# Trees and expectations

# $TSILB^*$

# Version 0.91, 10 March 2003

# Notation for probability trees

Elementary probability theory is hampered by the lack of a good linear notation for probability trees. Here we propose the  $\rightarrow$  notation, based on representing a probability distribution with outcomes  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  and associated probabilities  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  as

$$\{p_1 \to x_1, \ldots, p_n \to x_n\}.$$

Definition. A *probability tree* is defined recursively as either an outcome (assumed distinguishable as such), or an expression of the form

$$\{p_1 \to T_1, \ldots, p_n \to T_n\}$$

where  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  are probability trees, and  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  are non-negative real numbers adding to 1.

Example: I bet a dollar on the toss of a fair coin:

$$\{\frac{1}{2} \to 1, \frac{1}{2} \to -1\}.$$

Example: I am playing a best-of-3 coin-tossing tournament. The prize is a, and I have already won one toss:

$$\{\frac{1}{2} \to a, \frac{1}{2} \to \{\frac{1}{2} \to a, \frac{1}{2} \to 0\}\}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>This Space Intentionally Left Blank. Contributors include: Peter Doyle. Copyright (C) 2003 Peter G. Doyle. This work is freely redistributable under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License.

This is assuming that we stop if I win the second toss. If we really play best-of-3, meaning we play out all 3 tosses no matter what, then the payoff is

$$\{\frac{1}{2} \to \{\frac{1}{2} \to a, \frac{1}{2} \to a\}, \frac{1}{2} \to \{\frac{1}{2} \to a, \frac{1}{2} \to 0\}\}.$$

These two situations are equivalent, and we want some transformation rules that will allow us to convert between the two representations.

### Transformation rules

The most basic transformation rules are those that allow us to permute comma-separated terms, e.g.

$$\{p_1 \to x_1, p_2 \to x_2\} \longleftrightarrow \{p_2 \to x_2, p_1 \to x_1\}.$$

We won't bother to spell out these rules formally.

In the following rules,  $T, T_1, \ldots$  are metavariables representing probability trees.

Certainty:

$$\{1 \to T\} \longleftrightarrow T.$$

Pruning:

$$\{0 \to p_1, p_2 \to T_2, \ldots\} \longleftrightarrow \{p_2 \to T_2, \ldots\}.$$

Identical outcomes:

$$\{p_1 \to T, p_2 \to T, p_3 \to T_3, \ldots\} \longleftrightarrow \{(p_1 + p_2) \to T, p_3 \to T_3, \ldots\}$$

Conditioning:

$$p \to \{q_1 \to T_1, \dots, q_n \to T_n\} \longleftrightarrow \{pq_1 \to T_1, \dots, pq_n \to T_n\}.$$

These rules are sufficient to allow us to transform any gamble into stan-dard form

$$\{p_1 \to x_1, \ldots, p_n \to x_n\},\$$

where the outcomes  $x_1, \ldots x_n$  are all distinct, and the probabilities  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  are all positive (and  $\leq 1$ , since their sum is necessarily 1).

#### The expected value of a gamble

A gamble is a probability tree whose outcomes are all real numbers, representing payments. A gamble is *rational* if all branch probabilities are rational numbers. We call the gamble x, where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , a *constant gamble*, or an *ungamble*.

The *expected value* of a gamble is defined recursively as follows:

$$\operatorname{Val}(x) = x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

 $\operatorname{Val}(\{p_1 \to T_1, \dots, p_n \to T_n\}) = p_1 \operatorname{Val}(T_1) + \dots + p_n \operatorname{Val}(T_n).$ 

We wish to interpret Val(T) as the fair market value of the gamble T. To justify this, we are going to introduce one additional transformation rule, which changes a gamble only to the extent of incorporating a manifestly fair side bet.

Side bet:

$$\{p \to a, p \to b, p_3 \to T_3, \dots, p_n \to T_n\} \longleftrightarrow \{p \to a-c, p \to b+c, p_3 \to T_3, \dots, p_n \to T_n\}.$$

Like the previously introduced transformation rules, this rule preserves Val(T). With its help we may transform any rational gamble T to (or from) an ungamble, which will necessarily be the constant gamble Val(T), the 'gamble' that always pays Val(T). Indeed, we can first transform T to normal form, explode it into a normal form gamble where all probabilities are equal, use side bets to make all outcomes equal, and finally consolidate to an ungamble. We illustrate the procedure for Huygens's example of a gamble where you have two chance to win 8, and three chances to win 13.

$$\{\frac{2}{5} \to 8, \frac{3}{5} \to 13\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \ \{\frac{1}{5} \to 8, \frac{1}{5} \to 8, \frac{1}{5} \to 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 13\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \ \{\frac{1}{5} \to 8 + 8, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 13\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \ \{\frac{1}{5} \to 8 + 8 + 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 13\}$$

$$\longleftrightarrow \ \{\frac{1}{5} \to 8 + 8 + 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 13\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \longleftrightarrow & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 8 + 8 + 13 + 13 + 13, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0\right\} \\ & = & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 55, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 44, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 33, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 0, \frac{1}{5} \to 0\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 22, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 0\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{\frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11, \frac{1}{5} \to 11\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{1 \to 11\right\} \\ & \longleftrightarrow & 11 \end{array}$$

This is not the most efficient method of reducing this gamble to an ungamble. What we did was to first convert the gamble to a *lottery* 

$$\{1/n \rightarrow nc, 1/n \rightarrow 0, \dots, 1/n \rightarrow 0\},\$$

and then convert the lottery to an ungamble. This was done in honor of Huygens, who in addition to side bets allowed an additional transformation:

Lottery:

 $c \longleftrightarrow \{1/n \to nc, 1/n \to 0, \dots, 1/n \to 0\}$ 

In fact this transformation is redundant: As we have seen, it can be accomplished by means of side bets.

#### Sources

This work is based on earlier works copyright 2003 Peter G. Doyle.