# Gem Stones

# By Benjamin Petkof 1

Domestic gem stone production was estimated during 1967 at \$2.4 million, unchanged from 1966. Gem stone collec-

tion continued to be essentially a recreational activity of individual collectors and hobbyists.

# DOMESTIC PRODUCTION

Production estimates indicated that 38 States produced gem material in 1967. The leading producing States were Oregon, California, Idaho, Arizona, Texas,

Wyoming, Colorado, Montana, and Nevada with each State producing material valued over \$100,000. These States provided 77 percent of total production.

#### CONSUMPTION

Consumption of gem diamond, both rough and cut, reached \$387 million, only a small increase from \$374 million in 1966. Value of imported synthetic and imitation gem stones including imitation pearl reached \$11.5 million, compared with \$10.0 million in 1966; natural and

cultured pearls declined 19 percent from 1966.

Apparent consumption of gem stones (domestic production plus imports minus exports and reexports) was \$304 million, compared with \$289 million in 1966.

#### **PRICES**

During the year, price ranges for cut and polished, unmounted gem diamond were 0.25 carat, \$80 to \$375; 0.50 carat,

\$200 to \$800; 1 carat, \$500 to \$2,500; 2 carat, \$1,800 to \$7,000.

## **FOREIGN TRADE**

Exports of precious and semiprecious gem stone were valued at \$6.5 million, compared with \$64.1 million in 1966. Diamond, over one-half carat in weight, cut but unset, made up the bulk of the exports.

Reexports of all varieties of gem stone reached \$72.0 million, a decline of \$3.2 million from those of 1966. The major portion of reexports consisted of rough or uncut gem quality diamond.

Ruby and sapphires valued at \$5.7 mil-

lion were imported from 27 countries. Thailand, India, and Ceylon supplied about 70 percent of the total.

Imports of emerald rose in quantity but declined in value. India supplied 38 percent of all imports.

India and Japan were the major sources of imported natural pearl. However, Japan remained the largest supplier of cultured pearls.

Commodity specialist, Division of Mineral Studies

Table 1.—U.S. imports for consumption of precious and semiprecious stones, exclusive of industrial diamond

(Thousand carats and thousand dollars)

Stones	1	966	1967		
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	
Diamond:					
Rough or uncut, suitable for cutting into gem stones					
garata	_ 2,032	\$208,039	2,506	\$212,902	
Cut but unset, suitable for jewelrydo	1 450	165,737	1,455	174,570	
Interalos: Cut put unset	- 010	r 5.994	242	5.518	
tubles and sapphires: Cut but unset, suitable for journey	NT A	7.163	ŇĀ	5,685	
Marcasites: Real and imitation, dutiable	_ NA	5	NA	3,000	
earls and parts, not strung or set:				•	
Natural	_ NA	733	NA	576	
Cultured or cultivated	_ NA	21,236	NA	17,140	
	- NA	506	NA	374	
Other precious or semiprecious stones:					
Rough or uncut	- NA	2,483	NA	4.900	
Cut but unset	_ NA	4,972	NA	6,539	
Other n.s.p.f.	- NA	320	NA	270	
Cut but unset, syntheticnumber_		1,178	3,042	1.382	
Other	_ NA	8,341	NA	9,786	
Total	NA NA	r 426,707	NA	439,645	

r Revised. NA Not available.

Table 2.—U.S. imports for consumption of diamond (exclusive of industrial diamond), by countries

(Thousand carats and thousand dollars)

	1965			1966			1967					
-	Rough or uncut		Cut but unset		Rough or uncut		Cut but unset		Rough or uncut		Cut but unset	
-	Quan- tity	Value	Quan- tity	Value	Quan- tity	Value	Quan- tity	Value	Quan- tity	Value	Quan- tity	Value
Argentina									4	\$241		
Belgium-Luxembourg Brazil British West Africa.	75 4	\$7,597 293	680 1	\$78,923 97	72 4	\$9,520 425	787	\$94,353	47 21	6,111 1,009	775 2	\$96,676 169
Canada	7 144	1,138 8,365	(1)	44	2 10 187	325 1,663 9,835	(1)	16	2 5 183	380 847 9,002	(1)	64
Zyprus France Germany, West	<u>2</u>	70	16 19	1,665 1,370	3	211	18 17	1,902 1,441	2 1 2	281 101 227	(1) 17 11	2,085
Hana Juinea	3 2	146 205	(1)	1,370	(¹) 20 1	464 66		1,441	5	68		1,039
uyanandia	19	742	2	5 342	25	995	(1)	8 720	31	1,370	14	1,239
relandsrael	57 (1)	$^{71}_{3,310}$	(¹) 478	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 42,134 \\ 142 \end{array}$	56 36 (¹)	2,248 3,096	525 525	101 51,446	132 46	571 4,079 14	533 2	55,033 150
iberia [etherlands	7 84	674 4,094	21	2.835	16 49	1,831 8,825	<u></u>	3.013	(1) 26 39	3,946 8,566	14	2.06
ierra Leoneouth Africa, Republic of	44 159	2,818 $15,330$	27	6,166	161 121	7,705 13,023	(¹) 28	53 7,001	180 333	5,921 39,852	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 32 \end{array}$	307 7,766
outhern Africa, n.e.c	104	9,879	1 11	633 1.410	69	8,504	1 29	422 3.391	(¹)	101 1,524 17	(1) (1) 39	31 174 5.918
nited Kingdomenezuela	$\begin{array}{c} \overline{1,142} \\ 54 \end{array}$	113,481 1,950	6	857	1,106 66	131,809 2,525	10	1,854	1,339 64	122,000 2,347	10	1,895
Vestern Africa, n.e.c. Vestern Portuguese Africa, n.e.c.	35	4,903 124		100	21 7	3,446 1,445		400	35	4,260	(1)	180
ther countries Total	1.901	259 175.457	1.259	186	2,032	208,039	1.452	438 165.737	2,506	212.902	1,455	174.570

<sup>1</sup> Less than 1/2 unit.

### WORLD REVIEW

Brazil.—The diamond mining industry has been undergoing a change from hand mining method to the application of large hydraulic dredges for large-scale recovery. A dredge has been placed in operation on the Jequitinhonha River by Meneração Tijucana S.A., Dragagem de Ouro S.A., and Pacific Tin Corporation which moves material at the rate of 400 cubic yards per hour in 12-cubic-foot buckets. Test drilling has shown that the diamond recovery rate averaged 0.01 carat per cubic yard of diamondiferous gravel. Eighty percent of the material recovered is of gem grade.

The Mining Department of the Federal Government began prospecting and evaluating the Tocantins River area for available diamond by the interpretation of aerial photographs and coring operations. This area has previously been worked by crude hand methods.<sup>2</sup>

Table 3.—World production of gem diamond, by countries 1

(Thousand carats)

Country	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967 P
Africa:					
Angola	759	804	878	964	e 1.000
Central African Republic		221	268	270	260
Congo (Kinshasa)	296	295	14	15	263
Congo (Brazzaville) e 2 3	341	316	318	r 300	300
Ghana	r 4	r 378	r 25	282	254
Guinea e	2 22	21	21	21	20
Ivory Coast		120	119	110	105
Liberia 2		298	277	343	353
Sierra Leone		585	658	629	e 600
South-West Africa, Territory of	1,076	1.387	1,491	1.583	e 1.700
Tanzania		338	414	r 474	494
South Africa, Republic of: Premier De Beers group 4 Other pipe mines Alluvial	921	556 928 18 288	610 985 123 230	$\substack{ 625 \\ 1,429 \\ 131 \\ 300 }$	NA NA NA NA
Total South Africa	r 1.797	1.790	1.948	2.485	e 2,100
Total Africa		r 6,553	16.431	7,476	7,449
Other areas:	0,000	-,	0,101	,, 1.0	.,110
Brazil e	175	175	175	150	160
Guyana	60	60	45	37	38
India	1	2	- 3	2	6
Indonesia	NA NA	NĀ	ĭ	2	ž
U.S.S.R.e	т 600	r 800	r 1,000	r 1.200	1,400
Venezuela	r 38	r 57	r 52	42	38
World total 5	r 6,424	r 7,647	r 7,707	r 8,909	9,093

NA Not available.

Canada.—A recent paper postulates that the source of the diamond previously found in the glacial deposits of Ohio and Wisconsin was kimberlite deposits in the James Bay area.3

Lesotho.—The Government and The Rio Tinto-Zinc Corp. Ltd. announced an agreement for diamond prospecting and eventually mining at the Lesotho State Diamond deposit at Letseng-la-Terai in northeastern Lesotho. The agreement provided for a 2- to 3-year prospecting period to determine if large-scale mining operations are justified.4 A large palebrown stone weighing 601 carats was recovered.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Estimate. <sup>r</sup> Revised. <sup>p</sup> Preliminary. N
<sup>1</sup> Compiled mostly from data available April 1968.

Probable origin, Republic of the Congo.
 Includes some alluvial from De Beers properties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Totals are of listed figures only; no undisclosed data included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Linder, P. H. Modern Dredges Successful in Recovering Brazilian Diamond. Lapidary J.; v. 21, No. 2, May 1967, pp. 298–305. <sup>3</sup> Northern Miner (Toronto, Canada). Dia-mond-Type Rocks in James Bay Area No. 1,

Mar. 30, 1967, p. 15.

4 U.S. Embassy, Maseru, Lesotho. Department of State, Airgram A-83, Oct. 27, 1967,

Sierra Leone.—In terms of value diamond was the major mineral product of Sierra Leone.

Sierra Leone Selection Trust Ltd. (SLST) and the Government renegotiated the SLST leases, providing for an increase in the income and diamond profits tax paid by the company. SLST also agreed to release certain locations in Kono and Tongo for licensed alluvial mining, to allow licensed diggers to recover diamond from its mine tailings, to begin a program of rehabilitating mined-out areas, and to study prospecting potential for more diamond.<sup>5</sup>

South Africa, Republic of.—The Finsch diamond mine was formally opened on February 24, 1967. The ore reserves were estimated at 110 million tons down to the open pit mining limit of 900 feet; at a planned production rate of 17,000 loads (16 cubic feet per load) per day, minimum life would be 25 years. The recovery rate was 38 carats per 100 loads. About 75 percent of the diamond recovered was industrial grade. The average overall recovery ratio is 1 to six million.

The Finsch is the first new **pipe mine** since the Premier mine was **opened** in 1903.

Tanzania.—Williamson Diamonds, Ltd. and two subsidiary companies, New Alamasi and Kahama Mines, Ltd., supplied most of the diamond production and exports. The Government has 50 percent interest in this group. The company mined 3,285,038 tons of ore which yielded 923,423 carats at the Mwadui mine. Ore production at the New Alamasi totaled 411,512 tons of ore which contained 23,176 carats. The ore body was mined out at the Kahama Mines Ltd., and treatment of the stockpile began. Completion is expected in 4 years. Williamson Diamond continued to look for diamond north of Kahama during 1967, but nothing of value was found.8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bureau of Mines. Mineral Trade Notes. v. 64, No. 6, June 1967, p. 5. 6 U.S. Embassy, Johannesburg, Republic of South Africa. Department of State, Airgram A-351, Mar. 28, 1967, p. 1. 7 World Mining. What's Going On in World Mining. v. 3, No. 4, April 1967, p. 41. 8 Bureau of Mines. Mineral Trade Notes. v. 65, No. 1, January 1968, p. 7.