stellar photosphere. The onset of sustained fusion defines the end of PMS evolution. The interior of such an evolved PMS must get hot enough not only to ignite hydrogen burning, but to establish a dominant CNO cycle, which requires temperatures above 15 million K. It then marks the end of contraction and the point where C^{12} , C^{13} , and N^{14} reach their equilibrium [651]. The time it takes a PMS star to develop this equilibrium is substantial. Although (see timeline 4–5 in Fig. 6.6) progress in the HR-diagram in terms of luminosity and T_{eff} is marginal, it takes stars of 1 M_{\odot} 16 million years to achieve this.

For massive stars the concept of a ZAMS is specifically intriguing since theories predict that the star should be still accreting. What eventually halts the influx of matter is most likely an emerging wind in these stars, whose existence is a known property of mature massive stars ($M \ge 8 M_{\odot}$), but whose time of emergence is fairly unknown [651] [506] [763]. Recent calculations (see [656]) are shown in Fig. 6.7 and clearly show very short contraction timescales of massive PMS towards the ZAMS. Results for stars of higher mass are not yet available. Given the steep decline of time towards the ZAMS, stars of about 8 M_{\odot} will have extremely brief contraction times to the ZAMS [651].

6.2.3 The Birthline

When young low-mass protostars leave the accretion phase and start to quasihydrostatically contract towards the main sequence they become optically visible. The location in the HR-diagram where this happens is called the *stellar birthline* [806]. This is not to be confused with the zero age of a star introduced in Chap. 5, which is the *beginning* of the accretion phase (phase D). The birthline thus is the first light (i.e., $\tau = 1$ at its surface for $\lambda \sim 5,000$ Å) of what is generally referred to as a PMS star. The problem is that there are many exceptions to this concept as will become clear in the further course of the book.

The determination of the stellar birthline has two aspects. The first one is that it marks the end of the stellar collapse phase at which the protostar more or less has reached its final mass. It is thus sensitive to the mass accretion rate. The second aspect has to do with the fact that observationally there should not be any PMS star visible with effective temperatures and luminosities above this line [656].

Figure 6.6 shows the approximate position of the birthline according to [653] and [76] for a thermal (constant) accretion rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. For PMS stars with masses up to around 2 M_{\odot} , the birthline cuts through the Hayashi track. These PMS stars are fully convective when they are first observed. This location marks the separation between the T Tauri and Herbig Ae/Be birthline [651]. Higher mass PMS stars already have radiatively stable cores. Calculations show [76] that at masses of 2.6–2.75 M_{\odot} sufficiently high temperatures are reached to allow for the appearance of a radiative barrier. For stars with masses above 4.3 M_{\odot} the star at the birthline is thermally relaxed and becomes fully radiative. Finally, at above about 7.1 M_{\odot} the birthline attaches to the ZAMS indicating that these stars do not possess a PMS phase and may already be on the main sequence once they become visible.

The birthline also depends on the mass accretion rate. As can be seen below, an accretion rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ seems quite appropriate for lowmass stars. This may not be true for intermediate and higher mass stars. In general, a lower average accretion rate would move the birthline below the one indicated in Fig. 6.6; a higher rate would move the curve above this line [653]. Interesting in this respect is the point where the birthline touches the ZAMS. Young massive stars that would appear to the right of the ZAMS then may indicate higher accretion rates.

6.2.4 PMS Evolutionary Timescales

Knowledge about intrinsic properties of YSOs relies almost entirely on theoretical stellar models. From these models ages, masses, and stellar radii are derived given that the brightness, distance, and effective temperature of the YSO is known. The so-determined ages constitute the only available evolutionary clocks for tracing the development of the star towards the main sequence [927]. Thus, it has to be stressed that there is no simple estimate of various PMS lifetimes and one always has to rely almost entirely on calculations. Classical PMS timescales [420] predict lifetimes on the Hayashi track between well above 100 million yr for very-low-mass stars and only a few 100 yr for stars more massive than 10 M_{\odot} . While the latter is simply a reflection of the fact that massive stars are more effective in stabilizing radiatively, timescales of several 100 million yr, however, indicate high convective stability (see Fig. 6.7).



Fig. 6.7. The time t_{ZAMS} it takes a PMS star to reach the ZAMS with respect to the final mass of the star. Classical calculations are shown by the hatched line, more recent ones are shown by the solid line. Specifically towards higher masses recent calculations show much shorter contraction times.

6.2.5 HR-Diagrams and Observations

Evolutionary paths in the HR-diagram play a vital role in the modeling of the contraction of a PMS star toward the main sequence and it is therefore warranted to engage in a few more details. Most pioneering work in recent times has been performed by F. Palla and S. Stahler. Various differences in theoretical calculations will have an impact on the comparison with observations. Besides the already presented classical [420] and recent [651, 652, 653, 654] calculations, other computations. apply various differences in the treatment of composition, opacities, convection, mass range and other physical parameters [189, 260, 76, 54, 190, 927, 928]. These differences in general either shift PMS tracks or slightly change their length and as a result move the ZAMS, the birthline and isochrones (lines of similar age from the birthline). The spacing, for example, of evolutionary tracks in Fig. 6.6 varies across the $[T_{eff}, L_{bol}]$ plane causing significant differences for predicted masses in different domains. Corrections of PMS ages can be substantial for intermediate mass objects, and for all stars younger than a few million years [654]. Many issues concerning the comparisons of evolutionary predictions with observations will also appear in Chap. 9 which mostly deals with observational details of active star-forming regions.

Observations first produce color-magnitude ($[E - V, M_V]$) diagrams (see Fig. 2.3) which are then transformed into the $[T_{eff}, L_{bol}]$ plane of the HRdiagram. In general this can be done from any applicable photometric band. Typical errors of well observed quantities in nearby star-forming regions translate into typical uncertainties in [656]: for T_{eff} they typically amount to ± 300 K and for $log(L_{bol}/L_{L_{\odot}})$ to ± 0.25 . In many other cases uncertainties are worse. Mis-identifications as single, binary, or even multiple star systems occur quite often and are sources of systematic errors. Unidentified stellar binaries may imply too high bolometric luminosities shifting the data upwards in the HR-diagram. Similarly, the bolometric luminosity can lead to incorrect identifications if various emitting components contribute to the observed luminosity. These issues have implications on the determination of the birthline and age of the stars. The sketch in Fig. 6.8 demonstrates an example of possible ambiguities in the comparison with results under very good conditions. In general the comparison of computations to observations is difficult and it is wise to compare observations with many different calculations before drawing conclusions. It has to be cautioned that the birthline concept is not unbiased with respect to observations. The problem is that other effects can affect the visibility of PMS stars through obscuration by its parent molecular cloud or its host embedded cluster.

PMS stars should not be visible before they reach the birthline, which is pretty much the case for T Tauri stars as well as Ae/Be intermediate mass stars [653]. It is particularly emphasized that a birthline computed for a accretion rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ represents the best placement for the visibility cut-off in the HR-diagram. Fig. 6.9 illustrates this for the case of T Tauri stars in the *Taurus–Auriga* region [460]. Though the data have not accounted for possible misplacements due to binaries in the sample, all data points lie below the birthline within their uncertainties. The diagram also shows that most T Tauri stars line up around the isochrone representing an age of 1 Myr.

6.3 PMS Classifications

Classification schemes are never really accurate, though they are useful to test theories. Observers try to classify large amounts of data using statistical communalities of their properties. In 1984 [496] investigated *spectral energy distributions (SEDs)* of IR sources observed in the 1–100 μ m wavelength band in the core of the Ophiuchi dark cloud. They found that these sources could be divided into morphological classes based on the shape of their spectra. In 1987 C. Lada identified three classes for which he proposed a general IR classification scheme. The basis for this classification is the level of long wavelength excess with respect to stellar blackbody emission (see Fig. 6.10). Quantitatively the spectral index is defined as:

$$\alpha_{IR} = \frac{dlog(\lambda F_{\lambda})}{dlog\lambda} \tag{6.6}$$



Fig. 6.8. Classical evolutionary tracks for masses 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 that have been shifted according to [260] for different treatments in convection and metallicity. The ZAMS and the birthline are drawn as in Fig. 6.6. Overplotted is a set of arbitrary data points (open circles). The solid data points show the same sources with their color indices systematically underestimated and assuming that 60 percent of the sources were unknowingly binaries. Not only would the measured birthline of stars be in a different place, but discrepancies in mass determination would amount to 0.5 M_{\odot} for a solar mass star.

between 2.2 and 25 µm. Class I sources have very broad energy distributions with spectral indices of $\alpha_{IR} > 0$, Class II sources have $-2 < \alpha_{IR} < 0$ and Class III sources have $\alpha_{IR} < -2$. The large IR excesses are attributed to thermal emission from dust in large circumstellar envelopes and Class I sources are likely to be evolved protostars (see Chap. 5). In 1993 [25] discovered embedded sources that remained undetected below 25 µm indicating significantly larger amounts of circumstellar material than in Class I sources and they proposed a younger Class θ of YSOs.

Much more moderate IR excesses than in Class I sources are seen in Class II sources and even less in Class III. Figure 6.11 presents a rough overview of the possible physical properties of these classes, which will be discussed in more detail in the next few sections. This illustration tries, on a best effort



Fig. 6.9. T Tauri stars from the *Taurus-Auriga* region in the HRD diagram (data from [460]). The diagram shows stellar surface temperature and bolometric luminosity of T Tauri stars with respect to the stellar birthline [653] and theoretical PMS tracks [189].

basis, to synchronize these IR classes with physical evolutionary phases of YSOs. Reality is more complex than that, and the separations with respect to the phases are much fuzzier. In other words, the evolution of a protostar itself is not traceable because its environment is not transparent for radiative signatures. In fact, many of the physical mechanisms producing emissions are decoupled from the properties of the central young star. Specifically, the morphology of IR spectra can only give a qualitative measure of the amount of circumstellar material, because at shorter wavelengths (i.e., < 100 μ m) disk emission is optically thick leading to poor mass estimates [26].

The SEDs cover a mass spectrum of YSOs between 0.2 and 2 M_{\odot} , which certainly includes the majority of YSOs in the Galaxy. YSOs of masses outside that range may show similarities but many other properties need to be addressed additionally.

6.3.1 Class 0 and I Protostars

The notion that the SED classes are part of an evolutionary sequence was suggested early on by [3] and [497]. It was argued that Class I sources are low-mass protostars building up mass by the *accretion* of infalling matter



Fig. 6.10. Proposed classification of PMS stars based on SEDs in the $1-100~\mu\mathrm{m}$ wavelength range

[498]. Thus what is observed is not the total luminosity of the YSO, L_{tot} , but the accretion luminosity of the circumstellar envelope. It is assumed that Class I objects are heavily obscured and thus invisible. The accretion luminosity can be estimated by:

$$L_{acc} = G \frac{M_{star} \dot{M}_{acc}}{R_{star}} \tag{6.7}$$

For accretion rates of the order of 10^{-6} to $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ the accretion luminosity from this estimate is of the order $10 - 50 L_{\odot}$. Observed integrated SEDs of protostars yield luminosities around $1 L_{\odot}[460]$, which is more than an order of magnitude lower than predicted. According to [344], one possibility for this discrepancy could be that most of the accretion goes into disk growth rather than onto the star, which at some point gets rapidly released onto the star causing outbursts as seen in *FU Orionis stars* (see Fig. 6.1 and Sect. 6.3.5). Another possibility is that the luminosity is radiated through other mechanisms (see Chap. 7). One of the most luminous protostars known is YLW 15 in the ρ Ophiuchus Cloud [899, 26] and from luminous X-ray emission Montmerle and colleagues [589] argue for a braking mechanism involving a magnetic field and the rotation of the protostar. However it is not clear whether this is a common property of protostars or how much it really affects the discussion about accretion rates.

	Infalling protostar	Accreting protostar	Contracting PMS star		MS star
YSO properties			Classical TTauri Star	Weak-lined TTauri Star	· · · • · ·
Phase	adiabatic (A,B,C)	accretion (D) deuterium burning onset of convection	convective radiative onset of nuclear burning		convective radiative full nuclear burning
Matter flows	mostly infall disk & outflows form	some infall mostly accretion outflows, jets	low accretion	?	
Envelope/ disk size	< 10000 AU	< 1000 AU	< 400 AU	~ 100 AU	
Infall/ accretion rate	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁵	10^{-6} 10^{-7}	?	_
Age	$10^4 - 10^5 \text{yr}$	$10^{5} \mathrm{yr}$	$10^{6} - 10^{7} \text{ yr}$	$10^{6} - 10^{7} \text{ yr}$	
Emission bands (except IR)	thermal radio X–ray? X–ray		radio optical strong X–ray	non-therm. radio optical strong X-ray	non-therm. radio optical X-ray
Classes	Class 0	Class I	Class II	Class III	ZAMS

Fig. 6.11. The IR classification in the context with evolutionary phases and matter flow parameters.

Class I protostars should be rather *evolved* protostars and Class 0 sources are their younger cousins. This perception comes from two observational results. One is that Class 0 sources seem to have significantly higher envelope masses than Class I sources. The other is that different outflow rates and morphologies exist in these sources.

Thermal emission from dust in circumstellar disks is optically thin and proportional to the total particle mass [67]. P. André and T. Montmerle [26] estimate the mass of circumstellar mass using the flux density over the entire observed source extent and relation for the emissivity under LTE conditions:

$$M_{envelope} = \frac{S_{\lambda}d^2}{j_{\lambda}} = \frac{S_{\lambda}d^2}{\kappa_{\lambda}B_{\lambda}(T_{dust})}$$
(6.8)

Here κ_{λ} is the dust opacity, and $B_{\lambda}(T_{dust})$ the blackbody spectrum at a dust temperature of T_{dust} . Sub-millimeter observations of a large number of YSOs in the ρ Oph cloud yield an average dust opacity of ~ 0.01 cm² g⁻¹ [644] and a dust temperature around 30 K. Circumstellar masses for:

- Class I objects are between ~ 0.015 and $\sim 0.15 M_{\odot}$; and
- Class 0 objects are between ~ 0.14 and $> 2.8 M_{\odot}$.

In Class I objects, a large fraction of the envelope has already been accreted onto the protostar. Fig. 6.12 shows that measured envelope masses [106] place Class I sources just beyond the expected birthline [808], whereas Class 0 sources have not reached the birthline. It should be noted that there is some uncertainty in the determination of envelope masses from millimeter flux densities mainly because contributions to the dust opacity are not well understood (see Appendix D). The birthline [808] seems to favor an accretion rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, which is higher than predicted in the standard collapse model [777], but not unreasonable when compared to more recent detailed calculations (see also [365]).



Fig. 6.12. Circumstellar envelope masses for different SED classes versus the stellar luminosity. The hatched line represents the birthline. Adapted from [106].

Another observational result indicates that outflow activity, though still quite potent, appears more dilute with larger opening angles and lower massloss rates. Class 0 stars are clearly younger and contain much larger circumstellar envelopes. Though the detailed mechanisms that drive jets and outflows in YSOs are quite poorly understood, it is predicted that while matter is still
infalling at a high rate and while accretion flows are substantial, significant outflow activity is created. In this respect *all* Class 0 and I sources should show outflow activity. It has been pointed out [743] that in some cases Class I sources may be misclassified due to an overestimate of the bolometric luminosity. This may be for several reasons. Either these objects are embedded in large and dense clouds, or lie behind those clouds, or likely fail the prerogative that all observed luminosity is indeed due to accretion from the envelope. From the J = 2–1 line of ¹²CO observations shown in Fig. 6.12 [106] also suggest that Class I sources possess significantly lower outflow momentum as a result of the decay in the mass accretion rate.

These properties can be made visible specifically in IR color-color diagrams. The sensitivity towards disk and envelopes is particularly emphasized in the bandpasses available to the *IPAC* on board the *SST* due to their close placement towards a 10 μ m silicate emission feature, which is strong in the presence of large amounts of circumstellar material. The *IRAC* color-color diagram shown in Plate 1.11 distinguishes between stars with only disk emission (see below) and with circumstellar envelopes [17]. The models involve mass accretion rates ranging from $10^{-6} - 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and disk radii between 100 and 300 AU.

The detection of high-energy radiation from Class I and maybe even Class 0 sources is a rather new phenomenon (for more details see Chap. 8), but of enormous importance as it extends the detectability of these objects into domains that are optically thick even for sub-mm and mm observations [238].

6.3.2 Classical T Tauri Stars

The original detection of young low-mass stars was based on objects that exhibited strong hydrogen Balmer (H α) line emission and were associated with reflection nebula and molecular clouds [442]. These stars showed similar physical characteristics to stars like T Tau in the Taurus cloud and thus were named T Tauri stars. Even now, 60 years after their detection, they are still prototypes of what is referred to as classical T Tauri Stars (CTTS). Other early objective-prism surveys were performed through the 1950s and 1960s [332, 369, 370, 374]. T Tauri stars are generally low-mass PMS stars of spectral types F to M and surface effective temperatures of 3,000 K to 7,000 K. Other more sensitive H α surveys using objective prisms have been performed since then providing a huge database for the study of optical and near-IR properties of PMS stars (see also Chap. 9). This is in clear contrast to the detection of Class 0 and I sources, which required more advanced IR and sub-mm instrumentation to be detected and which therefore have only been identified comparatively recently. Throughout the 1980s the term Tassociation for young low-mass stars in star-forming regions was created in analogy to the OB-associations of massive stars. Notable surveys during this era were [176, 2, 588, 491, 875, 22, 236, 876, 493] and in the 1990s [334, 237, 415, 877, 323].

CTTS are optically visible as they only possess a very small fraction of their natal envelope (Fig. 6.12 and beyond the birthline on the Hayashi track in the HR-diagram). Their Kelvin–Helmholtz timescales are significantly larger than their accretion timescale and therefore all contain low-mass PMS stars. In the SED classification CTTS are Class II sources and their energy spectra peak between 1 and 10 μ m with moderate IR excesses reaching beyond 100 μ m. Several large IR and sub-mm surveys of T Tauri stars have been carried out in the last fifteen years [822, 179, 68] and established that classical T Tauri stars have strong circumstellar disks [543] with accretion rates that in some cases may still be as high as $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ for a short amount of time, but otherwise are generally much lower than $10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ (see Fig. 6.1). In the IR color–color diagram (see Plate 1.11) these sources place themselves more towards the lower left corner marked by the colored square.

The transition from a protostellar to a PMS stellar system involves two radical changes which do not happen on the same timescale. The first one is the onset of deuterium burning during the protostellar phase and the star at some point becomes convective, which together with stellar rotation provide conditions that under various circumstances generate an internal dynamo leading to external magnetic fields (see Sect. 7.3.1). The second one is the drop in accretion rate at the end of the accretion phase causing a variety of physical processes which contribute to the total luminosity of the CTTS. These events do not happen synchronously and some processes, specifically ones that relate the stellar magnetic field to matter flows should occur in Class 0 or I sources as well (see above). Some of the principal topological features of CTTS are illustrated in Fig. 6.13. Somewhat more detailed descriptions will follow in the upcoming chapters.

Accretion disks in CTTS have masses between 0.0003 and 1 M_{\odot} with a typical median of ~ 0.01 M_{\odot} . Disk radii are much less than 400 AU, typically around 100 AU [68, 343]. There are several physical processes generating disk emission. Though relatively small in total mass, dust in the outer, optically thin circumstellar disk efficiently absorbs optical and UV light from the central region and irradiates this energy in the form of reprocessed long-wavelength photons throughout the radio, sub-mm and far-IR bands. The wavelength, in in this respect, correlated with disk radius. Far-IR bands probe disk radii of ~ 1–5 AU, while sub-mm and radio bands reach into the outer edge of the disk [401]. Dust on the surface of the optically thick central and inner parts of the disk get heated up to 1,000 K at the inner edge of generating IR-radiation near 3 to 10 μ m. Viscous dissipation would contribute to the IR emission, though radiation from the central star seems to be the dominant process [460]. Therefore mass accretion rates are determined from accretion flows near the stellar surface rather than from disk emissivity [323].

Matter that moves closer to the stellar surface (i.e., beyond an inner radius of 3–4 stellar radii) gets heated to higher temperatures where it dissociates and ionizes. The size of this inner region does depend on the stellar mass (see Fig. 6.4), but is usually of the order of 0.1 AU. It is entirely dominated by



Fig. 6.13. Schematic impression of a classical T Tauri stellar environment. Dominant in size ($\sim 100 \text{ AU}$) is an accretion disk. The circumstellar disk emits at radio, mm, sub-mm, and far-IR wavelengths. The accretion disk itself radiates at IR, specifically near $1 - 5 \,\mu m$. The radiation from the central source at shorter wavelengths may reflect and scatter at the circumstellar disk. The local environment of the PMS star is characterized by the interaction of the turbulent magnetic field with the inner accretion disk. The stellar magnetic field not only disrupts the inner disk (at ~ 0.1 AU), but creates accretion streams and columns onto the stellar surface, which may produce accretion shocks. Accretion streams will emit broad-line emission from optical (i.e., H Balmer lines) to X-rays (i.e., O, Ne, Mg, Lyman α). Hot accretion shocked regions may produce continuum emission. The latter has no effect on the effective temperature of the surface, which thus radiates an optical blackbody spectrum. The near stellar magnetic field creates a hot stellar corona, similar to the one observed in the Sun, but with X-ray fluxes that are 1,000 times stronger. Explosive high-energy emission has its origin in magnetic reconnections, which could be caused by rotational twists of the turbulent magnetic field lines.

the stellar magnetosphere which disrupts the inner disk and forces the material flow into accretion streams and accretion columns. Accretion streams are the production site of the optical broad emission line spectrum containing the strong Balmer H α line [341, 607]. Accretion columns are the parts of the accretion stream where the flow is directed down onto the stellar surface basically in free-fall. Here accretion shocks in the free-falling flow with velocities of 150 to 300 km s⁻¹ may heat plasma up to 10⁶ K giving rise to soft X-ray emission [455]. In addition, excess emission is thought to arise from the regions of accretion shocks at the stellar surface, which is thought to be the origin of UV continuum emission at temperatures of 10⁴ K [323, 344]. Most likely, X-rays are generated through coronal heating, similar to X-ray production in the Sun, but at much higher fluxes [238]. In general it has to be acknowledged that the processes responsible for heating the magnetospheric gas and for generating the line emissions are still highly uncertain.

6.3.3 Weak-lined T Tauri Stars

Many of the optical surveys of T-associations recognized the fact that there are a significant number of stars which, though still associated with the starforming region, do not show strong H α emission. These stars are called *weaklined T Tauri stars (WTTS).* In the third catalog of emission line stars of the Orion population [382] applied a distinction criterion against CTTS in terms of an H α line equivalent width of less than 10 Å. Another property of WTTS is that they generally no longer show IR excesses and thus in the SED classification scheme are Class III sources. Consequently it is assumed that WTTS is a generally older population of stars than CTTS. Today it is widely accepted that these stars no longer accrete matter from a circumstellar disk. For quite some time a young post-T Tauri stellar population was discussed in the same context and called naked T Tauri stars (NTTS) and strong X-ray emission helped find and identify many of these objects [875, 236]. Today it is clear that all NTTS are WTTS, though not all WTTS behave like NTTS, which resonates the fact that Class II and III sources span a wide range of physical phenomena [809].

The current perception of the nature of WTTSs is summarized in Fig. 6.14 and goes as follows. The remnant or inactive disk becomes at some point optically thin at all wavelengths and thus any type of IR excess is orders of magnitude smaller than seen in CTTS. Note that before the disk disperses or evolves further into protoplanetary disks, there may still be a remnant disk in WTTS. However, this disk is clearly decoupled from the central region and no accretion takes place. Herein lies the main difference between WTTS and CTTS. Though from the evolutionary standpoint this difference is not a unique one [59] as there are possible PMS stars that never had an accretion disk beyond the birthline. Another possibility would be that accretion only temporarily ceased, although this has not been observed yet. The fact that the optical lines, specifically the Balmer H α line, are weak and show line broadening of much less than 100 km s⁻¹, which is in contrast with the fast accretion streams in CTTS, indicate the different dynamics. Optical lines of low-velocity widths are produced near the stellar surface in the chromosphere of the star [344]. Another consequence is that there is no hot continuum from accretion shocks. This of course does not mean that WTTS are weak emitters. On the contrary, most of the emission comes from the stellar surface and from coronal activity, and specifically high-energy emissions are on the rise.

A large fraction of WTTS are actually detected in X-rays as they are, similarly to CTTS, strong X-ray emitters (see also Chap. 8, [235]). With the launch of ROSAT [845], wide fields specifically in the Taurus–Auriga region were scanned in the wavelength band between 0.1 and 2.5 Å [815, 628, 629, 895].

6.3.4 Herbig-Haro Objects

The detection of *Herbig-Haro (HH)* objects [367, 368, 330, 331] happened soon after the discovery T Tauri stars. Quickly it was realized that the nebula found around the star T Tau and HH objects have remarkable similarities. HH objects, though, are associated with much higher mass outflow rates. HH objects are not stars but rather are produced by high-velocity material ramming into the ambient ISM producing powerful shocks [766]. The source producing these shocks are likely jets and winds emanating from a central star. Though in many cases the nature of the central star is uncertain, it is presumably either a protostar or T Tauri star. Fig. 6.2 shows an example of such an object, other examples can be found in [712, 715] and references therein. Today more than 300 such objects [714] are cataloged and many of them are also associated with *collimated jets* from protostars. The jet phenomenon itself is directly related to HH objects as jets drive the observed outflows. In some cases both the collimated jet as well as the shock fronts in to the ISM are visible, sometimes only the ISM shock is seen. Though both phenomena are associated with embedded IR sources, not all protostars or CTTS have been associated with HH objects and show jets. From the point of stellar evolution HH objects are important as they on the one hand, allow one to identify young star-forming regions, and, on the other hand, aid the study of outflow dynamics in young stars.

6.3.5 FU Orionis Stars

FU Orionis stars [375] came under special scrutiny when it was realized that these systems had some remarkable properties [378]. These stars undergo optical outbursts of several magnitudes, which classified them as specifically variable young stars. That FU Ori stars are young comes from their spatial and kinematic association with star-forming regions. Their optical spectra indicate



Fig. 6.14. Schematic impression of a weak-line T Tauri stellar environment. The near stellar magnetic environment is now dominant, while the accretion and circumstellar disk may still exist in debris form. Thus the IR excess is orders of magnitude lower than in CTTS, likewise for mm and sub-mm emission, though the circumstellar debris still contains large amounts of radiating dust. This causes emission from molecules (e.g., from ice mantles around dust and debris). Since there are no or only very weak accretion streams, broad H Balmer α is also weak – a fact from which the PMS stellar system historically got its name. The stellar surface radiates its optical blackbody spectrum, and the near-stellar magnetic field still creates a hot stellar corona with strong X-ray fluxes. Through an effect of further contraction the magnetosphere may be a bit more compact and magnetic reconnection events causing X-ray flares may become less frequent. Generally the origins of high-energy emission in CTTS and WTTS are highly uncertain and currently heavily under study.

spectral types of late F to G with effective temperatures of ~ 6,000-7,000 K, though their IR characteristics indicate K to M supergiant atmospheres of around 3,000 K. The morphology of these outbursts are quite remarkable as they show rise times of ~ 1 yr and decay time constants of 50–100 yr. Thus not too many objects of this type are known; so far the best studied objects are FU Ori, V1057 Cyg, V1515 Cyg and BBW 76. The latter object has been found to be as bright as it is today for over 100 years [717]. A most comprehensive account of these stars is given in [344] and only a short summary is presented here. Similar outbursts were known to occur in close binaries as a result of accretion disk instabilities.

Though the FU Ori phenomenon is not of binary nature it was realized that these are very young stars with accretion disks which undergo episodes of increased mass accretion rates [342]. The bright optical emission during outburst episodes is thus not from the stellar surface but from the rapidly rotating inner accretion disk witnessed through the observation of doublepeaked absorption lines [342]. To explain the disk temperature one needs to invoke very high mass accretion rates of $10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. In an evolutionary sequence they are likely to be classified as very young PMS stars as indicated in Fig. 6.1. Recent observations of FU Ori and V1057 Cyg challenge this perception [385]. It was concluded that a rapidly rotating star near the edge of stability loosing mass via a powerful wind [516], can at least equally account for the observed line emission. Although a disk or stellar-wind phenomenon as the cause is thus still under discussion, very recent observations of line profiles from the FU Ori star V1057 Cyg indicate good agreement with disk models. Residual discrepancies were qualitatively explained due to turbulent motions in the disk caused by the magnetorotational instability [347].

6.3.6 Herbig Ae/Be Stars

Intermediate mass PMS stars have masses between 2 and 8 M_{\odot} and are called *Herbig Ae/Be (HAEBE) stars.* They are of spectral types between B0 and early F and show emission lines and were discovered in the early 1960s lying in obscured regions and illuminating reflection nebulae [371]. *HAEBEs* appear above the MS in the HR-diagram and are the more massive analogs to T Tauri stars [396, 833]. It has to be emphasized that though all *HAEBEs* are young, not all young A or B type stars are *HAEBEs* [690]. Specifically with the advent of *IRAS* the original characterizations of these stars were adjusted to the point that they are stars with IR excess due to hot and/or cool circumstellar dust [192, 883]. This adjustment clearly excludes classical Ae and Be stars [882]. A limitation of *HAEBE* stars to luminosity classes II to V also eliminates confusion in comparison with evolved Be supergiants, which are massive post-sequence stars with strong equatorial outflows.

HAEBE stars generally share similar long-wavelength properties with the low-mass T Tauri stars, though there are some compelling differences caused by various intrinsic physical properties. The t_{KH} timescale is shorter and thus

HAEBE stars spend much less time contracting towards the main sequence. On the other hand they spend a relatively long time in the prototellar phase before they become visible. This has severe consequences for their intrinsic evolution [653]. Stars in the range between 2.5 M_{\odot} and 4 M_{\odot} are only partially convective. Their lower mass limit with respect to T Tauri stars approximately marks the mass above which the stars have already developed a radiatively stable core as they begin to engage in quasi-static contraction. Unlike their low-mass cousins, which are fully convective and have had time enough to relax thermally once they appear optically, HAEBE stars undergo a rather critical phase of global relaxation. As a consequence these stars appear *underluminous* at first and increase in luminosity, and, as is illustrated in Fig. 6.4, undergo significant radius expansion before contracting towards the MS. This is shown in Fig. 6.15. While the Hayashi phase is skipped almost entirely, the stars move upwards in the HRD [656]. More massive stars are intrinsically fully radiative. Above stellar masses of about 4 M_{\odot} stars are intrinsically already fully radiative and start to contract towards the main sequence under their own gravity and all the above happened on a somewhat faster scale before the star becomes visible.

Above about 8 to 10 M_{\odot} stars should burn hydrogen before they could become visible, although here the entire birthline concept becomes obsolete. Stars more massive are almost impossible to predict as there is hardly any way to identify the stars' arrival at the birthline not to speak of arriving at the ZAMS. The study of *HAEBE* stars is in this respect an important link to understand more massive stars and with intermediate masses they serve as an interface between low- and high-mass star formation. There are many issues between theory and observation that are clearly not understood. For example, from the birthlines derived [653, 76], the data in the HRD would not require more than moderate pre-PMS mass accretion rates in line with the rates needed for low-mass stars, which is also corroborated by theoretical considerations [656]. In contrast, from the modeling of SEDs it is indicated that *HAEBE* and more massive stars should have accordingly higher accretion rates [396]. In many respects, further studies of *HAEBE* stars should prove fruitful in understanding the early evolution of massive stars.

6.4 Binaries

Much of what has been illustrated so far dealt with the properties of single young stars. It is general astronomical knowledge that in the solar neighborhood about 60 percent of the stars are part of either a binary or multiple system and there is no reason to believe that this is exceptional throughout the Galaxy. This means that many protostars and PMS stars are actually binaries. In fact, recent surveys of star-forming regions have concluded that this is indeed the case [1, 941]. Summaries of a variety of observational results about the binary nature of PMS stars have been compiled recently [99, 941]. In



Fig. 6.15. HRD of Herbig Ae/Be stars for two different data sets. The solid symbols are stars with parallaxes measured recently by *Hipparcos* (from [864]). The circles are a few hot and massive stars from a sample from [77]. The PMS tracks are from [653] for stars from 1.5 to 15 M_{\odot} , the birthline (approximate) represents an accretion rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and was drawn from [76].

general, the ubiquity of binary nature suggests that multiplicity is a significant process in star formation (see i.e., [716, 790]).

6.4.1 Binary Frequency

Comparisons between PMS and MS binaries are useful indicators of binary evolution. The main categories for such a comparison are frequency, orbital parameters, and mass ratio. In general such comparisons are still relatively rare. Mass ratios cannot yet be compared as these have not yet been reliably established for many PMS stars. Binary periods have very similar distributions to MS stars though the studied sample is still relatively sparse [555].

The question of how binary separations of PMS stars compare with MS stars in different star-forming regions is intriguing. Any significant variations would impact not only on formation scenarios but also their evolution. Although surveys of binary separations of PMS stars are difficult and rare, there are a few results that could have ramifications for their formation. For example, different star-forming environments seem to to exhibit variations in binary frequency. Young binary stars in large-scale regions appear twice as frequent whereas in confined and clustered regions that frequency is in good agreement with MS binaries [294, 475, 674, 123]. PMS binary separations in the solar neighborhood have been measured to be between 15 and and 1,800 AU [294]. Within some of the studies there is some indication that various regions also show enhancements for certain separation ranges. It has to be acknowledged that the real account of close binaries is still biased as separations < 15 AU, even in regions as close as *Taurus–Auriga*, are very difficult to observe [941]. A recent study of radial velocities from RASS sources near Taurus-Auriga found a strong excess of short-period binaries, which is likely a selection effect due to X-ray brightness [841]. Thus a regional dependence of over- or underabundances towards MS stars is uncertain and still the subject of research.

6.4.2 PMS Properties of Binaries

Of all that is known about PMS binaries is that they and their members behave like CTTS and WTTS described above. The binary components possess circumstellar disks likely even allowing for planet formation. One of the issues of interest is *coevality*, meaning whether there exist binaries in which the primary is a CTTS and the secondary has already further evolved into a WTTS. Several studies showed that this is not likely to happen and PMS binaries are throughout coeval [122]. Figure 6.16 demonstrates this by plotting six examples into to the HR-diagram. Besides the visible bias introduced by an unresolved binary nature it shows that pairs of primary and secondary stars within measurement uncertainties align well with isochrones. In a previous study only 60 percent of stars in the sample were recognized as coeval [335]. Here, however, the study included wide and very wide binaries which are not likely physical but just optical pairings.

Surveys of protostellar binaries yet do not exist and only a few such entities have been found, though some of them are of higher multiplicity [520, 273, 535, 832]. The separations found range from 42 AU to 2,800 AU.

6.4.3 Formation of Binaries

From the observations decribed above it seems sensible to assume that binaries form prior to the PMS stage and that there is no random pairing of field stars. Properties like coevality or the higher binary frequency in large regions hardly allow a different conclusion and a most likely scenario is that of a correlated formation following fragmentation. Physical processes involved in



Fig. 6.16. Measured binary stars in the HRD. PMS tracks range from $0.1 M_{\odot}$ to $0.5 M_{\odot}$, isochrones from log t [yr] or 5 to 8. The unfilled and solid circles show the HRD positions of the primary and secondary binary members once resolved. The hexagons show the measurement results of the previously unresolved systems.

binary fragmentation are not much different to what has been discussed so far, and there are again radiative, gravitational, and magnetic effects that matter. The isothermal phase is most favorable for fragmentation. Specifically the transition between isothermal and adiabiatic phases, with regard to the fragment masses produced during the collapse, is critical [111]. Once nonspherical structures develop and collapse is slowed or maybe even halted, fragmentation can occur [517]. The exact process is still unclear. But it has been pointed out that in the case that rotation becomes important at the the final stages of the isothermal phase, the central density distribution flattens into a disk which ultimately fragments [515](see [99] and references therein).

Fragmentation during protostellar collapse can produce binaries with a wide range of periods, giving the large range of possible initial parameters with respect to velocity distributions, densities, angular momentum, and geometry [110, 111, 133, 112]. Most scenarios calculated so far result in various structures ranging from ring-like structures to multiple systems. Binary formation in most of these simulations seem to prefer binaries with rather wide separations or the order of 100–1,000 AU, whereas the observed close binary

population is yet hard to reproduce. A comprehensive summary of the current status of numerical simulations to solve this problem is outlined in [99].

Accretion Phenomena and Magnetic Activity in YSOs

The last decade was full of new developments and concepts leading to the present understanding of physical processes in YSOs. While the early stages prior to collapse were governed by large-scale turbulence, magnetic fields and the presence of dust it seems more and more evident that the processes in the aftermath are once again dominated by these constituents. Dust, magnetic fields, and turbulent processes are within the ingredients that characterize the vicinity of protostars. The main contributing processes relate to *accretion*, which is a turbulent process, as well as to *stellar* and *disk magnetic fields*. Omnipresent again is dust, which is constantly modified by radiative heating and grain–grain collisions [114]. Grain properties change dramatically during the later stages of PMS stellar evolution due to a manifold of physical and chemical processes. For now the discussion of some of these processes will be postponed to appear within the context of protoplanetary disks in the final chapter.

The previous chapters guided the reader from the birth sites of stars out of the darkness of molecular clouds through a sequence of processes that were initiated by self-gravitational collapse and led to a radiating protostellar system, like the rise of the Phoenix from the ashes. This rise gave way from dusty molecular cores radiating at temperatures of 10 K to young stellar systems with emission across the entire electromagnetic spectrum and temperatures ranging from 10 K to 10 million K. This chapter investigates in further detail the key phenomena of accretion processes of protostars and PMS stars. Considered interactions focus on stellar and disk magnetic fields and emissivities at various wavelengths. Special emphasis again is placed on processes producing high-energy emissions.

7.1 Accretion Disks

The physics of accretion disks is exhaustively discussed in the literature [264, 344] and many details may therefore not be repeated here. It has been



Fig. 7.1. Hubble WFPC2 images of the close CTTS TW Hya. The left image shows the raw image, the right image the same but with instrumental distortions subtracted. The residual image shows the face-on disk of the stars. From Krist et al. [487].

shown in Chap. 5 that the collapse of rotating and magnetized clouds will inevitably result in the formation of accretion disks. Disk accretion thus dominates the evolution of protostars and PMS stars for a substantial fraction of their evolution (see Chap. 6). Observationally the presence of disks around T Tauri stars is well established and has been proven most recently in stunning optical images of protoplanetary disks (see Chap. 10). Interferometric images of disks around well-known T Tauri stars, including TW Hya (see Fig. 7.1) [480, 810, 487, 789, 907], have now emerged as well. The following sections highlight a few physical aspects relevant for the understanding of protostellar accretion disks.

7.1.1 Mass Flow, Surface Temperature, and SEDs

A key function of an accretion disk is its ability to store and transport large amounts of matter and to regulate its release onto the central stellar object. Masses of accretion disks can vary by large amounts depending on the mass of the collapsing cloud and on how much time has elapsed during the evolution of the YSO. From observations, disk masses of Class II sources are best known with typical values of ~ 0.02 M_{\odot} [68, 26, 645]. Masses for Class 0 and I are less known simply because they cannot be separated from envelope masses. A recent survey by [536, 537] shows that the majority of the mass in these objects is still in the envelopes and the associated disk mass accounts for 10 percent of the envelope mass at most (see also [69] and references therein). For massive systems disk masses may even be 30 percent of the stellar mass and as much as 15 M_{\odot} [403], though calculations confirming such a number are still outstanding [935].



Fig. 7.2. Schematic drawing of some properties of a steady geometrically thin, optically thick inner accretion disk. The right-hand side of the drawing illustrates the geometry and matter flow. Matter follows almost circular orbits with $v_{\phi} \sim v_K$ and v_R highly subsonic ensuring a slow (in comparison to free-fall) progression of matter towards the star. This can only work if angular momentum is transferred outwards. In the standard picture of accretion this can be achieved through viscous stresses. In protostellar disks the necessary viscosity may be generated through the *Balbus–Hawley* (MRI) instability. The left-hand side of the drawing illustrates how this instability is generated with the help of the disk magnetic field. Magnetic field lines normal to the disk plane get distorted by the velocity gradient between two adjacent disk orbits causing a net drag effect. J here is the transported angular momentum.

Most analytical descriptions assume geometrically thin disks. Thin is defined by the vertical height of the disk H at all radial positions to be always very much smaller than the radial extent of the disk (i.e., $H \ll R_{disk}$). This condition allows a decoupling of the vertical scale from the radial-azimuthal plane in the treatment of the disk structure. Also, any matter in the disk moves in almost circular (*Keplerian*) orbits within one single plane. Such a configuration is shown in Fig. 7.2. Velocities in azimuthal and radial direction, v_{ϕ} and v_r respectively, characterize the matter flow. v_{ϕ} is almost equal to the local *Kepler velocity* making the circular flow highly supersonic:

$$v_{\phi} \sim v_K = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}} \gg c_s \tag{7.1}$$

On the other hand, the radial flow v_r toward the central star has to be highly subsonic [264] indicating the presence of viscosity ν in the matter flow. Using the α parametrization [774] with $\alpha \sim 0.01$, the radial velocity amounts to:

150 7 Accretion Phenomena and Magnetic Activity in YSOs

$$v_r = \frac{\nu}{r} = \alpha c_s \frac{H}{r} \tag{7.2}$$

Typical values from these relations give azimuthal velocities between 1 and some 100 km s⁻¹ and radial velocities of < 0.01 km s⁻¹ for sonic speeds below 1 km s⁻¹. Observations of disk kinematics are difficult [605], but measurements so far confirm fast azimuthal velocities exceeding 2 km s⁻¹ [640, 69, 744]. It may also be useful to keep track of specific timescales in the evolution of disks based on these assumptions, which are [622]:

$$t_{dyn} \sim \frac{r}{v_{\phi}} \sim 0.2 \left(\frac{r}{[1\mathrm{AU}]}\right)^{3/2} \mathrm{yr}$$

$$t_{th} \sim \frac{r}{c_s} \sim 8 \left(\frac{r}{[1\mathrm{AU}]}\right)^{11/8} \mathrm{yr}$$

$$t_{\nu} \sim \frac{r}{v_r} \sim 4 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{R}{[1\mathrm{AU}]}\right)^{5/4} \mathrm{yr}$$
(7.3)

Here t_{dyn} is the *dynamical* timescale it takes to lead an accreted portion of material into a disk ring, t_{th} the *thermal* timescale characterizing the progression of thermal instabilities, and t_{ν} the *viscous* timescale which is the time it takes to move matter from a radius r to the central star.

Viscous transport is one of the least understood physical processes in accretion disk theory (see discussion in [344]), and for the purposes here can be avoided by assuming a steady optically thick disk. Steady in this respect means that the disk evolves through a persistent flow where the accretion rate is approximately constant in the inner regions of the disk. The luminosity of the disk within a radius r from the central star of mass M and radius R can be formulated using (6.7) and the virial theorem to:

$$L_{grav} = \frac{GMM}{2R} = \frac{1}{2}L_{acc} \tag{7.4}$$

Assuming that the optically thick disk radiates in LTE, the surface temperature of the inner regions of the disk can then be estimated to:

$$\sigma T_{surf}^4 = \frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi r^3} \left(1 - \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{1/2}\right) \tag{7.5}$$

which has a maximum near 1.36 R at a temperature of:

$$T_{max} = 0.488 \left(\frac{3GM\dot{M}}{8\pi\sigma R^3}\right)^{1/4} \\ \sim 6800 \left(\frac{M}{[1M_{\odot}]}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{[10^{-6}M_{\odot}\mathrm{yr}^{-1}]}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{R}{[2R_{\odot}]}\right)^{-3/4} \mathrm{K} \quad (7.6)$$



Fig. 7.3. Maximum temperature regimes of the *inner* surface (near the protostar) of a steady geometrically thin, optically thick accretion disk based on (7.6). The top bar refers to an inner disk radius of 2 R_{\odot} , the bottom bar to a radius of 12 R_{\odot} . The top boundary corresponds to a mass accretion rate of $10^{-4} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and marks very early protostellar phases, the bottom boundary corresponds to to $10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and likely represents Class II objects. The dotted line in between marks a rate of $10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. The top case should be rather unlikely as it is assumed that the disk reaches the stellar surface. The bottom case assumes that the disk is terminated by a magnetosphere of a few stellar radii.

Figure 7.3 illustrates what inner disk temperatures may be expected for various stellar radii R, stellar masses M, and accretion rates \dot{M} . The upper regime assumes a radius of 2 R_{\odot} for a contracting T Tauri star and that the disk reaches the stellar surface through a narrow boundary layer. The inner disk temperature only depends on the energy a particle must lose when brought from the outer disk radius to the innermost circular orbit. Energy release in a boundary layer is discussed in [344]. Thus for the top plot regime relatively high temperatures can be reached even in the case of typical accretion rates for T Tauri stars. Accreting protostars have higher disk temperatures according to their higher accretion rates. As described earlier, it is not expected that the disk actually reaches the stellar surface because there will be a strong enough magnetic field to disrupt the disk flow. The case has some relevance for estimating surface temperatures at the bottom of accretion columns which terminate on the stellar surface.

The second regime in Fig. 7.3 considers an inner disk radius of 12 R_{\odot} , which seems more realistic for T Tauri stars. Here the disk surface tempera-



Fig. 7.4. SEDs of a PMS stellar system consisting of the stellar SED for a solar-type star of $\sim 4,000$ K surface temperature and a maximum disk temperature of $\sim 1,000$ K (see Fig. 7.3). At longer wavelengths the disk emission comes from optically thin dust and opacity and hence emission drops sharply .

tures are expected to be much more moderate. The diagram also extends these predictions toward higher masses. Though not incorrect such a projection has to be viewed cautiously, since little is known about the optical properties of massive accretion disks at the protostellar stage.

Once the temperatures are known one can predict the energy spectrum of a PMS stellar system. The SED of the star is equal to blackbody emission at the stellar surface temperature. The SED of the inner disk [26] can be calculated by integrating over all disk annuli radiating a blackbody flux at a temperature given by (7.5), i.e.:

$$L_{\nu} = \cos\theta \int_{R_{in}}^{R_{disk}} \pi B_{\nu} T_{surf} (1 - e^{-\tau_{\nu} \cos\theta}) 2\pi r \mathrm{d}r$$
(7.7)

where R_{in} is the inner disk radius, R_{disk} the disk radius, θ the angle of incidence towards the disk ($\theta = 0$ for a face-on disk), and τ_{ν} the optical thickness a defined by:

$$\tau_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu} \Sigma(r) \tag{7.8}$$

The radial dependence of the disk surface density $\Sigma(r)$ is generally assumed to be a power law, values for κ_{ν} are discussed in Appendix D. As long as the disk remains optically thick the SED at long wavelengths will have a dependence $L_{\nu} \propto \nu^{-1/3} (\lambda L_{\nu} \propto \lambda^{-4/3})$ [344]. For longer wavelengths the dust emission becomes increasingly optically thin and the SED cuts off somewhere near millimeter wavelengths. In general, at larger disk radii the IR flux is entirely dominated by reprocessed radiation from dust. The dust temperature itself has its maximum near its sublimation temperature which lies between 1,500 and 2,000 K. This places an inner disk radius beyond which dust cannot exist.

7.1.2 Disk Instabilities

One of the biggest obstacles in the understanding of astrophysical accretion disks always has been the problem of angular momentum transport. An extensive review of the detailed physics of disk instabilities has recently be compiled by S. A. Balbus [47]. The common credo is that the transport has to be realized through some kind of disk viscosity. Here is where the problem starts as it is not a straightforward matter to invoke such a viscous flow in protostellar accretion disks. Ordinary viscosity on the molecular level can be ruled out as associated timescales are much too long for the anticipated disk sizes. Quite popular for high-gravity compact accretion disks in X-ray binaries, which are semidetached binary systems containing a main sequence star and either a neutron star or a black hole, was the α disk model developed by N. Shakura and R. Sunyaev in 1973 [774]; in absence of a detailed theory they characterized viscous stress through a dimensionless parameter α . The train of thought here was that differential rotation would create enough shear-turbulence to transport momentum. Though this is probably true, the problem is that one has to provide for local instabilities that provide a Keplerian rotation profile [47]. Shear-turbulence is highly correlated with radial and azimuthal velocity fluctuations [264]. Other causes for *turbulent viscosity* have been considered. One example is viscosity invoked by disk convection [530], though the effects specifically for T Tauri disks are not clear (see [344] for details).

Key for all viscosity considerations is the *Reynolds number* (*Re*), which is defined through the ratio of inertial and viscous forces and thus also the ratio between the first and second term on the left-hand side in (A.24) [264]:

$$Re = \frac{F_{inert}}{F_{viscous}} \tag{7.9}$$

If $Re \ll 1$ viscosity dominates the flow, if $Re \gg 1$ it is unimportant. In the case of ordinary molecular viscosity this number is of the order of 10^{14} . There is a critical Re in laboratory fluids below which turbulence sets in and which is of the order of 10 to 10^3 . Thus, one has to look for a process that drops Re by over ten orders of magnitude while retaining Keplerian rotation.

Disks around protostars are less compact and very much larger in size than their X-ray binary cousins with disk radii scaling by factors 10^{2-3} . They are also likely magnetized as they are threaded by the original field of the collapsing cloud (see Chap. 5). Thus protostellar disks may not be so much affected by gravitational but rather by magneto-hydrodynamic instabilities unless one considers disks still embedded in the collapsing envelope fed by high accretion rates [37]. Even weak magnetic fields can lead to a magnetorotational instability (MRI) [869, 44, 45], sometimes also called Balbus-Hawley instability [344]. Though its theoretical roots have been in the literature for a long time [869, 160], its impact on protostellar accretion disks has been acknowledged only recently. The instability creates viscosity through unstable rotation profiles in disks where the angular velocity decreases outward. Several studies have shown in this respect that a laminar Keplerian flow can turn turbulent [353, 121, 36, 354]. As Balbus [47] states:

The MRI is the only instability to be capable of producing and sustaining the enhanced stress needed for accretion to proceed on viable time scales in nonselfgravitating disks.

One of the decisive differences in the MRI treatment of accretion disks here is that it is not considered as a rotating fluid with a magnetic field in the classical sense but as a magnetized fluid under rotation (see also Appendix B). A full formal description of the transport equations goes way beyond the scope of this book. The interested reader will find a full set of equations to describe the MHD of disks in [47]. They are basically the ones described in Sect. 5.1.2 adding terms for the magnetic energy density $\left(\frac{B^2}{4\pi}\right)$ and adding the induction equation (B.10). The azimuthal component of the equation of motion is then a direct expression of angular momentum conservation [47]. The MRI effect is a relatively simple one. Consider two adjacent Keplerian orbits in the disk. A field line threaded between the two orbits gets stretched towards the inner orbit by the faster azimuthal velocity (see Fig. 7.2). The field line will resist the shear resulting in a net drag in the outer orbit subsequently increasing the outer azimuthal velocity and thus transporting angular momentum outward. Here is the crucial element of the process as it is the angular momentum transport itself that causes the instability and its growth. Thus, however weak the disk magnetic field may be, as long as there is some ionized fraction in the disk threaded by the magnetic field, an instability will grow in a Keplerian disk.

7.1.3 Ionization of Disks

The consequences for disks in evolving protostars and PMS stars are likely manifold and the details are still not entirely clear. As collapse calculations assert that disks grow rapidly and angular momentum is effectively removed from the inner disk, it is now evident that disk magnetic fields should be present throughout the later phases of PMS evolution. One train of thought, for example, is that eventually there are areas in the disk in which the ionization fraction drops below a limit where the magnetic field does not effectively connect with the disk flow. Similar to (7.9) one can define a *magnetic Reynolds number* Rm [264], which [270] define as:

$$Rm = \frac{c_s H}{2\eta_o} \tag{7.10}$$

where H is the disk thickness (see Fig. 7.2) and η_o the ohmic resisitivity as defined by [89]. For a vertical field the critical magnetic Reynolds number is about 100 corresponding to ionization fractions of the order of $10^{-12} - 10^{-13}$. Once the ionization fraction drops significantly below such a limit magnetic turbulence cannot be sustained, viscosity decreases and matter transport stops. These effects are of specific interest in the later, possibly protoplanetary, stages.

One way to ionize disk matter is through internal thermal processes. Using (7.5) one can see that the surface temperature of the disk within 1 AU is larger than 10^3 K. It was shown using the Saha equation (A.52) that an ionization fraction of the order of 10^{-12} can be maintained for temperatures larger than 1,400 K (i.e., close to 1 AU) assuming that the ionization due to thermal electrons is provided by alkali atoms with low-ionization potential [46]. Another way to ionize the disk is through external radiation fields. Here the conventional mechanisms considered for molecular clouds, which are cosmic rays and the UV field of nearby massive stars may be effective [742]. For example, one study finds that under certain circumstances cosmic rays can support disk accretion rates of the order of $10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ [283]. However the dominant source is the field from the central PMS star. The impact of this irradiation is evident in the IR/sub-mm dust excess emission in PMS stars. Another likely contributor to the ionization fraction is the irradiation through high energy X-rays (see Chap. 8). The impact of X-rays from the central star has been realized and [298] recently argued that X-ray irradiation alone may provide sufficient ionization to sustain the Balbus–Hawley instability. In fact, it is argued that cosmic rays and extra-stellar fields may not contribute as they may be swept away by particle winds from the stellar magnetosphere quite similar to the solar wind (see also below).

The disk ionization fraction produced by X-rays involves ionization and recombination in abundant heavy elements. Thus depending on the evolutionary state of the stellar system many heavy elements may be more or less locked away in grains and effects like grain growth, mantle formation and sedimentation become important. Considering the setting illustrated in Fig. 7.5 and separating the geometrical dependencies from the energy dependence, one can formulate a complex product for the ionization rate [298, 299]. For the early stages, where most of the disk material is in gas form, the X-ray ionization rate \mathcal{N}_{XR} can be formulated as:

$$\mathcal{N}_{XR} = F(r) \times \mathcal{L}_{XR}(E) \tag{7.11}$$



Fig. 7.5. Geometric set-up to calculate the X-ray ionization rate in protostellar disks. The assumption is that X-ray sources originate somewhere near the stellar magnetosphere $\sim 10 R_{\odot}$ above the disk plane. The X-rays are characterized by the shape of the spectrum g(e) and the integrated X-ray luminosity L_x . Radiation hits somewhere in the middle of the optically thick disk at a distance of about 1 AU. The response then depends on the irradiated flux, atomic physics, and geometry. Adapted from Glassgold et al. [298].

where F(r) is the radiation flux hitting the disk as illustrated in Fig. 7.5 and $\Sigma_{XR}(E)$ contains the detailed physics of the X-ray source and irradiated site. This function then contains all the atomic physics and geometric conditions.

7.1.4 Flared Disks and Atmospheres

The considerations above assumed that the disk is geometrically thin for all radii. In many cases, probably also depending on evolutionary state, this assumption is not entirely valid. It has been emphasized that many, even low-mass, accretion disks can appear *flared* at large radial distances [458], in contrast to the suggestion that specifically flat IR spectra in some YSOs are indicative of massive accretion disks [4]. It has also been shown that intermediate-mass stars like Herbig Ae/Be stars are surrounded by massive accretion disks with geometrically thin inner disks of up to a few ten stellar radii and optically thin outer portions [396]. Aside from mass transport in optically thin disks it is quite likely that disks possess optically thin components and layers which may be attributed to remnants of infalling envelopes, heated disk flaring and atmospheres, disk winds, and evaporation activity.

Another aspect that introduces vertical disk structure stems from the very assumption that MRI indeed is responsible for the redistribution of angular

momentum. The disk is then divided into an *active layer* next to the surface of the disk and a *dead zone* centered on the midplane. The active layer is penetrated by high-energy irradiation generating the necessary ionization fraction. Coupling of the magnetic field withing this layer causes the MRI and thus allows accretion of matter [283, 258]. The boundary between these two zones is likely sensitive to physical and chemical properties of the disk [270]. A recent study, in this respect, introduced the concept of three distinct layers by adding a chemically active intermediate layer between the surface and dead layer [773].

In the steady disk model the scale H/r already increases with radius as $(H/r)^2 \propto c_s^2 r \propto r^{-3/4}$ and given the temperature dependence in (7.5) leads to $H/r \propto r^{1/8}$. For large disks such a small dependence is nonetheless significant and the difference in scale height between an inner and outer disk radius can be a factor 5. Disk flaring primarily depends on the temperature distributions on the disk surface as well as its emerging vertical structure. If a disk flares through reprocessing of radiation from the central star it is required that there be a sufficient amount of dust intermixed with the disk gas. The surface height of a moderately flared disk [458] is expressed through:

$$h_d(r) = 0.1 \left(\frac{r}{R_{star}}\right)^z,\tag{7.12}$$

which for moderate flaring (z = 9/8) results in typical disk heights between 0.2 R_{star} at the inner disk rim to a few 10 AU at the outer disk rim. Numerical calculations of SEDs of flaring disks show that IR excesses sensitively depend on z, which can be as high as z = 5/4.

Much of what is known in terms of the internal mechanisms and interactions between the central star and the disk is still in the realm of speculation. In the context of surface properties of protostellar disks there are a few facts to build upon, much of which has been reviewed by [407]. PMS stars have strong stellar winds resulting from the interaction of a rotating magnetosphere and the inner disk (see [780] for a review). Though the outflow is more collimated towards the rotational poles in protostars, observed momentum losses in outflows at later evolutionary stages suggest that the outflow may be less beamed [106]. T Tauri emit a significant EUV, FUV and X-ray continua likely stemming from accretion shocks at the base of accretion columns (see below and Fig. 6.13)

The persistent irradiation and subsequent absorption of stellar luminosity at the disk surface is the dominant mechanism to heat the disk. Specifically, EUV photons tend to photoionize neutral hydrogen. As a result an ionized atmosphere would form with a scale height of [403]:

$$h_a(r) = R_g \left(\frac{r}{R_g}\right)^{3/2} \tag{7.13}$$



Fig. 7.6. Schematic representation of an irradiated flared disk. Below the radius R_g where matter remains bound by the gravity of the central star an optically thin atmosphere develops. Above this radius flared matter may escape and form some kind of slow wind. Adapted from Hollenbach et al. [403].

where R_g marks the radius where the atmosphere is still bound by the star. Beyond that radius ionized hydrogen may diffuse into an extended unbound atmosphere or surface layer (Fig. 7.6). This escape radius can be expressed as [9]:

$$R_g = 60 \left(\frac{M}{[M_{\odot}]}\right) \left(\frac{T}{[10^3 \text{ K}]}\right)^{-1} \text{ AU}$$
(7.14)

It should be noted that specifically in younger systems there may be an inner disk atmoshere which would not be penetrable by EUV photons. Here irradiation by FUV and X-ray photons need to be considered [9, 408]. The bound atmosphere is optically thin and its number density satisfies the barometric law with a base density of [403]:

$$n_0(r) = 4 \times 10^7 \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{UV}}{[10^{49} \text{ s}^{-1}]}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_{star}}{[10 \ M_{\odot}]}\right)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{r_g}{r}\right)^{3/2} \text{cm}^{-3}$$
(7.15)

where \mathcal{N}_{UV} is the EUV photon field in Lyman continuum photons per second from the central star. For a solar mass star this means that densities at r_g can be as high as 10^9 cm^{-3} , which is what is found in the solar corona. Beyond the bound atmosphere mass will diffuse off in a slow disk wind with a velocity close to c_s and a mass loss rate close to r_q of:

$$\dot{M}_{ph} = 3 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{UV}}{[10^{49} \text{s}^{-1}]}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_{star}}{[10M_{\odot}]}\right)^{1/2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}.$$
 (7.16)

Disk mass loss rates thus scale with the square root of the EUV radiation field and can be substantial at times.

7.1.5 Dispersal of Disks

It has been shown above that the termination of disk accretion is a matter of halting viscous transport of angular momentum and that this is independent of whatever mechanism was providing this viscosity. The ultimate fate of such a disk may then be the formation of planets. Recent data provide and estimate for the lifetime of disks around low-mass stars which is estimated to $\sim 6 \times 10^6$ yr [326]. Hollenbach [407] points out there are several basic processes to terminate an accretion disk besides reaching an ultimate fate of planet formation:

- complete accretion of disk material onto the central star;
- removal of enough disk material due to the effects of winds;
- stripping off disk material due to close stellar encounters; and
- photoevaporation due to UV radiation from the central source or a close companion.

The first item may not happen too often as the viscous timescale in (A.9) for an active accretion disk of the size of a few 100 AU is comparable to or larger than the time a solar mass star requires to reach the ZAMS. The second item has been discussed above and though it has been postulated as a possible mechnism [144, 223, 410], the disk dispersal timescale through wind stripping is more than an order of magnitude too large [407]. The third item, which addresses the impact of stellar encounters, though generally ineffective as well, has an intriguing notion with respect to dense young stellar clusters as well as binary or multiple stellar evolution. Tidal disruption of an accretion disk though 3-body interactions have been addressed in some detail [168, 327]. Assuming that the timescale to truncate the disk radius to about 30 percent of its original size is inversely proportional to the cluster density and velocity dispersion of stars, a stellar density of 10^4 stars pc⁻³ would produce an encounter every 20 million years. The stellar density within the central 0.1 pc of the Orion Trapezium cluster reaches about half of this density [397].

7.1.6 Photoevaporation of Disks

The discussion of the *photoevaporation* of disks through EUV irradiation involves several different aspects. These involve the possibility of strong winds from low-mass stars or winds from massive central stars evaporating their circumstellar disk. Many young massive stars are actually embedded into ultracompact HII regions and evaporation can extend to their circumstellar envelopes. For quite some time it was assumed that it is mostly EUV radiation that is relevant for irradiative and evaporative action in disks. However, it is now understood that depending on the location of the disk (i.e., within, outside or independent of r_g) one has to consider EUV, FUV and X-ray wavelengths [404, 819, 9, 408]. Besides the destruction of their own disk and envelopes, massive stars have winds strong enough to destroy star-disk systems in their neighborhood as well. This aspect will be of interest in Chap. 10 and here only mechanisms where the protostar evaporates its own disk are discussed.

Photoevaporation has specific relevance toward the accretion history of massive stars, though some of it may also apply for low-mass stars with exceptionally strong winds. However, it should be noted that the mechanisms for photoevaporative disk winds within low-mass stars are highly uncertain and evidence is at best circumstantial. It also has been pointed out that photoevaporation is effective in removing disk material at radii larger than ~ 10 AU, inner material has to be removed by viscous accretion [404, 343, 171, 259].

Information on the evolution of accretion disks in massive stars is still entirely based on theoretical considerations. Collapse calculations show that also in the case of massive stars strong accretion disks may evolve through some kind of angular momentum transport [935] and magnetic fields [281, 617]. How these massive disks transport angular momentum is highly uncertain. Magnetic instabilities, at least for the thick outer parts, are less feasible as there may not be sufficient penetration of radiation to produce enough ionization. Some more momentum may be carried off through a more powerful wind as has been observed specifically for massive YSOs (see Sect. 7.1.7). Alternatively some simulations [935] (Sect. 5.4.3) apply tidally induced gravitational torques. Similarly, the disk geometry in massive disks may not be as thin as has been discussed in the context of less massive stars. For starters, it can be assumed that the disk is geometrically thin at least near the central star. That this is the case for stars up to ~ 10 M_{\odot} has been shown [396], though from Fig. 5.9 it can be deduced that thickness grows quite rapidly with radius.

Very important in this respect is the fact that the evolution of massive stars proceeds so much faster than in low-mass stars and thus timescales are most relevant. Massive stars above 6 M_{\odot} can reach the ZAMS as early as between 4×10^4 yr and 4×10^5 yr (see Table A.9). The time of growth of an accretion disk under the assumption that the stellar wind sets in at full power when the star hits the ZAMS [763] is then limited to radii between 100 and 2,000 AU, depending on what calculation is used (see Chap. 5). The wind's ram pressure prevents any atmosphere from achieving much scale height as long as the wind radius r_w , which is defined as the radius where the thermal pressure of the ionized hydrogen balances the ram pressure of the wind [403]:



Fig. 7.7. Illustration of a system where the central massive star evaporates its immediate environment consisting a massive disk and maybe a residual outer envelope. In disk evaporation the ram pressure of the stellar wind pushes ionized atmospheric matter beyond the limit R_g where it is gravitationally bound until it is counterbalanced by thermal pressure. At this point matter is removed from the disk. Beyond R_w diffuse irradiation also causes disk evaporation. Similarly the EUV field and the ram pressure of the stellar wind will eventually disrupt any residual outer envelope.

$$r_w \simeq 13 \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{wind}}{[10^{-7}M_{\odot}]}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v_w}{[1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}]}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{UV}}{[10^{49} \text{ s}^{-1}]}\right)^{-1} \text{AU}$$
(7.17)

is larger than R_g (see Equation 7.13). Equation 7.17 shows that a strong wind does not simply imply high mass-loss rates and high wind velocities. Considering the fact that a strong EUV field creates more ionized hydrogen this will increase thermal pressure and ultimately counterbalance the ram pressure of the wind. It should be kept in mind that mass-loss rates in stellar winds and EUV fields are not entirely independent and smaller mass-loss rates imply also smaller EUV fields. Most B-stars, though having only moderate mass-loss rates and wind velocities, also have smaller EUV fields than Ostars. Massive stars generally have mass-loss rates \dot{M}_w between 10^{-5} and 10^{-7} M_{\odot} yr⁻¹ and wind velocities v_w between 1,000 and 3,200 km s⁻¹ [413]. Thus as long as mass-loss rates in the wind are substantially higher than $\sim 1 \times 10^{-7}$ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, r_w will always be larger than R_g in O and B stars. Otherwise r_w is near or less than R_g and Equation 7.16 is valid. The photoevaporation rate in the strong wind case is then given by [403]:

$$\dot{M}_{ph} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\dot{M}_w}{[10^{-7} M_{\odot}]} \frac{v_w}{[1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}]} \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}_{UV}}{[10^{49} \text{ s}^{-1}]}\right)^{-1/2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$$
(7.18)

This rather approximate expression implies two things. One is that the evaporation rate is larger or of the order of the mass loss of the stellar wind. The other one, more importantly, is that it may take of the order of 10^{5-6} yr to evaporate the entire disk in young massive stars. Recent numerical simulations also indicate that dust scattering of ionizing photons in the outer circumstellar disk increases the photoevaporation rate significantly [722].

7.1.7 MHD Disk Winds and Jets

There are many ways for protostellar disks to actually lose mass rather than accrete onto the protostar. Specifically in younger evolutionary stages mass is carried out of the system by *bipolar outflows* and *jets* [497, 603], which are both now known to be very common in deeply embedded protostars as well as CTTS. In later stages, specifically past the CTTS stage, mass loss may be achieved through disk evaporation mechanisms (see below). High-velocity winds in T Tauri stars were first detected about 40 years ago [372] and even today their origins are still quite poorly understood. More than 200 sources with bipolar outflows are known from CO observations [721, 924] and their morphology as well as dynamical properties offer a wide range of properties. A comprehensive review of magnetized winds and the origins of bipolar outflows was given by M. Camenzind [142]. Here it was argued that magnetized winds ejected from the surface of disks will be collimated by magnetic effects on scales typically larger than the light cylinder radius for these objects. It was predicted that protostellar jets have moderate velocities and jet radii of a few hundred AU. Furthermore, simulations indicate that the mass flux is an indicator for the degree of jet collimation [247].

For a long time, the general trend indicated that there was a clear distinction between not well collimated cold neutral outflows with relatively moderate outflow velocities of the order of $< 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and highly collimated ionized jets with flow velocities exceeding 100 km s⁻¹. In recent years, though, it has become more evident that molecular outflows are in fact jet-dominated as they also show high-velocity components ($\sim 30 - 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) tracing jet-like activity inside cavities of lower velocity gas ($\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) [721].

Three empirical correlations can be summarized from many observations:

• Outflow activity declines with progressing age.



Class 0 sources

Fig. 7.8. Various types of outflows observed in low-mass YSOs based on observational accounts. High velocity and very collimated jets are predominantly observed in Class 0 sources. The schematic picture indicates the trend that outflow collimation decreases with progressing age. Similarly, as indicated by the lighter color in the outflow, the flow velocity decreases from the jet cores (100–400 km s⁻¹) to the outer edges of a disk wind (~ 5 km s⁻¹). A full understanding of the mechanisms that drive these outflows is still outstanding, but the general consensus is that it is likely of magnetic origin possibly in connection with rotation. The main processes discussed in this context are centrifugally driven (CD) winds, the X-wind model, and MHD jets and winds as discussed in the text.

- The mass outflow rate \dot{M}_{wind} as well as the outflow velocity increase with the bolometric luminosity of the central source L_{bol} .
- The degree of collimation decreases with outflow rate.

The first correlation repeats what has already been stated in Chap. 6. Molecular outflows are present throughout the embedded phases of protostars and have a high degree of collimation [106] and speeds. Though a tight correlation between outflow energetics and the driving source is found for Class I sources, Class 0 sources produce almost an order of magnitude more powerful outflows. Many of these outflows appear as large bipolar lobes and are not as

fast and highly collimated. These lobes are now understood to be ambient cool material that has been swept up by an intrinsic fast jet or jet fragments. These lobes appear elongated in the direction of the stars magnetic and rotational axis assuming that they are approximately the same. The wind momentum flux is largest in these directions and the density of the ambient material is lowest as most matter is concentrated in the accretion disk. For more in-depth studies of the detailed topology of bipolar lobes reviews by [336] and [220] are recommended. There are outflows observed in Class II sources though even less collimated and weaker in power. To summarize, the first correlation also reflects the fact that the mass outflow rate \dot{M}_{wind} is related to the mass accretion rate through [477]:

$$\dot{M}_{acc} = \left(\frac{r_A}{r_w}\right)^2 \dot{M}_{wind} \tag{7.19}$$

Though this equation is not exact it is close to observed values at least for low luminosity sources. The ratio r_A/r_w between the Alfven radius and the radius to the innermost field lines where the wind emanates (see also Sect. 7.14) is about 3, and thus the outflow rate is about one-tenth of the accretion rate. Equation 7.19 is a result of the basic MHD wind theory describing centrifugally driven (CD) winds in magnetized stars [748, 884, 575] and it applies to magnetized accretion disks [90]. The driving mechanism is sometimes also referred to as the Weber-Davis Model. A detailed review is given by [477].

The second correlation is entirely based on observations and applies for objects as luminous as $10^6 L_{\odot}$ whereas it seems to flatten out for objects with less then $1 L_{\odot}$. Jets associated with low-luminosity YSOs have velocities of up to 400 km s⁻¹ and very small opening angles (dark jet in Fig. 7.8). Several outflows have been observed in Herbig Ae/Be stars and various high-luminosity objects with jet velocities up to three times higher and outflow rates up to 100 times higher [604, 182]. This result, however, signals that simple MHD theory as applied in (7.19) may not be sufficient. Evolutionary projections for massive stars (see Chap. 6) show that accretion rates in these systems may not necessarily be much higher than for low-mass or intermediate-mass systems [656], and thus accretion in massive stars seems much more inefficient, which is reflected in higher outflow rates.

The third correlation reflects a suggestion that an apparent decrease in outflow energetics with progressing evolution reflects a corresponding decay in the mass accretion and infall rate [106]. Though details in this context are very complex and not entirely understood one can look at it in a simple way. With (7.19) a lower accretion rate subsequently leads to lower outflow rates. The reduction in outflow rate leads to an increase of the Alfvén radius since $r_A \propto \dot{M}_{acc}^{-2/7}$ [264]. In order to keep the observed relation between accretion and outflow rate intact, r_w has to move outwards. Under the assumption that the injection speed scales with the azimuthal disk velocity, this means a slow down in the outflow. The fact that this could result in a de-collimation is not so straight forward [859, 647, 726, 573, 247]. However, since the launch site of the wind is pushed further out into the disk where the magnetic field strength rapidly decreases (i.e., $B \propto r^{-3}$), and is less directed towards the stellar axes, one could intuitively speculate that this also results in a less collimated outflow.

More vigorous theoretical treatments of collimated stellar winds reach back to the late 1970s [359]. In Sect. 5.8 one of the more contemporary calculations produced outflows through poloidal fields and centrifugal forces with an entire collapse calculation. Other numerical calculations deal specifically with mechanisms generating outflows [647, 648, 726, 649]. At the base of the jet, gas is injected into a poloidal magnetic field and centrifugally accelerated. Collimation is achieved through pinch forces exerted by the toroidal field generated by the outflow. The velocities achieved for protostellar systems remain yet short of the observed velocities. The nature of such collimated, jet-like outflows can be steady or episodic and is basically determined by what is called the *mass load* of the wind [702, 671]:

$$k = \frac{\mathrm{d}M}{\mathrm{d}\Phi} = \frac{\rho v}{B} \tag{7.20}$$

where v is the speed of the mass flow and Φ the field flux.

7.2 Stellar Rotation in YSOs

The evolution of stellar angular momentum is one of the most problematic issues in the early formation and accretion history. It was predictable from the beginning that the young star must carry angular momentum and engage in spin-ups and spin-downs during its young life. By studying rapidly rotating massive stars in the mass range 3–12 M_{\odot} it was found that increased angular momentum for a given mass has a substantial effect on evolutionary tracks with a mass-luminosity relation that is considerably different from that of non-rotating stars [97]. Models of rapidly rotating low-mass PMS stars that contract homologously to the main sequence also showed discrepances in the projected age between rotating and non-rotating stars [596]. Historically, a real interest in rotational properties of PMS stars was sparked with the discovery that many T Tauri stars rotate much slower than their break-up speed [872]. This came somewhat as a surprise since theoretical considerations called for fast rotators rather than the observed slow rotators at that evolutionary stage. Suggestions right away ranged from winds (see above) as an effective braking mechanism to rotational instabilities in the radiative interior of the stars [337, 231, 809]. Many observations have established a rather confusing picture of rotational behavior of PMS stars [872, 338, 867, 811] and a detailed theoretical treatment going beyond plausibility arguments is still outstanding.

7.2.1 Fast or Slow Rotators?

The issue whether protostars and PMS stars should be fast or slow rotators links the angular momentum history directly to calculations from stellar collapse and the PMF phase. Fast or slow rotation here is measured relative to what would be the surface velocity of a star rotating near its break-up velocity after it has accreted most of its mass somewhere at the end of the accretion phase. Why should protostars during their accretion phase become fast rotators? The answer comes with the design of accretion disks discussed above as well as the specific angular momentum available in the collapsing molecular cloud. One should realize that with respect to the break-up rotational velocity the available angular momentum is almost five orders of magnitude larger. Again, accretion disks are the key to the initial angular momentum budget of the young star. The thin disk paradigm has Keplerian behavior, and assumes that the inner disk radius is approximately equivalent to the radius of the stellar surface at 2 to 5 solar radii. A solar mass star should be spinning up to velocities of $v_K \sim 200-300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ unless its surface already rotates faster than this Keplerian velocity [780]. The latter is unlikely as this velocity is, of course, the protostars break-up speed. Fragmentation has been considered to be a process that would divert enough angular momentum into a binary orbit and allow for slower rotating constituents. It has been shown even in this case that spin angular momentum deposited from a rotating cloud into a binary system can hardly produce protostars rotating below the break-up speed [98, 338]. It is thus possible that accreting protostars somewhere in their accretion lifetime may reach break-up speed and are *fast rotators*. The observational account so far is sparse as rotational properties of Class 0 and I objects are difficult to determine. However, recent observations of deeply embedded protostars indicate many slow-rotating stars as well and a few showed break-up speeds [154, 589].

On the other hand, it is well established that PMS stars, after emerging from the birthline are slow rotators with only about 10 to 20 percent of their break-up speed. There is a significant dependence with protostellar mass, which will be discussed separately below. Moreover, none of the observations so far show CTTS rotating close to break-up [339, 656]. This means that a solar mass star has to lose 80 to 90 percent of its angular momentum in less than its accretion time of $\sim 10^5$ yr. How this is achieved is still entirely unclear and so far none of the proposed mechanisms, such as fragmentation, MHD winds or jets, or some other form of magnetic braking, can satisfactorily explain the effect. Specifically the traditionally assumed disk locking, which assumes that the angular momentum deposited on the protostar is largely removed by a connecting magnetic field seems flawed. Differential rotation tends to significantly reduce the spin-down torque in such a case and it remains questionable if the effect could remove the necessary amount of angular momentum [560].



7.2.2 Contracting Towards the MS

Fig. 7.9. Overview of the domains of various studies of rotational velocities of young stars (K(1979) [483], VK(1981) [872], H(1986) [338], B(1986) [115], HS(1989) [339], G(1992) [314], S(1993) [797], Q(1998) [703]). The general perception is that although PMS stars contract towards the MS they lose net angular momentum on the way. Though the true rotational picture from CTTS to the MS is still fairly unclear, there may be a trend in that the rotational velocity distribution widens.

There are now many studies in the literature that contain information on rotational velocities in PMS stars following the first account by [872]. From these studies it follows that rotational properties of PMS stars contracting toward the MS are complex and various contributions from spin-ups and spin-downs have to be considered. PMS stars are expected to spin-up toward the main sequence as a consequence of contraction. This cannot happen by conserving angular momentum as T Tauri stars in the solar-mass range show between 30 and 200 times the present solar value [338]. Many studies also find that WTTS may rotate generally faster than CTTS. Furthermore, there is a trend in which post-TTS (PTTS), low-mass stars with ages larger than 10 Myr, show a larger spread in rotational velocities than in WTTS [118]. It may be speculated that a widening of the velocity distribution from the T Tauri phase to the ZAMS is indeed a general trend [118, 183] (Fig. 7.9).

Angular momentum loss due to wind ejection cannot account for fast losses as projected during the protostellar phase as here timescales of the order of



Fig. 7.10. The evolution of rotational periods of young solar-mass stars from the CTTS stage towards the Sun's period on the MS. The lowest dashed curve relates to short disk lifetimes, the top curve to very long disk lifetimes. A description of the data and models is found in [116, 118]. Adapted from Bouvier et al. [118].

the KH timescale and higher are required [117]; though it may do so during the PMS phase [339]. The required momentum loss can be achieved once a few assumptions are upheld, specifically concerning mass-loss rates and magnetic geometries [575, 729, 577]. These are mass-loss rates of at least $\sim 10^{-8}$ $M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and a situation where the magnetic field lines at the origin of the wind are attached to the star [344]. Other mechanisms involve magnetic stardisk interactions as proposed by [308, 476, 782] (see also Sect. 7.3) where the stellar magnetosphere truncates the circumstellar disk at a characteristic radius forcing accretion along the field lines towards the magnetic poles of the star. Magnetic torques then transfer angular momentum into the disk. Most recently [118, 117, 116] presented a model for the evolution of surface rotation of solar type stars from the birthline up to the age of the Sun, which is well on the main sequence. The model includes the previous assumptions but in addition requires that, at least for the evolution of moderate and fast rotators, mechanisms exist that efficiently redistribute angular momentum in the stellar interior as well. The evolution towards slow rotators still remains uncertain. Fig. 7.10 shows calculated rotational tracks based on this model for various disk lifetimes showing the spread of rotational velocities from CTTS and WTTS beyond PTTS stages and on the MS toward the Sun's rotational period. Clearly, the upshot is that modeling rotational evolution into the MS requires a better understanding of stellar wind dynamics, interactions with circumstellar disks, as well as angular momentum transport, specifically in convective stars.

7.3 Magnetic Activity in PMS stars

The major role that magnetic fields play in the early evolution of stars has been described so far in this work in connection with almost every aspect of protostellar and PMS properties. However, the stellar interior is of importance as well. Young stellar environments are highly magnetic. Observational evidence for strong activity is ubiquitous and aside what has been presented so far, much will follow in the next chapters. Optical and IR spectroscopic and photometric variability studies of CTTS stars suggest magnetospherically controlled accretion [78, 81, 392, 10, 438], whereas X-ray studies testify of magnetic activity throughout all T Tauri phases specifically WTTS [238, 814, 590]. The following sections will lay the groundwork to understand these observations, which, specifically in the high-energy domain, rely heavily on the presence of magnetic activity.

7.3.1 Magnetic Fields in PMS stars

Magnetic field predictions in T Tauri stars have existed since the early 1990s [476, 145, 782]. They lead to typically strong magnetic fields of 2–3 kG. Based on the principle of *star-disk locking*, also referred to as the *Ghosh* and Lamb (GL) model [308]. Here the stellar magnetic field couples effectively to the gas in the inner region of the accretion disk and one can estimate the magnetic field strength under the further assumption that T Tauri stars are slowly rotating with only a fraction $\epsilon < 1$ of the Kepler velocity. At the inner disk radius R_{in} magnetic stress is large enough to remove excess angular momentum. In the GL model this radius is proportional to the stellar dipole moment as $\mu^{4/7}$ and the mass accretion rate as $\dot{M}^{-2/7}$. The stellar surface magnetic field $B_{surf} = \mu/R^3$ can then be expressed as:

$$B_{surf} = 1000 \left(\frac{\epsilon}{[0.35]}\right)^{7/6} \left(\frac{\beta}{[0.5]}\right)^{-7/4} \\ \left(\frac{M}{[0.8M_{\odot}]}\right)^{5/6} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{[10^{-7}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}]}\right)^{1/2} \\ \left(\frac{R}{[2.5R_{\odot}]}\right)^{-3} \left(\frac{\Omega_{surf}}{[1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}]}\right)^{7/6} \text{G}$$
(7.21)

The β parameter, like ϵ , is a fiducial one within the GL model corresponding to $R_{in} = 2R$. For typical values of CTTS as used in the equation above, the

magnetic field is of the order of 1 kG [476]. As a side note, the GL model was originally developed for the interaction of accretion flows onto magnetospheres of heavily magnetized neutron stars. The adaptation for protostellar fields thus represents more relaxed conditions with longer periods, moderate magnetic fields, and low accretion rates. Similar expressions for the stellar magnetic field strengths taking into account magnetic diffusivity and pinching by the accretion ram pressure can be found in [145, 782]. The latter predict field strengths that are systematically higher than the other predictions.

Indications for magnetic activity are ubiquitous and invoke models of cool star spots or high-energy emission. There are also a variety of studies that directly measure magnetic fields in young stars based on absorption line spectroscopy in optical and near-IR wavelengths. Early measurements date back to the 1980s [129, 435, 32]. In most cases these measurements are based on Zeeman displacement of lines, which in the IR is large compared to the < 25 km s⁻¹ rotational line broadening in CTTS. The Zeeman displacement varies with λ^2 , whereas the Doppler effect depends on λ only. Other measurements use estimates based on possible starspot activity or spectral fits constraining accretion parameters. Most recent measurements have been performed by [437] measuring the Zeeman displacement in IR ($\sim 2\mu$ m) line absorption. Figure 7.11 shows an example of such a measurement for the CTTS BP Tau yielding a field strength of 2.8 kG.

7.3.2 Field Configurations

Detailed observational probing of magnetic field configurations is difficult and has yet to be undertaken. A test of predicted correlations of basic measurable



Fig. 7.11. Modeling of the Titanium I line at 2.2233 μ m in the CTTS BP Tau. The dash-dotted curve shows the predicted line profile using a non-magnetic atmospheric model. The solid line includes magnetic fields. From Johns-Krull et al. [437].
quantities such as mass, radius, accretion rates and rotational periods using standard models by [476, 145, 782] showed, for example, that they were not met unless non-dipole field topologies are invoked [438]. Another feature in the models by [782, 646] tend to reconcile some of the correlations once the effect of flux trapping between field lines is included.

Magnetospheric models evolved throughout the 1990s and currently there are various star-disk field configurations under consideration which all address various aspects of the observed IR to X-ray emission. All of these configurations are similar, though the MHD models applied to these configurations differ in important physical features with specific emphasis on how the stellar magnetic field couples to the inner accretion disk and its field. Most controversial in these models are questions about the matter flow between the disk and the star and how energy is deposited. Primarily discussed in literature are four configurations. These are the X Wind Model [777], Magnetically Funneled Accretion Streams [344], the Reconnection-driven Jet Model [402] and the Slingshot Prominences [248, 249]. All of these configurations have been introduced fairly recently and it should be kept in mind that none of them has yet been established.

The similarities of these configurations can be summarized as follows. First, all configurations are rotational symmetric as well as symmetric with respect to the accretion disk plane. Second, all of them invoke in the first order a stellar dipole field. Third, the disk magnetic field has poloidal structure. All three aspects evolved out of the grown perception of how disk fields develop from threaded molecular clouds before collapse and from the evolved limit of a dynamo-generated stellar magnetic field as observed in the Sun. Rotational symmetry is simply inherited from the huge initial angular momentum budget of the collapsing cloud. All configurations allow accretion streams onto the star, though in some this is not emphasized. The truncation of the inner disk by the magnetosphere is usually assumed. The truncation radius can be inferred from the GL model:

$$\frac{r_{trunc}}{R} \sim 2.2 \left(\frac{B_{surf}}{[1000 \text{ G}]}\right)^{4/7} \left(\frac{M}{[M_{\odot}]}\right)^{-1/7} \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{[10^{-8}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}]}\right)^{-2/7} \left(\frac{R}{[R_{\odot}]}\right)^{5/7}$$
(7.22)

R and M are again radius and mass of the central star. The first two configurations have been discussed specifically in the context of the *accretion* of matter and high-energy emission in PMS stars and will be discussed separately in Sects. 7.3.3 and 7.3.4. The other two models deal more with the *outflow* of matter and are briefly introduced here.

The *Reconnection-driven Jet Model* [402], shown in Fig. 7.12, is a numerical MHD model, in which at an initial time setting a stellar magnetosphere truncates an accretion disk which carries a poloidal interstellar magnetic field. The directions of the fields are assumed to be the same. With progressing time, accretion and rotation drive a reconnection scenario where field lines twist



Fig. 7.12. Configuration where accretion drives a jet through rotational twisting and magnetic reconnection (for details see [402]). The model illustrates the possible magnetic connection between the inflow and outflow of matter in YSOs.

through rotation while accretion pushes inward. Eventually, poloidal lines reconnect creating a neutral ring between the polar twisted fields, the stellar magnetosphere, and the disk field. Though not emphasized in the model, accretion via close magnetospheric loops persists during the process. For suitable Alfvén radii the model produces outflows of the order of a few hundred km s⁻¹. The twist effect may also allow swept-up bipolar lobes further away from the stellar environment.

The Slingshot Prominences [248, 249] model (see Fig. 7.13) applies to young stars as well as active coronal environments of cool stars. In fact, prominences are a rather common property of rotating later-type stars. In this respect magnetospheric accretion or collimated outflows is not the issue at all, but phenomena associated with magnetically driven flares, prominences, and coronal loops. Prominence-type loops are not unknown to T Tauri stars [675] and may play a more prominent role during giant X-ray flares as well as in coronal emission during later stages. Prominences are not directly associated with the stellar surface and form at heights of a few stellar radii. The model investigates equilibria and stability of trapped matter in magnetic field lines and is pursued analytically [248]. In essence, an imbalance in the equilibrium of rotation, gravity and magnetic forces between the stellar field configuration and an outside poloidal field, mainly caused by the star's rotation, accelerates material out of the system.

7.3.3 The X-Wind Model

Most young stars emit large amounts of X-rays throughout almost all evolutionary stages. Not only are X-ray fluxes orders of magnitude larger than



Fig. 7.13. Flares and prominences even occur in our Sun, though possibly on a smaller scale. Slingshot models like the one developed by [248, 249] attempt to characterize the stability of matter trapped in magnetic loops with respect to perturbating forces. In the configuration depicted the matter breaks off of an accretion disk, gets embedded in the field and accelerated outward by magnetic perturbations. At older stages the embedded material comes from the stellar surface or may be provided by binary companions.

those observed from the Sun, young stars also engage in gigantic flares generating hard emission from plasmas as hot as 100 MK (see Chap. 8 for a full review). The fact that X-ray emission can be immediately related to enhanced magnetic activity had been established in the mid-1970s during the *Skylab* mission through the first X-ray imaging of the Sun. Hence, developing a magnetospheric model based on X-ray signatures seems sensible. X-ray observations of young stars throughout the 1980s and 1990s using various X-ray observatories (see Table 2.1) prompted F. Shu and collaborators to develop an empirical model that includes all configurational features expected from stellar formation scenarios and which can account for protostellar X-ray signatures. The X-wind model in this respect expresses a first attempt to fully incorporate all observed phenomena with respect to matter flow and emissivity in YSOs. As Shu writes [784]:

X-ray astronomers have long held the conviction that X-rays provide the crucial link that might unify seemingly disparate aspects of the rich phenomenology that involves YSOs: magnetic activity, protostellar winds and jets, and extinct radioactivity in meteorites.



Fig. 7.14. The X-wind model as developed by Shu et al. [784]. This schematic shows the magnetic field configuration with the funnel accretion flow along the stellar dipole field. Key locations are the X-region near the inner disk from which the X-wind is actually launched, the *helmet dome* (H) between the stream and the coronal wind as well as a *reconnection ring* (R) with the possibility of chondrule production.

Fig. 7.14 shows a schematic drawing of the magnetic field geometry as adapted from [784]. The style and some features in Figs. 7.12, 7.13, and 7.14 are deliberately held uniform to highlight the fundamental similarities in all these configurations. The X-wind model primarily applies to young stars where accretion is still a driving force in their magnetospheric dynamics and it thus relates mostly to protostars and CTTS. Disk accretion approximately at the truncation radius R_x divides the accretion flow \dot{M}_{acc} into a funnel inflow or accretion stream onto the star \dot{M}_{stream} and into an X-wind outflow \dot{M}_{xwind} :

$$M_{xwind} = f M_{acc}$$

$$\dot{M}_{stream} = (1 - f) \dot{M}_{acc}$$
(7.23)

where f parameterizes the angular momentum balance of the system. In the case of a centrifugal MHD wind, f is about 0.1 (see (7.19)). It is an important parameter in the model as it reflects the relation between the star's angular velocity Ω_s to the Keplerian velocity at the inner edge of the disk Ω_x . The system co-rotates in its equilibrium state (i.e., $\Omega_x = \Omega_s$), which is supported by magnetic torques. The configuration also needs zero field surfaces separating the stellar dipole and surrounding poloidal fields. In Fig. 7.14 these regions are labeled as H and R. Ampére's law requires that large electrical currents flow within these null field planes. Dissipation of these currents leads to reconnection events of opposite field polarities reducing the amount of magnetic flux trapped in the X-region and pushing the system out of equilibrium. The magnetic energy \mathcal{M} released can then be expressed by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{M}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \eta |\Omega_{surf} - \Omega_x| \frac{\mu^2}{R_x^3} \tag{7.24}$$

Here η is a geometrical scaling parameter likely less than unity as not all magnetic field lines participate in a specific release event. For η equal to unity (7.24) allows one to estimate the pool of energy available to be irradiated. For typical angular velocities (i.e., $|\Omega_{surf} - \Omega_x| \sim 10^{-6}$) and field conditions at the truncation radius (see (7.22) and (7.22): $B_{surf} \sim 3$ kG and $R_x = R_{trunc} = 6R$), (7.24) yields between 10^{32} and 10^{33} erg s⁻¹. The true X-ray luminosity $L_x = \epsilon \, d\mathcal{M}/dt$ is much lower because of the finite efficiency ϵ for X-ray generation, and some of the energy is diverted into the acceleration of fast particles leading to what are known from the Sun as coronal mass ejections (CMEs) [784].

7.3.4 Funneled Accretion Streams

The actual accretion of mass onto the central star mediated by the stellar field happens through accretion streams along field lines. This form of accretion is inherent to all the configurations presented so far, but was specifically emphasized by L. Hartmann [344]. Such a configuration is illustrated in Fig. 6.13. The funneled magnetospheric material emits broad emission lines as it falls along field lines into accretion columns. Eventually the material crashes onto the stellar surface producing hot plasma emission through accretion shocks. The maximum total energy released by such a process can be estimated by:

$$L_{shock} \simeq \frac{GM\dot{M}}{R} \left(1 - \frac{R}{R_{trunc}} \right) \to \frac{5GM\dot{M}}{6R}$$
 (7.25)

assuming that the magnetospheric radius is at ~ 6 stellar radii. How much of this energy is eventually converted into radiation is highly uncertain and depends on the amount of energy that is actually dissipated in the disk (and thus the inner disk radius) and to some extend also on how much energy contributes to the angular dynamics of the system [344]. However since the energy dissipated in the disk at the magnetospheric radius (see (7.4)) can only be a small fraction of L_{shock} , most energy must be released in the accretion shock.

Most of the dissipated energy will be released in the form of UV continuum and X-ray emission. A study of optical excess emission in T Tauri stars [333] finds that the blue portion of the optical emission is notoriously underestimated as a result of the overpowering extinction in the UV band (see Chap. 3). Modeling yields photospheric temperatures of $\sim 10^4$ K and thus large fractions of emissivity is expected to occur below $\sim 3,100$ Å [333]. For an in-depth discussion of the UV continuum emission from accretion shocks see [344]. A substantial amount of emissivity can be expected in X-rays as well, which is an issue that has been known for some time, but which remained fairly exotic until recently as it lacked observational support [455]. For large magnetospheric radii, the infall velocity approaches the surface escape velocity, i.e.:

$$v_{in} \simeq \left(\frac{2GM}{R} \left(1 - \frac{R}{R_{trunc}}\right)\right)^{1/2} \to \left(\frac{5GM}{3R}\right)^{1/2} \tag{7.26}$$

which for a solar mass star with a stellar radius of about 2.5 R_{\odot} yields velocities of up to 350 km s⁻¹. Velocities of this order of magnitude have been inferred from observations of broad H_{α} lines in CTTS. Shocks at such velocities reach plasma temperatures of up to 2 MK (see (4.14)), which would be observed as thermal X-ray emission.

7.3.5 Magnetic Reconnection and Flares

One of the integral physical processes many MHD models refer to as a release mechanism for magnetic energy is *reconnection*. Magnetic reconnection events are well known from solar coronal research, specifically as a driving mechanism of CMEs. Models invoking reconnection to explain powerful X-ray flares in YSOs have been considered since the 1990s [866, 784, 646, 775, 847, 848]. The modeled timescales and temperatures are of specific interest for comparison with observations. One of the first treatments of X-ray flares from the active binary *Algol* has been performed by [866]. From a balance between conduction cooling and reconnection heating [930, 931] derived a scaling relation between the reconnection plasma temperature and magnetic field strength:

$$T_{rec} = 7.3 \times 10^7 \left(\frac{B}{[100 \text{ G}]}\right)^{6/7} \left(\frac{n_0}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{-1/7} \left(\frac{l_{loop}}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}]}\right)^{2/7} \text{K} \quad (7.27)$$

where B is the equipartition field strength at the reconnecting site defined by the equality of magnetic and thermal pressure,

$$\frac{B^2}{8\pi} = 2n_e kT \tag{7.28}$$

 n_0 is the plasma density in magnetic loops before the energy release and l_{loop} is the characteristic length of the reconnecting loop. Simulations, however, show that the actual temperature of the plasma after energy release is only one third of T_{rec} [931].

The reconnection timescale is proportional to l_{loop}/v_A , where v_A is the Alfvén velocity [676]. The range of proportionality (λ_r) is still rather arbitrary, recent observations of solar flares indicate values between 10 and 1,000 [426] regardless of the flare size. With the definition of the Alfvén velocity the reconnection heating timescale can be written as:

$$\tau_{rh} \simeq 1.5 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{\lambda_r}{100}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n_0}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{l_{loop}}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}]}\right) \left(\frac{B}{[100 \text{ G}]}\right)^{-1} \text{s}$$
(7.29)

The radiative cooling timescale of a plasma can be estimated from the energy balance of the cooling plasma; assuming radiative losses under LTE conditions [581, 582] to be:

$$\tau_{rc} \simeq 1.4 \times 10^4 \left(\frac{n_0}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]}\right)^{-1/4} \left(\frac{l_{loop}}{[10^{10} \text{ cm}]}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{B}{[100 \text{ G}]}\right)^{-1/2} \text{s} \quad (7.30)$$

When applied to radiative flares, the rise time of such a flare is of the order of 10^3 s with the decay time an order of magnitude longer.

Most young PMS stars exhibit field strengths as high as 3 kG. Such levels are only reached in Sunspots, whereas the Sun's surface field is orders of magnitude lower. The situation is slightly different in prominences, which in the Sun may reach field densities similar to loops in T Tauri stars [942]. Stellar flares as compared to solar flares, specifically from T Tauri and ZAMS stars, can be far more energetic. Flares in PMS stars reach temperatures of up to 10^8 K and emissivities are in the same category as observed in stars with active coronae.

7.3.6 Origins of the Stellar Field

Last, but not least, there should be a few remarks about possible origins of stellar magnetic fields in protostars and PMS stars. There may be two ways to look at this issue. The magnetic field could be of fossil origin and inherited from the pre-stellar collapse [578]. Such a scenario is interesting only for young protostars as once the star becomes fully convective and appears on the Hayashi track these fields should diffuse quickly [598], although some relic field strength may persist even after a radiative core develops; arguing that



Fig. 7.15. Emission measure versus temperature diagram of solar and stellar Xray flares. The emission measure is the integral of the emissivity over the entire emitting volume. The data for the region covering solar flares were taken from [245], for the region of PMS flares from [776], and for flares in stars with active coronae from [245, 416, 417]. The dashed and dotted lines represent scaling laws derived by [930, 931, 776] for *B* and log l_{loop} .

concentrated flux in rope-like structures can resist turbulent diffusion [598]. Furthermore, magnetic flux captured from the convective core could remain trapped for a long time, even well into main-sequence life [597, 469]. The latter is specifically interesting in the context of magnetically active intermediate mass and massive stars (see Sect. 8.3.5). Another appealing aspect of relic fields is its likely dipole structure, preferring the magnetic configurations discussed above.

The origins of the magnetic field in young stars is to date unknown. A likely mechanism is the *stellar dynamo*. A comparison of the Sun's coronal properties, which are generated through the solar dynamo, with PMS stars shows similarities, but also compelling differences. An introductory review of the processes involved is given by [755] and specifically in the context of PMS stars by [242]. Some aspects will also be discussed in Sect. 8.1.6. At some point during the PMS evolution such dynamo activity has to initiate. However, up to today the precise timing is entirely unclear. In the dynamo picture, magnetic fields are initiated by differential rotation at the interface between radiative and convective zones. Thus for fully convective PMS stars on the Hayshi track, for fully radiative stars, and, in general, stars with an

internal structure different than the Sun, the dynamo picture may have severe shortcomings and theoretical concepts are in need.

High-energy Signatures in YSOs

The discovery of bright X-ray emission from young low-mass stars in the wake of the launch of the EINSTEIN X-ray observatory (see Chap. 2) [235] [278] truly took researchers by surprise. X-rays from Orion had been detected with Uhuru, the first X-ray satellite launched in the early 1970s, but due to the lack of spatial resolving power a connection to young low-mass stars seemed far from likely. At the time, these stars were investigated for their complex emission patterns at long wavelengths. Models for gravitational collapse do not provide many clues about mechanisms for emissions at wavelengths much shorter than a few microns (see Chaps. 5 and 6). High energy emission requires special circumstances and the responsible physical processes are often different from the ones at the origins of the optical and IR emissions. In order to emit at short wavelengths, one either needs very high temperatures or very high magnetic field strengths or some mechanism to produce high-velocity electrons. The previous chapters already demonstrated that gravitational potentials in protostellar systems are by far too small to free enough energy (see (6.7)). Thus the energy has to come from a different pool, which is most likely rotational and magnetic energy inherited from pre-collapse cloud dynamics. Synchrotron radiation from magnetic fields as high as 10^{11} G can be ruled out on the grounds that superdense degenerate matter, as found in neutron stars is needed to carry such high field densities [18]. The previous chapter also showed that magnetic field strengths in protostellar environments do not exceed 1 kG.

The existence of high-temperature plasmas in the vicinity of T Tauri stars had been suggested only a year before the detection of X-rays through spectra from the *International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE)*. Temperatures of up to 2 ×10⁵ K and line emission from N V, C IV, and Si IV were observed in several stars [277, 30, 425, 31]. X-ray emission requires temperatures of larger than ~ 10⁵ K (i.e., wavelengths < 150 Å). The only place in MS stars where such temperatures are reached outside the stellar interior are strong winds and the stellar corona. In fact, strong stellar winds as they exist in massive stars [506] can produce quite luminous X-rays from plasma heating in shock instabilities [539] and the detection of X-rays from these stars [788, 328] at first nourished speculations of strong winds in T Tauri stars [278]. Massive stars generally do not engage in coronal activities, though recent observations suggest some magnetic influences in the younger proponents [763]. For low-mass stars a coronal interpretation by analogy to the Sun seemed more natural. Massive stars have fully radiative interiors whereas low-mass stars should have convection zones.

It was pointed out that the existence of both UV and X-rays could indicate a coronal connection [278]. A youthful extrapolation of solar-type coronal activity for young stars in connection with optical and near-UV excesses had already been suggested in the early 1970s. The realization that WTTS are actually not accretors (see Chap. 6), but still emit strong X-rays [627] in combination with significant radio fluxes [152] then seemed like a smoking gun. However, such qualitative comparisons with the Sun's corona, though essentially helpful, do not really account for the vast diversity and differences in the characterization of X-ray signatures in YSOs and today many observed features are far from being explained. The bottom line is that coronae play a central role in the emission at high energies, but it is also undisputed that they are only part of the puzzle. Other contributions from special magnetic configurations (see Chap. 7) as well as accretion and outflow activities are likely [238, 455, 687].

The mechanisms which produce X-rays in hot plasmas are manifold, though free–free emission in form of bremsstrahlung as well as free–bound emission due to collisional ionization are most likely. The order of magnitude of the expected total loss rate in the case of bremsstrahlung can be estimated from (C.28). A typical emission volume using a characteristic magnetic loop length of 10^9 cm (see Sect. 7.3.5), plasma densities of 10^{10} cm⁻³, Z between 8 and 26 for O and Fe ions, and plasma temperatures typically 1×10^7 K would produce luminosities of the order of 10^{26} to 10^{27} erg s⁻¹, which is close to the Sun's X-ray luminosity. PMS stars are more than 10^4 times more luminous in X-rays (see below) indicating either plasmas of higher densities or larger magnetic loop sizes. As a rule of thumb one can assume a domination of discrete line emission from collisional ionization below 5×10^7 K and thermal bremsstrahlung above this temperature [534, 582].

8.1 The X-ray Account of YSOs

The first extraterrestrial X-rays were detected from the Sun by H. Friedman in 1949 in a V2 rocket flight. It took another 25 years to take the first X-ray image during a *SKYLAB* mission in 1973. Plate 2.1 shows a more modern soft X-ray image of the Sun as seen with the *YOHKOH* soft X-ray telescope [64] giving a stunning view of a corona. Modern telecopes are not yet powerful enough to image coronae from other stars, but Plate 2.1 could give a smallscale impression of coronal activity in young stars.

8.1.1 Detection of Young Stars

X-ray observations of young stars and star-forming regions intensified with the increased availability of observatories. An X-ray account of all observations of relevant star-forming regions (see Chap. 9) is given in [238] together with a list of references. Table 8.1 lists results of recent observations of major starforming regions and prototype YSOs. About 80 percent of detected young stars in IR surveys are found in clusters with at least 100 members, supporting the fact that the majority of young stars form in large clusters [682, 84, 504]. There is no unbiased way to find young stars solely on the basis of their X-ray emission, and several criteria have to be fulfilled to make a positive identification. The majority of stars are found by association with a major star-forming region and ultimately through identification with cataloged stars at optical, IR, sub-mm and radio wavelengths. In this respect X-ray studies of YSOs are never independent of studies at longer wavelengths (see Sect. 8.1.2). Young stars from long-wavelength surveys are usually identified as such if they appear embedded in, or are associated with molecular gas and their positions in the HR-diagram indicate ages of less than a few Myr [504, 682].

To date, over 150 X-ray observations and studies have been performed with various space observatories (see listing in Table 2.1). The largest account of X-ray detected young stars comes from the *RASS*. Figure 8.1 shows a distribution of stars as detected by this survey. It shows that the majority of these stars concentrate not only close to the Gould Belt of recent star formation activity in the vicinity of the Sun (see Sect. 3.1.4), but also shows that the majority of the stars are concentrated in known major star-forming regions and young stellar clusters. More detailed studies with X-ray observatories with enhanced spatial resolving power such as *ROSAT*, *XMM-Newton*, and specifically *Chandra* reveal large numbers of stars concentrated in stellar clusters.

Distance is a major obstacle for X-ray studies of star-forming regions, a fact which is related to the limited sensitivity of existing instruments. Chandra's sensitivity holds the current standard with its 0.5" spatial resolving power, which is orders of magnitude better than all other X-ray observatories listed in Table 2.1 and all planned observatories for the foreseeable future. It provides a flux limit of $\sim 10^{-16}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The density of stars in young stellar clusters can reach up to $2 \times 10^4 \text{ pc}^{-3}$ in Orion [397] whereas in regions of average stellar density, like in the vicinity of the Sun or the Taurus-Auriga complex, can reach about $1-3 \text{ pc}^{-3}$. Thus even *Chandra* cannot resolve such extreme stellar densities at distances further than ~ 1.3 kpc, whereas HST is able resolve that in the optical up to ~ 6.5 kpc. Such extreme densities are not found in every case as many regions are older than the core of the ONC and appear more dispersed. High spatial resolution usually comes at the expense of field of view. Here *ROSAT* proved to be of immense value, because, while not able to resolve the dense cores of clusters, deep observations of the entire cluster were possible. Fig. 8.2 shows an example of the young stellar cluster Tr 37 at the core of the giant H II region IC 1396 in Cepheus at a distance

Table 8.1. Various major star-forming regions with stellar clusters in the solar
neighborhood harboring over 100 detected IR stars. The listings include observations
since 1999 performed with Chandra and XMM-Newton. X-ray observations before
1999 are listed in [238].

Region	Cluster	RA	Dec	X-ray	IR	Refs.
name	name	hh mm ss dd mm ss				
Perseus	NGC 1333	$03 \ 28 \ 54$	31 19 19	93	143	[293] $[698]$ $[504]$
						[682]
	IC 348	$03 \ 44 \ 30$	$32\ 17\ 00$	215	345	[695] $[504]$ $[682]$
Orion	OMC $2/3$	$05 \ 35 \ 27$	$-05 \ 09 \ 39$	400	119	[853] $[504]$
	ONC	$05 \ 37 \ 47$	$\textbf{-}05 \ 21 \ 46$	> 1600	2520	[286] $[761]$ $[242]$
						[504] $[243]$
	NGC 2024	$05 \ 41 \ 43$	-00 53 46	283	309	[794] $[504]$
$\operatorname{Monoceros}$	Mon R2	$06 \ 07 \ 47$	$-06\ 22\ 59$	368	371	[616] $[504]$
	NGC 2264	$06 \ 41 \ 03$	$09 \ 53 \ 07$	169	360	[254] $[504]$ $[682]$
Vela	RCW38	$08 \ 59 \ 05$	$-47 \ 30 \ 42$	>200	1300	[918] $[504]$
Ophiuchus	ρ Oph	$16\ 26\ 52$	-24 31 42	570	337	[424] $[853]$
	Trifid	$18 \ 02 \ 23$	$-23 \ 01 \ 48$	304	85	[504] [720]
	NGC 6530	$18\ 07\ 52$	-24 19 32	900	100	[329] $[504]$
	NGC 6611	$18 \ 18 \ 48$	-13 47 00	>1000	>1000	[531] $[504]$
Sagittarius	M17	$18\ 20\ 26$	$-16 \ 10 \ 36$	900	100	[843] $[504]$
Cygnus	IRAS 20050+2720	$20\ 07\ 06$	$27 \ 28 \ 59$		100	[682]
	S 106	$20\ 27\ 25$	$37\ 21\ 40$	000	160	[504] $[682]$
Cepheus	L1211	$22\ 47\ 17$	$62 \ 01 \ 58$		245	[682]
	Cep A	$22 \ 56 \ 19$	$62 \ 01 \ 58$		580	[682]
	Tr 37	$21 \ 38 \ 58$	$57\ 29\ 11$	28 +		[759]

of 0.8 kpc, about twice the distance of Orion. While the core of the cluster remains unresolved many X-ray sources are detected through the full extent of the cluster [759]. So far (see Table 8.1), the *ONC* has the youngest and closest active star-forming region, which outshines others in terms of number and density with over 1,600 detected X-ray sources [239, 243]. The *Chandra Orion Ultradeep Project (COUP)* under the lead of E. Feigelson performed a 10-day deep exposure of the *ONC* in early 2003 (see Plate 2.2) which will set future standards of X-ray population studies in star-forming regions.

8.1.2 Correlations and Identifications

The study of young stars performed at short wavelengths benefits from correlations with long-wavelength observations as many emission characteristics (see Figs. 6.13 and 6.14), though their underlying physical mechanism may not be related, happen at the same physical site or at least occur simultaneously depending on the evolutionary state. In essence, it is the properties at long wavelengths that in many cases provide the identification as young



Fig. 8.1. Distribution of X-ray emitting stars as determined from a correlation of over 8,500 identified X-ray sources from the *RASS* (see Plate 1.2) with the *Tycho* star cataloge [322]. The grayscale indicates the source density in units of sources \deg^{-2} . Clearly seen is a concentration of X-ray sources near the central plane of the sky distribution. The darkest spots correlate with well-known star-forming regions, indicating the majority of detected X-ray emitting stars are young. The most concentrated regions of X-rays are distributed along a belt of propagating star formation, triggered about 60 Myr ago, called the *Gould Belt*. Adapted from Guillot et al. [322].

stars. Examples of correlated emission between X-rays, optical and IR emission can be seen in Fig. 8.2, Plate 2.2, and Plate 2.3, respectively. Optical identifications such as those for IC 1396 mostly correlate with H α surveys and catalogs, IR identification mostly use J (1.25 µm), H (1.65 µm), K (2.2 µm) band surveys [275, 761, 239, 293]. Properties of over 10⁴ young stars have been correlated with various source catalogs and are accessible through various online databases with *SIMBAD*, operated by the *Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg (CDS)*.

Many times there is no direct correspondence of detected X-ray sources with detections at other wavelengths. This can happen for several reasons. One reason is related to the evolutionary stage of a young star. More advanced stages, such as WTTS, do not accrete from active accretions disks and certainly are devoid of a thick circumstellar envelope. Low-mass stars may not be luminous enough to be detected in the optical/IR unless nearby.



Fig. 8.2. Detection of young X-ray stars in the young stellar cluster *Trumpler 37* at the core of the giant H II region *IC 1396*. While the core of the cluster remains unresolved, 85 X-ray sources were detected within the extent of the cluster. The contours are X-ray flux. The overplotted symbols (small triangles and diamonds) are detected CTTS and WTTS from H α survey catalogs. From Schulz et al. [759].

These stars are still powerful X-ray sources and throughout the 1990s X-ray observations solely contributed to the number of known WTTS [816].

Another reason is absorption. Besides the fact that very young protostars are enshrouded in their infalling envelope, later evolutionary stages can indicate high absorption as well, because they are still embedded in their parent molecular cloud or are located behind a foreground cloud. Resolving the various situations depends on detailed case-to-case studies. In the IR these studies are mostly performed using the JHK bands, sometimes including the 3.5 µm band. The degree to which a source appears embedded depends on the flux in each band. Most embedded sources are only seen in the 3.5 µm wavelength band. Increases in X-ray absorption (see Sect. 3.3.3) removes photons towards lower wavelength and highly absorbed spectra lack soft X-ray emission in this respect. Observed column densities lie between 10^{21} and 5×10^{24} cm⁻² [265, 275, 759, 286, 761, 239, 422, 83]. Using the relation expressed in (3.4.3) this spans an equivalent range of optical extinction between $A_V = 0.8 - 2, 800$. Above an extinction of a few A_V there may not be an optical counterpart.

Correlations with radio observations are rare, but may bear critical information with respect to the underlying magnetic activity [246, 27, 238, 761]. Non-thermal radio continua have been observed in many WTTS at levels that are many orders of magnitude higher than in most powerful solar flares

[284, 818, 894, 164]. Feigelson and Montmerle [238] conclude that this radio emission closely resembles characteristics known from magnetically active binaries (i.e., stars with active coronae). The general problem is that even these radio fluxes are low with respect to currently existing instrument sensitivities and thus the stars have to be nearby to be detected. However, radio emission, when available, can be a critical indicator of evolutionary stage. In regions where the direct association with a star-forming region is difficult to establish (e.g., if the stars are far away from a cluster core or near less-dense star-forming regions like in the Taurus-Auriga vicinity) the detection of nonthermal radio emission can be the decisive marker between WTTS and near ZAMS stars [152]. Non-thermal radio emission in stars is likely of magnetic origin when the radio flux exhibits circular polarization [894, 793]. Furthermore, [22] argues that under certain conditions non-thermal radio continua are effectively absorbed in CTTS through free-free events in YSO outflows. Thermal radio emission may arise from partially ionized winds [178]. VLA observations of the ONC offer particular insights into radio emission from young stars [246].

In this respect the case of young stellar cluster RCW 38 is of some interest. Here *Chandra* observations (see Plate 2.4) reveal a diffuse cloud of X-rays among the detected cluster stars. Diffuse X-ray emission has been seen in other star-forming-regions such as the *Rosette Nebula* and *M17* [843] (see Fig. 4.15), the *Arches Cluster* [938] and *NGC* 3603 [585]. The common explanation for this diffuse emission is thermal bremstrahling caused by wind-driven processes (see Sect. 4.2.7). In the case of *RCW* 38 the situation seems different as the hard X-ray spectrum and the existence of non-thermal radio emission (see Plate 2.4, bottom) indicate synchrotron radiation from high energy electrons. There is no direct evidence for any possible cause of the phenomenon. Suggestions range from an old supernova, and some form of shock wave to winds from rapidly rotating neutron stars [918].

8.1.3 Luminosities and Variability

The measurement of stellar luminosities is usually first on the list of physical quantities to obtain about stellar systems and this paradigm also holds for X-ray stars. Part of the sensation that occurred when X-rays from T Tauri stars were discovered was due to the fact that luminosities were not only high but also showed large excursions on short timescales. It is now well established that X-ray luminosities in these stars exceed those of main-sequence stars by three to four orders of magnitude. There are two luminosity regimes to distinguish: the luminosities of X-ray flares which will be discussed in Sect. 8.1.5, and the luminosity of the persistent emission. The latter is sometimes difficult to determine and in those cases the luminosity, where a source stays longest at its lowest levels thoughout an observed time span, is used. Such a distinction is possible as light curves of young X-ray stars are usually not erratic, but

flat with intermittent flaring activity. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 8.3. Massive stars on the other hand have flat light curves over large time spans.

Persistent X-ray luminosities are correlated with stellar mass. The range of luminosities for young low-mass PMS stars is between 10^{28} and approximately 10^{31} erg s⁻¹, for intermediate mass stars it is between 10^{29} and 10^{32} erg s^{-1} [691], and for high-mass ZAMS stars it is between 10^{31} and 10^{33} erg s⁻¹ [763]. The most luminous class I object detected so far measured $2 \times$ 10^{32} erg s⁻¹ in its persistent state [692]. Recently, it has been determined that the X-ray luminosity scales roughly with mass as $L_x \propto M^2$ for stellar masses below ~ 2 M_{\odot} [695, 241]. In connection with higher stellar masses a dependence on X-ray luminosity may also reflect fundamental differences in the X-ray generation process. Though X-rays from massive stars with ages younger than the ZAMS stage have not yet been identified, it is somewhat established that at the ZAMS, X-rays are primarily generated through shock instabilities in radiation driven winds [539], sometimes modulated by fossil magnetic fields [763]. In low-mass stars, X-rays are thought to be generated by magnetic reconnection processes. Luminosities much larger than 10^{31} erg s⁻¹ generated through magnetic reconnection seem unlikely as they would require too large emission volumes. Much of this high luminosity is then produced by wind shocks, if applicable.

X-ray luminosities also depend on evolutionary state. Although such a relationship is difficult to establish, several studies in the 1990s indicated a long-term decline towards the main sequence as shown in Fig. 8.4 [275]. This means that the X-ray generation mechanism seemingly evolves gradually towards the X-ray activity observed in the Sun and mature stars. The difficulty with such studies is that the luminosity functions as shown in Fig. 8.4 de-



Fig. 8.3. X-ray light curves from various X-ray stars in the vicinity of the Orion Trapezium observed three weeks apart (left and right panels, respectively). The top panels show the most massive star at the center, Θ Ori C. The bottom panels show low-mass stars, probable CTTSs. From Schulz et al. [761].



Fig. 8.4. The X-ray luminosity distribution of detected low-mass X-ray sources inside and outside various star-forming regions including the Orion region, the Chamaeleopardis Cloud, and the Pleiades and Hyades Clusters. Orion is the youngest region in the sample with a median stellar age of ~ 0.3 Myr [397]. Field stars already reached the MS. X-ray luminosities of T Tauri stars can be as high as a few 10^{31} erg s⁻¹. The majority of the field stars, like the Sun, have X-ray luminosities of $\lesssim 10^{27}$ erg s⁻¹. Adapted from Gagne et al. [275].

pend on the sampled X-ray population. Here is where observatories with high spatial resolution will make a difference as identifications will improve. For more distant clusters higher average luminosities are more likely determined because X-ray sources are not fully resolved. A recent investigation of close star-forming regions established highly resolved luminosity functions demonstrating that selecting sources with various different masses within one region shows significant differentiation [257].

It also has been established that the X-ray luminosity, at least for low-mass stars (F to early M stars), scales with stellar bolometric luminosity. Although the details of such a behavior remain unclear, it may reflect a dependence on contraction and spin-up [453]. Active regions close to or on the stellar surface occupy a nearly constant area as the stars continue to contract. The surface flux ($F_x \propto R^{-2}$) increases with age as the stars descend on the Hayashi track. Changes on evolutionary timescales should be expected under the premise that



Fig. 8.5. Evolution of the mean of the log of the ratio of X-ray to bolometric luminosity for late type PMS for F-type stars up to M-type stars. From Schulz and Kastner [760].

X-rays are related to star-disk magnetic interactions, accretion, stellar radius and rotation. All of these properties are subject to change on timescales of 0.1 to 100 Myr. Fig. 8.5 shows an account of the ratio of soft X-ray to bolometric luminosity of stellar clusters at various ages from about 0.1 to about 10^4 Myr on the ZAMS showing an increase of the ratio up to about 100 Myr followed by a steady decline afterwards [453, 760].

Many X-ray studies focus on the ONC [275, 286, 761, 239, 256] and most of what is known today in terms of variability stems from Orion. The ONC, specifically the immediate surroundings of the *Orion Trapezium* system at its core, is one of the youngest and densest population of stars in the Galaxy. At a median age of 0.3 Myr [397] this region is populated of likely Class I and II sources, although the stars in the center are massive ZAMS stars [761, 239]. Star formation within the ONC has ceased (i.e., there are no collapsing cores), but it is quite vividly ongoing in the molecular cloud L1641 behind the ONC [503] (see also configuration B in Fig. 4.3). The ONC plus the L1641 cloud offers a prototypical study case for X-ray variability properties throughout the entire stellar mass spectrum ranging from the sub-hydrogen burning limit up to 45 M_{\odot} O-stars. Plate 2.5 shows variable X-ray sources near the Orion Trapezium. While the few massive stars in the region show quite steady fluxes, identified low-mass stars show various levels of variability as reflected in their lightcurves in Fig. 8.3. A direct comparison of both exposures reveals that a vast majority of the sources are variable. Timescales of days to weeks for changes in persistent emission as well as flux excursions on timescales of hours are observed. Flaring activity is common in YSOs. This activity has been seen before, but not on such a dramatic extent within one single starforming region. The direct vicinity of the *Orion Trapezium* is a most youthful part of the *ONC*. X-ray observations show persistently bright emission from its most massive members and highly variable and absorbed emission from low-mass stars, some of which are identified with protoplanetary disks (see lower panels in Plate 2.5).

Details about X-ray variability in young stars is a central issue in highresolution X-ray studies because so many more sources can be resolved in cluster cores. Not only does this add to the statistics of variable PMS stars, but it also helps identify the culprit of variability. An important issue is the identification of close binary companions, which demands resolutions below 100 milliarcsec and still has to be pursued in the optical through speckle techniques, adaptive optics, and long-baseline interferometry [941]. X-ray variability in PMS stars has many aspects ranging from very long term, with timescales of years, to seconds. Even variability at the sub-second level has now come under scrutiny [241].

8.1.4 X-ray Temperatures

The fact that X-ray temperatures are as high as several 10 MK should not be surprising once compared to solar coronal temperatures. Fig. 7.15 indicates that during large solar flares plasma temperatures may even exceed 30 MK. However, there are distinct differences with respect to young stars. Xray spectra are the X-ray equivalent to the SEDs at IR wavelengths. They are characteristic of optically thin hot plasmas. EINSTEIN and ROSAT established a quite impressive account on young stellar temperatures in X-rays. Spectral fits revealed a mostly bimodal behavior in terms of plasma temperatures, with a soft component of 2–5 MK and a hard component of 15–30 MK [238]. In addition, ASCA observations showed flares with temperatures of up to 100 MK (see Sect. 8.1.5). High-resolution X-ray spectra confirm these accounts, though with better resolved temperature distributions (see Sect. 8.3). Compared to the Sun there are basically two major differences to observe. PMS stars show high temperatures also in their persistent emission and much higher temperatures during flares. In fact, it has been recognized many times now that these temperatures are well in line with what is known from stars with active coronae [238, 455, 695].

Equation 7.27 seems to be able to reproduce the temperatures in the correct order of magnitudes during flares. The estimated value is fairly accurate under the premise that the emission process is similar to the one in the solar corona since the relation has been scaled from measurement of solar flares. It is also clear that wind shocks cannot supply the high end of these temperatures unless shock velocities surpass 1,500 km s⁻¹, which, according to (4.14), allows for a maximal plasma temperature of ~ 30 MK.

8.1.5 X-ray Flares

Statistically, young PMS stars frequently exhibit X-ray flares. A spectacular flare of the CTTS star $LkH\alpha$ 92 [689] rose in flux by a factor of more than 300 within about 30 min. The first stellar X-ray flares were detected nearly three decades ago in nearby dMe dwarf stars [362]. Today it is acknowledged that all types of late-type stars probably engage in X-ray flaring activity [752], though likely not on the scales exhibited by systems with active coronae such as RS CVn and Algol type binaries. These stars and PMS stars show exceptionally strong flares (see Fig. 7.15). Today there is now a vast account on PMS flares [588, 689, 824, 847, 849, 695].

The luminosity in these flares can exceed the persistent (or sometimes also referred to as quiescent) luminosity by several factors. In a first study using *EINSTEIN* data it was concluded that during one out of two detected flares, sources are at least twice as luminous as their persistent emission [588]. Recent *Chandra* studies of many variable sources in Orion, IC 348 and many other star-forming regions basically draw a similar picture. Some of these sources have large flares with luminosity excursions that exceeded more than ten times the quiescent luminosity (see i.e., [255, 695]). Still, most impressive is the giant flare of LkH α 92 with a luminosity increase of a factor 300 [691]. The detection of a hard X-ray flare with *ASCA* from a WTTS [847] was very important. Not only did the luminosity rise by almost a factor of 20 to nearly 10^{33} erg s⁻¹, the peak temperature of the flare exceeded 100 MK. In general, a consistent trend is that the X-ray temperature increases substantially during the rise of the flare.

Such a trend is clearly visible in Fig. 7.15. Solar flares show a similar morphology and PMS flares have to be seen in the general context of stellar flares. This then implies that like the flares in active binaries or the Sun, flares in PMS stars are exceptionally strong magnetic reconnection events. Table 8.2 compares properties of various solar and stellar flares with two examples from the Sun, two examples from binary stars with active coronae, and several from T Tauri stars. The parameters from the ρ Oph T Tauri stars from the early detection with *EINSTEIN* already show significantly higher emission measures ($EM = \int n_e^2 dV$), temperatures, densities, and emission volumes. The observed parameters match quite well the ones observed for active coronae. These results strengthen the perception that much of the X-ray emission of young low-mass stars, including those with circumstellar disks, is generated by coronal physical processes, which ultimately points to the existence of a stellar dynamo.

Table 8.2. Properties of stellar flares at the flare maximum as observed from the Sun and various different stellar systems including T Tauri stars (from [689] and references therein). Although the temperature estimated from the scaling relation in (7.27) appears high, one has to consider that [931] already emphasized that real flares should have about one third of this value.

Stellar system	$EM = [10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	$\begin{array}{c} T \\ [10^7 \text{ K}] \end{array}$	$n_e [10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	$\frac{V}{[10^{30} \text{ cm}^3]}$	$[10^{10} \text{ cm}]$	$\begin{array}{c} T_{rec} \\ [10^7 \text{ K}] \end{array}$
Sun, compact Class 3	0.01 >1	~ 1 ~ 1	10 10	$\sim 10^{-3} > 4$	0.1 > 1.0	$2.7 \\ 5.3$
Algol UX Ari	9,800 80,000	$5.8\\8.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 1 \end{array}$	$14 \\ 80,000$	$1.5 \\ 27$	$5.2 \\ 18.7$
ρ Oph T Tauris LkH α 92 V 773 Tau	5,000 500,000 540,000	$2.0 \\ 5.0 \\ > 10$	10-20 15 30	$30 \\ 2,000 \\ 600$	$2 \\ 8 \\ 40$	$5.8 \\ 9.0 \\ 12.9$

The large spread of parameters in Table 8.2 also testifies to the complex magnetospheric physics behind the flare activity. Although a quasistatic model seems to accurately predict temperatures, it has to be acknowledged that loop sizes of more than one or two stellar radii seem unusually large. This becomes more evident in the rise and decay times of flare. Therefore dynamic models with successive heatings and re-heatings may be preferred over static models.

8.1.6 Rotation and Dynamos

Unlike for MS stars, the relationship between X-ray activity and rotation for PMS stars is not well-established [241]. Many observations with *EINSTEIN* and *ROSAT* revealed a strong correlation for late-type stars, independent of the bolometric luminosity, of the form:

$$L_{xs} = 10^{27} (v \, \sin \, i)^2 \, \mathrm{erg} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1} \tag{8.1}$$

where L_{xs} is the soft X-ray luminosity in the energy band between 0.5–2.5 keV and $v \sin i$ is the projected rotation speed in km s⁻¹ [659]. More recent studies developed a similarly empirical relationship between stellar period P and L_{xs} . The relation features a strong *correlation* of L_{xs} with P:

$$\log L_{xs} = 31.1 - 2.64 \, \log(P) \tag{8.2}$$

where P is the period in days and L_{xs} is the luminosity in erg s⁻¹ [321, 279]. For a thorough discussion of rotation and X-rays in various MS stellar populations, the review by [241] is recommended. X-ray luminosities of early-type stars remain fairly independent of rotation, but show a tight relation to bolometric luminosity of $L_x/L_{bol} \simeq 10^{-7}$. The relation has been verified

many times [74] and also seems to hold for early-type ZAMS stars [763]. Again, the empirical difference between early- and late-type stars likely follows from the different physical mechanisms for X-ray generation, generally wind shocks versus coronal processes. In later type MS stars L_x/L_{bol} may be directly linked to the internal stellar dynamo though its correlation with the theoretically determined *Rossby* number [705, 241]. This number is defined as the ratio of P to the dynamo's convective turnover time near the base of the internal stellar convection zone [587]:

$$R_o = \frac{P}{\tau_c} \tag{8.3}$$

Slower rotating MS stars correlate with R_o between $\log L_x/L_{bol} = [-3, -6]$. Faster rotating MS stars (> 50–70 km s⁻¹) exhibit a saturation level near $\log L_x/L_{bol} = -3$. Recent analyses of low-mass PMS stars shows no particular correlation with Rossby number but a strong correlation of X-ray to bolometric luminosity of around $\log L_x/L_{bol} = -3.7$ [695, 241], which is much lower than the MS saturation level. Two main differences in connection with MS and PMS rotation and X-rays become apparent. First, X-ray luminosity in PMS stars seems fairly independent of rotational period, in MS it is not. Second, X-ray luminosities are high in PMS stars despite the fact that these stars are slow rotators (see Sect. 7.2).

These findings suggest that if PMS stars are to have an internal dynamo, it is likely a different mechanism from that of MS stars. Currently in the discussion are turbulent dynamos as well as solar dynamos in some supersaturation stage. Sometimes even relic core fields are considered [241]. The most recent X-ray account from the ONC does not exclude (but also does not support) strong star-disk magnetic interaction. A similar conclusion was reached in observations of IC 348 [694, 695]. The latter, in the light of magnetic configuration discussed in the previous chapter, will certainly be the subject of controversy for years to come. Some more details on results from various star-forming regions will be presented in Chap. 9.

8.1.7 The Search for Brown Dwarfs

One of the hottest topics in stellar X-ray astronomy is the detection of Xrays from *brown dwarfs*. Before the *Chandra* era, X-ray emission from only a few brown dwarfs was known [631, 731]. Devoid of any interior hydrogen burning, most of their luminosity comes from gravitational contraction as they cool down with age. Therefore, the window of detection within their lifespans is limited as their bolometric luminosity declines with age. Searches thus focus on ZAMS and PMS associations (see [631] and references therein). X-ray luminosities of identified and candidate brown dwarfs were found to be solar with log L_x of less than 28.5 compared to the Sun's 27.6. A lower limit is difficult if not impossible to establish as it requires X-ray flux sensitivities which will not be available for another decade. *ROSAT* observations yielded upper limits of typically 25. The bulk of the log L_x/L_{bol} values lies significantly below the MS saturation limit of -3, specifically for objects found in the Chamaeleon and Taurus region [631, 180]. Observations with *Chandra* are now adding rapidly to the brown dwarf X-ray account with over 60 detections to date [8]. This became evident very early in the mission when [731] detected a bright X-ray flare from the 550 Myr old M9 brown dwarf LP 944-20. Its quiescent emission remained undetected setting an upper limit of log L_x of 24 with a L_x/L_{bol} close to the Sun's value. Recent observations now added many more objects to the account [422, 694, 695, 239] and it is now well established that brown dwarfs are significant X-ray sources. Yet, answers to questions about the origins of the X-ray emission and when they appear during their evolution are still outstanding. Once again, the *ONC* proves to be one of the most promising laboratories to study brown dwarf evolution as it harbors one of the largest samples found in a single cluster [542, 538].

From all that has been said about the origins of X-rays in PMS stars, it should not be too surprising to observe X-rays from brown dwarfs. Yet, at the same time they are part of the controversy concerning the X-ray production in PMS stars. Although brown dwarfs cannot ignite hydrogen burning in their interiors, they certainly can go through deuterium and lithium burning cycles before cooling down for the rest of their existence. The only source for their surface luminosity is then gravitational contraction. In fact the limiting mass ⁷Li-burning is 0.06 M_{\odot} [656], which is lower than the sub-stellar mass limit of $0.075-0.080 M_{\odot}$ [134, 135, 55]. Brown dwarfs will not likely develop radiative cores and may initiate convection, which could lead to turbulent dynamos and thus coronal fields similar to their very low-mass stellar cousins, which are fully convective up to a mass of 0.3 M_{\odot} [208]. Of course, one has to consider rotation, which in the case of brown dwarfs is an uncertain issue. On the other hand, brown dwarfs are not excluded from the initial mass spectrum of collapsing clouds. Phenomenologically, stars near the sub-stellar mass limit go through a similar pre- and protostellar evolution including the formation of accretion disks. So far the lowest accretion rate in such an object was determined to be $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-12} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ [608]. In some cases, near-IR excesses, which are signatures of accretion disks (see Chap. 7), have been observed [900]. However, the X-ray flare in LP 944-20, for example, likely does not relate to disk activity given the high age of the star. Very recently, X-rays from the brown dwarf companion TWA 5B in an only 12 Myr old PMS binary system were detected. The emissions were found to be well in line with coronal characteristics [852].

8.2 X-rays from Protostars

The observation and study of X-rays from PMS stars has become an established field in high-energy astrophysics. With the availability of more sensitive and capable instrumentation, searches into novel domains follow. While the detection of X-rays from brown dwarfs posed a sensitivity challenge for ROSAT, another frontier opened up with the possibility of observing starforming regions at even higher energies. At high energies one can observe deeply embedded protostars in MC where star formation is still on-going, where stars are enshrouded in their own circumstellar material. Following the laws of X-ray absorption, this has the consequence that most of the flux in the soft X-ray band is absorbed. A hint that protostars are sources of X-rays came with ROSAT by the detection of an incredibly powerful super-flare from YLW 15 (IRS 43) in 1997 that lasted for a few hours [319]. In general, the ability to observe X-rays from protostars progressed significantly with ASCAand consequently *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton*

8.2.1 The Search for Protostars

The first highlight in this new direction came with the detection of persistently variable hard X-ray emission from two class I protostars in the ρ Oph F cloud with ASCA: YLW 15 and WL6 [850, 589]. At luminosities around 10^{32} erg s^{-1} , they exceed the top quiescent luminosities known for the voungest T Tauri stars. The ρ Oph cloud is one of the very few star-forming regions where IR observations have located large accumulations of protostars [26, 540, 320], with about 17 percent of the young stars of type class I. The properties of the two objects detected with ASCA resemble class I sources in many ways. In the ρ Oph cloud core they have ages between 0.75–1.5 \times 10⁵ yr. The two protostars, when compared to each other, are somewhat extreme and thus emphasize crucial elements in the evolution of protostars to PMS stars. In the light of X-ray and IR observations [899, 106, 319, 589], YLW 15 is a 2.0 M_{\odot} protostar that rotates near break-up speed and exhibits quasi-periodic flaring activity. WL6 is of very low mass ($< 0.3 M_{\odot}$) but slowly rotating. The protostar could have reached a state closely related to a class II X-ray source. In this respect, it is specifically interesting to investigate how such different properties can coexist within one star-forming region if one assumes that this region represents a common evolutionary environment.

Chandra has observed many more protostars, mostly of the class I type in terms of stellar mass. Variability is as common as in T Tauri stars, and their X-ray luminosities and plasma temperatures are systematically higher than those of PMS stars. Most X-ray studies of class I X-ray sources are still confined to observations of the ρ Oph clouds because of their proximity. In a deep observation of ρ Oph [422, 423] found over 50 percent of the known class I source candidates. Of this sample, again more than half are classified as class I_c, emphasizing their more evolved evolutionary stage between classes I and II. Other recently identified class I sources appear in the Monoceros R2 region [695, 479, 616], the Orion Molecular Clouds (OMC-2,3) [853, 851] and in IC 348 [694].

To date, there has not yet been undisputed evidence for X-rays emitted from class 0 protostars. The first detection may have happened with ASCA

in 1996 [482], although the classification of source IRS 7 in the R CrA cloud core is very uncertain. In late 2000, Y. Tsuboi [851] reported on hard X-rays from two dust condensations located in the OMC-2,3 clouds. The sources appear persistent and heavily absorbed with N_H larger than $1-2 \times 10^{23}$ cm⁻² which, according to (3.4.3), results in A_v values between 50 and 150. What suggests an identification with the condensations is that these absorption values are about an order of magnitude higher than one would expect from class I sources. On the other hand, the same argument also casts some doubt on the identification be upheld, they may mark a departure from the perception that X-rays from very young stars are highly variable. Last but not least, the discovery of X-rays from a protostellar outflow object *HH2*, may also indicate emission from a very young protostellar object. Although in this case the origin of the X-rays points more in the direction of a jet colliding with its circumstellar environment [687].

Another quite interesting recent development concerns the detection of X-rays from massive YSOs. The rapid evolutionary timescales make the observability of such events, if they do exist, extremely difficult. From Table A.9 it can be deduced that the time between collapse and full hydrogen burning may be as short as several 10^4 yr. The chances to observe such events are highest in the youngest star-forming regions. One of them is the *Mon R2* cluster at the distance of 830 pc [387]. Within this massive star-forming cloud there are a few massive condensations. *IRS 3* and three others show hard X-rays at the level of 10^{30} erg s⁻¹ [696, 479]. Two of these sources show only low variability and $\log L_x/L_{bol}$ of the order of -7, which is what one would expect from massive stars on the MS [74]. X-rays from the *BN* object near the Orion Trapezium have so far remained inconclusive [286, 761].

Most exciting has been the discovery of a new reflection-type nebula on 9 February, 2004 near M78 in the L1630 molecular cloud in the Orion starforming region (see Sect. 9.3.1). It was named after its discoverer J. Mc-Neil [569]. Recent studies have found various different results. One study finds a spectrum consistent with an early B spectral type quite similar to the outburst spectrum of the FU Ori star V1057 Cygni and concludes an FU Ori type event [127]. Others conclude that the object is a heavily embedded class I star [29, 860] or suggests the evidence is inconclusive [718]. The object was also observed with Chandra in X-rays [457]. The X-ray data are strong evidence that a sudden infall of matter from an accretion disk onto the surface of the star is causing the outburst (see Plate 2.6).

8.2.2 Magnetic Activity in Protostars

Protostars drive powerful jets into highly magnetic environments. From (7.24) it can be seen that high luminosities, as observed in the most powerful X-ray flares, are easily obtainable. Thus the argument that flaring activity, quasiperiodic or not, has magnetic origins is relatively undisputed even in class I pro-

tostars. However, it has to be addressed that configurations such as those discussed in the last chapter are even more difficult to adapt than in the case of T Tauri stars simply because the basic assumption of steady-state conditions is probably unrealistic. Thus, numerical models and analytical formulations assume steady-state as an asymptotic projection. Even if non-steady-state elements are included, the results to date remain incomplete (see [589]). Therefore the origins of magnetic activity in protostars are even less understood than in the case of their older PMS counterparts. In a theoretical attempt to evaluate X-ray emission from protostars, Montmerle [589] conceded that:

...again stellar rotation and magnetic activity are intimately related in low-mass stars.... Young, fast-rotating (and hence presumably more massive) class I sources will be strong X-ray emitters due to star-disk interactions, while evolved, less massive, slow rotators will exhibit only solar-like X-ray activity near the surface of the central star.

Many of the almost two dozen protostars detected so far show X-ray emission very much reminiscent of coronal activity. Most protostars in this category are evolved and close to the age of 10^5 yr. Deuterium burning is well under way. However, $\log(L_x/L_{bol})$ in several large flares exceeds the saturation limit for typical dynamo activity by orders of magnitude. Its likely origin is a magnetic braking mechanism in a configuration very similar to the ones discussed in Sect. 7.3.2 with the underlying physics similar to the GL model. The basis for the statement above were observations of YLW 15 and WL6 in the ρ Oph F cloud, where at least in the case of YLW 15, star–disk reconnection events seem the most satisfactory explanation. For a more recent assessment of the evolutionary state of YLW 15 see [297]. The realization that stellar mass matters in protostellar flares [589] was important. Thus for masses smaller than about 3 M_{\odot} and under the assumption that the initial angular velocity of the star is the break-up velocity, one can estimate the magnetic braking time until the star reaches the birthline to be:

$$t_{br,0} \sim 3.3 \times 10^5 \left(\frac{B_{surf}}{[1000 \text{ G}]}\right)^{-2/7} \left(\frac{R}{[R_{\odot}]}\right)^{-5/14} \\ \left(\frac{M}{[M_{\odot}]}\right)^{15/14} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{acc}}{[10^{-6}M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}]}\right)^{-6/7} \text{yr} \qquad (8.4)$$

where a disk-like accretion geometry has been assumed (see [589] and references therein for details). Using the mass-radius relation from Fig. 6.4 and recognizing that the relevant mass accretion rate for braking is equivalent to M_{wind} in (7.19), one gets a braking time of about 4×10^5 yr for large masses, but much smaller times for low-mass stars. Mass dependence of magnetic braking can create a distribution of rotational periods within a young star-forming region.



Fig. 8.6. (left) The evolution of star-disk magnetic field lines with rotation. Proceeding from (1) to (5), field lines cannot follow the faster rotating inner star. As a field line winds up (3 and 4), it crosses over and reconnects (5) (from [589], see also Sect. 7.3.2). (right) ASCA light curve of YLW 15 showing three successive flares about 20 hr apart. The flares could be modeled using radiative cooling (see Sect. 7.3.5) with loop sizes, densities, and equipartition fields comparable to values obtained from T Tauri and solar flares. From Montmerle et al. [589].

8.3 X-ray Spectra of PMS Stars

First results from *Chandra* observations of nearby star-forming regions have already sparked controversy and infused new life into the question about the origins of the X-ray emission (see Sect. 8.1.6). With new, high-resolution tools, scientists are now able to specifically diagnose the X-ray emitting plasmas. This can be achieved by building a statistical account of luminosities, masses, and rotation periods (see Sect. 8.1). Models then can be verified and tested against specific correlations and diagnostics leading to the determination of densities, abundances, and ionization balances. In X-ray astronomy the problem is a practical one and largely limited by technology. It simply takes more than three times as long to accumulate one high-resolution X-ray spectrum than to perform a wide range of studies on an entire star-forming region. While Sect. 8.1 mainly featured results from low-resolution broadband studies, the next sections introduce X-ray spectra of very young PMS stars at low and high resolution.

8.3.1 Spectral Characteristics

An empirical classification of X-ray spectra like the one with respect to IR SEDs has not evolved. Reasons for this are manifold, including the rather spotty availability of high-quality data. Spectral information has been available since *Uhuru* in the mid-1970s for the Orion region, although observed star-forming regions were not spatially resolved. In retrospect, now that many regions are highly spatially resolved, one recognizes that there were many past

X-ray missions recording data from young stars, such as the European EX-OSAT and the Japanese GINGA which otherwise could not be distinguished from diffuse radiation, late stellar stages or possibly coincident sources of extragalactic origin. After the discovery that PMS stars show strong X-rays, ROSAT established a substantial account of soft stellar X-ray spectra. Today it is known that spectra of young stars are sometimes strong in either the soft (0.1–2.5 keV), the hard (2.5–10 keV), or a combination of the two bands. ASCA established a first account of X-ray spectra throughout the full wavelength band.

Most X-ray spectra are now obtained using X-ray sensitive Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs) which offer medium resolution of $E/\Delta E$ between 10 and 60. The application of the energy scale rather than a wavelength scale in low-resolution devices has historical reasons which are related to the electronic properties of the detection devices. At this resolution there is not much possibility to perform detailed line spectroscopy except for very large line equivalent widths with the risk of line blends. There are three basic quantities relevant in the analysis of CCD spectra: the column density N_H as a measure of absorption, the equivalent temperature kT of the X-ray emitting plasma and the X-ray flux f_x in selected energy bands. Fig. 8.7 illustrates common spectral shapes of typical CCD spectra from young stars. Although it is true that there is no formal classification of X-ray spectra, there are some global similarities to the IR SEDs due to absorption properties. Hotter absorbed (class 0 and I) protostars emit much harder spectra than cooler and less absorbed T Tauri stars. Expressions like hard or soft spectra are commonly used to describe the bulk emissivity in the X-ray band.

High-resolution X-ray spectra are currently based on dispersive devices such as the *Reflection Grating Spectrometer (RGS)* on board *XMM-Newton* and the *High-Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer (HETGS)* on board *Chandra* which offer spectral resolving powers of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ of 300 and 1,200 at 1 keV, respectively. These spectra are now displayed in wavelengths, which is the linear scale of the detector. Scale conversions are given in (A.3).

8.3.2 Modeling X-ray Spectra

The above shows that modeling medium-resolution X-ray spectra, though highly efficient, has flaws with respect to their interpretation. The energy distributions of coronal plasmas are line dominated unless the plasma temperatures are very high, in excess of 50 to 60 MK. Consequently, spectral fits to low- and medium-resolution X-ray spectra are not unique and thus the results can be deceiving. In the case of TW Hya a fit to the CCD spectrum assumed significant amounts of Fe in the stars corona [453], whereas the lineby-line analysis immediately showed that Fe is extremely underabundant. The bulk of the soft emission stems from Ne ions [455].

Both IR excesses in SEDs as well as absorption in X-ray spectra indicate how much a star is embedded in circumstellar material. However, there exist



Fig. 8.7. Medium-resolution CCD spectra as obtained with the imaging spectrometer ACIS on board *Chandra*. The shapes of the spectra, though highly affected by the instrument response, show particular characteristics with respect to absorption and temperature. The diagram shows spectra for three combinations of column density N_H and equivalent plasma temperature kT. The one with low values (log $N_H = 20, kT = 1 \text{ keV}$) is typically received from nearby T Tauri stars. The medium values (log $N_H = 21, kT = 2 \text{ keV}$) are typically recorded from young T Tauri stars in the *ONC*. The high values (log $N_H = 22, kT = 7 \text{ keV}$) correspond to hot and deeply embedded protostars in the *L1641* MC cloud behind the *ONC*. The wiggles and spikes in the first two spectra are indicative of the fact that at these temperatures the emission is still line dominated. The high temperature spectrum is smooth and dominated by a bremsstrahlungs continuum. The energy range is divided into the three bands (soft, medium, and hard) that were used for the color map (red, green, and blue) in Plate 2.5.

very distinct differences in the information between X-ray line spectra and IR SEDs. The former describes the hot material and the latter the cool plasma and warm dust. Radiating circumstellar dust occupies much larger volumes than X-ray emitting hot plasmas. Line spectra provide detailed temperature distributions, plasma densities and elemental abundances. If resolved, the lines reveal the dynamics in the emitting plasma, which, when correlated with optical lines, also provide hard geometrical constraints. Matter in stellar coronae



Fig. 8.8. (left) Three examples of DEM shapes. The distributions are normalized to their peak values. They show the CTTS TW Hya, a flare from the active binary II Peg, and the persistent DEM from the active coronal source AR Lac. (right) The absolute DEMs of the CTTS TW Hya, the WTTS TV Crt and the persistent phase of IM Peg. The width of the curves reflect the measurement uncertainties due to line statistics.

exist as an optically thin ionized hot plasma. These coronae have been under study for half a century. Interpretations of X-ray and UV data from the Sun as well as UV data of stars obtained with the Extreme Ultraviolet Explorer (EUVE) were fruitful [212, 208]. The modeling of such collisionally ionized plasmas is an ongoing problem in astrophysics as these plasmas are found throughout the entire Universe. Today's models evolved from a large number of codes and analyses [508, 579, 707]. In the 1980s to the early 1990s the so-called Raymond-Smith model [707] was widely used to fit ROSAT spectra from stars. Throughout the 1990s, ever more sophisticated codes evolved, such as HULLAC [56], MEKAL [447, 582], CHIANTI [507], and SPEX [448]. Today many of these efforts are combined and developed further into what is called the Astrophysical Plasma Emission Code (APEC) along with its database, APED [796]. This database currently contains over a million lines from calculations of plasmas within a large range of temperatures and densities. Databases are far from being complete and it has to be acknowledged that updates are made on a continuing basis. The primary goal of the *Emission* Line Project (ELP) within the Chandra X-ray Center (CXC) is to produce a catalog of observed spectral lines from stars with active coronae like *Procyon*. Capella and HR 1099 that can be compared with current plasma spectral models on an ion-to-ion basis. Most models assume an ionization balance from [563] together with standard solar abundances from [20].

The EM is the volume integral over the product of electron (n_e) and hydrogen (n_h) densities at a given temperature. The *Differential Emission Measure* (DEM) is the derivative of the EM with respect to temperature (see [416] for more details). Fig. 8.8 shows a variety of such distributions derived from highly resolved X-ray spectra. The distribution for the CTTS TW Hya shows that the plasma temperature has a single relatively sharp peak at ~3 MK [455]. The account of such distributions is still very sparse as analyses at this level of accuracy are relatively novel in the X-ray domain. However, such single peaked distributions without at least hot tails as seen in TW Hya seem to be the exception but not the rule. Other well peaked DEMs appear during flaring activity in active stars but at much higher temperatures. More complex is the quiescent emission in active coronal sources showing multi-temperature peaks [416, 417]. The DEM analysis allows one to estimate element abundances of the highly ionized material as the X-ray line flux is proportional to the product of the total line emissivity and the ion abundance.

8.3.3 Coronal Diagnostics

The properties of X-ray flares stress an analogy with coronally active stars. A rich account of line diagnostics in the extreme UV, specifically from data gathered by EUVE, focussed on the determination of plasma densities. Densities are a key parameter for inferring the size of X-ray emitting regions since the EM is proportional to the product of the square of the density and the emission volume. High-resolution X-ray line spectra offer an independent diagnostic through the evaluation of specific line ratios. Most of these diagnostics are based on detailed research of the solar corona [274, 728, 861] simply for the fact that the solar corona is much easier to study than distant stellar coronae. In this respect EUVE offered the first opportunity to study extra-solar spectra of active stars at high resolution. Specifically, observations of *Capella* appear to be at the forefront [212, 138], making the star one of the best studied extra-solar active coronal sources at short wavelengths. As a consequence, it was also the first target observed with the high-resolution spectrometers on board *Chandra* [141, 139].

Though there are many ways to determine the density of a hot emitting plasma through line ratios, they all depend on crucial assumptions about the nature of the emitting plasma. In almost all cases it is assumed that the plasma is optically thin. One of the tests to decide on the optical thickness of a plasma is to search for evidence of *resonance scattering*. If the plasma is optically thick, then resonance line photons can be absorbed and re-emitted in different directions. The line flux would appear changed with respect to the optically thin case. Some of the most frequently used lines are from Fe XVII ions, specifically the ratios of the 15.26 Å and 16.68 Å line fluxes to that of the 15.01 Å line flux [750]. Most analyses so far remain controversial on the issue of resonance scattering even for solar data. Observations of a large sample of spectra from stellar coronae showed no significant damping of resonance lines [626] and thus the paradigm that coronal plasmas are optically thin seems to hold. It should be noted that under various circumstances forms of resonance scattering can be observed even in optically thin plasmas of very



Fig. 8.9. A comparison of high-resolution X-ray spectra between 13.4 Å and 15.5 Å. All stars shown, except for TW Hya at the top, are binary stars with active coronae. The panels show at the left the He-like triplet of Ne ions at 13.44, 13.55, and 13.71 Å and, to the right, the Fe XVII doublet at 15.01 and 15.26 Å. The former are used as a sensitive density diagnostic. The latter can test optical thickness properties. From Kastner et al. [455].

large volumes and have been validated to have a significant effect on ionized winds of high-mass X-ray binaries [914, 762].

The other assumption is that the emitting plasma is in *ionization equilibrium*. This simply means that the dominant processes are due to collisions and ionization happens predominantly from the ground state balanced by radiative decay and recombination. There is no significant photoexcitation, which would considerably alter line fluxes. Photoexcitation is expected, for example, in the presence of an external radiation field such as strong UV emission from the hot stellar surface of massive stars [580, 449]. Line dominated UV emission from stellar coronae are not effective with respect to X-ray photoexcitations, though there may be the possibility of continuum emission from accretion shocks in T Tauri stars.

Most popular for density diagnostics is the use of emission from He-like ions [274, 685], which are atoms where all electrons have been stripped but the innermost two. This technique that was first established by [274] and is now widely used by the astrophysics community [141, 681, 416, 455, 626, 417, 625]. The diagnostic relies on the fact that the K-shell emission from He-like ions is a triplet consisting of closely spaced line components, the recombination line, an intercombination doublet and a forbidden line. The latter is so named as it involves transitions that do not possess a dipole moment and thus violate corresponding quantum selection rules. The fact that it involves metastable states, which are relatively long-lived states, makes them sensitive to collisional depopulation. In the absence of any photoexcitation an enhanced plasma density increases the population of the intercombination line doublet, at the expense of the forbidden line. For an in-depth treatment and discussions see [681, 625] and references therein.

Fig. 8.9 shows various examples of He-like triplets as they have been observed recently from stars with active coronae. The X-ray line ratios from the He-like triplet of Ne ions, for example, predict electron densities in coronal loops between 10^{10} and 10^{12} cm⁻³. In many cases, however, a proper diagnostic is a very difficult task as Fe ions are usually quite abundant in stellar coronae and the lines from Fe ions cause significant blends. This is an effect known from spectra in the solar corona. In this respect, the top spectrum in Fig. 8.9 shows the unusual spectrum of the CTTS TW Hya, which turned out to be fairly devoid of Fe lines. Here the density diagnostics from Ne ions is specifically significant leading to values near 10^{13} cm⁻³.

8.3.4 CTTS versus WTTS

The issue of a predominantly coronal origin in young PMS stars is currently extensively debated. So far the question whether CTTS and WTTS have distinguished differences in their X-ray emission remains unclear. Specifically the statistical account in major star-forming clusters, such as the ONC and IC 348, do not support major X-ray differences between WTTS and CTTS other than that the former have somewhat enhanced fluxes (see Sect. 8.4). To date this manifests the assumption that in both cases X-rays are generated through mostly coronal activity.

A potential way to diagnose coronal activity in young stars is through highly resolved spectroscopy in the far-UV and X-ray domain, where most radiative activity of coronae takes place. However, not every hot circumstellar plasma must be of magnetic origin and predictions also include features like mass accretion streams from the inner accretion disk onto the stars surface specifically in the youngest PMS stars, the CTTS (see Fig. 6.13). In this respect the first high-resolution X-ray spectrum of a CTTS showed evidence that may favor the accretion paradigm rather than coronal mechanisms [455]. The top panel in Plate 2.7 shows the X-ray spectrum of TW Hya. Essentially, it features a single peaked EM (see Fig. 8.8) at a low temperature (log T [K] = 6.5), unusually high densities above 10^{12} cm⁻³, and almost no difference in spectral parameters during flare activity. Except perhaps for the latter, these spectral properties do not rule out coronal activity. As a whole the data very much support expectations from accretion streams. Here, the fact that TW Hya is viewed nearly pole-on could be crucial as on the poles of the young star the effects of plasma accretion streams are expected to be most evident. An obvious counter example is the bottom spectrum of TV Crt, a WTTS of the same nearby TW Hya association. So far all diagnostics rule out accretion in favor of coronal properties as expected for WTTS as here accretion has already ceased [456]. Plate 2.7 further illustrates this phenomenon.

High-energy emission from T Tauri stars is complex and researchers are beginning to see the details involved. Though the two examples above will not solve the origins of high energy emission from newly formed stars, they already show that the interpretation of X-ray data involves many facets and many more future X-ray observations are needed to resolve the puzzle.

8.3.5 Massive Stars in Young Stellar Clusters

The study of high-energy signatures from young massive stars has always been hampered by the short timescales involved in their evolution. Except for some detection of hard X-rays from massive protostellar candidates (see Sect. 8.2.1) there are not many records of X-rays from massive stars in their young evolutionary phases. Here the term 'massive' may be restricted to veryearly-type stars of spectral type B0.5 and earlier. In terms of youth, these stars evolve so rapidly that they hardly last the time it takes a low-mass star to pass though the T Tauri stage. The high spatial resolving power of Chandra made it possible to separate the X-ray signatures of very young massive stellar cluster cores. In terms of PMS definitions, these stars may not actually be young in evolutionary terms as they all have already reached the MS. In fact, some of these stars could even be further evolved as it may take about 10^{5-6} yr to disperse the circumstellar disks (see Sect. 7.1.6). The age of a cluster is usually determined through the use of the HR diagram by comparison of the positions of cluster members with theoretical tracks. The youngest known clusters containing massive cores have ages of less then 7–10 Myr. Most interesting are the ones with ages below 1 Myr, although the age determination using the HR diagram can be highly uncertain (for a more in depth discussion of embedded young cluster properties refer to Chap. 9). The voungest regions that have been studied most in X-rays are the ONC [761]. Trifid [719], and RCW 38 [918] with estimated ages between 0.2 and 1 Myr.

A comparison of resolved X-ray emission from very young clusters (< 1 Myr) to young clusters (3-7 Myr) found indications of signatures from the early evolution of young massive stars [763] (Table 8.3). It should be realized, that median cluster ages may not reflect the true age of the core massive stars. It is a reasonable working assumption that the younger the cluster the closer the massive star is to the ZAMS. The first detailed investigations indicated that there is a striking difference in the X-rays from various cluster ages. Nearly all of the stars in the older (i.e., > 1 Myr) clusters exhibit X-ray properties entirely consistent with shock instabilities in radiatively driven

Star	Spectral type	Star-form. region	Age [Myr]	Т [МК]	$\frac{\log \mathcal{L}_x^{mag}}{[\text{erg s}^{-1}]}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \log \mathcal{L}_x^{wind} \\ [\mathrm{erg} \ \mathrm{s}^{-1} \] \end{array} $
Θ^1 Ori A	B0.5V	Orion	0.3	5-43	31.0	30.7
Θ^1 Ori B	B1V/B3	Orion	0.3	22 - 35	30.3	
Θ^1 Ori C	O6.5Vp	Orion	0.3	6 - 66	32.2	31.5
Θ^1 Ori D	B0.5Vp	Orion	0.3	7 - 8		29.5
Θ^1 Ori E	B0.5	Orion	0.3	4 - 47	31.4	30.8
Θ^2 Ori	O9.5Vpe	Orion	0.3	5 - 32	31.1	31.4
τ Sco	B0.2V	Sco-Cen	~ 1	7 - 27	31.9	31.4
HD 164492A	O7.5V	Trifid	0.3	$<\!\!12$		31.4
	Be	Trifid	0.3	$<\!40$		31.5
IRS 3	O5	RCW 38	0.3	$<\!30$		32.2
HD 206267	O6.5V	IC 1396	3 - 7	2 - 10	-	31.6
τ CMA	O9 Ib	NGC 2362	3 - 5	3 - 12	-	32.3
$15 { m Mon}$	O7V	NGC 2264	3 - 7	2 - 10	-	31.7
ι Ori	O9 III	Orion	$<\!\!12$	1 - 10	-	32.4
ζ Ori	$O9.7 \ Ib$	Orion	$<\!\!12$		-	32.5
δ Ori	O9.5II	Orion	$<\!\!12$		-	32.2

Table 8.3. Young massive stars in stellar clusters at or near the ZAMS.

winds. In essence, these properties can be summarized by plasma temperatures not in excess of 15 MK, broad emission lines with velocity widths amounting to roughly 30 to 50 percent of the terminal wind velocity. In addition to the broadness of the X-ray lines, the line shapes are affected by the opacity of the wind, which not only skews the red side of the line but also makes the line appear blue shifted. Such X-ray properties have been observed in the archetypical O-star ζ Pup and in *HD 206267* in the young cluster core of *Tr* 37 [157, 764]. Most X-ray spectra from cluster cores of less than 1 Myr in age show a more complex pattern, which is very likely caused by the additional influence of magnetic fields.

Detailed models for magnetically channeled winds have recently been developed [855, 276]. Fig. 8.10 illustrates the major differences in X-ray signatures, and their underlying wind configurations. Near ZAMS massive stars confine some of the wind into magnetic field loops which heats the wind plasma to high temperatures. Otherwise it is a normal wind elsewhere as observed in more evolved stars. The magnetic field is then residual from the early protostellar history and is of primordial origin. Temporal and structural properties of such a field are still fairly unknown.

8.4 γ -Radiation from YSOs

The quest for even more energetic radiation than X-rays is a recent development. The abundance of accelerated particles and highly energetic gas during


Fig. 8.10. Schematic illustration of stellar wind configurations in massive stars on the ZAMS and beyond. (left) A massive star with normal wind activity unperturbed by magnetic fields. Most massive stars seem to follow this scheme. (right) the same as left but here the wind is under the strong influence of a stellar field. Most stars near the ZAMS seem to show such a pattern.

solar flare events have been derived from the Solar Maximum Mission (SMM) data [606]. The existence of the acceleration of low-energy (MEV) particles in the solar photosphere has been established. The intriguing consequence of this is that during protostellar and PMS phases, the stars probably irradiate their circumstellar environment causing nuclear spallation reactions in the disk. Suggestive in this case is enhanced irradiation proportional to the X-ray emission. A high level of particle irradiation is suggested by the observed X-rays and consequences are outlined in the X-wind model [784]. What this means for the history of our Solar System will be investigated further in Chap. 10.

One of the consequences for PMS systems could be enhanced radioactivity. Isotopes may involve Beryllium [¹⁰Be;⁹Be], Aluminum [²⁷Al;²⁶Al], Calcium [⁴¹Ca;⁴⁰Ca], Manganese [⁵⁵Mn;⁵³Mn], and Iron [⁶⁰Fe;⁵⁶Fe], which have radioactive lifetimes of the order of Myr and are now extinct. The production of such short-lived nuclides in the early Sun is now under increased investigation [310].

The observational account of γ -radiation from YSOs so far has basically been non-existent. For example, the *Comptel Telescope* on board the *Compton Gamma Ray Observatory (CGRO)* in the early 1990s observed diffuse 1.809 MeV emission from ²⁶Al isotopes from OB associations within the old Gould belt around the Sun (see Sect. 3.1.4) [200]. The emission is orders of magnitude larger than expected from irradiated young stellar disks [591] and is likely sparked by nucleosythesis in supernovas rather than young stellar activity.

Star-forming Regions

Satisfactory assessments of star-forming regions in the Galaxy are essential for the understanding of early stellar evolution and today a vast amout of astronomical studies are available. Star-forming regions distinguish themselves from other regions in the Galaxy. Many different physical processes are displayed with high levels of variability, a large number of outflow sources, interactions with the circumstellar medium and emissions throughout the entire electromagnetic spectrum. None of the other stages of stellar evolution appear so evidently active in extended, but limited, regions in the sky. Clearly, supernova remnants or planetary nebulae do the same, but they always represent single isolated events. The reason for this may seem obvious. During later stages of stellar evolution, stars with different masses follow different evolutionary paths and only in very rare cases appear clustered. In the early days of stellar formation research these regions were mostly identified as large associations of early-type stars. Even today, OB associations are considered to be synonymous with regions harboring active stellar formation. Although it has been realized that not every star-forming region produces massive stars, those are in the minority.

Today, stellar clusters are being used as modern laboratories of stellar evolution research. Very young clusters form in GMCs and remain obscured during the earliest stages of stellar evolution as they are still embedded in molecular gas and dust. Although certainly a challenge to observe, modern observational techniques at sub-mm, IR and X-ray wavelengths now allow one to view into even the deepest embedded stellar clusters. This has been impressively demonstrated in many examples, most prominently in Orion. Figure 9.1 shows the core of the *ONC* in the optical, IR and X-ray bands. While the optical image only shows a few of the brightest and hottest stars, the IR and X-ray images reveal hundreds of newly formed stars in the cluster which may as well are embedded into background molecular clouds. In the near future, it is expected that all sky IR surveys, such as the *Deep Near Infrared Survey (DENIS)* and *2MASS*, will provide the most systematic account of specifically embedded cluster populations in the Galaxy [504].



Fig. 9.1. The *ONC* in three different wavelength bands, IR (left), optical (middle) and X-rays (right). The images were generated using NASA's online *SkyView* facility and the *Chandra* data archive.

Clusters, in general, cover the entire mass spectrum of stars confined to a limited volume. These stars have more or less formed simulaneously. If one neglects that there are overlapping generations, they can be studied as canonical samples in the HR-diagram. Certain regions are then snapshots of a specific time in the physical evolution of a large range of masses held together by gravity and classical motions. Consequently, they can be described as such.

9.1 Embedded Stellar Clusters

Most stars in the Galactic disk probably originated from stellar clusters embedded in the molecular clouds that formed them. This concerns, specifically, the youngest of the stellar clusters. Clusters older than about 5 Myr are usually not associated with molecular gas any more and appear more dispersed. These *open clusters* will be a subject in the more general Sect. 9.2. An in-depth review of the properties of *embedded stellar clusters (ESCs)*, which are clusters with median ages younger than about 3 Myr, has recently been presented by C. and E. Lada [504].

The implementation of advanced IR imaging cameras greatly added to the number of known ESCs. These first IR observations are specifically important as they provide the location, size, and a first characterization of the cluster, which then can be studied further at other wavelengths. The account so far indicates that large ESCs are surprisingly numerous. Projections indicate that they are the birthplaces of the vast majority of the stars in the Galaxy. One of the big challenges ahead is thus to produce a full accountability of the stellar formation process from the ISM to GMCs to ESCs and finally to the dispersal of MS stars. The study of ESCs, in this respect, addresses an integral part of this process. It also means that stellar formation is not an isolated process but a crowded one (see Sect. 9.1.2). Cluster dynamics and timescales influence the evolution of young stars. The material below gives an overview of the basic properties of ECSs.

9.1.1 The Account of ESCs

The number of known young clusters has increased vastly in recent years. It has to be realized, though, that even with the most modern cameras and telescopes, the detection and characterization of ESCs is still severely limited by the large distances of most objects. Much beyond a 2 kpc radius around the Sun, the uncertainties in the determination of observational parameters increase rapidly and only the largest proponents can be studied. A prime example for how large embedded clusters can be lies in one of the Milky Way's neighbors. Plate 2.8 shows $NGC \ 604$ in the 0.92 Mpc distant galaxy M33. It is a massive cluster, harboring as many as 200 massive stars at its core (see Sect. 9.2.4) in comparison to only a handful in the ONC. These examples are quite rare and ESCs in our Galaxy are usually less massive. Detailed accounts of ESCs, even within the Galaxy, are still restricted to a radius of about 2.5 kpc around the Sun.

The detection of ESCs is based on various criteria that have been adopted over time as properties of these clusters are better known. In general, these match quite well the properties that characterize major star-forming regions and include the detection of molecular gas, outflow activity and the existence of Herbig Ae/Be stars. Many are associated with H II regions within OB associations. For many references of case studies of ESCs see [504]. This compilation of ESCs adopted 76 clusters within a radius of 2.4 kpc of the Sun with 35 or more identified members. The account is impressive as it includes over 1.3 \times 10⁴ IR sources and a total estimated mass of about 1.2 \times 10⁴ M_{\odot} . This leads to an average of 0.85 M_{\odot} per detected star, which is, as expected, below unity as the majority of young stars are T Tauri stars, but is still close enough to unity to indicate the existence of a significant number of massive stars. Massive stars reside predominatedly at the center of ESCs (see Sect. 9.1.3). The numbers directly reflect the mass functions of these clusters, which peak below 1 M_{\odot} . The vast majority of stars form in rich clusters with 100 and more member stars [6]. Compared to the total mass of GMCs, these stellar masses comprise only a small fraction (see Sect. 4.1.3). This has a profound impact on the morphology of clusters.

9.1.2 Formation

Most stars in the galactic disk originate one way or another from embedded stellar clusters [170, 504]. So far, when dealing with collapse conditions and scenarios in the previous chapters, clustered formation was not the center of the focus but rather the physics of stellar formation as single entities. As illustrated in Fig. 4.9, initial conditions for stellar collapse are part of the fragmentation process in GMCs. This implies that clouds collapse into multiple cores leading to clustered stellar formation. With vast advances in the field of computing power studies of cluster formation have recently become more a center of focus. Based on the paradigm that star formation is closely linked to the dynamical evolution of MCs, R. Klessen, for example, computes the formation of model clusters of low and intermediate masses through the interplay of turbulent fragmentation and gravity [471] (see also Sect. 4.2.5). Earlier studies also suggested that without turbulent support clouds would form more dense clusters [470, 472]. A detailed picture of all physical processes involved has not yet emerged because too many processes can influence the collapse of a cloud into a star cluster [170]. Besides gravity, magnetic fields, and turbulence, these processes may involve local triggers, such as a blast wave from a supernova or, on a grand scale, spiral density waves across the galactic plane.

Most GMCs are gravitationally bound and if it was not for magnetic and highly supersonic and turbulent velocity fields, none of these entities would be stable against gravitational collapse. The numerical simulations mentioned above then suggest that only under specific conditions may fragments defy the turbulent mass flow and form clusters [169]. How this happens in detail is still poorly understood. At least in the case of very dense cluster cores the scenario of the collapse of single stellar cores as described in Chap. 5 may not be feasible as solitary cores have sizes very much larger than the density of stars in dense cluster cores would allow. In this respect a formation mode is desired in which large solitary cores break into multi-entities. Such a mode may not be confined to cluster formation but seems necessary to explain the formation of binary and multiple systems as well. Myers [614] proposed a cooling scenario for the case of fragmentation due to MHD turbulence. Once extinction in a massive core becomes high enough so that the only ionizing source is cosmic rays, the overall ionization fraction in the core is reduced. MHD waves, smaller than a certain wavelength, cannot couple well to the neutral gas leading to fragmentation at this wavelength. What is left is a dense but fragmented collapsing core with a fragmentation distance typical of the distance of stars in cluster cores. To summarize, there are three formation scenarios suggested so far to reproduce observed morphologies (Sect. 9.1.3):

- the collapse of a solitary core controlled by ambipolar diffusion and magnetic braking;
- turbulent flows with shocks and collision for intermediate-sized MCs; and
- MHD fragmentation in massive dense cores.

Critically impacted by these scenarios is the mass function of ESCs and clusters in general. Today it is still entirely unclear how the initial distribution of stellar masses (Sect. 9.1.4) comes about in clusters. Protostellar cores with different masses grow on different timescales. Specifically in dense cores the formation scenario is likely tainted by competitive evolutionary processes such as competitive accretion from residual molecular gas outside the fragmented cores [103] or from collisions and coalescence of collapsing cores in the very center of massive cores [104] (see also [829] for a review on the subject). Other aspects of cluster formation are formation *efficiency* and formation *rates* which subsequently contribute to the clusters evolution. The starforming efficiency in a cluster is defined as:

$$\epsilon_{SFE} = \frac{M_{stars}}{M_{stars} + M_{gas}} \tag{9.1}$$

which simply is the ratio of the stellar to the total mass of the cluster and embedding cloud. From a collection of various measurements [504] determine a range of ϵ_{SFE} to be 10–30 percent. In detail, efficiencies of star formation in various ESCs give values of 25 percent for NGC6334 [830], 23 percent for ρ Oph and 19 percent for NGC 3576 [673]. Though one may infer from these numbers that star formation in clusters is relatively inefficient, the reader should keep in mind that it is still higher than the overall efficiency in the Galaxy, which is of the order of 5 percent (see Sect. 4.2). The formation rate of ESCs, which in the Galactic plane can be defined as the number of clusters per unit time and unit area, can be deduced from observations of ESCs in the solar neighborhood. Here the rate is determined to be 2–4 Myr⁻¹ kpc⁻² [504], confirming an earlier determination based on only the Orion complex [499]. These high rates and efficiencies are the basis for the argument that most stars in the Galaxy have, in fact, originated from ESCs (see Sect. 9.2 for more discussion).

Lada's compilation of ESCs [504] also allows us to compute an ESC mass distribution. This distribution drops sharply below 50 M_{\odot} and above about 1,000 M_{\odot} . In between the distribution shows a power law with index -2. This means that the bulk of the stellar mass is in massive clusters.

9.1.3 Morphology

The internal structure of stellar clusters is of great interest as it is a direct consequence of the star-forming process and its initial conditions. Naively one would expect, for example, that the stellar density structure of the cluster reflect, in some respect, the density structure of the initially collapsing cloud. Although this is probably true, this structure will be diluted by various effects, which can include cloud turbulence, massive star formation or external forces, just to name a few.

Embedded clusters exhibit several basic structures, which can be characterized to be *hierarchical*, *turbulent* or *centralized*. Hierarchical clusters exhibit multiple clusterings, at least in the form of double clusters. Each of these structures then has its own substructure, which may appear centralized or show sub-clustering. Not every sub-clustering has an *a priori* centralized structure. More erratic structures persist, reflecting the turbulent properties of either the parent cloud or external influences. The turbulent nature of some clouds may lead to completely unstructured clusters. Examples of typically hierarchical ESCs are *NGC 1333* [502, 293] and *NGC 2264* [501]. Large-scale star-forming regions are hierarchically structured, though with many sub-clusterings so



Fig. 9.2. An attempt to illustrate the morphology of most young ESCs based on the three basic elements describing a central, turbulent, and hierarchical structure.

that they appear centralized. Clusters in the Orion A and B clouds (see below) are in this respect hierarchical, though they contain many centralized clusterings such as NGCs 2023, 2024 [757, 194] and the ONC. Even giant isolated and centralized clusters such as NGC 604 should be part of a hierachical structure, although one should be aware of the fact that starburst activity will likely change formation rates, efficiencies, and timescales dramatically. The hierarchical structure of clusters is likely a result of the fact that many GMCs have complexes with different star-forming histories. Centralized clusters indicate a global dominance of gravity in the cluster over turbulent forces. Whether this morphology really reflects the primordial morphology of the parent cloud is not clear. One may be poised to see a congruence between the formation scenarios (see above) and morphology elements. Although this is certainly not coincidental, as observations have determined vital inputs of calculations, one should be careful not to stretch these similarities too far. Many issues on the observation and theoretical sides remain unresolved in this respect.

9.1.4 Mass Functions

One of the fundamental questions surrounding the formation of clusters is what determines the total mass of a stellar cluster. Many times the concept that there may be a universal *Initial Mass Function (IMF)* is invoked to estimate the total mass of a stellar cluster. This means that fragmented cloud collapse generates a characteristic distribution of stellar masses. The determination of initial mass functions has been a longstanding quest. Specifically stellar clusters are important in IMF studies as they resemble a coherent sample of stars in terms of distance and chemical composition. The basis for these functions are the observed luminosity functions. E. E. Salpeter (1955) found that the relation between the original mass function and the observed luminosity function of a sample of main-sequence stars is a fairly smooth one without rapid changes in slope. In other words, the luminosity function has a uniquely corresponding mass function.



Fig. 9.3. The IMF of the ONC as determined from an analysis by E. Muench [602]. Various mass ranges are indicated by different shades in units of solar masses. This example shows the ONC is specifically deprived of massive stars. The peak in the sub-stellar range, though indicative of a brown dwarf population may be an artifact in the analysis. Adapted from Lada and Lada [504].

IMFs have also been derived from modeling of measured IR *K*-band Luminosity Functions (KLFs) of PMS cluster stars. The most significant determination of the IMF from K-band measurements has been preformed on the ONC [602]. Salpeter's relation between the luminosity function $f(L) = dN/dm_K$ and mass function $g(M) = dN/d(\log M)$ can be expressed as (see also [504]):

$$f(L) = \frac{d(\log M)}{dm_K} \times g(M) \tag{9.2}$$

where the middle term is the derivative of the mass-luminosity relation. Unfortunately, in contrast to the MS case where the luminosity of the stars is determined by hydrogen burning for most of the star's life, the PMS case incorporates a steeper function of time and the mass-luminsoity relation is *not* unique. Thus, additional knowledge of the star-forming history is required. The analysis is very complex and requires very accurate bolometric corrections, and knowledge of unseen companions, but is also prone to uncertainties in the determination of stellar age and mass from the HRD (see Sect. 6.2.5). In case of the ONC f(L) was determined through Monte Carlo modeling, and g(M) could be represented by four power laws [602]. Figure 9.3 shows the result. The ONC IMF peak shows a broad range between 0.1 and 0.6 M_{\odot} , which is lower than the average mass of all ESCs in the solar neighborhood (see above). This may indicate that the ONC IMF differs from other clusters, specifically in the content of high-mass stars. It is specifically the higher mass stars in clusters that make the IMF determination uncertain due to their short lifespans.

The issue of mass segregation in ESCs is of great interest. Like the *ONC* and other centralized clusters, many ESCs harbor more massive stars at their cores than in their outskirts [500, 399, 634, 431]. However, ESCs are likely too young to have evolved mass segregation which means that this pattern has been imprinted by the star-formation process itself [104, 504], although the picture is not unique and the question of imprinted mass segregation remains unclear (see [227] for more discussion).

There is also no direct evidence for IMF variations with cluster density [227]. This should be expected, as protostellar cores are usually small compared to interstar separations, except, maybe, in the very dense cores of clusters (see above). One concern could be the presence of binary companions, although it was shown that the rotation rate of stars is more the result of disk accretion not companions (see final chapter).

9.2 General Cluster Properties

The hierachical structure seen in embedded star-forming regions can be extended even further into stellar groups and associations as an imprinted pattern that defines the stellar structure up to the scale of spiral arms in the Galaxy. Under the paradigm that these groupings come in two types, *bound* and *unbound*, ESCs and open clusters represent the former type, whereas loose aggregates or associations of stars the latter (see Sect. 9.4). Today, the big picture of stellar clustering is very well documented. For a thorough review of the matter, refer to [228].

Stellar clusters within the galactic plane that are not embedded are generally labeled as open clusters. These clusters and stellar associations have been the focus of astronomical studies since the 1930s, when R. J. Trumpler compiled his catalog of cluster HR-diagrams. Similar works were published by A. R. Sandage in the 1960s. These and others are now part of every standard astronomy textbook. They are not to be mixed up with *globular clusters* (GCs), which reside outside the Galactic plane. GCs are extremely massive and strongly gravitationally bound stellar entities. They are very old, probably as old as our Galaxy, and their origin is still pretty much a mystery. Here, the discussion is restricted to bound stellar clusters at a stage prior to the ZAMS. Open clusters are less bound, less massive, and much younger than GCs, though the oldest ones are known to be older than a few Gyr. The median stellar density in open clusters in the Galaxy, for example, is on average an order of magnitude smaller than the one in GCs. Galactic open clusters are not as centralized as some ESCs and GCs. The stars in ESCs are more strongly bound by gravity than open clusters. This is not due to the stellar content, but rather for the molecular mass in between the stars. The stellar content of the most massive known galactic ESCs is of the order of 10^3 stars, which is less than the 10^4 stars needed to remain bound by self-gravity. Without the gas, the stars would likely disperse and appear like open clusters. As an interesting side note, the mass cut-off of ~ 1,000 M_{\odot} is not only valid for ESCs, but generally for open clusters within the Galaxy. It is suspected that larger clusterings observed in neighboring galaxies (see Sect. 9.2.4) are signposts for starburst activity, where massive star-forming activity is caused by exceptionally strong external triggers.

9.2.1 Cluster Age and HR-diagrams

One of the decisive differences in stellar clustering, though, is undoubtedly age. ESCs are the youngest of them all with ages of less than 3 Myr. In these very young clusters, star formation is still ongoing. Older clusters up to 10 Myr, are usually labeled as *young* open clusters. In these clusters most, if not all, parent gas has been relinquished either through star formation or photoevaporation by the hot winds of massive stars. Since many of them are likely to produce massive stars, they appear at the centers of giant H II regions. Even older stellar clusters are called *old* or simply *open* and are clearly past the stellar T Tauri phase.

Assessments of the dynamical state of stellar clusters are determined by testing for various timescales. Typically involved are, of course, the *cluster age* $\tau_{age,c}$ of a single cluster and the *cluster age spread* $\Delta \tau_{age,c}$. They characterize the actual age and the timespan of ongoing star formation within the cluster, respectively. Also relevant are dynamical timescales such as the *crossing time* τ_{cross} , describing turbulent motions (see Sect. 4.2.4 and 4.2.5), and *evaporation time* τ_{ev} when winds from massive stars are involved (see Sect. 7.1.6 and 4.2.7).

Cluster ages τ_{age} are generally determined using HR-diagrams. Although, as outlined in Sect. 6.2.5, this is the most reliable method known to determine the age of a star or a star cluster, the outcome is still very uncertain. This situation is specifically critical for ESCs and young open clusters where uncertainties are sometimes of the order of, or larger than, the cluster age itself. The application of various PMS models can lead to considerably different results as was demonstrated by [662] in the case of NGC 2264. Fig. 9.4 shows HR-diagrams for three ESCs with median ages between ~ 0.3 Myr and ~ 3.0 Myr. The ESCs occupy almost the entire area in the HR-diagram representing early stellar evolution for low-mass stars. Above a temperature of



Fig. 9.4. (left) HR-diagrams of three very young embedded clusters. The shaded areas engulf the measured data. The data and HR tracks (solid lines) are taken from [655]. The dashed lines are timelines. (right) The same data binned by time bins according to the tracks in the left HR-diagram and normalized to the maximum time bin. The solid vertical line marks the median age of the ONC. The dashed and dotted lines are for *IC 348* and *NGC 2264*, respectively. Adapted from Palla and Stahler [655].

4,000 K, the stars are mostly of masses above 2 M_{\odot} , which have birthlines too close to the ZAMS (see Chap. 6). Most interesting for low-mass stars is the age spread. Depending on youth, more stars seem to accumulate close to or further away from the birthline with some age spread indicating the period of active star formation. The older the cluster, the closer stellar members move to the ZAMS and the smaller is the age spread relative to the median age of the cluster. Studies show that typical age spreads are of the order of 3 Myr [383, 586], though most measurements would show more like 5 - 10Myr due to the already mentioned uncertainties. For a 100 Myr old open cluster, such a spread is very narrow, but for ESCs, it is not. The period of ongoing star formation also seems to show its own dynamics across the age spread. A recent study of a few ESCs and active star-forming regions indicates that star-forming activity is accelerating with time [654, 655]. On the other hand, these very young stars are formidable X-ray sources and should actually curb star-forming activity. In the dense cores of clusters, the ionization fraction should increase significantly (see Sect. 4.2.7), which increases magnetic diffusion times and slows down collapse activity. The notion of accelerating star formation with age spreads is clearly not undisputed. Caution due to the presently poor understanding of theoretical, systematic and observational uncertainties seems advised [345]. The detailed interplay of these effects is not vet understood. The right-hand part of Fig. 9.4 shows that half of the plotted



Fig. 9.5. Distribution of stellar clusters with molecular clouds in the galactic plane [187]. The solid points represent ESCs [85]. The open circles older, open clusters [464]. The former match well with the molecular cloud distribution. The latter scatter more into low-density areas of the galactic plane.

stars in these ESCs have developed within what is denoted as the median age. In fact, most of the stars in very young clusters are much younger than the median age. It seems that star-forming activity has reached its peak within the last 1-2 Myr when the cluster is still embedded into molecular gas.

This issue is quite interesting in the light of dating massive stars in cluster cores. It has been suggested for a long time that massive stars form late in the development of a cluster [373, 421, 389, 2]. Although [226] suggests that this is more related to statistical fluctuations.

9.2.2 Cluster Distribution

The hierarchical clustering of stars, specifically in stellar clusters and stellar associations, is quite reminiscent of a similar hierarchy in the interstellar gas content within the Galaxy [227] (see Chap. 3). Star complexes are well studied within the Galaxy as well as in neighboring galaxies such as the LMC [244], M31 [65], and M81 [427]. The last chapter demonstrated that the distribution of X-ray stars within our Galaxy seems to follow the same irregular and clumpy pattern [322].

ESCs match up very well with the distribution of molecular material (see Fig. 9.5). Here a large number of ESCs and open clusters taken from recent catalogs [85, 86, 464] are overplotted onto the molecular medium in the Galactic plane. The figure demonstrates that embedded clusters follow closely the distribution of molecular material. Open clusters, even the young ones as long as they are significantly older than 3 Myr, are devoid of their parent gas and distribute more evenly in the Galactic plane. There are probably an estimated 2×10^4 open clusters in the Galaxy, but only about 5 to 10 percent are observed due to the fact that most parts of the Galaxy are too extinct. For recent and more detailed maps, refer to [188].

Stellar clusters disperse – the more massive the more rapid – because of increasing destructive ionizing radiation toward the parent cloud. This becomes specifically obvious in the OB associations, which are generally more random than bound. The distribution of clusters is, to some extent, dominated by galactic tidal forces. This has the effect that the oldest open clusters are more concentrated at large galactic radii where the likelihood of disruptive encounters with GMCs is lower [865] (see also below).

9.2.3 Cluster Evolution

The fate of a stellar cluster is not only restricted to their distribution in the Galaxy and their association with dense gas. Surely, the latter is very defining for the structure of a cluster. Once the parent intermittent gas is entirely depleted, or in the case of massive star formation also effectively destroyed, the cluster looses its tight grip and begins to disperse. There is convincing evidence that the population of stellar clusters experiences very high accounts of infant mortality [504], meaning that the vast majority of ESCs do not survive beyond ages of 10 to 100 Myr. In fact, maybe only 10 percent live beyond 10 Myr and less than ~ 4 percent of former ESCs reach ages beyond 100 Myr. Catalogs, such as as the WEBDA [885, 464], which include roughly 300 open clusters, even though they may not be entirely complete, fall way short in content of expected clusters within a certain age and distance. It may be argued that large open clusters such as the *Pleiades* or the double cluster $h + \chi$ Persei are survivors of very large ESCs with mass contents of over 500 M_{\odot} . Although such a direct correlation has not yet been quantified. The argument that the percentage of clusters older than 100 Myr is of a similar order of magnitude as the percentage of very large ESCs may be biased by the initial mass content. The mass content of open clusters is significantly reduced compared to ESCs containing a significant number of massive stars due to the very limited lifetime of massive stars.

However, the fact that clusters in excess of 100 Myr are very rare was pointed out in the late 1950s by J. Oort and L. Spitzer. They argued that tidal encounters with GMCs likely disrupt open clusters with mass densities of less than 1 M_{\odot} pc⁻³ in a few 100 Myr. As has been mentioned above in the context of their galactic distribution, the life expectancy of open clusters is then a function of galactic radius.

Cluster evolution is also impacted by its stellar content and the evolutionary stages of the stars. Figure 9.6 shows a CMD of older open clusters as it typically appears in a standard astronomical textbook. Clusters offer well-defined test beds for stellar evolution studies as they, as a whole, represent a homogeneous sample of stars at approximately the same distance that have a common cluster age and chemical composition. The CMD in Fig. 9.6 then shows the time of the main sequence turn-off, when stars begin to wander away from the main sequence and begin their lives at the end of their evolutionary scale.



Fig. 9.6. HR-diagram of open clusters as it appears in any standard textbook. The right-hand scale are estimates of the turn-off time for clusters from the main sequence.

9.2.4 Super-Clusters

Last, but not least, it was more or less the advent of the HST, which through observations of star-forming regions in neighboring galaxies, found something that does not seem to exist in our own Milky Way: *super-clusters*. Of course, given the fact that only a small part of the Galaxy is visible to us, cluster masses exceeding a few 1,000 M_{\odot} have so far eluded observers in the vicinity of the Sun. Probably the most famous protagonist of this cluster category is *NGC* 604 in M33. Its total mass exceeds that of the *ONC* by almost an order of magnitude, accounting for its bright appearance (see Plate 2.8). Among other examples, the large clusterings in interacting galaxies such as the *Antennae* stand out [939]. Here, a mass function of clusters was determined, over the range $10^4 \leq M \leq 10^6 M_{\odot}$, to be propertional to M^2 . This is quite similar to the power-law mass function in molecular clouds and Galactic clusters. Reports on other super-clusters are now emerging, see [434, 909, 854]. The topic will certainly gain momentum in the future as instrument sensitivities allow more and more studies of stellar properties in other galaxies.

9.3 Well-studied Star-forming Regions

Star-forming regions within the solar neighborhood have been studied since the 1950s, when it was realized that young stars are born in designated regions. Though the association with MCs and GMCs had not been made yet – the existence of dense molecular gas in the Galaxy was still unknown – the existence of young associations guided most studies to designated areas. Surveys now show that many star-forming regions have the configurations outlined in Fig. 4.3 [497]. For example, a survey of a large number of open clusters at the end of the 1980s [525] already demonstrated that clusters younger than 5 Myr have dense gas more massive than $10^4 M_{\odot}$ associated with them. Clusters older than 10 Myr do not have significant molecular gas, but can be associated with clouds of masses up to $10^3 M_{\odot}$. The following sections introduce general properties of three of the best studied star-forming regions in the Galaxy. Each of them matches one of the configurations in Fig. 4.3. A very comprehensive review of stellar populations in major star-forming regions, as known in the early 1990s, is given by H. Zinnecker and colleagues [940].

9.3.1 The Orion Region

The Orion star-forming clouds are part of a large-scale OB association (~ 150 deg²) with a well studied OB population. Most stars of the association have masses larger than ~ 4 M_{\odot} and ages younger than 12 Myr [879, 880, 130]. Two GMCs are associated with this region, the Orion A and B clouds. Both are gigantic cloud complexes that fill a large area of the sky within the Orion constellation [289]. At a distance between 450 and 500 pc, the area contains many ESCs of various ages as well as several molecular cloud complexes in which stellar formation is still an ongoing process. Figure 9.7 identifies major components of the region that are currently under study. Orion A and B are marked by dotted white contours engulfing the location of their core regions.

The Orion A cloud covers roughly 29 deg² of the the sky and contains various dark clouds, most importantly L1640 and L1641 which are located behind the H II region of M42. This complex shows the classical blister structure as a consequence of massive star activity [943, 660, 670]. Such a blister structure is illustrated in configuration B in Fig. 4.3. In the case of M42 the system is viewed with the blister in front and the molecular cloud complex behind. The total mass of Orion A is estimated to be about $1.0 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$. The Orion B cloud, though slightly smaller (19 deg²) and about 20 percent less massive, is very similar in structure to the A cloud. One of the exciting features of these clouds is that they contain several cold but also hot molecular cores. Most



Fig. 9.7. Negative scale IRAS field of the Orion star-forming complex zoomed from the field region shown in Fig. 4.1. Highlighted are the main Orion stars flanking the area. The Orion star-forming region contains three major molecular giant complexes called OMC 1–3. These complexes are broken down into a manifold of subclouds of which the major ones are listed. Most important is the L1641 cloud complex residing behind the famous Orion Nebula M42. Also indicated are major embedded clusters of the region.

famous are the ones in the A cloud, specifically the OMC 1–3, OMC 1S and the BN-KL nebula. Several of these clumps contain embedded stars exhibiting far-IR luminosity peaks or are associated with mass outflows and water masers [758, 679, 488]. The most luminous and hottest core is the BN-KL nebula with a luminosity of $10^5 L_{\odot}$ and temperature of 70 K. Therefore, it is also the most massive of them all with a mass of 150 M_{\odot} [289]. The nebula is named after its discoverers: Becklin, Neugebauer, Kleinmann, and Low. Others, like the cores in the OMC 1 clump, are cold and serve as candidates for collapsing cores probably at the verge of forming new clusters [156, 528]. Cluster formation has been quite prolific in these clouds as the many ESCs in the region testify. Until recently sub-mm and mm dust continuum measurements have been the most compelling evidence for very early and on-going star formation in the OMC clumps. Today, evidence for protostellar activity comes from the entire wavelength band from radio to X-rays [901, 853, 851, 41].

The origins of the Orion star-forming region are founded on a quite interesting speculation. It seems to be a demonstration of how giant star-forming regions are born within turbulent interactions of gas in the Galactic plane. According to [263], the progenitor of the Orion–Monoceros complex (see Fig. 4.1) formed by the collision of a high-velocity cloud, moving from the direction of the southern Galactic hemisphere, with the Galactic disk [289]. This collision should have taken place about 60 Myr ago and fragmented the progenitor into the Orion and Monoceros complexes. Tidal forces induced a kinematic pattern that forced the fragments to oscillate with respect to the Galactic plane. After one passage, the Orion–Monoceros fragments are now about 150 pc (~ 19 deg) below the Galactic plane. Such a disruptive motion with respect to the Galactic plane within 100 and 200 deg longitude is indicated in Fig. 9.5. The kinematics and energetics of the fragmental motion is visible today. There is a substantial large-scale velocity gradient along the Orion A cloud which changes from 12 km s^{-1} near the OMC 2 location to 5 km s⁻¹ at the south end of L1641. In general, velocity structures are complex and show turbulent motions that could be driven, in part, by outflows from embedded stars, although such a notion is still controversial [289]. Support could come from magnetic fields.

Right in the middle of all this action resides the ONC, which is the largest assembly of young stars in Orion. The ONC is way too massive to be dominated by the ongoing turbulence. Consequently, the ONC is one of the largest centralized stellar clusters in the Galaxy. It has a diameter of about 100 pc with 80 percent of the stars younger then 1 Myr [397]. The ONC, with over $1,000 M_{\odot}$, has ~ 1,600 optically detected sources. Spectral types have been determined for about 60 percent of them. In addition there are over 2,000 additional IR sources [397] and over 1600 X-ray sources [243] of which most have been identified with IR sources. Not yet counted here is the expected substellar population of objects such as brown dwarfs [400]. Morphologically, the ONC is a central cluster and historically, it has been subdivided into three radial zones [380]: the *Trapezium Cluster* with a radius of ~ 2 arcmin (0.3



Fig. 9.8. (top) The stellar population of the *ONC* centered around the *Orion* Trapezium. Larger point sizes indicate larger stellar masses between 1 and 10 M_{\odot} . (Masses above that limit have the same size.) The field contains over 1,000 stars. From Hillebrand [397]. (bottom) The area around the Trapezium is not only one of the most densely populated stellar areas in the Galaxy, but also features many very special structural features of intermittent gas and interactions of YSOs within the gas. Adapted from Bally et al. [51].

pc), what is usually referred to as the ONC with a radius of 20 arcmin, and finally the *Orion Ic* association at least 3 degrees in radius [879, 380, 398].

The top panel of Fig. 9.8 shows the population of the ONC at about its 50 percent radius. The size of the symbols denotes stellar mass. As already determined in Sect. 9.1.4 most of the stars are of low mass. θ Ori is a massive star system at the center. The five massive stars of θ^1 Ori form the Orion Trapezium and θ^2 Ori is just a bit off-center. The Orion Trapezium was discovered by R. J. Trumpler in 1931 and its main stellar component, θ^1 Ori C, was identified as the main source in excitation of the Orion Nebula. The more detailed stellar properties of this cluster have been under investigation throughout the entire wavelength band for about the last decade [50, 397, 398, 400, 761, 239]. Still, many issues remain unresolved. Most observations of the central Trapezium have been made at infrared wavelengths in the K and H bands at 2.20 um and 1.65 μ m, respectively. θ^1 Ori A–E are the brightest stars in the cluster at optical, infrared and X-ray wavelengths and are early-type O or B stars. Many massive members of the Trapezium and the ONC are multiple systems. some with separations much smaller than 90 AU [674, 693]. One big problem in the optical/IR domain was the brightness of the H II cloud, which simply overpowers the stellar point sources. Until recently, there had been no study of the stellar mass and age distributions that was not severely extinction limited [397]. Most recently, the ONC came under high scrutiny in X-rays with the advent of the *Chandra* observatory, which now allows one to resolve all the individual stars even down to substellar masses, in X-rays (see Chap. 8).

Besides the bulk stellar population, the vicinity of the *Trapezium Cluster* exhibits many more features quite classic to regions of very early stellar evolution. The bottom panel of Fig. 9.8 shows a sketch by J. Bally and colleagues [51] of major features in the region. It shows a variety of *Herbig-Haro* objects (see Chap. 6), *protoplanetary discs* (see Chap. 10), dark dust bays and bright illuminated ridges of gas. The region includes the famous *OMC-1* clumps in the background as well as the BN-KL Nebula.

9.3.2 The Rho Ophiuchius Cloud

The ρ Oph cloud is one of the closest star-forming regions to the Sun. Although it is well situated within the Upper Scorpius OB association, the cloud itself is yet devoid of wind erosion from massive stars. The distance of the association has recently been determined from Hipparchos data to be 145 pc. It is an example for configuration A in Fig. 4.3. Although the association is several Myr old (see e.g., [697]), the ρ Oph embedded cluster is probably one of the youngest ESCs known in the vicinity of the Sun [899, 540]. Figure 9.9 shows the massive but already fragmented cloud in a large scale within the O and B stars of the surrounding association. There is no erosion from inside, but it is likely under the radiative and tidal spell of the association. The large-scale distribution of dense gas over about 6.4 deg² is shown in [826].



Fig. 9.9. The morphology and stellar content of the ρ Oph Cloud. The upper-left portion of the figure shows the *IRAS* large-scale view of the environment of the cloud, which is marked by the white square. The cloud is embedded into the *Upper Scorpius* region with a large association of OB stars (marked by asterisks). The upper-right portion shows the cloud zoomed in from the white square in the upper left image. There are no massive stars associated with the cloud itself. The cloud is fragmented into several subclouds and clumps. The diagram at the bottom shows the stellar and morphological content of the cloud (as marked in the upper-right image) as recently measured by S. Bontemps and colleagues using the *ISOCAM* on board *ISO* at mid-IR wavelengths. Filled stars mark Class I, filled circles Class II, and open circles are likely Class III YSOs. The contours show the extent of *L1688*. Credits: upper-left image by T. Preibisch; bottom diagrams from Bontemps et al. [107].

There are three cloud complexes, the main cloud L1688 and two smaller cloud fragments L1689N and L1689S. The main cloud L1688 itself is broken into several cloud fragments A–E, some of which host recently formed subclusters containing a large number of Class 0, I, II, and III sources. Mainly, the stellar content of this cluster led to the now widely use SED IR classification of low-mass YSOs (see Chap. 6). Specifically, jet-like outflows from Class 0 sources in ρ Oph A helped researchers understand the circumstellar environment of YSOs [23, 24, 106, 28]. Figure 9.9 shows a more recently determined account of the stellar population in these clouds from *ISOCAM* measurements [107]. It not only contains many Class I and II sources, but also four young early-type stars. Like in the Orion star-forming clouds, Xray observations of the ρ Oph clouds with *EINSTEIN*, *ROSAT*, *ASCA* and *Chandra* have, in major ways, revised researchers perception of young stellar evolution.

9.3.3 IC 1396

The last example introduces a star-forming region resembling configuration C in Fig. 4.3. IC 1396 is an extended H II region at a distance of about 800 pc [792]. Its location coincides with the core of the Cep OB2 association which is divided in two subgroups differing in age. The total mass of the entire region was estimated to $\sim 4 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ [669]. An older and more dispersed group of roughly 75 O and B stars is confined within a maximum size of 170 pc [285] and has an estimated age of 6-7 Myr, and a younger more confined group, the young open star cluster Trumpler 37 (Tr37), with an age of 3 Myr in its core. The nucleus of the cluster bears the star complex $HD \ 206267$. It resembles the Orion Trapezium at the center of the ONC, though because of the larger distance its components are much harder to resolve. Its hottest component, an O6.5f star [874] is the main source of excitation in IC 1396. A proper motion study identified over 480 stars within a radius of 7 pc from HD 206267 with a probable membership of Trumpler 37. A decisive difference from the ONCis that these stars are mostly of A and B type, whereas the ONC is composed of mostly low-mass stars.

Still, the larger vicinity of *IC* 1396 has also been identified as a T association [491, 493] with F-G spectral types and masses below 3 M_{\odot} . These studies also indicated that T Tauri stars should reside in the vicinity of *HD* 206267. Its most prominent proponent is the double T Tauri star *LkHa* 349 (see below) at the center of globule *IC* 1396A (see Fig. 4.8 and Plate 1.8). The vicinity of *Tr37* is very difficult to observe in the optical and IR as it is highly extinct by dust. The existence of an X-ray cluster at the center of *IC* 1396 was shown though X-ray observations [759]. These X-ray sources had to be T Tauri stars as most of the B stars of *Tr37* are of a later type, which do not exhibit strong X-rays.

IC~1396 is quite rich in bright rimmed globules as can be seen in Fig. 9.10. In particular the bright rimmed globules IC~1396A and B~[683], centered to



Fig. 9.10. The environment and content of the IC 1396 H II cloud. (top) A grand view taken with IRAS of the $Cep \ OB2$ association. At the bottom of the ring-like structure is the giant H II cloud IC 1396, marked by the square. (bottom) Close-up view of the cloud in the marked area as observed in the optical. The image was taken from the Digital Sky Survey of the northern hemisphere. At the core resides the open cluster Tr37 with the trapezium system HD 206267 at the center. The various arrows point to the positions of some of the ubiquitous globules within the area. The circles mark IRAS point sources, some of which are associated with globules [767]. The box engulfs the X-ray image shown in Fig. 4.8. A color image of this nebula appears on the front cover of this book.

the west of *HD* 206267, have been the focus of previous investigations in the X-ray, IR, sub-mm and radio domain [561, 193, 923, 619, 759]. Near the center of *IC* 1396 *A*, two equally young T Tauri stars have been identified $LkH\alpha$ 349/349c and are 17 arcsec apart [376, 176]. The spectral types were given as F8 for LkH 349 and K7 for LkH 349/c with bolometric luminosities of 84 L_{\odot} and 9 M_{\odot} , respectively. From measurements of the CO structure, [619] suggested that the central part of this globule resembles a cavity that is formed by an interaction of the stellar wind from the central PMS stars of the globule. Many other globules contain or are associated with *IRAS* point sources [767] or show signs of active star formation [174].

Dynamically, the entire H II region belongs to a class of clouds in which star-forming activity, on a grand scale, has clearly ceased and most molecular gas has either been accreted or destroyed. What is left is the aftermath, which is mostly gas of the heated H II region and cold fragments now detected as globules (see Sect. 4.1.8) of the old GMS. CO maps show that many bright rimmed globules lie on a ring about 12 pc from the clouds center (see Fig. 9.10). The ring is expanding with a velocity of $\sim 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ suggesting the dynamical age of these globules is about 2–3 Myr. Activity in these globules seems to represent the most recent wave of triggered star formation [668]. The entire region is full of turbulent dynamics and is part of the global activities of the ISM. Situated within the Cep OB2 association, the entire cloud seems to be associated with the expansion of a giant bubble, which may have originated from a supernova explosion 3 Myr ago [492]. The top part of Fig. 9.10 shows the large-scale environment of IC 1396 as observed with IRAS at 60 μ m. Clearly seen is the expanding shell with *IC 1396* residing at the bottom rim.

9.4 Formation on Large Scales

The previous sections demonstrated that most stars do not form in isolation but in rather crowded groups. However, if this is the case then not too many young PMS stars should be detected too far away from ESCs. In this respect, it is quite a twist of fate that the first very young late-type stars were detected in the *Taurus* region, rather far away from any major stellar clusters [442]. Thus there are regions of molecular gas that spread over larger volumes without accumulating large dense cores but still exhibit moderate star-formation rates. Two examples are the *Taurus-Auriga* region and the *Lupus* region. Other sites are OB associations, which, besides dense stellar cluster cores, are also associated with extended molecular gas. Stellar groupings in these regions are generally unbound. They are loose aggregates of stars that formed either in weakly bound cores or in completely unbound structures. That these areas have to exist as well becomes clear by looking at the large-scale structure of interstellar gas. The mass spectrum of this gas includes (see Fig. 4.5) giant cloud complexes with masses near the cut-off at $10^6 M_{\odot}$ and extends hundreds of parsecs. The following two sections introduce the reader to exemplary sites of star formation on large spatial scales.

9.4.1 The Taurus–Auriga Region

This region is an intensely studied star-forming region with a research history that reaches back to the early discovery of young stars. It is a prime example for an entirely unbound star-forming complex. With $3.5 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ it has only a fraction of the mass of the Orion clouds. CO maps show it has an extent of 30 pc which is over ten times more extended [857] (see Fig. 9.4.1). Morphologically, the cloud appears branched with many string-like patterns, which were already well documented in E. E. Barnard's photographic atlas of 1927 [657]. Proper motion measurements by [439] revealed the quite bizarre phenomenon that young stars drift along the filaments, wheras the ambient magnetic field runs roughly perpendicular to the filament's orientation [747]. The verdict on the effect is not out yet, though promising scenarios have been proposed ranging from magnetic instabilities [666] to coeval star formation [302] to star-forming bursts in gas collisions of high-speed flows [48]. Besides the filament phenomenon the stars in *Taurus–Auriga* overall are not really distributed in a random pattern but exhibit some clumpy structure [302, 303].

The Taurus–Auriga complex has long been one of the fertile grounds where T Tauri stars at optical and long wavelengths are found [442, 376, 176, 382, 824, 460] (see Chap. 5). Still, the region is so vast that many early studies remained patchy in coverage. In addition, since the detection of low-mass stars is directly linked to instrument sensitivity, mass coverage remains incomplete as well. The account of optical stars in the region has recently been extended to objects as faint as 17th magnitude [126]. X-ray survey studies proved to be very powerful in closing gaps left by long wavelength studies which specifically helped find and identify new WTTS [628, 629, 815, 895]. The unique coexistence of T Tauri stars and late-type stars as present in the *Pleiades* and *Hyades* clusters allows one to study long-term relations in young stars with respect to luminosity, rotation, and age [814].

To obtain an objective account of young stellar properties in a vast and sparse region such as *Taurus–Auriga* is very difficult as there is no coherent sample to use. In concentrated regions, such as ESCs, stars have well defined physical conditions and the age spread is confined to several Myr. The *Taurus–Auriga* is relatively mature. Older open clusters, such as the *Pleiades* and *Hyades*, with approximate ages of 100 Myr, tell of active, probably Orionlike star-forming activity in the past. Typical estimates for cloud lifetimes are 10 Myr. The fact that star formation within some filaments is still ongoing at the present [432] as well as the richness of T Tauri stars throughout the region would imply an enormous age spread of the order of 100 Myr. More recent studies, though, caution on the interpretation that all these X-ray stars are really PMS stars [126, 896]. Specifically, neither X-ray emission nor the detected Li I absorption provide useful age discriminators, at least for certain



Fig. 9.11. IRAS view of the *Taurus–Auriga* complex which is approximately engulfed by the dashed line. North-west is the *Perseus* cloud complex hosting the ESCs *IC 348* and *NGC 1333* (off the plate to the left of *IC 348*). To the south one finds the Orion region shown in Fig. 9.7. Extended over a much larger area, the region is composed of a lot of diffuse molecular gas with many clumps interspersed. A CO map with identifications of most of these features can be found in [857]. Also marked is the stellar location of *T Tau*.

spectral types [126, 896]. It has even been suggested that many of the sources detected with the *RASS* are not WTTS but closer to stars observed in the older clusters [125]. Still, a long-standing problem remains in that there appears to be an age gap between ~ 10 and 100 Myr in stellar age. Such a lack of PTTS has been recognized in many optical surveys [379, 381, 340] and a satisfactory solution is still pending.

The way star-forming activity is progressing has been under study for a long time and the *Taurus–Auriga* stellar population – or its possible lack thereof – presents a challenge. The finding in ESCs that star-forming activity is characterized by rapid acceleration within each star-forming epoch [655] (see Sect. 9.2.1). Although this perception is not yet entirely undisputed [345]. In *Taurus–Auriga*, the star-forming picture has become more detailed recently [657]. These authors find that stellar births occur over a broad area under the cloud's own contraction (i.e., without much influence by internal turbulence). If, in fact, star formation in *Taurus–Auriga* is also an accelerating process, it will continue for several million years. This puzzle is far from being solved and the evolutionary status of *Taurus–Auriga* is still unknown.

9.4.2 Turbulent Filaments

The filament phenomenon in the *Taurus–Auriga* cloud has lately become under more scrutiny. A more recent approach to explain the phenomenon places form and dynamics within the filaments entirely into the domain of turbulence. The filaments are then a consequence of shock compressions and shear flows. Although these structures are normally short-lived and certainly not in hydrostatic equilibrium, it can happen that density fluctuations become gravitationally unstable, which leads to star formation [474, 49]. Simulations of this model have been performed by R. Klessen (see Fig. 9.12), which successfully reproduce not only the filamentary structure, but also, after the application of self-gravity, lead to stellar collapse. The Jeans length and the free-fall timescale for the filaments require that [517, 346]:



Fig. 9.12. The evolution of a molecular filament structure and subsequent star formation along the filament strings. Each frame shown has a baseline of 6 pc and depicts column density maps of the gravitational fragmentation of a filament generated by supersonic turbulence. The sequence depicts the system at intervals of 0.1 Myr. The small bar at the bottom-left of each frame indicates the Jeans length λ_J , the size of gravitationally unstable regions. Note that protostellar cores are separated by roughly λ_J . With proceeding time, the filament undergoes gravitational fragmentation. A color version of the final result is shown in Plate 1.9. Credit: R. Klessen, AIP.

$$\lambda_J = 1.5 \frac{T}{[10 \text{ K}]} A_V^{-1} \text{pc}$$

$$\tau_{ff} \sim 3.7 (\frac{T}{[10 \text{ K}]})^{1/2} A_V^{-1} \text{ Myr}$$
(9.3)

Starless cores show $A_V \sim 5$ [641] which leads to $\lambda_J \sim 0.3$ pc and τ_{ff} of 0.7 Myr, well in agreement with observations and simulations (see Fig. 9.12). In general, the influence of turbulence in isolated star-forming regions will be a central issue for star formation in the coming years.

9.4.3 OB Associations

OB associations are generally regarded as fossil star-forming regions [878]. Morphologically, there are two aspects to these associations. One is the formation and kinematics of the high-mass population. The other is the interspersed low-mass population. A legitimate question is certainly how such large assemblies of O and B stars constitute themselves in the first place. Spectral types of these stars were previously thought to be dominated by very early-type stars and include O stars and as well as B-stars of types B0 to B2. Later B types are less massive and appear more in clusters, such as Tr37 in IC 1396 (see above), although some small associations embedded into reflection nebula can contain types up to early A type stars. More recent observations now indicate that this perception of the distribution of spectral types in OB associations is misleading and it is now established that late B type stars as well as low-mass stars are quite present as well (see below). These associations have extents of up to 200 pc and, in terms of hierarchy, are the next step beyond open clusters. There are about 70 OB associations known in the Galaxy. The true number should be more like a few hundred spread throughout the entire Galaxy. OB associations contain over 50 percent of all massive stars in the Galaxy and subsequently, are the sites where most of the Galaxies' supernovas occur. These catalysmic events inject material and energy into the ISM with the consequence that these fossil star-forming sites turn into new sites of star formation. A visible example in the solar neighborhood is the *Gould Belt* OB associations which likely have had their origins through such an event (see Chap. 10).

Massive stars in associations appear in various environments and have a large variety of kinematic properties. The first to monitor the proper motions of O-star in associations was A. Blaauw in the early 1950s, who determined the average velocities to be a few km s⁻¹, though some can reach over 40 km s⁻¹. The motion is one of expansion emanating from a core region. Most of the time, one finds some giant H II region harboring a young open cluster with massive stars locked into their centers either at or near the association core. Specifically, O-star members exhibit a large variety of kinematic properties (see [131]). Observations of OB associations outside the Galaxy are helpful in this respect as well, specifically within the *LMC* and *SMC* (see, i.e., [312]).

There are still many open issues concerning star formation in OB associations. One of them is the question about large-scale isolated low-mass star formation. It is yet unclear what the distribution of such a field population would be and how it may differ from the one in clusters. Nevertheless is important to account for all low-mass PMS stars in these associations to determine the *IMF* of the field (see ,e.g., [940]). There are indications that high-mass star-forming regions, specifically the ones considered to be starbursts (see also Sect. 9.2.4), contain smaller numbers of low-mass stars than expected from the *IMF* [526]. Clearly, the comparison of mass functions in the field to the ones in clusters is an important step towards answering questions about a possibly universal *IMF*.

The problem is that the low-mass stellar content is only poorly known, even in nearby OB associations, mainly because it takes an enormous observational effort to identify the widespread population of PMS stars among the many thousands of field stars. During the last few years, the situation started to improve. Specifically, the advent of *Hipparcos*, allowed one to identify the high and intermediate-mass stellar populations [198] in associations. Very recently a full account of the stellar population of the *Upper Scorpius* association produced an empirical mass function covering the mass range from 0.1 to 20 M_{\odot} that, within errors, remained within the expected field *IMF* [697]. In fact, these measurements showed an excess of low-mass stars, which raises this issue of stellar populations in possibly triggered star formation scenarios [697].

Proto-solar Systems and the Sun

The question about the origins of our Solar System has always been part of human speculation going back at least to Kant's and Laplace's hypotheses in the 18th century. The previous chapters have illustrated how stars emerge from molecular cloud cores and demonstrated that the evolving stars are surrounded by what is called an accretion disk, which evolves with the central star. This disk is synonymous with the *Solar Nebula*, cited in many referrals to the protostellar disk from which the evolution of today's Solar System began. With all the technical advances available at present, the observation of protostellar disks and the detection of evolved planets outside the Solar System is as popular a topic as ever. The following sections attempt to shortly introduce the reader to advances in observations of protostellar disks, their dust properties, as well as some concepts in the discussion of how protoplanets could have formed out of dust disks.

10.1 Protoplanetary Disks

The use of the expressions *circumstellar* and *protoplanetary* in the context of disks is not entirely clear in current literature. It should be realized that the former is a very general expression and represents a large range of phenomena including not only protostellar, PMS stellar, and MS stellar disks but also exotic disks that form in Be-stars as a consequence of equatorial outflows or through other outflow phenomena. Protoplanetary disks are solely restricted to young systems likely from the CTT stage into the early MS as they are believed to be the true precursors of solar systems. They include accretion disks in CTTS, inactive disks in WTTS, and dust disks in more evolved young stars.

The account of circumstellar disks detected in YSOs is growing rapidly. Again, the major star-forming regions are at the forefront of today's investigations. The focus of recent studies of the youngest systems include the

ONC [399], NGC 2024 [221], IC 348 [326], and the nearby TW Hya association [453] (see also Chap. 6 and 7) to name a few. To possess circumstellar disks is almost mandatory for YSOs as it is a direct consequence of the starforming process. The question is, how long in their evolution is such a disk retained. Modes of disk dispersal have been discussed in Sect. 7.1.5. A comprehensive study in the ONC found that at least 55 percent, but probably no more than 90 percent, of the stars retain their inner (< 0.1 AU radius) disks much beyond T Tauri stage with no obvious correlation to the age or age spread of the cluster [399]. A slightly lower maximum disk fraction of around 65 percent is found in IC 348 [326]. What actually determines this fraction in clusters is still unknown. Generally, the fraction of accretion disks should be high given the youth of these clusters. An interesting find in the ONC is the disk frequency with stellar mass. Understandably, more massive stars are less likely to have disks (see Sect. 7.1.6). Even if they would still have their disk, such a disk would be hard to detect via IR excess as the stars luminosity is overpowering. In IC 348 all stars of spectral type earlier than G appear diskless. Peculiar in these clusters is certainly the indication that disk frequency is decreasing toward the very low mass end of very late spectral types, though it is emphasized that stars of all masses can have and retain disks.

The lifetime of T Tauri disks is a central issue in the study of protoplanetary disks. The definition of lifetime in this respect is an important detail. Survey studies such as the one performed above, use near-IR photometric data and are thus bound to the standard SED classification. In these studies, the disk disappearance is linked to the fact that the IR excess is created though the illumination of the disk (see Chap. 7). Disk sizes range from $\sim 10 - \sim$ 1000 AU (see Chap. 6). A more recent assessment of disk dispersal in T Tauri stars emphasizes that 30 percent of stars should lose their disks within 1 Myr, while the remainder have disk lifetimes between 1 and 10 Myr [38]. This is quite within the range predicted from meteoric evidence from the Solar Nebula which projects up to ~ 5 Myr for the formation of chondrules [730]. The actual disk dispersal process proceeds rapidly on a timescale that is probably an order of magnitude faster than the average disk lifetime (i.e., $\leq 10^5$ yr). Although the mechanisms that lead to this rapid timescale are uncertain, observations show only very few stars so far that could actually be identified with dissipating disks' signatures [795, 791, 917]. On the other hand, some caution is advised when associating IR excesses with dust mass only. There is the possibility that disks may retain their gas mass beyond the dust mass lifetime [57, 58].

Disk dispersal in some cases does not mean that all material around the star is entirely relinquished. Besides forming *planets*, it rather transforms into something called a *debris disk* that contains larger forms of dust grains and small planetesimals. The presence of micron-size dust grains in optically thin disks requires that such grains are continuously supplied to the disk (see Sect. 10.1.2). This can only happen if there is a sufficient reservoir of grains or the dynamics within the disk allows the destruction of large grains and



Fig. 10.1. The cartoon shows three classes of circumstellar disks deduced from IR excess observations as suggested by [823]. The optically thick case (upper-left box) basically describes the situation of an outer optically thick envelope or disk as known for class I to II sources. The optically thin case (upper-right box) throughout the whole disk is a scenario past active accretion where either all the material has already been accreted or the disk has been blown away, disrupted, or is in a state where disk material remains dominated by small dust. Finally the transition case (lower boxes) features a still optically thick outer disk, but indicates two scenarios for in the inner disk. One features rapid conglomeration (lower left), the other the formation of a giant planet accreting from tidally fragmented optically thin material (lower right).

planetesimals. As soon as particles in the disk grow to sizes beyond microns, they loose their optical sensitivity. The optical properties of disks are complex and depend on disk mass, disk radius, and, inclination towards the viewer. From observations of IR excess radiation, S. E. Strom and collegues [823] define three classes of disks: *optically thick* disks ($\tau > 1$) at all IR wavelengths < 100 µm, *optically thin* disks ($\tau \ll 1$), and *transition disks* with τ depending in various ways on wavelength. Of interest for the detection of protoplanetary disks are optically thin and transition disks. Suggested scenarios are illustrated in Fig. 10.1.

10.1.1 Proplyds

Some of the most exciting observations of protoplanetary disks were obtained through imaging of the central regions of the Orion Trapezium Cluster with HST. These highly resolved images revealed breathtaking structures of illuminated YSOs which appear suspended in the intercluster gas [394, 635]. The existence of a limited number of such externally illuminated YSOs, was known since the late 1970s [511, 234]. Specifically, free–free radio continua were detected from some of the objects with the VLA [167, 284, 246]. In the early HST images, about 150 such structures were catalogued and identified as protoplanetary disks under the influence of the ionizing radiation field of the nearby massive Orion Trapezium star [635, 636, 637, 565, 436]. The name proplyd then simply refers to a short form of PROtoPLanetarY Disk [635]. Numerous more proplyds have now been found [52, 638]. Some have been seen in other young star-forming regions containing massive stars such as the Trifid cluster [523, 524].

Fig. 10.2 shows three examples of proplyds as observed near the Orion Trapezium with the HST. The morphology, though complex in detail, can be described as a simple head-tail structure, where the tail points away from the direction of the illuminating radiation. Proplyds have now been observed thoughout almost the entire wavelength band, from Radio, IR [246, 564, 52] and optical wavelengths (see above) to X-rays [761]. Emissions from the ionized shock front as well as the central star have been identified. The basic structure of prophyds is illustrated in Fig. 10.2 showing several ionization fronts caused by the FUV and EUV radiation largely from Θ^1 Ori C, the brightest and most massive star of the Orion Trapezium. Models investigating the interaction between disks and an external UV radiation field using 2-D hydrodynamical simulations show these disks being gradually destroyed via photoevaporation as UV photons heat the gas in the outer layers of the disk to thermal escape velocities [436, 723]. The simulations favor the formation of low-mass stars at the centers of the proplyds, which has also been suggested by X-ray observations. Fig. 10.3 shows X-rays from the region surrounding the Orion Trapezium. Besides the strong emission from its massive stellar members, the image shows X-rays from several prophyds with characteristics that project the emission from T Tauri stars rather than ionization fronts.

10.1.2 Disks of Dust

It has been asserted throughout previous chapters (specifically Chap. 6) that models of irradiated passive disks explain the SEDs of T Tauri stars. A recent review of the disk structure and its emission, including scattering of radiation by disk grains, is given by [211]. This section now focusses on disk properties around older stars which are already much beyond the T Tauri stage. No optically thick disks have been identified for stars with ages above 30 Myr [823]. Although it has been established that many young stars (< 10 Myr) have



Fig. 10.2. (top) The panel shows three Orion proplyds observed with HST in 1992. The white arrows indicate the direction of the ionizing radiation from the massive *Trapezium Stars* specifically Θ^1 Ori C [635]. Credit: C.R.O'Dell and NASA. (bottom) The sketch illustrates how ionizing radiation from a massive star interacts with nearby protostellar disks primarily through EUV and FUV radiation. Though the massive star is a strong X-ray emitter ionizing effects on the proplyd is unknown. X-rays have been detected from the central T Tauri star (see Fig. 10.3).

optically thick disks. In order to investigate steps that lead to disk appearances in older stars it seems warranted to shortly review some issues concerning the third class of young protoplanetary disks, denominated as *transition disks* [823].

The cartoon in Fig. 10.1 emphasizes a mode of transition in which the inner disk region is optically thin while the outer regions remain optically thick. The idea behind this is that at inner disk radii, dust agglomerates more rapidly due to the shorter dynamical timescales in disks. The transition mode featured in Fig. 10.1 is also suggested from observations, which seem to favor an optically thin inner disk. A search through IR excess data gathered in the early 1990s [822, 795] reveales that there are indeed young stars that appear to have holes in their disks. Fig. 10.4 compares two stars of this analysis. Of interest are IR excesses beyond the characteristic photospheric emission of



Fig. 10.3. X-ray contours from observations of the *Orion Trapezium* obtained by *Chandra* overlaid onto an optical *HST* observation. The field of view is 30×30 arcsec² and the contours represent total X-ray flux levels. Credit: HST image by J. Bally [52]/NASA; Chandra contours by Schulz et al. [761].

the stars. The observed excess pattern of a few young stars range from $\tau \ll 1$ emissions below 25 µm to $\tau > 1$ emissions above 25 µm and may serve as a probe to identify transition disks [823]. From the limited number of such disks in the sample, it is also concluded that the transition phase must be short with a specific projection of 0.3 Myr for an optically thick disk lifetime of 3 Myr. What they also show is that protoplanet formation happens during very early evolutionary phases.



Fig. 10.4. SEDs of stars with disks of various optical thinkness. The dark function shows the optically thick emission from the stars' photosphere. The dotted line indicates the level of IR emission from an optically thick disk (see Chap. 7). The median shade represents data from AA Tau indicating an optically thick disk in this young star. The light shade represents data from V819 Tau suggesting that for the inner portion of the disk (i.e., towards small IR wavelengths) the emission is optically thic compared to the thick ($\tau > 1$) case. At long wavelengths the emission reconciles with the optically thick case. Adapted from Strom et al. [823].

The latter suggestion has relevance for the appearance of disks in older stars [461, 462]. Protoplanetary disks have now been observed at various evolutionary phases. Stars in the vicinity of the Sun have the best prospects of visibly revealing their disks. There are many ways to infer spatial structure in protoplanetary disks. Most obvious is direct imaging of disks. Here, the nearby TW Hya association at a distance of about 60 pc [453], proves fruitful. The prime example is the face-on disk in TW Hya, which has been shown in Fig. 7.1. Another one is the A-type member star HR 4796A [428]. A significant fraction of its emission comes from its disk, indicating large amounts of dust. Near-IR images obtained with NICMOS on board the HST shows a rather well defined ring of dust with a diameter of about 150 pc [753]. Other examples are Hen3-600, HD 98800, and HD 141569 [886, 944]. Many of these young stars are actually binary stars, which render conclusions based on observed asymmetries very speculative. Another way is to deduce disk structure from SEDs, as demonstrated in Fig. 10.4. In the SED from *Hen3-600* this is particularly interesting since it is a triple star system. *SCUBA* detected a cool dust ring of < 200 AU radius around the entire system and a warm dust ring originally detected by *IRAS* around the spectroscopic binary only, with a radius of 35 AU. A third way is to extract protoplanet–disk interactions from observed eclipses in conjunction with the central star. A possible example is *KH 15D* [393], which exhibits deep and periodic eclipses that could be a feature in a nearly edge-on disk [911]. A review of young binary stars and associated disks is given in [556].

Knowledge of the path from optically thick disks in YSOs to optically thin disks of dust and debris in MS stars is only patchy. One study showed that observed excess luminosities relative to the stellar photosphere declines steadily with stellar age [799], which may be interpreted as disk masses decreasing in a similar fashion. It is important to realize that not all disk material agglomerates into solar system bodies, and when it does, the process cannot be particularly efficient. Some of the material may still migrate towards the central star. Some may get heated by the star's UV radiation such that it evaporates away. Only a very small fraction of the dust may grow into planetesimals and finally into planets. After all, typical circumstellar disk masses are of the order of 0.05 M_{\odot} (see Sect. 6.3.1) whereas the mass of the Solar System, without the Sun, is less than 0.0015 M_{\odot} , a mere 3 percent. More interestingly, the process also goes backwards. This is implied by the fact that older stars have dusty disks. In order for the dust to sustain its presence for a long time, it somehow has to be replenished by collisions of larger particles, planetesimals, and protoplanets. Thus, some disks in evolved stars are reduced to mere *debris disks* of dust-like material, quite similar to the material and radius of the *Kuiper Belt* in the Solar System [325], and may have masses of not more than a few tens of lunar masses up to maybe 1 percent of M_{\odot} (for a review see [944]). The lower mass limit is driven by the fact that a minimum amount of mass is needed to maintain *collisional cascades* for the 100-500Myr lifetimes of debris disks [325, 929, 462]. In these cascades, planetesimals are ground into dust through collisions.

These older stars reveal their existence at far-IR and sub-mm wavelengths. Observations of *IRAS* detected far-IR emission in excess of expected photospheric emission from the vicinity of the MS star *Vega* [42]. These Vega-like IR stars are now quite common and, like in YSOs, the dust of the disks is heated by stellar radiation [43]. More recently, 850 µm imaging with *SCUBA* provided the first detailed images of dusty disks of nearby stars including ϵ *Eridani*, β *Pictoris* [450, 873, 120] and Vega [478, 908]. For example, the morphology of the disk around the nearby star ϵ *Eridani*, a K-type star at least 500 Myr old, shows a ring structure of dust peaking at 60 AU with some lower emission inside 30 AU and some up to 75 AU. The total mass of this disk is at least 0.01 M_{\odot} [313]. Figure 10.5 shows images of the disk in β *Pic*-


Fig. 10.5. (top) Image of the central star protoplanetary disk of β *Pictoris* at 12 μ m with flux contours overdrawn. (middle) Same view at 18 μ m. (bottom) The 18 μ m image as a result of a deconvolution of the imaging point spread of the telescope. Credit: from Weinberger et al. [890].

toris taken with HST at 8–13 µm [890]. In contrast to TW Hya in Fig. 7.1, the disk is viewed edge-on. It shows a warped structure within 20 AU and other asymmetries that are not easily explained by dust but by the influence of more massive bodies. One interpretation is that there are planets present in the inner disk, which would then have a morphology quite similar to the Solar System.

10.1.3 HAEBE Disks

Some remarks should be spent on the disks of HAEBE stars as they have been subject to much attention in recent years (see [623, 883] for reviews). Since the studies by *L. Hillenbrand* [396], it has been known that intermediate-mass stars emit a significant fraction of their luminosity in the near-IR specifically with peaks around $2-3 \mu m$. It has been pointed out in the literature many times that the properties observed in HAEBE disks are inconsistent with standard disk models. Various scenarios have been proposed ranging from a

more spherical appearance [75] to dust rings [584]. Accordingly, recent ideas feature optically thin inner disks up to the dust sublimation radius, which is a few tenths of AU, followed by an optically thick *puffed up* rim whose IR signatures allow one to fit the SED [624, 210].

It should be realized that HAEBE stars cover a large range of spectral types and surface luminosities, As the interface between low and high mass stars their early evolution happens on many different time spans. In this respect, not much of a protoplanetary disk evolution may be expected from B-stars more massive than 4 to 5 M_{\odot} (Herbig Be (HBe) stars) as they reach the ZAMS with disks of very little mass, if any at all. That all HBe stars at some point had quite strong disks follows as a consequence of star formation, though it is also likely that these disks dispersed (see Sects. 7.1.4–7.1.6). In fact, in an analysis of 25 HAEBE disks, all stars of type later than B8 (*Herbig* Ae (HAe) stars) showed dust emission and derived disk masses ranged from 0.02–0.06 M_{\odot} . Only one object of earlier type indicated a disk mass of 0.1 M_{\odot} . The latter value is near the upper limit of HAEBE disk masses [623]. The disk frequency in later-type HAEBEs is thus very large [621]. One of the trademarks of HAe stars seems to be a *silicate* emission feature around $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ in the spectra [921]. The emission is likely related to the hot grains just outside the dust sublimation radius. The temperature of these grains can reach over 500 K and the optical depth with respect to silicate is almost unity.

The question remains, whether disk evolution in HAEBEs allows for the formation of protoplanets. In the case of HBe stars the answer is quite difficult, not only because of the apparently rapid disk dispersal, which is projected to a timescale of less than 1 Myr [271]. The fact that recent observations show HBe exhibiting so called *unidentified IR bands (UIBs)* often associated with *polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)*, should not be much concern as these are now observed generally with early-type stars.

Therefore, they are unrelated to protoplanetary disk activity. On the other hand, the lack of the *silicate* feature clearly indicates that there are no significant amounts of hot dust around HBe stars. The ability to form protoplanetary disks thus is reserved to stars later than \sim B8–A0 type.

10.2 The Making of the Sun

The Sun is by all standards an average star. In its young years it certainly was a T Tauri star, though with a mass that puts it already beyond the peak of a typical cluster mass function. The Sun is a G-type star. Such a stellar type is not uncommon in the *Taurus–Auriga* region, though the Sun clearly descended from a much older generation of star formation. The following sections attempt to present a rough overview of likely properties of the early Solar Nebula and the Sun's evolutionary path.

10.2.1 The Sun's Origins

Finding the Sun's original birthsite is simply impossible. At its current age of 4.6 Gyr the Sun is already too advanced to have any aspects of its original environment revealed today. Having traveled over 19 orbits around the Galactic center since the birth event, all environmental structure has long been dissolved by the dynamics of the interstellar medium. The average lifetime of molecular clouds is only a few 10 Myr and most stellar clusters disperse on a similar timescale. The previous chapter made it clear that most stars are born in more massive clusters and that was likely the case for the Sun as well. However, the present Solar System gives some clues about the Sun's early environment [591]. For example, from extinct ratios of isotopes in meteorites as well as relatively unperturbed planetary orbits, one can project a maximum size of the parent cluster of maybe 1,000-2,000 stars. On the other hand, the odds of the Solar System forming in such a type of environment are less than 1 percent [7]. Without constraints from the isotope ratios (see below), it is quite likely that the Sun was born in an intermediate size ESC of maybe 100 members.

Although it is not possible to peek into the Sun's birthplace, one may have a look into the visible history of the Solar neighborhood. The Solar neighborhood is very active and has been so for quite some time. As has been indicated in many studies of the LISM (see Sect. 3.1.4) and by projections of the dis-



Fig. 10.6. (left) Illustration of the relative position of the Sun with respect to the Galactic Center. The distance towards the Galactic Center is about 8 kpc. (right) The same schematic illustration of the distribution of molecular clouds and stellar associations as shown in Fig. 3.2, but now without the low-density regions and the warm diffuse gas. The gray plane represents the nominal Galactic plane. The stellar associations as well as associated clouds align roughly with the *Gould Belt* and ancient belt of progressing star formation triggered about < 60 Myr ago. The *Gould Belt* has an inclination with the Galactic plane of ~ 20 degrees.

tribution of X-ray stars (see Fig. 8.1), the Sun is engulfed by an old ring of progressing star formation, called the *Gould Belt*. This assembly of stellar associations and molecular gas (see Fig. 10.6) has been very actively forming stars for the last 10 Myr, though it seems that the star-forming activity was initiated about 60 Myr ago. Appearance and size of the belt indicate that one or more supernova explosions from an ancient association near the center of the ring triggered the activity. Traces of such explosions in the solar neighborhood are plenty, such as the presence of the *Local Bubble* [124], the *Loop I* [219], and other candidates [315].

10.2.2 The Solar Nebula

What was matter like in the Solar Nebula, from which ultimately the planets formed, and how does this matter relate to materials found in the Solar System today? This fundamental question about the origins of the planets and the Solar System taps into a vast field of material science and kinematics which cannot be covered here in detail. However, this section will deal with some basic ideas of how modern research argues from the point of astronomical observations and basic material chemistry. The observations of ϵ Eridani and other Vega-like stars may seem reminiscent of what the early history of the Solar System might have looked like when the Sun was at that age. The similarity of dust observed in β Pictoris to cometary and interplanetary



Fig. 10.7. A cartoon to illustrate various stages of particle growth. (left) The first stage involves grain growth through dust particle interaction with relevance to sticking and coagulation. (middle) The second stage are two-body interactions governed by gravity. (right) The last stage is almost planet-size growth and accretion from gravitational attraction.

dust in the Solar System has been stressed through linear polarization properties [39]. The disks of these stars are less dusty than the ones in younger stars, but dust grains in these old disks differ from the dust of their younger cousins. Material loss and evolution in the Solar Nebula are subject to radiation pressure, collisions, sublimation, and drag forces. In some systems these materials have evidently either been incorporated into planets or destroyed and are now part of debris disks. One has to be truly careful in the search for primordial material as the presence of any dust in old disks implies that it has to be of at least second generation. Observations of Vega-like disks also infer that protoplanetary grains are larger than interstellar grains [43]

Creation and destruction of small solid bodies have been studied at various levels of depth [43] [43, 505, 70]. Calculations of growth rates indicate that the build-up of rocks from dust should proceed relatively quickly. Such a growth process passes through roughly three phases which is illustrated in Fig. 10.7. The detailed physics that actually leads to grain growth is highly complex and may generally be characterized by sticking and coagulation in the early phases, followed by gravitational attraction of planetesimals and accretion of material during later stages. Particles can grow from micron size to meter size within about 10^4 yr, which on a similar timescale grow to bodies of the kilometer size. Beyond that size, growth rates are more complex, but at the end planet sizes should be reached within 1 Myr [887, 532, 70]. Models to calculate growth of grains and planetesimals depend on assumptions of the disk structure. In contrast to the accretion disks discussed in Chap. 7, which are heated through viscous dissipation during the accretion process, protoplanetary disks are *passive* and only heated by the central star or in the case of the Solar Nebula by the Sun. The disks have likely vertical structure. Models to calculate the growth of grains and planetesimals depend on assumptions on these disk structures as well as intrinsic velocity gradients caused by convection and turbulence (see [532, 888, 70] for more details).

Figure 3.9 shows the fluffy shape of planetary dust as it should have been produced in the Solar Nebula. Initially the grain population consists of *olivine* ((Mg, Fe)2SiO₄), orthopyroxene ((Mg, Fe)SiO₃), volatile and refractory organics, water ice, quartz, metallic iron and troilite (FeS) [680]. During disk evolution these materials will change through annealing processes, chemical reactions and radiative destruction, to name a few. The actual results of these processes are highly uncertain and a better understanding is pursued by laboratory experiments (i.e., [571, 620]). Some of the survivors of these processes are chondrites, which constitute about 84% of the consistency of meteorites. Chondrites come in various types depending on their element content. Their substructure is complex and consists of a *matrix* in which Si-rich *chondrules* as well as Ca and Al-rich inclusions called CAIs are embedded. This matrix contains various substances including organic material. It is a common perception today that the planetoids, the meteorites, and the bodies of the outer Kuiper Belt in the present-day Solar System are remnants of the old population of planetesimals of the Solar Nebula. The mineralogy of chondritic meteorites though including unequilibrated phases is generally consistent with condensations of Solar vapor material except for volatile elements [318]. Most interesting for the origin of planets are actually the so-called *carbonaceous chondrites*. They differ from normal chondrites in that they contain up to 4 percent carbon [73] mostly in organic form. How these organic compounds found their way into chondrites is today still unclear [73, 440, 620].

10.2.3 The T Tauri Heritage

In order to date materials as early as the ones in the Solar Nebula one often uses existing and extinct isotopic abundances in Solar System bodies [262]. Studies of meteorites provided evidence for an early presence of several shortlived nuclides in the Solar Nebula with half-lives $< 10^7$ yr through observed excesses in their decay products. Longer-lived, known nuclides are of higher mass, they are products of r-processes in supernovas and in line with galactic abundances. They can hardly be used to date the Solar Nebula. On the other hand, there are about a dozen short-lived nuclides that offer the possibility to stem from a one-time presence in the early solar system as inferred from meteorites (see [309] for a review). In these cases there are a few more options. One is a stellar origin, which would imply that these nuclides are most likely products of supernova explosions, but for some thermally pulsing asymptotic giant branch stars or Wolf–Rayet stellar winds can be production sites as well. In that case one would opt for a scenario where these nuclides were injected into the collapsing protostellar cloud prior to collapse [146, 881, 40]. Due to the short lifetime of these nuclides there is the consequence that the injection process that could have triggered the collapse as a standard starforming scenario as outlined in Chaps. 5 and 6 would likely have to be modified [147]. In that case the stellar origin for the short-lived nuclides in the Solar Nebula contains the timescale for collapse and Solar Nebula to roughly 1 Myr [309]. This would be consistent with somewhere within the WTTS phase (see Fig. 6.11).

Another option could be that these nuclides were produced in the Solar Nebula through the bombardment of rocks by cosmic rays from the central protostar [172, 522] close to the inner disk boundary. Under conventional conditions this would produce some of the nuclides but would significantly underproduce ⁴¹Ca, ²⁶Al, and ⁶⁰Fe. Fluctuating X-winds (see Sect. 7.3.3) as proposed by [784, 785] offered progress by invoking powerful reconnection events, which on the one hand generate high X-ray fluxes, but on the other hand start an X-wind which is able to accelerate large numbers of ³He particles to energies above MeV per nucleon. Though ²⁶Al would now be produced in sufficient amounts, ⁴¹Ca would be severely overproduced while the amount of ⁶⁰Fe would still remain insufficient (see also [522, 309]). More recent reports on evidence of the decay of ¹⁰Be also supports an *in situ* origin since ¹⁰Be is not produced by stellar nucleosynthesis but can only be due to nuclear reactions by energetic particles [568]. In these respects, though the stellar origin of these

nuclides is still favored by many, there is a likelihood that at least some of the material is produced in the Solar Nebula.

The X-wind model has in this respect another powerful consequence. The unusual formation history of chondrites and their substructures which are the Si-rich *chondrules* as well as Ca- and Al-rich inclusions called *CAIs* should reflect on their possible formation and origin. The fact alone that two seemingly incompatible inclusions appear in chondrites poses constraints. For a review of the details of the formation of these entities the reader is referred to [440]. The thermal histories of chondrules and CAIs depends not only on the ambient temperature at the time of formation but also on length and peak temperatures during melting processes as well as cooling rates. The presence of volatile elements such as Na and S within chondrules helps determine temperature limits of melting processes these chondrules must have endured. Ranges for estimated peak melting temperatures under certain circumstances can exceed 2,000 K. The coexistence of chondrules and CAIs is thus a consequence of a formation under low- and high-temperature regimes.

The main problem with high melting temperatures is that they cannot be generated by the stellar system beyond maybe 2 AU. Rings of material are observed much further out where such temperatures cannot be reached. A variety of models have been proposed. Models featuring melting on impact between planetesimals are too inefficient, while periodic heating during



Fig. 10.8. Structure of ordinary chondrite originating from a meteorite found at the Hammadah al Hamra Plateau in Libya. The central feature is a cut through a 2.2 mm chondrule visibly showing a well defined fine-grained rim. Credit: Photograph by R. Pelisson, SaharaMet.

outbursts of FU Orionis type have inappropriate timescales [440, 73]. More viable are models involving some form of lightning [195, 593] or shock waves unleashed into the Solar Nebula [919, 889]. However, the former raises some concerns about feasibility and the latter lack a viable source for the shock wave (see [440] for discussion).

Yet the only scenario that generates a plausible thermal environment places the formation of chondrules and CAIs into the very early stages of stellar evolution specifically into the CTTS and even protostellar stage. The Sun (see below) during its early evolution once was an accreting T Tauri star and subject to mass accretion, winds, jets, and enhanced X-ray emission. The X-wind model produces a wind as a result of the interaction between the accretion disk and the magnetic field of the central star. When accreted material reaches the X-region (see Fig. 7.14) accreted gas is launched from the inner edge of the disk (R) and forms an X-wind. Grains are lifted in the gas wind through a strong gas–grain drag and once the grains leave the shade of the disk, these grains are fully exposed to the protosolar radiation field where molten CAIs can form and subsequently cool while outflowing with the wind [784]. More specifically, the model specifies processes by which chondrules and CAIs might have formed by flare heating of precursor rocks [785]. The X-wind itself is fluctuating due to periodic radial excursions on a timescale of roughly 30 vr. The melting rock sticks during collisions with other melted material. In this scenario irradiated rocks have a chance to grow to mm and cm size. These processes are time-critical. Peak temperatures up to 1,800 K can be reached assuming a mass loss rate of about $1 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (see [785] for further details). Observations of YSOs support this scenario (see Sect. 8.1.5).

In this respect Feigelson and colleagues characterized magnetic flaring activity in the ONC and discussed the finding with respect to isotopic anomalies in carbonaceous chondritic meteorites of the Solar System [240]. The sample of flaring PMS stars was selected to best represent the PMS analog of the Sun. It was found that that the PMS Sun exhibited over 30 times more powerful flares and a frequency that exceeds the one of the current Sun by a factor of over 300. It was infered that the PMS Sun exhibited enhanced proton fluences that were enhanced by many orders of magnitude. From that it was concluded that the observed meteoritic abundances of several short-lived isotopes could be explained. The observation of star-forming activities specifically with Xray telescopes is thus capable of directly studying the properties of the Solar Nebula.

10.2.4 Evolution of the Sun

What is left is a look at evolutionary scenarios that lead the Sun as it is seen at present times. The Sun today is a mid-aged MS dwarf star of spectral type G2. The previous chapters have outlined how such a star emerges from the collapse of a molecular cloud under well defined initial conditions and how it grows up passing the T Tauri stages and contraction towards the main sequence. At

some point one would like to have a complete description of the Sun's evolution reaching exactly the state it is in today. Luminosity and surface temperature of the Sun have been determined to the best accuracy known for any star and thus the Sun is a specifically good probe to test evolution in the HRD. It is therefore not a coincidence that many collapse calculations are performed for a solar-mass star and results are scaled by solar properties. At the end it is the comparison of different star-formation models that gives an impression of how well the protostellar history of the Sun is known today. Though in a more basic form such a discussion has already been started in Chap. 5 and 6, there are some more recent contributions that add a few more details specifically of interest toward an understanding of the Sun's evolution. The reader should be cautioned at this point and be aware that the following discussion does not invalidate classical PMS calculations and projections presented in Chap. 6 but merely attempts to put theoretical results into perspective with observations.

In a recent paper G. Wuchterl and W. M. Tscharnuter illustrate and compare various evolutionary paths that lead to the ZAMS position of the Sun in the HRD. This includes physical conditions of molecular clouds and cores, collapse treatment including radiative transfer at avarious optical depths as well as a time-dependent treatment of convection [928]. In an earlier study it was shown that there are substantial deviations in the results to classical hydrostatic and initially fully convective models once one applies an integral approach of cloud fragmentation phase, collapse, and early evolution without parameter adjustment during these phases [927]. Yet at the end of most previous collapse models (see Chap. 5) it is assumed at the end that the protostar reaches hydrostatic equilibrium and full convectivity. One of the interesting features of this earlier study is a comparison of evolutionary tracks that assume the Sun either in a dense stellar cluster environment [470, 472] or the situation where the Sun would have evolved in isolation represented by the collapse of a Bonnor–Ebert sphere [928]. It is argued that mass accretion rates of evolving protostars in clusters differ significantly from rates in isolated stars. This affects predictions drawn from positions in the HRD (see Fig. 10.9). How far such a result can be generalized is unclear. However, since it is quite likely that the Sun evolved in a cluster environment, interactions between cluster stars affect the Sun's evolutionary path. At the end of the protostars accretion phase evolutionary tracks converge as star-forming history becomes more and more irrelevant. For the Sun this would have happened after 0.95 Myr.

A valid point is made in that the determination of accurate initial conditions for stellar evolution in terms of mass, radii, and internal structure is still highly uncertain [928]. This is specifically true for stars that enter the stage of hydrostatic equilibrium. The result of these calculations are surface temperatures that are 500 K hotter and bolometric luminosities that are twice that which classical calculations would predict for the proto-Sun [189] (i.e., 4,950 K and 4 L_{\odot}). The reasons for this are not the possibly different formation histories, but the classical predicament that once the protostar ceases to accrete at high rate, the star has to be internally fully convective. Instead



Fig. 10.9. Early stellar evolution in the HR-diagram as calculated by [927]. Three evolutionary tracks for a solar-mass star are compared. The dotted line is a classical stellar structure, hydrostatic equilibrium PMS track, for an initially fully convective gas sphere [189]. The two other lines are obtained by describing the formation of the star as a result of the collapse of an interstellar cloud. The thin line is for a Bonnor–Ebert sphere [928]. The thick line is for a cloud fragment that results from the dynamical fragmentation of a molecular cloud [470, 472]. The triangles (Bonnor–Ebert), squares [927], and crosses [189] along the respective evolutionary tracks mark ages of 0, 1, 10, 100, and 350 kyr and 0.5 and 1 Myr. The cross at the end of the hydrostatic track denotes the zero-age main sequence. Corresponding age marks for 0.1, 0.35, 0.5, and 1 Myr are connected by dashed lines in the inset. Credit: from Wuchterl and Klessen [927].

it is argued that the proto-Sun hosts a radiative core that could be quite similar to today's Sun. Whether this result holds any truth is clearly subject for future research. However, the now seemingly open discussion about the initial conditions specifically with respect to full convectivity as the endpoint of star formation has repercussions not only for the theoretical understanding of proto-Suns but even more so for the interpretation of observations of young stars. A factor two in luminosity and 500 K may not have much effect on the placements of protostellar and PMS stellar parameters in the HRD as uncertainties in observed quantities are almost of the same order (see Sect. 6.2.5). It does, though, reflect on the discussion of high-energy results (see Chap. 7), which seem to favor some dynamo activity throughout most evolutionary phases and where observers seek to reconcile radiative signatures between early and late stages of PMS stellar evolution.

Gas Dynamics

The nature of stars is complex and involves almost every aspect of modern physics. In this respect the historical fact that it took mankind about half a century to understand stellar structure and evolution (see Sect. 2.2.3) seems quite a compliment to researchers. Though this statement reflects the advances in the first half of the 20th century it has to be admitted that much of stellar physics still needs to be understood, even now in the first years of the 21st century. For example, many definitions and principles important to the physics of mature stars (i.e., stars that are already engaged in their own nuclear energy production) are also relevant to the understanding of stellar formation. Though not designed as a substitute for a textbook about stellar physics, the following sections may introduce or remind the reader of some of the very basic but most useful physical concepts. It is also noted that these concepts are merely reviewed, not presented in a consistent pedagogic manner.

The physics of clouds and stars is ruled by the laws of thermodynamics and follows principles of ideal, adiabatic, and polytropic gases. Derivatives in gas laws are in many ways critical in order to express stability conditions for contracting and expanding gas clouds. It is crucial to properly define gaseous matter. In the strictest sense a *monatomic ideal gas* is an ensemble of the same type of particles confined to a specific volume. The only particle–particle interactions are fully elastic collisions. In this configuration it is the number of particles and the available number of degrees of freedom that are relevant. An ensemble of molecules of the same type can thus be treated as a monatomic gas with all its internal degrees of freedom due to modes of excitation. Ionized gases or *plasmas* have electrostatic interactions and are discussed later.

A.1 Temperature Scales

One might think that a *temperature* is straightforward to define as it is an everyday experience. For example, temperature is felt outside the house, inside at the fireplace or by drinking a cup of hot chocolate. However, most of what is

experienced is actually a temperature difference and commonly applied scales are relative. In order to obtain an absolute temperature one needs to invoke statistical physics. Temperature cannot be assigned as a property of isolated particles as it always depends on an entire ensemble of particles in a specific configuration described by its equation of state. In an ideal gas, for example, temperature T is defined in conjunction with an ensemble of non-interacting particles exerting pressure P in a well-defined volume V. Kinetic temperature is a statistical quantity and a measure for internal energy U. In a monatomic gas with N_{tot} particles the temperature relates to the internal energy as:

$$U = \frac{3}{2} N_{tot} k \ T \tag{A.1}$$

where $k = 1.381 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg K}^{-1}$.

The Celsius scale defines its zero point at the freezing point of water and its scale by assigning the boiling point to 100. Lord Kelvin in the mid-1800s developed a temperature scale which sets the zero point to the point at which the pressure of all dilute gases extrapolates to zero from the triple point of water. This scale defines a *thermodynamic* temperature and relates to the Celsius scale as:

$$T = T_K = T_C + 273.15^o \tag{A.2}$$

It is important to realize that it is impossible to cool a gas down to the zero point (Nernst Theorem, 1926) of Kelvin's scale. In fact, given the presence of the 3 K background radiation that exists throughout the Universe, the lowest temperatures of the order of *nano-Kelvins* are only achieved in the laboratory. The coldest known places in the Universe are within our Galaxy, deeply embedded in molecular clouds – the very places where stars are born. Cores of Bok Globules can be as cold as a few K. On the other hand, temperatures in other regions within the Galaxy may even rise to 10^{14} K. Objects this hot are associated with very late evolutionary stages such as pulsars and γ -ray sources. Fig. A.1 illustrates examples of various temperature regimes as we know them today. The scale in the form of a thermometer highlights the range specifically related to early stellar evolution: from 1 K to 100 MK. The conversion relations between the scales are:

$$E = kT = 8.61712 \times 10^{-8} \frac{T}{[\mathrm{K}]} \mathrm{keV}$$
$$E = \frac{12.3985}{\lambda [\mathrm{\AA}]} \mathrm{keV}$$
(A.3)

For young stars the highest temperatures (of the order of 100 MK) observed occur during giant X-ray flares that usually last for hours or sometimes a few days, and jets. The coolest places (with 1 to 100 K) are molecular clouds. Ionized giant hydrogen clouds usually have temperatures around 1,000 K. The



Fig. A.1. The temperature scale in the Universe spans over ten orders of magnitude ranging from the coldest cores of molecular clouds to hot vicinities of black holes. The scale highlights the range to be found in early stellar evolution, which roughly spans from 10 K (e.g., Barnard 68) to 100 MK (i.e. outburst and jet in XZ Tauri and HH30, respectively). Examples of temperatures in-between are ionized hydrogen clouds (e.g., NGC 5146, Cocoon Nebula), the surface temperatures of stars (e.g., our Sun in visible light), plasma temperatures in stellar coronal loops (e.g., our Sun in UV light), magnetized stars (i.e., hot massive stars at the core of the Orion Nebula). The hottest temperatures are usually found at later stages of stellar evolution in supernovas or the vicinity of degenerate matter (e.g., magnetars). Credits for insets: NASA/ESA/ISAS; R. Mallozzi, Burrows et al. [136], Bally et al. [52], Schulz et al. [761].

temperatures of stellar photospheres range between 3,000 and 50,000 K. In stellar coronae the plasma reaches 10 MK, almost as high as in stellar cores where nuclear fusion requires temperatures of about 15 MK. The temperature range involved in stellar formation and evolution thus spans many orders of magnitudes. In stellar physics the high temperature is only topped by temperatures of shocks in the early phases of a supernova, the death of a massive star, or when in the vicinity of gravitational powerhouses like neutron stars and black holes.

A.2 The Adiabatic Index

The first relation one wants to know about a gaseous cloud is its equation of state, which is solely based on the first law of thermodynamics and represents conservation of energy:

$$\mathrm{d}Q = \mathrm{d}U + \mathrm{d}W \tag{A.4}$$

where the total amount of energy absorbed or produced dQ is the sum of the change in internal energy dU and the work done by the system dW. In an ideal gas where work dW = PdV directly relates to expansion or compression and thus a change in volume dV against a uniform pressure P, the equation of state is:

$$PV = N_m RT = nkT \tag{A.5}$$

where $R = 8.3143435 \times 10^7$ erg mole⁻¹ K⁻¹, N_m is the number of moles, and n is the number of particles per cm³. The physics behind this equation of state, however, is better perceived by looking at various derivatives under constant conditions of involved quantities. For example, the amount of heat necessary to raise the temperature by one degree is expressed by the heat capacities:

$$C_v = \left(\frac{dQ}{dT}\right)_V$$
 and $C_p = \left(\frac{dQ}{dT}\right)_P$ (A.6)

where d/dT denotes the differentiation with respect to temperature and the indices P and V indicate constant pressure or volume. The ratio of the two heat capacities:

$$\gamma = C_P / C_V \tag{A.7}$$

is called the *adiabatic index* and has a value of 5/3 or 7/5 depending on whether the gas is monatomic or diatomic. For polyatomic gases the ratio would be near 4/3 (i.e., if the gas contains significant amounts of elements other than H and He or a mix of atoms, molecules, and ions). The more internal degrees of freedom to store energy that exist, the more C_P is reduced, allowing the index to approach unity. A mix of neutral hydrogen with a fraction of ionized hydrogen is in this respect no longer strictly monatomic, because energy exchange between neutrals and ions is different. Similarly, mixes of H and He and their ions are to be treated as polyatomic if the ionization fractions are large. Deviations from the ideal gas assumption scale with n^2/V^2 , which, however, in all phases of stellar formation is a very small number. Thus the ideal gas assumption is quite valid throughout stellar evolution.

A very important aspect with respect to idealized gas clouds is the case in which the radiated heat is small. For many gas clouds it is a good approximation to assume that no heat is exchanged with its surroundings. The changes in P, T, and V in the *adiabatic* case are then:

$$PV^{\gamma} = const; \quad TV^{\gamma-1} = const; \quad \text{and} \quad TP^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma)} = const$$
(A.8)

Together with equations A.4 and A.5 these relations lead to a set of three adiabatic exponents by requiring that dQ = 0. The importance of these exponents had been realized by Eddington in 1918 and Chandrasekhar in 1939 [699]. They are defined as:

$$\Gamma_1 = -\left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}P}{\mathrm{dln}V}\right)_{ad} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}P}{\mathrm{dln}\rho}\right)_{ad} \tag{A.9}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_2}{(\Gamma_2 - 1)} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}P}{\mathrm{dln}T}\right)_{ad} \tag{A.10}$$

and

$$\Gamma_3 - 1 = -\left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}\,T}{\mathrm{dln}\,V}\right)_{ad}\tag{A.11}$$

In the classical (non-adiabatic) limit for a monatomic gas with no internal degrees of freedom, the three exponents are the same and equal to 5/3. For a typical non-interacting gas the specific internal energy U (internal energy per unit mass) is proportional to P/ρ (where ρ is the mass density). Thus pressure of such a system is related to density by:

$$P = K\rho^{\gamma} \tag{A.12}$$

where K is a proportionality factor determined by the gas considered and γ is generally Γ_1 . These exponents carry crucial information about the stability of the system against various types of perturbations. In the case of an isothermal cloud, it is primarily the first adiabatic exponent that defines the stability of a gas against external forces (such as gravity), and changes in Γ_2 and Γ_3 are negligible. The latter only have more significance once convection occurs and if processes are strongly non-isothermal.

A.3 Polytropes

Stellar interiors are frequently characterized by *polytropic* processes, where the adiabatic condition of dQ = 0 is now substituted by a constant thermal capacity:

$$C = \frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}T} = const. > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma' = \frac{C_p - C}{C_v - C}$$
(A.13)

With the (A.12) the polytropic equation of state has then the index γ' . The polytropic index is then defined as $n = 1/(\gamma' - 1)$.

A.4 Thermodynamic Equilibrium

For an ideal gas of temperature T of n particles of a certain kind there are various excited states. Atoms in an excited state i with an excitation energy χ_i distribute relative to their ground states o following the *Boltzmann formula*:

$$\frac{n_i}{n_o} = \frac{g_i}{g_o} e^{-\chi_i/kT} \tag{A.14}$$

where g_i and g_o are the statistical weights describing the degeneracy of states. However, at larger temperatures ground states are increasingly depleted. In the extreme case that all ground states are depleted n_o is substituted by the total number of states n, and g_o by the partition function $g = \sum_i g_i e^{\chi_i/kT}$. The temperature T is then the equilibrium temperature. If the gas consists of a mix of many atoms and molecules in various states of excitation, all particle species have the same temperature in thermodynamic equilibrium. However, such a global equilibrium may not be applicable in molecular clouds and stars where temperatures may depend on spatial coordinates. Here the concept of thermal equilibrium is still valid for small volume elements. This is then called local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE).

In thermal equilibrium every process occurs at the same rate as its inverse process, meaning there is as much absorption of photons as there is emission. Under such conditions the intensity of the radiation field can be described as:

$$B_{\nu}(T) = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} \frac{1}{e^{h\nu/kT} - 1}$$
(A.15)

It was G. Kirchhoff (1860) and M. Planck (1900) who realized that the intensity of this *blackbody* radiation is a universal function of T and ν . The energy of the photon is $h\nu$, where h is Planck's constant = 6.625×10^{27} erg s. The two limiting cases are *Wien's law* ($\frac{h\nu}{kT} \gg 1$) and *Rayleigh–Jeans law* ($\frac{h\nu}{kT} \ll 1$). The mean photon energy in local thermal equilibrium is equivalent to the temperature of the radiating body by:

$$< h\nu >= 2.7012kT$$
 (A.16)

The energy and wavelength scales in Fig. A.1 are calculated through this equivalency. Integration of (A.15) yields the total radiation flux F of a blackbody radiator, which J. Stefan (1884 experimentally) and L. Boltzmann (1886 theoretically) determined as:

$$F = \int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) d\nu = \sigma T^4 \tag{A.17}$$

where $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}$.



Fig. A.2. Spectra of blackbody radiation at various temperatures ranging from 1 to 40,000 K from the radio band to the UV band. Note that the lowest temperature spectra peak is at sub-mm wavelengths.

A.5 Gravitational Potential and Mass Density

The biggest player in the process of stellar evolution is without doubt *gravity*. Just as simply as Newton's apple falls from the height of the tree towards the ground, gravity rules everything in our Universe that possesses mass. This applies to the apple, the orbits of the planets around the Sun, the stability of stars, and even to the light emitted by the farthest quasar at the edge of the Universe.

The source of the gravitational force is the gravitational potential, which is generally described by the *Poisson equation*:

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 4\pi G \rho \tag{A.18}$$

where ϕ is the gravitational potential. This leads to a contribution to the external force \mathbf{F}_{ext} on a cloud of gas of density ρ :

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{ext}} = -\rho\nabla\phi \tag{A.19}$$



Fig. A.3. Geometry for an oblique rotator with obliqueness $(R_e - R_p)/R_e$.

In the case of spherical symmetry, where mass is distributed such that the total gravitational potential only depends on the distance r towards the geometrical center of the mass distribution, ϕ can be expressed analytically as:

$$\phi(r) = -G\frac{M_r}{r} \tag{A.20}$$

where M_r is the enclosed mass within a sphere of radius r. In fact, spherical symmetry is the only case where an exact analytical evaluation of the gravitational potential is possible. Thus the situation changes dramatically once there are deviations from spherical symmetry. Imagine an isothermal gas cloud with no forces acting other than internal pressure and the gravitational force. Once it rotates it redistributes itself into a more oblique shape breaking the symmetry (see Fig. A.3). This means that the gravitational potential now maintains a cylindrical symmetry and an azimuthal angle θ dependence is added. In the case of slow rotation, the gravitational potential can be expanded as an infinite series of the form:

$$\phi(r,\theta) = -G\frac{M}{r} \left(1 - \left(\frac{R_e}{r}\right)^2 \mathcal{J}_2 \mathcal{P}_2(\cos \theta) - \left(\frac{R_e}{r}\right)^4 \mathcal{J}_4 \mathcal{P}_4(\cos \theta) - \dots\right)$$
(A.21)

where \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{J}_n are Legendre Polynomials and gravitational moments, respectively, R_e the large radius of the oblique body; and θ the angle with respect to the axis of rotation (see Fig. A.3). While Legendre Polynomials are mathematical functions, the gravitational moments carry the physics of the corrections. In this respect \mathcal{J}_2 is related to the clouds moment of inertia, and \mathcal{J}_4 is sensitive to deviations from and changes in mass distribution.

In fact, mass distribution within the gas spheroid is critical. While the above potentials assume a roughly homogeneous density distribution, this is usually not the case in molecular clouds and stellar collapse situations where one assumes a power law dependence like:

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\alpha} \tag{A.22}$$

where the density drops off as some power α of the radius from a homogeneous sphere inside. In the case of molecular cores it is generally assumed that $\alpha = 2$ throughout the cloud.

A.6 Conservation Laws

So far everything that has been considered applies to static systems. Realistically clouds and cores change with time. The concepts developed in the following, however, still rely on the assumption that most matter considered is gaseous and particle interactions are limited to direct *collisions*. Additional electrostatic interactions become important in plasmas (i.e., ionized matter) and will be discussed later.

The first basic law of gas dynamics to be considered is the *equation of* continuity, which describes the conservation of mass in a non-interacting flow of velocity \mathbf{v} . For a gas element at a fixed spatial location this is:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \nabla(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 \tag{A.23}$$

The second law is the equation of motion, which is based on *momentum* conservation. Gradients in the gas pressure imply forces acting on the gas element. A continuous flow of gas elements is generally described by *Euler's* Equation:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla P + \mathbf{F}$$
(A.24)

where the first term is mass acceleration, the second term is advection, the third term the pressure gradient, and \mathbf{F} the sum of all external forces. Assuming that the second term is zero with no pressure gradient and that gravity is the only external force, this equation reduces to:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\frac{GM_r}{r^2} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi G\rho r \tag{A.25}$$

assuming the uniform sphere ρ here is an average density defined by $M_r = (4/3)\pi r^3 \rho$. This is the equation of motion of a harmonic oscillator and allows one to define a dynamical time T/4 (T is the oscillation period), where a gas element travels halfway across the gas sphere (i.e., synonymous to the situation of a collapsing sphere):

$$t_{dyn} = \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\rho}} \tag{A.26}$$

Note that this timescale is independent of r and resembles the scale defined in Sect. A.9. Other sources for external forces can be magnetic fields and rotation.

The third dynamic equation is the one for conservation of energy. A gas element carries kinetic energy as well as internal energy. The latter critically depends on the available number of degrees of freedom in the gas, in other words, equipartition assigns a mean energy of $\eta_i = 1/2kT$ for each degree of freedom *i* and, thus, the specific internal energy (i.e., internal energy per volume) of a monatomic gas (three degrees of freedom) is given by:

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 + \frac{3}{2}\rho kT$$
 (A.27)

The energy equation for an isothermal sphere is then:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla [(U+P)\mathbf{v}] = \mathbf{F} \,\mathbf{v} - \nabla F_{rad} \tag{A.28}$$

where the first term is the change in specific internal energy, the second term the total work performed during either expansion or contraction of the system, the third term the rate of energies provided by external forces, and the fourth term the loss of energy due to the irradiated flux F_{rad} . In general, there would be a fifth term describing the energy flux due to the heat conductivity in the gas. This flux, however, is near zero as long as there are roughly isothermal conditions and low ionization fractions. It has to be realized that the complexity of this equation is not only due to the many contributing terms but also to the fact that each of these terms is sensitive to the composition and state of the gas. The specific internal energy depends on the number of degrees of freedom of the gas, the irradiated flux on the opacity of the gas and the energy flux exhibited through external forces such as magnetic fields that depend on the ionization fraction of the gas. In particular, the irradiated radiation flux breaks the symmetry of the three dynamic equations in that energy is permanently lost from the system and, more importantly, a fourth equation is necessary to account for its amount.

A.7 Hydrostatic Equilibrium

The simple balance between *internal (thermal) pressure* and gravitational pressure forces is called *hydrostatic equilibrium*. In this state it is assumed that there are no macroscopic motions or, in other words, motion on extremely slow timescales. In this case and using $dM_r/dr = 4\pi r^2 \rho$, (A.24) reduces to:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}M_r} = -\frac{GM_r}{4\pi r^4} \tag{A.29}$$

The mass M_r again is the enclosed mass inside a sphere of radius r. Within this gas sphere pressure is maximal inside and decreases outwards. Multiplying this equation by the volume of the sphere and integrating over the enclosed mass one gets a relation between the gravitational potential energy of the star:

$$\phi = -\int_0^{M_r} \frac{GM'_r}{r} \mathrm{d}M'_r \tag{A.30}$$

and its total energy (see (A.27))

$$U = \frac{3}{2} \int_0^M \frac{P}{\rho} dM_r = -\frac{1}{2}\phi$$
 (A.31)

also called the *virial theorem*. The internal energy of the system can be one half of the configuration's gravitational energy.

Typically, interstellar and molecular clouds are found to be mostly in hydrostatic equilibrium. Furthermore, many calculations assume or require a form of hydrostatic equilibrium for newly formed protostellar cores as well.

A.8 The Speed of Sound

An important measure of the dynamic properties of a gas flow is the speed at which sound waves can propagate through the gas. This speed can be evaluated considering small density and pressure perturbations subject to the hydrostatic equilibrium condition [264]. These perturbations may either occur under isothermal or adiabatic conditions (i.e., with an adiabatic exponent of either 1 or 5/3, respectively). Euler's equation (A.24) and the equation of continuity (A.23) yields a wave equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} = c_s^2 \nabla^2 \rho \tag{A.32}$$

where $c_s = (\gamma dP/d\rho)^{1/2}$ is the speed of sound (*P* and ρ are measured at equilibrium). For a monatomic gas (i.e., the particle density $n = \rho/\mu m_H$, where μ is the atomic weight and m_H is the hydrogen mass) the isothermal case results in:

$$c_s = \left(\gamma \frac{kT}{\mu m_H}\right)^{1/2} \tag{A.33}$$

For a mean thermal speed in an ideal gas one has:

$$c_s \simeq 0.19 (T/[10 \text{ K}])^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1}$$
 (A.34)

Thus if a density (or pressure) wave travels faster than c_s , the gas flow is called *supersonic* and the gas does not have enough time to respond to local changes. In this case pressure gradients have little or no effect on the flow. If the wave travels more slowly than c_s , then the gas flow has time to adjust to local changes and remains in hydrostatic equilibrium.

Most astrophysical plasmas are dynamic and involve magnetic fields. Interactions are then described by invoking fluid dynamics. Often the ideal assumption of infinite conductivity (i.e., (B.14) is equal to zero), is made which makes it possible to determine the propagation of magnetic disturbances in the plasma (see Sect. B.4). In analogy to the speed of sound, H. Alfvén in 1942 defined the *Alfvén velocity* as:

$$v_A = \frac{B}{(4\pi\rho)^{1/2}}$$
(A.35)

Whenever magnetic forces dominate, perturbations travel with velocities faster than the speed of sound.

A.9 Timescales

Gas dynamics in stellar evolution is governed by several major timescales. Specifically relevant for formation and early stellar evolution are the following three times:

Free-fall time: During the early phases of collapse, matter falls inward under free-fall conditions as there is nothing to counter the increasing gravitational pull. This reduces A.25 to:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r}{\mathrm{d}t^2} = -\frac{GM_r}{r^2} \tag{A.36}$$

Solving this equation [802] leads to a free-fall time of:

$$t_{ff} = \sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{32G\overline{\rho}}} \sim 2.1 \times 10^3 \sqrt{\frac{\text{g cm}^{-3}}{\overline{\rho}}} \text{ s}$$
(A.37)

where $\overline{\rho}$ is the initial mean density of the collapsing cloud. This is the mean time in which the cloud collapses entirely. For an initial density of 10^{-19} g cm⁻³ this would take ~200,000 yr.

Thermal time: The free-fall phase halts around a matter density of 10^{-13} g cm⁻³ as internal pressure builds up. This changes the timescale. Once the first

stable core is sustained by thermal pressure, a thermal or Kelvin–Helmholtz timescale can be defined for a core of radius R as

$$t_{KH} = \frac{|W|}{L_R} \sim 7 \times 10^{-5} \kappa_R \frac{M_R^2}{R^3 T^4}$$
s (A.38)

i.e., by equating the energy of the gravitational contraction to the radiated energy. W is the gravitational energy $\left(\frac{GM^2}{R}\right)$, L_R the luminosity across the core surface, and κ_R the mean opacity (see Appendix C). The quasi-static protostars thermally adjust to gravitation on this timescale. Assuming an average opacity of stellar material with solar composition of ~ 1.2 cm² g⁻¹ one finds that a star like the Sun requires about 3×10^7 yr to contract towards the main sequence. Thus, the thermal time exceeds the free-fall time by orders of magnitude.

Accretion time: Such a thermal adjustment only happens if t_{KH} is significantly smaller than t_{acc} , which is defined by the relation:

$$t_{acc} = \frac{M_{core}}{\dot{M}} \tag{A.39}$$

and which reflects the situation where accretion of matter does not dominate the evolution of the core. Like t_{KH} , t_{acc} significantly exceeds t_{ff} . If t_{KH} is larger than t_{acc} , then the core evolves adiabatically and the luminosity of the protostar is dominated by accretion shocks. More details are discussed in Chaps. 5 and 6.

A.10 Spherically Symmetric Accretion

The three main equations for gas dynamics, mass continuity, momentum, and energy conservation, are sufficient under the assumption that there are no energy losses due to radiation and that there is no heat conduction. Most of the time gas flows are assumed to be *steady*, which implies that:

$$P\rho^{\gamma} = const. \tag{A.40}$$

The case of a mass M accreting spherically from a large gas cloud is considered (rotation, magnetic fields and bulk motions of the gas are neglected). It is now necessary to define conditions, such as density and temperature of an ambient gas, far away from that mass as well as boundary conditions at the surface of the mass. The notion of spherical symmetry relieves the treatment of a dependence on azimuthal and circumferential angles [102]. The velocity of infalling material is assumed negative ($v_r < 0$, it would be > 0 in case of an outflowing wind) and has only a radial component. For a steady radial flow the three equations reduce to:



Fig. A.4. During spherical accretion a central star draws matter from an ambient cloud or atmosphere. Such a basic scenario may apply once an isothermal sphere of gas (like a molecular cloud core) collapses and a small core accretes from the outer envelope. The dark inner region marks the area of a free-falling envelope with supersonic infall velocities and a density $\rho \propto r^{-3/2}$. The lighter shaded outer envelopes are regions where infall happens at subsonic velocities in a more static envelope of density $\rho \propto r^{-2}$. The difference between the light shades indicates envelopes which are under the influence of gravity (darker shade) or not (lightest shade). Note the logarithmic radius and density scales in the diagram.

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}(r^2\rho v) = 0$$

$$v\frac{dv}{dr} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{dP}{dr} + \frac{GM}{r^2} = 0$$

$$P = K\rho^{\gamma}$$
(A.41)

where gravity is the only external force and the energy equation is substituted by the equation of state for a polytrope. Integrating the momentum equation and using the definition of the sound speed yields the Bernoulli integral:

$$\frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{c_s^2}{\gamma - 1} - \frac{GM}{r} = const.$$
 (A.42)

Note that this integration is not mathematically valid for $\gamma = 1$, the strict isothermal case. Here the integral has to be evaluated logarithmically. This however does not change the physical content of this integral. There is a critical radius within which the gas flow changes from subsonic to supersonic. This is called the *sonic radius* [264]:

$$r_s = \frac{GM}{2c_s^2(r_s)} \simeq 7.5 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{T(r_s)}{[10^4 \text{ K}]}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{M}{[M_{\odot}]}\right) \text{ cm}$$
 (A.43)

For a protostellar core accreting from a 1 M_{\odot} molecular cloud of 10 K temperature this radius would be about 7.5×10^{10} cm. Below this radius the gas flow becomes increasingly supersonic and effectively free falling. In terms of a cloud size of 0.1 pc this means that throughout most of the cloud the gas flow will stay subsonic.

The above equations also allow one to derive an accretion rate from conditions at the outer boundary of a molecular cloud [264]. This derivation leads to:

$$\dot{M} \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{11} \left(\frac{M}{[M_{\odot}]}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho(\infty)}{[10^{-24} \text{g cm}^{-3}]}\right) \left(\frac{c_s(\infty)}{[10 \text{ km s}^{-1}]}\right)^{-3} \text{g s}^{-1} \quad (A.44)$$

For the case described above and typical values for $\rho(\infty)$ $(10^{-20} \text{ g cm}^{-3})$ and $c_s(\infty)$ (0.35 km s^{-1}) this yields an accretion rate of the order of $10^{-7} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Note that in the spherical Bondi case the mass accretion rate depends on M^2 , whereas for disk accretion (see Chap. 7) it is independent of mass.

A.11 Rotation

Rotation has a profound effect on the stability of an ideal gas cloud. Since *angular momentum* remains conserved, any cloud will rotate faster as it collapses and centrifugal forces will eventually balance and even surpass gravity everywhere. Thus the cloud's certain fate is dispersion into the interstellar medium. To investigate the equation of motion of particles in a uniformly rotating cloud it is convenient to operate in a frame moving with the rotating cloud implying that the initial velocity of the cloud element is zero. The operator for the rate of change in the inertial frame to the change as measured in the rotating frame is:

$$\frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}\right) \tag{A.45}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u_{rot}} + \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the rotating frame. Applying rotation to the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium one finds for the equation of motion in the rotating frame:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla P - \nabla\phi - 2\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{\Omega} \times (\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r})$$
(A.46)

where Ω is the angular velocity of the rotating frame. Most of the terms in the equation are familiar. There are two new terms due to rotation: the Coriolis acceleration term (second from right) and the centrifugal acceleration term. To describe the equilibrium configuration of rotating clouds only the centrifugal term is of interest since ideally in equilibrium **u** is equal to zero.

In a *slowly* and uniformly rotating cloud centrifugal forces will break the spherical symmetry of the cloud and the system may find another stable equilibrium configuration. Using spherical polar coordinates the rotation axis points along the unit vector in the polar direction. The centrifugal acceleration can then be expressed as the gradient of a potential; that is:

$$\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r} = -\nabla (\frac{1}{2} \Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \tag{A.47}$$

and (A.46) can finally be rewritten as:

$$\nabla P = -\rho \nabla (\phi - \frac{1}{2}\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta) \tag{A.48}$$

Chandrasekhar in 1969 [161] realized that the potential on the right-hand side satisfies Poisson's equation. The final *Chandrasekhar–Milne expansion* for a distorted star is shown in (A.21). For a given radius at the poles ($\theta = 0, \pi$) the effective potential is simply the gravitational potential; at the equator ($\theta = \pi/2$) the centrifugal pull is maximal. Remarkable in (A.48) is the fact that the gravitational potential is reduced by the effect of rotation with the square of the angular velocity. For typical molecular cloud average densities of 10^{-20} g cm⁻³ this means that rotational velocities cannot exceed 10^{-14} cm s⁻¹ by much because then the centrifugal force would outweigh gravity.

The case of the slowly and uniformly rotating cloud considered above represents a solid body motion and may not be directly applicable for molecular clouds. Although the case is therefore a bit academic it still exhibits valid insights into the effects of cloud rotation. For the case of gravitational collapse of a molecular cloud, angular velocity has to increase as radius decreases. The collapse soon will come to a halt as centrifugal forces surpass gravity. One way out of this problem is to transport angular momentum out of the system; another is to break the cloud up into fragments, thus distributing some of the momentum. In the simple ideal gas cloud configuration considered this is not possible unless one reconsiders the assumptions that all particles in the cloud are neutral, collisions between particles are purely elastic and no external fields are involved. The final sections of Chap. 4 and much of Chap. 5 deal with this problem.

A.12 Ionized Matter

So far the description of gaseous matter has been based entirely on the assumption that there are no fractions of ion species and no interactions stemming from the fact that matter elements carry a net charge. In reality, there is hardly such an entity as a gas cloud that consists entirely of neutral particles. Within the Galaxy there is always the *interstellar radiation field* as well as Cosmic Rays. In contrast, the intergalactic medium outside the Galaxy is considered to be entirely ionized. Thus, gas and molecular clouds within the Galaxy always carry *non-zero* ionization fractions. As long as clouds remain electrically neutral over a large physical extent they can stably exist as a plasma cloud. Clouds have to be neutral as a whole, since electrostatic forces attract opposite charges and neutralize the cloud. Gaseous matter thus consists not only of neutral atoms and molecules but also of ions, radicals and free electrons. Similarly important with respect to the distribution of these ions are the corresponding electrons. The properties of a plasma are determined by the sum of the properties of its constituents. The density of a plasma is given by:

$$\rho = \sum_{k=i,e,n} \rho_k = \sum_{k=i,e,n} n_k m_k \tag{A.49}$$

where the subscripts i, e and n refer to various ions, all electrons, and various neutral particles. The kinetic energy of the plasma in thermal equilibrium is:

$$\frac{3}{2}kT = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=i,e,n} m_k < v_k^2 >$$
(A.50)

where v_k is the mean square velocity of each constituent. Collisions between different particles ensure that the mean energies of all particles are the same. The velocity distribution f(v) of each plasma constituent is Maxwellian:

$$f(v)\mathrm{d}v = 4\pi \left[\left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT} \right] \right)^{3/2} v^2 e^{-mv^2/kT} \mathrm{d}v \tag{A.51}$$

The peak of this distribution is then $v_{\text{peak}} = \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{m}}$.

A.13 Thermal Ionization

Section A.4 dealt with thermal excitation and (A.14) expressed the distribution of excited states relative to the ground state. If collisions transfer energies E larger than a specific *ionization energy* χ_{ion} the atom will become ionized. The electrons then have a kinetic energy $E_e = E - \chi_{ion}$. The Saha equation specifies the fraction of ionized atoms with respect to neutral atoms: 274 A Gas Dynamics

$$\frac{n_i}{n_n} = \frac{G_i}{G_n} \frac{2}{n_e} \frac{(2\pi m_e kT)^{3/2}}{h^3} e^{-\chi_{ion}/kT}$$
(A.52)

where $\frac{G_i}{G_o}$ is the partition function ratio between ionized and neutral atoms. This equation was first developed by M. N. Saha in 1920. The important issue in (A.52) is that the ionization fraction is a function of temperature only, as all other ingredients are ionization properties of the gas. The necessary partition functions for all major elements of interest are tabulated [314] via the temperature measure $\Theta = \frac{5040}{T[K]}$. In the case that all atoms are at least partially ionized, (A.52) is still valid to determine the fraction between two ionization states. Implicitly, there is also a dependence on electron pressure $P_e = n_e kT$, and thus (A.52) can be expressed numerically as:

$$\frac{n_i}{n_n} = \frac{\Phi(T)}{P_e},$$

where $\Phi(T) = 1.2020 \times 10^9 \frac{G_i}{G_n} T^{5/2} 10^{-\Theta_{\chi_{ion}}}$ (A.53)

Today's spectral analysis is routine and uses tabulated values for partition functions and ionization potentials [314].

To thermally ionize a gas cloud requires high collision rates, such as those in stellar atmospheres at temperatures ranging from 3,500 to 40,000 K. For example, at an electron pressure of 10 Pa in the atmospheres of main sequence stars, matter is completely ionized at temperatures above 10,000 K and the electron pressure is of the order of the gas pressure since $n_p \sim n_e$. Note that the relation between gas pressure and electron pressure depends on the metallicity of the gas cloud. At low temperatures most electrons come from elements with first ionization potentials of less than 10 eV. Applied to a cold gas cloud of less than 100 K it is clear that thermal ionization hardly contributes to the ionization fraction and electron pressure.

A.14 Ionization Balance

At the low temperatures of molecular clouds, the effect of thermal ionization is small compared with photoionization. Gaseous matter exposed to external and internal radiation fields is heated. The temperature in a static ionized gas cloud is determined by the balance between heating through photoionization events and cooling through successive recombination. Clouds lose energy through radiation which has to be accounted for in the energy balance. Absorption of the radiation field produces a population of free electrons which are rapidly thermalized. The mean energy of a photoelectron does not depend on the strength of the incident radiation field but on its shape. For example, if the radiation field does not provide photons of energies 13.6 eV (912 Å) and higher, then a hydrogen cloud is unlikely to be effectively ionized. The rate of creation of photoelectrons critically depends on the absolute strength of the field and the ability of the gas to recombine. To establish the energy balance of a gas cloud and its ambient radiation field one has to consider the heating rate through photoionization R_{photo} against cooling through recombination R_{re} , free-free (bremsstrahlung) radiation R_{ff} and collisionally excited line radiation R_{col} . Usually, an effective heating rate R_{eff} is defined as:

$$R_{eff} = R_{photo} - R_{re} = R_{ff} + R_{col} \tag{A.54}$$

where it should be noted that R_{photo} and R_{re} depend on the number densities of electrons and ions, and, as a valid approximation, elements heavier than He may be omitted in these rates.

Magnetic Fields and Plasmas

Some aspects concerning the modern treatment of stellar magnetic fields are revisited here in greater detail. Magnetic fields and their interactions with matter are very crucial elements in the study of the formation and early evolution of stars. This appendix highlights the interaction of magnetic fields in a much more fundamental way than presented in the previous chapters and much of what is presented has a wide range of applications, likely much beyond the scope of this book. The material is collected from a wide variety of publications, though only in rare cases will references be given. The appendix makes heavy use of material presented in the books by F. H. Shu: *Gas Dynamics, Vol. II* [781], and E. Priest and T. Forbes: *Magnetic Reconnection* [701]. In this respect it should be noted that the following is merely to support the reader to understand certain subtleties in this book. For a full recourse to magnetism and its interaction with matter the reader should consult the primary literature.

B.1 Magnetohydrodynamics

The study of the global properties of plasmas in a magnetic fields is called MHD. The most basic equations are *Maxwell's equations* (after J. Maxwell 1872):

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi q_e \tag{B.1}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \tag{B.2}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{B.3}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t},$$
 (B.4)

which, by ignoring the displacement current $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$, contain the laws of the conservation of charge (B.1), Faraday's equation (B.2), Gauss's law (B.3), and Ampere's law (B.4), respectively. In order to include magnetic and electric fields in fluid mechanics one has to re-formulate some of the conservation laws as stated in Sect. A.6. In this respect, mass conservation remains unchanged but all the other equations have to be modified.

The momentum equation of a MHD fluid element then reads:

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \rho(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = -\nabla P + \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{g}}$$
(B.5)

This equation shows a few important modifications with respect to the stressfree Euler equation (A.24). For the magnetic field it includes the magnetic force in the form of the vector product of the current density \mathbf{J} and the magnetic field strength \mathbf{B} and, besides identifying the gravitational force $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{g}}$ as external force, considers the stress term $\nabla \mathbf{S}$. By invoking Ampere's law one can see that this magnetic force consists of a magnetic pressure force and a magnetic tension force:

$$\frac{1}{c}\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\nabla \mathbf{B}^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi}(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B}$$
(B.6)

MHD fluids are usually not stress-free and instead of Equation A.24 one has to consider the general *Navier–Stokes equation* for a viscous fluid element. Magnetic fields under these conditions also permeate into the stress tensor, which, depending on the field strength and plasma conditions is an expression of considerable complexity. For a magnetic field strength of 50 G, a plasma density of 3×10^9 cm⁻³ and a proton temperature of 2.5×10^6 K, for example, the stress tensor **S** can be expressed in component form as [119, 409]:

$$S_{ij} = 3\eta_v \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}}{3} - \frac{B_i B_j}{B^2}\right) \left(\frac{\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B} \nabla \mathbf{v}}{B^2} - \frac{\nabla \mathbf{v}}{3}\right)$$
(B.7)

Here $\eta_v = 10^{-16} T^{5/2} \text{ g cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is a viscosity coefficient and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function.

Similarly complex is the Ansatz for the *energy equation* (A.28), which now reads like:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla [(U+P)\mathbf{v}] = \nabla (\kappa_{\mathbf{c}} \nabla \mathbf{T}) + (\eta_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{j}) \cdot \mathbf{j} + Q_{\nu} - Q_{rad}$$
(B.8)

Again the left-hand side is the change in specific energy plus the work performed by the system either by expansion or contraction. The right-hand side in (A.28) was kept rather general. In the MHD case of (B.8) these terms are now further identified. In this respect, $Q_{rad} = \nabla \mathbf{F_{rad}}$ is radiative energy loss, Q_{ν} is heating by viscous dissipation with tight relation to the stress tensor. The first term on the right-hand side is to describe thermal conductivity characterized by the thermal conductivity tensor $\kappa_{\mathbf{c}}$ and the temperature Tat each location. The second term on the right is the magnetic energy. Ultimately these equations represent a time-dependent set of equations which, together with the equations in Sect. A.6, define the MHD properties of a fluid (i.e., the equation of state, the density, and gas pressure) and provide a sufficient system to solve for the main variables: **v**, **B**, **j**, **E**, ρ , P, and T.

To solve for these quantities is only one aspect in dealing with MHD fluids. Similarly important is any knowledge about the actual electro-magnetic properties of the MHD fluid itself. This information is encapsulated in a few coefficients, which in uniform environments appear as scalars and in non-uniform environments as tensors. One is the *magnetic diffusivity* which appears in the *induction equation* (see below), and which in its general form can be written as (by combining Faraday's, Ampere's and Ohm's laws):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) - \nabla \times \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$$
(B.9)

Magnetic diffusivity (*electrical resistivity*) itself is defined in Table B.1. In case diffusivity is uniform, (B.9) reduces to:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}$$
(B.10)

The first term on the right-hand side in Equation B.9 states that the magnetic flux within a closed circuit is constant. The second term on the right-hand side describes the diffusion of plasma particles across the magnetic field. If there is no diffusion, in which case this second term is zero, then the field is practically *frozen-in* to the plasma and moves with it. The diffusivity η can vary by quite large amounts depending temperature. In the Sun's corona η is about 0.5, whereas in the chromosphere is reaches up to 1,000.

The induction equation above is one of the most important relations in MHD as it allows us to derive the time evolution of **B** for any initial field configuration once **v** and η are known. Specifically, quantities like the *magnetic diffusivity* or the *electrical conductivity* are important proportionality constants that scale with and describe the field's response to the plasma. Heat production through Ohmic dissipation is described by these quantities and can be expressed as:

$$Q_o = \frac{|\mathbf{j}|^2}{\sigma} = \frac{\eta}{4\pi} |\nabla \times \mathbf{B}|^2.$$
(B.11)

In complex non-uniform configurations they have to be treated as tensor quantities. Table B.1 lists a few electrodynamic quantities ($\epsilon, \sigma, \mu, \eta$) and their value in terms of fundamental quantities.

For MHD flow properties one is primarily interested in solving for \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{B} , and P. For example, in most astrophysical applications plasmas are electrically neutral (see Sect. A.12) and from (B.6) one can deduce an expression for magnetic pressure depending only on knowledge of \mathbf{B} :

$$P_{mag} = \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} \tag{B.12}$$

Symbol	Name	Form	Expression
ε	dielectric constant	scalar	$1 + (\pi \rho c^2 / B^2)$
σ	electric conductivity	tensor	$n_e e^2/m_e \nu_c$
$egin{array}{c} \mu \ \eta \end{array}$	magnetic permeability magnetic diffusivity electrical resistivity	scalar tensor	$\omega_{pe}^{2}/4\pi\nu_{c}$ (1 + (4 $\pi\rho\mathcal{E}_{\perp}/B^{2}$)) ⁻¹ $c^{2}/4\pi\sigma$
Rm	magnetic Reynolds Number	$scalar^a$	$v_o L_o / \eta$
P_m	magnetic Prandtl Number	$scalar^a$	$ u/\eta$
L_u	Lundquist Number	$scalar^a$	$v_A L_o / \eta$
\mathcal{M}_A	Alfvén Mach Number	$scalar^a$	v_o/v_A
L_D	Debye length	scalar	$\left(\frac{kT}{4\pi e^2(n_e+Z_i^2n_i)}\right)^{1/2}$
L_{De}	electron Debye length	scalar	$\left(\frac{kT}{4\pi e^2 n_e}\right)^{1/2}$
ω_{pe}	electron plasma frequency	scalar	$(4\pi n_e e^2/m_e)^{1/2}$
ω_L	Larmor frequency	scalar	$\frac{qB}{mc}$

 Table B.1. Characterization of MHD plasmas

a) dimensionless

In the descriptions of non-magnetized hydrodynamics there exists an ensemble of characteristic and dimensionless numbers which qualitatively classify the physical regimes of a flow. Such are the *Reynolds number (Re)* (see (7.9)), the *Prandtl number* relating the coefficient of dynamic viscosity to the thermal conductivity, and the *Mach number* (see (4.13)). In magnetized plasmas they have their counterparts as listed in Table B.1. These numbers rely on the principle that MHD plasmas have characteristic speeds (v_o) , viscosities (ν) , conductivities (σ) , and diffusivities (η) . These numbers are based on a characteristic length-scale (L_o) and within this scale allow for approximations once certain regimes can be identified. For example an ideal MHD state is realized when $R_m \gg 1$ as here the characteristic speed of the flows dominates over magnetic diffusion. More detailed explanations can be found in [701] and references therein.

B.2 Charged Particles in Magnetic Fields

There are a few basic properties of plasmas that are helpful to recall. One may consider a plasma composed of ions of charge $Z_i e$ mixed with electrons of charge -e with overall charge neutrality (i.e., $Z_i n_i = n_e$). There exists a characteristic length-scale L_D by means of which, statistically through the attraction of electrons and the repulsion of ions, the Coulomb potential of any ion gets shielded such as:

$$\phi_C = \frac{Z_i e}{r} exp(-r/L_D) \tag{B.13}$$

where L_D is the *Debye length* defined in Table B.1. It is sometimes useful to decouple the electron contribution and define a separate *electron Debye length*, which allows one to deduce a characteristic electron *plasma frequency* ω_{pe} . In other words, the electronic portion of the plasma can be described by the dynamics of a harmonic oscillator with a natural frequency of ω_{pe} .

The presence of magnetic fields in gas clouds with substantial ionization fractions has a profound effect on the dynamics of the plasma. The gas or plasma pressure is then expressed as the average momentum of gas particles $P = Nm < v_{ijk}^2 >$, where v_{ijk} and is now treated as a *tensor*. In the simple ideal gas case this pressure tensor is diagonal and since collisions dominate, all directions are equal and $< v_{ijk}^2 >= v^2$. In the case of a non-negligible magnetic pressure one needs to distinguish between pressure components across and along magnetic field lines. The magnetic field thus directs the charged particles within the three orthogonal spatial coordinates (x_i, x_j, x_k) and the pressure tensor possesses off-diagonal terms.

The motions of individual particles with charge q and mass m in a vector magnetic field **B** are described by the force:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}}{\mathrm{d}t} = q\mathbf{E} + \frac{q}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$$
(B.14)

where **p** is the momentum vector and **v** the velocity vector of the particle. Called the *Lorentz-force* it was first formulated by H. A. Lorentz in 1892. The particle then gyrates in a clockwise path when q is a negative charge, and counterclockwise when it is a positive charge. The gyro-radius in the non-relativistic case (also called *Larmor radius*) is given by:

$$r_L = \frac{mcv_\perp}{qB} = \frac{v_\perp}{\omega_L} \tag{B.15}$$

where v_{\perp} is the velocity perpendicular (\perp) to the field and ω the gyrofrequency. The thermal energy per unit volume associated with the gyromotion about the field lines ($\rho \mathcal{E}_{\perp}$) is given by the integral over a velocity distribution function (see Sect. A.12) and a sum over all particles as:

$$\rho \mathcal{E}_{\perp} = \sum \int \frac{1}{2} m v_{\perp}^2 f \mathrm{d}^3 v \tag{B.16}$$

B.3 Bulk and Drift Motions

For the bulk of particles the average motion in the presence of uniform electric and magnetic fields is perpendicular to both fields, and for weak electric fields implies an average velocity due to an $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift of:

$$\mathbf{v_{bulk}} = \frac{c\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2} \tag{B.17}$$

In general, drift currents are the sources of many astrophysical magnetic fields. Note that the resulting bulk motion is always perpendicular to the force. The following extends the above consideration to the inclusion of external force fields, in this case gravitational force. The equation of motion then reads:

$$m\dot{\mathbf{v}} = q\mathbf{E} + \frac{q}{c}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} + m\mathbf{g}$$
 (B.18)

Under the simplified situation where \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{E} are perpendicular to \mathbf{B} – something that is realized in many astrophysical cases and which many times is referred to as crossed field cases – one can rewrite (B.18) such that:

$$m\dot{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{q}{c} \left(\mathbf{v} - v_{bulk} - \frac{mc}{q} \frac{\mathbf{g} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2} \right)$$
(B.19)

The $\mathbf{g} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift is well known from the near-Earth environment as it causes charged particles in the radiation belts to circulate in an azimuthal direction as as well as to shuttle back and forth between the Earth's magnetic poles [781]. Besides the normal gyro motion, the crossed field case then provides several drift motions including the electric (B.17) and gravitational drift (B.19), and the polarization drift in time-dependent fields.

Drifts and drags are also present in partially ionized media such as molecular clouds or near neutral winds. In this case a relative drift arises between the neutral and ionized particle species of the medium simply due to the fact that ions feel electromagnetic forces directly, whereas neutral particles have to engage in collisions with the ions. Neutral matter in general is not affected by the presence of magnetic fields. This relative drag is called *ambipolar diffusion* (see Sect. 4.3.4). The Lorentz force felt by the charged particles in a magnetic field within a unit volume reads:

$$F_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} \tag{B.20}$$

Since this force is not felt by neutrals, ions will drift with a different mean velocity. Resisting this drift will result in a frictional drag force created by mutual collisions. The drag force on neutral species by ion species can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{d}} = \gamma_d n_n n_i m_n m_i (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}}) \tag{B.21}$$

where γ_d is the drag coefficient:

$$\gamma_d = \frac{\langle u\sigma \rangle}{m_n + m_i} \tag{B.22}$$

and where n, m, and v (index n for neutral, i for ionized) are number density, mass, and mean velocity of neutral (n) and ionized (i) species. For simplicity it was assumed that the mass densities of these species can be expressed by $\rho = nm$. The term $n_i < u\sigma >$ is the rate of collisions of ions with any neutral of comparable size. The bracketed expression is a mean of the elastic
scattering cross section for neutral-ion collisions and the relative velocity of the ions in the rest frame of the neutrals. The ambipolar drift velocity can then be determined by:

$$w_D = \mathbf{v_i} - \mathbf{v_n} = \frac{1}{4\pi\gamma_d n_n n_i m_n m_i} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}$$
(B.23)

which in the case of magnetic uniformity is equivalent to the expression given in (B.17). The sign of the drift depends on a definition of the drag direction (i.e., whether it is the drag on the neutral by the ions or vice versa).

B.4 MHD Waves

Another important application of MHD is the propagation of waves through magnetized plasma. The subject of MHD waves is considerably more complex than in ordinary hydrodynamics and, therefore, most treatments are performed numerically. However, the propagation of shocks and disturbances in magnetized plasmas has many astrophysical applications specifically in stellar formation research and a summary of a few main properties is warranted.

A simple case is an ideal gas in static, uniform equilibrium with velocity $v_o = 0$, density $\rho = \rho_o$, pressure $P = P_o$, and a constant uniform magnetic field $|\mathbf{B}_o| = constant$. By introducing a small perturbation these conditions then read:

$$\rho = \rho_o(1+\epsilon), \quad \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v_1}, \quad P = P_o(1+\psi), \quad \mathbf{B} = B_o(\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{n}')$$
(B.24)

The standard MHD equations under these conditions reduce to a set of equations describing the response of the perturbations to the magnetic field:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + \nabla \mathbf{v_1} &= 0\\ \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} + \frac{P_o}{\rho_o} \nabla \psi - \frac{B_o^2}{4\pi} (\nabla \times \mathbf{n}') \times \mathbf{n} &= 0\\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}'}{\partial t} + \nabla \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v_1}) &= 0\\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \gamma \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

For the last relation the equation of state for ideal gas (see Sect. A.2) was applied. It thus describes the propagation of an adiabatic perturbation where the coefficient γ is the adiabatic index. Combining these equations and applying the definitions to the speed of sound and the Alfvén speed given in Sect. A.8 leads to a second-order differential equation for the velocity of the perturbation with a solution of the form:

$$v_1 = \exp[i(\omega_1 t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})], \tag{B.26}$$

where ω_1 is the perturbation propagation frequency and **k** the momentum vector plane of propagation. By splitting the wave equation into coordinate components one can identify various wave modes with respect to the plane of propagation and the magnetic field.

One of these modes is a transverse wave with wavefronts perpendicular to $\mathbf{k} = k\mathbf{e_z}$ and $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{e_x} \cos \phi + \mathbf{e_y} \cos \phi$, i.e., with $\mathbf{v_1} = (v_x = 0, v_y = 0, v_z)$:

$$\omega^2 - k^2 v_A^2 \cos^2 \phi = 0 \tag{B.27}$$

This wave mode is generally referred to as an Alfvén wave. In the case of $\phi = 0$ the wave speed is equal to the Alfvén velocity (see Sect. A.8). In the case of $\phi \neq 0$ the wavefronts may be considered to be inclined with respect to the unperturbed magnetic field. Thus, Alfvén waves always travel at Alfvén speed and $v_A \cos \phi$ merely represents the projection with respect to the magnetic field vector. Other modes under these conditions are identified as *slow* and *fast* MHD waves. It should also be noted that this simple picture, though approximately valid for many applications, is based on uniform and stress-free environments and, thus, in detail the treatment of MHD waves is highly complex.

B.5 Magnetic Reconnection

In many astrophysical situations it appears that one way or another the topology of the present magnetic field configuration is not conserved and subject to what is called *magnetic reconnection*. Such events have been mentioned in connection with molecular clouds for star-disk field configurations and as the prime underlying mechanism for stellar flaring activity (see Chap. 7).

Most treatments of magnetic reconnection are two dimensional and their descriptions involve many aspects, some of which will be described here. By definition, reconnection cannot take place under ideal MHD conditions, because it needs resistivity in the medium. Such resistivity is usually provided though collisions. However, nature proves a bit more resilient towards these arguments as reconnection events happen in the terrestrial magnetosphere within almost collisionless environments. In order to classify reconnection processes, it is thus necessary to determine the reconnection rate in various configurations even if they contain collisionless plasmas.

There are quite a number of reconnection processes and not all are of interest to stellar evolution research. Some of the basic ideas on the effect of magnetic reconnection were described by P. A. Sweet 1956 and E. Parker in 1957 [825, 664, 665]. Central to this are magnetic field configurations that



Fig. B.1. Schematic drawing of two magnetic reconnection scenarios under the influence of a collisional plasma. At the tops a vertical plasma inflow compresses a parallel and anti-parallel magnetic field line configuration into annihilation in a B = 0 sheet forcing plasma out horizontally. The different shades loosely indicate that plasma pressure is highest around the null sheet. At the bottom is a similar initial configuration, but now the field lines are compressed into a neutral X-point which in comparison with the scenario above results in a significant reduction of the diffusion area, which greatly enhances diffusion and thus the reconnection rate.

feature parallel and antiparallel field lines separated by a $\mathbf{B} = 0$ surface, and an incompressible fluid where the total pressure remains constant. Ohmic dissipation near this null surface causes field annihilation. In 2-D treatments, this location is sometimes also called *null sheet*. Changes in the gravitational potential are regarded to be negligible within a characteristic volume $V_o = L_x L_y L_z$. For this simple configuration (see also the top diagram in Fig. B.1), the reconnection speeds of the plasma can be expressed in terms of the Alfvén speed. Essentially, the plasma pushes the field lines into the null sheet from both vertical directions, squeezing plasma out in the horizontal direction. The energy dissipation rate per unit volume (see (B.11)) is then given by:

$$Q_o = \frac{\eta}{4\pi} \left(\frac{B}{L_y}\right)^2. \tag{B.28}$$

This allows us to calculate the heat produced within V_o , which should be equal to the annihilation energy pressed into the region; that is:

$$\frac{\eta B^2}{4\pi L_y} = \frac{B^2}{8\pi} L_x L_z v_y \tag{B.29}$$

where v_y represents the reconnection speed. It is also the plasma speed in the y-direction with which the plasma flow is pushing the field lines into the null sheet. Using the expression for the magnetic Reynolds number in Table B.1 it is clear that the relation between the reconnection speed and the Alfvén velocity is a function of the magnetic Reynolds number of the horizontal outflow R_m . The reconnection rate \mathcal{M}_e in this case yields:

$$\mathcal{M}_e = \frac{v_y}{v_A} = 2R_m^{-1/2} \tag{B.30}$$

On the other hand, the magnetic Reynolds number in astrophysical plasmas is usually a very large number. For a collisional plasma with a strong magnetic (but weak electric) field, magnetic diffusivity can be written as [800, 751]:

$$\eta = 1.05 \times 10^{12} T^{-3/2} \ln \Lambda \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$$
(B.31)

where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm [411]. For typical molecular cloud parameters as well as most laboratory applications $\ln \Lambda$ has a value of ~ 10, and for collisional plasmas in stellar coronae and the Earth's magnetosphere it is higher than 20 but not more than 35. For most cases it is safe to assume that the characteristic velocity of the magnetized plasma is the Alfvén velocity and, thus, the magnetic Reynolds number can be approximated by the Lundquist number L_u . Thus, for active regions in the solar corona, where the temperature can get beyond 10⁷ K, assuming loop lengths of 10⁷ cm, an approximate Alfvén speed of ~ 10⁷ cm s⁻¹, $\eta \sim 7 \times 10^2$ cm² s⁻¹, the L_u number is about 10¹¹. Above a more active region it can even reach 10¹⁴.

From (B.30) it appears that reconnection takes place at a fraction of the dynamical speed of magnetized plasma. In turn this means that magnetic diffusion, with a timescale defined as:

$$\tau_{md} = \frac{L_o^2}{\eta} \tag{B.32}$$

requires very long timespans. Although in rare cases this may be what happens, it certainly cannot explain the sunspot and flaring activity on the Sun. Similarily, it is not feasible to describe magnetic young stellar activity. However, the Sweet–Parker scenario of field annihilation of a null sheet of limited size doesn't really maximize the possible reconnection rate. In 1963 H. E. Petschek thus proposed a slightly different scenario in which the null sheet is compressed into an X-point [676] (see bottom of Fig. B.1). Here magnetic diffusion is confined to an area around the neutral point, which as a consequence enhances diffusion rates and allows field annihilation to accelerate. There are two novel features about this reconnection geometry. First, it generates a maximum annihilation rate of the form:

$$\mathcal{M}_e^{max} = \frac{v_y}{v_A} \sim \frac{\pi}{8 \, \ln R_m} \tag{B.33}$$

where the index e stands for *external* (i.e., for the inflow which is not near the X-point). Second, it allows the magnetic field to diffuse into the plasma where it propagates as an MHD wave outward [676]. This generates reconnection rates between 0.01 and 0.1, leading to much shorter timescales consistent with observed flaring activity (see Chaps. 7 and 8).

B.6 Dynamos

In the most general sense, the *magnetic dynamo theory* describes MHD flows within stellar bodies that feature differential rotation and convection. It is a common perception among astrophysicists that all stellar magnetic fields have their origins one way or another through self-excited magnetic dynamo activity. This may even be true for the interstellar or galactic field through some form of galactic dynamo. Though a complete dynamo theory is relatively complicated and not at all in every aspect understood, there are a few basic dynamo functions and relations one should recall when dealing with stellar and proto-stellar dynamos.

Complex motions of a plasma with a weak seed magnetic field can generate strong magnetic fields on larger scales. One would first attempt a simplified approach and try to solve the MHD problem axisymmetrically. Herein lies the first big problem: dynamos cannot maintain either a poloidal or a toroidal magnetic field against Ohmic dissipation. As a consequence, exact axisymmetric dynamos cannot be realized. This phenomenon is also known as *Cowling's* theorem after T. G. Cowling, formulated in 1965. In other words, the induction equation (B.10) does not allow for axisymmetric fluid motions that yield non-decaying and, similarly, axisymmetric configurations for the **B**-field. A quite comprehensible illustration of this effect can be found in [781]. Observed phenomena in the Sun (i.e., sunspots, flares and prominences) indicate instead that magnetic reconnection is required [701]. It should also be realized that the dynamo effect does not generate magnetic fields but amplifies existing ones. The following is an attempt to outline some of the groundwork for the realization of MHD dynamos.

Cowlings's theorem mandates that in order to maintain a seed magnetic field one needs to offset Ohmic dissipation. In addition, in order to get the dynamo going, a cycle has to build up which converts toroidal fields into poloidal ones and regenerates toroidal fields from converted poloidal ones. The mechanisms proposed include radial convection, magnetic instabilities such as the magnetostatic *Parker Instability* and various levels of turbulence. The current standard theory for Sun-like stars features various modifications of the so called $\alpha - \Omega$ dynamo, a concept that took shape in the mid-1950s [663]. In simple terms, this may be described by a uniform magnetic field being deformed locally into Ω -shaped loops through cyclonic turbulence-generating eddies which have a cyclonic velocity α . The theoretical basis to describe the toroidal field is the induction equation. By neglecting other forces this equation can be simplistically formulated as [663, 484]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} - \alpha \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \tag{B.34}$$

where α is defined as:

$$\alpha \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b} \tag{B.35}$$

Where **u** and **b** are the velocity and induction field of the generated standing MHD wave solution. Within a characteristic length scale L_o the characteristic dynamo period is tied to the *eddy diffusivity* η_{ed} as:

$$\tau_{dy} = \frac{L_o^2}{4\eta_{ed}} \tag{B.36}$$

Eddy diffusivity characterizes the stability of the eddies creating the Ω loops. For an azimuthal field flux written as $\Phi = L_o B$ the dynamical period can be expressed in terms of B and Φ . For the solar period of 22 yr this has the consequence that as long as η_{ed} is not suppressed by more than $1/B^2$, such a long period can be maintained in the presence of strong azimuthal fields [667].

Modern versions of this dynamo recognize the fact that helioseismological data suggest that the Solar dynamo operates in only a small layer within the Sun's interior (see [667, 162, 550] and references therein). This layer is located at about 0.7 R_{\odot} from the center between the boundary of the convective outer zone and the radiative core and has a thickness of less than 3 percent



Fig. B.2. (left) Illustration of the $\alpha - \Omega$ dynamo effect in the Sun. The inner core represents the radiative zone, the outer shell the convective zone. In-between is the thin layer in which the actual dynamo operates. The thick lines with arrows are wound up and surfaced magnetic field lines. (right) A diagram that highlights the separation of the layer into the $\alpha - \Omega$ part below the convective zone and the shear part above the radiative zone together with the corresponding field specifications (see dynamo equation in the text). Highlighted at z = 0 are the necessary boundary conditions. The configuration described here is called the *interface dynamo*. The magnetic diffusivity is η_1 in the $\alpha - \Omega$ zone, η_2 in the shear zone.

of the stellar radius. The vertical shear $\partial \Omega / \partial r$ is also confined to this layer, meaning there is no significant change of radial velocity between the top and the bottom of the convection zone. Figure B.2 illustrates schematically the set-up for such an *interface dynamo*. One configuration of such a dynamo was proposed by Parker in 1993 and is illustrated in Fig. B.2. The actual dynamo equations according to the specifications in Fig. B.2 read [667]:

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\right] \mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{B.37}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta_1 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\right] \mathbf{A} = \alpha \mathbf{B}$$
(B.38)

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\right] \mathbf{b} = G \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \tag{B.39}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \eta_2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}\right)\right] \mathbf{a} = 0 \tag{B.40}$$

Where **A** and **a** are the azimuthal vector potentials describing the poloidal field for z > 0 and z < 0, respectively; G is the uniform shear dv_y/dz ; α once

again is the mean rotational velocity, $\eta_1 \alpha$ is a measure for the mean helicity of the convective flow. Since these equations are linear, their solution for z > 0 is that of a plane wave:

$$\mathbf{B} = C \, \exp(\sigma t - Sz) \, \exp[i(\omega t + kx - Qz)] \tag{B.41}$$

where C is a constant, σ the growth rate of the wave, and S and Q are real quantities. In this configuration the $\alpha - \Omega$ production zone and the shear zone do not overlap and the dynamo seems less efficient. Since eddy diffusivity is entirely supressed in the shear zone, the dynamo depends on downward penetration of the poloidal field created in the $\alpha - \Omega$ zone, practically jump-starting the dynamo.

The example of the dynamo configuration presented above demonstrates how difficult and fragile such scenarios are and how many details are necessary to successfully operate a stellar dynamo. Proto- and PMS stellar interiors, besides still being highly uncertain, also seem more complex. Due to the likely lack of a radiative core in the very early stages, a dynamo as described above would not be operable. Convective zones will have to reach much deeper into the star and their structure will likely impact the properties of PMS stellar dynamos. In fact, there is a quantity in dynamo theory that actually links the transport properties of the convection zone with properties of the interface layer, the *dynamo number*. This quantity is a direct product of the dispersion relations which result from (B.41) and can be written as:

$$N_{dy} = \frac{\Gamma G}{\eta_1^2 k^3} = C \left(\frac{\tau_c}{P}\right)^2 \tag{B.42}$$

This number has to be sufficiently large to drive the surface wave along the interface and may be used as a measure of magnetic activity. The right part indicates that it is also proportional to the square of the characteristic convective turnover time and, thus, implicitly to the *Rossby number* defined in (8.3) once τ_c is set to the local convective overturn time at the base of the convection zone [467]. *C* is once more an arbitrary proportionality constant. Scaled by the mixing length $(1/2)\alpha H_P$ (see also references in Sect. 6.1.3) the Rossby number is also the ratio of the characteristic convective velocity *v* to the rotational velocity of the star Ω :

$$R_o = \frac{2\pi v}{\alpha \Omega H_P} \tag{B.43}$$

where α is the mixing length ratio and H_P is the local pressure scale height. Although it seems that dynamo mechanisms scale with R_o , their relevance for magnetic field generation specifically for young stars is not clear and here further research is necessary.

Other discussed possibilities involve *turbulent* or *distributed* dynamos. These scenarios are based on the assumption that turbulent velocities in convection cells may generate small-scale magnetic fields. These small-scale turbulent fields may even co-exist with the dominant $\alpha - \Omega$ dynamo at the interface layer. In stars with deep convective zones, these turbulent fields could be the source for large-scale fields. Most of these concepts need further development [213, 490, 755].

B.7 Magnetic Disk Instabilities

The notion to treat protostellar disks as magnetized rotating fluids has changed the view of angular momentum transport. MHD waves and turbulence introduce perturbations in otherwise smooth flows. Central to the treatment of magnetized rotating fluids are either fluctuations of velocities or densities or both. The basic MHD equations to describe such fluctuations are again the same as introduced above, now applied towards an accretion flow. Conservation laws are used to illustrate how differential rotation in a disk frees magnetic energy to turbulent fluctuations in combination with angular momentum transport. Most of the material in this section was taken from a recent review on angular momentum transport in accretion disks by S. A. Balbus [47]. Thus, in addition to the standard MHD set of equations introduced above, it is useful to introduce cylindrical coordinates (see below) to satisfy the geometrical constraints of the disk and separate the azimuthal component of the momentum equation. This equation then can be written as *angular momentum conservation*:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho r v_{\phi})}{\partial t} + \nabla \left(\rho r v_{\phi} \mathbf{v} - \frac{r B_{\phi}}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} + \left(P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\phi}\right) = 0 \tag{B.44}$$

Note that the dissipative term $\rho \mathbf{v} \nabla \mathbf{v}$ has been ignored as it carries only a negligible amount of angular momentum. It also helps to simplify the energy equation and write it explicitly for contributing terms only and assume that matter is polytropic:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \mathcal{F}_e = -Q_{rad} \tag{B.45}$$

where the energy density U is:

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \rho \Phi + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$$
(B.46)

and similarly for the energy flux \mathcal{F}_e are:

$$\mathcal{F}_e = \mathbf{v} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \frac{\gamma P}{\gamma - 1} + \rho \Phi \right) + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$$
(B.47)

The terms for kinetic, thermal, gravitational, and magnetic components are now clearly indicated from left to right, respectively. Again, the heating term Q_{ν} has been dropped as it does not contribute enough overall with respect to energy conservation.

In order to introduce turbulent fluctuations one defines an azimuthal velocity perturbation, such as:

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} - r\Omega(r)e_{\phi} \tag{B.48}$$

where $\Omega(r)$ is approximated by the underlying rotational velocity profile. Rewriting the energy equation in terms of the perturbed velocity isolates the disk stress tensor responsible for wave and turbulent transport:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \mathcal{F}_e = -S_{r\phi} - Q_{rad} \tag{B.49}$$

consisting of Reynolds and Maxwell stresses:

$$S_{r\phi} = \rho u_r u_\phi - \frac{B_r B_\phi}{4\pi} \tag{B.50}$$

These stresses directly transport angular momentum and support turbulence by freeing energy from differential rotation. For protostellar disks $S_{r\phi}$ always has to be positive in order to keep the turbulence from dying out.



Fig. B.3. In analogy to the MRI effect one may want to consider fluid elements that are attached with springs of spring constant k. The fluid array (left) moves at a differential azimuthal velocity (thick black arrows). Since the top elements move faster than the element immediately following in direction of r, this element feels a drag that corresponds to the spring constant k. The next element experiences a similar drag and so on (middle array). Imagine the system springing back to its original differential profile (right array), the shaded area in the middle array demonstrates the accumulation of angular momentum that is transported in the radial direction.

Reviews on how angular momentum is transported in (proto-) planetary disks in the absence of a magnetic field can be found in [344, 47]. Some of the first calculations on magneto-rotational instability (MRI) can be traced back to the early 1950s when Chandrasekhar calculated the factors involved in dissipative Couette flows, though the regime where the gradients of angular velocity and specific angular momentum oppose each other were not particularly pursued [306]. MRI has its roots in the fact that the magnetic field in a differentially rotating fluid acts in a destabilizing way. Figure B.3 illustrates the underlying principle in analogy to nearby fluid elements coupled with each other by a spring-like force. Due to differential rotation slower rotating elements experience a drag. At the end the angular momenta of these slower rotating elements increase at the expense of faster rotating ones. The spring tension grows with increasing element separation and angular momentum transport outward cascades away. In a protostellar systems this analogy roughly describes a very simple fluid system moving in an axisymmetric disk in the presence of a weak magnetic field. In fact, for a fluid element which is displaced in the orbital plane by some amount ξ with a spatial dependence of e^{ikz} , the induction equation in the case of frozen-in fields (see above) gives a displacement of the magnetic field of $\partial \mathbf{B} = ik\mathbf{B}\xi$ leading to a magnetic tension force, which can be written in the form:

$$\frac{ik\mathbf{B}}{4\pi\rho}\partial\mathbf{B} = -(kv_A)^2\xi \tag{B.51}$$

This equation has the form of the equation of motion for a spring-like force. The result is a linear displacement with a spring constant $(kv_A)^2$.

B.8 Expressions

In most astrophysical applications either *cylindrical* (r, ϕ, z) or *spherical* (r, θ, ϕ) polar coordinates are adopted according to the geometrical constraints of the MHD fluid. The following most common expressions involving the potential A and the field \mathbf{B} are spelled out in component form for the two coordinate systems. The unit vectors for the cylindrical case are $\mathbf{e_r}, \mathbf{e_{\phi}}$ and $\mathbf{e_z}$, whereas for the spherical case they are $\mathbf{e_r}, \mathbf{e_{\theta}}$ and $\mathbf{e_{\phi}}$.

Cylindrical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{e}_{\phi} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \mathbf{e}_z$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rB_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{e}_r + \left(\frac{\partial B_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}\right) \mathbf{e}_{\phi} + \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rB_{\phi}) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi}\right) \mathbf{e}_z$$

$$\nabla^2 A = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial A}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial z^2} \qquad (B.52)$$

$$(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} = \left(B_r \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial r} + \frac{B_{\phi}}{r} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{B_{\phi}^2}{r} + B_z \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{e}_r$$

$$+ \left(\frac{B_r}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rB_{\phi}) + \frac{B_{\phi}}{r} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} + B_z \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{e}_z$$

Spherical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{e}_{\theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \phi} \mathbf{e}_{\phi}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 B_r) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta B_{\theta}) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta B_{\phi}) - \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \phi} \right) \mathbf{e}_r + \left(\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\phi}) \right) \mathbf{e}_{\theta}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\theta}) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \theta} \right) \mathbf{e}_{\phi}$$

$$\nabla^2 A = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \phi^2}$$

$$(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B} = \left(B_r \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial r} + \frac{B_{\theta}}{r} \frac{B_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{B_{\theta}^2 + B_{\phi}^2}{r} + \frac{B_{\phi}}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \phi} \right) \mathbf{e}_r \quad (B.53)$$

$$+ \left(B_r \frac{B_{\theta}}{\partial r} + \frac{B_{\theta}}{r} \frac{B_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{B_r B_{\phi}}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{B_{\phi}}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (B_{\phi} \sin \theta) \right) \mathbf{e}_{\theta}$$

Radiative Interactions with Matter

This appendix describes the basic radiative processes that have to be considered when radiation interacts with gas clouds, atmospheres and dust. It contains a few useful relations which are specifically of interest for the calculation of opacities. The treatment of radiative transport requires all scattering, absorption, and emission processes to be accounted for.

Stellar formation is characterized by episodes of variable radiative properties and the interaction of radiation with various matter and plasma states. Radiative processes are key ingredients to making stars and as such are the only source of information to diagnose these processes. The following sections outline the basic physics [732, 834] relevant to model calculations and observational diagnostics. Specific emphasis is given to expressions of the r relevant radiative coefficients.

Intensity can simply be defined as:

$$I_{\nu} = \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t \,\mathrm{d}\Omega \,\mathrm{d}A \,\mathrm{d}\nu},\tag{C.1}$$

which is the radiated energy dE per unit time dt, unit angle $d\Omega$, unit area dA, and frequency $d\nu$. The general equation for radiative transport can be written as:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\nu}}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\chi_{\nu}^{tot}I_{\nu} + j_{\nu} \tag{C.2}$$

 j_{ν} is the emission coefficient describing local emissivity or spectral energy distribution. If κ_{ν} is the frequency-dependent absorption coefficient, σ_{ν} the frequency-dependent scattering coefficient, then the total extinction coefficient χ_{ν}^{tot} is defined as:

$$\chi_{\nu}^{tot} = \kappa_{\nu} + \sigma_{\nu} \tag{C.3}$$

Most interactions between stellar matter and radiation in stars happen at high temperatures and involve electrons rather than heavier nuclei. Although this is also true for radiative processes during the early evolution of stars, star formation additionally involves processes with atoms, molecules, and dust at low temperatures.

C.1 Radiative Equilibrium

A central issue in the theory of stellar atmospheres is finding solutions to the basic equation of radiative transfer. The model of a slab (= plane-parallel) of gas is the usual approach to the problem. The following treatment follows a description presented in [583, 344]. Dusty protostellar envelopes cannot be considered as plane-parallel and the transfer equation has to take a spherical form. However, in most cases the assumption of azimuthal symmetry is valid, which eases the structure of the sphericali form of (C.2) considerably as the integral over the solid angle reduces to:

$$\oint \frac{\Omega}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \mathrm{d}\mu \tag{C.4}$$

and the first three moments of the radiation distribution can be written per unit interval at the frequency ν as [768, 583]:

Energy density
$$J_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} I_{\nu} d\mu$$

Radiation flux
$$F_{\nu} = 4\pi H_{\nu} = 4\pi \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} I_{\nu} \mu d\mu \qquad (C.5)$$

Radiation pressure
$$P_{\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{c} K_{\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} I_{\nu} \mu^{2} d\mu$$

For a gas sphere one then has three equations. The transfer equation:

$$\mu \frac{\partial I_{\nu}}{\partial r} + \frac{(1-\mu^2)}{r} \frac{\partial I_{\nu}}{\partial \mu} = -\kappa_{\nu} I_{\nu} + j_{\nu} \tag{C.6}$$

An equation for radiation flux moment H_{ν} :

$$\frac{\partial H_{\nu}}{\partial r} + \frac{2H_{\nu}}{r} = -\kappa_{\nu}I_{\nu} + j_{\nu} \tag{C.7}$$

And an equation for the radiation pressure moment K_{ν} :

$$\frac{\partial K_{\nu}}{\partial r} + \frac{(3K_{\nu} - J_{\nu})}{r} = -\kappa_{\nu}H_{\nu} \tag{C.8}$$

In the outer dusty envelopes around protostars, which at low frequencies are optically thin, and in the limit of $r \gg R$, where R is the radius of the stellar core, (C.6–C.8) reduce enormously as all radiative moments approach:

$$K_{\nu} \rightarrow H_{\nu} \rightarrow J_{\nu} \rightarrow \frac{I_{star}R^2}{4\pi r^2}$$
 (C.9)

The luminosity emitted at a frequency within $d\nu$ is simply dominated by the direct radiation from the star with negligible contributions from all other directions. In other words, the luminosity then is expressed by (C.11), with $I_{star} = F_{obs}$ and R = D, since the envelope is optically thin.

For the optically thick case one expects the radiation field to be isotropic and in LTE. When in such a case the second term in (C.8) vanishes, the energy density approaches that for a blackbody. Integration over frequency using (C.18) for the opacity finally leads to the equilibrium luminosity:

$$L = -\frac{64\pi\sigma r^2 T^3}{3\kappa_R} \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} \tag{C.10}$$

C.2 Radiation Flux and Luminosity

There are several ways to describe amounts of radiation. Most common is the use of energy flux measured in erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. Sometimes it is advantageous to use a photon flux which has units of photons cm⁻² s⁻¹. The former is mainly used to account for macroscopic flux properties, such as broad-band spectra; the latter is useful to describe microscopic radiative properties such as line emission. The specific intensity or I_{ν} , as defined above, measures the amount of energy passing through an area element within fixed solid angle, time, and frequency intervals. Integration over all directions normal to the emitting surface gives the specific flux F_{ν} and subsequent integration over frequency would result in the total flux F. Note that in most cases it is sufficient to assume that the emitter is a sphere of uniform brightness (i.e., $I_{\nu} = B_{\nu}$). Thus when measured directly at the emitting sphere its *luminosity* amounts to $4\pi F$ assuming that it radiates across the entire sphere.

In the case of a distant star this, however, is not what is measured on Earth since F is reduced by the square of the distance resulting in an *observed* energy flux F_{obs} . The luminosity then can be expressed as:

$$L = 4\pi F_{obs} D^2$$

= 1.196 × 10³² $\left(\frac{F_{obs}}{[10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]}\right) \left(\frac{D}{[1 \text{ kpc}]}\right)^2 \text{erg s}^{-1}$ (C.11)

Very often a term called *bolometric luminosity* (L_{bol}) is used. The bolometric system of magnitudes is defined as the total energy flux as measured above the Earth's atmosphere. For the Sun this is sufficient, but for distant stars one must also correct for interstellar extinction (see Sect. 3.4.3). Thus for stars L_{bol} is the total radiated energy flux in LTE at their surface. Often the Sun's bolometric luminosity $(L_{\odot}=3.845 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1})$ is used as a reference value. One also needs to realize that there may be other sources for radiation, thermal and non-thermal. The luminosities from these sources of radiation, which are based on physically very different emitting regions and mechanisms, are either restricted to a characteristic bandpass or to a frequency range where all excluded frequencies are considered to be negligible.

C.3 Opacities

In a most general sense, opacity $\chi(\mathbf{r}, t, \nu)$ is a measure for the removal of energy from a radiation field by matter. As such it depends on the position \mathbf{r} of the active material, time, and frequency. Position and time are dependent on geometrical flow models and not relevant for discussing the basic properties of the interaction of radiation and matter; thus, the following sections only concentrate on frequency dependence. The reader should be aware that in realistic astrophysical calculations all dependencies need to be included, since it is always necessary to evaluate the opacity at a specific frequency and then sum, average or integrate it over all contributing frequencies. Note that in the following the notation to index the frequency is used rather than express its functional form.

There are two basic components to opacity: one is described by the absorption coefficient κ_{ν} and the other by the scattering coefficient σ_{ν} . It is also necessary to distinguish between various phases of matter and to assume an opacity for gaseous matter χ^{g}_{ν} and an opacity for solid dust particles χ^{d}_{ν} :

$$\chi_{\nu}^{tot} = \chi_{\nu}^g + \chi_{\nu}^d \tag{C.12}$$

where

$$\chi^g_\nu = \kappa^g_\nu + \sigma^g_\nu \tag{C.13}$$

and

$$\chi^d_\nu = \kappa^d_\nu + \sigma^d_\nu \tag{C.14}$$

Dust opacities are treated in Sect. C.9 and, unless specifically in conflict, the index g for the gas portion of the opacity treatment is dropped in the following for convenience.

For monochromatic photons there are several types of interactions that contribute to κ_{ν} and j_{ν} (see Fig. C.1):

- electron scattering,
- free-free (ff) absorption,
- bound-free (bf) absorption,
- bound-bound (bb) absorption.

For the continuum absorption coefficient the first two items are most important. Electron scattering and free–free absorption are continuum processes,



Fig. C.1. Various radiative processes interacting with matter (see text).

and free-bound absorption produces free-free continua and lines, whereas bound-bound absorption produces lines only. However, the latter may become important for continuum coefficients when many lines blend and crowd and thus act very much like continua. The following highlights a few important aspects of these processes. For in-depth studies the books by G. B. Rybicki and A. P. Lightman [732] and D. F. Gray [314] are specifically recommended.

Electron scattering involves scattering of photons by free electrons. In the IR domain incident photon energies are small (i.e., $h\nu \ll m_ec^2$) and it is exclusively Thomson (Rayleigh) scattering that has to be considered.

Free-free absorption describes the absorption (emission) of photons by free electrons *near ions*. Such continuum states ϵ are illustrated in the gray-shaded area in Fig. C.1. Free-free transitions become important at high temperatures (> 10⁶ K) and/or long wavelengths (300 µm). Assuming LTE, which also implies a Maxwellian velocity distribution (see (A.51)) of free electrons, the absorption coefficient for such a transition can be expressed in a semi-classical form and depends on frequency and temperature (see below).

Bound-free absorption results from ionization and radiative recombination events in atoms or ions. In this case an electron is removed from its bound state E_i into a continuum state ϵ_f (see Fig. C.1). Similarly, an electron can lose its gained energy and transfer back to a bound state, either directly into E_i or by cascading through intermediate bound states. This can either happen through an incident radiation field (*photoionization*) or collisions with free electrons and ions (*collisional ionization*).

Bound-bound absorption describes the excitation and radiative recombination of matter. Here there are several fundamental processes at work, *spontaneous emission* from excited levels, *stimulated emission* by an external radiation field, *absorption* and *collisional excitation*.

The gas absorption coefficient incorporates free-free (ff), bound-free (bf) and bound-bound (bb) processes and is then written as:

1

$$\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu}^{ff} + \kappa_{\nu}^{bf} + \kappa_{\nu}^{bb} \tag{C.15}$$

Scattering of an incident radiation field has two components, one for scattering on free (T) electrons, another on for scattering on bound electrons (R) (for details see below):

$$\sigma_{\nu} = \sigma_T + \sigma_{R,\nu} \tag{C.16}$$

C.4 Mean Opacities

The frequency dependent absorption coefficient κ_{ν} can be thought as the fractional decrease in flux density at a frequency ν per unit path length through an absorbing gas. Once integrated or averaged over an assumed flux density one gets the total opacity of the medium. Generally one adopts the so-called *diffusion approximation*, which assumes LTE and a mean opacity to be constant everywhere. Under LTE conditions the emitted and absorbed intensities are the same; that is:

$$j_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu} B_{\nu}(T) \tag{C.17}$$

where $B_{\nu}(T)$ is the blackbody (Planck) spectrum.

The LTE condition allows one to treat locally emitted intensity as blackbody spectra. Averaging over all frequencies yields:

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_R} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{\partial B_\nu}{\partial T} d\nu \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial B_\nu}{\partial T} d\nu \right]^{-1}$$
(C.18)

The result is called the *Rosseland* mean opacity. At near the surface of a star the frequency-independent absorption coefficient can be expressed in terms of the *Planck* mean opacity as:

$$\kappa_P = \frac{\pi}{\sigma T^4} \int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu B_\nu(T) \, \mathrm{d}\nu \tag{C.19}$$

Were κ_{ν} in (C.19) to be independent of frequency (which is never realized, but it is a quite helpful approximation in various cases), the temperature

structure of the absorbing medium is one of a standard gray atmosphere with an effective temperature of [509]:

$$T_{eff}^4 = \frac{4}{3}(\tau + \frac{2}{3})^{-1}T^4 \tag{C.20}$$

In other words, the medium is taken to be radiating as a blackbody with effective temperature T_{eff} instead of T as long as $\tau \sim 0.7$.

This opacity is then a global quantitative measure of the ability of the gas or plasma to absorb radiation. For example, in a slab of gas of thickness drthe absorbed flux rate per unit area dF can be described as:

$$\mathrm{d}F = -F\mathrm{d}\tau = -\kappa_R \rho F\mathrm{d}r,\tag{C.21}$$

where τ is the optical depth. By integrating (C.21) the radiation flux density can be expressed as:

$$F = F_o \, \exp[-\kappa_R \rho r] \tag{C.22}$$

where F_o is the initial flux of the radiation source. The absorption length $\lambda_R = (\kappa_R \rho)^{-1}$ is the mean free path of the photon. If τ is larger than unity the gas is called *optically thick* for radiation. This definition may apply to a single or to all scattering and absorption categories (see above). In the case of Thomson scattering when $\tau = \tau_T > 1$, the gas is optically thick for electron scattering.

Large opacity values indicate that radiation will likely be absorbed by the gas, while low values allow for large radiative energy fluxes leaving the gas. Opacities at temperatures smaller than $\sim 1,700$ K are usually dominated by dust, between 1,700 and 5,000 K by molecules and neutral atoms, and above 5,000 K by ions and electrons.

C.5 Scattering Opacities

Always important to consider is the case where electromagnetic waves are scattered by free (stationary) electrons in the classical limit (i.e., $h\nu \ll m_e c^2$), which is usually referred to as *Thomson scattering* and which was first published by W. Thomson in 1906. The cross section for Thomson scattering is:

$$\sigma_T = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left[\frac{e^2}{mc^2} \right]^2 \approx 6.65 \times 10^{-25} \text{cm}^2$$
(C.23)

Note that σ_T is frequency independent. An isotropic and homogeneous layer of hydrogen gas at a density 10^{-13} g cm⁻³ becomes optically thick for Thomson scattering (i.e., $\tau_T > 1$) at a thickness of:

$$d = \frac{\tau_T}{\sigma_T n} = 2.4 \times 10^{13} \text{cm} \approx 2 \text{ AU}$$
(C.24)

where n is the electron number density. At very high photon energies (i.e., $h\nu \leq m_e c^2$) the cross section becomes dependent on energy and is then described by *Compton scattering*.

Electromagnetic waves are also scattered by bound electrons, which is referred to as *Rayleigh scattering* after Lord Rayleigh's result from 1899. Rayleigh scattering differs from Thomson scattering when the characteristic transition frequency ν_{line} in atoms or molecules is much larger than ν by

$$\sigma_{R,\nu} = \sigma_T \frac{\nu^4}{(\nu^2 - \nu_{line}^2)^2} \quad \text{for } \nu < \nu_{line} \tag{C.25}$$

C.6 Continuum Opacities

Free-free transitions are generally associated with energy losses or gains by electrons in the field of ions. In common terms the emission from such events is called *bremsstrahlung*, and in the case of thermalized electrons it is also called *thermal* bremsstrahlung. The energy of the outgoing photon is always the difference of electron energy before and after the braking event and, thus, in this case the emitted energy spectrum is a smooth function with no characteristic edges. For H-like ions the free-free cross section can be expressed in a semi-classical way with a frequency and temperature dependence of:

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{ff} \propto \frac{G^{ff}(\nu, T)}{\nu^3 T^{1/2}} (1 - \exp(-h\nu/kT))$$
 (C.26)

where G^{ff} is the free-free Gaunt factor. For many applications it is useful to apply the Rosseland mean of the coefficient shown in (C.18). The free-free absorption coefficient then can be conveniently written as [732]:

$$\kappa_R^{ff} = 1.7 \times 10^{-25} T^{-7/2} Z^2 n_e n_i G^{ff} \tag{C.27}$$

also known as Kramers' law of free-free absorption. Note that here the Gaunt factor G^{ff} is frequency averaged as well and the result is about unity. Some studies recommend 1.2 as a good approximation. The total loss rate of an *emitting* plasma is [534]:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = 1.435 \times 10^{-27} T^{1/2} Z^2 n_e n_i G^{ff} \tag{C.28}$$

Unlike for free-free processes, bound-free absorption cross sections are not smooth functions of ν but contain discrete features which, at the limit of all bound states E_i , transpose as edges (see Fig. C.2 and also Fig. 3.7). In practice, the calculation of exact photoionization cross sections is extremely difficult and most reliable only for H-like ions at best. In this case, the semiclassical expression for the bound-free absorption coefficient looks like [834]:



log v

Fig. C.2. Schematic illustration of the temperature and frequency dependence of the bound–free absorption coefficient for H-like atoms. Adapted from [834].

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{bf} \propto \frac{Z^4}{\nu^3 G_a(T)} \exp(-Z^2 \chi_H / kT) \sum_{n \ge n_c} \frac{1}{n^3} \exp(Z^2 \chi_H / n^2 kT) G_n$$
 (C.29)

where Z is the ion charge in numbers of electrons, $G_a(T)G_n$ are partition functions (a stands for all-absorbing atoms), n the principal quantum number and n_c the cutoff quantum number for which $n_c^2 >> \frac{Z^2 \chi_H}{h\nu}$, and χ_H the hydrogenic ionization potential. The term 'semi-classical' means that the validity the cross sections for bound-bound transitions (see below) is extended into the regime of virtual quantum numbers beyond the atomic series limit. The actual functional dependence of κ_{ν}^{bf} on ν and T is shown schematically in Fig. C.2. Were it not for the sum at the end, the frequency dependence of this expression is not so much different from (C.26) and in-between bound levels κ_{ν}^{bf} falls off as $1/\nu^3$. In this respect a Rosseland mean such as Kramers' law for the free-free case is possible, but makes sense only within limited frequency intervals.

C.7 Line Opacities

Compared with the derivations of expressions for continuum processes the bound–bound case seems comparatively simple. However, one has now to consider the determination of these coefficients for the large number of transitions involved. There are three fundamental processes to consider: spontaneous emission from an excited level E_f to a energetically lower atomic level E_i at a rate A_{fi} , stimulated emission by an external radiation field with intensity I_{ν} and a rate $B_{fi}I_{\nu}$, and absorption of a photon at a rate $B_{if}I_{\nu}$. The rate coefficients A_{fi} , B_{fi} , and B_{if} are the Einstein coefficients and in thermodynamic equilibrium are related by Kirchhoff's law through:

$$n_i B_{if} I = n_f A_{fi} + n_f B_{fi} I \tag{C.30}$$

where I is the mean radiation intensity:

$$I = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int I_\nu \mathrm{d}\Omega \mathrm{d}\nu \tag{C.31}$$

and n_i and n_f are the number densities of levels *i* and *f* with:

$$\frac{n_i}{n_f} = \frac{g_i}{g_f} \exp(h\nu_{if}/kT) \tag{C.32}$$

where g_i and g_f are the statistical weight of levels *i* and *f*, and $h\nu_{if}$ the transition (line) energy. The line absorption coefficient for this transition is then:

$$\kappa_{i,f,\nu}^{bb} = \frac{h\nu}{4\pi} (B_{if}n_i - B_{fi}n_f)\phi_{i,f}(\nu)$$
(C.33)

and the line emission coefficient, where $\phi(\nu)$ is the absorption line profile:

$$j_{\nu}^{bb} = \frac{h\nu}{4\pi} A_{fi} n_f \phi(\nu) \tag{C.34}$$

then can be evaluated using the Einstein relations:

$$A_{fi} = \frac{2h\nu^3}{c^2} B_{fi}; \quad g_i B_{if} = g_f B_{fi}$$
(C.35)

 B_{if} can also be expressed in terms of the quantum mechanical oscillator strength f_{if} of a line:

$$B_{if} = \frac{4\pi}{h\nu} \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c} f_{if} \tag{C.36}$$

At higher temperatures (i.e., higher than 1,000 K) excitations also happen through collisions with electrons, ions, or even molecules. In this case (C.30) has another term: $C_{fi}n_f$.

The line absorption coefficient must then incorporate all line contributions towards the frequency ν and thus:

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{bb} = \sum_{i < f} \kappa_{i,f,\nu}^{bb} \tag{C.37}$$

Note that in (C.33) it has already been implicitly assumed that $\phi_{i,f}(\nu) = \phi_{f,i}(\nu)$ again reflecting the precise LTE conditions. In that case one can assume that the occupation numbers n_i and n_f follow the Boltzmann distribution and with $g_i B_{i,f} = g_f B_{f,i}$ the final bound-bound absorption coefficient becomes:

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{bb} = \sum_{i < f} \frac{h\nu}{4\pi} g_i B_{i,f} \phi_{i,f}(\nu) n_a \frac{\exp(\frac{E_i}{kT})}{G_a(T)} \left[1 - \exp(-\frac{h\nu}{kT}) \right]$$
(C.38)

where n_a and $G_a(T)$ are again the density and partition function of the absorbing species, and the term in square brackets is the correction for stimulated emission. The line function $\phi(\nu)$ is usually assumed to be Gaussian, though in many cases a Lorentzian shape needs to be applied. In case of a delta function as a line profile, the absorption coefficient would primarily depend on the oscillator strength of the line and plasma density (see also Sect. D.3) with a temperature dependence at very high temperatures. Physical environments affect the line shapes. Additional broadenings to the natural, such as thermal, turbulent, and collisional broadening, have to be taken into account as well (see Appendix D).

C.8 Molecular Excitations

The subject of excitation of molecules plays a central role in almost every aspect of stellar formation. Molecules contribute another quality to a gas by the addition of internal degrees of freedom. They not only play an important role in molecular cloud cores but also in cool (< 5,000 K) stellar atmospheres. Like atoms and ions they are subject to line emission and absorption based on their electronic properties. The total energy of a molecule can be stated as:

$$E_{mol} = E_e + E_r + E_v \tag{C.39}$$

where E_e is the energy due to its electrons, E_r its rotational energy, and E_v its vibrational energy. While transitions between electronic states usually occur at optical and ultraviolet wavelengths, rotational and vibrational lines appear at much longer wavelengths (i.e., vibrational lines are visible in the IR band, rotational lines in the radio band). The degrees of freedom provided by the molecules, on the one hand, not only contribute to the change in internal energy (see Chap. 5), but also play a major role in the observational diagnostics of collapsing clouds. The determination of molecular opacities is described in Sect. C.7. However, the problem now is that there are many more transitions for molecules to consider than there are in the atomic case. The following few paragraphs are designed to remind the reader of what is involved in these transitions. Much can be found in standard textbooks (the review in [834] is highly recommended).

For a rigid di-atomic molecule the energies of rotational states can be described by:

$$E_r = \frac{h^2}{8\pi^2 \mathcal{I}} J(J+1) = hcBJ(J+1)$$
(C.40)

where J = 0, 1, 2, 3, ... is the rotational quantum number and B the rotational constant. This constant is governed by the moment of inertia \mathcal{I} with respect to the molecular rotation axis. The *selection rules* for electrical dipole radiation in general imply $\Delta J = 0, \pm 1$ (except for $0 \leftrightarrow 0$) but in detail become very complex through various molecular angular and orbital momentum couplings producing a large number of transitions. The inverse relationship with the moment of inertia pushes the frequency range for rotational transitions into the mega- and gigahertz domain. The fact that the rotational energies of molecules strongly depend on their moments of inertia also helps to distinguish between molecules that contain various isotopes of an element, thus handing the observer a precious diagnostical tool. However, molecules are not really rigid but have a finite force constant giving rise to vibrational excitations. The energies of these excitations then follow from the equation for an harmonic oscillator. Together rotational and vibrational energy levels add a vast amount of absorbing power to interactions with molecules. Special cases are symmetric molecules such as H_2 , as here rotational-vibrational transitions are forbidden for electric dipole radiation. The reason for this is that in this molecule the center of mass always coincides with the center of electric charge and thus no dipole moment can develop. Consequently transition probabilities are all based on quadrupole moments and this molecule therefore has hardly any significant radiative signatures in the IR or radio bandpass.



Fig. C.3. (left) Schematic illustration of locations and splittings of vibrational (v), rotational (J) and electronic (A, X) levels. The latter are shaped similar to Morse potentials. (right) Vibrational-rotational bands with transition of the R-branch (lines to the left of the $J = 0 \leftrightarrow J' = 0$ transition, which is actually forbidden) and the P-branch (lines to the right). Adapted from [834].

Vibrational excitations are a natural result of the fact that bonds between atoms in molecules are not rigid but have a finite force constant k. The energy is thus that of a harmonic oscillator of frequency ν_0 with vibrational quantum numbers v:

$$E_v = h\nu_0(v + \frac{1}{2})$$
(C.41)

where quantum numbers v = 0, 1, 2... and $\Delta v = \pm 1$. However, selection rules for v are even more complex than for the rotational case and not as straightforward to express as they depend on the shape of the potential energy function, such as the *Morse potential* for a specific molecule. The combined case (i.e., the Morse potential plus the rotational potential) leads to exceedingly diverse rotational-vibrational energy levels [732].

Because of the very different orders of magnitude of the spacings between the electronic, vibrational, and rotational energy levels (see Fig. C.3), molecular transitions occur in very different regions of the spectrum. But, in addition there exists a large number of rotational–vibrational transitions, which for molecules such as O_3 , CO_2 and CH_4 amount to line listings of the order of 10^5 lines [352]. Many previous hydrodynamic models that related to stellar atmospheres for a long time had a constant gas opacity value of $\chi^g = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ $\text{cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, which was based on the Rosseland mean published in the early 1980s [11]. Today this value is considered merely as a lower limit. More modern calculations of molecular mean opacities can be found in [364, 351] and references therein. General information on opacity databases may also be obtained though *OP* and *OPAL* as listed in Appendix E.

In thermal equilibrium the number of molecules in a specific state is given by (A.14). For each molecule all degrees of freedom, i.e., translational, rotational, vibrational, and electronic, have to be accounted for. The partition function for each molecule is then a complex product:

$$G = G_{trans} \times G_{rot} \times G_{vib} \times G_{el} \tag{C.42}$$

The total partition function in a molecular cloud is of great importance in the early stages of stellar collapse (see Chap. 5).

Formation and destruction of molecules in interstellar clouds and throughout stellar collapse are significant but extremely complex processes. The main reactions that lead to the formation, destruction, or rearrangement of molecular bonds involve [391, 510]:

- direct radiative association,
- *photodissociation* processes,
- gas exchange reactions,
- catalytic formation on the surfaces of dust grains,
- dissociative recombination,
- *ion-molecule reactions*.

During radiative association two atoms combine under irradiation of the binding energy. In the case of the H_2 molecule this does not work since this molecule has no electric dipole moment (see above) and thus is not able to get rid of the binding energy through radiation. The newly formed molecule would instantaneously dissociate. The surface of a dust grain, however, acts as a catalyst for molecule formation. Other molecules can form by gas exchange reactions, where an atom and a molecule combine and release a different atom. Similar and specifically interesting for larger molecules are ion-molecule reactions, where radicals and atoms interact to form other radicals and/or molecules through collisions. The quantity of interest for all these processes is the formation rate constant k, which in the case of radiative association can be expressed through:

$$\frac{dn_{AB}}{dt} = kn_A n_B \quad or \quad \frac{dn_{AB}}{dt} = kn_{AB} n_{gr} \tag{C.43}$$

where n_{AB} , n_A , n_B and n_{gr} are the number densities of the molecule AB, the atoms A and B, and the dust grains. Values for a k range from as low as 10^{-20} cm³ s⁻¹ for OH formation at $T \ge 50$ K [444] and as high as 10^9 cm³ s⁻¹ for CH₃NH₃⁺ formation [388].

The ultimate destruction of molecules is predominantly achieved by *photodissociation*, *photoionization* and at sufficiently high temperatures effectively by *collisions*. These processes necessarily have to provide energies exceeding a molecule's dissociation energy χ_{dis} , where energy is defined as the amount needed to dissociate the molecule from the lowest rotationalvibrational level to produce neutral atoms in their ground states. In other words, if the molecule is excited beyond a limiting vibrational state it will break apart. The photodissociation rates k_{pd} can be calculated once the intensity distribution of the radiation field I_{λ} and the $\sigma_{AB}(\lambda)$ for the specific molecule AB are known:

$$k_{pd} = \int_{\lambda_h}^{\lambda_d} I_\lambda \sigma_{AB}(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \tag{C.44}$$

The absorption cross sections can be calculated as the sum over all possible rotational-vibrational excitations and is determined from molecular absorption spectra. The lower limit of the intensity distribution is marked by $\lambda_h = 912$ Å, the wavelength at which hydrogen is photoionized. The upper limit (λ_d) corresponds to the equivalent wavelength of dissociation energy. Dissociation energies typically range within a few eV and have been measured for many existing molecules. Photodissociation rates usually have values between 10^{-13} and 10^{-9} with a trend toward higher molecular complexity also meaning higher destruction rates.

Photoionization may not be as destructive as photodissociation, and the necessary ionization potentials χ_{ion} (a few 10 eVs) are usually higher than dissociation energies. However, turning the molecule into an ion makes it quite susceptible to ion-molecule reactions that can lead to the destruction

of the molecule or to a different molecule. Clearly, as temperatures rise above $\sim 2,000$ K, collisions should have destroyed most of the molecular population.

C.9 Dust Opacities

The calculation of dust opacities involves assumptions about the shape and distribution of dust particles. Most generally one can express the dust opacity for a single species as:

$$\chi^{d}(\mathbf{r},\nu,t) = \pi \int_{a_{min}}^{a_{max}} a^2 Q(a,\nu) f(\mathbf{r},a,t) \mathrm{d}a \qquad (C.45)$$

where a is the grain radius; a_{min} (~ 10⁻³ µm) is the lower limit to the grain size to have macroscopic properties; a_{max} is the convenient upper limit which most of the time is set to ∞ ; $Q(a,\nu)$ is the grain-size-dependent extinction efficiency and incorporates the structural properties of dust grains. These efficiencies are usually calculated using the *Mie theory* [863, 101]. Last, but not least, $f(\mathbf{r}, a, t)$ denotes the local size distribution function of the grains. A few basic assumptions can simplify (C.45). First, one may assume steady-state conditions and eliminate time dependence. This is in order in many situations and for the sake of understanding some of the basic physics involved in opacity calculations. For stellar collapse calculation, time is essential and has to be included. Second, one may assume that dust species are homogeneously distributed, meaning all dust species are well mixed. Third, there is only one single temperature for all dust grains. Fourth, the dust shapes are spherical and the shape is described by its radius a. For collapse calculations, the assumption about the temperature seems feasible, but that about the shape is more stringent as ellipsoid shapes are more likely. In this case, opacity can be evaluated for all involved dust species with separate contributions from absorption and scattering [856]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{\nu}^{d} \\ \sigma_{\nu}^{d} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \int_{a} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{i}^{abs}(a,\nu) \\ Q_{i}^{sca}(a,\nu) \end{pmatrix} \pi a^{2} n_{i}(a) \mathrm{d}a$$
 (C.46)

where index *i* refers to the *i*-th dust species, α_i is a weighting factor based on the abundance of the *i*-th species. $n_i a$ is the normalized dust size distribution function for the ith species. In the small particle limit of Mie theory (i.e., $\lambda \gg 2\pi a$), the size dependence of the extinction coefficient reduces to *a* and by ignoring scattering for now one can write (see also [280]):

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{d} = \pi \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} Q_{i,\nu} \int_{a} a^{3} n_{i}(a) \mathrm{d}a \qquad (C.47)$$

Normalized dust size distribution functions can have various forms. Many times the following two forms are used:



Fig. C.4. Illustration of the main processes involving dust and radiation. The left diagram shows the penetrative properties of long-wavelength radiation. The size of dust particles may usually be assumed to be 1 μ m and smaller. Visible and UV radiation cannot penetrate a typical cloud of dust. The right diagram shows dust absorbing optical and UV radiation and re-emitting IR light. The pounding of shortwavelength light causes the particle to crack and disintegrate.

$$n_i(a) \propto \begin{cases} a^{-\gamma_i} & a_{min} \le a \le a_{max} \\ a^{-\gamma_i} e^{-a/a_o} & a_{min} \le a \end{cases}$$
(C.48)

where the top functions follow the MRN approach [554], while the bottom choose the KMH approach [466]. Recent calculations can be found, for example, in [856, 363]. Calculated dust opacities for coagulated dust grains of size between 1 μ m and 1.3 mm for protostellar cores are tabulated in [644].

One of the most important ingredients of interstellar matter is dust. Like molecules the presence of dust adds additional degrees of freedom to increase the internal energy of inter- and circumstellar matter. As important as these properties are for star formation and early evolution, even today they are very little understood. A key point is that absorption and emissivity properties need to be known over a very large wavelength range. Dust also appears in very different environments adding to uncertainties in the modeling of dust properties. Figure C.4 illustrates the major interactive processes of dust particles with radiation, which involve the *penetration* of long-wavelength radiation, *absorption* of short-wavelengths, *thermal emission* at long wavelengths and *destruction* of dust by short wavelength radiation.

More intriguing is the absorption and re-emission of radiation. Here the absorption coefficient dramatically depends on the composition of the dust; the thorough review of interstellar dust properties by F. Boulanger and colleagues [114] is recommended. Absorption may not only happen at short wavelengths. Some models, for example, involve dust cores with ice mantles leading

to vibrational IR absorption bands from trapped molecules. Another factor in models involves the so-called *fluffiness* of dust clusters allowing for enhanced absorptivity at a few hundred μ m wavelengths [643]. Actual opacities were modeled by V. Ossenkopf and T. Henning for dust between 1 μ m and 1.3 mm [644].

Spectroscopy

The analysis of electromagnetic light from stars, dust and nebulae is the only source of information available to study star-forming regions. Observations today are performed throughout the entire electromagnetic spectrum and, although this constitutes 15 orders of magnitude in wavelength range, spectral signatures and analysis methods throughout the entire spectrum are rather similar. This appendix offer some additional information on spectroscopy issues and describes some novel diagnostic tools, that are rarely found in other spectroscopy resources. Many items specifically apply to high-energy spectra. These are included because high-quality X-ray spectra have become only recently available.

D.1 Line Profiles

One of the most powerful tools to diagnose the dynamics of line-emitting or line-absorbing media is the analysis of basic line properties. The shape of a line is often approximated by a Gaussian distribution:

$$\Phi_{\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\Delta\nu_D} e^{-\left(\frac{\nu-\nu_o}{\Delta\nu_D}\right)^2} \tag{D.1}$$

where ν_o is the line frequency in the rest laboratory frame, ν is the frequency in the observers' frame and $\Delta \nu_D$ is the *Doppler width* of the line defined as:

$$\Delta \nu D = \sqrt{\nu_o/c^2 (v_{th}^2 + \nu_{turb}^2)} \tag{D.2}$$

where the thermal velocity contribution $v_{th}^2 = 2kT/m_i$ and turbulent velocity contribution is v_{turb} . The former accounts for the movement of the gas atoms, ions, or molecules of mass m_i due to their kinetic temperature T; the latter accounts for the micro-turbulent motions of gas particles.

Generally, many processes are involved such as natural broadening, Stark broadening, van der Waals broadening, and Zeeman broadening, all of which take place simultaneously and contribute to the line profile. The result is a convolution of several distribution functions. *Natural* broadening, for example, represents the inverse of the radiative lifetime of an excited state. Its shape is that of a *Lorentzian* (or *Cauchy*) distribution, while its convolution with various Doppler distributions is that of a *Voigt* profile. The latter convolution of the dispersion and Gaussian profiles has a fairly complex construct of the form:

$$\Phi_{V,\nu} = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2} \Delta \nu_D} \frac{a}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp(-u'^2)}{(u-u')^2 + a} du'$$
(D.3)

where $u = \Delta \nu / \Delta \nu_D$, $a = (\Gamma / 4\pi) / \Delta \nu_D$, and Γ is the line damping constant. The line shape is then represented by a Gaussian core and Lorentzian wings (see also below).

D.2 Zeeman Broadening

Emission and absorption lines are sensitive to a variety of physical environments such as enhanced pressures, rotation and velocity fields, to name a few. Their impacts on line shapes hand the observer powerful diagnostical tools. The line diagnostics in these cases have been part of standard textbooks for many years [583, 314, 509, 834] (the reader is generally referred to these). From studies of atomic structure it is also well known that the presence of electrical and magnetic fields affects the atomic level structure in decisive ways, which in the case of electric fields is the *Stark effect* and in the case of magnetic fields it is the *Zeeman effect*. Once again, despite these being rather standard effects, recent successful measurements of *Zeeman broadening* of lines from proto- and PMS stars have brought renewed attention towards its importance.

The Zeeman analysis of line emission from T Tauri stars requires wellmodeled stellar atmospheres (for a review see [314]). There were extensive model calculations in the 1990s that set standards for LTE model atmospheres [494, 495, 350]. The shift in wavelength due to the magnetic field for a particular Zeeman component is [437]:

$$\Delta \lambda = \pm \frac{e}{4\pi m_e c^2} \lambda^2 g B = \pm 4.67 \times 10^{-7} \lambda^2 g B \quad \text{mÅ kG}^{-1} \tag{D.4}$$

where λ is the line wavelength in Å. The relation shows that Zeeman shift scales with λ^2 , while Doppler broadenings scale only with λ . The longer the observed wavelength, the more sensitive the line shape to Zeeman broadening as it dominates over Doppler-related broadenings, such as those due to rotation, pressure, and turbulence (see above). The use of IR lines to measure magnetic fields in MS stars has been around for quite some time [734, 735]. Figure D.1 demonstrates this effect for typical T Tauri atmospheric parameters, while at optical wavelengths possible Zeeman shifts for fields up to and



Fig. D.1. Weighing Zeeman broadening against Doppler broadening. The shades cover areas of Doppler widths in km s⁻¹ that have values less than 100 (lightest shade) to less than 10 (darkest shade). The lines represent Zeeman shifts for magnetic field lines of 0.1 to 3.0 kG as labeled. These shifts were calculated using log g = 3.67 for BP Tau [437].

likely above 3 kG are dominated by or compete with Doppler shifts. Towards IR wavelengths this picture changes dramatically and fields above 1 kG clearly dominate Doppler shifts of 10 km s⁻¹, which are typical for T Tauri stars.

D.3 Equivalent Widths and Curve of Growth

The strength of an absorption line with respect to its continuum is measured in terms of the equivalent width W_{λ} , which is defined as:

$$W_{\lambda} = \int \frac{I_0 - I(\lambda)}{I_0} d\lambda \tag{D.5}$$

where I_0 is the continuum intensity at the center of the line and $I(\lambda)$ the intensity of the observed spectrum (see Fig. D.2).



Fig. D.2. Definition of line equivalent width and a schematic concept of the curve of growth properties. (left) The equivalent width of a line is the wavelength range under the continuum of that particular line that engulfs as much of the unabsorbed flux as there is in the line itself (shaded area). (right) A schematic plot indicating various analytic solutions in different parts of the curve of growth.

The curve of growth (COG) for a given spectral line describes the behavior of W_{λ} as the number of absorbing atoms in the line-of-sight increases. The right part of Fig. D.2 illustrates this behavior. The components describing the three regions of the cog are described in the following. For an optically thin, homogeneous layer (i.e., unsaturated lines) W_{λ} is related to the column density N_j of ion j as:

$$\frac{W_{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \frac{\pi e^2}{m_e c^2} N_j \lambda f_{jk} \tag{D.6}$$

where f_{jk} is the oscillator strength for transition k to j, e is the elementary charge and m_e the electron mass. Thus for small N_j the number of absorbed photons increases in proportion to the number of atoms. We call this the *linear* part of the curve of growth. The absorption line is not yet saturated and here one wouldn't see any change in W_{λ} from velocity broadening.

Once the line saturates it gets dominated by the Doppler parameter b describing a broadening of the line through relative velocities. The simplest case is a Gaussian velocity distribution, $b = \sqrt{2}v_{rms}$. The width can then be approximated by:

$$\frac{W_{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \frac{2b\lambda}{c} \sqrt{\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}e^2}{m_e c^2} \frac{N_j \lambda f_{jk}}{b}\right)} \tag{D.7}$$

This is called the *flat* part of the COG, where the dependence of the equivalent width on the column density is not very strong.

In saturated lines when the column density is high enough, the Lorentzian wings of the line begin to dominate:



Fig. D.3. Different regimes of the curve of growth showing the relationship between the Doppler parameter, the column density and the shape of an absorption line. Adapted from Charlton [163].

$$\frac{W_{\lambda}}{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{3/2}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} N_j \lambda f_{jk} \Gamma}$$
(D.8)

where Γ is the damping constant of the Lorentz profile (e.g., [583]). This is called the *square root* portion of the COG, where the equivalent width is again strongly dependent on the column density.

Although the flat part of the COG has little dependence on N_j , it is a powerful tool because of its high sensitivity to the Doppler parameter. Figure D.3 again illustrates the different regimes for H I Lyman α transition [163]. The upper panel shows absorption profiles as they appear for various Doppler parameters. The lower panel shows a calculated COG for neutral hydrogen column densities

between $N(\text{H I}) \sim 10^{12} - 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ versus W_{λ} for various Doppler parameters identifying the locations of the widths from the top panel.

D.4 Spectra from Collisionally Ionized Plasmas

Spectral emissions from the far-UV to X-rays originate from hot plasmas of temperatures between 10^5 K and 10^8 K. The origins of these emissions are generally collisionally ionized plasmas, though at temperatures below a few 10^5 K significant photoionization processes are possible. The latter is not considered here as this would imply the presence of highly luminous external X-ray radiation fields which even the winds in O stars cannot provide.

Spectra carry information about temperature, density, velocities, geometry, and whether or not the plasma is in equilibrium. One of the most basic assumptions about the emissions from collisionally ionized plasmas is that it is in *collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE)*. This reflects an ionization equilibrium where the dominant processes are collisional excitation from the ground state and includes corresponding radiative and di-electronic recombination. The referral to the ground state only in combination with an optically thin plasma is also called the *coronal approximation* in analogy to the conditions believed to exist in the Solar corona. Optically thin in this respect means particle densities of 10^{10} cm⁻³ or less. The only exceptions (see Sect. D.5) to transitions from the ground state are transitions involving a few metastable line levels.

A typical X-ray spectrum from a hot collisionally ionized plasma is shown in Fig. D.4. The emission measure of an electron ion plasma of volume V is defined as:

$$\mathcal{EM} = \int n_e n_H \mathrm{d}V \tag{D.9}$$

where the product of electron and ion density is due to the fact that single collisions are considered. In reality, however, the line emissivity is also a function of temperature with both peak temperature and finite temperature distribution depending on the ion species. In other words, O VIII ions reach their maximum emissivity at $\log T = 6.3$ K, whereas Si XIV ions reach their maximum at $\log T = 7.2$ K and Fe XXV ions at $\log T = 7.8$ K. The emitted flux in each line of a collisionally ionized plasma is then expressed in the form [317]:

$$F_{line} = \frac{A_{line,Z}}{4\pi d^2} \int G_{line}(T_e, n_e) n_e n_H \mathrm{d}V \tag{D.10}$$

where F_{line} is the line flux, $A_{line,Z}$ is the elemental abundance, d the distance of the plasma, V is the emission volume, and n_e and n_H are the electron and



Fig. D.4. High resolution X-ray spectrum of the binary star II Peg. The binary harbors a star with an active corona. The X-ray luminosity exceeds that of the Sun by many orders of magnitude (similar to what is observed in young PMS stars). Marked are the domains of H-like and He-like lines from various ion species ranging from O (Z = 8) to Fe (Z = 26). Iron ions from Fe XVII to Fe XXV are present. The peak temperature of this spectrum is several 10 MK hot. Credit: from Huenemoerder et al. [416].

hydrogen densities, respectively. The core of this function is the line emissivity $G_{line}(T_e, n_e)$ in units of photons cm³ s⁻¹. The function G depends on the plasma's electron temperature and density and contains all fundamental atomic data. It also reflects the ionization balance. The function G also inherits the temperature distribution of ion emissivity and, since this function is usually sharply peaked over a small temperature range, one replaces $G_{line}(T_e, n_e) = \overline{G}_{line} \mathcal{EM}(T_{max})$. Furthermore, one ignores the dependence on density n_e . Then \overline{G}_{line} is the mean emissivity and T_{max} is the temperature of maximum emissivity. The line flux then can be simply expressed by:

$$F_{line} = \frac{A_{line,Z}}{4\pi d^2} \overline{G}_{line} \mathcal{EM}(T_{max})$$
(D.11)

The emission measure distributions shown in Fig. 8.8 were computed in a slightly different manner as it is often more useful to apply a differential form
of the emission measure, where $DV = (dV/d \log T) d \log T$ and the line flux can then be written as

$$F_{line} = \frac{A_{line,Z}}{4\pi d^2} \int \overline{G}_{line} \left[\left(n_e n_H \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}\log T} \right) \right] \mathrm{d}\log T \tag{D.12}$$

where the term in brackets in now called the *differential emission measure* (DEM). The DEM is a powerful construct as it describes the plasma distribution with respect to temperature [684, 416, 915] under the assumption of uniform abundances.

D.5 X-ray Line Diagnostics

High-resolution X-ray spectra provide a variety of lines from mostly H-like and He-like ions and thus offer novel plasma diagnostical tools to study young stellar objects. Most of these tools have been developed since the late 1960s through observations of the solar corona [274]. Ratios of these lines are widely used for collisional plasmas of various types ranging not only from flares in the solar corona, the ISM, supernova remnants, but also in hot laboratory plasmas, such as those confined in tokamak fusion plasmas [580, 910, 685, 204]. Central to X-ray line diagnostics are the He-like line triplets of C V, N VI, O VII, Ne IX, Mg XI and Si XIII corresponding to their ion production temperature range between 2 MK and 10 MK. He-like line triplets consist of transitions involving the $1s^2$ 1S_0 ground state, the metastable forbidden 1s2s ${}^{3}S_{1}$ level and the 1s2p ${}^{3}P_{1,2}$ intercombination level. These transitions form three lines, the resonance (r) line $(1s^2 {}^{1}S_0 - 1s2p {}^{1}P_1)$, the intercombination (i) line $(1s^2 {}^{1}S_0 - 1s2p {}^{3}P_{(2,1)})$ and forbidden (f) line $(1s^2 {}^{1}S_0 - 1s2s {}^{3}S_1)$. The intercombination line actually has two components, a detail which in most cases can be ignored. In the absence of external radiation fields, the ratios of these lines are sensitive to electronic temperature and electron density.

For an optically thin plasma the G-ratio depends on electron temperature; and is the flux ratio:

$$G = \frac{(f_{i1} + f_{i2}) + f_f}{f_r} \tag{D.13}$$

where f_{i1} , f_{i2} , f_f , f_r are the corresponding line fluxes of the intercombination, forbidden, and resonance line respectively. As long as CIE holds the change in G-ratio follows from the fact that the excitation of the ${}^{3}P_{(2,1)}$ and ${}^{3}S_{1}$ levels decrease faster than collisional excitation to the ${}^{1}P_{1}$ level with decreasing electron temperature [796]. The functional dependence of G on temperature is complex and may be best described as a subtle increase of G with temperature at low temperatures followed by a rapid decrease above a critical value. This critical value increases with Z, for C V this temperature is around 3×10^{5} K, and for Si XIII it is above 1×10^{6} K (see [681]).



Fig. D.5. (right) Dependence of the low-density limit R_0 (right scale) and the critical density n_{crit} (left scale) of the *R*-ratio with wavelength and *Z*. (left) The relative strengths of the components of the O VII He-like triplet. The top spectrum shows the appearance of the low density limit at $n_e = 10^{10}$ cm⁻³, and the bottom spectrum shows the triplet at $n_e = 10^{12}$ cm⁻³ when no external radiation fields are present. Adapted from Proquet and Dubau [681] and Ness et al. [626].

The density sensitivity of the He-like triplet involves the metastable forbidden line. Since the 1s2s ${}^{3}S_{1}$ level does not possess a significant dipole moment it is more likely to be depopulated through collisional excitation into the intercombination levels before decaying into the ground state, once the electron density becomes high enough. This effect is reflected in the *R*-ratio which is simply:

$$R = \frac{f_f}{f_i} \tag{D.14}$$

The R-ratio has a density sensitivity that can be parameterized as [529]:

$$R = \frac{R_0}{1 + n_e/n_{crit}} \tag{D.15}$$

Here the ratio in the low density limit is R_0 . As long as the electron density n_e falls well short of the critical density n_{crit} , the measured ratio of these lines will always be near R_0 . Theoretical values have been calculated [95, 685] for each of the corresponding elements. Figure D.5 shows the dependence of these values with wavelength and atomic number Z. The density paradigm breaks down if strong external radiation fields are present that can also depopulate the metastable level by excitation to ${}^{3}P_{2,1}$. Then the density and radiation field:

$$R = \frac{R_0}{1 + n_e/n_{crit} + \phi/\phi_{crit}} \tag{D.16}$$

where ϕ is the field flux at the necessary pumping wavelength and ϕ_{crit} the critical field for each triplet [529, 681, 626].

Abbreviations

This appendix contains short explanations of the acronyms and abbreviations used throughout the book. the descriptions given are minimal and only designed for look-up purposes. In the book acronyms are spelled out only once when they appear for the first time, there are no references or other referrals attached to these explanations with the exception of links to websites in some cases (where a fuller explanation is given). In all other cases, the main text of this book or a standard textbook will provide further information if necessary.

A.C.: Time count in years After the birth of Christ.

AGB: Asymptotic Giant Branch stars resemble an advanced stage in the MS evolution of stars in the HRD. these are stars with degenerate C–O cores and with H- and He-burning shells.

APEC: the Astrophysical Plasma Emission Code and Database (APED) was developed to model thermal spectra of stellar coronae and other hot astrophysical plasmas in the Far-UV and X-ray wavelength range (see also CHIANTI, HULLAC, MEKAL, SPEX).

For more information see http://cxc.harvard.edu/atomdb/sources_apec.html

AU: the *Astronomical Unit* is a length measure in terms of the nominal Earth–Sun distance.

B.C.: Time count in years *Before* the birth of *Christ*.

bb: bound-bound processes in radiative transfer.

bf: bround-free processes in radiative tranfer.

CAI: Ca- and Al-rich Inclusions appear in meteorites, specifically in chondrites, as enriched short-lived isotope daughter products.

CCD: Charge Coupled Device is a light sensitive, areal solid state detectors designed for IR, optical, UV, and now also for X-ray imaging.

CHIANTI: *CHIANTI* is an atomic database for the spectroscopic diagnostics of astrophysical plasmas (see also *APEC*, *HULLAC*, *MEKAL*, *SPEX*). For more information see *http://www.solar.nrl.navy.mil/chianti.html*

CIE: Collisional Ionization Equilibrium describes processes involving collisional excitation from ground states and the corresponding radiative and dielectronic recombination.

CMD: the *Color-Magnitude Diagram* plots the color index versus the visual magnitude of a stellar object.

CME: A *Coronal Mass Ejection* marks a highly accelerated ejection of particles into interplanetary space from the Sun.

CNO: the *Carbon-Nitrogen-Oxygen* cycle is the standard nuclear fusion chain process in the Sun's core.

COG: the Curve of Growth analysis is described in Sect. D.3.

COUP: emphChandra Orion Ultradeep Project is a consortium of scientists lead by E. Feigelson analyzing the vast *Chandra* X-ray database of the ONC.

CTTS: Classical T Tauri Stars are very young low-mass PMS stars. In the IR their SEDs are classified as class II, in the optical band they show strong H_{α} lines.

DEM: the *Differential Emission Measure* distribution of a star shows the emissivity of a specific abundance distribution of ions in a radiating astrophysical plasma with respect to temperature.

EGG: *Evaporated Gaseous Globule* is a dense core in molecular clouds which appears when a photoevaporating radiation field exposes them to the observer.

ELP: the *Emission Line Project* is a loose consortium of scientists to support X-ray and EUV line databases for astrophysical analysis.

EM: the *Emission Measure* (see also DEM) describes the emissivity of a hot astrophysical plasma within a specific volume.

ESC: *Embedded Stellar Clusters* are very young (< 3 Myr) stellar clusters still embedded in their natal molecular cloud.

EUV: Extreme Ultraviolet wavelengths range between X-rays and the Far-UV, ~ 700 Å $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim \sim 70$ Å.

ff: free-free processes in radiative tranfer.

FUV: Far-Ultraviolet wavelengths range between 1,000 Å $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 150$ Å.

GC: *Globular Clusters* are compact stellar clusters harboring old stellar populations off the Galactic plane.

GL: the *Ghosh and Lamb* model is an accretion disk model devised by P. Gosh and F. K. Lamb based on the principle of star–disk locking.

GMC: Giant Molecular Clouds are dense interstellar clouds with masses larger than $10^5 M_{\odot}$.

HAEBE: Herbig Ae/Be stars are very young intermediate (2–8 M_{\odot}) mass PMS stars.

HAe: *Herbig Ae* stars are a sub-group of *HAEBE* stars.

HBe: *Herbig Be* stars are a sub-group of *HAEBE* stars.

HH: *Herbig-Haro* objects are outflow signatures where either a wind or jet interacts with inter- and circumstellar material.

HR: Stands for *Hertzsprung–Russell* after E. Hertzsprung and H. N. Russell (see below).

HRD: Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram is a tool to classify stars and describe their evolution by plotting the effective stellar surface temperature T_{eff} versus bolometric luminosity L_{bol} .

HULLAC: the *Hebrew University Lawrence Livermore Atomic Code* is a suite of programs to generate collisional radiative models for astrophysical plasmas (see also *APEC, CHIANTI, MEKAL, SPEX*).

IGM the *Intergalactic Medium* consists of ionized gas around and in the vicinity of the Milky Way and in between galaxies in general.

IMF: the *Initial Mass Function* consists of the initial distribution of stellar masses after the first cluster formation within a GMC (see above). the expression also applies to initial distributions in galaxies as well as is being used in cosmology in the context of primordial star formation.

IR: Infra-Red is the wavelength range in the electromagnetic spectrum spanning from 0.8 μ m to 350 μ m.

ISM: the *Interstellar Medium* consists of all gas phases, interstellar dust, force fields, cosmic rays, and radiation fields between the stars

KH: Kelvin–Helmholtz. Named after Lord Kelvin (W. Thomson) and H. von Helmholtz, who in the 19th century among others formulated the laws of thermodynamics. KH is mainly used in the expression for the thermal contraction timescale of stars.

KLF: the K-band Luminosity Function is computed from K-band (2.2 $\mu m)$ observations of stellar clusters.

LISM: Local Interstellar Medium isd the same as ISM (see above) but distinctly for the neighborhood of the Sun within roughly 1,500 pc.

LMC: Large Magellanic Cloud is a nearby (~ 55 kpc) neighbor galaxy visible in the southern hemisphere.

LTE: Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium is the same as TE (see below) but for the case where the equilibrium condition is not valid everywhere except for a specified boundary condition.

MC: Molecular Clouds are dense interstellar clouds with masses between 10^3 and $10^5 M_{\odot}$.

MEKAL: *Mewe–Kaastra–Liedahl* code calculates emission spectra from hot diffuse plasmas (see also *APEC, CHIANTI, HULLAC, SPEX*).

MHD: *MagnetoHydroDynamics* is the theory describing the (hydro-)dynamics of gas flows under the influence of magnetic fields.

MRI: Magneto-Rotational Instability (also called Balbus-Hawley Instability) transports angular momentum through an accretion disk via magnetic torques. **MS:** the *Main Sequence* of stars in the HRD represents the evolutionary stage where nuclear fusion cycles fully supply internal energy.

NGC: the *New General Catalog* was first published by J. L. E. Dreyer in 1888 based on J. Herschel's General Catalog and contained about 6,000 sources. For more information see *http://www.ngcic.org/*

NTTS: the expression for *Naked T Tauri Stars* designates very young PMS stars without circumstellar disks.

OMC: the *Orion Molecular Cloud* denominates the molecular clumps in the Orion star-forming region.

ONC: the *Orion Nebula Cluster* is embedded in the Orion Nebula M42.

OP: the *Opacity Project* refers to an international collaboration formed in 1984 to calculate the extensive atomic data required to estimate stellar envelope opacities.

For more information see http://vizier.u-strasbg.fr/OP.html

OPAL: the *Opacity Project at Livermore* code was developed at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory to compute the opacities of low- to mid-Z elements.

For more information see http://www-phys.llnl.gov/Research/OPAL/

PAH: *Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons* are cyclic rings of carbon and hydrogen and are found in their simplest forms in the ISM.

PDR: *Photodissociation Regions* are created in the ISM through the ionizing radiation field of O-stars.

PMF: the *Point Mass Formation* denotes the event when the first seed of a star forms after stellar collapse.

PMS: *Pre-Main Sequence* stars have evolved beyond the birthline and contract towards the MS.

PTTS: *Post T Tauri Stars* have evolved beyond the T Tauri stage but have not yet reached the MS.

Proplyd: *Protoplanetary Disks*, specifically as found irradiated in the Orion Nebula.

RASS: the *ROSAT All-Sky Survey* was the first all sky X-ray survey ever performed. For more information see *http://www.xray.mpe.mpg.de/rosat*

SED: the Spectral Energy Distributions at IR to radio wavelengths.

SIMBAD: astronomical database run by *CDS* (see Appendix F).

SMC: Small Magellanic Cloud is a small nearby (~ 55 kpc) neighboring galaxy visible in the southern hemisphere.

SNR: Supernova Remnants are remnant clouds from supernova explosions.

SPEX: SPEectral X-ray and UV modeling, analysis and fitting software package (see also APEC, CHIANTI, HULLAC, MEKAL). For more information see http://www.sron.nl/divisions/hea/spex/

TE: Thermodynamic Equilibrium is a thermodynamic condition in which a system absorbs as much radiation as it emits. A system in thermodynamic equilibrium radiates a blackbody spectrum.

UBV: optical photometry filters: U = ultraviolet ($\lambda_{peak} \sim 3,650$ Å), B = blue ($\lambda_{peak} \sim 4,400$ Å), V = visual ($\lambda_{peak} \sim 5,480$ Å).

UIB: Unidentified Infrared Bands.

UV: Ultraviolet wavelengths, 4,000 Å $\lesssim \lambda \lesssim 150$ Å.

WTTS: Weak-lined T Tauri Stars are very young low-mass PMS stars. In the IR their SEDs are classified as class III, in the optical band they show weak H_{α} lines.

YSO: Young Stellar Object denominates a stellar system during stellar formation and throughout all early evolutionary phases.

ZAMS: the *Zero–Age Main Sequence* is reached when nuclear fusion in the core of the star dominates the energy production in the star.

Institutes, Observatories, and Instruments

Star-formation research has made vast advances in a few decades and, besides rapid development in computing power as well as the development of numerical methods, the field has benefited from unprecedented progress in instrument development and technologies as well as new optics, telecopes, and space observatories to put them to work. The following is a glossary of the instruments and observatories that contributed towards star-formation research and which in one way or another are mentioned in this book. The entries for each item are minimal as full information can be readily acquired through website listings. Where such web-links are absent, pointers to main sites within the list are indicated by *see above* and *see below* instructions.

ACIS: the Advanced Camera for Imaging and Spectroscopy, built by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology is one of two cameras in the focal plane of Chandra (see CXO below).

ACS: the Advanced Camera for Surveys was a wide-field, high-resolution optical and UV camera onboard HST (see below). It was also equipped with a coronograph to block out the glare of quasars and stars.

AIP: the Astronomisches Institut Potsdam is located near Berlin/Germany. For more information see http://www.aip.de/

ALMA: the *Atacama Large Millimeter Array* is operated by *NRAO* (see below).

ASCA: ASCA (1993–2000) was the fourth cosmic X-ray astronomy satellite flown by the Japanese space agency and for which the United States provided part of the scientific payload. The observatory possessed medium resolution X-ray imaging capacity and several X-ray imaging detectors with medium spectral resolution (see Table 2.1).

For detailed information see http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/asca

AURA: the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy is a consortium of universities, and other educational and non-profit institutions, which operate astronomical observatories.

For detailed information see http://www.aura-astronomy.org

BIMA: the *Berkeley Illinois Maryland Association* is a consortium of radioand sub-mm research groups located at the University of California, Berkeley, at the University of Illinois, Urbana, and at the University of Maryland. The consortium operated a mm-wave radio interferometer at Hat Creek in California, which was shut down in June 2004. It was superseded by the *Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA)* in conjunction with the California Institute of Technology.

For detailed information see http://bima.astro.umd.edu

CARMA: see BIMA.

CDS: the *Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg.* For more information see *http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/*

CGRO: the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory was the second of NASA's four Great Observatories after HST (see below) was launched in 1991. The mission featured various experiments covering an energy range from 30 keV to 30 GeV. One of these experiments was EGRET (see below). For detailed information see http://cossc.gsfc.nasa.gov

CSO: the *Caltech Submillimeter Observatory* is operated by the California Institute of Technology since 1988. It consists of a 10.4 m Leighton radio dish and is located near the summit of Mauna Kea, Hawaii. For detailed information see http://www.submm.caltech.edu/cso/

CXC: Chandra X-ray Center is the designated Chandra (see CXO below) science and operations center. For more information see http://cxc.harvard.edu/

CXO: the *Chandra X-ray Observatory* (most of the time simply referred to as *Chandra*) was the third of *NASA's* four Great Observatories after *HST* (see below) and *CGRO* (see above) and was launched in 1999 (see Table 2.1). The observatory carries the first high-resolution imaging telecope (0.5 arcsec spatial resolution between 0.1 and 10 keV). The main focal plane camera is

ACIS (see above), which also operates with two high-resolution X-ray gratings spectrometers, *LETGS* and *HETGS* (see below). The *CXO* Science Operations Center is located at the Harvard–Smithonian Center for Astrophysics in Massachusetts.

For detailed information see http://chandra.harvard.edu

DENIS: Deep Near Infrared Survey is a European joint project that aims to obtain a complete survey of the southern hemishere at wavelengths of $0.82 \ \mu m$,

1.25 μ m, and 2.15 μ m. For detailed information see *http://www-denis.iap.fr/*

EGRET: the *Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope* onboard *CGRO* (see above) covering an energy range of 20 MeV to 30 GeV.

ESA: the European Space Agency is the European counterpart of the American NASA. It currently coordinates space programs involving 15 member states.

For more information see http://www.esa.int/

EUVE: the *Extreme Ultraviolet Explorer* (1992–2001) was funded by *NASA* and built and operated by the University of California, Berkeley. In its first 6 months of operation EUVE carried out an all-sky survey in the wavelength range between 70 and 760 Å (see Table 2.1).

For detailed information see http://ssl.berkeley.edu/euve

FUSE: the *Far Ultraviolet Satellite Explorer*, funded by *NASA*, was developed and is being operated by the Johns Hopkins University (see Table 2.1). *FUSE* was funded by *NASA* in collaboration with the space agencies of Canada and France. It operates from 900 to 1,200 Å.

For detailed information see http://fuse.pha.jhu.edu

GAIA: is an *ESA* (see above) mission related to astrometry following the footsteps of *Hipparchos*. It is to be launched in early 2010. For detailed information see *http://sci.esa.int/Gaia*

GBT: the *Green Bank Telescope* is operated by *NRAO* (see below).

Herschel: formerly the *Far-Infrared and Sub-millimetre Telescope (FIRST)*, *Herschel* is a development of *ESA* and is to be launched in early 2007 (see Table 2.1).

For detailed information see http://sci.esa.int under category missions

HETGS: The *High-Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer*, built by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, is one of two high-resolution X-ray spectrometers onboard *Chandra* (see *CXO* above) operating at wavelengths between 1.5 and 45 Å.

For detailed information see http://space.mit.edu/ASC and http://asc.harvard.edu/cal

HHT: the 10 m Heinrich Hertz Telecope on JCMT (see below).

HST: the Hubble Space Telescope was the first of NASA's four great observatories in Earth's orbit launched in 1999 (see Table 2.1). During HST's several refurbishing missions, several cameras have so far been operated at the telescope's focus: WFPC2, STIS, NICMOS (see below) and and ACS (see above). For detailed information see http://hubblesite.org

IPAC: the *Infrared Array Camera* is one of *SST*'s (see below) infrared cameras.

IRAM: the *Institut de Radio Astronomie Millimétrique* is a French–German–Spanish collaboration. *IRAM* operates two observatories at mm-wavelengths: a 30 m single dish telescope on Pico Veleta in Spain and a 6-antenna telescope on the Plateau de Bure in France.

For detailed information see http://www.iram.es

IRAS: the Infrared Astronomical Satellite was a joint IR space mission involving the United States, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. It conducted the first IR all-sky survey between 8 and 120 μ m. For detailed information see http://lambda.gsfc.nasa.gov/product/iras

ISO: the *Infrared Satellite Observatory* was an *ESA* (see above) IR space mission in cooperation with Japan and the United States (see Table 2.1). For detailed information see http://www.iso.vilspa.esa.es

IUE: the International Ultraviolet Explorer was a collaboration between ESA (see above) and NASA (see below and Table 2.1). For detailed information see http://sci.esa.int under category missions

JCMT: the *James Clerk Maxwell Telescope*, with a diameter of 15 m, is one of the largest telescopes for sub-mm observations. It is operated by astronomical research groups from Hawaii, the United Kingdom, Canada, and the Netherlands.

For detailed information see http://www.jach.hawaii.edu/JACpublic/JCMT/

JWST: the James Webb Space Telecope will be an orbiting optical/IR observatory to replace the HST (see above) by about 2011 (see Table 2.1). For detailed information see http://ngst.gsfc.nasa.gov

LETGS: the Low-Energy Transmission Grating Spectrometer is one of two high resolution X-ray spectrometers onboard Chandra (see CXO above) operating at wavelengths between 2 and 180 Å.

For detailed information see http://asc.harvard.edu/cal

2MASS: the *Two Micron All-Sky Survey* is a more recent and ground based near-IR digital imaging survey of the entire sky conducted at 1.25 μ m, 1.65 μ m and 2.17 μ m wavelengths. The survey is conducted by the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

For detailed information see http://pegasus.phast.umass.edu

MPE: the *Max Planck Institut für extraterrestrische Physik* is located in Garching near Munich/Germany.

For more information see http://www.mpe.mpg.de/

NASA: the National Aeronautic and Space Administration is the US counterpart of the European ESA (see above) and administers America's space program.

For more information see http://www.nasa.gov/home/

NICMOS: the *Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer* is a highly sensitive near-IR camera onboard the *HST* (see above).

NRAO: the National Radio Astronomical Observatory is a long-term working facility for radio astronomy. It operates many facilities around the world including the ALMA, the GBT, the VLA and the VLBA For detailed information see http://www.nrao.edu

OVRO: the Owons Valley Radio Observatory mm-array telescope is operated by the California Institute of Technology. Like *BIMA* it will be superseded by *CARMA* (see *BIMA* above).

For detailed information see http://www.ovro.caltech.edu/mm/main.html

PSPC: the *Position Sensitive Proportional Counter* was an energy sensitive X-ray detector onboard ROSAT (see below).

RGS: the *Reflection Grating Spectrometer* is the high resolution X-ray spectrometer onboard the European X-ray observatory *XMM* (see below).

ROSAT: the *Röntgensatellit (ROSAT)* was a German–United Kingdom– United States collaboration, built and operated under the leadership of the MPE (see above). During its first year of operation it conducted the first ever X-ray all sky survey (*RASS*, see Appendix E) in the energy band between 0.1 and 2.4 keV.

For detailed information see http://wave.xray.mpe.mpg.de/rosat

SCUBA: the Submillimeter Common Users Bolometer Array is operated at the focus of the *JCMT* (see above).

SHARC: the Submillimetre High Angular Resolution Camera is operated by the CSO (see above).

SMM: the *Solar Maximum Mission* spacecraft monitored solar activity between 1980 and 1989.

For detailed information see http://umbra.nascom.nasa.gov/smm/

SMTO: the Heinrich Hertz Submillimeter Telescope Observatory is located on Emerald Peak of Mt. Graham in Arizona. The facility is operated as a joint venture of the Steward Observatory of the University of Arizona and the Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie(MPfR) of Germany.

For detailed information see http://maisel.as.arizona.edu:8080

SOFIA: the *Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy* project is an airborne IR project in preparation by the United States's *NASA* (see above) and Germany.

For detailed information see http://sofia.arc.nasa.gov

SST: the *Spitzer Space Telescope (SST)* (formerly *SIRTF*) was the last of NASA's (see above) four great observatories to be launched after *HST*, *CGRO* and *CXO* (see above). It produces high-resolution images of the universe at IR wavelengths.

For detailed information see http://sirtf.caltech.edu

STIS: the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph was an optical and UV spectrometer on board emphHST (see above).

STScI: the Space Telescope Science Institute is the science center for the HST (see above) and all related issues. For detailed information see http://www.stsci.edu

SWAS: the Submillimeter Wave Astronomy Satellite is one of NASA's (see above) small explorer projects. Its science operations center is located at the Harvard–Smithonian Center for Astrophysics in Massachusetts.

VLA: the Very Large Array (see Figure 4.2) is operated by NRAO (see above).

VLBA: the Very Long Baseline Array is operated by NRAO (see above).

WFPC2: the *Wide Field Planetary Camera 2* was the first replacement of the original *HST* (see above) optical focal plane camera *WFPC*.

XMM: the X-ray Multi-mirror Mission (XMM) is the latest X-ray observatory launched by ESA (see above). It carries three telescopes and various spectrometers operating between 0.2 and 15 keV.

For detailed information see http://xmm.vilspa.esa.es/

Variables, Constants, and Units

The following tables lists the variables and constants used in the course of the book. The first table provides useful unit conversions. The second table lists the values of most of the constants that appear in the text. The top half is devoted to universal constants and the bottom half shows such values as the Sun's mass and radius, which are often used as benchmarks. The first table lists variables accompanied by their name(s) and units. The first listing is generally in SI units, followed by the CGS units that are predominantly used in literature. Some of the symbols have double listings as a consequence of the many contexts that have been combined in this book. In such instances these contexts provide enough information to distinguish between them.

Quantity	Unit	Value
Energy	1.0 J	$6.242\times 10^{18}~{\rm eV}$
	1.0 J	$1.000 \times 10^7 \text{ erg}$
	$1.0 \ \mathrm{eV}$	$1.602 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg}$
Force	1.0 N	1.000×10^5 dyne
Frequency	Hz (equivalent)	$2.418 \times 10^{14} \times \mathrm{E}[eV]$
Length	1.0 lyr	3.262 pc
	1.0 AU	$4.848 \times 10^{-6} \text{ pc}$
	1.0 Å	$1.000 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}$
Magnetic field	1.0 T	$1.000 \times 10^4 \text{ G}$
Mass	1.0 kg	6.022×10^{26} amu
Power	$1.0 \mathrm{W}$	$1.000 \times 10^7 \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
Temperature	1.0 K (equivalent)	$8.617\times 10^{-5}~{\rm eV}$
Time	1.0 yr	$3.156 \times 10^7 \text{ s}$
Wavelength	Å (equivalent)	$12.3985/\mathrm{E}[eV]$

Table G.1. Useful unit conversions

The values in Tables G.1 and G.2 are rounded to three digits and, thus, do not represent the most precisely known values. They are represented as used

338 G Variables, Constants, and Units

in the text. The sources of these values and conversions are:

- Allen's Astrophysical Quantities, 4th ed., AIP Press, 2000;
- Astronomy Methods by H. Bradt, 1st ed., Cambridge University Press, 2004;
- Handbook of Space Astronomy & Astrophysics by M. Zombeck, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.

Constant	Description	SI Units	CGS Units
0	Vacuum light sneed	$2.008 \times 10^8 \text{m s}^{-1}$	$9.008 \times 10^{10} \text{ m s}^{-1}$
っ で	Gravitation constant	$6.673 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \text{ k}\sigma^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2}$	$6.673 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{dvn} \mathrm{cm}^2 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\frac{1}{2}$	Planck constant	6.626×10^{-34} J s	6.626×10^{-27} erg s
: 0	Electron charge	1.602×10^{-19} C	$4.803 \times 10^{-10} \text{ esu}$
m_e	Electron mass	$9.109 imes 10^{-31} m kg$	$9.109 imes 10^{-28}$ g
m_p	Proton mass	$1.673 imes 10^{-27} m kg$	$1.673 imes 10^{-24}$ g
N_A	Avogadro constant	$6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$	Same as SI
m_u	Atomic mass unit	$1.661 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}$	$1.661 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{g}$
k_b	Boltzmann constant	$1.381 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1}$	$1.381 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg } \mathrm{K}^{-1}$
R	Molar gas constant	$8.315 J mol^{-1} K^{-1}$	$8.314 \times 10^7 \text{ erg mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$
σ	Stefan Boltzmann	$5.671 \times 10^{-8} \ \mathrm{W} \ \mathrm{m}^{-2} \ \mathrm{K}^{-4}$	$5.671 \times 10^{-5} \ {\rm erg} \ {\rm cm}^{-2} \ {\rm K}^{-4} \ {\rm s}^{-1}$
	constant		
AU	Astronomical unit	$1.496 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{m}$	$1.496 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{cm}$
lyr	Light year	$9.461 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{m}$	$9.461 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{cm}$
pc	Parsec	$3.086 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{m}$	$3.086\times 10^{18} {\rm cm}$
M_{\odot}	Solar mass	$1.989 imes 10^{30} \mathrm{kg}$	$1.989 imes 10^{33} \mathrm{g}$
R_{\odot}	Solar radius	$6.955 \times 10^8 \mathrm{m}$	$6.955 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{cm}$
L_{\odot}	Solar luminosity	$3.845\times10^{26}\mathrm{W}$	$3.845 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{erg \ s^{-1}}$
$L_{\odot,x}$	Solar X-ray luminosity	$3.98 imes 10^{20} \mathrm{W}$	$3.98 \times 10^{27} \mathrm{erg~s^{-1}}$
T_{\odot}	Solar surface temperature	5779K	same as SI
M_{moon}	Moon mass	$7.252 imes 10^{22} \mathrm{kg}$	$1.989 imes10^{25}{ m g}$
M_{Jup}	Jupiter mass	$1.900 imes 10^{27} \mathrm{kg}$	$1.900\times 10^{30}{\rm g}$

 Table G.2. List of constants

	Table G.3. List of variables	
Variable	Description	Units
a,b	Radiative or geometric constants	-
A , a	Magnetic vector potentials	T m, G cm
A_{λ}, A_V	Extinction	Magnitudes
A_Z	Abundance fraction	Ι
$lpha, lpha_{IR}$	Spectral index, IR SED index	Ι
$B_ u,B_\lambda$	Surface brightness	W $\mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~Hz}^{-1} \mathrm{~ster}^{-1}$
		$\mathrm{erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~Hz^{-1}~ster^{-1}}$
${f B}, \overline{B}, B_{ism}, B_o$	Various magnetic field strengths	Tesla, G, kG, μ G
B_{surf}	Stellar surface field	Tesla, G, kG, μ G
C_p, C_v	Heat capacities	Joule K^{-1} , erg K^{-1}
c_{s}	Sound speed	$\mathrm{ms}^{-1},\mathrm{km}~\mathrm{s}^{-1}$
χ_i,χ_H	Ionization energies	eV, keV
χ, χ^d, χ^g	Total opacity, dust opacity, gas opacity	${ m m}^2~{ m kg}^{-1}, { m cm}^2~{ m g}^{-1}$
D	Distance	kpc, pc, lyr
E	Electric field strength	Vm^{-1} , statvolt cm^{-1}
$E, E_e, E_r, E_v, ho {\cal E}_\perp$	Various energies	Joule, erg, eV, keV
$E(\lambda - V), E(B - V)$	Color excesses	Magnitudes
e	Dielectric constant	$farad m^1$
ϵ_{SFE}	Star-formation rate	1
η, η_1, η_2	Magnetic diffusivity	$m^2 s^{-1}, cm^2 s^{-1}$
η_o	Ohmic resistivity	${ m m}^2~{ m s}^{-1}, { m cm}^2~{ m s}^{-1}$
η_v	Viscosity coefficient	${ m kg}~{ m m}^{-1}~{ m s}^{-1}, { m g}~{ m cm}^{-1}~{ m s}^{-1}$
Ţ	External force	N, dyne
$F_{inert}, F_{viscous}$	Inertial force, viscous force	N, dyne
F, F_{obs}, F_{rad}	Various radiation fluxes	${ m W~m^{-2}}$
		$\mathrm{erg}~\mathrm{s}^{-1}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$
$F_ u,F_\lambda$	Flux density	${ m W}~{ m m}^{-2}$
		${\rm erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}}$
		$\mathrm{erg}~\mathrm{s}^{-1}~\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$
		$\rm keV~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}$

Voriable	Docominstion	IIvita
A dittaDIE	Description	OIIIO
f_D	Deuterium fraction	Ι
f(L)	Luminosity function	I
f_{jk}	Atomic oscillator strength	1
$\vec{G}, G_o, G_n, G_i, G_{trans}, G_{rot}, G_{vib}, G_{el}$	Various partition functions	1
G^{ff}	Free-free Gaunt factor	1
g_o,g_i	Statistical weights	I
<i>ک</i>	Adiabatic index	I
λ_d	Drag coefficient	Ι
Γ	Line damping constant	1
$\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$	1st, 2nd, and 3rd adiabatic exponent	I
H, h_d, h_a	Height, various disk heights	m, cm, AU
$I_{ u}, I_{\lambda}$	Intensity	See brightness
$I_{o}, I(\lambda), I_{observed}, I_{source}$	Various intensities	Instrument counts
J	Angular momentum	${ m kg}~{ m m}^2~{ m s}^{-1}, { m g}~{ m cm}^2~{ m s}^{-1}$
j,je	Currents	${ m A, statamp}$
$j\nu, j\lambda$	Emission coefficient	${ m m}^2~{ m kg}^{-1}, { m cm}^2~{ m g}^{-1}$
k_{pd}	Photodissociation rate	Ι
$\kappa,\kappa_{ u},\kappa_{ u}^{d},\kappa_{ u}^{g},\kappa_{ u}^{F},\kappa_{E}$	Various absorption coefficients, mean absorption coefficients	${ m m}^2~{ m kg}^{-1}$
2 22	Thermal conductivity	$J s^{-1} m^{-1} K^{-1}$
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$kcal s^{-1} cm^{-1} K^{-1}$
L_{grav}, L	(Gravitational) luminosity -	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
L_{xs}, L_x	Soft X-ray luminosity, X-ray luminosity	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
$L_{star}, L_{acc}, L_{shock}$	Stellar, accretion, and accretion shock luminosity	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
L_r	Luminosity through sphere of r	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
$L_ u, L_\lambda$	IR range luminosities	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
L_{D_o}, L_D	Luminosities from D burning	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
L_u	Lundquist number	
L_D, L_{De}	Debye lengths	m, cm
L_x, L_y, L_z	Characteristic lengths	m, cm

Table G.3. List of variables (cont.)

Variable	Description	Units
l_{loop}	Magnetic loop length	cm
γ	Wavelength	$\mathrm{m,cm,mm,\mu m,\AA}$
$\lambda_R,\lambda_J,\lambda_d$	Absorption length, Jeans length, driving length	See wavelength
λ_r	Reconnection scaling factor	1
m	Mass	kg, g
M, M_{star}, M_{stars}	Stellar masses, stellar cluster mass	kg, g, M_{\odot}
$M_{gas}, M_g, M_u, M_{\Phi}, M_J$	Various ISM cloud masses	kg, g, M_{\odot}
\dot{M}, \dot{M}_{acc}	Mass accretion rate	${ m kg~s^{-1}, g~s^{-1}, M_{\odot}~yr^{-1}}$
$\dot{M}_{wind}, \dot{M}_{ph}$	Mass loss rates	${ m kg~s^{-1}, g~s^{-1}, M_{\odot}~yr^{-1}}$
$\dot{M}_{xwind},\dot{M}_{stream}$	X-wind loss rates	${\rm kg~s^{-1}, g~s^{-1}, M_{\odot}~yr^{-1}}$
$\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_{er}$	Magnetic energy densities	Joule m^{-3} , erg cm^{-3}
\mathcal{M}_A	Alfvén Mach number	1
$\mathcal{M}_{e}, \mathcal{M}_{e}^{max}$	Magnetic reconnection rates	I
π	Atomic mass	amu
π	Magnetic permeability	${ m N~A^{-2}}$
ZΠ	Molecular weight	amu
u	Polytropic index	1
n, n_e	Electron number density	$\mathrm{m}^{-3},\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
n_i, n_H	Ion number density, hydrogen number density	I
n_A, n_B, n_{AB}, n_{gr}	Various number densities	I
n_i	Number of i states	I
n_o	Number of ground states	1
$N_H, N_{H,Z}$	Column densities	cm^{-2}
N_{tot}	Total number of particles in	
	an ensemble	1
\mathcal{N}_{UV}	UV ionizing rate	$Photons s^{-1}$
\mathcal{N}_{XR}	X-ray ionizing rate	$\rm Photons \ s^{-1}$
ν	Frequency	Hz
Ω, Ω_x	Rotational speeds	\mathbf{s}^{-1}
Ω_{surf}	Stellar surface speed	\mathbf{s}^{-1}

Table G.3. List of variables (cont.)

Variable	Description	Units
\mathcal{U}	Solid angle	ster
U	Rotational velocity	s^{-1}
Э	Gyrofrequency	s^{-1}
ω_{pe},ω_{L}	Electron plasma frequency, Larmor frequency	s^{-1}
ω_D	Ambipolar diffusion speed	${ m m~s^{-1}, km~s^{-1}}$
$P, P_e, \overline{P}, P_o, P_{ext}$	Various pressures	$Pa(N m^{-2}), bar, torr$
P_m	mag. Prandl number	I
Ρ	Stellar period	s,d
b	Momentum	${ m kg~m~s^{-2},g~cm~s^{-2}}$
φ	Gravitational potential	Joule, erg, eV, keV
ϕc	Coulomb potential	V, statvolt
${\Phi}$	Cloud magnetic flux	Tesla m^2 , G cm^2
$Q,Q_o,Q_ u,Q_{rad}$	Heats, viscous heat dissipation, radiative heat loss	Joule, erg, eV, keV
q,q_e	Charge	Coulomb
r, r_o, r_s, r_L	Various radii	m, cm
$r_c, r_b, r_m, r_{trunc}, r_w$	Various accretion disk radii	$\mathrm{m,cm,AU,pc}$
Tinf, Tout	Circumstellar envelope radii	$\mathrm{m,cm,AU,pc}$
R, R_{star}	Stellar radii	$\mathrm{m,cm,AU,pc}$
R_A, R_J	Alfvén radius, Jeans radius	$\mathrm{m,cm,AU,pc}$
R_e,R_p	Obliqueness radii	m, cm
$R_{ m H~II}$	Strömgren radius	m, cm, AU, pc
$R_{eff}, R_{ff}, R_{photo}, R_{re}, R_{col}$	Various heating rates	Joule s^{-1} , erg s^{-1}
R_V	Relative extinction	Ι
R_o	Rossby number	I
Re	Reynolds number	I
Rm	Magnetic Reynolds number	I
$ ho, ho_o,\overline{ ho}$	Mass densities	${ m kg}~{ m m}^{-3}, { m g}~{ m cm}^{-3}$
S	Thickness	$m, cm, \mu m$
\mathbf{S}, S_{ij}	Stress tensor, tensor components	${ m N}~{ m m}^{-2},{ m dyn}~{ m cm}^{-2}$

cont.
variables (
of
List
ы. С
Ġ
Table

Variable	Description	Units
S, S_{λ}	Spectral flux density	${ m W}~{ m m}^{-2}~{ m Hz}^{-1}$
		${\rm erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~Hz^{-1}}$
		${\rm erg~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~keV^{-1}}$
		${\rm keV~s^{-1}~cm^{-2}~keV^{-1}}$
Σ	Mean surface density	$ m kg~m^{-2}, g~cm^{-2}$
σ	Electric conductivity	farad, cm
σ	Velocity dispersion	${ m m~s^{-1}, km~s^{-1}}$
σ	Momentum transfer cross section	$\mathrm{m}^2,\mathrm{cm}^2$
σ_T, σ_R	ff scattering cross sections	$\mathrm{m}^2,\mathrm{cm}^2$
$\sigma_{AB}, \sigma_{ISM}, \sigma_{gas}, \sigma_{mol}, \sigma_{grains}$	Absorption cross sections	$\mathrm{m}^2,\mathrm{cm}^2$
T,T_e	Temperature, electron temperature	Κ
T_{eff}	Stellar surface temperature	Κ
T_{surf}, T_{max}	Disk surface temperature, maximum disk temperature	Κ
T_{rec}	Reconnection temperature	Κ
Θ	Temperature measure	I
t	Time	ß
$t_b, t_d, t_D, t_{dyn}, t_{ff}, t_{KH}, t_{acc}$		
$t_{th}, t_{ u}, t_{br}$	Various timescales	ß
$ au_{rh}, au_{rc}$	Reconnection times	ß
$ au_c$	Convection turning time	ß
$ au_{dy}$	Dynamo period	ß
	Optical depth	I
$ au_T$	Thomson depth	I
U	Internal energy	Joule, erg, eV, keV
V, V_{cl}	Volume, cloud volume	$\mathrm{m}^3,\mathrm{cm}^3$
$vwind, vin, v_{\perp}, vA, vK, v_r, v_{\phi}$	Outflow and accretion flow velocities	${ m m~s^{-1}, km~s^{-1}}$
$\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}, v, v_{rms}$	Various velocities	${ m m~s^{-1}, km~s^{-1}}$
W_{λ}	Equivalent width	$ m \AA, eV, keV$
Ζ	Atomic number	I

Table G.3. List of variables (cont.)

References

- 1. H.A. Abt: A.R.A.A. 21, 343 (1983)
- 2. M.T. Adams, K.M. Strom and S.E. Strom: Ap. J. Suppl. 53, 893 (1983)
- 3. M.T. Adams and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 296, 655 (1985)
- 4. F.C. Adams, C.J. Lada and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 312, 788 (1987)
- 5. F.C. Adams, S.P. Ruden and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 347, 959 (1989)
- 6. M.T. Adams and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 553, 744 (2001)
- 7. F.C. Adams and G. Laughlin: Icarus 150, 151 (2001)
- N. Adams, S. Wolk, F.M. Walter, R. Jeffries and T. Naylor: B.A.A.S. 34, 1176 (2002)
- F.C. Adams, D. Hollenbach, G. Laughlin and U. Gorti: Ap. J. in press (astroph/0404383)
- 10. S.H.P. Alencar, C.M. Johns-Krull and G. Basri: A. J. 122, 3335 (2001)
- 11. D.R. Alexander, R.L. Rypma and H.R. Johnson: Ap. J. 272, 773 (1983)
- 12. D.R. Alexander, G.C. Augason and H.R. Johnson: Ap. J. 345, 1014 (1989)
- 13. D.R. Alexander and J.W. Ferguson: Ap. J. **437**, 879 (1994)
- R.J. Allen, P.D. Atherton and R.P.J. Tilanus. In: Birth and Evolution of Massive Stars and Stellar Groups ed by W. Boland and H. Van Woerden (Dordrecht: Reidel, 1985), p. 243
- R.J. Allen, J.H. Knapen, R. Bohlin and T.P. Stecher, T.P.: Ap. J. 487 171 (1997)
- R.J. Allen: Cold Molecular Gas, Photodissociation Regions and the Origin of HI in Galaxies. In: Gas & Galaxy Evolution ed by J.E. Hubbard, M.P. Rupen and J.H. van Gorkom, (ASP Conference Series, 240, 2001), p. 331
- 17. L.E. Allen, N. Clavet, P.D. Alessio et al.: Ap. J. Suppl. 154, 363 (2004)
- M.A. Alpar: Inside Neutron Stars. In: *Timing Neutron Stars*, ed by H. Ögelman, E.P.J. van den Heuvel (NATO ASI Series C, Vol. 262, Kluwer, 1989) p. 431–40
- 19. J. F. Alves, C.J. Lada and E.A. Lada: Nature **409**, 159 (2001)
- 20. E. Anders and N. Grevesse: Geo. Cos. Acta 53, 197 (1992)
- J.M. Anderson, Z. Li, R. Krasnopolsky and R.D. Blandford: Ap. J. 590, L107 (2003)
- 22. P. André, T. Montmerle and E.D. Feigelson: Ap. J. 93, 1192 (1987)
- P. Andre, T. Montmerle, E.D. Feigelson and H. Steppe: Astron. Astrophys. 240, 321 (1990)

- P. Andre, J. Martin-Pintado, D. Despois and T. Montmerle: Astron. Astrophys. 236, 180 (1990)
- 25. P. André, D. Ward Thompson and M. Barsony: Ap. J. 406, 122 (1993)
- 26. P. André and T. Montmerle: Ap. J. 420, 837 (1994)
- 27. P. André: Radio Emission as a Probe of Large-Scale Magnetic Structures Around Young Stellar Objects. In: *Radio Emission from the Stars and the Sun*, ed by A.R. Taylor and J.M. Paredes (ASP Conf. Ser. 93, San Francisco, 1996) p. 273–84
- P. Andre, D. Ward-Thompson and H. Barsony: From Prestellar Cores to Protostars: the Initial Conditions of Star Formation: In *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000), p. 59–96
- 29. S.M. Andrews, B. Rothberg and T. Simon: Ap. J. 610, L45 (2004)
- 30. I. Appenzeller: Astron. Astrophys. 71, 305 (1996)
- I. Appenzeller, C. Chavarria, J. Krautter, R. Mundt and B. Wolf: UV spectrograms of T Tauri stars. In: Ultraviolet Observations of Quasars (ESA SP-157, 1980) p. 209–11
- I. Appenzeller, I. Jankovics and R. Östreicher: Astron. Astrophys. 141, 108 (1984)
- J.A. Ambartsumian: Stellar Evolution and Astrophysics In: Acad. Sci. Armenian, Erevan, USSR, (1947)
- 34. J.A. Ambartsumian: Reviews of Modern Physics 30/3, 944 (1958)
- 35. H.G. Arce, A.A. Goodman, P. Bastien, N. Manset and M. Sumner: Ap. J. 499, 93 (1998)
- 36. P.J. Armitage: Ap. J. 501, L189 (1998)
- 37. P.J. Armitage, M. Livio and J.E. Pringle: Ap. J. 548, 868 (2001)
- 38. P.J. Armitage, C.J. Clarke and F. Palla: M.N.R.A.S. 342, 1139 (2003)
- 39. P. Artymowicz: Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 25, 175 (1997)
- 40. M. Arnould, G. Paulus and G. Meynet: Astron. Astrophys. 321, 452 (1997)
- 41. Y. Aso, K. Tatematsu, Y. Sekimoto et al.: Ap. J. Suppl. 131, 465 (2000)
- 42. H.H. Aumann, C.A. Beichman, F.C. Gillett et al.: Ap. J. 278, L23 (1984)
- D.E. Backman and F. Paresce: The Vega Phenomenon. In: Protostars and Planets III, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 1253-304
- 44. S.A. Balbus and J.F. Hawley: Ap. J. 376, 214 (1991)
- 45. S.A. Balbus and J.F. Hawley: Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 1 (1998)
- 46. S.A. Balbus and J.F. Hawley: Space Sci. Rev. 94 1/2, 39 (2000)
- 47. S.A. Balbus: Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 41, 555 (2003)
- J. Ballesteros-Paredes, L. Hartmann and E. Vázquez-Semadeni: Ap. J. 527, 285 (1999)
- 49. J. Ballesteros-Paredes: Astrophysics and Space Science 292, 193 (2004)
- 50. J. Bally, W.D. Langer and W. Liu: Ap. J. 383, 645 (1991)
- 51. J. Bally, C.R. O'Dell and M.J. McCaughrean: A. J. 119, 2919 (2000)
- 52. J. Bally, R.S. Sutherland, D. Devine and D. Johnstone: A. J. 116, 293 (1998)
- 53. M. Balluch: Astron. Astrophys. 243, 168 (1991)
- I. Baraffe, G. Chabrier, F. Allard and P.H. Hauschildt: Astron. Astrophys. 327, 1054 (1997)
- I. Baraffe, G. Chabrier, F. Allard and P.H. Hauschildt:Astron. Astrophys. 337, 403 (1998)

- 56. A. Bar-Shalom, M. Klapisch and J. Oreg: Phys. Rev. A 38, 1773 (1988)
- 57. J.S. Bary, D.A. Weintraub and J.H. Kastner: Ap. J. 576, 73 (2002)
- 58. J.S. Bary, D.A. Weintraub and J.H. Kastner: Ap. J. 586, 1136 (2003)
- G. Basri and C. Bertout: T Tauri Stars and their Accretion Disks. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 543–66
- 60. S. Basu and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 432, 720 (1994)
- 61. S. Basu and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 452, 386 (1995)
- 62. S. Basu and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 453, 271 (1995)
- 63. S. Basu: Ap. J. 509, 229 (1998)
- D. Batchelor: Solar data at NSSDC. In: National Space Science Data Center NEWS (Volume 12, Number 3, 1996)
- 65. P. Battinelli, Y. Efremov and E.A. Magnier: Astron. Astrophys. **314**, 51 (1996)
- 66. D. Bazell and F.X. Desert: Ap. J. **333**, 353 (1988)
- S.V.W. Beckwith, A.I. Sargent, N.Z. Scoville, C.R. Mason, B. Zuckerman and T.G. Phillips: Ap. J. **309**, 755 (1986)
- 68. S.V.W. Beckwith, A.I. Sargent, A.I. Chini and R.S. Güsten: A. J. 99, 924 (1990)
- 69. S.V.W. Beckwith and A.I. Sargent: Ap. J. 402, 280 (1993)
- S.V.W. Beckwith, T. Henning and Y. Nakagawa: Dust Properties and Assembly of Large Particles in Protoplanetary Disks. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 533–58
- C.A. Beichmann, P.C. Myers, J.P. Emerson, S. Harris, R. Mathieu, P. J Benson and R.E. Jennings: Ap. J. **307**, 337 (1986)
- 72. C.A. Beichmann, F. Boulanger and M. Moshir: Ap. J. 348, 248 (1992)
- K.L. Bell, P.M. Cassen, J.T. Wasson and D.S. Woolum: The FU Orionis Phenomenon and Solar Nebula Material. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 897–926
- T.W. Berghoefer, J.H.M.M Schmitt and J.P. Cassinelli:Astron. Astrophys. 118, 481 (1996)
- F. Berrilli, G. Corciulo, G. Ingrosso, D. Lorenzetti, B. Nisini and F. Strafella: Ap. J. **398**, 254 (1992)
- 76. P. Bernasconi and A. Maeder: Astron. Astrophys. 307, 829 (1996)
- 77. F. Berrilli, G. Corciulo, G. Ingrosso, D. Lorenzetti, B. Nisini and F. Strafella: Ap. J. 398, 254 (1992)
- 78. C. Bertout, G. Basri and J. Bouvier: Ap. J. **330**, 350 (1988)
- 79. F. Bertoldi and C.F. McKee: Ap. J. 354, 529 (1990)
- 80. F. Bertoldi and C.F. McKee: Ap. J. 395, 140 (1992)
- C. Bertout, S. Harder, F. Malbet, C. Mennessier and O. Regev: A. J. **112**, 2159 (1996)
- 82. H.A. Bethe: Phys. Rev. 55, 434 (1939)
- H. Beuther, J. Kerp, T. Preibisch, T. Stanke and P. Schilke: Astron. Astrophys. 395, 169 (2002)
- 84. E. Bica, C.M. Dutra and B. Barbuy: Astron. Astrophys. 397, 177 (2003)
- E. Bica, C.M. Dutra, J. Soares and B. Barbuy: Astron. Astrophys. 404, 223 (2003)
- 86. E. Bica, C. Bonatto and C.M. Dutra: Astron. Astrophys. 405, 991 (2003)
- 87. A. Blaauw: A. J. 59, 317 (1954)

- 88. A. Blaauw: Bull. Astr. Inst. Netherlands 11, 414 (1952)
- 89. O.M. Blaes and S.A. Balbus: Ap. J. 421, 163 (1994)
- 90. R.D. Blandford and D.G. Payne: M.N.R.A.S. 199, 883 (1982)
- 91. L. Blitz and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 238, 148 (1980)
- 92. L. Blitz and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. 241, 676 (1980)
- L. Blitz and L. Magnani: The nearest molecular clouds Birth and Evolution of Massive Stars and Stellar Groups (ASSL Vol. 120, 1985) p. 23–28
- 94. L. Blitz: Giant molecular clouds. Protostars and Planets III, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 125–61
- 95. G.R. Blumenthal, G.W. Drake and W.H. Tucker: Ap. J. 172, 205 (1972)
- 96. P. Bodenheimer: Ap. J. 142, 451 (1965)
- 97. P. Bodenheimer and S. Jackson: Ap. J. 161, 1101 (1970)
- 98. P. Bodenheimer: Ap. J. **224**, 488 (1978)
- P. Bodenheimer, A. Burkert, R. Klein and A.P. Boss: Multiple Fragmentation of Protostars. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 675–701
- 100. E. Böhm-Vitense: Z. Astrophys. 46, 108 (1961)
- C.F Bohren and D.R. Huffman: in Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles (New York, Wiley, 1983)
- 102. H. Bondi: M.N.R.A.S. 112, 195 (1952)
- 103. I.A. Bonnell, M.R. Bate, C.J. Clarke and J.E. Pringle: M.N.R.A.S. 323, 785 (2001)
- 104. I.A. Bonnell, M.R. Bate and H. Zinnecker: M.N.R.A.S. 298, 93 (1998)
- 105. W.B. Bonnor: M.N.R.A.S. **116**, 351 (1956)
- 106. S. Bontemps, P. Andre, S. Terebey and S. Cabrit: Astron. Astrophys. **311**, 858 (1996)
- 107. S. Bontemps, P. Andre, A.A. Kaas et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 372, 173 (2001)
- 108. B.J. Bok and E.F. Reilly: Ap. J. 105, 255 (1947)
- 109. B.J. Bok: Harvard Obs. Monograms 7, 53 (1948)
- 110. A.P. Boss: Nature **351**, 298 (1991)
- 111. A.P. Boss: Ap. J. **410**, 157 (1993)
- 112. A.P. Boss: Ap. J. 468, 231 (1996)
- 113. A.P. Boss: Ap. J. 568, 743 (2002)
- 114. F. Boulanger, P. Cox and A.P. Jones: Dust in the Interstellar Medium. In: Infrared Space Astronomy, Today and Tomorrow, ed by F. Casoli, J. Lequeuz, F. David (NATA Advanced Study Institute, Springer Berlin, Paris, 2000) p. 251–85
- 115. J. Bouvier, C. Bertout, W. Benz and M. Mayor: Astron. Astrophys. 165, 110 (1986)
- 116. J. Bouvier and M. Forrestini: Protoplanetary Disk Lifetime: Constraints from PMS Spin-Down. In: *Circumstellar Dust Disk and Planetary Formation*, ed by R. Ferlet, A. Vidal-Madjar (Proceedings of the 10th IAP Astrophysics Meeting, Gif-sur-Yvette: Editions Frontieres, 1994), p. 347-56
- 117. J. Bouvier, R. Wichmann, K. Grankin, S. Allain, E. Covino, M. Fernández, E.L. Martin, L. Terranegra, S. Catalano and E. Marilli: Astron. Astrophys. 318, 495 (1997)
- 118. J. Bouvier, M. Forrestini and S. Allain: Astron. Astrophys. 326, 1023 (1997)
- 119. S.I. Braginsky: Rev. Plasma Phys. 1, 205 (1965)
- 120. A. Brandeker, R. Liseau, G. Olofsson and M. Fridlund: Astron. Astrophys. 413, 681 (2004)

- 121. A. Brandenburg, A.A, Nordlund, R.F. Stein and U. Torkelson: Ap. J. 446, 741 (1995)
- 122. W. Brandner and H. Zinnecker: Astron. Astrophys. 321, 220 (1997)
- 123. W. Brandner and R. Köhler: Ap. J. 499, L79 (1998)
- 124. D. Breitschwerdt, M.J. Freyberg and J. Truemper (ed by : *The Local Bubble and Beyond* (Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, Vol. 506, IAU Coll. 166, 1998), p. 603
- 125. C. Briceño, L. Hartmann, J.R. Stauffer et al.: A. J. 113, 740 (1997)
- 126. C. Briceño, N. Calvet, S. Kenyon and L. Hartmann: A. J. 118, 1354 (1999)
- 127. C. Briceño, A.K. Vivas, J. Hernández et al.: Ap. J. 606, L123 (2004)
- 128. C.L. Brogan and T.H. Troland: Ap. J. 560, 821 (2001)
- 129. D.N. Brown and J.D. Landstreet: Ap. J. 246, 899 (1981)
- 130. A.G.A. Brown, D. Hartmann and W.B. Burton: Astron. Astrophys. 300, 903 (1995)
- 131. A.G.A. Brown, A. Blaauw, R. Hoogerwerf et al: OB Associations. In: The Origin of Stars and Planetary Systems (NATO ASIC Proc. 540, 1999) p. 411
- E.M. Burbridge, G.R. Burbridge, W.A. Fowler and F. Hoyle: Rev.Mod. Phys. 29, 547 (1957)
- 133. A. Burkert and P. Bodenheimer: M.N.R.A.S. 264, 798 (1993)
- 134. A. Burrows, D. Saumon, T. Guillot, W.B. Hubbard and J.I. Lunine: Nature 375, 299 (1995)
- 135. A. Burrows, M. Marley, W.B. Hubbard and J.I. Lunine: Ap. J. 491, 856 (1997)
- 136. C.J. Burrows, K.R. Stapelfeldt, A. Watson et al.: Ap. J. 473, 437 (1996)
- 137. W.B. Burton: Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 14, 275 (1976)
- N.S. Brickhouse: In: Astrophysics in the Extreme Ultraviolet, ed by S. Bowyer, R.F. Malina (IAU Colloq. 152, Dordrecht, Kluwer 1996) p. 105
- 139. A.C. Brinkman, C.J.T. Gunsing, J.S. Kaastra, R.L.J. van der Meer et al.: Ap. J. 530, L111 (2000)
- 140. D. Calzetti: P.A.S.P. 113, 1449 (2001)
- 141. C.R. Canizares, D.P. Huenemoerder, D.S. Davis, D. Dewey et al.: Ap. J. 539. L41 (2000)
- 142. M. Camenzind: Reviews in Modern Astronomy 3, 234 (1990)
- 143. W.G.W. Cameron: Icarus 1, 13 (1962)
- 144. A.G.W. Cameron: Icarus 18, 407 (1973)
- 145. A.C. Cameron and C.G. Campbell: Astron. Astrophys. 274, 309 (1993)
- 146. A.G.W. Cameron: Nucleosynthesis and star formation. In: Protostars and Planets III, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 47–93
- 147. A.G.W. Cameron: Meteoritics 30, 133 (1995)
- 148. A.J. Cannon and E. Pickering: Annals of the Astronomical Observatory of Harvard College, 1918-1949, Cambridge, Ma, Astronomical Observatory of Harvard College, 1918
- 149. V.M. Canuto and I. Mazzitelli: Ap. J. 377, 150 (1991)
- 150. V.M. Canuto, I. Goldman and I. Mazzitelli: Ap. J. **473**, 550 (1996)
- 151. J.A. Cardelli, G.C. Clayton and J.S. Mathis: Ap. J. 345, 245 (1989)
- 152. L. Carkner, E. Mamajek, E.D. Feigelson and R. Neuhäuser et al.: Ap. J. 490, 735 (1997)
- B.W. Carroll and D.A. Ostlie: An Introduction to Modern Astrophysics 1st edn (Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp. INC., Cambridge Ma, 1996)

- 154. M.M. Casali and E. Carlos: Astron. Astrophys. 306, 427 (1996)
- 155. P. Caselli and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 446, 665, (1995)
- 156. P. Caselli and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 446, 665 (1995)
- 157. J.P. Cassinelli, N.A. Miller, W.L. Waldron, J.J. MacFarlane and D.H. Cohen: Ap. J. 554, L55 (2002)
- 158. C. Ceccarelli, E. Caux, L. Loinard, A. Castets, A.G.G.M. Tielens, S. Molinari, R. Liseau, P. Saraceno, H. Smith and G. White: Astron. Astrophys **342**, 21 (1999)
- S. Chandrasekhar: An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure, (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill 1939)
- 160. S. Chandrasekhar: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 46, 53 (1960)
- 161. S. Chandrasekhar: *Ellipsoidal Figures of Equilibrium* (The Silliman Foundation Lectures, New Haven CT: Yale University Press, 1969)
- 162. P. Charbonneau and K.B. MacGregor: Ap. J. 486, 502 (1997)
- J.C. Charlton and C.W. Churchill. In: Enceclopedia of Astronomy and Astrophysics, (MacMillan and the Institute of Physics Publishing, 2000)
- 164. E. Chiang, R.B. Phillips and C.J. Lonsdale: A. J. 111, 355 (1996)
- 165. J.P. Chièze and G. Pineau des Forets: Molecular clouds chemistry with mixing. In: *Physical Processes in Fragmentation and Star Formation* (ASSL Vol. 162, 1990) p. 17-27
- 166. W.N. Christiansen and J.V. Hindman: Australian J. Sci. Res. 5 437 (1952)
- 167. E. Churchwell, D.O.S. Wood, M. Felli and M. Massi: Ap. J. 321, 516 (1987)
- 168. C.J. Clarke and J.E. Pringle: M.N.R.A.S. 261, 190 (1993)
- 169. C.J. Clarke and J.E. Pringle: M.N.R.A.S. 288, 674 (1997)
- 170. C.J. Clarke and L. Hillenbrand: The Formation of Stellar Clusters. In: Protostars and Planets IV, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 151–77
- 171. C.J. Clarke, A. Gendrin and M. Sotomayor: M.N.R.A.S. 328, 485 (2001)
- 172. D.D. Clayton and J. Lin: Ap. J. 451, L87 (1995)
- 173. G.E. Ciolek and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 454, 194 (1995)
- 174. C. Codella, R. Bachiller, B. Nisini, P. Saraceno and L. Testi: Astron. Astrophys. 376, 271 (2001)
- 175. M. Cohen and R.D. Schwarz: M.N.R.A.S. 174, 137 (1976)
- 176. M. Cohen and L.V. Kuhi: Ap. J. Suppl. 41, 743 (1979)
- 177. R.S. Cohen, T.M. Dame and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. Suppl. 60, 695 (1986)
- 178. M. Cohen and J.H. Bieging: A. J. 92, 1396 (1986)
- 179. M. Cohen, J.P. Emerson and C.A. Beichmann: Ap. J. 339, 455 (1989)
- 180. F. Comerón, G.H. Rieke and R. Neuhäuser: Astron. Astrophys. 343, 477 (1999)
- 181. A.N. Cox and J.E. Tabor: Ap. J. Suppl. 31, 271 (1976)
- 182. M. Corcoran and T. Ray: Astron. Astrophys. 321, 189 (1997)
- 183. E. Covino, L. Terranegra, A. Magazzu, J.M. Alcala, S. Allain, J. Bouvier, J. Krautter and R. Wichmann: Rotation and Lithium of WTTS in the Chamaeleon Star Forming Region. In: 9th Cambridge Workshop of Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun, ed by R. Pallavicini and A.K. Dupree (ASP, 1996), p. 421
- 184. R.M. Crutcher: Ap. J. 520, 706 (1999)
- 185. A. Dalgarno and S. Lepp: Ap. J. 287, L47 (1984)
- 186. T.M. Dame, B.G. Elmegreen, R.S. Cohen and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. 305, 892 (1986)

- 187. T.M. Dame, H. Ungerechts, R.S. Cohen et al.: Ap. J. 322, 706 (1987)
- 188. T.M. Dame, D. Hartmann and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. 547, 792 (2001)
- 189. F. D'Antona and I. Mazzitelli: Ap. J. Suppl. 90, 467 (1994)
- 190. F. D'Antona and I. Mazzitelli: Role for Superadiabatic Convection in Low Mass Structures? In: Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets, ed by R. Rebolo, E.L. Martin, M.R.Z. Osorio (ASP Conference Series 134, 1998), p. 442–5
- 191. L.J. Davis and J.L. Greenstein: Ap. J. 114, 206 (1951)
- 192. J.K. Davies, A. Evans, M.F. Bode and D.C.B. Whittet: M.N.R.A.S. 274, 517 (1990)
- 193. M. de Muizon., D. Rouan, P. Lena et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 83, 140 (1980)
- 194. D.L. Depoy, E.A. Lada, I. Gatley and R. Probst: Ap. J. 356, L55 (1990)
- 195. S.J. Desch: Generation of Lightning in the Solar Nebula. In: Lunar and Planetary Institute Conference Abstracts (Houston, No. 1962, 1999)
- 196. S.J. Desch and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 550, 314 (2001)
- 197. G. de Vaucouleurs, A. de Vaucouleurs and H.G. Corwin et al.: Third Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991)
- 198. P.T. de Zeeuw, R. Hoogerwerf, J.H.J. de Bruijne, A.G.A. Brown and A. Blaauw: A. J. 117, 354 (1999)
- 199. J.M. Dickey and F.J. Lockman: Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 28, 215 (1990)
- 200. R. Diehl and F.X. Timmes: P.A.S.P. 110, 637 (1998)
- 201. E. Dorfi: Astron. Astrophys. 114, 151 (1982)
- 202. J.L. Dotson: Ap. J. 470, 566 (1996)
- 203. J.L. Dotson, J. Davidson, C.D. Dowell, D.A. Schleuning and R.H. Hildebrand: Ap. J. Suppl. **128**, 335 (2000)
- 204. J.G. Doyle and J.L. Schwob: J. Phys. B 15, 813 (1982)
- 205. B.T. Draine and H.M. Lee: Ap. J. **285**, 89 (1984)
- 206. B.T. Draine and C.F. McKee: A.R.A.A. 31, 373 (1993)
- 207. B.T. Draine: Optical and Magnetic Properties of Dust Grains. In: *Polarimetry* of the Interstellar Medium (ASP Conf. Ser. 97, 1996) p. 16
- 208. J.J. Drake, R.A. Stern, G. Stringfellow, M. Mathioudakis et al.: Ap. J. 469, 828 (1996)
- 209. Exhibition www.dudleyobservatory.org/ Exhibits/bessel.htm (The Dudley Observatory, Schenectady, NY 12308, 2003)
- 210. C.P. Dullemond, C. Dominik and A. Natta: Ap. J. 560, 957 (2001)
- 211. C.P. Dullemond and A. Natta: Astron. Astrophys. 408, 161 (2003)
- 212. A.K. Dupree, N.S. Brickhouse, G.A. Doschek, J.C. Green and J.C. Raymond: Ap. J. 418, L89 (1993)
- 213. B.R. Durney, D.S. De Young and I.W. Roxburgh: Sol. Phys. 145, 207 (1993)
- 214. R. Ebert: Zeitschrift fuer Astrophysik 37, 217 (1955)
- 215. A.S. Eddington: M.N.R.A.S. 77, 1 (1917)
- 216. A.S. Eddington: *The Internal Constitution of the Stars*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1926)
- 217. A.S. Eddington: Stars and Atoms, (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1927)
- 218. Y.N. Efremov and B.G. Elmegreen: M.N.R.A.S. 299, 588 (1998)
- 219. R. Egger and B. Aschenbach: Interaction of the Loop I Supershell with the Local Hot Bubble. In: *Röntgenstrahlung from the Universe* (MPE Report, 1996), p. 249–50
- 220. J. Eislöffel: Morphology and Kinematics of Jets from Young Stars. In: *Reviews in Modern Astronomy 13: New Astrophysical Horizons*, ed by R.E. Schielicke (Astronomische Gesellschaft, Hamburg, Germany, 2000), p. 81

- 221. J.A. Eisner and J.M. Carpenter: Ap. J. 598, 1341 (2003)
- 222. B.G. Elmegreen: Ap. J. 232, 729 (1979)
- 223. B.G. Elmegreen: Astron. Astrophys. 80, 77 (1979)
- 224. B.G. Elmegreen: Ap. J. 342, L67 (1989)
- 225. B.G. Elmegreen: Formation of interstellar clouds and structure. In: Protostars and Planets III, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 97–124
- 226. B.G. Elmegreen: Ap. J. 515, 323 (1999)
- 227. B.G. Elmegreen, Y. Efremov, R.E. Pudritz and H. Zinnecker: Observations and Theory of Star Cluster Formation. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 179–215
- 228. B.G. Elmegreen: Ap. J. 539, 342 (2000)
- 229. B.G. Elmegreen, S. Kim and L. Staveley-Smith: Ap. J. 548, 749 (2001)
- 230. B.G. Elmegreen: Ap. J. 577, 206 (2002)
- 231. A.S. Endal and L.W. Twigg: Ap. J. 260, 342 (1982)
- 232. N.J. Evans, J.M.C. Rawlings, Y.L. Shirley and L.G. Mundy: Ap. J. 557, 193 (2001)
- 233. H.I. Ewen and E.M. Purcell: Nature 168, 356 (1951)
- 234. W.A. Feibelman: P.A.S.P. 101, 547 (1989)
- 235. E.D. Feigelson and W.M. Decampli: Ap. J. 243, L89 (1981)
- 236. E.D. Feigelson, J.M. Jackson, R.D. Mathieu, P.C. Myers and F.M. Walter: A. J. 94, 1251 (1987)
- 237. E.D. Feigelson, S. Casanova, T. Montmerle and J.R. Guibert: Ap. J. 416, 623 (1993)
- 238. E.D. Feigelson and T. Montmerle: A.R.A.A. 37, 363 (1999)
- 239. E.D. Feigelson, P. Broos, J.A. Gaffney, G. Garmire et al.: Ap. J. 574, 258 (2002)
- 240. E.D. Feigelson, G.P. Garmire and S.H. Provdo: Ap. J. 572, 335 (2002)
- 241. E.D. Feigelson, J.A. Gaffney, G. Garmire, L.A. Hillenbrand and L. Townsley: Ap. J. 584, 911 (2003)
- 242. E.D. Feigelson, J.A. Gaffney III, G. Garmire, L. Hillenbrand and L. Townsley: Ap. J. **584**, 911 (2003)
- 243. E.D. Feigelson and the COUP consortium; priv. communication
- 244. J.V. Feitzinger and E. Braunsfurth: Astron. Astrophys. 139, 104 (1984)
- 245. U. Feldman and J.M. Laming: Ap. J. 451, L79 (1995)
- M. Felli, G.B. Taylor, M. Catarzi, E. Churchwell and S. Kurtz: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 101, 127 (1993)
- 247. C. Fendt and M. Cemeljic: Astron. Astrophys. 395, 1045 (2002)
- 248. J. Ferreira, G. Pelletier and S. Appl: Ap. J. **312**, 387 (2000)
- 249. J. Ferreira: M.N.R.A.S. 316, 647 (2000)
- 250. C.E. Fichtel, R.C. Hartman, D.A. Kniffen et al.: Ap. J. 198, 163 (1975)
- 251. R.A. Fiedler and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 391, 199 (1992)
- 252. R.A. Fiedler and T.C. Mouschovias: Ap. J. 415, 680 (1993)
- 253. E.L. Fitzpatrick and D. Massa: Ap. J. **307**, 286 (1986)
- 254. E. Flaccomio, G. Micela, S. Sciortino, F. Damiani et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 355, 651 (2000)
- 255. E. Flaccomio, F. Damiani, G. Micela, S. Sciortino et al.: Chandra X-ray Observation of the Orion Nebula Cluster. In: *Stellar Coronae in the Chandra and XMM-NEWTON Era*, ed by F. Favata and J.J. Drake (ASP Conf. Ser. 277, Noordwijk, 2002) p. 155

- 256. E. Flaccomio, F. Damiani, G. Micela, S. Sciortino et al.: Ap. J. 582, 382 (2003)
- 257. E. Flaccomio, G. Micela and S. Sciortino:Astron. Astrophys. 402, 277 (2003)
- 258. T. Fleming and J. Stone: Ap. J. 585, 908 (2003)
- 259. A.S. Font, I.G. McCarthy, D. Johnstone and D. R. Ballantyne: Ap. J. 607, 890 (2004)
- 260. M. Forestini: Astron. Astrophys. 285, 473 (1994)
- 261. P.N. Foster and R.A. Chevalier: Ap. J. 416, 303 (1993)
- 262. W. Fowler, J. Greenstein and F. Hoyle: Geophys. J.R. Astron. Soc. 6, 148 (1962)
- 263. J. Franco, G. Tenorio-Tagle, P. Bodenheimer, M. Rozyczka and I.F. Mirabel: Ap. J. 333, 826 (1988)
- 264. J. Frank, A. King and D. Raine: Accretion Power in Astrophysics, 2nd edn (Cambridge Astrophysics Series 21, Cambridge, UK, 1992)
- 265. M.J. Freyberg and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: Astron. Astrophys. 296, L21 (1995)
- 266. S.C. Friedman, J.C. Howk, P. Chayer et al.: Ap. J. Suppl. 140, 37 (2002)
- 267. P.C. Frisch and D.G. York: Ap. J. 271, L59 (1983)
- 268. P.C. Frisch: Space Sci. Rev. 72, 499 (1995)
- 269. P.C. Frisch: The Interstellar Medium of our Galaxy. In: *The Century of Space Science* ed by J. Bleeker, J. Geiss, and M. Huber (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2004, astro-ph/0112497)
- 270. S. Fromang, C. Terquem and S.A. Balbus: M.N.R.A.S. 329, 18 (2002)
- 271. A. Fuente, J. Martín-Pintado, R. Bachiller, R. Neri and F. Palla: Astron. Astrophys. 334, 252 (1998)
- 272. G.A. Fuller and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. **384**, 523 (1992)
- 273. G.A. Fuller, E.F. Ladd and K.-W. Hodapp: Ap. J. 463, L97 (1996)
- 274. A.H. Gabriel and C. Jordan: M.N.R.A.S. 145, 241 (1969)
- 275. M. Gagne, J. Caillault and J.R. Stauffer: Ap. J. 445, 280 (1995)
- 276. M. Gagne, D. Cohen, S. Owocki and A. Ud-Doula: X-rays at Sharp Focus, ed by S. Vrtilek, E.M. Schlegel, and L. Kuhi (ASP Conference Series, 2001)
- 277. G.F. Gahm, K. Fredga, R. Liseau and D. Dravins: Astron. Astrophys. **73**, L4 (1979)
- 278. G.F. Gahm: Ap. J. 242, L163 (1980)
- 279. E.J. Gaidos: P.A.S.P. 110, 1259 (1998)
- 280. H.-P. Gail and E. Sedlmayr: Astron. Astrophys. 206, 153 (1988)
- 281. D. Galli and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 417, 220 (1993)
- 282. D. Galli and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 417, 243 (1993)
- 283. C.F. Gammie: Ap. J. 457, 355 (1996)
- 284. G. Garay, J.M. Moran and M.J. Reid: Ap. J. 314, 535 (1987)
- 285. C.D. Garmany and R.E. Stencel: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 94, 211 (1992)
- 286. G. Garmire, E.D. Feigelson, P. Broos, L.A. Hillenbrand et al.: A. J. **120**, 1426 (2000)
- 287. J.E. Gaustad: The Opacity of Diffuse Cosmic Matter and the Early Stages of Star Formation. (Ph.D. Thesis, 1962)
- 288. J.E. Gaustad: Ap. J. **138**, 1050 (1963)
- 289. R. Genzel and J. Stutzki: A.R.A.A. 27, 41 (1989)
- 290. R. Genzel: Physical Conditions and Heating/Cooling Processes in High Mass Star Formation Regions. In: *The Physics of Star Formation and Early Stellar Evolution*, ed by C. Lada, N.D. Kylafis (NATO ASI series C, Vol. 342, Kluwer, 1991), p. 155

- 291. P.A. Gerakines D.C.B. Whittet: Planetary and Space Science 43, 1325 (1995)
- 292. H. Gerola and A.E. Glassgold: Ap. J. Suppl. 37, 1 (1978)
- 293. K.V. Getman, E.D. Feigelson, L. Townsley, J. Bally et al.: Ap. J. 575, 354 (2002)
- 294. A.M. Ghez, D.W. McCarthy, J.L. Patience and T.L. Beck: Ap. J. 481, 378 (1997)
- 295. J. Gillis, R.M. Crutcher and R. Rao: M.N.R.A.S. 187, 311 (1979)
- 296. J.M. Girart, R.M. Crutcher and R. Rao: Ap. J. 525, L109 (1999)
- 297. J.M. Girart, S. Curiel, L.F. Rodríguez et al.: A. J. 127, 2969 (2004)
- 298. A.E. Glassgold, J. Najita and J. Igea: Ap. J. 480, 344 (1997)
- 299. A.E. Glassgold, E.D. Feigelson and T. Montmerle: Effects of Energetic Radiation in YSOs. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 429–56
- 300. P. Goldreich and S, Sridhar: Ap. J. 438, 763 (1995)
- 301. P.F. Goldsmith and R. Arquilla: Rotation in Dark Clouds. In: Protostars and Planets II, ed by D.J. Black and M.S. Mathews (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1985) p. 137–49
- 302. A. Gomez de Castro and R.E. Pudritz: Ap. J. 395, 501 (1992)
- 303. M. Gomez, L. Hartmann, S.J. Kenyon and R. Hewett: A. J. 105, 1927 (1993)
- 304. A.A. Goodman: Mapping Magnetic Fields in the ISM: Infrared and Sub-mm Polarimetry. In *The Physics and Chemistry of Interstellar Molecular Clouds*, ed by G. Winnewisser and G.C. Pelz (Lecture Notes in Physics, Volume 459, Springer-Verlag, 1995) p. 82
- 305. A.A. Goodman, T.J. Jones, E.A. Lada and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 448, 748 (1995)
- 306. J. Goodman and H. Ji: J. Fluid Mech, 462, 365 (2002)
- 307. M.A. Gordon and W.B. Burton: Ap. J. 208, 346 (1976)
- 308. P. Ghosh and F.K. Lamb: Ap. J. 232, 259 (1979)
- 309. J.N. Goswami and H.A.T. Vanhala: Extinct Radionuclides and the Origin of the Solar System. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 963–94
- 310. J.N. Goswami, K.K. Marhas and S. Sahijpal: Ap. J. 549, 1151 (2001)
- 311. R.J. Gould: Ap. J. 140, 638 (1964)
- 312. D. Gouliermis, M. Kontizas, E. Kontizas and R. Korakitis: Astron. Astrophys. 405, 111 (2003)
- 313. J.S. Greaves, W.S. Holland, G. Moriarty-Schieven at al.: Ap. J. 506, L133 (1998)
- D.F. Gray: The Observation and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres, 2nd edn (Cambridge Astrophysics Series 20, Cambridge, UK, 1992)
- 315. I.A. Grenier: Astron. Astrophys. 364, L93 (2000)
- 316. N. Grevesse, A. Noels and A.J. Sauval: Streamers, Coronal Loops and Coronal and Solar Wind Composition. In: ESA, Proceedings of the First SOHO Workshop (SEE N93-31343 12-92), p. 305–8 (1992)
- 317. N.W. Griffith and C. Jordan: Ap. J. 497, 883 (1998)
- 318. L. Grossman and J.W. Larimer: Rev. Geophys. Space Phys. 12, 71 (1974)
- 319. N. Grosso, T. Montmerle, E.D. Feigelson, P. André et al.: Nature 387, 56 (1997)
- 320. N. Grosso, T. Montmerle, S. Bontemps, P. André and E.D. Feigelson: Astron. Astrophys. **359**, 113 (2000)
- 321. M. Guedel, E.F. Guinan and S.L. Skinner: Ap. J. 483, 947 (1997)

- 322. P. Guillout, M.F. Sterzik, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, C. Motch: Astron. Astrophys. 334, 540 (1998)
- 323. E. Gullbring, L. Hartmann, C. Briceńo and N. Clavet: Ap. J. 492, 323 (1998)
- 324. P. Guthnick: Astronomische Nachrichten 196, 357 (1914)
- 325. H.J. Habing, C. Dominik, M. Jourdain de Muizon et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 365, 545 (2001)
- 326. K.E. Haisch, E.A. Lada and C.J. Lada: A. J. 121, 2065 (2001)
- 327. S.M. Hall, C.J. Clarke and J.E. Pringle: M.N.R.A.S. 278, 303 (1996)
- 328. F.R. Harnden, G. Branduardi, P. Gorenstein, J. Grindlay, R. Rosner, K. Topka, M. Elvis, J.P. Pye and G.S. Vaiana: Ap. J. 234, 55 (1979)
- 329. F.R. Harnden, S.S. Murray, F. Damiani, G. Micela, S. Sciortino: APS Abstracts , 17063 (2002)
- 330. G. Haro: Ap. J. 115, 572 (1952)
- 331. G. Haro: Ap. J. 117, 73 (1953)
- 332. G. Haro, B. Iriarte and E. Chavira: Bol. Obs. Tonantzintla y Tacubaya 8, 3 (1953)
- 333. P. Hartigan, S.J. Kenyon, L. Hartmann and S.E. Strom: Ap. J. 382, 617 (1991)
- 334. P. Hartigan, S. Strom, S. Edwards, S. Kenyon, L. Hartmann, J. Stauffer and A. Welty: Ap. J. 382, 617 (1991)
- 335. P. Hartigan, K.M. Strom and S.E. Strom: Ap. J. 427, 961 (1994)
- 336. P. Hartigan, J. Bally, B. Reipurth and J.A. Morse: Shock Structures and Momentum Transfer in HH-Jets. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 841– 66
- 337. L. Hartmann and K.B. MacGregor: Ap. J. 259, 180 (1982)
- 338. L. Hartmann, R. Hewett, S. Stahler and R.D. Mathieu: Ap. J. 309, 275 (1986)
- 339. L. Hartmann and J.R. Stauffer: A. J. 97/3, 873 (1989)
- 340. L. Hartmann, J.R. Stauffer, S.J. Kenyon and B.F. Jones: A. J. 101, 1050 (1991)
- 341. L. Hartmann, R. Hewet and N. Clavet: Ap. J. 426, 669 (1994)
- 342. L. Hartmann and N. Clavet: A. J. 109, 1846 (1995)
- 343. L. Hartmann, N. Clavet, E. Gullbring and D'Alessio: Ap. J. 495, 385 (1998)
- 344. L. Hartmann: Accretion Processes in Star Formation, 2nd edn (Cambridge Astrophysics Series 32, Cambridge, UK, 2000)
- 345. L. Hartmann: Ap. J. **121**, 1030 (2001)
- 346. L. Hartmann: Ap. J. 578, 914 (2002)
- 347. L. Hartmann, K. Hinkle aand N. Clavet: Ap. J. 609, 906 (2004)
- 348. D.W.A. Harvey, D.J. Wilner, C.J. Lada, P,C, Myers, J.F. Alves and H. Chen: Ap. J. 563, 903 (2001)
- 349. C.B. Haselgrove and F. Hoyle: M.N.R.A.S. 116, 527 (1956)
- 350. P.H. Hauschildt, F. Allard and E. Baron: Ap. J. 512, 377 (1999)
- 351. P.H. Hauschildt, D.K. Lowenthal and E.Baron: Ap. J. Suppl. 134, 323 (2001)
- 352. P.H. Hauschildt: in The Limiting Effects of Dust in Brown Dwarf Model Atmospheres (http://www.uni-hamburg.de/ stcd101/PAPERS/, 2001)
- 353. J.F. Hawley, C.F. Gammie and S.A. Balbus: Ap. J. 440, 742 (1995)
- 354. J.F. Hawley: Ap. J. **528**, 452 (2000)
- 355. C. Hayashi: P.A.S.P. 13, 450 (1991)
- 356. C. Hayashi: P.A.S.P. 17, 199 (1995)
- 357. C. Hayashi: A.R.A.A. 4, 171 (1966)

- 358. C. Heiles, A.A. Goodman, C.F. McKee and E.G. Zweibel: Magnetic fields in Star-forming Regions - Observations. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 279–326
- 359. M. Heinemann and S. Olbert: J. of Geograph. Research 83, 2457 (1978)
- 360. A. Heithausen: Astron. Astrophys. 349, L53 (1999)
- 361. A. Heithausen, F. Bertoldi and F. Bensch: Astron. Astrophys. 383, 591 (2002)
- 362. J. Heise, A.C. Brinkman, J. Schrijver, R. Mewe et al.: Ap. J. 202, L73 (1975)
- C. Helling: in Role of Molecular Opacities in Circumstellar Dust Shells (Ph.D. Thesis, Berlin, 1999)
- 364. C. Helling, J.M. Winters and E. Sedlmayr: Astron. Astrophys. 358, 651, (2000)
- 365. R. Henriksen, P. Andre and S. Bontemps: Astron. Astrophys. 323, 549 (1997)
- 366. L.G. Henyey, R. LeLevier and R.D. Leveé: P.A.S.P. 67, 154 (1955)
- 367. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. 114, 697 (1951)
- 368. G.H. Herbig: J. Roy. Astr. Soc. Canada 46, 222 (1952)
- 369. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. 119, 483 (1954)
- 370. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. 128, 259 (1957)
- 371. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. Suppl. 4, 337 (1960)
- 372. G.H. Herbig: Adv. Astr. Astrophys. 1 47 (1962)
- 373. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. **135**, 736 (1962)
- 374. G.H. Herbig and L.V. Kuhi: Ap. J. 137, 398 (1963)
- 375. G.H. Herbig: Vistas in Astronomy 8, 109 (1966)
- 376. G.H. Herbig and N. Rao: Ap. J. 174, 401 (1972)
- 377. G.H. Herbig and N. Rao: Ap. J. 214, 747 (1977)
- 378. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. **217**, 693 (1977)
- 379. G.H. Herbig: In Problems of Physics and Evolution of the Universe, ed L.V. Mirzoyan (Yerevan: Acad. Sci. Armenian SSR, 1978), p. 171
- 380. G.H. Herbig and D.M. Trendrup: Ap. J. 307, 609 (1986)
- 381. G.H. Herbig, F.J. Vrba and A.E. Rydgren: A. J. 91, 575 (1986)
- 382. G.H. Herbig and K.R. Bell: Third Catalog of Emission-Line Stars of the Orion Population, Lick Observatory Bulletin No. 1111 (1988)
- 383. G.H. Herbig: Ap. J. 497, 736 (1998)
- 384. G.H. Herbig: Physics of Star Formation in Galaxies, 1st edn (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2002) p. 1–7
- 385. G.H. Herbig, P.P. Petrov and R. Duemmler: Ap. J. 595, 384 (2003)
- 386. E. Herbst and W. Klemperer: Ap. J. 185, 505 (1973)
- 387. W. Herbst and R. Racine: A. J. 81, 840 (1976)
- 388. E. Herbst: Ap. J. **241**, 197 (1980)
- 389. W. Herbst and D.P. Miller: A. J. 87, 1478 (1982)
- 390. E. Herbst and C.M. Leung: Ap. J. Suppl. 69, 271 (1989)
- 391. E. Herbst: Large Molecules in the Interstellar Medium.In: The Diffuse Interstellar Bands ed by A.G.G.M. Tielens and T.P. Snow (Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 202., Kluwer, 1995) p. 307
- 392. W. Herbst, C.A. Bailer-Jones and R. Mundt: Ap. J. 554, 197 (2001)
- 393. W. Herbst, C.M. Hamilton, F.J. Vrba: P.A.S.P. 114, 1167 (2002)
- 394. J.J. Hester, R. Gilmozzi, C.R. O'dell et al.: Ap. J. 369, L75 (1991)
- 395. J.J. Hester, P.A. Scowen, R. Sankrit et al.: A. J. 111, 2349 (1996)
- 396. L. Hillenbrand, S.E. Strom, F.J. Vrba and J. Keene: Ap. J. 397, 613 (1992)
- 397. L.A. Hillenbrand: A. J. 113, 1733 (1997)
- 398. L. Hillenbrand and L. Hartmann: Ap. J. 492, 540 (1997)
- 399. L. Hillenbrand, S.E. Strom, N. Calvet et al.: A. J. 116, 1816 (1998)
- 400. L. Hillenbrand and J.M. Carpenter: Ap. J. 540, 236 (2000)
- 401. L. Hillenbrand: Young Circumstellar Disks and Their Evolution. In: Origins 2002: The Heavy Element Trail from Galaxies to Habitable Wolds, ed by C.E. Woodward and E.P. Smith (ASP Conference Series 2003), in press
- 402. S. Hirosi, Y. Uchida, S. Kazunari and R. Matsumoto: P.A.S.P. 49, 193 (1997)
- 403. D.J. Hollenbach, D. Johnstone and F. Shu: Photoevaporation of Disks around Massive Stars and Ultracompact HII Regions. In: *Massive Stars: Their Lives* in the Interstellar Medium, ed by J.P. Casssinelli and E.B. Churchwell (ASP Conference Series 35, 1993), p. 26–34
- 404. D.J. Hollenbach, D. Johnstone, S. Lizano and F. Shu: Ap. J. 428, 654 (1994)
- 405. D.J. Hollenbach and A.G.G.M. Tielens: A.R.A.A. 35, 179 (1997)
- 406. D.J. Hollenbach and A.G.G.M. Tielens: Reviews of Modern Physics 71, 173 (1999)
- 407. D.J. Hollenbach, H.W. Yorke and D. Johnstone: Disk Dispersal around Young Stars. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 401–28
- 408. D. Hollenbach: Photoevaporating Disks Around Young Stars. In: Cores, Disks, Jets and Outflows in Low and High Mass Star Froming Environments (WWW presentations: http://www.ism.ucalgary.ca/meetings/banff/index.html)
- 409. J.V. Hollweg: Ap. J. 306, 730
- 410. G.P. Horedt: Astron. Astrophys. 110, 209 (1982)
- 411. E.H. Holt and R.E. Haskell: Plasma Dynamics (Macmillan, New York, 1965)
- 412. M. Houde, P. Bastien, J.L. Dotson et al.: Ap. J. 569, 803 (2002)
- 413. I.D. Howarth and R.K. Prinja: Ap. J. Suppl. 69, 527 (1989)
- 414. E. Hubble: Ap. J. **64**, 321 (1926)
- 415. D.P. Huenemoerder, W.A. Lawson and E.D. Feigelson: M.N.R.A.S. 271, 967 (1994)
- 416. D.P. Huenemoerder, C.R. Canizares and N.S. Schulz: Ap. J. 559, 1135 (2001)
- 417. D.P. Huenemoerder, C.R. Canizares, J.J. Drake and J. Sanz-Forcada:Ap. J. 595, 1131 (2003)
- 418. W.F. Huebner, A. Merts, N.H. Magee and M.F. Argo: Los Alamos Sci. Lab. Rep. LA-6760-M
- 419. C. Hunter: Ap. J. 218, 834 (1977)
- 420. I. Iben: Ap. J. **141**, 993 (1965)
- 421. I.J. Iben and R.J. Talbot: Ap. J. 144, 968 (1966)
- 422. K. Imanishi, M. Tsujimoto and K. Koyama: Ap. J. 563, 361 (2001)
- 423. K. Imanishi, K. Koyama and Y. Tsuboi: Ap. J. 557, 747 (2001)
- 424. K. Imanishi, H. Nakajima, M. Tsujimoto, K. Koyama and Y. Tsuboi: P.A.S.J. **55**, 653 (2003)
- 425. C.L. Imhoff and M.S. Giampapa: Ap. J. 239, L115 (1980)
- 426. H. Isobe, T. Yokoyama, M. Shimojo, T. Morimoto, H. Kozu, S. Eto, N. Narukage and K. Shibata: Ap. J. **566**, 528 (2002)
- 427. G.R. Ivanov: M.N.R.A.S. 257, 119 (1992)
- 428. R. Jayawardhana, S. Fisher, L. Hartmann et al.: Ap. J. 503, L79 (1998)
- 429. J.H. Jeans: Astronomy and Cosmogony (The University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1928)
- 430. E.P. Jenkins and B.D. Savage: Ap. J. 187, 243 (1974)
- 431. Z. Jiang, Y. Yao, J. Yang et al.: Ap. J. 596, 1064 (2003)

- 432. J. Jijina, P.C. Myers and F.C. Adams: Ap. J. Suppl. 125, 161 (1999)
- 433. H.L. Johnson and W.W. Morgan: Ap. J. 114, p 522 (1951)
- 434. K.E. Johnson and H.A. Kobulnicky: Ap. J. 597, 1064 (2003)
- 435. R.M. Johnstone and M.V. Penston: M.N.R.A.S. 227, 797 (1987)
- 436. D. Johnstone, D. Hollenbach and J. Bally: Ap. J. **499**, 758 (1998)
- 437. C.M. Johns-Krull, J.A. Valenti and C. Koresko: Ap. J. **516**, 900 (1999)
- 438. C.M. Johns-Krull and A.D. Gafford: Ap. J. 573, 685 (2002)
- 439. B.F. Jones and G.H. Herbig: A. J. 84, 1872 (1979)
- 440. H.J. Jones, T. Lee, H.C. Connolly, S.G. Love and H. Shang: Formation of Chondrules and CAIs: Theory vs. Observation. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 927–62
- 441. A.H. Joy: P.A.S.P. 54, 17 (1942)
- 442. A.H. Joy: Ap. J. 102, 168 (1945)
- 443. A. Juett, N.S. Schulz and D. Chakrabarty: Ap. J. 612 308 (2004)
- 444. P.S. Julienne, M. Krauss and B. Donn: Ap. J. 170, 65 (1971)
- 445. M. Jura and S.G. Kleinmann: Ap. J. **341**, 359 (1989)
- 446. M. Jura and S.G. Kleinmann: Ap. J. Suppl. 73, 769 (1990)
- 447. J.S. Kaastra: An X-Ray Spectral Code for Optically Thin Plasmas. (Internal SRON-Leiden Report, version 2.0, Leiden, 1992)
- 448. J.S. Kaastra, R. Mewe and H. Nieuwenhuijzen: UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasmas, ed by K. Yamashita and T. Watanabe (Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, 1996) p. 411
- 449. S.M. Kahn, M.A. Lautenegger, J. Cottam, G. Rauw et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 365, L312 (2001)
- 450. P. Kalas, J. Larwood, B.A. Smith and A. Schultz: Ap. J. 530, L133 (2000)
- 451. J.O. Kane, D.D. Ryutov, B.A. Remington, S.G. Glendinning, M. Pound and D. Arnett: B.A.A.S. 33, 883 (2001)
- 452. I. Kant: Allgemeine Naturgeschichte und Theorie des Himmels, 3rd edn, 1st edn 1755 (Verlag DEUTSCH (HARRI), Oswalds Klassiker der exakten Wissenschaften, 1999)
- 453. J.H. Kastner, B. Zuckerman, D.A. Weintraub and T. Forveille: Science 277, 67 (1997)
- 454. J.H. Kastner: Imaging Science in Astronomy. In: Encyclopedia of Imaging Science and Technology, ed J.P. Hornak (Wiley, New York, 2 Volume Set, 2002) p. 682–96
- 455. J.H. Kastner, D.P. Huenemoerder, N.S. Schulz, C.R. Canizares and D.A. Weintraub: Ap. J. 567, 434 (2002)
- 456. J.H. Kastner, D.P. Huenemoerder, N.S. Schulz, C.R. Canizares, J. Li and D.A. Weintraub: Ap. J. 605, 49 (2004)
- 457. J.H. Kastner, M. Richmond, N. Grosso et al.: Nature 430, 429 (2004)
- 458. S.J. Kenyon and L. Hartmann: Ap. J. 323, 714 (1987)
- 459. S.J. Kenyon, M. Gomez, R.O. Marzke and L. Hartmann: A. J. 108, 251 (1994)
- 460. S.J. Kenyon and L. Hartmann: Ap. J. Suppl. 101, 117 (1995)
- 461. S.J. Kenyon and B.C. Bromley: Ap. J. 577, L35 (2002)
- 462. S.J. Kenyon and B.C. Bromley: A. J. 127, 513 (2004)
- 463. F.J. Kerr: Star Formation and the Galaxy. In: Star Formation (Dortrecht, D. Reidel Publishing Vol. 75, 1977) p. 3–19
- 464. N.V. Kharchenko, L.K. Pakulyak and A.E. Piskunov: VizieR Online Data Catalog 808, 291 (2003)

- 465. M. Kiguchi, S. Narita, S.M. Miyama and C. Hayashi: A. J. 317, 830 (1987)
- 466. S. Kim, P.G. martin and P.D. Hendry: Ap. J. 422, 164 (1994)
- 467. Y. Kim and P. Demarque: Ap. J. 457, 340 (1996)
- 468. G.K. Kirchhoff and R. Bunsen: Annalen der Physik und der Chemie ed. J.C. Poggendorff (Heidelberg, Vol. 110, 1860) p. 161–189
- 469. L.L. Kitchatinov: Astron. Rep. 45, 816 (2001)
- 470. R.S. Klessen, F. Heitsch and M. Mac Low: A. J. 535, 887 (2000)
- 471. R.S. Klessen: Ap. J. 556, 837 (2001)
- 472. R.S. Klessen and A. Burkert: Ap. J. 549, 386 (2001)
- 473. R.S. Klessen: Star Formation in Turbulent Interstellar Gas (Astroph 0301381, 2003)
- 474. R.S. Klessen: private communication
- 475. R. Köhler and C.H. Leinert: Astron. Astrophys. 331, 977 (1998)
- 476. A. Koenigl: Ap. J. **370**, L39 (1991)
- 477. A. Koenigl and R.E. Pudritz: Disk Winds and the Accretion-Outflow Connection. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 759–87 (2000)
- 478. D.W. Koerner, A.I. Sargent and N.A. Ostroff: Ap. J. 560, L181 (2002)
- 479. M. Kohno, K. Koyama and K. Hamaguchi: Ap. J. 567, 423 (2002)
- 480. C.D. Koresko: Ap. J. 507, 145 (1998)
- 481. J.B. Kortright and S.-K. Kim: Phys. Rev. B 62, 12216 (2000)
- 482. K. Koyama, K. Hamaguchi, S. Ueno, N. Kobayashi and E.D. Feigelson: P.A.S.J. 48, L87 (1996)
- 483. R.P. Kraft: Stellar Rotation. In: Spectroscopic Astrophysics. An Assessment of the Contributions of Otto Struve ed G.H. Herbig (University of California Press, Berkeley, 1970) p. 385
- 484. R.H. Kraichnan: Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1677 (1979)
- 485. R. Krasnopolsky and A. Königl: Ap. J. 580, 987 (2002)
- 486. W.L. Kraushaar, G.W. Clark and G.P. Garmire: Ap. J. 177, 31 (1972)
- 487. J.E. Krist, K.R. Stapelfeldt, F. Ménard, D.L. Padgett and C.J. Burrows: Ap. J. **538**, 793 (2000)
- 488. L.E. Kristensen, M. Gustafsson, D. Field et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 412, 727 (2003)
- 489. W.H.-M. Ku and G.A. Chanan: Ap. J. 234, 59 (1979)
- 490. M. Küker and G. Rüdiger: Astron. Astrophys. 346, 922 (1999)
- 491. M. Kun: Ap. & S.S. **125**, 13 (1986)
- 492. M. Kun, J.G. Balazs and I. Toth: Ap. & S.S. 134, 211 (1987)
- 493. M. Kun and L. Pasztor: Ap. & S.S. 174, 13 (1986)
- 494. R.L. Kurucs: Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrofis. 23, 181 (1992)
- 495. R.L. Kurucs: In Peculiar versus Normal Phenomena in A-type and Related Stars ed M. Dworetsky, F. Castelli, and R. Faraggiana (ASP Conf. Ser. 44, San Francisco, 1993) p. 87
- 496. C.J. Lada and B.A. Wilking: Ap. J. 287, 610 (1984)
- 497. C.J. Lada: A.R.A.A. 23, 267 (1985)
- 498. C.J. Lada: Star Forming Regions. In: Proceedings of the Symposium Tokyo, Japan, (A87-45601 20-90), (D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1987) p. 1– 17
- 499. C.J. Lada, J. Bally and A.A. Stark: Ap. J. 368, 432 (1991)

- 500. C.J. Lada and E.A. Lada: The Nature, Origin and Evolution of Embedded Star Clusters. In: *The Formation and Evolution of Star Clusters* (ASP Conf. Ser. 13, 1991)
- 501. E.A. Lada: Ap. J. 393, L25 (1992)
- 502. C.J. Lada, J. Alves and E.A. Lada: A. J. 111, L25 (1996)
- 503. C.J. Lada, A.A. Muench, K.E. Haisch, E.A. Lada et al.: A. J. 120, 3162 (2000)
- 504. C.J. Lada and E.A. Lada: A.R.A.A. 41, 57 (2003)
- 505. A.-M. Lagrange, D.E. Backman and P. Artymowicz: Planetary Material around MS Stars. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 639–72
- 506. H.J.L.M. Lamers and J.P. Cassinelli: *Introduction to Stellar Winds*, 1st edn (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999)
- 507. E. Landi, M. Landini, K.P. Dere and P.R. Young: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 135, 339 (1999)
- 508. M. Landini and B.C. Monsignori Fossi: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 7, 291 (1972)
- 509. K.R. Lang: Astrophysical Formulae, 2nd edn (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1992)
- 510. W.D. Langer, E.F. van Dishoeck, E.A. Bergin et al: Chemical Evolution of Protostellar Matter. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 29–57
- 511. P. Laques and J.L. Vidal: Astron. Astrophys. 73, 97 (1979)
- 512. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. 145, 271 (1969)
- 513. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. 145, 297 (1969)
- 514. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. **194**, 809 (1981)
- 515. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. **184**, 69 (1978)
- 516. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. **190**, 321 (1980)
- 517. R.B. Larson: M.N.R.A.S. **214**, 379 (1985)
- 518. R.B. Larson: The Stellar Initial Mass Function and Beyond. In: Galactic Star Formation Across the Stellar Mass Spectrum, ed by J.M. de Buizer and N.S. van der Bliek (ASP Conference Series, Vol 287, 2003), p. 65–80
- 519. G. Laughlin and P. Bodenheimer: Ap. J. **436**, 335 (1994)
- 520. O.P. Lay, J.E. Carlstrom and R.E. Hills: Ap. J. 452, L73 (1995)
- 521. A. Lazarian, A.A. Goodman and P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 490, 273 (1997)
- 522. T. Lee, F.H. Shu, H. Shang, A.E. Glassgold and K.E. Rehm: Ap. J. 506, 898 (1998)
- 523. B. Lefloch, J. Cernicharo, D. Cesarsky, K. Demyk and L.F. Rodriguez: Astron. Astrophys. 368, L13 (2001)
- 524. B. Lefloch, J. Cernicharo, L.F. Rodredguez, M.A. Miville-Descheane, D. Cesarsky and A. Heras: Ap. J. 581, 335 (2002)
- 525. D. Leisawitz. F.N. Bash and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. Suppl. 70, 731 (1989)
- 526. C. Leitherer: The Stellar Initial Mass Function. (ASP Conf. Ser. 142, 1998), p. 61
- 527. J. Léorat, T. Passot and A. Pouquet: M.N.R.A.S. 243, 293 (1990)
- 528. D. Li, P.F. Goldsmith. K. Menten: Ap. J. 587, 262 (2003)
- 529. D.A. Liedahl: The X-ray Spectral Properties of Photoionized Plasmas and Transient Plasmas. In: X-ray Spectroscopy in Astrophysics ed by J. van Paradijs and J.A.M. Bleeker (Lecture Notes in Physics 520, Springer, 1999), p. 189–266
- 530. D.N.C. Lin and J. Papaloizou: M.N.R.A.S. 191, 37 (1980)
- 531. J.L. Linsky, M. Gagne and A. Mytyk: X-Rays from Young Stars and Eggs in the Eagle Nebula (M16) (IAU Symposium, Sydney, 2003) p. 207

- 532. J.J. Lissauer and R. Stewart: Growth of Planets from Planetesimals. In: Protostars and Planets III, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 1061–88
- 533. Y. Lithwick and P. Goldreich: Ap. J. 562, 279 (2001)
- 534. M.S. Longair: Continuum Processes in X-ray and γ-Ray Astronomy. In: X-ray Spectroscopy in Astrophysics ed by J. van Paradijs and J.A.M. Bleeker (Lecture Notes in Physics 520, Springer, 1999), p. 1–106
- 535. L.W. Looney, L.G. Mundy and W.J. Welch: Ap. J. 484, 157 (1997)
- 536. L.W. Looney: Unveiling the Envelope and Disk: a Sub-Arcsecond Survey of Young Stellar Systems, PhD Thesis (University of Maryland, Source DAI-B 60/03, 1999) p. 1125–248
- 537. L.W. Looney, L.G. Mundy and W.J. Welch: Ap. J. 529, 477 (2000)
- 538. P.W. Lucas and P.F. Roche: M.N.R.A.S. 314, 858 (2000)
- 539. L.B. Lucy and R.L. White: Ap. J. 241, 300 (1980)
- 540. K.L. Luhman and G.H. Rieke: Ap. J. 525, 440 (1999)
- 541. K.L. Luhman: Ap. J. 544, 1044 (2000)
- 542. K.L. Luhman, G.H. Rieke, E.T. Young, A.S. Cotera et al.: Ap. J. 540, 1016 (2000)
- 543. D. Lynden-Bell and J.E. Pringle: M.N.R.A.S. 168, 603 (1974)
- 544. M. Mac Low: Ap. J. 524, 169 (1999)
- 545. M. Mac Low and R.S. Klessen: Control of Star Formation by Supersonic Turbulence (Astroph-0301093, 2003)
- 546. R.J. Maddalena and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. 294, 231 (1985)
- 547. L. Magnani, L. Blitz and L. Mundy: Ap. J. 295, 402 (1985)
- 548. L. Magnani, J. Caillault, A. Buchalter and C.A. Beichman: Ap. J. Suppl. 96, 159 (1995)
- 549. D. Mardones, P.C. Myers, M. Tafalla, D.J. Wilner, R. Bachiller and G. Garay: Ap. J. **489**, 719 (1997)
- 550. J.A. Markiel and J.H. Thomas: Ap. J. 523, 827 (1999)
- 551. L.A. Marschall, G.B. Karshner and N.F. Comins: A. J. 99, 1536 (1991)
- 552. E.L. Martin and M. Kun: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 116, 467 (1996)
- 553. H. Masunaga and S. Inutsuka: Ap. J. 531, 350 (2000)
- 554. J.S. Mathis, W. Rumpl and K.M. Nordsieck: Ap. J. 217, 425 (1977)
- 555. R. Mathieu: A.R.A.A. **32**, 465 (1994)
- 556. R.D. Mathieu, A.M. Ghez, W.N. Jensen and M. Simon: Young Binary Stars and Associated. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 703–30
- 557. J.S. Mathis: Ap. J. 308, 281 (1986)
- 558. J.S. Mathis: A.R.A.A. 28, 37 (1990)
- 559. T. Matsumoto, T. Hanawa and F. Nakamura: Ap. J. 478, 569 (1997)
- 560. G. Matt, S. and R.E. Pudritz: Ap. J. 607, L43 (2004)
- 561. H.I. Matthews: Astron. Astrophys. 75, 345 (1979)
- 562. C.W. Mauche and P, Gorenstein: Ap. J. 302, 371 (1986)
- P. Mazzotta, G. Mazzitelli, S. Colafrancesco and N. Vittorio: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 133, 403 (1998)
- 564. M.J. McCaughrean and J.R. Stauffer: A. J. 108, 1382 (1994)
- 565. M.J. McCaughrean and C.R. O'Dell: A. J. 111, 1977 (1996)
- 566. C.F. McKee and E.G. Zweibel: Ap. J. **399**, 551 (1992)

- 567. C.F. McKee, E.G. Zweibel, A.A. Goodman and C. Heiles: Magnetic Fields in Star-Forming Regions - Theory *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 327–66
- 568. K.D. McKeegan, M. Chaussidon and F. Robert: Evidence for the In Situ Decay of 10Be in an Allende CAI and Implications for Short-lived Radioactivity in the Early Solar System. 31st Annual Lunar and Planetary Science Conference no. 1999 (2000)
- 569. J.W. McNeil: IAU Circ. 8284
- 570. A. McWilliams, G.W. Preston, C. Sneden and C. Searle: A. J. 109, 2757 (1995)
- 571. P. Meakin and B. Donn : Ap. J. 329, L39 (1988)
- 572. S.T. Megeath, L.E. Allen, R.A. Gutemuth et al.: Ap. J. Suppl. 154, 367 (2004)
- 573. D.L. Meier, S. Edgington, P. Godon, D.G. Payne and K.R. Lindt: Nature **388**, 350 (1997)
- 574. E.E. Mendoza: Ap. J. 143, 1010 (1966)
- 575. L. Mestel: M.N.R.A.S. 138, 359 (1968)
- 576. L. Mestel and R.B. Paris: Astron. Astrophys. 136, 98, (1984)
- 577. L. Mestel: Angular Momentum Loss During PMS Contraction. In: 3rd Cambridge Workshop of Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun, ed by S.L. Baliunas and L. Hartmann (Lecture Notes is Physics, 193, Springer, 1984), p. 49
- 578. L. Mestel: Stellar Magnetism (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1999)
- 579. R. Mewe: Sol. Phys. 22, 459 (1972)
- 580. R. Mewe and J. Schrijver: Astron. Astrophys. 65, 99 (1978)
- 581. R. Mewe, J.S. Kaastra, C.J. Schrijver and G.H.J. van den Oord: Astron. Astrophys. 296, 477 (1995)
- 582. R. Mewe: Atomic Physics of Hot Plasmas. In: X-Ray Spectroscopy in Astrophysics ed by J. van Paradijs and J.A.M. Bleeker (Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 520, Springer, 1999), p. 109–82
- 583. D. Mihalas: Stellar Atmospheres (W.H. Freeman Publishing, San Francisco, 1978)
- 584. R. Millan-Gabet, F.P. Schloerb and W.A. Traub: Ap. J. 546, 358 (2001)
- 585. A.F.J. Moffat, M.F. Corcoran, I.R. Stevens et al.: Ap. J. 573, 191 (2002)
- 586. A. Moitinho, J. Alves, N. Huélamo and C.J. Lada: Ap. J. 563, L73 (2001)
- 587. B. Montesinos, J.H. Thomas, P. Ventura and I. Mazzitelli: M.N.R.A.S. 326, 877 (2001)
- 588. T. Montmerle, L. Koch-Miramond, E. Falgarone and J.E. Grindlay: Ap. J. 269, 182 (1983)
- 589. T. Montmerle, N. Grosso, Y. Tsuboi and K. Koyama: Ap. J. 532, 1097 (2000)
- 590. T. Montmerle: The Role of Accretion Disks in the Coronal Activity of Young Stars. In: Stellar Coronae in the CHANDRA and XMM-Netwon Era, ed by J. Drake and F. Favata (Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, 2002), p. 173–84
- 591. T. Montmerle: New Astronomy Reviews 46/8-10, 573 (2002)
- 592. H.W. Moos, W.C. Cash and L.L. Cowie: Ap. J. 538, 1 (2000)
- 593. G. Morfill, H. Spruit and E.H. Levy: Physical Processes and Condensations Associated with the Formation of Protoplanetary Disks. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 939–78
- 594. W.W. Morgan, P.C. Keenan and E. Kellman: An atlas of stellar spectra, with an outline of spectral classification, (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill, 1943)

- 595. R. Morrison and D. McCammon: Ap. J. 270, 119 (1983)
- 596. D.L. Moss: M.N.R.A.S. 161, 225 (1973)
- 597. D.L. Moss: Astron. Astrophys. 305, 140 (1996)
- 598. D.L. Moss: Astron. Astrophys. 403, 693 (2003)
- 599. T.C. Mouschovias and L. Spitzer: Ap. J. 237, 877 (1976)
- 600. T.C. Mouschovias and E.V. Paleologou: Ap. J. 237, 877 (1980)
- 601. G. Münch: Ap. J. **125**, 42 (1957)
- 602. A.A. Muench, E.A. Lada, C.J. Lada and J. Alves: Ap. J. **573**, 366 (2002)
- 603. R. Mundt: Highly Collimated Mass Outflows from Young Stars. In: Protostars and Planets II, ed by D.J. Black and M.S. Mathews (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1985) p. 414–33
- 604. R. Mundt and T.P. Ray: Optical Outflows from Herbig Ae/Be Stars and other High Luminosity Stellar Objects. In: *The Nature and Evolutionary Status of Herbig Ae/Be Stars*, ed by P.S. The, M.R. Perez, and E. van den Heuvel (ASP Conference Series 62, San Francisco, 1994), p. 237
- 605. L.G. Mundy, L.W. Looney and W.J. Welch: The Structure and Evolution of Envelopes and Disks in YSOs. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 355– 76
- 606. R.J. Murphy, R. Ramaty, D.V. Reames and B. Kozlovsky: Ap. J. **371**, 793 (1991)
- 607. J. Muzerolle, N. Clavet, C. Bricenõ, L. Hartmann and L. Hillenbrand: Ap. J. 535, L47 (2000)
- 608. J. Muzerolle, C. Briceño, N. Calvet, L. Hartmann: Ap. J. 545, L141 (2000)
- 609. J. Muzerolle, L. Hillenbrand, N. Calvet, C. Briceño and L. Hartmann: Ap. J. 592, 266 (2003)
- 610. P.C. Myers, R. Linke and P.J. Benson: Ap. J. 264, 517 (1983)
- 611. P.C. Myers, G.A. Fuller, A.A. Goodman and P.J. Benson: Ap. J. **376**, 561 (1991)
- 612. P.C. Myers, A.A. Goodman, R. Güsten and C. Heiles: Ap. J. 442, 177 (1995)
- 613. P.C. Myers, D. Mardones, M. Tafalla, J.P. Williams and D.J. Wilner: Ap. J. 465, L133 (1996)
- 614. P.C. Myers: Ap. J. 496, L109 (1998)
- 615. P.C. Myers, F.C. Adams, H. Chen and E. SchaffL Ap. J. 492, 703 (1998)
- 616. H. Nakajima, K. Imanishi, S. Takagi, K. Koyama and M. Tsujimoto: P.A.S.J. 55, 635 (2003)
- 617. F. Nakamura, T. Matsumoto, T. Hanawa and K. Tomisaka: Ap. J. 510, 274 (1999)
- 618. F. Nakamura: Ap. J. 543, 291 (2000)
- 619. M. Nakano, Y. Tomita, H. Ohtani, K. Ogura and Y. Sofue: P.A.S.J. 41, 1073 (1989)
- 620. H. Nakano, A. Kouchi, S. Tachibana and A. Tsuchiyama: Ap. J. **592**, 1252 (2003)
- 621. A. Natta, F. Palla, H.M. Butner et al.: Ap. J. 406, 674 (1993)
- 622. A. Natta: Star Formation. In: Infrared Space Astronomy, Today and Tomorrow, ed by F. Casoli, J. Lequeuz, and F. David (NATA Advanced Study Institute, Springer Berlin, Paris, 2000) p. 251–85
- 623. A. Natta, V.P. Grinin and V. Mannings: Properties and Evolution of Disks around PMS stars and Intermediate Stars. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 559–87

- 624. A. Natta, T. Prusti, R.Neri et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 371, 186 (2001)
- 625. J.U. Ness, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, M. Audard, M. Guedel and R. Mewe: Astron. Astrophys. 407, 347 (2003)
- 626. J.U. Ness, N.S. Brickhouse, J.J. Drake and D.P. Huenemoerder: Ap. J. 598, 1277 (2003)
- 627. R. Neuhäuser, M.F. Sterzik and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: ROSAT Survey Observation of T Tauri Stars in Taurus. In: *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun* (ASP Conf. Ser. 64, 1994), p. 113
- 628. R. Neuhäuser, M.F. Sterzik, G. Torres and E.L. Martin: Astron. Astrophys. 299, 13 (1995)
- 629. R. Neuhäuser, M.F. Sterzik, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, R. Wichmann and J. Kautter: Astron. Astrophys. 297, 391 (1995)
- 630. R. Neuhäuser: Reviews of Modern Astronomy 12, 27 (1999)
- 631. R. Neuhäuser, C. Briceño, F. Comerón, T. Hearty et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 343, 883 (1999)
- 632. C.A. Norman and S. Ikeuchi: Ap. J. 345, 372 (1989)
- 633. J. North: The Fontan History of Astronomy and Cosmology, 1st edn (Fontana Press, London 1994)
- 634. D.E.A. Nürnberger, L. Bronfman, H.W. Yorke and H. Zinnecker: Astron. Astrophys. 394, 252 (2002)
- 635. C.R. O'dell: Science 259, 33 (1993)
- 636. C.R. O'dell and Z. Wen: Ap. J. 436, 194 (1994)
- 637. C.R. O'dell and K. Wong: A. J. 111, 846 (1996)
- 638. C.R. O'dell: A. J. **122**, 2662 (2001)
- 639. S. Ogino, K. Tomisaka and F. Nakamura: P.A.S.P. 51, 635 (1999)
- 640. N. Ohashi, M. Hayashi, P.T.P. Ho, M. Momose, M. Tamura, N. Hirano and A.I. Sargent: Ap. J. 488, 317 (1997)
- 641. T. Onishi, A. Mizuno, A. Kawamura et al.: Ap. J. 502, 758 (1998)
- 642. J.H. Oort and L. Spitzer: Ap. J. 121, 6 (1955)
- 643. V. Ossenkopf: Astron. Astrophys. 280, 617 (1993)
- 644. V. Ossenkopf and T. Henning: Astron. Astrophys. textbf291, 943 (1994)
- 645. M. Osterloh and S.V.W. Beckwith: Ap. J. 439, 288 (1995)
- 646. E.C. Ostriker and F.H. Shu: Ap. J. 447, 813 (1995)
- 647. R. Ouyed and R.E. Pudritz: Ap. J. 482, 712 (1997)
- 648. R. Ouyed and R.E. Pudritz: Ap. J. 484, 794 (1997)
- 649. R. Ouyed and R.E. Pudritz: M.N.R.A.S. 309, 233 (1999)
- 650. J.W. Overbeck: Ap. J. 141, 864 (1965)
- 651. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. 360, L47 (1990)
- 652. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. **392**, 667 (1992)
- 653. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. **418**, 414 (1993)
- 654. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. 525, 772 (1999)
- 655. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. **540**, 255 (2000)
- 656. F. Palla: *Physics of Star Formation in Galaxies*, 1st edn (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 2002) p. 9–128
- 657. F. Palla and S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. 581, 1194 (2002)
- 658. F. Palla: Star Formation. In: 13th Workshop on Cool Stars ed by J.H.M.M. Schmitt and F. Favata (Kluwer, Hamburg, 2004) in press
- 659. R. Pallavicini, L. Golub, R. Rosner, G. Vaiana et al.: Ap. J. 248, 279 (1981)
- 660. V. Pankonin, C.M. Walmsley and M. Harwit: Astron. Astrophys. 75, 34 (1979)

- 661. J.C. Papaloizou and G.J. Savonije: M.N.R.A.S. 248, 353 (1991)
- 662. B.-G. park, H. Sung, M.S. Bessell and Y.H. Kang: A. J. 120, 894 (2000)
- 663. E.N. Parker: Ap. J. 122, 293, 1955
- 664. E.N. Parker: Phys. Rev. 133, 830 (1957)
- 665. E.N. Parker: Ap. J. Suppl. 8, 177 (1963)
- 666. E.N. Parker: Ap. J. **145**, 811 (1966)
- 667. E.N. Parker: Ap. J. 408, 707, 1993
- 668. N.A. Patel, P.F. Goldsmith, R.L. Snell, T. Hezel and T. Xie: Ap. J. 447, 721 (1995)
- 669. N.A. Patel, P.F. Goldsmith, H.M. Heyer, R.L. Snell and P. Pratap: Ap. J. 507, 241 (1998)
- 670. M. Peimbert: Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 395, 24 (1982)
- 671. G. Pelletier and R.E. Prudritz: Ap. J. 394, 117 (1992)
- 672. M.V. Penston: M.N.R.A.S. 144, 425 (1969)
- 673. P. Persi, M. Roth, M. Tapia, M. Ferrari-Toniolo and A.R. Marenzi: Astron. Astrophys. **282**, 474 (1994)
- 674. M.G. Petr, V. Coude Du Foresto, S.V.W. Beckwith, A. Richichi and M.J. McCaughrean: Ap. J. **500**, 825 (1998)
- 675. P.P. Petrov: Ap.S.S. **169**, 61 (1990)
- 676. H.E. Petschek: Magnetic Field Annihilation. In: *The Physics of Solar Flares* ed by W.E. Hess (NASA, Science and Technical Information Division, Greenbelt, MA, 1964) p. 425
- 677. P.P. Parenago: VizieR On-line Data Catalog II/171 (1997)
- 678. F. Paresce: Absorption and emission of EUV radiation by the local ISM. In: Local Interstellar Medium(NASA, GSFC, No. 81, Greenbelt, MD, 1984) p. 169– 84
- 679. R.L. Plambeck, M.C.H. Wright and R. Rao: Ap. J. 594, 911 (2003)
- 680. J.B. Pollack, D. Hollenbach, S. Beckwith et al.: Ap. J. 421, 615 (1994)
- 681. D. Porquet and J. Dubau: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 143, 495 (2000)
- 682. A. Porras, M. Christopher, L. Allen and J. Di Francesco: A. J. 126, 1916 (2003)
- 683. S.R. Pottasch: Bull. Astr. Neth. 13, 77 (1956)
- 684. S.R. Pottasch: Ap. J. 137, 945 (1963)
- 685. A.K. Pradhan and J.M. Shull: Ap. J. 249, 821 (1981)
- 686. S.S. Prasad: Dynamical Conditions of Dense Clumps in Dark Clouds: a Strategy for Elucidation. In: *Fragmentation of Molecular Clouds and Star Formation* (IAU Symp. 147, Grenoble, France, 1991) p. 93
- 687. S.H. Pravdo, E.D. Feigelson, G. Garmire, Y. Maeda et al.: Nature 413, 708 (2002)
- 688. P. Predehl and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: Astron. Astrophys. 283, 88 (1995)
- 689. T. Preibisch, H. Zinnecker and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: Astron. Astrophys. 279, L33 (1993)
- 690. T. Preibisch and H. Zinnecker: Röntgenstrahlung from Herbig Ae/Be Stars. In: *Röntgenstrahlung from the Universe*, ed by H.U. Zimmermann, J. Trümper and H. Yorke (MPE Report 263, Garching, 1995), p. 17–20
- 691. T. Preibisch, H. Zinnecker and G.H. Herbig: Astron. Astrophys. 310, 456 (1996)
- 692. T. Preibisch, R. Neuhäuser and T. Stanke: Astron. Astrophys. 338, 923 (1998)
- 693. T. Preibisch, Y. Balega, K. Hofmann, G. Weigelt and H. Zinnecker: New Astronomy 4, 531 (1999)

- 694. T. Preibisch and H. Zinnecker: A. J. 122, 866 (2001)
- 695. T. Preibisch and H. Zinnecker: A. J. 123, 1613 (2002)
- 696. T. Preibisch, Y.Y. Balega, D. Schertl and G. Weigelt: Astron. Astrophys. 392, 945 (2002)
- 697. T. Preibisch, A.G.A. Brown, T. Bridges, E. Guenther and H. Zinnecker: A. J. 124 404 (2002)
- 698. T. Preibisch: Astron. Astrophys. 401, 543 (2003)
- 699. D. Prialnik: An Introduction to the Theory of Stellar Structure and Evolution, 1st edn (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000)
- 700. E.R. Priest: Solar Magneto-hydrodynamics (Dordrecht, Holland ; Boston : D. Reidel Pub. Co. ; Hingham, 1982)
- 701. E. Priest and T. Forbes: *Magnetic Reconnection: MHD Theory and Application* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000)
- 702. R.E. Pudritz and C.A. Norman: Ap. J. 301, 571 (1986)
- 703. D. Queloz, S. Allain, J.-C. Mermilliod, J. Bouvier and M. Mayor: Astron. Astrophys. 335, 183 (1998)
- 704. G. Racca, M. Gómez and S.J. Kenyon: A. J. 124, 2178 (2002)
- 705. S. Randich, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, C.R. Prosser and J.R. Stauffer: Astron. Astrophys. 305, 785 (1996)
- 706. R. Rao, R.M. Crutcher, R.L. Plambeck and M.C.H. Wright: Ap. J. 502, L75 (1998)
- 707. J.C. Raymond and B.W. Smith : Ap. J. Suppl. 35, 419 (1977)
- 708. W.T. Reach, J. Rho, E. Young et al.: Ap. J. Suppl. 154, 385 (2004)
- 709. P. Reich and W. Reich: NCSA Astronomy Digital Image Library (ADIL-PR-01R, 1995)
- 710. E.C. Reifenstein, T.L. Wilson, B.F. Burke, P.G. Mezger and W.J. Altenhoff: Astron. Astrophys. 4, 357 (1970)
- 711. B. Reipurth: Astron. Astrophys. 117, 183 (1983)
- 712. B. Reipurth: Astron. Astrophys. 220, 249 (1989)
- 713. B. Reipurth: Astron. Astrophys. 267, 439 (1993)
- 714. B. Reipurth: A General Catalog of Herbig-Haro Objects, (electronically published via anonymous ftp to ftp.hq.eso.org, directory /pubs/Catalogs/Herbig-Haro, 1994)
- 715. B. Reipurth: Rev. Mex. A. A. 1, 43 (1995)
- 716. B.Reipurth and H. Zinnecker: Astron. Astrophys. 278, 81 (1993)
- 717. B. Reipurth, L. Hartmann, S.J. Kenyon, A. Smette and P. Bouchet: A. J. 124, 2194 (2002)
- 718. B. Reipurth and C. Aspin: Ap. J. 606, L119 (2004)
- 719. J. Rho, M.F. Corcoran, Y.-H. Chu and W.T. Reach: Ap. J. 562, 446 (2001)
- 720. J. Rho, S.Ramirez, M.F. Corocoran, K. Hamaguchi and B. Lefloch: Ap. J. 607 904 (2004)
- 721. J.S. Richer, D.S. Shepherd, S. Cabrit, R. Bachiller and E. Churchwell: Molecular Outflows From YSOs.In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 867–94
- 722. S. Richling and H.W. Yorke: Astron. Astrophys. 327, 317 (1997)
- 723. S. Richling and H.W. Yorke: Communications of the Konkoly Observatory Hungary 103, 103 (2003)
- 724. M.S. Roberts and M.P. Haynes: Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 32, 115 (1994)
- 725. J.B. Rogerson and D.G. York: Ap. J. 186, 95 (1973)

- 726. M.M. Romanova, G.V. Ustyugova, A.V. Koldoba, V. M. Chechetkin and R.V.E. Lovelace: Ap. J. 482, 708 (1997)
- 727. H. Rosenberg: Astronomische Nachrichten 193, 357 (1913)
- 728. R. Rosner, W.H. Tucker and G.S. Vaiana: Ap. J. 220, 643 (1978)
- 729. D.P. Rowse and I.W. Roxburgh: Solar Phys. 74, 165 (1981)
- 730. S.S. Russell, G. Srinivasan, G.R. Huss, G.J. Wasserburg and G.J. MacPherson: Science 273, 757 (1996)
- 731. R.E. Rutledge, G. Basri, E.L. Martín and L. Bildsten: Ap. J. 538, L141 (2000)
- G.B. Rybicki and A.P. Lightman: Radiative Processes in Astrophysics (Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, 1979)
- 733. A.E. Rydgen, S.E. Strom and K.M. Strom: Ap. J. Suppl. 30, 307 (1976)
- 734. S.H. Saar and J.L. Linsky: Ap. J. 299, L47 (1985)
- 735. S.H. Saar: New Infrared Measurements of Magnetic Fields on Cool Stars In: *Infrared Solar Physics* ed. D. M. Rabin (IAU Symp. 154, Kluwer, Dortrecht, 1994) p. 493
- 736. L.J. Sage: Astron. Astrophys. 272, 173 (1993)
- 737. K. Saigo and T. Hanawa: Astron. Astrophys. 493, 342 (1998)
- 738. E.E. Salpeter: Ap. J. **121**, 161 (1955)
- 739. A. Sandage: The Hubble Atlas of Galaxies (Washington, Carnegie Inst., Washington, 1961)
- 740. A. Sandage: *The Carnegie Atlas of Galaxies* (Washington, Carnegie Inst., Washington, 1994)
- 741. D.B. Sanders, N.Z. Scoville, J.S. Young, B.T. Soifer, F.P. Schloerb, W.L. Rice and G.E. Danielson: Ap. J. 305, L45 (1986)
- 742. T. Sano, S.M. Miyama, T. Umebayashi and T. Nakano: Ap. J. 543, 486 (2000)
- 743. P. Saraceno, P. André, C. Ceccarelli, M. Griffin and S. Molinari: Astron. Astrophys. 309, 827 (1996)
- 744. A.I. Sargent and S.V.W. Beckwith: Ap. J. 382, L31 (1991)
- 745. B.D. Savage and E.P. Jenkins: Ap. J. **172**, 491 (1972)
- 746. B.D. Savage and J.S. Mathis: A.R.A.A. 17, 73 (1979)
- 747. J. Scalo: Perception of interstellar structure Facing complexity. In: Physical Processes in Fragmentation and Star Formation(ASSL Vol. 162, 1990) p. 151– 76
- 748. E. Schatzman: Ann. Astrophys. 25, 18 (1962)
- 749. D.A. Schleuning: Ap. J. **493**, 811 (1998)
- 750. J.T. Schmelz, J.L.R. Saba and K.T. Strong: Ap. J. 398, L115 (1992)
- 751. G. Schmidt: *Physics of High Temperature Plasmas* (Academic Press, London, 1966)
- 752. J.H.M.M. Schmitt, P. Kahabka, J.R. Stauffer and A.J.M. Piters: Astron. Astrophys. 277, 114 (1993)
- 753. G. Schneider, B.A. Smith, E.E. Becklin et al.: Ap. J. 513, L127 (1999)
- 754. D. Schoenberner and P. Harmanec: Astron. Astrophys. 294, 509 (1995)
- 755. C.J. Schrijver and C. Zwaan: *Solar and Stellar Magnetic Activity* (University of Cambridge Press, Cambridge, 2000)
- 756. A. Schulz, R. Lenzen, T. Schmidt and K. Proetel: Astron. Astrophys. 95, 94 (1981)
- 757. A. Schulz, R. Guesten, R. Zylka and E. Serabyn: Astron. Astrophys. 246, 570 (1991)
- 758. A. Schulz, C. Henkel, U. Beckmann et al.: Astron. Astrophys. 295, 183 (1995)

- 759. N.S. Schulz, T.W. Berghoefer and H. Zinnecker: Astron. Astrophys. 325, 1001 (1997)
- 760. N.S. Schulz and J.H. Kastner: X-Ray Emission from Distant Stellar Clusters. In: *The Hot Universe* ed by K. Koyama, S. Kitamoto, M. Itoh (IAU Symp. 188, Kyoto, 1998) p. 220-1
- 761. N.S. Schulz, C.R. Canizares, D.P. Huenemoerder, J.H. Kastner, S.C. Taylor and E.J. Bergstrom: Ap. J. 549, 441 (2001)
- 762. N.S. Schulz, C.R. Canizares, J.C. Lee and M. Sako: Ap. J. 564, L21 (2001)
- 763. N.S. Schulz, C.R. Canizares, D.P. Huenemoerder and K. Tibbets: Ap. J. 595, 365 (2003)
- 764. N.S. Schulz: Rev.Mex.A.A. 15, 220 (2003)
- 765. K. Schwarzschild, B. Meyermann, A. Kohlschütter and O. Birck: Astronomische Mitteilungen der Universitäts-Sternwarte zu Göttingen, 14, 1 (1910)
- 766. R.D. Schwartz: Ap. J. 195, 631 (1975)
- 767. R.D. Schwartz, B.A. Wilking and A.L. Giulbudagian: Ap. J. 370, 263 (1991)
- 768. M. Schwarzschild: Structure and Evolution of the Stars (Dover Publications INC., New York, 1958)
- 769. E.H. Scott and D.C. Black: Ap. J. 239, 166 (1980)
- 770. N.Z. Scoville, M.S. Yun, D.B. Sanders, D.P. Clemens and W.H. Waller: Ap. J. Suppl. 63, 821 (1987)
- 771. N.Z. Scoville, D.B. Sanders and D.P. Clemens: Ap. J. 310, L77 (1986)
- 772. N.Z. Scoville, M. Polletta, S. Ewald et al.: A. J. 122, 3917 (2001)
- 773. D. Semenov, D. Wiebe and T. Henning: Astron. Astrophys. 417, 93 (2004)
- 774. N.I Shakura and R.A. Sunyaev: Astron. Astrophys. 24, 337 (1973)
- 775. K. Shibata and T. Yokoyama: Ap. J. 526, L49 (1999)
- 776. K. Shibata and T. Yokoyama: Ap. J. 577, 422 (2002)
- 777. F.H. Shu: Ap. J. **214**, 488 (1977)
- 778. F.H. Shu and S. Terebey: The Formation of Cool Stars from Cloud Cores. In: Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun (LNP Vol. 193, 1984) p. 78
- 779. F.H. Shu, F.C. Adams and S. Lizano: A.R.A.A. 25, 23 (1987)
- 780. F.H. Shu, S. Lizano, S.P. Ruden and J. Najita: Ap. J. 328, L19 (1988)
- F.H. Shu: The Physics of Astrophysics: Volume II: Gas Dynamics (University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, 1992)
- 782. F.H. Shu, J. Najita, E. Ostriker, F. Wilkin, S.P. Ruden and S. Lizano: Ap. J. 429, 781 (1994)
- 783. F.H. Shu and Z. Li: Ap. J. 475, 251 (1997)
- 784. F.H. Shu, H. Shang, A.E. Glassgold and T. Lee: Science 277, 1475 (1997)
- 785. F.H. Shu, H. Shang, M. Gounelle, A.E. Glassgold and T. Lee: Ap. J. 548, 1029 (2001)
- 786. F.H. Shull and S. Beckwith: A.R.A.A. 20, 163 (1982)
- 787. J.M. Shull: Hot Phases of the ISM: Stellar Winds, Supernovae and Turbulent Mixing Layers. In: Massive Stars: Their Lives in the Interstellar Medium ed by J.P. Cassinelli, E.B. Churchwell (ASP Conference Series, Vol. 35, San Francisco, CA, 1993) p. 327–37
- 788. F.D. Seward, W.R. Forman, R. Giaconni, R.E. Griffith, F.R. Harnden jr., C. Jones and F.P. Pye: Ap. J. 234, 55 (1979)
- 789. J. Silber, T. Gledhill, G. Duchêne and F. Ménard: Ap. J. 536, L89
- 790. M. Simon, A.M. Ghez and C. Leinert: Ap. J. 443, 625 (1995)
- 791. M. Simon and L. Prato: Ap. J. 450, 824 (1995)

- 792. S.C.I. Simonson: Ap. J. **154**, 923 (1968)
- 793. S.L. Skinner, A. Brown and R.T. Stewart: Ap. J. Suppl. 87, 217 (1993)
- 794. S.L. Skinner, M. Gagne and E. Belzer: Ap. J. 598, 375 (2003)
- 795. M.F. Skrutskie, D. Dutkevitch, S.E. Strom et al. 1990: A. J. 99, 1187 (1990)
- 796. R.K. Smith, N.S. Brickhouse, D.A. Liedahl and J.C. Raymond: Ap. J. 556, L91 (2001)
- 797. D.R. Soderblom, J.R. Stauffer, K.B. MacGregor and B.F. Jones: A. J. 105, 2299 (1993)
- 798. P.M. Solomon, A.R. Rivolo, J. Barrett and A. Yahil: Ap. J. 319, 730 (1987)
- 799. C. Spangler, A.I. Sargent, M.D. Silverstone, E.E. Becklin and B. Zuckerman: Ap. J. 555, 932 (2001)
- 800. L. Spitzer: Physics of Fully Ionized Gases (Interscience, New York, 1962)
- 801. L. Spitzer and E.B. Jenkins: A.R.A.A. 13, 133 (1975)
- L. Spitzer: *Physical Processes in the Interstellar Medium* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, 1978)
- 803. S.W. Stahler, F.H. Shu and R.E. Taam: Ap. J. 241, 637 (1980)
- 804. S.W. Stahler, F.H. Shu and R.E. Taam: Ap. J. 242, 226 (1980)
- 805. S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. 268, 165 (1983)
- 806. S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. **274**, 822 (1983)
- 807. S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. 281, 209 (1984)
- 808. S.W. Stahler: Ap. J. **332**, 804 (1988)
- 809. S.W. Stahler and F.M. Walter: Pre-Main-Sequence Evolution and The Birth Population *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 405-28
- 810. K.R. Stapelfeldt, C.J. Burrows, J.E. Krist, et al.: Ap. J. 508, 736 (1998)
- 811. J.R. Stauffer, L. Hartmann, D.R. Soderblom and N. Burnham: Ap. J. 280, 202 (1984)
- 812. J. Stebbins: Science 41, 809 (1915)
- 813. F.W. Stecker: Gamma-rays, Cosmic Rays and Galactic Structure. In: The Structure and Content of the Galaxy and Galactic Gamma Rays (GSFC Report X-662-76-154, 1976) p. 3
- 814. B. Stelzer and R. Neuhäuser: Astron. Astrophys. 377, 538 (2001)
- M.F. Sterzik, J.M. Alcala, R. Neuhäuser and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: Astron. Astrophys. 297, 418 (1995)
- 816. M.F. Sterzik and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: A. J. 114, 1673 (1997)
- 817. M.F. Sterzik and J.H.M.M. Schmitt: Cool Young Stars in the Solar Neighborhood. In: *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun* (ASP Conf. Ser. 154, San Francisco, CA, 1998) p. 1339
- 818. P.C. Stine, E.D. Feigelson, P. André and T. Montmerle: A. J. 96, 1394 (1988)
- 819. H. Störzer and D. Hollenbach: Ap. J. 515, 659 (1999)
- 820. J.M. Stone, E.C. Ostriker and C.F. Gammie: Ap. J. 508, L99 (1998)
- 821. S.E. Strom, K.M. Strom, J. Yost, L. Carasco and G.I. Grasdalen: Ap. J. 173, 353 (1972)
- 822. K.M. Strom, S.E. Strom, S. Edwards, S. Cabrit and M.F. Skrutskie: A. J. 97, 1451 (1989))
- 823. S.E. Strom, S. Edwards and M.F. Skrutskie: Evolutionary Time Scales for Circumstellar Disks Associated with Intermediate- and Solar-type Stars. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 837–66

- 824. K.M. Strom and S.E. Strom: Ap. J. 424, 237 (1994)
- 825. P.A. Sweet: Proceedings of the IAU Symposium on Electromagnetic Phenomena in Cosmic Physics (No. 6, Stockholm, 1956) p. 126
- 826. K. Tachihara, A. Mizuno and Y. Fukui: Ap. J. 528, 817 (2000)
- 827. M. Tafalla, P.C. Myers, P. Caselli, C.M. Walmsley and C. Comito: Ap. J. 569, 815 (2002)
- 828. J.C. Tan: Ap. J. 536, 173 (2000)
- 829. J.C. Tan: Theories of Massive Star Formation: Collisions, Accretion and the View from the "I" of Orion. In: *Galactic Star Formation Across the Stellar Mass Spectrum* (ASP Conf. Ser. 287, San Francisco, CA, 2003) p. 207–18
- 830. M. Tapia, P. Persi and M. Roth: Astron. Astrophys. 316, 102 (1996)
- 831. S. Terebey, F.H. Shu and P. Cassen: Ap. J. 286, 529 (1984)
- 832. S. Terebey, D. van Buren, D.L. Padgett, T. Hancock and M. Brundage: Ap. J. 507, 71 (1998)
- 833. P.S. The, D. de Winter and M.R. Perez: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 104, 315 (1994)
- A. Thorne, U. Litzén and S. Johannson: Spectrophysic, 1st edn (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1999)
- 835. R.P.J. Tilanus and R.J. Allen: Large Scale Dissociation of Molecular Gas in the Sprial Arms of M51. In: *Star Formation in Galaxies* ed by C. J. Lonsdale Persson (NASA Conf. Pub. 2466, 1987) p. 309
- 836. K. Tomisaka, Ikeuchi and T. Nakamura: Ap. J. 326, 208 (1988)
- 837. K. Tomisaka, S. Ikeuchi and T. Nakamura: Ap. J. 341, 220 (1989)
- 838. K. Tomisaka: P.A.S.P. 48, 701 (1996)
- 839. K. Tomisaka: Ap. J. 502, 163 (1998)
- 840. K. Tomisaka: Ap. J. 575, 306 (2002)
- 841. G. Torres, R. Neuhäuser and E.W. Guenther: A. J. 123, 1701 (2002)
- 842. N.F.H. Tothill, G.J. White, H.E. Matthews, W.H. McCutcheon, M.J. Mc-Caughrean and M.A. Kenworthy: Ap. J. 580, 285 (2002)
- 843. L.K. Townsley, E.D. Feigelson, T. Montmerle, P.S. Broos, Y. Chu and G.P. Garmire: Ap. J. 593, 874 (2003)
- 844. V. Trimble: S&T 99/1, p. 50 (2000)
- 845. J. Trümper: Adv. Space Res. 2(4), 241 (1983)
- 846. W.M. Tscharnuter: Numerical Studies of Cloud Collapse. In: *The Physics of Star Formation and Early Stellar Evolution* ed by C.J. Lada and N.D. Kyaflis (NATO ASIC Proc. 342, Dortrecht, 1991), p. 411
- 847. Y. Tsuboi, K. Koyama, H. Murakami, M. Hayashi et al.: Ap. J. 503, 894 (1998)
- 848. Y. Tsuboi and K. Koyama: X-ray Study of Class I Protostars with ASCA. (Japanese-German Workshop on High Energy Astrophysics, MPE Report, Garching, 1999), p. 3
- 849. Y. Tsuboi, K. Hamaguchi, K. Koyama and S. Yamauchi: Astronomische Nachrichten 320, 175 (1999)
- 850. Y. Tsuboi, K. Imanishi, K. Koyama, N. Grosso and T. Montmerle: Ap. J. 532, 1089 (2000)
- 851. Y. Tsuboi, K. Koyama, K. Hamaguchi, K. Tatematsu et al.: Ap. J. 554, 734 (2001)
- 852. Y. Tsuboi, Y. Maeda, E.D. Feigelson, G.P. Garmire: Ap. J. 587, L51 (2003)
- 853. M. Tsujimoto, K. Koyama, Y. Tsuboi, M. Goto and N. Kobayashi: Ap. J. 566, 974 (2002)

- 854. J.L. Turner and S.C. Beck: An Introverted Starburst: Gas and SSC Formation in NGC5253. ed by P.-A. Duc, J. Braine and E. Brinks (IAU Symp. 217, ASP, San Francisco, CA, 2004), p. 208
- 855. A. Ud-Doula and S. Owocki: Ap. J. 576, 413 (2002)
- 856. T. Ueta and M. Meixner: Ap. J. 586, 1334 (2003)
- 857. H. Ungerechts and P. Thaddeus: Ap. J. Suppl. 63, 645 (1987)
- 858. A. Unsöld and B. Baschek: *Der Neue Kosmos*, 7th edn (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2001)
- 859. G.V. Ustyugova, A.V. Koldoba, M.M. Romanova, V. M. Chechetkin and R.V.E. Lovelace: Ap. J. 439, L39 (1995)
- 860. W.D, Vacca, M.C. Cushing and T. Simon: Ap. J. 609, L29 (2004)
- 861. G.S. Vaiana and R. Rosner: A.R.A.A. 16, 393 (1978)
- 862. J.P. Vallée and P. Bastien: Astron. Astrophys. 313, 255 (1996)
- 863. H.C. van de Hulst: Light Scattering by Small Particles (Wiley, New York, NY, 1957)
- 864. M. van den Ancker, D. de Winter and H.R.E. Tjin A Djie: Astron. Astrophys. 330, 145 (1998)
- 865. S. van den Bergh and R.D. McClure: Astron. Astrophys. 88, 360 (1980)
- 866. G.H.J. van den Oord and R. Mewe: Astron. Astrophys. 213, 245 (1989)
- 867. F. van Leeuwen, P. Alphenaar and J.J.M. Meys: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 67, 483 (1983)
- P. Ventura, A. Zeppieri, I. Mazzitelli, F. D'Antona: Astron. Astrophys. 331, 1011 (1997)
- 869. E.P. Velikov: Sov. Phys.-JETP 36, 995 (see also JETP Letters 35, 1398) (1959)
- 870. J.W.S. Vilas-Boas, P.C. Myers and G.A. Fuller: Ap. J. 532, 1038 (2000)
- 871. C.F. von Weizäcker: Ap. J. 114, 165 (1951)
- 872. S.N. Vogel and L.V. Kuhi: Ap. J. 245, 960 (1981)
- 873. Z. Wahhaj, D.W. Koerner, M.E. Ressler et al: Ap. J. 584, L27 (2003)
- 874. N.R. Walborn and R.J. Panek: Ap. J. 286, 718 (1984)
- 875. F.M. Walter: Ap. J. 306, 573 (1986)
- 876. F.M. Walter, A. Brown, R.D. Mathieu, P.C. Myers and F.J. Vrba: A. J. 96, 297 (1988)
- 877. F.M. Walter, F.J. Vrba, R.D. Mathieu, A. Brown and P.C. Myers: A. J. 107, 692 (1994)
- 878. M.F. Walter, J.M. Alcalá, R. Neuhäuser, M. Sterzik and S.J. Wolk: The Low-Mass Stellar Population of the Orion OB1 Association. In: *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 273–98
- 879. W.H. Warren and J.E. Hesser: Ap. J. Suppl. 34, 115 (1977)
- 880. W.H. Warren and J.E. Hesser: Ap. J. Suppl. 34, 207 (1977)
- 881. G.J. Wasserburg, R. Gallino, M. Busso, J.N. Goswami and C.M. Raiteri: Ap. J. 440, L101 (1995)
- 882. L.B.F.N. Waters: The Structure of the Circumstellar Material in Be Stars. In: *Pulsation; Rotation; and Mass Loss in Early-type Stars*, ed by L.A. Balona, H.F. Henrichs and J.M. Contel (IAU symp. 162, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1994) p. 399
- 883. L.B.F.N. Waters and C. Waelkens: A.R.A.A. 36, 233 (1998)
- 884. E.J. Weber and L. Davis Jr.: Ap. J. 148, 217 (1967)
- 885. Web-based catalog only: http://obswww.unige.ch/webda

- 886. R.A. Webb, B. Zuckerman, J.S. Greaves and W.S. Holland: B.A.A.S. 197, 827 (2000)
- 887. S.J. Weidenschilling: Formation Processes and Time Scales for Meteorite Parent Bodies. In: *Meteorites and the Early Solar System* (University of Arizona Press, Tucson, 1988) p. 348–71
- 888. S.J. Weidenschilling and J.N. Cuzzi: Fromation of Planetesimals in the Solar Nebula. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 1031–60
- 889. S.J. Weidenschilling, F. Marzari and L.L. Hood: Science 279, 681 (1998)
- 890. A.J. Weinberger, E.E. Becklin and B. Zuckerman: Ap. J. 584, L33 (2003)
- 891. D.A. Weintraub, A.A. Goodman and R.L. Akeson: Polarized Light from Star-Forming Regions. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press) p. 247–71
- 892. A. Whitworth: M.N.R.A.S. 186, 59 (1979)
- 893. A. Whitworth and D. Summers: M.N.R.A.S. 214, 1 (1985)
- 894. S.M. White, R. Pallavicini and M.R. Kundu: Astron. Astrophys. 259, 149 (1992)
- 895. R. Wichmann, J. Kautter, J.H.M.M. Schmitt, J.M. Alcala, H. Zinnecker, R.M. Wagner, R. Mundt and M.F. Sterzik: Astron. Astrophys. **312**, 439 (1996)
- 896. R. Wichmann, G. Torres, C.H.F. Melo et al: Astron. Astrophys. 359, 181 (2000)
- 897. B.A. Wilking, M.J. Lebofsky, J.C. Kemp and G.H. Rieke: A. J. 84, 199 (1979)
- 898. B.A. Wilking and C.J. Lada: Ap. J. 274, 698 (1983)
- 899. B.A. Wilking, C.J. Lada and E.T. Young: Ap. J. 340, 823 (1989)
- 900. B.A. Wilking, T.P. Greene and M.R. Meyer: A. J. 117, 469 (1999)
- 901. J.P. Williams, R.L. Plambeck and M.H. Heyer: Ap. J. 591, 1025 (2003)
- 902. J.P. Williams, E.J. de Geus and L. Blitz: Ap. J. 428, 693 (1994)
- 903. J.P. Williams, L. Blitz and A.A. Stark: Ap. J. 451, 252 (1995)
- 904. J.P. Williams and C.F. McKee: Ap. J. 476, 166 (1997)
- 905. J.P. Williams, L. Blitz and C.F. McKee: The Structure and Evolution of Molecular Clouds: from Clumps to Cores to the IMF. In: i *Protostars and Planets IV*, ed by V. Manning, A.P. Boss and S.S. Russell (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 2000) p. 97–120
- 906. J. Wilms, A. Allen and R. McCray: Ap. J. 542, 914 (2000)
- 907. D.J. Wilner, P.T.P. Ho, J.H. Kastner and L.F. Rodríguez: Ap. J. 534, L101 (2000)
- 908. D.J. Wilner, M.J. Holman, M.J. Kuchner and P.T.P. Ho: Ap. J. 569, L115 (2002)
- 909. C.D. Wilson, N. Scoville, S.C. Madden and V. Charmandaris: Ap. J. 599, 1049 (2003)
- 910. P.F. Winkler, G.W. Clark, T.H. Markert, K. Kalata, H.W. Schnopper and C.R. Canizares: Ap. J. 246, L27 (1981)
- 911. J.N. Winn, P.M. Garnavich, K.Z. Stanek and D.D. Sasselov: Ap. J. 593, L121 (2003)
- 912. A.N. Witt, R.C. Bohlin and T.P. Stecher: Ap. J. 305, L23 (1986)
- 913. A. Wittmann: Feuer des Lebens–Vom Wunsch, die Sonne verstehen zu können, In: Scheibe, Kugel, Schwarzes Loch, ed Uwe Schultz (Verlag C.H.Beck, München 1990) p. 231-241
- 914. P.S. Wojdowski, D.A. Liedahl, M. Sako, S.M. Kahn and F. Paerels: Ap. J. 582, 959 (2003)

- 915. P.S. Wojdowski and N.S. Schulz: Ap. J. 616, 630 (2004)
- 916. M.J. Wolff, G.C. Clayton, P.G. Martin and R.E. Schulte-Ladbeck: Ap. J. 423, 412 (1994)
- 917. S.J. Wolk and F.M. Walter: A. J. 111, 2066 (1996)
- 918. S. Wolk, B. Spitzbart, T. Bourke and J. Alves: B.A.A.S. 203, 1005 (2003)
- 919. J.A. Wood: Nature 197, 124 (1962)
- 920. D.O.S. Wood and E. Churchwell: Ap. J. Suppl. 69, 831 (1989)
- 921. D. Wooden: 8μm to 13 μm Spectrophotometry of Herbig Ae/Be stars, In: The Nature and Evolutionary Status of Herbig Ae/Be Stars, ed by P.S. Thé, M.R. Pérez, and E.P.J.van den Heuvel (ASP Conf. Ser. 62, San Francisco, 1994), p. 138–39
- 922. A. Wooten, R. Snell and A.E. Glassgold: Ap. J. 234, 876 (1979)
- 923. A. Wootten, A. Sargent, G. Knapp, P.J. Huggins: Ap. J. 269, 147 (1983)
- 924. H.Y. Wu and J. He: Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 115, 283 (1996)
- 925. G. Wuchterl: Astron. Astrophys. 238, 83 (1990)
- 926. G. Wuchterl and W.M. Tscharnuter: Astron. Astrophys. 398, 1081 (2003)
- 927. G. Wuchterl and R.S. Klessen: Ap. J. 540, L185 (2001)
- 928. G. Wuchterl and T.M. Tscharnuter: Astron. Astrophys. 398, 1088 (2003)
- 929. M.C. Wyatt: Ap. J. 598, 1321 (2003)
- 930. T. Yokoyama and K. Shibata: Ap. J. 494, L113 (1998)
- 931. T. Yokoyama and K. Shibata: Ap. J. 549, 1160 (2001)
- 932. H. Yorke, G. Tenorio-Tagle, P. Bodenheimer and M. Rozyczka: Astron. Astrophys. 216, 207 (1989)
- 933. H.W. Yorke, P. Bodenheimer, G. Laughlin: Ap. J. 411, 274 (1993)
- 934. H.W. Yorke, P. Bodenheimer, G. Laughlin: Ap. J. 443, 199 (1995)
- 935. H.W. Yorke and P. Bodenheimer: Ap. J. 525, 330 (1999)
- 936. C.H. Young, Y.L. Shirley, N.J. Evans and J.M.C. Rawlings: Ap. J. Suppl. 145, 111, (2003)
- 937. C.H. Young, J.K. Jorgensen, Y.L. Shirley et al.: Ap. J. 154, 396 (2004)
- 938. F. Yusef-Zadeh, C. Law, M. Wardle, Q.D. Wang, A. Fruscione, C.C. Lang and A. Cotera: Ap. J. 570, 665 (2002)
- 939. Q. Zhang and S.M. Fall: Ap. J. 527, L81 (1999)
- 940. H. Zinnecker, M.J. McCaughrean and B.A. Wilking: The Initial Stellar Population. In: *Protostars and Planets III*, ed by E.H. Levy and J.J. Lunine (Tucson, University of Arizona Press, 1993) p. 429–95
- 941. H. Zinnecker: *Physics of Star Formation in Galaxies*, 1st edn (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 2002) p. 135–225
- 942. M. Zombeck: *Handbook of Space Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 2nd edn (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990)
- 943. B. Zuckerman: Ap. J. 183, 863 (1973)
- 944. B. Zuckerman: A.R.A.A. 39, 549 (2001)

Index

 γ -radiation, 24, 43, 207 ρ Oph cloud see star-forming regions, 226 absorption column density, 43, 44, 46-49, 53, 316, 317 cross section, 67, 308 curve of growth, 43, 315 dust, 52, 53, 56, 66, 67, 94, 152, 309 inner shell edges, 47 Li I, 231 see radiative see interstellar medium see protostellar spectra, 43, 44 X-ray, 186, 200, 201 absorption coefficient, 99, 295, 298, 300, 302-305, 309 absorption processes see radiative abundances deuterium, 117, 118 lithium. 118 see interstellar medium acccretion centrifugal shock, 107, 108 accretion ambipolar diffusion shock, 107, 108 circumstellar, 95, 130 luminosity, 120, 131 phase, 96, 97, 114, 119, 125 rate, 95, 105, 114-117

shock, 114 shocks, 136, 137 spherical, 269 streams, 136, 137 time. 103 accretion disks angular momentum transport, 109, 149atmospheres, 156–158 Balbus–Hawley instability, 154 disk masses, 148 dispersal, 159 flaring, 156-158 formation, 102, 103, 108-110 high mass, 110 instabilities, 153 ionization, 154-156 ionization fraction, 155 ionization rates, 155 Kepler velocity, 149 luminosity, 150, 152 mass flows, 149 mass loss rates, 158 MRI, 154, 291-293 observations, 147 photoevaporation, 159–161 photoevaporation rate, 162 pseudo, 105, 106, 108 radius, 103, 105, 106, 160 SEDs, 152 see protostellar temperature, 150, 151 thin disks, 148

time scales, 150 truncation radius, 171 viscous transport, 150 accretion flows see protostellar accretion rates see protostellar see accretion accretion time see timescales, 269 actiove coronae, 319 active coronae, 26, 177, 178, 187, 191, 192, 202-205 age dynamical, 230 Earth, 18 HR-diagram, 127, 183, 189, 216–218, 254ionization, 61 median, 189, 219 O-stars, 21 PMS stars, 127, 165, 168 protostar, 196, 198 see stellar clusters Sun, 17, 18, 168, 252 YSOs, 126 zero, 96, 125 Alfvén Mach number, 79, 280 Alfvén velocity, 268, 284, 286 Alfvén waves, 284 Alfvén, H., 268 Ambartsumian, V.A., 21 ambipolar diffusion definition, 282 diffusion time, 91 disk formation, 106 drag coefficient, 282 drift velocity, 90, 283 see molecular clouds shock, 107 Ampere's law, 278 André, P., 132 Argelander, F., 11 Aristotle, 8 Balbus, S.A., 153, 291 Balbus-Hawley instability, 149, 291 Bally, J., 226 Barnard, E. E., 17, 33

Beckwith, S., 25 Bernoulli integral see gas flows Bessel, F. W., 10 Bethe, H., 17, 20 Big Bang, 34 binaries active, 187, 192 Algol type, 192 close, 140 coevality, 143 formation, 68, 143, 145 frequency, 107, 142 see HR-diagram see PMS stars separations, 142, 143 binary fragmentation, 144 birthline accretion rate, 126 see HR-diagram stellar mass, 126 Blaauw, A., 21, 234 Bok Globules, 21, 70, 71 Bok, B., 21 bolometric luminosity, 297 Boltzmann Formula, 262 Boltzmann, L., 262 Bonnor, W. B., 87 Bontemps, S., 227 Bouguer, P., 10 Boulanger, F., 310 bound-bound absorption, 300 bound-bound absorption coefficient, 305bound-free absorption coefficient, 302 Brahe, Tycho, 10 brightness see radiation brown dwarfs lithium, 119 low mass limit, 119 see stars Bunsen, R., 12 Camenzind, M., 162 Cannon, A. J., 13 Carnot, S., 18 Carrington, R., 18

Cassini, G., 18

catalytic formation, 40, 307, 308 Chandrasekhar, S., 17, 261, 293 Chandrasekhar–Milne expansion, 272 chondrites see circumstellar disks see Solar Nebula circumstellar disks chondrites. 249 classes. 239 collisional cascades, 244 debris disks, 139, 238, 239, 242, 244, 245dispersal, 159, 206, 238, 239 dust, 240, 242, 244, 245 grain growth, 248 HAEBE Disks, 245 IC 348, 238 in YSOs, 237 ONC, 238 photoevaporation, 159, 160, 162, 240 planet formation, 248, 249 proplyds, 240, 241 protoplanetary disks, 237, 245 SEDs, 238, 243 thermal emission, 132, 135, 136 transition disks, 239, 241 Class 0 sources see protostellar Class 1 sources see protostellar Class II sources see PMS stars Class III sources see PMS stars Clausius, R., 18 clouds cirrus, 69, 70 dynamics, 71 high latitude, 69 peculiar motions, 57 properties, 57 scale-free models, 80 stability, 73 stellar contents, 64 clusters see stellar clusters CO surveys see interstellar medium coevality, 143

collapse accretion phase, 96, 147, 269 adiabatic phase, 96, 268 basic equations, 98 centrifugal radius, 103 characteristic phases, 96, 97, 113, 123, 144 first adiabatic exponent. 99 first adiabatic index, 100 first core phase, 96 fragmentation, 74, 144, 212, 214 free-fall phase, 96, 268 hydrostatic phase, 96 initial conditions, 57, 89, 90, 94-96, 211. 254. 265 inside-out, 95 instabilities, 100 isothermal, 88, 95, 269, 270 low mass object, 95 magnetized clouds, 75-77, 102, 105, 106, 218 MHD problem, 105 non-homologous, 95 opacities, 101 opacity changes, 101, 102 opacity phase, 96 PMF, 103, 166 polytropic index, 100 rotating cloud, 83, 102, 271 secular instability, 101 slowly rotating sphere, 103, 104 stability, 72, 73, 83, 87, 91, 99 turbulence, 80-82, 233 vibrational instability, 101 collapsing ccores pseudo disk, 105 collapsing cores ambipolar diffusion shock, 107, 108 angular velocity, 104 centrifugal shock radius, 107 density, 104 ionization fraction, 105 magnetic braking, 107 magnetic radius, 105 outflows, 109 post-PMF phases, 107 toroidal magnetic fields, 108 torques and turbulence, 108 collapsing sphere

continuity equation, 98 energy conservation, 98 equation of motion, 98 radiated luminosity, 99 collisional ionization see radiative color index, 11, 51 column density see absorption cometary globules, 71 convection, 117 cyclonic velocity, 288 dynamos, 287, 289 eddies, 288 instabilities. 288 mixing length, 290 Rossby number, 290 see protostars turnover time, 290 zones, 288, 290 Copernicus, Nicolaus, 8 coronal activity, 182, 195, 198, 204-206 coronal approximation, 318 coronal diagnostics, 203-205, 318-321 cosmic rays abundances, 44, 46, 47 interstellar, 34, 36, 43 ionization, 84, 87 Cowling's theorem, 288 Cowling, T. G., 288 cross section, 302 CTTS see PMS stars curve of growth, 43, 316, 317 de Laplace, P. S., 14 Debye length, 280, 281 Debye length, electron, 280, 281 DEM distributions see X-rays deuterium see interstellar medium dielectric constant, 280 diffusion approximation, 300 dissociation energy, 308 dissociative recombination, 307 Doppler broadening, 314, 315 Doppler parameter, 316 Doppler width, 313

Draper classification, 21 Drever, J. L. E., 327 dust absorption cross sections, 52 composition, 49 density, 48 depletion, 46 evaporation, 54, 84-86 extinction. 50 fluffiness, 311 formation, 50 grain alignment, 66 grain size, 49, 50, 238, 309, 310 Herbig Ae/Be stars, 25 interplanetary, 49, 50, 249 interstellar, 310 opacities, 101, 132, 133, 298, 301, 309, 311 other galaxies, 56 penetrative properties, 310 pillars, 70 radiative properties, 53, 66, 67 see interstellar medium shape, 49 size, 48, 51 temperature, 36, 132 thermal emission, 24, 60, 66, 67, 94, 129, 132, 135, 140, 152, 153 X-ray scattering halos, 49, 53 dust size distribution, 309 dynamo, 288 alpha, 288, 289, 291 distributed, 290 interface, 289 MHD, 287 Solar, 288 solar. 18 stellar, 171, 177, 178, 287 turbulent, 290 dynamo number, 290 Ebert, R., 87 Eddington, A. S., 17, 20, 261 eddy diffusivity, 288 Einstein coefficients, 304 Einstein, A., 17 electric conductivity, 280 electric drift, 282 electrical resistivity, 279, 280

electron plasma frequency, 280 electron scattering see radiative Emden, R., 17 emission coefficient, 295, 304 emission measure, 318 emission processes see radiative emissions 21 cm line, 39 blackbody, 128, 152, 262 bremsstrahlung, 182, 302 CO emission, 41, 64 coronal, 172, 182, 195 diffuse γ , 43 electronic lines, 24 fluorescence, 53 $H\alpha$, 134, 137, 138, 186 $H_2O, 25$ IR flux, 59 non-thermal, 24, 59 polarized, 67 rotational lines, 24 silicate, 134 thermal, 24, 67, 128–132, 135, 136, 152, 155, 242, 243, 302, 310 UV continuum, 137, 176 vibrational lines, 24 X-ray, 26, 137, 155, 176, 181, 182, 240, 252envelope mass see protostellar equivalent width, 315 ESCs see stellar clusters **Euler's Equation** see gas flows exchange reactions, 307 extinction absorption bands, 53 color excess, 51 column density, 53 laws, 51 PHAs, 53 see dust visual, 51 extinction coefficient, 309 extinction laws, 52

Faraday's equation, 278 Feigelson, E., 184, 187, 252 Forbes, T., 277 Forestini, M., 124 fragmentation see collapse, 57 see interstellar medium, 57 see molecular clouds, 57 Fraunhofer, J., 12 free-free absorption coefficient, 302 free-fall time, 266 see timescales, 268 Friedman, H., 182 FU Orionis stars see protostars Galactic rotation, 42 galaxy classifications, 54 Galilei, Galileo, 10, 18 gas flows acceleration, 265 accretion streams, 175 advection, 265 Bernoulli integral, 270 centrifugal acceleration, 272 continuity, 98, 265 Coriolis acceleration, 272 energy conservation, 266 magnetic Reynolds number, 155 mean thermal speed, 268 momentum conservation, 265 Reynolds number, 153 rotation, 271 sonic radius, 271 speed of sound, 267 steady, 269 gas laws adiabatic exponent, 261, 267 adiabatic index, 260 definitions, 257 equation of state, 260, 261 heat capacities, 260 ideal gas, 257 internal energy, 258 polytropes, 261 specific internal energy, 261 gas sphere accretion, 269 Bonnor-Ebert, 87

density distribution, 265 energy equation, 266 energy flux, 266 isothermal, 261, 264 Jeans Criterion, 17 modified Bonnor-Ebert, 87 non-rotating, 94 pressure, 267 slow rotation, 103 uniform, 17 work performed, 266 Gauss's law, 278 Gaussian profiles, 314 geocentric concept, 8 Glassgold, A. E., 156 globules see molecular clouds Goodman, A. A., 66 Gosh, P., 325 Gould Belt, 37, 183, 185, 208, 247 gravitational drift, 282 gravitational potential non-spherical, 264 spherical, 263 virial theorem, 267 Gray, D. F., 299 H₂ distribution see interstellar medium Halley, E., 10 Hartmann, J., 17, 33 Hartmann, L., 175 Hayashi, C., 122 heliocentric system, 8 Helmholtz, H., 17 Henning, T., 311 Herbig, G.H., 21 Herschel, F.W., 15, 18, 22 Herschel, J., 16, 327 Hertz, H., 22 Hertzsprung, E., 13, 325 HH objects see PMS stars Hillenbrand, L., 245 Hipparcos, 10, 12 Hollenbach, D., 70, 159 HR-diagram binaries, 128, 129, 143, 144 birthline, 124-126

evolutionary tracks, 124 Hayashi (asymptotic) tracks, 122 Hayashi tracks, 123 intermediate mass stars, 142 observations, 127, 129 radiative tracks, 123 see PMS stars see stars see age ZAMS, 124 Hubble, E., 17, 33 Huygens, C., 22 hvdrogen abundance, 44 detection, 39, 58 equilibrium, 40 integrated mass, 54 molecule formation, 58 neutral, 39, 40 hydrogen fusion lifetimes see stars hydrostatic equilibrium, 93, 267, 272 H I distribution see interstellar medium H II regions and molecular clouds, 61-63 density, 36, 84 globules, 71 Gum Nebula, 86 IC 1396, 71, 183, 185, 186, 228 ionization fractions, 84, 85 ionization lifetime, 86 ionization radius, 84 M17, 67, 84 M42, 222 M8, 21, 51 O-star UV photon rate, 84 open clusters, 217 PDRs, 54, 56, 70, 84 photoevaporation, 70, 86 Rosette Nebula, 84, 86 temperature, 36, 53, 84 IC 1396 see H II regions see star-forming regions induction equation, 279, 288 initial mass function

see stellar clusters

instabilities Γ_1 -valleys, 99, 100 Balbus-Hawley, 154, 291 disk, 140, 153 dynamical, 100 gravitational, 71 magnetic, 160, 231, 288 MRI, 154, 291 Parker, 288 rotational, 165 shock, 182, 188, 206 thermal, 150 vibrational, 101 instruments ACIS, 31, 201 alternate beams, 25 bolometer, 25 Cassegrain spectrographs, 25 CCDs, 25, 200, 201 choppers, 25 Coudé spectrographs, 25 Echelle spectrographs, 25 EGRET, 43 filters, 25 grating spectrographs, 25 HETGS, 200 InSb photodiodes, 25 IPAC, 134 ISOCAM, 227, 228 NICMOS, 59, 243 photoelectric detectors, 25 photographic plates, 25 photomultipliers, 11, 25 prism plates, 25 **PSPC**, 31 RGS, 200 SCUBA, 26, 244 slit spectrographs, 25 WFC, 59 WFPC, 0 intensity, 262, 295, 297 intergalactic medium, 35, 273 internal energy, 267 interstellar medium, 17 absorption, 43 abundance, 44, 46 abundance distribution, 47 CO isotopes, 39 CO surveys, 41

composition, 34, 35 deuterium, 45 diffuse γ emission, 43 diffuse H I clouds, 40 dust, 17, 33, 36, 45, 46, 48-50 element depletion, 45 extinction, 51, 297 formation, 34 fragmentation, 73, 74 galaxies, 54 H_2 distribution, 39, 40, 42 H I distribution, 40 lithium abundance, 118 local, 37 mass density, 40 mass distribution, 46 mean density, 36 PDRs, 41, 55 phases, 36 photoionization cross section, 46 physical properties, 38 radiation field, 273 shocks, 78 warm clouds, 40 X-ray absorption, 46 ion-molecule reactions, 307 ionization age, 61 ionization energy, 273 ionization fraction, 273 ionization potentials, 308 isochrones, 127, 128 Jeans Criterion, 17 Jeans length see molecular clouds Jeans mass see molecular clouds Jeans, J., 17 Johnson (JHK) filters, 52 Joule, J. P., 18 Joy, A. H., 21 Kant, Immanuel, 14 Kant–Laplace Hypothesis, 14 Kastner, J., 24 Keenan, P. C., 14 Kelvin-Helmholtz time see timescales Kepler, Johannes, 10

Kirchhoff, G., 12, 13, 262 Klessen, R., 233 Kramers' law, 302 Lada, C., 21, 61 Lamb, F. K., 325 Larmor frequency, 280 Larson, R.B., 17, 94, 96 Lightman, A.P., 299 Lindblad's Ring, 37 lithium see brown dwarfs, 119 lithium burning, 118 Local Bubble, 37, 248 Lorentz force, 281 Lorentz, H. A., 281 luminosity accretion, 120, 121, 131, 150, 152 bolometric, 194, 297 brown dwarfs, 194 collapsing sphere, 99 disk, 152 embedded stars, 224 equilibrium, 297 flares, 192 from D burning, 117, 121 functions, 214 ionized, 69 ionizing, 84, 156 K-band, 214 MS star, 194 PMS star, 122, 188, 189 protostar, 94, 117, 120, 121, 164, 269 see radiation shock, 175 Sun, 298 X-ray, 175, 182, 188, 189, 193 luminosity classes, 14 Lundquist number, 280 M. von Laue, 22 Mach number, 79, 280 Machain, F., 15 magnetic braking, 89, 106 magnetic diffusion, 287 magnetic diffusivity, 279, 280, 286 magnetic diffusivity η , 279 magnetic fields configurations, 170

equipartition field strength, 176 gyro-frequency, 281 Larmor radius, 281 loop length, 182 Lorentz force, 281 magnetic energy, 175 magnetic flux, 279 see magnetic reconnection see molecular clouds see PMS stellar subcritical. 76 supercritical, 76, 77 magnetic permeability, 280 magnetic pressure, 278 magnetic reconnection basic ideas, 284 configurations, 284, 285 cooling time, 177 equipartition fields, 176 flares, 176 heating time, 177 jets, 172 observed phenomena, 288 plasma temperature, 176 rate, 286, 287 reconnection ring, 174 treatments, 284 magnetic tension, 278 massive stars Θ Ori C. 188 X-ray luminosity, 188 disk formation, 109 wind opacities, 207 X-ray luminosity, 188 ZAMS, 190, 194, 206, 208 Mathis, J. S., 36 Maxwell's equation, 277 Maxwell, J., 22, 277 Maxwellian, 273 Maxwellian velocity distribution see plasma Mayer, R., 18 McNeil, J., 197 Mellinger, A., 48 Messier, C., 15 MHD, 277, 278, 291 MHD fluids, 279 MHD waves, 283, 291 mixing length, 117

molecular clouds ρ Oph A, 25 ρ Oph cloud, 196 Jeans length, 233 ambipolar diffusion, 90 angular velocities, 83 BN-KL nebula, 224, 226 clumps, 68 CO surveys, 25 collapse, 17 configurations, 61-63 core densities, 89 core dynamics, 87 cores, 68, 95 dark clouds, 71 dust, 66 Eagle Nebula, 70, 86 EGGs, 70 energy equation, 73 fragmentation, 68 G216-2.5, 69 globules, 70 gravitational energy, 74 interstellar radiation, 65 ionization balance, 274, 275 ionization fractions, 83-86, 273 Jeans length, 88, 233 Jeans mass, 87, 88 L1641, 190 L1688, 228 L1689, 228 L1755, 67 Lagoon Molecular Cloud, 69 line emission, 59 M17, 67, 68 magnetic energy, 75 magnetic fields, 66 magnetic flux, 76 magnetic mass and extinction, 77, 78 magnetic pressure, 75, 77 mass density, 65 mass function, 65, 66 masses, 63 max.stable rotating mass, 81 mean surface density, 74 Monoceros R2, 196 observations, 59 OMC, 67 OMC-1 clump, 224

OMC-2,3, 196, 197 Orion A and B clouds, 222 PDRs, 54, 56, 67, 70 pillars, 70 polarization, 66 pressure balance, 73 properties, 58 R CrA, 197 Rho Oph cloud, 57 Rosette Molecular Cloud, 69 rotating, 81, 272 shapes, 58 size of, 58 thermal continua, 59 total critical mass, 83 Trifid Nebula, 71 turbulent filaments, 233 turbulent support, 80, 82 velocities, 80 molecules catalytic formation, 307 destruction. 307 dissociation energies, 308 dissociative recombination, 307 exchange reactions, 307 formation, 307 partition function, 307 photodissociation, 307 radiative association, 307 rotational energy, 305, 306 symmetric, 306 total energy, 305, 307 vibrational energy, 305 Montmerle, T., 132, 187 Morgan, W. W., 14 Morse potential, 307 Mouschovias, T. C., 76, 107 MRI seeaccretion disks Myers, P. C., 67, 212 natural line broadening, 314 Nernst Theorem, 258 New General Catalog, 16 Newton, Isaac, 10, 12, 22 non-thermal emission see spectra

```
OB associations
```

see stars **OB**-associations see star forming regions objective prism surveys, 21 oblique rotator, 264 Ohmic dissipation, 279, 287 opacities and protostar, 114 and temperature, 301 bound-free absorption, 302 continuum, 302 databases, 307 definition, 298 dust, 56, 94, 101, 132, 298, 309, 310 gas. 298. 307 gaseous matter, 298 HR-diagram, 127 Kramers' law, 302 line, 303, 305 molecular, 305 OP, 101 OPAL, 101 Planck mean, 300 rapid changes, 101 Rosseland mean, 300 scattering, 300–302 see radiative total. 300 UV, 52 optical depth, 301 optical magnitude, 25 Orion see star-forming regions Ossenkopf, V, 311 outflows bipolar, 162 jets, 162, 163 mass loss rates, 158 MHD winds, 162, 163 outflow rate, 164 turbulent, 108 Weber–Davis Model, 164 Palla, F., 87, 127 parallax, 10 Parenago, P., 21 Parker, E., 284, 289 PDRs

see H II regions

see molecular clouds Petschek, H.E., 287 PHAs see extinction photodissociation, 307 see radiative photodissociation rates, 308 photoevaporation see accretion disks see H II regions photoexcitation see radiative photoionization, 308 see radiative Pickering, E. C., 11, 13 Planck, M., 262 plasma Alfvén velocity, 268, 284 collisionally ionized, 318 density, 273 fluid dynamics, 268 ionization fraction, 273 ionization potentials, 274 kinetic energy, 273 magnetic diffusivity, 279 MHD, 278 properties, 273 temperature measure, 274 thermal ionization, 274 velocity distribution, 273 plasmas collisionally ionized, 318 optically thin, 320 Plato, 8 PMS stars AA Tau, 243 binaries, 141-143 CNO cycle, 124 convectivity, 123 coronal activity, 182 CTTS, 134-136 definition, 113 dynamos, 192 $H\alpha$ line emission, 134, 136–139 HAEBE stars, 140 HD 141569, 243 HD 98800, 243 Hen3-600, 243 HH objects, 138

HR 4796A, 243 HR-diagram, 122 identifications, 183 intermediate mass, 140 KH 15D, 244 LkH α 349, 228 T Tau, 134 time spans, 125–127 TW Hya, 148, 202-205, 243 V819 Tau, 243 WTTS, 137 X-ray flares, 192, 193 X-ray lightcurves, 187, 188 X-ray luminosities, 188 X-ray spectra, 199 X-ray temperatures, 191 X-ray variability, 191 X-rays, 181 PMS stellar flares, 178 magnetic activity, 169, 170 accretion disks, 135 accretion shocks, 137 accretion streams, 137, 171, 175, 176 classifications, 128 evolution, 122 field configurations, 170 flares, 176 isotopes, 208 luminosity, 122 magnetic energy, 175 pressure, 122 prominences, 172, 173 radioactivity, 208 reconnection-driven jets, 171, 172 rotation, 165-168 SEDs, 128 spectral index, 128 surface magnetic fields, 169 X-ray luminosity functions, 189 X-winds, 172, 174 PMS stellar system, 113 Pogson, N., 10 Poisson equation, 98, 263 polarization Davis-Greenstein alignment, 66 M17, 67, 68 mechanical alignment, 66 paramagnetic alignment, 66

Purcell alignment, 66 radiative alignment, 66 see molecular clouds polarization drift, 282 polytropic index, 261 Prandtl number, 280 Prandtl number, magnetic, 280 Preibisch, T., 227 pressure gravitational, 267 magnetic, 75 thermal, 267 Priest, E., 277 protonebula, 14 protoplanetary disks see circumstellar disks protostars mass of, 103 BBW 76, 140 Becklin-Neugebauer object, 94 birth, 113 collimated jets, 138 convection, 117 definition, 113 deuterium abundance, 117 deuterium burning, 117, 119 fractional D concentration, 117, 118 FU Orionis, 140 FU Orionis stars, 131, 138, 140, 252 lithium burning, 118 magnetic activity, 197, 199 magnetic braking, 198 mass-radius relation, 119, 120 massive, 119 see stars V1057 Cyg, 140 V1515 Cvg, 140 WL6, 196 X-ray luminosities, 196 X-rays, 195 YLW 15, 131, 196, 199 protostellar absorption, 94, 186, 195, 197 accretion disks, 148 accretion flows, 5, 116 accretion luminosity, 120, 131 accretion rates, 114-116 age, 196, 198 classes, 130

envelope mass, 132 evolution, 114 luminosities, 120, 121 rotation, 165–167 surface luminosity, 120 system, 113 protostellar disks angular momentum transport, 109 formation, 110, 111 Ptolemaeus, Claudius, 8 Röntgen, W. C., 22 radiation bolometric luminosity, 297 brightness, 297 dust absorption, 310 dust emission, 310 dust penetration, 310 electromagnetic, 23 energy flux, 297, 301 luminosity, 297 photon flux, 297 transmission, 23, 24 radiative absorption coefficient, 295 extinction coefficient, 295 scattering coefficient, 295 absorption length, 301 bound-bound processes, 298, 300 bound-free processes, 298, 299 collisional ionization, 300 collisional ionization equilibrium, 318 electron scattering, 299, 301 energy density, 296 free-free processes, 298, 299 opacities, 301 optical thickness, 301 photodissociation, 308 photoexcitation, 300 photoionization, 300, 308 radiation flux, 296 radiation pressure, 296 recombination, 299 Rosseland mean opacity, 300 transport, 295 radiative association, 307 radiative recombination see radiative RASS, 28

Rayleigh scattering, 302 Rayleigh, Lord, 302 Reconnection-driven Jet Model see PMS stellar Reynolds number magnetic, 155, 280, 286 viscous, 153, 280 Ritter, J. W., 22 Rossby number, 194, 290 rotation centrifugal gravitational force, 83 centrifugal radius, 103 see gas flows see molecular clouds slow. 264 Russell, H. N., 13, 325 Rybicki, G. B., 299 Saha equation, 273 Saha, M. N., 274 Salpeter, E. E., 214 Sandage, A. R., 216 scattering coefficient, 298 scattering processes see radiative Schönfeld, E., 11 Schwabe, S., 18 Schwarzschild, K., 11 Shakura, N., 153 Shapley, H., 17 shocks ambipolar, 78 collisionless, 78 compressive, 78 Mach numbers, 79 plasma temperature, 80 see interstellar medium single fluid, 79 Shu, F. H., 95, 96, 277 Slingshot Prominences see PMS stellar Solar Nebula dust consistency, 249 CAIs, 249 chondrites, 249 chondrule s, 251 chondrules, 249 cosmic rays, 250 nuclides, 250

see Sun X-winds, 250 Solar System see Sun spectra absorption, 43 black body, 262, 300 blackbody, 13 Doppler width, 313 early-type stars, 44 electromagnetic, 22 equivalent width, 315 extinction, 51, 53 IR emission, 94 IR SEDs. 128, 152 JHK bands, 186 line broadening, 313 line profiles, 313 non-thermal, 24 Rayleigh-Jeans Law, 262 thermal, 24, 274 thermal bremsstrahlung, 302 Wien's law, 262 X-ray absorption, 44 X-rays, 44, 46, 48, 199, 200, 202, 319 speed of sound see gas flows Spitzer, L., 76 Stahler, S., 127 star forming regions **OB** associations, 63 star-disk locking, 169 star-forming efficiency see stellar clusters star-forming regions ρ Oph cloud, 226, 227 association with MCs, 222 Chamaeleopardis Cloud, 189 IC 1396, 228 IR/X-ray emission, 184 large scales, 230 luminosity function, 189 OB associations, 234 Orion, 192, 222-224 stellar clusters, 209 T associations, 228 Taurus-Auriga, 187, 231, 232 turbulent filaments, 233 Upper Scorpius OB association, 226

X-rays, 183 Stark-effect, 314 stars β Pictoris, 244, 245, 248 ϵ Eridani, 244, 248 θ^1 Ori C, 226 active coronae, 203, 204 Algol, 192 AR Lac, 202 brown dwarfs, 194, 195 color-magnitude diagram, 12 definition, 19 Draper classification, 13 dwarfs, 14 dynamos, 193, 194 early type, 21 energy source, 14 Gould Belt, 185, 247, 248 HAEBE stars, 246 HD 206267, 228, 229 HR-diagram, 13, 21 hydrogen fusion, 20 hypergiants, 14 II Peg, 202, 319 IM Peg, 202 lifecycle, 34 LP 944-20, 195 main sequence, 14 nearby dMe dwarfs, 192 OB associations, 21, 25 pre-main sequence, 14 protostars, 17 radiation, 23 rotation, 193 RS CVn, 192 stability, 263 subdwarfs, 14 T Tauri, 15, 25 TWA 5B, 195 Tycho catalogue, 185 Vega, 244 Vega-like IR stars, 244 X-ray luminosity, 193 Stefan, J., 262 stellar ages, 168 brightness, 10 clusters, 21 collapse, 307

dynamos, 287 evolution, 20 luminosity, 15 non-thermal radio emission, 187 photometry, 10, 11 radio observations, 186 rotation, 165, 167 spectroscopy, 12 structure, 20 UBV photometry, 13 stellar clusters ρ Oph, 213 age, 190, 206, 210, 217-220 age gap, 232 age spread, 217, 218, 231 centralized, 213, 214 dense cores, 212 ESCs, 210, 211 evolution, 220 formation, 211 galactic distribution, 219 hierarchical, 213 HR-diagrams, 216–218 Hyades, 189 IC 348, 192, 205, 218, 238 IR/X-ray emission, 184 luminosity functions, 215 mass cut-off, 217 mass function, 212, 214, 215 mass segregation, 216 mass-luminosity relation, 215 massive stars, 206, 207 MHD turbulence, 212 Mon R2, 197 morphology, 213, 214 NGC 1333, 213 NGC 2024, 0, 238 NGC 2264, 213, 217, 218 NGC 3576, 213 NGC 604, 0, 211, 221 NGC6334, 213 ONC, 26, 183, 187, 190, 191, 205, 210, 215, 216, 218, 224, 225 Orion Trapezium, 29, 190, 191, 226 Pleiades, 189 properties, 211, 216 star formation, 212 star-forming efficiency, 213 stellar density, 183

super-clusters, 221 timescales, 217 Tr 37, 183, 186, 228, 229 Trifid cluster, 240 turbulent, 213 TW Hya, 238 TW Hya association, 243 stellar coronae X-rays, 203 Strömgren radius, 84 stress tensor, 278 Sun abundance distribution, 47 abundances, 45, 46 birthplace, 247 early evolution, 252 HR-diagrams, 254 Kuiper Belt, 244, 249 location, 247 neighborhood, 37, 247 origins, 246, 253 Solar Nebula, 248 Solar System, 17 T Tauri heritage, 250 X-ray flares, 192, 193 Sunyaev, R., 153, 174 super-clusters see stellar clusters Sweet, P. A., 284 T Tauri stars see PMS stars T-association, 134 telescopes 2MASS, 61 2Mass, 31, 209 ALMA, 61 CGRO, 43, 208 Comptel, 208 Copernicus, 45 DENIS, 209 EUVE, 27, 202, 203 FUSE, 27, 43, 45 GBT, 61 HHT, 26 HST, 27, 59, 70, 183, 240, 241 IRAM, 25 IRAS, 27, 28, 59, 71, 227, 229, 230 ISO, 27, 28, 60, 227

IUE, 27, 51, 181 **JCMT**, 26 Kuiper, 61 NRAO, 61 optical, 25 SMM, 208 SOFIA, 27 SST, 27, 28 SWAS, 27 VLA, 25, 61, 62, 187, 240 VLBA, 61 temperature absolute, 258 Barnard 38, 259 Bok Globules, 258 equilibrium, 262 HH 30, 259 kinetic, 258 molecular clouds, 258 nuclear fusion, 259 stellar coronae, 259 stellar photospheres, 259 thermodynamic, 258 X-ray flares, 258 XZ Tauri, 259 thermal bremsstrahlung see spectra thermal conductivity, 278 thermal emission see spectra thermal velocity, 313 thermodynamic black body, 262 diffusion approximation, 300 equilibrium, 262, 307 equilibrium temperature, 262 LTE, 262 Thomson scattering, 301 Thomson, W., 17, 18, 301, 326 Tielens, A., 70 time scales free-fall time, 95 dynamical, 150 thermal time, 150 viscous, 150 timescales accretion time, 269 crossing time, 217 evaporation times, 217

free-fall time, 268 Kelvin-Helmholtz, 19 Kelvin-Helmholtz time, 269 thermal time, 268 Tomisaka, K., 109 Trimble, V., 20 Trumpler, R. J., 33, 216, 226 Tscharnuter, W. M., 253 turbulence compressibility, 80 compressible and supersonic, 81 compressible MHD, 80 disk formation, 106 incompressible MHD, 80 Mach number, 80 see molecular clouds supersonic, 81 turbulent velocity, 313 vibrational IR absorption bands, 311 virial theorem see gravitational potential viscosity coefficient, 278 viscous dissipation, 278 Voigt profile, 314 von Helmholtz, H., 18, 326 von Weizäcker, C. F., 80 WTTS see PMS stars Wuchterl, G., 253 X-rav observations, 183 X-ray Observatories ASCA, 27, 191, 196, 228 Chandra, 0, 27–29, 31, 43, 183, 184, 192, 194, 196, 199, 201, 228 EINSTEIN, 26, 27, 181, 191-193, 228 EXOSAT, 200 GINGA, 200 ROSAT, 27, 28, 31, 70, 71, 183, 191, 193, 196, 200, 228 SKYLAB, 182 Uhuru, 181 XMM-Newton, 27, 28, 183, 184, 196 YOHKOH, 0, 182 X-rays absorption, 46-48, 52, 186

accretion, 205 accretion streams, 176 brown dwarfs, 194 coronae, 206 coronal diagnostics, 203, 204 DEM distributions, 202, 318, 320 density diagnostics, 204 G-ratio, 320 He-like line triplets, 320, 321 ionization equilibrium, 204 ionization of disks, 156 ionization rate, 87 ionization rates, 155 line diagnostics, 320 luminosity evolution, 190 massive stars, 182 models, 200, 202 observations, 183 proplyds, 242 protostars, 196 R-ratio, 321 RASS, 185 saturation, 194

seePMS stars spectra, 319 stellar identifications, 184, 185 X-wind model see PMS stellar York, D., 45 Yorke, H., 109 YSOs γ -radiation, 207, 208 Becklin-Neugebauer object, 197 classification, 132 definition, 113 IR classes, 129, 131 IRS 3, 197 IRS 7, 197 LkH α 92, 192 stellar rotation, 165, 166 X-ray account, 182 ZAMS, 120, 124-127, 160, 168, 188 Zeeman broadening, 170, 314, 315 zero age, 96, 125

Zinnecker, H., 222



Plate 1.1. This breathtaking image shows a newborn proto-Sun associated with the Herbig–Haro outflow object HH 46 (see the bright loop-like strings pointing away from the star). The inset in the lower left corner shows the optical image of the same region. The proto-Sun with its outflow is hidden in a dense cloud. Credit: NASA/JPL/Caltec/A.Noriega-Crespo(SSC/Caltech).



Plate 1.2. The X-ray sky at energies between 0.1 and 2.4 keV as observed with the X-ray observatory ROSAT in the early 1990s. The colors represent X-ray energy, where red is near the low, blue near the high energy boundary. Credit: Max Planck Institute für extraterrestrische Physik.



Plate 1.3. H I distribution in the Galaxy observed at the 21 cm wavelength. Credit: J. Dickey (UMn), F. Lockman (NRAO), Skyview, 1998.



Plate 1.4. A composite CO survey from data of many individual surveys obtained throughout the last decades containing 488,000 spectra. The horizontal scale is ± 180 deg Galactic longitude, the vertical scale is ± 30 deg Galactic lattitude. Credit: from Dame et al. [188].



Plate 1.5. Diffuse γ -ray emission observed with *EGRET*, the high-energy telecope onboard CGRO for energies >100 MeV. Credit: Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik and NASA.


Plate 1.6. (top) *SST/IPAC* image of the nearby (3.7 Mpc) galaxy *M81* at IR wavelength. The galaxy's dust at these wavelengths becomes the dominant source of emission, showing the entire extent of star-forming activity. Credit: NASA/JPL/Spitzer/IRAC/Caltech/S.Willner (CfA). (bottom) An exposure of the *Rho Ophiuchus* region in the Milky Way, which is full of filamentary molecular dark clouds. ρ *Oph* itself is near the upper right corner and is embedded in dark clouds and reflection nebulae. Credit: Photography by S. Pitt; from *http://www.light-to-dark.com/sag.html*



Plate 1.7. The heart of the Eagle Nebula was observed with the WFPC camera onboard the HST in 1995. (left) A ground exposure of the nebula and its stellar environment. The HST exposure targeted the core of the Nebula as indicated by the white frame. It shows *pillars* consisting of dense dust columns which appeared as the strong UV radiation from nearby O-stars evaporated the condensed molecular environment. The diameters of these pillars reach up to 70,000 AUs. They harbor molecular cores which are the birthplaces of stars. About 70 *EGGs* have been identified in these pillars. Credit: J. Hester and P. Scowen, NASA.



Plate 1.8. Composite SST image of the bright globule *IC 1396A*. The image combines the red color for 24 μ m, the green color for 5.8 and 8 μ m and the blue color for 3.6 and 4.5 μ m [708]. Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/W. Reach (SSC/Caltech).



Plate 1.9. A simulation of local collapse events in a globally supported cloud. The image shows a 2-D projection of a density cube (see Fig. 4.13). String-like gravitational fragmentation can occur as a result of supersonic turbulence. Credit: R. Klessen, AIP.



Plate 1.10. Events of triggered star formation likely through supersonic turbulence inflicted by a supernova explosion a few million years ago. The image was obtained with the *SST* and shows star formation in *Heinze 206* in the *LMC*. Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/V. Gorjian (JPL).



Plate 1.11. IR colors of YSOs from various star-forming regions observed with SST (*IRAC*). The data are from various surveys (i.e., S140 (circles), *NGC* 7129 (triangles), *Cep C* (crosses)) [572]. Class III sources accumulate at the lower left corner of the diagram, class II sources are marked by the light blue square. Class I and younger sources scatter in the middle of the diagram. The color lines depict results from various disk and envelope models for class 0 and I stars [17]. Shown are model tracks for two envelope radii at 50 AU (solid lines) and 300 AU (dashed lines) as well as a range of central source luminosities at 0.1 (magenta), 1 (green), 10 (blue), and 100 (red) L_{\odot} . From Allen et al. [17].



Plate 2.1. The Sun in X-ray light as observed with the YOHKOH Soft X-ray Telescope in 1991. Clearly seen is the circumference of the solar surface at a radius of $\sim 7 \times 10^{10}$ cm. The picture shows characteristic loop sizes of the order of a few tenths the solar radius. In PMS stars these loops are possibly larger. Credit: from Batchelor [64]; NASA/ISAS.



Plate 2.2. Ultralong X-ray exposure (~ 10 days) of the *ONC* with *Chandra*. The image contains over 1,600 X-ray sources with several of them now known to be brown dwarfs. The color is encoded as RED = 0.2-1.0 keV, GREEN = 0-2.0 keV, Blue = 2.0-8.0 keV. Credit: T. Preibisch and the COUP consortium.



Plate 2.3. X-ray image of the *Horsehead Nebula (NGC 2024)* with overlaid IRcontours. The X-ray data in the form of contours were taken with *ROSAT*. The image was one of many confirmations during the *ROSAT* period that X-rays from young stars correlate well with IR wavelengths. Credit: M. Freyberg, MPE.



Plate 2.4. High-energy electrons engulfing the very young and massive ESC observed in the X-ray, IR and radio bands. (top) A *Chandra* exposure indicating diffuse high-energy emission between the bright young cluster stars. (bottom) Composite image showing the same field of view at X-ray, IR and radio wavelengths. The origins of the synchrotron emission is quite mysterious. One possibility could be a previously undetected supernova event in the cluster. Credit: NASA/CXC/CfA/S.Wolk et al. [918].



Plate 2.5. Two *Chandra* observations of the Orion Trapezium in the core of the Orion Nebula Cluster taken three weeks apart (left versus right). The top sequence shows a 3' \times 3' field around the Trapezium. The bottom sequence is a close-up of the Trapezium. Most sources in the field are identified YSOs and appear highly variable in both fields. Credit: from Schulz et al. [761].



Plate 2.6. McNeil's Nebula near M78. (top) Optical exposure showing M78 and parts of Barnard's Loop. Credit: Jay McNeil. (bottom) Images show an optical close-up of of McNeil's Nebula (Credit: NSF/NOAO/KPNO/A.Block) and the *Chandra* X-ray image. The appearance of source 3 is strong evidence that the probable cause of the observed outburst is a sudden infall of matter onto the surface of the stars from an accretion disk. Credit: NASA/CXC/RIT/J. Kastner et al. [457].



Plate 2.7. (top) X-ray spectra of a CTTS and WTTS from the nearby TW Hya association. These spectra emerge from hot (> 10 MK) optically thin plasmas detailed line diagnostics reveal substantial differences in possible emission mechanisms. Whether CTTS and WTTS have different origins of their X-ray emission has yet to be established. Credit: D. P. Huenemoerder, MIT/CXC. (bottom) An artist's conception that shows *TW Hydrae* on the left, and *HD 98800A* on the right. X-ray spectral line emission from Ne IX ions show a pattern which for *TW Hydrae* indicates disk accretion and coronal emission for *HD 98800A*. Credit: NASA/CXC/RIT/J. Kastner et al. [456] (spectra); CXC/M. Weiss (illustrations).



Plate 2.8. NGC 604 in the neighbor galaxy M33 as observed with Hubble. Credit: NASA and the Hubble Heritage Team (AURA/STScI).