

HOLOCAUST DENIAL:

NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

**A Defence Of Free Inquiry And The Necessity Of
Rewriting History**



HOLOCAUST DENIAL:

NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

**A Defence Of Free Inquiry And The Necessity Of
Rewriting History**

Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing,

**Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts,
93c Venner Road,
Sydenham,
London SE26 5HU.
England.**

Contents

Page 1	Introduction
Page 6	Part 1: Holocaust Revisionism In Perspective
Page 6	How Can Anyone Deny The Holocaust?
Page 9	An Occult Comparison
Page 15	Demographic Approaches To The Holocaust
Page 16	Should We Suspend Our Disbelief?
Page 17	The Jews Under The Nazis: Public Perception And Reality
Page 23	From Nazi Germany To Occupied Palestine
Page 26	Are Holocaust Revisionists Flat Earthers?
Page 29	Why May The Holocaust Alone Not Be Challenged?
Page 38	Why Is The Jewish Holocaust Unique?
Page 41	A Note On "Organised Jewry"
Page 45	Zionist Propaganda And Zionist Power
Page 51	Who's Accusing "The Jews" Of Fabricating The Holocaust?
Page 54	Denying The Holocaust Is Rewriting History
Page 56	What Could Be Worse Than Denying The Holocaust?
Page 60	Part 2: The Anti Nazi League's View, And An Analysis
Page 60	Foreword
Page 62	The Anti Nazi League Pamphlet And More
Page 64	How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Deceitful
Page 66	How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Gullible
Page 69	ANAL's "Anti-Nazi" Innuendo And Lies
Page 83	The Nazi-Jewish Boycotts: Fantasy Versus Fact
Page 91	More ANAL Lies And Nonsense
Page 97	Rudolf Vrba: Eyewitness To Genocide
Page 100	What If Lying About The Holocaust Per Se Were Criminal?
Page 105	ANAL's Not So Hidden Agenda
Page 112	Nazi Crimes, Zionist Crimes And Double Standards
Page 119	The Revisionists And The International Nazi Conspiracy
Page 125	A Few Words From An ANAL Sponsor
Page 127	More ANAL Perfidy
Page 129	More Holocaust Liars Exposed

Page 144 New Lies From Old
 Page 146 The Other Face Of Hatred
 Page 151 How Holocaust Revisionism Should Be Dealt With
 Page 159 Conclusion
 Page 164 Afterword: Why I Wrote This Book
 Page 171 Index

Introduction Page 1
 Part I: Holocaust Page 6
 How Can Anyone Deny the Holocaust? Page 6
 An Occult Comparison Page 7
 Demographic Approaches To The Holocaust Page 12
 Should We Suspect Our Labels? Page 16
 The Jews Under The Nazis: Public Perception And Reality Page 17
 From Nazi Germany To Occupied Palestine Page 22
 Are Holocaust Revisionists Just Extremists? Page 26
 Why May The Holocaust Alone Not Be Challenged? Page 29
 Why Is The Jewish Holocaust Unique? Page 38
 A Note On "Organized Jewry" Page 41
 Ethnic Propaganda And Zionist Power Page 42
 Who's Accusing "The Jews" Of Fabricating The Holocaust? Page 51
 Denying The Holocaust Is Rewriting History Page 54
 What Could Be Worse Than Denying The Holocaust? Page 56
 Part 2: The Anti Nazi League's View And An Analysis Page 60
 Foreword Page 63
 The Anti Nazi League Pamphlet And More Page 63
 How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Deceitful Page 64
 How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Glibbie Page 66
 ANAL'S Anti-Nazi Pamphlets And Lies Page 69
 The Nazi-Jewish Hypocrite Fantasy Versus Fact Page 83
 More ANAL Lies And Nonenses Page 91
 Rudolf Wolff: Eye-witness To Genocide Page 97
 What Is Lying About The Holocaust Per Se Were Christians? Page 100
 ANAL'S Not So Hidden Agenda Page 102
 Nazi Crimes, Zionist Crimes And Double Standards Page 112
 The Revisionists And The International Nazi Conspiracy Page 119
 A Few Words From An ANAL Sponsor Page 122
 More ANAL Perfidy Page 127
 More Holocaust Lies Exposed Page 130

Dedication

To that vast army of liars who today distort the facts of the most tragic period of human history, and by their wilfulness and wantonness, incite hatred against Jew and non-Jew alike. And to that small band of courageous men, Gentile and Jew, who in the face of social ostracism, legal sanction, intimidation, violence, and even murder, continue to hold commitment to historical truth above all else in their, inevitably successful struggle against the forces of ignorance and darkness.

Front cover: a fake photograph concocted by "Nazi-hunter" Simon Wiesenthal, exposed by Revisionist Historian Udo Walendy as one of the innumerable crude forgeries calculated to burden the German people with guilt.

Introduction

In 1993, the Anti Nazi League (henceforth ANAL) published a 16 page pamphlet called *HOLOCAUST DENIAL: THE NEW NAZI LIE*. For the vast majority of people in Britain, as throughout the Western world, the subject referred to by the media pejoratively as Holocaust denial (or often Holocaust lying) is a novelty. Surely everybody *knows* that the Nazis exterminated, in gas chambers and by other means, six million Jews, as do most researchers and specialists in this field, including of course the great majority of academic historians? As one author put it: "Professors of history who have specialized in Germany, if asked, seem to consider the charge as established as the Great Pyramid". (1) Surely if the experts say such things, there can be no room whatsoever for doubt? As well as being denounced as liars, anti-Semites, and, of course, Nazis, Holocaust deniers - or Revisionists, as we shall call them - are often likened, uncharitably, to Flat Earthers. But, unlike Flat Earthers, whose nonsense is too absurd for all but the tiniest minority of the gullible to be taken in by, the lies of the Revisionists are so outrageous (and so dangerous) that they must be denied any platform for their propagation; the charge is often made that, not only do they deny the Holocaust, but that they want to perpetrate another one. In addition to this, as stated, the claim is made that the Revisionists are all Nazis or have Nazi backgrounds.

All this is extremely strong prima facie evidence, and must surely weigh against them. Certainly it has with the authorities, because Holocaust Revisionism - in particular denying the Nazi's alleged extermination programme and the existence of homicidal gas chambers - has been made illegal in Germany, and in South Africa, (under the previous Apartheid régime).

Should we then, as ANAL claim, dismiss all the "deniers" as Nazis and fellow travellers and deny them any platform for their *obscene* views? We could do, but before do anything so rash, we should bear in mind the words of the Duke of Bedford to Douglas Hyde, at the time a dedicated communist and an editor of the Communist Party newspaper the *Daily Worker*: "...never...form a final adverse judgment of a man, no matter how black his apparent crimes or how trustworthy his accusers, until you have heard his defence." (2)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

The Duke of Bedford was a Catholic and a pacifist who had campaigned against the war with Nazi Germany. (3) Hyde was in favour of the war and regarded all who were against it with suspicion. Later, he turned against communism and converted to Catholicism.

The Duke of Bedford's argument is irrefutable. At the time of writing (October 1994), a man from Gloucester is awaiting trial for a staggering twelve murders. Among Frederick West's alleged victims are his first wife and two of his own daughters. The evidence against him appears to be overwhelming, for one thing, most of the victims, who were unquestionably murdered, were found buried under his property, including property he was currently occupying. Yet there has been much disquiet over the way the case has been reported by the press. The tabloids in particular have been warned that if they are not careful they will be found in contempt of court. As with all criminal cases, until (and if) the accused has been convicted, the law forbids the media, or anyone, under threat of fine, imprisonment or both, from saying anything which may prejudice the jury of twelve impartial men and women, the accused's peers, who will be required to sit in judgment on him should he plead not guilty. Even if the accused announces his intention to plead guilty, this remains the law: when reporting alleged crimes before an impending criminal trial, the people who control our media must choose their words with care. Or else!

It would be no use a Grub-Street hack or some other scumbag protesting that Frederick West's alleged crimes are too heinous for him to deserve a fair trial. The court might rightly argue, and would certainly believe that, *it is because the crimes Frederick West stands accused of are so vile, and because the evidence against him is so overwhelming, that he is entitled to the very best defence that can be mustered, and that the law in all its majesty must bend over backwards to be fair to him.* And, when the time comes, Frederick West will have his day in court. He will have the opportunity to step into the witness box and say his piece. No Holocaust Revisionist has committed any crimes of the magnitude of Frederick West's alleged crimes. Should even the most scurrilous writer, the most fanatical anti-Semite, the most twisted, devious, hate-filled of "fascists" or fellow travellers, be denied the chance to state his case when an alleged ghoul and human fiend like Frederick West will not be denied his?

Again, this argument is so overwhelming, and should be so obvious, that it can and should be applied to any political, religious or other beliefs and philosophy. The idea that any creed is so subtly and overwhelmingly dangerous

that it will poison the minds of all those who are naïve enough to give it a fair hearing is too absurd for words. On the other hand, people can clearly have their minds poisoned by being subjected to a continuous barrage of one-sided propaganda from whatever source, because eventually they begin to believe that there is no other viewpoint. This is why in dictatorships the media is invariably subject to heavy censorship and at times outright state control. In a dictatorship, the media directs rather than reflects the beliefs of the people by suppressing all dissident views, including democracy.

An important point here is that there is always an opposing or dissenting view, and it is not necessary to be any manner of conspiracy theorist to realise this. History and science are constantly being rewritten as fresh facts and new evidence come to light. It is not necessary for opposing or minority views to be correct or even substantially correct, indeed, they are more often than not wrong, fallacious or grossly mistaken. But if any viewpoint, however ludicrous, is suppressed, any grain of truth it may contain will be suppressed with it, and the prevailing wisdom will become a rigid, immovable dogma.

Then there is the question of faith in your own beliefs. The current writer is an atheist, but his *faith* in atheism is strong enough for him to debate with any Catholic or any advocate of any religion. If you believe you are in the right, you should never be afraid to debate with anyone. Could this be the reason ANAL, academics, the Jewish establishment, and even the government, are afraid to debate with Holocaust Revisionists? That they fear the Revisionists may be right? Are the Revisionists right?

I do not propose even to attempt to answer that last question in this study, but what I do propose to do is present a vigorous defence of the Revisionists, these apparently so evil and untrustworthy men, against their apparently so idealistic and trustworthy accusers. This study is divided into two unequal parts: a philosophical discussion of the validity of Holocaust Revisionism, or any historical revisionism; and a reply to ANAL's pamphlet, which includes a documented exposé of some of the countless slanders and libels against the Revisionists and the German people. The second part includes also an exploration of some of the numerous ways in which the Holocaust is exploited.

Having researched this subject intermittently and with my limited resources for the past fourteen years, I feel that a purely philosophical defence of Holocaust Revisionism is long overdue. This book is both a philosophical defence and a Libertarian defence. Although I am a committed Libertarian I

am certainly no philosopher, at least no more so than the proverbial man in the street. My only qualifications for writing this book are my aforementioned ongoing research, an appreciation of the scientific method, a certain commitment to historical truth, and a reluctance to apply double standards to Revisionists and Exterminationists, (4) Nazis and anti-Nazis, Gentiles and Jews.

For many years this subject was totally taboo in academia; in a very real sense it was - and still is - less of a taboo to be a convicted child molester than to be a Holocaust Revisionist. In short, the Holocaust - the orthodox version of it - has become in a very real sense a religion, an article of faith. As an atheist and a rationalist, I have little or no respect for faith, and even less for religion. And as a confirmed skeptic, I find nothing sacred. Except the right to question.

One final note, as with most of my non-fiction publications, I have worked overwhelmingly with documentation which is in the public domain. This means that the skeptical reader can order the relevant press cuttings and books for himself to satisfy himself (or herself) of my veracity, and that any errors I make are likely to be errors of interpretation rather than of fact.

Alexander Baron,
Sydenham,
London,
England.

November 8, 1994

Notes And References

(1) *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, by A.R. Butz, published by Historical Review Press, Brighton, Sussex, Second Edition, (1977), page 6.

(2) *I Believed*, by Douglas Hyde, published by William Heinemann, London, (1950), page 180.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(3) William Sackville Russell Hastings, 1888-1953, the 12th Duke of Bedford, formerly the Marquis of Tavistock. He was also an ardent financial reformer.

(4) Just as I shall refer throughout this book to the deniers of the Holocaust as Revisionists rather than by the epithet Deniers, I shall refer to its proponents as Exterminationists rather than by the equally derogatory "Court Historians", a term which appears to have been coined by the early American Revisionist Historian Harry Elmer Barnes.

Part 1: Holocaust Revisionism In Perspective

How Can Anyone Deny The Holocaust?

This is a very good question, after all, six million Jews did disappear. Didn't they? We've all seen the *proof* of the Nazi genocide, haven't we? Who hasn't seen the mass graves of Belsen and other camps, the diseased, emaciated bodies of Jews and others being shovelled into their final resting places with bulldozers? Then there were the Nuremberg and other trials at which the guilt of the Nazis was proved overwhelmingly, and at which many of them admitted their guilt. Then there are the countless survivors, some of whom are still alive today, (the so-called living witnesses). Finally, there is the sheer magnitude of the Holocaust. Nobody could fabricate such a *hoax* on such a colossal scale. Could they?

There are many questions here, and we will attempt to answer them in this section and the next, though not necessarily in that order. Not too many years ago, any suggestion that the Holocaust might not have happened or that it had been grossly exaggerated would have been met not only with anger - by non-Jews as well as Jews - but by the suggestion that the doubter was not quite sane. As the article from the *Jewish Chronicle* reproduced on page 7 demonstrates clearly, sanity is not a prerequisite for denying the Holocaust; (1) but as we will see in Part Two when we examine the testimony of Auschwitz survivor Kitty Hart, neither is sanity a prerequisite for affirming it.

First though, let us examine the perceived wisdom of the Holocaust and compare it with the academic one, and to do that, let's take a look at a slightly different field, the occult.

Priest denies massacre of six million

From our Correspondent

ROME

No mention of the declaration on the Jews was made in a long speech by the Pope at the weekend, in the course of a special audience granted to a group of cardinals. Among the subjects the Pope dealt with was what the Ecumenical Council had accomplished and what it was expected to accomplish during its forthcoming final session in the autumn.

Meanwhile, little enough seems to have been done to stamp out antisemitism of the most virulent kind propagated by members of the Roman Catholic priesthood. The latest example is a pamphlet

distributed at a Franciscan sanctuary in the little town of Morlupo, near Rome.

Written by one Father Giorgio da Terni, an "open letter" in the pamphlet makes a great deal of Nazi propaganda before and during the war seem the work of amateurs. "All the most famous Popes, saints and fathers of the Church . . . have . . . warned against the epidemic Jewish disease, more contagious than the plague or venereal disease," declares Father da Terni.

He continues: "The worst destroyers of humanity have been Jews, from Nobel, the inventor of dynamite, to Einstein, the discoverer of atomic energy. . . . From the first masonic lodges to the Bolshevik revolution, we find Jews everywhere; from the first Mayors of Rome to the ferocious and blood-thirsty Marx, Engels, Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin, Khrushchev and Brezhnev.

"The six million Jews gassed in German concentration camps never existed, except in the distortions of the clever Children of Israel. . . . This truth is beginning to appear in the Egyptian, Syrian and Jordan press. Go and ask the Arabs who the Jews are, and you will really learn how they hate Jesus."

The Union of Italian Jewish Communities has addressed a letter [of protest] to the Bishop of Terni, in whose diocese Father da Terni preaches, and from where he also sends articles to the official newspaper of the Italian neo-fascist party, Movimento Sociale Italiano.

This article was published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 2, 1965. If Father Terni's words have been translated faithfully, then some Holocaust Revisionists - like some anti-Semites - are not simply liars, fools or bigots but madmen as well. The media has attempted - with great success - to portray all Revisionists in the same light, but such hate propaganda is no longer tenable, and the very substantial evidence the Revisionists adduce and well reasoned arguments they put forward have now to be confronted and debated.

Notes And References

(1) If this article is a faithful reflection of the contents of this priest's alleged hate pamphlet. Having been libelled (more than once) by this scurrilous hate sheet myself, I would not take any of its claims at face value.

An Occult Comparison

It is probably true that many or even most people in Britain and throughout the world believe in one or more of the following: astrology, flying saucers and alien abductions, telepathy, psychokinesis (eg psychic spoon-bending), the Loch Ness Monster, the Abominable Snowman, spirit mediumship, psychic detectives, and so on. If you read a tabloid newspaper the chances are that it will contain a horoscope, and many people, including wealthy businessmen, believe in such nonsense so strongly that they will spend quite large sums of money on personal astrologers and even use them as guides in business. It is even said that when Ronald Reagan was in the White House, Presidential decisions were made by his First Lady, Nancy, (1) consulting an astrologer. (2) I refer to horoscopes and astrology as nonsense, as are all these and other related phenomena, because, although the public may believe in them, the overwhelming majority of scientists do not. And the reason they do not, is not, generally, because scientists are narrow-minded, bigoted people, but because whatever the public believes, the scientific literature often says something different, and, in the final analysis, one should always listen to the experts. (3)

If, for example, you are diagnosed by a hospital consultant as having cancer of the breast (or testicle) and he says it must come off or you'll die, what do you do? You might turn to another consultant for a second opinion, you might even seek a third or fourth opinion, but if they all agreed that you had cancer while every member of your family, and friends, disagreed, who would you listen to? Even if the experts were outnumbered fifty to one, you'd surely still take their advice. Why? Because they are the experts, and, in the words of Hans Eysenck, they know the literature, while the man in the street doesn't. (4)

Just how irrelevant is the opinion of the man (or woman) in the street can be seen from the following short table. This shows the results of a 1982 Gallup poll:

Country	% of adults who believe in the Devil (5)
France	17
Great Britain	21
West Germany	25
United States	34
Greece	67

It is truly amazing that in the United States, the country which gave us the production line, Silicon Valley, Windows 3.1, the first man on the Moon...a sizable percentage of people still believe in, or profess to believe in, the Devil.

In considering the previous paragraphs, there are two important lessons to be learnt: the lessons of the ontological fallacy and the faggot fallacy. (6) The ontological fallacy implies that no one could be so bold or so brazen as to invent a hoax or lie on the scale which the Revisionists are said to postulate. This fallacy is tempting but is quite patently false because it implies that the mere utterance of brazen lies on an enormous scale means that they must be true! (7) If truth were decided by a vote, the Devil would reign in Greece!

The faggot fallacy implies that masses of weak evidence make a strong case. The reality is that weak evidence and lies constitute weak evidence and lies no matter how many times they may be repeated. Or by whom. You may sometimes hear skeptics claim that there is no evidence of life after death, of reincarnation, for the existence of flying saucers, etc. The truth is that there are masses of evidence for all these phenomena and more. There are some specialist bookshops which stock literally thousands of books crammed full of evidence for the existence of UFOs and the like. It is not the quantity of the evidence which is unconvincing but the quality of it.

This point was made strongly by Mark Weber in 1982: "...numerous affidavits and statements from *eyewitnesses*, as well as extensive published material from books could be presented in support of the proposition that *flying saucers* piloted by alien beings from other planets have landed on earth, and that humans have communicated and traveled with the aliens. But such evidence, while very extensive, would not be sufficient to have a court take judicial notice of the existence of *flying saucers*." (8)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

What then would be sufficient proof for the existence of psychic phenomena? Because of the extraordinary nature of such claims, and what the existence of such phenomena would entail (rewriting the laws of physics), the only proof that would suffice would be for psychic phenomena to be manifested under the tightest of controls in laboratories and to be replicated throughout the world. Some researchers have claimed on occasion to be able to manifest psychic phenomena, but their results have *never* been replicated - whatever you may have read or heard - therefore they do not count. (9)

The proof required for the Holocaust - or the *hoaxing* of it - is less than the proof demanded for the validation of psychic phenomena because for the Holocaust to have been fabricated does not require a new interpretation of the laws of physics. We are not talking about "the supernatural or extra-terrestrial or, indeed, anything more unusual than people lying about their political enemies." (10) As we shall see shortly, the Revisionists claim, with some justification, that to accept the Holocaust in its entirety, we must rewrite the laws of physics. If that is truly the case, then we should reject the Holocaust, or at least that part of it which violates the laws of physics, however strong the documentation or however sincere the eyewitnesses appear to be. The laws of physics are universal, while in the final analysis history is simply bits of paper, celluloid, and engrams, all of which are subject to corruption, manipulation, and false interpretation, wilful or otherwise. (11)

This is an important point to bear in mind, as the proponents of the Holocaust are apt often to use special pleading and all manner of emotional non-arguments:

How could anyone ever deny the truth of the Holocaust? (12)

Mr X or Mrs X survived five death camps. (13)

I saw my friends murdered before my eyes. (14)

I lost 217 relatives in the Holocaust. (15)

How could anyone accuse any Jew or any survivor of lying about the Holocaust? (16) And so on.

As we shall see in Part Two, many people, including Jews, *have* lied about the Holocaust, and some have led the world up the garden path for decades. It is as well to remember in this context that, however much someone has suffered or claims to have suffered, does not make that person immune to personal criticism. Indeed, it might be argued that the more people have suffered the bigger the axe they have to grind. Even people of exemplary character will lie when it suits them, (17) and we can all be wrong.

Notes And References

(1) This was widely reported at the time. White House aide Donald T. Regan made this claim in his memoirs, but see for example *HOW NANCY SET UP THE SUMMIT. BY HOROSCOPE: Stargazer fixed day for Reagan*, published in the *Daily Mirror*, May 10, 1988, page 7.

(2) This is an especially frightening thought: "Shall we start World War Three today?" asks Ronnie, "Just a minute, dear," replies Nancy, "I'll ask Mrs Quigley what the position of the planet Venus indicates."

(3) For a scientific appraisal of astrology, the reader is referred to *ASTROLOGY: TRUE OR FALSE? A Scientific Evaluation*, by Roger B. Culver and Philip A. Ianna, published by Prometheus Books, Buffalo, New York, (1988).

(4) In 1993, the current writer interviewed the distinguished psychologist Hans Eysenck in connection with an entirely different matter, smoking and lung cancer. Eysenck told me that there was no proven physiological or biological mechanism by which smoking causes lung cancer, (although this does not mean that it doesn't). I replied that this was not what the man in the street believed. To which he replied that what the man in the street believes is irrelevant, because he doesn't know the literature.

(5) From page xiii of *SATAN WANTS YOU: THE CULT OF DEVIL WORSHIP IN AMERICA*, by Arthur Lyons, published by the Mysterious Press, New York, (1988).

(6) For the benefit of the totally uninitiated, a fallacy is an argument which appears to be sound but which is in fact false. For example, light is the opposite of heavy; light is also the opposite of dark; therefore heavy means dark. The

fallacy here is the fallacy of equivocation: we have used the word *light* to mean two entirely different things.

(7) A point made by Holocaust Revisionist Arthur R. Butz in his definitive refutation of the Holocaust, *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 245, (op cit).

(8) From the Declaration of Mark Edward Weber, published in *The Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1982, Volume Three, Number One. This quote is taken from page 33.

(9) It is important to understand that we are not implying here that anyone has cheated, even though the history of the quest for psychic power is replete with fraud, error and sloppy research, poor controls etc. Any one-off experimental result, however extraordinary, does not count, period. If, say, a psychic and his (or more often her) investigators claimed to have predicted the correct fall of a die fifty times in a row, this still would not count if it could not be replicated. As it never can or has been, for the obvious reason.

(10) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 241, (op cit).

(11) Anyone who has any doubts about the extent to which memories can be corrupted and manipulated should read the excellent study by Philip J. Klass, *UFO Abductions: A Dangerous Game*. Klass is probably the world's leading authority on this fantasy.

The Fall 1994 issue of the *Skeptical Inquirer* - to which Klass contributes - published a terrifying article by fellow skeptic Martin Gardner, *The Tragedy of False Memories*, which contains shocking revelations of some of the impossible crimes child care assistants have been accused of, and in many cases convicted of, on the uncorroborated testimonies of young children. Gardner and other skeptics trace the wave of Satanic abuse hysteria that has swept over America in the past decade or so to Christian Fundamentalists who started the legend and quack "therapists" who induce such false memories of ritual abuse.

(12) How could anyone deny the truth of the Resurrection?

(13) Rather than being evidence for the existence of a Nazi extermination programme, such seemingly miraculous survivals would appear, on closer inspection, to be evidence in favour of the Revisionists' case. Auschwitz survivor Leon Greenman, who at the time of writing is still active in the anti-fascist movement well into his eighties, was interned in no less than five Nazi concentration camps.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(14) This may be true, though often it isn't, but in any case, one act or several acts of murder do not constitute a Holocaust.

(15) A claim made in *ECHOES OF THE HOLOCAUST*, by Clare Roskill, which was published in the social workers' magazine *Community Care*, April 14, 1994, pages 22-3. The author claimed in 1990 to have learned that she (he?) had lost 217 members of her family in the Holocaust on her maternal grandmother's side alone. To paraphrase Oscar Wilde, to lose one relative is unfortunate, but to lose 217 makes me extremely skeptical.

(16) Among the more than five billion people on the face of this planet, and out of countless races, nationalities and religions, Jews alone never lie, nor do they make honest mistakes. Surely only an anti-Semite would claim otherwise?

(17) One should also bear in mind that Adolf Hitler was a German patriot, a war hero, and an abstemious vegetarian who banned vivisection and loved children. This is not a bad character reference.

Demographic Approaches To The Holocaust

Both Revisionists and Exterminationists have attempted to *prove* by demographic means both that six million Jews were not exterminated by the Nazis and that they were. The difference is that when the Court Historians (Exterminationists) use statistics in this manner they are endorsed, or at best are not criticised, whereas when Revisionists use them so, they are playing a "vile numbers game". (1) Professor Butz comments on the hopelessness of the demographic approach and some of the problems involved in using it, (2) while someone far less favourably inclined towards Holocaust Revisionism points out that the widely quoted figure for the world's Jewish population cited by the *New York Times* of February 22, 1948, (3) was given in error. (4)

Notes And References

(1) An allegation made against Richard Verrall by Jewish historian Gitta Sereny in her hysterical polemic against Holocaust Revisionism *The men who whitewash Hitler*, published in the *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, pages 670-3. This quote appears on page 671.

(2) See for example pages 16-7, Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, (op cit).

(3) This figure (15,000,000 to 18,000,000) is cited by Richard Harwood (more precisely 15,600,000-18,700,000), in his pamphlet *SIX MILLION LOST AND FOUND*, on page 7. [There are slightly different versions of this pamphlet; see *More ANAL Lies And Nonsense*, note 8. (I was informed personally by the publisher that there is at least one pirate version).]

(4) This claim is made by author Morris Kominsky in *THE HOAXERS: Plain Liars, Fancy Liars, and Damned Liars*, [published by Branden Press, Boston, (1970)], see page 120. On the following page, Kominsky, citing another published source, gives the world Jewish population as 13,016,000 for 1965.

Should We Suspend Our Disbelief?

Returning for a moment to mystical matters, it is often suggested (or more often implied than suggested) that because there are such "mountains of evidence" (1) that the Holocaust took place, it is pointless to examine it, that we should accept it much the same way we should accept that the Earth is round. By the same token, we must take the testimony of survivors at face value. Okay, so they may be wrong on insignificant details, they may get a date mixed up here and there, they may even have an axe to grind (haven't they all?), but questioning them, disputing the *facts* of the Holocaust as they present them is just not politic, rather it is offensive, obscene, or even, worst of all, anti-Semitic.

This is special pleading and is little more than a thinly disguised appeal for us to accept the Holocaust as an article of faith. In other words, like organised religion, we are told that we should suspend our disbelief. But of course, if we suspend our disbelief, we suspend with it our critical faculties and our reason. If we suspend our disbelief, we can believe literally anything. That a man can be born of a virgin after his mother had been impregnated by an angel, that he could walk on the water, raise the dead, and even return from the grave himself. Suspension of disbelief and revealed truths have no place in science, nor have they any place in the study of any academic subject. Besides, we are not being asked to suspend our disbelief simply to spare the feelings of survivors, but to indict and convict the German people of the greatest crime in history. The German people cry out for justice, even if their accusers do not.

Notes And References

(1) Sereny uses that very phrase, *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, page 670.

The Jews Under The Nazis: Public Perception And Reality

Just as scientific opinion about flying saucers, astrology, ouija boards and the like, is markedly at odds with that of the layman, so too is the public perception of the Holocaust markedly at odds with the academic one. The public perception is largely that a gangster named Adolf Hitler terrorised his way into power by blaming all the ills of the world (or at least all the ills of Germany) on the Jews, and that once in power the Nazis set about systemically terrorising Jews, threw most of them into concentration camps, and later exterminated them because they believed them to be a bacillus on the German nation.

All this was done without the rule of law while the entire world looked on. In addition to this, Hitler and the Nazis wanted a race of blond-haired, blue-eyed supermen, and set about invading every other country in Europe as the first step on the road to world power, after which all the non-white peoples of the world would also have been exterminated and the Fourth Reich established, which Hitler intended to last a thousand years. Furthermore, the Jews in the concentration camps were exterminated largely in gas chambers but also by other means, and some of them were even turned into soap.

The passage of nearly five decades has blunted the black propaganda image and false public perception of Nazi Germany considerably, but many of the more outrageous misconceptions are still with us. The facts of Hitler's and Nazism's rise to power are, briefly, as follows. At a time of economic depression, there arose in Weimar Germany, two competing extremist movements, the Communists and the National Socialists, (Nazis). Hitler had joined a nationalist party, the German Labour or German Workers Party in 1919, and had forged the Nazi Party out of this.

The Nazis adopted a policy of avowed and unashamed anti-Semitism; the 1932 Nazi manifesto read in part as follows: Planks 3 and 4 stated candidly: "Dismissal of all Jews and non-Germans from all responsible positions in public life" and "Prevention of immigration of Eastern Jews and other parasitic foreigners. Undesirable foreigners and Jews to be deported." (1)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

There is though some evidence that this was, to a large extent, rhetoric. The anti-Nazi propagandist Sefton Delmer, whom we shall meet in Part Two, claimed in his 1961 autobiography that: "Those who questioned such radical demands of the Nazis as the *abolition of interest slavery* and the *degradation of the Jews to second class citizens* were only too ready to accept the excuses put forward in private by leading Nazis that this was mere propaganda and not to be taken seriously. That *the only Jews to suffer will be communist Jews.*" (2)

Hitler and the Nazis took power democratically, and the rule of law was upheld in Nazi Germany, (3) although the Jews were progressively excluded from both business and public life through a series of anti-Jewish decrees which culminated in the Nuremberg Laws of September 1935. (4) It is important to understand that, whatever the anti-Jewish decrees and measures of the Nazi government, Jews were not outlaws in Nazi Germany, and it was always illegal to kill them, or even to "persecute" them *without due process of law*. To prove this, we can do no better than to quote several reports from the contemporary *Jewish Chronicle*, an organ that can hardly be accused of bias towards Nazism:

March 17, 1933, pages 22-4, the paper reported: *ANTI-JEWISH TERROR IN GERMANY...* which said that a number of outrages had occurred, including the murder of a Jewish lawyer and a wholesale merchant. Nazis were said to be picketing Jewish shops. But page 22 reported the case of three Nazis in Cologne who robbed a Jew of 800 Marks at gunpoint, were apprehended and expelled from the party.

January 12, 1934, pages 14-15: *GERMANY DISMISSAL OF JEWS...* reports that the courts did not always rubber-stamp Party decisions.

February 9, 1934, pages 14-5: *Fined for Insulting a Jew* reports that a National Socialist in Bavaria was fined 25 Marks for sending a Jewish lawyer an official communication addressed "To the Jew lawyer..." The Jew sued him and won! This is the sort of thing you most definitely will not read about in the hate propaganda churned out by ANAL and their fellow travellers.

February 23, 1934, pages 12-3: *Imprisoned for Threatening a Jew* reports that two nineteen year old artisans were jailed, each for a month, for demanding money with menaces from a Jewish merchant.

August 10, 1934, page 12, a Hamburg court ruled that a Jewish pauper was entitled to free legal defence, which begs the question: why was he a pauper? On the same page, Nazis were said to have condemned the desecration of 54

tombstones which were smashed when a Jewish cemetery was vandalised. "Our movement wars only against living people, not against the dead, who are at peace", one Nazi publication is said to have reported. Three drunkards were later jailed, one for one year, one for six months, one for four months. The incident appears not to have been political; the culprits were jailed in accordance with the guidelines laid down by Hitler himself.

November 16, 1934, page 19, *Court Decisions in Favour of Jews* reports that a Nazi stormtrooper who attacked two Jews for no reason was jailed for six months. And that when a Jewish firm sued an *Aryan* firm for drawing attention to the ethnic ownership of the former, the court ruled that it was not permissible to do so. The Jewish firm was awarded damages!

November 15, 1935, page 16, a story *Sentences for Blackmailing Jews*, reported that a man named Wankerl had been sentenced to six weeks' imprisonment for blackmailing a Jew. The accused had been connected for a considerable period with a Jewish textile firm in Augsburg, but the connection had been severed following a sentence imposed on Wankerl for embezzlement. Subsequently, he wrote to the proprietor stating that the latter had been guilty of telling "atrocious" stories and of planning an evasion of currency laws; and asked for a sum of money. The court found that there was no proof that the Jew had been guilty of these offences, and considered that *the crime was aggravated by the fact that it was a German who was attempting to blackmail a Jew.* (Emphasis added).

Another newspaper reported a similar story. A certain Karl Heber, who claimed to be a member of the Nazi Party, asked a local Jew for a sum of money, promising to secure him various privileges. Heber was subsequently arrested.

A story *Compensation for Dismissed Jew* reported the passing of a Jewish firm in Frankfort-on-the-Main into *Aryan* hands and the immediate dismissal of a Jewish employee because the owner contended that he did not want to keep Jews in his employ. The Jewish employee sued, and the court ordered the owner to pay the discharged employee three months' salary as compensation, declaring that he should have considered the question of the Jew's continued employment while the transfer of the business was being negotiated.

A long article in the September 6, 1935 issue: *GERMANY "Fight Jewry Legally" NAZI LEADERS URGE DISCIPLINE Individual Terrorism Denounced* reported that rogue elements were being brought to heel. The *Jewish Chronicle* dismissed this as window-dressing. Hitler was said to have strongly disapproved of violence against the Jews. A leading Nazi, District leader

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Telschow, said that "All individual action against Jews was forbidden once and for all. If a Jew violated the law, he must be handed over to the State powers."

October 25, 1935, page 18, *Five Years for Blackmailing a Jew* reported that an ex-Storm Trooper in Cassel was sentenced to such a term for demanding money from a Jew who had relations with his fiancée seven years previously. The judge said that "the solution of the Jewish question was the task of the State and not of private individuals."

November 22, 1935, page 17 carried the story *PRISON FOR SLANDERING JEWS A Rare Case At Karlsruhe INVITATION TO THE FOREIGN PRESS* in which an *Aryan* was said to have been sentenced to 8 months imprisonment for making false charges against the Jewish employer of his unmarried niece, and the man's two sons.

December 13, 1935, page 14, *DISMISSAL OF JEWS Another Court Decision*, reported that the Supreme Court had ruled in favour of a man of *non-Aryan* descent dismissed by a private firm.

January 3, 1936, page 17, "*INDIVIDUAL ACTION*" *PUNISHED A Welcome Exception* reported that two Nazis were sentenced to 3 months in jail for blackmailing and attacking a Jew. As the above articles have demonstrated clearly, this was not such an exception. The same page announced a three month sentence on an 18 year old Jew for kissing an *Aryan* girl.

January 17, 1936, page 17, *Jewish Flag Officially Recognised* reported that the Zionist banner was recognised and "entitled to police protection under the Nuremberg laws and the national flag regulations."

On page 18 of the same issue, it was reported that an official had called on a Jewish widow of 77 to tell her that the names of her two sons who were killed in the (First World) War were to be erased from the local war memorial. As no names were given, this may have been a black propaganda story, the sort Organised Jewry has become notorious for. But, if it is true, then this is as sordid an act as any of the "individual acts" of terror carried out against Jews by hotheads and zealots.

The issue for March 13, 1936, page 17 reports "For *sullyng the purity of the fight against the Jewish people*" a Nazi blackmailer was sentenced to 18 months imprisonment and three years loss of civil rights. He had threatened to denounce a Jewish tradesman for an alleged offence against German school-girls in 1926. The *Jewish Chronicle's* tone was mocking. On page 18, it was

reported that two youths at Cologne were sentenced to 18 months and one year respectively for blackmailing Jews.

March 20, 1936, page 20 reports *A WELCOME RARITY Court Supports Jewish Claim*. A Jewish commercial traveller brought an action against a firm which had engaged him for three years in January 1935. He was dismissed for being a Jew. (This was after the passage of the Nuremberg Laws!) The firm paid him 5,000 marks in an out of court settlement. Was this really such a rarity?

March 27, 1936, page 22 reported that two *Aryans* were jailed for 17 months and 12 months respectively for *Rassenschande* (race pollution). Both men were deprived of their civil rights for three years. A 28 year old Jew was acquitted of the same offence for insufficient proof. (5)

I have itemised the foregoing in considerable detail so that the reader may attempt to form a more objective picture of the status of Jews in the Third Reich. I have no doubt that he will be as astonished as I was, but let me repeat, as these reports have been extracted from the *Jewish Chronicle*, they can hardly be accused of bias towards Nazism. The report of March 27, 1936, is, I feel, especially important, because it demonstrates the fact that the law for the protection of German blood as articulated in the "crime" of *Rassenschande*, (6) was applied equally to non-Jews and Jews. It is just as well to point out here that the Jewish religion forbids marrying out, and indeed, miscegenation is taboo in many if not all societies, for the obvious reason that with miscegenation, the character of that society deteriorates, or at the very least, is transformed. (7) This has nothing to do with racial prejudice or that most nebulous yet ill-defined of evils, *racism*, rather it is simply the understandable desire of all peoples to preserve their heritage through their children and grandchildren. Whatever that heritage may be.

All the above may appear to have taken us a long way from Holocaust Revisionism, but it was necessary in order to put the Nazi persecution of the Jews in context. As far as Jews were persecuted in Nazi Germany - and they most certainly were - this persecution was, again, done within the framework of anti-Jewish legislation. By contrast, the persecution of the Palestinian people by the Zionists has been effected totally outside the framework of law, and many, many atrocities have been committed against the Palestinian people, and their children, such as were never contemplated by the Nazis before the outbreak of World War Two.

Notes And References

(1) *The Programme of the NSDAP...*, by Gottfried Feder, translated by E.T.S. Dugdale, first published 1932. This is taken from page 29 of the modern English edition published by the overtly Nazi British Movement, B.P. Publications, Shotton, Clwyd, (1980).

(2) *Trail Sinister: AN AUTOBIOGRAPHY*, by Sefton Delmer, Volume 1, published by Secker & Warburg, London, (1961), page 157.

(3) In *The Road To Serfdom*, [Routledge & Kegan Paul, London, (1944)], the distinguished Libertarian economist F.A. Hayek explains how the rule of law can be subverted - and was subverted to a large extent in Nazi Germany - through progressively repressive legislation aimed at one particular group (in this case the Jews), but this is a deep philosophical discussion which would take us far afield, and which, I confess without shame, that I find difficult to understand. In any case, however horrendous the Nazi régime, we have experienced the subversion of the rule of law in Britain, and in the United States and other countries where "anti-discrimination legislation" has been foisted onto the majority by pressure groups, including Jewish groups. Anti-discrimination legislation (quotas etc), benign as it sounds, amounts in reality to the mass subversion of individual rights, property rights in particular.

(4) These were by no means the last or the most Draconian of the Nazi government's anti-Jewish decrees.

(5) It was also reported here that a Jew died in police custody, supposedly under suspicious circumstances. Of course, it is not only Jews and other minorities who die in police custody in mysterious circumstances. Even to this day!

(6) Literally "race pollution".

(7) Anti-miscegenation laws were in force in the United States until quite recently, and, of course, in South Africa.

From Nazi Germany To Occupied Palestine

In the winter of 1987, the Palestinians of the Gaza Strip rose up against their Zionist oppressors. And threw stones. The Israeli Army responded by shooting them. In the first year of the *intifada*, 400 Palestinians were killed and some 20,000 injured. (1) An Amnesty International press release embargoed for 27 May 1993 revealed that between December 1992 (2) and the release date, more than a hundred Palestinians had been shot and killed (read murdered in cold blood) by the Israeli security forces, thirty of them under the age of seventeen. This was under the *intifada*; many, many other Zionist crimes and atrocities have been documented - including by Jewish human rights campaigners - both before and since. One of the nastiest tricks the Israeli authorities carried out was the dynamiting of the homes of "suspected terrorists". The Israeli security services have a record of torture and murder which makes the Gestapo look positively humane by comparison. It can be stated truthfully that until very recently, the Palestinian people in Israel/Occupied Palestine were not living under the rule of law. This was *not* the situation in Nazi Germany. The only incident of alleged state sponsored terrorism by the Nazis against the Jews before the outbreak of World War Two, was Kristallnacht - the anti-Jewish outrages following the November 1938 assassination of the German diplomat Ernst vom Rath in Paris by the seventeen year old Jew Herschel Feibel Grynszpan. (3) And even that has been hotly disputed.

Again, this fact may come as a shock to the reader, but it is true nevertheless: it was far safer to be a Jew in Nazi Germany than to be a Palestinian in modern day Israel, whatever special pleading Jewish leaders and Zionist apologists espouse. This is a fact the reader would do well to bear in mind whenever he hears "anti-fascists" whining and wailing about the evils of anti-Semitism, fascism, and, particularly, Nazism, because Jewish leaders and Zionist apologists are among the greatest detractors of Nazi Germany. (4)

At the outbreak of World War Two, Chaim Weizmann, the recognised leader of world Zionism, declared that the Jews would stand by Great Britain and fight on the side of the democracies. Weizmann's declaration was reported in

the *Jewish Chronicle*. In the same issue, the Chief Rabbi, J.H. Hertz, called the coming fratricidal bloodbath a war to save civilisation. (5) It could thus, and indeed has, been argued, that Jews in Germany had been declared enemy aliens and should therefore be interned. (6) It should also be noted that later in the war, after the entry of the United States, American citizens of Japanese ancestry were rounded up and interned in concentration camps in similar fashion and with some brutality, even though there had been no similar declaration of war by or on behalf of Japanese-Americans. (7)

With regard to Jews in Germany, it should be noted that a) not all Jews were interned; and b) that Jews (and Gentile Germans) were involved in subverting the German war effort. An article in the *Jewish Chronicle* for June 19, 1942, (page 1), reported that 258 Jews from Berlin had been massacred in a barrack yard by a machine gun on May 28. This was said to have been a reprisal for time bombs which had been found. *If, and I stress, if*, this report is genuine - regardless of how many Jews were shot in this incident, this vindicates both of the above claims. In this context it should be noted that, a) while British reprisals against "traitors" were not nearly so severe, Britain is an island and was therefore not as vulnerable as Germany; b) that potential British "fifth columnists" were interned at the start of the war; (8) c) that treason was punishable by death in Britain anyway. (9)

Notes And References

(1) From page 3 of *Punishing A Nation: Human Rights Violations During The Palestinian Uprising December 1987-December 1988*, a report prepared by Al-Haq, *Law in the Service of Man*, published by South End Press, Boston, MA, (1990). The reader is referred specifically to this book, and to Amnesty International, who have catalogued extensively the appalling brutality meted out to the Palestinians by the Zionists.

(2) While the world looked on horrified, over four hundred Palestinian men were expelled from Israel into the desert on the mere suspicion of being sympathetic to the terrorist group Hamas.

(3) There are several ways of spelling this person's name.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(4) As indeed they might be, it is only the hypocrisy, the double standards and myopia of Jewish leaders and "anti-fascists" concerning Zionist human rights abuses and violations the current writer objects to. The unjaundiced truth about Nazi Germany or any authoritarian (or totalitarian) régime is bad enough.

(5) Weizmann's declaration is reported in the September 8, 1939 issue, page 7. The Chief Rabbi's letter, dated 4 September, 1939, appears on page 9.

(6) A point made by Harwood in *Did Six Million Really Die?*, page 4, (edition undated but published June 1987 or later).

(7) The American concentration camps have not been subjected to the same intense scrutiny as the German camps, for obvious reasons, but there is some literature, in particular the study by Nisei Michi Weglyn [*YEARS OF INFAMY: The Untold Story of America's Concentration Camps*, published by Morrow Quill, New York, (1976)].

According to this author, 110,000 Japanese-Americans were rounded up and interned; mostly on the West Coast. A black propaganda campaign tried to present them as a potential fifth column, even though there was no evidence of disloyalty by Japanese-Americans. The 150,000 Japanese in Hawaii were not interned, for economic reasons.

(8) Including Sir Oswald Mosley and many other fascists, who were in no way disloyal to Britain.

(9) The *Jewish Chronicle* for May 17, 1940, page 8, reported that the Home Secretary was introducing a new bill providing for the death penalty in grave cases of espionage and sabotage.

Are Holocaust Revisionists Flat Earthers?

We have mentioned already that Holocaust Revisionists are often likened to Flat Earthers. Or even Creationists. What is usually forgotten here is it is not so very long ago that the Flat Earthers and the Creationists were in the majority. Although the circumference of the Earth was first measured by Eratosthenes as far back as the third century B.C., (1) for the greater part of history, the vast majority of people - including the educated - believed the Earth to be flat, and with good reason. The Earth appears to be flat: topography accepted, as far as the eye can see, the Earth is flat. And if it isn't flat, but a sphere, say, why don't the people on the bottom fall off? Such questions may seem laughable to us nowadays, but only because from a very early age we have been told that the Earth is round, and because even a small child, with proper instruction, can grasp the fact that in space there is no up, down, top or bottom.

But, nearer to our own time, the germ theory of disease was treated with derision. The spherical Earth theory was not though, and it is well known today even to most lay people that Galileo and others who challenged the theory that the Earth was the centre of the universe, were treated not with ridicule or scorn but with official persecution. (2) We don't burn heretics at the stake today, but people who challenge the establishment version of the Holocaust can expect the academic equivalent, as we shall see in due course.

Are Holocaust Revisionists the latter day equivalent of Flat Earthers? Should they be treated with derision instead of persecution? Professor Butz, author of the standard Revisionist work on the Holocaust comments that: "An objection that one highly intelligent critic actually expressed was that he thought that my story was similar to ones he had read about 'flying saucers' and 'divining rods'." But, as the learned professor points out: "...our account does not involve the supernatural or extra-terrestrial or, indeed, anything more unusual than people lying about their political enemies." (3)

Holocaust Revisionists are not then any species of Flat Earther; they do not demand that we rewrite the laws of physics, simply that we consider the possibility that we have been lied to, and are being lied to, on a gigantic scale. Is this possible? Can the entire media, academia, the government, and tens or hundreds of thousands of people all be lying?

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

In the first instance, it is not necessary to postulate the idea that everyone who repeats the Holocaust story - or that everyone who denies it - is a conscious liar. Jewish academics and their fellow travellers make similar claims about the *Protocols Of Zion*, the notorious anti-Semitic fabrication which was distributed in Tsarist Russia at the turn of the century, and a decade later reared its ugly head in the West. (4) The claim is routinely made that not only was the *Protocols* anti-Semitic (which it was and is), but that anyone who ever believed it or believes it, is an anti-Semite. The reality is that the vast majority of people who have peddled this garbage - including many highly intelligent people - did so and do so not because they hate Jews but because they sincerely believed or believe it. Difficult as it is to believe that anyone would take such trash as the *Protocols* at face value today, it is a documented fact that at one time millions of people throughout the world believed it, including many Jews! (5) A corollary of this is that if free debate on the *Protocols* had been suppressed to the same extent as free debate on the Holocaust, the Nazi persecution of the Jews may have been overshadowed by official repression and persecution by the democracies!

Let us now return to the question about liars. Does the media lie to us? We know damn well it does; one of the charges the extreme left often hurls at the media is that it is the "Tory press", that it does the dirty work for rich men, the ruling class, or whatever. Certainly in some countries the media is even more outrageously dishonest than in Britain. Do academics lie to us? Would they? Academics are human the same as the rest of us, and are vulnerable to exactly the same sort of pressures, including those of conformity. Be that as it may, not all academics endorse the Holocaust, and increasingly, "respectable" Revisionist Historians are rewriting history.

Does the government lie to us? If you really need me to answer that question, you must have a hole in the head. The main objection to the Holocaust Revisionists then is the scale of the lying and deception they allege must have taken place, and be taking place still. It was and remains truly enormous. Or does it? We shall see.

Notes And References

(1) Eratosthenes of Cyrene c276-195BC.

(2) The Pole Nicolaus Copernicus 1473-1543, who was a mathematician and astronomer among other things, removed the Earth from the central position in the universe. Galileo Galilei 1564-1642, (usually known simply as Galileo), endorsed the Copernican world view, and published his *Dialogue Concerning the Two Chief World Systems* in 1632. Five months after publication, Galileo was summoned before the Inquisition. His book was ordered to be burnt and he was sentenced to life imprisonment, (the sentence was commuted to house arrest).

(3) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 241, (op cit). I used part of this quote earlier, but it is such an excellent argument that it is worth repeating.

(4) For the full historical background to the *Protocols*, the reader is referred to *WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, by Norman Cohn, published by Eyre and Spottiswoode, London, (1967).

(5) The 1920 Small, Maynard American edition of the *Protocols* attributes the following quote (page 144) to Rabbi Stephen Wise: "'The conspiracy,' if there is one, is among those of Jewish birth who are or seem ashamed of their origin. They follow false gods or none at all, and among them will be those who may seize power for their own ends." [Citing an article from the *New York Tribune*, March 2, 1920. *JEWISH PLOT ONLY AMONG APOSTATES, SAYS DR. WISE*. This address was made March 1, 1920 at Carnegie Hall.]

Why May The Holocaust Alone Not Be Challenged?

In 1993, an American Jew named Ron David published a remarkable book on the Arab-Israeli conflict. In the introduction, he writes: "Until six or seven years ago I was certain that the Israelis were on the side of the Angels, *period*. No questions, no conditions, no mitigating factors - just the Good Guys versus the Bad Guys.

A few years ago I started doing some research. Research is pretty basic stuff...The one thing you *cannot* do is have a closed mind. A researcher who has made his mind up in advance is *not* a researcher, he's an ideologue and a justifier..." (1)

He continues his polemic on page 2: "The first thing that strikes you [like an elbow in the groin?] when you begin researching the Arab/Israeli conflict is the unChristian feeling that everybody involved has taken a Vow of Closed-Minded Stupidity. The Arab/Israeli conflict makes smart people dumb, sensitive people brutal, and open minded people pigheaded fanatics...It's like the Emperor's clothes: once you see the Emperor standing there with his little dingus hanging out, it's all you can do not to burst out laughing...*I swear to you*, I cannot see how any fair-minded person with an IQ over fifty can believe the Zionist/Jewish/Israeli version of what happened in the Middle East - *and* of what is happening now. Mother Goose is more plausible than that!" (2)

David is clearly an angry man, but although his polemicising and moral outrage continue throughout the book, he presents the reader with a mass of documentation that shatters forever the image the Western media has built since the inception of the State of Israel in 1948. Fifteen or even ten years ago, the author would have been denounced as a self-hating Jew, if his book had not been given the silent treatment. If such a book had been written by a Gentile, it would have been denounced as vicious anti-Semitism. Indeed, when a British politician, Christopher Mayhew, (3) wrote a far more moderate book on the dirty tricks of the Zionist lobby, (4) it was both condemned as anti-Semitic and likened to the notorious *Protocols Of Zion*. (5)

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

In July 1981, a forty to fifty thousand strong crowd of Zionist Jews and their supporters gathered in London's Trafalgar Square to protest against the British government's recognition of the PLO. Posters of Yasser Arafat bearing the legends *WANTED FOR MURDER* and *WANTED FOR CHILD MURDER* were displayed by angry protesters. (6)

Now, not only has the Western world recognised the PLO, but so has the Israeli government, albeit under considerable pressure from both the United States and other Western nations. The whining and wailing of Zionist Jews and their fellow travellers, dupes and apologists has now been swept aside along with the black hand of censorship and Zionist propaganda.

One other point we should mention here is the pervasive influence of political Zionism throughout the Western media. It is a staple of anti-Semitic propaganda that the Jews control the media. The response of Jewish (and particularly Zionist) organisations is that this is pure anti-Semitic propaganda. However, anyone who takes the trouble to examine the facts, dispassionately, will soon realise that there is more than a grain of truth in this seemingly ludicrous charge.

The United States is the power centre of world Jewry; the two most influential daily newspapers in the United States are the *Washington Post* and the *New York Times*. The *Washington Post* was owned for many years by Eugene Meyer (1875-1959); he was succeeded by his daughter, Katherine Graham. The *Washington Post* is now owned by the Washington Post Company. (7) According to the *Encyclopaedia Judaica* (Volume 11, page 1463), the Washington Post Company was (is?) headed by Katherine Graham. The *New York Times* was founded in 1851 and was taken over by Adolph Ochs (1858-1935) in 1896. (8) From there it passed into the hands of Arthur Hays Sulzberger (1891-1968), (9) a one time anti-Zionist, who once declared: "I dislike the coercive methods of Zionists who, in this country, have not hesitated to use economic means to silence persons who have different views. I object to the attempts at character assassination of those who do not agree with them." (10)

The full extent of Jewish control (quote unquote) of the US print media has been extensively documented, and again, we can quote a Jewish source - the anti-Zionist Jew Alfred M. Lilienthal (see also previous footnote) here: "All of the leading magazines, ranging from *Commentary*, *Esquire*, *Ladies Home Journal*, *New York Review of Books*, and *U.S. News and World Report*, have Jews in key positions as publishers, editors, or managing editors. These people, at

the very least, have the veto power over what appears in their publications. No one is about to criticize Jews - or even take Israel to task - for fear of being out of line with the boss, who is likely to fire him." (11)

Another Jewish stronghold is the film industry. The Jewish control of Hollywood was so great that at one time Jews controlled every single studio. (12) In 1987, an American Jew writing in a popular book wrote: "Until television undercut the industry's power, Jews guided the destiny of America's largest propaganda machine [and] put their stamp on the American mind ..." (13)

This is an unfortunate if accurate choice of words, which, had it appeared in the British National Party's theoretical journal *Spearhead*, would have been immediately condemned as typical of that organisation's anti-Semitism. One should not make too much of the heavy involvement of Jews in the mass media, but the fact remains that they are there, and even if they are not themselves biased inevitably and invariably towards Israel and Zionism and against Holocaust Revisionism, they are certainly susceptible to pressure from such organisations as the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, ostensibly a Jewish "civil rights" organisation, but in reality one of the nastiest smear outfits on the face of this planet. (14) Just as significant as the Jewish presence in the Western media is the lack of an articulate Arab presence - something which has been changing slowly over the past decade and more.

Ron David is clearly right when he says that the Arab-Israeli conflict makes otherwise smart people dumb, sensitive people brutal, and open minded people pigheaded fanatics. But what applies to the Arab-Israeli conflict applies much more so to the Holocaust, which, by the way, David accepts in its entirety, including much outright nonsense. (15)

In 1970, Morris Kominsky published *The Hoaxers* (16) in which he exposed much anti-Semitic propaganda (among other things). Unfortunately, as well as exposing anti-Jewish lies, he added a few lies of his own, or, at best, authenticated some other canards.

On page 121 of his sometimes excellent, sometimes appalling book, Kominsky attacks the Holocaust Revisionists: "...any person with a knowledge of history knows that the Nazis exterminated Jews in Germany, Poland, Czechoslovakia, Austria, Hungary, [the] Soviet Union, France, Belgium, and wherever they could apprehend them."

Clearly this is not true. On the same page he refers to "overwhelming evidence beyond any possibility of refutation." Again, this is not true, as we

shall see. On page 123 he refers to "the alleged Soviet massacre at Katyn forest". This is an extremely unfortunate choice of words, because the Katyn massacre was not only perpetrated by the Soviets, but an attempt was made at the kangaroo court of Nuremberg to blame this atrocity on the Nazis.

The definitive book on the Katyn atrocity, was published in 1979. (17) A monument to the 14,500 victims - Polish officers and intellectuals - was erected in London only in 1976. (18) On page 162 of his book, Kominsky, referring to *Common Sense*, May 15, 1961, (19) uses the following unfortunate phrase: "On page 4, next to a column which attempts to *prove* that the extermination camp at Dachau was staged by the Jews..." This is complete nonsense, because, as we shall see later, Dachau was not any sort of extermination camp, a fact conceded by all serious historians of the Holocaust today, although there has been more than a little confusion about it over the years.

Author Kominsky embarks on a vigorous defence of communist China, and, for example, denies that Mao's gang murdered 60,000,000 people. On page 351, he is scornful of even 20,000,000 dead in Soviet Russia, and says this was a canard spread to make Hitler seem "by comparison a Christian gentleman". (20)

Still on page 351, Kominsky throws out a challenge to American anti-communists: "If the Soviet Government murdered 20 million people, Mr. Dilley and the other Ultra-Rightists must produce documentation..." Like the documentation on the Nazi extermination camps, which, according to the author, included Buchenwald and Dachau!

In Chapter IV, which is called *The Anti-Soviet Liars*, the author reveals that there were no purges, no mass murders, no starvation; Lenin was a thoroughly decent chap, and any hardships suffered by the Soviets was all the fault of the filthy capitalists anyway; they shouldn't have boycotted the country.

Evidently, Mr Kominsky is in dire need of a lesson or two in Twentieth Century history, but the point I wish to make is that no one bats an eyelid when he - or his fellow travellers - spout such arrant nonsense about the Soviet Union. When Stalin died in 1953, Harry Pollitt, the Secretary of the British Communist Party, (21) hailed him as "the greatest man of our time". (22)

The London *Times* of May 7, 1994 (page 13), reported that Shigeto Nagano the new Japanese Justice Minister, had issued a public apology and retracted his claim that the 1937 Japanese massacre of 155,000 Nanking Chinese was a fabrication. Asian countries it seems were not amused, but this is in stark

contrast to the treatment meted out to Jean Marie Le Pen, who referred to the alleged Nazi gas chambers as "a detail of history" and was dragged into court for defaming the dead. (23) And it is in even starker contrast to the treatment meted out to 64 year old Erwin Schoenborn in 1979. For in July of that year, the *Jewish Chronicle* reported that Herr Schoenborn, who was said to have been Germany's top neo-Nazi activist, was gaoled for eighteen months simply for claiming that gas chambers had not existed and offering a 10,000 Mark reward if anyone could offer him proof that they had. (24)

Notes And References

(1) *ARABS & ISRAEL FOR BEGINNERS*, by Ron David, published by Writers And Readers Publishing, New York, (1993). Illustrated by Susan David. This quote is taken from page 1.

(2) David, *Arabs And Israel For Beginners*, page 2, (ibid).

(3) Christopher Mayhew was created a Life Peer in 1981; he is a former Labour and Liberal MP.

(4) *Publish It Not...The Middle East Cover-Up*, by Michael Adams and Christopher Mayhew, published by Longman, London, (1975).

(5) *Book 'not antisemitic'*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, February 2, 1979, page 2, reported that an Israeli "dove" appeared for Mayhew and Adams in a libel case. In the August 10, 1979 issue, page 3, it was reported that the judge claimed that the book was anti-Semitic and compared it to both *Mein Kampf* and the *Protocols*.

(6) *Massive 'No' to Arafat's PLO*, by Barry Toberman, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 10, 1981, pages 2-4.

(7) *Willings Press Guide 1994*, Volume 2, page 693.

(8) *Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, Volume 8, page 280.

(9) *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, Volume 15, pages 508-9.

(10) *THE ZIONIST CONNECTION: What Price Peace?*, by Alfred M. Lilienthal, published by Dodd, Mead, New York, (1978), page 344. This was in 1946, before the founding of the State of Israel and while Organised Zionist Jewry was using every dirty trick in the book to bring about the inception of the

nascent state. The dirty tricks have hardly abated since, rather they have become dirtier, uglier, and far more proficiently executed.

(11) Lilienthal, *The Zionist Connection*, page 219, (ibid). It is hardly surprising that *Commentary* has Jews in key positions since it is a Jewish magazine (it actually started life as the *Contemporary Jewish Record*), but before anyone accuses Lilienthal of paranoia, self-hatred or even anti-Semitism it should be pointed out that his book - a massive study - is anything but anti-Jewish. Like Ron David, Lilienthal is simply an honest man who is not afraid to call a spade a spade and to judge Jews by the same criteria as Gentiles.

(12) A point made by American anti-Semite Frank L. Britton in his 1952 study *Behind Communism* (see in particular page 92), but for all the whining and wailing any mention of this "sensitive" subject raises, it is too well documented (from pro-Jewish and Jewish as well as anti-Jewish sources) to be worth debating.

(13) See page 35 of *The Jewish Image in American Film*, by Lester D. Friedman, published by Citadel Press, Secaucus, New Jersey, (1987). The 1992 edition of this large format, lavishly illustrated book is distributed in the UK by Virgin Books.

(14) The ADL started life as a respectable organisation, and, in the first instance, published refutations of such nonsense as the *Protocols Of Zion*. However, it has long since nailed its flag to the mast of political Zionism, and has also carried out spying on an enormous scale on anti-Semites - real and imagined - anti-Zionist Jews, and a plethora of individuals and organisations who could in no way be described as anti-Semitic. There is even some suggestion (false in the current writer's view) that the ADL acts as an arm of the American Secret State. The *Guardian* for May 10, 1993, carried an article on an ongoing ADL/FBI scam. It claimed that the ADL had spied on 950 political and other organisations and held computerised files on 12,000 individuals. Tom Gerard, a former CIA operative, was out on \$20,000 bail charged with stealing official documents, among other things. He had served with the Agency from 1982-5.

(15) On page 110, David repeats the anti-German libel that the Nazis turned Jewish corpses into fertiliser. This is a libel which, like the *Protocols* and much other anti-Semitic nonsense, is repeated innocently. Doubtless he believes much other wartime and Zionist-inspired propaganda.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(16) *THE HOAXERS: Plain Liars, Fancy Liars, and Damned Liars*, by Morris Kominsky, published by Branden Press, Boston, (1970). [Already alluded to].

(17) *Katyn*, by Louis FitzGibbon, published by the Noontide Press, Torrance, California, (1979). Subtitled *A Crime Without Parallel*.

(18) The remains of only 4,253 were ever found.

(19) There have been a number of publications with this title; I have been unable to locate this particular one.

(20) Kominsky, *The Hoaxers*, page 350, (op cit).

(21) Harry Pollitt (1890-1960), was a founder member in 1920 of the Communist Party of Great Britain. In 1956 he resigned as secretary and became chairman. The Communist Party of Great Britain was slavishly pro-Soviet, and after Pollitt's death, a Russian ship was named after him. In 1972, a Moscow publishing house published a glowing biography of him.

(22) Published in a 16 page Communist Party pamphlet, *IN MEMORY OF JOSEPH STALIN AND KLEMENT GOTTWALD*.

(23) See for example the *Times*, May 24, 1990, page 9. M. Le Pen was order to pay a "symbolic" one franc in damages. To the best of the current writer's knowledge, none of the plethora of survivors - Jew and Gentile - who have lied repeatedly about the Holocaust have ever been so treated.

(24) *Top neo-Nazi sent to jail*, by F. Sachser, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 6, 1979, page 4.

Jews seek PLO ban

By Richard Evans

About 5,000 members of Britain's Jewish community packed the Albert Hall yesterday and amid emotional and highly charged scenes, demanded the closure of the Palestine Liberation Organization's office in London.

The rally, organized after the shooting 11 days ago of Mr Shlomo Argov, Israeli Ambassador to Britain, was told his condition remained critical and prayed for his recovery.

Mr Yehuda Ben-Meir, Deputy Foreign Minister of Israel, who was sent to the rally by Mr Menachem Begin, the Prime Minister, said Mr Argov had been the victim of senseless terrorism.

Turning to the PLO, he said: "The so-called PLO is the cruellest, most ruthless, murderous and despicable evil organization of terror, murder, death and destruction that has stormed the face of this earth since those terrible dark days 40 years ago when Nazism engulfed Europe."

Earlier Mr Greville Janner, QC, Labour MP for Leicester, West, president of the Board of Deputies of British Jews which organized the rally, said Jews were facing a sustained barrage of attack from the media. He said he deeply resented the recent Independent Television programme, *The Middle East — Longest War*.

This article, published in the *Times*, June 14, 1982, proves that even a media which is controlled largely by Jews - at the top - is capable of objectivity, much to the disgust of hatemongers like Greville Janner, whose first loyalty is clearly to Organised Jewry and to the Zionist State, however well he serves his constituents, (and however "kind" he is to 13 year old Gentile boys).

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

It is always difficult and often impossible to look at the decisions of governments, from a position of ideological absolutism. As this debate raged within County Hall, I was reminded of an incident during the 1979 General Election when I was the candidate in Hampstead and was told by one fervent Zionist that I would only get his support if I was prepared to 'support Israel right or wrong'.

As socialists we can never give any government a blank cheque. Our practice must always be to examine critically the policies and actions of all those who hold positions of power and judge them on the basis of whether or not their actions take us closer to or further away from a fairer and more democratic world.

It was this attitude which led me to oppose and speak out against the Israeli invasion of Lebanon in the summer of 1982. Nor was I alone in this. After 30

6 New Socialist December 1984

We have to take up the plight of Palestinians and argue that they have a right to return to their homeland and live in peace

side by side with the Jews of Israel. Many Israelis know that the only way of bringing peace to the Middle East is through an accommodation between Arab and Jew. As socialists we must support any action that brings that day closer. But equally we must be prepared to speak out against those actions which make it more difficult to achieve that peace. If that means opposing Israeli governments and resisting reactionary policies then the fact that we will be condemned or smeared as anti-semitic must not stop us.

The claim of dual loyalty is one which causes much wailing and gnashing of teeth by Organised Jewry and the inevitable charges of anti-Semitism. The truth though is that the dual loyalty of Zionist Jews is a total myth: hard core Zionists are loyal *only* to Israel and to their race in much the same way as hard core Nazis were loyal *only* to the Führer and the Fatherland. (Though even Nazis didn't shoot schoolchildren on their way to buy milk as the Zionists do). Above: the well-known "anti-Semite" Ken Livingstone inadvertently let the cat out of the bag when he published this article in the December 1984 issue of *New Socialist*. Put Israel über alles every time, Mr Politician. Or we'll smear you as anti-Semitic.

Why Is The Jewish Holocaust Unique?

The history of mankind has been one holocaust after another. The Second World War killed perhaps fifty million people; the First Battle of the Somme in the First World War resulted in over a million casualties, one battle! It has been estimated that 2.1 million people died in the Battle of Stalingrad. (1) This century has seen acts of genocide and mass murder beyond belief in the Soviet Union under Stalin; in China under Mao (the so-called *Cultural Revolution* and the *Great Leap Forward*); the genocide of the Armenians by the Turks; the killing fields of Cambodia; and the current horror in Rwanda. Some of these acts of madness and inhumanity exceed in scale the alleged Nazi genocide of the Jews. In previous centuries, genocide was no less common, including the near genocide of the Red Man by pioneers and settlers in the New World; and mass murder on a considerable scale in Africa, including by the Negroes. (2)

The Holocaust though, remains unique, or so we are told. Jews have founded libraries to study anti-Semitism and the Holocaust, they have even founded museums in its honour. The Holocaust, anti-Semitism and Jewish suffering - real and imagined - have been elevated into something special. However much anyone else may have suffered, however much anyone else has been persecuted, hounded or hated, the suffering of the Jew is more special, more important. This is the message of Jewish leaders; it is also the perceived wisdom in academia.

It is fairly certain that anyone could announce to the media and to the world that any of the other holocausts were fictitious or that any number of other historical atrocities were hoaxes - as did Morris Kominsky - and, although they might well be ridiculed, their statements would not cause public outrage, riots, charges of anti-Semitism (or the equivalent), by academics, ethnic organisations, the organised left, or by the mass media in general. Why then is Jewish suffering unique? Why indeed! The answer is of course that Jewish leaders and their fellow travellers have to some extent brainwashed both the gullible *goyim* and their own people. It is almost as if they have accepted the Chosen Race propaganda of the more lunatic anti-Semites.

There is though, another, very pragmatic reason why Jewish suffering - real and imagined - has been so elevated. In order to secure special privileges for

the Jewish race, and to place its leaders - including men whose hands are every bit as red as Hitler's - beyond criticism.

Morris Kominsky claims also that seven million Jews were killed throughout the centuries by religious anti-Semitism. This is not so much a gross exaggeration as an outright lie, but the lie is not Mr Kominsky's, and, doubtless, he repeated it in good faith. (3)

This sort of hate propaganda is used by Jewish leaders with the following perverted reasoning and twisted logic: the world has nothing better to do than to hate, pogrom and murder Jews. The Jew is always the scapegoat. The Jew is always innocent, always hated just because he is a Jew. Therefore, the Jew needs special protection and special privileges. Slander of the Jew is a far greater evil than slander of a *goy*; any attack on the integrity of one Jew is an attack on us all. Anyone who hates one Jew, or even thinks ill of one Jew, is a filthy anti-Semite who hates us all. Just as the *Aryan goyim* hate the Jews, so do the Arabs, and want nothing better than to push us into the sea and destroy our beloved Israel. Therefore, the Jews must not wait for the pogroms, but must strike preemptively at the wicked *goyim*; wherever anti-Semitism exists - and it exists everywhere - it must be challenged. Wherever anyone raises his fist to strike a Jew, the Jew must strike first, and twice as hard. And so on.

It goes without saying that the Jewish - more specifically Zionist (and "anti-fascist") - method of "challenging" anti-Semitism, real and imagined, is far different from what most reasonable people would consider challenging anti-Semitism. It consists less of demanding proof of alleged anti-Jewish calumnies than of bashing the accuser over the head, metaphorically or even physically. As to striking preemptively, the reality is of course that by their incessant attacks on and hate campaigns against (particularly but not exclusively) nationalist movements, Organised Jewry has created more problems than it has ever solved, and has created far more anti-Semitism than it has ever successfully "challenged". While in the Middle East, any atrocity committed by the Zionists can be - and very often is - justified on the spurious pretext that it is better to wipe out a few anti-Semitic *goyim* (4) today, or a few hundred of them! than face a potential Holocaust tomorrow. And of course, all *goyim* are anti-Semitic, however much they may protest that they are not.

Notes And References

(1) *The Guinness Book Of Records 1993*, published by Guinness Publishing, London, (1992), page 192.

(2) Shaka, who ruled from 1818 to 1828, conquered over 300 chiefdoms to create the Zulu nation. This and many other acts of genocide are documented in *THE HISTORY AND SOCIOLOGY OF GENOCIDE: ANALYSES AND CASE STUDIES*, by Frank Chalk and Kurt Jonassohn, published by Yale University Press, London, (1990).

(3) Kominsky, *The Hoaxers*, page 214, (op cit). The author is quoting an ADL bulletin for November 1965.

(4) In this case Palestinians or any other Arabs. This perception has changed very slowly over the years as the truth about the Middle East has leaked out, and as Jews especially have recognised the reality of Zionism as opposed to its professed ideals.

A Note On "Organised Jewry"

This is not a treatise on either anti-Semitism or the Jewish Question, so I do not want to fly off at too much of a tangent, nevertheless, I must address this issue. I was told by Dr David Cesarani, Director of the Wiener Library, that the use of the term "Organised Jewry" is itself anti-Semitic. Dr Cesarani, distinguished academic that he is, is also of the opinion that anti-Semitism is a disease, and because I do not share that opinion, I am to be branded an anti-Semite on that count also. (1) Let's get a few facts straight. Jewry is organised, it is powerful, and it is totally ruthless. No, not every single Jew on the face of this planet; not your local rabbi, not the kosher butcher in Golders Green or tailor in Stamford Hill who just happens to be of the Jewish faith. Not Mr Cohen the lawyer or Mr Rosenthal the accountant, but a handful of Jewish organisations which operate worldwide in a loose knit federation. The mere creation of the State of Israel is surely proof enough of both Zionist power and ruthlessness. In the United States, the ADL has an operational budget of thirty-one million dollars a year. (2) That's one organisation!

The ADL, which, we have already established, makes a business of spying on American citizens, also lobbies vociferously to destroy free speech. (3) Although Jewish and Zionist organisations like the ADL are, on the one hand, forever whining about how powerless Jews are - as a group - they have no qualms about boasting about their power when it suits their purposes to do so. When Senator Charles Percy - who committed the ultimate Zionist heresy of putting America first - was defeated in an election, the Executive Director of AIPAC told a Jewish group in Toronto "All the Jews in America, from coast to coast, gathered to oust Percy. And the American politicians, those who hold positions now and those who aspire, got the message." (4)

Organised Jewry even smeared Henry Kissinger by claiming that he was ashamed of his Jewish background. (5) This is more than a little ironic because Kissinger is often depicted by the anti-Semitic far right as a latter day Elder of Zion! (6)

In the United Kingdom and other countries, Jewish and Zionist organisations are involved in a constant battle to destroy freedom of speech on the

spurious grounds that their race needs special protection, and that other (read non-white) races must be protected from slander by a "community libel" law.

The Home Affairs Committee "investigating" Racial Attacks, which reported at the end of 1993, was dominated by Jews. The Institute of Jewish Affairs and other organisations churn out streams of anti-nationalist (read anti-white nationalist) propaganda, and have a definite effect on national policy. One of the principal architects of Britain's Draconian *Race Relations Act* was a Jew. (7) All this represents organisation, and power. Just as the trades unions are organised, (organised labour), just as the gay "rights" lobby is organised, (the organised homosexual movement), and just as crime is organised, (organised crime), so too is Jewry. You can't look up organised labour in the phone book, nor the organised homosexual movement, nor the Mafia, these are not homogeneous, hierarchical entities any more than say the "Green Movement", but Organised Jewry, like the Green Movement, operates on an international scale, its followers share many of the same agendas, and it works towards a broad common goal, the subjugation of the *goyim* and the crushing of all dissent on the Jewish Question.

Notes And References

- (1) Personal communication, 4th August, 1994.
- (2) *ADL Resumes Spy Operations In Georgia*, published in the American anti-Semitic newspaper *The Truth At Last*, page 9, issue 370, (undated but published sometime in 1993-4).
- (3) The American anti-Zionist Jew Alfred M. Lilienthal refers to "Organized Jewry" throughout his book *The Zionist Connection*. He also refers to the Anti-Defamation League as the Jewish Gestapo. As might be expected, Lilienthal is an uncritical believer in the Holocaust.
- (4) *ISRAEL and the NEW WORLD ORDER*, by Andrew J. Hurley, published by Fithian Press, Foundation for a New World Order, Santa Barbara, (1991), page 115.
- (5) Hurley, *Israel and the New World Order*, page 97, (ibid).

(6) A lengthy article published in the October 1992 issue of *Spearhead* (the theoretical journal of the British National Party), which tried to authenticate the *Protocols* (not for the first time!), dragged Dr Kissinger into its point by point analysis.

(7) *Howard clashes with leading Jewish peer over racism*, by Bernard Josephs, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, October 15, 1993, page 40. The peer and "architect" in question was Lord Lester. The Jewish and Zionist obsession with "promoting" race relations legislation, ie destroying freedom of speech on race issues, is too well known to require documenting here, as a flick through back numbers of *Patterns Of Prejudice*, the theoretical journal of the Institute of Jewish Affairs, reveals.

Street attack on Jewish letter writer

By a Staff Reporter

Mrs Marion Woolfson, whose letter criticizing fellow Jews for their "unthinking attitude" in support of Israel was published in *The Times* on June 16, was recovering yesterday after being attacked outside her north London home.

She described the attacker, who hit her on the forehead and back with what appeared to be a metal cosh, as "tall, slim and very pale, with dark glasses and a broad-brimmed hat, pulled down over his eyes."

Mrs Woolfson said that after a previous letter from her was published in *The Times* she had received a visit from a different man, who at first claimed to be in sympathy with her views and then started abusing and threatening her. She had reported both incidents to the police.

This article from the *Times*, June 24, 1982, shows what happens to Jews who dare to speak out against Zionism, albeit moderately. Journalist Marion Woolfson is not only a Jew but a woman, but that didn't stop one of those poor, persecuted, powerless (and very brave) people from brutally assaulting her. Lucky for her she didn't question the holy writ of the Holocaust, or she may have had acid thrown in her face a la Professor Faurisson.

Zionist Propaganda And Zionist Power

A distinguished anti-Zionist Jew, Rabbi Dr Elmer Berger, has written that "[Although t]here is no intricate Zionist 'conspiracy'...Zionism has employed one of the best propaganda machines the world has ever known. It generally signals its intentions loudly and clearly. It has succeeded in furthering un-democratic programs and policies because all too few *knew enough about Zionism* to read and properly interpret its gaudy propaganda." (1)

Furthermore, Jewish leaders and other apologists for this dreadful, inhuman philosophy, have used the anti-Semite smear with gay abandon. As well as "fascists", "nationalists" and others who find their power, their policies or their riding roughshod over the rest of mankind abhorrent, they have smeared the likes of "anti-racist" loony leftist Ken Livingstone as anti-Semitic, (see page 50) and the well-known actress and "anti-racist" Vanessa Redgrave for daring to denounce Zionist atrocities against the Palestinian people and for speaking up for Palestinian rights. (2) Organised Jewry tried to have Livingstone prosecuted for incitement to racial hatred for publishing a cartoon depicting then Israeli Prime Minister (and mass murderer) Menachem Begin as a Nazi after the massacres at Sabra and Shatila. (3)

Remember Ron David's claim: "Until six or seven years ago I was certain that the Israelis were on the side of the Angels, *period*. No questions, no conditions, no mitigating factors - just the Good Guys versus the Bad Guys."

David is an honest man who has been conned and is not afraid to admit that he has been conned. He has seen through the fog of Zionist propaganda, which in his book he blames equally on the Americans. In spite of that, and in spite of many leftists realising the full extent of Zionist duplicity and mendacity, and the stranglehold the Zionists and their lap-dogs have over the Western media and the Western (and Jewish) mind, as soon as the subject shifts from the Middle East to the Nazi era, or to any other field of politics in which "fascism" rears its ugly head, they become afflicted quite suddenly with a curious myopia. It's almost as if they're schizophrenic. Even though some leftists and anti-Zionists (including Jews) will go so far as to accuse the Zionist leadership of collaborating with the Nazis during the Second World War, (4) the Nazis must always be portrayed as the bad guys, and nothing nice may ever be said about

them, even something as negative as "perhaps they didn't murder as many Jews as we've been led to believe."

Before we leave this subject I must give another documented example of the far left's schizophrenia. The anti-Zionist Palestine Solidarity Campaign publishes an occasional magazine/bulletin (presumably as funds permit) to keep people abreast of developments in Israel/Occupied Palestine and to campaign against Zionist oppression. In the November-December 1992 issue, a substantial article on the American-Israel Public Affairs Committee documented the dirty tricks of the powerful American Zionist lobby. These included smearing even mild Gentile critics of Israeli policy as anti-Semites and smearing Jewish anti-Zionists as self-hating Jews. Targets of AIPAC (and ADL) sponsored defamation included such well known "anti-Semites" as the ultra-liberal ex-President Jimmy Carter and black politician Jesse Jackson. In Britain, members of the Jewish Socialist Group had received bomb threats at their homes, and *Palestine Solidarity* speculated intelligently that this could have been a spill over from an AIPAC style operation. (5) Some critics of Zionism have had more than threats, and, as the article on page 44 shows, you don't have to be a filthy fascist or anti-Semite or even a Gentile to incur the wrath of those wonderful people who gave you Sabra and Shatila.

As we have seen, the full extent of Zionist control of the Western media and politics, particularly in the United States, has been documented reliably by a number of books, mostly written by authors who no reasonable person could brand anti-Semitic. (Zionists though are not reasonable people).

We have alluded already to Lester D. Friedman's candid statement about Jewish control of the film industry: "Until television undercut the industry's power, Jews guided the destiny of America's largest propaganda machine [and] put their stamp on the American mind ..." Mr Friedman might have added that television is also a medium in which Jews have played a dominant role. In the United Kingdom, no less than five of the most powerful men in TV are racial Jews or of Jewish origin. (6) A staggering number when one considers that in Britain, Jews make up only about half of one percent of the population. Anti-Semites (and the ignorant) interpret this as direct control, or even as a conspiracy. We need not accept this explanation - and the current writer does not - but it must be conceded that, at the very least, this enormous Jewish presence makes the media biased in the extreme against Nazism and the Nazi era. (7) It is not direct "Jewish control" of the media that is the problem here,

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

but "Zionist manipulation", in particular the exploitation of media Jews by Zionist organisations (like the ADL and the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews (8) and the intimidation of Gentiles (and Jews) by these same powerful and totally ruthless forces.

One Jewish controlled organisation in Britain deserves a special mention. The Searchlight Organisation - which began life as a campaigning broadsheet in 1965 - has, since 1975, obtained a virtual stranglehold on "researching" the far right in Britain. This organisation - which has been staffed almost exclusively by communist Jews and *goy* fellow travellers, is a lie machine of the first order. Almost any article on "neo-Nazism" etc which has appeared in the British press since 1975 and possibly before has used its "resources" and is therefore polluted at source. Ditto TV documentaries. (9)

The question: could political Zionism fabricate such an enormous *hoax* as the Revisionists would have us believe the Holocaust to be, is somewhat academic. Certainly the Zionists had the opportunity to do it, and the desire, and the ruthlessness.

Further proof of this lies in the enactment of such alleged "anti-hate" legislation in the United Kingdom and elsewhere. In Britain especially, Organised Jewry has been instrumental in forcing through such Draconian legislation as the *Race Relations Act* (see above), and the *Public Order Act*. They may not have been the only people lobbying for such restrictions on free speech, but they were certainly the driving force, and they are still not satisfied. One has only to study the Jewish press to realise the depth of their obsession and the lengths some Jews will go to, and the depths to which they will sink, in order to destroy the precious freedoms our forefathers sacrificed their precious blood to secure. (10)

A final note on this, although anti-Semites and their fellow travellers believe that the Jewish presence in (and "control" of) the media is the result of a conscious conspiracy, the fact is that the servants of Imperial Zion don't always get their own way with media Jews any more than they do with Jewish politicians. (See footnote 8).

Notes And References

(1) From page 17 of *THE STRUCTURE OF THE ZIONIST MOVEMENT IN THE UNITED STATES*, by Rabbi Dr Elmer Berger, published by EAFORD, a 21 page pamphlet, (undated but c1980).

(2) *Redgrave accused of antisemitism*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, August 24, 1979, page 3. The actress, whose politics are even redder than her hair, had been chosen to play an Auschwitz survivor, Fania Fenelon (Goldstein) in an American TV dramatisation. Red Vanessa had objected to the Zionist oppression of the Palestinian people and was foolish enough to say so publicly. Instead of applauding her for condemning such vicious *racism*, Organised Jewry smeared her as anti-Semitic. So what's new?

(3) Again, see page (50). There are several spellings of Shatila; we will use this one in this study.

(4) Of which more anon.

(5) *AIPAC's Secret files*, by David Evans, published in *Palestine Solidarity*, November-December 1992, issue 59, pages 4-5.

(6) This was revealed by *The TV Clique*, a candid if extremely unfortunate article by Mark Honnigsbaum, (is he one too!), which was published in the *London Evening Standard*, January 21, 1992.

(7) There is no reason why anyone, Jew or Gentile, should be anything but biased against Nazism, but one must always distinguish between personal and/or political bias and objectivity. It should be immediately obvious to any fair-minded person that the media is seldom objective about anything remotely associated with Nazism, as the ongoing hysteria against the diminutive British National Party clearly demonstrates.

(8) In 1993, the BBC screened a dramatisation set in North London's Ultra-Orthodox community which involved a "ritual murder" of an informant, (*noiser*). This involved gouging out the victim's eyes. With all their usual hysteria the Board of Deputies demanded a meeting with the BBC to tone down or ban the play in case it fuelled the fires of "anti-Semitism". To its credit, the BBC refused to bow to Zionist pressure (or blackmail), although there was a pre-viewing. The greatest irony of this play is that everyone involved in it from the top down was Jewish, and it did its best to pour scorn on the Jewish religion,

particularly by its ending, in which the teenage heroine eloped with a Mossad agent, an organisation which has more in common with the Gestapo than with Orthodox Judaism.

(9) The current writer has been researching the Searchlight Organisation since 1992. The interested reader is referred to *JEW-HATERS, BLACK-HATERS, VIOLENT CRIMINALS, HYPOCRITES, AND LIARS CONDEMNED OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTHS: A Guide For Teachers And Educators To The Criminal Conspiracy Known As The Searchlight Organisation*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (October, 1994). This also contains a short bibliography of some of my (and other people's exposés) of Gerry Gable and his gang.

(10) Again, see *Howard clashes with leading Jewish peer over racism*, by Bernard Josephs, published in the *Jewish Chronicle* October 15, 1993, page 40. Home Secretary Michael Howard is Jewish, and Organised Jewry have never allowed him to forget it, doing their best to appeal to tribal loyalties, pulling wires, and in the end resorting to emotional blackmail and personal insults. A number of such attacks on Howard have been reported by the *Jewish Chronicle*; although (naturally) he has no time for racial bigotry, he - and other Jews in high places - obviously realise how their slimy cousins try to exploit them. Howard has all but told them to got to Hell.



Above: on January 7, 1983 this cartoon was published in the short lived unofficial Labour Party newspaper *Labour Herald*. The slime of Organised Jewry branded it anti-Semitic and tried to drag its publisher - loony left "anti-racist", anti-fascist, gay "rights" campaigner Ken Livingstone (now a Labour MP) - into court. In reality, the cartoon is more anti-American than anti-Zionist, but no Americans appear to have complained. One wonders how many years the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews would have liked to see Mr Livingstone gaoled for for daring to speak out against a Zionist-sponsored act of genocide, or if they would have wailed quite so loudly if this had been an "anti-German" cartoon about Kristallnacht.

Who's Accusing "The Jews" Of Fabricating The Holocaust?

One of the major claims against the Revisionists is that Holocaust Revisionism is simply the latest version of the anti-Semitic conspiracy theory of history. (1) This has been well documented by numerous other authors and cannot be discussed here for want of space. It will suffice to say that there are some people, not all of them cranks, who see recent history, or even the entire history of the world, as the result of an enormous conspiracy, and at the root of this conspiracy are the "International Jews", who are often said to work in collaboration with Freemasonry, the Order of the Illuminati and sundry other groups.

The *Protocols Of Zion* is usually woven into the weft of this conspiracy, (2) even though this spurious document has been refuted time and time again and analysed to death. (3) However, such claims are easily refuted, for one thing, the Elders of Zion of anti-Semites' fantasies clearly did not and do not exist, whereas all the major players in the Holocaust did.

The claim that the Revisionists believe or assert that the Holocaust is an invention of political Zionism or of the Jews is not so much an oversimplification as an outright lie. No Holocaust Revisionist worthy of the name accuses "the Jews" per se of fabricating the Holocaust. In any case, this is a dirty trick of semantics which the leaders of the Zionist movement and, more generally, Organised Jewry, are fond of pulling. Many people who attack "the Jews" for their policies - their oppression of the Palestinian people, for example - are not anti-Semites nor are they making anti-Semitic statements. When people - usually leftists - attack "the Americans" for invading Grenada, say, or "the British" for their (alleged) oppression of the Republican minority in Northern Ireland, it is clearly understood by the entire world that they are attacking, not the people of those countries, but the governments or establishments of them. Just as an attack on "the police", an allegation of police brutality or corruption is not intended as an attack on police officers generally, and so on.

Attacks on Jewish leaders, Jewish "anti-fascists", on "Zionists", or even on "the Israelis", are invariably distorted by Zionist propagandists to present

them as attacks on the Jewish people as a whole. Although the Israelis have recently signed an agreement with the Palestinian people which will give them their own state (but again, only under colossal pressure from progressive forces in the United States), political Zionism remains one of the most powerful and totally ruthless forces on the face of this planet, the protestations of Jewish and Zionist leaders to the contrary. No, the claim that the Revisionists says "the Jews" invented the Holocaust is nothing more and nothing less than Zionist propaganda. And a particular insidious piece of Zionist propaganda at that as not only is this an attempt to suppress legitimate debate and research but it is also an attempt to tar all Jews with the same brush, in short, for the purveyors and apologists of Zionism to make all Jews equally guilty for the crimes and atrocities of Zionism. (4)

An interesting aside here is another though related dirty trick; this is the policy of communist and "anti-fascist", and in recent years, "anti-racist", organisations, of deliberately targeting and recruiting "racial Jews" for their "tolerance" (read hate) campaigns. One particularly violent "anti-fascist" group was the 43 Group which was set up after the Second World War. This was predominantly Jewish, although it also recruited "a sprinkling of gentile ex-servicemen". (5) Later, the 43 Group was revived as an exclusively Jewish organisation, the 62 Group. There is strong evidence that such outfits are used by agencies of the Secret State and other covert organisations for their dirty tricks operations. (6) The obvious reason is that when such operators are exposed, their machinations can be blamed on the Jewish conspiracy and their accusers dismissed as lunatic anti-Semites. (7)

Notes And References

(1) See for example *hoax of the century*, by C.C. Aronsfeld, published in *Patterns of Prejudice*, Nov-Dec, 1976, Volume 10, Number 6, Nov-Dec 1976, pages 13-16, which claims that: "Dr. Butz does not actually mention the *Protocols* but his engineering mind is plainly guided by the Hidden Hand." (Dr Butz is a professor of engineering and computer sciences). The author concludes that

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

"Dr. Butz quite simply does not know what he is talking about." And author Aronsfeld does not meet Dr Butz's arguments.

Another article in the same supposedly distinguished journal: *A Universe of Lies: Holocaust Revisionism and the Myth of a Jewish World-Conspiracy*, by Peter I. Haupt, Summer 1991, Volume 25, Number 1, pages 75-85 claims that Butz and co are trying to blame the Jews for fabricating and propagating the myth, (page 80). On page 82 the author tries to drag in the *Protocols*. This article is a polemic, no attempt is made to refute any of the claims of the Revisionists nor even to seriously examine them.

(2) See previous footnote.

(3) See for example *An Appraisal of the PROTOCOLS OF ZION*, by the Gentile historian John S. Curtiss, published by Columbia University Press, New York, (1942). For the definitive history of the *Protocols*, the reader is referred to Norman Cohn's 1967 study *Warrant For Genocide*, (op cit).

(4) This is a ploy which Zionists use time and time again: the insinuation that anyone who attacks Zionism, or any aspect of it, is attacking Jews per se. The insinuation that anyone who attacks the State of Israel or any of its policy is attacking Jews per se. And, just as importantly, the insinuation that any Jew who does not whole-heartedly support Zionism - blindly and unconditionally - is a traitor to his religion, to his race, and is somehow un-Jewish or even anti-Jewish, (a self-hating Jew).

(5) According to an extremely candid if highly imaginative history of the group: *The 43 Group: the untold story of their fight against fascism*, by Morris Beckman, published by Centerprise Publications, London, (1992), Foreword by Vidal Sassoon. The above claim is made on page 26.

(6) See for example *Zionists run biggest 'dirty tricks' operation in Britain*, by Martin Webster, published in *Spearhead*, June-July 1976, issue 95, pages 6-8 & 17.

(7) A tactic which has proved generally successful, as anyone who reads the article referenced in the previous footnote will realise.

Denying The Holocaust Is Rewriting History

The best reply to that assertion is: so what? In the November 1990 issue of the spurious anti-fascist magazine *Searchlight*, (1) the following quotation appears: "History is being constantly rewritten. This is not necessarily a bad thing." Although this quote was not credited, these words are almost certainly attributable to the magazine's editor, Gerry Gable. This is ironic indeed, because Gable and his magazine have been in the forefront of the campaign to suppress Holocaust Revisionism and to deny Revisionists their democratic rights. It is even more ironic because Gable is the world's master liar and has been caught spreading disinformation many times by both the current writer and many others. (2) The implication of this is that it is perfectly acceptable to rewrite history *provided* he and his fellow travellers do the rewriting. In reality, it is the likes of Gerry Gable and his lie-ridden magazine who make rewriting history necessary in the first place!

One area in which history is rewritten constantly is that of the law. In recent years we have seen enormous miscarriages of justice in the United Kingdom, probably the two worst cases of which were the Guildford Four and Birmingham Six cases; the latter involved a massive conspiracy and cover up which kept six innocent men in prison for heinous crimes for sixteen years. The biggest liar of all is the government, if for no other reason than it has - what amounts to - unlimited resources and the full coercive powers of an enormous bureaucracy, police force, secret service and so on. Who could ever forget Sir Robert Armstrong's classic remark about being "economical with the truth" ? (3) History is, then, being constantly rewritten, and it needs to be.

Notes And References

(1) For confirmation of this claim, the reader is referred to, among other exposés, the current writer's *LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES*:

The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (August, 1994); and to *AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH: THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLER*, by Larry O'Hara, Produced by Mina Enterprises, Camberley, Surrey, (1993). It is not necessary to accept either the current writer's claims about the Searchlight team's motivations, nor Larry O'Hara's (in my opinion, erroneous) hypothesis that Searchlight is an arm of the "Secret State", to realise that this is an organisation with hidden agendas.

(2) See for example, in chronological order: *Destabilising the "decent people"*, by Duncan Campbell, Bruce Page and Nick Anning, published in the *New Statesman*, February 15, 1980, pages 234-6; *SPY TRIAL BY TELEVISION*, by Duncan Campbell, Patrick Forbes and Jolyon Jenkins, published in the *New Statesman*, July 25, 1986, pages 10-11; *Editors! Are You Being Fed A Load Of Bullshit? You Are If You Subscribe To Searchlight: A Documented Exposé Of Gerry Gable's Error-prone, Lie-ridden, Mischief-making Magazine*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, Second Edition, (1994). And *A REVISIONIST HISTORY OF THE 1960s SYNAGOGUE ARSONS...*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, Second Edition, (October 1994).

(3) At the "Spycatcher" trial in Melbourne, Australia. Sir Robert was later elevated to the peerage, and his words have entered the 1992 *New Penguin Dictionary of Quotations*, although apparently this quote dates back at least to the Nineteenth Century.

What Could Be Worse Than Denying The Holocaust?

The claim is often made that to deny the Holocaust is to insult the memory of the dead, to rub them out of history, to pretend that they had never existed. This presupposes that they *did* exist, that six million Jews *were* exterminated, and that anyone who challenges the perceived wisdom is an anti-Semite, etc and ad nauseum. This is a false premise. It is not necessarily false, but it is a false premise to assume the truth or falsehood of an allegation at the start of an investigation. Arguing from false premises leads, inevitably, to false conclusions.

Is there anything worse than fabricating the Holocaust that Jewish leaders and Allied propagandists could be accused of? The answer as far as Jewish leaders are concerned is yes, of wilfully sacrificing tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands, or even millions of their co-racialists to the Nazi murder machine in order to establish their beloved Israel.

A charge of propagating lying propaganda is surely bad enough, but a charge of accomplice to, or even willing participant in, an act or acts of mass murder or genocide, is surely beyond the pale. This allegation must surely be ten, a hundred or a thousand times worse than the claim that "the Jews" invented the Holocaust. Yet, strangely, this claim is not just acceptable, (1) it is one which is levelled at the war time Zionist leadership by the real Jews, the Ultra-Orthodox. (2)

We have already met Rabbi Dr Elmer Berger, another distinguished anti-Zionist rabbi was Rabbi Moshe Schonfeld who wrote a book entitled *The Holocaust Victims Accuse*. (3) In her book *Flashpoint*, Ingrid Weckert asserts that: "When the Jews in Germany were asked to leave that is condemned as uniquely abominable, barbarous and criminal German anti-Semitism by our contemporary historians and politicians; when it is pointed out that the rest of the world didn't want them either, they change the subject. Schizophrenia or hypocrisy?" (4) Weckert is an unashamed Nazi apologist whose real agenda shines through in her assertions that the Jews of Germany were "asked to leave" and that *Kristallnacht* was largely the work of Zionist agents provocateurs.

Nevertheless, she has a point, Germany's Jews were not wanted by any of the Western democracies, and probably not by anyone else either. (5)

Ron David though has another explanation for the reluctance of especially the United States to accept Jewish immigrants: "...horrible as it is to admit - Zionists cared more about creating a Jewish state in Palestine than they did about saving Jews from Hitler." (6) As stated, the Ultra-Orthodox go much further; the current writer has interviewed two rabbis (one a leading, Ultra-Orthodox anti-Zionist), who believe that Zionist Jews in war time and pre-war Europe incited anti-Semitism, wilfully, which forced Jews to flee the countries in which they were domiciled in order to found their own state. It is also well known that leading Zionists collaborated with the Nazis, and some went so far as arresting their co-racialists. The rationale behind this was to sacrifice the old and infirm in order that the young and able-bodied could flee to Palestine and fight a terrorist war against the British, the people who were, at that very moment, saving Jews from the *gas chambers*, remember? The Zionist reaction to all this is to dismiss it as anti-Semitic propaganda. Unfortunately, this is not the case. (7)

As might be expected, the Rabbis I interviewed in this connection are uncritical believers in the Holocaust. When I asked one of them if he did not think such a charge against Jewish leaders and Zionist fanatics was worse than denying the Holocaust, he replied: "This is all part of the Holocaust. Denying the Holocaust is denying a fact; it's a cover up, the same cover up, basically." (8)

Certainly the Rabbi is correct in one respect, history is full of cover ups, and, as we shall see later, there have been many cover ups with regard to the Holocaust and the Second World War, whatever view one takes of the Final Solution. He is also correct when he says that Holocaust Revisionists must have ulterior motives. Clearly some of them do. His actual words were: "Denying the *Holocaust* must have some sort of purpose; besides the Jews who went through it, every Jewish person you'll meet has had some relative who died in it. What is the purpose of denying it except to say that Nazism really isn't that bad?"

Let us then without further ado, turn to ANAL's pamphlet, see what these professed "anti-Nazis" have to say, and take a look at *their* ulterior motives and hidden agendas.

Notes And References

(1) When I say acceptable I do not mean by Jewish leaders and by Zionists and their lap-dogs. What I mean is that one can make such allegations without being treated like a leper.

(2) I do not want to become embroiled in the absurd "who's a Jew?" debate, but it will suffice here to say that when I refer to the real Jews I am talking about the men and women who practice the Jewish religion and live under *Halakhah* (Jewish law). I am referring most specifically to the men in black hats and caftans (and their womenfolk). In Britain, the largest community of Ultra-Orthodox is based in Stamford Hill, North London. They are extremely insular, many speaking Yiddish and learning (or teaching their children) only the minimum amount of English to get by (or required by law in the latter case). They have their own shops, their own schools, community centres and must live within virtual spitting distance of a synagogue where they pray three times a day.

(3) *The Holocaust Victims Accuse: Documents And Testimony On Jewish War Criminals*, by Rabbi Moshe Shonfeld, published by Bnei Yeshivos, New York, (c1980). According to my information - from a Rabbi - this book was originally published in Hebrew in the 1950s.

(4) Page 106, *FLASHPOINT: Kristallnacht 1938 Instigators, Victims and Beneficiaries*, by Ingrid Weckert, Foreword by Wilfred Owen, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Costa Mesa, California, (1991).

(5) The fanatical anti-Semite Arnold Leese claimed that the Jews were not wanted anywhere on Earth. The plain truth though is that immigrants are seldom popular anywhere, unless they're rich. This has nothing to do with anti-Semitism, racism, xenophobia or any other such nonsense, it is simply human nature.

(6) David, *Arabs And Israel For Beginners*, page 111, (op cit).

(7) These facts have been well documented by widely disparate sources. For example: "...the Zionist leaders saw in nazism a means which could help carry out their chief objective - that of intensively settling Palestine with 'select' colonists, driving out the Arabs, and subsequently establishing the state of Israel." *Criminal Alliance of Zionism and Nazism: Press Conference of the*

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Anti-Zionist Committee of Soviet Public Opinion October 12, 1984, (page 8), published by Anti-Zionist Committee of Soviet Public Opinion Moscow 1985 - Novosti Press Agency Publishing House, (1985). And, "[the Nazis] stimulated the development of the Yishuv economy by concluding an agreement with the Jewish Agency that allowed the transfer of a portion of German Jewish capital out of Germany through the purchase of German goods.", *Jews Against Zionism: The American Council for Judaism, 1942-1948, (page 14), by Thomas A. Kolsky - Temple University Press, Philadelphia, (1990).*

(8) This Rabbi believes that as many as eight million Jews may have been murdered by the Nazis and otherwise perished in the Holocaust, so one can hardly accuse him of being a Nazi apologist.

Part 2: The Anti Nazi League's View, And An Analysis

Foreword

With a name like "Anti Nazi League" it would fair to say, I think, that this organisation's *raison d'être* is political, and that, whatever agendas it may have, hidden or otherwise, one of them must be to show Nazism in a bad light. This is not necessarily a bad thing. Indeed, there is no earthly reason why anyone should be expected to show Nazism in anything but a bad light. But, in the words of Thomas Sowell, "Lies and deceptions 'in a good cause' are all too common..." (1) And, ultimately, lies and deception can pollute any good cause.

It is fair too to say that Nazism (National Socialism) was a philosophy which attracted millions; Adolf Hitler was, at the time, perhaps the most popular leader in the world, and if he had died in 1938 or 1939, his anti-Jewish policies aside, he may well have gone down as one of the most popular leaders in history, instead of, as at present, one of history's most hated men. Hitler was greatly admired not only by his own people but by many foreign leaders (2) This means that, however much anti-Nazis or anyone else may demonise Nazism, it must have had something to recommend it, and, as we have already seen, Jewish leaders, who are (as to be expected) among the greatest detractors of Nazism, have long proved themselves the world's greatest hypocrites by their brutalisation of the Palestinian people. (3) Shortly we will expose them also as the world's master liars, but in the meantime, let us see what ANAL has to say about Holocaust Revisionism and compare what they say with the documented if at times inconvenient facts of recent history.

Notes And References

(1) Page 120, *CIVIL RIGHTS: Rhetoric or Reality?*, by Thomas Sowell, published by William Morrow, New York, (1984).

(2) The *Jewish Chronicle* for September 25, 1936, page 19 reported under the heading "I Never Saw a Happier People"... that Lloyd George had reported favourably on his return from a visit to Hitler. In the October 16 issue (page 16), he came under fire for saying that the Führer was perhaps the greatest man he had ever met. This was all the more ironic as Lloyd George belonged to a group of men referred to as the "Gentile Zionists".

(3) Or in the case of *Diaspora Jewry*, by their failing to condemn Zionist atrocities against the Palestinian people while constantly whining about anti-Semitism.

The Anti Nazi League Pamphlet And More

Although this is only a short pamphlet - sixteen pages of A4 typescript including photographs and illustrations - it manages to traduce the Revisionists and the work they are doing in some depth, so we must spend some time looking at it.

On the inside front cover, a number of the pamphlet's sponsors are named. These include, predictably, a number of Jews, among them Holocaust survivors. However much sympathy we may feel for the victims of Nazi persecution, we must again point out, even at the risk of causing offence, that their personal experiences - although they may be of some value when evaluated scientifically - do not make them experts on the Nazi era, or on the Holocaust, and, unless they have actually seen one in operation, do not make them witnesses to the alleged exterminations of Jews in gas chambers.

One is reminded here of the recent tragic case of the entertainer Roy Castle, who died of lung cancer. Mr Castle was a lifelong non-smoker who spent many years entertaining in smoke-filled clubs. He was convinced that he had contracted lung cancer through passive smoking, and even as he was in the last stages of this terminal illness, he travelled the length and breadth of the country both raising money for cancer charities and lecturing on the evils of the demon weed. One must obviously have great sympathy for him, but the fact that somebody contracts a disease does not make him (or her) an expert on it; the evidence that Roy Castle contracted lung cancer through somebody else's smoking is at best tenuous. (1)

By the same token, such "eyewitnesses" to the Holocaust are not experts on the alleged Nazi genocide of the Jews.

Although the authors of this pamphlet are uncredited, two leading researchers on anti-Semitism and the Holocaust are thanked for their advice. One of these "experts" is David Cesarani, who, as we have seen, believes anti-Semitism to be a disease. (2) I might add that in his book *Justice Delayed*, Dr Cesarani refers to "The authoritative anti-fascist magazine Searchlight". (3) The current writer is not qualified to pronounce on Dr Cesarani's scholarship, but having spent the past two and a half years researching the Searchlight Organisation I am eminently qualified to comment on that; and I can state

uncategorically, that, whatever his scholarship, Dr Cesarani's judgment leaves a great deal to be desired.

Notes And References

(1) In February 1993, Nicola Lawrence, a twenty-five year old air hostess with the airline Loganair, died of lung cancer. In Britain, something like nine out of ten victims of lung cancer are smokers, but in other countries, Japan and Northern Thailand, for example, the ratio is much lower. Clearly this shows that other factors besides smoking must be at work.

(2) Anti-Semitism is hatred of or hostility towards Jews, specifically because they are Jews. Hatred is an emotion, and emotions, however unpleasant or unjustified, are not diseases.

(3) *JUSTICE DELAYED*, by David Cesarani, published by Heinemann, London, (1992), page 199.

How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Deceitful

Early in 1994, I read a book by history teacher Carrie Supple. *From Prejudice To Genocide* is presented as a primer for schoolchildren on this difficult subject. In reality, it is a thinly veiled polemic, gratuitously inaccurate, written at the behest of evil men and women to poison the minds of the next generation of white schoolchildren. (1)

In this book I found two doubtful quotes; on page 49, the following quote is attributed to Adolf Hitler: "The destruction of Poland is our primary task...Be merciless! Be brutal! I have sent to the east only my 'Death Head Units', with the order to kill without mercy all men, women and children of Polish race or language..."

While on page 177, it is said of Dr Josef *Angel Of Death* Mengele that at Auschwitz, he carried out experiments on pregnant women, and: "He liked to cut them open and see how long it took for a foetus to die." He is said also to have practised torture, castration, sterilisation, infection and injection with poison. "He would inject dye into the eyes of brown-eyed people, to make them 'Aryan-blue.'"

Both these quotes have more to do with sex shop Nazism than with real Nazism. On April 26, 1994 I wrote to the publishers of Carrie Supple's book, Trentham Books, asking them if they could substantiate these claims: "I would be most grateful if you could provide reliable documentation on the Hitler quote, ie when and where he said it, to whom and in what context, and a reliable book on the work of the doctors of Auschwitz which will vindicate Ms Supple's claim about Dr Mengele."

At the same time I wrote to Professor Gilbert. To date - mid-October 1994 - I have received no reply to the first enquiry. However, at the end of July I received a hand written letter dated 27 July 1994. Posted from Israel, it was from Professor Gilbert, who had obviously taken a great deal of trouble in attempting to track down both the Hitler quote and the claim about Dr Mengele. Professor Gilbert informed me that: "Neither of them appear in my own history of the Holocaust (Collins) and both would have done had I found

them in a reputable source. I went through a vast archive, and was, as you may imagine, especially vigilant with regard both to Hitler and Mengele". To no avail.

In other words, both quotes are wicked fabrications; the question of whether they were fabricated by Ms Supple or her evil manipulators is academic. As Professor Gilbert is himself Jewish, and obviously a dedicated anti-Nazi, (2) his fruitless search is an excellent endorsement of the reality of the anti-Nazi lie (and anti-German hate) machine. It is though a pity that, before writing the foreword to this worthless book (and unwittingly endorsing the author's poison), he didn't subject the manuscript to a proper, scholarly scrutiny.

The point of this diversion is to stress again that lies and deceptions in a good cause are indeed all too common. The reality though is that, however good, however noble, the cause of combating racial hatred and intolerance (anti-fascism, anti-Nazism etc), there are some people who bring shame and disgrace on it. Especially when they lie in a good cause, or, as in the case of Ms Supple's evil manipulators, when they replace one form of racial hatred with another.

Notes And References

(1) The next and last generation if Mr Gerry Gable has his way. *From Prejudice To Genocide: Learning about the Holocaust*, by Carrie Supple, is published by Trentham Books, Stoke-on-Trent, (1993). It has a Foreword by Martin Gilbert, but there can be little if any doubt that it was written if not at the behest of then with the blessing of the Searchlight Organisation. Carrie Supple is a director of the Searchlight Educational Trust, one of the many arms of the Gable octopus.

(2) Again, I would like to thank Professor Gilbert, who has at times been unfairly taken to task by Revisionist authors, David Irving for one. Whatever Professor Gilbert's contribution to scholarship - re Churchill especially - he was certainly conscientious here.

How Holocaust Propaganda Is Manufactured By The Gullible

An example of an honest - if totally inexcusable - error, will also illustrate how history is manufactured (and subsequently exploited). In September 1994, *Times* journalist Bernard Levin referred to the case of a hundred year old rabbi who, he said, had suffered the indignity of having his tongue torn out by the Nazis, and was then thrown to the ground, kicked, and thrown onto a funeral pyre. I wrote to the editor of the *Times* asking for documentation on this horrendous crime, pointing out that I could find no mention of it in Raul Hilberg's *The Destruction Of The European Jews*. Levin (Sir Bernard!) replied in person; his letter is reproduced on page 68.

The cynical (or anti-Semitic) reader might infer that this is all one could expect from a journalist named Levin. However, although Bernard Levin is most definitely a Jew, a devout believer in the Holocaust, and although he just as definitely hates Nazis - and again, there is no earthly reason why anyone, Jew or Gentile, shouldn't - this was not yet another case of Jewish duplicity. To begin with, although he does not subscribe to Britain's premier Libertarian organisation the Libertarian Alliance, Levin is most certainly a committed Libertarian and champion of individual rights, and one of the few honest (dare I say decent?) human beings in "Fleet Street". He has, for example, defended Lady Birdwood's right to free speech while rightly condemning the anti-Semitic poison this gullible and sorely misguided idealist spews out. Furthermore, this article, far from being an attack on the mythical disease of *racism*, was a polemic against the forever wailing and gnashing of teeth "anti-racist" lobby. In particular, Levin assailed them for likening over-officious immigration officials to Nazis. (1)

All the same, he took at face value a claim made in a play, which was certainly not written as an historical document, and presented it to his readers as exactly that, an historical document. And it is no excuse to claim that he was simply using journalistic licence to make a point. Such *licence* is used all the time by journalists and political gerrymanderers with far less pure motives than Levin

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

- by Carrie Supple for instance - to demonise Nazism, and thereby to promote their own (often perverted) ideologies.

Notes And References

(1) The full credits are *Demeaning the Holocaust*, by Bernard Levin, published in the *Times*, September 16, 1994, page 16.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?



THE TIMES

1 Pennington Street, London E1 9XN
Telephone: 071-782 5000 Telex: 262141

3rd October 1994

Dear Mr. Baron,

Thank you for your letter. I apologise for the delay in replying, and I'm afraid I cannot answer your question very precisely. I remember that this horrible murder was described in a play about the Holocaust which was supposed to be verbatim from the real action. I'm afraid that I did not write a column about that particular play and I cannot now remember what it was called. I am sorry I cannot help.

Yours sincerely,

Bernard Levin.

Mr. A. Baron,
93c, Venner Road,
London,
SE26 5HU

The reply the current writer received from *Times* journalist Sir Bernard Levin, who may have contributed, albeit innocently, to the proliferation of anti-German hate propaganda. Others - Jew and Gentile - are not so innocent.

ANAL's "Anti-Nazi" Innuendo And Lies

Returning now to the ANAL pamphlet; it is asserted on page 3 that: "Ever since the full horror of the Nazi death camps and concentration camps was revealed to the world, fascists, old Nazis and neo-Nazis have been infuriated by the huge wave of sympathy for the Jewish people."

This may be true, but it is equally true that many people besides hard core anti-Semites are sick to death of hearing about the evils of Nazism - and by implication of the unique wickedness of the white race. They are sick to death of history being exploited to further political agendas, especially when atrocities - real and imagined - are condemned so selectively. The reality is that something like fifty million people died in World War Two, a war which was fought at the behest of Imperialism and not against fascism as many elements of the extreme left try to kid us now. (1)

Holocaust Denial is said to have been largely the province of "a few violent cranks" for the past forty years. This is simply not true. What is true is that hard core Holocaust Revisionism has been the view of a minority; the consensus has, and remains (for the moment) that the Nazis formulated a plan to exterminate the Jews (the Final Solution), and that this extermination was carried out systematically at the behest of the German High Command, including with the use of homicidal gas chambers. The mere fact that the Holocaust Revisionists have been and remain in a minority does not mean that they are wrong. By the same logic, the Devil is alive and well and living in Greece, (see page 10).

Many people who have denied or questioned the Holocaust have not been anti-Semites, raving Nazis or cranks, unless one defines Holocaust Revisionists as such per se. If one does, then one commits the fallacy of poisoning the well: "Only enemies of God believe the Earth is spherical."

Galileo steps forward and identifies himself as the enemy of God in question. By the same logic, consensus can never change or be allowed to change. If this were indeed the case, we would still be living on a flat Earth, burning witches (and Jews) and attributing illness to evil spirits rather than germs.

Who else has denied the Holocaust besides Nazis, cranks and fellow travelers?

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

John Beaty, a former American intelligence officer, who ridiculed the alleged extermination of six million Jews in his 1951 book *The Iron Curtain Over America*. (2)

Paul Rassinier, a former inmate of Buchenwald concentration camp. (3)

Josef Ginsburg, (J.G. Burg), a German Jew who published a series of books on the Holocaust. Sadly, none of these has (yet) been translated into English. (4)

There have been many others who have denied the Holocaust also, although the three listed above are all early Revisionists. None of them could reasonably be branded fascists, Nazi fellow travellers or anti-Semites, but Zionists are not reasonable people, and all three have been denounced as such. (5)

Also on page 3 of the ANAL pamphlet, it is claimed that David Irving has been promoted as "some kind of unique expert on Nazi history". Irving is given a whole page to himself later when other dirt on him is dragged up. But this argument is fatally flawed, and for two reasons. One is that, the *Sunday Times* did not promote Irving as any sort of unique expert, it simply hired him to translate the Goebbels diaries. Irving is in fact an expert on Nazi history, he is one of the leading scholars of Hitler, perhaps the leading Hitler scholar, and, however controversial his views, or whatever his politics - or sexual habits - he has often, in his own words, rubbed egg in the face of Court Historians. (6) However, Irving's field is not and never has been the Holocaust, a fact he has always freely admitted.

However, the second reason this argument is flawed is because Irving is not an expert on the Holocaust, and indeed he has never claimed to be an expert on the Holocaust; his entire reputation as a mainstream Holocaust Revisionist has been created and nurtured by the media. In his 1977 book *Hitler's War*, Irving claimed that Hitler had been ignorant of the alleged extermination of the Jews. It was only at the 1988 trial in Toronto, Canada of Ernst Zundel, that Irving (pragmatically) did an about turn and denied the alleged exterminations in their entirety, gas chambers and all.

Since then, Irving has been in the forefront of the Revisionists' campaign, but solely as a proselyte; his contribution to the scholarship of the Holocaust proper - Revisionist or otherwise - has been insignificant, if he has made any at all.

At the bottom of page 3, the bold claim is made that *"We attempt to explain Holocaust denial, to remind us all what the Holocaust was and to show ways in which these liars and killers can and must be [sic] stopped."*

So, Holocaust Revisionists are said to be not only liars but killers as well. Who amongst them then is a killer? David Irving? The courageous Jew J.G. Burg? (7) French academic Robert Faurisson then, perhaps? This is emotive talk, loaded words, angry rhetoric. The reality is that the Revisionists are most definitely not killers, and they are not even liars. It may be that they are wrong, that is not the issue under discussion, but there is no evidence that, whatever the merits of their scholarship, they are wilfully attempting to mislead the public.

On page 4, is a poem by Jewish poet Michael Rosen, together with a drawing of a vulture. Whatever the merits of either the free verse or the sketch, this is again totally irrelevant and a waste of space except as an appeal to emotion, which is what the entire pamphlet is, largely. Academics though, are, or should, be more impressed with reason, logic, and intelligent debate concerning the real issues, rather than with appeals to emotion.

On page 5, two emotive photographs are shown, one of a dead child in the Warsaw ghetto - presumably a Jewish child - and another of a concentration camp victim. Again, this is more emotion; such photographs could have been taken of dead Germans, or dead Japanese, or dead people of any race who have suffered the horrors of war.

Revisionists, or deniers - as the pamphlet persists in calling them - claim that there was no plan of Nazi genocide. This is unfortunate, because in spite of attempts to prove that the Wannsee Conference of January 1942 resulted in such a plan, or that it was called for that specific purpose - to formulate a programme of genocide - the evidence for a plan of genocide is scant to say the least, and depends on the at times torturous interpretation of documents and phrases. The term "Final Solution" is claimed today to mean the extermination of the Jews, yet there is no doubt that, initially at any rate, this phrase was used to designate the evacuation of the Jews from Europe.

The issue of the Wannsee Conference also brings us to another problem, the selective interpretation of, the editing of, and even the outright forging of, documents (including photographs) in relation to the Final Solution - the standard line. ANAL dismiss this with the following phrases: "They say:

* all evidence of the extermination camps is faked.

* the Nazis who confessed to carrying out the extermination did so only because they were tortured.

* the judges were prejudiced because they were Jews, communists or dupes.

* gas used to kill Jews was really disinfectant.

* Jews who died in concentration camps did so as a result of diseases such as typhus."

Let us deal with the first of these claims. Professor Butz points out in relation to the Wannsee Conference (mentioned above), that "The version of the Wannsee Conference minutes that is printed in NMT (8) volume 13...has the phrase 'if they are allowed to go free' deleted by the editors." (9) A more serious allegation is made by David Irving. Giving evidence for the defence at the trial of a leading Holocaust Revisionist in 1988, Irving asserted that many documents are forged: "I revealed that documents [published by the Institute for Contemporary History...] were forgeries. The diary of General Engel turned out to have been written on post-war paper and yet the Institute went ahead and published this diary knowing that it would pollute the writing of history for many decades afterwards." (10)

Earlier, Irving had claimed under cross-examination that "I am very wary about any Nuremberg document that has the document number L." He claimed that many have proven to be fakes. (11) Notwithstanding the facts that L is a letter rather than a number, and that Irving's testimony with regard to his own trials and tribulations was less than truthful. (12)

Whatever one thinks of Irving, it is a fact which has been documented beyond all reasonable dispute that much testimony about the Nazi era, the Second World War, the Final Solution (whatever it was) and much documentary evidence is either worthless or less than worthless. During the Second World War the British in particular mounted an enormous disinformation campaign against the Germans. This has become standard practice in all wars. As long ago as 1917, an American politician made that classic statement: "The first casualty when war comes is truth." (13)

The Nazis too had a massive propaganda machine, although by any reasonable account they lagged a long way behind the British, who may well go down as the most perfidious race in history. (14) That being said, we have already noted that International Zionism has an efficient propaganda machine, and one which is no less effective because anyone who dares to challenge its evil

machinations can and will be smeared, automatically and inevitably, as anti-Semitic. (15)

But, the ANAL pamphlet says that "[The Revisionist's claim that] all evidence of the extermination camps is faked". No, they do not! For people who claim to be exposing the most monstrous liars in history, our anti-Nazi friends ought to be especially careful that they themselves do not treat their declared enemies in too cavalier a fashion. The one thing that all Holocaust Revisionists have in common is that they are lied about and misrepresented left, right and centre.

So what do the Revisionists claim? One aspiring Revisionist, former leading National Front member Nick Griffin, claimed in a letter to the current writer that there is no evidence of the Nazi genocide of the Jews. This is nonsense, there is literally tons of such evidence: documents, photographs, film etc. What Griffin meant was that there is no "proof" of the alleged genocide. Here he is on stronger ground, because there is a vast chasm between evidence and proof.

The usual claim of Exterminationists, "anti-fascists", Jews, and indeed most people, is that the terrible scenes at Belsen, Dachau and other camps in Germany are proof of the Nazi genocide. Such photographs and films are extremely emotive, and such strong emotion tends to convince people that what they have been told about Nazi sadism etc is true, but what do these films actually prove?

In the first place, they prove that tens of thousands of people died in these camps, from both starvation and disease, primarily typhus. But photographs of emaciated corpses - Jewish or otherwise - do not prove that the Nazis "gassed" Jews. So what do such scenes prove?

In themselves, they prove nothing, but in context they prove that at the end of the war, when Germany was being battered on two fronts, tens of thousands of prisoners - Jewish and non-Jewish - were herded into concentration camps where many of them died from starvation and disease. The main question to be decided here is: "Was this starvation and disease the result of a deliberate policy?" The simple answer is no. Professor Butz tells us that: "It is, I believe, Belsen which has always constituted the effective, mass propaganda *proof* of exterminations, and even today you will find such scenes occasionally waved around as *proof*". (16)

In reality, not only were there no "exterminations" at Belsen, but it was never claimed by reputable sources that there were exterminations at Belsen. Okay,

Professor Butz is a Revisionist and therefore a Nazi, fascist, anti-Semite etc and ad nauseum, but what do "respectable" sources say? The answer is that they exactly the same thing.

In 1991, the Imperial War Museum - hardly a Nazi propaganda outfit - published a short study called *The Relief Of Belsen*. Here are some of the documented facts which this excellent little publication reveals: "Belsen" was not an extermination centre such as Birkenau or Treblinka, not was it a work camp like Mauthausen or Dachau."

And "Conditions in the camp were initially quite good, by concentration camp standards, but from 1944 they deteriorated rapidly." (17)

The terrible conditions in the camp came about because "In March 1944...the concentration camps in the path of [the] advancing allied armies were cleared and their exhausted, sick and dying inhabitants sent to Belsen. The facilities in Belsen were totally unable to cope with this influx and basic services - food, water and sanitation - swiftly collapsed...By April 1945 there were over 60,000 prisoners in Belsen living in the most appalling conditions." (18) In other words, the Allies as much as the Nazis were responsible for these terrible conditions.

On page 11 it is stated that "The soldiers and relief workers were totally unprepared for what they found at Belsen and their inability to accept what was happening around them is very apparent in their letters and diaries. Only the medical personnel seemed able to bring a degree of detachment to their descriptions of conditions in the camp."

This was undoubtedly true, but later, anti-Nazi propagandists, and others, have clearly, wilfully, and in some cases, quite wickedly, misrepresented such terrible scenes of human suffering in order to further their own political agendas, or simply to make money.

As Professor Butz says, many photographs of Belsen are used to "prove" that Jews were exterminated in Auschwitz and other camps. Today, nearly five decades after the end of World War Two, many people, including many who should know better, believe that people were "gassed" in Belsen concentration camp. (19) While honest errors are always made by lazy journalists and sloppy researchers, much misrepresentation in this field is, as stated, wilful and deliberate. One of the most wilful is that damned liar we have already mentioned, Gerry Gable, editor of *Searchlight* magazine. His pseudo-anti-fascist rag has published what amount to fake Holocaust photographs on a number

of occasions. (20) Such lies of the camera are not difficult to find, and will, hopefully, be the subject of a separate study by the current writer.

We have dealt here at length with one of the ANAL pamphlet's claims; we will deal very briefly with the rest:

* the Nazis who confessed to carrying out the extermination did so only because they were tortured.

The pamphlet's authors do not claim that many Nazis were not tortured, which is just as well, because if they did, they would be lying. The article on page 82 will speak louder and far more eloquently than any arguments the current writer can muster. Another point often missed is that, whether a particular defendant was tortured or not, is largely irrelevant, since, in any case, it has never been possible to deny the Holocaust in Germany in any meaningful sense, and certainly not to deny *gassings*. That notwithstanding, there were those who did deny the *gassings* and other alleged exterminations, including Heinrich Himmler himself, but as Professor Butz points out with more than a trace of cynicism, Himmler could not be allowed to stand trial, hence his "suicide". (21)

Finally, something which needs to be remembered in the context of such mass hysteria as was generated at the time, there have been other eras in history when people have confessed to monstrous crimes, crimes which patently they did not commit, and in many cases which they could not have committed. In 1616, Sylvie de la Plaine confessed to having intercourse with the Devil. She said that his semen was cold. (22) Likewise, Jews confessed to practising the ritual murders of Christian children. (23) "Witches" and Jews were often convicted of monstrous - and in the case of the former, impossible - crimes on the basis of testimony which was, to all intents and purposes, given voluntarily. Jews in particular should be very careful before they dismiss the evidence of Revisionist Historians as lies and anti-Semitism without examining the full facts.

ANAL's next point is that "the judges were prejudiced because they were Jews, communists or dupes."

The current writer has never read any claim that the judges at Nuremberg and the other trials of the major "war criminals" were Jews. We have alluded already to the role of the communists in these trials, and the way the Soviets tried to palm off the Katyn massacre on the Germans. Surely that is enough to cast an aura of disreputability over the proceedings, if not to discredit them

entirely. But the main criticism of the "war crimes" trials is not any atrocities - real or imagined - that were pinned on the Nazis, but the simple fact that morally these trials were wrong, since all the allegations that were made against the defendants could also have been levelled at the prosecutors. They all committed "war crimes". (24) For these trials to have had any semblance of legality, they should have been conducted by neutrals, and Allied "war criminals" should have been in the dock along with the Nazis, in particular, the greatest war criminal of all time, Winston Churchill. And the perpetrators and planners of the war crimes of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Dresden and Hamburg, and, not least, Katyn, should have been tried alongside the Commandants of Auschwitz and Belsen, and the surviving Nazi leaders. (25)

With regard to Nazi "war crimes" trials generally, a most important point which must not be overlooked, and one which is made by Professor Butz, is that "...without the evidence generated at these trials, there would be no significant evidence that the program of killing Jews ever existed at all." (26)

ANAL's next two points are again totally dishonest and designed to obfuscate the issue. "[The Revisionists claim that] gas used to kill Jews was really disinfectant." Actually, it was, and this point has never been denied! At least, not by any historians worthy of the name. Zyklon B was used to disinfect barracks and other accommodation, and was used on a massive scale by the Germans. It also deteriorated quickly, which may be one reason (the real reason?) it was ordered in such massive quantities. It is the alleged dual purpose of Zyklon B - killing lice and gassing Jews - that many Revisionists find absurd, or even insulting to their intelligence. (27)

The next point ANAL make on this page is that "[The Revisionists claim that] Jews who died in concentration camps did so as a result of diseases such as typhus."

As indeed they did. And so did many Germans, including camp staff. It is difficult for the average modern European or American, sitting as I am at the moment in front of a computer, in a carpeted room in a self-contained apartment or house with hot water on demand, electricity, and all the other trappings of the Twentieth Century, to imagine what life must have been like in the concentration camps, or for the peoples of Eastern Europe (where these alleged extermination camps were based). True, this was not the Dark Ages, but typhus and other diseases were rampant, food and medicines were in short supply, and Europe was wracked by the bloodiest war in history. If one thinks

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

of the current terrible situation in Rwanda, one can perhaps have some idea of what the situation may have been like in some areas, certainly in Belsen and Dachau concentration camps towards the end of the war, and perhaps in Auschwitz and other camps.

Back to page 5: "Who makes this kind of statement?" they ask, and answer their own question with typical "anti-fascist" disrespect for truth. "People who belong to fascist, racist, anti-Semitic, white supremacist and neo-Nazi organisations..."

But what about Professor Butz? What about Robert Faurisson? What about the Jewish Holocaust Revisionists: the youthful David Cole, and others?

"They write book and pamphlets with such titles as...*Debunking the Genocide Myth*"

This again is yet another outright lie. *Debunking the Genocide Myth* is actually the title of the collected works of Paul Rassinier, who can only be denounced as a Nazi if one concedes the truth of the Zionist/leftist lie that anyone who dares to question the holy writ of the Holocaust is a Nazi.

The Revisionists are said to be speaking in code: "When they say the Holocaust didn't happen, they mean *it does not matter that it happened.*"

Again, this is yet another plausible lie, but if someone is speaking in code here, it is the Anti Nazi League and their fellow travellers. What they mean when they say that the Revisionists must be stopped, is that they must be denied any platform - by whatever means necessary - for imparting their views to the public. Because if the public - you, dear reader - hear what they have to say, you might believe them. Not because they will brainwash you, but because you have been lied to, and you are being lied to, and the Anti Nazi League and their fellow travellers know they are lying to you, and realise they will be found out if you are independent-minded enough to examine the facts of World War Two dispassionately. We will itemise some of the numerous lies of the misnamed anti-fascist movement in due course, as indeed we have already exposed some of their and their Zionist buddies' lies.

On page 9, the Nazis were said to have "exercised a brutal oppression over the overwhelming mass of the population".

Another lie. Nazi Germany was an authoritarian rather than a totalitarian régime. (28) The claim that the Nazis murdered millions of Slavs and Poles is highly suspect. In any case, the Poles are Slavs. The Slavs who died at the hands

of the Nazis did so primarily in the course of the war and were murdered only inasmuch as all victims of war are murdered.

The origins of the alleged genocide of the Jews is traced to the Nazi boycott of 1933. This is most unfortunate, because the major boycott was actually of Nazi Germany and was instituted by Organised Jewry in the United States. And again, we must take a little detour here, and, to avoid charges of bias, we will base most of the following section on contemporary reports from the *Jewish Chronicle*.

Notes And References

(1) In his book *I Believed* (already alluded to), Douglas Hyde recalls how the Communist Party campaigned against "the phony war". On page 84 he states his and the Party's view at the time: "It was not our war. It was a war between rival imperialisms with several millions of workers in uniform as the unwilling pawns", adding "We had more to gain by defeat than victory." On page 86 he recalls how he told a heckler at a meeting in Southall (who may have been a police agent provocateur) that "our boys" were not dying for democracy but for profits. He was then promptly arrested. The Communist Party newspaper the *Daily Worker* was suppressed along with fascist publications for undermining the war effort. Then, when Hitler betrayed Stalin and attacked the Soviet Union, the Communist Party changed its tune. The "Imperialist War" was mysteriously transformed into a life or death struggle against fascism. (Which makes Hyde's later condemnation of the Duke of Bedford all the more hypocritical).

(2) This book, published by Wilkinson, a Dallas company, went through no less than seventeen printings. Professor Butz, [page 6, (op cit)] says that Beaty was one of "a small number of respected observers whose views had not been formed entirely after World War II and who, in the very limited channels open to them and with various degrees of explicitness, denied even the approximate truth of the [Holocaust]." As were the other observers named here; there were others too.

(3) Rassinier wrote a number of books questioning the perceived wisdom of the Holocaust. For many years they were available only in French, but his collected works have been available in English for many years under the title *Debunking The Genocide Myth*.

(4) *Schuld und Schicksal (Debt and Destiny)*, 1962; *Suedenboecke (Scapegoats)*, 1967; and *NS-Verbrechen (National Socialist Crimes)*, 1968.

(5) Burg was referred to as such in an article in *Patterns of Prejudice*, as long ago as 1967. *

* *the new Nazis have their Court Jews*, by C.C Aronsfeld, was published in the September/October 1967 issue of *Patterns of Prejudice*, pages 6-9.

(6) Of his 1967 book *Breach of Security*, Irving said "[This] is me beginning to rub egg in the face of the other historians", quoted from page 401 of *The Holocaust ON TRIAL: THE CASE OF ERNST ZUNDEL*, by Robert Lenski, published by Reporter Press, Decatur, Alabama, (1990).

(7) Burg died shortly after the second Zundel trial, of which more anon.

(8) Nuremberg Military Tribunal. The full set of official trial documents is available in larger reference libraries and specialist libraries.

(9) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 214, (op cit).

(10) Lenski, *The Holocaust on Trial*, page 407, (op cit).

(11) Lenski, *The Holocaust on Trial*, page 406, (ibid).

(12) He perjured himself, and gave a distorted account of his trials and tribulations at the hands of a group of Jewish zealots and anti-fascist fanatics. For a detailed assessment of Irving, the man and his bizarre sexual practices, the reader is referred to *THE CHURCHILL PAPERS: Revising The Revisionists, Unmasking Irving*, by Alexander Baron with Mark Taha, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1994).

(13) Senator Hiram Johnson, 1917, quoted from the front of *THE FIRST CASUALTY: From the Crimea to Vietnam: The War Correspondent as Hero, Propagandist, and Myth Maker*, by Philip Knightley, Quartet Books paperback edition, London, (1978).

(14) *British Propaganda during the First World War, 1914-18*, by M.L. Sanders and Philip M. Taylor, published by the Macmillan Press, London, (1982). See in particular page 1, which claims that the British government "unleashed the weapon of propaganda upon the modern world", and page 208, which indicates that the British were way ahead of the Germans in this field.

(15) Or in the case of Jewish dissenters as self-hating Jews.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(16) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 34, (op cit).

(17) Both the above quotes are taken from page 4 of *THE RELIEF OF BELSEN, APRIL 1945: EYEWITNESS ACCOUNTS*, published by the Imperial War Museum, London, (1991). Foreword by Alan Borg, Director-General of the Imperial War Museum.

(18) *The Relief Of Belsen*, page 4, (ibid).

(19) An article in the *Independent on Sunday*, published as recently as August 22, 1993 illustrates this point. On page 3, journalist Stephen Ward referred to Belsen's non-existent gas chambers.

A trial was held of Belsen staff at Luneberg, Germany. It opened on September 17, 1945 with 45 defendants, including Commandant Kramer. The trial, which was concerned with atrocities allegedly committed at Belsen and Auschwitz, resulted in eleven executions - including Commandant Kramer and Irma Grese - twenty gaol sentences and fourteen acquittals.

(20) For example, issue 30, (undated but cNovember 1977), published a photograph of a mass grave at Belsen, bulldozer and all, and attempted to palm this off as "proof" of the Final Solution, (*Searchlight's* version of it). A *RECAP* article in the July 1982 issue claimed (or inferred) that Jews were "exterminated" in Buchenwald concentration camp.

(21) "...it was not within the bounds of political possibility that Himmler live to talk at the IMT." Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 240, (op cit).

(22) See pages 82-3 of *Matthew Hopkins: Witch Finder General*, by Richard Deacon, published by Frederick Muller, London, (1976).

(23) There is an extensive literature on the blood libel. The reader is referred in the first instance to the excellent study by R. Po-Chia Hsia, *The Myth of Ritual Murder: Jews and Magic in Reformation Germany*, published by Yale University Press, New Haven and London, (1988).

(24) A personal view here, the current writer does not believe that there can be such a thing as a war crime. War itself is a crime. The idea that there should be "rules" for what is essentially legalised murder has always appeared to me to be farcical. Had I been Heinrich Himmler, I would have had no qualms about "exterminating" any number of Jews - declared enemies of Germany. Likewise, had I been an Allied leader, I would have had no qualms about "exterminating" German men, women and children, and would in any case have taken no prisoners. But in my defence I will say that, had I been Neville Chamberlain, I would never have declared war on Germany in the first place, and had I been

Hitler, I would never have invaded Poland or any other neutral country. Nor would I have ordered the expulsion of the Jews.

(25) The war crimes trials were criticised harshly both at the time and long afterwards, see for example Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 9, (op cit).

(26) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 10, (ibid).

(27) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 248, (ibid). It's only a technicality, but Zyklon B was a fumigant rather than a disinfectant, ie it was used to kill lice rather than to protect barracks etc against germs.

(28) This is a complex argument which would take us far afield, but the reader is referred to the liberal historian Professor Quigley for a discussion of the power structure of the Nazi régime. *

* See in particular pages 443-4 of *TRAGEDY AND HOPE: A History of THE WORLD in Our Time*, by Carroll Quigley, Second Printing, Angriff Press, Los Angeles, (1974).

Americans torture Germans to extort "confessions"

By FRED REDMAN

TODAY I am able to tell the full story, revealed in Washington, of the American war trials scandal. It is an ugly story of barbarous tortures inflicted in the name of Allied justice.

It is time that the British people know all the facts. Little has appeared in our Press until today.

The charge is that American soldiers, building evidence against Germans accused of war crimes, have behaved with the same sadistic cruelty as the beasts who terrorised Europe when it was under Nazi domination.

HUNT FOR 5 SHIRT-SLEEVE SOLDIERS

FIVE soldiers in shirt-sleeves, held as absentees, escaped from the Central Recruiting Office, Great Scotland Yard last night.

They were being taken from their cells to an exercise yard when one of them noticed a door left open at the end of a tunnel. Pushing the escort aside, they reached the street and disappeared in Whitehall.

The five, all privates, are Arthur Hounslow, 21, Royal Berkshire Regt.; Robert McAlpine, 20, Argyll and Sutherland Highlanders; Thomas McDermott, 27, Cameronians; Joseph

The truth has come out through the persistence of an American lawyer and the frank horror of an American judge who refuses to be muzzled.

Judge Edward Van Roden, member of a U.S. Army Commission of Inquiry, tells how burning matches were forced under the fingernails of a prisoner by American investigators to extort a confession. For months, he says, men were kept in solitary confinement on near-starvation rations.

And they were beaten up and savagely kicked till strong men were reduced to broken wrecks ready to mumble any admission demanded by their prosecutors.

The War Department have shown the judge's personal report only to General Lucius Clay, their military commander in Germany. Washington suspects the reason was that it was too shocking for public disclosure.

But Judge Van Roden is

Commission sent to Germany to investigate was even more candid.

All but two of the Germans, in the 139 cases we investigated, had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair," he charged. "This was standard operating procedure with our American investigators.

They would put a black hood over the accused's head and then punch him in the face with brass knuckles."

U.S. Army prosecution teams had, he said, posed as priests to hear confessions and give absolution.

At mock trials men who refused to confess were confronted by a crucifix and burning candles. Those sham courts, attended by men in U.S. Army uniform, passed sham death sentences. Then the accused were told: "Sign this confession and we will get you acquitted."

Army witnesses explained that they had "a tough case to crack," and that

An article published in the widely read *Sunday Pictorial* for January 23, 1949 reveals the shocking truth about the "justice" that was meted out to the Nazis by the Allies at the end of the Second World War. As is often the case, the truth came out only thanks to the persistence of a small group of individuals who refused to let sleeping dogs lie. Are the Revisionists one such group of iconoclasts, or are they Nazi apologists, liars and hatemongers, as the ANAL pamphlet claims?

The Nazi-Jewish Boycotts: Fantasy Versus Fact

The fantasy, as presented here (page 9), is that as soon as the Nazis came to power they pounced on the poor, innocent, powerless Jews, boycotted their businesses, confiscated their property, sent them to concentration camps, murdered hundreds, or thousands of them, and, after the outbreak of World War Two, commenced a systematic campaign of murder. Here as elsewhere, this is usually seen as a continuous, unbroken chain. The Final Solution - the standard version - is presented as the inevitable consequence of Nazi anti-Semitism (and all forms of *racism*). How different the true facts.

The *Jewish Chronicle* for March 24, 1933 reported on pages 24-8 as follows: *Jews in Germany - Ruthless Economic Repression...* This article begins: "The anti-Semitic campaign in Germany is...lessening and giving way to the deadlier weapon of economic persecution." The beginnings of the Jewish boycott were also reported.

The reality is that, shortly after coming to power, the Nazis announced a boycott of Jewish businesses for April 1, 1933. (1) Immediately, Organised Jewry announced that it was striking back, and in a famous article published in the London *Daily Express* for March 24, it was announced that Judea had declared war on Germany.

"World Jewry has made up its mind not to rest quiescent in face of this revival of medieval Jew-baiting.

"Germany may be called on to pay a heavy price for Hitler's antagonism to the Jews...The Jewish merchant prince is leaving his counting-house, the banker his board-room, the shopkeeper his store, and the pedlar his humble barrow, to join together in what has become a holy war to combat the Hitlerite enemies of the Jew...In London, New York, Paris, and Warsaw Jewish merchants are uniting for a commercial crusade." (2)

The following July, Organised Jewry held an International Jewish Boycott Conference in Amsterdam. The American Jewish lawyer Samuel Untermyer presided over the conference. He referred to the boycott as a "holy war...a war that must be waged unremittingly". (3)

The *Jewish Chronicle* for April 7, 1933, pages 24-7 published a long article entitled: *The Martyrdom of German Jewry: BRUTAL PROCESS OF ECONOMIC EXTINCTION...* which revealed, interestingly: "The [Nazi] boycott had a trial run of one day - and that day Shabbat - and was then ingloriously called off. Realising that he has brought the world about his ears, Hitler has sounded the retreat on the anti-Semitic front; but he has not yet surrendered, and a protracted war of attrition is facing German Jewry."

In other words, Organised Jewry were not interested in simply halting the persecution of German Jews, which, by their own admission, save for rogue elements, was almost entirely economic. They wanted to bring down the Hitler government period. In the same article, the Nazis were said to have put up notices which read: "The Jews of the whole world want to destroy Germany. German people defend yourselves! Do not buy from Jews!"

In view of the *Daily Express* article, this seems fair comment. The article also reported that: "The boycott leaders' last appeal to Storm Troopers and the population to observe discipline and refrain from acts of violence seems to have been obeyed to the letter...there was scarcely an act of violence in Berlin." (4)

Now, let us jump forward to November 1938. In the ANAL pamphlet it is claimed that on November 9, thirty thousand Jews were rounded up and sent to concentration camps, "One thousand were murdered, and synagogues, homes and businesses were destroyed."

This statement contains lies, distortions and omissions. The background to Kristallnacht, the "Night of the Broken Glass" has been ignored in its entirety; the claim - or implication - that a thousand people were murdered on or as a result of that nationwide pogrom is a gigantic lie. So what really did happen here, and why?

The background to Kristallnacht, and the reason for it, lay in the escalating German-Jewish tension, the intensified official disenfranchisement of the Jews in Germany and the worldwide Jewish-orchestrated anti-German (not anti-Nazi) boycott. Again, it must be stressed that, contrary to the standard history of this troubled period - much of which has been written by Jews (5) - this was not simply a case of helpless Jews being pogrommed by wicked Nazis. As early as October 1933, these poor, persecuted, powerless people boasted in the *Jewish Chronicle* that they were bringing Germany to its knees. (6)

On February 4, 1936, Wilhelm Gustloff, the Swiss Nazi leader, was gunned down by 26 year old David Frankfurter. In December 1936, Frankfurter was gaoled for 18 years. "Nazi pressure" was said to have been responsible for this "severe verdict". (7)

On November 7, 1938, Herschel Feibel Grynszpan gunned down the German diplomat Ernst vom Rath in Paris. The fact that Grynszpan was seventeen years old was less significant to the German authorities than the fact that he was a Jew. The reason for this - quite cowardly - murder is unclear. Some authors have tried to place a conspiratorial interpretation on it. (8) The usual chutzpah is that this was an understandable if not an entirely justifiable reaction of a poor, oppressed Jew to Nazi persecution. In particular, Grynszpan's mind was said to have been unhinged by the brutalisation of his parents, who had just been evicted from Germany. (9) The current writer's view is that Grynszpan was probably a mixed up kid; there has never been any shortage of those, and, even by their own accounts, the Jews have more of these than most.

When vom Rath died, the German press presented (interpreted?) his murder as part of a plot by International Jewry to destroy Germany, which was related to the murders of other Germans abroad, including that of Wilhelm Gustloff. (10) This may sound like anti-Semitism, or plain, old-fashioned paranoia, but to avoid hypocrisy, we must judge the Nazis by the same standards as everyone else. Conspiratorial interpretations of political assassinations, especially in such sensational circumstances (and troubled times), are hardly anti-Semitic, and are certainly not a rarity, as the voluminous bibliography of the JFK industry clearly illustrates.

As a result of the assassination of vom Rath, pogroms and synagogue burning broke out all over Germany, and it was this episode which became known as the Kristallnacht. These riots were disgraceful, they led to a number of murders and to massive damage against Jewish property, but they were not, as Jewish spokesmen and academics would have us believe, a unique act of wickedness. Hatred of the Jew, violence against the Jew, murder of the Jew, is no less terrible and *no more terrible* than the unnecessary suffering inflicted on other human beings every day since the dawn of civilisation, and which has continued daily to date, and will probably continue forevermore. More than that, German wickedness is no worse and no better than any other wickedness; there is no German evil any more than there was a Jewish evil, *Protocols Of Zion* or whatever.

Both leftists and the spokesmen for Jewry (including many academics) have tried desperately to lay the blame for Kristallnacht on Dr Goebbels, or even on Hitler himself. The facts say otherwise. The exact death toll for Kristallnacht is difficult to establish and varies widely depending on which publication the reader consults.

A summary of contemporary reports in the London *Times* reads as follows: November 10, 1938, page 15: reports Jewish newspapers shut down, popular action against the Jews said to be forbidden. Two synagogues burned in the Hesse region in 24 hours. Jewish population said to total 400,000.

November 11, 1938, page 14: bombings of synagogues etc and thousands of Jews arrested. In the evening, Goebbels ordered the lawlessness to stop. Nine of the twelve synagogues in Berlin were set ablaze. This was said to have been systematic. Jewish shop owners were taken into protective custody by fearful police. Two Jewish shop owners were arrested for setting fire to their own department store for the insurance. Goebbels issued a proclamation against the pogrom. All Jews were ordered to leave Munich in 48 hours.

November 12, 1938, page 12: the order for Jews to leave was withdrawn. 700-1,000 arrests were reported, mostly of professionals. Goebbels said Jewish property rather than Jews had been targeted. There was no looting. He couldn't order police to fire on the public. (Another example of Nazi wickedness?) A total of 1,200 arrests were made. [The no looting claim is refuted by the subsequent purge of the SA and SS].

November 14, 1938, page 12: the Jews of Germany were fined £84,000,000 for the murder of vom Rath. Goebbels said "Germany does not wish to destroy the Jews culturally, but to effect a complete separation between Germans and Jews", (the paper's quotes).

Up to 1,000 Jews were deported to Dachau and Nuchenwalder concentration camps. (This may be the origin of the thousand murdered claim). The Nazis were said to have begun a purge, expelling and arresting 40 SS and SA men who had plundered shops for their own benefit. Goebbels said that Germany's only interest was that Jews should leave the country.

November 16, 1938, page 13: the Nazi response was that "The alleged measures of violence in Germany, in which not a hair of a single Jewish head was touched, lasted a few hours." This was an overly optimistic pronouncement. They went on to accuse the British of oppressing colonial peoples especially in Palestine. So much for Nazi *racism*. Three Jews were said to have

died in Dachau, but deaths in police or military custody have never been an exclusively Nazi phenomenon.

Reports in the *Jewish Chronicle* for the same period tell much the same story.

The first significant report appeared in the November 18 edition, but, interestingly, a report in the London *Daily Sketch*, LATE LONDON EDITION for November 15, 1938, page 3, revealed: *World Jews Strike Back at Germany*. This article stated: "INTERNATIONAL Jewry struck back at Germany yesterday with a new weapon - financial reprisals.

"Fierce and concerted selling by Jews on the stock markets of Europe and the U.S. forced down German securities.

"Millions were lost to German holders during the day.

"In London German bonds fell two points. Austrian [four and a half] per cent. dropped a full point."

Again, Jews may have been persecuted, but powerless? Come on!

Back to the *Jewish Chronicle*, the November 18 issue contained a long article starting on page 30 which referred to *THE NAZI POGROMS...* Page 34 reported 9 deaths, there was said also to have been a large number of "suicides", (their quotes); one man was trampled to death, two fell out of windows; 3 were reported dead in Dachau, (as reported in the *Times*). 25 Jews were said to have committed suicide in Vienna in one day. On page 31 it was reported that "The savagery of the Nazis in *avenging*, on the helpless Jewish population, the crime of a seventeen-year-old boy, driven to desperation by the brutal deportation of his parents..." The Nazis were said to have organised the pogroms which were said not to have been spontaneous.

The November 25 issue reported hundreds more Jews arrested but a few released, including the extremely ill, the war wounded, and those holding war decorations.

The December 2 issue, page 34, reported that over one hundred Jews had been killed, not all related to Kristallnacht. There were said to have been 12 murdered in Sachsenhausen camp; 4 dead on the Czech border, frozen to death; 70 said to have been killed in Buchenwald on the night of 8/9 November! There was a sub-heading in this article, *Extermination Threat*. The word "extermination" was used in such extremely loose fashion by the *Jewish Chronicle* throughout the entire Nazi era; yet, curiously, it has not been used half so liberally since the boot has been on the other foot, even at the height of the *intifada*.

It is difficult to sort the wheat from the chaff here, one should bear in mind also that the *Jewish Chronicle* is a newspaper which has scant respect for truth. (11)

Volume 4 of *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, which was published in 1941 (12) records simply that 283 synagogues in Germany, 107 in Austria, and 11 in the Sudetenland were destroyed, while stores were plundered, people were sent to concentration camps, and "Numerous Jews were brutally murdered."

Finally, let us look at contemporary reports of Kristallnacht, (ie contemporary for us). The *Encyclopaedia Judaica* reports that 36 Jews were killed, 36 seriously injured. (13) *A History of the Jewish People* reports 26,000 arrests of "mainly well-to-do" Jews and 90 deaths. (14) Curiously, *The New Standard Jewish Encyclopedia*, which was published two years earlier, has no entry for Kristallnacht and makes no mention at all of the murders of Jews, but says simply under the entry for Herschel Grynszpan that he was killed by the Germans during the War, probably 1942. (15) (In reality, in spite of extensive research, the fate of Herschel Grynszpan remains uncertain).

A half century after the pogroms, *Searchlight* magazine reported 91 murders and twenty-six thousand arrests. (16) Carrie Supple reports 92 murdered and 7,000 shops owned by Jews looted and destroyed. Supple also reports that thirty-one thousand Jews were arrested and sent to concentration camps. (17)

The really interesting thing here though is that after five years of allegedly extreme tyranny and persecution, Germany's Jewish population - many of whom had emigrated - were still "well-to-do" and still owned 7,000 shops! (18) And this out of a population which in 1933 was reckoned to be 600,000.

Now, at last, we may return to the ANAL pamphlet. Clearly, the figure of one thousand Jews murdered has no basis in fact. Probably the most accurate statistics for this shameful episode are those of the Nazi apologist Ingrid Weckert, who attributes the following figures to Reinhard Heydrich: 171 synagogues destroyed; 7,500 shops and stores damaged; 35 dead. (19) Weckert's figures are undoubtedly more reliable because she has both researched her book from primary sources and based her figures on contemporary reports rather than on post hoc speculation or - as in the case of ANAL - fabrication. She is also far more concerned with passing the buck - blaming the Kristallnacht pogrom on mysterious (Zionist) agents provocateurs - than in minimising the scale of the savagery.

Notes And References

(1) This "boycott" was extended but was never enforced legally, although Jews were progressively excluded from the professions, and businesses were *Aryanised*.

(2) *JUDEA DECLARES WAR ON GERMANY: JEWS OF ALL THE WORLD UNITE*, published in the *Daily Express*, March 24, 1933, page 1.

(3) *Beaty, Iron Curtain Over America*, page 62, (op cit).

(4) Interestingly, *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* Volume 8, page 69 reports that the Nazi boycott was meant to be for three days, but the intervention of the (non-anti-Semitic) Italian Fascist leader Benito Mussolini was said to have persuaded Hitler to reduce it to one day.

(5) I make this point out of necessity. As blacks often complain that their history - as far as they have any - is written largely by whites, and as "feminists" have often complained that history is written largely from a male perspective, I do not think it can be considered *racist* or, perish the thought, anti-Semitic, to stress this point. Certainly, most of the major non-Revisionist works on the Final Solution are written by Jews, in particular the three standard works (by Hilberg, Reitlinger and Davidowicz). I would not be so rash as to claim that no Jew would ever give any Nazi a fair hearing, but the issue of bias is surely a valid point to make.

(6) *GERMANY THROUGH BARBARISM TO BANKRUPTCY. Heavy Cost of Jewish Persecution...*, a long article commencing on page 14 of the *Jewish Chronicle* for October 27, 1933.

(7) *Jewish Chronicle*, December 18, 1936, page 23. [The death sentence was not in force.]

(8) Weckert, *Flashpoint*, (op cit).

(9) When I say chutzpah I mean exactly that. How about this baby from a 1986 study? "Was Grynspan's action that of a heroic martyr or a misguided pariah?...Though nearly half a century has passed since Herschel Grynspan's assassination of Ernst vom Rath, little or no progress has been made on these painful questions." *

In the first place, Grynspan was not a martyr because by some accounts he survived the war; there is certainly no record of his execution. In the second

place, when has the murder in cold blood of a diplomat - yes, even a Nazi diplomat! - ever counted as an act of heroism or martyrdom? Was the attempted assassination in London of Israeli Ambassador Shlomo Argov by Palestinian would-be assassins more than forty years later anything but a cowardly act?

* From page 225 of *HERSCHEL GRYNSZPAN: THE FATE OF A FORGOTTEN ASSASSIN*, by Ron Roize, published in *HOLOCAUST AND GENOCIDE STUDIES: An International Journal*, Volume 1, Number 2, 1986, pages 217-28.

(10) *The Times*, November 9, 1938, page 13.

(11) Now as then, as I know from personal experience.

(12) This ten volume set was published over a period of several years. See entry under *GERMANY*, pages 583-4.

(13) *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, published by Keter Publishing, Jerusalem, (1973), Volume 10, page 1263.

(14) *A HISTORY OF THE JEWISH PEOPLE*, Edited by H.H. Ben-Sasson, published by Weidenfeld and Nicolson, London, (1977), page 1021.

(15) *The New Standard Jewish Encyclopedia*, Edited by Cecil Roth and Geoffrey Wigoder, published by W.H. Allen, London, (1975), see page 799.

(16) September 1988, issue 159, page 11.

(17) *Supple, From Prejudice To Genocide*, page 84, (op cit).

(18) *A History of the Jewish People* page 1021 (already cited), reports 7,500 shops and businesses!

(19) Weckert, *Flashpoint*, page 107, (op cit).

More ANAL Lies And Nonsense

The alleged extermination of Jews at Babi Yar is also dragged into the ANAL polemic: 33,771 Jews are said to have been murdered in two days at this ravine by the *Einsatzgruppen* anti-partisan force. This extremely precise figure is often quoted, but it wasn't always so precise. For example, in his 1974 book, *Man's Most Dangerous Myth: THE FALLACY OF RACE*, "cultural anthropologist" Ashley Montagu refers in a footnote to the claim that Ukrainians massacred over 100,000 Jews at Babi Yar. (1) Ukrainians, be it noted, not Germans! Revisionist Historian James J. Martin reports that the Soviets didn't excavate at Babi Yar as the Nazis had at Katyn "Belief replaced evidence here." (2) A figure as high as 200,000 murdered has been given for Babi Yar, (3) although the distinguished American Jewish academic Salo Baron reduced the figure to the quaint 33,771. (4)

In the ANAL pamphlet, Martin Gilbert is quoted on Babi Yar. In his book *THE HOLOCAUST: The Jewish Tragedy*, Professor Gilbert reprints - totally uncritically - the testimony of an alleged survivor of the massacre. (5) Worse still, he quotes, again totally uncritically, from the ludicrous Gerstein statement, which we shall encounter shortly. Again, I must stress that I am most grateful to Professor Gilbert for his helping to establish the fake Hitler genocide quote and the Dr Mengele fabrication mentioned earlier, but Professor Gilbert's integrity and thoroughness are not matched by his critical faculty, something every historian should develop to a high degree. In short, he is gullible. Professor Gilbert's other major fault is that, unlike so many other historians of the Holocaust he is honest! Perhaps too honest for his own good. So honest is Professor Gilbert in fact that he doesn't realise that other people - Jews as well as "Nazis" - are often totally dishonest. Never dreaming that "survivors" could do what he would never do - lie through their teeth - he has allowed himself to be taken in by all manner of crooks and charlatans. Even a Jewish historian has taken him to task. In her November 1979 anti-Revisionist polemic *The men who whitewash Hitler*, Gitta Sereny accuses Professor Gilbert of being taken in by fake eyewitnesses of Treblinka "extermination camp". (6)

On page 10 of the ANAL pamphlet, after quoting an emotive - and obviously fraudulent - "eyewitness testimony" (7) we come to the Gerstein statement. It

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

is the height of ANAL's chutzpah that they would take something as blatantly fraudulent as this and attempt to palm it off on the world as unequivocal "proof" of what *Times* journalist Bernard Levin called the greatest crime in history. And it is sad that Professor Gilbert too appears to have been taken on by it.

Here is part of the Gerstein statement quoted from the ANAL pamphlet:

SS men pushed the men into the chambers. "Fill it up," Wirth ordered. Seven to eight hundred people in ninety-three square metres. The doors closed...All were dead after thirty-two minutes.

'Jewish workers on the other side opened the wooden doors...The people were standing like columns of stone, with no room to fall or lean.. (Italicised in original).

Before we examine this statement in any depth, it is necessary for us to go back in time, to the year 1985, to be precise. This was the year the German-Canadian Ernst Zundel was put on trial for the first time for allegedly distributing false news. This prosecution, which was effected by a Canadian Holocaust "survivor", was brought under an archaic Canadian law, and was effected because Zundel had the temerity to publish books and pamphlets which dared to challenge the officially sanctioned version of the Holocaust.

More specifically, Zundel was tried on an archaic charge of distributing "false news", which related to his publication and distribution of the pamphlet *Did Six Million Really Die?* by Richard Harwood. (8)

At this trial, the prosecution called Raul Hilberg, a distinguished historian and author of *The Destruction Of The European Jews*, one of the standard works on the Holocaust. The idea was, of course, that Professor Hilberg, other "experts" and "survivors" of the Holocaust would humiliate Zundel, expose him to the world for the shameless liar he is, and destroy forever the lies of the Revisionists - who are only a bunch of Nazis, anti-Semites and cranks anyway. At least, that appears to have been the game plan. Unfortunately, Zundel and his team forgot to read the script, and although he was convicted, very soon it became clear that it was the Holocaust and not Zundel who was on trial. (9)

Not a lot of publicity was generated outside of Canada by the persecution (10) of Ernst Zundel, however, the case was reported extensively by the Canadian media, and although it was at times sensationalised, the coverage was

surprisingly objective. (11) One of the biggest surprises was the claim that Professor Hilberg had used the Gerstein statement extensively. "Hilberg testified that his principle [sic] concentration was on documents. The principle [sic] document upon which Hilberg's Extermination theory is based, is the *confession* of SS Obersturmbanfuhrer Kurt Gerstein." (12)

Gerstein's ludicrous statement (see above) is obviously not the statement of a rational human being. Zundel's lawyer, Doug Christie, put the question to Professor Hilberg in the witness box: "You could say he was crazy", to which Hilberg replied that he was not a psychiatrist, but admitted that he had edited the statement and quoted from it selectively no less than ten times. (13)

Now let us return to the statement itself: "Seven to eight hundred people in ninety-three square metres. The doors closed...All were dead after thirty-two minutes."

The claim that seven to eight hundred people were crowded into 93 square metres strains belief. Was it that many? Did this in fact happen? In fact, this statement has been edited, just as Professor Hilberg edited it, for when it first appeared, the Gerstein statement claimed that this number of people were crowded into no more than 25 square metres! (See page 99). This, it must be stressed, is not a typo but a wilful distortion. Again, like many others, Professor Gilbert takes this statement at face value. Nazis, never let it be forgotten, are damned liars, except when it suits academics and "anti-fascists" to take their ravings - however absurd - at face value.

Not only are we asked to believe that seven to eight hundred people were crowded into a space not much bigger than the current writer's bedroom (or four times the space if we allow this wicked lie to go unchallenged), but we are told that after thirty-two minutes *'Jewish workers on the other side opened the wooden doors...The people were standing like columns of stone, with no room to fall or lean..*

Agas chamber with wooden doors? Can this really have been so? Apparently, for this gas chamber - which obviously did not exist, at least not in the way it is described here - was in Belzec camp and used carbon monoxide rather than Zyklon B.

Let us though go further than this. Let us read part of the Gerstein statement which ANAL and Professor Gilbert do not reproduce. Apparently, as they marched to their doom, the soon to be gassed Jews were told: "Nothing whatever will happen to you. All you have to do is to breathe deeply, it strengthens the

lungs; this inhalation is a necessary measure against contagious diseases, it is a very good disinfectant!" (14)

This sounds like something out of a Monty Python sketch. Read it again, slowly. "Breathe deeply ladies and gentlemen. Zis disinfectant is good for zur lungs!" Can anyone take this nonsense seriously? The Gerstein statement is published as an appendix to the book by *survivor* Rudolph Vrba, one of the principal eye witnesses to Bernard Levin's greatest crime in history, in his Hun-hating novel which was palmed off on the world as a true account of the Nazi genocide, but which Vrba admitted at the Zundel trial to be "an artistic picture". Vrba was also forced to admit that a gassing he claimed to have witnessed did not in fact take place when the building he claimed it had taken place in was shown to have been a mortuary. An error he claimed to have made in good faith. (15)

Another author who has reproduced the Gerstein statement is Professor Butz, but he has cast a skeptic's eye over it. (16) He comments "It is difficult to believe that anybody intended that this *statement* be taken seriously...I leave the reader to marvel at it." (17)

But the legendary Kurt Gerstein is not the only eyewitness to genocide who makes such absurd claims. As we have demonstrated already, as with psychic phenomena, what the academics study - or, in this case, profess to study - is not in keeping with the public perception. When one questions academics about the testimony of these supposed eyewitnesses to genocide - as the current writer has done - their inevitable reply is that there are *problems* with survivor testimony." What does that mean, problems? It means that, where testimony is valid, it may contribute to our general understanding of the times, the conditions and pressures people lived under, but tells us little or nothing about the alleged genocide of the Jews. And where it is not valid, it does little more than feed the media-inspired fantasies of jackbooted thugs stamping on Jewish faces. Although mainstream academics are too diplomatic - or too terrified of being branded anti-Semitic to say so - it means also that many *survivors* are damned liars. So let's take a look at some survivor testimony, and we'll start with a very brief look at the biggest damned liar of the lot, Rudolph Vrba.

Notes And References

(1) *Man's Most Dangerous Myth: THE FALLACY OF RACE*, by Ashley Montagu, published by Oxford University Press, New York, (1974). Fifth Edition Revised and Enlarged. This footnote appears on page 414.

(2) *THE MAN WHO INVENTED 'GENOCIDE': The Public Career and Consequences of Raphael Lemkin*, by James J. Martin, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Torrance, California, (1984), page 79.

(3) Martin, *The Man Who Invented 'Genocide'*, page 106, (ibid).

(4) *THE RUSSIAN JEW UNDER TSARS AND SOVIETS*, by Salo W. Baron, published by Macmillan, New York, (1964), page 325.

(5) *THE HOLOCAUST: The Jewish Tragedy*, by Martin Gilbert, published by Fontana/Collins, 1987 paperback edition. See pages 204-5.

(6) Sereny, *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, page 672, (op cit).

(7) Not fraudulent in the sense that Rudolf Reder was never at Belzec, but the reader should exercise his critical faculty and compare this with the outright fabrication of the Gerstein statement.

(8) Also published transiently under the title *Six Million Lost And Found...*, this was allegedly written by Richard Verrall, who was then a leading member of the British political party the National Front. I am informed from sources close to Verrall at the time that although he was eventually named as the sole author - and indeed brought a legal action against the original publisher for unpaid royalties - other prominent National Front members had a hand in writing it.

(9) Because of errors of law in the first trial, a retrial was ordered. In 1988, Zundel was again convicted, however, he appealed all the way to the Supreme Court, and in 1992, his conviction was reversed.

(10) I say persecution rather than prosecution.

(11) The current writer has read two books on the Zundel trials, Michael Hoffman's *The Great Holocaust Trial* covers the first trial; Robert Lenski's *The Holocaust On Trial* covers the second. I have also read many reports of the trials (from the Canadian daily press), and seen two videos, which concentrated mainly on the media coverage.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(12) *THE GREAT HOLOCAUST TRIAL*, by Michael A. Hoffman II, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Torrance, California, Second Edition, (1985), page 54.

(13) Hoffman, *The Great Holocaust Trial*, page 55, (ibid). Professor Hilberg's book *The Destruction Of The European Jews* is a massive tome (the definitive edition, which was published after the trial, is in three volumes). The current writer has read this book and, whatever faults it may have, it is certainly thorough, and, as far as I can judge, an honest and efficient piece of scholarship, whatever the truth about the Final Solution. I did not itemise Professor Hilberg's references to the Gerstein statement.

(14) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 253, (op cit).

(15) Hoffman, *The Great Holocaust Trial*, page 60, (op cit).

(16) Professor Butz is also an engineer, so is obviously also aware of the inadequacies of this nonsense, to put it mildly.

(17) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 255, (op cit).

Rudolf Vrba: Eyewitness To Genocide

Not only did Vrba backtrack on his claim to having witnessed a gassing, but he had the audacity to protest to the judge at the Zundel trial that his testimony should not be challenged by Zundel's lawyer. "I am saying to you that to consider a person who fought the Nazis is a liar, is a misuse of the free courts of Canada", he wailed. (1)

Which, translated into ordinary English, means believe me, I'm a Jew. Believe me, I suffered, I suffered more than you, my suffering was greater, our suffering is always greater. Of course, the very fact that Jews - and countless others - did indeed suffer under the Nazis, does little for their objectivity. Are we really expected to believe that these people, who hold the Nazis - and in many case the Germans - responsible for attempting to wipe their race off the face of the Earth, do not have axes to grind? "I fought the Nazis, so I must be telling the truth!" The Soviets also fought the Nazis; that didn't prevent them from murdering over 14,000 Polish officers and intellectuals at Katyn. Our government also fought the Nazis; that hasn't prevented it from lying to us. All governments are damned liars, as surely every "anti-fascist" knows.

The importance of Vrba's testimony being proven (actually admitted) false, is that he was one of the people who contributed to the War Refugee Board Report which "confirmed" that a million and a half people were exterminated by gassing and burning at Oswiecim (Auschwitz). This report was issued in November 1944. (2) It was not until the 1985 trial of Ernst Zundel that it could be consigned to the dustbin of history where it belongs. (3)

Notes And References

(1) Hoffman, *The Great Holocaust Trial*, page 58, (op cit).

(2) *SLAUGHTER IN OSWIECIM Shocking Report by U.S. Board*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, December 1, 1944, page 1. The story is continued on

page 9 as *Slaughter in Oswiecim*. Vrba was not credited as one of the authors of this report until 1960.

(3) Along with many others this brazen fabrication is discussed in *AUSCHWITZ: A JUDGE LOOKS AT THE EVIDENCE*, by Wilhelm Staeglich, translated from the German by Thomas Francis, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Second edition, (January 1990).

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

"Within the chambers, the S.S. press the people closely together; Captain Wirth has ordered: 'Fill them up full.' Naked men stand on the feet of the others. Seven hundred to eight hundred crushed together on twenty-five square metres, in forty-five cubic metres! The doors are closed!

"Meanwhile the rest of the transport, all naked, waited. Somebody said to me: 'Naked in winter! Enough to kill them! The answer was: 'Well, that's just what they are here for!' And at that moment I understood why it was called the Heckenholt Foundation. Heckenholt was the man in charge of the diesel engine, the exhaust gases of which were to kill these poor devils.

"S.S. Unterscharführer Heckenholt tried to set the diesel engine going, but it would not start. Captain Wirth came along. It was obvious

Many others have testified to the Holocaust, not only survivors and prisoners, but also civilians, camp guards and SS officers including the Commandant of Auschwitz and local and national authorities. A German engineer working for the SS described a visit to Belzec ...

"SS men pushed the men into the chambers. 'Fill it up,' Wirth ordered. Seven to eight hundred people in ninety-three square metres. The doors closed. . . All were dead after thirty-two minutes.

'Jewish workers on the other side opened the wooden doors. They had been promised their lives for doing this horrible work. . . The people were

Above: parallel passages from different versions of the Gerstein statement which demonstrate clearly how this piece of nonsense has been edited. Top: this version was published as an appendix to Rudolf Vrba's Hun-hating novel disguised as an autobiography. *I CANNOT FORGIVE* was first published in 1963. Bottom: the edited version as published by ANAL. "We attempt to explain Holocaust denial...and to show ways in which these liars...must [be] stopped." Somebody is lying here for sure, but it isn't the Revisionists.

What If Lying About The Holocaust Per Se Were A Criminal Offence?

Another prominent false witness is Nobel Laureate Elie Wiesel. (1) Wiesel's book *Night* is listed on page 14 of the ANAL pamphlet as a source of information on the Holocaust. The front cover of Wiesel's book records: "HIS RECORD OF CHILDHOOD IN THE DEATH CAMPS OF AUSCHWITZ AND BUCHENWALD" (2) In reality, *Night* is a novel; (3) it is short (126 pages), but contains dialogue throughout. On page 43, Wiesel claims: "Not far from us, flames were leaping up from a ditch, gigantic flames. They were burning something. A lorry drew up at the pit and delivered its load - little children. Babies! Yes, I saw it - saw it with my own eyes...those children in the flames. (Is it surprising that I could not sleep after that? Sleep had fled from my eyes.)"

Professor Faurisson comments that: "Here Wiesel the false witness had some bad luck. Forced to choose from among several Allied war propaganda lies, he chose to defend the fire lie instead of the boiling water, gassing, or electrocution lies. In 1956, when he published his testimony in Yiddish, the fire lie was still alive in certain circles. This lie is the origin of the term Holocaust. Today there is no longer a single historian who believes that Jews were burned alive." (4)

Faurisson also points out that in one of his publications, that, after the Babi Yar massacre, Wiesel claimed that: "Later, I learn from a witness that, for month after month, the ground never stopped trembling; and that, from time to time, geysers of blood spurted from it." (5)

However much people have suffered, or claim to have suffered, are we expected to rewrite the laws of physics or to give any credence at all to such nonsense, which by Wiesel's own admission is hearsay in any case?

One person who definitely did suffer as a young girl was Gerda Klein. In 1958 she published an account of her experiences which included the following statement: "Everywhere we left some dead. Some we buried, others we simply left. Hundreds of girls had frozen feet, bloody and full of pus. I saw one girl break off her toes as though they were brittle wood." (6)

Did Gerda Klein really see a girl literally break off her toes? Is such a feat possible? Ask your doctor?

And did (that damned liar) Rudolph Vrba believe the following account, and do you?

After he had helped dispatch a shipment of his fellow Jews to the gas chamber, a member of the *Sonderkommando*, Filip Mueller is said to have reported to Vrba how it went: "Quietly, Rudi," he said. "Very quietly. They sang the Czech and Jewish National Anthems all the time and they just walked straight into the chambers."

"No resistance?"

"We were waiting for it, but it never came. Had they started a fight we would have joined them." (7)

To my skeptical ears this sounds suspiciously like another claim unearthed by retired judge Wilhelm Staeglich: "In the *gas chamber*, a Polish girl delivered an *impassioned speech*, exhorting the *assembled Jews* to avenge the Poles. Profoundly moved, the Poles knelt and sang the Polish national anthem, in their last moments full of hope for the future of their nation. With that, everyone...burst into the *Internationale*, and died *amidst song in the ecstasy of dreams of universal brotherhood and a better tomorrow*." (8)

The former *Sonderkommando* Filip Mueller (9) (mentioned above) got in on the act with his own eyewitness account, *Eyewitness Auschwitz: Three Years in the Gas Chambers*. Robert Faurisson writes of this piece of literary trash: "This sickening bestseller is the result of the work of a German ghostwriter, Helmut Freitag, who did not shrink from engaging in plagiarism." (10)

At one point, Müller describes how after execution, doctors cut warm flesh from the thighs and calves of bodies, tossed them into a bucket and, the muscles, still working and contracting, made the bucket jump about.

Finally, a delightful quote I unearthed myself. Dr Gisella Perl was a Jewess who worked with the legendary Dr Mengele. In her 1948 book *I Was A Doctor In Auschwitz*, Perl, who was a gynaecologist, claims victims were sent to the gas chambers (disguised as showers) in small wooden houses. "The children...did not go with their mothers into the gas-chambers." Instead they were burnt alive! Hundreds of thousands of them. (11) Another case of lying by fire.

Dr Perl also exhibits another trait typical of so many concentration camp survivors, a pathological obsession with filth. On page 33 she records: "There was, of course, no toilet paper in the latrine and we had no way of obtaining

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

paper except when somebody stole some from the store-rooms around the crematories. We got into the habit of tearing tiny squares of material off our shirts, drying our eyes with them at first, then using them to clean our rectum [sic]. However careful we were, the shirts got shorter and shorter until there was nothing left but the shoulder straps and a narrow strip around our chests."

On pages 33-4, this resulted in a shirt inspection; naked whippings and "selection", for "to die in the flames" was the punishment for damaging camp property.

Also on page 34 she records that after rising at 4am the inmates stood from four to six hours waiting for roll call until "our torturers arrived, fresh, gay and ready for new feats of torture." By gay, one presumes she means happy rather than homosexual, as any *gay* SS officers would have been purged along with Ernst Röhm years before, and any *gay* civilians would have been sent to the camps as inmates rather than as staff. Waiting from four to six hours in a parade every day wouldn't have left much time for Dr Perl to carry out her work as right hand woman to the Angel of Death, or for performing abortions, (on pages 61-5 she meets the bitch of Belsen, Irma Greze [sic] and performs one on her). While, fainting or crying out when whipped or failing to turn up for roll call were all punished by being "thrown into the flames, alive."

Anyone who will take the above accounts at face value deserves to be lied to; if policemen were so gullible they would never solve any crimes. The plain truth is that if lying about the Holocaust were a crime per se, then half the former inmates of concentration camps would have joined Ernst Zundel in the dock. Indeed, many of them are far more deserving of a place in the dock than Zundel, because he obviously believes the Holocaust to be a lie, whereas Rudolph Vrba, to take but one example, is not only a proven liar but an admitted one. Away with all pests, and back to the ANAL pamphlet.

Notes And References

(1) The *Times*, October 15, 1986, page 7, revealed that Wiesel was nominated by, among others, Lech Walesa. But, when one considers some of the scumbags who have been awarded this prize (mass murderer Menachem Begin jointly with President Sadat of Egypt) and black "civil rights" activist and communist fellow traveller Martin Luther King, this isn't quite as outrageous as it may at first seem.

An article published in the *Times*, December 10, 1986, made it clear that not everyone was happy to see Wiesel receive this prize, especially in Norway. At a press conference, Zionist apologist Wiesel came under fire on account of the then current spate of shootings of Palestinian children by Israeli soldiers.

But the most terrible travesty of all was that this prize could - and should - have been awarded to the Irish rock musician Bob Geldof, who was front runner for the prize. Geldof (who is himself said to be of Jewish origin), had been the driving force behind the "Band Aid" and other organisations which brought relief to tens or hundreds of thousands of starving people in Africa, and thereby saved countless lives. But, probably on account of his propensity to cuss and curse (rather than to whine and wail) he was pipped at the post.

(2) *Night*, by Elie Wiesel, Translated from the French by Stella Rodway, paperback edition published by Penguin, Harmondsworth, Middlesex, (1981).

(3) In a complimentary article *Wiesel and the silence of God*, published in the *Times*, December 13, 1986, page 18, Rabbi Albert Friedlander refers to this book as a novel, though generally it is palmed off on the credulous as non-fiction.

(4) From a leaflet *A Prominent False Witness: ELIE WIESEL*, published by the Institute for Historical Review, (undated).

(5) *Ibid.* From a French publication.

(6) From page 171 of *ALL BUT MY LIFE*, by Gerda W. Klein, published by Elek Books, London, (1958).

(7) From page 196 of *I CANNOT FORGIVE*, by Rudolf Vrba and Alan Bestic, published by Sidgwick and Jackson, London, (1963).

(8) Staeglich, *AUSCHWITZ: A JUDGE LOOKS AT THE EVIDENCE*, pages 98-9, (op cit).

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

(9) The spellings of both Filip and Mueller vary from publication to publication.

(10) *The Journal of Historical Review*, Volume Eight, Number 1, Spring 1988, page 89.

(11) *I Was A Doctor In Auschwitz*, by Dr Gisella Perl, published by International Universities Press, New York, (1948), page 28.

ANAL's Not So Hidden Agenda

This continues "the whole terrible slaughter had been based on racist ideology." Here we will refer the reader back to Douglas Hyde, the communist who claimed that British soldiers were dying for profits. Whatever reason they did die for, it had nothing to do with saving the world from the mythical disease of *racism*. David Cesarani is suffering from similar delusions; in his book *Justice Delayed*, he claims that the Second World War was a war against fascism. (1) He may sincerely believe this, but if this is the case, then the rules of the game have been changed, for David Cesarani and his fellow travellers in Organised Zionist Jewry are now rather less concerned with fighting the tyranny of the Nazis than in imposing a tyranny of their own.

The book burning of Dr Goebbels has been replaced by the hysterical shrieks of Jewish polemicists, propagandists, lawyers, politicians, "anti-fascists", and academics like himself. In February 1993, the local press reported that Cesarani had added his voice to calls for legislation against Holocaust denial. (2) The Wiener Library was said here to be "dedicated to chronicling the horrors perpetrated under the Nazi regime." To this one should add clearly the words "real and imagined". Obviously this was far from the first time Cesarani and his cohorts have called for such a law, indeed they have done precious little else since the publication of *Did Six Million Really Die?* in 1974. But it is significant that he and his fellow travellers have never once called for the banning of such lies and poison as the ravings of Rudolph Vrba. Nor the hate-filled screeds of Elie Wiesel, who wrote as recently as 1982 that "Every Jew, somewhere in his being, should set apart a zone of hate - healthy, virile hate - for what the German personifies and for what persists in the German. To do otherwise would be a betrayal of the dead." (3) Imagine the outcry if a Palestinian had written these words of a Jew.

And what of all the other, myriad false witnesses to the alleged genocide of the Jews? What of the perjured affidavits, the confessions extracted by torture, the fake photographs, the "edited" testimonies a là Gerstein? What about the lies of the Holocaust affirmers, Dr Cesarani?

The ANAL pamphlet ends with an *Appeal for money*. Having read this far, you should now have some idea what this money will be used for, should you

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

be gullible enough to donate it. To stop Holocaust Revisionism BY WHATEVER MEANS NECESSARY.

The Anti Nazi League and their fellow travellers - including David (anti-Semitism is a disease) Cesarani - are operating a multi-pronged strategy. The reader should be under no illusions that their campaign has anything to do with stopping the mythical resurgence of Nazism, or with promoting such laudable goals as racial tolerance, harmony and the brotherhood of man. It has even less to do with preserving the integrity of history, or the memory of the dead, as the endorsement of the honest sap Martin Gilbert, (4) or of the intellectual prostitute David Cesarani imp!ies.

As stated, the purpose of this campaign, and indeed of the worldwide campaign against Holocaust Revisionism, is to shut the Revisionists down and thereby to prevent you from assessing the evidence for and *against* the Holocaust for yourself, like the independent-minded person you surely are. The *current writer would not be so insulting as to try to kid you that no Nazi or fellow traveller would ever lie about the Holocaust. But ANAL, Cesarani and their cohorts are so insulting as to believe that they and they alone have a right to research history and to teach it. Or, in Cesarani's case, to preach it. His 1992 book Justice Delayed identified a number of old men living in Britain who were said to be possible war criminals, but made no mention at all of the most notorious war criminal of the Nazi era still at large, former Prime Minister of Israel Yitzhak Shamir.*

Shamir took over as head of the Stern Gang in Palestine on the death of Avraham Stern; the terrorists were subsequently known as the LEHI. (5) [In December 1941, when the true nature of Nazism had been a matter of public record for over eight years, Stern himself sought an alliance with Nazi Germany.] (6) LEHI's crimes included the murder of Lord Moyne, British Minister for Middle East Affairs on April 26 1944; the murders of six sleeping British paratroopers, and the murder of United Nations envoy Count Folke Bernadotte. Many such atrocities were carried out at the same time the British and the rest of the Allies were busy saving the Jews from Hitler's *gas chambers* and saving our freedoms from the tyranny of Hitler so that fifty years after the war, Jewish leaders themselves might destroy them. Another well known butcher of innocent Britons, Arabs and *Jews* was mass murderer Menachem Begin, who like Yitzhak Shamir, went on to become Prime Minister of Israel. Begin was a particularly vociferous "Jewish nationalist" (7) who, as well as denouncing all

critics of the quasi-fascist state of Israel as anti-Semitic, was a ruthless butcher and oppressor of the Palestinian people. (8)

Of course, selective condemnation of terror and murder is a hypocrisy on which Jewish academics have no monopoly. And by the same token, the popular front against Holocaust Revisionism is not made up exclusively of Jewish organisations. Indeed, although the original impetus for the formation of the Anti Nazi League came from the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews, the Zionist establishment has since largely distanced itself from such the militant anti-fascists for three reasons. One is that among the more sincere leftists can be found passionate anti-Zionists, including many Jews, who have been so naïve as to judge Jews by the same standards as Gentiles. (9) They, likewise, have been smeared as anti-Semitic. (10)

Another is that the violence of the Anti Nazi League and similar organisations is often as not directed against the police, and this dents the veneer of respectability of the anti-fascist left. The third reason is that, like the wire-pullers and Machiavellian schemers they are, Jewish leaders prefer to destroy our freedoms by democratic, if covert, means.

The popular front against Holocaust Revisionism is in fact made up more or less of the same rag bag mob of leftists and fellow travellers who can be found in the campaign against *racism*, against American "Imperialism" and other, sundry socialist/communist campaigns. This includes even Stalinists, which explains why Nazism has been demonised and why Revisionists have been smeared as Nazis and fellow travellers.

We saw earlier that American author Morris Kominsky believes, or claims to believe, that the crimes of Moscow, Beijing and other communist régimes have been grossly exaggerated in order to make Hitler seem "by comparison a Christian gentleman".

In reality however just the opposite has happened; it is the Nazis who have been demonised. They have been demonised by the Zionists in order to distract the world from their own evil deeds in Occupied Palestine, and they have been demonised by the far left because the communists and hard socialists realise that the appeal of their dreadful inhuman philosophy (collectivism) remains largely for "academics", who will be the architects and planners of the new "workers' paradise". By and large, the ordinary working people they profess so loudly to support are not interested in the dictatorship of the proletariat; what they really want is a slice of people's capitalism. This applies to working people

of all races. But "everyone" hates racial bigotry, intolerance, and fascism. After all, didn't the Nazis exterminate six million Jews in cold blood?

It matters not how many "workers" have been butchered by the champions of the working class, nor how many Katyns have been perpetrated in Eastern Europe, then Soviet Russia, the People's Republic of China, Cambodia, or indeed anywhere else. The only thing that matters is *six million Jews*.

Similarly, for the Zionists, the only thing that matters is the fight against anti-Semitism and a world which has nothing better to do than to hate, persecute, pogrom and murder Jews. Hence they must have their beloved Israel, whatever the cost. And Israel must be strong, Jews everywhere must be strong, lest the Arabs push them into the sea, or lest the *goyim* start another Holocaust. It does not matter for them that the history of all mankind has been one long history of man's inhumanity to man, and to woman and child. One long history of purges, pogroms, murder and genocide. What does it matter that millions of blacks were sold into slavery by their own kind and transported to the New World in slave ships and that en route countless of their number died? What does it matter that a Negro was regarded as three fifths of a man? That women were, until fairly recently, regarded as the chattels of men? What does it matter to them that little boys were often shoved up chimneys, and that both boys and girls, some not ten years of age, were forced to work down mines?

What does it matter to Zionists that such horrors continue in the so-called Third World to this day? What does it matter that not only were Jews tortured until they confessed to ritual murder, but that a lonely old woman who talked to her cat could also be so tortured until she confessed to having sexual relations with the Devil and riding on a broomstick at the witching hour to a Sabbath? What does it matter that many such women did confess to such insanities, and that they were burnt at the stake, tortured to death or otherwise disposed of?

None of this matters to those who support the cancerous ideology of Zionism. Where Nazism is condemned as *racism*, Zionism, which is likewise a racial philosophy, cannot be *racist*. Any Jew can emigrate to Israel and claim citizenship at any time because Jews are special, Jews are the Chosen Race, as the anti-Semites claim. Jews need special protection, special privileges, for in the struggle against eternal anti-Semitism, anything goes: repression, murder, even genocide.

We have already mentioned the assassination of Ernst vom Rath and its perhaps inevitable result, Kristallnacht. Terrible as Kristallnacht was, this was the more or less spontaneous uprising of the German nation against the Jews, and only certain rogue elements at that. In 1982, a similar incident happened, only this time the boot was on the other foot, and the Zionists used this to prove to the world just what a benign philosophy Nazism was in comparison with Zionism.

On June 3, 1982, Mr Shlomo Argov the Israeli Ambassador in London was gunned down and seriously wounded by Palestinian terrorists. At the time, the Palestine Liberation Organisation was blamed for the attack, but this claim was never substantiated. That notwithstanding, Israel used this as a pretext to invade the Lebanon, and attempted to wipe out the PLO. They were still there in mid-September, and, the result was the massacres of Sabra and Shatila, in which perhaps as many as a thousand people were butchered, not by the Zionists, but by their murderous friends the "Christian" Phalangists.

This was too much even for the Israelis, and over 400,000 people protested against the massacre in Tel Aviv. Our old friend the anti-Zionist Jew Ron David has written, perhaps a little uncharitably, "There is only one plausible reason why the Jewish people focused on the Sabra & Shatila massacre. That was the only way to focus the world's attention on the only part of the crime they DIDN'T commit, *to cover the enormous crime they DID commit.*" (11) (The deaths of 30,000 people in Lebanon and the destruction of a great part of that once beautiful country).

And so it goes on. Although the enormously powerful and totally ruthless Zionist lobby has finally been kicked into line by the United States and the rest of the world, the whining, wailing, wire-pulling and smearmongering go on. Hence the hysteria over Holocaust Revisionism and the continued demonising of Nazism and Adolf Hitler.

In February 1994, a Zionist fanatic named Barukh Goldstein went berserk with an automatic weapon in Hebron and perpetrated a one-man Holocaust in a mosque where Moslems were at prayer. First reports indicated that as many as fifty people had been murdered, although this number was later reduced. (12) At his funeral, a Zionist rabbi proclaimed, shockingly, that a million Arabs are not worth a Jewish fingernail. This statement was condemned unequivocally by Dr Jonathan Sacks, the Chief Rabbi, but *Torah*-true Jews have told the current writer that the Hebron massacre symbolises Zionism in its true form,

and indeed, hard core Zionists believe that any number of anti-Semites must be exterminated in order to prevent another Holocaust. But they are not content simply to defend their race against the world, they must resort to "preemptive strikes" in the interests of national defence. And, throughout the *Diaspora*, to the employment of *agents provocateurs* to provoke criminal acts, including minor - and not so minor - anti-Semitic outrages in order to justify their tyranny, and to perpetuate the cycle of hatred. (13)

Notes And References

(1) Cesarani, *Justice Delayed*, page 169, (op cit).

(2) *Call for law against denying Holocaust: Right-wing surge 'must be checked'*, published in the *Ham & High*, February 19, 1993. Cesarani said "such a new law would be welcome".

(3) Cited by Robert Faurisson in *False Witness...*; published apparently in an essay *Appointment with Hate* in the book *Legends of Our Time*, Schocken Books, New York, (1982).

(4) I really don't want to be unkind to Professor Gilbert, but his gullibility is so profound that it cannot be ignored.

(5) Stern was cornered and killed by the British in February 1942.

(6) See for example *ZIONISM IN THE AGE OF THE DICTATORS: A reappraisal*, by Lenni Brenner, published by Croom Helm, Beckenham, Kent, (1983), page 268.

(7) Zionist indoctrination aside, the cancer of Zionism has been condemned by the real Jews - the men in black hats and caftans - since its inception.

(8) Begin's magnum opus was the bombing of the King David Hotel on July 22, 1946, which left some 80 people dead. He was also as responsible as any man for the massacres of Sabra and Shatila.

(9) One such anti-Zionist Jew is the time-serving "anti-fascist" activist Tony Greenstein, who has been an outspoken critic of Zionist tyranny. Against the Palestinians that is, not against "Nazis".

(10) See for example Ken Livingstone and Vanessa Redgrave, already mentioned. Neither do mainstream politicians escape Zionists' dirty tricks and

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

smear tactics as evinced by the case of Christopher Mayhew. In the United States, Zionist influence is powerful enough to dislodge even Senators and Congressmen. [See in particular Andrew Hurley's 1991 study *ISRAEL and the NEW WORLD ORDER*, (op cit).]

(11) David, *Arabs & Israel...*, page 156, (op cit).

(12) For example, *Palestine Solidarity*, March-April 1994, no 67, page 3, reported at least 48 dead at the Ibrahimi Mosque; the following issue, May-August 1994, No 68-9, page 3, reported 29 dead.

(13) See for example *Nazis, Communists, Klansmen and Others on the Fringe: POLITICAL EXTREMISM IN AMERICA*, by John George and Laird Wilcox, published by Prometheus, Buffalo, New York, (1992), page 329. Also the current writer's exposé of Searchlight "mole" Ray Hill, *Liars Ought To Have Good Memories*.

Nazi Crimes, Zionist Crimes And Double Standards

When I began the background research for this publication two years and more ago, (1) I asked the librarian in the Wiener Library if she could supply me with some English language press cuttings of Nazi atrocities committed against the Jews before the outbreak of World War Two and before Kristallnacht. I had expected her to hand me several files full of cuttings. Imagine my surprise then when, after searching the relevant section of the Library's vast archive, I was able to extract a mere handful of reports, ten, fifteen at most. The following was typical, from the *Daily Telegraph*, May 18, 1938; it reads as follows:

INSULTS TO JEWS

The police deny a report that Baron Louis de Rothschild has been released and has gone abroad. He has remained in prison since the middle of March, having been arrested soon after the annexation of Austria.

Insults to Jews in the streets of Vienna have been resumed, but disciplinary action has been taken against some of the Nazis concerned.

Several Storm Troopers are under arrest for cutting off the beards of Jews who were leaving a synagogue.

An officer who saw a Jew cleaning windows with bleeding hands was told by him that two men in uniform in an adjoining café had ordered him to do the work and placed corrosive acid in the water.

The officer went to the café immediately, called out the two men, tore off their badges and told them to report for punishment.

This report is similar to the ones I found in the *Jewish Chronicle*. And this is after the demonising of Nazism, let it not be forgotten. Much atrocity propaganda against the Nazis is exactly that, propaganda, shameless fakery and admitted lies, which emanated from Jewish sources, Zionist sources, communist sources, and Allied sources. We have already seen how the Soviets operated

with particular reference to the proven communist mass murders at Katyn and the unquestionably exaggerated mass murders at Babi Yar. And we will shortly take another look at the reliability or otherwise of some more Jewish survivor testimony, so it will be as well here to take a brief look at the admissions of a British anti-Nazi propagandist, Sefton Delmer.

Born in Berlin, May 24, 1904, (2) Denis Sefton Delmer grew up in Germany; among other things he was Berlin correspondent for the *Daily Express*. Although the son of an Australian father, Delmer was a British citizen. Before the war he moved in exclusive circles, and boasted of having dined with Hitler. (3) During the war he worked for the British black propaganda department, (4) and, in the second volume of his autobiography, published in 1962, he admitted quite candidly that:

He and his colleagues planted fake stories in newspapers. At one time they intended to print a fake copy of the *Völkischer Beobachter*, (the official Nazi Party newspaper), but this fell through for technical reasons. (5)

Nazi leaders were routine targets of all enemy propaganda, but "We never attacked internationally known big shots like Göring, Goebbels, and Himmler." [Emphasis added]. Instead, his department targeted lesser Nazi luminaries, and "We spread over them a slime of obloquy as foul as that which they themselves had spread over the Jews." (6)

This included alleged sexual aberrations; Delmer and his chums even had a special Rumour Committee. (7) And: "When we learned that families bombed out during the 'Terror Raids' on Hamburg were being evacuated to Eastern areas such as Poland, Slovakia and Ruthenia, we reported the epidemics of typhoid and cholera allegedly raging in those areas." (8)

It doesn't require too much of an imagination to see the possibility that rumours of gas chambers could have originated with Delmer and company. (9)

The hate campaign against Nazism began in 1933, if not earlier. A report in the *Daily Express* of March 25 said that two German Jewish organisations had rallied behind the government on account of the boycott: both the Central Union of German Citizens of Jewish Faith and the Union of National German Jews had poured scorn on anti-German atrocity propaganda which had clearly emanated from foreign - and almost certainly Jewish - sources. Reports of mutilated Jewish corpses found lying at the entrance to the Jewish cemetery in

Berlin and violence against Jewish girls and against recently arrived refugees (in Geneva) were denounced as "unfounded inventions". (10)

Other hate propaganda - and lies - against the Nazi government and the German people included a series of "Brown Books" and similar propaganda documents of doubtful provenance and dubious origin. Nazi apologist Ingrid Weckert claims that one such "Brownbook" was put out by one Willi Muenzenberg, a German-Jewish communist and anti-Nazi propagandist. (11) As both a Jew and a communist, Muenzenberg had two axes to grind.

One such "Brown Book" - which may or may not be the same one - was published in London by Victor Gallanz as early as 1933. According to the British Library catalogue, its author was one Otto Katz. (12) The same author was still churning out anti-Nazi propaganda five years later when he published a book called *The Nazi Conspiracy In Spain*. (13) The Brown Book of Otto Katz was said to have been "Prepared by the World Committee for the Victims of German Fascism", whose President was given as EINSTEIN. Presumably Albert Einstein, a man who was as politically gullible as he was mathematically gifted. Page 237 reports that 43 Jews had been murdered in Germany by the end of March 1933 - this is simply not true. This report is totally at odds with contemporary reports published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, and although to this day this newspaper is written and published by damned liars - and I have the scars to prove it - I know whom I would rather believe. Here is what the so-called organ of British Jewry had to say about the Nazi régime in March 1933:

March 10, page 7: an editorial entitled *The German Maelstrom* reads: "THE German elections have come and gone, without the predicted accompaniment of an anti-Jewish Pogrom." At this time it appears that the Nazi bark was very bad and the bite virtually non-existent.

In the same issue, an article on page 27: *The Elections in Germany - And After. GOVERNMENT REASSURES JEWS...* quoted Vice-Chancellor Von Papen thus: "Jewish citizens of Germany will under this government enjoy equal treatment with all other good citizens, if they carry out their duties to the State..."

Three US citizens were said to have reported cases of ill-treatment of Jews; this appears to have been by rogue elements.

March 17, pages 22-4: *ANTI-JEWISH TERROR IN GERMANY...* reports a number of outrages including the murder of a Jewish lawyer and a wholesale merchant, but on page 22, three Nazis in Cologne who robbed a Jew of 800

Marks at gunpoint were apprehended and expelled from the party. [We have already covered this report in Part 1].

March 24, pages 24-8: *Jews in Germany - Ruthless Economic Repression...* begins with the words: "The anti-Semitic campaign in Germany is...lessening and giving way to the deadlier weapon of economic persecution." See, no murders! This article reported also the beginning of the Jewish boycott.

March 31: *IN DARKEST GERMANY...*, a long article beginning on page 18 gave extensive coverage to Nazi brutalities while Goebbels was reported to have denounced foreign atrocity propaganda. On page 20 appears an interesting cameo indeed: "The Union of Jewish ex-soldiers at the Front has issued the following statement: At Wesel, people in Storm Troop uniform tried to prevent customers entering the business house of the firm Leyens and Levenbach. One of the proprietors...put on his uniform and his Iron Cross, and took up his stand at the entrance, among the Storm Troopers and distributed the following leaflet: "Our Chancellor Hitler, and Ministers Frick and Goering, have repeatedly declared that 'anyone who insults a soldier who fought at the Front will be punished by imprisonment.'" The pickets were withdrawn, and the boycott action was called off."

Let us continue into April to make sure that we have not missed anything:

April 7, pages 24-8: *The Martyrdom of German Jewry BRUTAL PROCESS OF ECONOMIC EXTINCTION...*

"The [Nazi] boycott had a trial run of one day - and that day Shabbat - and was then ingloriously called off. Realising that he has brought the world about his ears, Hitler has sounded the retreat on the anti-Semitic front; but he has not yet surrendered, and a protracted war of attrition is facing German Jewry."

Nazis put up notices "The Jews of the whole world want to destroy Germany. German people defend yourselves! Do not buy from Jews!"

And "The boycott leaders' last appeal to Storm Troopers and the population to observe discipline and refrain from acts of violence seems to have been obeyed to the letter...there was scarcely an act of violence in Berlin."

This article reports also that a Jew was shot dead at Kiel, although the circumstances were unclear.

On page 31 of the same issue, Lord Marley and others appealed to the British government to maintain the boycott against Germany. Could this be the same

Lord Marley who wrote an introduction to the *Brown Book* of Otto Katz, one wonders?

On page 35, the Berlin correspondent of the *Sunday Times* was said to have "fully authenticated" five murders of Jews by Storm Troops. Five murders, not forty-three!

April 14: an editorial on page 5 entitled "*Truth Must Out*". says that "...certain Jewish organisations abroad circulated exaggerated atrocity stories." Yes, incredible though it may seem, the *Jewish Chronicle* accused "certain Jewish organisations" of spreading atrocity propaganda against Nazi Germany. Page 16 reports the flogging of several Jews.

The April 21 issue, page 12, reports ritual murder propaganda and the kidnap and murder of a Jewish lawyer, among other things. But where were these forty-three murders? Wouldn't you much rather have been a Jew living under the Nazis than an Arab living under the *intifada*? At least the Nazis didn't murder your children.

When all the facts are in, it is indisputable that the Nazi régime, however austere, was one which respected human life, including those of its Jewish subjects. Prior to the outbreak of the war, and indeed well into the war, no Nazi could murder Jews with impunity.

[Perhaps the most incredible reports of all - of all the reports the current writer found - are those which appeared in the *Jewish Chronicle* of June 7, 1940, (page 10). Here it was claimed that the Nazi authorities had recently issued an order making Jews living in Germany liable for military service. On the very same page it was reported that the official Nazi wireless station in Brussels had announced that fourteen Belgian Nazis and four German soldiers were to be executed as a means of stopping uncontrolled anti-Jewish excesses. The paper's comment was: "The Nazis are nothing if not methodical." How can one possibly reconcile this with the Zionist version of the Final Solution?]

Contrast this "rule of law" under Hitler with the following Zionist atrocities: Rana Abu Tuyur, 11 years old, murdered on her way to buy milk on December 19, 1992 by Israeli "security services". Maher al-Maja' idah, aged 8, murdered 20, March 1993. Ra'edah al-Qarra, a 13 year old girl who was murdered on April 8, 1993. (14)

And we have seen already that between the December 1992 illegal expulsion of over four hundred men into the desert and May 27 the following year, that

more than 100 Palestinians had been shot and killed by the Israeli security forces, thirty of them under the age of seventeen, (as above). (15)

Never in peacetime did the Nazis murder Jewish children; never did they force men to live on waste ground in tents because they were suspected of being sympathetic to terrorism. Never did they dynamite the homes of Jews, throw them off the roofs of their houses, or any of the other countless atrocities the Zionists have committed against the Palestinian people. These are the plain, indisputable facts: in peacetime at least, Zionists, like communists, are far, far worse than Nazis. This then is why Nazism has been demonised, and why it will continue to be demonised. The crimes of Adolf Hitler and his régime, real and imagined, against Jews and Gentiles, are a convenient (and safe) straw man for all and sundry to attack, but especially for those supporters of the intellectual perversion of Marxism and the cancer of Zionism. Any attack on civil liberties, any attack on freedom, any evil, can be justified if it prevents another Holocaust: the destruction of freedom of speech, of capitalism, of Western Man's democratic institutions, of Western Man himself, and, not least, the Palestinian people, whom many Revisionists identify correctly as the major victims of nearly five decades of hate propaganda and Zionist-sponsored lies.

Notes And References

- (1) I had been meaning to write something on the subject of Holocaust Revisionism for some time before the ANAL pamphlet was published.
- (2) Delmer, *Trail Sinister*, page 15, (op cit).
- (3) Delmer, *Trail Sinister*, page 153, (ibid).
- (4) The Psychological Warfare Department.
- (5) *Black Boomerang: AN AUTOBIOGRAPHY*, Volume 2, published by Secker & Warburg, London, (1962), pages 54-5.
- (6) Delmer, *Black Boomerang*, page 65, (ibid).
- (7) Delmer, *Black Boomerang*, pages 65-6, (ibid).
- (8) Delmer, *Black Boomerang*, page 94, (ibid).
- (9) David Irving has made such a claim in his *FOREWORD* to *The Leuchter Report*.

(10) *JEW'S DENY PERSECUTION BY NAZIS: REWARD FOR PROOF OF MURDERS*, published in the *Daily Express*, March 25, 1933, page 9. This story was credited to the paper's Berlin correspondent.

(11) Weckert, *Flashpoint*, page 170, (op cit).

(12) The full credits for this work are *THE BROWN BOOK OF THE HITLER TERROR AND THE BURNING OF THE REICHSTAG*, author uncredited, with a foreword by Lord Marley, published by Victor Gallancz, London, (1933).

(13) *THE NAZI CONSPIRACY IN SPAIN*, by the Editor of *The Brown Book of the Hitler Terror*, Translated from the German Manuscript by Emile Burns, published by Victor Gollancz, London, (1937). A revised Spanish edition was published in Paris in 1938.

(14) Amnesty International press release embargoed for 27 May 1993. (This has already been alluded to in Part 1, see *The Jews Under The Nazis: Public Perception And Reality*).

(15) Refer to previous footnote.

The Revisionists And The International Nazi Conspiracy

The Zionists and their fellow travellers, and their lackeys and dupes, haven't quite known how to deal with Holocaust Revisionism. The Nazis have been so bold, so outrageous, they say, that one marvels at their effrontery. How could anyone deny the gas chambers, the extermination of the Jews, the suffering of the Jewish people, the tyranny of the Nazis? Look at the mountains of evidence! We have demonstrated I think that the mountains of evidence for the Holocaust, for the extermination programme, and for the gas chambers, are every bit as mountainous as the mountains of evidence for flying saucers and psychic spoon-bending. And when one examines these *mountains of evidence*, one finds exactly the same problems one finds with the psychic world, sloppy research, reliance on hearsay, damned liars and outright fraud. This is what all Revisionists have found, and what a great many non-Revisionists have found, except that the latter are too afraid to say so, and thereby risk being branded anti-Semitic.

Not knowing exactly what to do with the Revisionists, the Zionists and their fellow travellers have resorted to every dirty trick in the book. The Revisionists were given the silent treatment for as long as they could be, they have been hounded throughout academia, denounced to high heaven, and, latterly, portrayed as part and parcel of a well-financed, international conspiracy hellbent on rewriting history in order to rehabilitate Nazism and thereby to restore the Third Reich. What are the true facts?

The true facts are that a few Revisionists are well-funded. The Institute for Historical Review is, or was, relatively well-funded. According to my source - which I am unable to vouch for - the Institute was established after a one-off donation by one extremely wealthy individual. A few people in the movement have also received funding from politically motivated sources; for example, William Grimstad, author of the satirical (and anti-Semitic) *Six Million Reconsidered*, is a paid and accredited agent of Saudi Arabia. (1) Some money has come from Islamic sources, in particular, from Pakistan. (2) But the majority of Revisionists are neither well-funded nor Machiavellian schemers.

The all-time bestseller *Did Six Million Really Die?* was produced on a shoestring. And it shows. Like the current writer, its researchers and authors were forced to work mainly from secondary sources. Even so, it is a worthy effort, and the "controversy" that was caused by the favourable review given it by the impressionable Colin Wilson in the November 1974 issue of *Books & Bookmen* was more than ample reward.

Under Zionist and other pressure, the establishment and the media continue to portray the Revisionists as part of an international conspiracy. One reason they have been able to do this is because a lot of the movement's supporters have chosen to remain anonymous. No explanation is necessary as to why they have chosen to do so.

But let's look at how many of them have been treated. Richard "Harwood" was denounced as a Nazi. Richard Harwood's (ie Verrall's) crime is that he is, or was, a member of the British National Front, wanted his country to remain white and was not afraid to say so. So that makes him a Nazi? Ditto millions of other white people in Britain, and throughout the world. The "Harwood" pamphlet has been banned in both "democratic" Germany and in *racist* South Africa. (3)

In March 1978, the French historian Francios Duprat was murdered, allegedly by an Auschwitz "remembrance commando" for the "crime" of having denied the alleged extermination of six million Jews by the Nazis. (4)

Josef Ginsberg, the courageous Jew, himself a victim of Nazi persecution, who struggled against the historical blackout and lies, *when he would have benefited by endorsing them*, was beaten up while visiting his wife's grave in Munich. His assailants were Zionist thugs, the people who have (by now) brainwashed the past three generations of young Jews. (5)

Professor Butz has been ostracised at his university. Robert Faurisson, the courageous French professor, like (the now deceased) Josef Ginsberg, not a young man, nor a physically prepossessing one. As well as being dragged into court accused of hate-crime, Faurisson was hounded at and out of his university, and, rather than simply being assaulted, one group of thugs fired tear gas in his face, kicked him in the head, and left him with a broken jaw and skull fractures, a broken rib, and a face full of acid. (6) Again, this was the work of those poor, persecuted "Jews", the Zionists.

German-Canadian Ernst Zundel was dragged into court not once but twice under pressure from "survivors" groups. There were the usual angry scenes

outside the court house, and more than once Zundel was attacked. During the course of one of his trials, a crudely manufactured bomb was exploded outside his garage; he received hate mail and abusive telephone calls.

In July 1984, the offices of the California-based Institute for Historical Review were burnt to the ground. The Jewish Defence League (the Zionist hate group founded by the late Rabbi Meir Kahane) was thought to have been responsible. (7) Other outrages against American citizens, almost certainly by Zionist extremists, were a bomb attack on the home of George Ashley, a retired school teacher in Los Angeles whose "crime" had been to express doubts about the Holocaust. (8) And fire damage caused to a German-American group's premises; their "crime" had been to claim that the Holocaust had been exaggerated. (9)

David Cole, the courageous young Jew who visited Auschwitz and denounced the gas chambers as a tissue of lies, has also been assaulted by Zionist thugs. (10) So much for these poor, persecuted, powerless people.

And so it goes on, the persecution and harassment of the Revisionists, both legal and criminal, has reached hysterical proportions. Yet still this small - but increasing - band of courageous men and women struggle against the powerful forces of political Zionism, legal persecution and Zionist/communist sponsored harassment, violence and terror. At an IHR seminar, the above named David Cole made an interesting point, the reality of (what he called) Jewish influence. Jewish (read Zionist) organisations spend tens of millions of dollars in the United States every year lobbying on behalf of their beloved Israel. Jewish spokesmen would have you, dear reader, believe that this buys them not a whit of influence, and that any claim that Jews are organised and use muscle to get their own way is anti-Semitic propaganda.

The aforementioned Anti-Defamation League operates on a budget of thirty-one million dollars a year, (11) every cent of it spent to promote the Zionist viewpoint and (if you are an American) to lobby to destroy your rights, in particular freedom of speech, freedom of association and non-association, the freedom to employ whom you want...All in the guise of promoting peace, tolerance and the brotherhood of man. The Revisionists have no such backing and no such influence. When they are given access to the media, they are largely ridiculed, denounced as bigots, fascists, liars and a host of other epithets, as we have demonstrated. Ironically, there is an historical parallel here.

At the turn of the century, the Tsarist secret police cooked up the *Protocols Of Zion* which was used to portray the Jews as Machiavellian schemers hellbent on world domination. Later, the Jewish world plot was endorsed by the Nazis. The Nazi government even ran a propaganda office (World Service) based at Erfurt, which specialised in churning out anti-Jewish hate propaganda. The Nazis published many editions of the *Protocols*, but neither the Nazis nor the Okhrana were the first. A predecessor of the *Protocols* called *World Conquest by the Jews* went through seven editions by 1875! (12)

As stated, this sort of hate propaganda (13) was and is used to portray ordinary Jewish men and women as Machiavellian schemers, yet the real schemers here were the anti-Semitic international, many of whom were puppets of the Nazi government, thus, their evil work was well-funded. Now, the boot is clearly on the other foot. The extremely well-funded and unquestionably Machiavellian ideology of international Zionism, and the well-funded (and thuggish) communist international (under a plethora of "anti-racist" and "anti-fascist" front organisations) seek to portray the Revisionists in exactly the same manner. Again, let it be clearly understood that the major players, the Zionists and the communists, are not what they would have the reader believe.

The Zionists are not poor, persecuted, powerless, devout Jews who pray three times a day in a synagogue. These are the spokesmen for secular, powerful, extremely well-funded and totally ruthless political organisations. They are not ordinary, decent Jewish men and women, but fanatics who believe that a million of any *goyim* are not worth a Jewish fingernail if they question the holy writ of the Holocaust. Neither are their communist/anti-fascist friends the defenders of the Jews any more than they are the defenders of the blacks, the Asians or the working class. Rather they are people who believe that fascism must be smashed by *whatever means necessary*. Including murder. And it remains only for them to denounce the Revisionists, you, or anyone else they don't like as a fascist in order to justify crushing you, shutting you up, or snuffing you out.

Notes And References

(1) *Author's name is revealed, published in the Jewish Chronicle, March 2, 1979, page 6.*

(2) See previous footnote re Saudi Arabia; the current writer was once told by a British "nationalist" that a source in Pakistan - possibly the government - was responsible for mailing copies of Revisionist publications to Members of the British Parliament. I can provide no documentation on this but apparently it is fairly well known.

(3) Butz, *The Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1980, Volume 1, Number 1, page 13.

(4) Butz, *The Journal of Historical Review*, Spring 1980, Volume One, Number One, pages 14 and 22, (ibid). Professor Butz is citing contemporary reports in *Le Monde*, (19-20 Mar 78), 24; (23 Mar 78), 7.

(5) Butz, *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, page 12, (op cit).

(6) Quoted here from the National Front magazine *Vanguard* for November/December 1989, issue 28, page 18. The article was called *WARNING! Zionists at Work*. A group calling itself *La Memoire des Juifs* was said to have claimed responsibility.

(7) Widely reported but see for example George and Wilcox, *Nazis, Communists, Klansmen and Others...*, page 335, (op cit).

(8) George and Wilcox, *Nazis, Communists, Klansmen and Others...*, page 336, (ibid).

(9) George and Wilcox, *Nazis, Communists, Klansmen and Others...*, pages 336-7, (ibid).

(10) Cole said he had to choose between visiting Auschwitz and Disneyland, and chose Auschwitz because it was far more removed from reality!

(11) See *The Truth At Last* article *ADL Resumes Spy Operations In Georgia*, (already alluded to).

(12) Cohn, *Warrant For Genocide*, page 59, (op cit).

(13) While I would not claim for one moment that the *Protocols* is anything but scurrilous literature, the question of hate propaganda is not as simple as it seems. Many of the promoters of this nonsense genuinely believed in the Jewish world conspiracy, and do to this day. Some - Lady Birdwood is the most

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

obvious example - publish the most appalling anti-Semitic not out of hatred but out of idealism, in Lady Birdwood's case, to save Christian civilisation from the Jewish conspiracy and the Jews from their own folly.

A Few Words From An ANAL Sponsor

Returning to the ANAL pamphlet, I would like to emphasise this last point by highlighting the case of one of its sponsors. Morris Beckman of the 43 Group is described on page 2 as one of the "Jewish anti-fascist fighters [who] helped to destroy Mosley's fascist organisation after the war."

Notwithstanding the fact that the 43 Group did no such thing, (1) Morris Beckman's own account of the fight against fascism brings no credit either to the cause of anti-fascism, or to his race. His highly imaginative, error-prone monograph on the 43 Group was published in 1992. (2) Beckman's obvious hatred for and bias against race conscious *Aryan goyim*, and disrespect for objective truth notwithstanding, this book is probably about as truthful an account of the antics of Jewish "anti-fascists" by one of their kind as one could hope to find. Indeed, it is so candid in places that it is embarrassing. Here are a few of the juicier bits:

Page 22: Beckman boasts of attacking a fascist meeting and of kicking his target between the legs "and he crumpled in pain."

Page 30: "By early summer 1946, between six and ten fascist meetings per week were being attacked by the Group."

"...a team of hard men would jump from taxis and demand the literature [from the fascist paper sellers]...Those who refused [to hand it over] were beaten up..."

Throughout the book, Beckman speaks ill of the Jewish Defence Committee, an organisation which had no time for thuggery.

Page 52: the JDC attacked the 43 Group in the *Jewish Chronicle* and made repeated attempts to oppose violence and create a democratic resistance to fascism.

Page 77: "'Go! Go! Go!' We went at our fascists and they fought back hard. It was a savage few minutes...'Don't pissball about - hurt the bastards!' [yelled one of the *anti-fascists*."]

Page 151: leading Mosleyite Jeffrey Hamm was speaking at a street meeting standing on top of a van. "Hamm stared down contemptuously at the struggling mob below and gave the fascist salute, a ploy always calculated to goad

opponents. He succeeded only too well - a piece of brick hit him on the side of the head and he fell on top of the van, unconscious."

Page 87: Beckman boasts of using forged tickets to get into fascist meetings to cause disruption. A tactic emulated to great effect by his successors. (3)

Page 88: "In October 1947 the Group was attacking an average of fifteen outdoor meetings every week, and by whatever means causing more than half to close down prematurely."

Page 125: Beckman boasts of his group of Jewish-born thugs putting Jeffrey Hamm in a Brighton hospital with a fractured jaw.

Page 166: reports more assaults on Jeffrey Hamm. This time on answering the door to a caller, and later in his own home in the process of their stealing a file containing members' names.

Page 208: Beckman admits that "...Mosley stated [that] he would use force against the Jews only if they obstructed him..."

Notes And References

(1) According to the Mosley Archive. The 43 Group published a journal called *On Guard*. This ceased publication in December 1949. [*FASCISM IN BRITAIN: An Annoiated Bibliography*, by Philip Rees, published by Harvester Press, Sussex, (1979), page 212]. The 43 Group went out of existence about the same time; its thuggery and from (I am reliably informed), its extorting funds from Jewish shopkeepers, caused not a little consternation to the Anglo-Jewish establishment.

(2) Beckman, *The 43 Group*, (op cit).

(3) In 1991, a private meeting in Kensington Library was invaded by anti-fascist thugs with forged tickets, and a number of people attending the meeting were assaulted. The speaker on this occasion was none other than Jeffrey Hamm.

More ANAL Perfidy

ANAL's members have long since followed in Morris Beckman's footsteps, (1) their employment of violence to disrupt and prevent lawful National Front activity requires no documenting here. Naturally, they are not so stupid as to endorse violence in this pamphlet, although they do - candidly - boast of committing minor acts of criminal damage.

Page 14: (quoting a report in the *Jewish Chronicle*) - 'Members of the Anti Nazi League have been defacing hoardings all over London - plastering over the *Sunday Times* message with images of death camp inmates and the slogan "Never Again". One Jewish member of the ANL said she had been part of a team that had ruined ten poster sites in North London.'

Incredibly, the pamphlet claims that the paper's editor Andrew Neil was compelled to apologise for the posters!

If you support the Anti Nazi League, or any other organisation which campaigns to suppress Holocaust Revisionism, this is the sort of behaviour you will be endorsing. And remember, whatever these "anti-fascists" and their ilk tell you, Holocaust Revisionism has absolutely nothing to do with white-washing Nazism. And, even more, their brand of anti-fascism has absolutely nothing to do with defending democracy, and everything to do with destroying democracy and legitimate dissent. And thereby perpetuating the lies of the corrupt establishment they claim to be opposing.

Again, before you contribute a penny to ANAL's *Appeal for money*, think carefully where this money will go and what it will be used for. If so much as a penny of it should go into the pockets of evil old men like Morris Beckman, or his youthful successors - Jew and Gentile - you will have made a contribution to the destruction of what little democracy, freedom of speech and tolerance remains in this country, rather than have made any contribution at all towards preserving such laudable virtues.

Notes And References

(1) Even more so the arguably more violent thugs of Anti-Fascist Action. A review in issue 4 of their magazine *Fighting Talk* (undated but published cApril 1993), heaped praise on the 43 Group: "...the 43 Group, dedicated to physically opposing and disrupting the fascists wherever they showed their face." [sic] And "The 43 Group had a simple philosophy: they sought to violently disrupt the fascists by any means necessary. They saw that the fascists were hiding behind the veil of legality, and so the 43 Group did not hesitate to break the law whenever they needed to." The review boasts that "There are strong similarities between this approach and our own..." To such mindless thugs, Holocaust Revisionists are worse than "fascists".

More Holocaust Liars Exposed

This book is not concerned with the truth or otherwise of the Holocaust, but, having alluded to some of the many lies and misrepresentations which are perpetuated wilfully about this human tragedy, indeed about this entire, sad, tragic period of human history, I feel it will be productive to document a few more of the more readily provable lies (and liars) in a bit more detail. I stress again that the purpose of this is not to question the Holocaust, merely to defend the right to question it, principally by exposing the lies and double standards by which Revisionists and Exterminationists (the latter group including "survivors") are judged. We start with a TV documentary.

In October 1993, Channel 4 screened *Another Journey by Train*. The purpose of this film was to confront three right wing extremists with three Holocaust survivors, to take them on a visit to Auschwitz and to make the former see the errors of their ways. Again, Holocaust Revisionism was presented as Nazi ideology instead of an honest attempt to reassess the entire Nazi period and sweep away the lies of political Zionism and its ugly fellow travellers. With a disrespect for truth which has become *de rigueur* for "anti-fascist" documentary makers, the *PICK OF THE DAY* column in the *TV Times* for 16-22 October 1993 proclaimed that "Kitty Hart lived through the Nazi death camps and is furious at claims that they didn't exist."

The reality is that no one in his right mind has ever denied either the existence of the Nazi concentration camps, or the fact that Jews (and non-Jews) died in them. What Holocaust Revisionists do dispute is primarily a) the existence of gas chambers in which human beings were murdered; and b) the existence of an extermination programme. The gross overstatement of the Revisionists' case is a typical dirty trick engaged in by the masters of the media in order to pour ridicule, scorn, contempt and hatred on the Revisionists and to undermine their increasing credibility. That notwithstanding, as we shall see shortly, Kitty Hart is the last person to be furious at any claims relating to lies about Auschwitz or any of the other Nazi camps.

This film gave the impression of being an honest enquiry, however, the most cursory inspection by anyone even slightly *au fait* with the real facts and issues revealed it to be more polemical than educational, and totally dishonest. The

Nazis were selected more for their ideology than for their intellect, although, perhaps surprisingly, they were not the usual "boneheads" (1) but reasonably intelligent young men who were obviously familiar with the literature of both the white ethnic movement and the Holocaust Revisionist movement. However, it would have been much more honest to have taken three leading Revisionist Historians on this trip with the survivors rather than three *nationalists*. The film makers didn't do this for the obvious reason that the Revisionists have an unfortunate habit of demolishing the opposition, certainly they would have had no problems refuting the lies of professional survivor Kitty Hart.

When they found out what was the purpose of the trip, the *nationalists* were, naturally, none too pleased. People don't like being deceived. But even taking this into account, their attitude was disgraceful. One of them, a member of the British National Party, refused to shake hands with an elderly Jew, and they were none of them happy to debate the issues, claiming that the Holocaust was irrelevant to them. As indeed it may well be. However, it should be remembered that these are people who are forever whining - with every justification - that they are routinely denied free speech. Now, when they had an opportunity not only for free speech but for free debate, they were turning it down.

Kitty Hart though was in her element. Mrs Hart, who is a genuine Holocaust survivor, was interned at Auschwitz as a young girl during the war. She referred to her reluctant companions as phony Nazis, then proceeded to tell them how, at Auschwitz, she had seen a real Nazi pick up a Jewish child and dash his brains out on a pole. Kitty Hart is a damned liar, she never saw any such thing. How do I know?

Because, as I have stated, Mrs Hart is a professional survivor; she has published two autobiographies on her experiences at Auschwitz: *I am alive* was published in English in 1961; (2) *Return to Auschwitz* in 1981. (3) Although Mrs Hart is credited as the sole author of both books, her second autobiography was written at least in part by a ghost writer, John Burke. As the second book is very similar to the first book - including many duplicate or near duplicate passages - it is reasonable to assume that the first book was also not entirely her own work. It is also reasonable to assume that if Mrs Hart had seen such an incident as she described graphically to the *phony Nazis* in the Channel 4 pseudo-documentary, that it would have been described in equally graphic detail in both of her books. In fact, it is mentioned in neither.

I pointed this out to the film maker Mark Cousins in a letter dated 26 October 1993. (4) In this letter I suggested that Mrs Hart is a sincere fantasist. In his reply, Cousins accused me, naturally, not only of being taken in by Nazi propaganda but of patronising Mrs Hart. Very well, let me patronise her no more. Kitty Hart is an insincere fantasist, to wit, she is a wicked liar. She never witnessed any such incident, nor did she witness the *gassing* she claimed to have seen.

In her first book, Mrs Hart reports as follows: the *Sonderkommando*, with whom there was secret contact, told of the inside of the crematoria. The 'hairdressers' shaved the bodies of all hair, "for it was required for the manufacture of rope...From the bodies soap was being made..." (5)

Did Kitty Hart see this soap? Did she see the rope made from human hair? No, nor does she claim to have seen them, but this does not absolve her from repeating these wicked, anti-German lies, which, are in fact, recycled atrocity canards from the First World War. (6)

In *Return to Auschwitz*, she reports that: "Smoke was beginning to billow out of the tall chimneys. Soon a spurt of flame shot up into the sky. The black smoke became thicker and darker and choking, bringing with it the smell of burning fat and bone and hair." (7)

Kitty Hart is day-dreaming. In the first place, she didn't smell burning fat and bone, and she certainly didn't smell burning hair, (see next paragraph). In the second place, she didn't see any flames or black smoke. As part of the research for this study, the current writer visited the City of London Crematorium and was informed by the Superintendent and Registrar John Luby that cremators do not emit flames; (8) they do give off a little bit of smoke, and indeed whilst at the crematorium I saw a cremator giving off smoke with my own eyes, but it was a minuscule amount for a minute or two during the cremation of a body. As this crematorium disposes of thousands of bodies a year, as do many, if what Mrs Hart said were true, all major crematoria would resemble what she - and many other false witnesses - say Auschwitz resembled. They don't, and the cremation process has changed little since the 1930s, and what changes have been made have been due primarily to health and safety regulations.

Someone else who is most unhappy with Kitty Hart's *eyewitness* account is Wilhelm Staeglich, who writes: "...the alleged perception of the odor of burning hair is one of the contradictions that keeps on recurring in the literature of

this kind. It is a contradiction because at the same time it is stated that men of the *Sonderkommando* allegedly sheared the hair from the corpses before they were cremated." (9)

Another of her wicked, anti-German lies is the claim that she saw SS man Wagner murder a baby. The Nazi brute came across the baby which was apparently sucking at its dead mother's breast, and "picking up the little baby, threw it into the blazing oven." (10) On the same page in her first "autobiography", Mrs Hart claims that on one occasion, the door of a gas chamber was opened too soon and gas was turned loose on the camp. Again, this is pure fantasy. Let us though examine the claim about SS man Wagner. Where was Kitty Hart when she saw this incident? In the crematorium? What was she doing there? Didn't she try to stop the SS brute? Did she cry out? Surely he knew she was there? Why didn't he throw her into the furnace as well?

As the *gas ovens* were located in a different part of the building from the alleged gas chamber (gas chambers in her case) on account of the gas being highly explosive, how did the body of the woman and the baby come to be in the crematorium? Presumably it was removed there by the *Sonderkommando*. As the mother and the baby had obviously not been *gassed*, how did the mother die? (11) Was the mother then murdered by more conventional means? By a bullet through the back of her neck, perhaps? And with her baby sucking at her breast! This entire story is both a fantasy and a monstrous libel on, not only an individual SS officer, not only on the Nazis, but on the entire German people. It is comparable with the anti-Jewish diatribes of John Eisenmenger, August Rohling or even of Julius Streicher himself. (12)

Let me stress again, Kitty Hart is a genuine Auschwitz survivor, and indeed, large parts of her testimony do have the ring of truth, when she is describing incidents she saw rather than what she claims to have seen or simply surmised. For instance, she reports: a death toll of 400 per day in most blocks during the typhus epidemic, (*I am alive*, page 69).

This was undoubtedly the reason she and other prisoners were smeared all over with green fluid and showered, (pages 71-2). Though her claim that afterwards she attended a naked roll call is rather less convincing, as is her claim on page 78 that she paraded naked before Dr Mengele, presumably while his assistant Dr Perl was performing an abortion on Irma Grese.

Page 74: Hungarians staged a ballet.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Also page 74: Non-Jewish prisoners were allowed food parcels and stole food from the kitchens.

Page 75: concerts in the sauna.

Auschwitz was indeed a terrible place, but even in the mill of death, prisoners entertained each other with concerts. But on page 106 we are back in Disneyland again when she reports the incident with the gas chamber door and the fantasy about SS man Wagner and the baby. While on page 107 we are told that a woman in the crematorium recognised her own son, she ran to him while he was stacking wood. Outside? "He handed her a towel and soap, and joined her inside the gas chamber. There were a thousand such incidents."

There were indeed a thousand such incidents, and all of them in the head of Mrs Hart, who, as the adolescent Kitty Felix, obviously suffered some terrible psychological trauma during her years of imprisonment.

In *Return to Auschwitz*, the fantasies continue, and are supplemented by poor research, thus the reader is told on page 86 that with Kristallnacht "Jewish homes and shops were attacked, synagogues smashed and burnt, and up to 100 Jews were murdered in one night." No! Up to a hundred Jews were not murdered in one night.

On page 87, the reader is told that people were gassed to death because "Bullets in the back of the neck were an expensive way of killing people, and took a long time when the numbers were large."

And on page 89 it is asserted that 18,000 bodies were disposed of in every 24 hour period at Birkenau. 18,000 in one day? Did she see any of them? Did she see these 18,000 *exterminated* Jews? How does she know they were exterminated?

On page 91 she describes a make-believe gassing: "They hurried up the slope above the underground gas chamber, or climbed a ladder to the roof if working on one of the smaller ones, and lifted flaps above the vent. In dropped the Zyklon B crystals, and they beat a hasty retreat. Twenty minutes to half an hour later, ventilators were switched on to disperse the gas."

Kitty Hart, who has joined in the whining and wailing along with Organised Jewry and their "anti-fascist" fellow travellers, has committed terrible libels against the German people; she has described in graphic detail murders which never took place and which she knows never took place. In earlier times, Jews were themselves accused of ritual murders and condemned to death for them on evidence no more flimsy. Or on perjured testimony. Yet while the blood libel

is rightly denounced as anti-Semitic propaganda, the lampshade libel, the human soap libel, the human fertiliser libel, and a dozen others, are uttered against the German people not only without the slightest condemnation, but with total impunity.

I have taken up a lot of space with the wicked lies of Kitty Hart; it is even more wicked that her obvious lies and fantasies were endorsed by Channel 4 TV. Channel 4 and academics (non-Jewish as well as Jewish) believe - sincerely, many of them - that Kitty Hart's blatantly false testimony is excusable because she suffered, and that the inconsistencies in her and other survivors' statements must be excused as a sort of journalistic licence, but as I pointed out in my second letter to Mark Cousins, these are not the minor inconsistencies one always expects to find with eyewitness testimony, but one liar whose story contradicts a second which in turn contradicts a third, and so on. One must not apply different standards to Jews and Gentiles, for surely this is one of the basic tenets of anti-Semitic philosophy? (13)

Incredibly, the Channel 4 pseudo-documentary went even so far as to include the ludicrous Gerstein statement. Not only were Mrs Hart's lies condoned, they were positively encouraged.

Another extremely well-known Holocaust liar is Simon Wiesenthal. This supposedly saintly figure has become the world's number one Nazi hunter. However, the quality of his research has often been criticised, and allegations have been raised over his wartime activities, in particular he is widely suspected of having been an active Nazi collaborator. Certainly the Nazis had a soft spot for him, for in 1989, he related to an LBC radio presenter how the Gestapo had saved his life. (14) The Gestapo, no less! But the true story of how the Gestapo saved his life was overshadowed in the same year by an outright lie in his book *Justice Not Vengeance*, for here he told a cock and bull story about how a fake photograph came into his possession. According to Wiesenthal: "An American soldier had discovered this photograph [sic] in one of the private quarters he'd been billeted in and brought it to my office." (15)

This photograph appears between pages 220-1 and is captioned "We had this photograph printed on postcards which were sent to Chancellor Helmut Schmidt in 1979, demanding that the statute of limitations on Nazi crimes be revoked."

However, Udo Walendy points out that "...the picture is an obvious fake. The guard's uniform is all wrong. The side pockets beneath the belt are missing.

The buttoned edge of the jacket does not run correctly from top to bottom. The pistol hangs on the wrong side. An *unterscharführer* would not be allowed to wear officer's pants with boots.

"Secondly, the lighting is all wrong. The *guard* and the two hanging victims cast no shadows, while the prone victim does." Walendy concludes by saying that when he visited the Dachau museum in January 1968, a life-size blow up of the photograph revealed that no ropes were detectable. "Either the victims are floating in mid-air, or someone forgot to draw them in!" (16)

Is it possible that Simon Wiesenthal was unaware of this? No, this fake photograph was obviously faked either by him or on his instructions. Who was this soldier who brought this photograph to his office? What is the history of this photograph? Who took it? Under what circumstances? Where did it first appear? You must think we're simple, Simon!

Although "we" are not simple, academics are spineless. Fake photographs - of which there are many - are the strongest possible evidence that the Court Historians and the establishment are wilfully deceiving us. One can argue with survivor testimony, one can argue over the interpretation or the authenticity of documents. One can even, as Gitta Sereny says, play a "vile numbers game" (17) with the demographics of the Holocaust, but one cannot argue with such brazen, outright fakery. So what do they do? They ignore it. Incredibly, the more spineless among the Court Historians even acknowledge the existence of fake photographs yet shrug them off as though they were of no consequence. (18) Incidentally, this photograph which Wiesenthal faked, was published in the English translation of Udo Walendy's book in 1979, and in the German original as early as 1973. Walendy himself claims to have uncovered it as far back as 1968, so this gross libel had been revealed as such more than two decades before this evil old man published it in his autobiography.

Again, along with the outright liars, there are the obfuscators, the Gitta Serenys of this world, who, while denouncing the Revisionists as anti-Semitic propagandists and Nazi apologists, quietly concede (in the same breath!) that many of their claims have the ring of truth, or that they are right. Sereny's aforementioned article *The men who whitewash Hitler* engages in all the *de rigueur* whining and wailing about what thoroughly evil men they are, how they are rewriting history etc and ad nauseum and then, without so much as raising an eyebrow, concedes that: "despite its emblematic name, Auschwitz was *not*

primarily an extermination camp for Jews, and is not the central case through which to study extermination policy." (19)

Indeed, then what was it? Didn't Kitty Hart tell us that 18,000 bodies were disposed of in every 24 hour period at Birkenau? So, what Miss Sereny is telling us is that Kitty Hart was lying - or grossly mistaken - and that the Revisionists were right after all!

One more example of intellectual dishonesty will suffice. Lord Russell's sensationalist book *The Scourge Of The Swastika* was first published in 1954. The frontispiece to the 1979 Corgi paperback edition says: "Horrors, such as occurred at Auschwitz, at Dachau, and at Belsen, seem almost beyond belief." Almost? The book reiterates the claim, no longer tenable, and not tenable in 1979, that 12 million people (six million Jews and six million Gentiles) were put to death in the Nazi camps. It says also that the book is not published with any thought of sensationalism! It is not claimed here but left to the imagination, that there were gas chambers in Dachau and Belsen, but on page 218 is a description of the Dachau gas chamber. Here is what the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* has to say about this sort of nonsense: "...when some witnesses let their imagination run free and incorrectly claim that the DACHAU concentration camp had working gas chambers, the revisionists pounce on this discrepancy..." (20)

Read that passage again, the *eyewitnesses*, the likes of Kitty Hart and Rudolph Vrba remember (although not these two individuals in this case), allow their imaginations to run free and report gas chambers where there were none. So how do we know there were any if we have to rely on fantasists (Hart), proven liars (Vrba), and even admitted liars like Martin Gray? (21)

On the same page as the Dachau gas chamber (22) mention is made of a machine for grinding bones, while on page 210 it is claimed that the Nazis steamed people to death as well as gassed them. A plate between pages 112 and 113 shows "Cremating oven at Belsen where 12 or more bodies were burned at a time". Bodies of mice, maybe. This is typical of the brazen lies of the Exterminationist lobby. Ask your local crematorium if twelve corpses could be disposed of at one time. The current writer understands that the only time bodies are cremated two at a time in Britain is when they are mother and baby or possibly two babies. It remains to be seen if a cremator could hold three or four bodies - even without coffins - much less a dozen. In any case, the current writer was informed by John Luby of the City of London Crematorium (whom

we have already met) that bodies burn more efficiently in coffins because the wood acts as fuel. This is confirmed by a letter from an official of Shrewsbury and Atcham Borough Council to Nick Griffin. (23)

The reader will recall that Kitty Hart claimed gassing had been developed as an economical method of disposing of Jews. Far less economical and infinitely more bizarre methods of extermination were employed at Buchenwald, according to Lord Russell anyway. On page 160 he says prisoners were "crushed with rocks, drowned in manure, whipped, starved, castrated, and mutilated."

Finally, such nonsense can even be found in the documents of the Nazi war crimes trials. The top cutting on page 143 is taken from the official documents of the International Military Tribunal. It is no longer claimed that there was a gas chamber in Buchenwald. The second cutting, from a contemporary propaganda tract, claims that, together with the quarry, the non-existent gas chambers of Buchenwald were said to have accounted for 90,000 dead.

The *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* says of Buchenwald camp that medical experiments were performed there but, significantly, there was no mention of gas chambers - singular or plural. This is a "detail of history" that even M. Le Pen would be inclined to think worth more than a franc to establish. The encyclopaedia says further that in October 1942, most inmates were transferred to Auschwitz, then in 1944, the transfers went the other way, including hundreds of children and youths, "...most of whom survived". But why weren't they all gassed in Auschwitz, the mill of death? (24)

The Revisionists argue, logically, if now we know that such gas chambers did not exist, even though it was claimed - and apparently proven - that they did, how do we know that any of them existed?

The response of the Zionist lobby and their fellow travellers varies. As we have seen, they tried to kill Holocaust Revisionism with the silent treatment, clearly that didn't work. They whined and wailed about anti-Semitism, Nazism ad nauseum, that didn't work. They used, and still use, both legal and extra-legal (often blatantly illegal) means to silence all dissidence, but even that is failing, so, at this late hour, they are developing "academic" explanations for what really happened while at the same time continuing to denounce the Revisionists as anti-Semites, Nazis ad nauseum and doing their utmost - dirty tricks and all - to freeze them out of the media and deny them the platform truth, justice and common decency demand. The repeated denunciations of

"anti-Semitic" are especially tiresome, indeed, this is an old Stalinist trick: hurl a dozen false accusations at someone, and when they have been refuted, hurl a dozen more. throw mud, lots of it, and hope that some of it will stick. When you can't establish facts, sow doubts. But the time has long since come for the denouncers of the Revisionists to give *their* explanations, to justify *their* motivations, to explain the inconsistencies, lies and outright forgeries in Exterminationist and "survivor" testimony and literature - fake photographs are the classic example. If the Revisionists are telling lies about the Holocaust, they sure as Hell aren't the only ones.

Notes And References

(1) A derisory expression probably coined first by the Jewish-controlled race-hate magazine *Searchlight* to designate race conscious Aryan "skinheads".

(2) It was republished in 1962 with a revised edition in 1974.

(3) The full credits for this book are *Return to Auschwitz: The remarkable story of a girl who survived the Holocaust*, published by Sidgwick and Jackson, (1981). Unbelievable would be a more appropriate word than remarkable.

(4) *Another Journey By Train* was screened at 9pm on Sunday, 17 October, 1993. It was part of a series called *Witness*. It would have been much more appropriate to have called such a series *False Witness*.

(5) Hart, *I am alive*, page 105, (op cit).

(6) See for example, Sanders and Taylor, *British Propaganda during the First World War...*, (op cit).

According to these authors, the corpse-conversion factory myth began in April 1917 and was exposed as a complete fabrication in the House of Commons in 1925, (pages 146-7). Later, Arthur Ponsonby MP (the future Lord Ponsonby), wrote a book *Falsehood In Wartime*, in which he exposed all this and much other Hun-hating nonsense, including the Belgian baby with no hands. (This book was first published in 1928, first American edition 1929; the second IHR edition was published in 1991 and is subtitled *Propaganda Lies of the First World War*).

(7) Hart, *Return to Auschwitz*, page 112, (op cit).

(8) I interviewed Mr Luby September 20, 1994. He spoke freely about the techniques of, not only cremation but mass disposal of bodies. It will be sufficient to say that he had no idea of the true nature of my research.

(9) Staeglich, *Auschwitz: A Judge Looks At The Evidence*, page 152, (op cit). This book should be requisite reading for anyone who is interested in serious research into Holocaust Revisionism.

(10) Hart, *I am alive*, page 106, (op cit). In *Return To Auschwitz* this libel appears on page 123.

(11) If the mother had been *gassed*, then the baby would hardly have been reunited with her corpse. And it would be even more absurd to suggest that the baby had been *gassed* with the mother but had somehow survived the *gas chamber*.

(12) Johann Andreas Eisenmenger (1654-1704) author of *Entdecktes Judentum* (or *Jewry Unmasked*), was the father of the modern calumnies upon the *Talmud*. August Rohling (1839-1931) Professor of Hebrew Antiquities at Prague, was another anti-Talmudic polemicist. The Nazi Julius Streicher was the publisher and editor of *Der Stürmer*, a scandalous magazine which was banned a number of times during the Nazi era. In May 1934, Streicher published a special "ritual murder" issue of the magazine, which was suppressed by Hitler himself after an international outcry.

(13) I would like to make it clear that although I damn Kitty Hart as a liar, I am not for one moment suggesting that she does not believe in the Holocaust, extermination programme, gas chambers, Jew-skin lampshades, human soap and all, clearly she does. But her testimony proves absolutely nothing with relation to the alleged extermination programme and gas chambers, and she has clearly lied through her teeth many, many times by claiming that it does.

(14) I heard this report myself on the Brian Hayes show, on Thursday, October 26, 1989, and taped it for good measure. Speaking in broken English, Wiesenthal said: "I was four years in several concentration camps. I was er, three months in the gaol by the Gestapo. I tried to commit suicide. I was saved by the man that he wished to interrogate me. So he put me in a sanitary cell."

(15) *JUSTICE NOT VENGEANCE*, by Simon Wiesenthal, Translated from the German by Ewald Osers, published by George Weidenfeld & Nicolson, London, (1989), page 162.

(16) *The Journal of Historical Review*, Volume 1, Number One, Spring 1980, pages 66-7.

(17) Sereny, *The men who whitewash Hitler*, (op cit). Already alluded to.

(18) An incredibly brazen example of this is the essay by Roger Eatwell, *The Holocaust Denial: a Study in Propaganda Technique*, which appears in the 1991 book *Neo-fascism in Europe*. On page 132, Eatwell admits that "It is noted that there are some fakes relating to the Holocaust which are even admitted by Jewish groups. Many photographs are alleged to be faked, or presented in a misleading way."

Eatwell says also that Robert Faurisson accuses Martin Gilbert of doctoring the Gerstein statement, but let me reiterate, my own experience of Professor Gilbert indicates that he is an honest dupe, unlike those damned liars Kitty Hart and Simon Wiesenthal.

(19) Sereny, *The men who whitewash Hitler*, (op cit).

(20) *ENCYCLOPEDIA of the HOLOCAUST*, Editor in Chief, Israel Gutman, published by Macmillan, New York, (1990), Volume 2, page 685.

(21) Unlike Hart and Vrba who were at least at Auschwitz, Gray is the "author" of a book on Treblinka, where he claimed to have been interned. In 1979, Gitta Sereny no less, exposed Gray as a fraud who had never even been at the camp, much less witnessed any Nazi atrocities. His book was ghost-written by the professional author Max Gallo. [See *The men who whitewash Hitler*, (op cit)]. But even in exposing this blatant anti-German fraud, Sereny took a swipe at the Revisionists, claiming her fellow Jews were afraid to expose the likes of Gray because "Tragically, they fear renewed anti-Semitism." Such "fear" doesn't appear to have dissuaded some of them from giving the slightly built, elderly Robert Faurisson a vicious beating and a faceful of acid, nor has it prevented them from whining and wailing loud and long to have Holocaust "denial" made a criminal offence. Sereny deserves a special chutzpah award for such brazenness.

(22) "Respectable opinion" is divided as to the nature of this device. Some say it didn't exist at all, others that it did but was never used.

(23) Griffin sent me a copy of this letter, which is dated 23 October 1992 and was written by Mr M.J. Beardall. The facts therein can be readily confirmed by anyone with enough enterprise to write to his or her own council or to read up on cremation technology. The main points are as follows:

The average time to cremate a human body is one hour and twenty minutes.

The use of a coffin makes no difference to cremation time, (therefore it improves efficiency).

There have been very significant improvements in the speed and efficiency of crematoria in the last forty years.

For the record, in a letter to Griffin dated 25 July 1994, John Luby (in his role as Secretary of the Federation of British Cremation Authorities) pointed out that there are 227 crematoria in the UK (including Belfast, Dublin and the Channel Islands), with an average of three cremators per crematorium; the maximum number of cremations per 24 hour day would be twelve, but cremators are not designed for round the clock operation.

(24) *ENCYCLOPEDIA of the HOLOCAUST*, (op cit). The entry for *BUCHENWALD* appears on pages 254-6 of Volume 1.

(25) *BLACK BOOK on the Martyrdom of Hungarian Jewry*, by Eugene Jenö Lévai, Edited by Lawrence P. Davis, published by Central European Times Publishing Company, Zurich and Panorama Publishing Company, Vienna, (1948). This reference appears on page 439.

PICK OF THE DAY



Professional Auschwitz survivor and Jewish-born Holocaust liar Kitty Hart. In public, the likes of Mrs Hart are paraded as *living witnesses* to Nazi genocide; in private, academics admit that the testimonies of such witnesses present *problems*. Mrs Hart's problem is that she suffered such psychological damage when interned at Auschwitz as a young girl that later in life she is prone to false memory syndrome - reporting murders which never took place and gassings which were physically impossible. While sincere Revisionists such as Robert Faurisson are dragged into court for defaming the dead, liars like Mrs Hart see her fantasies embroidered by the controllers of the media, who are willing accomplices in hoaxing the public.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

26 July 46

men, women, and children, are done to death? Not in battle, not in passion, but in the cold, calculated, deliberate attempt to destroy nations and races, to disintegrate the traditions, the institutions, and the very existence of free and ancient states. Twelve million murders! Two-thirds of the Jews in Europe exterminated, more than 6 million of them on the killers' own figures. Murder conducted like some mass production industry in the gas chambers and the ovens of Auschwitz, Dachau, Treblinka, Buchenwald, Mauthausen, Maidanek, and Oranienburg.

And is the world to overlook the revival of slavery in Europe, slavery on a scale which involved 7 million men, women, and children taken from their homes, treated as beasts, starved, beaten, and murdered?

- b) *Buchenwald*. The largest number of victims was claimed by the gas chambers and quarries of Buchenwald. 90,000 Hungarian Jews perished here. (*Evidence submitted at the Nuremberg trial.*)
- c) *Bergen-Belsen*. The atrocities committed in the second large extermination camp, already "enjoying" world fame, are generally known. Among others, the "protected" Jews evicted from the Swiss houses and deported from

Top: anti-German libels from the proceedings of the International Military Tribunal, [IMT, Volume XIX, Proceedings 19 July - 29 July 1946, page 434.]
Bottom: a passage from a 1948 propaganda tract, the *Black Book Of Hungarian Jewry*. (25) The Dachau and Buchenwald gas chambers are quietly forgotten nowadays, and people who ask the logical if inconvenient question: Were the Auschwitz gas chambers likewise fictional? are routinely smeared as "anti-Semitic".

New Lies From Old

The collapse of the Soviet Union has opened up Russian archives for the first time to Western researchers in significant numbers. Conveniently, documents which *prove* the gas chambers existed, are being unearthed, among other things. Let us examine briefly one such Soviet document, but this one was not *discovered* in the era of Gorbachev and Yeltzin, rather it was published in London in 1942. By HMSO no less! *The Molotov Notes On German Atrocities* were issued on behalf of the Embassy of the USSR in London. (1)

Here are selected extracts from the first two, (concerning "ordinary" war time atrocities):

Page 11: "In the village of Voskresenskoye, Dubinin district, the Hitlerites used a 4-year-old boy as a target and shot at him from machine-guns." Sure they did.

"In the Zlobino rural Soviet, in the Orel region, the Fascists killed the 2-year-old child of Kratova, a collective-farmer, because its crying disturbed their sleep." Lies, pure and simple.

In Semyonovka, German soldiers were said to have raped the 25 year old pregnant wife of a Red Army man. She was in the last stage of pregnancy. Afterwards they cut her throat, stabbed her in both breasts and drilled holes through her breasts. (The rape is plausible or even likely, but drilling holes through her breasts?)

Page 12: in the same place they shot a 13 year old boy and carved a five-pointed star on his head. (It is certainly not impossible that the Nazis killed thirteen year old boys, and younger. But so did the Americans in Vietnam. And they were the good guys, remember?)

Page 12: in Basmanovo, Glinka, they shot over 200 schoolchildren. (Where is the proof?)

Page 17: "Red Army prisoners are tortured with red-hot irons, their eyes are poked out, their legs, hands, ears and noses cut off. Their stomachs are ripped open. They are tied to tanks and crushed to pieces."

And so on. This is pure atrocity propaganda. What is one to make of this? How is one to analyse it? How much if any of it is true? And this nonsense was published in London, don't forget, on behalf of the perpetrators of Katyn and a thousand other undisputed mass murders, most of them against their own people. Now, today, we are being told that the archives which are being opened to us contain amazing new revelations about the true nature and extent of the Final Solution. But why should we believe any of it?

Notes And References

(1) There were three of these; the first two were published together as *THE MOLOTOV NOTES ON GERMAN ATROCITIES: NOTES SENT BY V.M. MOLOTOV, PEOPLE'S COMMISSAR FOR FOREIGN AFFAIRS, TO ALL GOVERNMENTS WITH WHICH THE U.S.S.R. HAS DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS*, Issued on behalf of the Embassy of the U.S.S.R. in London. Published by His Majesty's Stationery Office: London, (October 1942).

The Other Face Of Hatred

"No longer do we say: *Good morning or Good night*. In the morning we say: *Kill a German*, and in the evening: *Kill a German*.

Books, love, the stars no longer matter. The only thing that matters is to kill the Germans. To kill them all. To bury them...For us there is nothing more beautiful than German corpses. *Kill a German!* - this is what the old mother begs of you. *Kill a German!* - this is what a child implores. Germans are not human beings. Germans are biped animals, disgusting creatures, beasts. Germans are amoebae, soulless microbes, but equipped with machines, guns, mortars.

If you have killed one German, kill another - nothing gladdens us more than German corpses." Ilya Ehrenburg, Stalin's Jewish propagandist. (1)

We hear much from Organised Jewry, the anti-fascist/"anti-racist" lobby, even from regular historians, about how denying the Holocaust or trying in any way to minimise it, constitutes vicious anti-Semitism. But we have demonstrated, more than adequately I think, that the most brazen Holocaust liars are themselves survivors and professional Jewish and anti-fascist propagandists who exploit this difficult subject for their own ends. To date, not one survivor has been dragged into court for lying "positively" about the Holocaust, although both Paul Rassinier and Josef Ginsburg, the courageous non-Jewish and Jewish survivors and Revisionists, were themselves subjected to harassment, Rassinier through the courts, Ginsberg by summary justice from Jewish thugs.

In September 1994, it was announced that denying the Holocaust can now earn a five year gaol sentence in Germany. (2) Again, no mention is made of bringing those damned liars Kitty Hart, Simon Wiesenthal, other "survivors" or their Gentile fellow travellers to justice for their libels against the German people. The current writer does not believe in *equal justice* or perhaps I should say *equal injustice* for liars whatever their ethnic origins or political persuasions or the nature and gravity of their lies. I am simply of the opinion that liars should be exposed whoever they are, wherever they are, and however *pure* their motives. One important point should be noted though. Whatever motivates the likes of Kitty Hart and her fellow travellers, it is certainly not love for

the German race. Indeed, hatred of Germans and more generally of *Aryans* is patently the prime motivation behind the misnamed "anti-racist" movement; the most vicious anti-German and anti-white propaganda is spewed out by the media in the guise of promoting racial tolerance and equal opportunities. In reality, this amounts to a campaign to outlaw white skin.

This line of reasoning is often used by the far right to promote the anti-Semitic conspiracy theory of history. Denial of the Holocaust they say is all part of a sinister plot by the Jews to destroy the racial consciousness of Western Man. The conspiracy interpretation is of course flawed, because a conspiracy requires that people meet in secret to plot their common (and nefarious) goals. The reality is that there is no super-Jewish conspiracy, such meetings do not take place, and the vast majority of Jews, especially those devout persons of the Jewish faith who are true to the *Torah* - the Ultra-Orthodox (3) - have no axe to grind either with Western Man or with the rest of the world. But it is a demonstrable fact that large tranches of the Western media are under what amounts to Jewish control, and that they project Jewish values, universalism, and that the most vicious anti-German and anti-white propaganda continues to emanate from such quarters. Even to mention this brings forth howls of derision and cries of anti-Semitism, but it is a fact nevertheless.

As if this were not bad enough, the leaders of Organised Jewry employ agents provocateurs to infiltrate the far right and to both provoke criminal acts and project a sex shop Nazism image of any organisation which advocates white racial consciousness. In recent years a number of such "moles" have been exposed; inevitably they have been the most foul-mouth demagogues, the most outrageous con men, and willing inciters of anti-black and anti-Jewish violence. One such demagogue was Searchlight "mole" Ray Hill, who, even before he sold his services to this perfidious organisation, was employed by wealthy South African Zionist Jews to smear the Herstigte Nasionale Party as anti-Semitic. (4) Another Searchlight agent provocateur, Dave Roberts, joined the National Front in Birmingham in the early seventies, and when they refused to play up to the Nazi baby-eater image of his thoroughly evil Jewish manipulators, he became involved with the lunatic Nazi fringe and ended up being convicted of conspiracy to assault the staff of an Indian restaurant. (5)

What we need is to sweep away all the hatred, hysteria and lies, and to restore freedom of speech and freedom of debate, over the Holocaust as much as anything else. Perhaps most of all, we have to break the stranglehold of

Organised Jewry and their Gentile fellow travellers in the Socialist International over the minds of not just our people, but of the entire world. We have to destroy the "fear of the Jews" syndrome for good. And it should be borne in mind that, not only are these people motivated by hatred of Western Man and his democratic institutions, but ultimately they don't give a stuff for the Jews, nor for the rest of mankind whom they profess to be saving from the mythical resurgence of Nazism and the *gas chambers* that will inevitably follow.

Notes And References

(1) Staeglich, *Auschwitz...*, page 56.

(2) Widely reported in the "quality press" and gloated over by the *Jewish Chronicle* in its September 30 issue.

(3) A term of derision used by the Zionists to mock the black garbed - and often passionately anti-Zionist - Jewry.

(4) For the full, thoroughly documented story of Searchlight "mole" Ray Hill, the reader is referred to the current writer's *Liars Ought To Have Good Memories*, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (1994). Already alluded to.

(5) For the Roberts' story, the reader is referred to the current writer's *In Serving The Wicked Expect No Reward*, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (March 1994). Roberts' adventures were related in early issues of Searchlight. It is perhaps a little unfair to describe the Searchlight team as manipulators in this case because Roberts was a lifelong communist and appears to have acted largely on his own initiative. Eventually the Searchlight team dumped him, probably because he was too extreme even for them.

'Germany defrauded by claimants'

*From our Correspondent
Duesseldorf*

Fraudulent manipulations of compensation claims by former victims of Nazism and their legal representatives cost the West German State of North Rhine-Westphalia an estimated £756,300 in recent years, a State parliamentary investigating committee was told here last week.

Dr. Heinz Loos, an official of the State's Ministry of the Interior, also told the committee that there was no foundation for reports that the loss to the State was £2 million or more and he emphasised that there was no evidence that indemnification officials were involved.

The official said that the payments of £756,300 were mainly the outcome of "two big, separate cases." One concerned a total of some £445,000 arising from illegal claims based on forged refugee certificates of the French Foreign Ministry. An Aachen lawyer was involved.

Thirteen French doctors were suspected of issuing false certificates respecting past disabilities of claimants. Contradictory statements found in the indemnification files had led to the discovery of the false entries.

'Forged documents'

The other case concerned "unjustified" payments of some £124,000 on the basis of "forged documents" submitted by a Duesseldorf lawyer. A number of doctors were involved.

some £103,000 out of the estimated £756,300 "probably represented legitimate payments."

Repayments presented great difficulties because nearly all the recipients were living abroad. Only one had so far agreed to return the money received. Repayment by monthly instalments of £27 had been offered.

A police commission is studying the material submitted to the parliamentary investigation committee.

Oberhausen gets six visitors

*From our Correspondent
Duesseldorf*

Six former Jewish residents of the West German town of Oberhausen arrived at the weekend for a ten-day stay as the guests of the local authority. Conducted tours

While denying the Holocaust can be dangerous, especially for the many times libelled Germans, telling "good" lies about the Nazis can be extremely lucrative. This article was published originally in the May 9, 1969 issue of the *Jewish Chronicle*. The October 28, 1994 issue of the same paper boasted that the German people are expected to pay out a further DM30.5 billion (£12.25 billion) in reparations to Jews and *other minorities* in the coming years with 40% of it going to Israel. Fifty years and more after World War II, Nazi atrocities - real and imagined - are still good news for some. It is doubtful if the Palestinians can expect such generous reparations for their decades of suffering. Or any reparations at all.

Subtract 1 From 6 Million

Holocaust Survivor - Back From The Dead

The **Tortono Sun** of Oct. 6, 1989 reported that New York, Canada resident Eva Friedrichkett visited the Yad Vashem holocaust museum in Israel. There she looked in the "*Dead Book*" list of Jews who allegedly died in the holocaust. To her surprise, she found her name in the book! Next to her name, she wrote: "*Ich lebe*" meaning "*I'm alive.*"

Mrs. Friedrichkett later told the press that she survived "three death camps," the Theresienstadt camp, Mauthausen and Auschwitz camps. If there had been any systematic plan to kill all the Jews - how was it possible for her to survive even the first camp?



Eva Friedrichkett

While the wicked *Aryan goyim* of "democratic" Germany are still shelling out reparations for the sins of their fathers, grandfathers and great-grandfathers - real and imagined - it is doubtful if Imperial Zion has ever refunded so much as a shekel for such cases of "mistaken identity" as the one above. One wonders how many more of these poor, persecuted people who were allegedly gassed and incinerated in the mill of death turned up years later selling bagels in New York. Or writing the scripts for anti-German celluloid trash like *The Boys From Brazil* in Kosher Valley, Hollywood.

How Holocaust Revisionism Should Be Dealt With

In view of the very many, readily provable lies the gullible *goyim* have been fed (and swallowed whole) about the Holocaust and the Nazi era generally, the idea that Holocaust Revisionism should be opposed at all is rather a novel one. (1) As are the often candid admissions which have been made only on account of the Revisionists' campaigns.

Fortunately, even some Jews are beginning to realise that the activities of their organised, hatemongering brethren bring the hatred of the *goyim* down on the heads of their entire race. In 1992, the usual left wing and "anti-racist" hysteria followed when David Irving was engaged by the *Sunday Times* to translate the diaries of the Nazi propagandist Dr Joseph Goebbels. As stated in (or boasted by) the ANAL pamphlet, there were calls to boycott the paper; acts of criminal damage against poster sites; a hate campaign against Irving. Yet Irving was not without his supporters; one such supporter - on purely Libertarian grounds - was the Jewish academic Daniel Cohn-Sherbok, a rabbi who lectures in Jewish theology at the University of Kent.

In a letter published in the paper, July 12, 1992, Rabbi Cohn-Sherbok defended the paper's use of Irving and attacked Jews who have "inadvertently betrayed a fundamental principle of a democratic society" by their suppression of free speech. He added that "Irving has a right to make known his opinions. Those who try to silence him are siding with Goebbels himself, who presided over the burning of the books of his opponents.

"What is required from those who deplore Irving's interpretation of history is not the vilification of the man and his writing, but well defended and documented arguments. Revisionist history must be challenged by historical research." (2)

Rabbi Cohn-Sherbok is spot on on both counts: Jews *have* betrayed a fundamental principle of a democratic society, and what is indeed needed to confront Holocaust Revisionism is academic arguments. Indeed, the *only* things that can possibly confront Holocaust Revisionism are academic arguments. Let us deal with the first point first.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Anyone who has taken the trouble to study this subject will realise that the very last thing Jewish leaders and "anti-fascists, and so many "survivors" are interested in is preserving any principles of a democratic society. Rather, Jewish leaders in particular, are interested in securing special privileges for their race, to wit, putting all Jews above criticism. (3) This has always been the case, even though some of the greatest champions of individual rights have always been Jews. (4) To put it in simple, English, or rather, Anglo-Saxon, any Gentile who refuses to bow down and kiss the arse of Imperial Zion can and will be smeared as an anti-Semite, while any Jew who dissents can and will be smeared as a self-hating Jew. There are signs that this is changing, gradually, but not, primarily, because the Zionist stranglehold over our media and other institutions is lessening, rather because other "minorities", some of them very creative indeed, have taken a leaf out of Organised Jewry's book and learned to whine just as loudly and to employ the same dirty tricks. (5) This is true especially of the Palestinian "problem". (6)

The second point is, or should be, so obvious, that it is a wonder that anyone should need to raise it, especially at this late hour. We have already seen the reaction of "academics" to Holocaust Revisionism, but we didn't mention specifically the reaction of French historians. Primarily on account of the work of Professor Faurisson, they issued a statement as long ago as 1979. It reads thus:

"The Hitler Policy of Extermination: A Declaration by the Historians

"Since the end of the Second World War, it has happened on several occasions that publicists, sometimes taking the title of historians, have cast doubt on the veracity of the evidence on the Hitler policy of extermination. This evidence had, in 1945, a glaring obviousness. The great majority of the deportees today are dead..." (7)

And: "To contend that Zyklon B merely exterminated lice, it is really necessary to admit in one's conscience that the Jews, the Gypsies or if need be the Slavs, or men worn out by labor, were really only lice." (8)

And: "It is not necessary to ask oneself how, technically, such a mass murder was possible. It was possible technically because it took place...there is not, there cannot be, any debate on the existence of the gas chambers." (9)

This statement is extraordinary. It is intellectually dishonest, wilfully misleading, and, not least of all, totally spineless. That it could have been made by an organised group of professional historians defies belief.

The "glaring obviousness" of the veracity of the evidence of the alleged Hitler extermination policy has been exposed by this book - and by many others before it - as a sham. To wit, photographs of people dead and dying of hunger and typhus in Belsen and other camps are terribly emotive, moving, and a host of other adjectives, but what they are manifestly *not*, is proof or even evidence, that Jews were "exterminated" in Auschwitz or other camps. They are in fact nothing more than clever, and deceitful, propaganda.

The reference to lice is unworthy of anyone but an over-the-top polemicist, while the claim that "It was possible technically because it took place" is too absurd for words. If such gassings indeed took place then it should be possible to explain exactly how they took place. Anyone who knows anything at all about science and the scientific method will realise that replicability is an essential prerequisite before any new theory can be established. I would not be so avant garde as to suggest that the gas chambers be replicated with either innocent Jews or convicted murderers, (10) but if such gassings as Exterminationists and survivors claim occurred cannot be replicated, even in theory, then they must be consigned to the dustbin of history. Finally, it should be noted in this connection that, in spite of their protests that "It is not necessary to ask oneself how, technically, such a mass murder was possible", it was deemed by French historians *ten years after this declaration* that an attempt should indeed be made to explain how such mass gassings were technically possible. In 1989, Jean-Claude Pressac (who "was very nearly a revisionist") (11) published *Auschwitz: Technique and operation of the gas chambers*. This includes such gems as the following exchange from the cross examination of one Dr Bendel by Dr Zippel, which appears on page 472: Question "How is it possible to get a thousand people into a room of 64 cubic metres?"

Answer "This one must ask oneself. It can only be done by the German technique."

[But the laws of physics are universal] !! (The comment is his, by the way).

Earlier, we spent some time discussing psychic phenomena. The media is constantly spewing out stories about the alleged powers of psychics; we stressed that such claims must always be rejected, not because they would entail rewriting the laws of physics - the laws of physics have been rewritten

many times - but because we can only rewrite the laws of physics if they are demonstrably false, and the only way they can be so proved is if in the laboratory - the one place where it matters - they are found either wanting or insufficient.

Clearly, the statement of the historians would be judged totally inadequate by any physicist. Any physicist who had any integrity at all. Just as clearly, none of the historians who put their names to this polemical statement had any integrity whatsoever, rather they were motivated by political considerations, and, undoubtedly, political and "academic" pressure, (fear of being branded anti-Semitic, ad nauseum). But, inadequate as this statement is on scientific grounds, it is equally inadequate on legal grounds. And the rules of jurisprudence are far less demanding than the laws of physics. Under English law - or any code of law worthy of the name - the prosecution must prove its case only beyond all reasonable doubt.

We have already mentioned the cases of the Birmingham Six and the Guildford Four; there have been many, many similar and quite appalling miscarriages of justice exposed over the past few years. And undoubtedly many more before the modern era. Imagine the following scenario. The defendant in a murder case is convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment; later, it is revealed that the convicted man had a cast iron alibi for the time of murder, he was in police custody fifty miles away when it was committed. (12) The case goes to appeal, and counsel for the defence argues that clearly it was impossible for his client to have committed the murder. "How could he have done it, My Lord, when he was in a police cell at the time, and seen by twenty or thirty police officers?"

The judge simply grunts and says: "It is not necessary to ask oneself how, technically, the defendant could have committed this murder. It was possible technically because he did it. There is not, there cannot be, any debate about that. Case dismissed. Take him away, officer."

It is only when considered in this context that the venality of the declaration of the historians is revealed for what it is.

Let us repeat what Rabbi Cohn-Sherbok said: "What is required from those who deplore [the Holocaust Revisionists'] interpretation of history is not the vilification of the [men] and [their] writing[s], but well defended and documented arguments. Revisionist history must be challenged by historical research."

After decades of silence, ridicule, legal and other harassment, this is finally being done, but the defenders of the Holocaust are clearly afraid they will have to throw out the baby of the Final Solution with the bathwater of anti-Semitic ideology. (13) Indeed, most of the "legitimate" revising of the Holocaust consists almost entirely of climbdowns, all of which are made without the slightest grace or acknowledgment to the Revisionists, who were undoubtedly responsible for them in the first place. Thus, there are "problems" with survivor testimony. Kitty Hart, Simon Wiesenthal and countless other survivors have lied through their teeth and the Revisionists have at least got this right. When Gitta Sereny claimed that "Auschwitz, despite its emblematic name, was *not* primarily an extermination camp for Jews" (14) there was not a murmur, yet similar claims by Revisionists cause outrage. When Roger Eatwell wrote "It is noted that there are some fakes relating to the Holocaust which are even admitted by Jewish groups. Many photographs are alleged to be faked, or presented in a misleading way", (15) again, not a murmur. But when Udo Walendy made similar - though more comprehensive and documented - assertions, (16) he was branded an anti-Semite.

Walendy's irrefutable study of fake photographs is listed on pages 324-5 of Robert Singerman's *ANTISEMITIC PROPAGANDA: An Annotated Bibliography And Research Guide*. The bibliographer's comments are: "Seeks to prove that all of the so-called atrocity photographs related to the alleged extermination of six million Jews are crude forgeries calculated to 'burden the German people with guilt.'"

While in 1981, that damned liar Maurice Ludmer wrote in a smear article on the Institute for Historical Review that: "Dr Udo Walendy has been active in the neo-Nazi movement in Germany since the 1960s and specialises in trying to prove that photographs of concentration camps and their victims were faked." (17)

When the death toll for Auschwitz was quietly rounded down by official sources to a million and a half, (18) not a murmur. Yet Revisionists have been dragged into court for making similar allegations. (19)

Notes And References

(1) It should be stressed again that Jews are the victims of these lies and this unconditional hatred as much as non-Jews. These otherwise normally highly intelligent people are amongst the most gullible when it comes to lending their names to "anti-fascist" campaigns. Often it takes no more than a swastika daubed on a synagogue wall to make them open their cheque books, a fact which is not lost on far left hate groups.

(2) *Heed the message, not the messenger; Goebbels' diaries*, by Dan Cohn-Sherbok, published in the *Sunday Times*, July 12, 1992, page 2/3.

(3) Those who toe the Zionist line, that is; the Ron Davids of this world are nothing but thorns in the flesh of Organised Jewry.

(4) When one considers the hysteria Holocaust Revisionism and "Nazism" bring forth nowadays from Jewish spokesmen, it comes as a surprise to learn that this is the case, yet even at the height of the pre-war Nazi persecution there were exceptional Jews who put individual liberty above special privileges for their race. The *Jewish Chronicle* for October 28, 1938 (page 41), reported the case of Mrs Lewis-Barned, a Conservative Councillor who defended the right of Nazis to hold a meeting in a London hall. She was quoted thus: "In this land of liberty, everyone has the right to hold meetings, and I would not wish to take that right away from any organisation." The November 18, 1938 issue carried a letter in support of Mrs Lewis-Barned under the heading "*A JEWESS OPPOSES BAN ON NAZIS*". This, it should be noted, was in the immediate aftermath of Kristallnacht. This letter writer pointed out, correctly, that if Jews supported the suppression of free speech, "it may just as easily be denied us Jews and Zionists." As indeed it was three decades and more later by the loony left "anti-racist" movement who equate Zionism with *racism*.

To this day, the civil liberties movement in the United States is as kosher as communism ever was; Jewish lawyers have defended the rights of such vitriolic anti-Semites as Lincoln Rockwell, founder of the American Nazi Party, and other hatemongers.

(5) An appalling if at times amusing example of this happened in 1993 when Lord Jakobowits, the Chief Rabbi Emeritus, suggested that it would be a good idea to use genetic engineering to breed out the perversion of homosexuality.

Far from relishing the prospect of a world in which men do not engage in acts of gross indecency in public toilets, the organised homosexual movement picketed a London synagogue and kept up a barrage of hatred against the distinguished Talmudic scholar; he was even branded sympathetic to Nazi race science! The homos' attacks were met with the knee jerk charge of anti-Semitism; it was only when homosexual activist Peter Tatchell decided enough was enough and called a truce with a letter to the *Jewish Chronicle* that this nonsense abated.

(6) To be fair, most of the whining about the Palestinian "problem" has been done by non-Palestinians and non-Arabs. There is also great and genuine humanitarian concern over the plight of the Palestinian people, and much good work is being done in this field, in particular the charity MAP (Medical Aid for Palestinians) has been doing terrific work for a long time.

(7) This statement first appeared in the French newspaper *Le Monde*, February 21, 1979. This is obviously a translation - I have seen several slightly different translations - this one is taken from *The "Confessions" of Kurt Gerstein*, by Henri Roques, published by the Institute for Historical Review, Costa Mesa, California, (1989). The above quote appears on page 11.

(8) Pages 11-12, (ibid).

(9) Page 15, (ibid).

(10) For the record, I am not an advocate of the death penalty.

(11) According to the publishers, who can hardly be called non-partisan.

(12) We will not bother with such minutiae as why this fact didn't come to light at his (hypothetical) trial; I am concerned only with making a point.

(13) Real and imagined. One must always bear in mind that the Zionist definition of anti-Semitism (and their dupes) is far more liberal than yours or mine.

(14) *The men who whitewash Hitler*, (op cit). Already alluded to.

(15) Eatwell, *The Holocaust Denial...*, page 132, (op cit). Again, already alluded to.

(16) More than assertions, Walendy's research is watertight and the fake photographs speak for themselves.

(17) The late and unlamented Maurice Ludmer (who edited *Searchlight* until his death in 1981) was always more of a whiner than a liar, but this article is certainly disingenuous. This quote appeared on page 3 of the June 1981 issue of *Searchlight* in a signed article *INSTITUTE FOR REWRITING HISTORY:*

Nazis attempt to deny the Holocaust, (pages 3-4). [This was the last article Ludmer ever wrote for the magazine].

Contrast this with the aforementioned comment by Roger Eatwell of Bath University from *The Holocaust Denial: a Study in Propaganda Technique* that: "It is noted that there are some fakes relating to the Holocaust which are even admitted by Jewish groups. Many photographs are alleged to be faked, or presented in a misleading way." Talk about chutzpah!

(18) See for example the *Daily Mail*, March 3, 1993, page 10. Curiously, this dramatic reduction has in no way reduced the alleged death toll of the Nazi murder machine. The other two and a half million "exterminated" Jews have now been quietly relocated elsewhere.

(19) Anti-Revisionist books tend by their very nature to be polemical rather than scholarly. One such polemic is *DENYING the HOLOCAUST: The Growing Assault on Truth and Memory*, by Deborah E. Lipstadt, published by the Free Press, New York, (1993). The author makes all the usual noises about the Revisionists being comparable to Flat Earthers, and does not shrink from using artifice, but while she insists that this debate is no debate, and the argument is no argument, and boasts that she will not confront Revisionists in the media, she does at least confront some of the issues, in particular she establishes that the *Diary of Anne Frank* is indeed a genuine document (even though it has been fairly severely edited) and establishes that, like Exterminationists, Revisionists are capable of becoming conceited and even dogmatic. Lipstadt's book is probably the best anti-Revisionist work to date, but it is almost certainly too little, too late.

Conclusion

The title of this study is *HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?* We have demonstrated clearly I think that Holocaust denial is neither new nor Nazi. Whether or not it is a lie, will not be discussed here, but if it is indeed a lie, then it is in good company, for many of its most vociferous critics are themselves liars of the first order (as documented here), while others, who are not necessarily conscious liars, are little better solely by their attempts (often Draconian) to stifle all legitimate debate on this subject for their own reasons which have nothing whatsoever to do with any sort of commitment to historical truth. (1) Holocaust Revisionism has a case to answer, however much the Zionists and their fellow travellers may whine and wail. And even if it didn't have a case to answer, the very last people who have any right at all to become indignant over the claims of the Revisionists (notwithstanding their own myriad lies) are those who people believe that a million *goyim* aren't worth a Jewish fingernail.

Again, let me repeat the words of Rabbi Cohn-Sherbok. Jews, or rather their leaders and "anti-fascists", have betrayed a fundamental principle of a democratic society by their suppression of free speech. But, unlike the Rabbi, I would not be so charitable as to suggest that they have done so inadvertently. Rather, this had been done wilfully, cynically, and at times brutally, primarily by powerful Zionist organisations who interpret anything of which they disapprove as rabid anti-Semitism, and "anti-fascist" organisations - Jewish and non-sectarian - who interpret anything short of the demonising of Nazism as an attempt to restore the Third Reich.

Again, these people are not the pious *Torah*-true Jew in Stamford Hill, London or Monsey, New York; neither are they the accountant who just happens to be of the Jewish faith; or the lawyer or professional person who has a Jewish name but is no more Jewish than the average white Briton is Christian. They are powerful Jewish and Zionist organisations (and non-sectarian fellow travellers) who, rather than being persecuted, have not hesitated to persecute all and sundry, and have gotten away with their nonsense for decades simply because they have more money than anybody else, because they are far better organised, and because they whine and wail louder and pull strings more

efficiently. At the end of the day, they demand that we believe the Holocaust on "moral" grounds. Or take the consequences: social ostracism, vilification, being hounded out of our jobs, spat on in the street, attacked, or thrown into gaol if we are so stupid as to publicly damn them as liars.

More than anything else they whine and wail about anti-Semitism. Why do you hate us? We didn't kill Jesus, that was the work of the Romans; (2) the *Protocols Of Zion* is a forgery; we aren't behind communism; we don't control the media...and so on. It is true that many people do hold erroneous and/or irrational beliefs about Jews, but many anti-Semites do not, they simply judge Jews by different standards, thus, every act a Jew carries out is interpreted in the worst possible light. The fanatical anti-Semite Arnold Leese even condemned Jewish support of animal rights as an attempt to buy off the opposition to shechita. (3)

On the other hand, Organised Jewry and their fellow travellers would have us believe that the Jew is always blameless, always the victim, always the scapegoat, always persecuted. Any criticism of any Jew anywhere must be because he is a Jew, and is therefore the first step on the road to Auschwitz. Therefore, Jews need special protection and special privileges. The Holocaust - whatever it was - was the worst crime in history; anti-Semitism is worse than any other kind of racial hatred, and so on ad nauseum. Even today one hears more about the Kristallnacht pogrom than about the far, far worse and more reprehensible massacres of Sabra and Shatila. (4)

There is though a much more fundamental reason than the at times mystical dogma of anti-Semitism for Jews being hated. Some of them deserve to be hated. They deserve to be hated when they whine and wail about how they are forever being persecuted when at the same time they are using their terrible power of the purse (5) and every dirty trick in the book to silence, smear, harass and intimidate their enemies - real and imagined - or simply anyone who refuses to bow down and kiss the arse of Imperial Zion. When was the last time you read about an anti-Jewish pogrom? People are being murdered by the state all over the world: in Rwanda, in East Timor, in the Middle East (including in Israel). Jews deserve to be hated when they demand - and receive - special privileges for their race. Jews deserve to be hated when they drag an eighty year old woman into court not once but twice for publishing insane ravings about the world Jewish conspiracy, (6) when at the same time they nod in acquiescence at the obscene anti-German libels of Kitty Hart and her fellow travellers. Why

should it be a crime for the ignorant, the gullible or even the malevolent to insult their race when they have no qualms whatsoever about insulting our intelligence? Most of all, Jews deserve to be hated when they destroy our freedoms, and when they fail to speak out against the destruction of our freedoms by their hatemongering co-racialists.

Rabbi Cohn-Sherbok is right when he says many Jews have sided with Goebbels. Indeed, they have put Goebbels to shame, because, aside from committed Nazis and fellow travellers, Goebbels fooled no one. On the other hand, Organised Jewry has convinced most ordinary people, large tranches of the media, politicians, academics, and, incredibly, many civil libertarians, that the destruction of our freedoms is a good thing, or even necessary. In reality, this amounts to nothing less than official sanction for the continued propagation of their lies, including a myriad proven lies, some of which we have documented here.

What we must do now is attempt to undo the lies, deception and hatred of this filthy cabal, but, more important even than that, we must restore freedom of speech, however long it takes, however many sacrifices we must make, and however painful this may be to us, and to those who would deny it to us or indeed to anyone else. The idea that Organised Jewry, or professional Holocaust survivors such as Kitty Hart, should enjoy special privileges, or even that they should occupy the moral high ground, is too appalling for words, however much they have suffered or claim to have suffered. The Kitty Harts of this world are many times proven liars; liars do not deserve to hold the moral high ground; liars especially do not deserve the "right" to silence all opposition. At best, they deserve no more and no less than the rest of us, the opportunity to state their cases, to be heard, and to be believed, or disbelieved, in the free market of ideas, on an honest assessment of their evidence. They and indeed no one should ever be believed on "moral" grounds. Objective truth has no morals. Either the gas chambers existed or they didn't, it's a simple as that; regardless of how wicked the Nazis (read Germans) were or are claimed to have been, and regardless of how innately good, innocent, persecuted or oppressed the Jews were.

As long ago as 1921, 119 prominent American Christians of *Gentile birth* signed a petition against the *Protocols of Zion* (7) denouncing it as a spurious document designed to engender religious and racial hatred, as indeed it is. To this day, Jewish organisations, "anti-fascists" and academics protest against

the *Protocols* and its dissemination and lobby vociferously to outlaw both the *Protocols* and the production and dissemination of similar conspiracy literature, as indeed, is their democratic right. But, while Jews continue to act in so devious and Machiavellian a manner against Holocaust Revisionists, while they continue to whine, wail and wire-pull to destroy the precious freedoms our fathers and grandfathers supposedly destroyed Hitler to defend; while they continue to demand special privileges for their race; while they continue to apply one standard for Jews and another for the rest of mankind; while they denounce all criticism of their mendacity as rabid anti-Semitism; while they smear all dissenters as anti-Semites; while they blame all Jewish shortcomings, weaknesses, vices and perfidy on the rest of mankind; and, most of all, while they continue to libel the German people with calumnies that have been refuted or admitted false, in some cases, many times over, Jews have no right to protest either against the dissemination of the *Protocols* or the willingness of the gullible to believe in them.

Notes And References

(1) In particular to buttress the State of Israel, the ideology of political Zionism and, in the case of Gentile "anti-fascists", the promotion of socialism.

(2) In her gratuitously inaccurate, anti-Aryan polemic *From Prejudice To Genocide* - already alluded to - schoolteacher (and Searchlight "Educational" Trust director) Carrie Supple blames the Romans for the Crucifixion. What was that about the fallacy of collective guilt?

(3) In his absurd polemic *The Legalised Cruelty of Shechita: The Jewish Method of Cattle-Slaughter* (published 1940), Leese claimed that animal societies received large subscriptions from Jews to prevent their taking up the matter of ritual slaughter seriously. The idea that any Jew might abhor cruelty to animals never occurred to him. For a discussion (and refutation) of Mr Leese's nonsense, the reader is referred to *SHECHITA BARBARIC? OY VAY! HAVE YOU SEEN THE "SPANISH" METHOD OF CATTLE SLAUGHTER? A Sideways Look At The Lunatic Fringe Opposition To Kosher Meat from the*

"Jew-wise" to the "Animal Rights" Lobby, written and illustrated by the I.T.M.A. Team, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (1993).

(4) Far, far worse because Sabra and Shatila was a state-sponsored act of mass murder whereas - as I think we have demonstrated - Kristallnacht was by and large spontaneous.

(5) For the interested reader, this unfortunate phrase, which has been quoted out of context by anti-Semites ever since, was first uttered by the father of modern Zionism, Theodor Herzl, in his 1896 book *Der Judenstaat*. The full quote and credits are as follows: "When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, the subordinate officers of all revolutionary parties; and at the same time, when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse." From page 91 of *THE JEWISH STATE*, by Theodor Herzl, published by Dover Publications, New York, (1988). Reprint of the American Zionist Emergency Council edition of 1946 with an Introduction by Louis Lipsky and a biography based on the work of Alex Bein.

(6) Lady Birdwood, who was tried and convicted on two occasions under pressure from (and undoubtedly wire-pulling by) Organised Jewry. Notwithstanding that she published the most appalling anti-Semite (in good faith), the then eighty year old Dowager was clearly the victim of evil men who had been filling her head with poison. After her first trial in 1991, the spokesman for Organised Jewry, Mike Whine (I kid you not, that really is his name), told the *Jewish Chronicle* "The leaflets she has distributed have contributed to the rise in racist violence." This is clearly nonsense as virtually everything she mailed out went straight into the rubbish bin (where it belongs). After her second trial, an undergraduate student published an article in *Patterns Of Prejudice* which likened the Dowager to the fanatical Jew-hater Arnold Leese.

(7) *The Truth About "The Protocols of Zion": A Complete Exposure*, by Herman Bernstein, published by Covici Friede, New York, (1935), page 56.

Afterword: Why I Wrote This Book

In November 1990 I met a fellow Libertarian named Mark Taha. Sometime later I was discussing anti-Semitic and communist ideology with him when I happened to mention a quote made by a communist in 1912. This quote, which was attributed to the aptly named Israel Cohen, appeared in a book called *A Racial Programme For The Twentieth Century*, and reads as follows: "We must realise that our Party's most powerful weapon is racial tension. By pounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the Whites, we can mould them to our program. The terms 'colonialism' and 'imperialism' must be featured in our propaganda. In America we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the Whites, we will endeavour to instil in the Whites a guilt complex for exploiting the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise to prominence in every walk of life, in the professions and in the world of sport and entertainment. With this prestige, the Negroes will be able to intermarry with the Whites and begin A process which will deliver America [and Britain] to our cause." (1)

The book which this appeared in was published as long ago as 1912, and Israel Cohen, its author, was a leading communist. This is just the sort of thing a communist, especially a Jewish communist, would say - though certainly not openly. But for one tiny detail. It's a fake. Who says so? Mark Taha said so, that was who. Later, he gave me a book written by two ADL staffers, and later still, after I'd traced the history of this anti-Semitic quotation - and the non-existent book it is culled from - I published a pamphlet on it and two others. (2)

This fabrication - the Israel Cohen hoax - is the work of American anti-Semitic propagandist and conspiracy theorist Eustace Clarence Mullins. Mr Mullins' other anti-Semitea includes *The Rabbi's Speech*, an update of the *Protocols Of Zion*, the latter being a fabrication which I had long been aware of - and was never taken in by!

Mark Taha's casual observation led me to do a great deal more research on the Jewish Question, and what I found I didn't like. The sheer quantity of anti-Semitea churned out by Jew-haters and their fellow travellers since the turn of the century and the inception of the *Protocols* is quite appalling, and,

of course, it doesn't stop there, although prior to this date anti-Semitic propaganda had been largely "classical", and religious oriented. (3)

Spurned on partly by anger at being conned, (and particularly at being taken in by such an obvious piece of trash as the Israel Cohen fabrication) (4) I began an intense study of anti-Jewish hate propaganda. I wrote a book on the subject which I was unable to place with a publisher, although I didn't try extremely hard, (5) and I also published a pamphlet on Jewish ritual murder, (6) a refutation of libels against the *Talmud* (in collaboration with a rabbi), a defence of shechita and a substantial pamphlet on the January 1993 Global Deception Conference at Wembley which included an interview with the notorious Eustace Mullins. It wasn't long though before I began both to draw conclusions about the Jewish Question and the Anti-Semitic International which were totally at odds with the perceived wisdom, and before I discovered the other face of hatred.

In the Spring of 1993, my Rabbi friend and I sent out a mailing containing a fund-raising letter to a number of Jews on a mailing list we had obtained from another rabbi. In retrospect, the letter was ill-designed and poorly thought out, in particular it contained a number of anti-Semitic cartoons on the front cover. The end result of this was a libellous article in the *Jewish Chronicle* followed by state harassment and, eventually, after I'd repeatedly exposed a Jewish "anti-fascist" con man, more libels and a hammer attack by (unquestionably hired) "anti-fascist" thugs. I have documented all this elsewhere, so won't repeat myself here. (7)

The conclusions I drew from my researches about the Anti-Semitic International is that the massive international anti-Jewish conspiracy/fascist menace, like the Jewish menace before it, is a myth. The anti-Semitic menace consists primarily of halfwits sending endless updates of the *Protocols Of Zion* through the post, of teenage gangs defacing synagogues and Jewish graves, and so on. That is all there is to it. Unpleasant as these things are, they are far more of a pest than a menace. I repeat, the rise of the Fourth Reich is a total myth. Moreover, it is a myth which has been assiduously created and nurtured by both Organised Jewry and their fellow travellers in the Socialist International as part of their fanatical hate campaign against Western democracy, and against Western Man. I am not for one moment suggesting that this is any sort of conspiracy, far from it, it's too blatant, nor am I suggesting that most of

those involved in this campaign are conscious of what they are doing, but they are doing it all the same.

The other thing I realised is that both the power and the machinations of Organised Jewry are anything but figments of the imaginations of deranged anti-Semites. Apart from their own fakery - the *Protocols Of Zion* ad nauseum - the anti-Semites are spot on with their interpretation of the Jewish/Zionist influence on history, with the caveat that the conspiracy they postulate is, as with the socialists and communists, no conspiracy at all, rather it is simply an extremely well-organised, well-financed, fanatical and totally ruthless ideology.

There can be no doubt either that the Zionists realise their own power and are conscious of it. Moreover, they have an extremely good idea of its limitations. Likewise, they realise that the international Nazi/anti-Semitic/fascist/racist conspiracy is a myth, and they realise this for the very good reason that it is, as stated, primarily their own creation. Zionists wrote the British race laws, not Nazis. "Nazis" and fellow travellers are dragged into court for protesting against immigration and miscegenation and for publishing anti-Semitism, not Zionists and their fellow travellers. Incredibly, their hatred is not even recognised, and when it does manifest itself, this can be and inevitably is, put down to rightful outrage. (8)

After the Hebron massacre, a group of Ultra-Orthodox anti-Zionist Jews held a protest in Central London against both the massacre and "the idea behind it". The rabbi who organised the protest, Rabbi Yosef Goldstein of the Neturei Karta, spoke not of conspiracies but of Zionist indoctrination. And this is what lies at the heart of the Jewish Question, not conspiracy, but indoctrination, and not just the indoctrination of young Jews (9) into the belief that a million Arabs - or a million of any *goyim* - are not worth a Jewish fingernail, but the indoctrination of both Jews and the rest of mankind with the patently spurious dogma that anti-Semitism is always and only the fault of the *goyim*, in particular the wicked *Aryan goyim* who were in any case responsible for the Holocaust and would like nothing better than to bring about another one. One that will next time be successful. I am not so naïve as to believe that there are no such wicked *goyim* out there, of course there are, I've met them, and thoroughly nasty people they are as well. But the idea that the world has nothing better to do than hate Jews, and incite pogroms, murder and genocide against them is as absurd as it is unreal.

Perhaps the greatest irony of all is that Organised Jewry make far more noise about anti-Semites - real and imagined - than they do about real outrages against Jews, not by anti-Semites, but by terrorist fanatics who preach the same sort of hatred we have seen so much of in Northern Ireland and a hundred other places. In July 1994, 21 people died, 12 of them Jewish, when a plane was blown out of the sky over Central America. (10)

The same month saw the bombing of a Jewish communal building in Buenos Aires with massive loss of life. (11) Incredibly, the Western media, which is so crowded with Jews it is embarrassing, hardly mentioned these outrages, on this side of the Atlantic at least.

Later, we had a dose of the same thing in Britain when bombs targeted the Israeli Embassy in Central London and Balfour House, a prominent Jewish communal building in a heavily Jewish North London suburb. (12) Again, incredibly, there was none of the whining and wailing which inevitably accompanies even the slightest suggestion that the Holocaust may have been the tiniest bit exaggerated, that survivors have not been entirely truthful, or that Jews are not quite the poor, persecuted, powerless people they would have the rest of the world believe they are.

To the current writer, this is an indication that the histrionics which accompany Holocaust Revisionism and related pastimes are every bit as phony as the righteous indignation of the Socialist International at the mythical disease of *racism*, and that Organised Jewry, or at the very least, a substantial percentage of them, are terrified that they have been found out and that the game will soon be up. (13)

Whether or not this is the case, and whatever the truth about the Nazi *gas chambers* and the Final Solution, we have to get these kosher fascists off all our backs before they do what Hitler never succeeded in doing, and destroying any vestige of our rapidly dwindling freedom and individual liberties. Their whining, wailing and wire-pulling, and, most of all, their lies, must be exposed for the entire world to see. And **THEY MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO DESTROY FREE SPEECH**. The only people who ever need fear free speech are liars, in particular damned liars whose lies are so patently transparent that they cannot but be found out if they are forced to share a platform with - or even to answer - the people they themselves would damn. Which is why they brand them fascists, *racists*, anti-Semites ad nauseum. No platform for fascists = *carte blanche* for liars. The power of Organised Jewry stems not from some

all-encompassing Zionist conspiracy, but the indoctrination, fear, and at times outright terror they and their ugly friends in the Socialist International have succeeded in imposing on the Western mind.

Let me repeat, this book is not a plea for "equality of persecution". I do not want to see damned liars like Kitty Hart, Rudolph Vrba, Simon Wiesenthal and Elie Wiesel dragged into the dock along with totally sincere Revisionists like Ernst Zundel, Robert Faurisson, and the countless others who will surely follow. What I want is to restore freedom of speech for all, not just for the chosen few to propagate their lies, dogma and unconditional hatred for Western Man and his rapidly shrinking democratic institutions.

Free and open debate on the Holocaust and related issues will smash the power of Organised Jewry over us forever, and put a final end to its criminal mischief. And, ultimately, this must benefit Jews as well, regardless of whether or not the Holocaust in its entirety or any portion of it is consigned to the dustbin of history where both the *Protocols Of Zion* and the absurd Zionist-sponsored myth of Adolf Hitler and the uniqueness of *Aryan* evil belong.

Notes And References

(1) Quoted here from page 23 of *THE LONGEST HATRED An Examination of Anti-Gentilism*, by Inter-City Researchers, Foreword by Lady Birdwood, published by Inter-City Researchers, London, (July 1991).

(2) *NOT THE PROTOCOLS OF ZION! Shining A Searchlight On Three Updates Of The Infamous Jewish Master Plan: The Zunder Letter, The Israel Cohen "Racial Program For The Twentieth Century" Hoax And The Speech Of The Non-Existent Rabbi Rabinovich*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (July 1994).

(3) For example, the ritual murder charge or "blood libel". Anti-Semitic conspiracy theories which depicted the Jews' attempts to take over the world was expressed largely in religious terms, as opposed to the often sophisticated political arguments of anti-Semites since the Nazis took up the cudgels against the Jewish world plot.

(3) For example, the ritual murder charge or "blood libel". Anti-Semitic conspiracy theories which depicted the Jews' attempts to take over the world was expressed largely in religious terms, as opposed to the often sophisticated political arguments of anti-Semites since the Nazis took up the cudgels against the Jewish world plot.

(4) Israel Cohen was actually a leading Zionist author and journalist; he was still alive at the time this hoax was perpetrated against him. For the full history of this sordid piece of anti-Semitism the reader is again referred to *Not The Protocols Of Zion!*

(5) If and when it is published this will have to be completely revised because I have since studied this subject in far greater depth.

(6) A chapter from the aforementioned book.

(7) The reader is referred to *THE CHURCHILL PAPERS: Revising The Revisionists, Unmasking Irving*, by Alexander Baron with Mark Taha, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1994).

(8) Any violence involving "Nazis" always leaves the "Nazis" as the bad guys, whoever instigates it. Thus, when a Revisionist meeting is disrupted by Zionists and their socialist fellow travellers, this is a natural, understandable, and indeed laudable, reaction. When Professor Faurisson is attacked, kicked to the ground and sprayed with acid, well, serves him right for daring to hold such outrageous opinions, much less to communicate them to the public.

(9) A living example of such indoctrination turned up at the demonstration. A young Reform Jew berated Rabbi Goldstein for organising it, saying something like: "These people would kill you. They want to kill Jews." He couldn't understand how any Jew could protest against Jews who have murdered non-Jews. But is this so terrible? Haven't people of all races, including many Jews, protested against apartheid, segregation, and countless human rights abuses, murders and massacres by governments and terrorists worldwide irrespective of the races of the perpetrators or of the victims? The Rabbi pointed out that Jews had lived peacefully in Arab lands for thousands of years and that there had been far fewer pogroms in Arab lands than there had been in "democratic" Europe.

(10) See for example *Arab 'carried bomb on to Panama plane'*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 29, 1994, page 2.

(11) Reported on the front page of the *Jewish Chronicle* for July 22, 1994.

(12) Reported in the *Jewish Chronicle* for July 29, 1994 and widely reported in the mainstream media. Miraculously, no one was killed in either of these two outrages.

(13) In London, one young woman, Gabby Glassman, has even gone so far as to start a "second generation survivors' group". Yes, a group for the children of Holocaust survivors. What next, a third generation, a fourth? But why not go the whole hog and have survivors' groups for the descendants of black slaves, massacred Indians or the Irish potato famine? Such paranoia is too bizarre for words.

Author's Index

Like the person who compiled it, this index is woefully inadequate, but having published two full length books each without an index, I feel the time has come to make a full-blooded attempt. All inadequacies and errors - as with the text - are therefore entirely my own.

ADL (see Anti-Defamation League)
AIPAC (American-Israel Public Affairs Committee), 41, 46, 48
Amnesty International, 23-4, 118
ANAL (see Anti Nazi League)
Anti-Defamation League, 34, 40-2, 46-7, 123, 164
Anti Nazi League throughout
Apartheid, 1, 169
Arafat, Yasir, 30, 33
Argov, Shlomo, 36, 90, 109
Armenians, 38
Astrology, 9, 12, 17
Auschwitz, 6, 13, 48, 64, 74, 76-7, 80, 97-8, 100-1, 103-4, 120-1, 123, 129, 130-3, 135-43, 148, 153, 155, 160
Australia, 55
Austria, 31, 88, 112
Babi Yar, 91, 100, 113
BBC, 48
Beckman, Morris, 53, 125-7
Begin, Menachem, 45, 103, 106
Belgium, 31
Belsen, 6, 73-4, 76-7, 80, 102, 136, 153
Belzec, 93, 95
Berger, Rabbi Dr, 45, 48, 56
Berlin, 24, 84, 86, 113-6, 118
Bernadotte, Count Folke, 106
Birdwood, Lady, 66, 123-4, 163, 168

- Birkenau, 74, 133, 136
Books And Bookmen, 120
Brazil, 150
Brenner, Lenni, anti-Zionist Jew and author, 110
Britton, Frank, 34
Buchenwald, 32, 70, 80, 87, 100, 137, 141, 143
Burg, J.G., Jewish Holocaust Revisionist, 70-1, 79, 120, 146
Butz, Professor Arthur, author *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, 4, 13, 15, 26, 28, 52-3, 72-81, 94, 96, 120, 123
Cambodia, 38, 108
Canada, 70, 92, 97
Canards, 31-2, 131
Censorship, 3, 30
Cesarani, David, 41, 62-3, 105-6, 110
Chamberlain, Neville, 80
Christie, Doug, 93
CIA, 34
Claimants, fraudulent, 149
Cohn-Sherbok, Rabbi, 151, 154, 156, 159, 161
Cole, David, Jewish Holocaust Revisionist, 77, 121, 123
Colonialism, 164
Communism, 2, 34, 156, 160
Conspiracy, 3, 28, 45-7, 49, 51-2, 54, 114, 118-20, 123-4, 147, 160, 162, 164-6, 168
Copernicus, Nicolaus, 28
Cousins, Mark, 49, 131, 134
Cranks, 51, 69, 92
Crematoria, 131, 141
Crematories, 102
Crematorium, 131-3, 136, 141
Cremators, 131, 141
Czechoslovakia, 31
Dachau, 32, 73-4, 77, 86-7, 135-6, 143
Daily Telegraph, 112
David, Ron, anti-Zionist Jew and author *Arabs & Israel For Beginners*, 29, 31, 33-4, 45, 48, 57-8, 109, 111,

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Davidowicz, Lucy, 89
Delmer, Denis Sefton, author of *Black Boomerang*, 18, 22, 113, 117
Demographics, 15, 135
Diaspora, 61, 110
Disneyland, 123, 133
Dresden, 76
Duprat, Francios, 120
Eatwell, Roger, 140, 155, 157-8
Ehrenburg, Ilya, 146
Einsatzgruppen, 91
Eisenmenger, Johann, 132, 139
Elders of Zion, 28, 51
Erfurt, 122
Exterminationist, 136, 138
Exterminationists, 4, 5, 15, 73, 129, 153, 158
Exterminations, 62, 70, 73, 75
Eyewitness(es), 10-1, 62, 80, 91, 94, 97, 101, 131, 134, 136
Eysenck, Hans, 9, 12
Faggot fallacy, 10
Fascism, 23, 45, 53, 69, 78, 105, 108, 114, 122, 125-6
Faurisson, Professor Robert 44, 71, 77, 100-1, 110, 120, 140, 142, 152, 168-9
FBI, 34
Feder, Gottfried, 22
Felix, Kitty (see Hart),
Fenelon, Fania (see Goldstein)
Flashpoint, 56, 58, 89, 90, 118
Flat-Earthers, 1, 26, 158
France, 10, 31
Frankfurter, David, 85
Freemasonry, 51
Friedichkett, Eva, 150
Gable, Gerry, 49, 54-5, 65, 74
Galileo, 26, 28, 69
Gallo, Max 140
Gallup poll, 9
Gassings, 75, 142, 153

- Gas-chambers, 101
 Geldof, Bob 103
 Genocide, 6, 28, 38, 40, 50, 53, 56, 62, 64-5, 71, 73, 77-9, 90-1, 94-5, 97, 105, 108, 123, 142, 162, 166
 Gerstein, Kurt (Gerstein statement), 91-6, 99, 105, 134, 140, 157
 Gestapo, 23, 42, 49, 134, 139
 Gilbert, Martin, historian, 64-5, 91-3, 95, 106, 110, 140
 Ginsburg, see Burg, J.G.
 Goebbels, 70, 86, 105, 113, 115, 151, 156, 161
 Goering (Göring), 113, 115
 Goldstein, Barukh, 109,
 Goldstein, Fania (Fenelon), 48
 Goldstein, Rabbi Yosef, 166, 169
 Greenman, Leon, 13
 Greenstein, Tony, anti-Zionist Jew, 110
 Grenada, 51
 Grese, Irma, 80, 102, 132
 Griffin, Nick, 73, 137, 140-1
 Grimstad, William, anti-Semitic author, 119
 Grynspan, Herschel, 23, 85, 88-90
 Gustloff, Wilhelm, 85
Halakhah, 58
 Hamas, 24
 Hamm, Jeffrey, 125-6
 Hart, Kitty, 6, 129-34, 136-40, 142, 146, 155, 160-1, 168
 Harwood, Richard (pseudonym of Richard Verrall), 15, 25, 92, 120
 Hebron massacre, 109, 166
 Herzl, Theodor, 163
 Hilberg, Raul, historian, 66, 89, 92-3, 96
 Himmler, Heinrich, 75, 80, 113
 Hiroshima, 76
 Hitler throughout
 HMSO, 144
 Hoax, 4, 6, 10, 13, 15, 28, 47, 52, 79-81, 96, 123, 164, 168-9
Hoaxers, The, 15, 31, 35, 40
 Hoaxes, 38

- Hoaxing, 11, 142
 Hoffman, Michael, Revisionist author, 95-7
 Holocaust throughout
 Hollywood, 31, 150
 Hungary, 31
 Hurler, Andrew, author *Israel and the New World Order* 42, 111
 Hyde, Douglas, 1, 2, 4, 78, 105
 Ibrahimi Mosque, 111
 IHR, (see Institute for Historical Review)
 IMT, 80, 143
 Institute for Historical Review, 58, 95-6, 98, 103, 119, 121, 138, 155, 157
Intifada, 23, 87, 116
 Irving, David, 65, 70-2, 79, 117, 151, 169
 Israel throughout
 Jakobowits, Lord, Chief Rabbi Emeritus, 156
 Janner, Greville, alleged paedophile, 36
 Japan, 63
 Japanese, 24-5, 32, 71
 Japanese-Americans, 24-5
 JDC, 125
 Jerusalem, 90
Jewish Chronicle, 6, 7, 18-21, 24-5, 33, 35, 43, 48-9, 61, 78, 83-4, 87-9, 97, 112, 114, 116, 123, 125, 127, 148-9, 156-7, 163, 165, 169
 Judaism, 49, 59
Judenstaat, 163
 Kahane, Rabbi, 121
 Katyn, 32, 35, 75-6, 91, 97, 113, 145
 Kissinger, Henry, 41, 43
 Klein, Gerda, 100-1, 103
 Kominsky, Morris, author of *The Hoaxers*, 15, 31-2, 35, 38-40, 107
 Kramer, Commandant, 80
 Kristallnacht, 23, 50, 56, 58, 84-8, 109, 112, 133, 156, 160, 163
 Lampshades, made from human skin, 134, 139
 LBC Radio, 134
 Lebanon, 109
 Leese, Arnold, 58, 160, 162-3

LEHI, 106
Lemkin, Raphael, 95
Lenski, Robert, Revisionist author, 79, 95
Leuchter, Fred, (*Leuchter Report*), 117
Levin, Bernard, 66-8, 92, 94
Lewis-Barned, Mrs, 156
Lilienthal, Alfred, 30, 33-4, 42
Lipstadt, Deborah, 158
Livingstone, Ken, 37, 45, 50, 110
Ludmer, Maurice, 155, 157-8
Luneberg, 80
Marley, Lord, 115-6, 118
Mauthausen, 74
Mayhew, Christopher, 29, 33, 110
Mengele, Dr Josef, 64-5, 91, 101, 132
Molotov Notes, 144-5
Moscow, 35, 59, 107
Mosley, Sir Oswald, 25, 126
Mosleyite, 125
Mossad, 49
Moyne, Lord, 106
Mueller, Filip, 101, 104
Muenzenberg, Willi, 114
Mullins, Eustace, 164-5
Mussolini, Benito, 89
Nagasaki, 76
Reagan, Nancy, 9, 12
Nazis throughout
Neturei Karta, 166
NMT, 72
Norway, 103
NSDAP, 22
NS-Verbrechen, 79
Nuchenwalder, 86
Nuremberg, 6, 18, 20-1, 32, 72, 75, 79
Okhrana, 122

Ontological fallacy, 10
Oswiecim (see also Auschwitz), 97-8
Palestine, 23, 46, 48, 57-8, 86, 106-7, 109, 111
Palestinians throughout
Perl, Dr Gisella, 101-2, 104
Phalangists, 109
PLO, 30, 33, 36, 109
Pogroms, 39, 85, 87-8, 108, 166, 169
Poland, 31, 64, 81, 113
Pollitt, Harry, 32, 35
Ponsonby, Arthur, MP, 138
Pressac, Jean-Claude, 153
Propaganda/Propagandist throughout
Protocols Of Zion, 27-9, 33-4, 43, 51-3, 85, 122-3, 160-6, 168-9
Quigley, Professor Carroll, 12, 81
Rassenschande, 21
Rassinier, Paul, 70, 77-8, 146
Redgrave, Vanessa, 45, 48, 110
Reitlinger, Gerald, 89
Revisionism/Revisionists throughout
Rockwell, Lincoln, 156
Roques, Henri, 157
Ruthenia, 113
Rwanda, 38, 77, 160
Sabra, 45-6, 109-10, 160, 163
Sachsenhausen, 87
Schoenborn, Erwin, 33
Sereny, Gitta, 15-6, 91, 95, 135-6, 140, 155
Shamir, Yitzhak, 106
Shatila, 45-6, 48, 109-10, 160, 163
Singerman, Robert, (compiler bibliography of anti-Semitism), 155
Slovakia, 113
Somme, Battle of the, 38
Sonderkommando, 101, 131-2
Spearhead, 31, 43, 53
Spycatcher, 55

SS, 86, 92-3, 102, 132, 133
Stalin, 32, 35, 38, 78, 146
Stern, Avraham, (Abraham), 106, 110
Streicher, Julius, 132, 139
Stürmer, 139
Sudetenland, 88
Suicides, 87
Sulzberger, Arthur Hays, publisher of *New York Times*, 30
Supple, Carrie, author of *From Prejudice To Genocide*, 64-5, 67, 88, 90, 162
Survivors throughout
Talmud, 139, 165
Tel Aviv, 109
Terni, Father, 7
Times newspaper, 32, 35-6, 44, 66-8, 86-7, 90, 92, 103
Torah, 109, 147, 159
Toronto, 41, 70
Treblinka, 74, 91, 140
Trials, 6, 72, 75-6, 79, 81, 95, 121, 137
Typhus, 72-3, 76, 132, 153
UFOs, 10
Ukrainians, 91
Untermeyer, Samuel, 83
USSR, 144
Vienna, 87, 112, 141
Vietnam, 79, 144
Völkischer Beobachter, 113
Vrba, Rudolph, 94, 97-99, 101-3, 105, 136, 140, 168
Wagner, SS man, 132-3
Walendy, Udo 134-5, 137, 155
Wannsee, 71-2
Warsaw, 71, 83
Washington, 30
Weckert, Ingrid author of *Flashpoint*, 56, 58, 88-90, 114, 118
Weglyn, Michi, 25
Weimar, 17
Weizmann, Chaim, 23, 25

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION?

Wiener Library, 41, 105, 112

Wiesel, Elie, 100, 103, 105, 168

Wiesenthal, Simon, 134-5, 139-40, 146, 155, 168

Wilcox, Laird, 111, 123

Xenophobia, 58

Yishuv, 59

Zionism/Zionists throughout

Zundel, Ernst, 70, 79, 92-5, 97, 102, 120-1, 168

Zurich, 141

Zyklon B, 76, 93, 133, 152

How Can Anyone Deny The Holocaust?

That question must puzzle tens or hundreds of millions of people across the Western world. Everybody knows that the Nazis exterminated millions of Jews and that many of those Jews were done to death in homicidal gas chambers. Don't they? Yet over the past two decades and more, an increasing number of scholars calling themselves Holocaust Revisionists have challenged the perceived wisdom of the Final Solution and the implied (and often stated) uniqueness of Nazi evil that accompanies it. So powerful has their propaganda become that denying the Holocaust or even questioning it has been made a criminal offence in several countries.

But who are the Revisionists? Are they Nazis, Nazi apologists, mad, or just liars? Or are they, as they would have us believe, latter day Galileos struggling against the dogma and the tyranny of a new Inquisition? This book explains who the Revisionists are, why they challenge the Holocaust, and how they challenge it. In doing so it explodes the myths peddled about them and about the Holocaust itself by a deceitful, or at best cowardly, media.

This book is both a philosophical exploration of the nature of truth and a vigorous defence of free inquiry. It takes no position on the Holocaust itself, but the author, who has been researching this subject for over fourteen years, raises a few uncomfortable questions about the Revisionists' persecutors, and about the power of Organised Jewry, and presents overwhelming evidence that, if Holocaust lying rather than simply Holocaust denying were to be made illegal, more than a few concentration camp survivors and professional historians would end up in the dock.

**Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts,
93c Venner Road,
Sydenham,
London SE26 5HU.
England.**

ISBN 1 898318 27 1