

The National Socialist concentration camp of Stutthof, not far from Danzig (West Prussia), has never been the subject of scientific study by western historians. In Poland there exists quite an extensive body of literature on the subject, which must, however, be treated with caution, because it is heavily influenced by Soviet-Communist and Polish-nationalistic ideology. According to this literature, Stutthof became a 'makeshift' extermination camp within the framework of the execution of the so-called 'Final Solution of the Jewish Question' in 1944.

Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno have subjected this view of Stutthof to critical examination based on Polish literature and documents located in Russian, Polish, and Dutch archives, paying particular attention to mass transports to and from Stutthof in 1944. This research led the authors to very definite conclusions as to the function of the camp, differing dramatically from those expressed in the standard literature: Not only do Graf and Mattogno prove that the Stutthof camp did not serve as a 'makeshift' extermination camp—the room claimed to have been used as a homicidal gas chamber was never anything else but a delousing chamber. This book also sheds some light on the question of what happened to prisoners who were sent to Auschwitz but were never registered in that camp: after quite an ordeal, some of them ended up in Stutthof.

The present volume is a milestone of research, which no historian with any claim to seriousness can afford to ignore.

ISSN 1529-7748

ISBN 0-9679856-1-7



9 780967 985619

HOLOCAUST Handbooks Series
Volume Four
Theses & Dissertations Press
PO Box 257768
Chicago, IL 60625, USA



Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno • Concentration Camp Stutthof

Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno

Concentration Camp Stutthof

and its Function
in National
Socialist
Jewish Policy



CONCENTRATION CAMP STUTTHOF
AND ITS FUNCTION IN
NATIONAL SOCIALIST JEWISH POLICY

Concentration Camp
Stutthof

**and its Function
in
National Socialist
Jewish Policy**

Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno



Theses & Dissertations Press
PO Box 257768, Chicago, Illinois 60625
May 2003

HOLOCAUST Handbooks Series, vol. 4:

Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno:

Concentration Camp Stutthof

and its Function in National Socialist Jewish Policy.

Translated by Carlos Porter

Chicago (Illinois): Theses & Dissertations Press,

Imprint of Castle Hill Publishers, May 2003

ISBN: 0-9679856-1-7

ISSN: 1529-7748

Original German edition:

Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno:

Das Konzentrationslager Stutthof

und seine Funktion in der nationalsozialistischen Judenpolitik

Hastings: Castle Hill Publishers, August 1999

ISBN: 1-902619-01-3

© by Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno

Distribution Australia/Asia: Peace Books, PO Box 3300,
Norwood, 5067, Australia

Distribution Rest of World: Castle Hill Publishers
UK: PO Box 118, Hastings TN34 3ZQ
USA: PO Box 257768, Chicago, IL 60625

Set in Times New Roman.

Table of Contents

	Page
Introduction	7
1. Stutthof Concentration Camp.....	7
2. Stutthof in Polish and Western European Historiography.....	8
3. The Objective of the Present Study.....	10
CHAPTER I: An Overview of the History of Stutthof Camp	11
1. The Period from September 1939 to February 1942.....	11
2. The Period from March 1942 to June 1944	18
3. The Period from June 1944 to January 1945	22
4. Evacuation and the End.....	28
CHAPTER II: Stutthof as “<i>Extermination Camp</i>”: the Official Version	33
CHAPTER III: Stutthof as an “<i>Extermination Camp</i>”: Critical Investigation of the Sources	47
1. Preliminary Remarks.....	47
2. “ <i>Indirect Extermination</i> ” Mistreatment, Torture, and the Deliberate Propagation of Disease	47
3. “ <i>Direct Extermination</i> ” by Means Other than Gassing.....	50
a) Euthanasia by Injection.....	50
b) The Infirmary as “ <i>Extermination Factory</i> ”	51
c) Executions.....	53
d) Estimated Total Number of Victims	54
4. The Gassing of Human Beings	55
a) The Stutthof Gas Chamber: Structure and Method of Functioning	55
b) The Crematorium	57
c) The Time and Number of Victims of the Alleged Mass Gassings According to Various Sources.	60
d) Sources for Alleged Homicidal Mass Gassings	62
I) The Gas Chamber	62
II)The Alleged use of Railway Carriages for Homicidal Gassings	69

e) The Alleged Mass Gassing of Disabled Soviet Prisoners of War: Analysis of a Particular Case.....	73
5. The Death Rate in Stutthof from 1939 to 1945	79
a) The Total Number of Inmates who Died at Stutthof Camp	79
b) The Number of Jews who Died at Stutthof Between July 1944 and January 1945	85
c) The Official Image of Stutthof in View of the Mortality Statistics	86
CHAPTER IV: The Actual Function of the Camp as Revealed by Historical Documents	89
1. Stutthof as Labor Reservoir.....	89
2. The Transfer of Unfit Jews from Stutthof to Auschwitz and the Reasons for such Transfers	91
Conclusion	97
Documents	99
Abbreviations.....	119
Bibliography.....	119
Index of Names	121

Introduction

1. Stutthof Concentration Camp

On 2 September 1939—the day after the beginning of the German military campaign against Poland—an internment camp for Polish detainees was opened in the village of Stutthof, 36 km east of the old German city Danzig in West Prussia (see map). Early in 1942, the status of the camp was changed from that of an internment camp to “*Stutthof concentration camp*”. Prisoners were sent to Stutthof from many different countries throughout the sixty-eight months of its existence; these prisoners included a number of Soviet prisoners of war.

In 1944, what had previously been a relatively small camp population suddenly exploded, largely due to mass transports of Jewish inmates from the Baltic countries, Hungary, and Poland by way of Auschwitz. Prior to that time, there had been relatively few Jews in the camp. Stutthof was evacuated in January 1945, and was captured by the Soviet Army on 9 May 1945. The last remaining National Socialist concentration camp, it held only about 150 inmates at that time, all the others having been evacuated.



Dark gray: German territory after WWI.

Light gray: German territory, annexed by Poland after WWI.

The area of Danzig (dashed line) was formally ruled by the League of Nations.

The village Stutthof (West Prussia) is located at the “Frisches Haff”, a fresh water lake separated from the Baltic Sea by a slender peninsula (“Frische Nehrung”). The entire German territory shown here was annexed by Poland after WWII, its almost entirely German population either killed or expelled.

2. Stutthof in Polish and Western European Historiography

Literature on Stutthof that is of any scientific value exists only in Poland. We will return to this Polish literature repeatedly in the present text, but, at this point, we draw the attention of the reader to the fact that this literature is heavily influenced by propaganda and is quite unreliable on decisive points.

The anthology *Stutthof—hitlerowski obóz koncentracyjny*¹ was published in 1988, and is considered the official history of the camp; it has also been available in German translation since 1996.² The Stutthof Memorial Site also publishes a periodical bearing the title *Stutthof. Zeszyty Muzeum (Stutthof. Paper of the Museum)*, hereinafter referred to as SZM). The periodical is only concerned with events in the camp.

Polish historiography maintains that Stutthof became a makeshift extermination camp for Jews in 1944. A summary of the official version was published in 1967 in the periodical of the Jewish Historical Institute located in Warsaw:³

“In the spring and summer of 1944, the character of Stutthof changed fundamentally; it was no longer simply a concentration camp, but simultaneously an extermination camp for tens of thousands of Jews, especially Jewish women. [...] The victorious offensive of the Soviet Army forced the Hitlerites to evacuate the concentration camp and prisons in the territory of Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia. In connection with this, various concentration camps such as Riga-Kaiserwald, Kaunas-Prosidingiszki, and a few others, were dissolved in 1944. This led to a massive transfer of prisoners of Russian, White Russian, Latvian, and Lithuanian nationality, as well as many thousands of Latvian, and Lithuanian Jews, to Stutthof. Furthermore, the liquidation of Hungarian Jews that was occurring at Auschwitz at that time exceeded the capacity of Auschwitz camp. Thousands of Hungarian Jews were now sent to Stutthof and its subsidiary camps.”

According to the Polish historical literature, many—mostly Jewish—Stutthof inmates were murdered with poison gas beginning in June or July of 1944. This allegation is also contained in several works of western ‘Holocaust’ literature; namely, the anthology *Na-*

¹ Interpress, Warsaw.

² *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager*, Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig 1996. All quotations from the official camp report are taken from the above-mentioned German translation, not the Polish original.

³ Krzysztof Dunin-Ławowicz, “Żydowski Więźniowie KL Stutthof”, in: *Biuletyn Żydowskiego Instytutu Historycznego*, 1967, no. 63, p. 10.

*tionalsozialistische Massentötungen durch Giftgas*⁴ published by E. Kogon, H. Langbein, A. Rückerl among others, as well as the *Enzyklopadie des Holocaust*.⁵

And yet there are other historians—even those who maintain the reality of a systematic extermination of Jews in the Third Reich—who make no claim of any extermination of human beings at Stutthof concentration camp. Raul Hilberg's 1,300-page standard work on the 'Holocaust'⁶ mentions Stutthof briefly only four times, and makes no mention of any gas chamber for the extermination of human beings in that camp. Nor does Gerald Reitlinger, the author of another 'Holocaust' classic,⁷ make any claim of homicidal gassings at Stutthof.

In this context, it is worth mentioning that Stutthof concentration camp was never even mentioned during the Nuremberg Trial.

The claims made in the official western 'Holocaust' literature on gassings at Stutthof are based on two kinds of sources: the relevant Polish historical literature, and court judgments in West German trials, based exclusively upon eyewitness reports. No western 'Holocaust' scholar has ever made a serious study of Stutthof. This may be due, at least in part, to the fact that the camp is only alleged to have played a part in the "*Final Solution of the Jewish Question*" after mid-1944.

Among the revisionists, until now, only the American historian Mark Weber has made any effort to study Stutthof. His paper on the subject, which appeared in the *Journal of Historical Review* in 1997,

⁴ Published in 1983 by Fischer Verlag, Frankfurt am Main; Engl.: *Nazi Mass Murder*, Yale University Press, New Haven 1993.

⁵ Eberhard Jäckel, Peter Longerich, Julius H. Schoeps *et al.*, *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust. Die Verfolgung und Ermordung der europäischen Juden*. 3 volumes, Argon Verlag, Berlin 1993.

⁶ Raul Hilberg, *Die Vernichtung der europäischen Juden*, 3 volumes, Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, Frankfurt am Main, 1997; Engl.: *The Destruction of the European Jews*, 3 vols., Holmes and Meier, New York 1985. Hilberg distinguishes between three different types of National Socialist Concentration Camps: "Death camps" (Auschwitz, Treblinka, Belzec, Sobibor, Kulmhof and Lublin), "Camps with killing operations" (Poniatowa, Trawniki, Semlin), and "camps with numbers of victims in the area of a few tens of thousands or less". The third category, in his view, included Stutthof in addition to Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, Mauthausen, and Dachau (*ibid.*, p. 1,299). Hilberg thus expressly excludes Stutthof from the category of extermination camp—even a 'makeshift' one. See Jürgen Graf's critique of Hilberg's work: *The Giant With Feet of Clay*, Theses and Dissertations Press, Capshaw, AL, 2001.

⁷ Gerald Reitlinger, *Die Endlösung*, Colloquium Verlag, Berlin 1983; Engl.: *The Final Solution*, 2nd ed., Sphere Books, London 1971.

is not, of course, based upon original documents, but rather, upon the sparse literature available in western languages only; it is nevertheless of high quality. Weber mentions the extensive deportation of Baltic, Polish, and Hungarian Jews to Stutthof in 1944, and remarks:⁸

“These transfers to Stutthof are difficult, if not impossible, to reconcile with a German policy to annihilate Europe’s Jews. If there had been such an extermination policy, it is particularly difficult to understand why Jews from the Baltic region—all of whom were supposedly doomed—were evacuated on Germany’s overtaxed transportation system instead of being killed on the spot. The fact that many of the Jews evacuated by the Germans from the Baltic area to Stutthof were unemployable children is particularly difficult to reconcile with a general extermination policy.”

3. The Objective of the Present Study

The point of departure for our study consisted of a visit to Stutthof in very late June and early July 1997; as well as visiting the camp itself, we viewed a considerable quantity of documentation in the archives. We acquired additional important material on Stutthof camp during a trip to Poland in March 1999. Since the history of the camp is largely undisputed until 1944—the time of the large-scale Jewish deportations—the principal focus of our investigation revolved around three points:

- the alleged gassings of inmates (primarily Jewish);
- the total number of persons who died in the camp;
- the conclusions to be drawn regarding wartime National Socialist Jewish policy from the mass deportations of Jews which occurred in 1944.

The clarification of these three questions—which are closely related—formed the real object of our study. That it also provides a survey of the history of a camp known in the West almost by name only may be viewed as an additional result of the present study.

*April 28, 1999
Jürgen Graf
Carlo Mattogno*

⁸ Mark Weber, “An Important but little-known Wartime Camp: Stutthof” in: *Journal of Historical Review*, volume 16, no. 5, September/October 1997, p. 2.

CHAPTER I: An Overview of the History of Stutthof Camp

1. The Period from September 1939 to February 1942

As described in an earlier book,⁹ wartime National Socialist concentration camps served primarily two purposes: they performed a security police function through the internment of actual or potential opponents of National Socialism, and they acquired increasing significance for the war effort at a time when increasing numbers of Germans were being called up for military service, causing a serious manpower shortage in the Reich.

Stutthof camp was created, at least initially, for the first of the two factors mentioned. The present study is intended to provide a brief description of the camp. It is based, in particular, on a paper by the Polish historian Miroslaw Glinski and published in the official history of the camp.¹⁰

On July 3, 1939, SS Brigadeführer Johannes Schäfer, the plenipotentiary of the Free City of Danzig for political affairs, founded the so-called “*SS-Wachmannsturm*” under the leadership of SS Obersturmbannführer Kurt Eimann. Its duties included the creation of temporary internment camps for all Poles known to be actively anti-German, who were to be arrested immediately in the event of the outbreak of war.

Construction of the camp—northwest of the village of Stutthof (in Polish, Sztutowo)—began in the same month, using prisoners from Danzig prison under the leadership of SS Obersturmführer Erich Gust.

⁹ Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno, *KL Majdanek, Eine Historische und technische Studie*, Castle Hill Publisher, Hastings 1998. An English edition of this book will soon be published by *Theses and Dissertations Press*.

¹⁰ Miroslaw Glinski, “*Organisation und Struktur des Lagers Stutthof*”, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager, op. cit.*, (note 2), p. 76-98.

On the afternoon of September 2, *i.e.*, the day after the outbreak of war, a contingent of approximately 200 Poles arrived at Stutthof after being arrested in the area of Danzig.

All the internment camps in the region were under the command of SS Sturmbannführer Max Pauly. The central command post was initially located in the Neufahrwasser camp, which became an auxiliary camp of Stutthof in April 1940. This auxiliary camp was first officially referred to as a “*Civilian Prison Camp*”, but was also referred to in correspondence as a “*Prisoner camp*” and “*Prisoner Assembly Camp*”. The population of the adjacent area usually referred to it as the “*Waldlager*” (Forest Camp).

Following the visit of SS Sturmbannführer Arthur Liebehenschel to Neufahrwasser—as well as to a third internment camp, Grenzdorf—on behalf of the Inspectorate of Concentration Camps in January 1940, Glücks drew up a report of his impressions. Glücks then proposed to Reichsführer SS Heinrich Himmler that the status of Stutthof be changed to that of an official concentration camp, as it was favourably situated and offered good possibilities for the use of inmate labor; Himmler, however, initially rejected this proposal.¹¹

Stutthof had approximately 4,500 inmates at the end of January 1940.¹² These inmates consisted almost entirely of Polish men, including numerous priests, teachers, and other members of the intelligentsia considered politically unreliable. A small number of women detainees also arrived at Stutthof after the middle of the same year. They were housed in Barracks I, which received the designation “*Women’s Block*”.

At this point, a few remarks on the expansion of the camp are in order; our source of information in this regard is the newsletter published by Polish historian Ewa Ferenc.¹³

When the first prisoners entered the camp in the beginning of September 1939, there were already a number of tents, a kitchen, a washroom and a latrine. The prisoners were first set to work exclusively on the construction of the internment camp: clearing the forest, land-planning arrangements, etc. As in other camps, the construction phase was particularly arduous for the detainees—the for-

¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 76ff.

¹² *Obozy hitlerowskie na ziemiach polskich 1939-1945*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw 1979, p. 493.

¹³ Ewa Ferenc, “*Bau und Erweiterung des Konzentrationslagers Stutthof (2 September 1939—31 December 1944)*” in: *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 2), p. 99-108.

est commando, occupied with the felling of trees, was considered the hardest job.

The construction office, referred to as the “*SS Neubauleitung Stutthof*” in early 1942—later referred to merely as the “*Bauleitung*”—was responsible for the construction of the buildings. The first head of the *Bauleitung* was SS Untersturmführer Otto Neubauer. The *Bauleitung* was subordinate to the *Zentralbauleitung* (Central Construction Administration) of the *Waffen SS* and Police in Danzig, which in turn was subordinate to the *Bauinspektion Reich Ost* (Construction Inspection of the Reich East), with headquarters in Posen. The latter was in turn subordinate to the Chief of Office C (*Amtsgruppe Haushalt und Bauten*) (Budget and Construction Office Group) of the *Wirtschaftsverwaltungshauptamt* (Economic Administration Main Office, WVHA) under SS Gruppenführer Hans Kammler.¹⁴

Until October 1941, there were only three inmate barracks in Stutthof. At approximately the same time, the sewer installations were completed, and washrooms were installed in the barracks; previous to that time, the inmates had washed in troughs in the open air.

Another barracks was used as an inmate infirmary, containing, among other things, a surgical division, a first aid room, and a pharmacy. There was also a kitchen barracks and a laundry. A former old people’s home on the terrain of the camp was used as the post headquarters.

Barracks for camp workshops were built after the beginning of 1940; when completed, there was a paint shop, a furniture workshop, a joinery, an electrotechnical workshop, and a forge. Outside the camp, the inmates built stables for livestock and a slaughterhouse.¹⁵

Between the beginning of April and the end of September 1941, for reasons which are not readily apparent, Stutthof was referred to in the concentration camp nomenclature as a “*transit camp*”, although its function had not changed as against the preceding period.¹⁶ Very few documents from this period have survived.

In addition to the inmates from 1941 were the so-called “*Erziehungshäftlinge*” (educational inmates). These were nationals of occupied territories—and, to a lesser extent, Germans—who had violated their labor contracts or neglected to comply with the call-up to

¹⁴ M. Głinski, “*Organisation...*”, *op. cit.* (note 10). P. 98. On the organization of the SS Construction Administrations, see also Carlo Mattogno, *La “Zentralbauleitung der Waffen SS und Polizei Auschwitz”*, Edizioni di Ar, Padua 1998.

¹⁵ E. Ferenc, “*Bau und Erweiterung...*”, *op. cit.* (note 13), p. 99-102.

¹⁶ M. Głinski, “*Organisation...*”, *op. cit.* (note 10), p. 79.

the labor service. On May 28, 1941, Himmler, in a circular letter to all offices of the Sipo (Security Police), ordered the construction of labor education camps; the Sipo Chief of Danzig, Heinrich Willich, in a letter to Reinhard Heydrich, the Chief of the RSHA (Reichssicherheitshauptamt) of the SS, proposed that Stutthof be converted into a labor education camp. Heydrich indicated his agreement on August 9.¹⁷

The “*educational inmates*” had an easy time of it compared to the political inmates, and were usually freed after 56 days and assigned to a job. With the conversion of the camp, non-Polish detainees entered Stutthof for the first time in bigger numbers. French citizens arrived after September 1941; the first of these was Jean Maurisse, who had been a foreign worker in Elbing (Polish Elbląg) for the E. Schichau company, and who returned there after his release from Stutthof.¹⁸ There is also evidence of the presence of Italian educational inmates, but only in 1943 at the earliest.¹⁹

Of the Polish political prisoners interned after the outbreak of the war, approximately 2,000 were released in 1940 and 1941.²⁰ The considerable reduction in the camp manpower after the spring of 1940 must be attributed partly to these releases and partly to transfers. In this regard, two large transports which left for Sachsenhausen as early as April 1940 are of considerable significance: 1,000 Stutthof inmates were transferred to Sachsenhausen on April 9, 1940, and another 800 inmates on April 19, 1940.²¹ In contrast, however, there were no transports from officially recognized concentration camps to internment camps, transit camps, or work camps. On December 10, 1940, Stutthof, therefore, had only 1,024 inmates (including 100 women) over a third of who were inmates of the auxiliary camps of Elbing and Grenzdorf.²²

Himmler visited Stutthof on November 23, 1941,²³ and finally decided to change the status of the camp to that of a regular concentration camp. The decisive factor in this decision was economic; this

¹⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 80ff.

¹⁸ Marek Orski, *Des français a Stutthof*, Muzeum Stutthof w Sztutowie, Danzig 1995, p. 9, 10.

¹⁹ Marek Orski, *Gli Italiani a Stutthof*, Muzeum Stutthof w Sztutowie, Danzig 1996, p. 8.

²⁰ *Obozy hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 498.

²¹ Danuta Drywa, “*Ruch transportów między KL Stutthof a innymi obozami*”, SZM, no. 9, 1990, p. 27.

²² *Obozy Hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 498, 504.

²³ A photo album of the Himmler visit has survived, and is stored in the archive.

is proven by the following letter sent by Heinrich Himmler to the chief of the SS Wirtschaftsverwaltungshauptamt Oswald Pohl on December 19, 1941:²⁴

“Dear Pohl!

I recently visited Stutthof camp during my visit to the district of Danzig-West Prussia. I have become convinced that Stutthof is of great significance to the subsequent settlement of the district of Danzig-West Prussia. Stutthof has all the possibilities for workshops, joineries, metalworking shops, etc. I believe that we must further expand and utilize Stutthof. In my opinion, the expansion must strive at the following:

1) The installation of building joineries and metal workshops for settlement activity in West Prussia.

2) The fullest use of the tailor shop, joinery, and other workshops for us. A great quantity of orders for the armed forces is being carried out.

3) Installation of an auto repair workshop for the local SS top section.

4) Purchase of a brickyard on the bay, which is very favourable and which has a narrow-gauge railway and canal, and which is being offered to us there now.

5) Stutthof must also be expanded to accept 20,000 Russian prisoners of war at a later time, which can be used to build a settlement in the district of Danzig-West Prussia.

I enclose a statement on the preparation of the terrain, drawn up in Danzig. Some of the sludge could be of interest for the fertilization of the meadows if it is worth mining it at a depth of 10-12 m, as well as the white, soft, medium hard and hard limestone lying at a depth of 100 meters on the other hand. If I am not mistaken, there is a great lack of cement and limestone in the district of Danzig-West Prussia. Both can be derived from limestone.

Stutthof is now to be taken over by yourself and SS Brigadeführer Glücks as a recognized concentration camp with economic operation.

Heil Hitler!

Your H. Himmler”

Inspector of Concentration Camps Richard Glücks announced on January 7, 1942 that Stutthof would now be considered a state concentration camp.²⁵

This decision was reflected in a circular letter of February 20, 1942 from the Chief of the Security Police and the SD:²⁶

²⁴ Archivum Muzeum Stutthof (hereinafter briefly referred to as AMS), I-IA-2.

²⁵ M. Głinski, “*Organisation...*”, *op. cit.* (note 10), p. 85.

²⁶ AMS, I-A-7.

“Former SS special camp Stutthof, by order of the Reichsführer SS and Chief of the German Police, effective immediately, is to be taken over as a state concentration camp with the designation ‘Concentration Camp Stutthof’. Former commander of Special Camp Stutthof, SS Hauptsturmführer of the Waffen SS Pauly, is to be assigned camp commander by the Inspector of Concentration Camps.”

With its promotion to the rank of “state concentration camp”, Stutthof became subordinate to the Inspectorate of Concentration Camps in Oranienburg.²⁷ The camp commandant, as stated in the circular letter, was still Max Pauly. At the end of August 1942, Pauly was recalled from Stutthof to Neuengamme concentration camp, which he commanded until the end of the war. For his activities in this latter camp, he was sentenced to death and hanged after trial by the British occupation government in Hamburg.²⁸ Pauly’s successor in Stutthof was SS Sturmbannführer Paul Werner Hoppe. Hoppe was no longer fit for service due to a wound on the Eastern front, and was therefore recalled into the concentration camp service, to which he had already belonged as a member of Dachau camp staff from 1937 to 1941. He commanded Stutthof until the end of the war, but left the camp at the beginning of April 1945, whereupon it was unofficially commanded by SS Hauptsturmführer Paul Ehle. Hoppe was sentenced to nine years imprisonment after trial in Bochum in 1957; he was released after serving seven and half years.²⁸ We have no information as to Ehle’s fate in the post-war period.

Stutthof was organized as follows:²⁹

Camp Commandant—Division I-VI—SS-Deathhead Sturmbann

The six departments were as follows:

Department I—Command Post: this consisted of the staff of the camp commandant, and was subordinate to the adjutant of the latter. The following services were subordinate to Department I: **The**

²⁷ The Inspectorate of Concentration Camps under Richard Glücks consisted of four departments, which, as Amtsgruppe D, were subordinate to the Wirtschaftsverwaltungshauptamt (WVHA) of the SS in Berlin. Department D I (Concentration camps), which governed the administration of concentration camps; Department D II (Inmate labor), which coordinated inmate labor and ordered transfers; Department D III (camp hygiene and sanitary personnel); and Department D IV (Administration), which was responsible for the financing and equipping of the concentration camps.

²⁸ Janina Grabowska, “Die Verantwortung für die im KL Stutthof begangenen Verbrechen. Die Prozesse”, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager, op. cit.* (note 2), p. 294.

²⁹ M. Glinski, “Organisation...”, *op. cit.* (note 10), p. 88ff.

Security Service supervised order in the camp; the **Information Service** was responsible for relations between the camp and the higher offices; **Transport Preparedness** supervised transport; the **Weapons Warehouse, Canteens** (there were two, one for the camp personnel and one for the inmates); the **SS Court** sentenced minor violations against the camp regulations (serious cases were referred to the SS Court in Danzig).

Department II—Political Department: This drew up camp personnel files based on the transport lists, including an indication of the category of inmate concerned (political prisoner, protective custody prisoner, criminal, etc.). In the event of death, it informed the relatives of the deceased person, as well as the office that had ordered the transfer of the deceased person to Stutthof camp. The political division also performed the interrogations of inmates.

Department III—Protective Custody Camp: The various departments of the camp were subordinate to the protective custody camp leader: the men's camp, women's camp, and the camp complexes set up later (special camp, Germanic camp, and Jewish camp, which will be discussed in detail below). The protective custody camp leader was accompanied by an officer responsible for drawing up lists, and who performed twice-daily roll calls to determine camp manpower. The **Labor Service**, which was subordinate to the Labor Service Leader, was a sub-department. The Labor Service Leader drew up an inmate card file based on vocation, to ensure the most efficient employment of camp inmates.

Department IV—Economy and Administration: This department was responsible for the cash desk, paying out wages to camp personnel, purchasing necessary food and clothing, etc.

Department V—Camp Doctor: The head camp doctor was responsible for medical care. The camp and military "*Revier*"—military jargon for hospital—pharmacy, and crematorium were under his care. The head camp doctor had to be present at executions, as well as during the infliction of corporal punishment.

Department VI—Training: This department was responsible for the political and vocational training of camp personnel as well as for cultural events.³⁰

³⁰ These included, among other things, theatrical performances. For example, the Regional Theatre of Danzig-West Prussia presented a comedy on February 16, 1944 in the Comradship Home of the camp. AMS, 1-1B-3.

The **SS Death's Head Sturmabteilung KL Stutthof** consisted of camp guard personnel. In addition to Reich Germans, the guards consisted of a large percentage of ethnic Germans from Eastern Europe, as well as non-Germans (Ukrainians, Latvians, Lithuanians). Approximately 2,500 guards, including a number of women, did service during the sixty-eight months of the camp's existence.³¹

2. The Period from March 1942 to June 1944

On December 19, 1941, Heinrich Himmler ordered the expansion of Stutthof camp to enable it to accept 20,000 Russians (*i.e.*, Soviet prisoners of war). As a result of this decision, SS Unterscharführer Johann Pauls delivered a plan for the camp expansion to the Reichsführer SS, which was approved by Himmler on March 3, 1942. Among other things, it provided for the construction of housing for 20,000 inmates west and north of the already existing structures, now known as the "*old camp*". To enable the planned expansion, the brickyard that was mentioned in Himmler's letter of April 1942, as well as the Werdershof estate (also located south east of the camp), were leased by Department II of the SS-WVHA (Budget and Construction),³² where the "*Germanic camp*" was to be built the following year.

North of the old camp, 30 barracks were now built as the first part of the "*new camp*"; of these, 20, designated with numbers I to XX, were intended for the inmates, and consisted of the camp canteen, the kitchen, and the quarantine barracks for inmates suffering from contagious diseases. The DAW (Deutsche Ausrüstungs-Werke) factories were housed in the other barracks, including a furrier's workshop, tailor's workshop, weaving workshop, shoemaker's workshop, and a bicycle repair workshop.

The first inmates were transferred to the new camp in July 1943. The women remained in the old camp.

Following completion of the barracks, construction began on the streets, sewerage, and water mains for the new camp. At the same time, construction began on a barracks for guard personnel west of

³¹ In 1944, when the large Jewish transports arrived, the camp administration organized a crash course for women supervisors, the graduates of which then did service in the Jewish camp as well as in the exterior offices. M. Gliniski, "*Organization...*", *op. cit.* (note 10) p. 92.

³² E. Ferenc, "*Bau und Erweiterung...*", *op. cit.*, (note 13), p. 103f.

the old camp; the guard personnel in question moved in on March 28, 1943.

Northeast of the new camp, work began on the construction of two factory hangars for the DAW in October 1943; these were put into operation one year later. The Focke-Wulfe Company manufactured airplane parts in the first factory hangar, while motors and machine parts were manufactured in the second, the “*DAW Maschinenhalle*”.

Basically, inmate work fell into two categories: the construction and maintenance of the camp itself, and labor for industrial enterprises. Inmates were made available to the latter against payment. As stated above, a few companies, such as the DAW or Focke-Wulf, founded subsidiaries in the camp itself. Otherwise, inmates assigned to companies were put to work in the “*adjacent camps*” or “*exterior commandos*”, in which case the distinction between the first and the second naturally tended to disappear. Polish historiography assumes a total of 60 auxiliary camps and exterior agencies.³³ These included, for example, the “*Elbing exterior office*”, where between 200 and 500 inmates were active for various undertakings “*including work done for the Holzmann company: in building the wharf, in the plywood factory, in cleaning the city, in the sewers of the city, and in some smaller enterprises*”, as well as in building houses.³⁴

Other inmates were rented out to farmers living in the vicinity of Stutthof.³⁵

Stutthof protective custody leader SS Hauptsturmführer Theodor Traugott Meyer, in his notes written in August 1947 while in a Polish prison, explains that 3,000 Jewish women were transferred to help during the harvest upon the personal intervention of camp commandant Hoppe.³⁶

All the above mentioned factors prove the great significance of Stutthof camp from an economic point of view.³⁷

Many inmates were released from the camp. According to camp reports, the total number of released inmates amounted to 5,000.³⁸

³³ *Obozy hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 502-506.

³⁴ Marek Orski, “*Die Arbeit*” in *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 2), p. 207ff.

³⁵ In this regard, see the report by Gerda Gottschalk in: Hermann Kuhn (ed.): *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager vor den Toren Danzigs*. Edition Temmen, Bremen 1995, p. 138ff.

³⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 190.

³⁷ See chapter IV, section I.

³⁸ Janina Grabowska, “*Die Häftlinge*” in: *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (Continued on next page.)

During certain periods, at least until the end of August 1944, releases took place almost daily (for example, more than 40 inmates were released on August 29).³⁹ It was no exception for more than 50 inmates to be released on one day; for example, 58 inmates were released on August 28, 1942, and 51 on December 18, 1942.⁴⁰

Many of the inmates released on a given day were “*educational inmates*”. The list of May 6, 1943 provides an example of this: 30 educational inmates were released, in addition to two inmates to be transferred to Auschwitz or Sachsenhausen (one stateless asocial and one Polish protective custody inmate).⁴¹ On the other hand, the inmates released on August 28, 1942 consisted of 23 “*shirkers*” (which was certainly a synonym for “*educational inmates*”) as well as 21 protective custody inmates, *i.e.*, political prisoners. The majority of released inmates were Poles.

It should be noted that two of these releases—those of July and August 1944—took place at a time when, according to the official version of history, large numbers of inmates were being murdered in the gas chamber. According to the official version of history, therefore, the Germans released witnesses to their alleged mass extermination program to enable them to tattle about what they had seen! Since the alleged gas chamber was located immediately at the edge of the old camp and was easily visible from the old camp,⁴² there would have been no way to conceal any homicidal mass gassings.

The increase in camp manpower after the decision to expand the camp is revealed in the following statistics:

31.07.1942: 2,283 inmates, including 163 women;

31.12.1942: 1,855 inmates, including 332 women;

31.03.1943: 3,590 inmates, including 285 women;

End of 1943: approximately 6,000 inmates.⁴³

With the designation of Stutthof as a regular concentration camp, transports not only departed for other concentration camps—transports from other concentration camps entered the camp as well. A Polish study written in 1990 estimated the total number of persons transferred from Stutthof at 24,624.⁴⁴ We will discuss the extent of transports to Stutthof from other camps in another chapter.

cit. (note 2), p. 120.

³⁹ AMS, I-II-6, copy only partially legible.

⁴⁰ AMS, I-II-6, p. 27ff. and 69f.

⁴¹ See document I.

⁴² See Document 2.

⁴³ *Obozy hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 498.

⁴⁴ Danuta Drywa, “*Ruch transportów...*”, *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 31.

The transports to Stutthof beginning in 1942—the first, with 114 inmates from Buchenwald, arrived on April 14, 1942⁴⁴—implied an internationalization of Stutthof camp. Of course, Poles remained the most numerous group of camp inmates until mid-1944, but the numbers of inmates from other countries, especially the Soviet Union and Germany, were constantly increasing. Resistance fighters or persons suspected of supporting resistance, in addition to prisoners of war, also arrived from the USSR.

German new arrivals included significantly more criminals than politicals. Many such criminals arrived from Mauthausen, a camp designated for incorrigible serious criminals. The bad habit, stubbornly indulged in by the SS, of assigning common criminals to positions as Kapos, and therefore in a position of authority over other inmates, may have been the main reason for the brutality and mistreatment described at great length—as well as with dramatic embellishment in most cases—in the testimonies of former Stutthof inmates.⁴⁵

Two smaller groups of prisoners also received privileged treatment in Stutthof. The first group consisted of the so-called “*honorary prisoners*”, which was understood to mean intellectuals interned for their political unreliability, or diplomats from the Baltic States of Latvia and Lithuania. These inmates lived separately from the other prisoners, and did not have to work.⁴⁶ The same was true of a group of 282 (or, according to other sources, 273) Norwegian policemen transferred to Stutthof in December 1943 or January 1944 for refusing to sign a loyalty oath to Vidkun Quisling’s National Socialist government. In 1943, the Norwegians were quartered in the so-called German camp south east of the old camp originally intended for SS men liable to punishment.⁴⁷ Some of them voluntarily performed light work as gardeners or postmen. The approximately 150 Danish communists, having previously entered the camp in October 1943, were required to work on a regular basis, but also appear to

⁴⁵ The terrorization of the political prisoners by the criminal inmates was a phenomenon observable in many camps. It is described in detail in serious works of concentration camp memoirs, such as, for example, Paul Rassinier’s *Le Mensonge de Ulysse* (reprint: La Vieille Taupe, Paris 1980), or Benedikt Kautsky’s *Teufel und Verdammte* (Büchergilde Gutenberg, Zürich 1946).

⁴⁶ M. Orski, “*Stutthof als internationales Lager*”, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 2) p. 145.

⁴⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 148; M. Gliniski, “*Organisation...*”, *op. cit.* (note 10), p. 93.

have received preferential treatment on the basis of their Nordic descent.⁴⁸

As in other camps, disease was the principal danger and chief cause of the high mortality. Typhus—which broke out in the spring of 1942 for the first time—was especially devastating. Another epidemic broke out in April 1943, and lasted until June.⁴⁹ Of the more than 1,100 inmates who died in that period, the majority doubtlessly died of typhus.⁵⁰

3. The Period from June 1944 to January 1945

Conditions in Stutthof changed drastically starting in mid-1944. In addition to a few transports of non-Jews, numerous mass transports of Jews—the vast majority of whom were women—arrived between 29 June and 28 October. The details are shown in the following table:⁵¹

Date	Origin	Number
29. June 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,502
12. July 1944	Sipo Kowno (Kaunas)	282
13. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	3,098
13. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	233
16. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	1,172
17. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	1,208
19. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	1,097

⁴⁸ M. Orski, “*Stutthof als internationales Lager*”, *op. cit.* (note 46), p. 145.

⁴⁹ On the typhus epidemics, see Elżbieta Grot, “*Indirekte Extermination*”, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 2), pp. 195-196. The German term for typhus is *Fleckfieber*.

⁵⁰ On the mortality, see Chapter III, Section 5. E. Grot mentions only 849 deaths between 1 April and 12 June, which may possibly be attributed to the fact that they do not take account of the exterior stations and auxiliary camps. In the death register, “*Typhus*” is listed as the cause of death in only 12 cases, leading E. Grot to assume a falsification of mortality statistics by the camp authorities. It is, however, impossible to understand why the camp authorities would have attempted to hide the typhus epidemic—which everyone knew about—through false statistics. Presumably “*heart failure*” was entered as the immediate cause of death for most victims of typhus, “*heart failure*”, “*general exhaustion*” and the like, being in fact results of the epidemic.

⁵¹ AMS, I-IIB-8, p. 1. With the exception of the transport dated 28.10.1944, this table was published in 1967 by K. Dunin-Wąsowicz (“*Żydowsky Więźniowie*”, *op. cit.* (note 3), p. 11f.).

Date	Origin	Number
19. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	1,072
20. July 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,500
25. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	182
25. July 1944	Sipo Kowno	1,321
04. Aug. 1944	Sipo Kowno	793
09. Aug. 1944	Sipo Riga	6,382
09. Aug. 1944	Sipo Riga	450
14. Aug. 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,800
16. Aug. 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,800
23. Aug. 1944	Sipo Riga	2,079
23. Aug. 1944	Sipo Riga	2,329
28. Aug. 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,800
31. Aug. 1944	CC Auschwitz	8
03. Sept. 1944	CC Auschwitz	2,405
10. Sept. 1944	CC Auschwitz	668
10. Sept. 1944	CC Auschwitz	1,082
27. Sept. 1944	CC Auschwitz	4,501
01. Oct. 1944	Sipo Riga	3,155
14. Oct. 1944	Sipo Riga	190
28. Oct. 1944	CC Auschwitz	1,500
Total:		48,609

The accumulated monthly influx was as follows:

June: 2,502	August: 20,441	October: 4,845
July: 12,165	September: 8,656	

The transports from Riga in Latvia, as well as Kaunas in Lithuania—Kowno is the Russian name for Kaunas—were the results of the evacuation of the Baltic camps due to the advance of the Red Army. Among the inmates transferred from the Baltic to Stutthof were German Jews. An anthology containing numerous reports by former inmates⁵² contains statements by the following German-Jewish men and women transferred from the Baltic to Stutthof:

- Trudi Birger, who emigrated to the Memelland in 1933, emigrated from the Memelland to Lithuania in 1939, spent 1941 to 1944 in Kaunas, and was transferred to Stutthof from Kaunas;⁵³

⁵² H. Kuhn (ed.), *Stutthof, Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 35), p. 129ff.

⁵³ 45 years after her liberation from Stutthof, Trudi Birger published a disgraceful collection of atrocity stories under the title of *Im Angesicht des Feuers* (Piper Verlag, Munich/Zürich 1990), which is among the worst works in concentration camp sub-literature, a veritable literary growth industry.

- Jeannette Wolf, an active Socialist who was deported to Riga in 1942, was interned in the local ghetto or camp, and was transferred to Stutthof in the summer of 1944, along with her daughter (who survived the war);
- Gerda Gottschalk, sent to Riga in January 1942, and remained there until the summer of 1944;
- Gertrude Schneider, deported to Riga from Vienna along with her mother and her sister (who, like her, survived the war) at an unstated point in time, and arrived in Stutthof in August 1944;
- Erna Valk, deported to Riga on December 10, 1941, along with her husband (who survived the war with her), was lodged in various camps, and arrived in Stutthof on August 6, 1944;
- Josef Katz, sent to Riga from Lübeck on December 4, 1941, interned in the local ghetto and in various camps until October 1944, and was then transferred to Stutthof;
- Max Kaufmann, deported to Riga in 1941, was interned in the local ghettos and camps, and arrived in Stutthof on October 1, 1944;
- Polly Schoeps, sent to Riga on December 13, 1941 and arrived in Stutthof in the summer of 1944.

The same anthology furthermore reproduces the reports of two Lithuanian Jewish women. Maria Rolnikaite and Schoschana Rabinovici—who was 12 years old at the time—were both deported to Latvia, an adjacent country located to the north, after the liquidation of the Vilna ghetto; in Latvia, they were lodged in the Kaiserwald camp and then transferred to Stutthof in the summer of 1944.

What is surprising, and not easily explained, is the fact that the Jewish women deported from the two Baltic States to Stutthof included Hungarian Jewish women. 90% of the 793 Jewish women in the August 4 transport from Kaunas were from Hungary; the transports which arrived in Stutthof on August 9 and October 1 from Riga also included a number of Jewish women from Hungary. Significantly, this fact is not mentioned in either the western or Polish official version of history. We assume that these Hungarian Jewish women were first deported to Auschwitz in spring or early summer 1944, were then sent to the Baltic and put to work for the war effort, probably for the Organization Todt, before the advance of the Soviet Army led to the transfer of inmates from the Baltics to Stutthof.

With relation to the large transports from Auschwitz, more or less complete lists of names of deported Jews with corresponding nation-

alities are available in three cases (August 14, 16, and 28.).⁵⁴ More than 99% of the members of the two transports were Hungarian Jews. The rest consisted of individual German, Slovakian, Czech, Rumanian women, and members of other nationalities; an American citizen by the name of Magdalena Huppert also arrived on August 16 bearing inmate number 67,852. On the other hand, the transport on August 8 consisted 98% of Poles, the majority from Lodz, who had been deported from Lodz to Auschwitz. According to D. Drywa, the persons transferred from Auschwitz to Stutthof in 1944 consisted of 10,602 Hungarian Jews and 11,464 Jews from Lodz.⁵⁵

It is remarkable that Danuta Czech's *Kalendarium der Ereignisse im Konzentrationslager Auschwitz-Birkenau 1939-1945*, considers only two of the transports from Auschwitz to Stutthof worthy of mention. Mrs. Czech cannot have been ignorant of the other transports, since, with one single exception, K. Dunin-Wąsowicz meticulously recorded all transfers as early as 1967, *i.e.*, 22 years before the publication of the second edition of the *Kalendarium*. Furthermore, D. Czech deliberately makes false statements concerning the two transports that she does record.⁵⁶

The manner in which the camp administration reacted to the continuous arrival of mass transports is described by SS Hauptsturmbannführer Theodor Meyer, protective custody camp commander in

⁵⁴ The list of August 14 extends from 1 to 2,780, *i.e.*, it contains all names right down to the last 20 persons transported in that transport. The list of August 16 extends to 2,330; in a number of cases, the names and/or nationalities cannot be determined, since the sheets involved have been damaged. The list of August 28 extends from 1 to 2,715, so that in this case, the last 85 names are missing.

⁵⁵ D. Drywa, "Ruch transportów...", *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 17.

⁵⁶ D. Czech, *Kalendarium der Ereignisse im Konzentrationslager Auschwitz-Birkenau 1939-1945*, Rowohlt, Reinbek bei Hamburg 1989, p. 882, 885. In this connection, we should point out that D. Czech mendaciously reports that "nearly 1000 Jewish inmates, men and women", were transferred from Stutthof to Auschwitz on January 12, 1944, of whom only 120 men and 134 men were registered in the camp; the others are said to have been "killed in the gas chambers" (*ibid.*, p. 705). On the other hand, D. Drywa clearly states that a transport with 255 Jewish inmates departed Stutthof for Auschwitz on that date ("Ruch transportów...", *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 29; the difference between 254 and 255 is presumably explained by the death of an inmate en route). The 700 'gassing victims' therefore never existed. Because Danuta Czech was a fervent Communist and enthusiastic apologist for the Polish puppet regime established by Stalin after the war, it comes as no surprise that her giant book is often unreliable and highly propagandistic.

Stutthof, in his notes written in a Polish prison while awaiting execution:⁵⁷

“When the Lublin and Riga camps and outer camps in the East were evacuated, Stutthof was designated a reception camp. Transports with thousands of Jewish women arrived, even from Auschwitz. These transports were mostly in a condition that exceeded anything ever seen before. They were sent on the transports without sufficient clothing and food. Now they were supposed to be accepted in a camp that was itself on subsistence level. Telexes, radio messages, went back and forth between Berlin and Stutthof to make the gentlemen in Berlin realize that this was impossible; that Stutthof could no longer accept any more inmates. The camp commandant himself traveled to Berlin for a conference intended to prevent any more inmates from being sent to Stutthof, but without success. Berlin only promised to ensure that the inmates would be detailed off in workers.^[58] A representative appeared and made contacts with industry. Commandos were detailed off to Königsberg, Elbing, Danzig, Gotenhafen, Stolp, Bromberg, Stettin, and to the nearer or more distant surroundings. New masses arrived. The various offices of the Gestapo emptied their camps and ghettos and sent the inmates to Stutthof, without making any inquiry at any time. Typhus-infected inmates spread the disease in the camp, and this epidemic caused many victims among the masses tightly packed together in the camp. Where, and how, could an improvement be made? More and more transports arrived. Could one refuse to accept them? No! When the transports arrived with their inmates, they had to be accepted.”

We see not the slightest grounds for doubting the truthfulness of the content of this testimony.⁵⁹

In order to provide at least some housing for the many new arrivals, a “*Special Camp*” was created *ex nihilo* in the western part of the camp in July 1944; this camp consisted of a kitchen barracks in

⁵⁷ Copy in the Archives of the Stutthof Museum, quoted according to H. Kuhn (ed.), *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 35), p. 189, 190.

⁵⁸ Error in original.

⁵⁹ Theodore Traugott Meyer, in his report written in Polish imprisonment, expressly disputed the accusation of tormenting the inmates and insisted that he helped them as much as he could. He said he had taken care to ensure that as many inmates as possible would receive hard work bonuses, even when many prisoners were not entitled to them. He continues: “*The incorporation of the bath installations were approved for every housing block. The sanitary installations were good. The camp orchestra played Sundays. Entertainment was provided. And I am supposed to have approved all this because I wanted to torment the inmates? [...] Were the inmates mistreated at their arrival? No. When the big transports arrived, I made frequent inspections and saw no act of mistreatment*”. We reproduce these remarks by Meyer because we are of the opinion that both parties have a right to be heard.

addition to several inmate barracks. This camp was used, for example, to quarter Germans who had been taken hostage because relatives of theirs belonged to anti-National Socialist resistance movements; one of them was Fey von Hassell, daughter of diplomat Ulrich von Hassell.⁶⁰ Parallel to this area, 10 barracks numbered with XXI and XXX were built north of the new camp and designated, as a whole, the “*Jewish camp*”, although only six of the ten barracks were intended for Jews; another two were used to house women deported to Stutthof after the crushing of the Warsaw Ghetto uprising, and the other two were used as warehouses for personal effects.⁶¹

At the end of August 1944, the camp manpower, including the outer camps, was approximately 60,000;⁶² it had therefore multiplied tenfold in eight months! The last large transport arrived from Auschwitz on October 29. In the following month, only individual groups of inmates arrived at Stutthof; the last inmate, the Pole Jan Zielina, no.105,302, arrived from Auschwitz on January 17, 1945.⁶³ The fact that transports Stutthof departed after October 1944 was one reason for the renewed decline in camp manpower. A second reason was the typhus epidemic that broke out in the late summer 1944 for the second time, and took on devastating proportions by the end of the year. The poor hygienic conditions in the further overcrowded housing naturally contributed to propagation of the lethal epidemic. The deficiency of the disinfection facilities is shown, among other things, by the certification of a transfer to Flossenbürg dated November 24, 1944.⁶⁴

“The following inmates are to be transferred from Stutthof concentration camp to Flossenbürg concentration camp on 11.24.1944:

216 men (Jews)

284 women (Jews).

It should be noted that these inmates come from a camp in which typhus, paratyphus, diphtheria, and scarlet fever are rampant at the present time. Quarantine is therefore to be imposed, and these inmates are to be put to work in closed groups.

⁶⁰ Fey von Hassell’s report regarding her stay in Stutthof was reproduced in extract by H. Kuhn (ed.): *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 35), p. 176ff.

⁶¹ M. Głinski, “*Organisation...*”, *op. cit.* (note 10), p. 93; E. Ferenc, “*Bau und Erweiterung...*”, *op. cit.* (note 13), p. 107.

⁶² *Obozy Hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 499.

⁶³ Elżbieta Grot, *Rejs Śmierci, Evakuacja morska Więźniów KL Stutthof*, Muzeum Stutthof w Sztutowie, Danzig 1993, p. 13.

⁶⁴ AMS, I-IIC-4, p. 159.

These inmates were bathed and deloused prior to departure on the transport. Due to insufficient delousing facilities at this camp, we cannot guarantee that these prisoners are free from lice.

The SS Standard garrison doctor.”

On December 29, 1944, Hoppe found himself compelled to decree a partial camp quarantine by special order:⁶⁵

“In the course of the struggle against typhus, entry and leaving of the new women’s camps I, II, and III is blocked, effective immediately, due to danger of contagion by typhus.”

The raging epidemic and the generally deteriorating conditions against the background of the German collapse led to the final, and worst, phase in the existence of Stutthof camp—exactly as in Bergen-Belsen, Dachau, and other camps.

As of January 24, 1945, the day before the first waves of evacuation, the camp manpower report indicated a manpower of 28,390 female and 18,115 male inmates (including the subsidiary camps). This number included 25,775 Jewish women and 2,898 Jewish men.⁶⁶

4. Evacuation and the End

Documentation on the tragic last months of Stutthof camp is very fragmentary; in Polish literature on the subject, commonplace facts and atrocity propaganda are churned together in a sort of stew.⁶⁷ For this reason, it seems to us impossible to offer even an approximate estimate of the number of victims caused by the evacuation of the camp, and we will refrain from putting forth any estimates.

⁶⁵ AMS, I-IB-3, p. 275.

⁶⁶ Camp strength report of 24 January 1945, AMS, with commentary by M. Orski, *Ostatnie dni Obozu Stutthof*, Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig, 1995, unnumbered page in the document section.

⁶⁷ For example, J. Grabowska reports that women who were unable to march were burnt alive in their barracks by the SS (*“Die Letzten Tage des Lagerbestehens. Die Befreiung”*, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager, op. cit.* (note 2), p. 292. As a source, the reader is referred to the testimony of Kapo Alfred Nicolaysen before the Soviet Committee for the Investigation of War Crimes. As the author informs us on the following page of the same book, Nicolaysen was sentenced to death following trial in Danzig of 25 members of the camp guard personnel; Nicolaysen was then the only person pardoned out of the 14 persons sentenced to death, presumably in consideration for services rendered in shoring up the traditional atrocity story of Jews burnt alive by the SS.

The fate of Stutthof inmates at that time was very little different from—or even identical to—the fate of the millions of German civilians who fled before the advancing Red Army during that harshest winter of the war, under almost inconceivable circumstances and who therefore suffered horribly high losses. The U.S. historian Mark Weber hit the nail on the head when he wrote:⁶⁸

“Stutthof’s prisoners were not the only ones to endure this terrible calamity. During this same period, hundreds of thousands of German civilians, most of them women and children, as well civilians of other nationalities, were slowly making their way westward in the snow and freezing weather. Many of these people also died during the winter trek.”

In their interesting book *Rejs Śmierci* (The Sea Voyage of Death) the Polish historian Elżbieta Grot quotes a Norwegian inmate, not mentioned by name, who gives us the following general atmosphere of the conditions prevailing in West Prussia at that time:⁶⁹

“A line of refugees from East Prussia, several miles long, consisting of terror-stricken families who had abandoned their homeland and their property in panic was, to us, the visible image of a people in a state of complete dissolution. Dead horses lying by the edge of the road, desperation-filled old people, weeping women, and—the worst experience for us—starving infants, often running barefooted through the snow looking for mothers or fathers who had attempted to break through to the other side of the Weichsel [...] By midday, a sexton approached requesting us to help him bury the bodies of the dead, excusing himself by saying that no auxiliary labor was available to him.”

The tragedy of the Stutthof refugees who died during the evacuation must be viewed in the context of this tragedy extending over an immense territory. The decision to evacuate the camp appears to have been made by Fritz Katzmann, the higher SS and police chief of Danzig, after the onset of the large-scale winter offensive of the Red Army on January 12, 1945. After January 20, all work in the camp was directed at the forthcoming evacuation, and approximately 11,000 inmates were led out of Stutthof on January 25 and 26. They were supposed to march on foot to Lauenberg, 140 km further west, for internment in a non-commissioned officers’ school for the Waffen SS. The distance was to be covered in seven days, exclusively on back roads, because the main roads were filled with German refugee columns and German troops. At night, the inmates were supposed to be lodged in villages.

⁶⁸ Mark Weber, *op. cit.* (note 8), p. 3ff.

⁶⁹ E. Grot, *Rejs Śmierci...*, *op. cit.* (note 63), p. 15.

The evacuation did not run according to plan, particularly because of the heavy snow drifts and poor road conditions. Many inmates died on the road, others escaped, and considerable numbers were overtaken by the advancing Soviet troops and liberated. The majority of the evacuees were halted by the Wehrmacht before they reached Lauenberg, and put to work building anti-tank ditches. In early March, following the onset of another Soviet offensive, those who were able to march were led in the direction of Gotenhafen and Putzig, where they were supposed to be transported to Germany by ship. They did not get there, because the columns were captured on the way by the Soviets.⁷⁰ According to Polish sources based on estimates that cannot be verified, approximately 5,000 died out of the 11,500 evacuated on January 25 and 26.⁷¹

Stutthof still had 33,948 inmates on January 30, approximately one third of them in the main camp.⁷² At approximately the same time, the camp began to fill with German refugees who took up temporary lodgings there, taking over the new camp and part of the old camp. Many of these German civilians were later evacuated to the west by sea. The camp was attacked by Soviet bombers on March 25 and on several occasions afterwards; several of the women's barracks in the old camp burnt down.⁷³

At this time, a large proportion of the inmates in Danzig and Gotenhafen,—the name for Gdingen at that time—were put to work on the shipyard or in various factories. Beginning in March, these cities were severely bombed by the Soviet air force, killing many inmates and German civilians.⁷⁴

Instead of simply leaving the remaining inmates behind for the Soviets, as reason would have indicated, since the Soviet arrival was now only a question of time, even more panicky evacuation actions were carried out by sea during the last weeks of the war, ending tragically for a great many of the persons involved. On March 25, a ship transport with over 600 refugees left the Gotenhafen subsidiary corp for Kiel, where the inmates were interned in auxiliary camps of

⁷⁰ M. Orski, *Ostatnie Dni...*, *op. cit.* (note 66), p. 8ff.; J. Grabowska, "Die Evakuierung des Stammlagers zu Lande" in: *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 2), p. 267ff.

⁷¹ J. Grabowska, "Die Evakuierung..." , *op. cit.* (note 70), p. 275.

⁷² M. Orski, *Ostatnie Dni...*, *op. cit.* (note 66), p. 14.

⁷³ *Ibid.*, p. 19. Many of the Jewish women later reported by the Soviet Commission to have been burnt alive by the SS presumably died during these bombing attacks. See note 67.

⁷⁴ M. Orski, *Ostatnie Dni...*, *op. cit.* (note 66), p. 21.

Neuengamme concentration camp. Two large sea transports with a total of approximately 4,400 inmates departed on April 25 and 27. The first traveled by way of Hela to Neustadt, where the inmates were lodged in a hospital following the arrival of British troops; a few were later transferred to Sweden by the Swedish Red Cross for medical care. The second transport arrived at Flensburg after a long period of wandering; there, the inmates were embarked onto the ship *Rheinfels*. On May 9, the ship was boarded by representatives of the Swedish Red Cross, who decided to take the totally exhausted inmates to Sweden for treatment. A large number of the persons evacuated by sea died from hunger, exhaustion, or disease before the end of the war; an unknown number were killed during British bombing attacks on the evacuation ships.⁷⁵

The Red Army entered Stutthof on May 9, 1945, but found only approximately 150 inmates—most of who were sick—in addition to approximately 20,000 German civilians. Paul Ehle, acting unofficially as the last concentration camp commander, had fled a few days before. The existence of Stutthof concentration camp coincided almost precisely with the duration of war: it opened the day after the war began, and was captured by Soviet troops the day after it ended.

In 1946 and 1947, four trials were held in Poland against a total of 80 members of Stutthof camp guard personnel. After trial, 21 death sentences were handed down and executed, with one exception. Another five camp commandants, including the second commander, P.W. Hoppe, were brought to court in three trials in the FRG (1955, 1957, and 1964); four of them received sentences of imprisonment of up to nine years.⁷⁶ Reliable documentation on these trials is unavailable to us; therefore, we cannot discuss them in detail.

The joy of liberation was of short duration for many inmates captured by the Red Army. Accused of collaboration with the Germans or of membership in Polish nationalist movements such as the *Armija Krajowa* (Homeland Army), or the Boy Scout-type organization *Szare Szeregi* (Grey Ranks), they were promptly arrested again and disappeared into Soviet concentration camps, some of them for many years. Three examples were Marian Pawlaczyk, Jan Będziński and Mieczysław Goncarzewski, who were only released from the Gulag archipelago after Stalin's death in 1953. Their crime: During interrogations held after their liberation by the Soviet secret service

⁷⁵ A detailed description of the evacuation by sea can be found in E. Grot, *Rejs Śmierci...*, *op. cit.* (note 63).

⁷⁶ D. Drywa, "Die Verantwortung...", *op. cit.* (note 28).

NKVD, they were found to be too well informed about the structure of the camp. This was fateful to them: in the eyes of the NKVD, this proved that they had collaborated with the Germans.⁷⁷

⁷⁷ M. Orski, *Ostatnie dni...*, *op. cit.* (note 66), p. 36ff.

CHAPTER II: Stutthof as “*Extermination Camp*”: the Official Version

In the introduction, we mentioned that according to the official version of history, Stutthof performed the temporary function of ‘makeshift’ extermination camp. This chapter reproduces the statements of the principal texts in connection with the alleged mass killings and, in particular, the mass gassings of human beings at Stutthof, in chronological order.

The first testimony to be mentioned is the Soviet expert report, drawn up only five days after the liberation of the camp, on 14 May 1945, which we quote in full:⁷⁸

“Protocol of the technical expert report on the SS concentration camp Stutthof, May 14, 1945.

The undersigned, the engineer Major Ivan Alexandrowitsch Fjodorow, deputy chief of staff of the 57th Red Banner Brigade of Engineers and Pioneers of Gomelsk, and Lieutenant Georgi Sergejewitsch Kapustin, Adjutant of the Commander of the First Department of the Brigade Staff, on behalf of the Council of War of the 48th Army, conducted an examination of the SS camp Stutthof, which established the following:

The Germans began construction of Stutthof concentration camp in 1939. Until 1941, there was a total of approximately 15 standard-type wooden barracks, as well as the necessary small buildings for guard personnel.

Initially, the above mentioned camp was intended for political prisoners. In mid-1942, the camp began to expand rapidly, and, by the end of 1944, consisted of the following buildings:

Wooden barracks: 60 units

Brick barracks: 12 units

Barracks for guard and service personnel: 17 units

Warehouses: 11 units

Workshops: 5 units

Factory buildings: 7 units.

Every standard type living barracks has a normal capacity of 450 people, which means that, with normal occupancy, the inmate barracks could house $450 \times 72 = 32,400$ people. In reality, according to the data of former inmate Woźniak, a Pole, 800 to 1000 people were crammed together in the barracks in each case. Consequently, the huge numbers

⁷⁸ GARF, 7021-106-2, p. 1-6.

of persons interned in the camp amounted to approximate $60 + 12 \times 800 = 62,000$ to $72,000$.^[79]

In the living barracks, three tiers of wooden bunks had been erected; there were separate rooms for the guards, and common washrooms and toilets. The washrooms and toilets in the barracks did not work, since construction of the sewerage network was not yet completed.

From a model found in the office of the SS camp Stutthof, it may be concluded that the camp was, to some considerable extent, still uncompleted; in particular, it was intended to increase the number of living barracks to 180, in which event the new part of the camp would have been built of brick, in contrast to the old part.

The construction and expansion of the camp, as well as the construction of the factories, was performed by inmates.

Two factory buildings were erected and put into operation on the grounds of the old camp, while three others were unfinished; two factory buildings were finished on the grounds of the new camp, but not yet put in operation.

At the time of our inspection visit, there was no production machinery in the factory buildings. According to the testimony of former Polish camp inmate Woźniak, the installation was disassembled and removed in January 1945.

A barbed wire fence surrounded the entire camp terrain. Around the living area of the camp was a separate barbed wire barrier, mounted on porcelain insulators. The wire was under high voltage. On the barracks side, in front of the above mentioned wire barrier, was another barbed wire fence three meters high.

In the planning and construction of the camp, especially the living quarters, there were no installations at all for fire protection purposes, nor were there any sanitary installations, which are otherwise obligatory in all buildings. Open latrines without walls and roof, all of them only two to three meters away from the barracks, spread a penetrating stench all over the camp terrain. The distance between the barracks was 10 to 15 meters.

At the time of our inspection of the camp, 30 of the 72 existing living barracks had been burnt down.

The concentration camp contained one gas chamber of $8.5 \times 3.5 \times 2.5$ in size, in the form of a simple box, built of bricks, with two hermetically sealed doors, and a ceiling of reinforced concrete; in the ceiling, there was an opening 20 cm in diameter which was used for throwing in the 'Zyklon' poisonous material. Outside the gas chamber, a small, primitive oven, built of brick and measuring $1.5 \times 1.2 \times 0.8$ m, had been built on; this was heated with coal. A metal pipe 20-cm in diameter led from this oven to the interior of the gas chamber, and ran

⁷⁹ Meaning $(60+12) \times (900 \pm 100) = 64,800 \pm 7,200$

along the walls of the chamber. The pipe was embedded in a wall clad in concrete mortar, with perforations measuring 2.5 cm. CO was able to exit through a brick chimney, especially built on the outside of the gas chamber, next to the entrance door. Thus, death by asphyxiation of the people in the above described gas chamber was due, not to CO, but to another poisonous substance, a 'Gasgift' [gas poison⁸⁰] by the name of Zyklon, which was found near the west side of the gas chamber.

The gas chamber functioned as follows:

The people were led into the gas chamber, after which the doors were hermetically sealed. The poisonous substance 'Zyklon', in the form of irregular quadrilaterals of white color, was shaken out through the round opening in the ceiling, and, under the influence of the atmosphere as well as the increased air temperature achieved by means of the oven described above, as well as because of the tightly packed mass of people, was transformed into a gaseous poisonous substance.

The gassing procedure was primitive, and apparently was to be perfected later.

In view of the surface area of the gas chamber, which amounted to 8 x 3 m², as well as the tight packing of the people doomed to destruction, it was possible to force 4 to 5 persons together in one square meter. In this manner, the gas chambers could contain 24 x 4 = 96 people standing up.

According to the testimony of a former Polish camp inmate, Zbigniew Krawczyk, who was put to work for a longer time in the crematorium in order to cremate the corpses, the gas chamber could contain 90 persons standing up, which corresponds to reality.

According to the testimony of this same Krawczyk, the asphyxiation procedure lasted 45 minutes.

In visiting the camp, we discovered two crematory ovens built in 1943, which were operated with coke, as well as third oven heated with a flammable liquid fuel, that is, a total of three ovens. We did not find a fourth oven, but something resembling an oven foundation remained. There are grounds for assuming that the Germans blew up the fourth oven.

The most important technical data relating to these ovens are to be taken from the attached diagrams.

The oven consists of fireproof brickwork, with an opening for the introduction of the bodies on the front side; further down, also on the front side, is an opening for the removal of the ashes, the ash chamber. On the left side, two heating systems had been installed. On the front, there was also a small round opening 20-cm in diameter, which could be sealed with a small door; this was used to regulate the air supply. All openings had iron doors 7 to 9 mm thick.^[81]

⁸⁰ In German in the original.

⁸¹ This is a typographical error. The meaning is no doubt 7 to 9 cm; the doors of (Continued on next page.)

The interior volume of each crematory oven amounts to $0.5 \times 0.6 \times 3.2 = 0.96 \text{ m}^3$. If one considers the extreme emaciation of the corpses, which means that a corpse, on average, occupied a volume of $0.25 \times 0.2 \times 1.56 = 0.08 \text{ m}^3$, this means that the oven was able to contain $0.96 : 0.08 = 12$ corpses. During use at full capacity, therefore, twelve corpses could be introduced lengthwise into the oven in two layers.

The design of the oven, intensively heated with coke, allowed to attain temperatures of 900 to 1000 degrees Celsius. At this temperature, the cremation process lasted 50 to 60 minutes.

The ovens were installed together with a room used for executions by shooting and hanging, and measuring $18 \times 10 \times 2$ meters, including the surface area of the oven room area.

Conclusions:

1. The normal capacity of the camp, assuming 2.7 persons per square meter, was 32,400 persons, but it was in fact inhabited by 62,000 to 70,000 persons, which meant that the inmates were subject to extraordinary overcrowding. The unbearably unhygienic conditions to which they were exposed; the absence of heating in the barracks at during the cold seasons; the quite insufficient, miserable nourishment; the exhausting heavy work, which lasted up to 16 or 17 hours a day;^[82] the lack of suitable clothing and suitable shoes, especially in winter; all this led to a total exhaustion of the inmates and to the rapid propagation of various contagious diseases, i.e., created the precondition for massive mortality by means of the above described methods.

2. The average capacity of the gas chamber, in operation twenty four hours a day at normal load, amounted, assuming a time period of 40 minutes to fill the chamber, and assuming the time period, as indicated by Krawczyk, of 45 minutes for the gassings, and assuming a time period of one and a half hours to empty the chamber, to the following:

$$\frac{24 \times 96}{3} = 768 \text{ persons in a time period of 24 hours}$$

3. The concentration camp had three crematory ovens. Assuming, as stated above, that twelve corpses could be introduced into one oven at a time, that the cremation procedure took 50 minutes, and that 10 minutes were required to fill the ovens, then the total capacity over a 24-hour period was:

$$\frac{24 \times 12 \times 3}{1} = 864 \text{ corpses.}$$

At lower temperatures, i.e., 450-500 degrees Celsius, the cremation procedure naturally took twice as long, i.e., one hour and forty minutes; this means a capacity of:

the Topf crematory ovens of Auschwitz, for example, were 10 cm thick, and consisted of 8 cm of monolithic lining material and 2 cm of cast iron.

⁸² For the actual working times, see Chapter IV, section 1.

$$\frac{24 \times 12 \times 3}{2} = 432$$

4. That the concentration camp had one gas chamber, three crematory ovens, and one special room for shooting and hanging, is multiple proof of the fact that the people imprisoned in Stutthof were intended for extermination.

Major Fjodorow, Engineer (signature)

Lieutenant Kapustin (signature)”

In 1947, Zdzisław Łukaszewicz published an article entitled “*Obóz koncentracyjny Stutthof*” (The Stutthof Concentration camp), which appeared in the Bulletin of the “*Main Commission for the Investigation of German Crimes in Poland*”:⁸³

With regards to mass killings, he remarked:⁸⁴

“Executions were only one additional means of liquidation. There were four different methods: gassing, shooting, lethal injection, and hanging.

The building containing the gas chamber was, at the time of the investigation, still intact, so that it could be thoroughly examined. It was a masonry building. The gas chamber measured 8.5 x 3.5 x 3m. There were two entrances, which could be tightly closed by means of hooks. On the exterior, a fireplace for the gas chamber had been built; a pipe led from the fireplace, which was used to heat the interior of the chamber to approximately 25 degrees Celsius before they led the victims inside. The floor was of cement, the walls were plastered. In the ceiling was a round opening 15-cm diameter; with a shaft through which the gas-forming substance was shaken out. Under this opening, on the floor, was a second, square-shaped opening measuring 30 x 30 cm, covered with a wooden lid. Eyewitnesses have reported how the SS men shook a granular, yellow-brown colored substance out of tin cans through the opening in the ceiling. At the time of the investigation, several such cans were found in the vicinity of the gas chamber. The chamber was used to kill a group of over one hundred persons at one time. Death occurred after the lapse of approximately one half-hour. Although the chamber was usually opened after the lapse of a rather long period of time in order to remove the bodies, it happened that individual victims showed signs of life. The murders in the gas chamber lasted from the summer of 1944 until approximately December of the same year.”

Łukaszewicz claims that the gas chamber was built in the fall of 1943,⁸⁵ and adds:⁸⁶

⁸³ Z. Łukaszewicz, “*Obóz koncentracyjny Stutthof*” in: *Biuletyn Głównej Komisji badania zbrodni niemieckich w Polsce*, Warsaw, 1947, III, pp. 59-60.

⁸⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 77.

⁸⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 62.

“To all the witnesses, it is obvious that, to the German authorities, the intent was to exterminate as many Jews as possible; this was fully and entirely accomplished.”

On the number of victims in the camp, the author states:

“Assuming a maximum number of 110,000 inmates, a number of inmates still living at the beginning of the evacuation of 50,000, and, finally, if one considers the approximately 3,000 inmate releases according to the estimates of witnesses, as well as the more or less equal number of transfers to other camps,—not including Stutthof auxiliary camps—one must conclude that approximately 50,000 persons had died by the time of the evacuation.”

Taking into consideration the approximately 15,000 victims of the evacuation (according to his own testimony), Z. Łukaszkiwicz concludes that a total number of 65,000 inmates died in Stutthof camp and its auxiliary camps.⁸⁷

He adds:⁸⁸

“The gas chamber was in operation chiefly during the period from August until December 1944. The witnesses report that approximately 3,000 Jews were gassed during this time. Since the chamber was also used before this time, that is, from the moment of its construction onwards, the actual number of victims may be higher by at least one thousand. Thus, a total of 4,000 people were murdered in the gas chamber.”

In 1967, Krzysztof Dunin-Wąsowicz, former inmate and one of the leading Polish experts on this camp, discussed the thesis of a ‘makeshift’ extermination camp in an article, an excerpt of which has already been quoted.⁸⁹

Three years later, in 1970, Dunin-Wąsowicz published a book on Stutthof, in which he wrote the following in relation to the extermination of Jews in the camp:⁹⁰

“The Jews in Stutthof, quite apart from the severe working conditions in the camp, were decimated by two catastrophes, namely the so-called S.B. Action—Special Treatment—and the typhus epidemic.

The Special Treatment Action was basically a manifestation of the mass murder directed in particular against the Jews in the concentration camps. In other camps, it took the form of a selection. In Stutthof, the Special Treatment began in August 1944, and lasted until the beginning of November of the same year. The first victims were 70 Russian prisoners of war, most of whom were disabled, and who had just arrived from the prisoner of war camp at Czarny. Before their deaths,

⁸⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 79.

⁸⁷ *Ibid.*, p. 82.

⁸⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 83.

⁸⁹ See introduction, note 3.

⁹⁰ Krzysztof Dunin-Wąsowicz, *Stutthof*, Warsaw, 1970, p. 83f.

they spent three days in the open and received no food. They were utterly exhausted. The remains of their clothing, consisting solely of rags, were simply falling off their bodies. Finally, the SS men deceived them by making them believe that they were being taken to a sanatorium for the disabled, which made the poor wretches very happy. They attempted to clean up and bring order into their outward appearance. Near the gas chamber stood two third class railway wagons. The SS made the Soviet prisoners of war climb into them. They were told that they were only waiting for the locomotive to be hooked on. The victims entered the waiting room without resistance to have an evening meal. The 'waiting room' turned out to be a gas chamber. The iron doors were slammed shut and the Zyklon was thrown in.

The later Special Treatment action applied exclusively to Jews, particularly women. In August, a total of over 300 women and over 100 men died in this way; in September, over 300 women; in October, over 600 women and a few dozen men, and, in the first days of November, between two and three hundred women.

The death sentences were arbitrarily handed down by the Oberscharführer [Ewald] Foth. He was head of the Jewish camp, and a notorious drunkard. This man felt sick if he had not killed at least one inmate during the course of a day's work. The overseers were not inferior to him in their zeal, but in the Jewish killing actions, Foth was without doubt the most bestial and ruthless torturer. One time, when the gas chamber didn't work, this bloodthirsty sadist beat the doomed women to death with his own hands. There was no appeal against his decision. Every day, he ordered a role call lasting several hours, at which he took out the sick and weak women. He judged their state of health according to their legs, forcing the Jewish women to run races against each other. Those who could not run fast enough went to their deaths. There were frightful scenes during the separation of families. In particular, Foth sought out pregnant women who were unable to work. Once it happened that one of the young Jewish women, who was pregnant, fled from a group of candidates for death, and was able to hide on the top floor of a barracks. Foth led a search action, found her, and brought her triumphantly back to the group of candidates for death.

In the beginning, the Jewish women did not know the purpose of the selection, but they soon realized, and began passive resistance. They refused to go to the place of execution, which was located approximately 800 meters from the [Jewish] camp. They defended themselves before they entered the gas chamber.

The Hitlerites then staged a black comedy, setting up a doctor's consultation office in the enlarged gas chamber, and led the women in on the pretext that they were about to receive a medical examination. After the deluded women had entered without resistance, they closed the doors and let the gas in.

The Poles quickly discovered this new method of murder, and informed the Jewish women. This again led to resistance. Then SS men,

Hauptscharführer [Arno] Chemnitz and Oberscharführer Foth, invented a new comedy—a transport. Transfer to an adjacent camp was considered by the Jews to be equivalent to a temporary extension of life. In particular, they believed that it would be easier to survive in the adjacent camps, where there was a greater need for labor. This new action was called the ‘Stocking Commando’.”

We will return to this “*Stocking Commando*” later.

A reference work published in Warsaw in 1979 by the “*Commission for the Investigation of Hitlerite Crimes in Poland*”⁹¹ contains a very detailed discussion of Stutthof, stating:⁹²

“The high mortality rate was due, not just to the living conditions, but to direct extermination as well. Many inmates died as the result of blows with a stick or rifle butts, either at work or in the blocks. Others were shot attempting to escape, or hanged or shot after failure to escape. During mass executions in 1939/40, many Polish activists and Jews from Danzig also died.

From the middle of 1944, mass killings were carried out in the gas chamber. It had been built in the fall of 1943, was located 20 meters from the crematorium, and was initially used for the delousing of clothing. At the end of June 1944, people were killed in it for the first time, using a gas (Zyklon B). The first group of gassing victims consisted of a group of disabled Russian prisoners of war brought from a camp in Czarny. Finally, a few groups of Polish resistance fighters from Warsaw, Plock and Pomerania, as well as 4,000 Jewish women in particular, who were sick and unable to work, were also gassed.

In the infirmary, patients were often drowned in the bathtubs or murdered by means of phenol injections in the heart.

Partisans or Soviet spies were also brought to Stutthof for the execution of death sentences. The last group of Soviet spies was shot in the crematorium in March 1945 [...].

Approximately 85,000 people died in Stutthof camp, its auxiliary camps, and during the evacuation.”

The well-known anthology published in 1983, *Nationalsozialistische Massentötungen durch Giftgas*, contains an article on Stutthof written by K. Dunin-Wąsowicz.⁹³ The article deals specifically with the question of the alleged mass gassings of human beings in

⁹¹ With one eye on the German Democratic Republic—the Communist Central German State of 1949-1990—the original name of the “*Commission for the Investigation of German Crimes in Poland*” was changed accordingly. After the end of Communist rule, when their crimes were also investigated, it was called the “*Commission for the Investigation of Crimes against the Polish People*”.

⁹² Główna Komisja Badania Zbrodni Hitlerowskich w Polsce. Rada Ochrony Pomników Walki i Męczeństwa. *Obozy hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12), p. 500ff.

⁹³ E. Kogon, H. Langbein, A. Rückerl, *et al.* (eds.) *Nationalsozialistische Massentötungen...*, *op. cit.* (note 4), p. 263-266.

the camp. The article is especially significant for two reasons: first, it was written by one of the most important of all Polish Stutthof experts, and, secondly, it appeared in a book that is considered a classic of official historiography. Dunin-Łasowicz writes:

“Just when work was begun on the gas chamber in Stutthof concentration camp can no longer be established; the inmates who participated in the construction work cannot remember the exact point in time. The gas chamber was constructed according to the pattern in other camps: 8 ½ meters long, 3 ½ meters wide, and 3 meters high. The poison gas Zyklon B was thrown in through a round opening in the roof, measuring 15 cm in diameter.

The first verifiable gassing in Stutthof took place on June 22, 1944. Approximately 100 persons were killed—mostly Poles and White Russians under sentence of death. There were incidents with regards to the second group [...].

The next known gassing took place on 26 July 1944. 12 members of a Polish resistance movement were killed.

The next victims were approximately 70 disabled prisoners transferred to Stutthof from a camp for Soviet prisoners of war. [...]

As a result, Camp commandant SS Sturmbannführer Paul Werner Hoppe received the order to kill the Jews that had been delivered in great numbers to his camp.”

According to the judgment of a BRD court, handed down in Bochum against former camp commandant Paul Werner Hoppe and others in Bochum on 16.12.1955, “*the old, sick, and unfit Jews and Jewish women were exterminated first*”. The author Dunin-Łasowicz continues:

“To maintain the pretence and to forestall attempts at escape, a passenger carriage from a narrow-gauge railway leading into the camp was temporarily used as a gas chamber [...]

It is estimated that in August and September 1944, 300 Hungarian Jewish women were killed by poison gas in each case. In October, more than 600 are supposed to have been killed, including a group of men. Another 250 women were killed in this manner before the gassing was stopped in the beginning of November 1944.”

In his monumental book, *Auschwitz, Technique and Operation of the Gas Chambers*,⁹⁴ Jean-Claude Pressac also discusses the gas chamber of Stutthof. In this regard, Pressac writes as follows:⁹⁵

“It is not known when the gas chamber for delousing prisoner’s effects was installed. Its dimensions (8 meters long, 3 wide and 2.30 high, giving a volume of approximately 55 m³) are close to the standard dimensions of those erected by BOOS or DEGESH. There are two gas-

⁹⁴ Published by the Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, New York 1989.

⁹⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 539f.

tight doors, one at the southern end and the other at the northern end. The doors do not seem to be original, since they were missing at the Liberation and there has been modification of the brickwork to adjust to the curved top of the frame, as can be seen by comparison with a photograph of this chamber published on pages 108 and 109 of '1939-45'. We have not forgotten', Polonia, Warsaw 1962. The agent used for delousing is not known precisely, but given the presence of the external stove [to the left of the door, see Photo 6], it must have been either dry heat or hydrocyanic acid [Zyklon B] used in a heated room. In this case, it was not essential to pour the product in through an external opening, as an operator wearing a gas mask could distribute the pellets or porous discs on the floor, then go out and close the door. At the end of the cycle, opening the two doors allowed efficient natural ventilation.

From June 22nd to the beginning of November 1944, it was used as a homicidal gas chamber for groups of about 100 people, Zyklon B being poured in through a small opening of 15 cm in the roof, a system apparently introduced on the advice of SS Lieutenant Colonel Rudolf Höß, former commandant of Auschwitz-Birkenau and at that time head of Department D1 of the WVHA of the SS [Economic Administration Main Office]. While the history of this gas chamber is known from testimonies by Father Krzysztof Dunin-Wąsowicz, there has been no scientific examination of the 'murder weapon' since 1945, which means that we do not know how the chamber functioned as a delousing installation and are unable to provide material proof of its criminal use. The number of victims is estimated at one to two thousand." (Emphasis by Presac.)

In a text first published in Polish and then included as part of an anthology in German translation five years later,⁹⁶ Janina Grabowska deals at length with the "immediate extermination" of inmates. She remarks:⁹⁷

"In the second half of 1944, the importance of Stutthof in the extermination machinery increased significantly, since the camp was included in the 'Final Solution' of the Jewish problem. At this time, over 47,000 Jewish men, women and children were sent to Stutthof camp. The first selections of those unfit for work were undertaken immediately after the arrival of the transports from Eastern Europe. Stutthof was not yet equipped to liquidate that many people. The decision was made to transfer them to Auschwitz-Birkenau. A transport of 1,423 persons, including mothers with children, departed Stutthof on June 26, 1944. Another transport with 603 persons—including, again, mothers with children, pregnant women, sick and disabled inmates—left Stutthof on Sep-

⁹⁶ Janina Grabowska, *Stutthof. Informator historyczny*, Danzig, 1990. The German translation is the first part of the anthology edited by H. Kuhn, *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 35).

⁹⁷ H. Kuhn (ed.), *Stutthof... ibid.*, p. 62, 64.

tember 10, 1944. These people were killed in the gas chambers at Auschwitz-Birkenau”.

The official camp guide states:⁹⁸

“The smallest building is the gas chamber, the construction of which dates back to the fall of 1943. It was initially used for the delousing of clothing. But in June 1944, one began to kill inmates by means of gas—Zyklon B—in the chamber. In the period from July to November 1944, Jews, mostly women, from the transports entering Stutthof at that time, were killed (more than 47,000 inmates, most of them women, entered Stutthof from July 29 to October 14). Two specially modified carriages of the narrow-gauge railway were used in gassing the prisoners”.

The short entry on Stutthof camp in the *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust*, published in 1993, consists simply of a summary of articles by K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, which the author of the article, however, obviously failed to understand correctly. In particular, he states:⁹⁹

“Starting in June 1944, some of the new arrivals were immediately murdered in the gas chambers^[100] of the camp. Of the 50,000 Jews brought to Stutthof, almost all perished”.

In the official camp history—prepared with the participation of eleven Polish historians¹⁰¹—the chapter “Direkte Extermination”, taken from Danuta Drywa, states as follows:¹⁰²

“In the second half of 1944, a new period began in the history of Stutthof. Starting in July, the camp was included in camps carrying out the ‘Final Solution’ of the Jewish problem. Beginning on June 29, 1944, mass transports of Jews from the eastern territories entered Stutthof, as well as of other Jews transferred from Auschwitz concentration camp.[...]

The Jews were subjected to selection. The initial selections were performed immediately upon arrival of the transport into the camp. As a result, a transport with 1,893 persons left Stutthof for Auschwitz on August 26, 1944. The transport consisted of women, including mothers with children unable to work. Another transport of mothers and children, as well as of the sick and unfit, was sent on September 10. These transports were for the purpose of extermination. Upon arrival at the destination, the Jews were sent directly into the gas chamber. Further selections in the camp were intended to select the inmates for gassing in Stutthof itself.

⁹⁸ Romuald Drynko, *Informator wystaw stałych Muzeum Stutthof w Stutowie, Gdingen/Stutthof*, 1991, p. 27.

⁹⁹ *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust*, *op. cit.* (note 5), volume III p. 1,382.

¹⁰⁰ Note the plural!

¹⁰¹ See notes 1 and 2.

¹⁰² *Ibid.*, German translation p. 250 and 251f.; the original German is clumsy in parts, which is the fault of the Polish publisher.

The gas chamber in Stutthof concentration camp, built in 1943, was initially used for the disinfection of clothing. It is difficult to establish exactly when it was put to work for extermination. The earlier literature on Stutthof assumes that the first group of gassed persons consisted of Russian invalids from the prisoner of war camp at Czarne; this occurred at the end of July 1944, after receipt of the Inspectorate's approval. Again, based on research, Maria Jezierska was able to establish that this gassing took place on August 22, 1944. The Soviet prisoners of war arrived in Stutthof concentration camp, along with a large transport from the Security Police Riga, on August 15, and received the numbers 63224-63806. Of this group, 77 invalids were given the same date of death, that is, August 22. On the date, neither the number of the death certificate appears in the death register nor the letter 'E', which would indicate execution. This data is also missing from the personal identification sheet for these prisoners of war.

As physically unfit, they represented no economic value for the camp management, and were doomed for extermination by gas from the outset, according to guideline '14 f 13'. In the concentration camp regulations, '14 f' meant gassing as a form of euthanasia. Another date of a gassing of war invalids, also from August, is given by Aldo Coradello, in which he adds a pregnant description of their attitude upon entering the gas chamber. He learned of this from cremator Kapo Wilhelm Patsch and his assistant, Franciszek Knitter. The earlier gassing of another group of Soviet invalids at an earlier date cannot, however, be entirely excluded; but executions of unregistered groups took place as already mentioned. Since the documents for the first half of the year 1944 are missing, we can neither find confirmation nor denial of this fact in the camp records. For this reason, it is equally difficult to establish the date of the gassing of two groups of Poles, partisans from the Bialystok region, and partisans from the Warsaw ghetto uprising, often described by former inmates in their memoirs. These memoirs indicate that the gassing of approximately 100 partisans was completed at the end of July, while the Warsaw group was murdered between September and November. There are discrepancies in the reports relating to the date and procedure of the action, but most of these reports repeat that both groups were taken to the crematorium and attempted to hide in the camp because they had been warned of their fate. The SS escorts began to shoot; some of the inmates fell, and the others were taken to the gas chamber.

The killings by gas acquired greater proportions when the Jewish inmates arrived in 1944. According to the testimony of the former SS man Hans Rach, the gassing of the Jewish women lasted from July to November 1944; on some days, during this time, ten or even twenty-plus people were killed. The date was marked with the date stamp in the record books, and, as in the case of the Soviet prisoners of war, the numbers are missing from the death book. The death of the first larger group of female inmates was noted on July 24, 1944; the other mortali-

ties were registered throughout August, September and October. The Jewish women were selected for gassing during role calls that lasted hours. The selections were carried out by the block elder with SS men, usually Ewald Foth, Otto Knoth and Otto Haupt; sometimes the camp doctor Otto Heidl, in addition to Theodor Meyer and Arno Chemnitz. Particularly pregnant women, mothers with children, and the sick were doomed to extermination. Their state of health was judged by the condition of their legs; foot races were therefore held between Jewish women. Anyone who could not run was loaded onto a wagon and taken to the gas chamber. When the gas chamber was full, the door was shut, and Otto Knott, who had undergone special training in Oranienburg and in Lublin concentration camp (Majdanek), climbed onto the roof and poured Zyklon B through a special opening into the chamber. In addition to Knott, SS Unterscharführer Hans Rach and Ewald Foth also did this. Initially, the women, children, and old people went unsuspectingly and quietly into the gas chamber. Later, when, thanks to the quick circulation of rumors in the camp, they knew what was in store for them, the groups of 25-30 persons being led to the gas chamber put up resistance; but they were violently forced inside. Since the situation became difficult at the end of October or beginning of November, extermination in the gas chamber was stopped. To fool the victims, two of the narrow gauge railway carriages were equipped for the gassing. In the Jewish camp, it was announced that there was a need for women who could knit and darn stockings. The selected persons, mostly older Jewish women, were given sewing and knitting needles (this is the origin of the so-called Stocking Commando), and taken away, since they allegedly were supposedly being taken to the workplace by train. The women saw SS men in railway uniforms and were convinced that they were being taken to work, and willingly climbed onto the trains. The narrow gauge railway made a round trip around the camp and stopped in front of the crematorium with the gassed Jewish women. In November 1944, the extermination action was stopped. But this did not reduce the mortality in the camp, since a typhus epidemic broke out, which affected mostly the Jewish camp, exhausted by work and illness. It is highly likely that the epidemic was provoked by the camp administration, since nothing in particular was done to combat it."

In the following chapter, we will examine the historical basis for the allegations made in the official version of history.

CHAPTER III:

Stutthof as an “*Extermination Camp*”: Critical Investigation of the Sources

1. Preliminary Remarks

Polish historians having directed their attention to Stutthof—even more so than Polish Majdanek specialists, for example—have been influenced by the crude propaganda of the immediate post-war period. They make inexhaustible use of the most dubious eyewitnesses who are, for the most part, refuted by the available documents. This practice is reflected, in particular, in the claim that the reason for building the Stutthof camp was to bring about the “*direct*” or “*indirect*” extermination of the inmates. So-called “*indirect extermination*” is alleged to have consisted of creating intolerable living conditions in the camp,¹⁰³ while “*direct extermination*” is said to have consisted of murdering the inmates. In this regard, D. Drywa remarks as follows in the official camp history:¹⁰⁴

“In addition to indirect extermination, the concentration camps, not excepting Stutthof, also performed direct extermination, the purpose of which was to kill as many people as possible in a short time. The methods used for this purpose were: shooting, hanging, killing by phenol injection or in the gas chamber.”

We will now examine the basis of these claims.

2. “*Indirect Extermination*” Mistreatment, Torture, and the Deliberate Propagation of Disease

Although the camp regulations prohibited the mistreatment of inmates, there is no doubt that the inmates in Stutthof, as in other concentration camps, suffered not only from hard work, malnutrition, intolerable hygienic conditions, and epidemics spread by these intolerable hygienic conditions, but from torture and torment by the Kapos and guards.

¹⁰³ Compare in this regard the chapter “*Indirekte Extermination*”, written by E. Grot, in: *Stutthof, Das Konzentrationslager, op. cit.* (note 2), p. 167-199.

¹⁰⁴ D. Drywa, “*Direkte Extermination*”, in: *ibid.*, p. 234.

The *Merkblättern für den Unterricht. An die SS Führer im Kl Dienst* stated unequivocally:¹⁰⁵

“Guards are prohibited from incurring corporal punishment upon inmates unless authorized.”

Stutthof camp commandant P.W. Hoppe issued *Kommandanturbefehl* (command post order) no. 46 on 11 July 1944, stating:¹⁰⁶

“Subordinates and men must again be continuously instructed that they, insofar as they are assigned as commando leaders or chain of sentry posts, are responsible for seeing that sufficient work is performed by the inmate commandos. It is a matter of course that the inmates must not be beaten, pushed, or touched in so doing. Reprimands may only be given verbally. It does not matter whether the guard gives his instructions in German or in a foreign language, as long as the inmate knows what he is supposed to do.”

It would, of course, be naïve to assume that no mistreatment took place in practice, since regulations often exist only on paper. The Polish official version of history, however, goes to the other extreme, and inundates the reader with ‘eyewitness testimony’ which quite obviously falls into the category of atrocity propaganda; the following are a few examples.

In discussing the eyewitness account by an Ester Szlamowitz, D. Drywa writes:¹⁰⁷

“One day the commander of the women’s camp came and explained to the block elder that they wanted 150 corpses on a certain day. Since it was difficult to cause so many deaths on the first call, the soup kettles for us inmates were emptied out into the latrine. The starved inmates began to scoop up the remains of food from the latrine; this immediately helped: the Germans reached their quota easily.”

A certain Teodor Kluka tells of the block elder Josef Pabst:¹⁰⁸

“[...] Pabst, who was characterized by particular cruelty to the inmates, killed an inmate for waking him up every night as the inmate went by his bunk to the latrine, and there were days on which he killed approximately ten men.”

According to Father K. Dunin-Wąsowicz—himself a former Stutthof inmate—Pabst, who according to the witness Kluka is supposed to have killed approximately 10 men on some days, was publicly executed by the Germans at Stutthof at the end of 1944 for *one* mur-

¹⁰⁵ AMS, I-IB-6.

¹⁰⁶ MS, I-IB-3. See document 3.

¹⁰⁷ D. Drywa, “*Direkte Extermination*”, *op. cit.* (note 104) p. 250f.

¹⁰⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 239.

der—breaking the ribs of a Pole and then strangling him—after confirmation of the death sentence by Berlin.¹⁰⁹

E. Grot goes one better based on the testimony of Olga M. Pickholz:¹¹⁰

“In the summer of 1944, when the camp could no longer house all inmates in the barracks, the camp administration stopped the water supply to the Jewish section. The women were forced to drink their own urine.”

It is hard to believe, but this historian’s gullibility even goes so far as to repeat the old atrocity story of soap from human fat.¹¹¹

“In 1944, the Institute for Hygiene and pathological anatomy in Danzig, administered by Prof. Rudolf Spanner, undertook the experiment of manufacturing soap from human fat. The bodies were collected chiefly from Stutthof, as well as the camps in Königsberg and Elbing. The first trial experiment in the manufacture of soap was carried out in February 1944. Until the end of the war, soap production did not extend beyond mere experimentation. The institute was visited, among others, by [Reichsstadthalter of Danzig-West Prussia] Albert Forster, the Minister for Education Bernhard Rust, and Reich Health Minister Leonardo Conti. This enhanced the institute’s official character. In the statement of the Commission, which investigated the laboratory on May 4, 1945, it was stated that ‘in Danzig, German scientists committed the crime of soap production from the human fat of inmates and prisoners of war, chiefly of Polish and Russian origin, as well as the crime of preparing human skin for utilitarian purposes’ [...]. In the light of the indictment of the State Prosecutor of the USSR in Nuremberg and the Decision of the Reich Court of March 7, 1912, any disposition of the human body after death was impermissible and punishable from a legal point of view.”

It is well known that official Western historiography has long since abandoned the fairy tale of soap from human fat. As remarked by Raul Hilberg:¹¹²

“Even the soap rumor appears to have stubbornly clung to life. According to Friedmann,^[113] soap was actually boycotted by the Polish population because they assumed that human body parts were used in the manufacture. A document from Prof. Spanner, the Director of the Anatomical Institute of the Medical Academy of Danzig, dated Febru-

¹⁰⁹ K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, *Obóz koncentracyjny Stutthof*, Warsaw 1970, p. 90.

¹¹⁰ E. Grot, “Indirekte Extermination”, *op. cit.* (note 49), p. 182.

¹¹¹ *Ibid.*, p. 189.

¹¹² Raul Hilberg, *Die Vernichtung der europäischen Juden*, *op. cit.*, (note 6), vol. II, p. 1,034.

¹¹³ This is a reference to Filip Friedman, author of the book quoted many times by Hilberg, *This Was Oswiecim*, London 1946. The passage mentioned by Hilberg appears on p. 64.

ary 15, 1944 (USSR-196), contains a recipe for the manufacture of soap from fat residues, with recommendations for the removal of unpleasant odours. The document does not, however, specifically mention human fat [...] The rumor of soap manufacture even survived the war. Pieces of soap allegedly made from the fat of murdered Jews were stored in Israel and in the YIVO Institute in New York.”

Just like the silly human soap story, the claim is made by Drywa that the terrible typhus epidemic which struck Stutthof starting in the fall of 1944, was “*very probably*” caused by the camp administration.¹¹⁴ Perhaps the German camp administration had a manic death wish? Even their own people suffered during the first epidemic, which broke out in spring of 1942:¹¹⁵

“*The first cases of sickness from abdominal typhus appeared in the spring of 1942. On 24 April Dr. Stefan Mirau, inmate doctor since 1939, died in the infirmary from typhus; a few SS men also fell ill, one of them died.*”

D. Drywa then refutes his own statement by informing the reader at this point:¹¹⁶

“*Before the washroom and delousing installations were finished in the camp, the inmates were taken to Danzig for this purpose. The camp clothing was disinfested upon mass outbreaks of contagious illness.*”

3. “*Direct Extermination*” by Means Other than Gassing

a) Euthanasia by Injection

As is also claimed about other camps, the claim is made that, in Stutthof, many inmates were killed by SS doctors or health auxiliaries by means of injections. “*Heart failure*” and the like are then alleged to have been entered onto the records as the cause of death. The Polish historian Maria Elżbieta Jezierska cites several cases of “*lethal injection*” (“*spilowanie*”). Thus, for example, according to the testimony of three witnesses, Taissa Lyssenko, a Russian woman who, in a fit of mental derangement, threw herself naked onto the barbed wire and suffered such frightful injuries that she was killed by deadly injection. The relevant personal file (no. 22967) is said to have indicated “*heart failure—general physical exhaustion. Serious*

¹¹⁴ D. Drywa, “*Dirkete Extermination*”, *op. cit.* (note 104), p. 253.

¹¹⁵ E. Grot, “*Indirekte Extermination*”, *op. cit.* (note 49), p. 188.

¹¹⁶ *Ibid.*, p. 177.

psychosis”.¹¹⁷ Jezierska considers three cases of euthanasia as proven with certainty, and eight others as probably “similar to the first”.¹¹⁸

Although such killing is not documented, we do not doubt that they happened. The number of such cases may have amounted to a few dozen. A special case of euthanasia will be discussed later.

b) The Infirmary as “Extermination Factory”

What cannot be taken seriously—in contrast to the data on individual examples of euthanasia—is the claim of Polish historians that the camp infirmary was a sinister murder factory. D. Drywa writes:¹¹⁹

“One of the locations where the inmates of Stutthof concentration camp were deliberately and systematically exterminated was the camp infirmary.”

In the same tone, J. Grabowska writes:¹²⁰

“Inmates who lay sick too long in the infirmary were killed by the SS doctors by phenol injections or in the gas chamber. All these actions caused the inmates to consider the infirmary an extermination factory”.

J. Grabowska takes these statements to the *reductio ad absurdum* by informing us as follows in her own book:¹²¹

“The fundamental sources for investigation of the governmental structure consisted of the record books, transport lists, and the indication of releases from the camp infirmary (18,000) and the inmate personal files.” (Emphasis added.)

It is easy to see that Stutthof inmates, when they fell ill, had no reason to be overly afraid of this “extermination factory”!

The value of the claim that sick inmates were “deliberately and systematically exterminated” is apparent from the fact that, before completion of the camp infirmary, seriously ill inmates were treated in a civilian hospital. E. Grot remarks:¹²²

“The other patients (i.e., those not treated on the spot), were transported to the infirmary at Neufahrwasser; especially serious cases (for example, amputations of the extremities) were treated in Danzig State Hospital (the Stutthof inmates were confined to a barrack guarded by the police [...]) After April (1940), amputations of the legs or hands were performed in the camp infirmary.”

¹¹⁷ M.E. Jezierska, “Straceni w Obozie Stutthof”, in: SZM, no. 7, 1987, p. 151.

¹¹⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 151f.

¹¹⁹ D. Drywa, “Direkte Extermination”, *op. cit.* (note 104), p. 248.

¹²⁰ J. Grabowska, *Stutthof. Informator historyczny*, *op. cit.* (note 96), p. 24.

¹²¹ J. Grabowska, “Die Häftlinge”, *op. cit.* (note 38), p. 142.

¹²² E. Grot, “Indirekte Extermination”, *op. cit.* (note 49), p. 191.

Why did the Germans need to perform amputations if the unfit were to be immediately liquidated?

Despite its sinister context, the story of the three murderous health care workers is involuntarily comical. This story runs as follows:¹²³

“The transport with ten inmate health care workers which arrived from Dachau concentration camp on April 22, 1942 had another intention [other than the transfer of inmates on labor-technical grounds]. They had been especially trained to kill the inmates in the camp infirmary with intravenous injections of phenol [...] Another ten health care workers were transferred from Dachau concentration camp in September 1944 to kill Jewish women with injections of phenol.”

In another article, the same author states:¹²⁴

“On August 23, 1944 [correct date: September 23, 1944¹²⁵] another 10 trained health care workers (including the Frenchmen Alphonse Kienzler and Paul Weil), arrived at Stutthof from Dachau in connection with implementation of action on the ‘Final Solution of the Jewish Question’ underway at Stutthof at that time.”

The two “murderous health care workers” from France, Alphonse Kienzler and Paul Weil, are supposed to be star eyewitnesses to the reported mass crimes in Stutthof, and are referred to as such in the official history of the camp!¹²⁵ The claim by D. Drywas appears even more distorted in view of the fact that at least one of the two health care workers alleged to have been transferred from Alsace to West Prussia for the purpose of participation in the mass murder of Jews by injection, P. Weil was himself Jewish. Polish historians are so hypnotized by the preconceived notion that everything that happened at Stutthof was intended to bring about the extermination of human beings that they can simply no longer conceive of the idea that health care workers could ever be sent anywhere *to heal human beings*. At the same time, these same historians inform us that, in April 1942—when the first contingent of health care workers arrived from Dachau—typhus had just broken out at Stutthof!¹²² The second group of health care workers was therefore almost certainly sent to

¹²³ D. Drywa, “*Direkte Extermination*”, *op. cit.* (note 104), p. 162, 165.

¹²⁴ D. Drywa, “*Ruch transportów...*”, *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 19. The date of this transfer was 23 September 1944; this harmonizes with the inmate numbers assigned to the two health care workers; compare the table of transports on p. 30 of the article.

¹²⁵ J. Grabowska, “*Die Häftlinge*”, *op. cit.* (note 38), p. 155. The most important excerpt from the eyewitness statements of Kienzler and Weil will be given later (compare Chapter III, Section 4, d) ii.).

Stutthof in connection with an epidemic, since, according to E. Grot:¹²⁶

“The next epidemic— typhus this time—broke out at the end of the summer of 1944, when there were approximately 33,000 inmates in the main camp.”

The indication of the point in time—“the end of the summer”—coincides perfectly with the date of the transfer of the second group of health care workers—September 23!

c) Executions

As in other concentration camps, there were considerable numbers of executions at Stutthof. M. E. Jezierska has conducted an extensive investigation in this regard.¹²⁷ It is based partly on eyewitness reports, partly on German documents, and, in both cases, on physical evidence (exhumations). We are solely interested in the documents and physical evidence.

According to M.E. Jezierska, two shootings of groups of inmates can be proven for the first period of the camp’s existence (until January 1942, when Stutthof was assigned the status of concentration camp). Twenty-two persons were shot for resistance activities against the occupying power on 20 January 23, 1940, while 67 other persons were shot for the same reason on March 22 of the same year. The shootings were described by witnesses, and confirmed by an exhumation conducted in 1946; the corpses were buried in the forest between Stegna and Stutthof.¹²⁸

According to M. E. Jewzierska’s research based on camp documents (delivery books and personal files), 263 executions can be proven for the second period of the camp’s existence (January 1942 to 1945); in these cases, the majority of death sentences were handed down for politically motivated acts (partisan activity), aiding and abetting in armed resistance, Communist propaganda, sabotage, etc., and, in several cases, mere attempted escape from the camp); a minority of these executions were for ordinary criminal offences (murder, rape, theft, and, in one case, even cruelty to animals).

The persons executed during this period consisted of 126 Soviet citizens, 122 Poles, 12 Jews, 2 Germans, and one Latvian; 19 of the persons executed were women. The death sentences were carried out by hanging in 50 cases and shooting in 36 cases; in the remaining

¹²⁶ E. Grot, “Indirekte Extermination”, *op. cit.* (note 49), p. 188.

¹²⁷ M. E. Jezierska, “Straceni w Obozie Stutthof”, *op. cit.* (note 117).

¹²⁸ *Ibid.*, p. 114f.

177 cases, only the execution is mentioned in the records—not the method of execution.¹²⁹

d) Estimated Total Number of Victims

There is no doubt that life in Stutthof was extremely hard, and that camp discipline was very rigid. But this in no way implies a policy of extermination. Death sentences were passed for absurdly minor offences—such as attempted escape—but they always involved an *individual* punitive procedure, and had to be confirmed by Berlin.¹³⁰ Cases of euthanasia were restricted to a small number of seriously ill and seriously injured persons, while the legends of the murderous functions of the camp infirmary are refuted simply by the 18,000 inmates who received medical treatment there and left the building alive.

That efforts were made in Berlin to ensure improved living conditions in the camp is shown by the following statement by E. Grot.¹³¹

“The lack of food, in addition to the physically exhausting hard work, became one of the factors of indirect extermination. Himmler’s circular directive of 5 December 1941 on the introduction of additional food rations and clothing had no influence on the improved living conditions in Stutthof. Himmler’s regulation of October 29, 1942 on the admission of food packages into the camps, as well as Pohl’s order of mid-May 1943 on the recognition of cash bonuses for hard-working inmates, were both carried out, and gave the inmates a chance to receive additional rations in addition to the official rations.”

The above must certainly be considered evidence against a policy of extermination.

¹²⁹ *Ibid.*, p. 127-142. Based upon the records, it is impossible to establish the criteria according to which the decisions as to the methods of execution—shooting or hanging—were made. Both methods of execution were employed against resistance fighters and common criminals.

¹³⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 112ff.

¹³¹ E. Grot, “*Indirekte Extermination*”, *op. cit.* (note 49), p. 182f.

4. The Gassing of Human Beings

a) The Stutthof Gas Chamber: Structure and Method of Functioning

Before examining the question of the mass gassing of human beings, the structure and functioning of the reported killing installation must first be examined.

There are no surviving documents relating to the Stutthof gas chamber, located approximately 10 meters north of the crematorium. According to the official historiography, it was built in 1943 for disinfestation purposes. The following description is based on a personal inspection by the author during his visit to Stutthof in the early summer of 1997.

The gas chamber (photo 1) is a small, rectangular structure 8.5 meters in length, 3.5 meters in width, and 2.55 meters in height (exterior measurements). The walls are of ordinary brick masonry; the ceiling is of reinforced concrete. The chamber had two gas-tight steel doors, located opposite each other. The steel doors were removed before the arrival of the Soviet troops, probably upon instructions from the camp authorities, as can be seen from a Soviet photograph taken in 1945 (photograph 2). The doors in existence today, of light sheet steel (photos 3 and 4), were installed after the liberation. To the left of the south door, on the exterior wall, is a small brick oven (photos 5 and 6); the front side of the oven contains two small metal doors.

The upper door is the fire door; the lower one is the ash door. The first was used to seal the coke-fired combustion chamber, containing a grid of diagonal rods. An opening pierced in the rear wall links the combustion chamber to a cast iron pipe (photo 7) inside the gas chamber. The oven doors bear the inscription “*Patent Bzrajber*”.

The interior volume of the chamber is 8 m × 3 m × 2.50 m. The floor is of perforated brick,¹³² the holes are filled with cement. The walls are whitewashed; the ceiling is of rough cement. At oven height, the above mentioned cast iron pipe, approximately 25 cm in diameter, runs along the west wall;¹³³ the pipe then bends at a right angle, rises perpendicularly up the north wall (photo 8) and leads out of the roof of the chamber into a brick chimney (photo 9). Today, this pipe is almost enclosed by a wall, forming a solid section 65-cm high and 50 cm wide. It is only uncovered in short sections visible in

¹³² Brick with three round perforations.

¹³³ It is 78 cm in circumference.

photo 7. As may be seen in a Soviet photograph taken after the liberation of the camp,¹³⁴ the first half of the pipe was originally surrounded by a wall of perforated brick, of the type used in construction of the floor; the other half was uncovered.

A circular opening 15-cm in diameter was pierced in the middle of the ceiling (photo 10). Above is a metal shaft with a lid (photo 11). Directly beneath the above-mentioned opening in the floor is a small drain (photo 12) formerly equipped with a protective grate. Enormous migrating stains of iron cyanide (Prussian Blue) are visible on all four inside walls of the chamber, clearly proving the use of hydrocyanic acid gas in this building (photo 13). Blue pigmentation has also formed around the circular opening in the ceiling. Finally, enormous blue stains are visible on the exterior walls of the chamber, particularly, on the east (photos 14 and 15) and west walls (photos 16 and 17), and, to a lesser extent, near the doors on the north and south walls as well (photos 5 and 9).

This gas chamber may appear to have been rather crudely constructed in comparison with the Degesch circulation air installations for disinfestation with Zyklon B, but it was quite capable of functioning effectively. The relatively low temperature required for the rapid evaporation of hydrocyanic acid out of the granular carrier was ensured by the combustion products of the oven; the combustion products heated the cast iron pipe, as well as the walls of perforated brickwork partially surrounding the pipe, and then rose through the chimney into the open air. The small shaft in the ceiling made it possible to shake out the Zyklon B even with the door closed, after covering the opening of the drain in the floor with paper.

Simultaneously opening the two doors along the north-southwest axis achieved rather rapid and efficient ventilation. This was accelerated by the heat which continued to radiate all along the pipe; if the fire was kept burning during ventilation as well, the result was an air flow inside the chamber which would have been sufficient to ensure an almost complete air exchange in a short time.

Contrary to J.-C. Pressac's impression, the opening in the ceiling was quite necessary to the functioning of the installation. Simply sprinkling Zyklon B granulate on the floor would have been prevented by the metal rack upon which the articles of clothing were to be hung and then disinfested, occupying the entire surface area of the gas chamber right up to the doors.¹³⁵ That this opening was in-

¹³⁴ We possess a photocopy of this photo with archive number.

¹³⁵ This rack is similar to the one illustrated by Pressac on p. 83ff. of his book *Tech-*
(Continued on next page.)

stalled on the murderous instructions of Rudolf Höß, was simply invented by Pressac.

Nevertheless, in our view, use of these delousing chambers in their original condition for the killing of human beings would have been possible *in a purely technical sense*. The time periods mentioned in the Soviet Expert Commission, as well as the method of procedure described in the report, lie with the realm of the possible, at least *theoretically*.¹³⁶ We must now examine whether or not the chamber was *actually* used for this purpose. The following, therefore, is intended to attack the question from the historical point of view.

b) The Crematorium

First, of course, a few remarks on the camp crematorium are in order. The crematorium, of course, plays quite a second-rate role in connection with the reported extermination of human beings, but the data of eyewitnesses with relation to its function and capacity are a solid criterion for an evaluation of their general credibility.

In Stutthof, two of the coke-fueled ovens were installed by the H. Kori concern (photo 18); the same firm also installed one oil-fired oven. These three ovens are exhibited in the crematorium reconstructed by the Poles after the war.

There are no surviving documents relating to the crematorium. All statements contained in the technical literature on this installation are based on eyewitness testimonies. The most detailed information is found in Ewa Ferenc; we quote:¹³⁷

"The plans of the camp crematorium were fulfilled with the plans of the new camp. The camp was to have had eight double ovens and one morgue, to be linked to the ovens by a lift. A gold workshop with a safe and 4 rooms measuring 20 m² in surface area were to be connected to the crematorium. On the plan, the rooms were designated with the letters z.b.V. (zur besonderen Verfügung) [for special duty]. The ovens were to cremate approximately 100 corpses in one hour. According to the plans, the whole crematorium was to be surrounded by a high wall. The plan, however, was not put into effect."

As a source, the Polish historian refers to the testimony of the former inmate Waclaw Lewandowski. The latter, however, provided a distorted description of the original crematorium project. A docu-

nique and Operation of the Gas Chambers, op. cit., (note 94).

¹³⁶ It is, of course, improbable that 40 minutes would have sufficed to fill the chamber with victims, as reported in the expert report.

¹³⁷ Ewa Ferenc, "Bau und Erweiterung...", *op. cit.* (note 13), p. 105f.

ment from the Central Building Administration of Auschwitz offers a background explanation: on June 15, 1942, the Bauleitung of Stutthof concentration camp requested the Central Building Administration of Auschwitz for information on the installation of a crematorium. The head of the Central Building Administration, SS Hauptsturmführer Karl Bischoff, replied on July 10, enclosing the plans for the future Crematorium II of Birkenau, providing for the construction of “5 three muffle crematory ovens”. Bischoff also reported that, according to the oven manufacturer, Topf, the period of time required for the cremation of one corpse amounted to one half hour.¹³⁸ This information was not however in accordance with reality, but was rather the reflection of wishful thinking. In actual fact, the average cremation time for a corpse amounted to one hour.¹³⁹

The witness Lewandowski therefore, not only got the number of ovens wrong, but mentioned the wrong model as well (“eight double ovens” instead of five three muffle ovens); he also exaggerated the crematory capacity by three-fold (six corpses instead of two), in comparison to the capacity reported by Topf.

E. Ferenc continues:¹⁴⁰

“In the summer of 1942, an oil-fueled crematory oven was delivered. It was installed on the east side of the infirmary, with a wooden roof built over it; the terrain of the crematorium was set apart from the camp. The oven was in operation approximately one half year. It burnt 5-6 corpses in 45 minutes, using approximately 5 liters of oil to do so. The first cremation took place on September 1, 1942 [...]

At the end of 1942, the Kori Corporation in Berlin built two walled crematory ovens and one chimney 18 meters long. An oven burnt 7-8 corpses in 45 minutes. Over the oven, a barrack of wood was constructed; this burnt down in the night of December 3-4. For this reason, the wooden barrack was replaced by a stone barrack, with a room for the heater, toilets, and two small rooms ‘z.b.V.’ [for special duty] After reconstruction, the crematorium began to ‘work’ again on December 26, 1944. Until then, the corpses of inmates were burnt in a field crematorium.”

¹³⁸ TCIDK, 502-1-272, p. 168.

¹³⁹ The question of the cremation time is discussed in detail in Carlo Mattogno’s forthcoming work, *The Crematory Ovens of Auschwitz*. That book reproduces the document mentioned in the above commentary. For a summary of said article, cf. C. Mattogno, F. Deana, “*The Crematoria Ovens of Auschwitz and Birkenau*,” in: Ernst Gauss (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, 2nd ed., Theses and Dissertations Press, Chicago, IL, 2003, pp. 373-412.

¹⁴⁰ Ewa Ferenc, “*Bau und Erweiterung...*”, *op. cit.* (note 13), p. 106.

This information, again exclusively based on eyewitness reports, is on a weak footing as well, both historically and technically.

As regards the historical aspects, the only known original plan of the camp, which dates back to January 25, 1943, contains no designation of a crematorium—which means that it was not even begun at the time. The story of the burnt barrack therefore presumably relates to the oil-fueled oven. That this was put out of operation after only six months of use—even before the stationary ovens were built—is highly improbable. That not one single oven was in operation for fully three years—until December 26, 1944—is refuted by several documents, first of all the death registry, the section of which relating to the time period between January and April 1944 has survived, and which contains an indication of cremation dates.¹⁴¹

Technically speaking, it is impossible to burn five to six corpses in 45 minutes in an oil-fueled Kori oven. Our book on Majdanek discusses a German report attributing a capacity of 50 corpses in 12 hours to this type of oven. Our hypothesis at that time—that such a high capacity was actually within the realm possibility under ordinary circumstances¹⁴²—is refuted by the documentation relating to the oil-fueled ovens of the crematorium at Theresienstadt, which was still unknown to us at that time. These ovens were very much bigger than the Kori ovens, but could only cremate two corpses per hour.¹⁴³ The capacity of the oil-fueled Kori Ovens could under no circumstances have been higher.

The claim that seven to eight corpses could be cremated in one oil-fueled Kori oven in 45 minutes is quite absurd; the documentation on the coke-fueled Kori ovens at the Dutch Westerbork transit camp proves that a cremation took an average of 50 minutes.¹⁴⁴

All this shows that the official Polish historiography, as well as the Soviet expert report quoted in the second chapter relating to the capacity of the crematory ovens at Stutthof, is devoid of all scientific basis. In particular, the statement contained in the Soviet report that 12 corpses could normally be introduced into one combustion cham-

¹⁴¹ See Chapter III, section 5.a).

¹⁴² J. Graf and C. Mattogno, *KL Maidanek, op. cit.* (note 9), p. 114f.

¹⁴³ This is due to the peculiar structure of these ovens, as described in more detail by C. Mattogno in his book on the crematoria (see note 139), now in preparation.

¹⁴⁴ The above mentioned data relates to the cremation of adult corpses. This point has also been discussed by C. Mattogno in his forthcoming book on the crematoria.

ber, and that they could be cremated in only 50 minutes, is pure nonsense:

- first, the calculations of the Soviet “experts” are based upon the theoretical volume of the combustion chamber and corpses, as if the first were a container and the second a liquid, a certain quantity of which could simply be poured into the container;
- second, cramming the combustion chamber with 12 corpses—which would be unfeasible in any case—would have interrupted the combustion process in the coke ovens due to lack of draft.¹⁴⁵ Even in the oil-fueled ovens, combustion would have been impossible, because the flame would have been extinguished by the corpses stacked up near the combustion nozzle.
- third, even if combustion was possible—and it was not—it would have taken ten to twelve times as long as the time indicated. Precisely this was true, in particular, of the animal corpse combustion installations built by the Kori Corporation—the only existing oven comparable to the ovens reported for Stutthof.¹⁴⁶

Let us now turn from the above discussion of the crematorium to the allegations of mass gassings in KL Stutthof.

c) The Time and Number of Victims of the Alleged Mass Gassings According to Various Sources.

In the previous chapter, we reproduced the reports alleging the murder of human beings in the gas chamber of Stutthof. These statements, for the most part, are very vague as regards the decisive question of the date and number of victims of the gassings, and to some extent they contradict each other. The following table makes this point very clear:

¹⁴⁵ The combustion of the coke in the gas generator of a coke oven is directly dependent upon the suction draft of the chimney, which must be sufficiently large to overcome the resistance of the layer of coke against the passage of combustion air through the layer of coke. Cramming the combustion chamber with 12 corpses would have blocked the connection opening between the gas generator and the combustion chamber as well as the combustion gas outlet, which was located behind the introduction door on the vault of the combustion chamber. For this reason, the cremation process would have immediately come to a full stop!

¹⁴⁶ See, in this regard, Carlo Mattogno’s remarks in J. Graf and C. Mattogno, *KL Madjanek, op. cit.* (note 9), p. 112f. The matter is discussed in more detail in Mattogno’s forthcoming book (see note 139).

REPORTED MASS GASSINGS IN STUTTHOF (1944)

Author \ Month(s)	Łukasz- kiewicz 1947	D.-Wąso- wicz 1970	G. Komisja 1979	D.-Wąso- wicz 1983	D. Drywa 1988
June				22.6.: 100	
July				26.7.: 12 ?, 70, 300	24.7.: ?
August		70, 300, 100		300	22.8.: 77
September		300		600 ?	
October		600 ?			
November		200-250		250	
June and later			4,000		
pre-August	1,000				
Aug.-Dec.	3,000				

The above table gives the following overall picture of reported homicidal mass gassings:

- 22 June: 100 Poles and white Russians
- 24 July: ? Jews
- 26 July: 12 Polish resistance fighters
- 22 August: 77 disabled Soviet prisoners of war
- August: 300 Jews
- August: 100 men
- September: 300 Jewish women
- October: 600 Jewish women
- October: a few dozen men
- November: 250 women

Adding up the above figures, we arrive at a total of 1,739, which is less than half the official figure of 4,000. With regards to eight gassings, with a total of 1,550 victims, only the month is indicated. In one case, of course, the exact date is given, but not the number of victims; in another case, we are left in the dark as to the date, as well as the number of victims.

The vagueness of these data is explained by the fact that there are no documents on the alleged homicidal mass gassings.

d) Sources for Alleged Homicidal Mass Gassings

1) *The Gas Chamber*

With relation to the sources, J. Grabowska remarks:¹⁴⁷

“The gas chamber was used several times between June and October 1944 to kill Polish political prisoners and resistance fighters. For example, a group of Polish partisans from the region of Bialystok were killed in this manner (in June 1944), as well as a group of men delivered after the uprising in the Warsaw Ghetto (September/October 1944). The date and the number of the last mentioned executions, as well as the number of executed persons cannot be established with exactitude, since the related documents have not survived (if they were ever prepared). The reports of former inmates are inexact; for the most part, they repeat that both these groups were warned of the fate awaiting them on their way to the gas chamber; they are said to have attempted to escape inside the camp. The SS men began to shoot, and killed a few inmates; the others were recaptured and gassed.” (Emphasis added.)

D. Drywa also admits that just when the gas chamber “*was used for the killing of human beings*” could “*only be established with difficulty*”. In connection with the “*gassing of two groups of Poles*”, it speaks of “*difficulties*” and “*discrepancies in relation to the date and procedure of the action*” in the eyewitness reports. This is anything but of minor importance when one considers that it is precisely these eyewitness reports which are the only sources for the alleged mass gassings; these reports are furthermore extremely scarce.

The most important purveyors of these reports are the former Polish Stutthof inmate Zbigniew Krawczyk—mentioned only in the Soviet expert report—and, in particular, the Italian, Aldo Coradello, a former camp inmate and star witness to the gas chamber at Stutthof.

First, with regards to Krawczyk. The Soviet expert report permits one to conclude that this person described the mass gassing procedure, but without any exact reference to the date or the number of victims. On these grounds alone, the testimony is much too indefinite to possess any value as an historical source.

As soon as Krawczyk goes into any detail, he becomes totally incredible, as in the following:

“Thank to the testimony of Krawczyk, it was possible to determine that the ovens were in operation day and night. The service crews worked in shifts. Up to 30 corpses were introduced into two ovens at the

¹⁴⁷ Hermann Kuhn (ed.), *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.* (note 35), p. 62.

same time. The cremation process lasted two hours. Coke was used as fuel.”

The simultaneous cremation of 15 corpses in one coke-fueled Kori oven, and in two hours to boot, is quite simply a technical absurdity; please see our above remarks on the topic.

In 1946, A. Coradello prepared a longer report for the Criminal Court in Danzig, expounding at length upon the homicidal mass gasings (the linguistic unclarity is due to the fact that German was a foreign language to Coradello):¹⁴⁸

“By far the greatest number of death victims were due to the gas chambers in Stutthof—as in the other concentration camps. It is hard to say, to indicate, an exact number of these victims. But one is not far off when one reports that there were many thousands. Over the years, the SS elevated this type of butchery into a pure science.

There were several different types of these infamous gas chambers, which were constructed according to the taste of the SS, in which extra technicians must have worked. From simple dark chambers, without any comfort, only the inscription ‘Caution. Lethal Danger. Close doors well during use’, up to well-built railway cars accompanied by all kinds of chicanery and supplied by the German railways, and even built especially for the narrow gauge Danzig-Stutthof railway.

Those exterminated in the gas chamber at Stutthof were mostly Jews, Poles, and Russian patriots. In addition to the other described case of the gassing of 50-60 Russian disabled prisoners of war in August 1944, a few more details are known to me. This took place in the fall of 1944.

After the evacuation of the eastern territory, the Germans transported a large number of Jews of all nationalities to Stutthof from the camps from Estonia, Riga, Kaiserwald, and the ghetto of Kaunas. In particular, I remember, for example, the Jew Lulie and his sons Asjas from Riga.

These Jews were in the worst imaginable physical and moral condition; after they had hardly spent a month in Stutthof, they had lost weight until they were starved skeletons. They were the only survivors of the extermination policy of the Germans in this camp. [...]

In the fall of 1944, the butchers raged unmercifully, especially in women’s camp no. 3 in S[tutthof]. Of the women lodged there, approximately 14,000 were no longer able to work due to general physical exhaustion and malnutrition. They were even indicated as such in the daily reports of the work service.

¹⁴⁸ “Ausschnitt aus dem Zeugenbericht des früheren Mitglieds des Kgl. Ital. Generalkonsulates Aldo Coradello in Danzig, vom Sonderstrafgericht Danzig angefertigt”. ROD, 250I, doos 32a.

A few thousand more women were only fit to work in a restricted capacity. The number of the unfit increased by the day. Due to the injustice of camp life and insufficient food, the women lost more and more weight and got sicker every day.

The appropriate methods were devised in Stutthof, since no one doubted that the proposed numbers were approved in Berlin. Up to 3 prisoners had worked in the crematorium until now. One more prisoner was therefore detailed there. The commando was further strengthened by a night shift of 3 inmates, and the brutal SS overseer Peters, who was said to have been a former beer truck driver from the Fischer brewery in Danzig, and the right man for this job. [...]

Berlin immediately recognized the possibility of doing something to save German food by provisionally approving the gassing of 4,000 women as the first contingent. Work began immediately. Foth, the SS women's guards and the 'B.Ver.' block elders, sometimes also supported by SS Doctor Heidl, now sought out the victims."

The "extra described case of the gassing of 50-60 Russian disabled prisoners of war in August 1944", is described by Coradello as follows:¹⁴⁹

"In Stutthof mostly Jews, Poles and Russians were murdered in the gas chamber. Apart from the gassing of 50-60 disabled Russian prisoners of war in August 1944, several other murders are known to me, which were committed in the fall of 1944 [...]

In order to get rid of the Jews, who were dying too slowly, they were selected every day by the block elders; above all, those who felt especially weak or simply wanted to sleep. After the evening meal, groups of 30 inmates were chased out in front of the canteen, where they were loaded onto simple wooden wagons. It often happened that a father stood by and could not rescue his son, or a man could not rescue his brother. The victims being loaded onto the wagons were mostly so weak they let themselves be taken away without protest. In case of refusal, however, they laid him low with a blow by one of the professional criminals, and threw him on the wagon. Everyone in the camp then knew that there would be a gassing that evening. On the same day, the Jews cleared out of my block at the role call reported as 'ordered away'. The Kapo of the Crematorium, the 'professional criminal' (B.Ver.) Willy Patsch, told me that they were gassed. [...]

In the fall of 1944 the SS raged especially in the women's camp no. 2. 14,000 of the women there were separated as completely unable to work due to general weakness. They were thus characterised in the reports of the labor service. Many others could only work in a restricted capacity. The number of 'unfit' increased by the day. In Stutthof, they

¹⁴⁹ Report by Aldo Coradello "written in 1946 as testimony in the Stutthof Trials instead of oral testimony", in: H. Kuhn (ed.), *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, op. cit. (note 35), p. 124-128.

thought up a corresponding solution. In order to get rid of these ‘useless eaters’, they sent a proposal to Berlin. A fourth inmate was detailed in addition to the three inmates working in the crematorium. An additional reinforcement of this commando took place through a nightshift under the command of SS Scharführer Peters, who was previously active in the Fischer brewery in Danzig. He did not complain about this work, since, as he himself said, by searching carefully, it was possible to steal many gold teeth before the cremation. That was enough for brandy and a little savings.

In Berlin, it was quickly recognized that they could save food for the ‘heroes at the Front’: 4,000 women were designated for the first gassing. They immediately set to work. The victims were selected by the SS man Foth, the overseer and the block elder, sometimes by the SS doctor Dr. Heidl. Then a first group of 60 to 70 women left the camp. These were extremely weak, starved beings, for whom death no longer had any meaning. They were ready to die at any time. None of the women had the strength to protest, even if they knew that they were going to be gassed. The SS men, however, made yet another theatre piece out of a human tragedy; that’s how it was this time too. They told the women that they had succeeded in obtaining a free school building in order to set up a sanatorium for the Stutthof inmates. Nobody believed it, but the poor women wished in their hearts that it might be true. Strengthened by this illusory hope, they went voluntarily out of the interior part of the camp. They were unscrupulously packed into the gas chamber.

Often the quantity of gas was too small, that meant longer sufferings—but the result was the same.

Sometimes it happened that the criminals had too little time, because they wanted to participate in yet another evening feast. In order not to arrive too late, they rushed; so it happened that, apart from the dead women, women who were merely unconscious were taken out of the gas chamber and dragged into [sic] the ovens. The political inmate Erich Rössler told me that the German ‘professional criminals’, when they were drunk, smashed in the skulls of the only half dead victims with an axe. Then they returned to the block all smeared with blood, because they had no time to wash. They received the brandy from their ‘protector’ Chemnitz.

The gassing action in mid-November 1944 lasted four days and required the deaths of over 400 women. Then they were suddenly stopped. Among the inmates the rumor went around that the higher authorities in Berlin had interrupted the action [...]

On August 26 [1944] I was transferred to Stutthof once again. [...] Then I saw a group of 50-60 disabled Russian prisoners of war on the square in front of the delousing installation. Most of them had had a leg amputated, and could only move with the greatest effort with the help of crutches. Others were missing an arm or were blind. In one thing, they were all the same: they were starved to the highest degree and in rags. None had shoes; their heel bones were swollen and full of

wounds. They were so weak that they look like skeletons of death, even worse than the so-called camp-‘Cripples’. *The long-time inmates, who were busy with the delousing and in the ‘reception’ of the arrivals, told me that most of these invalids came from the prisoner of war camp at Hammerstein. They had already spent three days under the open sky, without any food, on the road. The only thing they could get was some water and food given to them by other inmates. The camp commandant had come to the conclusion that these unfit disabled persons were no longer worth the food.*

We newly arrived inmates had to wait for our acceptance formalities for 10 - 18 hours in a burning sun. The camp commandant Hoppe, the protective custody leader Meyer, and Reporter Leader Obersturmbannführer Chemnitz walked around us in circles. From their conversation, I was able to understand that they were concerned with the problem of the Russian invalids. Chemnitz thought that this ‘Russian scum’ should be gotten rid of; at the same time, he looked at the crematorium. [...] In the afternoon, Chemnitz and Lüdtke went to the war invalids and told them that they were to be sent to a sanatorium for invalids, where they would certainly feel better. I saw how the wretches showed their joy at finally being treated humanely, as they were entitled to be as prisoners of war and invalids to boot. In the rest of the water that was left over, they attempted to wash to look more decent. I will never forget how one prisoner made an effort to shave another who had no hands, using a piece of glass. They had neither soap nor brushes or razor blades. In painful excitement, I watched how they hurried in order to be ready for the transfer to the sanatorium. They were really transferred, not through the main gate, but through a side gate to the right of the SS shoe making shop, through which the bodies were taken out of the camp and the infirmary to the crematorium. For us experienced inmates, it was clear that the transferees would be cruelly murdered in a few hours.

On the evening, all the formalities for our transport were completed. The barber had cut off our hair to the skin. Everyone received his number that he had to sew onto the left breast pocket and on the trousers. [...]

When we met our comrades, suddenly the inmates Wilhelm Patsch and Franz Knitter appeared both German ‘professional criminals’ with a green triangle. They had had high functions in Stutthof. Patsch was a Kapo in the crematorium and Knitter was his right hand. On this evening, they were both drunk. From one of them, I learned that the Russian invalids had been murdered around 6 o’clock in the gas chamber. In order to avoid any possible resistance, the SS men had organized a special event. Next to the crematorium, ran the Danzig-Stutthof narrow gauge railway. On this day, two additional third carriages stood in the vicinity of the crematorium. The Soviet invalids had to take their places in it. They were convinced that the Germans had good intentions in their regard. After a half hour came Chemnitz, Lüdtke and Meyer, who

were swearing at the locomotive driver for being late. Then they told the invalids that the locomotive would only be there in an hour, so they would have time for an evening meal. They all got out and went into the ‘waiting room’. As soon as they were all inside the room indicated by Chemnitz, the steel doors shut behind them, and gas streamed into the room from the opening in the ceiling. The entire camp head office was present at the gassing. After a good hour, the doors were opened, the bodies were dragged out, and laid down by the crematorium. Every body was stripped naked; the clothing was piled up for further use. Every dead person was searched for jewels and gold teeth. The gold teeth were removed, together with the jaws, using a special device, and the bodies were labeled: ‘Checked by a dentist’.[...]

Patsch and Knitter reported that the cremation of the bodies of the Soviet invalids proceeded very quickly, because it was desired to hide the murders. They had poured oil and benzene over the bodies. The ovens normally held 13 bodies, and the cremation lasted 80 to 100 minutes. The bodies of the invalids were especially emaciated, and they could load 15 bodies in the ovens. Around midnight, the ovens were filled with the last bodies. Even in the next days, newly arrived inmates were walking around in the clothing of the gassed Soviet war invalids; they had no notion of the martyrdom of the prior owners of these articles of clothing.” (Emphasis added.)

Let us now test this report for its credibility. First, one must stress the obvious fact that Coradello was *not an eyewitness*, since everything he relates about the homicidal gasings is second-hand. This fact alone decisively diminishes the value of this testimony. His testimony is furthermore imprecise in the extreme, except in the case of the Russian invalids, which will be discussed separately.

As for the date of the gassing of the Jewish women, Coradello is unable even to specify the month, and is content to say that it happened in the “*fall of 1944*”!

On the number of the gassed Jewish women, Coradello first writes that it is difficult to provide “*an exact number*”, but then establishes the number of Jewish victims at 4,000, which is not even based on eyewitness testimony—much less a document—*i.e.*, he did not even hear this figure from someone else.

In addition, he states that the camp authorities at Stutthof had implemented a policy of exterminating the “*useless eaters*”. On orders from Berlin, or at least with Berlin’s approval, according to him, only 4,000 of the 14,000 unfit were murdered. We fail to see why 10,000 more “*useless eaters*” should have been spared.

The best that Coradello can offer as to the preparations for the extermination of the “*useless eaters*” can only arouse laughter: the number of inmates assigned to operate the crematorium was increased from *three to four*!

Coradello describes the doomed Jewish women as “*extremely weak, starved beings*”, and adds that none of them had the strength to protest, “*even if they knew that they were to be gassed*”; he nevertheless considers it necessary for the SS men to trick them by luring them into the gas chamber by acting out the farce of a “*sanitarium*”.

The allegation of the “*insufficient quantities of gas*”,¹⁵⁰ as well as that of the women burnt alive in the ovens, belongs to the standard arsenal of atrocity propaganda which always ascribes every conceivable type of crime to the SS.

In his description of the case of the Disabled Soviet prisoners of war, Coradello provides all manner of detail with great exactitude, but, upon closer examination of his testimony, the fact remains that Coradello saw these poor wretches *alive*: everything he says about the gassing and cremation is based on hearsay: “*From one of them, I learned that the Russian invalids had been murdered in the gas chamber at about 6 o'clock in the evening*”. The “*one*” from whom Coradello heard this can only have been one of the German professional criminals—Patsch and Knitter—who worked in the crematorium, and must have participated in the cremation if not the gassing; yet the description of the procedures involved contains the following impossibilities:

- 1) The description of the gassing is very short and nebulous; Coradello takes a total of four sentences to deal with the entire tragic event. What is decisive, however, is that he knew nothing whatever about Zyklon, was a granulate shaken out of a can through an opening in the ceiling—Coradello claims that the “*gas streamed out of the opening in the ceiling*”! He doesn’t mention Zyklon B at all; even if he knew the name, he obviously had no idea of what Zyklon insecticide looked like, or its method of utilization.
- 2) The statements relating to cremation are simply pure nonsense. According to Coradello, one oven normally burnt 13 corpses simultaneously, but in this case, even 15!

Unless he simply invented the whole story, Coradello can only have been gullibly repeating mere rumors making the rounds in the camp, without attempting to make sense of them. As in the example of the alleged gassing of the 4,000 Jewish women, his description of the perfidious SS camouflage maneuver is pure nonsense. With re-

¹⁵⁰ We note that the Soviets found at least 368 unopened cans of Zyklon B (AMS, 2-V-24, p. 46g, Soviet “*Protokoll der Sicherstellung von Giftstoffen im KL Stutthof*” dated 11 July 1945).

guards to the doomed disabled prisoners of war, he says, “most of them had had a leg amputated, and could only move with the greatest effort with the help of crutches. Others had no arms or were blind”. The SS were nevertheless compelled to invent the comedy about the sanatorium to avoid “any possible resistance”!

II) *The Alleged use of Railway Carriages for Homicidal Gassings*

The statement that inmates, mostly Jewish women, were gassed “in two small narrow-gauge railway carriages” is without any documentary basis. Even the method of selection described is quite incredible. The new killing system was supposed to have been ready by the beginning of November 1944 “in order to fool the victims” and to avoid groups of “25 or 30” doomed persons from resisting the process. When one recalls that the alleged victims in each case consisted of approximately two dozen unfit persons, cripples—sick inmates, pregnant women, etc.—and that, according to Coradello, “most of them were so weak that they let themselves be taken away without resistance”, one wonders how much resistance could have been feared from these poor wretches. It might be recalled that Coradello has already regaled us with this same nonsense with regards to the gas chamber.

The absurd nature of the allegation that news of the mass gasings circulated all over the camp, and that, as a result, the SS men were compelled to invent the diabolical subterfuge of gassing the victims in railway carriages, is apparent from the fact that many witnesses, in a trial against members of the Stutthof camp personnel in 1946, had no knowledge of the gasings. For example, former inmate Paul Wiechern, who was assigned to the crematorium crew on January 3, 1945, never even mentions them—not even with a single word.¹⁵¹

Another former camp inmate, Alfred Lehmann, says only that “executions were carried out by shooting, hanging, or gassing, as well as through the use of inmates for experiments”.¹⁵² This is the only fleeting reference to mass gasings in his entire testimony.

That the story of the camouflage maneuver was totally made up, is clearly revealed by the allegations of K. Dunin-Wąsowicz—who conjures up constantly new variants—relating to the “doctor’s wait-

¹⁵¹ Undated statement of Paul Wiechern, with the title *Einzelheiten aus KL Stutthof-Danzig*. ROD, 250v, doos 32a.

¹⁵² Bericht über das KL Lager Stutthof bei Danzig vom ehemaligen politischen Gefangenen Lehmann, ROD, 250v, doos 32 1.

ing room” installed in the “expanded gas chamber”. There is no architectural proof of this ‘expansion’.

The alleged tragic end of the “stocking commando” must also be relegated to the realm of fairy tales. This tale, in the absence of documentary proof, is—once again—supported only by the eyewitness testimony of Aldo Coradello, who reports as follows:¹⁵³

“Another method very commonly utilized—the ‘stocking commando’—was mentioned. This type of killing was intended for older women. At morning roll call, women were sought out who could darn and sew well. They were given sewing and knitting needles and marched out of the camp. Somewhere in the vicinity of Stutthof, stockings were to be darned... at the same time, it was not forgotten to promise the women good food. So that they would arrive at their destination more quickly, a railway carriage was provided for them as an exception by the Army. A brigade consisted of 60 to 70 women, most of them Jewish. The above mentioned carriage was coupled onto a locomotive, or sometimes even a goods van, and actually departed with its tragic freight. Its destination lay in the vicinity of the crematorium, which was linked to the arrivals platform by rail. The death train traveled past one or two stations, turned around, and traveled straight to the crematorium. There, the corpses of these unfortunate women were removed from this specially equipped gas carriage. During the journey, the carriage had been filled with blue gas through a double door, and the unsuspecting women traveled to their death.

In the camp, these victims left their daughters or other relatives waiting for their alleged return, hoping at the same time to obtain something to eat, even if only raw potatoes. And if the waiting persons asked the SS men why their mothers and daughters were taking such a long time, they were cynically told that if their relatives had not yet returned, then they must certainly have been released.

Sometimes the departure and return of the ‘stocking commando’ took place twice daily. The gas carriage used for this purpose finally proved not modern enough, or else it did not travel quickly enough; in any case, it is a fact that in December 1944 or January 1945, two entirely newly built gas carriages arrived at Stutthof. They were painted dark yellow, like army vehicles. These were not, of course, put into service; that it was, however, the intent of the Germans to put these carriages into service as well, cannot be doubted, since they had been built for something, after all, at a time when all German industry was working exclusively on war material. Perhaps the SS men considered these satanic railway carriages to be war material as well? Finally, one can say that the SS considered every concentration camp a huge battlefield,

¹⁵³ AMD, *Berichte und Erinnerungen*, vol. I, p. 147ff. (no reference number).

and, of course, a victorious battlefield, since thousands and thousands of people were overwhelmed and finally murdered.” (Emphasis added.)

It is obvious that Coradello was uncritically repeating rumors circulating in the camp in this case as well. Even in 1947, two otherwise well informed former Stutthof inmates, the Frenchmen Alphonse Kienzler and Paul Weil, told the following variant stories on the murders in the railway carriages:¹⁵⁴

“Shooting was not the only method of exterminating the ‘enemies of the great Reich’. Several times, particularly on Sundays, women were sent to fictitious commandos; they were crammed into hermetically sealed carriages, and then an SS man threw a bomb with asphyxiating gas in their midst.”

Let us return to Coradello. His story contains no tangible facts at all—with one exception. He reports that “two completely new gassing carriages” arrived in “December 1944 or January 1945”. There is no trace of these two “gassing carriages”; nor is there any trace of the carriages allegedly fitted out for homicidal mass gasings at an earlier date. The two railway carriages standing behind the crematorium today are quite ordinary goods cars. The first one—which lacks a roof—is 9.5 m long, 2 m wide, and 1.20 m high. The second—which does at least have a roof—measures 9.5 m × 2 m × 2.12 m, has a little window, and many cracks in the floorboards (see photos 1 and 16).

The rumor of the murderous railway gassing carriages no doubt originated through a distortion of an actual event lacking any sinister connotations. E. Grot writes:¹⁵⁵

“Since 1942, transports arrived at the camp by railway. The goods trains with the inmates stopped at the standard gauge railway station at Tiegenhof, which also had a narrow-gauge railway spur to Stutthof. There the inmates were loaded into open carriages. The train stopped at the Waldlager station, not far from the commandant’s villa. The station was built in 1940-41.”

In 1944, large transports with predominantly Jewish prisoners left from this station to other camps. This is proven by the *Kommandaturbefehle* (command post orders) headed “*Inmate Transfers*”, often expressly containing the statement that the transport in question was departing from Waldlager. The following is an example. *Kommandaturbefehl* no. 64 of September 28, 1944 states as follows regarding a

¹⁵⁴ Alphonse Kienzler, Paul Weil, *Stutthof, Stutthof. Document sur le Service Sanitaire d’un Camp d’Extermination, Temoignages Strassbourgeois*, Paris 1947, p. 336.

¹⁵⁵ D. Drywa, “*Häftlingstransporte nach und aus dem KZ Stutthof*”, in *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.*, (note 2), p. 167; AMS, I-IB-3, p. 150.

transfer of “550 female Jewish inmates” to Neuengamme concentration camp:¹⁵⁶

“The inmate transport will depart on 29.9.1944 at approximately 14:30 from Stutthof Waldlager. Exact departure time is still pending. Further transport in Tiegenhof by the Reichsbahn will take place in 8 G- and 1 C-carriages at 18:35 o'clock.”

Other documents mention the “narrow gauge railway”, but not Waldlager. For example, *Kommandaturbefehl* No. 55 of August 16, 1944 states:¹⁵⁷

“The narrow gauge railway will provide a transport scheduled to arrive at Tiegenhof for the 500 female inmates to be transferred to Buchenwald according to number 3 paragraph c, with 22 carriages.”

The narrow gauge railway led directly behind the crematorium and past the gas chamber, then traveled to the Jewish barracks.¹⁵⁸ It is quite probable that several groups of Jewish women being transferred to another concentration camp or a Stutthof auxiliary camp boarded the train at this section of track. Since the train departed from the same station as the location of both gas chamber and crematorium, and then returned empty to the camp, it is hardly difficult to imagine that the inmates—who were only imperfectly informed about what was going on in the camp—wrongly believed the departing detainees to have been gassed. It is very difficult to explain the story of the “gassing carriages” plausibly in any other way. The rumor of the “selections”¹⁵⁹ for gassings is also the result of a distortion of an actual event connected with events relating to transports departing the camp. A transfer always assumed a previous “selection” by the SS: the *Kommandaturbefehle* proves this. For example, *Kommandaturbefehl* no. 64 of September 28, 1944 states:¹⁶⁰

“According to FT [radio telegram¹⁶¹] of 15.9.1944 from *Amtsgruppenchef D* in the SS Economic Administration Main Office, 1000 male and 1500 female Aryan inmates are to be transferred from Stutthof concentration camp to railway station Schöenberg, to be made available to Natzweiler concentration camp. The selection of these inmates is to take place after oral consultation with the leader of the protective custody camp, the SS garrison doctor and labor service leader. [...] According to FT no. 9485 of 8.9.1944 from *Amtsgruppenchefs D* in the SS Eco-

¹⁵⁶ AMS, I-IB-3, p. 197.

¹⁵⁷ AMS, I-IB-3, p. 150.

¹⁵⁸ See diagram of camp, document 2.

¹⁵⁹ The concept “*Selektion*” was invented after the war. The term used at the time was “*Auswahl*”, as shown by all the documents quoted here.

¹⁶⁰ AMS, I-IB-3, p. 196f.

¹⁶¹ “*Funketelegramm*” (radio telegram).

conomic Administration Main Office, 500 female inmates are to be transferred to railway station Hannover-Vinnhorst, connection platform 2, and are to be made available to Neuengamme concentration camp for the Brinkenwerke Hannover on 29.9.1944. The inmates to be transferred are to be selected after verbal consultation with the first protective custody camp leader, SS garrison doctor and labor service leader.”

Selections were also performed before sending commandos to the Stutthof auxiliary camps. For example, *Kommandaturbefehl* no. 73 of October 30, 1944 states:¹⁶²

“According to FT [radio telegram] no. 11348 of 11.10.1944 and FS [telegram] no. 11701 of 17.10.44, 200 female Jewish inmates from the auxiliary camp are to be transferred to the front repair operation of the Thorn Corporation auxiliary camp on 30.10.1944. Selection of the inmates will take place after oral consultation with the first protective custody leader, SS garrison doctor and labor service leader.”

That there might have been a *stocking commando* at a “*front repair operation*” is quite possible. However, such a commando would require living women, not gassed ones.

e) The Alleged Mass Gassing of Disabled Soviet Prisoners of War: Analysis of a Particular Case

In view of the absence of any document on the gassing of human beings, and in view of the uncertainty and contradictory nature of the eyewitness testimonies, official historians have had a hard job lending the gassing stories a minimum of credibility.

As seen in Chapter II, Z Lukaskierwicz was content, in 1947, simply to repeat the number—invented by A. Coradello—of 4,000 gassings; he neither attempted to shore it up with documentary proof, nor did he make any effort to establish the dates on which the murders were allegedly committed. In 1970, K. Dunin-Wąsowicz drew up a tentative chronology of the gassings accompanied by an approximate indication of the number of victims, but only arrived at approximately 1,600 gassing victims instead of Coradello’s 4,000. 13 years later, in 1983, he provided an exact date in two cases, accompanied by an exact number of victims in one case; but he failed to inform us of the source of his new knowledge, so that this information is historically valueless.

The efforts of official historians to shore up the gassing story with documentary evidence—at least in one case—remained unsuccessful until 1987. In that year, Maria Elżbieta Jezierska published an interesting and well-researched essay the title of which, in Ger-

¹⁶² AMS, I-IB-3, p. 234.

man translation, is “*Die Hingerichteten im Lager Stutthof*”.¹⁶³ The paragraph relating to the alleged mass gassing of Disabled Soviet prisoners of war is reproduced as follows:¹⁶⁴

“Anyone who looks at the arrival records bearing the numbers 60703-65672 (August 1944) will realize that, in the case of one transport sent off on August 15, 1944 by the Security Police at Riga, the entry ‘death’ appears on the same date, i.e., August 22 in many cases. [...] This date appears next to 77 inmate names. They arrived on the same day, and they died on the same day. These were all Russian men who were all quite young, with one exception (Piotr Kalinin, who was born in 1860 and was therefore 84 years old!), as well as one 38-year old Latvian. The assumption immediately arises that they could not possibly have all died natural deaths.

It is typical that similar remarks on members of one and the same transport, many of who died on the same day, relate to the Jewish transport of 1944. These are known to have been subject to a selection—older and sick people, mothers with small children, and pregnant women were immediately killed. Only fit Jews were accepted into the camp. [...]

I turn to the question of the Russian transport. The registration book nowhere contains the letter ‘E’¹⁶⁵ [...]

The following notes appearing under the heading ‘Special characteristics’ (height and weight, hair color, eye color, etc.) merit attention. [...] Among 47 inmates whose cards have survived, there are no indications in four cases. The following remarks appear for the others:

- ‘very weak’ (1 case)*
- shot in the leg—scar (3 cases)*
- shot in the knee—scar (3 cases)*
- lost one leg (8 cases)*
- shot in head and leg (8 cases)*
- shot in leg, walks with limp (3 cases)*
- shot in ankle, scar—(1 scar)*
- shot in leg and lungs—(1 case)*
- through and through bullet wound in leg—(12 cases)*
- through and through bullet wound in knee—(2 cases)*
- through and through bullet wound in hip—(2 cases)*
- through and through bullet wound in leg and arm (1 case)*
- ‘limps’—(1 case)*
- shot in face (1 case)*
- shot in head, foot, and arm—(1 case)*

¹⁶³ “*Straceni w obozie Stutthof*”, in SZN No. 7, 1987, p. 79-167.

¹⁶⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 146-149.

¹⁶⁵ According to M.E. Jezierska “E” stands for “*exekutiert*”, “*erschossen*” (shot), or “*erhängt*” (hanged). But she admits that “E” in many cases could also mean “*entlassen*” (released), *ibid.*

– three bullet wounds—1 case)

– shot in pelvis (1 case)

All the persons mentioned appear on the card with the mention ‘former POW’. Other reasons for assignment to a concentration camp are not indicated.

I have probably succeeded, by way of deduction, in finding the tragic transport of Russian invalids remembered by former camp inmates, a transport of prisoners of war, of whom it was said they were only sent into the camp and killed there because they were unsuitable for the labor service as disabled. I noticed that there were other Russians in this transport for whom the personal card shows that they were wounded but were obviously in a better state of health since they were not liquidated, and some of them were later transferred to Natzweiler camp. I found 41 personal cards with references to the following wounds:

– arm, shoulder, hand, and elbow bullet wounds and penetration wounds (17 cases)

– bullet wound in leg and penetration wound in leg (7 cases)

– bullet wound in knee and penetration wound in knee (3 cases)

– amputation of frozen toes on both feet (1 case)

– shot in breast (3 cases)

– shot in head, including one shot in area of eye (4)

– several bullet wounds (7).

I stress that I was not successful in finding all the personal cards of the Russians on this transport, and we do not know how many of the others were also disabled.”

M. E. Jezierska’s discovery is important, and should under no circumstances be underestimated. It raises essential questions such as:

- 1) Were the Soviet invalids killed?
- 2) If so, why were they killed?
- 3) Who gave the order to have them killed?
- 4) How were they killed?

We will now attempt to answer these four questions.

Let us consider the first point, *i.e.*, that, without exception, 77 of the persons delivered one week previously (on August 15, 1944) all died on the same day (August 22, 1944); their deaths were registered in alphabetical order and almost exactly in the same order as their inmate numbers,¹⁶⁶ and this at a time when there were *no* epidemics raging in the camp—it is possible to conclude with a high degree of

¹⁶⁶ In this regard, see the list of Soviet inmates published by M.E. Jezierska on p. 189-199 of her article.

probability that they were killed. The only possibly imaginable alternative would be the following:

These prisoners, who had all been very seriously injured, all died within a week, but their deaths were all registered on the same day. That this actually happened can be proven from the heading “Deaths”: for example, the report of November 29, 1944 mentions five inmates having died between November 21 and 24.¹⁶⁷

A similar case can be proven for a transport having arrived at Auschwitz from Buchenwald; the transport included 163 inmates, who were properly registered. When the camp doctor made a medical examination of the new arrivals on December 4, he noted that 18 had died in the meantime.¹⁶⁸

Although the date of death of the Soviet prisoners of war is not apparent from the death certificates, but rather from the delivery book as well as from the “*Inmate Personal Cards*”, the hypothesis of deliberate killing appears considerably more probable. The following remarks are based upon this assumption at all times.

We now come to the second of the four questions raised above:

If the invalids were killed as we assume, then what was the reason for it? M.E. Jezierska’s explanation (“*because they were not suitable for the labor service*”) cannot be correct because, as the author herself admits, at least 41 other Soviet invalids arrived on the same transport and were *not* killed; some of them were later transferred to Natzweiler. That these 41 were *not* killed is sufficient in itself to prove that there was no order from the Reich government to liquidate all the unfit. As for the specific case of prisoners of war, there is even a contrary directive, as proven by the existence of a “*Soviet Russian disabled prisoner of war hospital*” at Majdanek camp.¹⁶⁹ In Auschwitz as well, the “*disabled*” were regularly listed under the heading “*Inmates unfit to work and inmates able to work*” of the “*Labor Service*” daily reports drawn up by section IIIa. For example, there were 135 invalids in Sector BII/d of the men’s camp at Birkenau on August 7, 1944.¹⁷⁰

The picture is completed by the fact there was even a “*cripple’s company*” at Stutthof, which¹⁷¹

¹⁶⁷ This document is reproduced in the appendix to *Obozy Hitlerowskie...*, *op. cit.* (note 12) (without page number).

¹⁶⁸ TCIDK, 502-1-65, S. 100-103.

¹⁶⁹ J. Graf and C. Mattogno, *KL Maidanek...*, *op. cit.* (note 9), pp. 40, 203.

¹⁷⁰ *Auschwitz II/ Arbeitseinsatz für 7 August 1944*, APMO, D-AuII/3a16, p. 46.

¹⁷¹ M. Orski, “*Die Arbeit*”, in *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 34), p. 214.

"consisted of men who were so emaciated that they were no longer fit to work. If someone voluntarily reported from this company, then he was assigned to a job. The barracks could hold 50 to 60 persons, perhaps even more. Those who still wanted to do something were busied with fetching water, cleaning up, and collecting twigs. These were easy jobs. Anyone who could not work was allowed to lie around. The cripples were not bothered."

Finally, it should be mentioned that two transports, carrying 298 and 172 weak or disabled inmates, departed for Dachau on November 14 and 20, 1942 respectively.¹⁷²

So the only reason for killing these men would have been euthanasia: in contrast to the other invalids who remained alive, these 77 invalids must have been in such an obvious state of hopeless misery it was decided to grant them a 'merciful death'. By whom was it decided? In view of the above, the answer to the third question is also obvious. The decision for the killing must have been made by the camp authorities.

There still remains the question as to the method of killing. As may be seen, the statement that the invalids were gassed is based solely upon the testimony of A. Coradello, who was, however, merely repeating hearsay, and moreover made quite nonsensical statements about cremation which ruin his credibility. In addition, a mass killing in the gas chamber, due to the fear of death which would have been experienced by the victims during their last moments, would have been barbaric and incompatible with the notion of 'merciful death'—quite apart from the fact that their cries could have been heard in the old camp, which was in close proximity, so that the news would have been all over the camp in an instant, triggering a panic, which was certainly not in the interests of the camp authorities.

The most probable hypothesis appears to us that the Soviet invalids were killed by injection in the camp infirmary. D. Drywa bases the gassing hypothesis on the following¹⁷³

"The date (in the delivery book) indicates neither the number of the death certificate from the death registry, nor the letter 'E', which would have indicated an execution."

First, as regards the missing "E": this letter, in the view of Polish historians—which is probably correct—stood for "*exekutiert*" (executed) "*erschossen*" (shot) or "*erhängt*" (hanged). If it is missing on the death certificates of the Soviet invalids, this simply means that

¹⁷² D. Drywa, "*Ruch transportów...*" *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 21f.

¹⁷³ D. Drywa, "*Direkte Extermination*", *op. cit.* (note 104), p. 251.

the killings were not an execution, because they did not involve a punishment, which required prior trial and sentence in all cases.

More important than the missing “E”, however, is the first of the two points mentioned by D. Drywa, *i.e.*, the missing death certificate numbers in the delivery book, since this is also interpreted as proof of the gassing of the Jewish women. In relation to the latter, D. Drywa writes:¹⁷⁴

“The date was marked in the evidence books with the date stamp, and the numbers are missing from the death book, as with the Soviet prisoners of war. The death of the first larger group of female inmates was noted on July 24, 1944, while the other mortalities were registered throughout August, September, and October.”

Thus the *hypothesis* of the gassing of the Soviet prisoners of war becomes the *proof* of the gassing of thousands of Jewish women! Let us examine this argument more closely.

First, the absence of the number of the death certificate in the delivery book does not prove that the deceased inmates were not entered in the death registry. From the moment of their arrival at the camp, the inmates acquired a bureaucratic status that could not dissolve into nothingness. The documents on an individual inmate might occasionally contain *falsified* information, but such documents could under no circumstances be *destroyed*, so that, in the event of death, a notation *had* to be made in the death books, even if a false death date and/or fictitious cause of death may have been entered under certain circumstances. The case of the 77 war invalids is clear proof of this.

That the missing death certificate number is without particular significance is shown by the fact that this was not recorded in many cases where there is no suspicion whatever. The official camp history, for example, reproduces a page from the delivery book from the year 1943, indicating the death of two Poles having died on March 3 or 7, 1943 (inmate numbers 19381 and 19385), without any indication of the number of the death certificate. Two other deaths, on the same page, however, are accompanied by the number (two Poles having died on April 21 and March 15, with inmate numbers 19381 and 19387 respectively).¹⁷⁵ Quite obviously, in the first case, the responsible camp official simply forgot to make the entry.

Also, in regards to the figures, the absence of the number of the death certificate in no way proves the claim of a mass gassing of human beings. M.E. Jerierska was unable to find any trace of mass

¹⁷⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 252.

¹⁷⁵ *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager*, Document 28 (without page number).

extermination in the documents, or even another case comparable to that of the 77 disabled Soviet prisoners or war, although she made a detailed study of the delivery books for the period from July 19 to October 1, 1944, containing approximately 17,000 names of inmates, including 14,400 Jewish inmates, and combed them for proofs of mass killing. So the 77 invalids remain quite obviously an isolated case.

All the above considerations lead us to form the following hypothesis as to the origins of the story of mass gassings at Stutthof: it is difficult to go wrong in the assumption that the rumors of mass gassings were first spread by Jews having just arrived from Auschwitz, since such rumors had been busily stirred up in that camp by the resistance movement for years. That there was a gas chamber at Stutthof, which was, in addition, easily visible from the old camp, must have given wings to inmate fantasies.

The gas chamber was located immediately opposite the crematorium that received the corpses of the Soviet invalids. This must have necessarily been interpreted by the prisoners—who were only imperfectly informed as to events in the camp—as confirmation of the gassing rumors. Thus, in inmate fantasies, Jewish women transferred to outer camps, or other camps, became gassing victims as well.

5. The Death Rate in Stutthof from 1939 to 1945

a) The Total Number of Inmates who Died at Stutthof Camp

The available documentation on the death rate of Stutthof inmates is nearly complete, and permits a calculation of the total number of victims with great exactitude. The following statistics do not, of course, include the victims of the evacuation by land and sea that began on January 25, 1945, since there are no documents in existence in this regard.

Our calculations are based, first of all, on the death books; these contain pre-printed death certificates similar to those used at Auschwitz (see document 4).

For a better understanding of the following statements, we would first like to present the available sources in chronological order.

1) **Death Register (Second book)**¹⁷⁶ covers the period from January 18, 1939 to August 17, 1940, and contains 584 death certificates, broken down as follows:

- 47 up to December 30, 1939 (consecutive numbers 1-47)
- 537 up to August 17, 1940 (consecutive numbers 48-584).

This death book also contains a few deaths of inhabitants of the village of Stutthof. This explains why it begins with January 18, 1939, and not September 2, the date of arrival of the first inmates.

There is also a **Death Register—First Book**,¹⁷⁷ which covers part of the period covered by the second book—*i.e.*, the period from April 12 to May 23, 1940—and which contains 200 death certificates.

2) **Death Register (Second book)**¹⁷⁸ covers the period from January 2 to December 31, 1941, and contains 268 death certificates, numbered from 1 to 268.

3) **Death Register (Third book)**¹⁷⁹ covers the period from January 6 to July 7, 1942, and contains 430 death certificates, numbered from 1 to 430.

4) **Death Register (Second book)**¹⁸⁰ covers the period from July 7 to September 9, 1942, and contains 538 death certificates, numbered from 431 to 968.

5) **Death Register (Second book)**¹⁸¹ covers the period from October 7 to November 19, 1942, and contains 558 death certificates, numbered from 1,325 to 1,882.

Thus, it is clear that the lost death register mentioned above must have covered the period from September 10 to October 6, 1942, and contained 356 death certificates, numbered from 969 to 1,324.

6) **Death register**¹⁸² covers the period from November 19 to December 31, 1942, and contains 394 death certificates, numbered from 1,883 to 2,276.

¹⁷⁶ AMS, Z-V-2.

¹⁷⁷ AMS,-V-3.

¹⁷⁸ AMS,-V-4.

¹⁷⁹ AMS,-V-5.

¹⁸⁰ AMS,-V-6.

¹⁸¹ AMS,-V-7.

¹⁸² AMS,-V-8.

7) **Death Register Volume 1**¹⁸³ covers the period from January 2 to February 17, 1943, and contains 383 death certificates numbered from 1 to 383.

A comparison with death register volume 3 shows that volume 2, which has not survived, covered the period from January 18 to March 29, 1943, and contained 798 death certificates, numbered from 384 to 1,181.

8) **Death register volume 3**¹⁸⁴ covers the period from March 30 to May 1, 1943, and contains 819 death certificates, numbered from 1,182 to 2,000.

9) **Death register volume 4**¹⁸⁵ covers the period from May 7 to June 1, 1943, and contains 376 death certificates, numbered from 2,001 to 2,376.

10) **Death register volume 6**¹⁸⁶ covers the period from August 20 to November 22, 1943. From the beginning of June 1943, the system of numbering the deaths in the death registers was altered. Whereas they had previously been numbered consecutively, from the beginning of the year onwards throughout, all deaths were now registered in sections of 185 death certificates each, each section being designated with Roman numerals.

Volume 6 contains 555 death certificates, broken down as follows:

- section V: 185 certificates
- section VI: 185 certificates
- section VII: 185 certificates

This allows the inference that volume 5 must have covered the period from June 2 to August 19, and contained 740 death certificates, broken down as follows:

- section I: 185 certificates
- section II: 185 certificates
- section III: 185 certificates
- section IV: 185 certificates

¹⁸³ AMS, Z-V-10.

¹⁸⁴ AMS, Z-V-12.

¹⁸⁵ AMS, Z-V-14.

¹⁸⁶ AMS, Z-V-15.

11) **Death register volume 7**¹⁸⁷ covers the period from November 22 to December 31, 1943, and contains 309 death certificates, broken down as follows:

- section VIII: 185 certificates
- section IX: 124 certificates

12) **Death register**¹⁸⁸ covers the period from January 25 to December 16, 1943, and contains 54 death certificates—not of camp inmates, but of residents of Stutthof village.

13) **Death register**¹⁸⁹ covers the period from January 5 to April 7, 1944, and contains 978 death certificates, broken down as follows:

- January: 259, numbered from 38 to 296
- February: 293, numbered from 1 to 293
- March: 363, numbered from 1 to 363
- April 1 to 7: 72, numbered from 1 to 72.

With regards to the 37 deaths registered on the missing pages covering the period from January 1 to 4, this register shows a number of 987 deaths for the period from January 1 to April 7, 1944.

14) **Daily reports on “mortalities” for the year 1944, as well as a few months in the year 1945.**¹⁹⁰ These reports have only survived in part, except for the month of May. The following table reproduces the data contained in these reports:

- April 8 to 30: 141
- May: 180
- June: 45 (10 of 30 days)
- July: 52 (19 of 31 days)
- August: 9 (4 of 31 days)
- September: 34 (6 of 30 days)
- October: 33 (4 of 31 days)
- November: 752 (13 of 30 days)
- December: 158 in 2 days

15) **List of deceased in Stutthof camp corresponding to documents found for the period from January to April 1945.**¹⁹¹ This is

¹⁸⁷ AMS, Z-V-16.

¹⁸⁸ AMS, Z-V-11.

¹⁸⁹ AMS, 1-2C-9.

¹⁹⁰ AMS, I-VB-7.

¹⁹¹ GARF, 7021-106, p. 3f. see document 5.

a register drawn up by the Soviets, based on German documents, very probably daily manpower reports. The register covers the period from January 30 to April 23, 1945 and covers 6,550 deaths, broken down as follows:

- | | | | |
|------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| – January 30/31: | 389 | – March: | 1,789 |
| – February: | 3,804 | – April: | 568 |

In view of the above, the following is an attempt to establish the number of deaths for each individual year.

1939: 47 deaths (last figure mentioned up to December 31, 1939 in the death register mentioned under point 1)

1940: approximately 860 deaths: the Death Register/Second Book for 1939/1940 covers the period up to August 17, 1940. Based on the average death rate for these seven and a half months, we arrive at approximately ($537:7.5 \times 12 =$) 860 deaths.

1941: 268 deaths (last figure mentioned in the death register mentioned under point 2)

1942: 2,276 deaths (last figure mentioned in the death register mentioned under point 2)

1943: 3,980 deaths. This results from the addition of the individual figures mentioned under points 7 through 13.

- | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------------|-----|
| – volume 1-4: | 2,376 | – volume 6: | 555 |
| – volume 5: | 740 | – volume 7: | 309 |

We have not included the 54 deaths in the death book mentioned under point 12, because these refer to civilians rather than concentration camp inmates.

1944: Approximately 7,500 deaths

The exact figures relating to deaths are available for the first five months only:

- | | | | |
|-------------|-----|----------|--------------------|
| – January: | 296 | – April: | 213 ¹⁹² |
| – March: | 363 | – May: | 180 |
| – February: | 292 | | |

For the other months, our conclusions are based upon the figures in the death registers, which almost always appear in the delivery

¹⁹² 72 up to April 7., according to the death register, 141 from April 8. to 30., according to the heading "Deaths" in the daily reports.

books under the heading “*Deceased*”, and are consistent with those contained in the death registers. The number of deaths can therefore be established with exactitude as follows:¹⁹³

<u>June:</u>	ca. 135 (45 deaths in 10 days = 45 divided by 10 × 30 = 135)
<u>July:</u>	ca. 120 (the number 95 was entered on 24.7; 11 deaths from 25 to 28.7, and then 106 in 28 days = approximately 120 in 31 days)
<u>August:</u>	ca. 150 (the number 135 was entered on 29.8 = approximately 150 in 31 days)
<u>September:</u>	ca. 250 (the number 219 was entered on 26.9, <i>i.e.</i> , approximately 250 in 30 days)
<u>October:</u>	ca. 380 (the number 365 was entered on 30.10, <i>i.e.</i> , approximately 380 in 31 days).
<u>November:</u>	ca. 1,450 (the number 1,444 was registered on 30.11)
<u>December:</u>	ca. 3,560 (the number 3,553 was registered on 31.12)

1945: approximately 11,200

For January, we have only the following incomplete documentation taken from the heading “*Deaths*”:

- January 5: 38
- January 7: 99
- January 8: 68
- January 30: 389 (according to the Soviet list)
- January 31: 296

For this month, Polish historians assume 5,000 deaths.¹⁹⁴ This figure does not appear exaggerated when one considers that 4,489 inmates died between January 30 and February 28, *i.e.*, at a rate of 160 per day. This enormously high mortality rate was mainly due to typhus, which was raging in the camp at that time.

According to the Soviet list, 6,161 deaths were registered between February 1 and April 23. If one accepts the figure of approximately 5,000 deaths for January cited by Polish historians—as we do—the result for the year 1945 is a figure of approximately 11,161 or, rounded off, 11,200 deaths.

¹⁹³ The data is based upon an analysis of the names of 1,850 inmates who arrived between July 19 and August 15 1944. AMS, I-II-II.

¹⁹⁴ Janina Grabowska, “*Die Häftlinge*”, *op. cit.* (note 38), p. 136.

The above-established figures result in a total of approximately 26,100 deaths; the following is an overview of the individual years:

1939:	47
1940:	approx. 860
1941:	268
1942:	2,276
1943:	3,980
1944:	approx. 7,600
1945:	approx. 11,200
Total:	approx. 26,100

b) The Number of Jews who Died at Stutthof Between July 1944 and January 1945

According to the estimates of K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, approximately 1,500 Jews were deported to Stutthof by the beginning of 1944.¹⁹⁵ The deportations involved took place, in his view, from Danzig (1939 and 1940), Pomerania (1940), Warsaw (May 22, 1940), Germany and Czechia, as well as the eastern regions of Poland and, in particular, Białystok.¹⁹⁵ Dunin-Wąsowicz, however, provides no figures for these deportations of Jews except in one case—a transport of 150 Jews from Białystok at the end of November 1943. On December 17, 1943 and January 12, two transports left for Auschwitz with a total of 661 inmates, including almost all of the Jews in Stutthof at that time.¹⁹⁶

According to the Korherr-Report, only 31 Jews had been sent to Stutthof by the end of 1942, 18 of who died in the camp.¹⁹⁷ Presumably, therefore, the estimate arrived at by Dunin-Wąsowicz is too high: the number of Jews who arrived at Stutthof before 1944 should not have exceeded a few hundred. The following statistics must not have included the few Jews presumably remaining in the camp prior to the arrival of the large transports.

As mentioned in chapter I.3, a total of 48,609 mostly female Jewish inmates were deported to Stutthof between June 29 and October 28, 1944. 28,673 Jews (2,898 men and 25,775 women) were still in Stutthof on January 24, 1945.⁶⁶ 12,548 Jewish inmates from Stutthof were transferred to other camps between July 21 and December 12,

¹⁹⁵ K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, “Żydowscy Wiesniowie...”, *op. cit.* (note 3), p. 9.

¹⁹⁶ K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, “Żydowscy Więźniowie...”, *op. cit.* (note 3), p. 9; D. Drywa, “Ruch transportów...”, *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 29.

¹⁹⁷ NO-5194.

1944.¹⁹⁸ Consequently (48,609—12,548—28,673 =) 7,388 Jews died in Stutthof between June 29, 1944 and January 24, 1945.

The following is a summary of the overall mortality at Stutthof for the same period of time:

July—December 1944: approximately 5,900; January 1 to 23, 1945: approximately 3,700 (assuming a daily figure of 161 deaths); a total therefore of approximately 9,600.

The percentage of Jews among the victims during this period is approximately (7,388 divided by 9,600 × 100 = 77%).

c) The Official Image of Stutthof in View of the Mortality Statistics

The statistics set forth above give us a reliable historical criterion for an evaluation of the claim that Stutthof was an extermination camp, even if only a makeshift one. At the same time, it must be borne in mind that no claim is made that inmates were exterminated without being registered; this is in contrast to other camps, such as, for example, Auschwitz.

The mortality statistics give the following picture for the period during which inmates regularly accepted and registered in the camp are supposed to have been exterminated, *i.e.*, from July to the beginning of November 1944:

July:	ca. 120
August:	ca. 150
September:	ca. 250
October:	ca. 380
November 1 to 8:	ca. 180 ¹⁹⁹
Total:	ca. 1,080

These mortality statistics include all inmates, both Jewish and non-Jewish. As established above, the proportion of Jews among the total number of victims during this period amounts to approximately 77%. This means a number of approximately (1,080×0.77 =) 830 Jewish inmate deaths for the period in question.

In Chapter III, Section 4.c, we reproduced the official statistics relating to alleged gassing victims. To test the historical basis for

¹⁹⁸ See Chapter IV, section 1.

¹⁹⁹ According to Dunin-Wąsowicz, the gassing was stopped “*at the beginning of November*” (*Nazionalsozialistische Massentötungen...*, *op. cit.* (note 4), p. 266, see chapter II). We have begun with Nov. 8., since that is the first day of that month for which reliable data is available.

these statistics, we now need only compare these statistics with the mortality figures proven on the basis of documents for the period from August 1 to November 8 (July has not been taken into account due to the small number of alleged gassing victims):

MONTH	ALLEGED GASSING VICTIMS	DEATHS
August:	477 ²⁰⁰	150
September:	300 Jewish women	250
October:	600 Jewish women ²⁰¹	380
November 1 to 8	250 “women”	180
Total:	1,627	960

Thus, the number of *alleged* gassing victims far exceeds the number of Jews who *actually* died in the camp! In view of the above—in particular, the fact that all Jews deported to Stutthof were already under the control of the WVHA and, as registered inmates, could not, therefore, simply disappear²⁰²—this amounts to conclusive proof that the claims of mass gassings at Stutthof concentration camp contained in the official camp history are merely a legend.

We stress once again that these 960 deaths also include non-Jews; assuming the percentage of 77% Jewish victims as established above, this means that approximately 740 Jews died during the relevant time period. The number of *allegedly* gassed Jews, therefore, exceeds the number of Jews who *actually* died!

The coup de grace is given by the following fact: during the time period of the alleged extermination of Jews, the number of Jews who *actually* died in the camp was quite low; but as soon as the extermination program allegedly stopped, the death rate rose dramatically, with approximately 950 deaths, including 740 Jews, in the 131 days between the beginning of July and November 8. In other words, the average death rate during the period of the alleged mass gassings was (740 divided by 131 =) 5 to 6 Jewish deaths per day, compared to approximately (8,400—830 =) 7,570 Jewish deaths for the period after the alleged extermination was stopped, *i.e.*, the 75 days between November 9, 1944 and January 23, 1945, with almost 100 Jewish deaths per day (7,540 divided by 75), chiefly from the typhus epidemic!

²⁰⁰ These are supposed to have included 300 Jewish women, 77 Soviet prisoners of war, and 100 “men” .

²⁰¹ Plus “a few dozen men”.

²⁰² With regards to Stutthof, and unlike the claims made for Auschwitz-Birkenau, no claim is made that Jews were sent there and killed without being registered; see Chapter I.3. and p. 78.

The claim made by the official Polish historiography that the Jews in Stutthof formed a group “*which was doomed to die, with a few exceptions, from direct extermination within the framework of special treatment*”, is, therefore, in crass contradiction to the above statistics, which stand on a solidly proven documentary basis: the Jews who died during the period of alleged extermination represented only (740 divided by 48,609 =) 1.7% of all the Jews who allegedly arrived at the camp for the purposes of extermination! In the case of Stutthof, we need not concern ourselves with those who were ‘exterminated without registration,’ since, as stated above, the official historiography does not claim the killing of unregistered inmates.

In view of the above, it is entirely clear that the deportation of Jews to Stutthof in 1944 had absolutely nothing to do with the so-called “*Final Solution of the Jewish question*”, which is understood by the official historiography to mean a systematic extermination of Jews.

CHAPTER IV: The Actual Function of the Camp as Revealed by Historical Documents

1. Stutthof as Labor Reservoir

After examining and refuting the official claim that Stutthof became a makeshift extermination camp for Jews in 1944, we must determine the purpose *actually* performed by the camp at that time. The surviving documents provide an unequivocal answer to this question.

As the war continued, the labor shortage in the Reich took on desperate proportions, and the economic significance of inmate labor increased constantly. On October 26, 1943, Oswald Pohl sent a directive to all camp commandants demanding increased inmate productivity, stating:²⁰³

“In earlier years, it might have been regarded with indifference, within the framework of the educational tasks at that time, whether or not an inmate performed useful work. But at the present time, inmate manpower is of significance, and all measures of the commandants, leaders of the V service and doctors, must be aimed at maintaining inmate health and ability to work. Not from false sloppy sentimentality, but rather because they must contribute to the achievement of a great victory by the German people; we must therefore be alert to the well-being of the inmates.”

To ensure the optimal use of inmate labor, the Stutthof authorities maintained a card file of statistical data. The file was maintained by four Polish inmates, including the later camp historian K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, and included approximately 8,000 inmate names by the end of the war, with an indication of identity and profession. Parts of this card file have survived.

Beginning in October 1944, a central distribution of manpower to industrial undertakings of particular importance to the war effort was initiated in all concentration camps. The manufacturers involved sent an application for the allocation of inmates to Office D II, which was subordinate to SS Standartenführer Gerhard Maurer of the SS-WVHA, who either approved the application after examination or

²⁰³ AMS, I-IB-8, p. 53.

rejected it; in the former event, the office ordered a transfer from one camp to another.²⁰⁴

On April 26, 1944, the camp commandant ordered an increase in the daily working times to eleven hours; on Sundays, inmates had to work in the morning only, as before.²⁰⁵ A great many inmates were transferred to other concentration camps. The following table provides an overview of the documented transfers:²⁰⁶

The correctness of all the data listed in the table is confirmed by the *Kommandanturbefehl* (command post order) document series.

DATE	DESTINATION	NUMBER OF JEWS TRANSFERRED
21. July 1944:	Dachau	2,000
25. July 1944:	Auschwitz	1,423
13. Aug. 1944:	Dachau	950
16. Aug. 1944:	Buchenwald	1,350
17. Aug. 1944:	Sachsenhausen	500
17. Aug. 1944:	Buchenwald	500
10. Sept. 1944:	Auschwitz:	575
12. Sept. 1944:	Neuengamme	500
29. Sept. 1944:	Natzweiler	1,000
29. Sept. 1944:	Neuengamme	150
18. Oct. 1944:	Neuengamme	150
03. Nov. 1944:	Buchenwald:	800
24. Nov. 1944:	Flossenbuerg	500
26. Nov. 1944:	Buchenwald	1,000
12. Dec. 1944:	Buchenwald	800
TOTAL:		12,548

The transferees were assigned directly to outside commandos, merely bypassing the main camp involved. Many Jewish women were also involved in a constant expansion of the network of Stutthof auxiliary camps.

The Chief of Amtstruppe D in the SS-WVHA, Richard Glücks, ordered these transfers. They form part of a gigantic program for the

²⁰⁴ M. Orski, "Die Arbeit", in *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager*, *op. cit.* (note 34), p. 214f.

²⁰⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 215.

²⁰⁶ K. Dunin-Wąsowicz, "Żydowsy Więźniowie...", *op. cit.*, (note 3), p. 17. All the above mentioned transports prior to that of Sept. 10 were confirmed by the *Kommandanturbefehl* (command post order) document series (AMS, I-IB-3). The transport to Auschwitz on Sept. 10 is proven by a transport list mentioned by D. Drywa ("*Direkte Extermination*", *op. cit.*, (note 104), p. 251, footnote 87).

inmate labor service. The Polish historian Mirosław Gliniski summarizes the significance of Stutthof camp as follows:²⁰⁷

“A relatively significant increase in terms of the numbers of auxiliary camps took place during the summer and fall of 1944. Stutthof camp could not house and employ all the inmates assigned to it. In particular, it lacked jobs for the nearly 43,000 Jewish women from Lithuania, Latvia and Hungary. The problem was solved by sending over 22,000 persons to other concentration camps, and the remaining 21,000 persons into newly formed auxiliary camps or by assigning them to farmers in Zulawy. Of the Jewish women, 10,500 were transferred to the Organization Todt, which was building field fortifications in the vicinity of Thorn and Elbing; over 5,000 women were set to work in the maintenance of military airports in East Prussia. Jewish women worked in the maintenance of railway tracks in Bromberg, Stolp, and in the area of Praust, in addition to the gunpowder factory in Bromberg, the electrical works in Thorn, and the Schichau wharfs in Danzig. In addition to the auxiliary camps for Jews, there were also ‘Aryan’ auxiliary camps. The work there was managed by technicians, chiefly Poles [...] A total of nearly 30,000 inmates were sent to the newly built camps in the summer and fall of 1944.”

Thus, the question of the *real* function of Stutthof in the summer and fall of 1944 is answered very clearly: the camp was in no way intended for the extermination of human beings; on the contrary, it represented a large labor reservoir for the German war effort.

2. The Transfer of Unfit Jews from Stutthof to Auschwitz and the Reasons for such Transfers

As stated above, Stutthof acted as a labor reservoir beginning in mid-1944. This provides a natural explanation for the two transfers of unfit Jews to Auschwitz that occurred on August 26 and September 10, 1944 which, according to the Polish historiography, were allegedly “*for the purpose of extermination*”. In this regard, J. Grabowska remarks as follows:²⁰⁸

“The transports of July 1944 from Kowo and Riga contained mothers with small children [...] After a stay of several days in Stutthof, some of these children were transported to Auschwitz. On July 26, 1944, a transport left with 1,423 persons, including 524 women, 416

²⁰⁷ M. Gliniski, “*Nebenlager und größere Außenkommandos des KZ Stutthof*”, in: *Stutthof: Das Konzentrationslager, op. cit.* (note 2), p. 226f.

²⁰⁸ Danuta Drywa, “*Häftlingstransporte...*”, *op. cit.* (note 155), p. 138.

girls, and 483 boys. The others were transferred on the next transport on September 10, 1944. This transport contained 575 Jewish women and children, as well as 8 mothers with 8 children, and 9 pregnant women of other nationalities. Both transports were sent to Auschwitz II (Birkenau), that is, to direct extermination.”

The purpose of the transfer of unfit Jewish inmates was quite obviously to make room for Jews who could work, and who were arriving at Stutthof in great numbers at this time. That the unfit Jews were sent to Auschwitz, and that D. Czech's *Kalendarium* only mentions two registered new arrivals from Stutthof on September 11,²⁰⁹ in no way proves that the purpose of these transports was to exterminate the transferees. In 1944, the percentage of unfit inmates at Birkenau was very high over this entire period. D. Czech herself informs us that 7,150—i.e., 27.2%—of the 26,230 inmates of the women's camp at Birkenau on October 2 of that year were sick and unable to work.²¹⁰ In the men's camp on August 8, 3,167 men were “unable to work or do service”—a figure equivalent to 16.58% of the total manpower of 19,115.²¹¹

D. Czech also provides us with other, highly valuable information in this regard: in the summer of 1944, very large numbers of Jews were housed in the so-called “*Durchgangslager*” (transit camp) without being registered. This same transit camp, on August 22, 1944, contained 30,000 unregistered Hungarian Jewish women.²¹²

For this reason, it is hardly remarkable that the two transports mentioned above have left so little trace in the documentation at Auschwitz concentration camp.

That the children were sent to Auschwitz from Stutthof without any intention to murder them is also confirmed by Polish historiography. D. Drywa writes:²¹³

“The next group of minor children was sent on June 19, 1944 to Mauthausen. A few weeks prior to departure of this transport, all Polish and Russian boys under 18 years of age were taken away from the work groups and housed in block 20. Of this number, 239 able-bodied individuals were selected, as determined by the camp doctor.

When one considers that, in particular, another transport had already left for the eastern youth protective custody camp of Tuschingen

²⁰⁹ D. Czech, *Kalendarium...*, *op. cit.* (note 56), p. 874. The transport of July 27, 1944, is not even mentioned!

²¹⁰ *Ibid.*, p. 893.

²¹¹ APMO, D-AuII-3a, p. 46.

²¹² D. Czech, *Kalendarium, op. cit.* (note 56), p. 860. On the transit camp, see also *ibid.*, p. 699f.

²¹³ D. Drywa, “*Ruch transportów...*”, *op. cit.* (note 21), p. 21.

(in the vicinity of Lodz) on an even earlier date, on March 28, 1944, and included 29 children and one adult female, and when one considers the later transports of mothers with children to Auschwitz, then the characteristic desire of the Stutthof camp authorities to rid themselves of the inmates is apparent.”

The transfer of the mothers—some of whom were quite able to work—together with their children, was doubtlessly ordered because the authorities were unwilling to separate the mothers and children, *i.e.*, on *humanitarian* grounds.

That the two transports to Auschwitz mentioned above were doomed for extermination is, of course, in crass contradiction to the claim that Stutthof was an auxiliary extermination camp. As we have seen, it is claimed that “*the extermination of the Hungarian Jews, which was carried out at Auschwitz until mid-1944 [...] exceeded the capacity of the camp*”, and that therefore, “*some of them, mostly women*”, were transferred to Stutthof. But then why would Jews from Stutthof be sent to Auschwitz to be gassed? The whole story is rendered even more absurd by the fact that, according to the calculations of the Soviet Commission relating to the gas chamber at Stutthof—calculations which can be theoretically reconstructed—if the chamber had been misused for criminal purposes, it could have killed 768 persons in 24 hours. Assuming an ‘operating time’ of only twelve hours a day, all of the 2,023 unfit inmates could have been liquidated in less than a week!

The nonsensical allegations purveyed by the official historiography with regards to the reciprocal death transports back and forth between the “*main extermination camp*” and the “*auxiliary extermination camp*” now continue with even more nonsense:

Of the 48,609 Jews who arrived at Stutthof between June 29 and October 27, 1944, more than half, *i.e.*, 25,043, were transferred from the Baltic camps; 10,458 were from Kaunas (Kowno), while another 14,585 were transferred from Riga. The official historiography has drastically reduced these numbers in order to prove that the ‘missing’ Jews were murdered. Raul Hilberg makes the following statement:²¹⁴

“Only a few months later [after May 1944] the Baltic camps were definitively evacuated. Between August 1944 and January 1945, a few thousand Jews were allocated to concentration camps in the Reich territory. Thousands of Baltic camp inmates were, however, shot immediately before the arrival of the Red Army.” (Emphasis added.)

²¹⁴ R. Hilberg, *Die Vernichtung...*, *op. cit.* (note 6) vol. II, p. 408.

Hilberg thus turns 25,000 into “a few thousand”! The *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* reduces these numbers in a manner that is almost as deceptive:²¹⁵

“Approximately 4000 Jews from Kowno were transferred to Germany, most of them to the concentration camps at Kaufering^[216] or Stutthof. In October, Jews also arrived from Kowno after having been interned in camps in Estonia.”

If Stutthof alone accepted more than 10,000 Jews from Kaunas, and then sent a number of them—the number is unknown to us—to the Dachau auxiliary camp at Kaufering, then the total number of Jews accepted from Kaunas cannot possibly have been “approximately 4000”.

According to the *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, the unfit among them were murdered before the departure of the transports:²¹⁷

“As the Red Army approached the Latvian border in July 1944, the evacuation of the camp began. Before the evacuation, thousands of unfit Jews—the sick, weak, and children—were killed.”

Yet the transports of Jews from Kaunas and Riga clearly refute these allegations. The transferred inmates included, in particular, hundreds of minor children who were sent to Stutthof with the notation “boy” or “girl”. The lists of names of deportees from Kaunas—which have only survived in part—use these expressions for persons born in 1929 or later, *i.e.*, 15 years of age or less. For example, the transport list of July 12, 1944—which has survived in part, and which originally consisted of a total of 3,098 names—80 out of 510 of the surviving names fall into this category; the nearly complete list of 19 July—consisting of 1,095 out of 1,097 names—contains the notation “boy” or “girl” in 88 cases.²¹⁸ The following table illustrates the data on the percentage of minor children under the age of 16:

AGE	TRANSPORT OF 12. JULY	TRANSPORT OF 19. JULY
15 years old	3	-
14 years old	7	4
13 years old	4	28
12 years old	8	13
11 years old	2	6
10 years old	4	9

²¹⁵ *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust, op. cit.* (note 5), vol. II, p. 806.

²¹⁶ An auxiliary camp of Dachau concentration camp.

²¹⁷ *Op. cit.* (note 5), vol. II, p. 728.

²¹⁸ AMS, I-IIB-10, transport lists.

AGE	TRANSPORT OF 12. JULY	TRANSPORT OF 19. JULY
9 years old	10	2
8 years old	4	6
7 years old	5	7
6 years old	9	8
5 years old	7	-
4 years old	8	3
3 years old	8	2
2 years old	1	-
Total:	80	88

The sum total must have been much higher, since 416 girls and 483 boys were transferred from Stutthof to Auschwitz on July 25.

To sum up: according to the official historiography, these Baltic Jewish children miraculously survived the SS mass shootings of the unfit in Riga and Kaunas, then escaped the gas chamber of the “*auxiliary extermination camp*” at Stutthof by another miracle, only to be sent to Auschwitz; and all this at a time when over 20,000 Jews were being transferred from Auschwitz to Stutthof, “*because the extermination of the Hungarian Jews, which was carried out until mid 1944, exceeded the capacity of this camp*”!

Conclusion

The official history of events at Stutthof concentration camp is a crass demonstration of the fact that the victors' official version of history has reached a dead end.

In 1947, the Communist "*Commission for the Investigation of the German Crimes in Poland*" alleged that Stutthof had been used as a 'makeshift' extermination camp. The number of victims was summarily established at 65,000, and it was alleged that many inmates had been murdered in the Stutthof delousing chamber.

This official version of the camp history was not even revised after the end of Communist domination in Poland; this is in contrast to Auschwitz and Majdanek, where the number of victims—although incomparably more grossly exaggerated than was the case at Stutthof—was at least massively reduced.

Western historians have never made any attempt to obtain knowledge about Stutthof through their own efforts; insofar as they have expressed any views on the subject at all, they have been content to parrot the official Polish version.²¹⁹

Today, more than half a century after the end of WWII, it is high time to approach the topic in a correct manner, and revise the distorted propaganda image of the camp. To do so does in no way trivialize the actual sufferings of Stutthof inmates; our research in no way denigrates the memory of the 26,000 human beings who *actually* died in the camp, or of the victims of the evacuation. Quite the contrary. The official historiography of National Socialist concentration camps contains endless discussion of *imaginary* victims, but very little discussion of the *real* victims of these camps; yet only the latter are worthy of our sympathy.

²¹⁹ German literature on Stutthof uncritically regurgitates even the crudest atrocity stories from Polish or Jewish sources. H. Kuhn, for example, in the anthology published by him *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager...*, *op. cit.*, (note 35), repeats the absurd claims of J. Grabowska that the camp "was to become a centre for the extermination of the peoples of Northern[sic!] Europe" (p. 32). H. Kuhn even has the audacity to repeat the horror stories of Trudi Birger, who claimed that hundreds of naked women were "thrown" alive into the "gigantic ovens" of the crematorium on one single day, that not a single one of them defended herself in the slightest—not even Birger herself, who miraculously survived fiery death, and who then escaped a watery death by another miracle (p. 129-133).

Documents



Photo 1: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, in its present condition (1997), viewed from the southwest. To the right: one of the two railway carriages standing on the narrow-gauge railway track. © Carlo Mattosno




Photo 2: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the southwest. Soviet photo taken in 1945. The rectangular frames of the gas-tight door are visible on the south side. AMS, shelf-mark 3001.



Photo 3: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the south. The door today is of lightweight sheet metal, to protect the museum-like interior. © C. original. © Carlo Mattogno

Photo 4: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, viewed from the south. The door today. It is not alleged that this door is original. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 5: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the south. The oven was used to heat the air inside the delousing chamber. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 6: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the south. The oven was used to heat the air inside the delousing chamber. Above: the fire door. Below: the ash door. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 7: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the south. The oven was used to heat the air inside the delousing chamber. Interior view of the combustion chamber. Beneath: the plane grid; above: the circular opening of the connection to the cast iron pipe located inside the delousing chamber. ©

Carlo Mattogno



Photo 8: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, interior view, west wall; cast iron connection pipe to the oven located outside the delousing chamber and used to heat the air inside the chamber. The pipe was originally surrounded by masonry similar to that visible in the photograph, but of perforated brick. One of these bricks is still visible today, underneath and behind the pipe (circle). © Carlo Mattogno




Photo 9: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the north. Upper right: the oven chimney, at the foot of which is a small peephole (below, to the right of the door). Between this peephole and the chimney, the brick exhibits the typical blue pigmentation caused by iron cyanide. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 10: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, interior. Circular opening in the middle of the reinforced concrete roof. Note the typical blue pigmentation caused by iron cyanide. This opening was used for the introduction of Zyklon B into the delousing chamber. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 11. Delousing chamber at Stutthof, roof. Metallic pipe with lid, leading to the introduction hatch. Soviet photograph taken in 1945. Next to the pipe stands a can of Zyklon B. AMS, shelfmark 6816.



Photo 12: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, interior. Drainage shaft in the middle of the brick floor underneath the Zyklon B introduction hatch. © Carlo Mattoño



Photo 13: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, interior view from the south door. All the walls exhibit the typical blue pigmentation caused by iron cyanide. © Carlo Mattogno




Photo 14: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, viewed from the east. The wall exhibits two large blue stained areas unequivocally indicating the use of Zyklon B. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 15: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, east side, exterior. The brick exhibits the typical blue pigmentation caused by iron cyanide. © Carlo Mattogno




Photo 16: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, east side. Right: the second of two narrow-gauge railway carriages is visible behind the cross. To the right: the crematorium, rebuilt after the war. © Carlo Mattogno




Photo 17: Delousing chamber at Stutthof, east side. Blue stains on the wall prove the use of Zyklon B. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 1: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, as seen today (1997); view from the southwest. One of the two railway carriages on the narrow-gauge railway line is visible to the right. © Carlo Mattogno.



Photo 4: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, southeast side. The door today. It is not alleged that this was the original door. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 5: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, south side. Oven to heat the air in the gas chamber. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 8: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, interior, west wall; cast iron connection pipe to the oven installed outside the gas chamber, which was used to heat the air. The pipe was originally surrounded by masonry, similar to that visible in the photo, but perforated. One of the perforated bricks is still visible below the pipe (circle). © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 10: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, interior. Circular opening in the middle of the reinforced concrete ceiling; note the typical blue pigmentation due to iron cyanide. The opening was used for the introduction of Zyklon B into the delousing chamber. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 13: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, interior view from the south door. All walls exhibit the typical blue pigmentation due to iron cyanide.

© Carlo Mattogno



Photo 14: (top): delousing chamber in Stutthof, east side. The wall exhibits two large blue stains (iron cyanide), unequivocally proving the use of Zyklon B. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 15: (right) detail enlargement of Photo 14. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 17: Delousing chamber in Stutthof, east side. Blue stains on the wall are proof of the use of Zyklon B. © Carlo Mattogno



Photo 18: The two coke-fueled crematory ovens built by H. Kori, viewed after the liberation of the camp (1945). AMS, shelfmark 6804.

37

Konzentrationslager Stutthof
Kommandantur
Politische Abteilung

Stutthof, den 6. Mai 1943

Betrifft: Entlassung von Häftlingen

An das
Schutzhaftlager

Nachstehende Häftlinge wurden am 6.5.43 aus dem Konzentrationslager Stutthof entlassen bzw. Überstellt.:

F r a u e n

1. ✓ Jürgentahl ✓	Hilda	27.5.16	Erziehung	Estin	20	874 ✓
2. ✓ Tell ✓	Erika	15.9.21	"	R.D.	21	517 ✓
3. ✓ Kirschnitzki ✓	Martha	4.6.11	"	Polin	21	525 ✓
4. ✓ Rebischke ✓	Gertrud	23.12.21	"	R.D.	21	227 ✓
5. ✓ Trzcinski ✓	Hedwig	18.8.24	"	Polin	21	223 ✓
6. ✓ Graben ✓	Marie	22.11.19	"	V.D.	20	849 ✓

M ä n n e r

7. ✓ Gera ✓	Nikolaus	28.7.10	"	Russe	20	879 ✓
8. ✓ Lewinski ✓	Jean	24.5.12	"	Pole	20	878 ✓
9. ✓ Wielowski ✓	Stefan	25.12.00	"	Pole	20	897 ✓
10. ✓ Krzeszowski ✓	Wladislaw	26.6.10	"	Pole	21	602 ✓
11. ✓ Trepow ✓	Paul	13.8.21	"	Pole	20	854 ✓
12. ✓ Kotarski ✓	Stanislaw	16.11.22	"	Pole	21	600 ✓
13. ✓ Staschek ✓	Tadeus	28.4.24	"	Pole	21	601 ✓
14. ✓ Hlaczowski ✓	Tadeus	10.2.08	"	Pole	21	599 ✓
15. ✓ Rykowski ✓	Tadeus	1.11.23	"	Pole	21	598 ✓
16. ✓ Rydzinski ✓	Erich	29.10.24	"	Pole	20	768 ✓
17. ✓ Schneider ✓	Polix	20.3.03	"	R.D.	21	596 ✓
18. ✓ Jankowski ✓	Zemon	24.2.19	"	Pole	21	648 ✓
19. ✓ Lemandowski ✓	Wladislaw	14.7.17	"	Pole	21	648 ✓
20. ✓ Gwron ✓	Franz	5.6.12	"	Pole	21	648 ✓
21. ✓ Bohrowski ✓	Wladislaw	10.2.24	"	Pole	21	504 ✓
22. ✓ Gendrojewski ✓	Kazimir	7.1.21	"	Pole	21	501 ✓
23. ✓ Banfeld ✓	Alexander	13.6.23	"	D-Russe	21	507 ✓
24. ✓ Szabowski ✓	Siegismund	10.4.13	"	Pole	21	506 ✓
25. ✓ Erdmann ✓	Nikolaus	26.1.26	"	D-Russe	21	505 ✓
26. ✓ Klaczki ✓	Juzi	19.7.23	"	D-Russe	21	504 ✓
27. ✓ Schidlicki ✓	Wladislaw	9.9.23	"	V.D.	19	808 ✓
28. ✓ Kohntz ✓	Albert	16.8.04	"	Pole	21	533 ✓
29. ✓ Jankowski ✓	Alexander	25.8.23	"	Pole	20	858 ✓
30. ✓ Rzybytkowski ✓	Jesef	27.2.12	"	Pole	21	499 ✓
31. ✓ Petermann ✓	Julius	27.7.27	"	V.D./Asso. Staaten	19	672 Anaschitz
32. ✓ Aert ✓	Stefan	11.8.20	"	Sch.H./Pol.Pole	12	356 Sachsenhausen an

Kr. Nr. 31 wurde dem KL-Anaschitz und Kr. 32 dem KL-Sachsenhausen überstellt.

Zur Kenntnis

- a/ Arbeitsinspektorenführer
- b/ Krankenbau
- c/ Effektenabteilung
- d/ Postzentrale
- e/ Effektenabteilung

Der Leiter der Abteilung II

Stollberg
 II-Stabschef
 u. Krim.- Sekr.

S/m AN 7.5.43

Document 1: List of inmates released or transferred on May 6, 1943. AMS, I-II-C-7, p. 37.

C¹

Nr. V, 1

Stutthof, den 20. August 1943

D...er Landarbeiter Stanislaw Sobczak -----
 ----- katholisch -----

wohnhaft in Hajnowka, Kreis Bielsk-Podlaski -----

ist am 19. August 1943 ----- um 14 Uhr ----- Minuten

in Stutthof, Danzigerstraße ----- Verstorben.

D...er Verstorbene war geboren am 9. Mai 1919 -----

in Blazowa, Kreis Rzeszow -----

(Standesamt ----- Nr. -----)

Vater: Kasimir Sobczak, wohnhaft in Blazowa -----
Kreis Rzeszow -----

Mutter: Justina Sobczak geborene Flesniak -----
ebenda wohnhaft -----

D...er Verstorbene war — nicht — verheiratet -----

Eingetragen auf mündliche — ~~schriftliche~~ Anzeige des Schuhmachersge-
sellen Kurt Kennig in Stutthof, Danzigerstraße -----

D...er Anzeigende ist bekannt und erklärte von dem -----
Sterbefall aus eigener Wissenschaft unterrichtet zu
sein -----

Vorgelesen, genehmigt und ----- unterschrieben
Kurt Kennig

Die Übereinstimmung mit dem
 Erstbuch wird beglaubigt.

Stutthof, den 20. 8. 1943
 Der Standesbeamte

G l a s

Herzschwäche -----
 Todesursache: -----

Eheschließung de... Verstorbenen am ----- in -----

(Standesamt ----- Nr. -----)

*Rek. 1943
 Kon. 11. 11. 1943*

Document 4: The first page of Death Register—second book in series V/1943. AMS, 2-V-15.

СПИСОК
УМЕРШИХ В ШТУТГОВСКОМ ЛАГЕРЕ
СОГЛАСНО НАЙДЕННЫХ ДОКУМЕНТОВ.
В ПЕРИОД С ЯНВАРЯ ПО АПРЕЛЬ 1945г.

№/1945г.	ДАТА.	КОЛИЧЕСТВО УМЕРШИХ МУЖЧИН И ЖЕНЩИН.
1	30/1 1945г.	389
2	1/II "	182
3	4/II "	173
4	6/II "	171
5	7/II "	34
6	8/II "	77
7	9/II "	136
8	11/II "	134
9	12/II "	198
10	13/II "	180
11	14/II "	169
12	15/II "	151
13	16/II "	267
14	17/II "	277
15	18/II "	225
16	19/II "	244
17	20/II "	137
18	21/II "	108
19	22/II "	133
20	23/II "	162
21	24/II "	112
22	25/II "	85
23	26/II "	116
24	27/II "	206
25	28/II "	101

Document 5: List of deaths from 30 January to 23 April 1945. GARF, 7021-106-3, p. 183, 185f. (continued on next page).

№	1/12 1945г.	100	№	16/12 1945г.	29
16	1/12 1945г.	100	16	16/12 1945г.	29
17	2/12 "	95	17	17/12 "	18
18	3/12 "	84	18	18/12 "	17
19	4/12 "	73	19	19/12 "	17
20	5/12 "	61	20	20/12 "	21
21	6/12 "	50	21	21/12 "	19
22	7/12 "	39	22	22/12 "	15
23	8/12 "	28	23	23/12 "	16
24	9/12 "	17			
25	10/12 "	6			
26	11/12 "	0			
27	12/12 "	0			
28	1/12 "	0			
29	2/12 "	0			
30	3/12 "	0			
31	4/12 "	0			
32	5/12 "	0			
33	6/12 "	0			
34	7/12 "	0			
35	8/12 "	0			
36	9/12 "	0			
37	10/12 "	0			
38	11/12 "	0			
39	12/12 "	0			
40	1/12 "	0			
41	2/12 "	0			
42	3/12 "	0			
43	4/12 "	0			
44	5/12 "	0			
45	6/12 "	0			
46	7/12 "	0			
47	8/12 "	0			
48	9/12 "	0			
49	10/12 "	0			
50	11/12 "	0			
51	12/12 "	0			
52	1/12 "	0			
53	2/12 "	0			
54	3/12 "	0			
55	4/12 "	0			
56	5/12 "	0			
57	6/12 "	0			
58	7/12 "	0			
59	8/12 "	0			
60	9/12 "	0			
61	10/12 "	0			
62	11/12 "	0			
63	12/12 "	0			
64	1/12 "	0			
65	2/12 "	0			
66	3/12 "	0			
67	4/12 "	0			
68	5/12 "	0			
69	6/12 "	0			
70	7/12 "	0			
71	8/12 "	0			
72	9/12 "	0			
73	10/12 "	0			
74	11/12 "	0			
75	12/12 "	0			
76	1/12 "	0			
77	2/12 "	0			
78	3/12 "	0			
79	4/12 "	0			
80	5/12 "	0			
81	6/12 "	0			
82	7/12 "	0			
83	8/12 "	0			
84	9/12 "	0			
85	10/12 "	0			
86	11/12 "	0			
87	12/12 "	0			
88	1/12 "	0			
89	2/12 "	0			
90	3/12 "	0			
91	4/12 "	0			
92	5/12 "	0			
93	6/12 "	0			
94	7/12 "	0			
95	8/12 "	0			
96	9/12 "	0			
97	10/12 "	0			
98	11/12 "	0			
99	12/12 "	0			
100	1/12 "	0			

С. ГОРЮНЕНКОВ ПЕРЕКРЕСТЬ АСНО. В. С. 1945-1946

11000

11000

Document 5: Continued (pp. 2 and 3)

Abbreviations

- AMS:** Archiwum Muzeum Stutthof
APMO: Archiwum Państwowego Muzeum w Oświęcimiu
GARF: Gosudarstwenny Archiv Rossiskoy Federatsii, Moscow
ROD: Rijksinstituut voor Oorlogsdokumentatie, Amsterdam
SZM: Stutthof. Zeszyty Muzeum
TCIDK: Tsentr Chranenija Istoriko-dokumental'nych Kolleksii, Moscow.

Bibliography

- Birger, Trudi, *Im Angesicht des Feuers*, Piper Verlag, Munich/Zürich 1990.
- Czech, Danuta, *Kalendarium der Ereignisse im Konzentrationslager Auschwitz-Birkenau 1939-1945*, Rowohlt, Reinbek bei Hamburg 1989.
- Drynko, Romuald, *Informator wystaw stałych. Muzeum Stutthof w Sztutowie* (Information brochure on the permanent exhibition. Stutthof Museum in Sztutowo), Gdingen-Stutthof 1991, p. 27.
- Drywa, Danuta, »Ruch transportów między KL Stutthof a innymi obozami« (Transport movements between Stutthof concentration camp and other camps), in SZM: no. 9, 1990.
- Dunin-Wąsowicz, Krzysztof, »Żydowscy Więźniowie KL Stutthof« (The Jewish Inmates of Stutthof Camp), in: *Biuletyn Żydowskiego Instytutu Historycznego* (Bulletin of the Jewish Historical Institute), no. 63, Warsaw 1967.
- Dunin-Wąsowicz, Krzysztof, *Stutthof*, Warsaw 1970.
- Główna Komisja Badania Zbrodni Hitlerowskich w Polsce. Rada Ochrony Pomników Walki i Męczeństwa (Main Commission for the Investigation of Hitlerite Crimes in Poland. Council for the Protection of Monuments of the War and the Martyrdom), *Obozy Hitlerowskie na Ziemiach Polskich 1939-1945* (Hitlerite Camps on Polish Soil 1939-1945), Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw 1979.
- Grabowska, Janina, *Stutthof. Informator historyczny* (Stutthof Historical Abstract), Danzig 1990.
- Graf, Jürgen und Mattogno, Carlo, *KL Majdanek. Eine historische und technische Studie*, Castle Hill Publisher, Hastings 1998.
- Grot, Elżbieta, *Rejs Śmierci. Ewakuacja Morska Więźniów KL Stutthof 1945 r.* (The Voyage of Death. The Evacuation of Inmates

- from Stutthof Concentration Camp by Sea in 1945), Danzig 1993.
- Gauss, Ernst (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, 2nd edition, Theses and Dissertations Press, Chicago, IL, 2003.
 - Hilberg, Raul, *Die Vernichtung der europäischen Juden*, 3 vols, Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, Frankfurt am Main 1997.
 - Hilberg, Raul, *The Destruction of the European Jews*, 3 vols., Holmes and Meier, New York 1985
 - Jäckel, Eberhard, Peter Longerich, Julius Schoeps et al. (ed.), *Enzyklopädie des Holocaust. Die Verfolgung und Ermordung der europäischen Juden*, 3 vols, Argon Verlag, Berlin 1993.
 - Jezierska, Maria Elżbieta, »Straceni w obozie Stutthof« (Executions in Stutthof Camp), in: SZM no. 7, 1987.
 - Kogon, Eugen, Hermann Langbein, Adalbert Rückerl et al. (ed.), *Nationalsozialistische Massentötungen durch Giftgas. Eine Dokumentation*, S. Fischer Verlag, Frankfurt am Main 1983.
 - Kogon, Eugen, Hermann Langbein, Adalbert Rückerl et al. (ed.), *Nazi Mass Murder*, Yale University Press, New Haven 1993
 - Kuhn, Hermann (ec.), *Stutthof. Ein Konzentrationslager vor den Toren Danzigs*, Edition Temmen, Bremen 1990.
 - Łukaszewicz, Zdzisław, »Obóz koncentracyjny Stutthof« (Stutthof Concentration Camp), in: *Biuletyn Głównej Komisji badania zbrodni niemieckich w Polsce*, Warsaw 1947, Nr. III, pp. 59-90.
 - Mattogno, Carlo, *La "Zentralbauleitung der Waffen-SS und Polizei Auschwitz"*, Edizioni di Ar, Padua 1998.
 - Orski, Marek, *Ostatnie dni Obozu Stutthof* (The Last Days of Stutthof Camp), Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig 1995.
 - Orski, Marek, *Des Français au camp de concentration de Stutthof*, Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig 1995.
 - Orski, Marek, *Gli Italiani nel KL Stutthof*, Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig 1996.
 - Pressac, Jean-Claude, *Auschwitz. Technique and Operation of the Gas Chambers*, Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, New York 1989.
 - Reitlinger, Gerald, *Die Endlösung*, Colloquium, Berlin 1964.
 - Reitlinger, Gerald, *The Final Solution*, 2nd ed., Sphere Books, London 1971.
 - *Stutthof. Hitlerowski obóz koncentracyjny* (Stutthof. A Hitlerite Concentration Camp), Wydawnictwo Interpress, Warsaw 1988.
 - *Stutthof. Das Konzentrationslager* (translation of the above-mentioned work), Wydawnictwo Marpress, Danzig 1996.
 - Weber, Mark, »An important but little-known Wartime Camp: Stutthof«, in: *Journal of Historical Review*, vol. 16, no. 5, Newport Beach 1997.

Index of Names

Individuals only. Entries in footnotes as italics.

— A —

Asjas: 63

— B —

Będziński, Jan: 31

Birger, Trudi: 23, 37

Bischoff, Karl: 58

— C —

Chemnitz, Arno: 40, 45, 65, 66, 67

Conti, Leonardo: 49

Coradello, Aldo: 44, 62, 63, 64, 67,

68, 69, 70, 71, 73, 77

Czech, Danuta: 25, 92

— D —

Deana, France: 58

Drynko, Romuald: 43

Drywa, Danuta: 14, 21, 25, 31, 43,

47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 61, 62, 71, 77,

78, 85, 90, 91, 92

Dunin-Wąsowicz, Krzysztof: 8, 22,

25, 38, 41, 42, 43, 48, 49, 61, 69,

73, 85, 86, 89, 90

— E —

Ehle, Paul: 16, 31

Eimann, Kurt: 11

— F —

Ferenc, Ewa: 12, 13, 18, 27, 57, 58

Fjodorow, Ivan A.: 33, 37

Forster, Albert: 49

Foth, Ewald: 39, 40, 45, 64, 65

Friedman, Filip: 49

— G —

Gauss, Ernst: 58

Glinski, Mirosław: 11, 13, 15, 16,

18, 21, 27, 91

Glücks, Richard: 12, 15, 16, 90

Goncarzewski, Mieczysław: 31

Gottschalk, Gerda: 19, 24

Grabowska, Janina: 16, 20, 28, 30,

42, 51, 52, 62, 84, 91, 97

Graf, Jürgen: 11, 59, 60, 76

Grot, Elżbieta: 22, 27, 29, 31, 47,

49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 71

Gust, Erich: 11

— H —

Haupt, Otto: 45

Heidl, Otto: 45, 64, 65

Heydrich, Reinhard Tristan Eugen:

14

Hilberg, Raul: 9, 49, 93, 94

Himmler, Heinrich: 12, 14, 15, 18,

54

Hoppe, Paul Werner: 16, 19, 28, 31,

41, 48, 66

Höß, Rudolf Franz Ferdinand: 42,

57

Huppert, Magdalena: 25

— J —

Jäckel, Eberhard: 9

Jezierska, Maria Elżbieta: 44, 50,

51, 53, 73, 74, 75, 76

— K —

Kalinin, Piotr: 74

Kammler, Hans: 13

Kapustin, Georgi S.: 33, 37

Katz, Josef: 24

Katzmann, Fritz: 29

Kaufmann, Max: 24

Kautsky, Benedikt: 21

Kienzler, Alphonse: 52, 71

Klarsfeld, Beate: 41

Kluka, Teodor: 48

Knitter, Franciszek oder Franz: 44,

66, 67, 68

Knott, Otto: 45

Kogon, Eugen: 9, 41

- Krawczyk, Zbignew: 35, 36, 62
Kuhn, Hermann: 19, 23, 26, 27, 42, 62, 64, 97
- L —
Langbein, Hermann: 9, 41
Lehmann, Alfred: 69
Lewandowski, Waclaw: 58
Liebehenschel, Arthur: 12
Longerich, Peter: 9
Lüdtke, Bernhard: 66
Łukaszkiwicz, Zdzisław: 37, 38, 61, 113
Lulie: 63
Lyssenko, Taissa: 50
- M —
Mattogno, Carlo: 11, 13, 58, 59, 60, 76
Maurer, Gerhard: 89
Maurisse, Jean: 14
Meyer, Theodor Traugott: 19, 25, 26, 45, 66
Mirau, Stefan: 50
- N —
Neubauer, Otto: 13
Nicolaysen, Alfred: 28
- O —
Orski, Marek: 14, 19, 21, 22, 28, 30, 32, 76, 90
- P —
Pabst, Josef: 48
Patsch, Wilhelm: 44, 64, 66, 67, 68
Pauls, Johann: 18
Pauly, Max: 12, 16
Pawlaczyk, Marian: 31
Peters, Friedrich: 64, 65
Pickholz, Olga M.: 49
- Pohl, Oswald: 15, 54, 89
Pressac, Jean-Claude: 41, 42, 56, 57
- Q —
Quisling, Vidkun: 21
- R —
Rabinovici, Schoschanna: 24
Rach, Hans: 44, 45
Rassinier, Paul: 21
Reitlinger, Gerald: 9
Rolnikaite, Maria: 24
Rössler, Erich: 65
Rückerl, Adalbert: 9, 41
Rust, Bernhard: 49
- S —
Schäfer, Johannes: 11
Schneider, Gertrude: 24
Schoeps, Julius H.: 9
Schoeps, Polly: 24
Spanner, Rudolf: 49
Szlamowitz, Ester: 48
- V —
Valk, Erna: 24
von Hassell, Fey: 27
von Hassell, Ulrich: 27
- W —
Weber, Mark: 9, 10, 29
Weil, Paul: 52, 71
Wiechern, Paul: 69
Willich, Heinrich: 14
Wolf, Jeannette: 24
Woźniak: 33, 34
- Z —
Zielina, Jan: 27

HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 1: *Germar Rudolf (ed.)*

Dissecting the Holocaust

The Growing Critique of 'Truth' and 'Memory'

"There is at present no other single volume that so provides a serious reader with a broad understanding of the contemporary state of historical issues that influential people would rather not have examined." —Prof. Dr. A. R. Butz, Evanston, IL

"There is much in the various contributions that strikes one as thoroughly convincing."

—Historian Dr. Joachim Hoffmann, Expert Report


"Read this book and you will know where revisionism is today. And the shock is that revisionism has done away with the exterminationist case." —Andrew Gray, *The Barnes Review*

"I envy the United States where such a book can be published without negative consequences. It will probably unleash a broad discussion."

—Historian Prof. Dr. Ernst Nolte, Berlin, Germany

Dissecting the Holocaust applies state-of-the-art scientific technique and classic methods of detection to investigate the alleged murder of millions of Jews by Germans during World War II. In 22 contributions of each ca. 30 pages, the 17 authors dissect generally accepted paradigms of the 'Holocaust'. It reads as exciting as a crime novel: so many lies, forgeries, and deceptions by politicians, historians and scientists. This is *the* intellectual adventure of the 21st century. Be part of it!

2nd, revised paperback edition! 616 pp. pb, 6"×9", b/w ill., bibl., index: \$/€30.-, £20.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 2:

Germar Rudolf

The Rudolf Report

Expert Report on Chemical and Technical Aspects of the 'Gas Chambers' of Auschwitz

In the years after its first publication, the so-called *Leuchter Report* about the alleged gas chambers of Auschwitz and Majdanek has been subject to massive, and partly justified, criticism. In 1993, Rudolf, a researcher from the prestigious German Max-Planck-Institute, published a thorough forensic study about the alleged gas chambers of Auschwitz which irons out the deficiencies and discrepancies of the *Leuchter Report*.

The Rudolf Report is the first English edition of this sensational scientific work. It analyzes all existing evidence on the Auschwitz gas chambers and exposes the fallacies of various failed attempts to refute Rudolf's Report. The conclusions are quite clear: The alleged gas chambers of Auschwitz could not have existed.


In the appendix, Rudolf describes his unique persecution.

"These scientific analyses are perfect." H. Westra, Anne-Frank-Foundation,

"[T]he report must be described as scientifically acceptable."

Dr. Henri Ramuz, Professor of Chemistry

455 pp. A5, b/w & color ill., bibl., index; pb: \$/€30.-/£20.-; hardcover: \$/€45.-/£30.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 3:

Jürgen Graf

GIANT With Feet of Clay


Raul Hilberg and his Standard Work on the "Holocaust"

Raul Hilberg's major work "The Destruction of European Jewry" is generally considered the standard work on the Holocaust. The critical reader might ask: what evidence does Hilberg provide to back his thesis that there was a German plan to exterminate Jews, to be carried out in the legendary gas chambers? And what evidence supports his estimate of 5.1 million Jewish victims?

Jürgen Graf applies the methods of critical analysis to Hilberg's evidence and examines the results in the light of Revisionist historiography. The results of Graf's critical analysis are devastating for Hilberg.

Graf's *Giant With Feet of Clay* is the first comprehensive and systematic examination of the leading spokesperson for the orthodox version of the Jewish fate during the Third Reich.

160 pp. pb, 6"×9", ill., bibl., index, \$/€ 9.95-; £7.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 5:

Jürgen Graf, Carlo Mattogno

Concentration Camp Majdanek


A Historical and Technical Study

Amazingly, little scientific investigation had been directed toward the concentration camp Lublin-Majdanek in central Poland, even though orthodox Holocaust sources claimed that between fifty thousand and over a million Jews were murdered there. The only information available from public libraries is thoroughly discredited Polish Communists propaganda.

This glaring research gap has finally been filled. After exhaustive research of primary sources, Mattogno and Graf created a monumental study which expertly dissects and repudiates the myth of homicidal gas chambers at Majdanek. They also investigated the legendary mass executions of Jews in tank trenches ("*Operation Harvest Festival*") critically and prove them groundless.

The authors' investigations lead to unambiguous conclusions about the camp which are radically different from the official theses. Again they have produced a standard and methodical investigative work which authentic historiography can not ignore.

320 pp pb, A5, 6"×9", b/w & color ill., bibl., index, \$/€25.-/£18.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 6:

Don Heddeshheimer

The First Holocaust

**Jewish Fund Raising Campaigns
With Holocaust Claims
During And After World War I**


We all know that the suffering and death of Six Million Jews during the second world war was an event unparalleled in world history. But do we really?

The First Holocaust is an extremely irritating book, because it proves us all wrong. Supported with many publications from mainstream US media, in particular *The New York Times*, Don Heddeshheimer provides the evidence to show that between 1916 and the late 1920s, mainly American Jewish organizations were claiming that up to *six million Jews*(!) would suffer terribly in poverty stricken Eastern Europe.

In this context, it was claimed that eastern European Jewry would face a Holocaust if they did not receive massive aid. With such claims, millions of dollars were raised in the United States, which at the end were probably used to finance the Bolshevich revolution in Russia.

This book is a key to understand the much more successful Holocaust propaganda which was unleashed during World War II.

ca. 140 pp. pb., 6"×9", ill., bibl., index, \$/€9.95-£7.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 7:

Arthur R. Butz


The Hoax of the Twentieth Century

The Case Against the Presumed Extermination of European Jewry

With his book *Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, A. R. Butz, Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, was the first (and so far the only) writer to treat the entire Holocaust complex from the Revisionist perspective, in a precise scientific manner. This book exhibits the overwhelming force of historical and logical arguments which Revisionism had accumulated by the middle of the 70s. It was the first book published in the US which won for Revisionism the academic dignity to which it is entitled. It continues to be a major revisionist reference work, frequently cited by prominent personalities.

Because of its prestige, no library can forbear offering *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, and no historian of modern times can ignore it. A "must read" for every Revisionist and every newcomer to the issue who wants to thoroughly learn about revisionist arguments. This issue is a revised version with a new preface.

440 pp. pb., 6"×9", ill., bibl., index, \$/€25.-; £18.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 8:

Carlo Mattogno, Jürgen Graf

Treblinka


Extermination Camp or Transit Camp?

Holocaust historians alleged that at Treblinka in East Poland, between 700,000 and 3,000,000 persons were murdered in 1942 and 1943. The weapons used were alleged to have been stationary and/or mobile gas chambers, poison gases of both fast acting and slow acting varieties, unslaked lime, superheated steam, electricity, diesel exhaust fumes, etc. Holocaust historians alleged that bodies were piled as high as multistoried buildings and burned without a trace, using little or no fuel. Graf and Mattogno have now analyzed the origins, logic and technical feasibility of the official version of Treblinka. On the basis of numerous documents they reveal Treblinka's true identity: it was a transit camp.

Even longtime Revisionism buffs will find a lot that is new in this book, while Graf's animated style guarantees a pleasant reading experience.

The original testimony of witnesses enlivens the reader, as does the skill with which the authors expose the absurdities of Holocaust historiography.

ca. 432 pp. pb, 6"×9", ill., bibl., index, \$/€25.-/£18.-



HOLOCAUST Handbooks, Vol. 9: (Summer 2004)

Germar Rudolf, Jürgen Graf

Lectures on the Holocaust

Controversial Issues Cross Examined

In 1992, German scholar Germar Rudolf held several lectures at various academic societies in Germany. His topic was very controversial: the Holocaust in the light of new forensic findings. Even though Rudolf presented nothing short of full-fledged Holocaust Revisionism to the mainstream audiences, his arguments fell on fertile soil, because they were presented in a very pedagogically sensitive and scholarly way. This book is an updated version of these lectures, enriched by contributions of Swiss scholar Jürgen Graf.

The book's style is unique: It is a dialogue between the two lecturers on the one hand who introduce the reader to the most important arguments and counter arguments of Holocaust Revisionism—backed up with sources and references to further reading—and the reactions of the audience to these presentations on the other hand: supportive, skeptical, and also hostile comments, questions and assertions. It reads like a vivid and exciting real-life exchange between persons of various points of view, a compendium of Frequently Asked Questions on the Holocaust and its critical re-examination.

There is no better way to introduce readers unfamiliar with revisionism to this highly controversial topic.

ca. 400 pp. pb, 6"×9", ill., bibl., index, \$/€25.-/£18.-

