

Special Report

Revealed! The five fat burning myths that cost you hours of wasted time and effort...

Christian Finn, M.Sc.

http://www.thefactsaboutfitness.com/

Foreword

A MYTH is like a virus—it spreads. Very quickly. You might have come across some of these myths. After all, there are plenty of them...high carbohydrate diets are the best way to lose weight...weight training won't help me burn fat...exercising in the fat burning "zone" is the best way to train.

According to the Oxford English Dictionary, a myth is a "widely held but false notion" or a "fictitious person, thing, or idea." Why are there so many myths and misconceptions about exercise, nutrition and health? Probably for the same reason we have misconceptions about a lot of things. Somebody says something, somebody repeats it, then we repeat it. Suddenly it's established as fact. Yet nobody took the time to actually think about what they were saying. "Authors often copy each others mistaken 'facts'," points out Udo Erasmus, best selling author of *Fats that Heal Fats that Kill*, "because they have not invested the time and effort required to extract truths from the research literature."

No matter what your goals, the first step to success is simple—you must stop doing the things that aren't working. If your approach hasn't worked in the last four weeks, four months—or even four years, then it won't suddenly start working next week. To change your approach, you need to know what to change and how to change it.

Remember to take what you find and apply it. Keep the things that work for you. Get rid of the things that don't.

Sincerely yours,

Christian Finn, M.Sc.

http://www.thefactsaboutfitness.com/

Myth #1: You need to exercise for 20 minutes or more to burn fat

Back in 1999 when I was studying full-time at university, and working both a full-time and a part-time job, one of the big challenges I faced was making the time to exercise.

When I started university, I often failed to make it to the gym at all, even for just 20 or 30 minutes. And even if I did manage to summon the enthusiasm to train, by the time I'd finished changing and warming up, it was almost time to leave again.

However, after several weeks of moaning and complaining to anyone who would listen that it was now "impossible" for me to do any exercise at all, I decided to do something about it. My plan was to cut each workout in half. Driving to work in the morning, I'd stop at the gym and get the first half done. On the way back home at night, I'd do the second half.

However, a few people I spoke with told me that this idea was 'silly' and 'wouldn't work.' "Your body doesn't start burning fat until you've been exercising for at least 20 minutes," they told me. I was warned that I "wouldn't lose any fat at all" unless I did at least 45 minutes of continuous aerobic exercise.

It's true that your body relies more on carbohydrate and less on fat during the early stages of exercise. It's also true that your body uses more fat and less carbohydrate the longer you spend exercising. But this ignores what happens to your metabolism after exercise, when the number of fat calories burned tends to go up.

To lose fat, you need to create a calorie deficit—to consistently burn more calories than you consume. And it doesn't make a great deal of difference whether those calories are burned in one long workout or several shorter ones.

Some evidence for this comes from research carried out at the University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine ¹. For the study, a group of overweight women was assigned to one

of two groups. Group one performed a single bout of exercise lasting 20-40 minutes. Group two did the same amount of exercise, but it was split into several smaller bouts lasting just 10 minutes.

And the result?

Twenty weeks later, the women who split their workouts into shorter bouts had lost 20 pounds, compared to just 14 pounds in the single-bout group. The reason for the extra weight loss is simply that women in group two did more exercise (and thus burned more calories) than women in group one, possibly because they found it easier to fit shorter workouts into their day.

Research published in the *Journal of the American College of Nutrition* shows similar results ³. There were no significant differences in weight loss with three 10-minute bouts of exercise per day compared with two 15-minute bouts or one 30-minute bout.

Changes in body composition weren't reported in this study, so we don't know how much of the lost weight came from muscle and how much came from fat. I'm guessing that the women lost some muscle, which is fairly common with aerobic-only exercise programs. When you're losing weight, it's important to do some kind of resistance training to help preserve lean muscle.

Fat is stored energy. To lose it, you have to use more energy (calories) than you get from your diet. And it doesn't really matter whether you burn those calories in one long workout or several shorter ones. As these studies (and my own experience) show, both approaches work.

Myth #2: One-pound of muscle burns 50-100 calories per day

You've probably read similar claims that muscle "burns calories around the clock just to maintain itself, even while you are sleeping or sitting at a desk." The idea is that for

every pound of new muscle, your body will burn an extra 60 calories per day. Add five pounds of new muscle and you will automatically burn an additional 31 pounds of fat in a year... or so the theory goes, anyway.

When you gain muscle, your resting metabolic rate (the number of calories your body burns at rest) does go up. But, this increase is a lot less than the 50-100 calorie figure you'll often see written.

And fat is not simply a "dead" tissue. It secretes proteins such as leptin and cytokines, which can affect your metabolism ⁴. According to some estimates, fat has a daily metabolic rate of two calories per pound per day, with muscle clocking in at just six calories per pound ⁵.

In other words, losing two pounds of fat and replacing it with two pounds of muscle will increase your resting metabolic rate by just eight calories per day.

What's more, unless they're very overfat, or just starting an exercise program, very few people gain a lot of muscle and lose a lot of fat at the same time. Your body just isn't that great at doing both things at once. That's why I recommend you focus on one of two goals when you're trying to get in shape—building muscle while minimizing fat gain, or, losing fat while preserving muscle.

Resistance exercise improves body composition in several different ways. Firstly, with a properly designed weight-training program, you'll burn more calories and more fat in the hours after exercise, although it's my opinion that the light-weight, high-repetition "toning" workouts most people do have only a minor impact on post-exercise metabolism.

Second, if you don't do some kind of resistance exercise while you're dieting, a lot of the weight you lose will come from muscle rather than fat.

If you are fortunate enough to gain a significant amount of muscle while you're losing fat, the impact of the extra muscle on your resting metabolic rate will be small, and certainly won't amount to 10,000 extra calories a month.

Myth #3: Weight training is just too time-consuming

Many people point to a lack of time as the reason why they can't stick to a regular exercise routine. That's why books such as *8 Minutes in the Morning* or *The Slow Burn Fitness Revolution* have become so popular. They promise to help you get in shape in less time and with less effort.

One simple way to cut down on the time you spend in the gym is to increase the density of your workout. Workout density is a measure of the amount of work performed in a specific time period. Don't worry if it sounds too complicated. Increasing workout density is actually quite easy when you use supersets.

Most weight-training routines require that you perform one set of a given exercise, rest for a minute or two, then return to the same exercise. And it's this rest period between sets that takes up a lot of time.

With supersets, you move quickly from one exercise to another, with little or no rest between. In other words, rather than resting between sets, you perform an exercise for another muscle group.

Depending on who you talk to, you might also hear supersets called compound sets or staggered sets. They're all variations on the same theme.

Supersets usually involve several sets of two exercises for opposing muscle groups, such as the biceps curl and triceps dip. A compound set is a superset for the same muscle group (such as two back-to-back exercises for your biceps).

With staggered sets, you do an exercise for one muscle group and then, with little or no rest, perform an exercise for a muscle group (usually a smaller one) in a different part of the body. As an example, you might alternate squats with dumbbell curls.

One of the main benefits of a weight-training routine that includes supersets is that it raises your metabolic rate in the hours after exercise. Studies also show a shift in substrate oxidation, which means that you're also burning more fat.

Some evidence for this comes from a study by researchers Kristin Osterberg and Christopher Melby ⁶. A group of seven young women (aged 22-35 years), all of whom were physically active and lifted weights 3-4 times a week in addition to regular cardiovascular exercise, took part in the study.

They reported to the laboratory at Colorado State University early in the morning. At 1.30pm they began the workout, starting with 10-15 repetitions of the bench press. Without resting, they went straight to the bent over row. Each superset was followed by 2-3 minutes of rest. They repeated the process four more times, before moving to the next set of exercises. In total, the workout lasted 100 minutes.

The routine included several compound exercises, such as the bench press, bent-over row and overhead press. The women also trained hard, with the last two sets of each exercise taken to the point of muscular failure.

Measurements taken 16 hours later show the rate of fat burning had increased by over 50%. Resting metabolic rate was also up by about 4%. In other words, the women were burning more calories and more fat.

If you find it hard to fit weight-training into your day, try increasing the density of your workout by using supersets or staggered sets. It's a very simple way to boost your metabolic rate and burn fat faster without spending longer in the gym.

Myth #4: Cardio and resistance exercise is the best way to lose fat

I'm a big fan of any workout routine that combines cardiovascular (e.g. cycling, walking or sprinting) and resistance exercise (e.g. weight-training). That's why the headline about cardio and weights not being the best way to lose fat might have come as a surprise.

Part of the reason I wrote it was to get your attention. But I also wanted to emphasize the fact that exercise is only one piece of the puzzle when it comes to burning fat and building muscle.

Before I explain exactly what I mean, here are two examples to illustrate my point.

STUDY #1: In this study, conducted at Penn State University, a group of women took part in a 12-week program of diet and exercise ⁷. The women were assigned to one of four groups:

- Group 1 served as a control group, and did nothing.
- Group 2 followed a low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet.
- Group 3 combined the same diet with regular aerobic exercise.
- Group 4 followed the same diet, but added aerobic and resistance exercise

As you might imagine, all three groups lost weight. However, the loss of fat was greatest in the women who combined a low-calorie diet with aerobic exercise. In fact, the women who trained with weights actually *lost* 3.7 pounds of muscle.

STUDY #2: The trial, published in the *Journal of Applied Physiology*, tracked a group of 31 women during a six-month exercise program ⁸.

At the beginning and end of the study, body fat levels were measured using a sophisticated technique called dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA for short). The

program consisted of 90 minutes of exercise (weight-training, running and military drills) five days each week.

Despite the fact that the women exercised for more than seven hours each week for six months, they lost only 5.7 pounds of fat. That's just 0.2 pounds per week.

What do both of these studies have in common?

None of the women in either trial was following a decent diet.

In study one, total calorie intake was too low (7 calories per pound of body weight). What's more, 70% of those calories came from carbohydrate, leaving just 15% for fat and 15% for protein. It's no surprise they lost so much muscle.

In study two, the women were not told to change their diet, and could eat what they wanted.

If you want to lose fat, then exercise alone—be it weight-training, cycling, Pilates, or the so-called "core-stability" workouts that seem so popular at the moment—won't be enough. You'll need to eat right too. If you want to make this the year when you finally get the body you want, now's the time to take a closer look at your diet.

Myth #5: You can lose fat and build muscle at the same time

With very few exceptions, losing a lot of fat and gaining a lot of muscle at the same time is very hard to do. That's because of the opposing demands these goals impose on your body.

To build a lot of new muscle tissue, your body needs energy. In other words, you'll need to overfeed—to consume more calories than you're burning each day. To lose fat, you need to underfeed—to consume fewer calories than you burn.

If you do try to do both things at once, your progress in either direction will be so frustratingly slow that it won't be long before you feel like throwing in the towel.

It would be nice if the energy your body needs to build new muscle tissue came from stored fat. But, when your body is in a predominantly catabolic state (which it will need to be if you want to lose fat), gaining muscle is not its main priority.

Rather than trying to build a lot of muscle and lose a lot of fat at the same time, you'll get better results by splitting your training goals into several phases, and working on one after the other. I suggest that you focus on one of two goals—building muscle while minimizing fat gain, or, losing fat while preserving muscle.

It's far more realistic to expect to lose 10 pounds of fat while gaining a pound or two of muscle, or to gain five pounds of muscle while adding a couple of pounds of fat.

Losing 10 pounds of fat at the same time as replacing it with 10 pounds of muscle is the exception and not the rule.

There are several different methods you can use to decide how long to spend on each goal. One approach is to track your body fat percentage.

Let's say that you start out at 10% body fat. In this case, you might decide to bulk up until you reach 12%. Then, you switch gears and enter the fat-loss phase of your program until you're back to 10% again.

If fat loss is a priority, you can take the opposite approach and start by losing fat until you're down to 7-8% body fat. Then, you change focus and start gaining weight until you're back to 10% again.

This type of eating produces a "saw tooth" pattern of weight gain and weight loss, with the end result (hopefully) that you'll end up with more muscle and less fat after several cycles. The big problem with this approach is that most methods available to track body fat levels are notoriously unreliable. I prefer to use more subjective (but, in my opinion, more useful) ways to gauge my progress.

For instance, I know that it's time to start losing fat when my lower abs become hidden under a layer of fat and I can't see them clearly. Conversely, when I start to feel irritable, tired and de-motivated on a regular basis (which usually happens after an extended period of dieting), and I'm happy with the way I look in the mirror, then I decide to focus on gaining weight and building muscle.

If I need any extra help overcoming my natural tendency towards gluttony and sloth, I'll book a session with a photographer to coincide with the end of a fat-loss phase. Knowing that I'll look a complete fool if I show up for a photo shoot fat and out-of-shape gives me the extra motivation I need to drag myself out of bed and to the gym when I really don't feel like it. Maybe a little extra pressure to get in shape will help you too.

About the author

Christian Finn holds a masters degree in exercise science, and is also a certified personal trainer. For the last 10 years Christian has helped thousands of people to build a leaner, stronger, healthier body. He collects the best information, advice and facts from the world's top experts on the best ways to burn fat and build muscle, then presents it to you on www.thefactsaboutfitness.com in a way that you can understand and act on.

You'll get your hands on fat-burning secrets and natural muscle-building techniques that can save you literally months (maybe even years) of wasted time and effort.

Think how great it would be to know there's someone sifting through all the latest research and consumer reports on your behalf... while you simply relax and pick the information up on the Internet.

When you boil it down, the most important ingredient in building a better body is the information that shows you how to do it. There are tricks, rules and secrets to everything—from baking good bread to getting the body you want.

Christian's site gives you an easy way to get hold of tested and proven information that literally pulls back the curtains, and tells you everything you need to know about building a leaner, stronger, healthier body—without starving yourself, wasting countless hours in the gym, or spending a fortune on food supplements.

If you want to shed stubborn body fat once and for all ... get bigger biceps, broader shoulders, a bigger bench press... or strip away the fat from your belly to reveal a flat and attractive stomach, you can't afford to stumble along on the fitness myths of the past. Whatever a "stronger, leaner body," or "better health" means to you, Christian can help you attain it. To learn more and sign up for his FREE newsletter visit http://www.thefactsaboutfitness.com/

References

- 1. Jakicic, J.M., Wing, R.R., Butler, B.A., & Robertson, R.J. (1995). Prescribing exercise in multiple short bouts versus one continuous bout: effects on adherence, cardiorespiratory fitness, and weight loss in overweight women. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, **19**, 893-901 2. Kanaley, J.A., Weltman, J.Y., Veldhuis, J.D., Rogol, A.D., Hartman, M.L., & Weltman, A. (1997).
- Human growth hormone response to repeated bouts of aerobic exercise. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, **83**, 1756-1761

 3. Schmidt, W.D., Biwer, C.J., & Kalscheuer, L.K. (2001). Effects of long versus short bout exercise on fitness and weight loss in overweight females. Journal of the American College of Nutrition, 20, 494-501
- 4. Wajchenberg, B.L. (2000). Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue: their relation to the metabolic syndrome. *Endocrine Reviews*, **21**, 697-738
- 5. Wang, Z., Heshka, S., Zhang, K., Boozer, C.N., & Heymsfield, S.B. (2001). Resting energy expenditure: systematic organization and critique of prediction methods. *Obesity Research*, **9**, 331-336
- 6. Osterberg, K.L., & Melby, C.L. (2000). Effect of acute resistance exercise on postexercise oxygen consumption and resting metabolic rate in young women. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, **10**, 71-81
- 7. Kraemer, W.J., Volek, J.S., Clark, K.L., Gordon, S.E., Incledon, T., Puhl, S.M., Triplett-McBride, N.T., McBride, J.M., Putukian, M., & Sebastianelli, W.J. (1997). Physiological adaptations to a weight-loss dietary regimen and exercise programs in women. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, **83**, 270-279 8. Nindl, B.C., Harman, E.A., Marx, J.O., Gotshalk, L.A., Frykman, P.N., Lammi, E., Palmer, C., &
- 8. Nindl, B.C., Harman, E.A., Marx, J.O., Gotshalk, L.A., Frykman, P.N., Lammi, E., Palmer, C., & Kraemer, W.J. (2000). Regional body composition changes in women after 6 months of periodized physical training. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, **88**, 2251-2259