VAJRASŪCIKA UPANIŞAD

- vajrasūcim pravaksyāmi jňānam ajñāna-bhedanam dūşanam jñāna-hīnānām bhūşanam jňāna-caksusām,
- I. I shall describe the *Vajrasūci* doctrine which blasts ignorance, condemns those who are devoid of the knowledge (of *Brahman*) and exalts those endowed with the eye of knowledge.

jñānam: doctrine. V. 'śāstra': scripture.

- 2. brāhmaņa-kṣatriya-vaiśya-śūdrā iti catvāro varnāh; teṣām varnānām brāhmaņa eva pradhāna iti veda-vacanānurūpam smṛtibhir apy uktam. tatra codyam asti, ko vā brāhmaņo nāmak kim jīvah? kim dehah? kim jātih? kim jñānam? kim karma? kim dhārmika iti.
- 2. The Brāhmaṇa the Kṣatriya, the Vaiśya and the Śūdra are the four classes (castes). That the Brāhmaṇa is the chief among these classes is in accord with the Vedic texts and is affirmed by the *Smṛtis*. In this connection there is a point worthy of investigation. Who is, verily, the Brāhmaṇa? Is he the individual soul? Is he the body? Is he the class based on birth? Is he the knowledge? Is he the deeds (previous, present or prospective)? Is he the performer of the rites?
- 3. tatra prathamo jīvo brāhmaņa iti cet tan na, atītānāgatāneka-dehānām jīvasyaikarūpatvāt. ekasyāpi karma-vasād anekadeha-sambhavāt sarva-sarīrānām jīvasyaikarūpatvāc ca, tasmān na jīvo brāhmana iti.
- 3. Of these, if the first (position) that the Jīva or the individual soul is Brāhmaṇa (is to be assumed), it is not so; for the individual's form is one and the same in the large number of previous and prospective bodies. Even though the jīva (the individual soul) is one, there is scope for (the assumption of) many bodies due to the stress of (past) karma, and in all these bodies the form of the jīva is one and the same. Therefore the jīva is not the Brāhmana.
- 4. tarhi deho brāhmaņa iti cet tan na. ācandalādi paryantānām manusyāņām pañca-bhautikatvena dehasyaikarūpatvāt; jarā-mara-na-dharmādharmādi-sāmyadarsanāt, brāhmaņas sveta-varņah, kṣatriyo rakta-varṇah, vaisyah pīta-varṇah, sūdrah kṛṣṇa-varṇa iti niyamābhāvāt. pitrādi-dahane putrādīnām brahma-hatyādi-doṣa-sambhavāc ca, tasmān na deho brāhmana iti.
 - 4. Then if (it is said) that the body is the Brāhmaṇa, it is

9.

not so, because of the oneness of the nature of the body which is composed of the five elements, in all classes of human beings down to the caṇḍālās (outcastes), etc.; on account of the perception of the common features of old age and death, virtue and vice, on account of the absence of any regularity (in the complexion of the four classes) that the Brāhmaṇa is of the white complexion, that the Kṣatriya is of the red complexion, that the Vaisya is of the tawny complexion, that the Sūdra is of the dark complexion and because of the liability of the sons and others (kinsmen) to becoming tainted with the murder of a Brāhmaṇa and other (sins) on cremating the bodies of their fathers and other kinsmen. Therefore the body is not the Brāhmaṇa.

- 5. tarhi jātir brāhmaņa iti cet tan na; tatra jātyantarajantuşv aneka-jāti-sambhavā maharşayo bahavas santi, rsyasrngo mṛgyāh, kausikah kuśāt, jāmbuko jambukāt, vālmīko valmīkāt, vyāsah kaivarta-kanyāyām, śaśapṛṣṭhāt gautamah, vasiṣṭha ūrvasyām, agastyah kalase jāta iti śrutatvāt, eteṣām jātyā vināpy agre jāāna-pratipāditā ṛṣayo bahavas santi; tasmān na jātir brāhmaņa
- 5. Then (if it is said) that birth (makes) the Brāhmaṇa, it is not so, for there are many species among creatures, other than human, many sages are of diverse origin. We hear from the sacred books that Rṣyaṣṇṇa was born of a deer, Kauśika of Kuśa grass, Jāmbuka from a jackal, Vālmīki from an ant-hill, Vyāsa from a fisher girl, Gautama from the back of a hare, Vasiṣṭha from Ūrvaśī (the celestial nymph), Agastya from an earthen jar. Among these, despite their birth, there are many sages, who have taken the highest rank, having given proof of their wisdom. Therefore birth does not (make) a Brāhmaṇa.
- 6. tarhi jñānam brāhmaņa iti cet tan na; kṣatriyādayo'hi paramārthadarśano'bhijñā bahavas santi, tasmān na jñānam brāhmana iti.
- 6. Then (if it is said) that knowledge (makes a) Brāhmaṇa, it is not so because among Kṣatriyas and others there are many who have seen the Highest Reality and attained wisdom. Therefore knowledge does not (make) a Brāhmaṇa.
- 7. tarhi karma brāhmaņa iti cet tan na; sarvešām prāņinām prārabdha-sañcitāgāmi-karma-sādharmya-daršanāt. karmābhi-

preritāķ santo janāh kriyāķ kurvantīti, tasmān na karma brāhmana iti.

- 7. Then (if it is said) that work (makes a) Brāhmaṇa, it is not so, for we see that the work commenced in the present embodiment or accumulated during the previous or to commence on a future embodiment is common to all living creatures and that good men perform works impelled by their past karma. Therefore work does not (make) a Brāhmaṇa.
 - 8. tarhi dhārmiko brāhmana iti cet tan na; kṣatriyādayo hiranya-dātāro bahavaṣ santi; tasmān na dhārmiko brāhmana iti.
- 8. Then (if it is said) that the performer of religious duties is a Brāhmaṇa, it is not so; for there have been many Kṣatriyas and others who have given away gold. Therefore the performer of religious rites is not the Brāhmaṇa.

Giving away gold is an act of religious duty.

9. tarhi ko vā brāhmano nāma? yah kaścid ätmānam, advitīyam, jāti-guņa-kriyā-hīnam, şadūrmi-ṣaḍbhāvetyādi-sarva-doṣa-rahitam, satya-jñānānandānanta-svarūpam, svayam, nirvikalpam, aśeṣa-kalpādhāram, aśeṣa-bhūtāntaryāmitvena vartamānam, antar-ba-hiśc-ākāśavad anusyūtam, akhandānanda-svabhāvam, aprameyam, anubhavaikavedyam, aparokṣatayābhāsamānam, karatalāmala-kavat sākṣāt aparokṣīkrtya krtārthatayā kāma-rāgādi-doṣa-rahitaḥ śamādi-guṇa sampanno bhāva-mātsarya-trṣnāśā-mohādi-rahitaḥ dambhāhamkārādibhir asamspṛṣtacetā vartate, evam ukta-lakṣanu yah sa eva brāhmana iti śruti-smṛti-purānetihāsānām abhiprāyah; anyathā brāhmanatva-siddhir nāsty eva. sac-cid-ānandamātmānam, advitīyam, brahma bhāvayet, ātmanam, advitīyam, brahma bhāvayet ity upaniṣad.

9. Then, who, verily is the Brāhmaṇa? He who, after directly perceiving, like the amalaka fruit in the palm of one's hand, the Self, without a second, devoid of distinctions of birth, attribute and action, devoid of all faults such as the six infirmities, and the six states, of the form of truth, wisdom, bliss and eternity, that is by itself, devoid of determinations, the basis of endless determinations, who functions as the indwelling spirit of all beings, who pervades the interior and the exterior of all like ether, of the nature of bliss, indivisible, immeasurable, realisable only through one's experience and who manifests himself directly (as one's self), and through the fulfilment of his nature, becomes rid of the faults of desire,

9.

attachment, etc., and endowed with qualities of tranquillity, etc., rid of the states of being, spite, greed, expectation, bewilderment, etc., with his mind unaffected by ostentation, self-sense and the like, he lives. He alone who is possessed of these qualities is the Brāhmaṇa. This is the view of the Vedic texts and tradition, ancient lore and history. The accomplishment of the state of the Brāhmaṇa is otherwise impossible. Meditate on Brahman, the Self who is being, consciousness and bliss, without a second; meditate on Brahman, the Self who is being, consciousness and bliss without a second. This is the Upanişad.

six infirmities: old age, death, sorrow, delusion, hunger and thirst. six states: birth, being, growth, change, waning and perishing.

Many texts declare that the determining factor of caste is character and conduct and not high

acter and conduct and not birth.

śrnu yakşa kulam tāta na svādhyāyo na ca śrutam kāranam vā dvijatve ca vṛttam eva na samsayah.

Listen about caste, Yakşa dear, not study, not learning is the cause of rebornness. Conduct is the basis, there is no doubt about it. M.B. Aranya-parva 312. 106.

satyam, dānam, kṣamā, śīlam anṛṣamṣyam tapo ghṛṇā dṛṣyante yatra nāgendra sa brāhmaṇa iti smṛtiḥ.

O King of serpents, he in whom are manifest truthfulness, charity, forbearance, good conduct, non-injury, austerity and compassion is a Brāhmaṇa according to the sacred tradition.

yatraital laksyate sarpa vṛttam sa brāhmanas smṛtah, yatraitan na bhavet sarvam tam sūdram iti nirdiset.

O serpent, he in whom this conduct is manifest is a Brāhmaṇa, he in whom this is absent, treat all such as Śūdra. M.B. Aranya-parva 180. 20, 27. The gods consider him a Brāhmaṇa (a knower of Brahman who has no desires, who undertakes no work, who does not salute or praise anybody, whose work has been exhausted but who himself is unchanged.

nirāšīşam anārambham nirnamaskāram astutim akṣīṇam kṣiṇakarmāṇam tam devā brāhmaṇam viduḥ. M.B. XII. 269. 34.

See Dhammapada, Chapter XXVI.

Sanatsujāta defines a Brāhmaṇa as one who is devoted to truth: sa eva satyānnāpaiti sa jūeyo brahmanas tvayā.

It is valuable to recall the teaching of this Upanişad which repudiates the system that consecrates inequalities and hardens contingent differences into inviolable divisions.

APPENDIX A

FOREWORD

By RABINDRANATH TAGORE to The Philosophy of the Upanisads

Not being a scholar or a student of philosophy, I do not feel justified in writing a critical appreciation of a book dealing with the philosophy of the Upanisads. What I venture to do is to express my satisfaction at the fact that my friend, Professor Radhakrishnan, has undertaken to explain the spirit of the

Upanisads to English readers.

It is not enough that one should know the meaning of the words and the grammar of the Sanskrit texts in order to realize the deeper significance of the utterances that have come to us across centuries of vast changes, both of the inner as well as the external conditions of life. Once the language in which these were written was living, and therefore the words contained in them had their full context in the life of the people of that period, who spoke them. Divested of that vital atmosphere, a large part of the language of these great texts offers to us merely its philological structure and not life's subtle gesture which can express through suggestion all that is ineffable.

Suggestion can neither have fixed rules of grammar nor the rigid definition of the lexicon so easily available to the scholar. Suggestion has its unanalysable code which finds its depth of explanation in the living hearts of the people who use it. Code words philologically treated appear childish, and one must know that all those experiences which are not realized through the path of reason, but immediately through an inner vision, must use some kind of code word for their expression. All poetry is full of such words, and therefore poems of one language can never be properly translated into other languages, nay, not even re-spoken in the same language.

For an illustration let me refer to that stanza of Keats' 'Ode to a Nightingale,' which ends with the following lines:—

The same that oft-times hath Charmed magic casements, opening on the foam Of perilous seas, in faery lands forlorn.

All these words have their synonyms in our Bengali language. But if through their help I try to understand these